

The Original by Edward B. Easton, from a painting by Sir George Rossignol, B.A.

*H. Sturtevant.*







THE  
PLANTER'S GUIDE

A PRACTICAL ESSAY  
ON THE BEST METHOD OF GIVING IMMEDIATE EFFECT  
TO WOOD BY THE TRANSPLANTING OF LARGE  
TREES AND UNDERWOOD.

BY

SIR HENRY STEUART, BART.

LL.D. F.R.S.E. &c.

*Imitetur ars naturam, et quod ea desiderat inveniat,  
quod ostendit sequatur. Cic. ad Herenn. III.*

THIRD EDITION.

WITH MEMOIR OF THE AUTHOR, AND HIS LAST ADDITIONS AND  
IMPROVEMENTS.

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS,  
EDINBURGH AND LONDON.  
M.DCCC.XLVIII.

500  
500

PRINTED BY WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS, EDINBURGH.

H.E.P. *[Handwritten signature]*

TO  
HER MOST GRACIOUS MAJESTY,

**THE QUEEN,**

WHOSE REIGN HAS BEEN NOT MORE ILLUSTRIOUS FOR NATIONAL PROSPERITY,  
FOR THOSE PUBLIC VIRTUES WHICH ADORN THE SOVEREIGN,  
AND FOR THE MORAL QUALITIES WHICH GRACE AND DIGNIFY DOMESTIC LIFE,  
THAN FOR THE EXHIBITION OF THOSE TASTES WHICH RECEIVE  
THEIR HIGHEST GRATIFICATION FROM THE  
BEAUTIES OF NATURAL LANDSCAPE,

THIS TREATISE,  
BY THE LATE SIR HENRY STEUART,  
DESIGNED TO ILLUSTRATE

“THE ART OF CREATING REAL LANDSCAPE,”  
BY AN APPLICATION OF

THE PRINCIPLES OF PHYSIOLOGY TO GENERAL AND PRACTICAL ARBORICULTURE,  
IS BY ROYAL PERMISSION, WITH PROFOUNDDEST RESPECT,  
INSCRIBED,

BY HIS ONLY DAUGHTER, AND HER MAJESTY'S  
MOST FAITHFUL SUBJECT,

**ELIZABETH SETON STEUART,**  
HER MAJESTY'S HEREDITARY ARMOUR-BEARER  
FOR SCOTLAND.



## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

---

As the former editions of the *Planter's Guide* are now out of print, and copies of the work have frequently been called for, a new and greatly improved edition has been accordingly prepared, containing three additional sections, accompanied with notes, on the nature and cultivation of British forest trees, which had been drawn up by Sir Henry Steuart previous to his death, with a view to a third edition. Such as these MSS. emanated from the hands of the Author of the original work, with his *final* corrections, they are now appended to this edition, and form the 12th, 13th, and 14th sections, with their corresponding notes in the appendix.

The title of the work has been slightly altered, with the view of not encumbering the title-page with unnecessary matter. The original title describes the *Planter's Guide* to be "an attempt to place the art, and that of general arboriculture, on phytological and fixed principles; interspersed with observations on general planting, and the improvement of real

landscape, originally intended for the climate of Scotland." The present title sufficiently describes the object of the Treatise, and is more nearly assimilated, as we learn from Sir Henry's correspondence, to what the Author originally designed. It has been deemed proper, however, to insert the full title in this prefatory notice.

A short Memoir has further been drawn up, and an engraving of the Author, lately taken from an admirable painting by Sir Henry Raeburn at Allanton, has also been prefixed to this work. Upon the whole, it is hoped that, as every effort has been made to render this edition as complete as possible, it will secure the same approbation and success which have attended those by which it was preceded.

R.

NOTE.—In proof of the success which has attended Sir Henry's system of transplanting, it may be proper to state, that all the forest trees, of which we may specify the Oak, Lime, Elm, and Ash, which have been transplanted about a quarter of a century in the park at Allanton, are now sending forth vigorous shoots from twelve to eighteen inches yearly, as measured in March 1848, and the stems are increasing proportionably. These trees exhibit not only every symptom of health, but are yearly improving in vigour and growth.



## CONTENTS.

---

	Page
MEMOIR OF THE AUTHOR, - - - - -	i
PREFACE TO FIRST EDITION, - - - - -	xxxix
PREFACE TO SECOND EDITION, - - - - -	xliii

### SECTION I.

UTILITY AND IMPORTANCE OF ARBORICULTURE.    ART OF GIVING IMMEDIATE  
EFFECT TO WOOD.

PLANTING extensively practised, but not scientifically studied in Scotland.—Importance of a society exclusively for the improvement of arboriculture.—Origin of landscape gardening in England. Kent, Brown, Price. Power of obtaining the immediate command of wood a desideratum in that art. — Partiality to wood strong and universal. Vast power of the transplanting machine. Effects produced by it at Allanton House. — Great utility of applying physiological principles to general planting. — Inspection by the Highland Society.—Real landscape created in the park at Allanton, between 1816 and 1821. View in the park there, plate I.—The art established on fixed principles.    1-15

### SECTION II.

HISTORY OF THE ART, FROM THE EARLIEST DOWN TO THE PRESENT TIMES.

Wood attentively cultivated by the Ancients. Theophrastus, Cato, Varro, Columella. — Landscape painting unknown till the age of Augustus. The removal of large trees generally practised at Rome. Pliny, the younger Seneca. — Comparison between the Greek and Roman methods.—Revival of the art in Europe, in the 17th century. Surprising achievement of Count Maurice of Nassau. His splendid gardens in Brazil.—Efforts of the Germans and French. Gigantic operations of Louis XIV.—The art cul-

tivated in England. Evelyn—Wise. Important improvement by Lord Fitzharding. Idea of the practice of that period.—Brown invents the transplanting machine. Cursory account of his method. Landscape gardening first introduced into Scotland. Its progress in that country, and in Ireland. White, Robertson, Hayes. — Ingenious system of Boucher of Edinburgh. — Marshall the best English planter of that day. His superior method of removing trees.—Strictures of Miller, on the lightening and lopping practice.—Low state of the art of removing trees in England. Mason, Pontey, Forsyth. No improvement down to the present period.—Splendid horticultural achievement of Dr Graham of Edinburgh.—Progress of landscape gardening on the Continent of Europe, in France, Germany, Poland, Russia. Striking contrast between French and English tastes.—Superior intelligence of the Polish nobility. Frederick II., Stanislaus, Czar Peter. — The art in all countries vague and uncertain, and without a foundation in fixed principles. - - - 15-55

## SECTION III.

## ATTEMPT TO SUGGEST A NEW THEORY, OR PRINCIPLE OF THE ART.

Superior merit of Miller as a phytologist. His disapprobation of the practice of transplanting trees, young or old.—Objections to the removal of large trees stated and answered.—Attempt to discover a new theory of the art. Deduced from the laws that regulate organic creation. — Striking analogy between the animal and vegetable kingdoms. — Enunciation of the proposition.—Characteristic distinctions between sheltered and exposed trees. Young trees and old impossible to be removed on similar principles. — Surprising effects of shelter and exposure. The law of nature on this subject. The protecting and non-protecting properties. — General conclusions respecting the new theory. — Ill success of general planting, owing to a want of the knowledge of scientific principles. - - - 56-76

## SECTION IV.

## DEVELOPMENT AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE NEW THEORY OR PRINCIPLE.

Universal influence of exposure and shelter. History and progress of experiments to demonstrate this. — Importance of the study

of vegetable physiology. Rank and precedency of the four protecting properties. — I. Thickness and induration of bark. Idea of the double course of the sap in trees. Doctrine of its circulation. Grew, Malpighi, De la Baisse, Du Hamel, Knight, Ellis, Keith. Striking effects of heat and cold. — II. Girth and stoutness of stem.— III. Numerousness of roots and fibres. —New theory of tap-roots. Their analogy to, and coexistence with, leading branches.—IV. Extent, balance, and closeness of branches. Roots and branches relative and correlative. Curious protection furnished to the colder sides of trees.—Largest-headed trees resist the wind the best. — New principle of reversing the position of the branches on removal. Great effect produced by it. Unfounded nature of prejudices against the practice.—Idea of the preservative, in contradistinction to the mutilating method. Specimen of a tree removed at Allanton House, plate II. Comparative view of the two systems, as applied to practice. - 77-109

## SECTION V.

## FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE NEW THEORY. SELECTION OF SUBJECTS FOR REMOVAL.

Selection of subjects *the most difficult part of the art*. Good subjects more numerous than is generally believed.—Errors usually committed by planters. I. Want of a proper adaptation of trees to soils. Illustrated by cropping in husbandry.—*The handsomest trees always those that thrive the best*.—II. Taking subjects from close woods and plantations.—Effects of climate on animals. Consequence of counteracting the laws of nature.—III. Setting out too early into the open field. Fallacious expectations entertained respecting that practice.—Striking illustration by a real example. Theory of ill success. Close analogy between vegetable and animal life.—Idea of a proper selection of subjects. Difficulty of following nature, in adapting the protecting properties. Importance of husbanding them, so as to produce the greatest effect. — Art of modifying the effect of heat and cold on woods. Curious points of resemblance between air and water. *Places the best laid out always the best sheltered*. — Fatal consequences of excluding cold from full-grown woods.—Selection of subjects for underwood. New method of bush-planting in the open park. Protecting the plants not impossible. Pontey.—Best sizes of trees for successful removal. - - - 110-128

## SECTION VI.

## PREPARATION OF THE SOIL, FOR OPEN DISPOSITIONS OF TREES, AND CLOSE PLANTATIONS.

	Page
Constituent parts of soils. Sir H. Davy, Kirwan, Griesenthwaite. Properties of the best possible soil.—Deep soils particularly favourable to trees. Vast superiority of park-wood in England.—Theory of the melioration of soils. Surprising changes worked by deepening and pulverising ; by comminution of the parts.—Water and heat indispensable agents. Theory of manures. Aëration of soils. Darwin, Davy, Thomson.—Superior merit and ingenuity of Tull. Causes of the failure of his system.—Best methods of improving soils ; mainly by intermixture, and following the chemistry of nature. Great value of lime and peat-moss. Discovery of Lord Meadowbank.—Ingenuity and science of the Earl of Dundonald. Dung and lime composts seldom prepared with accuracy. Sulphuric Acid an unfortunate intermixture.—Preparation of soils. I. For single trees and open dispositions of wood. Proper composts for different soils and subsoils, for immediate or future use.—Preparation for groups and larger masses. Great value of trenching, or double-digging, for promoting growth, and saving expense.—Its extraordinary effects on wood, in the park and elsewhere ; on the value of land ; in eradicating rushes. Vast benefit of a twelvemonth's previous preparation.—II. Preparation for close woods and plantations. Striking economy of previous trenching. <i>Subsoils far more important than soils</i> , in all planting.—General view of British subsoils, as favourable or unfavourable to wood.	- - - - 129-156

## SECTION VII.

## PREPARATION OF THE TREES FOR REMOVAL.

Preparation, the providing of the protecting properties. Great error in supposing that it relates solely to roots. Importance of exposure, and *letting Nature do her own work*. Possession of the protecting properties the most perfect state of trees.—1st. Preparation of single trees. Improvements on Lord Fitzharding's method. Methods suited to various objects, and various

circumstances of plants and soils.—2d. Preparation of trees in large masses. Great utility of transplanting nurseries.—Belts and clumps in the style of Brown, furnish admirable materials. Mr Thomas White. Superior talents and ingenuity of that artist. Mode of treating these materials.—Style of forming the nurseries. Successful example from the practice at Allanton House.—Cultivation of transplanting nurseries an improvement, and in no view a deterioration of woods. - - 157-173

## SECTION VIII.

## TAKING-UP AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE TREES.

Superior management of roots, according to the preservative system. Misapprehension of Marshall and others.—Peculiar implements necessary to take up minute and fibrous roots. The tree-picker, plate IV. fig. 4.—Instructions for the process of taking-up. Injudicious to hurry that nice operation. Immense importance of preserving the capillary rootlets. Danger in leaving roots long uncovered. Remarkable difference in the habits of trees.—Raising the tree from the pit, and great improvement in the process.—Idea of the transplanting machines known in Europe. Superiority of Brown's machine, for dispatch and park-practice.—Machining the tree. The machiner's, a duty of nicety and difficulty. Details of the operation.—Machiner acts as steersman. Tree drawn out of the pit, and balance-men sent to the top.—Delineation of the machine in motion, on the balancing principle, plate III. Third wheel unnecessary, unless for very heavy work.—Transportation sometimes exposed to dangerous accidents. Account of an extraordinary one.—Light improved machines recommended for park-practice; also an experienced maker near Allanton House. Two machines most desirable.—Description of three sizes. Diagram. Plate IV. Specification of the large-sized machine used at Allanton.—Of the small-sized.—Of the intermediate-sized.—Transportation of underwood. Little difficulty attending it.—Machines sufficiently large for the use of Scotland. - - - - 174-203

## SECTION IX.

## PLANTING OF THE TREES IN THEIR NEW SITUATIONS.

Page

Great importance of moving slowly along the ground. Shallow planting earnestly recommended.—Director of the work. Judgment to be shown by him in reversing and bringing the proper side of the tree to windward. Only way in which art can greatly improve the beauty of woody plants.—Easy method of attaining accuracy in placing trees.—Further details of the planting.—Bolstering up of the roots. Method of forming the retaining-bank. *Use of props and supports entirely superseded.*—Nicety and difficulty of the process of distribution. Handlers and coverers. Particular detail of this process.—Importance of bringing about a gradual subsidence of the mould not generally understood. Decalcation of the mould unadvisable, until the covering be finished.—Great difficulty in getting these operations performed leisurely enough. Not easily apprehended by verbal description.—Success of the preservative system mainly dependent on the retaining-bank, and preserving the fibres undisturbed. Superiority of the system. *No tree ever blown down at Allanton House; deaths, one in forty, and five-and-forty.*—New method of composing underwood, for close or ornamental plantations. 204–224.

## SECTION X.

## TREATMENT OF THE TREES SUBSEQUENTLY TO REMOVAL.

Singular utility and importance of afterwork. I. For open dispositions of wood. “Shows” the best covering for trees. Levelling and dressing the surface. Graceful shape of the ground, in prominent situations, to be studied.—Management of the shows round trees. Keeping the ground with the hoe not necessary; better practice recommended for park-wood.—Sheep the most beautiful and useful stock for a park. Defences against them usually hideous, as well as expensive. Efficient and economical plan of a guard for trees, (see plate II. *antch.*)—Watering during the first season an indispensable part of afterwork. Water-cart.—Backwardness in the growth of trees an obscure subject, little understood by planters.—New mode of remedying that evil. Panacean compost. Juices from

the dunghill.—Causes of backwardness a subject of great interest to the general planter. Five causes that may probably contribute to it. Remarkable, that nearly all of them bear reference to the *first year* after removal.—Method of setting straight trees in the second and third season. *Propping or supporting them quite unknown in the preservative system.*—II. After-work for close woods and plantations. Immense advantage of creating a superior climate.—Other details of management. Transferred woods after two years equal to ordinary plantations of five-and-forty. In the park at Allanton, the removed woods decidedly the most healthy and vigorous. - - 225-253.

## SECTION XI.

## EXPENSE ATTENDING THE FOREGOING OPERATIONS.

Expense of the preservative method unfairly exaggerated. One of its chief objects to *lessen the expense.*—I. Examples drawn from my own practice. Expense of preparation in various ways.—Of taking up, transporting, and planting. Example of the cost of transferring a tree from fifteen to eighteen feet high. Of another from twenty-five to thirty feet.—Example of work executed at Allanton House, between 1816 and 1821, delineated in plate I.—Another example in wooding an entrance-gate. Description of the ground, plate V. New plan of external planting recommended, so as to produce picturesque effect in park-entrances.—Example of wooding two acres, as seen by the Highland Society.—Comparative view of the cost of wooding a promontory, by means of the machine, and by the common method of planting.—II. Examples drawn from the practice of others. Expense of removals made by James Smith, Esq., of Jordan-hill.—By John M'Call, Esq., of Ibroxhill.—By Robert Watson, Esq., banker in Glasgow.—By Mr James Hamilton, overseer to Sir Charles Lockhart, Bart.—By William Elliott Lockhart, Esq., of Cleghorn, M.P.—By Sir Walter Scott, Bart., of Abbotsford.—Ascertained expense of transferring trees and underwood, on the preservative principle, at Allanton House.—Planting, together with the other Arts, undeniably derived from the South.—Art of removal in both countries still a matter of physical force, and needless labour.—Cursory delineation of the English method. Expense reduced

	Page
in any case, <i>to the one half, and often less</i> , by the preservative system, - - - - -	254-287

## SECTION XII.

## OF THE PRINCIPAL FOREST TREES—THE OAK.

General deficiency of practical knowledge regarding planting.—Reasons assigned for this.—Design of the three following sections.—The Oak; its peculiar and eminent qualities, and principal varieties.—Its striking characteristics described by Virgil.—Failure of experiments in its treatment in the first instances at Allanton, and subsequent success.—Practical principles deduced from those experiments.—Suggestions relative to the transplanting of Oaks.—Places in Great Britain where the Oak chiefly abounds.—Peculiarities of the aboriginal Oak.—Spreading Oak most prevalent in ancient forests: upright Oak in modern parks.—Cause assigned for the prevalence of this last-mentioned species.—Proprietors and nurserymen censured.—The cultivation of the spreading Oak, and its two principal varieties, recommended to the consideration of the Highland Society, as also the improvement of the method of raising nursery plants.—Concluding advice relative to the selection of Oak plants for removal.—Importance of the Oak, both for ordinary purposes of transplanting, and its general utility. - - - 288-309

## SECTION XIII.

## OF THE ASH—ELM—BEECH.

The Ash, next in general utility and beauty to the Oak.—Of the fourteen species of the Ash, one only indigenous in Britain, the rest in America.—Its utility: its chief characteristic: the soil most adapted to it.—Ash well suited for transplanting: the proper season for its removal.—Two faults which affect its transplantation.—Caution respecting the preservation of its leaf and rind.—Proper places for planting the Ash: description of the *pendent* Ash.—Noble specimens of this variety at Allanton.—The Jugg tree.—The pendent Ash strongly recommended for planting or transplanting.—The Elm next in rank and value.—A native of Britain.—Cause of the confusion respecting the Elm prevalent among botanists and writers on wood.—Best



account given of it by Marshall, which is here adopted.—Two principal varieties described.—The first properly indigenous in Britain, while the second is only naturalised.—The Scotch or Witch Elm more picturesque, though less stately than the English Elm.—Its utility and peculiar property described.—Favourite soil of the Elm.—Easily transplanted.—Hardiness of the Scotch Elm.—Fitted for either parks, mountains, or forests.—The Witch Hazel, either a variety or distinct species.—The Beech, properly only one species.—Its two remarkable varieties.—Author's opinion that there are two distinct species of the Beech.—Beech a native of Britain.—The *φηγος* of Cæsar probably a species of Oak.—Great utility of the Beech.—Description of the Beech, and the soil most suited to it.—Gilpin's account of it, and eulogium.—Its two varieties, and their properties, described.—Beech peculiarly adapted for a park or lawn, or in bush planting, especially in new places when conjoined with the aboriginal Oak.—Useful for field hedges.—Season for its removal.—Needs much care and precaution.—Result of experiments made at Allanton, with a view to its preservation after removal.—Of most forest trees, with the exception of the Elm, the latest always transplant the best. 310-332.

## SECTION XIV.

OF THE SYCAMORE—NORWAY MAPLE—CHESTNUT—HORSE-CHESTNUT—LIME  
—SCOTCH FIR—WILD CHERRY—LARCH—BIRCH.

Advice to the young planter and transplanter.—The Sycamore, or Greater Maple, called in Scotland the Plane tree.—Two distinct varieties, early and late.—Not indigenous in Britain. Its useful qualities.—Its size, hardiness, favourite soil, early appearances, and facility of removal.—Accident to which it is occasionally exposed.—Little difference in the characteristics of the two varieties.—Norway Maple, a native of Norway.—Its appearance and properties.—Recently introduced into Scotland.—Miller's opinion of its utility.—The Chestnut belongs to first class of forest trees.—One other kind enumerated, the dwarf American Chestnut.—Another added by Dr Yule, discovered in North Carolina.—The Chestnut a native of Asia Minor, brought first to Italy by Tiberius.—Needs dry and deep soil, and favourable climate.—Its noble appearance.—Purposes for which it is used enumerated.—Nuts used for food in France and Italy.

—This tree more prevalent formerly in Britain.—Probable causes of its decline.—Remains of ancient Chestnuts in old forests and chases near London.—Thrives well in Scotland, especially in a gravelly or alluvial soil.—Precautions to be taken in transplanting it.—Salvator Rosa's predilection for the Chestnut in his landscapes.—The Horse-Chestnut, a native of North of Asia, brought to Vienna and France in 1558. Naturalised in Britain thirty years after.—Two varieties.—Its beauty and stateliness.—Its freedom of growth and hardiness.—Adapted to lawns and parks.—Gilpin's opinion of it.—Facility of its removal.—Wood of little value, and brittle.—Roots very hardy.—Earliness of its leaf.—The Lime, indigenous to Britain.—One species, the rest only varieties.—The two principal varieties described.—The Bunwood or broad-leaved Lime, a native of America, recommended for cultivation in this country.—Very ornamental to a lawn and ancient avenues.—Proper soil, adverse to wet.—Utility not great.—Uses enumerated.—Evelyn's loyal remarks on it.—To what purposes put by the Greeks and Romans.—Can be removed at any period of the year.—The Scotch Fir.—Various species enumerated by botanists.—Indigenous to Britain.—Three other species naturalised.—Magnitude and great age which it attains.—Explanation of Cæsar's statement.—Of the Pine family, and deserves to be cultivated.—Three varieties, discovered by Mr George Don, enumerated.—The reasons assigned by him for the deterioration of the Scotch Fir.—Several other species of the Pine family described.—Marshall's prejudiced account of the Scotch Fir.—More favourable opinion of Gilpin, Price, and Pontey.—Reasons assigned by the Author for its present deterioration.—Fine specimens of Firs at different places in Scotland.—Its natural soil, and power of accommodation to all soils.—Various and important purposes to which this tree is used.—Season for removal, end of March or October.—The Wild Cherry, or Geen tree, originally a native of Asia Minor, and brought by Lucullus to Europe.—Its eminent qualities and value.—Rarely found in Scotland.—Easily transplanted from November till April.—The Larch, of the Pine family.—A native of South of Europe and Siberia, but brought to England in 1629.—Three species.—Flourishes in the Alps and the Apennines.—Celebrated by ancient writers.—Suited to all soils and climates.—More valuable than almost any other tree in this country. How used in Switzerland.—Not ornamental in park or pleasure-grounds.—

Early in its appearances.—Is not easily transplanted.—The Birch, not so well fitted for parks, but more suited for woods and shrubberies.—Different varieties.—Rarely in this climate attains a great size.—Wood useful, though not valuable.—How used in Lapland.—Abounds in the Highlands of Scotland, and there used, together with Hazel, for every purpose, agricultural or domestic.—Properties of its bark and other qualities.—Its hardiness, &c. Accommodates itself to every soil.—Description of some fine old Birches at Allanton.—Birch may be removed of considerable size, but great precaution must be used.—Invaluable as copse or underwood.        -        -        -        - 333-370

---

\* \* No account of the Hawthorn and Ash in Section XIV., has been given by the Author, though the names of these trees are mentioned in the title of that Section.

CONTENTS  
OF THE  
NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.

---

SECTION I.

- NOTE I. Limited extent of arboricultural knowledge in Scotland. Two anecdotes respecting it.—NOTE II. Importance of establishing a Scottish Arboricultural Society. Miserable condition of the nursery business. No science in nurserymen. Want of it in the landholders the efficient cause.—NOTE III. Origin of landscape gardening in England. Fine idea of it given by Milton. Bacon, Kent. First places laid out by him.—NOTE IV. High merit of Sir Uvedale Price, in improving the present taste. Loudon's "Improvement of Country Residences." Pontey's "Rural Improver." General reformation in landscape gardeners.—NOTE V. Utility of the art, in wooding the open grounds of a great city. Example of Edinburgh. Opportunity from Lord Moray's late park lost, never to be recalled. Possibility of at once wooding the Scottish Acropolis. - 371-378

SECTION II.

- NOTE III. The art of landscape painting unknown to the Ancients. Not a picturesque description in all Homer or Virgil. Ludius, in the time of Augustus, the first landscape painter. The elder Pliny's account of the art.—NOTE X. The younger Pliny's two gardens. His descriptions of them might serve for those in the time of King William III. Cherries raised in Britain before the time of Julius Cæsar.—NOTE XI. Excellent imitation, by Gaspar Barlæus, of the style of Tacitus.—NOTE XII. Idea of Le Notre, the favourite garden-architect of Louis XIV. Causes of the paramount ascendancy of his genius in

France. Taste in gardening all over Europe still swayed by it, Great Britain excepted.—NOTE XIII. High literary character and controversial powers of Sir Uvedale Price. His severity towards Brown and Kent probably carried too far.—NOTE XIV. Anecdote of the late Earl of Abercorn.—NOTE XVI. Horticultural achievement of Dr Graham of Edinburgh. Trees and bushes removed by him.—His success greater with exotics than with park wood. Radical difference between horticultural transplanting, and transplanting in the open park. Idea of his having anticipated the preservative system unfounded.—NOTE XVII. English gardening carried to Poland. Princess Czartoryski's meritorious treatise on the art.—Loudon's curious picture of Polish manners, and of a royal park, during the reign of Stanislaus.	379—390
--	---------

## SECTION III.

NOTE I. Examination of the question, "Whether plantations should be raised from the seed, or by means of removed plants?" Marshall's specious method of settling it.—Miller, Evelyn, Emmerick, Speechly, Nicol, Sang, Pontey, variously advocate the question—Dr Yule's opinion in favour of sowing. Sentiments of the author of the Encyclopedia of Agriculture. Decisive experiments by Forsyth. Summing up of the argument in favour of planting.—NOTE II. The mutilating system strongly reprobated by Miller. Incontrovertible arguments against it, from both theory and practice.—NOTE IV. Speculation on heat in trees. Experiments of distinguished chemists—Rüchert, Senebier, Woodward, Schoppett, Ingenhultz. Thermometers kept in trees by John Hunter.—NOTE V. Illustrations of the analogy between animal and vegetable life, in the effects of heat and cold. Coach or race horse within doors and without; Musk ox of Melville Island; Kamtschatka Mammoth; Elephant; African Negro. Trees under the torrid zone.—NOTE VII. Examination of the opinion of English planters, that "Old trees and young possess similar properties; therefore, they should be removed on similar principles." Marshall, author of the Encyclopedia of Agriculture. His general practical rule irreconcilable with good science.—Conclusive experiments by Miller.—NOTE VIII. Curious examples of trees laying aside, and reacquiring, *the protecting and non-protecting properties*. The former always more slowly acquired than the latter. - 391—402

## SECTION IV.

Page

NOTE I. Malpighi, a native of Bologna; flourished in the middle of the 17th century. Grew, an eminent physician, his contemporary, and father of English phytology.—NOTE II.—History of the circulation of the sap. Curious adaptation of it to the circulation of the blood in animals. Hedwig, Costi, Willdenow, Keith. Mr Knight's ingenious hypothesis.—Scepticism of Mr Keith unconquerable.—NOTE III. Introsusception of food not confined to plants. Men, in certain cases, receive their sustenance by that means, as well as vegetables.—NOTE IV. Erroneous to suppose that trees are without the power of renewing their tap-roots.—Yule, Sang. Forsyth's judicious experiments to prove the fact.—Theory by Mr Knight, of the effects of gravitation on both branches and roots. Probably unfounded. Du Hamel, Knight. Ingenious paper by Mr Keith, to disprove the theory.—NOTE V. Disquisition on pruning. Sang, Pontey, Loudon. Errors of Pontey's system. Rash and dangerous, unless controlled by science.—Ingenious inquiry by Loudon, into the safe, as well as the injurious uses of pruning.—Idea of the best principles of the art. Superior excellence of *terminal pruning*.—NOTE VII. Good idea of regulating arboricultural processes by fashion.—Severe effect of the western gales on park wood. New remedy, by balancing trees, and reversing the position of their windward and leeward sides.—NOTE VIII. Antiquity of the prejudice of preserving the same position of trees on removal. Theophrastus, Cato, Columella, Palladius, Virgil, Wise, Cooke. Good sense of Pliny reprobated by Evelyn, and confirmed by Miller. Prejudice continued down to the present period. - 403-418

## SECTION V.

NOTE I. Vast importance of a judicious selection of subjects. Success or miscarriage always regulated accordingly. If injudiciously selected, all advancement is retarded, until the deficient properties be supplied. Various illustrations of this uniform principle. - - - - - 419-420

## SECTION VI.

Page

NOTE I. Chemical opinions respecting soils. Fourcroy, Hassenfratz, Young. Bergman's idea of the best possible soil.—Analysis by Sir H. Davy of the soil at Sheffield Place.—NOTE III. Lord Meadowbank, one of the greatest benefactors to the British farmer, as well as arboriculturist. Improvement on his method of preparing manure from peat. Details of the new process.—Moss-compost prepared with lime, according to Lord Dundonald's method. Peculiarly valuable, in procuring cheap manure, without encroaching on the farm-yard.—NOTE IV. Great improvement made in the common mode of trenching or double-digging. Three spits deep recommended, instead of two, and no shovellings. Particulars of this method, as practised at Allanton House. Greater depth, and greater comminution of the parts, obtained by it.	421-427
---	---------

*Mr Withers's two Pamphlets.*

First pamphlet; Great power of trenching and manuring, to accelerate the growth of wood, and the return to the planter.\* System not new; well known to the ancients, and to every modern nation; familiar to myself forty years ago.—Important for particular purposes, but not adapted to general planting.—Unfounded and erroneous in Mr Withers, to call the pitting method of planting “the SCOTCH SYSTEM.” Long known to, and practised by every other nation in Europe, down to the present time. - - - - - 427-430

Second pamphlet: Improvement of the royal forests, and raising superior timber for the navy;—in a letter to Sir Walter Scott, on certain “Fundamental Errors,” committed by that distinguished writer, in his able Essay “On the Planting of Waste Lands.”—Egregious blunders of Mr William Billington, Surveyor-General of eleven thousand acres of the Forest of Dean; also in following “the supposed *Scotch method*.”—Condemnation of Mr Withers's plan, by all planters of experience, for

\* The title of the pamphlet is:—“A Memoir addressed to the Society for the encouragement of Arts, &c.; on the Planting and Rearing of Forest Trees, demonstrating the necessity of Trenching Ground, &c., and the powerful and Profitable Effects of Manure. 2d Edit. London, 1826.”

	Page
<i>general</i> purposes. Fitted to give a speedy return of marketable timber, but nothing more, under certain circumstances.	431-434
Main question examined ; namely, the effects of the trenching and manuring system on the quality of timber for ships of war. Shown, from the well-known <i>laws affecting growth</i> in woody plants, that instead of improving, it would sensibly deteriorate all timber, and especially the Oak. Various illustrations of this doctrine. Argument resolved into six <i>practical conclusions</i> , founded on phytological principles, and supported by facts.—Clearly demonstrated, that Sir Walter Scott has committed no “Fundamental Errors,” but that such errors have been committed by Mr Withers himself.—Low and unsettled state of the Art of Planting on scientific principles. Billington, Loudon. Messrs Pontey and Withers, being too much occupied with the bulk of timber produce, altogether overlook its solidity and durability.	434-440
NOTE V. Successful method of eradicating rushes. If proceeding from underground water, to be effected by regular draining ; if from tenacity of soil or subsoil (the worst cause), by deep trenching.—Details and cost of the process, under various circumstances.—Curious fact, that <i>deep trenching will render wet land dry</i> , and <i>dry land moist</i> , for any useful purpose.	440-444

## SECTION VII.

NOTE I. Mr Thomas White, the celebrated landscape gardener. His manners and character.—He purchases land on an arboricultural speculation. Price of the estate in 1770, £750. He plants almost the whole of it. <i>Yearly return</i> from the wood in 1810, £600 ; in 1815, from Larch bark alone, £400 ; and from the entire woods, £1000 ! <i>Value</i> of the whole wood on the estate in 1826, had it been to be cut down, £30,000 !!! Circumstances stated on the best authority.	445-447
--	---------

## SECTION VIII.

NOTE I. Apology to the critical reader for new words introduced into the details of a new art.—NOTE II. Machine of Brown borrowed from the “Janker” of the wood-merchant. Idea of a new machine for trees of great magnitude, with four wheels ; two six feet, and two eight feet high.	448-449
---	---------



## SECTION X.

Page

- NOTE I. "Shows," or the refuse of flax at the flax-mill, an admirable thatch for cottages. Method of preparing and applying it. This covering advisable, where roofs are exposed externally to risk from fire.—NOTE II. New method of manuring orchards and fruit borders, on the principle of the panacean compound, prepared with either dung or lime. Directions for the execution.—NOTE III. Useful mode of preserving and applying the juices of the dunghill as a manure. Pit with metal pump; water cart for trees in the park. Peat-moss in this way decomposed effectually. - - - - - 450-454

## SECTION XI.

- NOTE I. Disquisition on park entrances. Usually dull and uninteresting things. Plan for their improvement by open wooding, and giving them foreground, consequence, and picturesque effect. Details of the plan; peculiarly adapted to English places. Deserving of being brought into fashion, as it surmounts all obstacles, and conceals all deformities, *at the least possible expense*. View of the entrance-gate to the park at Allanton House, from the west, plate VI.—NOTE II. Advantages of wooding, by means of the transplanting machine, considerably underrated. No other method of obtaining a profusion of park-wood, unless by planting the entire surface.—NOTE III. Further evidence of the great utility of watering, in 1826. *Success of the first year* always the most important to transplanted wood. - - - - - 455-460

## SECTION XII.

- NOTE I. Timber trees enumerated. Marshall's arrangement of trees and shrubs.—NOTE II. The dimensions of the Cowthorpe Oak, the Bentley Oak, and the great Boddington Oak. Wallace's Oak in the Torwood, near Stirling. The list of ancient Oaks made by Evelyn, Marsham, and Gilpin, referred to.—NOTE III. Botanical description of the upright Oak, the spreading Oak, and the mossy-cup Oak. Oaks recommended by Dr Yule and the Horticultural Society to be introduced into plantations in Scotland.—NOTE IV. Two kinds of Oaks stated

by Evelyn to be frequent with us. His opinion respecting the most proper Oaks as timber for the navy. Opinion of Du Roi, and suggestion of Martyn, relative to the wood of the sessile-fruited Oak, and the stalk-fruited Oak. Lightfoot's and Sir James E. Smith's remarks on the spreading Oak. Mistaken opinions of several scientific and practical writers with regard to the comparative value of our native species of Oaks, regretted.—NOTE V. The Esculus of Pliny or the cut-leaved Italian Oak. To whom dedicated by the ancients, and purpose for which its branches were used by the Romans.—NOTE VI. The Quercus Robur. Anecdote respecting it. Sometimes mistaken for a Beech.—NOTE VII. Extent of woods planted by several of the Scottish nobility.—NOTE VIII. System of arboriculture in Scotland, though extensively practised, very injudicious. Usual practice described. Evils of the system, and its cause assigned. Qualifications of a nurseryman. Suggestion relative to the Agricultural Society of Scotland. Hope expressed that the profession of a nurseryman might rise from the level of an ordinary trade to the rank of a liberal study. - - 461-470

## SECTION XIII.

NOTE I. Common Ash described by Linnæus. The American white Ash. Dr Yule's opinion relative to the Ash family.—NOTE II. Powers of territorial jurisdictions in Scotland previous to 1745. "The Juggs" described. "The Gallows Hill" near Allanton.—NOTE III. Several fine Ash trees at Glamis Castle. Ash tree at Touch House in Stirlingshire, nearly ninety feet high, &c. Age traced up to reign of James II. in middle of the fifteenth century.—NOTE IV. Botanical descriptions of the Elm by various naturalists. Best account in Millar's Dictionary. Three kinds of Elm, the Witch or Scotch Elm, the Witch Hazel, and the English Elm, described. Another species mentioned by Dr Yule, viz. the White Elm of North America. The Red Elm of Canada. Scotch and English Elms differently propagated, the former by seed, and latter by suckers. English Elm usually ingrafted on Scotch Elm: reason assigned.—NOTE V. Reason why Elms do not attain so great a size in Scotland as in England. Witch Elm mentioned by Cook in Sir Walter Bagot's park in Staffordshire: its extraordinary dimensions. Another Elm described by Marsham, near Bradley Church, Suffolk. Others noticed by Martyn.—NOTE VI. Narrow-leaved

Elm in the Vale of Gloucester, commonly called "Piffe's Elm." Its dimensions given by Marshall in 1783, and its measurement in 1824. Decayed Elm of great size in Hyde Park, probably planted in Charles II.'s time.—NOTE VII. The upright Elm, not a new variety.—NOTE VIII. Beech not considered a timber tree in England before Bradley's time. Remarkable trial before the Barons of Exchequer about 1725, which decided its claim to be a timber tree. Practice in Buckinghamshire referred to by Bradley.—NOTE IX. Greek derivation of the *Fagus* (*φηγος*) or Beech. Two varieties mentioned by Bradley, viz., the white or silver Beech, and the wild or vale Beech. Two others known to nurserymen; but they are only shrubs. The purple copper-coloured Beech of Germany.—NOTE X. Cæsar's statement about the similarity of the Beech in Britain and Gaul. Light-foot's and Marshall's opinion relative to its being indigenous in Scotland and England.—NOTE XI. Beech useful as fuel, food for animals, and as affording oil. Its leaves used for mattresses for beds in other countries. This practice highly recommended to be introduced into this country.—NOTE XII. Beech employed by the author, in conjunction with thorn, for field-hedges with complete success. This species of fence strongly recommended, and has, since its discovery, been extensively practised in Scotland and the north of England. The Barberry and the Beech, in equal parts, form the most beautiful of all hedges near a gentleman's residence.—NOTE XIII. Definition of the Scottish term "Dorty."—NOTE XIV. Quotation from Ralph Austin relative to the best season for the removal of young Beech plants. 471-480

## SECTION XIV.

NOTE I. Botanical descriptions of the Maple.—NOTE II. Pontey's remark on the Sycamore. Account of some old Sycamores at Allanton.—NOTE III. Norway Maple described by Linnæus.—NOTE IV. Statement respecting the influence of the sea-breeze on the Lace or Lime Oak of America, first made by Dr Yule, doubted. Statements, especially in an art like planting, should be invariably verified by facts founded on experiments.—NOTE V. The class and order of the Chestnut and the Beech botanically described.—NOTE VI. Evelyn's opinion, that the Chestnut is indigenous in Britain, shown to be erroneous.—NOTE VII. Chestnut grows to great size in the southern countries of Europe. Several mentioned. The largest known in England stands at

Tortworth, Gloucestershire, the seat of Lord Ducie. The great Chestnut which stood some years since at Findhaven in Forfarshire.—NOTE VIII. Linnæus' description and classification of the Horse-Chestnut not generally followed by botanists.—NOTE IX. Remarks relative to the removal of the Horse-Chestnut. Account of two Horse-Chestnuts in the park at Allanton.—NOTE X. Smith's classification of the Lime. Five varieties. Three other American species mentioned, of which the Bunwood, or broad-leaved, principally deserves cultivation in this climate.—NOTE XI. Size of the Lime abroad. Instances given by Evelyn and Coxe. Miller's account of some Limes in England. Sir Thomas Brown's description of a Lime given by him to Evelyn.—NOTE XII. Account of an experiment made on a Lime about twenty-five feet high at Allanton. Peculiarity in the treatment of Limes when transplanted. Tendency of the Ash also to push its roots up in search of food.—NOTE XIII. Wild Pine or Scotch Fir, described by Linnæus and Sir James E. Smith. Mr Don of Forfar most successful in discriminating its varieties.—NOTE XIV. Whitaker's remarks on the Fir tree or wild Pine.—NOTE XV. Four principal varieties of the Scotch Fir enumerated by Mr George Don of Forfar. His opinion relative to the ancient Pine forests of Britain.—NOTE XVI. Large frigate built of the Duke of Athole's Fir in 1798. Wood more close and compact than foreign Fir.—NOTE XVII. The properties of the *Pinus Maritima* referred to. The Cluster Pine the next best calculated to withstand the sea-breeze. Discovery and dimensions of the red Pine of Canada.—NOTE XVIII. Linnæus' classification and description of the wild Cherry or Guigne.—NOTE XIX. Botanical description of the Larch. Three different kinds, the white, the black, and the red Larch, severally described.—NOTE XX. Pliny's remark concerning Larch wood. Discovery of a peculiar property of the Larch, said to have been made by Cæsar when in the neighbourhood of the Alps. Hannibal, however, according to Gilpin, succeeded in igniting it when crossing the Alps.—NOTE XXI. Pontey's opinion respecting some alleged peculiarities of the Larch in not shrinking nor warping, dissented from by the author.—NOTE XXII. Magnificent Larches belonging to the Duke of Athole. Larch much degenerated since its introduction into Scotland. Seed recommended to be procured from the Alps. Qualities in which British Larch wood chiefly deficient.—NOTE XXIII. Author's opinion about the beauty of the Larch at variance with that of most naturalists.—NOTE

	Page
XXIV. Larch does not well withstand wind in open exposures.	
—NOTE XXV. Smith's description of the Birch. Three kinds enumerated, the mahogany, yellow, and black Birch.—NOTE XXVI. "The Woburn Beech." Its unsightly appearance from erroneous pruning.	481-497

## APPENDIX.

REPORT of the Committee of the Highland Society of Scotland, appointed to inspect the woods at Allanton House,	499
Hints relative to Planting, for the Honourable Sir A. J. C.	512
Resuscitation of Old Trees, a Letter to Admiral Sir T. Livingston, Bart.	515

## LIST OF PLATES.

/ PORTRAIT of the AUTHOR,	<i>Frontispiece.</i>
/ PLATE I. View in the park at Allanton House,—to face page	14
PLATE II. Specimen of a tree removed on the preservative principle, - - - - -	104
PLATE III. View of the machine in motion, and of a tree during transportation, - - - - -	186
PLATE IV. Transplanting machine used at Allanton House,	192
PLATE V. Entrance-gate to the park at Allanton, from the East, as wooded in March 1826, -	186
PLATE VI. Entrance-gate to the park at Allanton, from the West, - - - - -	457

MEMOIR.





# MEMOIR

OF

SIR HENRY STEUART, BART., OF ALLANTON,

LL.D., F.R.S.E., &c.

---

IT has been frequently remarked, that the details of a retired and literary life must be necessarily few and unimportant. While there is, in most instances, not a little truth in this statement, it does not, however, follow that it is either universally just, or that there should be any necessary connexion between a studious or even ordinary retirement and the absence of utility or interest, whether of a local or more general character. It is true that, both in the history of nations and individuals, striking events or brilliant exploits are calculated to awaken and compel a high degree of interest and admiration, however little beneficial or important may prove their results, to either the individual himself or the nation in whose history these events have occurred. If we turn to the page of history, what is it which generally arrests the attention? The rise of empires whose progress is stained and marked by blood, the consequences of hostile invasion, or the plots and conspiracies of internal discord, supply materials for the historian, and afford an interest to his readers, apart from all considerations of general utility

and national happiness and improvement. For seldom, in the distractions which accompany the rise of kingdoms and enlargement of empires, do national happiness and prosperity, in at least their most proper acceptation, keep pace with those external indications of greatness and distinction, which in the annals of the historian make so imposing an appearance. We must turn rather to more peaceful eras, when the campaigns and triumphs of the warrior have been followed by repose—or when, after a period of successive distractions and internal convulsions, a nation has begun to concentrate its energies and acquire solidity and strength—if we wish to contemplate the proper results of all this previous national contention—the establishment, namely, and progress of the arts of peace, from which alone human improvement and happiness can proceed. But while the truth of this statement will be generally admitted, especially in a country such as this, which has so long been exempted from the ravages of foreign invasion, or the still more melancholy and disastrous exhibition of civil strife, the general reader, who has perused with keen relish and interest the more stirring and brilliant records of other historical periods, will naturally—more especially the younger student—feel the want of that stimulant which before at once whetted and gratified his curiosity and attention. His eye probably runs over many a dry page, filled with the records of his country's quiet but sensible improvement; and, unless he be distinguished by a more than ordinary thoughtful and reflective character, he will find some difficulty in keeping up his attention, in the absence of a high-strained, though sometimes unhealthy excitement.

What is true of nations, and of the effect produced by a perusal of their histories at different periods, is equally true of individuals, and the occurrences which form the

subject-matter of their respective memoirs. Of course we have no intention to state that, either in the history of nations or individuals, much that is useful, as well as what is interesting, may not be obtained from the more stirring events which may have occurred in each; but we still maintain that there is not, in general, sufficient attention given to the more still and peaceful tenor of a nation's or individual's life, when the very circumstances of their respective repose enable them to collect all their latent energies to effect the design which the Supreme Author of their destinies had in view—the development, namely, of their moral and intellectual powers (for nations, too, as well as individuals, have a moral character and obligations) for their personal or social progressive amelioration and prosperity. In either case, in a period of peaceful repose, the history and progress of a nation or individual resembles the still and placid stream, whose passage, scarce ruffled by a ripple on its waters, glides by an almost imperceptible movement in its channel, spreading fertility in its onward course—noiseless, and unaccompanied by the fretful clamour which marks the passage of the mountain torrent. By the latter may be indicated the more stirring and active scenes of a nation's or individual's history, which too frequently, as already observed, attract the vulgar gaze and admiration, however delusive may prove their brilliancy, and however injurious or destructive may prove its course. The dancing sparkle of the sunbeam on the spray of the dashing and foaming mountain torrent, reflects not seldom the deceptive brilliancy of more stirring acts of national or personal history, as the ravages which such a torrent commits have too lively a counterpart in the little utility, or positive injury, which such actions sometimes occasion.

The improvement and utility to which above reference

has been made, as connected with times of comparative repose, is strictly in accordance with the nature of man. When by a reflective process the mind is enabled to turn in upon itself, it is necessarily most thoughtful, and less disposed to require external and adventitious means of occupation or enjoyment. In colloquial phraseology, the stillest streams are said to be the deepest; and this sentiment is invariably confirmed by experience. Very commonly, in public life, those whose names are most heard of, and whose characters and performances seem, and justly, most brilliant, are not the most useful members of a popular assembly or a state. In our legislative assembly, for instance, the laborious workers on committees perform often most efficiently the practical business of the House and the country. Nor is this, indeed, matter of surprise, as calm reflection is most conducive to a close and proper consideration of questions, undisturbed by personal motives of ostentatious display, or by any of those external influences or attractions which are calculated to divert the attention from the subject on which it is exerted. Both are useful in their several departments, whether the brilliant orator or the practical man of business; but, to recur to the statement with which we commenced these remarks, the claims of the former will greatly preponderate, in general estimation, over those of the latter.

In connexion with the subject with which we are more immediately concerned, the commendations that have been lavished in all ages on a life of literary retirement, and sometimes even on one involving mere withdrawal from public business and addiction to rural pursuits, are too many to enumerate, and are, besides, sufficiently known. To confine ourselves, however, to those authors with whom the subject of this memoir was so intimately acquainted,

Cicero, in his *De Senectute*,\* *De Officiis*,† and others of his works, refers in the strongest terms of commendation to the pleasures of a country life. Virgil‡ and Horace§ allude in similar strains, in many passages of their poems, to the superior enjoyments of rural retirement and agricultural pursuits. In the elegant epistles of Pliny, we are presented with a graphic account of the beauties of some of his Italian villas, and his ordinary occupations and amusements; in the latter of which he much resembled his uncle, the elder Pliny, in his addiction to study and elegant literature. After his retirement from public business, and his Eastern campaigns, Lucullus finally forsook the paths of ambition, and resisted all the applications of the leaders of Rome previous to the first triumvirate, and the entreaties of his friends, to take a public part in the civil affairs of that busy period, in which he might have aspired, if not to the first, at least to a prominent position. If not as addicted, during his retirement, to literary pursuits as much as others who might be mentioned, still, as the introducer of the *Cerasus*, or Cherry-tree, from Pontus into Europe, he would seem to have given his attention to subjects connected with matters of practical utility in a country life. The whole history of Atticus, as recorded by Cornelius Nepos, from its commencement to its close, illustrates, more strongly than any mere commendations, the happiness and benefit which may be obtained and produced in the quiet and even tenor of a life withdrawn for the most part from general view and public employments. And finally may be instanced the concluding years in the life of Sallust the historian, as presented to us in the admirable essay prefixed to his translation of that author's works by the subject of this Memoir; who, when he had

\* Cic. De Sen. §§ 15, 16.

† Virg. Georg. lib. ii.

‡ Cic. De Off. lib. i. cap. 42.

§ Hor. Epod. lib. ii.

withdrawn from the turmoil and business of public life, addicted himself to those literary objects, of which only inconsiderable fragments remain, during those years of elegant leisure which were alternately spent in his Italian villas and magnificent palace at Rome.

These remarks bear materially on the life of the subject of this Memoir. Even were our materials more abundant, our limits restrict us from doing more than touching on the prominent occurrences in the life, and salient points of the character, of the author of the work to which this biographical sketch is prefixed. What is properly required in such a general sketch is, a portrait, as true in its lineaments as may be, of the individual whose character and habits it is proposed to describe.

The Author of the following treatise was born at Allanton, in the county of Lanark, 20th October 1759. He was the second son\* of James Steuart, tenth Baron of Allanton, (according to the usual form of Scottish designation,) and fourteenth in descent from the Lord High Steward of Scotland, who was great-grandfather of King Robert II., the first prince of the Stewart line. About the close of the thirteenth century, in the reign of Alexander III., Sir John Stewart of Bonkle, or Bonkill, the son of Alexander the sixth, the said Lord High Steward of Scotland, bestowed the estate of Daldowie, on the river Clyde, part of his extensive possessions in Lanarkshire and Renfrewshire, in patrimony on his son Sir Robert, and was himself slain at the fatal battle of Falkirk, (1298),

\* Sir Henry had two brothers and a sister, two of whom predeceased, and the youngest died a few years after, his father. Sir Henry seems to have inherited a taste for retirement, and literary and country pursuits, from his father, who, as we learn from Robertson's edition of Crawford's *History of Renfrewshire*, "was eminent both as an agriculturist and a scholar, and greatly improved his estate by enclosing and planting, which were beginning to become fashionable in his time in Scotland."

fighting against Edward I., with many others of the Scottish nobility. In the year 1314, Sir Robert most probably fought at the glorious field of Bannockburn, with the Lord High Steward and the rest of his family, under King Robert Bruce; as we find from Hollinshed that in the following year he accompanied his three brothers, Sir Allan, Sir Walter, and Sir Hugh, in the expedition to Ireland under Edward Bruce. He was also present at the battle of Dundalk in 1318, where that adventurous prince at length terminated his career.\* Sir Henry's mother was daughter of Henry Steuart-Barclay of Collernie, in the county of Fife, younger brother of Sir James Steuart Bart. of Goodtrees, (son of the Lord Advocate of that name,) M.P. for Mid-Lothian in the first parliament after the Union, and afterwards Solicitor General in the reign of George II. Of the issue of this marriage Sir Henry was the only surviving son, and representative of this ancient family.

Of his early education, at this distance of time, few particulars can be mentioned. Having been brought up

\* "From the Steuarts of Allanton, (we quote from a document found among the papers of the late Sir Henry Steuart,) have sprung at different periods various considerable families of the name, among which are the Steuarts of Kirkfield and Coltness, those of Goodtrees, Allanbank, and Mitcham. It is worthy of notice, that there are four Baronetages in the family, including the grant, to be subsequently mentioned, to the eldest branch of it. 1st. That of Coltness, in the county of Lanark; which branch is also descended from the aforesaid James, and is now represented by General Sir James Denham Steuart, Bart., (since deceased) Colonel of the second Regiment of Dragoons. 2nd. That of Allanbank, in the county of Berwick; which branch is descended from James sixth of Allanton, and eighth of Daldowie, who died A.D. 1607, and is now represented by Sir John Steuart Bart."—he has since that time been succeeded by his son Sir James. "3rd. That of Goodtrees, county of Edinburgh; which branch was descended and represented as last mentioned. 4th. That of Allanton," of which mention will be made in the course of this Memoir. "It is a remarkable circumstance, that the three Baronetages first mentioned were bestowed upon the family by three Sovereigns of character considerably different, by James II., William III., and Queen Anne, and all in consideration of their public services."

at home during his earlier years, he was afterwards for some time placed at a seminary in his native county, then of considerable repute in that part of Scotland. Sir Henry (for we shall at once adopt the designation by which he was afterwards best known) was subsequently sent abroad to complete his education at Hamburg, which was then much frequented as a place of education by the children of the higher classes in Scotland. After remaining at this celebrated University for some years, where he imbibed the classical taste, and laid the foundation of those scholastic attainments, for which he was afterwards distinguished, Sir Henry returned to Scotland (his father having died some years before) about the age of seventeen, and soon after procured, in the year 1778, a commission in the 13th Light Dragoons, in which regiment he continued to serve for several years. He afterwards exchanged, in 1781, into the 10th Light Dragoons, and became aide-de-camp to General Sir James Steuart of Coltness—a younger branch, as already mentioned, of the family of Allanton. In this capacity Sir Henry accompanied his relative to Ireland, where Sir James had command of a district, in the disturbances of that country at the close of the last century. Whether Sir Henry had only intended, like many other young men both then and at present, to spend a few years in his Majesty's service, with the view perhaps of what may be termed finishing his education, previous to settling down on his paternal estates, or whether a military life was unsuited to the literary tastes and retired habits of which he very early gave indications, we have not been informed. It is certain, however, that soon after his marriage, in 1787, he retired from the army and returned to Scotland, where he spent for the most part, with little interruption, the remaining years of his life, between his own paternal property at Allanton and his



brother-in-law's estate of Touch-Seton, in the county and neighbourhood of Stirling. The family with which Sir Henry thus became allied by marriage is one of great antiquity in Scotland, his lady being daughter of Hugh Seton, Esq. of Touch, hereditary armour-bearer, in right of his wife, to his Majesty for that kingdom. The family of Touch, or, as it is more properly termed, Touch-Seton, derive their origin from an ancestor who lived in the reign of Alexander I. of Scotland, son of Malcolm Canmore, (1109.) This family was distinguished in Scottish History, and performed many loyal and gallant achievements during the wars of England and the various civil contests in Scotland. Sir Christopher, the descendant of Dugald Seton, the ancestor of the Touch family, became allied to the royal family of Scotland by his marriage with Christian, sister to King Robert the Bruce; from which alliance was descended Alexander, who was created Baron Seton de Gordon\* in the year 1408. The Setons of Touch-Seton lay claim to the Barony of Seton de Gordon in right of their ancestor, on whom this title was conferred as above mentioned, and from whom they are lineally descended. †

The house on the Allanton estate, which Sir Henry, shortly after his marriage, made his permanent residence, was an ancient castellated building, with a large tower for the purpose of defence, as was common at that period, which had been erected in the reign of James I. (1422,) by Sir Allan Steuart‡ on his return from France,

\* See *Douglas' Peerage*, vol. i. (fol.) p. 643.

† This family is at present represented by Lady Seton Steuart, only surviving daughter of Sir Henry Steuart, who succeeded to the representation and estates of the family of Touch in the year 1835.

‡ "In the 8th of Richard II. 1385," (we quote from the family manuscript already referred to,) "when that monarch invaded Scotland with an army of sixty thousand men, and burned Perth, Dundee, and other places, Sir Robert's son Allan, and his grandson Sir Allan, then commanding a body of troops who

and to which, from an enthusiastic attachment to the scene of his early valour, (as we learn from some ancient family manuscripts,) he removed from his then more romantic residence of Daldowie on the Clyde. Finding the more habitable part of this ancient fortress not only unsuited for a modern residence, but also in many parts dilapidated, Sir Henry, on his return to Scotland, resolved to remove the less secure parts of the building, with the exception of the tower, and erect a more modern mansion in its stead. Being unwilling, however, to remove the ancient tower or keep, he made arrangements to retain that portion of the fortress, and to connect it with the new buildings which he planned. On removing the other portions of the castle, this ancient tower was accidentally in some parts undermined, and was discovered, in consequence, to be afterwards so insecure that it likewise was obliged to be removed, together with the greater part of

were on their march to join the Scottish army on the western borders, under the Earl of Douglas, encountered a strong detachment of the English, and gallantly attacked and defeated them, near Morningside in Lanarkshire. Allan the elder fell in the action, and was buried at a monastery near the spot. On account of his valour in this engagement, Sir Allan received from his kinsman King Robert II. the honour of Knight Banneret, having been knighted under the royal standard at Lochmaben castle; as also a special grant of the lion passant (the English lion) in addition to his coat armorial—a distinction possessed by no other Stewart in Scotland. It was in like manner that the Earl of Surrey received the lion rampant (the lion of Scotland) in addition to his arms, on account of the battle of Flodden. This special grant by Robert II. stood recorded in the Lion's Office, or Herald's Office of Scotland, so late as 1540, before the registers were destroyed by fire, as is attested by Sir David Lindsay of the Mount, the Lord Lion of that period. (See Cummy's Collect. Art. Sir David Lindsay.) Some years after, Sir Allan obtained a grant of lands round the place, from the Abbot of Aberbrothick, the superior of the religious house of Benskiag, which he had been the means of protecting from the incursions of the English, and which he afterwards named after himself, *Allanton*, (Chart. in Archis. Fam. de Loudon,) and they have ever since continued in the possession of his posterity. Morningside, or the 'place of many darts,' is the designation of one of the farms on the estate of Allanton." On the spot where this engagement is said to have taken place, an ancient sword was some years since discovered, which is now in possession of the family of Allanton.

the building. Between the first and later additions to the house at Allanton, a period of about thirty years intervened; and as these additions were made on a pretty extensive scale—though much of the ancient building still remains—this circumstance, together with the destruction of the tower, gives the present building rather a modern appearance. Other additions and improvements contemplated by Sir Henry, with the view of making the mansion-house still more in keeping with the extensive improvements on the park surrounding it, which will be afterwards referred to, have not yet been carried into effect. It may also farther be mentioned, that the park at that time—the scene afterwards of his wonderful triumph of art over nature—was as little distinguished for beauty or improvement as it subsequently became celebrated for both these marks of distinction. To use the significant language of Sir Walter Scott at a subsequent period,—“The park at Allanton had *then* no pretensions to beauty, and was *just not ugly*.”\*

With his natural taste and energy of character, Sir Henry bent himself resolutely to the improvement of his property, and commenced at the same time a series of experiments on arboriculture, which more or less occupied his attention for upwards of forty years of his life. We

\* The old house at Allanton was on one occasion visited by no less a person than Oliver Cromwell. “It is recorded” (we learn from Crawford’s *History of Renfrewshire*) “that Oliver Cromwell, in 1650, after the battle of Dunbar, in his progress through Lanarkshire, halted with a few attendants at Allanton House, where he was hospitably entertained by Lady Steuart, and where he passed the night. Sir Walter, being a royalist, took care to be out of the way. On the Protector’s arrival, as it is said, some choice canary and other refreshments were presented, but he would suffer nothing to be touched until he himself had first said grace, which he fervently did for more than half an hour, to the great edification of the lady! He then courteously inquired after Sir Walter, and on drinking the health of the family, observed that ‘his mother was a Steuart, and that he always felt a kindness for the name!’ This, and several other characteristic anecdotes of the visit are still preserved.”

shall presently advert to the success which ultimately followed his repeated experiments in transplanting, to which object of rural improvement he latterly more particularly confined his attention, after his property in general had been improved to as great an extent at least as was usual at that time, when a practical knowledge of agriculture was neither so common, nor had attained the perfection which at present it has reached. Accordingly Sir Henry devoted his attention, for the greater part of his life to the discovery of those principles of arboriculture, which could only be deduced from a long and careful practice of experiments, whose results are detailed in the following work.

While employed, however, in making experiments in the park at Allanton, with a view of discovering the best system of transplanting, Sir Henry began to indulge his literary tastes, and one of his first publications was connected with a subject of great public interest—the most available means, namely, of supplying the Scottish metropolis with coal—which was then beginning to be worked on an extensive scale in many parts of Lanarkshire. Considerable difference of opinion, about the commencement of the present century, prevailed respecting the best line for forming a junction canal for the conveyance of coal to Edinburgh and elsewhere; and, at the urgent request of many persons who were interested in this undertaking, Sir Henry published a pamphlet on this subject, in opposition to one which had previously appeared, and which advocated the adoption of a line which was not generally approved of by most of the coal proprietors in the county. Nor was this a question of mere local interest, but one of much practical importance to the country in general. Edinburgh, and many parts of Scotland, particularly during the rigorous winters about the

close of the last century, were compelled to pay an exorbitant price for coal; and the object of Sir Henry's pamphlet was to prove that, were a navigable canal carried from Edinburgh through the heart of the coal districts of Lanarkshire, coals, of a quality generally superior, could be brought to that city, for very little more than one-half of what was then paid for coal brought from its neighbourhood. A further object which the author proposed, and whose practicability he also endeavoured to establish, was that of not merely supplying Ireland, the Isle of Man, and the southern coasts of Scotland with coal, but likewise many of the foreign ports, at a less cost than, from various causes, could be probably done by the coal-masters of the south. It may be added, however, that other circumstances intervened, which prevented the adoption of any of the different measures then proposed, which were, however, carried into effect in another form, at a much later period.

About this time Sir Henry contemplated his first important literary undertaking, on which he was sedulously employed for several years—his translation, namely, of the principal remaining works of the Roman historian Sallust; to which were prefixed two elaborate essays on the life and character of that historian, whom he justly describes as the father of philosophical history. This translation of Sallust, with the preliminary essays, was accompanied by a large body of notes, which discover a most extensive knowledge of classical literature, brought by the author to bear on and illustrate both the circumstances of the Catiline conspiracy and Jugurthine war, and further, the motives and events of the Julian and Augustan periods. Before commencing this translation of a work which had already frequently appeared in an English form, Sir Henry had made himself thoroughly

conversant with the true principles of translation, which had been so fully and learnedly laid down and treated in a work of Lord Monboddo on that subject. These principles he states and defends in his preliminary essays; and there is no question that we have presented to us, in his own admirable translation of Sallust, not only the true meaning, but the spirit of the author, conveyed with equal fidelity of version and eloquence of language. This work has always been distinguished for correctness of rendering, elegance of diction, combined with clearness and nervousness of style. By the publication of this work, which appeared in 1806, Sir Henry at once attained a high place as an accomplished scholar and author in the literary world.

While employed on this work, Sir Henry usually spent the winter in Edinburgh, where he mixed with the literary society for which the Scottish metropolis was then distinguished. He there became acquainted with most of the learned and scientific persons of the day, among whom may be mentioned the Honourable Henry Erskine, Dr Gregory, Professor Robison, Mr Alison, Lord Woodhouselee, and the well-known author of "The Man of Feeling," Henry Mackenzie, to whose father Sir Henry's mother had been married after the death of her first husband, which event occurred in 1772, during Sir Henry's residence in Germany. After the completion of the preliminary essays and notes to his translation of Sallust, Sir Henry transmitted the MS., previous to publication, to Lord Woodhouselee, on the truth and justice of whose opinion of the work he could implicitly rely. The following extracts from a letter of Lord Woodhouselee, on returning the MS., as containing the opinion of that accomplished scholar, will not, we trust, be considered out of place :—

“ EDINBURGH, 19th May 1798.

“ MY DEAR SIR,—I now return you your very valuable manuscripts, together with a couple of sheets of such remarks as occurred to me on a very attentive perusal of the whole. Of the general merits of the composition I have already told you that I think very highly; and I am confident this preliminary dissertation will establish your reputation as a judicious biographer and able critic, as much as the work itself will rank you among the best of modern translators. The topics you have treated in this essay have all of them sufficient connexion with the main subject to justify your introducing them; and the points of controversy or of criticism which you have handled will be most acceptable to all men of letters, for whom your work is chiefly calculated. The topics yet remaining to be treated promise a rich field of pleasing discussions; but I should fear, from the amplitude of some of the subjects, that they might run you into too great length for the due proportion that a preface ought to bear to the work it introduces. Of such nature is,—the progress of the Roman language—the idea of the Roman literature before Augustus—ancient historical composition—that of historical composition in the eighteenth century—classical translation: all rich topics of discussion, and ample enough for so many separate dissertations. I am anxious, therefore, to see how you will contrive to range through so wide a field, and admire you for that ardour, and courageous spirit, which can impose on itself such a task as the achievement of such high and perilous adventures.

. . . . .

“ Yours ever, with most sincere regard,

“ ALEX. FRASER TYTLER.”

We make no apology for inserting the following *jeu d'esprit* of Mr Mackenzie, the author of "The Man of Feeling," which bears the date of several years later, as it will at once, perhaps, serve to show the terms on which the literary men of that period lived, and also because nothing from the pen of that distinguished writer can fail to be read with interest. We are not aware that this lively effusion has ever previously appeared. The following note accompanied the lines in question :—

"HERIOT ROW, *Wednesday Morning, 27th November 1804.*

"MY DEAR SIR,—I regret much I have not met with the ladies, or you, since your return to town. I meant to call again to-day, but am afraid I shall be kept too late in the Exchequer. I send, therefore, this note, and the subjoined *jeu d'esprit*, to pay my respects in my stead. When you borrowed my ink on Monday evening, the young folks amused themselves with the idea of the very different use it would be put to from those to which I commonly applied it. This idea was bandied about a good deal. I fell asleep with my inkstand on the table; its mouth was open; and in my dream it spoke as follows :—

INK—*loquitur.*

Your friends, the Baron's, t'other day,  
Abused me in a scurvy way,  
Because my face was white;  
And said the characters I drew  
Were always, or at least when new,  
Faint, feeble, lost to sight.

But now I beg my brother *Pen*,  
To tell those honorable men  
'Tis quite a different thing;  
My colour's strong, my credit higher,  
To other service I aspire,  
Than when I served the King.\*

\* The King's printer had been complained of for the paleness of the ink with which he furnished the Tax Office and the Exchequer.



So high my reputation stands,  
 The historic muse my aid demands  
     To bid her laurels bloom ;  
 And *Stewart* and *Sallust* now combine  
 In many a smooth and nervous line  
     To bid me speak of Rome !

I, who was only used to write  
 Of *Income-Tax*, and *Window-Light*,  
     In many a weary column,  
 Shall now be call'd upon to tell  
 How *Tully* spoke, how *Catiline* fell,  
     In strains sublime and solemn.

Instead of *horses*, *grooms*, and *gigs*,  
 And *powder-tax* for lawyers' wigs,  
     And *puppies* just of age,  
 The fate of empires and of kings,  
 And other such majestic things,  
     Shall live upon my page.

Then cease, my Lords, to brand my face  
 With such unmerited disgrace,  
     Since now I'm proud to know  
 The characters I trace shall stand,  
 And through at least the British land  
     To other times shall go.

“ I am always, whether in prose or rhyme, my dear sir,  
 most faithfully yours,

H. MACKENZIE.”

This translation of *Sallust*, with the preliminary dissertations and notes, was published by Baldwin & Co., London, in the year 1806, in two large quarto volumes, and is now out of print. To the literary world a new and less costly edition would doubtless give much satisfaction, as it still retains its position as the best translation of any of the classical authors, accompanied by much important information on subjects connected with Roman history, which has appeared in our times. It is to be regretted, however, that this was the only publication of the kind which was published by Sir Henry, whose close application to literary pursuits for the preceding ten years

of his life had so materially affected his health, that he was obliged, by the advice of the late Dr Gregory, his principal medical adviser, to employ himself in more active out-of-door occupations, with the view of restoring and preserving his health. A constitutional tendency to severe headaches, increased by his sedentary habits, induced Sir Henry accordingly to devote his attention more closely than ever to his favourite employment of transplanting, which, as already remarked, more or less occupied him during the remainder of his life. He occasionally, however wrote for the *Anti-Jacobin*, and other leading periodicals of the day; and among his papers are to be found several uncompleted manuscripts, ranging in their dates from the publication of *Sallust* through a period of upwards of twenty years, consisting of a *History of the Rebellion of 1745*, the commencement of a *History of Scotland*, and several astronomical and other works. At a considerably later period he contributed many of the materials which he had collected for his *History of the Rebellion* to Mr Chambers, for his interesting "*Jacobite Memoirs*" of that period, published in 1834.

Soon after his translation of *Sallust* was made public, Sir Henry received the honorary degree of LL.D. from a Scottish university, and also about the same period was appointed a Fellow of the Royal and Antiquarian Societies of Edinburgh. In 1814, he was created a baronet of Great Britain, the patent bearing date 27th December 1814, with remainder to his only daughter and son-in-law Reginald Macdonald of Staffa, Esq., and their heirs male. From a manuscript history of the family, quoted in Crawford's "*History of Renfrewshire*," in an edition published by Robertson in 1818, we learn that, in the year 1687, the offer of a baronetage was made to Sir Henry's ancestor, William Steuart of Allanton, through

the interest of his brother-in-law Sir James Steuart, a younger son of the Steuarts of Coltness, then secretary of state in the reign of James II., and, on his declining it, it was given to his cousin Sir Robert Steuart of Allanbank.

We come now, after passing over an interval of several years, during which Sir Henry was occupied with his experiments in arboriculture, and the creation, as we may term it, of the Park at Allanton, to the publication of the first edition of the "Planter's Guide," in 1828. It is foreign to the object of this memoir to enter upon any of the details of Sir Henry's new system of Transplanting, especially as this has been sufficiently done in the work itself, in the report of the Highland Agricultural Society, (a deputation from which waited upon Sir Henry at Allanton,) and in the several leading periodicals, namely, the Quarterly, Edinburgh, and Westminster Reviews, and also in Blackwood's Magazine, in all of which the author received the highest commendations.\* The Report of the Highland Society (among the members of whose deputation we find the names of Lord Belhaven, Lord Corehouse, and Sir Walter Scott) is appended to both the former and the present editions of the "Planter's Guide," and contains a full though succinct statement of the improvements in the Park at Allanton, towards the close of the year 1823. After a careful inspection of Sir Henry's whole plan of operations, and the result of his repeated experiments since nearly the commencement of the century, the committee closed their Report with the following remarks, expressive of their high approval of the new system and its results:—"Upon the whole, it is

\* Sir Walter Scott contributed the admirable article which appeared in the *Quarterly Review*, March 1828; and the equally able review of the "Planter's Guide" in *Blackwood's Magazine*, during the same year, was from the pen of Professor Wilson. Dr Southwood Smith was the author of the article in the *Westminster Review* about the same period.

humbly their opinion, that Sir Henry, by philosophical attention to the nature of the change to which he was about to subject the trees which he has transplanted, has attained, at no extravagant expense, the power so long desired of *anticipating* the slow progress of vegetation, and accomplishing, within two or three seasons, those desirable changes on the face of nature, which he who plants in early youth can, in ordinary cases, only hope to witness in advanced life."

This high approval was further confirmed at a later period by the presentation of a gold medal to Sir Henry, by the members of the Highland Society, on the publication of the "Planter's Guide," in 1828.\* From many other quarters, Sir Henry received similar expressions of approbation, and applications from many of the nobility and gentry of the United Kingdom, to assist them with his advice in their contemplated improvements on his system. Among other correspondents, he had frequent communication with Sir Walter Scott, who had reviewed his work of transplanting, in a most favourable manner, in one of the leading periodicals. So far back as the year 1823, we find Sir Henry corresponding with Sir Walter, and both these distinguished arboriculturists mutually comparing notes and exchanging information on a subject so interesting to both parties. We have before us a long letter from Sir Walter Scott, to his friend Sir Henry, dated 23d February 1823, fully describing Sir Walter's operations at Abbotsford, with the results of his experiments, from which our limits prevent our quoting at large, as we could otherwise have wished. The letter concludes as follows :

\* The Gold Medal presented to Sir Henry, by the Highland Society, bears the following inscription :—" Presented to Sir Henry Steuart, of Allanton, Bart. LL.D., F.R.S.E. &c. with the resolution of a general Meeting of the Highland Society of Scotland, voting to him the thanks of the Society, for his essay on transplanting full-grown trees, 8th January, 1828."

—“I cannot flatter myself that any thing I have said can be very interesting to you. Most of my neighbours go to work in the barbarous old way of lopping, and topping, and planting many deformed and maimed pollards by way of beauty, on which subject, I cry like Wisdom in the highways, and am not regarded. I would rather have a decayed tree, than a deficient one; as some beau said he would choose rather to have a hole in his stocking than a darn; the one might be negligence, the other inferred premeditated and confessed poverty. I am happy to think that your discoveries may prevent both extremities, and am, dear Sir Henry, your obliged humble servant,

“WALTER SCOTT.”

“EDINBURGH, 23d February 1823.”

However much Sir Henry and Sir Walter Scott agreed in the main, they differed slightly in some points both in the theory and practice of transplanting, as is evident from some of the statements in an essay on the planting of waste lands, by Sir Walter, which appeared in the seventy-second number of the Quarterly Review. In the notes towards the close of the Second Edition of the “Planter’s Guide,” when defending Sir Walter against some attacks that had been made upon him by Mr Withers, Sir Henry, however, describes this essay as, “independently of its other merits, one of the most powerful, judicious, and useful practical tracts existing in the language. From the singularly rapid way,” he adds, “in which the great author is known to write, and from the circumstance of his professing no accurate knowledge of phytology, it cannot seem wonderful that some errors, both in the theory and the practice, should have crept into the essay.” Besides any slight differences of opinion on the subject of transplanting, between these two practical

improvers, there existed another reason which accounted for Sir Walter's inability to carry out fully the system at Abbotsford recommended in the "Planter's Guide." As the following letter from the author of Waverley, to his friend Sir Henry, presents an interesting account of the improvements at Abbotsford, and as Sir Henry had obtained permission from the author to make any use of it which he might deem proper in a future edition of his work, we feel convinced that we shall both gratify and interest our readers by its insertion.

"ABBOTSFORD, 19th September.

"MY DEAR SIR HENRY,—It would be most creditable to me, if I had any thing to offer in the way of evidence which could serve to illustrate your very elaborate and effectual process of transplanting, which I consider as a discovery of the last importance. But the situation of this place has only permitted me to make rude and unsystematic attempts; and the partial success they have obtained is far from what I am convinced would have been the result, if I had had means and motives for setting about the task regularly. The following is what I have been doing here, but I fear it is not worthy of insertion in the notes to your ingenious essay. About 1812, I became proprietor of this place, which may consist of fifteen hundred acres; some part croft land, severely handled by the plough, some hill and dale, rough moorland. Commencing near my house, I made considerable plantations every year; and purchased, I suppose, about five hundred acres, woodland, in very respectable order. I fell into the great error of planting my hard wood trees greatly too thick, which led me first to think of transplanting. There was a walk by the side of the Tweed, which I wished much to adorn with trees. I lifted in 1814-15, a number of young trees for this pur-

pose, with the old accommodation of a ball of earth to each, attending scrupulously to the vulgar rule of planting them in the same relation to the points of the compass which they had originally held, but which accuracy, I am now persuaded, is matter of superstition, not of sound doctrine.

“The transplanted trees were much exposed to the north-west, which is our prevailing wind, and they were not in any way staked or supported, neither was a branch of any of them cut off, as—in despite of a number of respectable examples—I have always thought a mutilated tree rather uglier than none at all; the soil was a very stiff sand, the trees were of all sorts, such as they came to hand, it might be about eight years old. For three or four years, the greater part of the trees rather languished, and some, though few, died. Far the greater part of these trees lived—but, for the first two or three years, they had a very unhealthy appearance, many branches withered, and the stems sent out short twigs and leaves, which seems always a symptom of weakness. They gradually recovered however, and in five or six years began to look well; but it was eight or ten years before they began to grow vigorously: they are now healthy, strong trees, and seem to be thriving very well. About the same time, I transplanted into a better soil, and with more care, a few trees about the house; these never *went back*, as it is called, but thrived from the beginning, and are now large trees of their age.

About five years ago, you very obligingly called my attention to the subject, and greatly interested me by describing your process. I could not, however, make any regular attempt to imitate it, for I had no subjects fit for transplantation. I had indeed, many thousands of trees, which I wished elsewhere, but they were exactly those which,

growing in the shelter of extensive plantations, were not of that hardy character which your system requires as fit subjects of experiment. I caused numbers of them to be cut round, however, and transplanted with bare roots, and turning the lea side to the weather. They stood the winds very well. In a sheltered place at the bottom of a bank, about ten or a dozen thrrove amazingly ; they hardly seemed to acknowledge a change of situation. In more exposed situations they did very well the first and second years, but several in the third year became unsightly, with withered boughs, and I have cut them over. In none of these cases of failure do I consider your system as having had fair-play, as the trees were thin in the stem, tall, and the exact reverse of what they should have been. I believe however, they could all, or almost all, have succeeded to a considerable extent, but for the drought of the season 1816. A small fish-pond gave facility for watering those which were planted beside it, and not one of them was lost. I am, therefore, led to the same conclusion to which Count Rumford was conducted in investigating the food of the poor—namely, that there is much sustenance in water alone. Besides these attempts, I took a more irregular, nay, almost desperate mode of thinning my hardwood plantations. Besides cutting down what I designed for underwood, I transferred several hundreds with little ceremony to a sheep pasture covered with whins, and took no other precaution than causing them to be carefully planted and trod in—choosing places where the furze might defend them against the sheep. This can hardly be called transplanting trees, properly so called, for they are only young plants of from six to ten feet high. They have thriven very well, though in a manner abandoned to their fate. I do not believe that there have been lost ten out of a hundred, or any thing like it. I would advise any gen-



tleman to try this course with plants which he intends to cast away.

None of these operations bear much on your subject ; but it is only the local circumstances of this place which have prevented me from trying your system in a regular manner. The ground is bank and brae, all covered with plantations, and admitting few situations where single trees would be an object. I have no subjects fit for transplantation, unless I make a nursery ; and my predecessors having done nothing for me, I have not, like you at Allanton, any clumps or stripes which can be converted to that purpose. At an extremity of the property, indeed, there is the remains of a natural oak wood, but besides that I believe the trees are stock-roots, and therefore not to be easily raised, they are too heavy to be dealt with, without more expense than I care at present to employ.

“ So that, on the whole, I may say *video meliora, &c.* I am fully convinced of the superiority of your plan to any which I have hitherto had occasion to observe. But my plan has been laid to avoid the necessity of transplantation entirely. To make myself understood, I must mention that the house is, contrary to the usual custom, contiguous to the garden. It fronts a court-yard filled with shrubs, and as many trees of about fifteen feet high as afford a good chance for those which may be permitted to stand as single trees. On the other side of the court-yard wall runs a pretty steep bank which is covered with thriving plantations about fifteen years old. The same bank runs westward about half a mile, and is completely planted all along its extent. On the north side of the house there is another steep bank, with plantations running down to the Tweed, which is also completely flourishing, and in a situation to be opened into green, or shut up as thicket, as taste may determine. Below lies a

piece of haugh or meadow, which is surrounded on three sides by plantations, and it is on that flat space only that there might be room for exercising your beautiful art. But the meadow is too small to admit of many trees without looking spotty; and the bank of the river which surrounds it on the fourth side, is fringed with the transplanted trees first noticed. Thus I could not find room for full-grown trees, without displacing those already rapidly advancing into the state I could wish them. They already afforded both shelter and amenity; and I am more interested in thinning them out properly, than in the wish of adding to them. The fact is, I am looking forward to cutting my way out of a forest, while most proprietors have to transplant or grow.

“I have been thus tedious in explaining my own situation, that I may give some reasons for the seeming incongruity of highly approving your system without practising it upon any extent, and consequently without being fitted either with tools or machinery. You will observe that I have no park, or any thing approaching to the name. I sate down on a hill-side, even a bare one; but now, I am proud to say, covered with wood of my own planting, of about sixteen years' growth, and as thriving as any in this country.

“The sum of this unsatisfactory evidence is, that my nearest approach to your system has been when I transplanted young trees, often unfavourable subjects, after their roots had been cut over twelve or eighteen months, and have added a little manure to the extremity of the roots when they are transferred to their new situations; but I have never lifted a tree thicker than a man's leg, or what I would consider as favourable as to bark and branches. On the other hand I have never been guilty of topping or lopping, which I consider as a cruelty; nor

of lifting a ball of earth which I regard as an error, since it makes the tree roll like a bowl in a socket; nor have I minded its direction to the points of the compass, otherwise than to reverse them in its new situation, and so balance the tree properly. The larger the trees I have raised, I have judged it necessary to follow your system the more closely; where they were small, I used them with less ceremony. If I had to *translate* a very large tree, I would think my principal chance of success would be in following your precepts exactly. The expense so far as I went was a matter of very little consequence; but I kept no exact account of it, nor would it be easy to do so. I remain, my dear preceptor in woodcraft, your idle but grateful scholar,

W. SCOTT.

“If any part of this can be useful in your excellent treatise, pray consider yourself as entitled to use it as you will. But I fear it is too loose practice to pass to record. I am so blind, that the manuscript when transferred to the press will need much correction.”

Did our limits permit us, we are enabled to give many important extracts from letters of Sir Henry's friends and general correspondents, both expressing their approval of his work on transplanting, and of the success which attended the practical application of the principles of his system in different parts of Great Britain and Ireland; presenting very different climates and soils, and various degrees of exposure. From Lord Lowther, in Dec. 1828, he received a communication relative to the improvement of the royal forests, with the view of applying his system to the improvement of that portion of the possessions of the crown. The Honourable Mr Stuart Wortley, writing from Wortley Hall in 1832, describes himself as perfectly satisfied with

the success of Sir Henry's system, which he had adopted in the park, and other parts of the domain at Wortley. A correspondent of the late Mr Elliot Lockhart mentions also, in a letter dated April 1828, which was transmitted to Sir Henry, that he had acted on the principles of the "Planter's Guide" under the direction of one of Sir Henry's people with entire success. "I think," he concludes, "my gardener, who was in attendance both days, will now be quite up to the process. I am sure," he adds, "Sir Henry must be highly flattered with the review of his book, and the eulogy on his operations, contained in the last Quarterly Review." From numerous other quarters, similar attestations were received of the value of Sir Henry's work, which, as we have already mentioned, our limits forbid us to insert.

We have alluded above, to the employment of one of Sir Henry's people by Mr Elliot Lockhart's correspondent; and we would now further remark that several of his workmen, and others who had been long trained in his service in transplanting at Allanton, were permitted by Sir Henry to visit various parts of England and Ireland, for the purpose of showing more efficiently the practical working of the system.\* The following letter from C. W. H——n, Esq. on the return of some of Sir Henry's people from Ireland, may properly be here inserted, as both showing how beneficial their services had proved in that country, and as illustrative of a favourite project of Sir Henry's, to which we shall presently advert.

\* Among the places to which Sir Henry's men had been sent in Ireland, were the following: — The seats of Mr Shaw, the Recorder of Dublin — Lord de Vesci, Queen's County — Lord Duncannon, Kilkenny — Colonel Bruin, county Carlow — The Bishop of Clogher — and Marquis of Sligo. In Scotland, Sir David Baird, of Newbeith — The seat of the Honourable Douglas Gordon, Halliburton — Captain Barclay of Ury — Mr Pringle of the Haining — and Mr Stirling of Keir.

“37 DOMINICK STREET, 2d April 1830.

MY DEAR SIR,—It is with great regret that I feel myself unable to write you as long a letter as I could wish. Turner\* and his men arrived here this morning, and intend to sail for Scotland on Monday. Nothing could be more satisfactory than the manner in which they have conducted themselves. I inclose you three of the letters I received from strangers expressing their opinion.† For those among my own friends who have employed them, I can answer myself. I was much amused at the terror which Purdie showed at the idea of coming among the wild Irish, but Turner will be able to give a better account of us to our friends in the Land o’ Cakes. I shall also inclose a few prospectuses of our society, and hope you may be able to do something for us. All our success depends upon our being *soon* known and made use of as a centre of information. . . . Your attention seems to have been lately turned to trenching and potato culture as preparation. Could you not give us some little communication on that subject, as accurately compared with the T method, which is the other extreme as to expense? Excuse this hasty line, and believe me most faithfully yours,

“C. W. H——N.”

The society to which reference is made in the above letter, and of which prospectuses were sent to Sir Henry, was entitled the “Forestry Society for Ireland,” which seems to have resembled Sir Henry’s favourite project of a “society for the improvement of arboriculture exclusively,” whose institution he has strongly advocated in

\* One of Sir Henry’s head men trained at Allanton.

† This opinion was highly satisfactory both as regards the system and its results.

the first section of his work, and in the notes on that section at the end of the volume.\* His friend Sir Walter

\* It may be important, perhaps, to insert here the objects of this Irish Forestry Society, with its rules and regulations contained in the prospectus to which allusion has been made in the text.

“FORESTING SOCIETY FOR IRELAND.

*Patron*—HIS EXCELLENCY THE DUKE OF NORTHUMBERLAND.

*President*—HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF LEINSTER.

“At a meeting held on the 23d July 1829, HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF LEINSTER in the chair, the following were adopted as the rules and regulations of the society:—

“1st. That this society shall be designated the ‘*Forestry Society of Ireland*,’ the object of which shall be to collect, embody, and diffuse scientific information relative to the forming of plantations, care and management of wooded lands in Ireland.

“2d. That each subscriber of £3 annually shall be a member of the society.

“3d. That each subscriber of £20 within one year, shall be a member for life.

“4th. That a committee of fifteen members, together with the honorary officers of the society, shall be appointed at each annual meeting to conduct the business of the society, three of whom shall be a quorum.

“5th. That an annual meeting of the society shall be held in Dublin in the month of June, at which the report of the committee, and a statement of the accounts, verified by three auditors, shall be presented.

“6th. That the minutes of every general and committee meeting shall be signed by the chairman.

“7th. That all drafts for payment shall be signed by three members of the committee, and the secretary.

“*The objects of the society are,*

“1st. To establish an office in a public and central situation in Dublin, where members of the society may apply for information.

“2d. To keep in this office tools as patterns, and models of machinery adapted to Forestry, of the best and most improved description.

“3d. To collect in this office a library of the best books upon the subject of Forestry.

“4th. To engage a scientific agent to attend at the office between the hours of eleven o’clock in the morning, and six in the evening, for the purpose of conducting correspondence, and of collecting and communicating to members information on the subject, both personally and by letter.

“5th. To open an extensive correspondence, both at home, and with those foreign countries from which the society may expect to derive any information.

“6th. To promote the science of Forestry by the occasional publication of matter connected with it.

“7th. To become the means (without, however, any direct agency,) of establishing in Ireland a body of scientific Foresters.

“8th. To become the means of promoting the employment of a large number of the peasantry in Forestry occupations.

Scott, with whom he corresponded on this subject, while he approved of the object, does not seem to have been so sanguine as Sir Henry as to its success. Writing in January 1828, Sir Walter remarks:—"A society of arboriculturists would be very valuable. But such proprietors usually reside upon their estates in remote corners of the country; and there would be found practical difficulties in getting them to hold frequent meetings, and adopt a joint mode of conducting experimental operations. At the same time, I agree with you that, if such an association could be formed, it might succeed—if managed under the influence of some man of predominant sense, experience, and habits of observation. Without such an influence to keep a society's attention bent on its proper object, it would, I fear, wander into trifling and trumpery details, as has happened to Agricultural Societies, Literary Societies, Antiquarian Societies, and, I think, most others, which are like Sir Andrew Aguecheek's system of philosophy, confined to good eating and drinking. . . . But as my habits are not gregarious, I will readily own I may be mistaken."

As, however, Sir Henry's opinions on all subjects connected with arboriculture have invariably, as has appeared, been followed by practical success, we are much inclined to indulge a hope that an institution of the nature recommended in the following work, and established in Ireland, may yet, ere long, be formed, without degenerating into either a mere *trifling* or *gregarious* assembly, such as the author of *Waverley* seems to have humorously apprehended. The little attention which has been given for several years past to the object whose principles and practical details are presented in the "*Planter's Guide*," may, perhaps, in no small degree, have been owing to the want of such an institution, and the information there

imparted, with the combined operations of all the members of such an association. We trust that some attention will hereafter be given to this very important object, and favourite project of Sir Henry.

For several years after the publication of the "Planter's Guide," Allanton was visited from all quarters by persons interested in the new system of transplanting; as also by tourists and others who were desirous of gratifying their curiosity with the view of a place which had been actually re-created by the wonderful and successful improvements of the proprietor. Scarcely a day passed without the arrival of some visitor, to whom, according to circumstances, either Sir Henry or some of his people pointed out the improvements on the park at Allanton. But the formation of a park by the removal of large trees did not form the only important feature in the landscape. During the winter of 1819-20, Sir Henry had employed nearly two hundred of the unemployed weavers in forming an extensive piece of water in the centre of the grounds, which, combined with the woods that on all sides surround it, increases in no small degree the picturesque beauty of the park. The employment of so many distressed manufacturers in a period of general depression and disturbance, reflected the highest credit as much on the wise and benevolent feelings of Sir Henry, as it must prove a lasting monument of the proprietor's taste in the embellishment of his demesne. It is by such acts of kindly beneficence, combined with taste and utility, that a country proprietor not merely endears himself to his people, but also, in addition to the benefit which the district derives from his taste and exertions, those feelings of subordination and dutiful respect are cemented and maintained, which justly entitle a resident proprietor to be esteemed the benefactor of his people and the country at large.



In the year 1833, a somewhat curious, and, to Sir Henry, interesting circumstance occurred, the presentation, namely, of a copy of his work, which had recently appeared in America, by the publisher himself, who unexpectedly made his appearance at Allanton in the commencement of that year, being then on a visit to this country. The American publisher of the *Planter's Guide* was a son of the celebrated Grant Thorburn, the original of Laurie Todd, and the subject of one of Galt's novels. The repeated clearings which had ultimately disfigured many parts of the United States, came at length to require not only a more sparing employment of the axe, but constrained the Americans to resort to some system of transplanting for the embellishment and shelter of their bare and unwooded domains. A work like the *Planter's Guide* appeared very seasonably at such a juncture, and the spirited descendant of Laurie Todd reprinted the first edition of Sir Henry's Essay, which obtained an extensive circulation in the United States. Perhaps, such an opening for the dissemination of his opinions and introduction of his system, would have been among the last which could have occurred to Sir Henry; and the circumstance, as may well be supposed, gave him the highest satisfaction.

About the commencement of the following year, the father of Sir Henry's American publisher, Grant Thorburn, as already mentioned the original of Galt's Laurie Todd, who had left Scotland in early life, and had amassed considerable property in business in New York, arrived himself at Allanton. He was in height about five feet, stout, and rather deformed from an accident, very lively and amusing. He is stated to have drunk no wine, but indulged in the national practice to a great extent, as he was in the habit of smoking about five times a-day. He

remained at Allanton till the following morning, and repeatedly expressed his regret that, in consequence of Sir Henry being obliged to leave home soon after his arrival, he was unable sufficiently to express the high estimation in which the *Planter's Guide* was held by himself and his countrymen, especially at New York. Several members of his family had devoted much of their attention to planting, having become extensive seedsmen in the United States. Some American seeds of indigenous plants were subsequently transmitted to Sir Henry by the Thorburns from New York.

We remarked, in the commencement of this Memoir, that it was only our intention to present a few of the principal incidents in Sir Henry's life, and, if possible, to give a slight sketch of his character, so far at least as the materials in our possession would enable us to do so. In a life so useful, but at the same time so uneventful, as that of the subject of this Memoir, it was difficult to draw up a sketch such as we proposed; and we fear we may not have succeeded in rendering the preceding details interesting to the general reader, especially in the absence of any copies of Sir Henry's correspondence with the numerous distinguished and literary characters whose letters on various interesting subjects remain still in possession of his family. Sir Henry was in the invariable habit of destroying, from time to time, all copies of his own correspondence, and for so slight a Memoir as that designed to be prefixed to this work, it was considered unnecessary to make any attempt to procure the originals or copies of his letters, if any such exist, from the families of his numerous correspondents. The want of such materials for a biographical Memoir is the more sensibly experienced, when a sketch of the character of the individual who forms its subject is proposed to be given; particularly when the bio-

grapher has not been intimately acquainted with the subject whose history he has undertaken, however slightly, to sketch. For we conceive it to be the duty of a biographer to make the subject of his Memoir portray, if possible, in his own language a character of himself. This may to a certain extent be performed by his biographer, when no materials exist for enabling the subject of his Memoir to draw his own portrait, provided he has lived on terms of personal friendship, or at least general acquaintance, with him whose history he writes. As the author of this Memoir has not enjoyed either of these advantages, he must endeavour, from such information as he can recall or collect, to draw up a slight portrait of Sir Henry, which, from his well-known character, there is less difficulty in effecting.

The author of this Memoir—to commence with his own recollections—well remembers the last occasion on which he saw Sir Henry, in company with the Baronet's relative, General Sir James Steuart, whom he accompanied on a visit to Allanton from Coltness. This occurred a few years previous to Sir Henry's death; and a distinct recollection is still retained of the appearance and urbanity of the author of this work, presenting a fine instance of the high though easy manners of the old school, accompanied by the graceful deportment of ancient descent and intellectual culture, with a natural mildness and kindness in his general bearing and demeanour, for which Sir Henry was usually distinguished. Unruffled calmness and natural dignity of deportment, which seems to place a natural restraint on every disposition to sudden sallies of temper or unusual excitement, is conceived to be the proper results of the highest polish of which manner is capable; and these results were particularly observable in Sir Henry. It would be difficult perhaps at any period to

fix upon a character which possessed to so great an extent those qualities, which are more frequently looked for than discovered in the titled or landed aristocracy of this country. Such qualities at once mark the gentleman and dignify the man.

But it is not alone, or chiefly, in the sunshine of prosperity that the moral qualities of any individual can be best drawn forth and properly estimated; the true character often lies hid beneath the surface, and its worth or its hollowness are best tested and known in the day of adversity. Happily for man, uninterrupted prosperity is not destined to be always his lot; and there is nothing so beneficial as occasional affliction to assign its true impress to the character, either to present it in its undisguised features, or to separate all baser alloy from its intrinsic worth. When the clouds of any such human vicissitudes occasionally dimmed Sir Henry's horizon, they served only happily to show with what dignity and consistency a character of so much solid worth was enabled to encounter, endure, and surmount them. Such occasional mutations are of inestimable value to impart the last finish to the portrait which is susceptible of their touch; and all who knew the subject of this Memoir, will admit that he stood the final test to which all more or less are subjected.

Sir Henry's society was much prized among the gentry of his neighbourhood. Among these, we may mention the late and present Dukes of Hamilton, Lord Corehouse, Lord Belhaven, the late Sir Alexander Cochrane, Lady Ross Baillie, and the late Sir Charles and Lady Mary Ross of Balnagown. Though in his general habits dissimilar from many of his country neighbours and acquaintances, he still both attained and secured their friendship and esteem. As a deputy-lieutenant and justice of the peace, he at all times actively exerted himself, as also

was greatly distinguished, by his services during the militia and meal riots at the close of the last century. At an earlier period of his life he obtained a captaincy in the Fifeshire Fencibles ; and, as we find from some of his papers still existing, interested himself in drawing up various regulations for that corps. Though fond of the usual country amusement—the necessary adjunct perhaps of every country proprietor—namely, of shooting, and we may add also, in its proper season, of skating (in which he excelled) upon the lake which he had formed in the park at Allanton, his chief exercise and enjoyment was transplanting, which formed the great business and pleasure of his life. The article on his work in the *Westminster Review* thus not inappropriately concludes :—“ A great reward was once offered by a rich, and yet a very poor man, for the invention of a new pleasure : there are times when some of our country gentlemen would be tempted to give a good deal for that same invention. Men cannot be always shooting, or hunting, or seeing that the Game Laws are carried into execution, nor can dinner be made to last all the day long ; had they but the heart to know it, Sir Henry Steuart has done for those gentlemen what the satiated prince asked in vain ; what he has done for his country there has not yet been time fully to show.”

About the close of the year 1835, Sir Henry began to discover symptoms of a general breaking up of his constitution, and after a few months' lingering illness, died on 11th March 1836, aged seventy-six.\* He was interred, according to the rites of the Episcopal Church, of which he had all his life been an attached and consistent member, in the family vault at Cannethan, a few miles

\* Lady Steuart predeceased her husband Sir Henry, having died in the year 1821.

distant from the original family burial-place at the old parish church, where most of his ancestors repose. He was succeeded in his estates by his only surviving daughter, Lady Seton Steuart, who had come into possession of her maternal property of Touch the year previous to Sir Henry's death, and in his title, by his son-in-law, Reginald Macdonald, Esq. of Staffa, to whom and Sir Henry's daughter, and their heirs male, the baronetage as formerly mentioned, had been especially limited. The present Sir Henry James Seton Steuart succeeded to the baronetcy on the death of his father in the year 1838. Sir Henry left two other grandsons, and two grand-daughters, who, with the exception of his younger grandson, now survive.

Thus lived and thus died this great and good man, if, at least, true greatness and goodness consist in the habitual and honourable discharge of all those duties which were imposed upon him, whether as a proprietor, as a subject, as a member of the church, or in all the ordinary or more peculiar relations of life. On reflection, we think that it will not fail to be admitted, that the statement advanced in the commencement of this Memoir, has been fully illustrated and confirmed; and that a life of country and literary retirement can be made most conducive to individual happiness and public usefulness, whether as a proprietor or a member of the state.

R.

---

## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

IT might at first sight appear a needless task to undertake a formal treatise on an art which almost all men practise, and profess to understand, were it not for the fact that so few practise it with success.

The removal of large trees, for pleasure or use, is an art of great antiquity. As a branch of arboriculture, it is well known to most modern nations; but it has remained still longer than agriculture without any principles to regulate it, as chemistry and physiology, till of late years, have been confined to the reclusive philosopher, and are little studied or understood by the active and the practical. I trust, however, that the time is not far distant when arboriculture, like husbandry, will engage the attention of some able physiologist, and be thoroughly illustrated in all its parts.

Meanwhile, it is the purpose of the present Essay to treat chiefly of "giving immediate effect to wood, by the removal of large trees," and to lay down the principles, and explain the practice, by which that desirable object may be accomplished. In doing this, it is obvious that the art of GENERAL PLANTING must at the same time be taught, as both, being governed by the same general laws, should of course be practised on the same known principles. In removing wood for the purpose of creating real landscape, plants of a large size are necessarily employed; and as such materials are far more unwieldy, and more difficult to manage, than those of ordinary planting, they require far greater dexterity, as well as greater science. If, then, it hold true in arboriculture, as it does in logic, that "the greater necessarily comprises the less," it is probable that the rules of general planting will in this way be more forcibly impressed on the reader's mind, than if they were studied in any other manner.

In order to render the art of giving immediate effect to wood as intelligible as possible, I have in the following pages considered it under three general heads.

First. I have given a history of the art of removing wood, from the earliest times down to the present; from which it appears, that it has always been vague and fortuitous, at variance with what we know of the laws of nature and the anatomy of plants, and for the most part both unsuccessful and expensive.

Secondly. I have attempted to discover some plain and rational theory, founded in nature and experience, for the guidance of the planter, and which may tend to raise it to the rank of a useful art.

Thirdly. I have endeavoured to deduce from this theory such a practice as shall ensure success, by in some sort precluding contingency, and also to diminish the expense by the one-half at least of the present amount.

In attempting these objects, I trust that I have treated with due respect the opinions of preceding writers. Where I have, from deliberate conviction, been forced to differ from them, I have done it with regret; being aware of the uncertainty in which all knowledge, on so obscure a subject as vegetable physiology, must ever be held, and in which, although much has been already brought to light by the patient industry of the philosopher, much, I am persuaded, still remains to be investigated.

For the deficiencies of the present work I should wish next to say something by way of apology, as I am conscious to myself how very greatly it stands in need of it. The fact is, it was undertaken at the desire of numerous friends, who approved of my system, which I have ventured to call the PRESERVATIVE, in order to serve as a manual for their own practice. Accordingly, about eighteen months since, the first section was written and printed, in order to convince myself, as well as others, that I was in earnest in undertaking the task; but it was soon after interrupted, and in the end thrown aside for other avocations. Within these few months the work was more seriously resumed — each section was thrown off as soon as it was composed; and the consequence was, that some omissions, which appeared prominent, were to be supplied in the



Notes, while others were found too extensive to be in any way supplied. As Notes are not the most popular medium through which information can be communicated, perhaps it will appear but a small countervail to this statement to add, that most of the information applicable to general planting is contained in them, as well as that which relates to both horticulture and agriculture.

In this condition of the Treatise, I submit it, imperfect as it is, to the candour of the reader; who, if he be a phytologist of research, or, still more, a planter of experience, will appreciate the difficulties which attend a new subject, and make some allowance for the execution under such circumstances.

In respect to the practical part, I must own that, in treating it, I should have been disposed to enter much less minutely into detail, had I merely consulted my own judgment. But as those friends most anxious about the book cried out most loudly for *detail*, and insisted that it was impossible to make it *too copious*, I have, for the purpose of gratifying them, introduced under this head so minute a statement of my own practice, that it may probably be considered as more suitable to private communication, or perhaps to oral discussion.

Presumptuous enough as I must appear to the English planters, in venturing to believe that I could say any thing that is *new* on a subject so familiar to them, or in reprobating some parts of their system, which in an evil hour I have termed the *MUTILATING*, I am not willing to add to my sins in this way, by seeming also to supersede their practice, and recommend something of my own, which they may think much worse, in its stead. The truth is, that for *facility of execution*, and *despatch in the field*, my method may be sufficiently well calculated, in the limited scale of work which I have found it expedient to adopt, and I may therefore view it with a partial eye. But candour obliges me to admit, that in some respects it is inferior to the English system, (for example, in wholly rejecting the upright position of the tree;) and it would be unsuitable to the large and expensive style of work which is often executed by that ingenious and opulent people, and in some instances with extraordinary success.

In these circumstances, then, it is proper to declare that the whole of the practical part of the present work, and especially that which relates to the taking up, transporting, and planting of trees (that is, Sections VIII. and IX., and a part of Section VII. also,) has been composed solely for the information of my own countrymen, and is intended to suit the narrower designs, and more limited means, of the Scottish planters. Should the great planters of England, therefore, honour these pages with their notice, they will have the goodness altogether to pass over these sections, as inapplicable to *them*, and to the greatly larger and more important style of their works.

In conclusion, I must be permitted to observe, that the limited system here advocated stands perhaps on as high ground, in respect of *Evidence* for its success, as any new theory ever brought before the public. When the reader refers to the able "Report of the Highland Society of Scotland" on the woods at Allanton House, (which appears in the Appendix,) and there finds the mention of "feet and inches," as referring to the height or the girth of the trees, he will of course reflect, that all size in the growth of plants is merely *relative*, and is to be judged by their relative advantages of soil and climate: hence, a shoot of two or three feet long, which removed trees are found to exhibit in some of the openest exposures of Lanarkshire, must correspond to six or eight feet at least in Hampshire or Devonshire, and so in proportion in other English climates.

This being premised, I will beg leave, with becoming deference, under the shelter of a well-known name, and on a far higher topic, to put in my claim to offer some practical proof in favour of my system. When the late ingenious Dr Kitchiner published his scientific and excellent work, "The Cook's Oracle," he broadly stated, that "It was the only English cookery-book, written from *the real experiments of a Housekeeper, for the benefit of Housekeepers*. . . . That he had not given one receipt that had not been *proved in his own kitchen*; which had not been approved by several of the most accomplished cooks in the kingdom; and had not, moreover, been *eaten*, with unanimous applause, by a committee of taste,

composed of some of the most illustrious gastrophilists in the metropolis."

Now, although I sensibly feel how immeasurably less interesting arboriculture must be held than eating, or than what the doctor learnedly calls "the science of gastrology," yet I presume to state, in imitation of so great an example, that the present is one of the few English books on WOOD which has been "written from the real experiments of a planter, for the benefit of planters;" that I have laid down no rule, and recommended no practice, that has not been "proved in my own park," and of which the successful effects have not been "witnessed and approved by a committee of both skill and taste, composed of some of the most distinguished planters in this our ancient kingdom."

ALLANTON HOUSE,  
29th November, 1827.

---

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

It is a singular circumstance that the present attempt, how imperfect soever it may be, appears to have been the first made in our language to apply the principles of physiology to practical arboriculture. Of writers who have treated vegetable physiology as a science there is no want. Of others, who have treated the art of planting practically, there are still greater numbers; and it shows in a striking point of view the neglected state of planting as an important art, that none of our distinguished chemists have as yet been induced to furnish us with some popular manual of arboricultural chemistry.\*

Amidst this dearth of information for the use of the young planter, and the extraordinary favour with which the Essay has been received by the public, I have spared no pains to render the present edition less imperfect, and more generally

\* Like "The Elements of Agricultural Chemistry," by Sir H. Davy; but it would require some acquaintance with wood, as well as knowledge of science.

useful than its predecessor. I have embodied in the text as much of the matter of the Notes and Illustrations as could well be done, without incurring the imputation of prolixity; and I have added as much to the latter, on the subject of *General Planting*, as could properly be introduced, without seeming to deviate unreasonably into collateral discussion. On the important topics of different modes of planting—on pruning, trenching and draining soils, preparing composts, raising timber for the royal navy (including an examination of Mr Withers's late plan for that purpose,) improving park-scenery by new arrangements, &c. &c.—short but separate disquisitions will be found, which, I trust, will add to the value of the volume. However, the first edition will by no means be *lost*, as it will usefully go to the gardeners and foresters of former purchasers.

Nevertheless, I am well aware that NOTES and ILLUSTRATIONS are not the fashion of the day, and that nine persons in ten who perused, perhaps with interest, the first edition of this Essay, stopped short at once, as soon as the diminished type of the Notes met their eye. In a word, characteristic dulness and interminable prosing are supposed to be inseparable from this species of composition. These things I sensibly regret, because I believe that the Notes and Illustrations form the *best part of the book*, and will be found most useful to the general reader. In fact, there was no other way, unless by short separate disquisitions, that information, on the various useful topics just now mentioned, could be conveyed.

Having said enough on the improvement of the matter in this edition, I should wish to make a few remarks on the probable progress of the art under discussion. It is with great pleasure that I observe the very general, and, I may say, universal assent which has been given, and especially in England, where I least expected it, to the principles laid down in the present Treatise. From this, two things appear to be demonstrated: first, the truth and simplicity of those principles themselves; and secondly, the triumph which science, plainly deduced from the laws of nature, is sure to obtain over prejudice or ignorance, however deep-rooted or universal. Of

the numerous persons of distinction, especially from the southern counties of England, whom curiosity or incredulity has lately attracted to this place, I believe there is not one who has not been both pleased and surprised with the effects produced by the removal of wood of every sort, and all seem desirous to rival them in their own practice. But as it appears to me that there are several circumstances which might retard the progress of the art, and render the efforts of its most zealous pupils abortive, I shall beg leave shortly to state them, for the information of those who may feel interested in its success.

In the first place, it is to be regretted that, owing to the low condition of the art of planting in general, whether of great trees or small, the principles of phytology had not earlier been applied to it. Like digging or ploughing, it is still apt to be considered as a *mechanical art*, and no scientific investigation is thought necessary to illustrate, or to regulate it. In Sections III. IV. V. VI. VII., I have done what I could to supply these defects; but no general effect appears to have been produced by it. Even the periodical reviewers, who have bestowed on the work so much flattering encomium, have not treated planting as an *art of science*.

The second circumstance which I shall mention is, the want of knowledge in the selection of subjects, as also in the preparation of the soil. Whatever progress planters may have made in other things, the important business of selecting subjects is nearly as little known and appreciated by them, as it was before the publication of this Treatise. Among more than a hundred gentlemen, and their gardeners or overseers, with whom since that period I have conversed—all professing to have studied, and many to have practised the art with accuracy—not one appears to me to possess the remotest idea of the principles of selection.

Of the preparation of the soil they seem to know equally little; and *two* only of the whole number consider either the one or the other as attended with any *difficulty*: yet it is such preparative processes that are the foundation and corner-stone of the edifice to be raised, and the indispensable conditions of the results contemplated. I find, however, that the manage-

ment of the roots has much more seized the general attention, and that particularly the use of cutting round them, so as to enlarge their fibrous development, has been greatly overrated. The common impression seems to be, that when this operation (which is comparatively unimportant, and not always necessary) is once performed with diligence, the planter is released from the task of studying any one of the more useful branches of the preservative system.

The third and last circumstance which I shall notice is, the obtaining a proper stock of subjects—and that, I fear, is not deemed more difficult, or more important, than the proper selection of them. Without a stock of trees of all sorts, commensurate to the planter's wants, no one can reasonably expect to create at pleasure a succession of real landscapes; because, for that purpose, trees in every variety of form, such as exist at this place—the high and the low, the massive and the light, the spreading and the spiral—should be at the absolute command of the designer. Gentlemen peruse my book, where they find a certain theory held forth. They perhaps visit the place, where they are surprised to see their idea of the theory even *surpassed* by the practice. They then go away with the impression that there is nothing so easy as an art of which they do not think it worth while to study the principles, or even to provide themselves with *materials for the practice*.\*

Taking these plain facts and circumstances into view, and that of the general notice which the new art has attracted, it seems extremely probable that the *repute* it has so suddenly acquired may eventually *prove the cause of its own failure*. Seduced by an account, however correct, of an effective and rapid field-practice, of which the simplicity seems to equal the success of the execution, ignorant or superficial persons might

\* I know no one in this neighbourhood who has so large a stock of beautiful subjects as Lord Morton, in the park at Dalmahoy. They are all finely *prepared by nature*, in consequence of the thinning system adopted by his lordship's predecessor. The late lord used twice a-week to hunt a pack of small beagles over his plantations, from the time they were six feet high; and his rule for thinning, as he told me, was "to give himself full room always to ride through them." This was, at least, a very *sporting*, if it was not a scientific way, of preparing his materials.

be brought to believe that the latter is to be attained without any trouble to themselves. Uneducated foresters or self-sufficient gardeners might, therefore, be set to work by them, to practise, or more probably to improve, upon the preservative method; and thus what was begun in indolence or ignorance, would, in all likelihood, end in vexation and disappointment. But it is to *the imperfection of the system*, and not to their own unskilfulness, that such operators would be sure to ascribe an unfavourable issue.

In order to remedy, as far as may be, these evils, whether present or prospective, I have, at the desire of several English friends, endeavoured to get the art taken up in a *professional* way, by persons of good education, properly instructed. Those persons, it is proposed, shall assume the general name of **ORNAMENTAL PLANTERS**, and be competent to teach the art of "giving immediate effect to wood," whether in principle or practice. Each shall be attended by two skilful workmen or operatives, who have been trained at this place; and by visiting different parts of the island, as their services may be required, both gentlemen themselves, and their gardeners, will soon become masters of a system which, how carefully soever it may be delineated in description, can never be so thoroughly apprehended as by real practice.

Of such planters it is imagined that two in number might suffice in the beginning. *One* would, in all probability, find employment in this kingdom, and in the northern counties of England, in the parallel of Yorkshire; and one more in the districts south of the Trent, from which my principal visitors have lately come. Care shall be taken that the planters be instructed in the anatomy of plants, and vegetable physiology; and I should be ambitious that an acquaintance with the execution, as well as the principles of landscape, were added to those necessary acquirements. This, it is conceived, would give to the new profession somewhat of that interest and elegance which belongs to all effects produced chiefly by wood. It would throw a character in some sort *doubly* creative over the whole undertaking, as it would enable the artist to sketch beforehand with his pencil such pictures as he could afterwards

realise, with nature's materials, according to the fancy of his employer. If no adverse accident occur to obstruct this design, I trust that, by the first week of January next, the planters may be ready to proceed on their arboricultural adventure, of which due notice will of course be given in a public manner.

