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A STUDY OF THE GREEK EPIGRAM BEFORE 300 в.с.

By Florence Alden Gragg.

## A S'TUDY OF THE GREEK EPIGRAM BEFORE 300 b. c. ${ }^{1}$

By Florence Alden Gragg.

Presented by 11. Weir Smyth, February 9, 1910. Received May 10, 1910.
Altiolgir there exist several collections of Greek epigrams and many treatises on individual epigrammatists, such as Anacreon, Simonides, and Plato, no one has as yet collected and arranged in chronological order the epigrams which have been preserved both on stone and in MSS. and examined them in detail with the purpose of throwing light
${ }^{1}$ Selected Bibliography together with a List of Abbreviations by which the works are cited :
AEMO = Archaeologische epigraphische Mittheilnngen aus Oesterreich.
AM $=$ Mittheilungen des Deutsehen Archaeologisehen Instituts, athenische Abtheilung.
$\mathrm{AP}=$ Authologia Palatina.
I-IX, 563, ed. H. Stadtmïller. Leipzig, 1894-99.
IX-end, with appendix, ed. F. Jacohs. Leipzig, 1814.
A $\mathrm{Pl}=$ Anthologia Planudea, ed. F. Jacohs. Leipzig, 1884.
Allen $=$ F. D. Allen, On Greek Versification in Inscriptions. Papers of the American School of Classical Studies at Athens, vol. 4, pp. 37-204. Boston, 1888.
l, Bergk, or PLG = Th. Bergk, Poctae Lyrici Graeci4. Leipzig, 1892.
$\mathrm{BCH}=$ Bulletin de Correspondence Hellénique.
loas $=$ M. Boas, De Epigrammatis Simonideis. Groningen, 1905.
CIA $=$ Corpus Inscriptionum Atticarnm.
Vol. I, ed. Kirchlioff. Berlin, 1873.
Vol. II, ed. Koehler. Berlin, 1877-88.
Vol. III, ed. Dittenberger. Derlin, 1878-82.
Vol. IV, ed. Koehler. Berlin, 1877-91.
CIG $=$ Corpus Inscriptionum Gracearum.
Vol. I, ed. Bocekh. Berlin, 1828.
Vol. 1I, ed. Boeckh. Berlin, 1843.
Vol. IH, ed. Franz. Berlin, 1853.
Vol. [V, ed. Curtins \& Kirchhoff. Berlin, 1877.
('RAI $=$ Comptes Rendus de l'Acalémie d'Inseriptions et de Belles-Lettres.
Fava $=$ D. Fava, Gli Epigrammi di Platone. Milan, 1901.
Hzenel, J. De Epigrammatis Graeci Historia. Breslan, 1852.
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{E}$. Hoffuann, Sylloge Epigrammatum Graecorum quae ante medium saeculum a. Chr. n. tertium incisa ad nos pervenerunt. Halle, 1893.

Hauvette $=$ A. Havette, De l'Authenticité des Epigrammes de Simonide. Paris, 1890. ILenkenrath, R. Studien zu den griechischen Grabschriften. Feldkirch, 1896.
on the development of this branch of Greek literature. Historians of Greek letters ${ }^{2}$ have touched but lightly on the epigram. Haenel's book, published in $1852,{ }^{3}$ is now out of date and, even at the time of its publication, did not do justice to its subject. Mackail, in a work as useful as it is charming, ${ }^{4}$ has included only such epigrams as are

Hiller $=$ E. Hiller, Zu den Simonideischen Epigrammen, Philologns, 48, 229-247.
IA $=$ Inseriptiones Argolidis, ed. M. Fraenkel. Berlin, 1902.
$\mathrm{If} \mathrm{A}=$ Inseriptiones Graecae Antịnissimae, ed. Roehl. Berlin, 1882.
lois = Inseriptiones Graeciae Septentrionalis, ed. Dittenberger. Berlin, 1892.
IIS $=$ Inseriptiones Italiae et Siciliae, ed. Kaibel. Berlin, 1590.
IP $=$ Die Inschriften von Pergamon, ed. M. Fraenkel. Berlin, 1890-95.
IHS = Jomrnat of Hellenic Stndies.
JOAI $=$ Jahresheft des Oesterreichisehen Arehaeologischen Instituts zu Wien.
Jmoglahn, A. A. De Simonidis Cei Epigrammatis Quaestiones. Berlin, 1869.
$K=$ (i. Kaikel, Epigrammata Graeca ex Lapidibus Conlecta. Berlin, 1878.
$\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{HM})=$ G. Kaibel, Suplementum Epigrammatum Graecorm, Rheinisches Mnsemm, 34 (1879), 181 ff.
Kaibel, G. Quaestiones Simonideae, R. M. 25 (1873), 436 ff.
Kirchhofl, A. Zur Geschichte der attischen Epigrammen, llemes 5 (1871), 48 ff .
Hackail, J. W. Select Epigrams from the Greek Anthology. London and New York, 1906.
Von Mess, A. Quaestiones de Epigrammate Attico et Tragoedia Antiquiore Dialecticae. Bomm, 1898.
Olympia $=$ Die Inschriften von Olympia, ed. Dittenberger \& Purgold. Berlin, 1896.
$\mathrm{Pr}=$ Th. Preger, Inseriptiones Graecae Metricae ex Scriptoribus praeter Anthologiam Collectae. Leipzig, 1891.
REGr = Révie des Etudes Greépues.
$\mathrm{L}: \mathrm{M}=$ Rhemisches Museum für Philologie.
Reitzenstrin $=$ R. Reitzenstein, Eligramm und Skolion. Giessen, 1893.
lieitzenstein, lh., in Pauly-Wissewa, Real-Eneychopaedie, s. v. Epigramm.
Lioberts = E. S. Roherts, An Introduction to Greek Epirraphy, vol. I. Cambridge, 1887.

Smyth, II. W. Sounds and Inflections of the Freek Dialeets: Ionic. Oxford, 1894. Wagner, R. Quaestiones ole Epigrammatis Graecis. Leipzig, 1883.
Weher = L. Weher, Anacreontea. (iottingen, 1895.
Wilamowitz-MoeHendorff, Ein Altattisches Epigramm, Hermes, 20 (1586), 62 ff.
Simonides der Epigrammatiker, Giottinger Nachrichten, 1897, 306 ff .
Wilhelm, A. Simonideisehe Gedichte, IOAI 2 (1899), 221 ff .
The lyrie poets are eited by Bergk's numbers; Aesehylus by the edition of Sidgwick (Osforl, 1402) ; Sophocles liy that of Jebl (Cambidge, 1906) ; Euripides hy that of


${ }^{2}$ I huw whsultent the works of Bergk, Berlin, 1872-87; Bernhardy, Halle, 1880 ; ト. ©. Mulher (ml. Heitz), Stuttgart, 1882 ; Sittl, Munich, 1884-7; Bender, Lapzig, 1820; C'roiset, I'aris, 1897-49; Christ, Munich, 1905; Flach, Ges. I. Gr. Lyrik wuh d. 19mben dargetellt, Tubingen, 1883-4.
${ }^{3}$ 1he Epigrammatis (imaci IIstoria, Breslan, 1852.
4 Solve Epigrams from the Greck Antholory, London and New York, 1906.
remarkable for beanty of thought or execution. Consequently he has admitted few early epigrams and his arrangement by subject preeludes any attempt at chronological order. Reitzenstein, in "Epigramm und Skolion," discussed brilliantly the nature and history of the epigram, ${ }^{5}$ but his interest was chiefly for the work of the Alexandrian period and he treated the early verses only as they could be made to support his original theory about those of later date. A recent article by the same seholar ${ }^{6}$ is by far the most satisfactory presentation of the subjeet known to me, but the necessity of discussing the whole history of the epigram in a few pages has prevented him from giving much space to the early period or considering individual epigrams to any extent. Moreover, since the publication of the collections of Kaibel, Allen, Preger, and Hoffmann many new epigrams have come to light. 'These, together with numerous suggestions of various scholars, are scattered in footnotes and separate dissertations, where they easily escape the notice of the general reader.

For these reasons it seemed to me a profitable task to collect the early $\mathbf{7}$ epigrams and, so far as I conld, to trace the changes which gradually took place in the nature of the epigram and its relation to other branches of literature.

Appended to this paper is a list of epigrams earlier than 300 B. с. ${ }^{8}$ To the material already at hand in the various thesauri I have added such epigrams as I have myself gathered from the chief elassical journals published since the appearance of Hoffmann's book in 1893. I trust that no epigram has been omitted for lack of care or diligence on my part, but, even so, I cannot hope that the list will seem complete to every reader. We possess, in the Palatine Anthology and elsewhere, epigrams which give us absolutely no elue to their age, though certain of them may seem to individual scholars to be early. ${ }^{9}$ If any of these are missed, it is because I did not feel warranted in inserting in a list from which historical conclusions were to be drawn, any epigrams which are assigned to an early date merely by the "literary feeling" of this or that scholar. On purely literary grounds it is often possible to say with comparative certainty that an epigram is later than the fifth century; it is practically never possible to say that it is earlier than the third, for the distinctive characteristies of the epigrams composed after $400 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{c}$. do not make their appearance earlier, while the anstere and

[^0]simple epigram belongs - in greater or less degree - to all periods. I have also thought it safer to omit epigrams which, though they are extant only in inscriptions of a late period, are thought by some to reproduce early inscriptions. ${ }^{10}$

At the end of the list11 I have enumerated the epigrams which, in my opinion, are wrongly attributed to early pocts.

When Haenel said that we ought to call no poem an epigram unless we know when it was composed ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2}}$ he meant to draw a distinction between epigram, as we commonly use the word, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a$ as used by the Greeks of the sixth and fifth centuries B. C. A Greek epigram ${ }^{13}$ is to most of us any short poem - irrespective of the sentiment expressed - complete in itself and composed in the elegiac metre. Such a poem, Haenel says, would not have been an epigram at all to the Greeks of the sixth century b. c. In this he is of course quite right, for it is clear that the early Grecks would have assented to the definition

 for a long time, for there is no proof that in Herodotus the word is "on the point of acruiring its literary sense," ${ }^{15}$ if by "literary seuse" is meant any sort of poem as distinguished from prose, and that Demosthenes could stiil apply the term to prose is abmolantly evident from his orations. ${ }^{16}$ It is not until 94 A. D. that we have actual proof that the word signified a poem in elegiacs. In an inscription of that date discovered near Rome ${ }^{\mathbf{1 7}}$ we find the word $\dot{\text { éncyóapata }}$ prefixed to elegiacs to distinguish them from the hexameters which precede. Even in the Palatine Anthology the word appears but twice ${ }^{13}$ and the two verse.s in question - both very late - merely prove that the authors understood epigrams to be poems; they are not in themselves positive evidence that the term included poems which were not inscribed.

Still, that the idea of epigram actnally had changed long before


[^1]included in their lists many verses that were never meant to appear on stones．Philochorus ${ }^{19}$ and Polemo，${ }^{20}$ indeed，seem to have started with the intention of gathering only inseriptions，but Polemo himself ad－ mitted at least one poem of a different sort ；${ }^{\mathbf{2 1}}$ and for his contempo－
 Athensus，for when he quotes $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \gamma \rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ it is probable that he quotes them by the titles which their authors gave them．In Hedylus，${ }^{22}$ Nicaenetus，${ }^{23}$ Posidippus ${ }^{24}$ we find the name given to convivial poems， and the meaning which the word had assumed in the time of Athenans himself is clear from many passages．${ }^{25}$ In short，among the Greeks epigram came to have an even broader meaning than it has with us．

Under these circumstances，if we should try to trace the history of the form of literature which the Greeks from age to age called epigram，we should be met by almost insurmountable difficulties，since neither the times or the causes of the changes in meaning can be determined with any degree of accuracy．Epigram，then，in this paper will have its later meaning，a short，complete elegiac poem．For if we kept the earliest meaning，we should have to exclude from our consideration all verses except those on stone．This would be most unfortunate，for we are searching for the origin of a particular kind of poem，not of a name，and it is the purpose of this investigation to learn whence the later epigram had its source rather than to discover what finally developed out of the early inscription．

Therefore，for purposes of literary history，it is absurd to deny to the following verses of Theognis ${ }^{26}$
the name which we give to these lines from the Anthology ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Toùs катадєí廿avtas } \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \phi a ́ o s ~ o v ̉ к є ́ т \iota ~ \theta \rho \eta \nu \hat{\omega},
\end{aligned}
$$

merely because the former date from the sixth or fifth century while the latter are some centuries younger．The same is the case with many other distichs，e．g．

[^2]or

All these we should call epigrams, if only we could be sure that they are complete in themselves.

By far the greater number of the extant early epigrams were inscribed so that it becomes necessary to examine all early metrical inscriptions, whether elegiac or not - all elegiacs, whether inscribed or not - that we may learn as accurately as possible what causes went to the making of the later epigram.

It is easy to distinguish the inscribed epigrams (if I may be allowed the apparent pleonasm) of the fifth century and earlier, since the forms of the letters testify to their age. It is harder to be sure of those belonging to the fourth century, ${ }^{30}$ but usually the style or the content comes to help out any doubtful epigraphical evidence. ${ }^{31}$ When, however, we come to the epigrams which are preserved only in MSS. the case is quite different. In the first place the works of the Greek lyric poets are extant in so fragmentary a condition that very often we are unable to say whether a given distich is a complete poem - i. e. an epigram - or a shred torn from a longer elegy. The difficulty will be obvious if we compare the following verses.

Soph. O. C. 1224-8.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \dot{\eta} \text { фйvat } \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \text { ä } \pi a \nu \tau a \nu \text { v- }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theog. 42:-42s



[^3] AP 9. 35!.

Поíךи тıs $\beta$ ıóтoo т тípy трíßò;



Upon examining these passages we can say without hesitation that the first is not an epigram and that the third is, - about the second we are quite at a loss. Sometimes the presence of a particle, as $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ or $\gamma$ áp, prevents our including such fragments among epigrams, for in the whole Anthology such a particle introduces only one epigram which is not manifestly corrupt or lacking its original begimning. ${ }^{32}$ In many cases, however, we are left in doubt, although, even so, it is only in name that they differ from true epigrams. If we should discover for a certainty that they were parts of longer elegies, they would still be of use for historical purposes, since the epigram itself is but a species of elegy.

Epigrams which have been handed down to us in MSS. seem, at first sight, to furnish three kinds of evidence by which we may determine their age. In some famous persons or events are celebrated; some are attributed to known poets; in others we have only the diction or the sentiment to help us. This testimony is not, however, so valuable as it at first appears. It is easy to see how uncertain the first test is, for it merely supplies us with a terminus post quem and, as a matter of fact, of the numerous epitaphs purporting to be those of men of the sixth century not one can with any probability be assigned to a date earlier than the third century. ${ }^{33}$ 'The second test is somewhat surer. Still, when an epigram attributed to Anacreon ${ }^{34}$ is found inscribed in letters considerably later than the age of that poet, and when poems in praise of the works of Myron ${ }^{35}$ are assigned to the same author, it is easy to see how blind is the trail we follow. More than this, recent discoveries have proved that certain epigrams of four verses ${ }^{36}$ preserved

[^4]to us in MSS. originally (i. e. in the fifth century) consisted of only two verses. The joinings had escaped the notice of critics for centuries and it is impossible to say how many more such pieces of patchwork there may be in the Anthology and elsewhere.

And yet to admit all this is not necessarily to believe with many scholars ${ }^{37}$ that no confidence is to be placed in those MSS. which assign epigrams to definite authors. For, though the tests of authorship which we can apply are most uncertain, still, unless we can bring forward at least highly probable arguments to the contrary, we are bound to give the benefit of the doubt to the only evidence we have. However weak may be the authority of the Palatine Anthology, it is not for us to make it actually testify against itself. Therefore Reitzenstcin seems to go too far when he says, ${ }^{38}$ "Es ist meines Erachtens unmethodisch bei dieser Art Pseudo-tradition auch nur den Beweis der Unechtheit zu verlangen."

There are two reasons why scholars incline to rejeet the testimony of the Anthology. In the first place they are reluetant to believe that the early poets wrote epigrams at all - a reluctance which has no evidence to support it. When this art was so generally cultivated were the famous poets the ones to neglect it? Because very few epigrams of these poets have come down to us, are we to reject even those that we have? This is to let individual conjecture weigh against probability and, indeed, against some actual evidence. In the second place many secm convinced that the scribes of the Anthology were possessed by a desire to assign every poem to too early a date. This is certainly, however, not the case, for the discovery of a number of epigrams inseribed on stones ${ }^{39}$ has proved that, even if they are not the work of the particular pocts whose names they bear in the Anthology, these names point at least to the approximate dates. In some cases epigrams are actually assigned to too late a period. For example 217 - found inscribed in letters of the fourth century - bears in the Anthology the name of Gaetulicus, a poet, indeed, little known to us, but, if we may judge from the other epigrams attributed to him, much later than the fourth century. Again 123, a poem certainly inseribed in the fifth

[^5]century, is assigned to no poet at all, so that we may conclude that fumons names were not sprimkled over the contents of the Anthology quite so profosely and indiscriminately as some would have us thimk. Indeed, in all probability, mistakes in anthorship come not so mueh from the perversity of scribes as from confusion and changes in arrangement. Finally, we onght always to bear in mind what gaps there are in our knowledge of Greek literature - gaps which are nowhere wider thian in our knowledge of the lyric poets. Under these conditions we ought to give the MSS. at least an unprejudiced hearing.

The third test - that of style - helps us less than one might at first expect. Since the approximate date of the inscribed epigrans can usually be determined with certainty, we should naturally look to them for the standard by which to judge the epigrams preserved only in MSS. But the standard they set is hardly adequate, for while the inscriptions are the work of men widely different in rank, education, and ability, many of the epigrams preserved in MSS. may be the work of famous poets and it would be unfair to deny to a great master the authorship of a given epigram merely because it exhibits more charming sentiment, more graceful diction, more brilliant genius than do the inscriptions composed by ordinary men. Some assistance is given us by the fact that certain formulas seem to leap into favor at certain periods, but any such evidence must be used with caution, for it may be that the original use of a phrase by a great poet gave that phrase its popularity with a later generation. ${ }^{40}$

For our purpose it will be sufficient to determine the age of the cpigrams without considering their authorship, but from what has been said above it is evident that even this is difficult enough. It is, therefore, with diffidence that I have approached the task, especially when I remember that certain epigrams which recent discoveries have shown to belong to the fifth century were pronounced late by very excellent scholars. ${ }^{41}$ If 217 and 224 had come down to us only in MSS. I venture to think there would be no lack of critics to assign them to a far

[^6]later date than the fourth century to which they actually belong. I have therefore tried to err on the side of accepting rather than of rejecting too much. In a case where, so far as internal evidence goes, an epigram might be late or early, the burden of proof rests with those who would assign it to a date later than that indieated by ancient tradition. It is not enough for them to show that it may be late ; they must also show that in all probability it is not early. I have not rejected without specific reasons any epigram which any ancient authority assigns to a date earlier than 300 в. с. ${ }^{42}$

Because of the greater number of hexameter inscriptions of the sisth century, it is necessary to devote more attention to them than to later hexameters. ${ }^{43}$ The great majority, consisting as they do of a single verse, do not exhibit that difference in feeling which distinguishes the later series of hexameters from the elegy.

In the begimning the Greeks used inscriptions merely as a means of informing the reader as briefly and easily as possible of the reason for setting up the stones on which they were inscribed. Iliad H. 1744 is familiar evidence that some sort of epitaph was usual. Doubtless in earliest times merely the names of the dedicator and the divinity or of the dead man and his father were cut upon the stones - a practice which survived in combination with the later custom. ('f. IGA

'Thus, although among the Greeks poetry precedes prose as a literary form, it must have been itself preceded by a ruder form of expression. The use of metre testifies to a certain degree of conscious art and therefore we cannot wonder if in the earliest epigrams which we possess some attempt is made to adorn the bare record of facts. 'The earliest Greek metrical inseriptions ever composed must have represented, not the first attempts to convey certain information in writing, but the first attempts to convey that information in artistic form. Without doubt long before the time from which our earliest inseriptions date poets had composed songs in memory of the deal and had celehrated offerings to the gods. 45 When the custom arose of inscribing such poems upon stone, those who could not or would not employ the services of professional poets, turned

> 42 See lly. 55 if.
> 43 See p. 8.

The vocahmary of thic pasage is echoed ly the early inswriptions. Cf. 8, s8. s?. 45 It is worth while to remember that onr carliest Attic inseription is metribal. See A. 11 is (1881), 1' 107.
poets themselves - they wrote indocti doctigue - and the results are what might be expectel. Hence the crmbeness of some of the verses, which is to be attributed to the partienlar anthor, not to antiquity in general. Afterward, when they had become more accustomed to composing and had more models before their eyes, even ordinary men with no greater inspiration than their predecessors acquired greater case of style and producer fower rude epigrams. Again the extrene simplicity of many verses is the result of the restraint, not of the lack of skill of the authors, since the Greek of early times felt that only simplicity could be in place in approaching his gods or his dead. So it happens that an epigram very probably written by Anacreon shows the same characteristics as the epigrams on the stones of the Dipylom. ${ }^{46}$

In the simplest epigrams a fow common and familiar words fill ont the metre, often merely forming a complete sentence of words that in the earliest times had been disconnected. So

adds nothing to the meaning of the earlier form $\Lambda v \sigma \in a \sin \mu \omega \nu o s$. In

only the words $\dot{\epsilon \pi i} \tau \dot{\tau} \mu \beta \omega$ are added to the primitive formula.
The same is the case with dedicatory inscriptions, e. g.



IGA 410 (= K 1098) perhaps shows most clearly the metrical inscription in the making.

It is the desire to conform to the fashion of the time that has ler the artist to this naïve expression of pricle in his work. Epithets of the gods, too, suggest a convenient method of filling out the verse, especially since the poet found them adapted to dactylic measure and ready to his hand. Examples are

'А $\begin{aligned} & \text { quváa } \pi o \lambda เ o u ́ \chi \omega[\iota \\ & \\ & 52\end{aligned}$

[^7]

```
Mot] \(\epsilon \delta \dot{\sigma} F \omega \nu\) Finaktı 54
```

From the very nature of the metre early elegiac inscriptions tend to be more diffuse than hexameters, but in them, too, the addition to the original bare formula may be only the name of the father or some word describing the gift (e. g. ä $\gamma \omega \lambda \mu a$ ) or some phrase which had come to be a

 of the many concise distichs in which every word except the epithet gives the reader some definite information.

In epigrams such as this the art appears in the adoption of a metricol form not, barring the epithet, in the choice of worls or the method of expressing the sentiment. Thus $s$ is a poem rough and without charm, written with more effort than success,

On the other hand 5 , though scarcely more ornate, shows a style somewhat easier and freer.
 $\Lambda[a \mu \pi i] \tau \grave{\omega}$ aíooi $\nu, \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ cimò $\pi a \tau \rho \omega i \eta s$.
Often we find the prayer, which was indeed always in the mind of a Greek, that the divinity may be graciously pleased to return an equivalent for the gift offered. ${ }^{56}$ Now it is good report that is desired -

now gain -
now we find expressed that craving to be remembered among men, 58 to which, indeed, the very existence of the stones bears witness.

Of a different sort are epp. 1, 2 , and 11.






It is not only that these verses are far more charming than any yet quoted, but we can see in them the begimings of that prineiple which characterizes the elegy in contrast to the epic. For the epic, with all its simplicity and directness of construction, depends for much of its effect on sonorous and splendid words, while the charm of the clegy is in familiar, even intimate sentiment never overshadowed ly mere magnificence of vocabulary. Even in these poems, bare and brief as they are, it is the personal feeling of the writer that is expresserl, and expressed with pathos all the more touching because of the simple means employed. In hexameters, even those which express grief, the writer is telling a story, he is objective ; in the elegiacs he is subjective. Cf. the following poems.




```
Ma\iota[\deltaòs á\pio]\phi0\iota\mu\epsiloń\nuo\iotao K[\lambdaєoí]rov тỗ Mє\nuє\sigmaаí\chi\muov
```



Passion as well as pathos is expressed in elegiacs and the author of 38 went so far as to threaten the enemies of the dedicator with human or divine anger, for the general sense is plain, though the last verse is mutilated.


In 8 the writer even comes forward in the first person.
These examples are enough to show that as early as the sixth eentury men entrusted to the stones their thoughts and griefs and desires. ${ }^{61}$ Compressed and restrained though most of the epigrams are, there is in them the personal element, the lyric quality, which comes out more freely in the work of the fourth century and later.

The first traces of poetic color come less, perhaps, from deliberate art than from almost unconscious imitation. In 6 -ồ Aávato|s daкpuló́ts
 well-known epic vocabulary shows the absence of originality in the writer. The words or phrases were ready to his hand as familiar to his readers as to himself, and he is a poet because he chose to use them in his verses, not because he made them or used them in any

[^8]way peculiarly his own. On the other hand, 11, 17, 23, 43, 46 differ from the verses just quoted in that the writers have somehow managed to make their own the familiar expressions. 43 will illustrate -



But in spite of the simplicity of these early epigrams, their variety is remarkable. The same ideas are expressed, the same words used, in a number of constructions, e. g. the name of the dead and of the divinity appear each in four cases, 62 that of the dedicator in three. Now it is the tomb or statue that speaks - now the buried man or the dedicator ; now the god, now the passer-by is addressed.

We possess a few early epigrams which show greater poetic power, poems where art and elegance seemed to the authors as important as utility. An example is the well-known 2.5 -
an epigram which approaches more nearly those of the next century,
 give to the whole poem a poetic coloring.

Appended to this paper are tables showing the elements which appear more or less constantly in the inscriptional epigrams. It is remarkable how definitely they speak, how consistently they keep the reason for their existence before our eyes. In the sepulchral inscriptions we find always the name of the dead (but it is in the verses themselves, never prticl metrum) ; ${ }^{63}$ always some word meaning "tomb," except in the

[^9]very carly epigram 1 , where, however, the lamnage indicates paimly that the verses were inscribed on a tomb. Almost always we timd some
 tions we may expect to find the name of the dedicator, ${ }^{64}$ the name of a divinity, a verb of dedication. Lu 24 the last clement is lacking, but it must have been sufficiently evident from the place where the stone was set up that it was a dedieatory offering.

For these reasons, then, we are justified in refusing to assign to this early period any cpisrams preserved in MLSS. only, which would require for the explanation or completion of their meaning any words on the stone extrie metrum. In the ease of dedicatory epigrams the information given in the verses may be supplemented by inferences drawn from the places where the stones were set up. So we sometimes miss the verb of dedieating, as in 24 . This is especially likely to be the case when the dedicatory offering takes the form of an honorary statue. ${ }^{65}$ The epigrams of the fifth century show that the verb of dedicating was regularly omitted in inseriptions for such statues.

Of the epigrams preserved only in MSS. the great majority were intended to be inscribed. We observe in them the same stages of development as in the inseriptions, although in neither case does fuller development necessarily indicate later date. ${ }^{66} 53$ is as severely simple as any verse carved on stone.

19 expresses with greater elaboration, but with no greater charm, the same sentiment as 11. The very fact that of the epigrams attributed to illustrious poets some are as brief and severe as the inscriptions, while others are more elaborate, may serve as an indication at least that they are correctly attributed. 49, Archilochus's unico fiore, nuto di due petali soli, could hardly be simpler.

[^10]


In 66 we have a bare formula clothed in poetic language.
Xáp

In 50 , as in 25, the poet has taken pleasure in merely exhibiting his sill in composing. 56 , which describes a painting or a relief, is important as an early example of a style of episram very common later. ${ }^{69}$ 59 and 60 are by far the most ornate of the early epigrams, but even here the ornament is applied to quite common and familiar phrases. 70 In $6: 3$ and 64 - the well-known Hipparchus epigrams - we may fancy we see the intluence of Solon or some other worthy, or we may agree with Professor Gildersleeve that the "moralizing is national. No Greek lets us off from that." 71

Nearly all conform to the requirements laid down above. ${ }^{72}$ In 49 and 5:5 we may perhaps miss tîde or some such word, but we miss it equally in 24 . The dedicatory inscriptions, because usually set up in temples or on sacred ground, are often less definite in the information they give. ${ }^{73}$ So in 65-as in 9.4 - the word of dedicating is lacking : no, one, however, could doubt that it was meant to be inscribed. 21 breaks entirely with the estahlished form, but its contents are such that even if we admit that it was actually inscribed, ${ }^{74}$ we camot expect to judge it by the same tests as the other epitaphs.



fs-is? are manifestly neither sepulchral nor dedicatory. They are not inseriptional at all. But the fact that they are not inscriptions is no

[^11]Cinis sum, cinis terra est, terra dea est ; Ergo ego mortua nom sum.
reason for rejecting them as epigrams in our sense of the worl. 'Ihey ought to be all the more carefully examined because they are few anl treasured as the seeds from which the later epigram sprang. No in 68-70 we have early examples of satiric epigram.
E. g. 69.

Reitzenstein ${ }^{75}$ claims that the later satiric eprigram grew orit of jests at banquets; it is at least equaliy probable that it merely continued such epigrams as these, which give no indication that they were convivial witticisms, thongh they may have been. 72 is one of the ancestors of the later narrative epigram.

There remains ep. it, a poem which I canot think was ever inscriberl in the sixth century, because it contains no word for "tomb" or any other indication that the verses are an epitaph.

For the same reason I cannot regard it as an early epideictic epitaph. Such poems, i. e. epigrams not meant to be inscribed themselves, but imitating inseriptions, are, I take it, of two sorts. They may be accurate imitations of real inseriptions - exercises, as it were, in writing epitaphs or dedications. In this ease they are composed merely to display the author's skill, which would be hardly worth displaying if he tripped in a matter so simple as an essential word or formula. ()r (the second possibility) the aim of such a poem may be, not the accurate imitation of an inscription, but the use in a general way of the inseriptional form as a vehicle for jest or satire - a parody rather than an imitation of an inseription. In this ease it is not the difference in form but the difference in content that marks the verses as epideictic. Moreover, so long as men considered primarily the utility of the epigram they were not likely to compose epideictic epigrams. For these reasons, if 74 was intended as an epitaph, real or imitative, it cannot belong to the sixth century, since it omits an element found in all actual epitaphs of that century and yet gives no further evidence that it is of an epideictie character. It is, however, quite possible that the poem has no reference to a tomb at all. If this is so, there is no reation
why it camot belong to an early date，since it wonld be a short elegy． That these existed in early times we cannot reasonably deny，especially when we reflect that many fragments of＇lheognis may be separate poems．${ }^{76}$

The vocabulary of the sixth century epigram is drawn largely from words of every day，but many words are borrowed from the epic，e．g．







There are also some words which occur not in epic but in lyric poetry．
 є $\dot{u} \kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma o \nu(50)$ ．

Sometimes，as it is quite natural，the words or sentiments of the elegy are echoed by the epigram．Cf．

4：סógav é $\chi \in u$ ì $\gamma$ a日áv．
1．）$\pi a \delta i \chi^{2} \rho \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ．

50）єúк入є́є $\sigma u \nu$ үє $\tau \epsilon a ́ \nu$

Solon 13． 4 סógav é $\chi \in L \nu$ ả $\gamma a \theta_{\eta} \nu$ ．




Even from the small number of seventh and sixth centmry epigrams which we possess we see that certain combinations of words had already crystallized into recognized formulas ${ }^{79}$－an indication of the great popularity of the inscribed poem．

The epigrams of the fifth century still contain nearly all the informa－ tion that the reader needs．In the epitaphs we have always the name of the dead，always some indication that the verses are inseribed，but actual synonyms for＂dead＂and for＂tomb＂are often missins，though
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta: i \delta^{\prime}(79)$ ，and less clcarly $75,83,86$ ．In some cases it wonld be difficult to tell from the contents alone whether the verses were intended for a tomb or for an honorary statne， 80 but the phraseology never leaves any doubt that they were inseribed somewhere．＇lhese consid－ erations make it，in my julgment，impossible to aceept as genmine Sim

[^12]101 and 11\%. In 101 there is not the slightest imbication that the verses are an inscription.

Cf. with this ep. $96(=$ Sim. 91$)$ where $\begin{aligned} j \\ \delta \rho \epsilon \\ \text { supplies just what is larking }\end{aligned}$ in Sim. 101.81


In Sim. 114 the name of the dead man does not appear at all and I camot feel with Mackail 82 that its place is adequately supplied by ó $\mu$ év.

[^13]In the dedicatory inscriptions of the fifth century we find always the name of the dedicator, always some verb of dedicating. The name of the divinity is, however, frequently omitted, since the site of the stone made it sufficiently clear to whom the offering was made. In the epigrams preserved in MSS. we sometimes miss the verb of dedieating also. In 158 ס $\dot{\omega} \rho o t \sigma \iota$ may be said to take its place, but in $144,167,169$, 170, 181 there is no such equivalent. These epigrams are all, however, quite as much honorary as dedicatory and conform to the type of such verses in the sixth century. ${ }^{84}$

Thus far the epigrams of the fifth century do not differ much from the earlier ones in the elements which they contain. There is, however, one important point of difference, - the name of the dead or of the dedicator is sometimes repeated eitra metrum. 85 This means that the epigram is no longer primarily a means of giving necessary information, but an ornament. Reitzenstein indeed says, ${ }^{86}$ "Dennoch ist noch bis iiber die Mitte des vierten Jahrhunderts hinaus das Epigramm keine anerkannte Form der Kunstdichtung," but he gives no proof of his statement. On the contrary, the moment the name was added extra metrum the epigram must have been regarded as a poem rather than as a poetic label. 81 shows this clearly. The names of the fallen warriors and the place where they fell were inscribed first. There was no need of anything further. A poem, however, was added giving the same iuformation in verse, becanse thus the monument was made more splendid. The names of the individual dead are necessarily omitted in these lines, but in private monuments, as stated above, ${ }^{87}$ the name is never omitted in the epigram. To be sure, occasionally information not contained in the epigram is added extra metrum, but it is never information essential to the interpretation of the epigram. ${ }^{88}$ An interesting example is 138 , where the name of the author was inscribed on the stone, - a peeuliarity which does not oceur again till the second century b. c.

But in spite of this difference between the epigrams of the fifth and those of the sixth century, we must not suppose that the poems of the two periods are sharply divided by an impassable barrier. The simple epigram continues to exist, e. g.

##  <br> 

| 84 See p. 17. (f. ep. 24. | $8578,79,84,135$. | 86 Ep. u. Sk., p. 121. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 87 p. 20. |  | 83 Cf. $84,135$. |

Such epigrams are common to all periods. Cf. K 139, which dates from the Roman period:

Thus 89 is hardly more elaborate than 17 , and $126,127,133,135$ are exceedingly simple. A new feature, however, is the tendency of poets to make the epigram longer by simply spreading out their material over a larger space.

In the earlier epigrams something, no matter how brief, was added to fill out the distich; now the amplifying process is often adopted.


 $\tau \eta ̄ \delta \epsilon$.

Epigrams of four verses begin to be very frequent, and the four verses are filled in various ways. Sometimes the material here is cliluted, as in the examples cited above. Cf. 93, where the author has spread over four verses a sentiment which is expressed with perfect ease in two verses by the author of 5 . Sometimes the material is not diluted but elaborated, e. g. 75, 90, 138. Sometimes new material is added, as in 77 b, c, 81, 86, 132.

But it is not only the vocabulary and the sentiments that have come down from the earlier time. The poetic color which distinguishes the work of this century appeared earlier in poems like 25, and the development of the epigram is thus unbroken, though without doubt the achievements and the glory of the Persian wars were an inspiration to the poets of that age. For with the beginning of the fifth century a new spirit was breathed into all Greece. To say that men suddenly woke to the realization that the individual was but a part of one great nation, and recognized that the liberty bequeathed to them by their fathers was a national possession, a кті̄ца $\epsilon$ 's à $\epsilon i$, in defence of which every man must cast aside personal considerations - this is to repeat what has been said again and again. But nowhere, except in the "Persians" of the warrior poet, can we trace more clearly the fierce valor, the burning patriotism, the indomitable pride than in the epigrams of the time. They form a little group set apart from othes epigrams, for in them we miss the individualistic tone which otherwise characterizes the epigram from the beginning to the end of its history. Before this men involuntarily and almost unconsciously had laid stress on the individual and his thoughts and feelings ; later they were to do
the same thing with more self-conseious art and set purpose; but the men of this age carried their disresard of the intividual as compared with the state even into that form of poetry which had been most individualistic. Even the sepulchral epigrams are no exception. When grief is expressed it is the grief of the state, rarely of individuals. ${ }^{91}$ It is sometimes said that Simonides brought in a new kind of epigram. ${ }^{92}$ Whether Simonides wrote all the epigrams attributed to him is a matter for dispute, but it camot be disputed that not Simonides but the spirit and purpose of the age furnished the material for those epigrams. Eloquence and grandeur of expression he or some other poet may have contributed, but the spirit was the spirit of all Greeee. ${ }^{93}$

The epigram at this period reached the height of its splentor. s3, one of the noblest that have come down to us, is indeed strikingly simple :
but this simplicity is of a different kind from that of 17 and 20 . With set purpose the pride of the dead is, as it were, imitated in the verses, and thas the very simplicity becomes the most perfect art. That this is characteristic of the fifth century is shown by the fact that two epigrams attributed to Simonides, originally consisting each of one simple distich, were lengthened by later writers, and thus lost much of their magnificence. ${ }^{94}$
${ }^{91}$ Cf. 77 e, $79,56,57,88$ with $1,2,6,7$.
92 On the cpigrams of Simonides, see Kaibel, Junghahn, Hiller, Hauvette, Bergk, Boas, Wilamowitz, Wilhelm (see n. 1).

93 Cf. Reitzenstein, p. 106: Der Versuch ans der Gesehiehte des Epigramms die entseheidende Persiondichkeit des Simonides zn streieden, indem man ihm mur lises, was der diuren mud diorfigen Form aus dem sochsten dahrhundert vou mamendosen Privatlenten gesetzten lnsehriften entepribht, weist die ibberaschende Forthildung des Epigramms und die Bidung der nemen anf Jahthonderte hinans wiksamen Formun mur micht dem grossen Dichter, welehen hierfiir das Altertum kemat, sondern namenlosen, wenig jingeren Zeitgenossen desselben za.
(f. Wilamowita (fintt. Na,lir.), 1897, p. 320: Der damals ziemlich allerorten in Hedlas fir die metrischen Aufsehriften geltemde Stil verdient das hohe Lob, dias bisthe der Preson des Simonides sezollt wark.

9483 and 125. To these Wilhelm (IOAI 2, IP. 221 ff .) would add (with great proh. ahility) 99 and 193, and (less likely) 101, 105, 109. See also Wilammeitz (Goett. Nawhr., 1897, 1p. 306 ff ). Boas (p. 109) has shown that the later addition to 83 was imitated from 99, and the spurions part of 90 from the gemane lines of 83 . The motive which cansed the lengthening of 83 and 125 was evilently the desire to give in the epistan certain lefinite and impurtant facts which were often fome in inseriptions. The alditions (?) in 99 and 193 conld be acemuted for in the same way, hut such is not the case with 101, 105, 109, where the last verses do not add facts at all.

More ornate are $77 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, 81,86,132,18 \mathrm{~s}$.
In this century tragedy and rhetoric were coming more and more to the front, and we can begin to trace their inlluence in the engram. This appears at first more in general sentiment and style of composition than in particular words or phrases. The tragic poets have hat their
 - an epigram quite untonched by this influence and carrying on the somewhat rude simplicity together with the phraseology of earlier inscriptions. Tragedy and rhetoric alike have heped to give us the

 $\xi a v \tau^{\prime}$ aperív. 96 'That this inflnence should appear first in epitaphs is natural enongh, since the emotions of pity, grief, and affection are capable of more poetic treatment than the somewhat cool gratitude of the dedicatory inseriptions. However, in a few of the dedications preserved in MSS. we find poetic touches. ${ }^{97}$

In the inscriptions of this century the same formulas appear repeatedly, and not only those that are simple and ahmost essential, but often those that are more claborate and origimal. 98

The epigrams which are preserved in MSS. show the same tentencies as the inseriptions. A few are very simple. So --

## 153. Taût' àmò $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ Míjo $\delta \omega \nu$ raûtal $\Delta \iota o \delta \dot{\rho} \rho o v$ <br> 

Some are diluted, e.g. 175.100 Some are made more ornate, e.g. 145.101 In some, as in 148, now material is added. ${ }^{102}$ Elaborate and

[^14]97 E. g. 145, 148, 164.
99 Cf. $111,149,150$.
$101 \mathrm{Cf} .95,102,103,105,108,112,152$.

98 sere Table III.
100 ('f. 109, 157, 168.
102 Cf. $102,164,167,169$.
sometimes artificial dietion, which becomes more and more common, may add beauty to the verses, but at times the imitator falls far short of the splendor of his master, and produces a poem mediocre in comparison with his noble model. Cf. for example 103 and 104:
$\mu 0 \hat{\rho} \rho a, \pi о \lambda \dot{\rho} \rho \rho \eta \nu o v$ татрíoa $\dot{\rho} v o \mu \dot{\epsilon} v o v s$,

The two epigrams employ the same figures and almost the same phraseology, both show the strong influence of rhetoric; and yet the superiority of the former is as remarkable as it is apparent. ${ }^{103}$ Cf. also 81 and 109, which show that it is one thing to ornament and elaborate a given theme and quite another to dilute it.

So in 102 and 103 rhetoric has lent a certain grandeur to the rerses ; in 106 the fine lines are marred by the almost frigid beginning. In $102,103,148$ the elaboration is vivid and virile, in 105 it is labored if not actually inept.

In 158 , as in 125 , by the device of directly addressing the dedicator a certain life and eloquence is given to the epigram, although the words themselves are plain enongh.

The noble simplicity of 83 is rivalled by the proud humility of 94 :

There are also epigrams which approach more nearly the style of many verses of the fourth century, where the minspired author has tried to make up for lis limitations by filling with added details the space he could not fill with poetic charm and color, e. g.





So 171 and 17.t, in spite of a few happy touches, for the most part drag hopelessly.

In epigrams earlier than 300 we have almost nothing of that halfreverential, half-intimate affection for nature which is part of the charm of so much of the later work. We find in the fifth century but one metaphor drawn from nature, - that of the harvest of war ( 81 ). When special localities are mentioned, now and then a picturespue

 which frankly enlarges on the beauty of nature, and 164 first expresses the simple confidence of man in natural forces :


 $\lambda \iota \kappa \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \pi \epsilon \pi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \kappa а \rho \pi \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \sigma \tau a \chi \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$.

The general tone of this epigram is so strikingly like that of many later verses $\mathbf{1 0 4}^{04}$ that it is tempting to assign it to the third century, especially as even the fourth century offers no parallels to it. There is in it, however, nothing which we can fairly say could not have been written in the fifth century, and Jebb ${ }^{105}$ decides that there is nothing to prevent its having been written by Bacchylides himself.

We possess, too, many epigrams attributed to the fifth century which were never meant to be inscribed. These are of various sorts. The



but there are no good grounds for rejecting it, especially when we remember that Lasus is said to have indulged in a similar tour de force in the shape of a poem which did not contain the letter $\sigma .{ }^{\mathbf{1 0 6}}$

189 is the first epitaph that we have a right to call epideictie :



Anyone can see that this was not meant to be inscribed, but it imitates an inscription so far as the form is concerned. ()f the same character is 190, which obviously parodies 189. Such poems could be composed when the sepulchral epigram was considered an ornament
rather than a necessity, and not before. Then poets began to cultivate this branch of literature as they would any other. We are not foreed to the conclusion that such poems were always " $\pi$ aiy $\boldsymbol{y}$ a beim Ge-
 inscription ; the epigram quoted by Reitzenstein (Ep. u. Sk., p. 99) is obviously a aniznov which has usurped the form of an inseription, not an inseription perverted into a $\pi a i \gamma n o v$. Short elegies came finally to be called epigrams, not because raívia usually imitated inseriptions, nor because most inscribed verses were elegiae (for in the fourth century other metres again came to the front), but because by far the greater number of short elegies were actually inseribed - which is a very different matter. I am unable to see why Reitzenstein holds ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 8}}$ that epideictic epigrams could not be composed till inscriptions were collected in book form. Surely it is conceivable that verses on stone pleased men and suggested imitation just as readily as did verses written on parehment; nor did the love of parody make its first appearance in the fourth century.

The poems just diseussed ( 159,190 ) show by their content, not by their form, that they are epideictic. They conform, therefore, to the prineiple laid down above. ${ }^{109}$ On the other hand, 191 and 192, which differ from actual epitaphs only in form, i. e. by the omission of any indication that the verses were inseribed, cannot have been intended by the authors even as imitative inscriptions. For surely if they had wished to imitate the established form of an epitaph they could have done it more eleverly than this! 'The influence of inscriptions is without doubt to be seen in these verses, but epitaphs, whether real or epideictic, they are not.

Among these epigrams I have ventured to insert some fragments (?) of Theognis. 110 Together with $196-205$, they include almost every kind of epigram, - gnomic, satiric, epideictic, erotic, convivial, narrative. 'I'o exclude such poems from the list of early epigrams in order to make the history of Greek literature conform to a seheme which we have arbitrarily and, it may very well be, falsely mapped out, appears to me to be arguing in a circle.
'The diction of fifth-century epigrans is largely epic. The following epic words are but a small part of those which occur :

[^15]|  |  | $\pi \% \lambda \nu \mu \eta \lambda \omega$（ $1: 36)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iлтоо́Зото⿱（7！） | тари́котть（9：3） | тирофо́роєо（10．8） |
| $\phi \theta \backslash \mu \in \nu \downarrow \nu$（ $7!, 97$, etc．） |  |  |
|  |  | алффии́тои（1：3s） |

Indeed，many of the poets might take as their motto the words of a late epigrammatist ：iv＇$\epsilon i \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \kappa и \theta^{\prime}$＇о $о \eta \rho o \nu .111$（f．especially 145，



A curious circumstance at this time is the dearth of epithets of the gods．Against the earlier

|  | кратєро́фо $\omega \nu$（39） | арүиро́тoъos（\％内） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi о \nu \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \nu$（ 23 ） | $\chi$ ии́бaıүıs（47） |  |
| $\pi о \lambda \iota \dot{\eta} \chi^{\circ \rho}(: 4)$ | o३рьнота́трך（47） | фıлаіратоs（74） |
|  | фı入ootéфavos（ijt） |  |


 anced by the frequency with which we find epithets applied to $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i$ ， raia，and similar words．In the sixth century we find only eivpíरopos （51）．In the fifth century the following occur ：

| à $\mu$ ¢́putos（138） | cipúzopos（102， 139 | ， 1 \％，（1．8） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | inmóßotos（79） | $\pi o \lambda v ́ \mu \eta$ оos（136） |
| єv̋oogos（134） | ка入入íхороя（7．，138） | $\pi o \lambda \dot{\rho} \rho \rho \eta \nu o s$（104） |
| $\epsilon$ ¢ $v$ ¢ $\rho$ os（ $\uparrow 3$ ） |  | тирофо́gos（108） |

Some phrases recall the elegy．Cf．

94．$\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \sigma \iota \iota \epsilon ө \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota=$ Theog． 1262 and 1239 b（cf． 194 and 380；So－ lon 4.6 and 13．12）
 עо́̀теs


A few passages directly recall the tragic poets．With the figure in



 These few passages are the forerunners of many in the fourth century which show the profound influence of tragedy on the epigram．

[^16]Although the tone of the epigrams of the fifth century often differs from that of the earlier work, the difference between the poems of the fifth and of the fourth centuries is even more marked. In the fifth century, not only during the Persian wars, but even at the end of the century, ${ }^{114}$ patriotism and the good of the state were foremost in men's minds. But with the fourth century the individualistic tendency returned, and it appears in other fields besides the epigram. A striking testimony to the passing of the great period in Greek national life is the sudden drop in tone of the epigrams of the fourth century. The tendency to individualism was fostered by philosophy, particularly by the Peripatetic school, and flattered by rhetoric, but the individual was as yet a somewhat unsatisfactory and uninspiring subject, and the details with which the verses now begin to be loaded are for the most part dry facts expressed in curt or rambling style. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1 5}}$ A man's country, his age, his trade or profession, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 1 6}}$ his whole genealogical tree, ${ }^{117}$ - these are not the touching and intimate details which give to the later epigram its charm, - details that move our sympathy for the dead as for a friend, ${ }^{118}$ and make us feel the gracions, kindly presence of the gols. ${ }^{119}$

While the earlier epigrams select for glorification valor in arms or success in the great games, now we find men praised also for learning and for excellence in the fine arts, - qualities which belong to men as individuals, not as members of a state. In the fifth century it was the glory of a noble death that appealed to the poet; in the fourth, as in the sixth, it was the sadness that affected him -again a change that corresponds with the shifting of interest from the state to the individual. But though this feeling is expressed in the sixth century, it is expressed with restraint, sometimes harlly more than hinted; in the fourth century it is revealed more freely. More and more men brooded over the idea of death and deptored the power of envious fate which conld snatch men from the pleasures and opportunities of life. In almost

[^17]119 (f. any of the dedicatory epigrams of this century with Alackail, §. 2. 9-12.



 Túx $\eta$ was a dread and too powerful goddess. $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ 'I'o these detached phrases it may be worth while to add one complete poem:

In only one epitaph of this century (245) is death a rest from toil -



Yet as it was with the sixth and fifth centuries, so we find it with the fourth. The general sentiment and point of view may change, but the same varieties of epigram are handed on from age to age. Now, as always, the short and simple epigram holds its own :

Others just as brief show greater elaboration :

The subject-matter is diluted far more than in the fifth century. Cf. 221, 235, 265, 273.

The influence of rhetoric is very apparent. It appears most often, as in the fifth century, in the use of antithesis; but this figure, which lends the earlier poems grandeur and loftiness, now becomes too often frigid and lifeless. The phrases $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu . . . \psi v \chi i ̀ \delta \epsilon \epsilon, ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ s$ äávato have become catch phrases, appearing in even the shortest epigrams :



[^18]


$22 \boldsymbol{T}$ is an excellent example to show the change in taste since the fifth century; the verses are half rhetoric, half jest, wholly frigid :

$\phi \omega \tau o ̀ s[\delta \dot{\epsilon}] \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon$ סıкаıoтátov.
The fact that the name is sometimes omitted altogether $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ from the epigram shows that the epigram has come by this time to be regarded as pure ornament. Unfortunately it is an ornament which does not always adorn, and at times differs from the most prosaic prose only by being metrical. So, for example, 2 it a-f are verses of an unbroken mediocrity : it would be difìcult to find a group of epigrams which displays less charm and eloquence.

That the utility of the epigram had fallen into the background is well shown by 222 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a) } \Psi \imath \chi \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi p o \lambda \iota \pi o \hat{\iota} \sigma a \text { тò } \sigma o ̀ \nu[\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota \in \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have tro complete epigrams expressing the same ideas in almost the same language. ${ }^{125}$ The poet, or rather versifier, wished to exhibit his skill. If we find such exercises actually inscribed. it is no proof of spuriousness that two epigrams, which seem to be intended for the same tombstone, should be ascribed to Plato. ${ }^{126}$

Numerous epigrams of this century show that the authors had failed to attain the grandeur of the earlier masters, and had not as ret acquired that polished elegance which is the charm of much of the Alexandrian work. But among many empty and affected verses a few stand out superior to their surroundings.
$2: 33$ has something of the early dignity:

GRAGG. - THE GREEK EPIGRAM BEFORE $3(0)$ B. (. $\because, \dot{\prime}$
217 has a grave beanty of its own, thongh it camot rival Sim. :2, which it recalls.
217. ' $\Omega$ Xpóve. $\pi$ a



Another epigram, which, like that just quoted, shows how these later 1 0ets adaptell to their own times the earlier sentiments, is $2: 37$ :





Splendid severity had passed away, and in its place were coming charm and grace.

Although the name of the dead is oceasionally omitted from the epigram, ${ }^{129}$ most epigrams give the reader all necessary details. The name of the dedicator and the verb of dedicating are always present in dedicatory inscriptions; in epitaphs, while a synonym for "lead" or "tomb" may be lacking (as in the fifth century), the fact that the
 etc. In a very few cases there is no indication that the verses were inscribed, - a peculiarity which appears now in sepulchral inseriptions for the first time ; e. g.

##  

In this century we find inseriptions written neither in elegiaes nor hexameters, but in irregular combinations of hexameters and pentameters. These verses bear witness to the growing passion for novelty, but otherwise they add nothing to our knowledge of the epigram, and are interesting chiefly because the commonplace authors of some of them have evidently attempted to imitate earlier and better poems. ${ }^{131}$

The epigrams preserved in MSS. differ little from the inseriptions, though among them there are a greater number that are excellent. Almost all show the signs of the times. 'The epitaph in honor of Plato shows a common formula shightly morlified :


##  

The writers are usually self-conscious, fond of rhetoric, given to praising learning as readily as valor. Whereas in early days one verse or a few words sufficed for the name of the artist, now an eutire epigram is devoted to his name and his boasts; e. g. $312 .{ }^{132}$ 254, although different from the rest in contents, is not alien to the taste of this century, whether we regard it as a real or an imitated epitaph :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi a \tau \rho i s \mathrm{X} a \lambda \kappa \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \cdot \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \text { бофī } .
\end{aligned}
$$

By far the most original epigram in our collection is 313:

The expression 'E $\lambda \lambda a \dot{\delta} o s$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ s$ seems to some critics too rhetorical for the fourth century. That is a question that can hardly be answered with any degrce of certainty, but to me it seems not inconsistent with the style of Euripides himself. At any rate, the poem makes use of formulas which are characteristic of this period, and I am muwilling to reject it without further arguments against its genuineness.

There remain the epigrams attributed to Plato, with regard to which I have been and still am doubtful. Fava ${ }^{133}$ denies that any of them belong to Plato or to the fourth century at all. Bergk accepts only : 22 ? It seems to me, however, that we have no right to reject them all because some are surely or very probably late. In 318 and 319 we find early formulas combined with the freedom of expression which lelongs to the fourth century. We camot reject them on the ground that they are written for one and the same stone, since we know that two such epigrams might actually be inscribed side by side. ${ }^{134}$ As for the rest that I have inchuded, they may, of course, be late. ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3 5}}$ Still it is at least probable that in the fourth century there were prototypes of the epigrams so frequent in the third, ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3 6}}$ and every one, I suppose, would be willing to date these epigrams assigned to Plato as early as

[^19]the third century. The spirit of 315 and 316 , indeed, is not milike that of $21: 3-215$, verses of 'Theognis. 321 and $: 322$ are among the most famons epigrams which have come down to ns, and would not shame the philosopher himself:

##  

In the fourth century we find the following epithets of the gods :
акєрбєко́даs (297) тауєлібкотоs (217) трєтоує́дєєє (271)


'The following epithets are applied to a country or city :

àviкクтоs (259)
aùtóvopos (304)
є $\operatorname{co\lambda } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta$ os (269)
$\eta_{\eta} \dot{u}^{\prime} \theta_{\operatorname{\epsilon os}}(234)$

$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ s(217,243,259) \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i к \lambda v g t o s(265)$
$\kappa \lambda \nu \tau o ́ s(318)$

As to the diction of the fourth century, epic words are gradually disappearing, displaced largely by the language of tragedy. This is clear from the following parallel passages. ${ }^{137}$ I have tried to include only such words or phrases as are found solely or chiefly or first in the tragic poets.



Soph. fr. 657. roùs è̀yeveîs yìp кìza-


 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o u ̀ s ~ d i \epsilon i$.
 фадov.
 бкотє $\delta а і \mu \omega \nu$.


230．конотифウ̀s Өí入аноs．



 （cf．s10）．
Eur．Suppl．102？．Фefaєфoveias $\operatorname{\theta a\lambda á-~}$ mous．


 ßiov $\pi \epsilon \rho a ̈ q$ ．

 ӓкдаитоข．

The following rare words are also found in tragedy：
єкरєє́єтаи（274）in Eur．Andr．12s ；Bacch． 1155.

aßpooíautos（：311）in Aesch．Pers． 41.
$\pi 0 \theta_{t i v} s$, a word very frequent in epigroms of the fourth century，is a favorite of the tragic poets，especially Euripides．${ }^{140}$
＇The following words are änag $\lambda \in \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu a$ ：

| ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \sigma \sigma a(112)$ | Eєкато́бтороя（309） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aivozins（74） |  | $\pi a ́ v \delta \epsilon к т о s(2: 37)$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\beta$ Buvxatтíeıs（108） | $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \gamma \chi \eta s$（104） |  |

Finally I add a list of parallel passages from the early epigrams to show how well known these verses must have been，whether on stone or in MSS．＇，and how freely they were imitated．

 pívov．
 $\kappa \hat{\kappa} \nu \% s$.

 1116 ）．


79．iкєт｀äХоs $\phi \theta_{1 \mu \text { ivon．}}$

 pos èvтi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \sigma t o b . \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} . .$. тоіт’ «лпѐчєцє ти́хך．









[^20]| $81$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2is. $\mu$ |  |
| ). |  §oṽa (cf. Híi). |
|  <br>  $\pi \rho о \lambda \iota \pi \dot{\omega} \nu$. | S IV ). Xaipetє $\delta^{\prime}$ oi $\pi$ apoórtes, $\dot{\epsilon}[\gamma] \dot{\omega} \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \quad \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \pi a \tau p i \delta a \dot{\epsilon} \cdot \theta i i \delta \epsilon$ |
| $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \omega \prime \pi \rho о$ МараӨิิ̀и. |  'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \pi \nu \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \tau$ cís. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  тє́риия нодо́vта. |  |
|  |  |
| 10. |  |
| 217. Bot $\omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ к $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu o i ̂ s ~ \theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu$ è $\nu$ батє́סoss. | îraı $\delta^{\prime}$ द̀ $\nu$ клєıขoîs Өєтта入ıкoîs $\pi \epsilon$ íots. |
| 243. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| 2 | . $\kappa$ |
| 177. |  |
|  |  |
| 255. каї фӨóvov ov |  |
| Cf. 103 and 104 (see p. 26) ; 81 and 109 ; and see Table III. <br> The facts, then, which are clear from this investigation are briefly as follows: <br> The epigrams of the sixth century are characterized by a severe simplicity, which, however, cannot quite conceal the personal tone. Simple devices are usually employed to fill out the metre, though occasionally we find traces of more elaborate poetic treatment. In this century nothing except the name of the artist or stonecutter is inscribed on the stone extra metrum, and the verses themselves, with the exception of those meant for honorary statues, must indicate that they are inscriptions. We find no purely imitative inscriptions, but epigrams of satire or lament have already made their appearance. The chief influence from other literature is from the epic. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The fifth century is the period when splendor of expression is combined with simplicity and nobility of thonght. Now the individual sinks out of prominence for a time. The name of the dead or of the dedicator may be repeated and certain other information added extra metrum, but the verses, still with the exception of epigrams for honorary statues, must indicate that they were inscribed. Dedicatory inscriptions often omit the name of the divinity. We find for the first time epideictic epitaphs and dedications; satiric, convivial, gnomic, and love epigrams are developing rapidly. The chicf literary influence is still that of the epie, though we begin to see the effect of tragedy and rhetoric.

The work of the fourth century is marked by individualism and by a drop in tone. 'The great majority of the epigrams are commonplace, loaded with details, and ntterly without charm. In the best work grace has taken the place of splendor, and we have an intimation of what the later epigram is to be. For the first time now art and letters are mentioned as titles to fame. The purely ornamental character of the inseribed epigram is shown by the fact that the name is sometimes omitted in the verses, which often give no indication that they were inscribed. In this century epic influence is dying out, and it is replaced by the influence of tragedy and rhetoric.

The frequent repetition of formulas and the imitation of one epigram by another show that the epigram was early considered a distinet branch of literature. Finally we have found examples of practically every variety of epigram in the early period. There is therefore no reason to suppose that the epideictic epigram of the third century sprang into being full-grown, as it were, nor that it developed from a perverted use of inseriptions.

The main part of this study ends here, but it may not be out of place to add a few remarks on the metre and the dialect of the epigrams.

The metre of inscriptions is discussed fully by Allen, and there is little to add to his investigations, since the epigrams preserved in IISS. observe the same principles as the inseriptions. I add, however, a few instances of the occurrence of the more anusual phenomena. ${ }^{141}$

Cotesure in the third foot of the hexameter is lacking in 1 sin .1 (MS.) and 276 a. 1 .

Elisim in the third foot of the hexameter after the caesura nceurs in 5.3 .1 and $1: 3 \% .3$ (Allen, p. 50 , cites two examples, K 43 ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{III}$ ) and Allen XCVII 20 (S III)).

[^21]syonduic lines are 129.1, 171.3 (Ms.), 210.3 (ML.), 275. 1, 301. 1 (.Ms.).

Ifiatu: between the cola of the pentameter occurs in 103.2 (MLS.), 127.2 (Allen, p. 63, cites but one example, Allen XXVI (S ${ }^{\prime}$ )).

Syllatue anceps ends the first colon of the pentameter in 45. 2, 67. 1 (MS.), $127.2,190.2$ (MS.) (Allen, p. $6: 3$, cites but one example, $K \geq 1$ $=233 \mathrm{~b} .2)$.
A momosylluble ends the pentameter in 67.4 (MS.), 160 a .2 (MLS.), $267.2,2(1): 2$ (Allen, p. 65, cites but one example, K 519$)$.

I append also a table showing the structure of the distich.
Insc. Ms. $\overbrace{\text { Insc. Ms. Insc. Ms. Insc. Ms. Insc. Ms. }}^{\text {M }}$


If we reduce the above figures to per cents, we get the following table :

|  | Per cent of Distichs where there is a Pause between Hexameter and Pentameter. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Seventh and sixth centuries | $56{ }_{4}^{1}$ | $21 \frac{1}{13}$ |
| Fifth century | $45{ }_{1}^{5} 1$ | $23^{36} 6^{3}$ |
| Fourth century | $41_{1} \frac{1}{17}$ | $291 \frac{1}{6}$ |

It is evident that the tendency to separate the verses is much greater in the inscriptions than in the poems preserved in MSS. only. This tendency, however, grows steadily less in the case of inscriptions, while it increases slightly in the case of the epigrams preserved only in MSS.

The following table shows the structure of epigrams of two distichs:

|  | Pause in Sense between Distichs |  | Pause in v. 2, not between Distichs. Insc. Ms. | Pause in v. 3, not between Distichs. Insc. Ms. | Pause after v. 3. rot bet ween Distichs. Insc. Ms. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Pause. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seventh and |  | 6 |  | 1 | 2 |  | $1=10$ |
| Fifth century | 3 | 18 | 1 | 23 | 11 |  | $8=5$ |
| Fourth century |  | 13 |  | 42 | 2 | 11 | $=5$ |

The evidence here is somewhat scanty, but it is surprising that there
is a greater tendency to separate the distichs sharply in the epigrams preserved in MLSS. than in the inscriptions.
'I'he epigrains composed of more than two distichs are so few that it is not worth while to examine their structure.

The dialect of the epigram has long been a subject for discussion, and a somewhat unsatisfactory subject, since the only sure testimony is that of the inseriptions, and the material, except possibly for the Attic epigram, is extremely meagre. Among the various views which seholars have held, Fick and v. Mess represent the two extremes. Fick 142 claims that we find in inseriptions only such forms as belong to the language of the author or of those for whom the verses were composed. Kirehhoff 143 agrees with him so far as the Attic episram is concerned. v. Mess, ${ }^{144}$ on the other hand, holds that forms drawn from varions dialects may, for different reasons, appear in the same epigram. Other seholars have taken various positions between these two extremes. Wilamowitz 145 thinks that the dialect is either epichorie or "die internationale Form des elegisch-epischen Dialeetes." Wagner ${ }^{\mathbf{1 4 6}}$ admits the appearance of words of various dialects in one epigram, and thinks it is explained by the adoption of words or phrases taken bodily from different styles of literature and by the fact that the author and those for whom the poem was composed spoke different dialects. He holds also that when an author wished to write in a dialect not his own, he was satisfied to adopt only the more striking features of that dialect. Reitzenstein $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ contents himself with the following general statement: "Der Dialect ist in wesentliehen epichorisch; wenn der Tote im Ausland begraben ist, der seiner Heimat. Doch hat die Einwirkung des Epos oder der Lyrik ab und an auch die dialektische Form beeinflusst." 148

Let us first consider the epigrams found in Attica. The following forms are not Attic:

Seventil and Sixth Centuries.

бaoфpo $\begin{gathered}\text { úvns (3) }\end{gathered}$
«îơiך (5)
$\pi a \tau \rho \omega i \eta s$ ( 5 ) 'Aióao (9)


[^22]|  | avopéà（12） | ＇A ${ }^{\text {áva }}$（3．1） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | фратроби́vat（：3） |
|  | $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}(: 3)$ |  |

In this list there are no Ionic words which are not also epic．That ＇A $\theta$ jon is epic，not Ionic，has been shown by v．Mess，${ }^{140}$ since it appears only in epic poets，and in one inscription from Naxos，${ }^{150}$ probably in hexameter．Except in two words，which will be diseussed a little later， a purum is not represented by $\eta$ ．All the epic forms are adopted bod－ ily from the epic，and in every case where they appar the Attic form would be metrically impossible in that position in the verse．These same words are found in the Attic form in other epigrams．Cf．кoúpry


That the Doric forms are taken from lyrie poets and show their in－ fluence on the vocabulary of poetry is generally admitted．${ }^{151}$＇Ihey are always side By side with Attic forms，so that they cannot be regarded as evidence of the native region of the author．${ }^{\mathbf{1 5 2}}$ In order to explain the form poaaiv，a form found elsewhere only in Pindar，v．Mess ${ }^{153}$
 and thus crept into the inscriptions of the adjoining country．It is， however，unlikely that the contracted form $\mu \in \nu a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ was common in Boeotia in the sixth century，${ }^{\mathbf{1 5 4}}$ and it is more probable that we have in ppariv another evidence of the influence of lyrie poetry，unless， indeed，it may be also Attic．

## Fifth Century．

$\dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon s(75)$
койроь（75）
$\xi \in i v^{\prime}(83)$
＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \eta$（ 117 ）
${ }^{*}$ Apeos（117）
$\phi i \lambda o \xi \in \nu i \eta s(117)$

єipүá⿱㇒木aтo（123）
Kvoんviŋ́тas（123）

Пи Аа о́р $\eta$（79）
इàvßpiav（79）
ßаруа́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota$（\＄1）
immoav́vat（75）
＇Eス入ávey（75）
ток＇（8：）
＇̀vaiopes（83）

We find here Ionic，or rather epic，forms as in the preceding centu－ ries．Again two words show－$\imath \eta$ ．If such forms were used by natives of Attica，the statement made above，that epie forms were used only when the Attic form was metrically impossible，is incorreet．But if

[^23]we examine the epigrams in which these words appear (5, 117), we see that both were written in honor of foreigners. Therefore the forms in $-\imath \eta$ may be really Ionic and not epic. Other inscriptions which are certainly in honor of foreigners are 79 and $83 . \quad 79$ is, indeed, composed in the Attic dialect because it was the Athenian state which set up the stone, and the official language would naturally be used ; but 83 is in the dialect of the Corinthians who lay beneath the stone. From these facts we may reasonably conclude that 5 and 17 were also composed in the dialects of the dead, and we may still hold that Attic forms are replaced by epic only for metrical convenience. This position is
 $\xi \in \nu i a \nu(16)$, ä $\gamma \rho a s$ (23), Biau (76), $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu(81), \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{a} s(80)$, all of which have retained the Attic form, although at least two of them appear in the epic as frequently as $\pi a \tau \rho \omega i n s$ and aiooinv.

'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \eta t$ (117) is explained by v. Mess in the same way as 'A $\theta$ num (see above), but here the case is somewhat different, since the Attic form 'A Anvaia would have suited the metre equally well. Therefore, while 'A $\begin{aligned} & \prime \\ & \eta\end{aligned} \boldsymbol{y}$ might appear in an inscription in Attic, 'A $\theta \eta v a i \neq$, in my opinion, could not. ${ }^{155}$

Since only words which appear in epic or lyric poetry are found in the epigrams in epic or Doric forms, it seems fair to conclucle that words not found in epic or lyric poetry could not be given epic or Doric forms merely to add a poetic tone to the verses. Hence $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu}$ ' (1) is the only possible form for that epigram, and in the much-discussed ep. $171,{ }^{156}$ тра́умаб، must have been the form which appeared on the stone, if, as we now suppose, $\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ was a word not used in the epic.

There remains a difficulty which no one has as yet been able to solve, - the form חuөayóp $\quad$ (79). The iuscription, as was to be expected, is almost wholly Attic, even to the genitive חu $\begin{aligned} & \text { arópov which }\end{aligned}$ precedes the verses. The Doric form $\Sigma a \lambda \nu \beta p i a \nu$ is easily explained, since the name of a Doric town would be likely to remain unchanged in any surroundings. Of the form $\Pi v$ bayóp $\quad$ v. Mess writes as follows : $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ "Formam vero חu $\theta$ ayópp in carmine nostro non ex Iarle vulgari sed ex poetica moris epici imitatione ortam esse apparet, praesertim cum in titulo suprascripto eiusdem nominis forma Attica, חv $\begin{aligned} & \text { ayópov, legere- }\end{aligned}$ tur. Forma пuvayópys fortasse inde explicatur, quod eis fere temporibus

[^24]Pythagorae philosophi nomen in poesi dactylica et philosophornm et poetarum saepissime laudabatur." His first suggested explanation camot be correct if we have been right in concluding that epic forms were borrowed or transferred, not imitated ; but his second suggestion may be the answer to the question. The genitive חuvaripou may be due to the fact that it was not in the original copy given to the stonecutter, who supplied it in his native Attic.
 vaitas. v. Mess keeps the form as inscribed on the stone and calls it Dorico-epic. ${ }^{168}$ I cannot think that the stonecutter purposely "epicized" his own name, especially as he kept the ending -ras. It is more probable that $\eta$ is a mistake for $a$.

