





Boll

THE

WORKS

OF

JOHN JEWEL, D.D.

BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

EDITED BY

RICHARD WILLIAM JELF, D.D.

CANON OF CHRIST CHURCH,

AND PRINCIPAL OF KING'S COLLEGE LONDON;

FORMERLY FELLOW OF ORIEL COLLEGE.

IN EIGHT VOLUMES.
VOL. VI.

OXFORD,

AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

MDCCCXLVIII.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2008 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

DEFENCE OF THE APOLOGY

OF THE

CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

PART V.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 1. Divis. 1.

BUT here, I look, they will say, though they have not the scriptures, yet it may chance they have the ancient doctors, and the holy fathers with them. For this is a high brag they have ever made, how that all antiquity, and a continual consent of all ages, doth make on their side: and that all our cases be but new, and yesterday's work; and until these few late years were never heard of. Questionless, there can nothing be more spitefully spoken against the religion of God, than to accuse it of novelty, as a matter lately found out. For as there can be no change in God himself, so ought there to be no change in his religion.

Yet nevertheless, we wot not by what means, but we have ever seen it come so to pass from the first beginning, that as often as God did give but some light, and did open his truth unto men, though the truth were not only of greatest antiquity, but also from everlasting, yet of wicked men and of the adversaries it was called newfangled, and of late

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

Vol. iv. p.

devised. That ungracious and bloodthirsty Haman, when he sought to procure the king Ahasuerus' displeasure against the Jews, used this accusation

Esther iii. 8. against them: "Thou hast here (saith he) a kind of people that useth certain new laws of their own, but stiff-necked, and rebellious against all thy laws."

When Paul also began first to preach and expound the gospel at Athens, he was called a tidings-bringer of new gods: as much to say, as of a new religion.

Acts xvii. 18. "For" (said the Athenians) "may we not know of thee what new doctrine this is?" Celsus likewise, when he of set purpose wrote against Christ, to the end he might more scornfully scoff out the gospel origen contra Celsum. By the name of novelty; "What? (saith he,) hath God after so many ages now at last and so late bethought himself?" Eusebius also writeth, that the Christian religion from the beginning, for very spite,

Enseb. lib. 1. was called $\nu\acute{e}a$ $\kappa a\imath$ $\xi\acute{e}\nu\eta$, that is to say, new and strange. After like sort these men condemn all our matters, as strange and new: but they will have their own, whatsoever they are, to be praised as things of long continuance.

M. HARDING.

......They had said somewhat, if they had proved that the a A vain dis- doctrine a of Christ had been called new by them who were the neither do we professors and followers of it. But now, reporting that the call our doc- Gentiles, who knew not God, as Aman, as the Athenians, as trine new. Celsus the ethnic, and such the like, called the right and true religion of God new; they say nothing to any purpose. But let them shew, that before the coming of Christ any such religion was allowed that was new: or, that sithence Christ's incarnation, among Christian men, whatsoever religion was not shunned and rejected as heretical, which was new. Here are they dumb. And yet for show of learning, in a matter not necessary, they bring bWetellyon, forth their store, and declare that the doctrine and religion of ye have lost truth was new to them, which knew not God, nor Christ the Son the right

names of things: and call that new 'Thus all men of any judgment may see, how fondly they reason. that indeed is 'We b tell them, that all new doctrine now in the church of Christ

is naught: and they prove, that infidels have in the time of Moses' law, and at the first preaching of the gospel, impugned c Untruth. God's everlasting truth with the odious term and reproach of forthe true newness. New doctrine was good to us at our first conversion Christ had from infidelity. But since that we received the true faith from been plant-St. Gregory the bishop of Rome, c who converted the realm of continued in this realm, England to the faith by St. Augustine his legate, and others sent four hundred for that godly purpose; worthily we shun and abhor all new years before gospels, new faiths, new doctrines, new religions.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The truth of God neither is furthered by the face of antiquity, nor hindered by the opinion of novelty. For oftentimes the thing that indeed is new, is condemned as old: and the thing that indeed is old, is condemned as new. If newness in religion in all respects and every way were ill, Christ would not have resembled his doctrine to new wine; nor would he have said to his disciples, "I Matt. ix. 17. give you a new commandment:" neither would he have John xiii. 34. called the cup of thanksgiving the new testament in his Luke xxii.20. blood. Arnobius saith: Religionis authoritas non est tem-Arnobius pore æstimanda, sed numine: nec quo die, sed quid colere tes, lib. 2. [p. caperis, intueri convenit. Quod verum est, serum non est: "The authority of religion must be weighed by God, and not by time. It behoveth us to consider, not upon what day, but what thing we began to worship. The thing that is true is never too late."

St. Augustine saith: Quod anterius est, inquiunt ethnici, †August. In Quest. Nov. falsum esse non potest. Quasi antiquitas et vetus consue- et Vet. Test. tudo præjudicet veritati: "The heathens say, the religion App. 119.] that was first cannot be false. As though antiquity and old custom could prevail against the truth." Again he saith: Nec dici debet, Quare modo? et quare sero? Quo-August de niam mittentis consilium non est humano ingenio penetra-cap. 32. [vil. bile: "Neither may we say, Why cometh it now? why cometh it so late? For the counsel of God that sent it is unsearchable to the wisdom of man." Ye say, "They were infidels only, that charged the religion of Christ with novelty." And further ye say, " We tell them, that all new doctrine now in the church of Christ is naught." Hereto, M. Harding, we soon agree. And therefore we tell you,

that your new phantasies, which ye have painted with the colour of ancientry, and therewith have deceived the world, are vain and naught. As for us, we have planted no new religion, but only have renewed the old, that was undoubtedly founded and used by the apostles of Christ, and other holy fathers in the primitive church; and of this long late time, by mean of the multitude of your traditions and vanitics, hath been drowned.

Bernard. [1, Guillelmi ab-Solitaria. [cap. 1. tom. v. 201.]

Therefore we may say with St. Bernard: Novitatem dico, bat.] de Vita propter linguas hominum impiorum: qui cum manifestum lumen obnubilare non queunt, de solo novitatis nomine cavillantur, &c. Sed hac novitas non est novella vanitas. enim est antiquæ religionis: perfecte fundatæ in Christo pictatis: antiqua hareditas ecclesia Dei: "I call it novelty, because of the tongues of wicked men: who, being not able to shadow the manifest light of the truth, find cavillations upon the only name of novelty. But this novelty is no new vanity," (as is this late upstart religion of Rome.) it is a matter of old religion: of perfect godliness founded in Christ: the ancient inheritance of the church of God." The old learned father Tertullian saith: Viderint ergo, quibus novum est, quod sibi est vetus: hæreses non tam novitas, quam veritas revincit. Quodeunque contra [al. adversus] veritatem sapit, hoc est [al. erit] hæresis, etiam vetus consuetudo: "Let them therefore take heed, which count that thing new, that in itself is old. Heresy is reproved not so well by novelty as by verity. Whatsoever thing savoureth against the truth, the same is an heresy, yea although it be a custom never so old." Ignatius saith: Ignat. ad Phil- Antiquitas mea Jesus Christus est: " My antiquity is Christ Jesus 1." For otherwise the religion of Christ at that time was counted new, and in respect of the ancient religion of the heathens, even for novelty's sake, universally and of all men was condemned [ed. 1600 commended].

ladelphien. [Russel, II. 160.1

Tertull, de Virginibus

veland, [sub inlt. pp. 172,

> That Augustine the monk of Rome brought first the faith into this land, it is utterly untrue. For, as I have said before, it appeareth plainly by sundry the ancient fathers,

^{1 [....} έμοι δε αρχεία έστιν Ίησους Χριστός. " Mihi pro archivis est Jesus Christus."

Origen, Tertullian, Chrysostom, Hilary, Theodoretus, Eusebius, and others, that the faith of Christ had been universally received and perfectly rooted in this realm many hundred years before this Augustine the monk was born 2. Indeed he brought in great heaps of strange novelties and superstitions, as candles, candlesticks, banners, and holy water, and other like shows, whereof the church of God had no great need. And yet have the same sithence been increased by other new devices and vanities above measure.

But forasmuch as certain of M. Harding's beauperes of Louvain have lately found themselves talk, and kept great moots in the behoof of their Augustine, the Italian monk, whom they call the apostle of England, and will needs have to be received and honoured as a saint, I have thought it therefore good, briefly and by the way, to note a few words touching the same.

It seemeth, they be much offended that so virtuous a man, and so holy a saint, should be charged with pride and cruelty: with pride, in so disdainfully despising his brethren, the bishops of this island of Britain: with cruelty, in procuring the death both of many thousands of Christian people, and also specially of the innocent and unarmed monks of Bangor 3: and all this, for that they refused to receive him as their metropolitan, and to agree with him in certain small points of the Roman religion. Howbeit, his pride is well blazed by Beda, writing purposely of the Beda, Septem Britan, same, in that he sat still in his throne, and disdained to episc. et

rise up, and to give any token of reverence unto the seven doctissimi.

² [Supra vol. ii. p. 29, note ¹³; and pp. 74, 75, with notes ³⁵, ³⁶, ³⁹; also vol. iv. 115, 116, 163.]

³ [This charge does not seem to rest upon any sufficient founda-tion. It is true, that to the worthless testimony of Geoffry of Monmouth, referred to above, vol. iv. 164, bishop Jewel has here added other authorities; and if Gray's Chronicle is as he reports it, it justifies his view of the case. Still his statement is hardly satisfactory. If however he has fallen into error respecting the antiquities of the British church, he errs in common

with all our older writers, both before and after the Reformation: in fact it was not till Usher, Spelman, and Stillingfleet severally undertook this portion of our church history, that fable gave place to fact. Of late these errors have been more clearly refuted and corrected by the Rev. T. B. Pantin, (particularly in his learned edition of Stillingfleet's British Churches,) to whom the Editor takes this opportunity of offering his acknow-ledgments for much valuable assistance in this part of bishop Jewel's works.

bishops, and other learned and grave men of the Britons, making their appearance at his council. And therefore they said, they would not hearken to his demands, nor take him for their archbishop, as having otherwise of old an archbishop of their own, to whom they owed their obedience. Their words, as they are reported by Beda, were these: Si modo nobis assurgere noluit, quanto magis, si ei subdi caperimus, jam nos pro nihilo contemnet? "If even now he disdain to rise up unto us, how much more will he despise us, and regard us as nothing, when we shall once be under his jurisdiction?"

But to excuse this Augustine of shameful cruelty, lest

Beda, lib. 2. cap. 2.

Beda, eod.

he should seem to be accessory to the murdering of so many, and so by their own laws to be irregular, as a man of blood, they say, "He neither enkindled the war against the Britons, nor was present at the fight, but was dead long before." Which thing also they think may be proved by the express words of Beda. For thus he saith: Quamvis ipso Augustino jam multo ante tempore ad cælestia regna sublato: "Notwithstanding Augustine himself, long before the time of this war, were taken up into the kingdom of heaven." For the truth and certainty hereof, it may please thee, good Christian reader, to understand, that these last words of Beda, concerning the death of Augustine, are manifestly forged, and have been violently thrust into the text by a guileful parenthesis, by them that

Tho. Gray.
Augustine
the inflamer
of the war

appear.

But first of all, in an old chronicle, written in French above two hundred years past, by Thomas Gray³, ye shall find it recorded thus: "Augustine being thus refused of the bishops, and others the learned of the Britons, made such complaint thereof to Ethelbert⁴ the king of Kent,

sithence have been ashamed of his cruelty, and were never written by the author, as by evident proofs it shall plainly

he will give an account of this work in the list of authors subjoined to the preface.

4 [Respecting the mistaking Ethelfrid for Ethelbert, vid. supra vol. iv. p. 165, note 60, and vol. ii. p. 66.]

³ [Supra vol. iv. p. 164, note 59. In the catalogue of archbishop Parker's library at C.C.C. there is a notice of a French work in MS. entitled, "Scala Cronica, incepta 1355," which is probably the work in question. The Editor is unwilling to delay the press, but

that forthwith he levied his power, and marched against them, and slew them in most cruel wise, having" (as he saith) " no more regard of mercy than a wolf hath upon a sheep." Hereby it appeareth, that this Augustine was the inflamer of the war, and so the causer of the slaughter.

And whereas, by the words of Beda, as they be now The true commonly extant in the Latin, we are told this Augustine translated by was dead long before the war began, it appeareth plainly Augustine by the *true* Beda indeed, translated above seven hundred time of the years ago into the old English, or Saxon tongue, by Alfredus, or Aluredus, then king of this land, that the same Augustine was yet alive after the same war was ended: and that he afterward consecrated two bishops, Mellitus and Justus: Mellitus to be bishop of London, and Justus to be bishop of Rochester. Which things thus declared, it followeth orderly in the story, " Then," (these wars being ended, and these bishops consecrated,) "afterward died the beloved father Augustine." "After the war he died," he saith, "and not before," as they have sithence altered it in the Latin. I trow, M. Harding, ye are not so much amazed with the admiration of your Augustine, that ye will say he had power to consecrate bishops, and to use his archiepiscopal authority, being dead.

As for these words that we find reported by the parenthesis in the Latin, as written by Beda; (Quamvis Augustino jam multo ante tempore ad cælestia regna sublato;) forasmuch as they are quite contrary to the very course and order of the story, and specially for that they are not once touched in the ancient Saxon translation, sundry copies whereof at this present are extant, and to be seen, of such reverend antiquity, as may not justly be called in question; therefore we have good cause to judge, that the said words have been sithence forced and shifted in by some good skill and policy, lest Augustine, so holy a man, should be found guilty of so great a cruelty 5.

⁵ [The general opinion at present, on the authority of all the MSS., is, that the words are ge-Smith's note in loc. in his edition nuine; and that the absence of an of Bede, reprinted in Mr. Hussey's Oxford edition.

equivalent in Alfred's Saxon version proves nothing, inasmuch as

The abstract of Chronicles written.

The year of our Lord.	The year of Augustine's abode in England.	
596	1	This year Augustine arriveth in England and afterward continueth archbishop of Can- terbury fifteen years: <i>Polydorus</i> , lib. 4.
597	2	
598	3	
599	4	
600	5	
601	6	This year Augustine receiveth his pall.
602	7	
603	8	
604	9	This year Augustine consecrateth Mellitus bishop of London, and Justus bishop of Rochester: Beda, lib. 2. cap. 3.
605	10	This year the war was kept against the Britons, and the monks were slain at Bangor: The Saxon Chronicle of Peterborough. This selfsame year Augustine confirmed the king's charter granted to the monastery of St. Peter in Canterbury.
606	11	This year Augustine baptizeth ten thousand people in the water of Swale: Ranulphus Cestren.
607	12	
608	13	This year Augustine dieth, 7 Calend. Junii. Matthæus Westmonasteriensis.
609	14	
610	15	This year dieth Augustine, as it is written by Polydore, lib. 4. And therefore he was alive five years after the slaughter of the monks at Bangor.

Verily in the old English chronicle it is recorded, not only that this Augustine, the Italian monk, by his complaint caused the king of Kent to arm his people against the true and faithful Christians of the country, then being in Wales; or that he was alive at the time of the battle, but also that he was himself present in person, going toward

the same. The words be these: "Augustine came again, Augustine and told king Ethelbert that the Britons would not obey company with the him. Wherefore the king was wroth, and sent to Elfred, hing towards the king of Northumberland, to come to help him to disting marchisher the Britons of Wales. And (Augustine) the archishop of Canterbury met with them at Leicester. The king of Leicester at that time was called Brocvale. He, being afraid of the two kings, fled out of the land, and came never again. And the two kings seized all his lands, and departed them between themselves. And afterward they went towards Wales. The Britons heard of them, and sent men to them in their shirts, and barefoot, to ask mercy. But they were so cruel, that they had of them no pity," &c. Hereby it appeareth, that this Augustine not only enkindled this cruel war, but also was alive and present in the army.

Addition.

Addition. FB But to put both you and your friends quite out of doubt touching as well the truth hereof, as also the manifest and sensible corruption of your Beda, I will here shew you the copy of a charter granted by Ethelbert the king of Kent to the abbey of St. Peter in Canterbury, and confirmed by Augustine the black monk, and archbishop there, the self-same year when the slaughter of the monks, whereof we speak, was committed. Thus it beginneth:

6 In nomine Domini nostri Jesu Christi. Omnem hominem, qui secundum Deum vivit, et remunerari a Deo sperat, et optat, oportet ut puris precibus consensum hilariter [suppl. et] ex animo præbeat: quoniam certum est, tanto facilius ea quæ ipse a Domino poposcerit, consequi posse, quanto et ipse libentius Deo aliquid concesserit. Quocirca ego Ethelbertus Rex Cantiæ cum consensu venerabilis archiepiscopi Augustini, ac principum meorum, do et concedo Deo, in honore Sancti Petri, aliquam partem terræ

⁶ [Two copies of this charter, (taken from the Cotton MSS.) one of which is nearly literal, will be found in the Monasticon Anglicanum, vol. i. p. 126, (ed. 1817.)

Jewel's copy seems to be compounded of both. The principal variations are marked above in brackets.]

juris mei, quæ jacet in oriente civitatis Doroberniæ. Ita duntaxat, ut monasterium ibi construatur, et res quæ supra memoravi, in potestate abbatis sit, qui ibi fuerit ordinatus. Igitur adjuro, et præcipio in nomine Domini Dei Omnipotentis, qui est omnium rerum judex justus, ut prafata terra subscripta donatione sempiternaliter sit confirmata, ita ut nec mihi, nec alicui successorum meorum, regum, aut principum, sive cujuslibet conditionis dignitatibus, et ecclesiasticis gradibus, de ea aliquid fraudare liceat. Si quis vero de hac donatione mea aliquid minuere, aut irritum facere temptaverit, sit in præsenti separatus a sancta communione corporis et sanguinis Christi, et in die judicii, ob meritum malitiæ suæ, a consortio sanctorum omnium segregatus. Circumcineta est hæc terra his terminis: in oriente ecclesia S. Martini: in meridie viæ Othburhgat [al. via de Burgate]: in occidente et in aquilone Druting [al. Drutingestreto] in civitate [al. acta in civitate] Doroverni, in anno ab Incarnatione Christi DCV. indictione VIII. [al. VI.]

**Figo Ethelbertus rex Cantiæ, [al. Anglorum] sana mente, integroque consilio, donationem meam signo sancta crucis propria manu roboravi, confirmavique. Ego Augustinus, gratia Dei archiepiscopus, (testis consentiens) libenter subscripsi. Ego Eadbaldus regis [suppl. filius] favi. Ego Hemigisilus dux laudavi. Ego Hocca comes consensi. Ego Angemundus referendarius approbavi. Ego Graphio comes benedixi. Ego Tangisilus regis optimas confirmavi. Ego Pinca consensi. Ego Geddi corroboravi.

This charter is extant, and may be seen under authentical seals: and another likewise bearing the same date and like inscription. Mark well the year of our Lord, M. Harding, and compare well the times. This charter, as it is plain and evident to the eye, was sealed and dated in the year of our Lord 605 s. And the self-same year,

Anno 605.

⁷ [Monastic. Angl. vol. i. pp. 126, 127.]

^{8 [}It is singular, that the date and the seal, on which bishop Jewel in his day so naturally

relied, are the very grounds upon which a sounder criticism would argue against the genuineness of this charter. According to the authorities cited by bishop Stil-

as it appeareth by the Chronicle of Peterborough, the monks were slain, even the self-same year, I say, in which this charter was granted by the king, and confirmed by Augustine. Now, I beseech you, where is the credit of your vain story? How can it possibly be true, that your corrupted Beda saith: Quamvis Augustino jam multo ante tempore ad cælestia regna sublato? If Augustine were alive the self-same year, how can it be true, I say, that he was dead so long before? Do you not see manifest forgery with your eyes? Is not this corruption so gross, that ye may feel it with your fingers? If Augustine had been dead so long time, or so many years before, how could he confirm charters the same year present? Will you make him so holy a man, that he was able to write, and seal, and confirm charters, being dead? Your Beda, as he is by some of your side guilefully corrupted, saith: "Augustine was dead a great long while before the slaughter." But Augustine himself saith, he was alive the self-same year when the slaughter was made. And that he proveth not by conjectures and guesses, as you do often, but by sufficient record under the king's great seal. And I beseech you, what better evidence may be shewed? It was an easy matter, by interlarding a few words, to falsify the truth of a story: and there was good cause, in regard of your Augustine's credit, why ye should do it. But what cause can you imagine why any man in this point should corrupt and falsify the king's great seal? What gain could he have had therein? or what hope of gain? or if there had been cause never so great, yet what man could so easily have wrought it? Nay, Matthæus Westmonasteriensis, that Matth. Westwrote Flores Historiarum, saith, that "Augustine lived [p. 208.] until the year of our Lord 6089:" and was alive three years

lingfleet, (Orig. Britannicæ, Mr. Pantin's edit. p. 21,) the way of computation from the year of our Lord (though mentioned as early as A. D. 525, and shortly after that date used in private correspondence) was not introduced into public documents, even in England, much before the eighth century.

With respect to seals, although the evidence is conflicting, it seems certain that their use was very rare before the Norman conquest.

See Stillingfleet, pp. 26—31.]

⁹ [According to Thorne's Canterbury Chronicle he died A. D. 605.]

after the monks were slain. If he were alive three years after the slaughter, how is it true that you say he was dead so long a while before the slaughter?

Now may it be your choice, M. Harding, whether ye will believe king Ethelbert and your Augustine himself under their own instruments and authentical seals, or else your story of Beda, manifestly corrupted and wrested quite from the original, as it is most easy to be seen.

Therefore, M. Harding, it shall henceforth be good, both for you and for your fellows, not to adventure so rashly in judgment before ye know. Thus much briefly, as answer unto them, that so fain would have their Augustine acquitted of *pride* and *cruelty*.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 1. Divis. 2.

Wherein they do much like to the conjurors and [vol. iv. p. sorcerers nowadays, who, working with devils, use to say they have their books and all their holy and hid mysteries from Athanasius, Cyprian, Moses, Abel, Adam, and from the archangel Raphael; to the end, that their cunning being thought to come from such patrons and founders, might be judged the more high and holy. After the same manner, these men, because they would have their own religion, which they themselves, and that not long sithence, have brought forth into the world, to be the more easily and rather accepted of foolish persons, or of such as cast little whereabout they or others do go, they are wont to say they had it from Augustine, Hierom, Chrysostom⁹, from the apostles, and from Christ himself. Full well know they, that nothing is more in the people's favour, or better liketh the common sort, than these names.

⁹ [The Lat. Apol. adds, "Ambrosius."]

M. HARDING.

Nay, sirs, yourselves may with more reason be likened to enchanters, necromancers, and witches. For as they say that they have their books and their mysteries from those doctors and first fathers, and from Raphael the archangel, but cannot shew the delivery thereof by any succession from hand to hand, as for example, who received the same from Raphael, from Adam, from Abel, &c., and who kept them from time to time: so ve say also, that ye have your gospel, and every part of your doctrine, from the apostles, from Christ, from the prophets, from the patriarchs, from heaven, from God's own bosom, who is Father of lights. But ye cannot shew us your lawful succession, by whom, and by whose preaching, as by hands, it came down along from Christ and his apostles unto you. a Where lay your a It lay in the sacramentary doctrine hidden between the time of your prophet and in the Zuinglius, and your patriarch Berengarius? How, and by what books of the holy fathers. delivery from hand to hand, continued the same those five hundred years? Shew us your succession. Where be your bishops? where be your churches 10?.....

The doctrine which the catholics of our country hold and pro- b Untruths fess, b as well touching the blessed sacrament, as all other points vain. Read the answer. of our faith, they have received it of their bishops, and they of their predecessors, by order until they reach to St. Augustine: St. Augustine received it of St. Gregory: b he of others before him, b and they all one of another by continual ascent unto St. Peter: who received it of Christ: Christ of God his Father. b And this doctrine we find taught and plainly set forth in the books that cSt. Augustine, Hierom, Chrysostom, Ambrose, Basil, CUntruth. Cyprian, Dionyse, and the other holy fathers have left to the but a show posterity. And so they be witnesses of the truth of the doctrine of empty names, and which our bishops have taught us.

nothing in

Preach ye, and cry ye out never so much, make so many laws in them. your parliaments as ye list, imbrue your swords in the blood of the catholic Christians, as ye cry for it in your pulpits, yet shall that rock, whereon we stay, be too hard for you. Neither shall ye ever be able to overthrow the catholic church builded thereupon. For certain we are, that neither all your power, nor hell gates, shall prevail against it.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Marcus Varro was wont to say: Utile est civitatibus, ut [Varro, inter Fragmenta, se viri fortes, etiamsi falsum sit, ex diis genitos esse cre-p. 195.] dant: "It is very behoveful for cities and commonweals, that men of valiant courage believe themselves to be the

fatt. xvi.

ames 1. 17.

¹⁰ [Here occur some observations about Berengarius and Bertram.]

August. de Civitate, lib. 3. cap. 4. [vii. 61.] children of the gods, yea although indeed it be untrue." Unto which words St. Augustine addeth these: Hæc sententia, cernis, quam latum locum aperiat falsitati: "Ye see how large a scope this saying doth open to the maintenance of falsehood." Many vain men, to advance the nobility of their blood, have set their petite degrees, some from Achilles, some from Æneas, some from Hercules, and some from the ark of Noe. The heretic Dioscorus, to get some credit to his doctrine, would seem to bring the descent thereof from all the ancient fathers of the church.

concil. Chal. For thus he said in the open council: Ego testimonia ced. act. 1. p. 767. [vl. 684.] habeo sanctorum patrum, Athanasii, Gregorii, Cyrilli, in multis locis...... Ego cum patribus ejicior: ego defendo patrum dogmata: non transgredior in aliquo: et horum testimonia, non simpliciter, neque transitorie, sed in libris habeo: "I have the witness of the holy fathers, Athanasius, Gregorius, Cyrillus, in many places. I am thrown forth with the fathers: I defend the fathers' doctrine: I swerve not from them in any point: I have their witness, not barely, nor by the way, but in their books 11."

Concil. Chalced. act. t. p. So said the heretic Eutyches: Ego legi scripta beati 792. [vi. 809.] Cyrilli, et sanctorum patrum, et sancti Athanasii: "I have read the books of Cyrillus, of the holy fathers, and of Copeil. Chal. Athanasius." So said the heretic Carosus: Ego secundum

consil. Chal. Athanasius." So said the heretic Carosus: Ego secundum ced. act. 4. p. expositionem trecentorum decem et octo patrum, sic credo: sic baptizatus sum: "Thus do I believe, and thus was I baptized, according to the exposition of the three hundred and eighteen fathers in the council of Nice." Thus the

Socr. lib. 4: cap. 21. [al. Arian heretics alleged the authority of the ancient father cap. 26, tom. Origen: thus the Pelagian heretics alleged the authority of St. Augustine. As upon occasion it hath been said before.

Even with such truth, M. Harding, are you wont to blaze the arms of your religion. There is no toy so vain or so fabulous, but ye are able by your cunning to bring it lineally either from Christ himself or from his apostles,

^{11 [}Bishop Jewel quotes from the editor has generally consulted Crabbe's edition of the councils; Mansi's edition.]

or from one or other of the ancient fathers. The bishop of Sidon, in the late diet of the empire holden at Augusta, Anno 1548. avouched openly, that ye had your whole canon from the apostles of Christ, word by word, even as it is peevishly written in your mass books. Andreas Barbatius proveth Polyd, de Inthe antiquity of the cardinals of Rome by these words, cap. 7. written in the first book of the Kings: Domini sunt car-1 Sam. ii. 8. dines terræ: et posuit super eos orbem: "The corners of the earth be the Lord's: and upon them he hath set the world." Abbot Panormitane saith: Cardinalatus est de Extra, Qui jure divino:quia papa per sacerdotes Leviticos intelli-tini, per Vegit cardinales: "The cardinalship standeth by the law of Abb. [Pa-God: for the pope by the Levitical priests understandeth iii. pt. 2. fol.

Much hereof his cardinals." Hosius seemeth to say, that monks have 19.1

41. col. 2. no.
is alleged be-

is alleged before.

their beginning even from the apostles, meaning thereby, as one of your companions there doth, in favour, I trow, of religion, that Christ himself was the abbot. For thus he saith: Christus dux, et exemplar vitæ monasticæ: Hosius in "Christ was the captain and samplar of monk's life." Confessione Petricovien. And yet the same man afterward, as having forgotten his [fol. 88.] [fol. former dream, utterly displaceth Christ, and giveth the whole honour hereof unto Elias and Elizæus. These be his words: Elias et Elizaus duces instituti Benedictini: Cop. Dialog. "Elias and Elizeus were the captains of St. Benet's order." 2. p. 194. [in that is to say, they were black monks. By like wisdom ye would seem to fetch your holy water from Elizæus: your Cop. Dialog. cardinal's hat from St. Hierom: your monk's cowl from marg.] St. Augustine. This was sometime a jolly good way to win credit, specially whiles, whatsoever ye said, the people was ready to give you ear. So the old Arcades said in commendation of their antiquity, that they were a day or mpooren ntwo elder than the moon. Saturnus being in Italy, for that he was a stranger, and no man knew from whence he came, therefore was called Filius Cæli, and was thought to come from heaven. Romulus and Alexander, for that they were born in bastardy, and never knew their own fathers, therefore, to magnify the nobility of their blood, would be called the children of the gods: the one of Mars, the other of Jupiter.

With such truth and fidelity, M. Harding, your wont is to paint out all the parts and members of your doctrine. For be it never so vain or childish, or lately devised, yet ye bear us in hand, "that your predecessors received the same" (as you say) " of their bishops: and they of others their predecessors by order, until they reach to your Augustine the monk of Rome," whom ye have full worthily made a saint. "Your Augustine" (ye say) "received the same of Gregory: Gregory of others before him: and they all one of another by continual ascent unto St. Peter: and Peter of Christ: and Christ of God his Father." herald could lightly have said more in the matter. I trow, ye would prove by this ascent and descent, that God the Father made holy water and said mass.

Indeed, as well herein, as also in your empty names of Augustine, Hierom, Chrysostom, Ambrose, Basil, Cyprian, Dionyse, &c., as I told you once before, ye bring us only a vain show of painted boxes, and nothing in them. in all these holy fathers, where find you either your private mass, or your half communion, or your accidents without subject, or the rest of your like vanities, wherewith ye have so long time deceived the world? Leave your dissimulation: set apart your conjectures and blind guesses: and for your credit's sake once shew us these things in the ancient holy fathers, and shew them plainly, and indeed. that we may think there is some weight in your word.

De Con. dist. 2. Peracta in Glos.

Cutb. Tonstai, de Eu-1. p. 45. l. 46.]

Anno 1215. Eras. 1 Cor vii. [p. 496.]

But your own Gloss, speaking of the ministration of the holy communion, which now in your churches in a manner is wholly abolished, saith thus: Hoc antiquum est. Nam hodie videtur esse relictum [suppl. arbitrio]: "This was the old order, that the people should receive together: for, as it seemeth, now it is left." Dr. Tonstall saith, stal. de Eu-charistia, lib. it was no heresy to deny your transubstantiation before your late council of Lateran. Erasmus, whose judgment, I think, ye will not refuse, saith thus: In synaxi transubstantiationem sero definivit ecclesia: " In the holy ministration it was long and very late, ere the church determined the article of transubstantiation." All this notwithstanding, M. Harding, ye blush not to say, that both these and

all other your phantasies have been conveyed unto you by most certain succession from hand to hand: from your English Augustine: from Gregory: from the fathers: from the apostles: from Christ: and from the bosom of God himself.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 1. Divis. 3.

[Vol. iv. p. 58.]

But how if the things which these men are so desirous to have seem new, be found of greatest antiquity? Contrariwise, how if all the things wellnigh, which they so greatly set out with the name of antiquity, having been well and thoroughly examined, be at length found to be but new, and devised of very late? Soothly to say, no man, that hath a true and right consideration, would think the Jews' laws and ceremonies to be new indeed, for all Haman's accusation. For they were graven in very ancient tables of greatest antiquity. And although many did take Christ to have swerved from Abraham and the old fathers, and to have brought in a certain new religion in his own name, yet answered he them directly 12: " If ye believed Moses, ye would believe me also. For my doctrine is not so new as you make it. For Moses, an author of greatest antiquity, and one to whom ye give all honour, hath spoken of me." St. Paul likewise, Though the gospel of Jesus Christ be of many counted to be but new, yet "hath it" (saith he) "a testimony most [Rom. iii. old, both of the law and of the prophets." our doctrine, which we may more rightly call Christ's catholic doctrine, it is so far off from new, that God, who is above all most ancient 13, and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, hath left the same unto us in the gospel, in the prophets, and apostles' works,

¹² [Apol. Lat. "vere."] ¹³ [Apol. JEWEL, VOL. VI. C

^{13 [}Apol. Lat. "Antiquus dierum."]

being monuments of greatest age. So that no man can now think our doctrine to be new, unless the same think either the prophets' faith, or the gospel, or else Christ himself to be new 14.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 2. Divis. 1 and 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 58.]

And as for their religion, if it be of so long continuance as they would have men ween it is, why do they not prove it so by the examples of the primitive church, and by the fathers and councils of old times? Why lieth so ancient a cause thus long in the dust, destitute of an advocate? Fire and sword they have had always ready at hand: but as for the old councils and fathers, all mum, not a word. They did surely against all reason, to begin first with these so bloody and extreme means, if they could have found other more easy and gentle ways 15.

And if they trust so fully to antiquity, and use no dissimulation, why did John Clement, a countryman of ours 16, but few years past, in the presence of certain honest men, and of good credit, tear and cast into the fire certain leaves of Theodoret, the most ancient father, and a Greek bishop, wherein he plainly and evidently taught, that the nature of bread in the communion is not changed, or abolished, or brought to nothing? And this did he of purpose, because he thought there was none other copy thereof to be found.

14 [Half a page of Harding's "superfluous talk" is omitted.]

^{15&#}x27; [Harding here gives a list of authors, and then adds: " In the learned works of these men, it doth well appear what scriptures, fathers, and councils have been

brought for the catholic faith."] 16 John Clement, of C. C. C., a learned physician, Greek reader at Oxford, died in exile, A. D. 1572. See Wood's Athenæ. The charge mentioned above is alluded to supra vol. i. p. 85.]

M. HARDING.

.....Touching the matter ye have devised upon M. Clement, he doth not only deny it in word that ever he burnt or otherwise destroyed any leaf of Theodoritus, but also declareth by the whole order of his life, and by special regard and love he beareth to the tongue which that learned bishop wrote in, that he hath ever been, and yet is far from the will to burn or destrov any scrap, syllable, or letter of Greek, much more certain leaves of the learned father Theodoritus, where any such thing was written, as you imagine. Nay, will ye have the troth? In very deed he saith, and by such way as a godly and grave man may avouch a truth, protesteth, that he never had hitherto any part of that book, neither in Greek or in Latin in written hand.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

This report was made in the presence and hearing of M. Peter Martyr, and sundry other learned men, of whom certain are yet alive. The reporter was both a learned man and a grave father, and not long sithence a bishop in England: who said he was present, and saw the thing done with his eyes. More to say hereof I am not able.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 2. Divis. 3.

[Vol. iv. p. 59.]

Why saith Albertus Pighius, that the ancient Dist. 27. Quilfather St. Augustine had a wrong opinion of original sin? and that he erred and lied, and used false logic, as touching the case of matrimony, concluded after a vow made: which matrimony St. Augustine affirm-Augustin. de Bono Viduit eth to be perfect indeed, and that it may not be cap. 10. [vi. undone again, the vow and promise notwithstanding.

M. HARDING.

a We never took ourselves bound to any private opinion of Augustine's whatsoever doctor. For all our faith is catholic, that is to say, b This ununiversal, such as not one doctor alone, b but the universal num-truth is overber of doctors have taught, and Christian people have received. cold and vain. If in a secret point of learning, St. Augustine or St. Cyprian ing well teach singularly, we follow them not. Much less do we bind substance of ourselves to maintain whatsoever Albertus Pighius hath written. Our doctrine of original sin is to be read in the fifth session of by the docthe late Tridentine council. If Pighius dissent from that, he Sub Paulo 3. dissenteth from us. But if he stand only upon some point not yet determined by the church, his opinion may be tolerated until the church define that question. When you note the point, (for there are many points in that doctrine,) then we will shew you further our mind therein.

c Promise made unto God without delivery. A folly of all follies.

fore God alone.

in a contro-

versi. De

ginis.

The marriage, which is made after a simple vow of chastity, standeth in his force, by reason that there is more in marriage than was in the bare yow. c For in the simple yow there is nothing but a promise made to God, without any deliverance of that thing which was promised. But in marriage the man and woman by present acceptation of each other's bond, do make the matter to extend beyond the nature of a promise. Therefore if likewise the vow made to God were not a simple promise, but also a delivering of the thing promised: then cannot the marriage following make void the vow, which was not only promised, d Avowmade but also performed. d The performance is, when he that voweth before the bi-shop or ab. doth profess himself in the hands of his superior by taking the bot is of more habit of some religion, or by receiving holy orders of the bishop. vow made be- For in that solemn act he delivereth up all his own right and power, so that now he is not master of himself to give his body to any person in marriage or otherwise. You should know by the law of nature, if you would consider it, that if I promise a horse to one man, and afterward promise the same, and deliver him to another, that the second man is true lord of that horse, although I have done injury to him to whom I made the first promise. For the promise with the delivery is more vailable to transfer my right in the horse, than my promise alone. Even so it is a great sin to break a simple vow of chastity made to God......

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

How lightly your captain-general, Albertus Pighius, weigheth the authority of St. Augustine, it may appear Alb. Pighius, by his words. For thus he writeth: Quod non solum incerta sed etiam falsa sit.....Augustini sententia, ita mihi Peccato Oridemonstrari posse videtur: "Thus methinketh I am able to prove that St. Augustine's judgment herein is not only uncertain, but also false." And again afterward in the conclusion: Quod Augustini sententia non solum incerta, sed etiam certo falsa sit, satis mihi demonstratum videtur: "That St. Augustine's judgment is not only uncertain, but also certainly false, methinketh I have sufficiently proved." And again: Non multum me movet Augustini sententia: mihi non placet Augustini ea de re definitio et

sententia: "St. Augustine's judgment doth not greatly move me: I like not St. Augustine's determination and judgment touching this matter." And again: Ego omnium, Alb. Pighius, in eadem non solum adversariorum, sed etiam catholicorum receptas controversia, in scholis redarguo sententias: "I do reprove the judgments, not only of our adversaries, but also of the catholics allowed in the schools." For these causes Ruardus Ruard. Tap-Tapper of Louvain, and Liriensis of Portugal, have namely Lirieusis written against Pighius 17. And forasmuch as ye are de-Lusitanus. sirous to have the point noted, wherein Pighius so much misliketh St. Augustine's judgment, Dominicus a Soto 18, your own doctor, noteth it thus: Pighius de hoc male audit, Petrus [leg. Dominic.] quasi peccata in nobis originalia omnino inficietur: "Pi-a Soto, de Natura et ghius is ill reported of, as a man that utterly denieth Gratia. [p. original sin." Thus your doctors weigh St. Augustine's authority lighter or heavier as they list.

The matter of marriage after a vow is blown away with a silly distinction of a vow simple and a vow double, which ye commonly call a solemn vow: and all the same is substantially and clearly proved by "the promise and delivery of a horse." For this example of all others liked you best. Surely, M. Harding, a very simple creature, and somewhat inferior to a horse, would hardly be tied to such distinctions. For the better clearing hereof, that ye call a simple vow, that is made before God alone: that double or solemn, that is made in the presence of the bishop or abbot. Now, it is plainly confessed by your own doctors, that your simple vow, be it never so simple, yet bindeth you as straitly before God as the double. For pope Celestinus saith: Votum simplex apud Deum non minus ligat, quam Extr. Qui solenne: "The simple vow before God bindeth no less ventes. Rurthan the solemn." And touching the promise and delivery tit, 6. cap. 6. of your horse, Johannes Scotus saith: Alia ratio est, quod Scotus in 4. Senten, Dist, vovens solenniter mittit in possessionem illum, cui vovet 38. quæst. 1. solenniter: vovens autem private, non: sed quasi promittit. Sed hæc ratio valet minus, quam secunda. Quia omnia,

erroneously written "Petrus a Soto," but in consequence of was placed by the Spanish inquisitors in the Index libr. prohibit.]

18 [Originally bishop Jewel had

¹⁷ [Zedler (Universal-Lexicon)

quæ intrinseca sunt voto, ut votum respicit actum voluntatis, per quem obligat se vovendo et transfert dominium suum in alterum,.....omnia, inquam, ista sunt æqualia hinc inde. Igitur non magis datio hic, quam ibi: nec promissio ibi, quam hic: "Another reason that they use is this: that he that maketh a solemn vow putteth him to whom he so voweth in possession. But so doth not he that maketh a simple vow: but only giveth his promise. This reason is worse and weaker than the second. For all things that be of the substance of the vow, (as a vow concerneth the act of the mind, whereby the mind bindeth itself by vowing, and transposeth the ownership of itself unto another,) all these things, I say, are of like weight and equal of either Therefore there is no more performance of promise in the solemn vow than in the simple: nor more promise in the simple vow than in the solemn." Thus you see, M. Harding, with great travail and much ado, ye have found a difference without difference. Cardinal Cajetan saith: Ejusdem speciei est transgressio voti solennis et simplicis: Thom. Secund et differunt solum secundum magis grave, et minus grave: "The breaking of a vow simple and a vow solemn is of one kind or nature: and the difference is only in more grievous and less grievous," that is, that the one is more grievous and offensive than the other.

Cajetan, in dæ. qu. 88. art. 7.

> Therefore Thomas of Aquine himself, the first father, as it appeareth, of this distinction, saith thus: Videtur, quod ecclesia possit dispensare in voto continentiæ solennizato per susceptionem sacri ordinis: "It seemeth, that the church may dispense with a vow of chastity solemnized by the receiving of holy orders."

Augustin, de Bono Viduitat. cap. 10. [vi. 375.]

And this is it that St. Augustine saith: Qui dicunt talium nuptias, non esse nuptias, sed potius adulteria, mihi non ridentur satis acute, ac diligenter considerare, quid dicant: "They that say the marriage of such men or women" (as have vowed chastity) " is no marriage at all, but rather advoutery," (as M. Harding and his fellows have said,) "seem unto me not to consider discreetly or advisedly what they say." Thus, therefore, M. Harding, notwithstanding your simple or double vow, St. Augustine saith unto you, "Ye speak unadvisedly and undiscreetly, and

understand not what you say." But of this whole matter we have entreated before more at large.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 2. Divis. 4.

[Vol. iv. p. 59.]

Also, when they did of late 16 put in print the ancient father Origen's work upon the Gospel of St. John, why left they quite out the whole sixth chap-Liber hodie extat et cirter, wherein it is likely, yea rather of very surety, cumfertur mutilus, that the said Origen had written many things concerning the sacrament of the holy communion contrary to these men's minds, and would rather put forth that book mangled than full and perfect, for fear it should reprove them and their partners of their error? Call ye this trusting to antiquity, when ye rent in pieces, keep back, maim and burn the ancient fathers?

M. HARDING.

A wise man affirmeth no more than he knoweth: a good man no more than standeth with charity: a learned man, in matters of weight, no more than he can avouch by evident a reasons, a Hereby M. a sure proofs, or a sufficient authorities. This defender charging Harding the catholics with mangling of Origen upon St. John's Gospel, much the as though of purpose they had left out the sixth chapter, which credit of his own learnhe imagineth to contain their sacramentary doctrine contrary ing. to the catholic faith: forasmuch as he is uncertain hereof, and thereby noteth a great untruth in the setters forth of that work, neither by any means is able to prove the same: he sheweth himself a fool, a slanderer, and an unlearned man. We are like, I perceive, to hear of the faults they know by us, sith that they burden us with that they know not, and for the same can pretend but a slender conjecture. But, sir defender, why complain you not of the leaving out of other chapters and parts of that work, as well as of the sixth chapter? For whereas Origen wrote upon John nine and thirty tomes, as St. Hierom witnesseth: the Latin translation printed in Venice hath but thirty-two, lacking the seven last tomes. Neither be all they whole and perfect, but many of them maimed and mangled..... 17.

What manner a doctrine of the blessed sacrament he hath

is not given, it is difficult to ascertain the grounds for this charge.]

17 [Ambrose Ferrerius, who

16 [As the date of this edition translated so much as came to his hands, sent, says Harding, all over Europe to perfect the copies.]

In prologo 39. Hom.Ori. gen, in Luc.

uttered upon the sixth chapter of John, and how catholic he was in that point, it appeareth by divers his other works, that you have no cause to belie him, in that you never saw. For the truth of Christ's body in the sacrament, his testimonies be evident. For credit's sake here will I recite a couple. In one place he saith thus: "Ye know, which have been wont to be Hom. 13. present at the divine mysteries, how that when ye take the body [Fxod. [ii. 176.]] of our Lord, ye keep it with all wariness and reverence, that no whit thereof fall down, that nothing of the consecrated gift miscarry. For ye believe yourselves to be guilty, and right well do ye so believe, if by negligence ought fall down." In another place, writing upon the centurion's words spoken to Christ, Matt. viii: "When" (saith he) "thou takest that holy meat, Hom 5. In diand that uncorrupt dainty, when thou enjoyest that bread and get locos, cup of life, thou eatest and drinkest the body and blood of our [ed. Frob. II. 518.]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We lay not in the mangling of this ancient father, as matter of sufficient evidence, but only as a great conjecture of your corruption, referring the judgment thereof unto the reader. Certainly, M. Harding, we have good cause many ways to doubt your dealing: but in nothing more than in the handling of the fathers. Ye remember, how wickedly pope Zosimus, the better to colour his ambi-Concil. Afrition, long sithence corrupted the Nicene council. Neither [l.c.101. tom. can ve forget, what trifles and fabulous vanities ve have iv. p. 513. a.] lately sent us abroad under the old smoky names of Abdias, Leontius, Amphilochius, Hippolytus, and Clemens, whom ye so solemnly call the apostles' fellow. In these uncleanly conveyances to any wise man there can appear no simple meaning. Notwithstanding, ye thought it good policy to deceive the world by any shift or shadow of ancient fathers.

Origen. in Levit, hom. 7. [ii. 225.] What Origen thought of the words of Christ in the sixth chapter of St. John, it is easy to conjecture by that he hath written otherwheres. Upon the Leviticus he writeth thus: Est et in evangelio [al. evangeliis] litera quæ occidit [al. occidat]:.....Si enim secundum literam sequaris illud [al. hoc ipsum] quod dictum est, Nisi comederitis carnem Filii hominis, &c. ea litera occidit: "Even in the gospel there is a letter that killeth: for whereas Christ

saith, 'Unless ye eat the flesh of the Son of man,' &c. if ye take the same according to the letter, that letter killeth." This was Origen's judgment of the sacrament: and the same in those days was counted catholic.

Ye reply, Origen saith: "When ye take the body of Origen, in our Lord, ye keep it with all wariness and reverence, that 13. [11. 176.] no part thereof fall down." And again: "When thou origen in takest that holy meat, then our Lord entereth under thy Evang locos, roof 18." Both these places, in my former Reply, are fully Frob. ii.518.] answered. But what catholic doctrine, M. Harding, can ve pick out of these words? what transubstantiation? what real presence? what accidents without subject? Ye will say, Origen calleth the sacrament Christ's body. So doth Christ himself: so doth Paul: so do all the ancient fathers: so do we ourselves, because it is the sacrament of Christ's body. Your own Gloss saith, as it hath been often alleged: Vocatur corpus Christi, id est, significat De Consecra.
Dist. 2. Hoc corpus Christi: " It is called the body of Christ, that is to est. say, It signifieth the body of Christ." But the people (ye say) received it warily, and with reverence. So do they now, even in those churches that you most mislike withal.

He saith further: "When thou receivest that holy meat, then our Lord entereth under thy roof." And what great matter think you to win hereby? Even in the same place Origen saith: Intrat etiam nunc Dominus sub tectum credentium duplici figura, vel more: "Even now the Lord entereth under the roof of the faithful after two manners or sorts. For when the holy and godly bishops enter into your house, even then through them our Lord entereth." Will ye conclude hereof, that the bishop is transubstantiate into Christ? or, that Christ is really and substantially dwelling in him? This is an allegory, M. Harding, or a mystical kind of speech, wherein, as you know, that learned father was much delighted. The roof that he meaneth is not material, but spiritual: that is to say, not

¹⁸ [Supra vol. iii. p. 38. The rious. Vid. supra vol. ii. p. 405. Hom. in diversos locos are spu- note ¹.]

the body of man, but the soul: like as also the coming or entering of Christ into the same is not bodily, but only spiritual.

Aug. Quæst. Evang. lib. 2. cap. 33. [iii. pt. 2. 260.]

So St. Augustine saith: Prædicant Christum, et eum annuntiando venire faciunt in exhausta fame viscera filii esurientis: "They preach Christ, and by preaching, cause him to come into the bowels of the hungry child, wasted with famine." Likewise again he saith of the centurion: Aug. de Tem- Tecto non recipiebat Christum: corde recipiebat [al. rece-

pore, serm.
74. [v. 423.] perat]: quanto humilior, tanto capacior, tanto plenior: "He received not Christ into his house: he received him into his heart: the more humble, the more room had he to receive him, and the fuller he was." So saith Chry-Chrysost.in sostom: Qui vocant David cum cythara, intus Christum per ipsum vocant: "They that call in David with his harp, by mean of him call in Christ." Again he saith: Christus aut suscipitur, aut occiditur apud nos. Si enim

Psal.

Chrysost, in Matt. hom. 46. in Opere Imperf. [vi. app. 197.]

credimus verbis ejus, suscipimus eum, et generamus in nobis: "Christ either is received or slain within us. For if we believe his word, we receive him, and beget him within us." In such sort St. Hierom writeth unto Paula: Hieronymus Ad talem clemens ingreditur Jesus, et dicit, Quid ploras? obitu Blesil- Non est mortua puella, sed dormit: "Into such a one Jesus entereth mild and gracious, and saith, 'Why weepest thou? Thy damsel is not dead, but lieth asleep."

ad Paulam, de læ. [iv. pt. 2.

Hieron, in Matt. lib. 3. cap. 21. [iv. 97.]

This manner of speech, as I said before, is spiritual or mystical, and may not be taken according to the outward sound of the letter. So saith St. Hierom: Secundum mysticos intellectus quotidie Jesus ingreditur in templum Patris: "According to the mystical understanding, Christ entereth daily into the temple of his Father." In this sense Origen saith, Christ entereth into our house. phrase, writing upon St. Matthew, he expresseth in plainer manner: Tradunt et ejiciunt ab anima sua Salvatorem, Matt. tract.
35. [iii, 895.] et verbum veritatis quod erat in eis: "They betray and throw forth our Saviour from out of their soul," (as do all apostates and renegades that deny the known truth of God.) " and they betray the word of truth that was within them." All this we grant, M. Harding: and all this may

Origen, in

stand without either your transubstantiation or your real presence.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 1. and 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 59.]

It is a world to see, how well favouredly, and how towardly, touching religion, these men agree with the fathers, of whom they use to vaunt they be their own good ¹⁸.

The old council Eliberine made a decree, that [Concil. Bill-berit. Mansl, nothing that is honoured of the people should be tom. il. 11.] painted in the churches 19.

M. HARDING.

Eliber. Concii. cap. 36. [Mansi, ii. 11.] The words of that provincial council be these: "It is thought good, that paintings be not in the church: that what is worshipped or adored, it be not painted on walls." This express prohibition of painting, and that nought be painted in church walls that is worshipped or adored, may seem both to presuppose a former use of such paintings, and also to allow the other sort of images. Whether it do or no, a it forceth not greatly. The a It forceth seventh general council, assembled at Nice against the image-not greatly. breakers, hath not only allowed the b devout use of images com- b Devout use monly used in the churches of Christian people, but also con- of images. demned all those that throw them down, and maintain the contrary opinion. Now we are taught that a provincial council ought to give place to a general.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The painting of images in church walls was forbidden in the council holden at Eliberis, or Granado, in Spain: ergo, say you, such images were used before that council. All this may well be granted without prejudice. But ye see plainly they were forbidden in that council. One saith: Ex malis moribus bonæ leges ortæ sunt: "Of ill manners came good laws." Men used (ye say) before that time to paint images in church walls. But this use was naught

^{18 [}Apol. Lat. "suos." Harding's observations are omitted as not worth quoting.]

^{19 [}Concil. Eliber. can. 36.

[&]quot; Placuit picturas in ecclesiis esse

[&]quot;non debere, ne quod colitur aut adoratur, in parietibus depin-

[&]quot;gatur."]

And therefore the council decreed against it: and that, as it may be gathered by the words, for fear of idolatry.

But you say, "The second general Nicene council allowed well the devout use of images." And a general council ought to take place before a provincial: for that in a general council there are many bishops; in a provincial there are but few. Thus, I see, ye weigh your religion not by truth, but by company. Howbeit, this rule is very loose, and may soon deceive you.

Good Christian reader, let no man beguile thee by the colour of councils. Read this second Nicene council throughout, if thou be able. Thou wilt say, there was never any assembly of Christian bishops so vain, so peevish, so wicked, so blasphemous, so unworthy in all respects to be called a council. The blessed bishops there agreed together with one consent, that images in churches are not only to be allowed, but also devoutly and reverently to be honoured, and that with the same honour that is due to God himself.

Conc. Nicen. One of them saith: Venerandas imagines recipio, et adoro, 2. act. 2. [xii. et id perpetuo docebo: "I receive and worship the reve-1087.] rend images, and this will I teach while I live." Another

Conc. Nicen. saith: Sacras imagines perfecte adoro: qui vero secus con-1090.] fitentur, eos anathematizo: "I do perfectly adore the holy Scilicet Elias." Crete cpisc. images: and I accurse all them that hold the contrary."

Scilicet Joh. locum-tenens episc. Orientallum,

Another saith: Non sunt duæ adorationes, sed una, ipsius imaginis, et primi exemplaris, cujus est imago: [xiii, 72. d.] "There be not two kinds of adoration, but one only, due as well to the image as to the pattern of the image 20." This holy council (ye say) decreed against image-breakers: but the counsel of God decreeth against image-worshippers and image-makers.

St. Augustine saith: Sic omnino errare meruerunt, qui Aug. de Con-sensu Evang. Bensu Evang. Christum et apostolos ejus non in sanctis codicibus, sed in [iii. pt. 2, 8.] pictis parietibus quæsierunt. Nec mirum, si a pingentibus fingentes decepti sunt: "So were they worthy to be de-

^{20 [}These words are used by Johannes locum-tenens episcoporum Orientalium, as his deduction from a passage which he cites from

St. Basil: ὅθεν καὶ ὁ πατὴρ ἀπέδειξεν οὐ δύο προσκυνήσεις, ἀλλὰ μίαν είναι καὶ τῆς εἰκόνος καὶ τοῦ ἀρχετύπου οδ έστιν ή εἰκών.]

ceived, that sought Christ and his apostles not in the books of holy scripture, but in painted walls. Neither may we marvel, if feigners by painters were deceived."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 3.

[Vol. iv. p. 59.]

The old father Epiphanius saith: " It is an hor-Epiphan. in The old father Epiphanius sain: "It is an nor-Epiphanius rible wickedness, and a sin not to be suffered, for any John piscop. Hierosolym." man to set up any picture in the church of the Christ-Hieronym. ians, yea though it were the picture of Christ him-828.] self²¹." Yet these men store all their temples, and each corner of them, with painted and carved images, as though without them religion were nothing worth.

M. HARDING.

Epiphanius

To that ye pretend to allege out of Epiphanius, we say, first, that although he were of the mind you make him to be of, and said as you report of him, yet is he but one man, whose singular but one man, opinion is not to be preferred before the a judgment of all other a Untruth.

so many excellent fathers, and the determination of the whole cient fathers church. b Now indeed you misreport Epiphanius. For he saith make no not so as you write. He calleth not the having of the image of images. Christ, or of any saint in the church, an horrible wickedness, or b Untruth. a sin not to be suffered: he hath no such words.

Secondly, what if we say this place maketh nothing at all truly, as shall against the use of images, and that che speaketh never a word c Untruth. against the image of Christ or his saints in the church, but only For his against one particular image, which he found hanging at a church plain: Imadoor in a village of Palestine called Anablatha? And seeing he girem, quasi christi, aut d speaketh not generally against all images, but against such as sancti cujusthat was, which there he noteth by this special word istiusmodi dam. that was, which there he noteth by this special word istiusmodical vela, "veils of this sort:" he giveth us to understand, that he and a vain misliked some quality or circumstance of that one image, and not shift. For Epiphanius reproved the common and received custom of the church in speaketh dishaving images in due order. Now what circumstance that was, rectly against all images. it dependeth of so many particularities, which might happen e Untruth. either on the image's part, as it is most like, or on the people's for images part there inhabitant, and is so little declared by Epiphanius not common in that place, that neither we can say any thing determinately by received in the church. thereof, nor ye should bring such an obscure and uncertain matter to the disproof of a verity always so well in the church acknowledged and practised 22.....

²¹ Supra vol. iii. p. 223, note former Answer. See the Replie, 60 . Harding here refers to his art. 14. supra vol. iii. p. 222.]

3.

5.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

O how many and how pretty shifts here be devised, if any would help to serve the purpose! One of the late Lovanian cleray, for that he saw these words were clear, Copus, dial. 5. p. 692. and might not be avoided by any gloss, therefore he thought it the wisest way, first, to bring the authority and credit hereof in question, and to say, that Epiphanius never Cop. p. 698. wrote any such epistle. Next he saith: "The same epistle was never translated by St. Hierom:" but forasmuch as he saw that his folly herein was open, and easy to be controlled, thirdly he saith, that the image that Epiphanius Cop. p. 702. rent in sunder was not the image either of Christ or of any Christian saint, but the heathen image of Jupiter, or Hercules, or some other idol, he knoweth not what. Fourthly, Cop. p. 703. he saith: "The said holy father Epiphanius was an heretic 23," one of those that were called Anthropomorphitæ, whose error was, that God in his divinity had the whole shape and proportion of a man. Howbeit, this folly far passeth all the rest. For it behoved those heretics, most of all others, for defence of their error, to maintain images. And yet it seemeth a very uncivil part to condemn so reverend and so godly a father of so gross an heresy without proof: and specially such a father as hath so learnedly written against all heresies. Fifthly, he saith, even as Cop. p. 703. M. Harding here saith, " Epiphanius was but a man, and one man, and his judgment singular, and therefore the less Cop. p. 706, to be esteemed." Last of all he saith, " The same holy 707. father Epiphanius was a Jew:" and being a Christian, and a reverend father, and a Christian bishop, yet notwithstanding maintained the religion of the Jews, and therefore rent in sunder the image of Christ, in despite of Simeon Me. Christ. And for proof hereof he allegeth Simeon Metataphrastes. taphrastes.
[ap. Sur. iii. phrastes, a doctor as wise as himself.

M. Harding, for that he imagined these shifts were very

^{23 [}Or rather he insinuates that Epiphanius was an heretic in these words: "Non ignoro alios re-"spondere suspectum fuisse hæ-

[&]quot;resis Anthropomorphitarum." He does not say who the "others" were.]

unsavoury, and would hardly serve, therefore hath devised to convey himself out some other way. First he saith: we falsify this holy father, and allege his words otherwise than they be. Secondly he saith: "It was not the image of Christ that Epiphanius found painted in the veil," but some other pretty thing, he knoweth not what. Lastly he saith: "Epiphanius reproveth not generally all such veils so painted, but only that one veil that he found."

For trial hereof I refer myself to the original. The words thereof be these: Inveni ibi velum pendens in fori-Epiphanius ad Johan.

bus ejusdem ecclesiæ, tinctum atque depictum, et habens Hierosolym.

Apud Hieros.

imaginem, quasi Christi, aut sancti cujusdam.

Non enim tom. 2. [ed. Ben. tom. iv. satis memini cujus imago fuerit. Cum ergo hoc vidissem pt. 2. 828.] in ecclesia Christi, contra authoritatem scripturarum, hominis pendere imaginem, scidi illud, et magis dedi consilium custodibus ejusdem loci, ut pauperem mortuum eo obvolverent, et efferrent, &c. Quæso [l. Precor] ut jubeas presbyteros ejusdem loci præcipere, in ecclesia Christi istiusmodi vela, quæ contra religionem nostram veniunt, non appendi: decet enim honestatem tuam hanc magis habere sollicitudinem, ut scrupulositatem tollat, quæ indigna est ecclesia Christi, et populis qui tibi crediti sunt: "I found there a veil hanging at the entry of the church, stained and painted, and having the image, as it were, of Christ, or of some saint. For whose picture it was indeed I do not remember. Therefore, when I saw the image of a man to hang in the church of Christ, contrary to the commandment of the scriptures, I tare it in sunder, and gave counsel to the wardens of that church, that they should wind and bury some poor body in it, &c. I beseech you, charge the priests of that place, that they give commandment that such veils as be contrary to our religion be no more hanged up in the church of Christ. It behoveth your reverence to have care hereof that this superstition, unmeet for the church of Christ, and unmeet for the people to thee committed, be removed." Now judge you, M. Harding, wherein we have falsified this learned father's words. You say, "He speaketh not one word against the image of Christ or his saints." I beseech you then, against what

ginem, quasi Christi, vel sancti cujusdam.

Habens ima- other image speaketh he? Epiphanius saith plainly: "It had the image, as it were, of Christ, or of some saint." You say, "He found fault with that veil only, and not with any other." Once again, I beseech you, tell us what had the image of Christ, or of his saints, offended Epiphanius more than other images? If the image of Christ may not be suffered in the church of Christ, what image then may be suffered? What cause of difference can you imagine, that any other veils should be allowed rather than this? Your answer is this: "We cannot say any thing determinately thereof." Whereby it appeareth ye would fain say somewhat, if ye wist what. Yet must we be overruled by all and every such your determinations, yea although you yourself confess ve can determinately determine nothing. Notwithstanding, the ancient fathers of the church have

long sithence determinately and plainly judged against Lactant. lib. you. Lactantius saith in plain words: Non est dubium, 2. cap. 19. quin religio nulla sit, ubicunque simulachrum est: "Determinately and out of all doubt there is no religion, where-

Tertull, de Idololatria.

soever there is an image." Tertullian saith: Idolum tam [c. + p. 87.] fieri, quam coli Deus prohibet. Quanto præcedit, ut fiat quod coli possit, tanto prius est, ne fiat, si coli non licet .-

[c. 6. p. 88.] Facio, ait quidam, sed non colo: quasi ob aliquam causam colere non audeat, nisi ob quam et facere non debeat : scilicet, ob Dei offensam utrobique. Imo tu colis, qui facis ut coli possit: "God hath forbidden an image or an idol as well to be made as to be worshipped. As far as making goeth before worshipping, so far is it before, that the thing be not made that may not be worshipped. Some man will say, I make it, but I worship it not: as though he durst not to worship it for any other cause but only for the same cause for which he ought not to make it. I mean both

Aug, de Fide et Symbolo, cap. 7. [vi.

Therefore St. Augustine, speaking of the image of God the Father, saith thus 24: Tale simulachrum Deo fingere

ways for God's displeasure. Nay rather thou worshippest the image, that givest the cause for others to worship it."

^{24 [}S. August. "Tale simula-"in templo collocare, multo magis "chrum Deo nefas est Christiano" in corde, nefarium est &c."]

[leg. collocare] nefarium est: "To devise such an image for God, it is abominable."

Theodorus [leg. Theodotus] the bishop of Ancyra saith: Chatur in Conc. Nicos. Sanctorum imagines et species ex materialibus coloribus for-2 net. 6. mari, minime decorum putamus. Manifestum enim est, quod vana sit hujusmodi cogitatio, et diabolicæ deceptionis inventum: "We think it not convenient to paint the images of saints with material or earthly colours. For it is evident, that this is a vain imagination, and the procurement of the deceitfulness of the devil."

To like purpose writeth Epiphanius: Estote memores, [Citatur in dilecti filii, ne in ecclesias imagines inferatis, neque in act. 6. ton. sanctorum cœmiteriis eas statuatis. Sed perpetuo circumferte Deum in cordibus vestris. Quinetiam, neque in domo communi tolerentur. Non enim fas est, Christianum per oculos suspensum teneri, sed per occupationem mentis: "My dear children, be ye mindful, that ye bring no images into the churches, and that ye erect up none at the burials of the saints. But evermore carry God in your hearts. Nay, suffer not images to be, no not in your private houses. For it is not lawful to lead a Christian man by his eyes, but rather by the study or exercise of his mind."

For this cause Epiphanius saith, "The superstition of images is unfit for the church of Christ."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 4.

The old fathers Origen and Chrysostom exhort Origen in Levit. cap. the people to read the *scriptures*, to buy them books, ii. 240-3 to reason at home betwixt themselves of divine Chrysost, in matters: wives with their husbands, and parents with Idem in Joh. their children. These men condemn the scriptures 31. [viii. 188.] as dead elements, and, as much as ever they may, bar the people from them.

M. HARDING.

Homil. 9. in Levit. cap. 16.

[Vol. iv. p. 59-60.]

a Partly it is true, partly false, that you say. Origen exhort- a Untruth. eth all to resort to the churches in the holy days, and there to hereof is hear the words of God: and thereof afterward to think earnest-true: and no ly, and to meditate on the law of God, and to exercise their

cuse ! Many in all their

minds in it day and night, in the way, in their house, in their bed, and when they rise. This hold we withal, and be desirous b O vain ex- the b people bear away that the true and godly preachers teach of your peot them in the church, and that they think of it, and put it in daily ple never hear sermon practice of life. For else to what serveth all our preaching?

Chrysostom, Hom. 2. in Matth., speaketh against them which contemned the scriptures, and said they were no monks, but had wives, and children, and care of household. As though it pertained not to married men to read any part thereof, but to monks

only....

If in our time the people might be induced to read the holy scriptures with such minds, for such causes, to such intents and purposes only as Chrysostom requireth, God forbid we should by any means stay them therefrom. c But considering the maning's favourable opinion ner of our time, and calling to due examination the curiosity, of the people, the temerity, the unreverence, the contempt of all holy things, that now all men may espy in the people; if we think it not good they be admitted to the reading of the scriptures freely, and without any limitation, howsoever you and your fellows judge of us, we doubt not of the account we have to make of that our meaning before our Lord's dreadful seat of judgment... Now to conclude, we tell you, that you have misreported both Chrysostom and specially Origen. For howsoever they speak of the reading and meditation of the scriptures, for amendment d Manifest of life, verily in the places by you quoted d they exhort not the untruth.

Read the an. people to reason and dispute of divine matters among themselves, specially the husbands with their wives, the parents with their children, as you say they do.....

c M, Hard-

swer.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Forasmuch as ye say, "Part hereof is true, and part false," I trust ye will give us leave freely to use the truth, until ye shall find yourself better able to prove the falsehood. It seemeth not greatly to mislike you, that the people have some little liberty to read some such part of the scriptures as you may best spare them, for the ordering of their lives. Whereby it appeareth, that for quieting of their consciences in matters of religion and causes of truth, ye think it best they read nothing. (ye say) ye are able to answer before the dreadful seat of God's judgment. Touching the truth hereof, to say so much as might be said, it would require great waste of time 25. St. Augustine saith: Si desit, aut ignoretur, qua

Augustin, de Civitat, lib. 11. cap. 2. [vii. 273.]

²⁵ [See this subject treated in the Replie, Art. 15, supra vol. iii. 263.]

eundum sit, quid prodest nosse, quo eundum sit? "If ye have not, or know not what way to go, what shall it profit you to know whither to go?" St. Hierom saith: Ut ma-Hieron. ad jus est voluntatem Domini facere, quam nosse, ita prius de Virginit. est nosse, quam facere. Illud merito præcedit: hoc ordine: v. 17.] "As it is more to do the will of our Lord than to know it: so the knowledge of the same goeth before the doing. In goodness, doing goeth before: in order, knowing 26." Again St. Augustine saith:Si scripturas divinas aut Augustin de non legimus ipsi, aut legentes alios non libenter audimus, serm. 55. [v. app. p. 249.] ipsa nobis medicamenta convertuntur in vulnera: et inde habebimus judicium, unde potuimus habere remedium: "If we either read not the scriptures ourselves, or be not desirous to hear others read them, then are our medicines turned into wounds: and then where we might have had remedy, we shall have judgment 27." Such sayings are common and ordinary in St. Chrysostom. Thus he saith: Librum divinum accipiat aliquis in manum: convocatisque Chrysost in proximis, per divina eloquia riget et suam mentem, et con-6. [iv. 48.] venientium, ut sic diabolicas insidias effugere valeamus: "Let one of you take in hand the holy book: and let him call his neighbours about him: and by the heavenly words let him water and refresh both their minds and also his own." Again he saith: Poterimus et domi versantes, ante Chrysost. in et post convivium, acceptis in manus divinis libris, utilitatem 10. [iv. 81.] inde capere, et spiritualem cibum animæ præbere: "Being at home, we may, both before and after meat, take the holy books in hand, and thereof receive great profit, and minister spiritual food unto our soul." And again: Etiam Chrysost. in domi vacemus divinarum scripturarum lectioni: "Even 29. [iv. 281.] when we be at home, let us bestow our time in reading the scriptures 28."

²⁶ [This epistle to Demetrias (not to be confounded with that in vol. iv. of the Bened. edit.) is declared by Erasmus not to be genuine, though eloquent and learned. The Ben. edd. place it amongst the spurious works in vol. v.]

²⁷ [The Bened. edd. have placed this sermon in the appendix, as

having been erroneously attributed to St. Augustine. They rather assign it to Cosarius.

sign it to Cæsarius.]

28 [S. Chrysost. [iv. p. 281.]
Προσέχειν τῆ τῶν θείων γραφῶν ἀναγνώσει, μὴ μόνον ἐπειδὰν ἐνταῦθα παραγίνησθε, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἴκαδε μετὰ χεῖρας λαμβάνειν τὰ θεῖα βιβλία κ. τ. λ.]

Origen, in Esai, hom, 2. [iii. 109.]

Chrysost, in Johan, hom.

2. [viii, 16.]

Origen saith: Utinam omnes faceremus illud quod scriptum est, Scrutamini scripturas: "Would God we would all do accordingly as it is written, Search the scriptures."

But ye say: "We have misreported both Chrysostom and Origen. For they exhort not the people" (as you say) "to reason of divine matters among themselves, specially the husbands with their wives," &c. Whether of us both maketh truer report, let us be tried by Chrysostom. Thus he saith: Neque in hoc tantum consessu, sed domi quoque, vir cum uxore, pater cum filio, invicem de his frequenter Chrysost in loquantur: et ultro citroque suam et ferant et inquirant 78. [vii. 749.] sententiam: velintque hanc probatissimam inducere consuetudinem: "Hearken not hereto only here in the church, but also at home: let the husband with the wife, let the father with the child talk together of these matters, and both to and fro let them both inquire, and give their judg-

> Here have you, M. Harding, the husband communing of divine matters with his wife: and the father with his child. Therefore so unadvisedly to say, we have misreported this holy father, it was of your part a misreport. Likewise St. Hierom saith: Hic ostenditur, verbum

And would God they would begin this good

Hieron, in los, cap. 3. In itlud, Verbum Dei ha-

Hieron, in Ps. exxxiii. custom 28."

Epist. ad Co. Christi non sufficienter, sed abundanter, etiam laicos habere debere: et docere se invicem, vel monere: "Here we are bitet in volis. taught, that even the laymen ought to have the word of God, not only sufficiently, but also abundantly: and one to instruct and to warn another 29." Again he saith: So-Ps. cxxxIII. [II. pt.2. 474.] lent et viri, solent et monachi, solent et mulierculæ hoc inter se habere certamen, ut plures ediscant scripturas: "Both married men, and monks, and wives, commonly have this contention among themselves, who may learn most scriptures 30."

Theodor, de corrigen. lib. 5. [ed. Gaisford. p.

220.]

To conclude, Theodoretus saith thus: Passim videas Grue. Affect. nostra dogmata non ab iis solum teneri, &c.: "Ye may

28 [The last sentence differs from the original: καὶ τὸν καλὸν τοῦτο εἰσφέρειν ἄπαντας ἔρανον. The additional reference to Hom. 78. in Matth. is merely confirm-

atory of St. Chrysostom's opinion.] 29 [This commentary is not genuine.]

30 [This work was erroneously attributed to St. Jerome.]

commonly see that our doctrine is known, not only of them that are the doctors of the church, and the masters of the people, but also even of the tailors, and smiths, and weavers, and of all artificers: yea and further also, of women; and that not only of them that be learned, but also of labouring women, and sewsters, and servants, and handmaids. Neither only the citizens, but also the country folks do very well understand the same. Ye may find yea even the very ditchers, and delvers, and cowherds, and Trinitat, regardeners disputing of the holy Trinity and of the creation rumque on full things." Now judge you, M. Harding, whether of tione dissertantes.

The Apology, Chap. 3. Divis. 4 and 5.

[Vol. iv. p. 60.]

The ancient fathers, Cyprian, Epiphanius, and Cyprian, Epiphanius, and Hierom, say, For one who perchance hath made a row to lead a sole life, and afterward liveth unchastely, and cannot quench the flames of lust, it is foilers, there chastely, and cannot quench the flames of lust, it is foilers, there chastely, and cannot quench the flames of lust, it is foilers, there chastely a wife, and to live honestly in wed-[iv, pi.2, 796.] lock. And the old father Augustine judgeth the Augustin de Bono Viduit. Self-same marriage to be good and perfect, and that cap. 1.0. [vi.375.] it ought not to be broken again. These men, if a man have once bound himself by a vow, though afterward he burn, keep queans, and defile himself with never so sinful and desperate a life, yet they suffer not that person to marry a wife: or if he chance to marry, they allow it not for marriage. And they commonly teach, It is much better and more godly to keep a concubine, or an harlot, than to live in that kind of marriage......

The old father St. Augustine complained of the Augustin. ad multitude of vain *ceremonies* wherewith he even 118. [ii. 142.] then saw men's minds and consciences overcharged: these men, as though God regarded nothing else but their ceremonies, have so out of measure increased

them, that there is now almost none other thing left in their churches and places of prayer.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

All that M. Harding hath here to say hath been both part, chap. 8. All that M. Harding hath here to say hath been both divis. 1. [su-alleged and also fully answered before in a place more prayol. iv. 343.] And 2d convenient.

divis. r. [su-pra vol. v. 214.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 5.

Again, that old father St. Augustine denieth it to [August, de Opere Monach, tom, vi. be lawful for a monk to spend his time slothfully in 484.} idleness, and, under a pretenced and counterfeit holiness, to live all upon others. And whoso thus [Socrat. tom. liveth, the old father Apollonius likeneth him to a thief³¹. These men have, (I wot not whether to name them droves or herds of monks,) who for all that they do nothing, nor yet once intend to bear any show of holiness, yet live they not only upon

M. HARDING.

others, but also riot lavishly of other folks' labours.

a But St. Augustine calleth it worse than idleness.

ficient.

a We do not maintain that a monk should live idly. But we reprove you for accounting the service of God idleness. Neither is that the thing only which ye can allege in defence of that your brethren have done to monasteries in the countries where your gospel proceedeth. For ye have removed not only such monks as were proved idle, but all monks generally that would serve b As though God according to that vow which they made under the b approved Christ's rule Christ's rule were lost, or rule of St. Benedict, St. Augustine, St. Francis, St. Dominic, were not suf- or of any other. You say, we have droves and herds of monks, thereby signifying they are beasts rather than men. Whereas St. Augustine calleth them servos Dei, "the servants of God," in that very work which you allege

Sith that our monks (I mean all religious men) served the De Opere altar, and were appointed to preach, minister the sacraments, Monach.cap. and bestow their time in prayer for their own infirmities, and for

^{31 [}Bishop Jewel probably refers to Socrates, who attributes this saying to a "certain monk."

The Editor has been unable to discover upon what grounds Apollonius is named here.]

the sins of the people; c by the doctrine of St. Augustine they c Untruth, are not bound to labour, as they, who, for sowing spiritual things plain contrary to St. to the behoof of others, may reap their temporal things to their Augustine. own necessary sustenance.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Monks" (ye say) "be God's servants: and monks' life is the service of God." And herein ye use such earnest talk, as though, if the whole generation of monks were removed. God should sit without service. Indeed St. Augustine, shewing us what good service the monks of his time did unto God, saith thus: Isti non Deo serviunt, Augustin de sed suo ventri: "These monks serve not God, they serve nach.cap.12. their bellies." Again he saith: Jactantia eo est periculo-Aug. de Sersior, quo sub nomine servitutis Dei decipit: "Hypocrisy in Monte. or vaunting of holiness is the more dangerous, for that it [iii.pt.2.216.] deceiveth us under the name of God's service." Again he saith: Fallit dolosa imagine sanctitatis: "It deceiveth us Eodem loco. by the deceitful countenance or image of holiness. Again, touching these monks, he saith: Non apparet, utrum ex August. de proposito servitutis Dei venerint, an vitam inopem, et labo-nach.cap.'22. riosam fugientes, vacui pasci, et vestiri voluerint: "We [vi. 492.] cannot tell, whether they became monks for purpose to serve God, or else being weary of their poor and painful life, were rather desirous to be fed and clothed doing nothing." And therefore he calleth the almose that they get, Sumptus lucrosæ egestatis: et simulatæ pretium sancti-August de get, Sumptus lucrosæ egestatis: et simulatæ pretium sancti-Augustiate de tatis: "The charges of gainful poverty; and the price of nach cap. 28. [ib. 498.] feigned holiness." Again he saith: Venalem circumferunt August. de Opere Mohypocrisim: "They carry their hypocrisy about to sale." St. Hilary, speaking of the same kind of holy people, [ib. 501.]

saith thus: Convivia sub obtentu religionis sumptuosa se-Hilar. in Ps. ctantur. Apothecas suas inutili religiosorum obsequio Hi. [p. 89.] defendunt [leg. distendunt] de quibus scriptum est, Comedentes domos viduarum. Etiamsi Dominum se credant invocare, tamen audient, quod est in Evangelio [al. dictum in Evangelio esse], Scimus quia peccatores Deus non audit: "Under the colour of holiness, they seek for dainty and costly fare: they maintain their storehouses by the unpro-

fitable service of religious people: of whom it is written, They devour up poor widows' houses. Although they think they serve God, yet the same answer shall be made them John ix, 31. that is written in the Gospel, 'We know that God giveth

Luke xviii. Matt. xix.

no ear to sinners." Upon these words of the Gospel, " Sell all that thou hast, and give it to the poor, and come and follow me," your very ordinary Gloss saith thus: Bene operando, non mendicando: "Follow me in well doing, not in begging 32."

mis Excitat. lib. 7. [leg. lib. 6.] Moneta. [p. 548.]

And lest ye should think we speak only of old foreign faults, and that all such things sithence those days have been reformed, Nicolaus Cusanus, a cardinal of Rome, Nicol Cusa. one of your new doctors, saith: Vix fallacia illorum, qui sub habitu Christi apparent, potest sciri ob suam varietatem. Nam alius quidem sub hac veste,.....alius sub capitio, alius sub hoc religionis signo, alius sub alio, Christo se militare asserit: licet pene omnes, non quæ Christi, sed quæ sua Omnes enim student avaritiæ a maximo sunt, quærant. usque ad minimum. Et in his omnibus una doctrina comperit corum fallaciam: scilicet, Ex fructibus corum cognoscetis eos: "The deceitfulness of them that shew themselves under the apparel of Christ, because of their variety, can hardly be known. For one of them saith, he serveth Christ under one weed, and another under another: one under a hood, another under one badge of religion, another under another. Notwithstanding they all for the most part seek their own, and not the things that pertain to Christ Jesus. For they are all given to covetousness from the most to the least. And vet in all these diversities, by this mark or doctrine ye may descry their falsehood, 'By their works ve shall know them."

We grant, the service of God may not rightly be called idleness. But what if St. Bernard say of your monks, Servi Christi, serviunt Antichristo: "They pretend Christ's service, and serve Antichrist?" For where did God ever require you to do him such service? St. Hierom saith of them: In statu servili et abjectionis esse abhorrent.

Bernard, in Cantica Cantic. [serm. 66. tom. iv. Hieron, ad Eustochuum

^{32 [}The Editor has not found this note in the Glossa Ordinaria.]

rare recusant præ pigritia: mendicare erubescunt validi:
quia nihil daretur eis: "They are loath to be abjects and
in servile state. For idleness they will not labour: and
to beg they are ashamed. For being valiant and lusty
people, no man would give them any thing 33." Likewise
saith St. Augustine: Tanquam conservatricem evangelii August. de
Opere Moprædicant pigritiem: "They speak much of their idle-luch.cap. 21.
ness, as if it were the keep and castle of the gospel." vi. 493.]
Again he saith: Contingit eis, quod in viduis junioribus Aug. eodem
indisciplinatis cavendum apostolus dicit: Simul et otiosæ
esse discunt: non solum autem otiosæ, sed et curiosæ, et
verbosæ, loquentes quæ non oportet: "The same thing happeneth unto them, that St. Paul speaketh of young widows
living out of order: They learn to be idle: and not only
idle, but also curious, and full of words, speaking such
things as are not meet." Thus ye see, M. Harding, that
this pretence and colour of God's service hath oftentimes
of the ancient fathers been called idleness.

Ye say, "Monks now serve the altar and minister sacraments: and therefore are not bound to bodily labour." This is a fair colour to shadow their idleness. For who ever bade monks to serve the altar, or gave them authority to minister sacraments? What doctor? what father? what ancient council? In old times it was not lawful for a monk to be a priest St. Gregory saith: Nemo potest ecclesiasti-16. Quaest. 1. cis officiis deservire, et in monastica regula ordinate persistere: "No man can serve the ecclesiastical office, and orderly keep the rule of monkery." And St. Hierom saith: Monachus, non docentis [al. doctoris], sed plangentis 16. Queest. 1. habet officium: "A monk's office is not to preach but to mourn." Again he saith: Alia causa est monachi, alia 16. Quæst. 1. clerici: clerici oves pascunt: ego pascor!" The state of a Alia causa. monk is one thing, and the state of a priest is another. Priests feed the flock: I" (being a monk) " must be fed." Whereupon the Gloss saith: Ego pascor sacramentis ipso-Glossa. rum: "I am fed with the sacraments of the priests." Whereby it is evident, that the monk himself had no authority to minister sacraments, no not so much as privately

 $^{^{33}}$ [There is some mistake in the marginal reference.]

to himself. But touching bodily labour, St. Hierom saith,
Hieron, ad "This was holden as a law among the monks in Egypt,
[iv.pt.2.774.] that whose would not labour should not eat 32."

And St. Augustine saith, as it is alleged in his name:

Nihil Dei servis pejus est otiositate. Operentur ergo in
Fratres in Eremo.serm. nomine Domini: "Unto the servants of God there is

1. [vi. app. 305.]

nothing worse than idleness. Let them work therefore in the name of our Lord 33."

August de Opere Monach. cap. 23. otiosas, et repositoria plena habere volunt: "These monks will have idle hands and full cellars." A learned father

Hist. Tripart. was wont to say by the report of Socrates: "A monk that lib. 8. cap. 1. laboureth not with his hands may be resembled unto a Bernard. in thief ³⁴." St. Bernard saith: Restat ut sint in laboribus Ps. laxii.

thief ³⁴." St. Bernard saith: Restat ut sint in laboribus dæmonum, qui in laboribus hominum non fuerunt: "They must needs be in the travails of devils that were not in the travails of men."

Angust. de Opere Mon. cap. 28. [vi. 498.]

Of these St. Augustine saith: Diabolus tam multos hypocritas sub habitu monachorum usquequaque dispersit: "Such a number of hypocrites hath the devil scattered abroad everywhere under the colour of monks."

The first suppressors of monasteries within this realm, in our memory, were two of your dearest friends, cardinal Wolsey, and Dr. Fisher, the bishop of Rochester: either of them well warranted thereto by the authority of the pope. Long before that time, the godly learned bishop Letoius overthrew and burnt the Messalians' monasteries,

32 [S. Hieron. ad Rusticum: "Texantur et lina capiendis pisci"bus; scribantur libri, ut et ma"nus operetur cibum et animus
"lectione saturetur. In deside"riis est omnis otiosus. Ægy"ptiorum monasteria hunc mo"rem tenent, ut nullum absque
"operis labore suscipiant, non
"tam proper victus necessitatem,
"quam propter animæ salutem."
Id. in vita Hilarionis: "Simulque
"fiscellos junco texens æmula"batur Ægyptiorum monacho"rum disciplinam, et apostoli
"sententiam dicentis, Qui autem

"non operatur non manducet."]
33 [This work is, as Jewel intimates, spurious.]

34 [The Historia Tripart., referred to by Jewel, translates the passage thus: "Alter quidam di"cebat, Monachus nisi operatus "fuerit, violento judicatur æqua"lis." The original in Socrates (Reading, tom. ii. p. 238.) bears out bishop Jewel: ἄλλος δὲ τις ελεγεν, ὅτι ὁ μουαχὸς εἰ μὴ ἐργάξοιτο, ἐπίσης τῷ πλεονέκτη κρίνεται. This saying is attributed, supra vol. vi. p. 38, to Apollonius.]

and said they were *dens* of thieves: and, as Theodoretus Theod. lib. reporteth, chased the wolves away from the fold.

Of the proper analysis of the condinate of Rome amongst of the condinate of the condinate

Of late years sundry of the cardinals of Rome, amongst σπήλαια whom also was cardinal Pole, being specially appointed Τοὺς λόπους in commission by pope Paulus III. to view the disorders κτῆς ποίταια deformities of the church, returned their answer in λασε. this sort: Alius abusus corrigendus est in ordinibus reli-Concil. [ed. giosorum: quod adeo multi deformati sunt, ut magno sint 3. Con. Delectorum scandalo sæcularibus, exemploque plurimum noceant. Con-Cardinal p. ventuales ordines abolendos esse putamus omnes: "Another abuse there is to be reformed in the orders of monks and friars. For many of them are so vile, that they are a shame unto the seculars, and with their example do much ill. As for conventual orders, we think it good they be all abolished."

This, M. Harding, was the judgment of your own friends. And therefore ye have the less cause to be offended with the suppressing of abbies. For your own dear catholic fathers cardinal Wolsey, cardinal Pole, Dr. Fisher, and others, partly have suppressed them themselves, and partly have consented unto the same. In the book called Opus Tripartitum, joined unto the council of Lateran, it is written thus: Totus fere mundus obloquitur, concil. [ed. Crabb.] four et scandalizatur de tanta multitudine religiosorum paupe-ii, p. 1000, Operis Trirum, qui introierunt in mundum: "Wellnear the whole part. ilb. 3. world crieth against, and is offended for so great a multitude of begging monks and friars that are entered into the world."

Therefore was this decree published in the council of Lateran: Ne nimia religiosorum diversitas gravem in ec-Conc. Lat. sub Innoc. clesiam Dei offensionem inducat, firmiter prohibemus, ne III. cap. 13. [Crabb. tom. guis de cætero novam religionem inveniat: "Lest over-great ii. p. 952.] diversity of religious folk bring great offence into the church of God, we do earnestly forbid, that from henceforth no man devise any new religion." Thus it appeareth, your pope himself and his cardinals were so wearied and accloyed with multitudes of monks, that they would have them increase no further. Damasus, speaking of the order Dist. 68. of them that were called chorepiscopi, saith thus: Unde pi.

iste tertius ordo processerit, ignoramus: et quod ratione caret, extirpare necesse est: "From whence this third order is come, we cannot tell: and the thing that wanteth reason must needs be taken up by the roots,"

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 6.

Concil, Rom. cap. 3. [xix. 907. d.]

The old council of Rome decreed, that no man [Vol. iv. p. should come to the service said by a priest well known to keep a concubine. These men let concubines to farm to their priests, and yet constrain men by force against their will to hear their cursed paltry service³⁴.

M. HARDING.

a Untruth.

a We find no such canon 35 in the old Roman councils. Your For it is easy allegations noted in the margin be false for the most part, as your doctrine is. Yet find we that Nicolaus and Alexander popes have willed no man to hear the mass of that priest, whom he Dist. 25. cap. knoweth undoubtedly to keep a concubine. bBut wise men in the law think only that to be an undoubted knowledge, when either the judge hath by open sentence published such a man to keep a concubine, or the fact itself is notorious.

b Such wise men he the proctors of filthiness.

c Untruth, notorious priest that keepeth a concubine, and if she d Untruth. swar. e Untruth.

For pope Hildebrand saith : Benedictio corum vertitur in matedictio-

Concil, Rom. sub Nicolao 2. Conc. [Mansi xix. 907. d.]

cWhereas you say we let concubines out to farm to our notorious and manifest priests, it is meet for you to say it, because it is false and slanto the world, derous. dNeither was ever any man or at this day is driven to of Argentine hear his mass who keepeth a concubine. For if he will take hath a florence by the upon him to prove any priest to keep a concubine, himself not year of every being so infamous, as he may not stand in judgment, it is certain he shall be heard. If he cannot prove it, then is not he out of doubt by order of law, that this priest keepeth a concubine, and bear a child, therefore he is bound, as other Christian people be, to hear his he hath tour mass. eWhich is no sacrilege, as your sacrilegious heart think-Read the an-eth, and blasphemous tongue uttereth, but the blessed and holy sacrifice which Christ made at his last supper.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

If it had pleased you better to have perused your books, matericing.

10 ist. ye might soon have found these selfsame words in the council of Rome, holden there under pope Nicolas the second [first, ed. 1609]. Which, although it be not so old as may be tom, 2, p. 765 compared with the ancient fathers' councils, yet it is elder

34 [Apol. Lat. "illorum sacrilegia."]

³⁵ In the original Apol. Lat. the reference was to the 8th canon.]

than some parts and branches of your new religion. To like purpose writeth pope Zachary: Quis sapiens judicabit, Zacharias eos esse sacerdotes, qui nec a fornicationibus abstinent? ad Bonif. (Crabb. tom. (Crabb. tom. stain not so much as from fornication?" If no wise man can judge them to be priests, what man then is he, that will authorize them to minister sacraments?

Now of the other side, M. Harding, consider you the common and ordinary practice of your church of Rome. First, touching the pope himself, your Gloss saith: Facta Dist. 40. Non nos. In papæ excusantur, ut adulterium Jacob: "The pope's Glossa. doings (or advouteries) are excused as the advoutery of Jacob." And again: Communiter dicitur, quod pro sim-Dist. 81. Maximian. plici fornicatione quis deponi non debet: cum pauci sine in Gloss. illo vitio inveniantur: "It is commonly said, that a man may not be deposed or deprived for simple fornication; forasmuch as few (priests) be found without that fault."

Again, whereas the words of the decree are these: Nul-Dist. 32. Nullus audiat missam presbyteri, quem scit concubinam indubitanter habere: "Let no man hear the mass of that priest, whom he undoubtedly knoweth to keep a concubine;" the Gloss upon the same saith thus: Hic canon quandoque fuit [Ib. in Gloslatæ sententiæ: sed hodie non est: "This decree in old times stood as a ruled case: but now is it not so." Ideo licet notoria sit fornicatio, tamen non est propter eam abstinendum ab officiis presbyterorum: "And therefore although the fornication be notoriously known, yet may we not therefore refrain from the service of the priest."

Hereunto very well agreeth the gloss upon Otho's Legan-De Concubinis Clericor.

tines: Quid ergo, si sacerdos inveniatur coire cum muliere? removendis.

Respondeo: adhuc dicitur occultum: nec propter hoc debet flicet ad proeum vitare in publico, nisi aliter convincatur: "What if a
man find the priest in the manner with a woman? I
answer: All that notwithstanding, the fault is privy. Neither may a man therefore avoid that priest's service, unless
he be otherwise convicted."

And again in the same gloss upon these words, Qui Glossa in publice detinet concubinas, it is noted thus: Tu dic Publice, lum. [p.43.1.] quando multitudini se patere non expavet. Secus ergo, si

qui,

secrete intra domum propriam, vel alienam detineat hanc concubinam. Nam tunc poenam hujus constitutionis non incurret. Domus enim rem secretam, non autem publicam denotat: "By this word openly, understand thou, If he be not afraid lest the world espy him. Therefore it is otherwise if he keep the same concubine secretly, whether it be in his own house or in some other man's. For then he is not within the danger of this law. For a house betokeneth a thing to be secret, and not open."

By this favourable and gentle construction, unless the priest keep his woman openly in the market-place, he is without all danger of laws and canons, and we may not refrain to hear his service. "It is no sacrilege," (you say) "but the blessed and holy

Aug. de Civit. St. Augustine: Quæ sunt sacrilegia, si illa erant sacra?

[vii. 34.] Aut ouæ inquinatio si illa i sacrifice." Hereto I may answer you with the words of if this be a sacrifice? or what is staining, if this be washing?" Verily your own pope Hildebrand hereof writeth thus: Imperamus vobis, ne eorum officia, orationes, et cultus Dist. 81. Si audiatis. Quia benedictio eorum vertitur in maledictionem, et oratio in peccatum: testante Domino per prophetam, Maledicam benedictionibus vestris. Qui vero huic saluberrimo præcepto obedire noluerint, idololatriæ pænam incurrent: "We command you, that you hear neither their offices, nor their prayers, nor their service. For their blessing is turned into cursing, and their prayer into sin. As the Lord himself witnesseth by the prophet: 'I will curse your blessings, saith the Lord.' And whosoever will not obey this wholesome commandment, he shall fall into the pain of idolatry." Judge ye now, M. Harding, whether this be sacrilege, as we say, or as you say, a "blessed and a holy sacrifice." If it be a sacrifice, if it be blessed, if it be holy, why should he be accursed as an idolater, that will come unto it?

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 7.

The old canons of the apostles command that [Vol. iv. p. [Apostol. Canon. 80, et 7.] bishop to be removed from his office, which will

supply the place both of a civil magistrate, and also of an ecclesiastical person. These men, for all that, both do and will needs serve both places. Nay rather, the one office which they ought chiefly to execute, they once touch not: and yet nobody commandeth them to be displaced.

M. HARDING.

35.... If we ought to stick at any one certain worldly business, a which may seem unseemly for a bishop or a priest, as too base a Untruth, for his dignity, and too much hinderance to his vocation, un-vain above measure. For doubtedly marriage is of that sort. Whom may we better credit it was not for this case than St. Paul? b" He that is without a wife" (saith Christ's apohe) "is careful for the things that be of our Lord, how he may stles to be may married. please God; but he that is coupled with a wife is careful about b St. Paul

But with our fleshly ministers, this business is not fleshly and of priests, worldly at all, but altogether spiritual, because their spirit is severy private wholly occupied therein.

Speaketh thus, not only of priests, but also of wery private wholly occupied therein. wholly occupied therein.

man.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Christ thought so little hinderance to be in marriage towards the preaching of the gospel, that of his twelve apostles he chose eleven that were married. So St. Ambrose saith, as it is alleged before: Apostoli omnes, exceptis Amb. 2 Cor. xi. [ii. app. Johanne et Paulo, uxores habuerunt: "All the apostles p. 198.] had wives, saving only St. John and St. Paul 36."

But ye say, with our fleshly ministers, this business is not fleshly, but altogether spiritual; because their spirit is wholly occupied therein. It pitieth me, M. Harding, to see you so vainly to bestow your spiritual cogitations. Matrimony is God's ordinance. God never ordained man to live in villainy and filthiness. St. Paul saith: "Matri-Heb. xlii. 4. mony is honourable in all men," (as well in priests as in others,) "and the bed undefiled: but advouterers and fornicators the Lord himself will judge." And again, writing unto the married people of Rome, he saith: Vos non estis Rom. viii. 9. in carne, sed in Spiritu: "You are not in the flesh, but in the Spirit." They were married, and lived in the laws of

35 [Harding attempts to explain 36 [These commentaries are not the Canons of the Apostles.] genuine. See vol. iv. p. 554.]

marriage: yet St. Paul saith, "They were not in the flesh, but in the Spirit."

St. Augustine saith: Sancta sunt etiam corpora conjuga-

Aug. de Bono conjugal.

[iv. 644.]

Chrys. ad Hebræ, hom.

57.

Origen, in epist, ad Rom, c. 12.

c.11. [vi.327.] torum, fidem sibi, et Domino servantium: "The bodies of married people, keeping faith both to themselves and to the Lord, are clean and holy." Origen saith: Non solum virgines, aut continentes, offerunt corpora sua hostiam sanctam: "Not only virgins or others that live in single life," (but also married folks) "offer up their bodies a holy sacrifice 37." Chrysostom saith: Sanctificationem Paulus vocat pudicitiam et temperantiam conjugalem: "The honest chastity and temperance that is between man and wife, St. Paul calleth holiness 38." And again: Carent culpa nuptiæ, nec a virtute quicquam prohibent: "Marriage is void of fault; and is no hinderance unto virtue."

> Hereof we have spoken before more at large. But touching the chaste life of your spiritual clergy, M. Harding, as sundry your own friends have recorded, and as the whole world is well able to judge, it is nothing else but a spiritual filthiness.

> > THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 8.

[Can. 4. Mans. ii. p. 1101.]

The old council of Gangra commandeth, that none [Vol. iv. p. should make such difference between an unmarried priest and a married priest, as to think the one more holy than the other for single life's sake³⁹. These men put such a difference between them, that they straightway think all their holy service to be defiled, if it be done by a good and honest man that hath a wife.

M. HARDING.

It was not for your purpose, sirs, to use true dealing, and to allege the words as they are in that old council. For they speak

37 [This is rather a paraphrase, than an accurate citation of Origen's words.]

38 [There is some mistake in this reference, since there are only thirty-four homilies on the Epistle to the Hebrews.]

39 [Concil. Gangr. can. 4. El Tis διακρίνοιτο παρά πρεσβυτέρου γεγαμηκότος, ως μη χρηναι λειτουργή-σαντος αύτοῦ προσφοράς μεταλαμβάνειν, ἀνάθεμα ἔστω.]

of an aexternal sacrifice bwhich the church calleth the mass, a Untruth, The same it behoved you to dissemble, lest ye bewrayed your fond and peevish. For cause. The words be these: "If any man make a difference of a this word expriest who hath been married, as though when he sacrificeth a ternal is not a once named man might not communicate with his oblation, be he accursed." in all that council. Those fathers speak evidently of a priest who hath some time by The count. been married, that is to say, before his priesthood. °For after cll of Gangra priesthood it was never heard sithence the time of Christ, that named the any priest might marry by the law either of the Greek or of the mass.

C Untruth, so
c Untruth, so
c Untruth, so
c Untruth, so
c Untruth, so which be made after the taking of holy orders, and say, that he marvel M. Harding can is no good and honest man, but an incestuous advouterer, that report it marrieth afterward. 40

Can. 4.

blushing.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

To answer all your trifles, M. Harding, it were too long. We refuse not the names of oblation or sacrifice. We know that the holy ministration is commonly so called by the ancient fathers: for that, as Chrysostom saith, it is the chrys, ad memory, the remembrance, the sampler, the token of that 17. (xii. 108.1) one sacrifice, that Christ once offered in his body upon the cium exemcross. Howbeit, the reason hereof, that ye would seem to Magis recorwrest out of the Greek word λειτουργήσαντος αὐτοῦ, is crificii opevery simple, and bewraveth in you, either want of skill, or great corruption. For being learned in the Greek tongue, ye must needs know that λειτουργία signifieth not a sacrifice, but a ministry or public service. Plutarchus saith thus: Lictores, quasi litores dicebantur, quod essent Plutarchus λειτουργοί. Ληϊτὸν γὰρ τὸν λαὸν, ἢ τὸν δῆμον ἔτι καὶ νῦν οί [tom.i. p.34.] ξλληνες ὀνομάζουσι. Hereby it appeareth that the common Alexandro. hangman of the city was called λειτουργός, and his office [lib. 1. c. 27.] λειτουργία: which, I trow, M. Harding, ye would not have to be called a sacrificer, and a sacrifice. Pachymeres, in Pachymeres:

his Annotations upon Dionysius, saith thus 41: Λειτουργούς λέγει τους

40 [Harding adds that "no mention is made of the participle of the preterperfect tense γεγαμηκό-τος, which speaketh of him that hath married before his priesthood. Fourthly," he proceeds, "it is pretended as though the canon said that a priest married is as holy as a priest unmarried. There is no such thing there: but only that the mass of the one is as good

as the mass of the other. Last of all, the argument of that council was against Eustochius the heretic, who condemned marriage itself, as though a married man might not be communicated with-

41 The substance of this assertion will be found in the commentary on cap. 5. part 1. sect. 6. of the Hierarch. Ecclesiast.] διακόνους ύποδιακό-

appellat diaconos, aut eos, qui nunc hypodiaconi appelκαι τους νῦν lantur: "He calleth them λειτουργούς, that are called vous heyo- deacons or subdeacons." St. Paul, speaking of kings and μενους. Rom. xiii, 6, civil princes, saith: Λειτουργοί Θεοῦ εἰσί: λειτουργοί, aut ministri Dei sunt: I reckon ve will not say that either deacons or subdeacons, or kings, or princes, had authority to minister the holy communion, or, as you say, "to offer

Act, xiii, 2.

[ix. 216.]

up the daily sacrifice." Whereas St. Luke saith, λειτουργούντων αὐτῶν, ministrantibus illis: Chrysostom demandeth this question: Quid est ministrantibus, λειτουργούντων? He Chrys. in Act. hom. 27. answereth, Prædicantibus 42. Whereby it appeareth that the apostles' sacrificing was their preaching. It had been overmuch vanity to note these things, had not your vain quarrel given the occasion. Certainly there is no mention in the said council of Gangra, either of your mass, or of your external sacrifice.

"After priesthood" (ye say) "it was never heard sithence the time of Christ, that any priest might marry by the law either of the Greek or of the Latin church." This warrant

were undoubtedly good, if every your word were a gospel. But what if your own Gloss, that is to say, the very ground and mother of your divinity, stand against you, and say, Ye warrant unwisely, or, if that mislike you, unadvisedly, ye know not what? Verily, upon the pope's

Dist. 31. Ali- own decrees ye shall find it noted thus: Multi ex hac litera ter: in Glossa. dixerunt, quod orientales possunt contrahere in sacris ordinibus: "Of these words many have gathered, that the priests of the east church may marry, being within holy orders." Nicephorus saith that Eupsychius, being a priest, and the bishop of Casarea in Cappadocia, married a wife a little before that he was martyred. The like he seemeth

Nicephor. lib. 10. c. 10.

Nicephor. lib. 9. c. 17. [i. 719.]

> Here M. Harding will answer us boldly, as he hath done before 43, that this Eupsychius was neither bishop nor priest, "but only a gentleman of noble parentage:" and

> to write of Apollinaris the elder, that being a priest, mar-

ried a wife at Laodicea.

^{42 [}S. Chrysost. τί ἐστι, λειτουρ- where the question of Eupsychius γούντων; κηρυττόντων.] is discussed at length. Also vol. 43 [Supra vol. iv. pp. 584—588; iv. p. 108.]

for proof thereof he will allege Sozomenus and Nicephorus. But let him read the second council of Nice: there shall conc. Nicen. he find that the same Eupsychius is called Eupsychius [xiii. 317.] presbyter, "Eupsychius the priest44:" let him read the ancient learned father Athanasius; there shall he find that Athana. conthe same Eupsychius is called episcopus Cappodociæ, "the Orat. 1. [i. bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia." Thus shall M. Harding find that Eupsychius was both a priest, and a bishop too. And I speak of the same Eupsychius the martur, and of none other. Therefore, good reader, it may please thee to tell M. Harding, he deserveth small credit in his answer, that will adventure to answer before he know.

In the council holden at Ancyra, there is a canon written thus: Diaconi, quicunque ordinantur, si in ipsa ordi-Concil. Ancynatione protestati sunt, et dixerunt, velle se conjugio copulari, [ii. 517.] quia sic manere non possunt, hi, si postmodum uxores duxerint, in ministerio maneant, propterea quod eis episcopus licentiam dederit: "Deacons that receive orders, if at the time of their admission they make protestation, and say they will be married, for that they cannot otherwise continue. if they afterward marry, let them remain in the ministry, for that the bishop hath already dispensed with them 45.

Chrysostom, speaking of the marriage of bishops, saith Chrys. In thus: Quanvis nuptiæ plurimum difficultatis in se Tim. hom.to. led. Lat. habeant, ita tamen assumi possunt, ut perfectiori vitæ im-1588. tom. iv. pedimento non sint, verum id plane perquam raro atque difficile 46: "Notwithstanding marriage have in it much trouble, yet so it may be taken, that it shall be no hinderance to perfect life. But certainly that is a seldom thing and very hard 47." He saith marriage may be taken or chosen: and thus he speaketh namely of the marriage of priests and bishops.

Addition. Whereas M. Jewel beareth thee in M. Harding Addition. hand, that Chrysostom saith, Marriage may be taken or

47 [See note 77, vol. iv. p. 596.]

^{44 [}ἀκούσωμεν...τί φησιν (Athanasius) έν τη δογματική αὐτοῦ έπιπασιας) εν τη συγματική αυτου επι-στολή τή πρὸς Εὐψύχιον πρεσβύ-τερον Καισαρείας.]

45 [Supra vol. iv. p. 582.]

46 [In the first ed. of the De-

fence the words "verum id----difficile" were omitted; but they were added in the ed. of 1570, in consequence of Harding's observa-tions in his "Detection."]

M. Harding, fol. 305. b.

1 Timoth,

1355.]

cap. 3. hom.

riage he speaketh,' saith this man,—all this is false. For, first, understand thou, this sentence is not in Chrysostom at all: not in the Greek, I say, in which tongue only he wrote. For I have seen the Greek, and diligently conferred it myself. But it is added unto his text, either by the translation, or by falsehood used at the printing, as in these corrupt times false printers have corrupted many books of the old fathers. Again, these words are not spoken specially of priests' marriage, but generally and indefinitely of all marriage." The answer. I say, "Chrysostom speaketh these words namely of the marriage of priests and bishops." M. Harding answereth me gently, as his manner is, "All this is false." Gentle reader, I beseech thee, if thou be able, consider the whole place of Chrysostom, and weigh well the causes of his writing: so shalt thou be able indifferently to judge, whether M. Chrysost. in Harding or I have dealt untruly. First of all, Chrysostom expoundeth these words of St. Paul, "A bishop must be et ed. Lat. iv. the husband of one wife." This is the ground of the rest that followeth. And speaking hereof unto the people, he saith thus: Cur non ait Paulus, Oportet episcopum angelum esse, &c. "Why did not St. Paul say, A bishop must be an angel, subject to no infirmity, or affection, or vice of man? &c. Why did he not say, A bishop must go out of the world, and be above all the cares of this life?" &c. He answereth, "Lest the ministry of the church should be forsaken, St. Paul required not in a bishop that virtue (of chastity) that is so high and so heavenly, but another virtue that is mean and reasonable, lest the church should be left without bishops 47."

To be short, in that very same place, Chrysostom above four-and-twenty times hath the express names of priest

^{47 [}S. Chrysost, Τίνος οὖν ἔνεκεν ταῦτα οὐκ εἴρηκεν; ὅτι τοιούτους ολίγους ευρεθήναι ενήν, επισκόπων δὲ ἔδει πολλών, καὶ καθ' έκάστην πόλιν τῶν προηγησομένων. Ἐπεὶ οὖν ἐνεδρεύεσθαι ἔμελλε τὰ τῶν ἐκκλησιών, διὰ τοῦτο συμμεμετρημέ-

νην είπεν άρετην, ούκ εκείνην την άνω, την ύψηλήν το γάρ νηφάλιον είναι καὶ κόσμιον καὶ σώφρονα, πολ- $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$. The word $\hat{d} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ here clearly applies not to chastity alone, but to the whole Christian character of a bishop.

and bishop. And yet must we believe M. Harding only upon his word, that Chrysostom speaketh these things only of the marriage of all men in general, and not one word specially of the marriage of priests. Now forasmuch as M. Harding telleth us all this is false, judge thou, good reader, between us both, in whether of us is the falsehood. And as thou findest him herein, so think of the rest of all his dealings.

"These words are not in the Greek: I have conferred M. Harding, it: it is falsehood used at the printing: false printers have fol. 305. b. corrupted many books." The answer. What printers, M. Harding? What were their names? Where dwelt they? What did they? Will you pronounce your sentence of condemnation against them all, not knowing, neither against whom, nor against how many, nor wherefore? You say, ye find not these words in the Greek: but what if your Greek books be corrupted? as indeed they are in sundry places. Certainly all the Latin translations that I have seen, either printed or written, or old or new, have this whole place even word by word, as I have alleged it 48. But because you think the Greek is best able to direct you, I will find you also the like sentence in Chrysostom in the Greek. These be his words: Matrimonium non Chrys, in Gesolum nihil obstat ad philosophandum Deo, si voluerimus [iv. 186.] esse sobrii, sed etiam magnam adfert consolationem: "Marriage not only hindereth us nothing from the service of God, if we will be sober, but also bringeth us great comfort." These words both bear the same sense 49, and also are found in the Greek. You may confer them at your pleasure.

You say, although it be thus written, "Marriage may

48 [The Latin editions of 1530 (Basil.) and of 1588 (Paris.) have the passage as bp. Jewel quotes it. In the Greek there is nothing to correspond with the quotation except the short sentence quoted below, p.52: ὥσπερ γὰρ ὁ πλοῦτος δυσχερῶς εἰσάγει εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν τῶν οὐρανῶν, πολλαχοῦ δὲ οἱ πλουτοῦντες εἰσῆλθον, οὕτω καὶ ὁ γάμος.]

⁴⁹ [This is hardly correct; inasmuch as the passage from St. Chrysostom's Commentary on Genesis does not appear to refer specially to the marriage of the clergy. The translation also of the words "ad philosophandum Deo," πρὸς τὴν κατὰ Θεὸν φιλοσοφίαν, by "the service of God," is loose and inaccurate.]

Matth. xiii.

Cajetan, in

2.]

22.

so be taken, that it shall be no let unto perfect life," yet it followeth in the same sentence, "but certainly that is a thing very seldom, and of great difficulty." All this, M. Harding, you yourself have already answered. you say, "It is not in the Greek, and therefore no part of Chrysostom, but shifted in by the falsehood of the printers." This is your answer: it may well be taken against yourself. I grant, there be many impediments in wife, children, servants, family, and worldly cares. But mark your uneven and partial dealing. The same Chrysostom, even [Chrysost, in in the same sentence, saith thus: "Abundance of riches foo.] doth hardly bring a man into the line. doth hardly bring a man into the kingdom of heaven: yet oftentimes many rich men have entered into it: so also doth marriage." As marriage hindereth, so doth riches; and no more the one than the other. Christ calleth riches Luke viii. 14. thorns and brambles. I do not remember, that ever of marriage he said the like. Yet the pope restraineth his priests only from marriage, and alloweth them benefices. prebends, abbeys, bishoprics upon bishoprics, with money, and treasure, as much as they list, and thinketh it no hin-

Erasmus saith: "The priests of the Greek church this Erasm. conp. 197. [Opp. day, notwithstanding their orders 50, marry wives." The like writeth Cornelius Agrippa against the Lovanians. Cornel. Agripp, contra Your own Gloss upon the Decrees, as I have alleged be-Lovanien. Artic. 18. Dist. 84. Cum fore, noteth thus: Dicunt quod olim sacerdotes poterant in præterito. In Glossa.

derance to perfect life.

contrahere, ante Siricium: "They say that in old times, before pope Siricius, it was lawful for priests to contract matrimony." Likewise cardinal Cajetan saith: Nec ra-Quadilibetis. [fol. 61. col. tione, nec authoritate probari potest, quad, absolute loquendo, sacerdos peccet contrahendo matrimonium: "It cannot be proved, neither by reason nor by authority, speaking absolutely, that a priest offendeth God in marrying a wife."

> How could he have said thus, if he had thought the vow of chastity had been annexed of necessity to the order of priesthood?

^{50 [}Erasmus—"etiam post sus-"ceptum ordinem." Harding, in his "Detection," disputes this doctors of the Sorbonne.] assertion respecting the Greek church, on the authority of the

Addition.

Addition. (Cardinal Cajetan hath his errors. We M. Harding, Like fol. 307 b. are not bound to maintain whatsoever he saith, &c. as the order or habit of monks hath chastity annexed unto it, and therefore he that receiveth it is said therewith to make a vow consequently; even so holy order in the west church hath chastity annexed inseparably," &c. The answer. Here is hard shift, M. Harding. Must these matters be proved by friars' weeds and monks' cowls? "In the west church" (you say) "chastity is inseparably annexed unto priesthood." I trow, you mean not true chastity indeed, but only the promise and vow of chastity. For otherwise both east and west may see by your concubines, and other practices, that your priesthood and chastity may well go asunder. But if the vow of chastity be necessarily annexed unto priesthood, as you have told us, why speak you then more of the west church than of the east? Is their priesthood and yours of sundry natures? Why should you thus pester the world with so much vanity? Once again I say, If the vow of chastity be necessarily annexed to the order of priesthood, how can cardinal Cajetan say, "It cannot be proved, neither by reason, nor by authority, speaking absolutely, that a priest offendeth God in marrying a wife?"

So likewise saith Anselmus in a dialogue between the master and the scholar, touching these matters: Desidera-Anselm.Dial. mus certificari tua solutione super vulgari in toto orbe quæ-prima. stione, quæ ab omnibus pene quotidie ventilatur, et adhuc lis indiscussa celatur, scilicet, An liceat presbyteris, post acceptum ordinem, uxores ducere: "We are desirous by your answer to be certified about this common question, that is now tossed through the world, and as yet lieth undiscussed, I mean, Whether a priest, being within orders, may marry a wife." Hereby it appeareth, that in the time of Anselmus, which was about a thousand years after Christ, This matter lay in question, and was not yet discussed.

Addition.

Addition. (A "Anselm wrote three dialogues, in M. Harding, which he maketh the master and the scholar to talk together. Mo dialogues he never wrote, that may appear by

the works that are printed in his name: and in these there is no such dialogue touching these matters. There is no such thing at all among all his dialogues. Whether friar Bale, or Illyricus, or some other such gatherer of riff-raff have deceived you, or yourself have used your own invention (I will not call it plain lying), I know not." The answer. And will you not call it plain lying, M. Harding? There is the more courtesy in your dealing. Such talk becometh you so well, as nothing better. It is your manner to presume boldly before you know. Certainly there is such a dialogue of Anselmus: there is such a dialogue. And although you saw it never, yet have I seen it. And if any your friend, for his better satisfaction, shall desire the sight thereof, I am able to help him 51. If ye rest only

51 [In this, as in other instances, bp. Jewel's accurate and extensive acquaintance with MSS. is most satisfactorily vindicated. From the author's mode of expression, it appeared that the MS. was not in his own possession, but in that of a friend: and the Editor was led to conjecture that the owner might be archbishop Parker. This conjecture was confirmed by the allusion to some such work in p. 280 of "The Defence of Priests' Marriages" (with "the Additions" by abp. Parker), a very rare book, a copy of which is in the Bodl. "imprinted at London by Richard Jugge &c." (4to. U. 21 Jur.) " For it is plainly alleged in that solemn treatise, written by Anselm against the lawfulness of priests' marriages, in an old hand to be shewed, intituled 'Contra offendiculum Sacerdotum,' that monks professed &c."-a reference, for which the Editor is indebted to Dr. Bandinel. The probability was still further increased by finding in the catalogue of the archbishop's MSS. in C.C.C.C. a work of St. Anselm's, entitled Offendiculum Sacerdotum (Art. xxxiv. No. 36); and the conjecture was turned into certainty, when, by the kindness of Mr. Power at the request of Dr. Bandinel, a transcript of the

following passage, the exordium of the dialogue in question, was obtained.

"Anselm Incipit Offendiculum Sacerdotum.

Inquisitio Discipuli. . Crebro cogimur ad hospitium tue sapientie pulsare, et timemus ne aliquando tedio affectus permittas nos foris stare. Sed cum noverimus non esse tuum quod das, sed tibi tantum commissum aliis ad erogandum; exigimus à te nostrum debitum. Desideramus ergo certificari tua solutione super vulgari in toto orbe questione, que ab omnibus pene cotidie ventilatur, et adhuc lis indiscussa celatur. Scilicet si liceat presbiteris post acceptum ordinem uxores ducere; aut si prosit vel liceat Christianis eorum missas audire, vel alia sacramenta ab eis percipcre. Presertim cum apostolus nullum excipiens de omnibus viris dicat, propter fornicationem unusquisque uxorem habeat. Melius esse enim nubere quam uri, et tantummodo presbiteros constituendos esse unius uxoris viros, et necesse est ut hec questio à te finiatur, quum ob auctoritatis gravitatem tua sententia ut puta judicis ab omnibus prestoletur.

Solutio Magistri (Rubric)."]

upon the credit of printed books, ye may soon be deceived. For there are sundry books written by Anselmus that never were printed. Abbas Trithemius, after he had made a long rehearsal of his books, in the end saith thus:

Alia plura scripsisse dicitur, quæ ad notitiam meam non Trithemius, de Scriptorivenerunt: "Anselmus hath also written many other books, bus Ecclesia which never came to my knowledge."

But touching the number and certainty of Anselm's books 52, I see no cause but Anselm himself may as well be believed as M. Harding. Anselm himself saith he hath written mo dialogues than those four that you have alleged: as you might easily have seen by his proloque before his dialogue "De Veritate." One other dialogue he wrote, [Anseim. "De Passione Domini;" the speakers whereof are Ansel-col. 1.] mus and Maria 53. He wrote another dialogue between the master and the scholar, beginning thus: Plura sunt, de quibus tuam diu desidero responsionem. There is another book of Anselmus, De Corpore Christi; and another, De Conceptione Beatæ Virginis Mariæ, beginning thus: Principium quo salus mundi: which, and other like books, I trow, in your printed Colonial tomes will not be found. There came lately abroad another dialogue of Anselm's between the master and scholar, named Promptuarium, seu Elucidarium, printed at Paris by Fredericus Morellus, anno Domini 1560 54. Your Colonians in their tomes of Anselm's works have set forth one special book of his Epistles, containing in the whole thirteen only epistles, and no mo. Yet notwithstanding I can send you to two several authentic books of Anselm, wherein you may find three hundred threescore and eight of his Epistles 55. Therefore, M. Harding, if you satisfy yourself only with the records of Cologne, you may haply be deceived. You may remember, Anselmus was the archbishop of Canterbury, and metropolitan of this realm of England: whether ever

^{52 [}Supra vol. iv. p. 117.]
58 [This dialogue is printed in the Colon. ed. of 1612. tom. iii. 199.]

<sup>199.]
&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> [Printed ib. tom. iii. 222.]

^{55 [}The Epistles published in the Colon. ed. of 1612 in three books amount to 289. In the Paris ed. of 1675, a fourth book is added, containing 106 more.]

he saw the city of Cologne, or no, I have not heard. Therefore it were more wisdom for you to seek his books in England, than in Cologne. Nay your Colonians themselves confess, that they have not printed all Anselm's books, but only so many as came to their hands. For thus they write in the inscription or title of the same: Operum Anselmi, quæ quidem haberi potuerunt, omnium: and again in the third tome: Catalogus opusculorum omnium D. Anselmi, quæ ad hunc usque diem haberi potuerunt.

Spare therefore your unmannerly speeches of plain lying, M. Harding, and learn rather yourself to speak the truth. As for the matter itself, that the priests of England were commonly and lawfully married in the time of Anselmus, which was in the year of our Lord, a thousand and fourscore, it is evident by all that have written the story of that age. You may add further hereto the example of Moses, not

in præterito.

In eadem Dist.

Extr. de Election. C. Licet de vi-123. col. 2.]

the great captain of Israel, but a Christian priest: who, as Dist. 84. Cum it appeareth by the pope's own records, being within holy orders, took a wife, and lived with her without any manner offence of law. Your doctors tell you, that until the time of pope Siricius, that is to say, for the space wellnear of four hundred years after Christ, it was lawful for all priests to marry without exception, neither vow, nor promise, nor law, nor ordinance, nor other restraint being then to the contrary. Panormitane your principal canonist saith thus: Si clare constet de matrimonio papæ, tunc aut uxor inducetur ad continentiam, aut si noluerit [suppl. continere] reddat [Panorm. debitum, et nihilominus stet in papatu. Quia non repugnat substantiæ papatus, seu clericatus. Nam et Petrus habebat uxorem, cum promoveretur [l. fuit promotus] in papam. Continentia autem processit ex constitutione ecclesiæ: "If it may appear clearly, that the pope hath a wife," (as having married her before he was pope,) "then either his wife shall be persuaded to live single, or if she will not, let the pope yield her marriage duty, and yet nevertheless remain in the popedom still. For marriage duty is not contrary to the substance and office, neither of popedom, nor of

priesthood. For Peter had a wife when he was promoted to be a pope. As for the rule of single life, it was brought in by the ordinance of the church." And therefore he saith further: Unde videmus, quod presbyteri Graci sine peccato contrahunt matrimonium: "We see, that the priests of Græcia, being within orders, do marry wives: and we see, they do it sine peccato, without sin, or breach of law, either of God, or of man." He goeth not by blind peradventure, and by guess, as you do, M. Harding: but speaketh of certainty. "We know it," saith he, "and we see it." Another of your cardinals, Nicolaus Cusanus, saith: In Novo Testamento, post aliquot tempora, visum Nicol. Cus. fuit, irrationabile fore, quod in sacris ordinibus existentes epist. 2. contraherent, similiter et solenniter voventes: "In the New [p. 835.] Testament, after a certain time, it was thought it should not be reasonable for priests, being within holy orders, to contract matrimony: likewise it was thought of them that had made solemn vows." After a certain time (saith he) this decree was made. He meaneth the time of pope Siricius, as we have said. Before which time it was lawful, as well for priests, as also for them that had made a solemn yow of chastity, to marry lawfully without restraint.

Thus, M. Harding, you see by your canonists, that the priests of the east church may marry, being within holy orders: by the ancient council of Ancyra, that deacons, after protestation made, might lawfully marry: by Chrysostom, that priests and bishops may so take the state of marriage, that it shall be no hinderance to any perfection: by cardinal Cajetan, that, speaking absolutely, a priest offendeth not in marrying a wife, his orders or priesthood notwithstanding: by Anselm, that eleven hundred years after Christ, that is to say, until within one hundred years sithence the Conquest, this matter, notwithstanding it had been much beaten through the world, yet lay still undiscussed: by Panormitane, Erasmus, and Agrippa, that the priests of Græcia marry this day, and that, sine peccato, without sin: by others your doctors, that until the time of pope Siricius it was lawful for all priests to marry. You see that Moses, being a Christian priest, and Eupsyfol. 280.

chius, being a Christian bishop, and a martyr, took either of them a lawful wife, and so lived in marriage without offence. Yet all this notwithstanding, can you say again M. Harding, without blushing, M. Harding, as you have said, "We deny utterly, that any man, after that he hath received holy orders, may marry?" Or will you say, "It cannot be shewed, that the marriage of such was ever accounted lawful in the catholic church?"

> If ye knew these things before, M. Harding, ye were to blame to dissemble them: if ye knew them not, ye were to blame to control them. I doubt not but it may appear by these few, that sithence the time of Christ's resurrection, sundry priests being within holy orders have married wives: and that not only in the Greek church, but also in the church of Rome.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 9.

The ancient emperor Justinian commanded, that [vol. iv. p. In Novel. Constit, 123. in the holy administration all things should be pro-[Haloander.] nounced with a clear, loud, and treatable 56 voice, that the people might receive some fruit thereby 57. These men, lest the people should understand them, mumble up their service, not only with a drowned and hollow voice, but also in a strange and barbarous tongue.

M. HARDING.

Justinian the emperor willeth prayers at the holy oblation (which these defenders here translate administration, as being ashamed of their own author, because they hold against the sacrifice of the mass) to be made not in silence, but with an audible voice. Not meaning as though prayers made in silence were unfruitful to the people, as these men would make us believe. And therefore they corrupt his words, as what thing do they not corrupt, that cometh under their hands? They say Justinian made that law, Ut fructus ex ca re aliquis ad populum redire posset: "That some fruit might come thereof to the

a Untruth, fond and peevish. For Justinian speaketh not of any sacri-fice of the mass.

 ^{56 [}Apol. Lat. "expressa."]
 57 [Supra vol. ii. p. 43. note ²⁵, the edition of Haloander, is printed at length. where the original, according to

In Novel. Constitut. 123.

people," as who should say, b no fruit were like to come if prayer b Untruth, were made in silence. But what saith Justinian? His words be: For St. Augustine Quo majore exinde devotione in depromendis Domini Dei laudibus saith: Quid audientium animi efferantur: "To the intent the minds of the tionis intehearers may thereby with the more devotion be lifted up in set-gritan, quam non sequitur ting forth the praises of our Lord God." He saith that the loud intellectus voice helpeth to more devotion, he saith not, to some fruit, but, audientis? with more devotion. Some fruit is always had even by secret iii. 73.] prayer, and more fruit sometime than by loud prayer.

Two faults then are committed, or rather two lies made in Justinian's words; one, that for oblation they turned administration, which falsehood Mr. Jewel useth in his printed sermon, and in his replies to M. D. Cole 58. Whether he be also guilty of this ⁵⁹? Another fault is, that for more devotion, they put, some fruit. The third lie is, in that they say, we do whisper all our service, so is the Latin, albeit this good lady liketh better the term of mumbling. I ween few who have the sense of For M. Hardhearing will say with them, that the singing of psalms, hymns, ing's own councils exepistle, gospel, grailes 60, offertories, preface, and such like service press it by used in the catholic church, is whispering, or mumbling c. The these words, fourth lie is, where they say, we do it so, lest the people should and murmuunderstand us. dWe wish that all the people understood all our d Untruth. prayers. But we think it not convenient, in a common profane notorious, tongue to utter high mysteries. Therefore we wish they would to their own

among them only who are priests, and not among others. Last of all, the fifth lie is, to say that we pronounce our ser-text, and vice and our mysteries in a barbarous tongue. g As though the continual Latin tongue were barbarous, and not rather every vulgar practice of tongue. That tongue is most barbarous which is most used of of Greeia. the vulgar sort, most private, most unknown in respect of Folly. For all.....hWhen England cometh to have a service of their own, a saith, The tongue of their own in churches, and hath a church of their own barbarous, beside the whole, then have they lost their part with the catholic that is not known.

church, whereunto God restore it again.

the suspected author.

τὴν θείαν προσκομιδήν.] ⁵⁹ [It must be remembered, that the Apology was published anonymously. Hence Harding's allusions here and elsewhere to

58 [Supra vol. i. p. 12. and

p. 93. The original words are

60 Grailes, i. e. Graduale, the antiphone sung after the Epistle, whilst the deacon is ascending the steps of the ambo, in order to read the Gospel. See Hoffman's Lexicon.

learn the emystical tongue, and gladly do we teach their children stion, Ignothe same.....St. Dionyse the Areopagite scholar to St. Paul, rance is the teacheth Timothy, and in him all us, Communicare ea quæ vim derotion. perficiendi habent, cum iis qui perficiunt: "To communicate e Mystical those things which have power to make men perfect, with them mysticalfolly who make men perfect:" f that is to say, to publish priestly of all follies. office of consecration (for nothing maketh us more perfect) and a gloss both to the

decree with-

out sense.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

O, M. Harding, we corrupt not such things as come under our hands. The world seeth, that is your ordinary and peculiar practice: it is not ours. Ye dub us both here and elsewhere with your lies upon lies. Such is the civility and courtesy of your speech. Yet hitherto we have not redubbed you with any one lie. Sobriety and modesty rather becometh them that speak of God.

Justini, Imper. Authen. Const. 123. We comshops and priests to minister the holy oblalence, but with a loud voice, &c. hearts of the hearers may be stirred to more devotion, &c. August, De Doctrina Christian.

First ye say, we have corrupted Justinian's words. And vet ve know we alleged only Justinian's meaning, and mand all bi- otherwise not one of all his words. Ye say: "Justinian meant not as though prayers made in silence were unfruitful to the people. For some fruit" (ye say) "there is not under si- always had even by secret (and unknown 61) prayer." But what fruit, or how much, or how ye know it, or can assure it, ye spare to tell us. Yet St. Augustine saith: Quid prodest locutionis integritas, quam non sequitur intellectus audientis? Cum loquendi omnino nulla sit causa, si quod loquimur non intelliqunt, propter quos, ut intelliqunt, loquimur: "What profit is there in speech, be it never so per-Lal 10, tom. fect, if the understanding of the hearer cannot attain it? For there is no cause why we should speak at all, if they understand not what we speak, for whose sake we speak, that they may understand us." Again he saith: Mens mea sine fructu est: hoc ait, quando id quod dicitur non intelligitur: "My mind is without fruit: this the apostle St. Paul saith, when the thing that is spoken is not perceived." And again: Si intellectum mentis removeas, nemo ædificatur audiendo quod non intelligit: "Set apart the understanding of the mind, and no man hath fruit or profit of that thing that he perceiveth not." Likewise again he saith: Quid opus est jubilare,.....et non intelligere jubilationem: ut vox nostra sola jubilet, et cor non jubilet? Sonus enim cordis intellectus est: "What needeth us to sing, if we understand not what we sing; to sing with our voice, and not with our heart? For understanding is the sound, or voice of the heart."

Aug. in Genes, ad lite. ram, lib. 11. [lib. 12. tom. iii. 302.] cap. 8.

Aug. in Ps. 99. [iv. 1072.]

61 [The words in parenthesis are added by Jewel. Harding is evidently speaking of the efficacy of secret prayer in obtaining God's blessings, Jewel of its direct effect upon the people's understanding.]

M. Harding telleth us sadly: "The devout people is edified by the Latin prayers, though they understand not one word that is spoken." But St. Augustine saith: "By that thing that he understandeth not, no man is edified." M. Harding saith: "There is always some fruit even by secret (and unknown) prayer." But St. Augustine saith: "There is no fruit in speech, be it never so perfect, if the understanding of the heart cannot attain it." So properly St. Augustine and M. Harding agree together.

These words, whispering and mumbling, mislike you much. Yet your own friends intreating hereof have often used the same words. In your late council of Cologne it is written thus: Ut presbyteri preces non tantum ore murmu-conc. Colorent, sed etiam corde persolvant, nunquam a manibus eorum an. 1536. liber legis, hoc est, Biblia, deponatur: "That the priests [Harduin.ix. may not only mumble up their prayers, but also pronounce them from their hearts, let the book of the law, that is to say, the Bible, never be laid from their hands."

Likewise Regino reporteth the words of the council of Regino 2. Nantes: Ridiculum est, muris aut parietibus insusurrare ea netensi. [in quæ ad populum pertinent: "It is a peevish thing to whis-eccl. disciper those things to the walls, that pertain unto the people." plinis, p. 96.1

Notwithstanding, whether it be whispering, or mumbling, or by whatsoever name else it shall please you to call it, that good emperor's commandment and meaning was, that we should so utter all things in the congregation, distinctly, and plainly, with loud and open voice, that the Authen. Conpeople might understand you, and answer, Amen. Therefore St. Augustine saith: Nos qui in ecclesia divina eloquia Aug. in Psal. cantare didicimus, simul etiam instare debemus, esse quod iv. 81.] scriptum est, Beatus populus, qui intelligit jubilationem. Proinde, charissimi, quod consona voce cantavimus, sereno etiam corde nosse et tenere debemus: "We that have learned to sing in the church the heavenly words of God, must also endeavour to be that thing that is written; Blessed is the people that understandeth what they sing. Therefore, dearly beloved, that we have sung together with tuneable voice, we ought also to know and see with pure heart." St. Ambrose, declaring the ecclesiastical order

Ambro. Hexaemer. [i. 42.]

of his time, saith thus: Responsoriis psalmorum, cantu lib. 3. cap. 5. virorum, mulierum, virginum, parvulorum, consonus undarum fragor resultat: "With the answering of psalms, and with the singing together of men, women, maids, and little children, the church soundeth, as if it were the dashing and beating of the sea."

To like purpose Leo saith: Totius ecclesiæ una est Leo de Jejunio septim. nio septim. mensis, Ser- oratio, et una confessio: "The whole church hath one mon. 3. [i, 351.] prayer, and one confession."

That we allege out of Dionysius is vain and childish, as is the rest of your talk, and quite contrary to the author's mind, and therefore not worthy to be answered. For Dionysius saith not as vou have imagined, M. Harding, that the priest should talk alone in his mystical unknown tongue, as a jangling cymbal without sense: but rather telleth you, that by his order the priest and the whole people Dionys. cap. should sing together. These be his words: Percipiens ipse, et aliis tradens divinam communionem, postremo desinit in gratiarum actionem, una cum tota ecclesiæ multitudine: "The priest both receiving himself the holy communion, and also delivering the same unto others, last of all endeth with thanksgiving, together with all the whole multitude and company of the church."

> Thus out of one place ye have alleged two manifest testimonies against yourself: the one to condemn your private mass: the other to condemn your mystical prayers in unknown tonque.

> Ye say: "We wish the people would learn the mystical Latin tongue: and gladly do we teach their children the same." Ye say, "the mystical Latin tongue." O vain man, and more vain than vanity itself! Why seek you so fondly to deceive God's people? Who ever taught you these kinds of mysteries? What scripture? what council? what doctor? what father? How know you, that the Latin tongue, that every child may so commonly and so easily understand, should be so mystical? And wherefore are all other tongues, the Greek, the Hebrew, the Chaldee, the Arabic, the Italian, the French, the Spanish, the Irish, less mystical than the Latin? What have these tongues

3. [p. 159.] Μετὰ παντὸς τοῦ τῆς **ἐκκλησίαs** ίεροῦ πληρώματος.

offended? What hath that tongue deserved? The tongue is nothing else but a tongue. It is the matter and meaning of the words that is mystical. St. Augustine saith: Audi-Aug. Conmus hæc verba, Beata vita: et rem ipsam omnes nos appe-cap. 20. [i. 181.] tere fatemur: non enim sono delectamur. Nam hoc cum Latine audit Gracus, non delectatur: quia ignorat quod dictum est :.....sicut etiam Latinus, si Græce hoc audierit : quoniam res ipsa nec Græca nec Latina est:....." We hear these words, Blessed life, or the life to come. And the thing itself we all confess we desire to have. For we have no pleasure in the sound of the words. For when a Grecian heareth these words spoken in Latin, he hath no pleasure in them, because he understandeth not what is spoken. Neither hath the Latin any pleasure, if he hear the same spoken in Greek. For the thing itself is neither Greek nor Latin." It were a great mystery to reach the bottom of your mysteries. St. Paul calleth you, not the hiders, or couchers, but the dispensers and stewards of 1 cor. iv. 1. God's mysteries. Your mystical policy is to lead God's people through mist and darkness. Ye wish the people would learn the Latin tongue, which you call mystical. No doubt, a worthy and a learned wish. Ye might as good cheap, and as well have wished, that all the whole people, of all countries, would learn to speak Greek and Hebrew. But your meaning is, that until all the ploughmen, and artificers, and labourers of the world be able to understand and to speak your mystical Latin tongue, they may not in any wise be allowed to understand any parcel of their prayers.

Lactantius, speaking of the subtle practices and policies of the heathens, saith thus: Hinc fida silentia instituta Lactant. Iib. sunt ab hominibus callidis: ut nesciret populus quid coleret: 5. cap. 20. "Therefore trusty silence and secresy was appointed by subtle and false factors, that the people" (still being blind) "should never know what they worshipped."

This is not the mystery of the kingdom of heaven: it is Matt. xiii. 11. rather the mystery of iniquity: the same mystery that Thess. ii. St. John mentioneth in his Revelations: In fronte ejus Rev. xvii. 5. nomen scriptum est, mysterium: Babylon Magna, mater

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

abominationum et fornicationum terræ: "A name was written in her forehead, a mystery: Great Babylon, the mother of the abominations and of the fornications of the earth." Of these holy mysteries, your Latin tongue, as ye have used it, may well and justly be called mystical.

Hier. in Ep. ad Ephes. cap. 5. [iv. 387.]

Hieron, ad Theodoram. [iv. pt. 2. 581.]

St. Hierom saith of your fathers in old time: De domo Dei scenam fecere populorum: "Of the house of God they have made a stage-play of the people 60." And speaking of the Valentinian heretics, he saith: Barbaro simplices quosque terrent sono, ut quod non intelligunt, plus mirentur: "With a barbarous unknown sound of words they fear the simple, that whatsoever they understand not they may the more esteem and have in reverence."

But the Latin tonque (ye say) is not barbarous: and therein, as your wont is, ye have noted a wonderful great lie in our Apology. Yet unto St. Paul that tongue seemeth barbarous that is unknown unto the hearer, be it Latin,

1 Cor xiv. 11. be it Greek. Thus he saith: Nisi sciero vim vocis, ero illi qui loquitur barbarus: et ille qui loquitur, mihi barbarus: "Unless I understand the power or meaning of the words, I shall be barbarous to him that speaketh: and he that speaketh" (in what tongue soever he speak) shall be barbarous unto me." Hereof I had occasion to

Art. 3. div. 3. say somewhat in my former Reply. St. Augustine saith: Mallet quisque cum cane suo versari, quam cum homine Ludov, Vives ignotæ linguæ: "Any man would rather dwell with his Discip. lib. 3. dog, than with a man of an unknown tongue."