Meanwhile his MAJESTY has been graciously pleased to grant permission that this edition of the work shall be dedicated to him. It is true, that it was originally intended for "the climate of Scotland;" but as the laws of nature, and such systems as are deduced from them, are the same in all countries and in all climates, it is probable that it may now be considered as an English book. To every reader, therefore, such a dedication will appear peculiarly appropriate, as his Majesty is the only prince in Europe who, to a correct taste in the other fine arts, adds a perfect knowledge of one that is truly indigenous and English. In a former day, Louis XIV., by the mere efforts of physical force, drew about great trees in the vicinity of Paris. In a happier age of arts, as well as institutions,\* we may now hope to see a British monarch, in the vicinity of Windsor, GIVE IMMEDIATE EFFECT TO WOOD on scientific principles, and thus rival the great masters of design, in his creations of real landscape.

\* *Rarâ temporum felicitate.*—TACITUS.

ALLANTON HOUSE,  
10th October, 1828.



THE

# PLANTER'S GUIDE.

---

## SECTION I.

IMPORTANCE OF ARBORICULTURE, AND OF ESTABLISHING IT  
ON SCIENTIFIC PRINCIPLES. ART OF GIVING IMMEDIATE  
EFFECT TO WOOD.

THERE is perhaps no epithet by which the inhabitants of the northern division of this island, in the present day, can be more appropriately distinguished than that of a "Planting Nation," or, to speak with more correctness, a "Nation of Planters." All men now plant who are possessed of land property, from the wealthy citizen with his villa of an acre, to the powerful baron with his park of a thousand acres, each according to the extent of his surface and the measure of his ability.

The vast sums which are annually laid out on this useful and ornamental object would exceed belief, if fairly estimated, considering the limited wealth of the country compared with that of England. Yet of trees the Scottish landowner for the most part knows little, although he may possibly know as much as his English neighbours; but like them he lays out his money freely on the work, however executed, conceiving, and with justice, that he has done a great thing, if not for himself

at least for his posterity. Unacquainted with the history, properties, and culture of trees, he naturally enough sees with the eyes and hears with the ears of his gardener; and as the gardener, ninety-nine times in a hundred, knows nothing himself, it is "the blind leading the blind" in this important branch of rural economy. Sometimes the forester is the operating person, which is still more unfortunate; for he is generally a mere lopper and cutter of wood. In ordinary cases he is much worse educated than the gardener, with equal pretensions as to arboriculture, and equal ignorance.

On the gardeners of Scotland it is not here intended to throw the slightest reflection, unless for wandering out of the line of their own profession. They are a class of men possessed of superior intelligence as well as superior respectability. They have done great honour to their native country, both at home and abroad. But this very intelligence should prevent them from engaging in a department for which they know they cannot have leisure, if they duly cultivate their own, but which is often put upon them by the indolence, and still more by the ignorance of their employers.

The fact is, that of all land produce Wood is the least studied and understood by the landowners themselves, and by consequence the worst managed. To all estates this subject must be of some value; to many it is of vast and vital importance, involving the interests of more than one generation; while to others it is the principal and paramount source of their revenue. In an age, therefore, when every thing useful and ornamental becomes the subject of scientific investigation and general study, it seems singular that arboriculture should at once be so universally practised, and the physiological principles which regulate it be so generally unknown.

The lords of the soil in this kingdom have from time immemorial been good sportsmen. Of late they have become knowing agriculturists and cattle-breeders; and as the tide of fashion has not long since set in from the south in favour of the occupation last mentioned, and flowed even to fulness, so we may hope that the knowledge of WOOD will ere long have its turn. Could the most speculative, forty years since, have anticipated that the pedigree, form, and fat of sheep and bullocks, should in the present day have become an interesting study with the gay and the fashionable? By a revolution in things as unexpected, we may conceive it possible that a little botany and vegetable physiology, together with a thorough acquaintance with planting and arboriculture, may at length engage the attention of those who are most interested in their success. Probably it will be found that no nobleman or gentleman will make a worse sportsman, a less scientific farmer, or a less successful cattle-dealer, for having some conversancy with wood, or being able to detect the ignorance of his own gardener or forester; and should a knowledge of painting, or the principles of landscape be added, their elegant and attractive character will surely not derogate from these more popular acquirements.

TREES are without doubt the most beautiful objects that adorn the surface of the earth. They are nearly the most important production of the vegetable kingdom to civilised man. Without trees, the mountains and the plains, the lakes and the rivers, would want their brightest ornament; and without them, also, the most useful and the most elegant arts would be destitute of materials. Nature, in the beginning, bountifully supplied the earth with trees and forests; but a large proportion was necessarily cleared away, to admit of the cultivation of the earth. In process of time, as the wants of men multiplied,

forests were cut down; and industry became indispensable in order to furnish a supply of wood. Hence the planting and rearing of wood and timber trees for that purpose, is one of the most important arts which can excite the attention and exercise the skill of a polished nation, and especially one whose existence may be said to depend on the paramount superiority of its naval force.

It is a subject of regret that the art of Planting in Britain has not hitherto been cultivated on scientific principles. It seems surprising that the nation to which the world is principally indebted for the application of physiology and chemistry to agriculture, should never have thought of applying those sciences to wood, and that British planters should still be as completely unacquainted with the nature and anatomy of plants, as they were in the days of Evelyn and Cooke. In fact, their ignorance would seem portentous and incredible were it not proved by daily experience.\* Thus planting is still regarded by many as a secondary branch of horticulture, unworthy of being separately treated or attentively studied. By the institution of Societies, where experiments are carefully recorded, and general conclusions deduced from well-authenticated facts, agriculture, within the last thirty years, has assumed a more regular form and character; and horticulture, by the same laudable means, promises ere long to rise to the rank of this her elder sister. We may therefore reasonably expect that the time is not far distant when arboriculture, being of the same family, will at length share the same distinction; that it will be taken out of ignorant hands, and engage the attention of the ingenious and the scientific. It is to our southern neighbours that we

\* NOTE I.

have been indebted for our knowledge of most of the useful and elegant arts. Let us in this instance take the lead ourselves, and by instituting A SOCIETY FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF ARBORICULTURE exclusively, endeavour in that department to repay them some portion of those weighty obligations.

It must be acknowledged by every one who has attended to the subject, that arboriculture is the art the most truly neglected in our whole rural management, and that it can never flourish unless it be studied as a *separate profession*. Standing, as it certainly does in this country, next in rank and consequence to Agriculture, it will not do as an appendage to any Society whatever, not even to the Highland, or Great National Society for the Encouragement of Arts and Manufactures in Scotland. But, were it fortunately placed under a *separate* and intelligent patronage, the fruits of so judicious an arrangement would ere long become apparent. Well-informed landholders, useful foresters, and scientific nurserymen would speedily rise up, under the fostering influence of such a Society. Facts as well as principles, which are now known only to the studious phytologist, would become familiar to all, whether owners of woods, or those engaged in their superintendence; and while the properties of individuals were gradually rendered more productive, a great accession would be made to the general wealth and intelligence of the country.\*

Gardening in its highest sense, or the art of creating or embellishing rural scenery, has, within the last century, been carried to considerable perfection in Britain, and has added one more to the number of the fine arts. It was first struck out by the genius of Kent, in the beginning of the last century, after having been

\* NOTE II.

long before imagined by Bacon, and finely delineated by Milton.\* Subsequently the art was assiduously cultivated by Brown, Repton, and others of that school, although not altogether on principles such as should have regulated it ; and it is now nearly perfected by the more correct judgment of Price, Knight, and Loudon. Whatever there was of unnatural or formal, whether borrowed from antiquity or contrived by modern designers, is now banished from the English garden. The professors themselves of his own school have all followed Repton, in tacitly acknowledging the improvements of the age, and in advancing the public taste.†

According to these enlightened principles, places and parks, whether old or new, are now laid out. Where woods have stood for centuries, taste and skill have done much to display and even improve their effects ; and incredible labour and expense have been dedicated to the planting of new residences, where another age only can see the ideas of the owners realised. Nothing seems wanting to this charming art, but some successful method of *giving a speedy effect to wood*, and of bringing the enjoyment of it, in some sort, *within the lifetime of the planter*—that is, giving it at once a magnitude sufficient for picturesque purposes.

Wood must ever be the grand and effective material of real landscape. Over the other materials of picturesque improvement the artist has comparatively little control. With earth he cannot do much : rocks are by far too ponderous for his management ; and water can be commanded only in certain situations and circumstances. But trees or bushes can be raised any where ; and there is no situation so utterly hopeless as not to be capable of considerable beauty, from wood planted abundantly and

\* NOTE III.

† NOTE IV.

judiciously. In a country, then, like Britain, where every luxury is purchased at so high a price, it may appear surprising that some certain method of *obtaining the immediate command of wood* should still be a desideratum in its ornamental gardening.

Few men begin to plant in early life, and what is long deferred, many, for that reason, omit to do altogether. He who inherits or acquires a land estate, is usually desirous to shelter and embellish it. The soldier or the merchant, the statesman or the lawyer, after a life spent in honourable exertion, generally retires to rural scenes, as capable of furnishing the most unmixed enjoyment to the decline of life. To view nature in the rich garb with which taste and ingenuity now invest her, is always pleasing: but, as it is far more delightful to create than to contemplate, so it often happens that finished places, where scarcely any thing more is to be done, are not always sought after by the active and the wealthy. New sites, therefore, are frequently preferred to improved residences, at which the mansion is yet to be built, the farm to be improved, and the park to be laid out and planted. All feel the pleasure of contriving their own accommodations, and imagining and composing their own landscapes; and they look forward with delight to the time when they may witness the full accomplishment of the latter, by the wood arriving at maturity. By the planter himself, however, a gratification so exquisite can hardly be expected; and that discouraging idea cannot fail in some degree to cool his ardour and damp his enjoyments.

To such persons especially, and to all men possessed of land property, the immediate effect of wood must appear a considerable object, if any method can be devised to obtain it with success and certainty. What, then, would such persons say, were they informed that so

obscure a practice as that of TRANSPLANTING could do this ; that an *entire park* could be thus *wooded at once*, and forty years of life anticipated ? The fact is that the possibility of the improvement, and much more, have been verified by pretty extensive experience. Groups and single Trees have been scattered every where in such a park at pleasure, in all sorts of soils and exposures, and applied to the composition or the improvement of real landscape. Instead of lopping and mutilating the Trees, and sometimes altogether decapitating them, (as has been the general practice,) the grand point has been gained of *preserving their tops entire* ; so that, with subjects of whatever magnitude, *no loss of either spray or branches is suffered* ; and, what is still more important, *no loss of health and vigour in the trees*, excepting for a short period, after having undergone the process of removal.

But besides the various combinations and details of the landscape, it has been found also quite practicable to apply the art to the most *general* purposes of utility and shelter, whether in large towns or in the country,\* by the transplanting of copse or underwood of any size or species. This is either formed alone into large masses, or it is intermixed with grove or standard Trees, as circumstances in either situation may require. By these means some of the most interesting objects, both agricultural and ornamental, have been accomplished, at a *very moderate expense*, and brought within the reach, not only of the great and opulent, but of any person of limited fortune.—Such is the art which is attempted to be taught in the following pages.

But the principles on which it is established imply a far wider range, and admit of a far more important application. The art of giving immediate effect to wood

\* NOTE V.



is not merely an art of practice. It is founded on vegetable physiology and the anatomy of plants, and constitutes one branch only of GENERAL PLANTING, which it is still more important to teach on principles of science. To carry the former into effect, it is obvious that, as materials of considerable magnitude are concerned, so difficulties are found which do not occur in ordinary planting, and, by doing greater violence to Nature, it requires far greater dexterity, as well as greater science. To teach the art, therefore, of removing large trees, is to teach, in the most effective manner, that of General Planting on physiological principles, which, as they are drawn from Nature herself, cannot err, and accordingly, they furnish the only certain means of accounting for its failure, or teaching it with success. He who can raise a Tree from the seed to the state of valuable timber, whether for ornament or use, must possess a certain acquaintance with the habits of woody plants: but the man who can remove Trees of considerable age and magnitude at pleasure, must necessarily possess the same species of skill, and a knowledge of the laws of Nature, to a much superior extent.

On a subject like this, which is wholly new but not the less interesting to the British planter, I would earnestly entreat the attention and indulgence of the reader. It is not more than threescore years since chemistry and natural history began to be successfully cultivated among us, and applied to the improvement of the arts. The ingenious writings and interesting discoveries of Mr Knight, the President of the Horticultural Society of London, have done much to turn the public attention to vegetable physiology, as important to the advancement of horticulture. The late able works of Mr Keith on Physiological Botany, and of Mr Ellis on Vegetable Anatomy and Physiology, have completely systematised

the science ; they have tended to correct the errors and supply the omissions of former writers, and to bring forward, in one luminous view, both their own discoveries and those of foreign nations.

Let us therefore hope that the present attempt to bring vegetable physiology into general notice, by applying it to the practice of arboriculture, may not be less successful than that of the applying chemistry to husbandry, which, to the astonishment of Europe, has rendered the cultivation of the soil *a new art* in modern hands. The culture of wood, as has been already observed, in point of rank and importance certainly stands next to the culture of the soil, and in point of attraction it stands a great deal higher, from the delightful effects it every where produces—whether they are seen in the seclusion of the grove, the richness of the park, or the endless charms of woodland scenery. Since the ladies of late have become students of chemistry, it is not too much to expect that they will be ambitious of attaining proficiency in a science so much more akin to their own pursuits ; and that country gentlemen, emulous to profit by so illustrious an example, will not suffer vegetable physiology to be any longer a desideratum, either in their own acquirements, or in those of their gardeners, their foresters, or their land-stewards. Thus a new era will be brought about in British arboriculture, of which the most remarkable circumstance is, that it has not been brought about before, amidst the advancement of the other arts : and thus England, which a century and a half ago was the birthplace and the cradle of vegetable physiology, will soon give lessons in scientific planting as well as agriculture to the rest of Europe.

Although, I trust, I am not too sanguine in these pleasing anticipations, yet I own that I did not at first

contemplate so important and extensive an application of the principles about to be laid down in this Essay. Neither was it in the contemplation of the Committee of the Highland Society, or General Society for the Encouragement of the Arts in this kingdom, which some years since examined my Woods, because their attention was turned merely to the facts before them. The able Report at that time drawn up (and which will be found in the Appendix,) relates solely to my *practice*; and they knew that it was deduced from experience, and from observations made on woods for more than forty years. Yet it is with both pride and pleasure that I appeal to this Report for the correctness of the statement above given, of the powerful effects which the art in question is capable of producing; a statement that otherwise might appear unfounded in its facts as well as extravagant in its pretensions. In the Committee will be seen names of the first class in the rank, literature, and general intelligence of the country; and the Report itself is drawn up by the individual the most highly gifted and distinguished of those persons, who is himself well acquainted with the subject of wood.\*

At the place from which these pages are dated, they found a park of limited extent, and possessing no particular claim to beauty, but visited from curiosity by many persons within the last ten years. It consists of about a hundred and twenty English acres, abundantly clothed with trees and underwood of every common species, by means of the transplanting machine; and exhibiting within itself a *practical illustration* of every principle laid down, and every theory put forth, in this Essay. The single trees and bushes, in groups and open dispositions, amount to about seven hundred in number,

\* NOTE VI.

exclusive of close plantations and copsewood. Their size, when removed, was not great, the largest not exceeding from thirty to forty feet in height, and from three, or three-and-a-half, to five feet in girth, at a foot from the ground ; but many of them were of much smaller dimensions. The height of the bushes or under-wood removed was from four to ten feet, consisting of every sort usually found on the banks of lakes and rivers. But size, in an art founded on scientific principles, is a mere matter of choice and expenditure ; for trees of the greatest size are as susceptible of removal as those of the least. It was desirable, however, as almost every thing was to be done here in the way of park-wood, to limit the operations to the smallest possible expenditure, consistently with producing some effect on the foreground and middle distance of the landscape, and with careful execution.

Whoever will take the trouble to visit the place, will perhaps find his labour repaid in examining the progress of an art calculated probably to become as popular as any that has been cultivated within a century, as there is scarcely any one in which so many persons in the higher and middle ranks are interested.

Considering the prejudices which once existed against the art, and that the *great power* of which it is susceptible will with difficulty gain belief, it may be worth while to state a few facts as to its general application, which are as incontrovertible as they may seem surprising to the reader. It is from no vain desire to exaggerate what has been done at this place, but merely to show the degree of progress which the art has made under the greatest disadvantages of soil and climate. It is also for the purpose of proving to those who may engage in similar undertakings, that, whatever has been

done well *here*, may with equal industry be done a great deal better in most other situations.\*

There was in this park originally no water, and scarcely a tree or a bush on the banks and promontories of the present lake and river, for the water partakes of both those characters. During the summer of 1820 the water was executed, and in that and the following year the grounds immediately adjoining were abundantly covered with wood, by means of the transplanting machine. Groups and single trees, grove and underwood, were introduced, in every style of disposition which the subject seemed to admit. Where the turf recedes from or approaches the water, the ground is somewhat bold and irregular, although without striking features of any sort: yet the profusion of wood scattered over a surface of moderate limits, in every form and variety, gave it an intricacy and an expression which it never possessed before.

By the autumn of the *third* year only after the execution, namely 1823, when the Committee of the Society honoured the place with their inspection, the different parts seemed to harmonise with one another, and the intended effects were nearly produced. What it was wished to bring forward appeared already prominent. What was to be concealed, or thrown into the background, began to assume that station. The foreground trees, (the best that could be procured,) placed on the eastern bank above the water, broke it into parts with their spreading branches, and formed combinations which were extremely pleasing. The copse or underwood which covers an island in the lake, and two promontories, as also an adjoining bank that terminates the

\* See the Report of the Committee of the Highland Society.

distance, was seen coming down nearly to the water's edge. What was the most important of all, both trees and underwood had obtained a full and deep-coloured leaf, and health and vigour were restored to them. In a word, the whole appeared like a spot at least forty years planted.

The actual extent of surface to which this cursory delineation refers, does not exceed from forty to fifty acres ; but the intricacy and variety, created solely by various dispositions of *wood* upon an uneven surface, confer on it, to the eye, indefinite limits. In confirmation of this remark the reader is referred to the Frontispiece, Plate I., where he will find a view of the park here, taken in 1827, from the western side of the lake ; it was soon after the planting of the steep bank or head in that quarter, as is designated by the transplanting machine, which is seen still remaining on the ground. The spot is nearly *opposite* to that from which it was viewed by the Committee of the Highland Society. The whole of the park thus delineated was wooded by the machine, whether in masses or detached groups of Trees, between the years 1816 and 1821, but chiefly in the latter, excepting only the distant or bounding lines of plantations, over which a few old trees are here and there seen to elevate their heads.

This view conveys much more distinctly to the mind than any verbal description could do, the power which the art possesses of giving IMMEDIATE EFFECT to the scenery of a place, and even of giving it NEW scenery. It is an art which will be duly appreciated by those who have studied landscape ; and it will appear the more striking on a subject which is tame at best, and, in the designer's phrase, of very limited "capability." What, then, would be the effect on other subjects to which



VIEW IN THE PARK AT ARTISTE HOUSE,  
AS WOODED BY THE TRANSLANTING MAN IN THE 3. 1800 ART 1800





nature has been more bountiful, and whose general character is more interesting or more romantic ?

It has been said (and in ordinary cases with justice) of the art of the painter, that it has a marked superiority over that of the designer of real landscape. The former, it is argued, can finish his pictures at pleasure ; whereas the latter must depend, for the completion of his, on the slow progress of time, added to the uncertain effects of both soil and climate. But it clearly appears that the position is disproved here by the extraordinary power of the transplanting machine, the facilities of both artists being thereby placed nearly on an equality in respect to Wood, the principal material in the formation of all landscapes.

With facts like the above, verified on such high and respectable evidence as that of the Highland Society of Scotland, we may venture to believe that the practice of transplanting, as now improved and raised to the rank of an art, is calculated to become far more generally useful than has hitherto been imagined. And further, it is probable that it will form an important ally to gardening in its highest sense, and the most effective engine which the designer has ever yet employed to realise his landscapes.

## SECTION II.

### HISTORY OF THE ART, FROM THE EARLIEST DOWN TO THE PRESENT TIMES.

WHEN we consider the singular beauty and usefulness of trees, it cannot seem surprising that they should have been the favourites of mankind in all ages. That the polished nations of antiquity assiduously cultivated them, we have the most unquestionable evidence, both for horticultural and agricultural purposes. Theophrastus, who was the scholar of Plato and Aristotle, composed an elaborate treatise on the history and properties of plants, which, together with the remains of the Greek Geoponic writers, has survived the wreck of learning, and evinces how great a degree of attention was bestowed on the subject by that ingenious people.

Among the Romans, the cultivation of trees formed an early object of study. By the laws of the Twelve Tables, the cutting down or injuring them was an offence which was visited with severe penalties.\* Cato, Varro, and Columella wrote particularly on both fruit and forest trees; and Varro, who was contemporary with Julius Cæsar, enumerates more than fifty different writers on rural affairs (of which arboriculture was a constituent part) who in his time were held in estimation.†

In this state of rural information and intelligence, it

\* NOTE I

† De Re Rust. c. i.

was natural for men to form the wish to give immediate effect to trees, and thereby anticipate the slow progress of time in bringing them to perfection. Accordingly, the practice of removing them of a large size, instead of being, as is generally supposed, a modern invention, lays claim to the honours of a high antiquity. When the Greeks or Romans wanted to designate any thing that was impossible, or at least extremely difficult to be performed, they said that it was like "transplanting an old tree;" and their usual way of applying the adage clearly shows, that their experience of the success of the operation was not greatly different from our own at this moment.\*

In presenting to the reader a cursory view of the progress of the practice, from the earliest times down to the present, it is plain that we are too little acquainted with the state of arts and manners in ancient times, to be able to draw very copiously from what has been called the classical ages. The Greeks certainly were unacquainted with the painting of landscape, notwithstanding the surprising height to which they carried other departments of the art, and consequently with the picturesque effect of trees. At Rome, landscape painting was first practised only in the time of Augustus; † and indeed it does not seem to have been cultivated in any striking degree by this extraordinary people, at least if we may judge from the specimens found at Herculaneum and Pompeii at a later period of the empire. The ancients, although they sufficiently understood and cultivated wood, applied it much more to useful than to ornamental purposes.

The transplanting of trees of a large size appears to have been of considerable importance to the Roman husbandman. Pliny, who wrote during the reigns of

\* NOTE II.

† NOTE III.

Augustus and Tiberius, speaks of Elms twenty feet high, in the neighbourhood of Rome, being commonly removed into the vineyard for the training of vines. They were planted, he says, in a trench called *Novenarius*—because they stood in it nine feet every way from one another; which trench was three feet deep and as many broad, or more, with a bank of earth raised round the stem, like the seats used by the peasants in Campania: a judicious contrivance both for supporting the tree, and protecting it from the effects of drought, during the first season after removal. Witch Hazels, he also adds, were transferred in the same manner, and indiscriminately from the nursery ground and from the open forest.\*

The same writer, as well as Theophrastus, mentions that it was a common practice to re-establish large trees, and particularly the Platanus, that had been blown down, and had their roots torn up by the violence of the wind; and that this was effected by skilfully replanting them, so as that the lacerated parts completely knit again and revived.† Moreover, Pliny speaks of a Fir-tree which, before it was transplanted, had a tap-root no less than eight cubits long, that is, reckoning from the place at which it was broken off in the taking up, but that a considerable part of it still remained in the ground. This extraordinary circumstance respecting the Fir he seems to have taken from Theophrastus, who states it as a fact known in his time respecting the Pitch Pine, and entitled to credit.‡

Cato, Varro, and Columella all speak of the transplantation of trees of various sizes. The younger Seneca informs us in one of his letters, written from the villa of Scipio Africanus, but then belonging to an intelligent

\* NOTE IV. † Hist. Nat. lib. xvi. 31. Theophrast. Hist. Plant. lib. iv. 19.

‡ Hist. Plant. lib. ii. 7.

friend of his own, that he had there learned the method of successfully removing an entire orchard of old trees, as practised by the latter; that the trees, after the third and fourth year, produced an abundant crop of fruit, with the fairest promise of thriving luxuriantly, and continuing their shade to a late period. This, he adds, was an interesting lesson for him at an advanced time of life, when men naturally wish to plant for themselves, although they generally do so for posterity.\* Virgil, in the same way, in describing his old Corycian, takes care to celebrate his skill in the planting of wood of a large size, as one of the accomplishments of a Roman husbandman.† But no ancient author, as far as I know, has left us any body of practical precepts respecting the execution. The only two who have handed down any thing like a description of it are the younger Seneca, who lived in the time of Nero, and Anatolius, a Greek physician, and one of the Geoponic writers, who, according to the best critics, was contemporary with the Emperor Constantine.‡ These accounts, therefore, may properly be considered as describing the Greek and the Roman methods of transplanting; and as the subject is curious, it may be worth while to give their respective statements in their own words.

Anatolius, as far as we can judge, possessed considerable skill in this department. "If," says he "you would remove a large tree to advantage, open a very deep trench or pit. (This planter, we may suppose, had a very porous subsoil.) Be careful to cut away the spray and smaller branches, but *without injuring the larger ones*; and also to *leave the whole of the roots entire and untouched*. Then place the tree carefully in the pit, covering up its roots with a quantity of good mould and manure. Observe,

\* NOTE V.

† NOTE VI.

‡ Niclas, Proleg. in Geopon. p. 48.

however," adds he, "this special precaution—that to whichever side it inclined or leaned at first, let it incline to the same side in its new situation."\* It is true, these directions are given for trees bearing fruit, but we may fairly conclude that they equally applied to forest trees; and it would be well for modern planters were their practice not more defective than that of Anatolius.

The account given by Seneca of the Roman practice is greatly more circumstantial. "There are two methods," he says, in the letter above mentioned, "according to which my friend plants his olive ground, (*olivetum*.) The one is, by removing trees of a large size, and making the plantation at once; the other is by planting sets, of which the progress is necessarily much slower. According to the former mode, the first thing to be done is to cut off all the branches, to the distance of a foot from the trunk. The next thing is to do the same by the lateral roots, leaving nothing entire except the body of the root, from which the fibres issue. The tree is next placed in the pit, with a sufficient quantity of manure, and mould is thrown in and consolidated by the most assiduous pressure and firm treading with the foot. Nothing, as my friend conceives, is more efficacious than this way of giving solidity and consistency to the earth round the stem. It excludes both cold and drought, and preserves the tree from the ill effects of wind; as it is obvious that the slightest agitation has a tendency to strain the tender fibres, and prevent them from striking properly in the ground, and going forth in search of their food. Last of all, before filling in the earth, he scrapes or cleans that part of the root which is nearest the surface; because his idea is, that from every part so treated and laid bare new growths and fibres are immediately sent out. By the above process, as there are

\* NOTE VII.

only three or four feet of the stem standing above ground, it is soon covered from top to bottom with new shoots ; and no part of it appears stunted or hidebound, as such trees usually are in old Olive plantations.

“The other method of planting is by means of sets, which are formed of stout branches and put into the pits, in a manner similar to that above described. In selecting these, however, care must be taken that they are covered with fresh and tender bark, such as young trees generally produce. It is true, (as he observes,) the sets require much more time than entire trees to arrive at maturity ; but they become, in the end, not less beautiful and healthy than if they had sprung from plants which were raised in the ordinary manner.” \*

Notwithstanding this seeming nicety in the Roman practice, and the probability that it might have led to the establishment of principles, it is curious enough to perceive that the art became retrograde, rather than progressive, in the hands of the Romans. Palladius, who wrote more than a century after Seneca, and nearly two after Varro and Columella, directs, in his work to be done in November, that in transferring large trees all the branches should be cut away previously to their removal, and consequently the trees much more defaced and mutilated than after the Greek manner — a precept which seems to be but too faithfully observed by most planters of the present day.†

If we descend, in our investigation, to modern times, we shall not find that any considerable progress has been made in the art beyond the knowledge of the ancient nations. The difficulty of transplanting an old tree still remained proverbial ; and Baptista Mantuanus, who

\* NOTE VIII.

† NOTE IX.

flourished in the fifteenth century, might well exclaim—

“*Heu, male transfertur senio cum induruit arbor !*”

After the revival of learning in Europe, gardening, and in some sort arboriculture, were among the useful arts first studied ; but the rudeness of those early attempts at the former gave no earnest of the excellence which it was afterwards to attain. It is a popular error to suppose, as is done by some, that our rectilinear gardens, our formal avenues, and elaborate topiary works, were borrowed from the Dutch, after the accession of King William. On the contrary, they belong to a far earlier day. They were accurate transcripts, derived from antiquity, of the Roman garden, as we find it admired by Cicero, and described by Pliny, in the most polished ages of the empire.\* They were the style of garden first brought to Britain by the Romans ; and it prevailed universally in England, as we learn from both Hentzner and Plott, in the days of Queen Elizabeth.

The removal of large trees has been practised in Europe for nearly two centuries, and it is more than a hundred and fifty years since it was introduced into England. It seems to have come into vogue among the great and powerful, sometimes for the purpose of concealing a defect in their formal gardens, or perhaps for supplying or prolonging a favourite vista. But it was for the most part a mere display of expense and labour, adopted without plan, and executed without skill or science.

Among the earliest and most successful planters on a great scale was Count Maurice of Nassau, who figured as governor of Brazil in 1636, when that settlement was in the hands of the Dutch. This prince was a man of taste

\* NOTE X.



and elegance for the age in which he lived, and he adorned his palace and gardens there with a magnificence worthy of the Satraps of the east. Gaspar Barlæus, one of the best poets of his time, is the historian of the expedition; and he has given the narrative in a style that, in some instances, will bear a comparison with the delineations of Livy or Tacitus.

The governor's residence was upon an island, formed by the confluence of two rivers, which are called by Barlæus the Capevaribis and the Biberibis, and was named Friburg. Before the prince commenced his improvements, as the historian informs us, it was a very hopeless subject—a dreary, waste, and uncultivated plain, without a tree or bush to shelter it; and, in a word, equally worthless and unattractive. Here, notwithstanding, he erected a splendid palace, and laid out gardens around it of extraordinary extent and magnificence. In the arrangement of the buildings, salubrity, seclusion, and horticultural ornament were all studiously and tastefully combined. The choicest fruits of a tropical climate—the orange, the citron, the ananas, with many others unknown to us—solicited at once the sight, the smell, and the taste; while artificial fountains of water, preserving the coolness of the air and the verdure of the earth, rendered it a spot of peculiar attraction. In laying out the grounds, also, such was the designer's skill, and the magnificent scale of the plantations and grass-plots, that no fewer than thirteen bastions and turrets flanked and defended the gardens, and promoted alike seclusion and security. And in order to complete at once, and give the immediate effect of wood to so great a change on the face of nature, he removed to the spot no fewer than seven hundred cocoa-trees of various sizes, of which some rose to thirty, some to forty, and some to fifty feet high, to the lowermost branches.

Of the success of the improvement last mentioned no one but the prince himself entertained the slightest expectation. Yet such, says Barlæus, was the ingenuity, as well as persevering labour displayed in the work, that the whole was accomplished with the most perfect success. Notwithstanding the immense size of the trees, which were of seventy and eighty years' growth, they were skilfully taken up under the prince's superintendence. They were then placed on carriages provided with wheels, and conveyed over a space of from three to four miles in extent, and ultimately transported on rafts, across both the rivers, to the shores of the island. On being planted there, so favourable were both soil and vegetation in that genial climate that they immediately struck root, and even bore fruit during the first year after their removal. Thus, adds Barlæus, the truth of the ancient adage was for once disproved, which says that "it is impossible to transplant an old tree with success."\*

This, without doubt, was a singular example of successful transplantation, and not less singular than certain and well attested. It was a splendid display of the effects of physical strength and mechanical ingenuity, judiciously directed by absolute power; but it is useless as an example of either instruction or imitation. If we impartially subduct from it all that may fairly be attributed to a tropical climate, to the unlimited command of men and money in executing the work, and to the glowing colours of the historian in describing it, perhaps there will remain little more than what is both probable and natural under ordinary circumstances. Barlæus, beyond his general eulogium on the great ingenuity, gives no account of the details of the process. Indeed, he does not appear to

\* NOTE XI.

have been very conversant with the subject of wood, from the wonder which he expresses at the natural appearance of fruit in the first season ; as any gardener could have predicted the probability of the phenomenon during the first year, together with the certainty of its ceasing during the second.

Evelyn, although with no great accuracy, narrates the same story of Count Maurice, and adds that instances of the practice, little less successful, had occurred in Europe. He states that, about the middle of the same century, M. de Fiat, a Mareschal of France, removed huge Oaks in this way at the Chateau de Fiat.\* The Elector Palatine, about the same time, also transplanted a number of great Lime-trees, from one of his forests near Heidelberg, to the slope of a hill in view of the palace. Midsummer, it seems, was the singular time selected for the work ; and De Son, a Frenchman, and "an admirable mechanician," as Evelyn records it, managed the execution. The soil of the hill (according to De Son's account given to Evelyn himself) consisted of "a dry, reddish, barren earth," which probably with us might have been esteemed good turnip soil. Here, he says, they made great pits for the reception of the trees. They then cut off their heads ; and having filled the pits with a composition of cow-dung diluted with water, and worked to the consistency of the finest puddle or pap, they immersed the roots in it, and carefully replaced the turf upon the surface as before. These Limes, as Evelyn adds, "prospered rarely well," exposed as they were, during the whole process, to the scorching rays of the sun ; and this he justly considers as "a singular example of removing so great trees at such a season ;"† or, in other words, that it is not easy to kill the Lime, in whatever way you treat it.

\* Silva, vol. i. p. 102.

† Silva, vol. i. pp. 102, 205.

But Louis XIV. was, without doubt, the greatest transplanter of modern times, and the individual whose example operated more powerfully than any other in bringing the art into fashion in Europe in the seventeenth century. From the researches of the learned Jesuits and others, who by this prince's order had rendered the classics familiar to the Dauphin, he learned that the practice was well known to the Greeks and Romans; and he resolved to rival, and if possible to eclipse, whatever had been done in this way by those distinguished nations.

Accordingly, among the stupendous changes which he made on the face of nature at Versailles, and other royal residences, that by means of transplanting was not omitted. All the arts of ingenuity, and all the efforts of expense and labour, were employed in constructing machinery for so novel an undertaking. Under the direction of Le Nôtre, his favourite engineer in this department,\* the most extraordinary feats in transplanting were performed, both at Versailles and Trianon. Immense trees were torn up by the roots, erected on carriages, and removed at the will and pleasure of the royal planter. Almost the whole Bois de Boulogne was in this way said to be transported from Versailles to its present site, a distance of about two leagues and a half. To order the march of an army was the effort of common men and every-day commanders; to order the *removal of a forest* seemed to suit the magnificent conceptions of a prince who, in all his enterprises, affected to act upon a scale immeasurably greater than that of his contemporaries, and who probably was the most powerful monarch in Europe, whether of his own or of any other age. In the Bois de Boulogne, in spite of military devastation,

\* NOTE XII.

the curious eye may still distinguish the traces of this extraordinary achievement in the rectilinear disposition of the trees, which were removed on that occasion.

Respecting the success of the work, executed probably about 1670, it is not easy at this distance of time to speak with certainty. That the trees were lopped or mutilated we are well aware—and that little science was employed, excepting in the mechanical skill displayed in the transportation. Of the trees, therefore, many must have died for want of roots and of sap to support them, although their places were afterwards supplied; and many must have lost their tops had they not been severely lopped, or altogether decapitated. The lapse, however, of more than a century and a half, a space far surpassing the age of man, has supplied these defects. While it has brought the trees to maturity, it has covered with oblivion all the imperfections of the process; and the former promise long to remain a monument of so stupendous an exertion of physical force.

Sturm, a German traveller, who visited France about the year 1730, relates that the great transplanting machine used on this memorable occasion (*Die grosse Garten-Machine*)\* was still shown at Versailles, and it must long after have been seen by others. But, from its late disappearance, we may conclude that it was pulled to pieces, and the iron-work probably converted into pikes on the breaking out of the French Revolution.

Besides this celebrated effort in the vicinity of the capital, we should be inclined to imagine, from what is said by contemporary writers, that Louis succeeded still better in the provinces in giving immediate effect to wood. At Mont-Louis, a small town in the territory of

\* Sturm's Travels, p. 113.

Rousillon, at the foot of the Pyrenees, which he built and embellished, and which was named after him, he made the most surprising improvements of every sort; and unless the imagination of a poet of the time has too highly coloured the description, the transplanted groves, at this sequestered spot, rose with such sudden luxuriance that the birds at once flocked to them, and, nestling among the branches, filled the air with their melodious notes:—

In nemus repentè natum  
Aves undique devolant,  
Nidosque ponunt, hospitis sub frondibus,  
Mulcentes teneris vocibus æthera.\*

About the middle of the seventeenth century, as we learn from Evelyn, the practice of transplanting in the French way came much into use in England. No tree, he observes, was found to bear the process better than the Elm, or recover sooner from its severity. He himself, he says, “had frequently removed trees of this sort, almost as big as his waist.” But he first carefully “disbranched” them, leaving the whole summit entire. Men of rank and affluence, we find, about the same era, transplanted great trees of various kinds, with vast labour and expense; and a Devonshire nobleman in particular, whose name has not been recorded, removed oaks as large as twelve oxen could draw, for the purpose of supplying a defect in an avenue leading to one of his residences.†

The first attempt at any thing like knowledge in the art was made by a Lord Fitzharding of this period. That nobleman, as it appears, was a contemporary of Evelyn's, and lord-treasurer of the household to King Charles II. But his experiments were limited to subjects

\* Commir. Op. Post. p. 41.

† Silva, vol. i. pp. 102, 125.

of far smaller magnitude. His method with the Oak was, to select a tree of the size of his thigh, which probably might be about twenty-six or twenty-eight inches in girth. Having removed the earth, and cut all the collateral roots, he forced it down upon its side, so as to come at the tap-root, which was immediately cut off. The tree was then raised up; the mould was returned into the pit, and the tree left standing for a twelvemonth or more, until a fresh growth of roots and fibres enabled him to remove it with advantage. Another method was, after laying bare the roots, and leaving four main ones untouched, on the four opposite sides, in the form of a cross, for supporting the tree, to cut away only those in the intervals. The mould was then, as before, returned into the pit. After waiting a year or two, when the intervals became completely filled with fresh growths, the four cross roots, and also the tap, were then reduced, and the tree removed, "with as much of the clod about the roots as possible."\* This ingenious process, which in either way saved the tree from decapitation, and consequently from disfigurement, has been deservedly recorded by Evelyn and Wise, and all succeeding writers.

It must be acknowledged that there was great ingenuity, and some acquaintance with wood, displayed in these important improvements by Lord Fitzharding. As Grew had by this time written, and the researches by both that writer and Malpighi, respecting the anatomy and physiology of plants, had begun to be known all over Europe, it seems surprising that this ingenious nobleman should have stopped here. By advancing a step further, and applying those interesting doctrines to the art, he might have brought it to a state of certainty and pre-

\* Evelyn's *Silva*, vol. i. p. 102. Wise's *Mystery of Gardening and Planting*, pp. 91, 92. Bradley, pp. 89, 108, &c. *Dict. Rust. in voce* Transplanting, &c. &c.

cision, to which little could have been added by the industry of his successors. It was (to compare great things with small) like the ill fortune of the Romans in missing the discovery of the art of printing by movable types, when, as their pottery indicates, they may be said to have possessed that of stereotype.

In this condition of the art of transplanting, it was still necessarily confined, for want of science to direct and simplify it, to the grounds of the powerful and opulent; and sundry devices were adopted by their gardeners, and other operators, to render the practice more efficient, and to reduce the expense within moderate limits. Although numerous oxen and horses were still employed to drag the ponderous load of earth, on which their hopes of success mainly rested, yet sundry efforts of ingenuity were exerted for the preservation of the roots; and, as the subjects were large, even the assistance of frost was called in for that desirable object. Soon after the fall, and before hard weather set in, a trench was opened of some extent, and at a sufficient distance from the trees, so as to undermine the roots. Blocks and quarters of wood were next placed in the excavation, to keep up the earth. The trench was then filled with water, which was suffered to freeze; and thus an immense and weighty mass of earth and roots, bound firmly together by congelation, was conveyed with the trees to the situation intended. Here, however, it was previously necessary to preserve the mould from freezing also, by covering up the surface with fresh litter, to some distance round the new pit.

It deserves particular notice that, in transporting these unwieldy subjects, no other than their erect position was contemplated by the inventors. By means of a vast wooden crane strongly braced with iron, both transversely and longitudinally, with ropes and pulleys to work



it with effect, and of which the former were passed under the roots, these enormous masses were raised from the ground, and placed upon a platform with very low wheels; when, after being dragged by the united strength of men and horses, it was let down into the new pit by similar apparatus.\* These were gigantic operations, and such as required machinery of the most powerful and expensive kind. It is not a great many years, however, since feats of the same description were performed at Blenheim, and other large English places: and it sometimes happened, when the excavation was made at an uncommon distance from the trees, and a sufficient mass of earth obtained for supplying the roots with nourishment, that the tops were preserved from decay. But we may easily suppose that planters only like a governor of Brazil, or a German Elector, would undertake the execution.

From the time of Evelyn to that of Brown, (the well-known professor of landscape gardening,)—that is, for a period of about threescore years—we hear little of transplanting in England; and had it not been for the exertions of the latter, and for the kindred art, to which he gave so much celebrity, it might have sunk altogether into oblivion. That enterprising genius clearly perceived that his fortune had placed him at the head of a new and popular school of design, which, from the novelty of its attractions, promised ere long to rival painting itself. As the new artists possessed already the privilege, not only of appropriating the colours, but even of working with the materials of nature, so they appeared to want nothing but the power of giving immediate effect to their pictures in order to facilitate the competition, if it did not altogether turn the balance in their favour.

\* Evelyn, vol. i. p. 103. Dict. Rust. *in voce* Transplanting.

With the view, therefore, of obtaining this decided advantage in the construction of his landscapes, Brown diligently applied himself to the improvement of transplanting large trees for park effect, and strove with great zeal to bring it into repute among his noble and wealthy employers. Instead of maintaining the upright position of the trees during transportation, as had hitherto been practised, he devised the method of carrying them horizontally, and for that purpose constructed the **TRANSPLANTING MACHINE**, which since his time has been in pretty general use.

This efficient implement was, like most useful contrivances, of very simple structure. It consisted of a strong pole of considerable length, with two high wheels, and acting on an iron axle, which was placed at right angles to it. At the extremity of the pole there was a smaller wheel, that turned on a pivot, and was used for trees of more than ordinary magnitude. These two wheels were of great strength, made nearly upright, or, in the workman's phrase, very little "dished." A strong cross-bar was bolted on the axle, with a space in the middle gradually hollowed out for receiving the stem of the tree, of whatever size it might be. According to Brown's method, the top was pretty severely lopped or lightened, and sometimes quite pollarded. The roots were next cut round to the depth of the fibres, and only two or three feet out from the body, and the machine was brought up upon its wheels as close as possible to the tree. The pole was set upright, and applied to the stem, to which it was then lashed in the firmest manner. By a rope fixed to the top of the pole, it was, last of all, forcibly drawn down by several men's strength; and thus the stem and the pole of the machine, forming a lever of great power, forced or tore up the roots from their under-bed,

with as many fibres adhering to them as escaped laceration ; leaving the tree suspended horizontally on the cross-bar, and ready to be drawn away root-foremost, as the artist might require it.

This, we must own, was no very gentle treatment of the roots, any more than of the branches. Yet Brown's improvement possessed the double advantage of contributing, by the height of the wheels, to the safety of the tree during the transmission ; and, by materially increasing the despatch, it proportionally diminished the cost of the process.

Notwithstanding the superior character and elegant learning of Messrs Price and Knight, and the weight which may be allowed to their authority, it is impossible for us to conceive that Brown was as destitute of genius and talents as they would willingly persuade us. The idea is clearly disproved by the prodigious extent of his reputation, and of the works in which he was employed.\* It will not, therefore, be thought too much to say here, that his genius was of that aspiring and ardent sort which fitted him rather for bold design than minute detail and patient investigation ; and as the character and properties of trees formed a study belonging to objects of the latter class, it could not very long detain his attention. Besides, he perceived that it was by no means applicable to the execution of great outlines of wood, how useful soever and effective it might become for the foreground and the middle distance of the landscape. Be this, however, as it may, it appears that the art received no further improvement at his hands, and seemingly as little at those of his successors. Even the ingenious contrivance of Lord

\* NOTE XIII.

Fitzharding, to multiply the roots of trees, seems little to have attracted his notice. In transplanting, at the numerous places which he improved or altered in England, this method was never resorted to. The process he followed was a very simple one, namely, to root up the trees by the shortest possible method, and convey them in the speediest way to their several destinations. He preferred, however, to work with his machine during frost, when earth, in masses greater or less, would adhere to the roots, and be readily lifted with them. As to severely defacing, and even pollarding the tops, he conceived that it carried with it its own apology: and such seems still to be the general opinion of planters, down to the present period.

These particulars respecting the practice and machine of Brown, at one time the supreme dictator of taste in landscape gardening in England, were obtained from two of his pupils—the well-known Mr Thomas White, who succeeded to a great part of his business in the northern counties; and Mr James Robertson, who was sent down to Scotland, about 1750, to lay out Duddingston for the late Earl of Abercorn.\* This task Robertson performed with credit to himself, exhibiting all the faults and the excellencies of his master. After this his first essay, and making some important changes at Hopetoun House, and on the park at Dalkeith, he laid out Livingston, Dalhousie, Niddry, Whim, Moredun, Culzean, and other places in Mid-Lothian and Ayrshire, which, with the exception of Blairdrummond, were the earliest examples of landscape gardening in Scotland.†

At all or most of these places Robertson introduced the knowledge of the transplanting machine, together with

\* NOTE XIV.

† Loudon's Encyclopedia of Gardening, p. 79.

the method of employing it, as interesting to landscape gardening; but few particulars are recorded of the progress made by either art on this side of the Tweed. To a nation not inconstant nor volatile, and certainly poor, when compared with their present condition, it was no very easy nor grateful undertaking, to demolish at once their favourite terraces, their formal gardens, and other appendages of ancient grandeur, for a new-fangled art of which Price wittily said that Horace had long since described it in three words; for its leading merit consists in exchanging squares and parallelograms for circles and ellipses,—

“Mutat quadrata rotundis.”\*

When such was the only master under whom the art of transplanting was studied in Scotland, we shall not greatly wonder at the slender advances it has made, or rather at the ill success that has attended it, for more than half a century. In fact, it may be said that it is at this moment in no better condition, as to either skill or science, than Robertson left it threescore years since. This artist (according to the account given by Hayes of his own practice,† which was borrowed from Robertson's) was not very nice in his selection of subjects, but took them indiscriminately from close woods and open dispositions, just as either fell in his way; so that if his method was bad, as we have already seen, his subjects must have been at least as bad as his method. As to the attempt to introduce a better, there is reason to think that, more than thirty years since, I myself was probably the first planter who made known in Scotland the mode of preparing the roots of trees as practised by Lord Fitzharding;

\* Essays on the Picturesque, vol. i. p. 230. † Practical Treatise on Planting.

and I believe it now passes with many under the name of *my* method, to the prejudice of the ingenious inventor.

In a few years after the above period, Robertson was invited to Ireland under high and distinguished patronage, viz., that of the Duke of Leinster, Mr Conolly, Mr Hayes of the Royal Irish Academy, and other persons of taste and fortune, leaving his business to be managed by his nephew George, and James Ramsay, one of the most promising of his pupils. Here also Robertson introduced the practice of removing large trees, which, under his new employers, appears to have come considerably into fashion. The machine of Brown was of course carried over with him to Ireland; and Mr Hayes, in his meritorious tract on planting and the management of woods, gives an account of the implement, and the style of working it as then taught, which entirely coincides with that above described. Brown's vigorous and short-hand method of tearing up the trees by the roots, and rapidly conveying them to their new destinations, captivated the lively fancy of the Irish planters. Mr Hayes is loud in its praise, and decidedly prefers the compendious process of the "Scottish engineer" to the more elaborate preparations and tedious contrivances of Evelyn and Fitzharding.\* Thus it happened, oddly enough, that the Scotch, who themselves knew nothing of transplanting, should give notable lessons in the art, and have the honour of introducing it to notice and popularity in the sister kingdom,—

"Qui sibi semitam non sapiunt, alteri monstrant viam."

From this time, however, it appears that it has made no advances among the Irish, as Walker, and others of their late writers, pass over the subject without notice.

\* Practical Treatise on Planting, p. 41, &c.

The principal English authors who of late years have treated of the art are Boutcher and Marshall ; and being both men of practical skill, as well as various knowledge, what they have written is deserving of particular consideration. About the time when Brown's reputation was at its height—that is, between 1750 and 1780—Boutcher, nurseryman in Edinburgh, one of the most intelligent arboriculturists that this country has produced,\* with the view of promoting the fashionable art of the day, struck out what he conceived to be a considerable improvement in the method of removing large trees of all sorts. His theory was to equalise, by a gradual and certain process, the roots and the branches of trees relatively to each other, so as to enable both to perform their functions successfully, and at any given period.

With this view he commenced his training on plants just out of the seed-bed, and began to fit them, from the second year, for their ultimate destination in the lawn or park. After standing in the nursery, in the ordinary way, for a few years, they were removed to a second nursery ; on which occasion extraordinary care was taken to prune, dress, and shorten the roots. There they stood, two and three feet distant, for three or four years more. A third nursery, at still more open order, next received them, for a like space of time. A fourth, a fifth, and even a sixth removal succeeded, leaving the plants no less than ten or twelve feet asunder, but increasing in strength and symmetry still more than they increased in height. At each and all of these reiterated removals the roots, as well as the branches, were shortened and pruned with extraordinary accuracy, and every attention was bestowed to multiply and invigorate the former. When twenty

\* See Note II. on Sect. I.

feet high, or more, and of fifteen and sixteen years' growth, the plants were supposed to be ready for ultimate removal, by conveying them on men's shoulders to their permanent destinations. By this elaborate course of training and discipline, all mutilation of the tops was to be avoided ; and in this way he hoped so judiciously to second, and even direct the efforts of nature, as should render violence useless, and effectually preclude the errors which haste or ignorance had hitherto committed.\*

It is to be regretted that this theory, which is sufficiently ingenious, is impossible to be applied to any practical purpose, although it contains valuable lessons for the planter of reflection. The time alone which would be required for its completion, and the tedious preparation, and consequent expense incurred by the process, (supposing it were even more faultless than it is,) have probably prevented its being attempted by any one except the ingenious projector.

Besides this, Boutcher had another plan, on which he seems to have highly valued himself, for removing trees of a large size that "had stood long in woods and nurseries." But the very terms of this proposition, in as far as regards the previous situation of the trees, are almost quite enough to ensure miscarriage ; and no more needs be said about it than this, that it is a better edition of Lord Fitzharding's system, but with infinitely worse subjects. The roots were to be more elaborately trained, and in every way more scientifically treated ; but entire decapitation is held forth as a leading feature in the plan, which that ingenious nobleman had the skill to avoid. †

The other author to whom we have to refer is Marshall—an intelligent and voluminous writer on rural

\* Treatise on Forest Trees, p. 14-17, &c.

† Ib. p. 256-259.



economics, in the end of the last century. Marshall was by profession a West Indian planter; but on coming home, in 1775, he dedicated his attention to planting and landscape gardening, and in general to rural affairs, in all of which he displayed considerable skill. He is one of the few among our writers who studied the removal of large trees as an art, and laid down rules to regulate the execution. His precepts, therefore, as well as his practice, are entitled to regard, not only from their own intrinsic value, but as they serve to bring down the history of the art nearly to the present day.

This judicious writer was too well aware of the difficulty and hazard of removing large-sized trees of any sort, to practise on subjects of great magnitude. For "thinning plantations," he says, "for removing obstructions, or hiding defects, or for raising ornamental groups or single trees expeditiously," he conceives that the practice may be recommended; but he declares it to be decidedly "the most difficult part of planting," and therefore is of opinion that it is inapplicable to general purposes, and not often practised, for any purpose, "with uniform success."\*

At the various places where Marshall was consulted, whether as a landscape gardener or a surveyor of estates, he frequently gave specimens of transplanting, and these were conducted with a skill certainly unequalled by any one who had preceded him, and which no one who follows him will easily surpass, with the same sort of subjects. Yet it is surprising that a planter so conversant with practice, a man, too, of talents and information like Marshall, seems not to have arrived at any acquaintance with principles. After succeeding in a manner superior to most others, was it not natural that he should have

\* Rural Ornament, vol. i. pp.40-41.

inquired *why* he so succeeded? and that knowledge, enlarged by reflection and confirmed by examples, would probably have led him to some general theory that bore on practice, and suggested systematic improvement. Had he been more acquainted with vegetable physiology and the anatomy of plants, he would have seen that trees growing in *close woods* and trees standing in the *open field* are endued with very different properties; and that something of firmer stamina and greater magnitude than what the strength of two or three persons could transport was necessary for park-wood, which we expect is to grow vigorously, and resist the elements in open exposures.\*

His judicious method, however, of preparing the pits; of putting his trees into the ground; of applying the mould, when so put; of preserving, distributing, and dividing the roots, obviously results from an attentive study of the difficulties of the art, and, as he himself states, from "real practice."† Still his subjects, like those of his predecessor Boutcher, were drawn mostly from close plantations, for the purpose of thinning them. They were, in the same way, conveyed on "men's shoulders;" sometimes also on handspikes, and on particular occasions on "high timber-wheels." The roots he cut and multiplied in the same careful manner as is directed by Evelyn and Boutcher, but without the numerous removals recommended by the latter; from whose treatise, however, he seems to have taken the whole of that process.

For the removal of saplings of twenty feet high, and from nine to twelve inches in girth, his plan is of considerable use. In forming side-screens near the mansion-house, in which grove and underwood are frequently

\* Rur. Ornam. vol. i. pp. 360, 361. † Ib. pp. 43, 356-361.

united, it will not disappoint the planter. In new designs he will find it extremely serviceable for raising the former, if in low and sheltered situations, where a propitious climate is created, and for producing a speedier effect than can be expected by the ordinary methods.

Although Marshall had too much taste to wish to pollard, or utterly decapitate his trees, still, like most of his predecessors, he lopped and lightened the tops, in order to "proportion them," as he states, "to the ability of the roots."\* Had he advanced the roots *to the ability of the tops*, and preserved entire the fine forms of the latter, it would have been a more scientific system. But his method of giving gracefulness, and a sort of natural elegance to this operation, is so extraordinary that it is worth while to quote it, for the amusement of the reader. "To head down a tree," he says, "in the pollard manner, is very unsightly; and to prune it up to a mere Maypole, so as to leave only a small broom-like head at the top, is equally destructive of its beauty. The most rational, the most *natural*, and at the same time the most elegant manner of doing this, is to prune the boughs in such a way as to form the head of the plant into a conoid, in resemblance of the natural head of the Lombardy Poplar, and of a size proportioned to the ability of the root. Whoever was the inventor of this method of pruning the heads of trees deserves infinite credit. It only wants to be known, in order to be approved, and we are happy to set it growing into universal practice."†

In this anxious wish, I apprehend, no planter of taste will now probably concur: neither will he feel disposed to admire the "rationality, naturalness, and elegance," of

\* Rur. Ornament. vol. i. p. 43.

† Ibid.

the device of fashioning the fine heads of the Oak, the Elm, or the Chestnut, after the manner of the Lombardy Poplar, the most formal, perhaps, and most unpicturesque of all existing trees. Yet, notwithstanding a few such absurdities, we must candidly admit that Marshall was a planter of great skill, and a writer of unquestionable diligence, and, together with the judicious Boutcher, did more to improve the art, than all who had gone before, and probably all who succeeded him.

If there be any other work in our language, or in any of the languages of modern Europe, in which the art is treated in a *preceptive* way, or in a way that furnishes any important materials for bringing its history down to our own times, the work has escaped my search. Miller, one of the best arboriculturists and phytologists that England has ever produced, informs us that in his time, that is, in the beginning and middle of the last century, the transplanting of large trees had come much into fashion in England. Planters, he says, were "in too great haste" to anticipate the slow but certain effects of time; and by unfortunately adopting the worst possible methods for their practice, they were far less assured of attaining the end they had in view, (or, more properly speaking, they were assured of never attaining it,) namely, the speedy acquisition of thriving trees, than if they had begun at once by raising them from the seed. This failure he mainly attributes to the unnatural and unscientific method of lopping or lightening the tops at the time of removal, which, as he affirms, is destructive alike of the health and the value of trees. He truly observes that, were planters fully aware of the doctrine of the circulation of the sap, and the curious anatomy of plants, they would perceive that a tree is as much nourished by its branches as by its roots. "For," adds he, "were the same severities practised

on a tree of the same age, *unremoved*, it would so much stint the growth as not to be recovered in several years ; nor would it ever arrive at the size of such as had all their branches left upon them.”\*

He is, therefore, no advocate for the removal of large trees ; and the reason evidently is, that he never saw it executed but on principles utterly at variance with phytological science, and the law of nature respecting the growth of plants,—for Miller seems to have been well acquainted with their history and constitution, and with the beautiful action and reaction, which all their parts constantly maintain on one another.

Although it is pleasing to observe so much good sense, and so much sound science displayed, at so early a period of our arboricultural history, yet it is a curious fact that neither the precept nor the example of Miller produced any good effect, nor any material change in the general practice, and by consequence, any improvement in the art. The fact is, that from the days of Evelyn down to the present times, or more probably from the time of the Romans under Nero and Vespasian, the practice of the art has suffered no great alteration or improvement ; and, with the best planters of England, it is still as much a matter of *physical force* as it was with Prince Maurice of Saxony or Louis XIV.

I can speak partly from my own knowledge of the general transplanting system, now established in England and this country, and partly from the information communicated by some of the most experienced planters of both countries, in asserting, that the method so justly reprobated by the judicious Miller is in pretty general use. I will not affirm that there is *no* planter who pre-

\* Miller's Gardener's and Botanist's Dictionary, *voce* Planting.

serves the tops of his trees entire ; but the *ordinary* method still is to transfer old trees in the same way as nursery-plants—that is, by lopping off a third part, a half, and sometimes the whole of the top, erroneously conceiving that both can be managed on the same principles.\* They trust implicitly to the plastic powers of the trees to replace these amputations with fresh wood, and to recover themselves from these severities. But they seem entirely to overlook two main objections, that can never be obviated—first, the length of time which the trees require to recover from any considerable curtailment of their heads, and the deaths and failures that occur when they do not recover at all ; and secondly, the complete loss of distinctive and peculiar character which ensues, by reducing the heads of the most different trees to one monotonous and formal figure.

In respect to the first objection, it is not easy to speak to it with perfect accuracy. In the superior climates of England—that is, the districts south of Yorkshire, and particularly the Devonshire, Dorsetshire, and Hampshire coasts, perhaps the candid planter will admit, that fifteen years at least would be necessary to recover any great bulk of top, after severe mutilation. In the districts on the parallel of Yorkshire, and in the best Scotch climates, twenty, and five-and-twenty, would scarcely be adequate ; and in many parts of Scotland they would never recover at all.

As to the second, and far more important objection, that their distinctive character as trees would be obliterated, nature has given to different woody plants quite different and opposite styles of ramification of top. Some, such as the Lime and the Horse-Chestnut, are

\* Note XV.

heavy and formal ; while the Oak, the Chestnut, and the Elm, are far more various and picturesque, and are finely calculated to receive great masses of light. But if these striking characteristics of the latter be destroyed by the axe, by what means shall they regain their former figure ? To the painter, not less than to the landscape gardener, this loss would be unspeakable, were it carried to any extent. It is a fact well known to arboricultural observers, that no large subject, once pollarded, ever wholly recovers its natural and free conformation, under the most propitious circumstances of soil and climate ; although it may acquire at last a bushy head, it becomes like the Lime—a tree which, unless on the foreground, is always formal and monotonous in landscape.

May we not, then, fairly conclude that, in the art of giving immediate effect to wood, there is sufficient room for the improvement of such a system ? In any view, it will be thought of some moment if the most beautiful and valuable of all vegetable productions can be saved from mutilation—if picturesque effect can at the same time be preserved, and many years of life anticipated.

In fact, it appears that the best writers of the last and present century consider the art as purely mechanical and fortuitous, and founded on no fixed or known principles. Mason, in the most elegant didactic poem of modern times, in which an account of the art would certainly have been given, as an interesting branch of landscape gardening, had he regarded it as practical, mentions transplanting in a very beautiful way indeed, but quite incidentally, and merely as a mechanical art :—

“ Such sentence pass'd, where shall the Dryads fly,  
That haunt yon ancient vista ?—Pity, sure,  
Will spare the long cathedral aisle of shade  
In which they sojourn. Taste were sacrilege,

If, lifting there the axe, it dared invade  
 Those spreading oaks, which in fraternal files  
 Have pair'd for centuries, and heard the strains  
 Of Sydney's, nay, perchance of Surrey's reed.  
 Yet must they fall; unless *mechanic skill*,  
*To save her offspring*, rouse at our command,  
 And where we bid her move, with engine huge,  
 Each pond'rous *trunk*, the pond'rous *trunk* there move;  
 A work of difficulty and danger tried,  
*Nor oft successful found.*"\*

From the expressions made use of in this beautiful passage, we are led to believe that entire decapitation was implied in the process, and that Mason, who was himself a planter as well as a poet, considered the necessity as indispensable.

Pontey, one of the most extensive and successful planters now living, and also a landscape gardener of no small distinction, gives his testimony nearly to the same effect as Marshall and Mason. In a late practical treatise on this pleasing art, (a work which was much wanted,)† after stating his anxiety to discover some certain method of giving a speedy effect to wood, he gives up the point as unattainable, and has recourse to the miserable expedient of planting Willows and Poplars. Respecting the art under consideration, he candidly says—"I am no advocate for the removal of quantities of large trees, as the business is extremely tedious, and hazardous also. And after all, in cases of success, such trees for several years grow so slowly as to remind one of the 'stricken deer.' It is, indeed, seldom that they harmonise with any thing about them."‡ This, we must own, is a judicious not less than an obvious remark, and of which no impartial person will deny the justice.

From the view which has been thus taken of the art

\* English Garden, book i. 318.

† See Note IV. on Sect. I.

‡ Pontey's Rural Improver, p. 87.



in Britain, it may probably be said that it has advanced little within a century, whether in respect of skill or science. Of late years, however, some successful examples have been given of what may be called horticultural transplantation, that is, the removal of large shrubs and trees of an ornamental or exotic species. At the Royal Gardens of Kew, during the reign of his late Majesty, this was done on a considerable scale, and with extraordinary success ; but I have not been able to obtain any detail of the process.

About three years since, Dr Robert Graham, Professor of Botany in the University of Edinburgh, on changing the site of the Botanical Garden at that place, contrived to remove a vast number of plants of great rarity and value, and which, had they been lost, many years of the most diligent culture in the ordinary manner would not have replaced. Previously to the taking up, he followed the ingenious method of Lord Fitzharding, in cutting round the plants, which, properly speaking, should all have stood for two or three years after, in order to gain an accession to their roots ; but some local arrangements having deprived them of that advantage, a great part were suffered to stand only for a single season. Such, notwithstanding, was the extraordinary care bestowed upon them by the ingenious professor, and the skill and diligence of his gardener Mr M'Nab, that the removals were executed with a safety which could scarcely have been anticipated. In order to give still greater variety and effect to the new garden, forest trees also of various kinds, and considerable dimensions—some of them from thirty to forty feet high—were at the same time transferred from the old ground to the new.

The method adopted was to raise as great a mass or ball of earth as possible with the plants, and that was

carefully matted up in order to preserve it entire. The plants were then put upon a platform with four very low wheels, in an upright position, (as was practised in the time of Evelyn,) and transported about a mile and a-half to the new garden. In removing the trees, owing to the immense friction occasioned by the lowness of the wheels, ten and twelve horses were occasionally employed ; so that the procession through the suburbs for many days, consisting of men and horses, and waving boughs, presented a spectacle that was at once novel and imposing. The citizens of Edinburgh were surprised and delighted with the master of an art which seemed more powerful and persuasive than the strains of Orpheus, in drawing after it, along their streets, both grove and underwood of such majestic size—

“*Threicio blandiùs Orpheo  
Auditam moderari arboribus fidem.*”

On arriving at the place of their new destination, where the ground had been prepared at great expense, and forced up to the depth of three feet or more, the trees and bushes were carefully planted. Numerous ropes, fastened pretty high from the ground, and extending from the stems to the distance of from twelve to four-and-twenty feet out, in the fashion of a well-pitched bell-tent, pinned them to the spot with immovable firmness, so that injury from wind seemed altogether impossible. In this way, as may be easily conceived, little or no loss of plants could be sustained by the operation : the depth and richness of the soil ; the sheltered site of the garden, almost as low as the level of the sea ; the steadfastness of the plants, in consequence of their fastenings ; added to careful waterings daily repeated, almost precluded contingency.

As to the expense attending the process, it were needless, as well as invidious, minutely to investigate it ; as it could be no object in a royal institution, when compared with the successful preservation of plants of such uncommon value. A list of some of the most remarkable, with their several dimensions, will be found in the notes, and may interest the botanical reader.\*

For this achievement in horticultural transplanting, the most splendid probably ever known in Britain, the learned professor is entitled to the highest praise. It shows what may be done in this art by the united efforts of industry and ingenuity. Yet although the whole was very ably and royally executed, and must prove interesting to others placed in like circumstances, it furnishes no example for general imitation or park practice. All the prominent difficulties with which the ordinary planter has to contend—namely, want of climate and soil, and of genial warmth resulting from exposure to the elements—were here removed or obviated. The trees in this favourite spot were like the products of nature in the “happy valley” of Rasselas, “in which all the blessings of vegetation were collected, and the evils extracted and excluded.” To succeed, therefore, with removals on the open lawn would require a different system, as well as very different subjects.

It now only remains to say something of the progress of the art on the continent of Europe within the last century ; and on that subject there is little to be told. The two countries whose example during this period has been most generally influential, are England and France. Landscape gardening originated in the former country ; and it was naturally to be expected that, with a character

\* NOTE XVI.

so attractive, so captivating to the imagination as well as the senses, the taste for it would soon be spread among her continental neighbours. It was justly remarked by Walpole,\* a very accurate observer, that our style in this new art would never, in all likelihood, be brought into general use among those nations. The expense being suited only to the opulence of a free country, it is there alone that emulation could reign among a number of independent individuals. The little princes of Germany, he observes, who spare no cost on their palaces and country residences, would be themselves likely to become our imitators, especially as their country and climate bear in many respects an intimate resemblance to our own.

It is now about threescore years since Walpole wrote, and it is remarkable how well his anticipations have been verified. While the French in general have little cultivated landscape gardening, a real taste for it has by degrees extended itself all over Germany, Hungary, Poland, and the northern parts of Europe. From this observation respecting France, I except, of course, a few places in the neighbourhood of Paris, which have been laid out in a superior way, in imitation of the English style—such as Arnouville, Courances, Marçeau, Chantilly, and above all Ermenonville, the pride of French gardening.† The rest are such examples as Frenchmen might be expected to produce of an art which, in its character, is essentially and radically English.

The remarks of Walpole may, in a great measure, be applied to the art of giving immediate effect to wood, as being one of the most important accessories to that of creating or improving real landscape. Since the time of Louis XIV., there is no reason to think that transplant-

\* Anecdotes of Painting in England, vol. iv.

† Hirschfeld, Theorie der Gartenkunst, Band v. p. 258—267, &c.

ing has made great advances in France. The magnificent and expensive scale on which the efforts of that prince were conducted, rather discouraged than invited imitation or competition. The French nobility and gentry, in former times, never resided much on their estates ; and the Revolution, which has changed many other things, has made little alteration on their taste for rural pleasures. What a man has not frequently under his eye, he feels little desire to improve or embellish. The freedom and freshness of natural scenery can have few charms for him who is taught to consider Paris as the undoubted centre of all earthly enjoyment ; and another century might pass away ere a true-born Frenchman could either acquire or comprehend this species of British predilection. The art, therefore, of giving immediate effect to wood, like that of creating real landscape, is now regarded, as heretofore in France, in the light of a mechanical process, fortuitously practised, and little valued for either ornament or use.

Madame de Sevigné mentions, in one of her letters, that at her country-seat, "aux Rochers," they raised great woods, and transplanted trees of thirty and forty feet high. This account is very vague and unsatisfactory, as she says nothing of the means which were employed to accomplish the work. But Madame de Sevigné probably told all she knew ; and we may believe they did their best to follow the example set by the *Grand Monarque*, although with inferior powers of execution. At present in France, as we travel along, we frequently see trees of some size, which have been transferred in order to decorate the sides of the roads. The mode of proceeding seems to be the same as that directed by Evelyn, (who probably borrowed it from the French,) namely, to raise the tree by the ordinary methods ; to lop and disbranch it completely ; and then, in planting it anew, to

leave nothing but the summit entire. The small-leaved Elm is the species of tree generally adopted for this purpose, in some districts, but in others the Walnut, the Oak, and the Poplar.

In Germany and Poland it is altogether different with respect to national taste and characteristic habits. The country residences of the great and wealthy are much more frequently inhabited by the owners ; and in Poland especially, they have been of late years laid out in a style more truly English, and with great magnificence. Notwithstanding a grotesque mixture, on some occasions, of that style with their former rectilinear features, their parks and pleasure-grounds often exhibit a rudeness and wild grandeur of scenery which are rarely found in England, and which Wales or Scotland can scarcely rival. It is in such hands that the art of transplanting might produce the best effects ; and there is no want of situations to profit by its assistance, were the art placed upon principles that could ensure success.

As it is, we find that it has already been practised on a large scale by several of the German princes. At Potsdam, Frederick II., and at Warsaw, the last King of Poland, transferred some thousands of large trees, in order to embellish the royal gardens at those places. At Lazenki, in the suburbs of Warsaw, the well-known but unfortunate Stanislaus displayed that taste and ingenuity for which he was so distinguished, in laying out the palace and grounds in a style that, for luxurious magnificence, has perhaps never been surpassed since the days of the Roman emperors. To this favourite spot he removed some thousands of trees and bushes, for the improvement of the park, which, together with the gardens, was frequently thrown open to the public. On these occasions the most splendid entertainments were given to the court

and principal inhabitants of the capital, which are still recollected with feelings of delight. The method of removing the trees was to lop and deface them in the ordinary fashion, and of course to curtail the roots, and then plant them in an irregular way, or sometimes leaning to one side, the better to imitate nature. Hence, after the interval of many years, late travellers have found, at all these royal residences, evident marks of such operations in the mutilated appearance of the trees.\*

The Czar Peter, and the Empress Catherine of Russia, made similar attempts to procure the immediate effect of wood at Zarsco-Zelo, and other palaces in the vicinity of Petersburg, on which operations immense sums were laid out by those magnificent sovereigns. The trees were usually raised during the winter, and removed in the time of frost, with vast balls of earth adhering to the roots, and cautiously placed in the same position, as to the north and south, which they had previously occupied. On these occasions the tops of the trees were severely reduced, and so completely disfigured that they seldom recovered the operation. The King of Bavaria, likewise, has of late made many removals at his summer palace near Munich, on the same defective principles, and with no better success. Count Potocki, about ten years since, at his seat of Talitzin in the Ukraine, seems to have been more skilful, or more fortunate. In order to please and surprise the Countess, his stepmother, on her arrival at home, after some months' absence, he successfully removed a double row of Lime-trees, of more than twenty feet in height, as an avenue to the house.

The universal complaint, however, in all these countries, as among ourselves, is *the constant decay of the tops, in spite of previous mutilation*; and that even, were that

\* NOTE XVII.

process successful in insuring the after vigour of the trees, (which it is far from doing,) still their beauty would thereby for a long while be lost. It is true that time, the great restorer of defects, as well as destroyer of beauty, among the vegetable tribe, may partially cover these imperfections. But the immediate effect of wood, and the delightful creation of park-scenery, are thus missed by the planter himself, as his subjects, for years, look like the sickly offspring of art, not the free produce of nature. It seems, therefore, evident that some better and more scientific system is still wanting for the advancement of transplanting—a system which should unite certainty of success with a moderate expenditure—in order to bring the art into general use.

Thus I have endeavoured to give, as briefly as possible, the history and progress of the art of removing large trees, from the earliest times down to the present. We have seen that it was a practice well known to the Greeks—always considered as desirable, but next to impossible to be carried into effect, by that ingenious people: that in the hands of the Romans, if it did not altogether retrograde, it was in a condition little better than that in which the Greeks had left it: that in modern Europe it revived with the revival of learning, and seemed for a while to advance with the improvements of luxury—in the hands, however, of one of the most powerful monarchs that Europe ever saw, it did not rise beyond the rank of a mechanical art: and finally, in those of the most cultivated nation of modern times—a nation, too, which has added one more to the number of the fine arts—it still remains a practice *without a foundation in fixed principles*. It is regarded by their best practical writers as wholly unfit for general purposes, as limited in its application, and hazardous and uncertain in its execution.



Yet, in this state of things, there is reason to suspect, that a prejudice still exists, at least in England, against any attempt to supply these defects, and to raise it to the rank of a regular art. In either division of the island the attempt will be deemed a bold one, and by some treated as altogether visionary. The only ground on which I venture to look for a different result in my own country, is not laid in any fanciful theory, however ingenious, but in the laws of vegetation plainly applied to practice. In attaining the object, I shall strive, in the words of a great orator, (which have been chosen to grace my title-page,) "so to adopt the ministration of art as humbly to imitate nature; to tread in her footsteps, wherever they are to be found, and to strike out a kindred path wherever they are wanting." It is on such principles alone that I can hope to communicate to this neglected practice some stability from arrangement, and some light from science.

## SECTION III.

### ATTEMPT TO SUGGEST A NEW THEORY, OR PRINCIPLE OF THE ART.

FROM the cursory view which has been given of the rise and progress of transplanting, from the earliest times down to the present, it appears, notwithstanding the objections of some ingenious men, that it is an art worthy of an attentive cultivation ; and that, if it could be established on principles founded in nature, and confirmed by experience, it might, within a short period, become extensively useful.

The best-informed phytologist who has treated the subject is the judicious Miller, the author of the Gardener's and Botanist's Dictionary—a work which, in the enlarged edition of Professor Martyn of Cambridge, should be diligently studied by every planter of education. On the art in question this accurate observer has no formal disquisition ; but in the article “planting” he has introduced some strictures on the practice of removing large trees as it was in his time prevalent, and some general objections to the art itself, which are deserving of attention. These, then, it would be proper to consider in the outset, before we proceed to inquire respecting the improvement of the art. His main objection to the then existing system (which, as we have seen in the foregoing chapter, is precisely that of modern planters) is, that the lopping or

mutilating the tops and side branches of trees, and still more the decapitating of them, is utterly destructive of their health and growth; and that, whatever other advantages might be supposed to attend the art, that alone is sufficient to neutralise or counterbalance them. It was this weighty objection, brought forward by Miller, that first led me to bestow particular attention on the subject, and to seek for some general theory or principle which, if founded on the laws of nature, as affecting woody plants under different circumstances of climate and soil, might serve to regulate and improve the practice.

But independently of all partial faults that might be found with transplanting, as now generally practised, Miller objects to all transplantation whatever, whether of young trees or old. Every tree, he holds, in order to reach the greatest size and perfection of which it is susceptible, should be raised at once from the seed: to remove it at all is sensibly to deteriorate it. Therefore it follows, that if by removal, when young, it suffer injury, it must by the same process, when old, suffer much greater injury. On this opinion of the expediency of sowing the seeds of trees, instead of transferring plants from the seed-bed to the nursery, and thence to the open plantation, he is not singular, as the doctrine has been supported, both before and since his time, by very eminent phytologists: while others, of no small weight and name, have as strenuously taken up the adverse side of the question, and maintained, that plants may not only be safely transferred from the seed-bed to the nursery, before being planted out, but that woods raised with such materials possess advantages which those at once springing from the seed can never possess.\* These different systems,

\* NOTE I.

within the two last centuries, have been widely propagated, and as keenly supported; and, as the mass of mankind never think for themselves, it so happens that the art of transplanting has its friends and its enemies, its advocates and its opponents, among the learned and the unlearned.

Without entering into so extensive and intricate a question as the above, (which, however, might lead to many interesting details,) let us see what the objections of so judicious a writer as Miller are, to the transplanting of trees of considerable magnitude; because, if we either admit those objections as relevant, or obviate them as unfounded, it will pave the way for some rational theory of the art.

The objections brought forward by Miller seem to be three in number. The first and radical one, as above noticed, is to the lopping or cutting off the tops or side boughs, or both, at the period of removal, as utterly ruinous to trees. This objection, he says, is obviously so well founded, that no one will stand up for the safety of the practice who is acquainted with the way in which the circulation of the sap is carried on; for in that case he must know, that branches being organs just as essential as roots to the nourishment of trees, it must be doubly destructive to mutilate both, at one and the same time. If any one, he adds, doubt the fact, let him try the experiment on a healthy subject of the same age, *not* intended for removal, and he will find that mutilation will so stint its growth that it will not recover till after several years, if it recover at all; and it will never attain the same size and figure, or produce the same sound and perfect wood, as others on which the branches have been left in an entire state. Or otherwise, let him make the trial on two trees of equal age and health, and cut the boughs

from the one, while he leaves them, at the time of transplanting, on the other ; in that case the latter will be found to succeed far better than the former. Or, let him practise the same thing on two permanent trees of equal health and appearance ; and the tree of which the boughs are lopped will not be found to make half the progress of the other, nor will the bulk of the stem increase in nearly the same ratio.\*

But, say the planters who advocate the mutilating system, since the roots are severely curtailed by the operation of taking up, the branches must necessarily be curtailed in proportion, and suited to the ability of the roots, whose province it is to sustain the branches. If, however, there be any truth in the foregoing statement, and that it be reciprocally the province of the branches also to nourish the roots, that argument, how specious soever, must fall to the ground ; for it is obviously calculated to make bad worse, by subjecting the tree to two evils instead of one, to which it must at all events be subjected. Besides, these reasoners are well aware, that if they abstained from the lopping of the top and branches, and left them entire, the greater part would decay during the first season, for want of nourishment, to the utter discredit of their system. The objection of Miller, therefore, is perfectly unanswerable. It would be quite superfluous to add any further illustrations, however conclusive, drawn from the constitution or anatomy of plants, as these will more properly be brought forward in the sequel.

His second objection is, that if trees be removed with large heads, it is next to impossible to maintain them against the violence of the wind, in an upright position,

\* NOTE II.

with the aid of supports or fastenings of whatever species. To this it may be answered, that almost all trees with large heads have short and stout stems with correlative roots; and at all events, that by art skilfully employed, roots may be *increased to the ability of the tops*, and almost incredibly multiplied, if time be given for nature to second the efforts of art. Besides the nourishment which is prepared by the leaves, sent down to the stem, and ultimately, by means of the branches, to such extensive roots, the branches and stem together serve to balance the tree properly against the winds; so that, when due precautions are used, an extensive top is an aid rather than an impediment to the progress of trees, and may be rendered advantageous by a skilful planter.

The third and last objection is, that transplanted trees do not survive above five or six years after being so injudiciously removed as above described, and their boughs mutilated: that in a particular instance, which Miller quotes, where Oaks were so treated, and where they were found to thrive beyond all expectation in the beginning, they yet died at the end of fifty years; whereas, according to the characteristic properties of that tree, they should then have been increasing in vigour. To which it may be fairly replied, that the occurrence of such miscarriages evidently proceeds upon the supposition, that the injurious practice of lopping the tops and side branches is *still* to be continued; when on the contrary, by an improved practice, as soon as that cause is removed, the evils that flowed from it will be removed in consequence. As to the effects of fifty years' growth on transplanted trees, it is not so easy to speak; but at the place from which these pages are dated, some Oaks, Beeches, and Limes are to be seen, nearly forty years after removal; and those trees have constantly exhibited

progressive vigour in an extraordinary degree, and might now be taken for plants raised without removal from the seed.

Such are the objections against transplanting which have been urged by Miller, and which the reputation of the man, not less than the nature of the objections themselves, rendered worthy of particular notice. If we yield to the first objection, which we must do, as being quite conclusive; if we obviate the second and third, which I conceive has been satisfactorily done, perhaps we may venture to believe that there is good ground for suggesting a rational theory of the art, such as probably would have been sanctioned by this intelligent phytologist himself, notwithstanding his prejudices, and, what is still more important, has been sanctioned by experience.

On considering the causes that have hitherto rendered this desirable object abortive, they appear to be of a two-fold description. In the first place, they have originated in a general want of science in planters, which has naturally led them to a mistaken choice of subjects. And in the second place, they have sprung from the belief, which most planters seem to entertain, that young trees and old possess similar properties, and that therefore they should be removed on similar principles. But there is no doctrine more fallacious than this, and none which it is more important to refute. In a concise inquiry which is about to be instituted, in order to point out some sound theory or principle of the art, both of these obstructing causes shall be kept in view, and illustrated in as clear a manner as possible.

If we take a survey of nature in all the forms under which existence is manifested, we shall perceive with admiration the wisdom of the Creator in accommodating every animate and inanimate being to the economy of a

universal and connected plan. By His incomprehensible power, every organised production is adapted to the place which it is destined to occupy in the world of life; and every organ of every living whole is curiously modified to the circumstances which affect the exercise of its functions, and to the conditions which regulate the development of its energies. Every organised is necessarily a living production. Every living production, whether animal or vegetable, tends naturally to perfect existence; and perfect existence is contained in the full development of all the parts or organs *through* which action is evolved, and, consequently, *in* which life is realised: for life is manifested by action; and living vigour must be proportionate to spontaneous energy in every being endowed with life.

But while every organic creation tends to full development, that is, to absolute energy, or the perfection of its species, still we find that the organs of which it is composed are each reciprocally dependent on every other, for the possibility and degree of their peculiar action. At the same time, as these internal conditions of animated existence are severally dependent on certain external conditions, which again are not always fully and equally supplied; so it follows that the life of every organised being is determined in its amount, and in the direction of its development, by the outward circumstances of its individual situation. For this reason we see that every animal, and every plant, is dependent for its existence, and also for its perfect existence, on conditions both internal and external.

From this reasoning it may be conceived how the several parts of the living whole reciprocally act and react. They are, in fact, cause and effect mutually; and no one can precede another, either in the order of nature or of



time. Thus, in an animal, the digestive and the absorbent, the sanguineous, the respiratory, and the nervous systems, are at once relative and correlative. In like manner, in a plant, the same reciprocal proportion is found to hold between the roots and the stem, the branches and the leaves: each modifies and determines the existence of all the others, and is equally affected by all in its turn. And as their several parts, by means of their union, constitute the organic whole; and as their functions by the same means realise the complement of life which the plant or animal exhibits; so it is evident that every living individual is a necessary system, in which no one part can be affected without affecting the other parts, and throughout which there reigns an intimate sympathy, and a complete harmony of perfection and imperfection.

Further, the external conditions of this internal development of plants and animals, are food, air, heat, and probably water; while light, according to most physiologists, seems to be a peculiar condition, indispensably necessary to plants.\* Where any one of these conditions is not supplied, the existence of life, whether animal or vegetable, becomes impossible; where it is insufficiently supplied, life is proportionally enfeebled or repressed. But to limit our consideration to the vegetable kingdom, it may be observed, that where a loose and deep soil affords an abundant supply of food, where a genial climate diffuses warmth in an adequate degree, and where a favourable exposure allows a competent access of light, (for air, being fully and universally given, may be thrown out of the case)—in these circumstances a plant, if not mechanically injured, will vigorously exercise its functions,

\* NOTE III.

and attain the full development of its parts, thus realising the absolute complement of life, to which it naturally tends. In the same way, when these conditions are stinted, the luxuriance of the plant is checked in the ratio of that restraint, and the deficiency of the supply. Where any one of the external conditions is partially or inadequately supplied, the plant appears to make special and even forced efforts to secure as much of the beneficial influence as it can, and to accommodate itself to the exigency of its situation. Thus, where light is admitted only from a single point, a plant concentrates all its powers in stretching towards the direction of the light. Where light is shed all around, the plant throws out its branches on every side. In conformity with this principle, we find that, in the interior of a wood, where the trees mutually impede the lateral admission of light, the tendency of each is upwards; and the consequence of this tendency is, that the plant is thereby not developed in its natural and perfect proportions, but is elongated, or drawn up to an undue height. It displays its ramification chiefly near the top; while the imperfection of its life is manifested in the whole character of its vegetation. In open exposures, on the other hand, the tree develops its existence in full health and luxuriance. It reaches a height such as the soil and situation admit, and sufficient to allow the branches, which are thrown out on every side, to expand their leaves freely to the sun. Not being compelled to concentrate its efforts, in securing a scanty supply of one beneficial influence, all its proportions are absolute and universal, not relative and particular. In such circumstances, therefore, it may be considered as in a full and natural state of perfection.

Another condition of vegetable life appears to be an adequate degree of heat. Within a certain range of

temperature, vegetation is positively promoted. Below or above a certain point, (the degree differing in different species of plants,) vegetation is positively checked. To speak only of the latter case, which is briefly expressed by the term *cold*, it is either produced by absolute lowness of temperature, or, in particular circumstances, by the generation of cold, through the effect of wind, and consequent evaporation from a moist surface; for trees in themselves have but little self-generated heat above the surrounding temperature; and their chemical composition is such that they do not congeal, unless the cold be of the severest sort, and many degrees below the freezing point of water. Some caloric, however, they probably possess, otherwise they would be killed in very hard weather, or rather on the too sudden return of heat.\*

Of the above accidents nature can modify the former, by accommodating different species of plants to different latitudes and elevations. Against the latter, she adopts the plan of affording suitable protection to the individual. In the interior of woods, where the free current of air is intercepted, where stillness and serenity are maintained, and where each tree affords shelter more or less to every other, nature has little need to generate the provisions necessary to mitigate the injurious effects of evaporation. But in open exposures, and in the case of isolated trees, this effect must be assuaged, and is in fact to a certain extent alleviated, by various provisions or properties bestowed upon the tree itself. In the first place, a thicker and closer ramification of the sides and top is supplied, and a more abundant spray towards the stormy quarter, thereby furnishing a kind of clothing of leaves, in order to protect from cold both the ascending and the

\* NOTE IV.

descending sap-vessels : and secondly, a greater induration of the epidermis, and thickness of the cortical layers of the bark are provided ; which, forming a bad conductor of heat, act as a still more effectual defence to the stem, by preventing the immediate and powerful application of cold, through the sudden subtraction of caloric from the proper vessels of the inner bark.

In this economy, nature only follows the analogy which she displays in modifying the influence of cold upon the animal kingdom. The quadrupeds which are destined to encounter the severity of an Arctic winter, are provided with thick and shaggy coats, to enable them to withstand the intensity of the cold ; and all the richest furs which man employs to supply his natural, or rather his artificial wants, are always furnished by animals inhabiting the highest latitudes, and killed during the severest frosts. What is still more illustrative of the point under consideration is, that the coats of animals, of which the thin and short hair is familiar to us in the temperate climates—such as the dog, the fox, and the ox—are all remarkable, under the polar regions, for their close, lengthened, and almost impenetrable fibre, as a secure barrier of non-conducting matter to prevent the escape of their vital heat.\*

In like manner, in all the other relations, we see nature especially accommodating the character of each individual plant to the exigencies of its particular situation. In the interior of woods, the wind can exert a far less mechanical effect on individual trees ; and therefore, while they are *positively* determined to push upwards towards the light, they are *negatively* permitted to do so by the removal of any necessity to thicken their trunks, for the sake of greater strength, and to contract the height of them, in

\* NOTE V.

order to afford the blast a shorter lever against the roots. But with trees in an open situation, all this is widely different. There they are freely exposed to the wind, and the large expansion of their branches gives every advantage to the violence of the storm. Nature, accordingly, bestows greater proportional thickness, and less proportional elevation, on trees which are isolated, or nearly so ; while their system of root, which by necessity is correlatively proportional to their system of top, affords likewise heavier ballast and a stronger anchorage, in order to counteract the greater spread of sail displayed in the wider expansion of the branches.

Every individual tree is thus a beautiful system of qualities, specially relative to the place which it holds in creation—of provisions admirably accommodated to the peculiar circumstances of its case. Here everything is necessary, nothing is redundant. In the words of a great philosopher, who was an accurate observer of nature, “Where the necessity is obviated, the remedy by consequence is withdrawn.”\* If these facts and reasonings be correctly stated, the only rational theory of the removal of large trees consists in prospectively maintaining the same harmony, between the existing provisions of the tree and the exigencies of its new situation, as had previously subsisted between its relative properties and the circumstances of its former site. That such is the only rule, founded on the principles of vegetation, that can apply to all circumstances and all situations, there cannot be a doubt. But lest the foregoing reasonings should seem rather abstract and general, I will, in order to reduce theory to practice, attempt a more popular detail, and descend from the remoter to the more proximate

\* NOTE VI.

axioms of the art. In doing this, however, our consideration may be limited to the vegetable kingdom.

Nature, as has been observed, has destined trees to grow, more or less vigorously, in all situations, from those of the thinnest groups in the highest latitudes, to the densest masses and the most sheltered woods ; and for this purpose she has conferred provisions or properties upon each, which are severally adapted to such circumstances. Now, as the business of transplanting, generally speaking, implies increased exposure, it is proper to inquire more minutely into these provisions, so as to enable us to ascertain their peculiar appearance and character, and into the way in which they affect the growth of trees.

With this view, it will serve little purpose to draw examples from ordinary plantations. Let us have recourse to ancient forests and woodlands, or to parks long since planted, in which the hand of man has either never interfered, or where the vestiges of his interference have been long obliterated. Here we shall find trees in every variety of situation, but endued with properties of the most opposite sort. Yet all grow with relative luxuriance, under the circumstances in which they are placed. Of trees in the interior of woods, setting aside all technical or phytological distinctions, the following are found to be the general characteristics : stems, upright and stately ; bark, glossy and beautiful ; tops small, and thinly provided with branches ; with roots, in the same way, spare and scanty, but in due proportion to the tops. In open exposures, on the other hand, the reverse of all this is the case. The characteristics of these are the following : stems, stout and short ; bark, thick and coarse ; tops, extensive and spreading ; branches, often reaching to the ground ; with roots extensive like the tops, and throwing

themselves out on every side. What, then, are we to conclude from these remarkable discrepancies between trees of the same species, although in different situations, but that nature, which orders nothing in vain, has bestowed these properties for wise purposes, and that they are the best calculated, respectively, to realise in those trees as great a complement of life as their respective circumstances will admit ?

This conclusion naturally leads us to a closer attention to the progress of wood than is usually bestowed upon it. In infancy, that is, in the seed-bed or nursery-ground, we find that all plants of the same sort are alike, or nearly so. But in a year, and still more in many years, when they go out to form plantations, they experience a great diversity of treatment, and are placed in soil of various qualities, and in various degrees of exposure. To these vicissitudes the plastic powers of plants in process of time accommodate themselves ; so that, in point of form, character, and properties of every sort, they must essentially vary from one another, and acquire the properties most suitable to such soils and situations. It is for this reason that to establish any just analogy between the transplanting of young trees and the transplanting of old is utterly impossible, whatever may be believed by most planters to the contrary ; because, the circumstances in both cases being changed, the subjects under their influence change in consequence.\*

In considering the characteristics of trees above mentioned, we should always bear in mind that every production of nature is an end to itself, and that every part of it is at once end and mean. Of trees in open exposures, we find that their peculiar properties contribute, in

\* NOTE VII.

a remarkable manner, to their health and prosperity. In the first place, their shortness and greater girth of stem, in contradistinction to others in the interior of woods, are obviously intended to give to the former greater strength to resist the winds, and a shorter lever to act upon the roots. Secondly, their larger heads, with spreading branches, in consequence of the free access of light, are plainly formed for the nourishment, as well as the balancing of so large a trunk, and also for furnishing a cover to shield it from the elements. Thirdly, their superior thickness and induration of bark is, in like manner, bestowed for the protection of the sap-vessels that lie immediately under it, and which, without such defence from cold, could not perform their functions. Fourthly, their greater number and variety of roots are for the double purpose of nourishment and strength; nourishment to support a mass of such magnitude, and strength to contend with the fury of the blast. Such are the obvious purposes for which these unvarying characteristics of trees, in open exposures, are conferred upon them. Nor are they conferred equally and indiscriminately on all trees so situated. They seem, by the economy of nature, to be *peculiar adaptations* to the circumstances and wants of each individual, *uniformly bestowed in the ratio of exposure*—greater where that is more conspicuous, and uniformly decreasing as it becomes less.

On the other hand, in the interior of woods a universal tendency, for the reasons already stated, is observable in trees to rise to the light, to attain greater altitude, to form far smaller heads, and taller, slenderer, and more elegant stems. Here is found a milder and more genial climate, in which, by means of the calm generated by shelter, vegetation is not checked by cold, and at the same



time is undisturbed by the external impediment of wind. Here nature has no need, as in the case of exposures, to generate provisions necessary to mitigate the effect of evaporation, as has been above observed, or to endue each individual tree with distinct and appropriate means of defence against the elements. In this situation the branches, and in like manner the roots, are much less extensive and numerous than in the former instance, and the bark of a thinner and finer quality—all plainly indicating, that the trees so situated do not require the same external protection. It is like the genial warmth of the seed-bed or the nursery, but where there is freedom for the roots to expand without interruption, and for the leaves to prepare the sap without being vexed by the winds. In fact, so extraordinary is the difference between trees of the *same* species, placed in the one situation and in the other, that there is no visible point of resemblance between them, excepting the leaves. We may, however, perceive that, as soon as the tops gain the summit of the wood, their branches are shortened towards that quarter, and both branches and spray are more thickly, though less vigorously, thrown out, in order to supply a defence against the storm. Further, we find that the outside rows, partaking in some measure of the situation of trees in exposures, obtain, in a proportional degree, the provisions adapted to such a situation, and by consequence, a corresponding confirmation and external character.

It is a very curious fact, which has been verified by experiment, and is worthy the attention of the scientific planter, that these several properties or provisions, though once determinately acquired, are not fixed or permanent in trees. The vigilance of nature, if I may so speak, in adapting them to every vicissitude of external circumstances, is so conspicuous as to dispose them gradually to

divest themselves of the properties adapted to one situation, when they happen to be transferred to another to which the opposite properties are more congenial. For this reason, if a tree of some size, which in consequence of exposure has *acquired* all the properties already noticed as adapted to that situation, be transferred to the interior of the wood, it will in a few years *lay aside* those properties, and *assume* all the others which have been described, as peculiarly adapted to its new circumstances.\* Thus the law of nature seems to be, that shelter and exposure—that is, heat and cold—have the power alike of diminishing or increasing, and of even alternately bestowing and taking away, what may be called the PROTECTING PROPERTIES.

It has been noticed above, that all trees in open situations uniformly attain the highest states of natural perfection of which they are susceptible — consequently it is by such trees that the best, the toughest, and the most durable timber is produced. Yet it is interesting to observe the beneficence of Providence, in providing for the accommodation of man. Were it not for the way in which the external condition of trees, in woods and close situations, is modified, from whence could we procure the long and powerful beam, the straight, clean, and lengthened deal, and nearly all the wood that is employed, whether in civil or naval architecture ?

On considering these different phenomena, and comparing them with other facts respecting the growth of wood, which daily present themselves to our observation, the following conclusions, as adapted to practice, seem irresistible, and are agreeable to the law of nature on this subject.

First, That in a general view it seems evident, respect-

\* NOTE VIII.

ing the two descriptions of trees above mentioned, that each is furnished with a certain form, and certain provisions or properties, which are best adapted to the exigencies of its situation. That for this purpose the sheltered trees are always more straight, more delicate, and more lofty—the exposed more stout, more hardy, and more spreading; better nourished by roots, and protected and balanced by numerous spray, and wide extending branches.

Secondly, That as the four protecting properties already delineated, as belonging to trees in open situations, are essential and necessary to the vigorous development of their existence, so they may be set down as indispensable pre-requisites for those intended for transplantation, which generally implies increased exposure; and that, soil and climate being equal, such subjects will succeed the best as are endued in the greatest degree with these pre-requisites or properties.

Thirdly, We must infer that the four opposite, or non-protecting properties, described as belonging to sheltered trees, which are not developed in their natural and perfect proportions, however fitted such properties may be for *them*, are unsuitable to removal, and are on that account not less studiously to be avoided by the planter in the selection of his subjects. Indeed, in reflecting on the most striking instances of failure, it is observable that such are always associated with these unfavourable properties.

Fourthly, It is plain, if we mean to succeed in transferring trees of any magnitude in our lawns or parks, that we must endeavour to follow the example of nature in ordering such subjects. The practical course, then, to be pursued is, to adopt the subjects possessing the protecting provisions or properties, wherever they can be

found, and to communicate them to others in which they are wanting, by the easiest methods. Another rule seems to be, that, in following nature, we may accommodate or adapt the principle to the particular circumstances and situation in which we chance to operate : that, although we must rigidly adhere to it, as nature does, in severe exposures, we may yet proportionally relax it, as warmth or shelter is more or less afforded to our subjects : or, as has been expressed with greater precision above, we must prospectively maintain the same harmony between the existing provisions of the tree, and the exigencies of its new situation, as had previously subsisted between its relative properties and the circumstances of its former site.

Fifthly, If we adopt this principle, and follow it up with a judicious mode of execution, it seems evident that the necessity of defacing or mutilating the fine tops of trees will be entirely superseded. *We shall obtain at once* what the art, as hitherto practised, has not been able to obtain for us—the immediate and full effect of wood ; that is, *trees complete and perfect in all their parts*, without the loss of the time required to replace the parts, when so defaced and mutilated. In this way, likewise, a certain and successful practice will be established, instead of one that is fortuitous. To which it is pleasing to add, that the same system that gives picturesque effect conjoins utility with ornament ; for, by following it out, we shall ensure to our trees uniform health and progressive vigour, and, by consequence, sound and valuable wood.

Such is the general theory which I venture to suggest for the improvement of the art and the guidance of the planter. The proposition, as I conceive, has been enunciated, and examined in its several bearings, with sufficient accuracy in the foregoing part of this chapter. The more

brief and popular modification now given of protecting and non-protecting properties, is not perhaps strictly philosophical ; but it is adopted merely on account of its simplicity, and for the purpose of accommodating the theory to practice. These properties, I am aware, are acquired by trees solely in consequence of differences in their situation ; and for that reason it might have been better, if terms could have been found having a reference to what the tree is, or to the conditions that have made it so, rather than to any future uses which the character thus acquired is considered to serve. But they may be defended precisely on the same grounds as the terms "conducting" and "non-conducting," as applied to certain substances capable of receiving and transmitting the electric fluid, which were first invented by Disagulier, and have been since admitted into the philosophical nomenclature.

The above practical view, however, with the illustrations already offered, cannot well mislead us, as they are founded on admitted doctrines of phytology and the laws of nature. If such a mode of execution be superinduced upon it as shall furnish to the tree a competent supply of sap at the critical period of removal, the art may be said to be established on *fixed principles* ; and thus the results may be rendered as certain and successful as the severity of the operation will admit. Of the general correctness of the theory there seems little doubt ; but, like every other drawn from nature, it will be still further developed and improved by observation and experience. I may, however, say, after considerable experience, that, in park-practice at least, it admits of few modifications and no exceptions.

It is both interesting and important to observe, that the *principles* on which this theory is founded are the

true principles of GENERAL PLANTING, and must equally govern every attempt at successful arboriculture ; I mean the anatomy of plants, and the modifying of heat and cold to their various conditions and circumstances. It is a radical error to suppose, as is too often done by planters and gardeners, that heat is not as necessary to the infancy of a tender plant as to a newborn and helpless animal ; and that the former is not as ill adapted to resist cold, and an early and undue exposure to the elements, as the latter. The tree, as well as the animal, is an organised being endued with life, although its conditions of existence, internal and external, are differently modified : but the striking analogy subsisting between them should be the guide of the planter's practice, and should never be absent from his mind. It is owing to this utter unacquaintance with vegetable physiology which prevails among landowners, that the ill success of too many British plantations is to be attributed, and that wood so seldom thrives or repays the planter.

Were arboriculture, like husbandry, properly understood, and were the important sciences of physiology and chemistry applied, in the former art, to the study of facts, a very different return for the vast sums laid out in planting might certainly be expected. In this case, I do not say that soils and climates could by any means be equalised, but their return in wood, like that in crops, would become uniformly productive : trees would be judiciously adapted to their appropriate soils, and, what is little less important, to their appropriate climates. The efforts of nature would every where be seconded, instead of being repressed or counteracted. An efficient management would supersede a fortuitous practice ; and, in a word, science would be able to anticipate the result, which industry, without her assistance, could never bring about.

## SECTION IV.

### DEVELOPMENT AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE NEW THEORY OR PRINCIPLE.

IN the foregoing section, the principle or theory suggested for an improved practice in transplanting has been considered as a new principle. But it does not follow from thence, that I either believe, or would persuade others, that I have made many new discoveries in phyto-logical science. I have on this occasion merely deduced practice from speculation, and conclusions that are probably new, from facts which others as well as myself must have long since observed.

Simple and obvious as the principle seems to be, if it have ever occurred to, or been acted on by others, the fact has not come to my knowledge. Of the general practice of this country I may speak with some certainty. I have both seen and heard a good deal of that of our English neighbours. I have made considerable inquiries respecting the practice of France, Germany, and the north of Europe ; from all which it appears, that planters have not sufficiently attended to vegetable physiology, or to what the law of nature is in respect to the effects of shelter and exposure on the growth of wood. In one and all of these countries, trees are at once transferred from close woods or plantations to the open field, and full-grown or large subjects are, like young plants, more

or less lopped and defaced, under the name of lightening the tops, at the time of removal. These things, together with the ill success almost always attendant on the common method, clearly point out that the principle in question may be said to be altogether new to the public.

From the facts above stated it is apparent that there are certain distinct external provisions or properties in trees, called the protecting properties, which are conferred on them by nature, and which render them fit for resisting the influence of the elements in exposed situations ; and that there are certain other properties, termed the non-protecting, which render them unfit. Hence it follows, as already observed, that if, taking nature for our guide, we adopt such trees only as are endued with the former properties, as subjects for removal, we shall have the best chance of succeeding in that hazardous task. In order to show in the most intelligible manner how this has been accomplished, perhaps the simplest course will be to endeavour to detail the progress of my own attempts at the object ; earnestly requesting of the reader to forgive the appearance of egotism, with which such a detail must necessarily be accompanied. It is only from our own errors, or those of others, that we can hope to derive useful lessons in a process of which the success is so much dependent on judgment and accuracy.

My first experiments, many years since, were made on subjects taken from plantations in which the trees stood too close to one another. The plants, as might be expected, were straight and beautiful, although greatly drawn up by shelter towards the light, and deficient in lateral branches. But I expected, by removing a number of them, to attain the double object of thinning the plantations and wooding the open field. During the first



season, a few of the best-rooted survived the operation, and carried leaf well. Their tops were pretty severely lopped, or lightened with the axe, in the ordinary manner ; and I was flattered with some prospect that they would ere long shoot forth with vigour. In a year or two they became stunted and unhealthy, from causes now obvious, but which were unknown to me at the time. The remaining branches gradually dropped off. They were unable, even with the help of props, to resist the winds, and were in the end rooted out as altogether irrecoverable.

Having discovered that subjects of quite a different sort must be resorted to, my next trials were made on trees standing in open glades, in grove-wood which had been thinned out to wider distances, in hedgerows and the like, where the sun and air had freer admission. The trees, in general, here exceeded twenty feet in height, their stems were stouter than those used in my first experiments. Their bark had none of the fine and glossy surface belonging to that of the others. Their heads were beginning to assume a more spreading form, and were tolerably well balanced. The roots in some were numerous, but in others scraggy and straggling, according to the nature of their previous rooting-ground, and the degree of exposure in which they had stood.

The plants from the hedgerows, of course, exceeded all the others in the possession of those properties which I began to suspect were most essential ; and they would have been the best subjects of any, had not their roots grown in a perpendicular direction, in consequence of the high mound of earth on which the hedge was planted. But the tops of the whole I now resolved to leave entire and untouched, notwithstanding the universality of the

lopping practice, and the confident opinion entertained that it was indispensable to success.

At this early period I possessed little skill in the business of preparing or taking up the trees. I had no implements beyond common spades and shovels for the latter purpose : neither had I any proper machinery for safe and speedy transportation. A number of men, however, being set to work, sledges, trundles, carts, and even wheelbarrows were pressed into the service ; by which methods a few were removed with difficulty, and at a considerable expense.

Some years after this, I tried other subjects from forest glades, or open spaces in the interior of woods, where the trees were much taller and handsomer. Their disposition having been pretty open, and the lightness of the soil affording good rooting-ground, their roots and fibres had struck more abundantly than in the other subjects just now mentioned. Their bark, likewise, appeared more sound and healthy, and free from the coarse and rugged surface which was remarkable in the hedgerow plants. For these reasons they were the subjects from which I anticipated the most certain success. This took place more than thirty years ago.

It may easily be imagined that, in these rude attempts, many deaths occurred, and that a small number only outlived the operation ; but the lessons which were derived from them, after standing on the open ground for four or five years, were very instructive. I shall most probably surprise the young planter (as, indeed, I was surprised myself) by stating, that those which I then found to succeed the best were not what had shown the most numerous roots, as was conjectured, but what had acquired the thickest and coarsest coat of bark, and

possessed the stoutest stems, if accompanied with branches and spray pretty thickly set. In the second rank only came the plants from woody glades, of which the roots were so promising, and seemed to confer on them so great a superiority. In other words, it appeared to me that the success of the trees, their new situation and soil being equal, was *in the ratio of their previous exposure*, and their consequent power of protecting the sap-vessels, which power seemed always commensurate to exposure.

Subsequently various other experiments on a small scale were instituted, with such subjects as could be procured. But in searching for these I was necessarily confined to old and established plantations, which, although of some extent, and containing some variety of soil and climate, were yet imperfectly suited to the purpose. The important transplanting nurseries which I afterwards formed, and which shall be treated of in the sequel, had at this period no existence, and the manifold advantages since derived from them were not then contemplated. Still, a sufficient variety of plants, both in form and species, was obtained, so as to enlarge experience, and render the steps of its progress more interesting, and its results more satisfactory.

In these circumstances I was naturally incited to inquire into the causes of such unexpected phenomena, to engage in the study of the anatomy of trees, and of vegetable physiology, or the doctrine of the constitution and properties of plants. And in respect to trees, it is remarkable that little or nothing was known of this science in Europe until the close of the seventeenth century, when the first probable theory of the circulation of the sap was discovered. Indeed, it is only within the last forty or fifty years that the science has been greatly cultivated in Britain; and it will be admitted as a

striking proof of the fact, that, at this moment, few persons comparatively—and, what is still more surprising, few planters, are aware of the twofold course of the sap in trees, or of the method in which their juices are either elaborated or circulated. These studies, I found, threw great light upon the subject. Appearances for which I had not been able previously to account were now satisfactorily explained; and means were suggested for obviating difficulties that otherwise seemed insurmountable.

It has been already stated that there are four distinct external provisions, termed the protecting properties, which nature gives to trees in open exposures, and which distinguish them from others standing in the interior of woods. The use of these properties is to enable trees to develop their existence vigorously, in spite of the external conditions which are unfavourable to such development. Which of the four properties is really the most important for that purpose it was not easy to determine, as they are all relative and correlative. They act and react in the most curious manner upon one another, each modifying and determining, as has been seen, the existence of all the others. To predicate, then, or affirm certainly, as to their respective usefulness in the art of transplanting, is, properly speaking, more fanciful than real. But, from my own practice, I was disposed to rank them in the following order of pre-eminence: first, thickness and induration of bark; secondly, stoutness and girth of stem; thirdly, numerousness of roots and fibres; and fourthly, extent, balance, and closeness of branches. I found, however, that it might safely be assumed as a rule, that the success of the planter in this art would be in the actual proportion in which his subjects possessed these properties; and *vice versâ*, that his failure would

be in the proportion of their deficiency. It is manifest, on any other supposition, that we must believe Nature to act here in contradiction to herself, which is impossible ; although her most obvious processes are many times misunderstood by the blindness of man.

Such is the short history of my own progress, and of that plain and practical system on which I have consequently acted. It is unencumbered with complex notions or technical details. Its soundness has been proved by the experience of many years. The principle has been occasionally relaxed, or stretched to the utmost, as circumstances of comparative shelter or exposure required ; and the uniform success attending the practice leaves no room to doubt that a similar system, if adopted by others, will secure similar results.

As it is of the utmost importance to the young planter to apprehend as much of vegetable physiology as immediately relates to this subject, so that he may be enabled to appreciate the above properties or prerequisites, I beg leave to call his attention to a few observations which I shall make upon each of them. For this purpose let us consider the relative nature and importance of these prerequisites, in reference to the art and to one another, and draw such conclusions as may be useful in throwing light upon the principle just now laid down.

FIRST.—As to superior thickness and induration of bark. The bark of trees is accounted by phytologists as among the composite organs. It consists, first, of the epidermis, or external cuticle or integument of the plant ; secondly, of the cellular tissue or parenchyma—that is, the soft pulpy substance, situated immediately under the epidermis, and constituting a sort of secondary integument ; and thirdly, of a number of thin cortical and concentric layers, composing the mass of the bark ; of which

parts the innermost is denominated the *liber*, from its having been anciently used to write upon before the invention of paper.\* If the cortical layers be injured or destroyed by accident, the part is again regenerated, and the wound healed up without a scar. If the wound have penetrated beyond the liber, the part is incapable of being regenerated; because, when the surface of the alburnum is exposed to the air for any length of time, there will be no further vegetation in that part. But if the wound be not very large, it will close up, first by the production of new bark issuing from the edges, and gradually narrowing the wound, and then by the production of new layers of wood formed under the bark as before. If a portion of the stem only be decorticated, and covered with a piece of bark from another tree, the two different barks will readily unite. Hence we are enabled to ascertain how far the liber extends; and hence also the origin of grafting, which is always effected by a union of the liber of the graft with the stock.†

“There is no fixed or definite period,” as Keith observes, “that can positively be assigned as necessary to the complete induration of the wood or bark, although it seems to require a good many years before any particular layer is converted from the state of alburnum to that of perfect wood.”‡ In respect to bark, there is not any circumstance which hastens this period so much as the exposure of trees to the elements, even at an early period of their age. In the same way as the action of the air

\* Keith's *Physiological Botany*, vol. i. p. 295. Du Hamel, *Phys. des Arbres*, lib. i. pp. 3, 5. De Saussure, *Encyclop. Méthod. tom. i. p. 67*. Also, *Observations sur l'Ecorce*, &c.

† Senebier, *Phys. Veget. tom. i. pp. 177, 178*. Keith, vol. ii. p. 229. Knight, *Philosoph. Trans.* 1803. Ellis, *Anat. Veget. in Suppl. Encyclop. Britan.*

‡ *Physiol. Bot. vol. ii. p. 231*. See also Kieser, *Organ. des Plantes*, chap. ii. pp. 95, 96, et seq; also p. 153, &c.

multiplies or thickens the branches and spray, the cellular tissue and cortical layers are thickened for the protection of the proper vessels. The inner layers being always the softest, the outer by this means gradually increase in solidity and thickness, and become visibly indurated ; so that with some trees it even sloughs, and splits into chinks and fissures, as in the case of the Sycamore, the Elm, and the Fir. In this condition, we may conceive how well adapted such a mass of non-conducting matter is to protect from cold the ascending, and still more the descending or proper vessels, as already mentioned.