In the fifth century, too, we find Doric forms. 'EגAávov (75) is not preserved on the stone, but appears in the MS., which has, however, the incorrect form imпooívpı. On $\beta$ apvá $\mu$ evor see v. Mess, ${ }^{159}$ who cites two early inscriptions. ${ }^{160}$

Fourth Century.


It is noticeable how very few non-Attic forms appear. In this century Attic forms were not only crowding out epic and Doric-lyric forms in Attica itself, but they were displacing the epichoric forms in other regions. In 218, an epitaph of Corcyreans, and 225, the epitaph of a Cytherean woman, the Attic dialect may indeed be explained by the fact that the former was inscribed by the Athenian state and the latter by the master of the dead woman, himself probably an Athenian; her name, however, which appears extra metrum, retains the Doric form, madixa. The monument of a Corinthian woman for which 231 was written may likewise have been set up by Athenians, but the widespread use of the Attic dialect at this period makes it impossible to speak with certainty.

In regard to the dialect of epigrams of the sixth and fifth centuries from other regions than Attica we have very little information. Not many inscriptions come from any one place, and in the case of numerous dedications found at Olympia and Delphi we have no means of determining the nationality of the authors or dedicators. So far as we can tell, epigrams seem to be in the epichoric dialect; cf. forms
 Foi heo ós (136). On the other hand, epigrams like 88 and 92 refute Fick's statement and seem to support Wagner's views. $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ 84 and 86, epitaphs of foreigners, are written in the dialect of the dead, not of the people among whom they died.

Epic forms are exceedingly rare. We find only $\boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon i v o \omega \sigma t(8 s)$, óoôo (8!), кіхךı (!2) , єіעєка (132). In 42 the form $i \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} F \omega \iota$, in a Doric setting, is still a stumbling-block to commentators.

In the fourth century, as stated above, the Attic dialect spread rapidly over other districts. In Doric comntries we still find the Doric a
 in Ionic regions the Ionic $\eta: \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \eta \nu(2.5)$, 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \eta \iota(268)$, but these are almost the only distinguishing marks of dialect which we find, and
 $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi, \pi \tau a \nu o i ̂ s ; ~ i n ~ 274 \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \phi a, \lambda a o ́ s, ~ к o \hat{\nu} \rho o s, \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$; in 247 (from Euboea) Өєрaтєia九; in 268 (from Erythrae) $\pi$ oдıoúx $\omega \iota$. Epic forms have almost entirely disappeared. I am mable to see why Preger ${ }^{\mathbf{1 6 2}}$ says that in the fourth century the epic-Ionic dialect began to prevail. Surely for "epic-Ionic" we should read " Attic."

The only epic forms which are found to any extent in this century are words ending in oow. This termination persists because of its metrical convenience, as we see from the fact that such forms tend to occupy fixed places in the verse. The same is true of the endings -oっбı and -aıбı.


The above table covers the occurrence of these terminations in all epigrams of the early period, whether iuscribed or not.

It is evident from this table that the favorite positions for such terminations are just before the feminine caesura of the third foot and in the fifth and sixth feet of the hexameter. The number of cases where they stand in other positious is so small that we can hardly say that one position is preferred over another.

The chief result of the preceding investigation has been to show how little we can really state positively from the material at our command. We know enough about Attic epigrams to be able to say with comparative certainty when we may expect to find Ionic or epic forms, but in all other cases our knowledge is so limited that we can draw no conclusions which would enable us to make corrections or even to justify MS. tradition in those epigrams for which we have not the testimony of the stones. Reitzenstein's general statement ${ }^{163}$ is the best we can do till we have more material to examine.

## Appendix

The following epigrams are grouped by centuries: in each century the sepulchral epigrams stand first, followed by the dedicatory and epideictic. Within each group the inscriptions precede the epigrans which are preserved in MSS. only. 'The inscriptions are arranged according to their provenience to facilitate comparison of dialect. It is quite possible that some epigrams written at the beginning of a century have been wrongly grouped among those belonging to the end of the preceding century and vice versce, ${ }^{164}$ but I trust that no such mistake has been made in any case where it would affect the historical conclusions which have been drawn. I have made no attempt to give all the sources for an epigram nor to indicate all the collections where it may be found, but when an epigram is included in the collections of Kaibel, Preger, Hoffmann, or Bergk, and when it is cited by an author earlier than 300 b. с., I have so indicated. In the case of inscriptions the first reference is to a facsimile and the restoration adopted is by the editor of the facsimile unless it is otherwise stated. Where the reputed author of an epigram is mentioned it is merely for purposes of citation and is not meant as an assertion of the correctness of the attribution.

[^25]An asterisk* indicates that an epigram is preserved both on stone and in MSS.

Square brackets [-] indicate that the epigram is usually cited as an elegy or part of an elegy.

## Epigrans

## Secenth and Sixth Centuries

1. CIA I, 463 ( $=\mathrm{K} 1=\mathrm{H} 2$ ).
2. CLA IV, $477^{\mathrm{h}}$, p. $112(=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RM}) 2 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H} 13)$.
3. CIA IV, $477^{\text {b }}$, p. $48(=\mathrm{K} 2=\mathrm{H} 9)$.
4. CIA I, $482(=\mathrm{K} 17=\mathrm{H} 255)$.
5. CLA I, 477 ( $=$ K $13=\mathrm{H} 11$ ).
6. CIA I, 479 ( $=\mathrm{K} 15=\mathrm{H} 27$ ).
7. CIA IV, $477^{c}$, p. 48 ( $=\mathrm{K}$, add. $1 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H} 22$ ).
8. CLA I, 473 (= K $10=\mathrm{H} 23$ ).
9. CLA I, $481(=\mathrm{K} 16=\mathrm{H} 28)$.
10. CIA IV, $477^{\text {p }}$, p. $188(=\mathrm{H} 1) \cdot{ }^{\mathbf{1 6 5}}$
11. CLA I, $469\left(=\mathrm{K} 6=\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$
12. ClA $\mathrm{I}, 471(=\mathrm{K} 8=\mathrm{H} 6) . \mathbf{1 6 5}^{\mathbf{1 6 5}}$
13. CIA I, $457(=\mathrm{K} 18=\mathrm{H} 19)$.
14. AEMO 11 (1857), p. 187 ( $=\mathrm{H} 53$ ). Paros.
15. IGA $495(=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RM}) 229 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H} 61)$. Erythrae.
16. IGS 3501 ( $=\mathrm{H} 57$ ). Provenience unknown. Restored by Dittenberger.
17. Hermes 20 (1885), p. 158 ( $=$ H 54). Thessaly.
18. AP 7. 304 $(=\operatorname{Pr} 25=\operatorname{PLG} 2$, p. 24).
19. AP 7.489 (= Sappho 119).
20. AP $7 \cdot 160\left(=\right.$ Anac. 101). ${ }^{166}$
21. Pr 49 (= PLG 2, p. 239).
22. CLA IV, $373{ }^{105}$, p. $90(=\mathrm{H} 214)$.
23. CIA IV, :37:34.68.17.9, p. 179 ( $=$ H 256 ). Restored by Lolling.
24. CLA IV, $373^{106}$, p. 91 ( $=\mathrm{H} 242$ ).
*25. CLA IV, 334 a, p. $78(=\mathrm{K} 74 \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{H} 249=\operatorname{Pr} 72:$ Hdt. $\mathbf{0 . 7 5})$.
25. CLA IV, $373^{216}$, p. $102(=\mathrm{H} 226$ ).
26. CLA IV, :373x, p. $128(=\mathrm{H} 230)$.
27. CLA IV, $373^{28.36 .211}$, p. $180(=\mathrm{H} 221)$.
[^26]29．CLA IV， $373^{107}$ ，p． 91 （ $=\mathrm{H} 243$ ）．
30．CLA IV， $373^{85}$ ，p． 87 （ $=\mathrm{II} 218$ ）．Restored by Allen，VIII．
31．CLA IV，$: 373^{95.58 .29 .53}$ ，p． $163(=\mathrm{H} 211)$ Restored by Kirchhoff．
32．CLA IV， $373^{202}$ ，p． 100 （ $=\mathrm{H} 224$ ）．
33．CLA IV， $373^{218}$, p． $102(=\mathrm{H} 246)$ ．
34．CLA IV， $373^{188}$ ，p． $98(=\mathrm{H} 222)$ ．Restored by Kirchhoff．
35．CLA IV， $373^{201}$ ，p． $100(=\mathrm{H} 223)$ ．Restored by Kirchhoff and Hoffmann．

36．CIA IV， $373^{208}$ ，p． 183 （ $=\mathrm{H} 220$ ）．
${ }^{*} 37$ ．CLA IV， $373^{\text {e }}$, p． $41(=\mathrm{K} 743 \mathrm{a}$ ，pref．$=\mathrm{H} 238=\operatorname{Pr} 71:$ Thuc． 6．54）．

38．CIA IV， $422^{13}$, p． $185(=\mathrm{H} 253)$ ．
39．CLA IV， $3733^{99}$ ，p． $89(=\mathrm{H} 251)$ ．
40．CLA IV， $373^{79}$ ，p． $86(=\mathrm{H} 232)$ ．
41．IGA $62^{a}$ ，p． 174 （ $=\mathrm{H} 307$ ）．Sellasia．
42．IIS $652(=\mathrm{H} 305)$ ．Metapontum．
${ }^{*}$ 43．Olymp． 252 （ $=$ K $743=\mathrm{H} 311=\operatorname{Pr} 57$ ：Paus．5．24．3）．
44．Olymp． 157 （＝H 375）．Restored by Kirchhoff．
45．Olymp． 154 （＝H 371）．
46．IGA $412(=\mathrm{K} 740=\mathrm{H} 290)$ ．Melos．
47．IGA 393 （ $=$ H 321 ）．Ceos．Restored by Kirchhoff．
48．BCH 29 （1905），p．214．Delos．
49．AP 6． 133 （＝Archil．18）．
50．AP 6． 269 （ $=$ Sappho 118）． 167
51．AP 6． 135 （＝Anac．102）．
52．AP 6.142 （＝Anac．103）．
53．AP 6.139 （＝Anac．105）．
54．AP 6． 140 （＝Anac．106）．${ }^{168}$
55．AP 6.141 （ $=$ Anac．107）．
56．AP 6． 134 （＝Anac．108）．${ }^{\mathbf{1 6 9}}$
57．AP 6．136（＝Anac．109）．
58．AP＇6． $1: 37$（＝Anac．110）．
59．AP 6． 143 （＝Anac．111）．
167 Althongh this epigram is longer than most early inscriptions，it is no longer than the early Corcyrean inscription，K 179．The sentiment is simple and frequent on stones of the sixth century．

168 Wilamowitz（quoted by Weher，P．34）holds that the name＇Appoфiגon proves this epigram late．For Homeric epithets as proper names see Thuc．7．3t（土i申ん Thnc．8． 64 （ $\rfloor u \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \eta s$ ），Xen．Hell．1．3． 13 （ $\Theta \epsilon o \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s$ ）．These examples，though not so early as this epigram，are certainly not＂late．＂

169 Weber＇s objection that these verses describe a painting and are therefore late， does not hold，since there is no indication that they describe a pieture rather than a relief．Cf． 47 and Paus．5．17． 6.
60. AP 6.346 ( $=$ Anac. 112).
61. $\operatorname{Pr} 106$ (Paus. 5. 10. 3).
62. $\operatorname{Pr} 123$ (Paus. 6. 13. 10).
63. $\operatorname{Pr} 197^{\text {a }}$ (Plato, Hipp. 229 A). ${ }^{170}$
64. $\operatorname{Pr} 197^{\text {b }}$ (Plato. Hipp. 229 A). ${ }^{170}$
65. $\operatorname{Pr} 53$ (Phot. Lex. s. v. Kv $\psi \in \lambda i \not \partial \omega \nu)$.
66. $\operatorname{Pr} 70$ (Ath. 13. 609d).
67. AP $6,341(=\operatorname{Pr} 109:$ Hdt. 4. 88).
68. PLG Demod. 1 (Arist. Nic. Eth. 7. 9).
69. AP 11, 235 (= PLG Demod. 2).
70. PLG Phocyl. 3 (Strabo 10. 487).
[71. PLG Archil. 2 (Ath. 1. 30 f).]
[72. PLG Archil. 6 (Plut. Mor. 239 B).]
[73. Theog. 877, 878.]
74. AP 7.226 ( $=$ Anac. 100 ).

## Fifth Century

*75. JOAI 2 (1899), p. 221 ( $=$ AP 7. $254=\operatorname{Sim} .108$ ).
76. CLA I, $333(=$ K $749=\mathrm{H} 266) .{ }^{171}$
77. CLA I, $442(=$ K $21=$ H 34) vv. $1-4$ restored by Boeckh and Kaibel.
78. CIA II, 3. 2338 ( $=\mathrm{H} 38$ ).
79. CLA IV, $491^{12}$, p. 115 ( $=\mathrm{K} 36=\mathrm{H} 32$ ).
80. CIA IV, $477^{\mathrm{e}}$, p. 49 ( $=\mathrm{H} 20$ ). Restored by Boeckh and Kirchhoff.
81. CLA IV, $446^{\mathrm{a}}$, p. $108(=\mathrm{H} 36)$
82. CIA IV, $491^{8}$, p. $114(=$ K $73=$ IF 33 ).
*83. AM $22(1897)$ p. 53 and tab. $9(=$ Sim. 96$)$. Salamis.
84. IGA $368(=\mathrm{K} 22=\mathrm{H} 66)$. Aegina.
85. AMI 31 (1906), p. 89 and tab. 13. Megara. ${ }^{172}$
86. IGS $2531(=\mathrm{K} 488=\mathrm{H}$ 171). Tanagra?
87. IGA 146 ( $=\mathrm{K} 486=\mathrm{H} 56)$. Thespii.
88. IGA $167(=\mathrm{K} 187=\mathrm{H} 59)$. Thisbe.
89. I(AA 329 ( $=$ K $1 \kappa_{2}=\mathrm{H} 51$ ). Acarnania.
!o. If. 3225 ( $=\mathrm{H}$ 55). Pharsalus. Restored by Cauer.
91. BCL 24 (1900), p. 267. Thasos.
92. BCH 24 ( 1900 ), p. 266 . Thasos.


[^27]```
    94. AP 7. 249 (= Sim. 92 = Pr 21: Hdt. 7. 228).
    95. AP 7. 677 (= Sim. 94 = Pr 20: Hdt. 7. 228).
    96. AP 7. 248(=Sim. 91= Pr 200: Hdt. 7. 228).
    97. PLG Sim. 93 (=Pr 22).
    98. Pr 23 (PLG 3, p. 428).
    99. AP 7. 250 (=Sim. 97 = Pr 5).173
    100. AP 7. 347 (=Sim. 98 = Pr 4).
    101. PLG Sim. }89\mathrm{ (= A Pl 26).174
    102. AP 7. 512 (=Sim. 102).
    103. AP 7. 251 (= Sim. 99).175
    104. AP 7. 255 (= PLG Aesch. 3). }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{176}
    105. AP 7. 508 (= PLG 2. p. 260 = Pr 40).
    106. AP 7. 253 (=Sim. 100 = Pr 8).
    107. PLG Sim. }111\mathrm{ (= Pr 31: Thuc. 6. 59).
    108. PLG Aesch. }4(=\operatorname{Pr}39)
    109. AP 7. 258 (=Sim. 105).177
    110. AP 7. 509 (= Sim. 118).
    111. AP 7. 507b (=Sim. 124 B).
    112. PLG Sim. 130 (= Pr 51).
    113. AP 7. 270 (= Sim. 109).
    114. PLG Eurip. 1 (= Pr 9).
    115. Pr 41 (PLG 3, p. 517 : Plut. Mor. 217 F).
    116. AP 10. 105 (= Sim. 122).
    117. CIA I, 374 (= K 752).
    118. CIA I, 418 (= K 763). Restored by Kaibel.
    119. CIA I, 382 (= K 754).
    120. CIA I, 353 (= K 765).
    121. CIA I, 397 (= K 753).
    122. CIA I, 349 (= K 756=H 236).
    *123. CIA I, 403 (= K 751 = AP 13. 13). Restored by Meineke.
    *124. CIA I, }381(=\mathrm{ K 758 = Anac. 104 = AP 6. 138).
```

${ }^{173}$ Vv. 1 and 2 form a complete epigram (see Kaibel, RM 28. 444), and vv. 3-6 are not quoted by any author before Aristides. This makes it probable that 3-6 are a later addition (see Wilhelm, JOAI 2, p. 244, and Wilanowitz, Gostt. Nachr., 1897, p. 308). Kaibel (1. c.) says of vv. 1 and 2: "Nihil habet quod reprehendas praeteryuam quod nee mortuos homines neque hostes devictos quinam fuerint significat; unde antiquo sepulcro insculptum fuisse nequit." But cf. 81. See also P. 24, n. 94 .

174 Wilhelm (l. c.) thinks vv. 3, 4 a later addition.
175 Cf. AP 7. 242. With v. 2 cf. Sim. 37.8 and Iliad II. 66.
176 Evidently an imitation of 103 . It is very likely epideictic. The кai looks like an imitation of an enigram like 171 b , where the кai is in place, as it is not here.

177 Wilhelm (l. c.) thinks only vv. 1, 2 are original. Cf. note on 101.

```
    *125. JAOI 2 (1899), p. \(230(=\operatorname{Sim} .150=\) AP 6. 144). Attica.
    126. CIA IV, \(373^{268}\), p. 204 ( \(=\) K \(759=\mathrm{H} 322\) ).
    127. CIA IV, \(422^{16}\), p. \(185(=\mathrm{H} 271)\).
    128. IGA \(354(=\mathrm{K} 761=\mathrm{H} 315)\). Aegina.
    129. IA 1207. Epidaurus.
    130. IGA \(7(=\mathrm{H} 324)\). Euboea.
    131. IGS 1794 (= K 757a pref. \(=\mathrm{H} 317\) ). Thisbe.
    *132. Olymp. 253 ( \(=\) H \(312=\operatorname{Pr} 59\) ).
    133. Olymp. 147 ( \(=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RM}) 940 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{H} 376\) ).
    134. Olymp. 149 ( \(=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RM}) 941 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{H} 377\) ).
    135. Olymp. \(144(=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RM}) 940 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H} 378)\).
    136. Olymp. 266 ( \(=\) K \(744=\) H 309).
    137. Olymp. 150 (= IGA 355).
    138. CRAI 1901, p. 681. Delphi. \({ }^{178}\)
    139. AM 31 (1906), p. 530. Delphi.
    140. AM 11 (1886), p. \(450(=\mathrm{H} 318)\). Larissa.
    141. IGA \(402(=\mathrm{K} 750=\mathrm{H} 301)\). Paros.
    142. IGA 401 (= K 750 a, add. \(=\) H 302). Paros.
    143. Olymp. 630 ( \(=\mathrm{H} 401\) ).
    14.4. PLG Sim. \(131(=\operatorname{Pr} 152)\).
    145. AP 6. \(2(=\) Sim. 143).
    146. \(\operatorname{Pr} 73\) (Phil. ap. Harpocrat. s. v. \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta \eta ~ \pi v \lambda i o ̀ \imath ~ ' E ~ \rho \mu \eta े s) . ~\)
    147. \(\operatorname{Pr} 74\) (Arist. \({ }^{~} A \theta \eta \nu . \pi 0 \lambda .7\) ).
    148. AP 6. 213 ( \(=\) Sim. 145).
    149. PLG Sim. \(138(=\operatorname{Pr} 84\) : 'Thuc. 1. 132).
    150. PLG Sim. \(139(=\operatorname{Pr} 85)\).
    151. PLG Sim. \(141(=\operatorname{Pr} 83\). vv. 1. 2. 5. 6 in scholia, Pind. P. 1.
155; vv. \(1-4=\mathrm{AP}\) 6. 214). \({ }^{179}\)
    152. Pr 86 (PLG 3, p. 516 : Diod. 11. 14).
    153. PLG Sim. 134 ( \(=\operatorname{Pr} 67:\) Plut. Mor. 870 F ).
    154. PLG Sim. \(137(=\operatorname{Pr} 68:\) Ath. 13.573 d\()\).
    155. \(\mathrm{Al}^{\prime} 6.50(=\operatorname{Sim} .140=\operatorname{Pr} 78) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 8 0}}\)
    156. Pr 103 (= Sim. 135 : Plut. Them. 8).
    157. P'r 100 (PLG 3, p. 516 : Ath. 12.536 b).
    158. AP 6. 212 (= Sim. 164).
    159. Pr 125 (Paus. 6. 10. 7).
    160. Pr 126. 176 (Paus. 8. 42. 9, 10).
```

[^28]161. $\operatorname{Pr} 58.175$ (Paus. 5. 25. 10).
162. Pr 56 (Paus. 5. 27. 12).
163. $\operatorname{Pr} 82$ (Diod. 13. 41).
164. AP 6. 53 (= PLG Bacchyl. 49).
165. Pr 108 (Porphyr. Vit. Pythag. 3).
166. PLG Sim. $157(=\operatorname{Pr} 105$ : Di. La. 4. 45).
167. AP 6.313 (= PLG Bacchyl. 48).
168. PLG Philox. 15 ( $=$ AP 9. 319).
169. PLG Sim. $147(=\operatorname{Pr} 136)$.
170. PLG Sim. 90 (= $\operatorname{Pr} 199$ : Lycurg. Leocr. 109). ${ }^{181}$
171. $\operatorname{Pr} 153$ (Aeschin. 3. 184, 185).
172. $\operatorname{Pr} 154$ (Aeschin. 3. 190).
173. $\operatorname{Pr} 178$ (Paus. 6. 20. 14).
174. AP 7. $296(=\operatorname{Pr} 269=\operatorname{Sim} .142) .{ }^{182}$
175. Pr 140 (Ath. 1. 19 b).
176. Pr 127 (Paus. 7. 17. 7).
177. PLG Sim. 149 (=A Pl 2).
178. PLG Sim. 152 (= $\operatorname{Pr} 124$; Paus. 6. 9. 9).
179. PLG Sim. 153 (= A Pl 3).
180. PLG Sim. 163 (= Pr. 144 ; Arist. Rhet. 1. 7. 32).
181. Pr 142 (Schol. Aristoph. Acharn. 214).
182. $\operatorname{Pr} 180$ (Ath. 2. 48 b).
183. $\operatorname{Pr} 174$ (Paus. 6. 10. 5).
184. PLG Sim. 161 (= AP 9. 757).
185. PLG Sim. $160(=\operatorname{Pr} 179 ;$ Paus. 10. 27.4).
186. Pr 209 (Arist. Nic. Eth. 1. 9). ${ }^{183}$
187. Pr 207 (Porphyr. de Abstin. 2. 19).
188. PLG 2, p. 260 (Di. La. 8. 65).
189. PLG Sim. $169(=\operatorname{Pr} 253 ;$ Ath. 10.415 f).
190. AP 7.349.
191. AP 7. 22 (PLG 2, p. 314). ${ }^{184}$
192. AP 7.515 (= Sim. 117).
193. AP 7. 301 (= Sim. 95). ${ }^{185}$

181 The word Mapafîv seems more suited to an honorary statue than to an actual tomb on the battle field.

182 See Boas, p. 205. 183 Cf. Theog. 255; Soph. fr. 329.
184 The absence of $\tau \dot{\eta} \dot{\delta} \epsilon$ or any similar indication that this is an inscription has led me to place it among the epideictic epitaphs.

185 If this is an epitaph, it would be hard to tell whether it was for Leonidas or for the Spartans (see Hauvette) ; this uncertainty seems to me impossible in an epitaph, and the verses are probably an occasional poem. $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ may be explained by supposing that the author had the tomb of Leonidas before him.