1. P. 463-1.

1. Coordingle: we say "When England con

To conclude: ye say, "When England cometh to have a service of their own, and a tongue of their own in churches, then have they lost their part with the catholic church." Here, M. Harding, a little more reason would have given some credit to your words. For as touching the public service of the church, I beseech you, even in your own time of barbarous blindness, what service had the church of England but peculiar and special to her-

"et non introducatur in eos, qui

^{60 [}S. Hieron. in Ephes. "Sic "cantat servus Domini.....ut

[&]quot; spiritus malus . . . ejiciatur ab his

[&]quot; de domo Dei scenam fecere po-" pulorum." " qui similiter ab eo possidentur,

self? Touching the variety of tongues, St. Augustine saith: Astitit regina a dextris tuis in vestitu deaurato, circundata August. advers. Judecos, varietate. Ubi significatur linguarum varietas in omnibus cap. 4. [viii]. gentibus: quarum tamen una est intus et simplex fides: "'The queen stood at thy right hand, apparelled in cloth of gold, adorned with variety.' Hereby is signified the diversity of tongues in all nations: the faith whereof, that lieth within, is one and simple." Likewise again he saith: Vestitus reginæ hujus quis est? Et pretiosus et varius est: Aug. in Ps. sacramenta doctrinæ in linguis omnibus variis. Alia lingua Aphricana [al. Afra]: alia Syra: alia Græca: alia Hebræa: alia illa, et illa. Faciunt istæ linguæ varietatem vestis reginæ hujus. Quomodo autem omnis varietas vestis in unitate concordat, sic et omnes linguæ ad unam fidem. In veste varietas sit, scissura non sit: ecce varietatem intelleximus de diversitate linguarum? et vestem intelleximus propter unitatem: "What is this queen's apparel? It is precious, and full of variety: the mysteries of doctrine in all sundry tongues. There is one tongue of Africa: another of Syria: another Greek: another Hebrew: another this, another that. These tongues make up the variety of the queen's apparel. But as all the variety of colours in the apparel agreeth in unity, even so all tongues agree in one faith. Let there be variety in the apparel; but rent or cut there may not be. Behold, by the variety we understand the diversity of tongues: and by the apparel we understand the unity (of the church)." Again he saith: Distant inter se linguæ: sed linguarum distantiæ non sunt Aug. in Joschismata: "Tongues are divided one from another: but [iii.pt.2.331.] the division of tongues is no schism or division in religion." Therefore, M. Harding, why send ye us over this wanton talk? why tell you us, that "when England hath a tongue of her own in the churches, then hath she lost her part with the catholic church." Behold, St. Augustine saith: "The division of tongues is no division in religion."

a Untruth. For if this old

council be

falsified, it was falsified

twelve hundred years

ago in the

council of Hippo.

b Untruth.

Harding's

own side.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 10.

The old council at Carthage commanded, that [Vol. iv. p. Conc. Carth. 3. [cap.47. iii. 891.] nothing should be read in Christ's congregation but the canonical scriptures 61: these men read such things in their churches as themselves know for a truth to be stark lies and fond fables.

M. HARDING.

A man were better, I assure thee, good reader, to make two new books than to correct one so full of lies and falsified places as this Apology is. a This old council of Carthage is newly falsified by our new clergy. The words of the council are these: Placuit, ut præter scripturas canonicas nihil in ecclesia legatur sub Conc. Carth. nomine divinarum scripturarum: "It hath seemed good unto us, [iii. 891.] that besides the canonical scriptures, nothing be read in the church under the name of the holy scriptures." They, leaving out these four words, sub nomine divinarum scripturarum, " under the name of divine scriptures," would bear men in hand the council willed nothing to be read in the church besides the holy scriptures. Are not these trusty men, to whom ye may commit

the charge of your souls for your faith and salvation?

It followeth in the same decree: Liceat etiam legi passiones [Ibid. et iv. martyrum, cum anniversarii dies celebrantur: "Let it be lawful 484. c. 13.] also for the martyrdoms of martyrs to be read when their yearly feasts are kept." And yet dare they not only to say, nothing must be read besides the scriptures, but also to allege that very place for that special lie, which saith the contrary. Look in the book thyself, good reader, and see how falsely they handle so holy matters. b Another lie is, when they say we read those For it is containings in the church which ourselves know to be stark lies and best of M. fond fables. When they cannot themselves show that we have fond fables. When they cannot themselves show that we have any such, it is a vain lying rhetoric to say we doubt not of it, or know it ourselves for a truth, I wonder not if they blush not in belying us, who have belied so many scriptures, councils, and

fathers.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

It standeth well with your truth, M. Harding, so often to charge us with lies and falsehood. I trust it will appear, ye had no great cause to keep so great revel upon so poor

^{6) [}Concil. Carth. 3. cap. 47. "Item placuit, ut præter scriptu-

[&]quot;legatur sub nomine divinarum "scripturarum. Sunt autem ca-

[&]quot;ras canonicas, nihil in ecclesia "nonicæ scripturæ," &c.]

a conquest. Indeed these words be not expressly found in the third council of Carthage: yet are they found in the council of Hippo, which is the abridgment of the third council of Carthage, as it appeareth by the title of the same: Concilii Hipponensis abbreviationes factæ in concilio [Crabb.tom. Carthaginensi tertio.

The words of the council of Hippo, and for so much also of the third council of Carthage, be these: Scripturæ Conc. Hippo. canonicæ in ecclesia legendæ quæ sunt: et præter quas alia 896.] [al. aliæ] non legantur: "The scriptures canonical, which are to be read in the church: and besides which nothing may be read." Here have you, M. Harding, the plain words of the council of Hippo, abridged out of the third council of Carthage, That nothing may be read in the church, but only the canonical scriptures. Judge you therefore, how just cause ye had so unadvisedly, for I dare not say so unlearnedly, to charge the Apology with lies and falsehood.

Here may we add the like decree of the council holden Conc. Laod. at Laodicea: Sabbatis evangelia cum aliis scripturis legenda 507, a.] esse censemus: "We agree, that the gospel, with other scriptures, be read upon the sabbath day." If these words seem not sufficient, it followeth further in the same council: Non oportet libros, qui sunt extra canonem, legere, nisi Conc. Laod. solos canonicos Veteris et Novi Testamenti: "We may not 574, c.] read any books that be without the canon, but only the canonical books of the Old and New Testament 62."

To like effect Chrysostom saith: "Ideo Christus mensas Chrysost. in nummulariorum evertit: significans quod in templo Dei non 38. [Op. imp. debent esse nummi, nisi spirituales, id est, qui Dei imaginem habent: "Therefore Christ overthrew the exchangers' banks, meaning thereby that there may be no coin in the church, but only spiritual, that is to say, that beareth the image of God." Again he saith: Lectorum officium erat, Chrysost. in Act. hom. 19. in ecclesia sacra legere ex scriptis, vel prophetarum, vel [ix. 160.] apostolorum: "It was the reader's office to pronounce

^{62 [}Concil. Laod. cap. 50. "Οτι βιβλία, ἀλλὰ μόνα τὰ κανονικὰ τῆς οὐ δεῖ ἰδιωτικοὺς ψαλμοὺς λέγεσθαι καινῆς καὶ παλαιᾶς διαθήκης.] ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησίᾳ, οὐδὲ ἀκανόνιστα

holy things unto the people, out of either the apostles or prophets 62."

Erasmus saith: Apparet non nisi apostolicas literas olim Eras, in Hieron, de Eccl. Serip. Efrem. legi solitas in templis, aut certe virorum apostolicæ aued Erasm I thoritatis: "It appeareth, that in old time nothing was 314.] used to be read in the churches but only the apostles' writings: or at least the writings of such others as were of apostolical authority." Likewise saith abbas Ansigisus, reporting the ecclesiastical decrees of the French kings Lewis and Charles: In templis tantum canonici libri, id Ansigisus, lib. 1. cup. 19. impress. Pa- est, sacræ literæ legantur: "Let there be read in the ris, ann. 1550.

churches only the canonical books, that is to say, the holy scriptures 63." Hereby may you see, M. Harding, if there were any want before, thus many ways it may be supplied.

"Another lie," (ye say) " is this, when they say, we read those things in the church which ourselves know to be stark lies and fond fables." For trial hereof we shall not need to travel far. Your own books and legends are proof sufficient.

Erasm. in Erasmus thereof saith thus: Hodie quorumlibet somnia, Annotat, in Hieron de imo muliercularum deliramenta leguntur inter divinas scri-[Hier. Opp. pturas: "Nowadays every fool's dreams, yea very women's 314.] doting fancies are read with the holy scriptures."

Pelyd, Verg. in Orat. Do-Epist, nuncupat.]

[Lud, Viv.

art. lib. 2. Opp. 1. 371. et apud

Leonard.

[leg, Lud]

Likewise saith Polydore Virgil: Multorum divorum minicam. [in vitas recitant, tametsi parum ad fidem scriptas: "They read many saints' lives, although not written according to the truth." Ludovicus Vives, writing of your Legenda Aurea, which was the mother of all your devout ecclesiastical stories or fables, saith thus: Nescio cur aurea dici de caus, cor. debeat, cum scripta sit ab homine ferrei oris, et plumbei cordis, et plenissima sit impudentissimis mendaciis: "I see no cause why it should be called the Golden Legend, Proverb. Sa- seeing it was written by a man of an iron face, and a lom. p. 134.] leaden heart, and is freight full of most shameless lies." If ye knew not these things, M. Harding, your friends

> 62 [This passage does not occur tracts from his Capitula Caroli Magni et Ludov. Pii, in the 9th totidem verbis in the homily. It is rather a note of bishop Jewel's.] book of the Catal. Test. Veritatis 63 [Ansegisus; see several exper Flacium.]

will think ye know nothing. Such truths ye read, and publish devoutly and solemnly in your churches. Yet may we neither say, nor think, ye mock the people.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 4. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. 61.]

But if there be any that think these above rehearsed authorities be but weak and slender, because they were decreed by emperors and certain petit bishops, and not by so full and perfect councils, taking pleasure rather in the authority and name of the pope: let such a one know, that pope Julius doth evidently forbid, that a priest in ministering the com-[De Cons. Dist. 2. Cun munion should dip the bread in the cup. These omne.] men, contrary to pope Julius' decree, divide the bread, and dip it in the wine.

M. HARDING.

Ye may be sure many men think this your homely stuff not only weak and slender, but also corrupt, venomous, and loathsome. But now by like ye will amend your fault. But how? Surely by going from very evil to as bad or worse, if ye can do worse, than hitherto ye have done. "Julius the pope" (say ye) "doth evidently forbid, that a priest in ministering the communion should dip the bread in the cup." Now verily your former fault of lving is well amended. For where before ye left out, cast in, or changed some of those words, which ye pretend to allege, now ye make every whit new of your own. Where hath Julius these words? I speak not of your false alleging of places in your book's margin. I forgive you the putting of cum enim nemo, instead of cum omne. These be small and slipper faults, which if they were alone might be winked at in such slipper merchants as ye are ⁶⁴. But let us hear what pope Julius saith:Alios quoque audivimus intinctam eucharistiam populis pro complemento communionis porrigere: "We have heard also of others, who give to the people the eucharist dipped or steeped, for making up of the communion." It is to be understanded, that whereas Christ gave the blessed sacrament of the altar to

⁶⁴ [Bishop Jewel has here omitted two other passages from the decree of Julius, (quoted with a pose.] by Harding,)

his apostles, he gave it under both kinds. And when the priests in some countries, either for lack of wine at all times ready, either for some private fancy, used to dip or steep the sacred body of our Lord under form of bread in the consecrated blood, and so to give it to the people; pope Julius findeth fault therewith, for that neither Christ ordained so, nor the apostles left such order to the church. So that Julius meaneth nothing else, but to reprove and reform that use of dipping or steeping the one kind in the other in the administration of the communion unto the people. Read the place who listeth, he shall find the

same sense more largely uttered a little after.

But what sense make these defenders a God's name? They say Julius forbiddeth the priests, ne dum peragit mysteria, panem immergat in calicem: that in ministering the communion (so the lady turneth) he should dip the bread in the cup. There is no such word in the whole decree. Julius nameth eucharistiam, they call it bread. Julius hath a intinctam porrigere populis, nity. For if he forbid the that no priest b give the sacrament dipped to the people: they, priest to dip leaving out, giving it to the people, say, he forbad the priest to the sacra-ment, and to dip it. They leave out also the chief cause of the whole, which is, pro complemento communionis, "for making up of the communion." For he forbiddeth to give unto the people only the consecrated host dipped in the chalice, as though it were the whole communion, no less than if the blood were given apart. "These men" (say they) "contrary to the decree of pope Julius, divide the bread and dip it in the wine." We in the mass break mystical folthe host in three parts, not without signification of a mystery: the answer. two we receive apart, the third we put into the chalice and receive it together with the blood. What have ye to say against this ?..... 65

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Every part hereof is largely answered in my former [Supra vol. i. p. 424.} Reply to M. Harding. True it is, the fault that Julius here findeth in dipping and ministering the sacrament, agreeth not fully with the present disorders of the church of Rome. Yet notwithstanding, in condemuing the one,

> 65 [Harding adds, "Neither is this that Julius reprehendeth. He forbiddeth to give unto the people the blessed sacrament dipped. We neither do it, nor ever have done it. Julius would not the dipping to stand for the supplying of both kinds apart, and for the making up the sacrament. Neither do

our priests in that sense take it, nor to that end use it, but for signification only of a special mystery, and that not of a private fancy or ambition; but by public authority. And therefore that decree of Julius pertaineth not to the reproofs of any thing that now is done in the church."

a A fond vadeliver it, then he forbiddeth the priest to dip the sacrab These mystical signifi-

cations be

lies. Read

he must needs condemn the other. Ye say, we leave out these words: Intinctam porrigunt eucharistiam populis: "They dip the sacrament, and deliver the same unto the people." And again these words: Pro complemento communionis: "For the accomplishment of the communion." The more matter we have left out, the more have we concealed your faults: and so much the more are you beholden to us. For what meant you, M. Harding, to mention any of all these words? Do you deliver the sacrament unto the people? do you make it a perfect communion? What needed you to burden yourself with mo abuses, and so much to bewray your folly?

Julius saith: "They dipped the sacrament into the cup, and delivered it unto the people." You dip the sacrament as they did: but unto the people ye give nothing. Julius saith, "They meant by dipping to make it a full and a perfect communion." Contrariwise you defraud the people of the holy cup, and deliver them only the half communion. And therefore ye are much more blameworthy, than ever were they whom Julius reproved. For they offended only of simplicity, and you of wilfulness: they only in one thing, you in three things together in one place.

But touching the matter itself, the fault that we find with you, and the fault that Julius found with others your predecessors, is all one. You dip the bread into the cup, and so did they. They brake Christ's institution, and so do you. And therefore Julius said unto them: Hoc quam sit apostolicæ et evangelicæ doctrinæ contrarium, et consuctudini ecclesiasticæ adversum, non difficile ab ipso fonte veritatis probatur, a quo ordinata ipsa sacramentorum mysteria processerunt: "How far contrary this is to the apostolical and evangelical doctrine, and to the custom of the church, it is easy to prove by the fountain of the truth, by whom the mysteries of the sacraments were ordained, and from whom they first proceeded."

Ye think the matter well discharged, for that ye deliver not the *sacrament so dipped* unto the people, but minister it only unto yourself. Here by the way, it were a matter of skill, to understand by what authority, either of scripture, or of council, or of doctor, it may appear, that it is lawful for the priest so to use and receive the sacrament, and unlawful for the people. If the people may not as safely and as lawfully so receive the sacrament, as may the priest, wherefore then are these words written in your mass books, even in the canon and secrets of your mass? Hæc sacrosancta commixtio corporis et sanguinis Domini nostri Jesu Christi fiat mihi, et omnibus sumentibus, salus animæ [al. mentis] et corporis: "This holy mingling of the body and blood of our Lord Jesus Christ be unto me, and unto all that receive it, the health of soul and body." Verily these words, omnibus sumentibus, cannot by any shift possibly be expounded of one only priest, but must needs be extended unto the people.

[Canon, in Missali Sarisb.]

Ye would fain tell us of certain special mysteries, that ve have found out in the breaking of the sacrament, if ye wist what they were. But ye are in case, as sometime was Nabuchodonozor, ye are not able well to tell us your own Senten, lib. 4. dream. Sometime ye say, The bread is broken: some-Dist. 12. [A. B. C. D.] time ye say, The accidents remain alone by miracle, and they are broken: sometime ye say, Christ's immortal and impassible body itself is broken: sometime ye say, Our eyes be deceived, and nothing is broken.

Again (ye say) the first piece signifieth the church tra-

Durand, lib.

Dan. H. 3.

4. cap. §3. [l. st. No. 20.] vailing in the world: the second signifieth the blessed saints in heaven: the third signifieth the souls in purgatory. But pope Sergius, the father of these phantasies, conveyeth his mysteries another way. For the first portion (saith he) signifieth Christ's body after his resurrection: the second, Christ's body walking on earth: the third, Christ's body in the grave. These, M. Harding, be your holy significations and special mysteries. With such follies and mystical vanities ve mock the world. In old times the bread was not broken to busy men's heads with significations, but

De Cons. Dist. 2. Triform.

Artic. 11. div. only to be delivered to the people: as in my former Reply 3. [supra vol. iii. p 119.] I have declared more at large. St. Augustine saith: Panis Aug. epist. 1. benedicitur et sanctificatur, et ad distribuendum comminui-[ii. 509.]

tur: "The bread is blessed and sanctified, and broken in pieces, to the end it may be delivered."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 4. Divis. 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 61.] Pope Clement saith, it is not lawful for a bishop [† Clem. ad Jacob. ep. 1.] to deal with both swords: "For if thou wilt have Crabb. [Bernard. de Both," saith he, "thou shalt deceive both thyself and Consid. ii. those that obey thee." Nowadays the pope challengeth to himself both swords, and useth both. Wherefore it ought to seem less marvel, if that have followed which Clement saith, that is, that he hath deceived both himself and those which have given ear unto him.

M. HARDING.

If these fellows had not sworn to belie all the world for maintenance of their new gospel, they would, at this time at least, have made a true report of St. Clement's words. St. Clement a These words are speaketh not of two swords. The place truly alleged hath thus: partly Clea Si mundialibus curis fueris occupatus, et teipsum decipies, et eos ly St. Berqui te audiunt: " If thou be occupied in worldly cares, thou shalt nard's. both deceive thyself and those that listen to thee." The author childish. As of this Apology, having spite at the church, which is Christ's though a bishop may fold, and at the pope, the head shepherd, envying at his author-have the ity, forgeth a lie upon St. Clement, making him to say, Si utrun-temporal sword, and que habere vis: "If thou wilt have both swords, thou shalt both execute a deceive thyself and those that obey thee." b Whereas Clement fice without speaketh no word of the two swords, but of worldly cares, where- worldly with what bishop soever is entangled, shall (as he saith) deceive c What cares both himself and others that hearken to him. For which cause have they these defenders being coupled with yoke-fellows in pretenced concubines? wedlock, which state wrappeth a man in worldly cares, because d Eleven of such a one "c careth for the things of the world, how to please aposties, and his wife, and is divided," as St. Paul saith: it must needs follow, many holy fathers and that having taken the office of superintendants, and charge of bishops, were souls upon them, d they have deceived themselves, and daily do married, and deceive so many as hear them, and follow their false doctrine. people.

1 Cor. vil.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

O what a pleasant grace M. Harding hath to talk of lies! A man would think it were some good part of his study. In this place two sundry authorities, the one of

Clemens, the other of St. Bernard, I know not by what error, were joined in one, and both alleged and set forth under the name only of Clemens. I grant, there was herein an oversight: but lie or falsehood there was none, as it shall appear.

The words of Clemens are as you report them. words of St. Bernard, written unto pope Eugenius, are Bern.de Con- these: Planum est, apostolis interdici dominatum. I ergo sid. lib. 2. [ii. tu, et tibi usurpare aude, aut dominans apostolatum: aut apostolus dominatum. Plane ab alterutro prohiberis. utrunque similiter habere velis, perdes utrunque: "It is plain, that unto the apostles of Christ lordship or temporal princehood is forbidden. Go thou thy way therefore," (thus he saith to the pope) "and dare thou to usurp, either the apostleship, being a lord: or a lordship, being an apostle. From one of them undoubtedly thou art forbidden. If thou wilt indifferently have both, thou wilt lose both." Of such St. Hierom writeth thus: Militantes Christo,

Hieron, in Sophon, cap. 1. [iii. 1647.]

Canon, A-

p. 12.]

425.]

runt Deo et Cæsari: "Being the soldiers of Christ, they bind themselves to worldly affairs, and offer up one image to God and Cæsar." In the Canons of the Apostles it is written thus: Non oportet episcopum aut presbyterum, se post, can. 80. [ap. Bruns. publicis administrationibus immittere: sed vacare et commodum se præbere usibus ecclesiasticis. Nemo enim potest duobus dominis servire: "A bishop or a priest may not entangle himself with worldly offices, but be at rest, and shew himself meet for the use of the church. For no man Matt. vi. 24. can serve two masters 66." Yet the pope this day claimeth

obligant se negotiis sæcularibus, et eandem imaginem offe-

Sanctam. [p. 189.] Paralipom.

Extrav. Com. the right of both swords, not only of the spiritual, but also De Major et Obed Unam of the temporal. And pope Bonifacius VIII., in the great jubilee, and in the open sight of the world, when he had one day shewed himself in his pontificalibus, apparelled in Urspergensis. [p. 343.] procession as a bishop of bishops, the next day he put upon him the emperor's robes of majesty, and had the imperial

^{66 [}Canon Apost. 80. Εἴπομεν, ρον καθιέναι έαυτὸν εἰς δημοσίας ότι οὐ χρη ἐπίσκοπον ἡ πρεσβύτε- διοικήσεις κ. τ. λ.]

crown upon his head, and the sword naked and glittering borne before him.

As for pope Clemens, his canon is easily shifted by a pretty proviso. For thus saith your Gloss touching the same: Cessante caussa, cessat effectus: verbi causa, prohi-Extra de Jubetur, ne presbyteri gerant tutelas [suppl. aliorum], hac Etsi Chricausa, ut melius vacent divinis officiis. Hæc causa finalis sa. [ilb. ii.tit. est. Unde, cessante hac caussa, cessat effectus. Unde, sa penult.] si non vacent divinis officiis, poterunt gerere tutelas: "The cause ending, the effect endeth too. For example, the law commandeth, that a priest shall not be charged with the wardship of a child in his nonage. The cause hereof is this, that he may the better apply his divine service. This is the final cause. This cause removed, the effect giveth place. Therefore if the priest follow not his divine service, then he may have the wardship of a child." Even so, if the pope do not the office of a bishop, then may he be a temporal prince. But by these means it cometh to pass, even as Clemens saith, He deceiveth both himself and also them that hear him.

them that hear him.

Touching this vain objection of the charge and cares of Partv. cap. 3. div. 7. [supra marriage, it is fully answered before, in a place more vol. vi. p. 47.]. convenient.

convenient.

Touching this vain objection of the charge and cares of Partv. cap. 3. div. 7. [supra vol.]. p. 59...]

convenient.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 4. Divis. 3.

Pope Leo saith, "Upon one day it is lawful to say [Leon. Ep. but one mass in one church 67." These men say daily in one church commonly ten masses, twenty, thirty, yea oftentimes mo. So that the poor gazer on can scant tell, which way he were best to turn himself.

Pope Gelasius saith, "It is a wicked deed and sub-[De Cons. Dist. 2. Comject to sacrilege in any man to divide the commu-perimus.] nion, and when he hath received one kind, to ab-

stain from the other." These men, contrary to God's

indubitanter iteretur," proves that the rule was generally to have one communion upon one day.]

[Vol. iv. p. 61.]

^{67 [}This is rather implied than said by Leo. The exception which he makes, "if the multitude is great," that the "sacrificii oblatio

word, and contrary to pope Gelasius, command, that one kind only of the holy communion be given to the people: and by so doing, they make their priests guilty of sacrilege.

M. HARDING.

a A solemn that so will b Here M. he and his fellows can c Untruth manifest. swer.

a There is no small number of men which are moved to suspect fancy. They that this Apology was devised by some catholic man, intending be wise men to mock this new clergy of England, and to put them quite out of estimation and credit. b And to that very end this innumerable company of lies to them seemeth of purpose to be set out. Harding con-fesseth, that For no man having his five wits would think good, for maintenance of his own part, to affirm so many things, the contrary set forth lies, whereof, to his great discredit and shame, by search is easily found. c Leo saith clean contrary to that is here in his name mannest.
Read the an. avouched, that whensoever a new multitude filleth the church.

so as all cannot be present at the sacrifice at once, that the In Epist. ad oblation of the sacrifice be without casting any doubt done Alexandrinum. [i. 437.] again.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

I beseech thee, gentle reader, for shortness sake, and for thy better satisfaction herein, to consider my answer made Art. 13. div.4. hereunto in my former Reply to M. Harding. Verily iii. p. 199.] Leo speaketh not one word city. Leo speaketh not one word either of private mass, or of sole receiving, or of any other like superstitious and peevish vanity: but only of the general communion of the whole church. His counsel therefore unto Dioscorus is, that if, upon occasion of resort, the multitude of communicants were so great, that they could not have convenient room in the church to receive all together at one communion. then the priest, after he had ministered unto the first company, and had willed them to depart forth, and give place to others, and saw the church replenished again with a new company of aftercomers, should without fear or remorse of conscience begin the whole communion again, and so minister unto them, as he had done unto the former. More than this out of Leo's words cannot be gathered. Here, M. Harding, have you found a good warrant for the holy communion, and a plain condemnation of your private mass.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 5. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p.

But if they will say, that all these things are worn now out of use and nigh dead, and pertain nothing to these present times: yet to the end all folk may understand what faith is to be given to these men. and upon what hope they call together their general councils, let us see in few words, what good heed they take to the self-same thing, which they themselves, these very last years, (and the remembrance thereof is yet new and fresh,) in their own general council, that they had by order called, have decreed and commanded to be devoutly kept. In the last council at Trident, scant fourteen years past, it was [Concil Trident, sees.] ordained by the common consent of all degrees, 24. de Reform. cap. That one man should not have two benefices at one 17.1 time. What is become now of that ordinance? Is the same too so soon worn out of mind, and clean consumed? For these men, ye see, give to one man, not two benefices only, but sundry abbeys many times, sometimes also two bishoprics, sometime three, sometime four, and that not only to an unlearned man, but oftentimes also even to a man of war.

In the said council a decree was made, that all [Concil. Trident. sess. bishops should preach the gospel. These men 24. de Reform.cap.4.] neither preach, nor once go up into the pulpit, neither think they it any part of their office. What great pomp and crake then is this, they make of antiquity? Why brag they so of the names of the ancient fathers, and of the new and old councils? why will they seem to trust to their authority, whom, when they list, they despise at their pleasure?

masship.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Hereto M. Harding answereth thus: "With what face find they fault? Ye believe none of the councils: sir John Hooper, a martyr of their own canonization: your lying book: your vile stuff: your new upstart church: your heresies: your incredible lies: your malicious lies: your slanderous lies," &c. He is very hardhearted, that will not be moved with so valiant proofs.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 5. Divis. 2.

But I have a special fancy to commune a word or [vol. iv. p. two, rather with the pope's good holiness, and to say these things unto his own face.

M. HARDING.

Here pricketh forth this hasty defender as pert as a pearmonger 67, and fain would he talk with the pope himself, for sooth, face to face. But sir, I pray you, be not too hasty in taking your journey to Rome. Tell us, before ye go, may not a meaner Modesty and man serve instead of the pope, for your masship to talk withal? gravity meet for a doctor:This fellow hath a special fancy, and will needs to the pope himself, and talk with him of his high matters, presently to his own face. I pray you, sir, may not a poor man hear your tale beforehand? By often telling of it, you shall have it in better readiness when you come there.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 1.

Tell us, I pray you, good holy father, seeing ye do [vol. iv. p. crake so much of all antiquity, and boast yourself that all men are bound to you alone, which of all the fathers hath at any time called you by the name of the highest prelate, the universal bishop, or the head of the universal church.

M. HARDING.

What the pope himself will say unto you, when you come before him, I know not. Because you make no haste (I suppose) as yet to go unto his person, may it please you in the mean time to be answered by another man, thus now, till then? Touching

⁶⁷ [This proverbial simile should pearmonger's mare." Ray's Engproperly run thus: "As pert as a lish Proverbs, p. 281.]

Prefatione in the first part of your first question, a read St. Hierom ad Dama- a And there Evangelistas. sum, and adversus Luciferianos, where he calleth the pope b sum- and nothing.

Epist. 162.

[ii. 91.]

mum sacerdotem. And if we require a word of greater sound, b This name read St. Augustine, where he saith, In Romana ecclesia semper was common to every blviguit apostolicæ cathedræ principatus: "In the Roman church shop: and the princedom of the apostolic chair hath always flourished." therefore is fondly ap-For the second part, look in the council of Chalcedon. For the propriate to the pope. third, read Victor in his second book De Persecutione Vandalorum. c And there And for a full resolution of this whole matter, read mine Answer is nothing. to M. Jewel's challenge in the fourth article. There shall you find your demand fully answered-

-beside two and thirty great untruths in the same one article.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here it liketh you, M. Harding, for the time, although unworthy, to supply the pope's person. Howbeit, as doubting either the sufficiency of your commission, or the discretion of your answer, ye say, "Thus now, till then."

Where we demand of you, which of all the ancient fathers and doctors ever called the pope summum sacerdotem, "the highest priest:" ye answer us, St. Hierom so called him in the book Contra Luciferianos. For his words be plain: summus sacerdos, "the highest priest." But what if it be found that these words belong no more to the pope than to any other particular bishop? Will ye then confess, that either ye were far overseen, or else that ye sought undue means, under the name of St. Hierom, to mock your reader? You say, St. Hierom by these words, summus sacerdos, meant only the pope. But M. Harding M. Harding saith, St. Hierom by the same words meant any one Hieron, conbe M. Harding, and if these things be true, then are you pt. 2. p. 295.] of late foully fallen out with yourself bishop, whatsoever he were, and not only the pope.

For trial hereof, call to your remembrance, M. Harding, M. Harding directly conyour own words, uttered, not elsewhere, but even in this trary to himselfsame book. The words of St. Hierom be these: "The safety of the church hangeth upon the dignity of the highest priest." Hereupon M. Harding saith: "This peerless authority St. Hierom in that place doth attribute to the bishop of every diocese 68."

⁶⁸ [Supra, vol. v. p. 487, compared with p. 493; and with vol. ii. p. 192.]

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

And thus, by M. Harding's own exposition, not only the pope, but also the bishop of any other diocese, is called by St. Hierom the highest priest. Thus one M. Harding saith, "St. Hierom by these words meant only the pope:" another M. Harding saith, "St. Hierom by the same words meant any one bishop, and not only the pope." were a deed of charity to resolve your reader, whether of these two contrary M. Hardings he may believe. Verily, here ye allege St. Hierom for the pope, whereas, by M. Harding's confession, St. Hierom spake nothing, no not one word, of the pope. Such is the weight and credit of your authorities.

But for thy better satisfaction, good Christian reader, it is well known to any mean student in divinity, that not only the bishop of Rome, but also every other bishop within his own diocese was commonly called the highest priest, for that, within his own diocese, of all other priests he was the Tertullian saith: Dandi baptismum jus habet de Baptismo. de Baptismo. [c.17. p.230.] summus sacerdos, qui est episcopus: "The highest priest. that is, the bishop, hath authority to minister baptism." St. Augustine saith: Quid est episcopus, nisi primus presbyter, hoc est, summus sacerdos?" "What is a bishop but

quæst. ex utroque Testam. qu. stam. qu. 101. [iii. App. the first priest, that is to say, the highest priest 69?" 93. Ambros, lib.

1. epist. 5. [ii. 763.]

Aug. in

Tertullian.

St. Ambrose, writing not unto the pope, but unto Felix the bishop of Comum in France, saith thus: Suscepisti qubernacula summi sacerdotii: "Thou hast taken the government of the highest priesthood."

+ Ambros. De iis qui Initiantur, cap. 3. [ii. 327.]

3. cap. 32. [ed. Lat. Muscul.]

cap. 28.

Again he saith, speaking likewise of any one bishop: Vidisti summum sacerdotem interrogantem et consecrantem: "Thou sawest the highest priest examining the people, that was to be baptized, and consecrating the water."

I leave out sundry other like authorities of Origen, of Lactantius, of Athanasius, of Leo, of Victor, of Meltiades. Evagrius lib. and of others. Evagrius calleth Euphemius, and Gregorius the bishop of Antioch, summos sacerdotes, "the highest Ruffinus, lib. priests." Ruffinus calleth Athanasius the bishop of Alex-2. [l. lib. xi.] andria pontificem maximum, "the greatest or highest By these, I trust, it may appear, that the title

> 69 [These Quæstiones are not shewing the opinion of a writer genuine, but they are of value as subsequent to St. Augustine.]

or dignity of the highest priesthood was general and common to all bishops, and not only closed up and mortised only in the pope.

Besides all this, ye bring us a word, ye say, of greater sound: In Romana ecclesia semper viguit apostolicæ cathe-Ang. epist. dræ principatus: "In the Roman church the princehood 162. [ii. 91.] of the apostolic chair hath always flourished." Indeed, princehood and apostolic be jolly large words, and carry great sound, almost as great as the bell of Frideswise [l. Frideswide] 70; unto the sound of which bell ve wished once, in your sermon in Oxford, that your voice had been comparable, that you might, as you said then, "ring out in the dull ears of these papists." These were your words: ye may not forget them.

But fain would ye have the bishop of Rome should be a prince, to make up the sound. Notwithstanding in the council of Africa it was decreed thus: primæ sedis episco-Dist. 99. pus non appelletur princeps sacerdotum: "Let not the bishop of the first see be called the prince of priests."

But what if the sound of these words weigh no heavier than the former? or what if this word princehood be no more peculiar to the pope, than is the other of highest priesthood? Paulinus writing unto Alypius, not the great bishop of Rome, but the poor bishop, as I remember, of Tagasta, saith thus: Deus in civibus civitatis suæ princi- Inter Epistopalem te cum principibus populi sui, sede apostolica collo-epist. 35. [ii. cavit: "God hath placed thee amongst the citizens of 34-1 his city, in the apostolic see, being a principal or a chief with" (other bishops, that is to say, with) "the princes of his people." Here have you found the princehood of the see apostolic, not only in Rome, but also in the poor city of Tagasta. Likewise St. Chrysostom saith: Ad orandum Chrysost. nos assidue provocat (Paulus) apostolorum princeps: "Paul Deum, lib. t. the prince of the apostles calleth upon us to be always Lat. v. 594.] praying 71." So saith St. Gregory: Paulus.....obtinuit to-1 Reg. c. 10. 15. [Greg. in 70 [Elsewhere, where this story $\lambda\omega\nu$ $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$. The Bened. consider [ii. b.t. 2. 15. 15] is reported, this bell is called the genuineness of this work great hell of Osenov where it was about 1. Provided the state of the

71 [Chrysost.... ὁ τῶν ἀποστό-

doubtful. Bp. Jewel quotes from the Latin ed.1

great bell of Oseney, whence it was removed to St. Frideswide.]

Leo, ep. 62. [i. 622.] tius ecclesiæ principatum: "Paul obtained the princehood of the whole church?"." So saith Leo: Juvenalis episcopus, ad obtinendum Palestinæ provinciæ principatum, &c.: "Bishop Juvenal, that he might obtain the princehood of the province of Palestine," &c.

Amphilochius, [p. 224.]

Briefly, your own singular doctor Amphilochius writeth thus, not of the pope, but of St. Basil the bishop of Cæsarea: Additus est principibus sacerdotum magnus ipse princeps sacerdotum: "Basil, being dead, was laid with other bishops the princes of priests, being himself the great prince of priests?"." It was great folly, therefore, M. Harding, these titles thus lying in common, to encroach the same only to the pope. Notwithstanding, ye say, "Thus now, till then." Verily, when the pope himself shall begin to consider and to weigh your pleading, then will he say he had a very unskilful proctor.

Artic. 4. Divis. 30. [supra vol. ii. 287.] et 32, [ibid. p. 310.]

For answer to the rest, I remit you to my first Reply.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 2.

Which of the ancient fathers or doctors ever said, ${}^{\text{(Vol. iv. p. }}_{62.]}$ that both the swords are committed unto you?

M. HARDING.

Let S. Bernard, writing to a pope, answer for the pope. aHe Bern.de Cona Untruth. For his auis a sufficient witness. Where yourself do allege him much sider, lib. 4. thority is not sufficient, as against the pope, you cannot by the law justly refuse him, speakit shall aping for the pope. The spiritual sword you deny not, I trow. pear. Of the temporal sword, belonging also to the pope, thus saith St. Bernard to Eugenius: "He that denieth this sword to be thine, seemeth to me not to consider sufficiently the word of our b Put up thy Lord, saying thus (to Peter thy predecessor), 'b'Put up thy sword sword: ergo, into the scabbard.' The very same then is also thine, to be both swords, drawn forth perhaps at thy beck, though not with thy hand. A simple ar-Else, if the same belonged in no wise unto thee, whereas the gument, apostle said, 'Behold, there be two swords here,' our Lord would not have answered, 'It is enough,' but, It is too much. So both be the church's, the spiritual sword, and the material. is to be exercised for the church, and that of the church: that

⁷¹ [The genuineness of this work has been disputed.] $\frac{\partial \rho_{\chi} (\epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \nu, \ \delta \ d \rho_{\chi} (\epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{v} s) \tau \hat{v} \hat{s} \kappa \hat{\eta} - \rho \nu \hat{\xi} \nu, \ \hat{\eta} \ \mu \epsilon \gamma \hat{a} \lambda \hat{\eta} \ \tau \hat{v} \hat{v} \lambda \hat{\delta} \gamma \hat{o} \hat{v} \ \beta \rho \hat{o} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}.]}{72}$ [Amphiloch. $\pi \rho \hat{o} \hat{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \hat{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\eta} \ \tau \hat{o} \hat{s}$

by the hand of the priest, this of the soldier, but verily at the beck of the priest, and commandment of the emperor." Thus, touching the pope's both swords, you are fully answered by St. Bernard.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The pope hath power to claim authority without shame, Dist. 22, Om-Amongst others his unadvised and vain words, thus he nes. saith: Christus beato Petro, vità aterna clavigero, terreni simul et cœlestis imperii jura commisit: "Christ hath committed unto Peter, the key-bearer of everlasting life, the right both of the worldly, and also of the heavenly empire:" that is to say, the pope is emperor both of heaven and of earth. And therefore pope Bonifacius VIII., as it is said before, in the sight of the world, ware the crown imperial Paralipom. on his head, and commanded the *naked sword* to be borne sis. [p. 343. before him, and proclamation to be made: Ecce, duo gladii Carion. hic: "Behold, here are the two swords." I mean the same pope Bonifacius of whom it is written, "He entered [Anselm. Ryd. in Cat. as a fox: he reigned as a wolf: he died as a dog 73." ann. fol. 81.] Hereof it is written in concilio Vangionum: Utrunque, et Aventinus. imperium, et pontificatum, sicuti Decii et falsorum deorum [P. 350.] cultores factitare consueverunt, usurpat: "The pope wrongfully usurpeth both together, as well the worldly empire as the bishopric, as Decius and the worshippers of false gods were wont to do."

Yet St. Bernard saith, "The pope hath both swords:" but St. Bernard's authority in this case is but simple. He lived eleven hundred years after Christ's ascension, in the time of king Henry the First, the king of England, in the midst of the pope's rout and tyranny. Howbeit, touching his judgment and credit herein, let us rather hear one of your own doctors. Hervæus therefore saith thus: Ber-Johan de Parisiis, de nardus ponit, quod papa habet gladium materialem in nutu. Potestat Registrad, cum hoc, quod non est magnæ authoritatis, magis [p. 121.] est contra eos, quam pro eis: "Bernard saith that the pope hath the material or temporal sword at his commandment.

^{73 [}Supra vol. v. p. 409. This is reported in the Paraleip. Urspergensis, as well as by Ryd.]

But this saying of Bernard's, besides that it is of small force, maketh also more against them than with them." Johan de Pa- Again he saith: Unum istorum gladiorum Petrus non tetirisiis, c. 19. git, scilicet, sæcularem, qui suus non erat: "The one of [p. 135.] these two swords Peter never touched: I mean the worldly or temporal sword. For that sword was none of his."

Likewise ye may find it written in your own decrees Dist. 10. Quo- under the name of St. Cyprian: Christus actibus propriis, niam idem. ntam idem.
[1. Quoniam et dignitatibus distinctis, officia potestatis utriusque discremediator.] vit: "Christ" (hath not committed both these swords to one man's hand, but) "by several duties and sundry dignities hath severed the offices of either power." Whereupon your own Gloss saith thus: Ergo est argumentum, quod papa non habet utrunque gladium: "This therefore is a proof that the pope hath not both the swords."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 3.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that you [Vol. iv. p. have authority and right to call councils?

M. HARDING. Who hath authority to command the parts of the body, but

the head? aAnd that the pope is head, where it is amply declared,

a Untruth, great and manifest. For this was never yet proved. b Untruth: for Socrates

ye heard even now. Where you ask, which ever said that the pope hath authority to call councils? if you know not so much, b we tell you that Socrates, the writer of the Ecclesiastical His-Histor. Tritory, saith so, not speaking in his own person, but reporting an par. lib. 4. saith not so. old rule of the church in these words: Sed neque Julius interfuit maximæ Romæ præsul, neque in locum suum aliquem destinavit, cum utique regula ecclesiastica jubeat, non oportere præter sententiam Romani pontificis concilia celebrari: "But neither Julius the standing in false transla- bishop of great Rome was present" (at the council of Antiochia), tion. Read "neither sent he any man in his place, whereas the ecclesiastical rule commandeth, that without the advice and will of the pope of Rome, no councils be kept." And as Socrates witnesseth of the calling of councils, so doth Sozomenus witness of the things done in them: Cum sacerdotali lege constitutum sit, pro irritis Lib. 3. c. 10. haberi debere, quæ præter sententiam episcopi Romani geruntur: "Whereas" (saith he) "it hath been ordained by a law of bishops,

that what things be done" (in any council) "besides the advice and will of the bishop of Rome, they ought to be taken for none, and void." If you will see more for this authority of calling

c Untruth: standing in the answer.

councils, read Rescriptum Julii Papæ contra Orientales: Epist. Athanasii et Ægyptiorum Pontificum ad Felicem Papam 73. This matter is also fully answered.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here hath M. Harding brought in a show of great authorities without sense. For answer whereof it may please thee, gentle reader, to consider the fourth article of Artic. 4. Div. my former Reply. Notwithstanding, amongst all these vol. ii. p. 260. [supra my former Reply. Notwithstanding, amongst all these vol. ii. p. 260.] words of pope Julius, Socrates, Cassiodorus, and forged Athanasius, there is not one word of power and authority to call councils. Only thus much they say: "No decree Mħ δεῦν κα-may pass in council without the agreement and consent of καλησίας. the bishop of Rome:" for that he was one of the four [Socrata 2. c. 17. tom, ii. principal patriarchs, and ought to have his voice there as 96.] well as others. It is a principle ruled in law: Quod omnes Regula Juris. tangit, ab omnibus debet approbari: "That toucheth all must be allowed by all."

But lest you should think this was the pope's only prerogative, and belonged to none other besides him, the same
Socrates writeth the very like words as well of the bishop
of Constantinople as of the pope. Thus he saith: Et hoc Socr. lib. 7.
fecerunt, contemnentes legem, qua cavetur, ne quis eligatur p. 377.]

'Αμελήσανpræter sententiam episcopi Constantinopolitani: "Thus did τες τοῦ νόthey, not regarding the decree, whereby order was taken μου κελεόthat no bishop should be chosen without the consent of γνώμην τοῦ
the bishop of Constantinople." Yet may not M. Harding Κωνστωντιconclude hereof, that therefore the bishop of Constantinople νουπόλεος
λειροτονίαν
μη γίνεσθαι.

Eneas Sylvius, that afterward himself was pope, named Pius the Second, writeth thus: His authoritatibus mirum in modum putant se armatos, qui negant concilia fieri posse sine consensu papæ. Quorum sententia, si, ut ipsi volunt, inviolata persistat, ruinam secum ecclesiæ trahit: "They that say no council may be kept without the consent of the pope, think themselves marvellously fenced by these authorities. But if their saying hold and take place as they would have it, it will draw with it the decay and ruin of the church."

73 [These are all spurious. Bened. ed. tom. ii. App.]

The four first great councils, of Nice, of Ephesus, of Chalcedon, of Constantinople, and the rest, as it shall afterward more largely appear, were always called by the emperors, and not by the pope. As for the pope, he had not yet the whole world at his commandment, nor any such universal authority to call councils; but rather was commanded himself, as other bishops were, by the emperor's authority, to come to councils, as it shall appear.

Therefore where you would conclude thus, "The pope was head of the church; ergo, he had authority to call councils:" we may rather, and much better, turn your tale backward, and say thus: The pope had no authority to call councils; ergo, he was not head of the church.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 4.

Which of the ancient fathers or doctors ever said, [vol. iv. p. the whole world is but your diocese?

M. HARDING.

He that said to Peter, "Feed my lambs," and, "Feed my Johan. xxi. a God know. sheep:" awhich lambs and sheep all Christian men be thorough eth, here is a the world. sheepish rea. the world. sou.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The canonists, that is to say, the pope's pages of honour, a Extr. de have not doubted to infeoff their master with the posses-Appellation. Ut debitus. sion of all the world. One saith thus: a Dominus papa est c. 59.] In [suppl. judex] ordinarius omnium hominum: "Our lord the Glossa. [Si dicit.] dleit.] b Extr. [l. in pope is the ordinary or bishop of all men." Another saith: 6to.] de statu b Papa totius mundi obtinet principatum: "The pope hath periculos, in periculos. in Gloss. [lib. 3. the princehood of all the world." Another saith: cPapa c. 16. o.] c Extra [l. est episcopus totius orbis: "The pope is the bishop of the in 6to.] de whole world." Another saith: dPapa, etiam cessante ne-Pœnis Felicis. In Gloss. gligentia prælatorum, potest conferre beneficia totius orbis: c 5. p. 628.] d Extr. dequia ipse est ordinarius totius mundi: "Though there Concess. Concess. Præbendæ, et be no default or negligence in any bishop, yet may the Eccles. non pope bestow the benefices of all the world: for that he is vacantis. Quia diversithe bishop of all the world." Therefore when the chief Panorm. tom. iii. pt. 1. deacon investeth or enrobeth the pope at his consecration. fol. 57. col. 3.]

he saith unto him, Ego investio te de papatu, ut præsis urbi Ceremon. Hb. et orbi: "I do invest thee with the popedom, that thou" mayest rule both the city and the world 74."

Of this infinite ambition and inordinate tyranny many good men have often complained. Franciscus Zabarella, Fran. Zababeing himself a cardinal of Rome, saith thus: Ex hoc in-Tractt. fol. finiti sequuti sunt errores: quia papa occupavit omnia jura inferiorum ecclesiarum: et nisi Deus succurrat statui ecclesiarum, universa ecclesia periclitatur: "Hereof have ensued infinite errors: for that the pope hath invaded the right of all inferior churches. And unless God help the state of the churches, the universal church is in ieopardy."

The learned lady Anna, daughter unto the emperor Anna in Historia Greeca: Alexius and Irene, in her story that she wrote in Greek, [E. 31. c.] among many other things to like purpose, writeth thus: καὶ τοῦτο Papa est dominus totius mundi, quemadmodum Latini τῆς ἀλαζοquidem putant, et prædicant: est enim etiam hæc pars quædam illorum insolentiæ: "The pope is the lord of all the world, as the Latins think and speak of him: for this is one piece of their ambition."

This hath been the late wanton claim of the pope's canonists. Otherwise the ancient learned fathers have evermore bounded and limited the pope within his own particular jurisdiction. Ruffinus saith, the fathers in the council of Nice appointed the pope to oversee the churches of his own suburbs; Ut Romanus episcopus suburbicarum Histor. Eccl. ecclesiarum sollicitudinem gerat. Athanasius saith: Roma x.] cap. 6. est metropolis Romanæ ditionis: "Rome is the mother Athanas, ad solitariam vichurch" (not of all the universal world, but) "of the tam agentes. Roman" (particular) "jurisdiction 75." The bishops in the council of Rome write thus to the bishops of Illyricum: Par est, omnes qui sunt in orbe Romano magistros conve-Sozom. lib. 6. nire: "It is convenient, that all the bishops that be within Idertas Tobs the jurisdiction of Rome should accord together." Flavia- ἐν Ῥωμαίων κόσμφ διδαnus, the archbishop of Constantinople, writeth thus to Leo σκάλους

74 [See supra vol. iv. p. 429. According to the Ceremoniary of πολις ή Ρώμη τῆς Ρωμανίας ἐστὶν, 1572 (Col. Agripp.) this custom εὐλαβήθησαν.] was become obsolete. Fol. 16. b.]

75 [Athanas. οῦθ' ὅτι μητρό-

Inter Epistolas Leon. Epist. 9. [tom. 1. 501.]

the bishop of Rome: Dignetur sanctitas vestra indicare impietatem Eutychetis omnibus episcopis sub beatitudine vestra degentibus: "Let your holiness vouchsafe to make known the wickedness of Eutyches to all the bishops that live under you 76." To all the bishops, he saith, "that live under you." Not unto all bishops through the world. St. Hierom, speaking of the usage and order of the church

ad Evagrium.

Hieronymus of Rome, saith thus: Quid mihi profers unius urbis con-[iv.pt.2.803.] suetudinem? "What allegest thou me the custom of one city?" So much he abridgeth the pope's jurisdiction, that he extendeth it not unto the lists and ends of all the world, but restraineth it only to the limits of one city. Likewise again, speaking of the bishop of Rome, he saith thus: Non solum unius urbis, sed etiam totius orbis errant episcopi: "Then not only the bishop of one town," (which was the bishop of Rome,) "but also the bishops of all the world are

Hieron, adversus Vigi-lantium. [iv. pt. 2. 284.]

deceived."

Thus therefore writeth Gennadius, together with the council of Constantinople, unto the bishop of Rome: Curet sanctitas tua universas tuas custodias, tibique subjectos episcopos: "Let your holiness see unto" (not all the whole world, but) "all your own charge, and such bishops as be subject unto you."

Gennadius ad omnes metropolitanos, et ad papam Roman. [ap. Theol. Lat. p. 46.]

By these few we see the bishop of Rome's power was not universal, or infinite, over all the churches and kingdoms of the world, but certain, and limited within his own particular jurisdiction.

As for the reasons ye use for proof hereof, I marvel ye would ever trouble the world with so childish follies. Christ said unto Peter, Feed my sheep: ergo, say you, "The whole world is the pope's diocese." A good sheep would have made a better argument.

⁷⁶ [Flavianus Leoni ὥστε καὶ τὴν σὴν ὁσιότητα γνοῦσαν τὰ κατ' αὐτὸν, πᾶσι τοῖς ὑπὸ τὴν σὴν θεο-

σέβειαν τελοῦσι θεοφιλεστάτοις ἐπισκόποις δήλην ποιησαι την αὐτοῦ δυσσέβειαν.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 5.

[Vol. iv. p. 63.] Which of the holy ancient fathers ever said, that all bishops have received of your fulness?

M. HARDING.

Besides others, so hath aSt. Bernard said in his book, De con- a A simple sideratione ad Eugenium: where he saith, that he is called in ple-authority. nitudinem potestatis, "into the fulness of power."

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

There is no folly so vain, but by some shift may be maintained. In your Gloss, M. Harding, it is written thus: a Omnes subjecti sunt motioni papæ, et sunt in illo, a Clemen.lib. quasi membra de membro: "All men are subject unto the de Hæret. pope's will, and are in him as members of a member." [1. ad not strum.] In Another saith: bEcclesia non habet potestatem aliquam Gloss. [lit. jurisdictionis, nisi a Petro: "The church hath no power b Petr de Paof jurisdiction, but only from Peter." And again: cA Petro testa Pap. post Christum, spiritualis gratia et potestas derivatur: lud. de potestas "Next after Christ, spiritual grace and power is derived Apostol. from Peter." And therefore another of your doctors saith: Omnes episcopi descendunt a papa, quasi membra a capite: Durand. and all they receive of his fulness:" that is to say, power of his power, and grace of his grace. All these vanities M. Harding thinketh may be well borne out by two bare words of St. Bernard.

But St. Augustine, many hundred years before Bernard was born, wrote thus: Nos quidem accipere possumus hoc Aug. de Tridit. lib. 15.
donum pro modulo nostro: fundere autem illud super alios cap. 26. [viii. non possumus. Sed ut hoc fiat, Deum super eos, a quo hoc efficitur, invocamus: "Indeed we may receive the gift of God according to our portion: but to pour the same upon others we are not able. Notwithstanding, in their behalf we call upon God, that is the worker hereof, that he will do it."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 6.

Which of all the ancient doctors ever said, "that [Vol. iv. p. all power is given to you, as well in heaven, as in earth ?"

M. HARDING.

a Untruth, undiscreet and vaiu. For no ancient doctor or father ever uttered so fond words.

a All they which speak of the ministerial power, whereby, under Christ, the militant church by him is governed. But if you mean absolutely, as your words seem to sound, so no discreet catholic man ever said, or thought.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, by a pretty distinction of power absolute, and power not absolute, Christ and his vicar are set together to part tenures. Howbeit, what manner of power it is that the pope claimeth, his own proctors and counsellors can tell us best. Cardinal Hostiensis saith: Excepto peccato, papa potest quicquid Deus ipse potest: "Sin only excepted, the pope hath power to do whatsoever God himself can do 77."

Episcop. Quanto, Hostien. [tom. 1. fol. 84. col. 1. No. 12.]

Extr. de translation.

> Addition. R M. Harding. "This is false and slan-Addition. derous. This is a most manifest, and out of all question, foul corruption. Certainly Hostiensis saith not so. But having reckoned certain things wherein the pope hath authority under God, as Christ's high officer, he concludeth with these words: Breviter, excepto peccato, quasi omnia de jure potest, ut Deus: 'Briefly, excepted sin, he hath power, as a man would say, in all things of right, as God.' Thus saith Hostiensis, and not as M. Jewel belieth him, &c. It is said by the learned canonists, that the consistory of God, and of the pope, is one consistory, as a bishop's and his chancellor's consistory is one and the same consistory. Now let us consider the impudency of this false minister. First he avoucheth his shameless lie boldly, as though where truth faileth, for show of truth, the matter might he stouted out. The words, saith he, be most mani-

M. Harding, fol. 67. a. fol. 67. b.

> 77 [The exact words in Hostiensis himself are these; "et breviter excepto peccato quasi omnia reports them in the next page.]

de jure potest ut Deus:" in Abbas Pan. they stand as bishop Jewel fest, and out of all question: Excepto peccato, papa potest quicquid Deus ipse potest: that is to say, 'The pope can do as much as God himself can do, sin excepted.' what if these words be not most manifest? Is it not then a most manifest impudency so to affirm of them? Is not this minister a minister of lies? &c. First he hath nipt away this word, breviter, then this word, quasi, which mitigateth and qualifieth the saving: then again those other words of necessary importance, omnia, de jure, and ut. Next he corrupted the sentence by putting to these words of his own, quicquid, and ipse, &c. Who ever saw one little poor sentence so nipt, so hackt, so hewed and mangled, so turned, and cast in a new mould?" The Answer. It fareth with you, M. Harding, as it did sometime with a good honest plain man, that told his friend upon a reckoning that he would not be answered with five pounds, but would have fifteen good nobles, every penny: and that he would not be so mocked. For although there be some alteration in these words, yet in sense and meaning there is as great odds as is between fifteen nobles, and five pound. You say; "I have nipt, and hackt, and hewn these words, and have left out this word breviter." Now verily, M. Harding, any wise man may think, this is a very simple quarrel for a man of your learning. For if I had nipt off this word breviter, as indeed I have not, yet what would that make to the hacking and hewing of the sense? But you say, I have left out other words besides, as omnia, de jure, and ut, words, as you tell us, of necessary importance. I beseech you, M. Harding, if you have any such fancy to these words, put them all in again, and much good may they do you. So shall your sentence be this: Papa potest omnia de jure, ut Deus potest: "The pope may do all things of right, as being God: or, as God can do." Methinketh hereby the matter is but coarsely mended. It seems worse than it was before. For thus must you say: The pope of right may do all things as God may So much have you gained by adding of these necessary and special words, de jure, and ut. Notwith-Decretal. standing, in abbas Panormitanus, out of whom I alleged part, 1. [61, 123, col. 4.f.]

these words of Hostiensis, ye shall find neither breviter, nor de, nor jure, nor ut neither. So necessary is their importance. Thus have you three of your greatest canons easily discharged with little ado: unless you will likewise say, that Abbas Panormitanus is also a false minister, and impudently belieth Hostiensis. Wherein certainly, M. Harding, you have a special grace to speak at pleasure.

Of the other side you say: I have added vehement words of mine own, to enforce the matter. For whereas Hostiensis saith only, Deus, "God;" I have imagined him to say, Deus ipse, "God himself." And I pray you M. Harding, what difference find you between God, and God himself? Is God himself one, and God another? I thank God, I know none other God, but God himself. This then, I trow, must be your meaning: The pope can do all things (not that God himself can do, for that you say were blasphemy, but) that God can do.

Again (you say) I have left out this word omnia. But you might easily have seen, that in stead thereof I placed quicquid. And I would think, that quicquid were as much as omnia quæ: unless you can shew us some pretty new Lovanian grammar to the contrary.

Once again you say: I have left out this word quasi, which word (you say) mitigateth and qualifieth the saying. Then, I trow, this saying is such as hath need of some mitigation. Howbeit indeed this is but a quasi quarrel, M. Harding. If your pope may not be God himself, yet at least ye would have him to be a quasi God. It shameth me thus to encumber the world with such vanities. But your importunity, M. Harding, enforceth me further than I would.

The very words in Abbas Panormitanus, reported out of Hostiensis 78, are these: Papa et Christus faciunt unum consistorium: ita quod, excepto peccato, potest papa quasi omnia facere quæ potest Deus: "The pope and Christ

Extr. de translatione prælat. C. Quanto, Abb. [l. Host. tom. 1. fol. 84. col. 1. No. 11, et 12.]

^{78 [}The reference in the margin should be not to Abbas Panorm., but to Hostiensis himself. The true reference to Abbas is, "Super

^{1&}lt;sup>ma</sup> parte primi Decretal. de Electione, cap. Licet de vitanda, tom. 1. part. 1. fol. 123. col. 4. f.]

make one consistory, or one judgment-seat: so that, sin excepted, the pope in a manner may do all things that God may do." These be the words, M. Harding. Let some lawyer turn your books. You shall find them so. And here once again I tell you, you have neither breviter, nor de, nor jure, nor ut, nor any other just cause why ye should fare so terribly with poor ministers.

Whereas Hostiensis saith, "The pope and Christ make one consistory;" "This" (you say) "is well said by the learned canonists: as a bishop's and his chancellor's consistory is one and the same consistory." Your meaning herein, I trow, is this; That God is the bishop, and the M. Hardling, pope his chancellor: and as there lieth no appeal from the 67. b. chancellor to the bishop, so there lieth no appeal from the Sexto, lib. 1.

de Consuepope to God, for that the pope and God have one only con-tuding. Nontuding. Nontuding. Nontuding. Nontuding. sistory: and the law saith; Ab uno ad seipsum non est [tit. 1. c. 2.] appellatio.

But why shew you yourself so squeamish, and so dangerous in these words, "The pope may do whatsoever God may do?" You may remember, that your canonists have moved questions, Whether the pope be God, or no. You may remember, that the pope hath suffered himself to be called God. For thus one said unto him presently before his face in the council of Lateran without rebuke: Tu es alter [Conc. La-Deus in terris: "Thou art another God in the earth 79, duin,ix, 165.] You may remember, that the pope suffereth his canonists thus to publish and to blaze his Godhead to the world in printed books: Dominus Deus noster papa: "Our Lord Extrav. Jo-han, 22, Cum God the pope so." Thus, and even with these selfsame inter: in gloss. [col. express words, hath it been printed often, and in sundry 140. F.] express words, hath it been printed often, and in sundry 141. Farls, and

1513, and at

79 [This almost incredible impiety was pronounced by Christopher Marcellus in the fourth session of the Lateran council, A. D. 1512. He is addressing Julius in the name of the church. "Cura denique ut salutem quam dedisti nobis, et vitam et spiritum non amittamus. Tu enim pastor, tu medicus, tu gubernator, tu cultor, tu denique alter Deus in

terris." With such a passage as this before them, it is hardly worth the papists' while to dispute the genuineness of the phrase " Dominum Deum nostrum papam."

80 [Supra vol. ii. p. 195. note 35. In further illustration of this blasphemy, see also infra chapter 6. div. 12. (fol. ed. p. 481.)]

Llons, anno 1555. De Pæn. Dist. 3. glossa.]

places. Yet have I not heard of any pope that ever found fault with the printing. You may remember, that whereas Quamvis. [in St. Augustine saith, Quis audeat dicere Deo? "Who dareth to say thus to God? your canonists have made up and bettered the matter in this sort: Quis audeat dicere

Ceremoniarum, lib. 1. cap. 2. [fol. 5. 6.]

Deo vel papæ? "Who dareth to say thus to God, or to the pope?" Thus they say, as if there were some equality between the pope and God. You may remember, it is written in the Ceremoniary of the church of Rome: Moderatio imperii Romani pertinet ad papam, Dei vices gerentem in terris, tanquam ad eum, per quem reges regnant: "The government of the Roman empire belongeth to the pope, being God's vicar in earth, as unto him by whom kings rule, and wear their crowns." And what is he, M. Harding, by whom kings be kings, and have their authority, but only God? You may remember these words were spoken in a council holden in Rome, in the pope's own palace of Lateran, even in the presence and hearing of the pope: In papa est omnis potestas supra omnes potestates, tam cæli, quam terræ: "In the pope is all manner of power above all powers, as well of heaven, as of earth".....I beseech you, good M. Harding, what power may this be, but only the power of God himself?

Conc. Lateran, sub Leo. 10. in Oratione Stephan. Patracen. [Harduin. ix. 1789.]

Fran. Zabade Imp. fol.

You may remember, Franciscus Zabarella saith: Pana rel. [In Syntage Tracti. facit quicquid libet, etiam illicita, et est [al. sic] plus quam de Imp. 101. 243.col.1.B.] Deus: "The pope doth whatsoever he listeth, yea although it be unlawful, and is more than God so." Thus you see, M. Harding, your pope is a God of the earth: your pope is Lord and God: your pope is he, by whom kings are kings: your pope hath power above all powers, either in heaven, or in earth: your pope is more than God. Give these words what sense or incense may like you best: embalm them with your most favourable and sweetest constructions, ye shall never be able to make them sayoury: when all your doctrine is sifted, the bottom thereof is this: Sin only excepted, the pope in a manner may do all things

^{80 [}Supra vol. v. p. 392. note mous flattery, only to condemn ¹⁶: and vol. iv. p. 257. note ¹⁶. Zabarella mentions this blasphe-

that God may do. Therefore, M. Harding, call not the ministers of God's truth, the ministers of lies. He hath of long time ministered lies unto the world, that, being a wretched sinful man, hath stalled himself in the place of God. And you, forcing all your wits and learning to uphold and sooth him in his blasphemy, must needs be a minister of open lies.

Stephanus, the bishop of Patraca, in your late council at Lateran in Rome, saith thus: In papa est omnis potestas In Conc. Lasupra omnes potestates, tam cæli, quam terræ: "All power Leone session, 10. is in the pope above all the powers, as well of heaven, as [Harduin. of earth."

And to make the matter plain, your own Bernard him-Citatur in self saith: Tibi data est omnis potestas: in qua, qui totum Lateran. dicit, nihil excludit: "All manner of power is given to thee: he that saith all, excepteth nothing."

And Abbot Panormitane saith: Plenitudo potestatis Extra de superat omnem legem positivam 81: et sufficit quod in papa sit cap. 1. pro ratione voluntas: "The fulness of power passeth all positive law: and it is sufficient in the pope, that Will stand in stead of Reason."

This is that power that M. Harding here hath so closely conveyed in under the cloud of his distinction.

But Baldus, that by experience saw the practice hereof, Baldus. saith thus: Hæc plenitudo potestatis est plenitudo tempestatis: "This fulness of power is a fulness of tempest 82."

Another of your doctors saith: Bernardus nullam pote-Johan. de statem ponit in papa quam non ponit in prælatis inferiori- 18. [l. cap. bus: licet in papa ponat summam: "Bernard alloweth 19. p. 134.] no power unto the pope, but he alloweth the same to other inferior bishops. Notwithstanding, he alloweth the greatest power unto the pope." St. Bernard himself saith to like purpose: Sic factitando, probatis vos habere plenitudinem Bernar. de potestatis: sed justitiæ forte non ita: "Thus doing and consideradealing, ye shew yourself to have the fulness of power: ii. 432.] but perhaps not likewise the fulness of justice."

^{81 [}There is some mistake in to: in Glossa.] Extra de Transl. Episcopi: Quan- dus.]

this reference; the latter part of the quotation will be found in had access to the works of Bal-

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 7.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that [Vol. iv. p. neither king, nor prince, nor the whole clergy, nor all the people together, are able to be judges over you?

M. HARDING.

a Worthy reasons.

cellinus, being pope, had committed open idolatry in making sacrifice unto devils.

a What sheep shall be judges over their shepherd? For, as the fathers of the most ancient council of Sinuessa said in the b This Mar- cause of b Marcellinus the pope, Nemo unquam judicavit pontificem: "No man ever judged the pope, nor any prelate his high priest." Quoniam prima sedes non judicabitur a quoquam: "Because the first see shall not be judged of anybody."

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY. In these two points standeth the pope's guard of trust,

and the keep and castle of all his power. First, the church of Rome, whatsoever way she take, can never err: next, the pope, whatsoever he do, may never be called to any reckoning. These two points being granted, the rest is One of your doctors saith thus: Omne factum sanc-Parisiis, de Potest. Reg. tissimi patris interpretari debemus in bonum. Et siquidem fuerit furtum, vel aliud ex se malum, interpretari debemus, quod divino instinctu fiat: "We must expound every act of the holy father for the best. And if it be theft, or any other thing that of itself is evil," (as advoutery, or fornica-

SI papa.

Johan, de

et Papali.

[p. 142.]

tion,) "we must think it is done by the secret inspiration Distinct. 40. of God." Another saith: Si papa.....innumerabiles populos catervatim secum ducat [suppl. primo] mancipio gehenna, cum ipso plagis multis in æternum vapulaturos, hujus culpas arquere præsumat [al. præsumit] mortalium nullus: "If the pope draw infinite companies of people by heaps together with himself into hell, to be punished with him with many stripes for ever, yet let no mortal man presume to reprove his faults."

9. Quæst. 3. Cuncta.

Another saith: Papa solutus est omni lege humana: "The pope is exempted from all law of man 83." Another

^{83 [}There is no such sentence in Caus. 9. Qu. 3: Cuncta.]

saith: Sacrilegii instar esset, disputare de facto papæ...... Dist. 40.
Facta papæ excusantur, ut homicidia Samsonis: ut furta In Glossa.
Hebræorum: ut adulterium Jacob: "It is a sin as great as sacrilege, or church-robbing, to reason of any the pope's doings. For his acts are excused, as Samson's murders: as the Jews' robberies: and as the advouteries of Jacob."
Another saith: Nec totus clerus, nec totus mundus potest Petr. de Papapam judicare, aut deponere: "Neither all the clergy, Potest Pap. nor all the whole world, may either judge, or depose the pope." And again: Papa in nullo casu, quamdiu est papa, Idem eod. propter quodcunque crimen potest deponi, nec a concilio, nec a tota ecclesia, nec a toto mundo: "The pope, while he is pope, cannot in any case, for any offence by him committed, be deposed, neither by the general council, nor by all the church, nor by the whole world."

And all this they are well able to prove by good sub-In Epist. stantial authority of the scriptures. For thus they reason: Pape.
"The scholar is not above his master: the servant is not [Crabb. ii. 753.]
above his lord." And again: The axe boasteth not itself Inter Decreta against the carpenter that heweth with it: ergo, No man [613.]
may accuse the pope.

Interior.

Therefore another of your doctors saith: Judicare de Johan. de factis papæ, hoc aliqui dicunt esse, tangere montem, et zo. [cup. 23. ponere os in cœlum: "To judge of the pope's deeds, this some men say is to touch the holy mount," (wherein God gave the law, and shewed himself to Moses,) "and to set the face against the heavens." And the pope himself saith: "The accusing of him is the sin against the Holy Coneil. tom. I. in purga-Ghost, which shall never be forgiven, neither in this tione Sixti. [ed. Crabb. p. 607.]

Thus may the pope depose kings and princes, and trouble the whole state of the world, and do what he list, without controlment. Yet may no man dare say unto him, Sir, why do ye so? Therefore the accusers of pope Symmachus said in the presence of king Theodoricus: Succes-Ennodius. sores Petri una cum sedis privilegiis peccandi quoque licentiam accepisse: "The pope maketh his boast, that together with the power of teaching, he hath received free liberty to do ill."

Conc. tom. 1. In Marcel-184, 189.]

Pope Marcellinus, whose name ye have alleged out of lino. [Crabb. the council of Sinuessa, for defence hercof, was an apostata, and had forsaken Christ, and being pope in Rome, had made sacrifice unto devils. All this notwithstanding, ye say, No mortal man might accuse him. Thus hath the pope a special prerogative and premunire to forsake Christ, and to commit open idolatry, and to give honour and sacrifice unto devils, without controlment.

Yet St. Paul accused St. Peter, even unto his face, in

Gal. li. 11. p. 127.]

Cyprian. [ad the presence of many. And St. Cyprian saith: Petrus..... se non vindicavit, seu aliquid insolenter assumpsit, ut diceret, se primatum tenere, et obtemperari sibi a novellis, et posteris oportere: "Peter" (being thus checked openly by St. Paul) "neither revenged himself, nor took any thing proudly upon him, as to say, that he had the primacy, or that others, that were but novices and aftercomers," (as Paul was,) "ought to be obedient unto him 84." Mena, Niceph. lib. 17. cap. 26. [ii. 774.] the bishop of Constantinople, judged and excommunicated Sezom. lib. pope Vigilius. The bishops of the east church judged and excommunicated and deposed pope Julius. One of your doctors saith: Si papa committat crimen depositione Repertorio. dignum, debet puniri, acsi esset unus rusticus: "If the pope commit an offence wherefore he should justly be deposed, he ought to be punished, as if he were a clown of the country." Your neighbours of Leodium, in their Concil. tom. epistle against pope Paschalis, write thus: Remoto Romanæ ambitionis typho, cur de gravibus, et manifestis, non reprehendantur, et corrigantur Romani episcopi? Qui reprehendi et corrigi non vult, pseudo est, sive episcopus, sive clericus: "Setting apart the pride of Romish ambition, the crimes being great and manifest, why may not the bishops of

> Rome both be reproved, and also corrected? He that flieth rebuke and correction is a false man, whether he be priest

2. Epist. Leodien. contra Paschalem Pap. [Crabb. li.

814.] ψευδής.

3. cap. 10. · [al. 11. ii.

Felinus in

Dignitas.

107.]

84 [S. Cypr. ad Quint. "Nam nec Petrus quem primum Dominus elegit, et super quem ædificavit ecclesiam suam, cum secum Paulus de circumcisione postmodum

or bishop."

disceptaret, vindicavit sibi aliquid insolenter, aut arroganter assumpsit, ut diceret se primatum tenere, et obtemperari a novellis et posteris sibi potius oportere."]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 8.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that kings and emperors, by Christ's will and commandment, receive their authority at your hands?

Vol. iv. p.

M. HARDING.

What is to be answered hereto a you may gather of that is a There may you find no alleged before out of St. Bernard, speaking of both swords.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

It is evident by the record and general consent of all Niceph. lib. ancient writers, that the pope hath neither possession, nor [ed. 1506.] foot of lands, nor house to dwell in, nor the name of Bonif. III. universal bishop, nor charter, nor liberty, nor jurisdiction, sabel in but that he hath received, either of the French kings, or Phoca. [Enof the emperors. Yet would he now bear the world in hand, that the emperor hath nothing, neither lands, nor honour, nor power, nor right, nor sword, nor jurisdiction, but only from him. If any man doubt hereof, besides other testimonies of antiquity, let him read that most vain and childish donation that the pope himself hath forged under the name of the emperor Constantine. St. Ambrose saith: Si non vis esse obnoxius Cæsari, noli habere, quæ Ambr. in Lusunt mundi. Si habes divitias, obnoxius es Cæsari: "If cap. 20. [i. thou wilt not be subject to the prince, then possess not the things that be of the world. If thou have worldly riches, then art thou subject unto the prince." Likewise St. Augustine: Dices, [al. Noli dicere] Quid mihi, et regi? Aug. in Johan. [trac. 6. Augustine: Dies, [ai. 1700 actor) Quid tibi ergo, et possessioni? per jura regum possessiones [ii. pt. 2.341.] possidentur: "Thou wilt say," (as the pope saith,) "What Epist. Leodien. contra have I to do with the prince? What then hast thou to do Paschalem. Concil. tom. with lands? For possessions are holden" (not by the pope's 2. [Crabb. right, but) "by the right of kings and princes."

Charles the French king, nephew to Charles the Great, Citatur ab Illyrico Inter wrote thus unto pope Adrian: Imperatores jus distinguentestes Veritat. p. 102. dorum negotiorum episcopis sanctis juxta divalia constituta [100. 107.]

permiserunt: non autem episcoporum villici extiterunt: " Emperors, by their commissions under their great seals, have granted unto bishops authority to hear causes, but they themselves were never stewards or bailiffs unto Joh. de Paris, bishops." One of your own late doctors saith: "Papa habet gladium (civilem) ex commissione et permissione principis: "The pope hath the temporal sword or civil jurisdiction, by the commission and sufferance of the prince." Therefore it seemeth great folly to say, The prince hath his sword or jurisdiction only by the commission of the pope.

de Potestate Regia, cap. 19. [p. 135.]

Extra [l. Extrav, Comm.] de Majorit. et Obed. Unam sanctam, in tio. p. 192.]

Your own barbarous Gloss saith: Imperator in temporalibus habet potestatem a solo Deo.....Et imperium fuit, antequam apostolatus esset: "The emperor in temporal Gloss. [addi-things hath his authority" (not from the pope, but) "from God only. And the empire was, before the apostles were."

ad verum. In Gloss, 23 quæst. 4. Quæsitum.

Dist. 96. Cum Again: Imperator non habet gladium a papa,sed imperium est a solo Deo: "The emperor hath not his sword of the pope: but the empire is only from God." Again:

ad verum. In Glossa.

Dist. 96. Cum Ex sola electione principum, dico, verum esse imperatorem, antequam confirmetur a papa: "I say, that the emperor is lieg. Dist. 93.
Legimus: in a very right and perfect emperor, by the only election of the princes, yea before he be confirmed by the pope."

Ceremon. lib. 1. sect. 5. cap. 7. [fol. 69.]

What shall we need mo witnesses? Your very Ceremoniary of Rome saith thus: Hoc affirmamus, ante Carolum Magnum, neminem imperii Romani coronam ex manu Romani pontificis Romæ suscepisse: "Thus we say, that before the emperor Charles the Great (that is, for the space of eight hundred years after Christ) no man ever received the crown of the Roman empire at Rome by the hands of the bishop of Rome." St. Bernard hereof thus writeth unto the pope: Esto, ut alia quacunque ratione hoc tibi vendices: non tamen apostolico jure: nec illud [leg. enim] tibi dare, quod non habuit, Petrus potuit: "Be it that ye claim this right by some other means: yet by the apostles' right ye cannot claim it: neither could Peter give you that right that he himself never had."

Bernardus De Considerat. lib. 2. [cap. 6. II. 419. D.]

The emperor Ludovicus the Fourth saith thus: Mea

potestas non pendet a papa, sed a Deo immediate. Et Paralipom. vanum est, quod dici solet, papam non habere superiorem: Ludov. IV. "My power hangeth not of the pope, but immediately of God alone. And it is but a peevish vain tale that they say, the pope hath no superior." Johannes Major saith: Bonifacius Octavus.....multum apparenter definivit, quod Joh. Major. Romanus pontifex est supra reges in temporalibus: quod Dist. 20. qu. tamen oculatissimi theologi dicunt esse falsum: "Pope col. 1.] Bonifacius the Eighth hath concluded with great show of reason, that, even in temporal causes, the pope is above kings. But I may tell you, the wisest divines say, it is but a false tale." Johannes de Parisiis saith: In tempo-Joh. de Paris. ralibus, potestas sæcularis major est potestate spirituali, Regia et Panec, quoad ista, est ei subjecta in aliquo: "In temporal [p. 113.] causes the temporal power is greater than the spiritual power, and touching the same, is not subject to it in any point." Again he saith: Si imperator habet potestatem Joh. de Paris. suam immediate a papa, ergo, imperator est minister papæ: 120.] "If the emperor receive his power immediately from the pope, then is the emperor the pope's servant."

All these authorities notwithstanding, the pope himself saith of himself: "The emperor hath no right nor authority, but only of me." And touching the last objection of Parisiensis, that the emperor should be the pope's servant, he thinketh it may be well admitted without any great inconvenience. For thus saith one of his privy council: Iste Romanus imperator, est procurator et defen-Dist. 96. Si Imperator. in sor Romanæ ecclesiæ: "This Roman emperor is" (nothing Gloss. else, but) "the proctor and steward of the church of Rome." Cardinal Hostiensis saith: [suppl. Hostiensis dicit quod | imperator est feudatarius Romanæ ecclesiæ: Lupoldus de "The emperor is a vassal or a freedman of the church of et Imp. in Rome." And pope Innocentius saith: Imperator tenet Extrade foro imperium a papa. Unde tenetur præstare papæ juramen-Competen. c. tum homagii, scilicet, quod vasallus præstare solet domino suo: "The emperor holdeth his empire of the pope. And therefore he is bound to swear homage and fealty to the pope, as the vassal is bound to his lord." I think the pope

will desire to have no more. The emperor is brought to be his man.

Joh. de Paris. de potest. Regia et Papali, cap. 5. [cap. 6. p. 114.]

Likewise saith Johannes de Parisiis: Dicunt, quod solus papa est verus dominus temporalium: ita ut possit auferre ab alio, quod alias suum est: et tenet factum ejus, licet peccet. Sed prælati cæteri, et principes, non sunt domini, sed tutores, procuratores, et dispensatores: "They say, that only the pope is the right lord of temporal possessions: so that he may put any man from his own. And although he offend in so doing, yet his doing taketh place. As for other bishops and princes, they be not lords, but overseers, bailiffs, and stewards."

Aventinus, lib. 6, p. 636. [p. 390.]

Therefore pope Adrian namely thus advanced himself above the emperor Fredericus I.: Imperator per nos imperat: unde habet imperium, nisi a nobis? Ecce in potestate nostra est, ut demus illud, cui volumus: propterea constituti sumus a Deo super gentes, et regna: ut destruamus, et evellamus, et ædificemus, et plantemus: "By mean of us the emperor is emperor: for, whence hath he his empire but of us? Behold, it is in our hand to bestow the empire upon whom we list. And to that end are we placed by God over nations and kingdoms: that we should destroy, and pluck up, and build, and plant." Such proud vaunts the pope maketh of himself, without either shame of the world, or fear of God.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 9.

Which of the ancient learned fathers, with so pre-[Vol. iv. p. cise and mathematical limitation, ever surveyed and determined you to be seventy and seven times greater than the mightiest kings?

M. HARDING.

A pleasant mathematical divinity. Some merry fellow or other, which thought he might be bold to speak mathematically, so he kept himself within compass, and without just reprehension. For whereas the spiritual power so far passeth the temporal, as the soul doth excel the body, and Clemens. Nazian. Ad pop. Naz

the heavens surmount the earth, as St. Clement saith, and Gre- a Bythis rea-Con. Apost. gory Nazianzene: a you should not so greatly be offended with simple poor the seventy and seven times greater dignity; and we need not parish priest condemn him as an heretic, which would be pleasant in his alle- and seven [1 322.] condemn him as an heretic, which would be pleasant in his alle- and seven be Major et gorism, especially Johannes Andreæ in the same place referring than the emthe matter to the astronomers.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Some merry fellow, I warrant you," ye say. And thus, now in mirth, now in sadness, ye have of long time mocked the world, and forced kings and emperors to be your slaves. As for your new doctors, Jack of Andrew, Johan. And. and sir Clement, as you evermore call him, the apostles' ctemens. fellow, we weigh them none otherwise than they be worthy. But, touching Gregory Nazianzene, it is true that he saith, "The truth and might of God's word infinitely passeth all worldly power." But what maketh all this for the pope, that walloweth only in his temporalities and worldly cares, and as well in preaching God's word and ministering the sacraments, as also in other spiritual exercises, is as far inferior to any mean priest, as the earth is inferior to the heavens?

Howbeit, that it may appear what pretty mirth ye have made herewith, one of your own fellows saith thus: Eccle-Joh. de Paris. siastici debent judicare per contemptibiles, id est, per laicos, [21.] secundum tenorem, et debitum terreni juris: "The ecclesiastical officers or bishops ought to judge by them that be vile and contemptible, that is to say, by the lay magistrates, according to the tenor and order of the temporal law." Here in your mirth and pleasance, in comparison of yourselves, ye call princes and temporal magistrates vile and contemptible, and so would ye have them regarded among the people.

Again ye say: Patet, regnum, sive regimen regale non Joh. de Paris. esse acceptum a Deo. Sed ipsum solum permisit indigna-12. p. 123.] tus. Et magis esset acceptum Deo, quod per solum papam mundus in omnibus regeretur: "It is plain, that the state of kingdom or kingly government came not from God, for God only suffered it in his anger. And it were more acceptable unto God that the whole world were in all

things governed by the pope alone." It were good, ye should tell us whether ye speak this only in mirth and game, or else in earnest and good sadness.

Verily, when ye so proudly compare the pope to the sun, and the emperor to the moon, your meaning is, that as the moon hath no light but only from the sun, so the emperor hath no authority but only that he receiveth from the pope. Notwithstanding, in this comparison, Isidorus, your own doctor, saith, ye are foully overseen. For thus he writeth: Per solem intelligitur regnum, et per lunam nesim, apud intelligitur sacerdotium: "By the sun is meant kingly dig-Joh. de Paris. cap. 4. [cap. nity, and by the moon is meant priesthood." Now therefore Jack Andrew, your merryman, by this reckoning may east your accounts backward, and say, The emperor is seventy and seven times greater than the pope.

Isidorus in Glos, in Ge-15. p. 128.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 10.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that more [Vol. Iv. p. ample authority is given to you than to the residue of the patriarchs?

M. HARDING.

a A whole heap of un-truths and forgeries huddled together.

b Untruths. without any of truth. c Untruth. was dead long before these matters are ima-

gined to be done.

The fathers of the Nicene council, by witness of a Julius the First, who then lived, and those of the council of Sardica, a Athanasius, and the bishops of Egypt, Thebaida, and Lybia, and the fathers of certain other councils.

Above all other most specially the first Christian emperor worder show b Constantine the Great. Who being fully instructed of the most godly and learned bishops of his time, b what authority the C Untruth. For Sylvester successor of Peter had by b commission of our Saviour Christ, thought good by his bimperial commandment and decree, to confirm, ratify, and for his own person to yield unto cblessed Sylvester 85, then pope, and to his successors, bishops of Rome,

> 85 [Bishop Jewel's marginal note (c) respecting pope Sylvester's death is incorrect. He has fallen into the same error, supra vol. v. p. 426, (where see the note 39,) and infra p. 586, fol. edit. 1609. Sylvester died A. D. 335, having sat 29 years. The origin of the mistake was a passage in Sozo

menus, (quoted p. 586, fol. edit.) lib. 1. cap. 16 [17.] tom. ii. p. 34, (Reading's edit.), where it is expressly stated that pope Julius sent Vito and Vincentius to supply his room at the council of Nice; whereas the council took place in Sylvester's time, and eleven years before Julius's acces-

the same authority and superiority, not only over bishops and patriarchs, but also power and honour, higher and greater than that of kings or emperors. The words of d his solemn decreed This soin that behalf made, are these, which as they are found in sundry is nothing other Greek writers, so most plainly in e Matthæus Hieromo else but a solemn folly, nachus: Θεσπίζομεν συμπάσι τοῦς σατράπαις καὶ τῆ συγκλήτφ τῆς e For shame: [Matt. Hier. ήμων βασιλείας τον 'Ρόμης επίσκοπον, και διάδοχον του κορυφαίου των hat the pope άποστόλων, καὶ κυρίου μου Πέτρου, πλείονα τῆς βασιλείας ἔχειν ἐξουσίαν record to κατὰ πᾶσαν τὴν οἰκουμένην, καὶ παρὰ πάντων πολλῷ πλέον ἡ τὸν βασι- prove his κατα πασαν την οικουμενην, και παρα παντων ποκλφ πλεον η τον ρασι-charter by, λέα τιμάσθαι καὶ σέβεσθαι, κεφαλήν τε είναι των τεσσάρων πατριαρχικών but only one θρόνων, κρίνεσθαί τε παρ' [πρὸs] αὐτοῦ καὶ ψηφίζεσθαι τὰ τ $\hat{\eta}$ $\delta \rho \theta o$ - $\frac{poor}{gar}$, tanδόξω συμβαίνοντα πίστει. Which in our mother tongue is this Grecian, that much to say: "We give in decree and commandment to all never saw lords, and to the senate of our empire, that the bishop of Rome, and the successor of St. Peter, chief of the apostles, have authority and power in all the world more than that of the empire is, and that he be honoured and worshipped more than the emperor, and that he be head of the four patriarchal seats, and that things appertaining to the right faith be of him judged and determined." Justinian the emperor likewise made an express f First, and In Anth. de decree, that the most holy pope of the elder Rome (for these be principal in his very words) be taken according to the determinations of the confess: but holy councils, to be the f first and principal of all bishops. It lord and master of all were not hard to allege much more for proof hereof, of good other patriarchs, that and sufficient authority, but in a matter not doubtful this may would be proved. suffice.

Eccles. tit. coll. 9. tom.

p. 25.]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

This is a folly of all follies. Yet is there no folly so great, but by words and countenance it may be maintained. It shameth me to bestow words herein: and so much the more, for that you say the case is so clear and out of doubt. I assure you, M. Harding, of all other your innumerable Lovanian vanities, concerning the practice of the church. and story of time, this one vanity is the vainest.

But lest any man by simplicity or ignorance should be deceived, not understanding the mysteries of this donation or charter, by the judgment of your own doctors, the meaning thereof is this: Volunt aliqui quod, ratione hujus Joh. de Paris. doni, papa est imperator, et dominus mundi : et quod potest Regia, cap. reges instituere, et destituere, sicut imperator: "Some think, that, by force and virtue of this donation, the pope

sion. See Reading's note in loc., who proves not only that Sozomenus is wrong, but that he con-

tradicts himself. See also Beveridge Annot. in Canon. p. 209, quoted by Reading.

diadem were made of silk or of gold. He telleth a tale of the patriarch of Constantinople, that he should be bonere and buxum 88 to the bishop of Rome; and yet at that time, when, as he imagineth, the grant was made, the city of Constantinople was not builded, nor any such name as yet known in the world. Now consider also, what a special grace this clerk hath in the Latin tongue. These be his flowers: Signa et banna: decernere disponenda: concedere permanenda: licentiam dare: equos equitare, &c. And · clericare, in his language, is good Latin to make priests. This is such Latin, as, I will not say Constantine himself. but Constantine's cook would never have spoken.

Concil. tom. Phrygium.

And yet is the marginal gloss hereupon as good and as 1. p. 227. [ed. Crabb.] substantial as the text. For whereas the text saith: Contradimus beato Sylvestro.....phrygium nostrum, id est. mitram: "We deliver unto blessed Sylvester our phrygium, that is to say, our mitre," there shall you find this pretty note in the margin: Nota quod Phrygium factum est ex pennis paronum: "Mark well, that this phrygium, or mitre, was made of a peacock's tail." No doubt a worthy gift for an emperor, and a meet mitre for a pope. All these things, M. Harding, you know to be true: and yet have you a pen and a mouth to defend them. But, as I said before, it shameth me in so childish a fable to stand so long.

As for your Greek doctor Hieromonachus, he shall be stalled together with your Clemens, Leontius, Amphilochius, and other like your worthy and weighty doctors. For shame, what should ye bring us this one silly poor Greek witness, whose name ye never heard before, to testify of grants and conveyances made in Rome? Can your pope find out neither council, nor doctor, nor father, nor any other writer of likely record, to help him in so great a case, but only one poor rascal Grecian, that knew no more of the matter than you yourselves? It bewrayeth the neediness of your cause. He that durst so lewdly to

88 ["Complaisant and obedient." In the marriage service according to the Salisbury Manual, A. D. 1490, the woman addresses the man in these words: "I N. take thee N. to my wedded housbande,

to have and to holde from this time forwarde for better for wors, for richer, for poorer, in sicknesse and hele, to be bonere and buxum &c." Cited by Todd in Johnson's dictionary.] falsify such a grant, thereby to intrude himself into the possession of the empire, would not blush to falsify some beggarly witness to avouch the same.

Now where ye would seem to say, The other four patriarchs stood evermore at the commandment of the bishop of Rome: not only the said three patriarchs, which never neither yielded nor knew any such obedience, but also the general practice of the world, will soon reprove you.

Nilus, a Greek writer, saith: Ut liquidius appareat, pa-Nilus De Pripam non imperare aliis omnibus episcopis, legatur sextus Pontficis. [lib. 2. p. 29.] canon Synodi Nicenæ, quo diserte præcipitur [l. ut videas καὶ ὡς οὐκ decretum esse], ut aliis ecclesiis Alexandrinus, aliis Roma-ρφ τῆς ἀλnus, aliis Antiochenus præsit: ut non liceat alteri, alterius λοτρίας ἐπιδεῖν. [al.τοῖς provinciam invadere: "That it may well and plainly ap- ἀλλοτρίοις pear, that the pope hath no power or government over all ἐπιπηδαν.] other bishops, read the sixth canon of the Nicene council. There it is expressly commanded, that the bishop of Alexandria shall have the rule over certain churches, and the bishop of Rome over certain, and the bishop of Antioch likewise over certain; and that it shall not be lawful for any one of them to invade the jurisdiction of another."

The emperor Justinian 89 saith: Ecclesia urbis Constan-Cod. de Satinopolitanæ Romæ veteris prærogativa lætatur: "The Omni innoyatione. church of the city of Constantinople enjoyeth now the [tom, iv lib. I. tit. s. 1.6.] prerogative of Rome the elder." Nicephorus saith: Ro-Nicephorus mano et Constantinopolitano episcopo ex æquo paria sunt et [ii. 244.] dignitatis præmia et honorum jura: "The title of dignity and right of honor given to the bishop of Rome, and the bishop of Constantinople, are one and equal 90." So likewise it was determined by decree in the council of Constantinople: Definimus sedi Constantinopolitanæ paria jura, Concil. Conet privilegia cum sede veteris Romæ: "We decree, that the [xi. 960.] see of Constantinople shall have rights and privileges equal ἀπολαβεῦν with the see of old Rome 91." Now consider well this [al. ἀπολαύ-

^{89 [}This constitution was properly decreed by Honorius and Theodosius.

⁹⁰ Bishop Jewel appears here to have mistaken the literal meaning of his author's words: see the

original printed supra vol. iv. p.

^{407.} note 90.]
91 [This was the Concil. Quini-Sextum, which was not accepted by Rome: supra iv. 407. note 89.]

diadem were made of silk or of gold. He telleth a tale of the patriarch of Constantinople, that he should be bonere and buxum so to the bishop of Rome; and yet at that time, when, as he imagineth, the grant was made, the city of Constantinople was not builded, nor any such name as yet known in the world. Now consider also, what a special grace this clerk hath in the Latin tongue. These be his flowers: Signa et banna: decernere disponenda: concedere permanenda: licentiam dare: equos equitare, &c. And clericare, in his language, is good Latin to make priests. This is such Latin, as, I will not say Constantine himself, but Constantine's cook would never have spoken.

Concil. tom.
1. p. 227.
[ed. Crabb.]

Phrygium.

And yet is the marginal gloss hereupon as good and as substantial as the text. For whereas the text saith: Contradimus beato Sylvestro.....phrygium nostrum, id est, mitram: "We deliver unto blessed Sylvester our phrygium, that is to say, our mitre," there shall you find this pretty note in the margin: Nota quod Phrygium factum est expennis pavonum: "Mark well, that this phrygium, or mitre, was made of a peacock's tail." No doubt a worthy gift for an emperor, and a meet mitre for a pope. All these things, M. Harding, you know to be true: and yet have you a pen and a mouth to defend them. But, as I said before, it shameth me in so childish a fable to stand so long.

As for your Greek doctor Hieromonachus, he shall be stalled together with your Clemens, Leontius, Amphilochius, and other like your worthy and weighty doctors. For shame, what should ye bring us this one silly poor Greek witness, whose name ye never heard before, to testify of grants and conveyances made in Rome? Can your pope find out neither council, nor doctor, nor father, nor any other writer of likely record, to help him in so great a case, but only one poor rascal Grecian, that knew no more of the matter than you yourselves? It bewrayeth the neediness of your cause. He that durst so lewdly to

In the marriage service according to the Salisbury Manual, A. D. 1490, the woman addresses the man in these words: "I N. take thee N. to my wedded housbande,

to have and to holde from this time forwarde for better for wors, for richer, for poorer, in sicknesse and hele, to be bonere and buxum &c." Cited by Todd in Johnson's dictionary.]

falsify such a grant, thereby to intrude himself into the possession of the empire, would not blush to falsify some beggarly witness to avouch the same.

Now where ye would seem to say, The other four patriarchs stood evermore at the commandment of the bishop of Rome: not only the said three patriarchs, which never neither yielded nor knew any such obedience, but also the general practice of the world, will soon reprove you.

Nilus, a Greek writer, saith: Ut liquidius appareat, pa-Nilus De Primatu Romani pam non imperare aliis omnibus episcopis, legatur sextus pontificis. [lib. 2, p. 29.] canon Synodi Nicenæ, quo diserte præcipitur [1. ut videas καὶ ώς οὐκ decretum esse], ut aliis ecclesiis Alexandrinus, aliis Roma- ξξεστιν έτέnus, aliis Antiochenus præsit: ut non liceat alteri, alterius λοτρίας ἐπιδεῖν. [al.τοῖς provinciam invadere: "That it may well and plainly ap- ἀλλοτρίοις pear, that the pope hath no power or government over all ἐπιπηδαν.] other bishops, read the sixth canon of the Nicene council. There it is expressly commanded, that the bishop of Alexandria shall have the rule over certain churches, and the bishop of Rome over certain, and the bishop of Antioch likewise over certain; and that it shall not be lawful for any one of them to invade the jurisdiction of another."

The emperor Justinian 89 saith: Ecclesia urbis Constan-Cod. de Satinopolitanæ Romæ veteris prærogativa lætatur: "The Onni innotinopolitanæ Romæ veteris prærogativa tætatur: " The vatione, church of the city of Constantinople enjoyeth now the [tom. iv. lib. 1. tit. 5. 1.6.] prerogative of Rome the elder." Nicephorus saith: Ro-Nicephorus mano et Constantinopolitano episcopo ex æquo paria sunt et [ii. 244.] dignitatis præmia et honorum jura: "The title of dignity and right of honor given to the bishop of Rome, and the bishop of Constantinople, are one and equal 90." So likewise it was determined by decree in the council of Constantinople: Definimus sedi Constantinopolitanæ paria jura, Concil. Constantinople: 2. c. 36. et privilegia cum sede veteris Romæ: "We decree, that the [xi. 966.] see of Constantinople shall have rights and privileges equal $\frac{\hat{\tau}\hat{\omega}\nu}{\hbar\sigma\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\hat{\nu}}$ with the see of old Rome 91." Now consider well this [al. ἀπολαύ-

βείων.

89 [This constitution was properly decreed by Honorius and Theodosius.

90 Bishop Jewel appears here to have mistaken the literal meaning of his author's words: see the

original printed supra vol. iv. p.

407. note 90.]
91 [This was the Concil. Quini-Sextum, which was not accepted by Rome: supra iv. 407. note 89.]

matter, M. Harding. If the patriarch of Constantinople were the pope's equal, how was he his subject? If he were his subject, how was he his equal? Ye shall hardly force these things to frame together. Therefore, as it is said before, Gennadius, together with the council of Constantinople, wrote thus unto the bishop of Rome: Curet sanctitas Metropolita tua universas tuas custodias, tibique subjectos episcopos: Papam Rom. "Let your holiness see unto all your own cures, and to the bishops that be subject unto you."

Cypr. ad Cornelium Athanasius ad Episc. Aphrican,

Gennadius ad omnes

nos, et ad

[p. 46.]

St. Cyprian, Cyrillus, Athanasius, and others, writing either of or unto the bishop of Rome, call him, not their lord and master, unto whom of duty they ought obedience, but their brother, and their fellow-servant. Yea, the pope himself in some cases hath rather offered his obedience unto other bishops. For thus writeth pope Liberius unto Athanasius the bishop of Alexandria: Quæso ut huic con-

[Inter Athan.

Athanasium. fessioni subscribas, ut ego securior efficiar, et tua mandata opp. ii. 608.] inhæsitanter obeam: "I beseech thee to subscribe to this confession, that I may be out of doubt, and may do your commandments without grudging 92." Yet now the bishop of Rome, to maintain his title by a writ of right, forasmuch as the four principal patriarchs of the world have forsaken him, appointeth out four of his ordinary chaplains, and giveth them the names of four patriarchs: the first for Constantinople; the second for Alexandria; the third for Antioch; the fourth for Hierusalem. And thus, having these four at commandment, in his pleasant fancy, he ruleth and governeth the whole world. In such a solemn bravery the great cham of Tartary at this day, after he hath dined himself, soundeth out a trumpet, and giveth all the kings and emperors of the world leave to go to dinner: and in this imagination and jollity, he continueth his claim to the possession of all the world, even by as good right and title as doth the pope. And whensoever the pope himself, in his own person, openly and solemnly saith his mass, he commandeth the gospel and epistle to be read in

^{92 [}This epistle is a gross forforged, such expressions were not gery; but it serves to shew, that considered beneath the pope's digat the late period when it was nity.]

Greek. Whereupon his own master of ceremonies saith thus: Hanc consuctudinem hinc ortam puto, ut appareat Ceremon, Romanam ecclesiam in se continere utramque gentem: [fol. 33.6.] "Hereof I think this custom first proceeded, that hereby it may appear, that the church of Rome containeth in it both nations, as well Greeks as Latins."

All this notwithstanding, Antoninus saith: Hoc Graci Anton. in non credunt: "For all this, the Greeks believe it not 93." tit, 22. cap. 6.

The objections of Justinian, and of the council of Sar-Art. 4. Divis. 8. [vol. ii. dica, are answered in my former Reply to M. Harding.

p. 174.] Art. 4. Divis. 7. [ii. 171.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 11.

Which of the ancient holy fathers ever called you Lord and God?

M. HARDING.

None that wise is, so speaketh absolutely: nevertheless, in + Const. A. ostol. lib. ii. some certain sense, a St. Clement calleth every bishop Terrenum a A vain forquendam Deum, "a certain earthly God," as it is written, "b I have gery. said, Ye are gods," &c. words are princes and

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

So that ye make not the pope an absolute God, ye think, Hieron. in ye may otherwise call him God safely and without preju-3. [1. cap. 11. iii. 1131.] dice. A proper shift to maintain a vain man in the possession of his Godhead. In such a pleasant imagination Antiochus, sometime the king of Syria, entitled himself'Apriloxos & by the name of God. So the emperor Domitian used to $\theta \epsilon \delta s$. assign his proclamations, Dominus Deus vester Domitianus, Suetonius in "Your Lord God Domitian." So the emperor Caligula [c. 13.] called himself, Deum optimum maximum, et Jovem Latia-Suetonius [in lem, "the best and most mighty God, and the great God Callg. c. 22.] Jupiter of Italy." So Sapores, the great king of Persia, called himself Fratrem solis et lunæ, "the brother of the Pompon. Lac-

Vol. iv. p. 3.]

ap. 30.]

^{93 [}Antoninus: "Dicere autem " pontificem Romanum vel Ro-

[&]quot; manæ ecclesiæ non habere uni-

[&]quot; versalis ecclesiæ primatum est " error similis errori dicentium

[&]quot;Spiritum Sanctum a Filio non

[&]quot; procedere, ut dicit Thomas in "dicto tractatu contra errores

[&]quot; Græcorum."]

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

Athenæus, lib.7.[p.289.]

Chrys. de Spiritu Sanc-August. conlib. 13. c. 17. [viii. 262.] Eus. lib. 2.

sun and the moon." So the prevish physician Menecrates called himself Jupiter: so Nicostratus 94 called himself Hercules: so Nicagoras made himself a pair of wings, and would needs be called the god Mercurius 95: so Manichæus the heretic called himself the Holy Ghost 96: so the Romans to.[iii. 808.] in old times erected up an image in the honour of Simon tra Faustum, Magus the sorcerer, with this inscription or posy: Simoni sancto Deo, "In the honour of Simon the holy God 97." By c. 13. [i. 62.] this your so handsome distinction, M. Harding, of God absolute, and God not absolute, I see not but every of these might well and safely have maintained his title without blame.

Certainly in this arrogant vanity, scarcely any of all these was ever comparable to the pope. Pope Nicolas Dist. 96. Sa. saith: Constat summum pontificem, a pio principe Constantis evidenter. tino, Deum appellatum: "It is well known, that the pope, of the godly prince Constantine, was called God." Likewise the pope was well content to suffer one of his parasites to say unto him in the late council of Lateran: Tu es alter Marcell. in Concil. Late- Deus in terris: "Thou art another God in earth 98." Likeran. sess. 4. [Harduin ix. wise Cardillus the Spaniard, in defence of the pope's late Cardillus pro chapter at Trident, oftentimes calleth the pope Terrenum Concil. Trid. deum, "an earthly god 99;" by the same style and right

Judith 5. [l. 6. vers. 3.]

Christoph.

Upon the pope's own Clementines ye shall find the matter thus taken up and qualified with great indifferency and

whereby Holophernes sometime said, Nabuchodonosor est

deus terræ, "Nabuchodonosor is the god of the earth."

94 [Nicostratus: the story is told by Diodorus Siculus, as quoted by Hoffmann in Lexico.]

95 [Nicagoras: the Editor has not discovered the authority for

this statement.

96 S. August. contra Faust. ".... hunc Paracletum dicentes "esse Manichæum." The work here attributed to St. Chrysostom is not considered genuine by the Bened. edd., but of great anti-

quity.]
97 [Euseb. lib. 2. c. 13. Σίμωνι δέφ σάγκτφ. Valesius in his note

in loc. shews, that this was a mistake of St. Justin's, from whom Eusebius borrowed the story. The inscription was Samnitic, SEMONI Sango Deo Fidio (a god of the Sabines). Valesius adds that a statue bearing this inscription has been found.]

98 [Christoph. Marcellus, supra

vol. vi. p. 95, note 79.]

99 [Cardillus. In the Epistle to cardinal Borromeo occur the words, "In Pontificem Max., aut " potius in terrestrem atque mor-"talem Deum."]

modesty, and thus specially noted in the margin: a Papa a The pope is nec Deus est, nec homo. And to leave other his like blas-nor mand. [Clem. In phemous and fond styles, in another like gloss ye shall promine; in Glossa.] Gur Lord papam non potuisse statuere, prout statuit, hæreticum cense-pope. retur: "To believe that our Lord God the pope might not 22. Cum indecree, as he decreed, it were a matter of heresy¹." Here sa. Impress. have we found by express and plain words, even in the 1555. Parls. pope's own authentical and allowed book, Our Lord God the pope.

These things might seem uncredible, had not St. Paul foretold us, that the man of sin should sit in the temple of 2 Thess. il. 4. God, and shew himself as if he were God. St. Gregory, writing of Antichrist, saith thus:.....Cum sit damnatus Gregor. in homo, et nequaquam [Ben. leg. nequam] spiritus, Deum se ilib. 25. c. 20. esse mentitur: "Whereas he is a damned man, and not a spirit, by lying he feigneth himself to be God." Anselmus saith: Simulabit se religiosum, ut sub specie decipiat pieta-Anselmus in tis: imo, se Deum esse dicet: et se adorari faciet: atque [ii. 342. D.] regna cælorum promittet: "Antichrist shall feign himself to be holy, that he may deceive men under the colour of holiness: yea, and he shall call himself God, and shall cause himself to be worshipped, and shall promise the kingdom of heaven." Now tell us, M. Harding, who ever did, or attempted to do these things, but only the pope?

Eusebius saith: Hoc est argumentum, eos odisse Deum, Euseb. de quod velint seipsos appellari Deos: "This is a token that lib. 7. [c. 17. they hate God, for that they will have themselves called $\Delta \epsilon_{ijka} \tau o t \to \tau o t$ by the name of God."

Likewise saith the ancient father Irenæus; Antichristus θέξεν σρας existens apostata, et latro, quasi deus vult adorari: et cum αὐτοὺς θέοὺς εἰτ servus, regem se vult præconiari: "Antichrist being a εσθαι. renegade, and a thief, yet will be worshipped as a god: [Irenæus, iib. ξ. cap. and being but a slave, yet he will be proclaimed and pubpen. p. 322.] lished as a king." Therefore although the pope be not, as you say, an absolute God, or an absolute Christ, yet

¹ [" Dominum Deum nostrum note ⁸⁰, as well as vol. iii. p. 440, " Papam;" see supra vol. vi. p. 95, note ³⁸; and vol. ii. p. 195, note ³⁵.]

[col. 4. lit. y.]

without any great inconvenience, I trow, he may well be an absolute antichrist.

The Apology, Chap. 6. Divis. 12.

Which of the ancient holy fathers ever said, that [Vol. iv. p. you are not a mere natural man, but a certain substance made and grown together of God and man?

M. HARDING.

A faint excuse.

Can you bring him before his face that shall say so unto him?

I warrant you (master defender) he shall either be reproved for

his flattering, or proved to understand no more by it than a a A certain divine power above the natural state of men, b or warned that he be more circumspect in his glossing, when heretics are b A sore punishment for open blas. The provided affection affection affection.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

He that may call himself Lord and God, may easily claim himself to be more than a man. In the pope's own In Sext. de Decretals it is noted thus in the margin: Papa non est Electione, et homo: "The pope is not a man²." Your Gloss upon the damenta: In Glossa. [plb. 1, tit. 6, c, 17, col. of your allowed poets³: Papa stupor mundi: "The pope 120, i.] is the wonder of the world." And again: Procenio. In Glossa. Nec Deus Es, Nec Homo: QUASI NEUTER ES INTER

Nec Deus es, nec homo: Quasi neuter es inter utrunque:

"Thou art neither God nor man: in a manner thou art neither of both, but rather a mean between both."

By the authority of this doctor it appeareth, that the pope is neither God nor man. Angel, I trow, he is not. What other creature ye may make of him, I leave to you further to consider. But bring him forth (ye say) that thus hath spoken: bring him before the pope's face, that he may be punished. For he shall be warned, as he is, to be more circumspect in his glossing. So cruelly, M.

poetria nova, "Papa stupor mun-

² [" Papa non est homo, sed Dei di:" et circa finem—"qui maxima "rerum, Nec Deus es nec homo," &c.]

Harding, ye punish, and torment, and crucify them that enfeoff a poor miserable sinful creature with the glorious name of God immortal.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 13.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that you are the only headspring of all laws?

Vol. iv. p.

[Vol. iv. p.

M. HARDING.

Whosoever saith it, speaketh not without evident reason, considering that of the principal of every government all laws belonging to the same be deducted and derived.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here have ye brought us a full simple reason, as God knoweth. But to have brought us some authority of doctor or council, it had been better. We have no doubt of your pretty reasons. We demanded only, which of the ancient fathers ever said so?

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 14.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that you have power over purgatory?

M. HARDING.

aO that ye would first believe a purgatory, and then should a No doubt, ye understand, what dispensation of loosing and binding is com-thy matter. mitted to the vicar of Christ. For, as Esay saith, "Except ye believe, ye shall not understand:" and the consequent doctrine must needs be obscure, as long as the principal ground thereof is wickedly denied.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Of your purgatory phantasies we have sufficiently said before. Where ye wish we would believe there is a purgatory, verily we believe constantly whatsoever God hath willed us to believe. St. Paul saith, "Faith cometh by Rom. x. 17. hearing: hearing cometh by the word of God:" and thereby only is faith directed. As for your follies and

995.]

† Aug. in Quæstionibus Veteris

mockeries, they are subject to fancy, and not to faith. Aug. de Tem-St. Augustine saith: Nemo de Christo credat, nisi quod pore, serm.
145. Feria 3. de se credi voluit Christus: "Let no man believe of Christ, Paschat. [v. hut that that Christ hath commanded him to believe of but that that Christ hath commanded him to believe of Christ." Again he saith: Constat fidem stultam non prodesse, sed potius obesse: "It is certain that foolish faith Test. qu. 43. doth no good, but rather hurteth." Notwithstanding, though all your lists and gainful territories of purgatory were fully granted, yet should it be very hard for you to prove, either by scripture or by doctor, that the pope beareth any greater authority or sway there, to command in or out at his pleasure, than any other simple priest. Albeit, one of your allowed doctors saith: Purgatorium est pecupope:" I trow, as being a college only of his own found-

Angelus Pa- lium papæ: "Purgatory is the peculiar possession of the risiensis. ation.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 15.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that you [vol. iv. p. are able to command the angels of God as ye list vourself?

M. HARDING.

Nor old father, anor new doctor. And whatsoever he be that a Untruth, manifest, as shall so tell you, believe him not: he speaketh not catholicly; and you must make no scruple in such matters.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here at last, M. Harding, for shame ye are fain to yield. No doctor (ye say) ever thus said, neither old nor new. Howbeit, hereto ye have laid a very good exception, with a special saving: "If any man have so said (say ye), whatsoever he were, he spake not catholicly." And yet again ye tell us further, howsoever it be, "we should never be scrupulous in such matters."

But what if the pope himself, the father of all fathers, and doctor of all doctors, both new and old, have not blushed to say the same? May we say as you say, The pope himself was not catholic? or if we so say, will you believe it?

Indeed king Xerxes, the great king of Persia, when he Herodotus. had brought over his huge army into Grecia, sent out his letters of defiance unto the great mount Athos, that is in Macedonia, and commanded him to stand still, and not to stir a foot, nor to work any displeasure, either to himself or to his army, upon pain of his high indignation. Even by like authority, and with as good discretion, the pope hath used to send out his precepts and princely summons, and to command angels, archangels, and all the powers of heaven, to come, to go, to fetch, to carry, and to be ready to do his will. Therefore is he the better content to hear his friends tell him thus: Tibi data est omnis potestas In Conc. Lasupra omnes potestates, tam cæli, quam terræ. Qui totum Leone 10. dicit, nihil excludit: "To thee is given all manner of power, [Harduin ix. above all powers, as well of heaven as of earth. He that saith all, excepteth nothing."

Felinus your doctor saith thus: Nedum circa cælestia, Extra de Constitut. terrestria, et infernalia papa gerit vicariatum Christi, sed Statuta Caetiam super angelos, bonos, et malos: "The pope hath [fol.9.col.2.] Christ's lieutenantship, not only over things in heaven, over things in earth, and over things in hell, but also over the angels, both good and bad."

Innocentius the pope himself saith: Vicario Creatoris Felin. eodem omnis creatura subjecta est: "Unto the Creator's lieutenant every creature is subject." Your own doctor Antoninus, the archbishop of Florence, saith: Potestas papæ major est Anton. in omni alia potestate creata, aliquo modo extendens se ad tit. 22. cap. 5. cælestia, terrestria, et infernalia: ut de ea verificari possit quod dictum est, Omnia subjecisti sub pedibus ejus: "The pope's power is greater than any other power that God ever made: and after a sort stretcheth itself unto things in heaven, things in earth, and things in hell: so that of that power the words may be verified, that are written by the prophet David, 'Thou hast made all things subject to his Agrippa de vanitate Sclfeet.'" Camotensis 4 saith: Papa angelis præcipit, et [cap. 92. de Jure Canopico]

⁴ [For an account of Camotensis, see supra vol. ii. p. 217. note ⁴⁶. There is nothing, however, in the 92d chapter of Agrippa (de Jure

Canonico), to shew, that he is using the words of Camotensis—
"...quorum (scil. pontif. Roman.)
"eousque excrevit arrogantia, ut

Gregor. Haimburgen. in Appellat. Sigismundi [P. 547.] Veselus. Agrip. de vanitat. Scientiar. [de Jure Canonico.]

potestatem habet in mortuos: "The pope commandeth the angels" (of God), "and hath power over the dead." Gregorius Haimburgensis saith: Ut terminis utar suorum adulatorum, papa angelis habet imperare: "To use the duc. Austrie. words of his flatterers, The pope hath power to command the angels." Therefore if any man happen to die upon the way, as he passeth in pilgrimage towards Rome, pope Clement VI. commandeth the angels of God to be at hand, and to carry his soul immediately into heaven 5.

Hereto, and to all other the like, M. Harding saith, "These popes, these doctors, these fathers, these pages, these parasites, in these points were not catholic."

Notwithstanding he saith further, "If the pope for his fancy take somewhat upon him, and sometimes be a little over-bold with God's angels, to command them and countermand them at his pleasure, in such cases we ought not to be over scrupulous."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 16.

Which of the ancient fathers ever said, that you [vol. iv. p. are the lord of lords, and king of kings?

M. HARDING.

He useth the clean contrary title, calling himself, Servum servorum Dei, "The servant of the servants of God." Yet if he, for the largeness of his jurisdiction, have a number of lords and kings within his fold, although he himself doth not boast of it. yet in a right good sense that title may be given to him.

in a right good sense.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Notwithstanding the pope call himself the king of kings. and the lord of lords: notwithstanding he say, The emperor

" angelis cœlorum præciperent, et " ex inferno sublata præda rapinam

"facere præsumerent, et in mor-"tuorum manes manum mitte-

" rent," &c.]

⁵ [Agrippa, de Jure canonico, "Nonne Clemens Papa in bulla, " quæ hodie adhuc Viennæ, Li-

" monis [Limovis] Pictavii in pri-" vilegiorum scriniis plumbata ser" vatur, præcipit angelis de cœlo, " quod animam peregrinantis Ro-

"mam pro indulgentiis et dece-" dentis, a purgatorio absolutam ad " gaudia perpetua introducant?"

This bull of Clement VI. (Bulla anni Jubilæi, A. D. 1350,) is printed ad calc. Examinis Bullæ Papalis, auctore Hoornbeck, from a MS. in the Utrecht library.

is so far inferior unto him as the moon is inferior unto Extr. de Mathe sun: notwithstanding he say, The emperor is but his Obelientia, Solite, [col. bailiff, his steward, and his man: notwithstanding he say, 402] he hath power to set up and to put down kings and empeofo. Joi Ingles, of Joi

Howbeit, all this is but playing under a vizard. The devil seldom sheweth his horns; but rather changeth 2 Cor. xi. 14. himself into an angel of light. Touching this title, as the pope calleth himself a servant, even so doth the emperor likewise abase himself to the name of a servant. If ye doubt hereof, read your own Gloss upon your Decretals. The words there be these: Etiam imperator seipsum Extr. in Decret. Gregor. In Glossa. Papa se servum appellat, et imperator vocat se servum Extravag. Joh. xxii. de ultimum ecclesiæ: "The pope calleth himself a servant, Præbend. et Dignitat. Extravagilis. Church."

All this is nothing else but a politic kind of dissimulation and hypocrisy. For if these be servants indeed, I pray you then, who be the lords?

Hervæus ⁶, one of your own doctors, hereof saith thus: Joh. de Parls. Dicere quod talia dicunt summi pontifices ex humilitate, ^{cap. 13. [cap.} 13. [cap.

6 [The real author is "Johannes Parisiensis." This is one of the instances in which bishop Jewel confounded the work of Johannes Parisiensis, "De Potestate Regia et Papali," with one by Hervæus, "De Potestate Papæ;" an error upon which Harding animadverts strongly in his Detection, fol. 413, b. The mistake evidently originated in the fact that in the edition consulted by the bishop the two works were printed together in one volume. A copy of that edition is in Lam-

beth library; and it is remarkable, that, in accordance with Jewel's reference in the margin, the passage cited is found in the 13th chapter, whereas in other editions it is in the 14th. The Lambeth copy of Hervæus is not divided by chapters. Supra vol. iv. 119. The references to Hervæus, which in earlier parts of this work were not verified in consequence of the difficulty of finding a copy, will be noticed (as in all similar cases) in the list of authors subjoined to the preface.]

est omnino perniciosum. Et hoc est ponere os in cælum, Philosophus dicit hoc esse peccatum ironia, quod opponitur Et addit, tales humiles non esse virtuosos, sed virtuti. Aug. de Ver-timidos, et blanditores. Et Augustinus ait, Cum humilibis Apostoli. tatis causa mentiris, si non eras peccator antequam mentireris, mentiendo peccator efficeris: "To say that the popes speak these words of humility, it were very hurtful. And this is to set the face against the heavens. Aristotle saith, This is the sin of dissimulation or hypocrisy, which is contrary unto virtue. And saith further, that such humble men are not virtuous, but fearful and flattering. And St. Augustine saith, Whensoever thou speakest untruth under the colour of humility, if thou were not a sinner before, vet by telling untruth thou art made a sinner." Good reader, pull off this painted vizard: and under

this vain title of servant of servants thou shalt find a lord of lords: and such a lord, so lord-like, and so princely, as seldom thou shalt find among the heathens. For proof hereof thus the pope himself saith: "Neither emperor nor Epist. Nico. king may judge the pope." And his reason is this: Non [Crubb. tom. est servus supra dominum: "For the" (emperor being a)

lai Papæ. Inter Decre- " servant is not above" (the pope, that is) " his lord." ta Adriani Papæ. [Crabb. ii. 613.]

Vera Obedi-

entia.

Thus ye see, he that so humbly calleth himself the servant of servants, if ye touch him, or anger [ed. 1570, leg. I. [Crabb. p. arger] him, will suddenly change himself into the king of gatione Sixti. kings, and lord of lords. For in these words he plainly and expressly calleth the emperor the servant, and himself the lord. And whatsoever be said to the contrary, verily the pope's exposition must needs be more authentical than M. Harding's.

He maketh the emperor to hold his stirrup: he maketh the emperor to lead his bridle: he maketh the emperor to kiss his foot: he maketh the emperor to lie down grovelling, and setteth his foot on his neck: and yet still calleth himself the servant of servants. Few good servants will Doct, Bonn. in Preefat, in be so homely with their masters. Dr. Bonner saith thus: libel. Steph. Gardiner, De " Notwithstanding the pope be a very ravening wolf, dressed in sheep's clothing, yet he calleth himself the servant of servants."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 7. Divis. 1.

Vol. iv. p. 53.]

We can also go further with you in like sort. What one amongst the whole number of the old bishops and fathers ever taught you, either to say private mass, whiles the people stared on, or to lift up the sacrament over your head, in which points consisteth now all your religion? or else to mangle Christ's sacraments, and to bereave the people of the one part thereof, contrary to Christ's institution, and plain express words? But that we may once come to an end, what one is there of all the fathers which hath taught you to distribute Christ's blood, and the holy martyrs' merits, and to sell openly your pardons, and all the rooms and lodgings of purgatory, as a gainful kind of merchandise.

M. HARDING.

Your objections of private mass, of lifting up the blessed sacrament, of ministering the communion under one kind, be as common with you as lice be with beggars, and lies with heretics. For indeed your questions be but beggarly and heretical. Touching the same, I have said a so much in my answer to a Note that M. Jewel, your nearest friend, his challenge, as here to rehearse in his said it again it is needless. You may seek it there. The doctrine three articles of pardons I judge verily you understand not. Here is no M. Jewel, opportunity to discuss it. The full treatise of the same requiring lished threea long process, may well to another time be deferred. Of pur-score and nine great gatory I have said some deal here before. These be the matters, untruths. wherein you and your ignorant fellow ministers gladly show your vile railing and scoffing eloquence. Yet concerning the doctrine of pardons, b lest I seem to say nothing, this much I have thought b And all the good to say here. than nothing.

In the sacrament, as well of baptism as of penance, all the bands of sin are loosed, and the whole everlasting pain due to sin is forgiven. At baptism, no temporal pain is enjoined to us, because Christ most freely bestoweth the benefit of his death upon us at that our first entry into the church. CBut if after-cA doctrine ward we abuse his mercy, returning again to filthy sin, Christ dry blasphewould our second, third, and all other reconciliations from mies. thenceforth, to be with due satisfaction, not of his dreadful

Artic. 1. 7.

Fol. 117.

due contrition and confession in deed or in vow received, is able

to remove,) but with satisfaction of such temporal pain as his merciful justice required both of all others from the beginning, d King David and d namely of king David: to whom confessing his fault, 2 Sam. xii. alleged for proof of par. Nathan said, "Our Lord hath put away thy sin, thou shalt not dons.

die." Behold the forgiveness of the mortal sin, and of the everlasting pain due to the same. But yet so is it forgiven, that withal it is transferred into a temporal satisfaction. that? It followeth in the story, that, because David through his advoutery and murder had caused the enemies of God to blaspheme his holy name, the child born of the wife of Urias should surely die. And so it came to pass. If the death of a son be so grievous a punishment to a good father, that king David was content to pray, to fast, to lie on the ground, afflicting himself seven days, only to try whether he might, as it were by exchange, buy out this death of his son, and yet so could not obtain his desire: we may be most certain, that the very best friends of God, sinning after baptism, (or circumcision, which in the old law stood in place thereof,) must by ordinary course satisfy with some temporal affliction that just judgment of our merciful Maker and Redeemer, if further grace be not found by some other way. This satisfaction hath been therefore justly called the third and last part of penance: which if it be not fulfilled in this life. undoubtedly it shall be straitly exacted in the world to come in the fire of purgatory. For that sins may be in another world forgiven to those who die not in the deadly bonds of them, cour Saviour hath given us to understand, saying, That the sin against Matt. xii. 32. the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven, neither in this world, nor in the world to come: whereof it appeareth, that some other

e Untruth avouched upon Christ. See the answer.

sins may be forgiven in the world to come.

penance justly reconciled to the mystical body of Christ, which is his church, wherein (as the prophet saith) a faithful man is Psal, exix. made partaker of all that fear God and keep his commandments: we have in that band of peace such an unity of spirit communicated to us all, that the defect of one may be in spiritual causes f A folly pass. f supplied out of the plenty of all others his fellow members, according as the apostle saith, g "Bear ye one another's bur-Gal. vi. 2. And because the head (which is Christ) is the chief wisely applied to prove member of all, and far more than all the rest, such influence is from him derived throughout his mystical body, that even his death may as well inwardly by charity, as outwardly by another way also, be applied to us for the pardoning of that temporal satisfaction, which after the sacrament of penance is left unforgiven. And that is by such authority as Christ gave to Peter, saying, "To thee I will give the keys of the kingdom of heaven; Matt. xvi.19.

Well, this satisfaction may notwithstanding be fulfilled more than one way. For a man being once by the sacrament of

ing all other follies. g A text full dens." pardons.

and whatsoever thou bindest in earth, it shall be bound also in heaven; and whatsoever thou h loosest in earth, it shall be loosed h O fond also in heaven." Lo, whatsoever Peter looseth in earth, it shall man! Christ be loosed in the sight of God. If therefore the pope, who suc-soever thou loosest in ceedeth Peter, do by just cause loose, not only the mortal sin by earth, and the sacrament of penance, but also the band of temporal pain, gatory. which remaineth yet due to the sin; it is undoubted, that such pain is loosed in the sight of God. The cause of loosing must be not only the will of the pope, (who is put in authority to build, and not to destroy; to dispense, and not to lavish,) but a reasonable change or recompence substituted in that behalf, such as appertaineth to the honour of God, or to the profit of souls: as maintaining war, and fighting against infidels for the defence of Christendom, recovery of the sepulchre of Christ, succouring widows, orphans, or other poor persons, the building or maintaining of holy places, the visiting of prisons and martyrs' tombs, or any like devout and charitable deeds: which whiles the faithful Christian doth obediently perform, (although otherwise the thing enjoined be not great,) he may obtain remission also of that temporal satisfaction which was left in penance unremitted. This kind of pardon St. Paul gave to that notorious sinner, who at Corinth had his father's wife, and was for that fault separated from the church of God, to be afflicted temporally in his flesh. But when the Corinthians had informed St. Paul of his earnest and true repentance, and had shewed their own favour and good wills toward him, the apostle answereth, "Whom ye forgive aught, I also (forgive). For I also in that I have forgiven, if I have forgiven aught, for your sakes in the person of Christ I have forgiven it." Well, we are assured the apostle speaketh of forgiving such afflictions as the party was in, by reason he was delivered out of the defence of holy church, to that state, where the devil, as St. Chrysostom upon that place noteth, pro solutione peccatorum, "for payment of his sins," might vex him: and wherein such persons, being put to their penance, used to remain for a certain space of months or years, until their penance were done and expired. Now the reconciling of the man, not vet having done due satisfaction, before his ordinary time, is a pardon: which the apostle saith he doth give in the person of Christ, as having authority of him to do it: and for the Corinthians' sakes, as who were able by their holy prayers and common sorrow (whereof the apostle speaketh) to make recompence for that which lacked on the behalf of his own satisfaction. If this much do not satisfy any man desiring to be fully resolved And thus M. herein, let him resort to the Latin works of that holy and learned awaked out bishop of Rochester.

ı Cor. v.

2 Cor. ii.

In I Cor. v. hom. 15.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

These objections, ye say, in your pleasant homely comparison, "are as common with us, as lice with beggars." And yet to my remembrance, neither your private mass, nor your half communion, was ever touched in this whole Apology more than once before this place: the lifting up, or shewing of the sacrament, not once at all. Ye should have weighed your advantages better, M. Harding, before ye thus bestowed your loathsome quarrels.

But thought you indeed, M. Harding, (I will not say as you say, that with so lousy and beggarly, but,) that with so poor and simple stuff ye should be able to mock the world? Many fond tales both you and your fellows have sent us over: but a fonder tale than this tale is, of your pardons and purgatories, ye have sent us none. I must say to you as St. Augustine sometime said to Julianus, the Pelagian heretic: Necessitate compellitur talibus pannis indui tam magna etiam vestra superbia: "Your pride, be it never so great, even for very poor need and beggary, is fain to cover itself with such sorry clouts."

Aug. contr.
Julian, lib. 5.
cap. 1. [x.
627.]

I beseech you, consider how advisedly and reverently ye use God's holy word. Thus you say: "Nathan said unto David, Our Lord hath put away thy sin: thou shalt not Matt. xii. 32. die:" Christ saith; "The sin against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven, neither in this world, nor in the

Mark iii. 29. world to come:" or as St. Mark saith; "He that sinneth against the *Holy Ghost*, hath no remission *for ever*; but Gal. vi. 2. shall be guilty of everlasting sin:" St. Paul saith; "Bear

Matt. xvi. 19. ye one another's burden:" Christ saith to Peter; "To thee will I give the keys of the kingdom of heaven," &c.:

St. Paul said of him that had lived in shameful incest with

Satan." These be your scriptures, M. Harding, full properly applied, and to good purpose: hereof ye full discreetly and learnedly conclude thus: Ergo, The pope hath a warrant sufficient to grant his pardons, and that as well to the dead, as to the quick.

O, M. Harding, God is not to be mocked: fear his judg-

ments: abuse not his name or word in vain. Full well you know, that neither David, nor Nathan, nor Christ, nor Paul, were pardon-mongers. What should I further say to him, that with so great a countenance, and so much ado, can say nothing? Let St. Augustine briefly answer all these vanities. Thus he saith: Hoc quid est aliud, nisi diligen-Aug. de Civit. 161. 13. cap. ter pro humana suspicione contendere, et scripturas sanctas 24. [vii. 347.] negligenter attendere? "What thing else is this, but stoutly to strive for man's fancy, and negligently to consider God's holy word?"

The prophet David, upon whom ye would seem to ground these follies, answereth you thus? Narraverunt mihi iniqui fabulationes: sed non ut lex tua, Domine: "The Ps. cxix. 85. wicked have told me many foolish tales: but, O Lord, their tales are nothing to thy law." St. Augustine, if he were now alive, would say of you, as he said of other your predecessors: O vanitas, vendens vanitatem vanitatem audituris Aug. In lib. vanis et credituris?: "O vanity, selling vanity to them that hom. 36. [v. will hear vanity: and vain are they that will believe it."

Leo saith: In hanc insipientiam cadunt, qui cum ad Leo ad Flacognoscendam veritatem aliquo impediuntur obscuro, non ad epist. 10. [1. propheticas voces, non ad apostolicas literas, nec ad evangelicas authoritates, sed ad semetipsos recurrunt: "Into this folly they fall, that, when they be hindered by some darkness from the knowledge of the truth, go not to the voices of the prophets, nor to the writings of the apostles, nor to the authorities of the gospels: but only have recourse unto themselves."

Now somewhat to say particularly of the matter, touching your pardons, your own doctor, Sylvester Prierias, master of the pope's palace, writeth thus: Indulgentiæ Sylvest.

Prier. contra authoritate scripturæ non innotuere nobis; sed authoritate Luther.

[Dial. Fund. ecclesiæ Romanæ, Romanorumque pontificum, quæ major est: 3:1]

"Pardons are not known unto us by the authority of the scriptures; but by the authority of the church of Rome, and of the popes, which is greater than the authority of the scriptures."

⁷ [The Bened. edd. read it "tatem vanitati. Audituri sunt thus: "O vanitas vendens vani- "vani et credituri."]

Therefore, M. Harding, by this doctor's judgment, it was great folly to allege so many scriptures for proof of your pardons. For here ye are taught in good sadness, that your pardons can never be proved by any scriptures. It had been much better for you to have alleged only the pope's authority. For that, as your Sylvester teacheth you, far passeth all the authority of the scriptures.

Roffensis contra Lutherum [apud] Polydor, de Inventor, lib. 8, cap. 1, [p. 456.]

Roffensis saith: Ego respondeo, Non satis certo constare, a quo primum indulgentiæ tradi caperint. Apud priscos vel nulla, vel certe quam rarissima fiebat mentio de purga-Quamdiu autem nulla esset (1. fuerat) cura de purgatorio, nemo quæsivit indulgentias. Nam ex illo pendet omnis indulgentiarum æstimatio. Si tollas purgatorium. quorsum iudulgentiis opus erit? Caperunt indulgentia, postquam ad purgatorii cruciatus aliquandiu trepidatum est: "Thus I answer: It cannot well appear from whom pardons first began. Among the old doctors and fathers of the church there was either no talk at all, or very little talk of purgatory. But as long as purgatory was not cared for, there was no man that sought for pardons. For the whole price of pardons hangeth of purgatory. Take away purgatory, and what shall we need of pardons? Pardons began, when folk were a little frayed with the pains of purgatory."

Johan, Major, in 4. Sent, Dist, 20. Quest, 2. [fol. 197, col. 4.]

Johannes Major saith: De indulgentiis pauca dici possunt per certitudinem, quia scriptura de illis expresse non loquitur. Nam quod dicitur Petro, Tibi dabo claves, &c. certum est, quod oportet intelligere illam authoritatem cum sale. Fatuæ ergo et superstitiosæ sunt quædam indulgentiæ viginti millium annorum: "Of pardons little may be said of certainty: for the scripture expressly saith nothing of them. Touching that Christ saith unto Peter, 'Unto thee will I give the keys,' &c. we must understand this authority with a corn of salt," (otherwise it may be unsavoury.) "Therefore certain of the pope's pardons, that promise twenty thousand years, are foolish and superstitious."

Your school doctors themselves were wont sometime to Vesclus. [ap. say: Inventio indulgentiarum est pia fraus, et dolus non flac. Illyr. in Catal. malus, quo populus officioso errore trahatur ad pietatem: Testium veritat.]

"The devising of pardons is a godly guile, and a hurtless deceit; to the intent that by a devout kind of error the people may be drawn to godliness 8."

Here, M. Harding, you see the antiquity, authority, and best countenance of your pardons: that they flowed first out of the sinks of your purgatory, as one vanity floweth out of another: you see, that your pardons sometimes may be superstitious, and full of folly: you see, that the sale of your pardons is a godly guile, and a devout kind of error, to lead the people. This is the fairest colour ye can devise to lay upon it. But miserable is that people, that thus must be led by guile and error.

Alphonsus de Castro saith: Nulla res est quam minus Alphons. de castro, lib. 8. aperte sacræ literæ prodiderint: et de qua minus vetusti Indulgenties. scriptores dixerint. Non est mentio ulla de indulgentiis: 578, et "There is nothing, that the scriptures have less opened, or whereof the old learned fathers have less written, than of pardons. Of pardons" (in the scriptures and doctors) "there is no mention."

Of the shameless merchandize and sales hereof many godly men have complained, ye have turned godliness 1 Tim. vi. 5. into gain: and, as St. Peter saith, "Through covetousness, 2 Pet, ii. 2. by feigned speech, ye have made marts and markets of the people." One of your own friends saith thus: In multis Opus Tribrevibus [leg. Habent brevia in quibus] continentur tot indul-junctum cum gentiæ, ut boni viri mirentur, unquam de conscientia papæ, ran. lib. 3. cap. 8. vel alicujus boni viri potuisse illa procedere: "In many of [Crabb. il. their habe il. 1002.] their books there are contained so many days and years of pardon, that good men marvel, that they could ever come

8 [There is some confusion between Veselus (Lux Mundi) and Joannes de Wesalia, a preacher at Worms. They are both cited by Flacius Illyricus inter Testes Veritatis. Both seem to have been premature Reformers: Wesalia was condemned by the influence of the Thomists, at Heidelberg, A.D. 1479. Amongst the propositions selected from his works, as printed in Paral. Urspergensis, p. 419, are some of very questionable ortho-

doxy: his belief respecting the Procession of the Holy Ghost agreed with that of the Greeks. His opinion about indulgences, as stated in the text, corresponds with the account of Illyricus. His contemporary Veselus appears to have held nearly similar sentiments; indeed their opinions are so much alike, that it is difficult to believe, that it is not the same person under two slightly differing names.]

out by the consent either of the pope, or of any other good man."

To conclude, we will say with St. Augustine: Fratres, Ang. in Epist. Jo. han. Tract. i. Jesum Christum Justum habemus advocatum apud Patrem. Ipse est propitiatio pro peccatis nostris. Hoc qui tenuit, hæresim non fecit: hoc qui tenuit, schisma non fecit. Unde enim facta sunt schismata? Cum dicunt homines, Nos justi sumus:.....nos sanctificamus immundos: nos justificamus impios: nos petimus: nos impetramus: "My brethren, we have Jesus Christ the Righteous our advocate with the Father. He is the propitiation or pardon for our sins. He that held this, never made heresy: he that held this, never made schism. For whereof do schisms come? Hereof they come, when men say," (as now the pope saith,) "We are righteous: we do make holy the unholy: we do justify the wicked: we do pray: we do obtain pardon for others by our prayer."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 8. Divis. 1.

These men are wont to speak much of a certain [Vol. ir. p. secret doctrine of theirs, and of their manifold and sundry readings. Let them therefore bring forth somewhat now, if they can, that it may appear, they have at least read, or do know somewhat. have often stoutly noised in all corners where they went, that all the parts of their religion be very old, and have been approved, not only of the multitude, but also by the consent and continual observation of all nations and times. Let them therefore once in their life shew this their antiquity: let them make appear to the eye, that the things, whereof they make such ado, have taken so long and large increase: let them declare, that all Christian nations have agreed by consent to this their religion.

Nay, nay, they turn their backs, as we have said already, and flee from their own decrees, and have cut off and abolished again within a short space the same things, which but a few years before themselves had established, for evermore, forsooth, to continue. How should one then trust them in the fathers, in the old councils, and in the words spoken by God? They have not, good Lord, they have not (I say) those things which they boast they have: they have not that antiquity, they have not that universality, they have not that consent, neither of all places, nor of all times. And though they have a desire rather to dissemble, yet they themselves are not ignorant hereof: yea and sometime also they let not to confess it openly. And for this cause they say, that the ordinances of the old councils and fathers be such, as may now and then be altered, and that sundry and divers decrees serve for sundry and divers times of the church. Thus lurk they under the name of the church, and beguile silly creatures with their vain glossing. It is to be marvelled, that either men be so blind, that they cannot see this, or if they see it, that they can be so patient, so lightly and so quietly to bear it.

M. HARDING.

Here is much ado, and as some say, great boast and small roast: many words, little matter. The sense of these words (if I be not deceived) resembleth Mr. Jewel's challenging spirit, as like as an ewe resembleth a sheep. What we can bring forth, we say not. a Neither think we it necessary at your request, to a lt is good shew what we have read, and what we know. Boasting and bringing shews, we leave to you: that you require, hath been hath nothing sufficiently declared otherwheres. All things are not to be said load has at all times. Yet two of your loud lies, beside other that you make here, I will be so bold as to discover. The decrees of the late Tridentine council, (for that is it you mean,) although they be not yet in all places most exactly observed, yet be they not repealed, cut off, and abolished again, as you say.....

b And what a foul lie is that other, where you say, that our b For trial hereof, conselves acknowledge and confess openly, that the faith and doc-sider only trine which we hold is not ancient and universal, for times, Roffensis,

Major, and Alphonsus, alleged in the former Division.

places, and consent of all? What else mean we, when we challenge unto us and claim the catholic faith? But this is your accustomed slender rhetoric, when proofs and arguments of truth fail you, to use the figure of impudent lying, and say, that we ourselves confess it to be true, which you impute unto us. Whatsoever you say in this place, we may of right return it ever to you. So we do, and so take you it, &c. And for ought that I can see yet, as your synagogue had of late the beginning, so it is like shortly to make an ending.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Of all these words, and others mo, so vain as many, there is not one word worth the answering. What affiance M. Harding and his friends have in their antiquity, it may appear by the last Division.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 9. Divis. 1.