In order to assist the reader in forming a clear conception of the great value of a proper thickness of bark to trees intended for removal, it will be necessary to inquire a little into the means by which the sap-vessels minister to the sustenance of plants. In the warmer latitudes the sap flows in certain plants during the whole year ; but in those that are more temperate, the functions of vegetables are suspended, or nearly so, during the winter season. Early in the spring, however, it begins to rise in woody plants, and continues to ascend till it reaches the extremities of the branches. This sap is absorbed from the soil by the extremities of the capillary rootlets, and conveyed upwards, through the vessels of the root, to the trunk. In its ascent it rises only through the wood and the alburnum, in tubes of various sizes, and is prepared or elaborated by the leaves. That process, according to some, is effected by means of an alternate contraction and dilatation of the sap-vessels, and still more by a respiration, perceptible and imperceptible, in the leaves, which is peculiar to plants, whether woody or herbaceous, and by the action of the atmosphere : but according to others it is rather the exhalation from the leaves, than what is properly their respiratory functions, that effects the

ascent of the sap. When this has taken place, the sap is converted into the proper juice, or what has been by some called cambium—that is, juice fitted for nutrition; and it descends by the returning vessels of the leaf-stalk, and the longitudinal vessels of the rind, or inner bark. Thus the circulation is carried on by a double process, the ascending and the descending; whereby the vessels terminate downwards in absorbents, by which the fluids are received, and they terminate upwards in exhalents, by which those fluids are discharged. This doctrine of the two currents of sap was originally struck out by Malpighi and Grew; but the first who showed the organs of communication between the two currents to be the leaves was unquestionably Darwin—a discovery which the ingenuity of Knight subsequently extended and confirmed, and traced the existence of the circulation of the sap.

During the descent of the proper juice, it further appears that each branch is nourished by the juice prepared by itself, and that the surplus beyond what is required for that purpose descends from the junction of the branch with the stem, and contributes to the increase of the stem, and at last of the roots which originally supplied it. The descending juice is the efficient and proximate means employed by nature for the support and nourishment of every part; therefore, to say that a tree is vigorous and healthy, is to say, in effect, that it has an abundant supply of sap.\*

The true constitution and anatomy of plants was first systematically brought forward, on the Continent, by

\* See Grew and Malpighi, *Anat. Plant. passim*. Darwin's *Phytologia*. De Saussure, *Encyclop. Méthod.*—Wildenow, *Prin. of Bot.* p. 85. Knight, *Philosoph. Trans.* 1803, 1806. Ellis, in art. *Veget. Physiol.* in *Sup. to the Encyclop. Britan.*



Malpighi. Grew, as it is on all hands admitted, made his phytological discoveries about the same time, without any communication with the Italian physician;\* and both, without doubt, felt the impulse which had been given to the spirit of philosophical inquiry by the genius of Bacon, who showed how analytical and inductive investigation might be applied in order to explain the phenomena of vegetable life. In the end of the seventeenth century, while these two eminent men flourished, vegetable physiology was still in its infancy in Europe; but in process of time, as that interesting science attracted the notice of the learned, their theory was confirmed by new facts and more extended microscopical observation. To Grew and Malpighi succeeded various writers of different nations in the same track—De la Baisse, Hales, Bonnet, Du Hamel, Senebier, and others; until Hedwig, Wildenow, and especially Dr Kieser of Jena, and Messrs Knight, Ellis, and Keith, in our own times, have by their ingenious labours thrown the fullest light upon the subject.

But the circulation of the sap is not a doctrine that has been universally adopted by phytologists, however reasonable it may seem from the analogy which we see, in other instances, to subsist between the animal and vegetable kingdoms. About the middle of the last century it appears to have fallen into disrepute. Du Hamel refuted it with considerable ingenuity;† and both Du Hamel and Hales, while they generally admitted that the sap both ascends and descends, denied the existence of a circulation. Within the last five-and-twenty years, however, the theory has been revived with great lustre, and seems now to be the popular one of the day. In fact,

\* NOTE I.

† *Phys. des Arbres*, lib. v. ch. 2.

from the arguments, as well as names, by which it has been supported, it bids fair to stand its ground in future. Mr Keith, one of the clearest and best phytological writers we now have, is no ready granter of propositions; yet in his late work, while he holds the balance of decision with an able and even hand between the conflicting theories, he very nearly admits the existence of the doctrine.\*

From this cursory account it is apparent of what vast importance it is to the planter to maintain the sap, and still more the proper vessels, in the due exercise of their functions, and to protect them from external injury, of which cold may be considered as the greatest. For this purpose nature has wisely provided such trees as are in open exposures with a thick and coarse covering of outer bark, which forms a defence from the elements to the inner bark, in which the descending or proper vessels are situated.

Further, we know that heat is necessary to cause vegetation, as well as to continue it. Hence the wonderful effects of shelter in close woods and plantations in encouraging growth. All trees, during infancy, require a considerable proportion of warmth to make them shoot freely, as is proved by comparing the striking difference in their progress at different degrees of elevation or exposure. What is most remarkable in sheltered trees is, that several of the kinds, most delicate and tender while young—for example the Oak—are found, when matured in a kindly temperature, to be the best adapted to resist the elements, and set their greatest fury at defiance.

In adverting to heat as essential to vegetation, it is particularly worthy of notice, as already observed, that the epidermis and bark of trees drawn up by shelter are

\* NOTE II.

usually thin, the former often smooth and glossy. The descending vessels, by consequence, as they lie under it, never fail to suffer severely on being exposed to a cold atmosphere. It is greatly on this account, as well as from scantiness of roots and lateral boughs, that plantations sustain such extensive injury on being suddenly thinned. Where that operation is performed in a gradual manner, it gives time for nature to prepare the trees for the change by strengthening the coat of bark, and likewise by multiplying the roots and thickening the spray and branches; and thus the proper vessels are prevented from being chilled by untimely exposure. The fact, though universally known, is never referred to the true cause by common observers.

These considerations furnish ample ground to admire the wise provision of nature in bestowing a much thicker, coarser, and more indurated covering of bark upon all trees in open-exposures; for in vain might they possess every other property, if the sap-vessels were not sufficiently protected and enabled to do their office. Were that to happen through the thinness of the bark, there cannot be a doubt but that the plants would become stunted and sickly, and both branches and spray would suffer injury in consequence, as we see happen to the generality of transplanted trees which do not possess this protecting property. From all which it appears, that the health and protection of the proper vessels, by means of a due thickness and induration of bark, is an indispensable prerequisite in all subjects meant for removal, and that it is deserving of the rank here assigned to it.

SECONDLY.—Girth and stoutness of stem. Next to thickness of bark, the fitness of the tree for removal greatly depends on this property. The stem or trunk of woody plants is classed by phytologists among the conservative

organs. It is the part of the tree in which its bulk and strength principally reside, and has been represented by Linnæus as the *caudex ascendens*, or root above ground — an illustration, as Keith truly observes, more fanciful than philosophical.\*

The stems of trees are augmented in width by an annual layer, and in length by an annual shoot springing from the terminating bud. The development of the shoot from the stem is not effected in the same manner as that of the root, by additions to the extremity only, but by the intromission of additional particles throughout its whole extent, at least in its soft and succulent state. The extension of the shoot, as Du Hamel justly remarks, is inversely as its induration—rapid while it remains herbaceous, but slow as it is converted into wood. Hence moisture and shade are the circumstances of all others the most favourable to elongation, because they prevent induration, or retard it.† In close and confined plantations, therefore, where the external conditions of air and light are imperfectly supplied, the roots are correlatively proportional to the system of ramification. Trees so circumstanced push upwards to the light; and from the warmth which their situation affords, their stems being thin and slender in proportion to their height, they are destitute of strength to resist the winds. The natural consequence is, that their roots are extremely apt to be shaken and displaced in the ground, and prevented from seeking proper food for the branches and other parts of the tree.

Now it is obvious that it is these very properties which are the least adapted to removal. Nothing but a stem

\* Physiolog. Bot. vol. i. p. 43.

† Ibid. vol. ii. pp. 251, 252. Kieser, Organ. des Plantes, p. 164; also pp. 166-168.

stout and vigorous, and nourished by adequate side-branches, can obviate the evils above delineated. Supports or props, whether composed of wood, cordage, or any other material, are of little avail in giving stability. To a body rather deficient in proper strength, a rich and favourable soil may, after some years, give an augmentation of roots and lateral branches, and in the end a certain accession of strength to the body itself. But he who would transplant with judgment should consider a vigorous stem as a *sine quâ non* in the beginning; as success otherwise must depend on accidents which he cannot control, and on advantages which he may not procure at an after period.

THIRDLY.—Numerousness of roots and fibres. Roots are also accounted among the conservative organs. The body of the roots of trees, says Malpighi, may be regarded as a production and elongation of the trunk beneath the soil, and is constructed of the same textures disposed in the same manner.\* Roots, like the stem, are augmented in their width by the addition of an annual layer, and in their length by the addition of an annual shoot, bursting from the terminating fibre; but they are elongated merely by the extremity. This is the general opinion of phytologists. It has, however, of late been called in question, and great ability has been displayed in making it appear that the root is not elongated by the extremity, any more than the stem; or, if it be so, that the rule has numerous exceptions.†

As trees have no organs analogous to the mouths of animals, they are enabled to take up the nourishment which is necessary for their support only by absorption and inhalation, as the chyle is taken into the animal lacteals, or air into the lungs. This, in the language of

\* Anat. Plantar. p. 145.

† See Thomson's Annals of Philos. No. LXXVI. p. 229.

phytologists, is termed intromission; and the former mode, of course, applies to the intromission of non-elastic fluids, and the latter to that of gaseous fluids.\* The fact is, that the roots are much rather to be regarded as the mouths of plants, selecting what is useful to nourishment, and rejecting what is yet in a crude and indigestible state; the larger portion of it also serving to fix the plant in the soil, and to convey to the trunk the nourishment absorbed by the smaller fibres, which, ascending by the tubes of the alburnum, is thus conveyed to the leaves.† Roots, moreover, by their vigour and numbers, must previously stretch out under ground before the branches can extend themselves in the air; and the progress of the latter development will, of course, be in proportion to that of the former. By the curious and beautiful way in which almost every part of a tree modifies and determines the existence of every other part, as above noticed, the roots in their turn receive vigour and extension from the advancement of the branches.

It appears that roots and fibres are found more or less extensive in the ratio of the exposure or shelter in which a tree is placed. In open situations they are always strong and numerous, and they extend to a wide distance from the plant. This is indispensably necessary, notwithstanding the short and powerful stem which a tree so situated usually displays, in order to enable it to resist the elements, and to provide sustenance for the great expansion of top with which such a tree is sure to be furnished. Hence may be seen the great importance of numerous roots and fibres in removing trees of any magnitude. It is supposed by some that the roots of trees so placed, if of considerable age, after having exhausted

\* NOTE III. † Keith, vol. ii. p. 246; also pp. 90, 250.

before their maturity all the pabulum in their immediate neighbourhood, will at length be found searching for food at a distance from the trunk equal to the height of the trees themselves. At all events we are aware that, even with trees of a youthful age, the roots and branches are co-extensive with each other; or rather, that superior extent is generally found on the side of the roots.

In the more confined parts of the forest the reverse of this usually takes place, from the warmth generated by shelter, and from the injurious effects of evaporation being obviated. Here roots, and especially fibres, are of far less extent and strength, and also fewer in number, than in open positions; and hence the risk arising from thickets or close plantations being too suddenly laid open, as above mentioned. The want of a stronger system of root, in such cases, is sufficiently accounted for by the reasonings already given, and by a sufficient supply of the descending sap.

In order to enable trees to withstand the wind, there is nothing more important than the tap-root, or root that has its determination directly downwards. Roots and branches, as has been already observed, are relative and correlative; and I am inclined to think that a striking resemblance of character exists between the leading shoots and the tap-roots, insomuch that they will be found not only analogous to, but also co-existent with each other. While the tree continues in full vigour, and has not as yet attained its ultimate height or size, it has a leading shoot or shoots at top; and at that period we find that there is, in like manner, underground, a leading tap-root of corresponding vigour. When the leading shoots of the stem begin to lose their pre-eminence, and gradually disappear among the other branches, the top of the tree assumes a rounded form, and becomes what is called clump-headed.

At this period also the tap-root, in the same way, loses its pre-eminence, and begins to disappear among the other roots. It loses likewise its power of going downwards, and is no longer distinguished among the latter. Thus we may perceive how remarkable a resemblance the roots and branches of trees bear to each other, not only in respect to *form*, but also in respect to *the period of their duration*—a fact which has not hitherto been observed by phytologists.

As to the tap-root, it appears that a good deal of exaggeration has prevailed among late writers respecting its importance to trees, which has been affirmed to be quite paramount. Hence the doctrine that, if it be cut off by transplantation, or other means, the tree has no longer the power either of renewing or reproducing it, or even of growing to timber of any magnitude. This opinion, however, is founded in error, and cannot be supported by experience. From the development of woody plants, we are warranted in believing that, in infancy, the tap-root is indispensable to their vigorous growth. But the fact that, in trees of mature age, when cut down, the tap-root is no longer distinguishable from the other roots, sufficiently shows that the importance which it once possessed does not continue to a late period of their age.

From my own experience I am enabled to adduce a direct confirmation of the analogy above mentioned. In sundry instances, when I have had occasion for the second time to remove a tree, the power of renovation appeared conspicuous in the fresh set of tap-roots that was generated. In the same way, respecting the top, on heading down a spiral tree, in order to communicate to it the spreading character, it was found that numerous growths were sent out instead of the leading shoot, which had been dis-



placed by the pruning-knife ; and when it came to be shortened a second time, there appeared, of course, a still greater multiplicity. The truth seems to be, that no physiological observations as yet made are inconsistent with this doctrine. Art or accident may cut off or shorten either the tap-root or the pre-eminent shoots of the top, but the plastic powers of most trees will soon renew them ; not indeed with the same degree of strength individually in either, but in greater numbers, aggregately qualified to perform the same functions in nourishing the plant.\*

Further, roots are materially determined in their form, by the nature of the soil in which they grow ; in-somuch that, in many instances, before we can pronounce on their true form, we must be aware of the condition and texture of the soil that is most natural to them. Their development is most luxuriant in ground that is neither too loose nor too dense. In stiff and poor soils they are spare and scraggy, whereas in such as are at once deep and loose, the minutest fibres both expand and elongate with facility, and render the mouths that search for food to the plant almost innumerable.† This is remarkably exemplified in the Beech and the Sycamore, and still more in the Ash, of which the fibrous roots sometimes amount to millions. Such soils, accordingly, furnish the best rooting ground, and are always favourites with the planter. To fit trees, however, for removal to situations of great exposure, the roots may, by artificial methods, be multiplied to a degree far beyond what can be accomplished by unassisted nature ; and thus, by art discreetly employed, the business of vegetation—that is, the circula-

\* NOTE IV.

† Du Hamel, *Phys. des Arbres*, tom. i. p. 82. Ellis, *Veget. Anat. in Sup. to Encyclop. Britan.*

tion of the sap—is prevented from standing still during the extreme violence which transplanting, in its best form, must inflict.

FOURTHLY.—Extent, balance, and closeness of branches. Branches, like the roots and stem, are classed among the conservative organs. They are divisions of the trunk, originating generally in the upper extremity, but often likewise along the sides. The primary divisions are again subdivided into secondary divisions, and these again into divisions still smaller, till they terminate at last in slender twigs or spray. In point of external form and structure, branches resemble the trunk from which they issue; but in point of insertion, distribution, and direction, they exhibit considerable variety, furnishing a ground of distinction occasionally assumed by botanists in the discriminating and characterising of species. Like the stem and root, branches increase in width by the accession of new layers, and in length by the addition of new shoots; but they are not formed merely by means of an horizontal extension of the longitudinal tubes of the stem, but each branch is, as it were, a distinct individual. Hence the stem is to the branch what the soil is to the plant—the source of nourishment and stability.\*

Branches may properly be considered as among the most important parts of trees, as they certainly are the most beautiful. One of the most obvious offices they have to perform is to support the leaves; and the leaves, by a respiration perceptible and imperceptible, and by the action of the atmosphere, as above noticed, elaborate the sap sent up by the roots, and convert it into juice fitted for nutrition. The ascent of the sap from the roots seems to be considerably assisted by a proper number of

\* Grew, *Anat. of Plants*, p. 28. Du Hamel, *tom. i. p. 93*. Keith, *vol. i. pp. 48-51; vol. ii. pp. 255, 256*.

side-branches, distributed along the stem; and the general health and vigour of the tree are in the same way increased; so that it will ultimately attain a greater size than if deprived of such branches, or very sparingly supplied with them. During the descent of the proper juice, on the other hand, as has been seen, each branch is nourished by the sap prepared by itself; and the surplus quantity beyond what is so employed goes to the increase, first of the stem, and in the end of the roots. From the experiments made by the most accurate observers, we further find, that the solid texture of the wood depends on the quantity of the descending sap, and in a great measure likewise on the slowness of its descent; both of which objects are materially promoted by the lateral branches.\* But should they exceed the due number requisite for those important purposes, retaining too much of the sap which they prepare, and affording too scanty a supply to the stem, they may in ordinary cases be considered as robbers, and should be curtailed by pruning within proper limits.

In this view it will be perceived that judicious pruning is a work of far greater nicety and difficulty than is generally believed, and that it should not be permitted, unless under the superintendence of some scientific person. It is true it has been shown by the ingenious Mr Pontey, that severe pruning will in some cases augment the actual *weight* of the stem, and therefore, as he speciously argues, the *value* of the tree. But great doubts may be entertained whether this writer, meritorious as he is, may not have proceeded on erroneous principles in his theory; and that his practice in pruning has been carried to a height sanctioned by neither science nor experience.†

\* Knight on the Sap of Trees, Philosoph. Trans. 1803, 1804. Mirbel, Anat. et Phys. Veget. art. 6.

† NOTE V.

Branches, besides giving to trees both beauty and nourishment, serve to balance them properly, and by throwing themselves out on every side, aid the trees in withstanding the wind, in whichever way it may blow. Most trees, if not prevented by adverse circumstances, have at first a leading shoot, which tends perpendicularly upwards, and is invested with a pre-eminence over the other branches. Having reached the height which the soil and situation admit, the central shoot loses its pre-eminence. The sap required to give it superior vigour seems then to fail, and it gradually disappears among the other shoots. Meanwhile, the plastic powers of the trees soon multiply the branches of the top, which last gradually obtains a rounded form, and becomes what the nurserymen call "clump-headed." But this sort of head, so desirable for picturesque purposes, may also be procured by judicious treatment, as will be seen in the sequel—and with sufficient room for expansion during the youthful age of the tree, and while the central shoot yet maintains its pre-eminence and the power of reaching its greatest height.

It is pleasing to observe, in this place, how beauty and utility coincide in the same object. In proportion as the tree has room to expand on every side, (agreeably to the foregoing account of the action and reaction of the different parts on one another,) it must be with an equal and corresponding expansion of the roots. As the exposure is increased, we uniformly perceive that both branches and roots multiply. Towards the quarter most exposed, the branches are always more contracted in their growth, but in general more thickly set with spray; plainly for the purpose of furnishing a closer cover of leaves for the protection of the sap-vessels, as they lie immediately under the bark. This, however, is by no means incon-

sistent with the established fact, that a more active vegetation is carried on on the warmer than the colder sides of trees, and a greater deposition of nutrient matter consequently made on the former: because by far the largest and longest branches are always found on the warmer side, though more thinly disposed over it—and they prove the superior activity of vegetation there; while the shorter, but weaker and more crowded style of ramification on the colder side shows, in like manner, its inferior activity. Nevertheless, the clothing of leaves is in this way usually thicker, for the wise purpose of defence from cold, on the side last mentioned.

This is extremely well illustrated in the general development of the position of branches, which we see assume all the varieties of form, from the reflected to the horizontal and the upright. In all these instances it is observable that the lowermost branches are parallel to the surface of the soil on which they grow, even although its surface should be the sloping side of a hill; owing, as is supposed by phytologists, to the evolution of a greater number of buds on the side that forms the obtuse angle with the surface, in consequence of its being exposed to the action of a greater mass of air.\* From this statement, however, it will be perceived that the most exposed tree is, generally speaking, the most picturesque and beautiful.

To the inexperienced planter it may seem paradoxical to assert, that the largest-headed tree, if well balanced, is the best calculated, on removal, to resist the winds. But the assertion is nevertheless true, if the foregoing facts be correctly given: because, as the roots are always correlative to the branches, it will be found that the smallest-

\* Physiolog. Bot. vol. ii. p. 256. La Nature Dévoilée, Dial. xiv. See NOTE VI.

headed tree is the least able to support itself in an open situation. It is to trees only with insignificant heads, and sparingly furnished with lateral branches, although they expose a much lesser surface to the wind, that props or supports are at all necessary, after being properly transplanted ; and it matters little whether these defects have been produced by ignorance and the axe, or by undue confinement. From all which facts and observations it is apparent that branches, being organs to a certain extent necessary to the existence and health of all trees, are indispensable in a peculiar degree to such as are intended for removal. If the roots, in their capacity of absorbents, collect and send up a supply of food to the plant, the leaves, which are a constituent part of the branches, in their capacity of exhalents perform a function at least as important, by preparing and rendering that food fit for nutrition ; while the branches act as the main channels in distributing it to every part of the plant. Other things, therefore, being equal, it may be held as an axiom in practice, that the success of the planter will be nearly in proportion to the fulness of ramification of top and sides which his subjects may possess.

It is further deserving of remark, that although there is little more which we can do towards either beauty or utility, where nature regulates the process, than humbly to follow her footsteps, yet experience leads us to believe that, in transplanting, (which, however, is a department of art,) art may improve the balance, and of course the beauty of trees, on a principle to all appearance contrary to nature, and certainly opposed to all former practice. It is well known to those best acquainted with woods, that most trees are unequally balanced, and show what is called a "weather side"—usually to the west and south-

west in this island—from which side they seem to bend, and exhibit in consequence a very unseemly appearance. The same thing also takes place in close plantations, where they are mechanically injured by others. Of this propensity to bend to the gale, the Beech and the Larch are remarkable examples; and there is scarcely any tree, the Sycamore perhaps excepted, which does not exhibit a weather side towards the blast, and towards the opposite side throw out by far the longest and stoutest branches. In other words, all trees growing for a certain time in exposed situations, or even in close ones where they cannot equally expand, may be said to be ill balanced. This, in parks much exposed, is found a very serious eyesore; as in such situations the stems describe very unequal angles with the surface, singularly acute on the one side, and as obtuse on the other. It is true the painter sometimes makes use of such objects in his landscapes, as being agreeable to nature. Kent, the father of landscape gardening, planted dead trees in his earlier designs, the better to imitate natural variety, until he was laughed out of the practice by his friends or rivals. But most planters of the present day will regard it as safer and more judicious to copy beautiful rather than deformed nature in most instances, and leave those picturesque effects which disfigurement occasionally supplies to be produced by accident, rather than by intentional labour.

In order to remedy the striking deformity in question, I have, in transplanting, uniformly *reversed* the position of the tree in its new situation. By that means, and in consequence of greater warmth, the greater activity of vegetation is *transferred to the deficient side*, the equal balance of the tree is gradually effected, and its beauty and symmetry are unspeakably augmented. In exposed situations, there is no other possible way of procuring a

full and extensive ramification on the stormy side: for wherever the action of the air is the greatest, there the greatest evolution of buds, as above stated, and the thickest growth of spray, will take place; but those growths, for the reasons already assigned, are shorter and feebler in proportion as they are more numerous. In so far, then, the art of transplanting on fixed principles may be said to substitute beauty for deformity, and fairly to cure one of the most prominent defects which, in a picturesque view, park trees in loose dispositions are apt to display, particularly on our western coasts. Probably I am the first planter who ever thought of turning these properties of woody plants to any practical or useful purpose.\*

In respect to the health and strength of the trees, I have never found it to injure them, or in any wise to impede their growth. As soon as the warmer or more sheltered side becomes the colder or more exposed, according to the law of nature, the respective parts soon accommodate themselves to the circumstances in which they are placed. The free extension of branches, which in the former position had been acquired by the sheltered side, loses none of its pre-eminence, while the contracted growths on the opposite side as freely expand. The health and progress of the tree sustain no check or detriment, while its equal balance and symmetry are both singularly improved. On this subject I may speak with some confidence, after long experience in the removal of wood of all sorts, and in a situation decidedly exposed; because the exposure of nearly the one half of the park here is considerable, and the climate on the whole is none of the most propitious. The practice, therefore, may be

\* NOTE VII.



deemed of some value to the planter, who will perceive that where so great a point is gained no visible injury is suffered, as is admitted by all who have examined the trees at this place. That almost every naturalist and georgical writer, ancient and modern, from Theophrastus to Virgil, and from Virgil down to Evelyn and his followers, has insisted on an opposite practice, as quite indispensable to the health and well-being of woody plants, is little to the purpose. It is nothing more than a reiterated precept, handed down from one age to another, in the face of the most unquestionable experience of its fallacy.\*

Upon the whole, in considering this fairest and most beautiful of vegetable productions, it is interesting to observe the curious and complicated mechanism, if I may so speak, that is displayed by nature in nourishing and bringing it to perfection, and the intimate connexion which subsists between the most distant parts. In fact, every part of a tree is the condition of every other part, which continually acts and reacts. The judicious planter, therefore, will regard the treatment to be given to none of these parts with indifference; it being clear that *the preservation of all the parts, in as entire and perfect a state as possible*, is a matter of first-rate moment to his art.† He will also see that his success mainly depends on *the due regulation of the sap*, and a careful *protection of the sap-vessels*.

In the course of the foregoing remarks and illustrations, I have endeavoured to show the importance of the four main properties, or prerequisites, which trees should possess to render them fit for removal to exposed situations. I have also given a cursory idea of the nature of the

\* NOTE VIII.


† NOTE IX.

different organs of woody plants, by which those properties are designated. From what has been said, the intelligent reader will perceive, that the principle adopted for a new theory of the art is founded on the laws of vegetation, and the researches of the most eminent phytologists. By reducing it to practice, the mutilating system now generally prevalent will be rendered unnecessary, and a method established which is obviously superior in itself, and more agreeable to observation and experience. This system I shall venture to call the PRESERVATIVE. But before concluding these remarks, it is but fair towards the existing system to take a short view of the actual merits of both, and, by giving them in a comparative way, endeavour to show how each applies to practice.

We will suppose that a planter, according to the mutilating method, is to remove to an exposed situation a tree eight-and-twenty or thirty feet high, three feet and a half in girth (or fourteen inches in diameter) at a foot from the ground. We will suppose further, that it displays the most perfect symmetry of form, having an expansion of top from five-and-twenty to eight-and-twenty feet, with boughs descending to within three or four feet of the ground. Such a tree we may consider as a very handsome subject, and such as has frequently been removed at this place.

Having prepared the roots according to Lord Fitzharding's method, three or four years before, and taken them up as well as he can, perhaps seven feet out from the stem, (which, according to Marshall, is well rooted for its height,\*) we will suppose that this planter then proceeds to lighten and lop the top, in order to reduce it, as

\* Rural Ornament, vol. i. p. 367.



SPECIMEN OF A TREE, REMOVED ON THE  
PRESERVATIVE PRINCIPLE.

Blakely & Sons, Ed. & Proprietors.



the same intelligent writer recommends, "to the ability of the roots." We will, moreover, take it for granted that he deals mercifully with this beautiful tree, and cuts away only a half or a third part of its boughs, and thus transfers it to its new situation. Under these circumstances, we may presume that some props or fastenings, whether of wood or cordage, may be requisite, especially about the equinox, to preserve the tree in an upright position. Now, will not all the evils ascribed by Miller to the mutilating system, independently altogether of picturesque considerations, soon begin to assail it? Having the roots and top (which are both conservative organs) curtailed and injured at one and the same time, the supply not less than the preparation of the sap is completely impeded. From the obvious want of leaves sufficient to elaborate the sap, and the equally striking want of branches to communicate nourishment to the stem, and ultimately to the roots, the whole tree in most instances becomes stunted and paralysed. Pale and yellowish tints supply the place of a deep and healthful verdure of foliage, and the larger boughs, as well as the light spray, gradually decay and drop off. Even in cases which are the most eminently successful, and where the tree fortunately escapes these mischances, fifteen years, as I conceive it, in the best English climates, and twenty and five-and-twenty at least in the northern counties and in Scotland, are scarcely sufficient to replace the amputations with fresh wood, and to restore the tree to its natural health and strength.

What, we may ask, have now become of its fine symmetry of form, its characteristic and ample top, "its happy surface (as Gilpin expresses it) for catching great masses of light?" What also has become of the fair promise which, before removal, it held out of enduring

vigour, and of sound and healthy wood? All these are gone, as Miller truly states; all are utterly annihilated by the rude assaults of the axe, which has left no trace remaining of their existence. The most prominent and characteristic features of the species, which mainly reside in the top, have disappeared also by the same process: for even when such trees do succeed, and acquire the formal and *bush-like* head, common to all that are removed after the mutilating method, "it is seldom," as Pontey observes, "that they harmonise with any thing about them."\* On comparing them with plants raised from the nursery, or the seed, we perceive but a small saving of time in favour of this system: yet it is time saved with the infliction of such evils, and the sacrifice of such advantages, as to render it any thing but desirable to the planter of taste.

On the other hand, we will suppose the same planter to transfer a tree of similar description and dimensions to a situation of similar exposure, but according to the preservative method. This tree, being a subject of uncommon beauty, as above described, and having a head of more than five-and-twenty feet broad, strong roots of fourteen and fifteen feet of a side (instead of seven) are taken up with it, together with abundance of the minutest fibres, after a peculiar method, to be explained in the sequel. Instead of lopping and defacing the top and side-branches, the whole are left untouched, and their fine symmetry is preserved entire. Transportation of the tree to its destined site then follows; where, after being replanted according to a peculiar method, also productive of stability in an extraordinary degree, it is found capable of resisting the wind on the simplest principles, namely, the acquired steadfastness of the stem, and the length and

\* Rural Improver, p. 87.

distribution of the roots, added to the balance of an extensive top, from whatever quarter it may blow.

During the first spring, when the sap begins to flow abundantly upwards, if no severe frosts supervene to cut down the slender spray of the top, not a branch or a twig is found to decay. The sap ascends, by means of adequate roots, in a sufficient quantity for the support of both, and for enabling the leaves to perform their elaborating functions. The leaves, therefore, though for obvious reasons of a lesser size, and sometimes a lighter colour than usual, during the first season *universally clothe every part*. After the first, or at all events after the second year, under common circumstances, the deep hue of health and the fulness of leaf which the tree formerly displayed again return; and while its foliage glitters in the sunshine, or floats on the breeze, no eye can distinguish whether it has been two years or forty in its new situation. Picturesque effect or shelter, as the planter's object chances to be, is in this way *obtained from the first*. But no planter of experience will expect shoots of much consequence to appear till the tree be established in the ground. This of course requires four or five years, at least in the climate of Scotland, after which it usually shoots forth with vigour; and the *longer it stands*, according to the preservative system, it will shoot with the *greater vigour*, as the experience of more than thirty years has incontestibly proved. By this statement, then, it appears that the system in question has, in *this country*, the power of saving, and in some sort of anticipating, *forty years of the life of man*—a large portion, in any view, of that uncertain possession. And thus, by following such a system, the immediate and full effect of wood is at once procured at a moderate expense, as shall be made apparent in the sequel.

In this view of the two methods, I am not conscious to myself of having exaggerated the evils, or concealed any of the advantages, attendant on the mutilating system ; at least, if the opinions of Miller, Marshall, Pontey, and other skilful planters and phytologists, be well founded. Should any of my readers conceive that I have too highly coloured the delineation of the preservative, let them do me the honour to visit this place, and judge for themselves. Which of the two methods is deserving of the preference, I leave to the decision of the impartial. But I will take the liberty to add, that as I write chiefly for the practical, not for the speculative improver, probably those planters will be found to judge most candidly, in this competition between the systems, *who have themselves tried the practice of the art.*

Thus I have endeavoured, in the course of the foregoing observations, to develop and illustrate certain principles for the improvement of this art, and also that of general planting ; which principles, though derived from science, may not attract the notice they deserve. It is only on an acquaintance with vegetable physiology and the anatomy of plants, with the habits they display and the organs and properties they possess, that any sound foundation can be laid for practical arboriculture. The lessons suggested by this inquiry, and the conclusions to which it has led, are the fruit of long experience and a careful induction of facts and experiments, the only safe and true method of philosophising on any subject. From what has been said, the inexperienced planter will see of how much importance it is for him in this, as in other things, to distrust established dogmas, to adopt some phytological inquiry as the groundwork of his practice, and to think for himself.

Yet there are those who may imagine that, in a treatise



professing to be *practical*, I have dwelt unnecessarily long on the exposition of *principles*. But they may rest assured that no method could have been devised more certain, than a thorough acquaintance with them, to shorten the road to knowledge. Without this, the utmost diligence of the planter is being at sea without a compass. Accurate practice and manual dexterity are soon acquired, and can succeed only when made subservient to principles, and to a careful study of those beautiful but simple methods which nature pursues in perfecting her works.

## SECTION V.

### FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE NEW THEORY. SELECTION OF SUBJECTS FOR REMOVAL.

IF it be true, as has been observed by a judicious writer,\* that the removal of large trees “forms the most difficult part of planting,” it is certainly not less true that the selection of subjects forms the most difficult part of transplanting. This I have no expectation is to gain general belief with country gentlemen, or even with practical planters of superior intelligence; because both consider planting merely as a *mechanical art*, and neither will easily be brought to study it as an object of interesting science, or even liberal inquiry. When Demosthenes was asked what he considered as the first quality in an orator, he at once replied, action, according to the very extensive acceptation of that term which prevailed in his day. When questioned as to the second quality, he said, action: and being desired to name the third, he still gave the same answer. In this emphatic way, I must own, I should be disposed to speak of the selection of subjects, were I to be similarly questioned by the young planter, whether his curiosity were directed to planting in general, or to any particular branch or department of the art; and I should earnestly recommend this difficult subject to his patient investigation and his most assiduous study.

It is obvious, however, in the department under con-

\* Marshall.

sideration, that to transplant at all we must have subjects. At a place of any extent, tolerable subjects are never wanting; although they must abound or be deficient according to the diligence of culture, especially in respect to thinning, with which the woods and plantations have been treated. Yet it must not be imagined that, at places of small extent, subjects are not likewise to be found. At such, the current of the prevailing winds is always less broken, and the climate less improved, than under the shelter of broad and extensive masses of wood. Other things, therefore, being equal, there will probably be more and better subjects at small or middle-sized places than at great—that is, in proportion to the size of the masses from which they are taken. But it should be remembered, that at both they may often be invisible to the eyes of the owners.

Woods are planted for two main purposes—utility and ornament, with both of which shelter is obviously combined. In woods planted for utility, the greatest elongation of the stems of trees is required; and close planting, pruning, and other means are employed, to obtain what is considered as the greatest possible “weight of wood.” In plantations raised for beauty or shelter, such as in parks and pleasure-grounds, the planter’s object is to prevent an undue elongation of the stems, unless for particular purposes, and to promote an expansion of the tops of trees, so as to enable them to develop themselves in their just and natural proportions. It is almost needless to observe, that it is from woods or plantations of the last-mentioned description that the selection of subjects is for the most part to be made. If made judiciously, it will furnish, without doubt, the best practical illustration of the principles held forth, and the theory attempted to be established, in the two foregoing chapters.

Before proceeding to deliver any specific rules on this important topic, perhaps the best way will be to attempt an indirect road to our purpose, by enumerating the most common errors committed by planters in their choice of subjects ; and then by endeavouring shortly to account, from the laws of nature, for the ill success that has attended such selection.

The most common errors which injudicious planters commit appear to be of three different kinds : first, they bestow no pains or care in the adaptation of trees to the particular soils in which they are calculated to thrive ; secondly, they have recourse to close woods and plantations for the supply of subjects ; and thirdly, they set out plants at too early an age, and of too diminutive a size, into the open field.

First, as to the non-adaptation of trees to their proper soils. All plants, woody or herbaceous, seem to be fitted by nature to grow best in particular soils and sub-soils, in which they thrive more luxuriantly than in others. This is a fact which is, or should be, familiar to all planters. In other departments, such as husbandry, it is universally understood. No farmer of intelligence ever errs in adapting his crops to the soils most proper for them, or puts his wheat or his beans where his barley or turnip should be put, or *vice versá*. Not so, however, the planter ; for nine times in ten he pays no regard to adaptation, but puts the same trees indiscriminately on every soil. Even late practical writers of name and authority advocate the practice, and recommend that mixed plantations of all trees should universally be made, with the design, as they allege, of producing "a greater weight of wood" than by any other method. This is a system which, to say the least, sets little value on experience. In fact, it equalises all

plants and all soils at once, and renders all judgment in treating them superfluous.

But however such a method may succeed in producing mixed effects in plantations, it cannot be admitted for wood in the lawn or park, in which the prominent effects are to result from small groups or individual trees, and where, on that account, every single failure tells and appears conspicuous. No man who knows any thing of wood will put down the Sycamore, the Lime, or the Wild Cherry, for example, on a clayey soil; neither will he put the Oak or the Elm on light sand or gravel, but, on the contrary, on the deepest and loamiest land he can find, and in the case of the Oak, even with a clay bottom: for although that tree, in particular, is the most accommodating of all plants, it is only on land of this sort that it will really thrive and grow to timber. But by the skilful planter the subsoil is often regarded as of more moment than the mere texture of the surface, as the degrees of moisture most suitable to woody plants form perhaps the most prominent features in their characteristic differences. It is therefore of the utmost importance to the planter carefully to study these distinctions. In certain situations, where he might be anxious for the grand effect of the Oak or the Chestnut, it will often be prudent for him to be content with the inferior forms of the Lime or the Beech.

It is a maxim of good sense as well as good taste, of which every man conversant with wood is not sufficiently aware, namely, that *those trees about a place will always look the handsomest that thrive the best*, and that no peculiarity of ramification, nor delicacy of foliage, can ever compensate for a deficiency of luxuriance and full health. A rage for exotics, for plants with new names, or of more delicate habits than the soil and climate will pro-

properly rear, is, I am sorry to say, the besetting sin of the present race of planters. The errors which are committed for want of this sort of adaptation in transplanted wood are scarcely credible, unless by those who have closely attended to the subject. A striking example has been brought forward in Note I., Section I. A number of others that have come under my observation might be added, were it necessary, as a great proportion of the failures which take place in transplanting are distinctly referable to this fruitful source.

The second error to which I shall advert is, the having recourse to close woods and plantations for the supply of subjects for removal. Perhaps there is no planter who, in the beginning, has not fallen into this mistake, before he has had sufficient time to attend to the effects of heat and cold on the growth of wood. Allured by the fine forms of trees so trained, by the tallness of their stems, the beauty of their bark, and their general appearance of health and strength, we naturally form the wish to transfer them to the lawn or open park: but we should reflect that, how much soever they may please the eye, there are no properties so unfit as these for this degree of exposure, as they are generated solely by warmth and shelter. As well might we bring forth the native of the burning plains of Asia or Africa, and in the light attire of those tropical climates expect him to endure a British winter. Either the facts respecting exposed and sheltered wood, as above stated, are incorrect and unfounded, or nature must be supposed to act in contradiction to herself, if she sanctioned such incongruities. Yet ninety-nine times in a hundred the success of an art, which, if rightly understood, would be interesting to many, is fairly marred by this erroneous practice. Nor are these the errors of youth or inexperience. Two of our best

informed writers, Boutcher and Marshall, as we have already seen, regard the art as mainly applicable to "the thinning of nurseries or plantations," and recommend it accordingly for that purpose.

It is not necessary to dwell long on so unhappy a system of selection. The trees being transferred to a climate colder by several degrees than that in which they were trained, and with the peculiar conditions and properties adapted to the latter, internally decline. The usual lightening or mutilating of the tops affords no alleviation or remedy from without. In such a situation the mechanical effect of wind would be sufficient of itself, without any other cause, to ensure their miscarriage. Yet as they carry leaf, and show no immediate symptoms of decay in the outset, their ultimate, though certain failure is not contemplated by the sanguine planter. With roots inadequate either to fix them in the earth, or to furnish the supply of sap which their new circumstances demand, they are incapable of extending themselves either above or underground. The leaves, from the deprivation of shelter, cannot freely elaborate the sap; and the proper juice, on the other hand, is chilled in its descent, from the want of leaves, and branches, and bark, sufficient to protect the sap-vessels. Meanwhile, the trees are vexed by the winds from every quarter. They want side-boughs to nourish and balance them properly. Gradually they become stunted and hidebound. The few branches they have decay and drop off; and at last they are rooted out, as a proof of the hoplessness of the art, and the inutility of all attempts to cultivate it. A few plants, perhaps, taken from the outskirts of the wood, and partly furnished with the protecting properties, struggle on for ten or fifteen years, until they acquire these properties to a certain extent; and beginning *then*

only to thrive, after half a lifetime of expectation, they show beyond controversy, to the planter and his friends, how much more speedily trees might have been got up to an equal size from the nursery or the seed-bed! It is, however, perfectly obvious that nothing less than a miracle—that is, a counteraction of the course of nature for a special purpose—could have effected any other results.

The third and last error, or cause of miscarriage, remaining to be noticed, is the setting out of plants of too diminutive a size into the open field. This error is not less frequent than the others, and is usually committed by those who condemn the practice of large removals, or who are of opinion that “large trees and small possess similar properties, and are therefore to be managed on similar principles.” All thriving wood, they say, whether in masses or open groups, must be got up by means of small plants. Nature, according to these planters, to a certainty produces wood of every sort within a given time; and experience demonstrates that, if we wait that time, we cannot miss the produce. It is through haste and impatience to anticipate the period that we incur disappointment. It is a well-known fact, as they further allege, that, in the course of forty or fifty years, trees of considerable magnitude may be raised on almost any land in Britain that is of tolerable quality; hence it must follow that a nobleman's or gentleman's park, which in general is superiorly cultivated, will in all likelihood raise them in a less time.

On such undeniable data these operators often proceed to fill a whole park with plants taken from the nursery-ground, of three, four, and sometimes six feet high. Great care is bestowed in planting out the trees, and still greater expense in securing them from sheep and cattle.



Palings, hurdles, cordage, according to the taste and consequence of the owners, are all employed for that necessary end; and those ponderous and unsightly erections, when abundantly scattered over an extensive and open surface, serve to fill the eye, and afford a pleasing anticipation of what these stripling plants are expected to do at a future day. As to the expense of such barricadoes, (which will always last for five or six years,) they hold it as insignificant, when compared with the formidable cost of removing large trees. That cannot be accomplished, they conceive, without heavy charges for men and machinery, not to mention the contingency of a fortuitous art; whereas with young and healthy plants, as they believe, you have only to wait for a few years with patience, when success, as in other things, must be the reward of industry.

I once knew a gentleman, not destitute of talents or intelligence in rural affairs, who in this way had planted about two-thirds of his place, which was of some extent, though for the most part exposed to the west and southwest. When I saw it, this arboricultural experiment had gone on for about five-and-thirty years, and even the owner had by that time begun to despair of its success. A very few of the plants, meeting with a deep soil, and with sites singularly sheltered, had got up to about twenty feet high. The generality, and especially in trying exposures, had grown to large bushes. What was once their leading shoot had lost its pre-eminence over the side-branches, plainly indicating that no further elongation of the stem was to be expected. Moreover, they had begun, like old trees, to be clump-headed, and to assume the appearance of premature old age. As to the expense of the hurdles and pales, five or six times renewed, together with the loss of the ground which they occupied, it

amounted, according to the candid acknowledgment of the owner himself, to *far more than the cost of removing proper subjects in the beginning!* The consequence was, that he was persuaded to replant nearly the whole of his park on better principles, and with trees of from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high; and he lived to see them vigorous plants, productive of picturesque effect in no small degree, with the promise of becoming timber for the succeeding generation. What he most regretted was, not so much the pecuniary loss which he had actually sustained, as the loss of nearly a lifetime in vexation and disappointment.

To the vegetable physiologist, (if any such should be among my readers,) it is almost unnecessary to detail the radical and efficient causes of this species of failure. Every organic creation, whether animal or vegetable, requires during infancy the aid of considerable heat, to enable it to develop its powers and to expand freely. The tenderness, and indeed utter helplessness, of man and other animals, at this early stage of their existence, press more forcibly upon our notice than the case of the vegetable tribe under similar circumstances; yet both are governed by the same natural laws, and display in their development a striking analogy. The planter who, without due consideration, sets out a tender plant into the open field, would not rashly so expose a young dog or horse, until, by a proper degree of warmth and care, its constitution were confirmed, and it had acquired strength to resist cold, and other ills and accidents. A certain portion of heat, that is, of shelter, is in the very same way indispensable to trees during infancy, in order that they may grow with freedom, so as that, when their organs are matured, and their strength properly established, they may withstand the elements in open exposures. Hence, to set them out prematurely is

to a certainty to paralyse their energies, and check the development of their parts.

The intelligent reader, I have no doubt, will be pleased to find how clear and full an illustration of this doctrine was afforded by the close plantations of the gentleman just now mentioned. It so happened, when he planted the open and exposed parts of his park with small trees from the nursery-ground, as already stated, that at the same time, and with a part of the same plants, he executed a considerable stretch of the adjoining plantations. When I examined the latter, the trees were, for the most part, about thirty and five-and-thirty feet high, and in a state of the most perfect health. They had been drawn up and protected in the warm and kindly atmosphere generated by close woods. The outside rows had acquired to a certain degree the protecting properties, and were nearly fit for removal ; and the whole exhibited a striking contrast to the diminutive and stunted plants in the adjoining park, many of which, instead of five-and-thirty feet, had not grown above as many inches from the time they were set out !

It is indubitable that one and all of these radical errors in practice, into which planters fall, originate mainly in a want of science to regulate it, and of a competent knowledge of the history and properties of woody plants. General planting, as well as every particular department of the art, as has been already noticed, must depend for its success on scientific principles. Since the first publication of this essay, it is pleasing to perceive some symptoms of the public attention being roused to physiological inquiry on this subject. But nothing less than an institution for the encouragement of arboriculture *exclusively*, will supply this desideratum in the education and intelligence of the country, and place the art on that

footing of respectability to which no one will deny it is entitled by its importance.

In what, then, it may be asked, does a proper selection of subjects consist? A proper selection of subjects consists, as I conceive it, (exclusively of picturesque considerations,) in two things especially: first, in a judicious adaptation of trees to their proper soils; and secondly, in taking care that the trees so adapted possess as great a share of the protecting or non-protecting properties as is fairly required by the situation of exposure, or of shelter, in which the trees are to be placed. Of these two points the former has already been sufficiently illustrated, in the course of the foregoing discussion on the want of adaptation. As to the latter, it may be observed, that much will depend, in applying it to practice, on the particular objects of selection which the planter may have in view.

If his object be single or detached trees, and such as are intended to be set out in trying exposures, the acquisition of the protecting properties must be the chief end and aim of his selection; and the trees must have made the acquisition in sites as much exposed at least as those to which they are to be removed. He may rest assured, in this case, that his success or miscarriage will be in the precise ratio in which his subjects may have obtained these indispensable prerequisites. If fully obtained, their progress will be visible from the beginning; but if imperfectly, their progress will be retarded, until the deficiency be made up. In other words, as planters do not always follow nature in the choice of their subjects, they need not be surprised if trees planted out in such exposures (supposing them to live at all) should continue ten, fifteen years, or more, in a stationary condition, struggling under the unpropitious circumstances of cold and exposure to

generate provisions which they should previously have acquired ; when at length, having overcome the evils of injudicious selection, they only *then* begin to make that progress which ignorance and mismanagement have retarded.\*

On the other hand, if his object be to raise close masses of wood, (for hiding, for example, some prominent defect, or attaining some general ornamental purpose,) of which masses the materials are to consist of grove-wood and copse intermixed, it is evident that, excepting perhaps for the outside rows, the protecting properties would be altogether thrown away on such designs. If what has been said above be well founded, trees possessing those properties would, in this situation, soon have them exchanged for the non-protecting, by the heat and shelter which a close mass of wood must always generate. Even were not that to happen, the needless extension of both their branches and roots would prove extremely injurious to a plantation where underwood predominated. In these circumstances, an operator of judgment would select such subjects for his work as possessed the non-protecting properties exclusively, and were far more suitable to the designs in question.

These, however, may be considered as extreme cases, while ordinary practice lies in a medium between the two. Thus, in parks or places of any extent, the climate and soil are usually as various as the proportions of the protecting properties which have been acquired by different trees. The tree which would succeed in the sheltered valley would have little chance on the exposed eminence ; and to transfer a subject well adapted to the latter to the former site, would be to misapply qualities which are

\* NOTE I.

so extremely valuable, as well as to abridge the range of the planter's operations. As the protecting properties, therefore, must of course be more rare in all woodlands than the non-protecting, one of the most difficult tasks which the planter has to perform is judiciously to husband the application of the former. He should always bear in mind that, if he put down but one tree in any given spot, in that tree the protecting properties should exist in a greater degree than if he put down ten trees, and still more than if twenty were put down. Even by means of the loosest dispositions and the most scattered groups of wood on an open surface, the force of the wind is sensibly broken, and hindered from exerting its entire violence on any individual tree. In creating real landscape, climate is not always to be regarded absolutely, but relatively. Absolute elevation may be considerable, but relative mildness in the climate of a place may be as great, from the number and richness of its woody accompaniments. Hence poverty of clothing on the surface of a park, if we can command the subjects, should always be avoided; for that is as inconsistent with the richness of the picture as with the health and success of the trees of which it is composed.

As the modifying of the effect of heat and cold on woods, and especially on parks and pleasure-grounds, is a subject not generally understood, it may be worth while in this place to say a few words respecting it, and also respecting the principles on which it is founded, as being connected with the subject immediately under discussion. Air in several respects resembles, and is governed by the same laws as another element, namely, water, although the effects of the former are less cognisable by the eye. If you erect powerful abutments on the banks of a river, you may

forcibly turn the stream from its course ; but it will break with tenfold violence on the opposite side. In the same way, if by close and continued plantations you endeavour altogether to exclude the wind, it will contrive to assail you in some quarter, and, rushing in with fury at the first opening it can find, do incalculable mischief. The art, therefore, of controlling either element, consists in judiciously breaking it into parts, and thereby dividing, and consequently weakening, its force.

It is on this simple principle—when fine landscape effect is produced on the surface of a park, by means of large open dispositions of wood, and of groups and scattered trees, creating a succession of rich and varied pictures—that the climate is best ameliorated, and the most effectual defence against the elements is procured, whether for plants or animals, or for the comfort and accommodation of man. In this instance we see that beauty and utility entirely coincide ; for wherever park scenery is most successfully cultivated, there heat and cold are best modified on woods. It is under such circumstances that trees uniformly develop themselves in their most natural and most perfect proportions, and display the fullest luxuriance of health. Thus, the places which are planted in the best style are always the most effectively sheltered ; and thus good sense and good taste will be found here, as in most other things, to unite in the same object, and mutually to strengthen and confirm each other.

Obvious and satisfactory as this doctrine may appear, its soundness is not universally admitted, even by men of discernment and understanding. There is a very intelligent and worthy friend of mine, who reasons in a very different way from this on the best method of defending

himself from cold. Wherever, says he, the wind enters, I immediately stop the gap ; and the more gaps I stop, the less the wind will enter : hence it follows that in time I shall be completely secured against both wind and cold. This gentleman's place, though it stands high, is in many respects a noble one. It has picturesque features of a striking sort, and it is, moreover, well covered with deep masses of full-grown wood, arranged in all the rectilinear dignity of a former day, in which here and there was an open and cheerful vista, from which we may suppose his ancestors delighted to look out. All these, however, from a rooted abhorrence of wind, he some years since diligently planted up ; so that his mansion, when viewed from without, is rather like a bird's nest in a thicket than the grand and central object in an extensive and well-wooded park.

It was in vain that I pressed on my friend the necessity of his freely, but gradually, thinning and opening up his woods. It was in vain that I expatiated on the striking similarity of the two kindred elements of air and water, and on the extreme caution that is requisite in the management of trees nearly at their best, so as to break and dissipate the wind, thereby not only improving the trees, but making a beneficial use of so uncertain an element. It was to no purpose that I explained to him the wise economy which nature displays, in modifying the influence of heat and cold on the vegetable kingdom ; and that if heat, during the infancy of trees, is necessary to the full development of their parts, cold, in a due proportion, is just as necessary at an after period : that therefore it must follow, in all large masses of wood, where heat is superabundant, and light insufficiently supplied, that a progressive elongation of stem, and a progressive delicacy of constitution, must be the consequence, and in time all



admission of air be rendered dangerous or impossible: that, in these circumstances, were so unnatural a state of things suffered to continue, and were he to persist in an unavailing warfare with his old enemy the wind, instead of prudently conciliating that boisterous element, it was clear that ere long it would find or make for itself more than one entrance into his premises, however closely secured, and to a certainty blow down his woods. Yet, notwithstanding, I am sorry to observe that these dense masses and barricades are still continued, and that his woods are gradually approaching to a state utterly hopeless and irremediable. I fear that my worthy friend is not a solitary instance of want of skill, or rather want of resolution, in conducting this important department.

Having said so much about trees, it may be proper, in this place, to add a few observations on the selection of subjects for underwood. Copse or underwood for removal is of two kinds: the one is that intended to mass up with grove-wood, and to form close and mixed plantations; the other is what is meant as subjects for bush-planting in the park. Of the properties which the former sort should possess little needs be said, as it is evident that they may be all quite properly of the non-protecting description, and that any other sort of plants would be thrown away upon it. Of the latter kind it is equally plain that it must have properties suited to exposures, although not in the same degree as trees; because trees, on account of their height, and other circumstances, can less easily withstand the elements.

As to bush-planting in the park or open field, it seems to be something new, both in the conception and the execution. Mr Pontey speaks of the thing in his late useful Treatise on laying out grounds, but he justly doubts the possibility of protecting such underwood as he

recommends for the purpose, until it get to a proper size. It is extremely difficult, and in a park where deer, black cattle, or horses are kept, probably impossible, to succeed in bush-planting, whatever may be thought by those who have never made the experiment, on the perusal of Mr Pontey's late work.\* Even with sheep as the only stock, the numerous small and dotted clumps of only a few yards square, and fenced with wire, such as he proposes, must be ten times more offensive to the fastidiousness of modern taste than the unfortunate clumps of brown, which were gigantic in comparison, if the latter now, in spite of the severe interdiction of Messrs Price and Knight, were once more to lift up their heads. But with middle-sized plants, and a machine of small dimensions, (as shall be described in the sequel,) the entire effect of bush-planting may be obtained, and, I am certain, at a fourth part of the expense and trouble of Mr Pontey's clumps.

To select subjects for this purpose will be a matter of little difficulty, if the rules already explained be sufficiently apprehended; and they must, of course, have the principal protecting properties, in as far as the degree of exposure may require, especially numerous roots. As bushes also, these plants must obviously be low, from four to eight or nine feet high, with broad tops, headed down from time to time, and branches as near the ground as the sheep will permit them to grow—that is, within about three feet and a half—for on this last mentioned circumstance their effect mainly depends. They must, moreover, have only one stem, or as few as possible for the open ground, in order to admit of their being fenced with facility, and at a small expense. In this way many

\* Rural Improver, pp. 149, 253, et seq.

have been planted here, which are desirable as accompaniments to water. Likewise they are useful in massing up with tall trees ; in the formation of low skreens, while distant objects may be seen over their heads ; and occasionally in breaking a hard outline, which should always be fringed with both trees and bushes.

Before taking leave of so important a discussion as the selection of subjects, it may be asked what, in point of size, are to be regarded as the best subjects for removal, in accordance with the principle above enunciated ? To this it may be replied, that, if ordinary judgment be exercised, and flagrant errors avoided, preference will greatly depend on the choice and circumstances of the planter. Size offers, to successful removal, no actual impediment, further than *increased expenditure*. The same principles apply to the largest trees, just as well as to the least. But it is material to notice that size implies greater labour and contingency, and, by consequence, more powerful machinery ; and both rise in a ratio far more accelerated than might at first be conceived to correspond with the increased dimensions of the trees. My own operations as to size having been of a limited sort, (the subjects seldom exceeding thirty-five or thirty-six feet high, and in the stem from fifteen to eighteen inches in diameter,) I do not presume to prescribe to what height others should go, because it is altogether arbitrary ; but I may with confidence recommend *below* what height they should never *descend*. No subject, in my judgment, should be selected for removal, of which the girth of stem is *less* than from eighteen inches to two feet, or, in other words, whose diameter does not extend to six or eight inches at the least, reckoning at a foot from the ground—the height of the tree being supposed from fifteen to eighteen feet. Any subject possessing a

*lesser* magnitude, and lesser proportional stoutness than this, I consider as unfit for judicious removal, in exposed situations, and destitute of proper stamina to resist the elements. To this injunction I may add, that in the above, as in every case, we should labour to acquire, by observation and study, a knowledge of that nice and adequate adaptation to circumstances of the protecting properties, which nature displays in her more or less open dispositions of wood, and learn to follow her provident example. All that the best preceptive efforts can do is, to point out and illustrate the principle in its general bearings: it is judgment and experience only that can give the practice.

## SECTION VI.

### PREPARATION OF THE SOIL FOR OPEN DISPOSITIONS OF TREES AND CLOSE PLANTATIONS.

HAVING sufficiently illustrated the new theory suggested for transplantation, the first branch of practice that claims attention is the preparation of the soil.

The substances which constitute soils, as Sir H. Davy states, are certain compounds of the earths,—silica, lime, alumina, magnesia—and of oxides of iron and manganese; also animal and vegetable matters in a decomposing state; and saline, acid, or alkaline combinations.\* Soils afford to plants a fixed abode, and the medium only of their nourishment. Earths, exclusively of organised matter and water, as the best phytologists admit, are of no other use to woody plants than to fix them in the ground and support them: they act merely as mechanical, or as chemical agents: but earth and organic matter united constitute what is properly called soils, and furnish to plants at once support and nourishment. The true food of plants, as the same instructive writer observes, is water and decomposing organic matter. The earthy particles are useful in retaining the water, so as to supply it in due proportions to the roots of vegetables; and they likewise act in producing a proper distribution of the

\* Elements of Agricultural Chemistry.

animal or vegetable matter. When equally mixed with it, they prevent it from too rapid a decomposition ; and they also supply the soluble parts in their due proportions.

Kirwan, in his Geological Essays, has shown that the fertility of a soil in a great measure depends on its capacity to retain water. The power of the soil to absorb water by cohesive attraction depends, in a considerable degree, on the division of its parts. The more these are divided, the greater their absorbent power. Hence the great importance of friability or looseness of texture, so that moisture may have free access to the fibres of the roots, that heat may be readily conveyed to them, and that evaporation may proceed without obstruction. These benefits are usually attained by the presence of sand. As alumina possesses, in an eminent degree, all the powers of adhesiveness, and silex those of friability, it is obvious that a mixture of those earths, in suitable proportions, would furnish every thing that could be wanted in the most perfect soil. In a soil so constituted, water would be presented to the roots by capillary attraction. It would be suspended in it, says Griesenthwaite, in the same way as in a sponge, that is, in a state not of aggregation, but of minute division, so that every part might be moist but not wet.\* Hence the best soil, whether for wood or agricultural crops, obviously is one that is at once loose and deep, containing the most alumina and carbonate of lime, so as to act with the greatest chemical energy in the preservation of manures.†

Trees, far more than agricultural crops, require depth of soil to raise them to perfection : the effect of climate appears much less necessary in giving them their greatest magnitude. Accordingly, notwithstanding the insularity

\* New Theory of Agriculture.

† NOTE I.

of our situation, which naturally tends to the equalisation of climates, little park timber is found in Scotland, or the north of England, approaching in size and grandeur to the great trees in the midland and southern counties, owing probably to the superior soil which exists in the latter districts. Of these the Swilcar, Shelton, Chandos, and Fredville Oaks, the Tortworth, Burleigh, and Cobham Chestnuts, the Chipstead and Tutbury Elms, the Woburn Ash, the Knowle Beech, and the Cobham Lime and Sycamore are eminent examples, as may be seen in Mr Sturt's late elegant delineations.\* A more powerful delineator than Sturt says, of the King's Oak at Blenheim, that "although scathed and gnarled in its branches, the immense trunk still showed to what gigantic size the monarch of the forest can attain in the groves of merry England."† As it appears plain, from these and other instances, both in the north and south, that the size of wood will be mainly in proportion to *the depth of the soil* on which it grows, it should be the chief study of the planter to promote that capital object. It is a sound maxim, as old as Theophrastus, and repeated by Columella and Pliny, as familiar to the Roman husbandman, to transfer no tree to a worse soil than that in which it had previously stood;‡ and whatever in this respect holds true of young plants, must *à fortiori* hold more decidedly true of large subjects, such as are intended for removal. If in transplanting we must often increase the cold, and other circumstances adverse to trees, it becomes us the more diligently to study that the soil be rendered as rich

\* See Sturt's elegant Portraits of British Forest Trees, with respectable letterpress description. Lond. 1826.

† Sir Walter Scott, Woodstock, vol. i. p. 68.

‡ NOTE II.

and deep as possible, in order in some sort to counter-balance those disadvantages.

There are few persons so happily situated as to be able to command much animal or vegetable manure for the use of trees. Such artificial modes of enrichment or improvement must therefore be resorted to as science or experience has pointed out. By following such guides we may often learn so to alter the constituent parts of soils as to increase their fertility, by the addition of ingredients in which they are deficient, and in some cases by the subtraction of such as too copiously abound in them ; or else by effecting chemical changes of some constituent part by incineration, or by the application of mineral manures. Soils, considered as agents of vegetable culture, are subjected to operations which effect changes on them either mechanically or chemically. Of the former description there are none so important for the use of trees as deepening and pulverising. Deepening can be executed with effect only by trenching or double-digging, (for the plough can do little in such a business,) and pulverising is naturally combined with that process. The depth of pulverisation, as Sir H. Davy well observes, must depend on the nature of the soil and subsoil. In rich clayey soils it can scarcely be too deep ; and even in sands, unless the subsoil contain some principle noxious to vegetables, deep comminution should be practised. When the roots are deep, they are less liable to be injured by excessive rain or excessive drought, and the radicles are shot forth into every part of the soil.\* In a word, nothing but water stagnating under the trench in consequence of a clayey bottom, and the risk of the roots

\* Elements of Agricultural Chemistry.



being thereby chilled, should prevent trenching from being always executed as deep as possible.

The surprising changes worked on all soils, in consequence of a minute comminution of their parts, and the various ways in which it increases fertility, have only of late years been communicated to agriculture, by chemical analysis and investigation ; so that there is the less wonder that they should, in a great measure, have escaped the planter's notice. If the process be important in general to woody plants, it must be greatly more important to subjects meant for removal ; and I earnestly request the reader's attention, while I take a rapid view of it.

Pulverisation, or the mechanical division of parts, is applicable to all soils in proportion to their adhesive texture ; as even the most silicious, if not duly stirred, will become too compact and dense for the admission of air, rain, and heat, and by consequence, for the free growth of plants. Strong upland clays, not submitted to the plough or the spade, will in a few years be found in the possession of fibrous-rooted perennial grasses, which form a clothing on their surface, or of strong taprooted trees such as the Oak, which force their way through the interior of the mass. For these reasons, the first and great object should be to give scope to the young roots and fibres ; because, without fibres in abundance, no woody plant can shoot freely, and develop its parts, whatever be the richness of the soil. The fibrous roots, as has been shown in Section IV., absorb the juices by means of introsusception ; but the quantity absorbed does not depend alone on the quantity existing in the soil, but on the number of the absorbing fibres. The more we can comminuate the soil, the more those fibres will be increased,

the more this nourishment will be absorbed, and the more vigorous and healthy the plant will become.

Further, comminution of parts increases capillary attraction, or the sponge-like property of soils, by which their humidity is rendered more uniform and more effective. It is evident that, where the particles of earth are the most minutely divided, capillary attraction must be the greatest ; for gravels and sands hardly retain water, while clays not opened by pulverisation either do not absorb water at all, or, when by long action it is absorbed in a superabundant quantity, it is not readily discharged. Water is necessary to the growth of plants : it is essential to the juices or extract of the vegetable matter which they contain ; and unless the soil, by means of comminution, be fitted to retain the quantity of water requisite to produce those juices, the addition of manure will be useless. Manure is ineffectual towards vegetation until it become soluble in water, and it would remain useless in a state of solution if it so abounded as utterly to exclude air ; for in that case the fibres or mouths of plants would be unable to perform their functions, and they would soon drop off by decay.

The temperature of soils, which few planters take into their consideration, is singularly improved by their being pulverised. Earths, as Griesenthwaite remarks, are among the worst conductors of heat which we know ; consequently it would require a considerable time ere the gradually increasing temperature of spring could communicate its genial warmth to the roots of plants, if their lower parts were not heated by other means. To remove this defect, which always belongs to a close or dense soil, it is essentially necessary to have the land open, so that there may be a free ingress to the genial air and tepid rains of spring.

Water, moreover, is known to be a condenser and solvent of carbonic acid gas, which, when the ground is open, can be carried immediately to the roots of vegetables, and probably contributes to their growth. But if the land be close, and the water lie on or near the surface, then the carbonic acid gas, which always exists in the atmosphere, and is carried down by the rains, will soon be dissipated. Let it be observed also that an open soil, besides being favourable to the transmission of nutriment to the roots of plants, is likewise favourable to their extension, and thereby enlarges the field whence nutriment is derived. Nor are these the only benefits resulting from a friable soil : for, in addition to its being the best adapted to supply vegetables with food, it is always most suitable for effecting those changes in the manure itself which are equally necessary to the preparation of such food ; and animal and vegetable substances, exposed to the alternate action of heat, moisture, light, and air, undergo spontaneous decompositions, which independently of it would not take place.

Soils are surprisingly benefited by aëration, and the free admission of the weather into their interior parts. This is generally considered as the principal use of fallowing ; and its importance in gardening is proved by compost heaps, and both winter and summer ridging up. The precise advantages, however, of exposure to the air, independently of the concurrent influence of water, heat, and the other effects above mentioned as resulting from pulverisation, do not seem at present to be fully ascertained. It is admitted on all hands that carbonic acid gas is absorbed by calcareous earths ; and Dr Thomson, who is among the ablest of our chemical writers, is of opinion that the earths alone may thus, in all likelihood, administer food to plants. Sir H. Davy seems to consider mere

exposure to the atmosphere as of no benefit whatever to soils ; he therefore condemns fallowing as useless and unprofitable. But the reasons given for such an opinion are merely speculative, and founded on nothing experimental or conclusive ; accordingly, they will convince no skilful agriculturist, nor no practical planter.

To these facts and observations we may add a suggestion of Darwin's respecting temperature, which, though fanciful, is ingenious—namely, that a certain portion of atmospheric air being always taken down into the soil, at the time of pulverisation, its internal heat is thereby promoted, and its fertility increased. The confinement of the air occasions decomposition, by means of the moisture in the earthy portions. Ammonia is formed by the union of the hydrogen of the water with the nitrogen of the atmosphere, and nitre by the union of oxygen and nitrogen. The oxygen likewise probably unites with the carbon contained in the soil, and forms carbonic acid gas and carburetted hydrogen. Hence the heat given out during these processes.\*

Such is a short and very imperfect view of the manifold beneficial changes which deepening and pulverising effect in soils, according to the ingenious researches of Davy, Thomson, Griesenthwaite, and others, who have usefully laboured to render chemical researches subservient to rural purposes. Had Tull, who flourished about a century ago, been acquainted with chemistry as at present improved, he would not have missed that permanent fame to which his ingenuity so well entitled him. Tull was unquestionably the first practical advocate for the power of pulverisation ; but he was deceived by its astonishing and various effects, without being able to perceive its limits. Hence

\* *Phytologia*, Sect. XII. 1.

he was led into the erroneous belief that pulverisation could even supply the place of manures in farm management. Modern science, however, would have enabled him to discover, that although the comminuating of soils incredibly multiplies the fibrous roots, or mouths of plants; although it also facilitates the speedy and perfect preparation of their food, and conducts the food so prepared more regularly to the roots, yet of food itself it does not communicate the smallest supply or portion beyond what the soil actually possesses. As we cannot in these times fall into the error of Tull, let us not omit, for our present purpose, to put a due value on pulverisation, (which in husbandry of late, as connected with deepening, seems to be rather undervalued,) while we endeavour, by the methods already pointed out, to add as much as possible to the vigour and food of woody plants.

Soils, then, may be most effectually improved by the planter by altering their constituent parts, as has been above shown, either by the addition of ingredients in which they are deficient, or by the subtraction of others that too much abound in them; but in ordinary cases chiefly in the former way, by admixture with other soils, and by the application of mineral manures. The best natural soils are certainly those of which the materials have been derived from different strata, that have been minutely divided by air and water, and are intimately blended together. On this account, in improving soils by artificial methods, the husbandman or the arboriculturist cannot steer in so safe a course as by studying the effects of intermixture, and imitating the chemistry of nature.

In preparing soils on these principles, for the removal of trees, the materials cannot often lie at a distance. In this quarter of the island there is no man, possessing grounds of any extent, who has not the command of more

than one sort of soil, especially in the mineral districts. In the park here three different species are found, namely, tenacious clay, strong loam, and light sand ; and, fortunately, also peat-moss in abundance near at hand. My practice, therefore, has been diligently to collect and make up masses or heaps of compost in different parts of the grounds, adjacent to where the trees might be wanted, and to have them always ready for use, of not less than six months old. These masses are compounded usually in the following manner : first, quicklime recently burnt (called in Scotland "lime-shells") with clayey matter for the light land ; secondly, mild lime with sandy soil for the aluminous ; and thirdly, quicklime with peat-moss for the loamy. To each of the two soils first mentioned I usually give an addition of about a third part of the peat compost ; and by procuring the peat in rather an advanced state of decomposition, its speedy preparation is more easily brought about.

In this way, I conceive, I have succeeded, with the least possible expense of manure and labour, in preparing materials for improving the different soils for the reception of the fibrous roots of trees. The clay compost, by means of the lime, being brought into a state of decomposition, surprisingly improves the silicious soil by giving it greater consistency, in the same way that the same soil is benefited by marl or calcareous matter. The sand compost, in like manner, still more powerfully acts on the aluminous soil. As all soils are meliorated by lime, that do not effervesce with acids, and sands most remarkably, so the hard and adhesive qualities of the clay are subdued by the action of the sand and lime united, its parts are comminuated, and the inert vegetable matter is brought into operation. The peat compost, for similar reasons, and by means of the lime which it contains, is

well calculated to stimulate, and add vegetable matter to the loamy soil.

But the peat compost, for general application to all soils, I have found the most extensively useful of the whole, if prepared with a third part of animal manure or fresh farm-yard dung, according to the fermenting process discovered by the late Lord Meadowbank, whose memory will be immortal with both the husbandman and the arboriculturist. For thin gravelly soil, where a considerable quantity of carbonaceous matter is required to supply its wants, this compost, if properly made, will be found even preferable to ordinary animal manure, as containing much more carbon and continuing its action longer on the ground. Even when prepared with quicklime only recently burnt and slacked, that is, hydrate of lime—one fifth part of lime to four-fifths of peat—it is extremely valuable from its loose and friable properties, and the fine state of comminution to which it may be readily brought. Quicklime when it becomes mild operates in the same way as chalk, but in the act of becoming mild it has the power of preparing soluble out of insoluble matter. Hence its great effect on peat, and on all soils containing an excess of vegetable insoluble matter. But for peat compost of either species to operate fully in preparing the soil for the fibrous roots of plants, it should be mixed in the ground for at least a twelvemonth before the trees are removed; so that, on opening the pits for the second time, it shall have *nearly disappeared*, in consequence of its complete and perfect incorporation with the soil.

This last method of decomposing peat we owe to a very ingenious and scientific nobleman, the Earl of Dundonald, whose memory will also be long held in grateful remembrance by his countrymen. This distinguished chemist was the first writer who, nearly half a century

ago, pointed out the means of rendering agriculture a new art by the aid of chemistry, in which he has been since followed by Kirwan, by Sir H. Davy, and other ingenious authors. The general error then and still committed, in the making up of lime compost, is the use of the lime in far too great a proportion, thereby reducing the peat to charcoal, and dissipating in a gaseous state its most useful component parts. Thus the lime is rendered nearly effete and powerless, and brought back to the state of mere chalk, instead of forming such a combination with the peat, and the gas generated in the process, as, on being applied to the soil, should promote the growth of plants.

In the same way, a want of success not less remarkable has been experienced in preparing dung compost according to the fermenting process discovered by Lord Meadowbank. This, I conceive, has proceeded chiefly from two causes : first, the want of skill in providing peat of a proper quality, and in a state fitted to undergo the fermenting process with effect ; and secondly, in applying the dung in too exhausted a condition—both of which tend to prevent the antiseptic qualities of the moss from being counteracted, and the peat from being rendered soluble. For the methods which have been suggested by experience, for the improvement of both these valuable composts, the agricultural reader, as well as the planter, is referred to the notes, which may probably be found interesting to both.\*

There is one circumstance which deserves particular attention in preparing this valuable compost. It is observable in the southern as well as in the northern division of the island, that peat-moss is found of very



different qualities, and has frequently some portion of iron combined with it united with sulphuric acid. This is a mixture which, in excess, is pernicious to vegetation and to the growth of woody plants. It therefore becomes necessary to destroy or neutralise it by admixture with other substances. The respectable Judge above mentioned says that all his peat at Meadowbank was so contaminated. Some part of the peat at this place is unfortunately of the same species, and many of my crops as well as trees were injured by it before the cause was discovered. When the peat is taken from the pit, and laid out to dry in the heap, the sulphate of iron is easily distinguished by an efflorescence of small white crystals of an astringent taste appearing on the surface, and also a strong sulphureous smell on its being burnt, or exposed to the rays of the sun.

The remedy suggested by the learned judge I have found perfectly effectual, in attenuating or counteracting these pernicious ingredients, namely, to add to the dung-hill or compost, in preparing it for fermentation, a certain proportion of coal, wood, or peat ashes, he says, a twenty-eighth part ; or, in default of these, half the quantity of slacked lime. Lime is the substance which has been used here, but in a rather larger proportion than that which Lord Meadowbank recommends.\* It gives me, however, particular satisfaction to find, that I am borne out in my partiality to peat compost, as a *general and useful manure*, by the practice as well as the opinion of a writer who was himself a skilful planter, and a chemist of considerable experience.

The transplanting of large trees and underwood is practised for two different purposes : first, for procuring

\* Directions for preparing Manure from Peat, p. 33.

single trees, and open dispositions of wood in the park ; and secondly, for raising at once close woods or plantations for shelter or ornament. Hence the preparation of the soil is to be considered in reference to those two separate purposes or heads, which shall be examined in their order. And here, as in many instances which occur in the sequel, I entertain great doubt of being able to make myself distinctly understood. It is one thing to be acquainted with a series of daily practices and manual operations, and another to render a minute detail of them, of their causes and consequences, and various applications, intelligible to the reader. Language, from its nature, often treats more clearly of abstract ideas and general truths than of sensible or material objects ; and there are processes in all arts which a single glance of the eye will more fully explain than whole pages of description. On this account I stand greatly in need of the indulgence of the reader ; and I shall endeavour to deserve it by studying perspicuity in the delineations which are to follow in this and other sections, and conciseness also, in as far as the peculiarities of a new subject will admit.

First, then, as to the preparation of the soil for single trees and open dispositions of wood. It has been said above, that no trees of magnitude can be raised without very considerable depth of soil. No tree transplanted should have less than from eighteen inches to two feet deep of mould, prepared and enriched according to the above principles, to some distance round the plant. If park-planting be intended, the first thing to be done is, to mark out with stakes the site or position of the single and scattered trees, or groups of two, three, or more—a work of no small nicety and difficulty in any case, and which, where the prominent parts of a place are con-

cerned, cannot be trusted to inferior hands. Here an art is in requisition of a far higher and more difficult class than the mere planting of trees—I mean the art of designing real landscape, or landscape composed of nature's own materials; for as these open or loose dispositions of wood form often the principal features of the picture or its accessories, to disperse them with skill and effect implies no mean acquaintance with this superior art.

As single trees, in respect to site, are wholly unconnected with one another, the pits for them are to be made separately. For this purpose the ground, supposing it to be tolerably rich and deep, with a porous subsoil, (that is, a subsoil of sand, gravel, or rock, or combinations of them,) is to be trenched to the depth of nearly two feet, which depth is six inches more than common gardeners' trenching. The latter is usually executed two spits deep, with two intermediate shovellings; but in the work in question we should go down between three and four spits, without any shovellings. The method last mentioned tends to increase pulverisation, and especially a more general intermixture of the different portions of the soil, without increasing the expense of the work.\* For a pit of this description two, or at most three, cart-loads of the proper compost are sufficient, (I mean single carts, or carts drawn by one horse,) if mixed according to the principles above laid down. If the soil be sandy or gravelly, the clay compost should be used; if clayey, the sand compost, with the addition of one-third part of the peat or general compost, which, as said above, is applicable to all soils. Should the soil be deep and loamy, the last mentioned is probably the compost best suited to divide into parts the fresh mould brought up, and to excite a general chemical action throughout the mass.

\* NOTE IV.

In executing the trenching, if the pit be to stand for a twelvemonth or more before transplanting, the compost is to be laid down in half-loads round the stake which marks out the site of the pit, and at such a distance as not to obstruct the workmen. The dimensions are then to be marked out for middle-sized trees, of from five-and-twenty to eight-and-twenty feet high, in a circular form, and at two "spades" and a half distant from the stake, or about eighteen feet diameter. This is the mode of measuring usual among our workmen; the spade being that superior and efficient implement, about three feet eight inches long, called the "Scotch spade," with an iron handle at the upper end of the shaft, and not the common garden spade, which is of little use, except for stirring loose mould.

Supposing the subsoil to be sand or gravel, and of a sufficient depth to admit of the pit prescribed, the next thing to be done is, to wheel away from the side at which you are to begin as much earth as will close in the last trench, and to lay it down for the purpose on the opposite side of the pit. The compost being of two kinds, clayey and peaty, as already described, should be dashed on, or scattered like lime upon a field, as the trenching proceeds, over the entire surface of the work, so as to mix the whole in the completest manner; and when the trench is closed in at the further side, it should lie for a twelvemonth, as before stated.

Supposing, on the other hand, that the soil be deficient in the competent depth, (a thing which has unfortunately too often happened at this place,) there is no remedy but to supply the want with earth brought from some other quarter. A few cart-loads are all that in most instances are required; and a little practice will teach the planter to procure it from the cleanings of drains or ditches, from

natural hollows in woods, and such like places, where calcareous earth is sure to be collected. The best way is to take it out in strips or lines of only one spit wide and of the same depth; by which means no material injury will be done to the ground by the operation.

In supplying such earth, let it be remembered that rich mould is not essentially necessary, and that soil even of a *very* inferior quality will answer the purpose. Every one must have observed the readiness with which the roots of trees find their way into a mound of earth of *any* quality whatever that has been stirred, and consequently comminuted, by being forced up beyond the natural level of the surface—a fact which demonstrates in a striking manner the justness of the principle, that to render any soil pervious to air and water, and to render it fertile, are almost synonymous terms. With a mass of earth so prepared, and to between eighteen inches and two feet out beyond the length of the roots, both roots and branches will soon be stimulated to greater multiplicity and more vigorous extension; and the former may be then safely trusted to seek food for themselves over the natural surface of the ground. Should a still greater range of pabulum or of deepened soil be requisite for particular trees, it will be easy to provide it after the trees are transplanted; as such masses of earth as these pits contain can be extended at pleasure, and both the shape and the quality of the ground at the same time be improved.

Supposing the soil and subsoil to be clayey and tenacious, particular caution must be observed, in trenching, to leave the substratum untouched; and the safest method in all cases is, to penetrate no deeper than the improved and friable mould extends, which is always permeable by moisture. But should the workmen unfortunately, through

ignorance or inattention, penetrate such a subsoil, a pool of water would be formed by the first rains that fell, of the size of the pit, where, being held as in a cup, the roots of the tree would be chilled on its removal, and the tree itself probably killed in the first season.

Supposing further, that from necessity, or perhaps from choice, the planter cannot wait the prescribed space of a twelvemonth for the most propitious time for removal, and will be content with a less perfect comminution, and a less intimate incorporation of the materials, let him proceed as follows. Having marked out the pit and its dimensions, and laid the compost, or extra earth, or both, as the case may be, six feet out from the centre, let the workmen in the first place, spade the whole into the centre at the stake, and, mixing it as much as possible by that operation, form a high and narrow heap round the stake. Let them next open the pit to the depth wanted, as before noticed ; but instead of trenching or double-digging, they must turn out the contents to the outside edges ; throwing over for every stratum of a spit deep, a corresponding stratum of the mixed mass from the centre, till the pit is thus excavated to the proper depth. As soon as the stake is driven down, the workmen begin, each at a different part of the mound thrown out, and work round the pit, so as to give a sort of half-trenching or turning over to the mound, by tossing it to and fro throughout its whole extent. The contents being in the loosest possible state, this can be done with great rapidity ; and when the workmen meet in the half-trenching the business is completed. The pit is then ready for the immediate planting of the tree. Thus the greatest comminution of these mingled ingredients is obtained with the least labour, and by only once throwing out ; but the most *intimate* mixture is absolutely necessary. It is true

that the process, however compendious, is an imperfect succedaneum to the slower maceration or preparation by nature; but an entire year is saved by adopting this method, which at times may be of consequence to the owner of a place, while there are not perhaps many gardeners who can boast of their hothouse mould being of a texture more perfect than that which has been produced by it.

Next, as to groups and larger masses. By a group of trees is to be understood any number from two (which is the smallest group) to ten, or more, in the lawn or park, intended for some particular effect. As the distances between the trees are generally from sixteen to twenty feet, according to the ground, it is obvious that, after trenching as many large spaces or circles as there are trees in the group, not more than a third part of the whole space or area occupied will remain solid or untouched between the circles. In all cases of this sort I should much recommend that the *entire area*, comprising all the trees of the group, be trenched at once, and reaching to at least five yards beyond the stakes which mark the outside plants. Thus the labour of wheeling earth to close in the work at each particular space or circle is saved, and a much wider range given to the roots to extend freely. In fact, the number of additional or extra poles of ground to be turned over is trifling, whilst a considerable saving is made in the lesser depth of the trench required, particularly on stiff land, and a great additional value conferred on the ground itself.

There is no soil of which the produce, whether in grain-crops or in pasture, will not be *increased by the one half*, in consequence of such stirring and deepening; and there are many soils, those of a thin quality in particular, on which these processes will *double the produce*, if the

new earth brought up be properly pulverised, and manured with compost. Besides, from poor clays, and lands inclined to moisture, it will banish Rushes effectually, (if Rushes be produced by surface causes,)—one of the most troublesome weeds which we have to eradicate. It is unnecessary to pursue the subject further in this place, although it has hitherto attracted no general notice. But as it rises greatly in the scale of interest and consequence from its importance to both husbandry and arboriculture, it may be worth while to give the details and explanations in a note below, to which I refer the inquisitive reader.\*

In trenching entire spaces or areas for groups, moreover, two important savings are made in the execution of the work. First, in the trenching itself—as not more than eighteen inches are necessary for the deepening a light soil, and for one that is heavy not more than twenty inches. This saving obviously results from the far greater and more uniform scope that is given for the elongation of the roots, on a space of ground of such increased magnitude. The second saving is, that on such a space it is very seldom that any extra earth can be wanted, in order to supply a deficiency of soil, as must sometimes happen with single trees. On clayey land you may freely go down, and procure the depth required; because no water can stagnate where there is no pit to hold it, but where the entire bottom of the space or area trenched is worked to a uniform level, that is, a slope-level, so as to carry off the water collected from the surface; and hence the great excellence of deep trenching in every case. The only case which can require an aid of extra earth to the soil is where pure sand or gravel, as on small eminences, rises nearly to the surface, and where there is next to nothing to form the nourishment of plants.

\* NOTE V.



During the trenching of the spaces, it is advisable to give the work a good dressing of compost, of a quality directly opposite to the quality of the soil, and to be dashed on thinly and frequently over the face or front of the new earth thrown up. If this be abundantly done, one extra cart-load of compost (I mean a single cart) for each tree is sufficient, not omitting a third part more of the peaty or general compost, to finish the preparation. The inexperienced planter may rely upon it, that there is no improvement more certain than this of trenching at once the whole area which a group comprises, instead of the preparation of pits for individual trees. It is not always that the best style of work proves the cheapest in the *beginning*, however it may in general turn out in the *end*. But in the present instance, the most perfect economy is united with the most perfect efficiency, and the success of the trees and the improvement of the ground are equally consulted.

It is to be noticed that the work of preparation, in every situation where it is convenient, should be done a year at least before the removal of the trees. This is a maxim fully as important and deserving of consideration as any one that has been delivered in the present section. Although somewhat may be lost in missing the carbonic acid gas, and the genial influence of the atmosphere, which are introduced during the work in order to excite vegetation, yet a superior object is gained by a fuller incorporation of the compost with the soil, during the renewed comminution of the whole when the planting takes place. No doubt an excellent soil, as has been already seen, may be obtained at the moment, and under the pressure of circumstances, by ingenuity and skill. But it will be found by experience that there is no case in which a little time and patience is better laid out than

in the present, as may be proved by the relative progress of trees that have been planted in the one way and in the other. I can speak from repeated experience as to the fact during the last twenty years, when, from haste or impatience, or other motive, I have been induced to sacrifice science to convenience, and to work the pits and remove the trees at one and the same time. On one and all of those occasions I felt, as in many other things, that I was following the worse course, with the better all the while before my eyes.

In planting new approaches, in wooding the banks of lakes or rivers, by means of the transplanting machine ; in giving additional woody features to grounds near the mansion-house ; and in a word, wherever numerous groups or scattered trees are wanted for immediate effect, I earnestly recommend this method to be followed. In an approach, for example, fifty or sixty yards broad, or more, as circumstances may require, should be trenched and prepared as above, on each side the carriage-way ; and a similar space on the bank of a lake or river. In any less space there would not be room to group and scatter the trees with a due regard to landscape effect. As to the returning of the ground to grass, although the rest of the park be in pasture at the time, it is not a very formidable task. It may be fenced with hurdles for three months, after being properly dressed, and sown down with grass-seeds, if the space or area of the ground trenched be large ; and if it be trifling, it needs not to be fenced at all where sheep are the stock upon the ground. The superior chance which is by this system given to trees to rise speedily to great timber, and the increased facility to the work of removal, ought to be sufficient inducements to the owner of a place, particularly in new designs, to adopt the system. But when it is considered that the extra

expense of trenching an acre, or two acres at once, for these objects, is repaid more than twofold by the additional yearly value of the ground, there must be *gain* rather than loss by following the culture recommended.

We now come to the second head, namely, close woods and plantations. Close plantations raised by means of the transplanting machine may be desirable, whether at old places or new, for various purposes where the immediate effect of wood is wanted : for concealing objects, for example, that require concealment ; for adding features to the foreground of the landscape ; or for giving accompaniments to water and the like. These plantations consist of standard or grove wood, at from eighteen to twenty feet from tree to tree, with copse or underwood at five or six feet occupying the intervals.

When the plantation is marked out, the first thing to be done is, soon after the autumn, to trench or double-dig the ground eighteen inches deep, in light or silicious soils, and twenty inches at least in clayey or aluminous. During the course of the trenching, if a manuring of compost can be spared, it is an obvious improvement to dash it on, over the slope of the earth thrown up, in order to promote a comminution of, and to give an incitement to, the new earth, which had never before been exposed to the air. But that is not essentially necessary in this stage of the business.

By the month of April the winter frosts will have mellowed and made friable the new soil, especially if aluminous ; which greatly assists the pulverisation or comminution of its parts. The ground is next well dunged for a potato-crop with ordinary animal manure, or better, peat compost, made with farm-yard dung, according to the fermenting process of Lord Meadowbank, and twice heated and turned. In default of com-

post during the trenching, particularly if the subsoil be clay, it is advisable, soon after the potatoes are planted, to hoe into the drills as much slacked lime, in fine powder, as is generally used to a wheat crop—that is, about a hundred and fifty or sixty bushels per acre. This treatment surprisingly tends to comminuate the subsoil turned up; it brings the hard or inert substances contained in it into a state of decomposition or solution, and renders them the proper food of plants. If the process be conducted with common judgment, the value of the potato-crop cannot be less than from £20 to £25 per Scotch acre, (I have myself drawn £30 under favourable circumstances;) and it fully pays the labour and manure laid out, and perhaps some rent besides. By the succeeding season the ground will be in a good condition to be planted, after which it should be kept with the hoe for three years.

In so far, then, the mode of preparing the ground for close plantations is superior in point of economical arrangement to the preparation for open dispositions of wood, as it is clear that it may be prepared *without expense to the owner*. By the directions here given, the soil in the latter is more pulverised, and approaches, if well managed, to the state of fine dark-coloured mould, such as is used for a vinery, and superior to that of most vineries. In the former, what is deficient in fineness is often compensated by variety, and by the extensive scope which it gives to the roots to search for their food.

On considering these various methods of improving soils for the use of woody plants, the great, and indeed paramount importance of subsoils cannot fail to strike the reader. In fact, the latter may be said, in a great measure, to command and render subordinate the actual properties of the former, rendering them favourable or

unfavourable according to their own peculiar character. The first question that is asked by a skilful planter, on surveying a place for the first time, is not respecting the soil, but the subsoil. If that be propitious, he is comparatively indifferent as to the superincumbent strata. All soils are susceptible of melioration, from the most silicious to the most argillaceous. Their pernicious ingredients can often be modified, if they cannot be altered, as we have already seen ; but subsoils are the gift of nature, for evil or for good, and always lie beyond the reach of our improvement. In order that the reader may form a right judgment of both their favourable and unfavourable properties, for the growth of wood, the following short view is subjoined of the merits of both.

The most favourable subsoils are those through which the excess of water received in rainy seasons is allowed slowly to percolate, and which retain moisture sufficient for the sustenance of plants. First, close-lying strata ; in which a considerable proportion of sand and fine gravel is intimately mixed. Secondly, free-stone ; provided a bed of hard and impermeable clay do not intervene between it and the soil, which sometimes happens. And thirdly, a kind of greenstone (Scotticè, rotten whin,) which is the most favourable of all, when there is over it a sufficient depth of mould, for the above purposes. Such, for example, are the soil and subsoil of that favourite tract of country at the foot of the Ochill and other hills in Stirlingshire and Perthshire, so well known for the growth of its timber. Here it descends in a gradual slope, from the hills towards the river Forth, both east and west of the town of Stirling, while the river slowly winds through the rich, but alluvial plain below. In this sort of subsoil the excess of the water collected from the sky and the heights above passes through the fissures, and is received and retained in its

subterraneous cavities ; by which means the rock, being always damp, and never exsiccated, can communicate its moisture to the soil above in seasons of drought. It is true, this rock sends out frequent springs from its internal reservoirs, to the surface : but they are often useful, instead of being pernicious ; and they may generally be carried off by drains of inconsiderable depth, if cut across the outcropping extremity of the rock.

The subsoils of an unfavourable quality are, first, such as are composed of dense and argillaceous substances, through which no water can pass, it being retained stagnant at the bottom of the soil. In this situation it has the most injurious effects, not only by chilling the roots that reach it, but by disabling the soil from exerting that sort of repulsive force which, as has been seen, is necessary to fertility. Secondly, those open beds of loose stones and sand, from the bottom of which water is readily drawn off by subterraneous outlets. These strata are sometimes continuous, but they oftener occur in narrow lines or strips ; they are named "scalds" by the Norfolk farmers, and are as injurious to crops in a wet as in a dry season. Thirdly, there may be added those extensive ranges or strata of dry rocks, of a hard texture, composed of slate, sometimes granite, but most commonly of gneiss, accompanied in some districts with a considerable proportion of iron, probably in the state of orange oxide. These rocks run in long ridges through the districts where they lie, sometimes narrow, and sometimes of a considerable breadth. They are dry to a great breadth, and full of fissures, through which the water quickly passes. The orange oxide always appears in thin lamina among the fissures. As they are like the sandy bottoms, not retentive of water, all vegetation is destroyed in dry seasons on the soils which cover them, and woods, were they planted there, would share

the same fate. When these rocks are near the surface, the oxide with which they abound is generally injurious to vegetable life, and trees die as soon as their roots come in contact with it. Of these rocky strata the greater part of the Western Highlands and Islands of Scotland furnish remarkable examples, excluding of course, in most districts, the ingredient of iron. But it is from a want of soil, and not of climate, that woods of any given extent cannot be got up in those unsheltered but romantic regions. Nature is every where impartial in her gifts. Where wood abounds, the character of a district is often tame and uninteresting. Were the grand scenery of these "high-featured countries," their sublime mountains and blue lakes, crowned with the forests of the south, they would in point of picturesque beauty be the paradise of the earth.

Happy, then, is the planter who has none of these dry rocks for his subsoil; for it clearly appears that neither general planting nor removal of trees is possible, of whatever size, where they are present. Still happier is he who, with clay and sand intermingled beneath his surface, or even with those untoward substances separately composing his soils, can by industry and skill prepare them for his purpose. But happiest certainly of all is the man who can boast the possession of that enviable greenstone or rotten whin, with the deep, friable, and dark-coloured mould of the Ochills superincumbent on it; for then he may plant or remove whatever trees he pleases, and without preparation either chemical or mechanical.

In conclusion, I have to observe, that there is perhaps some reason to claim the indulgence of the general reader, for the seemingly elaborate manner in which I have been obliged to point out the chemical and scientific principles on which soils should be improved and rendered proper for the food of plants. It has been said above, and it

cannot be too often repeated or too earnestly enforced, that it is by principles drawn from nature, and elucidated by science, that any real progress can be made in an art like the one under discussion, where nature and science must unite in regulating the process, and art must follow in the track which they prescribe.



## SECTION VII.

### PREPARATION OF THE TREES FOR REMOVAL.

IT has been said above that the removal of large trees is applicable to two different objects, namely, single trees, or open dispositions of wood, and to close plantations ; which last consist of grove and underwood intermixed. Now, as the former much more frequently occur in practice than the latter, so transplanting may be generally said, as has been already noticed, to imply increased exposure.

By the wise economy of nature, it has been provided that trees in open situations, in order to thrive, must possess certain external conditions which have been designated the protecting properties. Therefore the principle of transplanting lies in adopting such subjects as possess those properties, wherever they can be found, and in communicating them to others in which they may be deficient. It is obvious that trees endued with the protecting properties or prerequisites require no preparation at all ; and that those trees which possess them partially or inadequately require it precisely in the ratio or degree of that inadequate possession. Further, it is apparent, as these properties must be either protecting or non-protecting, or a modification of the one or the other, so the complete presence of the one class of properties necessarily implies the absence of the other class. But both may nevertheless exist at one and the same time in different parts of the same tree. For the purpose of removal, for example,

such a plant may possess fibrous roots and spreading branches, (two of the protecting properties which are generally concomitant,) yet it may be deficient in both bark and stem. In like manner it may have desirable stem and bark, (two properties, likewise, which usually go together,) and yet fail in branches and roots.

It is a great error to imagine with the early planters, and as is still done by many, that the business of preparation applies solely to roots. As well might it be imagined that the roots carry up the sap to the top; that they elaborate it in the leaves; that they transmit it to the stem and branches; and, in a word, that this single organ performs all the various functions which exist in a complicated system. When the ingenious Lord Fitzharding, as we learn from Evelyn, thought of cutting round the roots of trees in order to multiply their lateral fibres, it cannot be deemed surprising that he should have been unaware how small a part of the work of preparation he had effected by that invention. But it is much more extraordinary that, during the many years that my practice has been open to general inspection, it should never occur to any one that its success did not depend merely on the roots, but must be governed by some general and fixed principles: for to this day, when the roots of trees are cut round, as is often done, they are said to be "*fully prepared* according to *my* method;" while the planter who so prepares them does not suspect that he is merely fulfilling one of *four* conditions which are pointed out by that method. But perhaps it was not supposed that a process seemingly so simple as transplanting appeared to be, in the hands of my workmen, required any principle at all to regulate it.

It has been stated in a foregoing section, that the perfect and internal development of woody plants is depen-

dent on certain external conditions ; and that, when those conditions are imperfectly supplied, this development cannot take place. It has been further observed, that the most perfect development in all cases appears manifest where the protecting properties are most fully displayed. If these things be true, it will follow that to prepare trees for removal only means to allow nature, if I may so speak, to do her own work : and that we shall always best accomplish by clearing away those accidental obstacles and mechanical impediments which are sometimes thrown in her way, as they obstruct and misdirect the simple but efficient methods which she employs towards the accomplishment of one of the most beautiful as well as complicated of her processes. The difficulty lies in administering to nature discreetly ; neither officiously directing her on the one hand, nor rudely controlling her on the other.

The main obstacle or impediment to the acquisition of the protecting properties in trees is shelter and closeness, or the want of a sufficient action of the atmosphere around them. Vegetable, like animal life, is dependent for its existence on the external conditions of food, air, water, and heat, while light is a condition more peculiar to plants. Where trees, as in unthinned plantations, press too closely on one another, the range which the roots require for their food is circumscribed. Wind being in a great degree excluded, and evaporation prevented, heat is by consequence generated in an undue degree. In the same way, light is nearly shut out from such plantations, except from the top, and a disproportioned elongation of the stem is occasioned by the efforts which each individual makes to gain the light. By these means the bark becomes thinner and more delicate, the roots more scanty, and the spray and branches more open and

sparing than when there is a greater action of the atmosphere and a freer access of light. Thus, by the law of nature by which trees accommodate themselves to the circumstances in which they are placed, as the possession of the non-protecting properties does not constitute the most natural or most perfect state of trees, but is superinduced by circumstances, so that state must be improved by the alteration of such circumstances, and the possession of the opposite or protecting properties be substituted in its stead. The planter, therefore, in ordinary cases, if he act with judgment, has little more to do than to bring about a gradual, a salutary, and in the end a *free exposure* of trees to the elements, and their own native energies and plastic powers will do every thing else for themselves.

Having explained as distinctly as I can the true principle on which the preparation of trees should be made, I will now proceed to point out the practice. Subjects for removal may be prepared in two different ways, or more properly speaking, in two different classes, namely, as single trees, each independently of the other; or as masses, especially trained and disciplined for the purpose.

And first, as to single or individual trees. It has been already noticed that many trees stand in need of no preparation at all, but may immediately be taken up and removed to where they are wanted. If what has been said above, on the selection of subjects, be fully apprehended by the reader, he will have little difficulty in regulating his choice, and determining what subjects really possess the four essential prerequisites or protecting properties; because proper preparation and the possession of those properties may be considered as nearly convertible terms. About every place, great or small, such subjects are always to be found in pretty open dispositions, in old

grass-plots or avenues, in woodlands near the flower or kitchen garden, and the like, where the ground is usually kept under the scythe. Here, if the soil be loose and deep—that is, if it afford good rooting-ground, you are sure to find tolerable subjects, which may be immediately taken up, in the manner hereafter to be described. Even subjects drawn from hedgerows may be pressed into the service, provided their roots have not too deeply penetrated the mound on which the hedge is planted, or provided you have a soil of suitable depth to receive them. It is not necessary, as already explained in Sect., V. that every subject fit for immediate transplantation should be endued in the *fullest* manner with the protecting properties. They need only to possess such a proportion of them as is *sufficient for the exposure in which the tree is to be placed*. By a sound judgment exercised in this particular, and by the help of an experienced eye, much useful work may be done with trees taken up at once, and the most surprising improvements made at a small expense. This, I find, is a part of the business which has not been at all understood, as *indiscriminate preparation* is generally conceived to be necessary—a supposition implying needless expense, and quite contrary to judicious practice.

To prepare single or individual trees is often a work of difficulty as well as time. It frequently happens, that they may be found in a free exposure, and have good bark and stems; but in such an exposure they are frequently defective in branches or roots, or both, in consequence of mechanical injury suffered from other trees. If the branches be tolerable, but the roots deficient by being long and scraggy, they are to be cut round according to Lord Fitzharding's method, with some improvements which have been made on that operation. If the deficiency lie in both branches and roots, a different

method must be adopted ; as it is plain that, branches and roots being relative and correlative, the former could not possibly be got to extend were so severe a discipline to be practised on the latter.

To meet this difficulty with any counteracting effect, I have found but one method which, although opposite to gardeners' practice, is deserving of the notice of the planter. Instead of digging among, and disturbing the roots for the introduction of manure, let about a cart-load of peat compost be taken, carefully prepared as above, and in the most perfect state of pulverisation, or coal ashes of a like quantity, for a tree five-and-twenty feet high ; to which let four or five cart-loads of any tolerable soil be added—of an opposite quality, if possible, to that of the ground ; and let the whole be laid down round the tree, and about four feet out from it. Let three workmen proceed to throw these materials close to the stem, two throwing the earth and one throwing the compost in a regular manner, and scattering the whole in the way of lime on a field of fallow. Let the workmen next half-trench the heap, as directed above in the foregoing section, and intimately mix and toss it backwards and forwards for the same purpose. Lastly, let them spread it in a sloping direction outwards to the extent of the roots ; keeping it at the extremities four inches thick, and at the stem about three times that thickness. Should there not be materials enough to accomplish this, an additional quantity must be procured. Into this loose and friable mould the genial rains of spring will readily enter, and, carrying with them the carbonic acid gas of the atmosphere, render the whole the most desirable food for plants. Thus excited, the fibrous roots, which always strike upwards, will, during the first year, nearly pervade the mass ; by which means both the roots and the

branches will soon be improved, and the tree itself be in a proper condition for taking up after the third or fourth season.

On the other hand, should the branches be tolerable, at least for a spiral tree, and the roots defective, in consequence of tenacity of soil or mechanical injury done by other trees, let the following improved method of cutting round be followed.

In the first place, supposing the plant to be five-and-twenty feet high, as before, let a trench thirty inches wide be opened round it, at the distance of three feet and a half, if you mean to let it stand for four years, or more, after the operation ; and at the distance of six or seven feet, if you mean to let it stand only two years. For let it be remembered, that no tree can with propriety be taken up, on a single year's growth, after cutting round ; because in that case the fresh shoots of the fibres, being nearly as tender as the roots of an onion or a cabbage, can neither be extricated nor handled without sensible injury.

Supposing the first case, and that the tree be to stand for four or more years, the operation is simple. Let the trench be cut fully to the depth of the subsoil, rather excavating the bank, in order to get somewhat underneath the roots ; or, in the case of taprooted plants, (as the Oak or Elm,) going down nearly a foot deeper still, and opening a drain or outlet on the lower side, to prevent a stagnation of water if the subsoil be tenacious. After this, the earth may be returned, well broken down, into the trench ; taking care to put in the surface-mould first, in order to afford the best pabulum or nourishment to the young fibres, which may be expected at once to strike into it. Previously to the last mentioned operation, it would certainly be an improvement if a little compost

could be spared to mix through the mass ; but that is an improvement which I have seldom had time to practise.

Supposing the second case, and that the tree be to stand only two years, the same method may be followed, but with this difference, that on the south and south-west sides, two, or perhaps three, of the strongest roots should be left uncut, and allowed to pass entire through the trench ; so that, when taken up at their full length, they can act as stays against the winds which may assail it from those quarters. Something like this, as Evelyn informs us, was done in his time by Lord Fitzharding.\* As to "forcing down trees upon their sides," so as to cut the tap-root, which seems to have been practised by that nobleman, it may answer with subjects such as his, which were of the "bigness only of his thigh." But with heavy trees, besides endangering their stability, it would be imprudent too severely to reduce their strength, by cutting at one and the same time their downward and their lateral roots. As to the tap-root, my practice always has been to leave it untouched until the tree be taken up. The power of renovation which it unquestionably possesses, and the erroneous opinions of some respecting it, are points that have been sufficiently illustrated in Section IV. and the Notes, so as to satisfy any phytologist of the striking analogy which subsists between the branches and the roots, and that if, shortening may be safely practised on the one, it cannot be injurious to the other.

Before we quit the subject of preparing individual trees, it may be proper to repeat what has been already stated, that it is an error to imagine, as is done by many, that cutting round is an operation which should *always* be resorted to. When advisable, however, two good consequences result from it. In the first place, it gives supe-

\* Silva, vol. i. p. 102.



rior facility both in the taking up and the replanting of the tree; and in the second place, it furnishes a vast multiplicity of fibrous roots, far more numerous than could be furnished by unassisted nature; and these act as so many superadded mouths to take up, by means of introsusception, the food proper for the nourishment of the plant. This, we should reflect, is the more peculiarly needful in a process so violent as transplanting, however carefully performed and scientifically directed, must imply.

Next, as to the preparation of trees in large masses. In executing designs of any extent, where many subjects are wanted, this comes to be a work of necessity as well as importance, because materials for such designs could not be furnished by individual trees. It is therefore proper that it should be done with the least possible expense and labour. For any design, great or small, a separate spot, which I have called a transplanting nursery, is extremely desirable, as contributing in an eminent degree to the facility, not less than to the accuracy, of the work. Here subjects of all denominations may be most conveniently trained and disciplined. From a nursery like this, as from a great repository of materials, high and low, light and massive, spreading and spiral trees, may be brought forth at pleasure, as may best suit the planter's design; and without throwing away or misapplying the prerequisites for success, he may have the power of wooding the highest, as well as the lowest parts of his grounds. The fact is, that all grove-wood from about twenty to forty years' growth, if properly thinned and pruned after the first ten or twelve years, so as that the tops are never after allowed to touch one another, may be esteemed the best transplanting nurseries of any, provided that the soil be loose and friable; but there is no necessity for its being extremely deep. On the contrary, a thin clay or

peaty loam is a desirable soil for training various trees, such as the Oak, the Beech, and the Birch, as it gives great facilities both in the preparing and taking up. Woody glades, or small forest lawns, left open in the original planting of a place, are likewise most commodious as sites for nursery-ground. But to find woods or plantations so trained for a series of years, to wide distances, is extremely rare, although valuable when they are found. I know but one example in this part of the country, to the extent of from fifteen to twenty acres, but there may easily be others that have escaped my notice. A department, however, of the woodland of a place of the age just now mentioned, retired from the view, but little sheltered by surrounding objects, is the most favourable situation both for the convenience and the efficiency of the nursery.

I once more entreat the forgiveness of the reader for here obtruding some further account of my own practice. But should he have any extent of grounds to be planted for immediate effect, and fortunately possess, as I do, a remnant of the old belt of Brown and his followers, or what would be still more valuable, any of the circular or oval clumps of that celebrated artist, which have suffered so much obloquy, he may rely upon it that he is possessed of a treasure which cannot be too highly prized for the purpose in question. With his permission, then, I will beg leave to give a cursory idea of my own transplanting nurseries, and of the superior materials out of which they were formed.

About forty years since, when the style of Brown was in high fashion and repute, this place was modernised and laid out by an eminent landscape gardener, well known in Scotland, namely, Mr Thomas White, one of the most ingenious of his pupils. With a better education than

his master could boast, with a more correct taste, and a more vivid fancy, White had a juster discernment of the true style in which the principles of artificial should be applied to the improvement of real landscape. He was a superior draughtsman, and possessed a thorough knowledge of the principles of design; and had it not been for the professional trammels by which he was confined, he probably would have anticipated, as well as illustrated in his own designs, those more correct notions of park scenery which Sir Uvedale Price and Mr Knight afterwards had the merit of bringing into notice.\* As it was, White rather yielded to, than approved of, the fashion of the day: accordingly, he gave a belt and clumps to all the new places he laid out, and sometimes to the old ones, which he so ingeniously improved.

Although my little park was not deficient in these necessary appendages, it must not be imagined that such formal plantations, and especially the clumps, were ever intended to be *permanent* by this able artist. On the contrary, they were meant to act as kindly and sheltering masses to a very open subject, and as the only means of protecting and getting up good single trees, and loose dispositions of wood. I therefore trenched the ground by his advice, and took from it a potato crop (after the manner directed in the foregoing section) before being planted. About the twelfth or fifteenth year after the clumps were planted, I began to cut away the Larch and Spruce Firs. These had been introduced merely as nurses to the deciduous trees; and from the warmth and shelter they had afforded, and the previous double-digging, the whole had rushed up with singular rapidity. The next thing I did was to thin out the trees to single distance, so

\* NOTE I.

as that the tops could not touch one another, and to cut away the side branches within about three or three and a half feet of the surface. By this treatment it will be perceived that a considerable deal of air was admitted into the plantations. The light, which before had had access only at the top, was now equally diffused on all sides; and the trees, although for a few years they advanced but little in height, made surprising efforts towards a full development of their most important properties. They acquired greater strength of stem, greater thickness of bark and extension of roots, together with a corresponding amplitude of top and branches.

But at this time it was apparent that the clumps had a remarkable advantage over the belt, or continuous plantation. While in no part so deep as to impede the salutary action of the atmosphere, the circular or oval figure of the clumps, and their free exposure to the elements, furnished them with a far greater proportion of good outside trees; and these, having acquired from the beginning a considerable share of the protecting properties, were in a situation to shelter the rest, and also to prevent the violence of the wind from injuriously acting on the interior of the mass. It therefore became necessary to thin the belt for the second time, which was now done to double distance; that is to say, to such a distance as would have admitted of a similar number of trees to stand between the existing plants. Thus, within four or five years after the first thinning, I began to have tolerable subjects for removal to situations of moderate exposure; while every succeeding season added fresh beauty and vigour to these thriving nurseries, and made a visible accession to all the desirable prerequisites.

It is deserving of remark in this place, that no second thinning of these clumps was necessary, although on ordi-

nary occasions it would have been indispensable, for the free admission of air among the plants. At this period I happened to have a good deal of transplanting work upon my hands, by which means the original trenching, and the successive removals that were made from the clumps, not only served this salutary purpose, but operated as a complete preparation of the roots, as well as of every other part of the trees which were left behind: for I found that, how severely soever they might be cut, I could always return to them after two years with renewed advantage. The clumps, as it fortunately happened, were pretty numerous. They had been planted in various soils, from the most tenacious clay to the lightest sand; therefore no better opportunity could be figured for raising forest trees of almost every description with success. The clumps for the most part, by the above operations, were soon reduced to open dispositions of wood, and in some instances to mere groups of six and seven plants. But some still remained as nurseries for subjects, which at this moment are of great size and beauty, and endued in the most eminent degree with all the protecting properties.

Although few planters may be so fortunate as to possess such valuable remains of the former school of design, yet no one, I trust, will find much difficulty, from the statement just now made, in forming out of the ordinary plantations of a place a transplanting nursery for himself. The main object, in such a view, is to select a plantation which has friable mould for the development of the roots, and, if possible, a dry subsoil; and such a plantation, likewise, as has been the least neglected in proper thinning. The first point towards obtaining a good nursery, is to cut away the Spruce Firs and Larches, which have been planted as nurses. But if any Scotch Firs appear, with tolerable

heads (a rare thing to be seen under such circumstances,) they are well deserving of preservation. Such fine picturesque Pines are sometimes susceptible of removal, on the principles already laid down; and they always form noble park wood, particularly when of that species which throws out its branches horizontally from the stem. The next object is to clear away the most drawn up and unsightly plants, by at once grubbing them up, so that their roots may not continue to exhaust the soil unprofitably, and that the best plants may be left free, and at single distance from one another. Last of all, the ground is to be trenched over, eighteen inches deep at the least—that is, supposing it never to have been trenched before—leaving open drains deeper than the trench, for the surface water to run off properly.

During this operation, a few of the handsomest plants, and such as possess the desirable prerequisites in the greatest degree, must have about five feet broad of solid ground left round them, and two or three roots also entire and untouched on the stormy side. The rest of the trees may have three feet and a half of solid ground left entire, during the trenching; also two or three roots in the same way, towards the west and southwest, and so passing through the trench. During the execution of this work, some tolerable mould, to the depth of a foot or better near the stem, and not less than six or eight inches at the extremity of the solid ground, should be thrown up, in order that the roots may send out new fibres into that friable superaddition to the soil. Moreover, in respect to injury from wind, should the nursery be formed at or near the outskirts of a plantation, (which is rather an advantage,) care must be taken for the three first years to leave the two outside rows unthinned, and as close as may be, both in respect to underwood and standard plants, the

better to break the force of any sudden tempest. All these measures are to be taken at some convenient time between November and April ; but in situations of particular exposure, it would be prudent, on account of the winds at the vernal equinox, to postpone the trenching until that trying season be past.

In the month of April the whole surface must be well dunged for a potato crop, if possible with fermented peat compost, which is the best ; or if that cannot be commanded, with good farm-yard manure ; and this, with a crop of flax, or barley, or early oats, and with one of hay immediately following, will more than cover the entire expense of grubbing up, trenching, and otherwise preparing the nursery. By the end of the fourth year, the trees that were considered as the handsomest, and were left with the largest solid spaces round them, and the longest roots, may now be removed, and others in succession, as they are found to acquire the necessary prerequisites. Should there be then regular transplanting work going forward, it will supersede the necessity of the second thinning.