```
    194. AP 7. 514 (= Sim. 120).184
    195. AP 7. 302 (= Sim. 121).
    196. PLG Sim. 167 (Ath. 3. 125 c).
    197. PLG Soph. 5 (Plut. Mor. 785 B).
    198. PLG Eurip.2 (Ath. 2. 61 b).
    199. PLG Soph. 4 (Ath. 13. 604 f).
    200. PLG Sim. }175\mathrm{ (Stob. Ecl. Phys. 1. 8. 15).
    201. PLG 2, p. }268\mathrm{ (Stob. Ecl. Phys. 1. 8. 16).
    [202. PLG Euenus 2 (= AP 11. 49).]
[203. PLG Euenus 3.]
[204. PLG Euenus 1 (Ath. 9. 367 e).]
[205. PLG Euenus 4 (Stob. 51. 17).]
[206. Theog. 1069, 1070.] 186
[207. Theog. 425-8.]
[208. Theog. 797, 8.]
[209. 'Theog. 257-260.]
[210. 'Theog. 993-6.]
[211. Theog. 351-4.]
[212. Theog. 649-652.]
[213. Theog. 567-570.]
[214. Theog. 1341-4.]
[215. Theog. 1299-1304.]
[216. Theog. 1329-34.]
```


## Fourth Century

*217. CIA II, 3. $1680(=\mathrm{K} 27=\mathrm{H} 106=\mathrm{AP} 7.245)$.
218. CLA II, 3.1678 ( $=$ K $37=$ H 70 ).
219. CIA II, 3. 2263 (= K $39=$ H 117).
220. CLA II, 3. 3620 ( $=$ K $35=$ II 90 ).
221. CLA II, 3. 2892 ( $=\mathrm{K} 71=\mathrm{H} 83$ ).

222 . CIA II, 3.3602 (= K 35 a. add. $=$ H 101). Vv. 2, 8, 9 restored by Kaibel.
$223 . \mathrm{K} 35$ (I have found no facsimile). Athens.
224. CLA II, 3. 2339 ( $=$ K $34=\mathrm{H} 74$ ).
225. CLA II, 3. 3111 ( $=$ K $47=\mathrm{H}_{87}$ )
226. CLA II, 3. 2867 ( $=\mathrm{K} 54=\mathrm{H} \times 2$ )

227 . CLA II, $3.3880(=\mathrm{K} 63=\mathrm{H} 94)$.
225. AM 19 (1894), p. 140 . Athens.

[^29]229. ClA II, 3. 1995 ( $=\mathrm{K} 62=\mathrm{H} 73$ ). V. 2 restored by Kumanudes.
230. CIA II, 3. 3903 ( $=$ H 105).
231. CLA II. 3. 3086 ( $=\mathrm{K}$ (RM) $58 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{I}$ 86). Restored by Kaibel.
232. CLA II, 3. $2646(=\mathrm{K} 25=\mathrm{H} 78)$. Restored by Kaibel.
233. CLA II, 3. 2717 ( $=\mathrm{K} 24=\mathrm{H} 79$ ). Restored by Kaibel after Boeckh.
234. CLA II, 3. 2453 ( $=$ H 69).
235. CLA II, 3.1994 ( $=$ K $49=$ H 129).
236. CLA II, 3. 2496 ( $=$ K $64=\mathrm{H} 75$ ).
237. CIA II, 3. 2718 (= H 80).
238. CLA II, 3. 1774 ( $=$ K $50=\mathrm{H} 72$ ).
239. CLA II, 3.3577 ( $=$ K $53=$ H 89).
240. CLA II, 3. 3720 ( $=\mathrm{K} 41=\mathrm{H} 92$ ).
241. BCH 17 (1893), p. 194. Piraeus.
242. CLA II, 3. 2643 ( $=$ K $69=\mathrm{H} 77$ ).
243. CLA II, 3. 3673 ( $=$ K $91=\mathrm{H} 91$ ).
244. CIA II, 3.4054 ( $=$ H 96).
245. CIA II, 3.3260 b, p. 355 ( $=\mathrm{H} 109$ ).
246. CIA II, 3. $1376(=$ K $43=\mathrm{H} 351)$. Restored by Kaibel.
247. K 209 (I have found no facsimile). Euboea.
248. AM I (1876), p. $233(=\mathrm{K} 471 \mathrm{a}$, add. $=\mathrm{H}$ 177). Sparta.
249. IGS $2936(=$ K $489=\mathrm{H}$ 181). Thebes. V. 1 restored by Wilamowitz ; vv. 3-7 by Keil and Kaibel.
250. BCH 25 (1901) p. 271. Tegea. ${ }^{187}$
251. CIG II (add.), $2254 \mathrm{v}(=\mathrm{K} 219=\mathrm{H} 172)$. Amorgos.
252. Ann. d. Instit., 1864, p. 103 ( $=$ K $220=$ H 173). Amorgos.
253. CIG II, 3648 ( $=$ K $86=$ H 174). Provenience unknown.
254. $\operatorname{Pr} 260$ (Ath. 10. 454 f).
255. AP $7.60(=\operatorname{Pr} 11)$.
256. Pr 12 (PLG 2, p. $329=$ A Pl 31). 188
257. Pr 13 (Steph. Byz. s. v. Фaテך ${ }^{2}$ is).
258. AP 7. $300(=\operatorname{Sim} .123) .{ }^{189}$
259. $\operatorname{Pr} 24$ (Ath. 13. 589 b).
260. CLA II, 2. $1078(=$ K $1043=\mathrm{H} 416)$. Restored by Boeckh.

187 See Wilhelm, AM 29 (1904), p. 108, and Loring, JHS, 1895, p. 90.
188 Cf. 'E $\phi$. 'A $\rho \chi$. . 1839, p. 227, n. 264, a fragment which may be metrical. For Bergk's reading of it see PLG 2, p. 330.

189 Although this epigram bears the name of Simonides, there are in it indications
 ovךrois.
261. CIA II, 3. $1427(=\mathrm{K} 770=\mathrm{H} 273)$.
262. CLA II, 3. $1425(=\mathrm{K} 771=\mathrm{H} 350)$. Restored by Kaibel.
263. AM 30 (1905), p. 298 ( $=$ H. 281). Athens.
264. CIA II, 3. 1441 ( $=\mathrm{K}($ RM $) 773 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{H} 274$ ). Restored by Kaibel.
265. IA $583(=$ K $846=\mathrm{H} 358)$. Argos.
266. IA 1099. Epidaurus.
267. 'Еф. 'A $\rho \chi$. 1884, pp. 49/50. Epidaurus.
268. Lebas, Voyage Arch. en Grèce et en Asie Mineure 5. 38 ( $=\mathrm{K}$ $769=$ H 325). Erytbrae.
269. IGS $530(=\mathrm{K} 938=\mathrm{H} 390)$. Tanagra.
270. BCH 24 (1900), p. 235, n. 2. Crete.
271. IP I, 2, p. 2 (= H 331).
272. AM 8 (1883), p. 23 (= H 328). Larissa.
273. CIG II, 2104 (= K 773). Pantacapaeum.
274. AM 14 (1889), p. 17 ( $=$ H 326). Delphi. Vv. 1, 3 restored by Kaibel.
275. BCH 21 (1897), p. 598. Delphi.
276. BCH 21 (1897), pp. 592 ff, n. 2-7. ${ }^{190}$ Delphi.
277. BCH 6 (1882), p. 446 ( $=$ H 383). Delphi.
278. BCH 24 (1900), p. 171. Delphi. ${ }^{191}$
279. CIA II, 3. 1302 ( $=\mathrm{K} 940=\mathrm{H} 366$ ).
280. CIA II, 3. 1311 (= H 369).
281. IGS $2532(=\mathrm{K} 492=\mathrm{H}$ 179). Thebes.
282. IGS 2533 (= K 492 b, pref.) Thebes.
243. Olymp. 166 (= H 352).
284. Olymp. 164 ( $=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RII}) 942 \mathrm{a})$.
285. Olymp. 161. Restored by H. Förster.
286. Olymp. 293 ( $=$ K 875 a, add. $=$ H 357 ).
287. IGS $2470(=$ K 938 a, pref. $=$ H 386 ). Thebes.
288. IGS $2462(=$ K 768 a, pref. $=$ H 356). Thebes.
289. JHS 9 (1888), p. 239. Paphos.
290. $\operatorname{Pr} 156$ (Plut. Mor. $8: 38$ D).
291. Pr 157 (PLG 2, p. $329:$ Plut. Mor. 839 B).
292. Pr 75 (Philodemus). Restored by Boeckh.
293. Pr 14:3 (Pollux 4. 92).
$294 . \operatorname{Pr} 88$ (Stob. Flor. 1. 49. 52).
$29 . \operatorname{Pr} 16.4$ (Strabo. 10, p. 463).

[^30]296. Pr 141 (Ath. 14, 629 a).
297. $\operatorname{Pr} 60$ (Paus. 5. 22.3).
295. Pr 146 (Paus. 6. 3. 14).
299. $\operatorname{Pr} 214$ ( $=$ AP 9. 684).
300. Pr 99 (= AP 9. 786).
301. Pr 115 (PLG 2, p. 325 : Plut. Timol. 31).

303. PLG. Sim. 186 (= AP app. 77).
304. $\operatorname{Pr} 161$ (Paus. 9. 15. 6).
305. $\operatorname{Pr} 162(=$ A Pl 33).
306. Pr 163 (= PLG Arist. 4 : Di. La. 5. 7).
307. PLG Sim. $188(=\operatorname{Pr} 129:$ Hephaest. p. 116, ed. Gaisford).
308. Pr 130 (Paus 6. 4. 6).
309. Pr 147 (Strabo 10, p. 463).
310. Pr 184 (Aristid. 2. 521).
311. Pr 181 (PLG 2, p. 320 : Ath. 12.543 d).
312. Pr 182 (PLG 2, p. 321 : Ath. 12.543 e).

312 a. $\operatorname{Pr} 183$ (PLG 2, p. 321 : Ath. 12.544 a).
313. AP 7.45 ( $=\operatorname{Pr} 259: \operatorname{PLG} 2$, p. 267).
314. AP 5. 77 (= PLG Plato 1).
315. AP 5.78 (= Plato 2).
316. AP 5. 79 (= Plato 3).
317. AP 7. 100 (= Plato 8).
318. AP 7. 256 (= Plato 9).
319. AP 7. 259 (= Plato 10).
320. AP 7.669 (= Plato 14).
321. AP $7.670(=$ Plato 15).
322. PLG Plato 29 (Th. Magist. Vit. Aristoph., p. 160, ed. Westermann).
323. Pr 10 (Steph. Byz. s. v. Mì $\lambda$ дтos).
324. Plut. Mor. 603 C.

The following epigrams, cited by Bergk among the poems of ancient authors, seem later than the dates to which they are attributed. In the case of some it has been enough to refer to the work of scholars whose arguments against them seem to me just ; in the case of others I add some considerations which have occurred to me.

Aesop. PLG 2, p. 164. This epigram is so very unlike all early epigrams and so like later ones (e. g. AP 9.359, 360) that it can hardly be assigned to the time of Aesop.
 Homer ${ }^{192}$ and Theognis, ${ }^{193}$ yet they do not appear in epigrams till

[^31]the fourth century, when they become very frequent. ${ }^{194}$ Hence I have hesitated to assign the epigram to Archilochus. Moreover, the words $\dot{i} \psi \eta \lambda o \imath_{s}$ кıóvas savor of rhetoric, and the address $\hat{\omega} \ldots \gamma a \hat{i}$ suggests a later period.

Archil. 19. I have rejected this epigram because it is obviously so incomplete that it is impossible to say what was the original character of the poem to which it belonged. The content is not a reason for rejecting it.

Sappho 120. Reitzenstein (p. 107) says " $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu а т а$ како ̧oias stehen nicht auf Marmor sondern im Buch." Although I do not feel certain of the truth of this, I have rejected the epigram because it gives no indication that it was intended for a tomb. See pp. 16 ff .

Demod. 3, 4. See PLG.
Anac. 113. The absence of any indication that these verses were inscribed shows that they camot have been written at the time of Anacreon as an inscription. The style appears too ornate and elaborate for an early epideictic epigram. (Cf. PLG.) Kaibel's ${ }^{195}$ argument that the words matpioos ains appear only here in an epigram of the sixth century does not appear to me conclusive, since the phrase is not common in any age, and there is nothing in it inconsistent with the style of the sisth century.

Ance: 115, 116. The name of Myron shows that these verses are later than the time of Anacreon, and their striking resemblance to the sort of epigram so common in the Alexandrian age ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9 6}}$ makes it improbable that they are contemporary with Myron himself.

Erimad $3-5$. The question as to how many poets by the name of Erinna there were in early times, and the precise periods when they flourished, may be passed over here. These three epigrams at any rate were not composed before the third century. Cf. with 4 AP 9. 736 and A Pl 248, poems of the Alexandrian era. 'The style and the content alike are inconsistent with an early date.

Sim. 101. See Hiller, Phil. 48 (1889), p. 231, and p. 21 of this article.

Sim. 103. See Boas, p. 216, and Kaibel, RM 28, 457.
Sim. 104. See Boas, pp. 92 ff .
Nim. 10\%. See Hauvette and Boas, pp. 213 ff .
Sim. 107. See PLG.
Sim. 110. Sce Boas, p. 137, n. 103, and Hauvette.

[^32]Sim. 113. The vagueness of the epigram as to the identity of Kallias makes it most improbable that this epigram is fifth-century


Sim. 114. See lauvette and p. 21 of this article.
Sim. 115, 116. ${ }^{197}$ 'The style is obviously Alexandrian.
Sim. 119. See Haurette.
Sim. $124^{\text {a }}$. See PLG.
Sim. 127-129. See Hauvette.
Sim. 133. See PLG and Hauvette.
Sim. 136. See Boas, pp. 73, 86, and Wilamowitz, Goett. Nachr., 1897, p. 311.

Sim. 144. Bergk thinks this genuine because he considers that it was imitated by Mnasalcas (AP'6.125, 128). Cf., however, AP 6. 124, by Hegesippus, - a poem far simpler and better than this. Moreover, we miss the name of the dedicator, an omission never found in the fifth or even in the fourth century. ${ }^{198}$ If the writers of the later epigrams mentioned above had any model before their eyes, it may have been ep. 55, which is reealled by the epigram of Hegesippus.

Sim. 146. See Hauvette.
Sim. 154. The form of this epigram shows its late date. Cf. AP 7. $64,79,163,470,552$.

Sim. 156. See Hauvette.
Plato 4-7, 11-13, 16-28, 30, 31. See PLG and Fava.
With regard to other epigrams attributed to the fifth and fourth centuries and not included in the preceding lists, see Bergk's notes. In every case the attribution rests on very uncertain evidence, or the poems themselves show plainly their late date.

## Smith College

January 15, 1910.

[^33]TABLE I.
INFORMATION GIVEN BY INSCRIBED EPITAPHS.



[^34]TABLE II．
INFORMATION GIVEN BY INSCRIBED DEDICATIONS．

|  | ＋ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 䔍 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Name of dedieator. | \％ |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\dot{8}}{8}$ | 客䚙 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 完 |  | ごこ | $>$ |


1 The formulas show slight variations.
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The present investigation was made to ascertain the influence of inorganic and organic acids on the responses of the common manure worm, Allolobophora foetida (Sav.). Apart from its specific application, this problem bears upon the more general one of the relation of electrolytes - acids, bases, and salts - to physiological processes. For the suggestion of this problem and for much valuable advice as to method and interpretation, I am greatly indebted to Professor G. H. Parker.

The sense of taste even in man is not well understood. What its nature in an invertebrate may be, is only a matter of conjecture. Experiments designed to determine the relation between the chemical nature of solutions of acids to the sensations of taste in man, and especially to ascertain how closely the sensation corresponds to the degree of dissociation were made by Kiahlenberg ('98) and by Richards ('98). It was my purpose to ascertain to what extent the conclusions reached in a study of human taste were applicable to a typical invertebrate like the earthworm.

The modern theories of solutions lead to the conclusion that the taste of a solution of an electrolyte must depend upon the taste of its ions, its undissociated molecules, or both; furthermore, the taste of a solution in which ionization is practically complete should be due simply to its ions. At dilutions of ${ }_{\mathrm{T}} N$ such as were used in the present investigation, strong acids, at least, would be almost completely dissociated. And their sour taste or stimulating value has been shown both by Kahlenberg and by Richards to depend upon hydrogen ion. It was further shown by Richards that the degree to which such acids stimulate is directly determined by the nomber of hydrogen ions.

For the purpose of the present study, three inorganic and one organic acid were used ; they were hydrochloric, nitric, sulphuric, and
acetic acids. The degree of dissociation of these acids diminishes in the order named, hydrochloric acid being most and acetic acid least dissociated.

The strength of these solutions was so chosen that they should give distinct and measurable reactions, not sufficiently strong, however, to produce in any case lasting injurious effects upon the worm. Preliminary trial showed that an $\frac{N}{400}$ solution was serviceable for this purpase, since at higher concentrations the acids were so stimulating that the worms withdrew within two-tenths of a second, and, consequently, it was impossible to state whether at these concentrations one acid was a more effective stimu? is than another.

The method of handling the worm was, with few modifications, like that used by Parker and Metcalf (:06) in their study of the reactions of earthworms to salts. 'The worms were thoroughly rinsed in tapwater till they were cleaned externally of foreign matter, and each worm was suspended by a silk thread passed through the posterior tip of its body and loosely tied. The worms thus prepared and numbered were kept singly in small, open, glass vessels lined with moistened filter paper, upon which the worms were allowed to crawl. The method of keeping them in tap-water was found undesirable because the water seemed to excite the secretions of mucus with the result that the mucus thus formed prevented the easy penetration of the acid to the skin of the worm and made the reaction-times slow and unreliable. The filter paper, on the other hand, served to remove all excess of mucus from the worms.

The apparatus with which the tests were made consisted of a base with an upright wooden post to which a pivoted arm was fixed. One of the ends of the arm was notched so that the silk thread carrying a worm could be inserted into it, and the other cond was used as a handle by which the arm coukd be moved so as to raise the worm or lower it into the solution contained in a glass vessel on the base. When a test was to be made, a worm was taken up by its thread and attached to the arn of the apparatus. The superfluous water was drained from it, and, after it had lengthencd fully, it was lowered gently, but quickly, into the solution to the depth of the anterior edge of the clitellum. As the tip of the worm cut the surface of the solution, a stop wateh was started, and when as a result of the contraction of the worm, the tip withdrew from the solution, the watch was stopped. 'The interval of time thus recorded to fifths of a second was taken as the reactiontime for that particular experiment. If the worm failed to react after two mimites, the experiment was discontinned, and the worm was taken out of the solution. Such extremely long reactions were common
when the worms were kept in tap-water, but seldom occurred when they were allowed to crawl on the filter paper.
After the worm under ordinary circumstances had withdrawn from the solution, it was rinsed in tap-water, returned to its glass, and allowed to rest about five minutes before another trial with it was made. 'To rule out the possible disturbing factor of fatigue, it was decided to use no worm for more than a given, arbitrarily determined, number of reactions (twelve).

The acids were first experimented with in pairs; each acid being compared with every other one ; hydrochloric acid, for instance, being compared with nitric, sulphuric, and acetic acids successively. Although it is not known that the particular sequence in which the acids are used makes any material difference, nevertheless to avoid any error which might possibly creep in by passing from a more to a less stimulating acid, the solutions were used alternately; for it is highly probable that when a strong solution is first applied, the effect of it is apt to be so vigorous as to obscure a subsequent response to weaker solutions. Where all the acids were compared at once the procedure adopted was to give each acid first place with at least one worm of those tested.

In tables I to XVI will be found the reaction-times of the earthworm, Allolobophora foetida, for the four different acids used.

Tables I to XVI. - Reaction Times, in Seconds, of Allolobophora foetida to Solutions of Nitric and Hydrochloric, Sulphuric and Hydrochloric, Sulphuric and Nitric, and Hydrochloric and Acetic Acids.

TABLE I.
$\frac{N}{400} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ 。

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 0.4 | 25.0 | 38.2 | 1.2 | 12.6 | 32.4 | 18.3 |
| II. | 25.2 | 9.4 | 20.0 | 7.6 | 3.2 | $\ldots$ | 13.0 |
| III. | 18.8 | 11.2 | 29.8 | 11.0 | 10.8 | ... | 16.3 |
| IV. | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 1.6 |
| V. | 4.0 | 2.0 | 15.4 | 28.8 | 1.0 | 13.6 | 10.8 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE II.
${ }_{4}^{{ }_{4}^{N}}{ }^{N} \mathrm{HCl}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 0.6 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 17.6 | 22.8 | 17.8 | 119 |
| II. | 7.2 | 11.0 | 27.4 | 11.6 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | 11.5 |
| III. | 20.0 | 5.4 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 2.4 | $\ldots$ | 10.7 |
| IV. | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| V. | 6.4 | 25.0 | 19.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 11.4 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE III.
$\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{400} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$.

| No. of Worm | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 0.4 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.1 |
| II. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.s | 0.6 | 0.8 | 33.0 | 6.0 |
| III. | 0.1 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 11:2 | 13.9 |
| IV. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 35.2 | 6.2 |
| 1. | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |
| General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3 |

TABLE IV.
$\underset{\text { 和 }}{N} 11 \mathrm{Cl}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 1. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.8 |
| II. | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| III. | 24.4 | 21.4 | 30.6 | 16.4 | 21.0 | 23.0 | 22.8 |
| IV. | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| V. | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.5 |
|  | General average |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 |

TABLE V.
${ }_{4}^{N}{ }^{N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 33.2 | 23.8 | 13.8 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 34.0 | 27.3 |
| II. ${ }^{1}$ | 0.8 | 36.0 | 71.0 | 25.0 | 61.6 | 43.0 | $\ldots$ |
| III. | 0.8 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 22.4 | 20.8 | 10.0 |
| IV. | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| V . | 0.2 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 2.6 | 26.2 | 15.0 | 17.3 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Owing to the great variation in the readings obtained in Tables V and VI with worm number II, it was decided to discard these readings in making the general average.

TABLE VI.
${ }_{\overline{4} \overline{0} \overline{0}}^{N} \mathrm{HCl}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 26.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 51.6 | 25.0 |
| II. ${ }^{1}$ | 54.0 | 62.8 | 65.2 | 34.0 | 0.4 | 31.0 | $\ldots$ |
| III. | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| IV. | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| V. | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 3.2 |
|  | General average |  |  | . | . | . | 5.8 |

TABLE VII.
${ }_{{ }_{4}^{50} 0}^{N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 7.0 | 30.8 | 0.6 | 22.4 | 26.4 | 8.2 | 15.9 |
| II. | 0.4 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 25.0 | 5.9 |
| III. | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 8.4 | 2.1 |
| IV. | 2.5 .4 | 0.6 | 22.8 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 9.1 |
| $V$. | 18.6 | 17.6 | 22.2 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 14.6 | 15.7 |
|  | General average |  |  | . | . . | . | 9.7 |

Table VIII.
${ }_{700}^{N} \mathrm{HCl}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 14.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 10.0 |
| II. | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 4.8 | $40.4^{1}$ | 1.5 |
| III. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.7 |
| IV. | 0.4 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 2.4 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 14.3 |
| V. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 17.6 | 8.0 | 16.6 | $33.6{ }^{1}$ | 8.5 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 The readings marked ${ }^{1}$ show a great variation from the readings recorded in the other five tests. In the general average they were not included.

TABLE IX.
$\frac{N_{4}}{\frac{N}{00}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 1.6 | 19.4 | 46.2 | 52.4 | 30.2 | 39.0 | 31.4 |
| II. | 21.0 | 8.2 | 20.0 | 16.2 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 17.5 |
| III. | 14.8 | 3.2 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 9.0 | 33.0 | 15.0 |
| IV. | 13.0 | 18.0 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 7.7 |
| V. | 15.2 | 18.2 | 16.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | . . | 10.0 |
|  | General average |  |  | - . | - . | - . | 16.4 |



TABLE XI.
${ }_{\overline{4} 0 \overline{0}}{ }^{N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

| No. ofWorm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | : | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 17.0 | 12.0 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 33.8 | 11.6 | 1.5.7 |
| 1 I | 20.0 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 18.8 | 11.2 |
| III. | 19.0 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | $\ldots$ | 9.6 |
| IV. | 120 | 27.1 | 18.2 | 20.4 | 11.0 | 47.0 | 27.6 |
| $V$. | 11.6 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 7.6 | 0.2 | $\ldots$ | 10.2 |
|  | (iencral ayerage . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XII.
${ }_{400}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 11.0 | 2.2 | 16.0 | 7.0 | 14.0 | 23.0 | 12.2 |
| II. | 20.5 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 7.8 |
| III. | 15.2 | 0.4 | 15.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | $\ldots$ | 6.4 |
| IV. | 20.6 | 11.4 | 17.0 | 22.6 | 49.0 | 42.0 | 27.1 |
| V. | 19.4 | 0.8 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 4.6 | $\ldots$ | 10.1 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIII. <br> $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{400} \mathrm{HCl}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| II. | 22.0 | 57.6 | 66.0 | 47.2 | 70.0 | 66.2 | 54.8 |
| III. | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| IV. | 0.6 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 21.2 | 13.0 | 6.7 |
| V . | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XIV.
${ }_{4}^{N_{0}^{\top}} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 19.4 | 15.0 | 2.24 | 23.6 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 15.5 |
| II. | 62.4 | 78.8 | 75.6 | 55.0 | 29.6 | 71.6 | 62.1 |
| III. | 0.8 | 3.0 | 27.6 | 2.6 | 26.4 | 5.4 | 10.9 |
| IV. | 33.0 | 10.6 | 25.0 | 11.8 | 7.4 | 31.0 | 19.8 |
| V. | 20.8 | 1.0 | R.t | 5.4 | O.S |  | 7.3 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  | 23.1 |

TABLE XV.



TABLE XVI.
${ }_{400} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

| No. ofWorm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 1. | 4.0 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 57.8 | 12.4 |
| II. | 18.0 | 9.0 | 14.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | . . | 8.6 |
| III. | 44.4 | 16.8 | 22.0 | 2.0 | $\ldots$ | 29.8 | 23.0 |
| IV. | 1.4 | 17.2 | 5.6 | $\ldots$ |  | ... | 8.0 |
| $V$. | 38.8 | 13.0 | 13.6 | 29.6 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 22.9 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It will be seen by inspecting the tables that each solution was as a rule used on each one of the five worms six times. The worms were tested in such sequence that when the reaction-times for each acid were averaged the factor of exhaustion was so distributed as to be non-cumulative for any class.

Notwithstanding the care exercised in keeping the experimental conditions as nearly uniform as possible, it will be seen that the various worms present striking differences ; some exhibiting characteristically slow, others quick reactions.

The slow reaction was in the main found associated with two modes of behavior, unlike each other. In not a few of the cases recorded, the portion of the worm anterior to the clitellum would rapidly contract as soon as it cut the surface of the solution, thus exposing a smaller receptive area to the action of the acid than would otherwise have been done. In such cases the worm was probably less stimulated and therefore would naturally be slower in withdrawing from the stimulating solution. In many of the slow reactions, the behavior was directly opposite of that just described. After cutting the surface of the solution, the anterior end of the worm would greatly elongate and squirm about, as if testing its environment in all directions. Such random movements executed in an endeavor to escape the stimulus are described by Jennings (:06) for the earthworm. A worm crawling upon a flat surface might escape an irritating stimulus with which it came in contact anteriorly, either
by forward movement in a direction different from that in which it had been moving, or by a backward contraction. When in a suspended condition, however, escape from a stimulating solution can be effected only by a contraction. It is highly probable, however, that the anterior elongations and squirming of the worm observed in some slow reactions corresponds to the effort of a crawling worm to eseape an irritation by moving forward in a new direction. This ineffective forward reaction most likely delayed the backward one and so lengthened the reaction time. Although the quick reaction is the more characteristic one, the general average of slow and quick reactions may be taken as a fair measure of the reaction-time.

Assuming that these reaction-times are indicative of the degree of stimulation, it is clear from the figures in Tables I to XVI that hydrochloric acid is more stimulating than nitric, sulphuric, or acetic acids, but beyond this it is difficult to go. This method was therefore abandoned for one that gave through a greater number of worms a more immediate comparison of the different acids.

In this second set of observations, twenty-four instead of five worms were tested in all four acids and their relative reaction times were reeorded in the manner previously described. The tests were so made that each acid had first place with some worm. 'Ihus the possibility of error due to always passing from a stronger to a weaker acid with a given worm was eliminated. The results are recorded in the following table.

By inspecting this table, it will be seen that the order of acids arranged according to their stimulating value is hydrochlorie, nitric, sulpharic, and acetic. Furthermore, that the reaction times for hydrochloric and nitric are practically identical, while that for sulphurie is nearly twice that for hydrochloric or nitrie acids. The stimulating value of acetic acid, according to these results, is about half that of sulphuric and seareely a third of that of hydrochloric and nitric acids.

These last conclnsions differ from those of Kahlenberg ('98) for sensations of faste in man, in that at $+N_{n}$ he was mable to distinguish between bydrochloric, nitric, and sulphuric acids. As 'lahle XVII shows, the earthworm, on the eminary, distinguishes between these acids; and since in cach solution the hydrogen ions are the stimutating elements, it must follow that the difference in reaction is due to a difference in enncentration of these ions.

As compared with other acids, solutions of acetic acid are peeuliar in that their som taste is more intense than would be expected from their destree of dissociation. Kahlenberg, for instance, fomd that a

a sour taste about four times as strong as it would be expected to have，assuming that the taste is due simply to the hydrogen ions momentarily present．Rich－ ards olitained a similar result，in that he found that the acetic acid was about one－third as sonr as an equivalent solution of hydro－ chloric acid，though the acetic acid was only dissociated to the extent of one－fourteenth as much as the hydrochloric acid was．

To determine whether this dis－ crepancy existed also for the earthworm，the following test was made．Two solutions were pre－ pared，an $\frac{N}{400}$ solution of acetic acid and a weaker solution of hydrochloric acid．Both solu－ tions，however，contained equiv－ alent numbers of hydrogen ions． Worms were now tested in these two solutions and the results are recorded in Tables XVIII and XIX．

It is clear from these tables that the earthworm，like man，is stimulated by acetic acid more vigorously than should be ex－ pected in accordance with the dissociation hypothesis．＇The ex－ planation for this peculiarity camot be given．It is not un－ likely，however，that it may be ascribed to the undissociated molecules of acetic acid which in a way serve as a reverse for bydrogen ions．
Reaction－Times，in Seconds，of Twenty－four Worms to Solutions of Hydrochloric，Nitric，Sulphuric，and Acetic Acids．

|  |  | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$ | T ¢！－ |
|  | 管 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
|  | 8 | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\bar{\square}$ |  |
|  | 앙 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\propto$ | ¢ |
|  | 云 | $\bigcirc$ ！！$¢$ |
|  | 9 | $\bigcirc 000 \%$ |
|  | $\stackrel{12}{2}$ | ¢！¢1 ¢ 0 |
|  | － | $\bigcirc{ }_{0}^{0}{ }^{0}$ |
|  | $\because$ |  |
|  | 역 | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  | $=$ | $\because$ サー |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | － | $\because$ |
|  | $\infty$ | $\bigcirc$ ¢ |
|  | － |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\therefore$ | $\cdots \bigcirc$ |
|  | $*$ | ¢ $<$ 管 |
|  | $\cdots$ | ¢ |
|  | 0 | 0 ＋ |
|  | － |  |
|  |  |  |

## TABLE XVIII.

Reactions of Allolobophora foetida to Solutions of Hydrochloric and Acetic Acids containing Equivalent Numbers of Hydrogen ions.

$$
\mathrm{HCl}=\mathrm{C}=\frac{{ }_{400}^{2}}{} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} .
$$

| No. of Worm. | Trials. |  |  |  |  |  | Averages. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 7.0 | 23.6 | 28.0 | 19.0 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 19.4 |
| II. | 17.2 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | S.8 | 18.2 | 16.0 |
| III. | 16.4 | 27.0 | 14.0 | 19.4 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 16.6 |
| IV. | 12.0 | 19.6 | 16.0 | 19.4 | 15.0 | 12.4 | 15.7 |
| V. | 12.6 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 7.4 | 16.6 | 13.0 | 11.9 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  | 15.9 |

> TABLE XIX.
> $\frac{N}{400} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| I. | 23.2 | 17.0 | 27.0 | 11.0 | 18.2 | 15.0 | 18.5 |
| II. | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 28.0 | 7.6 |
| III. | 16.2 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 12.9 |
| IV. | 5.6 | 7.6 | 4.4 | 19.4 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 10.8 |
| $V$. | 7.0 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.4 |
|  | General average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  | 11.6 |

## Summary.

1. The responses of the earthworm Allolobophora foctida to solutions of acids may be ascribed to the hydrogen ions that they contain.
2. The reaction-time of the earthworm depends npon the number of hydrogen ions present in the solution of the acid.
3. Using the reaction-time as a basis, the earthworm was found to discriminate more certainly than man between solutions of acids at a concentration of $7 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}$.
4. 'The response of the earthworm to solutions of acetic acid was more active than wonld have been anticipated from the degree of dissociation of this acid, and in this respect the earthworm's reactions are in agreement with human sensations as worked out by Kahlenberg, and by Richards.
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## THE MAGNITUDE OF AN ERROR WHICH SOMIETIMES AFFEC'I'S THE RESUL'I'S OF MAGNETIC TES'TS UPON IRON AND S'TEEL RINGS.

By B. Osgood Peirce.<br>Presented May 11, 1910. Received May 20, 1910.

'The theory of the magnetic properties of a homogeneous ring of iron or steel uniformly wound about by turns of insulated wire through which a steady current of electricity can be made to pass, was first investigated by Kirchhoff, who showed that the intensity of the magnetic field in the metal which thus forms the core of a ring solenoid, must be inversely proportional to the distance from the axis of revolution of the ring. He computed the mean value of the field in a ring of rectangular cross section, ${ }^{1}$ and pointed out the advantages which rings offer for measurements of the magnetic permeabilities of the metals of which they are made. The next year, Stoletow, working under the advice of Kirchhoff, took up the subject practically in the Physical Laboratory of the University of Heidelberg and in 1872 published the results of a long series of experiments upon a ring forged from a wrought iron rod. In 1873 appeared an account of the important work of Rowland, begun three years before, on rings (toroids) of circular cross section, made of various kinds of iron and steel, and since that time countless measurements of permeability have been made by many observers ${ }^{2}$ upon iron and steel rings; and when these rings

[^36]have been turned out of masses of solid metal, and not forged up and welded from bars, the results have usually been satisfactory. The value of the mean intensity of the magnetic force within the mass of a ring of circular cross section was given without proof by Bauer in 1880 ; a proof was printed by Lehmann in 1893, and an interesting diagram based on the formulas of Kirchhoff and Baner, and showing the ratio of the mean magnetizing force to the value of the force at the mean radius for rings and toroids of different relative dimensions, was given by Morton ${ }^{3}$ in the Bulletin of the Bureau of Standards for February, 1909.

In determining the permeability of an iron ring it is usual to demagnetize the metal as thoroughly as possible at the outset, and then, either by the "Method of Ascending Reversals" or the "Step-by-step Method" to determine for each of a number of values of the magnetomotive force, the whole flux of magnetic induction through the ring. The ratio ( $B^{\prime}$ ) of this flux to the area of the cross section of the ring is then plotted against the mean value of the magnetic force in the metal to get an HB diagram for the given magnetic journey of the iron. It is clear, however, as the earliest workers in this field saw, that the process here described is only approximately exact, for the induction often has very different values at the points of the ring nearest the axis of revolution and at those farthest away from it. Indeed, in a ring of soft iron of the dimensions of the specimens cmployed in a well-known form of commercial testing apparatus used in Europe, the value of $B$ at points on the inner edge of the ring when the average value of the force in the metal is unity, may be as high as 2,000 , while the value at points at the outer surface is only 7oo. In this case there is a considerable difference between the average value ( $B^{\prime}$ ) of the flux in the metal and the real value $\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $B$ at points of the ring where $I I$ has the average value. For relatively slender rings and fairly high excitations the discrepancy is not so great, and varions attempts have been made to estimate its amount beforehand for materials of different kinds. It sometimes happens, however, that one has at command only a small piece of the iron to be tested, and it becomes necessary to make the measurements upon a relatively stout ring not much larger than a finger ring, as Dr. A. Camphell of the National

[^37]Physical Laboratory, T'eddington, Middlesex, England, has so successfully done. If in such a case great accuracy is required, the work has to be carried out with considerable care and some attention has to he paid to the fact that there is a real, if usually small, difference between the value of $B$ corresponding to the mean $I$, and the mean value of $B$.

I have had occasion of late to determine the permeability of a small ring of extremely pure soft iron, and have found it helpful to compute by the aid of accurate HB diagrams, previously made for two or three different kinds of iron and steel in the form of long rods, what the discrepancy ( $b^{\prime}-b^{\prime \prime}$ ) would be for these materials at different excitations, if they were made into rings of the dimensions of the one I was compelled to use. 'This paper gives some results which seem instructive, for a very soft kind of Norway wrought irou and for a specimen of Bessemer steel fairly typical of what one meets with in practice.

The straight rods used were magnetized and demagnetized in a uniform solenoid about five meters long, consisting of 20904 turns of wellinsulated wire wound on a stout, solid-drawn brass tube through which a stream of tap water could be kept rumning about the rod to prevent any sensible rise of temperature. 'Ihe axis of the solenoid was horizontal and perpendicular to the meridian. The fiux of induction in the rods was measured by means of a test coil of fine wire wound on the rod at its centre. This coil was protected by rubber tape and its leads were insulated from the water by rubber tubes of fine bore slipped over them. The ballistic galvanometer employed had a period so long ${ }^{4}$ that no detectable error was introduced into the readings by the fact that a measurable time was needed to make the magnetic changes incident to a reversal of current in the solenoid. The rods were demagnetized by means of a long series of currents in the solenoid, alternating in direction and gradually decreasing in intensity ; and the fact that this process was successful showed that the rods were practically homogeneous tbroughout. The rods were so long that the corrections for the ends, as given by du Bois or by Shuddemagen, ${ }^{5}$ were very small.

Tables I and II give the results of determinations ${ }^{6}$ of corresponding values of $I I$ and $B$ made by the method of ascending reversals by Mr. John Coulson and myself. A number of diagrams were obtained for each rod to make sure that the rather elaborate apparatus for demagnetizing the specimens was effective and that the metal was practically

[^38]homogeneous throughout, and although the larger values of $B$ are given in the tables rather more exactly than the observations warrant, the slow-moving ballistic galvanometets employed permitted of very accurate measurements of the flux changes in the testing coil.

After a good HB diagram, accurately drawn on a large scale, has been obtained for a given kind of iron or steel, it is possible to find out how nearly the mean value of the magnetic induction in a given ring

## TABLE I.

Annealed Norway Iron Rod 1.25 cms . in Diameter, magnetized in a Uniform solenoid about five Meters lony. Results
obtained by the Method of Reversals.

| $H$. | B. | $H$. | $B$. | $H$. | $B$. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 11280 | 16. | 15700 |
| 0.2 | 90 | 4.5 | 11980 | 18. | 15900 |
| 0.4 | 260 | 5.0 | 12560 | 20. | 16040 |
| 0.5 | 395 | 5.5 | 13000 | 25. | 16320 |
| 0.6 | 570 | 6.0 | 13400 | 30. | 16520 |
| 0.8 | 1125 | 6.5 | 13700 | 35. | 16740 |
| 1.0 | 2150 | 7.0 | 13900 | 40. | 16920 |
| 1.2 | 3160 | 7.5 | 14100 | 45. | 17100 |
| 1.4 | 4140 | 8.0 | 14300 | 50. | 17220 |
| 1.5 | 4600 | 8.5 | 14490 | 60. | 17450 |
| 1.6 | 5200 | 9.0 | 14660 | 70. | 17630 |
| 1.8 | 5825 | 9.5 | 14800 | 80. | 17820 |
| 2.0 | 6600 | 10. | 14940 | 90. | 18020 |
| 2.5 | 8240 | 11. | 15100 | 100. | 18210 |
| 3.9 | 9480 | 12. | 15360 | 105. | 18300 |
| 3.5 | 10460 | 14. | 15540 |  |  |

made of this material would differ from the real induction corresponding to the mean value of the field in the metal, for any given excitation. Suppose, for example, that the ring is to be a toroid and that the radius of the circular cross section is to be $a$, while the centre of the section is distant $e$ ems. from the axis, OY, of revolution of the ring. Suppose that the excitation is to be such as to make the value of $I$, at points distant $c$ from $0 Y, I_{c}$, then the value of $I I$ at a point l ' (Figure 1 ) is $I_{c} \cdot c /(0 \mathrm{P})$. Let the numerical value of this quantity be computed for say $n+1$ points evenly dividing the space WV, and let the nmmerical values of $B$ corresponding to these values of $H$ be read with the help, of a lens from the HB diagram. Let P ' represent one of the points of
division, let $y$ represent the product of the value of $B$ corresponding to the value of $H$ at P , and the width, $\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$, of the ring at P , and let a curve be drawn with the $y$ 's as ordinates and the OP's as abscissas. The ratio of the area under this curve, - obtained by the help of a good Amsler's planimeter, - to $\pi \alpha^{2}$, gives the mean value ( $\beta^{\prime}$ ) of the magnetic induction in the ring. The average value of the field $(H)$ is $\frac{2 c I_{c}}{a^{2}}\left(c-\sqrt{c^{2}-a^{2}}\right)$ and the value $\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of the induction corresponding to this value of $I I$ can be found from the HB diagram.

## TABLE II.

liod of Bessemer Steel 1.25 cm . in Diameter, nagnetized in a Uniform Solenoid about fice Meters long. Results obtained by the Method of Reversals.

| H. | $B$. | $H$. | $B$. | $H$. | $B$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 4.5 | 4830 | 40. | 15200 |
| 0.2 | 40 | 5.0 | 5700 | 50. | 15720 |
| 0.4 | 90 | 5.5 | 6410 | 60. | 16120 |
| 0.5 | 115 | 6.0 | 7060 | 70. | 16460 |
| 0.6 | 145 | 6.5 | 7650 | 80. | 16750 |
| 0.8 | 210 | 7.9 | 8130 | 90. | 17000 |
| 1.0 | 280 | 7.5 | 8600 | 100. | 17220 |
| 1.2 | 35.1 | 8.0 | 9040 | 120. | 17640 |
| 1.4 | 426 | 8.5 | 9420 | 160. | 18240 |
| 1.5 | 465 | 9.0 | 9760 | 200. | 18800 |
| 1.6 | 505 | 9.5 | 10070 | 240. | 19200 |
| 1.8 | 610 | 10.0 | 10390 | 270. | 19620 |
| 2.0 | 730 | 10.5 | 10650 | $30 \%$. | 19800 |
| 2.5 | 1180 | 11. | 10890 | 350. | 20240 |
| 3.0 | 1935 | 15. | 12400 | 400. | 20660 |
| 3.5 | 2800 | 20. | 13360 |  |  |
| 4.0 | 3760 | 30. | 14480 |  |  |

An illustration may help to make the details of the process more intelligible. Consider a toroid of the Norway iron, the circular cross section of which has a radius of one centimeter and the mean radius $(c)$ of which is 7 centimeters. If the excitation is to be such that the valne of the magnetic field at C is unity, the values of $/ /$ at points distant $6,6.1,6.2,6.4,6.6,6.8,7.0,7.2,7.4,7.6,7.8,8 \mathrm{cms}$. respectively from the axis of revolution of the ring, are 1.167, 1.147, 1.129, 1.094, $1.061,1.030,1.000,0.972,0.946,0.921,0.897,0.575$, and the values
of $B$ which correspond to these as determined from the HB diagram for the iron, are $2995,2895,2810,2635,2460,2310,2150,2005,1870$, $1745,1620,1505$. If 2895 be multiplied by the thickness of the ring at a distance of 6.1 cms . from the axis ( $O Y$ Y), 2810 by the thickness at a distance of 6.2 cms. from OY, etc., a curve of the form KNQ shown in Figure 1 will be obtained. This curve was actually laid down on a large seale by the help of a needle point on a sheet of good coördinate


Figure 1.
paper, and the area under it was determined to be 6816, though the last significant figure is not determined. This divided by $\pi \mu^{2}$ gives 2170 as the mean value $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ of $B$ in the ring. 'The mean value of $H$ in the ring is 1.0052 and the value ( $B^{\prime \prime}$ ) of $B$ which corresponds to this is 2176. Although these results have been obtained with great care, they cannot of course be assumed to be quite correct ; but it appears to be true that the crror in this case is not very large.

The corresponding process in the case of a ring with rectangular cross section is much simpler and the results are more trustworthy, for the ring has a miform thickness and the curve which bounds the nearly trapezoidal area to be measured often has so slight a curvature that
the application of some form of Simpson's Rule may be made to yield a result much more accurate than a planimeter can be expected to furnish.

In the case of such a ring, as appears from the last two columms of Tables III, IV, and V, $B^{\prime}$ ' is usually a tritle larger than $l^{\prime \prime \prime}$, for very small values of the mean $I I$ in the iron, but is equal to it for a single somewhat larger value. Then, with increasing values of $I I, B^{\prime}$ is a tritie


Figure 2.
smaller than $B^{\prime \prime}$; but the ratio $B^{\prime} / B^{\prime \prime}$ soon approaches unity from the under side, and, for high excitations, is sensibly equal to one. It is evident, however, that the form of $B^{\prime} / B^{\prime \prime}$ as a function of the average value of $I I$ in the ring must depend upon the dimensions of the latter as well as upon the magnetic properties of the material of which the ring is made.

If a ring of rectangular cross section, of the same inner and outer diameters as the toroid just described, be made of the Norway iron, and if the excitation be made such that the average value of the magnetic field in the metal at the centre (C) of the cross section is unity, the values of $H$ and $B$ already found may be used to draw the curve PQ , Figure 2. The area under this curve as computed by Simpson's

## TABLE III.

Ring of the Pure Amnealed Norway Iron. (Rectangular Cross Section. Inner Radius, 2 a ; Outer Radius, 3a.)

| $H_{0}$. | $H_{m}$. | $H_{1}$. | $B_{0}$. | $B m$. | $B_{1}$. | ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$. | $B^{\prime}$. | $B^{\prime \prime}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 400 | 280 | 190 | 0.405 | 267 | 255 |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.67 | 2150 | 1140 | 710 | 0.811 | 1235 | 1195 |
| 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.00 | 4600 | 3155 | 2150 | 1.216 | 3435 | 3230 |
| 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.33 | 6600 | 5020 | 3835 | 1.622 | 5090 | 5130 |
| 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.00 | 9480 | 7950 | 6600 | 2.433 | 7970 | 8040 |
| 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.67 | 11280 | 9870 | 8660 | 3.244 | 9910 | 9950 |
| 6.0 | 4.8 | 4.00 | 13400 | 12350 | 11280 | 4.866 | 12340 | 12410 |
| 8.0 | 6.4 | 5.33 | 14300 | 13630 | 12880 | 6.488 | 13610 | 13680 |
| 10.0 | 8.0 | 6.67 | 14940 | 14300 | 13760 | 8.110 | 14810 | 14330 |

$H_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are the values of the magnetic force and of the induction at the inner surface of the ring; $H_{1}, B_{1}$ and $H_{m}, B_{m}$ the values of the same quantities at the outer surface of the ring and at the mean radius, respectively. $H^{\prime}$ is the mean value of the magnetic field in the steel. $B^{\prime}$ is the mean value of the induction in the ring as obtained by mechanical integration from a diagram of ascending reversals for the stcel, and $B^{\prime \prime}$ is the value of the induction corresponding to $H^{\prime}$ as shown by the same diagram. The table shows the crror made by using, $B^{\prime} / H^{\prime}$ instead of the exact value $B^{\prime \prime} / H^{\prime}$ for the permeability corresponding to $H^{\prime}$.

## TABLE IV.

Thimner Ring of the Ammaled Norway Iron. (Rectangular Cross Sertion. Inner Radius, 4a; Outer liadius, ioa.)

| $H_{0}$. | $1{ }_{\text {m }}$. | $H_{1}$. | $B_{0} / B_{2}$. | $B$. | $1{ }^{\prime}$. | $B^{\prime}$. | $B^{\prime \prime}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.62 | 0.8.5.5 | 0.50 | 1.59 | 405 | 0.558 | 502 | 490 |
| (1).914 | 0.8938 | 0.75 | 1.90 | 1275 | 0.837 | 1325 | 1310 |
| 1.25 | 1.111 | 1.00 | 1.58 | 2702 | 1.116 | 2728 | 2740 |
| 2.50 | 2.229 | 2.00 | 1.25 | 7365 | 2.231 | 7386 | 7.104 |
| 3.75 | 8..3:3\% | 3.00 | 1.15 | 10180 | 3.347 | 10140 | 10150 |
| 6.25 | $5.5 \%$ | 5.00 | 1.08 | 13060 | 5.578 | 13070 | 13080 |
| 10.00 | 8.858 | 8.00 | 1.04 | 14600 | 8.924 | 14610 | 14620 |
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TABLE V.
Ring of the Bessemer Steel. (Rectangular Cross Section. Inner Radius, $2 a$; Outer Radius, $3 a$.)

| $H_{0}$. | $H_{m}$. | $H_{1}$. | $B_{10}$. | $B_{m}$. | $B_{1}$. | $B_{0} / B_{1}$. | $H^{\prime}$. | $B^{\prime}$ | $B^{\prime \prime}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.67 | 280 | 201 | 170 | 1.65 | 0.811 | 209 | 20.5 |
| 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.33 | 725 | 502 | 385 | 1.88 | 1.622 | 521 | 510 |
| 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.00 | 1920 | 1060 | 725 | 2.65 | 2.433 | 1150 | 1100 |
| 4.0 | 3.2 | 2.67 | 3760 | 2260 | 1360 | 2.77 | 3.244 | 2365 | 2330 |
| 6.0 | 4.8 | 4.00 | 7060 | 5350 | 3760 | 1.88 | 4.866 | 5370 | 470 |
| 8.0 | 6.4 | 5.33 | 9030 | 7530 | 6190 | 1.46 | 6.488 | 7550 | 7630 |
| 10. | 8.0 | 6.67 | 10490 | 9030 | 7800 | 1.35 | 8.110 | 9060 | 9130 |
| 20. | 16. | 13.33 | 13360 | 12660 | 11830 | 1.06 | 16.219 | 12630 | 12710 |

Rule appears to be 2185 , and the value of $B$ corresponding to the average value 1.0069 of $H$ is also 2185, so for these dimensions and for this particular excitation, the error represented by $B^{\prime}-B^{\prime \prime}$ seems non existent.

For an excitation great enough to make the value of $H$ at the mean radius 2 , a process similar to that just described shows that $B^{\prime}$ would be 6630 and $B^{\prime \prime}, 6650$; but if $H_{c}$ were made 5 , the value of $B^{\prime}$ would be 12560 and $B^{\prime \prime}, 12590$. The difference in this instance is less than one quarter of one per cent of either quantity and lies within the limits of error of most magnetic measurements made upon ring specimens. For work that must be very accurate, rings much thinner than this one - in which the ratio of the outer radius to the inner radius is $4 / 3$-are usually employed, and the error is then practically negligible for almost all excitations.

If magnetic measurements are to be made upon rings of the dimensions sometimes used in practical permeameters, the errors arising from the difference between $B^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ become relatively important as appears from T'ables III and V, where the results for two practical cases are given. A comparison of 'Tables III and IV will show how fast the error decreases when the ring is made thinner.

My thanks are due to the Trustees of the Bache Fund of the National Academy of Sciences for the loan of apparatus.
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Kirchinff's Law in its simple statement says that the energy radiated at a given temperature divided by the absorption coefficient for that temperature is the same for all bodies of the same shape and size, that is, equal to the energy radiated by a perfectly absorbing body of the same shape and size at that temperature. The relation is easily shown to hold for total radiation, and depends merely on the First and Second Laws of Thermodynamics. But for separate wave lengths, a reduction of the law to a firm thermodynamic basis, though often attempted, ${ }^{1}$ seems not to have been completely obtained. Cnwarrantable assumptions, sometimes tacit, seem unavoidably to have been introduced.

The present paper, in order to show the nature of the assumptions that must be introduced, attempts to set up a system of assmptions from which the law will rigidly follow.

Pursuing in the main a method of proof due to E. Pringsheim, ${ }^{2}$ we take now a body $S$, in which there is a cavity (see Figure I). This body need not be all in one piece nor need the cavity be filled homogencously with one medium. Suppose, however, that there is in the cavity a simple closed surface $\Sigma_{1}$, enclosing another closed surface $\Sigma_{0}$ and not touching it at any point. 'The space between


Figure 1. $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{1}$ is supposed filled with a medium

[^40]that is fixed rigidly with $\Sigma_{1}$ wherever in the cavity $\Sigma_{1}$ may be. $\Sigma_{0}$ is fixed rigidly in this medium. We shall regard $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}$ as a slab whose dimensions are small in regard to the other dimensions of the cavity, and whose thickness is small in comparison to its width and height. This surface $\Sigma_{0}$ we consider filled with varions substances; we may have it so that the two faces consist of different materials. Let us denote the two surfaces by $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, each of area $\sigma$.

We have two quantities of energy to consider in regard to $\Sigma_{0}$, that which passes out of $\Sigma_{0}$, and that that passes in. The amounts of energy that in unit time pass out of $\Sigma_{0}$ at wave lengths between $\lambda=a$ and $\lambda=b$ through the two faces $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ we can denote respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma \int_{a}^{b} E_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{21}\right]_{a}^{b} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma \int_{a}^{b} E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{12}\right]_{a}^{b} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$ are functions of the substance of the element of surface, of its temperature, and of the nature of the medium in which it is immersed, but not of the temperature or position of other bodies in its neighborhood. $\left.\quad H_{21}\right]_{a}^{b}$ and $\left.H_{12}\right]_{a}^{b}$ are two functions that depend on the surrounding conditions and approach zero as $\Sigma_{0}$ is removed from the influence of other radiating bodies; they represent the amounts of energy at wave lengths between $\lambda=a$ and $\lambda=b$, transmitted through $\Sigma_{0}$ from $\sigma_{2}$ to $\sigma_{1}$ and from $\sigma_{1}$ to $\sigma_{2}$ respectively. We shall denote the total amounts of energy transmitted by $I_{21}$ and $H_{12}$. These assumptions that we have made in regard to $E_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$ constitute essentially Prevost's Law of Exchange.

The amounts of energy at wave lengths between $\lambda=\pi$ and $\lambda=b$ that in unit time reach $\Sigma_{0}$ at the two faces $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ from outside, we can denote respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} e_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} e_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total amounts of energy that in unit time enter $\Sigma_{0}$ through the two faces $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{b}^{\infty} A_{1}(\lambda) e_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+\Pi_{12} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \int_{b}^{+\infty} A_{2}(\lambda) e_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda+I I_{21} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{1}(\lambda)$ and $A_{2}(\lambda)$ are called absorption coefficients and denote functions that depend on the nature and temperature of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, and possibly on the temperature of the body s.' 'I'he existence of such functions is assumed. In the physical case the functions $E, C$, and A seem to be continuous in $\lambda$. And accordingly although it is not strictly necessary for the development of the proof, we shall make this assumption. We assume also that the functions $E, e$, and $A$ are positive or zero at every $\lambda$, and that the functions $A$ are $\leqq 1$.

Before seeking to obtain relations among the several kinds of energies, it is well to consider more in detail the nature of the functions $e_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $e_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$. Let us denote by $\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{1}(\lambda) d \lambda$ the amount of energy that would reach $\sigma^{\prime}$ - the section of $\Sigma_{0}$ half way between $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ - from the $\sigma_{1}$ side, and $\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{2}(\lambda) d \lambda$ the amount of energy that would reach $\sigma^{\prime}$ from the $\sigma_{2}$ side, if $\Sigma_{0}$ were filled merely with the same medium that occupies the space between $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{1}$.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)=e_{1}(\lambda)+\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)  \tag{7}\\
& e_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)=e_{2}(\lambda)+\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now as we change the slab $\Sigma_{0}$ in size, the functions $\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$ will change. If the body $\Sigma_{0}$ is small, the energy that reaches it will depend little on its own influence, ${ }^{3}$ and $\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$ will be small. We make the assumption that as $\Sigma_{0}$ approaches zero, keeping the same relative proportions, both $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ approach zero, and approach zero in such a way that

$$
\Delta_{\sigma_{1}}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)\right| d \lambda
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{\sigma_{2}}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)\right| d \lambda
$$

both approach zero.

Let us now put our apparatus in operation. At the start we put everything that has a temperature (ether, of course, has not) at one temperature $T$, and throughout succeeding time maintain $S$ at temperature $T$. 'Ihe body $\Sigma_{0}$ will thereafter, according to the Second Law of 'Thermodynamics, remain at temperature $T$. Aceording to the First Law of Thermodynamies the amounts of energy absorbed and emitted by $\Sigma_{0}$ are equal. We have then the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{21}+\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{12}=  \tag{10}\\
\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{1}(\lambda) e_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{12}+\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{2}(\lambda) e_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda+H_{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can at this point deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda= \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{1}(\lambda) e_{1}(\lambda) d \lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{2}(\lambda) e_{2}(\lambda) d \lambda \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

For if this equation did not hold, but if, on the other hand, the left hand member were equal to the right hand member plus a quantity $\epsilon$, we conld by taking $\Sigma_{0}$ small enough secure a contradiction from equation (11), and the assumption that $\Delta_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $\Delta_{\sigma_{2}}$ approach 0 as $\Sigma_{0}$ decreases indefinitely.

If we replace $s$ by any other solid body fulfilling the conditions we get an equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\int^{\infty} L_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda) d \lambda+ & \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda) d \lambda= \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{1}(\lambda) \ell_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda) d \lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{2}(\lambda) e_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda) d \lambda . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The question now arises as to what is the relation among the $e$ 's, $\rho_{1}(\lambda), e_{2}(\lambda), e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda), e_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda)$. If the functions $\Lambda_{1}(\lambda)$ and $A_{2}(\lambda)$ were perfectly arbitrary it conld easily be shown that all the functions $e(\lambda)$ are identical ; but we have no right to make such an assumption. ${ }^{4}$

[^41]The principal difficulty of the proof lies precisely in this step. The purpose is to make as modest a requirement as possible for the function $A(\lambda)$. We shall assume that there exist, or can be constructed, physical bodies such that the resulting assemblage of absorption coetficients is what we may call densely distributed between some two absorption coefficients that are distinct for every value of $\lambda$. We define densely distributed as follows :

Let $A^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and $A^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)$ be two functions positive or zero and continnous for all positive values of $\lambda$. Let $f(\lambda)$ be any function finite and continuous for all positive values of $\lambda$, such that
or

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
A^{\prime}(\lambda) & \geqq f(\lambda) \geqq A^{\prime \prime}(\lambda), & & \lambda>0 \\
A^{\prime}(\lambda) \leqq f(\lambda) \leqq A^{\prime \prime}(\lambda), & & \lambda>0
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2.
and let $\delta$ be any quantity $>0$. We say then that the functions $A(\lambda)$ are densely distributed between $A^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and $A^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)$ provided that no matter what $f(\lambda)$ and $\delta$ are taken, there is an $A(\lambda)$ such that

$$
|f(\lambda)-A(\lambda)| \leqq \delta, \quad 0<\lambda .
$$

We shall assume that $A_{1}(\lambda)$ and $A_{2}(\lambda)$ are independently densely distributed between two functions $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and $A_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)$, and two functions $A_{2}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)$ respectively, where
and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)>A_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda), & 0<\lambda \\
A_{2}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)>A_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda), & 0<\lambda
\end{array}
$$

Suppose now that $e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda) \not \ddagger e_{1}(\lambda)$ at some value

$$
\lambda=\lambda_{0}, \quad 0<\lambda_{0}
$$

It will then be unequal to $e_{1}(\lambda)$ throughout a small neighborhood $\lambda_{1} \leqq \lambda \leqq \lambda_{2}$, - let us say that $e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{1}(\lambda)>\epsilon>0$ throughout the interval.

Now if we subtract (12) from (13) we have
$\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{1}(\lambda)\left[e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{1}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{2}(\lambda)\left[e_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{2}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda=0$.
In particular we have
$\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)\left[e_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{1}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{2}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)\left[e_{2}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{2}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda=0$,
whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[A_{1}(\lambda)-A_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right]\left[e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{1}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda+ \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[A_{2}(\lambda)-A_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right]\left[e_{2}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{2}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda=0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

On account of the freedom of choice for $A_{1}(\lambda)$ and $A_{2}(\lambda)$ we can now get a contradiction out of (15). For we can choose $\mathrm{A}^{1}(\lambda)$ in reference to $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}(\lambda)$ in reference to $\mathrm{A}_{2}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda)$ in such a way that the only significant part of the integrals in (15) will be

$$
\int_{\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{2}}\left[A_{1}(\lambda)-A_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right]\left[e_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda)-e_{1}(\lambda)\right] d \lambda,
$$

which can be made unequal to zero. But this contradicts (15). Hence $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{o}\right)=e_{1}\left(\lambda_{o}\right)$ and $e_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda) \equiv \rho_{1}(\lambda)$.

And not only for every body $S$ but also for every position of $\Sigma_{\mathrm{o}}$ in the cavity is $\rho_{1}^{\prime}(\lambda) \equiv \rho_{1}(\lambda)$. For different positions of $\Sigma_{0}$ can be regarded as the same position of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{o}}$ with different S . Hence in particu$\operatorname{lar} e_{1}(\lambda)=e_{2}(\lambda)$. We have then the theorem that the character of the radiation impinging on either face of any element of surface $\sigma$ anywhere in the cavity $S$ is a function merely of the temperature of the cavity and the nature of the medium in which the element of surface is immersed.

If we denote the function $\rho_{1}(\lambda)=e_{2}(\lambda)$ by $e(\lambda)$, we may call $e(\lambda)$ perfectly black radiation. It is approximately the radiation emitted through a hole of unit area in the bounding surface of a large cavity whose interior walls are kept at the uniform temperature $T$.

We can now easily prove Kirchhoff's Law. Suppose that in the cavity $S$ we have any body $K$, enclosed by a surface $S^{\prime}$, just outside the
surface of $K$. A surface $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ is assumed outside $S_{1}$; between $K$ and $S_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ there is an arbitrary medium. $\boldsymbol{K}^{-}$itself may be solid, liquid or gaseous, though if $\boldsymbol{K}$ is liquid or gaseous the medium in which it is enclosed must be solid. We have then, at the temperature $T$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} E(\lambda) d S_{1}+\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)}[1 & -A(\lambda)] e(\lambda) d S_{1}  \tag{17}\\
& =\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} e(\lambda) d S_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

since the amount of radiation of wave length $\lambda$ reaching any element of surface $d \mathbf{S}$, from either side is $e(\lambda)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} E(\lambda) d S_{1}=\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} A(\lambda) e(\lambda) d S_{1}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an expression of Kirchhoff's Law. The expression looks more natural if we denote by $\bar{A}(\lambda)$

$$
\bar{A}(\lambda)=\frac{\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} A(\lambda) e(\lambda) d S}{\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)}^{e}(\lambda) d S}
$$

we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)} E(\lambda) d S_{1}=\bar{A}(\lambda) \iint_{\left(S_{1}\right)}^{e}(\lambda) d S_{1} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $E(\lambda)$ is a function of the element of surface only, we can by taking a spherical $K$ of the material under investigation deduce from symmetry that

$$
E(\lambda)=A(\lambda) e(\lambda) .
$$

## Discussion of the Assumptions.

On page 99 certain assumptions are made in regard to the functions $\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$,- namely, that as the body $\Sigma_{0}$ decreases in size, $\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)$ and $\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)$ approach zero as a limit in such a way that
and

$$
\Delta_{\sigma_{1}}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{\sigma_{1}}(\lambda)\right| d \lambda
$$

$$
\Delta_{\sigma_{2}}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\delta_{\sigma_{2}}(\lambda)\right| d \lambda
$$

both approach zero. This amounts in effect to assuming that
$\sigma\left(\Delta_{\sigma_{1}}+\Delta_{\sigma_{2}}\right)$, the difference in the radiation reaching the surface $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ when there is and when there is not a different material in $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ from the medium between $\Sigma_{o}$ and $\Sigma_{1}$, is an infinitesimal of the second order. This supposition seems more reasonable than it really is.

For let us suppose, if it is possible, that the body $S$ is composed of a material which emits and absorbs only a partial spectrum, i.e. there are wave lengths for which $S$ emits and absorbs no energy. With no body $\Sigma_{o}$ and ether for the medium throughout, the radiation $e(\lambda)$ reaching any element of surface within the cavity will not contain energy of these wave lengths which the body $S$ does not emit. But if we insert a body $\Sigma_{\text {o }}$, however small, which has a complete spectrum, the energy of the forbidden wave lengths will build up until it reaches such intensity that the amount absorbed by the small body $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{o}}$ will be equal to the amount emitted by it. Since both of these quantities will therefore be infinitcsimals of the first order, $\delta_{1}(\lambda)$ and $\delta_{2}(\lambda)$ will not approach zero at all as $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{o}$ decreases in size.

We cannot therefore regard the invariance of the function $e(\lambda)$ as itself a statement of the non-existence of bodies of partial spectra. ${ }^{5}$ For that invariance depends upon the assumption that $\Delta_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $\Delta_{\sigma_{2}}$ approach zero as $\Sigma_{0}$ becomes smaller and smaller, and hence gives no contradiction when $S$ is a body of partial spectrum.

However, bodies of partial spectra, if they exist, must satisfy Kirchhoff's Law. For the analysis of pages 101 and 102 applies. And a body that has a partial emission spectrum must have an absorption spectrum extending over precisely the same region of wave lengths; and viee versa.

The second assumption of importance is the supposition that there can be constructed a dense distribution of absorption coefficients between some two distinct functions of $\lambda$. This assumption does not by any means demand the existence of an arbitrary absorption coefficient. Indeed the requirements of the proof can be met by a denumerable system ${ }^{6}$. And yet we cannot be certain of the possibility of physically constructing even this denumerable set.

[^42]Although the present proof depends, indeed, on this assumption of the physical existence of ideal elements, the proof is not completely invalidated by the lack of such a system. There is in this instance the considerable advantage that the existence of even a finite physical system approximately fulfilling the conditions of the postulated ileal system carries with it the approximate fulfilment of the thesis. Kirchhoff's Law is approximately satisfied in any case.

It is worth while noting that, although establishing a particular property of any particular substance, namely that its emission and absorption coefficient must stand in a certain fixed relation, the proof, on account of its nature, holds only in so far as the particular substance is a member of a physical system that contains as a subset the dense system postulated. ${ }^{7}$ The properties of the individual are determined by the environment.

Finally there is a third assumption that needs some attention. For on page 103, in deducing equation (17), which holds for any particular value of $\lambda$, it is assumed that the wave length of energy is unchanged by reflection. And Kirchhoff's Law will therefore hold for any bodies whose surfaces are such that they do not change wave length by reflection.

In certain cases this assumption about the nature of the body itself is equivalent to a condition on the environment. For if the body under consideration be a member of a dense system of absorption coefficients, the above assumption may be replaced by postulating that a single member of that system be known to obey Kirchhoff's Law. And from this postulate the other, that wave length be unchanged by reflection, may be deduced. The two, though they do not look alike, are in a sense mathematically equivalent.

## Conclusion.

The fruitfulness of Kirchhoff's Law depends upon the degree to which the absorption coefficient is invariant in regard to different conditions of the body or its surroundings, i.e., for instance in regard to (1) different temperatures of the absorbing body, and (2) different in-

[^43]tensities of radiation reaching the surface from outside [corresponding to different temperatures of the body $S$ ]. For in general the absorption coefficient has to be measured under one set of conditions when it is desired to apply the results to another set.

Hence it may seem that Kirchhoff's Law holds very well for one set of bodies and very poorly for another set, if the absorption coefficient is regarded as a constant. The preceding analysis shows, however, that carefully stated, the law holds as well for one substance as another, as well for gases and liquids as for solids.

In closing I should like to acknowledge my indebtedness to Professor G. W. Pierce of Harvard University for helpful criticism.

Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
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1. Work of Previous Investigators and Purpone of thils

Ir the passage of an electric current is associated with the actual transfer of matter along the conductor, a number of phenomena, de-
pending upon the inertia of this matter, are to be expected. The nature of these phenomena was completely discussed by Maxwell; but they have not yet been detected in metallic conductors. ${ }^{1}$ In electrolytic conductors, however, the experiments of Hittorf have shown that there is an actual transfer of matter through the solution, accompanying the passage of a current. As an example of the electrical effects which may accompany such a motion of the carriers of electricity or ions, let us consider a solution of silver nitrate placed in a vertical tube with silver electrodes at the top and bottom. The passage of a current of electricity through this solution from the lower to the upper electrode will be accompanied by a raising of the silver ions in the solution and an approximately equal lowering of the considerably lighter nitrate ions. The net effect produced by the current will be the lifting of a certain weight of material from the lower electrode to the upper ; and the work thus done against gravity must correspond to a definite electromotive force which will oppose the passage of the current in this direction.

Effects of this kind were first predicted by Colley, ${ }^{2}$ and have also been considered by various other investigators. ${ }^{3}$ Colley himself was
${ }^{1}$ Maxwell, Treatise on Elec. \& Mag., 3rd edition, Vol. II, 211 et seq.; Lodge, Morlern Views of Elee. \& Mag., 3rd edition, 59; Nichols, Phys. Zeitschr. 7, 610 (1906).
${ }^{2}$ Colley, Journal der St. Petersburg ehem. und phys. Gesellschaften 7, 333, 1875; Pogg. Ann. 157, 370 (1876); Ibid. 157, 624 (1876); Wied. Beibl. 5 , 457 (1881); Wied. Ann., 17, 55 (1882).
${ }^{3}$ Lodge, Phil. Mag. 2, 367 (1876); Hertz, Wied. Ann. 14, 590 (1881); Des Coudres, Wied. Ann. 49, 284 (1593); Ibid. 67, 232 (1596). The electromotive force which is produced in salt solutions by the action of gravity must be earefully distinguished from the actual elanges in the concentration of the solute which gravity will produce. The difference in concentration between the solution in the upper and lower ends of a vertical tube, or the central and peripheral portions of a rotating tube can be ealculated from simple themolynamic considerations. It is to be expected, however, that this difference in concentration will be very slowly established (see Des Condres, 1. e. below). The difference in potential between the two ends of the solution is an immediate phenomenon which oceurs as soon as the tube is set up. It is evident that when the final change in the concentration of a salt solution has completed itself there will no longer be any potential difference between the upper and lower portion of the solution. A complete bibliography of the theoretical and experimental work which has been done in this field follows: Gay Lussace, Amb. chim. phys. 11, 306 (1S19); Gony et Chaperon, Ann. chim. phys. 12, 3s4 (1557); Nernst, Zeitsehr. f. phys. Chem. 2, 637 (185s); Duhem, Journ de phys. 7, 391 (188S): 'T. v. 'Turin, Journ. der russ. Geschichte, 24, 90 (1892), Wied. Beibl. 17, 16 (1893); Des Contres, Wied. Ann. 49, 284 (1893); Ibid. Wied. Ann. 55, 213 (1895); Van der Waals, Zeitschr. f. phys-
able to detect the existence of potential differences between the upper and lower electrodes placed in solutions of silver nitrate and cadmium iodide. The small electromotive forces produced in these solutions by gravity are masked by the presence of much larger variable potential differences which are due to otherwise undetectable differences between the two electrodes used. 'Ilhe effect of gravity is only perceived when a series of measurements is made of the deflections of a very sensitive galvanometer, the positions of the upper and lower electrodes being interchanged between each two measurements by a reversal of the tube containing the electrolyte. The potential differences, which Colley thus found, were of the order of magnitude theoretically predicted. Later he also showed the presence of a momentary electromotive force produced by the sudden stopping of a falling tube containing cadmium iodide solution.

The experimental problem was next attacked by Des Condres who substituted centrifugal force for the weaker action of gravity. He made use of a rotating apparatus in which were placed two tubes with electrodes connected in series, containing cadmium iodide solution. The electrodes were placed 31 cm . and 9 cm . from the center and at 5.5 revolutions per second he obtained an electromotive force of 155 microvolts. Individual measurements, however, varied at times more than $\mathbf{1} 1 \%$ from the mean. Although the substitution of centrifugal force for the force of gravity greatly increases the size of the effect which can be obtained, it is accompanied by the introduction of new errors, produced, for example, by the uncqual heating of the central and peripheral portions of the apparatus, as well as by other difficulties. The method was abaudoned by Des Coudres in favor of measurements on specially constructed gravity cells. These mcasurements are of a considerable degree of accuracy and the results will be given in detail.

As we shall see in the next section, it is possible to derive a relation connecting the electromotive force produced by the action of gravity and the transference number of the electrolyte. The measurements of Des Coudres were made for the purpose of comparing the transference

Chem. 5, 157 (1890); Bredig Zeitsehr. f. phys. Chem. 17, 459 (1895); Lobry de Bruyn et van Calcar, Red. d. travaux chim. d. Pays-Bas, 23, 218 (1901); Franklin \& Freudenberger, Trans. Am. Electrochem. Soc. 8, 29 (190.3); Earl of Berkeley \& C. V. Burton. Phil. Mag. 17, 600 (1909); Gibbs, The Scientifie Papers of, Longmans, Green \& Co. (1906), Vol. I, 144.

As to experimental results it may be said that the work of van Calcar and de Bruyn is in complete disagreement with the theory. The result reported by the Earl of Berkeley and Dr. Burton is, however, of the predicted orler of magnitude.
numbers calculated from electromotive foree with those determined by the Hittorf method. In his first gravitational experiment he again made use of cadmium iodide solution which owing to complex ion formation apparently has a transference number greater than unity, and hence gives a large electromotive force. In the experiment the solution was placed in a glass tube with the electrodes at the two ends about 91 cm . apart. The gravitational electromotive force was deduced from a series of readings of galvanometer deflection, the positions of the upper and lower electrodes being interchanged between each two measurements. The transference number of the solution was found by calculation to be 1.236, differing by at least five per cent from that determined in the analytical way.

In order to test the theory on more simple solutions such as the chlorides of the alkali metals, which do not have abnormal values of the transference number, it was necessary to compensate for the decreased size of the effect by the construction of an apparatus with greater difference in height between the electrodes. The electrodes were placed in glass vessels and connected together by a rubber tube full of the solution. The electrode containers could be raised and lowered at will, permitting a difference in level of 377 cm .
The following table gives a summary of his results, including a comparison between the value $T_{a}$ for the transference number of the anion as calculated from his results and as determined by the Hittorf method. The measurements were made with calomel electrodes (in the case of $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ with Cd amalgam electrodes as well). The e.m.f. is expressed in microvolts per centimeter difference in height.

| Salt. | $\%$ Conc. | e. m. f. | Ts | Ta Hittorf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KCl | 16.8 | +0.0.510 | 0.50 | 0.52 |
| NaCl | 19.9 | -0.0315 | 0.66 | 0.65 |
| LiCl | 17.3 | -0.109 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| HCl | 3.56 | -0.0218 | 0.150 | 0.175 |
| $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ | 17.0 | $+0.170$ | 0.64 | 0.65 |
| $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (Cal. Elect.) | 30.1 | $-0.183$ | 1.10 |  |
| $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$ (Amalg. Eleet.) | 30.1 | $-0.221$ | 1.02 |  |

Des Coudres estimates that the uncertainty in the values of the electromotive forces given is not greater than $\pm 0.009$ microvolts.

In the present investigation, a new attempt was made to increase the accuracy of this method of determining transference numbers, by substituting for the effect of gravity the force produced by a centrifugal machine of considerable power. As will be seen in the sequel, the average deviation betweer successive measurements has in this way been reduced to a very small amount. An estimation of the actual error in the results is, however, somewhat difficult to make.

## 2. Derivation of an Expression for the Electromotive Force.

In order to derive an expression for the electromotive force produced in an electrolytic solution by the action of gravity, let us consider a vertical tube of height $h$, filled with the solution and provided with electrodes at the top and bottom. If $E$ is the potential difference in volts between the upper and lower electrodes produced by the action of gravity, then by allowing one faraday of electricity to flow under this electromotive force we could obtain the external work $10^{7} \mathrm{EF}$ ergs. The passage of this electricity through the solution is accompanied, however, by the transfer of a certain net weight of material from the upper electrode to the lower one. From the principles of energetics, it is evident that this external energy $10^{7} E F$ which we could obtain will just be sufficient to restore the solution to its original condition, that is, will do the work of raising the transferred material back from the lower electrode to the upper one. For the sake of definiteness, let us suppose that the electrolyte is a solution of an iorlide ( $\mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}$) and that we are using iodine electrodes, consisting in practice of platinum electrodes with a small amount of iodine dissolved in the solution. If, now, we let one faraday of electricity flow through the solution, we know from the experiments of Faraday and of Hittorf that one atomic weight of iodine or $M_{I}$ grams will be liberated at the anode or upper electrode and will disappear from the lower electrode, and at the same time that there will be a change in the ratio of salt to water at the two electrodes such that $T_{c} M_{s}$ gms. of salt will have apparently been transferred from the anode to the cathode, where $T_{c}$ is the ordinary or Hittorf transference number of the cation and $M_{s}$ is the molecular weight of the salt. In order, therefore, to restore the solution to its original condition of uniform concentration, it is necessary to raise $T_{c} M_{s}$ gms. of salt from the lower electrode to the upper one at the same time lowering one atomic weight of iodine. If we raise and lower these substances through the solution it is evident that they will be buoyed up by force equal to the weight of the volume of solution which they displace. Hence if $g$ is the acceleration due to vOL. XLVI -8
gravity, and $d$ the density of the solution, the downward forces acting respectively on the salt and the iodine will be $g T_{c} M_{s}\left(1-v_{s} d\right)$ and $g M_{I}\left(1-v_{I_{2}} d\right)$ where $v_{s}$ and $v_{I_{2}}$ are the "partial" specific volumes ${ }^{4}$ of the substances. Equating the external electrical work to the work done against these forces in transferring the substance from one electrode to the other, we have the desired relation 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{7} E F=h g\left[T_{c} M_{s}\left(1-v_{s} d\right)-M_{I}\left(1-v_{I_{2}} d\right)\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If instead of using a gravity cell we should rotate our solution $n$ times per second, with electrodes at $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, since the centrifugal force acting on one gram at any radius $r$ is $4 \pi^{2} n^{2} r$, the work done in carrying one gram from $r_{2}$ to $r_{1}$ would evidently be

$$
\int_{r_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} 4 \pi^{2} n^{2} r d r=2 \pi^{2} n^{2}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)^{6}
$$

and our equation for electromotive force becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{7} E F=2 \pi^{2} n^{2}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\left[T_{c} 1_{s}\left(1-r_{s} l\right)-M_{I}\left(1-v_{L_{2}}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the value of the electromotive force which is to be measured is proportional to the factor $h g$ or $2 \pi^{2} n^{2}\left(r^{2}{ }_{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)$. In the experiments of Des Coudres on gravitational cells, the factor hy was 360,000 , while with his rotating apparatus the value of the corresponding factor was 583,000 . In the centrifugal experiments which are to be described in this paper the value of the factor was raised to $114,000,000$.

## The Effect of IIydration.

It has been shown by the careful researches of Buchbïck 7 and especially of Washburn 8 that it is possible to distinguish between the ordinary Hittorf transference number and the so-called "true" transference number in which the motion of the ions is referred to a non-

[^44]electrolytic indicator dissolved in the solution instead of to the water. If water is carried by the current, owing to hydration of the ions, then the two transference numbers will be different.

The Hittorf transference number gives, however, the actual number of equivalents of salt which apparently disappear in the neighborhood of one electrode and appear at the other when one faraday of electricity is sent through the solution, and hence the Hittorf transference number gives us the amount of salt which must be moved in order to restore the solution to its original condition of uniform concentration. From a consideration of the method by which the equation for the electromotive force was derived, it is obvious that the IIttorf transference number is the one which has been determined in this research.

## 3. Kinetic Derivation of tie Electromotive Force Expression.

In order to derive the equations used in this article, we have considered the production of the electromotive force in a rotating solution from a thermodynamic standpoint. The fact that more work was needed to send a current throngh a salt solution from the outer to the inner electrodes than in the reverse direction, could be predicted from thermodynamie principles, and the electromotive force, corresponding to this work, could be calculated merely from a knowledge of certain properties of the solution such as transference number and density, which can be experimentally determined. It is also instructive, however, to look at the question from a "kinetic" or molecular point of view. The fact that an electromotive force is spontaneously produced by centrifugal force gives us a real knowledge of the internal structure of an electrolytie conductor. It is, indeed, the most striking proof of the existence of free ions in an electrolyte.

Considered from a "kinetic" point of view, a solution of potassium iodide contains free potassium ions and free iodide ions. The iodide ions, however, corresponding to their greater atomic weight, are much denser than the potassium ions, and hence when the solution is rotated they move more readily towards the outer portion of the solution and charge it negatively. In fact from a consideration of the forces acting on the ions in the solution, it is possible to derive the same equation for the electromotive force of a gravity cell as that already obtained from thermodynamic reasoning. The method of proof is similar to that used by Nernst in his consideration of the diffusion cell.

Consider an iodide $\left(\mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{I}^{-}\right)$of molecular weight $M_{s}$ dissociating into the ions $\mathrm{C}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$of atomic weights $\nu_{c}$ and $M_{I}$. Let $v_{s}$ be the partial speeific volume of the salt in solution and $v_{c}$ and $v_{I}$ be the same
quantities for the positive and negative ions respectively. Now let us subject a solution of this salt to the action of gravity. If the positive ion $\mathrm{C}^{+}$is denser than the iorlide ion, it will tend to move downward through the solution more rapidly and will produce a potential gradient in the solution $-d E_{1} / d h$. As this potential gradient is produced, however, it tends to decrease the downward velocity of the positive ion and inerease the velocity of the negative ion so that under the final potential gradient produced they will move downward through the solution with equal velocities. We may now proceed to derive expressions for these equal velocities.

The total downward force acting on one mol of positive ions is the weight $\left(y M_{c}\right)$, minus the buoyant force exerted by the solution ( $g \lambda V_{c} c_{c} d$ ), minus the electrical repulsion ( $10^{\top} F d E_{\mathrm{t}} / d h$ ) eorresponding to the potential gradient. If $u$ and $v$ are the velocities with which the positive and negative ions move under unit force, the velocity with which they will move under the actual forees ean now be ealeulated by simple multiplication, since the validity of Ohms law in solutions shows us that the velocity with which the ions move is proportional to the foree aeting on them.

Equating the velocities of the negative and positive ions, we have ${ }^{9}$

$$
u\left(g M_{c}\left(1-v_{c} d\right)-10^{7} F \frac{d E_{1}}{d h}\right)=v\left(g M_{I}\left(1-v_{I} d\right)+10^{7} F \frac{d E_{1}}{d h}\right)
$$

Solving for $d E_{1} / d h$ and integrating between the limits 0 and $h$, where $k$ is the difference in height between the eleetrodes, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{\top} E_{1} F=k_{y}\left[\frac{u}{u+v^{\prime}} M_{c}\left(1-v_{c} d\right)-\left(1-\frac{u}{u+c}\right) M_{I}\left(1-v_{I} d\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the actual electromotive force between the electrodes, we must consider not only the potential gradient in the solution, but also the potential drops which occur directly at the eleetrodes die to the electrote reaction $\frac{1}{2} I_{2}+\theta=I$. Since this reaction is accompanied by the change in volume $\nu_{1}\left(r_{1}-r_{I_{2}}\right)$ and takes place under the difference in pressure between the upper and lower electrodes which is

[^45]equal to hgd , we must add to the electromotive force $L_{1}$ as given in equation (:3), the electromotive force $L_{2}$ given by the equation below, which is derived by equating the external electrical work to the work produced by the change in volume
$$
10^{7} E_{2} F^{\prime}=V_{I}\left(r_{I}-v_{I_{2}}\right) h g d .
$$

Notieing that

$$
\frac{u}{u+v}=T_{c}
$$

we obtain
$10^{7}\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right) F=h g\left[T_{c} M_{c}\left(1-v_{c} d\right)+T_{c} M_{I}\left(1-v_{I} d\right)-M_{I}\left(1-v_{I_{2}} d\right)\right]$
or

$$
10^{7} E F^{\prime}=h g\left[T_{c} 1 M_{s}\left(1-r_{s} d\right)-M_{I}\left(1-v_{l_{2}}()\right]\right.
$$

which is the same equation we originally obtained by thermodynamic reasoning.

The real interest attached to this kinetic consideration is the almost absolute proof it offers that some degree of dissociation or at least polarization of the salt molecules exists in aqueous solutions. Since unless the positive and negative components of the salt can move relative to one another we camnot see how a potential gradient is set up by centrifugal separation. The method gives, of course, no idea of the magnitude of the degree of ionization.

## 4. Description of Apparatus.

The general arrangement of the rotating apparatus is shown in Fignre 1. It consists of a steam turbine A, with vertieal shaft, driving the rotator B , which contains the tubes of solution. Electrical connection with the electrodes in the solution was made through the mercury contacts C .

## The Steam Turline.

The turbine used was a thirty horse-power de Laval loaned for this investigation by the General Electric Co. The turbine wheel was ten inches in diameter. A 2 -inch steam pipe D leads into the amnular space E from which the nozzles lead up to the turbine wheel. The holes F are opposite the openings to the nozzles and are threaded to receive the bonnets of the nozzle valves (not shown in the drawing). A 3-inch exhaust pipe leads from the exhanst chamber $G$, on the side away from that shown in the drawing. The turbine is supported on the legs H , bolted to the cross beams I. It is also bolted to the block J. Largely for the sake of safety, the apparatus was re-designed


Figure 1. General Arrangement of the Rotating Apparatus. $\frac{1}{s}$ size.
with a vertical shaft and was placed in a specially constructed pit. I'he vertical shaft necessitated the design of some form of thrust bearing to support the rotating system.


Figure 2. The Thrust Bearing. $\frac{1}{2}$ size.

## The Thrust Bearing.

The thrust bearing used was contained in the case K, Figure 1. The details are shown in Figure 2. It consists of a series of five ball bearings, placed one above the other, so as to distribute the total relative motion which must be cared for. Each ball bearing consists of a series of balls F , in a brass cage, between two hardened steel washers. A shoulder on the shaft D rests on the topmost washer E , and the lowest bearing rests on the levelling washer $G$, which has a spherical
seat. The nut K locks the adjustment of the bearing at the proper height. The lateral bearings A and H as well as the top bearing of the turbine itself, consist of eminently satisfactory graphite-lined bushings supplied by the Graphite Lubricating Co., Bound Brook, N. J. The lubrication of the ball bearings was also with graphite which was applied with oil in the form of a paste.

This form of ball bearing was tried only after a number of bearings, including one specially designed for the purpose by The Standard Roller Bearing Co., had failed. The individual ball bearings of which this bearing was constructed were stock $13 / 16$ inch "ball thrust collar" bearings made by the above firm. The ingenious idea of replacing the series of disks sometimes used in step bearings by a series of ball bearings came in a conversation with Dr. C. A. Kraus of this laboratory, to whom, in general, I am under the deepest obligation for an intelligent and sympathetic understanding of the many difficulties involved in the construction of an apparatus of this kind.

## The "Spiming Top."

The rotator B, Figure 1, is two feet in diameter and consists of two hollow steel arms, screwed into a central hub P. The rotator is hung on a shaft $13 / 16$ inch diameter and $8-1 / 2$ inches long. This shaft is flexibly connected to the turbine shaft with a Hooke joint M, which permits the rotator to revolve about its own center of gravity. The arrangement is in the nature of a "spiming top." In order to prevent a precessional motion of the top which would quickly raise the rotator shaft to a horizontal position, the shaft was steadied at the point N by a system of cords not shown in Figure 1, but indicated in plan in Figure 3. The two cords MN and OP prevent motion of the shaft in the direction A.A, and two others not shown in the figure are arranged to prevent motion in the direction BB. The cords were drawn tight against the shaft through the stationary supports at $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{X}$, Y, and Z. The cords were braided cotton $5 / 32$ inch in diameter and the wear on them, strange as it may seem, was very inconsiderable. 'Ihis arrangement of cords was adopted after experimenting with many forms of steadying bearing, in which it was attempted to prevent the precessional motion with rubber washers, springs, or pneumatic dash pots.