But, whereas they have commanded, that those [Vol. iv. p. 64.]decrees should be void, as things now waxen too old, and that have lost their grace, perhaps they have provided, in their stead, certain other better things, and more profitable for the people. For it is a common saying with them, that, If Christ himself, or the apostles, were alive again, they could neither better, nor more godly govern God's church, than it is at this present governed by them. They have put somewhat in their stead indeed: but it is chaff instead of wheat, as Jeremy saith, and such things as, according to Esay the prophet's words, "God never required at their hands." "They have stopped up" (saith he) "all the veins of the clear springing water, and have digged up for the people deceivable and puddlelike pits, full of mire and filth, which neither have, nor are able to hold pure water." They have plucked away from the people the holy communion, the word of God, from whence all comfort should be taken, the true worshipping of God also, and the

Jerem. xxiii, 28. Is, i, 12. right use of sacraments and prayer: and have given us of their own, to play withal in the mean while, salt, water, oil, boxes, spittle, palms, bulls, jubilees, pardons, crosses, censings, and an endless rabble of ceremonies, and (as a man might term them with Plautus) pretty games to make sport withal.

Ludos ludificabiles. [Plaut. Casin. iv. 1. 2.]

M. HARDING.

.....Rail and revel whiles ye will, the church is governed by a word and by a discipline. If Christ himself or his apostles were a But the alive again, the word, that is to say, b the doctrine of our belief, pope useth now preached and received in the catholic church, bneither them both. should be altered, b nor could be bettered. b For it is the same he without taught himself. And that we doubt not of it, according to his either meapromise, he hath sent the Holy Ghost, to inform the church of gard of all truth. Remember you not who said, I am God, c and am not shame. Psal, cxix, 89, changed? Again, that the word of God remaineth for ever?..... the change

This being true, it is evident, that we have the sound and is in you, and not in weighty wheat which no persecution of tyrants, no blasts of here-God. tics, no contagion of evil manners, for these fifteen hundred years could either blow from the floor of our Lord's barn, the church, or corrupt. We have, according to the apostle's counsel, kept that hath been committed unto us. We have enjoyed the fountain of the water of life.....

Ye charge us sore, that we have plucked away from the people the holy communion, the word of God, the true worshipping of God, the right use of sacraments, and prayer. Whosoever taketh these five away, wherein chiefly standeth our salvation, the same dis Antichrist. Were not that ye have already done so much for d The gospel us, as the world may take you for impudent liars, we would not mouth. For quietly bear so grievous a matter. But now, that ye have tried all these five things the yourselves so false of your word, we little esteem it: your railing pope hath is no slander......9. Lastly, concerning prayer, what hath been away. ordained by our holy forefathers e of all ages, directed with the e Untruth. Spirit of God, for the maintenance and increase of it to God's barbarous honour, all that in few years by the instinct of Satan, to promote unknown his kingdom, ye have utterly abolished, and by wicked violence the time of brought the people from fdevotion to a careless idleness, from the ancient learned faspeaking to God with hearts and lips, to fa spiritual dumbness, thers, were from prayers f to chapters, from holy thinking to funprofitable over known. hearkening.

Ye cannot abide salt, water, oil, palm, the cross, incense, &c.; open blasphemy. no marvel. No more cannot the devil who possesseth you, and

⁹ [Here Harding pretends to to the first four of the five things contrast the Roman practice with specified. that of the protestants in respect

Mal, iii, 6,

Depositum

rideth you, and after his own will driveth you from truth, from Christian religion, and from all godliness. Were it so that your spirit could away with those things, then were it not agreeing with his spirit. Until ye give place to the Spirit of God, who may drive out of you the spirit of Satan, we look to hear no better tidings of you.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Ye were somewhat angry, M. Harding, when ye thus

bestirred yourself. It pitieth me in your behalf. and his apostles" (ye sav) "never ruled the church in better order than it is now ruled by the pope and his cardinals." Even so might they have said, that had turned Matt. xxl. 13. "the house of God into a cave of thieves." One of your Latomus de friends 10 saith: Apostolorum temporibus rudis adhuc erat ecclesia: "In the time of the apostles, the church as yet was rude and barbarous, and out of order." And, being afterward reproved for his blasphemous speech, he an-

Utraque Specie [respons. ad Epist. Buceri, p. 12.]

Jacob. Andreæ udver-

p. 70.

omnes.

RUDIS, INDIGESTAQUE MOLES.

Others of you say: Christus in calo præsidet: papa in sus Hosium. terris residet: "Christ ruleth in heaven: the pope in Dist. 19. Sic earth." Another saith: Omnes sanctiones sedis apostolicæ sic accipiendæ sunt, tanquam ipsius divina voce Petri firmata: "All the laws of the apostolic see of Rome must so be taken, as if they were confirmed by the divine voice of Andreas Bar-Peter himself." Another saith: Sicut ostium regitur cardine, ita ecclesia Romana regitur consilio cardinalium: "As the door is ruled by the hook, so is the church of Rome ruled by the counsel of cardinals."

batius Siculus ad Bessarionem. [apud] Po-lydor. Vergil. de Inventorib. lib, 4. Ceremon. cap. 6. [fol.

94. b.]

Therefore the pope himself saith thus unto them: Vos Bb. 1. sect. 8. eritis senatores urbis, et regum similes, veri mundi cardines [al. cardinales], super quos militantis ostium ecclesia volvendum,

> 10 [This was Barthol. Latomus; the first assertion occurs in his answer to Bucer's letters, in "Scripta Adversaria Latomi et Buceri" (Argentor. 1544 Bodl.) p. 12. Bucer's reproof follows, p. 37. The expression is vindicated in the "Refutatio calumniosarum insectationum M. Buceri,

swered lewdly in his pleasance:

Latomo authore," sub tit. "de dispensatione Eucharistiæ"-but the editor sees no traces there of his using the words "rudis indi-gestaque moles." We have here the germ of the principle of development, to which Romanists, finding antiquity against them, are so often driven.]

et regendum est: "Ye shall be the senators of my city, and like unto kings, the very hooks and stays of the world, upon whom the very door of the church militant must be turned and ruled." Such are they, whom St. Hierom imagineth thus to say: Non est vir in domo. Non est Hieron, in Proverb 7. Christus corporaliter in ecclesia. Surgens enim a mortuis, [v. 538.] ascendit in cælum: nobisque ministerium gubernandæ ecclesiæ, suam videlicet domum, reliquit: "My husband is not at home." (That is to say) "Christ is not now corporally in the church. For, being risen from the dead, he is ascended into heaven: and hath left unto us the government of his church, that is, the whole ordering of his house 11."

True it is, as you say, "God is one, and is not changed: Malach. Hi. 6. and his word endureth for ever." But the change is in you, M. Harding, and not in God. God's word is the word of life: your word is the word of vanity. God the Matth. xv. 13. Father hath not planted it; therefore it shall be plucked up by the roots. God saith by the prophet Malachy: "The lips of the priest shall keep knowledge, and the people shall require the law at his mouth: for he is the angel of the Lord of hosts." Vos autem recessistis de via, Malach. H. 7. &c. "But you are gone back from the way: you have offended many a one in the law: you have broken the covenant of Levi, saith the Lord of hosts. And therefore have I made you to be despised."

Ye have changed the most part of the apostles' doctrine: and of all that ever they ordained, ye have in a manner left nothing standing. St. Bernard saith of your own church of Rome: A planta pedis usque ad verticem capitis, Bern.in Connon est sanitas ulla: "From the sole of the foot unto the Pauli, serm. crown of the head, there is not one whole place." And ''. [iii. 956.] yet ye bear us strongly in hand, that Christ and his apostles never ruled the church in better order, than it is now ruled by the pope and his cardinals.

All the rest of your empty talk is answered sufficiently before: yet one pang of your eloquence I may not in any wise leave untouched. Thus ye say: "By the instinct

^{11 [}Hieron. in Proverb. This work is not genuine.]

of Satan ye have brought the people from devotion to careless idleness; from speaking to God with hearts and lips to a spiritual dumbness: from prayers to chapters: from holy thinking to unprofitable hearkening." If I were not well acquainted with your speech, M. Harding, I could not think ye would so unadvisedly bestow your words. doubt not but even hereby it shall soon appear, whether of us hath wilfully broken the apostles' orders, and refused the godly examples of the holy primitive church of God. Ye tell us, that the reading of the scriptures unto the people, in the church of God, is a spiritual dumbness, and a thing unprofitable, as only devised of ourselves, and that, as you say, "by the instinct of Satan," and never before either known or used by any catholic learned father.

So little regard you, what you say. Any man that hath been conversant in the ancient fathers, may easily reprove your folly.

Orig. in Josua hom. 15. [ii. 431.]

γνωσις.

Origen saith: Judaicarum historiarum libri traditi sunt ab apostolis, legendi in ecclesiis: "The books of" (the Old Testament, which are called) "the stories of the Jews were delivered by the apostles to be read in the Dionysius saith: Deinde ordine habetur sacro-Dionysius churches." Dionysius saith: Deinde ordine habetur sacro-Eccl. Hierar. c. 3. [p. 133.] rum librorum lectio: "Afterward follow in order the lessons αγιογράφων of the holy books." Justinus Martyr saith: Die solis omnes, qui in oppidis vel in agris morantur, unum in locum Justin. Martyr Apologia conveniunt: commentariaque apostolorum, vel prophetarum 2. [p. 83.] scripta leguntur. Deinde is, qui præest, admonet omnes, et hortatur, ut ea, quæ lecta sunt, bona imitentur. surginus omnes et comprecamur: "Upon the Sunday, the Christian people that dwell in town or country meet together in one place. There the epistles of the apostles, or the prophets' writings are pronounced unto us. Afterward, he that is the chief or minister, warneth and exhorteth all the rest, that they will follow those good things that they have heard readen. That done, we rise up all, and pray together." Cyprian, lib. St. Cyprian saith: Lector personat verba sublimia

2. epist. 5. [p. 46.]

evangelium Christi legit: a fratribus conspicitur: cum qaudio fraternitatis auditur: "The reader soundeth out the high and heavenly words: he readeth out the

gospel of Christ: he is seen of the brethren: he is heard with joy of (all) the brotherhood 12,"

The fathers in the council of Constantinople say thus: Tempore diptychorum cucurrit omnis multitudo cum magno conc. consilentio circumcirca altare, et audiebant: "At the time of stantinop. s. reading" (of the chapter) "all the multitude of the people 1066.] with great silence drew round about the altar or communion-table, and gave ear."

In the council of Laodicea it is written thus: In sabbatis Concil. Laoevangelia cum aliis scripturis legi convenit: "Upon the [ii. 567. a.] sabbath day it is convenient that the gospels and other scriptures be read" (in the church unto the people). Leo saith: Solennitati sacratissimæ lectionis subjungatur exhor- Leo, de Retatio sacerdotis: "After the solemn reading of the most Domini, holy lesson, let there follow the sermon or exhortation of 307.] [1. the priest."

St. Chrysostom saith: Stat minister, et communis minister, Chrys. in et alta voce clamat.... Post illam vocem lector incipit pro-19. [ix. 159.] phetiam Esaiæ: "The minister and common minister standeth up, and crieth out with loud voice" (saying, keep silence and give ear). "After that, the reader beginneth the prophecy of Esay." St. Ambrose saith: Non possum Ambros. lib. ego a me facere quicquam: sicut audio, et judico. Audistis [Horont. ii. [al. audisti] lectum: ego non accuso vos: Moses vos accusat: audistis [al. audisti] lectum : (Christ saith) "I cannot do John v. 30. any thing of myself: as I hear, so I judge. Ye have heard it readen: I accuse you not, it is Moses that accuseth you. Ye have heard it readen."

St. Augustine saith: Audistis, cum evangelium legere-Aug. in Psal. tur: modo, cum legeretur, si intenti fuistis lectioni, au-Aug. in 50.
Homil. hom.

12 [St. Cyprian. This quotation is made up of extracts. St. Cyprian is resting the claims of Aurelius to be appointed reader upon his behaviour as a confessor. "Nihil magis congruit voci, quæ " Dominum gloriosa prædicatione

[&]quot; confessa est, quam celebrandis " divinis lectionibus personare,

[&]quot; post verba sublimia quæ Christi

[&]quot; martyrium prolocuta sunt evan-" gelium Christi legere, unde mar-" tyres fiunt, ad pulpitum post

[&]quot;catastrum venire, illic fuisse " conspicuum gentilium multitu-"dini, hic a fratribus conspici,

[&]quot; illic auditum esse cum miraculo " circumstantis populi, hic cum gaudio fraternitatis audiri."]

Aug. de Tem. distis 13:——Audivimus in lectione, quæ lecta est, fratres pore, serm. 2.

[v. App. 33.] dilectissimi: "Ye heard, when the gospel was read: ye heard crewhile, when it was read, if ye gave ear to the reading: dearly beloved, we have heard in the lesson, that hath been read."

Hereby, I think, it may appear, that the reading of lessons and chapters is no new device in the church of God.

And yet will you tell us, M. Harding, that all this is only a spiritual dumbness, and an unprofitable hearkening, brought in by the instinct of Satan, to promote his kingdom? or if you dare so to tell us, must we believe you?

Isidor, de Eccl. Offic, lib. 1, c, 10. Verily Isidorus saith: Lectio est non parva audientium ædificatio. Unde oportet, ut quando psallitur, psallatur ab omnibus: quando oratur, oretur ab omnibus: quando lectio legitur, facto silentio, æque audiatur a cunctis: "The lesson" (in the church) "bringeth great profit to the hearers. Therefore, when singing is, let all sing together: when prayer is, let all pray together: and when the lesson or chapter is read, let silence be made, and let all hear together." Ter-

Tertullian in tullian saith: Coimus ad literarum divinarum commemoraApologetico.

10:39. p. 31:1 tionem:.....fidem sanctis vocibus pascimus: spem erigimus:
fiduciam figimus: "We come together to the reading of
the holy scriptures: we feed our faith with those heavenly
voices: we raise up our affiance: we fasten our hope."

Tertullian. Again he saith: Ubi fomenta fidei de scripturarum lecad Uxorem, lib. 2. [p. 170. tione [al. interjectione]? "Where is the feeding of faith, A.] that cometh by the open pronouncing and reading of the scriptures?"

Orig. in Leviticum, hom. 5. [ii. 214.]

Origen saith: Non fuisset necessarium, legi hæc in ecclesia, nisi ex his ædificatio aliqua audientibus præberetur: "It had not been necessary to have these things read in the church, unless thereof might grow some profit to the hearers."

Leo de Passione Dom. serm. 19. [i. 299.] Leo saith: Sacram Dominicæ passionis historiam evangelica, ut moris est, narratione decursam, ita omnium vestrum

13 [There is some mistake in tine's sermons abound with simithese references, but St. Augus- lar passages.]

arbitror inhæsisse pectoribus, ut unicuique audientium, ipsa lectio quædam facta sit visio: "I think that the holy story of our Lord's passion, which we, as the manner is, have read unto you, is so fastened unto all your hearts, that the very hearing itself unto every of the hearers is a kind of seeing." Chrysostom saith, The manner was, that before Chrys. in Acta, hom. every such lesson or chapter, the minister should say unto 19. [ix. 159.] the people with a loud voice, Attendamus, "Let us mark."

St. Augustine saith: Epistolæ apostolicæ, non tantum illis August. conscriptæ sunt, qui tempore illo, quo scribebantur, audiebant, nium, lib. 1. sed etiam nobis. Non enim ob aliud in ecclesia recitantur: "The apostles' epistles were not written only to them that heard them at the same time when they were written, but also to us. For to none other purpose are they read openly in the church."

This therefore is no spiritual dumbness, M. Harding: this is no unprofitable hearkening: this is no instinct or work of Satan. St. Augustine saith: Vide formicam Dei: August. In surgit quotidie: currit ad ecclesiam Dei: orat: audit lec-[Iv. 657.] tionem: hymnum cantat: ruminat, quod audit: apud se cogitat: recondit intus grana electa de area: "Behold God's emote [emmet]: she riseth daily: she runneth to the church of God: she prayeth: she heareth the lesson or chapter: she singeth the psalm: she cheweth or remembereth that she hath heard: she museth upon it within herself: and within she layeth up the corns chosen from the floor."

Now may you bethink yourself, M. Harding. How can you so vainly say, that the reading of the holy scriptures or chapters is a new device in the church of God? You see all the ancient learned fathers with one consent bear witness against you. What shall we think is the work [ed. 1570, word] of God, if the hearing of God's word be the work of Satan? What will you call spiritual speaking in the church, if the publishing of God's holy will be spiritual dumbness? Acknowledge your error. Give glory to God. What wise man will believe you further?

But here may you call to mind the spiritual speeches and heavenly sounds of your churches. There may you

hear, Ave mater Anna, plena melle canna: Dic nobis Maria, quid vidisti in via? And at the hallowing of your Agnos Dei, in cometh a post in haste and sweating, and telleth Durand. lib. the pope, Domine, Domine, Domine: isti sunt agni novelli, qui annuntiaverunt Alleluia. Modo venerunt ad fontes" &c. Sanct. [cap. Here is pretty gear to comfort the conscience, as good as a song of Robin Hood. Better were it for you to sit dumb in the church of God, than thus to speak.

Your churches, your churches, M. Harding, are full, not only of spiritual, but also of corporal and horrible dumbness. Of all that is said or done there, be it never so fond, the poor godly people knoweth nothing. St. Augus-Aug. de Gen. tine saith: Si intellectum mentis removeas, nemo ædificatur

ad Liter. lib. 302.]

Chrys. in Matt. hom.

131.

6. Sabbato

79.]

and tatter, no.
12. c. 8. [iii. audiendo quod non intelligit: "If ye remove the understanding of the mind, no man is edified or taketh profit by hearing that he cannot understand." Chrysostom saith: Perdiderunt audiendi laborem, et tempus. Nam qui non intelligit quod audit, perdit quod audit: "They have lost both their labour in hearing, and also the time. For he that understandeth not that he heareth, loseth the thing that he heareth 14." Therefore the pope himself, in his In Pontifical. Pontifical, giveth this special charge unto the reader: Stude lectiones 'sacras distincte, et aperte proferre ad intelligentiam et ædificationem fidelium: "Endeavour thyself to pro-

[de ordinat. Lect.]

from me."

plainly" (not to a spiritual dumbness, but) " to the understanding and profit of the faithful 15." Touching the prayers that the simple people maketh Matth. xv. 8. in a tongue unknown, Christ saith: "This people honoureth me with their lips: but their hearts are far

nounce the holy lessons" (or chapters) "distinctly and

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 9. Divis. 2.

In these things have they set all their religion, [Vol. iv. p. teaching the people, that by the same God may be

^{14 [}There is some mistake in 15 ["Studete verba Dei, scilicet the reference, since there are only "Lectiones" &c.] or homilies in Matth.]

duly pacified, spirits be driven away, and men's consciences well quieted.

M. HARDING.

What shall I say to all this, but that ye lie? I would say, as the manner is, Saying your worships: but that your often and unshamefast lying hath quite taken away from you all opinion of honesty. All Christ's religion which we profess consisteth not in these things, a neither by these be men's consciences quieted. By certain of these evil and impure spirits be driven away indeed. Which here by sundry ancient records and testimonies I would declare to be most true, were it not well enough known by daily experience.....But as for you, whereas neither bread, nor water, nor cross driveth you away, it seemeth ye are worse to be conjured for hereby than the devil himself. Many of your sect catholic princes have ye profess to found so stubborn, as they could never yet rid their countries of consciences, them but by conjuration of fire.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Ye say, ye never sought to quiet men's consciences by oil, water, palms, &c. And therefore ye stand up a tip-toe, and in your familiar manner cry out, Ye lie. For short trial hereof, one example may suffice instead of many. Augustine Steuchus, one of your special and worthy doctors, saith thus: Aquas sale et orationibus sanctificamus, ut ad earum aspersum nostra deleantur [aboleantur] delicta: "We hallow water with salt and prayers, that by the hallow sprinkling thereof our sins may be forgiven." Read your brum Numeror, cap. 19. own Pontifical, and ye shall find in the hallowing of your sins forgiven water, your ashes, your palms, your candles, &c. this clause ter. evermore in the end: Ut sint nobis ad salutem animæ et corporis: "That they may be to us to the salvation of In Pontifical. body and soul 16."

Whereas in the end ye vaunt yourself of your cruelty, and so pleasantly make sport with the blood of your brethren, take it not for ill, if I answer you with the words of Solomon: Viscera impiorum crudelia: "The bowels Prov. xii. 10.

¹⁶ [In the Pontificale Rom. "(vel refectionem) corporis et 1520, there are benedictions of "animæ."] salt, water, and oil "ad salutem

of the wicked be always cruel." Therein, M. Harding, standeth vour greatest puissance. If ye were no better armed with fire and sword than ye be with scriptures and doctors, no wise man would greatly fear your force.

Nicol, Cusacap. 3. [p. 916.]

We may say of you, as cardinal Cusanus saith of the Turk: Omnium, quæ in Alchorano continentur, ultima resotionis, libas. lutio est gladius: "The last resolution and trial of all things that are contained in the Alcoran, is the sword."

1. epist. 3.

As for us, we may answer you now, as St. Cyprian some-Cyprian. lib. time answered the heathers 16: Nobis ignominia non est, pati a fratribus, quod passus est Christus: neque vobis [leg. illis] gloria est, facere quod fecit Judas: "It is no shame for us, to suffer of our brethren the same violence that Christ suffered: neither is it any praise for you, to do the same thing that Judas did."

Tertullian in Apologetico. [sub fin. p. 40.]

Rom, ii. 5.

Tertullian saith unto your fathers: Crudelitas vestra, gloria nostra est: semen est sanguis Christianorum: "Your cruelty is our glory: the blood of Christians is the seed of the gospel." I pray God, all that innocent blood that hath been shed in this cause be not required at your hands " in the day of wrath, and at the declaration of the just judgment of God."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 10. Divis. 1.

For these, lo, be the orient colours and precious [Vol. iv. p. savours of Christian religion: these things doth God look upon, and accepteth them thankfully: these must come in place to be honoured, and must put quite away the institutions of Christ, and of his And like as in times past, when wicked king Jeroboam had taken from the people the right serving of God, and had brought them to worship the golden calves, lest perchance they might afterward change their mind, and slip away, getting them again to Jerusalem to the temple of God, there

^{16 [}Rather "the heretics and schismatics-false brethren;" for this is St. Cyprian's meaning.

he exhorted them with a long tale to be steadfast, saying thus unto them: "O Israel, these calves be thy gods. In this sort commanded your God, you should worship him. For it should be wearisome and troublous for you to take upon you a journey so far off, and yearly to go up to Jerusalem, there to serve and honour your God:" even after the very same sort, when these men had once made the law of God of none effect through their own traditions, fearing that the people should afterward open their eyes, and fall another way, and should somewhence else seek a surer mean of their salvation: Jesu, how often have they cried out, this is the same worshipping that pleaseth God, and which he straitly requireth of us, and wherewith he will be turned from his wrath: that by these things is conserved the unity of the church: that by these all sins be cleansed, and consciences quieted: and that, whoso departeth from these, hath left unto himself no hope of everlasting salvation. For it were wearisome and troublous (say they) for the people to resort to Christ, to the apostles, and to the ancient fathers, and to observe continually what their will and commandment should be. ye may see, is to withdraw the people of God from the weak elements of the world, from the leaven of the Scribes and Pharisees, and from the traditions of men. It were reason, no doubt, that Christ's commandments and the apostles' were removed, that these their hests and devices might come in place. O iust cause, I promise you, why that ancient and so long allowed doctrine should be now abolished, and a new form of religion be brought into the church of God.

M. HARDING.

It should have become Scoggin 17, Patch 18, Jolle, Harry Pattenson 19, or Will Sommer 20, to have told this tale much better than your superintendentships. And if ye would needs have played the part yourselves, it had been more convenient to have. done it on the stage, under a Vice's coat, than in a book set abroad to the world in defence of all your new English church, ve shall never make any reasonable man believe your scoffing tale We esteem little your railing comparison, with your spiteful words, and so much devilish villainy......

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 10. Divis. 2.

And yet, whatsoever it be, these men cry still, [Vol. iv. p. that nothing ought to be changed: that men's minds are well satisfied herewithal: that the church of Rome, the church which cannot err, hath decreed these things. For Sylvester Prierias saith, that the Romish church is the squire 21 and rule of truth, and that the holy scripture hath received from thence authority and credit. The "doctrine," saith he, " of [Sylvester authority and creum. Inc. authority and creum. Luther, Dial. the Roman church is the infallible rule of faith, from the which the holy scripture taketh her force. And indulgences and pardons" (saith he) " are not made known to us by the authority of the scriptures, but they are made known to us by the authority of the

17 [Scoggin, the author of a book of jests, probably then just published, as it is entered in the Stationers' Register by Thos. Col-

well in 1565.]

18 [Patch: two of cardinal Wolsey's fools bore this name, their real names being Sexton and Wil-In Heylin's History of the Reformation mention is made of another fool called Patch, belonging to Elizabeth; and a similar name is found for a fool in Henry VII's household accounts. The name is probably derived from the motley dress; or perhaps from the Italian Pazzo. (Abridged from Douce's Illustrations of Shakspear, vol. i. 257.)]

19 [Henry Patenson, Morio, &c. was fool to sir Thos. More: who, after his resignation of the great seal, gave him to the lord mayor. Hence perhaps the origin of the saying, "My lord mayor's fool." See Granger's Biograph. History of England, vol. i. p. 78.]

20 [See an account of Will Som-

mers in Granger, vol. i. p. 85.]

21 [Squire: the old way of writing square: Apol. Lat. "norma."]

Roman church, and of the bishops of Rome, which is greater than the scriptures." Pighius also letteth not to say, that without the license of the Roman church, we ought not to believe the very plain scriptures. Much like as if any of those that cannot speak pure and clean Latin, and yet can babble out quickly and readily a little some such law Latin as serveth the court, would needs hold, that all others ought also to speak after the same way that Mammetrectus 22 and Catholicon 23 spake many years ago, and which themselves do yet use in pleading in court: for so may it be understood sufficiently what is said, and men's desires may be satisfied: and that it is a fondness, now in the latter end, to trouble the world with a new kind of speaking; and to call again the old fineness and eloquence that Cicero and Cæsar used in their days in the Latin tongue. So much are these men beholden to the folly and darkness of the former times. "Many things," as one c. Plinius. writeth, " are had in estimation oftentimes, because they have been once dedicate to the temples of the heathen gods." Even so we see at this day many things allowed and highly set by of these men, not because they judge them so much worth, but only because they have been received into a custom, and after a sort dedicate to the temple of God.

M. HARDING.

.....Ye have never done with the church of Rome. I cannot blame you. For so long as that standeth, without ye repent and blame you. For so long as that standern, whiled you have turn, aye shall never be taken but for such as ye be, schismatics a So was turn, aye shall never be taken but for such as ye be, schismatics a So was and heretics. But alas, poor souls, what! think ye to overthrow of the Phari-

²² [Mammotrepton, or Mamme-tractum, a work by Marchesinus, designed to teach the ignorant designed to teach the ignorant monks the pronunciation and the meaning of the Latin words in the Bible. There is a copyin the Bodl.]

JEWEL VOLUME

²³ [Catholicon, sive Januensis,

ation of the church of God is not Peter, but Christ, c Untruth. For generally it is contrary to the faith of Peter.

b The found-that church builded upon the rock b Peter, against which hitherto neither tyrants nor heretics, far passing you in learning and honesty of common life, could ever prevail? Trow ye to extinguish that faith of the Roman church, cwhich is the same that was the faith of Peter, for which Christ prayed that it should never fail? Luc. xxii. 32 Ye labour in vain. Well may Satan win you: the church which our Lord prayed for, by you shall he never win. therefore your vain and wicked attempts. Trust Trust not in the patches that falsely ye allege out of canonists' glosses, schoolmen, rhyming poets, heretics, and whosoever, be they never so bad. Some ignorant persons may ye deceive, whose sins deserve

What Sylvester Prierias saith, I mind not here to discuss.

d It is easily d Neither where he saith that you allege, have you thought good found in his

tary beside

f A proper gloss.

the text.

book Contra to tell us, lest by perusing the place we should take you in a lie, as we have almost in all your other allegations. The like sincerity you use in alleging Pighius. We bind ourselves neither to the words of Sylvester nor of Pighius. If they err, what is that to us? Let them bear their own burden. If they tell truth, we believe them for truth's sake: if otherwise, we leave that part for you to carp...... If Sylvester Prierias said that for points of belief the doctrine of the Roman church is a squire to try their e A commen-truth by; the same, ebeing well understanded, is right true. Likewise, if Pighius say that the Roman church sheweth unto us which be the approved and undoubted scriptures, and which be not; this is so true, as yourself (I suppose) will yield thereunto. As for that the scripture received from the church of Rome authority, credit, and force, fif in your meaning you exclude God, that is your lie, not Sylvester's sentence. If relation be made to us, that we ought not give credit unto it, gunless it had been shewed to be holy scripture by the Roman church, which is the true church of Christ, in this sense, be it Sylvester, or who else soever saith it, it is a true saying, and agreeable to St. Augustine, who said, Ego evangelio non crederem, nisi me catholica ecclesia Contra Epis commoveret authoritas: "I would not believe the gospel, except [viii, 154.] the authority of the catholic church moved me." And for that ye allege out of him touching indulgences, truth it is, the full and whole knowledge of them is not plainly opened unto us by

g Vanity of vanities. For the scriptures were known and believed before there church in

Rome.

truths; but rather by the doctrine of the Roman church. Where you tell us of Pighius, that he letteth not to say, that without the licence of the Romish church (for in that word you please yourself well) we ought not to believe the very plain scriptures; hwe will proclaim you a liar, until you shew us where he spake so far beside reason and learning. The holy church doth not will us to stay from belief of the scriptures until we have licence: but by all ways and means inviteth and stirreth us to believe the truth in the scriptures uttered......

express and evident words of scripture, no more than the mystery of the blessed Trinity, baptizing of infants, and many other

h It is no hard matter to find it. Read the an swer.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The greatest weight hereof hangeth upon two of your doctors, Sulvester Prierias, and Albertus Pighius: whose credit notwithstanding ve would fain otherwise save upright, yet here, as it seemeth, ye are content for shame to give them over. "We bind ourselves" (ye say) "neither to the words of Sylvester nor of Pighius. If they err, what is that to us?" This short and blunt answer, notwithstanding it seem to like well you, yet perhaps Prierias and Pighius it would not like. I see no great cause to the contrary, but either of them might as well renounce your authority, and say of you, We are not bound neither to M. Harding's words, nor to his fellows. For that ye doubt the truth of our allegations, read Sylvester Prierias, master of the pope's palace, in his book intituled, "Contra præsumptuosas Martini Lutheri Conclusiones, de potestate Papæ." His words there, amongst others, be these: Qui-sylvest. cunque non innititur doctrinæ Romanæ ecclesiæ, ac Romani Luther.[Dlalog. Fund. 3.] pontificis, tanquam regulæ Dei infallibili, a qua etiam sacra scriptura robur trahit et authoritatem, hæreticus est: "Whosoever leaneth not to the doctrine of the Roman church, and of the bishop of Rome, as unto the infallible rule of God, of which doctrine the holy scripture taketh force and

Here, M. Harding, this doctor teacheth you, that the authority and credit of the scriptures hangeth of the allowance of the pope, as without which the scriptures of God should be no scriptures.

authority, he is an heretic."

Again he saith: Authoritas Romanæ ecclesiæ, Romanique pontificis major est, &c.: "The authority of the Roman church, and of the bishop of Rome, is greater" (than the authority of God's word). If this suffice you not, M. Harding, I know not what thing may suffice you.

As for that is here alleged of Pighius, it is the very sound and sense of the greatest part of his common place, De Ecclesia. Of whose judgment herein M. Calvin writeth Albert. Pigh. thus: Pighius ait, Nullius scripturæ authoritate, quantum- munib. De Eccles.

libet clara, nostro quidem judicio, et evidentis, adversus claram consonantemque orthodoxorum patrum sententiam, et adversus communem ecclesiæ definitionem, aliquid credere cuiquam licere: "Pighius saith, that no man may lawfully believe any thing by the authority of any scripture, be the same in our judgment never so plain and evident, against the clear and agreeable judgment of the catholic fathers, and against the common determination of the church." By which he meaneth only the church of Rome. fore, M. Harding, it may please you now a little to spare your voice, and to stay your proclamation.

But forasmuch as ye seem so little to esteem these two doctors, Prierias and Pighius, being otherwise, not long sithence, the chief leaders and captains of all your bands, ye may therefore join others to them, to better their credit, and to increase the company. And forasmuch as we speak of the church of Rome, let us hear the judgment of a cardinal of the church of Rome, notwithstanding otherwise alleged before.

Nicol. Cusanus ad Bo-[p. 834.]

Cardinal Cusanus therefore hereof saith thus: Hac est hemos, ep. 2. omnium, &c. 23 "This is the judgment of all them that think rightly, that found the authority and understanding of the scriptures in the allowance of the church:..... and not contrariwise, lay the foundation of the church in the authority of the scriptures......There be no commandments of Christ, but such only as so be taken and holden by the church......Therefore the scriptures follow the church: but contrariwise, the church followeth not the scriptures." Like-

Johan, Maria wise saith Johannes Maria Verractus: Humiliter confitemur, Verract. Ediecclesiæ authoritatem esse supra [l. super] evangelium [l. evantus est ann. 1544, tom. 1. gelia].....: "We do humbly confess, that the authority of fol. 163. b.] the church is above the authority of the gospel 24." Likewise

²³ [See the Latin, supra, vol. v. 534. The last sentence is from the 7th epistle ad Bohem. (p. 858). "Sequentur ergo scripturæ eccle-

[&]quot; siam (quæ prior est, et propter

[&]quot; quam scriptura), et non e con-" verso:" quoted supra, vol. iv.

p. 305.]
²⁴ [Verractus (Verratus). "Cur " evangelium Lucæ discipuli est " receptum, et evangelium Bartho-" lomæi apostoli est rejectum? nisi

[&]quot;humiliter confiteatur, &c." See Flacii norma Concil. p. 420.]

Albertus Pighius saith: Apostoli quædam scripserunt: non Albert. Pigh. ut scripta illa præessent fidei, et religioni nostræ; sed potius, cap. 2. ut subessent. Scripturæ sunt muti judices: scripturæ Albert. Pigh. sunt veluti cereus nasus: "The apostles have written cer-siis de Eccles. tain things: not that their said writings should rule our faith or religion; but rather that they should be under, and be ruled by our faith. The scriptures are dumb judges: the scriptures are like a nose of wax."

By these and other like unreverent and godless speeches. they seek to lead the poor simple deceived people from the holy scriptures and voice of God, to the authority of their church: by which church they understand only the pope

and his cardinals of the church of Rome.

But ye say: "These be the priests of the house of Levi: Deut. xvii. 9. the pope is the judge for the time, in the place that our Lord hath chosen." Some others of you say: Papa est tota Hervæus de ecclesia virtualiter: "The pope is by power and virtue the cap.23. [His whole church 25." Whatsoever these shall happen to say, we may not swerve from their judgment, neither to the right hand nor to the left hand. Whereupon the Hebrew Gloss noteth thus: Si dixerint tibi, quod dextra sit sinistra, aut quod Nicol. Lyra sinistra sit dextra, talis sententia tenenda est: "Although cap. 17. [I. they tell thee, that thy right hand is thy left hand, or that thy left hand is thy right hand, yet such a sentence must be holden as good."

St. Augustine, ye say, holdeth hard of your side. He saith: Non crederem evangelio, nisi me ecclesiæ catholicæ August. conauthoritas commoveret: "I would not believe the gospel, Fundam, except the authority of the catholic church moved me." 154-] [viii. These few poor words have been tossed of your part, and wrung, and pressed to the uttermost, to yield out that was never in them. For hereby ye would fain prove, that the authority of the church, whereby ye evermore understand your church of Rome and none other, is above the authority

Jewel has more than once confounded Hervæus with Johannes has consulted at Lambeth is not Paris., misled probably by their

²⁵ [The passage is in Hervæus, but the edition which the Editor divided into chapters. Bishop being printed in the same volume.]

of God's word: that is to say, that the creature is above the Creator that made heaven and earth.

But what if St. Augustine, as he saith, "I believe the gospel because of the church," have likewise said, "I believe the church because of the gospel?" Then, I trow, ye must turn your tale, and say, The gospel is above the church. His words be plain: Ex ore veritatis ecclesiam agnosco participem veritatis: "By the mouth of" (God, that is) "the truth, I know the church that is partaker of the truth."

Aug. in Ps. 57. [iv. 545.]

Aug. contra Crescon. Grammat. lib. 1. c. 33. [ix. 407.] Aug. de cap. 16. [ix. 360.1

Aug de Unit. Again he saith: Nolo humanis documentis, sed divinis ora-3. [cap. 2. culis ecclesiam sanctam demonstrari——: ecclesiam quærere debemus in verbis Christi, qui est veritas, et optime novit corpus suum-: ecclesiam sine ulla ambiguitate sancta scriptura demonstrat ----: in scripturis sanctis ecclesia manifeste cognoscitur-: ecclesiam, sicut ipsum caput, in Aug. de Unit. Eccles. scripturis sanctis canonicis debemus agnoscere: "I would the church should be shewed, not by the decrees of men, Aug. codem loco. [ib.372.] but by the heavenly oracles, or words of God: we must seek the church in the words of Christ, which is the truth, and best knoweth his own body: the holy scripture sheweth us the church without doubting: in the holy scriptures the church is plainly known: we must know the church by the holy canonical scriptures, as we know" (Christ, that is) "the head."

Chrys. in 204.]

Likewise saith Chrysostom: Nullo modo cognoscitur..... 49. [Op. im- quæ sit vera ecclesia, nisi tantummodo per scripturas: "It is not any ways known, which is the true church of Christ, but only by the scriptures."

And thus forasmuch as we know both Christ by the church, and the church by Christ, the one giving evidence to the other, by this reckoning, M. Harding, and by your shifting of turns, we must sometimes place Christ above the church, and sometimes the church above Christ.

Howbeit, St. Augustine's mind was not to commence an action between Christ and his church, in comparison of their dignities, or for trial and keeping of their bounds, or to teach us, that the truth of God taketh authority of the church: but only to shew us that the church is a witness

to God's truth. And certainly it hath great weight of persuasion to move the conscience of any man, to see so many kingdoms and countries to join together in the profession and obedience of one truth. And I doubt not, but even this day many thousands are the sooner led to humble themselves unto the gospel of Christ, for that they see the whole world, that is to say, the whole church of God, is contented so willingly and so humbly to embrace the same. David, to testify the truth and certainty of the gospel, saith thus: "The sound of the apostles went out into all the Psal. xix. 4. earth, and the words of them into the ends of all the Ps. lxxvii. 10. world. This is the turning of the right hand of God."

Therefore St. Augustine saith unto Faustus the heretic:

Cur non potius evangelicæ authoritati, tam fundatæ, tam Aug. cont.

Stabilitæ, tanta gloria diffamatæ, atque ab apostolorum tem-cap. 19. [viii.

poribus, usque ad nostra tempora, per successiones certis
simas commendatæ, te non subdis? "Why doest thou not

rather submit thyself unto the authority of the gospel,

being so grounded, so stablished, preached and published

with so great glory, commended and delivered unto us by

most certain successions from the time of the apostles until

our time?"

Likewise in this same place by you alleged St. Augustine saith: Ego non crederem evangelio, nisi me catholicæ August. conceclesiæ commoveret authoritas. Quibus ergo obtemperavi Fundam. dicentibus, Credite evangelio, cur eis non obtemperem dicen-154.] tibus, Noli credere Manichæo? "I would not believe the gospel, unless the authority of the catholic church moved me. Seeing therefore I have obeyed them saying to me, Believe the gospel, why should I not also obey them saying unto me, Believe not Manichee?"

Thus the consent of the *church* beareth witness to the gospel; without which witness, notwithstanding, the gospel nevertheless were the gospel still. Therefore Chrysostom saith; Si dixerint.....in ipsis veris ecclesiis......Christum Chrys. in apparuisse, nolite eis credere dicentibus ista de me: quia Homil. 40, non est digna divinitatis meæ notitia hæc: "If they shall Imper. [vi. app. 209.] tell you that Christ hath appeared, yea even in the very

true churches, yet believe them not saying thus of me: for this is no meet knowledge for my Godhead."

To conclude, M. Harding, we will say to you, as St. Augustine sometime said to the Donatian heretics, advancing their only church in Africa, even as you now Aug. de Uni- advance only your church of Rome: Nos post vocem Pastoris nostri, per ora prophetarum, per os proprium, per ora cap. 11. [ix. evangelistarum nobis apertissime declaratam, voces vestras non admittimus, non credimus, non accipimus: " After the voice of our Shepherd, uttered most plainly unto us by the mouths of the prophets, by his own mouth, and by the mouths of the evangelists, if ye bring us your own voices, we allow them not, we believe them not, we receive them not,"

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 1.

Our church, say they, cannot err. They speak [Vol. iv. p. that (I think), as the Lacedemonians long sithence used to say, that it was not possible to find any adulterer in all their commonwealth: whereas indeed they were rather all adulterers, and had no certainty in their marriages, but had their wives in common amongst them all: or, as the canonists at this day, for their bellies' sake, use to say of the pope, that forsomuch as he is lord of all benefices, though he sell for money bishoprics, monasteries, priesthood, spiritual promotions 26, and part with nothing freely. yet because he counteth all his own, he cannot commit simony, though he would never so fain. But how strongly and agreeably to reason these things be spoken, we are not as yet able to perceive, except perchance these men have plucked off the wings Pluturchus. from the truth, as the Romans 27 in old time did

tion, Papæ. [leg. in dietione Simonia, No. 6.1 Theodoricus de Schismate, [iib. 2.

cap. 32.]

Summa Angel, in dic-

359.]

^{26 [}There is no word in the ²⁷ [This anecdote is generally related of the Athenians. Vid. Latin which corresponds to the words "spiritual promotions."] Pausan. lib. iii. p. 245. ed. Lips.

proine²⁸ and pinion their goddess Victoria, after they had once gotten her home, to the end that with the same wings she should never be able to flee away from them again.

M. HARDING.

Toward the end of your Apology, sir defender, whosoever you be that pieced it together, you do but trifle. Of like your stuff is spent. For here little say you, that you have not said already. Wherefore I crave pardon of the reader, if, according to the slenderness of matters objected, my a confutation seem also a This is slender. Sir, you do now but patch pieces together, which you good plain have gathered out of your note books, into the same infarced, indeed this some out of the canonists, some out of the schoolmen, and them very slender. not of the greatest estimation, most of all out of humanity books, wherein you be prettily seen. And that seemeth to be your chief profession. As for divinity, there appeareth no great knowledge in you. What ye rehearse of the Lacedæmonians, it pertaineth to your own companies no less than to them, I mean only your apostates, monks and friars, priests and nuns.....

You find great fault in the canonists that be at this day, and name in your margin, Summa Angelica, the author whereof died many years past. If he, Theodoricus, whatsoever he was, or any other canonist offend you, as for his belly's sake speaking of the pope, that should set your teeth on edge; what pertaineth that to us, who defend the catholic faith, not the sayings of every canonist? You were best, seeing you make so much ado with them, to article their errors, and either write a railing book against them, which ye bcan easily do, or send one of your best h With M. learned superintendents to Bononia, there in open school with Harding's disputations to confute them. And so doubtless ye shall either structions. convert them, which were a worthy act; or prove yourselves fools, which were great pity.

But to answer your objection, we say first, that you allege Summa Angelica falsely. Nothing is found sounding to that ye burden the pope withal, in the place by you quoted, in dict. papa. Next, whereas it is written in Summa Angelica, In curia Romana mon. [cap. 5 titulus de simonia non habet locum; you, sir defender, either not knowing what followed, or of malice abusing the place, have reported the same as if it were precisely said without any distinction. Whereas indeed the selfsame sum useth this distinction, saying, Verum est in iis quæ sunt simoniaca de jure positivo solum: sed non in iis quæ sunt simoniaca de jure divino: whereby he

In dict. Si-Ver. utrum Authoritas. [fol. 271. col. 4.]

> 28 [" Proine," i. q. " prune :" 1606. The editor has not found any authority in Plutarch for biobsol. shop Jewel's statement.]

c A worthy distinction. Hereby the pope may sell bishoprics and benefices without simony. d This kind of simony standeth only in seliing of orders and sacraments, &c. e Untruth, shameless, as shall appear.

meaneth, that the pope is not under the rules of simony concerning csuch laws and pains as himself or his predecessors have made in that behalf. For they have made many things belonging thereto, as you may well know yourself, who are so prettily seen in the canon law, as it may appear by the often and cunning allegations which you bring out of the Glosses. But concerning that simony which properly is so called, the pope is no less subject thereto by the true judgment of Summa Angelica, which you have shamefully belied, than any other man.

You jest at God's blessed truth always remaining in his holy church, and profanely resemble it to a bird proined and pinioned, that it fly not away. As though the church kept truth with such policy as the old Romans are fained to have kept their goddess Well sir, we tell you in sadness, we are assured of the truth, that it is in the church, and that it shall never depart from thence. And if ye call this, cutting away of her wings, that it never fly from the church, we grant they be cut in such sort as she shall keep the church for ever, as her own nest. And will you know who telleth us this? Even God himself, saying in his prophet Esay to Christ of his church: "I will make this cove-Isai. lix. 21. nant with them, saith our Lord: My spirit which is in thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, fshall not depart from thy mouth, and from the mouth of thy seed, and from the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith our Lord, from this time forth for evermore.".....

f Full discreetly and well applied, as if these words had been meant of the pope and his successors.

Against this truth, whatsoever ye bring in reproof of popes' lives, whom notwithstanding most impudently ye belie, what undiscreet sayings or flatteries soever ye burden the canonists with, all turneth to nothing. The truth remaineth unshaken: your spirit of lying, scoffing, and malice, thereby is decyphered.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here ye say, "Sir defender is prettily seen in humanity: for that" (ye say) "seemeth to be his chief profession." Verily, M. Harding, we are well pleased to take such, and so much learning as you may spare us. We contend only for truth, and not for learning. Whatsoever our learning be, if it may please God to use it to his glory, it shall be sufficient, be it never so little. Howbeit, had you not had some good liking in your own learning, ye would not upon so simple occasions have upbraided others.

Touching that sundry of your doctors have said, the *pope* cannot commit *simony*, first it shall be necessary in that behalf to consider the estate and practice of the *church* of *Rome*. St. Bernard, writing unto pope Eugenius, saith:

[suppl. An non] limina apostolorum ambitio jam plus terit, Bernardus, quam devotio. [suppl. An non] vocibus ambitionis vestrum ratione, lib. toto die resultat palatium:.....ambitio in ecclesia per te 3. [tom. ii. regnare molitur: "The apostles' entries or gates in Rome are now more worn with ambition, than with devotion. All the day long your palace ringeth with the sound of ambition. By thy means ambition seeketh to reign in the church of God." Again he saith: Sacri gradus dati sunt Bernard, in in occasionem turpis lucri: et quæstum æstimant pietatem: Pauli, Ser-"The holy degrees, or ecclesiastical rooms, are given over 656.] to occasion of filthy gain: and the same gain they count holiness." Ludovicus Vives saith: Romæ cum omnia De Civit, Dei, prope vendantur et emantur, nihil tamen agas sine lege, ac 22. Vives. [ii. formula, atque etiam sanctissimi juris: "At Rome, not-387.] withstanding all things be bought and sold, yet may ye do nothing there without form and order, and that of most holy religion."

Your own Gloss saith: Roma est caput avaritiæ. Ideo In Sexto, de Electio. et comnia ibi venduntur 28: "Rome is the head of covetous-Electipotest. Fundamenta. Iin marg. ed. [In marg. ed

Roma manus rodit: quos rodere non valet, odit.

Durandus saith: "Simony so reigneth in the church Durandus, of Rome, as though indeed it were no sin." To be lebrandi Conshort, these two verses were commonly spread of pope [1. Rubr. 20. fol, xxiv. col. 4.]

Alexander:

Vendit Alexander Claves, Altaria, Christum.
Vendere jure potest: emerat ipse prius:

Muscul. in Johan. cap. 6. [p. 246.]

ln 6tum, fol.

- " Pope Alexander maketh sale of his keys, of his altars, and of Christ himself.
- Well may he sell these things: for he himself paid well for them."

Notwithstanding, ye doubt not but all this by a pretty sorry distinction may soon be excused. For thus ye say:

²⁸ [These words are found in but they are omitted in the Paris the margin of the edition of Paris, edition of 1612, after the papal 1561, and that of Lugd. 1572: revision.]

Summa An-

Verum est in iis quæ sunt simoniaca de jure positivo solum : na. [cap. 5. sed non in iis quæ sunt simoniaca de jure divino. "Whereby" (ye say) "the author meaneth, that the pope is not under the rules of simony, concerning such laws and pains as he himself or his predecessors have made and provided in that behalf." And therefore, as your modest manner is, ye say, we have falsely alleged and shamefully belied summa angelica. But why do you not better open the several parts of your distinction, M. Harding? why do ye not better teach us to understand, what is simony by law positive, and what is simony by the law of God? and why do ye not declare each part by plain examples? Seeing you thus to steal away in the dark, we have some cause to doubt your dealing.

cio Judicis

Howbeit, to make the matter plain, your own Gloss Extr. de Offi- saith thus: Simoniaca de sui natura sunt, quæ Novo aut Veteri Testamento prohibita sunt: ut, emere vel vendere delegat. Ex Veteri Testamento prohibita sunt: ut, emere vel vendere parte N. In Glossa. [col. sacramenta. Simoniaca de jure positivo sunt, quæ solum 315. d.] sunt spiritualia ex constitutione ecclesiæ: (ut sunt tituli beneficiorum ecclesiasticorum 29,) &c.: "These things are simoniacal of their own nature, that are forbidden in the Old and New Testament: as to buy or sell sacraments. These things are simoniacal by law positive, which are spiritual only by the ordinance of the church. Such are the titles of all ecclesiastical benefices and dignities: as be bishoprics, deaneries, abbeys, archdeaconries," &c. M. Harding, if your pope sell sacraments, which will yield him but little money, he may be charged with simony. But if he sell bishoprics, deaneries, abbeys, archdeaconries, prebends, parsonages, never so many, yet by the shift of your pretty distinction, no man may charge him. For all these things are spiritual only by the pope's own positive law, that is to say, by the ordinance of the church. And think you not so good a distinction was well worth the Aureum Spe. finding out? One of your own company, speaking hereof,

culum. [pt.2. saith thus: O Petre, quantam animarum multitudinem cap. 1. p. 139.]

²⁹ [The words in parenthesis are not in that Gloss.]

catervatim transmisit et transmittit ad infernum hæc superstitialis et damnanda distinctio? [suppl. Quæ] Multis est occasio, et viam aperit ad ruinam damnationis æternæ: "O Peter, Peter, how many souls hath this superstitious and damnable distinction sent by heaps, and yet doth daily send into hell? It is an occasion unto many, and openeth the way unto the fall of everlasting damnation."

To make the matter plain, Baldus saith: Simonia non f. de offic.

Praturis, L.

cadit in papam recipientem: "Though the pope take Barbarius,
money, yet no simony can touch him." In like sort saith
his fellow Bartolus: Papa non dicitur facere simoniam, ff. Eod. Tituconferendo beneficia et dignitates, accepta pecunia: "The Bartol. [p.
pope is not said to commit simony, although he take money
for the benefices and dignities of the church."

Theodoricus saith: Papa non potest committere simo-Theodor. de niam. Sic tenent juristæ. Quia simonia excusatur per ter Urban. et authoritatem ejus: "The pope cannot commit simony. So [f. lib. 2.] hold the canonists. For simony by his authority is excused 30."

Felinus saith: Ista Glossa videtur dicere, quod papa non Felin. de Otfic. Judicis committit simoniam in recipiendo pecuniam pro collatione delegati, exparte N. [fol. beneficiorum: ex quo non ligatur propriis constitutionibus. 191, 192.]
...... Tamen moderni tenent indistincte, quod papa non involvatur crimine simoniæ: et ita ego teneo: et sic est servanda communis opinio..... Ergo papa potest dictam prohibitionem simoniæ, firmatam in universali ecclesia, limitare respectu apostolicæ sedis..... Et si diceres, Requiritur in talibus apparens causa, dico hic esse causam apparentem.

Nam cessante tali redditu, qui maximus est, attenta hodierna tyrannide, Sedes apostolica contemneretur: "This Gloss seemeth to say, that the pope committeth not simony, receiving money for the bestowing of benefices: forasmuch as the pope is not bound to his own constitutions. A just and a reasonable yet nowadays the lawyers hold, without any such distinctions of the pope can-may sell bleshoprics, not in any wise come within the danger of simony: and so deaneries, abeys, &c.

³⁰ [Theodoricus reports this substantially as the opinion of many jurists, not as his own.]

I myself do hold: and so the common opinion must be Therefore, notwithstanding the law that forbiddeth simony take place in the whole universal church, yet in respect of the apostolic see of Rome, it may be restrained. But thou wilt say, In such cases there ought to be some apparent cause: I tell thee, that there is a cause apparent. For this revenue, (of simony,) which is very great, being once cut off, considering the tyranny that now is, the apostolic see would be despised." By this authority it appeareth, the pope is not able to maintain his estate and countenance, nor to save all things upright without simony. Panormitane saith: Etsi papa accipiat pecuniam pro

Extr. de Sifol. 90. col. 1.]

monia, cap.1.
No. 5. fol. 18. collatione alicujus prælaturæ, aut beneficii, tamen dominus
Ab. [Panor. Ab. [Panor. tom. iii. pt. 2. cardinalis ait, Non committitur simonia: "Notwithstanding the pope take money for the bestowing of a bishopric. or of a benefice, yet my lord cardinal saith, There is committed no simony." Archidiaconus Florentinus saith: Archidiac in Papa recipiendo pecuniam, non prasumitur animo venresi. ver. Et dendi recipere; sed ut illa pecunia ad usum suum converest: citatur tatur: cum papa sit dominus rerum temporalium, per illud dictum Petri, Dabo tibi omnia regna mundi: "The pope receiving money (for bishoprics or benefices) is not thought to take it by way of sale; but only to turn the same money

> to his own use. For the pope is lord of all worldly goods, as it appeareth by the words of Peter," (which words notwithstanding Peter never spake, for they were spoken by Satan,) "Unto thee will I give all the kingdoms of the

Tract. de Hæquia tanta a Felin, de Offic. Judicis, delegat. Ex parte N. [fol. 192.]

fibid.]

world." Again, Felinus saith: Quod datur papa, datur sacrario Petri: nec est proprium papæ: sed prodest danti, tanquam facienti opus piissimum: "Whatsoever is given to the pope," (for bishopric or benefice by way of simony,) "it is given to St. Peter's treasury. Neither is it the pope's own several goods. But it is available to the giver, as unto one that doth a most godly deed." Such a special grace hath the pope. Of most devilish vice he is able to make most godly virtue. And for the better furtherance of the matter, St. Peter must be made accessory to the simony.

Hostiensis saith: Papa potest vendere titulum ecclesias-Extr. de Siticum, ut episcopatum, abbatiam, &c.: "The pope may sell Hostien. any ecclesiastical title or dignity, as a bishopric, or an abbey, without danger of simony 31."

But what speak we of the pope? Your cardinals themselves, by your favourable constructions and godly orders, are likewise privileged to commit simony safely, and freely, and without blame. Panormitane saith: Cardinalis pro Extr. de Sipalafreno a nobili viro recepto, non præsumitur committere quaestiones. Simoniam: "A cardinal, for receiving a palfrey of a noble-tom. iii, pt. 2-fol. 96. col. man," (for a benefice or a bishopric,) " is not thought to 2-1 commit simony."

Thus whereas Christ drave buyers and sellers out of the church, you by your proper distinctions have received in buyers and sellers, and thrust out Christ. St. Hierom saith: Per nummularios significantur beneficii ecclesiastici Hieronymus. venditores, qui domum Dei faciunt speluncam latronum: "By the exchangers are signified the sellers of ecclesiastical benefices, which make the house of God a den of thieves." In your own Decrees it is written thus: Tole-1 Quiest. 1. rabilior est Macedonii.....hæresis, qui asserit Spiritum Sanctum esse servum Patris et Filii. Nam isti faciunt Spiritum Sanctum servum suum: "The heresy of Macedonius, that said the Holy Ghost is servant and slave to the Father and to the Son, is more tolerable than is the heresy of these Simonists. For these men make the Holy Ghost their own servant 32."

Yet ye say ye are well assured, that the truth is in the church of Rome, and shall never depart from thence, notwithstanding any disorder or fault whatsoever there committed. And for proof thereof ye allege, as ye say, the Is. lix. 21. words of God himself in the prophet Esay. Albeit, in that whole prophet there is not one word expressly mentioned of the church of Rome. Such a phantasy, as it appeareth, was sometimes in the heretics called the Manichees. For thus they said: A principibus gentis tenebrarum.....lumen, tura Boni, 516.]

³¹ [There is no such passage in ³² [This passage is not cited Hostiensis super 5^{to} Decretal. de with verbal accuracy.] Simonia, cap. 1.]

ne ab iis aufugeret, tenebatur: " The princes of the nation of darkness held fast the light, lest it should flee from them." For even so doth the pope and his cardinals hold the truth, as the princes or powers of darkness held the light. And what are the popes and their cardinals, but only the powers and princes of darkness? It was hard dealing for you to bind Christ in recognizance not to depart from the pope. Ye should rather have bound the pope not to depart from Christ.

Hieronym, in

St. Hierom saith: Prophetæ Hierusalem non habent in Michie cap.
3. [iii. 1520.] ore prophetiam: et in domino requiescunt, et dicunt, Non venient super nos mala. Quorum causa speculatorium Dei hostili aratro dividitur: et locus, quondam pacis, ruinis plenus fit: et templum Domini in vepres spinasque convertitur, et est habitaculum bestiarum: "The prophets of Jerusalem have never a word of prophecy in their mouths. Yet they rest themselves upon the Lord, and say. There shall no evil come upon us. For their sakes the watch tower of the Lord is turned up with the enemy's plough: the place of peace is full of ruin: the temple of the Lord is turned into briars and thorns, and is become a stable of wild beasts."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 2.

But what if Jeremy tell them, as is afore re-[Vol. iv. p. hearsed, that these be lies? What if the same prophet say in another place, that the self-same men, who ought to be keepers of the vineyard, have brought to nought and destroyed the Lord's vineyard? How, if Christ say, that the same persons, who chiefly ought to have a care over the temple, have made the Lord's temple a den of thieves?

M. HARDING.

Here come you in with your what ifs, which commonly you use when other rhetoric faileth you. We tell you plainly without any ifs, that aJeremy meant of you and such as you be, and a Learnedly calleth your whole newfangled doctrine, Verba mendacii, "the words of lying," earnestly giving warning, that men give no credit to them. His other rebuke pertaineth also to you...... When were ever such thieves in the church of God as ye are?.....

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 1.

If it be so, that the church of Rome cannot err. it must needs follow that the good luck thereof is far greater than all these men's policy. For such is their life, their doctrine, and their diligence, that for all them the church may not only err, but also utterly be spoiled and perish. No doubt, if that church may err, which hath departed from God's words, from Christ's commandments, from the apostles' ordinances, from the primitive church's examples, from the old fathers' and councils' orders, and from their own decrees, and which will be bound within the compass of none, neither old or new. nor their own, nor of others, nor man's law, nor God's law; then it is out of all question, that the Romish church hath not only had power to err, but also that it hath shamefully and most wickedly erred in very deed.

M. HARDING.

A man would have thought you would have brought some substantial argument, whereby to prove that the church erreth. Neither make you excuse in that you speak of the Roman church. In this account we make no difference between the Roman The church church and the church. But all your proofs depend upon your of Rome is the whole ifs, which being denied, you have no more to say. "No doubt" catholic (say you), "if that church may err, which hath departed from church. God's word, from Christ's commandments, &c.—then it hath erred in very deed." But sir, what if a man deny your supposal, and stay you in your first if? What have you to prove it? All that you have said hitherto, we know, and of little force it is. But "no doubt," say you, "if that church may err, which hath departed from God's word," &c. Yea, forsooth, if all ifs were true, then if heaven fell we should catch larks: and if a bridge were made between Dover and Calais, we might go to Boulogne a-foot, as William Somer once told king Henry, if it be true that I have heard say.

[Vol. iv. p. 67.]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here is nothing else, but only the *canonization* of poor William Somer. While your books, M. Harding, shall live, all his sage saws shall never die.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 2.

But say they, "ye have been of our fellowship, but [Vol. iv. p. now ye are become forsakers of your profession, and have departed from us." It is true: we have departed from them, and for so doing, we both give thanks to Almighty God, and greatly rejoice on our own behalf. But yet for all this, from the primitive church, from the apostles, and from Christ, we have not departed. True it is, we were brought up with these men in darkness, and in the lack of the knowledge of God, as Moses was brought up in the Tertullian in learning and in the bosom of the Egyptians. " We

Apologetico.
[c. 18. p. 17.] have been of your company," saith Tertullian, "I confess it, and no marvel at all: for," saith he, "men be made, and not born Christians."

M. HARDING.

By this whole discourse, Christ and his apostles may be con-demned.

..... We say (as ye report) that ye have been once of our fellowship, but now ye are become apostates and forsakers of your profession, and have wickedly departed from us. By the name of us, we mean not some one particular company, but Christ's catholic church. We say of you, as St. John said of the like, whom he calleth Antichrists: Ex nobis exierunt, sed non erant ex 1 John il. 19 nobis. Nam si fuissent ex nobis, permansissent utique nobiscum: "They are departed from out of us, but they were not of us. For if they had been of us, they had doubtless remained still with us."

But what is your answer hereunto? It is desperate, foolish, and lying. First, ve confess the thing, and not only that, but also thank God for it, and greatly rejoice in it. And therein ye follow the worst sort of sinners, of whom Solomon saith: Latan- Prov. 11. 14. tur cum male fecerint, et exultant in rebus pessimis: "They be glad when they have done evil, and rejoice in the worst things that

33 [" De vestris fuimus. Fiunt non nascuntur Christiani."]

are." Ye have divided the church of God, we have rent our Lord's net, ye have cut his whole-woven coat, which the wicked soldiers that crucified him could not find in their hearts to do. Dionvsius Alexandrinus writing to Novatus the great heretic, who did as ye have done, saith thus unto him, as Eusebius reciteth, whereby ye may esteem the greatness of your crime: "Thou shouldest have suffered whatsoever it were, that the lib. 6. cap. 5. church of God might not be divided. And martyrdom suffered for that the church should not be divided, is no less glorious. than that which is suffered for not doing idolatry. Yea, in mine opinion it is greater: for there one is martyred for his own only soul, and here for the whole church." Thus it followeth, that by your apostacy, and by you dividing of God's church, ye have

Eccles, Hist.

[1, c. 45. tom.

Græcis.

done more wickedly, athan if ve committed idolatry.

a A good ex-

"But yet for all this" (say they) "from the primitive church, atry. from the apostles, and from Christ, we have not departed." What can be said more foolishly? Why, sirs, bis not the primitive b Yes verily: church and this of our time one church? Doth it not hold toge- even as the house of God ther by continual succession till the world's end? What, hath and the cave Christ mo churches than one? Is the primitive church quite were all one done, and now must there begin a new? Is not Christ, his apo-temple. Matt. xxi. 13. stles, and all true believers, in what time or place soever they live, his one mystical body, whereof he is the head, all other the members? As Christ is one, the Holy Ghost one, one faith, one baptism, one vocation, one God: so is the church one, which began at the first man, and shall endure to the last: whereof the living part on earth, before the coming of Christ into flesh, was sometime brought to small number: after his coming, and after that the apostles had preached and spread the gospel abroad, the number neither was ever, nor shall be other than great (though sometime accounted small in respect of the unbelievers) until the coming again of the Son of man, at what time he shall Luke xviii, 8, scantly find faith, specially that which worketh by charity in the earth. Against which time busily ve make preparation.

For some part of excuse of your forsaking the church, ye say, ye were brought up with us in darkness, and in the lack of knowledge of God, as Moses was among the Egyptians: for that ye bring out of Tertullian, helpeth you nothing at all. I pray vou, sirs, what darkness mean ye? Speak ye of sin? We excuse not ourselves. But when took ye out the beam of your own eves, that ye espy the mote of our eye? It were well ye proved vourselves honest men, before ye required us to be angels. If ve mean the darkness of ignorance, as peradventure ye do, and the lack of knowledge of God: now that ye are departed from us, and have set chair against chair (I see not cause why to say, Here M. altar against altar), what more and better knowledge of God eth out his have ye than we have, and ever have had? Do we not know the heaps of articles of our belief? Tell us, which do we lack? "This is life

everlasting" (saith our Saviour in the gospel), "to know thee God alone, and whom thou hast sent Jesus Christ." So far as God lighteneth our understanding with the supernatural light of John xvii. his grace, this much we know. For which Peter the son of Jona was accounted blessed of Christ, the same we sinful papists, Matt. xvi. 16. through God's grace, also know and confess. The commandments of God we know: what is good, what is evil: which be sins, which be virtues, what is to be followed, what is to be

shunned: so far as is behoveful, we be not ignorant. What is the darkness then, for which ve would need begone from us? And what is that worthy knowledge ve have won by your departure? Tell us, that we may buy the books, and go to school with you. Truly, without ye have some hidden and secret knowledge, which we have not uttered to the world hitherto, as we believe ye have not, being such boasters as ye are; we see little cause ve should twit us of ignorance, and brag of your own knowledge. This we see full well, they that run away from us to your side, be they monks or friars, tinkers or tapsters, cobblers or bodgers, white or black, by and by in your synagogues they be great rabbins. And ye the superintendents admit them to be your ministers and preachers of the word, and tell them they can do well, and they believe no less themselves. But the people take them for such as they knew them before they took such degree, and many times for their good behaviour, they forget their holy ministry, and christen them by their common name which was not given them at the font. Yet all this proveth not either our ignorance or your marvellous and rare knowledge. Neither shall ye ever be able to prove to any man of learning and judgment, that in any liberal sciences or right knowledge of the scriptures, ve are comparable to the learned men of the catholic church. Though about fifty years past and upward, for a space the studies of eloquence and of tongues were intermitted. vet then, and before those times, was there no small number of men, who had profound knowledge of all good arts, and specially of the holy letters. I report me to Thomas Walden, who very learnedly confuted the heresies of your great-grandfather John Wickliffe; to Alcuinus in the great Charles' time; to Beda before that; all three Englishmen: to Auselm and Lanfranc, bishops of England, though strangers born; to St. Thomas of Aquine, St. Bernard, Rupertus, and hundreds mo, which here is no place to reckon. Were not they, by confession of all, great clerks? do not the best learned of our time, in obscure matters, fetch light of them? To say the truth, in comparison of their clear light, your ill-savouring snuffs may scantly seem to yield a dark smoke. Many talk of your painted sheath, who, were they learned indeed, would soon perceive neither that to be very fresh and gay: for as for your sword, what rusty and beggarly metal light: Isa. v. it is, the wiser part of the world seeth. C'Therefore ve shall do

c A very learned and a worthy conclusion. But woe be to them that and durkness well, sirs, to speak no more of the darkness and ignorance of the catholic church, and to boast less of your great cunning and knowledge.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Your head was very idle, M. Harding, when it could so easily yield us such idle talk. If ye think it in no case to be lawful to depart from them, whatsoever they be, that bear the shew and countenance of the church, then must ve needs condemn the apostles and prophets, and most specially Christ himself. But let us consider, from what company we are departed: so may the causes of our departure the better appear. For the pope himself saith not nay, but upon just considerations, any church may leave the church of Rome. His own words be these: Nulli Dist, 12. Non agere licet, sine discretione justitiæ, contra disciplinam Rubr. Romanæ ecclesiæ: "Without discretion of justice, it is lawful for no man to do any thing contrary to the order of the church of Rome." By this, the pope's own decree, with discretion of justice, it is lawful to do contrary to the orders of the church of Rome.

But for a short and general view of that whole church in this behalf, St. Bernard saith thus: Parum est nostris Bernard in pastoribus, quod non servant nos, nisi et perdant.....Non mensi. [ii. parcunt suis, qui non parcunt sibi, perimentes pariter. et 756.3 percuntes: "It is not sufficient for our bishops that they save us not, unless they also do destroy us: sparing not themselves, they spare not their people. They do both perish themselves, and kill others." Again he saith: Non In cadem custodiunt hoc tempore sponsam, sed perdunt: non custodiunt gregem Domini, sed mactant et devorant: "They keep not this day the spouse of God, that is, his church, but they destroy her: they keep not the flock, but they kill and devour." He that writeth Paralipomena Urspergensis, in the story of the council of Constance, saith thus: Spiritum extinguebant: prophetias aspernabantur: Chri-Paralipom. stum in membris suis persequebantur: eratque plane perse- 396. [ann. cutrix ccclesia: "They oppressed the Spirit of God: 1414-p-373-] they defied the voices of the prophets: they persecuted

Christ in his members. And indeed the church was given to work persecution."

Æneas Sylvius, that afterward was pope Pius II., saith:

Æneas, Syl. in epist, ad Casparrem Schlickium. [p. 539. c.]

[A. D. 1303,

sqq.]

Refriguit charitas, et fides omnis interiit: "Charity is waxen cold, and all faith is dead." Paralipom. Ursperg, in Clem, V,

bare the sway."

In the life of pope Clemens V. it is written thus: Hic fuit publicus fornicator. Ab eo tempore defecit omnis disciplina et religio in cardinalibus, et tres radices vitiorum, superbia, avaritia, luxuria, validissime dominantur: "This pope was an open whoremaster. From that time forward, all kind of discipline and religion decayed in the cardinals: and three roots of vices, pride, avarice, and lechery, mightily

In Cone. Trid. Autonins Marinarius. [p. 40. col. 2.]

Antonius Marinarius, at your late chapter at Trident, saith thus of the church of Rome: Si evangelica fides nostræ vitæ regula esset, re ipsa Christiani essemus. titulo et ceremoniis vocamur Christiani: "If the faith of the gospel were a rule unto our life, then should we be Christians in very deed. As now by titles and ceremonies we bear only the name of Christians." To like purpose Nicol. Cusa- saith Nicolaus Cusanus, a cardinal of Rome: Si recte respilib. 9. [p.651.] cimus, omnis religio Christiana, paucis demptis, degeneravit in apparentiam: "If we mark it well, all our Christian religion, a few excepted, is grown out of kind unto a show."

nus Excitat.

Conc. Trident. episc. Bitont. (Crabb. iii. 979.]

At the said chapter, the bishop of Bitonto said thus, as I have reported before: Quibus turpitudinum monstris, qua sordium colluvie, qua peste non sunt fædati, non corrupti in ecclesia sancta, et populus, et sacerdos? A sanctuario Dei incipite: si ullus jam pudor, si ulla pudicitia, si ulla superest bene vivendi vel spes, vel ratio: "With what monsters of filthiness, with what villany, with what pestilence be they not corrupted and defiled in the holy church," (of Rome,) "as well the priest as the people? Begin even with the sanctuary of God, if there be any shame, if there be any regard of honesty, if there be any hope, or way to live well."

Franc, Zephyrus in Apologeticum Tertull

Another saith: O nos miseros, qui Christiani dicimur!

Gentes agimus sub nomine Christi: "Miserable are we that are called Christians. We live as heathens under the name of Christ." Another saith: O lugenda Roma, quæ Armulphus, nostris majoribus clara patrum lumina protulisti: nostris dus in Contemporibus, monstrosas tenebras, futuro sæculo famosas, offudisti! "O miserable Rome, which in the time of our elders hast brought forth the lights of worthy fathers, but in our days hast brought forth monstrous darkness, shameful and slanderous to the time to come 34!" Petrarcha Petrar. in Rythmis Italies: [3 Part. fol. 137. a.]

Brigitta, whose words and prophecies ye have in revesence, saith in her Revelations: Christus sumet benediction: Heresia.

Brigitta, whose words and prophecies ye have in reve-Schola d' rence, saith in her Revelations: Christus sumet benedictio-Templo d' Heresia.

nem a clero Romano, et dabit eam alteri genti facienti Hermannus voluntatem Domini: "Christ shall take his blessing from [Riddus, [p. the clergy of Rome: and shall give the same unto a people that shall do his will."

By these few, we may conceive the whole state of the church of Rome, which only church, above all others, M. Harding telleth us, is so founded in the truth, that it never can have power to be deceived.

We have departed therefore from shepherds that spoiled the flock: from bishops that destroyed the church: that oppressed the Spirit of God: that defied the voice of the prophets: that persecuted Christ in his members: that both perished themselves, and killed others: that wallowed in monsters of filthiness: that lived as heathens, under the name of Christ: that were void of charity: void of faith: void of discipline: void of religion: that were Christians only in titles and ceremonies: from whom Christ had withdrawn his blessing: to be short, we have departed from the temple of heresy, and from the school of error.

This, M. Harding, is the beauty and face of your Roman clergy: this is that blessed company that we have for-saken.

Yet, say you, "It had been better to have died than to

^{34 [}This is cited probably from Flacii Test. Veritatis, by whom an account of this speech of Arnulphus, Episc. Aurelian. is given in p. 1560, (of the edition of ed. 1608.]

De Majorit. Romano Pontifici, est de necessitate Salutis. [p. 192.] Clemens Apostolicar. constit, lib. 6. cap. 4.

[lbid.]

have broken the unity of such a church.". For your friends tell you, that without the obedience of the bishop of Rome, et obedient.
Unum Sane-there is no hope of salvation. Notwithstanding, your own Clemens, whom ye commonly call the apostles' fellow, saith thus: Schisma efficit, non qui ab impiis secessionem facit, sed qui a piis: "He maketh no schism or division in the church, that departeth from the wicked: but he that departeth from the godly." And therefore he addeth further: Laici, cum iis qui contraria sententiæ Dei dogmata defendunt, nolite societatem habere, neque participes illorum impictatis fieri. Ait enim Dominus, Recedite de medio horum hominum, ne cum eis pereatis: "Ye laymen, have ye no fellowship with them, nor be you partakers of their wickedness, that defend doctrines contrary to the doctrine of God. For our Lord saith, Depart from the midst of this people, lest ve perish together with them."

Æneas Sylvinus ad Rector. et Universitatem Colonien. [apud] Paralipom. Urspergens pag. 435.

Aug. de Unitate Eccl. cap. 10. [ix. 355.]

Nicol. Cusan, de Concordant, lib. 2. cap. 25. [p. 757.]

Pope Pius II. saith: Resistendum est quibuscunque in faciem, sive Paulus sive Petrus sit, qui ad veritatem non ambulat evangelii: "We must withstand any man unto the face, be it Peter, be it Paul, if he walk not after the truth of the gospel 86."

St. Augustine saith: Ne catholicis quidem episcopis consentiendum est, sicubi forte falluntur, et contra canonicas scripturas aliquid sentiant: "We may not agree, no, not with the catholic bishops, if they happen to be deceived. and think any thing contrary to the canonical scriptures."

Therefore cardinal Cusanus' advice by the counsel of St. Gregory, whom he allegeth, is, "If vices have grown in the church, through overmuch obedience towards the prelates, that we favour them not, but withstand them:" Ut si qua vitia ex nimia obedientia exorta sint.....non foveantur sed eis resistatur: in which words it is to be noted, that the people may yield too much obedience and reverence towards their prelates.

Chrys. in

St. Chrysostom saith: Ex ipsis veris ecclesiis frequenter Mat homil.
49. [Op. imp. exeunt seductores. Propterea nec ipsis omnino credendum est, nisi ea dicant, vel faciant, qua convenientia sint scripturis:

^{36 [}This oratio of Æneas is Urspergens.Chronicon et Paraleip. found in Conradi de Lichtenaw Basil. 1569, p. 412.] Basil. 1569. p. 412.]

"Even out of the very true churches oftentimes there come deceivers. Therefore we may not in any wise believe, no, not them" (notwithstanding they be the true churches of God), "unless they speak or do such things as be agreeable to the scriptures."

Anselmus, a late writer, expounding these words of Anselmus in 2 Thess. ii. St. Paul, Tunc revelabitur ille iniquus, saith thus: Roma-[ii. 342.b.] nus pontifex, qui tenet nunc ecclesias, teneat illas, donec de medio fiat: id est, donec ab ipsa Romana ecclesia, quæ est medium et cor ecclesiarum, fiat iniquitas, ob quam ab ea multæ discedant ecclesiæ: "Let the bishop of Rome that now holdeth the churches, hold them still, until it be taken away from the midst: that is to say, until wickedness be wrought of the church of Rome, that is the midst and heart of churches: for which wickedness many churches shall depart from her."

St. Ambrose saith: Nullus pudor est, ad meliora transire: Ambr. In Epist. ad "It is no shame to go to the better." St. Augustine saith (Theodos. unto Faustus the heretic: Ille me quondam de gremio tuo et Valent. [tom. ii. p. error excusserat. Expertus fugi, quod experiri non debui: \$\frac{841}{342}.\$ gust. confur That error shook me once out of thy bosom. Being tar sust. ilb. 15. cap. 3. taught by proof, I have fled that thing that I should not [viii. 273.] have proved."

Ambrosius Ansbertus, one of your own doctors, saith:

Per Hieremiam prophetam dicitur, Exite de medio ejus Ambr. Anspopulus meus, et salvet unusquisque animam suam ab ira cal. In Apopulus meus, et salvet unusquisque animam suam ab ira cal. In Doctoris Domini. Nequaquam enim in hac vita de medio 43. [p. civitatis reprobæ, id est, de medio malorum, quos Babylon illa significat, electorum aliquis valet exire, nisi detestando, quod ab ipsis agitur, et agendo, quod ab ipsis detestatur:

"The prophet Jeremy saith, O my people, go forth from the midst of them, and save every man his own soul from the rage of our Lord's fury. For none of the elect of God can in this life go forth from the midst of that wicked city, that is to say, from the midst of the evil, which that Babylon signifieth, but by defying that they do: and by doing that they defy." And whereas ye would so fain dazzle our eyes, and cover your deformities Lapsis, serm. 5. [p. with the name of the church, St. Cyprian saith: Non est pax, 166.]

sed bellum: nec ecclesiæ jungitur, qui ab etangelio separatur: "It is no peace, but war: neither is he joined to the church, that is divided from the gospel."

Chrys, ad populum Antiochen. hom. 17. [ii. 176.]

St. Chrysostom saith: Mihi civitas non habens pios cives, omni villa vilior est, et quacunque spelunca ignobilior: " A city that hath not godly citizens is unto me viler than any village, and more loathsome than any cave." And this he saith of the city of Antioch, which, by express words, he more esteemeth than the city of Rome.

We grant, we have departed from you, upon such occasion, and in such sort, as Moses sometime departed from out of Egypt: or, as St. Augustine departed from the Manichees. Howbeit, in very deed, you have rather departed from out of us. Chrysostom saith: Sic de ista Mat. homii. 49. [leg. hom. Nova Hierusalem, id est, de ecclesia, qui spirituales Chri-40. Op. imp. vi. app. 195.] stiani fuerunt, relicta corporali ecclesia, quam perfidi occupaverant violentia, exicrunt ab illis. Magis autem illi exierunt a nobis, sicut Johannes exponit. Non enim ille de ecclesia exire videtur, qui corporaliter exit, sed qui spiritualiter veritatis ecclesiasticæ fundamenta reliquit. Nos enim ab illis exivimus corpore: illi a nobis animo. Nos (enim) ab illis exivimus loco: illi a nobis fide. Nos apud illos reliquimus fundamenta parietum: illi apud nos reliquerunt fundamenta scripturarum. Nos ab illis egressi sumus secundum aspectum hominum: illi autem a nobis [lb, p. 192.] secundum judicium Dei.....Relicta est autem deserta, ex quo de illa corporali ecclesia spiritualis exivit: id est, de populo suo, qui videbatur Christianus, et non erat, populus iste exivit qui non videbatur, et erat. Magis autem, secundum quod diximus, illi a nobis exierunt, quam nos ab illis: "Even so, touching this New Jerusalem, which is the church, they that were spiritual Christian men, leaving the bodily church, which the wicked by violence had invaded, departed out from them: or, as St. John expoundeth it, they rather departed out from us. seemeth not indeed to depart from the church, that bodily departeth: but he, that spiritually leaveth the foundations of the ecclesiastical truth. We have departed from them in body: they have departed from us in mind. We from

Chrys, in Mat. homii.

them, by place: they from us, by faith. We have left with them the foundations of the walls: they have left with us the foundations of the scriptures. We are departed forth from them, in the sight of man: they are departed from us, in the judgment of God. But now, after that the spiritual church is gone forth, the bodily church is left forsaken: that is to say, from that people, that seemed to be a Christian people, and was not, this people is gone forth, that seemed not outwardly, but was so indeed. Notwithstanding, as we have said before, they have rather departed from us, than we from them."

Ye say, your church of Rome, that now is, and the primitive church, is all one church. Even so the moon, both in the full and in the wane, is all one moon. Even so Jerusalem, as well under David, as under Manasses, was all one city. The holy place, whether the majesty of God, or the abomination of desolation stand in it, is all one place. The primitive church, say you, and the church of Rome that now is, is all one church. Therefore we say, the church of Rome that now is, in truth and religion, ought to agree with the primitive church.

Whether the church may be brought to a few in number, [Supra v. or no, we had occasion somewhat to say before. ancient father Irenæus saith:..... Quemadmodum ibi in Iren. 11b. 4. plurimis eorum, qui peccaverunt, non bene sensit Deus: sic 205.] et hic multi sunt vocati: pauci vero electi: " As in the Old Testament, in many of them that offended, God was not pleased: even so now in the New Testament, many are called, and few are chosen." In consideration whereof, St. Hierom crieth out in an agony within himself: Tanta Hier. in Esa. erit sanctorum paucitas: "So small shall be the number of [iii. 210.] holy men." One of your own doctors saith, as it is alleged [Supra v. once before: Licet in hoc bello dæmonum cadant religiosi Fortalitium principes, et mitites, et prælati ecclesiastici, et subditi,..... [fol. ccclxi.] semper tamen manent aliqui, in quibus servatur veritas fidei, et justificatio bonæ conscientiæ. Et si non nisi duo viri fideles remanerent in mundo, tamen in illis salvaretur ecclesia, quæ est unitas fidelium: "Notwithstanding in this war of devils, both the godly princes, and soldiers, and

ecclesiastical prelates, and subjects be overthrown, yet evermore there remain some, in whom the truth of faith and the righteousness of good conscience is preserved. And notwithstanding there were but two faithful men remaining in the world, yet even in them, the church of God, which is the unity of the faithful, should be saved."

But, for that we say, we were brought up among you in darkness and ignorance: ye enter out of season into a needless discourse of comparison of learning. "In the liberal sciences," (ve say,) " we are not comparable to the learned men of your side." It was not our meaning, M. Harding, to call the bright beams of your liberal learning into question. It appeareth, ye would fain have it blazed and magnified to the uttermost, and no way to be disgraced. We meant only the knowledge of God, and the open profession of his holy word: in comparison of which knowledge, all other knowledge whatsoever is mere darkness.

Howbeit, touching any kind of the liberal and learned sciences, there was no great cause, why ye should either so highly rouse yourself in your own opinion, or so greatly disdain others. Ye may remember, that your provincial De Sum, Tri- constitutions begin with these words, Ignorantia sacer-

nitat. Lynde- dotum.

de Causis corrupt. Artium, lib. 5. [Opp. i. 417.]

It were no great mastery to charge the chief doctors of your side with some want of learning. Ludovicus Vives Ludov. Vives saith: Ut quidque his superioribus saculis minus tritum fuit studentium manibus, ita purius ad nos pervenit: "For the space of certain hundred years past, the less any book came into" (your learned) "students' hands, the purer and better it came to us." Meaning thereby, that every thing was the worse for your learned handling. Of Thomas, Scotus, Hugo, and others, of whom ye seem to make so great account, your own friend Catharinus saith: Scholastici multa inerudite comminiscuntur: "These school doctors imagine many matters unlearnedly." Erasmus saith: Portenta qua nunc passim legimus in commentariis recentium interpretum, tam impudentia, et insulsa sunt, ut vide-Opp. ed. E-rasm. iii. 79.] antur suibus ea scripsisse, non hominibus: "The mon-

Catharinus adversus Dominicum a Solo. Erasm. in Scholiis in Hieron, ad Marceliam. [Hieron. Opp. ed. E-

strous follies that we commonly read in the commentaries of the late interpreters," (whereby he meaneth the very crop and the worthiest of all your scholastical learned doctors,) " are so far without shame and so peevish, as if they had been written for swine, and not for men." One of your doctors saith: Apostolus, dicitur ab apos, quod est Erasm. ad argumentum, vel præeminentia, et stolon, quod est missio: let. [August. quasi præeminenter missus. Another saith: Apocrisari, sil.1528.tom. 1. p. 8.] dicuntur nuntii domini papæ. Nam crisis dicitur secre- Extr. de Etum: (et apos dicitur nuntius) 37. Another saith: Cathe-potest signif. dra est nomen Græcum et componitur a cathos, quod est Manipul. Cufides, et edra, quod est sponda. Another saith: Eleemo-[fol, 107, a.] syna, dicitur ab eleis, quod est, misereri, et mois quod est aqua. Peter Crab, in his late scholies upon the councils, saith thus: Mulieres συνεισάκτους, id est, cohabitantes sac-Inter Decr. culos: and so by his high learning, like a clerk, he turneth Concil. tom. women into sacks. Likewise again he saith: Phrygium Crabb. 1. factum est ex pennis pavonum. Of your liberal learned in Donat. clergy one saith thus: Nec verba canonis intelligent, nec Crabb.i. quæ sint verba consecrationis sciunt: "They understand Herm. Ridnot the words of their canon: neither know they which be the words of consecration." And therefore he that forged the rule of monks, under the name of St. Hierom, chargeth Hieron, in them in any wise to pronounce every word distinctly and Ne ad risum warily, lest by their foolish utterance they should make provocentur Angelici Spiritus. [cap. the angels to fall a laughing.

These few may serve you for a taste. Hereby, M. Harding, it may appear, your clergy have [ed. 1570, hath] no great cause to make such triumph of their learning. Howbeit, we upbraid you not herewith: nor was this the cause of our departure. Ye hold both faith, and learning, and church, and religion, by inheritance. Christ hath once prayed for Peter: therefore your faith and learning can never fail. Yet notwithstanding your late books, freight with so many uncivil and vain speeches, (for of your often untruths I will say nothing,) sayour more of choler and stomach than

lect, et Elect.

33. tom. v. p. 440.]

^{37 [}The words between brackets are not there.]

Hieron in Proverb. lib. of learning. St. Hierom saith: Doctrina viri per patien2. cap. 19. [v. tiam noscitur. Quia tanto quisque minus ostenditur doctus, quanto convincitur minus patiens: "A man's learning is known by his patience. For the less patient a man sheweth himself to be, the less he sheweth to be his learning 38."

Therefore, M. Harding, your impatient demeanour and unkindly heats may call your learning into question.

Would God ye would humble your knowledge, and make it obedient to the knowledge of God. Otherwise, that Peter said unto Simon Magus, of his money, may likewise be Acts viii. 20. said unto you, of your knowledge: Thy knowledge be with 1 Cor. ii. 2. thee to thy destruction. Our learning is the cross of Christ: of other learning we make no vaunts. God is called the 1 Esdr. iv. 40. God of truth, and not of learning. St. Paul saith: "All 1 Cor. xiii. 8. kind of learning shall be abolished and consumed to no-Matt. xiii. 11. thing." God make us all "learned to the kingdom of heaven."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 13. Divis. 1.

But wherefore, I pray you, have they themselves, [Vol. iv. p. the citizens and dwellers of Rome, removed and come down from those seven hills, whereupon Rome sometime stood, to dwell rather in the plain, called the field of Mars? They will say, peradventure, because the conduits of water, wherewithout men cannot commodiously live, have now failed, and are dried up in those hills. Well then, let them give us like leave in seeking the water of eternal life, that they give themselves in seeking the water of the well. For that water verily failed amongst them. "The elders of the Jews," saith Jeremy, "sent their little ones to the waterings: and they, finding no water, being in miserable case, and utterly lost for thirst, brought home again their vessels empty." "The

Jer. xiv. 3.

^{38 [}This Commentary on the Proverbs is not genuine.]

needy and poor folk," saith Esay, "sought about for Isa. xli. 17. water, but nowhere found they any: their tongue was even withered for thirst." Even so these men have broken in pieces all the pipes and conduits: they have stopped up all the springs, and choked up the fountain of living water with dirt and mire. And as Caligula, many years past, locked up fast all the garners and storehouses of corn in Rome, and thereby brought a general dearth and famine amongst the people; even so these men, by damming up all the fountains of God's word, have brought the people into a pitiful thirst. They have brought into the world, as saith the prophet Amos, a hunger, and a Amos viii. 11. thirst: not the hunger of bread, nor the thirst of water, but of hearing the word of God. With great distress went they scattering about, seeking some spark of heavenly light to refresh their consciences withal: but that light was already thoroughly quenched out, so that they could find none. This was a rueful state: this was a lamentable form of God's church. It was a misery to live therein, without the gospel, without light, and without all comfort.

M. HARDING.

Well and wittily reasoned, forsooth..... No, no, sirs, if it were that wholesome water of eternal life which ye thirst after, a ye a Untruth. For we are would never have departed from the high hill, the catholic church, not departed and come down into the valleys, where ye find the dirty puddles from the of fleshly pleasures, where the devil behemoth, as Job saith, catholic church. dormit in locis humentibus, "sleepeth in moist places.".... All were not starved for hunger and thirst of that water of God's word. Therefore ye speak both slanderously, and ignorantly for divines, where ye say, that we had broken in pieces all the pipes and conduits, that we had stopped up all the springs, and choked and dammed up all the fountains of living water with dirt and mine.

Yet unawares, or ignorantly, they call that faithless and pagan state a lamentable form of God's church, whereas they should

Job xl. 21.

have accounted it no church at all. For where is no word of God, no light, no gospel at all, how can there be any church? Without these, any multitude is no more a church, than without Christ a man is a Christian, than a dead man is a man. And thus with malicious slandering, not with learned reasons, with their own affirmations, not with apt allegations, have they gone about to prove that these many hundred years the church hath erred. But thanks be to God, all this wind shaketh no corn. When all these hasty blasts be blown over, the church of God shall stand still unmoved, upon the rock Christ builded it on, and appear glorious in her stedfastness and truth, maugre the gainsaying of all heretics, and shall appear to them terrible, as Cantle vi. 4. a strong army set in battle array.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, M. Harding, ye begin out of season to play with your allegories, and mystical phantasies. Your catholic church of Rome, you say, is the mount: worldly pleasure is the vale. By which comparison we must believe, that the pope and his cardinals, sitting on high upon the mount, pass their time there only in fasting, and prayer, and in all manner poverty, and penury, and straitness of life, and have utterly abandoned all worldly pleasures. Notwithstanding some have said: In Cardinalibus superbia, avaritia, luxuria validissime dominantur: "In the cardinals of Rome, pride, avarice, and lechery are in their greatest courage." Howbeit, touching as well this, as other your like follies concerning the church, I will not say, ye keep your wont: but I must needs say, ye do but trifle.

Paralip, Ursper, in Clement, 5. [ad ann. 1303. P. 345.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 13. Divis. 2.

Wherefore, though our departing were a trouble [vol. iv. p. to them, yet ought they to consider withal, how just cause we had of our departure.

M. HARDING.

Indeed, our charity is such, as we confess it to be a grief unto us to see you play the part of rebellious children, to use presumption for submission, contempt for obedience, spite for love. Yet sith that ye are desperate and incorrigible, as by your departing from us the church felt some anguish and trouble, so now that ye are gone, it is relieved, as the body is eased, when after a purgation it hath avoided evil humours. Now say your best.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We are not gone from the church of God, M. Harding: we are gone only from you that have so unreverently abused the church. "But ye feel good ease" (ye say) "and are well relieved by our departure, as" (to use your homely comparisons) "a sick body is relieved by a purgation." God of his mercy grant, that ye may likewise be purged of all the rest of our brethren in other countries. So shall ye feel more ease, and be better relieved.

St. Hierom saith: Hebræi dicunt, [l. autumant] quod ea Hieron. ad nocte qua egressus est Israel (ex Ægypto), omnia in Ægypto 42 Manslonitempla destructa sunt, sive terræ motu, sive ictu fulminum. slone i. [li. Spiritualiter autem dicimus [l. discimus], quod egredientibus nobis ex Ægypto, errorum idola corruant, et omnis perversarum doctrinarum cultura quatiatur: "The rabbins or Hebrew doctors say, that the same night that Israel departed out of Egypt, all the idolatrous temples in Egypt were destroyed, either by earthquake or by lightning. But hereof we learn in a spiritual sense, that when we depart out of Egypt" (that is to say, from the company of idolaters) "the idols of error fall to the ground, and all the honour of false doctrine is shaken down." Such relief, M. Harding, we trust ye shall find by our departure.

Beda expounding these words of the Apocalypse, "Come BedainApoc. forth from her, my people, and be not partakers of her sins," saith thus: Inducit discessionem, quæ est ruina Babylonis: cum enim Lot discesserit a Sodomis, Sodomæ funditus tollentur: "St. John speaketh of the departure, which is the ruin and fall of Babylon. For when Lot shall depart out of Sodom, then shall Sodom utterly be overthrown."

Again he saith: Post hæc audivi vocem, Alleluia: laus, BedainApoc. et gloria, et virtus Deo nostro. Hæc nunc ex parte dicit ecclesia. Tunc autem perfecte dicet, cum discessio facta fuerit: "After this I heard a voice, 'Alleluia: praise, and glory, and power, be to our God.' This song the church Jewel, vol. vi. N

in part singeth already: but then shall she in deed and perfectly sing it, when departure shall be made" (from Antichrist, or Babylon).

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 1.

For if they say, It is in no wise lawful for one to [Vol. iv. p. leave the fellowship wherein he hath been brought up, they may as well in our names, and upon our heads, likewise condemn the prophets, the apostles, and Christ himself. For why complain they not also of this, that Lot went quite his way out of Sodom, Abraham out of Chaldea, the Israelites out of Egypt, Christ from the Jews, and Paul from the Pharisees? For except it be possible there may be a lawful cause of departing, we see no reason, why Lot, Abraham, the Israelites, Christ, and Paul, may not be accused of sects and seditions as well as others.

M. HARDING. Yet bring ye nothing to the purpose. Your proofs be so weak,

and hang so evil together, that we may well tell you (which Irenæus objected to heretics), that ye make a rope of sand. We say not, it is in no wise lawful for one to leave the fellowship a Thus much wherein he hath been brought up: but contrariwise, aif the fellowship be naught and wicked, every one is bound to eschew it. "Depart from Babylon, my people, and be not ye partakers of Apoc. xviil.4.

her sins," saith the heavenly voice to St. John. b Therefore the

examples ye bring help nothing your cause.

Lot went out of Sodom, Abraham of Chaldea, the Israelites of Egypt, Paul from the Pharisees, by God's special warning. Where ye say, Christ went from the Jews, unless ve refer it to his stepping aside from them for a while, ye should rather have said, the Jews went from Christ. But whereto pertaineth this? Though ye were so malicious as to compare the catholic church to Sodom, to Chaldea, to Egypt, to the Jews and Pharisees; vet, c A vain folly. I ween, ye are not so proud as c to compare yourselves to Lot, for a good man may fol. to Abraham, to God's peculiar people, to Paul, to Christ himself. These departings we allow, and God required them: yours we sumptions blame, and God detesteth.

confessed is sufficient.

b A sudden conclusion,

comparison.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We compare not ourselves, M. Harding, neither with Lot, nor with Abraham, nor with Paul; least of all with Christ himself. But we humbly submit ourselves, both in life and in doctrine, to be guided by their examples. And thus, I trust, we may lawfully do without just note of presumption. St. Chrysostom saith: Data est tibi potestas Chrys, in divinitus imitandi Christum, ut possis illi similis fieri. Noli 79. [vii. 756.] expavescere hoc audiens. Timendum enim tibi potius est, si similis illi fieri negliqas: "Thou hast power given thee from God to follow Christ, that thou mayest be like unto him. Be thou not afraid to hear this thing. Thou hast more cause to fear, if thou refuse to be like unto him." Likewise saith the ancient father Origen :..... Si quem imi- Origen. in tari volumus, propositus est nobis Christus ad imitandum: hom. 7. [iii. "If we desire to follow any man, Christ is set before us. that we should follow him."

But if it be so proud a part, as you say, in religion and life to follow Christ, what is he then that claimeth to himself christ's authority, and calleth himself even by the name of Christ? Ye know who is well contented to hear himself thus saluted: "Touching primacy, thou art Abel: Bernard. de Considerat, touching government, thou art Noah: touching the pa-lib.: [cap. 8. triarchship, thou art Abraham: touching order, thou art Melchisedec: touching dignity, thou art Aaron: touching authority, thou art Moses: touching judgment, thou art Samuel: touching power, thou art Peter: touching anointing, thou art Christ." These words, I trow, M. Harding, may somewhat seem to savour of pride. Your pope is well contented to take the name, not only of Peter, but also of Christ.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 2.

And if these men will needs condemn us for heretics, because we do not all things at their commandment, whom (in God's name) or what kind of

men ought they themselves to be taken for, which despise the commandment of *Christ*, and of the apostles?

M. HARDING.

Our frailty concerning life we accuse and lament, and commend ourselves to God's infinite mercy. Touching belief and necessary doctrine of faith, rail ye at us never so much, we neither despise the acommandments of Christ, nor the atraditions of the aposities.....

and manifest stles...... unto the world,

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 3.

If we be *schismatics* because we have left them, [Vol. iv. p. by what name then shall they be called themselves, which have forsaken the *Greeks*, from whom they first received their faith, forsaken the primitive church, forsaken Christ himself, and the apostles, even as if children should forsake their parents?

M. HARDING.

a Here M. Harding yieldeth us the first six hundred and threescore years, that is to say, the whole time of the apostles and holy fathers of the church,

Whosoever depart from the catholic church, they be schismatics: ye have departed from the catholic church of a these nine hundred years: ergo, ye be schismatics. The first proposition ye will not deny; the second yourselves confess: the conclusion then must needs be true. If we say the same, blame us not. Neither say we that only, but also that ye are heretics. Whereby the measure of your iniquity is increased. The same crime ye would impute unto us, if ye wist how. If ye have no more to lay to our charge, but that we have forsaken the Greeks, ye shall not be offended with the world, if it give us the title, name, and estimation of catholics, as heretofore. For (remember yourselves) we have not forsaken the Greeks, but the Greeks in some points have forsaken us. By the name of us, always I understand the catholic church, even the holy Roman church, whose faith we profess, and with whom we communicate.

b Read the answer.

bAnd how standeth it with your learning, that we received the faith first from the Greeks? For where ye say, we have forsaken the primitive church, yea Christ himself, and the apostles, ye have told us this so often, that now we take them to be but words of course, and a common blast of your railing spirit. The Roman church received the faith from Jerusalem, cand not from Greece, as the rest of the world did, according to the prophecy,

c Untruth, as shall soon appear. Is. il. 3.

De Sion exibit lex, &c. As for the land of Britain, our native country, if the faith were first brought hither by Joseph of Arimathea, and his fellows, as by old tradition we are told, dthen d Certainly was the church here first planted by faithful Jews, and not by not our faith Greeks.....³⁹. This being true, we marvel what ye mean to charge first from the us with forsaking the Greeks, specially where ye say, we first Rome. received the faith from them. Which is no truer than that we received our English language from them.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

It were no shame for you, M. Harding, to confess that the church of Rome first received her faith from the churches of Græcia. Neither are they so utterly void of learning, that have said the same. Rather I marvel what learning can lead you so unlearnedly to say the contrary. St. Augustine saith: Terra Græcorum, unde ubique desti-Aug. epist. nata est fides: "The land of Græcia, from whence the faith 44.] into all places was sent abroad 40." Again he saith: Radix Orientalium ecclesiarum, unde evangelium in Africam venit: Aug. epist. "The root of the East churches, from whence the gospel 170. [ii. 119.] came into Africa." St. Chrysostom saith unto the people of Antioch: Christianorum nomen velut ex quodam fonte Chrysostom.

hinc incipiens, omnem mundum inundavit: "The name of Antiochen.

Antiochen. Christians beginning first from this city of Antioch, as from a spring, hath flowed over the whole world." The bishops of the East wrote thus unto Julius the bishop of Tripar. Histor. lib. 4. Rome: Ad ecclesian Romanam ab Oriente prædicatores cap. 16.

Sozo. lib. 3.

dogmatis advenerunt: "The preachers of Christian doc-cap. 7. [ul. 8.

lii. 103.

trine came from the East, to the church of Rome." Like-el kal èk wise St. Basil saith: "The gospel of the kingdom springing $\frac{\tau \hat{\eta} s}{\ell \nu \epsilon \delta \eta \mu \eta \sigma a \nu}$ up first in the church of Græcia, was from thence published αὐτῆ οἱ τοῦ abroad into all the world 41." Eusebius saith:.....Lucis εἰσηγηταί. virtus et sacræ religionis lex, beneficio Dei, quasi e sinu Basil in Orientis profecta, cunctum simul orbem sacro jubare illu-episc. per Italiam et stravit.....: "The power of the light, and the law of holy [iii. 374.] religion, by God's benefit springing out, as it were, from Euseh. de Vita Const. Orat. ii. [i.

^{39 [}Here occurs an allusion to king Lucius, and to Theodorus.] 40 [The Bened. regard this letter as spurious.]

^{41 [}S. Basil. Τάχα δὲ δ καὶ μᾶλ-

λόν έστιν εἰκάσαι, ὅτι έπειδὴ τὸ ^{568.}]
εὐαγγέλιον τῆς βασιλείας ἀπὸ τῶν ἡμετέρων τόπων ἀρξάμενον εἰς πᾶσαν ἐξῆλθε τὴν οἰκουμένην, διὰ τοῦτο κ. τ. λ.]

Cod. de Sacrosanct. Ecclesiis. [lib. 1. tit, 2.] 5. Scientes. tit, [lib. 1. L. Decernimus.

the bosom of the East, hath shined over the whole world together with a blessed beam 41." The emperor Justinian 42 saith: Constantinopolis religionis et fidei mater perpetua: "Constantinople is the everlasting mother of faith and L. Jubemus. religion." And likewise again: Sacrosancta Constantinocod, in cod. politanæ civitatis ecclesia, mater pietatis nostræ, et Christiatit. [lib. 1.
tit. 2. lex 16.] norum orthodoxæ religionis omnium: "The most holy church of the city of Constantinople, the mother of our holiness, and the mother of all Christians of the catholic faith 43."

Epist, Eccl. Constant no-

In like sort the church of Constantinople, even until this day, entituleth itself: Ἡ Κωνσταντινουπόλεως ἐκκλησία, ἡ polit ad Ec- μήτηρ πάντων τῶν ὀρθοδόξων, καὶ διδάσκαλος: "The church cles Pragen. of Constantinople, the mother and mistress of all that be To be short, if ye will not believe any of catholic 44." these, yet at least ye may believe your own fellows. The bishop of Bitonto, in your late chapter at Trident, uttered these words, as it may well appear, with lusty courage: den [Crabb. den [Grabt]] Eta igitur Græcia mater nostra, cui id totum debet quod iii.982] Ora-habet Latina ecclesia: "O therefore our mother Græcia, tio Episcopi Eia igitur Gracia mater nostra, cui id totum debet quod unto whom the Latin church, or the church of Rome, is beholden for all that ever she hath."

Bitontini.

These things, M. Harding, being true, we marvel what should move you to deny, that ye first received the faith from the church of Gracia. Touching the matter itself, it is written thus in your own councils: Si Græci per quandam scissuram dividuntur a Latinis, ita Latini a Gracis. Et ideo videtur, quod si Graci debent dici schismatici propter hujusmodi divisionem, eadem ratione et Latini: præterea Græci magis servant antiquas consuctudines apostolorum, et discipulorum Christi, in barbis, &c.: "If the Greeks by a certain division be sundered from the Latins, even so be the Latins sundered from the Greeks. And therefore it seemeth, if the Greeks in respect of this

In Opere Tripart. lib. 2. cap. 1. [leg. cap. 10] in 2, tom. Concill. [Crabb. p. 992.]

^{41 [}This passage is an extract from Constantine's letter to Alexander and Arius.]

^{42 [}Properly the emperors Leo and Anthemius.]

^{43 [}Yet surely Justinian never

meant to say, that Rome had derived the faith from Constantinople.]

^{44 [}This epistle, dated 1451, will be found in Le Moyne's "Varia Sacra," tom, ii, p. 294.]

division ought to be called schismatics, that then the Latins also ought so to be called in like case. Furthermore, the Greeks do more duly keep the old customs of the apostles, and of Christ's disciples, touching beards, &c., than do the Latins."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. 68.]

For though those Greeks, who this day profess religion, and the name of Christ, have many things corrupted amongst them, yet hold they still a great number of those things which they received from the apostles. They have neither private masses, nor mangled sacraments, nor purgatories, nor pardons. And as for the titles of high bishops, and those glorious names, they esteem them so, as whosoever he were that would take upon him the same, and would be called either universal bishop, or the head of the universal church, they make no doubt to call such a one both a passing proud man, and a man that worketh despite against all the other bishops his brethren, and a plain heretic.

M. HARDING.

As ye have oftentimes belied us, aso now ye belie the poor a Untruth. Greeks. So little are ye able to say somewhat, and therein to a si shall say truth. For they have mass commonly without company to pear. communicate with the priest, which ye call private mass. So bis it all Greece over, so is it in Asia, in Syria, in Assyria, in b Untruth, as large as Armenia, and wheresoever the religion of Christ is professed: as manifest. among the Greeks in Venice I myself and divers of our countrymen have seen it commonly practised. Likewise pray they all for the dead, and think them to be relieved by the prayers, oblations, alms, and deeds of charity done for them by the living. Which mind and opinion implieth that faith cwhich the church cultruth. For the Greans never class never

But though (say ye) those Greeks have many things corrupted, received yet hold they still a great number of those things which they sites of purreceived of the apostles. And wilt thou know, reader, what they gatory. be? Forsooth they have neither private masses, nor mangled sacraments, nor purgatories, nor pardons. Is not the wit of this defender to be commended, that maketh a man to hold that

which he hath not? Those Greeks hold still, saith he. What hold they? Marry they have not this and that. This is a new kind of holding, for a man to hold that he hath not. If they hold still, then have they still. But they have not, saith he. Then how hold they? A man may by the rules of this new logic O so merrily thus reason: The Turks, Saracens, Jews, infidels, idolaters, yea this man playeth with his fancy. The sheep of Cotswold also, if ye will, have neither private masses, nor communion under one kind, nor purgatories, nor pardons: ergo, they hold a number of things which they received from the apostles. The antecedent is true. Whosoever denieth the consequent, must count this defender's logic very simple. What if one should make this argument; This defender hath neither good logic, nor very fine rhetoric, nor profound philosophy, nor the right knowledge of divinity: ergo, he holdeth many heresies? Though both the antecedent and consequent be true, vet is the argument naught. For by like reason one might conclude an honest unlearned catholic man to be an heretic, which were false and injurious. Such is the logic, such are the topics of this new negative, and ablative divinity, for so may we worthily call it. Negative, in respect of their blasphemous tongues, denying sundry weighty points of our faith: ablative, in respect of their wicked hands, casting away, throwing down, and taking away many good things, pertaining to the maintenance of Christian religion, and God's honour. Put them from their negatives, and from their ablatives, then in what case shall they stand?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

I am ashamed the world should be cumbered with so childish follies. "This defender's wit" (ve say) "is to be commended. He maketh a man to hold that he never had. And what hold they?" (say you). "Marry they have not this and that. This is a new kind of holding, for a man to hold that he hath not. If they hold still. then have they still. But they have not: then how hold they?" Now surely, M. Harding, I trow, we shall have and hold a merry man. So pretty sport ye can make yourself with having and holding. It were great pity ye should ever be otherwise occupied. Hannibal of Carthage, when he had heard Phormio the orator talk pleasantly a long while together, being afterward demanded what he thought of his eloquence, made answer in his homely sort: Multos se vidisse deliros senes: sed qui magis quam Phormio deliraret, vidisse neminem.

Cicero de Oratore 2. [cap, 18.] But somewhat to yield unto your pleasance, why may not a man hold that he never had, as well as lose that he never had? In the learned Glosses upon your Decrees ye may find this note amongst others: Nota, quod aliquis Dist. 17. Concilia: in dicitur perdere quod nunquam habuit: "Mark well this: Glossa. A man may be said to lose that he never had." And yet ye say commonly in the schools: Privatio semper præsupponit habitum: "The losing of a thing always presupposeth the having of the same." Or to answer you in plainer wise, why may not a man as well hold nothing, as you may talk so much, and yet say nothing? Galen said sometime to one that spake then in such substantial manner as you speak now: Accipe nihil, et tene fideliter: Galenus. [Epit, Adag. P. 909.]

But to leave your follies and silly toys, M. Harding, with your Turks, and Saracens, and sheep of Cotswold; we say not, The Greeks hold still that they never had: but we say, They hold still that they ever had. Your private masses and your demi-communions they hold not: for they had them never. The holy and whole communion in both kinds they hold still: and sithence the apostles' time they have had them ever.

"They pray also for the dead: and therein" (ye say)
"is implied your faith of purgatory." I will not here
touch the simplicity of your rhetoric, or logic, M. Harding:
but only wish you to have better regard to your divinity.
For ought that I can see, prayer for the dead, and your
phantasy of purgatory, were never so straitly coupled
together, but that they might well and easily go asunder.
The Grecians, as they pray for the dead, so do they pray
for the apostles, for the patriarchs, for the prophets, and
for the blessed Virgin, our Lady: as you may soon perceive by St. Basil's and by St. Chrysostom's liturgy. Yet Liturgia BaI think ye would not have your reader believe, as an p. 44: ed. Gr.
article of your faith, that the apostles of Christ, the pa-Liturg. Chrysos. [ed. Lat.
triarchs, the prophets, and the blessed Virgin, Christ's p. 69: ed. Gr.
p. 95: ed. Gr.

^{44 [&}quot; Nihil cape, serva bene:" (attributed by Janus Cornarus to Galen) in Epitome adagiorum.]

Mother, are still in purgatory 45. Whatsoever other opinion the Grecians have of the dead, certain it is, they could never yet be brought to believe your purgatory.

[Roff. contr. Luther, apud] Polydor, de Invent. lib. 8. cap. t. [p. 456.]

Roffensis, one of your greatest doctors, as I have said before 46, saith thus: Purgatorium Græcis usque ad hunc diem non est creditum: "The Grecians until this day believe not purgatory." Thus, M. Harding, I know not whether by your logic or by your divinity, (for both are marvellous,) ye would fain force your poor Greeks to hold that thing that they never had.

Another proper pastime ye make yourself with your negatives and ablatives. And wherefore, it were hard to tell, saving that, I see, ye were well disposed, and well it became you to be merry. 47 The ancient learned fathers, having to deal with impudent heretics, that in defence of their errors avouched the judgment of all the old bishops and doctors that had been before them, and the general consent of the primitive and whole universal church, and that with as good regard of truth, and as faithfully, as you do now, the better to discover the shameless boldness and nakedness of their doctrine, were oftentimes likewise forced to use the negative, and so to drive the same heretics, as we do you, to prove their affirmatives: which thing to do it was never possible. The ancient father Irenæus thus stayed himself, as we do, by the negative: Hoc neque procap. 1. [p.35.] phetæ prædicaverunt, neque Dominus docuit, neque apostoli tradiderunt: "This thing neither did the prophets publish, nor our Lord teach, nor the apostles deliver." By a

Iren, lib, 1.

Chrys. de In-like negative Chrysostom saith: Hanc arborem non Paulus compr. Dei Natura, hom. plantavit, non Apollo rigavit, non Deus auxit: "This tree" 3. [i. 463.] (of error) " neither Paul planted, nor Apollos watered, nor

> 45 [See vol. v. p. 201, (with the note $\frac{27}{1}$,) where the same line of argument is pursued.]

> Vol. v. p. 214.]
> This passage ("The ancient learned fathers they are not of God,") is quoted at length by Hooker (E. P. lib. ii. c. 6. 4. Keble's edit. p. 317.): where he

assigns the proper limits of the validity which belongs to this kind of argument "ab authoritate ne-" gative." His opponent had quoted bishop Jewel against him, without observing the real nature of the argument. See note ²¹, vol. i. p. 289 supra: also i. p. 51.]

God increased." In like sort Leo saith: Quid opus est Leo, Epist.

1. 23. cap. 12.

2. cap. 12.

2. cap. 12.

2. cecinit, quod evangelii veritas non prædicavit, quod apostolica doctrina non tradidit? "What needeth it to believe that thing, that neither the law hath taught, nor the prophets have spoken, nor the gospel hath preached, nor the apostles have delivered?" And again: Quomodo.....nova Leo, Epist.

2. inducuntur, quæ nunquam nostri sensere majores? "How tom. 1. 708.] are these new devices brought in, that our fathers never knew 48?"

St. Augustine having reckoned up a great number of the bishops of Rome, by a general negative, saith thus: In Aug. Epist. hoc ordine successionis nullus Donatista episcopus invenitur: "In all this order of succession of bishops, there is not one bishop found that was a Donatist." St. Gregory, being himself a bishop of Rome, and writing against the title of universal bishop, saith thus: Nemo decessorum Gregor.lib.4.

epist. 76. [al.

meorum hoc tam prophano vocabulo uti consensit:—nullus 36. tom. ii. Romanorum pontificum hoc singularitatis nomen assumpsit: [al. 32. ii.

"None of all my predecessors over corrected to 1749.] "None of all my predecessors ever consented to use this ungodly title: no bishop of Rome ever took upon him this name of singularity." By such negatives, M. Harding. we reprove the vanity and novelty of your religion. We tell you, none of the ancient catholic learned fathers, either Greek or Latin, ever used either your private mass, or your half communion, or your barbarous unknown prayers. Paul never planted them: Apollos never watered them: God never increased them. They are of yourselves: they are not of God.

These and other like negatives the holy fathers in old times might safely use without controlment: for that there was no doctor of Louvain as yet up start to carp and quarrel at their divinity. Leave this childish and unsavoury sporting and trifling with your negatives, M. Harding. It were a great deal more seemly for a man of learning

^{48 [}Leo Epist. 97. c. 5. As Mr. cap. 6. ed. Bened. tom. ii. col. Keble observes, this passage is quoted by St. Leo from S. Ambros. de Incarnatione Domini, "Concilii nomen obtenditur, et "nova," &c.]

and gravity, and more to your purpose, to prove your affirmatives.

"They have private mass" (ye say) "in all Græcia, Asia, Syria, Assyria, Armenia, and wheresoever the religion of Christ is professed." All this we must needs believe upon your word: for other proof ye offer to make none. Notwithstanding, if they have such private masses in all those countries, tell us, I beseech you, who were the authors and makers of these masses? Ye will say, St. James, St. Chrysostom, or St. Basil. For of these names and masses ye have told us many a tale. Yet if ye consider the matter well, ye shall find that these self-same masses were our communions, and nothing like to your masses: and that the holy sacraments at the same, contrary to your new devices, were delivered generally in both kinds to all the people.

Liturgia Jac. [ed. Lat. p. 27.] St. James in his mass saith thus: Diaconi tollunt discos et calices, ad impertiendum populo: "The deacons take up the dishes and the cups, to minister the sacrament unto the people."

Liturgia Chrys. [p. 73.] St. Chrysostom in his mass, saith thus: Post mysteria feruntur ad locum, ubi populus debet communicare: "Afterward the holy mysteries or sacraments be brought unto the place, where the people must receive together."

Liturg. Basil, [p. 44.] [Ib. p. 49.]

St. Basil in his mass saith thus: Nos omnes de uno pane et de uno calice participantes, &c. Cantores cantant communionem: et sic communicant omnes: "All we receiving of one bread and one cup, &c. The quire singeth the communion: and so they communicate all together." Therefore, M. Harding, ye must needs confess, either that the Grecians this day use none of these masses, or that their masses are not your masses, but our communions: which both are contrary to yourself.

Georg. Cassander in Liturg. [p. 57.]

In the Armenians' liturgy it is written thus: Qui non sunt digni communicare hanc oblationem Dei, exeant foras ante fores ecclesiæ, et ibi orent: "They that are not worthy to receive this oblation of God, let them go forth before the church door; and there let them pray."

Of the Grecians' order in this behalf your great and

special doctor cardinal Bessarion, being himself a Greek born, saith thus: Hoc ipse ordo rerum poscebat: primum, Bessarion, consecrare: deinde, frangere: postea, distribuere: quod Euchar. [p. nos in præsenti facimus: "This the very order of the Circa A, D. things required: first, that we should consecrate or bless 1450. the bread: next, that we should break it: last of all, that we should divide it, (or deliver it to the people.) Which thing we" (Grecians) "do at this present day." Thus you see, M. Harding, that the Grecians this day consecrate, break, and divide the sacrament unto the people, as we do. They receive it not privately to themselves alone, the people standing by, and gazing on them, as you do. Therefore it is untrue, that you say, "They have this day your private mass."

Touching the Grecians that live now in Venice, what order they use there, I cannot tell. Notwithstanding, as I have heard say, private mass they have not. But if they have, living under the pope's jurisdiction, it is no marvel. Certain it is, that Venice is no part of Græcia.

Matthias Illyricus, being himself born in Dalmatia, not far from the confines or borders of Græcia, and therefore, as it may be thought, the better acquainted with their orders, saith thus: Ecclesia Græca, eique conjunctæ, Asi-Matt. Illyric. in Testibus atica, Macedonica, Mæsica, Valachica, Ruthenica, Mos-Veritatis, p. chovitica, et Africana, id est, totus mundus, vel certe 1672.] ejus [suppl. longe] maxima pars, nunquam primatum papæ communi consensu concesserunt: nunquam purgatorium probaverunt: nunquam privatas missas:.....nunquam communionem sub una specie: "The church of Græcia, and the churches of Asia, Macedonia, Mysia, Valachia, Russia, Moschovia, and Africa, joined thereunto, that is to say, in a manner the whole world, or at least the greatest part thereof, never granted the pope his supremacy: never allowed either purgatory, or private masses, or the communion under one kind."

In the proem or entry of the council of Ferraria it is written thus: Proxima Dominica quindecim Græci sacer-Conc. Ferrar. dotes, domi imperatoris, jussu ejus, missam solenniter cele-[xxxi. 473. brarunt. Ubi etiam marchio cum suis civibus adfuit, et 474-1

panem benedictum, per patriarchæ dextram, more Græcorum, accepit, atque libavit: "The next Sunday, fifteen Greek priests, within the emperor's palace, by his commandment, said a solemn mass, (or communion,) whereas the marquis was present with his citizens, and, as the manner of the Grecians is, received and tasted the bread consecrate, at the hand of the patriarch." Here it is to be noted by the way, that these fifteen priests said not fifteen several masses, as the manner is in the church of Rome, but all together one only mass: and that the same one mass was no private ministration, but a communion. Petrus Urb. Whereof Petrus Urbevetanus saith thus: Tu mad instar in Vitu Deus-dedit Papa. Græcorum non cantabatur in una ecclesia, nisi forsan una

missa: "Then there was sung in one church, no more, saving perchance one only mass, as the manner of the Grecians is."

Durand, in Rational. lib. 4.

Likewise saith Durandus: Offerebant magnum panem et omnibus sufficientem: quod adhuc Græci servare dicuntur: "They offered up one great loaf that might suffice all the church: which order, they say, the Grecians keep until this day."

By these few, I trust, M. Harding, it may soon appear, whether of us have belied your poor Grecians.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 2.

Now then, since it is manifest, and out of all [Vol. iv. p. peradventure, that these men are fallen from the Greeks, of whom they received the gospel, of whom they received the faith, the true religion and their church itself; what is the matter, why they will not now be called home again to the same men, as it were, to their originals and first founders? And why be they afraid to take a pattern of the apostles' and old fathers' times, as though they all had been void of understanding? Do these men, ween ye, see more, or set more by the church of God, than they did, who first delivered us these things?

M. HARDING.

Soft and fair, sir Defender, you have not yet proved that you say is manifest and out of all peradventure. Remember you not the old homely verse of your sophistry: Neque negativis recte concludere si vis?.....Speak to the purpose, or else I would you would make an end of your filly follies. For indeed you trifle, and say nothing worth to be answered. And here little cause do you minister unto me to utter substantial stuff. Which I desire the discreet reader to consider, for mine excuse, that with a trifler I do also but trifle......

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, M. Harding, by your own confession, ye do but trifle with your *filly follies*: for that, I trow, your substantial and better stuff was not yet ready.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 3.

Indeed, we have renounced that *church*, wherein we could neither have the *word of God* sincerely taught, nor the *sacraments* rightly administered, nor the *name of God* duly called upon: which *church* also themselves confess to be faulty in many points: and wherein was nothing, able to stay any wise man, or one that hath consideration of his own safety.

M. HARDING.

.....And why have ye renounced this church, but for that ye might not be suffered to set forth to the loss of Christian souls the detestable heresies of Wickliffe, Luther, Zuinglius, Calvin, and other your false masters, which ye call your sincere word of God ⁴⁹ after your schismatical and heretical manner:.....That ye say, there was nothing in the catholic church able to stay any wise man, or any that hath consideration of his safety, it is one of your impudent lies.

There were both wise men in the church, and great multitudes of such as had good regard of their souls' health, always before the devil had such a hand upon Luther and the rest of your new apostles and apostates.

⁴⁹ [Harding adds, "for that ye gate five sacraments and adminismight not at your pleasure abroter two only."]

[Vol. iv. p. 69.]

a Untruth.

from the

midst of

divinity.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 4.

To conclude, we have forsaken the church as it [Vol. iv. p. is now, not as it was in old times past, and have so gone from it, as Daniel went out of the lions' den, and the three children out of the furnace: and to say the truth, we have been cast out by these men, (being cursed of them, as they use to say, with book, bell, and candle⁵⁰,) rather than have gone away from them of ourselves.

M. HARDING.

The church that now is, and the church that was in old time, is one church, as a man in his old age is the same man he was in his youth. a From the which church no faults or imperfections For God himcan excuse you for your departing. Neither have ye gone self saith: can excuse you for your department of the lions' den, nor as the "Come forth from it as Daniel was delivered out of the lions' den, nor as the three children out of the furnace: but ye have departed wilfully them, O my from the house of God, where, touching faith, all be of one Apoc. xviii. 4. accord, unto the synagogue of Antichrist, unto Babylon of sects, where is no order, but confusion, unto the kingdom of Satan, and there ye remain as it were in a den of lions, where that roaring lion, with his fellows, lieth in wait seeking whom he may devour. Ye have stepped from the place of spiritual refrigery into the fryingpan of schisms and heresies, and from thence, after that ve have now boiled and fried in malice and rancour against the church, except ye repent, ye are like to leap into the A hot kind of furnace of hell, that for ever shall torment you, and never consume you. Complain not of your casting out of the church. To be excommunicate ye have deserved. And that kind of punishment is, by a merciful discipline, extended upon you, partly for your amendment, partly to conserve the rest of the body whole from your pestiferous contagion.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Now ye begin to keep hot schools, M. Harding. Your fryingpans and furnaces, with other your like kitchen implements of frying and boiling, are hot and dangerous to deal withal.

The causes of our departure from you are answered before.

⁵⁰ [Apol. Lat. "... diris et devotionibus ejecti sumus."]

"The church" (ye say) "that now is, and the church that was in old times, is one church." Even so, as I shewed you before, the moon being full, and the same moon eclipsed, is one moon: even so, a man well advised, and the same man stark mad, is one man: even so, the house of God and a cave of thieves is one house.

Matt. xxi. 13.

Ye have excommunicate us and put us from you. So did certain of your predecessors and fathers excommunicate Joh. ix. 22. Christ and his apostles. So did Diotrephes, that first claimed your papal primacy, excommunicate the faithful 3 Joh. 9. Qui amat of Christ, that were the first planters of the gospel. So it primatum gerere." is written in the Apocalypse, that Antichrist shall excommu-Apoc. xiii. 7. nicate all them that will not adore the image of the beast.

But the pope himself saith: Excommunicatus non potest 24, qu. 1. Ait: excommunicare: "He that is excommunicate himself, hath [in Glossa.] no right or power to excommunicate others." And in your own law it is written thus: Qui illicite alium excom-24, qu. 3. Compert. municat, seipsum, non illum, condemnat: "He that unlaw-mus: Rubr. fully excommunicateth another, condemneth not him, but himself."

St. Augustine saith: Quid obest homini, si eum de illa Aug. ad Cletabula delere velit humana ignorantia, quem de libro viven-nen. [ii. 184.] tium non delet iniqua conscientia? "What is a man the qu.s. Quid worse, if the ignorance of a man strike him out of the book of the church, if ill conscience strike him not out of the book of life?" In this case, St. Augustine saith, it cometh sometimes to pass, ut plurimæ sint foris oves, et plurimi Aug. In Joh.

Tract. 45. [iii.
sint intus lupi, "that there be many sheep without the pt. 2. p. 600.] church, and many wolves within the church."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 5.

And we are come to that *church*, wherein they themselves cannot deny (if they will say truly, and as they think in their own conscience) but all things be governed purely and reverently, and as much as we possibly could, very near to the order used in old times.

M. HARDING.

.....Ye are come unto the malignant church, to the congregation of reprobates, whither as into a sink in manner all the heresies that Satan ever raised up from the beginning be avoided......

The Apology, Chap. 16. Divis. 1.

Let them compare our churches and theirs toge-[vol. iv. p. ther, and they shall see, that themselves have most shamefully gone from the apostles, and we most justly have gone from them. For we, following the example of Christ, of the apostles, and the holy fathers, give the people the holy communion, whole and perfect: but these men, contrary to all the fathers, to all the apostles, and contrary to Christ himself, do sever the sacraments, and pluck away the one part from the people, and that with most notorious sacrilege, as Gelasius termeth it.

We have brought again the Lord's supper unto Christ's institution, and have made it a communion in very deed, common and indifferent to a great number, according to the name. For of that it is called a communion. But these men have changed all things, contrary to Christ's institution, and have made a private mass of the holy communion. And so it cometh to pass, that we give the Lord's supper unto the people, and they give them a vain pageant to gaze upon.

We affirm together with the ancient fathers, that the body of Christ is not eaten but of the good and faithful, and of those that are endued with the Spirit of Christ. Their doctrine is, that Christ's very body effectually, and, as they speak, really and substantially, may not only be eaten of the wicked and unfaithful men, but also (which is monstrous and horrible to be spoken) of mice and dogs⁵¹.

We use to pray in our churches after that fashion, as, according to Paul's lesson, the people may know what we pray, and may answer Amen, with a general 1 Cor. xiv. 16. consent. These men, like sounding metal, vell out in the churches unknown and strange words without understanding, without knowledge, and without devotion: yea, and do it of purpose, because the people should understand nothing at all.

M. HARDING.

a As comparison cannot duly be made between light and dark- a Untruth. ness, between truth and lying, between Christ and Belial: so For there is neither between the catholic church and starting holes of heretics. comparison than between You say much and prove nothing...... The most ye have to crake contraries: of, which ye have never done withal, is your ministering of both Contraria kinds unto the people, your new-found holy-day, the English situ magis communion, your service in the vulgar tongue, and your vile elucescunt. objection of mice and dogs. This is the store-box of M. Jewel's high divinity, which he maketh no great store of, but shaketh it abroad every where. To every point I have said so much, as is benough to stay the hearts of those that fear God, in mine b Enough no Answer to M. Jewel's Challenge. To the matter of both kinds, sufficient. and the objection made out of Gelasius, in the second article: to For in the that of private mass, in the first article: to all that is said for articles, M. the church service in the vulgar tongue, in the third article: to Hardinghath the objection of mice, dogs, and worms, in the twenty-third score and article. The same here to rehearse again, I think it needless.

But where ye affirm the body of Christ not to be eaten but truths. of the good and faithful only, if ye mean the sacramental eating, so as it is eaten under the sacrament, in the visible form of bread and wine, and not of the cspiritual eating only, that is false. In c Unfruitful that ye say the fathers be on your side, meaning the csacra-and vain distinctions. mental eating, ye belie them. And so likewise reporting our Read the andoctrine to be, that wicked and unfaithful men may eat the body swer. of cChrist effectually, ye belie us. We teach that the evil may eat the body of Christ really, that is, indeed, but not effectually, d This book is full of fa-They only eat effectually, who eating it worthily obtain the effect bles and vaof Christ's body; which is the unity of the mystical body of nities, and therefore not Gregor. Dial. Christ, and increase of grace. dThere is verily (saith St. Gregory 52) thought to be St. Gre-

51 Supra, Replie, Art. 23. vol. iii. p. 455.]
52 [The Bened. edd. and Romish writers in general maintain the

lib. 4.

genuineness of these Dialogues: Protestants dispute it. See the grounds for either opinion in Cave.]

tine is di-

contrary. See the an-

[cap. 7.]

swer.

in sinners, and in them which receive unworthily, the true flesh of Christ and his true blood, sed essentia, non salubri efficientia, but in substance, not in wholesome effect......52

That evil men receive the true body of Christ sacramentally, no less than good, where I might allege in manner all the old fathers, eSt. Augustine only may suffice, who affirmeth the same, e St. Augusspeaking thus of Judas: Tolerat ipse Dominus Judam, diabolum, Aug. ep. 162. rectly to the furem, et venditorem suum sinit accipere inter innocentes discipulos, [ii. 99.] quod norunt fideles, pretium nostrum: "Our Lord himself doth tolerate Judas, and suffereth a devil, a thief, and him that sold him, to receive amongst his innocent disciples four price which the faithful do know 53."..... But what need any man to require the

f "Our price," he meaneth the sucrament of testimonies of fathers, sith Paul teacheth us so to believe? "Who-I Cor. xi. 27. our price. soever" (saith he) "eateth this bread and drinketh of the cup of our Lord unworthily, he shall be guilty of the body and blood of our Lord."

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

There is no better comparison to be made, M. Harding, than between light and darkness, truth and falsehood, Christ and Belial: for one of these contraries doth ever-John iii. 20. more bewray the other. And therefore Christ saith: "He that doth ill hateth the light, and cometh not to it, lest his evil doings should be espied." And this is it, M. Harding, that you so carefully keep the people from the light of God's word, lest by comparison thereof, they should begin to loathe your darkness. Pliny saith: Tritico reperto, con-Plin. lib. 18. tinuo damnatum est hordeum, et quadrupedum refectibus traditum: "As soon as wheat was once found," (by comparison thereof) "straightway barley was refused, and given to cattle to feed upon 54."

St. Cyprian saith: Hæc est, frater, vera dementia, non Cypr. ad Cornelium, lib. 1. ep.3. [p.84.] cogitare quod mendacia non diu fallant: noctem tam diu esse, donec [l. quamdiu] illucescat dies: "This is very mere madness, my brother, not to consider that lies cannot long deceive the world:" (remember) "it is night no longer but until the day spring." And therefore Chrysostom saith, as it

> ⁵² [" If your lady the interpreter hath put in a spoonful of lying of her own tempering into your hotch-pot revoke the error, and beware hereafter how ye admit women, though ye love them never so much, to be meddling and tampering with your clergy

matters."]

53 [Harding here refers to Stephen Gardiner.]

54 Plin. "Panem ex hordeo, an-"tiquis usitatum vita damnavit, "quadrupedumque tradidit refec-

" tibus."

is alleged before: Hæretici claudunt januas veritatis.....: Chrys. in "Heretics shut up the gates of the truth. For they know homil.44. in right well, if the truth may appear, their falsehood will soon feeto. [vl. be espied, and the churches shall be none of theirs." Tertullian saith: Ipsa doctrina hæreticorum cum apostolica Tertullian.de prescriptullian saith: authoris esse, neque apostolici viri: "The very doctrine of heretics compared together with the apostles' doctrine, even by the diversity and contrariety that is in it, beareth witness of itself, that it never came, neither from any apostolic man."

When the emperor Adrianus had yielded to grant the Christians one church within the city of Rome, certain of his privy council advised him in any wise not so to do: "For that," they said, "if the Christians might have but Ellius Lampridius. [in one church within the city, the whole people would all Alex. Sev.] become Christians: and by comparison thereof, their idols' churches should be forsaken." Restore you the holy communion, M. Harding, and ye shall see your masses and mockeries soon fall to ground, as did the idol Dagon at the 1 Sam. v. 3. presence of the ark of God. St. Hierom saith: Menda-Hier. ad Alexium Antichristi, Christi veritas devorabit: "The truth of II. [iv. 210.] Christ shall devour and consume the lying of Antichrist."

Touching the shaking out of store-boxes, ye had no great cause to complain. For there is not one of all these matters, one only of private mass excepted, that hitherto throughout this Apology, hath, to my remembrance, been touched or mentioned more than once. But it is a small matter, M. Harding, that may suffice you to make a quarrel.

That mice and dogs may eat the very natural body of Christ, and that really, substantially, and indeed: it is your doctrine, it is not ours. For we utterly abhor it, and defy it, as most detestable and loathsome villainy.

I marvel not to hear you say, that wicked creatures and faithless infidels may eat Christ's body, seeing ye doubt not to avouch the same of brute beasts and dumb cattle.

Yet St. Augustine saith: Hoc est manducare illam escam, Aug in Joh. Tract. 26. et illum potum bibere, in Christo manere, et illum manentem [III. pt. 20.] p. 591.]

in se habere: "This is the eating of that meat, and the drinking of that drink, for a man to dwell in Christ, and to have Christ dwelling within him." Even so saith Christ himself: "Ile that eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood,"

Johan. vi. 56. himself: "Ife that eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood," (is neither wicked creature, nor faithless infidel, nor dog, nor mouse, but) "dwelleth in me, and I in him."

Aug. ep. 162.

But St. Augustine saith, Judas accepit pretium nostrum,

"Judas received our price," which, by your exposition,
can be none other but the body of Christ. O, M. Harding,
ye should not thus have mocked the world with this authority of St. Augustine. You yourself know, ye deal
not plainly: you yourself know, that St. Augustine by
these words (our price) meant only the sacrament of our

Chrys. ad
[In passio] Christi est: "The baptisma Christi, sanguis
Ilebrae, hom.

[In passio] Christi est: "The baptism of Christ is the blood
of Christ53." Not for that it is so indeed, but for that it

is a sacrament of the blood of Christ. Even so it is noted in your own Decrees: Ipsa immolatio carnis Christi, quæ sacerdotis manibus fit, vocatur Christi passio, mors, crucifixio: non rei veritate, sed significante mysterio: "The

fixio: non rei veritate, sed significante mysterio: "The oblation of the flesh of Christ, that is wrought with the priest's hands, is called the passion, the death, and the crucifying of Christ: not in truth of matter, but in a mystery signifying." This exposition ye may not well refuse: it is St. Augustine's 54, it is your own. Hereof we have spoken otherwheres more at large. But to put the matter out of doubt, that it may appear in what sense Judas received the price of Christ's death, St. Augustine himself thereof soith thus: Christian additional forms.

Ang. in Psal. thereof saith thus: Christus adhibuit Judam ad convivium, in quo corporis et sanguinis sui figuram discipulis suis commendavit et tradidit: "Christ received Judas unto his banquet, whereat he gave to his disciples the figure of his body and blood."

The book that ye allege in the name of St. Gregory is vain and childish, as you know, and full of fables, and not St. Gregory's.

^{53 [}This is not accurately cited, and p. 362; where the original even according to the version of Mutianus. See supra, vol. iii. p. 8.

54 [Supra, vol. iii. 333, note 36.]

But St. Paul saith: "Whosoever eateth of this bread, I Cor. xi. 27. and drinketh of the cup of our Lord unworthily, he shall be guilty of the body and blood of our Lord." And what will you conclude hereof, M. Harding? Even so St. Augustine writeth of the water of baptism: Baptismus valet, Aug. contra Cresconium, aliis ad regnum: aliis ad judicium: "Baptism is available lib. 1. c. 23. to some unto the kingdom of God: to some unto judg—[ix. 402.] ment." Again he saith: Baptismum multi habent, non ad Aug. contra Cresconium, vitam æternam, sed ad pænam æternam, non bene utentes lib. 2. c. 13. tanto bono: "Some have baptism, not to life everlasting, but to pain everlasting, not well using so good a thing."

Likewise saith Tertullian: Si qui pondus intelligant Tertull. de baptismi, magis timebunt consecutionem, quam dilationem: [c.18. p.232.] "They that understand the weight of baptism, will fear more the getting of it than the delaying."

Yet ve press the matter further: St. Paul saith, "Whose eateth unworthily of this bread, is guilty of the body and blood of Christ:" ergo, (say you) "Christ's body and blood must needs be really present." Here, M. Harding, it were a worthy matter to see by what engines ye would prove these hasty conclusions, or how ye would force this guilt and this presence to go together. For think you, that no man can be guilty of the body and blood of Christ, but he that hath Christ's body and blood really present in his hand? Verily, St. Augustine saith: Reus erit, Aug. de Temnon parvi pretii, sed sanguinis Christi, qui violat, et com-20 [al. 120, maculat animam, Christi sanguine, et passione mundatam: 271.] "He is quilty of no small price, but even of the blood of Christ, that" (by fornication or advoutery) "defileth his own soul, that was made clean by the passion and blood of Christ⁵⁵." Yet hath he not therefore Christ's blood really present.

Athanasius saith: Adorantes Dominum, neque ita, ut Athanas. de dignum est eo, viventes, non sentiunt se reos fieri Dominicæ Cruce Dominics: "Worshipping our Lord, and not living so as is 109.] meet for our Lord, they feel not, that thereby they are

^{55 [}By the Ben. ed. this sermon Bibl. Patr. it is published under is placed in the Appendix, as not the name of Eusebius.] genuine; he adds, that in the

made guilty of our Lord's death 56." So saith God by the Ezek. xxxiii. prophet Ezekiel, "I will require the blood of the people at thy hand."