But in any transplanting nursery judiciously formed, it is not to the spade only that trees are to be indebted for complete preparation. The axe and the hedge-bill must likewise do their office ; and both are advantageously to be employed in fashioning the tops to whatever shape or character may be desirable. Most trees growing freely are disposed to assume the conical form. To render them tall and spiral, so as that distant objects may be shown between, or under their boughs, it will be proper to cut away all the lowermost branches, or such others as seem from their luxuriance to rival the leading stem, leaving one stout or main leader pre-eminent above the rest. It will be advisable also, to displace the branches of the sides in general, and suffer no more to remain than are judged

necessary to contain proper vessels in sufficient number, in order to convey down the descending sap. In the same manner, if low and spreading subjects be required—to crown, for example, some bold eminence, or clothe its sloping sides—the leader or leaders of the top may be headed down, for that or similar objects; and by skilfully repeating the operation from time to time, we shall produce or continue what has been called the clump-headed character. Let it not, however, be imagined that the *mutilating*, or what is usually called the “lightening,” of the tops of trees is by any means intended. The system here recommended is radically and characteristically PRESERVATIVE, and one of its striking merits consists in carefully seconding, not counteracting the laws of nature. Her exuberant efforts, indeed, may be sometimes discreetly restrained, or specially directed, without producing those unhappy consequences which never fail to flow from undue violence, under whatever name it may be allowed to operate. It is by the former method alone, that the scientific planter will communicate to his trees that particular character which best suits his purpose, and thus be enabled to confer both intricacy and variety on his landscapes.

In ordering the useful nurseries here attempted to be described, the size will, of course, depend on the scale of the place, and the wants of the owner. Two acres, or three at most, would probably suffice as a repository of transplanting materials for pretty large places, with the addition of such single trees as may always be found in plantations of extent. But it is not necessary, nor would it at all times be practicable, to set apart such a space of woodland in one spot. More divisions, however, of this sort of training ground are just as good as fewer, if the requisite quantity be obtained on the whole, and be the extent what it may, provided a competent degree of healthful



exposure, but likewise relative shelter, can be commanded at pleasure. The great point of judgment and difficulty lies in the opening up. A slow and gradual, yet ultimately a *full exposure*, should be given to the plantation ; but we should neither chill the trees by too sudden a transition to cold, from the former temperature of the wood, nor yet, by too timid a style of thinning, continue the existence of the non-protecting properties.

Perhaps it may appear a recommendation to some, should they be persuaded to undertake this novel cultivation of woodland, that the benefits resulting from it are not wholly confined to the removal of trees. If the extent of the tree nursery thus formed be two or three acres, and the trees themselves of from twenty to thirty years growth, then there will stand on the ground probably more than three hundred plants per acre, after the first thinning. Now, supposing that the landowner, who had formed the nursery, should change his mind as to transplanting, and wish to dedicate the space to ordinary woodland purposes, it is to be observed that he has as yet put himself to little or no expense by this arboricultural improvement. The culture which he has bestowed upon the plantation has already made its return by ample remunerating crops ; and to whatever purpose he may think proper to turn it, the ground will still give him tolerable crops of hay for some years to come. But after all, on comparing it with his plantations of a corresponding age, it will be found that he has strikingly benefited, not deteriorated, the trees ; for they will yield him more vigorous and valuable wood than he could have obtained by any other given method.

## SECTION VIII.

### TAKING UP AND TRANSPORTATION OF THE TREES.

IF there be any one thing more than another in the removal of trees, that places the superiority of the preservative system in a striking point of view, it is the management of the roots. Few planters, in the taking up of trees, make much account of roots, provided that a large mass or ball of earth only adhere to them. Marshall, one of the most judicious writers who has treated the subject, in giving directions on this point, says that the length of the roots, properly speaking, should not be less than the fourth part of the whole height of the tree; although probably, from a want of the means of extricating them from the soil, he did not contemplate the possibility of applying the rule to trees of any magnitude.\* Had he been better acquainted with vegetable physiology, he would have seen that, by the law of nature, roots and branches must in every case be relative and correlative; and that the standard of judging with respect to roots is not the height of the plant, but the actual length of the side branches. If we mean that our subjects should fully possess the protecting properties, in respect to those two important conservative organs, they must possess them relatively in such proportions as nature confers on all trees which are found to thrive in open exposures.

\* See *Rural Ornament*, vol i. p. 367.

Roots spread themselves in the ground in a way nearly analogous to that in which branches spread themselves in the air, but with a far greater multiplicity of ramification. From the principal root proceed the buds that give rise to the primary rootlets; and these again give off finer ramifications, which are the true absorbents of the root. To take up such minute and diminutive shoots on the preservative principle, in any thing like an entire state, is obviously impossible with the arboricultural implements now generally in use. Hence it became necessary to have something more effective, and the tree-picker was some years since invented for this purpose, and is now used in Scotland by many persons who have witnessed its extraordinary utility in my practice. This implement is of very simple structure, resembling the pick used by miners, but with only one point or prong, which forms an angle somewhat more acute with the handle, than in the miner's pick. See Plate IV., Fig. 4. The head, which is of iron, and fifteen inches long in the prong, is made extremely light, as also the wooden handle. The length of the latter is two feet and a half, the entire implement weighing no more than about four and a half pounds. In fact, it can scarcely be made too light for the purpose in question.

From what has been said in the foregoing section, respecting the preparation of trees, it is apparent that those which have been cut round are more easily taken up than those that have never been so prepared. The trench made during this operation serves as a sure guide, to show the point to which the fibrous elongation has extended; whereas, in subjects which have undergone no such preparation, the roots must be judged of from other, and sometimes more uncertain circumstances. Every experienced workman is aware, in examining a tree that

has never been prepared, for the purpose of taking it up, that in any tolerable rooting-ground, he will find the points of the roots, if not mechanically prevented, running out to the full extent of the branches, and sometimes still farther out. Hence he should begin cautiously to try with the spade and picker, in order to discover the extreme points of the rootlets. Whether the roots he may lay bare belong to the plant, or to some other tree of the same species, he will at a glance perceive, from what the workmen call "the feathering,"—that is, the position of the capillary rootlets upon the primary rootlets or branches, which are always found pointing outwards from the body of the tree.

Having ascertained where the extremities lie, the next step to be taken is to open a trench two or two and a feet wide, and cut down to the subsoil, or deeper, should the roots have penetrated so far. The bank in which the roots seem to lie, is then to be undermined to the extent of eight or ten inches, in order to facilitate the operation of the picker. Two workmen are next to extricate or scratch up the roots, while one more is sufficient to throw out the mould, which in consequence falls down into the trench ; and thus the workmen are distributed three and three together, according to the number employed, over the whole extent of the excavation. As every effort must be made to preserve the minutest fibres and capillary rootlets entire, the difference between an experienced and an inexperienced workman is very striking, in an operation of so much nicety ; and the surprising dexterity which some men of ingenuity and attention acquire in this department, is as valuable to the employer as it is beautiful and interesting to the spectator who examines it. The main thing which the pickman has here to study is, never to strike *across* the roots, but as much as possible

in the line of their elongation, always standing in the right line of divergence from the tree as a centre ; that is, in such a line or lines as the rays of the sun are represented to describe in emanating from that luminous body. In striking the picker into the ground, which must sometimes be done pretty deeply, there is a certain dexterous shake, more easily understood than described, which a superior workman knows how to give with the implement ; and that, when properly applied, will more efficaciously and speedily discover and disengage the various bearings and ramifications of the root than any other method.

By thus continuing to extricate the roots, and to shovel away the mould that falls into the trench at one and the same time, an immense body, amounting to thousands, and sometimes to millions of roots, great and small, will ere long be disengaged, and which must be carefully laid aside or bundled up, so as to make room for the workmen, and also to avoid the strokes of their implements, as well as injury from their feet. In like manner the stiffer roots must be cautiously put aside and disposed of, and any that are broken or lacerated cut off. Ere long the pickmen from all sides nearly meet in the centre, by approaching to within three, four, or five feet of the stem, in proportion to its size ; and at this point the process of extrication ceases, as it would be imprudent to advance too near the collar of the tree. A ball of earth round the stem, as large as can be got according to the nature of the soil, with two or three feet broad of the original sward adhering to it, should now, if possible, be left undisturbed at that place.

The above, as the reader will perceive, is a very complicated and delicate process, although probably more complicated in the description than in the execution. It

is no easy matter, even in the freest soils, so to disengage the fibrous and capillary roots of trees, as not to lacerate or disbark a considerable number of them, and yet perform the work with any tolerable despatch. But it is the process of all others which will the least bear to be *hurried*. There are some departments of rural labour in which despatch and economy are nearly allied, and almost convertible terms, and where every one, of course, will study to promote the former as far as lies in his power. But in the one in question, the greatest deliberation, or at least the greatest caution, is the truest saving that can be made. For here the well-known adage, *Festina lentè*, is the golden rule which should regulate the process. It is well known to the vegetable anatomist, who can discern with his microscope the flattened extremities of the capillary rootlets, (*Capillamenta*,) how well fitted they are to perform the office of absorption, and that it is to those effective organs chiefly that plants are indebted for the intromission of their food. Hence, when disbarked or lacerated, or, what is worse, cut away, the severe and often ineffectual efforts made by planters to restore or replace them. The planter cannot too earnestly reflect, that the greater roots do little more than serve as canals or channels to transmit the sap to the trunk, where it ascends by the tubes of the wood to the branches, and ultimately to the leaves; on which account it is evident, that the failure and decay of the top (the great opprobrium of transplanters) is primarily to be ascribed to the entire want of skill in the preservation of these fibrous roots, on which the tree mainly depends for a suitable supply of sap during the first season. He, therefore, who can most successfully vanquish this difficulty is the greatest master of his art.

But to return to the business of the field. As soon as

the workmen have completed the task of extrication, within three or four feet of the stem, as already explained, it becomes necessary to take measures for pulling down the tree. According to circumstances, its roots are now either to be covered up, in order to be planted out with others at a future period, or it is immediately to be raised from the pit and removed by itself. On the supposition that the roots are to be covered up, it is of some importance that that work be done properly and carefully, so as not to injure the tender fibres. After trying various substances for this purpose, I have found nothing to answer so well as the smaller branches of the Spruce or Silver Fir, which unite closeness with elasticity. For straw, or turf, or moss, (*Scotticè* fog,) are all apt to intermingle with the fibres, and cannot be separated from them without much mischief ensuing. The roots, for obvious reasons, (as their time of lying covered must always be uncertain,) are not now to be put up in bundles or masses, but stretched out at their full length in the pit. The branches and twigs of Spruce or Silver Fir are then laid over them in at least two rows or strata in thickness; next, eight or nine inches of fine mould follow; and last of all, sods of common turf are here and there added to increase the pressure. If the subsoil be retentive of moisture, a deep cut is at the same time made at the lower edge of the excavation, in order that the water may not stagnate in any part.

In this way I have often found the roots of the soft-wooded trees, such as the Lime and the Horse Chestnut, lie safely in the ground for a month or six weeks, or more, when severe frost happened to supervene and stop the work of planting. But as the hard-wooded kinds, especially the Oak and the Beech, are extremely sensitive of cold or drought, it is always desirable to plant them within a week

or ten days after the roots have been loosened in the ground. If this be not done, the latter often become discoloured by the action of the air, and when blackness appears, it is a symptom oftentimes fatal to the success of the plants.

On the supposition that the tree is to be immediately removed, it must be raised at once from the pit. It cannot have escaped the intelligent reader, that if it be a subject of any magnitude, say eight-and-twenty feet high, what with the actual thickness of its mass of roots and earth, which cannot be less than two feet, and what with the contents of the trench that have been thrown out round the bank, the pit so formed must, in any case, be from three to four feet deep. In order to bring up from the pit so heavy a load, I used, some years since, to employ five and six horses, and even a greater number. At present it is done usually with one horse, and never more than two, by the following simple contrivance, which certainly nothing but the most extraordinary want of reflection could have prevented from being seen in the beginning. This sufficiently proves, if any proof were wanting, how strikingly men will often pursue a more circuitous route to their object, when a nearer and more direct one lies open before their eyes.

With the view, then, of effecting the two purposes in question, namely, the pulling down of the tree, and the getting it out of the pit, a strong but soft rope, of perhaps four inches in girth, is fixed as near to the top of the tree as a man can safely climb, so as to furnish the longest possible lever to bear upon the roots ; taking care, at the same time, to interpose two or three folds of mat, in order to prevent the chafing of the bark. Eight or nine workmen (the greatest number I usually employ in the department in question) are then set to draw the tree down on one side : or it is a good way, if you have an



old and steady-pulling horse, to employ him in this business—for it is plain that one stout horse, acting forcibly on the rope, will do more than twenty men, even if so great a number could get about it; and, moreover, he will save some manual labour in excavating, by giving an effectual pull at a much earlier period of the work. The tree being drawn down, it is next forcibly held in that position, until earth be raised to the height of a foot or more on the opposite side of the pit, so that, as soon as it is liberated, it springs up, and stops against the bank thus formed. On this the workmen proceed to lighten the mass of earth with the picker, laying bare the roots as little as possible, but still necessarily reducing the mass to manageable dimensions. The tree is then pulled down on the opposite side, and a foot of earth forced up in a similar manner; and the same thing being repeated once or twice, it is gradually raised to even a higher level than that of the adjoining surface. In this manner, by a method extremely simple, and not less expeditious, however it may appear in the narrative, it becomes quite an easy, instead of a formidable undertaking, to draw the tree from the pit.

Before the tree is pulled down, as just now described, there is one thing more which must not be omitted. Almost all trees, as stated in Section IV., are ill balanced in point of ramification, and towards the stormy quarter, usually the south-west, they exhibit a “weather side;” which side, accordingly, is on removal to be reversed, as shall be directed in the sequel. Therefore, while the tree retains its upright position is the only certain time to ascertain the side where the longest branches have been thrown out. This is now accurately done by the director of the work, and the side in question marked on the stem with chalk, or very slightly with a knife, care being taken

not to penetrate beyond the epidermis. After which the tree is ready to be put upon the machine, and drawn out of the pit.

In giving the history of the progress of the art during the last century, it was stated above that Brown, the celebrated landscape gardener, was the inventor of the best and simplest transplanting machine now known. It consists of a strong pole and two wheels, with a smaller wheel occasionally used, which is fixed at the extremity of the pole, and turns on a pivot. The pole operates both as a powerful lever to bring down the trees to the horizontal position, and, in conjunction with the wheels, as a still more powerful conveyance to remove them to their new situation. Various, however, are the machines which the caprice of fashion, the love of novelty, and, in some instances, the ambition of attempting a stupendous scale of work, have introduced into both France and England within the last century and a half. Among these are the great machine of Versailles, constructed by order of Louis XIV., with its broad and powerful wheels and platforms; the high three-wheeled machine of England during the last century, of ponderous make, with its platform also, for transferring trees of vast size and weight in an upright position; the oblong machine of the same period, with four, and sometimes six low wheels, for the same gigantic purpose: these, and such like costly implements, more fitted for show than daily use, it were needless to enumerate, and still more needless to describe. My sole object being a park-practice, to which despatch and success are the chief recommendations, I prefer the simple machine of Brown, with some improvements which I have made upon it, to all other contrivances. It is to that machine, therefore, that the directions for the transportation about to be given are understood to refer.

The tree being in readiness, as above described, for removal to its new site, the machining of it (if I may be permitted the expression) is a work deserving of the particular attention of the planter.\* On the skill of the person who conducts this department, and whom I have ventured to denominate the machiner, much depends in providing against the various accidents to which branches, not less than roots, are exposed in an operation always implying much violence, and sometimes unforeseen contingency. The first step to be taken is, to bring the wheels of the machine close up to the body of the tree; and should the protuberance of the nucleus, or mass of roots under the collar, stand in the way, as sometimes happens, the wheels must be forcibly approximated until they be quite close. While this is about to be performed, the machiner darts an experienced eye over the stem and whole style of the ramification, and at once ascertains the side upon which the tree can be best laid along the pole, and also the particular opening among the boughs into which the pole can be most safely introduced. If there be the smallest bend in the stem, as almost always happens, the convex side must lie uppermost on the machine; otherwise, were the concave side to be so placed, the great weight of both the root and top, acting at once on so narrow and unstable a surface as the stem presents, would cause the fastenings to slip on the first movement of the wheels, and in consequence of the tree turning suddenly round, the most shocking havoc might be committed among both branches and roots.

However easy all this may appear to the looker-on, who views the work, there is much judgment and nicety in ascertaining such adaptations, and there is a consider-

\* NOTE I.

able saving of time, and consequently of expense, in *at once* ascertaining them, without those tedious consultations and vexatious delays in which the best workmen are apt to indulge in this stage of the business. For such an evil there is no remedy but in the useful principle of the subdivision of labour—or, in other words, in making the machiner's a distinct office, of which the duty is committed to the sagacity and despatch of a single individual. Besides these arrangements, it is a material consideration so to machine the tree as that its lee-side branches, which are always the stoutest and longest, should, if possible, be uppermost on the pole when the tree is laid horizontally; because no branch or root of considerable length should be suffered to sweep the ground during the time of transportation. But other circumstances may occur to render this desirable position of the roots and branches impracticable—such as a decided and untoward bend in the stem, in an adverse direction; in which case the machiner must adopt the next best arrangement, and that which will do the least injury.

As soon as the machiner has adjusted these things, and directed the proper introduction of the pole among the boughs, an active workman is sent up to lash the stem and the pole as firmly together as possible, taking care, by redoubled folds of mat, to secure the bark against the damage it might suffer from the iron ring at the point of the pole. A double rope of the stoutest kind (which is greatly preferable to the chain used by some planters) is then passed under the root, so as to seize it firmly, and balance it on the upper stage of the cross-bar between the wheels; which rope is then drawn tight by means of bracing or rackpins, such as waggoners generally use, and secured in the ordinary manner. Last of all, the tree is drawn down by the united strength of the workmen, or

by a steady horse, if at hand, bearing on the pole-rope ; and the tree, being in this way left suspended horizontally on the cross-bar, is ready to be drawn away, root foremost, to its intended destination.

Before the horse or horses are put to, a simple but very material matter requires attention, and that is, to secure the boughs and roots from all friction with the wheels or with the ground, which would greatly chafe and injure both : for all the boughs which appear under the pole, unless very slender and pliant, must be bound up by means of cords cautiously passed under them, so as to compress this part of the top, but without fracturing the parts, into the narrowest compass. And the same care must be taken to bundle up all the flexible parts of the roots, so as to prevent their coming in contact with the ground, or with the wheels. If the forced-up surface also of the pit be too soft and sinky, it will be expedient to form a path for the wheels by a close line of hedge-stakes laid transversely to the path, so that they may easily pass upon it to the firmer ground. These things being done, the horses are put to, in the same manner as to a plough, but with much stronger draught-bars, at least the main one. An iron chain of great strength attaches them to the machine ; and stout ropes are employed instead of plough-chains, which are ill calculated to withstand the sudden pulls and jerks incident to this species of work. As to the horses, it is of the utmost importance that none but quiet and steady-pulling animals be admitted to this service—such as are not hot and fiery, however true to the draught—otherwise the most fatal accidents may ensue to both branches and roots.

As soon as the horses are put to, the machiner seizes the end of the pole-rope, in order to act as steersman—the most important functionary in this part of the business.

and the person on whom the safety of the transmission entirely depends. For this purpose he takes post two or three yards in the rear, with a stout assistant or two, if necessary, in order to manage the top of the tree ; it being understood that the root, as already mentioned, is in front, or is drawn foremost. One or two able-bodied workmen are then stationed under the pole, to bear up the top, at first starting, on their shoulders, at the same time that all the others who are unoccupied apply their strength to the wheels ; when, on a signal given by the steersman, or other person directing the work—the men and horses acting simultaneously—the tree is drawn at once beyond the limits of the pit.

When advanced a few yards upon firm and level ground, it is prudent to halt the horses, in order to examine if every thing be well ordered and secure ; especially if the equilibrium between root and top have been accurately obtained. If the root be found too light, it is proper to make it heavier, by loosening the cords of the bracers or rackpins, and allowing it to drop down. If it be found too heavy, (which is the lesser evil of the two,) the easiest method of counteracting it is by sending up a couple of balancemen to the top ; who, by shifting their position as circumstances may require, serve as *movable* make-weights, and maintain the equipoise. If these things be truly adjusted, the tree will proceed in the transportation with perfect safety to all its parts—the pole beautifully playing on the axle and cross-bar, like the beam of a well-constructed weighing-machine, which many times vibrates before settling in the equilibrium.

In order that the reader may form a competent idea of the machine itself, as used here, and of the transportation of the tree on the balancing principle, a “view of the machine in motion” will be found in plate III., as taken






W. FURBER DELIN.

VIEW OF THE MACHINE IN MOTION, AND OF  
THE FIELD IN WHICH IT IS USED.







J. SWANBOLD DELINE

EASTERN ENTRANCE GATE TO THE STANTON HOUSE, AS WOODED

W. T. SWANBOLD DELINE



on the spot by an ingenious artist. The tree delineated is a Beech of about eight-and-twenty feet high, with a stout stem, a beautiful top, and with roots more than twelve feet long; so that the whole is calculated to form a load of considerable weight. The mode of maintaining the balance, of bundling up the roots, of compressing and preserving the branches—as also the various functions of the steersman, the balancemen and their assistants—may all probably be better apprehended in this view of their united efforts than by any verbal description. The reader, however, may compare the two, as they will be found greatly to aid each other.

It is easy to apprehend that, with a machine so constructed, the person stationed at the end of the pole possesses the same complete power over the direction of it as the steersman over that of a boat; but with this disadvantage on the side of the former, that the machine is far more difficult to manage than the boat in the water, owing to the greater unevenness of the surface of ground, and the extraordinary length of the pole, as compared with the rudder, thereby causing a much more sudden impulse to be communicated to the machine than to the boat. The steersman of the machine has, for that reason, a far more difficult part to perform, in which much judgment as well as strength is called forth, and where one assistant, and sometimes two or three, are requisite to aid him in so laborious a task.

The above mode of balancing the tree between the axle, which is the centre of gravity, and the extremity of the pole, I greatly prefer, on every occasion where it can be adopted, to that of having recourse to the third wheel. This addition to the machine could seldom be made, with such extensive tops as the park-trees removed here usually have, without severe injury to the branches. But it will

be found useful with long-stemmed, or very heavy subjects of any sort, especially when they are to be brought from the distance of half a mile or more, as must often happen. I have, however, by bringing the third wheel closer to the two others, endeavoured to render this less objectionable.

In a system of transportation regulated by the above principles, it will be perceived that the driver of the horses cannot proceed too slowly. The rate of between two and three miles an hour, on level ground, implies the quickest pace that should ever be attempted. By heights and hollows, and narrow passes, by roughness or unevenness of ground, accidents enow will happen, without aggravating them by carelessness or needless haste. In going up an acclivity, how gradual soever it may be, it is obvious that, with a load so nicely balanced, a proportional depression of the top must take place, and in descending a like depression of the root. But by the attention of the steersman, and particularly of the two balancemen above, or the efforts of both united, much undue pressure on either roots or branches may be avoided. If the declivity be steep, approaching to a fall of one foot in twenty, foresight will suggest the expediency of taking off the horses, so as to prevent the possibility of their being overrun by the machine ; for in such a case it will be found to descend with sufficient velocity, and little aid from the men, in consequence of the impetus given to it by its own weight.

There is, however, one species of accident, against which it may be proper to caution the inexperienced planter, as it has happened at this place. As it *did* happen, it was productive only of merriment, instead of the loss of life or limb ; although such an issue was far more "owing to good luck than to good guiding," (as the national proverb has it,) or to any claim to vigilance or prudent management. In proceeding with the machine down a gentle

slope of some length, at an accelerated pace, on which occasion both the balancemen had gained the top with their usual agility, it so fell out that the cords which secured the rackpins of the root unfortunately gave way. This happened so suddenly that the root at once struck the ground, with a force equal to the united weight of the mass and the momentum of the movement, and pitched the balancemen, (now suddenly lifted to an elevation of nearly thirty feet,) like two shuttle-cocks, to many yards' distance, over the heads of the horses and the driver, who stood in amazement at their sudden and aërial flight! Luckily for the men, there was no frost upon the ground, so that, instead of breaking their bones, they fell only on the soft turf of the park; from which soon getting up, and shaking themselves, they heartily joined in the laughter of their companions at the extraordinary length of the *leap* which they had taken. Fortunately, also, the driver and the horses escaped a worse fate, which had impended them—for the steersman and his assistants, with great presence of mind, never quitted their hold; and their weight, added to the weight of a ponderous mass of roots and earth, kept the tree upright, and prevented it from overwhelming both the team and the driver. This accident, which was at once dangerous and ludicrous, made us extremely cautious afterwards in securing the bracers; but the imagination of the balancemen was forcibly impressed by it, and it proved impossible to persuade them to resume their elevated functions for many months after.

It now only remains to say something of the nature and dimensions of the transplanting machines which have been employed, in order that those who set a value on lightness and efficiency in such implements may not be without a guide whereby to provide similar machines for themselves. Planters, however, residing within a mode-

rate distance, would do well to procure them from Thomas Nesbit, carpenter near this place, a very ingenious man, who has been much in the habit of making them for some years.

It appears hitherto to have been the opinion of the constructors of transplanting machines, that their value in a great measure lies in the weight of wood and iron they contain. My conception of it, on the other hand, is precisely the reverse ; as I believe that the *smaller* the quantity of those materials, the greater the utility of the implement. If it be true that the greatest success and the greatest despatch united form the character of the most perfect transplanting-work, it follows that heavy implements of this sort, unless for work of uncommon magnitude, are doubly inexpedient : first, on account of the expense which they cost in the beginning ; and secondly, on account of the still greater expense which it ere long costs to use them—for time needlessly lost is money improvidently thrown away. Better that a machine should break down twice in your life, from being somewhat too light for its work, than that it should cost you three times its price in labour, in dragging a superfluous load of wood and iron about your park ; for thus there would be a loss of both time and money. This, however, is a style of estimate which only practical persons will understand, and only economists of time will duly appreciate. If a man remove only three trees in a twelvemonth, it signifies little what sort of machine he happens to use ; but if he remove sixty or a hundred trees, twenty or thirty times the cost makes a great figure in the calculation. Now, supposing that he executed but a third part of the work last mentioned, I am satisfied that there would be economy in having two machines, calculated to the scale of his work : the machine for the lesser trees being light, and

possessing small power ; the other, for the greater trees, being weighty, and possessing much greater power. In this way, power (which, as the best philosophers have agreed, is nearly the synonyme of money) would never be idly employed, but judiciously suited to, though never suffered to transcend, the immediate object of the planter.

As this reasoning appears to be conclusive, I shall now proceed to give a delineation of the larger and smaller machines used at this place ; to which shall be added one of an intermediate size, chiefly intended for the use of such planters as do not choose to put themselves to the expense of more than one such implement, and who do not mean to remove trees beyond thirty feet high, and from twelve to fourteen inches in diameter, at a foot from the ground—which trees this machine should be capable of managing. See plate IV. The diagram here given relates to the pole, axle, and framework of the machine—that is, to every part of it excepting the wheels. The latter it was considered as unnecessary to delineate in the diagram, as the entire “machine in motion” is given in plate III. ; and any good carpenter can make the wheels, on the dimensions being furnished to him. The main difficulty in constructing an effective machine lies in proportioning the different parts to one another, and so adapting the whole to the style of work to be executed, that despatch shall be promoted in the highest degree, and that the *heaviest* work shall be executed by means of the *smallest* possible weight of wood and iron.

These machines, as already said, are of the simplest structure, and evidently borrowed from the janker of the wood-merchant.\* The pole is made of the best oak, the axle of iron, the wheels of Oak, Elm, and Ash, as also

\* NOTE II.



the subordinate parts. To the original implement I have added, among the other improvements, three stages strongly bolted to the cross-bar, or axle-bed, (as our workmen term it,) and to one another, of which the upper stage is movable at pleasure, as the extent of roots or branches may require elevation from the ground. The third wheel, which according to Brown's plan was diminutive, is enlarged, and adapted to a different part of the pole. The specification is as follows :—(See plate IV.)

#### LARGE-SIZED MACHINE.

The pole AB (fig. 1) is 15 feet long, including the iron ring at the point ; 6 inches broad, tapering to  $3\frac{1}{2}$  at top ; and 5 inches thick. The iron ring at A is 4 inches in diameter. At the top there is a small bend EC, (fig. 2,) 3 inches off the straight, in order to prevent the bark from being chafed by the ring. Immediately at the point, but clear of the ring, is fixed a small block of iron at C, with rounded edges, 4 inches long, by  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick, well steed, so as to trail along the ground, and to prevent the point of the pole, when the machine is not loaded, from cutting up the surface. There is likewise, on one side of the pole, a plate of iron DC, extending from D, within 18 inches of the axle, to nearly the top at E, for the purpose of strengthening the pole. It is  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad,  $\frac{3}{8}$  inch thick, and sunk into the wood. This plate, for the sake of greater power, should be in one piece. Its entire length is not visible in the diagram, owing to the intervening delineation of one of the side-stays.

The iron axle FG (fig. 1,) and also IK (fig. 3,) is  $5\frac{1}{2}$  feet long between the washers, (but is nearly covered by the case,) and 3 inches square ; with a curve of 3 inches at H, for the purpose of giving greater strength. It would

Fig 3. V




Fig 4.  
Tree Picker



Fig 1.





Fig 2.



Scale of Feet.



TRANSPANTING MACHINE,

AS DESIGNED BY ALL AMERICAN ENGINEERS



not be convenient, however it might suit large roots or branches, to make the axle longer than the above dimension, on account of gates, and other narrow passes, through which the machine might be taken, and that seldom exceed 9 or 10 feet wide. The heads of the axle WW are 14 inches long, and fitted to the bushes of the wheels. The dust-hoops are 1 inch broad each. The wooden case IK (or, as it is called, the axle-bed) is 6 inches square, covering the iron, 2 inches, and consequently showing only 1 inch of it. To the axle-bed are fixed two strong hooks of iron ZZ,  $6\frac{1}{2}$  inches long, and strongly bolted to it, to which the horses are attached for drawing the machine.

The two side-stays FLGL (fig. 1) are made as short as possible, in order to prevent interference with the branches, being 5 feet long,  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and 4 inches deep or thick, and strongly bolted to the axle-bed and pole. The upper stay MN, (fig. 2,) which rests upon the first stage at M, is  $5\frac{1}{2}$  feet long,  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick, and is in like manner bolted to the pole.

The first stage OP (fig. 3) rises 4 inches in the centre above the axle-bed, and is bolted to it. This stage is 5 feet 5 inches long, 6 inches broad, and 4 inches thick. The second stage QR rises 6 inches above the first, and is in the same way bolted to the latter. It is also 5 feet 5 inches long, 10 inches broad, and 3 inches thick. The third stage ST rises 6 inches above the second. It is only 3 feet 10 inches long, 10 inches broad, and 3 inches thick, and is similarly bolted to the stage last mentioned. The third stage is movable as occasion may require; and the machine can be used either with or without it, according to the extent of the roots and branches of the trees to be removed. On the stage which happens to be uppermost there is fixed a firm bolstering of double mat SVT,

filled with hay or straw, of at least 6 inches in thickness, so that the bark of the stem can sustain no injury.

The blocks UUUUU between these two stages, are 10 inches long, 4 inches broad, and 6 inches high. The end of the upper stay at X (or at M, fig. 2,) resting on the first stage, represents, in the end view of the machine, another block in that position ; and the end of the pole at Y is seen in the same way, and for the same object. Wherever it can be done, the bolts, for the various purposes above mentioned, are shown in the diagram.

#### LARGE-SIZED WHEELS.

These wheels are made of the very best materials, 5½ feet high, and nearly upright, the dishing (as the workmen term it) being only 1½ inch.

The naves are 11½ inches long, exclusively of the navebands, and 13 inches thick. The spokes are 3½ inches broad, and 1⅜ inch thick ; the fellies 5 inches broad, and 3 inches thick ; the iron rims ½ inch thick, and usually made in two pieces 2½ inches broad each.

The third wheel, having no great weight to bear, is made light. It is 3½ feet high, and entirely upright, without any dishing. The naves are 9 inches long, exclusively of the bands, and 9 inches thick. The spokes are 2½ inches broad, and 1⅜ inch thick ; the fellies 4 inches broad, and 2 inches thick ; the iron rim ¼ inch thick. The wheel is fixed to the pole by a strong pivot, on which it turns as the steersman may direct, in the same way as the wheel of a plough.

This wheel, when used, is generally from 2 to 3 feet high, and placed about 3 feet from the point of the pole, at *b* (fig. 2.) But I should much recommend a different position, (in order to elevate the point of the pole, and,

by consequence, the branches from the ground,) namely, at *a*, 5 feet nearer the axle, and within 18 inches or 2 feet of the end of the upper stay ; from which it can be removed at pleasure, as may suit the magnitude of the tree.

The entire weight of the machine, when mounted on its two wheels, is nearly 11 cwt. avoirdupois.

This, it will be perceived, is a powerful though rather a ponderous implement, and cannot be worked with fewer than two, and sometimes three horses. It is meant for trees not less than from five-and-thirty to five-and-forty feet high, or more, and from fourteen to eighteen inches in diameter — that is, from three and a half to four feet in girth.

From the above statement it is apparent that considerable improvements have been made on the machine since the time of Brown, and from the rude delineation of it as introduced into Ireland, about threescore years since, by his ingenious pupil Robertson.\* Some persons approve of the uniform use of the third wheel, within  $2\frac{1}{2}$  or 3 feet of the point of the pole : but that cannot be necessary, as already mentioned, but with subjects of extraordinary length or weight ; and it is quite inadmissible in any case, in this position, unless where the tops have been severely lightened or mutilated. Others, in some of the northern districts of this kingdom, where both the soil and climate are extremely propitious, have added what they denominate a “heel-beam,” 18 inches out from the axle or cross-bar. But, as it appears, this should much rather have been called a fore-beam, as it is placed immediately in front of the axle, and next to the draught-bar, to which the horses are put. In other words, the

\* See Hayes' Treatise on Planting, and the Management of Woods, pp. 42-47. Also Sect. II. *ante*.

side-stays are lengthened out, making them between 7 and 8 feet long, by which means they must often interfere with low-spreading branches ; and the position of the axle being altered, it causes the frame to project about 18 inches beyond it. A machine so constructed they call an "alleviator," but on what principle or analogy does not appear very evident. Of this sort of machine I have no experience : but I cannot perceive any good reason for making such a change so near the centre of gravity, which must always be in the axle ; as it seems apparent that, in practice, it must make the tree more difficult to be drawn down, and more troublesome to be balanced during the transportation, thus multiplying instead of "alleviating" the planter's difficulties.

#### SMALL-SIZED MACHINE.

In conveying to the reader an idea of this and the following machine, it appears unnecessary to repeat the diagram with reduced dimensions, as he will be able, without the assistance of the capital letters, readily to apply the sizes about to be given to the parts just now minutely described, so that he may construct all, or any one of the three machines, according to his fancy. The description and uses of the different parts of the two lesser machines, however, shall be repeated nearly in the same terms as above, in order to prevent any intricacy of reference from one to another.

The specification and dimensions of the small-sized machine are the following. The pole is only 12 feet long, (including the iron ring at the point,)  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and 4 inches thick, tapering to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  at top. The ring is for the purpose of receiving the pole-rope, and is 3 inches in diameter. At the top of the pole there is a small

bend, 3 inches off the straight, in order to prevent the bark from being chafed or stripped off by the ring. Under the point of the pole there is a block of iron, with rounded edges, 3 inches long by 1 inch thick, and well steeled; so that, whenever the point of the pole happens to be trailed along the ground, it may withstand the friction without injuring the surface. There is likewise on one side of the pole a plate of iron, extending from within 18 inches of the axle nearly to the top, for strengthening the pole. It is  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch broad,  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch thick, and sunk into the wood. This plate, in order to render it more powerful, should be in one piece.

The iron axle is  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet long between the washers, (exclusive of a head at each end,  $11\frac{1}{2}$  inches long, which is fitted to the bushes of the wheels,) and  $2\frac{1}{4}$  inches square, with a curve in the centre of 2 inches, for the sake of greater strength. The dust-hoops are 1 inch broad. The wooden case or axle-bed is 4 inches broad, and 3 inches deep, covering the iron  $1\frac{1}{4}$  inch, and showing of course only 1 inch of it. To the axle-bed are fixed two strong hooks, 4 inches long, to which the horse is attached, for drawing the machine.

The two side-stays are each 3 feet 10 inches long,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches square, and strongly bolted to the pole. The upper stay rests on the first stage, and is 4 feet 4 inches long,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  broad, and 3 inches thick, tapering  $2\frac{1}{4}$  inches, and bolted to the pole in like manner.

The first stage rises in the centre  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches above the axle-bed, and is firmly bolted to it. It is 4 feet 5 inches long, 4 inches broad, and 3 inches thick. The second stage rises  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches above the first, and is bolted to the latter. It is also 4 feet 5 inches long, 9 inches broad, and 2 inches thick. The third stage rises  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches above the second, and is in the same manner bolted to it. It is



3 feet 4 inches long, 9 inches broad, and 2 inches thick. The third stage is movable at pleasure, and the machine is used either with or without it, according to the style of the subjects and of the work. On whichever stage happens to be uppermost there is fixed a bolstering of double mat, filled with hay or straw, 6 inches thick, so that no injury can be sustained by the bark of the stem.

The blocks between the stages are 9 inches long,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches deep. The end of the upper stay, as it rests on the first stage, shows itself in the end view (fig. 3.), like a block in that situation; and the end of the pole has the same appearance from the same point, as resting on the axle-bed. Wherever it can be done, the bolts used for all of the above purposes are shown in the diagram.

#### SMALL-SIZED WHEELS.

These wheels are 5 feet high, made of the best materials, nearly upright, and the dishing only 1 inch.

The naves are 10 inches long, exclusively of the nave-bands, and  $10\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick. The spokes are  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and  $1\frac{1}{8}$  inch thick; the fellies 4 inches broad, and  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick; the iron rims  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch thick. As to a third wheel, it cannot be necessary for a machine of the small size, nor for such trees as are removed by it.

The weight of this machine, with its wheels, is about  $5\frac{1}{4}$  cwt. avoirdupois.

The above machine, it will be perceived, is far less weighty than the foregoing. It is capable of removing subjects of from eighteen to about eight-and-twenty feet high, and of proportional girth; and, in fact, a good part of my transplanted trees have been removed by means of this light and efficient implement.

## MACHINE OF THE INTERMEDIATE SIZE.

The pole of this machine is  $13\frac{1}{2}$  feet long, including the iron ring at the point,  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches broad, and 5 inches thick, tapering to 3 inches at top. This ring is for receiving the pole-rope, and is 4 inches in diameter. At the top there is a bend 3 inches off the straight, in order to prevent any chafing of the bark by the ring. Under the point of the pole close to the ring there is a small iron block,  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches square. It is rounded at the edges, and well steeled, for defending the point of the pole when trailing along the ground, and so formed as to withstand the friction, and cause little or no injury to the surface. There is also on one side of the pole a plate of iron, extending from within 18 inches of the axle nearly to the top, for the purpose of strengthening the pole. It is 2 inches broad, and  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch thick, and is sunk into the wood. This, in order to give the greater power, should be in one piece.

The iron axle is 4 feet 9 inches long between the washers, and  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches square, with a curve in the centre of 2 inches, for the sake of greater strength. The wooden cover or axle-bed is 4 feet 8 inches long, and 5 inches square, covering the iron  $1\frac{1}{2}$  inch, and showing only 1 inch of it. The heads of the axle, to which the bushes of the wheels are fitted, are 12 inches long; and the sand-washers are 1 inch broad each. To the axle-bed are fixed two strong iron hooks 3 inches long, to which the horse or horses are attached, for drawing the machine.

The two side-stays are 4 feet 2 inches long, 3 inches square, and strongly bolted to the pole. The upper stay rests on the first stage, and is 4 feet 4 inches long, 3

inches broad,  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick, and tapering to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches at the pole, to which it is in like manner bolted.

The first stage rises in the centre  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches, and at the sides 6 inches above the axle-bed, and is bolted to it. The stage is 4 feet 8 inches long, 5 inches broad, and  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick. The second stage rises  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches above the first, and is bolted to the latter. It is 4 feet 8 inches long, 9 inches broad, and  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick. The third stage rises  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches above the second, and is in the same way bolted to it. It is 3 feet 6 inches long, 9 inches broad, and 2 inches thick. The third stage is movable at pleasure, and the machine is used either with or without it, according to the magnitude of the subjects to be removed. On the stage, which is uppermost, there is always a strong bolstering of double mat, filled with hay or straw, 6 inches thick, so that the bark of the stem may not be injured by the pressure.

The blocks between the two uppermost stages are 9 inches long, 3 inches broad, and  $4\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick—that is, deep. The end of the upper stay, by resting on the first stage, shows itself like a block in the end view of the machine (fig. 3. ;) and the end of the pole presents a similar appearance when seen in the same manner, as it rests upon the axle-bed. Wherever it is possible, the bolts used for all of the above purposes are shown in the diagram.

#### WHEELS OF THE INTERMEDIATE SIZE.

These wheels are 5 feet 2 inches high, made of the best materials, nearly upright, and the dishing only about 1 inch.

The naves are  $10\frac{1}{2}$  inches long, and  $11\frac{1}{2}$  inches thick.

The spokes are 3 inches broad, and  $1\frac{1}{4}$  inch thick ; the fellies 4 inches broad, and 2 inches thick ; the iron rims  $\frac{3}{8}$  inch thick, and in one piece.

In using a machine like this, it may, on extraordinary occasions, be proper to add a third wheel, in which case the one above described might answer the purpose. But were a third wheel to be made for this particular machine, it would be in better proportion at 6 inches lower.

The weight of the intermediate machine is considerably greater than that of the small-sized one, being about  $6\frac{3}{4}$  cwt. avoirdupois ; and it is calculated for trees of from eighteen to more than five-and-thirty feet high.

For underwood, large shrubs, or the like, of which the roots and branches are not extensive, I have sometimes made use of a machine still lighter, and more manageable, than any of the three above delineated. It consists of the pole of the small-sized machine, as already described, mounted on a pair of old coach-wheels, from 4 to  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet high, with one stage only upon the cross-bar. To these have been added fellies 4 inches broad, with an iron rim  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch thick. Such a machine may be drawn by a small pony, and is admirably adapted to light work. Whether with or without a horse, it can be conveniently introduced into woods and plantations, where a larger implement would not be productive of the same despatch, and where the latter could not by any means be brought to operate.

As to the taking up of underwood, little needs to be said on that head. He who attends to the directions above given, for the removal of large trees, will find no difficulty with bushes or underwood. Excepting in very particular cases, I have not been in the habit of preparing them by cutting round their roots. The chief prepara-

tion I have given, is to allow them to stand free and open in plantations or woods, for a certain period, in order that they may acquire that expansion of roots, and that share of the other protecting properties, which, according to the law of nature, may fit them for the situation which they are intended to occupy. As to transplantation, the branches of most bushes being tougher and more elastic than those of large trees, three or four, or even a greater number of plants, can be carried away at one time by the smallest machine. It is only for the open park that much nicety is required in any part of these processes.

On considering these different machines, the planter will find that they possess advantages, not at first sight apparent, but which will fully develop themselves in practice. The breadth of the stages—which are movable at pleasure, the shortness of the stays, the curvature of the axle, the iron sunk into the pole, &c. ; the position of the third wheel, the relative proportions and adaptations of the different parts to one another—all these tend in the most eminent degree to combine lightness with strength, and accuracy and despatch with a due preservation of the roots and branches, during the transportation.

It is particularly to be noticed that these implements, as well as the trees recommended to be removed by them, are of very moderate dimensions, and intended solely for the meridian of Scotland. In England, I am aware that far larger operations are carried on, and it is possible that far greater success may be attained than any we can here boast of. But the scale in question is perhaps highly enough estimated for a country whose *power*, according to the philosophical notion of it above mentioned, is of such inconsiderable extent. In England, where that

power is vast, I had almost said unlimited, a much larger scale may very properly be adopted. Size of subjects, as has been already observed, offers no material impediment to successful removal, except increased expenditure. If the true principles of the art be once fully established, and clearly understood, it will be easy to apply them to any scale of operations, from the least to the greatest.

## SECTION IX.

### PLANTING OF THE TREES IN THEIR NEW SITUATION.

IN the foregoing section we have seen the method by which the tree is taken up and transported on the machine ; let us now follow it to its destination in the open park.

It has been above observed that, for the safety and success of the operation, the rate of moving along the ground cannot be too slow. At that already pointed out, of two miles and a half an hour, the difference between travelling a mile, and half a mile, does not very materially increase the labour of transportation. If the pit have been prepared a twelvemonth beforehand, the opening of it now is an easy business ; and for that purpose, should it not have been done previously to the taking-up, two or three workmen should be sent forward to throw out the earth regularly on all sides, to the depth of fourteen or fifteen inches at first, leaving, next to the inside edge, a space of eighteen inches or two feet clear ; so that the excavation can be enlarged, if requisite, without the necessity of removing the mound thrown up.

When the machine has got within forty or fifty yards of the place, it is proper to halt the horses, in order to make two necessary arrangements, the one in which the root, and the other in which the top is concerned. The director of the work first rapidly measures with his eye

the depth of the root, (that is, the thickness of the mass of roots and earth together, from the upper part of the collar to the under-bed of the roots,) in order to ascertain if the excavation be of the proper depth. Supposing the depth of the root to be fourteen or fifteen inches, (which in a Beech is very supposable,) and the whole depth of the prepared soil of the pit to be two feet, then he directs the workmen to prepare a bed in the centre somewhat deeper, say three or four inches, to receive the tap-roots, should they be prominent, which with the Beech seldom happens; sloping the pit upwards in the cup-fashion, but leaving it at the sides as high as before.

It is a matter of first-rate importance to get the tree set in the pit as *shallow* as possible, and to allow sufficient pabulum for the downright roots on the one hand, and a sufficient cover at top upon the other. If the sub-soil be dry, the director may give and take a little in making his estimate; but if it be tenacious of moisture, better that you should have a cart-load or two of earth to add to the mound afterwards, than that the roots should be deprived of the full influence of the sun and air by being insufficiently raised up. No stagnation of water can ever occur in the prepared soil of the pit, if the directions given in the last section, as to judicious excavation, be properly apprehended and followed out.

The second thing he has to advert to is, to ascertain the position of the mark previously made upon the stem, while the tree stood upright, for designating the side where the longest boughs are thrown out, so that, in moving towards the pit, such a course may be steered as to bring those boughs to the stormy quarter, which generally is the west or south-west. Almost all trees, as already stated in section IV., are unequally balanced,



and show in their tops more or less of what is called "a weather side." This in many cases is a striking deformity, especially in situations on the western coasts, and is often seen to mar the effect of trees otherwise beautiful. It proceeds from the tendency, which they generally have, to throw out longer and stouter branches on the lee-side, and shorter and closer branches and spray on that from which the blast assails them.

By the law of nature we find that, wherever the action of the air is the greatest, there the greatest evolution of buds appears, and the thickest, but weakest growth of boughs and spray takes place. And this difference is so remarkable that any one conversant with wood can at once point out an old tree (especially a Sycamore) that has been more, and one that has been less, exposed, at the distance of two or three hundred yards; and in winter, when there is no foliage to conceal the difference in the ramification, the thing is the most striking. Hence the effect produced on trees, by bringing this decided tendency to elongation of the boughs on the lee-side *to act on the windward, or deficient side*. In fact, it is almost the only way in which art can bring about any remarkable improvement on the symmetry and beauty of the tops of woody plants. It is true, the practice might at first sight appear rather a misdirection of the protecting properties: but experience has shown that nature in this, as in the case of judicious pruning, or retrenchment of superfluous branches, bears with extraordinary patience such discreet control exerted over her exuberant powers, and in their subsequent development displays a vigour even superior to what is observed in subjects which have not been so disciplined. I believe, as already noticed, that I am the first planter who, more than five-and-thirty years since, thought of turning this natural defor-

mity to purposes of beauty or utility, in the face of prejudices both ancient and modern.\*

The director having thus ascertained that the mark made on the stem is uppermost, (which it will always be when not prevented by other circumstances,) he directs the driver to make such a circuit as to go right in towards the south-east side of the pit; by which means, as the intelligent reader will perceive, the tree being drawn root foremost, the marked side will directly face the opposite quarter.

While this arrangement is proceeding, which does not occupy above a few moments, two workmen rapidly ascertain or fix the exact site of the tree in its new situation, which till now has been marked out only by a single stake driven into the ground. This is a still speedier process than the foregoing, and is called "setting off the tree." The two workmen, each with a stake in his hand, place themselves in different quarters, about five or six yards from the pit—the one, we shall say, on the north, and the other on the west side—so as to be able to describe two lines at right angles with each other, and to keep clear of the path or direction of the machine. Then, according to the military way of taking objects to move upon in the field, they assume the stake in the pit as a mutual centre, and some tree, or other object at no great distance, as a second object; and putting down their own stakes as a third, they describe a right line with each of the three. Thus the two lines marked out by these offsets will intersect each other at right angles, or nearly so, in the pit, and the point of intersection will be the position of the tree. The stake in the pit may then be removed for the admission of the machine, and a small

\* See Sect. IV., and Note.

piece of greensward put down in its stead, so that the tree may be dropped with mathematical precision on the spot intended.

With single trees in the park, this sort of accuracy may sometimes be of small moment : but where a particular effect is to be produced by one tree, or by the combination of several trees as a group, it may be of considerable consequence ; and as it takes up only a moment, the workmen should always be made to practise it. It tends to give them habits of accuracy in their work, and teaches them the value and importance of juxtaposition, when it becomes necessary to study it.

Meanwhile the driver, as directed, makes the proper circuit with the horses, (see plate III.,) and brings up the machine as nearly as possible to the north-east side of the pit, running the wheels up against the bank or mound of earth thrown out. Here it is prudent to take off the horses, as it is always safer and better to wheel in the machine, for the two or three last yards, by manual exertion—an object which is readily accomplished by cutting down the mound of earth in front of each wheel, and thereby forming an inclined plane for the descent of the tree into the pit.

On this occasion it is indispensably necessary that the machine should advance right upon the centre, or piece of greensward deposited in the pit, but without overrunning that point. Two persons, the director and another, then station themselves on the outside of the pit, transversely to each other—the one, we shall say, on the south-west side, opposite the machine, and the other on the north-west or south-east side, whichever may be most convenient—because the line of sight at both of the last mentioned points is equally at right angles with the machine's direction. The workman who is placed immediately opposite

the machine now directs the advance of each wheel, or of both, as he sees necessary, by which means he is enabled to bring the root of the tree right upon the centre ; while at the same time the director, occupying the transverse station, which is the more important of the two, orders a halt to be made at the proper moment, (for both cannot see the same objects ;) and in this manner the stem is brought directly to the centre without being permitted to overshoot the mark. Two stakes or stones, or other stays, are now put to the wheels, in order to prevent their further advancement, and to get every thing in readiness for dropping the tree on the spot intended. These arrangements, how complex soever they may appear in the narrative, are simple in reality, and, barring accidents, do not occupy above a few minutes.

Preparation is now made for dropping the tree into the pit. The bundles of roots are every where loosened, and the roots freed from the wheels. The cords which confine the top are removed, and the branches suffered to gain their natural position. Meanwhile an active workman is sent to the top, to fix two ropes transversely to each other, in order to steady it when set up ; while another gets under the axle of the machine, and, bringing out the roots of the under side, pulls them right towards the rear, in order to save them from being broken by the great weight of the descending mass ; when, on a signal being given, the steersman and his assistants, together with the balancemen, as the case may be, quit their stations and their hold of the pole-rope and branches, and the tree suddenly rises to the upright position. All this may be readily apprehended by considering its actual situation on the machine, as represented in plate III.

If the longest branches have not been accurately brought to the stormy quarter, it is now the time to cor-

rect that error. The tree, if it be requisite, is again pulled down, and the machine wheeled round to the proper point; taking care at the same time, if the root be heavy, to ascertain by means of the offsets that it is accurately deposited in the centre of the pit. It is then allowed to gain the erect position as before. Instead of this, should the root be of no great weight, it may be turned round on its bottom or under-bed in the pit by manual strength; the workmen being careful to lay hold of great handfuls of roots and fibres, so that as little breakage as possible may take place. When these things are accomplished, the bracers of the root and the pole-rope are detached from the machine, and it is wheeled out of the pit. By common management, however, and attention to the directions already given, the whole of the above extra labour, which creates considerable delay, may be avoided, and the proper aspect for the heavy side of the tree obtained at once. All that is necessary in ordinary cases is, an inspection of the offsets, if great accuracy in the position of the tree be wanted.

We have now arrived at that part of the process of planting which, as it is the most difficult to execute, so it is also the most difficult to render intelligible to the reader. It comprises the whole of the setting up, balancing, and supporting the plant; the distributing of the roots in a proper manner in the ground; and, in a word, every other precaution on which its stability and success mainly depend. These operations, therefore, should never be performed except under the eye of the director of the work, who should be every way competent to superintend them. And here I cannot refrain from earnestly recommending it to gentlemen to make themselves fully masters of the practice, as well as the principles of these various processes, so that they may be

enabled to take upon themselves the useful office in question, as often as it may be convenient. I need scarcely add, that in all rural work the superintending eye of the owner furnishes the surest earnest of success, especially where any thing like science is united with accuracy of execution.

As soon as the tree is properly placed in respect to the position of the branches, and the machine wheeled off, the two transverse ropes (which should be ten or twelve yards long at least) are instantly stretched out to their utmost extent, by putting one or two stout hands to each, as the balancing of the tree and setting it straight may require. The director has then to settle the interesting point of depth, and to determine whether it have been rightly judged by the first cursory estimate. From what has been said above, it is evident that if the tree be set too shallow in the ground, it has this advantage—that it is tantamount to deepening the soil to the extent of the error committed: hence, it is possible to remedy the error by bringing earth from some other quarter to make up the deficiency. If the tree be set too deep, it is without a remedy, unless by planting it over again; which, were the business finished, besides the extra labour required, would considerably injure the tree. The director, if he have an accurate eye, *now* determines the matter, ere it be too late, at a single glance. Should the tree be too deep, he orders it to be pulled half way down, first on the one side and then on the other, the transverse rope supporting it in the opposite direction. While in the heeling position, earth is then mounded on either side, as directed above, for raising the tree in the pit, and it is soon got up to the height wanted. Should it be too shallow, it is in like manner pulled down, and lowered by alternate excavation on either side, to the

proper depth, but, whether in the one case or the other, without altering the aspect of the tree or the position of the branches.

The next point, and the most important of the whole, is to steady and set straight the tree, in order that it may please the eye of taste on the one hand, and be firmly secured against wind on the other. For this purpose the first thing to be done is, to separate the workmen into divisions of three and three together, as before. Of these one workman seizes with both his hands as many as he can of the lateral roots, that are long and flexible, and, holding them carefully aside, opens a view into the under-bed of the roots. The passage being cleared, the second workman throws in mould of the finest sort he can find, in such a way as to form a bank sloping outwards against the roots so held up, and treads it firmly with his feet. He then carefully fills in and equalises all the chasms or vacancies that appear ; while the third, with a small blunt-pointed stake or rammer, about three feet long, pushes in the mould, and makes it firm in the cavities which the foot cannot reach. But it is to be observed, that the workman who throws in the earth must by no means spade it at random. He must patiently wait for the co-operation of his two companions ; neither hurrying the first, until every visible root is gathered up, nor the second, until the earth thrown in has, by decalcation or by the rammer, obtained the utmost compactness and consistency.

In thus diligently and firmly bolstering up round the nucleus, but yet underneath, and quite clear of the great body of the roots and fibres, the different divisions of the workmen continue going round the tree until they meet one another, forming a sort of circular retaining bank of the shape of a china saucer, of which the sides are of the

depth of one half at least of the whole nucleus, or mass of roots and earth under the collar, whatever that may be, so as to compose a bank sufficient to support it. Hence the bank raised, if properly executed, will furnish such resistance to the action of the top that a stout man, on applying himself to the transverse ropes, will find some difficulty in displacing the root, even with such a lever as the stem must afford him, and sometimes he will be altogether unable to displace it. When the resistance is found uniform on all sides—which should be often and carefully tried—and particularly on the northeast or lee quarter, little more can be done for the stability of the plant. If roots of such stiffness and strength interfere as cannot be put aside during the above process, the best way is to bolster and ram them separately underneath, and especially at that part where they issue from the nucleus of the mass.

It has been directed in the foregoing section that a ball of earth, as large as can be procured round the stem, shall always be left undisturbed in the taking up. Should the weight not be excessive, and that it can be got to extend so as nearly to meet the retaining bank, the work may be considered as very perfectly executed; because then no interstitial vacuities will exist in a region of the root of all the most liable to suffer from drought, during the first season. Should that prove impossible, from the lightness of the soil or the want of adhesiveness in the greensward, mould finely pulverised, and in as dry a state as possible, should in large subjects be let fall into such vacuities as appear, until, by a repeated supply of the mould and water alternately, the interstices be filled up. By this mode of management, while the completest solidity is given to the whole, the finer ramifications of the



root round the nucleus are little injured or cramped up, notwithstanding the retaining bank.

While this business is going forward, the director accurately examines the position of the tree, first on the one side and then on the other, from the two offsets, (which is tantamount to his making the entire circuit of the tree,) and takes care that it be perfectly upright ; making a due allowance for any bends or natural sweeps in the outline of the stem or top. For accomplishing this, the transverse ropes, with five or six stout hands put to them, will still be able to command the tree ; and it is necessary that its adjustment be at this time effected, in order to obviate the possibility of injuring the roots by dragging, and consequently displacing them at a later period. By the above method of giving stability to the tree, *before any cover whatever is laid upon the roots*, (which I believe is new, and peculiar to my practice,) the discerning reader will see that a complete safeguard against wind is provided, without injury to the growth of the plant. This is truly the *planting* of the tree : all else belongs to the distribution, and the covering of the roots.

The distribution, though secondary in point of consequence to the securing of them, is a process involving much nicety and difficulty, and it is the business of the director, in the next place, to attend to its execution. The roots having been indiscriminately bundled up in the transportation, and merely untied during the fixing of the tree, are now, as may be imagined, in a state of great disorder, which the process of bolstering up rather tends to aggravate than improve. Accordingly, all the workmen are employed to disentangle them, and to stretch them out in the most regular manner from the centre. The tree, as already supposed, being a Beech of more than

eight-and-twenty feet high, with a spreading top, the roots must be from twelve to fourteen feet long—at least on the side placed to windward—and the minute fibres and capillary rootlets not fewer than some thousands in number. (See plate III.) As soon as they are carefully extricated, separated, and as it were combed out with the fingers, so as to cover the ground with regularity, and as nearly as may be in the same order in which they were taken up, it is nature that must teach us how to arrange them in their new bed. For this purpose the director disposes the workmen in divisions of three and three, as before. Of these, one workman immediately divides or separates the roots, and prepares to distribute them; another assists in the laying and distribution; and the third throws in the mould in such quantities as the two others stand in need of.

Whoever examines the beauty and regularity with which the boughs and spray of trees, not mechanically prevented, are spread out in the air, and reflects that it is an accurate transcript of the ramification (if I may so speak) of the roots under ground, will admire the remarkable analogy that subsists between both of these organs, and the uncommon beauty and symmetry of both. The former is a matter of daily observation; the latter falls in the way only of gardeners and arboriculturists, but it is fully known to every one who has seen and can witness the extraordinary effects of the tree-picker at this place. To give, in the replanting, any thing like an accurate imitation of such regular but intricate network, requires no ordinary skill and attention in the operators: and yet we are aware, as nature orders nothing in vain, that in proportion as we fail or succeed in this object, we shall attain or fall short of the purposes of vegetation, and of giving due vigour to the plant. An ingenious friend of mine, who some years since was struck with the dexterity of the

process in the hands of the workmen here, very appropriately called it "the handling" of the roots, and by that name it has since been distinguished.

The two handlers, then, of each division, proceed to arrange and distribute the roots in their order, higher or lower, as they proceed from the mass or nucleus; stretching them out over the bolstering of the nucleus to their full length, for which the pit must, if necessary, be enlarged. The great principle in this business being to follow nature, the roots must, like the branches of trees, be equally spread out. Nothing like crowding or confining must take place, but all must have competent spaces in which to extend, and ample scope to search for the food of the plant. For this purpose the minutest fibres, as well as the strongest roots, must be evenly imbedded in the fine mould of the pit, neither kneading nor pounding it too firmly, (as recommended by some,) nor leaving what is technically called false filling, or interstitial vacuities. But the whole must gently consolidate into a mass sufficiently compact, yet porous, through which heat as well as moisture, as has been already observed, may have free access to the fibres, and where evaporation may proceed without obstruction.

To effect such a distribution and ordering of the roots, the first thing that the principal handler has to do is, to seize with one hand a parcel of the roots, and to divide them with the other hand into as many tiers as can conveniently be laid in the depth of the pit, allowing the strata of earth between the tiers to be an inch and a half, or more, in thickness. He then, in conjunction with his assistant, extends the larger roots of the first tier to wide distances, stretching out all the minor ramifications and rootlets intermediately, in the position in which they should lie, so that no one shall, if possible, touch another. The handlers having extended these, with their various

inflections, to the breadth of six or seven inches, or as far as far as their fingers can reach, the coverer immediately fixes them down, and secures that space with a little fine mould, thrown upon it the reverse way—that is, in the direction of the points of the fibres; which mould is immediately spread and worked in, by the hands of the workmen or handlers, in such a manner as that neither the mould can displace the minutest fibres nor exceed the thickness of a proper stratum: after which they go through the same process with the next tier, and so on with the others, till they exhaust the parcel of roots with which they began.

It sometimes happens that masses of roots occur, not far from the collar, branching out into small and numerous stems of no great length, which it is much more troublesome to deal with. With these the only way is to divide them into tiers, and work them in the vertical instead of the horizontal position. A quantity of the finest and most friable mould must be shaken in among the shortest and least extricable fibres of these masses, so that the whole may have an opportunity of absorbing nourishment from the soil. If the pit be upon uneven ground, and still more if on a steep bank, as sometimes happens, it presents considerable difficulty to inexperienced planters. In this case, especial care must be had to lay the roots in a direction corresponding to the slope, level in no part, but rising from the centre on the one side and falling from it on the other. Hence, when the last tier on each side is finished, it has from six to eight inches of cover over it, at the general level of the ground. This, on the side of a hill of any steepness, it requires considerable skill to accomplish, so that the main body of the roots be brought within an equal distance from the surface, and receive proper benefit from the sun and air. In the manner just

now attempted to be described, the workmen, three and three together, in divisions or parties, proceed round the tree, treating one parcel of roots after another in the same style in succession, and as soon as they meet, the work of distribution is completed.

At this stage of the process, it cannot have escaped the discerning reader that, contrary to the general practice, no decalcation or consolidating of the earth has as yet been directed, except in the execution of the retaining bank round the nucleus of the root; and yet the entire ordering of the roots and fibres is supposed to be finished. But I have found, by long experience, that an anxiety for immediate consolidation, which most planters possess, is not favourable to the fibrous roots of woody plants, small or great. That equability of pressure of the soil, which *gradual subsidence* alone can give, is not to be attained by any artificial means yet known, and least of all by treading and pounding by the feet of workmen. It is one thing to fill in mould firmly round the nucleus, and to compact it with the rammer, as above mentioned, where there is free room to operate; and another to tread down with the feet layer after layer of the tenderest fibres, and finest capillary rootlets, as recommended by Marshall and other—a mode of treatment which cannot fail, after the critical period of removal, to be extremely prejudicial to what must be considered as the true absorbents of the plant. As to the final consolidation of the surface, it shall be treated of in the sequel.

After the covering of the roots, the only thing that remains to be performed is, to fill in the rest of the earth into the pit, so that at the stem it shall be from twelve to fourteen inches deep. If it be winter time, that is, between November and February, (in this climate including the latter month,) a slight treading over the whole by the

workmen is sufficient to bring about gradually, but effectually, the work of consolidation for the time, and that gentle and equable pressure which excludes drought, and yet admits of the roots striking freely. If it be spring, that is, between February and May, Pontey's useful method of pouring on water is adopted, namely, to do it (as he says) with "a watering-pan with the rose taken off," or with common pails, from the height of five or six feet, as soon as the covering of mould is half finished; dashing it down with as much force as possible, in order to wash in the mould among the roots of the plant.\* On this, the remaining earth is filled in as above, taking care to begin with the greensward, if there be any, and hand-laying it in regular strata, so as in the greatest degree to retain moisture, while it promotes solidity. Another plentiful watering is next given in the same way; the whole is left for a day to subside; and then, when it will bear the workmen's feet, it is ultimately finished by a complete decalcation of the surface. I have been the more particular in dwelling on this part of the planting, as it is a subject not understood by the generality of either overseers or workmen.

It is wonderful what dexterity the workmen will acquire in these various processes, by attention and practice under an able and active director, particularly in that of distributing and ordering the roots. Yet probably the greatest difficulty that such a person will experience is to get them to perform the handling, and to fill in the mould *leisurely enough*, without haste or confusion, or the slurring over of any part. He will also find it a difficult matter so to arrange the different departments of work round the pit as that the whole of the workmen are con-

\* Rural Improver, pp. 88, 89.

stantly carrying forward the business in hand, and that the whole never have to wait for what must be done, and perhaps oftener than once, by only one or two individuals; such as adjusting the position of the tree, ascertaining the depth of the root, disengaging the pole of the machine, fixing or loosening the bracing-ropes, and the like, by which means much time is often lost, and, of course, unnecessary expense incurred.

In respect to those difficult and important processes, the securing of the tree against wind, and the ordering of the roots, I sensibly feel the inadequacy of the foregoing account of both. In order to be fully apprehended, they should be seen in the hands of dexterous workmen, when the efficiency of the one, and the beauty and nicety of the other, would be fully appreciated. The description, as attempted above, is necessarily long, and for that reason it may seem to many both complicated and tedious; but the processes themselves are as simple as they are effective, as has been acknowledged by all who have examined them at this place.

Some of the chief advantages attendant on the preservative system obviously result from this useful method of securing, by a kind of cup-like embankment underground, the central mass or nucleus of the root, and rendering the tree steadfast and immovable in spite of the utmost violence of the wind, from whatever quarter it may blow. The consequence is that the roots, being of great length, and consisting of innumerable and minute ramifications, instead of being *crowded and cramped up* in the ordinary manner, have as good and ample a range of pasturage on the fine mould which has been prepared for them as they had in their original situations, and in many cases a great deal better. What is of most moment of all is, that, from the singular steadfastness of the stem, they soon naturalise

themselves to the spot, and go in search of their food, without suffering agitation, at a period when an *undisturbed state of the fibres* affords the best hope of continued stability, and therefore the best earnest of success. Thus, what is planted now on this principle gives the IMMEDIATE EFFECT OF WOOD in the present day, together with the best prospect of becoming timber, of as great magnitude as the soil and climate will admit, for the succeeding generation.

That the success of park-wood planted here, on the system in question, has been extraordinary, will be admitted from this fact alone, that although I never prop or support a tree after removal, yet *not one has been blown down in this park, in the course of thirty years*: and as to deaths, *one in from forty to forty-five being the average number*, contingency may in some sort be said to be excluded from an art which has, in all ages, been proverbially unsuccessful and fortuitous.

As to the taking-up and transporting of trees and underwood for close plantations, the very same directions may be given for them as for park-wood, only that far less care and nicety are necessary in the management of the former, far fewer and shorter roots and branches, and in a word, the possession of the non-protecting, instead of the protecting properties. In respect to underwood for such plantations, as they are wholly out of the reach of sheep and cattle, perhaps something may be done towards giving a greater variety of effect to it than has usually been attempted for ornamental purposes, especially on the sides of walks, approaches, and the like.

Underwood or bushes, being thick shrubby plants of low growth, from four to ten feet high, are procured in various ways, but chiefly by selecting such plants as grow



in this style in woods and plantations ; or by heading down or cutting over trees of any sort that stole, or shoot out again after being cut. In the way last mentioned, bushes may be formed of any species, as of Oak, Elm, Chestnut, and the like, and of which the growth or foliage best suits the views of the planter. But in default of these, and for the purpose of procuring immediate effect, I have practised a new method, which it may be worth while to lay before the reader. This method I have called "the composing of copsewood," by which we certainly have it in our power to command as well as to compose it.

By the composing of copsewood, I mean the making up into one set or stool separate plants of the same species, from three to four or five in number, and in that process combining the high and the low, the spreading and the spiral, the straight and the crooked, in one pleasing group or assemblage. With a little practice, guided by any thing like taste and judgment, bushes and stools of copsewood may be thus composed, not only naturally and beautifully, but sometimes they may turn out more beautiful than what are found in nature. This is on the same principle of selection that is adopted by the painter, when he assembles many separate beauties in one design, and thereby excels the beauty of any existing individual of the same species.

The materials of these compounds generally occur in young plantations of seven or eight years' growth, or more, in which the plants have not been overshadowed, or unduly drawn up, but have had some room to expand. The art lies in properly working up these, so as to produce various effects ; and chiefly in studying good contrasts—in letting the principal members of your group be

tall and stemmy, while the accessories are low and spreading; and, in a word, in so blending the whole as to attain at once gracefulness and variety.

The two plants first put into the ground should be set somewhat deeper than the others, and nearly upright. The two or three next should have their roots crossed over to the opposite sides of the pit in which they are planted, so as to give them such an inclination outwards as if they naturally sprang, in opposite directions, from one centre or stem, which had been headed down. This, if skillfully executed, will in a surprising degree promote verisimilitude. And in order to give the last finish to the group, small plants may here and there be set in, or redundant branches pruned away, that unnaturally cross or destroy the leading character of the ramification. But as the business of composing copse is to make a practical use of nature's own colours, it is as impossible minutely to direct the work as to direct the painter who copies her in giving the minutest touches of his pencil. One rule more I may in a general way suggest, and that is, never to overload the groups—never copying nature in her richer masses, but rather in her more simple combinations of foliage. In this, as in all other departments, in which art is called in to the aid of nature, those efforts will always seem the happiest, and please the longest, where ornament is kept down, and where it is made subservient to the severer graces of composition.

---

In this, and the foregoing two sections, I have now given as clear an account as I could of the PREPARING, TAKING-UP, TRANSPORTING, and PLANTING of large trees

and underwood. From the novelty of the subject, and the difficulty of making manual operations intelligible by words, the whole account has, unavoidably, been drawn more into length than might have been expected. Some apology, therefore, on the score of tediousness and circumstantial detail, is due to those who may open the book from mere curiosity. To those, on the other hand, who read for information, and whose object is real practice, the case is considerably different, as they perhaps may be of opinion that the detail, long as it is, has not been given circumstantially enough.

## SECTION X.

### TREATMENT OF THE TREES SUBSEQUENTLY TO REMOVAL.

It is with the removal of large trees, as with the execution of ordinary plantations. As soon as the plants are fairly put into the ground, the planter usually conceives his labour to be at an end, and that all after cultivation is supererogatory or superfluous. This, although a common, is a very pernicious error, and is not less injurious in its effects in the one case than in the other. Perhaps there is nothing in the course of this treatise that is calculated to be more interesting, or more practically useful to the young planter, than what is to be stated respecting afterwork in the few following pages.

In the foregoing three sections, the preparing and taking-up, the transportation and planting have been treated as applicable, first, to single trees and open dispositions of wood ; and secondly, to close plantations : therefore, in pointing out the afterwork, the same order shall be followed, beginning as before with the former department.

First, as to open dispositions of wood. The end of April, or beginning of May, as soon as the removal of the last trees of the year (usually the Lime, the Horse-chestnut, and the Oak) is over, is the time to examine the whole, and see how they stand as to covering for the

roots. For that purpose, after trying various substances, I have found nothing so completely efficacious as the refuse of a flax-mill, called in this part of the country, "shows," which, when they accumulate in the mill-yard, are generally thrown into the river, and carried away by the next flood.\* During the scutching season, which commences in autumn, and extends frequently to the following spring, it is prudent to lay in a stock of shows, sufficient for the extent of your work ; and by stacking them up in a dry state, they will not heat, but keep well for nearly a twelvemonth. In parts of the country where there are no flaxmills, and where shows consequently cannot be procured, I should recommend moss (*Scotticè* fog.) which is every where to be had, and is the best succedaneum.

But before this valuable covering is applied, it is expedient, with late-planted trees, to go over the entire surface of the pit with a wooden beater, made in the fashion of the beater used by paviers, but greatly larger, ten or twelve inches broad at bottom, and furnished with a double handle, in order that two men may work it. In working the beater, it must be raised as high as three feet or more from the ground, so as to descend with the utmost force on the loose mould of the surface ; which surprisingly promotes consolidation, and by consequence the retention of moisture. For all trees, however, this mode of consolidating is not essentially necessary ; neither is it indispensable for such as are planted early ; but with the Beech, the Oak, the Birch, and such others as are most sensitive of drought, it acts as a powerful preservative during the first season : and as it is at the nucleus of the root, immediately under the collar, that the fatal effects of drought are most to be apprehended, so it becomes the

\* NOTE I.

more important to provide the best mode of protection in that quarter.

It was directed in the last section, that on the planting being finished, the cover of earth at the stem should be from a foot to fourteen inches deep, and at the extremity of the roots from six to eight inches. If by subsidence or the beater, somewhat should be lost of those dimensions, it is now proper to supply them. The next thing to be done is to level and dress the surface, and prepare it for grass-seeds. Supposing the tree to stand, as often happens, upon a mound or hillock forced up by the earth or compost, which has been added to the original soil, the handsomest way of uniting it with the ground is, first to flatten it a little at top, and then to shape the mound in the fashion of the Ogee in architecture, a well-known figure, consisting of a round and a hollow : for it is according to that pleasing figure, or some modification of it, that the most beautiful and elegant forms in nature, whether animate or inanimate, (for example in the female figure,) are always found to be fashioned : in fact, they are the forms on which every eye delights to dwell, and every artist is studious to introduce into his works.

In wooding a new, or improving an old place, by means of the transplanting machine, it is to be observed, that on the sides of approaches, or other principal parts of the grounds, where fore-ground trees are scattered with profusion, it is of some importance that these hillocks should always appear easy and natural swells, which *belong* to the ground on which they have been superinduced. Above all things, they should be well "tailed out," as the workmen call it, beyond the dimensions of the pit, letting their hard outline imperceptibly disappear, and as it were die away in the outline of the adjoining surface. This is a business which good taste suggests, and a good eye will

readily direct. These hillocks, if handsomely shaped, give dignity to the trees that crown their summits, instead of seeming artificial and unsightly protuberances.

For this purpose, the director of the work should take a view of the surface on every side, at ten or fifteen paces off as the work proceeds, and *there* give his orders for the execution, which will also strikingly expedite the labour of finishing. As soon as the figure pleases the eye from every side, the shows are put on round the stem, and nearly two yards out from it (that is, for trees of five-and-twenty and thirty feet high,) to the thickness of six inches at the centre, and four at the extremities ; beating down the shows with the spade, to prevent the wind from taking hold of them ; after which, they will soon consolidate, and completely exclude drought. The grass-seeds are then sown and raked in, over the remainder of the prepared surface, and of more than ordinary thickness ; and these, on the roller being passed over them, will rapidly spring up and greatly assist in retaining moisture. To turf such considerable spaces, as is done by some, would be an intolerable labour, without being either so effective or so handsome in the execution.

By the above directions it is not meant that the shows shall remain longer on the surface than during the first season. No tree, treated according to the preservative system, is known to die after the first year, if during that year it carry a healthy though small leaf, the Oak and the Beech perhaps excepted : which two species (from causes not yet fully ascertained, but probably owing to a peculiar sensitiveness of drought) sometimes fail in the second year. In the course of the second year, then, the circular spaces round the stem, which have been covered with shows, are to be lightly pointed over with the spade, and kept with the hoe for four or five years until the trees shoot freely.

It would be extremely injudicious to allow the spade to be used at all after the first season ; as the minute and capillary absorbents of the root immediately rise to the surface, and must suffer more or less even from the hoe, whatever caution may be employed. In respect to the Oak and the Beech, it would be prudent to allow the shows to remain upon those trees for two years complete, and delay all stirring of the earth round them until the third summer.

The shows used for this sort of work are generally the short kind, the longer sort being reserved for the roofs of houses in this district of the country. The former kind after one year, and still more after two years, greatly decays. By the second summer, when dug down as directed above, they will serve to open and meliorate a clayey or loamy soil. Should the land be very light, they may be thrown off previously to the pointing with the spade.

As the stirring of the mould round newly planted trees is more or less injurious, as just now stated, to the minute and capillary rootlets, there is another way, in which I have sometimes treated trees, and which it may be worth while to mention in this place. By those planters who have large designs to execute, it may be considered as less laborious than hoeing round the plants for several years ; and to others, whose taste has been formed on the works of the great masters of landscape, and who for that reason would reject whatever seems frittered into detail, or what they might term spotty in the picture, the appearance of circular hoed spaces round trees might at all events be displeasing. To such planters I would recommend, when the shows are no longer necessary, instead of pointing over these spaces with the spade, immediately to sow them down with grass seeds, that is, after the first or second



season, according to the species of the trees planted. As soon as they are properly established in the ground, which, situation and circumstances considered, may be by the fourth, or fifth, or sixth year, a top-dressing should be applied, consisting of earth and coal-ashes, such as has been described at p. 217, of which the ingredients are thoroughly mixed, and the surface should then be ultimately laid down with grass seeds. Thus a general chemical action being excited throughout the compost, the most propitious period will be seized for giving an extraordinary stimulus to plants just recovering from the process of removal ; and there are few trees so treated, that from thenceforward will not begin to shoot forth with a vigour which the most sanguine planter could scarcely have anticipated.

The next object, after attending to their cover, is to secure the trees against injury from sheep and cattle. That rubbing, by either the one or the other, can affect the stability of trees, or in any wise displace them, after being planted in the manner described above, is out of the question. But there is in the coats of those animals an oily substance, which by continual friction is apt to stop up the minute pores of the bark, and prevent the admission of the sun and air, before the epidermis has had time to be fortified by age and exposure against its influence. Without entering into the ingenious speculations of Marsham, who found that repeated washings surprisingly forwarded the growth of all woody plants, we are warranted in believing, that those owners of parks who continue to defend their trees after sixty and seventy years' growth (and there are some persons who incur that labour) perform a work of supererogation : at all events, it is a work of considerable expense and of very little utility.

The best, the most pleasing, and in many situations

the most profitable stock for a park consisting of forty or fifty acres and upwards, is unquestionably sheep. Sheep love a wide range of pasturage, and are not found fully to thrive, or to be kept with facility, within a less extensive circuit than the one just now specified. Unless your wood be of considerable age, deer, independently of the great difficulty of restraining them, prove extremely troublesome; and black cattle and horses, from their height and uncommon fondness for the tender shoots of most woody plants, would shockingly disfigure the generality of removed trees, of which the effect chiefly results from the beauty of their spreading boughs, at from about four to seven and eight feet from the ground. The browsing-line of the blackfaced sheep seldom reaches to more than three, or three feet and a half, above the surface; a height which gives lightness rather than otherwise to park scenery, while the formality which the browsing-line is thought to occasion is very easily done away by any one acquainted with the commonest arrangements in real landscape. To protect trees effectually, however, from the rubbing of sheep, is a work which we seldom see well executed; because, to do it well, both neatness and utility should be combined in the execution.

The guards generally in use for protecting trees are well known—hurdles and cordage of different kinds; three-cornered, four-cornered, and circular palings, and the like; black or white-thorn branches; wrappings of straw or mat, and even of painted sailcloth, have been all employed on various occasions. Of these contrivances, the thorns are injurious to the wool of the sheep, and the different wrappings to the trees; and both act in excluding the sun and air from the stem. In respect to the hurdles and palings, they appear always cumbersome, and, if numerous, form too prominent a feature in a park.

When a man, however, has planted his lawn with trees like his thumb, or at most like his wrist in thickness, he is apt to fancy that he has covered the surface with fine wood, when he has only disfigured it with hedgestakes and railings, which are at least as unsightly to behold as they are expensive to keep up, and show a complete absence of both taste and skill. The example which has been quoted in Section V., of the effect of this sort of wooding, by no means presents an overcharged picture of the system.

Perhaps the most perfect of all guards would be an iron collar of about an inch and a half broad with a hinge in the middle of it; together with sharp-pointed uprights of the same material, three feet three inches high, and three quarters of an inch in thickness, for running into the ground. The uprights might be placed about two inches asunder; and to the whole might be added a hasp, with notches in the collar, in order to accommodate it to the progressive enlargement of the stem. But the expense of such an apparatus, for trees of moderate size, including painting of the colour of the bark, could not be less than from twelve to fifteen shillings each, which would completely preclude its general adoption. A guard, therefore, which should be at once neat, cheap, and durable, seems still to be a desideratum in park economy.

There being between seven and eight hundred transplanted trees and bushes in loose dispositions in the park here, it was necessary to construct something less hideous and less costly than seven or eight hundred hurdles or palings of four feet square; as no features of landscape nor richness of foliage could have redeemed so overwhelming a deformity. In Plate II. the reader will find the delineation of such a guard for a park tree as has

been for several years adopted at this place ; and it is recommended not less by its neatness than by its unobtrusiveness and efficiency. The trifling expense, likewise, which attends it is of no small importance in situations where any considerable number of trees stand in need of protection.

This guard is composed of stakes of larch-wood made like hedgestakes, but somewhat lighter and neater. They are about three feet three inches long, and six or seven inches in girth at the larger end. They are flattened at the smaller end to the thickness of about three quarters of an inch, for applying closely to the tree, and pointed at the larger for driving into the ground. The workmen, in setting them up, drive them into the ground four or five inches out from the stem, and three asunder. The tops being flat and about two inches broad, they unite in a neat manner round the stem, when pressed to it and firmly bound round with marline, half-twisted and pitched, such as is used on board a ship to secure the ends of the cables. A small piece of doubled mat, four inches broad, is previously put between the tops of the stakes and the stem, in order to prevent chafing. As soon as this ring or hempen collar is put on, the workman who fixes it proceeds to connect it with the bracer at the centre, drawing the end of the marline half-way down between the top of the stakes and the ground, and making it fast to one of the stakes. From thence he passes it loosely round the whole, taking a turn round each stake, until he arrives at the point where he began. He then pulls it tight, and fixes it firmly at that point ; adding another line of connexion on the opposite side, between the collar and the centre-bracer last finished. For this work it has been found more economical to use double than single marline of the common size ; as also

to employ two workmen, if expert at the business, rather than one ; as two will do it better and more speedily, in proportion to the time they are employed.

Thus it will be perceived, that a guard for trees of the firmest sort is procured, and such as will last for nine or ten years, with occasional repairs of the marline ; which last, as it suffers by contraction and expansion and the continual rubbing of the sheep, should, after the first year, be gone over two or three times during the summer, and kept in good order. If the larger ends of the stakes (at which place they always fail) be dipped in coal-tar, brought to the state of half pitch, they will last from twelve to fifteen years. By driving the stakes a little way out from the tree, as above directed, the tallest blackfaced sheep (and no epicure in mutton will ever keep any other stock) are forced to rub near the centre of the stake where the fence is the stoutest. The bark likewise being covered in no part, excepting at the top of the fence by the small bit of mat, receives sufficient benefit from the sun and air.

The entire cost of this guard, materials and workmanship, does not exceed sixpence per tree, reckoning ten stakes as the average number required for each. Hence it is an effective as well as an economical mode of defence, and, I may add, a neat one also. To the most fastidious eye, the effect produced by it is neither heavy nor displeasing, as the hue of the stakes in a few days sufficiently harmonises with that of the bark ; and so far from appearing a deformity, it is, as has been observed above, quite unobtrusive, and is nearly invisible at fifty yards' distance.

As the season advances, and the drought of summer sets in, the watering of the trees planted in the spring and the preceding winter next claims attention. About

the end of May, or beginning of June, when no refreshing showers have fallen for a week, is the time to put in requisition the water-cart, and to endeavour to supply by artificial means that degree of moisture which, after the first year is over, a more advanced state of consolidation enables the soil to retain. There is scarcely an instance of a tree, if properly removed, requiring water in the second year, excepting perhaps the Oak, when it fails to come out freely in June ; but even in that case it is quite unnecessary to repeat the operation beyond the month in question.

The water-cart is a very simple implement, being merely an open cart with broad wheels, having a large barrel or hogshead mounted on it (an old wine-pipe answers the purpose admirably ; ) and there are super-added a spreading mouth at the bung-hole, for filling the cask, and a large brass cock below, for drawing off the water. The best time for dispensing this refreshing element is unquestionably the evening, as little or no evaporation takes place during the night ; but in works of any extent it is not possible always to time it with accuracy. A single workman, with a couple of stable-pails, attends the driver of the cart, and both assist in drawing off and distributing the water. In executing this, they cannot pour it on too leisurely, equally dribbling it out over the surface of the pit and to the full extent of the roots, but most copiously near the stem and on the nucleus of the root. Four or five pails, which contain sixteen or eighteen quarts each, are sufficient at a time, for a tree from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high ; and the operation is repeated every fourth day while drought continues. It is an easy matter to overwater plants, for example the Beech ; an error by which removed trees sometimes suffer when they might other-

wise have succeeded, and of which I have seen more than one instance in the present year, 1827.

On some occasions I have attempted the watering of entire plants, branches as well as roots, with a good garden-engine ; by which means it was easy to throw the water in copious showers to the tops of our highest trees. But no benefit adequate to the labour was found to result from it. The absorption of dew by the leaves, even in the driest season, added to an absorption by the roots in consequence of abundant waterings, appears quite adequate to continue vegetation during the critical period of the first summer. If the introsusception of their food by the roots is not prevented from going forward, there seems little danger in the other parts of the process.

Gardeners usually recommend that all artificial waterings should be performed with the watering-pan, as more accurately imitating the genial rains as they descend from the clouds. That this is a superior method cannot be doubted, and for the nicer business of horticulture, it may in many cases be indispensable. But in field practice, which is often less delicate, and far more extensive, *despatch* is so vast an object, that such implements as the watering-pan are quite inadmissible. As a proof in how far our field practice has been successful, it may be stated, that during last summer, (1826,) when the severity as well as the continuance of the drought was, it is believed, beyond all example, *only one removed tree in more than a hundred died at this place*, although several bushes failed, and particularly of the evergreen species ; and to this it may be added, as a very striking circumstance, that more than three-fourths of the number were Beeches and Oaks ! For such extraordinary success, in restoring and continuing vegetation after removal, I chiefly account, from the care and regularity with which the water was

distributed, and the valuable discovery, that so cheap and common a substance as shows has the property of excluding air, and retaining moisture, and is, by consequence, the best safeguard against the effects of drought.

The next branch of afterwork that requires consideration is a very important one, and that is the maintenance of the trees in a state of progressive vigour after being planted: and as there are few subjects in arboricultural economy that appear to be less understood, I shall the more particularly enlarge upon it.

It has been already observed, that no experienced planter expects his removed trees to shoot freely until they have been fairly established in the ground; and that is a circumstance which must be regulated by soil and climate, by scientific planting and previous selection. It is true, according to the system now attempted to be established, they will carry a full leaf after the first, or at all events after the second season; and as they are preserved entire in all their parts, they will produce the full effect of trees which have never been removed; still, it is not until the fourth or fifth year, or more, that shoots of any consequence can be expected. With particular trees, however, this expectation is not always fulfilled; and it sometimes happens, when the process of removal has been conducted in the best manner and on the best soil, when the sun has shone, and the rains have descended most favourably on the plants, that six, and seven, and a greater number of years will elapse, without any decided proofs of advancement. Not but that they seem sufficiently healthy and continue to *grow*; but we want something more; we expect them to *thrive* in their new situations. This backwardness in trees, as it appears, is confined for the most part to such as are planted in exposed situations: heat and shelter being its



cure or preventive, it is seldom seen in close plantations.

For such exceptions to general success, it is not easy to account, especially in cases where our subjects are in the full possession of the protecting properties, particularly that of competent and healthy roots: but it is certain that such exceptions occasionally occur with almost every sort of tree, even the hardiest, and those the least sensitive of drought. It is, moreover, a remarkable fact, that I have sometimes found those plants of the late or aboriginal Oak (the hardiest of all that genus) thrive the *best* which had the *worst roots*,—that is, the fewest in proportion to the top. The truth is, that vegetable physiology is in itself an obscure subject; and although many important facts respecting it are known and ascertained by microscopical observation and careful study, yet probably so many still remain to be discovered, that it is impossible to suggest a satisfactory theory for every anomaly that occurs in practice. But in the particular instance above mentioned—namely, occasional backwardness in the growth of trees, when least expected—if no theory should be found to account for it, there seems yet a certain remedy for the evil which experience has pointed out.

If a tree appearing backward be from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high, and of girth in proportion, let four cart-loads of tolerable earth be taken, of a quality rather opposite than similar to that on which it has been planted,—that is, for light soil, of the aluminous, and for heavy, of the silicious character; to which let a cart-load of coal-ashes be added, with the rough cinders carefully riddled out. Let the whole be laid round the tree, and very intimately mixed in the manner above pointed out for extra earth and compost, and particularly when made up

with manure of this species.\* Then, let the composition be spread on the surface, from the centre outwards, nine inches thick at least at the stem or centre, and five or six at the extremities ; by which means, probably, a space of six or eight feet out will be richly coated. Or, if you can easily supply the materials, it would be better to coat it ten or twelve feet out. Lastly, let the composition be carefully pointed with the spade, between two and three inches deep, (which is the depth of ordinary hoeing,) *into* the *former* soil, round the plant. The sooner after the fall that this work can be executed, the more confidently you may rely on its effects during the following season.

Into materials so compounded, the minutest fibres, or absorbents of the roots, will enter with avidity on the first approach of the genial heat of spring ; or possibly the fine and friable nature of the composition may occasion an anticipation of the period. The season of vernalion ere long will come on, the leaves will be enlarged, and assume a far deeper and more lively green. By midsummer the tree will have shot some inches ; and, by the following season, probably more than a foot ; and it will continue to exhibit both established health and progressive vigour.

About every house in the country there is a place appropriated for collecting the ashes ; I mean, of course, coal-ashes. To that deposit are usually added soot, sweepings of houses, and other miscellaneous manures from the kitchen-court, all well adapted to the purpose in question ; and the whole should be exclusively reserved for trees by the judicious planter. Great attention, likewise, should be bestowed in separating the cinders from the ashes when the latter are first thrown out, and in a

\* See pages 162, 163, *antea*.

dry state, as the cinders are of little value and injurious to the roots of plants.

No one, who has not seen it, will easily believe the surprising effect which this compound produces on park-wood some time removed, and to the most exposed situations, if it chance to be in a backward condition, and by thus merely adding to the surface, and thereby enlarging the pabulum of the trees. I rather imagine that the process is peculiar to my own practice : but, be that as it may, I have repeatedly tried it on all sorts of subjects, young and old, removed and unremoved, that seemed to be backward or stationary in their progress, and its vivifying powers have proved extraordinary in every instance. It is, however, to be observed, that in the case of old trees, as there is a complete exhaustion of the original soil around them, so a much larger mass of fresh matter must be superinduced upon it. A tree of three or four feet in diameter would require twenty cart-loads at least, in order that any material renovation of its strength might be effected ; and few men, I think, would grudge so small an expense and labour to save or improve a favourite tree.

The practice of gardeners, I am aware, is considerably different from this. If they find their trees in any wise unprosperous, their usual resource is to *stir the earth among the roots*, instead of leaving them undisturbed, and to dig in well-rotted dung, or rather manure ; and it is to be presumed, that, in their management of park-wood, they would pursue a similar system. In favour of such a practice, in the horticultural department, something may be said ; because the great depth of soil in garden-ground admits of the roots of fruit-trees descending far deeper than can be expected in the park ; not to mention the advantage of cultivating leguminous crops on

the surface. But I am satisfied, were the fruit borders in gardens, and especially in orchards, to be left undisturbed, unless by the hoe, and were the extension or improvement of pabulum for the roots to be *made upon the surface*, as just now directed, that the effects would be not less surprising than those which I myself have experienced.\*

There is another remedy, which I have sometimes tried, for backwardness in the growth of trees, and which, although I cannot recommend it with the same confidence as the above panacean compound, (if I may so call it,) is yet deserving of the reader's notice. It consists of the juice or moisture proceeding from the dunghill, which, being collected in a well or pit, is pumped up into the water-cart; and it is frequently used for decomposing peat, or manuring grass-grounds in the spring. When intended for trees, damp weather, or immediately after rain, is the time for applying it, on account of the quantity of ammonia in different forms, and the various salts which it contains. It is poured on in the same slow and gradual way as directed for the water, but in not more than half the quantity at a time.

As this liquid may be considered as the extract of the most useful vegetable and animal manures, with a strong infusion of urine in a putrid state, so it has probably in its composition too much animal matter to form a proper nourishment, by absorption, for the roots of woody plants. All urine contains the essential elements of vegetables in a state of solution. During putrefaction, a great part of the soluble animal matter is destroyed; therefore, it is proper always to use it in as fresh a state as possible; or otherwise, to dilute it copiously with water, to which a

\* NOTE II.

moist state of the ground, or the water which falls into the pit, as above, will contribute. When managed, however, in the most careful manner, this liquid rather disappointed me in its effects on trees, and I should far rather prefer the excellent compound already described.\*

In regard to backwardness in trees, as the subject is not only curious in itself, but of great moment to the general planter, as well as to him who removes larger trees, perhaps a few remarks on the causes in which it originates may not be inappropriate in this place, and prove interesting to both.

From what has been observed of this evil, it appears that it exists in a remarkable manner only among exposed plants, and that heat resulting from shelter in most cases furnishes a complete preventive. In considering the nature and habits of woody plants, we find that the warmer the atmosphere in which they grow, the more active the vegetation that is carried on in them, and consequently the greater the deposition of nutrient matter that is made in the bodies of the plants. Our object, therefore, should be, after their removal to colder or more exposed situations, so to increase, by artificial methods, the action of their vegetative powers, as to compensate to the required extent for the absence of heat; and should that not be done sufficiently in the beginning, to augment it afterwards. This important principle was stated above, in the instructions given for the preparation of the soil, but not sufficiently illustrated under that head.†

It is on this principle, that the application of the rich and friable compound above mentioned is made with such extraordinary effect. If we administer it to two park trees of the same species, and of equal size and strength,

\* NOTE III.

† See Sect. VI. pp. 144, 145.

but placed in different degrees of exposure, in equal quantities, the shoots which the trees send forth will not be in the ratio of the compound applied, but in the ratio of the exposure. In the same way, if we apply the one half of the compound to a park tree comparatively sheltered, and double the quantity, or more, to a similar tree severely exposed, the shoots which are sent forth by the former will be fully equal to those sent forth by the latter. Thus the uniform but relative success attending this practice demonstrates the justness of the principle.

But what shall we say to the case, which frequently occurs, of two trees to all appearance equally formed and circumstanced, and yet unequal in their progress ; or, more properly speaking, of which the one is progressive, and the other visibly stationary ? As there can be no effect without an adequate cause, so we are compelled to believe, that such plants are either different from what they appear in their internal development, or that their development is differently acted on by its external conditions, otherwise we should unquestionably perceive the same results. From what we know of the uncertain and anomalous practices of planters, there are various circumstances respecting removed trees that may possibly be regarded either as proximate or efficient causes of their ill success, or at least of their appearing stationary, when, as we imagine, we have done every thing in our power to render them progressive. These circumstances or causes are probably the following :

First, an unsuitable or inappropriate soil or subsoil. There are certain soils on which all trees will thrive ; and there are certain other soils on which particular trees will not thrive, according to their particular properties, and the law of nature that regulates their species. As nine planters in ten are unable to discriminate regarding plants

and soils, and make the proper selection, so it must happen that trees often fail of success wholly from this circumstance.

The second cause seems to be defective roots. Roots, when taken up and replanted, may sufficiently please even an experienced eye, and look both abundant and healthy ; but they may, and often do receive such treatment between the two processes, as incapacitates them for performing their functions as absorbents. If the roots, and especially the minute fibres, are to be kept up as much as possible *entire*, (which is a fundamental rule in the preservative system,) it is evident that the utmost care and attention are indispensable, to prevent an unnecessary exposure to the atmosphere. Should that unfortunately be permitted, the fibres will become discoloured, or altogether black, and by immediately decaying, after being replanted, soon occasion a corresponding decay in the spray and twigs of the branches. As the sap, therefore, is scantily absorbed and sent upwards, an equally scanty supply of the proper juice is sent down, in order to give expansion to the roots ; and although the tree carry a tolerable leaf, and produce the general effect contemplated in its removal, still the efforts which it makes to supply the parts that have been lost, retard its progress, and a year or two may elapse ere the due complement be made up, and the tree consequently shoot forth with vigour. It is to be feared that this cause of backwardness oftener occurs than the best planters are aware of ; but it never appears so fully displayed to the view as in some singular case, when the tree happens *again* to be taken up after the first or second season.

The third cause that may be assigned, is late frost in the spring of the first year, or it may be early frost in the autumn of the foregoing season. Supposing that trees

have the best roots and branches, and that they have been transferred without accident or injury, premature frost is nevertheless an enemy to their success which no foresight can prevent. In these northern latitudes we have, properly speaking, but three divisions of the year—summer, winter, and autumn. The fourth division, namely spring, although it figures in the calendar, does not always favour us with its actual presence. Sharp frosts in May, and sometimes in October, are not altogether unknown in the best English climates; but they are mild visitants there to what they sometimes prove in Scotland.

If the reader have fully apprehended the detail, which has been given above, (especially in section IV.,) respecting roots and branches, he will perceive, that those two main conservative organs, being (as the schoolmen say) “relative and correlative,” continually act and react; hence, they reciprocally flourish and decay, and are reciprocally stationary or progressive. Therefore it must happen, that if, during April and May, a keen frost supervene, when the sap first rises, (and the flow must always be more or less imperfect in the season of removal,) the topshoots of the former year will inevitably be cut down, and the roots must suffer proportionally in consequence. In the same way, if they be cut down in October, they will not revive in May or April. In either case, *the activity of vegetation being checked in the outset*, and in both branches and roots, it will require more than a single year ere the tree can regain its healthful action.

The fourth cause which may be stated, is late planting in the spring, which always operates as a great discouragement to trees and kills many. By planting early, that is soon after the autumn, or at least not later than February and March, all trees (Oaks and Evergreens excepted) are surprisingly benefited. By means of the moisture with



which the earth is constantly saturated at this season, a *gradual consolidation* of the mould round the roots and fibres takes place, far superior to any that can be brought about by artificial pressure. But the state of the atmosphere after March being comparatively dry, no equal consolidation is attainable, and the roots, *if once injured by drought*, suffer so severely as not to recover the injury for some years, and often they never recover it.

The fifth cause is akin to this, and acts prejudicially in the same manner, during the first year—namely, the neglect of duly covering and watering the roots before the summer drought sets in. In situations where “shows,” or the refuse of a flaxmill, cannot be obtained, moss, (*Scotticè* fog,) which is frequently employed by florists, is the best substitute, as already mentioned.

It is remarkable that these five probable causes of backwardness in the growth of trees, the first only excepted, uniformly operate during the *first season after their removal*, which points out the vast influence which their health during that critical period seems to possess over their after-progress. As the evils specified are, all excepting one, in our own power, and such as by attention and industry may be prevented, so it likewise points out of how much importance it is, both to the general planter and the planter for immediate effect, by every effort to endeavour to prevent them, by attention to the selection, condition, treatment, and growth of their plants, in the early stages of their progress.

It is highly probable, that one or other of these causes of backwardness exist in all removed subjects which become stationary, although we are not always aware of its existence. How successfully soever a tree may be transferred, we do not expect any considerable shoots from it till after the the third or fourth year ; and we

do not decidedly pronounce as to its backwardness till the fifth or sixth, when, if it be a fine subject, we begin to look round for a remedy. At this juncture, the evil or evils in question are in a very great degree alleviated, by the efforts made by the plants themselves to regain their lost strength. Hence, the stimulus of the compost above recommended, must now come at a very opportune period. Coal or wood ashes, or peat-compost, as already said, soot, the sweepings of houses, and other miscellaneous compounds, intimately mixed with soil in a completely friable state, are peculiarly fitted for this purpose : and, in fact, nothing less than such a stimulus is sufficient to compensate for the want of heat, and to render vegetation once more active, counteracted as it must be by the severity of the process of removal itself, and by the effects of the exposure in which the tree has been placed. The great object, in the application of all manure, is to furnish as much soluble matter as possible to the roots of plants, and that in *a slow and gradual manner*, in order that it may be entirely consumed in forming their sap and various organised parts.

This short statement may perhaps in some measure account for the backward condition of trees standing in the open park, and likewise for the success of the remedy that has been applied to them. In regard to the superior advantage of the method of laying the compost *on the surface*, compared with that of digging in any manure among the roots, it is too obvious to require further illustration.

There is only one other point in the department of afterwork which it may be worth while to touch upon, in as far as open dispositions of wood are concerned, and that is, the setting straight after a certain period. There is nothing that contributes more to the beauty of park

wood, and particularly of removed trees, than their maintaining the upright position, and their appearing unaffected by the western and south-western blasts. Those who possess grounds on the western coast will more especially feel the importance of this remark, It is true that, in the compositions of the best masters of landscape, we find trees sometimes represented in a heeling position; and such objects are often extremely picturesque, and so found in nature. Kent, as has been already noticed, planted dead trees in his designs, the better to imitate natural variety; but that is not a taste which can be safely recommended to the young planter to adopt. Whatever be the pains and care which we bestow on making our trees steadfast in the planting, it will sometimes happen on a large scale of work, and in bleak situations, that a few may have discernibly yielded to the blast, after being a twelvemonth in the ground. It is proper, therefore, in the spring of the second year to go over the whole, and by means of a long rope, fixed as near as possible to the top, to bring such as require it to the windward side. The longer the lever, the greater of course will be the effect produced.

The speediest way is to turn out a number of hands sufficient to command the tree at once—eight or nine persons at the least; taking care to secure the bark of the stem by various folds of mat interposed between it and the turns of the rope. When the tree has been sufficiently bent down towards the proper quarter, let the director of the work minutely examine where the ground rents on the lee side, and order an opening to be made at the place, with as little injury as possible to the roots, of a foot or eighteen inches long, and of half the width. While the tree is held in the heeling position, heavy masses of greensward are then procured, as large as can be lifted

with the spade, and rammed into the opening, firmly treading them in with the foot, or securing them if needful with the beater. If this be done in April, before the sap flows abundantly, no damage will be suffered by the bark, and little by the roots. As the position of the tree is reversed in the planting, and the longest branches, and consequently the longest roots, are turned to the stormy quarter, you will probably have no further trouble with it: although a second revision of its position is always advisable in the spring of the following year.

Upon the whole, this is a work which, according to the preservative system, is so seldom necessary that the mention of it in this place might seem superfluous; but in a body of instructions intended for general use, it is advisable to guard against every contingency. In respect to the propping or supporting of trees with wood or ropes or other materials, which with many planters forms a principal and important branch of their afterwork, it is wholly unknown at this place. Indeed, whoever considers the method of securing the roots above delineated, will perceive that such adventitious and unsightly contrivances are altogether superseded by that method. During the course of thirty years, as already mentioned, we have never had a tree blown down, and rarely one displaced from its original position; and it is probably to the *undisturbed* state of the roots owing to that circumstance, during the two first years after removal, that our success may in a great measure be attributed.

I will now proceed, in the second place, to speak of close woods and plantations. The afterwork applicable to these will not require a long discussion. Much of the afterwork that is proper for single trees, equally applies to enclosed masses of wood: besides, the same minuteness

of attention and diversity of labour are not demanded by the latter, as by the former style of plantation.

In the foregoing three Sections, the method has been pointed out of preparing and planting close woods, which in their handsomest form consist of standard or grove trees, with underwood intermixed. Such plantations having been previously trenched and manured to a potato crop, are in a state of preparation far exceeding any that can be obtained for open groups, and still more for detached or single trees. One advantage above all others they possess, and that is, that the plants which compose them form a complete shelter to one another, and thereby *create a climate* for the plantation, many times milder than that of the surrounding grounds. Here also a far greater stagnation of air prevails, and consequently a retention of moisture proportionally greater than in the open park.

The first thing to be done with these plantations is the same as with single trees—namely, to go over them carefully, and examine what cover they have upon the roots, and to supply it, if wanting, with earth taken from the intervals. To make up the cover to about ten inches in depth, is at least equal to a foot or fourteen inches in the open field. It seldom happens, unless the outside plants be very deficient in length of root, that any consolidation by the beater can be wanted; neither is any uncommon accuracy material in levelling or dressing the surface, unless what is required to preserve the general character of the ground, and to prevent the stagnation of water in any part. In respect to fencing the trees, nothing needs to be said on that subject; as the plantations being close—that is, composed of grove and underwood—necessarily implies an enclosure completely secured against sheep or cattle.

As it is equally important, though not nearly so difficult, to preserve close or enclosed plantations from suffering by drought, as open dispositions of wood, shows must be laid upon the roots in the former, by the middle of May at the latest, and before the summer droughts set in. For this work, the same method is to be followed as that prescribed for single trees ; only, should your stock of shows be not abundant, the allowance may be decreased by a third part for the trees, and by the one half for the underwood. In respect to watering, it is to be done in a similar manner every fourth day, as pointed out for detached trees, but not so copiously as in the case of the latter, for the reasons already assigned, and because those trees are much more exposed to the action of the atmosphere.

During the first season, the cultivation of the ground is of small moment; but, without displacing the shows, it may be hoed in the intervals. In the second year, the entire surface is to be lightly pointed over with the spade, and carefully kept with the hoe, until the plants shoot freely. From the superiority of this factitious climate, and other propitious circumstances, the plants very readily become established in the ground; and in point of growth, they will soon outstrip all your other plantations. In this situation, we find no backwardness of growth, no stationary symptoms in these plants, but all advance with nearly equal vigour.

From what has been said, the reader will perceive the great importance of a judicious system of management for a few years subsequent to the removal of trees, and above all things, the perfect preservation of their health and strength during the critical period of the first season. The great design of afterwork, independently of that of securing the plants from external injury, is to bring about

their speedy and full establishment in the ground. No plants, as I conceive, can be said to be fully established in the ground, unless they shoot forth with freedom, according to the soil in which they are placed, and that appears to depend, in open exposures, *on their complete possession of all the* PROTECTING PROPERTIES ; or, in other words, that nearly as active a vegetation shall be carried on, and nearly as great a deposition of nutrient matter made in them, as in subjects of similar magnitude in close plantations in the same soil and climate. That shoots of equal, or nearly equal length should in any case be sent forth by exposed as by sheltered trees (as is the case at this place) is a fact probably unexampled in itself, and, in order to gain belief, the thing requires to be seen, or at least supported by very unexceptionable evidence.

It has been calculated by some arboriculturists, and probably with correctness, that a young plantation judiciously prepared, and afterwards kept clean with the hoe for seven or eight years, will grow more within that space of time than it would do in twenty years, by the ordinary method of planting without such preparation and keeping. If this be true, I believe it may be said with at least equal justice, that close plantations of removed wood, if properly executed, and kept with the hoe for three years, are equal to ordinary plantations of at least forty, or five-and-forty years' standing in this climate. At the end of four or five years, they will branch out on every side with such luxuriance, as to require the utmost industry of the pruner to restrain them within due limits ; and yet it is indispensably necessary that they should be so restrained, in order that the standard or grove trees should be kept spiral, and the underwood subordinate in its character.

Upon the whole, I may assert with truth, after the experience of more than forty years, that there are no

plantations at this place which exhibit the same general health and progressive vigour as the REMOVED WOODS, whether it be in open groups or close plantations, as the committee of the Highland Society has amply attested. And should they continue by the one half only as rapidly progressive for the time to come, the axe must interpose in order to prevent them from obscuring the glades, or blotting out the distances of the landscape.



## SECTION XI.

### EXPENSE ATTENDING THE FOREGOING OPERATIONS.

ON more than one occasion in the course of this Essay, I have ventured to state that the art under discussion laid claim to be one of "practical utility." But it would ill support that pretension if the principles it unfolds, and the practice it recommends for giving immediate effect to wood, involved an extravagant expenditure. For an art to be generally useful, it must produce something better than the gigantic feats and the costly wonders of former ages. If the art in question possess any one merit above another, it is that of *lessening* the expense of both present and former practice, and bringing it within the reach of any person of moderate fortune.

Of all the rural luxuries which the landowner may enjoy, there is certainly no one more exquisite than that of obtaining at pleasure the command of wood; and every one we should think would rejoice at the endeavour to render it a *cheap* luxury. The efforts, therefore, of those must appear the more surprising, who for some years past have laboured to mislead the public by exaggerating the expense attending the preservative system;\* and as their opportunities of information *might be supposed the best*, so it places in a striking point of view either their gratuitous malevolence or their extraordinary ignorance.

\* See the Report of the Committee of the Highland Society, which is given in the Appendix.

The most distinct method which I apprehend can be adopted to show the true amount of the expense attending the various processes above described, in the preparation and removal of woods, is, in the first place, to state the particulars in as far as they regard my own practice, which any one may examine and verify at pleasure ; and in the second place, to give a report of the operations of a few well-known persons of the first respectability, who, on a conviction of its correctness, have adopted my system, not gratuitously and ignorantly, but with some knowledge of scientific principle. For I will venture to assert, that *one ever yet adopted this system, with a knowledge of the principles on which it is founded, whose practice was not attended more or less with success.* The general error committed by planters is to consider it too much as a *mechanical* art, and not to allow to principles the just rank and consequence they deserve.

In the first place, as to my own practice. It is obvious that there are two ways in which rural labour is performed. The one is by contract, according to specific rates and stipulated dimensions, which gives the cost in money on ascertaining the extent of the work. The other is by day labour, which gives it as certainly by the rate of wages paid to the workmen, and an estimation of time. But wherever much accuracy or nicety of execution is in question, experience will advise to follow the latter, rather than the former method. In the preparation of the soil, however, and likewise the preparation of subjects, the work may be done sufficiently well by contract ; which, on a large scale, and with expert workmen, I have sometimes found it advisable to adopt, both as most speedy and most economical.

As to work executed by contract : the mode of preparing the pits for single trees, and open dispositions of

wood, is described at page 143, &c. If the soil be of tolerable quality—which in a nobleman's or gentleman's park is a fair supposition—then two, or at most three, single-horse cart-loads of compost will suffice, and the pit is to be worked to the depth of from eighteen inches to two feet. In that case, I have done the work at the rate of from 8d. to 10d. per pit ; and the compost (supposing it to be made with animal manure) may be prepared for 9d. per cart-load ; which last is the price usually paid by persons in this part of the country, who prepare it solely for agricultural purposes.

Should the soil be very thin, or, in an extreme case, should there be no soil at all, but merely barren sand or rock on the spot, and should the owner still resolve to cover it with wood, he must necessarily bring earth for the subsistence of his trees. In such a case, every one will admit that, although a striking improvement of property is thus made by the superinduction of a new soil, both for grain and grass crops, yet so entire an alteration of the nature of the ground cannot be fairly chargeable to the *planting* of it, whether with old trees or young. As may be seen at pp. 144, 145, such improvements are extremely practicable, and have been often made at this place, at no very extravagant cost : but still they are agricultural, and make an adequate return in pasture for the money laid out.

The above may serve to convey a fair idea of the expense of preparation, when the pits are to be made a twelvemonth beforehand, and the trees and underwood removed after that interval. Should the planter be in haste to obtain the effect required, and be resolved both to prepare and to plant during the same season, then the expense of the compost would be the same as that already

stated, but the preparation of the pits would amount to from 1s. to 1s. 3d. each.