This plan of driving the rotator as a spinning top must be considered as one of the distinctive features of the apparatus. By this means the rotator was driven up to a speed of 7850 revolutions per minute, giving a rim speed of nearly 50,000 feet per minute. At this
speed there was a certain amount of vibration of the steadying cords. In general, however, it was found possible to raise the speed at which this vibration took place by moving the supports $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z nearer to the shaft, and there is no doubt that the apparatus could be arranged to go to still higher speeds. As will be seen later, for other reasons, the actual measurements had to be made at speeds of 5000 revolutions or less.

The fact to be specially noticed with regard to this "spinning top method" is that it permits the driving at a very high speed of a rotator which has not been specially adjusted for either "stationary"


Figure 3. The Steadying Cords. or "running" balance.
The method was adopted after considerable experimenting with a rotator driven on a shaft with fixed bearings. Although this rotator had been put into "stationary" balance, it caused so great a vibration that one of the bearings gave way at a speed under 2000 revolutions per minute, wrecking a machine upon which a considerable amount of labor had been expended.

The mathematical theory of a symmetrical top rotating with one fixed point and acted upon by gravity alone has been completely developed. It has further been shown by H. Lamb ${ }^{10}$ that, in the case of a rotating top hanging below its fixed point, as in this apparatus, the effect of viscous forces in the Hooke joint is to produce a gradually increasing precessional motion which would finally raise the axis of the top horizontal. This is the precessional motion which was prevented by the steadying device described above. It must be noticed in general, in designing rotating apparatus of this kind, that the actual motion may differ considerably from that pre-
dicted by the dynamical equations since, among other things for example, the latter take no account of restraining forces such as were introduced in this case by the steadying cords.

Some experiments, on a small scale, were made with rotating tops, driven through a Hooke joint from below. There were indications that this would be a most satisfactory method of driving an unbalaneed rotor. The whole field is a very profitable one for research.

As a practical detail, it should be pointed out that the rotator shaft must be made short enough not to reach its own period of vibration at the speed employed. It was found impracticable to run this rotator with a shaft 22 inches long.

## The Rotator.

Fig. 4 gives a detail of one of the two arms which screw into the central hub of the rotator. These arms were made from seamless steel tubing bored out to one-half inch inside diameter. The peripheral end of the tube was closed by screwing in a plug of steel, the threads of which were figured to withstand the shear at 8000 revolutions per minute, produced by the combined outward action of the centrifugal force of the plug and that of the contents of the tube, assuming them to be a liquid of specific gravity 1.3. In order to prevent leakage of liquid through the serew threads, the plug was silver soldered in position.

The thickness of wall at the peripheral end of the tube was enough to prevent bursting as calculated by Clavarino's formula for thick hollow eylinders. This thickness of wall was also great enough to sustain the outward tension as far as the point $B, 3$ inches from the end of the tube. From this point on towards the center the tube had to gradually increase in diameter in order to sustain the constantly increasing load. If $r$ is the radius at any point where the section is $s, I$ ) the density, $f$ the tensile strength of the material, and $n$ the number of revolutions, evidently $f\left(k s=4 \pi^{2} n^{2} r \cdot l\right.$ sdr. Integrating this equation and solving, the proper dimensions for the inner end of the arm were found. The screw thread on the imer end of the arm was sufficient to prevent the arm from shearing out from the hub.

## The Solution Tube.

The solutions experimented on were iodides with iodine electrodes. The actnal tubes which contained the solutions were made of glass. 'lhis was the only material found which was an insulater and at the same time was not attacked by the iodine. The latter condition was
of great importance since the slightest loss of iodine in the neighborhood of either of the electrodes created a large potential difference between them. The tubes were carefully annealed and were floated in oil inside the rotator arms; nevertheless, the end thrust produced by the centrifugal force was great enough to break many tubes even at speeds as low as five thousand revolutions per minute.

With the hope of obtaining some material which would stand higher speeds, a great many other materiais for tubes were investigated, including porcelain, paraffined paper and wood, celluloid, vulcanite, and steel tubes lined with paraffine, sulphur, and enamel but none was found


Figure 4. Rotator Arm and Solution Tube. $\frac{1}{2}$ size.
satisfactory. 'Tubes of vulcanite, which has a specific gravity only slightly greater than water, practically floated in the steel arm without danger of breakage, and many experiments were made with them. There seemed, however, to be a distribution of iodine between the hard rubber and the solution which made it very difficult to keep the iodine concentration the same at the two electrodes, and they were finally discarded in favor of glass.

Figure 4 gives a detail of one of the tubes with its electrodes. The tubes were amealed by carefuily wrapping them in asbestos and heating in a large electric furnace to about $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and then allowing the furnace to cool, which took a day and a half. The electrodes were platinum. The outside electrode $G$ rested on the bottom of the tube. The comecting wire had to be platinum-iridium in order to stand the centrifugal force. This wire was insulated from the solution by a glass tube which is slightly enlarged at M. On the enlargement $M$ rests the imer electrode H. N shows the cross section of a glass thimble which is slipped down on to the electrode. A bublile of air was entrained in this thimble to allow for temperature expansion, and to provide a means of stirring the solution. Melted ceresin which had
been specially purified was poured on top of the thimble at 0 and this was covered by a thin layer of a molten cement P which consisted of a mixture of wood tar and shellac. ${ }^{11}$

The tube is made to slip into the rotator arm and rests at the outside end on a disk of rubber packing. It is surrounded by oil to equalize the hydrostatic pressure. A short picce of rubber tubing is slipperd onto the glass tube and turned back on itself as shown in the figure at Q. The tube projects from the end of the arm far enough so that the turned-back rubber just meets the end of the arm at D, and a piece of "bill-tie" tubing is slipped over the rubber tubing and the arm to prevent leakage of oil.

The leads from the electrodes come out from the rotator in the grooves E and are covered with small rubber tubing. Ordinary optical tuling was not a good enough insulator, but insulation was nsed which was stripped from 22 -gauge rubber-covered wire supplied by the Simplex Electrical Co. of Cambridgeport. The wires were attached to binding posts on the rotator hub, from which electrical connection was carried up to the mereury contacts.

## The Electrical Connections.

The path taken by the clectrical connections is indieated by dot ant dash lines in Figure 1. Leads from the binding posts on the rotater lub pass up through the center of the hollow rotator and turbine shafts and make connection with the mercury contacts. The wire used was 22 -gauge rubber covered, as ordinary insulation would not stand the severe conditions of temperature and the effect of moisture.

At L in Figure 1 is a brass disk about $\geq-1 / 2$ inches in diameter fastened to the rotating shaft. 'This disk prevents any leakage of water from the turbine trickling down the Hooke joint and getting into the hollow rotator shaft and thus interfering with the insulation. () is a stationary pan abont 10 inches in diameter with a central hole for the shaft to pass through. This pan catches accidental dripping from the turbine and protects the hub of the rotator and its binding posts. Further protection is provided by a copper disk N with turned-down edges. This disk rotates with the shaft and covers the central hole in the pan (). Thie disk also radiated the heat from the turbine and from the friction of the steadying cords, which otherwise traveled durn the shaft and producel bad temperature differences between the central and peripheral elcetrodes.

[^46]
## The Mercury Contacts.

Comection between the leads, which came up through the hollow shaftr, and the external measuring circuit was made throngh the mercury contacts C inlicated in Figure 1 and shown in detail in Figure 5. The leads from the electrodes were made fast to the binding posts II and N , and electric comection was made through the small rotating


Figure 5. The Mercury Contacts. $\frac{1}{2}$ size.
shafts G and H with mercury, which was placed in the circular troughs E and F in the steel blocks W and Z . The two small shafts are insulated from one another and from the outside driving shaft $O$ by fine rubber tubing, and at the bottom by vulcanite disks. The steel blocks are insulated from each other and their supports X and Y by vulcanite washers and sleeves. Connection is made from the steel blocks to the measuring instruments.

The apparatus was specially designed with the purpose of eliminating the production of electromotive forces at the point of contact
between the moving and stationary parts. For this reason, the shafts were made as small as possible, $3 / 16$ inches in diameter, thus reducing the relative velocity at the point of contact, mercury was chosen as the contact substance, and the whole apparatus was made of the same material, steel, throughout. Measurements of the electromotive forces occurring in these mercury contacts, were made by driving the apparatus with the coutacts short circuited. The potential differences found were in the neighborhood of $1 / 20$ millivolt.

## The Magneto.

Returning again to Figure 5, T is a worm driving the shaft S through a worm wheel not seen in the drawing. Measurements of the speed of rotation of the apparatus were made by reading the voltage produced by a magneto driven from this shaft S. This was a small three-bar magneto manufactured a number of years ago by the Holtzer Cabot Co. of Brookline. This was the least satisfactory part of the apparatus, since the voltage readings at a constant speed were liable to small, sudden fluctuations largely due to poor contact at the commutator. Both graphite and woven wire commutator brushes were tried. The accuracy of the speed determinations, however, seemed to be of the same order of magnitude as that of the cther measurements, and it did not seem advisable to procure one of the newer magnetos or any of the more costly forms of apparatus for speed measurement. A small motor was arranged for driving the magneto independently, and the magneto was standardized after each series of measurements with the help of a stop watch and suitable counter.

## The Electrical Measuring Instruments.

The potential differences obtained were of the order of a few millivolts. For their measurements, a Leeds and Northrup pontentiometer and a suitable galvanometer were used. A cadminm elenient supplied by the Weston Electric Co. was used as a standard cell, and this was further compared with another Weston cell both at the beginning and end of the measurements. In the actual experiments a reading of the voltmeter which gave the speed of the apparatus, and the potentiometer reading were taken as nearly simultaneously as possible.

## 5. The Method or Procencre.

With the apparatus leseribed, measurements were made on solutions of potassimm, sodimm, lithimm, and hydrogen iodides. The solutions contained exactly 1 mol of the salt and $1 / 100 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in a kilogram
of water. In the case of hydrogen iodide, owing to the oxidation of the acid, there was a gradual increase in the amount of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ present in the solution. A rough colorimetric analysis of the solution as finally used showed the presence of about $2 / 100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ per kilogram of water.

The glass tubes which contained the rotating solutions have already been described. In the experiments as finally performed only one tube was used, an approximate counterbalance being placed in the other arm of the rotator. By connecting two tubes in series, it would have been possible to double the electromotive force to be measured. This would not have greatly increased the certainty of the measurements, however, since, on stopping the rotator, the residual potential differences between the two electrodes were always found to be in the same direction, and by comecting two tabes in series the size of the error as well as that of the potential difference to be measured would have been increased.

Before filling the tubes, they were carefully rinsed with some of the solution to be used. The electrodes were heated to incandescence in a blast lamp and placed in the solution without being touched by the fingers. ${ }^{12}$ By using care, it is possible in this way to reduce the original electromotive force between the two electrodes to the neighborhood of 0.2 millivolt or less. The small variable electromotive forces which do persist are probably partly due to differences in temperature between the two ends of the solution. As already described the tubes were sealed with purified ceresin. This was almost the only material found whose presence near one of the electrodes did not produce a large electromotive force.

After the apparatus had been set up ready for rotation it was tested for insulation. This is very important, since any leakage between the leads coming from the solution would apparently have decreased the size of the electromotive force produced. The test was carried out by disconnecting one of the leads from its binding post on the hub of the rotator and applying a drop of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ volts at the other end of the leads where they joined the measuring system. A galvanometer was in series with the potential drop to measure the current leaking from one lead to the other. ${ }^{13}$

In general, for the final experiments the galvanometer was absolutely stationary, and if there was more than a trace of a deflection, the

[^47]rouble was eliminated before making a run. A deflection of one millineter on the galvanometer scale would have corresponded to a resisance of about $1.5 \times 10^{9}$ ohms between the leads.
In the experiments a number of readings of the residual electromoiive force were taken with the rotator stationary. It was then brought up to speed and a new series of readings commenced as soon as possiale. After rumning for several minutes, the steam was shut off and more readings of the residual electromotive force commenced as soon as the rotator had stopped. The voltmeter attached to the magneto was placed near the potentiometer so that a determination of the speed of the apparatus was made immediately after each measurement. The approximate time when each measurement was taken was also recorded.
At the end of a day's measurements, the magneto was standardized with a stop watch and counter. Usually, about a half a dozen standardizing runs were made at speeds in the neighborhoorl of those used in the actual measurements. Fach run lasted about a minute. The stop watch was started when some even figure appeared on the counter, and stopped similarly about a minute later. In gencral, five readings of the voltmeter were made, one before the stop watch was started, the next three at intervals of fifteen seconds, and the last one after the watch had been stopped. It was not easy to hold the speeds constant enough to make standardizing runs of over a minute desirable.

## 6. The Experinental Results.

In the following tables are given the data on which the calculations for each of the salts investigated are based. The first column gives the time when the observation was taken, the second column, the reading of the voltmeter, $I$ (in decivolts), which indicated the speed of the apparatus, and the third column, the potential difference in millivolts, $E \times 10^{3}$, this being called negative when in the opposite direction from that produced by the centrifugal force. The radii of the two electrodes $r_{2}$ and $r_{1}$ are also given, and the data for the standardization of the magneto. In the case of all salts investigated, the inside electrode was positive with respect to the outside one during rotation.

It is a striking fact that, upon stopping the rotator the residual electromotive force is always found to be in the opposite direction from that produced by the centrifugal force. It will also be seen from an examination of the data that there is a general tendency for this residual electromotive force to increase somewhat in magnitude and then in the course of a few minutes gradually to disappear. The average
magnitude reached by this residual electromotive force is 0.2 to 0.3 millivolts.

At first sight, it might seem possible to explain this residual potential difference by assuming that the outer electrode was heated more by the friction of the air, during rotation, than the inner one ; since as a matter of fact, a difference in temperature of $1^{\circ} C$. would have produced a residual electromotive force of about 0.25 millivolts in the direction actually found. ${ }^{14}$ This explanation, however, would not account for the fact that the residual electromotive force tends to increase after the machine has been stopped, and the very conditions removed which were supposed to create the temperature difference. ${ }^{15}$ This increase in the magnitude of the residual electromotive force after stopping the machine was much easier to follow and the final value reached was much larger, in some earlier experiments where culcunite tubes were nsed instead of glass for containing the solution. ${ }^{16}$ This might indicate that the gradual increase of electromotive force after stopping the rotation is due to the gradual emergence into the solution of some constituent which had been forced into the pores of the tube by the centrifugal force or the pressure. Whatever the true explanation, the phenomenon is so complicated that it seemed best not to hazard a guess as to the probable size of the residual electro-
${ }^{1 t}$ In order to determine the value of the electromotive forees produced by temperature differences between the electrodes, the thermoelectric power was carefully measured for a number of different circuits of the type, - platinum $\rightarrow$ salt solution: salt solution $\rightarrow$ platinum. The writer hopes to present the results of measurements of this kind in a later paper.
${ }^{15}$ Attempts were made to actually measure the temperature difference between the two electrodes, by placing in the tube an ordinary thermoelectric circuit with iron-nickel junctions at the outer and inner ends. Connection between this thermoelectric circuit and the measuring instruments was made through the mercury contacts already described. It was found, however, that the small clectromotive forces arising in these mercury contacts were large enough to obscure those produced by the iron-nickel junctions, and the method was abandoned. There seem to be no practical metallic junctions of higher thermoelectric power than the iron-nickel combination.

In some earlier experiments very definite temperature effects were produced by heat which traveled down the rotator shaft. These effects were eliminated, however, by the copper radiating disk N, Fig. 5, already described, see p. 124.
${ }^{16}$ In these experiments made with vulcanite tubes, there was also the difference that the rotator was driven in a closed case instead of in the open air. Since the air in the case was considerably heated by the rotation, larger temperature differences might have been expected between the two electrodes. Nevertheless, this would not account at all for the increase in residual electromotive force after the rotator had been brought to rest.
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motive force during rotation and no correction was made for it in the calculations

Further development of the centrifugal method should be in the direction of eliminating these residual potential differences between the electrodes. This could best be done by having the liquid circulate through the apparatus so as to pass from one electrode to the other and thus assure the same conditions at both.

## TABLE I.

(Dec. 2, 1909.)
Solution: Molal III, $\frac{1}{100}$ Molal $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.
$r_{2}=29.40 \mathrm{~cm} ., r_{1}=4.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Standardization of magneto: - $\frac{\text { Rev. of rotator per second }}{\text { Voltage of magneto }}=1.050$ (7 expts. av. dev. $\pm 0.0145$ ).

| Time. | $V=$ Voltage of magneto. | $\begin{aligned} & E \times 10^{3} \\ = & \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=v \times 1.050 \\ & =\text { rev. per sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E}{n 5} \times 10^{6}$. | Dev. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.54 | 0 | -0.30 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| 11.12 | 0 | -0.10 | . . | ... |  |
| . 23 | 0 | -0.50 | .. . | ... |  |
| . 25 | 0 | -0.55 |  |  |  |
| . 28 | 60.0 | +2.58 | 62.9 | 652 | - 5 |
| 29 | 58.5 | 2.47 | 61.4 | 655 | - 2 |
| . 30 | 59.0 | 2.52 | 61.9 | 658 | + 1 |
| . $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 59.8 | 2.55 | 62.8 | 6.4 | -10 |
| . $31{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 0 | -0.15 | . . . | . . |  |
| . 32 | 0 | -0.30 | . . . | . . |  |
| . 34 | 0 | -0.1s | . . . |  |  |
| . 36 | 0 | -0.14 | . . . |  |  |
| . 40 | 0 | -0.17 |  |  |  |
| . $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | 71.5 | +3.65 | 75.0 | 649 | - 8 |
| . 42 | 71.2 | 3.73 | 74.7 | 668 | -11 |
| . 43.3 | 72.0 | 3.73 | 75.5 | 655 | $-2$ |
| . 44 | 72.7 | 3.90 | 76.2 | 672 | $+15$ |
| . 45 | 73.1 | 3.93 | 76.7 | 668 | +11 |
| . 46 | 0 | -0.25 | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 47 | 0 | -0.18 | . . . | ... |  |
| . 49 | 0 | +0.05 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| . $50 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0.17 | ... | $\ldots$ |  |
| . $52 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| . 5.5 | 78.0 | 4.38 | 81.9 | 653 | $-4$ |
| . $55 \frac{1}{2}$ | 77.5 | 4.35 | 81.3 | 658 | +1 |
| . 56 | 77.0 | 4.25 | 80.8 | 651 | - 6 |
| . 57 | . . . | 4.25 | ... | . . |  |
| Average |  |  |  | 657.1 | $\pm 6.3$ |

## 7. Ratio of the Electromotive Force to the Square of the Number of Revolutions per Second.

From equation (2) it is evident that the electromotive force produced by the rotation should increase as the square of the number of revolutions per second, that is, $E / n^{2}$ for a given solution should be a constant. The degree of the constancy of this quantity is illustrated by the fifth column in Tables I-IV which gives the values of $E / n^{2}$ as calculated from the data. Considering the separate runs in a series of measurements, we see a tendency for the individual measurements of the first run to show the largest deviations from the mean. There is probably some connection between this and the fact that the value reached by the residual electromotive force is also largest after the first run in a series. In many of the individual runs there is a tendency for the electromotive force to decrease somewhat during the run. This would correspond to the gradual production of a negative residual electromotive force. As already pointed out, the nature of these residual potential differences is too uncertain to permit of a trustworthy correction.

## 8. The Partial Volumes of Iodine and the Iodides.

Before making a calculation of the transference numbers from the electromotive force data which we have just considered, a knowledge of the partial volumes of iodine in iodide solutions and of the iodides in aqueous solution is necessary.

The partial specific volume of any constituent of a solution may be defined as the increase in volume of the solution when one gram of the constituent in question is added to a quantity of the solution so large that the addition causes no appreciable change in concentration. In the language of mathematics, if the addition of $\Delta m$ grams of the constituent at the concentration under consideration produces an increase of $\Delta v c c$. in the volume of the solution, the partial volume of the substance may be defined as the limit approached by $\Delta v / \Delta m$ as $\Delta m$ approaches zero.
The quantity $\Delta v / \Delta m$ and its limit the partial volume $d v / d m$ may be obtained by the same experimental methods used for the determination of the specific gravity of solutions or, indeed, may be calculated from specific gravity data if such are available.

The purpose of this section is to show the method of calculating partial volumes from picnometer weighings or from specific gravity data and also to present the results of some experimental determinations of the partial volume of iodine in potassium iodide solution as well as the

## TABLE II.

(Dec. 23, 1909.)
Solution: Molal NaI, $\frac{1}{100}$ molal $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{r}_{2}=29.45 \mathrm{~cm} ., \mathrm{r}_{1}=4.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Standardization of Magneto: - $\frac{\text { Rev. of rotator per second }}{\text { Voltage of magneto }}=0.5695(\mathrm{~S} \exp$. av. dev. $\pm .0035$ ).

| Time. | $\mathrm{V}=$ Voltage of magnet. | $\begin{gathered} E \times 10^{3} \\ =\text { E. М. } \mathrm{F} . \end{gathered}$ | $n=1 \times 0.8665$ $=$ rev. presec. | $\frac{E}{n} \times 10^{\text {i }}$. | Der. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.12 | 0 | -0.16 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| . 1.5 | 0 | -0.04 | . . | . . . |  |
| .22 | 0 | -0.35 |  |  |  |
| 2.21 | 66.2 | +3.00 | 57.6 | 904 | $+15$ |
| $26{ }^{1}$ | 65.9 | 2.95 | 57.3 | 838 | + 9 |
| .27 | 65.9 | 2.83 | 57.3 | 562 | $-27$ |
| . 28 | 6.5 .9 | 2.83 | 57.3 | 862 | $-27$ |
| .2S ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 66.2 | 2.92 | 57.6 | 880 | - 9 |
| . 30 | 0 | -0.24 | . . . | ... | . . . |
| . $30{ }^{1}$ | 0 | -0.28 | . . . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| . $31 \frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | -0.17 | . . . | . . |  |
| . $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| . 35 | 73.2 | $+3.61$ | 63.7 | 859 | 0 |
| . $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 74.2 | 3.70 | 64.5 | Sis9 | 0 |
| . $36 \frac{1}{2}$ | 74.8 | 3.75 | 6.51 | 855 | - 4 |
| . 37 | 75.1 | 3.79 | 6.5 .3 | 858 | - 1 |
| . 35 | 76.0 | 3.85 | 66.1 | 887 | - 2 |
| . 393 | 0 | -0.26 | . . . | . . . | ... |
| .40 | 0 | -0.26 | . . . | . . | . . . |
| . 41 | 0 | -0.17 | . . . | . . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 42 | 0 | -0.07 | . . . | . . . | $\cdots$ |
| . 43 | 0 | $-0.00$ | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 4.5 | 0 | $+0.03$ | . . . | . . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 46 | 0 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| . $49 \frac{1}{2}$ | 74.5 | 3.70 | 6:.5 | 851 | - 8 |
| . $49{ }^{2}$ | 74.2 | 3.72 | 64.5 | 513 | + 1 |
| . 50 | 71.8 | 3.72 | 6.5 .1 | 877 | $-12$ |
| .50! | 74.5 | 3.71 | 61.5 | ¢ ¢ | -6 |
| . 51 | 74.5 | 3.70 | 64.s) | $\cdots 1$ | - 8 |
| . 52 | 74.0 | 3.66 | 64.1 | $\cdots$ | - 7 |
| . $5: 3$ | 0 | -0.15 | . . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| . 54 | 0 | -0.10 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| .55! | 0 | $-0.06$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| . 57 | 0 | -0.05 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| .68 | 0 | -0.06 | . . | $\ldots$ |  |
| .60) | 0 | -0.10 |  |  |  |
| 11.03 | 81.0 | +4.37 | 69.5 | 005 | $+10$ |
| .11:3 | -1.2 | 4.53 | 70.6 | 919 | $\pm 21$ |
| . 012 | $\cdots$ | 4.60 | 72.0 | Sis | - 1 |
| . 06 | 84.0 | 4.75 | 73.0 | 895 | +6 |

TABLE II. - Continued.

| Time. | $V=\text { Voltage }$ <br> of magneto. | $\begin{gathered} E \times 10^{3} \\ =\text { E. M. } \mathbf{F} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=V \times 0.8695 \\ & =\text { rev. per sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E}{n^{2}} \times 10 .{ }^{6}$ | Dev. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . $06 \frac{1}{2}$ | S4. 1 | 4.80 | 73.1 | 899 | $+10$ |
| . $07 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.23 | . . . | . . . | . . |
| . 08 | 0 | -0.23 | . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 10 | 0 | -0.04 | . . . | . . | . |
| . $11 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $+0.12$ | . . . | . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 14 | 0 | 0.17 | . . | . . . |  |
| . 16 | 0 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| . $15 \frac{1}{2}$ | 74.1 | 3.73 | 64.5 | 897 | + 8 |
| . $19{ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | 75.0 | 3.85 | 65.2 | 90.5 | $+16$ |
| . 20 | 74.8 | 3.76 | 65.1 | 887 | $-2$ |
| . $20 \frac{3}{4}$ | 74.2 | 3.73 | 64.5 | 896 | $+7$ |
| . $21 \frac{1}{2}$ | 73.9 | 3.68 | 64.2 | 892 | $+3$ |
| $\therefore 2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.24 | ... | . . . | . . |
| .23⿺𠃊 | 0 | -0.18 | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 28 | 0 | +0.18 | . . . | . . . |  |
|  | Average | - . . . | - • . . | 889.0 | $\pm 8.5$ |

partial volumes of potassium, sodium, lithium, and hydrogen iodides in molal solution, as calculated from existing datio.

The partial volume for any solute may be determined from successive weighings of a picnometer filled with solutions of different concentrations. Let $l^{\prime}$ be the volume in cem. held by the picnometer, and let it contain $M \mathrm{gm}$. of solution when the percentage concentration of the solute in question is $P \times 100$, and $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ gm. of solution of concentration $P^{\prime} \times 100$. The amount of solvent in the picnometer when it is weighed containing solution of concentration $P^{\prime}$ is $\left(1-l^{\prime}\right) M$, hence the volume of a solution of concentration $P^{\prime}$ containing the same amount of solvent would be $\frac{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M^{\prime}} V^{\prime}$, and the amount of the solute in that solution would be $\frac{(1-P) M}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M^{\prime}} P^{\prime} M^{\prime}$ leading to the relation
$\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta m}=\frac{\frac{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M^{\prime}} V^{\prime}-V}{\frac{\left(1-I^{\prime}\right) M}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) I^{\prime}} P^{\prime} M^{\prime}-P^{\prime} M}=\frac{(1-P) M I^{\prime}-\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M^{\prime} V^{r}}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) M I^{\prime} M^{\prime}-\left(1-I^{\prime \prime}\right) M^{\prime} I^{\prime} M}$.
It is evident that the percentage accuracy in the determination of this quantity $\Delta v / \Delta m$ will be greater the greater the quantities $\Delta r$ and

TABLE III.
(Dec. 10, 1909.)
Solution: Molal LiI, $\frac{1}{100}$ molal $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.
$r_{2}=29.45 \mathrm{~cm} ., r_{1}=4.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Standardization of Magneto:- $\frac{\text { Rev. of rotator per second }}{\text { Voltage of magneto }}=0.8605$ (6 exp. av. dev. $\pm .0040$ ).

| Time. | $V=$ Voltage of magneto. | $\begin{aligned} & E \times 10^{3} \\ = & \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=V \times 0.8605 \\ & =\text { rev. per sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E}{n^{2}} \times 10^{6}$. | Dev. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.10 | 0 | -0.2 |  |  | $\ldots$ |
| . 24 | 0 | $-0.20$ |  |  |  |
| . 29 | 0 | -0.15 | .. |  |  |
| . 33 | 0 | -0.30 |  |  |  |
| . 36 | 59.2 | +3.25 | 50.9 | 1253 | $+70$ |
| . $36 \frac{1}{2}$ | 60.2 | 3.26 | 51.8 | 1217 | +34 |
| . 37 | 61.2 | 3.30 | 52.6 | 1192 | +9 |
| . $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 61.5 | 3.30 | 52.9 | 1179 | $-4$ |
| . $38 \frac{1}{4}$ | 61.9 | 3.31 | 53.3 | 1163 | $-20$ |
| . 39 | 0 | -0.20 | . . . | . . . | . . |
| . 40 | 0 | -0.5 | . . . |  |  |
| . $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.4 | . . |  |  |
| . 44 | 0 | -0.13 |  |  |  |
| . $45 \frac{1}{2}$ | 64.1 | $+3.65$ | 55.1 | 1202 | +19 |
| . 46 | 64.8 | 3.72 | 55.8 | 1193 | $+10$ |
| . $46 \frac{1}{2}$ | 65.1 | 3.68 | 56.0 | 1172 | -11 |
| . $47 \frac{1}{2}$ | 66.0 | 3.76 | 56.8 | 1165 | -18 |
| . 45 | 66.3 | 3.77 | 57.1 | 1157 | -26 |
| . 49 | 0 | -0.15 | . . . | . . . | . . |
| . $49 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.2 | . . . | . . . | ... |
| . 50 | 0 | -0.25 | . . . | . . . | ... |
| . 51 | 0 | -0.25 | . . | . . . | ... |
| . $51 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.25 | . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 53 | 0 | -0.22 | . . . | $\cdots$ | ... |
| . 56 | 0 | -0.25 |  |  |  |
| . $58 \frac{1}{2}$ | 69.5 | +4.23 | 59.8 | 1182 | $-1$ |
| . 59 | 69.3 | 4.22 | 59.6 | 1188 | + 5 |
| . 60 | 69.2 | 4.18 | 59.5 | 11s0 | -3 |
| $11.00 \frac{1}{2}$ | 68.7 | 4.13 | 59.1 | 1183 | 0 |
| . 01 | 68.4 | 4.05 | 58.8 | 1171 | $-12$ |
| . $01{ }^{\frac{3}{1}}$ | 65.3 | 4.04 | 58.75 | 1170 | -13 |
| . $02{ }^{3}$ | 0 | -0.14 | ... | . . . | . . |
| . 034 | 0 | -0.18 |  |  |  |
| . 0.4 | 0 | -0.14 |  |  | . . . |
| . $05 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | +0.10 |  |  |  |
| . $07 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0.15 |  |  | . . . |
| . $09 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 0.16 | . |  |  |
| . 11 | 0 | 0.09 |  |  |  |
| .13 | 73.8 | 4.80 | 63.5 | 1190 | $+7$ |
| . 134 | 74.0 74.0 | 4.80 4.75 | 63.7 63.7 | 1182 1169 | - 1 |

TABLE III. - Continued.

| Time. | $\mathrm{V}=$ Voltage of magneto. | $\begin{aligned} & E \times 10^{3} \\ &= \text { E. } \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{V} \times 0.8605 \\ & =\text { rev. per sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E}{n^{2}} \times 10^{8}$. | Dev. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .14t | 74.1 | 4.77 | 63.75 | 1173 | -10 |
| . $15 \frac{1}{2}$ | 74.5 | 4.84 | 64.1 | 1178 | - 5 |
| . 16 | 74.8 | 4.85 | 64.4 | 1170 | -13 |
| . 17 | 0 | -0.19 | . . . | .... | . . . |
| . $17 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.27 | . . | . . . |  |
| . 18 | 0 | -0.30 | . . | . . . |  |
| . 19 | 0 | -0.30 | . . |  |  |
| . $20 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.28 | . . . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| . 24 | 0 | -0.32 |  | . . . |  |
| . 39 | 0 | -0.36 | $\ldots$ | . . . |  |
| $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| .44 | 0 | -0.20 | . . | . . . |  |
| . 46 | 0 | -0.03 |  |  |  |
| . 48 | 80.1 | +5.62 | 68.9 | 1184 | $+1$ |
| . $48 \frac{1}{2}$ | 79.8 | 5.61 | 68.7 | 1189 | + 6 |
| . 49 | 79.3 | 5.48 | 68.25 | 1177 | -6 |
| . $49 \frac{1}{2}$ | 79.1 | 5.47 | 68.1 | 1179 | -4 |
| . $50 \frac{1}{2}$ | 78.5 | 5.38 | 67.5 | 1180 | - 3 |
| . 52 | 0 | -0.16 | . . . | . . . | . . |
| . 521 | 0 | -0.25 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . . . |
| . $53 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.30 | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| . 55 | 0 | -0.25 | . . . | . . . | . . . |
| . $56 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.25 | . . . |  |  |
| . 58 | 0 | -0.25 |  |  |  |
| 12.00 | 84.1 | +6.30 | 72.4 | 1202 | $+19$ |
| . $000^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 84.5 | 6.33 | 72.7 | 1198 | $+15$ |
| . 01 | 84.1 840 | 6.18 6.15 | 72.4 72.25 | 1180 | -3 -5 |
| . 02 | 84.0 84.1 | 6.15 6.16 | 72.25 | 1178 | - 5 -8 |
| . 03 | 0 | -0.18 | . . . | . . . |  |
| . 04 | 0 | -0.35 |  | . . . |  |
| . $04 \frac{1}{3}$ | 0 | -0.35 |  | . . . |  |
| . $0.5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.25 |  |  |  |
| . $06{ }^{3}$ | 0 | -0.07 |  |  |  |
| .091 | 0 | $+0.15$ |  |  |  |
|  | verage | - . |  | 1183 | $\pm 11.7$ |

TABLE IV.
(Nov. 20, 1909.)
Solution: Molal HI, approx. $\frac{M}{50} \mathrm{I}_{2}$.
$r_{2}=29.43 \mathrm{~cm} ., r_{1}=4.51 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Standardization of Magneto: - $\frac{\text { Rev. of rotator per second }}{\text { Voltage of magneto }}=1.0125$ (6 exp. av. dev. 士.0095).

| Time. | $\mathrm{V}=\text { Vohage }$ of magneto. | $\begin{gathered} E \times 10^{3} \\ =\text { E. M. } \mathrm{F} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n=V \times 0.0125 \\ & =\text { rev. per sec. } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{E}{n^{2}} \times 10^{6}$. | Dev. from mean. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.53 | 0 | -0.38 |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 11.10 | 0 | -0.4.5 |  |  |  |
| . 17 | 0 | +0.50 |  |  |  |
| . $18 \frac{1}{3}$ | (38.0 | 1.55 | 63.8 | 380 | + 4 |
| . $19.1{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 70.0 | 1.88 | 70.9 | 305 | -11 |
| $.20{ }^{-}$ | 70.2 | 1.90 | 71.0 | 377 | + 1 |
| . $20 \frac{1}{5}$ | 70.0 | 1.90 | 70.8 | 379 | + 3 |
| . $21 \frac{1}{1}$ | $1)$ | -0.17 | . . | $\cdots$ |  |
| . 2.21 | 0 | -0.25 | . . | $\ldots$ |  |
| .24 | 0 | $-0.22$ | - $\cdot \cdot$ | $\cdots$ | . . |
| . 25 | 0 | -0.15 | .. | . |  |
| . 26 | 0 | $-0.1$ | . . | . . |  |
| . 28 | -9 | -0.08 |  |  |  |
| . 2911 | 73.5 | $+2.13$ | 74.4 | 355 | +9 |
| . $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 76.1 | 2.17 | 76.9 | 367 | -9 |
| . 30 | 76.1 | 2.25 | 77.0 | 379 | + 3 |
| . $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 73.5 | 2.25 | 76.4 | 385 | + 9 |
| . 32 | 75.9 | 2.25 | 76.7 | 382 | + 6 |
| . 33 | 0 | -0.12 | . . . | ... | ... |
| . $33 \frac{3}{2}$ | 0 | -0.20 | . . . | . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 35 | 0 | -0.16 | . . . | . . | $\ldots$ |
| . $36 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.12 | . . . | . . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 38 (?) | 0 | -0.01 |  |  |  |
| . 45 | 78.5 | +2.35 | 79.4 | 373 | - 3 |
| . 46 | 79.0 | 2.33 | 79.9 | 365 | -11 |
| . $46 \frac{1}{2}$ | 78.5 | 2.40 | 79.4 | 381 | + 5 |
| . 47 | 7S.2 | 2.35 | 79.1 | 376 | 0 |
| . 48 | 0 | -0.10 | ... | ... | . . . |
| . $45^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | 0 | -0.15 | . . . | . . | ... |
| . $49 \frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | -0.15 | ... | ... | . . . |
| . $50 \frac{1}{2}$ | $1)$ | -0.10 | ... | ... | . . |
| . 52 | 0 | $-0.07$ | . . | . . | $\ldots$ |
| . 53 | 0 | -0.0.2 |  |  |  |
| . $54 \frac{1}{2}$ | 79.0 | $+2.45$ | 79.9 | 354 | + S |
| . 55 | 80.5 | 2.45 | 81.4 | 370 | - 6 |
| . 56 | 81.0 | 2.45 | 81.9 | 366 | $-10$ |
| .57 | 0 | $-0.10$ | . . . | ... | . . |
| $\ldots{ }^{.37}$ | $1)$ | -0.1.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . . |
| .58) | 0 | -0.15 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 12.03 | () | -0.01 +0.06 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Average . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  | 375.9 | $\pm 6.1$ |

$\Delta m$; in other words, the firther apart the concentrations $I$ 'and $l^{\prime}$ are taken. On the other hand, if we are interested in the partial volume of the solute at the concentration $P$, we must determine the limit of $\Delta r / \Delta m$ as $P^{\prime}$ is brought nearer and nearer to $P^{\prime}$. A satisfactory solution of the problem may often be obtained by determining $\Delta r / \Delta m$ for several different ralues of $P^{\prime}$, if possible making it in some cases larger and in others smaller than $P$ '. 'These values will then permit a close estimate of the limit of $\Delta \cdot / \Delta m$ at the concentration $P$.

If a calculation of the quantity $\Delta c / \Delta m$ from specific gravity data is desired, efuation (:3) has to le changed merely by the substitution of the densities $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ for the weights $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ and by placing the volume $V$ equal to unity, giving the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime}}{\Delta / n}=\frac{\left(1-I^{\prime}\right) d-\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime}}{\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) d P^{\prime} d^{\prime}-\left(1-P^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} I^{\prime} d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the purpose of determining the partial volume of iodine in iodide solutions pienometer weighings were made of a solution of approximately quarter normal potassium iodide containing varying quantities of iodine. The partial volume was desired in solutions very dilute in iodine ( $\frac{M}{100} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), and hence the solutions were made as dilute in iodine as was consistent with a reasonable degree of aecuracy in the caleulation of $\Delta r / \Delta m$ between pure potassium iodide solution and the iodine solution in question. It is especially desirable to make the determinations with solutions as dilute as the accuracy of the method will permit, since the exact measurements of Kohlransch and Hallwachs 17 have shown that for several substances there is a rapid change in partial volumes when considerable dilutions are reached.

For the measurements, two separate solutions were made up from the same KI solution each containing about $1.5 \% \mathrm{I}_{2}$. The concentration of the solutions was determined by weighing the iodine used and transferring it direetly from a glass-stoppered weighing tube into the solution. The amount of solution used was also determined by weight. From each of these original solutions two more were prepared by dilution with weighed quantities of the pure potassium iodide solution, giving in all six iodine solutions whose densities were determined. The density of the original KI solution was also determined.

The volume of the pienometer used was about 21 cc ., and was exactly determined by weighing the picnometer filled with pure water. The
picnometer used was of the form recommended by Ostwald and Luther and the setting of the meniscus in the capillary was made in a thermostat kept constant at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each solution, at least two weighings were made, the picnometer being replaced in the thermostat and the meniscus reset between weighings. The weighings were made immediately after removing the picnometer from the thermostat and wiping off the surface. The successive weighings of the same solution usually agreed within two tenths of a milligram. Proper corrections were made for the buoyancy of the air. The iodine was prepared by resubliming with potassium iodide.

The results are presented in the following table :

| No. of Sol. | $\% \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | Density | $\Delta_{v / \Delta m}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.630 | 1.03955 | 0.2370 |
| 2 | 1.506 | 1.03842 | 0.2390 |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 1.099 | 1.03525 | 0.2362 |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 1.014 | 1.03452 | 0.2410 |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.8292 | 1.03310 | 0.2385 |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.7538 | 1.03250 | 0.2374 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.02660 | 0 |

The last column gives the value $\Delta r / \Delta m$ between a solution containing no iodine and one of the concentration indicated. No evidence is present of a systematic variation of $\Delta r / \Delta m$ with the concentration. Omitting the value of $\Delta v / \Delta m$ for solution no. $2^{\prime}$, the arerage value is $\Delta v / \Delta m=0.2376 \pm 0.0004\left(=\frac{\text { average dev. }}{\sqrt{5}}\right)$, and this was taken as the partial specific volume of iodine at great dilutions in potassium iodide solution. The specific volume of pure iodine from the data in Landolt and Börnstein is 0.202 .

In the following tables the results are given for some calculations of $\Delta r / \Delta m$ for potassium, sodium, lithium and hydrogen iodides in aqueous solution at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. from the data of Wegner and of Perkin given in Laudolt and Börnstein.

Potassiem Iodide (Wegner).

| $P \times 100$. | $P^{\prime} \times 100$. | $d_{204}$. | $d_{\text {20/ }}{ }^{\text {d }}$. | $\Delta v_{1} \Delta_{m}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.27 | 15.12 | 1.0784 | 1.1205 | 0.283 |
| 10.27 | 20.04 | 1.0784 | 1.1665 | 0.285 |
| 15.12 | 20.04 | 1.1205 | 1.1665 | 0.286 |

For a concentration of one mol per kg. water $P \times 100=14.2$, $d v / d m$ taken as 0.284 cc .

Specific volume of the solid (L \& B) 0.326 cc.

Sodiem Iodide (Wegner).

| $P \times 100$. | $P^{\prime} \times 100$. | $d_{20,4}$. | $d^{\prime}{ }_{2 / 2 / 4}$ | $\Delta_{v} / \Delta m$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9.28 | 1.0483 | 1.0743 | 0.240 |
| 6.25 | 14.70 | 1.0483 | 1.1239 | 0.242 |
| 6.25 | 14.70 | 1.0743 | 1.1239 | 0.244 |
| 9.28 |  |  |  |  |

For a concentration of one mol per kg. water $P \times 100=13.0$, $d v / d m$ taken as 0.244 cc .

Specific volume of the solid ( $\mathrm{L} \& \mathrm{~B}$ ) 0.282 .

Lithicm Iodide (Wegner).

| $P \times 100$. | $P^{\prime} \times 100$. | $d_{20 / 4}$. | $d^{\prime} 20 / 4$. | $\Delta v / \Delta m$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 5.90 | 0.9997 | 1.0437 | 0.262 |
| 0 | 10.54 | 0.9997 | 1.0825 | 0.2615 |
| 5.90 | 10.54 | 1.0437 | 1.0825 | 0.261 |

For a concentration of one mol per kg . water $P \times 100=11.8$, $d v / d m$ taken as 0.2605 cc.

Specific volume of the solid (L\&B) 0.246 .

Hydrogen Iodide (Perkin).

| $P \times 100$. | $P^{\prime} \times 100$. | $d_{20 \sim 20}$. | $d_{20,20}^{\prime}$ | $\Delta v / \Delta m$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 20.77 | 1.0000 | 1.1754 | $0.2 S 15$ |
| 0 | 31.77 | 1.0000 | 1.2962 | 0.2805 |
| 20.77 | 31.77 | 1.1754 | 1.2362 | 0.2795 |

For a concentration of one mol per kg. water $P \times 100=11.3$, de, dm taken as $0.2815 \mathrm{cc} .\left(20^{\circ}\right)$ or 0.282 true ce.
lt is interesting to note in the cases of potassimm and sodium iodides that the specific volume of the pure substance is greater than the partial specific volume of the substance in solution, and in these cases there is a slight but definite increase in the partial volume as the solution becomes more concentrated, that is, as it approaches the pure salt. Moreover, in the case of lithium iodide, although here the partial volume in solution is greater than the volume of the pure substance it also approaches the latter as the solution becomes more concentrated.
9. Calculation of the Transferevce Number.

Substituting for $\pi$ its value, and for $F$ the value 96580, equation (2) may be written :

$$
T_{c}=\left(\frac{4 . s 95 \times 10^{10}}{\left(r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{2}\right)} \frac{E}{n^{2}}+M_{I}\left(1-r_{I_{2}} d\right)\right) \div M_{s}\left(1-v_{s} d\right) .
$$

Using the average values of $E^{\prime} n^{2}$ the transference number of the cation $T_{c}^{\prime}$ was calculated for each of the salts. The data aml results are given below : 18

| Solution. $\quad \frac{E}{n^{2} \times 10^{\text {b }}}$ | $\left(r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ | $M_{s}$ | $r$, | $M_{1}$. | ${ }^{v} t_{2}$. | $d_{\text {d }}$. | $T_{\varphi}$. | P. E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 816.0 | 166.0 | 0.284 | 126.9 | 0.2376 | 1.115 | 0.188 | $\pm 0001$ |
|  | St9.3 | 149.9 | 0.214 | 126.9 | 0.236 | 1.110 | 0.345 | $\pm 0.001$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{11} \mathrm{LiI},{ }_{1}^{110}{ }_{10}^{10} l_{2} 118: 3$ | 819.3 | 133.9 | 0.2605 | 126.9 | 0.2376 | 1.096 | $0.26 ¢$ | $\pm 0.0013$ |
|  | 816.2 | 127.9 | 0.282 | 126.9 | 0.2376 | 1.090 | 0.816 | $\pm 0.001$ |

18 The values of $E=n^{2}$ are, of course, all reerative since the eurrent tends to flow from the outer to the inner eleetrode.

## The Accurary of the Results.

The last column in the table gives the extremely small "probable error" introduced into the value of the transference number, by the deviations between the different measmrements of $E / n^{2}$. It was obtained by dividing the mean deviations by the square root of the number of observations. It is not a satisfactory measure of the reliability of the transference numbers, since there is considerable probability that the "residual" electromotive force existing between the electrodes during rotation were more likely to be in one direction than the other. The value $\pm 0.010$ may be taken as a fairer measure of the probable accuracy of the determinations. In the case of KI, this would correspond to an average error of about 0.1 millivolt in the electromotive force.

## The Effect of Pressure on the Results.

In deriving the equation on page 114 for the potential difference of a cell monder the influence of centrifugal force, the tacit assumption was made that the quantities $v_{s}, v_{I_{2}}$ and $d$ are, throughont the solution, the same as those calculated from density measurements made at atmospheric pressure. This is not strictly true, since there is considerable pressure produced in the solution by the centrifugal force. The following equation in which the quantities are considered as variables is exact.

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{7} E^{\prime} F=\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} 4 \pi^{2} n^{2} r\left[T_{c} M_{s}\left(1-v_{s} d\right)-M_{I}\left(1-v_{I_{2}} d\right)\right] d r \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivation of the equation is obvious. $10^{7} \mathrm{EF}$ is the external work obtained when one faraday of electricity flows through the solution under the potential difference $E$ produced by the centrifugal action. This quantity of energy is equal to the work required to restore the solution to its original condition. To accomplish this $T_{c} 1_{s} \mathrm{gm}$. of salt must be brought back from $r_{2}$ to $r_{1}$, and this quantity, multiplied by $r_{\mathrm{s}} d$, is the number of gm . of displaced solution which will automatically move in the opposite direction as we move the salt through the solution, $M_{I}$ and $v_{I_{2}} d$ are the same quantities for the iodine, which has to be transferred from $r_{1}$ to $r_{2}$. The centrifugal force acting on the material which is to be moved is the product of the mass into $4 \pi^{2} n^{2} r$ and the total work done is obtained by multiplying by $d r$, and integrating between $r_{2}$ and $r_{1}$. If the integration is made on the assumption that $v_{s}, v_{1_{2}}$ and $d$ do not vary with $r$, that is, with the pres-
sure, the equation actually used is obtained. As a matter of fact both the density of the solution and the partial volume of the salt will vary with the pressure, and hence as we move the salt through the solution from one electrode to the other at each level it will displace a different weight of solution, and will hence be buoyed up by a different amount. We have no data for the variation of $v_{s}$ and $v_{I_{2}}$ with the pressure, but an upper limit for the error which has been introduced by taking them as constant, may be calculated.

Assuming that $c_{s} d$ and $c_{1_{2}} l$ vary linearly with the pressure, since the pressure equals $2 \pi^{2} n^{2} r^{2} d^{19}$ where $d$ is approximately unity, we may write the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{s} d & ={\underline{v_{s}}}_{0}\left(1+2 \pi^{2} \|^{2} r^{2} \alpha_{1}\right) \\
r_{I_{2}} d & ={\underline{r_{1}} d_{0}}\left(1+2 \pi^{2} \|^{2} r^{2} \alpha_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{s} d_{0}$ and ${r_{1_{2}} d_{0}}^{0}$ are the values for zero pressure, and $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are. the linear coefficients for the fractional change of $c_{s} l$ and $c_{l_{2}}{ }^{d}$ with the pressure. Substituting into equation (5) and integrating we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\frac{2 \pi^{2} \mu^{2}}{10^{7} H^{\prime}}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)\left[T_{c} M_{s}\left(1-\underline{c_{s} d_{0}}\right)-M_{I}\left(1-\underline{r_{I_{2}} d}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{2 \pi^{4} \mu^{4}}{10 \mu^{7} r^{\prime}}\left(r_{2}^{4}-r_{1}^{4}\right)\left(T_{c} M_{s} \alpha_{1}{\underline{r_{s}} d_{0}}-M_{I} a_{2} \underline{r_{2} d_{0} d_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term in the expression is seen to be the error introdnced into the calculation of the electromotive force, by neglecting the change of the density and partial volumes with the pressure. Since the partial volumes will probably decrease with pressure and partly neutralize the increase in density, to obtain an opper limit for the error let us put $a_{1}$ aud $a_{2}=4 \times 10^{-12}$, the value for the fractional change in the density of water per $\frac{\text { dyne }}{\mathrm{cm}^{2}}$. Making this substitution, the value of the above term becomes only 0.00018 millivolts, for the experiment on potassium iodide, at so revolutions per secoud. We see that no appreciable error has been introduced by neglecting the change in the density and the partial volumes produced by pressure.

Before leaving the cousideration of the pressure gradient in the tube, it must he pointed out that the transference number determined in these exporiments is the transference number which exists when the solution is actually moder the influence of that particular pressure gra-

19 This is strictly true only when the liquid reaches way to the center of the rotating apparatus.
dient. Owing to their enormous "internal pressure" the properties of liquids are, however, in general but little affected by changes in the external pressure.

## The Effect of the Dissolced Iodine on the Results.

In the case of the alkali iodides one per cent of the I ion was changed into $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{3}$ ion by the iodine present. In the HI solution, owing to oxidation about twice as much iodine was present. The transference number would, however, be only slightly affected by the small admixture of $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ion. ${ }^{20}$

## 10. Comparison of the Results witi otier Transference Measurements.

The available data on the transference numbers of iodides are very few, and, of these, many are vitiated by the use of membranes in the apparatus. ${ }^{21}$ The most satisfactory data for comparison are the values determined by Washburn ${ }^{22}$ for the Hittorf transference numbers of the alkali chlorides (at a concentration $1.2-1.3$ molal), and the value for the Hittorf transference number of hydrochloric acid (at a concentration 1.0 molal) which can be calculated from Buchbïck's determination of true transference number and hydration. This comparison is made in Trable V. The transference numbers for the chlorides and iodides at infinite dilution, calculated from conductivity data are also given in the table, and finally, values obtained for ${ }_{100}^{N} \mathrm{KI}$ and $\frac{N}{10} \mathrm{NaI}$ by Demnison ${ }^{23}$ using the method of Dennison and Steele and a value by Bein 24 for $\frac{N}{20}$ KI. This last is the only available datum for these iodides obtained by the Hittorf method without the use of membranes.

[^48]Table V.
Transference Number of the Anion.

| Halide of | Iodide. Centrifugal. | Chloricle, Washburn. Buchbock. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iodide, } \\ \text { Infinite } \\ \text { Dilution. }{ }^{25} \end{gathered}$ | Chloride, Infinite Dilution, ${ }^{25}$ | Iodide, Dennison. | Iodide, Bein. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 0.514 | 0.518 | 0.507 | 0.503 | 0.514 | 0.505 |
| Na | 0.615 | 0634 | 0.604 | 0.601 | 0.624 | $\ldots$ |
| Li | 0.732 | 0.722 | 0.665 | 0.662 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| H | 0.184 | 0.160 : 6 | 0.174 | 0.172 |  |  |

The agreement between the results presented in the table for the iodides with those for the chlorides is satisfactory. The greatest deviation occurs in the values for the two halogen acids. Hydrochloric acid, ${ }^{27}$ however, is known to be abnormal in its behavior since the transference number passes through a minimum at a concentration below normal, and the same effect might occur in hydriodic acid solution. Furthermore, since the electromotive force measured was so small in the case of hydriodic acid any constant error in the nature of a residual electromotive force might have had a large effect.

## 11. Sumary.

In this article an apparatus and procedure have been described for determining transference numbers by the centrifugal method, first
${ }^{25}$ These values for the transference number at infinite dilution were calculated from the latest conductivity diata of Kohlrausch, Zt. f. Electrochem. 13, 333 ( 1907 ).
${ }^{26}$ This value for the Hittorf transference number of molal HCl is ealeulated from lbuchboek's determination of true transference number and hydration by the relation connecting those quantities as developed by Washburn. Washburn himself gives 0.18 for the Itittorf transference of HCl , a value which was taken from Kohlrauseh's tables, but it is probably considerably too high. For 11Cl, 0.97 molal, Riesenfeld n. Reinhohl, Zts. f. Phys. Chem. 68, 410 (1909), obtain the value 0.155, and Hopfgartner. Zts. Phys. Chem. 26, 115 ( 189 S ), obtains 0.159 for 0.9 molal ILCl .
${ }^{27}$ Riensenfeld u. Reinhold, Zts. f. phys. Chem. 68, 440 (1909).
tricd by Des Coudres. The method consists in the measurement of the electromotive force proluced between electrodes placed at the central and peripheral ends of a rotating tube containing the electrolyte. An equation can be derived, comecting this electromotive force and the transference number of the salt with the speed of rotation, the density of the solution, and the molecular weight and the "partial" specific volume of the substances involved, quantities which can be independently determined.

Some of the details of the construction of the rotating apparatus may have general interest. A distinctive feature of the apparatus was the method of driving the rotator as a "spiming top," which had not been specially balanced and which was hung below its fixed point of support. A simple arrangement of cords was devised for steadying the shaft of the rotating top and preventing precessional motion. A thrust bearing suitable for high speeds is also described. It consists of a series of ball bearings which distribute the total relative motion. An equation is given for calculating the dimensions of a rotating arm of uniform strength with the cross section increasing in size towards the center of rotation.

With this apparatus measurements were made of the electromotive force produced by the rotation of molal solutions of potassium, sodium, lithium, and hydrogen iodides. As predicted from the equations, the electromotive force was found to increase proportionately to the square of the speed of rotation. From the data the transference number was calculated for the four solutions, and found to agree as well as could be expected, with the available results of other methods of determination.

It was pointed out in comnection with a kinetic derivation of the electromotive force relation, that the production of an electromotive force by centrifugal force is a proof of the presence of free ions in an electrolytic solution or at least of a certain degree of electrical polarization in the molecules.

The writer desires to express his gratitude to Professor A. A. Noyes, the Director of the Research Laboratory, whose interest and support made possible the completion of this research. Thanks are also due to Professor Elihu Thomson and Dr. Sanford A. Moss of the General Electric Company, through whose kind offices was loaned the steam turbine used in this investigation. The writer also had the benefit of Dr. Moss's extensive experience with high-speed rotation, and is indebted to Professor George B. Haven of the Institute for his assistance in checking a large number of calculations of machine design which were made before the final apparatus was constructed.
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By F. W Carpenter.

# FEEDING REAC'TIONS OF 'THE ROSE CORAL (ISOPHYLLIA). ${ }^{1}$ 

By F. W. Carpenter.

Presented by E. L. Mark. Received July 5, 1910.

## Introduction.

A number of investigators have studied in detail the structure of the polyps of the Madreporaria, the gronp of corals which includes the great majority of reef-building forms. Comparatively little attention has been paid, however, to the activities of the living zoïids, althongh the ultimate resnlt of these activities, the formation of immense quantities of limestone, is a remarkable biological phenomenon, and one of very considerable geological importance.

I'he present paper is intended as a contribution to the field of coral physiology, and is concerned mainly with the reactions which follow the stimalation of the polyp by means of nutrient substances. Since the corals belong to the phylum of the Coelenterata, in which we have learned to look for the first appearance of a differentiated mechanism for neuro-mnscular reflexes, the facts here recorded have at least an incilental bearing on the question of the phylogenetic origin of the nervous system.

The work on which the paper is based was done at the Bermuda Biological Station for Research in the summer of 1909. During a period of several weeks, observations were made on the feeding behavior of rose-coral polyps belonging to the gemus Isophyllia. Of this genus three species are recorded by Verrill (:02) for the Bermurla Islands, viz., dipsacen, fragilis, and multiftora, the first two being much more common than the last. Since the specific cbaracters lie in the skeleton, it is not possible to determine with certainty the species of a living colony, but it is known from the subsequent examination of several skeletons that dipsecere oceurred in the material used, and in all probability fragilis was also represented. The question of species
${ }^{1}$ Contributions from the Bermuda Biological Station for Research, No. 20; also Contributions from the Zoölogical Laboratory, University of Illinois, under the direction of Henry B. Warl, No. 4.
is here an unimportant one, as the feeding reactions of the various members of the genus are doubtless essentially the same.

The rose corals at Bermuda form small convex colonies, seldom measuring more than five or six inches in diameter. They occur abundantly in shallow water near shore, often in quiet bays partially shat off from the open sea, where the plankton, which apparently furnishes them with food, is considerably diminished in quantity. Their suc-


Figure 1. Diagrammatic vertical section through an expanded polyp.
$C$, coclenteron or gastrococlomic cavity ; $E Z$, cdge zone; 11 , mesentery; $M F$, mesenterial filament ; $O D$, oral disk; $S p$, sphincter muself; St, stomodarum ; $T$, tentacle.
cessful adaptation to these apparently unfavorable situations, in which most corals do not thrive, suggests a very efficient mechanism for availing themselves of such food as may be present.

The individual polyps of the rose-enral colony are eomparatively large, the oral surface often measuring an inch in diameter. The structural features of this surface are shown in the Plate, which is from a photograph of a small portion of a partially expanded colony. In the center of the polyp is the mouth (M), a small oval or circular aperture, which orens into the stomodaem. Bordering the mouth is the flat ciliated oral disk $(O /)$ ). This is bounded by a circle of short tentacles ( $T^{*}$ ), external to which is a thickened ciliated edge zone (EZ ), raised somewhat above the level of the oral disk, and forming the wide rim which completes the oral surface peripherally. A diagrammatie vertical section through an expanded polyp (Figure 1) reveals its cup-
like shape, and shows the short stomodaenm (N't) leading into the coelenteron ( $C$ ), which is partially subdivided by the radially placed mesenteries $(M)$. Bach mesentery, whether complete or incomplete, bears along its free edge a coiled mesente:ial filament ( $M F$ ). The inner margin of the edge zone in such a section shows the cut ends of a large circular endodermal muscle ( $S^{\prime} p$ ), which acts as a sphincter. In his monograph ou West Indian madreporarian polyps, Duerden (: 02) states that this sphincter muscle is more strongly developed in Isophyllia than in any other genus of corals studied by him.

Owing to its large size the rose-coral polyp lends itself readily to a study of its reactions to food materials. The colonies thrive well in the laboratory, where they may be kept alive in running sea-water for weeks. The best time for experimenting is at night, when the polyps become fully expanded, and remain so in diffuse artificial light. However, during the daytime all the feeding reactions may be obtained from the partially contracted polyps, except those of the tentacles, the latter then being withdrawn under the cover of the inner margin of the edge zone.

## The Feeding Reactiovs.

Movements of Oral Surface and Mesenteries. When a stream of seawater gently ejected from a pipette falls on the oral disk of a polyp, there is usually no perceptible response. If a drop of a concentrated solution of Liebig's extract of meat in sea-water is similarly applied, there is an immediate contraction of the retractor muscles of the mesenteries, which draws the oral disk downward. Simultaneously a vigorous contraction of the sphincter muscle takes place, and the edge zone is drawn inward over the oral region, above which it forms a temporary roof (Figure 2). Under the influence of a strong stimulus the constantly diminishing central opening in this roof may finally be obliterated by the meeting of the edges of the aperture, and the oral disk below may thus become completely hidden from sight.

Eversion of Stomodaeum and Extrusion of Mesenterial Filaments. While this museular reaction is taking place, and before the oral disk is concealed, the stomodaeum may be observed to become everted, and to project into the supra-discal space (Figure 2). The eversion of the stomodaeum is accompanied by the extrusion of mesenterial filaments through the mouth, together with the portions of the mesenteries to which they are attached. Not only are mesenterial filaments thrust through the oral aperture, but they are also extruded through the discal wall itself (Figure 2). Duerden (:02), who has observed this behavior of the mesenterial filaments in many madreporarian polyps,
is convineed by his histological studies that there are, however, no permanent port-holes, or cinclides, in the budy wall. He believes the mesenterial filaments may make temporary apertures for themselves in any part of the oral disk or wall of the column. When the filaments are withdrawn the orifices close after a few minutes, and leave no traces of their former existence.

The eversion of the stomodaeum, accompanied by partial extrusion of mesenterial filaments through the muath, may follow a much less


Figure 2. Diagrammatic vertical section through a contracted feeding polyp.
$C$, codenteron ; $E Z$, edge zone, folded over ; $F$, food particle ; $M F$, mesenterial filament, extruded ; OD, oral disk; $S p$, sphincter musele, contracted; St, stomodacum, everted; SC, supra-diseal eavity ; T, tentacle.
vigorous stimulus than the one just described. The reaction oecurs when a piece of filter paper soaked in diluted meat juice is dropped on the oral disk. 'I'he latter becomes depressed, although the stimulus is not sufficient to canse an overfolding of the edge zone. Under these conditions the gullet may be seen to streteh toward the stimulating object. If it reaches the filter paper, this may become partially inclosed by the everterl stomolaeum, and may lie for some time in contact with the mesenterial filaments emerging from it, without being actually swallowed. ()n compratively few oceasions have the bits of filter paper been olserved to pass into the coelenteron of the polyp.

Renertions of the Tonturles. After sumdown the tentaeles of rose-coral
polyps kept in the laboratory become expanded, and may be experimented upon in diffinse artificial light. If exposed to the direct rays of an acetylene bicycle lamp the tentacles contract after a few minutes, but they remain exteuled in diffuse light of sufficient intensity to render them clearly visible to the observer.

When the knob-like extremity of a tentacle is touched with a splinter of wood the latter immediately becomes atfixed to the end of the tentacle, which is known to be loaded with nematocysts. Possibly the wood is empaled by the numerous nematocyst threads, or it may be held by some adhesive substance. However this may be, the splinter becomes so securely fastened that considerable force is needed to pull it away. A tentacle will often be drawn out two or three times its own length before the splinter is freed, and I have sometimes feared it would be torn from the body in the operation.

If an expanded tentacle is touched on one side with the splinter, there is a quick muscular response to the contact stimulus. The tentacle immediately bends in the direction of the stimulating object, which it affixes to the terminal knob.

Similar results follow the use of a bit of filter paper in place of the splinter, but if the paper is first dipped in meat extract, in addition to the tentacular reaction the oral disk begins to sink and the edge zone to fold over. In this reflex the distance of the receptor (the end of the tentacle) from the effector (the endodermal body muscles) is worthy of note.

Action of the Cilia. Both the oral disk and the edge zone are abundantly provided with cilia. Carmine particles dropped anywhere on their surfaces are rapidly transferred to the outer margin of the polyp, showing that the usual beat of the cilia is toward the periphery. Carmine particles dropped at the very margin of the mouth pass inmediately into the stomodeum, the cilia of which appear to beat constantly inward.

When carmine grains which have previously been soaked in meat extract are dropped on the oral disk they also are usually carried to the peripheral margin of the polyp, even though the stimulation of the nutrient material may be sufficient to canse a slow sinking of the oral surface. I repeated this experiment many times with different polyps, and occasionally succeeded in obtaining a reversal of the cilia, which caused the carmine grains to move toward, and not away from, the mouth. I was, however, unable to obtain consistent reactions, and never could predict what the resnlt would be. Analyses of the brand of meat extract used (Liebig's) indicate that it contains several of the substances shown by Parker (: $0 \mathbf{J}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and :05 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) to be the effective stimuli
in prodacing a reversal of the cilia on the lip zone of Metridium. Of these sabstances potassium chloride, creatine, and albumoses are present in the extract (Street:08). The failure to obtain uniform reversals may have been due to the varying differences in the degree of dilution of the stimulating materials, or to unknown factors associated with the physiological condition of the polyps. As will be seen later, ciliary action plays an insignificant part in the feeding process of the rose coral, so that a prompt and definite reversal of the cilia of the oral disk is not a necessary factor in the operation of securing food.

Normul Feeling Behavior. This brief analysis of the responses of the polyp to chemical and tactile stimuli leads to an understanding of the way in which these various reactions are combined in normal feeding behavior.

It is generally believed that coral polyps take as food the small organisms found in the marine plankton, although there is little direct evidence in support of this view. Examination of the colentera of preserved specimens rarely reveals food material, the scarcity of which in the digestive cavities of the Madreporaria has been commented upon by Duerden, Hickson, Bourne, and Fowler (Pratt :05). It has even been suggested that the zoïchlorellie living in symbiotic relation with many corals may elaborate a part of the latter's food, and thus compensate for deficiencies in the supply from outside.

On the other hand it is to be noted that the diurnal expansion and contraction of coral polyps - their alternating periods of activity and quiescence - coincide respectively with the appearance and disappearance of the plankton in the surrounding waters. 'This can readily be observed in the case of the rose corals. During the daytime, when the sea about them is comparatively free from small organisms, the polyps appear partially contracted. No tentacles are to be seen. But after dark, when the myriad components of the plankton begin to swarm in the water, one may wade along the shore at low tide, and with the aid of a water glass and light from a bicycle lamp, he may readily see that the polyps are fully expanded, with tentacles well out. They have every appearance of being on the alert for food.

In order that l might observe under favorable conditions a polyp in the act of feeding upon what we may suppose to be its natural food, I placed a small colony in a dish of sea-water under a low-power binocular microscope. 'Ihis was done by lamplight at about half-past nine in the evening when the polyps were weli expanded. I then poured into the dish a small quantity of living plankton which a half hour before had been obtained with a tow-net in the locality from which the corals had been collected. The phankton consisted of small Crustacea, including
many topepods, larvie of various kinds, l'rotozoa, and other minute marine organisms. Almost immediately the tentacles of the polyp under observation began to turn in various directions. Most of the forms in the plankton were too small to be followed by the eye under the lowpower lenses used, but I actually saw one copepod struck by and affixed to the knobbed end of a tentacle. Many organisms doubtless struck against the oral surface of the polyp. In a very few seconds the stomodieum became everted, mesenterial filaments were protruded, the disk sank, and the edge zone commenced to fold in toward the center. The latter movement continued until the tentacles and oral disk were completely roofed over and concealed from sight. In this condition the polyp remained for some time.

What takes place within the contracted polyp during this period of quiescence cannot be directly observed. But it seems to me highly probable that most of the organisms caught and held by the tentacles, or trapped by the overfolded edge zone, do not reach the gastrocolomic cavity. I believe they are retained in the superficial chamber bounded by the oral disk below and the overfolded edge zone above, and here digested throngh contact with the extruded mesenterial filaments. The latter are known to be the digestive organs of the Actinozoa. They act upon proteid food by means of a tryptic ferment contained in the secretions of their gland cells, and then ingest or absorb the partially or wholly digested material (Jordan, :07; Pratt, :05). The mesenterial filaments can easily reach to all parts of the superficial chamber, and so be brought into contact with the food held by the tentacles. The attachment of the organisms to the tentacles appears from experiment to be so secure that it is difficult to see how the captured bodies can be discharged except by being digested away.

The mesenterial filaments are themselves well supplied with nematocysts, and these may be useful in securing any free organisms met with in the upper chamber. A few such organisms which are still at liberty to move may make their way into the diminished coelenteron, although the cilia of the everted stomodaeum are no longer in a position to produce inhalent currents; but it seems probable that the greater part of the plankton captured by the polyp undergoes extra-coelenteric digestion in the way deseribed.

When, under natural conditions, the polyp is feeding on small organisms, it is probable that a number of these must be captured by the tentacles, or come into contact with the oral disk, before a cumulative stimulus is obtained sufficient to cause the contraction of the sphineter and mesenterial muscles, and the extrusion of the mesenterial filaments. A few small carmine grains soaked in meat extract and dropped on the
oral disk fail to bring about muscular reactions, even though the granules come into contact with the tentacles when being carried peripherally by the surface cilia. Furthermore, unless the stimulus is a strong one, the edge zone will not fold inward far enough to form a complete roof. As has been seen, a piece of filter paper soaked in dilute meat extract is not a sufficient stimulus to bring about the contraction of the sphincter musele, althongh it does cause a sinking of the oral disk, with eversion of the gullet, and partial extrusion of mesenterial filaments through the mouth. But the roofing over of the oral disk is not a neeessary part of the process of extra-coelenteric digestion, provided the food organisms are prevented from escaping by the tentacles or by the mesenterial filaments themselves.

As may now be seen, the cilia of the oral surface apparently play an unimportant rôle in the feeding process. Their chief function seems to be that of keeping the oral surface clean. 'i'heir abmondace, and their persistency in driving foreign particles toward the periphery, may be correlated with the comparatively small amount of surface mucus, which in such polyps as Favia and Fungia forms a well-developed protective layer over the oral disk (Duerden, :06).

After the rose-coral polyp has completed the digestion of its food in the supra-discal cavity, the stomodaenm and mesenterial filaments are retracted, and the sphincter and mesenterial muscles relaxed. Water is then drawn in through the mouth by the action of the stomodacal cilia, and the polyp expands into its resting condition. The cilia of the oral surface now come into play, and transport peripherally any undigested particles that may have been left on the oral disk. Such particles, as may be seen by watching carmine grains, are carried across the edge zone, and deposited in the grooves (IG, Plate) which separate adjacent polyps.

Freding Recoctioms in Other Corals. In its method of taking food, as ahove described, the rose-coral zooidd differs from the polyps of the three other madreporarian genera whose feeding reactions have been olserved. 'I'wo of these, Favia and Fungia, were studied by Duerden (:06) in the Hawaiian lslands. 'The oral disks of Favia and Fungia are not ciliated, bat are well provided with gland cells, which secrete on the external surface an abundant coating of mueus. In this muens foreign particles become embedded. Ordinarily the beat of the stomodaeal cilia is outward, but under the stimulus of meat juice the cilia reverse, and the strong inhalent currents thus produced waft into the month shreds and patches of the muens, together with the foreign materials entangled or embedded in it. The writer evidently considers that these foreign materials furnish the polyp with its food.

The feeding reactions of the third genus, Caryophyllia, have been described briefly by Carlgren (:05). 'The cilia of the oral surface of this coral beat inward near the month, and ontward in the peripheral region external to the tentacles. Food is brought by tentacular action into the circum-oral region, whence it is conveyed by cilia to the mouth and stomodacum. Contractions of the oral disk and peristaltic movements of the gullet assist in the swallowing of large objects.

The feeding process in the related group of alcyonarian corals has been studied by Pratt (:05), who shows that in Alcyomiun digitutum food is taken into the gastro-coelomic cavity by means of the muscular activities of the tentaeles aud gullet. Cilia are not mentioned as being concerned in the process. Within the body of the zoüid both intercellular and intracellular digestion take place through the agency of the mesenterial filaments.

It therefore appears that in all coral polyps heretofore investigated the food reaches the coelenteron and is here digested by the mesenterial filaments. Bat in Isophyllia the evidence points to an improvement in the method of appropriating food, which makes the polyp of this gemus a very efficient plankton trap. It apparently does not risk losing its prey by attempting to transfer it by tentacular or ciliary movements to the mouth, and thence through the gullet to the usual digestive cavity. On the contrary, it affixes its prey firmly to its tentacles, and then, inclosing its captives in a more or less complete, though temporary, chamber formed on the external surface, it proceeds, by means of its mesenterial filaments, to digest its food in situ.

Allusion has been made to the statements of the morphologists in regard to the scarcity of food fragments in the coelentera of preserved madreporarian polyps. Whatever the reason for this may be in the case of other corals, in Isophyllia the failure to find such materials is readily explained by the extra-coclenteric digestion of at least the greater part of its food.

Evidences of Nervoid Transmission. The term "nervoid" is here used in preference to "nervous" because of the failure of the histologists to demonstrate differentiated tissues of undoubted nervons character in the polypal wall of the great majority of the Madreporaria, including Isophyllia. Duerden (:02) mentions the presence of a distinct ectodermal nerve layer in the tentacles of some species, "Cladocera, Madrepora, and probably others," - but in his special description of a representative of the genus Isophyllia no reference is made to such a layer. A weak ectodermal muscle layer seems, however, to be of constant occurrence in the tentacles of the Madreporaria. As far as the polypal wall is concerned, Duerden and Ayres (:05) state
that previous to their discovery of differentiated ectodermal nervons tissue in Coenopsammia no ectodermal columnar nerve or muscle layer had been found in any madreporarian polyp.

In spite of the apparent absence of adjustor tissues in the body wall of Isophyllia, a transmission of at least a nervoid character takes place from receptor to effector through intervening portions of the body. The evidence for this rests on the results of experiments with both chemical and tactile stimuli.

As has been stated in the preceding pages, the application of meat extract to the surface ectoderm is followed by an immediate contraction of the sphincter and mesenterial muscles, both of which are, according to Duerden (:02), situated in the endoderm. An impulse of some kind must, therefore, pass through the intervening mesogloea, which in Isophyllia is a layer of considerable thickness.

A longer distance must be traversed by the nervoid impulse when the retractor muscles of the mesenteries respond to a chemical stimulus affecting the distal end of a tentacle. This reaction, following the application of a bit of filter paper dipped in meat juice, has already been described.

There is evidence, furthermore, that the transmission of impulses is not confined to the polyp stimulated, but may extend throughout the colony. When meat extract is applied to a single polyp a widespread effect is produced. For example, in one experiment a small amount of concentrated meat extract was placed during the daytime on the central polyp of a colony with twenty-five oral apertures. The stimulating material soon spread over two adjacent polyps, which, with the central one, became tightly contracted. Meanwhile stomodaca began to be everted and mesenterial filaments extruded all over the colony, which in consequence presented, after two or three minutes, a very ragged appearance. The sphincter and retractor muscles of these outlying polyps were not appreciably affected.

It must be admitted that the explanation of this reaction as due to nervoid impulses from the central polyps is open to the objection that the stimulus may have been local, owing to the diffusion of the meat juice externally over the surface of the colony, or internally through the communicating coelentera. 'This objection cannot be raised, however, in the case of a colonial reaction induced by a tactile stimulus applied to a single polyp. Especially at night, when the corals are most sensitive, the touching of a centrally situated polyp with a piece of wood or a glass rod will often be followed not only by an immediate local muscular response, but also by a sudden contraction of the mesenterial muscles throughont the colony. The result is seen in the general sinking of the oral surfaces.

While the reaction in the zoïid actually touched might be accounted for by the direct stimulation of the endodermal muscles by mechanical pressure exerted through the overlying tissues, this explanation will not apply to the reaction in distant polyps. Nor can the movements in the outer portions of the colony be the result of the strain exerted by the contracting central polyp on contiguous polyps, and by these, when they in turn contract, on zoïids still more distant. For, as we have seen, a central polyp in a colony, contracting because of the direct application of a chemical stimulus, does so without affecting the retractor muscles of neighboring polyps. And, finally, the wide-spread reaction camot be explained by assuming that the protoplasm of the colony is remarkably sensitive to slight molecular vibrations set up in it by touching one of the polyps. If this were so, the colonies would constantly be responding by obvious muscular contractions to vibrations in the surrounding medium or in the solid substratum on which they rest. That such reactions do not occur is evident from repeated observation.

When we turn from these experimental evidences of nervoid transmission to the histology of the Madreporaria, our attention is naturally directed to the mesogloea, through which we infer the impulses must pass, and in which we should, therefore, expect to discover at least some trace of transmitting tissues. According to Duerden (:02) "the mesogloea of coral polyps has generally been described as a perfectly structureless layer" ; but the following quotation from page 416 of his monograph on West Indian Madreporaria shows that this statement does not hold for the form with which we are concerned :
"In large polyps, such as $I$ sophyllice dipsacea, and also in Maeandrina, the mesogloea is rather thick, and minute connective-tissue cells occur sparsely throughout. In sections the cells are circular or oval in shape, with a central nuclens, and minute prolongations extend in all directions; many of these reach one or other of the surfaces of the layer, and there come into contact with the ectodermal or endodermal cells. In some instances the processes extend right across from one layer to the other, but are mostly disposed in an irregular stellate manner."

These "connective-tissue cells" are probably homologous with those forming the mesogloeal cell plexus in the related Alcyonaria. This plexus was formerly considered to be nervous in function, but the studies of Pratt (:05) on the digestive organs of the Alcyonaria indicate that the amoeboid cells whose processes give rise to the network are concerned with the ingestion and transportation of food. By means of these wandering cells "nutriment may be conveyed from the diges-
tive endoderm cells of the zoïids to every portion of the colony." The system, therefore, in the opinion of the writer, "must be regarded as a nutritive as well as a sensitive plexus." More recently Kassianow (:08) has demonstrated in Alcymium digitatum a well-developed ectodermal nervous system with ganglion and sense cells. He denies a nervous function to the branched cells of the mesogloea.

Returning to the histological structure of Isophyllia, it can be said that the so-called "comnective-tissue cells" which bridge the mesogloea from ectodermal receptors to endodermal effectors fulfill, topographically at least, the requirements of a primitive correlating segment in a reflex mechanism. The ontogenetic history of these cells, and their exact structural interrelations, have not been worked out, so far as I an aware. But the alluring theory presents itself that these branching cells have migrated from the ectoderm, and, spreading throughout the mesogloea, have assumed contact relations one with another by means of their processes; and though they may not have become highly specialized nervous elements, we may suppose that their protoplasm has retained and augmented its primitive endowment of irritability. Possibly other primitive amoeboid characters have also been retained, such as the eapacity for ingesting food, or for moving about by means of pseudopods, - both of which are suggested by Pratt's observations on the cells of the mesogloeal plexus of Alcyonaria.

The theory of the phylogenetic origin of the nervons system as outlined by l'arker (:10), calls for the appearance of an auljnstor tiswe made up of primitive synaptic nemrones as the next step in the process after the development of a sub-ectodermal nervous network, such as oceurs in sea-ancmones. Future studies, especially thase of a histogenetic character, may reveal that this condition is realized in the loose aggregation of branching cells fond in the mesogloea of eoral polyps.

## Sumilry.

1. When the rose-coral polyp is stimulated by the application of concentrated meat extract to the oral disk, the latter is drawn downward by the contraction of the retractor muscles of the mesenteries, and the margin of the nal surface is folded inward over the disk by the action of a well -leveloped sphineter muscle.
2. Meanwhile the stomodaem is everted, and the mesenterial filaments are extruled woth throurh the month and throurh tempmary apertures in the oral disk.
3. The tentacles reant quickly to contact stimulation, and affix the whect which twomes them th their knoldike distal ends, which are
heavily loaded with nematocysts. When the end of a tentacle is chemically stimulated with meat extract, the retractor muscles of the polyp contract.
4. Carmine grains dropped on the oral surface of an expanded polyp are transferred by ciliary action to the periphery. When the particles of carmine have previously been soaked in meat juice, the cilia usually continue to beat in an outward direction ; occasionally, however, they reverse their effective strokes. The chief function of the cilia seems to be that of kecping the oral surface clean.
5. When plankton is fed to a polyp the small organisms are affixed by the tentacles, the oral disk sinks, and the marginal zone folds inward until it completely roofs over the tentacles and the depresserl oral disk. Into the superficial chamber thus formed, the stomodaeum and mesenterial filaments project, and here the mesenterial filaments, which are the digestive organs of the polyp, probably digest and ingest or absorb the captured plankton, little of which appears to find its way into the reduced gastro-coelomic cavity. Extra-coelenteric digestion apparently takes place, therefore, in rose-coral polyps.
6. There is experimental evidence of the transmission of impulses of at least a nervoid character from ectodermal receptor cells through the mesogloea to endodermal effectors (muscles). This transmission is not confined to a single polyp, but may pass from one polyp to another.
7. It is known that branching cells (so-called "connective-tissue cells ") occur in the mesogloea of Isophyllia. These extend from the ectoderm to the endoderm, and so have the topographical relations of adjustor cells, placing the receptor in commonication with the effector. In the absence of exact information as to the origin, mutual relationships, and functions of these cells, it is nevertheless suggested that future studies may show them to be primitive synaptic neurones.
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Small portion of a colony of Isophyllia showing oral surface of a partially expanded polyp. $\times 1 \frac{2}{3}$.
$E Z$, elge zone; $I G$, interpolypal groove; $M$, mouth; $O D$, oral disk; $P$, small polyp which has arisen by fission from the larger polyp on the left ; $T$, tentacles.
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The great generalization of Einstein, known as the principle of relativity, and its interpretation by Minkowski, have opened a new domain of natural science. The apparent artificiality and paradox of some of the consequences of the relativity theory disappear completely when with Minkowski we regard the science of kinematics as identical with the geometry of four-dimensional space.

Minkowski ${ }^{1}$ and, following him, Abraham ${ }^{2}$ have made an important beginning in the use of four-dimensional vector analysis. In general, however, Minkowski used for his more important deductions, not the vectorial method, but the matrix calculus of Cayley. This was undoubtedly due to the restricted and specialized character of our present vector analysis, for the vector method, permitting as it does a ready survey, and often a visualization of the results to which it leads, has shown its superiority over all other methods in several branches of physics, and there can be no doubt that it is also peculiarly well adapted to the solution of the new problems introduced by Minkowski.

I shall attempt to show in this paper what simple changes must be made in our present system of vector ąnalysis to make it immediately adaptable to a space of higher dimensions. Only such changes will be made as are imperatively demanded by the nature of the problem, and these few changes will, I believe, recommend themselves, not only because of the increased generality of the resulting analysis, but because they restore many features of the original, and much neglected, system of Grassmanm. ${ }^{3}$

[^49]In the second section several of the most useful formulae of fourdimensional vector analysis will be presented, and the last section will be devoted to some applications of these formulae in electromagnetic theory and the theory of relativity.

## 'The Vector Analysis of Tiree Dimensiovs.

The simplest type of quantity which is distinguished from others of its class by magnitude and direction is the familiar line-vector, a onedimensional quantity which we shall call a vector of the first order, or in brief, a 1 -vector.

Just as two parallel line-vectors of the same length are regarded as equal, so two parallel plane surfaces of the same area are also considered equal. A plave area ${ }^{4}$ constitutes a vector of the second order, or a 2 -vector.

In general in a space of $n$ dimensions we may distinguish 0 -vectors or scalars; 1 -vectors, 2 -vectors, 3 -vectors, etc., up to the $n$-vectors, which, like the 0 -vectors, have no direction and may therefore be called pseudo-scalars.

In three-dimensional space the only true vectors which exist are 1 -vectors and 2 -vectors. Moreover, every 2 -vector determines uniquely the 1 -vector normal to it. In common vector analysis the 2 -vector is regarded as equivalent to and replaceable by its normal 1 -vector of the same magnitude, and therefore this analysis deals solely with 1 -vectors. 'This simplification has certain obvious advantages which, however, are for the most part superficial. In some cases moreover it leads to difficulties. ${ }^{5}$ In any case it must be abandoned when we pass to space of higher dimensions, where a 2 -vector no longer uniqucly determines a 1 -vector.

Our first departure, then, from common vector analysis will consist in distinguishing between vectors of different orders. A 1-vector will

[^50]be represented by a small letter in heavy type (c g., a, s). A $z$-vector will be represented by a capital letter in heavy type (c. g., A, S).

Let us consider a coördinate system of three perpendicular axes, $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{8}$, and represent by $\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{k}_{3}$, the three unit vectors in these three directions. If the lengths of the components of a 1 -vector a on the three axes are $\epsilon_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=u_{1} \mathrm{k}_{1}+a_{2} \mathrm{k}_{2}+a_{3} \mathrm{k}_{3} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general the addition and subtraction of 1-vectors follow the law. ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a} \pm \mathrm{b}=\left(\mu_{1} \pm l_{1}\right) \mathrm{k}_{1}+\left(\mu_{2} \pm l_{2}\right) \mathrm{k}_{2}+\left(\mu_{3} \pm l_{3}\right) \mathrm{k}_{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we may project a surface vector, or 2 -vector, upon the three coordinate planes determined by $x_{1}, x_{2} ; x_{1}, x_{3} ; x_{2}, x_{3}$. The unit 2 -vectors in these planes we will denote by $\mathrm{k}_{12}, \mathrm{k}_{13}, \mathrm{k}_{23}, 7$ and the areas of the projections of a 2 -vector $\mathbf{A}$ by $A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{23}$. Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=A_{12} \mathbf{k}_{12}+A_{13} \mathbf{k}_{13}+A_{23} k_{23}  \tag{3}\\
A \pm B=\left(A_{12} \pm B_{12}\right) k_{12}+\left(A_{13} \pm B_{13}\right) k_{13}+\left(A_{23} \pm B_{23}\right) k_{28} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further we may adopt the convention,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{k}_{12}=-\mathbf{k}_{21} ; \mathbf{k}_{13}=-\mathbf{k}_{31} ; \mathbf{k}_{23}=-\mathbf{k}_{32} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which requires the further convention,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{12}=-A_{21} ; A_{13}=-A_{31} ; A_{23}=-A_{32} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as $\mathbf{k}_{1}$ represents a vector of unit length, $\mathbf{k}_{12}$ one of unit area, $\mathrm{k}_{123}$ will represent unit volume. It is the unit 3-vector or, in threedimensional space, the unit pseudo-scalar. We shall adopt the convention

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{123}=\mathrm{k}_{312}=\mathrm{k}_{231}=-\mathrm{k}_{132}=-\mathrm{k}_{213}=-\mathrm{k}_{321} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (5) and (7) may be expressed in the following general rule which we shall also adopt in space of higher dimensions: Interchanging any two adjacent subscripts of a unit vector changes the sign of the vector.