Christ saith: "God shall require of you the blood of Lake x1. 50. the prophets, that hath been shed from the beginning of the world."

This guilt, M. Harding, may well stand without any real presence of the blood, either of Christ, or of the prophets. Ye may well be guilty of Christ's and the prophets' blood, notwithstanding ye have neither the one nor the other really present in your hand.

This therefore is St. Paul's meaning, that the wicked resorting unworthily to the holy mysteries, and having no regard what is meant thereby, despise the death and cross of Christ, and therefore are guilty of the Lord's body and blood, that are represented in the sacrament.

Conc. Nicen. of Nice: Is qui imaginem imperatoris violat, in prototypum dignitatis injustus est: "He that defileth the emperor's image, is injurious to the majesty of the emperor's person, that is pourtrayed in the image."

Cypr. [l. Arnoid.] de Ablutione pedum. [app. cxix.]

St. Cyprian saith: (Impiis) in morte Christi nullus superest quæstus: sed justissime eos beneficia neglecta [leg. contempta] condemnant: "The wicked have no gain by the death of Christ, but the benefits that they have despised do most justly condemn them."

To come near to the purpose, St. Augustine saith:

Aug. ad Bo. Habent foris sacramentum corporis Christi: sed rem ipsam
in. epist. 50.
[10.663.] non tenent intus, cujus est illud sacramentum. Et ideo sibi
judicium manducant et bibunt: "Outwardly they have the
sacrament of Christ's body: but the thing itself" (which
is Christ's body represented by the sacrament) "inwardly
in their hearts they have not." And therefore they eat
and drink their own judgment. Here St. Augustine saith,
they are guilty, not because they receive, but because they
receive not the body of Christ. Mark well these words,
M. Harding: they are effectual. The wicked by St. Au-

 $^{{}^{56}}$ [This work is placed by the Bened. edd. in their second volume "inter Dubia."]

gustine's judgment are guilty, not because they receive, but because they receive not the body of Christ.

Again he saith: Qui non manet in Christo, et in quo Aug. in Joh. non manet Christus, procul dubio non manducat spirituali-ps. 2 p sel] ter carnem ejus, nec bibit ejus sanguinem : licet carnaliter et visibiliter premat dentibus sacramentum corporis et sanguinis Christi: sed magis tantæ rei sacramentum ad judicium sibi manducat et bibit: "Whoso abideth not in Christ, nor Christ in him, out of doubt he eateth not spiritually his flesh, nor drinketh his blood: notwithstanding carnally" (that is to say with his bodily mouth) "and visibly he do press with his teeth the sacrament of the body and blood of Christ: " and rather eateth and drinketh" (not Christ's very body and blood, but) "the sacrament of so great a thing unto his judgment." These words, M. Harding, be so plain, that I cannot imagine what ye should more desire. They are guilty of the blood of Christ, for that they despise the price wherewith they were saved, not for that they receive it really into their mouths.

So St. Augustine saith again: Reus erit æternæ mortis, Aug. de Temquia vilem in se habuit sanguinem redemptoris: "The ad-120, r. app. vouterer is guilty of everlasting death, because he despised in himself the blood of our Saviour 37."

The distinction that you imagine between real receiving in the wicked, and effectual receiving in the godly, as it is only of yourself, without the authority of any doctor, Greek or Latin, so is it nothing else but a very effectual and real folly. For the very body of Christ, if it be not effectually received, is not received. Christ himself saith: " He that eateth me shall live by me." St. Ambrose saith: Hic panis est remissio peccatorum. Qui accipit, non mori- Ambros, de tur morte peccatoris: "This bread is the remission of Breedict, Pasins. He that receiveth it, shall not die the death of a tom. i. 525.] sinner 38.11

St. Augustine saith: Qui non sumit hanc escam, non Aug in Joh. truct. 20 Jul. pt. 2. p. sec.]

⁵⁷ [Respecting this sermon, see "accipit, non morietur peccatoris p. 199. note 33.] & S. Ambros. ".... qui autem " peccatorum est."

[&]quot; morte, quia panis hie remissio

habet vitam: et qui eam sumit, habet vitam, et hanc utique æternam: "He that receiveth not this meat, hath no life: and he that receiveth the same, hath life, and that everlasting."

Aug. eodem loco. [ibid.]

Likewise again he saith: Hujus rei sacramentum..... in mensa Dominica præparatur, et de mensa Dominica sumitur: quibusdam ad vitam, quibusdam ad exitium: res vero ipsa, cujus est sacramentum, omni homini ad vitam, nulli ad exitium, quicunque ejus particeps fuerit: "The sacrament hereof is prepared upon the Lord's table, and from the Lord's table is received: to some unto life, to some unto destruction. But the thing itself," (that is, the body of Christ,) "whereof it is a sacrament, is received of all men to life, and of no man to destruction, whosoever shall be partaker of it." You may not negligently pass over these words, M. Harding. St. Augustine saith plainly: "The thing itself that is represented by the sacrament," (that is to say, the very body of Christ) "is received of all men to life, and of no man to destruction, whosoever shall be partaker of it." Hereof you must needs conclude against yourself, that the wicked receive not the body of Christ."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 2.

But, not to tarry about rehearsing all points, [vol. iv. p. wherein we and they differ, for they have well nigh no end, we turn the *scriptures into all tongues*: they scant suffer them to be had abroad in any tongue ⁵⁹.

M. HARDING.

a This is nothing but open mockery. b Untruth, too fond for a child. For there was never such order taken by the governors of the church.

.....We a gladly suffer them to be had in every place of Christendom in the learned tongues, Hebrew, Greek, and Latin, (TO BE READ OF THE VULGAR UNLEARNED PEOPLE.) Neither were they altogether forbidden to be had in some vulgar tongues before the saucy malapertness of heretics forced the governors of the church, for safeguard of the people, b to take other order.

⁵⁹ [See this subject discussed supra vol. iii 262. (Replic, Art. 15.)]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Ye can vouchsafe to allow us the *scriptures* in the three *learned tongues*, *Greek*, *Hebrew*, and *Latin:* that is to say, in such sort as the simple people may in no wise touch them. But where did God ever sanctify these three tongues, and call them *learned?* or where were they ever so specially canonized and allowed, above all other tongues, to the custody of the *scriptures?*

St. Augustine saith: Scriptura canonica tot linguarum Aug. Epist. literis, et ordine, et successione celebrationis ecclesiastica custoditur: "The canonical scripture is kept in the letters of so many tongues, and by the order and succession of ecclesiastical publishing." Again he saith: Scriptura di-Aug.de Doct.
Christ, lib. 2.
vina ab una lingua profecta, per varias interpretum linguas, cap. 5. [iii. longe lateque diffusa, innotuit gentibus ad salutem: "The holy scriptures, passing from one tongue, and being published abroad, far and wide," (not only by three learned tongues, but also) "by sundry tongues of interpreters, have come to the knowledge of nations and people, to their salvation." Again he saith:..... Habemus Dei benefi-Aug. in Psal. cium, qui scripturas suas in multis linguis esse voluit: cv. [iv. 1200.] "We have the benefit of God, that would have his scriptures to be" (not only in three, but) " in many tongues." St. Chrysostom saith: Syri, Ægyptii, Indi, Persæ, Æthi-Chrys.in Joh. opes, et innumeræ aliæ gentes, dogmata ab hoc introducta, 10.] in suam transferentes linguam homines barbari, philosophari didicerunt: "The Syrians, the Egyptians, the Indians, the Persians, the Ethiopians, and other nations innumerable, translating into their own tongues the doctrine that they had received of St. John, being barbarous people. endeavoured themselves to learn wisdom." St. Hierom saith: Scriptura sancta populis omnibus legitur, ut omnes Hieron.in Ps. intelligant: "The holy scripture is read to all nations, 2. 350.] that all may understand it." It were hard to say, that all the nations of the world read or heard the scriptures in Greek, Hebrew, or Latin, to the intent they might the better understand it. If these authorities seem not plain

Theod. de

sermon in twenty years.

and sufficient, Theodoretus saith further: Hebraici libri, Corrigend. Gree, affect, non modo in Græcum idioma conversi sunt, sed in Rolib. 5. fed.
Gaisf, p.219.] manam quoque linguam, Ægyptiam, Persicam, Indicam, Armenicam, et Scythicam, atque adeo Sauromaticam: semelque ut dicam, in linguas omnes, quibus ad hanc diem nationes utuntur: "The Hebrew books of the scriptures are translated, not only into the Greek or Latin tonque, but also into the tongues of Egypt, Persia, India, Armenia, Scythia, and Sarmatia: and, to be short, into all the tongues that until this day are used in the world." This, I trow, is somewhat more than Greek, Hebrew, and Latin.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 3.

We allure the people to read and to hear God's [Vol. iv. p. word; they drive the people from it.

M. HARDING.

Ye allure the people busily to hear and read the scriptures for evil purpose. And thereby ye have filled their hearts, whom ye have deceived with pride, so as they think themselves able to judge of the highest questions that be in divinity. We keep the people, so far as we can for you, from heresies, and require a A mockery, them rather to be hearers than judges, and to learn necessary For many hear not one knowledge of God's word a at wholesome and godly sermons.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We teach not the people to presume of knowledge, as you teach them to presume of ignorance: but only we exhort them, for the better satisfaction of their consciences, to read the scriptures, and therein to learn the good will of God. And notwithstanding ye may not allow them to be judges, that is to say, to discern between the light of God and your darkness, yet ye might suffer them to pick out some small crumbs, that fall from the Lord's table. Apol. Socrat. Howbeit, Socrates saith: "The simple unlearned people, in cases of truth, judgeth oftentimes more uprightly than Matt xi. 25. the deepest philosophers." Likewise Christ saith: "I thank thee, O Father, for that thou hast hid these things

from the wise and politic, and hast opened the same to little babes."

But ye will say, "The scriptures are hard, and above the reach of the people." Even so said the Pelagian heretic Julianus. And therefore St. Augustine thus reproveth him for the same: Exaggeras quam sit difficilis, Aug. contr., Jul. lib. 5. paucisque conveniens eruditis, sanctarum cognitio literarum : cap. 1. [x. 627.] "Ye enlarge and lay out with many words, how hard a matter the knowledge of the scripture is, and meet only for a few learned men." St. Chrysostom saith: Scripture Chrys. in Matth. hom. et servo, et rustico, et viduæ, et puero, et illi qui valde im-1. [vi. 11. c.] prudens esse videatur, faciles sunt ad intelligendum: "The scriptures are easy to the slave, to the husbandman, to the widow, to the child, and to him that may seem to be very simple of understanding 60." St. Augustine saith: Modus Aug. Epist. 3. ipse dicendi quo sacra scriptura contexitur, &c. quasi amicus familiaris, sine fuco ad cor loquitur indoctorum, atque doctorum: "The phrase or manner of speech wherein the scriptures are written, &c. speaketh without colour, as a familiar friend, unto the heart, as well of the unlearned, as of the learned." St. Cyrill saith: Scripturæ, ut omnibus essent Cyril. contra notæ, parvis et magnis utiliter familiari sermone commen-[vi. 232.] datæ sunt, ita ut nullius captum transcendant: "The scriptures, that they might be easy to all men, as well small as great, are profitably set abroad in familiar speech, so that they overreach no man's capacity 61." St. Augustine saith: Sunt quidam homines, qui cum audierint, quod debent esse Aug. in Psal. humiles,.....nihil volunt discere: putantes, quod si aliquid tom.iv., 1468.] didicerint, superbi erunt, &c. Hos reprehendit scriptura.....:

ΐνα πᾶσιν εὖσύνοπτα ἢ τὰ λεγόμενα, ἵνα καὶ ὁ χειροτέχνης, καὶ οἰκέτης, καὶ ἡ χήρα γυνὴ, καὶ ὁ πάντων ἀνθρώπων ἀμαθέστατος κερδάνη τε καὶ ὡΦεληθῆ παρὰ τῆς ἀκροάσεως.]

^{60 [}S. Chrysost. ἄπερ καὶ γηπόνφ, καὶ οἰκέτη καὶ γυναικὶ χήρα, καὶ παιδὶ αὐτῷ, καὶ τῷ σφόδρα ἀνοήτῷ δοκοῦντι εἶναι, πάντα εὐσύνοπτα καὶ ράδια καταμαθεῖν τοιαῦτα γὰρ τὰ τῆς ἀληθείας. There is a passage very nearly resembling this in serm. 3. de Lazaro (tom. i. 739), in which St. Chrysostom argues at great length for the inestimable value of reading the scriptures privately as well as publicly:....

ώφεληθή παρὰ τῆς ἀκροάστως.]

61 [St. Cyrill said this of the writings of Moses καὶ αὐτὰ δὲ τὰ Μωσέως, ἵνα καὶ ὑπάρχη γνώριμα μικροῖς καὶ μεγάλοις, μετεποιήθη χρησίμως εἰς τὸ τῆς γλώττης εὐτριβὲς καὶ δυσέφικτον ἐχούσης παντελῶς οὐδέν.]

"Some men, when they hear say they must be lowly, will learn nothing; thinking that if they learn any thing they shall be proud. But these are reproved by the scriptures." Aug. In Sen. Likewise again St. Augustine saith: Ipsa ignorantia in

tent. exceptis ex August. p. 1067. [Sent. 309.

illis qui intelligere noluerunt, sine dubitatione peccatum est: in eis autem qui non potuerunt, est pana peccati. Ergo in ex epist. 194. utrisque non est excusatio: sed justa damnatio: "Ignorance tom, il. 723.] in them that would not understand, without doubt is sin: but in them that could not understand, it is the punishment of sin. Therefore neither of them both hath good excuse: but either of them hath just damnation."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 4.

We desire to have our cause known to all the [vol. iv. p. world: they flee to come to any trial.

M. HARDING.

a A worthy council of forty bishops, such as they were in the time Third.

Then why came ye not to the achief and most lawful consistory of the world, the late general council at Trent? What trial should we come unto? Our doctrine hath had too high a teacher, to be tried by men now. It hath been approved too of Paulus the long, to be put in daying 62 in these days, at the latter end of the world.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

That we made not our appearance at your late chapter at Trident, ye have already made our excuse. The journey was too long to be taken in vain. Ye say, "your doctrine, be it right, be it wrong, may not now be tried, nor put in daying." So said sometimes the old Donatian heretics unto St. Augustine: Disputare nolumus; et baptizare [l. rebaptizare] volumus: "Dispute we will not: but" (continue in our error, and) "baptize we will."

Aug. epist. 169. [il. 67.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 5.

We lean unto knowledge: they unto ignorance. [Vol. iv. p. We trust unto light: they unto darkness.

62 [Daying = arbitration.]

M. HARDING.

Ye lean to the favour of secular princes, whom by flattery and heresy ye may deceive..... Crake not of your great knowledge, nor of your light. "Woe [l. O] be to them," crieth our Lord in Esay, "that say good is evil, and evil is good; that put light for darkness, and darkness for light.".... Your demeanour is so evil, your doctrine so false, your tongue so railing, that we take your word for no slander.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We flatter our princes, M. Harding, as Nathan flattered king David; as John Baptist flattered Herod; as St. Ambrose flattered Theodosius; and as salt flattereth the green sore. Indeed, we despise not the minister of God, as sundry of your fellows have used to do: of whom one doubteth not to say, " The pope is the head, and kings and Dorman. fol. emperors are the feet 63." Another saith, "The priest is Stanislaus Such Orichovius in Chimæra. so far above the king, as a man is above a beast." They [fol. 97.] words of contempt and villainy we have not used. agree well with you, and with your religion. We yield to the king that is due to the king: we yield to God that is due to God. We say to the prince, as St. Ambrose sometime said to the emperor Valentinian: Noli te gra- Ambros. lib. vare, imperator, ut putes te in ca quæ divina sunt imperiale [ii. 857.] aliquod jus habere: "Trouble not yourself, my lord, to think, that you have any princely power over those things that pertain to God."

But if they be flatterers, that humbly advertise and direct their liege princes by the word of God, what are they then, that say as you say: Totus mundus non potest Petr. de Padocusare papam: nemo potest dicere papæ, Domine, cur ita testat. Pap. facis: sacrilegii instar esset, disputare de facto papæ: Do-Dist.40. Non minus Deus noster papa: papa potest quasi omnia facere nos. In Gloss. Extray. Joh. quæ potest Deus? "The whole world may not accuse the ter. In Gloss. pope: no man may say to the pope, Sir, why do ye thus? [Col. 140. F.] Hostien. de it were a sin as bad as sacrilege, to dispute of any the translation. Prelati, pope's doings: our Lord God the pope: the pope may do Quanto. [Gol. 84. col. I. No. 12.]

^{63 [}Dorman's expression is "some others in place of feet to go."]

in a manner whatsoever God may do." These, these, M. Harding, and a thousand other your like speeches, may seem somewhat to smell of flattery.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 6. and 7.

We reverence, as it becometh us, the writings of [vol. iv. p. the apostles and prophets: and they burnt them⁶⁴.

Finally, we in God's cause desire to stand to God's only judgment: they will stand only to their own.

M. HARDING.

Whatsoever ye pretend, the cause ye have taken in hand to defend, is not God's cause, neither is this stir which ye make in the world, for Christ's sake. Luther himself, when at a disputation with doctor Eckius, inflamed with anger, and passing the bounds of modesty, was admonished of certain, forasmuch as it was God's cause that was treated, to handle the matter more soberly, and with the spirit of softness, he brake out into these words: Non propter Deum hac res capta est, nec propter Deum Hosius constanding in open and wil-finietur: "This matter is not begun for God's sake, neither for tra Bren-tium, lib. 1. God's sake shall it be ended." Therefore speak no more to us of God's cause. There be other causes that move you to do as ye do....

Untruth, standing in ful corruption.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Luther" (ye say) "in disputation was inflamed with anger, and passed the bounds of modesty." No doubt, M. Harding, if he had had some part of your sobriety and modesty, he might have done a great deal better. Touching the matter, being zealously moved with the iniquity and wicked wilfulness of his adversaries, he uttered these words, not of himself, but of Eckius, that disputed against him: " Eckius and his fellows never began this matter for God's sake, nor for God's sake will they end it." For he saw they had begun, and were bent to end it against God, as being inflamed with ambition and malice, and procured and hired by the pope. These words Luther spake of

dreæ contra Hosium, p. 352.

> 64 [Apol. Lat. "comburunt." Harding says they only burnt the translations.]

Eckius, his adversary, and not of himself. Even so, M. Harding, may we also truly say, you and your fellows have not begun these your contentious vanities for God's sake: nor for God's sake will you end them.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 8.

Wherefore, if they will weigh all these things with a quiet mind, and fully bent to hear and to learn, they will not only allow this determination of ours, who have forsaken errors, and followed Christ and his apostles, but themselves also will forsake their own selves, and join of their own accord to our side, to go with us⁶⁶.

 66 [Harding concludes the fifth part with abusive scolding, which bp. Jewel omits.]

Here endeth the Fifth Part.

[Vol. iv. p.

THE SIXTH PART.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 1. Divis. 1.

But peradventure they will say it was treason to [vol. iv. p. not peral attempt these matters without a sacred general council: for that therein consistent the whole force of the church: there Christ hath promised he will ever be a present assistant. Yet they themselves, without tarrying for any general council, have broken the commandments of God, and the decrees of the apostles: and, as we said a little above, they have spoiled and disannulled almost all, not only the ordinances, but even the doctrine of the primitive church. And where they say, "It is not lawful to make a change without a council," what was he that gave us these laws, or from whence had they this injunction?

M. HARDING.

If general councils continue in that estimation and authority they have ever had, their private conspiracies and false conveyances in corners be like to be dashed... Now pricketh forth their secretary, who thinketh himself a fresh soldier in rhetoric, and giveth the onset upon us with a blind "peradventure." But, sir, whatsoever you imagine us to say, touching a general council, we are not so simple, as to grant (which your "peradventure" seemeth to surmise of us) that your heretical and most ungodly matters, which you speak of, might without blame be attempted by licence of any council.... Such wicked changes in religion as ye have made, neither is it lawful to make with a council, nor without a council.

church are

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 1. Divis. 2

[Vol. iv. p. 70.]

Indeed king Agesilaus did but fondly in this behalf, who when he had a determinate answer made him of the opinion and will of mighty Jupiter, would afterward bring the whole matter before Apollo, to know whether he would allow thereof, as his father Jupiter had done, or no. But yet should we do much more fondly, when we hear God himself plainly speak to us in his most holy scriptures, and may understand by them his will and meaning, if we would afterward (as though this were of none effect) bring our whole cause to be tried by a council; which were nothing else, but to ask whether men would allow as God did, and whether men would confirm God's commandment by their authority.

M. HARDING.

... Forasmuch as the scriptures, wherein God speaketh unto us, be in sundry places not most open and plain to a human a Vain folly senses, and many by mistaking them be deceived, were it not with the follow the judgment of the catholic church represented in general senses to do with the judgment of the catholic church represented in general sense of councils? Yea, we say boldly, that surer it is in points of faith to lean to the bexposition of the fathers agreeing together, and b The expositions of the church, than to trust to yourselves, fathers very or to the letter of the scriptures, scanned only by your own wits. For the church is promised to be led into all truth by the Holy yet are they Ghost. Ye cannot say any such promise hath been made to part contrary your particular company. Therefore it were not fondly done, as of the crule of ecclesiastical tradition, which is the chief rule to try c The traditions now used in the Roman

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We never despised the judgment of the learned and of the and of th

the more blameworthy, M. Harding, for that, having without cause renounced the judgment and orders of the primitive church, and ancient fathers, as to the wise and learned it may soon appear, yet nevertheless ye evermore make vaunt of your antiquity, and fray the world with a vizard of the church, and a show of old fathers: as if a poor summoner, that had lost his commission, would serve citations by the virtue of his empty box. And thus have ve set all your vain phantasies in place of God's church, and your church in place of God; as by the words and witness of your own fellows I have before shewed more at large. Nicol. Cusan. For example, cardinal Cusanus saith: Nulla sunt Christi præcepta, nisi quæ per ecclesiam pro talibus accepta sunt: "The commandments of Christ are no commandments, unless they be so allowed by the church."

Part. 5. cap. 10. divis. 2. [Supra vol. vi. p. 144.] ad Bohæmos, epist. 2. [p. 834.]

Thus we leave Jupiter, and run to Apollo; or rather, ye forsake God, and seek to man: and as it is written in the Jerem, ii. 13. prophet Jeremy, "Ye leave the fountain of the water of life, and rip up broken and filthy cisterns, that can hold no water." Tertullian thus upbraideth the heathens: Apud Apologetico. vos de humano arbitratu Divinitas pensitatur: "Among vou the right of God is weighed by the judgment of men."

But Clemens Alexandrinus saith: Quoniam ipsum Ver-

Clemens Alexandr, in

Oration, ad Gentes, [i.

86.]

Tertul, in

[c. 5. p. 6.]

bum ad nos venit de calo, non est nobis amplius eundum ad humanam doctrinam: "Forsomuch as the Word itself" (that is, Christ) " is come to us from heaven, we may not now any more seek unto the doctrine of man." Likewise St. Chrysostom saith: Fuisset extremæ absurditatis, eum, qui edoctus fuerat a Deo, postea cum hominibus communicare: "It had been great folly for St. Paul, having received his doctrine from God himself, afterward to confer thereof with men," that is to say, with Peter, or James, or with any

Chrys, in Epist, ad Galat, cap. 1. [x. 675.]

others.

The Apology, Chap. 1. Divis. 2.

Why, I beseech you? except a council will and (Vol. iv, p. command, shall not truth be truth, or God be God?

If Christ had meant to do so from the beginning, as that he would preach or teach nothing without the bishops' consent, but refer all his doctrine over to Annas and Caiaphas, where should now have been the Christian faith? Or, who at any time should have heard the gospel taught? Peter, verily, whom the pope hath oftener in his mouth, and more reverently useth to speak of, than he doth of Jesus Christ, did boldly stand against the holy council, saying, "It is better to obey God, than men." And after that Paul had once entirely embraced the gospel, and had received it, not from men, nor by man, but by the only will of [Gal. i. 12.] God, he did not take advice therein of flesh and blood, nor brought his case before his kinsmen and brethren, but went forthwith into Arabia, to preach God's divine mysteries by God's only authority.

M. HARDING

If you occupy a flute no better, by my rede you shall give over your piping and flouting. Truth is truth, and God is God, whether any council will or nill. Marry, as for the truth, and for God, every council lawfully assembled hath will, so against the truth, and against God, it hath no nill.... When ye prove unto us, that ye are specially called, as Paul was, and have a special commission at preach against the doctrine of the church, as he a We preach had against the wicked Jews, then a-God's name take no advice follies and of any man, but forthwith preach, and cry out so loud as ye list, so phantasies, and not that ye go into Arabia, as Paul did, or where else soever ye will. against the For every good man would be loth England should be troubled doctrine of the church.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 2. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. 71.] Yet truly we do not despise councils, assemblies, and conferences of bishops, and learned men: neither have we done that we have done altogether without bishops, or without a council. The matter hath been treated in open parliament, with long consultation, and before a notable synod and convocation.

M. HARDING.

Either your tongue agreeth not with your heart, or else I will prove the contrary by your own arguments, which in the next paragraph before this ye have huddled up For whereas your matters are (as ve ordinarily say) evident by plain scripture, and the word of God is readen of every man, (without distinction and limitation,) therefore ye do first of all play as fond a part, as king Agesilaus did, who receiving an answer of mighty Jupiter, would afterward bring the matter before Apollo: yea, furthermore, ye do much more fondly than he, if when ye may hear God himself speak plainly to you in the most holy scriptures, ye would bring the whole cause to be tried by a council.

How say ye then now, are not these your own proper reasons, &c. your foresaid worshipful reasons, &c.? If ve mean (as by reason ye must) the parliaments of these later days, the first of all did make most for you: and yet how open was it for you? Had ye any place at all in it? were ye admitted within the doors? or had ve any thing to do in that assembly? Consider then, with what consultation your purposes were concluded. Did they tarry many months about it? had they bishops? ahad they enclosed. For divines, and the most learned, to reason to and fro with all liberty? was the authority of the universal church of Christ, and putation was the doctrine of the ancient fathers considered? Ye say in Latin, appointed at Plenis comitiis, that is, "in the full and whole assembly," as though none at all had there resisted, but every man had vielded presence of the states of to your matters. What say ye then of the spiritual lords, a great part of the parliament, and without all doubt the part which must be chiefly and only regarded, when the question is of religion? how many of them gave you their voice to your gospel? yea, which of them all did not resist it? One alone 67, I must confess, was afterward made to break unity, of whom a right good and catholic bishop said to a nobleman, "We had but one fool among us, and him ye have gotten unto you." But as of the spiritual lords ye had none at all, (except that one, little worthy of the name of a bishop and lord, whose learning was small, and honour thereby much stained,) so of the temporal ve had not all: and so had ye also in the lower house very many, and well learned, that spake against you.....

But let us consider the notable convocation in which your matter hath been treated. If ye mean the clergy coming together at that first parliament time, of which we speak, it was of catholics, not of sacramentaries 68; and it put up a bill against

67 [This alludes to Anthony Kitchen, bishop of Llandaff, one of those named in the commission for archbishop Parker's consecration. See Abp. Bramhall 'Poμφαΐα διστομός, pp. 56, 57, (ed. Hag. 1659,) where this passage is quoted. See also Burnet's Reform. ii. 792.] 68 [See Burnet's Reform. iii. pt. 1. 526.]

a Untruth. vines, and a solemn disster, in the the realm. But your fellows fled from it.

your proceedings; so far it was off from confirming them. If ye mean any since that time, in which your superintendentships met together, for what cause was it a notable synod, and a notable convocation?

Ye be desperate in your lying, and crake of a full parliament, and a notable synod, not regarding by what means ye promote your cause to the multitude, and save yourselves from reproach of extreme folly. For otherwise ye which can so amplify the small and obscure meetings of a few Calvinists of one little island, what would ye not say of the last general council, to which more nations were assembled together than are shires in England; more vears were bestowed in consultation, than weeks in your full parliament; b more bishops defined and subscribed, b A notable than were ministers of all sorts in your notable synod, by many great company. The parts? parts ?.....

Lastly, if they will needs have their matters seem to depend bishops, and of their parliament, let us not be blamed, if we call it parliament yet some of the same religion, parliament gospel, parliament faith.

were no bishops at all.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We will not discuss the right and interest of the parliaments of England. As much as concerneth God's everlasting truth, we hold not by parliament, but by God. Parliaments are uncertain, and often contrary, as we have seen: but God's truth is one, and certain, and never changeth. The things, that were so suddenly and so violently shaken down in the late time of queen Mary, are now of God's great mercy, by our most noble and gracious lady queen Elizabeth, advisedly and soberly reared up again, that they may the more firmly continue, and stand the better. Whatsoever want ye imagine was in that parliament, forasmuch as we were no part thereof, I trust we may the more easily be excused. Howbeit, so scornfully disdaining the whole state of so noble a realm, ye shall hardly win the opinion either of sobriety or of great wisdom. The parliament was summoned by royal authority, and was continued and concluded in order, as heretofore it hath been used.

But your bishops (ye say) withstood us: and your brethren in the convocation promoted a bill against our doctrine. I know, M. Harding, they subscribed then against us with the very same hands with which, not long

before, they had openly protested and solemnly sworn against the *pope:* and with which they have sithence received and embraced our whole *religion*, to the utter condemnation of all your follies. These were they, that promoted bills against us, and withstood our doctrine.

Only one fool (ye say) ye had amongst all your bishops, and he was soon gotten to come to us. Happy were they, M. Harding, that had but one fool in so great a company. If some of your Louvanian clergy had then been bishops, I think they might have been somewhat better stored. Notwithstanding, it was not well done of your part, so uncivilly to call your brother, fool. All the rest of your brethren, very few excepted, have done the like. Yet fools, I trow, ye will not call them, lest happily your own wits be called in question.

Where ye would seem to say, that the parliament holden in the first year of the queen's majesty's reign was no parliament, for that your bishops refused wilfully to agree unto the godly laws there concluded, ye seem therein to bewray in yourself some want of skill. The wise and learned could soon have told you, that in the parliaments of England matters have evermore used to pass, not of necessity by the special consent of the archbishops and bishops, as if without them no statute might lawfully be enacted; but only by the more part of the voices, yea, although all the archbishops and bishops were never so carnestly bent against it. And statutes so passing in parliament, only by the voices of the lords temporal, without the consent and agreement of the lords spiritual, have nevertheless always been confirmed and ratified by the real [fors. Royal) assent of the prince, and have been enacted and published under the names of the lords spiritual and temporal.

Read the statutes of king Edward the First. There shall ye find, that in a parliament solemnly holden by him at St. Edmund's Bury, the archbishops and bishops were quite shut forth. And yet the parliament held on, and good and wholesome laws were there enacted, the departing, or absence, or malice of the lords spiritual notwith-

standing. In the records thereof it is written thus: Habito A. D. 1296. rex cum suis baronibus parlamento, et clero excluso, statutum est, &c.: "The king keeping the parliament with his barons, the clergy" (that is to say, the archbishops and bishops) "being shut forth, it was enacted 68," &c.

Likewise: In Provisione de Martona, in the time of Anno 1273. king Henry the Third, whereas matter was moved of in Provisibastardy, touching the legitimation of bastards born before cap. 9. marriage, the statute passed wholly with the lords temporal, whether the lords spiritual would or no: yea, and that contrary to the express decrees and canons of the church of Extr. Qui filli Rome: the like hereof, as I am informed, may be found Rich. II. ann. 11. cap. 2. Howbeit, in these cases, I must confess, I walk somewhat without my compass. Touching the judgment hereof, I refer myself wholly unto the learned.

Further, whereas ye call the doctrine of Christ, that now by God's great mercy, and to your great grief, is universally and freely preached, a parliament religion, and a parliament gospel, (for such sobriety becometh you well, and may stand you instead when learning faileth,) ye might have remembered, that Christ himself at the beginning was universally received and honoured through this realm by assent of parliament: and further, that without parliament your pope himself was never received, no. not in the late time of queen Mary. Yea, and even then his holiness was clogged with parliament conditions, that whatsoever had been determined in parliament, and was not repealed, were it never so contrary to his will and canons, should remain still inviolable, and stand in force. Otherwise his holiness had gone home again. Such, M. Harding, is the authority of a parliament. Verily, if parliaments of realms be no parliaments, then will your pope

68 [In sir F. Palgrave's "Par-liamentary writs" (Chronol. ab-stract, p. 26.), in the Parlia-mentary History, vol. i., as well tween the king and the clergy at St. Edmund's Bury in 1296; but this statute does not occur in the Statutes at large, or in the Colas in other histories, there is a lection of Statutes printed by order

short account of a dispute be- of parliament in 1810.]

be no pope. Therefore, as you now call the truth of God that we profess, a parliament religion, and a parliament gospel, even so with like sobriety and gravity of speech ye might have said, Our fathers in old times had a parliament Christ: and your late fathers and brethren had of late, in the time of queen Mary, a parliament faith, a parliament mass, and a parliament pope.

Neither is it so strange a matter to see ecclesiastical causes debated in parliament. Read the laws of king Inas, king Alfred, king Edward, king Ethelstan, king Edmund, king Edgar, king Canute 69; and ye shall find that our godly forefathers, the princes and peers of this realm, never vouchsafed to intreat of matters of peace or war, or otherwise touching the common state, before all controversies of religion, and causes ecclesiastical, had been concluded. King Canute, in his parliament holden at Winchester upon Christmas day, after sundry laws and orders made, touching the faith, the keeping of holy days, public prayers, learning of the Lord's prayer, receiving of the communion thrice in the year, the manner and form of baptism, fasting, and other like matters of religion, in the Leges Canue end thereof saith thus: Jam sequitur institutio legum sæcularium: " Now followeth an order for temporal laws."

p. 133.]

Thus we see, that the godly catholic princes in old times thought it their duty, before all other affairs of the common weal, first to determine matters of religion, and that even by the parliaments of this realm.

In a parliament holden by king William the Conqueror, it is wrtten thus: Rex, quia vicarius summi regis est, ad hoc constituitur, ut regnum et populum Domini, et supra omnia sanctam ecclesiam regat et defendat, &c.: "The king, forasmuch as he is the vicar of the highest King, is therefore appointed to this purpose, that he should rule and defend the kingdom and people of the Lord, and above all things the holy church," &c. Hereby it appeareth,

^{69 [}See Leges Anglo-Saxon. Eccl. et Civil., auctore David Wilkins, Lond. 1721.]

that kings and princes are specially and of purpose appointed by God, not only to defend, but also to govern and rule the holy church.

Howbeit, if any imperfection shall appear in the former parliaments, we give God thanks for the same that is: and trust, that for his own name's sake he will confirm that he hath begun. The hearts of princes and determinations of parliaments are in his hand. If any thing want, the arm of the Lord is not shortened: he is able to supply the same.

Ye magnify much your late chapter of Trident, which Concil. Triyou would so fain have to be called a general council, with rolo Quinto.

so many nations, so many bishops, and so many years of
consultation. Yet, notwithstanding, of all these so many,
and so many nations, and countries, if it may please you
to sit down, and to take the account, ye shall find there
were only poor forty bishops 70, and certain of the same
(as Richard Pates, the bishop of Worcester 71, and blind
sir Robert 72, the archbishop of Armagh) that only had the Robert Cous. Vide
bare titles of bishoprics, and indeed were no bishops at all. Conc. TriTwo others of your said so many and so notable learned Paul. III.
and holy bishops, being at your said worthy council, were
even there killed in advoutery: the one stricken down
with a club; the other taken in the manner by the hus-

70 [At the first opening of the council there were only twenty-two bishops present. In the 5th sess, there were forty-nine.]

sess. there were forty-nine.]

71 [Richard Pates, after filling high offices in the king's service abroad, was made bishop of Worcester by the pope at Rome, and was thereupon attainted, 1542: his attainder being reversed in the first year of queen Mary, he occupied his see; he was deprived under Elizabeth, and went abroad. He sat in the council of Trent (univited, as Humphrey in his life of Jewel says), both before and after Mary's reign. Jewel is probably speaking of the part which he took in the earlier sessions, when he was only a titular bishop. See Wood's Athenæ Oxon. ii. 794.]

72 [The name of this person (a native of Scotland) was Robert Waucop, according to his subscription in the council of Trent (where he sat from 1545 to 1547); but he is known also under the name of Venantius. He had been nominated by pope Paul III. for the archbishopric of Armagh; but the appointment never took effect, Dowdall having been consecrated archbishop in Dec. 1543. Waucop introduced the order of Jesuits into Ireland. See bishop Mant's History of the Irish Church, vol. i. p. 181. See also Burnet's Reform. vol. iii. pt. 2, 533. ed. 1829, where it is stated that Waucop was not blind, but only shortsighted.]

band, and hanged by the neck, out of a great Lucane 73 window into the street. For these and other causes, Henry the French king, openly by his ambassador, protested against the same council in the presence of all your Matth. Flac. so many, and so many bishops there, and said: " It was not a council general, but a private convent or assembly of a few cil. Trident. certain people summoned together for gain's sake."

Protest. contr. Conp. 79. Joh. Sleidan. lib. 23. [l. lib. Conventus quorundam privatus uti-litatis gratia institutus.

Illyric, in

Ang. de Civitate, lib. 19 cap. 19. [viii, 563.]

August. in Psulm. 126. [iv. 1429.] 10. [ed. Lat. iv. 1354.] Hier. ad Evagrium. [iv. pt. 2. p. 802.] Ans. ad Philippen. cap. 1. [ii. 295. b.] Beda I. Pet. 2. [sub fin.] Petr. de Palude de potest. Colla, Apostol. Art. 1. [concl. 7.] Thom. 2. 2. qu. 184. Art.

Now whereas it hath pleased you, as well here, as else-22.] An. 1551. where, to sport yourself with superintendents and superintendentships, and to refresh your wits with so vain a fancy of your own, if ye had been so deeply travelled in the doctors, new or old, as ye bear us in hand, ye might easily have known, that a superintendent is an ancient. name, and signifieth none other but a bishop. St. Augustine saith: Vocabulum episcopatus inde ductum est, quod ille, qui præficitur, eis, quibus præficitur, superintendit..... Ergo, ἐπισκοπεῖν, Latine dicere possumus superintendere. Again he saith: Quod Grace dicitur episcopus, hoc Latine, superintentor, interpretatur. Chrysostom saith: Episcopus Chrysost, I. [al. episcopatus] ex eo dicitur, quod omnes inspiciut. St. Hierom saith: ἐπισκοποῦντες, id est, superintendentes. Anselmus saith: Episcopus (Latine) superintendens dicitur. Beda likewise saith: Episcopus Latine superintendens dicitur. Petrus de Palude saith: Episcopus dicitur superintendens: et Petrus fuit superintendens toti mundo: "Peter was the superintendent of the whole world." Your own Thomas of Aquine saith: Episcopi dicuntur ex eo, quod superintendunt. Therefore M. Harding, if modesty move you not, yet at least, for your gravity's sake, leave playing with these vain and childish follies. The bishops of England have this day, not only the same name, but also the same room, and authority, and jurisdiction, that other bishops have ever had before.

> 73["Lucane window;" this is probably a corruption from the French word Lucarne, "a window in the roof." Illyricus simply speaks of fenestra, but the circumstances of this disgraceful story imply, that the window was accessible from the roof. The story seems to re-

quire confirmation; although the many respectable names subscribed to the Protestatio adv. conventum Trident, shew that it was generally believed at the time. The Editor is not aware, whether the papists ever contradicted it.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. 71.]

But touching this council, which is now summoned 74 by pope Pius, wherein men so lightly are condemned, being neither called, nor heard, nor seen, it is easy to guess what we may look for, or hope of it.

M. HARDING.

The general council of Trent is now at length by God's special favour concluded and ended 75. What have ye to say to it? Forbear your accustomed lying, what have ye to say to it? For a matters of faith, what is not sound and true? For amanners, what sore lacketh due salve? For a discipline, what disorder hath a Untruths, not wholesome restraints and punishments? What defects be not without providently considered, how to be supplied? What abuses be shame. For example, not required to be taken away, as far as man's wit could devise, your stews, and the weakness of the present age can bear?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

All this matter is fully answered by what, and by what, were never touched. What matter of faith? What manners? What disorder? What defects? What abuses? But all these whats notwithstanding, what if your pope, your cardinals, and your clergy, with the whole disorder of your Roman church. with so many priests keeping concubines, with so many nonresidents, with so many dumb bishops, and with so many thousands of common harlots, be in case now, even as they were before? Will ye tell us nevertheless, that all your sores be sufficiently salved? or must we believe that your keeping of concubines, your open stews, and fornications, &c. be no sores? Verily, St. Bernard saith, as it is alleged before: Intestina et insanabilis est plaga ecclesia: "The wound of Bern in Cantica, the church bleedeth inwardly, and is past cure." And Serm. 33. again: A planta pedis, usque ad verticem capitis non est in Holcot. in ilb. Sapien. ea sanitas: "There is no whole part in the church from Lectio. 23. [1, 24. p. 87.] the sole of the foot to the top of the head." Baptista Bern. In Mantuanus saith:

.....ÆGROTATQUE FIDES JAM PROXIMA MORTI: "The faith (of the Roman church) is sick, and almost dead."

tegians, and fornications, and

Convers. Pauli. Serm. 1.

[i. 962.] Baptist. Mant. Fastor, lib. 4. ad Leonem 10. [lin. 174.]

⁷⁴ [Apol. Lat. "simulatur."] Septemb. 25.) was closed Dec. 4, 75 The Bull of renewal is dated, 1563. Harduin, tom. x. pp. 110, 1560. The last session (opened

222

Conc. Trident.
[Harduin.
x. 23.]

In your old Latin translation of the Bible, there be sundry errors, so open and so gross, that a very babe may soon espy them: as it may more plainly appear by Budæus, Erasmus, Valla, Faber, Lindanus, and others. Yet that notwithstanding, your council saith precisely thus: Ne quis veterem vulgatam editionem rejicere quovis prætextu audeat, vel præsumat: "Let no man dare or presume by any manner of colour to refuse the old common translation of the Bible:" as if your councils were purposely summoned to maintain errors. If ye will so wilfully deceive us in sensible matters, how may we then trust you in matters of faith?

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 2.

Nazianzen. ad Procopium. [ii. 110.]

In times past, when Nazianzene saw in his days [Vol. iv. p. how men in such assemblies were so blind and wilful, that they were carried with affections, and laboured more to get the victory, than the truth, he pronounced openly, that he never had seen any good end of any council. What would be say now, if he were alive at this day, and understood the heaving and shoving⁷⁶ of these men? For at that time, though the matter were laboured on all sides, vet the controversies were well heard, and open errors were put clean away by the general voice of all parts. But these men will neither have the case to be freely disputed, nor yet, how many errors soever there be, suffer they any to be changed. For it is a common custom of theirs, often and shamelessly to boast, that their church cannot err, that in it there is no fault, and that they must give place to us in nothing. Or if there be any fault, yet must it be tried by their 77 bishops and abbots only, because they

Apol. Lat. "conatus."]
 Latin to correspond to the word "their."]

be the directors and rulers of matters: for that they be the church of God. Aristotle saith, that a "city cannot consist of bastards:" now whether the church of God may consist of these men, let themselves consider. For, doubtless, neither be their abbots, abbots indeed, nor their bishops, such natural right bishops as they ought to be⁷⁸.

M. HARDING.

Gregory Nazianzene, in his Epistle to Procopius, saith thus: "I refuse to come to whatsoever council of bishops, because I could never yet to this day see the end of any council endued with any profit, and after which, things amiss were not rather made more grievous than healed." Nazianzene in that Epistle spake of a provincial councils, specially those that were holden in a Untruth. his troublesome times, where most commonly heretics, through the of all favour of their deceived princes, bare the sway. Which, in matters manner of councils, as of faith, could hardly then obtain any credit among the catholics, well general unless they had been confirmed by the authority of the bishop of as provincial. His words Rome, of which sort at that age were few. Those other, Nazian-be: Prorsus zene had experience of, of these he had not. Albeit indeed, the fugere utility of the Nicene council in his time, that is to say, within so convenfew years after the same was holden, was not yet thoroughly TUM EPIespied and fully known abroad. Neither would he, if he were SCOPORUM. alive at this day, reprove the holy general council of Trent, as ye do. For why should he?....bAnd how shameless be ye, to b This is a require us to yield and give place unto you? Who made you divinity. judges over us? Who gave you commission? Where is your

What need ye to shew your malice so much at bishops and abbots? Which of them hurteth you? Have ye not in prison or in custody cat your appointment, all the bishops of England, one c Untruth. apostata, yet living, excepted, which after sundry flights and For they changing of coats, is fled from the tents of the church to your mitted only scattered troops? The abbots, have ye not driven them away? by the appointment of Be ye yet afraid of their shadows? As by Aristotle a city cannot the prince. consist of bastards, no more can the church of England consist of such bastard bishops as ye be: what number of abbots ye have left in cloisters, such number of true bishops have ye left in churches. One must I still except, who is a true bishop by consecration (as I understand), though a false man by apostasy, and going from his faith, and from his religion.

⁷⁸ [Apol. Lat. "Certe nec legitimi sunt abbates, nec genuini episcopi."]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Greg. Nazian. ad Procopium. (ii. 110.] ὅτι μηδεμιᾶς συνόδου τέλος εἶδον χρηστὸν, μηδὲ λύσιν κακῶν μᾶλλου ἐσχηπροσθήκην. Touching the unlucky success of councils, the ancient father Nazianzene saith thus: Equidem, ut vere, quod res est, scribam, prorsus decrevi fugere omnem conventum episcoporum. Nullius enim concilii bonum exitum unquam vidi. Concilia enim non minuunt mala, sed augent potius: "To say the truth, I am utterly determined never to come to any council of bishops. For I never yet saw good end of any council. For councils abate not ill things, but rather increase them." These words, thus uttered, whether they be universally true or otherwise, I will not reason. It may seem hereby, this learned father, for his time, by experience found them true. And for ought that may appear to the contrary, notwithstanding any thing contained in your Gloss, he seemeth to utter the same, as well of general councils as of provincial.

Sozomenus.

Certainly after the great general council of Nice, the Arian heretics waxed mo and more mighty than ever they had been before. The emperors Constantius and Valens, with their wives and courts, became Arians. Constantinus the Great himself was also doubted to be an Arian. Liberius, the bishop of Rome, and Hosius, a that famous learned bishop of Spain, gave their hands unto the Arians. Ten several sundry provincial councils gave their voices with the St. Hierom saith: Ingemuit totus orbis, et Arianum se esse miratus est: "The whole world began to groan, and marvelled that it had taken part with the Arians." Therefore Nazianzene saith, he never saw council. nor provincial, nor general, that ended well. one saith: "The greater side oftentimes overweigheth the better." Even so said the French king's ambassador, in the behalf of his prince, in your late chapter at Trident: Nostra, patrumque nostrorum et avorum memoria, synodos indictas fuisse, episcopos convenisse, maximos in Germania atque Italia conventus peractos esse scimus. Vix tamen ullus, aut perexiquus inde fructus Christianitati constitit: "We know, that both in our grandfathers' and fathers' days, and in our own time, councils have been summoned.

a [Sozom. lib. 4. tom. ii. 136.]

Hier. adversus Luciferianos. [iv. pt. 2. 300.]

Titus Livius : Major pars vincit meliorem,

Oratio Synod. Legati Regis Franciæ, Anno 1502. [p. 4.] bishops have met together, great and solemn assemblies have been kept, as well in Germany as in Italy, yet scarcely any good at all, or very little good came thereof to the state of Christendom⁷⁹."

Concerning abbots and monasteries, we have said before. We have as many abbots in England this day, as either Christ ever had, or his apostles. Notwithstanding one of your learned fellows of Louvain, for the good will he bear-Copus f. 284. eth to that religion, telleth us, that the apostles were monks, and Christ himself was the abbot. The abbots and monks that were in old times, were men given to study and learn-Aug. ep. 81. ing. And out of monasteries learned men were then taken, as out of schools and universities, to the rule and govern-Aug. ep. 76. ment of the church so. But your abbots now are as much like those abbots, as your church is like the primitive church of Christ.

Erasmus saith: Haud scio, an nunc magis expediret Erasm. in scholiis in ecclesiæ Christianæ, si pauciora essent monasteria 81,..... epistolam ad essetque omnium idem cultus, eædemque preces, eademque Monachum. [Hieron.opp. vitæ ratio: "I know not whether it were better for the ed. Erasm. church of Christ, that there were fewer monasteries, and that all men had one service of God, one kind of prayer, and one order of life."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 3.

But grant, they be the *church*: let them be heard speak in *councils*: let them alone have authority

[Vol. iv. p. 72.]

^{79 [&}quot; In Oratione habita a Gui-"done Fabro oratore Caroli Gallia-"rum regis" Brixie 1562: in a collection of the speeches at Trent in the Bodl. Theol. 4to. A. 28.]

^{80 [}S. Augustin. ep. 76. The epistle speaks of deserters from the monasteries being admitted into holy orders, to the manifest injury and deterioration of the clergy, whereas the custom was to use great caution in the choice of the persons who were ordained out of the monasteries, "cum ex his qui "in monasteriis permanent, non ta-

[&]quot;men nisi probatiores et meliores
"in clerum assumere soleamus."
And again, "cum aliquando etiam
"bonus monachus vix bonum cle"ricum faciat, si adsit ei sufficiens
"continentia, et tamen desit in"structio necessaria, aut personæ
"regularis integritas." So that
the evils of monastic life had even
then begun to work.

⁸¹ [Erasmus Schol. in Hieron. After "monasteria" occur the following words, "quorum magnam "partem ad mores parum religio-"sos prolapsam videmus."]

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

[Cypr. ad Presb. et

a St. Cy-

epist. 10.

deceiveth

you. It is not there.

to give consent: yet in old time, when the church of God (if ye will compare it with their church) was very well governed, both elders and deacons, as saith Cyprian, and certain also of the common people, were Diac. p. 11.] called thereunto, and made acquainted with ecclesiastical matters⁸¹.

M. HARDING.

Ah, sirs, would ye have the common people come to the general council? Whom mean you, I pray you? Tinkers and tapsters, fiddlers and pipers, such as your ministers be? Alas, poor souls, what should they do there? For there is no tinking nor tipling, no fiddling nor piping. There may they shut up both budgets and mouths. For neither can they speak in such an audience to be understanded, nor can they understand what is spoken. Look in your books better, and you shall find Cyprian to make little for your purpose.

Had your matter been good, it might have been defended

without lies. Being as it is, altogether beside truth and reason, for some colour of maintenance of the same, ve pass all measure Where saith St. Cyprian that certain of the common people were called to ecclesiastical councils? Yea, specially, where saith he athat the common people were made judges of eccleprian's words be plain: Ni-hil sine consiastical matters? for so hath your Latin, which not without guile your lady interpreter commonly turneth, "were made acsensu plebis, &c. lib. 3. quainted with ecclesiastical matters?" If you had meant true dealing, defender, you would have quoted the place: but you knew thereby your falsehood should have been espied. b The b Your guess place which you mean, doubtless, is in the second tome of St. Cvprian's works, where we find the sentences of the bishops of Afric, De hæreticis baptizandis: which proveth your strange saving, by you fathered upon that holy martyr, nothing at all. The words be these: Cum in unum Carthagine convenissent, &c.: [Cypr. p. "When many bishops in the first day of September were assem-329.] bled together at Carthage, out of the province of Afric, Numidia, Mauritania, with their priests and deacons, the most part of the people also being present," &c.

Now what can you gather out of them for your purpose more, but that a great number of the people were present only in the church or other place, where the bishops were assembled? That certain of the common people were called to this council, there is no such word mentioned, not by Cyprian, nor by any else. c Neither were the priests themselves (which this youthful gentlewoman interpreteth elders) and deacons cealled thither, but they Read the an-attended upon the bishops, as in such ease it hath been accustomed: for sentence none might give in a council, but conly

c Untruths. boldly avouched. swer.

81 [Apol. Lat. "ad ecclesiasticarum causarum cognitionem."]

bishops. Then how much less were the common people called to that council, specially to sit as judges in ecclesiastical matters?.....
Marry, that you would so have it, I think well. For such confusion might best serve you to procure the overthrow of good order, in which the church is governed, which if it be maintained duly, your disordered and rebellious state will soon be confounded......

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Your tinkers and tapsters, M. Harding, are ashamed in your behalf to see your folly. A poor silly jester, to win his dinner, would be loth to scoff so coldly. Certain of our clergy want the knowledge of rhetoric, logic, philosophy, the Hebrew, the Greek, and the Latin tongues. Therefore ve call them tinkers and tapsters. Such is the sobriety and modesty of your talk. Of what school or tongue then are your priests, M. Harding, that for the more part can neither speak Latin, nor read English, nor understand the articles of their faith, nor any portion of the scriptures: clouds without rain: lanterns without light: salt without savour: blind guides: dumb dogs: that, as one saith, seem to have their souls given them instead of salt, to keep them from stinking? Of what art or occupation was he, that baptized a child in Latin, in this sort: In nomine Patria, et De Cons. Filia, et Spirita Sancta? What a skilful clerk was your ulerunt. pope Julius II., that assigning a warrant, instead of flat, wrote fatur? Your own doctor Alphonsus de Castro saith: Julius pap. 2. Constat plures papas adeo illiteratos esse, ut grammaticam Alphons. lib. penitus ignorent: "It is most certain, that many popes be so unlearned, that they be utterly ignorant of their grammar 82." And being utterly ignorant of their grammar rules, I beseech you, for what other profound science of logic or philosophy can ye praise them? Another of your doctors saith: Papa propter defectum literaturæ non potest Felinus de deponi: "The pope may not be deposed for lack of learn-siquando.

[fol. 80, col. [fol. 80, col.]] ing." That is to say, the pope, though he be never so 4.1

lated in the editions subsequent to these, will be found entire, supra, vol. iv. 472, where, see the note ³⁴, and note ³⁵, p. 473. See also vol. ii. p. 211, note ⁴².]

^{82 [}Alphonsus. These words will be found in the edition of 1534 (in All Souls' library), and in the ed. of 1539. Brit. Mus.; the passage, which has been muti-

ignorant, or void of knowledge, yet is as good a pope as the best.

Another of your doctors saith: Venalitate curiæ Ro-Aurenm Speculum. In Antilogia. [et manæ....., inaniter præficiuntur lenones, coqui, stabularii ap. Walch. monim. med. equorum, et pueri: "Through the bribery of the court of æv. tom. i. p. 100. pt. 1. Rome, bawds, cooks, hostlers, and children, be placed in €. 5.] offices to govern the church." Shall we therefore say, as you say, that all your priests be fiddlers and pipers, tinkers and tapsters?

Some certain of our clergy be ignorant in the tongues, 2 Cor. xi. 6. as yours be: but not ignorant in knowledge, as yours be. The worst of them seeth and lamenteth your wilful igno-St. Augustine saith: Multo minus malum est, in-Aug. contra Academ, lib. doctum esse, quam indocilem: "Less hurt is it to be 3. c.7. [i. 281.]

Iren, lib, 2. c. 45. [p. 154.]

unlearned, than to be wilful, and unapt to learn." Irenæus saith: Melius est et utilius, idiotas et parum scientes existere, et per charitatem proximos [al. proximum] Deo fieri 83, quam putare se multum scire, et multa expertos in suum Deum blasphemos inveniri: "Better is it a great deal, for men to be ignorant and to know but little, and by love to draw near to God, than to think themselves to know much, and have great experience, and yet to be found blasphemers against God."

St. Ambrose saith: Non in dialectica complacuit Deo, Ambros, de Fide lib. 1. c.3. [ii. 451.] salvum facere populum suum. Regnum enim Dei in simplicitate fidei est, non in contentione sermonis: "It pleased not God by logic to save his people. For the kingdom of God standeth not in contention of talk, but in simplicity of faith." Likewise he saith: Verba philosophorum excludit Ambros, de Incarnatione Domini, c. 9. simplex veritas piscatorum: "The simple plain faith of [ii. 723.] fishers confoundeth the words of the learned philosophers."

hom. 4. [iil.

93.]

origin Cant. The ancient father Origen saith :.... Supientes huius sæculi, videntes absque arte grammatica, et peritia philosophica consurgere muros evangelii, velut cum irrisione quadam dicunt, perfacile hoc posse destrui calliditate sermonum, per astutas fallacias, et argumenta dialectica: "The wise men of this world, seeing the walls of the gospel to rise up

^{83 [}Irenæus . . . πλησίον γενέσθαι τοῦ Θεοῦ . . .]

without grammar, and profound knowledge in philosophy, say scornfully amongst themselves, that all this by subtilty of speech, and crafty shifts, and logical arguments, may full easily be shaken down."

It appeareth by the councils of Carthage and Hippo Conc. Car. Regius, that in old times children under fourteen years of 10. [this.83.] age 84 were admitted to be readers in the church, and not-Hippon. [iii. 885.] age 84 were admitted to be readers in the church, and not-Hippon. [iii. 895. c. 20.] withstanding either their age or want of learning, the people was well contented with silence and reverence to give ear unto them. St. Chrysostom saith: In humanis Chrysost. in Genes, hom. negotiis, quando rex diademate coronatus, &c.: "Even in 44. [iv. 447.] worldly affairs, when the king sendeth forth his proclamations, the courier [ed. 1574 currer], or pursuivant, is oftentimes a man of small account, and sometime so base, that he never knew his own father or grandfather. But they that receive the proclamation have no regard unto him that brought it: notwithstanding, in respect of the king's letters that he brought, they yield him honour, and with silence and reverence give attendance to the proclamation."

These simple unlearned ones, whom you so disdainfully despise, shall rise up in the day of our Lord, and condemn you with all your knowledge. God is able to make the poor ass to speak, to control blind Balaam's wilful pur-Num. xxii. poses. St. Hierom saith: Paulus,.....qui solæcismos facit Hieron in in loquendo, Christi crucem portat, et quasi triumphans, 335.] omnes capit: totum orbem subegit, ab oceano usque ad mare rubrum: "Paul, that is not able to utter his mind in congrue speech, beareth the cross of Christ, and taketh all men prisoners, as if it were in triumph: from the ocean unto the Red sea, he subdued the whole world 85." Likewise St. Ambrose saith: Non quæro, quid loquantur philo- Ambros. ad sophi: requiro quid faciant. Soli in suis gymnasiis reman- de Fide, lib. 1. serunt. Vide, quam fides argumentis præponderet. Illi 13. tom. ii. quotidie a suis consortibus deseruntur, qui copiose disputant: isti quotidie crescunt, qui simpliciter credunt. Non creditur philosophis: creditur piscatoribus.....: "I demand

^{84 [}Concil. Carth. 3. "Lectores "perfectæ nimirum, ad ann. 21."]
"cum ad annos pubertatis vene"rint &c." Harduin adds this note, is not genuine.]

not, what these great learned philosophers say, but what they do. They are forsaken and left alone in their schools. Behold how much more weight there is in faith, than in arguments. They, with their profound reasons, are daily forsaken of their fellows: these, with their simple faith, go forward, and increase daily. Men believe not the learned philosophers: they believe unlearned fishers."

"Priests," ye say, "this youthful gentlewoman interpreteth elders." O, M. Harding, little needeth that godly, learned, and virtuous lady to fear your so unmanly and childish toys. If ye had been either so sagely studied as ve pretend, and your friends have thought, ve might soon have learned that presbyter, a priest, is nothing else but senior, that is, an elder, and that a priest and an elder are I Tim. v. I. both one thing. And therefore whereas St. Paul saith: Adversus presbyterum accusationem ne admiseris: St. Cyprian, translating the same, saith thus: Adversus majorem [Testim.] lib. natu accusationem ne receperis. Your own doctor Thomas Aquina saith: Presbyteri in Græco dicuntur, quasi seniores. cund. secun- Your own Gratian saith: Presbuter Grace, Latine senior de, quest.
184. artic. 6. interpretatur. St. Hierom saith: Idem est presbyter qui Dist. 21. Cle-episcopus. These two words, πρεσβύτερος, πρεσβύτατος, are expounded in Latin, Natu major, natu maximus. Plutarchus 86 saith: Πρεσβύτερα δένδρα, " Old trees." Nazianzene saith: Πρεσβυτικώς βακτηρεύοντες: "Going by a staff as old men use to do." Therefore, M. Harding, it had been more for your gravity to have spared these your youthful follies.

3. cap. 76. p. 325.] Thom, Sedæ, quæst. Hieron, ad Titum cap. 1. [iv. 413.] Plutarch, in Publicola. [Nazianz, de Baptism.]

κατὰ πρε-

σβυτέρου.

Cyprian, ad Quirinam

> Ye say, "The priests and deacons waited only upon the bishops, but sentence in council they might give none." This tale were true, M. Harding, if every your word were a gospel. But St. Luke would have told you far otherwise. For speaking of the first Christian council, holden in the apostles' time, he saith thus: Convenerunt apostoli et seniores, ut dispicerent de hoc negotio: "The apostles and elders met together, to take order touching this

Act. xv. 6.

86 [There is some mistake here: Plutarch's life of Publicola. It ocat least the Editor has been uncurs however in Theophrast. Hisable to find such an expression in toria Plant. lib. 1. cap. 15.]

matter." And again in the conclusion: Placuit apostolis et senioribus, cum tota ecclesia: "It seemed good to the apostles and elders, together with the whole church." Here you see the apostles and elders give their voices together. Nicephorus saith: Athanasius inter diaconos Niceph. lib. Alexandriæ primarius,.....non minima pars Nicenæ sy-[i.502.] nodi: "Athanasius being" (not a bishop, but) " one of the chief deacons of Alexandria, was not the least part of the council of Nice 87." Tertullian saith: Præsident probati Tertull. in quique seniores, honorem istum non pretio, sed testimonio p. 31-1 adepti: "The judges in such ecclesiastical assemblies be the best allowed elders, having obtained that honour not for money, but by the witness of their brethren." And in the second council of Nice, Petrus Protopresbyter and Pe-Conc. Nicen. trus Presbyter, not being bishops, but only priests sent 6. [xiii. 133.] thither by Adrianus the bishop of Rome, gave their assents, and subscribed their names before all the bishops 88.

Touching St. Cyprian, ye say, as your gravity and modesty best leadeth you, "We lie without colour, and pass measure in lying." And here, as men do that go by guess, and answer long before they know, ye find out a place in St. Cyprian that we thought not of: and upon affiance thereof, ye blow up your trump, and call us liars. Howbeit, wise men think him a hasty judge, that pronounceth before he know the cause. St. Cyprian saith: A primordio episcopatus mei statui, nihil sine consilio Cyprian. lib. vestro, et sine consensu plebis, mea privatim sententia, qe- [ad presb. et rere: "From my first entering into the bishopric, I have determined to do nothing by mine own authority, without your advice," (being the priests and deacons,) " and without the consent of the people." For doing the contrary hereof, the ancient father Origen rebuketh bishops of pride and stateliness. Thus he saith: Quis hodie eorum, qui OriginExod. 171.7

^{87 [}Nicephorus: Πρὸς οὖς γενναίως ἀντεφέρετο ᾿Αθανασιός· τοῦ χοροῦ μὲν τῶν διακόνων ἐν ᾿Αλεξανδρεία ἡγούμενος μέρος οὐκ ἐλάχιστον τῆς ἐν τῆ συνόδω βουλῆς ἦν.]

⁸⁸ [This of course they did as delegates from the pope, whose precedency was *then* established. Still it shews, that Harding is mistaken in his assertion that priests do not give sentence in council.]

populis præsunt,..... consilium dignatur inferioris saltem sacerdotis accipere? Ne dixerim laici vel gentilis: "What one nowadays of all the bishops, that have the oversight of the people, vouchsafeth to take the counsel of any inferior priest? I will not say, of a layman, or of an heathen." Such, M. Harding, are your cardinals and bishops of Rome. They disdain the company and counsel of their inferiors. St. Ambrose, touching a case of faith against the Arians, saith thus: Veniant si qui sunt, ad ecclesiam. Audiant s. epist. 32 [Valentin. ii. cum populo: non ut quisquam judex resideat: sed ut unusquisque de suo affectu habeat examen: "If there be any of them, let them come to the church: let them give ear and hearken with the people: not that any man there shall sit as judge: but that every man may have the examination of his own mind." St. Ambrose alloweth no one man to sit as pope, and to overrule all the rest, whatsoever he sav.

Ambro, lib.

To conclude: your own pope Nicolas, writing unto Epist. Nicol. Michael, the Greek emperor, saith thus: Ubinam legistis, pap. ad Imp.

Michaelem. imperatores, antecessores vestros, synodalibus conventionibus [Crabb. ii. p.

755-col. v.] interfuisse? Nisi forte in quibusdam, ubi [al. in quibus] de fide tractatum est : quæ universalis est, quæ omnium communis est: quæ non solum ad clericos, verumetiam ad laicos, et ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: "Where did your majesty ever read, that your predecessors, being emperors, were ever present at the assemblies of councils? Unless it were, when question was moved concerning the For faith is universal and common to all men, and belongeth not only to the priests, but also to the laymen, and generally to all Christians." But hereof we shall have occasion to speak more hereafter.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 3. Divis. 4.

But, I put ease, these abbots and bishops have no [vol. iv. p. knowledge: what if they understand nothing, what 72.] religion is, nor how we ought to think of God? I put case, the pronouncing and ministering of the law be decayed in priests, and good counsel fail in

the elders, and, as the prophet Micah saith, "The Mich. III. 6. night be unto them instead of a vision, and darkness instead of prophesying: or, as Esaias saith, "What if Isa. Ivi. 10. all the watchmen of the city be become blind?" "What Matt. v. 13. [Luke xiv. if the salt have lost his proper strength and savouri-34.] ness;" and, as Christ saith, "be good for no use, scant worth the casting on the dunghill 89?"

M. HARDING.

.....The world knoweth so well (yea, heaven also) the great worthiness of those fathers in every respect, that I should do them wrong here to praise them, for that by your fond surmises you seek their dispraise.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Heaven and earth knoweth, M. Harding, that two of your reverend worthy fathers, notwithstanding their virtues, Matt. Flac. and all their great worthiness, were taken and slain in protestatione advoutery, even there present at your council 90. There-cit. Trident. fore ye do yourself some wrong, in respect of your credit, p. 79. so wastefully to bestow your praises.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 4. Divis. 1.

Well, yet then, they will bring all matters before the *pope*, who cannot err. To this I say, first, it is a madness to think that the *Holy Ghost* taketh his flight from a *general council*, to run to Rome, to the end if he doubt, or stick in any matter, and cannot expound it of himself, he may take counsel of some other spirit, I wot not what, that is better learned than himself⁹¹. For if this be true, what needed

[Vol. iv. p. 72.]

⁸⁹ [The passages in St. Matthew and St. Luke are taken together.]

^{90 [}Supra vol. vi. p. 220, note.]
91 [It is a subject for deep regret, that from a just indignation at the

abject dependence of a pretended free council upon Rome, so good a man as bp. Jewel should have been led to use expressions so offensive and unjustifiable. He meant doubtless, that the pretended in-

so many bishops, with so great charges, and so far journeys, to have assembled their convocation at this present at Trident? It had been more wisdom and better, at least it had been a much nearer and handsomer way, to have brought all things rather before the pope, and to have come straight forth, and have asked counsel at his divine breast. Secondly, it is also an unlawful dealing, to toss our matter from so many bishops and abbots, and to bring it at last to the trial of one only man, specially of him, who himself is appeached by us of heinous and foul enormities, and hath not yet put in his answer: who hath also aforehand condemned us without judgment by order pronounced, and ere ever we were called to be judged.

M. HARDING.

We may less wonder at your jesting in other things, sith now we see you scoff and jest in things touching God himself. a We honour set you so light by the Holy Ghost, a as thus unreverently to and adore the talk of his flight and running to Rome, of his doubting and Holy Ghost talk of his unableness to declare doubtful cases, of asking counsel of another spirit? Who ever uttered such vile talk of abuse the name of God. the Holy Ghost, but some vile caitiff, quite void of his grace? Cannot you conceive, that reasonably the determinations of councils be referred to the pope, Christ's vicar in earth, unless ye utter such unreverent and profane scoffs? It had become a wicked Celsus, a Porphyrius, a Julian, thus to talk, rather than any Christian hickscorner. You should at least have looked on your square cap, and your white rochet, if you have any: if

fallibility of such a council at Trent clashed with the pretended infallibility of the pope at Rome; and that therefore their pretensions to the presence and assistance of the Holy Ghost were neutralized by their referring all doubts to the decision of the pope. Still this is no excuse for such a dangerous mode of expression. Unscrupulous and shocking pleasantry of this kind seems to have

been the fashion of the day. Thus Sleidan, in the latter part of the 22d book of his History, tells us,

"Ridiculo proverbio dici solet,
"Spiritum Sanctum Roma sub-"inde Tridentum venire inclu-

"sum mantica, propterea nimi-"rum, quod crebro pontifex et "celeribus equis, quid fieri velit, " per literas atque mandata suis " ibi legatis nunciat."]

nothing else, they would have told you, that such profanc lightness became not your person.....

Concerning the point itself you touch, balthough the pope have that privilege which Christ b prayed to his Father for to be b Untruths. given unto Peter, as being Peter's successor, that his b faith huddled tofail not, and that he confirm his brethren, and therefore be an out shame. b assured judge in matters of faith, yet this notwithstanding, councils be not assembled together in vain. For the fathers of the council do c help the faith and doctrine of the highest pastor. c A sage kind Wherefore, in the first council at Jerusalem, when as a great for mockery. question rose, and Peter had said his judgment, not propped not the pope with any testimony of the holy scriptures; James approved it, brethren, but adding thereto the testimony of the prophets. For God's provi-rather is confirmed by his dence so tendereth the church, that the chief b members, though brethren. they depend of the b head, yet defend and help the head.....

Wherefore Beda admonisheth discreetly, that Paul conferred Lib. Retra. the gospel, which he had preached amongst the Gentiles, with in Act. Apothe other apostles, seeking warily to be resolved, whether he preached rightly of the ceasing of the observances of the law. Not that he doubted ought thereof himself, (saith he,) but that the minds of them that were in doubt might be confirmed by the

authority of that apostolic council.

To that you allege, secondly, as a great inconvenience, we tell you, that forasmuch as the pope is at every general council, lawfully assembled, either in person, as sundry popes have been, or by his legates; dneither is it an unlawful dealing, nor such d.Wisely. tossing as you term it, matters maturely debated in the council, The Holy to be referred to the pope, head of the council, not so much for a is present at new trial, as for final confirmation. The fathers of the Nicene for better adcouncil besought St. Sylvester, that what they had ordained, he vice, taketh would confirm and ratify. And Leo, what things the council of the pope. Chalcedon had decreed touching matters of faith, saith that he approveth them. And the council itself, speaking to Leo, saith thus: Decretis tuis nostrum honora judicium: "With thy decrees honour our judgment." Likewise the fathers of other councils required their constitutions to be strengthened by confirmation of the pope's authority......

And, sir, find you fault with the pope, because he hath not vet put in his answer? I pray you, e who accused him? Where, e The whole when, and whereof? In what lawful court? Before what lawful cuseth him, judge? O you say, he hath not yet put in his answer. Be it that Hick, Hob, and Hans, of your sects have impudently accused him. How would ve have him bring in his answer? To what seat of iudgment, to what consistory can ye cite him, that is by Christ appointed f to be the supreme judge of all his church, the shep-fA foul unherd of all his flock? It is not for him, you know, to bring in truth. For Christ never his answer in Westminster-hall, nor in Star-chamber. Will ye gave the have him appear before your high commissioners in the long pope any chapel at Paul's, or in M. Grindal's chamber thereby, where ye mission.

stol.

Acts xv.

Luke xxii.

have said and done your pleasure, and deprived many honest men of their benefices? Or will ve rather have him come to Geneva, to Zurich, to Frankfort, to Strasburg, to Wittenburg, or to some other corner, where ye have your congregations, there to be judged by Jack and Gill? I pity you, poor souls, that ye talk thus so far out of square, and would the pope to bring in his answer, ye know not where, having neither just court, or consistory to call him unto, nor lawful judge, nor law to pass upon him. For through your schisms and heresies, as ve have made vourselves churchless, Christless, and Godless; so also courtless, judgeless, and lawless. I cannot compare you better than to the rebels of Norfolk under captain Kete⁹¹, amongst whom mount Surry was their London, and an oak, or an elm, commonly called the tree of reformation, was their Westminster-hall. Such prince, such dominion, such judge, such consistory.

Ye complain, the pope hath condemned you without judgment by order pronounced, and before ye were ever called to be judged. This is as true as that the murderer, or thief, answereth the judge at the bar, saying, "Not guilty, my lord." Ye have been sundry times called to lawful consistories, to synods, to councils. Always either ye made not your appearance, or by right of safe conduct conveyed yourselves away, without any show of obedience: or, upon promise of amendment, you were dismissed. How many legates and nuncios have sundry popes sent into Germany, and other provinces, to convent you, to hear you, to move you to a better mind, and call you home, and with all merciful means to gather you again into the lap of the church? He may say, to your condemnation, that was said of the Jews: "What is that I ought g Open blas- to have done to g my vineyard, which I have not done? But all phemy: the church is the was in vain, such hath been your stubbornness."

pope's vine-vard.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We jest not at God's holy Spirit, M. Harding. We know it is the same Spirit of wisdom, that hath renewed the face of the world, and discovered the multitude of your follies. But well may we jest at your unhandsome and open legerdemain, that so vainly seek to blind us with a painted shadow of the Spirit of God. Ye pretend long prayers, much fasting, great conference of doctors and scriptures, and the undoubted presence and assistance of God's holy Spirit in all your doings, and yet openly strive against the manifest word and Spirit of God, and follow only your own spirit, which we may truly call the spirit of

⁹¹ [In Strype Eccl. Mem. II. i. Mount Surrey seems to be a cor-271, as well as by Burnet, Reform. ruption for Moushold hill, above vol. ii. 243, he is called Ket. Norwich.]

vanity. The spirit that you mean, is nothing else but the spirit of Rome, which you say is the spirit of truth, and cannot err. In one of your late councils, holden in Rome, as ye were singing and roaring out, Veni Creator Spiritus, Nicolaus de a poor old owl, amazed with the noise, leapt out of the [1. Clemanhole where she sate, and pitched down in the midst, and supermater, sate amongst you. Thus it pleased God to discover your neral fol. hypocrisy, and your folly, that the world might know in what spirit ve were assembled 92.

Elias, the prophet of God, jested thus at the priests of Baal: "Cry out aloud: it is your God. Either he is Kings xviii. occupied in some talk, or he is in his inn, or he is travelling 27. upon the way, or else perhaps he is asleep 93." Yet neither was Elias an hickscorner, nor jested he at God's holy Spirit, nor did he any thing that was unseemly for his person.

Addition.

Addition. If this jesting so much offend your tender ears, M. Harding, beware ye offend not your dearest friends, that have more liberally used the like jesting. For Nicolaus Cusanus, being bimself a cardinal of the church of Rome, thus jesteth at pope Eugenius, his lord and master:..... Quomodo potest papa Eugenius dicere, Nic. Cusan, de Concord. hoc verum esse, si ipse velit, et non aliter? Acsi inspiratio lib. 2. cap. ipsius Sancti Spiritus foret in potestate Romani pontificis, 20, p. 749. ut tunc inspiret, quando ipse velit: "How can pope Eugenius say, this is true, if it please him to have it so, and none otherwise? As though the inspiration of the Holy Ghost were wholly at the pope's commandment, to breathe only when he will have him." Terasius, the patriarch of

92 [The pope, of whom this story is related as having occurred four years before Clemangis wrote, was Balthasar Cossa, John XXIV. [al. XXIII.,] who is described by Clemangis as "per-"fidissimus ille, nuper e Petri "sede (quam turpissime fœdabat) "ejectus." It should be added, however, that he was one of the three popes, who were chosen by different parties on the death of Alexander V., and that he was deposed at Constance in the 12th Session, 1415, after having broken

faith with the council. It seems hardly fair to identify Harding with a man, whose claims to the popedom, he would probably have been willing to acknowledge to be at least doubtful.

93 [This is not a parallel case. The irony of the prophet is manifestly directed against a false God. Jewel's irony is directed against those who falsely laid claim to the divine presence, but it leads him to forget the reverence which is due to the Third Person of the blessed Trinity.]

1. quæ. 1. Eos qui.

Constantinople, writeth thus to Adrianus, the bishop of Rome: Tolerabilior est haresis Macedonii, qui asserit Spiritum Sanctum esse servum Patris et Filii. Nam isti faciunt Spiritum Sanctum servum suum 94: "The heresy of Macedonius, that held that the Holy Ghost is a slave to the Father and to the Son, is more tolerable than the heresy of simonists. For they make the Holy Ghost their own slave." I will say nothing of Laurentius Valla, canon of the church of Rome, for that he, so many years ago, so sharply found fault with sundry errors in the church, and therefore was thought over partial in his speeches. Notwithstanding his words be these: Papa etiam rem eccle-Laur, Valla, de Donation. Constantini. siasticam, et Spiritum Sanctum quæstui habet, quod Simon ille magus detestatur: "The pope maketh merchandise of church goods, and raiseth gain of the Holy Ghost, and setteth him to sale." This jesting is broad and bitter, M. Harding, and yet nothing prejudicial to the Spirit of God.

[p. 96.]

Appeliatio Univers, Paris, Anno 1517. [fol. xxxv, b.]

Orat. Synodica [Guid. Fabr.] Anno 1562. [p. 4.]

As for your councils, whether they be all and evermore summoned by the Spirit of God or no, it may well be doubted. The University of Paris thus protested, by way of appeal, against pope Leo X. and his council of Rome: Dominus Leo papa Decimus, in quodam catu in civitate Romana, nescimus qualiter, non tamen in Spiritu Domini. congregato: "Our lord pope Leo X., in a certain council gathered in the city of Rome, by what mean we know not. but surely not by the Spirit of God." And touching your late chapter, or conventicle, which ve call the council of Trent, the French king's ambassador, being there in presence, said thus: Minus legitima, minusve libera fuisse dicuntur illa concilia. Qui aderant, ad voluntatem alterius semper loquebantur: "The saying is, that these were neither lawful, nor free councils. The bishops, that were there, spake" (not always of the Spirit of God, but) "ever-

^{94 [}Thrasius Hadriano: "Tole-"rabilior est Macedonii et eo-"rum qui circa ipsum sunt Spi-

[&]quot; ritus Sancti impugnatorum, im-

[&]quot; pia hæresis. Îlli enim creatu-

[&]quot; ram et servum Dei Patris et " Filii Spiritum Sanctum deli-"rando fatentur; isti vero (sc. "Simoniaci) Spiritum Sanctum

[&]quot; efficient suum servum."]

more to please some other:" by which other he meant the pope.

Christ saith unto Peter, "I have prayed for thee:" Luc, xxii, 32. "And Paul went up to Jerusalem to visit Peter:" ergo, Gal. ii. 1. (ve say,) "The pope hath authority to confirm councils." O, M. Harding, your logic of Louvain is marvellous hasty. Ye force your conclusions to run in post. For what maketh either Christ's prayer for Peter, or Paul's journey from Arabia to Jerusalem, for the confirmation of your councils? Verily here is no manner mention neither of confirmation, nor of council, nor of pope. You might as handsomely have concluded thus: "Peter took his boat, and went a fishing: ergo, The pope hath full authority to confirm councils." And whether ye will make this same to serve you for an argument, or no, it were hard to tell.

Whereas Christ prayed namely for Peter, St. Augustine saith, as he hath been alleged before: Nunquid pro Petro Aug. de Quæ. rogabat, pro Jacobo et Johanne non rogabat? "Did Christ Quæst. 75. pray only for Peter, and did he not pray for James and (iii. app. 73.1 John 95."

Again he saith: Hac nocte postulavit Satanas vexare Aug. de verbis Dom. in vos, sicut triticum: sed ego rogavi Patrem pro vobis [Bened. Evangel. services] leg. te], ne deficiat fiedes vestra [Bened. leg. tua]: "This Serm. 36. leg. te], ne deficial fiedes vestra [Deneu. 1eg. 1111]. 1111 [V. 575, et night hath Satan desired to thresh you, as if ye were ed. Frob. tom.x. col. wheat. But I have prayed to my Father" (not only for 127.] Peter, but) "for you, that your faith may not fail 96."

Touching that Paul went up to Jerusalem of courtesy to Galat. ii. 1. see Peter, he sought not thereby the certainty of his own doctrine, as a man that otherwise stood in doubt whether he had so long, for the space of fourteen years, preached truth, or falsehood; but rather found fault with Peter's dissimulation in doctrine, and reproved him openly, even unto the face. St. Hierom saith: Perrexit Hierusalem, Hier. innon tam ut disceret [al. disciturus] aliquid ab apostolis, Galat. cap. 1. quam cum eis evangelium, quod docuerat, collaturus: "Paul 235.]

St. Augustine's.]

^{96 [}S. August. de verb. Domini.

⁹⁵ [These Quæstiones are not Bp. Jewel here exactly follows the Frob. Erasm. edition. Supra vol. v. 452, note 41: also p. 462.7

went up to Jerusalem, not so much to learn any thing of the apostles, as to confer with them touching the gospel that he had preached."

Clarysostom. ad Galat. cap. I. [x. 677.]

St. Chrysostom saith further: Paulus nihil opus habebat Petro, nec illius egebat voce, sed honore par erat illi: nihil enim hic dicam amplius: "Paul had no need of Peter, nor had any cause to crave his voice, but in honour and worthiness was his equal: as for more, I will not say."

In the former Reply, Artic. 4. Divis. 26. [Supra vol. ii. 203.]

Concerning the confirmation of councils, we have spoken other where more at large. Councils were confirmed, not only by the bishop of Rome, but also by other bishops and patriarchs: and not only by other bishops, but also by concil Chal-kings and emperors. The emperor Martianus saith: Sacro

cedon. Actio. 3. [vil. 480 A.]

nostræ serenitatis edicto venerandam synodum confirmamus: "By the holy edict of our majesty we confirm this reverend council." Likewise the bishops in the council of Con-

stantinopol. 1. [iii. 557.]

concil. con- stantinople besought the emperor Theodosius: Rogamus clementiam tuam, ut per literas tuæ pietatis ratum esse jubeas, confirmesque concilii decretum: "We beseech your favour, that by your majesty's letters ye will ratify and confirm the decree of the council."

Touching the council of the apostles at Jerusalem, your Joan. de Pa- own doctor saith: Postquam Petrus dixisset, Jacobus, aurisiis, cap. 14. thoritate pontificali, protulit definitivam sententiam: "When Peter had said his mind, James, by his episcopal authority, pronounced the definitive sentence 97:" that is to say, gave his confirmation to the whole. By which saving it may appear, that James was in authority above Peter. For he that pronounceth definitive sentence, in all assemblies is ever the greatest.

To conclude, councils have been allowed, and holden for good, whether the bishop of Rome would or no. Liberatus Liberat. cap. saith, when Anatolius, by consent of the council of Chalcedon, had obtained the primacy 98, and the bishop of Rome's legates stood against it, their gainsaying of the judges and bishops there was not received. And notwithstanding the

13. [p. 93.]

^{97 [}There is some mistake in 98 Supra vol. iv. p. 260, and the marginal reference. the note 15.]

apostolic see of Rome even hitherto stand against it, yet the decree of the council, by the authority and maintenance Quodam. modo. [al. quoque modo.]

Much pleasant sport ye make us, M. Harding, with putting in the pope's answer. "Be it" (say you) "that Hick, Hob, and Hans have accused the pope. Would ye have him appear in this court, or in that, to be judged by Jack and Gill?" The whole world, M. Harding, hath of long time charged the pope with ambition, bribery, simony, superstition, idolatry, and open corruption of the ordinances and will of God. If he disdain the judgment of so many, and call the whole world Hick and Hob, let him not marvel, if the whole world disdain him. If he may be both judge and party, and may make answer only before himself, I doubt not, but he shall have a good favourable hearing.

I beseech thee, good Christian reader, for shortness sake, consider that I have written before, touching the accusing Part. 5. c. 6. and judging of the pope. There shalt thou see, as Enno-fsupr vol. vi. dius saith, "That the pope, together with the power of pennodius, teaching, hath received free liberty to do ill, without con-[p. 1622.] trolment." Therefore he saith: Neque ab Augusto, neque 9. queest. 3. ab omni clero, neque a regibus, neque a populo, judex judicabitur: "The pope, that is the judge, shall be judged neither by the emperor, nor by the whole clergy, nor by kings, nor by the people." Such a prerogative, saith Athanasius, was sometime claimed by the Arian heretics: Cum Athanas. ipsi sint rei, ac judicio obnoxii, veluti Caiaphas, judicandi Orat. 1. [al. munus invadunt. "Whereas they themselves he will Epist ad munus invadunt: "Whereas they themselves be guilty, Epist. aa munus invadunt: "Egypti et and in danger of judgment, they play the part of Caiaphas, Lib. tom. i. and take upon them to be judges themselves." In like manner Chrysostom saith: Figura ibi duntaxat judicii erat, Chrys. in Matth. hom. re autem ipsa erat latronum impetus: "There was only a 85. [vii. 800.] face of judgment: but in deed it was a brunt or violence of thieves."

Ye say further, the pope may say, to our condemnation, that God saith unto the Jews: "What is it, that I ought Isa. v. 4. to have done to my vineyard, but I have done it?" And thus ye give the *pope* power to challenge the *church of God* Sueton, in to be his own. Even so Nero, that wicked *tyrant*, when S5.1

he had wasted and consumed the city of Rome, and burnt it with fire, he called the same, nevertheless, by his own name, Neronopolis, "Nero's town." One of your doctors saith: Hujus communitatis [suppl. ut talis] non est dominus, nisi Christus, vel papa: "There is no lord of this common state" (that is to say, of the church) "but either Christ, or the pope:" as if Christ and the pope were joint purchasers.

r Pet. v. 4.

Hervæ, de Potestate

Papæ, in Prologo.

But indeed Christ only is the prince of pastors: and the church is his only spouse, and not the pope's. St. Augus-Aug. de Ver- tine saith unto Christ: Tu Petro non dixisti, Pasce oves bis Domini. tuas, sed, Pasce oves meas: "Thou saidst not unto Peter, in Evangelio Feed thy sheep, but, Feed mine." Peter belongeth unto the church: but the church belongeth not unto Peter.

serm. 50. [v. 675.] Isa. v. 7.

secundum

Johannem,

The church is not the pope's vine: it is the vine of the Lord of Sabaoth. Therefore, M. Harding, advise yourself better. Your words are guilty of great blasphemy. It shall be sufficient for the pope, if he may be only a branch in this vine: if he be not withered: if he be not cut off, and thrown into the fire.

Aug. in Johan. Tractat. p. 817.]

St. Augustine saith: Qui hoc animo pascunt oves Christi, 123. [iii.pt. 2. ut suas velint esse, non Christi, se convincuntur amare, non Christum: vel gloriandi, vel dominandi, vel acquirendi cupiditate: "They that feed the sheep of Christ, to that end that they would have them to be their sheep, and not Christ's, are found to love themselves, and not Christ, for desire either of glory, or of government, or of gain."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 4. Divis. 2.

How say ye, do we devise these tales? Is not this (vol. iv. p. the very course of the councils in these days? Are not all things removed from the whole holy council, and brought before the pope alone: that, as though nothing had been done to purpose by the judgments and consents of such a number, he alone may add, alter, diminish, disannul, allow, remit, and qualify whatsoever he list? Whose words be these then? And why have the bishops and abbots, in the late

council at Trident, concluded thus in the end: "saving always the authority of the see apostolic in all things?" Or why doth pope Paschal write so proudly of himself? "As though," saith he, "there were any general Extra, De Election, et council able to prescribe a law to the church of Rome: Election. et letting. whereas all councils both have been made, and have ficasti. [col. received their force and strength, by the authority of the church of Rome: and in ordinances made by councils, is ever plainly excepted the authority of the Roman bishop." If they will have these things allowed for good, why be councils called? But if they command them to be void, why are they left in their books, as things allowable?

M. HARDING.

Sir, what need you bestow so much talk in vain? Is it not reason the amembers acknowledge the head? Would you the a Who made all the faithmembers to work their actions without the head? Is pope Pas-ful of Christ chalis to be called proud for preferring the church of Rome the pope's members? before a council? Have not councils ever been thought to lack their full authority, b which were not called and confirmed by the b Untruth, bishop of Rome?....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The modesty and sobriety of pope Paschal's claim shall as it shall appear, better appear in the next division. Verily the church of Rome these many years may seem to have been nothing else but a mother of falsehood, and a school of pride. Pope Nicolas saith: De sedis apostolicæ judicio nemini Nicol. Pap. 1. licet judicare: "It is lawful for no man to judge of the chaelem. pope's judgment." And of late years pope Leo said, Papa [Crabb. tom. habet authoritatem super omnia concilia, "The pope hath sub Leone 10, authority over all councils." That all lawful councils have sess. 11. evermore been summoned and confirmed by the pope, it is 1828.] a manifest and gross untruth, as hereafter it shall be opened more at large.

Where ye say, "It is reason the members should acknowledge their head;" that is the pope: for shame, M. Harding, and for your credit's sake, once leave these vani-

plain and manifest. For the pope had no authority to

ties. If the pope be any part of God's church, he is a member, and not the head: and the faithful of the church of God are Christ's members, and not the pope's. Indeed pope Athanasius 97 saith thus of himself: Mihi cura erit, evangelii fidem circa meos populos custodire, partesque corporis mei per spatia diversa terrarum, quantum possum, literis convenire: "I will be careful to keep the faith of the gospel amongst my people, and by letters to deal with the parts of my body lying over the sundry coasts of the world." Thus he imagineth in his dream, that all the princes and states of the world be nothing else but the parts and members of his body.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 5. Divis. 1.

But be it so: let the bishop of Rome alone be [Vol. iv. p. above all councils, that is to say, let some one part be greater than the whole: let him be of greater power, let him be of more wisdom than all his; and. Hieronymus in spite of Hierom's head, let the authority of one city

ad Evagrium. [iv. pt. 2. p. be greater than the authority of the whole world.

a Untruth.

Otherwise

As if the pope were

and the

were his

BODY: or

M. HARDING.

It is a common manner of this defender, what he must needs grant, to make a shew in words, as though it were free gift. So, many times beggars will seem gentlemen, and payers of debt will seem givers. "Let the bishop of Rome" (saith he) "alone be above all councils." aSir, he is so, no thank to you. Yet shew us by what authority he is so. part be greater than the whole" No, sir, maugre your scoffing b Full wisely, head, part shall not be greater than the whole, but part shall be greater than part: bin a right sense, is not the head greater than the body, the bishop than his elergy, the bmaster of a house whole church more than his family? Neither is the council the whole pardy, except your merry wit can devise us a whole body without a as if the pope head. "Let him be of greater power," say you. And so he is. were the was the hold of more wisdom than all his." We say not so. It the CHURCH may be, that his council hath more learning, cmore knowledge, c The coun. and more wisdom than his only person. Albeit, when we speak cil hath more of that wisdom of the see apostolic, which is sure, infallible, and wisdom and learning than cannot err, we mean not only the pope's singular person, but the the pope, yet head pastor and bishop, as he doth those things which pertain to wisdom and

learning above the council.

97 [This is an error of the press, the Editor is unable to correct; (overlooked by bp. Jewel,) which there never was a popeAthanasius.] that chair, that is to say, inasmuch as he proceedeth not upon his own private judgment, dbut by the instinct of the Holy Ghost, d O fond folpromised by Christ to his vicar. Where you say, "And in spite any simple of Hierom's head, let the authority of one city be greater than creature, so long as he is the authority of the whole world;" we tell you, that this you led by the speak more spitefully than learnedly. For St. Hierom in his Holy Ghost, epistle to Evagrius speaketh conly of a particular matter, blaming err. the custom of Rome, where contrary to the custom of the whole e Untruth, value and world, deacons in certain cases were preferred before priests, childish. For whereof we have spoken before.

St. Hierom speaketh plainly of authority: Sl authoritas quæritur, major est or-

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Sir defender" (ye say) "speaketh like a liberal gentle-bis urbe. man." Again ye say, "No sir, maugre your scoffing head." These and other like words, M. Harding, are fitting and seemly for your person, and may well become your merry wit.

Where we say, Let the bishop of Rome be of greater power than any general council; ye answer us readily, "And so he is." Where we say, Let him be of more wisdom than all other bishops; ye answer again, "We say not so." As if ye would allow the pope authority and power without wisdom. Yet wise men have said, that power without wisdom is the kingdom of folly.

"The pope" (you say), "maugre your scoffing head, shall be greater than the church; and yet shall not the part be greater than the whole." Awake a little, M. Harding, and expound us your dream. The whole we speak of, is the whole church of Christ. And, I trow, by your learning, the pope is a part or member of the same. Otherwise ye must tell us, that the pope is no part of the church of Christ: which thing, all circumstances considered, were not hard to be granted.

"But the pope" (say you) "being but a part, is greater than the whole church." Ergo, say I, it must needs follow, that the part is greater than the whole. Neither was it my scoffing head, as it pleaseth you to say, that framed this reason. Your own doctor Gerson, the director of the council of Constance, saw it, and uttered it above a hun-Jo. Gerson. dred and fifty years ago. Yet was he not therefore thought Ecclesiast. to be a scoffer. These be his words: Quærere utrum [i. 121. a.]

papalis authoritas sit major quam ecclesia, redit in idem, acsi quæreretur, utrum totum sit majus sua parte: "To demand, whether the authority of the pope be greater than the authority of the church, is as much as if a man would demand, whether the whole be greater than the part." You see, M. Harding, these be Gerson's words, and not mine. Therefore ye might with more sobriety have spared your scoffing at scoffing heads.

9. quæst. 3, Nemo, In Glossa.

Some of your friends have said, Si totus mundus sententiaret in aliquo negotio contra papam, videtur quod standum esset sententiæ papæ: "If the whole world should give sentence in any matter against the pope, it seemeth we ought rather to stand to the pope's judgment, than to the Albert. Pigh. judgment of all the world." Albertus Pighius saith: Cerlib. 6. c. 13. tius est judicium papæ, quam judicium generalis concilii, aut totius orbis terrarum: "The judgment of the pope is more certain, than is the judgment of a general council, or

Potestat. Pap. His præmissis, Petr. de Pahad De Poart. 4.

diciis, Cum venissent. Joan, Andr.

Hervæus, De else of all the whole world 98." Another saith:..... Papa virtualiter est tota ecclesia.....: "The pope by power is the whole universal church." Another saith: Potestas solius testate Papæ, papæ excedit potestatem residuæ ecclesiæ: "The pope's only power exceedeth the power of all the church beside." Extra, de Ju- Another saith: Papa non potest subjicere se concilio generali: "The pope cannot submit himself to a general council 99." Upon these worthy foundations ye have built up the pope's infinite and universal power: and therefore ve say, "Sir defender would seem to grant you of free gift, that he must needs grant perforce, whether he will or no."

All this notwithstanding, M. Harding, others of your more indifferent doctors would have told you another tale. Bernard, ci. St. Bernard saith: Quæ major superbia esse potest, quam ut unus homo toti congregationi judicium suum præferat, tanquam solus habeat Spiritum sanctum? "What greater pride can there be, than that one man should esteem his own judgment more than the judgment of all the church, as if he only had the spirit of God?" Whereunto Picus

Mirand. In quæst. "An Papa sit su-pra Concil."

^{98 [}The exact words have not conclusion in view.] been found in Pighius, but the whole of his argument has this mistake in this reference.] There is some

Mirandula addeth these words: Imo simplici potius rustico, Picus Mirandet infanti, et aniculæ, magis quam pontifici maximo, et loco. mille episcopis, credendum est, si isti contra evangelium, illi pro evangelio faciant: "Nay, we ought to believe a simple plain husbandman, or a child, or an old woman, rather than the pope and a thousand bishops, if the pope and the bishops speak against the gospel, and the others speak with the gospel 1."

The bishops in the council of Ferraria say thus: Qua-Concil. Fercunque facultate Romana ecclesia prædita sit, universali [ar. sess. 10] tamen ecclesiæ, quam generalis synodus præ se fert, inferior est: "With whatsoever power the church of Rome be endued, yet is it inferior to the universal church, that is represented by the general council." But perhaps ye will say, this was a council of rebels and schismatics, for that the bishops assembled there were not so appliable unto the pope.

The bishops in the council of Basil say thus: Etsi papa Conc. Basil. sit caput ministeriale ecclesiæ, non tamen est major tota syndales. Inter Epist. Scrosnet. Alioqui, errante pontifice, quod sæpe contingit, et contingere potest, tota erraret ecclesia: "Although the pope Generali. Sucrosanct. Generali. (xxix. 249.d.) be the ministerial head of the church, yet is he not greater than all the church. Otherwise whensoever the pope erreth, which thing happeneth oftentimes, and may well happen, the whole church should likewise err." Again they say:

Nonnulli os suum ponentes in cælum, potestatem Romani in eadem appontificis supra potestatem sacrorum generalium conciliorum, princice: Et pontificis divini et humani veritatem, a sanctis patribus alias declaratam, exaltare nituntur: "Many men, setting their face against the heaven, go about to exalt the power of the bishop of Rome above the power of holy general councils, contrary to the truth of the law, both of God and man, declared unto us by the holy fathers."

Again they say: Ecclesia Romana non est universa, sed In eadem appendice: eoest de universitate corporis mystici, id est, ecclesiæ: et sic dem cap. [ib.
est membrum dicti corporis mystici, ut patet per beatum

Gregorium. Igitur ex quo est membrum dicti corporis, non

¹ [The Editor has been unable to find the work here referred to.]

est, nec esse potest caput illius. Cum differentia sit inter caput et membra: "The church of Rome is not universal, but a part of the universal mystical body of Christ, which is the church: and so is it a member of Christ's said body mystical, as it appeareth by St. Gregory. Therefore, forasmuch as it is a member of the said body, it is not, neither can it be the head of the same body. For there is a difference between the head and the body."

[lb. pp. 513. 525.]

Likewise again they say: Allegant, papam impune posse tollere constitutionem concilii generalis, contra prohibitionem insius concilii generalis: supponentes papam esse pastorem The pope is universalis ecclesiæ. Sed ipsorum suppositum est falsum:

not pastor of church.

the universal et consequenter ipsorum assertio super eo fundata est falsa: "They say, the pope may safely abolish the decree of a general council, notwithstanding the same general council have decreed the contrary; supposing that the pope is the bishop of the universal church. But their supposal is false: and so, consequently, false is their doctrine, that they have built thereupon."

> But, lest you should say that all these bishops and fathers in the councils of Ferraria and Basil were inflamed with schismatical spirits, or possessed with devils, Nicolaus Cusanus, being himself a cardinal, and a child of the church of Rome, hath by express words avouched the

de Concord. lib. 2. c. 17. [p. 736.]

Thus he saith: Quia sedentes in ipsa sede ab hominibus assumuntur, deviabiles, et peccabiles, et nunc maxime, mundo ad finem tendente, et malitia excrescente, sua potestate ad adificationem data, ad destructionem abutuntur; quis dubitare potest, sanæ mentis,.....universale concilium tam in abusum, quam (in) abutentem, potestatem habere, &c. Universaliter dici potest, universale concilium.....esse omni respectu tam supra papam, quam (supra) sedem apostolicam: "Forasmuch as the popes sitting in the apostolic see of Rome be chosen of men, and be such as may err, and sin, and now specially, the world drawing towards an end, and wickedness increasing, abuse their power to the destruction of the church, that was given them for the rearing up, and furnishing of the church; what man, having his right wits, can doubt but a general council hath authority, as well

over the abuse, as also over the pope that hath made the abuse? Universally it may be said, that the universal council is in every respect as well above the pope, as also. above his apostolic see." Here is specially to be noted, that cardinal Cusanus saith, "The pope, sitting in his apostolic see, abuseth his universal power, and that to the destruction of the church."

Therefore, M. Harding, this part of your book, among the rest, would more advisedly have been considered. D. Cole himself, notwithstanding otherwise well inclined unto your faction, yet in this point is well content to give you over. Thus he saith of himself: "I hold herein rather D. Cole. with Gerson, that the council is above the pope." "The pope" [supra vol. 1. (ye say) "in one respect, as he is a man, in his own singular person may happen to err: but in another respect, as he is head pastor, and chief bishop, and is placed in Peter's chair, he cannot err."

And thus, as the heathens in old times imagined their Centaurus to be half a man, and half a horse; or their Janus to have two faces, the one behind, and the other before: even so have you imagined two popes in one body, the one going backward, the other forward: the one bearing light. the other darkness: the one deceived, the other not deceived: the one speaking truth, the other falsehood: and yet both these popes incorporate together in one person. Give us leave therefore, M. Harding, to say now, as the whole university of Paris said, not long sithence, unto pope Leo: A domino nostro papa, jam non bene consulto, Appellatio, Univers. Paappellamus: "We appeal from our lord the pope, being as ris. a Leone now not well advised." We appeal from the pope that [fol. xxxvi.] hath erred so shamefully, unto that pope that cannot err.

Certainly St. Hierom saith: Non est facile stare in loco Hier, ad Hellodorum, de Pauli, tenere gradum Petri, jam cum Christo regnantium: laude vite ne forte veniat angelus, qui scindat velum templi tui, qui pt. 2. p. 11.] candelabrum tuum de loco moveat: "It is no easy matter to stand in Peter or Paul's place now reigning with Christ: lest the angel come, and rent asunder the veil of thy temple, and remove thy candlestick from his place."

The place of St. Hierom to Evagrius is answered before. div. p. 379:]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 5. Divis. 2.

How then, if the pope have seen none of these [Vol. iv. p. things, and have never read either the scriptures, or the old fathers, or yet his own councils? How if he favour the Arians, as once pope Liberius did? or have a wicked and a detestable opinion of the life to come, and of the immortality of the soul, as pope John had but few years sithence? or, to increase his own dignity, do now corrupt other councils, as pope Zosimus corrupted the council holden at Nice in times past; and do say, that those things were devised and appointed by the holy fathers, which never once came into their thought; and, to have the full sway of authority, do wrest the scriptures, which thing, as Camotensis saith, is an usual custom with the popes? How if he have renounced the faith of Christ, and become an apostata, as Lyranus saith, many popes have been? Yet for all this, shall the Holy Ghost, with turning of a hand, knock at his breast, and even whether he will or no. yea, and wholly against his will, kindle him a light, so as he may not err? Shall he straightway be the headspring of all right, and shall all the treasures of wisdom and understanding be found in him, as it were laid up in store 1? Or, if these things be not in him, can he give a right and apt judgment of so weighty matters? Or, if he be not able to judge, would be have, that those matters should be brought before him alone?

aWhat then? Should he therefore be above general councils? Sadly and sagely, and much to the purpose.

M. HARDING.

To your how ifs and what ifs, I could soon make an answer by the contrary. a And, sir, how if the pope have seen all these

¹ [Apol. Lat. "tanquam in scrinio."]

things, the scriptures, fathers, and councils? What have you then to say? Is not your tale then at an end? Were your matter good, and yourself wise, you would not so commonly use that weak kind of reasoning. But to a number of your how ifs and what ifs, for the reader's sake, to put away all scruple, I give you this answer.

Wisd. ix. 1.

God's wisdom (as the scripture saith) disposeth all things sweetly, and in one instant foreseeth the end, and means that be necessary to the end. If he promise any man life everlasting, withal he giveth him grace also to do good deeds, whereby to Rom. viil. 30. obtain the same. "Whom he hath glorified," (saith St. Paul,) "them he hath justified and called." So whereas he hath by

Matt. xvi. 18. force of his prayer made to the Father promised to Peter, and for the safety of the church b to every Peter's successor, that his h Untruth,

Luke xxii. 32. faith shall not fail, and therefore hath willed him to confirm his childish. For brethren, that is, to remove all doubts and errors from them: Christ praywe are assured he will give him such wit, diligence, learning, for Peter and understanding, as this firmness and infallibility of faith, and others: nor confirming of brethren requireth. Shall we stand in doubt, ever made mention of whether that happeneth in things supernatural, which we see to Peter's sucbe in things natural, that who giveth the end, he giveth also worthy and things that pertain to the attaining of the end? If God would grave reapromise us abundance of corn for the next year to come, what sons! were more foolish than to doubt, and say like to this defender, "how if," and "what if" men will not till the ground, nor sow any seed? Doubtless if they sow, they shall reap: if they sow not, neither shall they reap. But what? We may gather of the promise of God, that we shall have not only fair and seasonable weather, whereby the fruits of the earth may prove plentiful, but also that the husbandmen shall employ their endeavour, pains, and labour. For the abundance of corn so promised shall not be given but to such as till, sow, and travail. Even so whereas Christ hath promised to the c successors of Peter firmness of faith, c Untruth. to the apostles and their successors the Spirit of truth, and like-never entered wise to councils gathered in his name, we must persuade our-into such covenant with selves, that nothing shall want, necessary for the controversies Peter's suctouching faith, to be decided.

That you say of Liberius the pope, is stark false. d He never d Untruth, favoured the Arians. The most ye can find against him is, that proved by he was compelled by the great persecution of Constantius the St. Hierom, emperor, to subscribe to the Arians. Neither is that by the Sozom. lib. 4. ancient writers of the ecclesiastical stories constantly affirmed, but of the chief of them not spoken of, where most occasion was Dut of the chief of them not species some denied, of some menillustribus in Chronicis. tioned not as true, but as a false rumour bruited abroad of him. By which rumour it seemeth e St. Hierom was deceived, remain-rom's authoing in the east, far from the places where the truth might more rity refused as a man certainly be known. But were it true, that he subscribed, as writing rash-

ly he knew not what.

f The pope denieth Christ, for lack of charity, but not for lack of faith.

Peter denied Christ, yet being done f for lack of charity, and not by error in faith, well might that fact be slanderous to the church, but it was not a decree made in favour of the Arians, neither to confirm that heresy.

g Untruth, manifest. See the answer.

That you report of Pope John the Twenty-second is likewise most false. The worst that Marsilius of Padua and William Ockam, heretics, wrote of him, to flatter the emperor Ludovicus of Bavaria, is, that he had taught openly, g (which also is referred to the time before he was pope,) that the souls of the just see not God until the day of judgment. That he had a wicked and a detestable opinion of the immortality of the soul, there was no such his opinion, but it is your false slander, by which your wicked and detestable malice imagined to deface the church, and specially the authority of the holy see apostolic. No story of any estimation mentioneth, that he was of that first opinion after he came to be pope, much less that he gave any definitive sentence of such matter. But contrariwise, h when as he prepared that M. Hard- himself to go to the definition of that question concerning the seeing of God, which just souls have before the day of judgment, fore. For he as Benedictus the Eleventh in sua extravagante saith, he was prevented by death, so as he might not do it.

mediately beheld this error in the time of his popedom. i Untruth. manifestly

reproved by the council of Africa. k These be gerles.

1 Untruth, without any or learning. allege so foolish fables?

You belie Zosimus: ihe corrupted not the council of Nice: but signified to the bishops of Africa, assembled in council at Carthage, the truth concerning the canons of the Nicene coun-The same may be proved by Julius the First, by the Epistle of k Athanasius and other bishops of Egypt, Thebais, and Libva, very vain and written to Marcus the pope, of the original of the 72 canons of childish for the Nicene council that remained in safe custody in the church of Rome, subscribed with the hands of the fathers, that at the same council were present. And what credit was to be given to the contrary information of only twenty canons that was returned from the bishops of Constantinople and Alexandria, I when heresayour of wit ties before had burned the books, where the whole number was or learning. Contained, ar Why should monly have? contained, and left but those twenty, that all books now com-

If we should allege Camotensis and Lyra, you would call them the black guard, and set little by them. First shew us, where they have that you allege out of them. M. Jewel allegeth that of Camotensis in another place. But where it is, he keepeth it it to himself, and of himself it is likely it proceeded. dealing is such, as any false practice in respect of him may seem credible. Albeit, what worshipful doctor ye mean by Camotenm If ye know sis, in I know not. Peradventure ye mean Carnotensis, othernim not, then may you best wise called Ivo. I have cause to guess, that so it should be. And yet four books of sundry prints both English and Latin so have. If there be any such, as I suppose there is not, he is very obscure, nor worth the naming.

him not, then blame your ignorance.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here ye say, "And, sir, how if the pope have seen all these things, the scriptures, the fathers, the councils? What have you then to say? Is not your tale then at an end?" No, verily, M. Harding. I would further desire God to give him grace to use them well, and to his glory. Notwithstanding, your own doctors will soon put all these your whats and what ifs out of question. For concerning the pope's great and high learning, Alphonsus de Castro saith, as he hath been alleged before: Constat, plures Alphons. contra Heepapas adeo illiteratos fuisse, ut grammaticam penitus ignora-res.lib.i.cap. rent: "It is certainly known, that sundry popes have been so unskilful in learning, that they never understood their grammar². And this he speaketh, not of one pope only, but of sundry. "But" (you say) " Christ hath prayed for Peter, and made sure promise that his faith should never fail." Therefore the pope is wise: the pope is learned: the pope is catholic: the pope cannot err. All this, and a great deal more, the pope may claim only by virtue of Christ's prayer. Now therefore if the pope should err or be in heresy, he might sue Christ in an action of covenant, and require him to perform his promise. So saith the prophet Michas: Sacerdotes in mercede docebant, et prophetæ in Mic. iii. 11. pecunia divinabant: et super Dominum requiescebant, di-[Vulg.] centes, Nonne est Dominus in medio nostrum? "The priests taught the people for hire, and the prophets prophesied for money: and yet they rested themselves upon God's promise, saving, And is not the Lord in the midst amongst us?" So the Valentinian heretics said sometime of themselves: Nos salutem de privilegio status possidemus...: Tertull. ad-"We have our safety by the privilege of our state." We tinian. [eap. cannot miscarry: we cannot err: but the prophet saith: "Every man is a liar:" "Accursed be he that trusteth in Psal. exvi. 11. man." Your own doctor Alphonsus saith: Omnis homo Jer. xvii. 5. [Alphonsus, errare potest in fide, etiamsi papa sit: "Every man may P. 20.] err in faith: yea, although he be the pope."

 $^{^2}$ [Concerning the editions of Alphonsus, see supra vol. iv. p. 472, and note $^{34}.\cite{1}$

Howbeit, that your unlearned reader may the better

Concil, tom. 1. [Crabb. 184.] Tertull, contra Praxeam. [cap. 1. p. 501.]

consider how safely he may give credit to your bare word, whether the pope may be deceived in faith or no, it may easily appear by these few examples. Whose listeth to seek may find mo. Pope Marcellinus offered up incense, and made sacrifice unto devils. Tertullian saith: Episcopum Romanum agnoscentem jam prophetias Montani, Priscæ, Maximillæ, &c.: "The bishop of Rome, well liking now the prophesies" (or heresies) " of Montanus, Prisca, and Maximilla," &c. Upon which words Beatus

Beat. Rhenan. [ed. 1520. 378.]

fat, de Viris Illustr. [iv. pt. 2. p. 124.] Conc. Con-stant, 6, act. 13. [xi. 556.]

Rhenanus noteth thus: (Episcopus Romanus) Montanizat: "The bishop of Rome favoureth the heresy of Montanus 3." Hier. in Præ- Pope Liberius was an Arian heretic 4, as hereafter it shall better appear. Pope Honorius was condemned for an heretic Conc. Nicen, in two general councils. In the council of Constantinople, the 2. act. 7. [xiii. 377. b.] words of his condemnation be alleged thus: Anathematizari curavimus Honorium, qui fuerat papa antiquæ Romæ: quia in omnibus mentem Sergii sequutus est, et impia dogmata confirmavit: "We have caused Honorius, the late pope of old Rome, to be accursed: for that in all things he followed the mind of Sergius the heretic, and confirmed his wicked doctrines." Alphonsus de Castro saith: Alphons. lib. Anastasium papam favisse Nestorianis, qui historias lege-

> rit, non dubitat: "Whosoever hath read the stories or course of time, cannot doubt but pope Anastasius favoured the Nestorian heretics." In the very legend of Hilarius

1. cap. 4. [p.

In Legenda Legend. Aur. it is mentioned, that pope Leo was an Arian heretic. Hist. 16.]

synod holden at Rome against pope Hildebrand, it is writ-Synod. Ro-man, in Fasten thus: Incendio tradidinus decreta eorum hæretica: ciculo rerum sciendarum. Impresso Co-

lon. 1553. 3 [In the editio printer]
[fol. xliii, b.] Tertullian's works, Basil. 1520, 3 [In the editio princeps of the word "Montanizat" alone is printed in the margin, as the commentary of Beatus Rhenanus; but it seems clear, that he meant it to apply not to the pope of Rome, but to Tertullian himself, who blames Praxeas for preventing the pope from acting upon his favourable view of the prophecies of Montanus, &c., and who himself

became a Montanist. The passage from Tertullian himself proves, however, that the pope had been favourably disposed towards the Montanists.]

⁴ [S. Hieron. in libr. de Eccl. Scriptor. in vita Fortunatiani ... " in hoc habetur detestabilis, " quod Liberium pro fide ad exi-

[&]quot; lium pergentem primus sollici-" tavit, ac fregit, et ad subscrip-"tionem hæreseos compulit.]

"We have burnt their heretical decrees." - Pope Sylvester II. was made pope by necromancy, and in recompence Joannes Stelthercof, promised himself both body and soul unto the [fol. xliv, b.] devil.

Hulderichus, the bishop of Augusta in Germany, ex-Epist. Hulderici ad Nicopresseth the restraint of priests' marriage by these words: laum Papam. [ap. Paral.]

Periculosum hujus hæresis decretum: "The dangerous Ursperg. p. decree of this heresy."

Notwithstanding, I have seen the same epistle unto P. Nicolas, together with another epistle to like purpose, written in old vellum, of very ancient record, under the name of Volusianus, the bishop of Carthage 5. But, what need we to touch all the particulars? The doctors of the Erasm, in great school of Sorbona in Paris, have determined in their Epist. ad articles, that St. Peter himself erred in the faith. The In faciem illi restiti. [p. council of Basil condemneth pope Eugenius by these words: 600.] Eugenium contemptorem sacrorum canonum: pacis, et ve-conc. Basil. ritatis ecclesiæ Dei perturbatorem notorium: universalis [xxix.p.180.] ecclesiæ scandalizatorem: simoniacum: perjurum: incorrigibilem: schismaticum: a fide devium: pertinacem hæreticum, &c.: "We condemn and depose pope Eugenius, a despiser of the holy canons, a disturber of the peace and unity of the church of God: a notorious offender of the whole universal church: a simonist: a forsworn man: a In Conc. man uncorrigible: a schismatic: a man fallen from the Constan in Appendice, faith, and a wilful heretic." Of pope John's heresy 6 [sess. 11.] c. 'In primis. touching the immortality of the soul, we shall speak more [xxvii.p. hereafter. St. Hierom saith: Qui scripturam intelligit Quinimo idem Johan. aliter, quam sensus Spiritus Sancti flagitat, quo scripta est, pap. XXII. licet ab ecclesia non recesserit, tamen hæreticus appellari dixit, et perdixit, et perd potest: "Whosoever otherwise understandeth the scrip-hominis cum tures, than the sense of the Holy Ghost requireth, by corpore humano mori, whom they were written," (as it is most certain the pope et extingui, ad instar aniin infinite places both hath done and doth,) "although he malium bru-

⁵ [Supra vol. iv. p. 616, note ⁸⁹.]
⁶ [This was John XXIII. (Balthasar de Cossa.) It will be seen

below, that bishop Jewel confounded him with John XXII. (Ossa), who was also accused of heresy a century before.

24. Queest. 3. be not departed from the church, yet he may well be called Hæresis. an heretic."

> Now, if idolaters, Montanists, Arians, Monothelites, Nestorians, deniers of the immortality, simonists, sorcerers, maintainers of filthiness, and other obstinate and wilful heretics, may err, then, whatsoever M. Harding and his fellows shall say to the contrary, it is easily seen, that the pope may err.

Conc. Basil. inter epist. Synodales. [xxix. 246. c. 249. e.]

Visellus.

24. Q. 1. A recta. In

Glossa.

Verily, the council of Basil saith thus: Multi ex summis pontificibus in hæreses et errores lapsi esse dicuntur, et leguntur: certum est, papam errare posse: concilium sæpe condemnavit atque deposuit papam, tam ratione fidei, quam morum: "It is reported and read, that many popes have fallen into errors and heresies: it is certain, that the pope may err: the council hath oftentimes condemned and removed the pope, in respect as well of his heresy in faith, as of his lewdness in life." Visellus 7 saith: Summorum pontificum quidam pestilenter erraverunt: "Certain of the bishops of Rome have been in pestilent heresies." Your own Gloss saith: Certum est, quod papa errare potest: "It is certain that the pope may err." Another of your doctors saith: Interdum possit aliquis esse, qui esset a sede removendus : ut si esset famina, vel hareticus : sicut fuerunt aliqui: et ob [al. ab] hoc non numerantur in catalogo paparum: "The pope may sometimes be such a one, as may seem worthy to be removed: as, if he were a woman, or an heretic. And certain such there have been: and therefore they be not reckoned in the calendar of the popes." Another saith: Aliqui papæ inventi sunt flagitiosi et hæretici: "Some popes have been found wicked men, and Reg. et Pap. cap. 18. [cap. heretics." Another saith: Et papa, et episcopi sunt deviabiles a fide: "Both popes and bishops may wander from the faith." Another saith: Papa mandans aliquid fieri,

Joh, de Parisiis, de potestat. Reg. et Pap. 23. p. 142.] Gerson, an liceat appel-lare a Pap. [1. 436. b.] Hostien, de concessione præbendæ. Proposuit.

quod sonet in haresim, turbat statum ecclesia, et non est ei

parendum: "The pope commanding any thing to be done,

that soundeth of heresy, troubleth the state of the church,

⁷ [Visellus. This may possibly name Visellus is not found in any be a false print for Veselus: the of the usual authorities.]

and we may not obey him." Another saith: Papa potest Extra. de esse hæreticus, et de hæresi judicari: "The pope may be Skinlicasti. an heretic, and of heresy may be judged."

Abb. [Panorm. tom. nom. tom. tom.]

Lipt. I. fol.

All these were the popes' undoubted friends. But now 1. pt. 1. follow it is the now 1. pt. 1. pt. 1. follow it is the now 1. pt. 1. pt. 1. follow it is the now 1. pt. 1. pt. 1. follow it is the now 1. pt. 1. pt

I would not stand so long in so clear a case, were it not. that M. Harding, all this notwithstanding, telleth us so sadly, and biddeth us believe it upon his warrant, that the pope undoubtedly can never err. Stanislaus Hosius, the greatest stickler of that side, blusheth not to say thus: Numerentur omnes, &c.: "Reckon all the popes that ever Hosius in were from Peter, until this Julius, that now is; there never Petricovien. sate in this chair any Arian, any Donatist, any Pelagian, cap. 29. [fol.or any other, that professed any manner heresy." Yet. nevertheless, your own doctor, Alphonsus, saith: Non credo Alphons. aliquem esse adeo impudentem papæ assentatorem, ut ei tri-res, lib. t. buere hoc velit, ut nec errare, nec in interpretatione sacrarum [694.] [ed.] literarum hallucinari possit: "I believe, there is no so shameless a flatterer of the pope, that will grant him this prerogative, that he can never err, nor be deceived in the expounding of the scriptures 8. Here, M. Harding, your own principal doctor, Alphonsus, calleth all them that maintain your doctrine, and say as you say, the shameless flatterers of the pope. Certainly, I think, it may safely be said: If a man will take the view of all Christendom, he shall not find so many heretics in any one see whatsoever, as may be found in the see of Rome. And for that cause, perhaps, Franciscus Petrarcha calleth Rome, Asylum hare-Franc, Petr.

⁸ [The editions of Alphonsus pra vol. iv. p. 472. note ³⁴, and de Castro subsequent to that of p. 473. note ³⁵.)
1539, omit this passage. See su-

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

Schola d' errore, e Tempio d' Heresia. [3 Parte, fol. 137. a.]

sium, et errorum9: "The sanctuary of errors and heresies:" and in his Italian sonnets, he calleth it, the school of error, and the temple of heresy.

the printer hath not quoted the place. It may please you therefore to peruse his notes upon the sixteenth chapter of

As for Nicolaus Lyra, ye doubt of our dealing, for that

Nicol. Lyra, in Matth. cap. 16. [v.

280.]

Baldus de offic. præsid. i. Sæpe.

St. Matthew. There, among others, ye shall find these words: Ex hoc patet, quod ecclesia non consistit in hominibus, ratione potestatis, vel dignitatis ecclesiastica, vel sacularis: quia multi principes, et summi pontifices inventi sunt apostatasse a fide: "Hereby it appeareth, that the church standeth not upon men, in consideration, either of their power, or of their dignity, either ecclesiastical or temporal. For many princes and popes have been found to have strayed from the faith." Therefore Baldus saith: Cautela est, quod quis dicat, Credo quod credit sancta mater ecclesia: non quod credit papa: "It is to be marked, that a man may say, I believe, that the church believeth: but he may not say, I believe, that the pope believeth." His meaning is, that the pope may be deceived, and believe amiss. "Christ prayed for Peter; ergo, the pope cannot err." But where was Christ's prayer then, when so many heretics were popes in Rome? Will ye say that Christ prayed for Arians, for Nestorians, for Montanists, for Monothelites, for simonists, for idolaters, for necromancers, for poisoners, for murderers, and for dame Joan too? Or that, by the virtue of Christ's prayer, none of these could ever err? Or that the pope's errors must go for truth, or his heresies be holden as right religion, only because you tell us, that whatsoever he say, he cannot err? O, M. Harding, I [Supra vol. v. shewed you before, that Christ prayed not only for Peter,

452.] but also for all the rest of his disciples. Origen saith, as Orig. in Matt. he is before alleged: Num audebimus dicere, quod adversus Tract. r. [iii. unum Petrum non prævalituræ sint portæ inferorum: ad-524.]

versus cateros apostolos pravalitura sint? "Shall we dare

p. 807.); where, however, the ex-⁹ [The passage intended is propression is "asylum pessimarum bably in the 18th epistle of the " Liber Epist. sine Titulo" (Opp. rerum Babylon."

to say, that the gates of hell shall not prevail against only Peter? and that the same gates shall prevail against all other the apostles?" St. Cyprian saith: Rogabat pro de-Cypr. in Ornitonem lictis nostris, sicut ipse declarat, cum dicit ad Petrum: ego Dominicam. rogavi pro te, ne deficiat fides tua: "Christ prayed" (not for Peter only, but) "for our sins, as he himself declareth, saying unto Peter, I have prayed for thee, that thy faith should not fail." St. Augustine, expounding the same words, saith thus: Ego rogavi patrem pro vobis [Bened. leg. Aug. de verte], ne deficiat fides vestra [Bened. leg. tua]: "I have secund. Inc. Serm. 36. prayed" (not only for *Peter*, but also) "for you, that your [v. 575.] faith should not fail 10." Chrysostom saith: Omnis Chri-Chrys. in Mat. hom. stianus, qui suscipit verbum Petri, thronus fit Petri: et 23. in opere imperf. [vi. Petrus sedet in eo: "Every Christian man, that receiveth app. 143. A.] the word of Peter, is made Peter's chair, and Peter himself sitteth in him."

Otherwise, M. Harding, they are not all Peters, that sit in place of Peter. St. Ambrose saith: Non habent hæredi-Ambr. de tatem Petri, qui fidem [Bened. leg. 11 sedem] Petri non cap. 6. [ii. habent: "They have not Peter's inheritance, that have not the faith of Peter."

St. Hierom saith: Auferet Dominus nomina vanæ gloriæ, Hier. in Soet admirationis falsæ, quæ versantur in ecclesia: "God cap. 1. [iii. will take away these names of vainglory and false ostentation, that are used in the church." Where we say, pope Pope Libe-Liberius favoured the Arians, "that" (ye say) "is stark false." And yet, ye know, St. Hierom is the reporter of that falsehood. "But St. Hierom" (ye say) "was de-Hieron de ceived." In such reverence and regard ye have the doc-ptorib. In tors and learned fathers of the church. Ye believe them tiano. [iv. no further than ye list. I doubt not, but St. Hierom might pt. 2. p. 124.] better say, M. Harding is deceived. If St. Hierom's authority suffice you not, you may take also the authority of St. Augustine. For St. Augustine saith, as he is alleged

10 [Concerning the readings say, of the best and most nume-"vobis" and "vestra," see vol. v. rous MSS.) read "sedem Petri." All former editions, and some MSS., read "fidem."]

supra p. 452, note 41.]
11 [S. Ambros. The Bened. edd. (on the authority, as they

De Concordan. lib. 2. cap. 5. [p. 716.] Alphons. contra hæres. lib. 1. cap. 4. [p. berio.

Micol. Cusan. by cardinal Cusanus, that pope Liberius gave his hand and consent unto the Arians. Certainly, Alphonsus, your own doctor, saith: De Liberio papa, constat [l. Platina refert] fuisse Arianum: "Touching pope Liberius, it is well known he was an Arian." Platina saith: Liberius, ut qui-Platin, in Li. dam volunt, in rebus omnibus sensit cum hæreticis: "Pope Liberius, as some say, was in all points of one judgment with the (Arian) heretics."

Antonin. part. 2. tit. 10. [l. tit. 9.] cap. 4. §. 5. [tom. ii. p. 24. B.]

Antoninus, the archbishop of Florence, saith: Liberius papa consensit præceptis Augusti (Ariani,) ut una cum hæreticis communicaret: " Pope Liberius so consented to the commandments of the Arian emperor, that he communicated with the heretics." So saith Joverius in the Abridgement of Councils. So saith Hermannus Gigas. So saith Hist. Longo- your very legend, commonly called Historia Longobar-bard, [Hist. L. dica 12. Among others, Erasmus saith: Ariana hæresis, et Romanum pontificem involvit, et ipsos imperatores: "The Arians' heresy entangled and wrapt both pope and emperor." By the pope, namely, he meaneth pope Liberius.

Joverius. Her. Gigas. 98. de Felice ii.] Erasm, in annot, in Hier, contra Luciferian. [Hieron, Opp. ii, 135.]

de Concordan, lib. 1. cap. 14. [p. 707.]

Cardinal Cusanus saith: Liberius, et Honorius, et alii in Nicol, Cusan, cathedra Petri aliquandiu sedentes in errorem schismaticum seducti ceciderunt: "Pope Liberius, and Honorius, and others sitting in Peter's chair, have fallen into schismatical error, and have been deceived."

Yet you doubt not to say, "St. Hierom was shamefully deceived, and wrote of ignorance, he knew not what, and

all is false."

Pope John.

Likewise ye say, that we report of pope John is most false and impudent. Our report is, that pope John denied the immortality of the soul: not thoroughly and altogether, but only in that he said, "Until the time of the last judgment, the soul lieth still, as in a trance, as doth the body, without sense of joy or pain." Wherein he not only withstood the express word of God, but also unwares quite overthrew his own whole kingdom of purgatory, which is the greatest and fairest of all his three

^{12 [}Historia Longobardica, genewritten by Jacobus de Voragine rally called Legenda Aurea, some-(fl. 1290.)] times Speculum Sanctum, was

crowns. For what avail his pardons and trentals, if the soul lie still asleep until the day of judgment, and feel no pain? Verily, after the last judgment, by common consent, there shall be nor purgatory, nor trental, nor mass, nor pope, nor pardon. Now if there be no place of purgatory, neither before nor after the last judgment, then may we well conclude, that absolutely, and without doubt, there is no purgatory. The first authors of this error, as St. Augustine saith, were the heretics called Arabici.

Touching pope John's error, Gerson saith thus 13: Jo-deum, heres.

N. W. M. John's error, Grand Saith thus 13: Jo-deum, heres.
83. [viii: 24.] hannes papa XXII. decrevit, &c.: "Pope John XXII. de-Gerson. creed, that the souls of the wicked should not be punished Fest. Pasbefore the day of the last judgment: which error the Uni-491. d.] versity of Paris condemned for heresy, and caused the pope to recant." One of your own companions of Louvain Copus Dial. saith: "Pope John kept this error secretly to himself, and r. pp. 50, 51. never had the open consent of the church of Rome." And for better excuse hereof he saith: Petrus non fidem Christi, sed Christum, salva fide, negavit: "Peter denied not the faith of Christ, but, his faith saved, he denied no more but only Christ." And so by this pretty shift of your Louvanian divinity, we have both Christ without faith, and also faith without Christ. Thus, M. Harding, it is plain, by your own doctors and fellows, that our report of pope John is neither a false slander, as you say, nor proceedeth of detestable and wicked malice.

Addition.

ı.

Addition. F. M. Harding. "What shall I say, but M. Harding, all is false? It is a foul thing, M. Jewel, and a wicked impudency, thus to belie the doctors. Certain it is, Gerson never said it, nor in Sermone Paschali, as you report, nor any where else, that this pope John made any such decree. Neither was his error, as you untruly burthen him, &c. That ye write touching this pope either proceedeth of malice, or of ignorance, &c. The error of pope John XXII.

¹³ [Gerson in Festo Paschali: "Propter quod insuper apparet

[&]quot; falsitas doctrinæ papæ Joannis

[&]quot;vicesimi [ed. 1606.], quæ dam"nata fuit cum sono buccinarum

[&]quot; vel tubarum coram rege Phi-" lippo avunculo tuo, per theolo-

[&]quot; gos Parisienses de virgine beata, " et credidit potius theologis Pa-

[&]quot; risiensibus quam curiæ."]

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

q.

was not, that the souls of the wicked be not punished before the day of the last judgment, but that the souls of the good see not the face of God before the last day. His position was conceived with these terms, as we find it in Adrianus, that learned pope, and in the Extravagant of M. Harding, pope Benedictus Undecimus, who succeeded him next: Anima purgata ante finale judicium non habent stolam, quæ est clara, et facialis visio Dei.

M. Harding, fol. 65. b.

fol. 65. a.

" Of this question some doctors then held the affirmative, some the negative. Amongst them that held the negative, this pope John XXII. was one before he was pope, and perhaps also afterward. But he held it only as his private opinion.

"Now this was an error in pope John: I deny not: yet for the same is not he to be counted an heretic: as neither St. Irenæus, Theophylactus, and St. Bernard are, who seem to have been of the same opinion. Gerson saith: Propter quod apparet falsitas doctrinæ papæ Johannis XXII. quæ damnata fuit cum sono buccinarum, vel tubarum coram rege Philippo avunculo tuo per theologos Parisienses. Remember, good reader, this error of pope John XXII. was not condemned by the divines of Paris when he was pope, but before, when he was a private doctor, and lived in the realm of France, &c. And so by this purgatory is not taken away at all, as your scoffing tale, that liketh you so well, pretendeth it to be: neither were the heretics, that of St. Augustine are called Arabici, the first authors of this error, as you say, but the Armenians and Grecians, if we may believe Guido. Now touching that you have alleged out of the council of Constance, I marvel with what face you bring it in. And what a great falsehood is it to put in your book the name of pope John XXII. for pope John XXIII. The name of this John XXIII. was, before he took upon him to be pope, Balthazar de Cossa, as there ye have it declared, &c. Neither was he a true pope,

lawfully elect, but an usurper, as two others were with him at the same time. So by this place ye have proved no heresy against pope John XXII., nor against any true

Fol. 66, b.

Fol. 66, a.

67. a.

pope at all, but only have shewed yourself a shameless shifter, and one that hath a more malicious mind to hurt the authority of the pope, than matter of just accusation against him." The answer. All this is true, no doubts: for M. Harding's saws must go for gospel. First, good reader, I will tell thee, what man this pope John was, and then make answer to every piece hereof in order. The Sabell, Ennext pope before him was Clemens the Fifth, that caused nead.9.11b.7. Franciscus Dandalus, the ambassador of Venice, to come before him tied in an iron chain, and to wallow under his table, as a dog, while his holiness sat at supper 14. Otherwise the indignation he had conceived against the Venetians, could never be swaged. The fourth pope after him was Urbanus the Fourth, that took five of his cardinals upon displeasure, and tied them up in sacks, and threw them out into the sea. As for this pope John himself, he Sabell. Ennead. 9, 11b. 9. turned bishoprics into abbeys, and abbeys into bishoprics; Naucl. Gebishoprics into archbishoprics, and archbishoprics into [p. 993.] bishoprics; one bishopric into two, and two into one; cities into towns, and towns into cities: and thus was evermore altering, and never contented 15. Pope Clemens, his Naucl. Gepredecessor, being dead, the cardinals, after they had long [libid.] contended among themselves about the election of a pope, and could not agree, they committed the whole matter in trust unto this John; being then also himself a cardinal, that he should choose whom he best liked, and end the strife, nothing doubting, but he would have chosen one of the same cardinals that they had named. But he having Nauclerus. the whole power in his own hand, like a man of trust, pp. 996, 997.] forgat all others, and chose himself, and so was pope by his own election. Being pope, he excommunicated Ludovicus the emperor, for that he had attempted to execute some part of his imperial office without his licence. By Cariou [p. mean whereof, he inflamed all Christendom with such pro sua vountate. discord and hatred, and deadly wars, as could not after-

^{14 [}See Defence of the Apology, supra vol. v. p. 421.]

15 [Nauclerus, "Adeo præterea

[&]quot; rebus novis studuit, ut et sim-

[&]quot; plices episcopatus bifariam divi-"deret, ac divisos in unum rede-" gerit &c."]

Paralipomen ward be quenched in thirty years 16. He said, he had sis. [p. 353.] power to raise up emperors and to depose them at his pleasure: and that, whensoever the empire is void, the pope is emperor: and that there is no power above the pope.

And whereas certain preachers, loathing the intolerable ambition and lordliness of the clergy that then was, had told the people openly in their sermons, that Christ and his apostles were simple and poor, and possessors of nothing, he caused them to be taken and condemned, and burnt as heretics. Which thing, saith one, he did, the better to justify his own greediness. For at the time of his death he left in his treasury five and twenty thousand thousand crowns in ready gold 17: which thing was the

Anselmus Rid. [fol.81.] ti quinque millia.

tit. 21. cap. 4 [Chron. iii. 332, 333.]

M. Harding, fol. 65. b.

Aug. ad Quodvultdeum, [viii. 24.]

Pope John

an heretic. tit. 21. cap. 6. \$. 15. [iii. p. 333.]

pope.

millies vigin- more to be wondered at, for that, not long before, the same pope John had joined in war with Robert, the king of Apulia, in defence of the state of Genoa: in which war, Auton. pt. 3. as Antoninus Florentinus saith, such abundance of gold and treasure was spent on both sides, as might have bought a good kingdom. Such a one, M. Harding, was pope John: whose doctrine you may not in any wise suffer to be stained. And therefore, touching the matter itself, you have minced it prettily. It was no heresy, you say, but only an error. And why so? I trow, because this John was no inferior bishop, nor private man, but a bishop of bishops, and a pope. For in any other poor man it had

But if this error were no heresy in pope John, then a Anton. pt. 3. great many, that so charged him, did him great wrong, and were much to blame. Antoninus saith: Johannes XXII.

been an heresy. The Arabians and Armenians 18 many

hundred years before were condemned and holden as

heretics for the same. So blessed a thing is it to be a

16 [Nauclerus says "30 years:"

Paraleip. Ursperg. "about 24."]

17 [The reading in "Catalog. annorum" by Anselm. Ryd. is "quinquies vicies millium aureo-"rum." The reading in the margin is given both by Nauclerus and by Antoninus.]

¹⁸ [St. Augustine only names the Arabians. Harding attributes this heresy to the Armenians, "if," he says, "we may believe Guido."]

sermonem faciens in publico consistorio, dixit quædam hæresim sapientia: "Pope John, speaking openly in the consistory, uttered certain words savouring of heresy:" and Asserbant therefore he saith, that of many he was judged an heretic. esse Heretic. Christianus Massæus saith: Johannes papa XXII. misit Massie, lib. Parisios duos, qui hanc hæresim prædicarent: "Pope John 18. anno 18. anno

Gerson saith not, Johannes papa decrevit, "Pope John decreed." "This" (you say) "is a foul thing, and a wicked M. Harding, impudency." The answer. It is no manly part, M. Harding, to strive and wrangle about words, when the matter is plain. If Gerson said not, "Pope John decreed these things," yet he said "Pope John published and taught these things." And a man would think, that teaching and publishing were not much less than decreeing. Gerson's words Decreed. be plain, even as you yourself have alleged them: Propterea apparet falsitus doctrinæ papæ Johannis XXII.: "Hereby appeareth the falsehood of the doctrine of pope John." Christianus Massæus saith: Papa Johannes præ-Massæ. lib. dicavit errorem: "Pope John preached or set forth this error." And it is said, the pope's will must needs stand Extra, de Trans. Eplscopi.

You say, "Remember, good reader, this error of pope In Glossa.] John XXII. was not condemned by the divines of Paris when he was pope, but before, when he was a private Before he doctor, and lived in the realm of France." The answer.

Thou must believe M. Harding, good reader, be his tale never so unlikely, yea, though he speak impossibilities.