In trenching the entire ground for groups and larger masses of park-wood, which is strongly recommended to be done at pp. 147, 148, for reasons both agricultural and arboricultural, the trenching or double-digging may be executed at the rate of 9d. or 10d. per Scotch fall, (which is about one fifth part larger than the English pole or rod,) or £8 per acre. This calculation can be made only on the supposition that spadable soil is in question: but should the pick be called in, somewhat extra must be charged by the workman, as above stated, and the amount will depend, of course, on the nature of the obstacles of stone—for example, gravel, or obdurate clay—that present themselves during the work. From the passages, however, just now referred to, and the notes, it clearly appears that trenching or double-digging for groups and open dispositions, if executed on proper principles, will, besides other advantages, raise the value of the land by the one-half at least; and moreover, in most cases it will save the labour of mounding, or bringing extra earth from a distance. In these circumstances, no reasonable person will say, that it would be equitable to charge the cost entirely to the account of transferring wood.

At pp. 151, 152, the preparation of the ground for close-woods and plantations is next described. This, being a work usually executed on a more extensive scale than groups and single trees, should, generally speaking, be done by contract. The trenching should in no case exceed the rate already mentioned for open dispositions of wood. But as it distinctly appears, by many years' experience, that the abundance of the potato crop which follows trenching never fails to pay both for the execution of that work and the manure, and sometimes rent besides, the

land is thus ready for the operations of the planter without the burden of preparatory cost of any sort.

All the above works I can say that I have, at different times, executed by contract, at the prices here stated, or nearly, according to the nature of the soil and the rate of labour at the time. I have likewise, on other occasions, done it by day labour; and I am obliged to add that the difference of the expense between the two is so insignificant, while the difference in point of accuracy is material, that I greatly prefer the latter method, unless for the trenching of close plantations, where the same minute attention is not required, and where a far greater space of ground is to be turned up. In the nicer parts of the preparation of the soil, as has been shown in section VI., it is surprising what may be done for the fine and capillary absorbents of the root by a minute attention to the comminution of the parts.

Of the other processes connected with the removal of trees—namely, the taking up, the transporting, and the planting itself—no part of them can be made the subject of work by contract, and they are to be estimated only by time. This is evident from the very nature of those processes; and hence there is no other way in which the cost can be ascertained, excepting by the dimensions of the trees transplanted, the distance from which they are brought, and the particular labour that has attended them.

If a tree removed to the open park be from fifteen to eighteen feet high, and from eighteen inches to two feet in girth—or, in other words, from six to eight inches in diameter—which, as has been already said, is the smallest tree that has strength to resist the elements; and if the distance it is brought be about half a mile, which may be called a medium distance, the expense, in general, is not found to exceed 6s. 6d. If it be from five-and-twenty to

thirty feet high, and from three feet to three feet six in girth, the expense is not found to be more than 12s. 6d. This is as accurate an estimate as can be made of the present expense of transferring park wood, at this place, with expert workmen—which must necessarily vary as the size increases, or as the rate itself is varied by circumstances, or controlled by unavoidable and unforeseen accidents. But I have scarcely known an instance in which plants of the largest size in question cost from 15s. to 18s. Estimates of the particulars, for both sizes, may be given as follows :—

*For a Tree from fifteen to eighteen feet high, with Lime Compost.*

Preparation of the pit, as above, . . . . .	£0	0	8
Three cart-loads of compost, at 6d. . . . .	0	1	6
Three workmen taking up, half a day, at 1s. 6d. . . . .	0	2	3
Transporting and planting, say . . . . .	0	2	1
	<hr/>		
	£0	6	6
	<hr/>		

*For a Tree from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high, with Dung Compost.*

Preparation of the pit, . . . . .	£0	0	8
Three cart-loads of compost, at 9d. . . . .	0	2	3
Three workmen taking up, a day, at 1s. 6d. . . . .	0	4	6
Transporting and planting, say . . . . .	0	5	1
	<hr/>		
	£0	12	6
	<hr/>		

Of these composts it is understood, that they are to be made up in the manner already directed in section VI. pp. 139, 140; the lime compost at from one-fifth to one-sixth part of the lime to four or five sixths of the peat, according to the weather and the state of the materials. The dung compost is to be mixed according to the judicious directions of the late Lord Meadowbank, with important

improvements which experience has suggested, and which are detailed in the notes referring to the above passages.

In respect to close-woods it is to be observed, that the expense of removing the trees which compose them, if of the heights just now mentioned, is necessarily much less than the cost of such as would suit the open park. The former possess the non-protecting properties, especially small tops, and correlative roots; hence they are far more easily transferred than the others. For close-woods, for obvious reasons, subjects will always be chosen that are endued with the non-protecting properties; and therefore the expense of removing them half a mile, as already mentioned, does not exceed 3s. 6d. each for the standard or grove trees, and from 1s. to 2s. per stool for the underwood.

The rates thus specified for woods of all sorts are given with great care, as the result of many years' experience. If planters could be persuaded to confine themselves, at least in their earlier essays, to subjects of moderate dimensions, such as are from five-and-twenty to thirty feet in height, but of some stoutness, (that is, from a foot to fourteen inches in diameter—and these are quite sufficient for immediate effect and picturesque beauty,) they might confidently rely on the power of the art amply to gratify their wishes at a *very moderate cost*. It is the ambition of undertakings beyond the accomplishment of any man without extraordinary skill, and without machinery far surpassing in magnitude and expense what is generally constructed, that misleads and discourages many, and prevents those habits of accurate observation and patient industry without which no art, useful or ornamental, ever yet was carried to any degree of excellence.

I will now proceed to give a few examples of work executed solely by day labour, which, on an estimate of

time, are also susceptible of an intelligible result. Of effects both rapidly and cheaply produced, and likewise on a scale of some extent, it would not be easy to give better instances than what are to be found at this place. To state facts, not to excite wonder, is the sole object of the following short detail : and in truth, both the time and the manner in which the objects in question have been accomplished are so well known to many persons that all disguise or concealment on my part were impossible, had I any wish to practise arts so disingenuous.

On turning to the Frontispiece, plate I., the reader will perceive that, in this view of the park, considerable woody effects have been realised, and that they are very favourably seen with water as a foreground. Between the years 1816 and 1821, the former inclusive, the whole of this, whether park trees or copsewood, was executed by means of the transplanting machine, excepting as to the distant and bounding lines of wood, which on the spot are easily distinguishable. Within the above space of time it rarely happened that more than eight or nine workmen were employed, unless for the trenching, which, as has been recommended in section VI., was generally done by contract. The months of the year in which transplanting work is performed here are usually four, namely, from January till May. The wages paid to workmen have, for some years, been 9s. and 10s. per week : hence, supposing one week in the month to go off for unfavourable weather, the entire amount would, at the latter rate, be £58, 10s. yearly. This is a considerable sum, no doubt ; although it allows but a small portion of expense for each tree and bush of the many hundreds that have been removed. But it would admit of but few plants indeed, at the rate of ten and fifteen guineas, which folly or ignorance has supposed them to cost.



Let it be observed, moreover, that there is included in the same estimate the planting of a considerable island in the lake, and four different promontories of some extent, besides the woody accompaniments of the bridge seen in the second distance, and sundry other groups and open dispositions of trees in the park, which were viewed by the Committee of the Highland Society, and cover a large surface ; but they could not all be given in this landscape. In these circumstances, probably £58, 10s., laid out annually for six years, will not be considered, by any one conversant with wood, as an extravagant, but rather as a very moderate sum, to dedicate to the speedy accomplishment of the objects which it has been thus attempted to delineate.

It is true, it may be said that this is a vague statement, consisting only of a general view of expenditure, without the detail of particular items, and therefore without the grounds of conclusive proof. For this reason it will be proper to select one or two recent instances for the purpose, in which certain work has been done at some certain and specific price.

Of all the ways in which the art of transplanting can display its power, there is no one more conspicuous than when it is employed to relieve or decorate ornamental buildings, or to mask such as are obtrusive or unsightly, and for that reason require concealment. If a new approach, for example, be made to a place, and a new entrance gate and lodge be executed in a situation where no wood exists, there is nothing more common than to see such erections, handsome perhaps in themselves,

“Standing in blank and desolated state”

for fifteen or twenty years, and exhibiting to the traveller

that want of power to give immediate effect to wood which, as has been already stated, is a desideratum in the landscape gardener's department, and which the art under consideration is calculated to supply.

It so happened, about five years since, that a new entrance was made to this place, and a new lodge and gateway erected. It being from a quarter of secondary importance, and other works being on hand at the time, of a more pressing nature, the wooding of the spot was deferred, and the building allowed to stand for four years in the bleak condition just now described. The lodge was placed near the top of a steep bank, overhanging the Calder, which is here an insignificant stream ; and it had no wood of any sort to cover it, excepting four solitary fir-trees, of about sixty years' growth, and at some distance from one another. It is impossible, therefore, that any thing could be more "blank and desolate," or, as the landscape gardeners phrase it, more completely "staring." Being aware that these defects could be at any time remedied, I did not resolve, till the spring of 1826, to do away the reproach of so unmeaning an object. To effect this nothing but wood was wanting, as the ground round the building to some extent was in a favourable state, and had been trenched some time before, and manured to a potato crop.

The plan of this entrance is peculiar, as may be seen by the view given in plate V. ; and as it would be impossible for any one to apprehend what the transplanting machine has done for it, without a few words of verbal description, an apology will be the less necessary to the reader, for obtruding on him any thing so local and unimportant, which in other circumstances would appear utterly impertinent and extraneous.

The lodge stands on a gentle swell, somewhat elevated

above the turnpike road, and instead of being placed quite close to it, as is commonly done, and rendered nearly invisible by shrubs and creepers, it is thrown back into the park about fifty feet off the road. Across the coach road, and at right angles with it, runs an open railing in front, terminating in a hedge, which at some distance falls easily into the general line of the road fences; leaving on the outside of the gate an open space or grass-plot, a hundred and four yards in length, and comprising about the fifth part of an acre. This space is kept with the scythe, and is separated from the turnpike road by a low rough fence of larch stakes, something less than two feet high, of which the bark is allowed to remain upon the stakes. On the sides of the coach road, through the whole breadth of the bounding line of plantation, run two grassy margins of the park, about five-and-thirty feet broad, which come down to the gate, and seem to form a part of the external grass-plot, being separated from it only by the open railing, so that the sheep browse up to the gate itself. These two margins within, and the grass-plot without, are completely wooded with grove or standard trees, from twenty-five to thirty-five feet high, scattered in an irregular manner, eighteen or twenty feet assunder, with copse or underwood in the intervals, which last are from four to six feet in height.

Thus the open but woody character of the park is continuous, and extends the whole way to the public road; while the traveller, in passing along, catches here and there glimpses of the lodge, with the light foliage of the trees playing on the porch and other parts of the building. Beyond the limit of these park-like margins, all the adjoining space, to the extent of more than three quarters of an acre, is massed up with grove trees and underwood in the closest manner; and the whole forms

the space of ground which was wooded on this occasion. The lodge itself, of which a view is taken by an ingenious artist, forms a pleasing object from the road, when lighted up by the gleams of the morning or evening sun, which, darting across the grove trees in the woody margins, give considerable animation to the picture.\*

In the last days of February 1826, eight persons commenced the work of creating these effects, over the three quarters of an acre of entirely open ground just now described. The trees and bushes were brought from the distance of half a mile or more, and in the course of a month (that is, four working weeks) and two days the whole was completed. A single horse, with a driver, drew the machine, and worked fifteen days; so that the expense stands as follows:—

Eight Workmen 26 days, at 1s. 6d. per day,	. . . . .	£15 12 0
One horse and Driver 15 days, at 5s.	. . . . .	3 15 0
Lime compost, 44 cart-loads only, (the ground having been previously trenched and manured to a potato crop,) at 6d. per cart-load,	. . . . .	1 2 0
		<hr/>
	In all,	£20 9 0
		<hr/>

*N.B.*—It was omitted to be mentioned above, that one or two of the foreground trees were planted two years previously, which would make little difference in the estimate.

Now, if the interest and importance of these effects, to any one having a lodge and entrance gate to wood completely, be considered, and that it was so wooded *within the space of a month*, every candid person will admit that, supposing the sum to have been double, or even triple, it could not be regarded as a very exorbitant purchase.

\* NOTE I.

The soil being light sand, the grove trees chiefly employed to form this plantation are Beech, Sycamore, Birch, and a few Limes. The copse or underwood consists of Oak, Mossy-cup Oak, Beech, Chestnut, Birch, Norway Maple, Holly, Hazel, Mountain-Ash, and Birdscherry, common and Canadian. Of the grove-wood it is a singular circumstance, that not a tree failed last season, notwithstanding the endurance as well as severity of the drought, during a summer truly tropical. Some of the bushes, however, died, and particularly the Holly.

Another example of a similar sort shall be merely alluded to, as an account of it, much better than any I could give, is to be found in the Report of the Committee of the Highland Society. It relates to the wooding of two acres of ground in 1819, as a close plantation, in order to give effect to another part of the same approach.

It was executed in the space of three months—that is, from February to May—and the entire expense (which I ascertained for the information of the committee) amounted to about £30 per acre; but some of the grove trees were of large dimensions. Of the sudden and successful formation of this screen, the able committee just now named are pleased to express themselves in the following terms:—

“When the extreme beauty of the effects produced is considered, it cannot be thought extravagant that the plantation of grove and copse-wood, on the two acres already mentioned, should amount (as appears from Sir Henry's memoranda) to about £30 per acre. On the contrary, the committee believe that no visible change on the appearance of nature, however trivial in comparison, could have been effected by the landscape gardener in any other manner under three times the sum.”\*

\* See their Report in the Appendix.

These will probably be deemed sufficient as positive examples. Perhaps a single one, given in the comparative way, and contrasting the expense of wooding a space of ground by means of the transplanting machine, and wooding it after the common method of planting with nursery plants, will appear still more conclusive and satisfactory to the reader.

In looking up the lake, the reader will observe a bold promontory or headland, (see Frontispiece, plate I.,) situated on the right-hand side, near the bridge, which was seen by the Committee of the Highland Society. This prominent spot was wooded in 1817 by an open disposition of trees, twenty-two in number, and consisting mostly of Sycamores, with a few Oaks and Elms interspersed. A few bushes, which are close to the water's edge, have been recently added.

The dimensions of the trees were from five-and-twenty to eight-and-twenty feet high; and as the situation was one of very open exposure to the west, care was taken to select such subjects as possessed, in a considerable degree, all the protecting properties. This plantation was executed in nine days, by nine workmen, and a horse to draw the machine, the distance not much exceeding a quarter of a mile. The expense, which amounted to about 10s. per tree, is as under:—

Nine workmen 8 days, at 1s. 8d. per day,	.	£6	0	0
One horse and driver 15 days, at 5s.	.	3	15	0
Dung compost 44 cart-loads, at 9d.	.	1	13	0
		<hr/>		
In all,		£11	8	0
		<hr/>		

Now we shall suppose that the art of giving immediate effect to wood had been altogether unknown to me, and

that I had wished to procure two-and-twenty fine trees, for so prominent a station, by the ordinary system of planting. The first thing I should have had to do, according to the most speedy method, would have been to enclose, trench, and manure the ground for a green crop, and then to plant it with nursery plants of four years' growth. The next thing would have been to keep it with the hoe for two years, until the plants shot freely, which they were likely to do within that period; and after about thirty years in this climate, the whole would have been of the size wanted. Had no means been taken to cultivate and prepare the ground, forty years, at the least, would have been requisite.\*

According to the former supposition as to time, and that the trenching and manuring for a green crop were properly executed, the crop would, in common cases, pay the cost of both of these operations; and the ground being rather more than a quarter of an acre, and ready for planting without preparatory expenditure, the outlay would be the following:—

Enclosing one-fourth of an acre of ground with double railing, and stakes $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet high, for sheep and lambs, 92 yards, at 6d. per yard, . . . .	£2 6 0
Planting the ground with various trees, including the expense of the plants, . . . .	1 10 0
Keeping with the hoe for two years, . . . .	0 16 8
Renewing the railing 4 times, . . . .	9 4 0
Rent of one-fourth of an acre of ground for 30 years, at 15s. yearly, . . . .	22 10 0
Accumulated interest on the above sums for 30 years, say only . . . . .	115 10 0
	<hr/>
Total expense of the ordinary method,	£151 16 8
	<hr/>

\* NOTE II.

*N.B.*—Some labour and expense being obviously necessary to grub out the superfluous trees, and reduce them to the number wanted—also to level and dress the ground, and restore it to proper pasture—the value of the thinnings of the wood may be allowed to cover those items.

Thus, then, it appears that, by the ordinary method, you may have a group or plantation consisting of two-and-twenty trees for £151, 16s. 8d.; and by means of the transplanting machine, for £11. 8s., or nearly the *thirteenth part of the money!* I conceive that it would not be easy to give a more complete answer than this comparative statement to those persons who object to transplanting on the score of *expense*, exclusively altogether of the difference of obtaining the effect of wood, in the one case *at once*, and of waiting *thirty years* to obtain it in the other.

Having now adduced a sufficient number of examples from my own experience, it will be proper to add some corroboration of them from the experience of others: but it must be the experience or practice of such persons only as have acquired some idea of the *principles* of the art. It is true many persons, of late years, have professed to follow my system, and have failed in the attempt merely from a want of acquaintance with the principles on which it is founded. This, it is obvious, is a sort of communication which I could make only to particular friends. But it is quite erroneous to suppose that, from superior dexterity alone in my workmen—the consequence of long practice—any thing can be done *here* that may not, with the same care and attention, be done elsewhere.

The first person I shall mention is my friend James Smith, Esq. of Jordanhill, in the county of Renfrew, but



in the neighbourhood of Glasgow. The mansion-house of Jordanhill is situated on an eminence about four miles west of the city, and commands a most extensive view of that fine vale in which the Clyde majestically flows towards Rutherglen and Dumbarton. The place in general is handsomely wooded, but is deficient in the quarter which overlooks the vale; and as the latter skirts the principal approach, it was desirable, by means of a number of foreground trees, to break so wide an expanse into separate portions. By obscuring the less interesting points, and bringing forward in detail those that were more important, a far greater interest might be conferred on so noble an assemblage of objects.

In these circumstances, Mr Smith, who was about to plant the open ground in the ordinary manner, applied to me for advice and assistance; and I recommended it to him to improve the spot by transferring large trees at once, and thereby to produce whatever effect he pleased on the foreground, and the middle distance of the landscape. Understanding that his subjects for removal were rather older than was desirable, (some of them being trees of from fifty to sixty years' growth,) the first thing to be done was to procure him a machine of the intermediate size, very strongly made. See plate III. and the relative specifications. Two of the most experienced of my workmen were then sent down from this place, in order to instruct his people in the use of it; and in less than three days they made wonderful proficiency in the practice.

Mr Smith, who is a man of science as well as various accomplishments, soon acquired a conception of the principles. He saw with pleasure and surprise the striking improvements that, by means of vegetable physiology, might be communicated to an art of which the vast

power was unknown to the public, and he resolved to avail himself of it in his own improvements. Instead of indolently trusting to others, he ardently entered into the details of the execution. He often became the director of his own work ; and so rapid was his advancement in practical skill that, in the space of a fortnight, he removed trees of thirty and five-and-thirty feet high, and of great thickness, with the utmost success.

The effects at once produced on so bold and beautiful a subject, on which not a tree nor a bush had previously stood, were as astonishing as they were delightful. When I saw the place in the spring of 1825, several groups of fine foreground trees, with extensive tops, were already formed, and had attracted the notice of the scientific and the curious. All united in admiration of the skill and ingenuity of the planter ; but no one who saw the trees, except Mr Smith himself, was prepared to believe that they could without propping withstand the western gales. The old men about the place reminded him that, at the equinox, those blasts were so terrific as sometimes to endanger even the stoutest of his trees, which had been reared on the ground for nearly a century, and which must far exceed in stability any plants that art or ingenuity could at once bring upon an open surface. The gardener, who was a planter of the old school, loudly declared, that "*all the men in Renfrewshire* could not keep them up in the face of a real and genuine south-wester, unless their heads were taken off, according to the good old method." Yet, notwithstanding these confident opinions and disastrous anticipations, not one of the trees has ever been moved or blown down ; and, from their healthy appearance, they promise to continue fine examples of the art, and especially of the use of the retaining-bank in transplanting in the west of Scotland.

The trees removed by this intelligent planter, both on the fine hill or slope above described, and in other parts of his place, are Oaks, Beeches, Limes, Sycamores, Elms, and Horse-Chestnuts. From two letters which I have from him, on the subject of the expense, I learn that it was in the beginning a good deal enhanced by his "dashing at once," as he said, "at the largest and heaviest subjects," ere sufficient dexterity had been acquired by his workmen, or sufficient experience by himself. In this way, at thirty feet high, they cost him from 15s. to 18s. each, when brought from any considerable distance—that is, a mile or more: but at one size under, he afterwards transferred very handsome subjects for 8s. and 10s., and inferior ones for 6s., and less. Mr Smith's practice, therefore, may be considered as an instance of both good management and moderate outlay, as well as of considerable dexterity and perseverance.

During the severe and continued drought of last season, (1826,) and his own absence from Jordanhill, I understand that he lost some fine Oaks. Not being sufficiently aware of the importance of afterwork, both the necessary covering of shows, and likewise the watering, had, it seems, been neglected; which sufficiently proves what I have endeavoured already to enforce—that attention to afterwork, instead of proving expensive, is the truest economy; and that neither the Oak nor the Beech can be safely trusted, or left to themselves, in the second season, how vigorous soever they may appear during the first.

The second person whom I shall mention is John M'Call, Esq. of Ibroxhill, who is brother-in-law to Mr Smith, and resides in his immediate neighbourhood. Ibroxhill is, as well as Mr Smith's, a high place, and commands a splendid view of a fine country. On the lawn immediately in front of the house, there were, two years

ago, no trees ; so that it became an object of first-rate importance to the judicious owner to remedy so striking a deficiency. In the spring of last year, 1826, (an unfortunate season for a beginner,) this gentleman, by the aid of Mr Smith's workmen, commenced his operations with great spirit ; and after successfully removing forty-three trees, completely changed the appearance of his place. The prospect, instead of being bleak and cold, became, in the course of a few weeks, woody and delightful. The plants were nearly of the same species as those transferred at Jordanhill. Their size was from eighteen to eight-and-twenty feet high, and from two to three in girth, or, in other words, from eight inches to a foot in diameter. The whole expense amounted to only £19, or about 8s. 10d. per tree.\*

The third person who shall be named is Robert Watson, Esq. banker in Glasgow, who has a beautiful villa, named Linthouse, on the Clyde, in the neighbourhood of Govan, with some extent of ground belonging to it. The place was planted between thirty and forty years since ; and as the soil is deep, and the climate of a superior sort, the wood has rushed up with far greater rapidity than could have been expected in most situations. As the owner, likewise, or his predecessors, have in some degree thinned the trees, there was a better choice of large subjects than at Ibroxhill.

In a situation like this, and with a noble river in view, our forefathers were of opinion that they could never see too much of it ; and therefore their custom was to plant long and formal rows of trees on the flanks, and leave their front wholly open, in order to have the fuller enjoyment of the prospect. Of late years, however, as good

\* NOTE III.

taste and a knowledge of landscape have increased, we begin to discover that a fine expanse, whether of land or water, rather suffers an accession to, than a diminution of, its extent, from being broken into parts by trees, as the imagination never fails to magnify the extent of what is concealed; and hence new combinations are formed, and fresh landscapes arise, from the variety produced by concealment.

It was probably on some such principle as this that Mr Smith proceeded in advising his friend to diversify his front towards the river, by the removal of trees of a large size. Accordingly, during last spring, (1827,) the field in front of Linthouse was most respectably wooded, with detached and open dispositions of wood. Whether they be very accurately disposed, according to the principles of landscape, I cannot state, as I do not happen to be personally known to the respectable owner, neither have I lately seen the place from the river: but I understand that they consist of Oaks, Beeches, Limes, Elms, and Horse-Chestnuts, of which some are more than thirty feet in height, and ten, twelve, and fourteen inches in diameter.

The trees were all transferred on the preservative principle. They are thirty-two in number, and the gross amount of the expense is £25, 5s. But deducting £2 for the removal of earth, subsequently to the planting, which was not a constituent part of the work, the actual cost of each tree is nearly 14s. This sum, considering the magnitude of several of the trees, and that it was Mr Watson's first essay, he has reason to regard as a cheap purchase for such effects. And most men so circumstanced, we may venture to say, would have given three times the money for the striking increase of comfort and seclusion which, independently altogether of pic-

turesque considerations, was in this way communicated to their residences.

The fourth person whom I shall specify is Mr James Hamilton, overseer to Sir Charles M. Lockhart of Lee, Bart. in the county of Lanark. That gentleman, who has a fine place in the neighbourhood of the county town of Lanark, is at the head of the ancient family of Lee and Cartland, whose representative in the beginning of the fourteenth century, Sir Simon Lockhart, is said to have carried King Robert Bruce's heart in a golden box from Spain.

Owing to Sir Charles's residence in another county, during a great part of the years 1826 and 1827, he could not personally attend to the spirited improvements that were carried on at Lee ; he therefore entrusted the management of them to a person every way adequate to the task, namely, his judicious overseer, Mr James Hamilton, who in the spring of the year first mentioned was sent over to this place, in order to receive some instructions from me in the art of transplanting on the preservative principle. These that intelligent person seemed at once to apprehend, and soon began to apply them to practice.

In the middle of March of the same year he removed to the open park eleven Oaks and Ashes, of from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high, and in girth from two feet to two and a half. One or two of the Oaks were as high as forty feet, and they had all handsome tops. In April 1827 he transferred six or seven trees, and of nearly similar dimensions, and at the average expense, in both years, (according to a statement by himself in my possession,) of somewhat less than eight shillings each. Notwithstanding the severe drought in 1826, it may be considered as a remarkable circumstance that only two died—probably owing to the want of sufficient covering and

watering ; and when I saw, in July last, the trees of the present year, they all carried a healthy leaf, and promised to succeed admirably.

The plantations of Lee not having been thinned to wide distances, this ingenious planter was much limited in his choice of subjects ; and although what he has selected are very handsome and stately plants, they are yet somewhat deficient in the protecting properties, and consequently in fitness for the open field. He must not, therefore, be surprised or discouraged, if, according to the important doctrine stated in section V.,\* the trees should become stationary for a few years, instead of being progressive, until, as I have said in the passage alluded to, these properties are supplied by time, and this deficiency is made up. The effort of Mr Hamilton, nevertheless, is spirited and meritorious ; and it serves to show what may be done by the diligence and attention of a single individual, who, with very cursory instructions from me, and with no assistance from experienced workmen, has been able to give immediate effect to wood in a manner so creditable to himself, and at so small an expense to his employer.

The fifth person to whom I shall refer is a particular friend of mine, in whose transplanting experiments I have had some concern, and on that account I can speak of them more particularly, and from personal knowledge : this is William Elliot Lockhart, Esq. of Cleghorn, representative in parliament for the county of Selkirk, and whose residence is at Cleghorn House, in Lanarkshire.

Cleghorn is situated on the steep and romantic banks of the river Mouse, which falls into the Clyde a little below the town of Lanark. The banks of this stream,

\* Pp. 120, 121.

which may be called classical ground, and are abundantly celebrated in Scottish story, are rocky and precipitous, rising in many parts above the bed of the river from two to three hundred feet in height, and every where wooded to the top. It was to the inaccessible caverns, natural or artificial, of these woody banks, that the renowned and patriotic Wallace used to retire, and found a secure refuge from his own and his country's enemies. It was also, as it is said, in the same fastnesses that the well-known and intrepid Balfour of Burley, in a later age, was often able to set at defiance the utmost diligence of his pursuers. In the present day, the fine scenery of the Mouse is rendered familiar to the traveller on the great line of the Carlisle and Stirling road, as he views it with wonder from the stupendous Bridge of Cartland, at nearly a hundred and thirty feet above the bed of the stream.

Although Cleghorn partakes in the woody character of this singular and romantic district, and has been abundantly planted according to the fashion of a former day, yet there are many parts of the park, and especially near the house, where the aid of the transplanting machine might be called in with great advantage. This idea had frequently occurred to the good taste and discernment of Mr Lockhart; but his occasional residence in a neighbouring county, and the reports which had reached his ears of *the vast expense* of my method of transplanting, (which was confidently said to amount to ten and fifteen guineas per tree,) for a considerable time prevented him from entertaining any serious thoughts of attempting the experiment.

In the month of December last, this gentleman, who is as intelligent in planting as he is in every other branch of rural economy, applied to me to learn if I could put him in the way of procuring a few large trees, on any reason-



able terms. He had heard, he said, on the best authority, that the art of removing trees, however it might be improved in my hands, was practised at enormous cost. To £2 or £3 each for handsome trees, he observed, no reasonable man could object, if of such a size and figure as to give the immediate effect of wood near his residence : but from ten to fifteen guineas he certainly considered as rather *too expensive a luxury* for general use. To this I replied that he had been misled by such information, be the authors who they might. But in order to undeceive him, and that the cost should not *exceed* his own estimate of £2 and £3 per tree, I undertook that a machine of the intermediate size should be provided for him, and that two of my best hands should attend at Cleghorn for the purpose of instructing his workmen, and of putting the thing to the test of *his own* experience.

Having explained to Mr Lockhart the nature of the principles which had been applied to the art, he seemed quite satisfied that they are consonant to the laws of nature, and to what we know of the anatomy of woody plants. Accordingly, in the middle of January last, (1827,) we commenced our operations on the spot. Having selected some trees with fine tops, which were far better subjects than woods not thinned for the purpose usually furnish, we very speedily transferred them. And in order to show how readily my friend apprehended the different processes, he soon, like Mr Smith, became his own director of the work, and managed the whole with singular address, and intelligence of the subject.

The trees removed were eleven in number, and consisted of Oaks, Beeches, Limes, Sycamores, and Horse-Chestnuts. Among them was one Beech of the pendant species, a very singular and valuable plant, which is worthy of an attentive cultivation, and is rarely to be met with. The

dimensions of the trees were from five-and-twenty to five-and-thirty feet high, and from ten to fourteen inches in diameter, or from two feet six to three feet six inches in actual girth. But on casting up the expense, my friend was both delighted and surprised to discover that, instead of £2 and £3 as he had anticipated, they had not cost him quite 10s. per tree!

The last person the evidence of whose practice I shall adduce is Sir Walter Scott, Bart., whom to name, is to name whatever is splendid in genius, versatile in talent, and correct in judgment. This eminent individual has a place beautifully situated on the Tweed, in Roxburghshire, near Melrose, in the midst of those scenes of traditional and peculiar interest which have been illustrated and immortalised in his writings. To the variety of attainments for which Sir Walter is distinguished, he adds the knowledge of arboriculture. He is ardently, and I may say enthusiastically, attached to the cultivation of wood. Though possessed of the property only sixteen years, he has planted nearly five hundred acres of surface; and, by the acknowledgment of all his neighbours, few plantations are cultivated with the same skill, and none have grown with more luxuriance than the woods of Abbotsford.

There is no one, as may be imagined, of all the advocates of the preservative system, who more freely and fully admitted its utility, and its consonance to the law of nature, than Sir Walter, as soon as its principles were made known to him. Attached, though not bigoted, to whatever belongs to Scotland, perhaps he might regard the theory with an eye the more partial that it had its origin in his native country. But neither his public functions nor his private studies have allowed him much time to enter extensively into the practice of the art. His chief

experiments were made with subjects of no great magnitude, smaller indeed than, according to this system, were calculated to withstand the elements in open exposures. In the sheltered vale, however, in which a great part of the grounds near his house is situated, and for the purpose of diversifying his walks along the river, Sir Walter removed, in 1824 and 1825, forty trees from ten to fifteen feet high, and of proportional girth—Oaks, Beeches, Limes, and Sycamores, and nearly half as many more in the following season. From a want of plantations thinned to wide distances, he possessed no extensive field for making the selection properly, and therefore the plants were rather deficient in the protecting properties. On this account he knew that, according to the law of nature, they must be stationary at first, until time and exposure should supply what they ought previously to have possessed.

The trees had been cut round beforehand, which greatly facilitated the taking up, and they were all planted on the preservative principle. But as they stood quite close to the spot to which they were to be removed, they were transported with handspikes, and by expert workmen, in the most rapid manner, under the eye of the indefatigable owner. By a communication which I have from him, it appears that the plants are now in full health and foliage; and as no preparation of the ground, and no manure, was considered to be necessary, the entire expense did not exceed 2s. per tree.

But the department of transplanting which has received the greatest advancement at Abbotsford, is bush-planting in the open field. On the sheep-walk, at no great distance from the mansion-house, Sir Walter has transferred some hundreds of bushes or stools of underwood of various kinds with great success; and these give a woody appear-

ance to the hanging grounds and banks of the place ; which style of wood would be, perhaps, more characteristic and pleasing to some persons than if taller trees had been used by him in planting it. It is true that trees of a certain height, say from thirty to five-and-thirty feet and upwards, have a fine effect in catching the horizon from a sloping bank, and showing the scenery of the foreground, and possibly of the middle distance, under their spreading branches ; also, they are peculiarly useful in masking or relieving such objects, on the opposite side of a river, as we cannot command, and which, for that reason, it is desirable to throw into the back-ground of the picture. But on such subjects, as on most others connected with taste in the disposition of wood, great diversity of opinion must prevail ; and that mode of arrangement or execution will generally seem the handsomest in which the genius of the place is best consulted, and where the most luxuriant growths and most careless dispositions of wood are produced. The greatest triumphs of art must always be those in which, in rivalling nature, she most completely effects her own concealment.

There is at Abbotsford a new, as well as ingenious contrivance for defending underwood from the mouths of sheep, which, as it is so difficult at all times, and in this instance seems peculiar to Sir Walter himself, it may be worth while to mention. "Being in haste," says he, "with the bushes set out on the sheep-ground, and really very indifferent whether they all grew or not, I had many of them stuck into the middle of whin bushes, and there the sheep have done them no visible harm." Of the good effect of furze in adding wildness and variety to scenery, no one will entertain a doubt ; and those who have it in abundance in their parks may verify the efficiency of Sir Walter's method. As to the expense of

the bush-planting, as neither manure nor preparation of the soil was necessary, from the fine mould always to be found under the shade of furze; and, moreover, as the plants and stools were obtained from the adjoining plantations, the expense was very moderate, amounting to considerably less than the one-half of that of the grove-wood.

I believe it is needless to add any more on the subject of expense; and I should not have dwelt upon it at so much length, had it not been for the pains that have been taken, and the falsehoods that have been propagated, in order to bring the art into disrepute, by representing it as a costly wonder, not as a practice which is calculated to be useful.

It were easy to quote, were it necessary, the example of other friends, who, on being made aware of the principles by which the preservative system is regulated, have made admirable and successful efforts to apply them to practice. But it would only prove what, I trust, every candid reader will consider as proved already—that is, that wood of all kinds, on the preservative system, has been transferred at this place, and may any where else be transferred by others, at a moderate expense; that the larger trees usually removed here, being from twenty-five to thirty-five feet high, may be managed, with expert and experienced workmen, for from 10s. to 13s. each, at half a mile's distance; and the smaller, being from eighteen to five-and-twenty feet, for from 6s. to 8s. With workmen awkward, or inexperienced, it will not seem surprising were it to require a half more at first, or even double those sums, in order to follow out the practice which has been recommended. For close plantations, or for bush-planting in the park, the trees may be transferred for about 3s. 6d., and the stools of underwood for from 1s. to 2s.

per stool. Subjects considerably higher than any of the above I sometimes remove ; but I place much greater value on a splendid and extensive top, for effect in park-wood, than on mere length of stem, unless for particular purposes. As to the rates stated, I believe there are few planters, who *have seen my trees*, that would not consider them as cheaply procured at three and four times the amount.

It was held out, in the commencement of the present section, that, by means of the new system attempted to be established, not only the exercise of the art would be brought within the limits of a moderate expenditure, but that the cost of both its present and former practice would be greatly reduced. It therefore becomes necessary, in conclusion, to show that the assertion, how bold soever it may seem, is not unfounded ; and this, I apprehend, will be best done by saying a few words on the labour and expense bestowed on the removal of wood, in both divisions of the island, at the present moment.

Whatever of transplanting is known in Scotland has undeniably been derived from our English neighbours, to whose superior intelligence, and earlier cultivation, we owe the rapid advancement we have of late made in the arts. He who does not feel and frankly acknowledge this is an uncandid Scotchman, rather than a generous descendant of the men who, though they rivalled in arms their more ingenious opponents, boasted no competition with them in either sciences or arts.

In giving a history of the art which is the subject of these pages, it was stated in section II. that Robertson, the well-known landscape gardener, (who was sent down by Brown, more than seventy years since, to lay out the park at Duddingston for the then Earl of Abercorn,) was the first who taught us to give immediate effect to wood,

and introduced the transplanting machine as constructed by his master. Since that period, although the unwieldy platform with low wheels has not been unknown in this kingdom, (as we have seen in the instance of Professor Graham of Edinburgh,) yet the simpler machine of Brown is still the implement most prevalent among Scottish planters; and consequently, wood removed here is transferred comparatively at less expense than it costs in England. That it is still a matter of *physical force*, and by consequence of fortuitous result, in both countries, is certain, and for that reason it must always imply *a vast proportion of superfluous labour*. It appears, however, that the Scotch have hitherto shown much less predilection for anticipating the effects of time in this department than the English; and certainly they possess much less the *power* of anticipating it than that great and opulent people.

As to the present English practice, it seems to differ in nothing material from that which was known in the time of Evelyn and Lord Fitzharding. If the *facility* and *despatch* of the methods employed be regarded as the criterion by which the expense is to be estimated, it will not be difficult to decide between those methods hitherto adopted and the one recommended in this essay.

When the English planter has to remove a tree, the first thing he does is to cut or trench round the roots, a year, or perhaps two years, beforehand, and at the same time he lops or lightens the top. This previous lopping I understand to be according to the most approved practice. But by what means he is then to ascertain the length or extent to which mutilation is to be carried, so as that he may proportion the top (as Marshall directs) "to the ability of the root," I own I am unable to perceive, unless the tree be previously taken up, and the size of the root

clearly ascertained. But some, more judiciously, lop the branches in the interval between the removal and the replanting; and some also make no preparation of the roots at all. In cutting them round, it is held by operators of the greatest experience, that a mass or ball of earth, beyond which few or no roots are found to extend, of seven or eight feet in diameter, for the largest subjects, is as much as can be properly carried away; hence, the one half at least, or more probably two-thirds, of a fine head must be sacrificed. But it may just as well be so sacrificed, as it is obvious, in the circumstances of the case, and without roots adequate to its nourishment, that such a proportion of the top would certainly decay and drop off after the first season.

The next thing the planter does is to convey to the spot where the tree is to be taken up a wooden crane of great strength, well provided with ropes and pulleys, and possessing sufficient power to raise the mass of roots and earth upon the platform. This is no very light or speedy business, as is well known to those who are in the habit of working such unwieldy machines. Neither is it a less laborious undertaking to accomplish the raising of the tree from the pit. As the wheels, or rather rollers, on which the platform is to move are very low, many horses, eight and nine frequently, are requisite to drag a load of any magnitude, owing to the immense friction occasioned by the lowness of the wheels; therefore, to transport a tree of considerable size (say five-and-thirty feet high, and sixteen inches in diameter) for a mile, or even half a mile, must in this way occupy many hands, and require much time and labour.

The next operation is the planting of the tree. For that purpose the crane must be again transported to the spot; where the same efforts that were employed to raise



the mass from its former situation are now called forth to lift it from the platform, and let it down into the new pit. The planting, we shall suppose, is an easy business, as there are few roots to be distributed in the ground ; so that little remains to be done but to fill in the earth, which finishes the process. As to the propping or supporting of the tree, which in exposed situations sometimes defies the utmost diligence and ingenuity, it involves an additional item for wood or ropes, and labour, and is essential to be attended to for two years at least. However, should the plant be severely mutilated, and reduced to nearly a pollard, the lever furnished by the stem is sometimes unable to overcome the more ponderous mass of soil and roots ; and the necessity of propping is in such cases superseded by the superior weight of this load, and of the earth superinduced on it.

This, I imagine, or something similar to this, making a fair allowance for differences in persons, places, and circumstances, may convey a tolerable idea of the business of transplanting, as practised in England for nearly two centuries, and also in those districts of Scotland in which the English method is still followed. In some districts one species of machinery may be employed, and another in others. Some planters may be more, and some less skilful, and less accurate in their practice ; but *physical force throughout, without phytological principle to guide the process, together with the labour of many hands, and the strength of ponderous implements*, form the general characteristics of this species of work. I have it from gentlemen of high respectability, that from £2 to £5 is considered as no unusual or exorbitant sum to lay out for the removal of a tree of any size ; and even £10 and £15 have been many times paid.

If there be any error or exaggeration in this cursory

view of the labour and cost of such work, as it is now carried on in most parts of Britain, I entreat that the mistatement may not be considered as intentional; and it is, of course, open to the candid correction of those who possess superior information. I have no desire to magnify the merits of my own system at the expense of others, which have so long been held in general esteem. But if the impartial reader will compare it with the simple and rapid, but systematic field-practice, and still more simple machinery, which have been delineated above at so much length, I trust it will not be too much to say that he will find the expense of transplanting to be *reduced* by the PRESERVATIVE method, in any case to *the one-half*, and in many cases to a *third* and a *fourth part* of its present amount.

Having now, in the course of this Essay, offered what appears to me sufficient, respecting both the theory and the practice of this interesting art, to excite the public attention, I shall beg leave to conclude with one remark. The art of giving immediate effect to wood, although, as I should venture to hope, it is now established on FIXED PRINCIPLES, will be generally cultivated, or utterly neglected, as the revolutions in science or the caprice of fashion may direct. But whatever be its ill fortune as a theory, whether it be condemned as fanciful or rejected as useless, I may venture to say that it will not fail of success from the extravagant expense that attends the practice.

## SECTION XII.

### OF THE PRINCIPAL FOREST TREES.—THE OAK.

ALL trees are fit or proper for being transplanted which will thrive, and come to maturity, in the soil and climate in which that operation is intended to be performed. Even the most delicate and rarest exotics, as we have seen above, may become the subjects of horticultural transplantation. But the main object at present is to endeavour to ascertain what are the species of forest trees that can be successfully removed in a full-grown state, and which, when removed, will best give immediate shelter to our fields, and effect and ornament to our parks and pleasure-grounds.

For this purpose, it is evident that something more than a mere enumeration must be given for the information of the planter. No man can work with effect, unless he in some degree understands both the use of his implements and the nature of his materials; and to that knowledge should be added a competent idea of the field on which he has to operate. It is about two centuries since planting began to be successfully practised to any extent and written upon in England; and it is about half that time since it attracted the notice of the nobility and gentry of this kingdom. Yet it is surprising, in the present day, when almost every country gentleman is a planter, how little *practical* knowledge prevails upon the subject. A gentleman in general, in such matters, sees

through the eyes and hears through the ears of his gardener. The gardener knows no more of trees than what he cursorily picks up during a twelvemonth, or two at the most, spent in the nursery-gardens of Edinburgh or Glasgow ; so that it is truly "the blind leading the blind" in this interesting branch of rural economy.

It seems, therefore, to be a matter of some moment, not only to the transplanting art, but to that of planting in general, to inquire a little in this place into the history, properties, and uses of the best known forest-trees, as they are adapted to the climate of Britain. Few persons have the leisure or the industry to ransack the voluminous treatises of Cook and Evelyn, Miller, Hanbury, Marshall and others ; and fewer still are enabled to discriminate between what is solid and superficial, practical or fanciful, in those treatises ; or to detect the errors or idle traditions which one writer, without inquiry, too frequently copies from another.

In the following compressed view of the nature of forest trees, freed from the rubbish of technical discussion on the one hand, and the detailed operations of the nurseryman on the other, the country gentleman will, perhaps, find a useful manual. It will give him, within a few pages, the principal facts relating to the subject which lie scattered over many volumes, added to some others which I myself have been able to elicit by considerable practice and observation. Thus he will learn, with little labour, what it is most important for him as a planter to know, both as to the nature and preparation of the soil and the properties of trees. He may, if he so inclines, obtain the results, without paying the price of experience.

With this view, I will proceed to examine in their order our principal forest-trees.\* I will shortly describe

\* NOTE I.

the general character, species, and varieties of each ; the properties they possess, useful as well as ornamental ; the soil and situation to which they are most suited ; pointing out, at the same time, in how far they are worthy of transplantation, or afford facilities for that purpose. First, then, in point of rank and importance stands

### THE OAK.

This tree is a native of the temperate latitudes, and is scarcely to be seen under the frigid or torrid zone. It is indigenous to Britain, where it is supposed to attain greater perfection than in any other country, and is found in almost every part of the island.

It is pleasing to consider, in treating of this noble plant, that it unites at once, in itself, the most remarkable properties—the greatest beauty, the greatest usefulness, and the greatest durability—and for that reason is well entitled to be held as the monarch of the wood. It is a well-known native of almost all parts of Europe and Asia, and of several parts of America. Dr Martyn of Cambridge, in his valuable edition of Miller's Dictionary, enumerates in all six-and-twenty different species ; but, for our present purpose, two British kinds only need be mentioned—differing from each other in the two obvious and permanent characters, the fruit and the leaf. To these shall be added one American.

I. The upright or stalk-fruited Oak (*Quercus robur pedunculata*.) Evelyn calls it *Q. urbana*, and Miller, *Q. fœmina*. This is the species by far the most common both in England and Scotland and has been termed by some late writers of the former country, the "Pedunculated ;" but I prefer the names already known to and recognised by the botanists of England.

II. The spreading or sessile-fruited Oak (*Quercus robur sessilis*.) It has two varieties, which seem to have been first noticed by myself, and which I shall call the black and white aboriginal, as they are distinguished chiefly by the dark and light colour of their bark. This sort (namely, the spreading Oak) is much less common in Britain than the upright species, although the contrary is erroneously stated by Miller ; and Doctor Hunter, in his edition of the Sylva of Evelyn, has copied the mistake. All the great and celebrated Oaks in England are of this spreading kind, such as the Cauthorpe, the Bentley, the Boddington, &c., which, there is great reason to believe, existed prior to the Christian era.\*

III. The mossy-cup Oak, (*Quercus muscosa*,) which is originally a native of America, but now completely naturalised in Britain. Dr Yule (in the memoirs of the Caledonian Horticultural Society) notices four or five other sorts, that deserve to be cultivated in this country. The names of these, together with the botanical description of the above three principal kinds, are given in the notes.†

Of all the Oaks yet known, as the British species affords the best timber, so the *Quercus sessilis*, or second kind mentioned, is the most superior of the British species. This tree is distinguished by its tendency to spread out at top ; thence it is usually called the spreading Oak. The roots grow deeper and more straggling ; the bark is rough ; the wood is close in the grain, tough, and dark-coloured ; the acorns are sessile, growing in clusters, and without foot-stalks ; whereas the leaves have pretty long foot-stalks ; they are also less deeply sinuated than those of the upright Oak, but are of a

\* NOTE II.

† Note III.

darker green, and, therefore, it has sometimes been called the Bay Oak ; the leaves, likewise, adhere to the tree during the winter.

This species, I conceive, particularly deserves to be called the native Oak of Britain, it being much hardier than the upright kind. Also it is a much later plant ; and abounds in ancient woodlands and native copses, on the banks of lakes and rivers. It has two varieties, as above stated, the black and the white : the former is known by the black, or dark colour of its bark ; the latter by its shining silvery bark, its clean and upright stem, and resemblance in that respect to the upright Oak. But both are remarkable for the fulness of their leaves in winter ; when, on the first frosts in November, before the upright species is usually affected, they turn to a brownish yellow, and continue, like the beech, to clothe the tree, *until the new foliage displace them* in the following summer.

The first-mentioned or stalk-fruited Oak (*Quercus pedunculata*) grows much taller and more erect than the other, and has been thence named the upright Oak. It is considerably cleaner in the stem ; it has, also, smoother bark ; and, although the grain is softer, less close and tough, and lighter in the colour, it furnishes, in consequence of its height of stem, timber of superior length, and more applicable to many purposes. It bears, likewise, a larger acorn, with very long foot-stalks,—hence its name of stalk-fruited ; but the leaves have no foot-stalks, and drop off at the fall, or at least early in the winter. It is, upon the whole, an earlier and much less hardy tree than the other, and will not thrive in the same exposures. That it yields timber of a *superior quality* to that of the spreading Oak, has been said by some. But it is one of those popular errors which are contradicted by fact and experience ; and, as it seems to have originated in the

misunderstanding of a passage in Evelyn, and circulated on his authority, it will be most easily corrected by considering the passage itself, and comparing it with the context of that intelligent writer.\* But as he expressly says that the upright Oak is "fittest for timber," merely from the uprightness and cleanness of its stem, it should be remembered how much length of stem in any tree depends on judicious pruning; moreover, that one of the new varieties of the Oak — namely, the white aboriginal — eminently possesses the same advantage by nature as the upright species.

In respect to the third kind, namely, the mossy-cup Oak, (*Quercus muscosa*,) from what we have seen of it for about threescore years, it promises to rival in hardiness the spreading Oak itself, while it surpasses in lightness and beauty the stalk-fruited species. It possesses, likewise, the important property of thriving on very dry, and even sandy soil, as well nearly as on strong loam, and in that respect is preferable to the two other kinds. This tree was first imported into Scotland about the middle of the last century, from the district of Genesee in New York, on Hudson's river, for the botanic garden of Edinburgh, and seems well adapted to our insular climate.

As has been observed above, it is a remarkable circumstance that the most ornamental tree in nature should also be the one the most extensively and strikingly useful. There is scarce a trade or employment, a species of manufacture, or an object of commerce, in which the Oak is not more or less in request, for its wood, its bark, its fruit, and even its leaves: to the architect, the joiner, the millwright, the tanner, the husbandman, the dyer, the

\* NOTE IV.



druggist, the gardener, it is essential. But what is by far the most important, in a national point of view, it has furnished, and we trust it will continue to furnish, the material for those powerful navies which, in British hands, command the ocean.

The Oak, when young, is rather a delicate plant, and will not thrive without considerable warmth, or, in other words, considerable shelter. As it advances, however, it becomes more hardy; and, after thirty or forty years, there is no tree that will better resist the elements. When young, it wonderfully adapts itself to almost any kind of soil, although it will not attain great magnitude, unless in one that is very deep, loamy, and calcareous. As to picturesque effect, it is susceptible of it in a very superior degree, and is equally fitted for the natural and the artificial landscape. Its singular spread of top enables it to receive great masses of light, while the abrupt and almost rectangular style of its ramification gives it endless variety.

Perhaps there is no writer, ancient or modern, who has, within a narrow compass, touched with greater force and truth the striking characteristics of the Oak, than Virgil, in his second *Georgic*. When he speaks of the prodigious vigour with which it shoots aloft into the air, and descends nearly as deep into the bowels of the earth; of its strength, fitted to resist and brave the wintry storms; of its surprising longevity, so much surpassing the puny age of man; of its vast and giant arms, its wide-spreading and gnarled boughs; we conceive that we see before us the very object itself, under its expansive shade of top; while the ponderous trunk stands in the midst, and sustains the mighty burden.

*Æsculus imprimis, quæ quantum vertice ad auras  
Æthereas, tantum radice in Tartara tendit.*

Ergo non hyemes illam, non flabra, non imbres  
 Convellunt: immota manet, multosque per annos  
 Multa virùm volvens durando secula vincit:  
 Tum fortes latè ramos et brachia tendens  
 Huc illùc, media ipsa ingentem sustinet umbram.\*

In fact, this noble tree is at once so perfectly magnificent and so perfectly beautiful, that it may with propriety be introduced, by the painter or the designer, into the greatest scenes, or it may be made to adorn the least; for it will give majesty to the grove, consequence to the lawn, and shade and coolness to the most sequestered retreat. In a word, it is the tree of all others which we should be most ambitious to possess in our parks and pleasure-grounds, and to transplant, if possible, with success. But that is an achievement in which most planters have failed, and in which none, as far as I know, have fully succeeded.

When I first made the attempt, many years ago, I removed this, as I did many other trees, with very little knowledge of the subject. With the whole of them it appeared that, if they could only be kept alive, with their branches entire, during the first season after transplantation, the chief difficulty was surmounted. The second year was always sure to prove more favourable than the first, until the tree gradually recovered from the severity of the process. Not so, however, with the Oak. Although certainly as much, and usually more trouble was taken with it than with any other tree, the result was wholly different. During the first season, the appearance of the leaf was full and promising, and shoots of even one and two inches came out. Yet, in the following spring, the plant usually languished, and died outright before mid-summer was past. In a few instances, it lived throughout

\* *Georgics*, lib. ii. 291. See also NOTE V.

the second season, yet always gave way by the summer of the third.

Being extremely partial to this noble tree, and having, at the time, a number of fine subjects for removal, I resolved not to be discouraged. Sometimes I imputed my losses to too early, and sometimes to too late planting; and sometimes I referred them to late frosts and unpropitious seasons. A number of beautiful plants, from five-and-twenty to thirty feet high, were again set out on the most loamy parts of the park; which, being their favourite soil, furnished the best prospect of success. But, to my unspeakable mortification, not one in twenty survived. I fairly own that I now lost resolution to persevere; and I abandoned altogether the transplanting of the Oak, as an object which, however much to be desired, seemed unattainable by any methods within my reach.

Three or four years after this, on reverting, with regret, to these circumstances, and examining the few plants that had survived the fate of the rest, I found some of the facts attending them particularly deserving of attention. The greater part seemed to be of a species of the Oak which, as a distinct kind, had never till now attracted my notice. Like the Beech, it seemed to retain a full coat of leaves during the winter; and, like the Beech also, the leaves adhered closely to it till the month of May, and, in a late season, even till the middle of June, when the old were replaced by the new. With this sort of Oak I resolved to make another trial. I selected two *spreading* plants of considerable size, on which the leaves seemed to be most thickly set, and the bark of the darkest colour I could procure. I resolved, moreover, to adopt a later season for the removal than I had done formerly,—namely, the first week of April,—before the spring drought should decidedly set in.

About this new attempt I felt not very sanguine. All the circumstances attending it seemed unpropitious. The trees themselves were too tall for their girth, and their roots far inferior to those of others which had died on the former occasion almost universally. To my great satisfaction, however, it was crowned with success. After this, another trial was made with two trees quite *upright* in their form, with white and silvery bark, but perfectly retentive of their leaves to the same late period; and the result was not less satisfactory than in the foregoing example. In a word, it now appeared clear that the remarkable property of *keeping the leaf during the winter, and especially during the whole of the following spring, or that of dropping it in November or December*, was the true criterion for the selection of the best subjects for this operation.

Instead of the perpetual miscarriage which I formerly experienced, I had now discovered the road to success. The later, likewise, that I planted the trees, even down to the second week of May, the result appeared to be the more encouraging and satisfactory. In more than a hundred Oaks, which I have since removed, I scarcely think that more than three have died; a loss almost incredibly small, and somewhat less than the average amount of deaths in the most successful removals. The truth is, that were I to name one tree more than another that I could now undertake to transplant with success, (the Lime, Horse-chestnut, and perhaps the Elm, excepted,) it would be the ABORIGINAL OAK, black and white. Under that name, therefore, I beg leave to introduce both kinds to the notice of the reader, as varieties of the sessile-fruited or spreading Oak. They are by far the most hardy of the Oak family. The white or upright sort possesses all the advantages which superior

stateliness and cleanness of stem give in general to the stalk-fruited over the sessile-fruited species; while the adaptation of the latter to naval and other purposes, renders it more worthy of cultivation than any other kind with which we are acquainted.

The circumstances attending these experiments, which proved so interesting and instructive to myself, I have detailed with some minuteness, as they may, perhaps, prove interesting to others. I shall now add the conclusions, or practical principles, which I deduced from them, and by which I have been since guided in the management of this charming tree. That they are founded in nature I cannot entertain a doubt, as many years' experience has tended more and more to confirm them.

In the first place, I found that the spreading Oak (*Quercus robur sessilis*) is decidedly a much more hardy plant than the upright or stalk-fruited; that it always bears removal better, and grows more vigorously after being removed. And I hold that, to any one practically acquainted with the subject, no proof more decisive of the fact can be adduced, than its being able, in a full-grown state, to recover with such ease from the severity of that operation. I have known considerable trees of this sort, standing *single* in the park, shoot more than *six inches* in the third year after being transplanted; a length which the real planter will admit to be pretty remarkable in an exposed situation.

Of this species, (I mean the spreading Oak,) the two varieties first observed by myself,—namely, the black and white aboriginal—are the hardiest of all, and carry their leaf latest, always, indeed, till the commencement of the ensuing summer. It is true, we may often find Oaks, clearly sessile-fruited, with long foot-stalks to the leaves,

and every other characteristic mark, retaining their russet clothing, some longer, and some shorter, after the month of February : but the true aboriginal varieties retain it nearly till June, if the wood have been fairly ripened ; and the plants are uniformly more late in themselves, and more hardy accordingly. It is, therefore, quite useless for practice (if the greatest possible success be the aim of the planter) curiously to inquire into other botanical distinctions, however uniformly they may be found to exist. The fact of these trees fully and pertinaciously *carrying the leaf longer than the Beech*, at once strikes the most unscientific eye, and renders all other rules for selecting the best sort superfluous.

Secondly, it appeared that although, for the purpose of transplanting, the spreading Oak is beyond question the hardier tree, yet it is by no means understood to be to the exclusion of the upright. That most magnificent and stately plant, I have found it practicable also to remove ; but far greater nicety and precaution are requisite in every part of the process, both as to previous preparation, and the mode and season of the execution. Probably the later it is transplanted in the spring, previously to the movement of the sap—that is, before May—the greater will be the chance of success. In creating park-scenery by means of the transplanting machine, a skilful planter, if he have any idea of the principles of landscape, will perceive that each kind of Oak has its appropriate use. On the bolder, poorer, and more elevated grounds he will generally put the spreading sort ; for the lower, richer, and more sheltered, where stateliness and elevation are wanted, he will reserve the upright. Besides, as in every part of Britain the upright Oaks are at least twenty to one the more numerous, the transplanter's powers would be extremely cramped and limited were they confined solely

to the spreading species, especially in the execution of extensive designs.

The only other species that from these experiments seemed adapted to removal, is the mossy-cup Oak, (*Quercus muscosa*,) which, as has been already said, is a native of North America. I doubt if it be much known in England, although the Turkey sort, with large cups, (*Q. agrilops*) certainly has for almost a century. The American tree, however, has not as yet been long enough in Scotland to make its wood known to us, but from every appearance, we may augur of it favourably as a valuable timber-tree. Not having planted many myself, about five-and-thirty years ago, I have few subjects on which experiments can be made. I have removed one or two of from twenty to thirty feet high, under rather unfavourable circumstances, when their hardiness has surprised me. Like the British Oak, its roots will penetrate clay of the most obdurate kind. It carries the old foliage, and of a beautiful hue, till pretty late in the spring; and it is further remarkable for being able to live, and even to grow with vigour in a light soil, which is extremely important in wooding parks or pleasure-grounds, where the soil is universally light, and where no other Oak would reach the size of a tree of the second class. In a word, it is a beautiful, light, and elegant plant, of the upright character, and, as such, I know none that surpass and few that equal it.

Thirdly, it appeared that there is an important peculiarity respecting the season for planting, and still more for transplanting, the Oak in a full-grown state, in which it differs from the known rules for other deciduous trees. With the latter, the sooner you can remove them after the fall, the greater chance you will have of success; or between that period and the first week of April, when no trees in an ordinary season, except the Lime and the

Horse-chestnut, can be transplanted with perfect safety. From the experiments, however, detailed above, I am convinced that the Oak is not adapted by nature to the change at so early a season ; which seems to have the effect of chilling the roots and fibres, and rendering them unfit by the spring to perform their functions, and give proper nourishment to the plant.

I should therefore advise, that Oaks never be transplanted till the end of March, or beginning of April ; and in some late seasons, the work may with entire safety be continued till the second week of May. He, therefore, who is unmindful of this salutary precept, will oftentimes fail with the Oak, when, other things being equal, he might pretty certainly succeed. Mr Pontey, whose skill and experience as a planter no one will call in question, assures us, that he has known whole plantations of young Oaks give way in particular seasons from this cause ; and the late Lord Meadowbank, whose excellent little tract, entitled " Instructions to Foresters," is less known than it ought to be, fully concurs in the opinions above expressed.

It is now about twelve years since the facts above stated were pressed upon my attention, from an anxious desire to bring the monarch of the wood within the sphere of the transplanting art. Since that period, I have taken every opportunity of observing the properties of the spreading Oak, together with its two varieties above described ; and I am enabled to attest not only its value as a subject for transplantation, but its general importance for the improvement of our woods, especially those in the higher latitudes. The richer soils and the more sheltered situations should, of course, be reserved for the upright Oak, whether as an object of beauty or utility. But I am convinced that, in a national view, the two species should be carefully discriminated and separately cultivated,



in those situations where they will thrive best, and make the best return to the planter. As it is, the upright Oak is a scarce plant, and, I may venture to affirm, not as yet known to the British public.

This valuable tree abounds most in ancient forests. It is found in many places on the shores of Loch-*lomond*, and the Cumberland and Westmoreland lakes ; on the steep banks of the Clyde, the Lanarkshire Avon, the Tay, the Tees, the Wye, and other rivers, Scotch and English. In a word, it seems to be more or less the tenant of every British woodland not planted by the hand of man. This fact would incline us to believe that although, from neglect or accident, it has now become the rarer of our two native species, it was far from being so in ancient times. Its varieties, therefore, seem fairly entitled to the appellation which I have ventured to give them.

It is certain that the spreading Oak is the freest grower of the Oak family, as well as the hardiest ; of which last quality no better proof needs be given than the one already mentioned—the patience with which it bears, and the facility with which it recovers from the operation of transplanting in a full-grown state. Were the Oaks of many of our plantations of this hardy species only, it is certain that extensive tracts of ground both in England and Scotland, where that most useful of trees is found to languish, would yield, if not stately timber, at least vigorous copse-woods, which would speedily repay, by means of their bark, the entire expense laid out upon them. Besides, the Oak could be thus raised in elevations where the most adventurous planters would not now think of risking them as objects of profit.

That the same deficiency of the aboriginal kinds prevails in most of the late planted woods in England, I

have every reason to think, from a survey which I not long since had occasion to take of many counties both in the north and south. The above remark, though probably new, is yet of considerable importance to those who are possessed of extensive woodlands; and as information, like an article of luxury, is commonly valued in proportion to the distance from which it comes, it is possible that these pages, should they attract the notice of the great English planters, may stimulate them to study the character of their own native Oak. By encouraging the growth of the kind which is the most beautiful and the most hardy, they will at once add value to their own woods, and compensate in some sort for the neglect with which it has been treated.

In point of beauty, too, it is surprising what might be done by cultivating more extensively the aboriginal varieties of this valuable species. There are few persons who have not probably been struck with the fine and rich appearance occasioned by the young Beech, by way of copse-wood, in plantations during the winter and spring months. The aboriginal Oak is still more tenacious of its foliage than the Beech, as trees of the former, of thirty and forty feet high, are often found clothed with it at these seasons, which does not happen with the latter. This pleasing circumstance forms a striking winter feature, in the ancient copses and woodlands above alluded to; and it might be transferred, by a planter of taste, with the happiest effect to all the plantations, but more especially to the screens and masses of underwood, which should give richness and variety to a gentleman's residence.

Notwithstanding this prominent external mark, exclusively of all other botanical distinctions, it seems surprising, that the above property of the Oak should hitherto have been unobserved by our nurserymen. On conversing

with many of the most eminent in the line, within the last few years, I have found them, one and all, *unacquainted with its existence!* Neither do our best writers on woods, nor our most experienced planters, appear to have paid much attention to it. In a writer like Mr Pontey, who copies so little from others, and speaks chiefly from his own practice as a planter, we might have expected to find some hint of the singular properties of the plant, had it attracted much notice in England.\*

It may appear somewhat singular, on examining two different species of the same tree known as indigenous to Britain, to find the one so much scarcer than the other; especially when the wood of the scarcer sort happens to be the superior in point of quality; and above all, when it is better fitted for the highest of all British purposes, naval architecture. That it is owing to accident we cannot believe; and since the improvement of our woods (which should be universally interesting) is in question, a little inquiry into the fact and its causes may not be considered as out of place in the present discussion.

In ancient times, when extensive forests covered a great part of our island, which, as we learn from Greek and Roman writers, consisted chiefly of Oak, Birch, and Scotch Fir, (*Pinus silvestris*,) there is no room to doubt but that the spreading Oak abounded, as the ancient, hardy, and aboriginal tenant of the soil. Indeed, from the specimens dug up in the south, as well as the north, from under the Roman roads, and consequently of an age prior to their construction, there is every reason for confirming that opinion. In after times, as civilisation advanced, and the plough came to narrow the limits of the forest, the native woods gradually receded to the banks of rivers, and other

\* NOTE VI.

places, less fit for the labours of the husbandman. Parks and pleasure-grounds came then to be maintained as objects of luxury ; and in all that were planted for about two centuries, in England, little is to be seen but the upright Oak. Its acorns abounded most, and were most easily gathered, and consequently they supplied the readiest seed for the early planters.

Even now, when planting is studied as an art, and botanical science is applied to fix its principles and improve its practice, few persons are at the trouble to raise their own nursery plants. Hence, with the increase of wealth, and a taste for gardening or rural embellishment in England, the trade of a nurseryman in that country became one of immense extent and importance. In Scotland, also, where the good and the bad in English habits and manners are alike imitated, a predilection has arisen for this charming art ; and the nurseryman, in like manner, has here become the universal caterer for the planter, on a scale of no small magnitude in proportion to our resources.\*

By the Scottish nurseryman, it appears that acorns are to be had cheapest in the London market, which is for the most part supplied from Kent, Sussex, Hampshire, and other southern counties, where there are very few spreading Oaks. If one of the trade from time to time accidentally procure a few bushels of acorns from Cumberland or the Highlands, his customers fortunately share in the plants that are produced by them. But the acorns of the upright species, being the larger and plumper of the two, as well as the cheaper, pass even with those dealers who are truly desirous to have the best seed. It seems on this account, chiefly, that Oaks of the spreading

\* NOTE VII.

kind are comparatively so rare in this country, as three-fourths of the extensive woods with which it is now clothed have been planted in this way, and within the above period.

There is likewise another cause, which may have contributed towards the same effect. About threescore years since, as I am informed, and up to the time of the last war, the nurserymen of Edinburgh were sometimes in the habit of importing acorns from the Continent, which came down the Elbe and the Rhine. These were usually from the great German forests, which consist mostly of upright Oaks. They were of a less hardy kind; and what is worse, they were decidedly inferior in respect to wood to the English. This still further helped to deteriorate our plantations. Whether the same branch of trade still subsists, I am not informed. But the above I take to be the reason why we find among our upright Oaks of from thirty to fifty years' standing, plainly two sorts; the one a much freer grower than the other—the former being the produce of the British, and the latter of the German seed.

From these causes, and a want of botanical science among our nurserymen, it is easy to see how the upright species of Oak comes to prevail in our plantations. But from whatever cause it may proceed, and in whichever way our plantations may have suffered, it would be something worse than absurd to throw any blame on this respectable class of men. Let that attach to the quarter to which it belongs—the utter indolence and want of science conspicuous among their employers. If nurserymen be not respectable botanists, but mere *dealers* in the articles which they sell, it is for want of proper encouragement to be otherwise. If they possess little knowledge of the actual nature and properties of trees, and less desire

to improve them, it is solely because their employers seem quite unconcerned and indifferent as to these important subjects. While noblemen and gentlemen, who make extensive plantations, have no rule of preference in nursery plants but their *cheapness*, he necessarily must, in their eyes, be the best nurseryman, who can raise the *greatest* number of plants, whether Oaks or others, on the *smallest* space of ground, and furnish them consequently at the lowest price. It is quite obvious, therefore, that the cheapest acorns, as we have seen, being those of the upright Oak, nothing except that species is ever thought of or cultivated.

In such a state of things, I conceive that it would be worthy of the Highland Society of Scotland, as the general patrons of planting and agriculture, to honour with their notice the cultivation of the sessile-fruited or spreading Oak, and especially of its two varieties now brought into view. By holding out a premium to the nurseryman who should raise the greatest number of healthy plants of this description, there is little doubt but that the superior value of the tree would ere long become known to the public. Were this done, the judges empowered to decide should not pronounce before the 1st of February in any year, for the tree itself, nor before the 1st of May, for the two varieties or aboriginal kinds ; and no specimens without a full coat of foliage, should be admitted to the competition. By these means, and by a due attention to the botanical characteristics, all spurious sorts would be effectually excluded. The two aboriginal sorts, and especially the white, from its upright and stately character, would, for clean stem and fine timber, soon come into fashion with the great planters of the north ; and public-spirited individuals, on a small scale, would speedily follow their example.

But the Highland Society have much more in their power than this for promoting the advancement of the woods of the kingdom—I mean, the improving of the method of raising nursery plants. It is true, by a liberal encouragement given by it to men of industry and ingenuity, essays of a superior order on the nature and cultivation of plantations have lately appeared, and a spirit of emulation and enterprise has been excited in consequence. But, without an *entire reform* in the system of our arboriculture, it must be apparent to any one acquainted with the subject, that the main impediment to obtaining *productive woods*, within a reasonable period, never can be removed. I feel confident, were the business taken up with the usual energy of a body possessing within itself so large a portion of the rank, wealth, and intelligence of the nation, that planting would soon be added to the number of the arts which have received the most solid improvements from the Society's patronage. This, however, is a subject that would require almost a separate essay.\*

Were these pages addressed to gardeners only, or to persons particularly conversant with trees, I should here close my observations on the Oak. But, being anxious that they should prove as useful as possible to country gentlemen and others, who might wish to direct their own operations, I will add one other remark.

In speaking of the properties of all trees, it is to be understood, that what is said of them above, can apply only to specimens in a state of perfect vigour and soundness. When they chance to suffer by an unfavourable season, or other material accident, they must be inferior for the time, at least, in respect to their external manifes-

tations. In respect to the spreading Oak, after a bad season, it is not easy to distinguish it from the upright, by the most obvious of its peculiarities, the retention of the leaf. A summer, for example, like that of 1823, which was singularly cold and wet, produced a striking effect on the whole vegetive tribe; and it could hardly be expected in any Oak (as the tree makes its shoots twice in the season) that the wood should be well ripened, nor, by consequence, the leaves perfect. In the same way, deep trenching round the tree, severe cutting of the roots, and still more the operation of removal itself, are sure to occasion like effects.

For this reason it is important, in selecting the plants proper for removal, to do it after a season that has been tolerably good, and when they have not been deteriorated by external accident. In this case, the characteristic of retaining the foliage will always appear prominent. I have in preparation at present, in open plantations, a number of aboriginal Oaks, which, after the fall of 1824 (the succeeding year,) could not at once be recognised as such, owing to a deficiency of leaves, although they carried them in abundance during the whole season, both before and after. In like manner, it is to be remarked, that few spreading Oaks will recover so perfect a circulation of their sap, as to enable them to retain the leaf throughout the season, until about the third year after removal.

I have dwelt longer on the Oak than perhaps might seem necessary, on account of the unequalled value and beauty of the tree, and its peculiar adaptation to transplanting, whether as grove or copse-wood, provided the proper method be adopted. Besides the main object of obviating the difficulty of the process, the interest and general importance of the collateral details will, I am persuaded, recommend them to the notice of the reader.



## SECTION XIII.

OF THE ASH—ELM—BEECH.

### THE ASH.

NEXT to the Oak, the Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) deserves the precedency before all other trees, as it comes the nearest to the Oak in point of general utility as well as beauty. Of the fourteen kinds of this tree, enumerated by Willdenow, the one only just now mentioned belongs to Britain. The rest are natives of America; but several of them from the northern parts deserve cultivation in this climate.\*

The universal utility of the Ash is so well known that it is almost superfluous to enlarge upon it. In the arts, in peace, as well as war, in husbandry, architecture, manufactures, it is equally conspicuous. It is celebrated by Evelyn, as being “the sweetest of our forest fuelling, and fittest for ladies’ chambers.” It will, also, burn even when green, and may therefore “be reckoned,” he says, among “ξυλα ακαπνα,” or wood without smoke. As to its beauty, the Oak has been sometimes called the Hercules, and the Ash the Venus of the forest. “The comparison,” as Gilpin observes, “is extremely just; as the Oak joins the idea of strength joined to beauty, while the Ash unites the ideas of beauty and

\* NOTE I.

elegance." Nothing, in my mind, can be more exquisitely graceful than a young Ash, in the vigour of health, with the full luxuriance of its light-green tresses. When Horace conceived the idea of the *silvarum comæ*, I think he must have had this lovely tree especially in his eye.

The main characteristic of the Ash is lightness. In close plantations or woods it rises to a great height, and with a much more considerable length of stem than the Oak ; but, when it has free room to expand, it throws out its branches with a wide and easy sweep ; and the looseness of the foliage, corresponding with the lightness of the spray, renders it one of the most striking lawn trees of the pendent form. This tree delights in a soil that is deep and dry, and especially if calcareous. It will thrive, also, where there is abundance of moisture ; but it abhors a bottom of obdurate and retentive clay, on which, without a great depth of mould above, it will not grow to good timber.

There is no tree that transplants better than the Ash. To an extensive park it is well adapted for single trees or groups ; and it easily harmonises with any scenery, whether of a bold or a placid character. Its roots and fibres are extremely numerous ; and such is the power which it possesses of deep rooting, (*tantus amor terræ*) and so readily does it exert that power in an open soil, that it can resist the winds with nearly the same stubbornness and pertinacity as the Oak itself. It will likewise, better than most trees, resist the sea-breeze. On account of the fibrousness of its roots, it has the advantage of very seldom suffering from drought after removal, and never from blight. You will, therefore, not lose one in fifty, if the operation be performed with tolerable skill and attention. As to the season for the work, it may be any time from the fall to the first week of April ; the earlier certainly

the better ; but later than that period will not be attended with uniform success.

The Ash has only two faults, which it is proper to notice, as affecting transplantation, or at least the extensive application of it, to this beautiful tree. The one is, that the roots are so extremely fibrous, and occupy the surface near it so completely, that there is little pabulum or moisture left for any thing else. On which account it is best grouped by itself, or perhaps with the Oak, which penetrates still deeper into the earth. The second fault is, that, as it acquires its leaves late, so it unfortunately loses them early in the season—the reverse of what we find in most trees ; and the leaves being much more tender than those of others, receive a premature impression from early frosts. Instead of contributing to the variegated tints of autumnal foliage, it drops them at once, and makes a dreary blank for weeks, where it happens to predominate. There is yet another fault, or rather misfortune, attending the Ash. Its leaf and rind furnish the most delicious food for deer ; on which account it is necessary to protect it with extraordinary vigilance where that species of stock is kept.

For the two reasons first mentioned, the Ash will be found rather unfit for foregrounds, or the sides of dressed walks, or for kept ground of any sort near the mansion house. In the immediate neighbourhood of approaches, likewise, it would be advisable to introduce it sparingly, and in any other very prominent part of a place.

There is one peculiarly beautiful variety of this tree, which I shall mention here, as it seems to have escaped the notice of preceding writers—Professor Martin excepted—I mean the pendent Ash (*F. excelsior ramis pendalis.*) Every one must have observed the disposition to *pendency* in the branches of the Ash, but still that they

decidedly turn upwards at the extremities. In the tree in question, there is little or no such upward turn : but the spray continues pendent nearly to the points, gracefully clustering and intertwisting its shoots like the tendrils of the Vine. As the tree acquires age, this resemblance to the Vine is greatly increased, rendering it an object singularly interesting and picturesque. The bark of this variety is somewhat whiter than that of the common Ash, and sometimes fluted ; the leaf is more elongated and serrated ; and it displays the spiral and lofty form, even in situations where it has room to spread.

Some noble specimens of it were formerly to be seen at this place. In my younger days, as I remember, there was one of about twenty feet in girth, and above seventy feet high, which had the trunk so completely hollowed out as to hold several persons in the cavity. It was known by the terrific name of "The Jugg Tree ;" having upon it the ancient iron collar called "The Juggs," so well known in Scotland for confining the necks of culprits or criminals.\* There was lately another tree of the same kind, still more beautiful, and upwards of eighty feet high. It measured in girth sixteen feet and a half, at three feet from the ground, and was blown down only in the spring of 1821, in one of the terrible hurricanes of that year. As there is no plant more hardy or more beautiful than the Pendent Ash, I should earnestly recommend it to the notice of all lovers of trees, whether for planting or transplanting.†

#### THE ELM.

The Elm is the next tree in rank and value to the Oak and Ash. It is a native of Britain ; at least one of the

\* NOTE II.

† NOTE III.

principal species certainly is, as we are enabled to ascertain by the best evidence; but there seems no tree respecting which greater confusion prevails among botanists, and indeed all writers on wood.

The Elm, as Hanbury observes, is the most perplexing of all trees, as its varieties appear infinite, and the shades of distinction between them the least easily ascertainable. The great botanist of Upsal no doubt saw this difficulty; and therefore, with the exception of what he denominates the American, and another exotic kind, he slumps the whole under one head, namely, that of *Ulmus Campes- tris*. But we must acknowledge that there was more of indolence, or at least of haste, than ingenuity in this, as it was merely recognising not resolving the difficulty.

Miller, in his great work, enumerates six different species; Hanbury and Willdenow, seven; Hunter, in his edition of Evelyn, only one; Professor Martyn gives seven; and they all mention that there are innumerable varieties, which it would be useless and impossible to describe. The fact is, that there are now admitted to be about nine distinct species, independently of varieties. Late botanists, however, such as Sir James Smith, have judiciously limited the distinct kinds to two, from which all the rest are supposed to spring. But every writer seems to have his own theory, and delivers his own account of the tree, which is extremely perplexing to the uninformed planter.

Marshall, who is far from being accurate or scientific in general in his descriptions of trees, is the only one, as far as I know, who has given an account, simple as well as practical; I will therefore adopt it, as sufficient for our purpose, although it may not be botanically accurate. It comprises the whole under two heads:—

I. The coarse-leaved, broad-leaved, chestnut-leaved Elm, otherwise the witch or north country Elm, which

is most commonly found in the midland and northern parts of the island. Late authors affect to write it "Wych," but without any good reason for departing from the ancient orthography of the name. This plant is clearly indigenous to *every* part of Britain.

II. The fine-leaved, narrow-leaved, or south-country Elm, which more peculiarly belongs to the southern districts. It is most probably not indigenous, and is therefore not entitled to the precedence which some writers give it. In this part of the kingdom, the two kinds are universally known by the names of the Scotch and English Elm, than which certainly nothing can be less appropriate. For the botanical descriptions, see the Note.\*

In respect to the first species, the broad-leaved or witch Elm, it is found in every part of England and Scotland; and in the former country, it attains to great age and magnitude.† There are also nearly forty places in England that take their names from it, the most of which are mentioned in Doomsday Book. These two circumstances clearly show it to be of our own native growth; and on that account it might with great propriety be called the British or indigenous Elm. Of this sort there is a valuable variety, the Witch Hazel, or rough and very broad-leaved Elm, so called from the resemblance of the leaves and young shoots to those of the Hazel, and which, being much earlier in coming out than the other, is more fit for being planted near habitations. By most botanists the Witch Hazel is considered as a distinct species. It might very properly be called the early Elm.

The second kind—that is, the narrow-leaved or English Elm—is more than suspected to be of foreign origin, as no ancient trees are any where found of it. Evelyn and

\* NOTE IV.

† NOTE V.

Miller inform us, that it was imported about two centuries since from Germany or France, or possibly from Lombardy, as great numbers are to be seen growing in the last-mentioned country; but that it had not been long in England in the time of Charles II. It abounds at present in all the southern and south-western counties of England, but it never perfects its seed in Britain. This circumstance, together with the fact already stated of no ancient trees of the kind existing, is sufficient to convince us that it derives its origin from more southern latitudes.

It is, however, now nearly naturalised, and a very noble as well as useful tree. It will grow with astonishing luxuriance in a deep and rich loam, united with a mild climate. The growth of the English Elm so situated, compared with that of the Oak, has been found to be nearly as three to two. Although there are at present no specimens of it of very great size, yet from what we know of the vigour of some now on record, it bids fair in another century to become the pride of the English forest, at least in the southern districts of the kingdom.\*

In comparing the two trees, it may be said that the outline or general appearance of the Scotch or Witch Elm, though less stately than that of the English, is strongly featured, approaching nearly to the majesty of the Oak, for which, at a mature age, it might be mistaken at a distance. It is in general inclined to be ragged or scraggy, from the disposition of the branches and the thinness of the spray; but it is more picturesque than the English, as is admitted by Gilpin, a judge not easily satisfied in that particular. On a foreground, he says, it is unquestionably so, as it hangs more negligently; though at the same time, with this negligence, it loses in some measure that

\* NOTE VI.

happy surface for catching masses of light, which in the other is so much admired by the painter.\*

As a useful tree the Elm is held in high esteem. The whole Elm family, indeed, possess more or less a peculiar excellence in which they stand completely unrivalled. The Oak is eminent for strength and durability; the Ash comparatively for toughness; the beech, for closeness of texture and cleanness of grain; but the Elm, for the tenacity and adhesiveness of its parts, being less liable to split than any other species of timber whatever. This quality renders it singularly useful for various important purposes—such as the naves of wheel-carriages of every description, water-wheels, pipes, pumps, &c. Besides, the keels of ships are now almost universally laid with Elm, and frequently the gunwales of vessels of war are made of it. It is less apt to splinter in action than even Oak; and the keels, in the same way, are less liable to split in taking the ground.

Of the two species of Elm, writers are not agreed as to which furnishes the best timber. Of late authors, Dr Yuile, on the authority seemingly of Sir James Smith, decides in favour of the English; but the Scotch sort seems to have the suffrage of the carpenters, who in some parts of England, by way of pre-eminence, call it the nave Elm. As Mr Pontey, however, truly observes, the fact of the competition proves that “both kinds must be very good.” He mentions, also, having procured a variety for his nursery at Huddersfield, which grows as erect as the English, and is a quicker grower than either of the sorts, which may turn out a considerable acquisition to planters.†

The favourite soil of the Elm is a deep rich loam, and

\* See Forest Scenery, vol. i. p. 43.

† NOTE VII.



it will not even reject what to most trees would be a superabundance of moisture. Like the Oak, it surprisingly accommodates itself to almost any soil—a light and sandy one excepted, on which it will not succeed, nor pay the planter. In the county of Norfolk, for example, or on the fertile though light coast of East Lothian, there is not, generally speaking, a good—that is, a large—Elm. In those light districts it pushes vigorously at first, and may reach a foot or more in diameter ; but it soon after decays at the heart, and if not cut down in time, becomes useless altogether for timber.

“The Elm,” says Gilpin, “is the first tree that salutes the early spring with its light and cheerful green.” This may possibly be the case in Hampshire ; but in these northern latitudes where there is often little spring at all, I cannot say that it is a particularly early tree ; at least it is surpassed in that property by the Poplar and Larch, which are our earliest here ; by the Sycamore, which next comes out, and also by the Horse-chestnut, which is not far behind the Sycamore. It possesses, however, great facilities for transplantation, and indeed it has been long celebrated for such. Evelyn, who does not seem to have much practised the art, informs us that you may remove Elms of twenty years' growth with undoubted success ; and that he himself removed one, by way of experiment, “almost as big as his waist,” having first totally dis-branched it.

Generally speaking, if we except perhaps the Beech, a tree will transplant well, or the contrary, in proportion to fibrousness of its roots. But the Elm possesses roots in which the fibres are not only abundant, but where the larger roots are of so very pliant and flexible a kind, as readily to extend themselves in every direction in search of food. In respect to the proper season for removal, any

time will suit, from October to May. As with most trees which may be propagated by layers, you cannot fail with this, (the early Elm or Witch Hazel especially,) unless through uncommon mismanagement, or a want of roots and branches proportioned to the top. In the latter case, I have known the Elm languish, and lose its top, after being transplanted of a large size; but I have scarcely ever known it die outright. There is likewise no tree that is more hardy, or opposes a stouter front to the blast; and none, perhaps, that better resists the sea-breeze, the Sycamore excepted.

Let it be observed that I here speak of the true indigenous, or Scotch Elm only. Of the English Elm I have little experience as a subject for transplantation. I can raise it with tolerable success in close plantations, if it have been grafted on the British; and I have even set it out in the open park of about eighteen feet high, taking care to choose the most sheltered situations; and there it seems to grow as well as could have been anticipated in an alien to the climate. But a transplanter of skill has a right to expect that his trees should not only grow, but *thrive* in severe exposures. I fear, therefore, that the English Elm in general in Scotland must be classed with the Ilex and the Walnut, which, beautiful as they are, are to be considered among what Mason calls "the shivering rarities," on which no certain reliance can be placed, and which prudence would direct our planters as well as transplanters rather to avoid:—

"Yet let us call on those of hardy class  
 Indigenous, who, patient of the change  
 From heat to cold, which Albion hourly feels,  
 Are braced with strength to brave it. Those alone  
 We call our friends;  
 That veteran troop, who will not for a blast  
 Of nipping air, like cowards quit the field." \*

\* English Garden, Book iii. p. 244.

There is no part of the lawn or park which the Scotch Elm is not calculated to shelter as well as to adorn—the foreground, the offskip, or the third distance of the landscape. In the plain, it is always striking as a single tree; and in grouping of every sort, it harmonises with the Oak, the Ash, the Beech, and others. It is hardy enough, moreover, to climb the steps of the most rugged mountains, and to fling its luxuriant copse between the fissures of the rocks, with few companions but the Oak and the mountain Ash. For close woods, therefore, that are to be raised at once by means of the transplanting machine, whether for shelter or ornament, it is invaluable, not only as a lofty tree and a free grower in standards, but for underwood of the closest kind.

The Witch Hazel, or early Elm, (which may be considered either as a variety or a distinct species,) probably exceeds in luxuriance and hardness all the rest of the Elm family. In its young shoots and leaves, it bears an intimate resemblance to the Hazel. The flowers are red, with long leafy impalements of a green colour, and come out prematurely in the spring, before the leaves (*filius ante patrem*); therefore, in copses it is beautiful. It was of the boughs of this tough and elastic tree that the long-bow of our hardy ancestors was often made, and which they drew with such effect in war, or in the chase; a striking contrast to the uses to which it has since been applied.

#### THE BEECH.

We now come to the fourth tree in rank and estimation in the British forest, namely, the Beech. Some, as already noticed, but most absurdly, have denied it a place

among the pre-eminent forest trees, or timber trees as they are technically called.\*

Of this fine tree there is, properly speaking, but one species, (*Fagus sylvatica*,) the common Beech. Linnæus has joined to it the Chestnut; but there is sufficient reason from their properties, as well as from convenience, to keep them separate. The Beech has two remarkable varieties, the spreading and the upright, or the early and the late. These are improperly called by some, the wild and the mountain, and by others, the white and the black Beech.† Both Miller and Professor Martyn are of opinion that there is but one kind of this tree, and that the lightness and darkness in the colour of the wood (which they conceive have given rise to the notion of two sorts) are the mere effects of soil. But neither of those judicious writers, nor any writer hitherto, as far as I know, has noticed the actual points of difference between them, which are their very different style of ramification, and their late and early leaf. From a very close attention to the Beech, I am quite satisfied that there are two varieties, if not two distinct species of the tree, and that the characteristics just now mentioned are clearly distinguishable. To planters, and especially to those who wish to produce immediate effects by means of the transplanting machine, these distinctive properties are extremely interesting, from the very different purposes to which the tree will in consequence be applied.

The Beech, like the Oak, is of the glandiferous class of trees. It is probably a native of Britain, as well as of several other European countries, although the fact as to the former has been doubted by some writers. Cæsar positively asserts that he did not perceive here, as in

\* NOTE VIII.

† NOTE IX.

France, the Spruce Fir or the Beech (*neque Fagum neque Abietem.*) Ray thinks that the *Fagus* of the Romans is not the same as the *φηγος* of the Greeks, which was a kind of Oak. Yet as there is no other way of accounting for the assertion of so accurate an observer as Cæsar, some scholars have supposed, and not without reason, that the *Fagus* of Cæsar, Virgil, and Vitruvius, is a species of Oak, and not the Beech. At all events, our Beech is the *οξυα* of the Greeks, as we learn from the French traveller Belon; and that it is still called by that name on Mount Athos.\*

The Beech may be justly esteemed inferior only to the Oak and the Ash in point of utility; as, considering the variety of purposes to which it is applied, it equals or perhaps exceeds the Elm. It is almost as necessary to turners, carpenters, and cabinet-makers, as the Oak is to ship-builders, or the Ash to plough and cart-wrights. In various branches of machinery and manufactures its usefulness is well known, particularly in wheel, cooper, and mill work; and nearly as good as the Elm for the keels, stems, and stern-posts of the largest ships. The grain is of a fine texture, although rather spongy and alluring to the worm; but this defect may be remedied by steeping it for a short time in water. By late experiments it has been ascertained, or at least made probable, that if kept altogether under water, it will last for centuries.†

In stateliness and grandeur the Beech vies with the Oak itself; the branches are large and spreading; the stem swells to a great size; the bark is eminently smooth, and of a silvery cast; and this, together with the general softness and splendour of the foliage, renders it one of the most magnificent and beautiful trees in the park. This

\* NOTE X.

† NOTE XI.

tree delights most in light, chalky, or sandy loams, and calcareous soils, in all of which it shoots with wonderful vigour. It will grow likewise on stiff soils, though not with the same luxuriance ; but it is decidedly the hardiest of all deciduous trees, and will succeed where the soil is too wet, or too dry, and I may also add too poor, for most other trees to live. It is for that reason quite invaluable to the planter or the transplanter, whether for utility or ornament.

But, says Gilpin, (whose taste it would be a bold measure to call in question,) the Beech is not a picturesque tree, although it is one of great fame in that particular. The trunk, he admits, is often picturesque from its bold projections, its irregular flutings, and the mosses and lichens with which it is diversified. Its beautiful smoothness likewise contrasts agreeably with these rougher appendages. He further allows that the tree is sometimes of very happy composition, from the light and floating character of the foliage ; but that, contrary to the general nature of trees, it is most pleasing in its juvenile state ; therefore a young Beech with its spiry branches, hanging in loose and easy forms, is often beautiful. However, the forest Beech, in a dry and hungry soil, will often preserve the lightness of youth in the maturity of age. Further, this accurate observer acknowledges that the leaf of these trees, young and old, is most accommodating in landscape ; that the beauty of their autumnal hues, of a glowing orange, is striking to a degree ; and that when they are seen with the Oak, yet verdant, it produces one of the finest oppositions of tint that the forest can furnish. Even the pleasing circumstance of the lover being tempted by the softness of the bark to cut his mistress's name in it, does not escape him. It conveys, he says, a happy emblem :—

Notwithstanding this just and ingenious eulogium, the Beech, according to Gilpin, is nevertheless a heavy tree ; it has completely the appearance of an overgrown bush. When massy and full-grown, it is therefore displeasing. It is made up, he says, of littleness, and is seldom in harmony with itself. Though with branches fantastically wreathed, it is rarely well ramified. It is without the strength and firmness which we admire in the Oak, or the easy simplicity which pleases us in the Ash. In a word, it does not exhibit those tufted cups, those hollow and dark recesses, which dispart the branches of the most beautiful kinds of forest trees, and render them attractive to the picturesque eye. After all, however, he adds, "the Beech has sometimes its beauties, and oftener its use. In distance, it preserves the depth of the forest ; and in the corner of a landscape, when we want a heavy tree, nothing answers our purpose like the Beech." That is to say, that the main reason for which it is objected to—namely, its heaviness—forms its chief claim to distinction with the painters, who should be the arbiters of picturesque merit ; and this singular eulogium is concluded by the remark, that he supposes the tree has acquired its reputation, chiefly from having a peculiar character, which, with all its defects, he owns it certainly has.\*

I have thus given the substance of the praise and the censure of Gilpin, as nearly as may be in his own words ; and, in fact, were I to adduce any evidence, more strong than another, of the picturesque merits or partial defects of the Beech, it would be in the good-humoured fastidiousness of this pleasing writer. It is probable that he had been accustomed to hear the Beech overpraised, and that he resolved to counteract the opinion, by some salu-

\* See Forest Scenery, vol. i. p. 45-50.

tary and countervailing disparagement. But Gilpin's object was merely the consideration of the external form of trees, as applicable to landscape. Had he had occasion to investigate their history and properties, his remarks would have appeared less contradictory, as he would then have given them a more appropriate application. In this case, he would have been aware that there are two distinct sorts or varieties of the tree, and that all he says is quite true ; though not of any one of those species, but of the two species respectively. He would thus have been satisfied, that the early or spreading kind is as remarkable, whether young or old, for its light, airy, and picturesque character, as the late or upright is for its heaviness.

As the name of so popular a writer as Gilpin has given currency to some unjust prejudice against a tree which is eminently useful, as well as beautiful, I shall be the more particular in describing its two varieties in question, for the information of the planter.

The writers who have paid most attention to the Beech, have given it two varieties, as already mentioned, the black and the white. But, in calling them by those names, they have stated no marks of distinction that can be uniformly recognised ; as the colour of the bark, or, according to some, of the wood, is often the effect of soil and climate, and not of any peculiar properties inherent in the trees themselves. Accordingly, we find the bark of all Beeches, in close and shady groves, usually glossy and of a light hue, whereas it is always rougher and darker in open exposures.

There is no tree, the Oak excepted, in which these two varieties, the spreading and the upright, are more distinctly delineated than in this. In its style of ramification, the spreading sort at a distance somewhat resembles the Lime, as may be seen by comparing the two when



the leaves are off; only, that the branches in the former are less closely set, and therefore hang more loosely or negligently. Its slender spray, however, has nearly the same pendent character as that of the Lime, while the whole tree is far superior in point of lightness and transparency. This sort—I mean the spreading Beech—always drops its leaves at the fall, and is considerably earlier in obtaining them in the spring; it being sometimes observed to be in full leaf while the buds of the other sort are only beginning to burst.

The upright or late Beech is altogether of a different character, and seemingly the more hardy of the two. Its branches are far more numerous, and more thickly set. At a short distance from the stem, they bend decidedly upwards, and give it a spiral form; yet strongly exhibiting that heaviness of which Gilpin complains, and which is so unfavourable for receiving, like the Oak and Ash, great masses of light. This kind retains its leaves during the winter, at least while young; and, indeed, they tenaciously adhere to it till *late in the spring* or beginning of summer, when the old are pushed off by the new. It is remarkable that the upright Beech, although the least handsome of the two, is by far the more common, at least in Scotland, where, for one decidedly pendent, you will see twenty that are of the spiral sort. Whoever attentively considers this short account, and compares it with the trees themselves, will at once perceive the justice of the foregoing remarks on the description of Gilpin, and the true scope and meaning of that accurate observer.

The great utility and importance of the Beech in forming natural landscape are abundantly obvious. In wooding a park or lawn of any extent, it is plain that the skilful planter will apply the two varieties to very different purposes. Near the mansion-house or principal

approaches, he will, of course, prefer the early Beech; but where thick and heavy trees are wanted to break obtrusive views, or shut out displeasing objects, the late or upright kind will at once recommend itself. In like manner, where interesting scenery is to be introduced, whether near or distant, the slender boughs of the spreading or early sort will be found to play upon it more beautifully than those of almost any other tree of the forest, half-concealing and half-revealing the different objects, according to the planter's fancy :

“ Here the thin Abole,  
With lofty bole and bare, the smooth-stem'd Beech,  
Or slender Alder, give our eye free space  
Beneath their boughs to catch each lessening charm,  
E'en to the far horizon's azure bound.”\*

Besides the importance of the Beech as a lawn tree, I know no one of equal utility in transplanting close woods (as hereafter to be explained) or in bush-planting in the park, I mean as underwood, in which case it must, of course, be kept carefully headed down. Being patient of the knife, it is admirably adapted to promote both closeness and variety, especially in plantations of limited depth. It prevents the eye from wandering among the stems of the standards or grove-wood, and perceiving the limits of the boundary. Besides, the cheerful green which it wears, and the sober brown, and sometimes bright orange of its winter foliage, give a richness quite unequalled by any other plant. I have already recommended, and I trust with some effect, the aboriginal Oak as a powerful auxiliary for this purpose; and when we reflect on the rapidity and certainty with which the Beech thrives in all soils and in all situations, I have little doubt

\* English Garden, B. iii. 214.

of its becoming a favourite in the same department. There is no plant that in any wise equals it for winter copse, except the holly. But there is not one situation in a thousand, where that evergreen, hardy as it is, will grow with luxuriance; and to a planter of taste, luxuriance of growth is every thing.

In new places or parks, at least in Scotland, or in such as have been planted within the last forty years, where the Firs and other nurses are cut away, or perhaps where the latter unduly prevail, a striking poverty or stemminess usually offends the eye, both in summer and winter, even in close plantations, or such as are meant to be close. In general, you may see half a mile under the boughs, unless your view be intercepted by some inequality in the surface. Were the Beech and the aboriginal Oak copiously introduced into such woods, it would give them an extraordinary richness to the most unobserving eye, and in many districts quite alter the aspect of the country.

Where old copse-woods are cut over, likewise, the Oak and the Beech, together with the Holly, (if on a light and calcareous soil,) may be introduced with admirable effect. But it is to be understood that I mean only in situations where ornamental or picturesque scenery, and not profit; is the object of the owner. Near a gentleman's residence, however, there are few who will grudge a moderate sacrifice of the latter to obtain a pleasing union of both objects. For "bush planting" in the park, by means of the transplanting machine, no given tree exceeds the Beech, on account of several of the properties above noticed. This is a practice which is wholly new, at least in Scotland; but I trust it will prove (as described in the sequel) not uninteresting to the reader.

There is another very important use to which the Beech has of late years been applied, (and, I believe, first

by myself)—I mean the improvement of *field-hedges*. The species of plant generally used for hedges in this country, is the common Hawthorn or Quick, (*Crataegus oxyacantha*,)—but that, although a free grower, cannot be called a very hardy plant, as it is found to fail in very light and gravelly, and on very cold and stiff soils. The Beech, therefore, used in this way, has turned out a surprising improvement.\*

From what has been said, it is evident that there is no tree more desirable for transplantation than the Beech, and none which at first sight ought better to succeed. Its roots, unlike those of the Oak, are extremely fibrous : they seek their food near the surface, so that they may easily be multiplied by proper training. The best season for removing the tree seems to be immediately after the fall, at all events not later than the subsequent February ; as there is no plant that requires so much time for the mould to settle round the roots, in order to prevent the injurious effect of the spring droughts. But, do what you will, it is a capricious subject to deal with, and the one of all others with which success can with the least certainty be anticipated, from any degree of care and precaution.

The difficult point seems to be to keep it alive during the first season ; and if you can surmount that difficulty there is little danger, as I scarcely ever knew an instance of a Beech dying afterwards. Sometimes it will succeed to admiration when you least expect it, and sometimes it will fail, after you have done all you can do to render failure impossible. The most remarkable thing about it is, that, contrary to the general nature of trees, the more vigorously it shoots at first, the greater is the danger of

\* NOTE XII.

its afterwards giving way. That accident, however, happens frequently in a day, perhaps in an hour, and usually about midsummer or the beginning of autumn, by some sudden blight, for which it is as difficult to account as it is hopeless to remedy. At other times, it will decay by slow degrees; leaf after leaf becomes shrivelled up and discoloured; and, after languishing for weeks, it will die at last, but always before the middle of autumn.

From the great utility of the Beech for every purpose, but especially for clothing those thin, poor, and gravelly soils about a place, where nothing else will vegetate, it is important to discover some method of obviating what the Scotch gardeners call this singular "dortiness" in its character.\* It seems plain that it proceeds from a great susceptibility in the roots and fibres of exposure to the atmosphere. That necessarily disables them from supplying proper nourishment to the sap-vessels; which, being injured, fail in their turn to perform their functions. It is on this account that we find that late planting in the spring is fatal to the Beech, beyond all other trees; because in that case there is not time for the earth to become consolidated round the roots, before the drought of summer sets in.

That this theory is correct I have some reason to believe; yet the causes on which it depends lie hid from the human eye, and, therefore, the best naturalists can only form probable conjectures concerning them. Some years since, when every Beech I had removed during the season failed, excepting three, my attention was turned to an examination of the circumstances under which the latter had succeeded; and it seemed the more remark-

\* NOTE XIII.

able that those three were all of the spreading sort, which is more delicate than the upright. On examination I found that a heap of earth, near the spot, (which had been intended for compost,) was by accident wheeled up against the trees, covering their roots for several yards out, and to the depth of between two and three feet above the natural surface. The effect thus occasioned, of retaining the moisture, was abundantly striking, from the deep and healthful green of their leaves ; for, although not a drop of rain fell for six weeks, during the months of June and July, yet no watering was required by them.

Since the period in question I have tried the same experiment, and with similar success. But, as quantities of earth are not always at hand, a substitute has been adopted which is more easy of transportation. It consists of the coarsest refuse of flax-mills, after both the tow and the flax have been separated, and therefore of no value whatever for any other purpose. This substance is always to be found in abundance at such places. By laying it as far out from the tree as the roots extend, and to the depth of from four to six inches, it forms nearly as good a covering as three times the earth, for the intended purpose. As it is both close and light in its texture, it is well adapted to receive as well as to retain the moisture, that may be supplied either by rain or by the watering-pan.

Of late years, however, I have attempted a bolder remedy, which, whether it be ultimately found to succeed or not, is worthy of the planter's attention. This is no less than to remove the tree *previously* to the ascension of the sap, and while the leaves are in full verdure.\* The beginning or middle of October I should consider as

\* NOTE XIV.

the proper time for the operation, when a week or two of fine weather usually occur in this ever variable climate. Of the Beeches which I have removed at this season, had the leaves immediately dropped off, I should not have been discouraged ; but it is singular, that in every instance they adhered in spite of the severity of the process, and that the discoloration followed in the ordinary manner. This method has not as yet been tried on any extensive scale, or on subjects of great magnitude ; but it promises to remedy the most striking defect of this desirable tree, and to place it upon a footing with others possessing the greatest facilities of removal.

Before taking leave of the Beech, it may be proper to notice a striking circumstance respecting the early and late kinds, in as far as hardiness, and of course facility of transplanting, is concerned. In the course of this review of the four principal forest trees, we have seen that such varieties exist in three of them—namely, the Oak, the Elm, and the Beech ; and we shall find in the sequel, that they equally exist in the Sycamore, the Maple, and some others. In one and all of these, except perhaps the Elm, experience has taught us that the *latest kinds* always transplant the best, and that in fact lateness and hardiness are nearly convertible terms in respect to the whole of them. It is, no doubt, to be regretted, that, as in the instance of the Beech and the Sycamore, the greatest hardiness and the greatest picturesque beauty do not go together, which is the case with the Oak and the Ash. But a planter of skill will duly weigh and provide against those characteristic peculiarities in the former ; and according to the kinds wanted, or the effects to be produced in his landscape, he will endeavour to compensate for what nature has denied, by science and industry.

## SECTION XIV.

OF THE SYCAMORE—NORWAY MAPLE—CHESTNUT—HORSE  
CHESTNUT—LIME—SCOTCH FIR—WILD CHERRY—LARCH—  
BIRCH—HAWTHORN—MOUNTAIN ASH.

HAVING examined at so much length the nature and properties of the four principal forest trees—the Oak, the Ash, the Elm, and the Beech—it is not necessary to dwell on those of the others with the same degree of minuteness. In real or artificial landscape, although these will always be the staple of the composition, yet there are some other trees, which may be esteemed little short of them for effect in the picturesque details of an extensive lawn or park in this climate, and are therefore worthy of particular attention. Under that head I should class the Sycamore, Norway Maple, Chestnut, Horse-chestnut, Lime, Scotch Fir, Wild Cherry, Larch, and Birch ; and perhaps the Hawthorn and the Mountain Ash might be added to the number.

Of the rest, some may be considered as materials for underwood, and some as exotics, which should be encouraged only under the most favourable circumstances of both climate and soil. I know, in respect to exotics, that fashion in the present day has ordained otherwise ; but that mandate weighs little with me, who follow no guides but reflection and experience. But if I may presume to dissent from that great arbitress of science as well as taste, I should venture to recommend, to the young



planter, as well as transplanter, to cultivate only *what will grow well* in the soil and climate in which it happens to be placed ; and that he should rather be ambitious of vigorous and luxuriant shoots in his woods, than of the rarest names in the nurseryman's catalogue. There are, nevertheless, judicious friends of mine, persons of considerable information and intelligence, who place but a small value on the former when compared with the consequence which they conceive to belong to the latter object.

The first tree in point of rank and importance, after the four distinguished forest trees above mentioned, is

#### THE SYCAMORE, OR GREATER MAPLE.

The Sycamore, (*Acer pseudo-platanus*) is of the Maple family, and usually called in Scotland the Plane-tree. This and the Norway Maple, being the only two of that genus which grow to great timber, well deserve to be separately classed among our principal forest trees ; but most of the other Maples—the sugar Maple, the common, and the Ash-leaved kinds excepted (which may be considered as middle-rank trees)—are little better than shrubs in this climate. The Sycamore has two distinct varieties, the early and the late, which I do not know that any other writer has noticed.\*

This noble tree is a native of Switzerland, Austria, and Italy, where it grows wild in mountainous situations, but in the opinion of most naturalists, it is not indigenous to Britain. Ray speaks of it in his time as common about churchyards, avenues, and noblemen's seats ; so that it has been naturalised at least two or three centuries, and is justly regarded as one of our hardiest and most beauti-

\* NOTE I.

ful forest trees. In point of utility, it ranks deservedly high, being in great request with the turners, the carpenters, and the mill-wrights. In many parts of Scotland, it bears a price superior to that of the Elm or Ash. The wood, like that of the sugar Maple, is said to give out in burning a greater proportion of heat than most other trees; the charcoal made of it is preferable for the forge to any other, kind, and is said to possess a specific gravity one-fifth greater than any known charcoal.\*

The Sycamore grows to a great size in this our northern climate, and is eminent as a lawn tree for the amplitude of its leaf and its spreading top. Even Gilpin, fastidious as he is, allows it a considerable share of picturesque merit. It is particularly valuable in extensive park plantations, as it will grow freely in any ground which has a light and porous subsoil.† There is, perhaps, no tree so well adapted to open exposures, or that will so firmly resist the blast. It never shows, as most trees do in such situations, what is called a weatherside, but balances itself equally in spite of every wind that blows. The value of the Sycamore, as a nurse in young plantations, has never been sufficiently appreciated. In such especially as are exposed to the sea-breeze, it ranks higher than the Scotch Fir or the Larch; for in that atmosphere, while every other plant seems to droop around it, it stands erect and vigorous, bidding defiance to the tempest.

This beautiful tree is among the first in our climate that cheers the spring with its vivid green; and it is to be lamented that it should be the first to fade, on the slightest breath of autumnal frost. What renders it so peculiarly valuable to the transplanter, is both its hardiness in bearing the process of removal, and its facility in

\* Mem. Caledon. Hort. Soc., vol. ii. p. 383.

† NOTE II.

recovering from it. Independently of the former property, the roots are disposed to become extremely fibrous, in consequence of preparation, or in good resting-ground without it, which greatly expedites its recovery.

In the park here, there are to be seen Sycamores, from eight-and-twenty to five-and-thirty feet high, standing *single*, or in *scattered groups*, in the most exposed situations, and shooting more than two and a half feet after the fourth and fifth year, as is attested by the Report of the Highland Society of Scotland.

There is another quality for which the Sycamore is of great value to the planter, and that is the ease with which it accommodates itself to removal at almost any season. While with other trees, such as the Oak and the Beech, you must study particular seasons, the Sycamore may be removed at all times, and even during severe frost, from the fall to the very bursting of the bud. Even the full ascent of the sap in the spring offers no insurmountable impediment.

The only fault which the most captious have been able to find with this charming tree, is that, from the sweetness of the juice exuding from the leaves, they are apt to be perforated soon after midsummer by insects; and as the leaves fall off with the earliest frosts, they turn, like those of the Ash, to a disagreeable mucillage, which without doubt pollutes the trim surface of dressed walks and shaven grass-plats, when they happen to be within their reach. But this, which is noticed in rather a puerile style by Evelyn, is but a slight blemish amidst so many excellencies.

Between the two varieties of the Sycamore, now for the first time brought into notice, the early and the late, there appears to be little difference either in their ramification, their spray, or their leaves; but the former comes out a

fortnight, and sometimes three weeks, before the latter in the same climate and soil. In removal, the late sort has some advantage over the early, which usually happens with other trees. It is therefore important for the planter to discriminate between the two sorts, and to mark them out in the foregoing spring; so that he may have it in his power to place them in different situations, and apply them to different purposes.

#### THE NORWAY MAPLE.

This elegant tree (*Acer platanoides*) is a native of Norway, as its name imports. It is extremely hardy, and attains a great size in Britain.\* It is esteemed by some a handsomer tree than the greater Maple or Sycamore, and seems applicable to almost every purpose to which the latter is adapted, whether as a lawn tree or as under-wood. The top also is not less spreading, and the leaves far more beautiful; the latter being of smooth and shining green, like those of the Occidental Platanus, and nearly of equal magnitude. In autumn, they assume a bright gold colour, and form with the Oak one of the finest oppositions of tint of which the forest can boast. The flowers are likewise extremely beautiful. They come out early in the spring, are of a fine yellow colour, and show themselves to advantage before the appearance of the leaves. In point of picturesque beauty, the Norway Maple is not inferior either to the Sycamore or any of the Plane tribe.

I entertain no doubt that this tree, from what I have seen of it, would transplant at any size, and with as great facility and success as the Sycamore; and it would form

\* NOTE III.

an important addition to our parks and plantations. It seems to have been known in England above a century, at all events since the time when Miller first wrote. But its introduction into Scotland is of a later date ; and until within these few years it was little known to planters. Having no plants myself above seven or eight years old, I cannot speak as to its transplanting from experience. As to hardiness and quickness of growth, it is surpassed by no tree whatever.

Miller says, that " he *believes*" (but he gives no authority) that the Norway Maple will answer the same purpose for plantations near the sea as the Sycamore ; an opinion which is magnified into an assertion of the fact by succeeding writers. The assertion, if correct, will make this tree extremely valuable to persons possessing maritime situations, whether for planting or transplanting. It is not nearly so wonderful as one lately made, upon the most respectable authority—namely, that we have now an Oak, to the full growth of which the sea-breeze is favourable, if not indispensable.\*

#### THE CHESTNUT.

The Chestnut, or, as it is sometimes called, the Spanish Chestnut, (probably from its attaining great perfection in Spain,) deserves to be placed in the first class of forest trees, whether we consider its uncommon beauty while growing, or its uses when cut down. Linnæus has with great botanical propriety classed it in the Beech family, and named it *Fagus castanea* ; but established custom, as well as convenience of arrangement, argues for a separate classification.†

\* NOTE IV.

† NOTE V.

There is enumerated one other kind—namely, the dwarf American Chestnut, (*Fagus pumila*), or Chestnut with spear-shaped leaves. It rises to the height of ten or twelve feet, and although said to be hardy, is a plant of little value. To this Dr Yule has of late meritoriously added another kind, *Castanea microcarpa*, which is deserving of being naturalised in our climate. Michaux found it on the mountains of North Carolina, of fifteen and sixteen feet in circumference, and of a proportionable height.\*

The Chestnut is originally from Asia Minor, and not indigenous to any European country. Tiberius is said to have first brought it from Sardis in Lydia, to Italy; and being soon after propagated in France, it was carried to Britain. It is a native of several other parts of Asia, such as Cochin-China, Japan, &c. It now abounds in the mountainous parts of Italy, in Sicily, Switzerland, and Germany.†

This noble tree having been so long naturalised in the temperate latitudes, grows to a great size in both divisions of this island; but it requires a soil which is both deep and dry, and rather a favourable climate.‡ There is no tree that displays a finer form, or throws out its branches on every side more beautifully. It grows not unlike the Oak, as Gilpin justly observes; but its ramification is more straggling, and it hangs more loosely and negligently. The leaves are large, of a lucid green, and continue on the tree until late in the autumn, when they assume a splendid gold colour. They are by no means so liable to be attacked by insects as those of the Sycamore and the Oak, and therefore the tree is extremely well adapted to parks and plantations. There is no better food for deer

\* See Mem. Hort. Soc., vol. ii. p. 375.