${ }^{6}$ The addition both of 1-vectors and 2 -veetors is best defined geometrically. (See Grassmann, Ausilehnungslehre von 1844, p. 78.) The introduction of coördinate axes brings a foreign element into pure vector analysis, but on the other hand it will permit us to translate the vector equations more readily into Cartesian equations.
${ }^{7}$ In this case we depart from our rule that 2-vectors shall be represented by capital letters. On account of the sulseripts there will be no confusion.

Multiplying any vector by a scalar, multiplies its magnitude by that scalar. Multiplication of a vector by a scalar follows the laws of association, commutation and distribution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \mathbf{a}=m a_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1}+m a_{2} \mathbf{k}_{2}+m a_{3} \mathbf{k}_{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In multiplying one vector by another two linds of product are to be distinguished, which, following Grassmann, we shall call the inner and outer products, ${ }^{8}$ and define as follows.

The inner product follows the distributive and commutative laws. It is in general a vector and its order is the difference between the orders of the factors. (Thus the inner product of two 1 -vectors is a 0 -vector, or scalar, the inner product of a 1 -vector and a 2 -vector is a 1-vector.)

The outer product follows the distributive and associative laws. It is a vector of which the order is the sum of the orders of the factors. (Thus the outer product of two 1 -vectors is a 2 -vector, that of a 1 vector and a 2 -vector is a 3 -vector, which in three-dimensional space is a pseudo-scalar.)

The inner product of twn vectors will be indicated merely by their juxtaposition, for example, $\mathrm{ab} ; \mathbf{A B}$; $\mathrm{a} \mathbf{A}$.

The outer product will be indicated by a cross 9 placed between two vectors, for example, $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{A} \times \boldsymbol{B} ; \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{A}$.

Since both kinds of products follow the distributive law they may be completely defined by the rules governing the multiplication of the simple unit vectors. The rules for inner multiplication are as follows,
'These statements may be generalized, and the following rules will hold also for mit vectors mutually perpendicular, in space of any dimensions :

[^51]If each factor has a subscript which the other has not, the imner product is zero.
'The inner product of two identical mit vectors is cqual to mity.
In the remaining case, one factor having a higher order than the other, the sulscripts of the former should be transposed mutil those subscripts occurring at the right are the same and in the same sepuence as in the factor of lower order. These common subseripts are then cancelled aml a unit vector with the remaining subscripts, in the sequence in which they stand, forms the imer product. Thus for example,

$$
k_{15} k_{123}=-k_{13} k_{213}=-k_{2} .
$$

From these rules we obtain immediately the equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ab}=a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} l_{3} .  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{aa}=\mathrm{a}^{2}=a_{1}{ }^{2}+a_{2}{ }^{2}+a_{3}{ }^{2} .  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{AB}=A_{1} B_{1}+A_{2} B_{2}+A_{3} B_{3} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

These products are scalars. On the other hand the product $a \mathrm{~A}$ is a 1 vector lying in the plane $\mathbf{A}$ and perpendicular to the projection of a upon A , namely,

$$
\mathrm{a} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A a}=\left(\mathrm{A}_{12} \alpha_{2}+A_{13^{\prime} \ell_{3}}\right) \mathrm{k}_{1}+\left(. \Lambda_{21^{\prime} \ell_{1}}+\underset{\left(\Lambda_{31^{\prime} \ell_{1}}+A_{3}\right) \mathrm{k}_{2}+}{ }\right.
$$

Finally, the product of a psendo-scalar and a 1 -vector is the perpendicular 2 -vector, that of a 1 seudo-scalar and a 2 -vector is the perpendicular 1 -vector, the product in each case having a magnitude equal to the product of the magnitudes of the factors.

The rules for outer multiplication may likewise be stated by stating the rules for the unit vectors.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{2}=\mathbf{k}_{12} ; \mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{23}=\mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{3}=\mathbf{k}_{123}, \\
& \mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{1}=0 ; \mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathrm{k}_{12}=0 ; \mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{12}=0 ; \mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{13}=0 ;  \tag{14}\\
& \mathbf{k}_{1} \times \mathbf{k}_{123}=0 ; \mathbf{k}_{12} \times \mathbf{k}_{123}=0 ; \mathbf{k}_{123} \times \mathbf{k}_{123}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

These statements may be ge eralized and the following rules will hold also for unit, mutually perpendicular, vectors in space of any dimensions:

If two unit vectors possess any subscript in common, their onter product is zero.

In all other cases the outer prodnct is a unit vector having all the subscripts of both factors, in the sequence in which they occur in the factors.

From these rules ${ }^{10}$ we obtain the equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a}=0 .  \tag{15}\\
\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}=-\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a}=\left(u_{1} \prime_{2}-u_{2} / /_{1}\right) \mathbf{k}_{12}+\left(\mu_{1} b_{3}-u_{3} \mu_{1}\right) \mathbf{k}_{13}+ \\
\left(\mu_{2} /_{3}-u_{3} /_{2}\right) \mathbf{k}_{23} .  \tag{16}\\
\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{a}=\left(u_{1} A_{23}+u_{2} A_{31}+u_{3} A_{12}\right) \mathbf{k}_{123 .} . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

When the 2 -vector in (17) is expressed as a product of two 1 -vectors, $b \times c$, that equation becomes

$$
\mathbf{a} \times(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c})=(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & a_{2} & c_{3}  \tag{18}\\
b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} \\
c_{1} & c_{2} & c_{3}
\end{array}\right| \mathbf{k}_{123} .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and } \mathbf{a} \times(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})=0 \text {. } \tag{18a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus see that $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ represents the parallelogram determined by a and $b$, and $a \times b \times c$ the parallelopiped determined by $a, b$ and $c$.

It is important at this point to rewrite equation (13) using $\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c}$ in place of $\mathbf{A}$; expanding and rearranging the terms gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~b} \times \mathrm{c})=\mathrm{ab} \times \mathrm{c}^{11}=(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{b}-(\mathrm{ab}) \mathrm{c} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations (18) and (19) deserve especial attention, for they show the only essential difference between our system and the common system of vector analysis. The two systems give the same result for the outer multiplication of two 1 -vectors and for the immer product of two 1 -vectors or two 2 -vectors. Bat the meanings of the onter and inner products of a and $\mathrm{b} \times \mathbf{c}$ are just reversed in the two systems.

Finally we find from our rules for unit vectors the outer product of two 2 -vectors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our general principle the outer product must in this case have the order $2+2$, and a 4 -vector camot exist in three-dimensional space. ${ }^{12}$

10 The rules here given are somewhat redumtant. For example, the distributive law, and $a \times a=0$, alone suffice to prove $a \times b=-b \times a$, for
$(a+b) \times(a+b)=0=\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a}$.
Fer (irastmann, Ausidelmungsthere vom 1811, p. 87. I
11 'The parent heses may be removed simply beatuse $(\mathbf{a b}) \times \mathbf{c}$ has no meaning.
${ }^{12}$ In ordinary vertor analysis a meaning is miven to the onter product of $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$ and $(\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d})$. It represents a veetor determined by the line of intereection of the surface $a \times b$ and $c \times d$. Wo have sem that in $n$-dimensional spare an $n$-bector has some properties of a sealar or vector of the order $n-n$. So we may monlify our rules of multiplication so that the protuct of a $p$-vector amd a

The imner product of ( $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ ) and ( $\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d}$ ) may be obtained from the preceding equations, and is the same as in orlinary vector analysis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a \times b)(c \times d)=(a c)(b d)-(b c)(a d) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential operator $\nabla$ ("del") we may define in the usual way, ${ }^{13}$ namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla=\mathbf{k}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\mathbf{k}_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\mathbf{k}_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the scalar operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, when applied to a single variable, can be treated as an algebraic quantity, the operator $\nabla$ may be treated formally as a 1 -vector, and we may derive a number of important equations by substituting $\nabla$ for a or b in the preceding equations. Thus from ( 8 ) we obtain from the scalar $\phi$ the function known as gradient of $\phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \phi=\mathrm{k}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathrm{k}_{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{1} r_{2}}+\mathrm{k}_{3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{3}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combined with a 1 -vector by inner and outer multiplication we obtain by equations (10) and (16), the functions known as the divergence and curl, respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \mathrm{a}=\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial{r_{2}}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial{r_{3}}_{3}}{\partial r_{3}}  \tag{24}\\
\nabla \times \mathbf{a}=\left(\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial r_{2}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{12}+\left(\frac{\partial a_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial{r_{1}}_{1}}{\partial r_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{13}+\left(\frac{\partial r_{3}}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial r_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{23} . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Evidently $\nabla \phi$ is a 1 -vector, $\nabla$ a a scalar, and $\nabla \times$ a a 2 -vector.
By equations (13) and (17) we may write expressions for $\nabla \mathrm{A}$ (a 1 -vector), and $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ (a 3-vector, or pseudo scalar).
$q$-vector, when $p+q>n$, is a vector of the order $p+q-n$. Such a product, which Grassmann calls "regressive," is formed according to a new set of rules and may best be regarded as a new type of product entirely distinet from the regular or "progressive" outer product. It is possible in the system here described to avoid the introduction of this new kind of product. Thus

$$
\left((\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{k}_{123}\right) \times\left(\left(\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d} \mathbf{k}_{123}\right)=\mathrm{ek}_{123}\right)
$$

where $e$ is the 1 -vector obtained in the ordimary vector analysis as the outer product of ( $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ ) and ( $\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d}$ ).
${ }^{13}$ Like other vector quantities and operators $\nabla$ may be simply defined without reference to coördinates. See, for cxample, Wilson, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (2) 16, 415 (1910).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \mathbf{A}=\left(\frac{\partial A_{12}}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial A_{13}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial A_{21}}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda_{23}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_{31}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial A_{32}}{\partial x_{2}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{3 .} . \\
& \nabla \times \mathbf{A}=\left(\frac{\partial A_{23}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial A_{31}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial A_{12}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{123} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

When the quantity operated upon by $\nabla$ contains two or more variables it may be expanded in terms of its components and these scalar quantities may then be differentiated in the ordinary way. We thus obtain such equations as the following :

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla(\phi \mathbf{a}) & =\phi(\nabla \mathrm{a})+\mathbf{a}(\nabla \phi),  \tag{28}\\
\nabla \times(\phi \mathrm{a}) & =\phi(\nabla \times \mathbf{a})+(\nabla \phi) \times \mathbf{a},  \tag{29}\\
\nabla \times(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) & =\mathbf{b} \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{a})-\mathbf{a} \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

By the above rules new operators may be formed from $\nabla$ such as $a \nabla$, A $\nabla$, and $\nabla \nabla$ or $\nabla^{2}$ which may be applied to any scalar or vector. The last is the well-known Laplacian operater and may obviously be expanded by equation (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}{ }^{2}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other operations involving $\nabla$ twice are $\nabla(\nabla \mathbf{a})$ and $\nabla(\nabla \times a)$ or $\nabla \nabla \times \mathbf{a}$.

These quantities are connected by an important equation which we obtain by expanding according to (13), (2:3) and (10), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \nabla \times \mathrm{a}=\nabla(\nabla \mathrm{a})-\nabla^{n} \mathrm{a} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we have from (15a) and (15) the important identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{a})=0 .  \tag{33}\\
& \nabla \times(\nabla \phi)=0 . \tag{3;}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (32), (:3) and (3.1) are evidently equivalent to the familiar equations : ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{curl}^{2} a=\text { grad (div } a\right)-\nabla^{\circ} a, \\
& \text { div cun } a=0 . \\
& \text { curl irad } \phi=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here as elsewhere our equations differ from those in common use whenever the product of a 1 -vector and a $\Sigma$-vector is concerned.

## The Vector Analysis of Four Dimensions.

The revised system of three-dimensional vector analysis has been elaborated somewhat fully in the preceding section, since the methods there adopted may be used withont any modification in developing the vector analysis of space of higher dimensions.

Let us consider a four-dimensional space in which any two points uniquely determine a straight line, any three points not in a line uniquely determine a plane, and any four points not in a plane uniquely determine a straight or Enclidean 3 -space. 'This may be called a Euclidean fuur-dimensional space.

In such a space let us construct four mutually perpendicular coordinate axes, $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$. The 1 -vectors of unit length in these four directions we may call $\mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}, \mathrm{k}_{3}, \mathbf{k}_{4}$. Bach pair of axes determines a plane, thus forming six coürdinate planes. The 2 -vectors of unit area parallel to these planes we may call $\mathrm{k}_{12}, \mathrm{k}_{13}, \mathrm{k}_{14}, \mathrm{k}_{23}, \mathrm{k}_{24}, \mathrm{k}_{34}$. These six planes are mutually perpendicular. Moreover the plane $\mathrm{k}_{12}$ is completely perpendicular to the plane $\mathrm{k}_{34}$, in the sense that every line in $\mathbf{k}_{12}$ is perpendicular to every line in $\mathbf{k}_{34}$. The same is true of the pairs $\mathrm{k}_{23}, \mathrm{k}_{14}$, and $\mathrm{k}_{13}, \mathrm{k}_{24}$.

Each set of three axes determines a straight 3 -space and the four coorrdinate 3 -spaces thus determined may be represented by the unit 3 -vectors $\mathrm{k}_{123}, \mathrm{k}_{124}, \mathrm{k}_{124}, \mathrm{k}_{234}$. Finally all four axes together determine the unit 4 -vector or pseudo-scalar, $\mathrm{k}_{1234}$.

A 1 -vector may be represented as the sum of its projections on the four axes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}=a_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mathbf{k}_{2}+a_{3} \mathbf{k}_{3}+\alpha_{4} \mathbf{k}_{4} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

A 2 -vector may be represented as the sum of its projections on the six coürdinate planes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=A_{12} \mathbf{k}_{12}+A_{13} \mathbf{k}_{13}+A_{14} \mathrm{k}_{14}+A_{23} \mathrm{k}_{23}+A_{24} \mathrm{k}_{24}+A_{34} \mathbf{k}_{34} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A 3-vector may likewise be represented as the sum of its four projections on the coürdinate 3 -spaces. ${ }^{15}$

The addition and subtraction of vectors follow the same rules as in the case of three dimensions (equations 2 and 4). Moreover both

[^52]forms of multiplication are completely defined by the distributive law, and by the rules already given for the transposition of subscripts, and for inner and outer multiplication among the mit vectors. We may therefore write at once a large number of equations, of which some of the more important are the following,
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{A}=\left(A_{12} B_{34}+A_{13} B_{24}+A_{14} B_{23}+A_{23} B_{14}+\right.$
$\left.A_{24} B_{13}+A_{34} B_{12}\right) \mathrm{k}_{1234}$.
$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{a}=\left(\mu_{1} A_{23}+\alpha_{2} A_{31}+\left(u_{3} A_{12}\right) \mathbf{k}_{123}+\left(\mu_{1} A_{24}+\mu_{2} A_{41}+\right.\right.$
$\left.a_{4} A_{12}\right) \mathbf{k}_{124}+\left(u_{1} A_{34}+u_{3} A_{41}+u_{1} A_{13}\right) \mathbf{k}_{134}+\left(a_{2} A_{34}+a_{3,} A_{42}+\right.$
$\left.a_{4} A_{23}\right) \mathrm{k}_{234}$.
$\mathrm{a} \times \mathrm{b}=\left(a_{1} l_{2}-a_{2} l_{1}\right) \mathbf{k}_{12}+\left(a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}\right) \mathbf{k}_{13}+\ldots$
$a \times a=0$.

Here also $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ evidently represents the parallelogram determined by $a$ and $b$, so $a \times b \times c$ will represent a parallelopiped, and $a \times b \times c \times d$ a parallel four-dimensional figure. It is very importiont to observe that all of onr four-dimensional vector equations may be given simple geometrical definitions, and retain complete validity whatever set of coördinate axes be arbitrarily chosen.

Some of the more important inner products are the following :
$\mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{ba}=a_{1} b_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} b_{2}+a_{3} l_{3}+a_{4} l_{4}$.
$\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{BA}=A_{12} B_{12}+A_{13} B_{13}+A_{14} B_{14}+A_{23} B_{23}+A_{24} B_{24}+$ $A_{34} B_{34}$.
 $A_{24^{4}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}+\right.$
$(a \times b)(c \times d)=(a c)(b d)-(b c)(a d)$.
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{ac}) \mathrm{b}-(\mathrm{ab}) \mathrm{c}$.
This is a 1 -vector lying in the plane $\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{c}$ and perpendicular to the projection of a thereon. So $a(b \times c \times d)$ is a $\because$-vector lying in the 3 -space $\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c} \times$ d and perpeulicular to the projection of a on that 3 -space ; $(a \times b)(c \times d \times e)$ is a 1 -vector in the 3-space $\mathbf{c} \times d \times e$ and perpendicular to the projection of $a \times b$ thercon.
The inner product of any vector with unit pendo-scalar, $\mathrm{k}_{1234}$, is another vector of the same masuitude which may be called its complemont. The complement of a scalar is a pseudn-scalar, and vice versa. The complement of a 1 -vector is a 3 -vector normal to it, and vice versa.

The complement of a 2 -vector is the completely perpendicular 2 -vector. In the vector analysis at present in use it is castomary to identify a vector with its complement, and this is also done by $\Lambda$ braham 16 in the paper in which he makes use of four-dimensional vector analysis. In our present analysis there is no advantage to be gained by this step, which may cause much confusion.

As in the case of three dimensions we may defme a differential operator, having the form of a 1 -vector, as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\diamond=\mathbf{k}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathbf{k}_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\mathbf{k}_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}+\mathbf{k}_{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator ${ }^{17} \diamond$ (read "quad") may be treated like a simple vector under the same conditions as in the case of $\nabla$. We thus obtain a number of important equations such as the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \diamond \phi=\mathbf{k}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}+\mathbf{k}_{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{2}}+\mathbf{k}_{3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{3}}+\mathbf{k}_{4} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{4}} .  \tag{47}\\
& \diamond \mathbf{a}=\frac{\partial{r_{1}}_{1}}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial r_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial a_{4}}{\partial x_{4}} .  \tag{48}\\
& \diamond \times \mathbf{a}=\left(\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\left.\partial{\varkappa_{1}}_{\partial r_{2}}^{\partial r_{2}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{12}+\left(\frac{\partial r_{3}}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{\partial{\varkappa_{1}}_{1}}{\partial r_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{13}+\ldots}{} . . .\right. \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

These three expressions correspond to gradient, divergence and curl in three-dimensional analysis. We may also apply $\diamond$ to vectors of higher orders, for example, by (43) and (38),
$\diamond \mathbf{A}=\left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_{12}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial A_{13}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda_{14}}{\partial x_{4}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial \Lambda_{21}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial A_{23}}{\partial x_{3}}+\frac{\partial A_{24}}{\partial x_{4}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{2}+\ldots$
$\Delta \times \mathbf{A}=\left(\frac{\partial A_{23}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial \Lambda_{32}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial A_{12}}{\partial x_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{123}+\ldots$
We may form other operators like, $\mathbf{A}>$, a 1 -vector operator, and the scalar operators a $\diamond$, and $\diamond^{2}$. The last is the very important operator which Lorentz in a special case calls the d'Alembertian operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\diamond^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial{r_{4}^{2}}^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{16}$ Abraham (loc. cit.).
${ }^{17}$ The operator $\diamond$ has the same scalar components as the operator lor used by Minkowski.

Any of these operators may be applied to a seatar or to a vector of any orter.

Two other important operations are connected with $<^{2}$ by the formula analogous to (3: ) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\times a)=\vee \times a=<(\vee a)-\iota^{2} a . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we have here also two important identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \therefore x(\times a)=0,  \tag{51}\\
& \therefore \Delta(-\phi)=0 . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way that we obtained equations (2s), (29), (30), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\diamond(\phi \mathbf{a}) & =\phi(\times \mathbf{a})+\mathbf{a}(\vee \phi),  \tag{56}\\
\diamond \times(\phi \mathrm{a}) & =\phi(\vee \times \mathbf{a})+(\phi) \times \mathbf{a},  \tag{57}\\
\diamond \times(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) & =\mathbf{b} \times(\times \mathbf{a})-\mathbf{a} \times(\times \mathbf{b}) . \tag{5s}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations will suffice to illustrate how readily the generalized vector analysis of the preceding section may be applied in a space of any dimensions.

Soma Applications of Four-Dinensional Vector Analysis in the Theory of Electricity.
The principle of relativity as interpreted by Minkowski can be summed $u p$ in the statement ${ }^{18}$ that a Euclidean four-dimensional space is determined by the coürdinates, $x, y, z$, and $i c t$, where $i$ is the unit of imaginaries, $\sqrt{-1}$; and $c$ is the velocity of light. The whole science of kinematies is merely the geometry of this four-dimensional space. As Minkowski himself has shown, there is no domain in which this new conception is more fruitful than in the science of electricity and magnetism.

Let us consider a system composed of electric charges moving in free space. The density of charge at any point we may call $\frac{\varrho}{c}$ in electromagnetic units, and if we call the velocity of the charge $\mathbf{v}$, then ${ }_{c}^{"}$ v represents the current density at a point. This 1 -vector ${ }_{c}^{o}$ v lies wholly in the 3 -space $r, y, z$.

[^53]Following Minkowski we may define a 1 -vector, $\mathbf{q}$, in the space $. r, y$, z, ict (or $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ ) of which the projection on the 3 -space is ${ }_{c}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{v}$ ant the scalar component along the $x_{ \pm}$(or $i c t$ ) axis is $i \varrho$, by the equation,
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}=\frac{\varrho}{c} \mathrm{v}+i \varrho \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{4}},  \tag{59}\\
& \mathbf{q}=\frac{\varrho}{c} c_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1}+\frac{\varrho}{c} c_{2} \mathbf{k}_{2}+\frac{\varrho}{c} c_{3} \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{a}}+i \varrho \mathbf{k}_{4} . \tag{6i0}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, from the electrical force $e$, and the magnetic force $h$, we shall find it convenient to define two new 2 -vectors, E and H , by the equations, ${ }^{19}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E} & =-i \mathbf{e} \times \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}},  \tag{61}\\
\mathrm{H} & =\mathrm{hk}_{123 .} . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

and
H is the 2 -vector complementary to h in the 3 -space $x, y, z$. From these definitions we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I I_{12}=l_{3} ; I_{23}=h_{1} ; I_{31}=h_{2}  \tag{6:3}\\
& E_{14}=-i e_{1} ; E_{24}=-i_{2} ; E_{34}^{\prime}=-i e_{3} . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From H and e we may define 20 the vector potential a and the scalar potential $\phi$ by the familiar equations,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{H}=\nabla \times \mathrm{a},  \tag{65}\\
-\mathrm{e}=\nabla \phi+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{a}}{\partial t} . \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally we shall define a new 1 -vector $m$ by the equation,
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{a}+i \phi \mathbf{k}_{4},  \tag{67}\\
& \mathrm{~m}=u_{1} \mathrm{k}_{1}+u_{2} \mathrm{k}_{2}+a_{3} \mathrm{k}_{3}+i \phi \mathbf{k}_{4} . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $m$ is a vector of which the projection on the 3-space $. x, y, z$ is the vector potential, and of which the scalar component in the ict direction is the scalar potential multiplied by $\sqrt{-1}$.
${ }^{19}$ Compare in this connection the discussion of $e$ as a "polar" vector, $h$ as an "axial" vector, in Abraham-Föppl (1, p. 243).
${ }^{20}$ This defmition is evidently not complete, since a and $\phi$ are derived from $\mathbf{H}$ and e liy a process of integration. We shall return to this point.
vol. XlVi. - 12

We shall call $m$ the fundemental electromagnetic 1 -rector, and show that the four important field equations of Maxwell and Lorentz, as well as other well-known equations, are all contained in the strikingly simple formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\infty \times \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{q} . \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to this equation which states the experimental facts, we have from (54) the important identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x>x m=0 . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\diamond \times m$, which might be called the four-dimensional curl of $m$, is the fundamental electromagnetic 2 -vector. ${ }^{21}$ We will give it the symbol M ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \times \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{M} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding $\langle\times m$ by equation (49) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathbf{k}_{1_{4}}\left(\frac{\partial i \phi}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{\partial a_{1}}{\partial r_{4}}\right)+\mathbf{k}_{2 \ddagger}\left(\frac{\partial i \phi}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial r_{4}}\right)+\mathbf{k}_{34}\left(\frac{\partial i \phi}{\partial r_{3}}-\frac{\partial a_{3}}{\partial r_{4}}\right) . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

The first three terms are evidently equal to the curl of a; the last three may be put in the form

$$
\left(i \mathbf{k}_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{1}}+i \mathbf{k}_{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mu_{2}}+i \mathbf{k}_{3} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_{3}}-\frac{\partial \prime}{\partial r_{4} \mu_{4}}\right) \times \mathbf{k}_{4} ;
$$

and further collecting terms, and writing irt for $x_{4}$, gives

$$
i\left(\nabla \phi+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{a}}{\partial t}\right) \times \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}=\nabla \times \mathbf{a}+i\left(\nabla \phi+\frac{1}{c} \partial t\right) \times \mathbf{k}_{4}, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus by equations (61), (65) and (66)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{H}+\mathbf{E} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ihis equation gives a better idea of the physical significance of the 2 -vector $<\times \mathrm{m}$, or $\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{H}$ is a $\geq$-vector lying wholly in the $x, y, z$ $?$-space. E is a 2 -vector perpenticular to the $x, y,=3$-space in a plane determined by the 1 -vectors $\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{4}$. We may therefore write,

[^54]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}=I_{12} \mathrm{k}_{12}+M_{13} \mathrm{k}_{13}+M I_{23} \mathrm{k}_{23}+M_{14} \mathrm{k}_{14}+M_{24} \mathrm{k}_{24}+M I_{31} \mathrm{k}_{34}, \\
= & I_{12} \mathrm{k}_{12}+I_{13} \mathrm{k}_{13}+I I_{23} \mathrm{k}_{23}+I_{14} \mathrm{k}_{14}+L_{24} \mathrm{k}_{24}+E_{34} \mathrm{k}_{34} . \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

By our fundamental equation (69) we have

$$
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{q}
$$

Expanding this equation by (50) with the aid of (75) gives four equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial I_{12}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial I_{13}}{\partial r_{3}}+\frac{\partial E_{14}}{\partial r_{4}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{1}=\frac{\rho}{c} r_{1} \mathbf{k}_{1} \\
& \left(\frac{\partial I_{21}}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial I_{23}}{\partial r_{3}}+\frac{\partial E_{24}}{\partial r_{4}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{2}=\frac{\rho}{c} r_{2} \mathbf{k}_{2}  \tag{76}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial I_{31}}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial I_{32}}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial E_{34}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{4}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{3}=\frac{\rho}{c} r_{3} \mathbf{k}_{3} \\
& \left(\frac{\partial E_{41}}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\partial E_{42}}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\partial E_{4 ;}}{\partial r_{3}}\right) \mathbf{k}_{4}=\rho \mathbf{k}_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting the first three equations into one, with the aid of (25), (63) and (64), and writing ict for $x_{ \pm}$gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathrm{h}-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{e}}{\partial t}=\frac{\rho}{c} \mathrm{v} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the last equation by (24) and ( 64 ) changing $E_{41}$ to $-E_{14}$, ete., gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \mathrm{e}=\rho \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a similar expansion of equation (70)

$$
\diamond \times \mathbf{M}=0,
$$

we find with the aid of (49)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{e}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~h}}{\partial t}=0 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \mathrm{h}=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations 77-80, in the more familiar notation, are the well-known equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{h}-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{e}}{\partial t}=\frac{\rho}{c} \mathbf{v}  \tag{a}\\
& \operatorname{div} \mathrm{e}=\rho \\
& \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{e}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~h}}{\partial t}=0 \\
& \operatorname{div} \mathrm{~h}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Let us return to the discussion of the complete definition of the vector m . All that we have hitherto said of this vector is comprised in the statement,

$$
\times \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H} .
$$

It is evident that this equation does not completely define $\mathbf{m}$, for in general if $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ is a vector satisfying the equation

$$
\Delta \times \mathrm{m}^{\prime}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H}
$$

.we may superpose upon the field of the vector $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ the field of another vector $\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$ for which

$$
\times \mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

Then if $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m}^{\prime}+\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$, we also have

$$
\langle\times \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H} .
$$

Suppose now 22 that $\mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}$ be so chosen that at every point in the field

$$
\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}=-\mathrm{m}^{\prime}
$$

Then $m$ satisfies the two equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\diamond \times \mathrm{m} & =\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{H}, \\
<\mathbf{m} & =0 . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

We may, therefore, without in any way modifying what has preceded, complete the definition of $m$ by the equation (81). This equation combined with (67) gives the well-known expression,
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla \mathbf{a}+\frac{\partial i \phi}{\partial r_{t}}=0,  \tag{82}\\
& \operatorname{div} \mathrm{a}+\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}=0.23
\end{align*}
$$

Now by equations (53) and (69),

$$
\times \mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{m})-{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}=\mathbf{q}
$$

or by ( si )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \mathrm{m}=-\mathrm{n} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^55]This is another simple form of our fundamental equation. Substituting for m by (67) gives the important equations ${ }^{24}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} \mathbf{a}-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{a}}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{\rho}{c} \mathbf{v}  \tag{8.4}\\
& \nabla^{2} \phi-\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=-\rho \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us emphasize once more that all the equations of this section are mere definitions, or purely mathematical deductions, with the sole exception of the one equation which embodies the experimental facts, namely,

$$
\omega_{x} \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{q} .
$$

In conclusion let us consider what is meant by the rotation of the axes in this four-dimensional space. The theory of relativity, as here employed, is equivalent to the statement that our four-dimensional vector equations are invariant in any orthogonal transformation of the axes $x, y, z, i c t$.

The axis ict is characterized by the equation $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}=0$ and may be regarded as the four-dimensional locus ("Weltlinie") of a point at rest. A straight line, making a small angle with this axis in the plane passing through $x$ and $i c t$, is the locus of a point in uniform motion along the $x$ axis. Taking this line as a new axis (ict') and in place of $x$, a new axis $x^{\prime}$, perpendicular to $y, z$, and ict $t^{\prime}$, we have a new coördinate system in which our fundamental equation (69) retains complete validity. In other words, as Einstein pointed out, the equations of the electromagnetic field remain true, whatever point is arbitrarily chosen as a point of rest.

24 Abraham-Föppl, II, Equations (30 a) and (30b).
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# THE RESIS'IIVI'TY OF HARDENED CAS'I IRON AS A MEASURE OF I'I'S 'IEMPER AND OF I'TS FI'TNESS FOR USE IN PERMANEN'I MAGNE'I'S. 
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IT has long been known that the specific electrical resistance of a piece of soft tool steel is materially less than that of the same piece after it has been hardened, and that the relaxing of the temper of any piece of steel or iron makes the specific resistance less ; but the first systematic study of this phenomenon was made by Messrs. Barus and Strouhal whose work is summarized in Bulletin 14 of the U. S. Geological Survey. ${ }^{1}$

In one experiment which these gentlemen made upon rods of "English Silver" steel, 0.15 cm . in diameter and all originally glass-hard, different pieces were tempered by heating them to different fairly high temperatures, as indicated by the oxide tints on their surfaces, and were then cooled. When the specimens thus treated were tested it appeared that the harder the temper, the higher was the specific resistance (s) referred to the centimeter cube, and the lower the temperature coefficient (a) of the specific resistance. In the case of a certain glass-hard rod, $s$, in microhms, was 45 and a was 0.0016 ; while in a thoroughly annealed rod of the same lot, $s$ was 16 and $a$ about 0.0040 . From these

[^56]TABLE I.

| $s$. | $a$. | $s$. | $a$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 0.0050 | 30 | 0.0024 |
| 12 | 46 | 32 | 22 |
| 14 | 42 | 34 | 21 |
| 16 | 39 | 36 | 20 |
| 18 | 36 | 38 | 19 |
| 20 | 34 | 40 | 18 |
| 22 | 32 | 42 | 17 |
| 24 | 29 | 46 | 16 |
| 26 | 27 | 50 | 15 |
| 28 | 26 | 60 | 14 |

and similar experiments, Barus and Strouhal made out a table connecting $s$ and $a$ which they subsequently found to fit other kinds of steel pretty well. Some of their results are given in Table I.

If corresponding values of $s$ and $a$ be used as coördinates, a fairly smooth curve results, and the mean values of 79 for $s$ and 0.0013 for a which Barus and Strouhal got for three pieces of cast iron which they tested, yield a point which seems to lie closely enough upon the prolongation of this curve. It appears also that the values of $s$ and $a$ which Matthiessen, Vogt, and Benoit obtained for different kinds of wrought iron agree numerically with the values for steel ; and some persons have thought that it is possible to determine the position of any piece of iron or steel in the scale of mechanical hardness, without any knowledge of the percentage of combined carbon, by finding $s$ alone.

For bar magnets or for simple bent magnets, fine tool steel, or better, some of the kinds of special magnet steel, serve very well, but if a permanent magnet is required of such a shape that the steel has to be heated red hot a number of times during the process of forging and before it is made glass-hard, irregnlar temper thus introduced into the material often shows itself in the presence of irregular magnetization when the magnet is finally charged, and this sometimes makes the magnet worthless. For this and other reasons, some makers of electrical instruments are now using chilled cast iron for such magnets, and these have usually proved to be satisfactory. They are cheap, they can be made quite as strong as tool steel magnets of the same dimensions, they are very permanent after they have once been aged,
and the temperature coefficients of their magnetism are almost always much smaller than those of forged steel magnets. Cast iron for permanent magnets must, however, be really hard, and, unfortunately, mechanical tests of the harduess of this metal are often dece, tive ; it seems desirable, therefore, to inquire whether the electric resistivity of a piece of chilled cast iron is a criterion of its temper.

This paper gives briefly a few of the results of a large number of observations made originally with the object of testing the relative efficiencies of different methods of hardening cast iron for magnets, in use in the Jefferson Laboratory. The details of this work have mainly a local interest and are not enumerated here, but some general facts may be useful to persons who have to make such magnets for themselves.

Wach of the test pieces was a rod about 30 cms . long and a little less than 0.6 cm . in diameter. These were all milled down from stonter pieces about 1.5 cms . in diameter which were usually cast in sets of a dozen from a grid pattern to insure that they should be of the same kind of iron. Different specimens from the same grid, however, often showed different resistivities before they were amealed and occasionally one or two pieces from a grid would differ sensibly from the other pieces after all had been softened with great care. These differences are to be expected, as Karsten showed long ago, for the outer layers of a mass of chilled cast iron sometimes contain a greater proportion of combined carbon than the imner layers in which most of the carbon may be free, and an unequal clilling of a grid in the mould would•naturally make the material slightly different in different parts. It is easy in practice to avoid abnormal specimens. All the test pieces were prepared, amnealed, and hardened by Mr. George W. Thompson, the mechanician of the Jefferson Laboratory, whose experience in treating cast iron extends over many years.

The measurements of the specific resistances of the rods (usually three for each specimen) were mostly made with the help of a standard Kelvin Double Bridge, but in a few cases the test piece was comnected in series with a standard manganin resistance bar and a constant storage battery, and the small potential drop across a measured length of the rod was compared with the corresponding drop across the standard. Three commutators were used with this apparatus so that the effects of disturbing electromotive forces at the contacts might be avoided. The ultimate standard was Wolff No. 2718 furnished with the certificate of the Reichsanstalt.

In the determinations of the temperature coefficients of resistivity two large tanks of water were used. One of these was approximately at room temperature. The water in the other, which was kept in
constant motion by a set of four propellers run by a small motor, was heated to a constant definitely determined temperature by means of a Simplex Electric Heater attached to a 110 volt circuit and dominated through a relay by a delicate thermostat. The annealing effects of very hot water upon hard cast iron had to be avoided, but the water in the second tank was usually made uncomfortably warm for the hand.

In making cast iron magnets, it is very necessary that the iron just before it is chilled shall be much hotter than it is safe to heat ordinary tool steel in making it hard. Dr. Campbell, of the National Physical Laboratory, 'I'eddington, Middlesex, England, finds that a temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. has been sufficient for the iron he has used, but some specimens of American iron seem to work best at a slightly higher temperature, just below the melting point. If a massive piece of cast iron weighing, say, fifty pounds be heated thus hot and then chilled in a proper bath, the material, as magnetic tests can be made to show, becomes hard throughout, whereas it is practically impossible to make a similar piece of tool steel glass-hard inside. The experiments of Chernoff upon a certain kind of steel, made more than forty years ago, showed that if the temperature from which the steel was chilled was made higher and higher, from, say, $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the hardening effect was almost inappreciable until a cherry red was reached, when suddenly the chilled specimen was found to be glass-hard. It is not very surprising, therefore, that cast iron shows very little temper when chilled from a temperature of $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., but may easily be made glass-hard if its temperature just before the chilling is high enough, say $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for some kinds.

The rods were heated for the hardening, under a compressor blast, in a special gas furnace made for the pnrpose by Messrs. J. Comnors and J. Coulson, and most of them were placed inside an iron tube to protect them from direct exposure to the flames. In annealing the rods they were packed in iron filings inside an iron tube closed at the ends by screw caps and heated thoroughly to a white heat for possibly 30 minutes before the tube was packed in ashes for many hours. Althongh the work was done with the greatest care, it soon appeared that it is usually impossible, at least by this particular anmealing process, to bring a piece of cast iron once made glass-hard back to as low a resistivity as it originally had, and if the piece be repeatedly hardened and amealed, its resistivity in the relaxed state increases every time the cycle is passed through. The diameter of the piece also increases perceptibly much as the cast iron bars of a fire box grate grow longer with hard use. 'Two or three examples will show the complicated nature of the phenomena involved.

Two test pieces from the Broadway Iron Works, Cambridgeport, were annealed as they came from the foundry and then had resistivities 102.5 and 102.7 and a diameter of 0.574 cm . After both hal been hardened, the resistivities at about $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. were 122.5 and 122.1 , and after they had been again through the annealing furnace their resistivities were 105.7 and 107.1. The fourth time they were relaxed the specific resistances were 112.6 and 112.6 , and their average diameters about 0.578 and 0.576 . When they were finally hardened again, the resistivities were 136.7 and 137.8 and both diameters were 0.581. It did not seem worth while to carry the process farther.

## TABLE II.

Cast Iron Rod four Times hardened and annealed.

| $H$. | $B$. | $H$. | $B$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.13 | 57 | 7.70 | 964 |
| 1.40 | 79 | 9.15 | 1521 |
| 2.03 | 120 | 13.2 | 2910 |
| 3.31 | 222 | 20.6 | 4585 |
| 4.40 | 326 | 32.7 | 6030 |
| 5.75 | 518 | 42.4 | 6430 |
| 6.54 | 681 |  |  |

Another rod, presumably of a very different kind of iron, began with a diameter of 0.574 and after four annealings had a mean diameter of 0.578 . Its resistivity in the relaxed state rose in four steps from 93.9 to 102.5 ; the first time it was hardened its resistivity was 112.0 , the last time 116.5.

In the three cases here mentioned the specimens would cut common window glass easily the first time they were hardened; they were mechanically too soft to scratch the same glass when, having been repeatedly hardened and relaxed, they were finally hardened so that they had a higher resistivity than at first.

Another rod from the same foundry had a resistivity of 102.0 when it was first annealed, and a resistivity of 119.8 when it was hardened for the first time. After an hour in steam at $100^{\circ}$ this fell to 118.0 , and after five hours farther steaming to 116.6. The second time it was amnealed the rod had a resistivity of 106.5 , and the third time of 107.2.

The temperature coefficient of the resistivity of the first rod spoken of above was 0.00102 when the rod was soft; the third rod had a temperature coefficient of 0.00094 .

Cast iron which has been several times hardened and annealed is finally in its annealed state not so permeable as once-annealed soft cast iron is. Table II gives the results of tests upon a rod of resistivity 98.3 which has been four times heated white hot and chilled and then annealed.

If the process of heating and chilling a number of east-iron rods be carried out many times in succession without proper annealing after each chilling, there does not seem to be a progressive increase in the resistivity; the results are anomalous.

Several kinds of chilling baths were used for hardening the east iron, among them ice cold water, cold brine, sulphuric acid and water, an acid bath ( $X^{\prime}$ ) the constitution of which is a trade secret, but which, I understand, has been much used in commercial work; mineral oil, and paraffine.

It has long been known that in the hardening of tool steel from a dull red heat, it is much more important that the fall of the temperature of the piece down to say $300^{\circ}$ C. shall be quickly brought about than that the rest of the journey to room temperatures shall be rapid. It is not difficult to cool quickly a slender rod, but a large piece of hot metal suddenly immersed in a water bath is immediately surroundel by a layer of steam and, unless the water be very vigoronsly stirred as in die hardening, the metal may remain red hot for a comparatively long time. Many attempts have been made by varying the chemical nature of the bath to lessen the effect of the steam cloak, and some persons have used a bath of easily fusible metal for the first part of the chilling process (as is now the practice for some of the new high power steels), and have completed the cooling in a water bath, the temperature of which within wide limits seems to be unimportant.

In the light of the behavior of steel, it seemed unlikely that in the hardening of cast iron from a temperature much higher than can be used with ordinary tool steel, there would be much advantage in making the hardening baths especially cold, and experience justified this assumption. Sometimes the hardening bath was chilled with ice, but usnally it was used at room temperatures or even lukewarm.

For rods of the dimensions of the test pieces I nsed, water, brine, sulphuric acid and water, and the $I^{\prime}$ mixture seemed almost equally effective in making the cast iron glass-hard, whether resistivity or magnetic permeability of the hardened piece was used as the criterion.

For massive pieces of iron the $I^{-}$mixture, which certainly is very goorl, is said to work more uniformly than a water bath. Several specimens which were chilled in iced water and iced brine developed minute cracks which showed in irregnlarities when the rods were magnetized, but these, which were tested before the construction of the special gas furnace, may not have been miformly heated. The oil bath was nearly as good, so far as increasing the resistivity of the specimen, as the water bath, but the hardened pieces did not seem so hard mechanically. The melted paraffine wax, at as low a temperature as would keep the wax liquid, also increased the resistivity of a specimen chilled in it, provided it had not been hardened before, quite as much as the water bath, but a piece thus hardened would not scratch glass.

Most of the pieces of American cast iron which I have tested had, when soft, resistivities referred to the centimeter eube, which at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TABLE III.

| Grid. | $s$. | $a$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 76.5 | $0.0010 t$ |
| II | 86.1 | 0.00106 |
| III | 89.9 | 0.00099 |
| IV | 94.2 | 0.00084 |

would lie between 73 microhms and 104 microhms. These pieces when hardened for the first time had resistivities which at the same temperature lay between 80 and 126 . Nine pieces of American cast iron tested when soft by Barus and Strouhal had on the average a resistivity at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. of about 79.1 microhms with a temperature coefficient of 0.00120 . Four grids, typical of the softer kinds of iron which I have used, gave on the average when soft at the same temperature the results which appear in 'Table III.

To show the effect of hardening upon the temperature coefficient of the resistivity, I may instance six specimens with three different coefficients when hard. (See T'able IV.)

When a number of steel bars of the same length and cut from the same long rod are hardened and are then magnetized in the same solenoid and aged, it frequently happens, as is well known, that the ultimate magnetic moments of the bars differ somewhat widely from one another; and the same thing is true of magnets made from cast-iron rods cut from the same grid. In Table V are given the magnetic

TABLE IV.

| Rod. | $s^{\prime}$. | $a^{\prime}$. | $s^{\prime \prime}$. | $a^{\prime \prime}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 94 | 0.00086 | 114 | 0.00070 |
|  | 97 | 0.00085 | 117 | 0.00070 |
| 3 | 79 | 0.00105 | 103 | 0.00086 |
| 4 | 75 | 0.00108 | 102 | 0.00086 |
| 5 | 88 | 0.00103 | 113 | 0.00091 |
| 6 | 86 | 0.00106 | 117 | 0.00091 |

$s^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime \prime}$ are the resistivities at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the soft and in the glass-hard states, respectively; $a^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime \prime}$ are the temperature coefficients.
moments ( $1 /$ ) and the temperature coefficients of the moments (i) of eight typical bar magnets which have been tested with great care by Mr. John Coulson.

TABLE V.

| Grid. | Rod. | M. | $k$. | Chilling bath. | $s$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1550 | 0.00022 | Water | 110 |
| A | 2 | 1580 | $\underline{2}$ | Water | 10.4 |
| B | 3 | 1720 | 24 | Water | 9.4 |
| B | 4 | 1740 | 26 | Water | 9. |
| B | 5 | 1560 | 26 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and water | 96 |
| C | 6 | 1410 | 27 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and water | 109 |
| C | 7 | 1500 | 27 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and water | 106 |
| C | 8 | 1600 | 27 | " X " mixture | 106 |

Mr. Coulson tested at the same time three magnets of the same dimensions as these but made of glass-hard Stubs tool steel. They had on the average a moment of about 1690 and a temperature coefficient of about 0.0009. , which is more than three times as large as the corresponding value for cast iron.

After the moments of the eight cast-iron magnets had been determined, the rods were thoroughly demagnetized inside a solenoid through which a long series of currents, gradually decreasing in intensity and alternating in direction, could be sent. Then each was placed inside another solenoid and an HB diagram was found for it by the method
of ascending reversals with the aid of a small test coil abont its centre and a ballistic galvanometer of period sufficiently long for the purpose. Each rod was about 50.9 of its own diameters long and, according to

TABLE VI.

| H. | $B$. | II. | B. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 310 | 70 | 4250 |
| 20 | 720 | 80 | 4950 |
| 30 | 1290 | 90 | 5550 |
| 40 | 2100 | 100 | 5950 |
| 50 | 2870 | 120 | 6480 |
| 60 | 4100 |  |  |

the formula of Dr. Shuddemagen for the end corrections of rods of these dimensions, the actual magnetic intensity ( $I I$ ) iuside the metal at the centre is equal to $I I^{\prime}-0.00107 B$, where $I I^{\prime}$ is the force inside the solenoid when the rod is removed. It is possible, therefore, to

TABLE VII.

| Rod. | $I I_{1 \text { mo }}$. | $B_{120}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 1 | 26.3 | 6020 |
| A 2 | 25.9 | 6200 |
| B 3 | 26.5 | 6480 |
| B 4 | 25.9 | 6480 |
| B 5 | 25.2 | 6180 |
| C6 | 25.9 | 5960 |
| C 7 | 26.1 | 6045 |
| C 8 | 25.8 | 6150 |

determine very approximately the relative values of $I I$ and $B$ from the observed values of $I I^{\prime}$ and $B$, and the computation has been made by Mr. Coulson for these rods. The results of this work show that though the moments of the magnets differed so much among themselves, the permeabilities of the pieces of metal for excitations up to $I I=50$, at least, are much the same. Magnet Bt, for instance, had a moment vol. xlvi. - 13
much larger than the moment of C 6 , but the value of $H$ corresponding to an induction of 1000 was in each case abont 25.9 .

For the rol B4 the relation between $H$ and $B$ is indieated approximately at all events by the numbers given in 'Table VI.


Figcre 1.
Thable VII gives under $I_{1 \text { now }}$ the value of the excitation corresponding to $B=10 \%$, and mader $B_{12_{0}}$ the value of the induction corresponding to $I I=120$ for all the rods.

The specimens used were cast at different times in order that they might fairly represent the best mixtures used by the foundries from which they cane, and in view of this fact the near agreement of the
measurements recorded in this table is very striking. The differences are not greater than one might expect to find in a number of rods of fine polished drill rod from the same lot. For the present discussion it is of interest to notice that the permeabilities of the hard rods seem not to be comected in any obvious way with the resistivities. For any single specimen of cast iron, however, it is well known that hardening usually decreases the permeability especially at compratively low excitations, and Figure 1 shows a rough kind of hysteresis diagram which I obtained some years ago for a cast-iron frame of several kilograms weight. Curve $A$ corresponds to the soft state and $B$ to the

TABLE VIII.

| $I F$. | B. <br> (Rod hard.) | B. <br> (Rod soft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 6800 | 9650 |
| 200 | 8550 | 11160 |
| 300 | 10310 | 12460 |
| 400 | 11420 | 13550 |
| 500 | 12130 | 14400 |
| 600 | 12660 | 14950 |
| 10060 | 25650 | 252.00 |
| 11000 | 26600 | 29300 |
| 12000 | 27500 | 30400 |
| 13000 | 25450 | 31300 |
| 14000 | 29400 | 32050 |
| 15000 | 30350 | 33600 |

hardened state of the same piece of iron. At high excitations the difference is not so striking but is very real.

Table VIII gives approximately the results of some measurements made two or three years ago upon cylinders and isthmuses of a certain kind of cast iron from the Broadway Iron Foundry. It must be clearly understood, however, that this applies only to iron which has once been through the annealing and subsequent hardening. A repetition of the process makes the hardened iron mechanically softer. As we have seen, a piece of cast iron properly hardened for the first time makes as strong a permanent magnet as a piece of Stubs Drill Rod does, but if the cast iron be several times hardened it be-
comes incapable of retaining the charge given it in the solenoid and the resulting maguet is perhaps only half as strong as the steel magnet.


Figure 2.
The same phenomenon appears in the case of tool steel, though it is not very easy to harden a piece of tool steel glass-hard a number of times in succession without working it un-


Figure 3. der the hammer to avoid the appearance of minute cracks in the metal.

For many years small magnets - made of cast iron as it comes from the founder have been used in toys 1. and in small "magnetos," but such magnets are not nearly permanent and are not so strong at the outsct as similar magnets made of properly chilled iron. A certain annealed rod which I tested had when magnetized to saturation a moment of 60.5 on a certain scale, but a few minutes in boiling water reduced this to 455 ; when the rod had been hardened and again magnetized, its moment on the same scale as
before was 831 and boiling reduced this to 740 . The same magnetized castings are tested year after year in the Jefferson Laboratory, and so fir as my experience goes, a properly hardened and aged magnet made of cast iron is quite permanent if it is exposed to such fields as that


Figure 4.
of the earth, and mechanical shocks do not injure them in any way, if the metal is not broken or abraded.

Although a knowledge of the resistivity of a piece of cast iron tells very little about its temper unless one knows also its resistivity in the annealed state, yet the resistivity of different portions of the same piece is a trustworthy measure of the uniformity of temper. Tried by
this test, many a piece of steel which has been hardened with care proves to be far from homogeneous.

Occasionally great differences of resistivity may be found in a magnetized steel rod which yields a fairly uniform iron-filing diagram.

The curve OKPR of Figure 2 shows the induction flux $(B)$ at different points of the axis of a rod of Crescent Polished Drill Rod 29 cm . long and 0.5 cm . diameter just after it had been magnetized to saturation in a solenoid. Curve OGQR shows the same quantity after the rod had been exposed to steam for some time. $A B$ is the common base of these curves. The distri-


Figtre 5. bution is in each case nearly uniform, and the iron-filing curve seems entirely so, but the resistivity of the metal is far from uniform, as the dotted diagram ESCD shows. This was obtained by measuring the resistances of a large number of very short lengths of the rod and determining from the results values for the resistance per centimeter at about thirty points on the axis. Of course a small portion at each end could not be treated in this way, and the fact is indicated by the open dots. One end of this bar was in the soft state in which this excellent steel comes in the market; the other end had been heated red hot and chilled, so that its resistivity was quite double that of the soft end. This magnet was not so strong as a hardened magnet of this steel should be, but was otherwise normal enough.

Sometimes the iron-filing diagram belonging to a bar magnet seems very irregular when the distribution of magnetism in the metal is not very abnormal. Figure A shows a filing diagram belonging to a piece of Crescent steel of the same dimensions as that just described, while Figure 3 shows the values of $B$ at different points in the axis. The "centre of gravity" of the magnetism is in this case not far distant from the middle of the bar. This same bar was remagnetized by rubbing a point near its centre upon one pole of a large motor, and then gave a filing diagram represented by Figure B. Here there are real consequent poles, and the distribution of the induction flux in the bar is
shown by Figure 4. After this rod had been demagnetized as well as possible in a solenoid by the use of a series of currents alternating in direction and gradually decreasing in intensity, and then had been magnetized again to saturation in a solenoid as before, Diagram A came back again.

Another unequally hardened steel rod of the same kind gave the filing diagram shown in Figure C, and in this case the distribution of magnetism was that indicated in Figure 5.

Figure 6 shows in the curve HYU, of which the horizontal line through E is the base, the resistivity of a rod of cast iron of the dimensions of the specimens used in this investigation. For this particular piece the resistivity at one end corresponded to the annealed state and at the other end to glass-hardness. After this rod had been magnetized in a solenoid, the distribution of magnetism in it was that represented by the dotted curve GZX. This rod when magnetized irregularly on the motor gave the diagram LCK, but


Figure 6. when the rod was demagnetized and again magnetized in the solenoid, the distribution GZX returned. It is interesting to notice that in the cases shown in Figures 4 and 6, the motor gave a smooth distribution of $B$ while the solenoid gave an irregular one. When real consequent poles are pres-
ent, the value of $B$ is at its greatest smaller than in the case of the solenoid magnetization.

Figure 7 shows in the curve PADQ the distribution of magnetism in an unequally hardened cast-iron rod when the magnetization took place in a long solenoid. Curve PDBQ shows on an exaggerated scale the distribution when the rod was magnetized between the poles of a large electromagnet. The greatest value of $B$ was in this latter case about two thirds the corresponding value when the solenoid was used. In all the instances I have met, the solenoid gave the greatest value of $B$


Figure 7.
and any other distribution gave an appreciably smaller value. Table IX gives the resistivity at points distant $n \mathrm{~cm}$. from the end of the rod which corresponds to $G$ in Figure 7. It is evident that one end of the rod is glass-hard and the other very soft.

The most common form of irregularity in a cast-iron bar magnet seems to consist, if one may judge from a filing diagram, in a simple displacement of the magnetic centre from the geometric centre towards one end of the axis. This usually corresponds to a comparatively slight difference of resistivity along the bar. This case may be illustrated by a $\operatorname{rod}(\mathrm{K})$ which had once been hardened irregularly and then had been rehardened as uniformly as possible. In all such cases it is extremely difficult to get rid of the effects of careless hardening, though the irregularity may come up in a slightly different form. The next table (X) gives the resistivity of the metal, and, on an arbitrary scale, the value of $B$ at a point distant $n \mathrm{~cm}$. from one end of this bar, which was 29 cm . long.

Table XI gives the resistivities and the relative values of $B$ on the axis of a cast-iron magnet $(Q)$ made of a rod hard in the middle and soft at the ends.

TABLE IX.

| $n$. | $s$. | $n$. | $s$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.000121 | 16 | 0.000097 |
| 4 | 122 | 17 | 94 |
| 5 | 121 | 18 | 94 |
| 6 | 191 | 19 | 92 |
| 7 | 120 | 20 | 92 |
| 8 | 117 | 21 | 92 |
| 9 | 115 | 22 | 93 |
| 10 | 111 | 23 | 94 |
| 11 | 104 | $2 t$ | 94 |
| 12 | 097 | 25 | $9 t$ |
| 13 | 097 | 26 | 93 |
| 14 | 097 | 27 | 93 |
| 15 | 097 |  |  |

TABLE X.

| $n$. | $s$. | $B$. | $n$. | $s$. | $B$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 60 | 15 | 127 | 5.91 |
| 1 |  | 227 | 16 | 126 | 560 |
| 2 |  | 317 | 17 | 126 | 56.8 |
| 3 | 121 | 368 | 18 | 127 | 572 |
| 4 | 118 | 405 | 19 | 129 | 578 |
| 5 | 119 | 430 | 20 | 129 | 580 |
| 6 | 118 | 448 | 21 | 129 | 57.8 |
| 7 | 120 | 460 | 22 | 129 | 573 |
| 8 | 121 | 470 | 23 | 129 | 565 |
| 9 | 121 | 480 | 24 | 126 | 535 |
| 10 | 122 | 493 | 25 | 125 | 505 |
| 11 | 123 | 509 | 26 | 124 | 4.3 |
| 12 | 123 | 520 | 27 |  | 374 |
| 13 | 126 | 532 | 28 |  | 260 |
| 14 | 126 | 541 | 29 |  | 72 |

TABLE XI.

| $n$. | $s$. | B. | $n$. | $s$. | B. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 40 | 15 | 155 | 412 |
| 1 |  | 140 | 16 | 150 | 429 |
| 2 |  | 207 | 17 | 147 | 445 |
| 3 | 117 | 261 | 18 | 141 | 458 |
| 4 | 119 | 305 | 19 | 128 | 448 |
| 5 | 117 | 339 | 20 | 119 | 433 |
| 6 | 118 | 371 | 21 | 118 | 417 |
| 7 | 120 | 394 | 22 | 117 | 399 |
| 8 | 121 | 416 | 23 | 116 | 359 |
| 9 | 132 | 434 | 24 | 115 | 330 |
| 10 | 149 | 440 | 25 | 113 | 292 |
| 11 | 153 | 428 | 26 |  | 257 |
| 12 | 153 | 417 | 27 |  | 198 |
| 13 | 156 | 409 | 28 |  | 135 |
| 14 | 158 | 407 | 29 |  | 37 |

If the material used in these experiments may be considered typical of the so-called "pure cast iron" from good foundries, it appears, then, that an annealed casting may have at room temperatures a resistivity, referred to the centimeter cube, as low as 0.000073 or as high as 0.000104 ; that it is always possible to make the specimen glass-hard throughout by heating it to a temperature a little below the melting point and chilling it in a suitable bath; and that the process, as Barus and Strouhal showed, is always accompanied by an increase in resistivity. This increase is sometimes only about ten per cent of the original value, though it is oftener nearly twenty-five per cent and may rise somewhat higher. Only one kind of iron that I used resisted successfully a noticeable relaxation of temper in the hardened pieces by prolonged boiling in water. Of two pieces of iron from the same pouring, which have equal resistivities when first annealed, that one has the higher resistivity, after both have been hardened, which has the lower magnetic permeability. Tests of mechanical hardness are
difficult to make upon cast iron and often disagree with the resistivity test. A repetition of the amealing and hardening process increases somewhat the size of a specimen and increases the resistivity for both the amealed and the chilled states, but in the hardened state the iron is never so hard mechanically as at the first hardening, and the bar loses in great measure its magnetic retentiveness, as do most kinds of tool steel which have been through the same experience. Many kinds of chilling liquids serve to make cast iron glass-hard, but for massive pieces cold water seems not to give such uniform results as the acid bath used by some professional hardeners. The temperatnre coefficient (a) of the resistivity of every one of my specimens was decreased by the hardening, though this does not seem to have been the case for the special cast iron used by Barus and Strouhal, which had a larger coefficient (120) than any I used. The coefficient $a$ is not always smallest in that one of a number of specimens of cast iron which has the largest resistivity.

Castings from different sources often show when glass-hard a very close agreement in magnetic permeability, though their resistivities and the temperature coefficients of the resistivities may differ widely. The temperature coefficient of the magnetic moment of a cast-iron bar magnet is usually not more than one third as large as that of a similar magnet made of tool steel.

A uniformly hardened cast-iron or steel rod may have been irregnlarly magnetized, but if it be thoroughly demagnetized and then carefully remagnetized in a solenoid, its magnetism will become regular. Only irregular hardening seems to lead to persistently irregular magnetization in the case of a bar magnet, though the use nowadays of electromagnetic crane lifters sometimes magnetizes iron and steel rods in a manner which is difficult to deal with in the laboratory. Even an irregularly hardened slender rod may usually be demagnetized well enough for all practical, purposes in a solenoid which carries currents alternating in direction and gradually decreasing in intensity, but large thick pieces are very tenacious of charges once given to them. The shield of a certain Rubens Panzer galvanometer in use in the Jefferson Laboratory was twice heated white hot and was kept hot for some time in a vain attempt to get rid of a slight magnetization. The resistivity of different portions of a casting gives trustworthy information about the uniformity of the hardening. Occasionally, as in a case cited above, an irregularly hardened piece of tool steel may be magnetized nearly normally, but usually irregular hardening leads to an irregular distribution of the magnetism which shows itself in an abnormal iron-filing diagram. An unusual filing diagram does not
however, as some instances given show, always indicate that the distribution of the magnetic induction in the bar is very irregular.

My thanks are due to the Trustees of the Bache Fund of the National Academy of Sciences who have kindly lent me some of the apparatus used in making the observations described in this paper.

## The Jefferson Laboratory, Harvard College, Cambridge
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More than a year ago, I had occasion to study the magnetic properties under very high excitations of a piece of Norway iron ${ }^{1}(\mathrm{P})$, which proved when analyzed to be extraordinarily pure. The tests made in the Chemical Laboratory of Harvard University by Mr. E. R. Riegel, for nickel, cobalt, tungsten, and even manganese, as well as for the metals of Groups IV and V, were all negative. There was less than 0.03 per cent of carbon, less than 0.047 per cent of phosphorus, less than 0.03 per cent of silicon, and less than 0.003 per cent of sulphur. A slender rod of this remarkable iron, of which we had originally a round bar five centimeters in cliameter and thirty-four centimeters long, had, when annealed, an extremely high permeability under excitations above 200, but, because of the local reluctance at the joints, it did not prove easy to determine the permeability of this rod in a yoke at low excitations. The metal showed to the eye a fibrous structure with striae parallel to the length of the bar, as if minute quantities of scale had been included in the bar in the rolling ; and it seemed likely that the specific reluctance to magnetization across the grain of the iron, would be greater than to magnetization parallel to the grain. Under these circumstances it was probable that the permeability of a ring, so cut from the metal that its axis should be parallel to the grain, would appear low. It happened, however, that I had two such rings, but that there was not enough of the iron left to make rings with axes perpendicular to the grain, and I was forced to get what information I could from them, though it soon became evident that for excitations above five gausses the permeability fell below what commercial Norway iron should show.

[^57]This paper gives the results of some tests made at low excitations, which are interesting because of the great susceptibility which the rings showed in fields less than two gausses, and compares the magnetic behavior of this metal with that of a ring of the so-called "American Ingot Iron," which I obtained through the kindness of Dr. P. W. Bridgman. This well-known iron, which was made by the American Rolling Mill Company of Middletown, Ohio, seems to be perfectly homogeneous, and, according to the makers, contains less than 0.03 per cent of impurities all told.

All the rings were very accurately made by Mr. G. W. Thompson, the mechanician of the Jefferson Laboratory. The external diameters of the Norway iron rings were 5.000 cm . and 4.996 cm . respectively ; their thicknesses were 0.250 cm . and 0.254 cm ., and their breadths were 1.2204 cm . and 1.210 cm . The measurements were made with the help of Zeiss Comparator No. 3196 and a set of anxiliary gauges. After each ring had been measured, a coil of very fine double-silkcovered copper wire was wound on the metal in a single layer and then baked in shellac. Over this was wound, usually in two layers, the exciting coil of well-insulated wire nearly one millimeter in diameter. The ballistic galvanometers were of the moving coil type, and had periods amply long enough ${ }^{2}$ for the work. The fine coil on the ring was always in simple circuit with the galvanometer and the secondary coil of a standard of self inductance tested by the Bureau of Standards.
The maximum value of the permeability (5480) which I obtained for the first ring tested seemed so high that at first I suspected that there was some error in the determination, so I changed the galvanometer, and then took off the coils and wound on new ones with different numbers of turns; but when the result was unchanged and the second ring gave values for the ordinates of the HB diagram which were practically indistinguishable from those obtained from the first ring, there seemed to be no doubt that the work had been accurately done. The two rings lay side by side in the original bar, and both must have had nearly the same discontinuities. Table I, founded upon several hundred separate determinations, gives values of the permeability of the metal obtained from 35 different excitations of the first ring and 25 of the second. A ring of very pure annealed iron from the Armstrong Works at Elswick gave in the lands of Wilson the same maximum value of the permeability as the ringe just mentioned ; but apart from the reports of some tests upon thin pieces of electrolytically deposited iron,

[^58]I have found no other records of permeabilities so high as this. ${ }^{3}$ For excitations above six gausses, however, the rings were distinctly less permeable than good iron should be, and this anomalous behavior is perhaps due to discontinuities across the lines of magnetization.

## TABLE I.

Annealed Ring of Norway Iron (P), Axis parallel to the Axis of the Original Bar. Measurements made by the Method of Ascending Reversals.

| H. | $B$. | $B / I I$. | $I$. | 11. | $B$. | $B / H$. | 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0 \%$ | 29 | 440 | 1.7 | 1.70 | 9040 | 5320 | 719 |
| 0.10 | 54 | 540 | 4.3 | 1.80 | 9340 | 51.90 | 743 |
| 0.15 | 92 | 613 | 7.3 | 1.90 | 9640 | 5070 | 767 |
| 0.20 | 145 | 725 | 11.3 | 2.00 | 9870 | $493 \%$ | 785 |
| 0.25 | 205 | 820 | 16 | 2.20 | 10800 | 4680 | 820 |
| 0.30 | 280 | 933 | 22 | 2.40 | 10690 | 4450 | 851 |
| 0.35 | 390 | 1114 | 31 | 2.60 | 11010 | 4230 | 876 |
| 0.40 | 495 | 1238 | 39 | 2.80 | 11310 | 4040 | 900 |
| 0.45 | 620 | 1377 | 49 | 3.00 | 11560 | 3550 | 920 |
| 0.50 | 790 | 1580 | 63 | 3.50 | 12010 | 34.30 | 956 |
| 0.55 | 990 | 1800 | 79 | 4.00 | 12310 | 3080 | 980 |
| 0.60 | 1260 | 2100 | 100 | 4.50 | 12550 | 2790 | 991 |
| 0.65 | 1585 | 2440 | 126 | 5.00 | 12760 | 2550 | 1016 |
| 0.70 | 1980 | 2830 | 158 | 6.00 | 13060 | 2180 | 1039 |
| 0.75 | 2405 | 3205 | 191 | 7.00 | 13300 | 1900 | 1058 |
| 0.80 | 25.50 | 3560 | 227 | 8.00 | 13510 | 1690 | 1075 |
| 0.85 | 3310 | 3890 | 263 | 9.00 | 13700 | 1520 | 1090 |
| 0.90 | 3780 | 4200 | 301 | 10.00 | 13870 | 1387 | 1103 |
| 0.95 | 4255 | 4480 | 339 | 20.00 | 14950 | 748 | 1158 |
| 1.00 | 47:30 | 4730 | 376 | 30.00 | 15.920 | 517 | 1233 |
| 1.10 | 5620 | 5110 | 499 | 40.00 | 15850 | 396 | 1258 |
| 1.20 | 63s0 | 5320 | 508 | 50.00 | 16080 | 329 | 1276 |
| 1.30 | 7070 | 5440 | 563 | 60.00 | 16300 | 271 | 1292 |
| 1.40 | 7670 | 5480 | 610 | 70.00 | 16510 | 236 | 1308 |
| 1.50 | 8200 | 5470 | 653 | 80.00 | 16700 | 209 | 1322 |
| 1.60 | 8640 | 5400 | 687 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{3}$ Stoletow, Ann. d. Physik, 146, 1872 (439); Riecke, Ann. d. Physik, 149, 1873; Rowland, Phil. Mag., 46, 1873 (140); Roessler, Inaugural Diss., Zürich, 1892; Holz, Ann. d. Physik, 8, 1876 (353); Ewing, Magnetic Induction in Iron and Other Metals; Bauer, Ann. d. Physik, 11, 1880 (349); G. vom Hofe, Ann. d. Physik, 37, 1889 (482); Lchmann, Ann. d. Physik, 48, 1893 (406); Benedicks, Ann. d. Physik, 6, 1901; Lydall and Pocklington, Proc. Roy. Soc., 62, 1892;

A well annealed isthmus of this iron cut lengthwise of the bar gave for $I$ ，under excitations as high as 18000 gansses，a final value of 1795 ， and an unannealed rod tested in a yoke gave 1730．These remarkable values point to a much higher permeability at medium excitations than the rings just mentioned show．

## TABLE II．

Ring of Annealed＂American lngot Iron．＂Measurements made by the Method of Ascending Reversals．

| II． | $B$ ． | $B / I I$ ． | $I$. | II． | $B$. | B；${ }^{\prime}$ ． | $I$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 35 | 175 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 11900 | 2975 | $9+7$ |
| 0.3 | 106 | 530 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 12450 | 2777 | 991 |
| 0.2 | 198 | 660 | 15.7 | 5.0 | 128.50 | 2570 | 1022 |
| 04 | 324 | 810 | 26 | 5.5 | 18.200 | $\because 400$ | 1050 |
| 0.5 | 4 42 | 964 | 38 | 6.0 | 13500 | こごす） | 107．4 |
| 0.6 | 658 | $11+7$ | 55 | 6.5 | 13780 | 2120 | 1096 |
| 1.7 | 990 | $1+14$ | 79 | 7.0 | 13960 | 1994 | 1111 |
| 0.8 | 1325 | 16.56 | 105 | 7.5 | 14180 | 1591 | 1198 |
| 11.9 | 1720 | 1911 | 137 | 8.0 | 14350 | 1794 | 114\％ |
| 1.0 | －3．3） | 2920 | 185 | 8.5 | 14450 | 1763 | 1152 |
| 1.5 | 5150 | 3433 | 410 | 9.0 | 14600 | 169. | 1162 |
| $2.0)$ | 7340 | 367） | 5S4 | 9.5 | 14720 | 1550 | 1171 |
| 2．5） | 90 ） | 3600 | 720 | 10.0 | $148: 30$ | 1483 | 1180 |
| 3.0 | 102000 | 3406 | S13 | $\because 0.0$ | 15 So 0 | 792 | 1261 |
| 3.5 | 11150 | 3156 | SS7 |  |  |  |  |

Table IV shows corresponding values of $H$ and $B$ for a ring of annealed ＂American Ingot Iron＂cut out by Mr．Thompson from a large plate of the metal．The outside diameter of the ring was 5.012 cm ．，its thickness was 0.283 cm ．，and its breadth about 2.116 cm ．There were 112 turns in the testing coil and it turns in the exciting coil．

Table lII gives for comparison the results of the determinations of the permeabilities of a number of different specimens of soft iron by different observers．Some of the numbers which I have obtained graph－ ically from the published figures are only approximately correct．

Columns 7 and 9 give the records of observations made upon two small rings of very pure iron given by Colonel Dyer of the Elswick works to

[^59]

Sir Frederick Abel, who presented them to Dr. John Hopkinson. The tests upon the first ring by Messrs. Pocklington and Lydall seem to show that they did not anneal the iron; the remarkable measurements of Wilson upon the second ring were made after the iron had been softened. Norway Iron (R) was a long annealed rod about half an inch in diameter. This was tested in a solenoid. An analysis of Hopkinson's ring made by the Whitworths, showed manganese 0.143 per cent, phosphorus 0.271 per cent, sulphur 0.012 per cent, carbon 0.01 per cent and "slag" 0.436 per cent. The Elswick iron contained 0.1 per cent of manganese and 0.013 per cent of sulphur but no phosphorus and hardly a trace of carbon or other impurity. Norway Iron (Q) was an annealed ring cut from a bar of "pure iron" obtained in the Boston market.

My thanks are due to Professor John Trowbridge, who furnished me with the pure iron described above, and to the 'Trustees of the Bache Fund of the National Academy of Sciences who loaned me some of the apparatus used in the work.

[^60]
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## Introduction.

Investigations bearing upon the rare earths have always been particularly attractive on account of some unusual chemical and physical properties of these substances, their peculiar relations to the Periodic System, and in particular the important position which some of them have taken with relation to artificial illumination. To be sure any research involving the preparation of even one of the earths in a state of approximate purity is sure to be protracted, if not tedious. The very proof of the purity of a preparation is by no means easy to secure. The chief guides which have served in the determination of the purity of material have been the spectra, both absorption and emission, and the atomic weights. For a quantitative determination of purity the atomic weight is perhaps more frequently used than any other test. Honce for this reason as well as from theoretical considerations an exact knowledge of the atomic weights of the rare earths is very desirable.

The atomic weights of certain members of the group have received a singularly large amount of attention. Those of cerium and lanthanum, for instance, have been investigated more times than that of iron. Yet owing to the unusual difficulties in the preparation of pure material, as well as to troublesome analytical problems, the determinations of the atomic weights of the rare earths are probably of a lower order of aceuracy than those of the common elements.

Among the standard methods which have heretofore been employed in the determination of atomic weights of the rare earths, the following are the most important:

The Anclysis of the Oxclute. (Stolba.) ${ }^{1}$ The dried oxalate is analyzed for the oxide by ignition, and for oxalic acid by titration with permanganate. The complete analysis of the salt is necessary on account of the invariable presence of an uncertain amount of erystal water even in the dried salt. Gibbs ${ }^{2}$ has pointed out, on account of irregnlarity in the water content, the necessity of grinding thoroughly the whole specimen of oxalate which is to be used for analysis, in order to insure homogeneity of material. Furthermore it has been shown by Baxter and Griffin ${ }^{3}$ and Baxter and Daudt ${ }^{4}$ that the oxalate must be precipitated in acid solution, since in neutral or alkaline solution the oxalates of the alkalies and ammonium are carried down by the rare earth oxalate in considerable quantities. Bramer ${ }^{5}$ has found that in some cases at any rate the oxalate hydrolyzes in contact with water, although the magnitude of the latter error is dombtful. In spite of these difficulties the oxalate method is as easy to carry out as any and probably the most satisfactory for approximate purposes.

The Aunlysis of the sulphute. The sulphates have been used for atomie weight purposes in several ways. Bahr and Bunsen ${ }^{6}$ first employed the method of dissolving weighed amounts of oxide in sulphuric acid, and weighing the sulphate after expelling the excess of acid. By the ignition of the oxalate it is doubtless possible to prepare the oxides in a very pure state if great care is taken to protect them from aceess to moisture or carbon dioxide, at any rate with elements which form trivalent compounds only. To prepare the sulphate in a neutral dry condition is a much more difficult proposition, owing to the retention of water and sulphuric acid if the temperature of hating is low, and

[^61]to decomposition with loss of sulphuric acid at higher temperatures. The investigations of Bailey, ${ }^{1}$ Kruss, ${ }^{2}$ Jones, ${ }^{3}$ Bramer, ${ }^{4}$ Will, ${ }^{5}$ Brill, ${ }^{6}$ and Holmberg 7 leave this matter still in a somewhat misettled state.

The dilficulty in obtaining the anhydrous sulphate in a neutral condition applies also to the method of ignition of sulphate to oxitle. Furthermore it is probable that the oxide prepared by ignition from the sulphate retains traces of sulphuric acid. ${ }^{8}$

A third method depending nyon the use of the sulphates involves the determination of the water in the octalydrated sulphate. Here the difficulty in obtaining crystals free from included cells of mother liquor is superimposed upon that of insuring complete dehydration.

The Analysis of the Churide. The analysis of the chlorides has been little used on account of the tendency for the formation of insoluble basic compounds during drying. As Matignon ${ }^{9}$ has pointed out, if the dehydration of the chloride is conducted slowly and thoroughly enough in an atmosphere of dry hydrochloric acid gas, this difficulty disappears and a perfectly soluble proluct can be obtained, even after fusion. Hence on account of the accuracy with which halogen salts may be analyzed by precipitation with silver, this method is one of the best for atomic weight purposes. In testing the applicability of this method, we chose neodymium chloride for investigation, since neodyminm compounds are comparatively easy to secure in an approximately pure state in large quautities. Furthermore, unlike its close relation praseodymium, neodymium does not readily change from the trivalent state.

Historicul. The atomic weight of neodyminm has already been determined many times, with discrepant results. This element and praseodymium, the other component of the old didymium, were first separated through the fractional crystallization of the double anmonium nitrates by Auer von Welsbach ${ }^{10}$ in 1885. He determined their atomic weights by Bunsen's method of converting oxide to sulphate, but apparently interchanged his results in publication, as first suggested by Brauner, as he gave the value 140.8 for neodymium and 143.6 for praseodymium.

[^62]In 1898 Brauner, ${ }^{1}$ by converting oxide to sulphate, obtained the result 143.6 for neodymium. In this he included a correction for an impurity of 2.9 per cent of the corresponding praseodymium compound, estimated by comparison of the intensity of absorption spectra.

In the same year Boudouard ${ }^{2}$ obtained a neodymium preparation by precipitation with potassium sulphate from some impure yttrium material. Apparently to identify the base rather than to establish its atomic weight, he analyzed the sulphate by converting it to oxide, with the result 143.0. Undoubtedly his material contained praseodymium, as no steps were taken to remove this inevitable impurity.

In 1898 Jones ${ }^{3}$ also investigated both neodymium and praseodymium with material purified by crystallization as the double ammonium nitrate. Cerium and lanthanum were eliminated by precipitation as basic nitrates, and a correction for residual praseodymium was obtained by comparing the intensities of its absorption bands in the neodymium preparations with those of the same bands in dilute praseodymium solutions of known strength. The atomic weight was found by converting the oxide to sulphate. The average of twelve results, ranging in value from 143.46 to 143.62 , was 143.55 . Corrected for praseodymium content this value becomes 143.60 .

In 1901, after further purification of his original material, Brauner ${ }^{4}$ published another determination, which yielded the value 143.89. As this is the result of but one analysis and includes a correction of questionable accuracy for praseodymium impurity, it can hardly be given much weight.

Auer von Welsbach 5 in 1903 published the results of further study of both neodymium and praseodymium. His source of material was a large quantity of double ammonium nitrates obtained as by-products in the technical extraction of lanthanum. A long series of fractional crystallizations as double ammonium nitrate served to free the neodymium from all but a trace of praseodymium. With this material, undoubtedly of very high purity, three analyses were made by the Bunsen method of converting oxide to sulphate, from which the atomic weight values $144.55,14.52$, and 144.57 respectively were obtained. Cufortmately no details of procedure are given.

A point of especial interest in comection with Jones's and Bramer's results is Aucr's observation that the praseodymium absorption spectrum

[^63]is weakened considerably by lanthanum and cerium ammonium nitrates and other salts, as well as by concentrated nitric acid, the effect of which was mentioned in Aner's earlier paper. Clearly any quantitative comparison of the intensity of the praseodyminm spectrum from solutions of praseodymium salts alone, with that from solutions consisting mainly of other rare earth salts, is unreliable.

Feit and Przibylla ${ }^{1}$ dissolved weighed amounts of various rare earth oxides in sulphuric acid and titrated the excess of acid, using methyl orange as the indicator. The endpoint was interfered with by the pink color of the neodymium salt, but this difficulty was obviated by comparing the solutions with standards containing the same amounts of indicator and neutral neodymium salt. Their neodymium material was prepared by fractional crystallization of the double magnesium nitrate. This preparation showed no trace of the strongest praseodymium and samarium absorption bands, although the "hellblau" color of the oxide was considered the best proof of its purity. Their result for the atomic weight of neodymium was 144.60 .

Holmberg ${ }^{2}$ after a study of the rare earth salts of twelve organic acids, selected the metanitrobenzol sulphonate as particularly suitable for the purification of neodymium, and by one hundred and sixty-two series of crystallizations obtained thirteen fractions ranging in atomic weight from 144.0 to 145.3 . The purest fractions were selected and the oxide obtained by ignition of the oxalate was weighed and converted into sulphate. The value 144.10 was obtained as the mean of six results varying from 144.03 to 144.15 .

The International Committee on Atomic Weights have chosen as the most probable value 144.3 , which represents an average of the more recent determinations.

## The Separation of Neodymia from Other Rare Earths.

It is a well-known fact that when a salt of a mixture of rare earths is subjected to fractional crystallization, the less soluble salts tend to concentrate at the less soluble end of each series of fractions, and the more soluble salts at the opposite end of the series. In most cases it is less difficult to free an earth very thoroughly from more soluble impurities than it is to eliminate impurities which tend to concentrate in the crystals. An obvious although not generally recognized way out of the difficulty is to crystallize the substance in such different forms that impurities which tend to concentrate in the crystals in one case,

[^64]concentrate in the mother liquors in another, continuing the crystallization in any one form until impurities which accumulate in the mother liquors have been eliminated as completely as possible.

In the present instance the material was crystallized first as double ammonium nitrate from dilute nitric acid, then as nitrate from concentrated nitric acid. According to Auer von Welsbach, ${ }^{1}$ in crystallization as double ammonium nitrates the bases separate in the order, lanthanum, cerium, praseodymium, neodymium, samarium, terbiumand yttrium-earths, while according to Demarcay, ${ }^{2}$ in the crystallization of the nitrates from nitric acid the solubility first decreases with increasing atomic weight through gadolinium, 157.2, and then increases. In the crystallization as donble ammonium nitrates samarium and the more soluble earths were eliminated, while in the crystallization of the nitrates from nitric acid the solubility of the first four of the above nitrates is reversed and the lanthanum, cerium and praseodymium were removed in the mother liquors.

Three and one half kilograms of neodymium ammonium nitrate, very kindly furnished by Dr. H. S. Miner of the Welsbach Light Company, served as a starting point in the preparation of pure material. The history of this material is somewhat uncertain, but, as nearly as can be determined, is as follows: Swedish cerite'was decomposed with acid and the rare earths were precipitated as oxalates, from which the oxides were obtained by ignition. These oxides were then treated with nitric acid and the insoluble basic ceric salt was produced by heating. The lanthanum, praseodymium, neodymium, samarium, yttrium, etc., were obtained in solution. After the addition of ammonium nitrate the material had received, probably, about 150 to 250 crystallizations. Our treatment showed it to contain considerable lanthanum, cerium, and praseodymium, and a small amount of samarium. Probably traces of other rare earths were present, but no evidence of their existence was found even in the extreme fractions of the purified material.

In the further purification to which we subjected the double neodymium ammonium nitrate the usual method of fractional crystallization was followed: 'The whole material (1) was crystallized and the crystals (2) were separated from the mother liquor (3). The crystals were dissolved and again crystallized, the crystals becoming (4) and the mother liquor (5). The mother liquor (3) was evaporated to crystallization, and the crystals were combined with (5), while the mother liquor formed (6), and so on indefinitely. After the first few series of

[^65]crystallizations the number of fractions in each series was maintained nearly constant between twenty and twenty-five, and the different fractions were not allowed to vary much in size. As a rule the solutions were allowed to become supersaturated by cooling without crystallization, then they were seeded with tiny crystals of the purest neorlymium salt available, and allowed to stand undisturbed over night in order that ly the formation of large crystals the removal of mother lituor might be facilitated. On account of the extra labor and time involved, centrifugal drainage of the crystals is of little advantage where the composition of crystals and mother liquor differs as slightly as in the present case, and therefore was not employed. When the end fractions became small they were either temporarily removed and added to similar fractions subsequently obtained, or, if it could be plainly seen by the difference in color that they contained considerable impurity, they were rejected.

A diagram of the crystallizations as double nitrate is given on page 222. In any given series of crystallizations a lower number always indicates a less soluble fraction. A line not connecting an end fraction with any fraction in a subsequent series indicates rejection.

When eighty-six series of crystallizations had been completed, spectrograms were made of the absorption spectra of selected fractions in the last series, which contained fractions 1287 to 1309 . These photographs were taken on Cramer's Trichromatic plates with a spectrograph employing glass lenses and prism and covered the range $\lambda 650-\lambda 350$. The spectra indicated the presence of samarium in only a few of the more soluble fractions, 1306 to 1309 . Praseodymium conld be detected in all the fractions, although only traces were visible in the more soluble fractions.

In order to throw more light upon the progress of the purification approximate atomic weight determinations by the "permanganate" method ${ }^{1}$ were carried out with portions of fractions 1290, 1299, 1303, and 1305. The oxalate was precipitated by adding a dilute solution of the double nitrate to a dilute solution of an excess of pure oxalic acid, and the precipitate was thoroughly washed, collected, and dried at $120^{\circ}-130^{\circ}$. After very complete mixing of the highly crystalline material by grinding in an agate mortar, weighed portions of the oxalate were converted to oxide by ignition in platinum crucibles. Other portions, weighed out at the same time, were dissolved in dilute sulphuric acid and titrated with a standard solution of potassium permanganate. From the ratio $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 3 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, the atomic weight was calculated.