"This error of pope John" (saith he) "was condemned in the presence of Philip, the French king, not when John

was pope, but before, when he was a private man." Now I beseech thee, good reader, for thy better satisfaction, consider well the years and ages, as well of this king Philip the Sixth, as also of this pope John the two and twentieth. It appeareth by all writers whatsoever, that this John was consecrate pope at Avignon, anno 1316, and that Philippus Valesius was crowned king in France, anno 1328. By which computation it is plain, that this John was pope thirteen years before this Philip was king. This story is evident, and agreeably confessed by all that have written: yet it pleaseth M. Harding to take it by the top, and to turn it backward; and to tell us of himself only, without further authority, that Philip Valesius was king, and sat in place of judgment, to hear causes of religion, thirteen years at the least before this John was pope. Thus, by M. Harding's handling, pope John when he was pope, yet was no pope; and Philip was a king thirteen years at the least before he was king. Such pretty verities M. Harding can shape us for his advantage. Yet, M. Harding, you tell us, That this John was con-

an heretic,) but before, when he was a private doctor, and When he liv-lived in the realm of France. Here is a marvellous case, M. Harding. An heretic by your confession may be a pope; but a pope in no wise may be an heretic. "This John" (you say) " was hereof condemned, when he was a private man, and lived in France." I pray you, M. Harding, and where lived he afterward, being pope? Look up your chronicles. Where was pope John's abode? Where was his consistory? Where was his court, during all that Sabell. En- whole time while he was pope? Perhaps you think, it was at Rome. For there sat St. Peter: there is the continuance of his succession: and thereof the popes are called the bishops of Rome. If you so think, M. Harding, your thought deceiveth you. For indeed it is well known, that pope Clemens the Fifth, that was the next predecessor

before pope John XXII., removed himself, and all his

demned by the divines of Paris, not when he was pope, (God forbid: for then must we confess that the pope was

nead. 9. lib. 7.

train, from Rome to Avignon in France, in the year of our Platina. Lord 1303 19. From which time, during the space of three-score and fourteen years following, the popes continued still at Avignon, and never returned back to Rome. It was in vain therefore for you to say, "Pope John, at the time of his condemnation, was no pope, but only a private man, and lived in France." For during the whole time of his popedom, he continued still in France at Avignon. And being fourscore and ten years of age, he died at Avignon, and at Avignon was buried, in the cathedral church, where his body resteth until this day, and not in Rome.

Sav no more, therefore, M. Harding, that pope John's error was condemned in Paris, and blown out with trumpets in the presence of the king, not when he was pope, but only when he lived in private estate. For all the historiographers that have written hereof will soon controul you. Antoninus saith: "Pope John held this error [Auton. in the time of his popedom, and pronounced words 333, 334.] savouring of heresy openly in the consistory," (being pope 20.) Nauclerus saith: Imo papam Johannem magni Nauclerus et multi theologi, scientia et vita probati, dogmatizabant esse anno 1324. hæreticum propter errores certos: quos tamen die obitus [p. 997.] sui dicitur tepide revocasse: et ejus successor Benedictus Tepide. eos errores fertur publice damnasse: "Nay, many great and famous divines, of great learning and good life, proclaimed" (not one or other by the name of John, but) " pope John, by the name of pope, to be an heretic for certain errors: which errors, notwithstanding, it is said. that he coldly revoked at the time of his death:" (but not before; neither then, but coldly.) "Again it is said, that pope Benedictus, his next successor, openly con-Benedictus demned the same errors." Christianus Massæus saith: condemnat.

19 [This is a mistake. Clement V. was crowned at Lyons A.D. 1305; and it was not till two or three years after that event, that he established himself at Avignon: from whence Gregory XI. returned to Rome A.D. 1376. Platina him-

self gives the date of the removal to Avignon 1305.]

20 [Antoninus: "Erat autem

[&]quot;multum literatus dictus papa "Joannes: unde sermonem fa-"ciens in publico consistorio dixit "quædam hæresin sapientia."]

Massæus, lib. Papa Johannes prædicavit errorem, &c. Misitque Parisios duos, alterum Dominicanum, alterum Franciscanum, qui eandem hæresim prædicarent, &c.: "Pope John preached and professed an error, &c. And sent two preachers to Paris, the one a black friar, and the other a gray friar, to maintain the same heresy. But one Thomas, a preacher of England, withstood the pope. Him the pope took, and threw into prison. Hereupon the king summoned a council unto his palace in Vinciana Sylva. The whole assembly subscribed against the pope. Immediately the king sent to pope John, and willed him to reform his error, and to set the preacher at liberty: and so he did."

Thus you see, M. Harding, that pope John, being pope, stood in error: that pope John was condemned for an heretic: that pope John professed and preached false doctrine: that pope John sent out preachers to maintain his heresy, and they were friars: that pope John was controlled by an English preacher: that pope John was reproved by a council: that pope John was willed by the king to reform his error. And yet can you tell us, all this notwithstanding, that pope John was then a private man, and no pope at all. Further you say: "Touching that you have alleged out

M. Harding,

67. a.

of the council of Constance, what a great falsehood is it, to put in your book 21 the name of pope John XXII. for pope John XXIII.? The name of this John XXIII. was M. Harding, (before he took upon him to be pope) Balthazar de Cossa, as there ye have it declared. Neither was it certain, that he held that detestable opinion. Howsoever it be, pope John XXIII. was not a true pope lawfully elect, but an usurper." The Answer. And what if I should here confess an error, M. Harding? or what if I should say, I had not advisedly considered the story, but had taken one number for another? Yet have you not one heretic pope John the less, but one the mo. For howsoever ye number them, as it shall well appear, both were Johns, and both were popes, and both were heretics. But as you can

^{21 [}The book here alluded to is "The View of Untruths."]

so favourably tell us, it is no heresy in a pope wilfully to maintain an open error touching the state of the soul: so I trust of your courtesy ye will not so hastily condemn it for heinous heresy, if a man happen only to misreckon the name or number of a pope. For more than that you cannot make it. Otherwise it may happen, that you yourself, even in this selfsame place, may find yourself in like error, and yield yourself to be an heretic. For where you say, " It was Benedictus Undecimus that followed Johannes XXII.," Onuphrius calleth him Benedictum Decimum: and Sabellicus saith it was Benedictus Duodecimus. In Sabel. Enn. this reckoning, if every misnumbering of a pope be an 9. [lib. 8.] heresy, by your judgment we must needs have two heresies at the least. As for Balthazar a Cossa, whom you call pope John XXIII., Platina calleth him pope John XXIV. Onuphrius calleth him, even as I did, pope John XXII., and not as you do, pope John XXIII. And the other John, whom you call pope John XXII., he calleth pope John XXI. Look on your books, and you shall find it. Now, M. Harding, you see the very causes of all this error: and yet no great cause, why you should so fiercely upbraid us with so great falsehood 22.

The matter wherewith this pope John the latter was charged, was this, as it is specially objected against him in the council of Constance: Quin imo dixit, et pertinaciter credidit, Animam hominis cum corpore humano mori et

22 [Although this variation in the numbering of the popes may account for bishop Jewel's mistake, still he cannot be acquitted of a singular anachronism, inas-much as the two popes were se-parated from each other by the interval of a century. Pope John XXII. (Ossa) elected himself pope A.D. 1316, and was censured by the university of Paris under Philip of Valois; whereas John XXIII. (Balthasar Cossa) was elected (conditionally) by the cardinals at Pisa, (or, as Platina says, at Bologna,) A. D. 1410, and was there were two heretical p condemned and deposed by the this name, instead of one.]

council of Constance, A. D. 1415. It is remarkable, that Humphrey, in Juelli Vita, p. 195, falls still more palpably into the same mistake: "Negat Joannem papam "Vicesimum Secundum de ani-" marum immortalitate perperam " sensisse: ait schola Parisiensis, "ait ejus cancellarius Gerson, " aiunt patres Constantienses:" as if all these referred to the same pope. Bp. Jewel, however, is quite right in saying, that the detection of this mistake only strengthens his argument, as it shews that there were two heretical popes of extingui, ad instar animalium brutorum: "Pope John said, and stubbornly believed, that the soul of man dieth together with the body, and is consumed to nothing, as the soul of brute beasts." Therefore, M. Harding, the greatest fault ye can find in me in this behalf is this, that seeking to find heretics among the popes, I thought there had been but one pope John condemned of heresy, whereas indeed there were two.

You say, "This heresy was objected only against pope John XXIII., but never proved." But it was objected against him, M. Harding, in the council of Constance, and of his part never purged. Seek his purgation where you will, ye shall never find it. And the want of purgation is called in law a plain conviction. Yet, the better to countenance a bad matter, you say,

"This later pope John was no true pope, nor lawfully chosen, as appertained." Who saith so, M. Harding, but only yourself? And what is your own only authority against all others? In the council of Constance, where all the enormities and villanies of this pope John's whole life were blazed abroad, yet this article of his election, and title of popedom, was never laid to his charge. Platina, touch-Platina in Jo- ing his election, saith thus: Bononiæ omnium consensu pontifex creatur: "This John was chosen pope at Bononia, by the consent of all the cardinals." Having the consent of all the cardinals, he wanted none, no not one. And what election can be more canonical, or lawful, than when the voices of all the electors agree together? Certainly in any reasonable judgment he was much more lawfully chosen than pope John XXII., that chose himself. And yet was that pope John a lawful pope. Indeed the other two popes were set up in schism and division, only by a part of the cardinals. But pope John XXIII. was lawfully chosen by the consent and agreement of the whole: and had he not been charged with other crimes, he had never been removed 23.

> 23 [This does not seem so certain; he was elected pope, with full understanding, that in certain the express condition, and on the.

han. 24.

To conclude: you say, "The heretics, that of St. Augustine are called Arabici, were not the first authors of this error: but the Armenians and Grecians, if we believe Guido." The Answer. And why so, M. Harding? Did not the Arabian heretics hold this same error? Verily St. Augustine's words are plain: Arabici dixerunt, animas Aug. ad cum corporibus mori atque dissolvi: et in fine sæculi utrun-deum. [viii. que resurgere. But you say, "The Armenians were the founders of this error: and they were long before the Arabians." For, I trow, so saith your Guido. But examine you better the course of times. You may happen to find St. Augustine saith: "The Arabians were Arabici. ann. in the time of Origen," wellnear fourteen hundred years ago. As for the Armenians, Alphonsus saith, "They Alphonsus, de Haeresib. began about eleven hundred years ago, after the council lib.2.[p.116.] De Adam et of Chalcedon," about the year of our Lord 450 24, that is EvaArmenii, ann. 450. to say, two hundred and fifty years after the Arabians. Now, M. Harding, tell us, I pray you, whether of these two sorts of heretics was the former? It is not a likely matter, that the Arabians, that were fourteen hundred years ago, learned first their heresy of the Armenians, that followed two hundred and fifty years after them.

As for Guido the *Carmelite friar*, no doubt, he was wise and worthy doctor, to be brought forth for a witness against the authority of St. Augustine ²⁵.

Now, that the truth of your words may appear the better, let us lay forth a brief hereof by way of comparison, as in a table.

M. HARDING.

This was an error in pope John XXII., I deny not. Yet for the same is not he to be counted an heretic.

THE ANSWER.

Antoninus: "Pope John uttered words of heresy. And many judged him to be an heretic."

contingencies he should resign. The council of Constance compelled him to fulfil his promise.]

24 [Alphonsus de Castro does

not state this positively, but thinks it probable. He says, however,

that it is certain that they had not yet separated from the church in the time of St. Augustine.

²⁵ [Guido's work is "Summa de Hæresibus."]

Massæus: "Pope John sent preachers to Paris to maintain his heresy."

M. HARDING.

Gerson never said, that pope John made any such decree.

THE ANSWER.

Gerson: "Pope John professed it, and taught this heresy."

Massæus: "Pope John preached and published this error." Prædicavit errorem.

M. HARDING.

This error of pope John XXII. was not condemned by the divines of Paris, in the presence of the king, when he was pope, but before, when he was a private doctor.

THE ANSWER.

Pope John XXII. was *pope* thirteen years before king Philip was *king*.

Antoninus: "Pope John spake words savouring of heresy, in the consistory," that is to say, being pope.

Nauclerus: "The most famous divines proclaimed pope John, being pope, to be an heretic."

Massæus: "Pope John preached error, and sent preachers abroad to maintain his heresy."

M. HARDING.

Pope John was condemned before he was pope, when he lived in the realm of France.

THE ANSWER.

Pope John, during the whole time of his *popedom*, continued still at Avignon in France, and never departed thence to Rome. Sabellicus.

M. HARDING.

Pope John held this error only as his private opinion.

THE ANSWER.

Gerson: "Pope John professed and taught this doctrine."

Massæus: "Pope John preached it, and sent out preachers
to maintain it, and imprisoned them that durst to withstand it."

M. HARDING.

You name pope John XXII. for pope John XXIII.

THE ANSWER.

Onuphrius calleth him as I do, pope John XXII.

Platina calleth him pope John XXIV., and not, as you do, pope John XXIII.

Instead of one heretical pope John, we have found two, and the later much more horrible than the former.

M. HARDING.

This heresy was objected against pope John XXIII. in the council of Constance, but never proved.

THE ANSWER.

This heresy was objected against pope John, but never purged.

M. HARDING.

Pope John XXIII. was never lawfully chosen.

THE ANSWER.

Platina: "Pope John was chosen at Bononia, by the consent of all the cardinals. Whose hath the consent of all the electors, is lawfully chosen."

M. HARDING.

The Arabians were not the authors of this error, but the Armenians.

THE ANSWER.

St. Augustine: "The Arabians were the authors hereof."
The Arabians were two hundred and fifty years before
the Armenians.

So many ways, M. Harding, have you corrupted and altered the truth of this story. And yet you think it lawful for you to cry out against us, "All is false: wicked impudency: ye belie the doctors: ye are malicious: ye are ignorant: ye are shameless shifters."

For the rest, ye say, we belie pope Zosimus: "he cor-Pope Zosimus rupted not the council of Nice." For trial whereof I refer mus.

myself to my former Reply unto your Answer. Certainly, Art. 4. Div. 6.

whatsoever learned man will stand to the denial hereof, [supra vol.] he must needs want colour in his face. The fraud was concul. Afr. notoriously found, and detected to the whole world by the 513 et 514.] ancient learned fathers, Cyrillus and Atticus, the one being patriarch of Alexandria, the other of Antioch, and was

An epistle forged under the name of Athanasius.

The council

bishops, openly in the council of Africa. The peevish forged epistle that ye allege under the name of the learned godly father Athanasius: the fantastical burning of the canons of Nice without fire, with other your like childish vanities, scarcely meet for children to play withal, are likewise answered.

of Nice corrupted. In the Reply. Art. 4. Div. 6. [Supra vol. ii. 15.] Copus, p. 78. Concil. Florent. Sessione 20. [xxxi. 773.]

One of your own Louvanian company confesseth, that in the late council of Florence the Greeks there made open complaint, that the bishop of Rome had corrupted the canons of the council of Nice. Alypius, the bishop of Tagasta, speaking hereof in the council of Carthage, saith thus: Adhuc tamen me movet, quoniam cum inspiceremus Concil. Carthag, 6. cap.
4. [iv. p. 404.] Græca exemplaria hujus synodi Nicenæ, ista ibi, nescio qua ratione, minime invenimus: "Yet this thing moveth me, that when we examined and conferred the originals of the Nicene council, written in Greek, I know not by what means, these things we found not there."

> Addition. Res Howbeit, all this may be easily holpen Addition. by a writ of error. For you will say, pope Zosimus alleged the council of Sardica instead of the council of Nice 27. And

herein he was deceived: and this was his whole fault. If this were all the fault, M. Harding, yet were it a great fault for Christ's vicar, and St. Peter's successor, in maintenance of his own inordinate ambition, to allege one council for another, and therewith to face down two hundred and seventeen bishops in general council. If it were the council of Sardica, and not of Nice, why then did pope Zosimus so often and so stoutly allege it for the council of Nice? How durst he say, he had seen it, he had read it, and had the very true copy of it in his library in Rome? Why did he cause the bishops of Africa to send so many hundred miles, to Constantinople in Thracia, to Alexandria in Egypt, and to Antioch in Syria, to search the originals of the council of Nice, himself knowing there was

 27 [Supra vol. ii. p. 132. note ⁶⁹,
 p. 163. notes ³ and ⁴, and vol. iv.
 p. 466. note ²⁹. It is remarkable that bishop Jewel has likewise confounded the council of Nice and the council of Sardica, when he erroneously maintains that Julius was pope at the time of the council of Nice. Infra p. 586. fol. edit.

no such thing written in the council of Nice? Was this plain dealing, M. Harding? Was this no corruption of a council? The law saith: Magna negligentia culpa est: magna culpa dolus est: "Great negligence is a fault, and a great fault is guile and falsehood."

As for the council of Sardica, Nicolaus Cusanus saith:

Sardicense concilium pro statuto Niceni concilii per lega- Nicol.Cusan, De Concortos apostolicæ sedis falso fuit allegatum: "The council of dia, lib. 2.

Sardica was deceitfully or falsely alleged by the legates of 757.]

the apostolic see of Rome, sent from pope Zosimus instead of a canon of the council of Nice." Here, M. Harding, I beseech you of your courtesy, forget not this: Nicolaus Cusanus, one of your principal doctors, telleth you, that pope Zosimus and his legates deceitfully and falsely alleged the council of Sardica under the name of the council of Nice.

But yet let us see, of what authority and credit was this council of Sardica? Nicolaus Cusanus saith: Augustinus Nicol. Cusanus non putavit illud concilium esse catholicum, sed potius Aria-dia, Ilb. 2. num: "St. Augustine held not the council of Sardica for a cap. 15. [p. catholic council, but rather for a council of Arian heretics." It goeth hard with the pope, M. Harding, when he is driven to leave all catholic councils, and to hold by such evidence.

Verily, Cusanus, opening his own judgment touching the said council of Sardica, saith thus: Verum est, ipsos patres Nicol, Cusan. Africani concilii (in quo et S. Augustinus interfuit) in dia, lib. 2. epistola ad Cælestinum scribere, se hanc constitutionem...... cap. 25. [p. nulla patrum synodo invenisse constitutam. Quare satis posset dubitari, an Sardicensis concilii constitutio existat: "It is certain, that the bishops, in the council of Africa, (among whom also was St. Augustine,) in their letters unto pope Celestine, write thus, that they never found this constitution decreed, in the council of any bishops: wherefore it may well be doubted, whether this be a constitution of the council of Sardica, or rather no." Thus, M. Harding, you see, pope Zosimus falsely alleged a canon of the council of Sardica, for a canon of the council of Nice: you see, the said council of Sardica, whereby ye would hold, was a council of heretics: you see, your own doctor, Cusanus, doubteth whether ever there were any such canon written,

or no, either in the council of Nice, or in the council of Sardica, either by catholics, or by heretics. And yet will you say, It cannot be proved, that pope Zosimus was a corrupter of councils?

Yet pope Boniface, to save the credit of the see of

Epist, Bonif. II. ad Eulalium. [Mansi viii. 732.]

Rome, was forced to say, and publish openly, that the said Alypius, and Aurelius, the bishop of Carthage, and St. Augustine, the bishop of Hippo, and two hundred and fourteen other bishops, that had espied and revealed this falsehood, were all inflamed and led by the devil 28. And copus, p. 93. one of your own sudden doctors of Louvain saith: Hæc omnia, tanquam somnia, tanquam fabulæ, tanquam superflua, abolita, antiquata, calcata sunt: "All these decrees" (of these councils of Carthage and Africa) " are abolished, and repealed, and trodden under foot, as dreams, and fables, and things superfluous 29." This, M. Harding, is the weighing of your councils. If they like you, they are the express voices of the Holy Ghost: if they like you not, they are dreams, and fables, and things superfluous.

Johan, Camotensis.

Camotensis (ve say) is some worshipful doctor, such as by our own judgment might pass in the black guard. Yet was he a bishop, M. Harding, in all respects far better than either your Leontius, or your Hippolytus, or your new found Clemens, whom ye call the apostles' fellow, or your vain fable of Amphilochius. Ye would seem to find fault with the name; and think we should not have written Camotensis, but rather Ivo Carnotensis. Your guess ve shew us: but reason thereof ye shew us none. Ye might as well have said, Fulbertus Carnotensis, who being very much consumed and spent with sickness, as it is learnedly noted among other your verities, for a restorative, sucked our Lady's breast, and by virtue thereof was made whole. Ye might likewise have guessed it had been Johannes Sarisburiensis 30, otherwise called by some, Rupertus

Herm. Rid. [p. 143.]

^{28 [}This epistle is not genuine, as Bp. Jewel intimates supra ii. 273., where see note ⁸⁶.]
²⁹ [Copus quotes these words

from Vincentius Lirinensis. 30 [The writer in question, how-

ever, was Johannes Sarisburiensis. See supra vol. ii. 217. note 46. The sentences in the text occur in different parts of his work " de nugis curialibus" or "Polycraticus:" Bibl. magn. patr. vett.

Carnotensis. For he saith: In ecclesia Romana sedent scriba Jo, Sarisbuet Pharisæi: "In the church of Rome sit the scribes and the Polycratico, Pharisees." But indeed this writer's name is Johannes et lib. v. c. Camotensis alleged by Cornelius Agrippa. His words be Cornel, Athese: Angelis præcipiunt: potestatem habent in mortuos: gripp. de vanit. Scienvim faciunt scripturis, ut habeant plenitudinem potestatis. magistrat. Ipse papa jam factus est intolerabilis. Ejus pompam et Jure Canonifastum nullus tyrannorum unquam æquavit. Legati Romanorum pontificum sic bacchantur in provinciis, ac si ad flagellandam ecclesiam Satan egressus sit a facie Domini: "They lay their commandments upon the angels of God: they have power upon the dead: they wrest and rack the scriptures, that they may have the fulness of power. pope himself is now become untolerable. No tyrant was ever able to match him in pomp and pride. The pope's legates keep such revel in kingdoms and countries, as if Satan were sent abroad from the face of the Lord, to scourge the church." This is not your Ico Carnotensis: it is Johannes Camotensis: and this is his judgment of your church of Rome.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 1.

What will ye say, if the pope's advocates, abbots, and bishops, dissemble not the matter, but shew themselves open enemies to the gospel, and though they see, yet will not see, but wry the scriptures, and wittingly and knowingly corrupt and counterfeit the word of God, and foully and wickedly apply to the pope all the same things which evidently and properly be spoken of the person of Christ only; nor Host. [de by no means can be applied to any other? And pisc.] cap. what though they say, "The pope is all, and above fol, 84, col. I.]

tom. xv. p. 427. lib. v. c. 16., lib. 6. c. 24. They are quoted also by Agrippa in his chapter "de magistratibus ecclesiæ," and in that "de Jure Canonico." Bp. Jewel himself seems to have had

[Vot. iv. p. 74.]

> some doubts respecting the true cap. Venera-author, as we learn from his letter fol. 156. cot. consulting Bullinger, (March 10, 1.] 1566.) which is printed infra in vol. viii.]

de Elect.

Cornel, Episc. [Bi-tont.] in Conc. Trid. [inter Concion, Tri-dent. p. 16. col. 2.]

> a Untruth. blasphem-

plain, Papa lux venit in

mundum.

all?" Or, " That the pope can do as much as Christ can do:" and, "That one judgment-place, and one council-house, serveth for the pope, and for Christ, both together?" Or, "That the pope is the same light which should come into the world:" which words Christ spake of himself alone: and, "That whose is an evildoer, hateth and fleeth from that light?" Or, "That all the other bishops have received of the pope's fulness?"

M. HARDING.

You have never done with your "what ifs." Your interpreter, good gentlewoman, that favoureth your pleasant divinity so much, seemeth to be weary of it herself. For here she turneth your Quid si into "What will ye say if." And now, sir, do you demand of us, as madam interpreter maketh you to speak, what we will say? Forsooth, for this you allege against the pope's advocates, abbots, and bishops, we say, that the most part is very false and slanderous: somewhat may be taken for truth in a right sense. As for the advocates, I mind not to be their advocate, neither have they need of my help. Let them answer one for another: Hostiensis for abbot Panormitane, and he for Hostiensis. In good sooth, were those excellent men at this day living, I think verily they would not do you that honour, as to answer you themselves. Or if they would vouchsafe to do so much, I doubt not, but they would make short work with you, and take you up roundly for halting, with one word, mentiris, dashing all your allegations, which word in your divinity is a verb commune.

Thus leaving Hostiensis and Panormitane to defence of the canonists, telling you by the way, that in questions of divinity we stand not always to their sayings; we answer you on the behalf of Cornelius, the bishop of Bitonto in Italy, (for him ye mean, I suppose, putting in your margent the name of Cornelius only,) that he never said, athe pope is the light which should come into the world, in that sense as it is spoken of Christ. If you were ous, unad-ous, unad-visedly de-fended. For the world be found a liar, as in many other points you are found already. That he never wrote it in any of his eloquent Italian sermons, set forth in print, I am assured. And more hath he not set forth. Now it remaineth that you tell us, where he saith

so, aor else confess your slanderous lie.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Where ye say, M. Harding,—I think ye have learned of a child,—that mentiris is a verb common, if ye hold on as

ye have begun, ye will shortly alter the property thereof to yourself, and make it henceforth a verb private. Touching Hostiensis and Panormitane, I will say nothing, but only refer you to the places.

As for Cornelius, the bishop of Bitonto, forasmuch as, contrary to your nature, ye plead ignorance, and say ye cannot find the place, read therefore these words in his oration openly pronounced in your late chapter at Trident: Quis erit tam injustus rerum æstimator, qui non dicat, conc. Tri-Papa lux venit in mundum? sed dilexerunt homines tene- dent sub- Paulo 3.

Oratio Corbras magis quam lucem. Omnis qui male agit, odit lucem, nel. Episc. et non venit ad lucem, ut non arguantur opera ejus, quia [Bitontin. Gines Trimala sunt: "Who will so unjustly weigh things, but he dent. p. 16. will say, The pope is the light that is come into the world? col. 2. But men have loved darkness more than" (the pope, that is) "the light. Whosoever doth evil, hateth the light, and cometh not to the light, lest his works should be discovered, for that they be evil."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 2.

Shortly, what though they make decrees expressly against God's word, and that, not in hucker mucker, or covertly, but openly, and in the face of the world: must it needs yet be gospel straight, whatsoever they say? Shall these be God's holy army? Or, will Christ be at hand among them there? Shall the Holy Ghost flow in their tongues, or can they with truth say, We and the Holy Ghost have thought so?

M. HARDING.

After a great many of your foolish and false "what ifs," you conclude shortly with "What if they make decrees expressly against God's word, and that openly in the face of the world?" Hereto we answer, requiting your What if with another What if, say, What if the learned and holy fathers, &c.

[Vol. iv. p. 74.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 6. Divis. 3.

Indeed, Peter Asotus³¹ and his companion Hosius [Vol. iv. p. Hosius contra Brent. 15.62. fol. 165. stick not to affirm, that the same council, wherein E.] our Saviour Jesus Christ was condemned to die, had both the spirit of prophesying, and the Holy Ghost,

and the Spirit of truth: and, that it was neither a false, nor a trifling saying, when those bishops said, " We have the law, and by our law he ought to die:" and, that they, so saying, did light upon the very truth of judgment: (for so be Hosius' words:) and, that the same plainly was a just decree, whereby they pronounced, that Christ was worthy to die. This, methinketh, is strange, that these men are not able to speak for themselves, and to defend their own cause, but they must also take part with Annas and Caiaphas against Christ³². For if they will call that a lawful and a good council, wherein the Son of God was most shamefully condemned to die the

Christ worthy to die.

M. HARDING.

death³³, what council will they then allow for false and naught? And yet (as all their councils, to say truth, commonly be) necessity compelled them to pronounce these things of the council holden by

34.....Such unhonest toys better become Brentius, that shameless railing heretic³⁵......Now to you, sir defender. You belie Hosius, as Brentius, of whom you borrowed this, belied the

Annas and Caiaphas.

ness) from you, shall leave you little worth for all your pretty Greek, Latin, and English....If this be the fault of the author himself, by whom your translation hath been corrected and allowed, beshrew his fingers for so writing, and blame your own shrewd head for willing it to pass abroad in your name A. B., if these two letters report your name."]

³¹ [Apol. Lat. "Petrus à Soto."]³² [These words, "against Christ," are added in the translation.]

^{33 [}Apol. Lat. "ad erucem."] 34 Harding comments on "the lady interpreter" turning the "ù Soto" of the Latin into "Aso-

tus."]
35 [Harding proceeds: "For these virtues (truth, honesty, and womanly shamefast-

reverend father Peter a Soto. Either you have readen the place of Hosius, or you have not. If you have not, then are you to blame to say so much evil that you know not. If you have readen the place, then is your fault plain malice, in putting that to Hosius, the contrary whereof you find in the place by yourself alleged, by which you lead us, as it were by the hand, to behold and consider your own dishonesty. How just cause you have to reprehend Hosius, for that he wrote against Brentius in defence of Petrus a Soto, touching the council in which Christ was condemned by Caiaphas, it should best appear to him, that would read the whole place where Hosius treateth that matter. same would I here have rehearsed, to the discovering of your false dealing, and shameless lying, were not the same very long....

First, this is the truth touching the whole: a The acts of those a A discreet

priests of the Jews' synagogue were wicked, and contrary to and a worthy Christ. a But their sentence, though themselves were never so acts were evil, was not only true, but also to mankind most profitable the sentence And St. John in his Gospel witnesseth, it was the oracle of God. sentencewas For when, after long deliberation of the council, Caiaphas the that Christ high bishop and president of that council had pronounced his the death. sentence, whereunto all the rest almost gave their consent, "It is expedient for us, that one man die for the people, and not that all the nation perish;" the evangelist thereto added his verdict, saving, "This he said, not of himself, but whereas he was high bishop of that year, he prophesied." Therefore let this be the true conclusion of the whole matter: The acts of that council were wicked, the sentence was true, and good. Now Hosius treateth this matter so learnedly, and so substantially, as you cannot truly take any advantage of his words to reprehend him. He stayeth himself upon the scripture, a good ground to stand

tibi judicii veritatem: "bThey shall shew unto thee the truth of b This truth judgment." In this judgment, saith Hosius, though it were was, that the never so wicked, yet was the truth of judgment. How that son of God was a blasmight be, there he proveth it to Brentius by most manifest argu-phemer, and had deserved

upon. Which scripture referreth doubtful and hard questions to the priests of the Levitical order. Of whom it is said, Indicabunt

Where ye impute to Hosius, to have said, that the same plainly was a just decree, cwhereby they pronounced that Christ was c Untruth. worthy to die, that is your slanderous lie, not Hosius' saying. For thus saying Calaphas: c For he saith the clean contrary, and that sundry times, that it and his judgment Hosins was a wicked council, and most unjust decree. God forbid any defendeth, as Christian man should say that Christ was worthy to die. d He true and godly. saith, it might have been truly pronounced by Caiaphas, that he d Christ, by was guilty of death. And there he sheweth how, very religiously Hosius' judg-ment was and wisely admonishing the reader, that he was most innocent, guilty of and deserved not to die. And thus, sir, you may see, we take death.

not part with Annas and Caiaphas, as you rail, and yet be able, God be thanked, to defend our true cause, and declare you to the world to be false teachers. Therefore belie us no more......

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Good Christian reader, this whole matter concerneth only the credit and certainty of general councils. Sotus and Hosius say, whatsoever is determined in council, must be taken as the undoubted judgment and word of God. Hereunto the godly learned father Johannes Brentius replieth thus: "Councils sometimes have erred, and have utterly wanted the Spirit of God, as it may appear by that in a council the Son of God was condemned, and judged to die the death." Hosius answereth: "When Annas and Caiaphas sat as presidents in the council, and Christ the Son of God was by them condemned to die, yet nevertheless the same council had the assistance of the Holy Ghost, and the undoubted Spirit of truth." For, speaking of the Hosius, lib. 2, same council, he saith thus: Vides, Brenti, quemadmodum contra Brent. non defuerit sacerdotio Levitico spiritus propheticus, Spiritus Sanctus, Spiritus veritatis: "Ye see, friend Brentius, how that the Levitical priesthood" (that pronounced sentence of death against Christ) " wanted not the spirit of prophecy, the Holy Ghost, the Spirit of truth." Again he saith: Ex quo tempore primus parens noster de vetito ligno gustavit, factus est mortis reus Christus Dei, &c. Nec falsum fuit illud, quod dixerunt, Nos legem habemus et secundum legem hanc debet mori: "From the time that our first father tasted of the forbidden fruit, Christ the Son of God became quilty of death: neither was it false, that the Jews said, We have a law, and according to that law he ought to die." With this spirit, I trow, he was inspired, that wrote this marginal note upon your Decrees: Judæi mortaliter pec-Item: in Glossa, et in cassent, nisi Christum crucifixissent: "The Jews had committed mortal sin, if they had not nailed Christ unto the Again Hosius saith: Nulla esse potest tanta ponti-

Pag. 62. b. [fol. 165. F.

ed. 1562.]

Dist. 13. Item: in margine.

Pag. 63. [fol. Cross." 165. H.] ficum improbitas, quæ impedire queat, quo minus vera sit illa Dei promissio, Qui indicabunt tibi indicii veritatem: "Be the wickedness of bishops never so great, it can never hinder, but that this promise of God shall ever be true, The bishops shall show thee the truth of judgment."

This, therefore, M. Harding, is by your doctor's meaning: it is sufficient that bishops only meet in council. God will supply all the rest. Whatsoever they determine, the Holy Ghost will assist them: they cannot err. All this is as true, as that Hosius your doctor saith: "Annas and Caia-Hosius conphas could not err in pronouncing sentence of death against 63, b. [fol. 165, H.] Christ "

But for excuse hereof, somewhat to salve a festery matter, ye tell us a long tedious tale, without head or foot: and that your reader may think ye say somewhat, ye cry out aloud, "Shameless railing heretics, we belie Hosius, we belie Sotus. Our false dealing, our shameless lying! we are impudent, and continue in lying." These, M. Harding, be the proofs and grounds of your doctrine, and the most savoury and fairest flowers in your garland.

The substance of your tale is this: "The acts of the council, where Christ was condemned, were lewd and wicked: but the sentence of death pronounced by the bishops against Christ, was just and true." And thus by your dalliance in dark words, and by your blind distinction between act and sentence, ye seek shifts to mock the world. Ye should plainly have told us, what were these sentences: and what were these acts: and what great difference ye can espy between act and sentence: or when ever ye heard of sentence in judgment without act: or of perfect act without sentence: or how the sentence of the judge may be true, if the act be false: or how the act may be right, if the sentence be wrong. For the act is a direction to the sentence: and the sentence groweth upon the act. For your credit's sake, leave these toys, M. Harding. Ye have used them overlong. They are too childish for a child: they become not your gravity: they deceive the simple.

Indeed, I can easily believe, that neither Sotus nor Hosius was ever so wicked to say that Christ was rightly

Howbeit, he that saith: and worthily done to death. "The sentence of death pronounced in council against Christ, was just and true," seemeth indeed to say no less. For if the sentence of Christ's death were just, then had Christ undoubtedly deserved to die. The very case and course of your doctrine undoubtedly forced them thus to say. For if all councils be good and holy, without exception, then must that also be a good and a holy council, that was assembled against God and against his Christ.

Hosius in Confessione Petricovien. cap. 29. [fol. 22. c.]

Hosius, your doctor, to make the matter plain, saith thus: Judasne sit, an Petrus, an Paulus, Deus attendi non vult: sed solum hoc, quod sedet in cathedra Petri: quod apostolus: quod Christi legatus: quod angelus est Domini exercituum: de cujus ore legem requirere jussus es. Hoc solum spectari vult. Si Judas est, quandoquidem apostolus est, nihil te moveat, quod fur est: "God will never have thee consider, whether the pope be a Judas, or a Peter, or a Paul. It is sufficient only that he sitteth in Peter's chair: that he is an apostle: that he is Christ's ambassador: that he is the angel of the Lord of hosts: from whose mouth thou art commanded to require the law. This thing, only, Christ would have thee to consider. he Judas, forasmuch as he is an apostle, let it not move thee, though he be a thief."

But Caiaphas said, "It is good that one man die for the people, lest all the people perish." Ergo, say you, "Caiaphas had the Spirit of God." Alas, M. Harding, although you little pass for your divinity, yet why have you no more regard unto your logic. Every child knoweth, that this is a paralogismus, or a deceitful kind of reasoning, called fallacia accidentis. And that ye may the better espy your oversight, like as ye say, "Caiaphas prophesied blindly, himself not understanding what he said, ergo, he had the Holy Ghost:" even so may ye say, "Balaam's ass reproved his master, and spake the truth, as Caiaphas did: ergo, Balaam's ass had the Holy Ghost." St. Paul saith: 1 Cor. xii. 3. " No man can say, The Lord Jesus, but in the Spirit of God." Hereof by your logic ve may reason thus: "The

devil said unto Christ, I know that thou art Christ the Son of the living God; ergo, the devil had the Spirit of God."

It pitieth me, M. Harding, to see your follies. Although Caiaphas, unwares and against his will, by the enforcement and power of God, at one only time spake words of truth, as did also *Balaam's ass*, and the *devil*, yet it followeth not, that we should therefore at all times run to Caiaphas to seek the truth.

St. Augustine saith: Quando Deus voluit, etiam mutum Ang. Epist. jumentum rationabiliter loquutum est. Nec ideo admoniti tom. is. St. [l. 57] sunt homines, in deliberationibus suis, etiam asinina expectare consilia: "When it pleased God, Balaam's ass, being a dumb beast, was able to speak as a man. Yet are not men, therefore, commanded in all their consultations and doubtful cases to seek counsel of an ass."

As for the lies, shames, and slanders, ye would so liberally lay upon us, it may please you to take them freely home again. If ye be full freight, and have store sufficient of your own, yet may you divide them among your poor Louvanian brethren. It shall be a work of supererogation. For yewiss, they have of their own enough already. To conclude, your whole drift herein is, to force your reader to have a good opinion of Annas and Caiaphas, that condemned Christ to die the death: for that, as Hosius saith, they had the Spirit of prophesy, the Holy Ghost, and the Spirit of truth: and therefore could not err in their judgment.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 7. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p.

But will these men (I say) reform us the *church*, being themselves both the persons guilty, and the judges too? Will they abate their own ambition and their pride? Will they overthrow their own causes, and give sentence against themselves, that they must leave off to be unlearned *bishops*, slowbellies, heapers together of benefices, takers upon

a Untruth.

them as princes and men of war? Will the abbots, the pope's dear darlings, judge that monk for a thief. which laboureth not for his living: and that it is against all law to suffer such a one to live and to be found either in city or in country, all of other men's charges? Or else, that a monk ought to lie on the ground, to live hardly with herbs and peason 36, to study earnestly, to argue, to pray, to work with hand, and fully to bend himself, to come to the ministry of the church? In faith, as soon will the Pharisees and Scribes repair again the temple of God, and restore it unto us a house of prayer, instead of a den of thieves.

M. HARDING.

Ye leap with a light skip from one thing to another, neither dwell ye long in any one point, but in lying.

But ye say, they be both the persons guilty, and the judges also. Judges doubtless they be. For their vocation is lawful; ye cannot disprove it. Guilty also they be, we deny not, but whereof? Of frail living, not of false teaching (FOR COMMONLY THEY TEACH NOTHING). And where? In the court of conscience, a not in the court of man. Or if any of them be, both For their life before this council, and in this council godly orders have been

is notorious to the world. decreed for wholesome reformation.

As for monks, ye may not look now, that either they get their living only by their hand labour, or that they be bound to the hard discipline which monks lived in for twelve hundred years past. Now be other days, other manners. Such great austerity is to be wondered at, and to be wished for. But whether the religious men of our time be to be compelled thereto, I leave it to wise consideration. If it may be lawful to direct us in such spiritual cases, by an old example of extern prudency, me thinketh the discretion of Jacob's answer to his brother Esau is worth to be thought on. When Esau courteously offered his brother Jacob, returning from Mesopotamia with all his train of household and cattle, to go with him and keep him company the rest of the journey that remained from the place of their first meeting; Jacob full mildly said, "Sir, you know, if it like your lord- Gen. xxxiii.

^{36 [&}quot;Peason," (Apol. Lat. "ciceribus,") an old plural for "pease," Todd's Johnson.]

ship, that I have here with me tender babes, bewes with lamb, b M. Harding and kine with calf: if I overlabour them with fast going, my likeneth his monks to flocks will die all in a day: may it please your lordship to go droves of before me your servant? I will follow after the flock fair and cattle. soft, so as I shall see my little ones able to bear it."

Likewise if there be not a discreet moderation used, but all monks be rigorously driven to the austerity of life they lived in of old time, in this so great looseness of manners, specially the discipline of all religions being so far slacked in comparison of the ancient severity, it is to be feared we shall rather see cloisters forsaken (WHICH GOD GRANT) than a godly reformation

In the end of this paragraph ye shew yourself to despair of

procured (WHICH WILL NEVER BE).

Matt. xxiii. 2, 3.

our amendment. God give you grace so to do for your parts, as we may have good cause to hope better of you. But whether we amend our faults, or otherwise, what pertaineth that to the justification of your new gospel, and to the disproof of the catholic faith by us defended? You know it is no good argument a moribus ad doctrinam. Who would not hiss you, and tramp you out of schools, if ye made this fond reason: the papists' lives be faulty, ergo, their teaching is false? To this head all the reasons of your Apology in effect may be reduced: and they hold, Per locum topicum novi evangelii a malis moribus. c Doth c Here M. not Christ himself confute all such your feeble reasons, where he compareth saith, The Scribes and Pharisees sit in the chair of Moses; what-the pope and his bishops soever they say to you do ye, but after their works do ye not?

with the Scribes and Pharisees.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Ye say, If your monks and friars should now be forced to keep the old discipline and severity of their foundations, they would rather break their cloisters, and leave altogether. And, therefore, by a fit comparison, ye resemble them to the heavy droves of Jacob's cattle. Whereby ye seem secretly to give us to imagine, that the monks' cowl is not always so holy a weed as is pretended. St. Hierom, describing the life and order of monks in his time, saith Humi- Hier. [leg. Paul. et Euthus: Nihil arrogant sibi de continentia supercilii. litatis inter omnes contentio est. Quicunque novissimus stoch.] ad , Marcellam, fuerit, hic primus putatur. In veste nulla discretio, nulla ut commiadmiratio. Utcunque placuerit incedere, nec detractions hem. [Iv. pt. est, nec laudis. Jejunia neminem sublevant: nec defertur inediæ: nec moderata saturitas condemnatur. Suo Domino stat unusquisque, aut cadit. Nemo judicat alterum, ne a Domino judicetur: "They brag not of their sole or single

All their contention is, who may be most humble. life. Whosoever is last, he is counted first. There is neither difference nor wondering in apparel. Howsoever it pleaseth a man to go, he is neither slandered for it, nor commended. No man is advanced for his fasting. Neither is abstinence praised, nor sober refreshing condemned. Each man either standeth or falleth to his Lord. No man judgeth other, lest of the Lord he himself be judged."

But (ye say) your monks now-a-days are waxen nice and crank 37. Such extreme rigour and severity they may not bear.

Sulpitius Severus in Chronic.

Such holy men they were, of whom Sulpitius Severus writeth: Sedentes munera expectant, atque omne vitæ decus mercede corruptum habent, dum quasi venalem præ se ferunt sanctimoniam: "These friars sit still, and look for money: and have all the beauty of their life corrupted with hire, setting their holiness out to sale." Of such holy persons, St. Hierom telleth us: Post cænam dubiam apostolos somde Virginita. niant: "After they have well filled their bellies, they

Eustochium

Hier, ad

In eadem [ib. p. 35.]

[iv. pt. 2.34.] dream of the apostles." In like sort he writeth of certain monks: Apud hos affectata sunt omnia: laxæ manicæ: epist. ad Eustochium. caliqæ follicantes: vestis crassior: crebra suspiria: visitatio virginum: detractio clericorum: et si quando dies festus venerit, saturantur ad vomitum: "Among these men, all things are counterfeit: their wide sleeves, their great boots: their coarse gown: their often sighs: their visiting of virgins: their backbiting of priests. And if there come a holy day, they eat until they be fain to perbreak 38." This, no doubt, is that holiness that Christ brought into the world.

Nicolaus Cusanus, a cardinal of the church of Rome, thus setteth out the whole life and holiness of your monks: Apud plures non nisi habitus extrinsecus remansit, et nihil illib. 9. Anima. de spiritu fundatoris: "In the most part of them there appeareth only an outward shew in their apparel: but they have left themselves no part of their founder's spirit."

Nicol. Cusanus Excit. [p. 651.]

³⁷ [" Crank," i. e. " weak, sickly," from the German, "krank." overlooked in Todd's Johnson.] 38 [To "perbreak, or parbreak," "to vomit." Germ. "erbrechen."] This meaning of the word has been

Again he saith: Fallacia illorum, qui sub habitu Christi Nicol. Chsaapparent, vix potest sciri, ob suam varietatem. Nam alius lib. 7. [leg. quidem sub hac veste, alius sub alia, alius sub capitio, alius lib. 7. [leg. sub hoc religionis signo, alius sub alio se Christo militare asserit: licet pene omnes non quæ Christi, sed quæ sua sunt quærant. Omnes enim student avaritiæ a maximo usque ad minimum: "The falsehood of them that walk under the apparel of Christ can hardly be known, they are so divers. For they all say, they serve Christ, one under one weed, another under another: one under a cowl, another under a hood: one under one badge of religion, and another under another. Notwithstanding, the whole sort of them, for the most part, seek their own, and not that pertaineth to Jesus Christ. For they are all bent to covetousness, even from the greatest to the least."

These are your monks, M. Harding, this is their holiness. They have no part of their founder's spirit: they seek their own: they seek not the glory of Christ.

But your life (ye say) is no prejudice to your faith: howsoever you live, yet is your doctrine right good and catholic: and that ye prove by the words of Christ: "The Matt. xxiii. scribes and Pharisees sit on Moses' chair: whatsoever they say to you, do ye, but after their works do ye not." If this be the best claim ye can hold by, then suffer us, M. Harding, to say to you, as Christ sometime said to them, whom ye confess to be your fathers: "Woe be unto In eodem you, ye scribes and Pharisees, ye hypocrites. Ye devour and raven up poor widows' houses, under the colour of long prayer. Outwardly ye seem holy: but within ye are full of hypocrisy and wickedness."

St. Augustine saith unto the old heretics called the August de morib. Manichees: Dicitis, non oportere omnino quæri, quales sunt nich. Ilb. 2. homines, qui vestram sectam profitentur: sed qualis sit ipsa [i.743.et] professio.——Quid vobis fallacius, quid insidiosius, quid malitiosius dici aut inveniri potest? "Ye say, we may not examine what men they be that profess your sect: but only what is their profession. What thing can there be found more false, more deceitful, more malicious, than you are?"

Thus said St. Augustine to the Manichees. Take heed, M. Harding, lest the same may be said to some of you.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 7. Divis. 2.

There have been, I know, certain of their own [Vol. iv. p. companions, which have found fault with many errors in the church, as pope Adrian, Æneas Sylvius, cardinal Pole, Pighius, and others, as is aforesaid: they held afterwards their council at Trident, in the selfsame place where it is now appointed. There assembled many bishops and abbots, and others, whom it behoved for that matter. They were alone by themselves: whatsoever they did, nobody gainsayed it 38; for they had quite shut out and barred our side from all manner of assemblies: and there they sate six years, feeding folks with a marvellous expectation of their doings. The first six months, as though it were greatly needful, they made many determinations of the holy Trinity, of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, which were godly things indeed, but not so necessary for that time. Let us see, in all that while, of so many, so manifest, so often confessed by them, and so evident errors, what one error have they amended? From what kind of idolatry have they reclaimed the people? What superstition have they taken away? What piece of their tyranny and pomp have they diminished? As though all the world may not now see, that this is a conspiracy, and not a council: and that these bishops, whom the pope hath now called together, be wholly sworn and become bound to bear him their faithful allegiance, and will do no manner of thing, but that they perceive pleaseth him, and helpeth to advance his power, and as he will have

^{38 [}Apol. Lat. "nemo erat, qui obstreperet."]

it: or that they reckon not of the number of men's voices, rather than of the weight and value of the same: or, that might there doth not oftentimes overcome right.

M. HARDING.

..... As you proceed, you talk your pleasure of the godly and learned fathers assembled in the late council of Trent. By the way, as your manner is, you drop lies. Of which one is, that they had quite shut out and barred your side from all manner of assemblies: a which is a foul lie. That the first six months they occupied them- a Untruth, selves with making many determinations of the holy Trinity: that manifest, as also is another lie. For then the world had no need of any shall appear, new determinations or decrees concerning the Trinity; what it shall have hereafter, by occasion of your chief master John b Calvin's doctrine, it is more feared, than yet perceived.....

Where ye would fain see of so many, so manifest, so often For M. Calconfessed by themselves, and so evident errors, what one error vin was ever they have amended: they are not like to satisfy your longing. unto the And yet they have taken order for the amendment cof so many cuntruth as they know. Neither is any of the same about any point of our most impudent. For faith, but about things of less weight. Your exaggeration of the their own terms, "so many, so manifest, so often confessed by them, and so confessed and known evident," reporteth in one sentence your so many, so manifest, so errors, they often confuted by us, and so evident lies. When you follow your never once touched. hot humour, and ask, from what kind of idolatry the fathers of the Tridentine council have reclaimed the people, you go too far. Whatsoever blasphemy ye utter in books and sermons against the adoration of the blessed sacrament of the altar, we know no kind of idolatry used in the church: dneither is any idolatry committed d Untruth, by us in worshipping of saints, in praying to them, nor in the onlessed by us in worshipping of saints, in praying to them, nor in the M. Harding's reverence we exhibit to their images, as ye bear the people in hand, own fellows. e As I cannot well take a hair from your lying beard, so wish I, Answer. that I could pluck malice from your blasphemous heart.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Whether the learned men of our side were shut out from the right and liberty of your council, or no, it may soon appear, partly by that is already said: partly by that Part. r. Dishall be said hereafter. Verily, the *pope*, for his *præmu*- leg. cap. 6.

nire, will not suffer any bishop to give voice in *council*, vol. iv. p.

agas, j. unless he have him first solemnly sworn to the *see of* part. 6. cap.

Brown and the second state of the se Rome, and therefore they be all called his creatures. Cicero saith, Verres, when he had bribed and spoiled the rem Actione whole island of Sicilia, thought it not good to suffer his

e O profound

name, or any part of his doings, to come in hazard, but only before a judge or arbiter of his own.

Therefore the French king's ambassador, as it is said before, protested thus openly even in your said council: Minus legitima, minusque libera dicuntur fuisse illa concilia: qui aderant, ad voluntatem alterius semper loque-Tridentinas, bantur: "These councils are counted neither so free, nor so lawful, as they ought to be: they that were there, spake evermore to please another:" (by which other, he meant the pope).

Anno 1547. Citatur ab Illyric, in contra Concil. Trident.

[p. 23.]

In Conc. Trid. Anno

orationes

No. 31.]

And for that cause, the emperor's majesty, by his ambassador Hurtadus Mendoza, solemnly protested against the assembly of the same council. His words be these: Ego Illyric, in Protestatione Jacobus Hurtadus Mendoza, nomine pientissimi, et invictissimi domini mei, Caroli Cæsaris Romani imperatoris, ex illius speciali mandato, ac nomine totius sacri Romani imperii, aliorumque regnorum ac dominiorum suorum, protestor, nullam posse esse authoritatem assertorum legatorum sanctitatis vestræ, et eorum episcoporum qui sunt Bononiæ, sanctitati vestræ majori ex parte obnoxiorum, atque ab illius nutu omnino pendentium, ut in religionis, et morum reformationis causa, &c. legem præscribant: "I, James Hurtado Mendoza, in the name of the most godly, and most mighty prince my lord Charles, the Roman emperor, by his special commission, and in the name of the whole Roman empire. and all others his realms and dominions, do protest, that the authority of the pretensed legates of your holiness, and of such other bishops, as be now at Bononia," (unto which town the council of Trident was then adjourned,) " for the most part bound unto your holiness, and wholly hanging upon your beck, is of no force, namely, to make laws in cause of reformation of religion and manners."

And that it may appear, in what obedience, and servile subjection, all bishops be unto the pope, Æneas Sylvius. otherwise called pope Pius the Second, saith thus: Quod si episcopus papa contradicat, etiam vera loquendo, nihilominus peccat contra jusjurandum papæ præstitum: "If a bishop speak against the pope, yea, although he speak the truth, yet, nevertheless, he sinneth against the oath that he

Paralipo-men, Ursperg. Æneas Sylv. ad Capitu-lum Moguntinum.

hath made unto the pope." Therefore whereas at the late conference at Norenberg, it was required by the princes and states of Germany, that all bishops coming to the council, might both be discharged from their oath made to the pope, and also sworn to speak and to promote the truth, the pope's legate there made answer in great disdain, that Joh. Sleidality might not so be: for that so the pope's hands should be stated bound. Hereby, M. Harding, a blind man may easily see esse colligare manus pontities from and freedom of your councils. If the bishops be fices.

Whether your fathers in the chapter at Trident sat there six whole months, debating and reasoning about the Trinity, or no, of certain knowledge, I cannot tell. But certainly, what thing else they did, either in all that time. or long after, you can hardly shew us. Therefore, if they did not this, forasmuch as nothing else appeareth of their doings, we must imagine, they sat mute in a mummery, and said nothing. Notwithstanding Cassander saith, they cassander in bestowed one whole summer in great and holy disputations tionedecomabout meaner matters than the Trinity: I mean, only about utraque spethe communion of the cup. Martinus Kemnitius saith, they fatione [Tyheld disputations there, and kept great stir, seven whole 1977. Anno months together, about the justification of faith and works: Mart. Kemand yet, in the end, left it worse than they found it. We amin. Conc. Trident. pag. say, You yourselves have espied many disorders in your 638. [pt. i. rhurch of Rome, as it is plain by your own confessions. To reckon them all in particular, it were too long. I have partly touched them heretofore. Albertus Pighius con-Albert. Pighius, in con-hius, in con-hius, in confesseth, there be abuses in your mass. The French king's trover. [Ration of the state of the sta Vel præfectorum ecclesiæ incuria, vel etiam (ne quid gra-In Concil.
Trident, an. vius dicam) præpostera pietate, irrepsisse in ecclesiam res 1562. nonnullas antiquatione, abrogatione, vel moderatione dignas, fateamur necesse est: "We must needs confess, that either by the negligence of the bishops, or by some disordered opinion of holiness, for I will say no more:" (he meaneth falsehood, and mockery, and wilful avarice,) "certain things are brought into the church, worthy either to be put away and abolished, or at least to be qualified."

Picus Mirand, ad Leo-In Concil. Lateran. [Opp.tom.ii. Copus Dia-

Picus Mirandula besought pope Leo X. to abate the nem Pap. 10. vain multitude of your ceremonies, to reform your prayers, and to cut off your fables 39.

One of your own Louvanian fellows saith: "Even now-Copus Dia. log. 1, p. 115, adays many good men mislike so many appeals to Rome." Some others find fault with your pardons: some with your simony: some with your stews: some with your licentious keeping and maintaining of concubines.

> I will not enlarge the matter further. These and other like things are confessed by yourselves. Other greater matters I will not touch. For in cases of faith, for your credit's sake, ye may grant no manner error. For otherwise it might be thought, ye have neither the faith, nor the life of Christian men.

> Now therefore tell us, M. Harding, what one abuse, of all the abuses in your mass: what one disorder or deformity, of so many disorders and deformities in your church: what one vain ceremony, what one childish fable, what appeal to Rome, what simony, what pardon, what stews, what courtegians, what concubines, have ve reformed? If ye redress not those gross and sensible abuses, that we see with your eyes: how then will ye redress other more secret matters, that pertain only to faith, and be not seen? If ye will not reform your open stews, when will ye reform the church of God? But ye are bold to assure us, that there is no kind of idolatry, nor ever was any, in your whole church of Rome. Notwithstanding, some others of your best learned friends have thought otherwise, as it shall appear.

Epiph. lib. 3. in Oratione de Fide Catholica. [i. 1094.] Οἱ εἴδωλα μέν βδελυτδώλοις δὲ

TES.

First, Epiphanius saith of certain Persians, named Magusæi: Idola quidem detestantur: tamen idolis cultum exhibent: "They abhor the sight of idols: yet they fall down and worship idols." And what if a man would say the τόμενοι, εl- same of your clergy of Rome? Verily, notwithstanding ye σωλοίς δε προσκυνοῦν- would seem to mislike of idols, yet your churches and chapels are full of idols. Again, he reporteth certain words

> 39 [The greater part of this Orapravity prevailing in the church tion is taken up with a frightful of Rome. description of the enormous de

of St. Paul, as uttered of him by the spirit of prophecy:

Erunt mortuis cultum divinum prastantes, quemadmodum Epiphan.
contra Collyctiam in Israel impie coluerunt: "They shall give godly ridian.
honour unto dead men, like as also they did in Israel 40."

What opinion ye have had of saints departed, I need not here to remember. Cardinal Bembus, in an epistle unto the emperor Charles V., calleth the blessed Virgin, Dominam Deam nostram, "Our lady and goddess." Your Bembus in great Hercules Lipomanus crieth out in his marginal Carolum 5. agony: Ecce quam potentissima est sancta Dei genetrix: et Lipomanus quomodo nullus salvus fieri possit nisi per eam! "Behold, p. 289. how mighty is the holy mother of God, and how no man may be saved, but by her!" If this be not manifest idolatry, it may please you to give it some other name.

It seemeth this error began to spring long sithence, even in the time of the old fathers: and that hereof Faustus the heretic took occasion thus to charge the catholics for the same: Idola vertistis in martyres: "Ye have changed August. control the heathen idols into your martyrs." Further ye say, the lib. 20. c. 21. [viii. 346.]

Yet Polydorus Vergilius speaking hereof, saith thus: (Quia Polyd. Verg. sacerdotes populum non docent, et vulgo ex usu suo tacere reman, lib. 6. putantur, idcirco) eo insaniæ deventum est, ut hæc pars pietatis parum differat ab impietate: "For that the priests instruct not the people, and are thought to hold their peace for gain's sake, the matter is brought to such a dotage, that this part of devotion differeth but little from extreme wickedness 41."

And Ludovicus Vives saith, he seeth no great difference Ludov. Vives in lib. de Civ. between many Christian men worshipping their images, Dei. and an heathen man adoring his idols. Catharinus, one of your great doctors of Trident, saith thus: An licet adorare Catharinus in libello de imagines ipsas, et illis cultum præbere? Sunt qui hoc Imaginibus.

^{40 [}This passage occurs, not in the special account of the Collyridian heresy (No. 79), but incidentally in the chapter immediately preceding, that is, No. 78. contra Antidicomarianitas: in the Lat. ed. of 1562. p. 469.]

⁴¹ [The words in parenthesis are not there; but Polydore says that the idolatry was practised by rudiores stupidioresque, conveying obliquely a reflection on their teachers.]

omnino negent, et clament esse idololatriam. Videntur autem non futilibus argumentis moveri: nec absque majorum, imo etiam scripturarum authoritate: "Whether is it lawful to worship the very images, or no? Some men say nay, and call it idolatry. And they seem to be moved with no light arguments, nor without the authority as well of the fathers as of the scriptures."

Jacob, Nanclantus in Epist. ad Rom, cap, 1.

Jacobus Nanclantus saith: Non solum fatendum est, fideles in ecclesia adorare coram imagine, sed et adorare imaginem, sine quo volueris scrupulo: quin et eo illam venerari cultu, quo et prototypon ejus. Propter quod, si illud habet adorari latria, et illa habet adorari latria: "We must grant, that the faithful people in the church, do not only worship before the image, but also worship the image itself: and that without any manner scruple of conscience whatsoever. And further, they worship the image with the selfsame honour wherewith they worship the thing itself, that is represented by the image. As, if the thing itself be worshipped with godly honour, then must the image itself likewise be worshipped with godly honour." Hereto agreeth Jacob. Payva, one other of your late writers, Jacobus Payva. And an-

lib. q. Fortalitium Fidei. [lib. 3. fol, exliii.] in librum Sapien. Lection, 158, [p. 524.]

secundum Matth, serm. 6. [v. 361.]

other of your like doctors saith: "This is the very use and practice of your church of Rome." But Robertus Holcot Rob. Holcot. saith: "This kind of worshipping is plain idolatry." Therefore, I trow, there hath been some idolatry in the church of Rome. Ye will say, ye know the image is no god. And Aug. de Verthis ye think is excuse sufficient. But so likewise said the heathens of their idols: and yet, as St. Augustine saith. they were idolaters notwithstanding.

Ang. ad Quodvultdeum. Hæres. 7. viii.

Ye will say, It is the image of an apostle of Christ, or of God himself, and therefore it can be no idolatry. St. Augustine saith: Marcellina colebat imaginem Jesu et Pauli, et Homeri, et Pythagoræ, adorando, incensumque ponendo: "Marcellina worshipped the image of Jesus, and of Paul, and of Homer, and of Pythagoras, by kneeling unto them, and burning incense before them." Yet nevertheless she was an idolater. The Saracens this day make their sacrifices in mount Mecca, not to devils, or heathen gods, but to Abraham, to Isaac, and to St. Thomas: yet

are they not therefore excused of *idolatry*. Gregorius, the bishop of Nyssa, St. Basil's brother, saith thus: Qui crea- Greg. Nyss., turam adorat, etsi in nomine Christi id faciat, tamen simula- nebri de Pla cilla. [III. chrorum cultor est, Christi nomen simulachro imponens: 532-1] "He that worshippeth a creature, notwithstanding he do it in the name of Christ, yet is he a worshipper of images, as giving the name of Christ unto an image." By these few, M. Harding, it may soon appear, that your churches are not void of all idolatry.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 7. Divis. 3.

[Voi. iv. p. 76.]

And therefore we know, that divers times many good men and catholic bishops did tarry at home, and would not come, when such councils were called, wherein men so apparently laboured to serve factions, and to take parts, because they knew they should but lose their travail, and do no good, seeing whereunto their enemies' minds were so wholly bent 42. Athanasius denied to come, when [Theodoret. he was called by the emperor to his council at Ca-18, Inc. 1, 18, Inc. 19, Inc. sarea, perceiving plainly he should but come among p. 60.] his enemies, which deadly hated him. The same Athanasius, when he came afterward to the council at Syrmium 43, and foresaw what would be the end, by reason of the outrage and malice of his enemies. he packed up his carriage, and went away immediately. John Chrysostom, although the emperor Hist. Tripart. lib. to. cap. Constantius commanded him by four sundry letters 13. to come to the Arians' council, yet kept he himself at home still. When Maximus, the bishop of Jeru-1. [all, lib. 10.] cap. 17.

⁴² [The author of the letter to Scipio, (printed infra vol. viii.) refers to these same precedents in the same order and nearly in the same words.]

⁴³ [No authority is given by bishop Jewel for this statement; and the Editor is unable to supply the omission.]

salem, sat in the council of Palestine, the old father Paphnutius took him by the hand, and led him out at the doors, saying, "It is not lawful for us to confer of these matters with wicked men." The bishops of the east would not come to the Syrmian council, after they knew Athanasius had gotten himself thence again. Cyril called men back by letters, from the council of them which were named Patro-[Histor, Tri-passians. Paulinus, bishop of Trier, and many others mo, refused to come to the council at Milan, when they understood what a stir and rule Auxentius kept there: for they saw it was in vain to go thither, where, not reason, but faction should prevail: and where folk contended, not for the truth and right judgment of the matter, but for partiality and favour.

> And albeit those fathers had such malicious and stiffnecked enemies, yet if they had come, they should have had free speech at least in the councils.

M. HARDING.

First, here I note the falsehood of the lady interpreter, who turneth the Latin speaking of Athanasius, Cum vocatus esset ab imperatore ad concilium Cæsariense: "When he was called by the emperor to a his council at Cæsaria." Where by adding the word his of her own, she (or a worse shrew under her name) goeth about to persuade, as heretics do, that the councils be to be accounted the councils of temporal princes, not of bishops: pope's vine-yard; p. 283, and that they be the heads of them, not the bishop of Rome. b. Or, all the This much to her. Now, sir, to you, defender. All these examples serve you to no purpose. It is not denied you, but that in cases men may refuse to come to councils. Your examples declare, that catholic bishops shunned to come to the unlawful councils of heretics. But ye, holding strange opinions, condemned by the church, deny to come to the lawful councils of catholic bishops. When ye have proved us to be heretics, I mean the fathers of the late council, then may ye justly allege the example of Athanasius, Chrysostom, Maximus, Paphnutius, Cyril, Paulinus, and such other, for not coming to the council.

a And why not, as well as M. Harding may call the whole church the through the world the pope's sheep? pag. 308. b.

15.]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Had you not had a shrewd sharp wit, M. Harding, and a very good liking of the same, ye could never have made yourself so great sport of so small a matter. The lady interpreter pitieth your case, and wisheth you a little more discretion, and would be much ashamed to answer your follies.

If the council we speak of were not the emperor's council, then much less was it the pope's council. For in those days, as hereafter it shall be declared more at large, councils were summoned by emperors, and not by popes. And what reason have you to shew us, that the council, being summoned by the emperor, might not be called the emperor's council, as well as the pope, being admitted and allowed by the emperor, might be called the emperor's priest? Odoacer 44, in the third council of Rome, in the time of pope Symmachus, said thus: Miramur, præter-In Concil. Romano 3.
missis nobis, quicquam fuisse tentatum: cum etiam, sacer-Sub Symmacho pap.
dote nostro superstite, nihil sine nobis debuisset assumi: [viii. 267. a.] "We marvel that any thing was attempted without our knowledge, forasmuch as our priest" (he meaneth the pope) "being alive, nothing may be done without us." As the pope may be called the emperor's priest, so may the council be called the emperor's council, without any impeachment of Christian faith. Again, why might not a general council holden in Rome be called the emperor's council, as well as a general council holden in France might be called the king's council? Gerson, speaking of a council holden in Paris, saith thus: Infamare regem cum Gerson. Trilogus in Magenerali concilio suo conati sunt: "They sought to disfame teria Schismatti. [1.297, the king with his general council." It was a general coun-298. cil, and a council of bishops; and yet was it called the king's council. Liberatus saith: Flavianus episcopus..... Liberat, cap. Eutychem ad concilium suum venire præcepit: "Flavianus, the bishop" (not of Rome, but of Antioch) " commanded

sentative, read by Symmachus the deacon, in the third council held at Rome under pope Symmachus.]

^{44 [}This is an extract from a rescript by Basilius Præfectus Prætorio, acting as Odoacer's repre-

Eutyches to come to his council." Hereafter, M. Harding, ye may take time to study for some better quarrel. Surely,

this was very simple.

Ye excuse Athanasius, Chrysostomus, Maximus, Paphnutius, Hilarius, Cyrillus, Paulinus, and other learned bishops, and holy fathers, for not appearing at general councils; for that they were summoned to appear before heretics. As for the fathers of your late Tridentine chapter, whatsoever they were, ye must in any wise call them catholics. Yet, notwithstanding, ye may remember, that by such good catholics as you be, the same holy fathers, Athanasius, Chrysostomus, Maximus, Paphnutius, Hilarius, Cyrillus, Paulinus, and others, were called heretics.

Arianos et Auxentium. [p. 1269.]

Hilar. contra Hilarius saith: Congreget nunc Auxentius quas volet in me synodos, et hæreticum me, ut sæpe jam fecit, publico titulo proscribat: " Now let Auxentius, the Arian heretic, call what councils he list against me: and by open proclamations let him publish me for an heretic, as he hath oftentimes done already." The Arian heretics said, that the Theod. lib. 2. catholic Christians, whom they called Homousians, which

cap. 3. [iii. p. 70.] in their meaning was as much as heretics, were the cause of all division.

Hier. ad Mar-cum Presbyterum Celedensem. [l. Chalcidensem. tom. iv. pt. 2. p. 21.]

St. Hierom saith unto Marcus: Hæreticus sum: quid ad te? quiesce: jam dictum est: "I am an heretic: what is that to thee? hold your peace: ye have told your tale 45." By like right, Christ himself, by certain your ancient fathers, was called a Samaritan, a deceiver of the people, and an heretic. And, if it may please you soberly and advisedly to consider the matter, ye shall find throughout the whole body of the scriptures, that no people made ever so great crakes of the church, as they that were the deadly enemies of the church: nor none were so ready to condemn others of heresy, as they that indeed were themselves the greatest heretics.

" cum Ægypto, hoc est cum Da-

^{45 [}Hieron. "Hæreticus vocor, " Homousion prædicans Trinita-

[&]quot; maso Petroque condemnent. " tem . . . si eis placet, hæreticum " Hæreticus sum, &c."] "me cum occidente, hæreticum

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 8. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. 76.]

But now sithence none of us may be suffered so much as to sit, or once to be seen in these men's meetings, much less suffered to speak freely our mind: and seeing the pope's legates, patriarchs, archbishops, bishops, and abbots, all being conspired together, all linked together in one kind of fault, and all bound by one oath, sit alone by themselves, and have power alone to give their consent, and at last, when they have all done, as though they had done nothing, bring all their opinions to be judged at the will and pleasure of the pope, being but one man, to the end he may pronounce his own sentence of himself, who ought rather to have answered to his complaint: sithence also the same ancient and Christian liberty, which of all right should specially be in Christian councils, is now utterly taken away from the council: for these causes, I say, wise and good men ought not to marvel at this day, though we do the like now, that they see was done in times past in like case, of so many fathers and catholic bishops: which is, though we choose rather to sit at home, and leave our whole cause to God, than to journey thither: where as we neither can have place, nor be able to do any good: where as we can obtain no audience: where as princes' ambassadors be but used as mocking stocks: and where as also we be all condemned already, before trial: as though the matter were aforehand dispatched and agreed upon.

M. HARDING.

If I wist ye would take my counsel in good part, and listen piece of counsel, and unto it, a as it standeth you upon, I would advise you to call in meet for a all the books of your Apology, and that with no less diligence vinty.

than ye went about to suppress the books of my answer to M. Jewel's challenge at their first coming abroad. That done, to cast bruits abroad, that the Apology was made and counterfeited by some crafty papist, to bring you quite out of credit with all the world. So might ye perhaps in time recover some part of your lost estimation. For whiles your books be in men's hands, they shall be an evident witness to all the world of your shameless lying......

The book of the canons and decrees of the council hath been printed almost in all parts of Christendom. Look who list, in every book he shall find three several solemn safe-conducts granted by the council, and confirmed by the three popes, under whom the same was celebrated. Which safe-conducts contain first, in most ample wise, full liberty, power, authority, and assurance for all and singular persons of all Germany, of what degree, state, condition, or quality soever they be, that would come to that occumenical and general council, to confer, propound, and treat with all freedom, of all things to be treated there, and to the same council freely and safely to come, there to tarry and abide, and to offer and put up articles, so many as they thought good, as well in writing as by word; and with the fathers, and others thereto chosen, to confer, and without any reproaches or upbraidings to dispute, also at their pleasure safely again from thence to depart.....

An extension to other Nations:

The same holy council, in the Holy Ghost lawfully assembled, the same legates de latere of the see apostolic being president in it, to all and singular others which have not communion with us in those matters that be of faith, of whatsoever kingdoms, nations, provinces, cities, and places, in which openly and without punishment is preached, or taught, or believed the contrary of that which the holy Roman church holdeth, giveth faith public, or safe-conduct, under the same form and the same words with which it is given to the Germans.

This being most true, as the better part of the world seeth, and the books and public instruments extant do witness, your excuse of your refusal to come to the council, as bishops of other Christian realms did, is found false. ^a Ye had all free liberty and security granted unto you for that behalf, in so ample and large manner as man's wit could devise.

The second cause why ye came not, is, for that the pope's legates, patriarchs, archbishops, bishops, and abbots, all being conspired together, all linked together in one kind of fault, and all bound in one oath, sit alone by themselves, and have power alone to give their consent. What is here, that should let you to

a A full discreet kind of mockery. They offer men liberty to come and speak, but reserve the whole judgment to themselves.

join with others for procuring unity and peace in Christendom? Complain ye of the fathers' concord and agreeing together? bThat is a sign the Spirit of God, author of charity and unity, b They agree governeth their hearts. In that respect they seem to come against God together in the Holy Ghost.....And indeed had ve gone thither, and his Christ. your heresies had been confuted, yourselves required to yield, and to conform you to the catholic church; or else ye had been anathematized, accursed, and condemned.....

Your third cause is, for that the determinations and decrees of the council be referred to the pope. To that we have answered before. The pope confirmeth all, being head over the council. c Doth not the queen so pardy confirm your acts of parliament, c But who by giving her royal assent unto them at the end of the parlia- pope a king? ment? What thing can be done perfectly by a body without the And when will be give head? And who might better confirm councils than he, whose his real confaith in pronouncing sentence rightly and duly, in matters con-sent against himself? cerning faith, we are assured by Christ's prayer to be infallible?

Your fourth cause is, forasmnch as the ancient and Christian liberty, which of right should specially be in Christian councils, is now utterly taken away. This cause is not different from your first.

Your fifth cause is a false lie, that princes' ambassadors be used but as mocking stocks. d Truth it is, they have most d They are honourable seats in all councils. In this council they sate by the allowed a place, but legates. Every ambassador hath his place there according to touching ludgment the degree of honour, the kingdoms, commonweals, states, and they may say nothing. princes be of, from whence and from whom he cometh.....

The sixth and last cause ye allege for your not coming to the council, is, for that ye be condemned already before trial, as though the matter were aforehand despatched and agreed upon. Indeed e your heresies for the more part be and have been con- e Untruth, demned above a thousand years' past. And therefore they are colour of not now to be called unto a new trial, as though the church until honest this day had been deceived, and so many fathers overseen..... This, notwithstanding, may ye well say, touching that point, your matter is aforehand despatched and agreed upon. For they know what ye can say, and see that ye say nothing, but only stand wilfully and stubbornly in your false opinions and fleshly pleasures.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We never suppressed any of your books, M. Harding, as you know: but are very well contented to see them so common, that as now children may play with them in the streets. Your manifest untruths: your simple conclusions: your often contrarieties to yourself: your new found authors: your childish fables: your uncourteous speeches:

your racking, corrupting, and misreporting of the doctors, therein contained, have much bewrayed the miserable feebleness of your cause. Your fellows have no cause greatly to glory in such helps, no more than in other your like pamphlets, unmeet of any wise man to be answered. This was your only and special policy in the time of your late kingdom: ve suppressed, and called in, and burnt all our writings whatsoever, yea, the very testament and gospel of Christ, truly translated into English, naming them heretical and unlawful books. And if any man had concealed and kept unto himself for his comfort any such book written by any of our side, by most terrible and bloody proclamations ye made it felony. So much ye despaired and doubted your own follies.

As for the books of our Apology, they have been spread so far, and printed so often in Latin, in Italian, in French, in Dutch, in English, that as now it were hard to suppress them. Touching the shameless lying, wherewith ye charge us, we are well content to stand to the judgment of the wise. Certainly it shameth us much, to see so little shame in your writings.

Ye say: "The pope gave out his safe-conduct to all the princes, and free cities, and to the whole people of Germany, to come to the council, to propound, to dispute at their pleasure, and, when they should think it good, freely and safely to return, with a large extension to other nations,

as ye say, to like purpose."

Sess. 3. [xxix. 25.] et 4. [p. 27.]

Conc. Constan. Sessione 2. 3. 4. [xxvii. 568.]

But, first, M. Harding, what safety can there be in his Concil. Basil. safe-conduct, that is not able to save himself? Pope Eugenius the Fourth, if he had come to the council of Basil, as you know, had been quite deposed from his popedom, all his safe-conducts notwithstanding. Pope John XXII. [al. John XXIII.] 46 gave out as sure a safe-conduct for the council of Constance, as pope Pius could devise any for your late chapter of Trident: yet, notwithstanding all his safety, being himself present in the council, he was pulled out of Peter's chair, and deprived of his dignity, and stript

^{46 [}The same numbering of this infra vol. viii., and is not corpope occurs in the letter to Scipio rected by the translator Brent.]

out of his pontificalibus, and turned home again in his minoribus, and allowed only to be a cardinal, and no longer to be a pope. Ye may remember Cicero saith: Qui Cicero Phimultorum custodem se profiteatur, eum sapientes sui primum [sect. 10.] capitis aiunt custodem esse oportere: "Wise men say, Whoso will take upon him to save others, ought first to save himself."

And what credit may we give to your safe conducts?

Jacobus Nachiantes, the bishop of Chioca, for that he had Invic. in Protest. simpered out one half word of truth to the misliking of the contr. Concell. Tridentin. legates, was fain to run to Rome, to creep to the pope's feet, p. 77. and to crave pardon. Ye shamefully betrayed, and cruelly murdered John Huss and Hieronymus Pragensis, in your council of Constance. Neither the protection of the emperor, nor the pope's safe-conduct, was able to save them. No, yourselves have already ruled the case in your said council.

For thus ye say: Fides non est servanda hæreticis: "Ye conc. Constance shave already ruled the case in your said council.

For thus ye say: Fides non est servanda hæreticis: "Ye conc. Constance shave already ruled the case in your said council.

For thus ye say: Fides non est servanda hæreticis: "Ye conc. Constance shave already ruled the case in your said council.

For thus ye say: Fides non est servanda hæreticis: "Ye conc. Constance shave already ruled the case in your said council.

Ye say: "Our learned men were allowed to propound, to talk, to dispute." What should this avail? For ye Jo. Fabritius reserved the *determination* and whole *judgment* to your-tione Conselves; and yourselves are sworn to submit your whole [p. 17.] *judgment* to the *pope*, and without his *judgment* to *judge* nothing. And how may this seem a *free council*, where the guilty party shall be the *judge*?

Ye say: "There is an extension granted to other nations." All this is true indeed. But this same truth descrieth your open mockery. For if ye had seen the instrument itself, in the end thereof you should have found your said extension restrained only to them that would Johan Sleidan, lib. 23. repent, and recant the truth of God, which you call error, histor. 3. Anno 1252. and yield themselves thrall unto the pope.

Howbeit, not long sithence, the bishops of your said chapter at Trident were very loath to allow any tolerable safe-conduct at all, either to the Germans, or to any others.

But ye say: "If we had come to your chapter, we had been confounded." No doubts, by the reverend authority JEWEL, VOL. VI. x

of your Amphilochius, your Abdias, your Leontius, or some other like doctors, whom ye have so lately raked out of your channels, or at least by your *fiery arguments* of swords and faggots: for such proofs must help you when others fail.

As for the gay stuff that your *Tridentine fathers*, after their mature deliberation, as they call it, and more than twenty years' study, have sent us out lately into the world, it is too simple to mock children. Had they not been men impudent and void of all shame, they would rather have stolen home secretly in the dark, and have uttered nothing.

We find no fault with you, M. Harding, for that your bishops and abbots agree together: but for that they agree together as did Herod and Pilate, the Sadducees and Pharisees against Christ.

Neither may you well vaunt yourselves of your great agreements. Ye may remember that two of the principal pillars of your *chapter*, Dominicus à Soto⁴⁷, and Catharinus, dissented even there openly and shamefully, and that in great points of *religion*: and wrote the one mightily against the other: the one charging the other with error and heresy, and could never yet be reconciled.

Notwithstanding, against other points of God's truth both they and the rest joined stoutly together. St. Augustine saith: Tune inter se concordant, quando in perniciem justi conspirant. Non quia se amant, sed quia eum qui amandus erat,.....simul oderunt: "Then they agree together, when they conspire to destroy the just: not for that they themselves love one another, but for that they both hate him whom they ought to love." Of such kind of consent, St. Hierom, although to a far contrary purpose, imagineth Jovinian thus to say: Quod me damnant episcopi, non est ratio, sed conspiratio. Nolo mihi ille, vel ille respondeat, quorum me authoritas opprimere potest, docere

Hieron. in
Apologetico
ad Domnio-

nem. [iv. pt.

2, 246.]

Aug. in Psal. 36. concione 2. [iv. 265.]

Matt. xxii.

Domin, a Soto, Catha-

rinus.

⁴⁷ [Bp. Jewel has here corrected a mistake into which he fell in the ed. of 1567: the quarrel was between Catharinus and Dominicus à Soto, and not (as first stated) Petrus, who came to the council ten years after the death of Catharinus. See Harding, Detect. fol. 406.]

non potest: "That the bishops condemn me, there is no reason in their doings, but a conspiracy. I would not that this man or that man should answer me, which may oppress me by their authority, and cannot teach me." -

Whether it be convenient that the pope, being notoriously accused of manifest corruption in God's religion, should nevertheless be the whole and only judge of the same, and pronounce sentence of himself, let it be indifferently considered by the wise.

The law saith: Qui jurisdictioni præest, non debet sibiff [vetus, jus dicere: "No man may be his own judge" Such au-1-10.] De jurisdict. thority (ye say) kings have in parliaments. Hereof I am omnum judicum. not able to dispute. The prince's right many times passeth by composition: and therefore is not evermore one in all places. Howbeit, the pope is a bishop, and not a king, and other bishops be not his subjects, but his brethren.

Your fourth objection is but a cavil. Ye say, ye mock not princes' ambassadors, but place them next unto your legates: to sit still, I trow, and to tell the clock; for voice in judgment ve allow them none. Thus ye proine their authority, and allow them honour, and set them aloft to say nothing. Notwithstanding, whether the emperors' and princes' ambassadors may sit so near to the pope's legates, or no, I cannot tell. Verily the emperor himself may not be so bold to press so near unto the pope. For thus it is ordered in your book of ceremonies: Advertendum est, quod Ceremoniar. locus ubi sedet imperator, non sit altior loco ubi tenet pedes sect. 14. c. 2. pontifex: "This is to be noted, that the place where the emperor sitteth" (in general council) "be no higher than the place where the pope setteth his feet." That is to say, the emperor must sit at the pope's footstool, and no higher. And this, saith your magister cæremoniarum, is a thing specially to be noted.

[fol. 140.]

All the parts of our religion, which you call heresies, ye say are already condemned, just a thousand years past. If all this be not true, then have you foully abused your pen, to slander God's truth, and to beguile the world. But I pray you, M. Harding, leave some part of your wont, and tell us the truth. Was the public ministration

of the holy sacrament, was the holy communion in both kinds, was the public order of common prayer in the common known tongue, was the withstanding of the ambition and pride of Rome, condemned for heresy so long ago? How could you dare thus to say, and the same to publish so openly and so boldly unto the world, if ye had any regard to your sayings? It would have won you some good credit, if ye could have told us in what general council, under what emperor, by what doctors, by what catholic learned fathers, these great errors were thus condemned: as now ve rove only at large, and feed your simple reader with your empty calendars of thousands of years, and speak at random. If it had been true, ye would better have shewed it: but being most untrue, as you know it to be, for very shame ye should never have said it.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 9. Divis. 1. and 2.

Nevertheless, we can bear patiently and quietly [Vol. iv. p. our own private wrongs. But wherefore do they shut out Christian kings, and good princes, from their convocation? Why do they so uncourteously, or with such spite, leave them out, and, as though either they were not Christian men, or else could not judge, will not have them made acquainted with the causes of Christian religion, nor understand the state of their own churches?.....

Or, if the said kings and princes happen to intermeddle in such matters, and take upon them to do that they may do, that they be commanded to do, and ought of duty to do, and the same things that we know both David and Solomon, and other good princes have done; that is, if they, whiles the pope and his prelates slug and sleep, or else mischievously withstand them, do bridle the priests' sensuality, and drive them to do their duty, and keep them still to it: if they do overthrow idols, if they take away superstition, and set up again the true worshipping of God, why do they by and by make an outcry upon them, that such princes trouble all, and press by violence into another body's office, and do therein wickedly and malapertly? What scripture hath at any time forbidden a Christian prince to be made privy to such causes? Who, but themselves alone, made ever any such law?

M. HARDING.

a Ye confound the offices of the spiritual governors, and tem- a Untruth, poral magistrates. What kings and princes may do, what they advised: read be commanded to do, and ought of duty to do, in God's name the answer. let them do, and well may they so do. Who is he that gainsayeth? If by the pretensed example of David and Solomon ye banimate them to intermeddle with bishoply offices, then beware b Untruth. they (say we) that God's vengeance light not upon them for doctrine, But 2 Chron.xxvi. such wicked presumption, which lighted upon king Ozias for the why embolden you the like offence.

Ye teach princes to use violence against priests, as though meddle with their faults could not be redressed by the cprelates of the clergy, fices? of whom we sneak by spiteful superior and the clergy in the clerg of whom ye speak by spiteful surmise, as though God had utterly c Your prewithdrawn his holy Spirit from them. But forasmuch as Christ blameworthy assisteth his church always, and shall never fail in things neces-and as negli gent as the sary, it is not to be doubted, but the church shall ever be pro-priests. vided of some good governors, so as, though some slug and sleep, yet some others shall wake, and diligently attend their charge. Priests have their ecclesiastical courts, where their defaults and offences may duly and canonically be punished; and the offenders by priestly discipline be redressed. Neither is it convenient for a king to come into priests' consistories, d nor to call priests d Untruth, before him to his own seat of judgment.

So many as be necessary to minister, and perform those things practice of the church, that appertain to the building up of Christ's body, the church, as it shall until it come to his perfection, St. Paul reckoneth by name in his appear. epistle to the Ephesians, saying, that Christ hath to that end placed in his church esome apostles, some prophets, some evan-e Mark, that gelists, some shepherds and teachers. Kings and princes be not cardinals in there named, as they who have their proper rank..... That the not named. people be to be stirred by us to more fervent devotion to worship God, and some perhaps to be warned of some cases of superstition, we grant. But that any other manner or kind of worshipping of God is either by us or by temporal princes to be

set up in Christ's church, that we denv.

the ancient

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

We confound not these offices, M. Harding, as ye best know: but rather we teach each man carefully to attend his own office. You and your fathers have brought confusion of offices into the church of God, in that ye have made your pope heir apparent unto the empire, and have armed him with all manner authority, spiritual and temporal, and have given him the right of both swords.

Dist. 22, Om-nes,

For thus your own pope Nicolas telleth you stoutly in his own behalf: Christus Petro æternæ vitæ clavigero, terreni simul et cælestis imperii jura commisit: "Christ hath committed unto Peter, the key-bearer of everlasting life, the right as well of the earthly, as also of the heavenly empire." No doubts. For Christ by his commission made Peter a king of this world, and dubbed him accordingly with sword and sceptre, and bade him sit under his cloth of estate. Thus, by your doctrine, priesthood, kingdom, popedom, empire, are all conveyed wholly into one man's hands: and so, by your handling, one man is priest, king, pope, and emperor, all at once. This perhaps unto the wise may seem to be some confusion of offices.

2 Chron.xxvi.

Touching that ye write of the rash attempt of king Ozias, ye seem not to understand, neither our words, nor your own. For we teach not princes to offer up incense in sacrifice, as Ozias did: or by intrusion to thrust themselves into bishops' rooms: or to preach, or to minister sacraments, or to bind, or to loose 48: but only to discharge their own offices, and to do that duty that David, Solomon, Ezechias, Josias, and other noble and godly kings did, and evermore was lawful for the prince to do. As for right of place, and voice in council, it pertaineth no less to the prince than to the pope, as hereafter it shall better appear.

Ye say: "Christ shall always assist his church, and shall evermore provide her of good governors." Thus, be your negligence and careless slothfulness never so great, be

⁴⁸ [See the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England, Art. XXXVII.]

your lives never so loose, be you dumb dogs, not able to bark, be you lanterns without light, be you salt without savour, yet ye evermore dream sweetly of Christ's promise, and assure yourselves undoubtedly of his assistance: even as he that sometime said, Pan curet oves, oviumque magistros. Would God your bishops would do their duty, and do it faithfully: the world should have less cause to complain. Notwithstanding, Christ is evermore mindful of his promise. For when he seeth his church defaced, and laid waste, he raiseth up faithful magistrates, and godly princes, not to do the priests' or bishops' duties, but to force the priests and bishops to do their duties.

But ye say: "Christ hath placed in his church some apostles, some prophets, some evangelists, some pastors, some teachers. Kings" (ye say) "and princes be not there named." Hereof ye conclude, ergo, The prince may not cause the abuses of his church to be reformed, nor oversee the priests and bishops if they be negligent, nor force them to do their duties.

I marvel, M. Harding, where ye learned so much logic. How frame ye this argument? In what mood? in what figure? With what cement can ye make these silly loose pieces to cleave together? It pitieth me to see your case. For by like form of argument, and with much more likelihood of reason, we may turn the same against yourself, and may say thus: Christ hath placed in his church some apostles, some prophets, some evangelists, some pastors, some teachers: the pope and his cardinals are not here named: apostles they are not; for the apostles were but twelve: prophets they are not; for they prophesy nothing: evangelists they are not; for they preach not: pastors or feeders they are not; for they feed not: doctors or teachers they are not; for they teach not: ergo, by this authority of St. Paul, and by your own argument, the pope and his cardinals be utterly excluded, and may not meddle with the charge of the church of God.

In such good substantial sort Pope Paulus III, not long Epist. Paul. sithence, reasoned against the emperor Charles the Fifth: him V. [inter En ego supra pastores meos: "Behold, saith God Almighty, real reasons, p. 1621]

I will punish my priests and bishops for their negligence and wickedness ⁴⁹:" ergo, saith pope Paulus, The prince or emperor may not punish them: as though, when the king or emperor punisheth the wicked by God's appointment, God himself were not the punisher.

In this your manner of reasoning, M. Harding, there are well near as many errors as there be words. The first is ignoratio elenchi, which is the grossest fallax of all the rest. Secondly, ye conclude without either mood or figure, as a very child may easily see. Thirdly, ye reason a meris particularibus, or a non distributo ad distributum. Fourthly, these words, rule or charge of the church, are words of double and doubtful meaning. And therefore your syllogismus, such as it is, must needs stand of four terms, which error in reasoning is too simple for a child.

Touching these words, rule and charge, which I said are double and doubtful, notwithstanding we say both the prince and the bishop have charge of the church, yet the prince and the bishop have not both one kind of charge. The bishop's charge is, to preach, to minister sacraments, to order priests, to excommunicate, to absolve, &c. The prince's charge is, not to do any of these things himself, in his own person, but only to see that they be done, and orderly and truly done, by the bishops.

I grant, there be many special privileges granted upon great and just considerations of the mere favour of the prince, that a priest being found negligent, or otherwise offending in his ministry, should be convented and punished, not by the temporal or civil magistrate, but by the discretion of the bishop. Yet must you remember, M. Harding, that all these and other like privileges passed unto the clergy from the prince, and not from God, and proceeded only of special favour, and not of right. For from the beginning, you know, it was not so.

And therefore to say, that a *prince* or *magistrate* may not lawfully call a *priest* before him, to his own seat of judgment, or that many catholic and godly *princes* have

^{49 [&}quot;Dei enim vox est, ad malos " super pastores) requiram gregem " sacerdotes : 'Ego ipse (inquit " meum de manu illorum.'"]

not so done, and done it lawfully, it is most untrue. The emperor Justinian himself, who of all others most enlarged the church's privileges, saith thus: Nullus episcopus invitus Cod. lib. 1. ad civilem, vel militarem judicem in qualibet causa produ-felis. s. de ricis. Nullus. catur, vel exhibeatur: nisi princeps jubeat: "Let no bishop be brought or presented against his will before the captain, or civil judge, whatsoever the cause be: unless the prince shall so command it." Hereby it appeareth, the bishop was bound to make his answer before the magistrate, if it had been the prince's pleasure.

Addition. F. M. Harding. "Justinian, in the law M. Harding, Addition. that you rehearse, M. Jewel, is to be understanded to speak of civil and temporal cases: and that in those cases no bishop should be brought before the lieutenant and civil magistrate, except the prince so command it......It is a maxima, and a principle with the lawvers, that such laws speaking indefinitely, must be understanded by another law, that speaketh specially and particularly, &c. It had been your part to have understanded those words, in qualibet causa, spoken there indefinitely, by the other laws, that speak more specially. But then had you lost a peevish sophistical argument, and men had not known your worthy skill in the law, which no doubt will appear great by your practice." The Answer. We must take these words, you say, to be spoken of civil or temporal cases only: in which only cases you grant the temporal judge may call a priest before him, by the commandment of the prince. Herein I will not greatly contend against you. Notwithstanding, Justinian's words be general, and include all kinds of cases. For thus he saith, as I have alleged his words: In qualibet causa, that is, "Whatsoever the action be: be it ecclesiastical, be it civil." But, that you may the better conceive both Justinian's meaning, and also the weakness and vanity of your Gloss, Photius, the patriarch of Constantinople, saith thus: Clerici Constantinopolitani, si no- [Photius de Peccat, et Julint actores apud patriarcham agere, a solis præfectis prædic. Episcop. torio judicantur, sive conveniant, sive conveniantur, tam pro p. 950.] privatis, quam pro ecclesiasticis: "The priests of Constan-

tinople, if they will not plead before the patriarch, are

judged only before the lord chancellor, whether they plead or be impleaded: and whether it be their own private matter, or ecclesiastical 50." Howbeit, by this exposition it appeareth, if the case be temporal, that then a priest may be convented before a temporal judge. But what say you then to Thomas Becket? He alone, as you know, withstood all the bishops of this realm, and would never yield, that a priest, although he were a thief or a murderer, should submit himself to temporal judgment. Theft and murder doubtless be cases temporal. And it was the express will and commandment of the prince. Yet, as I have Guil. Neubri-said, Thomas Becket would never yield, that the temporal magistrate should judge of it: and therefore the pope made him a saint. Now if he did well, why do you by this exposition controul his doing? If in so doing he did evil, why was he sainted for the same? Doth the pope make folks saints for evil doing?

gens. lib. 2. cap. 16. [p. 172.]

> As for the rest, whereas it pleaseth you to make yourself some pretty sport, and to say, Men had not known the worthy skill I have in law, &c. Despise not, M. Harding, lest you yourself be despised. For ought that I know, the law is your profession no more than mine.

> The emperor Martianus commandeth, if the cause be criminal, that the bishop be convented before the lieutenant: Ut coram præside conveniatur.

[Detect. 380. a.]

Addition. R. M. Harding. "The law cum clericis Addition. hath not these words, Ut coram præside conveniantur, nor any clause or sentence sounding to that purpose. For trial whereof, I refer me to the book." The Answer. The book will condemn you, M. Harding. The words there

are plain 51: Cum clericis in judicium vocatis patcat epi-Cod. [lib. 1. tlt. 3.] de Episc, et Cle-scopalis audientia, volentibus tamen actoribus, si actor disceptationem sanctissimi archiepiscopi noluerit experiri. Clericis.

> 50 [Balsamon, Nomocanon. tit. 9. cap. 1. ότι οἱ κληρικοὶ Κωνσταντινουπόλεως, των έναγόντων μή βουλομένων αὐτοῖς ἐνάγειν παρὰ τῷ πατριάρχῃ, παρὰ μόνοις τοῖς ὑπάρχοις δικάζονται ενάγοντες και εναγόμενοι χρηματικώς καὶ έγκληματικώς

ύπερ ιδικών και έκκλησιαστικών.] 51 [The exact words, "ut co-" ram præside conveniatur," are not found, but the law is addressed "ad Præfectum Prætorio Constan-"tinum," and clearly justifies bishop Jewel's interpretation.]

eminentissimæ tuæ sedis examen.....tam de suis, quam de ecclesiasticis negotiis sibimet noverit expetendum: qui in nullo alio foro, vel apud quenquam alterum judicem, eosdem clericos litibus irretire, et civilibus, vel criminalibus negotiis tentet innectere: "Whereas priests, when they be sued in law, may have access to the bishop's audience," (if the plaintiff think it good, and none otherwise) " if the If the plainplaintiff will not yield himself to stand to the most holy good. archbishop's judgment, then let him know that he must come to the trial of your most high court, whether it be in To the trial his own, or in ecclesiastical cases. Neither shall it be in causes ecclesiastical. lawful to the said plaintiff to implead the said priests in any other court, or before any other judge, (saving only before the archbishop, or before thee, being the president or lieutenant there,) whether the matter be civil or else criminal." Here you see that the plaintiff, if he thought it good, might sue a priest before the lieutenant; yea, and that in causes ecclesiastical: and that the priest was bound to make his appearance, and to stand to his judgment. Yet will you tell us, M. Harding, that in this law there are no such words, nor any clause or sentence to that purpose? Or can you, without blushing so vainly, blaze your margin with M. Jewel's forgeries?

Pope Innocentius III. himself confesseth 52, that the [De Major, pope may make a layman his delegate, to hear and deter-2. Innocenmine in priests' causes. The like thereof ye may find in your own Gloss: Papa laico delegat causam spiritualem: 11. Quæ. 1. "The pope committeth the hearing of a spiritual matter nullus. [In unto a lavman."

Glossa.]

Addition.

Addition. Der But forasmuch as M. Harding saith, It is not written papa delegat, but si papa delegat, which nevertheless is nothing else but an empty quarrel, he may also find this self-same sentence in the same place specially noted without any si, or condition at all. The words there are these: Clericus coram sæculari quandoque convenitur: [Ibid. in margine.]

^{52 [}The reference to "De Ma-"tius," is here restored from the "jor. et Obed. cap. 2. Innocenedition of 1567.]

"A priest sometimes is called to make answer before a temporal judge."

Yea, further, ye shall find, even in the pope's own decrees, that the pope hath committed a spiritual matter in a cause of simony, to be heard and ended by a woman: and that Brunichildis being a woman, by virtue of the pope's commission, summoned a bishop to appear, and solemnly to make his purgation before her. Notwithstand-

Gloss.]

2. Quæst. 4. ing, in your Gloss upon the same it is noted thus: Fuit tamen hic nimium papaliter dispensatum: "The pope was too popelike in this dispensation."

M. Harding. 382. a.

Addition. R. M. Harding. "But what if we cannot Addition. find in the pope's decrees, to which you refer us, that the pope ever committed a spiritual matter in a cause of simony to be heard and ended by a woman, and that Brunichildis had neither commission from the pope to summon a bishop, nor ever summoned a bishop to appear, and solemnly to make his purgation before her? What then shall we say, but that M. Jewel is a shameless falsifier, and a deceiver of all that believe him? &c.

"I beseech you, sir, where is it said in all this decree,

383. a.

M. Harding, that the pope committed a spiritual matter in a cause of simony to be heard and ended by a woman? &c. In the text it is not, nor in the Gloss, that you so solemnly allege. Had your lawyer forgotten to tell you, or were you so simple that you could not conceive that which is commonly said, Maledicta Glossa quæ corrumpit textum? M. Harding, &c. To let pass your scoffing and your minister-like interpretation, let us come to the matter, &c. You say, the pope committed a spiritual matter in a cause of simony to be heard and ended by a woman. And this is a vain tale and untrue fancy of yours, not able to be gathered by any word of that decree. For the cause of simony was heard and ended by the pope, and the bishop was absolved and sent home" (or else M. Harding over boldly reporteth untruth, as his manner commonly is to do). "And a cause once heard and determined by the pope, is not wont to be committed afterward to the hearing and determination of a

383. b.

woman. After this, as though this lie had not been loud enough, you tell us that Brunichildis summoned the bishop to appear before her, &c. Brunichildis being so holy, so virtuous, so religious a lady, as St. Gregory reported she was, it is to be presupposed, that she would not disquiet a good and innocent man, nor put him to further trouble." The Answer. "A shameless falsifier," (you say) " a deceiver of them that believe him, scoffing and ministerlike interpretation; as though this lie had not been loud enough," &c. This eloquence, M. Harding, becometh no man but yourself. It is reason ye should have the whole glory of it without copartner. Howbeit, such intemperance of speech, and such uncivil dealing, will win small credit to your cause in the judgment of the wise. You say, "It cannot be found, that queen Brunichildis had any such commission from the pope." You hunt wantonly and rove at pleasure, M. Harding, and will find nothing but that may like you. But pope Gregory's commission is so plain, that I marvel with what good countenance you could deny it: saving that I see you are armed with boldness to deny what you list. These be pope Gregory's own words: Purgationem ante te, duobus sibi sacerdotibus 2. Quæst. 4. junctis, eundem ex se præbere tuo commisimus arbitrio: "I have given commission to your discretion, that the said bishop, taking to him two other priests, or bishops,

shall make his purgation before you 53." And thus he

 53 [Decret. 2. Qu. 4. (See supra vol. ii. 238. note 55 .) "Men-" nam vero reverendissimum fra-"trem et coepiscopum nostrum, "postquam ea quæ de eo dicta "sunt, requirentes, in nullo in-"venimus esse culpabilem: qui "insuper ad sacratissimum cor-" pus beati Petri Apostoli sub " jurejurando satisfaciens, ab his " quæ objecta fuerant ejus opi-" nioni, se demonstravit alienum: "reverti illuc [al. illum] purga-"tum absolutumque permisimus: " quia sicut dignum erat, ut si in "aliquo reus existeret, culpam "in eo canonice punirem, ita di-

"gnum non fuit ut eum adju-" vante innocentia diutius retinere " vel affligere in aliquo debere-" mus; (purgationem tamen antè "duobus sibi sacerdotibus jun-"ctis, ubi accusatur cessaverit, "eundem ex se præbere tuo
"commisimus arbitrio.") The writer then proceeds to prohibit his resorting to boiling water, hot iron, &c. Some editions of the Decretum read (as bp. Jewel did) "antè te," whilst others read "antè." It should be observed, that not a word of the passage, after "deberemus," is found in St. Gregory's original letter to

Purgation. Compurga-

Commisi mus.

Ante te.

gatio,

said to Brunichildis, being the queen of France. Is not this enough to prove, that the pope gave commission that the bishop should make his purgation before the queen? Is not here the plain and express word purgatio? Are not here two other priests or bishops appointed to be compurgators? Is not here the Latin word commisimus? whereby the pope gave the queen to understand, that he had sent her his special commission? Are not here these other two Latin words ante te, that is to say, before thee, or in thy presence? Are not here these other two Latin words. tuo arbitrio, whereby it is signified, that the matter was committed to her discretion? Doth not the same pope immediately afterward, in the same decree, straightly charge the said queen Brunichildis, that she should not require vulgaris Pur- the said bishop to clear himself by any vulgar purgation, as by standing in scalding water, or by bearing a gad of burning iron in his bare hand, as many then used to try their innocency, and to purge themselves? Sought you so busily for these things, M. Harding, and could you not find them? Or could you see all these things in a heap together, and yet could you see nothing? Or, all this notwithstanding, can you so assuredly tell us, that the pope never committed any spiritual matter to be heard by a woman? Or, that the queen had no commission to any such purpose from the pope? The pope himself saith, Yea: you only say, Nay. And may we safely give credit to you alone against the pope?

M. Harding. fol. 383. b.

fol. 384 a.

It is not likely (you say) that being once purged before the pope, he should afterward be purged again M. Harding, before a woman. It is not likely (you say) that Brunichildis, being so holy, so virtuous, and so religious a lady, would disquiet a good and an innocent man, or put him to any further trouble, after his cause had been heard and

> Brunichildis, (Epist. 6. lib. xiii. tom. ii. 1210.) The editor of the Paris edition of the Decretum, 1612, notices this fact with great satisfaction, and asserts that the interpolated words belong properly to another chapter in 2 Qu.

4. There seems some mystery to hang over this subject, as both the Decretum and the Gloss are ancient, and it is not impossible that the passage was fraudulently expunged in St. Gregory's Epistle.

ended by the pope. And are not these good and substantial and likely reasons, M. Harding? Or, were it not well worth the while, that your reader, contrary to the pope's plain words, should believe you, and rather yield to such pretty likelihoods? It is not likely, that M. Harding, so boldly maintaining manifest falsehood, will ever give place to any truth. I beseech you, how had this bishop made his sufficient purgation before the pope? By what words of Gregory can you learn it? Indeed he offered an oath for himself. And so far forth only, and none otherwise, he was purged. But there was no proclamation given out unto his accusers, if any man had to allege against his purgation; neither had he any man there to be his compurgator. Nor was he fully restored to his fame; nor had the pope thoroughly concluded and ended the matter. And therefore he committed it over, to be ended at home before the queen. For the law saith: Ibi fieri In Margadebet purgatio, ubi quisque est infamatus: ut ibi moriatur malum, ubi contigit: "There ought every man to make his purgation, where he is defamed: that the evil may die, and have an end, where it began to spring first." To be short, whereas you tell us, M. Harding, that queen Brunichildis had no such commission from the pope, the expositor of that decree, if it might have pleased you to have seen him, would have taught you the contrary. For thus he saith: Papa mandat reginæ, ut indicat eidem episcopo 2. Quæst. 4. Mennam. [in purgationem cum duobus sacerdotibus: et delegatur hic laico glossa et in marg.] negotium spirituale: et episcopus expurgatus coram pana. cogitur adhuc coram muliercula se purgare: "The pope giveth commission to the queen to appoint the said bishop to make his purgation, together with two other priests:" again: "Here a spiritual matter is committed over to a lay person:" and again: "A bishop being purged" (in part, and after a sort) "before the pope, yet, nevertheless, is compelled afterward to purge himself before a woman." I know not what I should say further; committere, is Latin. to give commission: purgatio, in English, is a purgation: simony is a spiritual cause: queen Brunichildis was a lay person: ante reginam, is before the queen: the text is

plain: the Gloss is plain: the words are plain: the sense is plain. The pope himself saith: "I have given out my commission, that the bishop should appear before the queen:" the expositor saith: "A spiritual cause is committed to the judgment of a person temporal."

Yet, M. Harding, it is lawful for you to say, "M. Jewel is a loud liar, and a shameless falsifier, and a deceiver of all them that will believe him." Thus may you lawfully and boldly say: for full well it becometh you thus to say, as a man that recketh not what he say.

1. cap. 12. [al. cap. 34. tom, ii. p. 70.]

The emperor Constantinus wrote thus unto the bishops Socrates, lib. that had been at the council of Tyrus: Cuncti quotquot synodum Tyri complevistis, sine mora ad pietatis nostræ castra properate: ac re ipsa, quam sincere, ac recte judicaveritis, ostendatis: idque coram me, quem sincerum esse Dei ministrum, ne vos quidem negabitis: "All ye that have been at the council of Tyrus, come without delay unto our camp, and shew me plainly and without colour, how uprightly ye have dealt in judgment: and that even before myself, whom you cannot deny to be the true servant of God "

stitutione, 123. [ed. Haloand.]

Justinian the emperor, in the law that he maketh touch-Authen. con-ing the public prayers of the church, saith thus: "We command all bishops and priests, to minister the holy oblation, and the prayer at the holy baptism, not under silence, but with such voice, as may be heard of the faithful people, to the intent, that the hearts of the hearers may be stirred to more devotion, &c." Afterward he addeth further: "And let the holy priests understand, that if they neglect any of these things they shall make answer therefore at the dreadful judgment of the great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ. And yet, nevertheless, we ourselves, understanding the same, will not pass it over, nor leave it unpunished 54."

> Hereby we see, that godly princes may summon bishops, to appear before them, even in causes ecclesiastical, to receive such punishment as they have deserved. Likewise the emperor Constantinus, in his letters unto the people of

⁵⁴ [Supra vol. ii. p. 43. note ²⁵, Haloander's edition, is printed at where the original, according to length: see also vol. vi. p. 60.]

Nicomedia, speaking of the wilful errors and heresics of priests and bishops, saith thus: Illorum temeraria præ-Theodoresumptio, mea, hoc est, ministri Christi, manu coercebitur: cap. 19. [iii. "Their rash attempts shall be repressed by my hand, that is to say, by the hand of Christ's servant 55."

Addition.

Addition. R M. Harding: "Where you say, that the M. Harding, emperor spake of the wilful errors and heresies of the 387. priests and bishops, and add not, Arian priests and Arian bishops, you declare your malicious heart against priests and bishops. But I will leave that cankered spite of yours, &c. Why do you not report the emperor's words as they are in your author? Will you never leave this your accustomed vile corruption?" The Answer. And will you never leave this vanity, M. Harding? You say, I speak of errors and heresies of priests and bishops, but I add not, Arian priests and Arian bishops. And therein, you say, I declare my malicious heart. Of such good words your gentle heart wanteth no store. It is your skill with such terrors and outcries to amaze the simple. For no wise man, I trow, would thus demean himself without some cause. I said not, the errors and heresies of Arian priests and of Arian bishops. What then? Think you therefore I meant the errors and heresies of catholic priests, and godly bishops? Or can any man imagine heresies without an heretic? Fie, M. Harding, such wantonness is not fit for your gravity: when I spake of errors and heresies, a very babe might well know, I spake only of heretics, and of none others.

But I have not reported the emperor's words as I found them. And this, in your accustomed courteous speech. you call my accustomed vile corruption. Howbeit, indeed, I corrupt no part of the emperor's words. He speaketh none otherwise, but as I report him. Add hardly the words that you would seem to miss. You can add nothing to the meaning. Thus saith Theodoretus, even as you

μνήμην τῶν λυμεώνων ἐκείνων, ἢ πρὸς ἔπαινον ἀπρονοήτως ἐξαφθῆναι τόλμησει, παραχρημα της ίδίας

 $^{^{55}}$ [Theodoret. Εἴ τις δὲ πρὸς τόλμης διὰ τῆς τοῦ θεράποντος τοῦ Θεοῦ, τουτέστιν ἐμοῦ, ἐνεργείας ανασταλήσεται.]

have translated him yourself: "If any man be inflamed boldly and uncircumspectly at the remembrance and commendation of those wicked and pestilent heretics, his boldness shall be repressed straightway by my working, that is to say, by the minister of God 56." This is all that I say, M. Harding: I say no more.

August. contra epist.

So likewise saith St. Augustine unto the Donatists: An Parmen. lib. forte de religione fas non est ut dicat imperator, vel quos cap. 9. ix. miserit imperator? Cur ergo ad imperatorem legati vestri miserit imperator? Cur ergo ad imperatorem legati vestri venerunt? "Is it not lawful, that the emperor, or the emperor's deputy, should pronounce in a case of religion? Wherefore then went your own ambassadors to the emperor?"

M. Harding, 388. a.

Addition. R. M. Harding: "St. Augustine, reasoning Addition. against Parmenian, the Donatist, took advantage of his own doings, not as allowing the appeal to the emperor, but as proving him unreasonable, who for advantage would appeal to the emperor, and, when the emperor had pronounced sentence against him, would strive and repine at the sentence, and say, that he, being a temporal prince, ought not to punish bishops, &c. St. Augustine, in this talk against the Donatists, cannot be said to allow the emperor's authority in condemning of bishops and other ecclesiastical causes. For he, answering another Donatist that said, Non debuit episcopus proconsulari judicio purgari, said, if he be worthy to be blamed, whom the temporal judge hath absolved, whereas he himself did not require it, how much more are they to be blamed, which would have a temporal prince to be judge in their cause? By this it appeareth that he thought that princes could not be judges over bishops. Moreover he reporteth that Constantine, who appointed judges to hear their cause, did it, a sanctis antistitibus veniam petiturus, as minding to ask pardon of

56 [Harding, however, adds, that the words were meant only to apply to the laity of Nicomedia; and certainly there is nothing in the letter to contradict him; so that the words left out by bishop Jewel were not so unimportant as

he represents them, inasmuch as they serve to shew, that the persons, whom the emperor here threatens to punish, were not the heretical bishops themselves, but their partizans, whether lay or clerical, at Nicomedia.]

the holy bishops for his fact. And the same emperor, seeing their importunity in repairing to him, as judge, said, O rabida furoris audacia! Sicut in causis gentilium solet fieri, appellationem interposuerunt." The Answer. The very story hereof is this: The Donatian heretics, being condemned by an assembly of bishops in Africa, and ap-[S. August. Epist. 162. pealing for aid unto the *emperor*, were, by his authority, ii. 97.] appointed over by special commission to be judged by Miltiades, the bishop of Rome, with certain others. Wherein two things are specially to be noted: first, that the pope Euseb. iib. in those days thought not himself to be superior to the [i. 484, 485.] emperor, but could be contented to be his delegate, and to receive authority by his commission: again, that the pope The pope the was not appointed to judge alone, but had other bishops delegate. joined with him. The Donatians being condemned before the pope and the other commissioners, and once again appealing to the emperor, were eftsoons appointed over by like commission to be judged by the bishop of Arle in France, with certain others. And here also it is to be noted, that, in those days, it was lawful to appeal from the Appeal from pope, and that an inferior bishop might lawfully judge of his judgments. But the Donatians seeing themselves condemned by the bishop of Arle, as they were before by the bishop of Rome, last of all appealed to the emperor's own person, complaining of the partiality of the bishop of Rome, and of the bishop of Arle, as at whose hands they could find no justice. In the end, being likewise condemned by the emperor himself, they found themselves also grieved with his majesty, and condemned his judgment too, as they had done the others. Hereof St. Augustine saith thus: Judex eligitur imperator: judicans contemnitur imperator: Aug. epist. "They choose the emperor to be their judge: and after judgment they despise the emperor." This is it, that the emperor so much misliked in the Donatists. Therefore he abhorred them as impudent and perverse wranglers, that seeing judgment so many ways, and so clearly pass against them, yet would not submit themselves to any order. Such, this day, M. Harding, is the perverseness and impudency of all them, that, seeing their follies laid open to the

world by God's holy word, and so many kingdoms and countries departing from them, yet, nevertheless, have hardened their faces against all shame, and will never yield to the confession of any one error. Therefore St. Augustine saith unto the Donatists, as we likewise may say unto you: Quid vultis amplius homines, quid vultis amplius? "O ye men, tell me, what would ye have more? What more would ye have?"

Aug. in ea-dem epist. [ii. 90.]

As touching the matter that lieth between us, the question is this, Whether a prince may be judge in ecclesiastical causes, or no. "He may not," say you. Here we allege the example of the godly Christian emperor Constantinus, who being only a temporal prince, nevertheless took upon him to judge in causes ecclesiastical between the Donatian heretics and the catholics. Unto this you answer: "Thus he did, a sanctis antistitibus veniam petiturus, as minding to ask pardon of the holy bishops for his fact." For what his fact, M. Harding? Why deal you so darkly? Will you tell us, that Constantinus knew in his conscience he did ill. and committed sacrilege, and wickedly intruded upon the bishops' offices, and wilfully deserved God's vengeance, as did Ozias, or Oza, and vet would do it notwithstanding. upon the hope of pardon? Verily this had been the sin of presumption, which some men have reckoned as the sin against the Holy Ghost, that shall never be forgiven, neither in this life, nor in the life to come. Or do you think, that these holy fathers would so easily have forgiven so great an offence, so presumptuously committed against God? Or is it likely, that the emperor Constantinus, for thus doing, stood excommunicate ipso facto, and was therefore divided from the sacraments and prayers, and all other communion and comfort of the church? Or that afterward he waited three days together bareheaded and barefoot, as did that noble emperor Henry IV. upon pope Gregory VII., sabell, En. did that noble emperor Henry IV. apon Por nead. 9. lib.3. to receive absolution for his sins? I know, you dare to say many things: but thus much, I trow, you dare not say. What pardon then was it, that the emperor minded to seek at the bishops' hands? Indeed, the place itself is very dark, and such as whereof it is hard to gather a ready and

perfect sense. Thus stand the words: Atque utinam, saltem ipsius judicio, insanissimis animositatibus finem posuissent, atque, ut eis ipse cessit, ut de illa causa post episcopos judicaret, a sanctis antistitibus postea veniam petiturus, dum tamen illi, quod ulterius dicerent, non haberent, si ejus sententiæ non obtemperarent, ad quem ipsi provocaverunt, sic et illi aliquando cederent veritati?

If ye understand this sentence thoroughly, M. Harding, ye are more than Davus: ye are as good as Œdipus. Notwithstanding, that silly sense, that you have imagined, cannot possibly be gathered hereof by any reasonable order of construction. This only is it, that St. Augustine saith, The emperor minded to excuse himself unto the bishops, not for that he had dealt in a cause ecclesiastical, but for that he had dealt therein, after that it had been twice heard and determined by the bishops. These are his words: Ipse eis cessit, ut de illa causa post episcopos judicaret, a sanctis antistitibus postea veniam petiturus. You know right well, M. Harding, veniam petere is not always to ask absolution, as for some heinous offence against God. Bona tua venia dicam: dabis mihi hanc veniam, &c. are phrases commonly used among the learned. In this place, St. Augustine saith, the emperor minded courteously to excuse his doing unto the bishops, for that calling the matter before himself, that had been before thoroughly considered and debated by them, he might seem to judge of their judgments, and to call their doings into question. This only was the thing, that he would have excused. And this was his courtesy only, and not his duty.

As for the hearing of ecclesiastical causes, which is the matter that lieth between us, he neither thought he offended God therein, for any thing that may appear, nor minded to ask pardon for the same. For he did no more therein than was lawful for him to do; nor ever was there any pope so uncivil, that durst to condemn him for so doing. St. Augustine saith plainly in the same epistle: Diximus, Aug. epist.

......Felicem Aptungitanum, ad Constantini jussionem, proconsularibus gestis fuisse purgatum.——Ait quidam, Non
debuit episcopus proconsulari judicio purgari: quasi vero

93.]

longeth to

the empe-

ipse sibi hoc comparaverit, ac non imperator ita quæri jus-Ad cujus cu- serit: ad cujus curam, de qua rationem Deo redditurus maxime per- esset, res illa maxime pertinebat: "We told you, that Felix, tinebat. [ib. the bishop of Aptungita, was purged before the lord lieutenant by the commandment of the emperor. But one of the Donatian heretics saith, 'A bishop should not have made his purgation before the lieutenant:' as though it had been the lieutenant's seeking, and not rather the emperor's commandment: to whose charge, whereof he shall yield account Specially be- unto God, that matter specially did belong." Behold these words, M. Harding: lay them abroad: cast no cloud over ror's charge. them: St. Augustine telleth you, that the purgation of a bishop, notwithstanding it were an ecclesiastical matter, yet belonged specially to the emperor's charge. And thus he saith even in the selfsame epistle and place that you have alleged. And yet must we believe you upon your word. that the emperor could not deal herein without offending of God, and craving of pardon? Again, St. Augustine saith: August. con- An forte de religione fas non est, ut dicat imperator.....? tra epist.
Parmen. lib. Cur ergo ad imperatorem legati vestri venerunt? "What, 1. cap. 7. cap. 9. tom. is it not lawful, perhaps, that the *emperor* should give sentence in a case of religion? Wherefore then did your legates sue to the emperor?" Here, M. Harding, mark this by the way: They that said the emperor might not be judge in ecclesiastical cases, were Donatian heretics. St. Augustine and the catholics said the contrary. St. Augustine saith: Si criminis non est, provocare ad imperatorem, non est criminis audiri ab imperatore. Ergo,

Aug. epist. 162. [il. 94.] Non est criminis

> Now, M. Harding, if there were no fault committed by the emperor, why should you thus force him to crave pardon?

majesty, be the cause never so much ecclesiastical.

nec ab illo, cui causam delegaverit imperator: "If it be no fault to appeal to the emperor, then is it no fault to be heard or judged by the emperor. And so, consequently, it is no fault to be judged before him, to whom the cause was committed by the emperor." St. Augustine presupposeth and layeth it as a ground, that it is no fault, neither to appeal to the emperor, nor to be judged before his

Photius, the patriarch of Constantinople, saith thus: Clerici Constantinopolitani, si nolint actores apud patriar-Photius de cham agere, a solis præfectis prætorio judicantur; sive Peccatis et conveniant, sive conveniantur, tam pro privatis, quam pro [cap. 1. p. ecclesiasticis: "The priests of Constantinople, if they will ^{950.]} not plead their matters before the patriarch, then are they judged only before the lord chancellor, whether they be plaintiffs or defendants, and whether their causes be private or ecclesiastical 57."

Again he saith: "Bishops, priests, and monks, are to be In codem tit. sued before the *lord deputy* or *president* of the country: [cap. 1, p. op. 353]. Apud or if they be found in the city of Constantinople" (where provinciathe emperor hath his court,) "then are they to be sued only solos Prebefore the lord chancellor 58."

fectos præ-

Likewise Balsamon, expounding a canon of the council of Antioch, allegeth this sentence of Justinian: Patriarcha Balsamon. debet rationem reddere imperatori, et ab eo corrigi: "The toch. can. 12. patriarch is bound to make his answer before the emperor, and of him to receive correction." And thus he speaketh of a cause ecclesiastical, as by the place it may appear. Again he saith: Patriarcha ab imperatore, qui ecclesia Balsamon, habet potestatis scientiam, judicabitur, forte ut sacrilegus, eodem loco. vel male de fide sentiens, vel alicujus alius criminis reus. Hoc enim nos judicialiter factum esse vidimus diversis temporibus: "The patriarch shall be judged of the emperor, having the knowledge of the ecclesiastical power, whether the matter be of sacrilege, or of heresy, or of any other crime. For this have we seen done oftentimes in form of judgment."

What would ye have more, M. Harding? Heresy is a cause ecclesiastical: the patriarch is a bishop: the emperor is a civil or lay magistrate. Yet Balsamon telleth you, that this bishop in this cause, is to be tried before the

^{57 [}Supra vol. vi. p. 314 note 50.] 58 [Photius, in Nomocanone: ότι οἱ ἐπίσκοποι καὶ οἱ κληρικοὶ καὶ οί μοναχοὶ διάξιμοι οὐ γίνονται, ἀλλὰ ένάγονται. έν Κωνσταντινουπόλει

εὐρισκόμενοι παρὰ μόνοις τοῖς ὑπάρχοις ένάγονται, ένθα καὶ ή τιμή αὐτοις φυλάττεται, και συνηγόρους λαμβάνουσιν. The words in parπαρὰ ἄρχουσι τῶν ἐπαρχῶν αὐτῶν enthesis are added by bishop Jewel.

emperor: and that he himself hath oftentimes seen the same judicially put in practice, no restraint or law being to the contrary.

Gerson standing before the French king, and answering his adversaries in a cause ecclesiastical, said thus unto him: Loquimur sic ad eos, rex serenissime, te audiente, te judice: Gerson, Tri-"Thus we say to them, O most gracious king, in your hearing: your grace shall be judge." Here, you see, M. Harding, the cause is ecclesiastical, and the judge is the king.

But what speak we of other priests and inferior bishops? The popes themselves, notwithstanding all their universal power, have submitted themselves, and made their purga-Theod. lib. 1. tions before kings and emperors. Pope Liberius made his humble appearance before the emperor Constantius: pope Sixtus was accused, and made his purgation before the emperor Valentinian 59: pope Leo III. being accused by 2. qu. 4. Au-Paschalis and Campulus, pleaded his cause before Carolus Magnus at Rome, not yet chosen emperor. Pope John paschal. [vol. XXII. was accused of heresy, and forced to recant the lv. 491. d.] same unto Philip, the French king.

Pope Leo IV. in this wise humbly submitted himself 2 Qu. 7. Nos unto the judgment of Lewis, the emperor: Nos, si incompetenter aliquid egimus, et in subditis justæ legis tramitem non conservavimus, vestro admissorum nostrorum cuncta volumus emendare judicio: "If we have done any thing out of order, and if we have not followed the right course of the law over our subjects, we will amend all our faults by your majesty's judgment."

Addition. (M. Harding. "If this submission had Addition. not been made voluntarily by them, nor king nor Cæsar could have had authority or power to be judges over them.

The good emperor Constantine said to the bishops, Vos [Sozom, lib. non potestis ab hominibus judicari: 'You cannot be judged of men,' that is, of laymen." The answer. This is wisely

> 59 [It should be stated, howserts, by his own authority, and ever, that this took place in a that he protested against its being synod summoned, as the pope asdrawn into a precedent.]

cap. 16. [leg. lib. 2. tom. iii. 92.] 2. qu. 4. Mandastis.

logus. In

[i. 298. a.]

materia schismatis.

Gerson in

ditum.

M. Harding. fol. 389. a.

i. cap. 17.]

considered, M. Harding, and for good advantage. Laymen be men: all others be angels. Otherwise if bishops were men, as others be, then could no priest or bishop be judged before them: for Constantinus saith: Vos non potestis ab hominibus judicari: "You cannot be judged by men." And yet in the selfsame place he seemeth to say, that a bishop may not be judged, neither before any other bishop, nor before the pope himself, or any other creature, but only before God alone. For thus he saith unto the bishops: Istæ accusationes vestræ opportunum tempus habent sozomen. magni judicii diem, et judicem tunc omnibus judicaturum: [ii. 35.] "These quarrels of yours have a meet time of trial, I mean the day of great judgment, and a meet judge, that shall judge over all 60." Happy are you, M. Harding, that may do what ye list, and never come to answer before the day of our Lord.

M. Harding. "Pope Liberius, you say, made his humble [M. Harding, appearance before Constantius. It is true. But Liberius, fol. 389. b.] dealing with the emperor Constantius, was such as became a bishop of the apostolic see.61......For in that cause he would neither be overborne by the authority of the emperor, nor yield unto his wickedness against Athanasius for a long time," (YET AT LENGTH HE YIELDED, AND SUB-SCRIBED TOO, AS IT BECAME A BISHOP OF THE APOSTOLIC SEE,) "much less acknowledge him for his superior, or judge." (AND YET POPE GREGORY, THAT FOLLOWED LONG AFTER POPE LIBERIUS, CALLED THE EMPEROR HIS LORD AND MASTER: Ego sum vester servus, o imperator: Gregor. lib. 3. ego sum vestræ jussioni subjectus: imperatori obedientiam [leg. 61. tom. ii. 677.] præbui: THESE BE HIS WORDS.) "As for pope Sixtus. it is certain he made his purgation before the emperor Valentinian. But he did it 62..... of humility, &c. The

τους έαυτούς παρέχειν, ώς παρ' έτέρου κρίνεσθαι.]

council of bishops, and not in a court of the prince. He did it of humility," &c.]

^{60 [}Sozomenus:—αὖται μὲν ἔφη αί κατηγορίαι καιρον οἰκεῖον έχουσι την ημέραν της μεγάλης κρίσεως δικαστην δέ, τον μέλλοντα πασι τότε κρίνειν, έμοι δε οὐ θεμιτον ἀνθρώπω ουτι τοιαύτην είς έαυτον έλκειν άκρόασιν, ίερέων κατηγορούντων καὶ κατηγορουμένων. ήκιστα γάρ χρή τοιού-

^{61 [}Harding adds: "but appearance is not purgation," &c.]

62 [" He did it in Concilio, in a

emperor of himself had no power to summon the pope to his judgment seat, nor any jurisdiction to force him to make his purgation before his majesty. He gave him licence to hear his purgation. Concerning Leo III. and Leo IV., their case is like, &c. And, therefore, we say, the emperor was not their judge, nor superior, by any princely authority, but by these popes' permission and appointment." The answer. O what a blessed thing it is to be a pope! Be he never so wicked, yet if he be also stout and wilful, and refuse judgment, then may he not be judged by any creature under heaven, neither by king, nor by emperor, nor by the church, nor by the whole people of God. so it is enacted by pope Innocentius himself: Kings and emperors live under laws; but the pope's prerogative is, to do what him listeth, without controulment, or fear of laws. Ennodius [p. And so is it true that Ennodius 63 saith: Successores Petri, 1622]. Concil.

9. qu. 3. Nemo.

tom. I.

una cum sedis privilegiis, peccandi quoque licentiam accepisse: "That the successors of Peter, together with the privileges of their see, have also gotten free liberty to do ill." E)

Dist. 63. In Synodo: in Glossa.

Your own Gloss saith: Papa potest dare potestatem imperatori, ut deponat ipsum: et sese in omnibus illi subjicere: "The pope may give the emperor power to depose himself: and may in all things submit himself unto him 64." Now, M. Harding, if the pope may give the emperor this authority, what scripture find you to the contrary, why the emperor may not take it of himself? To be short, Francis-Fran. Zaba- cus Zabarella saith: Papa accusari potest coram imperatore rel. de Schismate, et Conde quolibet crimine notorio: et imperator requirere potest ellio, fin synt. Tractt. a papa rationem fidei: "The pope may be accused before the emperor of any notorious crime: and the emperor may require the pope to yield an account of his faith."

de Imp. fol. 237. col. 2. A.]

M. Harding, fol. 391. b.

rel, de Schis-

Addition. (F M. Harding. "Zabarella saith not, as Addition. you report, Papa potest accusari coram imperatore, &c. These words, coram imperatore, are of your own inter-

63 [It is not Ennodius who says this, but the accusers of pope Symmachus IV., whose words he

64 [" Sed nunquid papa posset ei

[&]quot; potestatem dare ut deponeret ip-"sum?....imo in omnibus se

alleges in order to refute them. " potest subjicere ei."]

lacing, and be not in the author. You ought to be ashamed, so foully to corrupt your authors, and deceive the people. Again, Zabarella saith not, Imperator requirere potest a papa rationem fidei. They are your words, M. Jewel. That which Zabarella saith, is this: Si papa erit suspectus de hæresi, potest imperator ab eo exigere, ut indicet quid sentiat de fide. Now, sir, to require a man to vield an account of his faith, and to require him to declare what he thinketh touching the faith, are two diverse things." The answer. "You ought to be ashamed," you say: verily, and so I am, and so ought I to be, in your behalf, M. Harding, to see your vanity. These words, coram imperatore, are not interlaced by me: you may easily find them in the author 65. Wherein I will use no other proof but only the very same words that you yourself have alleged. These they be: Nec quenquam moveat, quod im- M. Harding, perator est laicus, ut ex hoc putet esse inconveniens, quod se fol. 392. intromittat de clericis. Non enim semper prohibetur judicare de clericis. Sed tunc prohibetur, quando non subest ratio specialis: "Let no man be moved, for that the emperor is a layman, to think it therefore a thing unconvenient that he should intermeddle with priests' causes. For he is not always forbidden to judge of priests. But then is he forbidden, when there is no special cause."

You see, therefore, M. Harding, that upon some cause (him specially moving) the emperor may lawfully judge in ecclesiastical matters. Again you say: "Zabarella saith not, Imperator requirere potest a papa rationem fidei: but thus he saith, Imperator exigere potest a papa, ut indicet quid sentiat de fide." Here have I put requirere instead of exigere; and, rationem fidei, instead of quid sentiat de fide. "To require a man to yield an account of his faith, and to require a man to declare what he thinketh touching

the faith," (say you) "are two diverse things." Is not here a wonderful difference, and a foul corruption of

^{65 [}The Editor has not been memory: what he adds, however, able to discover the sentence in shews that Zabarella's meaning question. It is evident that Bp. virtually amounted to the sense of Jewel was here deceived by his these words.]

authors, M. Harding? Is not this a foul deceiving of the people? Are not these weighty and worthy matters to be proclaimed and published to the world? I marvel, if you be not ashamed in your own behalf.

The words of Zabarella are plain: Imperator exigere notest a papa, ut indicet quid sentiat de fide: "The emperor may require the pope to shew him what he thinketh of the faith." That is to say, the emperor may require the pope to yield a reckoning of his faith. Where also it is to be noted, that the pope may be an heretic, or at least suspected of heresy. For thus saith Zabarella: Si papa erit suspectus de hæresi. Cry out, therefore, M. Harding, no more of deceiving the people. For, as it appeareth, the pope himself may be an heretic, and a deceiver of the people. Now, therefore, M. Harding, I report me to your own

Extra, de Majorit. et

indifferent judgment, how true it is, that ye say, "It is not convenient for a king to call priests before him to his own seat of judgment." Verily, this note ye might have found Majortt, et Obed, cap. 2. glossed in your own Decretals: Quæritur, Quis exemit in marg. [ed. clericum de jurisdictione imperatoris, cum prius esset illi subjectus? Dicit Laurentius, quod papa de consensu principis: "A question is moved, Who hath exempted a priest from the jurisdiction of the emperor, whereas before he was subject to the emperor's court? Laurence saith, the pope hath exempted him by the consent of the prince 65." Ye see therefore, M. Harding, your priests, abbots, and bishops have their privileges and exemptions, not by any right of God's word, but only by the pope's policy; and by the special favour of the prince.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 9. Divis. 3.

They will say to this, I guess, Civil princes have [Vol. iv. p. 77.] learned to govern a commonwealth, and to order matters of war: but they understand not the secret mysteries of religion. If that be so, what is the

^{65 [}This marginal note is not in the ed. of the Decretals of 1612. after the papal revision.

pope, I pray you, at this day, other than a monarch, or a prince? Or, what be the cardinals, who must be none other now but princes and kings' sons? What else be the patriarchs, and, for the most part. the archbishops, the bishops, the abbots? What be they else at this present in the pope's kingdom, but worldly princes, dukes, and earls, gorgeously accompanied with bands of men whithersoever they go: oftentimes also gaily arrayed with chains and collars of gold? They have at times, too, certain ornaments by themselves, as crosses, hats, mitres, and palls: which pomp the ancient bishops, Chrysostom, Augustine, and Ambrose, never had. Setting these things aside, what teach they? what say they? what do they? how live they? I say not, as may become a catholic 66 bishop, but, as may become a Christian man? Is it so great a matter to have a vain title, and, by changing a garment only, to have the name of a bishop?

M. HARDING.

The duty of civil princes consisteth in civil matters; the duty a Untruth, of bishops, in spiritual things; that serveth to the preservation manifest. of men's persons; this, to the salvation of their souls. "Every no prince to high priest" (saith St. Paul) "that is taken from among men, is him the bl. ordained for men in things appertaining to God." aYe move blightness. temporal princes to take upon them the office of the pope, and For this is bishops, as though it were a thing so indifferent, and so com-our reason: mon, that, when bishops be negligent, temporal men may doprince," say their stead. But the reason which to this end ye make, is so you, "may not meddle slender, as (I ween) few princes that fear God will be greatly in ecclesias-moved to adventure that thing so much subject and thrall to Butthepope, God's revenge. bIn effect your reason is this, consider it who say we, is nothing else God's revenge. bIn effect your reason is this, consider it who nothing else will: They of the clergy be no other but civil princes: ergo, but a temtemporal princes may bear the office of bishops. Sir, both your ergo, by your argument is naught, and your antecedent is false. For although judgment the pope may bishops had but a title, and the name of bishops by changing a not meddle in ecclesiastical consecution.

tical causes.

^{66 [}There is no word in the Lat. corresponding to "catholic."]

garment only, as you say, yet that defect in them should not give ability to the mere lay, as to kings and queens, to do the office of bishops. Now is your antecedent manifestly false: for the bishops of the catholic church, which in scoff ye call the

pope's kingdom, be duly ordinated and consecrated.

Though the pope have a princely dominion, and some other bishops of Christendom have dukedoms and earldoms; though they ride well accompanied with men and horse; yea, though some of them, otherwise than becometh that vocation, do wear chains and collars of gold, cas you belie them: though they have other ornaments to their states pertaining, which grieveth you much in comparison of the d beggary of your married estate, Thraso! Then was the yet all this embarreth them not, but that they be bishops.....

c Untruth: read the answer. d O glorious apostles' state much more beggarly. e This railing

is not ours, but St. Ber-

nard's.

Though they teach not, though they say not, though they do not, though they live not as becometh bishops, neither as becometh even a Christian man, eas you rail; all this notwithstanding, yet be they bishops, though evil bishops. Neither, for all this, may it be lawful for laymen to take their office upon f Judas was an apostle till the rope choked him. them. Judas was an apostic till the top-by M. Hard-ther for his wickedness might Stephen, Matthias, or any other ingcompared of the disciples, of his own presumption, have stept into his room. Now, as this is true, so is your railing talk false, which malice has stirred you to utter.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Once again we tell you, M. Harding, we confound not these offices 67: notwithstanding you so often and so lewdly report us to your own discredit. Our princes never took upon them the office of bishops: but your bishops have taken upon them the office of princes. Of your bishops it is written in your own councils: Ecce, jam pene nulla est actio sæculi, quam non sacerdotes administrent: "Behold, there is now in a manner no worldly affair, but priests and bishops have it in hand." Such bishops be they, of whom St. Chrysostom writeth thus: Qui non credunt judicium Dei, nec timent, abutentes primatu suo ecclesiastico sæcu-3. [Op. 1mp. vi. app. 153.] lariter, convertunt eum in sæcularem: "They that neither believe nor fear the judgment of God, abusing their ecclesiastical dignity in secular sort, turn the same into secular Such bishops they be, of whom St. Hierom saith Hieron. con- thus: Ipsi sibi et laici sunt, et episcopi: "They themselves

Conc. Macrense. [xvii. 540. c. 2.] Citatur ab Illyric, inter Testes Veritatis, p. 121. [Art. 110. ed. 1672.] Chrysost, in Matt. hom. 3. [Op. imp.

tra Luciferianos. [lv. pt. 2. 302.]

67 [See the XXXIX Articles; Art. 37.]

be to themselves both laymen and bishops too 68." And again: Adorant Dominum et Melchom, qui sæculo pariter Hier. In Sophoniam, et Domino putant se posse servire, et duobus dominis satis-eap. I. [iii. facere, Deo et Mammonæ: qui militantes Christo, obligant sese negotiis sæcularibus, et eandem imaginem offerunt et Deo et Cæsari: "They worship the Lord and Melchom both together, thinking that they may serve both the world and the Lord, and satisfy two masters at once, God and Mammon: who, fighting under Christ, bind themselves to worldly affairs, and offer up one image both to God and to Cæsar."

And, therefore, cardinal Cusanus saith: Maxima ex hac re deformitas oritur, quod prælati tantum sæcularibus curis invigilant: "Hereof groweth a great deformity, that bishops are bent only to worldly cares." Mark well these words, M. Harding: he saith, "Your bishops are bent only to worldly cares."

If ye will believe none of these, yet your pope's own legates, in your late chapter at Trident, speaking of your priest-like apparel, say thus: Nihil a laicis, præterquam Conc. Trid. in vestis genere, ac ne in hoc quidem differunt: "Our III. Admonipriests differ nothing from laymen, saving only in apparel: [p. 3. Orat. Trident. ed. nay, indeed, they differ not so much from them as in 1567.]

Ye say your bishops are gay and gallant, attended and guarded with prince-like routs, both behind and before. And therefore ye make no small account, specially in respect of our estate, which you call beggarly. In such disdain the heathens sometime said, that Christ was the Aventinus, lib. 3. de Rubeggarliest and poorest of all the Gods that were in heaven. Perto. [P. 152.] Howbeit, our bishoprics, saving that certain of your fathers christum of have shamefully spoiled them, are now even as they were orune see pauperribefore. Certainly, the poorest bishopric in England, as it mum. is reported, is better in revenues than some three of your pope's Italian bishoprics in the kingdom of Naples. Howbeit, the gospel of Christ standeth not by riches, but by

^{68 [}Hieron. contr. Lucif. "Sed "ausculta quid de omni ecclesia "omissis paucis homunculis, qui "sentiendum sit.]
"ipsi sibi et laici sunt et episcopi,

truth. In comparison of the one, we make small reckoning of the other.