† NOTE VI.

‡ NOTE VII.

and other animals than the nuts, which by many of them are preferred to acorns.

The uses of the wood of the Chestnut, like that of the Oak, are almost universal. In some of these it surpasses the Oak itself, particularly in making vessels and casks for wine and other liquors; as, when it is once duly seasoned, it neither shrinks nor swells. This excellence is well known in Italy, where it is held in greater esteem than any other timber. For buildings it is very serviceable, and for all mill and water machinery. Chestnut pipes, for the conveyance of water, are of longer endurance than even those of Elm; and poles for hops and vines, and likewise stakes of any sort, made of this wood, are preferred to every other for the same reason. Professor Martyn adduces satisfactory evidence to show, that Chestnut gate-posts have been known to last more than half a century. It has been mentioned above that the nuts are grateful to animals; but in France and Italy they make a wholesome bread for the human species; and also an elegant service, in various shapes, at the tables of the luxurious.

It is a remarkable fact that the Chestnut abounded much more in Britain two or three centuries since than it does at present; although its scarcity has been lamented by every writer on planting, from the days of Evelyn and Miller down to our own times. Perhaps a disposition to decay at the heart, while it exhibits a healthful exterior, or what Evelyn calls a want of "sincerity," may have excited against this wood some degree of prejudice: but nothing can entirely account for the neglect of it in England, except the abundant importation, which has of late years taken place, of Fir-wood from the shores of the Baltic and from America. There is no doubt but that the old houses in London and Gloucester were constructed

of this tree, although the timbers of some of them, as examined by Mr Knight, might be of Oak ; and the roofs of Westminster Abbey, and the Parliament House in Edinburgh, attest its durability. There are still remains of ancient Chestnuts in the old forests and chases in the neighbourhood of London. As there is no tree that deserves more to be recommended to the planter's notice, whether for planting or transplanting, I rejoice to perceive that a good deal has been done, within the last twenty years, both in Scotland and England, to provide a supply for another generation.

The Chestnut thrives well in the valleys of the Highlands as well as in the low country of Scotland, particularly at Dunkeld, Taymouth, and Inverary. It rejects land that is cold and clayey, and luxuriates most in a deep sandy loam, or in a gravelly and alluvial soil on the banks of rivers ; but it requires, as already stated, rather a mild climate. I do not consider my climate here (about four hundred feet above the level of the sea) as sufficiently good to raise it in perfection, as is proved by the constant tendency, when young, to lose its top-shoots in the spring, owing plainly to immaturity of the wood.

Having neglected to plant the Chestnut thirty years ago, I regret that I cannot command now any subjects for transplantation ; but I have removed the tree for others with the greatest success. It is, however, to be observed, that it shares in the family failing, which has been above noticed in its relative, the Beech,—namely, a too great susceptibility in its roots and fibres of exposure to the atmosphere. On this account, precautions similar to those recommended for the Beech should be resorted to in transplanting it ; and especial care should be taken to perform the operation soon after the fall, and in no case later than the month of February. In transplanting



for mixed plantations, there is no tree that makes more close or luxuriant underwood, for which purpose I have used it with great effect at this place ; but for standards it is less adapted, as, like the Ash, its shade is injurious to other plants.

I must own that the Chestnut, with me, is an especial favourite : and, were I to name the tree which, for grandeur and richness of effect, I should wish to see predominate, next the Oak, in a fine lawn or park, it would certainly be the Chestnut. It is this noble tree that graces the landscapes of Salvator Rosa. He took it in all its forms on the mountains of Calabria, where he chiefly painted ; and he has given a deserved share of reputation to its grand and picturesque character. He who, either as a painter or a planter, would become fully sensible of its uncommon merit, must study it in the landscapes of that great master.

#### THE HORSE-CHESTNUT.

This superb and showy tree (*Æsculus hippocastanum*, v. *Castanea equina*) is a native of the north of Asia, from which country it was sent to Vienna, and from thence to France, in 1558. About thirty years after, it was first brought to England, but, according to Evelyn, immediately from the Levant, and has now been completely naturalised to our colder climate. It has two varieties — the variegated and the scarlet-flowering, (*Æsculus pavia*,) which are extremely beautiful, but more fitted for the shrubbery than the park.\*

The Horse-Chestnut appears to have been so named from the resemblance of the nuts to those of the Chestnut,

\* NOTE VIII.

and their being found useful for curing diseases in horses. "Among the Turks they are ground and mixed with the provender of their horses, especially those that are troubled with coughs, or are broken-winded; in both of which disorders they are accounted good." \*

The Horse-Chestnut is a tree of singular beauty, and of the most stately form. It rises, in favourite situations, to the height of eighty feet and upwards, throwing out its branches to a great width, and assuming in its top a parabolic shape. It forms, also, a most impenetrable shade. The leaves are large, fine, and palmated, and on one stalk decorated with large spikes of the richest white flowers streaked with yellow and rose-colour. This tree is peculiarly distinguished by the very rapid formation of its new shoots, which are often perfected in less than three weeks after the time of foliation.

The Horse-Chestnut is a free grower, and likewise a very hardy plant. It easily accommodates itself to almost any soil of tolerable quality: but in a sandy loam it will make the most remarkable progress; and, unless inclining to moisture, the leaves soon lose their verdure in the autumn. It is well adapted to lawns, and particularly to extensive parks, not only from the great size and spreading form of the top, but from the quantity of nuts it produces, which are an excellent food for deer in the rutting season. For single trees the Horse-Chestnut stands almost unrivalled in the ornamental department; and, when in full flower, I can name no tree which is so gay, and at the same time so splendid. Gilpin, nevertheless, calls it "heavy and disagreeable;" and complains of its being without "those breaks which give an airiness and lightness, or at least a richness, to the

\* Miller *in voce*.

whole foliage."\* But Gilpin is prejudiced against this tree, as well as the Beech, and speaks merely as a painter; by the rules of whose art, delightful as it is, the judicious planter must not at all times be governed.

Of all the trees with which we are acquainted, the Horse-Chestnut, perhaps, may be said to transplant with the greatest facility. From the disposition to multiply which, on being cut, its roots and fibres display, there is none that may be better prepared for the purpose. Ignorance and unskilfulness may throw it back; but scarcely any degree of ill usage will kill it outright in the process of removal. Miller says that, in transplantation, neither the roots nor the branches should be cut; for that there is scarcely any tree that will not bear amputation better. In respect to the roots, I can only say that I never experience any such delicacy, and that they bear preparation fully as well as those of the Lime or the Ash.

In respect to the wood, it is of small value. For making underground pipes for the conveyance of water, it will stand better than many harder woods; and in some of the northern counties of England it is found useful to the turner. According to Hanbury, swine will fatten on the nuts. Upon estates which are under the fetters of a strict Scottish entail, I should recommend the Horse-Chestnut to be especially cultivated as an ornament to the park, for no extravagant heir will ever cut it down for the value of the timber.

It is an estimable property in this tree, that its roots are of a very hardy nature, and not easily injured by drought; also, that it can be removed at almost any season, from the fall till the coming out of the leaves. As to the roots, the severest frost will scarcely hurt them; and I have found large trees succeed admirably in trans-

\* Forest Scenery, vol. i. p. 64.

planting, although both their roots and fibres had been exposed to a frosty atmosphere, with little covering, for weeks together. This property affords great facilities to the planter in executing designs of any magnitude, where it is impossible to bestow an equal degree of attention upon every object.

The Horse-Chestnut has no fault but one, and that is brittleness, which renders it obnoxious to the winds, and is often the cause of the branches being defaced by them. The fact is noticed by Evelyn; but he does not add, because, perhaps, he was not aware, that it is by eddy winds only that material injury is done, and such as do not hit the tree *fairly*.\* This affords a useful lesson to the transplanter in the disposition of his trees of that species; but the great boast of the Horse-Chestnut, and what makes it so delightful in this climate, is its early leaf, and the turgid richness of its opening buds in the spring, long before the foliation takes place, and when there is little else to cheer the eye in the shape of verdure.

#### THE LIME.

Of the Lime or Linden tree, (*Tilia*), which is indigenous to Britain, botanists now make but one species, the *Tilia europæa*. All the rest, which are numerous, are set down as varieties. The two principal are the red and the green-twigged, of which the former is by far the more beautiful, and more deserving of cultivation.† Both kinds, when raised from the seed, will grow to the height of seventy or eighty feet or more; but they are deteriorated like all other trees by the practice of raising them from layers. The family of Linnæus, the father of botany, is said to have had its name from this tree.

\* NOTE IX.

† NOTE X.

The Bunwood, a broad-leaved lime, (*Tilia americana*), is from North America, and is justly recommended by Dr Yule for cultivation in this country. It rises to eighty feet in height; and from the breadth of the leaves, and the elegance of its pendulous flowers, would prove extremely ornamental to our lawns. The abundance of this stately species near the Lakes Ontario and Erie, gives sufficient earnest of its hardy character, while it diminishes in frequency as you proceed southwards.\*

There are few forest trees that make a richer appearance on the lawn than the Lime, and none that merits to be more assiduously cultivated in our parks. When avenues were in fashion in England, this tree was a greater favourite than it is at present. But its smooth and upright stem, its head of elliptic form, its flowers the delight of bees, its ample and floating foliage, all conspire to render it an object of uncommon dignity and beauty. Gilpin, with his usual fastidiousness, thinks it too formal and uniform in its outline. To my eye it possesses a character at once graceful and magnificent:—

“Stat Philyra; haud omnes formosior altera surgit  
Inter Hamadryadas; mollissima, candida, lævis,  
Et viridante comâ, et beneolenti flore superba,  
Spargit adoratam latè atque æqualiter umbram.”

CONLEIUS, Lib. vi.

Although it loses its leaves earlier in the autumn than some other trees, the red-twigged (which should always be preferred) in some measure compensates for that defect by the beautiful colour of its spray throughout the winter. For single and scattered trees the Lime is particularly fitted, from the excellence of its shade as well as the toughness of its branches, which last are very

\* Mem. Caledon. Hort. Soc. vol. ii. p. 393. NOTE XI.

rarely damaged by the winds ; and should they occasionally suffer, there is no tree we know whose wounds so speedily heal.

The Lime loves a deep and rich loam, but with a dry subsoil, in which species of ground it will shoot surprisingly, and attain a great size. But it is singular that this essential requisite in the subsoil has not been sufficiently attended to by preceding writers, and, I am inclined to think, as little by planters ; else we should much oftener see this elegant tree in perfection. A subsoil of cold clay or bog it abhors ; and a fine Lime I believe, of large dimensions, cannot be pointed out upon such a bottom. So adverse indeed is it to wet, that when it begins to languish in consequence of being planted on a thin mould, with a stiff clay below, it may be successively earthed up like celery in the kitchen garden, and thereby got into vigorous health. The process is a simple one. A body of good mould, about eighteen inches deep, and perhaps four or five yards in diameter, is laid upon the surface round the tree. A new set of roots from the stem is speedily thrown out, immediately above the old ones ; and the whole subsistence of the tree, together with a renovation of its vigour, is supplied by the superinduced stratum. The practice is probably new ; but he who tries it with care on a Lime which he is anxious to renovate, will find it to be successful.\*

The utility of the Lime, as now considered, is not great ; so little that, when compared with that of the Oak, the Ash, and some other trees, I should not hesitate to recommend it as a suitable companion to the Horse-Chestnut in wooding the parks of Scotland, wherever the owner is partial to his place, and the estate happens to be

\* NOTE XII.

under a strict entail. The uses, however, of the tree are associated with some pleasing recollections.

The wood of the Lime, according to Evelyn, if well grown, is applicable to most purposes which the Willow supplies, and is superior to it in being stronger as well as lighter. It is in use by the turner for light bowls, boxes, and dishes. Its charcoal is celebrated, and is said to make as good gunpowder as that of the Alder itself. One circumstance should recommend the Lime to all lovers of the imitative arts. No wood is less subject to the worm, or so easily formed under the carver's chisel. The celebrated Gibbon (whom Evelyn not without justice styles the English Lysippus, and whom he successfully recommended to Charles II.) always used it for that curious and elegant sculpture with which this ingenious artist adorned St Paul's Cathedral, the Duke of Devonshire's at Chatsworth, Trinity College Library, Cambridge, together with several of the old houses of the nobility.

Evelyn likewise, with whom the tree is a favourite, very loyally reminds us, that "its extraordinary candour and lightness has dignified it above all the woods of our forest, in the hands of the white staff-officers of his Majesty's imperial household."\* The stately Limes in St James's Park were planted at the suggestion of Evelyn for the improvement of the air of that neighbourhood, as pointed out in his *Fumifugium*, in the same way as they are planted in Holland along the sides of the canals, where their flowers surprisingly refresh and perfume the air during the months of July and August.

It seems to be clearly ascertained, that the ancient *Philyra* was the *Tilia* or Lime tree. By the Greeks its wood was made into bottles, which they finely rosined

\* Silva, vol. i. p. 206.—Edit. Hunter.

inside. The Romans highly esteemed it for its shade, and considered it as among the most useful of trees. *Tiliæ ad mille usus petendæ*.\* They made writing tablets of the bark, and they cut the thin skin which lies between the bark and the wood into shreds, and used it for tying garlands and chaplets, as we do ribbon. Paper for writing was also made of it, as is attested by Pliny.

It has been said above, in speaking of the Horse-Chestnut, that perhaps no tree transplants with so much facility. If there be any one that merits the praise of equalling it, it is the Lime. The roots of the latter are naturally fibrous; they bear preparation well, or they do without it; and a skilful pruner, while the tree is in the transplanting nursery, will in a short time train the top, either in the spiral or the spreading style, as best suits the views of the planter. In large designs of transplanting it gives a pleasing variety to underwood; but, as it is a quick grower, it must be carefully kept under the discipline of the knife, in order to make it retain that character.

It may be also said of this tree, as of the Horse-Chestnut and the Elm, that it accommodates itself to all seasons of the year for transplanting, from November till May; and that, although it may be injured by violence or want of skill during the process, it will scarcely ever be killed outright by it. In the case of severe drought immediately succeeding the time of removal, or late frosts in the spring, it is apt sometimes to lose its top shoots. It forms, however, the best subject, together with the Horse-Chestnut, the Elm, and the Sycamore, on which the inexperienced planter may try his skill.

\* Plin. Hist. Natur. Lib. xviii. p. 28.



## THE SCOTCH FIR.

From the very different and sometimes superficial way in which this noble tree (*Pinus sylvestris*) is treated by the best writers, considerable perplexity respecting it is occasioned to the practical planter. Neither the arrangement of Linnæus, the industry of Miller, nor the learning of Lambert has been sufficient to discriminate the different kinds, in as far as practical utility is concerned. Linnæus, in the later editions of his great work, classes the whole under one general head, (*Pinus*), and enumerates only twelve species. Miller gives fourteen, Martyn twenty-one, and Lambert no fewer than three-and-thirty, including varieties. But, unless it be for the particular value of some kinds not indigenous, one-third part of this number may suffice for the purposes of the planter in this climate.\*

The Scotch Fir, or, as it should more properly be called, the Scotch Pine, is the only Terebinthine tree that is a native of Britain; yet three other species are as well known, and have so long been naturalised—namely, the Spruce Fir (*P. abies*), the Silver Fir (*P. pinea*), and the Larch (*P. larix*)—that all the four are usually considered as separate trees. The obvious differences between their leaves and their fruit sufficiently entitle them to that distinction. But our present business is with the Scotch Fir only.

The Scotch Fir (*P. sylvestris*) especially belongs to our northern districts. It is a tree that grows to great magnitude, and will live for at least four hundred years. More than three hundred and sixty rings or circles have been counted in a Swedish Fir, all of sound wood.

\* NOTE XIII.

When Cæsar, who is extremely accurate as to facts, said that there were no Fir trees in Britain, it is plain that he meant the Spruce, from the word (*Abies*) made use of by him on that occasion, as has been noticed above.\* That the Scotch Fir abounded here prior to the Roman conquest we have the most indubitable proof, in the fact of the tree being found of great size *under* the extensive roads throughout the island, which were constructed by that extraordinary people. This tree is likewise common to almost every country of Europe, and even to several parts of the torrid zone.†

The Scotch Fir being, of all the Pine family, the most valuable for timber, is on that account the most worthy of being cultivated. It has the three following varieties, which it is peculiarly important to distinguish.

First; the common Fir, usually planted in Britain, and well known by the branches forming a pyramidical head. This affords altogether an inferior sort of timber.

Secondly; the spreading or horizontal Fir; so called from the circumstance of the branches coming out much more horizontally from the stem, then bending downwards and forming a spreading top. This kind appears to be that hardy and free growing tree, of which the extensive forests that once covered the country were composed, and are still to be seen in the Highlands of Scotland. The wood of this tree is of the most valuable description.

Thirdly; the pyramidical Fir, with light coloured serrulated leaves, by which it is chiefly to be distinguished from the sort first mentioned. The wood of this kind is likewise very excellent.—There is also a fourth variety, but it appears to be of little value.‡

\* See Note X. Sect. IV. *antea*.

† NOTE XIV.

‡ NOTE XV.

The discovery of these several varieties, if not distinct species, we owe to the diligence of Mr George Don, nurseryman at Forfar in the county of Angus. Several years previously to the appearance of Mr Don's ingenious paper, in the memoirs of the Caledonian Horticultural Society, I had observed the spreading or horizontal Fir, by the very same characteristics which he has noticed, and set it down as a tree of first-rate picturesque merit; but I must acknowledge that the two other kinds, besides the common Fir, had escaped my attention. Mr Don, therefore, is fairly entitled to the merit of the discovery, and also for its application to the most useful purposes.

By his ingenious researches, it appears that the great cause of the deterioration so visible in our Fir plantations, independently altogether of soil and climate, is that they consist almost entirely of the two kinds first and last mentioned above, with few plants among them of the second and third sorts. To this may be added another cause, which operates also greatly in producing the white, soft, and perishable wood generally in use, and that is, the want of a proper age in the tree; but that is a disadvantage which is sure to attend all trees planted merely for the purpose of nursing others. There is good reason to believe, that were these two hardy and aboriginal sorts planted in mountainous districts in the south and north, and permitted to grow to a proper age, they would equal the wood of Norway or the Baltic; and, indeed, the comparative trials that have been already made of such timber, from the Duke of Atholl's estates, and the best Dram or Memel logs, have gone a great way to establish the fact. Dr Smith, in his essay on the production of timber, asserts, that he had seen Fir-wood in the North Highlands which,

“after it had been for three hundred years in the roof of an old castle, was as fresh and full of sap (resin) as any newly imported from Memel.” This is an important subject, and well deserving the attention of the great planters, whether of England or Scotland.\*

Besides the estimable species above described, there are one or two others of the Pine family which planters should diligently propagate, viz—1st. The maritime or sea Pine, (*P. maritima*), which has been found so admirably fitted to resist the sea-breeze on the bleakest coasts of France. 2d. The Pinaster or cluster Pine, (*P. pinaster*), perhaps the most beautiful of all the Pine genus. This has been successfully used in Scotland for the same purpose. 3d. The red Pine of Canada, which thrives in high geographical latitudes in its native country. 4th. The swamp Pine of Georgia (*P. australis* or *palustris*), as recommended by Michaux and Dr Yule. 5th. The Weymouth Pine, (*P. strobus*), another American species, long known and esteemed in Britain for its stately form and excellent wood, the cultivation of which in America was enforced by an act of parliament in the time of Queen Anne, and likewise for its power of resisting the storm in maritime exposures.†

There are few trees that possess the same beauty and value as the Scotch fir : but instead of being esteemed in proportion to its merit, there is, perhaps, none that has suffered in the same degree from united prejudice and ignorance. From its uncommon hardiness, as well as utility, it has been put to the most general and servile offices, and then both misrepresented and vilified. “The Scotch Fir,” says an author of some name among the English writers on planting, “is one of the *last* trees that

\* NOTE XVI.

† NOTE XVII.

ought to engage the attention of the British planter, and should be invariably excluded from every soil and situation in which any other timber tree can be made to flourish. The north aspect of black and barren heights is the only situation in which it ought to be *tolerated*, and even *there* the Larch is found to outbrave it. On better soils, and milder situations, the *wood* of the Scotch Fir is *worth little*, and its growth is so licentious, as to overrun every thing which grows in its immediate neighbourhood. This renders it wholly unfit to be associated with other timber trees : we, therefore, now discard it entirely from *useful plantations*.”\*

Here is a most sweeping and authoritative edict, of which the petulance is as remarkable as the want of information that is displayed in it. On any other subject, it would be difficult to point out as much nonsense and as much ignorance in the same number of sentences. Even the *facts* of the case are all the very reverse of what are so dogmatically set forth. This altogether is the more remarkable in Marshall, as he is not among the merely theoretical writers on woods, but was a planter of considerable skill, and not insensible to picturesque effect. When men of this description adopt, and endeavour to propagate, such absurdities, it may easily be imagined how prejudice is fostered and errors multiplied among others, who are unable to judge for themselves. To the honour, however, of two of the most accomplished scholars and most pleasing writers of the present day, Messrs Gilpin and Price, to whom the respectable name of Pontey may be added, they have done much to counteract such opinions, and to bring the merit of this noble tree into the notice it deserves. Gilpin, like all painters of a nice perception of their art, is sometimes fastidious ; but, in

\* Marshall's Rural Ornament, vol. i. p. 145.

his vindication of the Scotch Fir, his remarks are full of good sense and correct taste.

It may be said, with some justice, that the Scotch Fir is very rarely seen in perfection in Britain ; and, of late years, it appears to have greatly degenerated. For both of these, several reasons may be assigned. In the first place, it is seldom planted in such a way as to be able to expand and display its natural character, which other trees, more or less, are allowed to do. Scotch Firs are usually raised in such close and compact masses, that their lateral branches soon drop off, and they grow to poles ; on which the heads are stuck like so many sweeping bushes on their handles. Were they disposed as single trees, or in judicious groups, their aspect would be very different. No doubt all trees, as has been already observed, when crowded together, rise in perpendicular stems. But the Pine tribe has this peculiar disadvantage attending them, that their side branches, when once injured, never recover or shoot out again.

Secondly ; this tree, when allowed free room to spread, does not, in what Gilpin call its "stripling state," possess so much beauty as at an after period. But when fifty or sixty years have passed, and it becomes, as the nurserymen call it, clump-headed, the stem then takes an easy sweep, and the lateral branches grow as beautifully and negligently as those of deciduous trees of the most picturesque character.\* But Gilpin, when he made this remark, which is extremely just, was not aware that there are two distinct species of the tree commonly cultivated, and that it is to one of these only, the spreading or horizontal sort, that the description is applicable.

In the third place ; the deterioration of the Scotch Fir, within the last fifty or sixty years, is established

\* Forest Scenery, vol. i. pp. 88, 91.

beyond a doubt. We have the authority of Mr Don for believing, that, of the Fir-trees generally planted, not one in ten is of the spreading or most valuable sort ; and yet, that almost all the ancient Pine woods of the north appear to be of this particular species. Planters have not as yet had science and observation enough to discriminate between the kinds, nor nurserymen sufficient encouragement to raise them separately. The spiral or pyramidal Fir is much more prolific in cones than the horizontal ; and, as the seed-gatherers are paid by the quantity and not the quality of the seed, it is natural that they should prefer the former tree. Thus it happens that the nurseries of the kingdom, as in the case of the Oak, have been for many years overstocked and deteriorated by a plant of which the wood is far less valuable than that of the aboriginal kind, while, at the same time, it is both less rapid in its growth and less hardy in its character.

Besides these main causes of the unpopularity of the Scotch Fir, some fastidious or ignorant persons have objected to its colour. Mason, who, if we may believe his own account, knew something of painting as well as poetry, and should therefore have judged better, seems to have had this prejudice. He says—

“ The Scottish Fir,  
In murky files, lifts its inglorious head,  
And blots the fair horizon.”\*

The idea, however it may be poetical, is far from being just : for as to colour, we have the authority of the same great master of the picturesque just now referred to, for believing that little distinction is ever made respecting it by the painter, in whose art all beauty arising from that source results, not from the colours themselves, but from

\* English Garden, b. i. 229.

their harmonising or contrasting with other colours in their neighbourhood. Therefore, as this tree is stationed, supported, or contrasted, it forms a pleasing tint, or a murky spot in composition.\* The truth is, that both the foliage and the colour of the Scotch Fir, especially of the spreading kind, are beautiful. The disposition of its branches is, moreover, strikingly irregular and picturesque, not unlike that of the stone Pine, or the Pinaster. It also resembles the former of these fine trees in its bark, which, at a proper age, is of a rich reddish brown colour.

If these remarks be just, which I conceive them to be, no man has a right to depreciate the Scotch Fir who has seen it only as a nurse to other trees, and not expanding in the careless forms of nature, and likewise at a mature age. At Inverary, at Taymouth, at Dunkeld, it may be so seen; also, conspicuously, in the upper park at Hamilton: and Gilpin mentions some noble specimens of the tree at Thirkleby in Yorkshire, and Basilsleigh in Berkshire. The ramification of the fine Fir trees at Hamilton clearly shows that they are of the horizontal species. It closely resembles that of the Oak, particularly as their great arms are thrown out nearly at right angles to the trunk. And the whole style of their tops is so spreading and majestic, that, at a distance, they are not unfrequently taken for Oaks.

The Scotch Fir lives on dry and sandy soil; and, where that is deep, it will grow to a great size. On rich loams, drained peat moss, and the like, the progress of the tree is far more rapid, but the wood is also much inferior in point of quality. It is, however, wonderful how it accommodates itself to every sort of soil, whether clay, loam, gravel, or chalk. As a nurse for other trees, it is altogether unequalled; and in that

\* Forest Scenery, vol. i. pp. 89, 90.



important office it will grow in barren and exposed situations, where no other tree, not even the Larch, is found to succeed. As to the Larch "outbraving" it any where, the thing has no existence, except in Marshall's own distorted representations.

There are few trees that have been applied to more various or important uses than this. The tallest and straightest furnish masts for our navy. The timber is far more valuable than that of any other of the Pine genus. It is resinous, durable, and applicable to numberless domestic purposes, as common deal, which is variously red and yellow, but most generally white. The spreading or horizontal Fir, brought slowly to maturity in the Scottish Highlands, on gravelly soils, is believed to be equal to the best Pine of Norway or Sweden; and were a profitable return the sole object of planting, there is, perhaps, no method of obtaining it so speedy and certain, as by successive crops or plantations of Scotch Fir, or of Scotch Fir and Larch together; the ground being always replanted, after the trees are felled, and a crop or two of grain intermediately taken.

Exclusively of the value of the wood, it is a curious fact, that no fewer than eleven distinct substances, as enumerated by Lambert, are obtained by various processes from this tree. These are liquid resin or turpentine, extract of the juice, yellow resin, essential oil, common resin, black resin or colophony, tar, tar-water, pitch, lamp black, and bark bread; which last, as Linnæus states, affords food to the Laplanders during a great part of the year. In several parts of the Highlands, the roots are dug up, and, being divided into small splinters, serve the inhabitants for candles.\*

\* See Lambert's Monogr. on the Genus Pinus.

Considering the beauty as well as the hardiness of this noble tree, it is a subject of regret that it is so rarely to be seen in the parks of either Scotland or England, excepting in crowded masses, or at an early age, as nurses to other trees. In summer, its beautiful green, if properly disposed and contrasted, would never offend a correct taste; and in winter it would be invaluable, during the general absence of other verdure. In this view, I grieve to say that it does not transplant easily. Miller, who is generally extremely accurate as to facts, observes, that neither its roots nor branches bear amputation well, owing to the quantity of turpentine which issues from the wounds, and thereby weakens the tree. This is unfavourable to the process both of preparing and taking up; accordingly, I have found that the roots, which are naturally scraggy, have, on being cut, little disposition to throw out fresh fibres; and thus a sufficient number of mouths is not provided for conveying nourishment to the tree during the first season after removal, which is always the precarious one.

I have, however, transplanted the Scotch Fir (as a park tree) from eighteen to thirty feet high, and thirty inches or three feet in girth, after three years' preparation, and also without it. The success may be said to have been tolerable in such an experiment, as I lost only about one-sixth part of the whole number removed. As to the best season for executing the work, I have tried it variously, in the end of March, in May, and in the middle of July; and I am extremely doubtful which I should recommend as the most advisable. As an evergreen, it ought to succeed best either late in the spring, or soon after midsummer. But, on the other hand, in taking up this tree when the sap is in full flow, so much injury is found to be done to the fibres, from the extreme tender-

ness of their outer coat, as nearly to counterbalance the advantages which those two seasons are otherwise calculated to confer. In these circumstances, perhaps, the most proper times would be one of the two following; either in the end of March, before the sap begins to ascend, but certainly as near that period as possible; or otherwise, in the end of October, when the leaves of other trees begin to be discoloured.

I should much recommend it to those who have very open plantations of the Pinaster, or cluster Pine, to make a trial of that beautiful tree for the park. From its hardy character in its native country, and its resemblance to the spreading Fir, in its ramification and general character, I have no doubt but that a skilful attempt to remove it would be attended with success. For this purpose no previous preparation of the roots would probably be necessary, if it were taken from good rooting ground. I earnestly regret that I am myself possessed of no fit subjects on which to make the experiment.

I have dwelt longer on the subject of the Scotch Fir than to some, perhaps, might seem necessary; but justice, as well as good taste, seemed to require the discussion. If what has been here said may tend to do away unfounded prejudice, or extend important information, I shall feel gratified in contributing to recommend to notice a tree which is not less beautiful than useful, and which, I predict, will, within half a century, become an especial favourite with the public.

#### THE WILD CHERRY, OR GEEN TREE.

This beautiful tree, (*Prunus cerasus*), though properly belonging to the orchard, and bearing an excellent fruit, may appear in the park or lawn with great effect. In

Scotland it is named the Geen, or Guigne tree, an appellation obviously of French origin.\*

The Cherry, (of which this is a variety,) though originally a native of Asia Minor, (having been brought to Rome from Cerasus in Pontus, by Lucullus,) has been long naturalised to the climate of Europe; and, accordingly, the wild species now ranks among our most elegant forest trees, chiefly on account of the splendour of its blossom during the spring. Ray, however, truly observes, that the wild species is indigenous, and existed in Europe long before the time of Lucullus. "Were the tree scarce," says Hanbury, "and with much difficulty propagated, every man, though possessed of a single tree only, would look upon it as a treasure. For, besides the charming appearance these trees have, when besnowed, as it were, all over with bloom in the spring, can any tree of the vegetable tribe be conceived more beautiful, striking, and grand, at the period when the fruit is ripe?"

The wild Cherry is not only extremely ornamental as a lawn tree, but it is also a hardy and useful plant. It will shoot freely in a soil composed of loam mixed with gravel, (provided the subsoil be tolerably dry,) though not of the richest quality, and rise to fifty or sixty feet in height. Its wood is of superior value, being singularly fine in the grain, and nearly equal to mahogany for the various purposes of cabinet work. The gum that exudes from it is nearly equal to gum-arabic. At Whixley, near Wetherby in Yorkshire, there are some noble trees of this species; and it is to be regretted, considering their hardy character, that they are so rarely to be seen in the parks of Scotland.

There is no tree that transplants better than the wild

\* NOTE XVIII.

Cherry, (if properly prepared in its branches, as well as roots,) from November till April; and there is no tree that recovers more easily from the operation. Although the roots are less fibrous than those of the Beech, the Sycamore, and the Lime, yet, after three years' training in the transplanting nursery, it may be removed of a great size, and with as much certainty of success as any one of those favourite trees, and without the loss of a twig or a branch.

#### THE LARCH.

This useful tree (*Pinus larix*) is classed in the Pine family by botanists; and it forms a sort of connecting species between the deciduous class and the evergreens, as, like the former, it annually sheds its leaves. It is a native of the south of Europe, and of Siberia, and was cultivated in England as early as 1629. Miller gives three species of the tree. One of them is the far-famed Cedar of Libanus, (*Cedrus conifera, foliis laricis*,) which is a very hardy plant, and worthy of more extensive cultivation than it has hitherto met with in Britain. The two most useful kinds, however, are those usually planted—namely, the white or common Larch, (*Larix pyramidalis*,) and the black Larch of Canada (*Larix pendula*.) To these we may very properly add the red Larch, (*Larix tenuifolia*,) likewise from the same country.\*

The Larch is a native of the Alps and the Apennines, where it grows to a tree of vast size and value. But as it is not easy to say whether it has been most extolled in ancient or in modern times, all laboured encomium is in this place superfluous. Livy, Vitruvius, and the elder

\* NOTE XIX.

Pliny have all celebrated it. Strabo speaks of Alpine trees in his time, used for ship-building, that measured eight feet in diameter.\* And we know that, in the present day, ship masts of Larch, of a hundred and ten and a hundred and twenty feet long, are floated from the district of Valais, through the Lake of Geneva, down the Rhone, to Toulon, on the Mediterranean.

The Larch possesses a surprising facility of accommodating itself to every variety of soil and situation, unless it be wet and obdurate clay, or very rich and deep loam, in which there is no calcareous matter. It appears, however, to thrive best on soils of second-rate quality, and especially in such as are thin, gravelly, and calcareous. More hardy than any other tree—the Scotch Pine, perhaps, excepted—it is a quicker grower than any other, whether as the staple of the plantation, or as a nurse to other plants.

Without overpraising this extraordinary tree, it may be said that, in point of utility, it is the greatest acquisition of which this country has to boast since planting was introduced into it. The purposes to which the Larch may be applied, and to almost all with success, are so multifarious and important, that, if detailed, they would seem incredible were not the facts attested by experience—to house-building, to ship-building, to cabinet-making, to husbandry, &c. It possesses the power of bearing the extremes of not only moisture and dryness, but the alternations of both, better than any other tree. It is, therefore, unequalled in the construction of mill-dams, machinery, sluices, canals, piles, gate-posts, and the like. It has the singular property of inflaming with difficulty, as was long since observed by Pliny and Vitruvius;† and of being quite unassailable by the worm. The tree, like-

\* Georg. lib. iv. p. 202, Edit. Causab.

† NOTE XX.

wise, is said never to shrink or warp when made up into work ; an opinion which receives sufficient countenance from the fact, that more than three centuries since, before canvass was in use, it was upon boards of Larch-wood that Raphael and other great artists of that day painted some of their most celebrated pictures. But the boards must have been cut from trees of mature age, and of a very different texture from those of this country ; for experience has shown, that British Larch of thirty and even fifty years old, is by no means distinguished for this valuable quality.\*

The wood, likewise, in Switzerland, is turned to another useful purpose by the peasants in the neighbourhood of the Alps. They cut it into shingles of about a foot long, and half an inch thick, and use them as a covering for their houses ; which within a short time, by the oozing out of the resin, becomes impenetrable to rain. The bark of the tree, also, possesses the tanning principle in a remarkable degree, more so, as it is believed, than any other except the Oak ; and it has of late years been extensively applied to that object. It is from the Larch and the silver Fir that Venice turpentine is extracted ; a substance, however, which derives nothing but the name from the Venetian territories.

“The Larch (as Gilpin truly observes) which we have in England, compared with the Larch of the Alps, is a diminutive plant, and little more than the puny inhabitant of a garden.”† It is in his estimation too formal in its growth ; it is neither grand nor noble in its character, and has no picturesque beauty in this country ; an opinion in which I entirely agree with him. He admits that it may be called an “elegant tree,” which is saying as much

\* NOTE XXI.

† Forest Scenery, vol. i. p. 76.

as can be said for it, in its early youth, before it acquire that scragginess and formality which so strikingly mark it at a more advanced period. In fact, I have great difficulty in persuading myself that the three noble and most picturesque trees which are seen growing at Dunkeld, near the house, raised from real Alpine seed, can ever belong to the same species. Instead of the formal appearance of the ordinary Larch, those majestic Pines assume the easy sweep of stem, and the spreading ramification of the Pinaster and horizontal Fir, in their grandest and most pleasing forms; while there is, besides, a sweeping and negligent pendency in the spray, which neither of those trees exhibits. As there are, therefore, the strongest reasons for believing that the Larch has degenerated in Britain, in both its wood and external appearance, I should advise that we have frequent recourse to the Alps for our seed.\*

In respect to the appearance of the tree, I take the liberty to assert, how adverse soever it may be to the opinion of many, that although the value of the Larch is high and unquestionable to the British planter, yet, as we see it here, it has no claim to be held as an *ornament* to our parks and pleasure-grounds, except for its early leaf, and its forming a good opposition tint in autumn. Whatever comes out a full fortnight, and sometimes three weeks, sooner than the generality of trees, must, however, have considerable value in this climate; and on that account the Larch and the Thorn, sprinkled here and there in the vicinity of the mansion, and indeed over the park in general, are calculated during the spring to produce a very cheerful effect. In these sentiments, I fear, I shall be considered as barbarous and gothic, in no small degree,

\* NOTE XXII.



by those who constantly rave about the *uncommon beauty* of the Larch, and be held as grievously sinning against correct taste. Should it be so, I shall console myself by reflecting, that if on this subject I do err, I err at least in good company, having Gilpin and the painters to keep me in countenance.\*

It is with the view only of procuring early leaf that I have sometimes transplanted the Larch, from eighteen to thirty feet high, and about three in circumference, eighteen inches from the ground ; I mean, of course, the white or common Larch (*Larix pyramidalis*.)† But its roots, like those of its hardy relative the Scotch Pine, are not disposed to become fibrous. Neither is it, like that tree, at all patient of removal ; or perhaps I may have been more negligent in preparing it than subjects of greater consequence. I cannot therefore say, that my success has been very extraordinary, although I mean to subject it to further experiment. To those who happen to have proper subjects of the black Larch, (*L. pendula*,) I should recommend it for removal in preference to the white, as it is a much hardier tree, and incomparably a more rapid grower ; and it has been extensively raised in Britain within the last threescore years.

#### THE BIRCH.

Although there is no tree of greater lightness and elegance than our native Birch, (*Betula alba*,) it is rather more fitted for woods and shrubberies than for the open park. There is a striking variety, with pendulous branches, named the weeping Birch. Besides these, three American species have been added to our catalogue, which promise

\* NOTE XXIII.

† NOTE XXIV.

to be hardy as well as stately acquisitions. 1. The mahogany Birch, (*Betula lenta*,) or cherry Birch of Canada. 2. The yellow Birch (*Betula lutea*) of Nova Scotia. 3. The black Birch, (*Betula nigra*,) which has of late been pretty common in the British plantations.\*

The Birch in this climate seldom attains a great size, and its wood, though not held as of much value, is yet turned to a greater variety of purposes than that of most other trees. In the neighbourhood of large towns, it makes chiefly hoops and brooms; in the country it is applied to harrows, ox-yokes, and other rural implements; also it is much used in turnery and wheel-work, and in collieries for different purposes underground.

In Lapland, it is of singular use in the economy of the natives. The branches supply them with their beds and chief fuel; and the seeds are the food of the ptarmigan, which constitutes a considerable part of their sustenance. The Moxa also is prepared from it, which they consider as an efficacious remedy in all painful diseases.

As the Birch abounds in the Highlands of Scotland, it may be called the universal and indispensable wood for the natives of those districts. I speak from my own personal knowledge, in saying that they use this tree and the Hazel (*Corylus avellana*) for almost every purpose, domestic or agricultural. They cover their houses, they make their ploughs, harrows, sledges, paniers, pack-saddles, fences, gates, herring-barrels, and even their tables, chairs, and bowls, trenchers, and spoons of them. They make also ropes of Birch-bark, which are extremely useful. The wood of this tree, moreover, constitutes excellent fuel and very good charcoal.

The bark of the Birch contains a strong tanning pro-

\* NOTE XXV.

perty, and it is often mixed with that of the Oak for this purpose, in the proportion of one-fourth part, which is seldom objected to by the dealers in the latter. In ancient times, previously to the invention of paper, the inner white cuticle of the bark was used for writing-tablets. And the agreeable wine which is prepared from the tree, and is said to be a good medicine for the stone and gravel, was in high esteem before distillation from grain shed its baleful poison over the whole of the north of Europe. In Russia at present, (as M. Pallas in his Travels informs us,) the antiseptic oil to which the well-known leather of that country owes its fragrant smell, is extracted from the bark of this tree ; and the peasants of the same country, finding it nearly imperishable, shape it into a sort of tiles for covering their houses. In America, also, we find that the Indians construct canoes of it, which are very light and of long duration.

The Birch is one of the most hardy trees existing in Europe, and grows over almost all the northern parts of that Continent. From some late researches made in Sweden respecting the indigenous woods of the country, it was found growing nearer to the Pole than any other tree, and beyond the 70th degree of latitude ; while the Pine reached only the 69th, and the Fir the 68th degrees. In Scotland it is found in higher elevations than any other native tree, the mountain Ash (*Sorbus aucuparia*) only excepted.

The great merit of this singular tree is, that it will grow on *any* sort of soil ; on the poorest clay, on the most barren gravel or sand, in wet bog, and even on peat-moss, before the sun and air have fully decomposed it for most purposes. As a nurse to other trees, it is extolled by many planters of skill, and is frequently used for that office in England. To these rare qualities

when we add those of its singularly beautiful and pendent form, its variegated and picturesque bark, its early leaf, and the delicacy of its fragrant foliage, we may justly account it a plant very deserving of cultivation.

As a lawn-tree, I think, the Birch seldom figures advantageously, from its want of a due spread of top. It is said, by some naturalists, to be but short-lived ; that it reaches its full maturity in about seventy years, and never attains any great size in our climate. This opinion of its want of longevity seems to be a popular error, founded most probably on the fact of its never being *allowed* to live very long, owing to the multifarious uses for which it is always in request. I had at this place, twenty years since, some fine old Birches, probably of three times the age just now stated, between sixty and seventy feet high, and more than nine feet in girth—which, I imagine, is as large as the celebrated ones in Darnaway Forest in Morayshire, and nearly the largest size which the tree reaches in Scotland. These fine Birches unfortunately stood in woods (I sincerely wish it had been on the lawn ; ) but they are all now decayed, except one, which is still in tolerable health, and is believed to be at least two centuries old. It measures more than ten feet in circumference at three feet from the ground ; and what is most remarkable, is, that in its general ramification it closely resembles the spreading Oak, as well as in the shortness of its stem, and also in throwing out its horizontal arms to the distance of more than twenty feet on every side.\* As the soil of some parts of the park seems particularly to suit the Birch, I was desirous, about fifteen years ago, that this singular tree, being in the last stage of its existence, should have a worthy successor, if such could be found for it. Accordingly I trained and prepared for some years one of the nearest to it in form and character,

\* This tree was blown down in 1841.—ED.

that I could find in the transplanting nurseries, both in respect to its branches and its roots, and then removed the young tree to the open lawn. The ground on which it stands is rather light and of inferior quality ; but it is now in great vigour, about eight-and-twenty feet high, and two feet eight inches in girth eighteen inches from the ground, and shooting from fifteen to eighteen inches yearly. In fact it exhibits one of the most perfect pictures possible of what Gilpin calls "stripling" beauty in trees—the light and airy spray of the Birch united with the picturesque ramification of the Oak and the Chestnut. I think Mr Pontey would consider it as no bad specimen of pruning and training for picturesque effect.\*

The Birch may be removed of very considerable size, and with great success, provided that due care be taken to protect its tender and fibrous roots from the effects of drought during the first summer after removal. Like the Beech it is very sensitive in this particular, and for that reason it should be transplanted, like the Beech, soon after autumn, or at least as early in the winter as possible. Three years' preparation is the least that will give you fibres in sufficient number.

Where a particular object is to be seen through trees, or under their branches, sometimes concealing and sometimes revealing it among their playful foliage, I know no tree that excels the Birch. Perhaps it equals the light and airy form of the spreading Beech itself, for that picturesque purpose. In the park, however, I have used it sparingly, but as copse in great profusion ; and from its hardy character, early leaf, and peculiar fragrance after rain, it may be considered as almost invaluable for under-wood.

\* See a delineation of it, plate II. ; also NOTE XXVI.—The size of this Birch was six feet one inch in circumference two feet from the ground, and forty-five feet high.—ED.

# NOTES AND ILLUSTRATIONS.

---

## SECTION I.

### NOTE I. Page 4.

WHOEVER is acquainted with the pursuits and information of the generality of landowners and country gentlemen, will be disposed to give full credit to the assertion here made in the text, and also to the following anecdote, which I shall mention for the amusement of the reader.

In the county of —, in which as large sums have been laid out in planting as in most others within the last half century, a gentleman, who is curious and intelligent about woods, and entertains the same opinion of the generality of our planters as I do, was, some few years since, remarking in a public company the almost universal want of science, or even of ordinary knowledge, that prevails on a topic so generally interesting. Not finding many persons agree with him in this sentiment, he offered a bet of five to one, that no gentleman present should, within three months, name three persons, landholders in the county, who had executed large plantations, and were possessed of from £500 to £5000 a-year and upwards, that were able “to state with precision the different sorts of soils to which twelve of the principal forest trees planted in Britain were best adapted.”

The bet was on all hands allowed to be a very “sporting” one, and was immediately taken up. The taker of it next day set to work with his search. Being no planter himself, though a good agriculturist, he had no acquaintance with the subject in question: but he naturally enough imagined, that the species of knowledge, which was useless to him, must yet be valuable to others; and that therefore a planter could

no more be ignorant of *the soils best suited to his trees*, than a farmer could be of those *adapted to his wheat or his barley crops*. But at the end of the three months, he was reluctantly forced to acknowledge, that in the existing circumstances, the analogy was not a correct one; and three persons not being to be found of adequate information, he paid his money accordingly. During the course of the investigation, more than twenty planters aspired to the honours of the competition, all confident that they could easily gain him his bet. But when it came to the trial, the result was that *one person only*, in the county of —, was able to fulfil the prescribed conditions!

It has been remarked above, that so little are country gentlemen, or their gardeners, acquainted with either the planting or the management of woods, that it is truly “the blind leading the blind” in this important department of rural economy; and I cannot refrain from adding another anecdote, on the subject of soils, of which the facts came within my own knowledge.

A few months since, I was applied to by a friend to give him some advice respecting his trees. Wood, he said, grew so badly about his place, that, after the experience of forty years, he was almost discouraged from the cultivation of it. On visiting the spot, I perceived that his representation was but too well founded. As he felt a great partiality to Limes and Sycamores, he had transplanted those two sorts of trees all over his park, of eight and ten feet high, many years before; and that the work was executed in the best manner, he said, it was impossible to doubt, as it was done under the direction of his own gardener, who had *extensive experience and knowledge of wood*. But the gardener and himself both assured me, that the soil and climate were “altogether unfavourable to wood,” however either might suit husbandry or green crops. In proof of which they turned my attention to the trees, which indeed appeared stunted and unhealthy, with leaves of a yellowish-green colour, and growing about an inch or little more in a season.

On examining the soil, the cause of my friend’s want of success was at once apparent. It consisted of a rich but thin clay, naturally inclining to damp in the substratum, from the retention of moisture. My advice to him was very short; “Grub up your Limes and Sycamores, which you should never have planted; and which, unless by a miracle, could never grow to timber in such a soil. Replace them with Oak and Beech, of at least five-and-twenty feet high, and of two and three feet in girth, in order that they may be able to withstand the elements, and within a few years you will have thriving wood. But let *Oak* be the staple, whether of your plantations or your park-wood, with *such a soil*.”

To get advice is one thing, to follow it is another. I know not

whether the gardener's opinion or mine prevailed with the good-natured owner ; but the probability is that the place will remain *in statu quo*, and the badness of both soil and climate be deplored or reprobated for another generation.

## NOTE II. Page 5.

So general is the feeling among the best-informed classes respecting the want of intelligence on the important subject of WOOD, that I believe a proposal for the establishment of an ARBORICULTURAL SOCIETY in Scotland, if properly made, would be as ardently gone into as it would be universally approved. It is astonishing to think that, up to the present time, no such society should any where exist in the United Kingdoms. The importance and the uses of wood are so great and manifold, and its improvement of such paramount interest to the empire in general, and to individual districts in particular, that there are really few objects which are calculated to unite so many suffrages in their favour.

In respect to the beneficial results which the labours of such a society would produce, they are generally but very imperfectly hinted at in the text. Perhaps one of the most remarkable is the change that would take place in the character, education, and acquirements of our nurserymen, by far the most influential agents in the melioration of our future woods ; because it is upon *them* that we must depend for the nature of the materials. Should such a society be soon established, I should yet hope to see nurserymen come forth as they ought to do—able botanists, intelligent agriculturists and gardeners, vegetable physiologists of respectable information, and, in a word, men of general science.

Probably the truth is, that reformation, if it be begun in earnest, must begin elsewhere. Were the class of persons *first* mentioned in this enumeration in the text (namely, "well-informed landholders") by any means to rise up, the two others would follow as a necessary consequence. Let us hear one of the most candid and intelligent nurserymen in Scotland on the subject. On my observing to him lately, how much it was to be regretted that there was "no science" to be found among men of his profession, he replied nearly as follows :—

"Of what use or value, sir," said he, "would science be to us, while nothing of the kind is possessed by our employers ? As nurserymen, seedsmen, or florists, we are mere *dealers* in the articles we sell, in the same way as the shopkeeper is in sugar, snuff, or haberdashery goods ; only with this difference respecting us, that we raise or produce the article we sell, whereas the other has to buy or to sell it, after it has



been raised by others. Give gentlemen, who are the most partial to planting, but *cheap plants*, and they neither know nor care about the quality!

“No nurseryman, believe me, sir, (at least in this kingdom,) ever raised his reputation or extended his business by the superior quality of his trees, because that must have implied a superior *price*. BOUTCHER, the honestest and most judicious one we ever had, (a man more remarkable for the spirit of fair dealing than for any knowledge of the world,) made an attempt, about threescore years since, to improve Scottish arboriculture, and to convince the public of their injudicious anxiety for low-priced articles in our line. Had his merit been rewarded with that encouragement which it so eminently deserved, arboriculture would indeed have been improved under such an instructor. His excellent example would long ere now have rendered both science and information indispensable to our profession. But what happened? Boutcher was undervalued by the ignorance of his age. He was suffered to languish unsupported for years at Comely Garden, and died at last in obscurity and indigence. It would avail little in the present day to dwell on the ignorance and quackery of the men who supplanted him in the public favour. The work on ‘the raising of forest-trees,’ which he published by subscription, to relieve his wants, is a sufficient proof of his professional skill; and the detail of his practice is the severest satire on that of his successors. I conscientiously believe, that the millions of young trees at present raised near Edinburgh, if raised after Boutcher’s method, would cover a greater surface than is now covered by the entire metropolis of the North!

“Since the time of the Millers and the Boutchers, the little science that was then dawning on our profession, whether in Scotland or elsewhere, has utterly disappeared from it. Planting and gardening, however, since that period, have come much into fashion in this country. The seed and nursery business has suprisingly increased. Instead of being confined, as formerly, to a scale the most limited and insignificant, it has become one of the most important professions in the metropolis and elsewhere, and fortunes, by consequence, have been rapidly accumulated by it.

“In these circumstances, sir, I conceive that we have been greatly enlightened, respecting the mysteries of the trade, by our brethren of the South. To furnish gardeners to the nobility and gentry, is now found to be the road to wealth; to sell cheap or dear, the only criterion of merit in the nurseryman. His study, therefore, never is, nor can be, science, or the quality of his plants, but solely and exclusively the art of raising the *greatest* possible number on the *smallest* space of ground,

and furnishing them to his customers at the *lowest* possible price. You may think that in this stricture I bear rather hard on our profession ; but since you do me the honour to question me, I must tell you the truth."

All this, we must own, is extremely deplorable. It places in a strong point of view the benefits that would flow from a society for the improvement of arboriculture, were it judiciously constituted, and the necessity there is for at length cultivating the art independently and as a *separate* department. There is now sufficient wealth, and, what is of more importance, sufficient intelligence in the country to accomplish the object, and for once to enable us to *lead the way* in this instance in the advancement of the arts.

## NOTE III. Page 6.

It was not till after the civil wars, that the arts of planting and gardening were greatly cultivated in England. The immortal Bacon, in the preceding age, was certainly the first who seemed to apprehend the true principles of beauty in the garden, and

"Taught a degenerate reign  
What in Eliza's golden day was Taste."\*

See his 46th Essay, in which he directs that a considerable portion of what he terms his "Princely Garden" should be "framed as much as may be to a natural wilderness."

The genius of Milton, likewise, at a later period, figured for his Eden a garden which could have no prototype but in his own taste and ardent imagination, but which might rather seem to have belonged to the richest garden and park scenery of an after age. The passage is curious, and to some it has appeared not less prophetic than beautiful ; as the only models that were before our great poet's eyes, were the formal and rectilinear gardens which we derived from antiquity, and which still exist in most parts of Europe :—

" Not that sweet grove  
Of Daphne by Orontes, and th' inspired  
Castalian spring, might with this Paradise  
Of Eden strive. . . . The crisped brooks,  
Rolling on orient pearl, and sands of gold,  
With mazy error, under pendant shades,  
Ran nectar, visiting each plant, and fed

\* Mason's English Garden, B. i.

Flowers worthy Paradise; which not nice art,  
 In beds and curious knots, but nature boon  
 Pour'd forth profuse on hill, and dale, and plain,  
 Both where the morning sun first warmly smote  
 The open field, and where the unpierced shade  
 Embrown'd the noontide bowers. Thus was this place  
 A happy rural seat of various view."

*Paradise Lost*, B. iv.

Kent, to whom we certainly owe the art of modern gardening, lived in the beginning of the last century. He was by profession a painter, and had the taste and ingenuity to superinduce the principles of the new art on his previous studies. No one, probably, but a painter would have thought of making use of the *colours of nature* to improve and heighten the effect of *real scenery*. The great principles on which he worked (as Walpole truly observes) were perspective, and light and shade; and thus his imagination bestowed the arts of landscape on the scenes which he undertook to improve. Bridgeman, the fashionable designer of the day, had a short time before invented the sunk fence, which was a material step to the connecting of the garden and the park; but "Kent (says the same lively writer) leaped the fence, and saw that all nature was a garden."—See "Anecdotes of Painting in England."

Kent returned from Rome, where he had gone to perfect himself in his profession, under the patronage of Lord Burlington, about the year 1721. The first places which he laid out in the new style, were Claremont and Esher. This happened in 1728 or 1730; so that, as "*Paradise Lost*" first came out in 1667, it may be said that more than a hundred and thirty years intervened between the time of Bacon and that of Kent, and more than threescore between that of Milton and the last-mentioned period.

#### NOTE IV. Page 6.

"The Landscape," a poem by the late ingenious Mr Knight, and the "Essays on the Picturesque," by that accomplished scholar Sir Uvedale Price, are productions of high merit, which we must ever value as having been the means of retrieving the public taste, and showing what is unnatural, formal, or monotonous in the character of the school of Brown and Repton. Yet, as these meritorious works were composed under peculiar circumstances, and during the bitterness of controversy, they should be perused with some allowance on that account. Mr Loudon's able treatise also on the "Improvement of Country Residences" (which came out in 1806, and has not been half so much commended as it deserves) forms an admirable guide to the man of taste, or the

country gentleman, who, having no practical skill himself, is yet desirous to improve real landscape, where it already exists, or to create it where it is wanting.

As a proof that the professors of landscape gardening do not obstinately cling to antiquated errors, Mr Pontey, (who has usefully written on the planting and pruning of trees,) a disciple and admirer of Brown, published in 1825 a meritorious work on "the Laying-out of Grounds," though with an odd title, namely, "The Rural Improver." The book, though not well written, contains excellent matter; it shows much practical skill, and should have a place in the library of every country gentleman. It is certain that considerable knowledge of the principles of landscape, however obtained, and some skill in the practice of creating it, are indispensable to every one who would transplant with advantage. This is a subject well deserving of discussion; but it would require far more than could be comprised within the short compass of a note.

NOTE V. Page 8.

With respect to the immediate effect of wood in town embellishments, it would prove particularly valuable for the squares, and other open grounds of a great city. Edinburgh, one of the most extraordinary places in Europe, whether for its picturesque situation or the sudden erection of its finest streets and squares, lately lost an opportunity of obtaining wood in this way, which is not likely soon to occur again. The Royal Circus, Moray Place, Heriot Row, and other places and squares, having spacious open grounds attached to them, were built in the immediate vicinity of what was once the delightful villa and grounds of the Earl of Moray, on the water of Leith; and indeed, nearly the whole of them have grown out of the destruction of that elegant little park. Its woods had been admirably kept, and, what is more, judiciously thinned out by the taste of the late and the present Lord Moray, and would have afforded subjects in sufficient number, of nearly five-and-forty years' growth, and also in the very best rooting-ground, to wood *the one-half* of the metropolis. It is to be lamented that there was no science at hand to have achieved this striking improvement, as it would have done more to establish the power of the art in the public opinion, than twenty volumes like the present; and, moreover, it would have anticipated at least *thirty years* in the picturesque appearance of the city.

I do not mean of course to say, that this should have been *all* done with fine large grove-wood or standard trees. No man of good taste, I think, will so understand it. But the miserable single trees and detached groups that now appear upon the open parts of the grounds in question,

should have been of that large description which would have given effect and consequence to their narrow but undulating surface ; while shrubs and underwood, abundantly intermixed, would have conferred on them richness and intricacy. There were a great number of the last-mentioned subjects (I mean shrubs and stools of underwood) at this villa, from five to eight feet high, that would have richly clothed the *entire* open grounds of the new part of the city.

In the same way it would be quite practicable, if wished for, although the exposure is considerably greater, to wood the site of what will hereafter become our Acropolis, as soon as the projected Parthenon rises on the Calton Hill. But where are the subjects now to be found ? During the provostship or mayoralty of the late excellent Mr Henderson in 1824, I had agreed to give a specimen of what might be done, by planting a very large Sycamore, with a spreading top, on the very highest part of the Hill, near Nelson's Monument. The tree was selected in Lord Moray's grounds for the purpose ; but the sudden death of this worthy chief magistrate put an end to the undertaking.

NOTE VI. Page 11.

Highly gratifying as the Report of the Committee of the Highland Society must be to me, as attesting the success of my improvements in the art, and that on authority too high to be called in question ; yet I own I was not less gratified by the flattering mention of them in one of the productions of the AUTHOR OF WAVERLEY—works which will in all probability live as long as the language endures in which they are written. I regret that I am prevented by circumstances from quoting the passage.

Soon after this Report was made, the Society advertised a premium of ten guineas, or a piece of plate of equal value, for the best Essay on the removal of large trees and underwood. As I had then collected materials for the present Treatise, which were of a more extensive sort than would have suited the Society's regulations, I declined entering into competition for this premium. It was gained by the overseer of a gentleman in Perthshire, a very meritorious person, who gave merely an account of his own practice for some years back. But as he was probably not conversant with science, and had no idea that the art was susceptible of fixed principles, I did not conceive that it precluded, or in any degree anticipated the present publication.

## SECTION II.

### NOTE I. Page 16.

“Fuit et arborum cura legibus priscis; cautumque est XII. Tabulis, ut qui injuriâ cecidisset alienas, lueret in singulas æris XXV.”—PLINY, *Hist. Nat.* lib. xvii. 1.

### NOTE II. Page 17.

“Γεράνισρον μεταφυτεύειν; Veterem Arborem transferre vel transplantare.”—ERAS. *Adag.* p. 419.

### NOTE III. Page 17.

It is remarkable that there is not to be found, in all Homer, any thing like picturesque description, although Pope in his translation has abundantly supplied the want. On Virgil and the other Roman poets, nearly a similar remark may be made: and this defect in ancient poetry (as Twining has well observed, in his *Dissertations on the Poetics of Aristotle*), naturally proceeds from a similar defect in the sister art of painting.

In Pliny's account of the Greek artists, we find no mention made of a landscape painter among them, nor any thing like a landscape itself, in his list of their most celebrated productions. He informs us, however, that a Roman painter named Ludius, who lived in the reign of Augustus, first struck out the art of painting landscape, which he executed *in fresco*, in so very pleasing a manner, and at so very moderate an expense, that every body employed him. His subjects, he says, were villas, porticos, gardens, groves, hills, rivers, seaport towns, and the like, and that they were enlivened with human figures in abundance, engaged in all sorts of occupations; the whole forming a most pleasing representation (*blandissimo aspectu.*) *Hist. Nat.* lib. xxxv. 10.

Twining likewise accurately observes, that landscape painting in Pliny's time, though known, was not an established branch of painting, as it had not even acquired a name; for Pliny, who on other occasions

is not sparing in his use of technical terms, is obliged to call it by a periphrasis, "an agreeable sort of painting or subject," (*amœnissima pictura.*) P. 35.

## NOTE IV. Page 18.

"In arbustum Ulmos quinquennes sub Urbe transferunt, aut, ut quibusdam placet, quæ vicenûm pedum esse cœperunt. Sulco, qui Novenarius dicitur, altitudine pedum trium, pari latitudine, et eo ampliùs, circa positas, pedes terni undique è solido adaggerantur. Arulas id vocant in Campaniâ. . . . Opulis eadem ratio semino, qua Ulmos serendi; transferendi quoque è seminariis, eadem et silvis."—*Hist. Nat.* lib. xvii. 11. See also COLUMELLA, lib. v. 5, 6.—CATO, &c.

## NOTE V. Page 19.

"Hæc si tibi nimiùm tristia videbuntur, villæ imputabis; in quâ didici ab Ægialo, diligentissimo patrefamiliæ (is enim nunc hujus agri possessor est,) *quamvis vetus arbustum posse transferri.* Hoc nobis senibus discere necessarium est, quorum nemo non olivetum alteri ponit. Quod vidi, hoc dico; illud arboretum trinum aut quadrimum fastidienti fructus autumnò deponere. Te quoque proteget illa, quæ

'Tarda venit, seris factura nepotibus umbram.'

SEN. *Epist.* lxxxvi. p. 558. Edit. Lipsii.

## NOTE VI. Page 19.

This skilful husbandman, says the poet, well knew how to order his slow-growing elms in even rows; to transplant the hardy Pear tree, and the grafted Thornstock, already yielding fruit; together with the Platanus, of such a size that the votaries of Bacchus might enjoy its shade:—

"Ille etiam seras in versum distulit Ulmos,  
Eduramque Pirum, et Spinos jam pruna ferentes,  
Jamque ministrantem Platanum potantibus umbras,"

VIRG. *Georg.* lib. iv. 144.

This version of the passage, I conceive, we are warranted in believing to be correct, although the word *distulit* is used, and not *transtulit* (from the peculiar epithets which Virgil has bestowed upon the trees,) distinctly showing them not to have been diminutive plants, but trees transferred to the spot when of some magnitude.

## NOTE VII. Page 20.

“Σφόδρα βαθῆς βόξρους ποιήσαντες, καὶ περικώψαντες τὴν κόμην, καὶ ἀσινεῖς τοὺς παχυτέρους ἠλώνας καὶ ἀκεραίους τὰς ῥίζας διατηρήσαντες, μετὰ πολλῆς τῆς οἰκείας, γῆς καὶ κόπρον ὀρῶν βάλλουσι τὰ πρέμνα, φυλαττόμενοι, ἕν’ ὅπου ἂν κλίνη, μείνη.”—ANATOL. in *Georon. Vet.* lib. x. 85.

## NOTE VIII. Page 21.

“Ad Olivetum revertor, quod vidi duobus modis depositum. Magnarum arborum truncos, circumcisis ramis, et ad unum redactis pedem, cum scapo suo transtulit; amputatis radicibus, relicto tantum capite ipso, ex quo illæ pependerant: Hoc, fimo tinctum, in scrobem demisit: Deinde terram non agcessit tantum, sed calcavit et pressit. Negat quidquam esse hæc (ut ait) spissatione efficacius; videlicet, frigus excludit et ventum. Minus prætereà movetur, et ob hoc nascentes radices prodiere patitur, ac solum apprehendere, quas necesse est teneras adhuc, et precariò hærentes, levis quoque revellat agitatio. Parum autem arboris, antequam obruat, radit: Ex omni enim materiâ quæ nudata est, ut ait, exeunt radices novæ. Non plures autem super terram eminere debet truncus, quàm tres aut quatuor pedes: Statim enim ab imo vestitur; nec magna pars, quemadmodum in olivetis veteribus, arida aut retorrída erit.—Alter ponendi modus hic fuit. Ramos fortes, nec corticis duri, quales esse novellarum arborum solent, eodem genere deposuit. Hi paullò tardiùs surgunt; sed cùm tanquam à plantâ processerint, nihil habent in se horridum nec triste.”—*Epist.* lxxxvi. p. 559. He says that he had likewise seen old vines removed in the same way, in the months of February and March, and with the same success.

## NOTE IX. Page 21.

“Hoc mense, locis siccis, calidis, et apricis, majores arbores transfereamus, *truncatis ramis*, illæsis radicibus, multo stercore et rigationibus adjuvandas.”—PALLAD. *de Re Rust. in Novemb.* tit. xvi.

## NOTE X. Page 22.

Pliny gives us two descriptions, the one of his Laurentine, the other of his Tuscan villa, in which the garden is the most prominent feature. (See *Epist.* lib. ii. 17, lib. v. 6;) and the gardens of England, such as Lord Burleigh's, and that at Hampton Court as delineated by Hentzner, are accurate copies of them. There wants nothing, as is justly remarked



by Walpole, but the embroidery of a parterre, to make a garden in the reign of Trajan serve for a description of one in that of King William.—See *Anecdotes of Painting*, vol. iv.

That horticulture was really introduced into Britain by the Romans there is no sort of doubt, and that their proconsular governors brought it to a considerable height of perfection. Pliny tells us that Cherries were originally an Asiatic fruit, not known in Italy till after the triumphs of Lucullus, on account of the Mithridatic war, in the 680th year of the city, when they were brought over by that celebrated commander. But so diligently were they cultivated, that within one hundred and twenty years they were carried over to Britain.—*Hist. Nat. lib. xv. 25.*

NOTE XI. Page 24.

Prince Maurice of Nassau, as Barlæus informs us, was appointed governor of Brazil about the year 1636; and after several successful efforts, both of military and political talent, in defence of that province, he erected in 1639 the Palace or Government House of Friburg, and laid out the luxurious gardens of which an account is given in the text. In some parts, Barlæus is extremely happy in drawing after the manner of the ancient historians; and although the passage is long, as he describes the site, and in some sort the particulars of one of the greatest transplanting feats recorded in modern times, it may be worth while (the book being scarce) to give the passage entire for the gratification of the reader. “Planities horrida, inculta, nullis arboribus, nullo fructu induta, sordebat situ. . . . Ædificium ea cura à Comite fuit, ut spectata salubritas fuerit, curata tranquillitas, parva loco securitas, neque neglecta hortorum amœnitas. . . . Surgebant Cocie spectabili serie septingentæ, prociores aliæ, aliæ humiliores, quarum quædam caudicem attollebant quinquaginta, quædam quadraginta, quædam triginta pedum altitudine, priusquam ramorum attingeret divergia. Has cum transferri non posse omnium esset opinio, scitè effossas, petoritis, trium quatuorve miliarum spatio vectari, et pontonibus trans fluvios deportari, in insulam jussit. Translatas, non labore solùm sed ingenio, exceptit amica tellus, eaque fæcunditate, præter omnium spem, implevit annosas arbores, ut primo ab insitione anno mirâ nascendi aviditate, fructus dederint copiosissimos. Jam septuagenariæ et octogenariæ erant, veterique proverbio fidem imminuere, arbores annosas non esse transferendas.” *Histor. Rer. in Bras. gestar. pp. 240–242.*

## NOTE XII. Page 26.

As gardening, in its largest sense, is so intimately connected with our present subject, and as Le Notre was the person whose example swayed the taste of all Europe, Great Britain only excepted, for more than a century, and still continues to sway the greater part of it, it may be worth while in this place to bestow a brief notice on the style and character of both the man and his works.

Le Notre was by profession an architect, and by his uncommon turn for garden decoration, became a first-rate favourite with Louis XIV. ; who, besides ennobling him, bestowed on him the appointment of Comptroller-General of Buildings, and Director of the Royal Gardens. To the rectilinear gardens, and elaborate topiary works handed down from antiquity, he added rich parterres, and magnificent triumphal arches, long and straight alleys, lofty cascades and fountains, with their grotesque and strange decorations, grottos filled with architecture, and trellis-work covered with gilding ; and these, intermixed with a multitude of Thermes and Statues, seemed to the French, unaccustomed as they were to relish the real beauties of nature, the *ne plus ultra* of human invention. Professor Hirschfeld of Kiel, the German historian of modern gardening, from whom we derive these particulars, says, that it was the personal character of the monarch himself, and the taste of the age, which began to be attracted towards the restoration of the fine arts, that chiefly raised Le Notre to the summit of fame and popularity. But the nation as well as the court wanted to be dazzled ; and both were captivated and enchanted with what seemed at once to unite novelty with singularity. Ornament and tinsel, pomp and brilliancy, were thus universally preferred to correct taste and true greatness of design. It must, however, be acknowledged, adds this judicious writer, that Le Notre's genius was admirably suited to the taste of the times in which he lived, and fully gratified the leading prejudices of the French character. "Die Nation, so wohl als der Hof wolten nur geblendet, nur durch das Neue und Ausserordentliche angezaubert werden. Man sahe mehr auf das, was Glanz und Pracht hatte, als auf reinen Geschmack, und stille Grösse. Es ist aber nicht zu läugnen, dass die Manier des Le Notre gerade dieses herrschende Vorurtheil, diesen Geschmack seiner Zeit befriedichte."—*Theorie der Gartenkunst*, B. v. p. 255.

Le Notre's masterpiece was the gardens at Versailles, which cost two hundred millions of livres. He laid out, besides, Trianon, Meudon, St Cloud, Chantilly, &c. In 1678 he went to Italy, England, and other countries, which one and all adopted his style. King Charles II. sent for him, to improve the taste of the English, when he laid out St James's Park and

Greenwich ; “certainly (as Walpole says,) no great monuments of his invention.”

NOTE XIII. Page 33.

It is to be regretted that Sir Uvedale Price, in his very valuable “Essays on the Picturesque,” (probably the most powerful example of controversial writing and acute criticism in the language,) should have somewhat lessened their effect by personal sarcasm, and the bitterness of controversy. As to Brown, he has not (according to the vulgar phrase) “left him the likeness of a dog;” and his conceit, his ignorance, his arrogance, his vanity, (of all of which Brown had his full share,) are blazoned forth in the most glaring colours. It is true, that to pull him down while in the zenith of his fame and popularity, and afterwards to keep him down, surrounded as he was with followers and flatterers, required a vigorous and powerful arm like Sir Uvedale’s; and no one, I think, will grudge the latter his complete triumph, or the castigation inflicted on his opponent, considering the lasting benefit which his own labours have conferred on an elegant art, and in elevating the fame and character of the country. Still I cannot help thinking that poor Kent, though a man of rather limited genius, should have escaped more easily than he has done from the great critic’s hands; since it is to him that we as clearly owe the art of landscape gardening, as we owe the saving of it from disgrace, and the placing it on just principles, to Sir Uvedale Price. May we not, then, ask, looking to the fine genius of the latter,

*Tantæne animis cœlestibus iræ?*

NOTE XIV. Page 34.

This was James Earl of Abercorn, uncle to the first marquis, who succeeded him in 1789. The Earl was esteemed one of the best-bred men of his time, though his manners were distinguished by pomp and preciseness. It was said of him, that he made the tour of Europe in a posture so erect as never once to touch the back of his carriage! The country must be considered as indebted to him, as a liberal patron of the arts, and as being among the first persons who introduced landscape gardening into Scotland.

NOTE XV. Page 44.

It is here said in the text, that the lightening, that is, mutilating the fine tops of trees, is the ordinary, and nearly the universal practice in

England; and it might have been added with equal truth that it prevails, more or less, in every other part of the British empire, where the transferring of large trees is known and practised.

After all the investigations which I have been able to make into the practice of such English planters as the Marquisses of Londonderry and Stafford, Mr Gibson, and Sir R. Arkwright in the north, the Duke of Portland, Marquis of Hertford, Lord Caernarvon, Lord Grenville, Sir James Gardiner, Mr Thistlethwaite, and Mr Long in the south, Sir Aubrey De Vere Hunt, and others in Ireland, who have removed wood with various degrees of success, it appears that they all adhere more or less to the mutilating system: that they take up the trees, after lightening the top, with short and incompetent roots, but with a great mass or ball of earth attached to it: that they raise it with a strong crane, upon a low platform, with wheels, or rather rollers, still lower: and after conveying it upright and with much difficulty, they have as great difficulty in propping and supporting it, after being planted. The only improvement practised seems to be the cutting round the roots, according to Lord Fitzharding's method; but that is often done in the season immediately preceding the removal, when little benefit can be derived from it.

By this method, it is obvious that immense labour, and consequently very considerable expense, is incurred in the removal of wood, and far more than should be incurred in an art calculated to be generally useful. In fact, the objection of *difficulty and expense united*, is quite sufficient to counteract or limit the adoption of any art, however valuable, and render it unpopular with the public.

## NOTE XVI. Page 49.

The following is a list of thirty-four of the largest trees and shrubs, with their height and girth, removed by Dr Graham:—

	Height.		Girth at 1 foot high.	
	Feet.	Inch.	Feet.	Inch.
Common Hawthorn ( <i>Cratægus Oxyacantha</i> ) . . . . .	23	0	2	10
Scarlet-flowering Hawthorn ( <i>Cratægus Oxyacantha</i> var.) . . . . .	20	9	1	9 $\frac{1}{4}$
Great American Hawthorn ( <i>Cratægus Coccinea</i> ) . . . . .	20	6	1	7
Spotted Hawthorn ( <i>Cratægus Punctata</i> ) . . . . .	17	0	2	4
Cut-leaved Alder ( <i>Alnus Glutinosa</i> var.) . . . . .	43	0	3	21
Weeping Ash ( <i>Fraxinus Excelsior</i> var.) . . . . .	34	0	2	10
Heart-leaved Poplar ( <i>Populus Candicans</i> ) . . . . .	29	0	2	1
Various-leaved Ash ( <i>Fraxinus Heterophylla</i> ) . . . . .	34	9	2	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
Flowering Ash ( <i>Fraxinus Ornus</i> ) . . . . .	37	8	3	11 $\frac{3}{4}$
Common Lime ( <i>Tilia Europæa</i> ) . . . . .	37	8	2	6

	Height.		Girth at 1 foot high.	
	Feet.	Inch.	Feet.	Inch.
White Lime ( <i>Tilia Alba</i> ) . . . . .	30	0	3	2
White-Beam ( <i>Pyrus Aria</i> ) . . . . .	34	6	3	1½
Weeping Birch ( <i>Betula Alba</i> var.) . . . . .	40	0	2	9
Montpelier Maple ( <i>Acer Monspessulanum</i> ) . . . . .	19	0	2	5
Common Walnut ( <i>Juglans Regia</i> ) . . . . .	24	6	2	4
Common Yew ( <i>Taxus Buccata</i> ) . . . . .	23	0	3	5¾
Sugar Maple ( <i>Acer Saccharinum</i> ) . . . . .	18	6	1	9
Scarlet-flowering Maple ( <i>Acer Rubrum</i> ) . . . . .	19	0	1	1
Scarlet Oak ( <i>Quercus Coccinea</i> ) . . . . .	18	8	1	5½
Shell bark Walnut ( <i>Juglans Cinerea</i> ) . . . . .	17	0	1	5½
Perfumed Cherry ( <i>Prunus Mahaleb</i> ) . . . . .	18	0	2	7½
Chinese Arbor Vitæ ( <i>Thuja Orientalis</i> ) . . . . .	17	0	2	9½
Red Cedar ( <i>Juniperus Virginiana</i> ) . . . . .	18	0	1	10
Common Holly ( <i>Ilex Aquifolium</i> ) . . . . .	21	0	1	11½
Hedgehog Holly ( <i>Ilex Aquifolium</i> var.) . . . . .	11	0	1	1
Thick-leaved Holly ( <i>Ilex Aquifolium</i> var.) . . . . .	12	2	A bush	
Andrachne Strawberry-tree ( <i>Arbutus Andrachne</i> ) . . . . .	13	0	2	7½
Shrubby Trefoil ( <i>Ptelea Trifoliata</i> ) . . . . .	10	0	1	5¾
Blue Magnolia ( <i>Magnolia Acuminata</i> ) . . . . .	13	6	1	3¾
Constantinople Hazel-nut ( <i>Corylus Colurna</i> ) . . . . .	25	4	2	0½
Cut-leaved Hornbeam ( <i>Carpinus Betulus</i> var.) . . . . .	12	0	2	3
American Nettle-tree ( <i>Celtis Occidentalis</i> ) . . . . .	14	0	1	10
American Elm ( <i>Ulmus Americana</i> ) . . . . .	25	6	1	9½
Curled-leaved Elm . . . . .	28	6	2	2½

In a note, at the bottom of the above list, (which was furnished by the learned Professor,) he says, "We have, of course, removed more than a single specimen of the above; but I have not thought it necessary to state the measurements of more than one of a kind."

The idea, which is given in the text, of this meritorious horticultural effort by Dr Graham, is given from the impression which I received of it, on visiting the Botanic Garden in June 1823, when the oldest of the trees had not been longer than a twelvemonth in the ground; and this effort appeared the more admirable from the circumstance, of which I was at the same time informed, that only one, or two at most, had died in the first season. On visiting the garden again in July 1827, I was both pleased and surprised to observe, that the more delicate plants, such as the Magnolia, the perfumed Cherry, the *Arbutus*, &c. had succeeded the best, which showed the extraordinary care and judgment with which, on account of shelter, they had been massed up with others, and also the extraordinary attention which had been bestowed upon them afterwards. Of the *Arbutus* there is a noble specimen, supposed to be one of the largest in Britain.

The ordinary forest-trees, on the other hand, such as the Lime, the

Birch, and the Walnut, appeared by no means so successful, although powerfully supported with cordage, as described in the text; but they were placed in more exposed situations, and seemed less in possession of the protecting properties. This conjecture was confirmed to me by the intelligent Mr Macnab, who stated, among other things, that in the tallest of these trees, which were from seven-and-thirty to three-and-forty feet high, the roots did not exceed three and a half or four feet in length; a style of roots, as I observed to him, wholly inadequate to nourish or support plants of a far smaller size. For the reasons, therefore, given in Section V. page 120 of the present work, the ingenious Professor must wait with patience, "until the deficiency in these properties be made up." But I wish distinctly to repeat what is mentioned in the text, that I consider Dr Graham as beyond comparison *the ablest, the most ingenious, and the most successful horticultural planter in Britain*, or perhaps in Europe; and I am certain that he would render an important service to all others who may be placed in similar circumstances, were he to publish an account of the particular process which he followed on this interesting occasion.

It will, however, immediately occur to every reflecting planter, that, for the causes assigned in the text, and particularly at page 49, HORTICULTURAL TRANSPLANTING and TRANSPLANTING IN THE PARK, are processes *extremely different* from each other, as different as hothouse culture is from the culture of husbandry in the open field. Had I thought it worth while, I might have stated in the text, and stated with perfect truth, that the forest-trees in the Botanic Garden of Edinburgh could not have stood four-and-twenty hours in the park here, particularly about the equinox; and that the style of success, attending them where they do stand, seems to show, that, up to the beginning of the present year (1828,) when the first edition of my Treatise came out, nothing was known of the principles on which *park-wood* should be removed, even by persons the most able and scientific. And I trust it will not now be thought invidious, when I add, that trees planted at the same time as those in the botanic garden, in *the most exposed situations* of my park, are seen to make shoots between two and three feet long, and that they never had props or supports of any species.

The truth is, that horticultural planting and park planting being so very dissimilar, as just now observed, (owing to the widely dissimilar circumstances under which they are executed,) they never can come into comparison, far less into competition with each other. Modern botanists have thought good to divide themselves into two classes—namely, the systematic and the physiological—but under which of the two the ingenious Professor ranks himself is not known to me, although

it is pretty obvious in which of them a knowledge of the principles or planting of any sort is to be found. In *park planting* I think it probable that the Professor has no experience: but I venture to predict, to whichever of the two classes of botanists he may belong, that, should he try the practice, the difficulties attending a successful execution will perhaps surprise him, notwithstanding the light that has been thrown upon it by the present Treatise.

Before concluding this note, there are two circumstances which I think it proper to mention, as connected with the subject. The first is, that it has been alleged, by a very respectable and highly accomplished friend of Dr Graham's and mine, that, in the note on this passage, in the first edition of the book, the Doctor "has not been treated with perfect fairness." This allegation has given me great pain, as there is no man for whom I entertain a greater respect and esteem than himself. The former note, I acknowledge, was hastily written, and therefore not so clearly expressed as it might have been. I have, therefore, re-written it as above, and I trust that it will now appear both fair and explicit.

The second circumstance is, that I understand it has been said, by other friends of the Professor's, that because, in imitation of my method, he did not decapitate or mutilate his forest-trees, according to the general practice in Britain, and all over Europe, his removals at the botanic garden had completely *anticipated* my system, and deprived it of any originality, which the public, as well as the periodical reviewers, have been pleased to attribute to it. This allegation, I conceive, requires no answer from me. Our respective works, whether literary or arboricultural, will speak for themselves.

NOTE XVII. Page 53.

Mr J. C. Loudon, in his "Encyclopædia of Gardening," one of the most useful and interesting publications of modern times, mentions the remarkable progress which landscape gardening has made in Poland. The first example of it was at Pulawy, the principal seat of the Czartoryski family, on the Vistula, under the superintendence of the Princess Isabella Czartoryski, a lady of distinguished talents and accomplishments, and who had resided long in England. She carried over to Poland, Savage, an English gardener; and with his assistance, and that of Vogel and Frey, two artists of Warsaw, she had laid out this magnificent place in the last century, and before 1784. In 1801, she published a regular treatise on the style of English gardening, with plates, which greatly contributed to bring the art into fashion among

her countrymen. This is perhaps the best foreign treatise on the subject, excepting the large and excellent work of Professor Hirschfeld of Kiel, (*Die Theorie der Gartenkunst*), which for many reasons is well deserving of an English dress, as the French translation gives no competent idea of the merit of the original.

Mr Loudon, who visited Poland in 1813, and saw many trees that had been transplanted by Stanislaus, soon after 1764, gives the following account of the palace and grounds at Lazenki, which contains a curious picture of the manners, as well as the wood, at the residence of this unfortunate Prince.

“By far the most remarkable of these gardens (says he,) is Lazenki, or The Bath, formed by the last King, on the site of an ancient park at Ujasdow, within the suburbs of the city. At the beginning of the reign of Stanislaus, in 1764, it was a marshy wood, planted with alders, with some canals, and other stagnated pieces of water, near which was a grotesque edifice, called The Bath, from which this park takes its name.

“The palace, a beautiful piece of Roman architecture, from the design of Camsitzer, a German artist, is placed on an island, in a considerable piece of water. It consists of a centre and two wings. The centre is placed in the middle of a narrow part of the lake, and the wings are on opposite shores, and joined to the centre by arches, with orangeries over them. The entrance is by a carriage-portico in one of the wings, to which you arrive without seeing the lake; and on entering the orangery, its first effect is surprising and delightful. On the north shore of this lake, there is an open amphitheatre of stone, with its orchestra on the brink of the water; and near the margin is an island of trees, which served as the proscenium. This theatre was at all times open to the public; and, in addition to the ordinary exhibitions, ships and naval engagements were occasionally exhibited. The gaiety which reigned here, during the first years of the reign of Stanislaus, the singular effect of the illuminations, the ships, and the resounding of music in the woods, are still recollected by some of the oldest inhabitants of Warsaw, and spoken of with feelings of regret.

“The grounds were not extensive, nor, excepting near the palace, much ornamented. They consisted of a number of broad green alleys, crossing each other at right angles; and of smaller *covered* paths leading to open circles of turf, for dances and music, and for tents and booths, on extraordinary occasions. In several places, coffee-rooms and ice-cellars were established, and still remain. And there are two pavilions for the king’s mistresses; and another, which served as a seraglio for strangers, or visitors of the king; the three being connected with



the palace by arbour-like paths, or arcades of trellis-work, covered with creepers.

“One thing deserves to be remarked as to these gardens, which is perhaps not to be found in any others in Europe. Pedestals, as for placing statues, were ranged in different parts of the grounds, particularly along the broad walk leading from the palace to the amphitheatre. On these pedestals, on extraordinary occasions, selected *living* figures, male and female, dressed in character were placed, and taught to maintain certain attitudes, after the manner of the representations called *Tableaux*; and which are sometimes, though rarely, produced in private circles at Paris and Vienna, on days when theatrical amusements are forbidden. In 1813, this seat was nearly in the state in which it was left by Stanislaus; but we understand that it has since undergone several changes.”—*Encyclopædia of Gardening*, p. 54.

### SECTION III.

#### NOTE I. Page 57.

MARSHALL has a specious way of adjusting the differences between these conflicting systems; although he seems to give it in the end in favour of the former, (that is, of the system of raising trees from the seed,) in situations where the nature of the ground will admit. "The dispute about sowing and planting (as he observes) may in some measure be reconciled in the following manner. Where the strength of the land lies in the substratum, while the surface soil is of an ungenial nature, *sow*, in order that the roots may strike deep, and thereby reap the full advantage of the treasures below. (Qu. Where did Marshall meet with land of this description?) But, on the contrary, where the top soil is good, and the bottom of an opposite quality, (a *very* common case,) *plant*, and thereby give the roots the full enjoyment of the productive part of the soil. Or, under these last circumstances, *sow*; and tap the young plants as they stand with a tapping instrument, and thereby check their downward tendency, as well as strengthen their horizontal roots.

"By this method of treating seedling plants, the peculiar advantage of planting is obtained. The dispute, therefore, seems to rest entirely upon this question; which of the two methods is least expensive? To come at this, there are two things to be considered; the *actual expense of labour*, and other contingent matters, and the *loss of time* in the land occupied. With respect to the former, sowing is beyond comparison the cheapest method; but in regard to the latter, planting may seem to gain a preference; for the seed-bed is small compared with the ground to be planted—and while that is rearing the seedling plants, this continues to be applied to the purposes of husbandry. However, if we consider the check which plants in general receive in transplantation, and if the interspaces of an infant wood may for several years after sowing be still cultivated to advantage, the preference, we conceive, is evidently and beyond all dispute on the side of sowing."—*Rural Ornament*, vol. i. pp. 121-123.

As this is a question of some moment, and has divided the most judicious writers and planters for a century back, it must be interesting to the young planter to have a concise summary of the evidence as furnished by our best writers on the one side and on the other, such as may assist him to determine which is most consonant to rational theory, supported and enforced by the best practice.

Miller, no mean authority as an arboriculturist, says (as we have seen) that no trees transplanted, and especially the Oak, will ever produce such valuable timber as those raised from the seed. Marshall, as we have seen also, prefers sowing the seed, wherever the ground is capable of being worked with the plough. Evelyn, Emmerich, and though last, not least, the intelligent Speechly, are of the same opinion, although Speechly's extensive practice was sometimes at variance with this sentiment. Nicol and Pontey have practised both methods extensively, and they offer no arguments against sowing, where situation and circumstances admit. Sang, who in point of practical skill is not inferior to any of these writers, says, "It is an opinion very generally entertained, that planted timber can never in any case be equal in durability and value to that which is sown. We certainly feel ourselves inclined to support this opinion, although we readily admit, that the matter has not been so fully established by *experiment*, as to amount to *positive proof*. But, although we have not met with decided evidence, to determine on the comparative excellence of timber raised from the seed, without being replanted, over such as has been raised from replanted trees, we are left in no doubt as to the preference in respect to *growth* of those trees which are sown, over such trees as are planted."—*Planter's Calendar*, p. 43. The same writer prefers, and with great justice, this mode of raising the Scotch Pine and the Larch.

The late Dr Yule, an able botanist, in an excellent paper which he gave to the Horticultural Society of Edinburgh, (for want, as he remarked to me, of a more *appropriate* body to which he might communicate it,) strongly recommends the sowing of seeds, for permanent plantations. "It is a well ascertained fact (he says) that seedlings allowed to remain in their original station, will, in the course of a few seasons, far overtop common nursed plants, which are several years older. This principle, however, is of course strictly applicable to forest-timber trees. Where shelter or ornament is speedily wanted, the transplanting of grown trees, laying, budding, inarching, and other means must consequently be substituted."—*Horticult. Mem.* vol. ii. pp. 418, 419.

The ingenious author of the "Encyclopædia of Agriculture," on impartially considering these different opinions, observes, respecting those of Sang and Yule in particular, that they seem to be founded on the idea

that the tap-root is of material importance to full-grown trees, and that when that is once cut off, the plant has not the power of renewing it. "That the tap-root (he observes) is of the utmost consequence for the first three or four years, is obvious from the economy of nature at that age of the plant, perhaps for a longer period; but that it can be of no great consequence to full-grown trees, appears highly probable from the fact, that when such trees are cut down, the tap-root is seldom to be distinguished from the others."—(P. 572.) Forsyth, an arboriculturist of considerable experience, has distinctly shown by experiments, that trees have the power of renewing their tap-roots; and he further proves the great advantages that are derived from cutting down trees, after two or three years' planting, in order to form healthy and vigorous woods. He transplanted, as he states, a bed of Oak-plants, cutting the tap-roots near to some of the side roots, or fibres springing from them. In the second year after, he headed down the one half of the plants, and left the other half to nature. In the first season, those headed down made six feet long, and upwards, and completely covered the head of the old stem, leaving only a faint cicatrix, and produced *new* tap-roots upwards of two feet and a-half long. That half of the plants which was not headed down, was not one fourth part the size of the others. Some time after, when he wrote the account, one of the plants cut over was found to be eighteen feet high, and fifteen inches in circumference at six inches from the ground; while one of the largest of the plants not cut over, measured only five feet and a half in height, and three inches and three quarters in circumference.—See *Treatise on Fruit Trees*, p. 144.

On considering the whole question, it appears to me, that as the Pine and Fir species receive the greatest check from transplanting, and as, when planted at four and five years old, they do not readily grow to timber, it is clear, that they should always be sowed, or at least planted very young, in high and cold regions. Respecting all trees that stool, I entirely concur in opinion with the intelligent author of the "Encyclopædia of Gardening," that, with any tolerable soil and situation, *planting will be found preferable to sowing*, if strong and healthy plants be used, and such as have not been too much drawn up by the heat of the nursery, taking care to *cut them down* after the second, or, much better, after the third year, when they have been established in the ground.

## NOTE II. Page 59.

As I consider Miller as one of the greatest authorities we have, for whatever relates to trees, I shall beg leave to give his opinion at large

on the subject of the removal of large trees. To this art he undoubtedly was not partial, from the great want of *science* which he saw displayed by those who practised it in his day ; and he could form no conception, either of the general progress of science which we see now attained, or its application to this particular branch of rural economy.

“The modern practice of transplanting forest-trees, from hedge-rows and woods of large size, and at a great expense, has too generally prevailed in this kingdom (England,) the generality of planters being in too great haste, and by a mistaken notion of saving time, begin by transplanting such large trees as they find on their own estates, or that they can procure in their neighbourhood, and please themselves with the hopes of having fine plantations soon ; but if, instead of removing these trees, they would begin by making a nursery, and raising their trees from seed, they would save a great expense, and much time, and they would have the constant pleasure of seeing their trees annually advance in their growth, instead of growing worse, as will always be the case where old trees are removed ; though many persons flatter themselves with the hopes of success, when they find their trees shoot out the following season. . . . .

“I have seen great numbers of tall Oaks transplanted, which have appeared to thrive for some years, when first planted ; but in five or six years after, they have begun to decay at top, and have leisurely died to the ground, than which nothing can be a more disagreeable sight to the owner. And the method which is commonly practised in transplanting these trees, would destroy them, were there a possibility of such large trees surviving their removal, which is, that of *cutting off all their branches* : for were the same practised on a tree of the same age *unremoved*, it would stint the growth so much as not to be recovered in several years, nor would it ever arrive to the size of such as have *all their branches left on them*. But the reason given for this practice is, that if the branches were left upon the trees, they could not be supported—the winds would throw them out of the ground ; and another (which is bad philosophy) is, that, *as the roots have been greatly reduced by transplanting, so the heads of the trees should be reduced in the same proportion*. As to the first, it must be allowed that trees which are removed with great heads, are with great difficulty preserved in their upright situation ; for the winds will have such power against the branches as to overset the trees, if they are not very strongly supported with ropes ; therefore, this may be brought as an *objection to the transplanting* of large trees, rather than in *support of a practice which is so prejudicial to them*. And as to the other reason, it has no foundation : for, if large amputations are made at the *root*, there should not be the same practised on the *head* ;

because the wounded part of the head will imbibe the air at every orifice, to the great prejudice of the tree.

“Besides this, if we pay any regard to the doctrine of the circulating of the juices in plants, we must allow that *the heads of the trees are equally useful to nourish the roots, as the roots are to the heads*; so that, if there is a waste of sap both at the top and bottom of the trees, it must weaken them in proportion. For whoever will be at the trouble to try the experiment on two trees of equal age and health, and cut the branches off from one, and leave them upon the other at the time of transplanting, if the latter is well secured from blowing down, it will be found to *succeed much better* than the other. Or, if the same thing is practised upon two trees left standing, the tree, whose branches are cut off, will not *make half the progress as the other*, nor will the stem increase in its bulk half so fast. Therefore, where trees are transplanted young, there will be no necessity for using this unnatural amputation, and the success of these plantations will always give pleasure to the owner.”—*Gardener’s and Botanist’s Diction. in voc.* “Planting.”

I have particular satisfaction in quoting these sentiments from the great work of Miller, and I have little doubt of their being perused with equal satisfaction by the discerning reader. In fact, no advocate of the system which is attempted to be established in this Essay, could have given this material part of its principles with greater force and truth. It clearly shows that, if arboricultural science, in respect to this art, had not been *stationary for a century* in England, the giving immediate effect to wood, instead of being, as it now is, a rude and uncertain practice, would long since have risen to the rank of a regular art, justly esteemed, and as generally cultivated.

NOTE III. Page 63.

According to the best late phytologists, water is an agent as necessary to the development of vegetable life, as it seems to be a constituent of vegetable organisation. A dry seed does not act on the surrounding air, until it has imbibed water. Water is likewise the vehicle by which nutrient matter is carried into plants, and in the opinion of some, is even reduced in them to a solid form, and applied to the purposes of nutrition. See ELLIS, *Veget. Physiol. in Suppl. Encyclop. Britan.*

Notwithstanding what is here said in the text, respecting light as a condition of internal development peculiar to plants, it may be doubted whether it be not nearly as necessary to animals. Cattle will not fatten so well, when stall-fed or shut up, as on good ground, and in fine weather, with the free enjoyment of light. Light is caloric; and

the difference between night and day in this respect is extremely curious. The substratum of ground on which beasts feed, as affected by caloric, is a subject which deserves greater chemical and physiological investigation than has as yet been bestowed upon it.

NOTE IV. Page 65.

It has been doubted by some phytologists, whether trees generate heat. I believe it is certain, notwithstanding what is cursorily stated in the text, that frosts of very extraordinary severity will destroy trees. The non-conducting property of wood may in some measure protect the juices; but their chemical composition, as here stated, is such that they do not congeal, unless the cold be of the severest sort, and many degrees below the freezing point of water. In weather so hard as to occasion the juices to freeze, the wood, in the act of congelation, is violently rent asunder; but in the more common destruction of woody plants, it is not so much the degree of cold that kills them, as the too sudden re-application of heat.

The ingenious Hassenfratz, to whom the chemical world is under some obligations, held that vegetables are not fed by carbonic acid. In a memoir on the nourishment of vegetables, read in 1792 to the Royal Academy of Paris, having shown, as he conceived, that water and air are insufficient for all the purposes of vegetation, he attempted in a second ingenious paper to prove that carbonic acid gas is not decomposed and digested in the organs of growing vegetables, and that they cannot be fed by it; because oxygen, escaping from combination in the decomposition of carbonic acid, and water escaping in vapour in the state of gas, would absorb caloric, and produce cold; whereas, by the experiments of the late John Hunter, living vegetables contain a degree of heat greater than that of the surrounding atmosphere. The reason of this difference in opinion between these two accurate inquirers may possibly be, that Hunter's experiments were made only in the autumn, the winter, and early in the spring, when the activity of vegetation was suspended, which does not seem to have been the case respecting those of Hassenfratz.

It appears, however, that both Ruchert and Senebier ascertained that vegetables do decompose carbonic acid, retaining the carbon, and emitting the oxygen. Dr Woodward made many experiments with plants of mint growing in water, and found that a plant, in water from the Thames, which must certainly have contained a large share of carbonic acid, increased considerably more in weight, than a plant growing in pure water. Schoppett, who examined the temperature

of growing trees in New York, found that from November to April, when the bulb of a thermometer was put into a hole made in a tree, the mercury rose higher than in the open air; and that the colder the weather, the greater of course was this difference. From April to November, on the other hand, the thermometer showed a lower temperature in the tree than in the open air. And Ingenhouthz found, that a piece of green paper, hung on a tree, in a warm summer day, felt sensibly warmer than the leaves. Hunter likewise, who was fond of trees, used to keep thermometers in them for months together, and obtained similar results.—The subject is curious, and is the more deserving of the planter's investigation, that the state of the bark, and its power, when thick and indurated, to protect the sap-vessels, are so intimately connected with all facts that tend to illustrate the subject.

## NOTE V. Page 66.

Of the close analogy which subsists between the animal and vegetable kingdoms, many other examples respecting the former might be adduced besides those mentioned in the text, in order to show how universally nature generates provisions for individuals, in order to fit them for the situations in which they are placed. The general rule seems to be, as mentioned in the text, that where there is a great external application of cold, an adequate non-conducting covering is supplied, to prevent the subtraction of internal caloric; and in the same way, that covering is withdrawn on a greater application of heat. Of the latter the coach or racehorse furnishes a familiar example, with his smooth and silky coat, enjoying the warmth and shelter of a well-constructed stable, when we compare it with the rough and shaggy one which he wears, when running out in winter. The coats of warm-blooded animals appear to be thick and fine, in proportion to the intensity of the cold they are destined to endure; and they are always thicker and finer in winter than in summer. Accordingly, (as stated in the text,) the fur-bearing animals all inhabit high latitudes, and the value of their skins increases in proportion to the severity of the cold in which they are killed.

Of the natural clothing of animals in cold countries, the Musk Ox of Melville Island, as observed by late voyagers, furnishes a striking instance; as the immense mass of non-conducting matter which covers that animal, renders him capable of existing in a temperature where even mercury freezes, and of which we can form no adequate conception. The long, hairy, and dense garb of the Kamtschatka Mammoth, that most powerful of quadrupeds, embalmed in ice, sufficiently proves the



nature of such a coat, as enabled him to live in the coldest latitudes; and which the elephant of tropical birth, with his unprotected hide, could not certainly have endured. In the same way, between the tropics, were the trunks of trees not defended from the downward and burning rays of the sun, by a thick, expanded, and umbrageous foliage, there is reason to think that their bark would be scorched and severely injured; while the same vertical rays harmlessly descend on the woolly head of the negro; who, without that light and natural turban, would, like the defenceless European, often fall a victim to the "stroke of the sun;" *coup de soleil* I will not call it, because the phenomenon is just as well and clearly expressed in our own language.

NOTE VI. Page 67.

Aristotle, who enjoyed the double honour of being the father of Natural History as well as of Metaphysics, says (*De Respirat.* c. 10. and the same principle is frequently inculcated throughout his other works,) "Ἐν δὲ ἐφ' ἕν ὄργανον χρήσιμον ὥς' ἐπεὶ μάτην ὀρώμεν οὐδὲν ποιῶσαν τὴν φύσιν, δυοῖν δὲ ὄντων, θάτερον ἂν ἦν μάτην; meaning to intimate, that Nature bestows not, on either animals or vegetables, any thing in vain; that, while she wisely effects her purposes by the easiest and most direct methods, she withdraws the interposition of the agents as soon as their office becomes superfluous. This principle is exemplified in no instance better than in trees, and in their uniform possession of properties which are best adapted to their peculiar circumstances.

NOTE VII. Page 69.

The great and leading doctrine with the planters of England, respecting the removal of trees, seems to be, that "old trees and young possess similar properties; therefore, they should be removed on similar principles;" which principles, as they sanction the unnecessary retrenchment of both the tops and roots of young plants, the same retrenchment is applicable to those organs in plants of any age. This doctrine is not new, as it was known and acted on more than a century ago. About forty years since, it was revived by Marshall and others, and is now a favourite one with some of the best writers of the present day, from whom I regret being under the necessity of differing. The fact is, that the basis of the proposition in respect to young plants, being unstable, any superstructure raised upon it must fall to the ground. But were this otherwise, and that it were *right* to mutilate young plants, it would not from thence follow that old plants should likewise be mutilated;

because (as has been explained in the text) plants, like animals, being the *creatures of circumstances*, circumstances in both old and young are *perpetually changing*; therefore *similar properties* never can be possessed by both.

In one of the most scientific and justly popular works of the present times, "The Encyclopædia of Agriculture," we find the above proposition, respecting old trees, enunciated in the broadest and most unqualified terms; and so clear and undeniable does it appear to the author, that he reduces its application to a sort of arithmetical proportion, and in that condensed form delivers it as an axiom, for the guidance of the young planter in retrenching the tops as well as the roots of his large trees.\* "As the whole quantity of roots (says he) which the tree had before removal, is to the whole quantity of branches which it now has, (i. e. when not mutilated,) so is the quantity of roots which it now has (after mutilation,) to the quantity of top which it ought to have." In other words, as you have no means of taking up roots in sufficient number to nourish the branches, and must on that account retrench and mutilate them, so you may e'en lop and mutilate the branches also, to the limited number which can be nourished by your roots. Now I should conceive that the more philosophical way of proceeding would have been, first to ascertain by facts and experiments, whether it were right and salutary for the well-being of the tree to lop and lighten the branches at all? And, if it appeared to be proper *not* to lop, but to *preserve* them, then to seek for some method of taking up the roots in such numbers as were adequate to the sustenance of the branches. This, as appears in the text, is the very object and basis of the system recommended in this treatise, which, being the one pointed out by nature herself, must necessarily be the true one.

Let us hear what the sagacious and experienced Miller says as to the propriety of retrenching the roots and branches of young woody plants, as is recommended by the present method. "First (says he) as to the roots. All the small fibres are to be cut off, as near to the place from whence they are produced as may be, *excepting such trees as are to be replanted immediately after they are taken up*; otherwise, the air will turn all the small roots and fibres black, which if permitted to remain on, when the tree is planted, will grow mouldy and decay.

"After having displaced the proper branches, you should also cut

\* Lest there should be any doubt that the lightening of the tops of the trees is meant to be a complete one, we find that the two trees, shown attached to the transplanting machines, in the two wood-cuts in the Encyclopædias of Gardening and Agriculture, are effectually lightened and reduced to nearly the condition of pollards. See *Garden*, p. 335. *Agricult.* p. 454.

off all such parts of branches as have by accident been broken or wounded; for these will remain a disagreeable sight, and often occasion disease in the tree. But *you should by no means cut off the main leading shoots, as is by too many practised*; for those are necessary to attract the sap from the root, and thereby promote the growth of the tree: For, from several experiments which I made in the winter of 1729, by cutting off the branches of several sorts of trees, and putting them into phials filled with water, whose tops were closely covered to prevent the evaporating of the water, I found that those shoots, whose leading beds were *preserved*, did attract the moisture in much greater quantity than those shoots whose tops were cut off.

“But being willing to try this experiment again, in the month of October 1733, I made choice of two standard Almond Trees of equal strength and age. These I took up as carefully as possible; and having prepared their roots as before directed, I pruned their heads in the following manner—viz. from one of them I cut off only the small branches, and such as were bruised or broken, but *preserved all the strong ones entire*: Of the other *I shortened all the strong branches, and pruned off the weak and broken shoots, as is the common practice*. These two trees I planted in the same soil and in the same situation, gave them both equal attendance, and managed them both as nearly alike as possible; yet in the spring, when these trees began to shoot, that *whose branches were entirely preserved came out early, continued to shoot stronger, and is at present much larger and in better health than the other*. And, since this, I have made several other experiments of the like nature, which have constantly succeeded in the same manner: from whence it is reasonable to conclude that *the shortening of the branches is a great injury to all new planted trees*; but especially to Cherries and Horse-Chestnuts, which are frequently killed by shortening their large branches when they are removed.”—*Gardener’s and Botanist’s Dict. in voc.* “Planting.”

Here, then, is the most satisfactory evidence deduced from facts, and from the practice of a man of acknowledged science and observation, that it is utterly injurious, even to *young trees*, to mutilate their roots or tops, in the way commonly practised: hence we must *à fortiori* admit, that it is *far more injurious* to those of old trees; and that *the more sedulously both are preserved entire*, the more vigorous will be their development.

In NOTE II. of the present section, page 393, &c. Miller, as we have seen, so strongly reprobates the “bad philosophy” (as he terms it) or want of science displayed by transplanters of large trees in his own time, in lopping and lightening their tops, that it would be superfluous

to repeat it here ; but it is particularly deserving of the attention of the reader. I have myself made several experiments in order to compare the different progress of trees, both young and old, that had their tops lightened with that of others in which the tops had been left untouched, and the results have been similar to those experienced by Miller ; only, in the latter, the results were more striking, on account of the more advanced age of the trees. But I feel peculiar satisfaction in being able to strengthen my own opinion by the authority of so eminent a phytologist, whose great work cannot be too frequently recommended to the young planter's notice. It is most particularly valuable in the edition of the late Professor Martyn of Cambridge ; who, besides nearly doubling the whole matter contained in the original work, has added some new and valuable articles, and brought the history of the plants enumerated down to the present times.

## NOTE VIII. Page 72.

If the reasonings in the foregoing part of this Section be well founded, the proposition in question here must necessarily be true in respect to trees removed from exposed to sheltered situations, as well as its converse ; but probably there is no one who has verified it by experiment.

In 1818, I transferred some Beeches, Oaks, Witch Elms, Limes, and Sycamores, from an exposed situation, in order to form a close screen of some size in conjunction with Underwood, which screen or plantation was accordingly executed. These trees possessed, in a very considerable degree, what has been called in the text the protecting properties, so that they might with great advantage have been set out in the open park. In 1826, at the distance of eight years, it was quite visible that these properties had greatly disappeared, and that the non-protecting were about to be superinduced in their stead. In the spring of the year last mentioned, I removed to an exposed situation in the park, a few of the Oaks and Beeches from the centre of the wood, where the warmth was the greatest, and where they had begun to be drawn up ; and I am persuaded that, in ten or twelve years more, the former properties will return, and be *as fully* developed as they were in the beginning.

In 1809, I took two fine Sycamores about five-and-twenty feet high, amply provided with the protecting properties, and fitted for situations of the greatest exposure, and removed them into the centre of a close wood. Being well supplied with roots, they were soon established in the ground, and began to push vigorously towards the

light. Their stems were speedily elongated; their bark became smoother; their side branches more slender, and thinner in spray and foliage; and by 1816—that is, after seven years—they could scarcely be recognised as the same plants. Soon after the fall of that season, I once more transferred them to the open field. Here, although they carried a good leaf, they appeared for some time altogether stationary in their progress, as was to be expected. In the absence of the shelter and warmth which they had so long experienced, they could not at once generate provisions to enable them to resist the cold: but in consonance to that law of nature by which “plants, as well as animals, accommodate themselves to the circumstances in which they are placed,” they began gradually but slowly to generate them; so that it was only in 1824 that I observed the trees to display any decided symptoms of induration of bark, increase of roots, stoutness of stem, and closeness of ramification, which constitute such provisions—and it is evident that it will require some years more to effect a *complete* renovation of their former character.

From this short account we may perceive, that while trees retain their full vigour—that is, while they continue in a rapidly progressive state—they may be made alternately to assume or lay aside those properties which best fit them for removal. Moreover we see, that, as vegetation is always greatly more active in shelter than in exposure, the properties just now mentioned—that is, the protecting properties—are *far more slowly* obtained or reassumed than the non-protecting. From such facts and experiments, therefore, as well as from analogy, we are warranted to conclude, that the doctrine held forth in the text is fully confirmed—namely, that “by the law of nature, shelter and exposure—that is, heat and cold—have the power alike of diminishing or increasing, of bestowing or taking away, what may be called the protecting properties.”

## SECTION IV.

### NOTE I. Page 87.

MALPIGHI was born A. D. 1628. He was a native and physician of Bologna, and professor of medicine in the university of that city. For his discoveries in Anatomy he has been justly celebrated, in conjunction with the well-known Borelli, and for having thrown light on the diseases of the liver. He was the first writer who gave to the world a system of the true Anatomy of Plants, of which one of the most important doctrines is the theory of the circulation of the sap, its ascent in the wood, and its descent in the bark. His work seems to have appeared in 1671. In 1669 he was admitted a Fellow of the Royal Society of London; and he kept up a regular correspondence with several of its members till his death.

Dr Nehemiah Grew, the father of English phytology, and one of the most eminent physicians of his time, was a contemporary of Malpighi's. He published about the same period his "Anatomy of Plants," wherein he advanced, on similar principles, the doctrine of the circulation of the sap. The *second* edition bears date, London, 1688; so that, as they investigated and wrote in different countries, and without communication with each other on this obscure subject, so they justly divide the honour of realising the conjectures of the Greek Naturalists. Notwithstanding the importance of later researches, their works are held in high esteem down to the present period.

### NOTE II. Page 83.

It was extremely natural for phytologists, after the discovery of the circulation of the blood in animals, to extend the analogy to the vegetable kingdom. They had in the latter no visible organs corresponding to the stomach, the intestines, or the lacteals, and above all, to the heart, the main-spring and centre of the circulation of the blood; but these wants were readily supplied. The root was supposed to correspond to both the mouth and the stomach, and to effect such a change

on the fluid which it absorbed as fitted it for the nourishment of the plant. It was supposed also to have the power of propelling the digested fluid, when impregnated with the principles of nutrition, growth, and development, to the summit of the leaf. From thence it was again returned to the root, where, mingling with the newly digested fluid, it was again propelled to the summit as before; and in that way a regular circulation was maintained. In this process these propelling vessels were said to be arteries, and the returning vessels were considered as veins. Such is the theory of the circulation of the sap held forth by the earlier phytologists; and as it was found to rest on a very slender basis, they did not fail to prop and bolster it up with a multitude of ingenious arguments.

Of late years the doctrine has been revived, as mentioned in the text, and supported by some of the most distinguished modern phytologists; but it has been improved by patient investigation and accurate experiment, and cleared of all ill-founded analogy to animal life. Hedwig declared himself to be of opinion, that plants possess a circulation of the fluids in some sort similar to that of animals. Costi united in the same opinion, and is said to have found it exemplified in the stem of the *Chara* and other plants. Professor Willdenow, in his principles of botany, has also introduced the subject, and defended the doctrine. (See English Translation, p. 85.) He confidently asserts that he believes a circulation to exist, because it would be utterly impossible for the leafless tree to resist the cold if there were no circulation of the fluids. This, as Mr Keith observes, "is no argument, and therefore merits no reply;"—yet we must admit that it is a *presumption* of which the force is more easily evaded than invalidated.