```
Serres
竍
```

| Fraction. | Per cent of $\mathrm{Nd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. |  | Per cent of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. | Atomic Weight. <br> 143.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1290 | Average | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5334 \\ & 0.5334 \\ & 0.5334 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4193 \\ & 0.4194 \\ & 0.419 .5 \\ & 0.4194 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1209 | Average | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5454 \\ & 0.5452 \\ & 0.5453 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4274 \\ & 0.4281 \\ & 0.4278 \\ & 0.4279 \\ & 0.4278 \end{aligned}$ | 144.3 |
| 1303 | Average <br> Average <br> Average <br> Average o | 0.5412 <br> $0.5+12$ <br> 0.5412 <br> 0.5391 <br> 0.5390 <br> 0.5390 <br> 0.5379 <br> 0.5379 <br> ceond an | 0.4258 0.4258 0.4258 0.4217 0.4228 0.4218 0.4221 0.4221 0.4212 0.4212 0.4212 ird values | 143.8 <br> 144.6 <br> 144.6 <br> 144.6 |
| 1305 | Average <br> Average <br> Average | 0.5447 <br> 0.5448 <br> 0.5448 <br> 0.5414 <br> 0.5414 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4269 \\ & 0.4272 \\ & 0.4272 \\ & 0.4269 \\ & 0.4271 \\ & 0.4236 \\ & 0.4242 \\ & 0.4239 \end{aligned}$ | 144.4 <br> 144.6 <br> 144.5 |

Fractions 1299 to 1305 were evidently very nearly identical and probably nearly pure, while fraction 1290 apparently contained about ten per cent of praseodymium, cerium and lanthanum.

At this point fraction 1302, which seemed on the whole to be the purest in this series, was set aside for more careful examination and analysis. Fractions 1303 to 1309 also, which contained the bulk of
the remaining samarium and yttrium earths, were separated from the others, and the fractionation was continued as before.

No further accumulation of samarium conld be detected, after seventeen more series of erystallizations had been completed, in a spectrographic examination of the more soluble fractions of the one hundred and third series. Nevertheless the two most soluble fractions, 1615 and 1616, were rejected, and the next, 1614, was removed for subsequent analysis.

The sharpness with which the samarium is removed from the neodymium by the crystallization of the double ammonium nitrates is striking in eomparison with the difficulty in eliminating the last traces of praseodymium in the same way. After the removal of only eight fractions, 1302 to 1309 , the last of which was eomparatively rich in samarium, seventeen series of crystallizations failed to reveal any residual samarium. On the other hand, when the fractions $1: 303$ to 1309 were subjected to further fractional erystallization by themselves, the least soluble fraction of the fourteenth series was found to contain about one half per cent of praseodymium, estimated spectrographically as deseribed later. By the same method fraction 1302 , which eontained more praseodymium than any one of the seven crystallized, was found to contain only 0.2 per cent of this impurity. Demarçay ${ }^{1}$ and Feit and Przibylla ${ }^{2}$ have emphasized the fact that neodymium and samarium may be separated with equal facility by crystallization as double magnesium nitrates.

At this point it seemed reasonably certain that practically all the more soluble rare earth impurities had been eliminated, while evidenee of praseorlymium could still be seen in the absorption spectra of all the fractions. For the removal of the praseodymium and at the same time cerium and lanthanm, if present, crystallization of the nitrates from eoncentrated nitric acid was next modertaken, since in this process these elements aecumulate in the mother liquors. ${ }^{3}$
'The ammonimm nitrate was removed from the different fractions by evaporation and ignition, concentrated nitric aeid was added, and the erystallization was carried through sixty-seven series. The same numbering was continued, except that the order of the one hundred and third series was reversed to give the less soluble fractions the lower numbers as before, 1613 beeoming 1613 a and 1596 becoming 1630 . During these sixty-seven series no fractions were rejected at the less soluble end, althongh the extrome mother liquor was frequently re-

[^66]jected. 'i'hus any nitrate less solnble than neodymium nitrate woull concentrate in the extreme crystal fraction of the last series. A diagram of this treatment follows:


The fractions of the last series, 2923 to 2945 , were then converted to oxide by precipitation as oxalate from acid solution and ignition of the oxalate. The different fractions of oxide were all of the same lilac blue color. We could detect no difference in the color of the oxides of fractions found to be essentially free from praseodymium and those containing several tenths of a per cent of this impurity.

The final fractions were next subjected to eareful comparative spectrographic examination, great pains being taken to have the conditions of experimentation the same for all the fractions. One gram of every vol. xlyi. - 15
third fraction was dissolved in nitric acid, and, after the excess of acid had been evaporated, the solutions were diluted to the same volume, above five cubic centimeters. Photographs of the absorption spectra were made, the solutions being contained in test tubes of the same diameter, and various lengths of exposure being employed. The samarium band $\lambda 401$ was faintly visible in fraction 2923 only. This is not surprising, however, since, as has been stated before, no fractions had been removed from the less soluble end of the series in the course of all the sixty-seven series of crystallizations from concentrated nitric acid. Prascodymium could not be detected in fractions 2923 to 2932 , but its strongest absorption band, $\lambda$ 4.4. appeared with regularly increasing intensity in fractions 2935 to 2944.

In order to find out how much praseodymium can be detected in this way, and at the same time to estimate the proportion of praseodymium in the latter fractions, spectrograms were made, on one plate and with the same exposure, of the spectra of most of the solutions previously examined, and also of others similarly prepared from fractions 1302 and 1614, which had been removed at earlier stages of the fractionation. To a solution of fraction 2926, in which no praseodymium could be detected in the first photograph, 0.1 per cent of praseodymium oxide was added in solution, and the original concentration was restored by evap oration. The absorption spectrum of this specimen was photographed on the same plate as above, and again after the praseodymium content had been increased to $0.2,0.5$ and 1.0 per cent respectively. In the negative the first addition of 0.1 per cent made a distinct impression. From this it was concluded that fractions 2923 to 2932 contained less than that proportion of praseodymium. This assumption can scarcely be objected to on the ground that a considerable amount of prascodymium in fraction 2926 might have been brought within the limits of detection by means of a relatively small increment, because the intensity of the prascodymium band appeared to be proportional to the amounts of praseodymimm added to the original solution.

Since these standards and the other solutions differed little except in praseodymium content, those giving prascodymium spectra of equal intensity could be safely assumed to contain the same percentage of this impurity. 'The results of the comparison of the different spectrograms are as follows:

| Fraction . . . | 2935 | 2938 | 2941 | 294.4 | 1302 | 1614 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent of Pr. | . | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.15 |

Since a proportion of one tenth of a per cent of praseodymium lowers the atomic weight of neodymium by only four one thousandths of a
unit, the purer fractions were obviously as pure as it is worth while to make them. None of them in all probability were entirely free from praseodymium. In fact, visual spectroscopic examination of both fraction 2929 and 2931 with very strong absorption showed them to contain perceptible amounts of this impurity.

It is reasonable to suppose that cerium and lanthanum nitrates, which are more soluble in concentrated nitric acid than praseodymium nitrate, were removed from the neodymium even more completely than praseodymium. Evidence upon this point is more difficult to secure than in the case of praseodymium, on account of the lack of absorption bands of cerium and lanthanum in the visible spectrum. The following test for cerium was carried out with fraction 2944 , which contained 0.5 per cent of praseodymium. The hydroxide was precipitated by the addition of an excess of sodium hydroxide, and, after washing by decantation, it was treated with saturated chlorine water for some time. A small quantity of undissolved hydroxide was filtered off and dissolved in nitric acid. To the nearly neutral solution a sufficient amount of a solution of calcium hypochlorite was added to produce a slight permanent precipitate. This precipitate was pink with no tinge of yellow. The same solution after the addition of a trace of cerium and testing with hypochlorite as before yielded a precipitate distinctly colored with the characteristic yellow of ceric hydroxide. Fraction 2944 was evidently nearly if not entirely free from cerium and the less soluble fractions of the series must have been purer still.

## The Absorption Spectrum of Aquegus Solutions of Neodymiun Salts.

The absorption spectra of two of the purest fractions, 2929 and 2931, were examined visually with a Hilger wave-length spectroscope. While no very great accuracy is claimed for the measurements, it is probable that the values are correct within one-millionth of a millimeter, for the spectroscope is capable of nearly ten times this accuracy for wavelengths in the neighborhood of $\lambda 500$.

In the first two columns of the following table are given the values for the maxima of absorption observed during the gradual dilution of very concentrated, slightly acid solutions of the nitrates, each value having been obtained in the most dilute solutions in which the band could be observed. The spectra of the two fractions were identical.

The band $\lambda 580$ can be seen only at certain medium concentrations while the band $\lambda 547$ is visible only with very concentrated solutions. The praseodymium band $\lambda 444$ also was faintly visible only in the most

| Baxter and Chapin Fractions 2929 and 2931. (1909). | Forsling and Holmberg. (1907). | Rech and Muthmann. (1906). | Auer von Welsbach. (1403). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 745 to 725 |
| 689 | 689.5 |  | 687 |
| 679 | 677.5 | 679.6 | 678 |
| 673 | 672.0 | 670.8 | 673 |
| 636 | 636.0 | 636.6 | 63.5 |
| 628 | 627.8 | 625.6 | 629 |
| 625 | 625.4 | 624.5 | 626 |
| 622 | 621.7 | 62.2 | 622 |
| 587 |  | 586.5 |  |
| 583 |  | 583.2 | 583 |
| 580 |  | 580.8 |  |
| 578 | 578.8 to 578.0 | 578.5 | 579 |
| 575 | 575.4 | 575.4 | 576 |
| 574 | 573.5 | 573.6 | 575 |
| 571 | 571.6 | 571.7 | 572.5 |
| 517 |  |  | 567.5 |
| 531 | 532.3 | 532.0 | 532 |
| 525 |  | 525.4 | 525 |
| 521 | 521.6 | 52.2 | 522.7 |
| 520 | 520.4 | 520.5 | 521 |
|  |  | 514.5 |  |
| 512 | 512.4 | 512.2 | 511.7 |
| 509 | 508.7 | 508.9 | 508.3 |
| 487 | 489.0 to 486.0 | 488.0 |  |
| 480 | 479.9 | 479.8 |  |
| 475 | 474.8 to 474.2 | 475.4 | 475.4 |
| 469 | 468.7 | 469.0 | 469.6 |
| 461 | 461.0 | 461.1 | 461 |
| 444 Pr |  | 44.2 43.2 |  |
| 433 | 433.0 | 432.9 | 432 |
|  |  | 429.5 |  |
|  |  | 428.1 |  |
| 427.5 | 427.1 | 427.2 | 426.5 |
| 418 | 415.3 | 418.2 | 417.8 |

concentrated solutions. The two bands $\lambda 580$ and $\lambda 547$ disappear if the nitrate is changed to chloride ; otherwise the nitrate and chloride give maxima in essentially the same positions, although the relative intensities of the bands are somewhat different in the two cases.

The second, third, and fourth columns of the foregoing table represent the corresponding results obtained by Forsling from Holmberg's neodymium preparation, ${ }^{1}$ by Rech from Muthmann's preparation, ${ }^{2}$ and by Aner

[^67]von Welsbach from his own material. ${ }^{1}$ The last were taken from the drawings which were Aner's only published record, and since they are subject to two personal errors are less exact than the others. Being obtained, however, from very carefully prepared material, they should represent at any rate the number and the general location of the bands in the neodymium spectrun. Rech's measurements, since his material was less carefully prepared, include lines belonging to praseodymium and possibly to other elements.

While some of these bands are sharp, and appear in the same positions in solutions of various concentrations, others fade away gradually on the edges, and sometimes have their maxima shifted by changes in the concentration. Consequently the disagreement as to the exact positions of bands is due in many cases merely to differences in the conditions of observation. Furthermore, Forsling and Rech used chloride solutions, whereas Auer von Welsbach and the writers used nitrate solutions. On the whole the agreement between the different sets of values is very satisfactory. The bands between $\lambda .587$ and $\lambda 571$ are too close together to be distinguished from one another under any but the most favorable conditions. The double band $\lambda 575$ and $\lambda 574$ in particular separates only under rather limited conditions. The band at $\lambda 579$ in one of Auer's drawings may be intended to represent two lines at $\lambda 580$ and $\lambda 577$, and is thus interpreted by Kayser. Auer's band $\lambda 567.5$ appears very faintly in but one of his four drawings. There is a complete absence of shadow at this point in another drawing which represents a more concentrated solution, and which one would expect consequently to show the band. It is possible therefore that its appearance in the first print was merely accidental. The band $\lambda 525$ in concentrated solutions merges with $\lambda 522$ and in dilute it becomes invisible, so that it is not surprising that it was not observed by Forsling and Holmberg. The band $\lambda$ o14.5, given by Rech alone, is coincident with no prominent band of any other rare earth, nor could it be detected in any of our preparations. The band $\lambda 448$ which both Forsling and Rech observed, as has already been stated, can be seen only with very strong absorption. This is doubtless the reason that it was not observed by Auer.

The presence or absence of the predominant impurities, praseodymium, cerium, lanthanum and samarium, in our best mateial has already been discussed. It is of some interest to consider other earths having prominent absorption bands in the visible spectrum. ${ }^{2}$ Since none of

[^68]these could have been present in quantity, their absorption bands obviously could be found only in concentratel solutions. The marked broadening and rumning together of the neodymium bands in concentrated solutions makes the detection of bands due to impurities difficult, except when these occur in regions in the spectrum comparatively free from neodymiam bands. Of the three strong erbium bands $\lambda 650$, $\lambda 540$, and $\lambda 522$, the last two are completely masked by the neodymium in concentrated solution. The band $\lambda 650$, however, occurs in a region favorable for observation, and could not be detected even in the most concentrated solution. In the case of holmium the three strongest bands, $\lambda 639, \lambda 535$, and $\lambda 485$, all fall within the limits of neodymium bands at high concentrations. There was no evidence of the strongest, $\lambda 535$, in solutions of average concentrations. The strongest dysprosium band $\lambda 451$, which falls just within the broad neodymium band $\lambda 484-\lambda 4 . \%$, as it appeared in our most concentrated solutions, could not be detected. The terbium band $\lambda 458$ practically coincides with the neodymium band 457 which could be seen in our preparations only at the highest concentrations. Since this band could be seen of the same intensity in all our preparations, it is improbable that it represents an impurity of terbium. The sharp thulium band $\lambda 700$ does not fall within limits of any neodymium band, and we could find no evidence of its presence. Since the absorption of europium solutions in the visible spectrum is faint, and since all the stronger bands are masked completely by the bands of a concentrated neodymium solution, we have no spectroscopic evidence concerning the absence of this element. It may be added that, even in the extreme fractions of our crystal series, we could not detect the presence of any of these rarer elements. Further study of the absorption spectrum of neodymium is plamed with the aid of a large quartz spectrograph, which has recently become available for us.

## The Preparation of Neodymica Chloride.

The neodymium oxide fractions prepared as above were converted to chloride as follows: Fractions 1302 and 1614 were dissolved in the purest nitric acid in platinum vessels, the solutions were filtered, and the oxalate was precipitated from acid solution by means of the purest oxalic acid. After the oxalate had been washed by decantation and drained by suction upon a porcelain Gooch crucible employing a small disk of filter paper in place of an asbestos mat, the salt was dried and ignited in a platinum dish over a blast lamp. 'The oxide was dissolved in a 'quartz dish in hydrochloric acid which had been distilled with the use of a quartz condenser, and the chloride was three times
erystallized, with centrifugal drainage in platinum Gooeh erucibles ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ in each ease. The crystal yichl was brought to a maxinum by treating the solutions at $0^{\circ}$ with hydrochloric acid gas obtained by warning coneentrated solutions of the acid and led to the solutions through a quartz tube. Drying over sulphurie acid in a vacuun desiccator and powdering in an agate mortar completed the preparation for the final dehydration and analysis.

On account of the small quantity of material in each fraction of the final series, adjacent fractions were combined. The combinations actually analysed were $2923-2924,2926-2927-2928,2932-2933$, and $2936-$ 29:3. A suspicion of contamination by platinum during the ignition of the oxides in platinmm led to a slight change in the purification of these fractions. The original oxide was dissolved in hydrochloric acid in a quartz dish, and hydrogen sulphide, generated by the aetion of sulphuric acid on ferrous sulphide and thoroughly scrubbed with water, was passed into the hot, slightly acid solution. A slight blaek preeipitate of platinum sulphide was formed in every case. The oxalate was precipitated from the filtered and boiled solution, and was collected and dried as before. In the ignition of this oxalate an electrically heated quartz muffle, kindly loaned to us by Dr. H. II. Willard, was used. Since the temperature of the muflle was very uniform, a lower temperature could be employed for the decomposition of the oxalate. The product of decomposition, which contained a large proportion of earbonate when obtained in this way, was dissolved in hydrochloric acid in a quartz dish and was crystallized as before. The first mother liquor, which in the ease of fractions 1302 and 1614 had been slightly tinged with yellow, was in the case of all the other fractions free from such evidence of dissolved platinum.

## The Preparation of Pure Silver.

Pure silver was prepared by methods which have already been found to be very effective. Since these methods have been deseribed in detail several times in papers from this laboratory, ${ }^{2}$ only a brief outline of the processes is given here. Crude silver was dissolved in nitric acid, preeipitated as chloride, reduced with alkaline invert sugar, and fused on chareoal. After cleansing by serubbing with sand and etching with nitric acid, the buttons were again dissolved in nitric acid and the metal was precipitated from solution with ammonium formate. The

[^69]thoronghly washed product was fused with a blowpipe upon a crucible of the purest lime. Electrolytic deposition with silver nitrate as the electrolyte and with a dissolving anode of the pure silver buttons followed, and the electrolytic crystals were fused in a current of pure hydrogen on a boat of the purest lime. The resulting bars of metal were first cleansed with nitric acid and then were sawed into pieces of a convenient size with a fine jeweller's saw. After the fragments had been etched with nitric acid until free from surface contamination with iron from the saw, they were thoroughly washed with ammonia and pure water, and finally heated to about $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in a vacuum. The silver was preserved in a desiccator over solid potassium hydroxide.

Pure silver nitrate was prepared by crystallization of the commercial product until free from chloride. That ased in analyses $6,7,8$, and 15 was a portion of material purified by Dr. Grinnell Jones for work upon the atomic weight of phosphorns. ${ }^{1}$

In the preparation of reagents the precautions usual in exact work were taken. The ordinary distilled water of the laboratory was twice redistilled, once from alkaline permanganate and once alone, through block tin condensers. Hydrochloric and nitric acids were distilled through quartz condensers, in the case of the hydrochloric acid the first and last rumnings being rejected, in the case of the nitric acid two distillations being carried out, the first third being rejected in each distillation. By careful tests it was shown that nitric acid distilled in this way does not contain more than the merest trace of chlorine if the original acid is nearly free from the latter element.

Quartz or platinum utensils were employed wherever glass would have introduced objectionable impurities, and electrical heaters were used whenever the products of combustion of illuminating gas were to be avoided. In the crystallization of solids centrifugal drainage was always used to assist in the mechanical removal of mother liquor from crystals, except in the fractional crystallization of the neodymium material, where it would have been of little assistance.

## The Drying of the Neodymium Chloride.

The drying of the neodymium chloride for analysis presented several difficulties. Matignon ${ }^{2}$ states that if the chloride is first completely dehydrated and then fused in a current of dry hydrochloric acid gas, the product is completely soluble in water. Our earlier experiments

[^70]did not confirm this statement, for in several instances salt which apparently had been very completely dried, after fusion in a current of hydrochloric acid gas was found to contain a considerable amount of basic salt. Since, however, material which had been dried at temperatures slightly below the melting point of the anhydrous salt gave absolutely clear solutions, the experient was adopted of drying the salt for analysis as completely as possible without fusion, with the expectation of determining the water in subsequent experiments. Diring later experiments for determining the residnal water it was found that the preliminary drying had not been effective in the earlier experiments, and that salt which has been dried as for analysis and then fused in a current of hydrochloric acid gas does give a perfectly clear solution. This information was unfortunately acquired too late to be of direct use in preparing the salt for analysis. Since, however, the dried but unfused salt was found to contain a constant very small proportion of moisture, and since a correction was applied for this moisture, no appreciable error could have been introduced by the incomplete drying. It is hoped, nevertheless, before long to institute further analyses with material which has been fused.

The apparatus employed in the drying of the neodymium chloride was essentially that used by numerous investigators in this laboratory for the dehydration of chlorides. Hydrochloric acid gas was generated by the action of concentrated sulphuric acid upon concentrated hydrochloric acid, and was dried by passage through five towers about thirty centimeters long and four centimeters in diameter filled with glass beads moistened with concentrated sulphuric acid. Nitrogen was prepared by Wanklyn's method of passing air through concentrated ammonia solution and then over hot copper. The excess of ammonia was removed and the nitrogen was purified and dried by passing over dilute sulphuric acid, silver nitrate solution, solid caustic potash, concentrated sulphuric acid, and resublimed phosphorus pentoxide. Nitrogen prepared in this way always contains hydrogen, ${ }^{1}$ produced by the catalytic decomposition of the excess of ammonia in passing over the hot copper, in quantities which vary with the excess of ammonia, the temperature of the copper, as well as the length of the copper layer and the speed of the gases. The hydrogen could not have had any effect upon the neodymium chloride, however, and is an advantage in preventing the attacking of the platinum boat. Air was purified and dried by reagents similar to those used in the purification of the nitrogen. The hydrochloric acid apparatus was constructed wholly of glass,

[^71]and the nitrogen and air purifying trains had short rubber connections only at the begiming. Any one of these gases or any desired mixture conld be delivered through the tube in which the boat containing the salt was placed. This tube could be heated to constant temperatures in an oven consisting of two superimposed solid aluminum blocks. ${ }^{1}$

According to Matignon, ${ }^{2}$ at about $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. neodymium chloride loses five of its six molecules of water of crystallization rapidly, while the sixth molecule appears at $160^{\circ}$. We have determined the melting point of the hexahydrated chloride (made from fraction 2931) to be $124^{\circ}$. Thus the drying of the chloride may be caused to take place by a process of double efflorescence, a condition very favorable for the complete elimination of the water. Richards ${ }^{3}$ has pointed out that a hydrated salt dried wholly by efflorescence can be freed from moisture much more effectively than one which has been allowed to melt during the dehydration, hence care was taken to keep the temperature below $124^{\circ}$ until the greater part of the water had been expelled.

The powdered crystals of neodymium chloride were placed in a platinum boat which had been previously weighed in a weighing bottle, and the boat was heated in a current of mixed nitrogen and hydrochloric acid gases at gradually increasing temperatures. The boat was contained in a hard glass tube which formed part of a "bottling apparatus," 4 and this in turn was attached to the systems for purifying the various gases. The temperature was kept below $124^{\circ}$ until the larger portion of the water had been expelled. Then it was gradually raised to $200^{\circ}$, and finally the salt was heated at abont $330^{\circ}$ for one hour in a current of pure hydrochloric acid gas. During the heating there was never the slightest appearance of melting. In the first five analyses the final temperature was higher than in the subsequent ones, and was not far below the fusing point of the anhydrous salt. After the tube had been allowed to cool, the hydrochloric acid was displaced by nitrogen and finally by air, and the boat was transferred to the weighing bottle without exposure to moist air by means of the bottling apparatus. After long standing in a desiccator near the balance the boat and contents were weighed.

[^72]
## Tine Metiod of Avalysis.

In order to dissolve the chloride the boat was first transferred to a large dry Erlemmeyer flask, a column of bulbs was inserted in the neck of the flask and enough water to cover the boat was introluced through the bulbs. These precautions were taken because of the violence of the action of the water upon the finely divided anhydrous salt, in order to avoid both mechanical loss of material and possible evaporation of hydrochloric acid owing to hydrolysis at the high temperature which results at the moment when the salt comes in contact with water. That this error is not a serious one is evident from the fact that although in the first five analyses the use of the column of bulbs was omitted, yet the results of these analyses are not markedly different from the others. The solution was allowed to stand in the flask for some time in order to ensure absorption of any volatilized hydrochloric acid. Every specimen of salt dried and dissolved in this way gave a perfectly clear solution.
'The solution of the nendymium chloride was diluted to a volume of about one liter with water containing a small amount of nitric acid. Then an equally dilute solution of a very nearly equivalent amount of pure silver nitrate containing nitric acid was added to the solution of the chloride. The glass-stoppered precipitating flask containing the solntion was thoroughly shaken and allowed to stand for about twentyfour hours, and then an excess of silver nitrate of abont one tenth of a gram was added to complete the precipitation.

After standing until the supernatant liquid was perfectly clear, the precipitate was thoroughly washed, first with dilute silver nitrate solution and then with very dilute nitric acid in the earlier analyses, with pure water in the later ones, and was collected upon a weighed Gooch-Munroe-Neubauer crucible. Then, after the crucible and contents had been dried in an electric air bath at about $150^{\circ}$ for eighteen hours, they were weighed.

The moisture retained by the dried silver chloride was estimated in each analysis by determining the loss in weight during the fusion of the dried silver chloride in a weighed porcelain crucible. The weight of silver chloride dissolved in the filtrate and silver nitrate wash-waters was assumed to be 0.00003 gram per liter. ${ }^{1}$ That dissolvel in the acid or aqueous washings together with the ammonia rinsings of the precipitating flask was determined by comparison with standard solutions in

[^73]a nephelometer, the usual precautions being taken to secure uniformity of precipitation. ${ }^{1}$

When the ratio of the neodymium chloride to metallic silver was to be determined a quantity of silver equivalent to the chloride within a very few tenths of a milligram was weighed out and dissolved in nitric acid in a flask fitted with a column of bulbs to prevent loss of material by spattering. The quantity of silver was adjusted exactly by means of a hundredth normal solution of silver. After the silver solution had been freed from oxides of nitrogen it was diluted to a volume of one liter and was quantitatively added to the chloride solution. The precipitate was caused to settle completely by occasional shaking and standing for several days. Then portions of the mother liquor were tested for excess of silver or chloride by the addition of hundredth normal chloride or silver nitrate solutions, and comparison of the opalescence produced in a nephelometer. Deficiencies in either chloride or silver were made up by means of the dilute standard solutions of these substances until finally exactly equivalent amounts of chloride and silver were present in the solution.

In all except the first two titrations the solubility of the silver chloride in the mother liquor was very much decreased by cooling the solution with ice water, a method of increasing the accuracy of nephelometric observation which has been devised recently by Richards and Willard and used successfully in their revision of the atomic weight of lithium. ${ }^{2}$

As soon as equilibrium had been reached in a titration, an excess of one tenth of a gram of silver nitrate was added and the silver chloride was determined gravimetrically as described above. Corrections were of course applied for any chloride added in the titration.

## The Determination of tie Moisture Retained by tiee Neodymium Chloride.

Although in several cases where hydrated salts have been dried by efflorescence it has been shown that the moisture retained by the salt is negligible in quantity, ${ }^{3}$ in the present instance careful experiments were instituted to test this point thoronghly. The direct determination of the water by absorption with phosphorns pentoxide, after fusion of the salt, was complicated in the case of ncodymium chloride, since,

[^74]if the salt is fused in nitrogen, after being dried, hydrochloric acid is liberated owing to lyydrolysis with the residual moisture. Fusion in a current of hydrochloric acil gas is not permissible because of the action of this gas upon the phosphorus pentoxide ${ }^{1}$ necessary for the absorption of the water.

Fortunately neodymium chloride is only very slightly volatile at temperatures not far above its fusing point, ${ }^{2}$ so that it is possible to estimate the moisture content of the dried salt by fusion in a current of hydrochloric acid gas and determination of the loss in weight. The trace of chloride volatilized during the fusion can then be collected and weighed.

For these experiments a glass tube is obviously unsuited owing to the action of the hydrochloric acid upon the glass at the fusing point of the chloride and consequent vaporization of alkali chloride into the neodymium chloride as well as into the cooler parts of the tube. Hence in these experiments a quartz tube was substituted for glass. This tube was apparently not attacked by the hydrochloric acid gas during a long series of experiments.

The exact procedure was as follows: The boat with a maximum charge of neorlymium chloride crystals was heated in a current of nitrogen and hydrochloric acid gases, and was bottled and weighed exactly as in preparing the salt for the chloride analyses. After being weighed the boat was replaced in the quartz tube, and when the air had been completely displaced by hydrochloric acid gas, the tube was electrically heated by means of a removable mica sleeve wound with the resistance wire "Nichrome," until the salt was fused. The boat was then bottled in dry air a second time and reweighed. In nearly every experiment a barely visible condensation of concentrated hydrochloric acid solution took place in the cool portion beyond the boat.

| Weight of $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3}$. | Loss in Weight during Fusion. | Percentage Loss in Weight. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gm. 5.36 | 0.00045 |  |
| 6.73 | 0.00052 | 0.0077 |
| 5.93 | 0.00050 | 0.0084 |
| Average . . . . . . |  | 0.0082 |

[^75]The loss in weight of the salt found in this way is caused in part by a slight sublimation during the fusion, the magnitude of which was not determined in the first series of experiments. Since the neodymium chloride employed in the moisture determinations was not of so high grade of purity as that used in the chloride analyses, the volatilized material probably consisted in part of other chlorides. Hence the proportion of moisture calculated from the loss in weight is certainly a maximum. In a second series of experiments, after the fusion of the salt, the sublimate in the quartz tube was dissolved in water and the solution was evaporated to dryness in the weighed platinum boat, and after drying at $135^{\circ}$ in hydrochloric acid gas the boat was reweighed. ${ }^{1}$ The weights thus obtained were subtracted from the loss in weight of the salt found in the same experiment. When the quartz tube was heated alone in hydrochlorie acid gas, no sublimate was formed, showing that the volatilized substance did not come from the quartz.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight of } \\ & \text { N(1c13. } \end{aligned}$ | Loss in Weight during Fusion. | Weight of Volatile Matter. | Per cent of Moisture. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{gm} . \\ & 6.24 \\ & 7.19 \end{aligned}$ | 0.00050 0.00052 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{gm} . \\ 0.00030 \\ 0.00930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0032 \\ & 0.0031 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average |  |  | 0.0032 |

It is to be noted that the percentage loss in weight "in the second series of experiments is identical with that of the first series, although the per cent of moisture is less than half the percentage loss in weight during fusion. The effect upon the atomie weight of neodymium of correcting for the moisture is to lower the atomic weight by eight thousandths of a muit.

The percentage of moisture found is nearly identical in magnitude with that obtained from iodine pentoxide which had been made by efflorescence of iorlic acid, $0.0023 .{ }^{2}$

The fused neolymium chloride seemed to be perfectly soluble in water, the probable reason for the better success in these experiments than in the earlier ones being the more efficient drying of the selt before fusion.

[^76]
## The Specffe Graytity of Neodynium Cilloride.

Although Matignon ${ }^{1}$ had already found the density of anhydrous fused neodymium chloride at $15^{\circ}$ referred to water at $4^{\circ}$ to be 4.195 , for the purpose of confirmation this constant was redetermined by displacement of toluol. On account of the difficulty of removing air from porous material the salt was fused for these determinations instead of being dried. The pyenometer employed was one of speeial form, modified by Baxter and Hines. ${ }^{2}$

The salt was first carefully dried and then finally fused in a current of hydrochlorie acid gas in a small platinum boat, as previously described. The boat and contents were then weighed in a small weighing bottle to which they were transferred by means of the bottling apparatus. The salt was next quiekly covered with dry toluol and a speeial pycnometer stopper was substituted for the ordinary stopper of the weighing bottle. Entangled air was removed by exhaustion in a desiceator and finally the toluol was adjusted to a mark in a capillary outlet tube in the usual way, after the temperature of the system had been carefully adjusted to $23^{\circ}$. In order to avoid evaporation of the toluol through the ground joint of the pyenometer this was male tight by means of a weighed quantity of syrupy phosphoric acid. The toluol content of the system being known, the displaced toluol could be found. The speeific gravity of the toluol at $25^{\circ}$ referred to water at $4^{\circ}$ was determined in the same pyenometer to be 0.8636 , while Jones ${ }^{3}$ in earlier experiments with a different lycnometer found the specific gravity of the same sample to be 0.5633.

| Weight of $\mathrm{NeCl}_{3}$ in \acuum. | Weight of Toluol displaced in Vacuum. | Specific Gravity of Nul'l ${ }_{3}$. $25^{\circ} \%{ }^{\circ}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { gmı, }} 1.9067$ | ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{gm}} 0.4177$ | 4.128 |
| 3.6878 | 0.7700 | 4.136 |
| 3.2569 | 0.6798 | 4.137 |
| Average |  | 4.134 |

A part of the difference between this value and Matignon's can be explained by the different temperatures at which the determinations were made.

[^77]If the weights are assumed to have the specific gravity at $8.3,{ }^{1}$ Matignon's value for the density leads to a vacuum correction per gram of substance of +0.000141 , while the value 4.13 necessitates a correction of +0.000145 . The difference amounts to only four ten thousandths of a per cent, which corresponds to a difference of only one thousandth of a unit in the atomic weight of neodymium. Hence it is of no importance which correction is employed.

The following table indicates the corrections for the buoyant cffect of the air applied to the weights of the various substances.

|  | Specific Gravity. | Vacuum Correction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weights | 8.3 |  |
| Toluol | 0.863 | +0.00126 |
| $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3}$ | 4.134 | +0.000145 |
| AgCl | 5.56 | +0.000071 |
| Ag | 10.49 | -0.000031 |

All weighings were made on a nearly new balance, Troemner No. 10, which is employed exclusively in atomic weight researches. Weighings were made by substitution for counterpoises as nearly as possible like the objects weighed both in weight and in volume. The weights were carefully standardized to hundredths of a milligram by the method described by Richards. ${ }^{2}$

## Results and Discussion.

In order to show that no appreciable error occurred owing either to occlusion by silver chloride or from loss of silver chloride in solution, the ratio between the silver used and the silver chloride obtained has been calculated in the five pairs of analyses for which the data are available.

| Analyses. | $\mathrm{Ag}: \mathrm{AgCl}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 and 16 | 0.752663 |
| 10 and 17 | 0.752726 |
| 12 and 19 | 0.752626 |
| 13 and 20 | 0.752686 |
| 14 and 21 | 0.752621 |
| Average... | 0.752664 |

${ }^{1}$ Baxter, Proc. Amer. Acad.. 42, 209 (1906).
${ }^{2}$ Jour. Amer. Chem. Soc., 22, 144 (1900).
ATOMIC WEIGHT OF NEODYMIUM.

|  |  |  | $=107.880$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}=$ | 5.457. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Analy- } \\ \text { sis. } \end{gathered}$ | Fraction. | Corrected Weight of $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{NaCl}_{3} \text { in } \\ \text { Vacuum }}}{ }$ | Weight AgCl in Vacuum. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loss on } \\ & \text { Fusion. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AgCl} \\ \text { Disolvel in } \\ \text { Washl } \\ \text { Water. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AgCl} \\ \text { added } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Titration. } \end{gathered}$ | Corrected Weight of AgCl in Vacuum. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \mathrm{NdCl}_{3}: 3 \mathrm{AgCl} . \end{gathered}$ | Atomic Al eight Neodynrium. |
| 1 | 1302 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{gm}}{3.16218}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{gm} .}{5.42334}$ | $\underset{\substack{\mathrm{gm} . \\ 0.00030}}{\text { and }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Em} .}{0.00242}$ | gm. | 5.42546 | 0.582841 | 144.257 |
| 2 | 1302 | 2.93305 | 5.02969 | 0.00047 | 0.00304 |  | 5.03226 | 0.582850 | 14.262 |
| 3 | 1302 | 2.99149 | 5.12978 | 0.00019 | 0.002336 |  | 5.13195 | . 58 | 14.289 |
| 4 | 1302 | 2.62468 | 4.50114 | 0.00030 | 0.002 .54 |  | 4.50338 Average | 0.582858 | 144.265 |
| 5 | 1614 | 2.38439 | 4.08848 | 0.00025 | 0.00241 |  | 4.09064 | 0.582889 0.58894 | 144.278 |
| 6 | 1614 | 2.55827 | 4.38789 | 0.00029 0.00026 | 0.00131 0.00140 |  | 4.38891 6.16095 | 0.582894 0.582887 | 144.280 |
| 7 | 1614 | 3.59114 | 6.15981 | 0.00026 | 0.00140 |  | Average | 0.582890 | 14.278 |
| 8 | 2923-4 | 4.27402 | 7.33208 | 0.00023 | 0.00218 | 0.00200 | 7.33203 | 0.582925 | 144.293) |
| 9 | 2926-7-8 | 4.69459 | 8.06313 | 0.00029 | 0.00160 | 0.01000 | 8.05444 | 0.5828 .57 | 14.264 |
| 10 | 2926-7-8 | 2.79574 | 4.80313 | 0.00025 | 0.00142 | 0.00800 | 4.79630 Average | 0.582895 0.582876 | 144.280 14.272 |
| 11 | 2932-3 | 2.59810 | 4.45553 | 0.00039 | 0.00227 |  | 4. 45741 | 0.582872 | 14.270 |
| 12 | 2932-3 | 3.42064 | 5.87107 | 0.00021 | 0.00196 | 0.00410 | 5.86872 | 0.582860 | 14.265 |
| 13 | 2932-3 | 4.11855 | 7.06994 | 0.00018 | 0.00172 | 0.00630 | 7.06518 | 0.582936 0.582859 | 14.298 144.278 |
| 14 | 2936-7 | 3.9.59.58 | 6.79583 | 0.00017 | 0.00179 | 0.00400 | 6.79345 | 0.5828 .53 | $144: 262$ |
| 15 | 2936-7 | 2.71834 | 4.66295 | 0.00011 | 0.00111 |  | 4.66395 <br> Average | $\begin{aligned} & 0.582841 \\ & 0.582847 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144.2 .57 \\ & 14.260 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Average . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $0.582876{ }^{144.272 ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

vol. Xlvi. - 16
ATOMIC WEICHT OF NEODYMIUMI.
$\Delta g=107.880$.

| Number of . Analyni-. | Fraction. | Corrected <br> Weight of $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3}$ in Vacuum. | Weight of Ar in Vacuum. | Weight of Ag added. | Gorreeted <br> Weight of Ag in 1 acuum. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \mathrm{Nd}\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}: 3 \mathrm{Ag} .\right. \end{gathered}$ | Atomic Weight of Neodymiun. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 2923-4 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{gm} . \\ 4.27 .402 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{4 m}{8}}{5.51825}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{gm}}{0.00030}$ | $\stackrel{g m .}{5.51855}$ | 0.774482 | 144.283 |
| 17 | 2926-7-8 | 2.79574 | 3.60989 | 0.00041 | $3.610: 30$ | 0.774379 | 144.249 |
| 18 | 2932-3 | 2.77391 | 3.58159 | 0.00037 | 3.58196 | 0.774411 | 144.259 |
| 19 | 2932-3 | 3.4206 .1 | 4.11676 | 0.00019 | 4.41695 | 0.754435 | 144.267 |
| 20 | 2932-3 | 4.11855 | 5.31786 | 0.00000 | 5.31786 | 0.774475 | 144.280 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.77440 | 144.269 |
| 21 | 2936-7 | 3.959.5 | 5.11284 | 0.00050 | $5.11289$ | 0.774431 | 144.266 |
| 22 | 29:36-7 | 4.64435 | 5.99619 | 0.00041 | $5.99699$ | $0.774447$ | $144.271$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | 0.774439 | 144.269 |
|  | General AverageAverage of all the Rosults in Sories I and II . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  | 0.774437 | 144.268 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144.270 |

RESULTS AVERAGED BY FRACTIONS.

| Fraction. | 1302. | 1614. | 292:3-4. | 2926-7-8. | 2932-3. | 2936-7. | Average. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3}: 3 \mathrm{AgCl}$ | 141.265 | 144.278 | -144.293 | 141.272 | 144.278 | 144.260 | 144.274 |
| $\mathrm{NdCl}_{3}: 3 \mathrm{Ag}$ |  |  | 141.28: | 144.219 | 111.269 | 144.269 | 144.268 |
| Average of all Individual Analyses | 144.265 | 14.278 | 144.288 | 144.264 | 144.278 | 144.264 | 144.278 |
| Corrected for Praseorlymium . | 144.273 | 144.284 | 144.288 | 144.264 | 144.27:3 | 144.268 | 144.275 |

The average ratio of silver to silver chloride is within four thon sandths of a per cent of that obtained by Richards and Wells, ${ }^{1}$ $0.752634: 1.000000)$.
'The results in the preceding tables add further evidence to that already obtained by the spectroscope, that all the samples analyzed were of a high degree of purity. Fraction 2923-4 contained all the rare earth impurities of higher atomic weight than neodymimm which accmmulated in sixty-seven series of crystallizations, and actually was found to contain a trace of samarium, while fraction 2936 ( 7 contained more praseodyminm, probably not more than 0.1 per cent, than any other fraction of the last series which was analyzed. The first impurity raises the atomic weight and the second lowers it ; yet the difference in the average results of these two extreme fractions is only 0.024 . The fractions 1302 and ${ }^{1} 1614$ gave results differing from those of fractions known to be slightly purer by amounts no larger than the experimental error. When corrected for the proportion of the chief impurity, praseodymium, the results agree satisfactorily for all the samples except fraction $2923-4$, which certainly contained an unestimated proportion of samarium.

The results obtained from fractions 1302, 1614, and 2923-4 naturally are less reliable than those obtained from the other three fractions. The corrected average for fractions $2926-7-8,2932-3,2936-7$, is, however, 14.268 , which differs from the corrected average for all six fractions, 144.275 , by less than 0.01 . It is evident that the value 144.27 can safely be taken to represent with accuracy the atomic weight of the purest material which we have succeeded in preparing. This value is essentially identical with the one chosen by the International Committee upon Atomic Weights, 144.3, and lies midway between the results of Auer von Welsbach and Feit and Przibylla on the one hand and that of Holmberg on the other.

We are indebted particularly to the Carnegie Institution of Washington for pecmiary assistance in carrying out this investigation, and also to the Cyrus M. Warren Fund for Research in Harvard University for indispensable platinum vessels, as well as to the Welsbach Light Company for the neodymium material.

## Summary.

1. It is emphasized that crystallization in more than one form is advisable for the preparation in a pure state of salts of rare earths.
2. The preparation of a very pure neodymium salt is described.

[^78]3. The absorption spectrum of neodymium is described.
4. A method of obtaining anhydrous neodymium chloride is given.
5. The specific gravity of anhydrous neodymium chloride is found to be 4.134 at $25^{\circ} / 4^{\circ}$.
6. The most probable value of the atomic weight of neodymium is found to be 144.27 , if silver is taken at 107.88 ; with silver at 107.57 neodymium becomes 144.26 .
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## 1. Introduction.

Since the discovery by Frank and Caro ${ }^{2}$ that pure nitrogen is absorbed by impure calcium carbide at high temperature, according to the reversible reaction :

$$
\mathrm{CaC}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \nrightarrow \mathrm{CaCN}_{2}+\mathrm{C},
$$

calcium carbide has been employed on a commercial scale for the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen. This method yields four times as much nitrogen for a given amount of power as the direct oxidation in an electric arc. Calcium cyanamide can be used directly as a fertilizer, or it may be the starting point for the manufacture of other nitrogen compounds. In consequence of the importance of this reaction, we were led to undertake the determination of the equilibrium pressure of the nitrogen in the above reaction for a number of different temperatures. In this system there are three components, and four phases; consequently, according to the phase rule there will be one degree of freedom ; or for every temperature, a corresponding pressure at which equilibrium will exist.

The method of carrying out this investigation was to heat a graphite crucible containing graphite, calcium carbide, and calcium cyanamide, in an Arsem vacuum furnace ${ }^{3}$ in an atmosphere of nitrogen. The

[^79]heating current wás taken from a transformer in steps of 12 volts, and fine adjustment of the current was obtained by a carbon plate rheostat. The pressure was indicated by a mercury manometer, one arm of which was counected with the furnace ; the other was evacuated and sealed off. The arrangement of the apparatus is shown in Figure 1. It was intended to measure the temperature of the crucible by means of a


Figure 1.
thermo-electric junction entering the furnace throngh the tower projecting above the water in which the furnace was immersed, and two or three such runs were made. The wires of the couple were insulated from each other by different kinds of tubes. One wire was covered with a tube one millimeter in diameter, and this tube and the other wire were placed in a larger tube sealed at the end covering the junction. These tubes were long enough to reach up into the tower when their lower end was resting in the crucible. The hot end of the tube surrounding the wires of the thermo-electric couple was covered with a short graphite tube to protect it from the material in the crucible. No tubes that were available, however, would stand a temperature above $120)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Even Berlin porcelain was spoilt after a few hours' heating. Carbon seemed to have penetrated the whole mass of the porcelain where it was surrounded by the graphite spiral heater, and as much of
the couple as was heated was also spoilt. It was therefore necessary to give up the direct measurement by the thermo-couple and use a Wanner optical pyrometer. The instrument used in this investigation had just been calibrated by the Bureau of Standards, with results that were not materially differeut from the calibration furnished by the makers. The amyl acetate lamp was not sufficiently constant, however, and


Figure 2.
therefore adjustment of the current in the small electric lamp of the Wanner pyrometer was made as follows: A furnace, shown in Figure 2 , was made by wrapping platinum foil one fourth inch wide and 0.001 inch thick about a Royal Meissen porcelain tube of 2.54 centimeters inside diameter. A cylindrical piece of carbon was placed in the furnace, and a small porcelain tube was passed through the larger one and through a hole in the carbon. The smaller tube was to hold a thermo-electric couple, the junction of which was in the same plane as one of the faces of the carbon. The temperature of this face of the carbon was therefore given directly by the thermo-electric couple. In order to adjust the current in the Wanner pyrometer, the reading of the Wanner was set to agree with that of the couple, a piece of glass cut from the same plate as that closing the end of the tower of the vacuum furnace was placed in the groove in the pyrometer provided for holding the smoked
glass sometimes used for increasing the range of the instrument, and the pyrometer was sighted on the carbon. The current was then adjusted till the two fields in the pyrometer had the same appearance. The small furnace was kept heated during each run, and before measuring the temperature of the vacuum furnace a comparison was always made with the thermo-electric couple. It was found by this means that the current in the lamp as adjusted from day to day was much more constant than when the amyl acetate lamp was used as a standard, but it will be seen from the tables below that the current was not absolutely constant. This must have been due to a variation in the electric lamp itself. The following table shows the effect of a change of the current in the lamp on the apparent temperature as measured by the pyrometer. 'I'he temperature of the body measured was held constant in the vacuum furnace. The current is given in arbitrary units equal approximately to tenths of an ampere.

## TABLE I.

| Current in <br> Wanner Pyrometer. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.0 | 1304 |
| 6.1 | 1295 |
| 6.2 | 1282 |
| 6.3 | 1263 |
| 6.4 | 1263 |
| 6.5 | 1241 |
| 6.6 | 1226 |

The current could not be set better than to 0.1 of a unit, corresponding on the average to 10 degrees.

In order to see how the temperature varied in the auxiliary furnace, the temperature was taken with the junction by placing it at different distances from the carbon block, which was placed near the center of the tube. The results are given in Figure 3, which shows that no appreciable error would be introduced if the junction had not been exactly ia the same plane as the surface of the carbon plate.

The calcium carbide used in the following experiments was all made at one time from Merck's lime and turnings from Acheson graphite electrodes. It was analyzed by the loss in weight method and found is ${ }^{1}$ per cent pure. It was ground up and passed through a sieve with $: 36$ meshes to the linear inch before the analyses were made.

The methorl of earrying out the experiments was as follows: the crucible was filled nearly to the top with the carbide, was covered with
a graphite lid with a hole in it, and then placed in the furnace. The furnace was pumped out and the charge heated to a temperature at which the experiment was to be made (or higher) until all gases were driven off. Nitrogen, made by the Linde process and 90 per cent pure, was let into the furnace after passing it over hot copper, soda, lime, and phosphorous pentoxide. After allowing the nitrogen to enter the furnace until the pressure was 2 or 3 centimeters, it was pumped


Figure 3. Temperature in Heraeus furnace at different distances from the surface of carbon block used for calibrating the Wanner pyrometer. The surface faced the pyrometer towards the left, in the figure.
out to about 1 or 2 millimeters. This was repeated once or twice to remove all other gases. The furnace was then filled with nitrogen to a pressure that was certainly greater than the equilibrium pressure. The furnace was usually hot when filled. The furnace was frequently filled in the afternoon and the run started the following morning. On heating with an excess of nitrogen some of it would be absorbed, producing calcium cyanamide and carbon from the carbide, so that all three solid phases were then present. After equilibrium had been reached from this side, nitrogen was pumped out, and the equilibrium was approached from the other side. The mean of the two results was taken as the best value of the equilibrium obtainable.

## 2. Experimental Data.

A Siemens and Halske millivoltmeter of 471 ohms resistance was used for measuring the temperature with a platinum platinum-rhodium junction. The millivoltmeter was calibrated and the following table contains the corrected value of the scale reading with the corresponding temperature.

## TABLE II.

Calibration of Thermo-electric Junction.

|  | Temperature Centi- <br> grade of <br> Meltang Point. | Millivolts. | Log. of <br> Temperature. | Log. of <br> Millivolts. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sulphur | 447.7 | 3.56 | 2.6481 | 0.5515 |
| Antimony | 630.7 | 5.38 | 2.7998 | 0.7307 |
| Aluminum | 656.0 | 5.59 | 2.8169 | 0.7474 |
| Copper | 1083.0 | 10.19 | 3.0346 | 1.0081 |



Figure 4. Calibration of thermo-electric couple.
The logarithms of the temperatures and pressures are seen from Figure 4 to lie on a straight line.

The pressures given in the following tables are in millimeters of mercury, and the temperatures in centigrade degrees. 'i'he numbers of the experiments are those recorded in the laboratory note-book. These tables contain only an abbreviated record of the experiments. Under the heading "Kilowatts" is given the product of current and amperes divided by 1000 , not taking into account the power factor of the furnace.

Experiment 4.
The following experinent was carried out before the method of standardizing the Wanner pyrometer described above had been adopted.

Temperature measurements of the charge were therefore made with different values of the current in the lamp of the Wamer pyrometer, and the correct value, with its corresponding temperature, was determined subsequently from 'Table I.

|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Apr. 28. | 1.23 р. м. | 8.36 | 317.8 |
|  | 1.50 " | 8.26 | 276.3 |

Some nitrogen was pumped out, in order not to use up all the carbide.

|  | 1.52 | Р. м. | 8.52 | 264.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 4.45 | " | 8.52 | 267.4 |
| Apr. 29. | 12.35 | " | 8.52 | 267.8 |
|  | 2.22 | " | 8.53 | 270.0 |

Here the pressure had become nearly constant, so nitrogen was admitted.

| 2.25 Р. M. | 8.48 | 277.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.45 " | 8.52 | 273.3 |

The equilibrium pressure is therefore between 270 and 273 , or 271.5 millimeters of mercury. The temperature, determined as explained above, was $1263^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Experiment 6.
A fresh charge of 33.7 grams of carbide was used in the following experiment.

|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| May 11. | 2.55 Р. м. | 7.65 | 281.7 |
|  | 4.05 " | 7.66 | 224.0 |
|  | 4.37 " | 7.66 | 223.6 |

The pressure had become nearly constant, so nitrogen was admitted.

|  | 4.45 | P. м. | 7.66 | 226.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 5.10 | $"$ | 7.66 | 225.1 |
| May 12. | 12.40 | $"$ | 7.70 | 218.5 |
|  | 1.00 | " | 7.00 | 222.7 |
|  | 2.22 | $"$ | 7.58 | 223.0 |

Nitrogen was again pumped out.

|  | 2.23 р. м. | 7.58 | 214.1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4.30 | $"$ | 7.52 | 224.7 |
| May 13. | 1.45 | $"$ | 7.56 | 294.6 |
|  | 2.45 | $"$ | 7.61 | 223.5 |

The pressure had become nearly constant at 2.4 millimeters, so nitrogen was admitted.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2.55 Р. м. | 7.61 | 236.0 |
| 5.05 " | 7.40 | 224.7 |

The mean temperature was $1223^{\circ}$, with the current in the lamp of the Wanuer pyrometer 6.4 units. The equilibrium pressure was determined twice from each direction, and was found to be 224 millimeters.

## Experiment 7.

The following experiment was made with the same charge as in 6 .

|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 17. | 2.35 р. м. | 8.98 | 275.3 |
|  | 3.45 " | 8.98 | 282.9 |

The pressure was increasing very slowly, possibly all calcium cyanamide having been decomposed. Nitrogen was admitted.

|  | 3.50 Р. м. | 8.98 | 316.5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4.55 | $"$ | 8.98 | 304.2 |
| May 18. | 1.30 | $"$ | 8.92 | 30.5 |
|  | 3.00 | $"$ | 8.78 | 305.1 |

The pressure had become nearly constant. Nitrogen was therefore admitted.

|  | 3.02 |  | P. M. | 8.78 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.45 | $"$ | 829.2 |  |
|  | $5 .(4)$ | $"$ | 8.71 | 311.8 |
| May 19. | 12.30 | $"$ | 8.71 | 313.0 |
|  | 1.13 | $"$ | 8.78 | 312.5 |
|  |  | 8.71 | 312.9 |  |

The pressure was nearly constant. Some nitrogen was then pumped ont.

| 1.18 Р. м. | 8.71 | 293.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.3 .5 | 8.71 | 307.0 |

The pressure had become nearly constant. Some nitrogen was therefore almittel.

| 3.35 P. м. | 8.71 | 317.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4.200^{6}$ | 8.71 | 313.0 |

Absorption was taking place very slowly. In order to see whether :313 or 305 was nearer the true value, nitrogen was pumped out.

| 4.34 P. N. | 8.71 | 309.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4.35 " 3$ | 8.71 | 310.3 |

The results of this experiment are somewhat inconsistent, as the pressure was decreasing at 305 and increasing at 310 millimeters. The mean of these is 308 millimeters, and the mean temperature was $1297^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The current in the lamp of the Wamer pyrometer was from 6.3 to 6.5 units.

Experiment s.

| June 14. | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in Wanner Pyrometer. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.55 P . M. | 9.86 | 444.0 | 5.80 | $1371^{\circ}$ |
|  | 2.15 " | 9.86 | 384.8 | . . | . . |
|  | 2.4 .5 " | 9.69 | 373.8 | 5.83 | 1379 |
|  | 3.15 " | 9.73 | 375.3 | 5.88 | 1373 |
|  | 3.45 " | 9.73 | 375.4 | 5.82 | 1371 |
| June 15. | 4.45 " | 9.65 | 376.1 | 5.80 | 1377 |
|  | $8.45 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{m}$. | 9.67 | . . | . . |  |
|  | 9.15 ، | 9.71 | 374.2 | - |  |
|  | 10.30 " | 9.68 | 376.5 | 5.80 | 1380 |

The pressure had become constant. Nitrogen was therefore pumped out.

| 11.13 | A. м. | 9.64 | 366.6 | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.30 | " | 9.65 | 370.2 | 5.88 |
| 12.30 | P. м. | 9.62 | 373.4 | . |
| 1.30 | " | 9.65 | 377.3 | $5.8 t$ |
| 4.30 | ". | 9.55 | 379.3 | .. |

It is seen that the pressure decreased until it became constant at about 376 millimeters, and after pumping out to 367 it increased to 379. The average mean is 378 millimeters, and the average temperature $1378^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The interior of the furnace after these runs was found covered with a thick white deposit.

The charge in the crucible gave tests in each case for carbide and calcium cyanamide. The latter was tested for by adding ammonia and silver nitrate, which, with cyanamide, give a yellow precipitate of silver cyanamide. The white powder taken from the walls of the furnace also gave the yellow precipitate on adding ammonia and silver nitrate to a solution of this substance.

## Experiment 9.

In this run an attempt was made to measure an equilibrium at about $1440^{\circ}$. It was not successful, however, as will be seen from the following results.

Time. Kilowatts. Pressure. | Current in |
| :---: |
| Wanner |
| Pyrometer. | Temperature.

| 10.15 | А. м. | 10.60 | . | . | .. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10.20 | " | 10.88 | 650 | 5.75 | 1433 |
| 10.30 | " | 10.94 | 587 | . | . |
| 11.30 | " | 10.94 | 513 | . | . |
| 12.55 Р. М. | 10.94 | 514 | 5.80 | 1420 |  |

Some nitrogen pumped out.

| 1.00 | P. M. | 10.94 | 458 | . . | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.15 | " | 10.94 | 468 | . | .. |
| 2.00 | " | 10.94 | 469 | . . | . . |

Some nitrogen pumped out.

| 2.05 | P. м. | 10.94 | 460 | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.45 | " | 10.94 | 461 | . . |
|  |  | . |  |  |

More nitrogen pumped out.

| 2.50 | р. м. | 10.94 | 427 | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.45 | " | 10.94 | 432.7 | 5.92 |

It is evident that, though the reaction goes in both directions at this temperature, it is so slow that it is difficult to tell whether an equilibrium has been reached or not. The reason for this may have been that the charge had become very hard and could not be dug out of the crucible, and appeared as though it had been somewhat fused together. This would make it difficult for the nitrogen to penetrate its entire mass. The average temperature of this run was $1437^{\circ}$. A later attempt at $1448^{\circ}$ was more successful, and is given in Experiment 15.

Experiment 10.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in Wanner Pyrometer. | Temperature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 21. 3.10 A. м. | 5.58 | 22.29 | Pyortor | - . |
| 3.40 " | - | 174.8 | 5.90 | 1171 |
| 4.30 " | 5.58 | 157.0 | 5.90 | 116.5 |
| 4.i:3 " | 5.58 | 150.5 | . . |  |
| Jume 22. 8.30 " | 5.62 | 149.2 | 5.80 | 1164 |
| 10.30 " | 5.72 | 144.0 | . | . |
| 11.30 " | 5.69 | 141.0 | 5.80 | 1172 |
| $1.45 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{M}$. | 5.69 | 133.8 | 5.80 | 1162 |
| 4.00 " | 5.56 | 127.6 | 5.80 | 11.99 |
| 4.45 " | 5.64 | 125.8 | 5.82 | - |
| Jume 23. 8.30 " | 5.72 | 125.5 | 5.88 | 11.59 |
| 10.00 " | 5.70 | 124.5 | 7.85 | 115 |

The pressure had become constant. Some nitrogen was therefore pumped out to get the equilibrium from the other side.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in <br> Wanner <br> Pyrometer. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10.0 \%$ P. M. | 5.69 | 102.2 | . | . |
| 12.30 | 6 | 5.71 | 104.2 | . . |

The reaction went so slowly that it did not seem possible to get an equilibrium from this side at this temperature. The temperature was therefore raised.

| 1.00 | р. м. | 6.32 | 116.9 | 5.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.00 | " | 6.31 | 142.0 | . |

The pressure was increasing rapidly, and in order not to use up all the calcium cyanamide, some nitrogen was admitted.

| 2.05 | Р. м. | 6.27 | 160.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.30 | " | 6.30 | 160.4 |

Since the pressure remained constant, more nitrogen was admitted.

|  | 2.45 | P. M. | 6.30 | 189.7 | . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.00 | " | 6.31 | 181.0 | 5.87 | 1194 |
|  | 4.00 | " | 6.27 | 171.1 | 5.86 | 1193 |
|  | 4.45 | " |  | 168.1 | 5.90 | 1193 |
| June 21 | 8.30 | A. M. | 6.33 | 166.5 | - . |  |
|  | 10.00 | " | 6.34 | 166.5 |  |  |

This value, 166.5 millimeters, seems to be the equilibrium. In order to get it from the other side some nitrogen was pumped out.

| 10.05 А. м. | 6.29 | 152.6 | . | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.30 " | 6.30 | 155.0 | 5.87 | 1197 |
| 11.30 " | 6.27 | 159.0 | 5.80 | 1198 |
| 1.00 Р. м. | 6.29 | 160.0 | 5.88 | 1193 |
| 3.00 " | 6.32 | 159.6 | .. | . . |

The pressure increased to only 160 millimeters in place of 166 . In order to see which was nearer the correct value, nitrogen was admitted.

|  | 3.03 | P. M. | 6.32 | 166.3 | . . | . . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.45 | " | 6.31 | 164.0 | 5.88 | 1199 |
|  | 4.55 | " | 6.28 | 162.4 | . |  |
| June 25. | 8.30 | A. M. | 0.00 | 123.0 | - . |  |
|  | 9.00 | " | 6.34 | 162.8 | -. | - |
|  | 10.30 | " | 6.33 | 162.9 | . | . |

vol. xlvi. -17

In these last data the absorption took place to 162 millimeters. Combining this with the expansion to 160 , the mean is 161 millimeters.

With the same charge the following data were then taken at a higher temperature, after admitting some nitrogen.

|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in Wanner Pyrometer. | Temperatur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 27. | $9.00 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{m}$. | 8.77 | 298.0 | . . |  |
|  | 9.45 " | 7.50 | 280.9 | 5.90 | 1271 |
|  | 11.50 " | 7.53 | 274.6 | 5.80 | 1290 |
|  | 12.45 P. M. | 7.51 | 274.3 |  |  |

Here the pressure had nearly reached the equilibrium value, as it was decreasing very slowly. In order to get equilibrium from the other side, some nitrogen was pumped out.

| 12.40 | P. М. | 7.51 | 257.6 | . | . |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.30 | " | 7.53 | 263.5 | 5.92 | 1280 |
| 3.15 | " | 7.53 | 269.1 | 5.90 | 1280 |
| 4.10 | " | 7.54 | 270.8 | 5.90 | 1280 |

The equilibrium therefore lies between 274 and 271 , the mean of which is 273 millimeters. The mean temperature was $1275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

There was a great deal of white powder on the walls of the furnace after this long heating.

The following run was made using a heating spiral of twice the cross-section and half the length, heated by a direct current.

Experiment 11.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in <br> Wanier <br> Pצroneter. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 А. м. | 7.86 | 330.1 | 5.80 | 1360 |
| 11.00 " | 7.80 | 279.5 | 6.08 | 1310 |
| 12.55 P. M. | 7.77 | 282.4 | 6.01 | 1320 |
| 2.00 " | 7.71 | 282.8 |  |  |

The equilibrium was approached only from one side, when the experiment was stopped on account of poor regulation of the temperature by this arrangement. The equilibrium found was 253 millimeters at a mean temperature of $1305^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Experiment 12.

The object of the following experiment was to measure an equilibrium between $1000^{\circ}$ and $1100^{\circ}$. Since equilibrium could not be ob-
tained in both directions at 1160 without a catalyzer, calcium chloride was added to the charge for this experiment, to act as a catalyzer. ${ }^{4}$ The charge consisted of 22.5 grams of calcium carbide and 2.5 grams of dry calcium chloride, well mixed together.

|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in <br> Wanner <br> Pyrometer. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuly |  |  |  |  | Temperature.

Since the pressure had become fairly constant, some nitrogen was pumped out.

| 2.35 | P. M. | 4.10 | 19.6 | . |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.45 | " | 4.07 | 21.8 | 6.10 | 1050 |

The constant pressure from the other direction is 22 millimeters.
The mean value at $1053^{\circ}$ is 22.8 millimeters. With the same charge the following experiment was made at a higher temperature.

| July 5. 11.30 А. м. | 4.97 | 29.7 | . | . |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.15 | "" | 4.99 | 50.8 | 6.09 | 1105 |
| 1.45 | $"$ | 4.99 | 56.3 | 5.98 | 1117 |
| 3.00 | " | 4.99 | 57.7 | 6.10 | 1115 |

Here the pressure had become nearly constant, so nitrogen was admitted.

| July 6. | 3.15 P. M. | 4.99 | 108.7 | . . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.50 " | 4.98 | 78.5 | . . |  |
|  | 8.30 A. M. | 4.97 | 74.4 |  |  |
|  | 2.00 " | 5.01 | 67.2 | 5.90 | 1115 |

Absorption was still taking place, but so slowly that the experiment was stopped. The mean of 67.2 and 57.7 is 62.5 millimeters at $1117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Experiment 13.
The charge for this experiment was 22.5 grams of calcium carbide and 2.5 grams of calcium chloride.

[^80]|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in <br> Waner <br> Pyrometer. | Temperature. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 8. | 8.05 A. M. | 0. | 167.5 | . . | 25 |  |
|  | 8.10 | " | 4.96 | 199.7 | . . | . |
|  | 10.00 | " | 4.98 | 120.7 | . . | . . |

Some nitrogen pumped out, so as to reach equilibrium sooner.

| 10.03 А. м. | 5.02 | 108.0 | . . | . . |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $11.00{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 4.98 | 98.5 | . . | . . |

More nitrogen pumped out.

| 11.05 А. м. | 5.01 | 93.8 | . |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.00 Р. м. | 5.00 | 86.3 | 6.02 | 1110 |

More nitrogen pumped out.

| 2.05 | Р. м. | 5.01 | 83.2 | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$.

The pressure remained constant, and was then reduced to 73.4 and then to 70 and remained constant at both values. It was then reduced still more.

| July 9. | 4.02 р. м. | 4.98 | 45.2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.50 " | 4.98 | 48.0 |  |  |
|  | $8.10 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{m}$. | 5.00 | 35.3 | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | 8.30 " | 4.99 | 47.8 | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | 9.45 " | 5.00 | 50.9 | 6.05 | 1107 |
|  | 10.00 " | . . | 51.1 | . . | . . |
|  | 10.45 " |  | 51.3 |  |  |

At a pressure of 86.3 millimeters, nitrogen was being slowly absorbed, and at 51.3 the pressure had become constant starting with a lower value. The mean of these is 68.3 millimeters, and the mean temperature was $1114^{\circ}$. No good reason why the velocity of the reaction was so slow in this case can be given.

| Experiment 15. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time. | Kilowatts. | 1'ressure. | Current in Wanner Pyroneter. | Temperature. |
| July 13. | $8.45 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. | 0. | 318.6 | . . | . . |
|  | 8.50 " | 10.9 | 415. | - . |  |
|  | 9.30 " | 10.9 | 428.5 | 6.1 | 1441 |
|  | 10.00 " | 10.9 | 429.5 | - . | - • |

It seemed that not enough nitrogen had been let into the furnace to start with, so more was admitted.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in <br> Wanner <br> Pyronter. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 .3 A. M. | 10.9 | 46.5. | . | . |
| 11.00 | 6 | 10.9 | 49.0 | . |

Absorption had taken place and the pressure had become constant.
Nitrogen was then pumped out.

| 12.10 | р. м. | 10.9 | 430.5 | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.30 | " | 10.9 | 433.5 | 6.1 |
| 2.40 | " | 10.9 | 438.0 | .. |

The pressure had become constant. Nitrogen was again admitted to see if the pressure would again diminish to 449 .

| 2.43 Р. м. | 10.9 | 476.0 | . | . . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.00 " | 10.9 | 469.8 | . | . |
| 3.15 | " | 10.9 | 471.2 | 6.1 |

It did not reach 449 but stopped at 470 . On admitting more nitrogen, very little absorption took place, as seen below.

| 3.50 | р. м. | 10.9 | 496.3 | . . | .. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.15 | $" ،$ | 10.9 | 495.7 | . . | . |
| 4.30 | " | 10.9 | 494.5 | . . | . . |

This run may be summed up as follows : absorption took place from 465 to 449 millimeters, but when pumped out to 430.5 millimeters, the pressure increased to only 438 millimeters. After this the pressure remained constant at nearly any value. This may have been because the charge was changed by heating into a hard, solid mass, thus reducing the surface in contact with the nitrogen. This would explain why the reaction took place with a noticeable velocity only during the first part of the experiment.

The average of 449 and 438 is 444 millimeters, and the average temperature is $1448^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Erperiment 16.
The charge in this experiment consisted of 21.5 grams of calcium carbide.

$$
\text { Time. Kilowatts. Pressure. } \begin{gathered}
\text { Current in } \\
\text { Wyromeerer. } \\
\text { Pyrometer }
\end{gathered} \text { Temperature. }
$$

| Aug. 3. 11.31 А. м. | 8.83 | 434 | . | .. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11.45{ }^{\text {"، }}$ | 8.74 | 408 | 6.10 | 1353 |
| 12.30 Р. м. | 8.83 | 389 | .. | .. |
| $2.00{ }^{\text {" }}$ | 8.83 | 389 | $5.9-6.0$ | 1379 |

'The pressure had become constant, so nitrogen was pumped out.

| Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure. | Current in Wanner Pyrometer. | Temperature. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.01 Р. M. | 8.74 | 373.3 | . . |  |
| 2.20 " | 8.70 | 379.9 | 6.00 | 1352 |
| 2.45 " | 8.83 | 382.8 | 5.98 | 1368 |
| 3.30 " | 8.85 | 384.2 | 5.9-6.0 | 1380 |
| $4.00{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 8.74 | 386.1 | 6.00 | 1361 |
| 4.30 | 8.70 | 386.0 | . . | - . |

The average temperature was $1372^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pressures obtained from opposite directions were 386 and 389 , the mean of which is 387.5 millimeters.

## Experiment 17.

The charge consisted of 23.7 grams of carbide.

Time. Kilowatts. Pressure. | Current in |
| :---: |
| Wanner |
| Pyrometer. | Temperature.

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Aug. 5. } & 8.35 \text { А. M. } & 00.00 & 328.1 & . \\ 25\end{array}$
9.00 " 10.30 . . . .
$9.05 \quad 4 \quad 10.30 \quad 423.0$. . .
9.15 ، $09.95 \quad 415.0 \quad$. .

| 11.05 | ، | 09.95 | 381.0 | . | . . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 12.00 | " | 10.00 | 378.1 | 5.9 | 1396 |


| 1.00 Р. M. | 10.20 | 379.0 | 5.9 | 1382 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1.45 | " | 10.20 | 379.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The pressure had become constant, so nitrogen was pumped ont.

| 1.50 | P. м. | 10.2 | 354.9 | . | . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.45 | $"$ | 10.2 | 362.1 | 5.9 | 1357 |
| 4.05 | $"$ | 10.2 | 366.1 | 6.0 | 1385 |
| 4.45 | $"$ | 10.2 | 369.9 | 6.0 | $13!0$ |

The pressure was rising slowly when the experiment was stopped. The equilibrium is therefore nearer 380 than 370 . However, the mean of these two, 375, is taken as the result of this rum. The average temperature was $1385^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The charge had become hard on the top but less so below the surface.

## Experiment 18.

The disadvantage of the Arsem vacumm furnace for experiments of this kind is that the volume is so great that it takes quite a long time for the solid substance to absorb or evolve enough gas to reach equi-
librium pressure. It was therefore decided to try an experiment in the Heraeus furnace that had been used as an auxiliary furnace for calibrating the Wamner pyrometer. (Of course this could be used only at comparatively low temperature. It was glazed only on the inside, and it was anticipated that it would leak.

The charge was contained in a graphite boat 1.5 inches long. It consisted of 6.14 grams of a mixture of calcium carbide and calcium chloride containing 10 per cent of the latter. The ends of the porcelain tube of the furnace were closed with rubber stoppers; the stoppers and ends of the tube were shellacked. A small glazed porcelain tube for holding the thermo-electric couple extended through the larger tube and the two stoppers. The junction was pushed in until it was opposite the graphite boat, in the middle of the furnace.

August 10th, at 5 P. n., the furnace was pumped out to a pressure of 1 millimeter and left till Angust 11th, 16 hours later, when it had leaked to 13.1 millimeters. The furnace was then heated to about $1070^{\circ}$ and pumped out a number of times, after which the gases remaining were swept out with nitrogen. While still hot, nitrogen was admitted to 110 millimeters. Absorption was taking place rapidly, and the following observations were taken.

| Time. |  | Pressure. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |$c$ Temperature.

It seems that here the absorption of nitrogen at first overbalanced the leakage. The following day, minima of $26.8,30$, and 31.5 millimeters were obtained, starting with pressures of 145,165 , and between 100 and 200 millimeters respectively; and the best value of course would be obtained by starting very little above the equilibrium pressure, so that there would not be so much time for leakage to take place.