Nevertheless, the wise and godly have evermore found fault with the ecclesiastical bravery of your Roman clergy. St. Bernard saith: Inde est, quem quotidie vides, meretri-33. [sub fin.] cius nitor: histrionicus habitus: regius apparatus. aurum in franis, in sellis, in calcaribus: "Therehence Sapien. lect. 23. [l. 24. p. 87.] cometh their whore-like fineness: their player's weed: their princely apparel. Therehence cometh their gold in their bridles, in their saddles, and in their spurs." he saith: Incedunt nitidi et ornati, circumamicti varie-Cantic. serm. 77. [subinit.] tatibus, tanquam sponsa procedens de thalamo suo. si quempiam talium eminus procedentem aspexeris, sponsam potius putabis, quam sponsæ custodem? "They go trimly and finely in their colours, as if a spouse should come from her chamber. If thou shouldest suddenly see one of them

spouse, than the keeper of the spouse?"

Laurentius Valla, although bitterly, yet not unpleasantly, thus expresseth your lordly bravery: Existimo, si qua inter dæmones, qui aerem incolunt, ludorum genera exercentur, eos exprimendo clericorum cultu, fastu, luxu, exerceri, et hoc scenici lusus genere maxime delectari: " I think, if the devils in the air have any games among them, to make sport withal, they are most busily occupied in counterfeiting the apparel, and attire, and pride, and riot of priests, and have greatest pastime in this kind of

jetting afar off, wouldest thou not rather think it were a

maskery."

Pope Bonifacius VIII., in a great jubilee, and in a Paralipomen. Urspergen. solemn procession, went apparelled in the emperor's robes. [p. 344.] and had the crown imperial on his head, and the sword of majesty borne before him, as an emperor.

This spiritual jollity, M. Harding, liketh you well. Not-Bernard de withstanding, St. Bernard saith: Dæmonum magis quam Consider, ad Eugen, lib. 4. ovium sunt hæc pascua. Scilicet, sic factitabat Petrus: sic [cap. 2.] Paulus ludebat: "These be pastures for devils, not for sheep. No doubt even thus did Peter: even such pastime played St. Paul."

Ye tell us further: "Though they teach not, though

Laur. Valla de Donat. Const. [p. 62.]

Bernar, in

Bernar, in

they say not, though they do not, though they live not as becometh bishops, nor as becometh a Christian man, yet be they bishops notwithstanding." Hereat we will not greatly strive. For so the wolf, if he once get a sheephook and a cloak, may be a shepherd: and a blind man, if he get once into the watchtower, may be a spy. But miserable are the poor sheep that so are fed: miserable is that poor castle that so is watched.

St. Augustine saith: Episcopatus est nomen operis, non s. Quie. 1. honoris.....; ut intelligat se non esse episcopum, qui præ-patum. esse dilexerit, non prodesse: "A bishop's office is a name bei, 19, 19, of labour, and not of honour: that whoso loveth to rule, vii. 563.] and not to profit, may understand himself to be no bishop." Again he saith of such a one: Canis impudicus dicendus 2. Quie. 7. est, magis quam episcopus: "He ought rather to be called a shameless dog, than a bishop."

As for that ye say, "Your bishops be duly ordinated and consecrated," St. Augustine replieth: Ipsum characte-Aug. contra rem multi et lupi, et lupis imprimunt [al. infigunt]: "Touch-6. [lx. 161.] ing the outward consecration of a bishop, many give it to wolves, and be wolves themselves 69."

St. Bernard, speaking of your priests and bishops, saith:

Habitu milites: quæstu clericos: actu neutros exhibent. Bern.de ConNam neque ut milites pugnant: neque ut clerici evangeliEugenlum;
zant. Cujus ergo ordinis sunt? Cum utriusque esse cuilli. 3. [II.]
piunt, utrunque deserunt: utrunque confundunt. Unusquisque, inquit, in suo ordine resurget. In quo isti? An
qui sine ordine peccaverunt, sine ordine peribunt?

Vereor, non alibi ordinandus, quam ubi nullus ordo, sed
sempiternus horror inhabitat: "In their apparel they are
soldiers: in their gains they are priests and bishops: but
in effect and in deed they are neither of both. For neither do they fight in the field, as do soldiers; nor do they
preach, as priests and bishops. Of whether order therefore be they? Whereas they would be of both orders, they
forsake both, and confound both. St. Paul saith, 'Every 1 Cor. xv. 23.

^{69 [}S. August. contra Donatistas. This passage is irrelevant, inasmuch as St. Augustine is speak-

ing of baptism as the "character Dominicus," not of "the outward consecration of a bishop."]

man shall rise again in his own order.' But in what order shall these rise? Whether, forasmuch as they have sinned without order, shall they perish without order? I fear me, they shall be ordered none other where, but where as is no order, but disorder, and horror everlasting."

Thus plainly speak your own doctors, touching your cleray: which plainness it pleaseth you, M. Harding, to call false and malicious railing.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 10. Divis. 1.

Surely, to have the principal stay and effect of all [Vol. iv. p. matters committed wholly to these men's hands 69, who neither know nor will know these things, nor yet set a jot by any point of religion, save that which concerneth their belly and riot; and to have them alone sit as judges, and to be set up as overseers in the watchtower, being no better than blind spies: of the other side, to have a Christian prince, of good understanding and of a right judgment, to stand still like a block or a stake, not to be suffered neither to give his voice nor to shew his judgment, but only to wait what these men shall will and command, as one which had neither ears, nor eyes, nor wit, nor heart, and whatsoever they give in charge, to allow it without exception, blindly fulfilling their commandments, be they never so blasphemous and wicked, yea, although they command him quite to destroy all religion, and to crucify again Christ himself: this surely, besides that it is proud and spiteful, is also beyond all right and reason, and not to be endured of Christian and wise princes. For why, I pray you, may Caiaphas and Annas understand these matters, and may not David and Ezekias do the same? Is it lawful for a cardinal, being a man of war, and delighting in blood, to 69 [Apol. Lat. "illis solis."]

have place in a council? And is it not lawful for a Christian emperor or a king?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Hereto M. Harding saith nothing, but that he hath oftentimes said before.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p.

78.]

Verily, we grant no further liberty to our magistrates, than that we know hath both been given them by the word of God, and also been confirmed by the examples of the very best governed commonwealths.

M. HARDING.

If a man should ask you where that word of God is, that maketh a temporal prince supreme head of that part of the church which he hath government of in all civil matters, I am sure you can bring forth a no other word of God, than that a Untruth. Wherein St. Peter and St. Paul willeth all men to obey the super-for want period powers, especially kings. Which thing was written to all other places as besides, as Christian men, whiles they lived under heathen princes, and infi- it may soon dels, as Claudius Cæsar and Nero were, whom (I suppose) ye appear. will not say to have been heads of the whole church, as they were monarchs and princes of the whole world. If then by those scripures which cannot prove Nero (being b persecutor of b Then can-Christ's church) to have been head of the same, you will now be head of prove that other princes are supreme heads of the church; it the church; it for he hath seemeth that either you make Nero head of the church with persecuted them, or give more unto them than the word of God will bear. as Nero. And as for examples of good commonweals, shew us but one since Christ's ascension, wherein, before Luther's time, any emperor Christian, or other prince, did attribute that title unto himself, and we will say, that when you speak of commonweals, in the plural number, you make but one lie: but in case you shew us no one commonweal that hath so done, then you lie in the plural number. Yea further, if at this day the commonweals in christendom, not only that are catholic, but the best also of those that are Lutherish and newfangled, do abhor from that about nostrange and unheard title of c Supreme Head of the Church, why thing: for do you say, that ye have either word of God for it, or example hath not this title. But of approved commonweals?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Concerning the title of supreme head of the church, we Head as many ways need not to search for scriptures to excuse it. For, first, it may be proved.

queen Mary had, and used the

same title of Supreme we devised it not: secondly, we use it not: thirdly, our princes at this present claim it not 70. Your fathers, M. Harding, first entituled that most noble and most worthy prince, king Henry the Eighth, with that unused and strange style, as it may well be thought, the rather to bring him into the talk and slander of the world.

Howbeit, that the prince is the highest judge, and governor over all his subjects, whatsoever, as well priests as laymen, without exception, it is most evident by that hath been already said; by that shall be said hereafter; by the whole course of the scriptures, and by the undoubted practice of the primitive church. Verily, the prince, as it shall afterward better appear, had both the tables of the law of God evermore committed to his charge: as well the first, that pertaineth to religion, as also the second, that pertaineth to civil government.

But now, M. Harding, if a man would ask you, by what word of God your priests and bishops have exempted themselves from the judgment and government of their princes: or, by what word of God the prince's hand is restrained more from his clergy than from other his subjects: or, by what word of God ye would stablish two supreme governors in one realm: I marvel in what scriptures ye would seek to find it. Your own doctors and glossers say, as it is before alleged: Quaeritur, quis exemit clericum de jurisdictione imperatoris, cum prius esset illi subjectus? Dicit Laurentius, quod papa de consensu principis: "Question is moved, who hath exempted the priest from the jurisdiction of the emperor, whereas before he was his subject? Laurentius saith" (not the word of God, but), "the pope exempted him by the consent of the prince?"."

Extra, de Majorit. et Obed. cap. 2. in marg. [ed. 1572.]

Further, M. Harding, we beseech you, by what word of God can your pope claim himself to be the head of the universal church of God? Where is it recorded? Where

^{70 [}The title was conferred on king Henry VIII., 1531. See Burnet's Reform. 1. p. 229. Elizabeth declined it, as we learn from a letter of Jewel to Bullinger, printed infra vol. viii., and in

Burnet's Reform. Records, No. 48. "Regina non vult appellari" aut scribi caput ecclesiæ Angli"canæ."]

^{71 [}See the note supra vol. vi. p. 332.]

is it written? In what part of the Testament, New or Old? In what law? In what prophet? In what epistle? In what gospel? Where is his headship? Where is his universal power? If ye can find it, then may ye shew it: if it cannot be found, then should ye not say it. As for that you and other your fellows have alleged before, for proof hereof, it is so childish, and so weak, that I think ye cannot now come again with the same, without blushing.

Touching the right that we say belongeth unto all Christian princes, it hath been invested and planted in them from the beginning. For, to leave other authorities of the scriptures, pope Eleutherius himself wrote thus unto Lucius, sometime king of this realm of England: Vos estis Epist. vicarius Dei in regno, juxta propneuum regrum.

God's vicar within your own realm, according to the pro-wardi Primi.

[Wilkins, Leg. Anglo.

Paul, the bishop of Apamea, writeth thus unto the em-201. peror Justinian, in a cause mere ecclesiastical, touching religion: Transtulit ipsum Dominus, ut plenitudinem di-Quintae Syrectionis vestræ custodiret serenitati: "Our Lord hath taken stantin,] pope Agapetus away, that he might leave the fulness of simo, [Crabb. order" (concerning these heretics, Dioscorus and Eutyches,) ii. 22. col. 2.] "unto your majesty."

Tertullian saith: Colimus imperatorem,.....ut hominem a Tertullian, Deo secundum,.....solo Deo minorem: "We worship the lam. [c. 2. emperor, as a man next unto God, and inferior only unto p. 69.] God."

And notwithstanding the name of head of the church belong peculiarly and only unto Christ, as his only right and inheritance, (for as the church is the body, so Christ is the head,) yet may the same sometimes also be applied in sober meaning, and good sense, not only unto princes, but also unto others, far inferior unto princes. Chrysostom saith: Videntur mihi istæ mulieres caput fuisse ecclesiæ Chrys. in ep. ad Phil. quæ illic erat: "It seemeth unto me, that these women Hom. 13. [xl. were the head of the church that was at Philippi." Likewise A woman head of the again, speaking of the emperor, he saith thus: Læsus est, church. Chrys, ad poqui non habet parem ullum super terram, summitas et caput pui. Ant. Hom. 2. [ii. omnium super terram hominum: "We have offended him, 23.]

that in the earth hath no peer, the top and the head of all men in the world." If he were the head of all men, then was he the head, not only of bishops and cardinals, but also of the pope himself, unless the pope were no man.

To conclude, our princes need no more to claim their lawful authority and emperial right, by the example of Nero, whereof ye have moved much untimely and wanton talk, than your pope needeth to claim his usurped and coloured power by the examples of Annas and Caiaphas.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 2.

For, besides that a Christian prince hath the (Vol. iv. p. charge of both tables committed to him by God, to the end he may understand, that not temporal matters only, but also religious and ecclesiastical causes pertain to his office, &c.

M. HARDING.

You will prove, that ecclesiastical causes pertain to a king's office, because he hath the charge of both tables. If you mean, that a king is bound to keep both tables of the law, so is also every private man. And yet as no private man is supreme head of the church by keeping them, so neither the king is proved thereby the a supreme head. If you mean, that the king ought to see others to keep both tables of the law: that may he do, either in appointing temporal pains for the transgressors of them, or in executing the said pains upon the transgressors. But as he cannot excommunicate any man for not appearing when he is called, so can he not judge all causes of the law. For if a man sin only in his heart, as, for example, in murder, or advoutery, the king cannot have to do with him: and yet the true supreme head of the church shall have to do with him. For that malicious and sinful thought b shall never be forgiven, except the party come to be absolved of their successors, to whom Christ said, "Whose sins ye forgive, they are forgiven; and whose sins ye John xx. 23. rectly to desperation, retain, they are retained." To commit murder in heart, is a sin, and it is retained until it be forgiven. c Neither can it be forgiven, until he that is judge, by the key of discretion, perceive that it is to be forgiven. Which he cannot know, until it be confessed with a contrite heart by him who only knoweth it, and is bound to tell it for absolution's sake. If then there be a judge who can see the law kept in an higher point, and beyond the reach of the king, surely the king shall not be supreme head, sith another is

a A grave disputer. Ye conclude against that that is not avouched.

b Untruth. fond and manifest, and leading dlc Untruth, joined with blasphemy. Read the answer.

more like to God than he: as who is judge of the inward conscience, whereunto no king reacheth, but donly the minister of a A fond Matt. xvi. 19. Christ, who is the spiritual king, d and hath given the keys of his folly. For kingdom to his minister, more to the pope, than to any other simple priest.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, M. Harding, ye rove and wander without a mark, and reply to that that was not spoken. I marvel whereof ye can spin yourself such idle talk. For we neither call our princes, the heads of the church of Christ, (it was your fathers' invention, and not ours,) nor say we, they have power, either to excommunicate, or to bind, or to loose; nor have we leisure to make such vain conclusions.

Thus we say, the prince is put in trust, as well with the first as with the second table of the law of God, that is to say, as well with religion, as with temporal government, not only to keep and perform the contents of both tables in his own person (for so much every private man is bound to do), but also to see, that all others his subjects, as well priests as laymen, each man in his calling, do duly keep them. This is it, that no private man is able to do. Therefore St. Augustine saith: In hoc serviunt Domino reges, in Aug. in epist. quantum sunt reges, cum ea faciunt ad serviendum illi, quæ [ii. 651.] non possunt facere, nisi reges: "Herein kings serve the Lord, in that they be kings, when they do those things to serve him, that no man can do, but only kings." We say not, the prince is bound to do the bishop's duty. And therefore it is the greater folly of your part, M. Harding, to object it so often. Wise men use not so to adventure their words in vain. But thus we say, the prince is bound to see the bishops to do their duties.

But what meant you, so far out of season, to talk so fondly of your privy confession, of binding and loosing, and power of keys? For, as it is said before, we say not, that princes may either bind or loose, or minister sacraments. or preach the gospel, or sit down and hear confessions. Therefore, with all this great ado, ye foine only at your own shadow, and hit nothing.

Ye say full discreetly, "If a man sin only in his heart,

the king cannot have to do with him, for that he cannot enter, to know his secrets." Here I beseech you, M. Harding, what entrance then hath the pope, to know the secrets of the heart? Perhaps ye will say, the pope may know all the world by confession. But St. Augustine saith: Quid mihi est cum hominibus, ut audiant confessiones meas? &c. Unde sciunt, cum a me ipso de me ipso audiunt, c. 3. [i. 171.] an verum dicam? quandoquidem nemo scit hominum quid agatur in homine nisi spiritus hominis, qui in ipso est: "What have I to do with these men, that they should hear my confessions, &c. How know they, when they hear me report of myself, whether I say true or no? For no man knoweth what is in man, but the spirit of man that is within him." Again he saith unto the people: Intrantes vos et exeuntes possumus videre. Usqueadeo au-Psal. 126, [iv. tem non videmus, quid cogitetis in cordibus vestris, ut neque quid agatis in domibus vestris videre possimus: "We may see you coming in and going forth. But we are so far from seeing the thoughts of your hearts, that we cannot see what you do at home in your houses." Likewise again he saith: Quid singulorum quorunque modo conscientiæ dixerint, ad aures meas, quia homo sum, pervenire non potuit. Ille, qui absens est præsentia corporis, sed præsens est vigore majestatis, audivit vos: "What every of your consciences hath said, it could not enter into my ears, for

August, in Psal, 127, [iv. 1440.]

searcheth the heart.

August. in

1429.]

Aug. Con-fess, lib. 10.

Yet ye say, the true supreme head of the church shall have to do with him, that sinneth only secretly in his heart: "For that malicious and sinful thought" (say you) "shall never be forgiven, except the party come to be absolved of their successors, to whom Christ said, 'Whose sins ye forgive, &c." This, M. Harding, is the supreme folly of all others follies. For, first, where ever heard you, that the pope would once vouchsafe to hear confessions? And if he would, yet, by your own doctor's judgment, the

that I am but a mortal man. Notwithstanding, Christ that is absent, as touching the presence of his body, but present by the power of his majesty, hath heard you well." It is not the pope, but God only, that trieth the reins and pope hath no more power to bind and to loose, than any other poor simple priest. As I have shewed you before, Alphonsus de Castro saith: Quando absolvit simplex sacer- Alph. de heedos, tantum absolvit de culpa, sicut papa: "When a simple Absolutione. priest absolveth, he absolveth as much touching the fault. as if it were the pope himself." Origen saith: Quæ se- orig. in Matt. Tract. quuntur, velut ad Petrum dicta, sunt omnium communia, &c. 1. [al. tom.] Quod si nos idem loquimur, quod Petrus loquutus est, 523. 525. 5 efficieur Petrus: "The words that follow, as spoken unto Peter, are common unto all. If we speak the same that Peter spake, then are we made Peter 72." Even in the Extra, de Offic. Judicis pope's own Gloss upon his Decretals it is noted thus: In Ordin: Panecessitate laicus potest et audire confessiones, et absolvere: Glossa. [col. "In case of necessity, a layman may both hear confessions, and also give absolution." Yet will ye not say, that every layman is Peter's successor.

To what purpose, then, serveth all this your vain talk, M. Harding, "The true supreme head of the church shall have to do with him that sinneth only in his heart?" For every simple priest, having the key of God's word, entereth into the heart, and hath to do with the same as well, and as much, and as deeply as the pope: and, in respect of being judge of the conscience, is above kings and princes, no less than he.

But where ye say, "The malicious and sinful thought shall never be forgiven, except the party come to be absolved of their successors, to whom Christ said, 'Whose sins ye forgive, &c.;" this doctrine is not only strange and false, but also full of desperation. Your own Gratian saith: Latentia peccata non probantur necessario sacerdoti De Pœnit. confitenda: "It is not proved" (by any sufficient authority aliquando. either of scriptures or of doctors) "that secret sins are of necessity to be uttered in confession unto the priest." Again he saith: Datur intelligi, quod, etiam ore tacente, veniam De Pœnit.

vertimini.

^{72 [}Origen: εὶ δὲ κοινόν ἐστι καὶ μένα και τὰ ἐπιφερόμενα, ώς πρὸς τ.λ.]

Πέτρον λελεγμένα ;-The concludπρὸς ἐτέρους τό δώσω σοι τὰς κλεῖ- ing words occur earlier (ρ. 523): δας τῆς βασιλείας τῶν οὐρανῶν, εἰ δὲ φήσαντες καὶ ἡμεῖς ὡς ὁ πῶς οὐχὶ καὶ πάντα τὰ προειρη- Πέτρος γινόμεθα Πέτρος, κ.

In eodem cap.

De Pœnit, dist, 1. Omnis

qui.

consequi possumus: "It is given us to understand, that we may obtain pardon, although we utter nothing with our mouth." And again: Non sacerdotali judicio, sed largitate divinæ gratiæ peccator emendatur: "The sinner is cleansed, not by the judgment of the priest, but by the abundance of God's grace." Again he saith: Confessio sacerdoti offertur in signum veniæ, non in causam remissionis accipiendæ: "Confession is made unto the priest, in token of forgiveness already obtained: not as a cause of forgiveness to be obtained." Your very Gloss saith: Apud Gracos con-

De Pœnit.

dist. 5.
In penitent: fessio non est necessaria: quia non emanavit ad illos train Glossa. ditio talis: "Among the Christians in Græcia, confession of sins is not necessary: for that this tradition never came among them." Yet, M. Harding, I trow, ye will not say, but their sins may be forgiven.

Chrys, de Confess, et Pœnit. [ed. Paris. 1558. tom. v. 771. ed. Savill. v. 512.]

Certainly Chrysostom saith: Solus te Deus confitentem videat: "Let God only see thee making the confession of thy sins 74."

It was very much, therefore, M. Harding, for you so assuredly and so precisely to say, that sins can never be forgiven without your privy confession: and specially thereby to prove the universal headship of the pope.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 2.

Besides, also, that God, by his prophets 73, often [Vol. iv. p. and earnestly commandeth the king to cut down the groves, to break down the images and altars of idols, and to write out the book of the law for himself: and besides that the prophet Esaias saith: "A king ought to be a patron, and a nurse of the church," &c.

M. HARDING.

Your second argument for the ecclesiastical power of kings is, because God bade them to cut down superstitious groves, and a The king is overthrow idols: aas though this were not an office of executing executioner. a commandment, rather than of decreeing any thing. The au-

> 73 [There is nothing in the "by his prophets."] Latin corresponding to the words ⁷⁴ [Supra vol. i. p. 187.]

thority to discern an image of Christ from an idol of the devil, belongeth to them, who know, that an image is a name of art, which is of God: an idol is a name of false worshipping, which is of the devil. So that an image is godly: an idol devilish. When the priest hath judged this or that to be an idol, or when it is evident that so it is, then the king shall do well to break it down. bBut if the king will break down the image of Christ, b o vanity of when the priest telleth him it is a godly representation, and no vanities! Aaidol; then the king doth more than his office requireth, and shall shop set up not only not prove his supremacy, but also shall incur danger calf, and not only not prove his supremacy, but also shall incur using call, and sam. xxviii. to be rejected of God, as king Saul was, when he despised to the people, This is it y

Deut. xvii.

Whereas you allege for a king's ecclesiastical power, that he Moses, the was commanded to write out the book of the law for himself; civil magis-trate, or why left ye out that which followeth there immediately: Acci-prince, brake piens exemplar a sacerdotibus Levitica tribus? The king must it down. write out a book of the Deuteronomy: but the example thereof he must receive of the priests that be of the tribe of Levi. If in spiritual matters the king were above the priests, cwhy had he c Full disnot the keeping of the law in his own hands? Why must he take creetly: as though kings it of the priests? why did not rather the priests come to him, had not of ficers to keep sith the inferior taketh all his right of the superior? If the their records, priests must give the holy scripture unto the king, then, verily, must he take such as they give him, and with such meaning as they give unto it. So that if you had not dfalsified the meaning d Untruth. of God's word by leaving out half the sentence, this place had followed falsified no proved against you. It is to be weighed, to what end a king is part hereof, required to have, and to read that holy book. Verily, not to appear. take upon him the part of a judge in causes of religion; but as there it is expressed, to the intent he learn to fear his Lord God, and keep his words and ceremonies in the law commanded, and that his heart be not lifted up into pride, above his brethren, &c. eI omit that you read Librum legis, whereas the church readeth e Here M. Deuteronomium, eit were too long to enter into that disputation. would fain The book of the law signifieth the whole law, the Deuteronomy say somewhat, if he is but one of the five books.

Deut, xvii. 19, 20.

Where Esay calleth a king a patron of the church, I have not the answer. found. But were it he called him so, it betokeneth, that he should defend the church from worldly enemies, as in repelling the Turks, in expelling heretics, and such the like kingly acts: which proveth no spiritual supremacy, but, under God, a fealty Isa. xlix. 23. and serviceable power. I find where Esay saith: Et erunt reges nutricii tui, et reginæ nutrices tuæ: "Kings shall be thy fosterers, and queens thy nurses." But not every nurse or fosterer is above him who is nourished. f A faithful servant oftentimes fostereth f By this the master: yet is he not above his master. Besides, St. Hierom pretty conclusion, the understandeth the kings, whom Esay nameth, to be the apostles, king is the servant, and according to which sense it maketh nothing to the purpose it is the priest is alleged for.

wist what it

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

All Christian princes are much beholden to you, M. Harding; ye make them so like to Polyphemus, the giant, after his eyes were stricken out, that is to say, to a man mighty in body and great in bones, but stark blind, and no way able to guide himself. A king, ye say, may not take upon him to judge or pronounce in matters of religion, be they never so clear; but only must hearken, and be ready to execute whatsoever shall be thought good and commanded by your bishops, as if he were only your bishops' man. So saith your holy father pope Bonifacius

De Major, et VIII.: Gladius materialis exercendus est manu regum et Sanctam. [p. militum: sed ad nutum et patientiam sacerdotis: "The 189.] material or temporal sword must be used by the hand of kings and soldiers; but at the beck and sufferance of the priest:" by which priest he meaneth the pope.

But David saith: "Now ye kings, have understanding:

be learned, ye that judge the earth." Good kings have oftentimes reformed religion, and have lawfully controlled, and corrected, and deposed idle and wicked bishops: as before, in place convenient, it is largely proved. The Authen. Con- emperor Justinian threateneth, if the bishop offended in stitut. 123. [Haloander.] saving the public service, or in the ministration of the και ουσε ήμεῖς ταῦτα sacraments, that then he himself would use his authority ... ἀνεκδίover him, and see him punished 74. Franciscus Zabarella saith: "That for any crime notorious, the emperor may summon the pope to appear before his majesty, and may require him to yield a reckoning of his faith." And yet will ye say, "The emperor is still the pope's man, and may Imp. fol. 237. judge nothing in causes of religion without him?" col. 1. A.]

The king (ye say) is not able to judge, whether an idol be an idol or no, but by the leading and teaching of the priest. So well ye wish all Christian princes were instructed, that they should not be able either to see or to speak without you. But what, if your priests say, as it hath often happened, God is an idol, and an idol is God? Light is darkness, and darkness is light? What if they say,

74 [Supra vol. vi. 320; also vol. ii. p. 43. note 25.]

Psal, ii. 10.

κητα καταλείψομεν. Franc. Zabar, De Schisma, et Concil. [in Synt. Tractt. de

Isa. v. 20.

Great is Diana the goddess of Ephesus? What if they Acts xix. 28. condemn the innocent, and say, as they sometime said of Christ, "Unless this man were a malefactor, we would John xviii. never have brought him to thy hand?" Yet must the prince nevertheless draw his sword, and strike, when and whomsoever the priest biddeth: and blindly execute his wicked will? Indeed, M. Harding, you say precisely: "If M. Harding, the matter decreed be spiritual, and appertaining to faith, the p. 302. a. prince ought to obey without question or grudge." Likewise again ye say: "It shall be enough for you, to do as M. Harding, the successors of Peter bid you to do. Christ now requireth a. b. of you not to obey Peter and Paul, but to obey him that sitteth in their chair:" whatsoever he say, true or false. For this, no doubt, must be your meaning.

The king was bound to write out the book of the law: this (ye say) proveth not the king's superiority over the priests. No, verily, M. Harding: neither was it alleged by us to that purpose. Nevertheless, hereby it appeareth, that God would have the king to be learned in his laws.

"But the king must receive the book of the priest, and of none other:" therefore, say you, the priest is above the king. Methinketh, M. Harding, even for your credit's sake, ye should look better to your logic. For what availeth the delivery of a book, to make the priest either higher or lower? When the pope is at his consecration, the Ceremon. lib. 1. sect. 2. cardinal, that is his orderer, delivereth him a book of the [fol. 27. b.] epistles and gospels. Will ye therefore conclude, that the said cardinal is above the pope? Marry, God forbid.

God's meaning, touching this ceremony, was this, that the king's book should be true and faultless. And therefore God commanded him to take a copy thereof out of the registry or records, which were thought to be void of all corruption, and were evermore kept in the temple, under the custody of the priests. Paulus Phagius saith: "Every Paul. Phag. private man was commanded to have one book severally to xvii. himself: but the king was commanded to have two. And, forasmuch as the king was a public person, therefore God willed him to take his copy out of the public records of the temple."

Hugo in Deut. cap. 17. [tom. 1. 159.]

Your own Hugo Cardinalis saith: Accipiet exemplar a sacerdotibus: non a quibuslibet, sed a bonis: ubi nihil fucatum est: nihil corruptum: "He shall take his copy of the priests, not of every priest whatsoever, but of the good: that in the same copy there be nothing, neither coloured, nor corrupted."

All this, M. Harding, will hardly prove your strange conclusion, that the priest was superior to the king: it seemeth much better to prove the contrary, that the priest was the king's inferior, and his subject, and his clerk of records, as being appointed to keep his books. So St. Au-August. con- gustine saith, the Jews this day keep the very true books of the scriptures: albeit, not for their own use, but only et in Psal. 58. for ours. And, therefore, he saith, they be not our supe-

tra Faust. lib. 16. cap. 21. [viii. 295.] et sæpe alibi. riors, but our servants.

Certainly, concerning the kings and priests of the old law, one of your own friends, whom for many causes ye may not well refuse, saith thus: In veteri lege sacerdotium risiis, de Potestate regia, et ei subjectum: "In the old law the priest's office was inferior to the prince, and subject unto him."

Joh. de Pa-Papali, cap. 5. [p. 113.]

> Ye say, "If the priest must give the holy scriptures to the king, then verily must he take such as they give him, and with such meaning as they give unto it." Yea, I trow, though they tear their robes against Christ as Caiaphas did, and cry out, He blasphemeth, yet must we, by your judgment, evermore seek to them for the sense and meaning of the scriptures. For this is the ground and foundation of your divinity: The scripture of God hath none other sense, but as it shall please the priest to give unto But St. Chrysostom, speaking of the same priests and bishops, from whom we have received the selfsame scriptures, saith thus: Literæ quidem legis apud illos sunt: sensus autem apud nos: "The letters or words of the scriptures are with them, but the true meaning of them is with us 75,"

Chrysost, in Genesim hom, 8, [iv. 59.]

Here you much disadvantage yourself, and, as ye say,

^{75 [}St. Chrysostom, speaking of the Jews, τὰ μὲν γράμματα παρ' αὐτοῖς, τὰ δὲ νοήματα παρ ήμῖν.]

"omit that we read, librum legis, whereas the church readeth, Deuteronomium, for that" (as ye say) "it were too long for you to enter into that disputation." Therefore, M. Harding, we will patiently abide your leisure, until ve have found out the whole mystery, and considered it better. In the mean season, it shall not be good for you to be overrank with your commentaries, until ye better understand the text. Certainly the wise and learned think. that herein ye are much deceived. For whereas the words are these: Describet sibi Deuteronomium legis hujus, they think that Deuteronomium, in this place, is not put for any one certain several book of the five books of Moses, as it is otherwise commonly used, but rather for a copy, or a draught of the whole law. And in this sense, they say, that $\Delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon$ ρουόμιου is none other but 'Απόγραφου, that is to say, a copy, or a double. The Italian translation 76 hath thus: Esso si scrivero [l. scriverra] questo doppio de la legge. The French Questo Doptranslation hath, Le double. Leo Judas translateth it thus: Le Double. Describet sibi exemplum legis hujus: "He shall write out for himself a copy of this law." And for some proof hereof, it is thus written in the book of Joshua: "Afterward Joshua read Joshua viii. out of the words of the law, both the blessings and the 34. curses, according to every thing that is written in the book of the law. And there was not one word of all the things that Moses commanded, but Joshua read the same before the whole congregation of Israel." Thus, ye see, M. Harding, that this word Deuteronomium, in this place, signifieth not the fifth book of Moses, as you imagine, but a full copy of all the law. And, therefore, eftsoons I tell you, ye are much deceived.

Where we allege the words of the prophet Esay: "Kings shall be thy fosterers, and queens thy nurses:" ye say, "Every nurse or fosterer is not above him that is nourished. A faithful servant oftentimes fostereth his master. Yet is not he above his master." So loath ye are, the king should be superior to a priest. And thus have ye brought about by your handsome conclusions, that your priests be the

^{76 [}The Italian version alluded Venet. 1532: the French translato is that by Antonio Brucioli. tion has not been found.]

Dist. 98, [1, 96.] Si imperat. : in Glossa.

masters, and kings their servants. And therefore it is discreetly noted in your Gloss: Imperator Romanus est procurator, sive defensor Romanæ ecclesiæ: "The emperor of Rome is the steward, or bailiff of the church of Rome."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 4. and 5.

I say, besides all these things, we see by histories, [vol. iv. p and by examples of the best times, that good princes ever took the administration of ecclesiastical 76 matters to pertain to their duty.....

Moses, being a civil magistrate, and chief guide of the people, both received from God, and delivered to the people, all the order for religion and sacri-Exod. xxxii. fices, and gave Aaron, the bishop, a vehement and sore rebuke for making the golden calf, and for suffering the corruption of religion.

M. HARDING.

a Untruth. For at that time he was no priest. b Untruth. For it proveth the contrary. Read the Answer. c Untruth, M. Harding's friends. For in the time of Moses' law the priest was inferior to the prince. arguments, whereby to prove the pope a king.

Moses was not only a civil magistrate, a but also a priest. that he had both offices, b it proveth that a priest may have both; but not contrariwise that a king may have both. For the greater may include the less, but the less cannot include the greater. c The office of a priest is the highest of all. d And Christ coming naturally of the king's line from David, in the tribe of Judah, yet esteemed that honour nothing in respect of that he was a priest Psal. cx. 4. according to the order of Melchisedec. Therefore Melchisedec also, being both priest and king, was not yet said to be the figure of Christ so much concerning his kingdom as his priesthood. For David said of Christ, "Thou art a priest for ever, after the order of Melchisedec." As for his kingdom, it was included in his priest's d Substantial office. And, therefore, when we speak of Christ's kingdom, though in every respect he be the very King indeed of all kings, and Lord of all lords; yet we assign it also to have been upon the cross, ubi regnavit a ligno Deus, where God reigned from the wood. According to the same meaning, whereas the people of Israel were called regnum sacerdotale, a priestly kingdom, St. Peter, Justin, in writing to the Christians, turned the order of the words, calling Tryph. the church of Christ, sacerdotium regale, a kingly priesthood. Moses was both a priest and a civil governor, as being a figure Exod. xix. of Christ, who joined both together, making the tribe of Judah, 1 Pet. ii. 9. which was before kingly, now also to be priestly. Therefore

76 [Apol. Lat. "procurationem plains of the false translation of "ecclesiarum." Harding com- "the Lady Interpreter."]

Psal. xcix. 6. St. Augustine, upon those words of David, "Moses and Aaron are in the number of his priests," concludeth that Moses must needs have been a priest. e"For" (saith he) "if he were not a e Discreetly priest, what was he?" e Nunquid major sacerdote esse potuit, "could For all this he be greater than a priest?" As who should say, there is no pertaineth as well to greater dignity than priesthood. And seeing Moses had the as simple greatest dignity, for he ruled all, and consecrated Aaron high priest, as to the pope. bishop, and his sons, priests, therefore himself must needs have been a priest. f Now if Moses were both, and his chief office f Louvanian logic. Moses was priesthood, it followeth by that example, that the pope may consecrated rule temporally, but not that a king may rule spiritually. Thus Aaron: ergo, The pope is a king.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, M. Harding hath many great words of small weight. The final conclusion and summa summarum is this: The pope must needs be a king. And that he proveth, as his manner is, by these his young untidy arguments: Moses being a civil magistrate, or a prince, had also the priesthood, and was a priest: Ergo, saith he, the pope, being a priest, must have also the kingdom, and be a king. And thus he pieceth these matters handsomely together, as though whatsoever were once in Moses, ought of necessity to be also in the pope. But if a man should desire him to prove his argument, and to make it good, and to shew us how these pieces may be framed together, I think he would be fain to take a day. First, whether Moses were a priest or no, it is not certain. As for that M. Harding allegeth these words of David, Moses et Psal, xcix, 6, Aaron in sacerdotibus ejus, he himself well knoweth, that the Hebrew word there is doubtful, and signifieth as well a prince as a priest. And, therefore, ye cannot necessarily conclude by force of these words that Moses was a priest: it is sufficient that he was the captain and prince, and had the leading of the people.

St. Hierom saith: Unus legis, alter sacerdotii regulam Hier. in Psal. tenuit: "Moses held the rule of the law: Aaron, the rule 386.] of priesthood."

Again he saith: Emisit ante faciem nostram Mosen spi-Hieron, in Michae, cap. ritualem legem et Aaron magnum sacerdotem: "God sent 6. [iii. 1540.] out before our face Moses," (not as the priest, but as)

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

"the spiritual law, and Aaron the great priest." Even Hugo, your own doctor, touching the same words, saith Hugo in Psal, thus: Moses etsi, &c.: "Notwithstanding Moses were not a priest, yet because he hallowed the people's prayers 77, &c. he was called a priest. For in the scriptures great and noble men are called by the name of priest."

Here your Hugo telleth you, that, notwithstanding Moses

were called a priest, yet indeed he was no priest.

Whoso listeth to know more hereof, let him read Sanctus Pagninus, David Kimchi, Nicolaus Lyra, &c.

But if Moses indeed were a priest, ye should do well, M. Harding, to resolve us, first, whether he were a priest born, or else afterward made a priest. A priest born, I trow, ye will not say. If ye say he was afterward made a priest, then tell us by what bishop or other creature was he consecrate? At whose hands received he authority? When, where, and to what purpose? What priest-like apparel ever ware he? or in what office or ministry ever showed he himself to be a priest? St. Paul saith: "A priest is appointed to offer up oblations and sacrifices for sin." What oblations or sacrifices for sin can ye tell us that Moses offered? If he were neither born a priest, nor made a priest, nor ever known by office to be a priest, then was he, I trow, a very strange priest.

If Moses were the highest priest and head of the church, and Aaron likewise the highest priest, and in so much the head of the church too, as well as he, then had the church two highest bishops, and two heads both together: which thing were monstrous, not only in speech, but also in nature.

Notwithstanding, whether Moses at any one certain time were a *priest* or no, it is a matter not worthy the striving. Certain it is, that before the *law* was written, *kings* and *princes*, and the best born, and inheritors, and the wealthiest of the people, were ever *priests*. St. Hie-

Hier. In Qu. rom saith: Hebræi tradunt, primogenitos functos officio Genesim. [c. sacerdotum et habuisse vestimentum sacerdotale: quo in-27.v.15.10m.]

duti, Deo victimas offerebant, antequam Aaron in sacerdotium eligeretur: "The Hebrew rabbins say, that the
first born children did the office of the priests, and had the
priest-like apparel, and, wearing the same, offered up their
sacrifices unto God, until the time that Aaron was chosen
into the priesthood."

Again he saith: Privilegium offerendi primogenitis, vel Hier. in Job. maxime regibus debebatur: "The privilege of offering up 679.1 sacrifices was due to the first born of the children, but most of all unto kings 78." The heathen Roman emperors, as Dist. 10. De capitul.: in Vespasianus, Trajanus, and others, to increase their majesty Glossa. towards their subjects, beside the state of the empire, would Inter acta Gelasii. Ide also be called pontifices maximi.

Therefore we will grant M. Harding, seeing he hath Grabb. i. taken so much pains about a matter not worthy so long talk, that Moses for some little short time bare the office Exod. xxix. of a priest. Yet nevertheless had he no ordinary priesthood: neither was he a priest more than for the space of two or three hours, only until he had consecrated Aaron and his children, and no longer. Immediately afterward, all this great priesthood was at an end. One of your own doctors, M. Harding, saith thus: Non erant sacerdotes le-Joh. de Paris. gales, dignitate et officio, sicut Aaron: licet in necessitate, 20. p. 135.] et propter defectum sacerdotum, aliquos actus sacerdotum fecerint: ut quod Moses inunxit Aaron: propter quod Moses sacerdos dicitur in psalmo: "The first born were not priests in office and dignity, as Aaron was: notwithstanding, in case of necessity, and for lack of priests, they did some part of the priest's office: as that Moses anointed or consecrated Aaron: for which thing Moses in the psalm is called a priest."

This, M. Harding, is that foundation, that must needs bear the burden of your whole church of Rome. "The pope" (ye say) "must be a king, because Moses was both prince and priest." And yet your own fellows say, Moses by office and dignity was never priest. Ye say, "The pope being a bishop may be a king; but of the other side a

king may in no wise be a bishop." And thus, either unwittingly or willingly, ye seem to overthrow your own position. For the example that ye ground upon, of Aaron and Moses, proveth quite the contrary. For Moses, being a prince, did also the office of a bishop. But Aaron, being the bishop, did never the office of a prince. Therefore, hereof ve might better conclude, that a prince may be a bishop, but a bishop may not be a king. Straighten your bolts, therefore, M. Harding, and shave them better, before ye so suddenly, I will not say so rudely, shoot them from you.

Nevertheless, ye say, "The priesthood, which is the more, may contain the kingdom, being the less." In this Extr. de Ma respect, I trow, your Gloss, as it is said before, compareth jor et Obed. Solitin Glos, the pope to the sun, and the emperor to the moon, and find-H. [col. 402.] eth out substantially by good geometrical proportion, that the pope is just seven and fifty times greater than the em-Joh, de Paris, peror. Howbeit, your own doctors say, as I have likewise

de potest. Reg. et Pap. shewed before, that in the law of Moses the prince was cap. 5. [p. greater than the priest. 113.]

> That we allege of the priesthood and kingdom of Christ, serveth you to small purpose. For I beseech you, what crown, what sceptre, what sword, bare Christ? What ecclesiastical priesthood had he, but only that he executed upon the cross? Verily, touching any civil shew or outward office, as he was no king, so was he no priest. As he said, "My kingdom is not of this world:" so might he also have said, My priesthood is not of this world. Otherwise, he was both king and priest in power and virtue, but not apparently in outward office.

John xvlii. 36.

de potest.

One of your fellows saith thus: Patet, per sanctos expo-Joh. de Paris. de potest. sitores, quod Christus non habuit in temporalibus authori-Pap. cap. 8. [p. 117.] tatem vel judicium. Sed dare potuit, et dare habuit virtutis documentum: "It appeareth by the holy expositors, that Christ had neither authority nor judgment in things temporal. But he could both give, and had to give instruc-

tions of virtue."

As for these two words of St. Peter, "Ye are a kingly 1 Pet. ii. 9. priesthood," ye would not have alleged them to this purpose, had ye not been in your dream. For, think you, that St. Peter called the whole body of the church of Christ a kingly priesthood, for that you fancy your pope to be together both priest and king? Certainly, the church of God was a kingly pricethood, before either the church of Rome was a church, or the pope of Rome was a pope. Ye should have some care to deal more reverently with the word of God, for it is holy. St. Peter's meaning is this, that every faithful Christian man is now, after a spiritual or ghostly meaning, not only a priest, but also a king: and therefore he calleth the whole church a kingly priesthood. Tertullian saith thus: Nonne et laici sacerdotes sumus? Tertul.in Ex-hor, ad Cast, Regnum quoque nos, et sacerdotes Deo et patri suo fecit: [c. 7. p. 522.] "And we that be laymen, are we not priests? Truly, Christ hath made even us a kingdom and priests unto his Rev. 1.6. Father 79." St. Augustine saith: Hoc sacerdotio regali Aug. Q. Econsecrantur omnes pertinentes ad corpus Christi, summi et [1. iib. 2.] veri principis sacerdotum: "With this royal priesthood pt. 2. 268.] all they are consecrate that pertain to the body of Christ, which is the high and true prince of priests." Again he saith: Omnes sunt sacerdotes, quia membra sunt unius Aug. de Civ.
Del, Ilb. 20. sacerdotis: "All be priests, because they are the members cap. 10. [vil. of one priest." St. Ambrose saith: Omnes filii eccle-Amb. in Luc. siæ sacerdotes sunt: "All the children of the church be [i. 1364.] priests 80."

St. Hierom saith: [suppl. quoniam] genus sacerdotale et Hier. in Malach, cap. 1.
regale sumus, omnes, qui baptizati in Christo, Christi cen-[iii. 1811.]
semur [al. censentur] nomine: "All we are that priestly and kingly kindred, that, being baptized in Christ, are called Christians by the name of Christ."

Chrysostom saith: Et tu in baptismo, et rex efficeris, et chrys. 2 Cor. sacerdos, et propheta: "Even thou in thy baptism art made hom. 3. [x. both a king, and a priest, and a prophet."

Now, M. Harding, let us take the view of your priestly conclusions.

Moses once did one part of the bishop's office in conse-

^{79 [}Tertullian. See this quotation, supra vol. iv. 456, where the same line of argument is taken.]

80 [S. Ambros. ".... sive "sunt."]

crating Aaron and his children: and that never at any time else, neither after nor before. Again: Christ hath a spiritual priesthood, and a spiritual kingdom: for otherwise ordinary priesthood and earthly kingdom he had none. Again: St. Peter calleth the whole church of Christ, a kingly priesthood: ergo, say you, "The pope beareth both the office of a priest, and also the right and state of an earthly king."

To dissemble all other the fond weakness of these follies, Christ himself saith to the pope, and to all other priests Matt. xx. 25. and bishops: "The kings of nations rule over them, and they that are great exercise authority over the people: but it shall not be so amongst you."

Dist.10. Quoniam idem.

St. Cyprian so saith, as he is alleged by Gratian: Christus actibus propriis, et dignitatibus distinctis, officia potestatis utriusque discrevit: "Christ, by several duties, and distinct honours, hath set a difference between the offices of both powers."

Glossa.

Whereupon your own Gloss saith: Hic est argumentum, quod papa non habet utrunque gladium: "Here is a good argument, that the pope hath not both swords:" that is to say, that the pope is not both priest and king.

Bernar, de Con. lib. 2.

St. Bernard saith thus unto pope Eugenius: Planum [cap. 6. tom. est, (quod) apostolis interdicitur dominatus. [suppl. I] Bened. 1690.] ergo tu tibi usurpare aude, aut dominans apostolatum, aut apostolicus dominatum. Plane ab alterutro prohiberis. Si utrunque similiter [al. simul] habere vis, perdes utrunque. Alioqui ne te putes exceptum illorum numero, de quibus conqueritur Dominus, dicens, Ipsi regnaverunt, et non ex me: "It is plain, that temporal dominion is forbidden the anostles. Now, therefore, thou, being pope, dare to usurp either the apostleship, being a prince, or the princehood, being the successor of the apostles. Doubtless from the one of them thou art forbidden. If thou wilt indifferently have both, thou shalt lose both. Otherwise think not, thou canst be excepted from the number of them of whom the Lord complaineth, They have made themselves kings, and not by me."

80 [Leg. "Nicolaus I." ed. Richter.]

Concerning the place of St. Peter, one of your company saith, it nothing furthereth the pope's kingdom. saith: Sacerdotium dicitur regale, a regno, non hujus mundi, Joh. de Paris, sed cæli: "St. Peter calleth us a kingly priesthood, of the ap. 18. [cap. 18. [cap. kingdom of heaven, not of the kingdom of this world." Yet is this the self-same kingdom that the pope craveth, and that by the authority of St. Peter.

Notwithstanding, one of your Louvanian company hath sent us home lately other news from Louvain. His words be these: Vos estis regale sacerdotium: "You are a kingly Dorm. fol. 40. priesthood, as who should say, the priesthood before was not kingly, for that then kings ruled over priests: but now is the priesthood kingly, for that to it be subject even kings themselves." Thus unless your priests may rule kings, and princes, and all the world at their pleasure, ye think they have no kingly priesthood.

In the council holden at Macra in France, it is written thus: Solus Dominus noster Jesus Christus vere fieri potuit Conc. Macr. et rex et sacerdos. Post incarnationem vero, et resurrec-538.] tionem, et ascensionem ejus in cælum, nec rex pontificis Stitatur ab Ildignitatem, nec pontifex regiam potestatem sibi usurpare tatis, p. 121. præsumpsit: "Only our Lord Jesus Christ might truly be [art. 110.] both Priest and King. But sithence his incarnation, and resurrection, and ascension into heaven, neither hath the king presumed to take upon him the dignity or office of a bishop, nor hath the bishop presumed to usurp the power and majesty of a prince."

To be short, M. Harding, we say not, as you so often and so untruly have reported of us, that the king may in any wise execute the bishop's office: but thus we say, and, because it is true, therefore we say it: the king may lawfully correct and chastise the negligence and falsehood of the bishop: and that, in so doing, he doth only his own

office, and not the bishop's.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 6.

Joshua also, though he were none other than a Josh. i. [Vol. iv. p. civil magistrate, yet as soon as he was chosen by

God 80, and set as a ruler over the people, he received commandments, specially touching religion and the service of God.

M. HARDING.

There is no doubt but Joshua received commission and commandment to worship God, but none to rule priests in spiritual matters. Yea, rather he was commanded to go forth, and come in at the voice and word of Eleazarus the high priest, he and all the children of Israel. Do not these men prove their matters handsomely?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Joshua was commanded to go in and out, and to be directed by the voice of Eleazarus the high priest. "Therefore" (ye say) " in spiritual causes the priests may not be controuled by the prince." Ye deliver out your arguments, M. Harding, before they be ready. These pieces would have been better tied together.

Though the prince be commanded to hear the priest, yet if the priest be negligent, or deceive the people, he may by his ordinary authority controul the priest.

Exod, xxxii. 4. 21.

When Aaron the high priest had consented to the making and worshipping of the golden calf, Moses, being then the temporal prince, rebuked him sharply unto his face: and, in so doing, did not the bishop's office, but only his own. As touching Joshua, whom ye would fain have restrained from all ecclesiastical causes, he caused the people to be circumcised: he caused altars for their bloody sacrifices to be erected: he caused the priests to make their sacrifices: he caused the Deuteronomy to be written in stones: he caused both the blessings and the curses of God to be pronounced: he spake openly to the people, and frayed them from idolatry. All these were cases, not of civil policy, but of religion. St. Augustine saith: In hoc reges Deo serviunt, sicut eis divinitus præcioresconum, lib. 3. cap. 51. pitur, in quantum sunt reges, si in suo regno bona jubeant, [ix. 464.] mala prohibeant; non solum quæ pertinent ad humanam

Aug. contra

80 [Apol. Lat. "inauguraretur."]

that did the

societatem, verum etiam quæ ad divinam religionem: "Herein kings serve God, as it is commanded them from above, in that they be kings, if within their kingdom they command good things, and forbid evil; not only in things pertaining to human fellowship, or civil order, but also in things pertaining to God's religion." Ye may see, therefore, M. Harding, how handsomely soever we prove our matters, that of your part hitherto they are but unhandsomely and coarsely answered.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 7.

[Vol. iv. p. 79.]

King David, when the whole religion of God was i Chron. xiii. altogether brought out of frame by wicked king Saul, brought home again the ark of God, that is to say, he restored religion again, and was not only amongst them himself, as a counsellor and furtherer of the work, but he appointed also hymns and psalms, put in order the companies, and was the only doer in setting forth that whole solemn triumph 81, and in effect ruled the priests.

M. HARDING.

As David restored all things to good order after the evil king Saul, so did queen Mary redress disorders before committed. But as queen Mary did it by the mean of priests, so king David in priestly matters called for Sadoch and Abiathar. Indeed, David passed other princes herein, because he had the a gift of a An ill comprophesy, whereby he wrote psalms, which to this day we sing that the one But all this maketh nothing to prove him judge of spiritual matters. He did not usurp the authority to sacrifice, to discern the eddown. leper, and to do the like things of priestly charge.

A simple shift, God wot. For other kings

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"King David" (ye say) "restored religion by mean of the priests." Nay verily, M. Harding: for by mean of

 $^{^{81}}$ [Apol. Lat. " et pomis nothing to correspond to the pam instituit, et quodammodo words " was the only doer."] " præfuit sacerdotibus."

the priests the religion utterly was decayed. Therefore ye spoil that most noble prince of his worthy praises, and give them to others that never deserved them. The holy tabernacle was broken and lost: the ark of God was kept, not in the temple \$11, but in a private man's house: the people had no common place to resort unto, to hear God's will: they had each man his own private chapel in their hills and groves. And all this was done by the slothfulness and negligence of the bishops.

David therefore called the bishops and priests together: he shewed them, in what sort the religion of God was defaced: he willed them to bring the ark into Sion: he was present himself: he appointed and ordered the whole chron. xvi. triumph: he assigned, which of the Levites, and in what chron.xxiv. order they should serve before the ark: he allotted Aaron's children, which were the priests, to walk each man in his several office.

So likewise it is written of king Solomon touching the ² Chron. viii. same: "King Solomon, according to the decree and order of his father David, appointed the offices of the *priests* in their several ministeries, and the *Levites* each man in his order, that they should praise God, and minister before the *priests*. For so David the man of God had commanded."

² Chron. xix. Likewise it is written of king Jehosaphat: "He appointed and ordered the *Levites* and *priests*."

Thus, then, did these godly *princes*: and thus doing, they usurped not the *bishop's* office, but only did that they lawfully might do, and appertained wholly unto themselves.

Where ye say, "David was a prophet, and not only a king," as though he had done these things by the virtue of his prophesy, and not by the right of his princely power, this poor shift is very simple: for, notwithstanding king David were a prophet, yet king Jehosaphat, and other princes that did the like, were no prophets: neither do we read of any other prophet that ever attempted to do the like: nor did David these things as a prophet, but as a king.

^{81 [}That is, the tabernacle.]

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 8.

King Solomon built unto the Lord the temple [Vol. iv. p. 79.] which his father David had but purposed in his mind to do: and after the finishing thereof, he made a 2 Chron. vi. godly oration to the people, concerning religion and the service of God: he afterward 82 displaced Abiathar [1 Kings ii. 26. 27. 35.] the priest⁸³, and set Sadok in his place.

M. HARDING.

I Kings ii. 35.

Solomon's building of the temple, and praying therein, proveth no supremacy over the priests in spiritual things. His putting of Abiathar out of his dignity and room, awas like to that queen a Untruth.

Mary did to Cranmer: whom she might have removed for treaperior bison, as Solomon laid the like to Abiathar: yet she chose rather shop's auto burn him for heresy. But this proveth bonly an outward solomon in execution of justice, without any prejudice to the substance of the deposiour question: which is, whether a temporal prince may deter-than? mine the causes of religion, or no.

b Untruth, manifest. Read the an-

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The deposing of Abiathar, ye say, was only the execution of outward justice; like to that queen Mary did to doctor Cranmer, the archbishop of Canterbury. Wherein ye shew yourself to be much overseen. For these comparisons are in no wise like. Solomon, by his princely authority, lawfully deposed the high priest Abiathar: but queen Mary deposed not, nor could she by your canons lawfully depose the archbishop of Canterbury: nor do you think it in any case lawful, that a bishop should be deposed by a prince. "For deposition" (ye say) "is a spiritual punishment, and only belongeth unto a bishop." And your law saith: Ejus est destituere, cujus est instituere: "He may depose a priest, that hath authority to place a priest." Therefore these two princes' doings were not like. But touching the high priest Abiathar, king Solomon summoned him to appear before him: king Solomon sat in judgment, and heard the accusations wherewith he was

^{82 [}Abiathar was deposed, immediately after Solomon's accession, some years before the dedi-

cation of the temple. There is no account given of a formal trial.] 83 [Apol. Lat. "episcopum."]

charged: king Solomon pronounced sentence against him: king Solomon deposed him: king Solomon appointed Sadok to succeed him. If all this be not sufficient, over and besides these things, king Solomon placed the ark of God: 2 Chron, v. vi. vii. viii. king Solomon sanctified and hallowed the temple: king Solomon offered up burnt sacrifice: king Solomon directed and ordered the priests in their several offices: king Solo-2 Chron. viii. mon blessed the whole people: and as it is written, "The 15. priests and Levites left nothing undone, of all that was commanded them by the king." If these cases be not spiritual, tell us then, what cases may be allowed for spiritual? Thus the godly king Solomon thought it lawful for him to deal, not only in matters of temporal government, but also in ecclesiastical or spiritual cases of religion. Therefore, M. Harding, it is but a toy that ye tell us of the execution of outward justice.

Concerning that most grave, and godly, and learned father, the *archbishop of Canterbury*, with whom ye did whatsoever your pleasure was, God grant his blood be never required at your hands.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 9.

After this, when the temple of God was in shame-tvol. iv. p. ful wise polluted, through the naughtiness and negli
2 Chron.xxix. gence of the priests, king Ezechias commanded the same to be cleansed from the rubble and filth, the priests 83 to light up candles, to burn incense, and to do their divine service according to the old and 2 Kings xviii. allowed order: the same king also commanded the brasen serpent, which then the people wickedly worshipped, to be taken down, and beaten to powder.

M. HARDING.

.....How often shall I tell you, that this proveth no more, but that good kings do good deeds, maintaining true religion, and

^{83 [}Apol. Lat. "accendi lumina, "sacra fieri." The priests are not suffitus adoleri, et veteri ritu named.]

pull down the false, as the constable of France burned the pulpits a Untruth. of the Huguenots in Paris? But these facts prove not that kings for the and constables be judges of religion, which is good, and which nothing, but is evil; which true, which false. For therein they afollow the wills. Read judgment and advice of priests and prophets, who be about them, the answer as b Esaias was at hand with good king Ezechias, to direct his from the purdoings: and so was Elizeus with king Jehu.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"King Ezechias" (ye say) "and other kings followed priestly ofthe advice and judgment of the priests and prophets." fices. This tale, M. Harding, is not only unlikely, but also untrue. For ye know that Esaias and Elizeus, notwithstanding they were the prophets of God, yet were they neither priests, nor bishops, nor had any manner of ordinary ministration in the church. The bishops and priests, of whom ye speak, had disordered and wasted God's whole religion. The holy place of God was full of filthiness: the gates of the temple were shut up, that no man might enter in: the people had turned away their faces from the tabernacle of the Lord: there was no incense: there was no sacrifice. All these things had happened through the negligence and wickedness of the priests. In the old Latin text it is written thus: Sacerdotes et Levitæ, tandem 2Chron.xxix. sanctificati, obtulerunt holocausta: "The priests and Levites, Vuls.] at the last, or with much ado, were sanctified, and offered up sacrifices." Upon which place the latter translation saith thus: Sacerdotes et Levitæ, pudore suffusi, santifica-2 Chron. xxx. verunt se: "The priests and Levites, even for very shame, Vers.] sanctified themselves." So ready were they to call upon, and to further the king, in his godly purpose. They held back what they could, and yielded to nothing, but with much ado, and for very shame. They did nothing but by the king's commandment; and made him a reckoning of their doings.

Howbeit, perhaps ye will discharge this whole matter with one ordinary excuse, and tell us, that all these were but temporal cases.

pose. For Esaias and Elizeus neither were priests, nor had the exe-

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 10.

2 Chron. xvii. King Jehosaphat overthrew and utterly made [vol. iv. p. away the hill altars and groves, whereby he saw God's honour hindered, and the people holden back with private superstition from the ordinary temple, which was at Jerusalem: whereto they should by order have resorted yearly from every part of the realm.

M. HARDING.

Ye put us in mind to consider how that yourselves are those private hill altars, and dark groves. For ye be they that stop the people from the common temple of Christendom, the catholic church; out of which is no salvation: the head whereof sitteth in Peter's chair at Rome......For setting order both in matters of commonweal, and others, Jehosaphat said thus, concerning religion: Amarias sacerdos et pontifex vester, in iis quæ ad Deum pertinent, præsidebit: "Amarias the priest and high bishop, for such matters as pertain to God, he shall be head over you."

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

All this whole matter, touching as well king Jehosaphat, as also Amarias the high priest, is answered in that is past before.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 11. Divis. 11.

King Josias with great diligence put the priests [Vol. iv. p. [2 Chron. 2 Kings xii. 7. and bishops in mind of their duties: king Johas 79.1 2 Kings x. 25. bridled the riot and arrogance of the priests: Jehu put to death the wicked prophets.

M. HARDING.

The putting of priests and bishops in mind of their duty, is not a supremacy in determining ecclesiastical causes. And a Ye might whereas you say, that king Johas bridled the riot and arrogancy have found it 2 Kings of the priests; if it were so, it was well done: but aI find not cap. 12. those words in the text. Concerning that king Jehu did, it is b But he judged them, a bmere temporal office to put false preachers and heretics to and con-demnedthem death. Neither can it belong to priests, unless they have also for false pro-civil jurisdiction. Much less doth that act prove, that kings be was no mere supreme heads over the church, and ought to be judges in contemporal of troversies and questions of religion.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Concerning the story of king Johas, I report me to that is written of him in the book of Kings. He sequestered 2 Kings xii.7. the oblations of the people, which the priests had bestowed lewdly and wantonly upon themselves, and by his own authority turned the same to the reparations of the temple. Of king Josias it is written thus: Constituit Josias sacer-[2 Chron. dotes in officiis suis: "King Josias appointed the priests xxxv. 2.] to minister in their several offices." And again: Mundavit 2 Chron. Judam et Hierusalem ab excelsis et lucis: "King Josias cleansed and rid Judah and Jerusalem from their hill altars and their groves."

But ye will say, He did all things by the discretion of the *priests* and *bishops*. This thing indeed is necessary, while the *priests* and *bishops* be learned and godly. But ² Kings xxil. king Josias did far otherwise: for he sent the bishop himself unto Olda, the prophetess, to learn the discretion and judgment of a woman: and so was directed in matters of highest religion by a woman, and not by a priest.

These examples be so manifest, that one of your fellows of Louvain is fain thus to excuse the matter by over much antiquity: "If we would in these days" (saith he) "use Dorm. fol. in all points the examples of the old law, there would 37. follow an huge number of inconveniences.——It is no Dorm. fol. good reason, to say that therefore our kings now-a-days must have the like authority." Thus saith he: As though the prince's right were now abated and altered, as the ceremonies of the law; and were otherwise now than it was before: or, as if the coming of Christ into the world, and the preaching of the gospel, had purposely been to repress and pull down the seat of kings.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 1.

And, to rehearse no mo examples out of the old law, let us rather consider sithence the *birth of Christ*, how the *church* hath been governed in the time of the gospel.

[Vol. iv. p.

M. HARDING.

a Even so Jupiter, or Baal's biof Rome.

b Neither can the pope than Annas c Untruth. For if the bishop had offended, he was subject as well within the church, as without.

is execu-

priest.

evident. Read the

Answer,

e Untruth.

If we consider the office of a king in itself, it is a one everywhere, not only among Christian princes, but also among shop, was as heathen. a The definition of a king, which agreeth to Julius well a bishop Cæsar, or to Alexander the Great, as they were monarchs and princes, is one with the definition of a king which agreeth to Henry the Eighth, or to Charles the Fifth. b So that no more meddle more could king Henry, as king, meddle with religion, than Alexander, with religion or Julius Cæsar.....c His place is chief among the lay, even or Caiaphas, when they are in the church at the service of God; and ewithout the church, in all temporal things and causes, he is over the priests themselves.

And because all these examples are taken out of the Old to the Prince, Testament, I will give thee a true resolution out of the same book, what authority priests had, and what authority kings had. Moses gave this rule, concerning the same matter. "If" (saith Deut. xvii. he) "thou perceive an hard and doubtful judgment to be with thee between blood and blood, cause and cause, leaper and leaper, and seest the words of the judges within thy gates to vary, arise, and go up to the place which thy Lord God shall choose, and thou shalt come to the priests of the stock of Levi, and to the judge that shall be for the time, and thou shalt demand of them. who shall shew the truth of judgment to thee"

But neither the priest, by this place, may meddle with that jurisdiction which belongeth to the temporal judge, neither the judge with that which was spiritual, and belonging only to the priest. For of such causes, Azarias, the priest and bishop, said to king Ozias, "It is not thy office, Ozias, to burn incense unto 2 Chron. our Lord. It is the office of the priests:" that is to say, of the xxvl. 18. sons of Aaron, who are consecrated to do such ministries. But d The prince this the king might do even in matters of religion: dwhen the tioner to the high priest had given sentence, he might see the execution thereof to be done. But eotherwise, whatsoever king or temporal judge might not do in his own person, e much less might he judge whether another did well therein, or no. And this

much concerning the Old Testament.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The office of a king, ye say, was no more in king Henry the Eighth, or in Charles the Fifth, than it was in the heathen princes, Julius Cæsar, or Alexander the Great. And, therefore, ye say, a Christian prince's office standeth only in matters temporal: and for that cause ye often call him, a mere lay, temporal prince: as if he were in authority not much better than an heathen magistrate.

Even so, M. Harding, is your pope no more a bishop, or

perhaps, much less a bishop, than Annas and Caiaphas: neither is your priest more a priest, than the priest of Dagon, or Baal. The difference standeth not in office, but only in truth. Yet, nevertheless, ye know that heathen princes had evermore a sovereign authority, not only over their priests and bishops, but also over all cases of religion.

Aristotle saith: Βασιλεὺς τῶν πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς κύριος: Aristot. Politicor. lib. 3.

"The king, that is lord and ruler of things that pertain [cap. 14.]

unto the gods."

And, therefore, Socrates, in his story, saith: Imperatores socrat. lib. 5.

In Proceeding una complexi sumus, &c.: "We have also herein com-[ii. 263, 264.]

prised the emperors' lives, for that, sithence the emperors κλησίας were first christened, the affairs of the church have hanged πράγματα ήρτητο ἐξ of them, and the greatest councils both have been, and are αὐτῶν,... kept by their advice 84.

γράμη.

Ye say: "The prince, in doubtful cases, was commanded to take counsel of the highest priest." This is true. But will ye conclude hereof, that the highest priest may say and do what he listeth, without controulment? What if the high priest would answer thus, as he answered sometime indeed: "This Christ is a Samaritan, a deceiver of the people, and hath a devil?" What if he tear his own robes for anger, and cry out, "He blasphemeth: he is worthy to die?" Yet must the emperor needs give ear unto him, and believe him, without exception? Certainly, in the old law, if the bishop either had been negligent in his office, or of malice or ignorance had answered untruth, he was evermore under the general controulment of the prince.

"Within the church" (ye say) "the prince is inferior to the priest, notwithstanding, without the church, he is, in temporal cases, above the priest." Thus, ye fetch your matter round, within, without, and round about, with all the circumstances: as if princes were as changeable as yourself, and would be other without than they are within.

84 [Socrates:..Τὰ τῆς Ἐκκλη- καὶ αἱ μέγισται σύνοδοι τῆ αὐτῶν σίας πράγματα ἥρτητο ἐξ αὐτῶν, γνωμῆ γεγύνασί τε καὶ γίνονται.]

JEWEL, VOL. VI. B b

85 Indeed, in that the priest doth his office, in that he either openeth God's will, or declareth his threats, or rebuketh sin, or excommunicateth, and cutteth off a dead member from the body, so far forth the prince, be he never so mighty, is inferior unto him. But in this respect the prince is inferior, not only to the pope, or bishop, but also to any other simple priest: and the pope himself, in this respect, is inferior to his confessor, be he never so poor a priest. So the emperor Constantinus was wont to say to the godly bishops: "Be you bishops within the orat. 4. [cap. church, and I will be bishop without." But if the bishop had been faulty, either in negligence, or in falsehood,

9. qu. 3. Nemo, In Glossa.

Ab Eus. De vita Const. 24. tom. 1. 638.]

always to be controuled by the prince. Ye say: "When the high priest had given sentence, the prince might see the execution thereof to be done." And thus ye make the emperor the pope's man, to put his sentence in execution. So pope Bonifacius VIII. telleth you: Materialis gladius exercendus est manu regum et micom.] de Ma- litum, sed ad nutum et patientiam sacerdotis: "The temporal sword must be drawn by the hand of kings and

soldiers: but at the beck and sufferance of the priest."

whether he had been within the church, or abroad, he was

[Extrav. com.] de Mabedientia, Unam sanctam. [p. 189.]

> But, I beseech you, at whose beck did king Solomon depose Abiathar, the high priest? At whose beck did Josias, and other godly princes, of whom we have said before, redress the religion of God, which the priests so shamefully had decayed? At whose beck did they rebuke the careless negligence of the priests? Verily, one of your own doctors saith: In veteri lege, sacerdotes qui reges inungebant, indubitanter regibus subdebantur: "In the old law, the bishops that anointed the kings, out of doubt were subject unto the kings."

Johan, de Parisiis, cap. 18. [cap. 19. p. 134.]

August. Epist. 166. ad Donati-And St. Augustine saith: Quando imperatores veritatem tenent, et ipsa veritate contra errorem jubent, quisquis illud contempserit, ipse sibi judicium acquirit. Nam et inter homines pænas luit, et apud Deum frontem non habebit, qui

stas. [ii. 299.]

^{85 [}This passage is quoted by Hooker, Eccl. Pol. vol. iii. 446. He reads, "high priest."

hoc facere noluit, quod ei per cor regis ipsa veritas jussit: "When the emperor holdeth the truth, and by force of the same truth giveth out laws and proclamations against error, whosoever despiseth the same, procureth judgment against himself. For he shall be punished before men, and before God he shall have no face, that refused to do that thing, that the truth itself, through the heart of the prince, hath commanded him."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 2.

The Christian emperors, in the old time, appointed the councils of the bishops. Constantine called the council at Nice: Theodosius the First called the council at Constantinople: Theodosius the Second called the council at Ephesus: Martian called the council at Chalcedon.

M. HARDING.

The calling or summoning of councils may be done a either by a A discreet way of authority, which the caller himself hath; or by way of as if the emauthority, which he taketh of another. If Constantine, the two peror re-ceived his Theodosians, and Martian, called the four first general councils authority by their authority only, then were they no general councils; from the pope, neither could their decrees bind the whole world. For, although they were great emperors, yet was not the whole Christian world under them. And, therefore, those Christian bishops, who lived in Persia, in Ethiopia, in Scotland, in Scythia, or in any other land not subject to the emperor, were neither bound to come, b Untruth, nor bound to obey the laws made by them, who were not their it shall apsuperiors. But if it be far from reason, that a general council pear. should not bind all bishops, and all Christians, it is also far from bishops reason, to say that emperors called general councils by their own throughout the whole only authority. Indeed, they called them by the assent of the world are bishop of Rome, b who, being the general shepherd of Christ's sheep, flock, and, therefore, also, of all bishops, might command c all his d Untruth. For the entropy of the command c all his d untruth. sheep to come together, except they were reasonably to be ex-peror may cused: and they were bound to hear his voice, and to obey his summon councils decree. So that although ye proved the emperors to have sum, whether the moned and called the four first councils, yet were ye not able to or no. prove they did it d without the assent of the bishops of Rome, e Untruth. which, for the time, sate in Peter's chair. And by the force of was dead that assent the deed must take effect. And this much generally. long before the sum-Now to prove unto you, that eSt. Sylvester assented to the moning of

B b 2

[Vol. iv. p. 80.]

the council,

f Untruth. vain and unadvised. For neither was Hosius Sylvester's legate, nor was Sylvester then alive. g Untruth, impudent. For they had the fourth place in the council, and subscribed after Eustathius. h Untruth, unless a déad man may summon councils. i By the counsel of the emperor, ther, wisely; he wotteth And yet the emperor's mother was dead before. Sozom. lib. [ii. p. 46.]

calling of the first council at Nice 86, it is to be considered, that he only hath authority to ratify, who hath authority to command, and to give assent and strength from the beginning. For none other difference is between commanding, assenting, authorizing, and ratifying, but that assenting is common to them all; commanding is a thing that goeth before the fact: authorizing is the making of a thing good by present agreeing to it, whiles it is done; ratifying, is the allowing of it when it is done. If then I shew that the pope did ratify the calling of the general councils, and authorize them; I shew much more that he assented to the calling of them. The authorizing is proved, by reason he sent his legates to every of them. As f St. Sylvester 86 sent Hosius Cordubensis, of the province of Spain⁸⁷, unto Nice, with Victor ⁸⁸ and Vincentius, priests of the city of Rome. Of which the last two, being themselves no bishops, yet for that they were legates of the chief bishop, did, in g the first place, 89 put unto the decrees of that council their consent and names, writing after this sort: Pro venerabili viro papa et episcopo nostro Sylvestro subscripsimus: "We have subscribed for the reverend man, our pope, and bishop Sylvester." And at the very heame time that the general council was kept at Nice, St Sylvester called another council in Rome, at the which two hundred seventy and five bishops were assembled. And it is expressly written in the same council: Sylvester collegit universam synodum episcoporum cum consilio Auqusti vel matris ejus: "Sylvester gathered together the whole synod of the bishops, with the counsel of the iemperor, or i his mother." Why his counsel was needful, it appeareth there. Beor of his mo- cause the emperor bare the charges of their diet and carriage. So that his counsel was necessary, not chiefly for religion, but not whether, rather for supportation of the charges of so great a journey. For then neither was the bishop of Rome, nor other bishops, endued with so large possessions, as they were afterward.

Now to return to the council of Nice. The emperor was in-2. cap. 2. et 3. deed the cause of their coming together, as well for that himself persuaded that mean of concord, as also for that liberally he

> ⁸⁶ [With respect to Bp. Jewel's mistake, here repeated in several successive notes in his margin, as to the time of Sylvester's death, see supra vol. v. 426. note 39: vol. vi. p. 106, note 85, and infra p. 381, note ⁹³.]

> 87 [Bp. Jewel, in his marginal note, denies that Hosius was Sylvester's legate, and with reason: see Richard. Anal. Concill., who says that Gelasius Cyzicenus (end of cent. v.) asserts that he was the pope's representative, but that Tillemont, in his 4th note on the coun

cil of Nice, discredits the story.

88 [The delegate, whom, on the authority probably of the spurious epistle of Athan. (iii. 665.) or of the forged epistle of Hosius (see Richard. Anal. Concill. i. 353.), Harding calls Victor, was Vitus.]

89 [The order of subscription varies according to different accounts: Hosius is generally first, sometimes Alexander; Vitus and Vincentius sometimes second; sometimes fourth; sometimes thirty-ninth. See Seldeni Annott. in Eutychii Ægyptii Fragm. p. 130.]

Lib. 10, c, 1. Mark, "ex sacerdotum sententia."

In summ.

defrayed the charges. Yet called he not the bishops of his own head. And that these men might have seen in the Ecclesiastical History, where Ruffinus writeth: Tum ille ex sacerdotum sententia apud urbem Nicæam episcopale concilium convocat: "Then the emperor calleth together a council of bishops according to the determination of the priests." He did it according as it seemed igood to the bishops. kAnd shall we think the bishop of Rome k Full clerkly was none of them that consented to the calling? Yes, verily, he huffinus was the chiefest of all. How can it otherwise seem? For when saith, "The emperor all the decrees were made, Placuit ut hac omnia mitterentur ad herein fol-Nice. Concil. episcopum urbis Romæ Sylvestrum: "It was thought good, that lowed the all those acts and decrees should be sent to k Sylvester, bishop Alexander the bishop of of the city of Rome." If he were the last that had the view and Alexandria. confirming of all things, there is no doubt but he had a voice &c.; but of and great authority in calling the council. What other is that, which Socrates, in his Ecclesiastical His-

there is no mention.

Hist. Trip. lib. 4. cap. 9. [leg. 19.]

† Epistola Ægypt. Pontificum. [Mansi, iil. 404.]

tory, witnesseth, saving: Cum utique regula ecclesiastica jubeat non oportere 1 præter sententiam Romani pontificis 1 concilia celebrari: 1 Untruth "Whereas the ecclesiastical rule commandeth, that no councils ed. For Sylought to be kept besides the determinate consent of the bishop vester was of Rome:" "We know" (saith Athanasius and the bishops of fore. Egypt, assembled in council at Alexandria,) "that in the great council of Nice of 318 bishops, it was with one accord by all confirmed there, that without the determination of the bishop of Rome, neither councils should be kept, nor bishops condemned." Ruffin. lib. 10. I omit here, as a thing well known, how Constantine the emperor refused, in express words, to be judge over bishops, saying, that God had given them power to judge of him; much less did he arrogate to himself only and chiefly authority to summon councils, or to judge bishoply affairs. "As for me," (saith Valentinian the emperor,) m" inasmuch as I am but one of the people, m Untruth, it is not lawful to search such matters;" (he speaketh of the plain corrup-

heretics' doctrines;) "but let the priests, to whom this charge tion of the words. See belongeth, be gathered together within themselves, where they the answer.

will," &c.

Photius in Lib. de Conciliis.

Hist, Trip. lib. 7. c. 12.

> Concerning the second council, which was the first of those that were kept at Constantinople, it may be, that Theodosius called it, as Constantine called the first at Nice. authority Damasus bare in the same, it appeareth partly by that he had his nlegates there, partly also by that Photius, patriarch n So had of Constantinople, writeth in his epistle to Michael, prince of bishops. Bulgaria. Where, having declared the coming together of the patriarchs of Alexandria and Jerusalem, he saith thus: Quibus o Other bihaud multo post et Damasus episcopus Romæ eadem confirmans wise gave atque idem sentiens accessit: "To which" (patriarchs of Alexandria their consents, and and Jerusalem) "not long after, Damasus, the bishop of Rome, confirmed joined himself, confirming oand determining the same matter." &c. Yet had they no au-This much saith Photius of the second council, the confirmation thority to whereof he doth attribute not to Theodosius the emperor, but councils. to Damasus the pope.

p Untruth.

manifest.

peror ex-

pressly de-

termined. what should

Christian

g Untruth,

closed. For

willed his

subjects to

the faith of

bishops as

the pope's.

faith.

But what did Theodosius then? (will some man say.) Did he nothing? Yes, verily, he did very much, as in the said epistle Photius recordeth: "Then did great Theodosius" (saith he) "indeed worthy of great praise rule the empire, who was himself also a defender and a maintainer of godliness." Behold what the emperor's part was, not to sit in judgment of matters of religion, and Pdetermine which was the true faith, but to defend it and maintain it. And that thou mayest see, reader, plainly, For Theodosius the em- what Theodosius thought of religion, whom these defenders would make a judge in causes of religion, I advise thee to read the ninth book of the Tripartite History, where appear many great be holden for arguments of his own faith, which he publisheth to the world from Thessalonica, in a public law, to be such as Peter had taught the Romans, 4and as Damasus, who succeeded Peter, taught at guilefully in- that day, requiring all his subjects to believe the same. required not them to follow his own private faith, but Peter's Theodosins faith, and the pope's faith. And whereas there were two bishops follows well of Alexandria at that time, the one, whose name was Peter, holdsundry other ing with the bishop of Rome, the other, named Lucius, not so 89;

Theodosius commanded his subjects to believe as Peter did, who Ruffin. lib. 11. followed the first Peter and Damasus the bishop of Rome.

Touching the third general council, it was kept indeed under Theodosius the younger, at Ephesus. But he was not supreme head there. Yea, rather, who knoweth not, that Cyrillus being himself patriarch of Alexandria, yet was president at Ephesus, bearing the stead and person of pope Celestine? If Cyrill was r A fond fol- in stead of the bishop of Rome there president, r who may doubt, but that he was supreme head of the church, in whose name the president sat? Doth the president of the queen's majesty's council evermore the use to sit at her council in the name of any other inferior person? conneils: yet If Theodosius were supreme and chief, why sat not Cyrill in his therefore the name as president? But seeing that Photius writeth, and Nicephorus also, that Cyrill, archbishop of Alexandria, sat in the stead Lib. 14. c. 34.

of Celestine, pope of Rome, over that council kept at Ephesus, [ii. 512.] undoubtedly it cannot be denied, but that Celestine was supreme

head, as well of the church as of the general council.

It is not therefore only to be considered, that Theodosius sent abroad his messengers to summon the fathers to the general council, but also it is to be considered, sby whose authority it If in our time it had pleased the emperor Ferdinand, was done. of famous memory, to have sent his messengers to the kings and so was the emperor only princes of Spain, France, England, Hungary, Bemeland, Pole, and to the estates and dukes of Italy and Germany, to summon them to the council, which the pope thought good to indict at Trent; I think, verily, the pope would have thanked the emperor for it, and himself should have saved so much charges as men of experience know such an enterprise to require. But now, sith the pope hath of his own sufficient to bear the charges of such

ly. For the bishop of Rome had evermore the was he not bead of the church.

by the authority of the pope. And so was the the pope's men.

affairs, he asketh not any more of the emperor such expenses, as in old time to that necessary purpose by the emperors were allowed.

Last of all, Martian (say you) called the fourth general council at Chalcedon. We answer: he called it not in such sort as ve mean, to wit, as supreme head and ruler thereof, but as one able to send messengers for the bishops about the world, and to sustain the charges, also willing to see peace and concord in the church of God. Who list to read the epistles of pope Leo to Pulcheria the empress, to Martian himself, to Theodosius, to Flavianus, archbishop of Constantinople, to the synod first assembled at Ephesus, afterward for certain causes at Chalcedon; in the same epistles he may see both the cause of the council, and what tconference was had thereof with the said Leo, bishop of t Untruth. Rome, who sent first to Ephesus, Julianus, a bishop, Renatus, a Forthe counpriest, and Hilarius, a deacon, and afterward to Chalcedon, Pascha-moned to sinus and Lucentius, bishops, and Bonifacius, a priest, to represent quite conhis person. In one of the said epistles, written to the second trary to the synod at Ephesus, Leo saith thus: Religiosissima clementissimi Read the anprincipis fides, &c.: "The most religious faith of our most cle-swer, ment prince knowing it to pertain chiefly to his renown, if within the catholic church no branch of error spring, hath deferred this reverence to God's ordinances, as to use the authority of the see apostolic, to achieve the effect of a holy purpose, as though he were desirous by the most blessed Peter himself, that to be declared, which in his confession was praised." By which words it is plain, that in matters of religion the emperor proceeded not upon his own head, but "was directed by the see of Peter. What "Untruth, shall I say more?

If the emperor first christened the pope, let the emperor be the answer. superior in things to Godward. But if the pope christened the emperor, (as x Sylvester did Constantine,) let the spiritual father, x Untruth,

in that degree of rule, be above the spiritual child.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

No man could utter so many untruths together, with that Sylvester was dead. such affiance, without some cunning. First, M. Harding, ye bear us in hand, that the emperors of the world, in those days, summoned councils, not by their own authority, but by the authority and warrant of the pope. As if the pope's authority, at that time, had been many degrees above the emperor. Notwithstanding, pope Pius II., as you know, saith thus: Ante Nicenam synodum unusquisque sibi vixit: Eneas Sylet parvus respectus ad Romanam ecclesiam habebatur: vius in epist, 288. [p. 802. "Before the council of Nice, each bishop lived severally to himself: and little regard was there then had to the

most mani-

For he was christened by Eusebius, the bishop of Nicomedia,

las August. 642.]

Inter Episto- church of Rome." Pope Innocentius complaineth, that he epist. 96. [ii. had not authority sufficient to force Pelagius, being but one man, to come before him: much less had he authority sufficient to command and call the whole world. Pope Leo both was an humble suitor himself unto the emperor Martianus, that it would please his majesty to command a council, and also intreated other bishops to promote the cause. Thus he writeth: Humiliter ac sapienter exposcite, ut petitioni nostræ, qua plenariam indici synodum postulamus [l. postulavimus], clementissimus imperator dignetur annuere: "Make suit with discreet and humble prayer, that our most gracious emperor would vouchsafe to grant our request, in that we have desired a general council." It is not likely, that pope Leo would thus have written, if his own authority had been sufficient.

Leo ad Clerum et plebem Constant. epist, 23. [i. 521.]

c. 9. [iii. 204.] του βασι-

μάτων. Eusebius, lib. 10. c. 5.

[i. 484.]

herein at any time use the authority of the pope: for that Theod, lib. 5. the pope himself was never able to summon bishops, as c.0.[iii.204.] hereafter it shall appear, but only by the authority of the θεοφιλεστά-emperor. Pope Damasus commanded the bishops of the λέως γραμ- East to come to Rome: howbeit, not in his own name, for that had been no warrant, but by the emperor's special letters. Eusebius saith thus: 'Αντιγράφον βασιλικής ἐπιστολής, δι' ής σύνοδον επισκόπων επί 'Ρώμης γενέσθαι κελεύει: Exemplar regiarum literarum, quibus jubet Romæ episcoporum concilium celebrari: "This is a copy of the emperor's writ, whereby he commanded a council to be kept in Rome." As for the pope, notwithstanding all his universal power, he was commanded by the emperor's summon, to be present at councils, as well as others.

Nay, it is the more unlikely, that the emperor should

Conc. Chalced. act. I. pag. 748. [Mansl, vi. 613.]

In the council of Chalcedon it is written thus: Eodem tenore a piissimis et Christianissimis imperatoribus, sanctissimus noster papa, Romanæ ecclesiæ præpositus, Leo, vocatus est: " By order of the same writ, our most holy pope Leo, ruler of the church of Rome, was called to the council by the most godly and most Christian emperors."

Sozom, lib, 1. cap. 16, [al. 17, ii. 34.]

Sozomenus saith: Constantinus scripsit ad omnes præsides ecclesiarum, ut ad diem adessent: ad eniscopos apostolicarum sedium : ad Macarium Hierosolymitanum :

ad Julium Romanum, &c.: "The emperor Constantinus sent out his letters unto all the rulers of the churches, that they should meet all at Nice upon a day: unto the bishops of the apostolic sees: unto Macarius the bishop of Jerusalem: and unto Julius the bishop of Rome, &c. But Julius Theod. lib. 1. excused his absence because of his age 90." Otherwise, of δια γῆρας obedience and duty towards the emperor, he was as much $\frac{\partial \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l \phi \theta \eta}{\partial a \theta b}$. bound to have made his appearance there as the rest of his brethren.

Ye say, "If the emperor should have summoned the M. Harding, council by his own authority, then the bishops of Persia fol. 329. a. and Scotland, which countries were not then under the obedience of the Roman empire, would not have appeared upon the summon, and so it had been no general council." This cavil wanteth both truth and savour. For proof whereof, I will bring forth yourself, M. Harding, to reprove yourself. Ye should not so soon have forgotten your own decree, specially conceived and published in this selfsame book. Thus you say: these be your own words: "A council is not accounted general, because bishops of all countries under heaven be assembled, but because many be assembled, and all be lawfully called." Otherwise, your late *chapter* of *Trident*, with your worthy number of forty prelates 91, whereof certain were only May bishops 92, otherwise by you called Nullatenses, could never have been a general council.

Nicolaus Cusanus saith: Authoritas concilii non ita depen- Nicol. Cusan. det a congregante, ut, nisi a papa congregetur, non sit conci-Cathol. lib. 2. lium: quia tunc omnia octo universalia concilia non fuissent 756.] firma: quoniam per imperatores convocata leguntur: et Romanus pontifex ad instar aliorum patriarcharum, divales sacras jussiones, de veniendo, aut mittendo ad concilium, recepit [leg. recepisse]: "The authority of a council dependeth not of him by whom it was summoned, that,

90 Sozomenus. From the words of Sozomenus it is only to be inferred, that Constantine wrote to these bishops. See note 94, p. 381. infra. The quotation in the margin is from Theodoret, who does not name Julius.]

^{91 [}This number is correct only in reference to the earlier sessions of the council under Paul III. Supra vol. vi. p. 219. note ⁷⁰.]

⁹² [Pates and Waucop: ib. note

unless it be summoned by the pope, it can be no council. For so we should avoid all the first eight general councils. For we read, they were summoned by emperors, and not by popes. And the pope received the emperor's majesty's commandment to come or send to councils, as other patriarchs did."

Certainly, it cannot appear, that there was any bishop either of Scotland or of England, then called Britain, at any of the first four councils, either at Nice, or at Ephesus, or at Constantinople, or at Chalcedon. Yet are these councils nevertheless called general.

Chrys. ad Pop. Antioch. hom, 2, [ii.

dosium, ep. 24. [i. 508.]

Touching the rest, the emperor was then the only monarch of the world: and, as Chrysostom calleth him, Summitas et caput omnium super terram hominum: "The top and head of all men in the world." No doubt, whosoever would then have refused the emperor's summon, much more would he have refused the summon of the pope.

To qualify the matter, ye say, the emperor did these things, although not by the pope's warrant, yet at the least by the pope's consent, and never otherwise. Here, likewise, is another untruth. For the emperor commanded councils, both when he would and whither he would, whether the pope would or no, many times without any manner of regard had to his pleasure. Pope Leo wrote Leo ad Theo. thus unto the emperor Theodosius: Omnes nostræ ecclesiæ, omnes mansuetudini vestræ cum gemitibus et lachrymis supplicant sacerdotes ut.....generalem synodum jubeatis intra Italiam celebrari: "All our churches and all our priests most humbly beseech your majesty with sobs and tears, that ye will command a general council to be holden within Italy." In like sort he moved the cleray of Constantinople to be suitors unto his majesty for the same: yet nevertheless the emperor continued still in his purpose: and contrary to the pope's humble petition, kept the council, not in Italy, but at Chalcedon, where also, as it is said before, pope Leo himself was summoned to appear by the emperor's commandment, with other bishops.

Of such authority was the pope's consent in summoning of councils. He humbly craved it upon his knees, with sighs and tears, and could not get it. And, therefore.

Nicolaus Cusanus saith: Habetur ex præscriptis una con-Nicol. Cusan. clusio, scilicet in conciliis Romanum pontificem in con- Cathol. lib. 2. dendis statutis generalibus non habere eam potestatem, quam 725.] eap.12. [p. quidam adulatores illi contribuunt: "Hereof we have one conclusion, that in general councils, and in making of laws general, the bishop of Rome hath no such power as certain flatterers would allow him." Take heed, therefore, M. Harding, lest, for your great pains in a desperate cause, ye be taken for one of the pope's flatterers.

Hereof Æneas Sylvius, which afterward was pope Pius II., saith thus: Ex hisce authoritatibus mirum in modum Eneas Sylse putant armatos, qui concilia negant fieri posse sine con-cil. Basil. lib. sensu papæ. Quorum sententia, si, ut ipsi volunt, inviolata persistet, ruinam secum ecclesiæ trahet. Quid enim remedii erit, si criminosus papa perturbet ecclesiam: si animas perdat: si pervertat malo exemplo populos: si denique contraria fidei prædicet, hæreticisque dogmatibus imbuat subditos? Sinemusne cum ipso cuncta ruere? At ego, dum veteres lego historias, dum Actus perspicio Apostolorum, hunc equidem morem non invenio, ut soli papæ concilia convocaverint: nec post, tempore Constantini Magni, et aliorum Augustorum, ad congreganda concilia quæsitus est magnopere Romani consensus papæ? "By these authorities they think themselves armed, that say, 'No council may be kept without the consent of the pope.' Whose judgment, if it should stand, as they would have it, would draw with it the decay and ruin of the church. For what remedy were there then, if the pope himself were vicious, destroyed souls, overthrew the people with evil example, taught doctrine contrary to the faith, and filled his subjects full of heresies? Should we suffer all to go to the devil? Verily, when I read the old stories, and consider the Acts of the Apostles, I find no such order in those days, that only the pope should summon councils. And afterward, in the time of Constantine the Great, and of other emperors, when councils should be called, there was no great account made of the pope's consent." Cardinal Cusanus saith: Negligente Cusan, de Concord. Ca-aut contradicente papa, imperator.....potest præceptive syn-thol. lib. 3. odos indicere, ad providendum fluctuanti ecclesiæ: "If the cap. 15 [p.

pope be negligent, or if he say nay, the emperor, to stay the wavering state of the church, may command councils by his own authority." Thus the emperor Sigismund called a council at Constance: notwithstanding, it stood pope John much upon, never to yield his consent unto it. For in the same council he was deprived, and of a pope was made a cardinal.

Ye say, "The pope had authority to confirm councils: ergo, much more he had authority to call councils." And here ye tell us a very solemn tale, what is commanding, what is assenting, what is authorizing, what is ratifying: as if it had been somewhat to the purpose. your reason hold, then must general councils have many For, as I shall hereafter sufficiently prove, not only the pope, or the other principal patriarchs, but also all other bishops that were present, yea, emperors, kings, lieutenants, and counsellors, had authority to confirm councils.

Sozomenus saith: Nec Romanus, nec Vincentinus, nec

Sozom, lib. 6. cap. 23. [ii. 246.]

alii confirmarunt: "This council was confirmed, neither by the bishop of Rome, nor by the bishop of Vincentia, nor by the rest of the bishops 92." Whereby it appeareth, that, in confirmation of councils, all other bishops whatsoever had as good right and authority as the bishop of Conc. Chal. Rome. And the emperor Martianus saith: Sacrosancto act. 3. p. 804. [vii. 480. A.] nostræ serenitatis edicto venerandam synodum confirmamus: "We confirm the reverend council by the holy edict of our majesty." Thus you see, that not only all bishops, but also emperors and lay princes, had authority to confirm councils. Now, therefore, M. Harding, if it be true

that you say, that whosoever hath authority to confirm councils, much more hath authority to call councils, then must it needs follow, that not only kings and emperors, but also all bishops through the world, have authority to call councils.

Ye say, "Pope Sylvester sent that famous learned

^{92 [}Sozomenus, lib. 6. cap. 23. μήτε τοῦ τῶν 'Ρωμαίων ἐπι-In the Greek the name of the biσκόπου, μήτε τῶν ἄλλων συνθεμένων shop of Vincentia does not occur. αὐτοῖς.]

father Hosius, the bishop of Corduba, to the council of Nice, to represent his person." This may well pass among the rest of your truths. For neither was Hosius there in the pope's behalf, but in his own: nor was pope Sylvester then alive, or able to send him, during the whole time of the Nicene council, notwithstanding any thing that your fabular Peter Crabbe hath said to the contrary 93. As for Hosius, the bishop of Corduba, of what authority and estimation he was in all ecclesiastical assemblies, it may appear by these words of Athanasius: In qua synodo Athan. Apol. dux ille, et antesignanus non fuit? Quæ ecclesia istius præ-tom.i. 322.] sidentiæ non pulcherrima monumenta retinet? "In what council hath not Hosius been chief and president? What church is without some notable remembrance of his government?" Certainly, M. Harding, it seemeth he was a great deal too good to be sent so far in a dead man's errand.

Notwithstanding, Julius 94, being then bishop of Rome, Sozom, lib. 1. for that he was unable to travel because of his age, sent [7, ii. 34.] thither two priests, Vitus and Vincentius, to supply his room. Thus he did not of pride, the better by his absence to maintain a state, but only for that he was forced of

93 [See note 85 supra vol. vi. 106: as also note ³⁹ vol. v. 426. Bishop Jewel has repeated this statement several times with great earnestness, and it is evident that, relying principally upon one authority, he himself firmly believed, (as many other writers have done,) that pope Sylvester was dead at the meeting of the council of Nice. Yet it is certain, that this pope lived for several years afterwards, and that Julius, who was the next pope but one, did not succeed till eleven years after the council of Nice. All other original authorities are clear upon this point: the sole real ground for the bishop's opinion being Sozomenus, who expressly asserts in the passage printed below, (lib. 1. c. 17.) that it was pope Julius who sent Vito and Vincentius. (See the notes in Read. Vales. ed. of Sozomenus.)

Another ground was his belief that St. Athanasius alluded to the council of Nice in the passage cited below from the Apol. 2. contra Arianos, (tom. i. 168.) whereas, indeed, the council there intended is that of Sardica, (A. D. 347.) held during the pontificate of Julius. So that, in fact, one mistake is built upon another.]

94 Sozomenus, lib. 1. cap. 16. [al. 17.] ἐκοινώνουν δὲ τούτου τοῦ συλλόγου, τῶν μὲν ᾿Αποστολικῶν θρόνων, Μακάριος ὁ Ἱεροσολύμων, καὶ Εὐστάθιος ήδη τὴν 'Αντιοχείας της πρός τῷ Ορόντη ἐκκλησίαν ἐπι-τραπεὶς, καὶ ᾿Αλέξανδρος ὁ ᾿Αλέ-ξανδρείας τῆς πρὸς τὴν Μαρείαν λίμνην. Ἰούλιος δὲ ὁ Ῥωμαίων έπίσκοπος, διὰ γῆρας ἀπελιμπά-νετο. Παρῆσαν δε ἀντ' αὐτοῦ Βίτων καὶ Βικέντιος, πρεσβύτεροι της αὐτῆς ἐκκλησίας.]

necessity so to do. For if he had been able to travel so far, he had been forced to go thither himself. Therefore pope Agatho afterward thus excused his absence unto the

Concil. Con- emperors: Christianissimi domini filii, secundum piissimam stant. act. 4. ad Herael. et jussionem mansuetudinis vestræ, pro obedientia quam debui-Impp. p. 288. mus, præsentes confamulos nostros misimus: "My most Christian lords and children, according to the most godly 235, 236.] commandment of your majesties, and according to the obedience that we owe of duty, we have sent these present our fellow servants."

Other bishops, in like cases of age or infirmity, did the Athan.ad An-like. For example, Lucifer, the bishop of Sardinia, sent tioch, tom, 2, [tom. i. pt. 2. Herennius and Agapetus: and Paulinus sent Maximus 776.] and Calemerus to the council of Nice 94, to be in their steads.

Ye say, "Vitus and Vincentius, for that they were the pope's legates, had therefore the first place in subscription among the bishops." Here is another great untruth. For Theodor. lib. Theodoretus saith: "The first and chief of all that company, both in place and in speech, was" (not Vitus or Vincentius, the pope's legates, but) "Eustathius, the patriarch of Antioch 95."

Sozomen, lib. 1, cap. 16. [al. 17. tom. ii. 2. [contr. A-rlan. i. 168.]

26.]

Touching these two the pope's legates, Sozomenus placeth them only in the fourth room. Athanasius saith: 34,35.1 Athan. Apol. Subscripserunt, Hosius ab Hispania, Julius Romanus per Archidamum et Philoxenum presbyteros: "They subscribed their names to the council, Hosius that came out of Spain, and Julius the bishop of Rome, by Archidamus, and Philoxenus, priests," that were his legates 96.

> 94 [Here is another singular mistake. It was not to Nice that these delegates were sent, but to a synod held at Alexandria, (A.D. 362.) confirmatory of the Nicene council. See Mansi iii. 353.]

> 95 [Theodoret only states, that Eustathius first addressed the em-

peror.

96 From the manner in which this passage is introduced it would seem to have been intended to apply to the council of Nice, and it has been said above in note 98, that bishop Jewel really quoted it as such; in confirmation of which it may be stated, that the same passage of St. Athanasius is evidently referred to supra vol. v. p. 426, where the question of Sylvester's death is also considered. The council, however, of which St. Athanasius speaks, as the one to which Julius sent Archidamus and Philoxenus, (who did subscribe after Hosius,) was the council of Sardica, held A.D. 347, in the 11th year of Julius' pontificate.] which words he alloweth the bishop of Rome's legates the second place in subscription, but not the first. And thus ye see, Hosius the bishop of Corduba subscribeth before Julius the bishop of Rome.

In the council of Africa, Philippus and Asellius, the Conc.Aphric. pope's legates, had the last place in subscription after all 511. A.] others. In the council of Chalcedon 97, Philippus, one of the conc. Chalpope's legates, had an hundred and seven and fifty others Sanct. [vi. 875. a.]

These fathers, I trow, would not have been so unmannerly in their dealing, if they had taken the *pope* for the head of the whole universal church, to have placed his legate behind so many. Further, ye say, "At the very same time that the general council was kept at Nice, St. Sylvester called another council in Rome." This, I trow, M. Harding, is another untruth, unless ye have power to raise up dead men to keep councils. For Sylvester was dead long before.

Sozomenus saith, Vitus and Vincentius were sent to Sozom. Bb. 1. Nice, not by pope Sylvester, who then was dead, but by 17. ii. 34, 35. J pope Julius, that was the second after him. The like may easily appear by Athanasius, Theodoretus, Nicephorus, Athanas. and others. Beda also, in his Chronicles, telleth you, that Theod. iib. the council of Nice was holden, not in the time of pope [ii. 25.] Niceph. lib. Sylvester, who then was dead, but in the time of pope 8, cap. 14. [i. 560-] Beda in Chronicis.

Therefore, M. Harding, you must needs devise two councils of Nice about one time: two Sylvester popes: two writers of this one story, the one true, the other false. Otherwise, this frail stuff will never hold. Ye are over easy to credit fables.

The council that ye imagine was holden in Rome by

⁹⁷ [Rather in that of Ephesus, as reported at Chalcedon.]

98 Theodoret merely says that the bishop of Rome was absent, and sent two presbyters; Nicephorus, the same; in Beda, neither Julius nor Sylvester are named; but the date of Sylvester's death being assumed to be

prior to the council of Nice, upon the authority of Sozomenus, Bp. Jewel conceives all these authorities to mean Julius by "the bishop of Rome." It may be added, that Photius, in his letter to Michael, prince of Bulgaria, says that both Sylvester and Julius sent legates to Nice. Ed. Justell. p. 114.]

Conc. Rom. cap. 5. [ii. 625.] cap. 3. [p. 623.] cap. 20. [p. 631.]

pope Sylvester is nothing else but a great heap of childish vanities. The holy discreet learned fathers say there: Nemo presbyterorum chrisma conficiat: quoniam Christus a chrismate vocatur: præsul summus non judicabitur a quoquam. Quoniam scriptum est: non est discipulus supra magistrum. Neque ab Augusto, neque ab omni clero, neque a regibus, neque ab omni populo judex judicabitur: "No priest may make or hallow the chrism: for Christ of chrism hath his name: the highest prelate" (that is, the pope) "may be judged of no man: for it is written, The scholar is not above his master. The judge" (that is, the pope) "shall not be judged, neither by the emperor, nor by all the clergy, nor by kings and princes, nor by the whole people." Such, and other like good stuff have you in your council of Rome.

Notwithstanding, of what credit soever this council were, yet, M. Harding, it utterly overthroweth your whole purpose. For if ever there were any such council summoned in Rome, it was summoned, not by Sylvester, the dead pope, but by the authority of the emperor that then was alive. So Eusebius writeth of the council of Rome, holden in the time of pope Meltiades, as it is said before: Exemplar regiarum literarum, &c. "Here is a copy of the emperor's writ, whereby he hath commanded a council of bishops to be kept at Rome."

Euseb, lib, 10, cap, 5. [i. 484.]

Theod, iib, 5. cap, 9. [iii, 204.]

Likewise the bishops assembled in the council of Constantinople, wrote unto the bishops in the council of Rome: Cum indixissetis, &c. "After ye had called a council to Rome, ye warned us also to come thither, as the members of your own body, by the most godly emperor's writ."

By these it appeareth, if there were any such *council* called to *Rome*, it was called by the *emperor*, and not by the *pope*.

Ye say, "The emperor in such affairs was advised evermore by the bishops." This is not unlikely, and therefore easily may be granted. Notwithstanding, for ought that ve can find, he was more advised oftentimes by some other bishops, than by the pope, as it shall appear. Eusebius,

touching the emperor Constantinus, writeth thus: Quasi Euseb, Devita Concommunis quidam episcopus a Deo constitutus, ministrorum stantini, Orationer is synodos convocavit: "As if he had been one common [cap. 44. bishop appointed by God, he appointed councils of bishops to assemble together 98." Ruffinus saith: "The emperor ruff, 11b. 1. was advised hereto by Alexander, the bishop of Alexandria, [al. 11b. x.] and by other bishops and priests of Egypt." Here is no mention of the pope. In the like cases of ecclesiastical affairs, Athanasius was an earnest suitor unto the emperor Constantius: Dioscorus unto Theodosius, and so others unto other.

But of the *pope's omnipotent consent*, without which, ye tell us, no *emperor* may summon a *council*, there is no man that maketh mention.

Ye say, "There ought no council to be kept without the determinate consent of the bishop of Rome." This also is another of your untruths, standing in the manifest corruption of the words of Socrates, as in my former Reply I have declared more at large. The words of Art. 4. dist. Socrates be these: Non licet scribere ecclesiastica decreta Supra ii. præter sententiam episcopi Romani: "It is provided, that Soc. 11b. 2. ecclesiastical laws be not made without the consent of the [11, 96.] bishop of Rome:" for that the bishop of Rome was one of παρά γνώthe four great patriarchs, whose assents in all general μην τοῦ επισκόπου councils were thought necessary. But Socrates meaneth Ρώμης καsuch ecclesiastical laws as pertain to the whole church of Εκκλησίας. God. For this is a rule agreeable to reason, That toucheth Cassiod. lib. 4. cap. 16. Reguia juris. all, must be allowed by all. Quod omnes

Now, whereas ye have exchanged the allowing of tangit, ab canons, into the summoning or calling of councils, it may bet appropriate you to remember, that allowing of canons was common to all the members of the council, and specially to the four principal patriarchs, as it is said before: but the authority of calling councils belonged only to the emperor.

^{98 [}Eusebius de vita Constant.: εξαίρετον δε τῆ εκκλησία τοῦ Θεοῦ τὴν παρ' αὐτοῦ νέμων φροντίδα διαφερομένων τινῶν πρὸς ἀλλήλους κατὰ διαφόρους χώρας, οἶά τις κοινὸς επίσκοπος ἐκ Θέοῦ καθεσταμένος

νος συνόδους των τοῦ Θεοῦ λειτουργων συνεκρότει. ἐν μέση δὲ τῆ τούτων διατριβή, οὐκ ἀπαξιων παρεῖναί τε καὶ συνιζάνειν, κοινωνὸς των ἐπισκοπουμένων ἐγίνετο, τὰ τῆς εἰρήνης τοῦ Θεοῦ βραβεύων τοῖς παστ.]

[† Athan. III. That ye allege of Athanasius is a vain and shameless forgery, as I have otherwhere declared more at large. Such religion, such doctors. Such folly is worthy no other answer.

Soz. lib. 6, cap. 7. [ii. 227.]

"Valentinian, the emperor," (ve say) "accounted himself as one of the people: and therefore said, It was not lawful for him to examine matters of religion." Thus he said, either of humility, or else for want of time. His foreign enemies, his wars, and his civil cares, had filled his head with other thoughts. Nicephorus imagineth him thus to say: Mihi negotiis occupato, et reipublicæ curis distento, res hujusmodi inquirere non est facile: "For me, being thus occupied with business and public cares, it is not easy to inquire of such matters." Otherwise, that ecclesiastical causes be within the prince's charge, I doubt not but hereafter it shall well appear. King Odoacer said unto pope Symmachus, and unto the clergy of Rome, as it is alleged once before: "Miramur quicquam tentatum fuisse sine nobis: nam vivente nostro presbytero, sine nobis nihil tentari oportuit: "We marvel that any thing was attempted without us: for without us nothing should have been done so long as our priest" (he meaneth the pone) " was alive 99."

Nicephor. lib. 11. cap. 3. [ii. 113.]

Concil, Roman, 3, cap. 2, [viii, 267, a.]

After this ye fill the house full with patriarchs of Constantinople, patriarchs of Alexandria, patriarchs of Jerusalem, princes of Bulgaria, and with other like great and stately persons. The conclusion hereof is this, That pope Damasus gave his consent to the council of Constantinople. All this, M. Harding, ye might soon have obtained with more favour and less ado. Howbeit, ye may not hereof well reason thus, The pope consented unto the council: ergo, The pope had authority to call the council: lest children wonder at your logic.

In the mean while, ye say, the emperor Theodosius ruled the *empire*: whereby ye give us to understand, that he had no charge over the *church*. And thus ye continue still to enrich yourself, and to heap your reader with *untruths*.

⁹⁹ [Supra vol. vi. p. 299. note ⁴⁴.]

Certainly, the bishops in the council of Constantinople wrote thus in humble wise unto the same emperor Theodosius: Obsecramus clementiam tuam, ut quemadmodum Post Conc. literis honorasti ecclesiam, quibus nos convocasti, ita finalem primum.

Crabb. tom.

conclusionem nostrorum decretorum corrobores sententia tua 1. p. 548. col.

2. Mansi. ili. et sigillo: "We beseech your majesty, that as ye have 557.] honoured the church by your letters, wherewith ye have called us together, so it may please you to confirm the final conclusion of our decrees with your sentence, and with your seal."

Further, as it appeareth by your own allegation, the same emperor Theodosius took upon him to bound and to limit the catholic faith, and that even in the body of his The emperor civil laws: which thing neither could he have done with-catholic out judgment, nor would he have done without authority. But if ye mean, that by this determination of the emperor Theodosius, that faith only should be taken for catholic, that was then professed by pope Damasus, and should afterward be professed by others succeeding in Peter's chair, then have ye secretly conveyed us in another untruth. The place itself will soon reprove you. The emperor's words be these: Cunctos populos.....in tali volumus Cod. Theodosian. [tom. religione versari, quam divinum Petrum apostolum tradi-vi.] lib. 16. Tit. de Fide disse Romanis, religio usque nunc ab eo insinuata declarat : Catholica. Cunctos Poquamque pontificem Damasum sequi claret, et Petrum pulos. Alexandriæ episcopum, virum apostolicæ sanctitatis: "We will all men to walk in that religion, which holy Peter, the apostle, delivered to the Romans, as the faith first enkindled by him, and still continued until this day, doth declare: which religion also it is plain that pope Damasus followeth, and Peter, the bishop of Alexandria, a man of apostolic holiness." Here, the emperor Theodosius commandeth his subjects to follow, as well the faith of Peter, the bishop of Alexandria, as of Damasus, the bishop of Rome.

And yet in the next title following he openeth his own meaning in this wise by other examples more at large: Cod. Theo-Episcopis tradi omnes ecclesias mox jubemus,.....quos con-dos [ton. vi.] lib. i6. stabit uti [leg. sociatos esse] communione Nectarii episcopi tti. 1. [Episcopi tradi.]

Athanas, Apologia 2. [i. 322.] et Epist, ad soli-tariam vitam agentes. [i. 369.] et inter Acta Liberii, c. 6. [Crabb. i. p.

348.]

Euseb. de Vita Conof his place, but for the worthiness of his person, was appointed president in the council of Sardica. And Athanasius speaketh of him in this wise with great admiration: Cuius non fuit ille concilii princeps! "In what council hath not Hosius been the president, or chief!" Ye say: "Pope Sylvester christened the emperor Con-

stantinus, and therefore was his spiritual father." This may pass among other your truths. For your popes, by their omnipotent power, may minister sacraments being dead. It is known, that as long as Sylvester was alive2, Constantinus was never christened. And yet, notwithstant. Orat. 4. standing all this were true, M. Harding, yet your cause c.41. [i. 661.] thereby were little furthered; unless perhaps ye will reason thus: Pope Sylvester christened the emperor: ergo, the pope hath authority to call councils. Howbeit, unless this argument be better digested, your very sophisters of Louvain will hardly allow it. But, indeed, that whole tale, touching the christening of the emperor Constantine, is nothing else but a peevish fable. Constantius, the emperor's own son, utterly denieth, that Sylvester ever baptized Constantinus, his father. Eusebius saith, Constantinus Socrat. [iib. 1. c. 39. ii. r. c. 39. ii. p. 75.] Anno was christened, not in the flourishing state of his age, but ætat, snæ 65. συγκαλέσαs only a little before he died: not in Rome, but at Nicomedia. in the kingdom of Epirus: not in a corner, but in the presence of many bishops: and, as St. Hierom saith, not by pope Sylvester, that then was dead, but by Eusebius. the bishop of Nicomedia. For proof whereof, St. Ambrose saith: Constantino in ultimis constituto, gratia baptismatis omnia peccata dimisit: "The grace of baptism forgave Constantine all his sins, even at the ending of his life 3." Therefore, M. Harding, we must needs say, that either

Ambros, de Obitu Theodosii. [ii. 1209.]

Toùs ἐπι-

σκόπους.

[Euseb. i. 661]

Hieron, in Chron.

Cardinal Pole, seeing the matter to pass so clear, telleth

your tale is untrue, which is not strange; or else Constantine was twice baptized, which is very unlikely.

Cardinal, Poins de Baptismo Constantin. p. 87.

> ² [This is probably correct. Sylvester died A. D. 335. Constantine was christened about A. D. 337.] ³ [S. Ambros. de Obit. Theod.

The Bened. Edd. say it is strange that any one can defend the apocryphal story of Constantine's having been baptized by Sylvester.]

us roundly, in one word, that Eusebius and Constantius were Arian heretics, and, therefore, refuseth the whole story written by Eusebius, touching the christening of Constantine. But, somewhat to soothe you in your tale, let a fable stand for truth, and let us grant you an impossibility, that Constantine was baptized by pope Sylvester, being dead: yet will you needs gather hereof, that, therefore, the emperor is subject to the pope? or, that the pope hath authority to call councils? What will you then say, when the emperor is baptized by some other priest, or bishop, or by a midwife? Shall every of these therefore require to have, and to do the like? Or must we believe, that such a priest, bishop, or midwife, shall have authority to call councils? Indeed, this were a good short way to get supremacy. But it might have pleased you to remember, that the cardinal of Ostia useth always of office to consecrate the pope. Yet, I trow, ye will not therefore place him above the pope. Elizæus anointed king Jehu: yet was he not therefore above the king. Your own doctor saith: Quod Johan de Pahoc argumentum non concludat, patet: quia in veteri lege [p. 134.] sacerdotes qui reges inungebant, indubitanter regibus subdebantur: "It appeareth, that this argument is nothing worth, and concludeth nothing. For in the old law, the priests that anointed the kings were undoubtedly subject to the kings."

To conclude, what right emperors had in summoning of councils, by these few authorities and examples following, it may soon appear. Eusebius saith: Constantinus synodum Euseb. de ecumenicam collegit, et episcopos, ut undique accelerarent, stant. Orat. 3. honorificis literis convocavit: (not the pope, but) "Constantine the emperor gathered a general council, and by honourable writs called the bishops of all countries to repair thither 4." Theodoretus saith: "A great and a holy council Theod. lib. 1. was gathered to Nicæa, by the grace of God, and" (not c.9. [iii.31.] by the pope, but) "by the godly emperor Constantinus 5."

^{4 [}Eusebius : Είθ ωσπερ έπι- οὐκ ἦν θ ἁπλοῦν τὸ ἐπιταγμα.] στρατεύων αὐτῷ Θεοῦ φάλαγγα, σύνοδον οἰκουμενικήν συνεκρότει σπεύδειν άπανταχόθεν τους έπισκόπους γράμμασι τιμητικοίς προκαλούμενος.

⁵ [Theodoret. Epist. Synodica: 'Επειδή της του Θεού χάριτος καί τοῦ θεοφιλεστάτου βασιλέως κ.τ.λ. ή άγία σύνοδος κ.τ.λ.]

Soz. lib. 7. cap. 9. [ii. 289.] Constantinopolitanæ ecclesiæ, et Timothei, &c.: "We command that forthwith the churches be restored to all bishops, of whom it shall appear, that they communicate with Nestorius, the bishop of Constantinople, or with Timotheus, or such as shall have fellowship or agreement in faith with the bishops of Alexandria in Egypt: and with Pelagius, the bishop of Laodicea: and with Diodorus, the bishop of Tarsus in Asia: and with Amphilochius, the bishop of Iconium: and with Optimus, the bishop of Antioch: and with Helladius, the bishop of Cæsarea: and with Otreius, the bishop of Melite: and with Gregorius, the bishop of Nyssa: and with Terennius, the bishop of Scythia: and with Marmarius, the bishop of Martianopolis." Every of these several bishops, M. Harding, by the emperor's judgment, in trial of the catholic faith, had as great authority and weight. as had Damasus, the bishop of Rome.

But pope Cœlestinus, ye say, desired Cyrillus the bishop of Alexandria to represent his person, and to supply his room in the council of Ephesus, that is to say, to have the Dist. 22. Reference in the council. For the first place in all ecclesiastical assemblies was allotted to the bishop of Rome; the second to the bishop of Constantinople; the third to the bishop of Alexandria; the fourth to the bishop of Antioch; the fifth to the bishop of Jerusalem.

This packing of places, therefore, between Colestinus and Cyrillus, was a mystery purposely canvassed, to keep the bishop of Constantinople, whom the pope evermore envied, out of countenance. For by this policy the bishop of Alexandria, that should have had the third place, was handsomely shifted into the first: and the bishop of Constantinople, which, in the bishop of Rome's absence, should have had the first place, was removed down to the second.

Howbeit, what availeth all this, M. Harding, to further your purpose, and to prove that councils were summoned by the pope? Verily, it appeareth not hitherto, that either Colestinus, or Cyrillus, or any other bishop, had any such power or authority to summon councils. This is it, that ye should have proved. As for the first or second place in councils, we moved no question.

Again ye seem to say, "The pope of right was evermore president in all councils." This, if ye know it, is another untruth; if ye know it not, it is an error. For it is plain, that in the first council of Nice pope Julius was not president, but Eustathius, the bishop of Antioch. The pope's Theod. lib. 1. legates, as it is said before, were placed beneath in the 26.1. [iii. 25, fourth room. In the fifth council of Constantinople, Menna¹, 4, cap. 38, [iii. p. 479.] the bishop of the same city, was president, and not the Niceph. lib. pope. In the second Ephesine council, Dioscorus, the [ii. 774.] bishop of Alexandria, was president. In the second council conc. Chal-of Carthage, it seemeth Gennedius [al. Genethlius] was the Actis. [vi. 649. D.] president. Conc. Car-

Nicolaus Cusanus, after he had well debated this matter, thagin. 2. [iii. 691.] saith thus: In conciliis fuit semper præsidentialis Romani Nicol. Cusapontificis authoritas, sine qua universale concilium non fuis-cord. Cathol. lib. 2. cap. 2. set, dummodo saltem interesse voluisset, aut [1. et] potuisset : [p. 712.] "The bishop of Rome had always authority to be president in councils, otherwise the council had not been general: so that the bishop of Rome either would, or could be present at the council." For otherwise he was not president. Therefore, of your part, M. Harding, it was a great untruth to say, The pope of right was evermore president in all councils. Again, Cusanus saith: Imperatore in persona Nicol. Cusaexistente, reperio eum semper præsedisse. In sexta synodo cord. lib. 3. [suppl. tertius] Constantinus (imperator) præsedit in medio 797, 798.] cum decem de majoribus patritiis, et ad lævam ejus vicarii senioris Romæ, &c. "When the emperor was present in person, I find that he was always president. In the sixth council of Constantinople, Constantinus, the emperor, was president, and sat in the midst with ten of his greatest lords: and at his left hand sat the popes legates," &c. This, M. Harding, is very far from your reckoning.

This dignity then passed not by inheritance, or by succession, as the pope now would seem to claim it; but Sozomen. either by choice of the *council*, or by favour of the *prince*. [ii. 108.] Thus Hosius, the bishop of Corduba in Spain, not by right c.15. [iii. 92.]

^{1 [}It appears, that both Eva- which sat A. D. 547, with that of grius and Nicephorus confounded 536, in which Menna presided; see Mansi, tom. viii. 877, and ix. 123.] the council of Constantinople,

Sozom, Hb. 1. Sozomenus saith: (not the pope, but) "The emperor Conc, 16. [ii. 34.] stantine wrote unto all the rulers of the churches, that they should be at Nice by a day: to the bishops of the apostolic sees: to Macarius, the bishop of Jerusalem, and to Julius, the bishop of Rome." In which words this also may be noted, that the pope then was under the emperor's summon, no less than others.

Concil. Constantinop. 1. [iii. 557.]

Athanas, de Synodis Ari-

min, et Se-

In the council of Constantinople the bishops wrote thus unto the emperor: Ex mandato tuæ pietatis Constantinopolim convenimus: "We are come to Constantinople" (not by the pope's authority, but) "by your majesty's commission." Athanasius saith: Ab imperatore præfectisque literæ sequentes in omnem partem missæ sunt, eos qui illuc ituri leuc. [i. pt. 2. p. 716.] essent, convocantes: "These letters or writs following were sent out into all places" (not from the pope, but) " from the emperor and his lieutenants, summoning them that should come unto the council." St. Chrysostom saith:

1, ad Innocent, [iii, 518.]

chrys, Epist. "We went in, and humbly besought" (not the pope, but) "the most Christian prince, to call a council." St. Ambrose, speaking of himself, and of other bishops, being then at the council of Aquilcia, saith thus: Nos convenimus Aquilciam

Ambros, in Concil, Aquil, [il. 788.]

juxta praceptum imperatoris: "We are met together at Aquileia, by the commandment of the emperor," (and not of the pope.)

Hieron, in Epitaphio Paulæ [iv. pt. 2. p. 671.]

St. Hierom saith: Orientis atque occidentis episcopos, ob quasdam ecclesiasticas [1. ecclesiarum] dissensiones, Romam imperiales literæ contraxerunt: "To stay certain ecclesiastical dissensions," (not any the pope's letters of commandment, but) "the emperor's writs caused the bishops, as well of the East as of the West, to draw to Rome."

Of pope Leo we have said before. Being pope, and, as M. Harding imagineth, able to summon the world with a Leo Epist. 9. beck, thus he writeth to the emperor Theodosius: Dignetur sium Imperiatas vestra supplicationi nostræ annuere, ut intra Italiam haberi jubeatis episcopale concilium: "We beseech your godly majesty to grant unto our humble request, that it may please you to command a council of bishops to be holden within Italy."

humbly desireth the emperor to summon a councii.

476.] The pope

Sozomenus saith: "The Arians besought" (not the pope, Sozom. lib. 4. C. 22.

but) "the emperor Constantius, to command a council to Socrat, lib. 2. be holden at Antioch." The same Arians afterward be-Theod. lib. 2. sought" (not the pope, but) "the same emperor Constantius c.15. [ili. 90.] Ruffin. lib. 1. to summon another council at Milan." Again Sozomenus c. 19. [al. lib. saith: "The catholic bishops sent Hypatianus, their ambas-Sozom. lih. 6. sador, to entreat" (not the pope, but) "the emperor, that, to redress certain errors, they might have leave to meet together." Pope Liberius saith: "A council is holden at Inter Acta Milan" (not by my authority, but) "by the commandment of the prince." Pope Leo saith: "The great council Leo ad Anaof Chalcedon was summoned" (not by himself, but) "by 599-] the travail of Martianus the emperor." The emperor Con-socrat. 11b. 2. stantius commanded two several councils to be kept at 37, 39, tom.ii. one time, the one at Seleucia in Isauria, in the East, the other at Ariminum in Italy, in the West. Socrates saith: Socrat. 11b. 5. "Sithence the *emperors* were first christened, the state of [ii. 263. 264.] the church hath hanged of them, and the greatest councils have been, and be kept by their advice."

Thus many ancient councils we are able to shew you summoned by emperors. Now shew you, M. Harding, either that the emperor did all these things by the authority of the pope, or that the emperor was only the pope's summoner, to call to council such and so many as it should like his holiness to command, or that the pope did ever summon any one ancient general council by his own only right, without further commission from the emperor; then will we say, ye have said something. As for all that ye have now said, in good sooth it is less than nothing.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 3.

And when Ruffine, the heretic, had alleged for his authority a council, which, as he thought, should make for him, St. Hierom, his adversary, to confute him, "Tell us, (quod he,) "what emperor commanded [Apol. contr. Rufinum, that council to be called?" The same St. Hierom [15.2. tom. [14.7] to perform the emperor's letters, which gave commandment Paule iv.

[Vol. iv. p. 80.]

to call the bishops of Italy and Gracia to Rome, to a council 6.

M. HARDING.

a Untruth, it may soon appear.

b Read the former an-

swer.

394

Besides that ye a do strangely to call Ruffine an heretic, we proceeding of say that St. Hierom might well demand what emperor sumignorance, as moned that council, which was never summoned. Again, we confess, that some emperors have summoned both Latin and Greek bishops. b But ye prove not, that any did it as supreme head, and as judge in matters of religion, but by the consent of the bishops of Rome, as I have declared before.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Hier, in Ap. cont. Ruffin. [tom. iv. pt. 2. p. 353.] [ib. 355.]

Were you not a stranger in your own books, M. Harding, ye would not think it so strange a matter to hear Ruffinus called an heretic. St. Hierom doubted not so to call him. Thus he saith: Dum mihi inconstantiæ crimen impingit, se hæreticum, &c. Ita vertit Origenem,.....ut qui in Trinitate catholicum legeret, in aliis hæreticum non caveret: "While Ruffinus chargeth me with inconstancy, he proveth himself to be an heretic, &c. Ruffinus hath so translated Origen into Latin, that whose findeth him catholic touching the Trinity, should never suspect him in any thing else to be an heretic." Again he saith unto him: Solos hæreticos non recipimus, quos vos solos recipitis: "Only heretics we receive not into our houses: and yet them only you receive."

In cadem Apologia.

Anastasius, the bishop of Rome, hath thus published his Anas. ad Epi-judgment of the same Ruffinus: Omni suspicione seposita, Ruffinum scito, quod propria mente Origenis dicta in Lati-Hieron. tom.
4. [Mansi iii. num transtulit, ac probavit. Nec dissimilis ab eo est, qui alienis vitiis præstat assensum. Illud tamen scire te cupio, ita haberi a nostris partibus alienum, ut quid agat, ubi sit, nec scire cupiamus: "All suspicion set apart, know thou that Ruffinus hath translated Origen" (the heretic's) "words into Latin, according to his own liking, and well alloweth the same. And whosoever giveth his consent unto another

scop. Hierosol. Apud 944. e.]

⁶ [There is nothing in the Latin to correspond to the words "to a council."]

man's fault, is not unlike unto him. Notwithstanding, thus much I would have thee to know, that Ruffinus is so far from our fellowship, or profession of *faith*, that we desire not to know, neither what he doth, nor where he is."

Vincentius saith, that St. Hierom charged Ruffinus with Vinc. In Spetthe Pelagian heresy. Erasmus saith: Ruffinus non fuit cap. 99.

alienus ab Origenistarum hæresi: "Ruffinus was not clear Epist. Hier. from the Origenians' heresy." Again, speaking of the ad Pfinelp. I. 123.]

same heretics, he saith: Hujus rei dux et signifer Aqui-Erasm. In Vita Hieron. leiensis Ruffinus fuit. Again: Notat, nescio quem: ipsum, [p. B. B. 3.]

opinor, Ruffinum, qui in eam hæresim inductus est a ma-Epist. Hier. gistro quopiam, &c. Again: Monet, ut explosa factione [Hier. Opp. Origenistarum, eos etiam epiciat, qui clam et oblique essent 124.] In Sch. Origenistæ, Ruffinum, et illius amicos: "The captain and Argum. E. standard-bearer of this heresy was Ruffinus of Aquileia," pist. Theoph. &c. Again: "St. Hierom here noteth somebody, I know [Hier. Opp. ed. Erasm. II. not whom, but I think Ruffinus himself, that was brought 315.]

into this heresy by some teacher," &c. Again: "He warneth his friend, that having renounced the Origenian heretics, he would likewise renounce them that privily and in secret were Origenian heretics, meaning Ruffinus and his friends."

Likewise again he saith: Ruffinus gravissima suspicione Erasm. de premebatur, quod esset Origenista. Sub hoc enim titulo [viii.367.] Arianorum hæresis conata est repullulascere: "Ruffinus was grievously suspected to be an Origenian heretic. For under that name the Arian heresy began to revive." Thus, ye see, M. Harding, it was not so great an heresy to say that Ruffinus was an heretic.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 4.

Continually, for the space of five hundred years, the *emperor* alone appointed the *ecclesiastical assemblies*, and called the *councils* of the *bishops* together.

We now, therefore, marvel the more at the unreasonable dealing of the bishop of Rome, who, know-

[Voi. iv. p. 80.] ing what was the emperor's right, when the church was well ordered, knowing also that it is now a common right to all princes, for so much as kings are now fully possessed in the several parts of the whole empire, doth so without consideration assign that office alone to himself, and taketh it sufficient, in summoning a general council, to make that man, that is prince of the whole world, none otherwise partaker thereof, than he would make his own servant.

M. HARDING.

Harding's modesty. dent. For many times the pope's peror's ambassadors sitting in ces.

d No more were they lia papalia. f O folly of the emperor's power were either increased or

his baptism.

......Where you say, The emperor alone celebrated, kept, or a This is M. held councils, for so is your Latin, a it is too impudently faced, without any face, without proof, without truth. Read the an-celebrated or holden b by the pope's legates, the patriarchs and going before bishops, and not by emperors. Albeit, emperors might sit in this, saving them, c but not as judges. And they have ever been called b Untruth, episcopalia concilia, not d imperatoria; "councils of bishops, not plain and eviof emperors." And divers councils, not accounted general, were kept by bishops before any emperor was christened: as those legates were which were kept by St. Peter in Jerusalem, mentioned in the underlings, and inferiors Acts of the Apostles: in the time of Victor the pope, in Pales-Acts xv. unto others. tina, and other places, concerning the keeping of Easter: at c Untruth. Rome, about the time of pope Fabian, against the Novatian heresy: at Antioch, against Paulus Samosatenus, and many others. All which councils were kept, not only without the council, were presence of the emperor's person, but e also without his power or called illus. Presence of the emperor's person, out also without his power of trissimi judi- authority. And yet if he were head of the church, it could not have been done without him.

If you say, he was not then christened, I answer, that Chriscalled concitianity is no part of his imperial power. It is a spiritual power, e So were the whereby he is made the son of God. He may thereby be ruled most part of by a Christian bishop. But, verily, he hath no power given to councils kept him, whereby he may rule bishops. f Baptism maketh a man without either the pre- the child of the church. But fit is imposition of hands, in consence, or the secrating a Christian priest to be a bishop, that giveth him rule power, or au thority of the over others, and not the sacrament of baptism. Therefore emperors were not the holders or keepers of councils the first five follos! As if hundred years. Yea, three hundred were fully expired before the emperor professed openly the Christian faith. So much the less may you marvel, that now the bishop of Rome calleth and keepeth councils chiefly by his own authority. For he sucimpaired by

ceedeth Peter, not Neros. He took his authority of Christ imme- g A substandiately, not of the people of Rome. Be the emperor Christian that good renson. But or not Christian, the bishop of Rome, by h nature of his bishop's what if St. Ambrose say, office, is not only always a Christian man, but also a chief he succeed.

Where you say, the bishop of Rome, in summoning the late a Christian council, did besides good consideration, in that he made a man, man by nathat is prince of the whole world, no otherwise partaker thereof, than he would make his own servant; you forget yourself foully, and seem to reck little what you speak, so you utter your malice. For who is that, whom you call prince of the whole world? What contradiction is this? Said you not in the same sentence before, that kings are now fully possessed in the several parts of the whole empire? How then call you Ferdinand i prince of the whole world? Well, this is but one of the com- i It is written mon ornaments of your rhetoric. Sir, the emperor Ferdinand, Gloss, Jura of famous memory, was not so abused of Pius the Fourth, that communia dicunt, quod blessed man, bishop of Rome in these our days. Ye rather are imperator est they, who abuse the emperor's majesty. For ye depose him dominus mundi. clean from his seat: ye find fault that ever Leo the Third made an emperor in the west. Ye complain openly, that the imperial majesty had not continued still at Constantinople; belike to the intent the Turk might now have had it, who is known to suffer in his dominions all faiths and religions: for which cause it may seem ye favour him. As for pope Pius that now is, he deferred the old privilege of honour unto the emperor Ferdinand, without the old burden. For whereas in old times k councils were

Lib. 8. cap. 2. holden by authority of the pope, k as Socrates witnesseth, yet k Untruths, the emperor bare the charge of calling the bishops together. for neither But now the pope himself bare a great part of that burden, and was it so, nor does Socracommunicated his purpose fully with the emperor.....

tes record it

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Whether it were the emperor alone that appointed ecclesiastical councils, it may appear by that we have already said. The pope alone I assure you it was not. Nav. the emperor, as it is said and proved before, oftentimes held such assemblies, when and where himself listed, whether the pope would or no. The pope's duty was only to appear amongst other bishops when he was called.

I grant such councils had their name of bishops, and were called concilia episcopalia, for that matters there were specially ordered by the discretion and judgment of the bishops. But will you therefore conclude, that the same councils pertained nothing to the prince? Certainly

the emperor Constantinus commanded all the bishops to appear before him, and to yield him a reckoning of their determinations in the council. This was the tenour of his Sozom. Bib. 2. Writ: Quotquot synodum Tyri habitam complexistis, sine Socrat. Bib. 1. mora ad pietatis nostræ castra properetis: ac re ipsa, quam sincere ac recte judicaveritis, ostendatis: idque coram me, quem sincerum esse Dei ministrum ne vos quidem ipsi negabitis: "As many of you as have kept the council at Tyrus, repair to our camp without delay, and shew me in deed how sincerely and rightly ye have proceeded: and that even before me, whom you yourselves cannot deny to

be the sincere servant of God."

Tripart. Hist. lib. 2. cap. 2.

Athanasius was the greatest travailer in the council of Nice against the Arians: yet was then no bishop, but only a deacon. Your own ceremoniary of Rome telleth you, that abbots have right and authority to determine and subscribe in council, as well as bishops: and yet were they never called the councils of abbots, but only of bishops. Therefore, M. Harding, this guess is over simple, and serveth you not. But hereof we have sufficiently said before.

"Christianity" (ye say) "is no part of the imperial power." Ye might likewise have said, Christianity is no part of the papal power. Verily, it cannot well appear, that Peter and Paul had ever any such Christianity. The emperor's right is neither increased nor abated by his baptism. Whether he be faithful or unfaithful, he is the minister of God, and beareth the sword to punish sin.

Ye say: "The pope succeedeth Peter, and not Nero: therefore, he calleth and keepeth councils chiefly by his own authority." Of such proper arguments, M. Harding, we marvel not much, though ye make no store. Howbeit, some men have thought ye do St. Peter great wrong, appointing him such children to be his heirs. For many of them in all their dealings have resembled Nero more than Peter. St. Bernard saith thus unto pope Eugenius: In his sider. Ibb. 4.

Bern. de Con- successisti, non Petro, sed Constantino: "In these things sider. Ibb. 4.

Leap. 3. vol. i. ye have succeeded, not Peter the apostle, but the emperor P. 437. F.]

Constantine." Pope Adrian the Fourth was wont to say,

Succedimus, non Petro in docendo, sed Romulo in parri-Citatur ab Illyric. inter cidio: "We succeed not Peter in teaching, but Romulus Testes verint killing our brethren."

Erasmus saith: Pontifices nunc sunt vicarii [vicem occu-Erasm. in Adagio. Sl. pant] Julii Cæsaris, Alexandri Magni, Cræsi, Xerxis: (non lenl Alcibia. Glis. [Opp. ii. Christi,) non Petri: "The popes now are the vicars of 689.]

Julius Cæsar, of Alexander the Great, of Cræsus, and of Xerxes: not of Christ, nor of Peter." It is written in a sermon, bearing the name of St. Ambrose: Qui debuerint Ambros. Clesse vicarii apostolorum, facti sunt socii Judæ [et præambuli lyric. inter Antichristi]: "They that should have been the apostles' [art. 125.] vicars, are now become Judas' fellows." Robertus Gallus, that lived wellnear three hundred years past, imagineth Christ thus to say of the pope: Quis posuit idolum hoc in Rob. Gal. sede mea, ut imperaret gregi meo? "Who set this idol in my room, and made him ruler over my flock?" And being so vile in his own house, how can he be glorious in the house of God?

"Yet" (you say) "the pope is always, not only a Christian man, but also a chief priest," (not by faith, but) "by the nature of his office." Even so your Gloss telleth you: Papa sanctitatem recipit a cathedra: "The pope receiveth Dist. 19. Sic his holiness of his chair;" that is to say, of the nature of Glossa. his office. Cardinal Cusanus saith: Veritas adhæret cathe-Nicol, Cusan. dræ, &c. Veritas per Christum cathedræ alligata est,..... epist. 2. non personis. Ait enim, super cathedram Mosi sederunt (\$36.] scribæ et Pharisæi: "The truth cleaveth fast to the pope's chair, &c. Christ hath nailed his truth to the pope's chair, and not to his person. For he saith, 'The scribes and Pharisees are placed in Moses' chair." Another saith: Tametsi papa non sit bonus, tamen semper præsumitur esse Dist.40. Non bonus......In papa si desint bona acquisita per meritum, sa. sufficient que a loci prædecessore præstantur: "Notwith-Part hereof standing the pope be not good, yet he is ever presumed touched beto be good. If the pope lack good virtues of his own, the virtues of Peter his predecessor are sufficient."

Addition.

Authoritate Ecclesiæ et Concilii, supra et contra Scripturam?. Now, M. Jewel, if you be able to shew us any book of Cusanus so intituled, either in print, or in authentic written hand, I will say, that you will prove yourself a truer man than ever I took you to be, &c." The answer. This matter, M. Harding, you have blazed out with such eloquence as is most meet for a man of your sobriety. Indeed, at what time I wrote mine answer, I had not that book of Cusanus, nor could not get it by any means, but was fain therein to use the report and credit of Matthias Flacius Illyricus, whom I think you will not deny to be a man of good reading. His words hereof are these: Nicolai Cusani sententia de authoritate ecclesiæ et concilii, supra et contra scripturas. Hereupon you cry out in the mildness of your spirit: "A shameless man-a false harlot-an impudent liargrown to such impudency—a slanderer—a bragger—a boaster of great reading," &c. O, M. Harding, it were much fitter for a wise man to be sober, than thus to fare. But you pass along boldly and constantly, as your manner is.