It is impossible, in the narrow compass of a note, to give a detail of Mr Knight's ingenious and valuable experiments, to account for the conversion of the alburnum into wood; but the reader is referred for them to the Philosophical Transactions for 1805 and 1806. By these experiments he will see that it is rendered *in the highest degree probable*, if it be not altogether certain, that a circulation of the vegetable fluids actually exists: for if it once be admitted that the descending or proper juice forms not only a new epidermis where it is wanted, and a new layer of liber and alburnum, but that it also partly enters into the Alburnum of the preceding year, where it mingles, and is again carried up with the ascending sap, it cannot well be denied that a circulation is completed. That Mr Keith is *pretty nearly* of this opinion himself, may be gathered from the following concise summary of Mr Knight's hypothesis, by that acute and ingenious censor:—

"Although the doctrine of a circulation," says he, "as maintained by

Mr Knight, *should be false*, yet the account which he gives of the progress and agency of the sap, and proper juice, short of circulation, *may be true*. The sum of the account is as follows : when the seed is deposited in the ground, under proper conditions, moisture is absorbed and modified by the cotyledons, and conducted directly to the radicle, which is by consequence first developed. But the fluid which has been thus conducted to the radicle, mingling no doubt with the fluid which is now also absorbed from the soil, ascends afterwards to the plumelet, through the medium of the tubes of the alburnum. The plumelet now expands, and gives the due preparation to the ascending sap, returning it also, in its elaborated state, to the tubes of the bark, through which it again descends to the extremity of the root ; not only forming in its progress new bark, and new alburnum, but mixing also, as Mr Knight thinks, with the alburnum of the former year, where such alburnum exists, and so completing the circulation.”—Physiolog. Botany, vol. ii. p. 244. See also, on the same subject, Kieser, *Organ. des Plantes*, pp. 258, 259, &c.

This note has been extended to an unusual length ; but I conceived, that it would be interesting to the young planter, to have a brief account of the principal theories which have been formed of the circulation of the sap, and the ultimate conclusion, to which late writers have come, as it is one of the most obscure, though important processes, in the whole of vegetable economy.

## NOTE III. Page 92.

Although trees, as is said in the text, have no organs analogous to the mouths of animals for receiving their food, yet perhaps it may be said, that animals sometimes take in their food like trees. Men, for example, have been known to become so debilitated by age or disease that they could receive no food by the ordinary organ of the mouth. The consequence has been, that they were immersed in milk and veal-broth baths, and fairly subsisted by means of absorption. Thus, every one of their pores became like leaves for the intromission of food. Some few years since an instance occurred in a noble Duke of sporting notoriety, who was so supported during the last months of his life.

## NOTE IV. Page. 95.

Opinions quite opposite to these are entertained by Dr Yule, and also by Sang, who is a nurseryman and a planter of some experience ; but they are not borne out by facts. The author of the *Encyclopædia* of



Agriculture entirely agrees in the sentiment expressed in the text, regarding the renovation of the tap-roots in trees.

“The opinion,” he observes, “that young plants have not the power of renewing their tap-roots, will, we believe, be found inconsistent with fact; and we may appeal to Sang, and other nurserymen, who raise the Oak and Horse-Chestnut from the seed. It is customary, when these are sown in drills, to cut off their tap-roots, without removing the plants, at the end of the second year’s growth; and when, at the end of the third and fourth year, they are taken up, they will be found to have acquired other tap-roots—not indeed so strong as the first would have been, had they remained, but sufficient to *establish the fact of the power of renewal*. We may also refer to the experiments recorded by Forsyth, which at once prove that trees have the power of renewing their tap-roots, and the great advantages resulting from cutting down trees after two or three years’ planting. Forsyth says, ‘that he transplanted a bed of Oak plants, cutting the tap-roots near to some of the side-roots, or fibres springing from them. In the second year after, he headed one half of the plants down, and left the other half to nature. In the first season those headed down made shoots six feet long, and upwards, and completely covered the head of the old stem, leaving only a faint cicatrix, and produced *new tap-roots* upwards of two feet and a half long.’”—Encyclop. of Agricul. Part III. Book ii. p. 572.

The power, which tap-roots unquestionably possess, of *renewal after being cut*, is a point of considerable interest to the art under discussion: and it is important that it should be ascertained beyond controversy, that the cutting of them under ground does *no material injury to trees*; otherwise it would follow that all removal is materially injurious.

Before we quit the subject of tap-roots, it is worthy of notice, that the ingenious Mr Knight, to whom phytological science is under so many obligations, has suggested the notion that gravitation is the agent employed by nature to make the germens of plants ascend in the air, and their radicles go down into the earth; and this doctrine he has endeavoured to establish on the ground of experiment. See Philos. Trans. 1806, pp. 100, 101, *et seq.* But it seems much more reasonable to believe, that the radicles of trees possess energies quite capable of counteracting the influence of gravitation, when needful, and that it does not constitute the sole, or even the principal agent of nature in this business. If gravitation were the sole cause of giving a direction to roots, it might be asked why roots select the best soil in descending, which they are well known to do? Because, if acted on only by gravitation, they would have no choice but to descend, unless prevented by some obstacle that could not be surmounted. Such an obstacle might

indeed stop them, or turn them aside, but it could not make them grow upwards, or ascend a bank, as they are also known to do in search of food.

As to the tap-root of the Oak, about which so much has been said, Duhamel asserts its existence, and Mr Knight denies it ; but from my own experience, I am forced to agree with the former writer. I think that, as stated in the text, a striking resemblance is found to exist between the leading branches of this and several other trees and their tap-roots ; and that as both, at the mature age of the plants, uniformly lose their pre-eminent character, so they are not only analagous to, but co-existent with each other. For an examination of Mr Knight's theory as to gravitation, I refer the curious reader to a paper on that subject by Mr Keith, author of *Physiological Botany*, which is full of learning and ingenuity, and serves, in my opinion, fully to restore tap-roots to their place in phytology. See Thomson's *An. of Philos.* vol. xiii. p. 252.

NOTE V. Page 97.

As there is no process in the whole range of arboricultural economy more important than pruning, it may be worth while to say something on it in this place. Pruning may be said to embrace the five following objects : first, to advance the growth and bulk of trees ; secondly, to reduce or lessen their bulk ; thirdly, to modify or alter their form ; fourthly, to renew their decayed parts ; and fifthly, to cure and eradicate the diseases to which they are subject.

Of these the most important, and, till of late years, certainly the least attended to, is the first ; as the ultimate value of the wood in most cases depends upon it, and the actual weight of the timber produced. With all deciduous trees cultivated for profit, the art is to cut off, at an early age, the weak and superfluous lateral shoots, so that the portion of sap employed in their nourishment may be thrown into the strong ones ; and above all to direct a proper portion of the ligneous matter of the tree into the main stem or trunk, and thereby generate clean and sound timber. But in effecting that purpose, *much judgment* and *some science* are requisite ; because, as branches are just as necessary to the nourishment of the tree as roots, (namely, in elaborating by means of their leaves, and carrying down to the stem the descending sap,) so, if they be retrenched *to excess*, the nourishment of the tree must be checked ; or it may happen, although you succeed in advancing the *bulk* of the wood, that you may very sensibly deteriorate its *quality*, and consequently its *value*.

With a view to establish a proper system of pruning, Mr William

Pontey, an intelligent nurseryman and planter of Huddersfield, in 1806 published a treatise, entitled, "The Forest Pruner, or Timber-Owner's Assistant ;" and the simplicity of the system there delineated, not less than its merit, soon contributed to bring it into very general repute. But if the truth must be spoken, I fear that it has done more injury, as well as more good, to the woods of Britain, than any other work that has appeared within a century. Great good it has unquestionably done, wherever the system it recommends has been cautiously modified and controlled by science ; and injury as certainly, where the instructions of the author have been literally followed out. The radical error of Pontey lay in this—that having once discovered, by cutting away the side-branches, that the stem was capable of being elongated, and its bulk in certain cases increased, he naturally enough thought that too many side-branches could not be cut away. But let any one acquainted with phytological science, or the anatomy of plants, only cast his eye on the frontispiece of that treatise, which furnishes a specimen of the art of pruning as approved and practised by its author, and to such a person no more needs be said on the subject. Here he will perceive the delineation of an immense tree, by name "the Woburn Beech," belonging to the Duke of Bedford, and growing at that place—a tree more than *seventy* feet in height, and *pruned up to fifty from the ground*, without a twig or a branch ; and yet this great sweeping brush is held forth as an example of *perfect pruning*, and such as is calculated to increase the *value*, as well as the *weight* of the wood ! See Forest Pruner, p. 150, *et seq.*

Now, eminent as all men must acknowledge Pontey to be in experience as a nurseryman and a planter, and that he has brought out a work in which much useful knowledge and practical skill are displayed, yet it is a curious fact, that he seems not to have been much acquainted with vegetable physiology and the anatomy of plants, and, by consequence, with the double current of the sap in trees. Whoever attentively examines his treatise, (and especially from p. 48 to 53, and p. 150, *et seq.*) will perceive that he believed that the sap in trees "ascends in the bark ;" that the main office of the branches is "to produce and maintain a certain quantity of leaves ;" and that the business of the leaves is "to attract the sap upwards !" (Pp. 155, 156.) If such be the *principles of science* on which this system of pruning is founded, there is little wonder that it should prove erroneous when applied to practice. What should we think, in the present day, of a scientific agriculturist who was unacquainted with the chemical affinities ? or of an astronomer who assumed, as the basis of a new system, that the sun and planets moved round the earth ? Yet it is singular

that the ingenious author of the Encyclopædia of Gardening (himself a skilful phytologist) is almost the only writer of note who has ventured to cast a doubt on this rash system of pruning, or to observe the vast difficulty and delicacy that attends so scientific an operation.

“The great importance,” says he, “of the leaves of trees must never be lost sight of. In attending to these instructions, their use is not, as Pontey asserts, ‘to attract the sap,’ but to elaborate it, when propelled to them, and thus form the extract or food taken in by the plant into a fluid analogous to blood, and which is returned, so formed by the leaves, into the inner bark and soft wood. *It must be a very nice point, therefore, to determine the quantity of branches or leaves that should be left on each tree; and if no more are left than what are just necessary,* then, in the case of accidents to them from insects, the progress of the tree will be *doubly retarded.* Experience alone can determine these things. Both Pontey and Sang agree, that ‘strength is gained as effectually by a few branches to a head as by many.’” Encyclop. of Gardening, p. 582. It is true, Mr Loudon might not consider his multifarious work as a fit place for controversy: yet no one must know better than himself the utter fallacy of the opinion last mentioned, though propped by the name of another very meritorious nurseryman and planter, Sang; and that it stands contradicted by the experience of our best phytologists, and our best planters, for more than a century back, from Grew and Miller, down to Boutcher, Knight, and Speechly. No good phytologist will doubt that it is according to sound science, as well as good practice, in woods planted for profit, and in a soil and climate which are *natural* to them, or *below* that standard, to cut away a small proportion of the weaker branches, and turn the current of the descending sap more abundantly into the stems. *Such retrenchment, however, must always be modified by the actual wants of the trees, and the fair proportion which the size of the stem bears to the size and number of the boughs.* But to say that “strength of stem is gained as effectually by a few branches to a head as by many,” and that therefore many branches may be taken away, is to say, in effect, that strength is not diminished by diminishing the means of obtaining it—a contradiction in terms, wholly unworthy of any serious refutation.

Perhaps there is no author of the present time who has written more judiciously on the effects produced on wood by means of culture, of which pruning necessarily forms an important part, than the ingenious author of the Encyclopædia of Gardening: and I feel the more particular satisfaction in appealing to him in this place, as I have above had occasion to differ from him on another point respecting wood.

“It is remarkable,” he observes, “that this subject has never specifi-

cally engaged the attention of those who have written on planting. The effects of culture on other vegetables is so great as always to change their appearance, and often in a considerable degree to alter their nature. The common culinary vegetables, and cultivated grasses, assume so different an appearance in our fields and gardens from what they do in a state of wild nature, that even a botanist might easily be deceived in regard to the species. The same general laws operate upon the whole kingdom of vegetables; and thence it is plain that the effects of culture on trees, though different in degree, must be analogous in their nature. \* \* \*

“The general effects of pruning I have already stated to be of a corresponding nature with those of culture—that is, to increase the quantity of timber produce. The particular manner in which it does this is by directing the greater part of the sap, which generally spreads itself in side-branches, into the principal stem. This must consequently enlarge that stem in a more than ordinary degree, by increasing the annual circles of the wood. Now, if the tree be in a worse soil and climate than those which are natural to it, this will be of some advantage, as the extra increase of timber will still be of a quality *not inferior* to what would take place in its natural state; or, in other words, it will correspond with that degree of quality and quantity of timber which the nature and species of the tree admit of being produced. If the tree be in its natural state, the annual increase of timber, occasioned by pruning, must necessarily *injure its quality* in a degree corresponding with the increased quantity. If the tree be in a better climate and soil than that which is natural to it, and at the same time the annual increase of wood be promoted by pruning, it is evident that such wood must be of a very different quality from that produced in its natural state, (that is, very inferior.)

“Now, though it might be shown in some degree from vegetable anatomy, and analogy from what takes place in herbaceous vegetables, I prefer deducing from the facts already stated this proposition—that whatever tends to increase the wood in a greater degree than what is natural to the species when in its natural state, must injure the quality of the timber. Pruning tends to increase this in a considerable degree; and therefore it must be a *pernicious practice*, in as far as it is used in these cases. In this section, I have not considered pruning in regard to eradicating diseases, preventing injuries, or increasing the natural character and tendency of trees. For those purposes it is of great advantage.

“Mr Knight has shown in a very striking manner, that timber is produced, or rather that the alburnum or sap-wood is rendered ligneous by the motion of the tree, during the descent of the true or proper sap.

It is also sufficiently known to all who have attended to the physiology of vegetables, and is greatly confirmed by some experiments recently laid before the Royal Society (Philosop. Trans. 1803-1804), that the solid texture of the wood greatly depends upon the quantity of sap which must necessarily descend, and also on the *slowness* of its descent. Now, both these requisites are materially increased by side-branches, which retain a large quantity of sap, and by their junction with the stem occasion a contraction, and twisted direction of the vessels, which obstructs the progress of the (proper) juice. That this is true in fact, is well known to those accustomed to make wine from Maple or Birch trees; for in this business it is found, that those trees which have fewest side-branches bleed more freely than the others, but during a much shorter space of time. These hints, therefore, afford additional evidence against pruning, and particularly against pruning Fir-trees, which, as Mr Knight justly observes, have larger vessels than the others; and therefore, when in an improved soil and climate, side-branches for the purposes above mentioned are essentially necessary to them, if solid, resinous, and durable timber be the object in view.

“From the foregoing remarks, I think the following conclusions may be drawn respecting the management of trees.

“First, That trees should be planted as much as possible in soils, situations, and climates, *analogous to those of their natural state*; and that it is chiefly in this state, or where there are some defects relative to it, that pruning and culture can be exercised with advantage.

“Secondly, That in proportion to the superiority of the soil, &c., in which trees are placed over the natural soil of those trees, in the same proportion pruning ought to be *avoided*, and thinning encouraged.

“Thirdly, That particular regard should be had to the soil and situation where either Larches or any other of the Pine tribe are planted, to remain as the final crop; for as the roots of these chiefly run along the surface, and as in them the great current of the sap is chiefly confined to one channel—that is, the trunk—consequently, that tribe of trees is peculiarly liable to injury and change when subjected to unnatural agency.”—Improv. Count. Res. Vol. II. book i. p. 8.

Nothing can be more ingenious than these speculations, or more physiologically just; and I rejoice to see that the practice of the best planters is improving with the advancement of science.

The practice of pruning, in respect to the objects to which it is applied, naturally divides itself into two parts—namely, the pruning of trees for ornament and for profit. In pruning for ornament, as in park-wood, the less the knife is employed the better, except it be to keep the tops properly balanced, or to displace some luxuriant shoot,

that appears to rival the main or leading stem. In close plantations, consisting of grove and underwood intermixed, (supposing them to have been executed at proper distances,) the only object should be to preserve the spiral shape of the former, and the subordinate character of the latter, by timely retrenchment. If that be not effected, nature is prevented from generating such provisions as are indispensable to preserve the vigour of both. In both of the above cases the system of "cutting in," or what I shall venture to call TERMINAL PRUNING, will be found most consistent with science, and with successful practice.

In pruning woods for profit, the task is more complicated, and consequently more difficult; and the obtaining, as Pontey insists on, "the greatest weight of wood" is a material object, provided it be wood of *good quality*, which according to his system cannot always be produced. But experience has shown how miserably the means of attaining this object have been mistaken in Scotland, and *still more in England*, within the last twenty years. To call the lopping and hacking method a SCOTCH practice, (as some late writers have confidently done,) is nearly as absurd as to call the "General method of Planting Waste Lands," as practised in every part of Europe where the art of planting is known and cultivated, the "SCOTCH METHOD;" and it shows an extraordinary unacquaintance with the *history* of that art. Poor Scotland, indeed, labours diligently to follow John Bull in all his follies, as well as his improvements; but it seems hard to make her responsible for practices which, whether good or bad, she unquestionably has derived from her neighbours of the south. It is a certain fact, that it is little more than a century since the arts of planting and gardening were generally cultivated in Scotland, and that they were, and are *now*, cultivated solely after the *English* methods: and it is as certain that, previously to the publication of Pontey's treatise on pruning, which came out in 1806, the barbarous method of lopping trees, with a view to their *improvement*, was nearly unknown north of the Tweed.

If planters could only be persuaded that, by means of lopping and pruning, *they will not accelerate the growth of trees*, it would be a great point gained; and that, if woods *be left to nature*, they will advance even more rapidly than where the lopping system is adopted. The fact is, that no boughs should ever be removed larger than what the growth of the bark will, in two, or perhaps three years, fairly cover; and even with such a precaution, the evil of knotty and unsound wood (which invariably attends the lopping method) will not altogether be remedied. If we inquire how nature, in woods of her own sowing, raises *the cleanest and soundest timber of every species*, we shall find that it is by displacing, early and gradually, the superfluous lateral branches,

and thereby promoting elongation of stem. If we inquire how she produces the toughest and most durable wood, it will be seen that it is by exposure to a colder atmosphere than that in which such elongation of stem is generated. Let us, therefore, *prune early, and thin gradually and frequently*, after having first planted much more closely for profitable than for ornamental purposes. Yet there is a closeness of collocation, if I may so speak, in natural woods—that is, in woods raised fortuitously from the seed—which the planter, for obvious reasons, will not venture to imitate.

With these objects in view, it is pleasing to observe that the judicious system of “cutting in” is now adopted by many pruners of acknowledged reputation. This consists, when we displace side-branches, in cutting away at first a third part, or more, at the extremity, and retaining two-thirds, or even less—but we must defer the removal of the entire bough till the following, or even another season. Thus it is found, on the simplest principles of vegetable physiology, that the power of increasing in size, which the bough possessed, will by this process be sensibly diminished; so that after a year or two, it may be entirely removed, *with the least possible risk of injury to the quality of the wood*. In the same way, if a terminal bud be removed, whether by intention or accident, a similar result will follow, although naturally in a lesser degree. According to this principle, which I have of late years adopted, I can show entire young plantations at this place which have been very rapidly and successfully pruned, and their progressive vigour wonderfully increased, by the removal of terminal shoots, and terminal buds only, as circumstances required; and the most effectual assistance has thus been given to nature, in the production of sound wood.

Whoever was the author of this system of pruning, which I have ventured to name the **TERMINAL**, is entitled to praise; and I am inclined to think that, if it ever have been known in horticulture, it has not been applied to woods till of late years, and even now, that it is not commonly so applied. The *Encyclopædias of Gardening and Agriculture*, in which every thing useful and scientific is generally to be found, but very obscurely allude to such an operation.

There is a meritorious nurseryman in this kingdom to whom I was, some time since, indebted for the knowledge of this system, and who has practised it, as he states to me, for nearly thirty years, without having borrowed it from any one. It was first suggested to him, as it appears, by his own reflection, and has since been confirmed by considerable experience and most uniform success. He was surprised when I informed him that the principle was known and acted on, in



some parts of England, with great effect. This person, who is not less unassuming than he is ingenious, is possessed of valuable materials for a treatise on the subject; by which, besides laying down specific rules for the art under different circumstances, directions might be given for raising and managing plantations under this system. According to the author's opinion, the pruning should be practised as early as the third year after the plantations are made, and be continued till the eighteenth or twentieth. He has likewise constructed tables, showing the number and distances according to which the trees should be planted on an acre of ground, and the comparative results of the ordinary and of the terminal method. In the present low state of our arboricultural knowledge, I am of opinion that a present more acceptable than such a treatise could not be made to the British public.

I request forgiveness of the reader for this long discussion, which has altogether transcended the bounds of a note, and swelled to a sort of disquisition. But, independently of my own observations on the above interesting subject, I was desirous to give as much publicity as possible to Mr Loudon's ingenious speculations, and to the **TERMINAL METHOD OF PRUNING**, which promises to be productive of such general utility.

NOTE VI. Page 99.

It gives me great satisfaction to find that the opinions here held, respecting the character of the ramification on the warmer and the colder sides of trees, are supported by those of a scientific planter, and ingenious observer, the late Lord Meadowbank, whose important discovery of the method of decomposing peat, by means of animal manure, is so well known to the agriculturist. To a pamphlet printed in Edinburgh in 1815, in which the theory last mentioned is clearly given, there is annexed a small tract, entitled "Instructions to Foresters," in which he states as follows:—"If trees are vexed by the winds of an exposed situation, but not destroyed by them, their lateral shoots towards the exposed point are shortened, and the branches multiplied; and a similar appearance may be expected at the tops of lofty trees, however naturally vigorous, which have reached an unsheltered situation, where the winds sweep along the upper surface of the forest without interruption. These winds must prove unfavourable to the quiet deposition of prepared sap, on which growth must in some degree depend; but of course, the surplus sap will be employed by the plastic powers of most trees in multiplying buds and branches, which, however, must be comparatively short and crowded together. And according to the wise economy of nature, as very often happens, there is

great reason to think, that the thick clothing of leaves and branches thus provided for the tops of trees, and for their exposed sides, is of great importance to their health and preservation.”—Page 56.

## NOTE VII. Page 102.

I have now practised this method for so many years, that it comes to be pretty generally known in different districts of the kingdom. In Perthshire, Forfarshire, Berwickshire especially, I have found it pretty prevalent, chiefly through the communications of my worthy friend Mr Thomas White, the celebrated landscape-gardener, and his father of the same name; and in other districts, as I am informed, it is familiar to planters, who are utterly ignorant of the source from whence it originated. On inquiring lately of a Perthshire gentlemen, what benefit he promised himself from the practice of reversing the position of his trees on removal—he candidly replied, “that he knew no benefit at all that could be derived from it; but, understanding that it was the *fashion of the day*, he followed it implicitly, as he followed other fashions, without thinking it necessary to inquire about the matter.” Now this gentleman is a person of large property, and an extensive planter, which sufficiently shows *the state of our general intelligence on the subject of wood*, and how important it is, if fashion *must* regulate the business, that the fashion should be founded on some principles of science.

Some little time since, I was applied to for advice, by a gentleman whose place lies on the west coast, and whose park descends in a gradual slope to the margin of the Atlantic. In this situation his trees are severely exposed to the western and south-western gales, which, though mitigated in some sort by the screen of Ireland, occasion his single and detached trees to lean in a remarkable manner to the east and north-east, and become objects of deformity rather than beauty. This, he said, was the case with the whole of them that had not been thinned out from old grove-wood, and which for a considerable time had had the benefit of shelter.

I advised him, in all prominent or favourite situations, in the vicinity of the mansion-house, of approaches, or the like, (where the trees were otherwise of fine figure, and of no very great size—that is, not exceeding from six to eight feet in girth,) to loosen them in the ground, as if for removal, according to the method practised here; raising the ball or mass of earth round the stem, and with it the turf unbroken, nine feet out from the stem at the least; and endeavouring, beyond that distance, for seven or eight feet more, (according to circumstances,) to preserve the whole of the roots, if possible, and especially the minute

fibres entire, in extricating them from the ground. In this way, in good rooting-ground, he would have roots sixteen or seventeen feet long of a side. As soon as the tree was pulled down, and that the depth or thickness of the mass or ball of earth could be ascertained, I further advised that the bottom of it should be worked as flat as possible, even should some downward or perpendicular shoots suffer in the operation; when, if there were the slightest declivity in the ground, (as generally happens towards the exposed side,) the ball or mass might be *wheeled round on its bottom the entire circle*, and thus the position of the branches be completely reversed.

During this process, it is to be observed, that the most favourable opportunity would be afforded, supposing the land to be of a shallow description, to extend the pabulum of the tree by the introduction of fresh mould, and suitable compost, during the replanting. No lightening or mutilating of the top or lateral branches would here be necessary; because the person directing the work would necessarily take care to ascertain, before its commencement, the proper extent of the excavation and the due length of the roots and fibres, so as to *proportion the roots to the wants of the top*. Were this process conducted with tolerable judgment, and according to the directions given in the present treatise, I ventured to promise the owner, and I think not rashly, that with expert workmen, and at the expense of from 15s. to 20s. per tree, he might substitute a very handsome for a very unsightly object. In a few years, likewise, it would happen that the tree would be beautifully balanced, by *an extension of its branches on the deficient side*, now turned to leeward, without any loss of the powers of development in either its branches or its roots.

I think it worth while to state the above, as being in a great measure a remedy for that for which no remedy seems as yet to have been discovered, and which is an evil of considerable magnitude to persons so circumstanced. No one, of course, will suppose that it is meant to recommend the reversing or wheeling round of ill-balanced trees in ordinary circumstances; because, where the exposure is not excessive, and the two angles formed by trees with the ground, on the sheltered and the windward sides, are not *extremely* different, judicious pruning may certainly cure every deformity of top. But in any case, much will depend on the judgment displayed in the execution.

NOTE VIII. Page 103.

The notion that trees, whether young or old, suffer greatly on removal, if not replanted in the same exposure, and also in the same position,

according to the points of the compass, in which they previously stood, appears to be a prejudice of great antiquity. Theophrastus, the only writer in ancient times deserving the name of a phytologist, gravely states the opinion, and gives his reasons for entertaining it—namely, the power which habit exerts over all plants, and their inability to resist the elements (see *Περὶ Φυτῶν Ἱστορίας*, lib. ii. 7, and *Περὶ Φυτῶν Ἀιτιῶν*, lib. iii. 6.) In all this he is accurately copied by the Geoponic writers, as may be seen by the quotation from Anatolius (Sect. II. Note VII. *ante*,) also by Cato, Columella, Palladius, and others. The mode prescribed by the whole of them is, to mark the trees, before being taken up, with white, or other colours, so that the sides which faced the north or south, &c., may be regularly turned again to the same quarters. Pliny, though usually not slow in retailing the fables or the prejudices of others, is the only ancient writer who treats the doctrine with indifference or contempt, (see *Hist. Nat.* lib. xvii. 2.) Virgil, like those who went before him, describes the same process of marking the south and north sides of trees, but he describes it like a poet :

“Quin etiam cœli regionem in cortice signant ;  
 Ut quo quæque modo steterit, quâ parte calores  
 Austrinos tulerit, quæ terga obverterit axi,  
 Restituant : adèò in teneris consuescere multùm est.”

Georg. lib. ii. 296.

It is not to be supposed that, among the phytologists of the seventeenth century, there would be any dissenting voices against such ancient authorities. Wise, Austen, Cooke, and all our other early arboriculturists, advocate the same system. Even the father of English planting, the respectable Evelyn, who united practice to theory, is so convinced of its soundness, that he is regularly angry with Pliny for treating it with contempt. “The southern parts of trees,” he says, “being on a sudden turned to the north, does starve and destroy more trees, how careful soever men may have been in ordering their roots, and preparing the ground, than any other accident whatsoever—neglect of staking, (*i. e.* propping,) and defending from cattle excepted. . . . Which monition, though Pliny and some others think good to neglect, or esteem indifferent, I can confirm from frequent losses of my own, and particular trials, having sometimes *transplanted great trees at mid-summer* with success, and miscarried in others, where the circumstance of aspect only was omitted.”—*Silva*, vol. i. pp. 98, 99. But it may be observed, that unless these great trees were Fir-trees, or other evergreens, this worthy man should have reflected, that the extraordinary season he selected for the work (a season which, on other occasions, he

himself is far from recommending) suggested good ground for mis-carriage, without having recourse to imaginary causes.'

There is no writer, ancient or modern, who ever had more science, and more practical skill united, than Miller, in the cultivation of wood; and he distinctly states that, from repeated trials, "he could not observe the least difference in the growth of those trees which were so placed (that is, as they had previously stood) and others which had been reversed."—See *Gardener's and Botanist's Dict. in voce* "Planting." A few of the later phytologists support the same opinion, in which long experience obliges me to coincide; although I am surprised to observe, that modern writers of some name are not wanting to perpetuate the prejudice.

NOTE IX. Page 103.

Although I have never, in my own practice, made an exception to this rule, yet were I to make any, it would be respecting the small terminal shoots of trees, which certainly might be retrenched without injury, and perhaps with advantage. In a communication with which I was honoured from the illustrious president of the Horticultural Society of London, Mr Knight, after approving generally of my theory as to the preservative principle, he has the following valuable remarks:—

"I have only one suggestion to offer for your consideration. All trees have, I think, after they arrive at the age of puberty, generally more slender shoots at the extremities of the branches (which slender shoots are intended to bear blossoms) than are beneficial to the tree itself; and if the number of these were reduced in the transplanted tree, it would still expose as much foliage to the light as if many more such slender shoots remained, while the expenditure of sap in forming *shaded*, and therefore *useless* foliage, would be saved. I have transplanted fruit-trees of different kinds of a large size, without shortening their large branches, and I have always found much advantage in diminishing considerably the number of their slender terminal shoots."

## SECTION V.

NOTE I. Page 121.

THE important principle here touched upon is not so fully illustrated as it might have been. If the reader have attentively considered, first, the principles promulgated, and next their development and application in the selection of subjects, the conclusions which he should arrive at will necessarily follow. In the words of the text, "He may rest assured, in this case, that his success or miscarriage will be in the precise ratio in which his subjects may have obtained the protecting properties. If fully obtained, the progress of the trees will be visible from the beginning; but if imperfectly, their progress will be retarded until the deficiency be made up." Yet, as the errors most commonly committed by planters, and the ill success that attends them, usually result from an improper selection of subjects, I shall say a few words upon it here, by way of practical commentary.

Nineteen times in twenty, or, much more probably, ninety-nine times in a hundred, planters who remove large trees select their subjects injudiciously. Perhaps, more correctly speaking, *they make no selection at all*, according to any preconceived principle, or rule of choice. Supposing a man carefully to take up and plant a tree so selected, which has tolerable roots, it necessarily follows that it must have tolerable branches. But it may happen, from the circumstances in which it has been placed, that it is deficient in stoutness of stem, and, what is still worse, it may have no proper thickness and induration of bark to protect the sap-vessels. We shall further suppose, that he has only cursorily perused the foregoing pages; and without altogether denying the correctness of the principles laid down, (because no man, attentively viewing natural causes and effects, can deny them,) he considers this as a pretty fair experiment of the efficacy of the preservative system.

What, then, happens? The roots being not extensive, and the stem slender, it is soon discovered, that without propping the tree cannot stand. This is thought very strange, indeed, in the new system, which

professes to discard all such unsightly appliances. We will next suppose, that the props are applied with due diligence and success for two or three years ; and meanwhile, that the roots and fibres, being comparatively undisturbed, extend under ground for five or six years more. As to the branches, few or none having decayed in the beginning, the tree, by the second year, has probably carried a good leaf, but has made no shoots of any sort.

Now this tree, as it is not in possession of all the protecting properties, can develop those which it possesses only in an inferior degree, therefore "its progress must be retarded (as the text has it) until the deficiency be made up." If it chance to be in a situation relatively sheltered, and in a favourable soil, it will, after five or six years more in this climate, begin to obtain the proper stoutness of stem, and thickness of bark, which it should have had in the beginning : but if the exposure be great, whatever be the soil, ten or twelve years still may elapse ere "the deficiency be made up." Thus, in the last mentioned case, (which is by far the more common of the two,) after about eighteen or twenty years, the tree, having struggled under the unnatural circumstances of cold and exposure to generate provisions which warmth and shelter, in the previous plantation, or transplanting nursery, would have speedily conferred on it, at length surmounts the evils incident to injudicious selection, and begins to shoot forth with proper vigour. Such at least is its progress in the climate of Scotland.

This is no exaggerated picture, but a plain statement of facts, such as always occur when the laws of nature are disregarded, and the development of the properties she confers are checked in their progress. The above illustration of the doctrine set forth in the text, that "we must wait till the deficiency be made up," is given on the supposition that the tree has tolerable roots and branches, but is without the other prerequisites. But on a supposition that the tree possessed the *other* protecting properties, and that roots or branches were deficient, there would be a corresponding result ; and no vigorous progress could in the same way be expected from the plant, until the deficiency were made up in like manner.

## SECTION VI.

NOTE I. Page 130.

ACCORDING to Bergman, the soil best adapted to culture consists of 4-10ths of clay, 3-10ths sand, 2-10ths calcareous earths, and 1-10th magnesia. Fourcroy and Hassenfratz found 9216 parts of fertile soil to contain 305 parts of carbon, together with 279 parts of oil—of which, according to the calculations of Lavoisier, 220 parts may be considered as carbon ; so that the whole of the carbon contained in the oil may be estimated at nearly 525 parts, not reckoning the roots of vegetables, or about 1-16th part of its weight. Young, a scientific agriculturist, observed, that equal weights of different soils, when dried and reduced to powder, yielded by distillation quantities of air in some measure corresponding with the ratio of the values. The air was a mixture of fixed and inflammable air, proceeding probably from the decomposition of the water, but partly also, as may be supposed, from its power of abstracting a quantity of air from the atmosphere, which the soil is likewise capable of doing.

One of the most favourable soils in England for the production of fine wood, is said to be Sheffield-place, the seat of Lord Sheffield. "What is most remarkable (as Pontey observes) is, that the Oak and the Larch flourish equally upon it ; though it would seem too light for the former, and too stiff for the latter."—*Profitable Planter*, p. 106. In order to ascertain the constituent parts of a soil so celebrated for the production of timber, Sir Humphrey Davy submitted one hundred parts of the entire soil to analysis, of which the following was the result :—

Water	3
Silex	54
Alumine	28
Carbonate of Lime	3
Oxide of Iron	5
Decomposing Vegetable matter	4
Loss	3
	100 parts.



This, no doubt, is a very favourable state of component parts; although we might have expected a greater proportion of decomposing vegetable matter, and perhaps of carbonate of lime. Considerable depth may be supposed to exist above the substratum, which it is surprising that Pontey should have omitted to mention. In as far as the growth of timber is concerned, any account may be considered as very imperfect without a specification of it.

NOTE II. Page 131.

The ancients, although they knew little of the history and properties, and still less of the anatomy and internal structure of plants, were yet sufficiently conversant with arboriculture as an art of practice, and particularly, they removed large trees with as great success as any of our planters of the present day. Accordingly, we find that many of the best rules and maxims in our books on planting are taken from their writings. A more judicious one there cannot be than that here mentioned in the text, namely, always to give to a transplanted tree a soil better than what it had before removal. Columella says, *Prudentis coloni est, ex deteriori terrâ potiùs in meliorem, quàm ex meliore in deteriorem, transferre.*—*De Re Rust.* lib. iii. 5. Pliny delivers the same maxim, but seems to consider similarity of soil as more important to be studied even than superiority for the new site; in which, however, he is not borne out by experience. *Ante omnia, in similem transferri terram, aut meliorem oportet: nec è tepidis aut præcocibus, in frigidis aut serotinos situs, ut neque ex his in illos.*—*Hist. Natur.* lib. xvii. ii. See also THEOPHRAST. *Περὶ Φυτῶν Ἱστορίας.* lib. ii. 7.

NOTE III. Page 140.

Were I called upon to name the person to whom the farmer, in most districts of Scotland, and in many of England, is under the greatest obligations, I should certainly name the late Lord Meadowbank. The arboriculturist in both countries must also rank him among his best benefactors. In ancient times, such a person might very possibly have been deified; at all events, a statue would have been erected to the memory of the man, who instructed his countrymen in the art of at once *doubling and tripling the whole mass of their farm manure*, by a very simple and certain process. In modern days, likewise, we erect statues, but it is not for achievements of this useful species.

It is now more than twenty years since Lord Meadowbank communicated his discovery to the public, in a small pamphlet, entitled

“Directions for Preparing Manure from Peat;” but I do not know whether much improvement has since been made in the art of fermenting that substance. The general complaint is, that it is nearly incapable of being decomposed by the small quantity of animal manure which Lord Meadowbank prescribes, that is, a third or fourth part; and indeed, that it cannot be decomposed at all, or reduced to the state of *a fine dark-coloured mould*, in which neither peat nor dung is discernible.

Having paid as much attention as most persons to this process, for several years back, for both arboricultural and agricultural purposes, I am satisfied that the want of success, so generally experienced, is owing to two causes chiefly; first, the too moist condition of the peat, when it is made up; and secondly, the exhausted state of the dung employed in the fermentation; both of which, as stated in the text, prevent the antiseptic quality of the moss from being counteracted, and the peat from being rendered soluble.

As to the first point, the moist state of the peat, it seems clear, as Lord Meadowbank has observed, that although no active fermentation can take place without moisture, yet *moisture may superabound*; and therefore, it is necessary to wheel out the peat some weeks beforehand from the pit, in order that the superfluity may be expelled by exposure to the atmosphere. In this state, however, I have seldom found that I could, by even thrice fermenting the mass, effectually decompose the peat, and thereby reduce it to a friable mould. In order to remedy this, I have successfully practised the following method of procuring peat-moss of superior quality; which, as it has succeeded with myself, I shall shortly communicate, in the hope that it may prove of the same use to others.

Whoever has the command of this valuable substance, must be aware that, when dug out for fuel, it is done in sections or banks from four to six feet deep; where, after throwing back the upper strata on the spread-field, (as it is called,) the peat is set out to dry. These masses of the superincumbent strata, after some years' work naturally extend, and soon cover the field to a considerable depth. They accumulate here and there in irregular mounds; and being exposed to the elements, and particularly to frost, they gradually advance in decomposition, and assume the appearance of a black mould, sometimes of a foot and eighteen inches deep. In this desirable state it is to be driven away, and thrown up in heaps, for the purpose of fermentation; a process which it is thus prepared to *undergo at once*, and with the one-half of the difficulty that attends the pure peat, as prescribed by Lord Meadowbank.

Besides this improvement, a great saving is made in dung and labour—the one-half of the dung only being required to excite fermentation,

and less than the one-half of the labour to turn the heaps. If the fuel be cut down to the clay in a regular manner, as should always be done, or if the spread-field in summer can be turned up with the plough, a considerable improvement may be made in procuring a greater mass of materials, and also in exposing a larger surface to the action of the atmosphere, and preparing it for future use. With such materials, I have found that fermented moss-compost may not only be prepared more perfectly than by the former method, but *at nearly one-half of the expense.*

In respect to the second point, the exhausted state of the dung before being applied. There is nothing more common, than to take dung for this sort of compost from the great mass accumulated for months in the farm-yard, and never stirred, excepting for the spring or fallow crops. But for this purpose, dung, supposing it to be the joint produce of horses and cattle equally, should be regularly carried out, and applied to the compost-heaps, once a fortnight or three weeks at the least. Thus it will be applied before much disposition to fermentation comes on; after which it is plain that all animal manure becomes nearly effete, and loses the greater part of its value. In order that the fresh manure may be applied with the greatest effect, sufficient masses or heaps of peat-moss must always be in readiness; and should it happen to be late in the season—that is, after the month of October—it will be necessary to cover them as soon as mixed up, with straw, rushes, shows, or such other substance as will prevent the escape of the heat. Were a farmer or planter, who has the command of peat-moss at a reasonable distance, diligently to proceed in this manner, it is no exaggeration to say that he would annually double, or more probably triple, the amount of his disposable manure.

The preparing of moss-compost with lime in a proper manner, so as *really* to decompose the peat, and *preserve the qualities of the lime*, is a process which is not generally understood. The common way is to mix, in nearly equal portions, lime newly calcined (*Scot.* lime-shells) and peat-moss; by which means, heat being disengaged in far too great proportion, and the lime suddenly slaked by the moisture of the moss, the heat becomes so violent as to reduce the peat to charcoal, to dissipate in a gaseous state all its component parts—excepting only the ashes, part of the carbonaceous matter, and the fixed air absorbed by the lime. Thus the lime is rendered nearly powerless, as mentioned in the text, and brought back to the state of mere chalk, instead of forming such a combination with the peat, and the gas generated in the process, as, on being applied to the soil, will promote the growth of plants.

The late ingenious Lord Dundonald, our earliest writer on agricultural

chemistry, was the first person who taught the method of preparing this valuable compost, both cheaply and scientifically, in his useful work on "The Connexion of Chemistry with Agriculture." From his residence at one time in the higher districts of Lanarkshire, where peat-moss abounds, he had a better opportunity than most of our chemists of attending to its effects. I shall therefore give his directions, which are taken from real practice, in his own words. Lime-compost, he observes, is prepared "by mixing newly made and completely slaked lime with about five or six times its weight of peat, which should be moderately humid, and not in too dry a state. In this case the heat generated will be moderate, and never sufficient to convert the peat into carbonaceous matter, or to throw off, in a state of fixable air, the acids therein contained. The gases thus generated will be inflammable and phlogisticated air, forming volatile alkali, which will combine, as it is formed, with the oxygenated part of the peat that remains unacted on by the lime, applied for this especial purpose in a small proportion. By this mode of conducting the process a soluble saline matter will be procured, consisting of phosphat and oxalat of ammoniac, whose beneficial effects on vegetation are already well known to the agriculturist." —Pp. 109, 110.

It appears, from what has been said above, that an ignorance of the true nature of these ingredients has probably disappointed the farmer, not less than the planter, in the application of this excellent compound ; which I can particularly recommend, either for a top-dressing for grass grounds, or a valuable compost for the roots of trees. The proportion of the lime to the peat-moss here given should be carefully observed ; and it would be a great improvement, in order to ensure its full effect, were the preparation to be made *under cover*, in a shed or outhouse dedicated to the purpose—because a superabundance of rain, or too great an exposure to the air, will prevent a due action of the lime upon the peat. As is truly remarked by the ingenious nobleman above referred to, the success of most operations, but more especially those of a chemical nature, greatly depends on a sedulous observance of circumstances seemingly trivial ; and it is by the neglect of these that the most important objects dependent on them are generally defeated.\*

I believe there are many gentlemen good planters, but ardent agriculturists, who, in perusing this essay, and perceiving what science might accomplish towards the improvement of their parks, by means of

\* It is to be understood that, in order to succeed in decomposing peat by means of lime, the peat must be taken from an old *spread-field*, in the same way as is directed for dung-compost at page 423, last paragraph.

the transplanting machine, might yet be deterred from a due preparation of the soil by the seeming quantity of manure that is prescribed for it. But here is a noble and valuable compound, adapted to all soils and climates, and which may be applied at a very small expense, without encroaching on the stercoraceous collections of their farm-yards. There are comparatively few situations in this kingdom in which peat-moss of tolerable quality is unattainable on account of distance\*—and it is fortunate that it is so, because *we know no given substance that could supply its place*. In many districts (as is seen in the present section) it costs, when made up with lime, according to the above-mentioned method, not more than 6d. per cart-load; and there are others in which it may be had at a still smaller cost. But much depends on the skill and attention that are bestowed on making it up.

#### NOTE IV. Page 143.

I conceive that I have made a considerable improvement on the ordinary method of trenching or double-digging of ground, whether for horticultural or arboricultural purposes. Common gardeners' trenching is often a mere turning up and turning down of the soil, in regular strata, without effecting any pulverisation or comminution of the parts; and although it deepens, it generally does nothing more, especially when the trenching is done on grass-grounds. The method which I have practised with great effect, for twenty years, I can much recommend to others.

In trenching eighteen inches deep, (and any thing less is of little use,) instead of keeping the bottom of the trench eighteen inches wide—or, as it is generally done, only a foot—I would have it kept *two feet wide*; and instead of executing the two spits deep successively, with a regular shovelling after each, I would have *three spits executed without any shovelling*, but with a good Scotch spade, (as it is called,) of which the mouth is at least ten inches in length. The solid side of the trench is of course cut perpendicularly; but the loose side or face of the work should be kept at a slope of not less than an angle of fifty or sixty

\* "In two-thirds of all the land in Scotland, (says an intelligent writer,) moss sufficient for making compost may be found within a mile. Unless it be in some parts of the counties of Edinburgh and Haddington, there is scarce one farm in Scotland, south of the Forth, but can have moss within five miles; and not one farm in a thousand but may find it within three miles."—*Aiton's Treatise on Moss-Earth*, p. 174.

degrees, in such a way, as that in throwing on the contents, the surface mould may crumble down, and in some sort mix with the entire mass excavated. When I thought of this method twenty years ago, the workmen objected to the execution of three spits deep, at the same *price* per fall (*Anglicè*, pole) as has been paid for two spits. But on persuading them to try, they discovered that, instead of being more, it was *less* laborious than the two spits with the two shovellings; and thus, after a little practice, I was enabled to add another inch, and sometimes two, to the depth of the work, for the same expense, and likewise to obtain a far greater comminution of the parts. Since that time we never trench according to any other method here; and the benefit resulting from it has induced others to adopt the practice. In the "Encyclopædia of Gardening," (§ 236,) there is an excellent style of trenching described for mixing soils, but on too extensive a scale for any thing but horticultural purposes of the most expensive sort.

---

THE trenching or deepening of ground is a practice of first-rate importance in Arboriculture, whether to trees during infancy in the nursery-ground, or after they have attained a more advanced age. In the present section, the benefit attending it has been so particularly insisted on, that no more could be necessary to be said upon the subject in this place, had not the public attention been particularly turned to it by a late writer, Mr William Withers, junior, of Holt, Norfolk.

This gentleman has lately published two pamphlets on the practice in question, the object of which is to show, that by trenching the ground previously to planting, and then keeping it clean for some years afterwards, greater progress will be made by wood of every sort, and consequently a greater return to the planter in ten or twelve years, than in five-and-twenty and thirty by the common method. The system is not new, having been well known in England for more than two centuries: yet the author, in the first pamphlet, makes out his statements in a manner so clear and satisfactory as to excite considerable interest; and as ingenious experiment is his forte, and not scientific inquiry, he corroborates the whole by an animated and confident appeal to his own practice. By all impartial persons who are acquainted with the subject, the account given by Mr Withers of his operations will be admitted to be extremely candid; and I agree with Mr Cobbett (See *Reg.* Nov. 1825,) that it is "neat, plain, unassuming, and full of interest."

The fact is, that the practice of trenching and manuring land for plantations, (as may be seen in section VII. of the present work,) had considerably attracted my own attention, about forty years ago. I have since made many comparative and pretty extensive trials of the deepening and the common method, which led to the same results as those stated by Mr Withers; and had not that gentleman brought forth his first pamphlet when he did, I probably should, ere now, have drawn up a similar tract myself, for the purpose of illustrating, to a certain extent, a similar practice.\*

The principle of deepening and pulverising soils, to forward the growth of trees, is, as already said, far from being new. It is a mode of culture which was well known to the ancients. It was fully recognised and acted on in the days of Evelyn and Cooke; and it has, since their time, been familiar to every well-instructed gardener and nurseryman in the United Kingdom, down to the present period. The main use, therefore, of Mr Withers' pamphlet, is to show its superior advantages, and give it a more extensive application. Why it has so seldom been applied by landowners beyond the kitchen-garden and the shrubbery seems very surprising, since the slightest trial is sufficient to convince any gentleman, that plantations made on any land susceptible of culture may in this way certainly be more speedily raised, and probably more cheaply, than by any other method. The scientific principles on which the process should be conducted, and my anxiety to impress them on the minds of planters, are sufficiently shown in the present Section and Notes, whether for arboricultural or agricultural purposes, to which Mr Withers' able pamphlet may serve as a practical commentary. The most material point on which he has gone wrong, is the application of fresh-made dung, or "muck," to the roots of woody plants, which, on considering what I have stated, he will readily perceive to be both phytologically and chemically erroneous; and that the intervention of a green-crop, while it constitutes a superior practice, creates a vast saving of expense in executing the work. See Section VI. of my treatise (second edition) pp. 190, 200; also pp. 202—204, *et seq.*

For all plantations in parks and pleasure-grounds, and even in many that are intended solely for profit, I highly approve of previous trenching and manuring, and keeping the ground clean with the hoe, but by

\* If Mr Withers will take the trouble to peruse the next note, namely, No. V., being the last of the present Section, and the text to which it refers, he will see that I have had some experience in the business of trenching, and that I have long had occasion to apply it to some striking objects of utility as well as ornament.

no means digging it with the spade for a few years—that is, in situations where the nature of the ground will admit, and where sufficient manure for a green-crop can be procured. Having for many years successfully followed this method myself, I can with the greater confidence recommend it to others. But, *from the very nature of the thing*, it is evident that it cannot be adopted for GENERAL PLANTING, or ever come into universal use. All men, however, will admit that Mr Withers is entitled to great praise for so earnestly pressing it on the public attention.

There is one thing, at which I have been rather surprised, in Mr Withers' pamphlet, and which cannot be passed over without notice by any person of intelligence—and that is, his denominating the ordinary or pitting method of planting, as *every where* practised, without any previous deepening of the soil, “the SCOTCH system;” and for no other alleged reason, that I can discover, on the most attentive perusal of his publication, than that some Scotch contractors had executed about forty acres of plantation for Admiral Windham according to this method, and that the thing had turned out “a total failure.”

It is certainly very candid in Mr Withers to inform us that he knows nothing of Scotland or Ireland, and that his observations on wood, and his practice in raising it, are wholly confined to Norfolk. His pamphlet as clearly informs us that he knows nothing of general planting, or of its history and progress in Britain and the rest of Europe; and that the anatomy of plants and vegetable physiology have not come within the range of his studies. Now, in these circumstances, it would have been as well if he had not insisted on it, that the common and well-known style of executing *general* planting, in every country where it is known and cultivated, is peculiarly “the SCOTCH method;” because the English, Irish, French, German, or any other national epithet, would have equally designated the practice. The Germans have about a hundred writers on woods and forests, (double the number that Varro enumerates in his time,) among whom M. Burgsdorf, Master-General of the Forests of Prussia, and M. Hartig, who held the same situation in the Principality of Solms, are the most celebrated. The French, in the same way, have nearly thirty authors on this subject, of whom MM. De Perthuis, Baudrillart, and Varenne-Fenille, are the latest and best. These, together with our own Evelyn and Cooke, Miller, Pontey, and Speechley, all treat of both the trenching and the pitting method; but not one of them ever made the notable discovery which has been made by Mr Withers, that the latter is peculiarly the SCOTCH method. On the contrary, they all mention both systems as *practised* in their different countries, and practised in each under *different* circum-



stances, as it is in England.\* Had some conceited Scotch gardener, now fattening in that country, committed this "fundamental error" in a public statement, and on the title-page of a book, we should not have been so much surprised, and attributed it merely to ignorance; but as it is, it certainly must appear very striking in a person of Mr Withers' education and intelligence. By a statement so often and so confidently repeated, uninformed readers are led to believe that, in the arboriculture of Scotland, there are some *strange* and *peculiar* modes of executing large designs of wood, quite *different* from those known in England, and which its gardeners (who every where abound) are desirous, from some unintelligible motive, to introduce into the latter country. Whereas the truth is, as I have more than once stated in the present work, that it is to the English alone that the Scotch are indebted for any knowledge they possess of the useful arts, and of that of planting among others; that they are ambitious to practise, and do practise them, *solely* after the English methods; and if they ever venture on any improvements of their own, (which in this instance has not been the case,) that it is with becoming deference to such able instructors. It is therefore to be hoped that so judicious a writer as Mr Withers, when he next publishes on the same subject, will correct a statement which is *unfounded in point of fact*, and besides, rather savours of national prejudice—a feeling decidedly illiberal, and altogether out of fashion in the present day.

The very favourable manner in which Mr Withers' first pamphlet was received by the public was, of course, very gratifying to the author, and seems to have led him to assert the universal applicability of the trenching method. What was good for Norfolk, he naturally thought, could not well be bad for any other tract of country, whether the Highlands of Perthshire, or Yorkshire, or Connaught; and that whatever system of planting was calculated to produce (as Pontey expresses it) "the *greatest weight* of marketable wood," and to produce it *soonest* and *cheapest*, must necessarily be the best for all possible purposes, whether manufacturing, agricultural, or naval. Fully impressed with

\* M. De Perthuis is of the opinion usually entertained in England, and also by Sir Walter Scott, that trenching with the spade is too expensive to be practised by the landowner, unless for plantations intended for ornament near the mansion-house. *On sent que le défoncement ne peut être fait qu'à bras d'hommes; et comme il occasionne une grande dépense au propriétaire, il ne peut guères employer ce moyen, lorsque ses facultés pécuniaires le lui permettent, que dans les plantations destinées à la décoration de sa maison.*—P. 282. The French have likewise an odd way of cultivating plantations, *en rayons*, that is, in narrow strips for the trees, leaving the intervals uncultivated.

this conviction, Mr Withers undertook to draw up a second pamphlet, more extensive than the first, chiefly, as it appears, with the view of IMPROVING THE MANAGEMENT OF THE ROYAL FORESTS, AND RAISING SUPERIOR TIMBER FOR THE NAVY.

The object, it will on all hands be admitted, was highly laudable, and, could Mr Withers have accomplished it, was sufficient to have placed him at the head of the arboriculturists of the present day. Of the method in which he has attempted this, (with the best intentions, as I truly believe,) there is room only for a very rapid and cursory examination in this place; and as I have been indirectly called upon for an opinion upon his method by his majesty's government, I shall give it candidly, and in the most concise manner I am able.

It is well known to those who possess the best judgment, and the best opportunities of judging, that there are few departments under government which are managed with more diligence and ability than that of the "woods and forests." The noble lord, and the first commissioner, now at the head of that department, are both unremitting in their endeavours to put the affairs of the royal forests on the best footing, and under the superintendence of men of the greatest skill and experience; and the late improvements made, as I understand, are such as entitle them to the highest praise. On comparing these forests with the best-managed woods and plantations belonging to private individuals, it will be found that the defects in either are far more to be attributed to the general neglect of the art of planting in Britain, and to the want of that useful assistance which might be afforded to it (as I have already observed) by agricultural chemistry, as well as phyto-logical science, than to any other cause. Whether Mr Withers' pathetic lamentations of the defective management of the royal forests, and "the shame and indignation" which he virtuously feels on that account, (p. 27,) proceed from an ignorance of these well-known facts, and an unacquaintance with the manner in which those forests *ought* to be managed; or whether they are put forth *ad captandum*, and for the purpose of catching that "gale of popularity," which every one in a free country is sure to obtain who makes an attack upon the government, I shall not take it upon me to determine; but from the apparent sincerity and frankness of his whole manner and character, I should far rather attribute them to the former motives.

Not long before the appearance of his second pamphlet—that is, in the end of last year—it so happened that the greatest writer of the age, Sir Walter Scott, (who to his other multifarious accomplishments adds considerable experience in the management of woods,) drew up an "Essay on the Planting of Waste Lands." It appeared in the seventy-second

number of the *Quarterly Review*; and I must say that, as far as I am a judge, it is, independently of its other merits, one of the most powerful, judicious, and useful practical tracts existing in the language. Such is the essay, and such the author whom, in his second pamphlet, Mr Withers considers himself as quite able to put down! From the singularly rapid way in which the great author is known to write, and from the circumstance of his professing no accurate knowledge of phytology, it cannot seem wonderful that some errors both in the theory and the practice should have crept into the essay. But the celebrity of the illustrious person in question, and the fact of his belonging to this side of the Tweed, (which gave an additional colour to Mr Withers' misrepresentation as to the SCOTCH method,) added to Sir Walter's speaking rather slightly of trenching, as a preparatory measure, seem to have induced Mr Withers to adopt a personal mode of address. The pamphlet, therefore, is thrown into the form of "A Letter to Sir Walter Scott, Bart., exposing certain *Fundamental Errors* in his late Essay on Planting Waste Lands, &c., the great loss and disappointment generally attending the SCOTCH STYLE OF PLANTING,"—*cum multis aliis*.

Respecting the *manner* of this composition I shall say little, as "plain and unassuming" are epithets which *cannot* be applied to it; and I shall say the less from being informed that the public in general, and the author's friends in particular, loudly condemned the whole style of address adopted; and I entertain no doubt but that his own good sense will ere long induce him to condemn it himself. Mr Withers may rest assured, that neither the interests of learning, nor the advancement of science, among a polished nation, ever yet were promoted by a gratuitous departure from the rules of decorum and urbanity. But the *matter* is an object of far greater magnitude than the manner; and as the former might, by possibility, have some weight with the Commissioners of Woods and Forests, I feel called upon to obviate, in as far as I can, the extensive injury which the principle contended for might occasion to the "future navies" of the empire.

The first thing, then, that Mr Withers does, is of course to fasten with eagerness on some of those trivial errors which, as already said, appear in Sir Walter's powerful specimen of didactic writing, and to magnify them into defects of the most portentous species. This is just the sort of tactic that might have been expected. The next thing is, to fasten as eagerly on Mr William Billington—a good, plain, and commonplace person, who was some years since surveyor-general, under the authority of the Commissioners for planting the Forest of Dean. This worthy person is then completely shown up. His ignorance, his arrogance, his weakness, his self-delusion, are all depicted in

glowing colours; and certain statements in his book,\* which, to say truth, are neither the most able nor the most luminous, are very adroitly turned against himself. In fact, they are made to prove that, by means of trenching, he might have executed the work much cheaper than by what is pertinaciously called "the SCOTCH system," and thus have gone over the entire eleven thousand acres of Dean Forest! Flushed with this supposed advantage, Mr Withers forthwith returns to the charge against the great author. "Why, Sir Walter, (says he,) his opinions are more erroneous than yours, which is saying a great deal, and may be some comfort for you to know. \* \* This surely beats every thing that was ever put into print!" (P. 40.) At last, he sums up his argument with great eloquence and energy. "If I were to cite all the authorities, urge all the arguments, and state all the facts which could be brought forward in support of my position, that trees planted on prepared land will grow faster, and come much sooner to maturity, than trees planted on 'the SCOTCH SYSTEM,' the present pamphlet would extend to as many volumes as your Life of Napoleon." (P. 64.) May I be permitted to observe, with great deference to Mr Withers, that indeed this *does* beat every thing that ever was put into print!

Having proved to his own satisfaction, and that of his friends, that the trenching system of planting is not only better but also much cheaper than the pitting system, (which he still persists in calling "the SCOTCH,") and the only one proper to be adopted by men of sense, the next point to be examined is: Is it a system of *general* application, as alleged by Mr Withers, and fitted for "the planting of waste lands,"—the object of Sir Walter's able essay? As I conceive, it certainly is not. The most judicious critics, and those most conversant with woods, (such as the editors of the "Gardener's" and the "British Farmer's Magazines,") to have fully decided the point, in their reviews of Mr Withers' pamphlets. All experienced planters will agree with them in thinking that, in wild and mountainous regions, preparation must be *out of the question*; or wherever it would be obstructed by rocks, bogs, inaccessible steeps, or unstable surfaces—all of which regions, being nearly useless, might at a moderate expense, and by the pitting method, be made eminently productive in wood.

But Mr Withers has a ready answer to this. Instead of an entire

\* "A Series of Facts, Hints, Observations, and Experiments on the different modes of raising Young Plantations of Oak, for Future Navies," &c. &c. By William Billington, Member of the Caled. Hort. Soc. Superintendent of Planting 11,000 acres of land in the Forest of Dean, &c. London, 1825.

mountain or moor, take (says he) a few acres only of the best parts of such districts: if you cultivate them highly, they will cost no more expense than if you included the whole, and they will pay you sooner for your labour: "PROFIT, and *profit alone*, ought to be the object of the planter." (P. 74.) "Now, (says Mr Loudon, one of the judicious critics just now alluded to,) every planter of general experience will differ on this point with Mr Withers. What we maintain is, that in Scotland and Ireland, and in many parts of the north of England and Wales, 1000 acres of *wood of any sort* confer more *value* on an extensive territorial surface, than the most thriving plantation of a few acres, however profitable the latter might be *when taken by itself*. In estimating the value of Mr Withers' system, therefore, it is necessary to take this view of the subject into consideration; for a plantation may yield no profit for many years, and yet add greatly to the value of an estate, by its effects in an ornamental point of view, by its shelter for game, c., and its ultimately forming a nucleus for raising the more valuable timber trees." In this sensible opinion I fully concur, after many years' experience: and I should certainly prefer, for most purposes, to plant a thousand acres of a moor or a mountain, rather than a few acres only of such a surface, if both could be executed at equal cost. Still I own that I am extremely partial to the trenching and manuring system under certain circumstances, (provided manure can be found for a previous green-crop;) and I trust that it will be brought into much more extensive use than heretofore, where a *speedy return of crop and marketable timber*, but NOTHING FURTHER, are expected.

The most material question, however, between the advocates for the trenching and the pitting systems, remains yet to be examined; by which it will appear, that *profit* is by no means the only rule by which the merits of the former are to be tried. Mr Withers having performed so many feats of prowess in this controversy—having beat down the surveyor of eleven thousand acres of woodland, and contumeliously trampled him under foot; having had a tough encounter with one of the most successful planters, and certainly the greatest writer of the age, and in his own opinion discomfited *him* also—we cannot think it wonderful that he should, after such a triumph, feel quite competent to the task of raising (as he says) a crop of oak, "to which we may look forward with some confidence for future navies." (P. 29.) The judicious phytologist, however, will pause ere he assign, even to such a champion, his laurels, and anxiously inquire whether the promised timber will be of the BEST QUALITY; for "good marketable wood," which Mr Withers clearly may raise, and raise speedily, would hardly satisfy his Majesty's Commissioners of Woods and Forests for the important pur-

pose of constructing BRITISH SHIPS OF WAR. Whether high cultivation and manuring should now be introduced over the whole royal forests, because Mr Withers in *Norfolk* is raising good wood by that method, and has written two successful pamphlets on the subject, is a question of some public interest. I must say, it is a method of obtaining the *most durable* Oak timber which is certainly new, and is contradicted by all existing facts, as well as all former practice. Those facts, therefore, are deserving of a short consideration, which is all that the limits of the present discussion will admit.

The effects of CULTURE on the whole kingdom of vegetables (as the author of the "Encyclopædia of Gardening" well observes) are so great as always to change their appearance, and in a considerable degree to change their nature. Culture, as phytologists admit, has nearly the same tendency towards affecting the growth of plants as the removing of them to a better climate, by *expanding the parts* of the entire vegetable. To any one at all acquainted with vegetable economy this is well known, and it is remarkable in all culinary vegetables and cultivated grasses, which assume an appearance in our gardens and fields, widely different from that which they display in their wild and natural state. In the same manner, the absence of culture, or the removing the vegetable to a colder climate, and a worse soil, tends to *contract or consolidate* the plant.

The same general law operates in a similar way on all woody plants, but of course less rapidly, owing to the less rapid growth of trees, from the lowest bush to the Oak of the forest. In all of these the culture of the soil tends to *accelerate vegetation*, and, by consequence, to *expand the fibre of the wood*. It necessarily renders it softer, less solid, and more liable to suffer by the action of the elements. Let us shortly give a few examples of the uniform effect of this law of nature.

Every forester is aware how greatly easier it is to cut over thorns or furze that are trained in hedges than such as grow naturally wild, and are exempt from culture. Gardeners experience the same thing, in pruning or cutting over fruit-trees or shrubs; and the difference in the texture of the raspberry, in its wild and in its cultivated state, is as remarkable—for although the stem in the latter state is nearly double the thickness of that in the former, it is much more easily cut. On comparing the common Crab, the father of our orchards, with the cultivated Apple, the greater softness of the wood of the latter will be found not less striking to every arboriculturist.

Further, the common Oak in Italy and Spain, where it grows faster than in Britain, is ascertained to be of shorter duration in those coun-

tries. In the same way, the Oak in the highland mountains of Scotland or Wales is of a much harder and closer grain, and therefore more durable, than what is found in England; though on such mountains it seldom rises to the fifth part, or less, of the English tree. Every carpenter in Scotland knows the extraordinary difference between the durability of Highland Oak and Oak usually imported from England, for the spokes of wheels. Every extensive timber-dealer is aware of the superior hardness of Oak raised in Cumberland and Yorkshire, over that of Monmouthshire and Herefordshire; and such a dealer, in selecting trees in the *same* woods in *any* district, will always give the preference to Oak of *slow growth*, and found on cold and clayey soils, and to Ash on rocky cliffs—which he knows to be the soils and climates natural to both. If he take a cubic foot of park Oak and another of forest Oak, and weigh the one against the other, (or if he do the like with Ash and Elm of the same descriptions,) the latter will uniformly turn out the heavier of the two.

As an analogous case, I may refer to some facts collected by Lambert (no mean authority) respecting the Scotch Fir, (*Pinus silvestris*.) He says, that it does not stand longer than forty or fifty years on the rich and fertile land in both England and Scotland, where it is often planted, and where it rushes up with extraordinary rapidity. In the northern districts of Scotland, on the other hand, (a thing well known to myself,) the difference between park Fir and Highland Fir is universally known and admitted; and the superiority of the latter is proved by its existence in buildings of great antiquity, where it is still found in a sound state — a difference which can be ascribed to no other cause than the mountainous situations (that is, the natural state) in which the former timber is produced, and “where, the trees being of *slower growth*, the wood is consequently of a harder texture.” — *Monogr. on the Gen. Pin.* p. 34.

To the above I may add a circumstance connected with the Larch, another tree possessing a dense, hard, and durable fibre in its natural state. A friend of mine had some trees of this species, which had grown nearly fifty years, in a deep rich loam, close to some cottages and cabbage-gardens, where they had amply shared in the benefit of culture from the latter. When felled, the wood was soft and porous. It turned out of no duration when cut up into floors and field-gates; and it was even found to burn as tolerable fire-wood, which Larch of superior quality is known never to do, at least without the assistance of some other wood.

From these facts, and others that might be brought forward, (if room

permitted,) as well as from vegetable anatomy in general, and the nature of the sap's descent in particular, we may deduce the following practical conclusions regarding the question at issue.

First. That all timber trees thrive best, and produce wood of the best quality, *when growing in soils and climates most natural to the species*. It should, therefore, be the anxious study of the planter to ascertain and become well acquainted with these, and to raise trees as much as possible in such soils and climates.

Secondly. That trees may be said to be in their natural state when they have sprung up fortuitously, and propagated themselves without aid from man, whether it be in aboriginal forests, ancient woodlands, commons, or the like.\* That in such trees, whatever tends to *increase the wood* in a greater degree than accords with the species when in its natural state, must *injure the quality of the timber*.

Thirdly. That whatever tends to increase the growth of trees tends to *expand their vegetable fibre*. That when that takes place, or when the annual circles of the wood are soft, and larger than the general annual increase of the tree should warrant, then the timber must be *less hard and dense*, and more liable to suffer from the action of the elements.

Fourthly. That a certain *slowness of growth* is essentially necessary to the closeness of texture, and durability of all timber, but especially of the Oak; and that, wherever the growth of that wood is *unduly accelerated by culture of the soil*, (such as by trenching and manuring,) or by *undue superiority of climate*, it will be *injured* in quality in the precise ratio in which those agents have been employed.

Fifthly. That as it is extremely important, for the success of trees, to possess a certain degree of vigour in the outset, or to be what is technically called "well set off," the aid of culture is not in every case to be precluded by a consideration of the general rule. That if trees be in a soil and climate *worse than those that are natural to them*, then culture will be of some advantage, as the extra increase of wood will be

\* Some trees, however, and herbaceous plants, may be said to be *naturalised* to certain situations, in which, without the aid of art, they never would have been found. "Thus," says Mr Loudon, "we sometimes find mountain plants common in plains, and even in meadows; and alpine trees which disseminate themselves in warmer and more level districts. But the botanist, by comparing the effects of these different situations on the vegetable, always knows how to select, as general nature, that which *perfects* all its parts, and where the soil and situation are best suited to the reproduction of the species and the prolongation of individual life. These rules" adds he, "are founded in nature. For example: no person, judging from them, could mistake a warm English common for the natural soil and situation of the Scotch Fir, though it frequently is found growing there."—*Form. and Improv. of Countr. Resid.*



of a quality *not inferior to what in its natural state it would obtain*; or, in other words, it will correspond with that degree of quality and quantity of timber which the nature of the species admits of being obtained: but culture, in this case, must be applied with cautious discrimination and a sound judgment. That, on the other hand, if trees be *in a better soil and climate than are natural to them*, and, at the same time, that the annual increase of wood be promoted by culture, (as already said,) it will be a decided disadvantage, and *deteriorate the wood*. In the same way, if trees be *in their natural state*, the annual increase of timber obtained by culture will *injure* its quality in a degree corresponding with the increased quantity.

Sixthly. That such appears to be a correct, though condensed view of the operation of those general laws respecting growth which govern the whole vegetable kingdom, and especially their effects on woody plants, and of the salutary restraints which science dictates to be laid on artificial culture, of which pruning, as well as manuring, forms a constituent part, as has been explained above at so much length. That it is by a diligent study of the peculiar habits of trees, and the characters of soils, illustrated and regulated by facts drawn from general experience, that rash or ignorant systems of arboriculture are to be best corrected, and science brought most beneficially to bear on general practice.

If the foregoing propositions be fairly deduced, as I conceive them to be, from facts, and be also consistent with phytological principles, it follows that the pitting system, as already practised by most nations, (but what Mr Withers confusedly calls the SCORCH method,) if duly regulated by science, must be the best system for "the planting of waste lands," or, in general, for large designs of wood, and especially for the royal forests, where the QUALITY OF THE TIMBER is the main object. That if the system of culture, by means of trenching and manuring, were, as is proposed, to be universally introduced into those forests, it would create a great national loss by *deteriorating* the value of this important part of the public property—although particular spots in these, as in all extensive woodlands, might be advantageously managed by it, under *peculiar* circumstances.

Further, it follows that Sir Walter Scott, in his able essay on "The Planting of Waste Lands," has committed no "fundamental errors" (as alleged by Mr Withers) by advocating the pitting system of planting, but that such errors most obviously have been committed by Mr Withers himself; that he has done this, by endeavouring to give general and indiscriminate currency to a system which, how excellent soever for many purposes, cannot, any more than other systems of arboriculture, be properly practised unless *under the control of science*.

For these, and other reasons that could be given, if room permitted here, I would humbly offer it as my opinion to His Majesty's Commissioners of Woods and Forests, that the system of trenching and manuring could not be introduced into the royal forests *with safety to the quality of British Oak*, which it has been the pride of this country to raise in such unrivalled perfection. I should therefore, with great deference, recommend it to them to persevere in the same steady and judicious course of management which they have been for some time pursuing. In this view, I should wish to see them employ, for the operative part, none but the most experienced foresters that can be had, whether in our own country or in Germany, where the management of woods is better understood; and to procure, if possible, for the superior departments, superintendents who are not mere gardeners, like Mr Billington and others, but who, to a thorough knowledge of planting, as practised in the best districts, unite some pretensions to phytological intelligence. Mr Withers has talked of a parliamentary inquiry, and even expressed an anxious desire for it. Let himself or his friends come forward with it when they please. The more that management like the above is investigated, the more it will merit the thanks of the country.

In respect to Mr Withers' first pamphlet, I have already expressed the opinion which I entertain of its merit. It is impossible for me not to respect the ardent mind, and active industry, of a writer who is a fellow-labourer with myself in the up-hill work of improving British arboriculture. Although we differ on some points, yet I am certain that he will now agree with me as to the low, or at least the unsettled state of our knowledge in the art of planting on scientific principles; of which a better example cannot be given than that, in 1825, Mr William Billington published an account of his own and Messrs Driver's bungling operations in planting the forest of Dean; and that three years after—namely, in 1828—as a remedy for those evils, and in order to raise the most *durable* Oak for “future navies,” Mr Withers himself brought forth his *recipé* of high cultivation and manuring of the soil. It is further worthy of remark, that in the numerous and excellent communications received by him (as appears by his book) on the same subject, from planters certainly of judgment and experience, not one of them, Mr Cuthbert Wm. Johnson excepted, appears to be a man of science. Nevertheless, it would be unjust not to add that the reader will find, in this second publication, some valuable remarks on “the pruning and thinning of trees.” Also, he will find in the more homely and unpretending production of honest William Billington, probably the *best instructions* for conducting those important processes that exist

in the language, together with much good sense and judicious practice, in several other departments.

The truth is, although the public attention has been of late more excited towards the important department of planting than heretofore, it is yet too much regarded as a *mechanical art*. I entirely agree with the judicious author of the "Encyclopædia of Gardening" (from whom much of what I have said above is taken) on the effect of culture on trees, and that it is a branch of planting which seems to have been wholly neglected by practical men. They appear, as he justly observes, to have carried it on with reference to no other end than the increase it produces on the *quantity* of timber. To exclude either pruning or culture from a proper system of arboricultural management can never be the design of any sound phytologist; but they are both very *questionable* agents in the hands of unscientific persons, and they can be employed by no one without extreme caution, and a due regard to those laws which nature has established as paramount in her works.

Messrs Withers and Pontey, the most distinguished advocates of these practices, I take the liberty to think, have fallen into this general error; and, content with the *bulk* of the marketable article, and the *shortness of the time* within which it can be produced, they have suffered the *solidity and durability of timber* to escape their notice. With a partiality to culture nearly equal to Mr Withers', and deeming it applicable to many purposes to which it has not as yet been applied, I conceive that the indiscriminate recommendation of *any* practice, without a mature consideration of its nature and consequences, is inconsistent with sound science. We should reflect that the practice we have been considering would, with all its excellence, if *universally introduced*, be an evil the more formidable on this account, that its effects might not become apparent until it was past a cure.

#### NOTE V. Page 148.

In the foregoing note, a good deal has been said respecting the nature and use of trenching. I will now make a few observations on one of the most important and interesting objects to which that process can be applied—I mean the removal of Rushes from land. A greater impediment to agricultural as well as arboricultural improvement cannot exist, than this unsightly weed, because wherever there are rushes there must be *superfluous moisture*; and that excess of an indispensable element is equally hostile to abundant grain-crops, good pasturage, and good wood.

To point out a method of eradicating the Rush effectually is a pro-

blem that has not as yet been solved, by men in either of these departments. The causes which occasion it are twofold: first, underground water—in which case it is completely to be removed by draining; and secondly, tenacity of soil, which retains moisture as if in a cup—a species of evil for which no cure has ever been found. Observing some years ago, that on no land where the *subsoil* was completely dry were any Rushes ever known to spring up; and reasoning on the indisputable maxim that, *sublatâ causâ, tollitur effectus*, I conceived, that if any means could be devised to carry off superfluous moisture from *underneath* the soil, and to carry it off *speedily*, the Rushes would disappear as a matter of course. Experience had shown that, from underground drains, however carefully executed, no such effect would follow; because numerous examples exist of persons who, from an anxiety to lay dry particular fields, have intersected them with drains in all directions, within five and six feet of one another, and still Rushes have sprung up, even on the tops of their drains. Nothing, therefore, promised to be effectual except some method of *rendering the entire subsoil a drain*, and thus carrying off the water which descended from the higher grounds, or fell from the sky, *before it had time to stagnate*.

For this important purpose deep trenching seemed particularly well adapted, as the first principle of it consists in reversing the order of the natural strata, and putting down, to any given depth, the loose and friable soil which has been the subject of culture. By that means, a subsoil of an entirely different quality, namely, the fine mould of the surface, would at once be created at the bottom of the trench, and through which the *superfluous* water, formerly retained by impervious strata, would now readily percolate. Besides this, another object of immense interest presented itself—and that was the sudden and effectual alteration, and therefore *melioration*, of the soil from wet to dry, from stiff to porous; and if it were true, as already stated, that “the best soil, whether for wood or agricultural crops, was one that is at once loose and deep,” here both depth and looseness would at once be obtained, with the power of retaining water only to the proper extent, and exerting a great chemical agency for the preservation of manures.

My first experiment, in reducing this theory to practice, was made on about two acres of old meadow land, on which Rushes had been abundant from time immemorial, from two to three feet high. Having previously ascertained that there were no great underground springs, I directed the whole to be trenched eighteen inches deep. The trenching was effectually executed in the line of the slope or declination of the surface, so that, if any interstitial mounds of subsoil (see the foregoing note) had been inadvertently left in the bottom, no obstruction, after

rain, should be given to the speedy descent of the water. The surface mould not being above six inches deep, the whole was deposited by the first spit at the bottom of the trench. The next six inches consisted of strong loamy clay, and were thrown immediately upon the first; and the last six inches, which were of as obdurate a clay (*Scotticè Till*) as could well be imagined, formed the top of the new surface.

Being in haste to return the land to its former condition of meadow, I did not bestow the proper time, as I ought to have done, in working it by means of a complete summer-fallow, or drill-crops well manured; but, after merely reducing the clay to a good state of pulverisation, I gave it an abundant top-dressing—first of mild lime, and then of dung-compost, prepared with peat-moss—according to Lord Meadowbank's method, and immediately sowed it down with grass-seeds. This took place in 1810. The hay-crop that followed was immense. It has been cut in hay repeatedly since that period, and twice dressed with lime compost; but since the time of the trenching, (now *seventeen years*,) *not a Rush has ventured to put up its head*. Had the cure been only temporary, Rushes certainly would have appeared again in greater luxuriance, in consequence of the culture, after the third or fourth season.

The next experiment I tried was on the sheepwalk of the park, of which a particular quarter, near the margin of the lake—being of strong rich loam, eight or nine inches deep, with a clayey subsoil—was apt to be rushy, after being some years in pasture. This space of ground extended to about four acres. It was trenched in 1821, nearly twenty inches deep. It was treated nearly in the same style as the meadow just now mentioned, and got the same dressing of lime and compost slightly ploughed in, and completely pulverised, and was then sown down in pasture. After six years, I can truly say that no rush has ever appeared upon it; and now, after another year, (in October 1828,) I can attest the same result. Let it be observed, that this experiment differed somewhat from the other; for pasture immediately succeeded the sowing down, and no cutting of hay took place.

In 1822 I made various other trials, all attended with the same uniform success. From one and all of them I was led to the conclusion, that in *deep trenching on cultivated land*, properly executed, a certain cure will always be found for Rushes, proceeding from the worst cause in which they originate, viz., *tenacity of soil*. The simple theory is this, that if a new and permeable subsoil, composed of the uppermost friable strata, be thus formed underneath, it will act nearly as if gravel or sand had been substituted: and we know that, if either soils or subsoils be once fairly *stirred*, no *complete* consolidation will afterwards take place.

It is probable that this method of eradicating Rushes has not as yet become very extensively known, and therefore has not been much verified by the experience of others.\* In the end of 1821, or beginning of 1822, a scientific friend of mine, who saw the work going on in the park here, was so much struck with its importance and simplicity that he drew up a short account of it, as managed at this place, and published the article in the "Farmer's Magazine" of Edinburgh, where the reader will find it.† But in that article, as far as I remember, (for I have it not at hand,) the depth of the trenching and the expense attending it are both underrated. In respect to the trenching, I never trenched less than eighteen, and sometimes twenty inches in depth; and as to the expense, it never amounted to less than 1s. per pole, or per fall Scotch measure, (which bear the same proportion to each other as the higher national rates do,) or £3 per acre when spade-work only was necessary. If the aid of the *pick* was called in, it amounted to 2d. more per fall, or 26s. per acre. But in such a case previous outlay is of little moment, if we can only rely on an adequate or profitable return.

It is a curious fact, and may be verified by those who are disposed to make the experiment on a single acre, or less, that the trenching of ground, *if done only deep enough*, has (besides eradicating Rushes) the extraordinary effect of *rendering wet land dry, and dry land moist*, for the most beneficial produce either in timber or agricultural crops. In respect to the former soil, it is obvious on the face of the proposition, and from the foregoing experiments. As to the latter, I have more than once verified it by trenching a sandy soil fifteen inches deep, when there were not more than four inches of good mould on the surface, and when the mould was unscrupulously put down to the bottom of the trench, and eleven inches of pure sand superinduced upon it! Nevertheless, the oats sown the first year upon this soil, and manured and treated as above, at once reached the mould at the bottom of the trench;

\* So little does this seem to be known, that an intelligent friend of mine (than whom no man does more work, or does it in a better style of execution) is, at this moment, (October 1827,) engaged, with the help of a professional drainer, brought at some expense from a distance, in endeavouring to extirpate the Rushes in his park by *surface drains*, at twenty and thirty feet distance. It would be quite in vain for me to tell him that his drainer has no science, and that his Rushes, in this way, cannot be *permanently eradicated*. There are very few men who put any value on advice that is gratuitous. Besides, I am too near at hand (not five miles off) to be of any use to him. Were I to come from Lincolnshire, or the Land's-End, offering for fifty guineas to communicate my secret, I believe I could render him very material service.

† No. XC., for May 1822.

and they would have gone down double the depth, had they had an opportunity. On trying oats in the mould of a hot-house, the roots were found to descend two feet nine inches !

I regret that there is not room, in the brief space of an ordinary note, (which has been now so greatly exceeded,) to demonstrate the reasonableness of the experiments made on chemical principles, so as to satisfy the man of science. The man of practice may very easily satisfy himself. He who tries the thing will be convinced, that, while by deep trenching he will raise the value of his land (as held out in the text, by the one-half in some cases, and by double in others, especially if he take a green crop the first season, his entire expense, for both labour and manure, will generally be repaid by that crop : so that, whether he operate as a husbandman or an arboriculturist, he will, by the second season, (as the saying is,) be fairly "on velvet"—or, in other words, that this improvement of the subject *will pay itself after a twelvemonth*.

I am aware that the trenching of land, whether in theory or practice, is a subject not fully understood—not even by Mr Withers himself, notwithstanding his two pamphlets, which are drawn up to illustrate it. The extraordinary and wonderful effects produced by deepening, and the comminution of the parts, (but the one is useless without the other,) are known comparatively to few persons, notwithstanding the success with which chemistry has already been applied to agriculture ; and none but gardeners and nurserymen are, as yet, prepared to believe the *vast power* which they put into the hands of a man of science and enterprise.

## SECTION VII.

NOTE I. Page 167.

I FEEL particular satisfaction in paying this just tribute to the memory of a superior and ingenious artist. His professional character has been slightly, but justly sketched, in the passage to which this Note refers; and all who remember him will unite with me in doing justice to his private worth, his pleasing manners, and his extensive information on all subjects connected with rural affairs. Mr White was an excellent agriculturist, an ingenious mechanic, and a planter of great skill. Like his master, Brown, he was in the habit of undertaking the execution of his own designs, and also of plantations of considerable extent, in both England and Scotland, until his business as a landscape-gardener, in the latter country, became too extensive to admit of such undertakings. In this way he had planted, before the year 1780, for Lord Douglas, at Douglas Castle, about fifteen hundred acres of ground, which are now covered with fine wood, and of which the thinnings have long been a source of considerable revenue to the noble owner.

About the year 1770, Mr White made the purchase of an estate in the higher parts of the county of Durham, on which he planted so extensively and successfully, that it may be worth while, for the encouragement of the young planter, to give some idea of the returns which it made to him. But these are so wonderful and portentous, that, to the ordinary reader, they may rather seem referable to the feats of some arboricultural Münchhausen than to the sober results of judgment and industry.

The territory of Woodlands (for so it was named by the new owner) extended to between seven and eight hundred acres, and cost Mr White about £750. It was situated in a high, and at that time a barren tract of country, about eighteen miles from the city of Durham, and wholly destitute of wood. But as it was surrounded with coal mines, he had the sagacity to foresee that there was scarcely *any* return that might not be expected from Fir and Larch, and other quick growers, judiciously planted, and on a suitable soil. The first thing he did, there-



fore, was to enclose with a strong ring-fence the whole estate, in which, of course, he had the benefit of aid from his neighbours; and having previously drained such parts of it as were swampy, he immediately proceeded to plant the whole, excepting only an arable farm of a hundred and forty acres. This took place about 1777. The soil was a brown mould, the subsoil light and gravelly; and although he covered it with trees of every common species, yet he resolved that the *Larch*, and the *Scotch Fir*, for which he had a peculiar predilection, should form *the staple of his woods*.

The singular spectacle of nearly an entire property dedicated to trees, did not fail to attract the attention of his neighbours, who entertained no belief of the extraordinary success of wood in these high latitudes; but the repeated premiums and medals conferred by the Society of Arts soon attested the importance of his operations. After the plantations had grown for five-and-twenty years, or more, Mr White began to think of establishing his residence on the spot. For that purpose he built a commodious house and offices; he laid out an excellent kitchen garden, and added shrubberies, a piece of water, and a handsome little park, all cut out of this extensive woodland. Enclosures adapted to tillage soon followed, which were added to the arable farm already in his own occupation.

But the wonderful part of the story still remains to be told. It is well known to those who chance to have subjected to the plough old woodland, how inconceivably even the poorest soils are meliorated by the droppings of trees, and particularly of the *Larch*, for any considerable length of time, and the rich coat of vegetable mould which is thereby accumulated on the original surface. The first years' crops of corn were accordingly immense, and those that followed were such as to give an extraordinary impulse to the good culture which gradually took place. After the park was laid down, and the farm improved, the land-rent, fairly estimating its value to a tenant, amounted to no less than about £250 a-year.

In respect to the plantations, after the first ten or twelve years they began to pay admirably in pit-wood, hedge-stakes, and other country uses; and the *Fir* and *Larch* the best of all, from the tanning principle so powerfully possessed by the latter over and above the value of the wood. On inquiry, many years ago, I found that the *Larch*-wood alone returned Mr White £650 a-year—a sum not greatly less than the price he had paid for the entire estate; and five or six years since, it appeared that his son, the present Mr White, had often drawn more than £400 a-year for his *Larch*-bark only, and £1000 a-year as the entire revenue from his woods!—This, it is to be observed,

was derived merely from the *thinnings* of these thriving plantations, including, of course, the cutting out of the place and park, as already stated.

To those acquainted with the rapid progress made by the Larch on a gravelly soil, on which any tolerable quantity of vegetable mould has been aggregated, it is a fact well known that it doubles its value every *three years*, after fifteen years old, and every *five years*, after five-and-twenty; so that it was obvious that, in that ratio, it must soon reach the greatest size and value which the soil and climate would admit. This period has now nearly arrived; and a valuation having been made of the whole of the Fir and Larch wood on the estate, it amounted last year (1826) to the surprising sum of £30,000, putting little value on any other species of wood!!! Whatever is at its best, it is pretty clear, can admit of no further improvement; so that the judicious owner, as I am informed, has it now in contemplation to *cut down the whole*; and after taking two crops of corn, (which must be of the most abundant sort,) to plant the estate anew, in order to create a *second* fortune for his family!

I regret that I am not so much acquainted with the details, as to give a comparative view of the expenditure and the returns from the beginning, as it might prove interesting to those who are embarking, or who may hereafter embark, in similar designs. But there is good ground to believe that arboricultural skill and perseverance were never more amply or speedily rewarded, even during the lifetime of the planter, than by this judicious and most successful speculation.

## SECTION VIII.

### NOTE I. Page 183.

I BEG to take this opportunity of accounting to the critical reader for a few new words which I have taken the liberty to introduce, such as the one to which reference is here made ; and my only apology is, that in a new art the practice may perhaps be admissible.

“To machine a tree,” is an expression which I have for many years applied to the act of putting a tree on the machine. Hence, “the machiner” is the person who operates in this way ; and both words have for some time been current in this part of the kingdom. In the same manner, I have taken the liberty to introduce the expression that ground is “sinky,” when it yields easily to the foot of man, or other animals ; that plants are “stemmy,” when they are tall and slender, and have few branches on the stem ; and that earth is “spadeable,” when it is capable of being worked with the spade. I have said “decalcation of the surface,” for treading it with the foot ; “to spade earth,” for to throw or turn up earth with the spade ; “to handle roots,” for to distribute or arrange them with the hand ; and hence “a handler,” for one that so distributes or arranges them. Of these it may probably be said that some are fair derivatives, particularly “decalcation, sinky, stemmy, and spadeable ;” and that, according to the rule of Horace, they may be considered as adding to the copiousness of speech. In favour of “machiner” and “machining,” “handler” and “handling,” I have little to offer, only that they stand us in good stead in field-practice.

On the same ground, I have also to apologise for the application of a few known and established expressions, which is rather different from that generally in use. Thus, I have said “to transfer a tree,” for to transplant or remove it ; and “transference” for transplantation. These, however, I consider as good words, though pure Latinisms. But “a severe exposure,” for a place severely exposed ; “a staring view,” for a view stared at ; these and suchlike terms are not so defensible, although they may have been used by landscape-gardeners and others. In this

understanding, it is merely (as the Schoolmen say) taking the objective for the subjective, or *vice versâ*, as may suit the circumstances of the case.

## NOTE II. Page 191.

I am not certain, if "Janker" be a term known to the English wood-merchant. In Edinburgh, Glasgow, and other great towns in this kingdom, a pole or beam, from fifteen to thirty feet long, of great strength, and fortified with iron, when mounted on a crossbar, with a pair of high wheels at each end, is called "a janker;" and the immense logs of wood which are transported, by means of it, from one place to another, are swung under the axle, and consequently under the pole also of the machine.

In the transporting or the planting of spreading trees with a machine constructed on this model there could be no room for the tops, because the branches would be chafed to pieces, and destroyed by the hind wheels. But were the top to be much lightened, or still more, were it to be pollarded, as is often done in both Scotland and England, and reduced nearly to a *log of wood*, the janker would act as a most efficient implement, and very heavy subjects might be removed by it. Moreover, the work would be executed far more rapidly, and at a fourth part of the expense of the platform, and the preserving of the upright position of the tree. I have sometimes thought that it might be practicable to apply this sort of machine with advantage to the preservative system, by making the length of the pole equal to the full height of the tallest tree you mean to remove, and so the hind wheels would raise the top sufficiently off the ground. If the fore wheels, for example, were six feet high, the hind ones might be eight, which would afford sufficient room for elevation; and thus the branches might perhaps be managed with greater facility and safety than by any other method. But the use of such a machine would necessarily be limited to operations on an open surface. It must be all "plain sailing," as the seamen say, and no sudden turns, intricacies, or narrow passes, such as often occur, would be admissible in its route.

## SECTION X.

NOTE I. Page 226.

“Shows,” as the refuse of a flax-mill is usually called in this part of the kingdom, when freed of dust and other impurities, form an excellent thatch for houses, the peculiar qualities of which I shall mention here, for the benefit of those who may not have experienced their utility for that object.

Five-and-twenty years since, when no value was put on this useful article, it was generally thrown into the river or stream, on which the flax-mill was situated, and carried away by the next flood. Observing that when shows abounded, the people about the mill used to throw them on the roofs of the sheds, where they seemed completely to turn the rain, I believe I was the first, or among the first, who thought of applying them to the roofs of cottages. Accordingly, expert persons were here set to work to draw and bundle them up like straw used for thatch; and the shows were put on, about twelve inches thick, either by sewing them with marline, or otherwise by superinducing them on a thin coat of fresh turf, as is often done with straw in Scotland. About the same time, likewise, was contrived a wooden tool of about fourteen inches long, but of the rudest sort, named a comb, for smoothing the thatch after being fixed on and properly laid with the hand, which soon brought it to a fine surface.

This covering was of course white, and when first put on extremely beautiful; and although it might be supposed inflammable in its nature, and therefore hazardous on account of fire, yet it turned out on trial to be quite otherwise: we found as the shows lost their light colour, and became brown by the weather, they obtained a skin so hard and smooth as equally to resist wet and drought. Even live coals might be thrown upon it without danger. In so far, then, this covering has been found superior to straw, which is easily ignited, while in point of durability it greatly exceeds the latter. From any information that can be obtained from the flax-dressers, respecting the roofs of their sheds, it appears that they will turn rain for *forty years or more*. Of that length of duration, however, I can say nothing from my own

experience; but on cottage roofs, when the thatching is properly executed, I can answer for more than twenty years, with very little repair being wanted.

At first, this sort of thatch could be procured at a small expense, merely that of drawing or preparing the material; but now, since it has got into some repute in this district, about a third part more than the price of straw is usually paid for the shows. As a substantial and durable covering for houses, however, I can much recommend it, and especially in situations where roofs are exposed externally to *risk from fire*. But it is to be observed, that this remark applies to the outside only; for internally, and on the *under side* of the thatch, which is beyond the action of the atmosphere, it does not lose its character as tow, and is very easily ignited.

NOTE II. Page 241.

As the banks of the Clyde, in this immediate neighbourhood, and the rich vale of the Tay, or Carse of Gowrie, in Perthshire, are celebrated for their orchards, the hint here given respecting a method of manuring them, superior to the one commonly practised, may perhaps be worthy the attention of the owners or occupiers of such grounds, and it shall have a cursory notice in this place. In the district between Lanark and Hamilton *alone*, in a favourable season, the value of the fruit carried to Glasgow and elsewhere, independently of what is consumed on the spot, amounts to not less than between £3000 and £4000.

In these orchards, which are in general extremely well managed, the trees are planted in rows, about forty feet distant from one another, and from fifteen to twenty from plant to plant. When the ground is to be manured, which must be repeated from time to time, in order to refresh and invigorate the roots, the practice usually is to dig in farm-yard dung over the whole surface, and to take a crop of potatoes; or sometimes to ridge in the dung in the line of the intended potato-drills. Now, instead of this, let half the quantity of dung be taken, and made up, according to Lord Meadowbank's method, with a like quantity of peat-moss, which last is to be had at no unattainable distance from any of these orchards. If the peat be in a *very* advanced state of decomposition, (from having been wheeled out some time before, or any other cause,) then only one-third part of the dung will be required, and two-thirds of peat. Let a trench then be opened in the centre between the rows, four or four and a half feet wide, and cut as deep nearly as the depth of the trenched ground, or stirred earth, of which the orchard soil has been originally formed—say from sixteen to eighteen inches. Let

the contents be thrown up in the autumn, and spread out, in order to become friable by the winter's frost. In the month of March following mix this earth, *as intimately as possible*, with the dung-compost, so prepared and fermented as above, turn it twice, and spread the whole round each tree in the rows.

From what I know respecting the quantity of dung employed, according to the ordinary method, the large portion of peaty earth with which it is to be mixed as above, added to the contents of the trench, I am led to believe that, if *very intimately mixed*, it will form a mass of materials well calculated to enlarge the pabulum of the trees to a considerable extent. If the soil be clayey, (which is generally the case in these orchards,) and sand be at no great distance, it would be a sensible improvement to add a fourth part of that earth to the entire mass, even though in a state of considerable purity, and of itself incapable of producing vegetation. Let the whole mass then be regularly spread round each tree, beginning at the stem, at six or eight inches deep, as you can afford it, and ending at two or three inches; and lastly, let it be pointed with the spade, to the depth of two inches only, into the original soil. Thus an area will be covered of twelve feet or more out from the tree, or as far as the branches extend. Lastly, let the new surface be levelled with the rake, and sown down with grass-seeds.

It will suffice to give the trees a supply of fine mould, such as this, once in seven or eight years; and when this is laid on, if attention be paid to give the whole surface of the orchard a good top-dressing for grass, in the ordinary manner, I could venture to promise that the superior produce, by the second year, in hay and fruit, will repay the extra expense and labour attending the work. Should this expense be grudged, and brought into a disadvantageous comparison with the immediate return from the potato-crop, the following method may be tried.

Let the central trench be opened as already directed, and the contents rendered friable by a sufficient exposure to the elements. Let one-fourth part of quick lime, (that is, lime shells,) in the succeeding spring, after being well slaked, twice turned, and brought to the most powdery state possible, be applied to the contents of the trench, and intimately mixed with them, observing that, by twice turning at least, *the minutest comminution of the parts takes place*. Let this compost then be spread round the stems of the trees, pointing it in with the spade as before, two inches deep, and sowing down with grass-seeds in a similar manner.

This species of top-dressing, though less expensive, will not pay nearly so well as the other, in proportion to the money laid out; but that will not probably amount to more than one-third of the former cost. In either case, the pabulum of the tree will be surprisingly

enlarged, and in a way far more effective than by digging among the roots; while no injury will be done by the former method to the numerous, minute, and capillary fibres, which in every case form the true absorbents of the root.

To the fruit-borders of a kitchen garden the same sort of management may be very successfully applied. But in that view I should recommend that the compost be made up of one-fourth part of coal-ashes, completely freed of cinders, and three-fourths of any tolerable soil. The whole should be prepared in the manner already more than once directed in the text for planting, and also for invigorating trees in the open park. If the fruit trees to be manured be planted against a wall, the compost should cover the space of ten feet out; if they be in the open borders, six feet may sufficiently answer the purpose. This practice will by no means preclude the cultivation of leguminous crops, and those especially of which the roots run near the surface, and which should always be preferred for such situations.

NOTE III. Page 242.

As the great object about all places, whether to the husbandman or to the arboriculturist, should be to increase the quantity of disposable manure, it has been found by experience that the juice or exudation from the dunghill may be far more advantageously employed for that purpose, than for watering the roots of trees. From this rule, however, we must always except the roots of Vines in the hot-house; for no superior method has ever been found of giving an immediate stimulus to the growth of those plants.

The object to which I here allude—that is, the mode of employing the juices of the dunghill—was, I believe, first suggested by the late Lord Meadowbank, to whom alone we are indebted for the discovery of the method of converting peat into manure by means of fermentation. The object is, to water peaty earth, if in a *very* decomposed state, and so produce excellent manure within a short period. That this liquid will decompose pure peat itself, we are well aware; but much time and patience would be necessary to decompose it to any extent, and also a greater command of the liquid than could be easily procured. Whoever has dry peat-moss at hand, however, should not omit, when his dung-yard is cleared out, to lay a stratum of it at the bottom, so that, when the contents are taken out some time after, it will be found as valuable a manure as any other in his possession.

Every diligent arboriculturist, and every one residing at a distance from a town or considerable village, where dung can be purchased at pleasure, should have a well or pit at the lower side of his dung-yard,



to which the juice naturally tends, and without which great loss of a valuable substance is always suffered, especially in rainy weather. This pit should be carefully lined with dry stone, and secured underneath and at the sides with a good wall of well made clay-puddle, a foot thick. It should have erected in it a pump of cast iron, (for wood, in such a situation, is of no durability,) of which the working barrel is about four inches and a half in diameter.

The method of making this manure is extremely simple. Once in ten days in winter time, and about three weeks in summer, the liquor collected is pumped up into a large barrel, mounted with a three-inch brass cock. The barrel used for watering your trees in the park will answer the purpose admirably. Having prepared a heap of peat-moss, *as dry and as far advanced towards decomposition as possible*, and having conveyed the water-cart to the spot, the liquid is to be drawn off in stable pails, and poured leisurely over the heap. As soon as it has, in this way, got two complete waterings, it is to be turned and thoroughly mixed; and provided the liquid be pretty strongly impregnated with the fertilising juices, after a second course of both—that is, in all four waterings—the whole will be found converted into valuable manure, fitted for every purpose of husbandry, arboriculture, or horticulture.

One thing only in the department last mentioned may be noticed, and that is, that the application of this manure, or indeed of any other, of which peaty matter forms a part, should be confined by the gardener to crops cultivated with the spade or the hoe. For those raised from small seeds, and which require hand-weeding, it is not so suitable, from the quantity of chickweed that decomposed peat is apt to engender, especially in the first season.

## SECTION XI.

NOTE I. Page 265.

THE lodge and entrance-gate to the park, with their combined features, woody and architectural, if properly executed, should be one of the most pleasing accessories to the landscape in a well laid-out place. As they are the first to meet the stranger's eye, so they should, like a good saloon or entrance-hall to a house, convey a favourable impression of the propriety and good taste of the arrangements within. A good lodge should present the idea of an "ornamental cottage," always *harmonising with the style of the mansion-house*; not a fantastical or nondescript hut, covered with thatch and buried in creepers, and harmonising with nothing good or bad, natural or artificial, about the place. But lodges and gateways, in which we should expect the joint skill and taste of the architect and the landscape-gardener, are, generally speaking, very dull and monotonous things, which can do little credit to the artists, and give no pleasure to the owner.

It must, however, be acknowledged that it is the landscape-gardener, and not the architect, who is chiefly in fault in this business. Mr Hunt, and other late architects who have turned their attention to rural decoration, have sufficiently redeemed the credit of their art by various sketches for buildings of this description; so that we are not now, as heretofore, without models from which to form a very tasteful selection. With regard to the other department, I shall beg leave, as a sort of ex-professor of that art, to offer a few hints for the improvement of park entrances on the present occasion. By rendering them *better pictures* than they now display, I should hope that they might become at once more interesting to the traveller who passes by, and more attractive to the visitor who enters the grounds to which they belong.

In accomplishing this object, I propose to proceed on two simple principles. The first is, to recommend the study of *open work*, more than has usually been adopted in the disposition of the woody accompaniments of the buildings; and the second is, to give them *foreground* and *consequence*, by throwing them back from the public road to a certain


distance—thus producing something like landscape effect by the external wooding of the intermediate surface.

In respect to the first principle, it is plain that the wholly shutting up, and rendering impervious to the eye of the traveller, the entrance to a park or place of any tolerable extent, can never be consistent with good taste. To admit, from this station, such open views of the internal scenery of the place as often delighted our ancestors, is now out of the question; and it is accordingly not less reprobated than proscribed, in an age which places privacy and seclusion in the foremost rank of rural enjoyments. All that I should for our present purpose recommend is, a *limited but striking landscape*, in which the lodge forms the central point of attraction, bounded on all sides by grove and underwood, and not stretching beyond a hundred yards in length, and half that number in breadth, towards the park side, both within and without the railing, or pales of the entrance. This, for the largest places, I conceive would be sufficient; and for smaller ones in proportion, according to the taste and fancy of the owner.

As to the second principle, the throwing back the lodge to a certain distance from the road, thirty yards or ninety feet seem ample for the residences of most private individuals; that is, allowing thirty feet or more of the number as a sufficient space between the railing or open pales and the lodge. The grassy margins along each side of the carriage-way (which should extend from the pales the whole way through the bounding-line of plantation till they reach the open park) might be from thirty to forty feet broad, having scattered over them, at wide distances—say from twenty-four to thirty feet—stately standard or grove trees, interspersed here and there with underwood, through which the eye might be partially let in, so as to catch a view of the park. These grassy margins, on which the sheep or deer could browse down to the gateway, would form a pleasing connexion with the external ground, which is also to be wooded; and being separated from it by the open pales or railing, would give considerable intricacy to the picture.

The external ground itself, on which the main effect depends, should be richly clothed, like the grassy margins as above, with grove-trees and underwood in the same way intermixed, relieving and massing up the building, so as to form the most interesting landscape that the nature of the ground and the limited view into the park will admit. In order to give proper effect to the lodge, as its distance from the open pales should be thirty feet or more as already mentioned, so it should stand eighteen or twenty feet off the carriage-way. And to this it may be added, that the entire length of the external plantation or grass-plot should be at least a hundred yards, if the ground will allow it; and that





the pales and gateway should, of course, cross the coach-road at right angles. Moreover, the external grass-plot, which in front should be kept neat with the scythe, must be protected from stray cattle on the turnpike road by a low rough fence of Larch stakes, about two feet high, or less, of which the bark is allowed to remain upon the stakes.

If, in laying out an entrance-gate on such picturesque principles, at an old place where great trees could be commanded for the external wooding, and for the park-like margins on each side of the carriage-way, the effect would be splendid were any tolerable skill displayed in the execution ; and it would, I am certain, do much to bring this style into fashion in any district in which it chanced to be executed. Without the passport of *fashion* I know that nothing will go down in England ; as even there *picturesque effect* in the details of the handsomest places is not always studied. In Scotland the thing is neither known nor studied at all ; but wherever it does appear, it seizes our approbation we know not why, and powerfully detains it, without our being able to assign the true cause. At places where great trees cannot be commanded, the power of the transplanting-machine may be called in to our assistance ; and that, with a good choice of subjects, spreading and spiral, as the different parts may require to be brought out, will accomplish any design of ordinary magnitude within a short period.

I am very far from believing that any thing which I am capable of designing or executing in this way is worthy of general imitation, although it may give useful hints to professional men. Notwithstanding, as verbal description is never so effectively aided as by the efforts of the pencil, I have added a sketch of the western entrance to this place, (plate VI.) which gives the example of a *limited view* designed on the above principles. Owing to the very broken and untoward nature of the ground, and its being completely triangular, added to the circumstance of the turnpike-road sweeping round the lodge on the left-hand side of the picture, it was extremely difficult so to manage it as to produce any tolerable effect. But one of the chief recommendations of the plan of improving park entrances by external wooding consists in this, that it surmounts all obstacles, and cures all deformities, *at the least possible expense*. The means of cure, namely wood, is so beautiful in itself that it throws its own delightful character over every thing which it touches. If your ground be high, it will give it shelter ; if tame and flat, variety and elevation ; and if it be irregular and deformed, it will oftentimes convert those seeming obstructions into playful intricacy and unexpected beauty.

Respecting the sketch thus presented to the picturesque reader, I have only further to observe, that the mansion-house here is a plain

building, or, in modern phrase, a "Grecian" house, having a Doric portico with four columns; I therefore conceived that a similar lodge, having a covered porch in front, with six Tuscan ones, would not be unappropriate. Others may prefer trellis-work as handsomer, or more fashionable; but both styles have great effect when opposed to wood. To the pupils of the PRESERVATIVE SCHOOL— who, I am glad to observe, (October 1828,) are daily becoming more numerous in England—the interest of this little picture may perhaps be increased, when they are told that it is of *late* formation, having had *no existence till 1822*; and that in the spring of that year, and of 1823 together, the whole was produced by the transplanting-machine *within a few weeks*.

NOTE II. Page 268.

Although from the estimate given in the text it appears that, by the common method of planting, park-wood may be obtained at *thirteen* times the expense of wood obtained at once by the transplanting-machine, yet I believe, if full justice were done to the comparative statement, that the superiority of the latter would appear still greater.

There are many items which have been omitted here that would tell surprisingly in a correct estimate. For example, independently of the *immediate and picturesque effect* of the removed trees, on which no value has been put, there is great *beneficial effect*, for which something considerable should have been allowed—first, in the direct shelter given to the ground by this plantation; and secondly, by the immediately increased value in pasture, in consequence of the grass produce round each tree to a wide distance being doubled. This the manuring and pulverising of the soil, at the time of planting, completely brought about in the instance adduced; and as those enriched spaces nearly touch, the pasture of the entire ground planted was fairly doubled, and must continue so for many years—until the roots exhaust the ground, or the branches overshadow it. On the other hand, although the expense of renewing the railing has been stated, yet no charge is made for keeping up the fence for thirty years. Other items might be mentioned, such as the inconsiderable value of the thinnings of the wood, under this head; because the opening up must be performed at an early period, and continued to wide distances, on account of the severe exposure of the site, and the conferring on the trees that are to remain the protecting properties.

The truth is, that it is utterly impossible to procure such profusion of park-wood as is desirable round a nobleman's or gentleman's residence at a moderate cost, except by the transplanting-machine. The

ordinary modes of planting in very large masses, and afterwards cutting out the wood required, cannot be done without immense expense. But the reason why the expense, when laid out, often escapes observation is, because it is laid out *gradually*, during a long course of years, and is therefore seldom paid by one generation. Perhaps the better way would be to adopt the late Mr White's system, and *plant the entire surface*. That would be a strong measure, where much revenue was to be sacrificed, although I have little doubt that it would pay the second generation, if Larch were made the staple of the wood. Yet two generations could perhaps not easily be found, who would consent either to sink so large a capital as must inevitably be sunk, if the land were valuable, or to live for thirty or forty years in the midst of a thicket.

## NOTE III. Page 273.

I regret lately to learn, that this gentleman, in the severe summer of last year, (1826,) had the misfortune to lose a good many of his trees, from not being aware of the necessity of *watering abundantly* during the first season, and *securing the roots with shows or moss* against the drought. Although half a century might pass away in our variable climate without the recurrence of a similar season, yet I think it of some importance to state in this place what happened to my own trees of that year, as it gives a strong view of the value of after-work, (which has been fully treated in the foregoing section,) and shows that it is as deserving of the planter's notice as any part of the treatment of wood.

During the spring which preceded this truly tropical summer—that is, in February and March 1826—I transplanted about a hundred and ten trees, partly in close woods and partly in the open park, from twenty-five to thirty feet high. Within three weeks after being planted, they were carefully watered and covered with shows, after the manner directed in Section X. By the end of May we were forced to commence our summer watering of the roots. That of the tops was never attempted; nor was it necessary, from the copious dews which fell during the night, and were, of course, absorbed by the leaves. And as there is great command of water about the place, the former was continued, more or less, between three and four months! Of the whole number of trees planted *I lost only ONE*, although they consisted mostly of Oaks and Beeches, the plants of all others the most sensitive of drought! Several bushes, however, or stools of Underwood died, and I am persuaded that it was in consequence of *over-watering*—an error which is more easily committed than planters will perhaps believe.

I am satisfied that, with workmen trained in the school of my



ingenious friend Mr Smith, the trees at Ibroxhill were just as skilfully taken up and planted as those transferred at this place, although the latter might have the advantage in the preparation of the soil. But I am the more anxious here to state the above remarkable fact, together with the circumstances which attended it, that it is impossible to press the importance of after-work too earnestly on the young planter's mind. For a similar object—namely, that of preserving the vigour of his trees *during his first season*—I earnestly request of him to give an attentive perusal to pages 323, 324, *et seq. ante*, containing “an Inquiry into the Causes of Backwardness in Trees,” as he will find, that the circumstances above stated bear strongly on that discussion, and tend to illustrate it in a very striking manner.

---

That the art which has been the subject of the foregoing pages will, ere long, be practised by many with a success and a skill superior to mine, I entertain no sort of doubt. I have laboured, and I trust not unsuccessfully, to refer to *Principles of Science* that which was vague and fortuitous in this department of rural pursuit; and to induce planters to follow *the Laws of Nature*, by carefully studying them in her own ample volume, rather than by trusting to the dogmas or the prejudices of any class of men. What I have in this way begun, I hope that others better qualified may perfect, bringing superior ability, if not superior diligence, to the advancement of an art which requires only to be more fully known in order to become both popular and useful. For this purpose, however, I am aware that it must be brought into notice by those who *lead the fashion of the day*, in arts as well as letters. When vegetable physiology comes thus to be better understood, and arboriculture, as an interesting art, more generally studied by landowners and country gentlemen, it will no longer remain doubtful that any man, possessed of science, and capable of industry, may give IMMEDIATE AND CERTAIN EFFECT TO WOOD in any manner, and to any extent, he pleases.

## SECTION XII.

### NOTE I. Page 289.

THE Oak, the Ash, and the Elm are, in the dealer's phrase, usually dignified by the name of Timber Trees; and some add the Beech to the number. Why this exclusive enumeration should be made I can see no sufficient reason in the epithet, as various other species—such as the Fir, the Larch, the Sycamore, the Chestnut, and many more—yield also very valuable timber. The three kinds first mentioned, however, are certainly most generally useful.

The best practical arrangement of trees and shrubs is according to their respective heights, and has been very well given by Marshall, in his treatise on planting and rural ornament. His enumeration is as follows; First, tall trees, such as rise to sixty feet or upwards—*e. g.* the Oak, Ash, Elm, Beech, Sycamore, Fir, &c. Secondly, middle rank trees, or such as usually rise to between forty and sixty feet—as the Maple, Cherry, Alder, Birch, &c. Thirdly, low trees, from thirty to forty feet high—as the Hawthorn, Cypress, Scarlet Maple, &c. Shrubs he likewise arranges in the same way, in four different classes, all in a manner extremely useful to the planter.—See vol. ii. p. 438. But for the purpose of transplanting at a large size, and producing immediate shelter or ornament, every forest tree, and every sort of shrub or underwood adapted to the climate, are proper, that have hardiness to bear the operation, and recover from it with facility.

### NOTE II. Page 291.

The great Cowthorpe Oak, near Wetherby in Yorkshire, is, or was in 1776, according to Dr Hunter, who resided at no great distance from it, sixteen yards in girth at three feet from the surface, and six-and-twenty close to the ground. Marsham, however, makes it somewhat less.—See Hunter's edit. of Evelyn's *Sylva*, vol. ii. p. 208. The Bentley Oak, in Holt Forest, in 1759, measured thirty-four feet at seven feet from the ground. The great Boddington Oak, which grew at Boddington Manor farm, near the turnpike road between Cheltenham and

Tewkesbury, in the Vale of Gloucester, measured more than eighteen yards close to the ground ; and at three feet, fourteen yards. It was unfortunately burned down in 1790.—See Transactions of the Bath Society, vol. ii. ; Marshall's Planting and Rural Ornament, vol. ii. p. 299. It is worthy of remark, that these wonderful trees all grow in deep rich meadow ground, which yields the richest and most luxuriant pasture-grass.