Although these determinations were somewhat in error from the leakage, this error was evidently not great, as the pressures agreed well with those obtained in the vacuum furnace. The temperature measurements were more reliable than the others, as they were made directly with the thermo-electric junction. The lowest pressure, 21.5
millimeters, is presumably the most reliable. The result of this run is therefore 21.5 millimeters at $1074^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The results of the above experiments are collected in the table below, and are plotted in Figure 5. The ordinates are pressures in centimeters of mercury and the abscissae are the temperatures in centigrade degrees. The pressures are not reduced to zero degrees as this correction is much less than the experimental error.

| Number of <br> Experiment. | Pressure in Centi- <br> meters of Hg. | Temperature <br> Centigrade. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 27.0 | 1263 |
| 6 | 22.4 | 1223 |
| 7 | 30.8 | 1297 |
| 8 | 37.8 | 1378 |
| 10 | 12.5 | 1160 |
| 10 | 16.1 | 1196 |
| 10 | 27.3 | 1278 |
| 11 | 28.3 | 1308 |
| 12 | 2.3 | 1053 |
| 12 | 6.3 | 1117 |
| 13 | 6.8 | 1114 |
| 15 | 44.4 | 1448 |
| 16 | 38.8 | 1372 |
| 17 | 37.5 | 1385 |
| 18 | 2.2 | 1074 |

It is remarkable that the relation between the temperature and pressure is linear through such a great range in temperature. It would seem that at lower temperatures the line representing this relation must become concave upward, and it is hoped that in the near future it will be possible to carry out some experiments on this equilibrium below 1000 degrees, to test this point.

In order to compute the heat Q, evolved by the reaction $\mathrm{CaC}_{2}+$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{CaCN}_{2}+\mathrm{C}$, taken from left to right, it is not allowable to use the integrated van't Hoff equation

$$
4.57 \log \frac{r_{2}}{l_{1}^{\prime \prime}}=?\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}}-\frac{1}{T_{2}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

hecanse this equation is derived on the assumption that $Q$ is constant, which is not the case in this reaction. If () is computed from the differential equation

$$
\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{(Q}{T\left(1-I_{1}\right)},
$$

in which $T$ is the absolute temperature, $J^{r}$ is the volume of the carbide and nitrogen and $V_{1}$ is that of calcimm cyanamide and carbon on the other side of the equation, and $\frac{d p}{d t}$ is the pressure gradient at the tem-


Figere 5. Plot showing pressures and temperatures at which equilibrium exists. Pressures are in centimeters of mercury; temperatures in centigrade degrees.
perature $T, Q$ is found to have the values given in Table IV. The volume $V_{1}$ is negligible in comparison with $V$. Omitting $V_{1}$ the equation may be put in the following form :

$$
Q=\frac{R T^{2}}{p^{\prime}} \frac{d p}{d t}
$$

The value of $R$ is 1.99 calories, and the unit in which $p$ is expressed cancels out.

TABLE IV.
Showing the Change in the Heat of the Reaction with the Temperature.

| Temperature | $Q$ in Gram Calories. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Centigrade. | 63,900 |
| 1100 | 27,000 |
| 1200 | 18,800 |
| 1300 | 15,200 |

The value of $\frac{d p}{d t}$ is of course constant for every temperature.
The line as drawn in Figure 5 scems to represent the observations better than any other. The maximum variation in the slope would be represented by the lines $A B$ and $C D$. From the value of $\frac{d p}{d t}$ obtained from these lines, the heat was calculated for 1200 degrees and found to be 29,700 and 25,800 calories respectively. This shows that the value calculated from the best representative line, 27,500 , cannot be in error by more than 2900 calories, or 7 per cent.

It seems evident from the fact that the heat effect is greater the lower the temperature, that it would be advisable to work at as low a temperature as possible in the fixation of nitrogen by this method, because less heat would be required from external sourees. This would be true if the velocity of the reaction did not decrease with decreasing temperature, for this might more than balance the benefit due to the increase in the heat of the reaction.

In view of the enormous increase in the heat of the reaction with decreasing temperature, as computed from these measurements, it is desirable that the heat should be measured in some other way. This might be done, as suggested by Mr. S. Peacock, by combustion experiments in a lomb. This would give indirectly the heat of the reaction at room temperature, which would be expected to be much larger than the values calculated above.

The free energy increase of the reaction

$$
\mathrm{CaC}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{CaCN}_{2}+\mathrm{C}
$$

at $1450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., taken from left to right is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta I F & =-R T \log 76 \\
& =-4.57 \times 1720 \times 0.218 \\
& =-1714 \text { gram calories }
\end{aligned}
$$

At $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., \Delta F^{\prime}=-6700$ calories.
'I'he errors in the temperature measurements are probably the main cause of the deviations of the single determinations from the best representative line. If all the error is assumed due to the temperature measurements, the average deviation of a single point from the line is only 12 degrees, and the largest deviation is 19 degrees. These are not greater than the experimental errors in the temperature measurements.

The thermo-electric couple had been cut off several times since the original calibration. It was subsequently calibrated as follows:

## Table V.

| Date. | Temperature. | Millivolts <br> at <br> Date given. | Millivolts at <br> Original Calibration. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| July 2 | Sulphur point | 3.60 | 3.60 |
| August 13 | Copper point | 10.08 | 10.19 |

The original calibration had therefore remained practically constant throughout the experiments.

After each experiment for determining the equilibrium pressure, the furnace walls were covered inside with a white powder. A similar result was obtained in determining the equilibrium of the reaction $\mathrm{CaO}+3 \mathrm{C} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{CaC}_{2}+\mathrm{CO} .{ }^{5}$ It was noticeable, however, that there was more powder formed with calcium cyanamide for a given temperature and given duration of heating than with calcium carbide. It seemed probable that calcium cyanamide is volatile, especially after it was found that the white powder gave a yellow precipitate of silver cyanamide on adding silver nitrate to an ammoniacal solution of the powder. It was therefore thought desirable to make an analysis of this material.

Some of the pure white powder was analyzed for nitrogen by the Kjeldahl method, taking about one gram for analysis. The digestion with chemically pure sulphuric acid was continued for five hours near the boiling point; immediately after, potassium permanganate was added. The two determinations gave 12.38 and 11.67 per cent nitrogen, corresponding to 34.3 per cent calcium cyanamide.

To get a check on this value, the calcium cyanamide was determined by the method of Perotti. ${ }^{6}$ This method consists in allowing the substance to stand over night in water, filtering and washing, adding ammonia, and then an excess of silver nitrate. A yellow precipitate of silver cyanamide is produced which is filtered off, and the

[^81]excess of silver.nitrate is titrated with ammonium sulphocyanate. The sample taken was not perfectly white, but from its gray color evidently contained some carbon which had also distilled to the wall of the furnace. The residue obtained on treating the white powder with water was of a dark grey color. It was evidently carbon and calcium oxide, for (1) it dissolved slightly in a fresh portion of water and gave a distinct precipitate when ammonia and ammonuim oxalate were added ; (2) on treating some that had been exposed to the air with hydrochloric acid it dissolved partly with effervescence, leaving a black residue behind; and (3) when the lydrochloric acid solution was neutralized with ammonia and ammonium oxalate was added, a large quantity of precipitate was formed. 'The analysis of the water solution for calcium cyanamide gave $38.59,39.52$, and 38.84 per cent corresponding to $13.51,13.84$, and 13.60 per cent nitrogen. An analysis of a sample of the same material used in the Kjeldahl determinations gave only 25.96 per cent calcium cyanamide, corresponding to 9.09 per cent nitrogen. Since this did not agree with the determinations by the Kjeldahl method or with the values obtained by the same method for other samples, analyses were made by the Perotti method of two more samples. The perfectly white material used in the Kjeldahl method could not be checked again as it had all been used up. The results of the analyses of the powder obtained in Experiment 16, were 15.96 and 15.35 per cent calcium cyanamide, corresponding to 5.59 and 5.38 per cent nitrogen. Analyses of the material obtained in Experiment 17 gave 29.10 and 28.95 per cent calcium cyanamide, corresponding to 10.19 and 10.13 per cent nitrogen. Experiments 16 and 17 were made at about the same temperature and still the amount of calcium cyanamide in the powder distilled to the cold part of the furnace was quite different.

Two determinations of calcium in the white powder used in the Kjeldahl determinations were made by first destroying the organic matter with fuming nitric acid and then decomposing the calcium nitrate by ignition in a platinum crucible and weighing as the oxide. 'i'he fuming uitric acid had to be added very slowly as it attacked the white powder with great violence, sometimes causing it to flame. The results were 39.93 and 39.27 per cent calcium, the average of which is 39.60 per cent. From this and the fact that the content of nitrogen was 12.1 per cent, the amounts of calcium oxide and of calcium cyanamide in the first sample of white powder analyzed were as follows:

| Calcium cyanamide | 34.5 per cent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Calcium oxide | $30.5 "$ |
| Unaccounted for | $35.0 "$ |

'I'me did not permit of making a combustion analysis to see how the carbon checked up. 'This sample apparently contained very little free carbon, for it was of a cream white color.

Since calcium cyanamide was present in the powder distilled to the walls of the furnace, when heated with carbon it shonld produce calcinm carbide with the evolution of nitrogen. In order to see whether this actually takes place, 0.4 gram of the powder was mixed with 0.9 gram Acheson graphite and heated in the vacuum furnace. 'The results are the following :

Experiment 14.

| July 11. | Time. | Kilowatts. | Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11.00 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{m}$. | 0. | 2.9 |
|  | 11.12 " | 0.33 | 10. |
|  | 11.20 " | 0.78 | . . |
|  | 11.35 " | . . | 19.0 |
|  | 11.35 " | 1.27 | . . |
|  | 11.45 " | . . | 23.8 |
|  | 11.45 " | 1.8 | . . |
|  | 12.00 " | . . | 28.8 |
|  | 12.00 " | 2.7 | . . |
|  | 12.20 P. M. | . . | 67. |
|  | 12.20 " | 5.3 |  |
|  | 12.35 | 8.0 |  |
|  | 12.45 " | . . | 183. |
|  | 2.15 " |  | 195. |

The temperature measured at 12.35 р.м., with the current in the Wanner 6.1 units, was $1287^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

On opening the furnace after this run no white deposit was found on the walls, and the material in the crucible seemed to be hardly changed in appearance. It gave no test for carbide with an ammoniacal cuprous chloride solution, nor for cyanamide with silver nitrate. It is to be noticed, however, that a great deal more gas was evolved from this mixture on heating than on heating a sample of carbide, from which occluded gases always escape. For example, in Experiment 15, on heating carbide to $1450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, these gases produced a pressure of 127 millimeters, which is considerably less than the 195 millimeters produced from the white powder and carbon at $1287^{\circ}$. It therefore seems certain that the large amount of gas evolved in Experiment 14 was due to a chemical reaction and not to occluded gases alone. A possible explanation of the absence of carbide in the crucible is that some oxygen got into the furnace. 'This
would be changed to carbon monoxide which would decompose any carbide formed. The result of the experiment is, however, not conclusive.

Since calcium oxide was found in the white powder, an experiment was carried out to see to what extent lime would distill when heated alone in a vacuum. A graphite crucible was filled with pieces of Merck's lime about the size of a pea, and was heated in a vacuum for three hours at 1531 degrees. On opening the furnace it was found covered on the inside with a thin greyish-white powder. On collecting this it filled abont one half inch of an ordinary test tube, and was placed in a desiceator several days before testing. It was then dissolved in hydrochloric aeid and tested for calcium with ammonia and ammonium oxalate ; a large amount of precipitate of ealcium oxalate was formed. This experiment therefore shows that lime distills at about 1500 degrees in a vacuum. This doubtless is the cause of the thin layers always found in the experiments on the equilibrium of the reaction $\mathrm{CaO}+3 \mathrm{C} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{CaC}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}$. It was not known at the time of the determination of this equilibrium that lime is distilled in the neighborhood of 1500 degrees. 7

## 3. Summary.

The equilibrium pressure of nitrogen in the reaction

$$
\mathrm{CaC}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2}<\mathrm{CaCN}_{2}+\mathrm{C}
$$

was determined from both sides for a number of temperatures between $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $1450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results are plotted in Figure 5. It was found that the heat of the reaction varies enormonsly with the temperature. The values calculated from the plot in Figure 5 are given in T'able IV.

The free energy increase of the reaction taken from left to right is -1714 gran calories a.t $1450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and -6700 calories at $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Caleium cyanamide distills the cold parts of the vacum furnace at temperatures as low as $1050^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pure lime distills appreciably in the neighborhood of $1: 500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

> Electrochemical Laboratory, Rogers Laboratory of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Thehnologr, Boston, Mass, Scptember 23,1910 .

7 These Procecdings, 45, 440 (1910).
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# 'THE NA'TURE OF SOME SUPPOSED ALGAL COALS. ${ }^{1}$ 

By Edward C. Jeffrey.<br>Presented April 14, 1909. Received Aug. 12, 1910.

Early in the last decade of the nineteenth century MMI. Renault and Bertrand published simultaneously their views as to the constitution of so-called boghead and similar coals. ${ }^{2}$ In the bituminous schists of Autun are apparent even to the naked eye glistening spherical or oval bodies often arranged in layers, which readily reveal themselves under the microscope, in thin sections made in the manner of the rock sections of the petrographer and mineralogist. These objects are of considerable size, their dimensions ranging between 189 and 225 micra in length and between 95 and 115 micra in breadth. They have been named on account of their shape and locality Pila bibractensis. The individuals of this species when examined microscopically sometimes show more or less clearly a central cavity, which is surrounded by a wall sculptured with numerous alveoli, opening to the outside but having no communication with the internal space. The two authors cited agreed in regarding these structures as representing the remains of colonial gelatinous green Algae. The central cavity, which always appears in the better preserved specimens, was regarded as the equivalent of the hollow space in the midst of a colony of the living Volvox, or an allied genus of the colonial Algae. The substance surrounding the cavity, according to the hypothesis of the French authors, represents the gelatinous wall of a former algal colony, while the external openings or alveoli are imagined to correspond in their position and relations to the once living individuals of the colony. The hypothesis of the algal nature of the organism found in such abundance in the bituminous schists of Autun, was later extended by its authors to a wide range of similar organisms occurring in bogheads and cannels, as well as bituminous schists and oil-shales from various parts of the world. Their most notable subserguent communications on this sub-

[^82]ject are as follows: C. E. Bertrand, Reinschia australis et Premières Remarques sur le Kérosene Shale de la Nouvelle Galles du Sud; ${ }^{3}$ C. E. Bertrand, Les Charbons Humiques et les Charbons de Purins $;^{4}$ B. Renault, Sur Quelques Organismes des Combustibles Fossiles. ${ }^{5}$ The contribution of Renault last cited is monumental in its character, representing as it does the labor of nearly a quarter of a century and dealing with most of the kinds of coal, which show structure when examined microscopically. Renault published from time to time, in the Bulletins of the Museum at Paris, his views as to the microscopic structure and related qualities of coal. Bertrand has recently written a very clear popular account of the views of Renault and himself. 6

The ideas as to the constitution of certain coals cited above have not remained confined to their country of origin but have been adopted to a considerable degree in Europe and even in America. Professor Potonie, of the Royal School of Mines in Berlin, has taken up the RenaultBertrand hypothesis and elaborated it in a number of publications. His views as to the origin of coal are stated with sufficient fulness in a pamphlet which has been of such general interest that it has gone through a number of editions. 7 Professor Potonie not only adopts the views as to the origin of boghead coals, oil-shales and bituminous schists advocated by the French authors cited above, but extends them to camel coal, which Bertrand and Renault in common with other investigators have regarded as composed of the compressed remains of the spores of vascular cryptogams. The German anthor has gone further too than the French in describing recent peats which he supposes to be of algal origin and thus comparable with the bogheads and oil-shales of older geological periods. Dr. Davis White of the United States' Geological Survey has given not long since an admirably lucid account of recent views as to the origin of the various types of coal, including bogheads and oil-shales.
'The present writer was led to investigate the subject of bogheads, oil-shales and other supposedly algal coals by reading the article of Dr. White cited above. In the investigatious here described methods

[^83]have been used which admit of the securing of very numerous and even serial thin sections of the various coals studied. Previons ob, servers have labored under the disadvantage of having to form their views from isolated and not very thin microscopic sections, obtained by the grinding methods of the lapidary. In the present research it has been found possible to adapt the more precise and delicate methods of the Biologist to the remains of organisms long extinct, which compose the mass of some of our most important if not most abundant coals. It will be well to give some account of the methods adopted before beginning the account of the observed facts.

## Methods used in the Investigation.

It was found in all cases more advantageous to work with material softened by various treatments so that it could be cut successfully on the microtome. Control sections were used in many cases, prepared by the usual method, to make sure that the process of softening had not essentially modified the microscopic structures found in the various coals examined. The same process of softening was not found to be applicable to all kinds of coal. In the case of cannel or camneloid coals, treatment for a week or more with alcohol of seventy per cent, saturated with caustic soda or potash and kept at a temperature of from sixty to seventy centigrade, was found sufficient for the preliminary softening. After careful removal of the caustic alkali by repeated treatments with hot alchohol, it was generally found expedient to treat for two or three weeks with the strongest hydrofluoric acid. After washing out the acid, the small fragments of cannel are embedded in the usual way in Schering's celloidin and cut into thin sections on the sliding microtome. (The Jung-Thoma was found very useful for this purpose, on account of its rigidity.) The sections must in many cases be at least five micra thin. 'Ihicker sections do not show details of structure with snfficient clearness nor can they be as advantageously photographed by the microscope as the thinner ones. In well-prepared material it is quite possible to cut serial sections of five micra. Individual sections thinner than five micra may be readily obtained. The preparation of serial sections has the advantage of making it possible to follow the structures observed through a number of sections, by which means their real nature can be more clearly and accurately elucidated.

In the case of the more resistent cannels and particularly in the case of those coals known as bogheads and oil-shales, more vigorous methods had to be adopted. It was found necessary to treat some of the American cannels and particularly American bogheads from Kentucky, with
aqua regia and in some cases with a similar combination of acids in which the hydrochloric acid was replaced by hydroftuoric. The last reagent is recommended as the most effectual one which has been found for softening and disintegrating even the hardest coals, such as anthracite, etc. In this fluid, both the nitric and hydrofluoric acid are used of full strength. The last method was found particularly advantageous in preparing the bogheads of Autun and the so-called oil-shale of New South Wales for microscopic examination. Sometimes it is an advantage to return the coals to alkaline alcohol after treatment with the various acids described. In such cases care must be taken to wash out all the acid and to thoroughly dehydrate the pieces of coal before transferring them to alkali in alcohol, as otherwise they suffer disastrous swelling. In all instances, no matter what devices were used for softening the coals, they were embedded in celloidin before cutting. The presence of any free acid or alkali in the material is disastrous to the knife in the first instance or to the consistency of the celloidin in the second. After the sections are cut they are dehydrated in a mixture of absolute alcohol and chloroform, to avoid softening the celloidin matrix. After clearing in benzole or xylol, they are mounted in balsam. In a few instances, such as the oil-shale of New South Wales, where the sections are very light colored, it was found advantageous to mount in glycerine jelly. In the case of serial sections, the best procedure is to lay the sections on a slide as they come off the microtome knife and then dehydrate and clear them carefully in their order. The usual methods of cutting celloidin series do not answer in the case of coal, as from the nature of the material frequently sections become folded or torn in transferring to glass. As not above four or five sections are ordinarily needed in series in a given case, to elucidate structural features, the method described above is not so laborious as it might appear.

## Tire Structure of Cannel Coal.

It will be well as a preliminary to the observations on so-called algal coals (bogheads, oil-shales, bituminous schists, etc.) to describe the structure shown by ordinary cannel coal. It has long been known and has heen made particularly clear by the mommental inrestigations of Renault, that what is usually known as camel coal is composed very largely of the flattened spores of vascular eryptogams. Figure 1, Plate 1 shows the structure of cannel coal as seen in sections vertical to the layering in a moderately high microscopic magnification. The linear light bodies in the dark matrix are the flattened spores. The remains of the originally rotund central cavity can be seen as a dark
line. Figure 2, Plate 1, shows the same coal in section parallel to the planes of layering under the same microscopic enlargement. The spores appear in this plane in rounded ontline and are much fewer in number. The explanation of the circular ontline and the smaller number of apparent spores is afforded by the vertical section. In many cases it is possible in sections parallel to the layering of camel to make out the presence of spores still in tetrads or in the case of isolated spores to distinguish triradiate ridges resembling those which mark contact surface of the reproductive bodies in many living vascular cryptogams. Some instances of these appearances are illustrated in the snbsequent parts of this article, and need not be discussed here. The cannel just described is from Kentucky and is quite typical of the coals of this nature derived from this and other States. European cannels have also been studied but in general they show structure less clearly than the American cannels which have been examined. This is perhaps one of the causes of the apparent misinterpretations of some of the European writers on the microscopic structure of coal.

## The Structure of Kentucky Bogiead.

In most of the samples of camel coal from Kentucky which have come under my observation, there occur, to a greater or less extent, bodies generally pale yellow in color but sometimes brown. These viewed in certain planes of section have the alveolar appearance, which is characteristic of the supposed Algae of Betrand, Renault, and Potonie. Those coals in which the imagined Algae become most abundant are known as bogheads, in accordance with the terminology of Renault.

It has been considered desirable in this connection to illustrate a boghead of unquestionable authenticity. I am indebted to Dr. David White of the United States' Geological Survey for some excellent material of Kentucky boghead of Pottsville age, which has been utilized in making the figures which are described in the paragraphs immediately following. A comparison with the figures and descriptions of Renault's monumental work show clearly that it is his Pile kentuckyana or a very closely allied species.

Figure 3, Plate 1, shows a number of the supposed Algae, denominated under the generic appellation Pila, somewhat highly magnified. They are variously grouped and scattered throughont the figure, a particularly striking clump appearing on the upper left hand of the figure. Most of the individuals show a mottled appearance, the dark spots corresponding in position to the supposed agal individuals con-
stituting the colony. Figure 4, Plate $\mathbf{1}$, shows a rather extensive field under a low magnification. On the dark background formed by the so-called fundamental substance of the coal may be distinguished various lighter areas, some of which figure as Algae, according to the Renaultian view, and others, even with the low magnification used, are of such a rounded or angular contour that they obviously represent the crushed remains of spores of the vascular cryptogams. The spongy or mottled light bodies represent the supposititious Algae. As will be shown later, the two kinds of appearances belong together, and only the absence of thin serial sections could have prevented the distinguished French author, cited above, from recognizing this state of affairs. Figure 5, Plate 1, shows part of the field reproduced in the foregoing figure, on a considerably higher scale of magnification. Figure 6, Plate 1, shows a little above the horizontal middle line two bodies, which are obviously cryptogamous spores. The one on the right partakes of the spongy or mottled appearance, presented by the supposed Algae. Elsewhere, especially in the lower part of the figure, are seen the remains of additional bodies, which take their place among the reputed Algae of Renault. Figure 7, Plate 2, shows part of the last figure more highly magnified to bring out more clearly the structural features of the bodies represented in the foregoing figure. In our next illustration, Figure 8, Plate 2, is represented another field under moderate magnification. On the right is a light body representing a fragment of some broken-up plant, a kind of remains with which both cannel and boghead coals abound. On the extreme left is seen a cluster of structures representing a tetrad of spores. In the median region of the figure are several of Renault's putative Algae. Figure 9, Plate 2, shows the tetrad from the left of the foregoing figure, somewhat mroe highly magnified. It is now apparent that on the extreme right of the tetrad one of the spores is cut through the back and the two anglewise plane surfaces. Another spore of the tetrad appears below and is obviously of the same nature as Renault's Alga Pili kentuckyana. The third visible member of the tetrad is less clearly seen on the upper side and is not so obviously of the organization represented by the supposed Algae. It will be well to defer the interpretation of the last figure until a number of other illustrations of the imagined Algae of Renault have been examined. Figure 10, Plate 2, represents a particnlarly striking view of a spongy or mottled mass, which at the same time from its contour and angles, is obviously the spore of a vascular cryptogam viewed from the plane of section through its angular internal face. The plane of section is so fortunate, in the compressed condition of the spore, as to show at the same time part of the alveolar
outer wall of the spore. In juxtaposition to the body, which is clearly a spore, are two of the putative Algae. In Figure 11, Plate 2, is shown another view of a spore-like body, there more obviously a spore. On the left is the projecting internal face of the spore, seen in section as an angle. The back of the spore is sculptured. In the remainder of the field are a number of the Alga-iike borlies. The magnification in the present figure is about one half greater than in the last. Figure 12, Plate 2, represents, together with a number of the putative Algae, a body with the same alveolar parietal structure, which is at the same time obviously a spore. Figure 13, Plate 3, shows one of the spores so mumerous in the boghead coal under examination, in face view. The three ridges which mark the surfaces of contact with the three originally present sister spores of the tetrad can be very clearly made out. Such clear views of the spore face are comparatively rare, whether from the condition of compression of the spores or from the loss by decay of the thimer angular inner face of the spore, it is not easy to determine. The outlines of the spore in the last figure are rough, showing the alveolar nature of the free surface resembling that found in the spores of many of the existing Lycopodiales. Figure 14, Plate 3, shows in the center another spore in the same plan of section as that in the last figure. The magnification is very much higher for the purpose of bringing out the rough surface of the spore shown on the margins of the figure, particularly on the lower side. Above and below the central object are small portions of two other spores appearing as mottled alveolar structures. Figure 15, Plate 3, shows a complete tetrad of the spores under consideration in an oblique plane of section, which partially passes through the tetrad and partially reveals its free surface. Of three spores appearing in the section through the tetrad, two show at least part of their rough outer surface, while in case of the third none of this is apparent.

It will probably be apparent to the unprejudiced reader, from the figures of free spores and tetrads of spores in various planes of section already shown, that the supposed Alga, Pila kenturkyana of Renault, as figured in Figure 4, Plate 23, of his monograph, in reality represents sections through the rough alveolar surface of the reproductive bodies of vascular cryptogams. Although the conclusion thus indicated seems clear from the examination of reasonably numerous and well-prepared sections of coal containing the species under discussion, I have not allowed such evidence alone to suffice. As explained in the introduction, numerous serial sections were cut, in which it was possible to follow the appearance of the imagined Algae as well as the obvious spores as seen in successive planes. On account of the compression of
these objects, resulting from age-long pressure, coüperating with the softening effect of gradual decay, they are so thin that they rarely appear in more than two thin horizontal sections, more rarely in three. By the study of serial sections it was perfectly clear that the supposed Alga, Pila kentuckiyma of Renault, and the obvious spores of vascular cryptogams, found in the same sections of coal, were different appearances presented by the same object. When only the free surfaces of the spores appear in the plane of section, as is more often the case, then their roughened exterior presents a mottled or alveolar appearance, which has been interpreted by a number of Enropean observers in this and parallel cases as representing the bodies of gelatinous colonial Algae. When the angular or rotund aspects of the spores appear in section, their real nature is perfectly obvious. The error made by those who have been able to study only a few comparatively thick sections of the coals in question, results from not correlating the two sorts of appearances ; an error scarcely to be wondered at from the nature of the preparations at their disposal.

## The Structure of Scotch Bogiead Coals.

The microscopic structure of the bogheads of Scotland is of particular interest because coals of this general type were earliest recognized here and the classic example Torbanite from Torbane Hill may be regarded as the original boghead, from the standpoint of scientific recognition. Through the kindness of Captain Baird G. Halberstadt, F. G. S., of Pottsville, Pennsylvania, I have had the opportunity of examining authentic material of a number of the Scotch coals of this group.

Figure 16, Plate 3, shows part of a section parallel to the planes of layering in a boghead coal, so called, from the Armadale deposits, Bathgate, Linlithgow, Scotland. The magnification, which is moderate, reveals the presence of a number of bodies of a nature similar to Piln kentuckyona, described in previous paragraphs. Like Pila kentuckyona, they have an alveolar mottled appearance in certain planes of section, while in others they reveal a central cavity circular or angular in contom, as the case may be, and finally in some cases the bodies in question are grouped together in obvious tetrads or reveal the triradiate face characteristic of most Lycopodineous spores. All these conditions are revealed in the various parts of Figure 16, as may le ascertained by the use of a hand lens. Near the middle line of the figure above and below may be seen tetrads in various planes of section. Two of these in the upper and lower region of the photograph are par-
ticularly striking. Figure 17, Plate 2, shows two upper tetrads somewhat highly magnified. In the lower of these the alveolar structure of the walls of the spores is specially prominent, the plane of section being particularly favorable. There seems to be no reason for doubt that here we have to do with the structures from Scotch and other cannels, designated by Renault as Pila scotica. It is apparently beyond question from the few illustrations of this species which it has been thought necessary to introduce that in the case of Pila scotica as in that of the American species $P$. Rentuckiyana we have to do with spores of vascular cryptogams and not with anything approaching in the remotest way colonial gelatinous Algae. By the methorls used in connection with the present investigation it has been found possible to secure large numbers of extremely thin section, which in case of doubt may be made serial. The large number of sections has made it feasible to choose those in which the supposed Algae are in the condition of tetrads, and thus reveal their nature as spores of vascular plants. Had the technique adopted here been available to Renault, there seems to be little doubt that he would have escaped the error of attributing the structures, which he designated Pila scotica, to algal affinities.

Among the various appearances present by the sections of Armadale coal are certain much larger spores, likewise not infrequently found in tetrads, and manifesting the same alveolar structure in certain planes of section through the spore wall as the species described above. These appear to be what Renualt described as Thylax britannicus. 8 It has not been thonght necessary to illustrate these structures, as their identity as spores of vascular cryptogams is entirely beyond question.

Figure 18, Plate 3, shows a section vertical to the plane of layering of another coal from the Bathgate region, but not from the Armadale mine, for which the writer is indebted also to Captain Halberstadt. The organisms in this coal are much more numerous and are less well preserved than in the case of the so-called Pilas described above.

Near the center of the section but a little below on the right, is seen with unusual clearness one of the structures, which compose the coal at present under discussion almost to the exclusion of the socalled fundamental substance, which makes up the mass of the bogheads hitherto described. It can be made out that the structure in question is very much flattened but that the age-long pressure and chemical change have not obliterated the original central cavity. Less clearly marked cavities surrounded by less well-preserved walls are

[^84]seen in several other instances in the section, while in the case of most of the organisms, the structure has suffered a good deal from the ravages of pressure and chemical metamorphosis. Figure 19, Plate 4, shows another section in the vertical plane of the same coal presenting somewhat similar appearances. This preparation, however, shows some of the bodies composing the coal in a darkened and chemically much modified condition, especially in the upper part of the field. Figure 20, Plate 4, represents a section through this boghead parallel to the planes of layering. Obviously some of the parts are better preserved than are others. In the well-preserved regions, which are distinguishable in figure by their lighter color, there is the same mottled appearance, which is characteristic of tangential sections of the spores known in the investigations of Renault as Pila kentuckyana and $P$. scoticu. In the darker parts of the figure, the characteristic organisms of the coal have largely lost their structure and the accompanying swelling has almost completely obliterated the surface sculpture. Figure 21 illustrates another horizontal section of the coal under discussion, somewhat more highly magnified. On the $u_{i} p e r$ left hand can be seen one of the constituting organisms of the coal in plane of section showing at once the profile and the face view of its wall. The profile view is the one sharply focussed and it presents all the appearance of a section through the wall of a macrospore of one of the existing Lycopodiales. In view of what has been learned regarding the structure of the American and Scotch species of Pila in the foregoing paragraphs, it can scarcely be doubted that the micro-organisms of the coal at present under discussion are also of the nature of spores of vascular cryptogams. The general arguments against the algal nature of these and similar bodies may, however, profitably be deferred to the end of the article.

Figure 22, Plate 4, shows a section parallel to the planes of stratification of the classic Scotch boghead, known as Torbanite. I owe this material as well as that of the other Scotch coals examined to the kindness of Captain Halberstadt. In this case the micro-organisms are in the condition of disorganization, which is generally found in the French bituminous schists to be discussed below. Certain faint lines are the only indication of structure presented by the light-colored bodies appearing in the microscopic field represented by the figure.

## The Boghetid of Autuv.

Figure 23, Plate 4, shows the horizontal view of one of the microorganisms of the boghead of Autm, first studied by Renault and Bertrand. The structure is almost obliterated by swelling and chemi-
eal metamorphosis, and only faint lines of alveolation indicate the nature of the original organization. Through the kinduess of M. Bayle, Director of the Compagnie Lyomnaise des Schistes Bitumineux, I have received an abondant supply of the boghead from Autun, containing the organism described by Renault and Bertrand under the name of Pila biluractensis. These samples came both from the beds of Margenne and Thélots. It is an unfortunate circumstanee, which beyond question has had a bearing on the views as to the nature of boghead coals, that the first of these to be minutely studied, viz., that of Autun, is characterized by structural elements, which are obviously in an extremely bad condition of preservation. In none of the material which has passed under my observation have I found the component struetures well organized. This seems to have been the condition of the material studied by Renault, to judge from the figures published in the atlas accompanying his work cited above. Some of the vertical sections published by Bertrand, however, present a better condition of preservation. This is notably the case in the reproduction of one of Bertrand's figures in Potonie's work on coal eited at the beginning of this article. ${ }^{9}$ 'The accompanying horizontal aspect of the coal, however, presents the usual bad condition of preservation. Figure 24, Plate 4, shows a somewhat highly magnified vertical section of the boghead from Antun. In the lowermost of the organisms there is some indication of the presence of a central cavity. The walls of the structures in question are all in a swollen condition. Figure 25, Plate 5, shows a number of the individuals of Renault's Pila bibractensis under a low magnification. It may be stated in general of the boghead of Autun, that it is largely composed of organisms, which are in a disintegrated and swollen condition and which are consequently hard to interpret. It seems particularly unfortmate that this boghead was the first to be carefully studied mieroscopically.

## Oil-Sifale of Nef South Wales.

Figure 26, Plate 5, illustrates the structure of the oil-shale of New South Wales in section vertical to the plane of layering. This boghead, like those of 'Torbane Hill and Balbardie, Scotland, is almost completely made up of the organisms interpreted by Revault as Algae and named Reinschic australis. The organisms are generally not completely flattened in this plane of section and are often very much distorted and folded. The usual absence of complete flattening of the micro-organisms in bogheads composed very largely or almost entirely

[^85]of the disputed structures, together with the often convoluted and folded condition of these under the same condition, constitutes a strong argument against the algal nature of the latter, as it is hardly conceivable that gelatinous Algae in mass, even if preserved by a bituminous antiseptic, should have escaped complete collapse under the enormous and age-long pressure to which they have been subjected. Even so resistant a substance as wood ultimately collapses completely under pressure where it occurs as lignite. Figure 27, Plate 5, illustrates the appearance of the boghead under consideration, as viewed under a considerable magnification. The horizontal middle line of the figure is occupied by part of a single convoluted individual of Reinschic custralis. It is a noteworthy fact that the organisms of the later bogheads, such as those of Autum and New South Wales, are very much larger in size than those found in the true Carboniferous coals of the same general structure. This contrast is illustrated clearly by a comparison of Pila Rentuckyana or Pila srotica with Pila bibractensis or Reinschia custralis, the two latter species being many times the dimensions of those mentioned first. Figure 28, Plate 5, shows the structure of a horizontal section of the boghead of New South Wales as viewed under a low magnification. A little above the horizontal middle line of the figure, two of the micro-organisms stand out with particular clearness. Figure 29, Plate 5, shows one of these considerably more highly magnified. The plane of section reveals both the profile and face view of the organism, showing the alveolar structure of the wall, found in all moderately well-preserved individuals. In those which have lost the usual yellowish hue and have turned some shade of brown, the structure has usually more or less completely disappeared.

## The Structure of Coking Coals.

It is a well-known fact that certain coals are well adapted for coking purposes. In coals of this type when high temperatures are reached in the coking oven, the coal melts and is transformed under appropriate conditions into the substance known as coke, which is of course virtually a mineral charcoal. The property of melting, when subjected to heat, is one which is likewise characteristic of camel and boghead coals. It has been suggested in the case of the last that the gelosic substance presented by the colonial framework of their supposed algal components is responsible for the readiness of fusion at high temperatures. Such an explanation is scarcely apposite in the case of the similarly fusible camel coals. Even if we accept the point of view of Potonie and include the cannel coals likewise under the heading of
algal or sapropelic coals, there appears to be considerable difficulty in accounting for the fact that the supposed algal constituents in true camel coals are either insignificant in proportion to the unquestionable spores or are entirely absent. With a view to putting the validity of the algal hypothesis of the origin of fusible coals to the test, I have examined a number of coking coals microscopically, by the same general methods as those used in the case of cannels and bogheads. It is not my intention at the present time to attempt to describe the composition of coking coals as seen under the microscope, but certain facts, however, may be appropriately referred to. In general coking coals consist of dull and bright layers, which vary as to their relative thickness and general distribntion. It has been found in those cases examined that the dull portions of coking coals represent wood in a more or less modified, but still clearly recognizable condition, while the bright parts of such coals are composed of wood in a high degree of modification and disintegration. Figure 30, Plate 5, shows a horizontal section through the layering of the dull region of a coking coal, known commercially as "No. I. Pennsylvania Coking." In the middle vertical line of the figure may be seen the end of a wood tracheid, showing unquestionable bordered pits. I have found similar appearances in the dull layers of other coking coals, notably Pocahontas coal. The bright parts of coking coal present the same composition with a much greater modification, both structural and chemical, of the wood elements. It is thus apparent that there is no necessary relation between the fusibility of coal and the presence of organisms of an algal nature, since cannel coal, which has few or none of the organisms, considered to be Algae, and coking coal, which is made up entirely of the remains of wood, both are fusible coals. It should be added that the structures appearing to the right and left of the vertical middle line are likewise wood elements, although they are not clearly recognizable as such in figure 30, Plate 5.

## Conclusions.

It is appropriate, after the description of the organization of boghead coals from various parts of the world and from different levels of the Paleozoic given in the foregoing paragraphs, to discuss the algal hypothesis of the origin of these coals. It may be pointed out that there is unanimity among the various observers as to the common and similar organization of the structures present in these coals. It follows that if the best preserved ones and those which by reason of their size are most easily studied in thin sections of coal, turn out not to be of algal affinities, that a similar conclusion must be applied to the re-
maining organisms, which either by reason of their large size or imperfect condition of preservation cannot be so satisfactorily subjected to microscopic investigation. It is apparently beyond question that both Pila kentuckyma and Pila scotica represent the spores of vascular cryptogams. 'Ihis conclusion has been reached from the study of the numerous thin sections which are readily prepared by the methods employed in the present investigation. Abundance of material makes it clear that the bodies which have been interpreted as colonial gelatinous Algae, in reality represent certain planes of section through the rough-coated spores of vascular cryptogams. When the structures in question are cut in favorable planes and of sufficient thinness, it becomes clear that the algal semblances represent tangential sections of the rough external surface of spores of vascular plants. The real nature of the supposed Algae is further made clear by their possession of the triradiate ridge characteristic of tetrahedral spores. Moreover, in certain instances the plane of section has been observed to pass at once through the plane anterior faces and the rough, rounded external one. Further, in serial seetions, which may be prepared by the methods described above, the putative Algae may be seen to present at once the alvolar appearance which has been interpreted as indicating algal affinities and the form and triradiate ridges, which clearly indicate their identity as spores of vascular cryptogams. If any further evidence were needed as to their true nature, it would be furnished by their occasional occurrence in actual tetrads, a condition which, in connection with the other data derived from the study of thin sections, makes it impossible to regard them as anything else but spores.

In the ease of the larger supposed Algae the ease is not so clear, on accomnt of the distorted and often swollen condition in which they occur, as well as by reason of the difficulty of interpreting objeets of greater dimensions by means of thin sections. The writers who have studied the various supposed algal structures of Paleozoic coals are, however, agreed in the conclusion that they all belong to the same category. I am myself entirely in accord with this opinion. The view of the uniformity of the organisms under discussion is further strengthened by the fact that gradations in the condition of preservation occur in the case of the larger Pilas and of Reinschia, which show clearly in the condition of best preservation the greatest resemblance to Pila kentuckiyener and Pila seotica. Unfortmately it was such badly preserved species as Pila bilnactensis and Reinschan austrolis which were first studied microscopically.

Another very important argument against the algal character of the characteristic structural constituents of so-called boghead coals is
afforded by the extreme hypotheses which this interpretation demands. For example, M. Bertrand in his work cited above ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0}}$ states that the oilshale of New South Wales, forming a layer fifteen feet in thickness, composed practically entirely of the organisms known as lieinschin custrellis, must have been laid down a single season during a period of low water. He makes similar statements in regard to the thick bituminous deposits of Autun. It is inconceivable that such a huge mass of algal matter, which in its fresh condition must have been enormously greater in volume, should have been accumulated and synchronously preserved in so short a time. The problem of the preservation of this great amount of gelosic substance is not rendered easier by the supposition that the antiseptic was bituminous in its character and consequently must have been poorly soluble or quite insoluble in water. It is easy to imagine the preservation of logs and even of the harder parts of animals in asphaltie lakes, such as have been found in California, South America and certain of the West Indian Islands; but the best developed scientific imagination would find it difficult to pieture enormous masses of gelatinous matter, impregnated rapidly and completely by preservatives of a bituminons nature. It moreover seems clear that the intervention of bituminous matter in the process of the formation of such coals from gelatinous Algae is absolutely essential, for as Bertrand has pointed out, the contraction in the organisms constituting boghead coals although great (from 1/7 to $1 / 24$ in volume), would only correspond to a proportion of gelatinous substance from 105 to 360 per mille; whereas the amount of gelatin in ordinary dry commercial gelatin is from 700 to 800 per mille. He concluded that the deficiency must be made up by the infiltrated bituminous matter.

Further, even if it be granted that bituminous matter actually enters into the transformation of gelatinous Algae into boghead coals as the hypothesis originated and elabo̊rated by Renault, Bertrand and Potonie demands, the question of the origin of this substance in connection with large accumulations of Algae in widely separated parts of the world and at remote geological epochs constitutes a very grave difficulty. It has been variously suggested that the bituminous substance originates from the remains of animals or from a transformation of a part of the algal substance itself into bituminous maiter. In view of the relatively insignificant amount of animal matter compared with vegetable matter on the surface of the world at the present time we are scarcely justified in drawing the inference that the remains of animals
gave rise to bitumen, especially as there is every reason to believe that the disproportion between animal and vegetable matter mnst have been very much greater in the Paleozoic than in the present epoch. If the Algae themselves gave rise to the bituminous matter, we would expect to find them locally more or less completely transformed into this substance. No cases of this kind exist so far as I am aware.

Another strong argument against the algal hypothesis of the origin of boghead coals is the fact that camel coals, which are practically identical with them in chemical composition, are recognized to be composed predominantly of the spores of vascular cryptogams. Potonie ${ }^{11}$ recognizes this identity of origin of camel and boghead coals, since he states that they are both "sapropelic" in their nature, that is, they were both laid down in open quiet water and are both bituminous in their chemical composition. It is not open to doubt that the bituminous character of cannel coal is mainly, if not entirely, due to the enormous quantities of the remains of resinous spores of vascular cryptogams which it contains. Boghead coals are like cannel coals in their chemical composition, differing only in the more richly bituminous characteristics, which they present. As has been pointed out in the foregoing paragraphs, they are likewise notable for the greater proportion of substance showing structure under the microscope. There appears in fact to be a definite relation between the amount of structural elements and the proportion of bituminous matter found in such coals. It appears clear from the description of the micro-organisms of boghead coals, especially such of these as show them in a comparatively good condition of preservation, that the bodies in question represent the spores (in most cases apparently the macrospores) of vascular cryptogams. The greater concentration of the bituminous substance in so-called boghead coals, moreover, is related to a much scanticr occurrence of such coals, which, as compared with camnels, occur in beds of very restricted area.

Apparently as a result of all the considerations brought forward in the statement of the conclusions drawn from the present investigation, we must regard the so-called boghead coals as essentially composed of the remains of spores of vascular cryptogams and thus as closely rescmbling cannels, which they in general differ from, only in the greater concentration and larger size of the constituent spores. The less abundant occurrence and more purely sporal composition of boghead coals is doubtless to be attributed to the nature of the component

[^86]spores, which in contrast to those occurring in cammels are predominantly of large size, and are as a consequence in all probability to be regarded as macrospores. The tendency of water action, under which it is universally agreed both cannels and bogheads were laid down, would be to bring about a greater degree of concentration of the larger and heavier bodies, the macrospores.

At the present time the algal hypothesis is applied not only to the origin of boghead coals, but is extended also to the question of the origin of petroleum. If we assume that those bodies, which afford on distillation the greatest amount of petroleum, are as it were the mother substance of petroleum, the conclusion cannot be avoided that boghead coals and similar substances are the source of petroleum-like compounds. As has been pointed out above, we cannot with a due consideration of the microscopic structure of boghead coals regard them as composed of remains of Algae. The algal hypothesis of the origin of petroleum consequently, so far as it rests on the structural components of bogheads, falls to the ground. It is further invalidated by the relatively small quantities of boghead coals found throughout the world. The result of the present investigations is to show that bogheads are essentially similar in their composition to the much more abundant cannels. Consequently we are able to draw not only on the structure of the relatively small amounts of boghead coals for a hypothesis as to the origin of petroleum, but also upon the relatively abundant cannels, which are widely distributed in the Northern Hemisphere, where petroleum deposits are likewise abundant. The conclusion appears obvious that the innumerable spores of Paleozoic Pteridophyta laid down in enormous quantities on the bottoms of the shallow lakes or lagoons, in which the Coal Period proper abounded, have furnished the raw material from which in the course of countless years, as a result of great pressure and perhaps of high temperature as well, the enormously valuable petroleum products have been elaborated.

The discussion of the possibility of the formation of algal peats under modern conditions has not been entered upon in the present article because that subject will be considered in a subsequent communication.

## Summary.

1. The organisms found in abundance in boghead coals are not of the nature of colonial gelatinous Algae, as has been asserted by Renault, Bertrand and Potonie on the basis of the examination of a small number of insufficiently thin sections of such coals.
2. The bodies in question, as revealed in thin serial sections, made vol. xlvi. - 19
by improved technique on the microtome, are spores of vascular cryptogams.
3. The proof that the constituent micro-organisms of boghead coals are not Algae but spores, overthrows the algal hypothesis of the origin of petroleum and similar substances.
4. It appears clear that petroleum products have been derived, mainly at any rate, from the waxy and resinous spores of vascular cryptogams laid down on the bottoms of the shallow lakes of the Coal Period. These lacustrine layers, either as cannels, bogheads or bituminous shales, according to the sporal composition and the admixture of earthy matter, are the mother substance of petroleum. Pressure and temperature either separately or combined, in the presence of permeable strata, have brought about the distillation of petroleum from such deposits.

## EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

## PLATE 1.

Figure 1. Vertical section of Kentucky cannel coal. ( $\times 180$.)
Figure 2. Horizontal section of Kentucky cannel coal. ( $\times 180$.)
Figure 3. Horizontal section of Kentucky boghead coal, showing the supposed colonial Alga, Pila kentuchyana. ( $\times 180$.)

Figure 4. Horizontal section of another preparation of the same. ( $\times 40$.)
Figure 5. Part of Figure 4 more highly magnified. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 6. The same showing another part. ( $\times 120$.)
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## PLATE 2.

Figure 7. Part of the same. ( $\times 180$.)
Figure 8. Part of another horizontal section showing Pila kentuckyana. ( $\times 120$.)

Figure 9. More highly magnified view of the same showing a tetrad of spores. $(\times 180$.

Figure 10. Another horizontal section of the same showing spores and the supposed Alge. ( $\times 180$.)

Figure 11. Another of the same showing a very characteristic spore and a considerable number of the supposed Algæ. ( $\times 180$.)

Figure 12. Another preparation of the same, showing a spore-like body and fragments of numerous supposititious Algæ. ( $\times 180$.)


## PLATE 3.

Figure 13. Another of the same showing one spore with triradiate ridge and other spores and supposed Algex. ( $\times 180$.)

Figiree 14. A spore of the same highly magnified. ( $\times 500$.)
Figure 15. A tetrad of the same. ( $~(180$.)
Figure 16. Horizontal section showing numerous examples of Pila scotica, some in tetrads. $(\times 130$.)

Figure 17. Upper portion of Figure 16 more highly magnified. ( $\times 180$.)
Figune 18. Vertical section of boghead from Balbardie, Scotland. ( $\times 120$.)


## PLATE 4.

Figure 19. Another of the same. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 20. Horizontal section of the same. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 21. Horizontal section of the same. ( $\times 180$.)
Figure 22. Horizontal section of Torbanite. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 23. Horizontal section of boghead from Autun. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 24. Vertical section of boghead from Autun. ( $\times 120$.)


## PLATE 5.

Figure 25. Horizontal section of boghead from Autun. ( $\times 80$.)
Figure 26. - Vertical section of oil-shale from New South Wales, showing masses of Reinschio australis. ( $\times 40$.)

Figure 26. Part of the same more highly magnified. ( $\times 180$.)
Flgure 2. Horizontal section of oil-shale from New South Wales. ( $\times 40$.)
Figure 29. Part of the same. ( $\times 120$.)
Figure 30. Horizontal section of Pennsylvania coking coal showing presence of wood elements. $(\times 180$.)
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## I. Introduction.

Persistent, or sustained, electric oscillations have recently come into extensive use in wireless telegraphy. With these oscillations, which are produced continuously while the transmitting key is depressed, tens of thousands of waves arrive at the receiving station during even the production of a single dot of the Morse code. This permits the establishment of a practically steady state at the receiving station, so that by the use of these persistent oscillations the mathematical treatment of the problem of the resonance conditions at the receiving station reduces to a problem of forced vibration.
'I'he exact solution for the radiotelegraphic circuits, however, still presents considerable difficulty on account of the effect of the distributed capacity of the antennae. An approximation to a solution of the practical problem can be obtained by supposing that the antenna of the receiving station of the practical case can be replaced by a localized capacity so that the circuits become those represented in Figure 1. While this simplified system is a considerable departure from the actual practical circuits, calculations made from the simplified circuits seem nevertheless to be of importance, because the resonance in the simplified system is sharper than in the actual circuits, and the simplified computations thus afford a meaus of assigning certain theoretical limits to practical attainments.

It is the purpose of the present communication to give a solution of the equations representing the flow of electricity in a system of circuits of the form of Figure 1, under the action of a sinusoidal impressed electromotive force at $e$, and to make from this solution deductions in
regard to the wireless telegraphic receiving station. It will not be necessary to neglect the resistances of the system, and, in fact, the inHuence of the resistances upon the resonance relations and upon the resultant current is the most interesting part of the investigation. The results of the mathematical treatment are illustrated by numerical examples.

## II. An Experiment on Peceived Current.

In pursuing the mathematical development I have received aid from an examination of some experimental data previously published, and I


Figure 1. Diagram of coupled circuits with impressed e. m.f.

Figure 2. Electro-magnetically coupled wireless telegraph receiving station.
take the liberty of presenting one set of these experimental results. ${ }^{1}$ as an introduction to the theoretical investigation. These experimental data were obtained five years ago with a spark-discharge method of excitation instead of with a persistent source of waves, so that the experimental case is to be regarded in this comection merely as an aid to a concrete statement of the problem under consideration.

The experiments were made with a receiving station of the electromagnetically connected type, like that shown in Figure e. With electrie waves of fixed period arriving from a distant sending station the receiving station of Figure 2 was attuned by adjustment of the condenser ${ }^{\prime}+4$ which is in the side-circuit. Readings of the received current in the side-circuit were made by the use of a low-resistance high-frequency

[^87]dynamometer $I$ ). The inductances $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ and their mutual inductance were lept constant. Since, however, a single variation at the receiving cirenit-namely, the variation of the condenser C $_{4}$ - is not sufficient to disclose the resonance conditions at the receiving station, the length of the receiving antenna was also given varions values. The results are plotted in the curves of Figure 3, and were oltained as follows:

With a given length (23.8 meters) of the four-wire receiving antemna the eapacity $C_{4}$ was set at a particular value and the deflection of the


Figure 3. Family of experimental curves obtained with various heights of antenna (each height giving one of the curves), and various values of receiving capacity $C_{4}$.
dynamometer $D$ was read. The capacity $C_{4}$ was then set at another value and the deflection of the dynamometer was read. Thus keeping the length of antenna at 23.8 meters, I took the readings of the dynamometer for a whole series of values of $C_{4}$. The results are shown in Curve 1 of Figure 3, in which the deflections of the dynamometer (current square) are plotted against values of "receiving capacity " $C_{4}$ (in arbitrary units). We shall call this kind of a curve a "resonance curve." When this resonance curve (Curve 1) was completed, the antenna was shortened to 20.8 meters and a second resonance curve, Curve 2, Figure 3, was taken. Again shortening the antenna successively to $17.8,15.8,14.8,13.8$, and 12.8 meters, I obtained the curves 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 respectively of Figure 3.

From this it is seen that for each particular capacity of the antenna, varied by varying the length, there is a characteristic capacity $C_{4}$ that
gives a maximum of current. We shall call the condenser capacity that gives a maximum of current the "resonant $C_{4}$ " for a given $C_{3}$. In seeking an expression for the condition for resonance, it is to be noticed that, as $C_{3}$ has been diminished, the value of the "receiving capacity" $C_{4}$ required for resonance has been increased (compare


Figure 4. Relation of height of Antenna to $C_{4}$ for resonance.
Curves 1 to 7). On passing to a smaller length of antenna, in the neighborhood of 11.8 meters, the value of $C_{4}$ for resonance became very great and the amome of current received became inappreciably small. With this length of antenna, 11.8 meters, the condition of the receiving circuit was at its worst, for upon further decreasing the length of antenna to 10.5 meters resonance reappeared in the form of Curve 8 . The resonance relation had undergone a discontionity and the capacity $r_{4}$ for resonance had jumped back toward the origin. Now, decreasing the length of the antenma further to $10,9,8$, and 7 meters successively, I obtained the resonance curves $9,10,11$, and 12 respectively 2 of Figure ?.

[^88]The relation between the height of antemia, $I I$, and the capacity ${ }^{\prime}$ 't required for resonance was found to be approximately represented by the empirical equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I I-11.8)\left(C_{4}-84.6\right)=88 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of an equilateral hyperbola with axes at $I I=$ 11.8 and $C_{4}=84.6$. The nature of the agreement between the observed and the calculated values is shown in Figure 4. Evidently the relation expressed in equation (1), though an interesting approximation, is not exact.

## III. Theoretical Treatment.

Let us now turn from the experiment to the theory of the oscillation. The problem undertaken is the investigation of the relative current in the detector circuit (Circuit IV of Figure 1) for various adjustments of the constants of Circuit III and Circuit IV. In the theoretical treatment this carries with it (1) a determination of the adjustments that must be made to obtain resonance, (2) a determination of the adjustment for best resonance, (3) a determination of the effect of the resistances on the resonance relations and on the amount of current receivable, (4) a discussion of the resistance that a detector must have for greatest sensitiveness, (5) a computation of the amount of disturbing current that will be obtained from an undesired source of waves, and (6) a quantitative judgment as to the sharpest selectivity that can be attained by circuits of the form of Figure 2.

As stated in the Introduction, in treating these general questions it has been found necessary to depart from strict observance of the actual practical wireless-telegraphic conditions and to assume the capacity of the antemma, which is a distributed capacity in practical wireless telegraphy, to be replaceable by a localized capacity $C_{3}$. This modification of the problem will not completely destroy the validity of the discussion, because the simplified problem enables as to derive certain inportant conclusions in regard to the problem with the less simple conditions.

Referring to the localized-capacity circuits of Figure 1, and supposing an electromotive force $E \cos \omega t$ at $e$, the differential equations of the current in the two circuits are

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{3} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}+M \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+R_{3} x+\frac{1}{C_{3}} \int x d t=E \cos \omega t,  \tag{2}\\
& L_{4} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+M \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}+R_{4} y+\frac{1}{C_{4}} \int y d t=0, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $x$ and $y$ are the values of the current in the Circuits III and IV respectively. Eliminating $x$ between these equations we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(L_{3} L_{4}-M^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} y}{\partial t^{4}}+\left(R_{4} L_{3}+R_{3} L_{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} y}{\partial t^{3}}+\left(\frac{L_{3}}{C_{4}^{\prime}}+\frac{L_{4}}{C_{3}^{\prime}}+R_{3} R_{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{4}\\
+\left(\frac{R_{3}}{C_{4}^{\prime}}+\frac{R_{4}}{C_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+\frac{y}{C_{3}^{\prime} C_{4}^{\prime}}=-E M \omega^{3} \sin \omega t .
\end{array}
$$

If for brevity we call the left-hand member of equation (4) $f(y)$, the complete solution of (4) is any particular solution of (4) plus the general solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the general solution of (5) involves exponentials with negative exponents as multipliers, and becomes zero after a few oscillations, so that all we need for the current $y$ in case a large number of oscillations are performed, as with a persistent source of waves, is the "steadystate" solution for equation (4).

In order to get the steady-state solution of (4) let us write, in the place of (4), the equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(L_{3} L_{4}-M^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} y}{\partial t^{4}}+\left(R_{3} L_{4}+R_{4} L_{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{3} y}{\partial t^{3}}+\left(\frac{L_{3}}{C_{4}}+\frac{L_{4}}{C_{3}}+R_{3} R_{4}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{6}\\
+\left(\frac{R_{3}}{C_{4}}+\frac{R_{4}}{C_{3}^{-}}\right) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+\frac{y}{C_{3} C_{4}^{4}}=-E M \omega^{3} e^{i \omega t}
\end{array}
$$

which is (4) with $\sin \omega t$ replaced by an appropriate exponential with imaginary exponent.

Our required solution for (4) can then be obtained from a solution of (6) by getting $y$ from (6), rationalizing the result, taking the imaginary part and dividing by $i$.

Now a particular solution of (6) is seen to be of the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=Y e^{i \omega t}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $Y$ is to be determined by substitution of (7) in equation (6).
Making this substitution we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \zeta \omega^{4}-B i Y \omega^{3}-C Y \omega^{2}+D i Y \omega+F Y=-E M \omega^{3}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B, C, D$, and $F$ are the coefficients of equation (6). Whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\frac{-E 1 / \omega^{3}}{\left(A \omega^{4}-C \omega^{2}+l^{\prime}\right)-\left(B \omega^{3}-/ \omega^{2}\right) i}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or writing the real part of the denominator as $P$, the imaginary as $Q i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\frac{-E M / \omega^{3}}{P-\left(Q^{i}\right.} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence from equation (7),

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =\frac{-E M \omega^{3} e^{i \omega t}}{P-Q i}  \tag{11}\\
& =\frac{-E M \omega^{3}(\cos \omega t+i \sin \omega t) .}{P-(Q i}
\end{align*}
$$

Rationalizing equation (11), taking only the imaginary part and dividing by $i$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y & =\frac{-E \cdot M \omega^{3}(P \sin \omega t+Q \cos \omega t)}{P^{2}+Q^{2}} \\
& =\frac{-E M \omega^{3} \sin \left(\omega t+\tan ^{-1} \frac{Q}{P}\right)}{\sqrt{P^{2}+Q^{2}}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $P$ and $Q$ in the denominator by their values in terms of the constants of the circuits, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E 11 \omega^{3} \sin \left(\omega t+\tan ^{-1} \frac{Q}{P}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sqrt{\left.\sqrt{\left\{\left(L_{3} L_{4}-1 V^{2}\right) \omega^{4}-\left(\frac{L_{3}}{C_{4}^{3}}+L_{4}^{L_{4}}+R_{3} R_{4}\right)\right.} \omega^{2}+\frac{1}{C_{3} C_{4}}\right\}^{2}+\left\{\left(R_{4} L_{3}+R_{3} L_{4}\right) \omega^{3}-\left(\frac{R_{4}}{C_{3}^{4}}+C_{4}\right) \omega\right\}^{2}}$
Equation (13) is a well-known solution of equation (4), and gives the value of the current $y$ in Circuit IV after the effect of the freeperiod initial disturbance has subsided. It is seen that the current $y$ in Circuit IV is sinusoidal, with the frequency of the e.m.f. impressed on Circuit III. We shall concern ourselves only with the absolute value of the amplitude $Y$ of this current.

Dividing numerator and denominator of equation (13) by $\omega^{2}$ and factoring, we obtain
(14) $Y=$

$$
E M \omega
$$

$\sqrt{\left\{\left(L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}\right)\left(L_{4} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{4} \omega}\right)-M M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right\}^{2}+\left\{R_{4}\left(L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}\right)+R_{3}\left(L_{4} \omega \frac{1}{C_{4} \omega}\right)^{2}\right\}}$
Now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}, \quad V=L_{4} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{4} \omega} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (14) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{E I_{\omega}}{\sqrt{\left(C V-M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(R_{4} U+R_{3} V\right)^{2}}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is another form of the expression for the current in Circuit IV, after the effect of the free-period initial disturbance has subsided. From equation (16) it can be seen that $L_{3}$ and $C_{3}$ enter in the equation only in the form $U ; L_{4}$ and $C_{4}$ enter only in the form $I$.

In seeking the dependence of $Y$ on the constants of the circuits let us now follow mathematically the steps taken in the experiment above described.

First, we shall take a fixed value of $I T$ and determine what value of $I$ makes $Y$ a maximum. This value of $V$ that makes $Y$ a maximum we shall call the "resonant value of $V$." 'The resonant value of $V$ is obtained by making

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial V}=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U V-M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right) U+\left(R_{4} U+R_{3} \Gamma^{\gamma}\right) R_{3}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{Y}=\frac{M^{2} \omega^{2} U}{U^{2}+R_{3}^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (19) gives the value of $V^{\top}$ for resonance with any particular given value of $U$. This relation will be further examined in a later section.

By the use of equation (19), when we have a given fixed value of $U$ we can calculate the value of $I$ for resonance. The current in Circuit IV for this resonant value of $V$ may be obtained by substituting the value of $I^{r}$ given by equation (19) into the equation for $Y$ (equation (16)). If we designate this resonant value of $Y$ by $Y_{\max }$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\text {max }}=\frac{E M \omega}{\sqrt{ }\left(\frac{M^{2} \omega^{2} U^{2}}{I^{\prime 2}+R_{3}^{2}}-M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(R_{4} U+\frac{R_{3} M^{2} \omega^{2} U^{2}}{U+R_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Factoring the denominator of this expression, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\max }=\frac{E M \omega}{\left(\frac{R_{3}, /^{2} \omega^{2}}{U^{2}+l_{3}^{2}}+R_{4}\right) \sqrt{R_{3}^{2}+U^{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any given value of $U$ and the necessary other constants of the circuits, equation (19) enables us to compute the resonant arljustment of Circuit IV, and equation (20) gives the amplitude of the received current at the resonant adjustment. We have thus found the best $V$ and the best $Y^{\text {for }}$ a gicen $U$. It is proposed next to find what would be the best value to give to $U$, while also keeping $V$ at its best value, and thas to determine the best possible $Y$, which we shall call $Y_{\text {mar max }}$.