M. Harding,

M. Harding. "Well, say you, perchance you will say, though the title be altered, yet the words out of the same epistle be truly recited, wherein consisteth the chief effect and principal purpose. If you so say, you will be proved no less a liar, and false reporter herein, than you have been in the rest. And, for example herein, I will bring even the very first place that you have alleged out of him. You tell us, pag. 55, that thus he saith: Sequentur scripturæ ecclesiam, et non e converso: 'The scriptures of God follow the church: but contrariwise, the church followeth not the scriptures.' You have here clipped the author's sentence, and quite altered the sense. His words are these: Ecclesia igitur, sicut recipit scripturam, ita et interpretatur: sequuntur scripturæ ecclesiam, quæ prior est, et propter quam scripturæ, et non e converso: "The church, as it receiveth the scripture, so doth it expound the same.

⁷ [These words were printed in The margin of 1570 has the correct the margin of the ed. of 1567. reference to Cusan. ad Bohemos.]

The scriptures, therefore, do follow the church, which is the former, and for the which the scripture is ordained. and not contrariwise." Cusanus' words, in their right form, do both stand well, and have a good meaning. But your false changing of them causeth them to import an intolerable derogation of the scriptures, without any colour of truth. For as it is most true, that the church was before the scriptures, that is to say, the written word of God, and that the scriptures were ordained and appointed for the church; so it is very false, that the scriptures do follow the church, and the church not the scriptures. For why hath the church received the scriptures, but to follow them, and to put them in execution, both in our inward belief, and in our outward actions? Do you not blush, M. Jewel, thus wilfully to pervert that (with your false juggling, and conveying away of those words, Quæ prior est, et propter quam scripturæ), which before had a good right sense? You thought, belike, you should never hear hereof again, nor be called to any reckoning; or else ve would have had more regard to your good name and honesty, &c. You thought you would pass Illyricus an ace in falsehood, although he be his craft's master therein." The answer. A fierce orator you are, and a favourable interpreter, M. Harding. Cardinal Cusanus must needs be defended, and stayed upright, in respect of his dignity; and whatsoever he hath written, it must have a right good catholic meaning. But poor M. Jewel must blush, and be ashamed of his juggling. But, I pray you, M. Harding, are not these Cusanus your doctor's own words? Doth he not say plainly, Sequentur scripturæ ecclesiam, et non e converso? "The scriptures follow the church; but, contrariwise, the church followeth not the scriptures." You say, there be other words between, Quæ prior est, et propter quam scripturæ: that is to say, "The church was before the scriptures, and the scriptures are to serve the church." Is this the matter, M. Harding, that must make M. Jewel to change his colour? Or are these words sufficient to put Cusanus from his meaning? First he saith, "The scriptures follow the church." Doth he afterward by

402

these words recant the same, and tell us, that the *church* followeth the scriptures?

You will say, Cusanus saith not, the scriptures follow the church in authority, but only in time: for he saith, "The church was before the scriptures." So might he have said, Moses was before Christ: or, the law was before the gospel: or, the synagogue was before the church. But what had this been to his purpose? Awake a little, M. Harding, and remember yourself. Was this the question, that lay between cardinal Cusanus and the Bohemians, Whether the church or the scriptures were former in time? Or, if it were not the question, would he speak so much, and so vainly, as you oftentimes do, besides his purpose? Leave, leave this trifling, M. Harding: it will not help you. Learn rather to understand your doctor's meaning by himself.

The matter, as you know, was this: The Bohemians required the holy communion in both kinds, and therein alleged the warrant of the scriptures. For Christ, said they, ordained and ministered the communion in both kinds. Cusanus defended the abuse and disorder of the communion in one kind only, and therein alleged the warrant of the church of Rome. Hereof this issue grew between them, whether they ought in cases of religion to follow the church of Rome, or rather the scriptures. To this Cusanus saith, "The church of Rome is above the scriptures." This he layeth as a foundation of the whole. And, therefore, of the scriptures he speaketh full meanly, and very coldly, or rather disdainfully, as it shall appear, and alloweth all power and authority to the church of Rome. These things considered, I beseech you, to what purpose had it been for Cusanus to say, the church was before the scriptures? For the question was not, whether the church or the scripture were the elder, but, whether of these two, in trial of the truth, we ought rather to follow.

Now concerning the authority of the church, Cusanus saith thus: Veritas adhæret cathedræ Petri:.....Universa catholica ecclesia ad Petri cathedram conglobata, a Christo

Cusan. ad Bohæm. epist. 2. [p. 835.]

nunquam recedet:......Hæc est una, quæ tenet et possidet omnem sponsi sui Domini potestatem:——Veritas cathedræ [p. 836.] per Christum alligata est, non personis: extra Romanam sanctam catholicam ecclesiam non est salus: — Quam firma Cusan. Exclest ædificatio ecclesiæ! Quia nemo decipi potest, etiam per 2. Non dicemalum præsidentem.—Si dixeris, Domine obedivi tibi in Excitat.lib.o., præposito, hoc tibi sufficiet ad salutem: etiamsi præpositus [p. 547.] de oneribus humeris tuis impositis, præceptis, et solutionibus rationem sit Deo redditurus. Tu enim per obedientiam, quam facis præposito, quem ecclesia tolerat, decipi nequis, etiamsi præceperit alia quam debuit. Quare sententia pastoris ligat te pro tua salute, propter bonum obedientiæ, etiamsi injusta fuerit. Nam ad te non attinet cognoscere, quod sententia sit injusta, nec conceditur tibi, ut non obedias, si tibi injusta videatur. Nulla enim esset obedientia, si in tuo arbitrio esset de sententia pastoris judicare......Præsumit enim ecclesia de illa sententia: cui si tu obedieris, magna erit merces tua. Obedientia igitur irrationalis est consummata obedientia, et perfectissima: scilicet, quando obeditur sine inquisitione rationis, sicut jumentum obedit Domino suo, &c.: "The truth cleaveth fast to Peter's chair: the whole universal catholic church, rolled up to Peter's chair, shall never depart from Christ: this church" (of Rome) " is that only church that holdeth and possesseth all the power of the Lord, her spouse: Christ hath tied his truth to the chair, not to the persons of the bishops: without the holy catholic church of Rome there is no salvation: how strong is the building of the church! for no man can be deceived, no, not by No man can an evil bishop: if thou say unto God, 'O Lord, I have obeyed thee in my bishop,' this shall suffice thee unto sal-Unto salvavation: notwithstanding, the bishop, of his part, shall yield an account unto God for the burdens that he hath laid upon thy shoulders, for his commandments, and for thy payments. For thou canst not be deceived by thy obedience that thou yieldest to thy bishop, whom the church suffereth, although he command thee other things than he ought to do. Therefore, the bishop's sentence, although it be unjust, bindeth thee for thy salvation, because of the goodness of obedience. For it behoveth not thee to know

that his sentence is unjust: nor is it lawful for thee to disobey it, although thou take it to be unjust. For it were no obedience at all, if it were in thy power to judge of the sentence of thy bishop. For the church presumeth his sentence to be good: which sentence if thou obey, thy reward shall be great. Obedience, therefore, without reawithout reason, is a full and most perfect obedience: that is, when thou obeyest without requiring of reason, as a horse is obedient to his master." With such colours Cusanus adorneth and blazeth the majesty of the church of Rome, and such obedience and bondage he requireth to be yielded unto the same. So must we be obedient unto the pope, as a horse is obedient unto his master.

Obedience on: As a horse is obedient to his master.

Nic. Cusan. ad Bohæmos epist. 2. Pag. 832.

Pag. 833.

in authority before the other. Thus, therefore, he saith to the Bohemians: Dicitis pracepto Christi obediendum esse primo loco, deinde ecclesia: et si aliud praceperit ecclesia, quam Christus, non ecclesia, sed Christo obediendum esse. Certe in hoc est omnium præsumptionum initium, quando judicant particulares suum sensum in divinis præceptis conformiorem, quam universa ecclesia.——(Intellige,) scripturas esse ad tempus adaptatas et varie intellectas, ita ut uno tem-

Now let us consider, in what regard he hath the scriptures of God, so shall we see, how far he placeth the one

pore secundum currentem universalem ritum exponantur: mu-Ep. 7. p. 857, tato ritu, iterum sententia mutetur.——Non mirum, si praxis ecclesiæ uno tempore interpretetur scripturam uno modo, et alio tempore alio modo. Nam intellectus currit cum praxi. Intellectus enim qui cum praxi concurrit, est spiritus vivificaus.

Ep. 2. p. 833. — Fatuum est ergo argumentum, velle universalem ecclesiæ ritum ex scripturis prædecessorum arguere. Legitur enim,

apostolos non tradidisse fidem per scripturas, &c.—Hæc est Pag. 834. omnium sane intelligentium sententia, qui scripturarum authoritatem, aut intellectum in ecclesia authoritate [l. approbatione] fundant, qua unam accipit, et alteram abjicit: et non e converso, ecclesiæ firmamentum in scripturarum authoritate locant.....Dicetis forsitan, Quomodo mutabuntur pracepta Christi authoritate ecclesia, ut tunc sint obligatoria, quando ecclesiæ placuerit? Dico, nulla esse Christi præcepta, nisi Ep. 3. p. 838. quæ per ecclesiam pro talibus accepta sint. — Mutato judicio ecclesiæ, mutatum est et Dei judicium: "You say, we must first obey Christ's commandment, and afterward the church, First, Christ, And if the church command us to do otherwise than Christ commandeth, we must then obey Christ, and not the church. Verily, herein standeth the beginning of all presumption, when particular men think their own judg-Presumption. ment to be more agreeable to God's commandments than the judgment of the universal church. Understand thou, that the scriptures are appointed to serve the time, and scriptures have divers understandings: so that at one time they may be serve times. expounded after the universal, common, and ordinary custom: and that, the same custom being changed, the meaning of the scriptures may likewise be changed. No marvel, scriptures though the practice of the church at one time do expound changed. the scriptures after one sort, and at another time after another sort, for the understanding of the scriptures run-scriptures neth with the practice of the church. For the understand-tice. ing that runneth with the practice is the quickening spirit. It is a foolish enterprise, to go about to reprove the uni- A foolish versal order of the church by the scriptures of our ancestors. For we read, that the apostles delivered not the faith Faith not by by the scriptures. This is the judgment of all that be scriptures. wise, that build and found the authority and understanding Church not of the scriptures in the authority of the church, which re-the scripceiveth one scripture, and refuseth another: but, contrariwise, they build not the stay of the church in the authority of the scriptures. Perhaps you will say, How shall Christ's Christ's commandments be changed by the authority of the church, ment withthat they shall bind us, when the church shall think it church, is good! I tell thee, There is nothing to be taken for Christ's mandment, commandment, unless it be so allowed of the church. When the church hath once changed her judgment, God's judgment is likewise changed."

Hereby, M. Harding, may you know cardinal Cusanus' judgment, touching the scriptures of Almighty God. "The scriptures," saith he, "follow the practice of the church," not only in time, as you say, but also in authority and in credit. As for your commentaries, they are too simple,

and over partial, and a great way beside the text. Now judge you, indifferently, M. Harding, whether Cusanus say not, as I have alleged him: Sequentur scripturæ ecclesiam, et non e converso: "The scriptures follow the church, but, contrariwise, the church followeth not the scriptures." To conclude, hereby may you judge of the title of these epistles, wherewith you find yourself so much encumbered: De authoritate ecclesiæ, supra et contra scripturas. Certainly it is manifest by his plain words, that he placeth the authority of the church, not only above, but also against the authority of the scriptures.

Platina in Benedict, iv.

Alphonsus advers, hæres, lib. 1. cap. 9. [p. 55.]

Matt. iii.

Chrys. in Opere imperf. hom. 43. [vi. app. 183.] Dist. 41. Muiti.

Greg. Nazianzen, in sanctum Lavacrum, [i. 711.]

There were never so many heretics in any one see, as have been in the see of Rome, as I have already sufficiently and fully proved. And yet ye say, " The pope cannot err." There were never so notorious examples, or, as Platina calleth them, Monsters of filthy life: "yet" (ye say) "they are all holy fathers, and hold their Christianity by nature of office." Howbeit, your doctor, Alphonsus, saith: Quamvis credere teneamur ex fide, verum Petri successorem esse supremum pastorem totius ecclesiæ, non tamen tenemur eadem fide credere, Leonem, aut Clementem esse verum Petri successorem: "Although we be bound to believe, that the true successor of Peter is the highest pastor of all the church, yet are we not bound with like faith to believe, that pope Leo and pope Clement are the true successors John the Baptist said rightly unto the Pharisees that likewise made vaunts of their succession: "Never say Abraham is your father. For God is able even of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham." Chrysostom saith: Non locus sanctificat hominem, sed homo locum: ---- Nec cathedra facit sacerdotem, sed sacerdos cathedram: "The place sanctifieth not the man, but the man sanctifieth the place. Neither doth the chair make the priest, but the priest maketh the chair."

Nazianzene saith: Non locorum est gratia, sed Spiritus: "The grace of God goeth not by place, but by the Holy Ghost."

Chrysostom saith: Omnis Christianus qui suscipit ver-

bum Petri, fit thronus Petri, et Petrus sedet in eo: " Every Chrysost, in bum Petri, fit thronus Petri, et Petrus seaet in eo. Every Christian man that receiveth the word of Peter, is made 33. [in oper. impert. vi. Peter's chair, and St. Peter resteth in him." app. 143. A.]

But here have you found out a foul contradiction in our words. "Who is he" (say you) "whom ye call the prince of the world?" Not the pope, M. Harding, lest ye should happily [haply] be deceived: notwithstanding your fellows have so often told us, Papa totius orbis obtinet principatum: In Sexto lib. "The pope hath the princehood of all the world."

cap. Unico.

[in glossa o.] The emperor's majesty we find oftentimes entitled by this name: but your pope's holiness so entitled we find never: unless it be some certain late decrees and glosses of his own. Albeit, you of late have much abated the emperor's honour, and have made him only the pope's man. For thus ye say: Imperator (Occidentis) est procurator, sive Dist. 98.

defensor Romanæ ecclesiæ: "The emperor (of the West) Imperator: in Glossa. is the proctor or steward of the church of Rome."

Yet Chrysostom saith: "Imperator est summitas et caput Chrysost, ad omnium super terram hominum; "The emperor is the homil. 2. top and head of all men upon the earth." In the council [ii. 23.] of Chalcedon, the emperor is called, Dominus universi Concil. Chal. mundi: "The lord of the whole worlds." nomine. [vi.

Perhaps ye will say, The state of the empire is now 584.1 impoverished: and, therefore, the emperor hath lost his title. Yet your own doctors and glossers could have told you, Jura communia dicunt, quod imperator est dominus Extra [l. Exmundi: notwithstanding the decay of the empire, "The trav. comm.] common laws say, that the emperor is the lord of the Unam sancworld." Robert Holcot, speaking of the emperor of Ger-sa. [p. 194.] many, saith thus: "Hic est rex regum, cui omnes subditæ Saplen. Lect. sunt nationes et populi, &c.: "The emperor is the king of p. 660.] kings, unto whom all nations and countries be in subjection."

The Romans of late years wrote thus unto the emperor Conradus: Excellentissimo et præclarissimo urbis et orbis Otho Frisintotius domino, &c.: "Unto the most excellent and most gensis, fde der. i, llb. 1. cap. 28.]

^{8 [}The same title is given to the emperors by Paschasius, the pope's legate, himself. Mansi vii. p. 425.]

noble emperor, the lord both of the city of Rome, and also of all the whole world." Therefore, M. Harding, to move this vain quarrel without some cause, it was great folly.

"Councils" (ye say) "in old times were holden by authority of the pope." For proof whereof ye allege Socrates, in the eighth book, and the second chapter: but word or sentence ye allege none. Howbeit, it was a great oversight to allege the eighth book of Socrates, whereas Socrates himself never wrote but seven, and so far to overleap your author. Notwithstanding, this small error may well be dissembled amongst so many. Howbeit, touching the thing itself, ye may as easily find in the eighth book of Socrates, that never was written, as elsewhere. For, indeed, amongst all that ever he wrote, this thing certainly, that you allege, he wrote never.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 12. Divis. 5.

And although the modesty and mildness of the gvol. iv. p. emperor Ferdinando be so great, that he can bear this wrong, because peradventure he understandeth not well the pope's packing, yet ought not the pope of his holiness to offer him that wrong, nor to claim another man's right as his own.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 13. Divis. 1.

But hereto some will reply, The emperor, indeed, [Vol. iv. p. called councils at that time ye speak of, because the bishop of Rome was not yet grown so great as he is now, but yet the emperor did not then sit together with the bishops in council, nor bear any stroke with his authority in their consultations. I answer, Nay, that it is not so. For, as witnesseth Theodoret, the emperor Constantine sat not only together with them in the council of Nice, but gave also advice to the bishops, how it was best to try out the matter by the apostles' and prophets' writings, as appeareth

by these his own words: "In disputation" (saith he) [Theodoret. lib. 1. c. 7. of matters of divinity, we have set before us to fol-tom, lib. 26.] low the doctrine of the Holy Ghost. For the evangelists' and the apostles' works, and the prophets' sayings, shew us sufficiently, what opinion we ought to have of the will of God."

M. HARDING.

For the sitting of emperors in councils, you treat a common place not necessary. No man ever denied, but emperors may sit in them: we acknowledge two sorts of sitting: one for the assessors, another for the judge. a No emperor ever sat as a a Untruth. judge in council. But many, both emperors in person, and their Cusanus saith: Invelieutenants for them, have sitten, as being ready to assist and nio imperadefend that, which the bishops had judged and decreed.

......What manner a seat great Constantine had in the first fecisse. Read the answer. council at Nice, Eusebius in his Life, and Theodoritus doth de-Theod. lib. 1. clare. After that all the bishops were set in their seats, to the number of 318, in came the emperor last with a small company. b A low little chair being set for him in the middest, he would b Untruth, not sit down before the bishops had reverently signified so much this low little

unto him; and, as Theodoritus writeth, not before he had de-chair was all sired the bishops to permit him so to do. Now think you, that gold, and was the supreme head of the church should have come in last, and set in the highest place have sitten d beneath his subjects, and have staid to sit until they of the council.

had as it were given him leave?

stand still.

Neither consulted he with the bishops, but required them to For the consult of the matters they came for, as Theodorite witnesseth. prince never cometh into Neither spake he there so generally as you report, nor framed the parliahis tale in that sort, as you fain, e universally of the will of God, before the e but of the Godhead, saying, that the books of the Gospels, and lords be set. of the apostles, and the oracles of the prophets, do plainly teach d Untruth. us what we ought to think of the Godhead, περὶ τοῦ Θείου. For nus saith: the controversy, about which the Arians made so much ado, was ille maximus, touching the equality of Godhead in Christ, and his consubstan-etalios omnes tiality with God the Father. And by those words and other, e Untruth, which there he uttered, he took not upon him to define or judge, evident. For Cassiodorus but only to exhort them to agree together in one faith. For expoundeth among those bishops certain there were that favoured the heresy de divina lege of Arius. Such examples you bring for defence of your part, as sapere debea. make much against you. Not that you delight in making a rod mus. for yourself, but because you have no better: and somewhat must you needs say, lest the stage you play your part on should

In Vita Constant, lib. 3. [i. 582.] cap. 7. [iii.

ἐπιτρέψαι τοῦτο τοὺς ἐπισκόπους αὶτήσας.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Emperors" (ye say) "sat in councils, as assessors only, but not as judges." That is to say, they sat by the bishops, and held their peace, and told the clock, and De Præscrip- said nothing. Yet your doctors say: Assessor episcopi non Assessorem. potest esse laicus: "The assessor of a bishop may not be

tis Statut. §. Geminianus.

a layman." But, touching the matter itself, Eusebius, that was always near about the emperor Constantine, and wrote

Const. [Orat. 524.]

Euseb.inVita his life, and was present at the council, saith thus: Con-1. cap. 44. i. stantinus, quasi communis quidam episcopus a Deo constitutus, ministrorum Dei synodos convocavit: nec dedignatus est adesse, et considere in medio illorum, consorsque fieri ipsorum, &c.: "Constantine, as if he had been a common bishop appointed by God, called together councils of God's ministers: and disdained not himself to sit in the midst amongst them, and to be partaker of their doings." Again, Orat. iii. cap. Constantinus himself saith thus: Ego intereram concilio, tanquam unus ex vobis: "I was present at the council

16. [i. 586.] αὐτὸς δὲ καθάπερ εἶς έξ ὑμῶν ἐτύγχανον συμπαρών. Euseb. eodem loco. [i. 579.]

amongst you, as one of you." Again Eusebius saith: Unus et unicus Deus instituit Constantinum ministrum suum, et doctorem pietatis omnibus terris: "The one and only God hath appointed Constantine to be his minister, and the doctor of true godliness unto all nations." And Theod. lib. 1. Theodoretus saith: Laudatissimus rex apostolicas curas suscipiebat animo suo: at pontifices, non solum non ædificare ecclesiam, sed etiam illius fundamenta labefactare conabantur: "The good emperor had apostolic cares in

> his heart: but the bishops did not only not build up the church of God, but also overthrew the foundations of the same." M. Harding saith: "The bishops did all, and the emperor did nothing." But Theodoretus saith: "The em-

cap. 24. [al. cap. 25. iii. 58.]

> peror built up that the bishops had thrown down." And again Eusebius saith: Constantinus erat vocalissimus Dei præco, (et quasi servator ac medicus animarum:) "Constantine, the emperor, was a most clear preacher of God, and, as it were, the saviour and physician of souls 9."

[Euseb, in Vita Const. Orat. i. cap. 4. tom.i.500.]

> ⁹ [The references here in the confused manner. The words in edit. of 1600 are printed in a very parenthesis have not been found;

In this sort Nicephorus writeth unto the emperor Emma-Nicephorus until 10 Palæologus: Tu es dux professionis fidei nostræ, ad Emmanu-elem. Syc.: "Your majesty is the captain of the profession of our [i. p. 14-] faith: your majesty hath restored the catholic and universal church. Your majesty hath reformed the temple of God from merchants, and exchangers of the heavenly doctrine, and from heretics, by the word of truth."

Ye say, "When the bishops were set, in came the emperor last, with a small company." Whereof ye would have us to gather, that he came only as some inferior person, and not as a judge. Howbeit, Theodoretus saith:

Constantinus jussit episcopos ingredi: "Constantine com-Theod. 11b. t. (?. [iii. 26.] manded the bishops to go in."

And Eusebius saith: Sedit tota synodus reverenter, ut ξαετρέψε.

par fuit, cum silentio expectans adventum principis: "The Vita Const.
Orat. 3. cap.

whole council sat in reverent and comely order, quietly 10. [1. 582.]

and in silence, looking for the prince's coming."

Σὸν κόσμφ

This is no good proof, M. Harding, that the emperor in η πῶσα καthe council was inferior to the bishops. Nay, the bishops θῆστο σύνοwere commanded to take their places, to sit in silence, and
to wait for the emperor's coming, as it becometh subjects
to wait for their prince. Again he saith: Signo, quo ad\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cont} & \text{co

"But the emperor" (ye say) "sat upon a little low stool, $\sum_{\mu \mid \kappa \rho o \bar{\nu}} \tau_{i-}$ and therein shewed himself inferior to the bishops." Yea, ν_{os} ad κ_{os} M. Harding, but Eusebius saith, that the same little low λ_{os} $\lambda_{p\nu\sigmao\bar{\nu}}$ stool was made of whole beaten gold: and therein, I trow, ν_{oo} as low as ye place him, he was somewhat above the bishops.

but the words, οἶόν τινα μέγιστον φωστῆρα καὶ κήρυκα μεγαλοφωνότατον τῆς ἀπλανοῦς θεοσεβείας, occur in Constantini Vita Orat. 1. cap. 4.]

¹⁰ [The emperor to whom Nicephorus dedicated his history, was Andronicus Senior Palæologus.]

Ye say, "He sat alow, and in the midst of the bishops." And hereof ye conclude, he was their inferior. So Julius Cæsar, notwithstanding he were the emperor of Rome, yet when he came into the college of poets, he was well contented to be placed in the lowest room: and yet was he not therefore their inferior.

Euseb, de Vita Const. 10. [i. 582.] Προελθών 1. c. 19. ii. ก็บ obros, λους ύπερφέρων.

But touching the emperor Constantine's place in the Orat. 3. cap. council, Eusebius saith thus: Accessit ad summum gradum ordinum: "He went up to the highest rising of all the επιτην πρω-την τῶν τα- benches." Sozomenus saith: Imperator pervenit ad caput γμάτων άρ- concilii, et sedit in throno quodam, quem ipse sibi fecerat. [Sozom, lib. Erat autem thronus ille maximus, et alios omnes superans 11: " The emperor went up to the head or highest Μέγιστος δè place of the council, and sat down in a throne of estate, καὶ τοὺς ἄλ- that he had prepared for himself. But this throne was very great, and far passed all the rest." In the mean season, the pope's legate sat, as it is said before, neither in the first place, nor in the second, nor in the third, but in the fourth. Hereby it seemeth to appear plainly, that Constantinus, the emperor, had his place in the council above all the bishops.

Notwithstanding, sithence that time the pope hath determined, it must now be otherwise. His order is this, as Ceremon. lib. it hath been alleged before: Sedes imperatoris parabitur, 2. sect. 14. c. 2. [fol. 140.] &c.: "The emperor's seat shall be prepared next unto the pope's seat, and shall have two steps joined unto the same: but neither so broad nor so long as be the pope's. It shall be apparelled with cloth of gold: but canopy over the head it shall have none......Howbeit, this thing is specially to be marked, that the place, whereupon the emperor sitteth.

> 11 [... ἐπὶ θρόνου τινὸς ἐκάθισεν ωσπερ αὐτῷ κατεσκεύαστο, καὶ ή σύνοδος καθησθαι έκελεύσθη. Παρεσκεύαστο γάρ έκατέρωθεν βάθρα πολλά, παρεκτεινόμενα τοις τοίχοις τοῦ βασιλείου οἴκου. μέγιστος δὲ ἦν ούτος, καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ὑπερφέρων. The words which bishop Jewel supposed to allude to the throne, seem rather to describe the palace or church. This is probable, not

only from the context, but from the fact, that Eusebius, speaking of the same locality, and of the arrange-ments for the council, uses very similar expressions: Ἐν αὐτῷ δὴ τῷ μεσαιτάτῳ οἴκῳ τῶν βασιλείων, ος δή και υπερφέρειν έδόκει μεγέθει τοὺς πάντας βάθρων τ' ἐν τάξει πλειόνων ἐφ' ἐκατέραις τοῦ οἰκου πλευραίς διατεθέντων κ. τ. λ. De Vita Constantini, lib. iii. cap. 10.]

may be no higher than the place where the pope setteth Et advertenhis feet." Thus we see, the emperor is allowed to sit at locus ubi sedet imperathe pope's footstool: but in any case to mount no higher. "The emperor" (ye say) "stood still," (no doubt, with dot pendles.

cap in hand,) "and durst not sit down without leave:" and thereby testified himself to be inferior to the bishops. These cold conclusions, M. Harding, will hardly serve you. For Trajanus, being the emperor of Rome, was contented himself to stand afoot, and, for honour's sake, commanded the consuls to sit down: yet was he not therefore inferior in dignity to the consuls.

Helena, the empress, apparelled herself like a servant, Ruffin. lib. 1. and ministered unto the holy virgins, and gave them water cap. 8.] to their hands, as if indeed she had been their servant: yet was she not therefore inferior unto the virgins.

The same emperor Constantine, at the time of the eccle-Euseb. in siastical sermons, stood upright, and would not sit, for the Orat. 4. c. 33. [1. 644.] reverence that he bare to the word of God. Yet was he not inferior to the preacher. This was that good emperor's modesty and humility, M. Harding, but not his duty. It were wisdom for princes to take heed, they commit not overmuch to your hands: for whatsoever they once yield of mere courtesy, straightway ve claim it as your own.

Howbeit, touching the emperor's sitting in the council, it was far otherwise than you report it. Sozomenus saith: Imperator resedit in throno, qui illi paratus fuerat: et Sozom. lib. 1, synodus jussa est sedere: "The emperor sat down in his Kal $\hat{\eta}$ ourchair of estate provided for him: and" (then) "the council οδος καθήσwas commanded to sit down." Hereby it appeareth, the σθη. emperor needed not the bishops' leave, but rather gave leave to the bishops.

No doubt, that godly and mild prince, being in that reverend assembly, bare himself with much reverence. Euseb. in Vita Const. And therefore Eusebius saith, he sat not down, before the Onti, 3, c, 10, [1, 582.]
"bishops had beckoned unto him." But he added withal: 🐧 τους έπιστους τους καιστούς τους καιστούς Post imperatorem, idem fecerunt omnes: (not before, but) σκόπους " after that the emperor was set, they sat down all toge- ἐπινεῦσαι, εκάθιζει. ther." Now, M. Harding, if he that sat first in the council Tauto & Ewere the head of the church, as you seem to say, then may πραττον πάντες μετὰ βασιλέα.

I reason thus: The pope or his legate in that council sat not first: ergo, the pope, then, was not head of the church.

Again, the emperor in that council sat first: ergo, by your own conclusion, the emperor was the head of the church. Certainly, the pope himself saith plainly, the emperor Constantine was the president or ruler of the Nicene His words be these: Constantinus præsidens 12. Qu. 1. Fu- council. turam. Greg. [l. Melchiasanctæ synodo, quæ apud Nicaam congregata est: "Constantinus, the emperor, being president of the holy council that was kept at Nice." These be not our words, but the pope's 12, registered even in his own records. I trust, ye will not refuse to give them credit.

But you say, "The emperor determined and defined nothing." Yet the emperor himself, contrary to your saying, saith thus: Ego suscepi, et perfeci res salutiferas, Orat. 2. c. 54. persuasus verbo tuo: "O Lord, I took in hand, and brought to pass wholesome things, being persuaded by thy word."

And again, writing hereof unto the bishops of sundry churches, he saith: Ego vobiscum interfui, tanquam unus Orat. 3, c. 16. ex vobis. Non enim negaverim, conservum me vestrum esse: [1.586.] qua de re mihi maxime gratulor: "I was present at the council with you, as one of you. For I cannot deny myself to be your fellow-servant, in which thing I most rejoice."

Socrat. lib. 1. c. 9. [ii. 30.] Καὶ αὐτὸς μην.

εσκόπει.

des.]

Euseb. in Vita Const.

[i. 563.]

Likewise again he saith: Ego Nicæam contraxi magnum numerum episcoporum: cum quibus, cum essem unus ex τηντης άλη-vobis, et conservus vester vehementer esse cuperem, etiam σιν ανεδεξά-ipse suscepi inquisitionem veritatis: "I caused a great company of bishops to come to Nice: with whom together, I took in hand the examination of the truth, being myself one of you, and much desiring to be therein your fellowservant."

Likewise saith Eusebius: Imperator, quasi luculentam Euseb, in Orat. 3. c. 11. facem accendens, ne quæ occultæ erroris reliquiæ super-[iii. 583.] ομματι βα- essent, oculo regio circumspexit: "The emperor, as having σιλικώ περι-

> 12 Bp. Jewel appears, from his marginal note, to have attributed assign them to Melchiades: the dorus.]

ed. of Richter shews, from internal evidence, that this cannot be these words to Gregory I.; but correct; and traces the passage the older edd. of the Decretum to the forged Decretals of Isienkindled a great flame, looked well about with his princely eye, that no privy remnants of error should rest behind 13."

The bishops in the same Nicene council, being at variance Socrat. lib. 1. c. 8. [tom. ii. amongst themselves, offered up their books of accusation, p. 20.] not unto the pope or to his legates, of whom they had then no great regard, but unto the emperor. Neither did the emperor put over their quarrels unto the pope's judgment, but unto the judgment of God. Again, the same emperor Constantine saith: "If any (bishop) wickedly offend, by Theodoret. the hand of God's servant, that is to say, by my hand, he [iii. 51.] shall be punished 14."

To be short, cardinal Cusanus saith: Sciendum est, quod Card. Cusan. de Conc. lib. in universalibus octo conciliis, ubi imperatores interfuerunt et 3. cap. 16. non papa, semper invenio imperatores, et judices suos cum senatu primatum habuisse et officium præsidentiæ per interloquutiones, et ex consensu synodi, sine mandato, conclusiones, et judicia fecisse. Et non reperitur instantia in octo conciliis, præterquam in tertia actione concilii Chalcedonensis: "We must know, that in the eight general councils, where the emperors were present, and not the pope, I evermore find, that the emperors and their judges, with the senate, had the government and office of presidence, by hearing and conferring of matters: and that they made conclusions and judgments, with the consent of the council, and without any further commission. And there is no manner instance or exception to be found in the first eight councils, saving only in the third action of the council of Chalcedon 15."

Here ye see plainly, by the authority of cardinal Cusanus, one of your own special doctors, that, in the eight first general councils, the *emperor* was president, and not the *pope*.

Whereas the emperor willed the bishops to conclude

^{13 [}In the chapter referred to, there are only the following words at all resembling those printed in the margin: ὁ δὲ φαιδροῖς ὅμμασι τοῖς πᾶσι γαληνὸν ἐμβλέψας κ.τ.λ.]

^{14 [}Supra vi. p. 322. note 56. It is clear, from the story, that the

emperor did punish the bishops; but these words refer, apparently, not more to the clergy than to the laity!

laity.]

15 [The words are not found in the chapter referred to; but the substance is mainly correct.]

their matters by the apostolical and prophetical scriptures, He speaketh not (say you) so generally as we report him, nor frameth his tale in that sort, as we feign, universally of the will of God, but of the Godhead. For τὸ θεῖον, in your fancy, signifieth only the substance and nature of God, and not God's will, or his religion. Here, M. Harding, it were some point of learning, to know what skilful Greek reader told you this tale, that to be for is nothing else but the Godhead, or nature of God. Verily, Cassiodorus in plain wise translateth it thus: Evangelici et apostolici libri erudiunt nos, quid de sacra lege supiamus:

Tripart. Hist. lib. 2. cap. 5. [p. 315.]

"The books of the evangelists and apostles teach us, what we ought to think" (not only of the substance and nature of God, but also) "of the holy law." Therefore, Theodoretus addeth further these words:

Theodoret. lib. 1. cap. 7. [iii, 26, 27.]

Accipiamus explicationes quæstionum nostrarum ex dictis Sancti Spiritus: "Let us take the resolution of our questions out of the words of the Holy Ghost 16." And imme-

περί θείων πραγμάτων.

diately before he saith: De rebus divinis disputantes, præscriptam habemus doctrinam Sancti Spiritus: "In our

Hilar, de Trin. lib. 7. [p. 942.] De rebus Dei : περί τοῦ θείου.

disputations" (not only of the Godhead, but also) " of godly matters, we have laid before us the doctrine of the holy gospel." In like sense St. Hilary saith: Non est relictus hominum eloquiis de Dei rebus alius, præterquam Dei sermo. Omnia reliqua, et arcta, et conclusa, et impeditu sunt, et obscura: "In matters touching God, there is no speech left unto men, but only the word of God. All other authorities be short, and narrow, and dark, and Believe them not henceforth, therefore, troublesome." M. Harding, that tell you, that $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} o \nu$ signifieth only the substance and nature of God's divinity. For, as ye may easily see, your Gloss is vain, and fighteth directly against the text.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 13. Divis. 2.

The emperor Theodosius (as saith Socrates) did [Vol. iv. p. Socrat, lib. 5. c. 10. [ii. 275.] not only sit amongst the bishops, but also ordered

16 [Theodoretus: ἐκ τῶν θεοπνεύστων λόγων.]

the whole arguing of the cause, and tare in pieces the heretics' books, and allowed for good the judgment of the catholics.

M. HARDING.

It is a wonder to see, how these men abuse the ecclesiastical histories. Whereas they talk a little before of the sitting of emperors in general councils, a man would think, that now also Theodosius had been said to have sitten among bishops ain some a As if a prigeneral council. But there is no such matter. Theodosius, the vate council were no emperor, conferred with Nectarius, the bishop of Constantinople, council. how all Christian men might be brought to an unity in faith. And after that Nectarius had learned of Sisinnius, a great clerk, the best way to be, if all the heads of each heresy and sect might be induced to be judged by the old fathers and doctors of the church; the godly emperor, hearing this advice, caused both the heretics and catholics also to write each of them such things as each of them had to say for his belief. And after prayer made, reading over all the writings, bhe rejected the Arians, the Mace- b Fond folly. donians, and the Eunomians, bembracing only their sentence, as though the emperor who agreed upon the consubstantiality of the Son of God. Here could con-(say these defenders) Theodosius did not only sit among the demn the heretics, or bishops, but also ordered the whole arguing of the cause, tearing allow the catholics, withthe papers of the heretics, and allowing the judgment of the out judgcatholics. To which objection, I make this answer. First, that ment. Theodosius here took counsel of Nectarius, the bishop, and followeth it. Secondly, that he intended not to judge, whether opinion of all the sects were truer, cbut only sought how to rid c A worthy the church of controversies. Otherwise, he would not only have reason. The taken counsel of Nectarius, the catholic bishop, but also of the soughtmeans Arians, Macedonians, and Eunomians. For he is not a right heresies: judge that calleth one side only to him, and in judgment is ruled ergo, he judged not, by it. If, then, it be plain, that the emperor only consulted with whether part catholics, it is no less plain, dthat he sat not judge upon the d Untruth, catholics. What did he then? Verily he intended to execute contrary to that judgment, which the bishops had pronounced at Nice, and the plain therefore he conferred only with men of that side. And because he was instructed, that by disputation no good should come, he chose this way, to make all to write their opinions. Not that he minded now to learn his faith out of their writings, sith he had learned that long before, and professed the same in his baptism: but he sought a way, whereby to put all heretics to silence. Therefore, having read all the writings, and having made his prayer to God for grace, eto choose the better side, which also e And how he made, not doubting of his faith, (for else he were an infidel, make choice and unworthy to be a judge, even in the temporal matters among judgment? Christians,) but partly he declared, that all goodness is to be

James i. 5.

I Cor. vi.

f Thus, M. Harding maketh the emperor a dissembling hypocrite.

asked of God, fpartly he would the heretics to understand, that he went not to work with affection, but with the fear of God. Thus, having prayed and readen the writings, he executed the judgment of the Nicene council, and rejected those heretics.....:

Now, to return to the words of the Apology, how say they, that the emperor not only sat among bishops, but also causa disceptationis prafuit, was chief ruler and moderator of the reasoning and debating of the matter? They cannot say thus, as of g A fond ca- a council, whereof they talk. gFor there was no council indicted, vil. It was a not only by the pope, but neither by the emperor, nor by any cil of sundry other archbishop. gIt was a private calling together of certain bishops, and the judgment heads of each sect, and not a solemn ordinary council. If there thereof was specially dispersally dispersa rested by the no presidence at all. How then was Theodosius president, and

judge of ecclesiastical causes?

If we shall report the thing as it was in truth done, only Theodosius used a politic way to put heretics to silence. Other judgment he took not upon him, as he that protested always, In Actis that spiritual causes and controversies of doctrine, could not Aquileien. better be decided, than by bishops. For which saying, St. Am-[iii. 602. a.] brose praised him. So that we are sure of Theodosius, that he never meant to intermeddle with ecclesiastical matters, hotherwise than to execute the bishops' decrees.

h Thus the emperor is become the bishop's man.

emperor.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Here" (ye say) "it is a wonder to see, how these men abuse the ecclesiastical stories. It was a private council" (ye say) "whereat Theodosius was present, and not a general." As if this poor help were sufficient to salve the matter: or, as if a private council were no council: or, as if an emperor might sit as a judge in private councils, and not in general. I cannot blame you, M. Harding, for seeking such shifts. A simple stick may make a stay. The story, in brief, is this: Theodosius, the emperor, the better to bring his churches into unity, commanded an assembly of the bishops and best learned to appear before him, and each part to write a several confession of his faith, that he himself might judge between them, which faith were the best. Having received their writings, he willed public prayers to be made, and also both openly and privately prayed himself, that it might please God to assist him with his holy Spirit, and to make him able to judge justly.

Sozom, lib. 7. cap. 12. [ii. 293.]

Socr. lib. 5. c. 10. [ii. 275.]

Then he perused and considered each confession asunder by itself: allowed only the catholics, and condemned the confessions that were written by the Arians and Eunomians, and tare them in pieces. This is the true report of the story, M. Harding. Whatsoever ye have added hereunto, as your manner is, it is your own. Now, whether the emperor Theodosius took upon him to hear and determine ecclesiastical causes of religion, or no, I report me to your own indifferent judgment.

But ye will say: "The emperor presumed not to judge any thing of himself, but was wholly ruled by the bishops, as the executor of their wills." And hereof, ye say, "ye are well assured." Thus, by your handling, ye make the prince only your bishop's man, to strike blindly whomsoever your bishop shall command: to condemn, to deprive, to spoil, to kill his own subjects: not of any judgment, or knowledge, but only upon the doubtful credit, and at the pleasure of your bishop. So simple ye make this godly emperor in his dealing. He willed the congregation to pray: he prayed himself, that God would direct him with his Svirit, and give him wisdom to discern the truth: he conferred the confessions: he weighed each reason: he allowed one side for true and godly: all the rest he condemned for false and wicked. "And yet" (ye say) "ye are sure of Theodosius, that he intended not to judge, whether of all these sects were the truer." And so, by your discretion, he both allowed and condemned, without judgment, he knew not what.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 1.

[Vol. iv. p. In the council of Chalcedon, a civil magistrate condemned for heretics, by the sentence of his own mouth, the bishops, Dioscorus, Juvenalis, and Thalassius, and gave judgment, to put them down from their dignities in the church.

M. HARDING.

Where true and good matter wanteth, for defence of this cause, these men care not what they bring, so they make a shew of some learning, to deceive the unlearned. First, for condemnation of heretics, by sentence of a civil magistrate, they allege the name of the long council of Chalcedon, not shewing in what action, or part thereof, it may be found. True it is, that all these three are named in that council, Dioscorus, Juvenalis, and Thalassius. a But that all three were condemned, we find not. Much less, that they were condemned by any civil magistrate, do we find. The condemnation of Dioscorus, archbishop of Alexandria, Harding had was pronounced by the legates of the pope of Rome, in form as followeth.

a Untruth, enclosed, standing in ignorance: for if M. read the council, he might have found it.

b This was spoken even

like the

Paschasinus, having asked the consent of the fathers present Actio. 3. [vi. in the council, to the condemnation of Dioscorus, after his faults 1047.] rehearsed, with his two fellows, Lucentius, bishop of Tusculane, and Bonifacius, priest of the great church of Rome, said: "The most holy and blessed archbishop of the great and elder Rome, Leo, by us, and by this present holy synod, with the thrice most blessed, and worthy of all praise, Peter, the apostle, b who is the rock and b highest top of the catholic church, and who is the b foundation of the right faith, hath deprived Dioscorus, as well of the dignity of his bishopric, as also of his priestly ministry." This was the sentence pronounced by the pope's legates, in the name of the bishop of Rome, under the authority of Peter. Which sentence the whole council allowed. This being true, how did the civil magistrate condemn Dioscorus? Was then the bishop of Lilybeum, or the pope, in whose name he gave sentence, a civil magistrate? cWhat is impudency, what is licentious lying, what is deceitful dealing, if this be not?

pope's legate. For St. Paul saith: "Christ was the Rock," c This is the patience of M. Harding's spirit. When he shall read the council. and see his own error, he will be colder. d Untruth, vain and childish. For then there belonged no such authority to the see of Rome. c Untruth. shameless above measure: for they never asked pardon for sitting without the pope's authority. f M. Harding is well assured, before he know. g Manlfest untruth.

Read the Answer.

Of Juvenalis, archbishop of Jerusalem, and Thalassius, archbishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia, this much I say: They might Action. 1. well have a rebuke for misusing themselves in the second council Ex nova at Ephesus, where they sate like judges, d without authority of the see of Rome; (which, as Lucentius said, in the synod of Chalcedon, was never orderly done, neither was it lawful to be done;) they might, I say, take a rebuke for so presuming besides the pope's authority: but forasmuch as they emaintained not their fact, but, among other bishops of the East, cried out, Omnes peccavimus: omnes veniam postulamus: "We have all sinned: we all beseech pardon." Yea, forasmuch as Juvenalis rejected the fault upon Elpidius, who did not command Eusebius, the accuser of Eutyches, to come in, and Thalassius said, he was not cause thereof, it may well be, they were pardoned, although the honourable judges and senate said unto them: In judicio fidei non est defensio: "In a judgment of faith, this is no excuse." But in case they were deposed, f then are we sure g it was not done by the civil magistrate, otherwise than that they might allow and execute the sentence of deposition before given.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

If the council of Chalcedon seem over long, with better reading ye may make it shorter. That Dioscorus, Juvenalis, and Thalassius, were all three condemned in that council, that (ye say) ye find not. Howbeit, if ye had sought it better, ye might soon have found it. One of your own friends of Louvain saith, that herein ye were too much over-copus Diaseen. The very words, truly recorded in the council, are log. I. p. 28. these: Videtur nobis, justum esse, eidem pænæ Dioscorum Conc. Chalreverendum episcopum Alexandriæ, et Juvenalem reverendum p. 831. [ed. episcopum Hierosolymorum, et Thalassium reverendum epi-Mansi vi. scopum Casaria Cappadocia,.....subjacere: et a sancto concilio, secundum regulas, ab episcopali dignitate fieri alienos: "Unto us it seemeth right, that Dioscorus, the reverend bishop of Alexandria, and Juvenalis, the reverend bishop of Jerusalem, and Thalassius, the reverend bishop of Cæsarea in Cappadocia, should be put to the same punishment, and by the holy council, according to the call should be removed from their episcopal dignities." The Evagr. lib. 2. which words ye might also have found fully reported in cap. 4. [tom. lil., p. 288. et cap. 18. p. 314.]

Likewise also saith pope Leo, touching the same: De Leo Epist. nominibus Dioscori, Juvenalis, et Eustachii (vel potius, Tha-tolium. i. lassii) ad sacrum altare non recitandis, dilectionem tuam hoc 556.] decet custodire: "Touching the names of Dioscorus, Juvenalis, and Eustathius, (or, rather, Thalassius,) not to be rehearsed at the holy altar," (which was the communion table,) "ye must keep this order."

But ye say, "Notwithstanding these bishops were condemned in the council, yet the civil or lay judges condemned them not. For they were there" (ye say) "only to see good order, and to keep peace." This, M. Harding, is your own only idle guess, without any manner further authority, only grounded upon yourself. Certainly the words of the council be plain: Gloriosissimi judices et am- Conc. Chalplissimus senatus dixerunt: "The most noble judges, and [vi. 936.]

17 [The passage occurs first, referred to and quoted during the in lib. 2. c. 4. of Evagrius, (tom. iii. subsequent proceedings, at p. 314, p. 288., Reading-Vales.,) and is and p. 323, in the selfsame words.] Conc. Chalced. Act. 1.

p. 831. [vi. 936.]

Evagr. lib. 2. most worthy senate said." Likewise saith Evagrius: Oi cap. 4. [tom. cap. 4. [tom. iii. p. 288.] από της συγκλήτου βουλης εψηφίσαντο τάδε: " The lords of the emperor's council decreed these things 18."

> Neither were the civil judges then so scrupulous, to think they might not deal in cases of religion, as it may well appear by their words. For thus they say: Gloriosissimi judices et amplissimus senatus dixerunt, De recta et catholica fide perfectius sequenti die, convenienti concilio, diligentiorem examinationem fieri oportere, perspicimus: "The most noble judges, and most worthy senate, said, 'We see, that, touching the right catholic faith, the next day, when the council shall meet, there must be had a more diligent examination."

And, when the matters were concluded and published. the bishops of the East brake out into favourable shouts, in this sort: Justum et rectum judicium: Vita longa senatui: Multi anni imperatori: "Just and right is this judgment: Long life unto the senate: Many years unto the emperor." For in all cases, as well ecclesiastical as temporal, the emperor was judge over all. Whatsoever the council had determined, without the emperor's consent it had no force. And, therefore, both the bishops, and other temporal judges in the council, used oftentimes to suspend, and to stay their decrees in this sort: Videtur nobis justum, si placuerit divinissimo et piissimo domino nostro: "Unto us it scemeth right, if it shall also like our most virtuous and most godly lord" (the emperor). In the end they conclude thus: Omnibus, quæ acta sunt, ad sacrum apicem referendis: "So that all our doings be remitted to the emperor's majesty." All which words are borrowed, as the rest, out of the Evagr. lib. 2. ecclesiastical story of Evagrius.

Conc. Chal. ced. Act. 1. p. 831. [vi. 936.]

cap. 4. [tom, iii. p. 288.] εί παρασταίη τῷ θειοτάτφ ἡμῶν δεσπότη.

Ye say: "Juvenalis and Thalassius might well have a rebuke for sitting like judges in the second council of Ephesus, without authority of the pope." O, M. Harding, either ye are much deceived, and presume to speak before ve know, which were great folly; or else ye speak directly

18 [It should be added, however, that the formal act of deposition appears ultimately to have been pronounced by the bishops, in the

absence of the civil judges. See Evagrius, tom, iii. 314. with Valesius' note.]

against your knowledge and conscience, and willingly seek to deceive others, which were great wickedness. Verily, ye might easily have known, that these three bishops were condemned, not for intruding upon the pope's authority, as ve have imagined, but only for condemning other godly bishops, wickedly, and without cause. The words of the council are plain: Gloriosissimi judices dixerunt, Vos quidem primitus docuistis, quia per vim et necessitatem in pura charta coacti estis subscribere ad damnationem sanctæ memoriæ Flaviani. Orientales, et qui cum ipsis erant, reve-Conc. Chalrendissimi episcopi, clamaverunt, Omnes peccavimus, omnes [vi. 936.] veniam postulamus: "The most noble judges said, Ye [Evagr. tom. have here proved before us, that ye were driven by force and violence, in a blank paper, to subscribe your names to the condemnation of Flavianus, of godly memory. The bishops of the East, and other reverend bishops that were with them, cried out, We have all offended, we all desire pardon 19." This, indeed, was their fault, M. Harding: all that you imagine, of usurping the pope's authority, is but a fancy. For the pope's huge and universal authority, whereby now he claimeth the whole jurisdiction of all the world, at that time was not known.

The same council of Chalcedon maketh him equal in authority and dignity with the bishop of Constantinople. The words be these: Sedi senioris Roma, propter impe- Conc. Chalrium civitatis illius, patres consequenter privilegia reddide- Consulatu. Et eadem intentione permoti centum quinquaginta [vii. 428.] Deo amantissimi episcopi, æqua sanctissimæ sedi novæ Romæ privilegia tribuerunt; rationabiliter judicantes, imperio et senatu urbem ornatam, æquis senioris regiæ Romæ privilegiis frui, et in ecclesiasticis, sicut illa, majestatem habere negotiis: "Unto the see of the old Rome, in consideration of the empire of that city, our fathers have accordingly given privileges. And upon like consideration, the hundred and fifty godly bishops have given equal and like privileges to the city of new Rome;" (whereby is meant the city of Constantinople;) "for that they thought it reasonable, that the same city of Constantinople, being now adorned with empire and senate, should also have privileges

¹⁹ [The reference to Mansi applies only to a part of this quotation.]

equal with Rome the elder, and have the same majesty and authority in ecclesiastical affairs, that Rome hath."

Thus, ye see, your pope had not then a power peerless over all the world: but was made like, and even, and equal, in all respects, to one of his brethren. Therefore, if the bishop of Rome were the head of the church, then was the bishop of Constantinople likewise the head of the church. And if the bishop of Rome's power were universal, then was the bishop of Constantinople's power universal, as well as his. For the council alloweth as much ecclesiastical authority to the one bishop, as to the other.

Now, shortly to consider the whole substance of your talk: first, ye say, "These three bishops, Dioscorus, Juvenalis, and Thalassius, were never condemned in the council of Chalcedon." This, ye see, is one untruth. Secondly, ye say, "The civil magistrate never condemned them." This is another untruth. Thirdly, ye say, "Juvenalis and Thalassius were rebuked for sitting as judges in council, without the pope's authority." These are two other untruths. For neither had the pope any such prerogative at that time, nor was this the cause of their condemnation. And yet, as if ye would run us over with terror of words, ye cry out with a courage, "What is impudency, what is licentious lying, what is deceitful dealing, if this be not?"

Touching these fiery terms, M. Harding, I dare not answer you. But as for *plain lying*, without a difference, if ye know not what it is, look through your own *books*, and ye cannot fail of it. Verily, it is to publish *untruths* so largely and so liberally, as you have done, only upon affiance of the simplicity and ignorance of your reader, without regard or fear of God or man.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 2.

[Concil.Constant. 3. tom. xi. 656.]

In the third council at Constantinople, Constantine 18, [Vol. 10. p. a civil magistrate, did not only sit amongst the bishops, but did also subscribe with them. For, saith he, We have both read and subscribed.

¹⁸ [This was the emperor Constantine V. Pogonatus.]

M. HARDING.

The subscribing is not the matter, but the judging. tine subscribed to the council, as now all Christian princes, being required, ought to subscribe to the Tridentine council. a But a A vain distinction. Constantine used not this style when he subscribed, Definiens b Untruth, subscripsi, "I have subscribed with giving definitive sentence." manifest.
Read the anb For so to subscribe, it appertained only to bishops.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 3.

81.]

In the second council, called Arausicanum, the [Conc. Arau-[Vol. iv. p. princes' ambassadors, being noblemen born, not only viii. 719.] spake their mind touching religion, but set to their hands also, as well as the bishops. For thus it is written in the latter end of that council: "Petrus. Marcellinus, Felix, and Liberius 19, being most noble men, and famous lieutenants, and captains of France, and also peers of the realm, have given their consent, and set to their hands." Further: "Syagrius, Opilio, Pantagathus, Deodatus, Cariatto, and Marcellus, men of very great honour, have subscribed."

M. HARDING.

What if all the laymen of the world had subscribed by the word of consenting or agreeing to the bishops' decrees, each one writing thus, as in that case the old manner was, Consentiens subscripsi? What other thing is proved thereby, than that they thought it necessary to allow that which bishops had determined? Which we wish ye would do.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 4.

If it be so then, that lieutenants, chief captains, [Vol. iv. p. 81.] and peers, have had authority to subscribe in council, have not *emperors* and *kings* the like authority?

> ¹⁹ [Sirmond. ap. Mansi declares these to be the four names of one man. The subscription is in these terms: "Petrus Marcellinus Felix

"Liberius V. C. et illustris Præ-" fectus prætorii Galliarum atque " patricius, consentiens subscrip-

M. HARDING.

Kings and queens not only might, but ought to subscribe, when they are required 20.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here, M. Harding, ye are driven to many shifts. Some of you say, that princes' ambassadors and civil magistrates had no right to subscribe in council, but only by license and sufferance of the bishops. Some others have found out a certain difference in subscriptions. "The bishop" (ye say) " subscribed in one form, and the civil magistrate in another." The bishop thus: Definiens subscripsi: "By giving my definitive sentence, I have subscribed;" the lay magistrate thus: Consentiens subscripsi; "Giving consent hereto, I have subscribed." Thus have you found out a knot in a rush, and devised a diversity without a difference. Certainly, in the old councils there appeareth only one form of subscription, and no mo. And afterward these two words, definiens and consentiens, wherein you imagine so great a difference, were used indifferently, as well of bishops, as of others, as each man was best affected. Someconsentiens time the bishop subscribed, Consentiens: sometime the layman subscribed, Definiens, without scruple. In the coun-

subscripsi.

Conc. Chalc. cil of Chalcedon it is written thus: Ego Dorotheus epiact. 1. p. 780. [Mansi vi. scopus consensi et subscripsi: "I, bishop Dorothee, have 749.] consented and subscribed," &c. Likewise it is written in

Conc. Paris. the council of Paris: Ego Probianus, episcopus Bituri-[Crabb, ii. 145. Mansi ix. censis, consensi et subscripsi: "I, Probiane, the bishop of Bourges, have consented and subscribed." Marius Victo-

Marius Vic. rinus saith: Nicenæ fidei multa episcoporum millia contorin. contra Arian. lib. 2. senserunt: "Many thousand bishops consented unto the Nicene faith."

> On the other side, the lay prince in council hath had authority, not only to consent and agree unto others, but also to define and determine, and that in cases of religion, as by many evident examples it may appear. Evagrius

Definiens subscripsi.

²⁰ [Harding adds, "Queen Elizabeth ought to do so."]

saith, as it is before alleged: Οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς συγκλήτου βουλῆς Evag. IIb. 2. έψηφίσαντο τάδε: "They that were of the senate or the 288.] lords of the council, determined these things." Sozomenus saith: Imperator Constantinus jussit decem episcopos Sozom. 11b. orientis, et totidem occidentis, quos synodus designasset, ad 4. cap. 15. [11. aulam suam venire, et sibi exponere decreta concilii, ut ipse αὐτος καὶ quoque consideraret, an secundum scripturas inter se conve-δείν, είκατα au nissent, et de rebus agendis, qux optima viderentur, determi- $\frac{\tau}{\gamma\rho\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}$ $\frac{\tau}{\gamma\rho\alpha\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}$ naret: "The emperor Constantine commanded, that ten άβησαν αλλήλοις, bishops of the east, and ten of the west, chosen by the καὶ περὶ τῶν council, should repair to his court, and open unto him the πρακτέων στη δυ άριdecrees of the council, that his majesty might consider στα δοκβ whether they were agreed according to the scriptures; επιτελέσαι. and that he might further" (not only consent, or agree, but also) "determine and conclude what were best to be done." Æneas Sylvius, which afterward was pope Pius II., saith thus: Visum est Spiritui Sancto, et nobis: unde Eneas Sylapparet, alios quam episcopos in conciliis habuisse vocem tis Concil. decidentem: "It seemeth good to the Holy Ghost, and to [p. 27. c.] us: hereby it appeareth, that some others beside bishops had a voice definitive in councils." And again he saith: Nec ego cujusvis episcopi mendacium, quamvis ditissimi, Eodem loco. veritati præponam pauperis presbyteri. Nec dedignari debet [p. 27. b.] episcopus, si aliquando ignarus et rudis sequacem non habeat multitudinem: "Neither will I set more by any bishop's lie, be he never so rich, than I would set by any priest's truth, be he never so poor. Neither may the ignorant and unlearned bishop disdain, if he see the people unwilling to follow him."

Gerson saith: Judicium et conclusio fidei, licet authori-Gerson, Que tative spectent ad prælatos et doctores, spectare tamen pos- credendæ. sunt ad alios quam theologos, deliberatio sicut et cognitio, super his quæ fidem respiciunt. Ita ut ad laicos etiam hoc possit extendi; et plus aliquando, quam ad multos clericorum: "Notwithstanding the judgment and conclusion of faith belong by authority unto bishops and doctors, yet, as well the deliberation hereof, as also the knowledge and judgment, concerning matters that touch the faith, may

belong unto others too, besides the divines, or doctors, and professors of divinity. Yea, it may sometimes be extended even unto the laymen: and more sometimes unto them, than unto many priests."

The emperor Justinian, in ecclesiastical causes, often-Authen. Coll. times useth these words: Definimus, mandamus, jubemus, 1. tit. 6. Quo-modo oport. &c.: "We determine, we conclude, we command, we bid." Episc. [c. 2.] Touching bishops, he writeth thus: Definimus, ut nullus Deo amabilium episcoporum foris a sua ecclesia, plus quam per totum annum, abesse audeat: "We define, or determine, that none of the godly bishops shall dare to be absent from his church more than by the space of one whole year." Here ye see the temporal prince, in an ecclesiastical cause, saith, Definimus. To be short, pope Nicolas himself saith, Dist. 96. U- writing unto the emperor Michael: Ubinam legistis, impebinam. ratores antecessores vestros synodalibus contentionibus interfuisse? Nisi forte in quibusdam, ubi de fide tractatum est: quæ universalis est: quæ omnium communis est: quæ non solum ad clericos, verum etiam ad laicos, et ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: "Where have you read, that your predecessors, being emperors, were ever present at our disputations in councils; unless happily [haply] it were in certain cases, whereas matter was moved touching the faith? For faith is universal, and common to all: and pertaineth not only unto priests, but also unto laymen; and generally

Nicol.Cusan.

and thoroughly to all Christians." Nicolaus Cusanus saith: In sexta synodo Basilius impelib. 3. c. 16. rator patriarchalium sedium vicariis, et patriarchis in sub-[p. 798.] scriptione se postposuit ex humilitate, tota synodo rogante, ut se præponeret: "In the sixth council of Constantinople, the emperor Basilius subscribeth his name after the legates of the patriarchal sees, and after the patriarchs: but this he did of humility. For the whole council besought him to subscribe his name before all others." Thus ve see. M. Harding, by the pope's own judgment, that cases and disputations of the faith belong as well to the temporal prince as to the pope.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 5.

Truly there had been no need to handle so plain a matter as this is, with so many words, and so at length, if we had not to do with those men, who, for a desire they have to strive, and to win the mastery, use, of course, to deny all things, be they never so clear, yea, the very same which they presently see, and behold with their own eyes.

M. HARDING.

The matter ye speak of is so clear, that, from the beginning of the world to this day, ano secular prince can be named, who, by a Untruth, the ordinary power of a prince, without the gift of prophecy, by sundry or special revelation, did laudably intermeddle with religion, as examples it a judge and ruler of spiritual causes. The reason thereof is clear. Religion is an order of divine worshipping, belonging to God only; whereupon no man hath power, but he that is called thereto by God. He is called, in the judgment of men, who can shew his calling outwardly, as by consecration, and imposition of hands. Priests and bishops are called to be the dispensators of the mysteries of God. In that consecration, the keys of know
Matt. xvi. & ledge and discretion, the power of binding and loosing is given.

bIf a secular prince cannot shew the keys given to him, how b O vain dareth he adventure to break up, rather than to open, the clasped pertain these book of God, the door of the church, and the gates of the king-levis general councils? Wherefore St. Ambrose said unto Valentinian, For by them

book of God, the door of the church, and the gates of the kingdom of heaven? Wherefore St. Ambrose said unto Valentinian, For by them
Lib. 5. epist, Quando audivisti, imperator, in causa fidei, laicos de episcopo judigreery poor
priest hath
32. [ii. 860.]
judges of a bishop in the cause of faith?" And yet now these in council as
men think, that which St. Ambrose never heard of, not only to
have been used continually, the first five hundred years after
Christ's birth, but also to be as clear a matter, as if we beheld it

Christ's birth, but also to be as clear a matter, as if we liwith our eyes.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"The temporal prince" (ye say) "hath not the keys of the kingdom of heaven: ergo, he may not judge in ecclesiastical causes, nor give definitive sentence in general council." This is a very silly, poor argument, M. Harding, as hereafter it shall appear. But St. Ambrose saith unto the emperor Valentinian: "When did your majesty ever hear, that in a cause of faith, laymen were judges over

bishops?" Here, M. Harding, by the way, St. Ambrose Part 6. cap. 9. giveth you to understand, that, unless it be in a cause of divis. 2. [su-pra vi. 309.] faith, a layman may be judge over a bishop: which thing is contrary, not only to your former doctrine, but also to the whole course and practice of your church of Rome.

> Howbeit, touching the meaning of these words, it behoveth us to know, first, the cause wherefore St. Ambrose so shunned and fled the emperor's judgment: next, before what judges he desired to be tried.

First, the emperor Valentinian at that time was very young, as well in age, as also in faith: he was not yet baptized: he knew not the principles of Christ's religion: he was an Arian heretic, and believed not the Godhead of Christ, but bent all his study and power to maintain the Arians: he would have thrust out the Christians, and would have possessed the heretics in their churches: and to that end had raised his power, and filled Milan full of Allegaturim-soldiers: he said, it was lawful for him to do what him listed. Briefly, his whole dealing was full of force and violence, such as hath been seen in some countries, not many years sithence.

peratori licere omnia.

5. epist. 33. [ii. 857.] Mandatur denique, Trade Basilicam.

In consideration hereof, St. Ambrose worthily refused Ambros, lib. him to be his judge: and therefore he said unto him: Tolle legem, si vis esse certamen: "Take away the rigour of your law, if ye will have the matter tried by disputation." Again: Noli te gravare, imperator, ut putes te in ea quæ divina sunt, imperiale aliquod jus habere: noli te extollere:.....esto Deo subjectus. Scriptum est, Quæ Dei Deo, quæ Cæsaris Cæsari: "O my lord, trouble not yourself to think you have any princely power over those things that pertain to God. Vaunt not yourself: be subject unto God. It is written, ' Give unto God that belongeth unto God: give unto Casar that belongeth unto Casar."

But as St. Ambrose saith, "The emperor hath no power Ambros, lib. 5. epist. 32. ad Valentin. over God's causes," so may we likewise, and as truly, say, [ii, 860.] lib. The pope hath no power over God's causes. St. Chrysostom 5. epist. 33. Chrysost ad saith: Siquidem est in causa fidei, fuge illum, et evita: non Hebre, hom.
34. [xii. 311.] solum si homo fuerit, verum etiam si angelus de cælo descenderit: "If it be a matter of faith" (wherein he seeketh

to abuse thee), "flee him, and shun him; not only if he be a man, but also if an angel should come down from heaven." Thus it appeareth, St. Ambrose refused not the emperor's power, and authority of judgment, in cases ecclesiastical, but only his wilful ignorance, and his tyranny, for that he knew his judgment was corrupted, and not indifferent.

And for that cause he saith: Venissem, imperator, ad Ambros. lib. consistorium clementiæ tuæ, &c.: "O, my lord, I would [ii. 863.] have made mine appearance at your consistory, to have uttered these things in your presence, if either the bishops or the people would have suffered me. For they told me, Dicentes de that matters of faith ought to be disputed in the church, clesia coram populo deopenly before the people." Again he saith: Veniant sane, beritractari. si qui sunt, in ecclesiam: audiant cum populo: non ut quis- epist. [ii. quam resideat judex, sed ut unusquisque de suo affectu habeat examen, et eligat quem sequatur: "Let them hardly come to the church: let them hearken together with the people: not that any man should sit as judge, but that every man may, after his own mind, examine the matter, and so choose whom he may follow." Of such tyranny in councils, Athanasius likewise complaineth: Quo jure contra Athan. Apol. nos synodum ullam constituere potuerunt? Aut qua fronte rian.] Potetalem conventum synodum appellare audent, cui comes præ-130.] sedit? Ubi spiculator apparebat? Ubi commentariensis, sive carcerarius, pro diaconis ecclesiæ, adventantes introducebat?.....Comes imperio utebatur: nos a militibus ducebamur: "By what law could they keep a council against us? Or with what face could they call such an assembly by the name of a council? whereas the lord lieutenant was president, where the hangman was apparitor, where the jailer presented the suitors instead of the deacons of the church? The lieutenant did all things by authority and commandment: we were taken by the soldiers, and carried to prison 21."

²¹ [S. Athanasius Apol. contr. Arianos. This is taken from the synodical epistle of Alexandria: πως οὖν οὖτοι συνιέναι καθ ήμων ήθελον; πως δε σύνοδον ονομάζειν ήμεις ύπο στρατιωτων ήγόμεθα.]

τολμῶσιν, ης κόμης προυκάθητο, καὶ παρην σπεκουλάτωρ και Κομμεντάριος ήμας είσηγεν αντί διακόνων της εκκλησίας; ... έκείνος εκέλευεν,

Therefore he saith: Fiat ecclesiastica synodus longe a Athanas, ad vitam agen. palatio: ubi nec imperator præsto est, nec comes se ingerit, tes. Has Literas. [1.365.] nec judex minatur: et ubi solus timor Dei ad omnia sufficit, &c.: "Let there be made an ecclesiastical synod, far from the emperor's palace: where as neither the emperor is present, nor the lieutenant thrusteth in himself, nor the judge with his threats maketh men afraid: but where as the fear of God to all purposes is sufficient 22." For this cause St. Ambrose refused to be tried by the emperor Valentinian: that is to say, as then it was, by a rash young man; by a man unbaptized, and, therefore, no Christian; by a tyrant; and by an Arian heretic, that utterly denied the

Otherwise, Athanasius himself was well contented to commit his whole cause unto the emperor. For thus he Athan. Apol. saith: Postulamus causam istam pientissimo imperatori retas. [1.196. servari: anud anem licebit et inna callici servari: apud quem licebit, et jura ecclesiæ, et nostra proponere. Plane enim confidimus, ejus pietatem, cognitis nostris rationibus, nequaquam nos condemnaturam esse: "We require, that the emperor's most godly and most religious majesty may have the hearing of the same matter: before whom we may open both our church's right, and also our own. For we have good hope, that his godliness, understanding our reasons, will never condemn us 23."

Likewise St. Augustine saith unto the Donatian heretics: August. con- An forte de religione fas non est, ut dicat imperator, vel tra epist.
Parmeniani, quos miserit imperator? Cur ergo ad imperatorem vestri lib. 1. [cap. 9. ix. 20.] nenere legati? Cur eum fecerunt causæ suæ judicem? "Is it not lawful for the emperor, or his deputy, to give sentence in a matter of religion? Wherefore, then, went your

22 [S. Athanasius ad solitariam vitam agentes: from the speech of pope Liberius to an emissary of the emperor: καὶ γενέσθω λοιπὸν έκκλησιαστική σύνοδος μακράν τοῦ Παλατίου, έν ή βασιλεύς οὐ παρέστιν, οὐ κόμης παραγίνεται, οὐ δικαστης ἀπειλεῖ, ἀλλὰ μόνον ὁ τοῦ Θεοῦ φόβος ἀρκεῖ, καὶ ἡ τῶν ἀπο-

Godhead of Christ.

στόλων διάταξις.] 23 S. Athan. Apol. 2: an ex-

tract from an epistle of the Egyptian bishops assembled at Tyre, to Comes Flavius Dionysius: καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τῷ εὐσεβεστάτῳ καὶ θεοτουτό τω ευσερευταίω και υευ-φιλεστάτω βασιλεί τηρηθήναι τὸ πράγμα άξιοῦμεν, παρ ὧ δυνάμεθα καὶ τὰ δίκαια τῆς ἐκκλησίας, καὶ ἐαυτῶν παραθέσθαι. πιστεύομεν γὰρ οτι ή εὐσέβεια αὐτοῦ ἀκούσασα οὐ καταγνώσεται ήμῶν.]

197.]

ambassadors to the emperor? Why made they him the judge of their cause?"

Thus, M. Harding, it appeareth, that you, in defrauding emperors and kings of their imperial and princely right, are fain to take part with the Donatian heretics.

As for us, we claim no other right in *ecclesiastical causes*, unto our *Christian princes* this day, than that may well appear hath been justly used both by Constantinus, the emperor, and also by other *catholic* and *godly princes*.

The emperor Theodosius wrote thus unto the council of Chalcedon: Quoniam scimus, magnificentissimum Floren-Liberatus, tium patritium esse fidelem, et probatum in recta fide, volu-64.]

mus eum interesse audientiæ synodi, quoniam sermo de fide est: "For that we know the most noble Florentius to be faithful and well approved in the right faith, therefore we will, that he be present at the hearing and debating of cases in the council, forasmuch as the disputation is of the faith."

For pope Nicolas himself saith, as it is alleged before:
Fides universalis est: fides omnium communis est: fides non Dist. 96. Ubisolum ad clericos, verum etiam ad laicos, et ad omnes omnino pertinet Christianos: "a Faith is universal: faith is common a He speaketh of questions of faith laymen, and, generally, to all Christians."

A He speaketh of questions of faith laymen, and, generally, to all Christians."

As touching the pope, and his universality of power, in, and over all councils of bishops, we may rightly say, as Athanasius saith of Constantius, the Arian emperor: Ob-Athan.ad solitariam tendit in speciem episcoporum judicium: sed interim facit, vitam quod ipsi libet..... Quid opus est hominibus titulo episcopis? agentes. []. "He maketh a show of judgments or determinations of bishops: in the mean while he doth what he listeth himself. What are we the nearer for these men, that bear only the name of bishops?" Such commonly be the pope's prelates. Whatsoever learning they have besides, divinity is commonly the least part of their study. And, therefore, when they are assembled in council, they may well judge by authority, but not by learning.

Verily, Luitprandus saith: Imperator, uti experientia Luitprandus. didicimus, intelligit negotia Dei, et facit, et amat ca: et [Rer. gest. lib. 6. c. 6.]

JEWEL, VOL. VI. F f

tuetur omnibus viribus et ecclesiasticas res, et civiles. Sed Johannes papa facit contra hæc omnia: "We see by experience, that the emperor understandeth God's causes, and favoureth, and performeth the same: and with all his power maintaineth both ecclesiastical and temporal matters. But pope John doth all the contrary 24."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 14. Divis. 6.

The emperor Justinian made a law to correct the $v_{s_1,j}^{\text{tv. p.}}$ behaviour of the clergy, and to cut short the insolent lewdness of the priests. And albeit he were a Christian, and a catholic prince, yet put he down from their papal throne, two popes, Sylverius and Vigilius, notwithstanding they were Peter's successors, and Christ's vicars.

M. HARDING.

Justinian's law, concerning good order to be kept among priests, morally, was good, and bound them by the force of reason. If he made any other law touching matters of religion, pope Joannes, then being, approved it, or at the least Justinian a asked approbation thereof, a as it may appear in his own epistle, wherein he confesseth in the fact itself, that his laws could not bind in supernatural causes belonging to faith, except the head pope touching his laws, of the universal church confirm them. Sylverius and Vigilius were deposed rather by Theodora, the empress, than by Justiof allowance, nian, the emperor. b Ye do wrong to impute that wicked tyranny thereappear unto him. b He is not to be burthened therewith, unless the man be countable for his wife's iniquities.....

> Howsoever it was, that extraordinary violence and tyranny cannot justly be alleged to the defence of your false assertion. Neither would yourself have mentioned the same, if ye could have found better matter. As hungry dogs eat dirty puddings, according to the proverb, clean enough for such unclean writers, so your foul matters be defended by foul facts.

²⁴ [Bishop Jewel has evidently quoted from memory. The words of Luitprandus are, "Imperator, "quemadmodum reipsa experti " sumus, ea quæ Dei sunt sapit,

"operatur; diligit ecclesiastica: " et sæcularia negotia armis tu-

" nibus adversatur."]

a Untruth. For he did but confer with the Touching the asking b Untruth. For it was no tyranny, but just judgment. And

Justinian gave Belisa-

rius great thanks for

so doing.

[&]quot;tatur: moribus ornat: legibus " emendat. Joannes papa his om-

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Justinian" (ye say) "might well make some moral law to keep priests and bishops in good order." Wherein, nevertheless, pope Paul III. condemneth you utterly. For thus he writeth, and reasoneth substantially against the emperor, Charles the Fifth: Ecce ego super pastores meos: Ezek, xxxiv. "Behold, saith Almighty God, I myself will oversee my shepherds:" ergo, saith pope Paulus, The emperor may not Paul. 3. in deal with the manners of priests and bishops. Thus it rol. 5. [p. 162.] appeareth the pope and M. Harding cannot agree.

Howbeit, the emperors made laws, touching the holy Trinity: touching the faith: touching baptism: touching the holy communion: touching the public prayers: touching the scriptures: touching the keeping of holy days: touching churches and chapels: touching the consecration of bishops: touching non-residences: touching perjury, &c.

Addition.

Addition. Michael, an emperor of the East, con-Balsam. [in trary to the custom and order of the *church*, made a law Fide, titulo that no monk should serve the ministry in any cure. The emperor Justinian giveth licence to a bishop, to release a Balsam. de priest from part of his penance, and to restore him to the episc. tit. 9. ministry. Emperors had authority to appoint patriarchal sees, and that not by warrant from the pope, but as Balsamon saith: Secundum potestatem illis desuper datam; Balsam, in "According to that power, that is given to them from cap. 12. above." By the ecclesiastical laws, no bishop may give orders without his own diocese. Yet Balsamon saith: Characterem dare extra diecesim imperatorio jussu permis-Balsam, in sum est: "A bishop being without his own diocese may Apost, Can. give orders, so that the emperor so command him." Here we see, the emperor's commandment is above the law of the church. By the apostles' canons, a priest may not wander from one diocese or cure to another. Yet Balsamon saith: Nota, quod etiam imperatori concessum est, Balsam. in facere clericorum translationes: "Mark thou, that the Apost Canon. emperor hath a privilege to translate priests from one cure 16. to another."

It were much for you, M. Harding, to say, as now ye

would seem to say, All these were moral laws, and pertained only to good order. "But the pope" (ye say) "allowed the emperor's ecclesiastical laws; otherwise, of the emperor's own authority, they had no force." The truth hereof, by the particulars, may soon appear.

By one of the emperor's laws, it is provided, That the

Cod. [lib, 1. tit. 2.] de Sacrosanct. Eccl.: Omni

13. [p. 94.] Imperatoris

Sacrosanct. Ecclesiis:

bishops of Constantinople shall have equal power and preroinnovatione. gative with the bishop of Rome. This law the pope could never brook. And yet, that notwithstanding, Liberatus Liberat. cap. saith: "It holdeth still by the emperor's authority, whether the pope will or no." Again, it is provided in the same Cod. [lib. 1. law, that the churches [ed. 1570, church] of Illyricum, in their doubtful cases, shall appeal to Constantinople, and not to Rome.

Omni inno-The emperor Constantine saith: "If the bishop move vatione. Theod, lib. 1. cap. 20. [iii. trouble" (by doctrine, or otherwise), "by my hand he cap. 20. [iii. trouble" (by doctrine, or otherwise), by my hand he cap. 20. [iv. bc. est, shall be punished: for my hand is the hand of God's mean manual. mea manu coercebitur. minister 18."

Auth. tit. 123. [ap. Haloand, fol. 344. a.]

Justinian, the emperor, in his law, commandeth, That the priest, or bishop, in pronouncing the public prayers, and in the ministration of the sacraments, lift up his voice, and speak aloud, that the people may say, Amen, and be stirred to more devotion. Again he saith, as it is noted Authen, coll, in the Gloss upon the Authentics: Papa temporalibus.....

1. Quomodo immiscere se non debet: "The pope may not intermeddle oporteat Episcopos. [tit. 6. cap. 1. with temporal causes." gloss, s.]

ctiss. Episcopis: Ju-bemus Beatissimos Ar-Auth. tit. 133. [Coll. 9. cap. 6.] 9.] Carol, Magn. lib, 6, cap, 162, [leg, cap.

167, fol. 159. b.?

Authen. col. "We command the most holy archbishops and patriarchs, 9. tit. 15. [leg. tit. 6. c. 3. Novell. 123.] de San- [Theopolis,] and of Jerusalem." The same emperor Justinian commandeth, That all monks either be driven to study the scriptures, or else be forced to bodily labour. pos, Senioris Carolus Magnus made a law, That nothing should be read openly in the church, saving only the canonical books of the holy scriptures. And that the faithful people should Ansig. tit. 1. receive the holy communion every Sunday. I leave the cap. 20. fol. rehearsal of infinite other like examples rehearsal of infinite other like examples.

In the same laws, the same emperor Justinian saith:

Now, M. Harding, will you say, or may we believe, ²⁵ [See note ⁵⁶, p. 322. of this volume.]

verbum San-

that all these, and other like laws, were allowed by the pope? Verily, certain of them are made directly against the pope.

Indeed your glosser saith: Ad quid intromittit se impe-Auth. col. 1. rator de spiritualibus, vel ecclesiasticis, cum sciat ad se non 1.] Quomodo pertinere? "Wherefore doth the emperor thus busy him-Gloss in self with these spiritual or ecclesiastical matters, seeing he cimus. knoweth they are no part of his charge?" To so profound a question, after a long solemn study, he deviseth this answer: Dic, quod authoritate papæ hoc facit: "Say thou, that he doth it by the pope's authority." And then the whole matter is discharged, and all is well.

Notwithstanding, some likelihood hereof ve would seem to gather, even out of Justinian's own words. For thus he saith unto the pope, although far otherwise than you have forced him to say:..... Omnia quæ ad ecclesiarum statum Cod. [lib. 1. pertinent, festinavimus ad notitiam deferre vestræ sancti-Sum. Trin. tatis.....Necessarium ducimus [al. duximus], ut ad notitiam the : Inter vestræ sanctitatis pervenirent. Nec enim patimur, quicquam, Reddentes.] quod ad ecclesiarum statum pertinet,.....ut non etiam vestræ innotescat sanctitati: quæ caput est omnium sanctarum ecclesiarum: "Whatsoever things pertain to the state of the churches, we have speedily brought to the knowledge of your holiness. We thought it necessary that your holiness should have knowledge thereof. We suffer not any thing, that concerneth the state of the churches, but it be brought to the knowledge of your holiness, which is the head or chief of all the holy churches."

The emperor willeth the pope to take knowledge of his laws, for that he was the chief of the four principal patriarchs, and, in respect of his see, the greatest bishop of all the world: for which cause also he calleth him the head or chief of all churches. So Justinian saith: Roma Cod. de veest caput orbis terrarum: "Rome is the head of all the enucleando. world." So St. Chrysostom saith: Caput prophetarum 20, fol. 32.

Elias: "Elias the head of the propheta". Service D. col. 1.] Elias: "Elias the head of the prophets." So saith Pru-Chrysost. ad dentius: Sancta Bethlem caput est orbis: "The holy Roman.hom. town of Bothlehom is the head of the world?" town of Bethlehem is the head of the world."

Prudent, in Enchiridio. [p, 6.]

So Nazianzene calleth St. Basil, Τον της οἰκουμένης οφ-Nazian, in ep. ad Basil 14. [al. 46. ii. θαλμόν, Oculum orbis terrarum: "The eye of all the p. 41.] So Justinian calleth the bishop of Constantinople,

Auth. col. 1. an universal patriarch: Epiphanio universali patriarchæ. [tit. 3.]

These, and other like words, pass oftentimes in favour as titles of honour. But they import not always that universal government or infinite authority, that the pope sithence hath imagined. But touching the confirmation and allowance of the emperor's laws, in these words of Justinian, ve find nothing: unless ve will say, notitia is Latin for allowance: or, pervenire is Latin to confirm.

Ut determinatus. [tom. v. fol. 7. col. Fide cathol.: 4. col. 4. 5.]

The emperor's purpose was, as it plainly appeareth by his words, by these and all other means to bring the see Cod. de Sum- of Rome into credit. For thus he saith: Properamus. ma Trinit. et honorem, et authoritatem crescere sedis vestræ: omnes Inter claras, sacerdotes universi orientalis tractus, et subjicere, et unire sedi vestræ sanctitatis properavimus Plus ita vestræ sedis crescet authoritas: "We labour to advance the honour and authority of your see: we labour to subdue and to join all the priests of the east part unto the see of your holiness. Thus shall the authority of your see the more increase." Notwithstanding, it is noted by the learned of your own

Greg. Haloander et Azo,

side, that these epistles between the emperor and the pope, in the oldest allowed books, are not found: and therefore are suspected to savour of some Roman forgery. Hereby it is easy to understand, that until the time of the emperor Justinian, which was well near six hundred years after Christ, the bishops of the east church were not subject to the bishop of Rome, and that for so long time the pope was not yet known for the head of the universal church of God. Hervieus [!. One of your own allowed doctors saith thus: Dicere, quod Johan de Paprinceps non potest facere leges, vel eis uti, quousque fuerint approbate per papam, falsum est: "To say that the prince cannot either make or use his laws before the pope have allowed them, it is plainly false 26." Abbot Panor-

ris.] de po-test. Papæ, cap. 17.

> ²⁶ [The marginal reference to take of Hervæus for Johan. Pathis passage, (with the usual mis-ris.,) which had been omitted in

mitane, to qualify the matter, saith thus: Lex principis Extr. de Conpræjudicialis ecclesiis, non extenditur ad ecclesias, nisi ex-Sanc, Marie.

presse approbetur per papam: sed, si favet ecclesiis, intel-[Panor.tom. ligitur approbata, nisi expresse reprobetur: "The prince's 31. col. 2.] law, if it be prejudicial or hurtful to the church, is not extended unto the church, unless it be expressly allowed by the pope: but if it be profitable for the church, we must think it is allowed, unless it be expressly disallowed."

But here, M. Harding, this one thing ye may note by the way, that notwithstanding you cannot find by any shift or colour, whereof ye lack no store, that the pope hath authority to allow the emperor's laws, yet of the other side we are able readily to find, that the emperor hath authority to allow the pope's laws. For so the emperor Justinian himself saith: A præcedentibus nos imperatori-[tit.6. cap. 1. bus, et a nobis ipsis recte dictum est, oportere sacras regulas [1.8.] Quomodo pro legibus valere: "It is well said, both by other empe-oporteat. rors, our predecessors, and also by us, that the holy canons must be holden for laws."

Likewise saith pope Honorius III.: Imperator Justinia-Extra de Junus decrevit, ut canones patrum vim legum habere oporteat: Calum. Inherentes.
"The emperor Justinian hath decreed, that the canons of [lib. 2. tit. 7. the fathers shall have the force of laws."

But what can be so plain as that Justinian hereof writeth himself? These be his words: Nisi intra præscriptum tem-Authen. de pus ad ecclesias suas redeant, deponantur, et alii in illorum versis capilocum surrogentur, idque authoritate et vi hujus præsentis tit. 6. cap. 9.] legis: "Unless bishops and priests repair again unto their κατὰ τῆν τοῦ παρόν-churches by a day appointed, let them be deprived from τος νόμου their livings, and let others be placed in their rooms, δύναμιν. (not by the authority of the pope, but) "by the force and authority of this present law 27." So saith St. Augustine: Reges in terris serviunt [al. serviant] Christo, faciendo leges Aug, ep. 48. pro Christo: "Kings in the world serve Christ, in that [ii. 239.]

the edit. of 1609, is here restored from that of 1567. In that of 1570, where the mistake in the name was corrected, the chapter fol. 343. a.]

was cited as cap. 18.]

27 [See this passage in Greek, ap. Haloand. Novell. ed. Græc.

Cod, de vet. jure enuclean, llb. I. [tom. iv. fol. 31. col. 3.]

Novell.] 133. in Præf.] τον ἐστὶ είς ζητήσιν τῆ βασιλεία.

they make laws for Christ." Likewise saith Justinian: Legum authoritas et divinas et humanas res bene disponit: "By the authority of the" (emperor's) "laws, both heavenly and worldly things are well ordered." And again: Auth. tit. [l. Nullum genus rerum est, quod non sit penitus quærendum [col. 9. tit. 16. authoritate imperatoris 28. Is enim recipit a Deo commuμηδέν άβα- nem gubernationem et principalitatem super omnes homines: "There is no kind of thing, but it may be thoroughly examined by the authority of the emperor. For he receiveth from God a general government and principality over all men:" that is, as well of the clergy as of the laity. saith Paulus, the bishop of Apamea, unto the same emperor Justinian, upon the death of Agapetus, the bishop of Rome: Transtulit ipsum Dominus, ut plenitudinem directionis vestræ custodiret serenitati: "Our Lord hath taken the pope away, that he might reserve the whole fulness of order unto your majesty."

Concil, Constant. 5. act. 1. [viii. 983.]

Touching the deprivation of the two popes, Sylverius and Vigilius, ye say, "it was done only by Theodora the empress, and not by the emperor Justinian:" and therein, ye think, ye have taken us in some great advantage. Notwithstanding, in your own Pontifical it is written thus: Concil. tom. 2. in Vita Vigilii. [ed. Crabb. p. 3.]

Romanis: vel quomodo in loco Sylverii statuisset Vigilium. Tunc gratias ei egerunt imperator et Augusta: "The emperor demanded of his captain Belisarius, how he had done with the Romans: and how he had deposed pope Sylverius, and placed Vigilius in his stead. Upon his answer, both the emperor and the empress gave him thanks." Now

illo, 500 tit. 17.

10. In regular mandato comparatur: "The allowance of a thing done, is lis juris.

Petr. de Palude De potest. Papæ. art. 4.

pendix, de Sylverio.

as good as a commission for the doing." Some of your friends have said: Totus mundus non potest deponere aut judicare papam: "The whole world cannot depose or judge the pope." Yet Eutropius saith: Eutropii Ap- Si quando imperialis legatus mitteretur a principe, ut Ro-

ye know, it is a rule in law: Ratihabitio (retrotrahitur, et)

28 [Add to the Greek quotation in the margin κοινήν πάντων ανθρώπων έπιστασίαν έκ Θεού πα-

ραλαβούση. Novellæ, Græce ed. Haloander, fol. 381. a.]

manus pontifex proficisceretur Constantinopolim ad imperatorem, omni neglecta occasione, ibat, etiamsi pro certo sciret, se iturum in exilium: " If the emperor's ambassador had commanded the bishop of Rome to appear at Constantinople before the emperor, he went straightway without excuse, yea, although he certainly knew that he should be banished." Here I leave sundry examples of emperors, that by their authority have deposed not only other bishops, but also popes: as the example of Honorius, that Ennodius: deposed pope Bonifacius: of Theodoricus, that deposed ta Bonif. 1. pope Symmachus: of Otho, that deposed pope John XII.: of Henry, that deposed pope Benedictus IX .: and that, as it is recorded, not by wilful might or tyranny, but imperiali, et canonica censura: "By his imperial, and by the canonical censures." Yea, one of your own friends saith thus: Populus commendabiliter, zelo fidei commotus, Joh. de Paris. Constantinum papam, qui erat ecclesiæ in scandalum, pri-Reg. et Pap. vavit oculis, et deposuit: "The people of Rome, moved 23. [p.145.] with the zeal of faith, took pope Constantine, and pulled loco. out his eyes, and deposed him, for that he was slanderous unto the church: and they deserved great praise for the same."

"Howbeit" (ye say) "these two popes, Sylverius and Vigilius, were good men, and godly fathers; and therefore the removing of them was violence and tyranny." And hereto ye apply the unsavoury similitude of your homely puddings. Notwithstanding, what virtue or holiness was in either of these men, it may soon appear by the story. Pope Sylverius was chosen pope by corrup-conc. tom. 2. tion and simony, contrary to the will of the clergy: pope [ed. Crabb. Vigilius accused him of treason, for that he would have pp. 1. 2.] betrayed the city of Rome to the Gotthians. As for pope [leg. lib. 4.] cap. 19. [tom. Vigilius, your *Pontifical* saith: "He was a false witness [ii. 401.] against his predecessor pope Sylverius: he sought undue vigilio, [ap. means to remove him, and to place himself: he kept him Crabb. p. 4-1 in prison, and starved him for hunger: he gave a great sum of money to procure the popedom to himself: he killed his own notary: he killed a young man, being a widow's son: and of these crimes he was accused before

the emperor." Such virtue and such holiness the world found in them. Therefore the godly emperor, in removing of them, used neither extraordinary violence, as you say, nor injurious tyranny.

Joh. de Paris. c. 14. [p. 127.]

Your own fellows say: Si papa sit incorrigibilis, nec cardinales possint per se amovere scandalum de ecclesia, tunc in subsidium juris, deberent supplicando invocare brachium sæculare. Et tunc imperator, requisitus a cardinalibus, deberet procedere contra papam: " If the pope be uncorrigible, and the cardinals be not able of themselves to remove the offence from the church, then ought they, for aid of the law, by way of intreaty, to call upon the secular power. And then the emperor, being thus desired, ought to proceed orderly against the pope."

Fran, Zabar,

Franciscus Zabarella saith, as he is alleged before: Papa et Concilio. potest accusari (coram imperatore) 29 de quolibet crimine de Imp. fol. notorio: et imperator requirere potest a papa rationem fidei: 237.col.2.A.] "The pope, in any notorious crime, may be accused before the emperor: and the emperor may require the pope to yield a reckoning of his faith."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 1.

Let us see then such men as have authority over [Vol. iv. p. the bishops, such men as receive from God commandments concerning religion, such as bring home again the ark of God, make holy hymns, oversee the priests, build the temple, make orations touching divine service, cleanse the temples, destroy the hill altars, burn the idol groves, teach the priests their duties, write them out precepts how they should live, kill the wicked prophets, displace the high priests, summon together holy councils, sit together with the bishops, instructing them what they ought to do, examine, condemn, and punish heretics, be

^{29 [}The words in parenthesis point, see Harding's remarks and are not in the original; on this Jewel's answer, supra vol. vi. 330.]

made acquainted with matter of religion; subscribe and give sentence to the determinations of councils: and do all these things, not by any other man's commission, but in their own name, and that both uprightly and godly: shall we say, It pertaineth not to such men to have to do with religion? Or shall say, A Christian magistrate, which dealeth amongst others in these matters, doth either naughtily, or presumptuously, or wickedly? The most ancient and Christian emperors and kings that ever were, did occupy themselves in these matters, and yet were they never for this cause noted either of wickedness or of presumption. And what is he, that can find out either princes more catholic, or examples more notable?

M. HARDING.

Now, then, kings and emperors, awho have their first authority a Untruths, by the positive law of nations, anot by supernatural grace from ther, open God, as priests have; who can have no more power than the and manifest. Read people hath, a of whom they take their temporal jurisdiction;..... the answer. who have ever been anointed and blessed by bishops, whosoever b Untruth, blesseth being greater than he that is blessed;.....shall we say, M. Harding's that such kings and emperors have authority to rule the church own doctors. cwhose sons they are? To be supreme heads over them whom c And is not they ought to kneel unto for absolution? To control their spi-son of the ritual judges? whom, if they sin by human frailty, they dought thurch? Then is he to cover with their cloaks, das the great Constantine said; to not the son degrade them of whom they must be baptized, anointed, crowned, d Untruth. and buried ?..... For, that the so to do, Constanti-

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Now, M. Harding, ye huddle up hastily your poor said it. arguments in heaps together. One or two of them only I mind to touch: the rest are not worth the stirring. First. ye say, "The pope's power is of God, the prince's power is only of man; the one supernatural, the other natural." In such sort your pope Nicolas adorneth and magnifieth his own chair. For thus he saith with a jolly courage: Illud verbum quo constructum est cœlum et terra, quo deni-Dist.22. Om-

que omnia ficta sunt elementa, Romanam fundavit ecclesiam: "The word of God, whereby heaven and earth was made, and whereby all the elements were fashioned, the same word founded the church of Rome." As if the church of Rome and other churches were not all of one foundation.

siis, De po-

Stanislaus Orichovius

fol. 97.

Joh. de Pari- Another of your pope's retainers saith: Papatus est summa test. Reg. et virtus creata: "The popedom is the highest virtue or power [cap. 24. pp. that ever God made:" that is to say, the popedom is above angels, archangels, thrones, dominations, and all the powers, in, under, or above the heavens. Another saith even as you say, and as I have partly said before: Rex per hominem fit: sacerdos autem proxime nascitur ex Deo ipso:..... in Chimæra, Quantum Deus præstat sacerdoti, tantum sacerdos præstat regi: "The king is made by man: but the priest is immediately begotten of God. As much as God excelleth the

And, notwithstanding all this supernatural power be as well in the simplest priest as in the pope, yet another of Pet. de Palud. your doctors saith: Papa eligitur secundum jus divinum: De potest. Curator. art. alii vero episcopi secundum jus humanum: "The pope is chosen by the law of God; but other bishops are chosen by the law of man 30." Thus ye think no colour too dear to paint out the pope's face, and to make it to shine fair and glorious.

priest, so much the priest excelleth the king."

"But the prince's power" (ve say) "is temporal and natural, and only from beneath, and only of man, and, therefore, can be no greater than man may give him." This is your Louvanian divinity, M. Harding: so highly ye esteem the dignity and majesty of the prince. But God

Prov. viii. 16. himself saith: Per me reges regnant: "Kings rule by me," Rom. xiii. 1. (and not by man.) St. Paul saith: Non est potestas, nisi a Deo: "There is no power or princehood, but from God."

Joh. xix. 11. Likewise Christ himself said unto Pilate: "Thou couldst have no power over me, unless it were given thee" (not from man, but) "from above." To like purpose the emperor Justinian saith: maxima in omnibus sunt dona Dei,

^{30 [}Petr. de Palude : "in papatu " dum jus divinum, in aliis autem " successio est ordinaria secun-" secundum jus humanum."]

et superna collata clementia, sacerdotium et imperium, &c. Auth. Col. 1. Ex uno eodemque principio utraque procedentia humanam modo oporexornant vitam: "Priesthood and princehood be in all test Episcothings the greatest gifts of God, given unto us from the mercy above. These two flowing," (not the one only from man, and the other from God, but) "both from one original, do adorn and beautify the life of man." Upon which words it is noted in your Gloss: Idem principium habent, Glossn: In verbum U-et parum differunt: "Priesthood and princehood have one traque. original, and small difference." He saith not, as you say, the odds between these two is so great, as is between natural and supernatural, between heaven and earth, or between God and man. But he saith plainly, priesthood and princehood have one original, and little odds, and small difference. Theodorus Balsamon saith thus: Nota Balsamon in canonem, qui dicit, spirituales dignitates esse præstantiores canon. 7. sæcularibus, seu mundanis dignitatibus. Sed ne hoc eo traxeris, ut ecclesiastica dignitates praferantur imperatoriis: illis enim subjiciuntur: "Mark well this canon, that saith, 'The spiritual dignities are better than the temporal or worldly dignities.' But ye may not gather thereof, that the dignities of the church are above the dignity of an emperor, for they are subject and inferior to him." Thus you see, M. Harding, how easily your light conjectures may deceive you. And, therefore, another of your doctors saith: Supponunt, Joh. de Pariquod potestas regalis sit corporalis, et non spiritualis; et [p. 132.] quod habeat curam corporum, et non animarum: quod falsum est: "They imagine, that the prince's power is only bodily, and not ghostly: and that the prince hath the charge of men's bodies, but none of their souls: but this is stark false."

And whereas you so highly extol your pope's universal power, as if it were supernatural and heavenly, and came only from God; another of your doctors saith: Ea, quæ Joh. de Parlsunt jurisdictionis papæ, non sunt supra naturam et conditest. Regia, tionem negotii, nec supra conditionem hominum. Quia non 25. [cap. 24. est supra conditionem hominis, quod homines præsint hominibus. Imo naturale est quodammodo: "Such things as belong to the pope's jurisdiction, are not above nature,

nor above the condition of the thing itself, nor above the capacity of a man: for it is not above the nature of a man, for man to rule over men: nay, rather, in a sort, it is natural."

Now, M. Harding, if the prince's power be from God as well as the pope's: if the pope's power concerning jurisdiction be natural as well as the prince's: if they flow both from one original: if they have so small difference, what meant you, then, by these odious comparisons, so highly and so ambitiously to advance the one, and so disdainfully and scornfully to abase the other? What meant you, so vainly to say, "that kings and emperors have their authority by the positive law of nations: and popes have their authority by the supernatural grace of God?" Touching the prince's power, we are certainly assured, by God's holy word, it is from God. As for the pope's infinite and universal power, throughout the whole scriptures, from the Genesis unto the Apocalypse, unless it be the power of darkness, ye can find nothing.

Hervæus [leg. Johann. de Parisiis]

Ye say, "Kings have evermore been anointed and blessed by bishops." This is another foul untruth. For you might easily have known, that Christian kings in old times were never anointed. Your own doctor saith: In Novo Testamento non legimus quod sacerdotes debeant inun-De potest.
Pap. cap. 18. gere reges: nec etiam nunc observatur in omnibus regibus [sub initio.] Christianis: ut patet in regibus Hispaniæ: "We read not in the New Testament, that priests or bishops ought to anoint kings. Neither is that order at this day used amongst all kings that be christened: as it appeareth by the kings of Spain." For they are not anointed. Again ye say, and that ye bring in as a special good argument of your side, "The emperor kneeleth to the priest for absolution: ergo, the emperor is not the head of the church." How may a man answer such follies better than with the like folly. The pope himself, by your own decrees, is bound to confess his sins, and kneeleth down to a simple priest for absolution. For your canonists say: Papa tenetur confiteri peccata sua uni sacerdoti: et simplex sacerdos potest illum ligare et absolvere: "The pope is bound to

confess his sins to some one priest: and a simple priest may both bind him and absolve him." Ergo, by your own conclusion, the pope is not head of the church. Such a handsome proctor the pope hath gotten to promote his cause.

With such pretty stuff, M. Harding, ye think to overrun and to conquer the world.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 2.

Wherefore, if it were lawful for them to do thus, being but civil magistrates, and having the chief rule of commonweals, what offence have our princes at this day committed, that they, being in the like degree, may not have leave to do the like? Or what especial gift of learning, or of judgment, or of holiness, have these men now, that they, contrary to the custom of all the ancient and catholic bishops, who used to confer with princes and peers concerning religion, do now thus reject and cast off Christian princes from knowing of the cause, and from their meetings?

M. HARDING.

.....We answer, It was a never lawful, in any temporal prince, a Untruth, to judge in causes of religion. a Neither did any prince before as may easily this time ever use it.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

This is another of your absolute truths, M. Harding. For Part. 6. cap. answer whereof, it may please you indifferently to weigh et 5. that I have written a little before touching the same.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 15. Divis. 3.

Well, thus doing, they wisely and warily provide for themselves, and for their *kingdom*, which otherwise they see is like shortly to come to nought. For if so be, they, whom God hath placed in greatest dignity, did see and perceive these men's practices,

[Vol. iv. p. 82.]

[Vol. iv. p. 82.]

how Christ's commandments be despised by them, how the light of the gospel is darkened and quenched out by them, and how themselves also be subtilely beguiled and mocked, and unawares be deluded by them, and the way to the kingdom of heaven stopped up before them; no doubt, they would never so quietly suffer themselves, neither to be disdained after such a proud sort, nor so despitefully to be scorned and abused by them. But now, through their own lack of understanding, and through their own blindness, these men have them fast yoked, and in their danger.

M. HARDING.

a Otherwise it were not the kingdom b When they be once espied: and not before.

Bishops a cannot uphold their kingdom by wrong doing. b That is the way to pull them down. Therefore we are well of darkness. assured, that your schismatical superintendentship cannot stand, though all the power of the world were bent to hold it up. Your wicked state is not planted of God, and therefore it shall be rooted out. It is God that ruleth: it is God that setteth up, and putteth down. This state of Christ's church hath continued, and the successor of Peter hath governed it; whereas the groundless building of all the heretics, from Simon Magus, downward, to this day, hath failedLuther is rotten, and his new found religion decayed, and the pope sitteth in Peter's chair, and so shall his successors to the end.

Ye would men to believe, that emperors and kings are deceived by the popes and bishops. But, I pray you, what is the cause, that princes cannot espy these deceits (if any such were) as well as ye? If themselves lack your knowledge, yet have they wise men about them, who, for their duties' sake, and their allegiance to them, would soon advertise them, how by the bishops they be subtilely beguiled and mocked......

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Of the maintenance of your kingdom, M. Harding, Christ said unto certain of your forefathers: Hoc est tempus vestrum, et potestas tenebrarum: "This is your time, and the Matt. xv. 14. power of darkness. Blind they are, and the guides of the blind. If the blind lead the blind, they will both fall into the ditch." The lion, or wild bull, be they never so cruel,

Luke xxii.

or great of courage, yet if ye may once closely cover their eyes, ye may easily lead them whither ye list, without resistance. Even so doth the pope hoodwink and blindfold the princes of the world, and hold them in ignorance: which done, he maketh them to hold his stirrup, to lead his horse, to kneel down and to kiss his shoe, and to attend upon him at his pleasure. But if they knew either him, or themselves, they would not do it.

St. Chrysostom saith, as it is noted before :..... Hæretici Chrysost. in sacerdotes claudunt januas veritatis. Sciunt enim, si mani-perfect.
Homil. 44 festata esset veritas, quod ipsorum ecclesia esset relinquenda: [vi.app. 186.] et ipsi de sacerdotali dignitate ad humilitatem venirent popularem: "Heretical bishops shut up the gates of the truth. For they know, that, if the truth be once laid open, their church shall be forsaken: and they, from their pontifical dignity, shall be brought down to the baseness of the people."

Petrus Ferrariensis, in consideration hereof, complaineth thus: O miseros imperatores, et sæculares principes, qui hæc Pet. Ferraet alia sustinetis.....et vos servos ecclesiæ facitis: et mun-ab llyric. dum per eos infinitis modis usurpari videtis: nec de remedio Veritatis. cogitatis: quia prudentiæ et sapientiæ non intenditis: [art. 340.] "Alas, miserable are ye, the emperors and princes of the world, that abide these and other like things," (at the pope's hands,) "and make yourselves slaves unto their church. Ye see, the world is by innumerable ways miserably abused by them: yet ye never bethink yourselves of any remedy, because ye apply not your minds to wisdom and knowledge."

St. Hierom saith: Ut sagittent in obscuro rectos corde. Hier. in
Esaia. lib. 9. Isti tantam sibi assumunt authoritatem, ut sive dextra do-30. [iii. 258.] ceant, seu sinistra, id est, sive bona, sive mala, nolint discipulos ratione discutere, sed se præcessores sequi. Tunc hi, qui prius decipiebant, nequaquam ultra ad eos valebunt accedere, postquam se senserint intellectos: "To strike in the dark them that be simple of heart, these men challenge unto themselves such authority, that whether they teach with the right hand, or with the left, that is to say, whether

they teach good things, or bad, they will not have their disciples, or hearers, with reason to examine their sayings, but only to follow them, being their leaders. For then they, which before deceived the people, can no more come unto them, after they once perceive they be espied."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 16. Divis. 1.

Verily, we, for our parts, as we have said, have [Vol. iv. p. done nothing in altering religion, upon either rashness or arrogance: nor nothing, but with good leisure, and great consideration. Neither had we ever intended to do it, except both the manifest and most assured will of God, opened to us in his holy scriptures, and the regard of our own salvation, had even constrained us thereunto. For, though we have departed from that church, which these men call catholic, and by that means get us envy amongst them that want skill to judge, yet is this enough for us, and it ought to be enough for every wise and good man, and one that maketh account of everlasting life, that we have gone from that church which hath power to err: which Christ, who cannot err, told so long before, it should err: and which we ourselves did evidently see with our eyes, to have gone from the old 31 holy fathers, and from the apostles, and from Christ himself, and from the primitive and catholic church of God: and we are come, as near as we possibly could, to the church of the apostles, and of the old catholic bishops and fathers: which church, we know, was sound and perfect, and, as Tertullian termeth it, a pure virgin, spotted, as then, with no idolatry, nor with any foul or shameful

^{31 [}There is nothing in the original to correspond to the word "old."]

fault: and have directed, according to their customs and ordinances, not only our doctrine, but also the sacraments, and the form of common prayer.

M. HARDING.

.....Ye have treated thereof after your accustomed manner, that is to say, with all untruth and lies

Ye come in with many gay words: whereto, with guilty conscience, inwardly, ye say, yea, thereto, with lying tongue, outwardly, do ye not say, nay?

This generation of loose apostates, incestuous vow-breakers, sacrilegious church-robbers, despisers of all holiness, breakers of the dead men's wills, overthrowers of all ancient order and discipline

If it be so, where be your signs? Where be your miracles? Where be the examples of your rare virtue and holiness

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The rest of your speech we will pass over, as talk of course. Touching your curious demand of signs and miracles, pope Leo may answer you. For, whereas certain of your forefathers, in old times, said, "If he be the King Matt. xxvii. of Israel, let him come down from the cross, and we will 42. believe;" pope Leo saith thus unto them: Non erat vestræ Leo in Serm. cæcitatis arbitrio, stulti scribæ, et impii sacerdotes, osten-Dom. [Serm. denda potentia Salvatoris: "Ye foolish scribes, and wicked 293.] priests, the power of our Saviour was not to be shewed at the discretion of your blindness." God sheweth his miracles when, and where, and to whom he will.

St. Chrysostom saith: In fine temporis concedenda est Chrys. in potestas diabolo.....ut faciat signa utilia: ut jam ministros Hom. 49. Christi non per hoc cognoscamus, quia utilia faciunt signa, imp. vi. app. sed quia omnino hæc signa non faciunt: "In the end of time, power shall be given to the devil, to work profitable signs and miracles: so that then we cannot know the ministers of Christ by that they work profitable miracles, but by that they work no miracles at all."

St. Augustine saith: Non dicat,.....Ideo verum est, quia Aug. de unlitat. Eccles. illa vel illa mirabilia fecit, vel iste, vel ille: aut quia ho-cap. 16. [ix. mines ad memorias mortuorum nostrorum orant, et exaudi-371.] untur: aut quia illa vel illa ibi contingunt, &c. Removean-

tur ista, vel figmenta mendacium hominum, vel portenta fallacium spirituum: "Let no man say, Therefore it is true, for that this man, or that man, hath wrought this or that miracle; for that men make their prayer at the tombs of our dead, and obtain their desires: or for that these or these miracles be wrought there, &c. Away with these things: they may be either the jugglings and mockeries of deceitful men, or else illusions of lying spirits."

Chrysost. in Matt. hom. vi. app. 204.]

Again, St. Chrysostom saith: Per signa cognoscebatur, Matt. nom. 49. [Op. imp. qui essent veri Christiani, qui falsi. Nunc autem signorum operatio omnino levata est: magis autem invenitur apud eos, qui falsi sunt Christiani: "In old times it was known by miracles, who were the true Christians, and who the false. But now the working of miracles is taken quite away, and is rather found among them that be false Christians."

Aug. in Joh. tract. xiii, [iii. pt. 2. 398.]

Therefore, St. Augustine saith: Contra illos mirabiliarios cautum me fecit Deus meus, dicendo, In novissimis diebus exurgent pseudoprophetæ, facientes signa et portenta, ut inducant in errorem, si fieri possit, etiam electos: "Against these mongers of miracles my God hath armed me, saying, 'In the latter days there shall rise up false prophets, working signs and wonders, to deceive the elect of God, if it be possible."

Matt. xxiv. 24.

> Neither is the gospel of Christ preached this day utterly without miracles. The blind see: the dumb speak: your idols are fallen: your great Babylon is come to ground. These, M. Harding, if you have eyes to see them, are no St. Chrysostom saith: "The conversion small miracles. of the world is a miracle." St. Augustine saith: " Modo caro caca non aperit oculos miraculo Domini: et cor cacum aperit oculos sermone Domini: "Now-a-days the blind flesh openeth not her eyes by the miracle of our Lord: but the blind heart openeth his eyes at the word of our Lord."

Chrys, in 1 Cor. hom. 6. [x. 46. 47.] Aug. de Verbis Dom, secund. Matt. ser. 18. [v. 420.]

Eodem loco. [ibid.]

And again: Modo aures corporis surdæ non aperiuntur: sed quam multi habent clausas aures cordis, quæ tamen, verbo Dei penetrante, patescant: "Now-a-days the deaf ears of the body be not opened: yet many there are, that have the ears of their heart shut up: which ears notwithstanding are opened by entering of the word of God."

Therefore, we may rightly say to you with other words of St. Augustine: Quisquis adhuc prodigia, ut credat, in-Aug. de Civ. Quirit, magnum est ipse prodigium: qui, mundo credente, c.8. [vii.663.] non credit: "Whosoever yet requireth miracles to bring him to the faith, is himself a great miracle, that, the world believing, remaineth still in unbelief."

Whereas the Pharisees said of Christ, "Let him now come down from the cross, and we will believe him;"

St. Hierom saith unto them, Etiamsi de cruce descende-Hieronym. In Matt. xxvii. ret, similiter non crederetis: "Yea, although he should [iv. 138.] come down from the cross, yet would ye not believe him."

But it were a world to behold the glorious countenance of your miracles, M. Harding. Your crosses can speak: your idols can go: your images can light their own lamps: your holy water is able to calm the sea, to chase away mice, and to make barren women to conceive. If you doubt hereof, confer with M. Cope, one of your own Louvanian copus Dial. company, or with that worthy prelate, the bishop of Verona, your holy father Lipomanus. I am ashamed to remember the things, that you are not ashamed, even now in these days, to publish in writing. Howbeit, such religion! such miracles! St. Hierom saith: Mendacium An-Hieron ad tichristi Christi veritas devorabit: "The truth of Christ [iv. 210.] shall devour and consume the falsehood of Antichrist."

The Apology, Chap. 16. Divis. 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 81.1 And, as we know both Christ himself, and all good men heretofore have done, we have called home again to the *original* and first *foundation*, that *religion* which hath been foully neglected, and utterly corrupted by these men. For we thought it meet thence to take the pattern of reforming *religion*, from whence the ground of *religion* was first taken; because this one reason, as saith the most ancient father Tertullian, hath great force

[Tertull. adv. against all heresies: "Look whatsoever was first, that Praxeam, c. 2. p. 501.] is true: and whatsoever is latter, that is corrupt." [Iren. lib. 3. Irenæus oftentimes appealed to the oldest churches, c. 2. p. 175.] which had been nearest to Christ's time, and which it was hard to believe had been in error. at this day is not the same common regard and consideration had? Why return we not to the pattern of the old churches? Why may not we hear at this

> pronounced in times past in the council at Nice, by so many bishops and catholic fathers, and nobody once speaking against it, "Εθη ἀρχαῖα κρατείτω; " Hold still the old customs?"

> time amongst us the same saying, which was openly

M. HARDING.

Ye say much in your own commendation, but lies be no proofs: ye have not called religion home again to the original and first foundation, as ye say: but ye have quite overthrown all true religion from the foundation. As for your apeish novelty, ye have taken the pattern thereof from Satan, author of division, the ancient enemy of Christ, and of his true religion. We admit the saying of Tertullian, (though it be not altogether as Contra Praye allege it,) that this reason hath great force against all here-xeam. sies: "Whatsoever was first, that is true: whatsoever is latter, that is corrupt." Of the blessed sacrament, Christ said first,

a Here followed somewhat touch-

ing the sa-

sacrifice, which mat-

ters are o-

therwhere

Ye would seem to be fain that we followed the advice of Irenæus. We are content with all our hearts. And with Irenæus crament and we appeal to that tradition which is from the apostles, which (as he saith) is kept in the churches by priests that succeeded them. with Irenæus, leaving other churches, whose successions of Lib. 3. c. 2. answered moreatlarge, bishops it were a long work to rehearse, we require to have recourse, for trial of our faith, to the tradition of doctrine of the Roman church, which he termeth greatest, oldest, best known to all, founded and set up by the two most glorious apostles, Peter never knew the disorders and Paul. We appeal to the faith of that church, taught abroad and deformities that now in the world, and by successions of bishops brought down unto

b Irenæus us. For to this church, b saith Irenaus, must all the church of Lib. 3. c. 3. are in the church of Christ repair, wheresoever it be, for that it is the chief of all, Rome. and for that the tradition of the true doctrine, which the apostles c Untruth. left behind them, c is there faithfully kept. Wherefore, if ye

For, as now, it is all unfaithfully perverted.

would, after the counsel of Irenæus, resort to Rome for decision of the controversies that be betwixt you and us, and would them to be tried by that sense of doctrine, d which hath continued by d Untruth, successions of bishops even from Peter to Pius the Fourth, now apparent to pope; and would stand to the authority of that see apostolic, all all the world. strife were ended, we should be at accord. But we have little hope ye will follow this godly counsel of St. Irenæus, that blessed martyr, whose body your brethren, the Huguenots of France, villanously burned at Lyons, A. D. 1562, after it had rested there thirteen hundred years and more.....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The preeminence, that Irenæus giveth to the church of Rome, standeth in consent, and unity, and agreement of doctrine; not in superiority or government over all the world. For proof whereof ye may understand that Irenæus, in the same place likewise, specially noteth the church of Iren. lib. 3. Smyrna, planted by Polycarpus; and the church of Ephe- c. 3. [pp. 175. 177.] Valde lonsus, planted by St. John; and, generally, sundry other gumest, great churches, planted by men of apostolical dignity: unto elestrom which he willeth us in like manner to repair: and not successioonly to the church of Rome. The emperor Theodosius Cod. Theod. willeth his subjects to conform themselves in doctrine, [leg. tit. 1. c. not only to the Roman bishop, but also either to Necta-tradi.] rius, the bishop of Constantinople: or to Timotheus, the bishop of Alexandria: or to Pelagius, the bishop of Laodicea: or to Diodorus, the bishop of Tharsus: or to Amphilochius, the bishop of Iconium: or to Optimus, the bishop of Antioch: or to Helladius, the bishop of Casarea: or to Otrejus, the bishop of Melite: or to Gregorius, the bishop of Nice: or to Terennius, the bishop of Scythia: or to Marmarius, the bishop of Martianopolis. Unto all and every of these notable great churches, the emperor willeth all other inferior churches to repair.

By such examples the fathers in the council of Chalcedon were contented to direct their faith. For thus they break out in a general shout: Omnes ita credimus: Leo conc. Chalpapa ita credit: Cyrillus ita credit: Leo et Anatolius ita [vi. 960. b.] credunt: "All we believe thus: pope Leo believeth thus: Cyrillus (the bishop of Alexandria) believeth thus: Leo

and Anatolius (the bishop of Constantinople) believe thus."

Tertul, contra Marcion.

So saith Tertullian: Videamus, quod lac a Paulo Corinlib.4. [c. 5. p. thii hauserint: ad quam regulam Galatæ sint recocti [leg. recorrectil: quid legant Philippenses, Thessalonicenses, Ephesii: quid etiam Romani de proximo sonent, quibus evangelium Petrus et Paulus, sanguine quoque suo signatum, reliquerunt: "Let us see, what milk the Corinthians suck of St. Paul: after what pattern the Galatians were reformed: what the Philippians read, what the Thessalonians, what the Ephesians: what sound the Romans give, that are so near us, unto whom Peter and Paul have left the gospel, sealed and confirmed with their blood." In like sort writeth Gregory Nazianzene of the church of Cæsarea: Cujuslibet ecclesiæ, tanquam corporis Christi, habenda est ratio; maxime vero nostræ [leg. vestræ] (Cæsariensis) quæ et ab initio fuit, et nunc est, mater prope omnium ecclesiarum: eam Christiana respublica, velut centrum suum circulus, undique observat, non solum propter orthodoxam doctrinam ubique ab initio prædicatam, sed etiam propter conspicuam concordiæ gratiam, quam divinitus accepit: "We must make great account of all churches, even as of the body of Christ; but specially of this our church of Casarea: for that it hath been from the beginning, and still is in a manner, the mother of all churches. The whole Christian commonweal beholdeth this church of every side, even as the circle beholdeth the centre; not only for the catholic doctrine that from thence hath been published everywhere, but also for the notable grace of concord that it hath received from above."

> Thus the ancient godly fathers willed the faithful to have recourse unto every of these churches, of Smyrna, of Ephesus, of Constantinople, of Alexandria, of Laodicea. of Tharsus, of Iconium, of Antioch, of Cæsarea, of Melite, of Nice, of Scythia, of Martianopolis, of Corinthus, of Galatia, of Philippi, of Thessalonica, of Ephesus, and of Rome: not for any secret unremovable virtue in them contained, but only, as Irenæus saith, that the tradition

Nazian, Ep. 18. [al. 41. ii. 36.]

and doctrine of the apostles had continued there still with- Iren. lib. 3.

C. 3. [P. 176.]
In qua sem-

Therefore Tertullian saith: Percurre ecclesias apostolicas, per conservatu quas ipsæ adhuc cathedræ apostolorum suis locis præ-Apostolis sidentur [1. præsident]: apud quas ipsæ authenticæ literæ Tertull. de eorum recitantur, sonantes vocem, et repræsentantes faciem tion. contra uniuscujusque. Proxima est tibi Achaia: habes Corinthum. [c.26, p.215.] Si non longe es a Macedonia, habes Philippos, habes Thessalonicenses. Si potes [Prior. leg. Si non potes] in Asiam tendere, habes Ephesum. Si autem Italiæ adjaces, (habes) Romam: unde nobis quoque authoritas præsto est: "Run over, and behold the apostolic churches, whereas the apostles' chairs are yet still continued, and whereas the authentical writings of the apostles are openly pronounced, sounding out the voice, and representing the face of each one of them. The next country to you is Achaia: there have you the church of Corinth. If ye be not far from Macedonia, there have ye the church of Philippi, and the church of Thessalonica. If ye may go over into Asia, there have ye the church of Ephesus. If ye border near to Italy, there have ye the church of Rome, from whence we also (dwelling in Africa) may with speed receive authority."

Again, touching the name of a *church apostolic*, whereby ye would evermore seem to understand the church of Rome, he saith thus: Tot ac tantæ ecclesiæ, una est illa ab apostolis Tertull. de prima, ex qua omnes. Sic omnes primæ [Priorius leg. prima], tion. contra et omnes apostolica, dum unam [al. una] omnes probant [c.20,p.209,] unitatem: "These so many, and so great churches, are all that same one first church planted by the apostles, from whence issued all the rest. And so are they all first churches, and all apostolic, in that they all follow one unity."

Thus the ancient fathers taught the people to reform themselves by the example and doctrine, not only of the church of Rome, but also of all other notable apostolic churches.

Neither were they directed only by the authority of ancient churches, but also by the authority of certain par-

Euseb. lib. 5. ticular worthy men. For resolution in cases of doubt, some c.3. [l. 213.] sent to St. Augustine; some to St. Hierom; some to the bishops of France; some to the bishops of Rome; and some to others. St. Hierom thus writeth unto St. Augus-

Inter Aug. epist. 11. [il. 170.]

tine: Tu, ut episcopus in toto orbe notissimus, debes hanc promulgare sententiam, et in assensum tuum omnes coepiscopos trahere: "Thou, as the most notable bishop in all the world, oughtest to publish this decree, and to draw all thy fellow-bishops unto thy judgment." Yet was St. Augustine the bishop of Hippo in Africa, and not of Rome. Certainly, wheresoever any flame of truth and learning may appear, out of what place soever it break forth, men will of themselves willingly and greedily flee unto it.

Damas, Hier. [ii. 562.]

[iv. 187.]

Damasus, the bishop of Rome, wrote unto St. Hierom in doubtful cases, to know his counsel. St. Hierom himself Hieronymus saith: Filius meus Apodemius.....de oceani littore, atque ultimis Galliarum finibus, Roma præterita, quæsivit Bethlehem: "My son Apodemius, coming from the shore of the ocean sea, and from the furthest coast of France, leaving Rome, sought for Bethlehem," (where I dwell, to confer with me.)

> Thus, we see, godly men, desirous to know the truth. and to be resolved of their doubts, left the bishop of Rome, with all his cardinals, and went eight hundred miles further, to seek counsel of poor Hierom. Likewise St. Ambrose saith: Post Alexandrinæ ecclesiæ, episcopi quoque Romanæ

Ambros, lib. 10. epist. 83. [ii. 882.]

ecclesiæ definitionem, per literas plerique meam adhuc expectant sententiam: "After the resolution of the church of Alexandria, and also of the bishop of the church of Rome, many men yet write letters unto me, and would also understand my judgment 32." Pope Liberius himself writeth Apud Athan. thus unto Athanasius, the bishop of Alexandria: Si mecum

tom. 1. [tom. ii. 664.]

32 [S. Ambros. "Unde necesse "fuit, quia etiam post Ægyptio-"rum supputationes, et Alexan-" drinæ ecclesiæ definitiones epi-" scopi quoque Romanæ ecclesiæ " per literas plerique meam adhuc " expectant sententiam, quid exis-" timem scribere de die Pascha." The Bened, edd, are much per-

plexed with the construction of repiscopi" is the nominative, and so the subject to "expectant," or, as they ultimately settle it, the genitive in the sense of "episcoporum" indefinitely; on the ground, that many popes had published Constitutions about Easter.]

sentis, quæso subscribas [al. rescribas], quo certiores reddamur, num ejusdem nobiscum suffragii sis, eademque statuas de vera fide: ut et ego securior efficiar, tuaque mandata indesinenter obeam: "If ye be of my judgment, then I beseech you to subscribe" (your name unto these articles), "that I may be out of doubt, whether you think as I think, touching the true faith: and that I may be the better confirmed in myself, and may evermore do your commandments without delay 33." Here, you see, your head of the church offereth himself, with all his universal power, to be at the commandment of another inferior bishop. Bernard, being but an abbot, writeth thus unto pope Eugenius: Aiunt, non Bern. epist. vos esse papam, sed me. Et undique ad me confluunt, qui vol. 1235. habent negotia: "They say that I am pope, and not you. And, on every side, they that have suits come running unto me."

Thus were men wont to seek for counsel, not only at Rome, but also wheresoever it might be found. And therefore was Origen called Magister ecclesiarum, "the Hieron, in Apol. advers. informer or master of the churches:" St. Basil, Canon fidei, Ruffin. [iv. "the ruler of the faith:" Eusebius Samosatensis, Regula Nazianz. ad veritatis, "the standard of the truth:" Athanasius, Orbis [ii. 70.] occulus, "the eye of the world 34." And, in doubtful cases, Heronem. [I. 462.]

Here, by the way, it were a worthy matter to consider some of the profound and learned resolutions that we have received from the see of Rome. Augustine, the Italian monk, whom some have called the apostle of England, demanded of pope Gregory, by way of great counsel, Gregor, lib. whether a woman with child might be baptized, or no: c. 9. [tom. ii. and how long afterward it might be lawful for her to come to the church.

Bonifacius, the apostle of Germany, demanded the like Inter Decret, Zachariae, questions of pope Zachary, whether jays, daws, storks, [Crabb. tom. ii. 452.]

33 [This epistle is spurious, but it bears evidence to the sentiments current at even a later date than that assigned to it.]

³⁴ [The true references are as follows: (Bp. Jewel has slightly

misplaced the names:) Nazianzad Simpliciam calls Basil "canon Veritatis:" Naz. Eusebio Samosatensi, Eusebius, "canon Fidei" [ii. 30]: Naz. ad Heronem, Athanasius τῆς οἰκουμένης ὀΦθαλμόν.]

beavers, otters, hares, and wild horses, be man's meat, or Inter Decret. no: what order were to be taken with man, or horse, having Alexandr. 3. pt. 30. cap. 2. the falling sickness: at what time of the year it may be

lawful, or wholesome, for folks to eat bacon; and, if a man list to eat it raw, how old it ought to be before he cat it: what may be done, if a priest have a black in his eye: who Inter Decret, may hallow oil: who may wear the pall: at what time, in Gregor. 2. ad Bonifacium. what place, in what sort, over or under, openly or secretly. it may be lawful to wear it.

[ii. 433.]

in oculo.

To these, and other like doubtful and profound questions, the pope hath given out his answers, and that in such grave and solemn sort, as if no other creature under heaven, besides his holiness, were able to understand such high mysteries.

Aug. de Civ. lib. 20. c. 26. Vives.

There fell out sometime an odious quarrel between the Thomists and Scotists, whether the blessed Virgin were conceived in sin, or no. The one said, yea: the other cried, nay. There were learned men of both sides: parties grew: the schools were inflamed: the world was troubled: no conference, no doctor, no council, was able to quiet the matter, and to make them friends. The Scotists alleged for themselves the council of Basil: the Thomists cried out, the council of Basil was disorderly summoned, and, therefore unlawful. In the midst of this heat, pope Sixtus took upon him to be judge between them, and to determine the bottom of the cause. In conclusion, when all the world looked to be resolved, and satisfied in the question, the pope commanded both the Thomists and the Scotists to depart home, and to dispute no more of the matter, but to let all alone: and so left them as doubtful as he found them. This was a resolution for a pope.

Joh. Calvin. de Reliquiis. [Opp. tom. viil. p. 213. ed. Amstel. 1667.]

A great contention fell out between them of Ratisbon in Germany, and the abbey of St. Denis in France, whether of them had the whole body of St. Denis: for that either part said, and bare the world in hand, they had the whole. To Rome they went: the pope sat sadly in judgment, and examined their allegations, and grew to conclusion: and, in the end, gave his advised and definitive sentence, that either part, as well they of France, as also the others of Germany, had the whole body of St. Denis: and that whosoever would say nay, should be an heretic. Of such substance and certainty are the oracles of your see of Rome.

Some of your friends have said: Veritas per Christum Nic. Cusan, cathedræ alligata est,.....non personis: "Christ hath fast—epist. 2. [p. ened his truth, not to the popes' persons, but to his chair." Meaning thereby, that the pope, whatsoever he decree or say, sitting in St. Peter's chair, can never err. And thus, by this doctor's judgment, we are taught to give credit rather to the pope's chair than to the pope.

Yet, nevertheless, the same Irenæus, whom ye have Euseb. lib. 5. here alleged, openly reproved pope Victor; and St. Cyprian 24, i. 245.] likewise reproved pope Stephen; for that they thought, Pompeium. notwithstanding their chair, they were in error. Erasmus. [p. 140.] speaking of the answer of pope Innocentius unto the council of Carthage, saith thus: In hac epistola, et dictionem, et Inter Epist, ingenium, et eruditionem, tali præsule dignam, cogimur de-Erasm. [tom. siderare: "In this epistle we miss both eloquence, and 1528.] wit, and learning, meet for such a prelate." St. Ambrose saith: In omnibus cupio sequi ecclesiam Romanam. Sed Ambros. de tamen et nos homines sensum habemus. Ideo quod alibi c. 1. [ii.363.] rectius servatur, et nos recte custodimus: "I desire in all things to follow the church of Rome. Howbeit, we ourselves, for that we be men, have understanding and judgment too. Therefore, whatsoever is better kept in other places, we do well to keep the same."

But touching the state of Rome that now is, Arnulphus said openly in the council of Remes: Cum hoc tempore Arnulph. in Concil. Rome nullus sit, ut fama est, qui sacras literas didicerit, men. [citate a billyric.qua fronte aliquis illorum docere audebit, quod non inter Testes Veritat. No. Veritat. No. 1 (1974)

It is not sufficient to say, The pope sitteth in Peter's chair. As Antichrist may sit in place of Christ, so may Judas sit in place of Peter. St. Hierom saith: Bethel,

Hieron, in Osee lib. 1. cap. 4. [iii. 1263.]

Cyprian ad Pompeium.

[p. 141.]

quæ prius vocabatur domus Dei, postquam vituli in ea positi sunt, appellata est Bethaven, id est, domus inutilis, et domus idoli: "Bethel, that before was called the house of God, after that Jeroboam's golden calves were set up in it, was called Bethaven, that is to say, a house unprofitable, and the house of an idol." And what marvel is it, if the like have happened to the church of Rome.

Therefore, we think it better to examine and try the grounds of your religion by the word of God, that is one, and uniform, and endureth for ever, than by your touch of Rome, that is so uncertain, and so mutable, and so often St. Cyprian saith: Si ad divinæ tradihath deceived us. tionis caput et originem revertamur, cessat error humanus: "If we return to the head and original of the heavenly tradition" (which is the word of God), "all human error

Touching the rest of your needless talk, our brethren in

giveth place."

France, whom in your pleasure ye call Huquenots, burnt not the body of Irenæus. They knew he was a blessed martur of God: and his body sometime the temple of the Holy Ghost. Without any reproach or villany, either done or meant to that holy father, if they burnt any thing, which also may well be doubted, they burnt only an idol, that you had so unreverently set up against the glory of chrysost. in God. Chrysostom saith: Joseph moriens, ait, Ossa mea efferetis hinc vobiscum,....ne Ægyptii memores beneficiorum ejus.....corpus justi haberent in occasionem impietatis: "Joseph, lying in his deathbed, said unto his children, and nephews, 'Ye shall carry my bones with you forth out of Egypt:' lest the Egyptians, remembering the good things he had done, should use that good man's body to an occasion of wickedness or idolatry."

Genes, hom. 67. [iv. 642.]

So saith St. Augustine: Nemo conscius erat sepulchri Aug. de mirabil. Sacr. Script. iib. 1. Mosis, ne populus, si cognovisset, ubi esset, adoraret: "No cap. ultimo. [iii. app. 16.] man was made privy to Moses' grave: lest, if the people had known where his body had been, they should adore it."

Your objection of the sacrifice is otherwhere answered In the former Reply. Art. more at large. St. Augustine saith: Nunc manibus non 17. [vol, iii.

offerimus carnem, sed corde et ore offerimus laudem: "Now August. we offer not up flesh with our hands, but with heart and dees, cap. 9. mouth we offer praise." Again he saith:.....Intus habeo August. in sacrificium, quo flectam Deum meum: "Within myself I Paalm. 41. [iv. 364.] have a sacrifice, wherewith I may pacify my God."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 17. Divis. 1. and 2.

Vol. iv. p.

When Esdras went about to repair the ruins of the temple of God, he sent not to Ephesus, although the most beautiful and gorgeous temple of Diana were there: and when he purposed to restore the sacrifices and ceremonies of God, he sent not to Rome, although peradventure he had heard that there were the solemn sacrifices called *Hecatombæ*, and other called Solitorilia, Lectisternia, and Supplicationes, and Numa Pompilius' Ceremonial books, or manuals, or portueses, containing the service of their gods. He thought it enough for him, to set before his eyes, and follow the pattern of the old temple, which Solomon at the beginning builded, according as God had appointed him, and also those old cus-[Ezra iii. 2. toms and ceremonies, which God himself had written out by special words for Moses.

The prophet Haggai, after the temple was repaired again by Esdras, and the people might think, they had a very just cause to rejoice, on their own behalf, for so great a benefit received of Almighty God, yet made he them all burst out into tears, [Hagg. ii. 3. Ezra iii. 12.] because that they which were yet alive, and had seen the former building of the temple, before the Babylonians destroyed it, called to mind, how far off it was yet from that beauty and excellency which it had in the old times past before. For then, indeed, would they have thought the temple worthily repaired, if it had answered to the ancient pattern,

[1 Cor, xi, 23.]

[Matt. xiz

and to the majesty of the first temple. St. Paul, because he would amend the abuse of the Lord's supper, which the Corinthians even then begun to corrupt, he set before them Christ's institution to follow, saying: "I delivered unto you that thing that I first received of the Lord." And when Christ confuted the error of the Pharisees, Ye must, saith he, return to the first beginning: for "from the beginning it was not thus." And when he found great fault with the priests for their uncleanness of life, and covetousness, and would cleanse the temple from all evil abuses, This house, saith he, at the first beginning, was "a house of prayer," wherein all the people might devoutly and sincerely pray together. And so it were your part to use it now also at this day. For it was not builded to the end it should be "a den of thieves." Likewise also the good and commendable princes mentioned of in the scriptures, were praised specially by these words, that they had walked in the ways of their father David: that is, because they had returned to the first and original foundation, and had restored the religion even to the perfection wherein David left it. And, therefore, when we likewise say, that all things were quite trodden under foot by these men, and that nothing remained in the temple of God, but pitiful spoils, and decays, we reckoned it the wisest and the safest way to set before our eyes those churches, which we know for a surety, that they never had erred, and yet never had neither private mass, nor prayers in a strange and barbarous language, nor this corruption of sacraments, and other toys 35.....

 $^{^{35}}$ [Harding remarks upon this word. The rest of his observations here amount only to " needless talk."]

And forsomuch as our desire was, to have the temple of the Lord restored anew, we would seek none other foundation, than the same, which we knew was long ago laid by the apostles, that is to wit, our Saviour Jesus Christ. And forsomuch as we heard God himself speaking unto us in his word, and saw also the notable examples of the old and primitive church: again, how uncertain a matter it was to wait for a general council, and that the success thereof would be much more uncertain: but specially, forsomuch as we were most ascertained of God's will, and therefore counted it a wickedness to be too careful, and overcumbered about the judgments of mortal men, we could no longer stand taking advice with flesh and blood, but rather thought good to do the same thing, that both might rightly be done, and hath many a time been done, as well of other good men, as also of many catholic bishops: that is, to remedy our own churches by a provincial synod. For thus, we know, the old fathers used to put matters in experience, before they came to the public universal council. There remain yet at this day sundry canons, written in councils of free cities, as of Carthage under Cyprian, as of Ancyra, Neocæsarea, and Gangra, which is in Paphlagonia, as some think, before that the name of the general council at Nice was ever heard of. After this fashion. in old time, did they speedily meet with and cut short those heretics, the Pelagians, and the Donatists, at home, by private disputation, without any general council. Thus also, when the emperor Constantinus evidently and earnestly took part with Auxentius, the bishop of the Arians' faction, Am-JEWEL, VOL. VI. нh

can. 5. Mansi ii. 669.]

[Mansi iii. 880.]

[Mansi vii. 389. cap. 19.]

[Mansi iii. 602. b.]

[Concil. Francof.]

unto a general council, where he saw no good could be done, by reason of the emperor's might and great labour 37: but only to his own clergy and people, that is to say, to a provincial synod. And thus it [Concil. Nic. was decreed in the council at Nice, that the bishops should assemble twice every year. And in the council at Carthage³⁸ it was decreed, that the bishops should meet together, in each of their provinces, at least once in the year: which was done, as saith the council of Chalcedon, of purpose, that if any errors or abuses had happened to spring up any where, they might immediately, at the first entry, be destroyed, even where they first began. So likewise, when Secundus and Palladius rejected the council of Aquileia, because it was not a general and common council, St. Ambrose, bishop of Milan, made answer, that no man ought to take it for a new or strange matter, that the bishops of the West part of the world did call together synods, and make private assemblies in their provinces, for that it was a thing before that time not seldom used by the bishops of the West church, and by the bishops of Gracia used oftentimes and commonly to be done. And so Charles the Great, being emperor, held a provincial council in Germany, for putting away images, contrary to the second council at Nice. Neither pardy,

^{36 [}This reading appears in the edd. of the Defence of the Apology of 1567, and 1570. The Latin Apology reads "Athanasius." As the author has given no reference, it is difficult to determine which is the true reading. Each reading is attended with chronological difficulties. "Ambrose" seems rather the more probable.]

^{37 [}Apol. Lat.:.. "propter impe-" ratoris potentiam et studium par-"tium."]

38 [Concil. Carth. ii.:.." sin-

[&]quot; gulis quibusque annis concilium " convocatur: ad quod omnes " provinciæ quæ primas sedes ha-" bent de conciliis suis ternos le-" gatos mittant."]

even amongst us, is this so very a strange and a new trade. For we have had ere now in England provincial synods, and have governed our churches by home-made laws. What should one say more? Of a truth, even those greatest councils, and where most assembly of people ever was, (whereof these men use to make such an exceeding reckoning,) compare them with all the churches which throughout the world acknowledge and profess the name of Christ, and what else, I pray you, can they seem to be, but certain private councils of bishops and provincial synods? For admit, peradventure, Italy, France, Spain, England, Germany, Denmark, and Scotland meet together: if there want Asia, Græcia, Armenia, Persia, Media, Mesopotamia, Egypt, Ethiopia, India, and Mauritania, in all which places there be both many Christian men, and also bishops: how can any man, being in his right mind, think such a council to be a general council? And where so many parts of the world do lack, how can they truly say, they have the consent of the whole world? Or what manner of council, ween you, was the same last of Trident? Or how might it be termed a general council, whereas out of all Christian kingdoms and nations there came unto it but only forty bishops, and of the same, some so cunning³⁹, that they might be thought meet to be sent home again to learn their grammar, and so well learned, that they had never studied any part of divinity?