In Scotland, we never could boast of any thing like these. Probably Wallace's Oak, in the Torwood, near Stirling, was among the largest trees we ever had, the girth of which, a short way from the ground, seems to have been about twelve yards. The list, preserved by Evelyn, of the ancient oaks existing in his time, is extremely curious. But the accounts which come the nearest to the present day, are by Marsham and Gilpin ; but particularly by the latter, in his remarks on forest scenery, where the examples which he quotes are illustrated by very interesting historical evidence.

NOTE III. Page 291.

The *Quercus Robur pedunculata*, or Stalk-fruited or upright Oak, is placed in the Linnæan system among the *Monœcia polyandria*. It is described by one of our most accurate botanists, as *Quercus foliis deciduis oblongis, superné latioribus, sinibus acutioribus, angulis obtusis, pedunculis fructiferis elongatis*.—Smith, Flor. Britan. iii. p. 1026. The *Quercus Robur sessilis*, or Sessile-fruited or spreading Oak, is described as *Foliis petiolatis deciduis oblongis, sinibus acutioribus oppositis, fructibus sessilibus*.—Id. *ibid*.

The *Quercus muscosa*, or Mossy-cup Oak, not being a native of Britain, has not the advantage of the same author's accuracy of delineation. It seems to have escaped the diligence of Professor Martyn, unless he has described it under the head of *Quercus ægilops*, as coming from the Levant, and cultivated by Miller in 1731. I have heard of trees of this sort, four feet in diameter, being cut down at Mount Edgecumbe in Devonshire ; but the American is a better and more hardy tree. It has long deciduous leaves, still more deeply sinuated than those of the two British kinds, also irregularly jagged, and having longer footstalks. The acorns are of an elongated oval shape, nearly enveloped by the cup, which is very large, and covered with scales, with the points mostly bent backwards, terminating in filaments at the border of the cup.

The kinds of Oak which the intelligent Dr Yule of Edinburgh, and the Committee of the Horticultural Society, recommend to be more

generally introduced into plantations in Scotland, are five in number : First, the Evergreen Oak, *Quercus ilex*, already known in various favourable situations, at Rothsay, at Roseneath, at Castle Kennedy, &c. Secondly, the Cork tree, *Quercus suter* ; Thirdly, the Kermes Oak, *Quercus coccifera*—both evergreens, but far inferior in magnitude to the ilex. The last mentioned is the tree once so celebrated among the Romans, for producing the Kermes or excrescence, of which the finest scarlet colour was made, and which came to be preferred to the purple of Tyre. Fourthly, the Lace or Live Oak, *Quercus virens*, another evergreen. This species was cultivated by Miller in 1739. Among other recommendations to it we are told, but not by Miller, that “the sea breeze is favourable if not indispensable to its full growth.” *Credat Judæus!* Fifthly, the Quercitron or Dyer’s Oak, *Quercus tinctoria*, the only deciduous tree of the five, and of which the bark is so valuable for making a yellow dye.

According to Dr Yule’s own account, none of these trees are of much value, unless we except the Quercitron, for which reason they must be considered as ornamental only. Had they come from Canada or Nova Scotia, instead of Languedoc, Spain, and South America, I should have had a better opinion of them for general use. However, Dr Yule is entitled to the warmest thanks of all lovers of trees for his ingenious researches, and for recommending that patient experiments be made. It is by means of such trials only, that we may hope to add to our present stock of plants. In fact, some of the most beautiful forest-trees now naturalised, and assimilated to the climate, were once imported from other countries—for example, the English Elm and Horse Chestnut.

NOTE IV. Page 293.

Evelyn says, the two kinds of Oak frequent with us are the *Quercus urbana*, i. e. the stalk-fruited Oak which grows more upright, and, being clean and lighter, is fittest for timber ; and the *Robur* or *Quercus Silvestris*, taking *Robur* for the general name, if, at least, contradistinct from the rest, which, as the name imports, is of a vast, robust, and inflexible nature ; of an hard black grain ; bearing a smaller acorn, and affecting to spread in branches, and to put forth his roots more above ground.—Vol. i. pp. 70, 71. That is to say, the upright not being “cleaner and lighter in the stem,” is more fit for being cut up into planks ; as a long plank is always better than a short one. But, as to “robustness,” that is strength, as to “inflexibility and hardness of grain,” that is toughness and closeness, the spreading oak is decidedly to be preferred. I believe there is no carpenter in the kingdom who

would desire a more clear and satisfactory evidence as to which of the two is the superior wood.

In another place, the same writer confirms and elucidates the character of the spreading oak. After allowing to the upright species the principal merit it possesses, namely—that of being longer and cleaner in the stem—he sets forth which of the two is more proper for the highest of all purposes, *timber for the Navy*, and thus expresses himself:—“It is the propagation of these large spreading oaks which is especially recommended for the excellency of the timber, and that his Majesty’s forests were well and plentifully stored with them; because they require room and space to amplify and expand themselves, and should therefore be planted at more remote distances, and free from all incumbrances: and this upon consideration how slowly a full-grown Oak mounts upwards, and how speedily it spreads and dilates itself to all quarters, by dressing and due culture, so as above forty years’ advance is to be gained by this only industry. And if thus his Majesty’s forests and chases were stored, viz., with this *spreading tree* at handsome intervals, by which grazing might be improved, for the feeding of deer and cattle under them, (for such was the old *Saltus*,) benignly visited with the gleams of the sun, and adorned with the distant landscapes appearing through the glades and frequent alleys, nothing could be more ravishing. The result of all is, that upon occasion of *special timber*, there is a very great and considerable difference; so as some oaken timber proves manifestly weaker, more spongy, and sooner decaying than other. The like may be affirmed of Ash and other kinds; and, generally speaking, *the close-grained is the stoutest and most permanent.*”—Pp. 79-83.

I think the reader will be pleased with this quotation from the excellent Evelyn, not only as a planter of skill, but as a writer well acquainted with the properties of timber. Moreover, he will admit, that nothing better could probably have been said by Gilpin or Pontey, on the subject of the Oak, whether in regard to pruning and culture, or even picturesque effect.

The late writer of the greatest distinction who has touched on this subject, is the learned Professor Martyn of Cambridge, the same who has edited, and so highly improved Miller’s Dictionary, as to render it in some sort a new publication. From a passage in that work, it appears that Du Roi, a German Botanist who wrote on forest-trees, had asserted that the wood of the Sessile-fruited Oak is “reddish and brittle,” whereas that of the Stalk-fruited is “whitish and hard.” This assertion, however it may hold good in respect to the Oaks of Germany or France, (the only ones which this writer could probably

have examined) the very contrary, as we have just now seen, is true in regard to those of Britain.

Professor Martyn, himself, speaks on the point hypothetically ; for he merely observes, that “ If what Du Roi affirms *should turn out to be the case*, (not obscurely intimating that the assertion never had been verified,) it behoves the planter of Oak, for timber, to attend to the distinctions given above, and to avoid gathering acorns, for planting, from such trees as have the leaves on foot-stalks, with sessile fruits;” a sentiment in which every person of sense must agree with him. The fact, however, is, that from the best evidence which we possess on the subject, the reverse of what Du Roi asserts, as to the German Oak, holds good respecting ours.

Lightfoot, a late accurate inquirer, observes in his *Flora Scotica*, of the spreading Oak, that it is more humble (that is, less tall) than the upright sort, and that “ *its timber is harder and higher coloured.*” Another of the most correct of our writers, Sir James E. Smith, says, as applicable to both species, *Arbor formosa, ligno utilissimo, duro, tenaci*. He then adds, as to the Sessile-fruited tree, *a priori differt minoris pretii* ; that is to say, that the price given for the latter is inferior to that of the former, and, by implication, owing to some cause different from the quality of the timber, otherwise he would naturally have specified it. But the cause is obvious enough, and arising from the form of the upright Oak itself, namely far greater length and consequently value of plank.

It is with regret that I perceive that some late authors, not less eminent for the science than the practical information which they possess, should have found reason to give to the public an impression considerably different from the above, as to the comparative value of our native species of Oaks. What I have stated, as the result of some observation and experience, I trust they will consider with the same candour as that with which I have endeavoured to communicate it. We can have but one motive, one object in view, namely—the advancement of science, and the improvement of the woods of the kingdom. See Dr Yule’s interesting paper, in the *Memoirs of the Caledonian Horticultural Society*, vol. ii. p. 376. Also the Rev. G. J. Hamilton’s *Essay on Woods and Plantations*, in the *Transactions of the Highland Society of Scotland*, vol. v. p. 295.

NOTE V. Page 295.

This is the *Esculus* of Pliny or *φayός* of the Greeks, otherwise the cut-leaved Italian Oak. It is a native of Italy and Spain : the foliage

is beautiful ; and it grows to an immense size in those countries. It was anciently dedicated to Jupiter ; and it was of its branches that the Romans made their civic crowns.—Plin. Hist. Nat. lib. xii. 1, xvi. 4.

NOTE VI. Page 204.

A few years since, I applied to Messrs — and Son, who are among the most intelligent in the trade, in order to learn if they could supply me with some of the late British Oak, *Quercus Robur*, which kept its leaves till the month of May. Their answer was, that they never heard of an Oak possessing such a property, and they were certain none such existed in their possession. Finding that they usually got some part of their acorns from the banks of Loch Lomond, Loch Katrine, and about Callander, I said I was pretty sure they must have what I wanted ; and that, as the month of March was at this time past, the distinctive mark which I mentioned would be conspicuous on the slightest inspection. I then proposed to walk over their nurseries, which were at some distance ; to which they replied, that it would be giving me unnecessary trouble, as they were well assured that no such plant could be found in them. However, on my persisting, they readily attended me to the spot.

As it happened, the demand for Oaks that season had been unusually great, and, moreover, Messrs — had some extensive designs of wood to execute ; so that they had at this time but a few thousands remaining on hand. Yet, in the course of five minutes, I pointed out several hundred plants of the kind I wanted, which, at fifty yards' distance, Messrs — took for *Beeches*, nor were they convinced of their error till they went close up to the plants. However, as they are very obliging men, they civilly directed the plants to be picked out for me. They thanked me for my information as to the aboriginal Oak, which they acknowledged was curious : but, as they truly observed, it could be of no use to the trade while the importance of it was unknown ; for their customers, of course, put just as much value on one kind as on another.

NOTE VII. Page 305.

The Duke of Athole, for one, has, I believe, little less than twenty thousand acres of land under wood, planted within the last fourscore years. The Duke of Argyle, Lord Breadalbane, Lord Fife, and others, have an immense extent of woodland, which yields them a large revenue.

## NOTE VIII. Page 308.

It is a thing well known to any person who has attended to the subject, that, extensive as the business of arboriculture in Scotland is, nothing can be more injudicious than the way in which it is managed. A principle is adopted, completely at variance with the professed object in view ; and that principle is carried through with such ill-judged persistency, as almost wholly to defeat the object.

A space of ground is selected, the richest that can be found near a great city—usually garden ground, that has been in cultivation for ages. A profusion of rich manure is immediately poured into it, to a potato or turnip crop, after which acorns and other tree seeds are thinly sown in beds, and seedlings of every sort rush up as close as they can stand together. After a twelvemonth or two, as the case may be, these seedlings are transplanted into rows as densely compacted ; and he who knows the judicious distances, whether between the rows or the plants, prescribed by the Millers, the Bouchers, or the Hanburys, as essentially necessary to their success, will stand aghast at the contrast here exhibited. At the end of two years more of a severe struggle of the weak with the strong, in a soil and climate equally hostile to both, the whole are planted out, in the most sterile tracts and the highest elevations. And what is the nurseryman's object, by so strange and unnatural a process? Why, to raise, as he is expected to do, the greatest possible number of plants on the smallest extent of surface, and to furnish them to his customers at the lowest possible price.

In respect to the style of plants so injudiciously drawn up, their fibrousness of root, their strength of stem, their number of side-branches, the utter deficiency in these, and other properties which they should possess, will appear at a single glance to the intelligent reader. But to the nurseryman, these things are of no consequence whatever. He knows very well that his employers, in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred, can form no judgment on such points. Noblemen and gentlemen may know something of breeding or of feeding stock ; but a knowledge of wood is not the fashion of the day. They must, in that department, see through the eyes and hear through the ears of their gardeners ; and almost every gardener in the kingdom owes his situation, directly or indirectly, to the nurseryman. Without therefore supposing a degree of virtue that is superhuman in this class of men, we can conceive no great improvement of the system to originate with *them* ; and we may easily imagine how the extensive plantations, now in progress for years, are and have been supplied with plants. As to the scale of magnitude on which this branch of trade is conducted in Edinburgh, it



may be curious to add, that one company alone in that city, besides supplying a great part of Scotland with seeds and nursery plants, exports yearly some millions of the latter, for the use of the west and south-west of England, as well as Wales.\*

The necessary result of such a state of things requires little description and no comment. From the unwholesome atmosphere of a pernicious hot-bed, these tender plants, when suddenly transferred to commons and mountains, sicken and decay. Without any portion of the vigour inherent in their species, they have all the delicacy and weakness derived from a forced and adventitious mode of culture. If they survive the change, they languish for years, ere they acquire strength or constitution suited to their new situation. Thus, the progress of wood, which is slow to a proverb, is rendered slower still, and more uncertain, by such severe and unnatural treatment. If we add to this, the imperfect acquaintance possessed in general by planters themselves with the nature and properties of the grand staple of all woods, the Oak, and the proper adaptation of its different species to different situations and climates, we have a true, but not a very flattering picture of the value of our plantations to posterity.

In making this statement, which a regard for truth compels me to make, I need scarcely repeat what has been already stated, that no reflection *can* possibly be intended by it on the nurserymen of Scotland, either aggregately or individually. It is true they are unhappily situated, under the influence of circumstances which they cannot easily control, and of habits which they have no direct temptation to relinquish. Yet respectable and enterprising as they unquestionably are in their present vocation, it strikes me with wonder, that while some portion of the most eminent of them see and lament the extensive evils, of which I have given but a faint outline, not a man has been found of sufficient energy and vigour of character to attempt to place the trade upon a better footing.

When we consider that the nurseryman may in some sort be said to cater for the planter, and that the planter plants, not for himself only,

\* It appears that our English neighbours, as a sort of reciprocation of courtesy, prefer sundry articles of our manufacture, intellectual and artificial, to their own. Of the former sort, novels, for example, and delineations of life and manners, and muslins, and nursery plants, are supposed to be produced no where so well as in Scotland; from which the annual export, in all the branches, is to an enormous extent, and large fortunes in consequence have been made in them. One gentleman, in the nursery department, is said to have realised above £80,000, which is pretty well for Edinburgh; and others, no doubt, have succeeded in proportion.

but for posterity, it follows that the office, and the way in which its duties are discharged, must be extremely interesting to the community. Instead of being a *mere dealer* in seeds and plants, a nurseryman in this country should be a man of science, endued with liberal views and pretty general acquirements. He should be a botanist, a gardener, a planter, an agriculturist, and a person, withal, who has had the benefit of a liberal, if not an academical education. He should above all, if possible, be an honest man. To raise *cheap* plants is one thing, to raise the *best* and *healthiest* plants is a thing very different; and I am persuaded, were another Boutcher now to arise in Scotland, that instead of being allowed to languish unknown, at Comely Garden or elsewhere, and die at last in neglect and indigence, he would rapidly make a fortune. Such is the present wealth and intelligence of the country, that if he only trod in the footsteps of his honest and unpretending predecessor, and regarded all considerations as nothing in comparison with the *furnishing of superior articles*, he would soon attract into his hands half the business of the kingdom. To such a nurseryman as this, a *better price* indeed would be paid; but it would be the best-spent money that men of land-property could lay out. The rapid progress, nay the obvious health and vigour of woods planted in consequence, would increase in a three or four-fold ratio; a ratio quite inconceivable to any one who has not verified the fact. Yet it is a fact which we may easily verify, by taking the trouble to inspect, and compare with others, the plantations of any nobleman or gentleman who is judicious and knowing enough to raise his own nursery-plants; but his scale of operations, to admit of an efficient arrangement of this sort, must be pretty considerable.

What, then, will effect the reform in our arboricultural system which we so much desiderate? Probably *public opinion* alone; and nothing less, as far as I can see, than the same influence to which I have more than once appealed, that of the great Agricultural Society of Scotland, is capable of bringing that powerful engine to bear upon the object. Of treatises on woods and plantations there is not any want at present. But were the Society to hold out premiums to nurserymen, for raising the hardiest and best plants of various kinds and ages, such as showed the healthiest character in respect to roots, fibres, stem, bark, and other properties, the eyes of planters would at once be opened to the vast importance of having such materials to work with; and in fact, within a short time, none but such plants would be valued, or would sell in the market.

Of late years we have seen, with unmixed satisfaction, men of the highest rank, wealth, and talents, successfully apply themselves to

agriculture. The breeding and feeding of stock, as intimately connected with it, have also practically engaged their attention, and called forth their assiduity. Who knows, but that TREES might next become as favourite an object with the higher orders, were the tide of fashion to set in that way? Persons of wealth and property, if they really studied the subject, would then find that, in judging of their woods, the medium of their gardeners was no longer wanted; and instead of borrowing from that class of men antiquated prejudices and popular errors, they would be enabled to give instructions to *them*, practical and scientific, obtained from sources which are beyond their reach. With such instructors, and under such patrons, the art of planting would speedily improve, and skill would in time derive lessons from experience. The age of the Millers and the Bouchers would ere long return, and, with it, knowledge presiding over arboricultural labour. The profession of the nurseryman, in such a case, could not fail to rise from the level of an ordinary trade to the rank of a liberal study. Men of intelligence and information would soon engage in it, as a field not unworthy of their talents, where fame, as well as wealth, would be sure to remunerate useful exertion.

I observed in the text, that this subject might deserve a separate essay; and here is, in fact, a sort of disquisition not necessarily connected with the transplanting art. I do not know, important as it is, whether it will do any good; but I will give it its chance with a discerning public.

## SECTION XIII.

### NOTE I. Page 310.

THE Ash, according to the arrangement of Linnæus, is ranked under the genus *Polygamia dioecia*. The common Ash, or *Fraxinus excelsior*, has small-sized leaves, which are serrated, with flowers having no petals (*Foliolis serratis, floribus apetalis.*)—Linn. Spec. Plant. 1509. *Fraxinus excelsior, foliolis serratis, floribus calyce corrolâque destitutis.*—Smith, Flor. Brit. t. ii. p. 13. Of the American species of this tree, there is one which seems particularly hardy and valuable, I mean the *Fraxinus americana*, or White Ash, as described by Willdenow and Michaux. It endures the severest colds of Canada and Nova Scotia, and unites all the properties for which the Common Ash is so remarkable—strength, toughness, and durability. That it would transplant well, I have little doubt; but I cannot speak from my own experience.

I entirely agree with the ingenious Dr Yule, in the opinion that the Ash family is, as yet, but imperfectly known to naturalists; and that the seemingly valuable properties of the white, the red, and the blue American species well deserve to be investigated.—See his interesting Report of a Committee of the Caledonian Horticultural Society, of experiments on the naturalisation of useful and ornamental plants in Scotland, (as already alluded to, pp. 462–3, under the head Oak.) *Horticul. Misc.* vol. ii. pp. 395–397.

### NOTE II. Page 313.

The heritable or territorial jurisdictions which subsisted in Scotland from remote times, and were not entirely abolished till after the rebellion in 1745, conferred very extensive powers on the lesser as well as the greater barons. In some cases, they extended to life and death, and in others to minor punishments, such as that of “the Juggs” alluded to in the text.

This term is obviously derived from the Latin *jugum*, and is therefore of Saxon, not Celtic origin. It seems surprising that Dr Jamie-

son should be rather inclined to derive it from the Danish "kaak," which appears to have little affinity to it. The Juggs consisted of an iron collar, firmly fixed to the trunk of one of the largest trees about the castle or residence of the baron, usually an Oak or an Ash. It was placed about seven feet from the ground ; and the breadth of the collar was about three inches. This collar was divided into two parts at the centre, which last was secured by a strong staple, firmly driven into the tree, with a hasp accommodated for a padlock. Culprits, or others falling under the laird's displeasure, were elevated to this perilous situation, with a small wooden stool under their feet. Their necks were then secured in the collar, and the key delivered to the laird. If they conducted themselves with becoming penitence, and cautiously kept the stool in its place, they were usually released within a couple of days or so, half dead with cold and hunger. But if by their own violence, or the malice of some enemy in the dark, the stool was kicked away, they were sure to suffer strangulation by hanging, within a short period.

When such an accident occurred, little notice was taken, as it was always easy to refer it to the cause first-mentioned. This was a summary mode of punishment, far superior to the stocks in England. It usually produced the most marvellous improvement on the choleric and refractory, and brought even the most obstinate and wayward within the pale of feudal discipline. It certainly could be applied, *si fas esset*, with singularly good effect, to more classes of offenders than one, in the present day.

Such were the Juggs, as I remember to have seen them, on the venerable tree commemorated in the text. It was probably five hundred years old at the time it fell, an event which took place in the year 1771, when it strewed the ground far and wide with its massy fragments. The name still borne by an eminence, about half a mile distant from the tree, namely "The Gallows Hill," sufficiently attests, that they could hang in these times in the ordinary way also, as often as occasion called for that extreme punishment.

#### NOTE III. Page 313.

I regret to observe, that this elegant tree seems to have become rather rare in Scotland, although it is still to be found about old places, but always of considerable age. There are some fine ones at Glammis Castle, the seat of the Earl of Strathmore. The finest and most magnificent tree that I know of this sort, is at Touch House in Stirlingshire, belonging to the family of Seton, which is supposed to be at the

head of that ancient name. It grows in deep loam, in rather a sheltered situation, by favour of the old house and tower, and is still in high vigour of health.

This grand tree is between eighty and ninety feet high. It measures twelve feet nine inches in girth at four feet from the ground, and ascends with a straight and lofty stem to the height of nearly thirty feet, without a branch. After this it divides into six great arms or limbs, forming a most superb and umbrageous top, and covering with its shade a large space of ground. The beautiful intertwisting of its pendulous branches and spray, so strikingly in the style of vine tendrils, is on an immense scale; as those light and floating masses appear clustering below the general line of the top, for eighteen and twenty feet down, of course not far from the ground. It is not easy to figure an object where so much grandeur is united with so much lightness and elegance of form. Were it not that this singular tree is a very shy bearer of seed, plants would long since have been raised from it. I entertain no doubt of its age being referable to a period as far back as the time when the Setons first obtained the place—that is, before the middle of the fifteenth century, and in the reign of King James II.

NOTE IV. Page 99.

The Elm is placed, by the Linnæan arrangement, in class and order, *Pentandria digynia*. The first or indigenous species is supposed by some to be the *Οριπτελεα* of Theophrastus: but it is not easy to give botanical descriptions, corresponding to the practical and plain classification which has been adopted in the text. Those who follow Linnæus, such as Dr Hunter and others, describe the common or indigenous British Elm as *ulmus vulgarissimus vel montanus, folio lato scabro*, and all the other kinds as varieties. Miller and some other writers describe the broad and the narrow, the smooth and the rough leaved, as distinct species. Late botanists, however, make only two kinds; first, what they call the common Elm, *U. campestris*, or rough-leaved Witch Elm; secondly, the Witch Hazel, *U. montana*, or very broad-leaved Elm; and the fine narrow-leaved or English Elm—*U. minor, folio angusto scabro*—only a variety of the first. In this way, they describe the *Ulmus campestris*, as *Foliis duplicato serratis scabris, basi inæqualibus, floribus, subsessilibus congestis*; and the *U. montana*, as *Foliis duplicato serratis acuminatis, basi inæqualibus, floribus pedunculatis effusis*. See Smith, Flor. Britan. tom. i. pp. 281, 282. To me, I must confess, that by far the most intelligible account of the different species of this tree is to be found in Miller's Dictionary, edit. 1759, *et seq.*; an account

which Professor Martyn, with greater science, has by no means improved to the ordinary reader.

Amidst this complication, the unlearned planter will find some difficulty in discriminating between the only three kinds with which it is important for him to be well acquainted—namely, the indigenous Witch or Scotch Elm, the Witch Hazel, and the English Elm.

The first or Scotch Elm grows to a very large size, and abounds in the north-western counties of England, and in Scotland. The bark of the young branches is smooth and very tough, and young trees often suffer severely by being stripped of it by boys, or other idle persons; but that of the old trees cracks, and is rough. The branches spread, and do not grow so erect as those of the English Elm, but will form an extensive top, if they have air and light. The leaves are rough, and doubly sawed on their edges. Their base is unequal, about three inches long and two broad, of a dark green colour, and they stand upon short foot-stalks. The flowers come out usually in March, sometimes so late as the beginning of April, upon the slender twigs standing in clusters; they are of a deep red colour, and are succeeded by oval-bordered capsules, containing one roundish seed, which ripens in May. But as the leaves do not appear till late in the spring, it is not desirable to plant or transplant the tree to situations near the mansion-house.

The second sort (which some consider as a variety only of the first) has received its name of Witch Hazel, from the resemblance of the young shoots and leaves to those of the Hazel. It grows also to a spreading tree of great magnitude. The bark of the young shoots, as in the former, is very smooth and tough; it is of a yellowish brown colour, and spotted white. The leaves are oval, and, when in a state of luxuriance, nearly six inches long, and three and a half broad; they are unequally sawed in their edges. The flowers grow more diffused towards the ends of the twigs. They have long leafy empalements of a green colour, and appear in the spring before the leaves. The seeds ripen in the end of May, or beginning of June.

The third sort, or English Elm, attains a much greater height, and grows much more erect, than the two foregoing. It has oval acute-pointed leaves, which are doubly sawed and unequal at their base. The flowers are of a purplish red colour, and come out by the beginning or middle of March; but no seeds ever appear on them. Any further description of the narrow-leaved or English Elm is unnecessary, as it never can be mistaken for either of the other kinds.

Dr Yule, in his interesting paper (published in the Edinburgh Horticultural Transactions) more than once noticed above, mentions

another species, which is well worth being tried in the milder climates of Scotland, or, as he thinks, in some of the sheltered valleys of the Highlands—namely, the White Elm of North America. From the surprising magnificence of the tree as represented by Michaux, and the cold latitudes in which he found it to thrive, it appears to deserve the attention of every one interested in the ornamental branch of planting. Probably, also, the Red Elm of Canada is worthy of the same encomium.

The broad-leaved or Scotch Elm is always propagated by the seed ; the narrow-leaved or English, uniformly by suckers ; and the most attentive observers never having known it to produce seed, affords strong presumptive evidence, as has been noticed in the text, of its foreign origin. From the circumstance of its being so uncommonly given to throw out suckers, nurserymen have devised the method of engrafting it on the broad-leaved, which checks that propensity. This practice has likewise another good effect, and that is, that it makes the narrow-leaved more hardy, and capable of succeeding on much poorer land than it would otherwise require.

NOTE V. Page 315.

As the whole Elm family require a deep soil, they never reach the same great size in Scotland as they do in England. Cook, who may be called our first writer on planting of any consideration, mentions a Witch Elm, in Sir Walter Bagot's park in Staffordshire, which, after two men had been five days felling it, lay forty yards in length, and was, at the stool, seventeen feet in diameter. It broke, in its fall, fourteen loads ; it had forty-eight in the top ; it yielded eighty pairs of naves, eight thousand six hundred and sixty feet of boards and planks ; it cost ten pounds seventeen shillings the sawing, (equal to about forty pounds at present ; ) and the whole was estimated at ninety-seven tons ! "This (says Evelyn) was certainly a goodly stick !" Marsham, in his curious account of the growth and age of trees, published in the Bath Society's papers, mentions a Witch Elm, near Bradley Church, Suffolk, which in 1767 measured twenty-six feet three inches at five feet from the ground ; and Professor Martyn notices others that exceeded four-and-twenty feet, or eight feet in diameter. But we never had any thing like these north of the Tweed.

NOTE VI. Page 316.

Probably one of the finest and most vigorous narrow-leaved Elms in England stands in the vale of Gloucester, in the parish of Church-down,



and on the road between Cheltenham and Tewkesbury, within a few hundred yards of the site of the great Boddington Oak, already mentioned. It is known by the name of "Piffe's Elm;" and the turnpike gate, the fence of which is fastened at one end to the tree, takes its name from it. When Marshall saw this Elm in 1783, its smallest girth was sixteen at five feet from the ground, and the height might be eighty or ninety feet. At ten feet above the ground it formerly threw out large arms, which had been lopped; but they were then replaced by monstrous shoots, rising probably to seventy or eighty feet high, with a proportionable extent of top; and exhibiting altogether, as he says, "one of the grandest trees he had seen." This, however, was not so much from its actual magnitude at the time, as from the fulness of growth, and the *promise* which it gave of rapid progression.

At present, in 1824, after an interval of forty-one years, it measures (as I learn from a friend near the spot) thirty feet in girth, at two feet and a half from the ground. And my reason for noticing it in this place, is the same as that given by Marshall—namely, that by recording its increase from time to time, posterity may be enabled to estimate the rapid progress of what in all likelihood, in such a soil and climate, will become among the greatest trees that England has to boast of.—See *Rur. Ornament.* vol. ii. p. 430. There is an English Elm in Hyde Park, one of a very fine row, probably planted when Le Notre laid out the park, in Charles the Second's time, of which the stem is considerably larger than Piffe's Elm; but it has been long hollow; and both the head and the stem show visible signs of decay.

#### NOTE VII. Page 317.

See *Profitable Planter*, p. 110. It appears that this species—namely the upright or erect Elm—is not a new variety, as it was known to Hanbury, and is described by him under his seventh head. — See *Body of Planting*, vol. i. p. 200.

#### NOTE VIII. Page 321.

For a long time the Beech, in many parts of England, was scarcely considered as a "timber tree." — Before Bradley's time, particularly in Hertfordshire, as he informs us, it was absolutely denied a place among that privileged number. But a remarkable trial, before the Barons of Exchequer, about 1725, between a certain landowner and a clergyman respecting tithes, established the right in favour of the Beech, by satisfactory proving its *timber uses* in mill-work, keels of vessels, house

building, and the like. "In Buckinghamshire (he says,) after twenty years, it becomes tithe-free; but if any Beech is felled, and another from the same grows up, this is to be tithed whenever it is cut down."—*Chiltern and Vale Farming*, pp. 93, 94.

## NOTE IX. Page 321.

The Beech had its name of *Fagus* from the Greek φαγος, (Dor. φῆγος,) from φηγω, to eat—because men at first lived on the mast of trees, before the use of corn. According to the Linnæan arrangement, this tree stands in the class *Monœcia polyandria*, and is botanically described as *Fagus silvatica, foliis ovatis obsolete serratis*.—Hort. Cliff. p. 447. An accurate botanist characterises it as follows: *Fagus silvatica, foliis ovatis obsolete serratis, aculeis fructûs simplicibus*. — Smith, Flor. Britan. t. iii. p. 1023.

Bradley and other writers make mention of two varieties of this tree. The first they call the White or Silver Beech, from the colour of its wood, or of its bark, or probably of both; and the second they name the Black-grained Beech, for similar reasons. To the former they also give the appellation of the Mountain Beech; as it is supposed to thrive best in elevated situations, and on the sides of steep and chalky declivities. The latter they name the Wild or Vale Beech, from its being adapted to the deep loam of the Chiltern country, where it will make profitable returns. As for the nurserymen, with their usual inquisitiveness, they never inquire after these varieties, however interesting they may be to the planter, but raise and sell them together as only one sort. Besides these two principal varieties, there are the two others, well known to nurserymen—the one with white, the other with yellow stripes; but they are both mere shrubs. There is also the purple-copper-coloured Beech of Germany, which is now common as an ornamental tree in our plantations.

## NOTE X. Page 322.

Cæsar, in his descriptive sketch of Britain, says that the woods of that country are nearly the same as those of Gaul, only that the former are without the Spruce Fir and the Beech; *Materia cujusque generis, præter Fagum et Abietem*.—De Bel. Gal. lib. v. 12. Lightfoot doubts if the Beech be a native of Scotland; and Marshall is of opinion, that in the northern counties of England it is not indigenous. We know that it is not found in the northern provinces of Sweden; and that it is little more than a century since it began to be cultivated in Scotland at all.

## NOTE XI. Page 322.

Besides these properties, the Beech is well known to make excellent fuel. The mast, also, is nutritive to animals, especially to swine and deer; and an oil is expressed from it, (as Dr Yule informs us,) which, if properly manufactured, and the seeds freed from the husk, is of excellent quality, and nearly equal to oil of Olives.— See *Mem. Caledon. Hort. Soc.* vol. ii. p. 285. There is, however, one purpose to which the leaves of this tree are most usefully applied (as I have myself seen in other countries, and as is noticed by Evelyn,) viz., mattresses for beds; and I wish that the practice were better known in Britain. From my own experience at this moment, I can recommend these mattresses, as greatly more elastic, cleanly, and more durable than those of straw, if the leaves be gathered before frost affects them. Taking this property of the Beech, therefore, together with the fulness of its shade, it may be truly said of it,

“*Silva domus, cubilia frondes.*”

## NOTE XII. Page 329.

Observing that one of the great excellencies of the Beech lay in accommodating itself to soils that were very light, as well as to such as were stiff and cold, I conceived the idea, about four-and-forty years since, of bringing it in aid of the thorn, by mixing them together in field hedges, and thus uniting the closeness of the one with the prickly quality of the other. In these sterile soils, I tried the proportion of three Beeches to a thorn, which admirably succeeded; and I was so much pleased with the rich winter shelter produced by the mixture, that I gradually adopted it for fences on all soils, however rich. On those of tolerable quality, the thorn of course was allowed to predominate, putting two and even three to a Beech. Thus, in good lands, the thorn became the staple of the hedge, and the Beech where it was poor; whether poverty arose from a deficiency or a superabundance of moisture. This practice I commenced in 1780 and 1781, when nothing of the kind had been attempted elsewhere, as far as I know; and have continued it since, over a pretty extensive surface. At present I have between twenty and thirty miles of hedges so executed in very various soils, and all in a state of greater vigour than I am persuaded could have been obtained by the Quick alone.

With agriculturists, the great problem to be solved respecting fences appears to be, how to raise a hedge on miserably poor lands, under the value of 6s. and 8s. per acre, where there is no stone to enclose them.

And there is another difficulty almost as great—viz., to discover some plant, or plants, together with the method of planting them, by which gaps or deficiencies in old hedges, even in the best land, may be filled up; where the hedges have nearly decayed through neglect or ill usage, or where they have exhausted the pabulum allotted to them. By an attentive application and management of the Beech, I have reason to believe that both of these desiderata have been completely supplied. Hedges in my possession, on land worth not more than 7s. or 8s. per acre, are seen to grow singularly close and vigorous; and others, which were in the worst possible condition, have by this means been restored to a healthy and fencible condition, so as, within three years, to turn the Highland bullock, (*Scotticé Stot*,) by making them completely impervious to his eye: for a Highland Stot, unless driven, will rarely break down what he cannot see through; although the reverse of this is the fact as to the Highland sheep. In a word, I consider the introduction of the Beech into field hedges as the most important improvement that has been made for a century on this branch of rural economy. But to give any idea of its various application and particular management would require a separate Essay.

I have reason to think that the art of mixing the Beech with the Thorn in common field hedges originated with myself. Wherever it has been found, it could always be traced with certainty to *Lanarkshire*. At first it was adopted by my neighbours, from my practice in this country. From thence it was carried to Ayrshire and Yorkshire, by the late ingenious Mr White, the well-known landscape gardener, (to whom the merit of spreading the knowledge of some of the most useful rural arts is due,) and from thence all over Scotland, and the north of England.

That Beech hedges were currently raised in England, for parterres and gardens, as far back as the time of Henry VIII., and further—that is, in the beginning of the sixteenth century—can be distinctly proved from our early writers. But I believe I am the first person who *mixed the Thorn with the Beech in hedges in the open field, or applied the practice to Agricultural purposes*. Should it be claimed by any other person, he should show that he practised it *previously* to 1780; as in that year I had about half a mile of mixed hedges executed; and before 1785 I had several miles. In a few years after, the whole estate was enclosed with them. Should the honours of the improvement be denied to me hereafter, I can only say, *Sic vos non vobis, &c.*

In the course of a series of experiments, which I from that time commenced, on various plants for the improvement of hedges, I never found any that were of much general use, the Beech excepted. The Horn-

beam and the Barberry are the best ; but they require very good land. The Spruce Fir on peat moss may be trained into a hedge, where nothing but the Alder and the Birch will vegetate. If ornament rather than strength is required, the Beech and the Evergreen Privet form a good union in summer, and a fine contrast in winter. But the most beautiful of all hedges near a gentleman's residence, where a little nicety of keeping is not grudged, is composed of the Barberry and the Beech, equal parts — a mixture, probably, that can be seen only in my own possession.

NOTE XIII. Page 330.

“Dorty,” in the Scottish dialect, signifies, as nearly as may be, pettish, capricious, wayward ; but those words fail to convey the meaning fully, as applied to plants.— See *Jamieson's Dict. in voc.*

NOTE XIV. Page 331.

When this remedy first occurred to me, I was persuaded that I had struck out something quite *new*, as well as useful. But, alas ! there is little or nothing new under the sun ! for happening to look into old Ralph Austen, who wrote on fruit and forest trees two hundred years ago, I found the following directions for young plants of the former kind. “Stay not, (says he,) as the custom is, till November, or after, before you transplant ; for the best time is about the latter end of September. It's a great advantage to remove plants betime ; for such grow a while after in their roots before winter, and thereby not only preserve themselves in winter, but also make some preparation against the spring, which those removed in winter cannot doe. Stay not, therefore, till the leaves fall, ere you remove, although they may be removed then with good successe ; but it is not so good at that time as before. The ancient proverb is ; *If a growing tree you would have, let him carry his green leaves to his grave.*” — P. 62. How old the proverb is, this writer does not say. Pliny, I think, has nothing of the kind in his work ; although I should not wonder if Cato or Columella had been acquainted with it. But Austen's reasons for recommending this method are deserving 'of attention. They comprise nearly the theory which I had formed to myself, in attempting it ; and it is substantially the same as is found so successful with the laurel, the holly, and the evergreens.

## SECTION XIV.

### NOTE I. Page 334.

The Maple, in the Linnæan system, is of the class and order *Polygamia Monœcia*; and the Sycamore or greater Maple is thus described by botanists—*Acer Psuedo-Platanus, foliis quinquelobis inæqualiter serratis, racemis pendulis, floribus æcemosis*.—See Lin. Sp. Plant, 1495. Smith Flor. Britan. t. i. p. 422. Sir James E. Smith calls it *via indigena*. It has two varieties, now first noticed—the early and the late—of which the chief characteristics are, that the former comes out from a fortnight to three weeks before the latter.

### NOTE II. Page 335.

Mr Pontey says that the Sycamore “will thrive in a considerable degree of wet.” This, I apprehend, is erroneous; because, if what is stated in the text, that “a light and porous subsoil is necessary to its vigorous growth,” be true, (which I conceive it to be,) it follows that it will *not* thrive in wet; because all situations *must* be dry of which the subsoil is light and porous. This may be assumed as a correct theory: and wherever there are appearances to the contrary, the inexperienced planter may rely upon it that there is some deception, as there can be no effect without a corresponding cause.

To the universality of this rule I thought I had, some years since, found a remarkable exception in some old Sycamores at this place, which are from three to four feet in diameter. Their age happens to stand on record, and is traced as far back as the battle of Langside, which took place in 1568, when the unfortunate Queen Mary was defeated by the Earl of Moray, and forced to take refuge in England. In this action my then ancestor commanded a troop of the Regent's horse, and, by suddenly occupying the hill of Langside, turned the fortune of the day. The trees in question grow upon a rich deep loam, with a stiff and retentive clay, as I had conceived, at bottom, which had been frequently turned up; so that there seemed no reason to doubt the fact that here was *one* example, at least, of this sort of tree

succeeding with a retentive subsoil. But, happening accidentally to make a deep pit near the place, I discovered that this clay, which I had taken for the subsoil, was merely one of those accidental veins or argillaceous strata, of twelve or fourteen inches in thickness, which so often occur in coal countries ; and that the real substratum, which lay immediately under it, was a bed of pure sand more than six feet deep.

NOTE III. Page 337.

The Norway Maple is said to be *Acer platanoides, foliis quinquelobis acuminatis acute, dentatis glabris, floribus corymbosis*.—Lin. Spec. Plant. 1496.

NOTE IV. Page 338.

The tree here alluded to, is the Lace or Lime Oak of America, (*Q. virens*.) I by no means say, that it is *impossible* that the sea-breeze is requisite to bring it to perfection, but from what we know of the nature and properties of trees, it certainly appears *improbable* in a very high degree. I trust, therefore, that I may be forgiven for the disposition to incredulity respecting this matter which I have taken the liberty to manifest, in Note III. at page 463 *ante*. An ingenious and respectable writer, Dr Yule, (in the memoirs of the Caledonian Horticultural Society, vol. ii. p. 378,) seems to have first mentioned the tree alluded to, Lace Oak, and its supposed extraordinary properties, on the authority of Michaux, and other French authors ; and the account is implicitly copied by another late respectable writer on plantations—Rev. G. J. Hamilton, (Trans. Highl. Soc., vol. v. p. 306,) without remark or animadversion. Query ; are these ingenious writers practical planters themselves ?

It is to be regretted that, on an art like planting, where the errors we commit can be discovered only after much loss and disappointment, and the lapse of years, that any thing should be written by men of science that is not *strictly practical*. It is, however, a material point that they should distinguish in their writings between what is conjectural and what is certain in such an art—between what *may* succeed, and what actually *has* succeeded in the execution. No one would rejoice more heartily than myself to find it proved, by actual experiment, that a plantation of the *Quercus virens* had vigorously flourished, and come to maturity, on the margin of the Atlantic or the German Ocean, while it languished or altogether failed in the internal districts ; and, in the same way, I should rejoice to learn that the Norway Maple as effectually resisted the sea-breeze as the Sycamore :

things of which the latter seems considerably the more probable of the two. But it is proper that, in all such cases, the necessary experiments should be made by nurserymen or planters, and the facts verified in a sufficient manner, before any thing new in practice be recommended to the public. Were such a principle adopted by writers in general on this subject, their treatises would gain more credit than they have hitherto done with the practical planter, and the planter would benefit more frequently by their treatises.

## NOTE V. Page 338.

The fact is, that as the male flowers of the Chestnut are collected in long ratkins, and those of the Beech are globular; and further, as the fruit of the latter is triangular, there is sufficient reason to treat of them as separate trees. The class and order of both is, according to the Linnæan arrangement, *Monœcia polyandria*, and is thus described by botanists.—*Fagus castanea, foliis lanceolatis acuminato-serratis, subtus nudis, aculeis fructus compositis implexis*.—Linn. Spec. Plant. 1416.—Smith, Flor. Britan., t. iii. p. 1027. Prof. Martyn *in voce*.

## NOTE VI. Page 339.

Evelyn is of opinion, from the great age of which the Chestnut appeared to be in his time, that it is a native of Britain; in which, however, he is mistaken. The learned Dr Ducarel adopted the same sentiment on similar grounds; and in a celebrated controversy with the late Daines Barrington, before the Royal Society, maintained that the tree was indigenous. He produced evidence as old as Henry II., in a charter of that period, by which Roger Earl of Hereford grants to the Abbey of Flexley, “the tithe of all his Chestnuts in the Forest of Dean.” See four letters on the Chestnut, read before the Royal Society Nov. 1771.

## NOTE VII. Page 339.

The Chestnut grows to an immense size in the southern countries of Europe. Brydone tells us, that he measured the celebrated Chestnut, standing at the foot of Mount Ætna, named *Castagno di Cento Cavalli*, and that he found it to be two hundred and four feet, or sixty-eight yards round. In the southern counties of England the tree attains great magnitude. At Beechnorth Castle, in Surrey, there are not fewer than seventy or eighty Chestnuts, measuring from twelve to eighteen and twenty feet in girth. In the park adjoining to the garden at Great Cawford in Dorsetshire, are four large Chestnuts, one



of which is thirty-seven feet round, and though shivered and decayed by age, still plentifully bearing fruit.—See Gilpin's Forest Scenery, vol. i. p. 62. Grose's Antiq. Supl. vol. i. But the largest and most remarkable tree of the kind known in England, stands at Tortworth, in Gloucestershire, the seat of Lord Ducie. It was known in the reign of King John, and also of King Stephen, as a large tree. In the year 1150, Bradley says, it was styled the great or old Chestnut of Tortworth. Marsham calculates its age at eleven hundred years, and its circumference at forty-six feet and a half. For pretty strong reasons, however, some consider this as two trees growing closely together.—See Marshall's Rur. Ornament, vol. ii. p. 127. The great Chestnut, which stood some years since at Finhaven in Forfarshire, was estimated by Dr Walker at more than five hundred years old, and was accounted the largest tree in Scotland. The greatest circumference of the trunk was forty-five feet ; and Mr Skene of Skene is said to have a table made of the wood of this great tree, having upon it an engraved plate, whereon its dimensions are accurately recorded.—Nicol's Plant. Kalend. p. 55.

NOTE VIII. Page 342.

This tree is described by Linnæus as *Æsculus (hippocastanum) floribus heptandris*.—Spec. Plant. 488. But I cannot help agreeing with Miller in his remark, that this great botanist would have acted with more judgment had he given the name of *Æsculus* to the Chestnut, which, as we have already seen, is classed under the genus of the Beech, to which it bears little affinity, either in its appearance or its character. There are no planters, and few writers on trees, who ever think of following the Linnæan classification in that particular.

NOTE IX. Page 345.

This is a curious fact, and well deserving the attention of the planter, in removing this fine tree. The severest winds, the *Silvifragi venti*, in most parts of this island, are found to blow from the north-west and south-west ; therefore, if a Horse-Chestnut be to be exposed to them, it should stand *quite open*, and not be *partially* sheltered by other trees.

There is a remarkable example of this in the park here. There are two Horse-Chestnuts upwards of twenty feet high, transplanted at the same time, and standing within two hundred yards of each other. Both of them were well prepared, in respect to their bark, branches, and roots. But the one stands single, with no other tree near it ; while the other has the benefit of considerable shelter, by being on the lee-side of a large group of other trees. The consequence is, that when the

equinoctial gales set in from the stormy quarter, the former being struck fairly, is never injured ; whereas the latter, which is caught in the eddy formed by the sheltering clump, loses every year some part of its top ; so that I shall be forced to replace it by a Beech, an Oak, or some other tree of tougher texture. It is almost unnecessary to add, that although the soil is nearly equal in both situations, the tree protected by the clump shoots at least one-third part more in the year than its less sheltered neighbour.

## NOTE X. Page 345.

The Lime is placed in the class *Polyandria monogynia*, and is thus described by an accurate botanist—*Tilia Europæa, floribus nectaris destitutis, foliis cordatis, ramificationibus venarum subtus villosis*.—Smith, Flor. Britan., t. ii. p. 571 ; which description Professor Martyn also adopts. The varieties are no fewer than five in number. Three other American species are mentioned, of which the Bunwood, or broad-leaved sort, as eulogised by Dr Yule, seems most deserving of cultivation in this climate.

## NOTE XI. Page 346.

The Lime in Germany, Switzerland, and other European countries, rises to immense magnitude. Evelyn has recorded the dimensions of several, such as the celebrated *Tilia* of Zurich, the Linden of Schalouse, (forming a bower in which three hundred persons could sit,) and above all, the prodigious *Tilia* of Neustadt, in the duchy of Würtemberg, from which that city was designated *Neustadt an den grossen Linden*. The circumference of this last was twenty-seven feet four fingers. Princes and nobles did honour to the tree, by surrounding it with columns, obelisks, and monuments of different kinds, to support its wide-spreading arms ; and they inscribed on them their names and arms, with the dates of the erections. Coxe, in his travels in Switzerland, mentions one at Morat, ninety feet high, and at least thirty-six feet round. It was lopped in 1550, and is now, or was lately standing.

Miller mentions having measured some Limes in England that girted ten yards, at two feet from the ground. Sir Thomas Brown sent to Evelyn an account of a great one growing in his time at Depeham in Norfolk, that was thirty yards high, sixteen in girth, at a foot and a half from the ground ; and at the smallest part of the trunk, six feet from the ground, eight yards and a half. After these we need not specify any that are to be found in Scotland, although in deep soils there they come to great timber.—See Evelyn's *Silva*, vol. ii. p. 196.

Also Miller *in voc. Tilia*—*Edit.* Martyn ; and Coxe's *Switzerland*, vol. ii. p. 64.

NOTE XII. Page 347.

The most complete experiment, made at this place, was on a tree of about twenty-five feet high, standing on the site of what is now the lake, which, as it required excavation, implied the removal of the tree. The soil was a rich loam, of about twelve inches deep, with a substratum of yellow clay, stiff, impenetrable, and tenacious of moisture. Five or six years after it had been injudiciously transplanted to this situation, the tree was found to make little or no progress. It rooted ill. Its leaves were small, and of a pale yellowish green ; and moss began to grow upon the stem. In this unhealthy state, a quantity of good light mould was laid round the tree, as described in the text, and to the depth of about ten inches, into which new roots immediately struck from the stem. Next year, nearly as much more fresh mould was added. By the third year, to my great satisfaction, I found that the tree began to show symptoms of renovation. The leaf appeared of a deeper green colour ; and by the fourth year it spread to the ordinary dimensions, accompanied with the healthiest and most vigorous shoots.

When the excavation was in progress for the bed of the lake, I was anxious to see what had become of the first set of roots ; and I again took up the tree for transplantation. The roots appeared ill-coloured and scraggy, having thrown out few or no fibres ; and some of them, I perceived, had decayed altogether ; so that it was plain that by cutting away a great part of the first set, the tree could sustain no injury, as they ministered very little to its support. That was done accordingly. The tree was then removed to a different soil, both deep and dry, where it immediately succeeded.

It is deserving of notice, that the Lime is not the only tree on which the operation of "earthing up" may be successfully performed. I have done the same with the Ash, when of great magnitude ; but with this difference in the result, that no fresh roots were thrown out from the stem. Those nearest the surface immediately pushed upwards into the fresh mould, and the numberless fibres which they sent out, seemed to give renewed life and health to the tree during the very first season. I entertain no doubt but that the Sycamore would display like properties under similar circumstances.

On another occasion, I met with an instance of the strong tendency possessed by the Ash to push its roots upwards in search of food, that surprised me. Within five or six yards of a row of old trees of this

species, a heap of compost, consisting of heavy loam, peat earth, and about one sixth part of lime, happened to be made up. It was about forty feet long, six broad, and three or four in thickness. Instead of lying the usual time to rot—namely, a twelvemonth—it was neglected for about six years before we thought of removing it. On attempting to do so, the workmen were struck with the difficulty which they found in penetrating the heap with their spades; when it was discovered, that the roots of these trees, having probably exhausted the pabulum within their reach, darted greedily into the compost, and soon filled it with their fibres, like the closest piece of net-work. They had likewise so completely absorbed the moisture of the heap, that it nearly resembled a mass of sand. It was noticed, moreover, that the trees, but especially the two that were next the compost, had shown surprising vigour within the two last years.

It would probably be wrong, in the first mentioned case of the Lime, to assert that the roots nearest the surface did *not* push upwards, like those of the Ash, and penetrate into the superinduced stratum of earth. From what we know of the properties of the Lime, and the facility of propagating it by layers, it would be unreasonable to think so. The striking circumstance, however, of a set of roots *entirely new* being acquired, quite satisfied me that the extraordinary improvement made upon the growth of this tree was occasioned mainly by that effort of nature.

NOTE XIII. Page 350.

The wild Pine, or Scotch Fir, is placed by Linnæus in the class *Monœcia monadelphia*. Sir James E. Smith thus describes it—*Pinus silvestris, foliis geminis rigidis, strobilis junioribus pedunculatis recurvis, antherarum cristâ exiguâ*.—Flor. Britan. t. iii. p. 1031. But it is singular, considering the great utility and importance of the tree, that he passes it over in so slight a way. While we find in his work many instances in which six or eight, or more varieties of some plants of little use are elaborately described, yet not one variety of the *Pinus silvestris* is given. Accordingly Mr Lambert, one of the vice-presidents of the Linnæan Society, in the year 1803, with a laudable desire to supply the omissions of foregoing writers, published a valuable treatise on the genus *Pinus*, together with a collection of facts respecting the culture and uses of three-and-thirty species, or at least varieties. But Mr Don of Forfar has done more to discriminate the varieties most useful to the planter, than any other given inquirer on the subject.

## NOTE XIV. Page 351.

Whitaker, in his History of Manchester, has examined this point with great learning and ingenuity. He shows, in the first place, that there are no fewer than three original words in the ancient language of Britain, used in Caledonia, Wales, and Ireland, respectively signifying the Fir tree or wild Pine; whereas the names of the Spruce Fir and the Beech are obviously of Roman *derivation*. Secondly, he shows that the Scotch Fir is found in the Maucunan, and such other ancient mosses as are demonstrably prior to the conquest of the country by the Romans, also in others far beyond the limits of the Roman province; moreover, that numerous specimens of the tree have been dug up, by himself and others, in mosses lying several yards deep *under* the Roman causeways, and especially in the moss of Failsworth. All this incontestably demonstrates the structure of the road to have been posterior to the formation of the moss, and by consequence to the existence of the trees buried in it. See p. 300, *et seq.*

## NOTE XV. Page 351.

Notwithstanding what the learned Lambert has so elaborately written on the genus Pinus, and what a judicious planter of the last century, the Earl of Haddington, has observed of the existence of varieties of the tree, yet it is to Mr George Don, nurseryman at Forfar, that we owe the best practical information on the subject. After carefully examining the Scotch Fir, under the most favourable circumstances, and arrived at full maturity, he has satisfactorily made out that it has four principal varieties.

1st, The common Fir, having a pyramidal head, the leaves margined of a dark green colour, and but little glaucous underneath; the cones considerably elongated and tapering; the bark extremely rugged. This species is comparatively short-lived, and soon becomes stunted in its appearance. This is altogether a short-lived and inferior tree.

2dly, The horizontal or Spreading Fir, having a spreading head, with branches coming out in a horizontal direction from the stem, and then tending downwards; leaves serrulated, broader than those of the pyramidal species, in colour lighter and more glaucous, and the bark less rugged; the cones also thicker and smoother, and of a less tapering form. The wood of this species is far more valuable than that of the tree first described. It is likewise a freer grower and a much hardier plant.

3dly, Another distinct variety, having, like the first, a pyramidal

head. Its leaves are of a much lighter colour than those of the last, and approaching almost to a silvery tint. But it differs remarkably in its cones from both the foregoing, having the appearance of being beset with blunt prickles and bent backwards. The leaves are serrulated, which distinguishes it from the first kind. This variety is also a good tree, and is more common than the second or horizontal sort.

4thly, Another variety, of which the leaves are curled or rather twisted and much shorter. This kind seems more rare than valuable, and somewhat resembling Mr Landels' *Pinus banksiana*.

It seems possible that a striking species, found by Thunberg in Japan, may be the above mentioned spreading or horizontal sort, No. 2. This, however, is contrary to the opinion of Strabo, who says that the Fir (ἐλάτη) is wholly a European plant, and is never found in Upper or Eastern Asia.—See Strab. Geog. lib. xi. p. 510. But that in some measure depends on what we are to understand by the word ἐλάτη, the term used by Strabo, which the Romans rendered by *Abies*, and we translate Fir. Possibly something of the same confusion anciently prevailed respecting *πίτυς* and ἐλάτη as between *Pinus* and *Abies*, as we now botanically call them.

Mr Don believes, and with great probability, that the noble forests of Pine which once abounded in Britain, and still partially exist in the Highlands, of which the trees attained a great size, consisted mostly of the horizontal or spreading species,—and of the pyramidal kind which stands third in the list. He collected the seeds of all these varieties, particularly of the two that are most valuable, and sowed them in his grounds at Forfar, with the view of raising the latter extensively, and supplying planters with the produce. Thus he hoped that the character of the Scotch Fir would be retrieved, and our native woods gradually restored. Were a reform in the nursery business to be effected by such meritorious efforts, Mr Don would be eminently entitled to the thanks of the public. It is said by Nicol, in his Planter's Calendar, that these seeds, with their history, were laid before the Highland Society; but what encouragement was given to this ingenious inquirer we are not informed. For Mr Don's ingenious paper, see Mem. Caledon. Horticult. Soc. vol. i. p. 121.

NOTE XVI. Page 353.

See Dr. Smith's Essay in the first vol. of the Prize Essays of the Highland Society.—A fine frigate, of about eight hundred tons burden, was built for the navy in 1798, of the Duke of Athole's Fir wood, and named "The Glenmore," from the district where the trees grew. The

whole timber of the vessel was of this species, except the masts ; and, by the most competent judges, it was esteemed of a more close and compact quality than foreign fir.

NOTE XVII. Page 353.

There is reason to think that the Pine the best calculated to withstand the sea-breeze, is the *Pinus maritima*. The extraordinary success which has attended the cultivation of it on the coasts of France should operate as a powerful recommendation to form plantations of it in this country, as our woods in such situations have usually failed. It seems not only capable of resisting the storm, but of sheltering other trees planted within the influence of the saline vapour ; and it is said to flourish in pure quartzose sand, which is, in general, completely sterile. The botanical description is *P. maritima, foliis geminis tenuissimis ; strobilis ovato-conicis, glaberrimis, solitariis, pedunculatis*.—See Lambert, t. 4, 5. It seems to be, as yet, a rare plant in Britain, as the only specimen of it known to Mr Lambert grew at Sion House.

Next in value, for such exposures, seems to be the Pinaster or Cluster Pine (*P. pinaster*,) a tree better known in England than the former, where it is said to bear the sea-storm better than any yet tried. The late Lord Galloway had the merit of introducing this tree, for the purpose in question, into Scottish plantations. He tried it some years since, pretty extensively, in his woods on the coasts of Galloway and Ayrshire, in which it is planted close by the beach, and where it is now seen to grow with extraordinary vigour in the face of the Atlantic.

The Pinaster, which is the most beautiful of all the Pine family, grows but slowly at first, and on that account may, for a while, disappoint the expectation of the planter ; but, after a few years, it will shoot with luxuriance. On the Capes of Virginia there are seen large trees of this sort growing, and so near the sea that the roots are often observed at high water-mark. The Pinaster grows singularly well on the western coasts of France ; and it is peculiarly adapted to the eastern coasts of Scotland.

The next Pine on the list, and by far the most valuable of the above in respect to wood, is the Red Pine of Canada (*P. rubra, vel resinosa*.) From the high geographical range of this Pine, it is well adapted to associate with the *P. silvestris* in this country. Mackenzie found it in Canada, beyond Lake Superior. The timber is excellent, and most durable. It attains the height of eighty feet ; and planks of it, of forty feet long, are often imported into all parts of Britain. Like the *P.*

*silvestris*, it affords an inferior timber on a damp and unsuitable soil.—See Mem. Caledon. Horticul. Soc., v. ii. p. 367.

## NOTE XVIII. Page 361.

The Wild Cherry, or Guigne, is classed, in the Linnæan system, in the order *Icosandria Moryginia*, and in the Plum family. It is thus described by the great naturalist—*Prunus Cerasus, umbellis subsessilibus foliis, ovato-lanceolatis, conduplicatis glabris*.—Spec. Plant. 679.

## NOTE XIX. Page 362.

The Larch is thus described by Botanists—*Pinus Larix, foliis, fasciculatis obtusis*.—Lin. Spec. Plant. 1420. It is of considerable importance to the planter to distinguish between the different kinds of this tree, so as that they may be applied to the different soils and climates in which they are calculated to succeed. The most useful are the following :—

1st.—The White or Common Larch, (*Larix pyramidalis*.)—This was first introduced into Scotland by that distinguished and ingenious philanthropist, Lord Kames, about the year 1734, after it had been known something more than a century in England. I heard himself mention the circumstance many years ago ; but I have forgotten from what quarter he said he had procured the plants. Soon after, the Duke of Athole (grandfather to the present Duke) in 1741 had a few planted on the lawn at Dunkeld, which by 1796 had grown to eighty-five feet high, and ten feet in circumference at three feet from the ground ; so that, at present, they must be more than a hundred feet high, and nearly sixteen feet in girth. I regret that I did not measure them when I had an opportunity, in 1823.

As the story goes, they were sent down in pots as a present to the Duke, from England, being, as it is said, the produce of Alpine seed ; and the gardener, conceiving them to be exotics of a very delicate description, carefully deposited them in the green-house. In that artificial soil and climate the hardy natives of the Alps had nearly died ; when, the Duke being absent from home, they were thrown out as hopeless rarities, and stuck into the ground not far from the house. On this soil, which seems to be a light gravelly loam, they soon recovered, to the surprise of the gardener, and shot forth in a style that astonished every one who beheld them. What the original number was I know not ; but I remarked three in particular, which were noble trees indeed, when I visited the place.



The different kinds of Larch may be most readily distinguished by their cones, (*strobili*.)—In the White sort they are oval, and rather better than an inch long. The scales are notched and open, and bent a little backwards at the margin. Independently of the value of the timber, it is well known that Venice turpentine is obtained from this tree; and of late years, in this country, that it has been found to rival the Oak for the tanning of leather.

2. The Black Larch, (*Larix pendula*,) a species which is more patient of cold than the common sort, and therefore is fitted to the more elevated regions of our island, where it should be associated with the Scotch Fir in clothing them. This sort is a native of Canada, to the northward of the river St Lawrence, in which country it attains the height of eighty and a hundred feet. The cones are much smaller than those of the common kind, and oblong, with their scales incurved in the margin, and not open like the scales of the sort last mentioned. In America, its timber is more highly valued than that of any other coniferous tree, whether for naval or domestic architecture.

In Forfarshire, we find there are extensive plantations of this noble tree, some of which are already from sixty to seventy feet in height; but that a practice prevails, which, as Dr Yule well observes, cannot be too strongly reprobated, namely, that of endeavouring to propagate it by layers, by means of its lower branches, which are extremely pendulous; a sure method of producing dwarfish and inferior plants of this as of every other species of tree.

3. The Red Larch (*Larix Tenuifolia*, or *Macrocarpa*.)—This kind has cones of nearly a spherical shape, and only about half an inch long. Being, also, a native of the northern parts of Canada, it is of course sufficiently hardy, and, from its geographical position, well worth cultivating in this country. It is said to have been long since planted at Roseneath and Inverary, by Archibald Duke of Argyle. The Marquis of that name, also, as early as the middle of the seventeenth century, appears to have sent some samples of the seed to Evelyn.—See *Silva*, vol. i. p. 309. Edit. Hunter.

NOTE XX. Page 363.

Pliny says that Larch wood cannot be ignited any more than stone, although it may be destroyed by fire; *Nec alio modo ignis vi consumitur quàm lapides*.—Hist. Nat. lib. xvi. 10. This seems an exaggerated way of putting the case; but the meaning obviously is, that Larch wood, in large masses, is not easily inflamed—which is

certainly an advantageous property for many purposes. Vitruvius tells us, that the way in which this property of the Larch was discovered, was that, when Cæsar commanded a body of troops near the Alps, he had occasion, in enforcing an order for provisions, to attempt to set fire to the turret of a small fort in that quarter; but, being composed of great beams of Larch, it resisted his efforts, (*intacta apparuit.*)—Architect, lib. ii. 9. Gilpin, however, seems to believe that Hannibal, at a much earlier period than this, in his celebrated passage of the Alps, had found the way to make this wood burn with great facility; and he quotes Livy as his authority. But that historian merely says, that “the men cut down and lopped immense trees,” (*immanes arbores;*) of which (trunks and branches together) having made a vast pile, they set it on fire, and succeeded in so far heating the rocks, that they were dissolved by means of vinegar.—See lib. xxii. 38.

## NOTE XXI. Page 364.

Most writers affirm that Larch wood will neither shrink nor warp. Mr Pontey, in his useful Treatise on Pruning, says, that no wood shrinks so little as the Larch of this country, even much less than foreign deal. But the evidence he has adduced is by no means so satisfactory as it were to be wished. He likewise thinks that it is a wood which is very easily seasoned, and that the operation may be performed in less than three months. But his experiments were made on small pieces of wood, and all within doors.—See Forest Pruner, pp. 86, 89. Wishing, like this very sensible writer, to speak solely from experience, I am obliged to say that my experience on this subject differs very materially from his.

Warping and shrinking I consider as pretty nearly the same thing; at least they proceed from the same cause—namely, the aqueous matter not being expelled from the wood. In every instance, I have found it extremely difficult to season British Larch of from five-and-thirty to fifty years’ growth, on account of its extraordinary tendency to warp. The purposes for which I used it were, most commonly, as beams, joists, and rafters for houses, for field-gates, and the like. But to stack it up, after being sawn out, was impossible, as it was found incapable of supporting its own weight, in pieces of only a few feet in length. Even lying flat in single pieces it warped; in both cases forming segments of circles of the strangest sort. The only remedy appeared to be, to immerse it in water; in which, after lying for some time, the tendency to warp seemed to go off, and it became more susceptible of exsiccation. To such a height did warping on such

occasions proceed, that, in the case of gates, after the ordinary seasoning given to Scotch Fir had been bestowed on the wood, I have been obliged, after some time, to have the article taken to pieces and worked up again.

NOTE XXII. Page 365.

It is impossible to view those superb trees belonging to the Duke of Athole, without being impressed with the belief, either that the Larches now planted are altogether of a different species, or that the tree has wonderfully *degenerated* since its introduction into Scotland. It may be very true, as Gilpin states, that the Larch is on a much more magnificent scale in its native climate than in this island. But it is not necessary to have crossed the Simplon to discover that it is by no means the superior *size* of the Alpine trees, (taking those at Dunkeld as the standard,) but the utter discrepancy between them and ours in point of *character and appearance*, that so forcibly strikes us. Since these noble trees were planted, is now eighty-five years. But, if we compare them with Larches planted in other parts of the kingdom, only twenty or five-and-twenty years later, (which last should still be great timber,) and from British seed, shall we discern, in the latter, any of the same striking and picturesque properties? I cannot account for our being obliged to reply in the negative. But I earnestly recommend it to those who feel interested in the character of the British Larch, and especially to our nurserymen, to have frequent recourse to the Alps for their seed.

It would be worth while that some careful person should examine such plantations at Dunkeld, executed half a century since, as can be ascertained to have been raised from the seed of the great trees in question. But there is no trusting to gardeners or ordinary travellers.

So much for the different appearance of the Larch, since it was first known to us. As to its comparative utility, I believe we shall find that all our inquiries lead to the same practical result, that the timber which we raise in Britain has fully as much degenerated as the beauty of the tree. It may be remarked, that the wonders anciently related of the Larch are nothing greater or more incredible than the wonders related in modern times; and, as we can for the most part verify the latter by facts, we have the less reason to doubt the former.

The qualities in which British Larch-wood seems chiefly deficient, are density and resinousness, when compared with that of the Alps. Though British Larch is useful for many important purposes, I have no idea, for example, that such a covering for houses could be made of it as is constructed in Switzerland, which by the exudation of the

resin, would soon varnish over the whole surface, and render it rain-proof. Neither do I believe that this wood would so improve in hardness, as to be almost impenetrable by edge-tools, after being sunk in the sea for some centuries; as is proved to be the case at Venice and elsewhere, and is attested by Witzgen, Evelyn, and many others. Further, I have no conception that the eminent painters of the present day could make such tablets of British Larch, instead of canvass for their pictures, as Raphael and Urbino certainly used, which would last three hundred years, and without warping or shrinking. I say again, let us frequently go to the Alps for our seed.

## NOTE XXIII. Page 366.

I may say with Cicero, on a different occasion, *Me autem, unà cum Socrate et Platone, errare patiantur*. The supposed extraordinary beauty of the Larch is so popular an opinion, that he who presumes to dissent from it, is pretty sure to be left in the minority. "The Larch (says Marshall) has a particularly elegant appearance.....It is in good esteem as an ornamental." Rur. Ornament, vol. ii. p. 249. "The Larch (says another modern writer on planting) is a timber-tree of great beauty, magnitude, and value. Those of the largest size in this country, when standing detached, and some others of smaller size, are certainly highly ornamental."—Nicol's Plant. Kalend. p. 92. Dr Anderson says, that the Larch is known to be "one of the quickest growing trees, remarkable hardy, and extremely beautiful."—Essays on Agriculture, &c. vol. iii. But let us hear the Rev. G. J. Hamilton, author of the best practical treatise we have, an "Essay on Woods and Plantations." It deservedly gained the premium lately held out by the Highland Society, and contains more sound information, and in a narrower compass, than perhaps any other tract existing on the subject. "The Larch (says he) is beyond comparison the quickest grower, and the most elegant and valuable of all the other species of trees reared in Scotland."—Trans. High. Soc. of Scot. vol. v. p. 278. He means, of course, to say "the most elegant of any species of trees." On all which opinions, I shall merely observe *De gustibus non est disputandum*; which old adage being properly translated imports, that "there is no calling in question the likings or dislikings of any man." It is by a popular abuse of words only, that it can be supposed in any shape to refer to taste, (figuratively speaking,) which, as a distinct principle, is clearly fixed by a standard, and is independent of fashion or caprice.

## NOTE XXIV. Page 366.

I mean, however, as single trees, or in groups formed of themselves only ; for there is scarcely another tree that will harmonise with them. To such a style of scattering the Larch there is one objection, which cannot easily be surmounted ; and that is, that the Larch, like the Beech, does not well resist the winds, and, in open exposures, bends its head from them in a most unsightly manner. The only remedy is to have it straightened by means of a rope, twice a-year after being removed ; and by persisting in this practice, for three or four years, you will prevent your trees from leaning from the weather side ; but you will give them in some sort the form of a bow, so that a perpendicular let fall from the top to the bottom, will touch both points. Probably of two evils this is choosing the lesser.

## NOTE XXV. Page 367.

Sir James E. Smith thus describes the Birch, which is in the class *Monœcia tetrandria* of Linnæus—*Betula alba, foliis ovatis, acutis, serratis, glabriusculis*.—Flor. Britan. t. iii. p. 1012. Of the white or common Birch, the weeping sort, or that which is most pendulous, is generally supposed to be a variety. On an accurate inspection, perhaps all Birches, at a full age, may be found more or less to merit the epithet.

Besides the white, I believe there is another indigenous species, namely, the Dwarf Birch (*Betula nana*)—a well-known plant, also in Sweden and Lapland, and which Lightfoot has clearly ascertained to belong to Scotland. But it is merely a shrub, or a sort of underwood, never rising above three or four feet high. The seed of it furnishes food for the ptarmigan or white partridge, (*Tetrao lagopus*,) so well known as an important article of subsistence to the natives of the polar districts.

The 1st American kind mentioned in the text, is the mahogany Birch, (*Betula lenta*,) and is celebrated by Michaux and Dr Yule as a valuable tree, and growing more freely in the swamps of Sweden and Norway, as well as in Canada, than in the more genial climate of Britain. It rises to the height of seventy feet and upwards in America, and produces a most valuable and beautiful wood, for the purposes of the joiner. Its leaves are said to afford an agreeable and well-flavoured diluent, superior to the generality of tea.

2. The yellow Birch (*Betula lutea*) grows to a great height in the northern states, and in Nova Scotia ; but its timber is represented as inferior to that of the mahogany species.

3. The black Birch (*Betula nigra*) is also a stately tree, and a

wonderfully rapid grower, even in Britain. From the 12th vol. of the Transactions of the Society of Arts, we learn that it has been known to grow to the extraordinary height of five-and-forty feet in nineteen years.

NOTE XXVI. Page 370.

Of pruning and training "for picturesque effect," no one will call in question Mr Pontey's judgment, who has seen his late useful work on laying out grounds, entitled, "The Rural Improver." From his former treatise, however, professedly on pruning, named "The Forest Pruner," some doubt might have been entertained on the subject; and especially from the frontispiece, where a representation is given of what is called "the Woburn Beech," as a lawn tree properly pruned in the Duke of Bedford's park. That the judicious author meant it as a model of general pruning we cannot believe; nor that the unsightly form thus given to the tree, was for any other purpose than that of producing the greatest possible *profit*; which, however, there seems considerable doubt if it would produce. Yet no remark is made by Mr Pontey on the shocking violation of every rule of taste and law of nature which is visible in the disfiguring of the tree. Had the elegant Gilpin, with his picturesque ideas, ever beheld this enormous sweeping-brush, (seventy-two feet in height, and pruned bare to fifty feet in the stem, without a branch,) he assuredly would have started with horror at such a sight.

But this memorable example of profitable pruning, unexplained and unaccounted for as it stands, has not been without its ill effects on some of the unscientific among his readers. I knew a worthy nobleman, now deceased, a man of competent intelligence on other subjects, who, with the view of following out, as he imagined, *the principles of Mr Pontey*, of whom he was justly an admirer, actually pruned up every lawn tree about his very splendid and well-wooded place, on the model of the Woburn Beech!!!—a species of destruction which two hundred years to come will not repair to his posterity.



## APPENDIX.

---

REPORT of a COMMITTEE of the HIGHLAND SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND, appointed to inspect the operations of SIR HENRY STEUART of Allanton, Baronet, in transplanting large trees and underwood, and to report to the Society thereupon.

At the general meeting of the Society, on 8th January 1823, a letter was read from Sir Henry Steuart of Allanton, Bart., stating his having for several years practised extensively and successfully on his estate, the operation of transplanting large trees and underwood, without mutilating their tops, or in any way injuring their appearance, and requesting that the Society would appoint a committee to inspect his operations. The Society accordingly named the following committee of its members for that purpose :—

The Right Honourable Lord Belhaven.

The Honourable Lord Succoth.

Sir Walter Scott, Bart.

George Cranstoun, Esq., (now Lord Corehouse.)

Alexander Young, Esq. of Harburn.

G. Laing Meason, Esq. of Lindertis.

Lieutenant-General Graham Stirling of Duchray.

G. Hamilton Dundas, Esq. of Duddingston.

Dr Robert Graham, Professor of Botany in the University of Edinburgh.

Dr Andrew Coventry, Professor of Agriculture.

Of which committee Lord Belhaven, or, in his absence, Alexander Young, Esq., was named Convener.



The following members of the committee assembled at Allanton House, on the 18th September 1823, viz.—

Lord Belhaven.  
Lord Succoth.  
Lord Corehouse.  
Sir Walter Scott, Bart.  
Alexander Young, Esq.

The committee had also communicated to them in writing, the opinions of Gilbert Laing Meason, Esq., General Graham Stirling, and Mr Hamilton Dundas, who could not attend, but who had previously examined the transplanting operations at Allanton House, during the planting season. And the committee, having afterwards more numerously met in Edinburgh, they agreed to the following report.

IN order to render the report, which we are about to make, more distinct and intelligible to the Society, it will be necessary to give some idea of the soil and climate of the park or lawn at Allanton House, which has received most of its striking decorations by means of the transplanting system, (the present object of our investigation,) and under the direction of the proprietor's acknowledged accuracy of taste.

This park consists, as we were informed, of more than a hundred acres of sheep pasture, exclusive of the large external plantations, or bounding lines of wood, that surround the place. It is situated in rather a high country, being more than four hundred feet above the level of the sea, (according to the late canal surveys,) and nearly three hundred above Edinburgh. The soil is extremely various, consisting of strong clay, deep loam, and light gravelly soil. Peat-moss also, for composts, is to be had in abundance near at hand; so that a better subject could scarcely have been found for making experiments on forest trees. What succeeded here, it is probable, would not fail in more favourable situations; and the science of the experimentalist would thus suffer no reproach for owing too much of its success to the advantages of nature.\*

The surface of these grounds is very irregular and diversified, well cultivated, and beautifully dressed throughout. It inclines, for the most part, to the west and south-west, (usually the most stormy points in this Island,) and, by consequence, the exposure to the winds is very

\* This observation is introduced at the particular desire of Sir Henry Stewart.

considerable. This we consider as an additional advantage ; as whatever experiments were made, the transplanted trees would thereby be put to a severe trial.

About the mansion house there is not much timber of ancient standing. The entire number of old trees may not exceed between sixty and seventy, but they are happily dispersed over the surface. To these have been added by the owner a vast number of single and scattered trees, to the amount, as we were informed, of between six and seven hundred ; which, with various enclosed clumps, or masses of different sorts, *all transplanted*, give to the whole a rich and woody appearance. But from the style in which the removed are mixed and massed up with the older trees, the effect produced is extremely striking, especially when viewed from any commanding eminence.

In the park there is also a piece of water of considerable extent, and of very various outline, which shows the transplanted woods to great advantage. The grounds were originally laid out by Mr White, the well-known landscape gardener ; but Sir Henry seems largely to have superadded his own improvements, and in the management of both the woods and the water to have profited by the principles of Mr Price.

In following out the instructions of the Society, to investigate the improvements made in the art of transplanting at this place, the object of our inquiry naturally divides itself into three parts : first, to examine the single and scattered trees, and such as stand in detached groups on the open lawn ; secondly, such as form enclosed clumps, or masses of some magnitude ; and thirdly, to discover how far the art is applicable to general purposes of utility or ornament.

FIRST, As to single trees, and groups on the open lawn. Of this description, in every part of the place, we found the Oak, Ash, Witch or Scotch Elm, Beech, Sycamore, Lime, Horse-chestnut, Larch, and Scotch Fir ; all of which, having been at one time or other the subjects of transplantation, as we ascertained by accurate examination, are growing with extraordinary vigour and luxuriance, and shooting from six to eighteen inches yearly, in the *openest exposures*. Some Sycamores, Limes, and Oaks, we particularly noticed, of which the shoots might measure more than two and a half feet, in similar exposures. This we consider as probably *unexampled* in any part of the kingdom.

These single trees are of various sizes. Those transplanted some years since are from thirty to forty feet high, or more ; the girth of the largest being from five feet three, to five feet eight inches, at a foot and a half from the ground. Sir Henry acquainted us, that "he was by no means ambitious to remove the *largest possible trees*, but to attain the *greatest possible success* in those which he did remove. In respect to

size, (he added,) if his principles were only followed out, *that* was a mere matter of *expenditure*; because one tree could be removed just as well as another, provided that the owner *did not grudge the cost.*" To the praise, then, of the most perfect success we consider his exertions as fully entitled.

Our attention was next turned to some single trees of the Sycamore, Horse-chestnut, and Beech species, which had been transplanted during the first week of April in the *present* year, so that they had stood about six months in the ground at the time of our inspection. The height, which, as we were informed, had been accurately taken at the time of their removal, is variously from twenty-eight to thirty-three feet, and the girth, which we caused to be measured by two of Sir Henry's servants, is two and a half and three feet, at eighteen inches from the ground. These trees were entirely in leaf, when we examined them, and their foliage was of a healthy and deep green colour. Their branches were quite entire, and they stood firm and erect, without prop or support. The only difference that the most accurate eye could discover, between these trees and others long since planted, seemed to be, that their leaves were somewhat *smaller*—a distinction which, as we observed in other instances, usually disappears after the first, but always after the second season.

In viewing these specimens of an art, of the power of which we had formed no adequate conception, the following facts and circumstances particularly struck us, respecting the single and detached trees. We will, therefore, concisely state them, as worthy of the notice of the Society.

First, the singular beauty and symmetry of the trees; the uncommon girth of their stems in proportion to their height; and the complete formation of their branches and spreading tops. In fact they appear, instead of "stripling plants" (as Gilpin would have called them,) to be fine *lawn trees in miniature*, and not young saplings *in their progress* to that state of perfection. The peculiar and park-like appearance which these give to the lawn (so different from what we have observed in *other* instances of removed wood) must, of course, in some degree proceed from a judicious selection in the planter. But we learned, on inquiry, that Sir Henry considers it as mainly owing to a course of *previous training* in pretty open exposures, or in what he appropriately calls his "transplanting nurseries," or otherwise, in plantations thinned out for the purpose to wide distances.

The second thing we shall mention is the surprising health and vigour of the trees, considering the exposures in which they are placed, and the complete and perfect preservation of their branches, notwith-

standing the operation of removal. In all, or most other specimens of transplanting, whether in this country or in England, it has been the uniform practice of planters to lop and lighten the tops, to prune off the side boughs, and often to pollard or decapitate the trees altogether. But, according to Sir Henry's improved and skilful method of managing the process, the necessity of this unsightly mutilation is completely obviated; as in his trees seldom a twig or a branch appears to decay, in consequence of the operation. Thus, the peculiar conformation and character of each tree are preserved; but it is obvious that by pollarding, or even severe lopping, *both* would be wholly destroyed. The above remarkable fact was clearly proved to us, by viewing trees of various sorts, in every stage of their progress, from the first year to the tenth and upwards. It would be difficult to discover that the trees had not grown from the seed in the situations which they occupy, were it not for the ring of dug ground, which we observed round many of them, making a space which is usually kept with the hoe for three or four years, in order to promote their growth; and that labour is continued until they begin to shoot with freedom.

The third circumstance which we shall state, and which seemed still more surprising to most of us, who had ourselves attempted the art, than either of the two above mentioned, is, that no *prop or support of any kind is ever used at this place*, to trees newly planted. So firmly are they placed, and so perfectly do they seem prepared to resist the elements, that in very few cases was any inclination observable, from the west and south-west, which are well known to be the most stormy quarters. This due balance of the transplanted tree is much aided by Sir Henry's practice, (contrary to the rule generally observed,) of reversing the position of the tree in its transplanted state, and turning to the south-west, or stormy point, that side where the branches had been longest and most luxuriant in the original position, precisely because they had shot more towards the north-east, or sheltered aspect. It does not appear that the growth of the tree is in the least degree retarded by this change, which otherwise produces the effect of balancing the tree against the storm, and, by bringing its branches to a regular shape, adds to its symmetry.

The time of our survey not being the planting season, we have to regret that no account of this phenomenon, (the absence of props,) so clear as we could have wished, was obtained by us. From Sir Henry's explanations, however, we gathered, that the firmness or steadiness produced, was chiefly owing to the selection of such subjects as had a certain weight and strength of stem; and more especially to a new and peculiar method of disposing and securing the roots under ground, at

the time of removal, attended with such advantage in giving stability to the tree, that, when it is placed in its new situation, and before any earth has been laid on the roots, a very considerable force may be applied, without throwing it down or displacing it. But Sir Henry further informed us, that roots of great number and length (sometimes to the extent of twelve and fourteen feet of a side) were also employed to secure the larger trees, when set out single, in exposed situations.

Considering the season of the year at which our inspection took place, (although unquestionably the best for witnessing the effects of this interesting art,) the Society will, of course, not look for any account, from our own knowledge, of the mode of execution. We may venture, however, to state from what we saw, that the unexampled success with single trees, necessarily the most difficult object, must imply methods not less new than scientific.

Respecting the management of this department, we were informed in general, that the greatest attention is constantly paid to the previous cultivation of the soil, by meliorating and stirring it to the depth of eighteen inches or two feet, and to some little distance round the spot on which the tree is to be planted. That for this purpose, no pure animal manure is ever used; but solely composts of different sorts, made up with dung, or a small quantity of lime; and that better effects are found to be produced, towards this primary and grand object, by the intermixture of soils of different qualities (for which the amplest opportunity is here afforded) than by any other given method. That for the rest, new modes have been discovered by experience, of training and preparing both the stems and branches of the tree; for multiplying and taking up its roots; and lastly, for removing it to its new situation. But that which has contributed as much as any thing else to the success we had witnessed, is a careful and judicious adaptation of each particular species to that soil and situation in which it is best calculated to succeed.

Next, as to the SECOND Branch of our investigation—namely, close or enclosed clumps or masses of wood. These are usually intended for the purpose of concealing such objects as require concealment—as accompaniments to the water, the approaches, or the like. They appear in considerable variety in this park, and are contrasted in a pleasing manner with the single trees and open groups above described. There is one large mass of wood, of about two acres in extent, through which the eastern approach to the place passes. That plantation we will endeavour shortly to describe, both as showing the taste and skill with which the park is laid out, and the general and extensive uses to which the art in question may be applied, in similar situations.

This approach was originally laid out by Mr White, and does credit to his professional talents. At one place, it seems, it appeared extremely desirable to that artist to mask or conceal the approach from the house and adjoining grounds, and it was equally proper, at the same place, to conceal the house from them. This desirable object could be effected only by means of wood ; and as the ground, for the most part, hung or inclined pretty considerably towards the principal objects to be shut out from the approach, half a lifetime might elapse ere the desired effect could be produced from that quarter, by the ordinary mode of planting, as only four Larches, and three Beeches of considerable size, then stood upon these two acres of ground. But Sir Henry resolved to attain the desired end at once, by means of the transplanting machine, and he successfully accomplished it in a single season. Trees of various sorts, from twenty-five to thirty feet high, were then first planted as standards or grove wood, at the distance of from eighteen to five-and-twenty feet, and the intervals were filled up with bushes, or stools of copse or underwood, from four to six feet in height, and five and six feet asunder. Thus, the appearance of a plantation of considerable standing was immediately obtained, and the eye effectually prevented from wandering among the stems, and discovering the actual extent of the boundary.

As the approach passes through this mass of wood for about four hundred yards, we had an opportunity of viewing it to great advantage. The uncommon beauty, luxuriance, and closeness of the wood, together with the retired and sequestered appearance of the spot, struck us as particularly pleasing, contrasted as it was with the open lawn, which we had just before left. Here the standard trees, of course, were seen to make freer shoots than those which stood singly upon the open ground, and the shoots of the underwood greater still. The underwood consists of Oak, Witch-Elm, Beech, Birch, Holly, Hazel, Mountain-Ash, Thorn, Chestnut, English and Norway Maple, Common and Canadian Birdscherry, and such other plants as are usually found in natural woods ; and from the shelter and warmth produced by such a mass of plantation, the luxuriance of these plants seemed wonderful—the shoots extending, in some instances of the Maple, Elm, and Birdscherry, and even of the Oak, to three and four feet in length and upwards.

This plantation, which has all the natural luxuriance and wild richness of a natural copse, intermingled with grove or standard trees, had been formed only four years ; and we are confident that no less a space than from five-and-twenty to forty years, according to situation and climate, could have produced the same effect by the usual process of planting and thinning out.

We proceeded next to examine other plantations or masses of wood, consisting of half an acre, a quarter of an acre, and less, in which grove and underwood are massed up together in the same manner. We saw, at some distance, an island in the lake, which is wooded in this way. The ends of the bridge, which is thrown over the water, are likewise so wooded. Also sundry promontories or headlands, in order to break the water into parts, and give immediate effect to some prominent scenery; an object which they accomplish in a style very picturesque and pleasing.

We will now proceed to the THIRD head of our investigation—namely, to ascertain in how far the art is applicable to general purposes of utility or ornament.

It must be acknowledged, that the art of removing large-sized wood has hitherto been very limited in its application. The planting of a few pollarded, or at least mutilated trees, in a gentleman's lawn or pleasure-grounds, is all that it usually aspires to. In old books on planting, we read of princes and nobles who removed individual trees, which it required twelve oxen to draw, and the most powerful machinery to lift or plant. But these were exertions of mere physical force, unaccompanied with skill or science. It seems to have been reserved for the present day to establish the art upon fixed principles, and to turn it to any thing like practical utility.

From what has been stated above, it will appear to the Society that, on Sir Henry Steuart's system, a lawn may within a few years be covered with single trees, and scattered groups of every description; and further, that enclosed masses of wood to any extent, and of such magnitude may be easily introduced into it, as will produce *immediate effect*, whether to persons on foot or on horseback, and even from the first and second floors of an ordinary mansion house. For agricultural purposes, likewise, the art may prove eminently useful in sheltering grounds which are dedicated to pasture. To cover mountains with wood, to raise extensive forests, or even the broad bounding lines of a gentleman's place or park, the art would for obvious reasons be misapplied, and therefore, for those purposes, recourse will always be had to the common methods of planting. But we conceive it to be clearly made out, from what Sir Henry has done on a limited scale, (and which may with the same certainty be applied to the most extensive purposes,) that *all objects of wooding for picturesque effect*, and for making, as it is termed, *a place*, whether on the foreground, or the middle distance of the landscape, *may be effected at once*, or at least within a very short period. Thus a man possessing extensive means, and having within a

reasonable distance the command of a stock of trees fit for removal, may, in some sort, *create* what it used to take a lifetime, and sometimes two lives, to obtain—namely, a park richly clothed and sheltered; and thus, the superlative luxury of well-grown woods, which was supposed unattainable unless by the slow effects of *time*, is brought within the reach of science and industry.

In proof of this, we will take the liberty of adducing one other example of what we saw at this place, the more particularly, as it is a striking evidence of the superiority in every way of *transplanting* over common woods, as the former are managed here. This illustration of the fact appeared likewise to us the more satisfactory, that it was given in a *comparative* way, and of which, therefore, we were fully enabled to judge.

At the western entrance-gate to the park, two plantations or masses of wood present themselves to the view, within about forty yards of each other—the one on the right hand, and the other on the left; and they run nearly parallel to the approach or coach-road, for some length of way. That on the left-hand side, as Sir Henry informed us, had been planted about five-and thirty years since in the ordinary manner. Nearly twelve years ago, the Fir trees and Larch had been cut away; and some time after, a certain proportion of the forest trees had been cut over, or copped, in order to improve the closeness of the screen at bottom. The plantation on the right-hand side is intended to cover the main head of the lake. It was executed with grove trees and underwood, in the style above described; and it was transplanted to its present site six years ago. On comparing these two plantations, the obvious superiority of the latter over the former was manifest to every eye. Its luxuriance, its strength, its closeness, were superior; and it seemed clearly more effective for every purpose of either ornament or utility. In this contrast, the triumph of the *transplanting art* appeared complete, and its fitness for the general purposes of shelter or landscape seemed, in our judgment, very satisfactorily established.

The committee, having thus given their personal evidence to the complete success of Sir Henry Steuart's plan, will now proceed, agreeably to the Society's recommendation, to notice the two points specially recommended to their observation:—1st, The number of trees which may have decayed after removal; and, 2dly, the expense of the operation.

On the first point, your committee are enabled to state with confidence, that the number of trees which decay after having been transplanted, must be very small indeed. They had this point particularly in their eye; and, in traversing the whole of the grounds, they saw



only one transplanted tree which appeared to have died, the others being all, to the number of many hundreds, in uncommon strength and vigour—those planted within the year only differing from the others in the smallness of their leaves, but exhibiting no symptoms of decay, either in bark or twig. The committee further beg to report, that if dead trees had been purposely removed out of the way, the operation could not, they think, have been so effectually done, but that vestiges of it would have been visible. Sir Henry supposes there may, in general, be a *failure of one in forty, or five-and-forty*. But doubtless, such complete success could not be attained in the first instance, till the planter had acquired a great degree of skill and experience, both as to the choice of the subjects, and the mode of carrying through the operation.

Upon the expense of the process, by which so pleasing and wonderful a change upon the face of nature is effected with so much comparative rapidity, the committee are not qualified to speak with precision; for the season at which they visited Allanton House, though the best adapted for seeing the *effects of the operation*, was not suited to the witnessing of the *operation itself*. In general, they beg leave to observe, that the removal of large trees must be considered as a part of landscape gardening, and belonging, of course, rather to the fine arts, than to those which have utility only for their object; and therefore the expense must not be weighed so scrupulously, as if a return of *actual profit* were the end to be obtained. Value, no doubt, every proprietor acquires, when he converts bare and unsightly grounds into a clothed, sheltered, and richly ornamented park. But, excepting in the article of shelter, he must expect no more actual return\* for his money, than if he bought a picture on canvass, instead of creating an original. When the difficulty of the task, which had hitherto amounted to an impossibility, is duly considered, with the extreme beauty of the effects produced, it cannot be thought extravagant that the planting of grove and copsewood on the two acres already mentioned, should amount (as appears from Sir Henry's memoranda) to about £80 per acre. On the contrary, the committee believe, that no visible change on the appearance of nature, however trivial in comparison, could have been effected by the landscape gardener in any *other* manner, under three times the sum.

\* There is another species of "actual return," besides shelter, which does not seem to have occurred to the committee, and that is, the surprising augmentation of the *value of the pasture*, to a considerable extent, proceeding from the manuring and pulverising of the soil round the trees, at the time of planting. See Note II. at page 451, *ante*.

This is so obvious, that the committee conceive it to be only the purpose of the Society to ascertain, whether there is such and so great an expense attending the process of transplanting, as to *interdict its being practised* by country gentlemen of ordinary fortune, who are neither willing nor able to bestow very large sums merely, or at least chiefly, to attain external beauty. In this point of view, the Committee are strongly encouraged to hope, that the Transplanting system can be adopted, with advantage in most circumstances, and at no extravagant expense. There are, upon most properties, strips and clumps of planting, in the taste which prevailed thirty or forty years ago, which have been thinned out, and they now furnish trees, at eighteen or twenty feet distance from one another. It is usually desirable to break the formality of such clumps or strips, and in such a case, the subjects for removal may be selected with advantage, both to the grounds which are to be clothed, and to the plantations from which these individual trees are to be removed. Many of Sir Henry's subjects have been selected from such plantations as we have described. Where such do not occur, he proposes to raise nurseries, where trees shall be trained for the special purpose of transplanting. But this mode of rearing subjects for future removal, your committee do not pretend to report upon, as they had not time to examine its advantages and disadvantages.

They cannot conclude this part of the subject better, than by an extract of a letter to their convener, from their experienced colleague, Mr Laing Meason, who had an opportunity of witnessing the transplantation of several trees at Allanton House, and of forming a calculation as to the expense of their removal.

“I regret very much (says he) that it will not be in my power to attend, as one of the committee appointed by the Highland Society, to report upon the system and practice of transplanting trees of a large size, as adopted by Sir Henry Steuart of Allanton.

“As I, however, passed some days at Allanton, in the planting season, and saw myself the whole process, I can with some confidence state my opinion to you and the other members of the committee. It appears clear to me, that Sir Henry Steuart is the first person in this kingdom who has adopted and practised, for years past, a rational system to insure success in this hitherto difficult operation. The system appears to be, to disturb the processes of nature in the growth of the tree as little as possible, and, when disturbed, to provide an efficacious remedy. It will naturally occur to the members of the committee, that it would be quite impossible to move the widely-extended roots of a twenty or thirty year old tree, without rupturing many, however carefully the earth were moved away; besides, the labour of following

out long shoots would be immense. Add to this, that the nourishment drawn is almost entirely from the fine fibrous roots. Hence, the first operation is to cut off, at a due distance, the long horizontal roots, supply fresh mould, and allow, by waiting two or three years, the tree to form all around those fine fibrous roots, that are to nourish it in its new situation. This, and the actual removal, is all that the tree suffers, in being moved to a new situation; and on this simple system, he seems the first who has succeeded in any extraordinary degree.

“There are many very important considerations to be attended to, before that success can be secured, which have escaped others who have attempted to transplant trees. One of the leading points is the choice of the tree. A tree taken from the interior of a plantation will not succeed, nor one of which the branches and spray, as well as the bark and stem, are not all *properly prepared* and in *due proportion*.

“Not less important is the care with which the tree, and all its newly-formed fibrous roots, must be lifted; and, again, these roots replaced in the new situation as naturally as they were found before the tree was removed. I decline entering into a detail on these important points, because Sir Henry Steuart will, no doubt, favour the Society with a full and comprehensive narrative of his practice. I must, however, observe, that although the detail would occupy many pages, yet when the operation is performed by his experienced workmen, it appears to a bystander perfectly simple and easy to be repeated.

“I beg to one subject to call the attention of the Committee in a more particular manner, because it has been greatly misrepresented; and, unless the public be undeceived, the useful and ornamental practice of transplanting large trees never will become general. I allude to the expense. For the present, I set aside the consideration of the planting large portions of ground with young trees, to produce shelter or picturesque effect, which includes the loss of ground, and the expense of fencing, for twenty or thirty years. I confine myself to the mere expense of transplanting the tree without the above comparison.

“I attended, in March last, most carefully in the Park at Allanton, to the operation of lifting and placing in new situations two trees of about thirty or forty years' growth. The following is the result. Ten workmen began at six o'clock in the morning to remove the two trees, the one twenty-eight feet high, the other thirty-two feet, by actual measurement; girth from thirty to thirty-six inches. The one tree was removed nearly a mile, the other about a hundred yards, and the whole operation was completed before six o'clock in the evening. The wages of the men amounted to 15s., so that each tree cost 7s. 6d. A pair of horses was used in dragging the machine, on which the

trees were laid. Such was the expense of the operation. Now, if a comparison be drawn betwixt this expense, and that of planting groups of young plants; enclosing, and keeping up the enclosures for five-and-twenty or thirty years; losing the value of the ground occupied by the groups or belts, Sir Henry Steuart's system cannot be a *tenth* of the expense of the common method. A few trees, of the growth of thirty or forty years, produce at once that effect, for shelter or beauty, that would occupy in young planting an acre or two of ground. On *the consideration of economy*, therefore, Sir Henry's system is most deserving of praise. But it is wrong to consider the practice of transplanting large trees, as confined to mere ornament, in the formation of parks and pleasure grounds.

"I have only farther to request the attention of the committee to the progress that such trees have made as have been transplanted some years in the park at Allanton. I remarked more particularly the increase in circumference of the trunks of several of these trees, and the generally thriving state and vigorous young shoots of those more recently planted. The committee likewise will not pass over the great disadvantages that Sir Henry Steuart has to contend against. The soil of a great part of this park is most unfavourable for the growth of trees. Some parts have a stiff and stubborn soil, others almost a dead sand. The district of country is high, and exposed to violent west and south-west blasts of wind.

"I have taken the liberty of giving this outline of Sir Henry Steuart's system, and of its utility to you and the other gentlemen of the committee, as the result of actual observation, and a mature consideration of the benefit that may be derived from it. I trust that the Highland Society will soon be enabled to make more generally known the details of Sir Henry Steuart's practice." So far Mr Laing Meason.

The process of transplanting is beautifully simple. The trees having been well selected, which is a point requiring much skill and judgment, (for both its stem and branches must be well prepared to resist the elements, and be duly proportioned to each other,) undergoes the operation described by Mr Laing Meason, of having its roots cut, and is, by the second or third year after, transported to its new situation, by a very simple engine, called the transplanting machine. In detailing this process, the committee had particular occasion to remark the openness, patience, and candour, with which Sir Henry solved every doubt, and replied to every question, which the details suggested. And, in general, the committee have no hesitation to say, that the operation is attended with no difficulty which may not soon be overcome by attention and experience. They thought it best, however, not to attempt to describe

with minuteness that which they had not seen in practice, having little doubt, that they may prevail on Sir Henry himself to afford these details, in the form of an appendix to this report.

Upon the whole, it is humbly their opinion, that Sir Henry, by philosophical attention to the nature of the change to which he was about to subject the trees which he has transplanted, has attained, at no extravagant expense, the power so long desired of *anticipating the slow progress of vegetation*, and accomplishing, within two or three seasons, those desirable changes on the face of nature, which he who plants in early youth can, in ordinary cases, only hope to witness in advanced life.

Signed, by order of the Committee,

ALEX. YOUNG.

---

## HINTS RELATIVE TO PLANTING,

FOR THE HONOURABLE SIR A. J. C.

TO PLANT AN ACRE OF GOOD LAND.

LET the ground be covered with plants of Oak and Ash, two years transplanted at least ;—three-fourths of the former, and one-fourth of the latter, according to the nature of the ground, and at the distance of nine feet from plant to plant. Then fill up the intervals with Scotch Firs and Larches, one year transplanted, and equal numbers of each. So that, when the whole plantation is finished, the plants shall stand about four and a half feet asunder. Thus, there will be rather better than three thousand on a Scotch acre.

If the plantation be near the mansion house, or in any other situation where ornament is required, a few Sycamores or Planes and Elms, English and Scotch, may be interspersed. In such situations also, the Lime, Beech, Sweet Chestnut, and Horse Chestnut will make a pleasing variety ; and all these extra trees are free growers on good land. The Beech, however, will thrive tolerably when the land is very indifferent.

TO PLANT AN ACRE OF POOR LAND.

Let the better parts of the ground (especially where it tends to clay,) be covered with Oak and Ash, two years transplanted as above, and equal numbers of each, at the distance of seven feet from plant to plant. Then fill up the intervals with Scotch Firs and Larches, one

year transplanted, equal numbers of each ; so that, when the plantation is finished, the plants shall stand three and a half feet asunder — making between four and five thousand on a Scotch acre.

Let the worse parts of the ground, which are unable to produce Oak and Ash, (I mean particularly where the soil is shallow, dry, and sandy, or consists of a mixture of sand and moss or peat,) be covered with Birch and Mountain Ash, equal numbers of each. The plants to stand seven feet asunder, as above ; and the intervals to be filled with Scotch Firs and Larches, as already mentioned. If the ground be damp, the Alder may be substituted for the Mountain Ash.

It is understood that, on either good land or bad, the forest trees should, in general, be *pitted* ; but, where the ground spades easily, and great care is taken to introduce the plant at the *point of the spade*, and to avoid *curling up its roots*, *slitting* may be permitted ; but these considerations are seldom or never sufficiently attended to, even by workmen who esteem themselves experienced in the operation. The Larches and Scotch Firs may always be planted by means of the slit.

It is further to be observed, that the above hints are very general ; and, in order to be properly understood, they would require a volume of illustration, which is not intended in this place. For example, an experienced planter knows that the Oak will not thrive in very *light* thin soils. Even a damp soil is more favourable to that plant than one of thin dry sand. And it is ascertained that the Ash will thrive in situations which are either too wet or too dry for the Oak. The Beech is the only tree that resembles the Ash in this particular ; and it will grow on ground too poor, barren, and dry for raising the Ash.

Further—Of all the plants above-mentioned, the least valuable is the Alder ; but it will grow in absolute bog, where no other plant, the Willow excepted, will live. No plants will be found to cover *very* poor, thin, light, and mossy land, with so good a prospect of a return to the planter, as Mountain Ash and Birch ; because the wood of the latter is useful in several trades ; and the bark of both sells for one-half the price of Oak bark.

There are two great objects which every Planter has in view—the one is *beauty*, and the other *utility*, or a return in profit for the money laid out. As the planting, and particularly the enclosing and draining of ground properly for wood, are works of considerable expense, it is important that a landowner should not suffer himself to be misled by the interested representations of nurserymen and others, who recommend various trees of little or no value, in order to get quit of such commodities, when they happen to be upon their hands.

From the experience of more than five-and-thirty years, in the plant-

ing, cutting, and disposing of wood of almost every species known in this island, I must declare, that for purposes of utility, and to *make a return* in any degree proportioned to the money laid out, there are no trees so proper as the *Oak* and the *Ash*. It is a great, although not an uncommon error, to imagine that the *Oak* will not grow except on land of a superior quality; whereas the fact is, that it will grow to tolerable copsewood on very exposed situations and in almost any soil, light and thin sand excepted. The bark of the *Oak* (even when nothing higher than copsewood can be raised) is found to be more valuable than both the *wood and the bark* of any other tree; and to all general purposes there is no tree so universally applicable as the *Ash*,—even more so than the *Oak* itself.

It is therefore probable that the only trees worth planting with the view of profit to an estate, are the *Oak* and the *Ash*. In regard to beauty and ornament, no precise rule can be laid down. I have mentioned above the different kinds which, if planted on soils congenial to them, will have the best effects in a gentleman's park, and about his place.

In the foregoing hints, the *Fir* tribe has been considered merely as nurses to more valuable, or at least more permanent, plantations. But from the many successful experiments which have lately been made on the *utility* of the *Larch*, both on account of its *wood* and its *bark*, it may be doubted whether, on poor and exposed land, any return equally great will ever be made, as by planting it with *Larch* and *Scotch Fir* only, (three fourths of the former and one fourth of the latter)—cutting them down completely at the end of fifty or sixty years, and then planting the ground anew. On the other hand, however, it may be said of *permanent woods*, or woods consisting wholly of deciduous trees, that the oftener they are cut over, the more they will increase, both in value and vigour; whereas, a wood of the *Fir* species only, being of a temporary nature, as soon as it is cut down, it is at an end; and it seems rather uncertain whether, in the exhausted state of the ground after the first crop, it will ever rise again so well on being planted for the second time.

The following short injunctions, which are mostly of the negative sort, may perhaps be useful to the young planter. Never plant the *Oak* on a light sandy soil, if of a *thin* and *shallow* quality. In such a situation it never will become a tree, and seldom rise to the size of a vigorous bush. Never plant it upon real moss or peat, as it will become black at the heart. Never plant the *Lime*, *Sycamore*, or *Horse-chestnut* on wet or even loamy land with a *clay bottom*. All of these trees require depth, with a *dry subsoil*; but the *Oak* will thrive surprisingly well on very obdurate clay, both above and below. Never plant at all

without *draining* the ground completely, by means of open drains if requisite — as all trees require a bottom more or less dry, and wet land in a plantation is so much waste or unproductive surface. On land inclining only to moss or peat, if properly drained, the Ash will grow well ; if the soil approach to the nature of a blackish loam, one third part of Oak may be added. In a word, Oak and Ash are the staple plants for useful woods, just as wheat and oats are staple crops in husbandry. Other timber, if raised to a large size, may sell ; but these two, even of very small dimensions, will always find a ready market.

ALLANTON HOUSE,  
13th July 1816.

---

#### RESUSCITATION OF OLD TREES.

“DEAR SIR,—Agreeably to my promise, I shall now give you an idea of my method of reviving or resuscitating old trees, which has often succeeded with myself, and which I have recommended to others ; but there is no account given of it in the notes on my treatise on the application of the science of physiology to practical tree-culture, and particularly in removing large trees, for ornament or use.

“The decay of old trees, both in England and Scotland, has been a subject of general complaint during at least a century ; and it is observed with regret, that their place does not promise to be very speedily supplied by existing woods and plantations. The general causes of the decay of trees are twofold. The first proceeds from diseases to which all woody plants are subject ; the second from extreme old age, but more frequently from their having exhausted the pabulum within their reach. The pathology of the vegetable tribe, in this respect, differs materially from that of the human species. Among the sons of the forest, as among us, there are no vicious efforts made by individuals, by means of disease, to shorten life. There are no gourmands nor sensualists, by fatal indulgences and artificial luxuries, to bring on premature old age. The laws of nature in trees are allowed fairly to operate, and their existence therefore may be reckoned on, and even prolonged by art, to an indefinite period. It has been said that the roots of trees in a favourable soil will go abroad in search of their food to a distance from the stem equal to the entire height of the tree, taken from the ground ; and wherever this is found to hold good, trees will live to a very great age, especially in a deep and calcareous soil.



“Of your two fine old trees at Westquarter, in Stirlingshire, which I lately examined, a holly and a double-flowering thorn, I must say that they appear to me to have declined chiefly from the *latter* of the two causes above mentioned, namely, their having exhausted the food or pabulum in their immediate neighbourhood; and, in the case of the thorn, in some measure from the ground being overstocked with other plants, that greatly crowd upon it, even to the exclusion of light and air, without which no plant can flourish. As to the holly, it seems stunted and hide-bound, and sends out no free shoots at top, such as a tree in health, in so fine a soil and climate, ought to do. The terminal growths of the thorn, also, have begun to decay; and if some salutary remedy be not speedily adopted, to *excite the roots to fresh action*, it is plain that the evil will ere long extend to the greater branches, and, as a necessary consequence, to the trunk itself.

“The first thing that I should recommend to be done with this noble thorn is, to cut away the ivy that now strongly adheres to it. That parasitical plant has covered nearly the whole external surface of the stem. It already intercepts the kindly influence of the sun and air from the bark of the tree, under which the finer vessels of the descending sap lie, so that it may be said to prey upon the very vitals of the plant. The next object should be, to clear the ground, for a considerable space, of overshadowing shrubs and bushes. So venerable a tree, standing single, would be the most graceful ornament of the verdant turf that surrounded it.

“The second thing that I would do, would be to dig a trench round the tree, not exceeding three and a half or four feet out from the stem; which trench should be five feet broad at least, and as deep as to penetrate through both the soil and subsoil, however deep either may be, until you reach the rock, gravel, pure sand, or obdurate clay (*Scottice*, till) that may lie below. In doing this, the workmen may fearlessly cut through all the roots they meet with, leaving only three or four great ones, on the south and south-west sides, to act as *cables*, in resisting the severe winds that usually blow from those quarters in every part of the island.

“Next: let whatever parts of the trench that consist of good earth, or of earth capable of being easily made so, be thrown aside, and the sand or gravel, if any, be wheeled away; so that you may obtain a depth in the trench of two feet or more, if the soil permit, of well-mixed mould. For this purpose, let good compost or rich garden mould (of which I saw abundance near the spot) be intimately mixed, by twice or three times turning, with the better parts of the contents of the trench, adding about a third part of good well-rotted dung, so as that a proper chemical action

may be excited throughout the mass, and the whole rendered fit for the food of plants. This done, let the trench be filled up with such compound somewhat higher than the original soil ; and let the space which has been left untouched, of four feet out from the stem to the edge of the trench, be covered eight or nine inches deep, with the same prepared and friable compound, pointing it in with the spade only about three inches deep, so as not materially to injure the roots. In order to complete the process, let all the dead wood be carefully pruned away from the branches with a saw, but dressing the extremities afterwards with a sharp hedgebill.

“ In the following spring, all moss or other impurity should be scraped off the bark, and the entire stem well washed, two or three times during the summer season, with soap and water, and a soft brush.

“ By following the above method, which, however elaborate it may appear in the description, will be very easily reduced to practice, I feel confident that many fine old trees in gentlemen’s parks, that are now allowed to decay, might have *another century* added to their existence ; because the extension of fresh pabulum at pleasure to greater limits would be a labour well repaid, and attended with little expense, and as little difficulty. There are few persons who would not bestow more labour than this on a favourite tree ; and there are perhaps fewer who will not admit that it might easily be applied to purposes of general utility, as well as local ornament. The principles on which this process has been instituted are in accordance with the laws of animal as well as vegetable physiology, and will be confirmed by practice, if they be allowed to govern the process. I have uniformly found that the roots where cut through in the opening of the trench, will send forth an immense body of vigorous ramifications, of from a foot to fifteen inches in length, during the first and second months after the operation, with thousands of capillary rootlets emanating from them—all which will go abroad in search of sap, for renovating the vigour of the tree. In a tree of considerable age, such as the two above alluded to at your beautiful place, it is to be observed that much figure cannot be expected to be made, during the first year, in the elongation of its terminal shoots ; and for this plain reason, that effects must necessarily be preceded by their causes, whether they lie on the surface or otherwise ; but the leaves will speedily become larger, and of a deeper green colour, than for some years past ; and by the autumn of the second year, it will be admitted that the tree is in some sort about *to renew its youth*.

“ During the early part of the first season, the new mould should be allowed to remain quite undisturbed ; but towards the end of the year, the gardener or forester may cautiously look in, and he will observe the

wonderful efforts towards the increase of leaves, and, by consequence, towards a fresh supply of sap, that the plant will even then have made ; and after the second year, the renovating process will appear still more striking.

“These directions apply equally to both the thorn and the holly at Westquarter, with this difference, that, in consideration of the far greater exposure in which the latter is placed, I should not advise that the trench be opened nearer than within five feet of the stem ; also, a greater number of large roots, (to act as cables in supporting the tree,) say five or six, should be left entire, running across the trench.

“The month of February or beginning of March, according to the season, before the ascending sap begins to stir, would of course be the best time to carry into effect the methods of resuscitation above detailed ; and as Allanton House is at no great distance, I should have much pleasure in paying you a visit, and directing the execution myself.—With great esteem I remain, dear Sir Thomas, your most faithful servant,

“H. STEUART.

“To Admiral Sir T. Livingston, Bart.”










Deacidified using the Bookkeeper process.  
Neutralizing agent: Magnesium Oxide  
Treatment Date: Nov. 2012

**Preservation Technologies**  
A WORLD LEADER IN COLLECTIONS PRESERVATION

111 Thomson Park Drive  
Cranberry Township, PA 16066  
(724) 779-2111





LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



00009222443