M. HARDING.

Your waiting for a general council, was not uncertain. For at the setting forth of your Apology, it was far and well entered, and almost ended. Whatsoever success thereof should follow, For in many ve ought not to have refused it, a being in all respects lawful.

respects it was unlaw-

Your assurance of God's will is none. That is but your common by-word, as it hath always been of heretics. Ye ought to have shewed good evidence for your being sure of God's will, before ye attempted these great and dangerous changes in religion.... Neither becometh it you to call the determinations of a general council the judgments of mortal men, so much as concerneth declaration of necessary points of faith, but the prompting and teaching of the Holy Ghost.

As for your provincial synod, it was none. Synods cannot be kept without bishops. Before ye claim the name of a synod for your packing and huddling together, ye must prove yourselves bishops, which we are not able to do. Whatsoever ve say, b there were never good men, nor catholic bishops, that kept amples soon provincial synods, contrary to approved and lawful general councils, cas your synod is most contrary. Neither can ve pretend that ye followed any old fathers, putting things in experience

before the coming to an universal council.....

Your council provincial, holden in Germany by Charles the Great, against the second Nicene council general, d is a false d A great un-forged matter, as the book against images is, which one Eli may soon ap- Phili, the man in the moon's son, Calvin, Illyricus, and other heretics, have fathered upon that most godly and catholic

The council which you mean was a godly and a catholic council, holden at Frankfort by pope Adrian and Charles the Great, e against the wicked council of the heretics, named e imagebreakers, which they held a little before that at Constantinople, which of those heretics was called the seventh and general, of the catholics pseudosynodus, that is to say, the false or forged bus, abdicata council. Of both these councils, thus writeth Abbas Urspergensis, so much commended of Melancthon: "The council In Præfat. ac which a few years before was assembled at Constantinople 39, in variae. the time of Irene and Constantine her son, of them called the seventh and general, (in this council holden at Frankfort,) was repealed and put away by consent of all, as void and superfluous, so as from thenceforth it should be named neither the seventh, nor ought else "

If you believe not this as the witness of a catholic writer, then believe Anselmus Rid, an earnest professor of your own the In Catalogo newest gospel, who writeth, that Adrian, the bishop of Rome, annorum et and king Charles, at the council holden at Frankfort, execrated king Charles, at the council of the empire of ConstanBerne impresso, anno tinople and of the Greeks, which they held for the abolishing of 1550. saints' images. Those be his very words 40. Believe Peucerus, In additioni.

39 [The fact is, the second Concil. Nic. was concluded at Constantinople, and hence is sometimes called by that name.]

40 [The very words in the edit.

of 1550, are these: "In centum " quinquaginta trium antistitum " conventu damnatis divorum i-

" maginum execratoribus."]

b Untruth, by many exreproved.

e Untruth. For our synod is most agreeable to the old councils.

e Untruth. For the words be plain: Pseudosynodus pro adorandis imaginiest. Ado.

Philip Melancthon's son in law, writing, that the council of Nice was kept by common consent of the Greek emperor and of Charles. If the second council of Nice, which was altogether for images, was holden by consent of Charles, how held he a council in Germany, for putting away images, against the council of Nice? Believe Carion, and specially Pantaleo, of Zurich, a man of your own, the most evangelical religion, who, upon warrant of the authority of Regino, writeth, that the council of Frankfort abrogated and disannulled the Greeks' council, that was against the worship of images. Briefly, how falsely you and sundry other of your sect have herein belied that worthy prince, Charles the Great, it may easily appear by that Paulus Æmilius writeth of him: That he sent twelve bishops out of France to the council then holden at Lateran in Rome, in which the image-breakers' false named and forged council was abrogated.

Where, of general councils ve make private and provincial synods, ye do besides learning, reason, and custom of the church. A council is not accounted general, because bishops of all countries under heaven be assembled, f but because many be assem- f By this rule bled, and all be lawfully called. Else, in times when heresies the council of Trident reign, the church should never have the necessary remedy of a was not gegeneral council: for always heretics would refuse to come to it,

as ve have to come to the late council at Trent.

In Persia, Media, Egypt, Mauritania, I ween ye find few bishops at this day, nor many in the other countries which ye reckon, and those in manner altogether ignorant and schisma-Yet the patriarchs of Assyria, or Syria Orientalis, and of Armenia, who of late years were at Rome, have for their provinces both subscribed to the council of Trent 8 and received the g Untruth, whole decrees of the same for their peoples.

shame or Your report of forty bishops only present at the council of measure. Trent, and of their slender learning, is as true as your doctrine contrary to that council is, h that is to say, in plain terms, stark h Untruth, false. It is well known there were at this late council of Trent, manifest. in this pope's time, well near two hundred bishops.

subscrip-

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

What hope we might conceive of your late chapter of Trident, we were sufficiently warned by the former, holden under pope Paulus III. and pope Julius III., wherein, notwithstanding your many years study, and great conference of so many, and so learned, and so excellent, and so much ado, yet in the end ye were never able, neither to suppress your open stews, nor to avoid your priests' concubines, nor to cause your bishops to be resident upon their charge, and to do their duties, nor to resolve us,

Pantaleo.

vithout

tions of the same counOmnipotenauthoritate freti. [Har-duin. x. 6.]

whether the pope be above the council, or the council tis Dei benig-nitate et apo. above the pope. In the summon and first entry of your stolorum Pe-tri, et Pauli assembly there, pope Paul had forgotten Christ, and left him quite out of company 41, and supplied the want of him with the authority of Peter and Paul, as it is plain and

Ambros. Ca- evident by his bull. Another of your reverend fathers tharinus.
[Concil Trid there maketh Mary the blessed Virgin equal with Christ, Sess.2.Crabb. and calleth her his most faithful fellow: Fidelissimam

Christi sociam. Another of the same company telleth us, Cornel, Episc. Biton.
tinus. [Crab. that the pope is the light that is come into the world: Papa
iii. 981.] lux venit in mundum. To be short, the whole issue and

Sess. 6. [l. 7. conclusion of all your doings there, by the full agreement Hard. x. ξS .] Salva semper of all your fathers, hangeth upon the pope's only pleasure, in omnibus sedis aposto- as by express words uttered in the sixth [seventh] session licie authoriof the same council it may appear. And, by your doctrine, 9 quæ. 3. Nemo. the pope may not be controlled, whatsoever he do, neither by the clergy, nor by all the whole world. And whatsoever

Joh. Sleidan. the pope shall will to stay or pass, your doctors tell us, His anno 1551. only will must stand for law. [lib. 22.]

For these and other like causes, both the emperor Charles V. and also Francis the French king, beside sun-Illyr. in pro- dry other Christian princes, made open protestation against test, advers.

Concil. Trid.

Your said Tridentine conventicle, being then removed to p. 84. [p. 78.] Bononia, and said it was no lawful general council, but only an assembly of a few certain persons, to serve one man's affection, and to seek for gain. Such is the opinion, that your own princes have of your assemblies.

> It becometh us not (ye say) to call the determinations of your general councils, the judgments of mortal Yet it became St. Augustine to call the same, Coneilia contendentium episcoporum: "The councils of quarrelling bishops."

Aug. de Uni- men. tate Eccles. cap. 10. [ix. 358.]

> 41 [The words, as reported by Harduin, do not quite agree with Bp. Jewel's statement, or with his marginal quotation. "Hujus igi-" tur ipsius Dei omnipotentis, Pa-"tris, et Filii, et Spiritus Sancti, "ac beatorum ejus apostolorum " Petri et Pauli auctoritate freti,"

&c. The bull, however, closes with words quite as profane as those reported in the margin: "Si quis "autem hoc attentare præsump-" serit, indignationem omnipoten-"tis Dei, ac beatorum Petri et " Pauli apostolorum ejus, se nove-"rit incursurum."

And again: Humanarum contentionum animosa et per- Eod. lib. cap. niciosa certamina: "The bold and hurtful contentions of 7. [ib. 346.] worldly quarrels." If it like you not, that your councils should be called the judgments of men, then call them, as St. Augustine doth, "The councils of quarrelling bishops:" or, "The hurtful contentions of worldly quarrels." But what need we many words? Your own Panormitane saith: Leges summorum pontificum et conciliorum appellantur Extra de statuta humana: et sic stricte non possunt dici jus divinum: Affin. Non "The laws and determinations of popes and councils are [Panor, tom. iii, pt. 2. fol. called the determinations and laws of men, and so in 37. col. 1. No.4.] strait manner of speech they cannot be called the laws of God."

Ye say, we are no bishops, and therefore have no authority to hold a synod. Even so your fathers in old time said, that St. Paul was no apostle, and that St. Basil and St. Hilary were no bishops. Of St. Paul, it is plain. therefore, he saith in his own defence: "Am not I an I Cor. ix. apostle? Am not I a free man? Have I not seen the Lord Jesus?" St. Hilary saith of himself: Auxentius de persona Arianos et (mea) calumniatus est, damnatum me a Saturnino, audiri, [p. 1267.] ut episcopum, non oportere: "The heretic Auxentius layeth Basil. ep. 72. a quarrel to my person: and, forasmuch as Saturninus the Europa à a quarrer to my person: and, rotasinuch as Sucur hinus τις θετούντων heretic hath condemned me," he saith, "I may not be heard προσαγορεύas a bishop."

Likewise, the Arian heretics used to say, that St. Basil, νοι ἐπισκόand other like learned and catholic fathers of that time, \u00c400000 abrows were no bishops. St. Basil thereof writeth thus: " They και την call the council of catholic bishops a council of wicked men: αιτίαν προσneither will they once vouchsafe to name them bishops, τοῦ μη εἶναι lest they should seem to allow the decrees, that they have $\frac{a\dot{\nu}\tau obs}{\sigma\kappa \delta \pi o u s}, \delta i d$. made against them. And they challenge them to be no τι αίρέσεως, bishops, for that, as they say, they are the captains of a ρὰς προςwicked heresy." In like sort he writeth unto Patrophilus, στήκασι.
Basil. ep. 82. of the heretic Eustathius: "He taketh great heed lest he [iii. 378.] should happily [haply] be forced to call us bishops." Thus, τοῦ μὴ εἰς ἀshould napply [naply] be lorded to that as only [1].

M. Harding, in denying us to be bishops, ye do none θείν, προσotherwise but as other your predecessors have done before ειπεῖν ἡμᾶο, ώς ἐπισκόyou. Tous.

ουσι, μη καταδεχόμε-

Of the bishops and prelates of your side, I will say nothing. What your own friends have thought of them, I have said before.

Mark 1. 3.

As for us, it shall be sufficient, if we be only the voice of a crier in the wilderness. St, Paul, to avouch his apostle-1 Cor. xv. 10. ship, said openly thus: Gratia Dei sum id quod sum: "By the grace of God I am that I am."

> Ye say, "A provincial council may not repeal a council general." As if the authority of your councils stood only in number, and not in truth. Howbeit, the simple truth of God shall overweigh falsehood, be it never so general, But even thus said Auxentius, the Arian heretio, against St. Hilary. These be his words: Ego quidem, piissimi imperatores, existimo non oportere sexcentorum episcoporum unitatem, post tantos labores, ex contentione paucorum hominum refricari: "My most gracious lords, in my judgment, it is not meet, after so great pains taken, for the contentious striving of a few, to hazard the consent and unity of six hundred bishops." Thus the heretic Auxentius alleged great multitudes of his companions against St. Hilary, and the catholics: which, he said, were but a few.

[Inter opp. Hilarli ed. Bened. col. 1270.]

> Notwithstanding, it were no hard matter to shew evident examples of general councils, that have been overruled by particulars. The general council of Nice determined, as you say, but you say it untruly, that all appeals, out of all parts of the world, should lie to Rome. Yet the particular or provincial council of Africa saith: Si provocandum putaverint, non provocent, nisi ad Africana concilia, Ad transmarina autem qui putaverit appellandum, a nullo intra Africam in communionem recipiatur: "If they shall think it good to appeal, let them not appeal but only to such councils, as shall be holden within Africa. But whosoever shall appeal beyond the seas" (that is to say, to the bishop of Rome), "let no man within Africa receive him to his communion."

Sozom. lib. 1. thag. 2. cap. 2. [1ii, 693.]

Conc. Afric. cap. 92. [iv.

The general council of Nice durst not to dissolve pricsts' c. 23. [il. 41.]
Concil. Car- marriage: the particular council of Carthage dissolved it utterly. The order of private or auricular confession, which, in your phantasy, was received generally throughout the whole *church of God*, was, notwithstanding, quite Socrat. Bb. 5. abolished 42 in the *church of Constantinople*, only by the cap. 19. [leg. cap. 19. tom. B. 287.] particular advice of Nectarius.

Your black friars, in their particular chapters, have Aug. de Civit, controlled and cut off the general determination of the lib. 20, cap. 6. council of Basil, touching the conceiving of our Lady in 593.] original sin. And Albertus Pighius, by his like particular Albert, Pigh, authority, telleth you, that as well this council of Basil, as [11b. 6. c. 2. also the council of Constance, being both general, (in that p. 402.] they said, the council is above the pope,) "decreed plainly against nature, against the manifest scriptures, against all antiquity, and against the catholic faith of Christ."

Certainly, the truth of God is not bound, neither to person nor to place. Wheresoever it be, either in few or in many, it is evermore catholic, even because it is the truth of God. In the council of Constantinople, it is written thus: Definierunt pariter, ut si quid in provincia qualibet Hist. Trip. emergeret, provinciæ concilio finiretur: "The fathers agreed all together, that, if any matter should happen to grow in the province, by a council of the province it should be ended." Likewise saith Isidorus: Manifestum est, quod Isid. in Præilla, quæ sunt per unamquanque provinciam, ipsius provinciæ chia. [Crabb. synodus dispenset, sicut Nicæno constat decretum esse concilio: "It is clear, that matters happening in every province, by a provincial synod may be ordered, as it is concluded in the council of Nice." Likewise St. Ambrose saith: Scie- In Concilio bant esse consuetudinem, ut in Oriente, orientalium esset con-[iii. 602. c.] cilium: intra Occidentem, occidentalium: "They knew it was a custom, that a council of the east bishops should be holden in the East, and a council of the west bishops should be holden (apart) in the West."

St. Augustine saith: Literas episcoporum, et per sermonem Aug. de Bapforte sapientiorem cujuslibet in ea re peritioris, licet repre-Donatist. lib. hendi, si quid in eis forte a veritate deviatum est: "Bishops' 98.1 [ix,

⁴² Some commentators have doubted, whether the words of Socrates extend to the absolute minary to admission to the holy abrogation of private confession. But it is at least evident, that such

confession was henceforward not compulsory, as a condition prelicommunion.

letters, if they swerve any thing from the truth, may be controlled by the discretion of any other man, that hath more skill in the matter."

Extra, de Elect. et Significasti. coi. 1.]

In like sort, abbot Panormitane, your own doctor, saith, as it hath been alleged before: In concernentibus fidem, Electipotest etiam dictum unius privati esset præferendum dicto papæ, si Abb. [Panorm. tom. l. ille moveretur melioribus rationibus Novi et Veteris Testanorm. pt. 1. fol. 122. menti: "In matters concerning the faith, the saying of any one private man were to be taken before the saying of the pope, if he were moved with better reasons of the Old and New Testament, than the pope." Howbeit, we have not, by our provincial council, re-

moved or shaken the authority of any one ancient general council, M. Harding. For of all the ancient councils that have been, touching the cases that lie between us in controversy, ye are not yet able to allege one. We have, upon good causes, removed your vanities and unseemly follies: and have restored again, so much as in us lay, the decrees and canons of the ancient councils. Hincmarus, the bishop of Rheims, saith thus: Cum duarum aut trium provinciarum Rem. cap. 20. [tom.ii.457.] præsules in unum conveniunt, si, antiquorum canonum institutione muniti, aliquid prædicationis, aut dogmatis instituunt, quod tamen ab antiquorum patrum dogmatibus non discrepet. catholicum est, quod faciunt: et fortasse dici potest, universa'e: "When the bishops of two or three provinces meet together, if they, by the warrant of the old councils, appoint any matter of preaching or doctrine, so that it disagree not from the doctrine of the ancient fathers, it is catholic that they do, and perhaps may be called universal." Such are our doings, M. Harding: they agree with the doings of the ancient fathers, and have the warrant of the councils of the primitive church, and therefore they are catholic.

> The credit of the emperor Charles' book, reporting the decrees of the council of Frankfort touching the adoration of images, I leave wholly to the indifferent discretion of the reader.

> It was printed, not at Geneva, as you surmise, but in Paris. The setter out proveth it not to be forged, by many good and likely reasons. An ancient copy of the

same is yet still to be seen in Rome, in Lateran, even in the pope's own library. Augustinus Steuchus, the master Aug. Steuch. of the same *library*, reporteth the same. The emperor const. [lib.2, fol. 226. B.] Ludovicus, son unto Charles, wrote a book, yet extant, lib. 1. cap. 6. and remaining in France, to like purpose. Eckius also Eck. de Imabeareth witness unto the same book of the emperor Charles, [Loc. com. although untruly and guilefully, as his manner is. For he saith that Charles wrote four books in defence of images, whereas, indeed, the books are directly written against images.

Although ye think Eli Phili43, or I know not who, may easily be charged with corruption and forgery, yet why the pope himself should corrupt and forge his own book, in his own library, against himself, it were hard for you to shew good reason.

"The council of Frankfort" (ye say) "was godly and catholic, and made decrees against image-breakers, in the behalf of images." Yet, notwithstanding, Aventinus saith: In Frankfordiensi concilio scita Gracorum, de adorandis Aventin. fot. imaginibus, rescissa sunt: "In the council of Frankfort, 337. the Grecians' decrees for the adoration of images were quite abolished." Regino saith: Pseudosynodus Gracorum, quam Regino. pro adorandis imaginibus fecerunt, rejecta est: "The false council of the Greeks, which they had made for adoration of images, was repealed in the council of Frankfort." Likewise Ado saith: Pseudosynodus, quam Graci septimam Ado. [fol. vocant, pro adorandis imaginibus, abdicata est penitus: "The false council, which the Greeks call the seventh, wherein decrees were made for the adoration of images, was there utterly put away."

Hinemarus, the archbishop of Rheims, speaking of the same council of Frankfort, saith thus:.....Pseudosynodus Hincmarus Græcorum destructa est, et penitus abdicata. De cujus de-ii. 457.] structione, non modicum volumen, quod in palatio adolescentulus legi, ab eodem imperatore Romam est per quosdam episcopos missum: "The false council of the Greeks was

the editor Johannes Tilius Melauthor was Alcuin: see densis called himself. Vossius supra vol. iii. 257. note 94.]

^{43 [}Eli Phili: the name by which Hist. Lat. lib. 2. shews that the author was Alcuin: see Replie,

repealed and utterly overthrown in the council of Frankfort. Whereof, when I was a young man, I read a pretty big book in the pope's palace in Rome, which book was sent thither by certain bishops from the said emperor Charles."

Citantur in libro Carol. Magni, [lib. iii, cap. 28. p. 452.] Certain words of the said lewd or false council of the Greeks, amongst others, are these: Qui timet Deum, adorat imagines, ut filium Dei: qui adorat imaginem, et dicit, Hoc est Christus, non peccat: peccat qui non adorat imaginem. Qui non adorat imaginem, est hæreticus: imago adoranda est eodem cultu, quo sancta Trinitas: "He that feareth God adoreth an image, as he would adore the Son of God: he that adoreth an image, and saith, 'This is Christ,' offendeth not: he offendeth, that adoreth not an image: he that adoreth not an image, is an heretic: we must adore an image with the same reverence wherewith we adore the holy Trinity."

Now, whether these and other like worthy sayings and sentences were to be reproved, or no, it may please you, M. Harding, to consider.

"That council" (ye say) "is called general, not whereunto all Christian nations do resort indeed, but whereunto all Christian nations are lawfully summoned." As this answer is true, and not denied, so, by the same, your late council of Trident may in no wise be called general. For what lawful summons sent your pope Pius either to Preter Gian 44 into Ethiopia; or to other bishops and Christians in India: or (Europa only, and that no whole, excepted) what summons sent he into any other kingdom or country of the world?

But ye say, "In Persia, Media, Egypt, Mauritania, and in other countries adjoining, there are few Christians at this day to be found." Yet the authors of Novus Orbis, Novus Orbis, describing the state of the world, say thus: "In all countries, whithersoever ye come, there be some Christians." Again: "In India many kings and princes profess Christ." And again: "In Armenia and Cilicia, in a manner, the

whole people is christened. Only they are subject to the cham of Tartary. Their priests be married: and whose is unmarried may be no priest."

Ye say: "The patriarchs of Assyria and Armenia" (that Fol. 324. never saw neither the one country nor the other) "were at Rome," (ye know not when,) "and subscribed to all the articles of your council of Trident." O, M. Harding, ve can get no great credit by open mockery. It is no hard matter for your pope, out of his own guard, to make such patriarchs enow, one for Jerusalem, another for Constantinople, another for Alexandria, another for Antioch, another for Sidon, another for Tyrus: and I marvel, if there be not some patriarch, one or other, for Sodom and Gomorrah. These poor holy and hungry fathers are contented at all times to yield their submissions, and to set their hands to whatsoever they shall be required, and, in the names of those countries that they scarcely ever heard of, to confess the pope, their master, to be all, and more than all. With such vain shows and vizards it pleaseth you to smooth the world.

If ye doubt hereof, ye may easily find, that one Augustinus de Roma, in your late council of Basil, bare the name Conc. Basiof the archbishop of Nazareth in Jewry: and yet, poor 109, a.] man, had he never seen Nazareth in all his life. Likewise, that one Petrus Paludensis, a poor friar observant, Petr. Palunot long sithence, bare the name of the patriarch of Jeru-operis.] salem: and yet had he never seen Jerusalem, nor knew Patriar. Hiewhich way to go to it. But what need mo examples? nus. Your own Ceremoniary of Rome telleth you thus: Con-Ceremoniasueverunt antiqui ponere patriarchas quatuor ecclesiarum section. 3. [fol. 44. b.] principalium, inter episcopos cardinales mixtim. Nostro tempore ponuntur immediate post cardinales. Sunt enim quodammodo titulares: "They were wont, in old times, to place the patriarchs of the four principal churches, together with the cardinal bishops, one with another. But now-a-days they are placed next beneath all the cardinals. For in a manner they have now nothing else but the names of patriarchs." Such guests were your patriarchs of Assyria and Armenia, that subscribed to your council of

Trident. They bare the names of these countries, M. Harding: but the countries they had never seen.

It is most certain, that the Christian patriarchs, and bishops of those countries, will neither communicate with the pope, either in sacraments, or in prayers, nor anywise yield to his authority, nor give any manner of honour or reverence to his person, no more than to Mahomet, or Antichrist, as I have sufficiently shewed before.

Touching the number of bishops present at your former assembly at Trident, I refer myself to the records of the If ye find there more than forty bishops, I am content to lose my credit. And yet of the same number, blind sir Robert of Scotland, as I have said before, and M. Pates of England, were silly poor bishops, God knoweth, endued only with bare names, without bishoprics 45. In your latter assembly, two of your holy fathers were slain there presently in advoutery 46. By mean of which misfortune, your number by so much was abated.

Flacius IIlyric, in pro-testatione adversus Concil. Tridentin. [p. 79.]

These be the great worthies of the world: these, Cornelius Bitontinus, one of the same company, calleth the stars of the churches, and the mighty army of God's angels. These have power to determine matters that they never understood, by authority only, but not by knowledge.

Alphon, adversus Hæcap. 4.

Erasm. in Epistolam Hieron, ad Eustochium.

Alphonsus de Castro, as I have shewed you before, saith reses, lib. 1. thus: "It is certain, that some popes be so void of learning, that they understand not the grammar rules." Erasmus, speaking of sundry the great learned of your side, saith thus: "Sibi videntur semi-dei, miro supercilio præ se despicientes grammaticos: qui si grammaticæ litassent, non ad hunc modum se pueris deridendos propinarent: "They think themselves half gods, and with high looks they despise poor grammarians: but if they had well learned their grammar, they would not offer such occasions that children and babes should scorn at their folly."

Concerning the whole matter, your doctors of Sorbona, in Paris, have concluded thus: Ut concilium legitime con-Articul. 22.

^{45 [}Supra vol. vi. 219, note 70, 71, and 72.] 46 [Vol. vi. 220, note 73.7

gregetur, sufficit, quod solennitas et forma juris solenniter sit servata. Quia si quis trahere velit hoc in disputationem, utrum prælati, qui ibi sedent, habeant rectam intentionem, et utrum sint docti, et utrum habeant scientiam sacrarum literarum, et animum obediendi sanæ doctrinæ, esset processus in infinitum: "That the council be lawfully assembled, it is sufficient that the solemnity and form of law be solemnly observed. For if a man would cast doubts, whether the bishops that sit in council have a good meaning, and whether they be learned, and whether they be skilful in the scriptures, and whether they have a mind to obey sound doctrine, or no, then we should never make an end."

These be they, M. Harding, to whom ye would have us to give ear, whatsoever they say, even as to the secretaries of the Holy Ghost. But St. Augustine saith: Ecclesiæ inter August. contra Crescon. nos agitur causa, non mea. Ecclesia in nullo homine spen [1b. 3. cap. ponere, a suo didicit Redemptore: "It is the church's cause, that we talk of: it is not mine. The church hath learned of her Redeemer to put no trust in any man."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 18. Divis. 1.

Howsoever it be, the truth of the gospel of Jesus Christ dependeth not upon councils, nor, as St. Paul [1 Cor. iv. 3.] saith, upon the judgments of mortal creatures 47. And if they which ought to be careful for God's church will not be wise, but slack their duty, and harden their hearts against God, and his Christ, going on still to pervert the right ways of the Lord, God will stir up the very stones, and make children and babes cunning 48, that there may ever be some to confute their lies.

[Vol. iv. p.

⁴⁷ [Apol. Lat. "ab humano die;" so the margin of the English Bible, "day:" both from the Greek: 'Εμοὶ δὲ εἰς ἐλάχιστόν

ἐστιν, ἵνα ὑφ' ὑμῶν ἀνακριθῶ, ἢ
 ὑπὸ ἀνθρωπίνης ἡμέρας.]
 ⁴⁸ [Apol. Lat. "disertos."]

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Hereto M. Harding answereth nothing else, but thus: "The council is the school of truth: the bishops cannot foreslow their duties: the church of Rome cannot err." Which tales we have so often, and not without weariness. heard already. Petrus de Palude, amongst other your doctors, saith: Non est credendum, ecclesiam Romanam testat. Pape. errasse a fide. Ipsa enim potest e contrario cum Christo dicere, Ego testimonium perhibeo de meipsa. Testimonium meum verum est: "No man may believe that the church of Rome may err from the faith: contrariwise, that church may say with Christ, 'I bear witness of myself: and my witness is just and true." Therefore, so long as the church of Rome can speak for herself, there is no doubt but all is well.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 18. Divis. 2.

For God is able (not only without councils, but [vol. iv. p.

also, will the councils, nill the councils) to maintain and advance his own kingdom. " Full many be the Proverb. xix. thoughts of man's heart," (saith Solomon,) "but the counsel of the Lord abideth stedfast: there is no wisdom, there is no knowledge, there is no counsel against the Lord." "Things endure not" (saith Hilarius) "that be set up with man's workmanship: by another manner of means must the church of God be builded and preserved: for that church is grounded upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets, and is holden fast together by one corner-stone, which is Christ Jesus 49."

Hilarius in Psalm. 126. [p. 417.]

Petr. de Pa-Jude de Po-

Artic. 4.

^{49 [}Hilar. "Humanis enim "operibus extructa non permanet " (sc.domus).... Extruenda aliter,

[&]quot; custodienda aliter (sc. ecclesia)

[&]quot; est....fundamentum ejus super " prophetas et apostolos locan-

[&]quot;dum est. Lapidibus vivis au-"genda est, angulari lapide con-"struenda." In the Lat. Apol. the passage is not quoted with verbal accuracy.]

M. HARDING.

.... Where ye say, that by another manner of means the church of God must be builded and preserved, shew us what other means they are, and we must say ye are very cunning men, who correct, I will not say, Magnificat, but Christ's own ordiwho correct, I will not say, magnificate, out Chilate apostles, a By this nance for government of his church, who hath ordained apostles, reckoning it prophets, evangelists, shepherds, and teachers, (as is before men-appeareth tioned,) in adificationem corporis Christi, "to the building up of not, that God the body of Christ," which is his church. Ye shall pardon us if popes or we believe St. Paul before you. We see, what is the mark ye build his shoot at, that the lawful successors of the apostles, prophets, and church. evangelists, and the lawful shepherds and doctors being put from the building of Christ's body, the church, yourselves may take the work in hand, and govern all. Set your hearts at rest: it shall not be so....

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Indeed, Christ hath ordained apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors, and teachers, for the government of his church; notwithstanding the same be not always allowed to sit in councils, nor be always known by rochets, or mitres. If God had not provided other pastors and feeders, besides your prelates, the whole church might starve for hunger. St. Paul's words be true: but your idle constructions are untrue. We find not fault with God's ordinance: but we rejoice in God's mercy, for that it hath pleased him to visit his people, and to discover the multitude of these vanities, wherewith you have so long, and so uncourteously, beguiled the world. Therefore, we correct not Magnificat, M. Harding, as you say: but rather, we humbly sing, Te Deum laudamus, "and rejoice in God our Saviour." The right and only way of building God's house, is, to lay the foundation thereof upon the everlasting word and will of God. St. Paul saith: "Other foundation no man can lay, but the same I Cor. iii. 11. that is laid already, which is Christ Jesus." The prophet Esay saith, "To the law" (of God) "and to the testimony. Isa, viii, 20. If they speak not according to this word, they shall have no morning light." Therefore St. Hilary saith, as it is alleged in the Apology: Aliter extruenda, aliter custo-Hilarius in dienda (ecclesia) est:.....fundamentum ejus super prophe-[p. 417.] tas et apostolos collocandum [al. locandum] est.....Ecclesia

JEWEL, VOL. VI.

ita a Deo, id est, doctrinis Dei, ædificata [l. ædificanda] non concidet: "Otherwise must the church be built, and otherwise must it be kept. The foundation of it must be laid upon the apostles and prophets. The church being thus built by God, that is to say, by the doctrine of God, shall never fall."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 19. Divis. 1.

But marvellous notable, and to very good purpose, [vol. iv. p. 86.] for these days, be St. Hierom's words: " Whomso-Hieron in Nahum, cap. 3. [iii. 1590.] ever (saith he) the devil hath deceived, and enticed to fall asleep, as it were, with the sweet and deadly enchantments of the mermaids, the syrens, those persons doth God's word awake up, saying unto them, Ephes. v. 14. 'Arise, thou that sleepest: lift up thyself, and Christ shall give thee light.' Therefore, at the coming of Christ, of God's word, of the ecclesiastical doctrine, and of the full destruction of Nineveh, (and) of that most beautiful harlot, then shall the people, which heretofore had been east in a trance under their masters, be raised up, and shall make haste to go to the mountains of the scripture: and there shall they find hills, I mean Moses, and Joshua, the son of Nun: other hills also, which are the prophets: and hills of the New Testament, which are the apostles and the evangelists. And when the people shall flee for succour to such hills, and shall be exercised in the reading of this kind of mountains, though they find not one to teach them, (for the harvest shall be great, but the labourers few,) yet shall the good desire of the people be well accepted, in that they have gotten them to such hills; and the negligence of their masters shall be openly reproved." These be St. Hierom's words, and that so plain, as there needeth no interpreter. For they agree so just with the things we now see

with our eyes have already come to pass, that we may verily think he meant to foretell, as it were, by the spirit of prophecy, and to paint before our face the universal state of our time: the fall of the most gorgeous harlot Babylon: the repairing again of God's church: the blindness and sloth of the bishops, and the good will and forwardness of the people. For who is so blind, but he seeth these men be the masters, by whom the people, as saith St. Hierom, hath been led into error, and lulled asleep? Or who seeth not that Rome, that is, their Nineveh, which sometime was painted with fairest colours, now, her vizard being pulled off, is both better seen, and less set by? Or who seeth not, that good men, being awaked, as it were, out of their dead sleep, at the light of the gospel, and at the voice of God, have resorted to the hills of the scriptures, waiting not at all for the councils of such masters?

M. HARDING.

Ye wrest the saying of St. Hierom to your purpose, that is to say, so as it may seem to be spoken against the church that now is, wherein ve make him a prophet. And that this place might sound the more against the clergy, to the commendation of the people, and to stir them to read the scriptures: after your accustomed manner, ye stick not to add somewhat of your own in one place; to take away a little of the doctor in another place; to alter the words in another place. Who looketh so narrowly for trial of this, as your secretary thought maliciously when he wrote it, by diligent conference of this Apology with St. Hierom, he shall find it.

Now, concerning the right sense of the place, St. Hierom's intent was not to foretell and paint before our face (as you say) a Untruth. the universal state of our time, but to tell and declare the mean- For St. Hieron in the ing of the prophet Nahum, a signifying the state of the time same place now past, to wit, the time of Christ's first coming into the world: saith: Hæc in consumfor the words do expressly speak thereof. After St. Hierom's matione exposition, by Nineveh that prophet meaneth the world: by the mundi magis complentur, Assyrian king, the devil. And there he prophesieth the ruin of [iii. 1577.] the world, and of the devil, at the coming of Christ. St. Hierom mundi ruina.

b Untruth. For he speaketh oftenand saith plainly, that Rome is Babylon.

sithence the never had Babylon, nor ignorance, nor negligence in the clergy.

d No doubt, if there be credit sufficient in this commentary.

e As though the pope's clerks were not heretics. and teachers of false doctrine.

b speaketh never a word of your harlot Babylon, whereof ve and your unlearned ministers have never done babbling, meaning times of her: thereby the holy Roman church.

First, you, sir defender, that penned this gear, have played a false part, by dividing the one member of the sentence into two: or rather, by putting in one word, and leaving out another. For where St. Hierom hath thus, Et consummationis Nineveh speciosissimæ quondam meretricis, whereby he meant the undoing of c As though the devil's power in the world once, that is to say, c before the coming of Christ, a most beautiful harlot, that you might give Christ there occasion of reproach to the Roman church, which, falsifying been neither the doctor's sense, you understand by Nineveh, you have set it Nineveh, nor forth thus: Et consummationis Nineveh, et speciosissimæ meretricis. In Comment. Then you descant upon it, as though St. Hierom had so written, in Nahum, cap. 3. and say, that he setteth before our face the fall of the most gorgeous harlot Babylon, which you interpret to be Rome. then, further corrupting St. Hierom's sense, vou make him to speak of the repairing again of God's church, as though at this day it were, by default of the catholic clergy, fallen down, and should be set up again by you and your ministers: also of the blindness of the bishops of our time, that they be the masters by whom the people hath been led into error, and lulled asleep. And hereto ye add, "as saith Hierom," where St. Hierom saith not so, neither of the masters at Christ's first coming, but of the devil, who brought the people asleep, by whom he understandeth d not the people that liveth now, but them that were deceived by the devil under evil masters, before the coming of Christ. But because this defender thinketh he hath acquitted himself

like a clerk, by alleging this place out of St. Hierom against the catholic church, I require all the learned to read over what St. Hierom writeth upon the end of the prophet Nahum, from these words of the text, Brucus irruit et evolavit, &c. forth to the end In Nahum 3. of the chapter, and most diligently to mark that goeth immediately before the place by this defender alleged. As for thee, good reader, that understandest not the Latin tongue, I assure thee, St. Hierom speaketh those words of cheretics, cof teachers of evil doctrine, of such as will not hear the voice of the church, of which sort this new English clergy is. And in that discourse he commendeth to true believers, not only the hills, that is, the written scriptures, but also the doctrine of the church, (as thou seest in the allegation put in the Apology,) and before that he commendeth likewise latibula doctorum, "the caves of the doctors," in which the faithful people also, as by flying to the hills, couch themselves safe from danger of the devil, stirrer of heresies. So that if the place be well scanned, by that allegation they shall seem to have made a rod to whip themselves. The whole place being over long to recite here, a sentence or two, that are specially meant of such as they be, may suffice.

Væ itaque his, &c. "Woe then to those which are teachers

of perverse doctrines in Nineveh," by which is signified the Ps. cxxxii. 4. world. "And aptly to them it is said, Thy shepherds have slept: for they have given sleep to their eyes, and slumbering to their eyelids. And therefore have they not found a place for our Lord, nor a tabernacle for the God of Jacob. Neither have they heard out of Ephrata, that is to say, frugifera ecclesia, "the fruitful church." Nor have they found the church in the thickets of the woods. Neither only the shepherds of this rifraff, (mixticii hujus, he meaneth by δ συμμικτδs, which is the word of the seventy translators, "all sorts of people deceived by false teachers,") and of the locust, (they are the captain heretics,) which in time of frost sitteth in the hedges, have slept: but by the king of Assyrians (who is the devil) they have been lulled asleep. For always it is the study of the devil how he may bring asleep waking souls." Thus St. Hierom.

Now I report me to those that have eyes to see, whether our new clergy may not seem those whom the devil lulled asleep, (gladly I use their own term,) in their new devices, in their own liked conceits, in their schisms and heresies, in their unjust possession of benefices and bishoprics, those yet living to whom the right belongeth, in their presumption of that office they are not duly called unto, in their malice toward the church, in the continual satisfying of their fleshly lusts, and in their unlawful and

lecherous embracings.

Let them fear the dreadful saying of St. Hierom, following straight after their allegation: Non est sanitas contritioni tua, &c.: "There is no health for thy bruise: thy wound swelleth." Therefore the rifraff of Nineveh cannot be healed, because he layeth not down his pride, and the wound is always fresh, and daily he is wounded, whiles the devil striketh at him. And when all cometh to all, there is no health for his bruise. For although he seem to himself whole, yet is his soul broken, and crushed with the beetle of the whole earth, that founceth down upon it. And it is not healed, because continually it is lifted up with pride. But if it become humble, and submit itself to Christ, "a contrite and humble heart God despiseth not." Thus describeth St. Hierom these men. Neither let them say, they submit themselves to Christ, whom and whose gospel they have so much in their mouths, until they follow his doctrine, saving of the governors of his church, "He that heareth you, heareth me: and he that despiseth vou, despiseth me." And thus much for answer to the place of St. Hierom.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here is a marvellous work. "Sir defender singeth descant, and quitteth himself like a clerk. He altereth St. Hierom's mind: he saith, 'St. Hierom saith so,' whereas

Psal. ii. 17.

Luke x. 10.

St. Hierom saith not so: he, of proud Nineveh, hath made the church of Rome: he, of the devil, hath made Antichrist: he babbleth about Babylon: he divideth one sentence into two: he putteth in one word, and leaveth out another: he is lulled asleep: he scattereth his rifraff: he doth and saith," I know not what, whatsoever it shall please M. Harding of his courtesy to report.

Chrysost, in Matt. hom. imperfecto.

Hieron, ad Pamma, de optimo genere interpretandi, tom. 2. [iv.

Touching this heinous imagined corruption, and altering of St. Hierom's mind, St. Chrysostom saith: Qui mendax est, neminem putat verum dicere, ne ipsum quidem Deum: imperfecto.
[vi.app 97.] "He that himself is a liar, imagineth that no man saith the truth, no not God himself." With such corruption and change of words, Palladius, a lewd fellow, thought himself sometime able to charge St. Hierom. St. Hierom's words thereof are these: Concionatur, me (esse) falsarium: me verbum non expressisse de verbo: pro honorabili, dixisse charissimum......Hæc et hujusmodi nugæ mea crimina sunt: "He preacheth and publisheth abroad, that I am a falsary: that I have not precisely translated word for word: that I, instead of this word honourable, have written these words, dearly beloved. These things, and such trifles, are laid to my charge."

In eadem Epist. [ib. 250.]

pt. 2. 249.]

To these follies St. Hierom answereth thus: Cum ipsa epistola doccat, nihil mutatum esse de sensu, nec res additas, nec aliquod dogma confictum, 'faciunt næ isti intelligendo, ut nihil intelligant: et dum alienam imperitiam volunt coarguere, produnt suam: "Whereas the epistle itself declareth, that there is no alteration made in the sense, and that there is neither matter of substance added, nor any doctrine imagined, verily, by their great cunning, they prove themselves fools: and, seeking to reprove other men's unskilfulness, they betray their own."

Ye say, St. Hierom in these words spake nothing, neither of the church of Christ, nor of your clergy of For trial whereof, let St. Hierom himself be heard to speak, as a witness indifferent of himself. in other places he saith thus: (to this place we shall resort

Hieronym. in afterward:) Dicimus, Non venient super nos mala. Michie, cap. amus sententiam Domini: Sion, et Hierusalem, et Mons Templi speculatorium, et visio pacis, et templum Christi in consummatione, et in fine: "We say, There shall no hurt come upon us. Let us hear the saying of our Lord, 'Sion, and Jerusalem, and the Mount, that is the watch-tower of the temple, and the sight of peace, and the temple or church of Christ, shall be consumed, and brought to an end."

These words be plain, not only that the haute lady Nineveh, but also that the church or temple, that beareth the name of Christ, shall be consumed, and brought to an end.

Likewise he saith: Quos Deus inseruit ex oleastro Hieronym. in radicem bonæ olivæ, si (illi) immemores recesserint a cap. 2. [Hi. conditore suo, et adoraverint Assyrium, cur illos Deus non evertat, et ad eandem sitim reducat, in qua prius fuerunt? "Even they whom God hath grafted from out of the wild olive tree, into the root of the good olive," (that is to say, the children of the church,) "if they forget themselves, and flee from their Maker, and worship the king of Assyria," (that is to say, Antichrist, or any other creature, that is not God,) "why may not God overthrow them, and bring them to the same thirst and drought they were in before?"

Mark, M. Harding, St. Hierom applieth these words, not only unto Nineveh, but also unto the church of God.

Again he saith: Abominatio desolationis, intelligi potest Hier. in Mat. omne dogma perversum: quod cum viderimus stare in loco [iv. 115.] sancto, id est, in ecclesia,.....debemus fugere de Judæa ad montes: "The abomination of desolation may be taken for any wicked doctrine: which when we shall see standing in the holy place, that is to say, in the church of Christ, then we must flee from Jewry to the mountains (of the scriptures.")

And again likewise he saith: Paxillus auferetur de Hier. in Esa. loco fidei, hoc est, de ecclesia, per impietatem quotidie succre-cap. 23. [l. scentem: et qui super eum ante pependerant fide, postea infidelitate frangentur, et cadent, et peribunt: "The pin or bar" (whereby he meaneth Christ, for that the faithful hang

upon him, as in a house things are hanged up safely upon a pin) " shall be taken away from the place that was faithful, that is to say, from the church, because of the wickedness that daily groweth. And they that before hung upon him by faith, afterward by infidelity shall be broken down, and fall, and perish."

Whatsoever gloss ye shall give to the other words of St. Hierom, certainly these words are plain and evident, and will not easily receive your gloss. Likewise, St. Gregory, speaking, not of the first coming of Christ, but of the time that is described to be before the end of the world. Greg. in Job. saith thus: In diebus illis ecclesia, quasi quodam senio

c. 9. lib. 19. c. 29. [i, 613.] debilitata, per prædicationem parere filios non valebit.....: "In those days the church, as being overmuch weakened with age, shall not be able by preaching to bear children."

Now, touching your clergy, St. Hierom himself saith: Ipsi quoque sacerdotes, qui legem Domini docere deberent, et Hier, in Jer. lib. 1. cap 4. [iii. 548.] subjectos sibi populos a leonis furore defendere, quodam stupore infatuati, vertentur in amentiam: "The priests themselves, that ought to teach the law of our Lord, and to defend the people committed to their charge from the fury of the lion," (that is, the devil,) "being amazed, and bereft of their wits, shall be turned into madness."

Hier, in Nahum 3. [iii. 1589.]

Again he saith: Scit rex Assyrius, non posse se oves decipere, nisi pastores ante consopierit. Semper diaboli studium est, vigilantes animas consopire: "The king of Assyria" (that is, the devil) "knoweth that he can never deceive the sheep, unless first he cast the shepherds into a trance. It is evermore the devil's policy to lay watchful souls asleep."

Hier, in Sophoniam, c.

And therefore again he saith: Auferet Dominus nomina nomam, c. 1. [iii. 1647.] vanæ gloriæ, et admirationis falsæ, quæ versantur in ecclesia Sed et nomina sacerdotum cum sacerdotibus, qui frustra sibi applaudunt in episcopali nomine, et in presbyterii dignitate, et non in opere: "God will take away the names of vain glory and false credit, that are in the church, and the names of priests, together with the priests themselves, that vainly boast themselves of the names of bishops, and of the dignity of priesthood, but do nothing." Hitherto, I trow, it is plain, that St. Hierom, by these words, meant not only Nineveh, as you say, but also the church, and the same church that is called the church of God.

"But about Babylon" (ye say) "ye never make an end of babbling." What babbling then, I pray you, made St. Hierom, when he said, Petrus in prima Epistola, sub Hier, in Canan nomine Babylonis, [suppl. figuraliter] Romam significat? Eccles. in Marco. [iv. "St. Peter, in his first Epistle, meant Rome, under the pt. 2, 104.] name of Babylon."

St. Augustine saith: Roma est quasi secunda Babylon: Aug. de Gen.

"Rome is as the second Babylon 50." Again he saith: mich. tib. 2.

Cives Babyloniæ nos fecerunt: dimisimus Creatorem: adora-Aug. in Psal.

vimus creaturam: dimisimus eum a quo facti sumus: adora-xliv.

vimus illud quod fecimus: "They have made us the citizens

of Babylon:" (for) "we have left our Creator, and have

worshipped a creature: we have left him that made us;

and have adored that thing that we made ourselves."

Likewise saith Primasius: Tunc Babylon cadet, quando Primasius novissime [leg. novissimam] potestatem persequendi sanctos Apoc. c. 16. acceperit: "Then shall Babylon come to ground, when she shall last of all take power to persecute the saints of God."

And again: Vidi mulierem sedentem super vestem cocci- la idem cap. neam, plenam nominibus blasphemiæ, habentem capita septem.

Septem capita dicit septem montes: Romam, quæ super septem montes præsidet, significans: "I saw a woman sitting upon a scarlet robe, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads. Seven heads he calleth the seven mounts (upon which Rome was built): meaning thereby, Rome that sitteth upon seven hills."

Ludovicus Vives, your own very friend, saith thus:

Hieronymus, ad Marcellam scribens, non aliam existimat Aug. de Civ.

describi, &c.: "St. Hierom, writing unto Marcella, think-22. Vives. [fi.
eth there is none other Babylon described by St. John in

387.]
his Revelations, but the city of Rome."

^{50 [}These words are found, not, as indicated in the margin, in the work "De Gen. contr. Manichæos," but in "De Civ. Dei," lib. 18. 2. (ed. Ben. vii. 489. In the next reference there is some mistake.]

Ambrosius Ansbertus saith: Ubi est illa dudum super Ambros, Ansbert, in Apoc. lib.6.[p.269.] omnium regna exaltata, inclyta Roma, Babylon secunda? "Where is that noble Rome, advanced of late above all kingdoms, the second Babylon?"

> I pass over Beatus Rhenanus, Aventinus, Petrarcha, Dantes, and a great number of other your own doctors, mentioned before in place more convenient: all whom ye may not of your courtesy charge with babbling.

Ye say: "St. Hierom meant not the state of our time, but only the state of the time now past:" that is to say, as it is said before, the time of Christ's first coming into the And this, in your margin, ye call the right sense of St. Hierom, truly reported. Howbeit, your reader, that hath eyes to see, may easily find, that this is your own only sense, M. Harding, and not St. Hierom's. beseech you, how was Nineveh fully destroyed, or what gospels were there written, at the first coming of Christ into the world? St. Hierom's meaning and speech is plain: Hieronym, in "The people, before the second coming of Christ, which shall be in glory, shall leave their negligent and idle schoolmasters, which have of long time deceived them; and shall flee to the mountains of the scriptures. And albeit they find not one to

> teach them, yet shall their desire and endeavour be accepted before God, for that they have sought unto these mountains: and the negligence and slothfulness of their masters shall be

Nahum, c. 3. The true sense of St. Hierom's words.

reproved." Chrysost, in Gen.hom.35.

[iv. 349.]

To like purpose St. Chrysostom saith: Fieri non potest, ut is qui divinis scripturis magno studio fercentique desiderio vacat, semper negligatur. Licet enim desit nobis hominis magisterium, tamen ipse Dominus, superne intrans in corda nostra, illustrat mentem: rationi jubar suum infundit: detegit occulta: doctorque fit eorum quæ ignoramus: tantum si nos ea, quæ a nobis sunt, afferre velimus: "It cannot possibly be, that he that with earnest study and fervent desire readeth the scriptures, should evermore be forsaken. although we want the instruction of man, yet God himself from above entering into our hearts, lighteneth our mind: poureth his beams into our wits: openeth things that were hidden; and becometh unto us a schoolmaster

of that we know not: only if we will do so much as in us lieth."

So saith St. Hierom: Postquam conversi fuerint, et cla-Hieronym.in rum Christi lumen aspexerint, pascent in viis, et in semitis cap. 49. [iii. sanctarum scripturarum: et dicent, Dominus pascit me, et 354-] nihil mihi deerit: "When they shall be turned, and shall behold the clear light of Christ, they shall feed in the paths and ways of holy scriptures: and shall say, 'The Lord feed-Psal. xxiii. 3. eth me, and I shall want nothing."

Again he saith: Circundabit sibi, quasi murum firmis-Hieronym.in simum, scripturarum doctrinam, ne ad interiora ejus possit [iii.1586.] hostis irrumpere: "He will enclose himself with the doctrine of the scriptures, as with a strong wall, that the enemy may not enter into his heart." Again he saith:

Hæc est via: ambulate in ea. Neque ad dextram, neque ad Hieronym.in Esa. ilb. 9. c. sinistram. Tunc omnes errores, et idola, et similitudines 30. [iii. 258.] veritatis.....comminues, atque disperges, et ita judicabis immunda, ut ea menstruatæ mulieris sordissimo sanguini compares: "This is the way: walk in it. Go neither to the right hand, nor to the left. Then shalt thou break and scatter all errors, and idols, and counterfeit likeness of the truth: and shalt judge them to be so filthy, that thou shalt liken them to most vile and loathsome blood."

But forasmuch as ye say, "All these words of St. Hierom pertain unto some other matter," (I know not what,) "and not unto the overthrow of Babylon, or fall of Antichrist, that shall be before the end of the world," notwithstanding St. Hierom's words of themselves be plain enough, yet it may please you to consider these words of St. Chrysostom, touching the same. Thus he saith: Tunc Chrysost in Matt. hom. qui in Judæa sunt, fugiant ad montes, id est, qui sunt in 49. [in Op. imp, vi. app. Christianitate, conferant se ad scripturas.....Montes sunt 204.] scripturæ apostolorum, et prophetarum, &c. Sciens Dominus tantam confusionem rerum in novissimis diebus esse futuram, ideo mandat ut Christiani, qui sunt in Christianitate, volentes firmitatem accipere fidei veræ, ad nullam rem fugiant, nisi ad scripturas. Alioqui si ad alias res aspexerint, scandalizabuntur et peribunt, non intelligentes qua sit vera ecclesia. Et per hoc incident in abominationem desolationis: "Then

let them that be in Jewry flee to the mountains: that is to say, let them that be in Christ's profession flee to the scrip-The scriptures of the apostles and prophets be the mountains, &c. Our Lord, knowing that there should be such confusion in the last days, therefore commandeth, that Christian men that believe in Christ, willing to have an assurance of the true faith, should have recourse to nothing else but unto the scriptures. Otherwise, if they have regard to any other thing, they shall be offended and perish, not understanding what is the true church. And by mean hereof they shall fall into the abomination of desolation."

Here, M. Harding, no gloss will serve you. Certainly these words were spoken not of the first coming of Christ into the world, as you imagine, but of the kingdom of Antichrist, and of the end and consummation of the world.

Greg, in Job. cap. 29, lib. 19. cap. 9. [i. 613.]

Hereof St. Gregory saith thus: Ecclesia post eosdem dies, quibus deprimitur, tamen circa finem temporum grandi prædicationis virtute roborabitur: "The church, after these days of her affliction, shall afterward, notwithstanding, be strengthened with great power and might of preaching."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 20. Divis. 1.

But by your favour, some will say, these things [Vol. iv. p. 87.] ought not to have been attempted without the bishop of Rome's commandment, forsomuch as he only is the knot and band of Christian society. He only is that priest, of Levi's order, whom God signified in the Deuteronomy, from whom counsel, in matters of weight, and true judgment, ought to be fette⁵⁰: and, whoso obeyeth not his judgment, the same man ought to be killed in the sight of his brethren: and that no mortal creature hath authority to be judge over the pope, whatsoever he do: that Christ reigneth in heaven, and the pope in earth: that the pope alone can do as much as Christ or God himself

50 [The obsolete form for "fetched."]

can do, because Christ and the pope have but one consistory: that without him is no faith: no hope: no church: and whoso goeth from him, quite casteth away and renounceth his own salvation. Such talk have the canonists, the pope's parasites, but with small discretion or soberness. For they could scantly say more, at least they could not speak more highly of Christ himself.

M. HARDING.

What some will say we know not. We tell you, that your change of religion, and manifold heresies, ought not to have been attempted at all, neither without the bishop of Rome's commandment, nor with his commandment. Touching the bishop of Rome himself, you have never done with him. He is a great block in your way. And so hath he ever been in the way of all heretics. Yet could he never by you or them be removed. To your scoffs against him, and belying of the canonists, before by you uttered, and here idly repeated, my former answer may suffice.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 20. Divis. 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 88.]

As for us, truly we have fallen from the bishop of Rome upon no manner of worldly respect or commodity. And would to Christ, he so behaved himself, that this falling away had not needed: but so the case stood, that, unless we left him, we could not come to Christ. Neither will he now make any other league with us, than such a one as Nahas, the 1 Sam. xl. 2. king of the Ammonites, would have made in times past with them of the city of Jabes, which was, to put out the right eye of each one of the inhabitants, and so to receive them into his friendship. Even so will the pope pluck from us the holy scripture, the gospel of our salvation, and all the confidence which we have in Christ Jesu, as the eye from our head 51.

^{51 [}There are no Latin words to correspond to the words "as the eye from our head."]

And upon other condition can he not agree upon peace with us.

M. HARDING.

Ye are not fallen from the bishop of Rome only, which were a damnable schism, but ye are fallen from Christ's church..... Your comparison of the pope with king Nahas is not very agreeable. But, sirs, ye speak more maliciously than credibly. Be ye good Christian men, and conform yourselves to the catholic faith-

-and deny Christ and his gospel: for this also ye should

and I warrant you, the pope will not pluck from you, neither the scriptures, nor your confidence in Christ Jesu, no more than he doth from us.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 20. Divis. 3.

For whereas some use to make so great a vaunt, [Vol. iv. p.

that the pope is only Peter's successor, as though thereby he carried the Holy Ghost in his bosom, and could not err, this is but a matter of nothing, and a very trifling tale. God's grace is promised to a good mind, and to any one that feareth him, not unto sees and successions. "Riches," saith St. Hierom, tom, iv. pt. 2. " may make one bishop to be of more might than the 803.] rest: but all bishops," whosoever they be, "are the successors of the apostles." If so be the place and consecration only be sufficient, why, then, Manasses succeeded David, and Caiaphas succeeded Aaron. And it hath been often seen, that an idol hath been placed in the temple of God. In old time, Archidamus, the Lacedemonian, boasted much of himself, how he came of the blood of Hercules, (as the pope, this day, boasteth himself of the succession and place of Peter⁵².) But one Nicostratus in this wise abated his pride: nay, quod he, thou seemest not to de-

[Ad Evagr.

⁵² [These words have nothing to correspond to them in the original.]

scend from Hercules. For Hercules destroyed evil men, and thou makest good men evil. And when the Pharisees bragged of their lineage, how they were of the kindred and blood of Abraham: "Ye," John viii. 40. saith Christ, "seek to kill me, a man which have told you the truth, as I heard it from God. Thus Abraham never did. Ye are of your father the devil, and will needs obey his will."

M. HARDING.

The pope succeedeth Peter in authority and power. For whereas the sheep of Christ continue to the world's end, he is not wise that thinketh Christ to have made a shepherd temporary, or for a time, over his perpetual flock. Then, what shepherdly endowment our Lord gave to the first shepherd, at the institution of the shepherdly office of the church: that is he understanded to have given ordinarily to every successor. To Peter he gave that he obtained by his prayer made to the Father, that his faith should not fail. Again, to him he gave grace that to perform, the performance whereof at him he required, to wit, that he confirmed and strengthened his brethren, wherefore the grace of steadfastness, of faith, and of confirming the wavering and doubtful in faith, every pope obtaineth of the Holy Ghost for the benefit of the church. And so the pope, although he may err by personed error, in his own private judgment, as a man, and as a particular doctor, in his own opinion: a yet, as he is a untruth, pope, the successor of Peter, the vicar of Christ in earth, the impudent shepherd of the universal church, in public judgment, in delibe- flattery and ration, and definitive sentence a he never erreth, a nor never erred. folly. For whensoever he ordaineth or determineth any thing by his high bishoply authority, intending to bind Christian men to perform or believe the same, he is always governed and holpen with the grace and favour of the Holy Ghost. This is to catholic doctors a very certainty, though to such doughty clerks as ye are, it is but a matter of nothing, and a very trifling tale.

God's grace, in one respect, is promised both to a good mind, and to one that feareth God; and also, in another respect, to the successors of Peter. St. Hierom's saying to Evagrius, which now you have alleged three or four times, will not handsomely serve you for so divers points, as a shipman's hose for divers legs. b This place b Once again I tell you, thereby he meaneth nothing else, but of St. Hiethat the greatness of Rome ought not to give authority to a swered bewrong private custom, (by which, deacons, in certain cases, were fore, part 2. preferred before priests,) against the right general custom of the division 5. world. And bishops be the successors of the apostles, we grant, 381.

c Peter was the shepherd, and the apostles the sheep. A vain untruth without savour, Cyprian saith; Christus parem dedit apostotis omnibus potestatem.

d The pope succeedeth in power, but not in holiness.

e All this may be called the succession of folly.

yet is the pope the successor of Peter, c who was shepherd of all Christ's lambs and sheep, and therefore also c of the apostles themselves, and so hath a higher authority....

As for your example of Archidamus, who, boastingly, fetched his pedigree from Hercules, you must consider, succession of virtue always followeth not succession of blood. Now we do acknowledge in the pope a succession of shepherdly power, even such as was in Peter. dWhich power is not taken away by lack of Peter's holiness.

Christ, likewise, by his answer to the Pharisees, though he affirmed they succeeded not Abraham in love of truth, and that for their malice they were of their father the devil: yet he denied not, but that they came lineally of Abraham, and were of his blood, though not of his godliness. Such succession mean not we, speaking of the pope, whose succession is derived of Peter: but the succession of power and authority, eand of infallibility of faith in judgment and sentence definitive.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Here we have found one pope with two capacities. In one respect, he is a man: in another respect, he is more than a man: but whether in that respect he be angel or archangel, it is past in silence. One way he succeedeth Peter: another way he succeedeth I know not whom. One way he may err: another way, though he would never so fain, he cannot err. In his bed, at his table, on horseback, or elsewhere, we may well mistrust him: for in these places he may be deceived as well as others. in council, in consistory, and in place of judgment, it is most certain, ye say, he cannot err. For in these places he hath the Holy Ghost, I trow, at his commandment. His power pastoral, his succession in authority and infallibility of faith, his place, his chair, and his consecration, are sufficient for ever to preserve him from error. Sallustius in purpose Sallust sometime said of Cicero: Aliud stans, aliud sedens, de republica sentit: "While he standeth up he hath one mind touching the common state, when he sitteth down he hath another." It is fit for a pope to have shift Apollo's nun, while she sat mewed in her cave, of minds. was inspired, and prophesied, and gave oracles: but after that she came abroad, she was no wiser than other women. Thus your doctors say, as it is before reported: Veritas

Ciceronem.

Nic. Cusan. ad Bohemos ep. 2. [p. 835.]

adhæret cathedræ:----Papa sanctitatem recipit a cathedra: "The pope's truth is fastened unto his chair: the pope from his chair receiveth his holiness."

It shameth me, M. Harding, to see you so vainly occupied about these vanities. Ye may well be liberal in dealing hereof. They cost you but little: they are only your own. Scriptures, doctors, or councils, to witness your sayings, ye allege none. For further declaration of this whole matter, I beseech thee, good Christian reader, to consider the short treaty that I have written before touch-Part, 6, cap. ing the sundry errors and evident heresies, that have been [Supa vol. vi. 250-] notably found in *popes*. Alphonsus de Castro, one of Alphons. De Hær. Ilb. 1.

M. Harding's own special doctors, saith: Non dubitamus cap-4. [ed. an hæreticum esse, et papam esse, coire in unum possint, &c. Non enim credo aliquem esse adeo impudentem papæ assentatorem, ut ei tribuere hoc velit, ut nec errare, nec in interpretatione sacrarum literarum hallucinari possit: "We doubt not, whether one man may be a pope and a heretic both together. For, I believe, there is none so shameless a flatterer of the pope, that will say" (as you say, M. Harding), "The pope can never err nor be deceived in the exposition of the scriptures 53." There is no flatterer so shameless, that will so say.

Likewise Erasmus saith: Si verum est, quod quidam asse-Erasm. in verant, Romanum pontificem errore judiciali errare non posse, 1 Cor. vii. [p. quid opus est generalibus conciliis? Quid opus est in concilium accersere jurisconsultos, ac theologos eruditos? Si papa pronuncians labi non potest, cur datus est appellationi locus, vel ad synodum, vel ad eundem rectius edoctum? Quorsum attinet, tot academias in tractandis fidei quæstionibus distorqueri, cum ex uno pontifice, quod verum est, audire liceat? Imo qui fit, ut hujus pontificis decreta cum illius (pontificis) decretis pugnent? "If it be true that some men say, that the bishop of Rome can never err in error of judgment, what need we then so many general councils? And, in the same, what need we so many lawyers and learned divines? If the pope cannot err in giving sentence, wherefore lieth

⁵³ [Supra vol. iv. p. 472, and note ³⁴.] JEWEL, VOL. VI. кk

there any appeal from the pope, either to a council, or else to the pope himself, being better informed? What need we to trouble so many universities, in discussing of matters of faith, whereas we may learn the truth of the pope alone? Nay, how cometh it about, that one pope's decrees are found contrary to another's," if it be so certain that the pope, whosoever he be, and whatsoever he say, can never err?

Athan, in Apolog. Secunda.

Hieron. ad Evagrium. [iv. pt. 2. p. 803.] That ye speak of Pcter's succession, is vain and childish. Of such folly Athanasius saith: Persuasus est in magnitudine urbium religionem esse sitam: "This wise man imagineth that religion standeth in the greatness of citics." St. Hierom saith: Potentia divitiarum, et paupertatis humilitas, vel sublimiorem, vel inferiorem episcopum non facit. Cæterum omnes apostolorum successores sunt: "The wealth of riches, and the baseness of poverty, maketh a bishop neither higher nor lower. But all bishops be the apostles' successors."

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 21. Divis. 1.

Yet, notwithstanding, because we will grant some-[Vol. iv. p. 88.] what to succession, tell us, hath the pope alone succeeded Peter? And wherein, I pray you? In what religion, in what office, in what piece of his life, hath he succeeded him? What one thing (tell me) had Peter ever like unto the pope, or the pope like unto Peter? Except, peradventure, he will say thus: That Peter, when he was at Rome, never taught the gospel, never fed the flock, took away the keys of the kingdom of heaven, hid the treasures of his Lord, sat him down only in his castle of St. John Lateran, and pointed out with his finger all the places and chambers of purgatory, and kinds of punishments, committing some poor souls to be tormented, and other some again suddenly releasing thence at his own pleasure, taking money for so doing: or that he gave order to say private masses

in every corner: or that he mumbled up the holy service, with a loud 54 [l. low] voice, and in an unknown language: or that he hanged up55 the sacrament in every temple, and on every altar, and carried the same about before him whithersoever he went, upon an ambling jannet, with lights and bells: or that he consecrated, with his holy breath, oil, wax, wool, bells, chalices, churches, and altars: or that he sold jubilees, graces, liberties, avousons⁵⁶, preventions, firstfruits, palls, the wearing of palls, bulls, indulgences, and pardons⁵⁷: or that he called himself by the name of the head of the church, the highest bishop, the bishop of bishops, alone most holy: or that, by usurpation, he took upon himself the right and authority over other bishops' churches: or that he exempted himself from the power of any civil government: or that he maintained wars, and set princes together at variance: or that he, sitting in his chair, with his triple crown full of labels, with sumptuous and Persian-like gorgeousness, with his royal sceptre, with his diadem of gold, and glittering with stones, was carried about, not upon a palfrey⁵⁸, but upon the shoulders of noblemen. These things, no doubt, did Peter at Rome in times past, and left them in charge to his successors, as you would say, from hand to hand: for these things be now-a-days done at Rome by the popes, and be so done, as though nothing else ought to be done.

M. HARDING.

The pope alone hath succeeded St. Peter. Ask you, wherein? in what religion? in what office? We tell you, he succeeded in

^{54 [}This false print for "low" is found in the ed. of 1609. Apol. Lat. "summissa."]

55 [Apol. Lat. "collocasse."]

^{56 [&}quot;Expectationes."]57 ["Diplomata."]

⁵⁸ There is nothing to correspond with these words in the Latin.]

a Untruth. feedeth not.

b Untruth. power was given to all the rest.

Peter's chair, in which he sat at Rome, and ruled the church, in Christian religion, ain that office which Christ committed to For the pope Peter, when he said, Pasce oves meas, "Feed my sheep." Than which office he never gave greater, nor with like circumstance of charge, bnor to any other gave he it, than to Peter. For to For the same him alone he said, "Feed my sheep." What ask ye us of this officer's life?.....

You ask, what thing had Peter ever like unto the pope, or the pope like unto Peter? We tell you, Peter had authority to feed Christ's sheep, like unto the pope. And the pope hath authority to feed Christ's sheep, like unto Peter. c Like power, like commission. He that gave them authority to feed, gave them also authority to do whatsoever may pertain to feeding......

c And well proved.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

For that ye tell us so many fair tales of Peter's succession, we demand of you, wherein the pope succeedeth Peter: you answer, "He succeedeth him in his chair:" as if Peter had been sometime installed in Rome, and had sat solemnly all day with his triple crown in his pontificalibus, and in a chair of gold. And thus, having lost both religion and doctrine, ve think it sufficient, at least, to hold by the chair; as if a soldier that had lost his sword would play the man with the scabbard. But so Caiaphas succeeded Aaron: so wicked Manasses succeeded David: so may Antichrist easily sit in Peter's chair.

Dist. 40. Multi.

Chrysostom saith: Non cathedra facit sacerdotem: sed sacerdos cathedram: nec locus sanctificat hominem: sed homo locum: "It is not the chair that maketh the bishop, but it is the bishop that maketh the chair: neither is it the place that halloweth the man, but it is the man that Dist. 40. Non halloweth the place." Likewise St. Hierom saith: Non sanctorum filii sunt, qui tenent loca sanctorum: "They are not always the children of holy men that sit in the rooms of holy men."

est facile.

Niceph. lib. 13. cap. 28.

He doth great wrong unto St. Peter, that placeth such a one in such a chair: for neither is the pope in any thing like St. Peter; nor was St. Peter in any thing like the pope. When Simeones saw, that Arsacius, an unlearned and an unworthy old doting man, was placed in Chrysostom's room, he cried out, Pro pudor, quis, cui? "Out, for

shame: what a sorry hind is this! and in whose place have we set him ⁵⁹?" Even so may we justly say of the pope's sitting in Peter's chair, Pro pudor, quis, cui? If he have any regard of himself, he cannot think of St. Peter without blushing.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 21. Divis. 2.

[Vol. iv. p. 89.]

Or, contrariwise, peradventure they had rather say thus, that the pope doth now all the same things, that we know Peter did many a day ago: that is, that he runneth up and down into every country to preach the gospel, not only openly abroad, but also privately from house to house: that he is diligent, and applieth that business, in season and out of season, in due time and out of due time: that he doth the part of an evangelist, that he fulfilleth the work and ministry of Christ, that he is the watchman of the house of Israel, receiveth answers and words at God's mouth: and even as he receiveth them, so delivereth them over to the people: that he is the salt of the earth: that he is the light of the world: that he doth not feed himself, but his flock: that he doth not entangle himself with the worldly cares of this life: that he doth not use a sovereignty over the Lord's people: that he seeketh not to have other men minister unto him, but himself rather ministereth unto others: that he taketh all bishops as his fellows and equals: that he is subject to princes, as to persons sent from God: that he giveth to Cæsar that which is Cæsar's: and that he, as the old bishops of Rome did without contradiction, calleth the emperor, his gracious lord. Unless, therefore, the popes do the like now-a-days, as Peter

⁵⁹ [Niceph. φεῦ μετὰ τίνα τίς;]

did, there is no cause at all, why they should glory so much of Peter's name, and of his succession.

M. HARDING.

.... The pope now runneth not up and down into every country, he goeth not openly and privately from house to house, and to every alehouse, as ye would him to do, like one of your ministers: neither, I trow, ye masters, that be superintendents yourselves, think it convenient that ye go from house to house,to preach your gospel at these days. And would ye the pope to abase himself to that ye think becometh not yourselves? He hath (as meet it is) other fit men to help to bear his burden with him. And whereas one body sufficeth not for so great and so many affairs; for counsel, he hath many heads; for oversight, many eyes; for care, many hearts; for preaching, many tongues; for work, many hands; for knowledge, many ears; for expedition of matters, many feet; for the great weight of his charge, many shoulders: briefly, for all necessary and behoveful cases, convenient helps. The like helps would St. Peter use, were he now living

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

To speak of the pope's running up and down from alehouse to alehouse, it is great folly. It should be sufficient, if he would go from church to church, and remember his charge, and feed the flock, and preach the gospel. Ye say, "The pope hath many heads, many eyes, many hearts, many tongues, many hands, many ears, many shoulders." And thus, of your pope ye make a monster, with many eyes, ears, tongues, and hearts of others, and none of his Titus Livius. own. A wise man sometime said: Improbe facit, qui, cum alienis oculis omnia ei agenda sint, postulet aliorum vitas committi sibi: "It is but lewdly done, if a man, that must oversee all things with other men's eyes, desire to have the lives of others committed over to his charge."

> God give him eyes to see, and ears to hear, and heart to understand: that he may know the time of God's visitation.

> > THE APOLOGY, Chap. 22. Divis. 1.

Much less cause have they to complain of our [Vol. iv. p. departing, and to call us again to be fellows and

friends with them, and to believe as they believe. Men say, that one Cobilon, a Lacedemonian, when he was sent ambassador to the king of the Persians, to treat of a league, and found by chance them of the court playing at dice, he returned straightway home again, leaving his message undone. And when he was asked why he had slackt to do the things which he had received by public commission to do, he made answer, he thought it should be a great reproach to his commonwealth to make a league with dicers. But if we should content ourselves to return to the pope, and to his errors, and to make a covenant, not only with dicers, but also with men far more ungracious, and wicked, than any dicers be: besides that this should be a great blot to our good name, it should also be a very dangerous matter, both to kindle God's wrath against us, and to clog and condemn our own souls for ever.

M. HARDING.

.... Ye do well to compare yourselves with this Cobilon. a We fly For, indeed, a ye do as he did. Ye were sent b by Christ to his wicked comvicar, Peter's successor, to be fed and governed like sheep under did. the shepherd... Christ and his church be a perfect body, he for where the head, the true believers knit together in charity the members, did Christ ever send us each one in his order and degree. He is the vine, we the boughs to the bishop and branches. What member cut off from the body, liveth? By this What bough broken from the tree, groweth? As every such rule the pope member dieth, and bough withereth, so if ye remain not in the of life: for catholic church, which is the body of Christ, ye draw no life that he is catholic church, which is the body of Christ, ye draw no life that he is redounded to every member, ye have no portion of the vital juice that issueth from the root. Then what remaineth, but that ye be cast into the fire? For this cause St. Cyprian and other fathers oftentimes have said, that out and besides the church,

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

there is no salvation.

Christ never told us, neither of any his vicar general: nor of Rome: nor of Lateran: nor of Peter's chair: nor willed us to have recourse to the bishop of Rome, more

than to any other several bishop. Therefore, M. Harding, we must reckon this amongst the rest of your untruths.

It is true that you say, "A member divided from the body cannot live." But your conclusion is untrue, like the rest. For Rome is not the body, but only a member of that body: Rome is not the tree, but only a bough: Rome is not the head, but only a spring. And therefore, seeing it is now divided from that body: seeing it is broken from that tree: seeing it is cut off from that head, it is no marvel, though it be starved, though it be withered, though it be left dry, without either spirit or life: as this day it appeareth to the eyes of all them, that will behold it.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 22. Divis. 2.

For, of very truth, we have departed from him, [Vol. iv. p. who we saw had blinded the whole world this many a hundred year: from him, who too far presumptuously was wont to say, he could not err, and whatsoever he did, no mortal man had power to condemn him, neither king, nor emperor, nor the whole clergy, nor yet all the people in the world together, no, though he should carry away with him a thousand souls into hell: from him, who took upon him power to command, not only men, but even the angels of God, to go, to return, to lead souls into purgatory, and to bring them back again when he list himself60: who, Gregory saith, without all doubt, is the very forerunner and standard-bearer of Antichrist, and hath utterly forsaken the catholic faith: from whom also these ringleaders of ours 61, who now with might and main resist the gospel, and the truth, which they know to be the truth, have ere this departed every one of their own accord and good-will: and would even now also gladly depart

^{60 [}Supra vol. vi. pp. 119, 120, notes 4 and 5.] with the marginal references, and 61 [Apol. Lat. "coryphæi nostri."]

from him again, if the note of inconstancy and shame, and their own estimation among the people, were not a let unto them. In conclusion, we have departed from him, to whom we were not bound, and who had nothing to say for himself, but only, I know not what virtue or power of the place where he dwelleth, and a continuance of succession.

M. HARDING.

As ye confess your departing, so would God ye understood

Those reverend fathers and godly learned men, whose rooms ye hold wrongfully, whom it liked your interpreter to call ringleaders, resist not the gospel, but suffer persecution for the gospel. Your gospel, that is to say, your vile heresies and blasphemies, worthily they detest. Your new truth, that is to say, your false and wicked lies, they abhor. Neither ever departed they from any part of the duty of catholic men, a by their own accord and good-will, as ye a M. Hardsay. a But wherein they stept aside, they were compelled by such verend fafear, as might happen to a right constant man, I mean the terror of there continued twenty death, which, as Aristotle saith, of all terrible things is most ter-years togerible. Now because yet they find the terror of a guilty con-ther in hypocrisy. science, more terrible than death of their persons, they intend, by God's grace assisting them, never so to step aside again, but rather to suffer whatsoever extremities. Whose blood, or the blood of any of them, if God to his honour shall at any time permit you to draw, which so much ye thirst, soon after look ye for the returning of the Israelites again: that text being then fulfilled, Completæ sunt iniquitates Amorrhæorum.

Were not they well assured of the truth, most certain it is, whatsoever ye say, they would not make so foolish a bargain as yourselves do, as to buy vain estimation among the people with

the certain loss of their souls.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

"Certain of your friends" (whom ye call reverend fathers) "suffer imprisonment" (ye say) "and persecution for the gospel." Notwithstanding, it is not so long sithence the said reverend fathers were themselves the burners and persecutors of the gospel. Such complaint sometime made
Arius the wicked heretic. For thus he writeth: "I Arius, Διὰ την ἀεὶ that suffer persecution for the truth, that ever prevaileth." νικῶσαν αλήθειαν διωRuffinus, notwithstanding he were a great favourer of the κόμενος.

2. 389.]

Hier, adver- Origenian heretics, yet he said even as you say: Nostra lib. 2. [iv. pt. fides persecutionis hæreticorum tempore, cum in sancta Alexandrina ecclesia degeremus, in carceribus et exiliis, quæ pro fide inferebantur, probata est: "While we lived in the holy church of Alexandria, in the time of the persecution of heretics, our faith was proved in prisons and banishments, which were laid upon us for the faith's sake." Unto whom St. Hierom, in his pleasant manner, answereth

Hier. eodem loco. [ib.]

thus: Miror quod non adjecerit, Vinctus Jesu Christi: liberatus sum de ore leonis: Alexandriæ ad bestias depugnavi: cursum consummavi: fidem servavi: superest mihi corona justitiæ: "I marvel much, that he said not further, Ruffinus the prisoner of Jesus Christ: I was delivered out of the lion's mouth: I was thrown amongst wild beasts at Alexandria: I have past my course: I have kept the faith: now there remaineth for me the crown of righteousness,"

Thus the wolf, when he is restrained from spoil and ravine, may likewise complain of persecution.

St. Hierom, writing unto Apronius, of the state of the Hieron. ad A. east churches, where he then lived, saith thus: Hic quieta pronium. [iv. pt. 2. 804.] sunt omnia. Etsi enim venena pectoris non amiserint, tamen os impietatis non audent aperire. Sed sunt sicut aspides surda, obturantes aures suas : " All things here are quiet : for albeit they have not left the poison of their hearts, yet they dare not open their wicked mouths: but they are as the deaf serpents, shutting up their ears, and will hear nothing."

> The said reverend fathers, that as now sit so firmly of your side, not long ago were well contented both to maintain and to publish the contrary, as well as you. "Howbeit, all this" (you say) "they did not of good will, but only of fear, and of such fear as may happen upon a constant man." That is to say, of mere hypocrisy and dissimulation, and by open flattering of their prince.

> And thus to save your fathers from being schismatics, ve are well content to make them hypocrites. Thus say you. But your said reverends themselves would have told you far otherwise.

Doctor Gardiner of himself saith thus: "In discuss-

ing and trial of the truth, I did not so easily content my-steph. Garself. But I so framed myself, that, as it had been in asking din de vera Obed. the judgment of all my senses, unless I perceived that I first eth the matof all heard them with mine ears, smelled them with my nose, his senses. saw them with mine eyes, and felt them with my hands, I thought I had not seen enough."

Again he saith: "This advised consideration hath pulled He is persuaaway all scrupulous doubts: and by the working of God's working of God's grace, grace, hath conveyed and brought them into the light of the verity."

And again: "Indeed, to tell you at a word, that compelleth He is comme that compelleth all men, even the mighty power of the truth." pelled by the truth.

Likewise saith doctor Bonner, touching the same: "The Doct. Bonner matter was not rashly taken in hand: but with judgment and veram Obed. wisdom examined and discussed." Again he saith: "The He examinbishop of Winchester had long ago thoroughly bolted this matter to the ter, even unto the bran."

If these tales be true, M. Harding, then is your tale most untrue. If ye will justify yourself, ye must needs condemn your reverend fathers. Certainly, your tales being directly contrary, to make them both true, it is not possible.

But here ye begin to fray us with your prophetical threats. "If we once begin to touch your blood, then" (ye say) "your Louvanian Israel immediately afterward shall be restored." I think you mean, Domus Jacob de populo barbaro.

In such blind prognostications I have no skill. God oftentimes suffereth iniquity and falsehood to prevail for a season, to chasten the unkindness of his people. Let his will be done with mercy, as it shall seem good in his eyes. But if ye prevail again, ye shall prevail to your own confusion. Well ye may repress the truth of God, as your fathers have often done before: but utterly to abolish it ye shall never be able.

Such vain hope had the Jews in old times to recover Chrysost, in Orat, contra their religion and ceremonies, and utterly to overthrow the Judees. [5, tom.1. 645.] gospel of Christ. They conspired together, got masons and carpenters, and began to cast the foundation, to repair

their temple. Immediately the emperor Constantine raised a power, and set upon them, and put them to the sword, as rebels and traitors. Others that he spared alive, he made slaves, and cut off some their tongues, some their hands, some their ears, some their noses: some he burnt in the face, and so sent them abroad for an example, from town to town, throughout the world.

Ambros, lib. 5. epist. 29. [ii. 949.]

Afterward, when the wicked emperor Julian, in despite of Christ, had given the Jews leave to build and repair their temple, as it is said before, and the prince's power assisting them, no power seemed able to withstand their purpose, then God himself, from heaven above, encountered with them. Earthquakes brake out, and overthrew Lightnings fell down, and burnt both their buildings. the tools in their hands, and the coats on their backs. Then was the gospel of Christ more beautiful and more glorious than ever it had been before.

St. Gregory saith: Tulerunt Dagon, et restituerunt eum Greg. in Primum Regum, cap. 3. lib. 3. in locum suum, hoc est, in templo, ubi arca Dei posita fuerat. Quid est ergo Dagon in locum suum restituere, nisi idololatriæ statum subtili consideratione perquirere? Et quia, quo subtilius idololatriæ error aspicitur, eo verius condemnatur, subjunctum est, Rursus diluculo surgentes, invenerunt Dagon jacentem super faciem, coram arca Domini: "They took the idol Dagon, and restored him again into his place: that is to say, in the temple of God, where the ark of God was placed before. And what is it to restore again Dagon into his place, but discreetly and advisedly to examine the state of idolatry? And forasmuch as the better the error of idolatry is seen, the better it is condemned, therefore it followeth further, They rising in the morning, found Dagon lying flat upon his face before the ark of the Lord."

Even so, M. Harding, if ye raise up your Dagon once again, once again he shall come to ground, and shall squat his hands and feet, and be utterly dismembered by the fall, and shall lie grovelling, as a block, before the Prov. xxi. 30. presence of the ark of God. "There is no counsel against

the Lord."

The noble prince Joshua, after that he had once, by

God's commandment, destroyed the city of Jericho, adjured all his posterity in this sort: "Accursed be he before Josh. vi. 26. the Lord, that shall stand up and restore again this city of Jericho. In the death of his eldest son he shall lay the foundation: and in the death of his youngest child he shall close the gates."

Theodoretus saith: "The wicked shall not be able to Theod. Eccl. Hist. fol. 285. prevail against God. But if they once get the over hand, in Graeco. yet shall they come down again, as it is written by the λιν ἰσχύσω prophet Esay."

σ, καὶ πά-λιν ήττηθή-

As for drawing of your blood, ye need not so greatly to σονται.

complain. The gospel of Christ is not bloody. It hath hitherto prevailed without any one drop of all your blood. God give you grace to repent, lest your own blood be upon your own head in the day of the Lord. Fire, and sword, and merciless cruelty, are the only instruments of your doctrine. And therefore ye seem now to say in your blind hope, as cursed Esau sometime said of his brother Jacob; Venient dies luctus patris mei, et occidam Jacobum Gen. xxvii. fratrem meum: "The days shall come that my father shall 41." die: then will I kill my brother Jacob."

Athanasius saith: Cædi Christianorum proprium est: Athan. ad so-titariam vi-cædere autem Christianos, Pilati et Caiaphæ officia sunt: tamagentes. [i. 368.]

"It is the part of Christians to suffer persecution: but to persecute the Christians, it is the very office of Pilate and Caiaphas."

We will say unto you with St. Augustine: Illi in vos Aug. contra Epist. Fun-sæviant, qui nesciunt quo cum labore verum inveniatur, et dament, cepquam difficile caveantur errores: "Let them persecute viii. [cap. 2. you, and use cruelty over you, that know not what a labour it is to find the truth, and how hard it is to beware of error."

Again he saith: Nemo de præteritis insultat erroribus, nisi qui divinam misericordiam expertus non est, ut careret erroribus. Tantum id agamus, ut errores aliquando finiantur: "No man upbraideth other with errors past, but he that hath not felt God's divine mercy to be void of errors. Let this be our only labour, that errors at last may have an end."

We will say of you as St. Peter sometime said of Simon the sorcerer, when the people for anger, seeing his falseAbdias in Pe-hood, would have stoned him to death: Imo vivat, et regnum Christi crescere videat, vel invitus: "Nay, nay, let him live, and let him see the kingdom of Christ to grow and prosper, even against his will." Thus, M. Harding, may we say to you. As for your blood, we long not for it.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 23. Divis. 1.

And as for us, we of all others have most justly left [Vol. iv. p. the pope. For our kings, yea, even they which with greatest reverence did follow and obey the authority and faith of the bishops of Rome, have long sithence found and felt the yoke and tyranny of their kingdom. For the bishops of Rome sometime took the crown from the head of our king, Henry the Second, and compelled him to put aside all his princely estate and majesty, and, like a mere private man, to come unto their legate with great submission and humility, so as all his subjects might laugh him to scorn. More than this, they caused bishops, and monks, and some part of the nobility, to be in the field against our king John, and set all the people at liberty from their oaths, whereby they ought allegiance to their king: and at last, wickedly and most abominably, they bereaved the same king, not only of his kingdom, but also of his life 62. Besides this, they excommunicated and cursed king Henry the Eighth, that most famous prince, and stirred up against him, sometime the emperor, sometime the French king: and, as much as in them was, put our realm in hazard, to have been a very prey and spoil unto the enemy. Yet were they but fools and madmen, to think, that either so mighty a

^{62 [}See Fuller, Church History A. D. 1215.]

prince could be frayed with bugs and rattles; or else, that so noble and great a kingdom might so easily, even at one morsel, be devoured and swallowed up.

M. HARDING.

Concerning the case between these three kings of England, and the bishops of Rome, for the time being, I say little. If they did well, and the bishops evil, they have their reward, the other their punishment: if otherwise, or howsoever, each one at God's judgment shall have his deserved measure. But be it granted, all were true ye say, though we know the more part to be false. What though king Henry the Second were evil treated of pope Alexander, about the murdering of St. Thomas, the archbishop of Canterbury, and king John, likewise, of that zealous and learned pope, Innocentius the Third, &c.?

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

Notwithstanding the *pope*, as his manner hath been, raise commotion within the *realm*, and arm the subjects against their *sovereign*, and pull the *crown imperial* from his head, yet, by your doctrine, whosoever dare speak in his *prince's* right is a fool, and killeth himself: as if there were no life or salvation, but only under the frantic government of the *pope*. Such obedience and loyalty the *pope* hath taught you towards your *prince*.

THE APOLOGY, Chap. 23. Divis. 2.

And yet, as though all this were too little, they would needs have made all the realm tributary to them, and exacted thence yearly most unjust and wrongful taxes. So dear cost us the friendship of the city of Rome. Wherefore, if they have gotten these things of us by extortion, through their fraud and subtle sleights, we see no reason why we may not pluck away the same from them again, by lawful ways, and just means. And if our kings, in that darkness and blindness of the former times, gave them these things of their own accord and liberality, for religion's sake, being moved with a certain

[Vol. iv. p.

opinion of their feigned holiness; now, when the ignorance and error is espied out, may the kings, their successors, take them away again, seeing they have the same authority the kings their ancestors had before. For the gift is void, except it be allowed by the will of the giver: and that cannot seem a perfect will, which is dimmed and hindered by error.

M. HARDING.

As for Peter-pence, and what other soever sums of money were yearly paid to the church of Rome, which were not by extortion and subtle sleights by the popes gotten, as ye slander, a No doubt. but freely and discreetly, by the prince and the realm, a for a For the very great cause granted: it is not a thing that so much grieveth the pope, as your departure from the true faith and church doth, as it may well appear by that which happened in queen Mary's reign, in which time, although the pope were acknowledged, yet himself never was known to have demanded his Peter-pence, or any other yearly payments again. But what is this to your schisms and heresies? This helpeth you nothing for answer to the heinous crime of your apostasy. The liberality of our country to the see of Rome, which is the mother of all the west churches, hath been so small in comparison of certain other realms, as with the honour of the realm it might not seem to find itself grieved therewith. Yet here ye set a gnat to an elephant, and make great ado about a little. The realm is not so much enriched by retaining that small sum from the pope, as it is dishonoured by your undiscreet talk, savouring altogether of misery and niggardness. Ye should have shewed better stuff at least in the end of your book. The last act of a fable, by rules of poetry, should be best. Ye have done like a foolish poet, making your end so bad. The pope seeketh not your money: he seeketh you. He seeketh the safety of your souls. b He seeketh, like a good shepherd, how to reduce the strayed sheep of England unto the fold of Christ's church. God grant we may see his good intent happily achieved.

b But remember the old verse: Curia Romana non captat ovem sine lana.

was avarice and trea-

chery.

THE BISHOP OF SALISBURY.

The pope hath enriched himself, and gotten the treasures of the world into his own hands, not by fraud or guile, as you say, but only by the free liberality of kings Aug. de ver- and princes. Yet St. Augustine saith: Non possumus disecund. Mat. cere, Nemo nos invasores arguit: violentiæ nullus accusat: serin. 19. [v. spp. p. 150.] quasi non majorem interdum prædam a viduabus blandimenta eliciant, quam tormenta. Nec interest apud Deum, utrum vi, an circumventione quis res alienas occupet; dummodo quoquo pacto teneat alienum: "We cannot say, No man chargeth us with extortion: no man accuseth us of violence. For oftentimes of poor widows a man may get more by flattery than by racking. And there is no difference before God, whether a man hold another man's goods by open violence, or by guile, if the thing that he holdeth be not his own 60."

But how may this, by your learning, M. Harding, be called the liberality of the prince? He is liberal, that is free in bestowing of his own. But you tell us, that all the temporal goods of the world are the pope's, and not the prince's: and that the prince hath nothing, but by favour and sufferance of the pope. Your doctor's words be these:

Papa est dominus omnium temporalium, secundum illud Archidiac. de dictum Petri, Dabo tibi omnia regna mundi: "The pope is Et qui tanta, fol.15.

St. Peter," (that St. Peter never spake: for they are the et Potest. Judicis delewords of the devil,) "'I will give thee all the kingdoms of gatt. Exparte the world.'"

Matt. iv. 9.

Another of your doctors saith thus: Dicunt, quod solus Johan. de papa est verus Dominus temporalium, ita quod potest auferre Potest. Reg. ab alio quod alias suum est. Sed prælati cæteri, et principes, [cap. 6. p. non sunt domini, sed tutores, procuratores, et dispensatores: "They say, that the pope only is the very lord of temporal things, so that he may take from any man that is his own. As for other prelates and princes, they be the overseers, and farmers, and stewards of worldly things, but not the lords." And Matthias Parisiensis saith, that pope Matth. Paris. not the lords." And Matthias Parisiensis saith, that pope in Johan. Innocentius III. called king John, the king of England, [leg. in Henric. iii. p. 749. Vasalum suum, that is to say, his feod-man, or his tenant, 30.] meaning thereby, that the realm of England was the pope's, and not the king's. If all this be true, how can the kings of England, in granting any thing to the pope, be counted liberal? Verily, it is an easy kind of liberality, for a man to give that thing that is not his own.

60 [This sermon is not by St. Augustine.]
JEWEL, VOL. VI. L 1

"But the pope" (ye say) "setteth no more by all his revenues out of England, than an elephant by a gnat, and that therefore, during the whole time of queen Mary, he never demanded of us any manner of yearly payment." We must bear with your error herein, M. Harding, for that ye never were the pope's collector, and therefore not much acquainted with his books. Otherwise ye might have remembered, that cardinal Pole, being not the pope, but only a legate, or messenger from the pope, had a thousand pounds paid him yearly out of one bishopric in England, towards the provision of his kitchen. Ye might have remembered, that all the bishops of England paid the pope the whole first fruits of all their livings, which, by any common estimation, amounted to more somewhat than a And although I were never neither skilful nor curious in the pope's collections, yet, as well for the discovery of so great untruth, as also for the better satisfaction of the reader, I have thought it good briefly, and by the way, to touch what may be found in old records of good credit, touching the same.

First, therefore, the archbishop of Canterbury paid unto the pope, for his annates or first fruits, at every vacation, ten thousand florins, beside other five thousand florins for the use and right of his pall.

The archbishop of York paid likewise for his first fruits ten thousand florins, and, as it is thought, other five thousand florins for his pall.

The bishop of Ely paid for his first fruits seven thousand florins.

The bishop of London paid for his first fruits three thousand florins.

The bishop of Winchester paid for his first fruits twelve thousand florins.

The bishop of Exeter paid for his first fruits six thousand florins.

The bishop of Lincoln paid for his first fruits five thousand florins.

The bishop of Lichfield and Coventry paid for his first fruits three thousand florins.

The bishop of Hereford paid for his first fruits one thousand and eight hundred florins.

The bishop of Salisbury paid for his first fruits four thousand and five hundred florins. And so the rest, each man according after his rate.

Here is to be noted, that a *florin* is an Italian crown, of the value of four shillings and sixpence sterling.

Thus much I have noted only for example. By these few the discreet reader may easily guess the exactions and payments of the other *bishops*.

The whole value of the pope's first fruits throughout Legatio Addran. Papie VI.
Europe, as I find in one record, (although very unper-Excess Wittenber, fect, for that it lacketh sundry great, known, and notable Anno 1538. bishoprics, as Durham, Carlisle, Worcester, Norwich, Bath, Chichester, which, with many other mo archbishoprics and bishoprics, as well within the dominions of our kings of England, as also in sundry other Christian kingdoms and countries, are left unreckoned,) ariseth to the sum of two thousand thousand, four hundred threescore thousand, eight hundred forty and three florins.

Notwithstanding ye make your pope as big as an elephant, yet, M. Harding, these reckonings are over huge. in any reasonable proportion, to be resembled to a quat. Here I leave out the yearly perquisites that the pope made of his elections, preventions, dispensations, pluralities, trialities, totquots, tolerations: for his bulls, his seals, his signatures: for eating flesh, for eggs, for white meat, for priests' concubines, and for other like merchandise I know not what. The sum whereof notwithstanding amounteth to more than nine hundred thousand florins. As for your smoke farthings and Peter pence, I make no reckoning; by the vile and contemptuous report whereof, ye shew yourself not only ignorant and unskilful in that ye write, which argueth some folly, but also injurious unto your country. Read Matthias Parisiensis, and ye shall find both by what tyranny and treachery, and also what masses and intolerable sums of money, the pope's ministers have carried out of this realm.

Anno 1215.

"The pope" (saith he) "being diseased with a spiritual dropsy," that is to say, with an unquenchable thirst of money, "shook out all the priests' purses, and spoiled the abbeys of all their treasures."

Anno 1246. [p. 706.] Again: "The *pope* made a decree in Rome, that the goods and monies of all bishops and priests, deceased within England, should be taken to his use."

Eodem anno. [p. 716.]

"The pope gave strait commandment to the bishops of England, that all parsons and vicars, being resident upon their benefices, should pay yearly unto him the third part of all the values of their said benefices: and, that all parsons and vicars, being not resident, should pay unto him the one full half part of their benefices. All these payments to continue during the space of three whole years." Which amounteth at the least to the sum of a hundred and threescore and ten thousand pounds.

Anno 1247. [p. 730] The bishops of England, after great and forcible intreaty, agreed together to give the pope a contribution of eleven thousand marks.

Eodem anno.

At that time the poor prior of Winchester was forced to pay yearly three hundred threescore and five marks towards the furniture of the pope's table.

Eodem anno. [l. 1257. p. 956.]

The pope made a strait decree, that all bishops elect should immediately travel out of England to Rome, to attend upon his holiness, as Matthias saith, Ut Romanorum loculos impregnaret, in ruinam regni Angliæ: "To stuff the Romans' purses, and to decay the kingdom of England."

Matth. Westmonaster. Anno 1301. [p. 416.] Anno 1255.

The pope had the tenths of all the spiritual livings in England during the space of ten whole years 61.

Rustandus, the process legate, exacted intelerable great

Rustandus, the pope's legate, exacted intolerable great payments of the clergy of England, in a synod holden in London, as Matthias saith: Per scripta papæ, plena injuriis et iniquitate, quæ possent patientissimum cor virulenter sauciare: "All this he did by the authority of the pope's letters, full of injury and iniquity: which were able most

^{61 [}In Matt. Westmon. the period named is "triennium."]

cruelly to wound any heart, were it never so patient." The bishops of London and Worcester answered the pope's legate, that they would rather lose their lives than they would give their consent to so open injury, and servitude, and intolerable oppression of the church.

The king had entered into an obligation to pay unto the pope two hundred thousand marks, besides other fifty thousand pounds sterling. For payment whereof the bishop of Hereford, being then the pope's agent, had bound the bishops of England, before they were ware. Such like pretty gnats your pope can strain, if kings and princes will give him leave.

Johannes Sarisburiensis, otherwise called Rupertus Carnotensis, in the familiar talk that he had with pope Adrian IV., said thus unto him: Ipse Romanus pontifex omnibus Joh. Sarisb. fere est intolerabilis:.....lætatur spoliis ecclesiarum: quæstum omnem reputat pietatem : provinciarum diripit spolia, acsi thesauros Cræsi studeat reparare: "The pope is now become intolerable almost to all the world: he rejoiceth in the spoil of churches: all manner of gain he counteth holiness: he maketh such havoc of kingdoms and provinces, as if he had intended to repair again Crœsus' treasury." Again: "His legates so rage and ramp for Lib. 5. c. 16.

Acsi mittatur
money, as if the infernal furies were sent from hell to go ab inferis at liberty." What shall we need many words? Ambition vel Megsera. and avarice have no bottom. Matthias [leg. Matthæus] Anno 1247. Parisiensis saith: In Romana curia omnia possunt pecuniæ: "Money may do all things in the court of Rome." And he calleth these unsatiable prollings of the pope, quotidianas extortiones, "daily extortions 63." Again he saith, that the king of England, upon a very frivolous and fond matter, made true payment unto pope Alexander the Anno 1257. Fourth, of nine hundred and fifty thousand marks. Which Quod est thing (he saith) is horrible and abominable to be thought of gitatu. [p.

⁶² [This word, which is not found in the English dictionary, is probably derived from the German word "prellen," to cheat.]

^{63 [}Under the year 1247, we

find the expressions "intolerabili-"bus et frequentibus exactionibus "D. Papæ," (p. 720.) and "Curiæ "RomanæCharybdis insatiabilis."

⁽p. 729.)]

To be short, that ye may the better view the bigness and D. Bonner, in quantity of your *gnat*, doctor Bonner hereof writeth thus: Prefationein. "The *pope's* prey in England was so great, that it came to Gardineri, De vera Obe- as much almost as the revenues of the crown."

Anno 1240. [p. 524.] Therefore Matthias [leg. Matthæus] saith: Imperator reprehendit regem Angliæ, quod permitteret terram suam tam impudenter per papam depauperari: "The emperor friendly reproved Henry the Third, king of England, for that he suffered his kingdom so impudently to be impoverished by the pope."

Anno 1245. [p. 666.] Again he saith: "King Henry the Third made open complaint by his ambassador in the council of Lyons in France, of the pope's innumerable exactions."

Likewise he saith before: Rex Henricus III. repressit impetum legati, propter violentiam denariorum: "The king stayed the attempts of the pope's legate, touching his intolerable greediness in prolling for money."

Ye see, therefore, M. Harding, neither is this gnat so little, as by your scornful comparison, to the great dishonour of this noble realm, ye would seem to make it: nor is the grief and complaint thereof so new as ye bear us in hand. King Canutus, the king of England, almost six hundred years ago, being at Rome, wrote home to the archbishops, and bishops, and states of the realm, on this wise: Conquestus sum item coram domino papa, et mihi valde displicere dixi, quod mei archiepiscopi in tantum angariabantur immensitate pecuniarum, quæ ab eis expetebantur, &c.: "Also I have made my complaint unto the pope, and told him, that it much misliketh me that my archbishops should be vexed with such unreasonable sums of money required of them."

Wilhelmus Malmesbur, Anno 1031, [lib. ii, cap, 11.]

Anno 1094. [p. 19.] Likewise Matthias Parisiensis writeth of king William the Conqueror: Concipiens indignationem contra papam, allegavit, quod nullus archiepiscopus, vel episcopus, de regno suo, ad curiam Romanam, vel ad papam, haberet respectum: "King William, upon displeasure conceived against the pope, said, that no archbishop or bishop of his realm should from thenceforth have regard either to the court of Rome or to the pope."

All this notwithstanding, ye say the *pope* is an *elephant*: and all these sums, in comparison of his treasures, are but a *gnat*.

Verily all these, and other far greater reckonings, the realm of England is well able to defray. Neither make we any account of the money, but of the deceitful extorting of the money: neither is it dishonourable to the realm to repress these lewd and injurious mockeries, and to preserve the subject from open spoil. Other kings and countries have oftentimes done the same. Louis, the French king, whom for his holiness they have made a saint, hereof complaineth thus: Exactiones impositas per Romanam cu-Ludovicus, riam, quibus regnum nostrum miserabiliter depauperatum est, levari aut colligi nullatenus volumus: "These exactions, or payments of money, laid upon us by the court of Rome, by mean whereof our realm is miserably impoverished, we will not in any wise to be levied or gathered." The gains and pilferies that the Pharisees made of the people, were not so great. Nevertheless, Christ said unto them, " Woe be unto you, ye Scribes and Pharisees, that Matt. xxiii. raven up poor widows' houses, under pretence of long 14. praying."

I know you make no great account of Laurentius Valla, yet thus he writeth touching the unsatiable ambition and greediness that in his time he saw in the church of Rome: Quid ergo, summe pontifex, omnes reges ac principes occidentis spoliare urbibus, aut cogere, ut annua tibi tributa pensitent, sententia est? At ego contra existimo, justius licere principibus spoliare te imperio omni quod obtines: "What then, my lord pope, is it your mind to spoil all the kings and princes of the west of their towns and territories, or else to force them to bear you an yearly tribute? Nay, in my judgment, it were far meeter that they should spoil you of all that empire that you have gotten." Thus wrote Laurentius Valla an hundred year and more before Luther began to preach. And therefore whatsoever he were, I trow at least he was no Lutheran.

"Rome" (ye say) "is the mother church of all the West." And, therefore, I trow, we are bound to pay

whatsoever payments she shall require. If we allow such simple reasons, then is the pope likewise bound to pay to the church of Jerusalem whatsoever payments she shall require. For Jerusalem is indeed the mother church, not only of the West, but also of all the whole world. beit, it is a cruel mother that devoureth up her own

2 Cor. xii. 14 children. St. Paul saith: Non debent filii parentibus thesaurizare, sed parentes filiis: "The children ought not to lay up treasure for their parents, but the parents for their children." But Johannes Sarisburiensis in his Polycraticon saith: Roma nunc non tam matrem exhibet, quam no-Lib. 6, cap. 24. vercam: "Rome now sheweth herself not so much a natural mother, as a stepdame." For she spoileth and devoureth her children.

"This defender," (ye say,) "in making his end so bad, hath played the part of a foolish poet." Here, M. Harding, we have good cause to think your divinity is waxen cold, seeing you are thus driven to plead in poetry. But may we believe the church of Rome is of late grown so holy, that money is now become the vilest part of all her play? Certainly, if your pope once lose his money, all his players will soon sit a-cold. One of your own doctors saith thus: Cessante tali redditu, qui maximus est, attenta hodierna tyrannide, sedes apostolica contemneretur; "If this rent, (of simony,) which is very great, were once staid, considering the tyranny of princes that now is, the apostolic see of Rome would be despised." In which words thus much is also to be noted by the way, that whatsoever prince will not suffer the pope to take what him listeth. must be taken, and judged as a tyrant. Therefore, Johannes Andrew, one of your greatest canonists, saith thus: In sexto: de Roma fundata fuit a prædonibus, et adhuc de primordiis

Elect. et [et ap. Johan. Andr. in 6tum fol. 30. col. 2.]

Felin, de Offic, et

Potestat. Judicis de-

legati, Ex parte 1. [fol.

192.]

Elect. et Elect. potest. retinet: dicta Roma, quasi rodens manus. Unde versus, In Glossa. 'Roma manus rodit: quos rodere non valet, odit:' "The first foundation of Rome was laid by thieves: and hitherto she savoureth still of her beginning, and is called Roma, quia rodit manus. Thereof cometh the common verse, ' Rome biteth you by the hands. And whom she cannot bite, them she hateth."

The state of the Roman popedom sprung first of money, and increased by money, and standeth now, neither by truth of doctrine, nor by severity of discipline, nor by prayer, nor by holiness, nor by ought else, but only by money. Set money apart, and the pope is equal with other bishops. Codrus Urceus saith: Pontifex maximus, si non Codrus Urvirtute, tamen pecunia: "The pope is the greatest bishop, although not in virtue, yet at least in money." Therefore we may say of the pope, as Diphilus sometime said of Pom-Cicero ad peius: Nostra miseria Magnus es.

Baptista Mantuanus, speaking of the state of Rome, saith Bapt. Mant. de thus:

calam. tempor. lib. iii. 1. 121.]

Venalia nobis

TEMPLA, SACERDOTES, ALTARIA, SACRA, CORONÆ, IGNIS, THURA, PRECES, CŒLUM EST VENALE, DEUSQUE.

"Amongst us in Rome, churches, priests, altars, masses, crowns, fire, incense, prayers, and heaven, are set to sale. Yea, God himself amongst us may be had for money."

Budæus saith : Sanctiones pontificiæ non moribus regen-Budæus in dis usui sunt: sed, propemodum dixerim, argentariæ faciendæ authoritatem videntur accommodare: "The pope's canons serve not now to guide men's lives: but, if I may so say, they serve rather to make a bank, and to get money 63."

Bernard of Clunice saith thus:

Roma dat omnibus omnia dantibus: omnia Romæ CUM PRETIO:

Bernar, Cluniacen, in Satyra [de

"Rome giveth all things to them that give all things: all mundi, lib. 3. things at Rome will pass for money."

Even in the pope's own Decretals ye shall find it noted thus: Roma est caput avaritiæ. Ideo omnia ibi venduntur: In sexto: de "Rome is the head of all covetous treachery. And, there-Electi Pofore, all things there are set to sale 64."

test, Funda-

Yea, Thomas Becket himself, whom a little before ye Lugd. 1572.] called a saint, when, for his wilful disobedience, and treason committed against his prince, he had for aid and succour

63 [The editor has looked through 64 [See the note supra vol. vi. this work, but has found no clue 155.] to the passage.]

In Epistola ad Archiepiscop. Moguntinum. [Epist. p. 334.]

fled to Rome, and saw that nothing would be wrought there without money, thus he wrote thereof to the bishop of Menz: Mater Roma facta est meretrix, et prostituta est pro mercede: "Rome, our mother, is become an harlot, and for money and meed layeth herself to sale 64." To be short, ye know that our fathers, long sithence, were wont to say,

Curia Romana non captat ovem sine lana:

"The court of Rome will not take the sheep without the fleece."

Therefore, M. Harding, your poet concluded in good order, and went not so far besides his rules. For money is both the first, and the middle, and the last act of all your fable.

Christ sometime thrust such buyers, sellers, brokers, and Matt. xxi. 12. scorsers 65, out of the temple: but, contrariwise, ye have received in buyers and sellers, and thrust out Christ, and so have turned the house of God into a cave of thieves.

Acts xx. 33. St. Paul saith thus unto the people of Ephesus: Argentum et aurum nullius concupivi: "I have desired no man's gold or silver." Upon which words, in the Gloss it is noted thus: Per hoc lupi cognoscuntur, qui talia concupiscunt: "Hereby, they that desire such things, are known St. Hierom saith: Quia prophetæ pecuniam for wolves." accipiebant, prophetia eorum facta est divinatio: "For that ı quæ. ı. Nunquam the prophets fell to taking of money, therefore their prodivinatlo. phecy was become a soothsaying:" that is to say, it was of the devil, and not of God.

> Thus, M. Harding, to conclude, whatsoever fault ye can find with the defender's poetry, verily, by the judgment of your nearest friends, money was the best part of all your fable.

THE RECAPITULATION OF THE APOLOGY.

Thus thou seest, good Christian reader, it is no [vol. iv. p. new thing, though at this day the religion of Christ be entertained with despites and checks, being but

65 [Thomas a Becket's words, as strong.] though not exactly these, are quite 65 [To scorse=to exchange.]

lately restored, and, as it were, coming up again anew; forsomuch as the like hath chanced both to Christ himself, and to his apostles 66: yet, nevertheless, for fear thou mayest suffer thyself to be led amiss. and to be seduced with these exclamations of our adversaries, we have declared at large unto thee the very whole manner of our religion, what our faith is of God the Father, of his only Son Jesus Christ, of the Holy Ghost 67, of the church 68, of the sacraments 69, of the ministry 70, of the scriptures 71, of ceremonies 72, and of every part of Christian belief. We have said, that we abandon and detest, as plagues and poisons, all those old heresies, which either the sacred scriptures, or the ancient councils, have utterly condemned 73; that we call home again, as much as in us lieth, the right discipline of the church, which our adversaries have quite brought into a poor and weak case⁷⁴: that we punish all licentiousness of life, and unruliness of manners, by the old and long continued laws, and with as much sharpness as is convenient, and lieth in our power 75: that we maintain still the state of kingdoms, in the same condition and state of honour, wherein we found them, without any diminishing or alteration; reserving unto our princes their majesty and worldly preeminence, safe, and without impairing, to our possible power⁷⁶: that we have so gotten ourselves away from that church, which they had made a den of thieves, and wherein

```
66 [See vol. iv. pp. 6—15.]
67 [Ibid. pp. 15. 16. In the Latin Apology no words occur here relating to the Third Person of the Blessed Trinity.]
```

^{68 [}Ibid. pp. 16. 17.] 69 [Ibid. pp. 21—25.]

^{70 [}Ibid. pp. 17—20.]
71 [Ibid. p. 20.]
72 [Ibid. pp. 25. 26.]
73 [Ibid. pp. 29. 30.]
74 [Ibid. p. 35.]
75 [Ibid. p. 37.]
76 [Ibid. pp. 39—44.]

nothing was in good frame, or once like to the church of God, and which, by their own confessions, had erred many ways, even as Lot, in times past, gat him out of Sodom, or Abraham out of Chaldee, not upon a desire of contention, but by the warning of God himself 77: and that we have searched out of the holy Bible, which we are sure cannot deceive us, one sure form of religion, and have returned again unto the primitive church of the ancient fathers and apostles, that is to say, to the ground and beginning of things, unto the very foundations and headsprings of Christ's church 78.

Neither have we tarried in this matter for the authority or consent of the Tridentine council, wherein we saw nothing done uprightly, nor by good order: where also everybody was sworn to the maintenance of one man: where princes' ambassadors were contemned: where not one of our divines could be heard, and where parts-taking and ambition was openly and earnestly procured and wrought: but as the holy fathers in former time, and as our predecessors have commonly done, we have restored our churches by a provincial convocation 79, and have clean shaken off, as our duty was, the yoke and tyranny of the bishop of Rome, to whom we were not bound: who also had no manner of thing like, neither to Christ, nor to Peter, nor to an apostle, nor yet like to any bishop at all⁸⁰. Finally, we say, that we agree amongst ourselves touching the whole

tition of statements often refuted before.] ⁷⁹ [Vol. iv. pp. 70—87.] 80 [Ibid. pp. 87—91.]

^{77 [}Vol. iv. pp. 44—53.]
78 [Ibid. pp. 53—70. Throughout this Recapitulation, Harding interposes his remarks, which bishop Jewel omits, as a mere repe-

judgment and chief substance of Christian religion, and with one mouth and with one spirit do worship God, and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Wherefore, O Christian and godly reader, forsomuch as thou seest the reasons and causes, both why we have restored religion, and why we have forsaken these men, thou oughtest not to marvel though we have chosen to obey our *master* Christ rather than men. St. Paul hath given us warning, that we should not suffer ourselves to be carried away with such sundry learnings, and to flee their companies, specially such as would sow debate and variance, clean contrary to the doctrine which they had received of Christ and the apostles.

Long sithence have these men's crafts and treacheries decayed, and vanished, and fled away at the sight and light of the gospel, even as the owl doth at the sun-rising. And albeit their trumpery be built up and reared as high as the sky, yea, even in a moment, and as it were of itself, falleth it down again to the ground, and cometh to nought.

For you must not think, that all these things have come to pass by chance, or at adventure: it was God's pleasure, that, against all men's wills wellnigh, the gospel of Jesus Christ should be spread abroad throughout the whole world at these days. And therefore men, following God's commandment, have of their own free will resorted unto the doctrine of Jesus Christ.

And, for our parts, truly we have sought hereby neither glory, nor wealth, nor pleasure, nor ease. For there is plenty of all these things with our adversaries.

And when we were of their side, we enjoyed

such worldly commodities much more liberally and bountifully than we do now.

[Contr. Arian. sub init.]

Neither do we eschew concord and peace. But to have peace with man, we may not be at war with God. The name of peace is a sweet and pleasant thing, saith Hilarius 81: but vet beware, saith he, "Peace is one thing, and bondage is another." For if it should so be, as they seek to have it, that Christ should be commanded to keep silence, that the truth of the gospel should be betrayed, that horrible errors should be cloked, that Christian men's eves should be bleared, and that they might be suffered to conspire openly against God: this were not a peace, but a most ungodly covenant of servitude. "There is a peace," saith Nazianzen, "that is un-

[Greg. Naz. tom, i, 201.]

profitable 82: again, there is a discord," saith he, "that is profitable." For we must conditionally desire peace, so far as is lawful before God, and so far as Matt. x. 34. we may conveniently. For otherwise Christ himself brought not peace into the world, but a sword. Wherefore, if the pope will have us reconciled to him, his duty is first to be reconciled to God: "For from thence," saith Cyprian, "spring schisms and sects, because men seek not the head, and have not

[Ad Florent. Pupianum, p. 122. ad Pomp. p. 141.]

[De lapsis, p. 186.]

"and keep not the rules given by the heavenly Teacher: for," saith he, "that is not peace, but war: neither is he joined unto the church, which is severed from the gospel 83." As for these men, they use to make a merchandise of the name of peace. For that peace, which they so fain would have, is only a rest of idle

their recourse to the fountain" (of the scriptures),

^{81 [}Hilarius: the first part of this quotation will be found according to the marginal reference: "Speciosum quidem nomen est pacis"]

^{82 [}Nazianz. Orat. 12. οίδα γάρ ωσπερ στάσιν τινα βελτίστην, ούτω καὶ βλαβερωτάτην δμόνοιαν.]

^{83 [}This quotation is made up from several distinct passages.]

bellies. They and we might easily be brought to atonement⁸⁴ touching all these matters, were it not that ambition, gluttony, and excess doth let it. Hence cometh their whining: their heart is on their halfpenny⁸⁵. Out of doubt, their clamours and stirs be to none other end, but to maintain more shamefully and naughtily ill-gotten goods.

Now-a-days the pardoners complain of us, the dataries, the pope's collectors, the bawds, and others which think gain to be godliness, and serve not Jesus Christ, Tim. vi. 5. but their own bellies. Many a day ago, and in the old world, a wonderful great advantage grew hereby to these kinds of people. But now they reckon all is lost unto them that Christ gaineth. The pope himself maketh a great complaint at this present, that charity in people is waxen cold. And why so, trow ve? Forsooth, because his profits decay more and more. And for this cause doth he hale us into hatred, all that ever he may, laying load upon us with despiteful railings, and condemning us for heretics, to the end they that understand not the matter may think there be no worse men upon earth than we be. Notwithstanding, in the mean season, we are not ashamed in this behalf: neither ought we to be ashamed of the gospel. For we set more by the glory of God than we do by the estimation of men. We are sure, all is true that we teach, and we may not either go against our own conscience, or bear any witness against God. For if we deny any part of the gospel of Jesus Christ before men, he, on the other side, will deny us before his Father. And if there be any, that will still be offended, and

 ^{84 [}at-onement=reconciliation.]
 85 [Apol. Lat. "animus est in patinis."]

cannot endure Christ's doctrine, such, say we, be blind, and leaders of the blind: the truth, nevertheless, must be preached and preferred above all: and we must with patience wait for God's judgment 86:

Let these folk, in the mean time, take good heed what they do, and let them be well advised of their own salvation, and cease to hate and persecute the gospel of the Son of God, for fear lest they feel him once a redresser and revenger of his own cause. God will not suffer himself to be made a mockingstock. The world espieth, a good while ago, what there is adoing abroad. This flame, the more it is kept down, so much the more, with greater force and strength, doth it break out and fly abroad. The unfaithfulness of men shall not disappoint God's faithful promise. And if they shall refuse to lay away this their hardness of heart, and to receive the gospel of Christ, then shall publicans and sinners go before them into the kingdom of heaven.

God, and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, open the eyes of them all, that they may be able to see that blessed hope, whereunto they have been called: so as we may altogether in one glorify him alone, who is the true God, and also that same Jesus Christ, whom he sent down to us from heaven: unto whom, with the Father and the Holy Ghost, be given all honour and glory everlastingly. So be it.

^{86 [}Harding here alludes to Foxe's "Acts and Monuments," as "a donghill of lyes."]

TO M. HARDING.

IT appeareth, M. Harding, by that ye have lately sent us over 68, and specially by the unpleasant verdure of your speech, that my Replie hath somewhat disordered your quiet mind. Which thing notwithstanding, I might easily have guessed, was not unlikely to come to pass, specially being before not utterly unskilful of your affections: yet as I have never sought to write any thing that of purpose and justly might offend you, (the right of the cause and defence of the truth evermore foreprised,) even so am I now right sorry to see you so unable to master your passions, and so unadvisedly to make them open to so many. If it grieve you in respect of your credit, for that I have thus discovered your errors; that was your fault, it was not mine. If ye had not made your errors known, they should never of my part have been discovered. If you knew how sorry I am in your behalf, ye would not so impatiently be offended.

It misliketh you that I have alleged so many doctors and councils, and, as you say, have so ambitiously painted my margin with so many authorities, both Greek and Latin. Yet you, for your part, have not spared, over and

68 [This alludes to Harding's "Rejoinder" to "the Replie;" published A. D. 1566, the year before the publication of the "Defence of the Apology." It should be borne in mind, that the

two controversies, the one on the Challenge, and the other on the Apology, were carried on simultaneously. The several dates of the works which appeared will be noticed in the Preface.

besides these two tongues, to paint your margin, for a surcharge, with words in Hebrew: besides other such ranks of your English scholies, so many, so thick, and so close together, that it were a hard matter to force in one word of truth to stand amongst them. It is no courteous dealing, M. Harding, to reprove that in others, that you so commonly do yourself.

If the number of doctors have offended you, I do not marvel: a cowardly challenger would always wish the defendant to come unarmed unto the fight. If I had alleged either no doctors at all, or nothing to purpose, as your wont commonly is to do, ye would have borne it a great deal better. Howbeit, my authorities of doctors and councils, be they never so many, yet, as you have used them, are few enow. For of the whole number, by your good skill, more than three parts are left untouched. And indeed this was the wisest way. Children, where they cannot read, think it best to skip over.

Whereas I examine and lay abroad all the parts and branches of your arguments, and show how directly ye grow to your conclusions, that your reader may see by what weapons ye seek to master him; this, you say, is a kind of scoffing. Ye tell me, I rack, and alter, and abuse your arguments, and play with shadows of mine own. But, M. Harding, if ye will have your arguments to pass smoothly without controlment, then learn henceforth to make them better. Ye are over-tender, if ye look to speak what ye list, and yet to hear nothing but to your liking: and to send abroad such simple wares to serve the people, and yet may suffer no man to tell you of it. Verily, where ye say I have of purpose changed your arguments, if ye make them otherwise than I have made them, having always an eye unto your conclusion, ye shall be forced to make them worse. Touching the scoffs wherewith ye find yourself so much aggrieved, doubtless whose had that grace that is in you, as may well appear throughout all your books, might soon deserve to be called a scoffer.

Where ye say, you and your fellows have espied a thou-

sand foul great lies in my writings; had not one of you been a great father of lies, ye could never have hit so readily upon the number. Such an auditor, I trow, was he that found us out eleven thousand lies in Sleidan's Laurent. Sustory. The very true multitude hereof, and the hugeness sian, in Pre-fat, in Nauof the heap, as it bewrayeth well your stomach, so in any clerum. indifferent judgment, it decayeth the credit of your reckoning. A man may reasonably think it is as possible to find two hundred and fifty untruths in your book, as in mine to find a thousand. Verily, as I never minded to defend any thing whatsoever that in any my writings shall be found amiss, so I see by the view of your accounts it were no hard matter with your eyes to find untruths in the gospel. For whatsoever I say, be it never so true, yet, if it like not your taste, it is a lie. Whatsoever I allege or translate, it is corrupted. So evil is my luck; I can touch nothing, but it is either too much or too little, or too short or too long, or too black or too white; or one way or other it standeth awry. If I translate nonnulli sacerdotes, "sundry priests," ye cry out, "a corrupter, a M. Harding, Rejoinder. falsary." I should have said certain priests, or some priests: fol. 203. b. but I should not in any wise have said sundry priests, for that were an heresv.

If I translate δμοτρόφους, una nutritos, "fed together," ye tell the world, it is falsehood, it is foul corruption. M. Harding, Rejoinder, Thus ye say I should have translated it eodem cibo alitos: fol. 115. b. "fed with one kind of meat:" as if δμοῦ in Greek were not una in Latin, or had not relation to the place. Deal herein with your friends, M. Harding, as you may: the Greek reader will allow you no such translations. If I happen to say, "M. Harding saith, The thing that we receive in the sacrament is no bread," ye cry Alarma; "Look," ye say, "in my book, reader: M. Jewel is an M. Hurding, untrue man: here he is taken with a lie: mark well: I fol. 163. b. say, It is not bread; I say not, It is no bread." Not bread, ye say; no bread, ye say not. As if there were so many miles distance between no and not.

These, and such like, be the shameful untruths and horrible lies that you and your fellows, with great seeking and diligence, have espied. And thus if a man happen to use ensis for gladius, or nam for enim, or que for et, ye think it cause sufficient to make a tragedy. Howbeit, I doubt not but in my Replie, being so long, and so full of necessary allegations, ye may happen to find some oversights of greater importance. And in acknowledging and reforming of the same, ye shall find me as sharp and eager as yourself. But these few examples I have touched by the way, that it might appear how inquisitive and fierce ye are to seek occasions: and that your reader may see ve hunt wantonly, and run riot, and open ofttimes without a cause. Yet notwithstanding, if ye can tell us sadly, as your manner is, that M. Jewel bringeth trifling objections, and trash, and pelf, and nothing to purpose, without learning, without reason, without wit; that he racketh, that he stretcheth, that he wringeth, that he wresteth, that he nippeth and clippeth the doctors and councils: (for these be the words whereby ye thought ye might best utter your pretty fancies:) if ye can cry out false parts, false reports, false dealings, false merchants, false balance, false dice, and all is false: if ye can say, Lo, sir defender, ye wrangle, ye trifle, ye are taken tardy, ye have proved nothing, ye have nothing to answer: if ye can thus say, and say it boldly, it shall be sufficient, all is safe: your friends will think ye have said somewhat, and that ye would never have framed such a countenance to say nothing.

Ye tell us full often, we are no bishops. I trow, for that we have not sworn our obedience to the pope. therefore ye give the world to understand, we can consecrate no ministers, we can hold no synods, we can do nothing. Even so certain your forefathers in old times told St. Paul he was no apostle; and others afterward, by like authority, told St. Basil and St. Hilary they were no bishops. But, M. Harding, they were false apostles, they were Arian heretics that so told them. It booteth not to try our titles before you. We will only say with St. Paul: F Cor. XV. 10. " By the grace of God we are that we are." And we trust we have not his grace in vain.

But specially, and above all other things, and that

throughout all your three books 69, ye say, that sir defender M. Harding, is unlearned: that his best skill is in a few figures of 214, a. and rhetoric: that he hath neither Greek, nor logic, nor phi-commonly in other places. losophy, nor divinity: that he hath read no kind of doctors. nor new, nor old, nor of his own, nor of others: that all the furniture of his book was brought to his hand, some by Greek readers, some by schoolmasters, some by civilians, some by canonists, some by summists, some by glossers, some by others: that he hath nothing else but patched note-books, huddled together by snaps and pieces. Some part hereof, or rather the whole altogether, without exception, to do you pleasure, I would easily have granted you, M. Harding, upon small suit, with more favour and less ado. Take from me what learning ye list; distrain it and pound it at your pleasure; I will never trouble you with replevin. Howbeit, if ye utter all this of your indifferent judgment and certain knowledge, yet is it impertinent; for we pleaded of faith, and not of learning: if otherwise ye speak it of heat of mind, and abundance of choler, and thereupon thus proclaim it to the world, it is great folly. Truly ye never saw sir defender's books, nor never set your foot within his study. A wise judge will seldom pronounce before he know. If it shall please you, for trial hereof, to send your friend, he may haply see that sir defender hath all these summists, and canonists, and Greek readers, and schoolmasters of his own.

Notwithstanding, it may become us both to say, as a heathen wise man sometime said: "This only thing we socrates. know, that we know nothing." God's truth dependeth not of our knowledge. Our tongues shall cease, and our knowledge shall fail: but the glory of God shall stand for ever. For my part, I will say to you, with St. Augustine: Quære doctiores: sed cave præsumptores: "Seek others of more learning: but beware of them that presume of learning." If any praise fall out in this respect, bestow it

to are Harding's Answer to the Challenge, 1563, his Rejoinder to Jewel's Replie, 1566; and his

^{69 [}The "three books" alluded Confutation of the Apology, which appeared between the two others in 1565.]

freely upon your Greek readers and schoolmasters, who in your judgment have best deserved it. It shall be sufficient for me to have said the truth; which, though it appear never so simple, yet is able to remove a mountain of learning. But happy are your brethren of Louvain, that are so speedily grown learned upon the sudden, not by great study, I trow, but rather by destiny. As soon as they had once savoured the soil of that country, they Extra. lib. 5. looked only upon two poor titles of the law, De maledicis, and De clerico promoto per saltum: and suddenly they were transformed, and now go for doctors.

> As for your learning, M. Harding, we never reproved it. Howbeit, greatly to fear it we have no cause. God give you grace ye may wholly turn it to his glory; lest in the day of the Lord it be laid against you. He is over well learned, that bendeth his learning against God. But if we be so utterly void of all manner of learning, painting, as you say, our books and margins with the names and authorities of so many doctors, what may your friends then think of you, that, standing so long in the defence of your private mass, are not yet able to allege one doctor, nor Greek, nor Latin, nor one nor other? It seemeth great marvel ve should have such abundance of doctors, and shew so few, specially where it standeth you so much upon to open your store. Consider, I beseech you, your late Rejoinder; wherein, as it is thought, nothing of your part is left untouched. Of the seven and twenty articles contained in my Replie, ye have taken upon you only to answer one. And yet of the same one, ye have scarcely touched the tenth part. Your purpose should have been herein, by evident examples and good authorities, substantially to have proved your private mass.

> Now consider the order and plainness of your dealing. Ye bestow wellnear the third part of your book about the sacrifice: as though there were no sacrifice without private mass. All the rest ye consume in idle discourses, and needless talks, of consecration, of the intention of the priest. of mingling the water with the wine, of the name of the mass, of transubstantiation, of real presence, of church

feasts, (which in old time were called agapa,) of singular communion, of communion of faith, of our union with Christ, of sending abroad the sacrament, of priests' wives. of vows, of bigamy, of good works, of only faith, of public prayer in a tongue unknown, of ceremonies, of forms, of accidents, of the epistles decretal, of Clemens, Cletus, Anacletus, Abdias, Leontius, &c. Hereof ye have told us such things as perhaps we knew before, and were not hard to be known, and pertained full little to the purpose. Ye should rather have proved, that within the first six hundred years after Christ some one or other of the holy learned catholic fathers ministered the holy communion openly in the church, and received the sacrament alone, not dividing the same to any other, the whole multitude of the people sitting or standing by and looking on him. was the matter that lay between us. Hereunto ye should have laid your force. This was it ve should have proved. For proof of such things as needed no proof, ye have brought forth great shows of learning. But as touching your private mass, which only ye had taken in hand to prove, ye have hitherto proved nothing. Your reader. M. Harding, can never be neither so simple nor so partial, but he must needs have an eye unto your issue, and remember what ye had in hand. If amongst so many and so learned words, he find not one word of that he sought for, may not he think he hath lost his labour, and that there is some folly in your fardle? May he not say with himself: Quo nunc se proripit iste? What shall I make of these vagaries? What meaneth this man to shoot so fair beside the mark? He must needs perceive by your silence, that notwithstanding your so many fair and liberal promises, vet the thing he sought for cannot be found. Nay, you yourself, for excuse hereof, by express words, have told us plainly, "It might be, that none received the M. Harding, Rejoinder, sacrament with the priest." And again: "Whether the fol. 281. a. priest had always a company to receive with him, or sometimes received alone, that is a circumstance of a fact: the proof whereof, by manifest testimonics, cannot with reason be demanded." Again: "It is contentious to put us to

in his Rejoinder, in the preface

&c. In the same preface, and M. Harding,

Rejoinder, fol, 210, a. Rejoinder, 219. 8.

M. Harding, proof of the circumstance." Again: "It forceth not, whether we bring forth testimonies of the six hundred years, to the reader, or no." Again: "Whether I can shew, that a mass was said without company present to receive with the priest that said it, or no, what skilleth it?" Again: " I must tell you, that I seek not for private mass, which to find, your scoffing pretendeth me to be desirous. I seek not for that which I acknowledge not." And again: "It forceth not greatly, whether it may be proved or no." Which is as much as if ye had told us in plainer wise, that for the space of six hundred years after Christ, ye can hear no tidings in any doctor or council, of your private mass. Which thing thus of your part confessed, to our purpose is sufficient.

Now touching the authority of your Amphilochius, not long sithence ye thought his force had been invincible. M. Harding, And therefore ye stood up aloft, and brayed aloud: "Now

in his An-

swer, fol. 29. M. Jewel and his consacramentaries do stagger, I doubt not." And for that cause, as if it had been some great worthy author, ye alleged him seven times, with special reverence in your book. And yet now at the last ye are content for shame to turn him over, and to let him go. Perhaps ve thought for the while a weak thread was sufficient to lead the people; and that, as folks use sometimes to please children, ye might quench their thirst with an empty cup. Thus much hitherto touching some

Concerning your former book, which ye have entituled

Rejoinder, fol. 267. b.

A Confutation, I need to say nothing. By the judgment of the wise it saith sufficiently of itself. But what meant M. Harding, you, M. Harding, therein to make so large discourse, I Confut. fol. will not say in the defence, (for that word your friends 162. b.

parts of your Rejoinder.

may not bear,) but at the least in the favour of open stews. and to call the same malum necessarium, that is to say, although an ill thing, yet such a thing as no good commonweal may be without it? What meant you, to that purpose, to show us the name and authority of St. Augustine? Must we think that St. Augustine was a proctor or

patron for your stews? What meant you thus to upbraid

Confut. fol. 162, 8.

us in the end, " In good sooth, masters, ye are too young to M. Harding. control the city of Rome in her doings?" What needed you 163, b. to bestow so fine eloquence in so foul a cause? Is vice grown so cold in Louvain, that it must now be inflamed and authorized by open writing? What meant you to Confut. 251. allege the prophet David, the evangelist St. Matthew, and ceps. St. Paul the apostle, for proof of your pardons? Will ye tell us, that David, Matthew, and Paul were pardoners? Or if ye dare to tell us so, must we believe you? If you so manifestly mock us with open follies, how may we trust you in higher mysteries? St. Paul saith; "Though our 2 Cor, 1v, 16, outward man be corrupted, yet our inward man is renewed day by day." Here ve tell us in great sooth, that these words undoubtedly serve to prove purgatory. Christ saith unto Peter, "I have prayed for thee, &c." Therefore ye say, Christ now requireth us, not to be obedient to Peter or M. Harding, Paul, but to the pope that sitteth in their chair. Christ 117. a. saith; "The Son of man came not to destroy, but to save:" Luke xxil.

M. Harding,

Ergo, say you, The bread and wine in the sacrament lose no Confut. fol. part of their former virtues: but remain, in forms and acci-M. Harding, dents, even as they were before: as if the Son of God had contact for the son of Go come down from heaven to save accidents.

Thus ye nip off the sense and meaning of the holy scriptures, and feed us only with empty words, as if ye would pick away the corn, and give us the chaff: or convey away the jewels, and throw us the bag. O, M. Harding, be not wilful: let your own conscience lead you. Was this the meaning of St. Paul? Was this the coming of Christ into the world? Was this the sense of the Holy Ghost? I will not say, what old doctor or ancient father, but what summist, what canonist, what child, what heretic, ever either so undiscreetly or so unreverently used the word of God?

I leave the misconstruing and falsifying of so many fathers: the allowing and soothing of manifest forgeries: the upholding of abuses and open errors: your weak proofs: your silly conjectures: your simple guesses: your great oversights: your bold affirmations; your heaps of untruths; your disdainful scorns; your immoderate scoffs;

Confut. 318. your ungentle and uncivil words; as for example, villains, thieves, fools, disards, lourdaines 70, &c. I leave other your Confut. 250. unmannerly and uncleanly speeches; Hungry dogs eat dirty puddings: As common as lice with beggars: They serve the belly, and the things beneath the belly. These be your words, M. Harding; you may not deny them. These be the flowers and ornaments of your books.

But was this a present, M. Harding, meet either for the modesty of a virgin, or for the majesty of a prince: specially such a virgin, and such a prince, so chaste, so grave, so learned, so wise, so virtuous, so godly, as Christendom seldom hath seen the like? What! thought you, that either her wisdom could not espy your frauds and mockeries, or that her chaste ears could quietly bear your loathsome talk? Or thought you by the weight of such reasons to move mountains, and to work wonders, and to force her majesty to leave Christ and his gospel, and come to Louvain to follow you?

Ye threape ⁷¹ her majesty fondly with kindness, and, as ye would have the world imagine, with good liking and favouring of your side; as if her majesty having been brought up from her cradle in the knowledge and fear of God, and through God's great mercy, and according to his known will, by the good advice and counsel of the states of her realm, having reformed the house of God, from the filth and soil of your devices, she stood now in a mammering ⁷², and were not able to discern either falsehood from truth, or darkness from light; or as if your errors were not so gross, that a blind man may grope them with his fingers.

Confut. fol. 42. a. and so throughout the whole book.

Confut. fol. 5. a. 15. a. 38. a. 49. and so through the whole.

Ye tell her majesty, she hath neither parliament, nor law, nor church, nor clergy: the church of England ye commonly call the tower of Babylon, the synagogue of Antichrist, and the school of Satan: ye charge her majesty with disordered proceedings, with maintenance of infidelity, of sacrilege, of schism, of heresy; for your possible power, ye dishonour her majesty both abroad and at home;

70 [Disard, or dizzard = one stupified: lourdaine=a worthless person.]
71 [To threa to urge.]
72 [In suspense.]

where ye may get credit to your follies, ye slander the government; ye disquiet her majesty's loving subjects; ye breed seditions; ye procure rebellions; ye hazard her estate. And yet dare ye to powder all this poison with a few dissembled and sugared words, and to offer the same unto her majesty for a present? Well, M. Harding, if ye had foreseen the thanks that her majesty most justly vielded you for your travails, ye would not have been so bold, so rudely to press into her presence. It behoved you to be advised, not only what ye wrote, but also what personage should view your writings.

If ye shall happen to write hereafter, send us fewer words and more learning. If ye shall devise to talk any more of your private mass, leave your vagaries, and go directly to the purpose. Tell us no more such long tales. either of the sacrifice, or of other matters so far from the question. It is no good logic to shift off the thing ye have in hand, and to mock your poor reader with another. Tread not so nicely and so gingerly, M. Harding; say not Rejoin. 232. your mass is a circumstance, and a matter of fact, and standeth only upon supposals and guesses, and therefore needeth no further proof. Why should ye so trifle with the simple? This is the issue that falleth out between us: Whether any one of the ancient learned fathers, &c. ever said your private mass, &c. This is it that is denied. If ve prove not this, whatsoever ye prove, ye prove nothing. Bring out some learned father: shew some catholic doctor: keep them no longer forthcoming. The world looketh ve should deal plainly.

Deny no more the manifest truth: avouch no more the open falsehood: let there be some probability and likelihood in your sayings. Leave your immoderate and uncourteous talks. They are tokens of stomach, and not of learning. Therein ye have deserved the honour above all others. In such kind of eloquence no man can match you, but yourself. A good cause might have been pleaded with better words. The more untemperate and fiery ye shew yourself without cause, the more in the end will appear your folly. If ye have hitherto taken any pleasure in

speaking ill, at my hand, by hearing ill, ye shall not lose it. If ye bring us mo fables of your pardons and purgatories: if ye feed us as ye have done with untruths: if ye deprave the scriptures: if ye falsify the doctors: if ye conclude without premises: if ye place your antecedent at Rome, and your consequent at Lourain: if ye stuff so much paper, and blot so many leaves, and shew us nothing; briefly, if ye write none otherwise than ye have done hitherto, no wise man will greatly fear your force.

Deceive not the simple. They are bought with price. They are the people of God, for whom *Christ* hath shed his *blood*. Your shifts be miserable. Ye trouble yourself as a bird in the lime. The more ye stir, the faster ye cleave; the longer ye strive, the weaker ye are. Ye cannot bridle the flowing seas; ye cannot blind the sunbeams. Kick not still against the spur; give place unto the glory of God. Will ye, nill ye, the *truth* will conquer. God give us both humble hearts, and the people eyes to see; that all flesh may be obedient to his will. Amen.

JOHN SALISBURY.

From London, October 27, 1567.

END OF VOL, VI.