To obtain the best $U$ we must apply to equation (20) the condition

$$
\frac{\partial Y_{\max }}{\partial U}=0 .
$$

This gives

$$
-\frac{R_{3} M I^{2} \omega^{2} \cdot 2 I}{\left(U^{2}+l_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{R_{3}^{2}+U^{2}}+\left(\frac{R_{3} M M^{2} \omega^{2}}{U^{2}+R_{3}^{2}}+R_{4}\right) \frac{V}{\sqrt{R_{3}^{\prime}{ }^{2}+U^{2}}}=0,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R_{3} M^{2} \omega^{2} U+I_{4} U^{3}+R_{3}{ }^{2} R_{4} U=0 . \tag{20a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whence, omitting for the present the case of $U=0$, which is treated on page 302, we have, after dividing by $U$ and transposing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{o p t}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{3}}{R_{4}}\left(M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the subscript " opt" is introduced to designate the optimum value. At the same time $l^{\prime}$ must satisfy equation (19) which combined with equation (21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{o p t}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{4}}{R_{3}}\left(M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the conditions imposed by equation (19) $U_{o p t}$ and $V_{o p t}$ must either both be positive or both be negative. They cannot have opposite sigus.

Equations (21) and (22) show what values to give $U$ and $V$ in order to obtain the largest possible current (which we shall call $\Sigma_{\text {max max }}$ ) in Circuit IV. 'The value of the current, under these conditions, is found by substituting the optimum value of $U$, namely $U_{\text {opt }}$ of equation (21), into the equation for $Y_{\max }$ (equation 20). When this is done, we have, after simplification,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\max \max }=\frac{E}{2 \sqrt{R_{\mathrm{s}} R_{4}}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (23) gives the max max current in Circuit IV provided equations ( 21 ) and (22) express the optimum resonance relation. Instead of (21) an alternative possible solution of equation $\left(20_{a}\right)$ is

$$
U_{o p t}=0 .
$$

Under this condition, according to equation (19)

$$
\Gamma_{o p t}=0, \text { also. }
$$

This would give by equation (20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{\max \max }\right)_{0}=\frac{E M_{\omega}}{M^{2} \omega^{2}+R_{3} R_{4}} . \tag{23a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The question arises under what conditions ( $\left.Y_{\max \max }\right)_{0}$ of equation (23a) is greater than $Y_{\text {max max }}$ of equation (23). The answer is seen to be that

$$
\left(Y_{\max \max }\right)_{0}>Y_{\max \max }
$$

when

$$
\frac{E M \omega}{M I^{2} \omega^{2}+R_{3} R_{4}}>\frac{E}{2 \sqrt{R_{3} R_{4}}}
$$

that is, when

$$
M_{\omega}+\frac{R_{3} R_{4}}{M \omega}<2 \sqrt{R_{3} R_{4}} .
$$

Squaring,

$$
M^{2} \omega^{2}+2 R_{3} R_{4}+\frac{R_{8}{ }^{2} R_{4}{ }^{2}}{M^{2} \omega^{2}}<4 R_{3} R_{4}
$$

i. e.,

$$
M^{2} \omega^{2}-2 R_{3} R_{4}+\frac{R_{3} R_{4}}{M^{2} \omega^{2}}<0
$$

Extracting square root,

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{\omega}-\frac{R_{3} R_{4}}{M \omega}<0 \\
M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}<0 \tag{23~b}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this case, the conditions (21) and (22) wonld give imaginary value of $\mathrm{U}_{o p t}$ and $V_{o p t}$.

Whence we conclude, that we are to use equations (21), (22) and (23) as solutions of the resonance problems, whenever $M^{2} \omega^{2}>R_{8} R_{3}$. In other cases the alternative values

$$
U_{o p t}=0, \quad V_{o p t}=0, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(Y_{\max \max }\right)_{0}=\frac{E M V_{\omega}}{J^{2} \omega^{2}+R_{3} R_{4}}
$$

are to be used.

## IV. Nemerical Examination of tiie General Renonance Relation.

The equations above derived we shall now submit to numerical examination. Let us first examine the general resonance relation as expressed in equation (19). Replacing $U$ and $V$ in equation (19) by


Figure 5. Theoretical relation of $\lambda_{4}$ to $\lambda_{3}$ for resonance. The different curves are for different values of damping in Circuit III. Given $\tau=.29$.
their values (15), we have the general resonance relation in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{4} \omega}=\frac{M^{2} \omega^{2}\left(L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}\right)}{\left(L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}\right)^{2}+R_{3}^{2}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left(\frac{\omega_{4}}{\omega}\right)^{2}=\frac{\tau^{2}\left\{1-\left(\frac{\omega_{3}}{\omega}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\left\{1-\left(\frac{\omega_{3}}{\omega}\right)^{2}\right\}^{2}+\eta_{3}^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\omega_{4}^{2} & =\frac{1}{L_{4} C_{4}},  \tag{26}\\
\omega_{3}^{2} & =\frac{1}{L_{3} r_{3}^{\prime}} \\
\tau^{2} & =\frac{/^{2}}{L_{3} L_{4}^{\prime}} \\
\eta_{3} & =\frac{R_{3}}{L_{3} \omega^{\prime}} \\
\eta_{t} & =\frac{R_{4}}{L_{4}^{\prime \prime \prime}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

TABLE I.
Showing Resonant Values of $\left.\left(\omega / \omega_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$ Corresponding to Various Values of $\left(\omega / \omega_{3}\right)^{2}$. The Coefficient of Coupling, $\tau$, is assumed to be 29.

|  | $\left(\omega / \omega_{4}\right)^{2}$ for. |  |  | $\left(\omega / \omega_{9}\right)^{2}$. | $\left(\omega / \omega_{4}\right)^{2}$ for. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\omega / \omega_{3}\right)^{2}$. | $\eta_{y_{3}{ }^{2}=0 .}$ | $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.01$. | $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.1$. |  | $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=0$. | $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.01$. | $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.1$. |
| 2.50 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.12 | .95 | .373 | .75 | .96 |
| 2.00 | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.13 | .90 | .54 | .70 | .93 |
| 1.66 | 1.27 | 1.25 | 1.15 | .82 | .70 | .75 | .89 |
| 1.43 | 1.39 | 1.33 | 1.15 | .77 | .78 | .80 | .88 |
| 1.25 | 1.72 | 1.51 | 1.13 | .71 | .82 | .83 | .88 |
| 1.17 | 2.27 | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$. | .67 | .85 | .86 | .89 |
| 1.11 | 6.25 | 1.72 | 1.08 | .50 | .93 | .93 | .93 |
| 1.0 .5 | -1.47 | 1.50 | 1.04 | .30 | .96 | .96 | .96 |
| 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .25 | .97 | .97 | .97 |

Some numerical calculations are given in Table I and plotted in Figure 5. Assuming $\tau=.29$, three different values of $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}$ have been employed in calculating Table I, by the aid of equation (25) namely,
$\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=0$, .01, and .1 , respectively.
$\quad$ Table I and Figure 5 give the resonant values of $\binom{\omega}{\omega_{4}}^{2}$ correspond-
ing to different values of $\binom{\omega}{\omega_{3}}^{2}$. In examining the table and the figure it should be remembered that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=L_{4} C_{4} \omega^{2},  \tag{27}\\
\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{3}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=L_{3} C_{3} \omega^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

in which $\%$ and $\lambda$ are respectively the angular velocity and the wave length of the incident waves; $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ are the wave lengths correspouding to the natural period of Circuits III and IV respectively when standing alone. The abscissas of the curves of Figure 5 are values of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}$, or $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{3}}\right)^{2}$, which equals $L_{3} C_{3} \omega^{2}$; the ordinates are values of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}$, or $\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{4}}\right)^{2}$, which equals $L_{4} C_{4} \omega^{2}$. For fixed values of $L_{3}, L_{4}$, and $\omega$ the abscissas and ordinates are, therefore, proportional to $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ respectively.
V. Tife General Resonance Relation (continued). Special Case Where $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=0$.

An examination of equation (25) shows that when $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=0$ the curve of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}$ vs. $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}$ for resonance is an equilateral hyperbola, with horizontal asymptote at $\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{1-\tau^{2}}$, and vertical asymptote at $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{1-\tau^{2}}$. This curve with its asymptotes is also plotted in Figure 5. In the part of the curves plotted in Figure 5, even when $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}$ is not equal to zero the curve does not appreciably depart from the equilateral hyperbola provided $\eta_{3}{ }^{2} \overline{<} .001$. The corresponding curve in $C_{4}$ vs. $C_{3}$ for $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=0$, or $\eta_{3}{ }^{2} \overline{<} .001$, has its asymptotes at $C_{3}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) L_{3} \omega^{2}}$ and $C_{4}=\frac{1}{\left(1=\tau^{2}\right) L_{4}{ }^{\left(0^{2}\right.}}$, and the equation giving the resonance relation of $C_{4}$ to $C_{3}$ in this case, obtained by a transformation of equation (25), is
(28) $\left(C_{3}-\frac{1}{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) L_{3} \omega^{2}}\right)\left(C_{4}-\frac{1}{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right) L_{4} \omega^{2}}\right)=\frac{\tau^{2}}{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right)^{2} L_{3} L_{4} \omega^{4}}$. vol. xlvi. - 20

This is the equation to which the empirical equation (1) corresponds, provided the height of antemua H is proportional to the capacity of the antenna $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, assumed localized. The condition that $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ should be localized, and particularly the conditions that the incident waves should be undamped, persistent and single-valued, were not fulfilled in the illustrative experiment cited above, and therefore it does not seem important to enter into a detailed comparison of equation (28) with equation (1). This has been partially done in the previous account of the experiment. ${ }^{3}$

The manner in which the resonance relation is affected by the resistance of Circuit III, corresponding to the antenna circuit, is shown in the curves marked $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.01$ and $\eta_{3}{ }^{2}=.1$ of Figure 5 . The resistance $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ of Circnit IV, corresponding to the detector circuit, is without effect in determining the form of these curves, which represent the general resonance relation. On the other hand, $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ does have an effect in determining at which point of the curve of resonance relation the resonance is best, and $R_{4}$ is also significant in determining the sharpness of resonance. Some computations on this subject are given below.

## VI. On the Optinum Resonance Relation.

Let us next examine the conditions for best resonance. We are still concerned merely with the steady-state vibration of the coupled circuits of Figure 1, under the action of the impressed sinusoidal electromotive force. The conditions for best resonance provided $M^{2} \omega^{2}>R_{3} R_{4}$ are given in the equations (21) and (22), which after substitution from (15) become respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{3} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{3} \omega}\right)_{o p t}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{3}}{R_{4}}\left(I^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{4} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{4} \omega}\right)_{o p t}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{4}}{L_{3}}\left(M^{2} \omega^{2}-l_{3} L_{4}\right)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of equation (29) by $\mathrm{L}_{\sqrt[3]{ } \omega}$ and employing the notation of equation (26) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left(\frac{\omega_{3}}{\omega}\right)_{o p t}^{2}= \pm \eta_{3} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^89]In like manner equation (:3) becomes

$$
1-\left(\frac{\omega_{4}}{\omega}\right)_{o p t}^{2}= \pm \eta_{+} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{+}}-1} .
$$

Replacing the ratio of angular velocities by the reciprocal ratio of wave lengths, in accordance with equations (27), we may transiorm equations (31) and (32) into the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}^{2}=\frac{1}{1 \pm \eta_{3} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}^{2}=\frac{1}{1 \pm \eta_{ \pm} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{t}}-1} .} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be borne in mind that in order to get properly corresponding resonant values of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$, one must use either the plus sign in both of the equations or else the minus sign in both the equations. If one employs the plus sign in one of the equations and the minus sign in the other equation, the values of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ so obtained are not appropriate simultaneous adjustments for best resonance. This is seen by an examination of equation (19).

By an examination of the discussion on p. 302 it will be seen that the optimum condition in the form of equations (33) and (34) can be attained only provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}>1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to facilitate the computation of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ for various values of $\tau, \eta_{3}, \eta_{4}$ and $\lambda$, equations (33) and (34) may be put in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \pm \phi_{3}}}, \text { where } \phi_{3}=\eta_{3} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{t}}-1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \pm \phi_{4}}}, \text { where } \phi_{4}=\eta_{ \pm} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1 .} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following table (Table II) gives the values of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt }}$ for various values of $\phi_{s}$.

TABLE II.
Values of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt. }}$ Corresponding to Different Values of $\phi_{3}$. Computed fron Equations (36) and (37).

| $\phi_{3}$. | $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t .}$ |  | $\phi_{3}$. | $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | using + sign. | using - sign. |  | using + sign. | using - sign. |
| . 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.4 | . 646 | Imaginary |
| . 1 | . 953 | 1.054 | 1.5 | . 632 | " |
| . 2 | . 913 | 1.118 | 1.6 | . 620 | " |
| . 3 | . 877 | 1.196 | 1.7 | .608 | " |
| . 4 | . 845 | 1.292 | 1.8 | . 597 | " |
| . 5 | . 817 | 1.414 | 1.9 | . 587 | " |
| . 6 | .791 | 1.581 | 2.0 | .577 | " |
| . 7 | .767 | $1.8 \mathbf{5}$ | 2.1 | . 568 | " |
| . 8 | . 746 | 2.236 | 2.2 | . 559 | " |
| . 9 | .725 | 3.162 | 2.3 | . 550 | " |
| 1.0 | . 707 | $\infty$ | 2.4 | . 542 | " |
| 1.1 | . 690 | Imaginary | 2.5 | . 535 | " |
| 1.2 | . 675 | " | 2.6 | . 527 | " |
| 1.3 | . 660 | " |  |  |  |

These results are plotted in the curves of Figure 6, with $\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t}$ as ordinates and $\phi_{3}$ as abscissas. The same curves give the values of $\binom{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}_{\text {opt }}$ as ordinates provided the abscissas are read as values of $\phi_{4}$. If the lower branch of the curve is used in obtaining the values of $\binom{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda^{2}}_{\text {opt }}$, the same branch must be employed in finding the corresponding values of $\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt }}$. In like manner the top branch of the curve
may be employed in both cases, provided the value of $\phi_{3}$ or $\phi_{4}$ is less than unity. For values equal to mity the wave length for the top branch of the curve is infinite, and for greater values the wave lengths of the top branch become imaginary. In this case the optimum wavelength adjustment becomes single-values and must be read from the lower branch of the curve.


Figure 6. Auxiliary curve to assist in calculation of the optimum resonance adjustment. $\quad \phi_{3}=\eta_{3} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1} . \quad$ This curve also gives optimum value of $\lambda_{4}$ if $\phi_{3}$ is replaced by $\phi_{4}=\eta_{4} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1}$.

As an example of the mamer of using the auxiliary curve (Figure 6) in the actual calculation of the optimnm values of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$, let us take a special case. Suppose $\tau=.30, \eta_{3}=.1$, let us give various values to $\eta_{4}$, and compute the corresponding optimum wave-length adjustments of the Circuits III and IV. A tabulation of the computation follows as Table III.

In compiling this table the values of $\phi_{3}$ and $\phi_{4}$ corresponding to the different values of $\eta_{4}$ were calculated by equations (36) and (:3). The corresponding wavelength ratios were then taken from the curve of Figure 6.

The results contained in Table III are plotted in Figure 7. In a similar way the resonance relations for various values of $\tau$ and of $\eta_{3}$ may also be obtained, but the single example here computed and plotted serves to show the manner in which the coefficient of coupling and the damping factors contribute to determine the optimum resonance adjustment of the two circuits. The important facts to be noted are the following:

1. With given values of the coefficient of coupling and the damping factors of the two circuits the adjustment for best resonance is in general double valued. One may in general get best resonance either by setting both circuits to a wave length appropriately longer than that of the incident waves, or by setting both circuits to a wave length appropriately shorter than the incident waves.

TABLE III.
Computation of Optimum Resonance Values in a Speclal Case, in which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau=.30 \\
& \eta_{3}=.1 \\
& \eta_{4} \text { Is Given Various Values }
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\eta_{4}$ | $\phi_{3}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\overbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt }}}$ | $\overline{\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)_{o p t .}}$ | $\overparen{\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt }}}$ | $\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)_{\text {opt }}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 01 | . 943 | . 094 | . 720 | . 955 | 4.07 | 1.053 |
| . $0^{2}$ | .662 | . 133 | .777 | . 940 | 1.73 | 1.070 |
| . 03 | . 339 | . 162 | . 806 | . 930 | 1.47 | 1.090 |
| . 04 | . 464 | . 186 | . 827 | . 917 | 1.37 | 1.110 |
| . 05 | . 412 | 206 | . 840 | . 910 | 1.30 | 1.123 |
| . 06 | . 374 | . 224 | . 850 | . 905 | 1.26 | 1.135 |
| . 07 | . 345 | . 242 | . 862 | . 900 | 1.23 | 1.148 |
| . 0 S | . 319 | . 255 | . 870 | . 895 | 1.205 | 1.152 |
| . 09 | . 300 | . 270 | . 875 | . 890 | 1.196 | 1.165 |
| . 1 | .282 | . 282 | . 882 | . 882 | 1.180 | 1.180 |
| $\therefore$ | . 187 | . 374 | . 917 | . 853 | 1.110 | 1.262 |
| . 3 | . 141 | . 123 | . 935 | . 837 | 1.078 | 1.310 |
| . 4 | . 112 | . 448 | .950 | .832 | 1.060 | 1.345 |
| .5) | . 089 | . 445 | . 960 | . 830 | 1.048 | 1.340 |
| . 6 | . 071 | .426 | . 970 | . 837 | 1.036 | 1.320 |
| . 7 | . 054 | .378 | .975 | . 852 | 1.032 | 1.262 |
| . 8 | . 085 | .280 | .980 | .885 | 1.010 | 1.175 |
| . 9 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Either pair of values under the brace is to be emplosed simultaneonsly for best resonance.
2. 'The adjustment for best resonance is materially influenced by the resistances of the two circuits. If, for example, with fixed incident
waves one tunes a wireless telegraph system of the coupled type to resonance with the use of a given detector, and then changes to a detector of different resistance, it is necessary to shift the wave length of both of the circuits in order to bring the system back to its best adjustment. 'This is a familiar experience, and serves to explain the


Figure 7. Relation of optimum wave-length adjustment to damping in Circuit IV, for a given value of $\eta_{3}$ and $\tau . \quad\left(\eta_{3}=.1, \tau=.30\right)$.
fact that with a vacuum detector of the type of Fleming's valve or Deforest's Audion or Hewitt's Mercury-arc detector one may attune the receiving station without change of its capacity or inductance merely by bringing a magnet up uear the gaseous path of the detector. This merely changes the resistance of the circuit, and when the change is made in the proper direction and to the proper extent the system is thereby brought into resonance. The same thing may be effected in some cases by changing the strength of the local heating or ionizing current employed with detectors of this type.
3. The shifting of the resonance relation with change of resistance of the circuit has an influence on the sharpness of resonance when one
employs any of the ordinary detectors, becanse the resistance of the detectors is a function of the received current. This is a complex phenomenon and will enter into the consideration of sharpness of resonance, which is disenssed below.
ViI. On tie Siatrpess of Resonance and on the Possibility of Preventing Interference.

By reference to the previons pages it is seen that in order to obtain the best resonance, which gives a maximum of current in Circuit IV, it is necessary to adjust the period of both Circuit III and Cireuit IV. We have given an expression for the value of the maximmm current (that at best resonance) in equation (23), and we have also obtained a general expression for the current in Circuit IV (equation (16)), so that it is now possible to plot the current as best resonance is approacherl, and to form an estimate of the sharpness of resonance, whenever the constants of the circuits are known. 'This may conveniently be done in either of two ways, - which I shall classify as Case I and Case II, as follows:

Case I. Let us assume that the Cirenit III is put at its hest value (efuation (21)), and let us compute the eurrent in Circuit IV as the wave length of Circuit IV is varied. 'This corresponds to fixing the antema cirenit and tuning with the detector circnit.

Case II. Assume Circuit IV to be set at its best value (equation ( $\because 2()$ ) and compute the current in Circuit IV as the wave length of Cireuit III is varied. This corresponds to fixing the constants of the detector circuit and tuming with the antema eircnit.

In either case we must know certain constants of the circuits, and I shall carry through the computation for both cases with several sets of constants. First it is necessary to transform the equations into suitable forms for making the computations.

Derernpment of E'quations fior computing Case I. - The general expression for the amplitude of current in Circuit IV is given in equation (Ifi) ; namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{V: 1 K_{1}}{\sqrt{ }\left(l^{2}-11^{2} \omega^{2}-l_{3} l_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(L_{4} l^{2}+l_{3} I^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us combine with this the condition that $l^{\prime \prime}$ shall have its optimum value, equation ( $\because 2$ ),

$$
l_{o p h}= \pm \mathfrak{l}^{\prime} \frac{l_{3}^{3}}{l_{4}^{\prime}}\left(1 / \omega^{2}-l_{3}^{2} l_{4}\right)
$$

where.$/^{2}\left(\omega^{2}>I_{i} I_{1}\right.$, and let us suppose that $I^{r}$ has, in general, not its optimum value, but a value $k$ times its optimum value ; that is,

$$
V^{\prime}=l_{i} \cdot r_{o p t},
$$

in which $t$ is a variable parameter, which may be positive, negative, whole, or fractional.

Equation (16) then becomes

Replacing $\Gamma_{o p t}$ and $V_{o p t}$ by their values (equations ( 21 ) and ( 22 )) we have after simplification

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\left(U_{o p t}\right)}=\frac{E}{\sqrt{(k-1)^{2}\left(11^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{i} R_{4}\right)+4 R_{3} K_{4}}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now dividing the square of equation (40) by the square of equation (23) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{I_{\left(U_{\text {opt })}\right.}}{Y_{\text {max max }}}\right)^{2} & =\frac{1}{\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1 / 2 \omega^{2}}{R_{3} R_{4}}-1\right)+1}  \tag{41}\\
& =\frac{1}{\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{\star}}-1\right)+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

This equation gives the current in terms of the parameter $k$ provided $\tau^{2}>\eta_{3} \eta_{4}$. Let us now obtain the wave lengths in terms of the same parameter. The values of $V$ and $V_{o p t}$ from equations (15) and (22) substituted in (38) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4} \omega-\frac{1}{C_{4} \omega}= \pm k \sqrt{\frac{R_{4}}{R_{3}}\left(1 I^{2} \omega^{2}-l_{3} R_{4}\right)} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (42) by $L_{4} \omega$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\left(\frac{\omega_{4}}{\omega}\right)^{2} & = \pm k \sqrt{\frac{R_{4}}{R_{8}}\left(M^{2} \omega^{2}-R_{3} R_{4}\right)}  \tag{43}\\
& = \pm k \cdot \eta_{4} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{8} \eta_{4}}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\stackrel{1}{1 \pm l_{i} \phi_{4}} \text {, where } \phi_{4}=\eta_{4} \sqrt{\tau_{\eta_{3}}^{2}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation (44) gives the relation of the wave lengths to the parancter i , and is applicable only provided $/ \mathrm{C}$ has its optinum value and $\tau^{2}-\eta_{s} \eta_{i}$. 'libe condition that $l$ ' have its optimun value is conveniently expressed in the form of equation (3;) above. Equations (41) and (36) must both be used with the same sign in order to be simultanernsly correct.

In case $\tau^{2}=\eta^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}$, equations (11) and (41) cannot be employed. In this case $\mathrm{l}_{\text {ope }}$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\text {opt }}$ are buth zero (see pare 342 ), and a special investiration is necessary. This proves to be simple. Let us take equation ( 16 ), make $U=U_{n, p t}=0$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\left(U_{u p l}\right)}=\frac{E_{i}^{\prime} l_{(1)}}{\sqrt{\left(1 l^{2} u_{3}^{2}+l_{3}^{\prime} l_{4}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+l_{3}^{2} l^{\prime 2}} .} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixpressing this result in terms of $Y_{\text {max }}$ max by dividing equation (45) by equation (2:), we have


$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tau^{2} \\
\eta_{3} \eta_{4}
\end{array}+1\right)^{\tau^{2}}+\frac{1}{4} \eta_{3} \eta_{4} \eta_{4}^{2}\left(1-\binom{\lambda}{\lambda_{4}}^{2}\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqnation (46) is to be employed in place of (4) whenever

$$
\tau^{2} / \eta_{3} \eta_{i} \overline{<} 1 .
$$

An interesting case arises when $\tau^{2} / \eta_{3} \eta_{4}=1$. Equation (16) then simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{V_{\left(I_{\text {m, }}\right)}^{\prime}}{V_{\text {max max }}}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4 \eta_{4}{ }^{2}}\left\{1-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{4}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $+_{i}$ ) holds only when $\tau^{2}=\eta_{4} \eta_{t}$; that is, $M^{2}\left(\omega^{2}=R_{1} l_{i}\right.$. In this case also $l_{o p t}=I_{o p t}=0$.

Compututiou cund Discussion of C'ase I. In the above paragraphs the equations have been derived for the current developed in Circuit

TABLE IV.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Given } \tau=.30 & \tau^{2}=.09 \\
\eta_{3}=.01 & \frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{t}}=900 \\
\eta_{t}=.01 & \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{225(k-1)^{2}+1} \\
\left(\frac{Y^{-}\left(U_{\text {opt })}\right.}{Y_{\text {max max }}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1\right)+1} \\
\left(\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda}\right)= & \frac{1}{1 \pm k \eta_{4} \sqrt{\tau^{2}}-1}=\frac{1}{1 \pm .3 \mathrm{k}}
\end{array}
$$

| $k$. | $\lambda_{4} / \lambda$ |  | $\left[\frac{Y}{\hat{Y}_{m m}}\right]^{2}$ | $k$. | $\lambda_{4} \lambda$ |  | $\left[\frac{Y}{1} \frac{Y m}{}\right]^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With plus sign. sign. | With minus sign. |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { With plus } \\ \text { sign. } \end{gathered}$ | With minus sign. |  |
| 1.8 | . 806 | 1.490 | . 007 | . 95 | . 882 | 1.183 | . 640 |
| 1.7 | . 814 | 1.428 | . 009 | . 9 | . 887 | 1.170 | . 307 |
| 1.6 | . 822 | 1.387 | . 012 | . 8 | . 898 | 1.147 | . 100 |
| 1.5 | . 831 | 1.348 | . 018 | . 7 | . 909 | 1.125 | . 047 |
| 1.4 | . 839 | 1.313 | . 027 | . 6 | . 921 | 1.104 | . 027 |
| 1.3 | . 848 | 1.280 | . 047 | . 5 | . 933 | 1.084 | . 018 |
| 1.2 | . 857 | 1.250 | . 100 | . 4 | . 945 | 1.066 | . 012 |
| 1.1 | . 868 | 1.222 | . 307 | . 3 | . 958 | 1.048 | . 009 |
| 1.05 | . 872 | 1.208 | . 640 | . 2 | . 971 | 1.030 | . 007 |
| 1.0 | . 877 | 1.196 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |

IV for any adjustment of this circuit, while Circuit III is kept at its optimum value. This corresponds to keeping the antenna circuit of a wireless telegraph station set at its best value for given conditions and tuning the system by variation of Circuit IV. Assuming any given constants of the two circuits and any given wave length of incident waves, we can now make computations which will give the shape
and form of the resonance comve. In making the calculations if $\Delta H^{2} 0^{2}-l_{3} l_{4}$ we can take convenient values of the parameter $h$ and calculate the wave-length adjustment corresponding to the given valnes of $h$ (equation 14 ) and also the relative current for the same values of $f$ : eqnation 11 . The results will give rehative current correrpmoling to various wave-length adjnstment.s.


Figt me: Sesonance curve givine thometical relation of eurrent-square
 hene of the optimum adjuetment of Circuit IV when Cireuit III is at optimum —for $n_{3}-.111, \tau=.30$.

Ciser $I$, ith $\eta_{4}=01, \eta_{7}=.01, \tau=.30-A$ sample set of computed re-nlts assuming $\tau=.3 n, \eta_{8}=.01$ and $\eta_{4}=.01$ is given in Table [V.

These results are photed as the two curves marked " $\eta_{4}=.111$ " of Figure - The absecosas are valnes of the wave-length arljustment relative to the ware length of the incident waves $\left(\lambda_{4} \lambda_{\text {, }}\right.$. The ordinates are values of $\left(\frac{Y}{\zeta_{\text {max max }}}\right)^{2}$ but since $\zeta_{\text {max max }}^{+}=\frac{E_{2}}{2} l_{i_{3}} l_{i}^{\prime}$, and since all the curres of the figure are plotted with constant $R_{3}$ (i.e., constant $\eta_{\text {an }}$ ), constant $E$, and constant $M$. it is perhaps more instructive to regard the ordinates of Figure $s$ as relative valnes of $V^{2} l_{i}$, and ther are so designated in the figure. Referring again to the figure, these two curves marked " $\eta_{t}=.01$ " are obtained as resonance curves
of square of current in Circuit IV plotted against wave-length adjnstment of Circuit IV, and are obtained by setting Circuit III at its best value and leaving it at that value during the tuning. The appropriate best-ralue settings of the wave length of Circuit III are indicated by the positions of the two lines marked " $\eta_{4}=.01$ " at the lower marsin of the figure. The short-wave adjustment of $\lambda_{3}$ (at $\lambda_{3} \lambda=.57 i$ ) is required for the short-wave resonance curve (with its maximm at $\lambda_{4} \lambda=.575$, and the long-wave arlinstment of $\lambda_{3}\left(\right.$ at $\left.\lambda_{3} \lambda=1.1: 16\right)$ is required for the lons-wave resonance curve (with maximum at $\lambda_{4} \lambda=1.1966^{\circ}$. It is seen that in this particular cane, with $y_{3}=y_{4}=.11$, the resonant andistment of Circuit III and that of Cirenit IV have the same wave-lensth $\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}$ : and becanse of the smalluess of the damping facturs $y_{3}$ and $\eta_{4}$, the two curves are sharp.
 $\eta_{\mathrm{s}}=.1$. - supmenow that we wive to Cirenit $I V$ a higher resistance so that $\|_{4}=.1$. 'Ihis may be done hy using a higher revistance detector in (irenit $\mathbb{N}$. 'This will cut down the maxinum value of the comrent in 'irenit $\mathbb{N}$, bat will leave the square of the current times the rexistance (namely, $y^{*} / l_{4}$ ) the same as before, su that the come in terms of $)^{\text {re }} h_{4}$ will have the same maximam amplitude for $\eta_{t}=.1$ as for $\eta_{t}=.11$. Complete campatations from equations (14) and (11) show that the curve will have the form and pwition siven in
 adjustment of Cirenit 111 is siven by the lime marked " $\boldsymbol{y}_{4}=.1$ " of the lower marein. The curve soms wint to the risht, alsw marked $"_{n}=.1 "$ in Fismes s, is a part of am, ther posilhe resonance curve in this cate. This second resmance curve commates beyond the limet of the figure with its maximum at $\lambda_{4} \lambda=4$. h, and requires the aljustment of $\lambda_{3} \lambda$ at $1.0 \%$.

The results of the computatims in this cave show in an interesting maner the necesity of tuning both cirenits to get remance, and -how how marke fly the alfustment of (irenit IV may he affected by the alljustment of 'irenit $1 \|$ : since with the constants. here asomed, the change of Cirenit $1 / 1$ from $\lambda_{3:} \lambda=.\left\{16\right.$ to $\lambda_{3}, \lambda=1.0$ necessitates the shifting of $\lambda_{4} / \lambda$ from .716 th 4.1 s . The remance in the former case is wharp, and that in the latter case is very dull.
 moln $=1$. We obtain the resonance carve marked $\eta_{4}=1.0$ (Figure $s$ ) with apropriate adjustment of $\lambda_{3} / \lambda_{4}$ at . $!$ sib. In this case the second resmance value in the region of hons waves is imaginary.
(isis $I$ (comtimner). $\tau=.30, \eta_{3}=.01$, whik $\|_{4}=9$ - This is the spectial case requiring the use of equation ( $45^{-}$) and gives the curve
marked " $\eta_{4}=9$," requiring $\lambda_{3}$ ' $\lambda$ to be 1.0. This curve is almost flat on top and for this condition tuming with Circuit IV is impossible. There is left here, as with all the curves of this figure, the alternative of leaving Circuit IV fixed at its best value and tuning with Circuit III. This is Case II, which we presently come to consider. It is proposed first, however, to present a new set of curves under Case I with a


Figcre 9. Curves similar to Figure 8 , but with $\eta_{3}=.1, \tau=.30$.
larger damping factor $\left(\eta_{3}\right)$ in Circuit III, corresponding to the antenna circuit.

Cuse $I$ (continued). Assuming $\tau=.30, \eta_{3}=.1$, and $\eta_{4}$ various. The curves for these conditions are plotted in Figure 9 for only the short-wave adjustment. The corresponding long-wave adjustments are presented in Figure 10.

The Eipuations and Computation of Case II. - It is now proposed to set Circuit IV at its best value, with any given constants of circuits, and to tune with Circuit III. The equations giving the current in Circuit IV for various values of wave length of Circuit III, are obtained from (41) and (44) by a simple interchange of subscripts, giving
where $k$ is determined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{1 \pm k i \eta_{3} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{\eta_{3} \eta_{4}}-1}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a comparison of (48) and (49) with equations (41) and (44) it will be seen that the method of Case II will give sharper resonance


Figure 10. Long-wave adjustments corresponding to the short-wave adjustments of Figure 9.
than that of Case I whenever $\eta_{3}<\eta_{4}$. With the high resistance detectors in ordinary use in wireless telegraphy, $\eta_{3}$ is generally much less than $\eta_{4}$, and the sharp tuning is best attained by the method of Case II ; that is, by fixing the condenser circuit (Circuit IV) by successive approximations to a setting somewhere near its best value for the given incident waves, and then making the final adjustment by changing Circuit III.

Three numerical examples are given in the curves of Figure 11. For all these curves $\tau$ is assumed to be .30 , and $\eta_{4}$ is taken as 1.0 . The values of $\eta_{3}$ are marked on the respective curves.

Application to Actual Wireless Telegraphic Cases. - Now the corresponding actual wireless-telegraph resonance curves taken with coupled circuits, one of which has distributed capacity, will be less sharp than the corresponding curves here computed and drawn, so that as soon as we know the damping constants and the coefficient of coupling of the wireless-telegraph circuits we can select from the curves here computed
a set of curves that will be more selective than the wireless-telegraph curves, and we can in this way fix a limit to the sharpness of resonance that can be attained in practice. Some computations of this character on the extent to which interference can be prevented under certain conditions, assumed as practical, have been published elsewhere. ${ }^{4}$


Figure 11. Resonance curves obtained by tuning with Circuit III while (ircuit IV is at best value. $\tau=30, \eta_{\mathrm{t}}=1$.

Vili. The Maxinum Current, and Detector Resistance.
Equation (23) is an expression for the maximum current that can be obtained in Circuit IV, when the inductances and capacities of Circuits III and IV are given their best values.
An interesting fact that can be obtained from an examination of equation (23) is that the square of the current multiplied by $l_{4}$ wives a quantity independent of $l_{t^{+}}$. This means that the heat developed in Circuit IV is independent of $P_{4}$; that is to say, the same amount of heat is developed in Circuit IV, at best resonance, whether a hichresistance or a low-resistance detector is employed. This mems that, if the detector is an instrument for measuring heat, a low-resistance detector would be as sensitive as a high-resistance detector if it were not for the fact that the lower the resistance of the detector the less the proportion of the total heat that is developed in the detector itself,

[^90]because of the larger development of heat in the rest of the Circuit IV. Since the resonance is sharper with the low-resistance circuit, the resistance of a thermal detector, provided its indications are proportional merely to the heat developed in it, ought to be as low as is consistent with the localization of a large part of the energy in the detector ; that is, for example, in order to get $9 / 10$ of the maximum effect, the resistance of the detector, if its indications are proportional merely to the heat developed in it, ought to be nine times the high-frequency resistance of the rest of Circuit IV.

Similar considerations apply to a detector of the electrodynamometer type. If the deflections of the electrodynamometer are proportional to $n^{2} Y^{2}$, where $n$ is the number of turns of wire in the coil, and if the size of the chamel of windings is fixed so that the resistance $R$ of the detector is $\frac{\rho l}{S}, l$ and $S$ being the length and cross section of the wire in the coil, then we have

$$
l=2 \pi r \cdot n,
$$

in which $r$ is the mean radius of the windings; and approximately

$$
S=\frac{A}{n},
$$

A being the area of the channel.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=\frac{\rho 2 \pi r n^{2}}{A} \\
R \sim n^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Whence if the deflection,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D \sim n^{2} Y^{2}, \\
& D \sim R Y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this, $R$ is the resistance of the detector alone. Now according to equation (23) the quantity of $R_{4} \gamma^{2}$ is not changed by changing $R_{4}$. Hence if the resistance $R$ is made nine times the resistance of the rest of Circuit IV, the deflection of the high-frequency dynamometer will be $9 / 10$ as large as would be obtained with a detector of very high resistance, and the resonance with the low resistance detector will be much sharper than with the detector of very high resistance.

However, it must be borne in mind that this conclusion holds only between different detectors of the same type, and presupposes that the factor by which $R Y^{2}$ is to be multiplied to get the deflection or other vol. xlvi. - 21
indication is independent of $R$. In the case of the dynamometer or hot-wire ammeter the factor of convertibility of the energy of rapid alternations into deflection is probably fairly constant but is small; whereas, with certain other types of detectors, notably the electrolytic and the crystal rectifiers the convertability of the energy of rapid alternations into direct current energy is not constant, and appears to be relatively large only provided the resistance of the detector is large. This has constrained wireless telegraphic practice to high-resistance detectors, with the consequent deficiency in sharpness of resonance. The analysis given in the present paper shows that there is no inherent necessity in using these high-resistance detectors provided only detectors of lower resistance can be found with a large efficiency in converting electric energy of rapid alternations into energy of direct current or slowly-varying periodic current.
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# THE AC'IION OF MERCURY ON S'TEEL A'T HIGII PRESSURES. 

By P. W. Bridgman.<br>Presented by John Trowbridge, November 9, 1910. Received October 28, 1910.

Under ordinary circumstances steel and mercury are inert with respect to each other, as is shown by the possibility of carrying mercury for indefinite periods of time in steel flasks. But there seems to be a widely spread notion that under higher pressures there may be some action not operative at lower pressures. This possibility is usually ascribed to the extraordinary mobility of the mercury molecule. For instance, every one who has had experience in making joints for pressures of a few atmospheres knows that mercury will easily find its way through holes impervions to water or less viscous fluids. It has therefore been thought probable that under higher pressures the easily moving mercury molecule might be forced through the very pores of the solid metal itself, and that in consequence it might be impossibie to hold mercury at all in metal receptacles at high pressures. This view has received its highest confirmation from some often cited experiments of Amagat. Amagat ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ has described how in one case mercury was forced by a pressure of 3000 atmospheres in a fine spray through 8 cm . of cast steel, in which no flaw could be afterward detected with the microscope. Amagat explained this effect in the way suggested above by assuming that the mercury was forced by the high pressure through the very intermolecular pores of the solid steel. It is worthy of notice that it was found possible to avoid this difficulty merely by making another apparatus with thicker steel parts. There is also work by Cailletet and Collardean ${ }^{2}$ on the vapor pressure of mercury at high temperatures that seems to demand in explanation

1 Amagat, Ann. de Chim. et Phys. (6), 29, 87-8S (1893); also Compt. Rend. March 2, 1885.
${ }^{2}$ Cailletet, Colardeau and Riviere, Compt. Rend. 130, 1585-1591 (1900).
that the mercury was forced through solid steel by comparatively low pressures at sufficiently high temperatures.

In measurements undertaken by the author of varions physical constants at high pressures, this question of the action of mercury and steel became of vital importance. For instance, the methods adopted to measure compressibility assumed that there was no penetration of the mercury into the steel containing vessel, as do also the methods used more recently in determining the variation with pressure of the freezing temperature of mercury and its change of volume on freezing. The preliminary work at low pressures made it seem probable that at least over the pressure range used by Amagat the effect described by him does not really exist in the grades of steel used by him, and that the observed effect was due more likely to Haws in the steel. At the same time it was found that at higher pressures there is undoubtedly an effect important enough to demand the redesigning of the apparatus for the measurement of the change of volume on freezing. It is the purpose of this paper to describe the various experiments made to prove the undoubted existence of the effect, and to offer a qualitative explanation. The effect was run across only incidentally, and it was examined only so much as was necessary for the work in hand. No endeavor has been made to make the experimental investigation or the explanation complete, as this would lead too far afield.

The effect was first found during an attempt to measure the change of volume of mercury on freezing ly a method similar in many respects to that of Tammann. ${ }^{3}$ It was found that cylinders of hardened chrome nickel steel would support very much less internal pressure when this pressure was transmitted by mercury than when the transmitting fluid was some other liquid such as water. The pressure might be less in the ratio of three or four to one; thus cylinders which stood without breaking 24000 atmospheres when the pressure was transmitted to the interior by a mixture of water and glycerine broke on the next application of pressure at $5-8000$ atmos. if the transmitting Huid were mercury. These few preliminary experiments under varying conditions made the existence of an effect seem probable but pointed to nothing conclusively. It might well be that there was a Haw ruming the entire length of the steel bar from which all these pieces were cut, into which the mercury forced its way in consequence of its greater mobility, in preference to the water, or it might be that there was here a fatigue effect, the steel breaking more readily on the second application of pressure with the mercury because of the exceedingly high pressure to which it had been previonsly exposed by
the water. This explanation, however, was opposed by all previous experience with this steel. In any event, the effect of the mercury was entirely different from that found by Amagat, as there was never any tendency for the mercury to squirt throngh the steel, but there was always sudden rupture, the cylinder cracking down one side in a plane containing the axis. 'To show conclusively that a cylinder of hardened nickel steel will really not stand so much pressure when the transmitting liquid is merenry as when it is some other liquid such as water, the following experiment was undertaken. A bar of this special steel (Krupp Special Chrome Nickel Steel E. F. 60.0) was cut into twelve pieces each $5 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ long and $2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. (See Figure 1.) The pieces were numbered and their orientation in the original bar carefully noted. 'Ihey were then each pierced with a $\frac{1_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ hole reamed to size, turned on the outside true with the hole, and hardened by heating to a bright cherry red and quenching in a heavy tempering oil. Every other cylinder (Nos. 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11) was filled with mercury and tested by applying pressure to the mercury by means of a piston actuated by a hydraulic press. 'The test conditions of these different cylinders were varied somewhat by changing the rapidity with which pressure was applied ; in other respects the conditions were the same. The other cylinders were tested in a similar way, except that the fluid transmitting the pressure was not mercury; being in four of the six cases water and glycerine, in the others ether and carbon disulphide respectively. The pressure in the test cylinders was determined from the pressure of the fluid actuating the hydraulic ram, multiplying in the ratio of the areas of the two pistons. An unknown error is introduced here


Figure 1. Form of the test cylinders broken with mercury. by the friction of the packing, but in other experiments with similar cylinders in which the pressure inside the small cylinders was measured directly it was found that the error so introduced was nearly constant and easy to correct for. The correction so found was used in the results to be given. In any event, the correction is less than the irregularities introduced by other causes.

The accompanying table (see Table I) shows the results found with the six cylinders containing mercury. The pressure was increased more slowly for the higher numbered cylinders ; with the four first the

Table I.

| No. of C'eylinder. | Breaking Pressure Kgm $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | Rate of Increase of lressure. | Total Euration. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Location } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Crack. } \end{gathered}$ | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10250 | 1250 kgm . in 30 sec. | $3 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$. | $-123^{\circ}$ | $\int \begin{gathered}\text { Pressure on } 1 \text {, } \\ 3,5.7 \text { was in- }\end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | 4750 | " 6 " | $1 \frac{1}{3}$ " | $+107^{\circ}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { creased uni- } \\ \text { formly }\end{array}\right.$ |
| 5 | 3250 | 1250 kgm . in 60 sec . | $1 \frac{2}{6}$ | +64 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Broke on sec- } \\ \text { ond trial } \end{array}\right.$ |
| 7 | 3375 | 625 kgm . in 60 sec. | $4{ }_{4}^{1} 3$ | $+11^{\circ}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Leaked on first } \\ \text { at } 2750 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 9 | 4000 | 1500 for $3 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$. 2750 for 10 min . breaks at 4000 after $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$. | 14 " | $-25^{\circ}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Pressure on } 9 \\ \text { and } 11\end{array}\right.$ |
| 11 | 3000 | At $2 \frac{2}{2} 50$ for 46 min . Breaks at 3000 after 5 min . | 51 " | $-106^{\circ}$ | $\int \begin{aligned} & \text { creased dis- } \\ & \text { continuously } \end{aligned}$ |

pressure was increased uniformly at the rate indicaterl, while with the two others it was increased in discontinuous steps as shown. In making the tests, pressure was first pushed to 1500 atmos. and kept there for several minutes to make sure that there was no leak and everything was in working order. The time given in the duration column is exclusive of the time occupied by the preliminary application of 1500. It is evident that the data admit of no quantitative comparison as the great discrepancy between tests 1 and 3 made under as nearly as possible the same conditions shows. However, these two tests were marle with a high rate of increase of pressure. Those made with a slower rate show much more consistent results. In general it appears that the slower the rate of increase of pressure the lower the bursting pressure, which appareutly has as its lower limit about 3000 atmos. The data are not inconsistent with the view that there is a critical pressure which will produce rupture if applied for an infinite time ; pressures above this produce rupture in constantly less times.

The location of the crack is an important consideration. The crack in each cylinder is in an axial plane, extending the entire length of the cylinder. It was located by measuring the angular distance from a fiducial line marked the length of the bar from which the pieces were
cut. The diagram (see Figure 2) showing the location of the crack in the several cylinders makes clear that the rupture does not take place along a flaw in an axial plane extending originally thronghout the eutire bar. Furthermore, since the mamer of fracture of each cylinder demands that the flaw be in an axial plane if the fracture is due to a flaw, and since it seems improbable that a flaw throughout the length of one cylinder should not extend into the neighboring cylinders, the conclusion seems justified that the rupture is not due to a flaw.

Now compare with this the tests for the other set of six cylinders from the same bar. (See Table II.)

The difference between these


Figure 2. Orientation of the crack with respect to the original bar in the six cylinders broken with mercury. two sets of tests is sufficiently striking. Not one of the cylinders filled with a fluid other than mercury was broken during the tests, although in several cases the test was terminated by an irrelevant accident. The

TABLE II.

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { cylin- } \\ \text { der. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maximum } \\ \text { Pressure } \\ \frac{\mathrm{Kgm}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{2}} . \end{gathered}$ | Manner of applying Pressure. | Remárks. | Fluid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 23500 | Rate of inerease not noted | Packing plug blows out | Glycerine and water |
| 4 | 19000 | From 1500 to 15000 at rate of 1250 in 30 sec. Held at 15000 for $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$., 17500 for 3 min . | Packing plug blows out | Cilycerine and water |
| 6 | 15200 | From 1500 to 11000 uniformly in $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~min}$. Held here for 3 min., then at 13500 for 1 min . | Terminated by leak | Glycerine and water |
| 8 | 24000 | 15 min . in reaching max. Held here for 6 min . | Leak after 6 min. | Clycerine and water |
| 10 | 20250 | Held at 16500 for 2 min .; at 20250 for 45 sec. | $\underset{\text { Plug blows }}{\text { out }}$ | Ether |
| 12 | 24000 | 1 hour in reaching max. at uniform rate. Held her for S min. | No leak | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ |

maximum pressure reached by three of the cylinders without breaking was 24000 atmos., over 8 times the lowest breaking pressure of the cylinders filled with mercury, and nearly $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times the maximum breaking pressure. Greater pressure than 24000 atmos. was not applied because the limit of the press was reached. Of course the stress produced in a cylinder by internal Huid pressure depends only on the pressure in the fluid and not on the nature of the fluid. It must be, then, that the mercury takes some special part in producing rupture quite apart from the stress produced by it.

The maguitude of the fluid pressures mentioned here requires brief comment, because without a word of explanation it may seem so large as to cast discredit on the accuracy of all the data. In the first place, a steel of the kind described above with the indicated heat treatment is the only steel known to the author that will stand the pressures used. The best grades of carbon tool steels will not stand much more than $180 \%$ atmos. As to the measurement of the pressure, similar apparatus has been used up to 1300 atmos. and the pressure measured directly with an absolute gauge with an accuracy of $\frac{1}{10}$ per cent. Absolnte measurements have not been made above this, and it is possible that the friction of the packing may become mexpectedly large, althongh no evidence of this has been found. However, it makes no difference what the friction above 13000 is; the fact stands incontestable, for the breakage produced by mercury is in a region open to easy direct measmrement, while the other cylinders have stood a pressure certainly several-fold greater.

All these results so far were obtained with hardened chrome nickel steel. Search was now made for the same effect in other steels. A cylinder of nickel steel of similar dimensions to the above, but left soft, was tried. 'This was filled with mercury. Pressure was kept at 8000 for 3 hours, then pushed gradually to 15000 , where the increasing nonelastic stretch became so great as to let the mercury past the packing. The behavior here was exactly like that of a similar cylinder filled with water and stretched beyond the elastic limit: the elastie limit in the two cases was the same, as also the mamer of yield and the shape into which the eylinder was deformed. Apparently, then, mercury exerts 10 selective action on the soft nickel steel. A similar cerlinder of bessemer steel filled with mercury was left exposed to :3im) atmos. for 1.t hours, and subsefuently the pressure was incrased until the mercury blew past the packing, exactly as for similar cylinders filled with water. It shomld be noted that non-elastic yield oceurs for bessemer steel at pressures much lower than :30\%, perhaps as low as 2onotmos. The explanation finally adopted attathes some significance to the value
of the elastic limit. The two cylinders above were tested at pressures beyond this limit. To test whether a soft cylinder would be broken by a pressure under the elastic limit if the pressure were sufliciontly prolonged, a cylinder of soft nickel steel filled with mercury was exposed for three weeks to 4000 atmos. without rupture. At the end of three weeks, pressure was increased and rupture took place at 12000 atmos. The break was remarkable, as the cylinder showed no preliminary stretch as all soft steels do, but snapped like a piece of hardened tool steel. This may have been due to fatigue from the prolonged application of pressure, or it may be that weakening by the action of mercury had started at the lower pressure and that the cylinder would have finally broken at 4000 , had the application been sufficiently prolonged.

An attempt was made to make a series similar to that on the nickel steel on cylinders cut from a bar of tool steel containing 1.25) per cent carbon. Several of these cylinders cracked in hardening so that a complete set of observations could not be made. The few successful tests made with the hardened tool steel cylinders, however, confirm the conclusions reached with the hardened


Figure 3. Another form of test cylinder for water or mercury, made of hardened nickel steel. nickel steel. Thus one cylinder with no hardening flaw burst at 4000 atmos. when filled with mercury, and a similar cylinder, which had a hardening flaw in it, broke at 6500 on the second application of pressure when filled with water.

Another series of tests was now tried which gave the clue to the final explanation. Pressure was applied to cylinders of the form shown (see Figure 3), in which the end opposite the piston is left solid, and may be of varying thickness. In the first tests this bottom was left only $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ thick. The cylinders were made of the same nickel steel as above, hardened in oil. One, filled with mercury, ruptured by blowing out of the bottom at a pressure of 2400 atmos. The bottom blew out of a second in which the transmitting fluid was water, at a pressure of 12700 atmos. The manner of rupture was very different in the two cases. When water was used, the bottom was blown out in the form of a clean punching, slightly less in diameter than the hole and slightly bulged as one would expect. (See Figure 4.) On the other hand, the piece blown out by the mercury was in the form of a conical cap twice
the diameter of the hole, as indicated in Figure 5. The structure of the ruptured metal from $I$ to $B$ was very coarse and granular, remarkably like the structure of brass made rotten by mercury. Between the grains of the steel


Figtre 1. Form of the break of the celinder of Figure : when the fluid exerting the pressure is water. were minnte drops of mereury, and the fractured surface was partially wetted by mercury when phunged beneath a mercury surface. The only clean break was around the edge of the cap $(B C)$, where the rupture took the form of a clean shear as for the piece broken with water.

The corresponding tests with tool steel cylinders were hard to carry out becanse of loss of the cylimlers on hardening. However, two successful
tests were made. I'he bottom of one cylinder, when tilled with mercury, blew off at 2700 atmos, while a similar celinder filled with water did not fail mutil $52(0)$ atmos. The manner of failure was different from that of the nickel steel, the detached piece being in the form of a frustum of a cone, as shown in Figure 6. The furm of this cap was the same for both water and mercury, the only


Figcre 6. Form of the break in a cylinder like that of Figure 3 of hardened tool steel when the fluid exerting the pressure is mercury.

Figire 5. Form of the break of the cylinder of Figure 3 when the fluid
exerting the pressure is Figure 3 when the fluid
exerting the pressure is mercury.
 difference being that the one fractured with mereury showed unmistakable amalgamation over a limited region ( $A B$ ). This, together with the curious fracture of the nickel steel piece, suggested the amalgamation of steel by pressure as a possible explanation.

Experiment was also made on two cylinders of tool steel similar to the above, except that the bottom was $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ thick instead of $\frac{1_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{8}$. The cylinder filled with water stretched nonelastically and leaked at a pressure of 8000 atmos., while that filled with mercury failed at 4000 by the blowing ont of mercury along a crack. This break was more like that described by Amagat than any other in the course of these experiments, but even here the break was
distinctly visible, while Amagat states that he could find no trace of the crack with a microscope. (See Figure 7.)

In all these tests of the soft steel cylinders the punched ont pieces were found more or less amalgamated around the sheared edges. In particular, when the cylinder of soft tool steel last mentioned was cut so that the fissure was exposed, it showed beantiful amalgamation over the entire surface of the rupture. 'I'his led to a short investigation of the possibility of amalgamating iron or steel. It has been known for some time that mercury is capable of dissolving a small quantity of iron, and that conversely an iron surface may be amalgamated by mercury. 4 This amalganation is a matter of some difficulty, which may be made to take place by certain chemical or electrical reactions, but


Figure 7. Form of the fracture in a cylinder of soft tool steel when the fluid exerting the pressure is mercury. under ordinary conditions does not occur at atl. It dues not scem to have been noticed that under proper conditions the amalgamation of iron is a matter of the greatest ease, the difficulty under ordinary conditions being


Figure S. Apparatus for fracturing steel under the surface of mercury. due apparently to a thin protecting layer of oxide. The following experiment showed strikingly how great the affinity between clean iron and mercury is. A piece of iron was broken underneath a mercury surface so that the freshly ruptured surface came directly in contact with the mercury. The diagram illnstrates the form of experiment. (See Figures.) The test piece, in the shape of a thin hollow cylinder, is covered with mercury in an iron receptacle, and broken by forcing a welge into the hole. In every case the broken surface is brightly and completely amalganated, the mercury wetting it exactly as water wets a surface to which it adheres. The same result was obtained with hard and soft nickel steel, hard and soft tool steel, bessemer steel

[^91]both in its natural state and quenched from a red heat, and with cast iron. ${ }^{5}$ The same effect is shown by the same grades of steel when broken off by twisting under a mercury surface, showing that the amalgamation does not depend on the nature of the rupturing stress. This effect was shown in a striking way by one of the hardened tool steel cylinders. (Sce Plate.) This cylinder broke on the first application of pressure at 1500 atmos. Examination showed a small hardening crack at A from which the crack spread into the sound metal. The mercury, escaping under pressure through the freshly opened crack, produced nearly complete amalgamation of the entire section of the cylinder, as the photograph shows.

But on the other hand, all attempts failed to amalgamate the freshly broken surface if it had once come in contact with the air. A test piece like the above was supported directly over a mercury surface so that the fragments fell immediately into the mercury when rupture occurred. No trace of amalgamation was obtained here, as was also no trace with several modified forms of the experiment in which immersion was not so immediate.

Experiments were now made to find whether the amalgamation so produced might be made to work its way throngh the mass of the metal. Fragments of the above amalgamated test pieces were sealed into a bulb containing mercury so designed that the pieces should be kept below the surface of the mercury. The air was boiled out, the bulb sealed, and the whole kept at a temperature of $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for three hours in an oil bath. The pieces were then examined for amalgamation by breaking them across. The hardened nickel steel piece showed amalgamation throughont its entire mass, the bessemer showed isolated splotches of amalgamation, while none of the others showed any effect. Similar amalgamated bits from the broken test pieces were now submerged in mercury, which was subjected to a pressure of 6500 atmos. at room temperature for six hours. All of the specimens, except the soft tool steel, now showed amalgamation thronghout the interior. 'The hardened nickel steel piece was amalgamated completely, while the amalgamation of the others was not so perfect, being confined to patches near the surface.
l'urther, pressure by itself is not capable of producing amalgamation

[^92]on a steel surfice or in the mass of the metal unless the amalgamation has been started on the surface by some other means. This was shown by subjeeting rods of the three kiuds of steel (hard aud soft nickel steel, hard and soft tool steel, soft and quenched bessemer) to a pressure of about 6 (inoo atmos. for twelve hours or more mider meremry. These rods were scoured bright with fine emery paper immerliately before being plunged into the mercury. The fracture after pressure treatment showed not the slightest trace of amalgamation. Reference may be made to some earlier expriments in which no gain of weight could be detected in steel pieces subjected to hydrostatic pressure in mercury. The possibility of amalgamation by pressure was also tried in another form. A hollow cylinder of hardened nickel steel was submerged in mercury, and pressure applied to the outside. The only difference between this and the case of the solid rod is that in the former the stress throughout the metal is not uniform, as it is in the latter. It was thought conceivable that mercury might be forced through metal in which the stress was not the same in every direction, while it might show no tendency to work its way through a mass in which the stress was already hylrostatic. The experiment, however, showed no amalgamation in this case either.

This test for amalgamation by examining the nature of the fracture showed itself so easy to apply and so unmistakable in its indications that it was now applied to the examination of the cylinders which had formed the subject of the first tests. The possibility of the amalgamation of the cylinders as an explanation had at first been discarded because the inner wall of the cylinder, where it was to be most expected, showed no indications of any amalgamation and because attempts to detect the presence of mercury throughout the mass of the metal by microscopic analysis of the polished and etched cross section had given no result. Professor Sanveur had been kind enough to examine four test pieces cut from different cylinders, three of which were ruptured with mercury and one with water. He found martensitic structure in the three former pieces, and only a very fine granular structure in the other. There was no visible trace of mercury in the pores, nor anything to suggest amalgamation. The differences of structure might be due merely to the slight differences in heat treatment occasioned by the separate hardening of the cylinders. More careful regulation of the conditions would be necessary to settle this point.

The fracture test was applied by cutting a scarf about $l_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ deep all around the cylinder with a thin emery wheel, and then breaking the cylinder at this scarf with a hammer. All the cylinders broken with the mercury showed the same characteristies. (See Figure 9.) The
crack was in a radial plane; surromnding this crack on either side was a band within which the coarser structure and the silver luster showed that the steel had been amalgamated. Besides this band of amalgmation flanking the crack, which was present in every cylimler, there were other irregular ondehes of amatgation growing either from the central hole or from the flanking band. No cases were fonnd of isolated islames of amalgamation in the midst of untonched metal. The diagram gives an idea of


Fittre 9. The form of the amalgamatad region in celinders like those of Figure 1 when broken with mercury. typieal forms of this amialgamation, although so many varieties were seldom fomed in one specimen. In one specimen the amalgamation had grown in the form of a nearly complete ring with no contact with the interior except through the infected region about the crack, in the mamer shown in the drawing. The tool steel cylinders showed the same effect, excent that the amalgamated band abrut the crack was not so broand as for the mickel steel, and the other patches of amalgamation were less numerous. The pecaliar fracture indicating amalgamation was found in none of the eytinders which were broken in the absence of mercury. Several such eylinders were examined, some of hard or soft tool steel, and some of nickel steel, broken with water after the repeated action of the maximm pressure of 24000 atmos.

Such are the experimental facts which must be explained. It seems evident that the premature breaking of the cylinters filled with meremry was due to the weakening of the steel produced hy amalgamation. The fact of amalgamation seems sufficiently proved by the stuly of the fracture. 'Ihat amalgamated steel would be weaker than the mitonehed ateel seems obvinus enongh withont the necessity of speciah experiment to prove it The fact was provel incidentally several times, however, when parts of the steel packing appliances which had been used with the mercury cylinders were used over again with the cylinders filled with water. The parking phugs in these circumstances always broke at a pressure very much less than the nomal breaking pressure and with a fracture showing bright amalgamation. In explanation of the amal-
gamation of these cylinders we have in the first place the strong natural alfinity between irm and mercury. This is prevented from coming into play under ordinary ciremstances by a thin layer of dirt on the surface. But it seems reasonahle to smppose that amalgamation will start in the mass of the metal if the mereury can be once forced into the prese, since under these ciremmstances the iron and merenry coming into comtact with each other would be clean and the natural chemical affinity come into play. The argument consists in showing that in those cases where amalgamation took place the conditions were such as to favor the introluction of mercury into the pores of the steel, even if the surface were not amalgamated. Then after amalgamation is ouce started in the mass of the metal it is assisted in the rapility of its growth from the amalgamated region by the action of hydrostatic pressure.

This discussion demands a slight consideration of the nature of the strain in a hollow cylinder exposed to internal fluid pressure. The stresses in the metal of the cylinder consist of a pressure (negative) across planes perpendicular to the radii, and a tension (positive) across radial planes. These stresses are greatest arithmetically at the interior surface, but the algebraic sum is constant throughout the mass of the cylinder. This has as a consequence that the volmme strain in the cylinder is a dilation and is everywhere constant, so that the pores are opened up by the action of the stress and the entrance of mercury facilitated. This holds while the strain remains elastic. But when the internal pressure exceeds a certain value so that at the imner surface the algebraic difference between the radial pressure and the circumferential tension exceeds a critical value depending on the elastic limit, the strain becomes inelastic, the tension changes over to a pressure so that both principle stresses become compressions, the volume strain changes from a dilatation to a compression, and the pores close up. So that with a steel of low elastic limit the type of stress may change, giving a volume compression, at a lower value of the fluid pressure and therefore at a smaller preliminary volume dilatation than in a steel of higher elastic limit. This view as to the nature of the stress in a thick cylinder stressed beyond the elastic limit is supported by many other experiments on the bursting of thick cylinders. An account of these experiments will be published in another paper.

The difference found in the rupture points between soft and hardened steel is to be ascribed to two causes. One is the greater intrinsic ease of driving amalgamation through a mass of hardened steel hy hydrostatic pressure. This was proved by the experiments on the broken amalgamated test pieces. It may be due in part to chemical difference between the hard and soft steel, but is almost certainly also due in part vol. xlyi. -22
to the greater porosity of the hardened steel. It is well known that steel decreases in density on hardening. The other cause is the higher elastic limit of the bardened steel and the consequent wider opening of the pores before non-elastic closing sets in. For facility of comparison the elastic limits of the cylinders of the various grades of steel are given (see 'I'able III), on the usual assumption that the elastic limit is determined by the maximum stretch at the interior. This assumption is probably not very near the truth, so the results can be expected to show only qualitative agreement. This table does not apply to the

TABLE III.

| Kind of Steel. | Assumed Elastic <br> Limit in Tension <br> $\frac{\text { bs }}{\text { in}^{2}}$. | 1nternal Pressure <br> required <br> Elastic Los rimit. <br> Atmos. | Minimum Bursting Pres- <br> sure with Nlercury. <br> Atmos. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessemer . . . | 40000 | 2000 | Same as with water |
| Soft tool steel . | 75000 | 4000 | Same as with water |
| Hard tool steel . | 150000 | 8000 | 4000 |
| Soft nickel steel | 90000 | 4800 | Same as with water |
| Hard nickel steel | 225000 | 12000 | 3000 |

cylinders in which the bottoms were blown out, as here the stress is of a different nature and the above values of the elastie limit do not hold. It appears from the table that only those cylinders were broken in which the stress was below the elastic limit. That the cylinders of bessemer and soft nickel steel were not broken may be explained by supposing that the pressure required to open the pores wide enough to force the mercury in is higher than the elastic limit.

It remains to explain the form of the amalgamated region found in the hardened nickel steel cylinders; i. e., bands on either side of the crack. It seems certain that slight inequalities in the structure of the steel will greatly affect the ease of amalgamation. This seems proved by the splotches of amalgamation found throughout the broken test pieces amalgamated by hydrostatic pressure and throughout the broken eylinders. Conceive, then, of a hollow cylinder tilled with mercury subjected to pressure. The pressure expands the pores of the metal, and in conserpuence of the high mobility of the mereury molecule the mereury is forced into these pores throngh the layer of dirt lining the hole. At certain places where the metal is more susceptible the
amalgamation spreads more rapidly than it does at others. But now the metal is weakened at each of these infeeted places, and the type of strain is moditied as it would be by the presence of a flaw. The strain will be redistributed, the brunt of the strain coming at the point farthest removed from the center, where consequently the pores of metal will be still further distended. 'That is, at this point the amalgamation will proceed most rapidly. It is evident that the continuation of this process will produce a band of amalgamation travelling out along the radius. When the amalgamation reaches the outside, or approaches sutficiently close, the metal gives way, the crack appearing through the midst of the weakest region, that is, through the center of the amalgamated band. It is evident that when the process of amalgamation has once started in this way, it will proceed more and more rapidly as the resisting thickness of sound metal becomes less, thus accounting for the smallness of the other amalgamated regions. One cylinder was found, however, in which an amalgamated pateh had worked its way nearly half way to the outside diametrically opposite the crack.

Failure to produce amalgamation in the rods subjected to hydrostatic pressure is to be explained by the fact that neither can the amalgamation begin at the surface, because of the thin layer of dirt, nor can the mercury force its way into the steel to begin amalgamation there because the interstices in the metal are closed up by the hydrostatic pressure. The same argument of course applies still more to the hollow cylinder submerged in mercury and subjected to pressure on the outside.

The experiments with the cylinders in which the bottoms were blown off are to be explained in the same way. The amalgamation crrows most rapidly in the direction in which the distension is greatest, which in this case is diagonally from the corner of the hole. As the amalgamation proceeds it carries the hydrostatic pressure with it. When the region over which this pressure acts has extended so far that the sound metal left can no longer support the stress, it gives way as usual by a clean shear. The fact that mercury was forced through the bottom of a cylinder of soft tool steel (Figure 7), while soft tool steel cylinders of the form of Figure 1 were unbroken by the action of pressure, is probably to be explained by the different strain types in the two cases. In the case of Figure 7 distension in a direction diagonally from the corner of the hole is great enough to allow amalgamation before the pores are closed up by viscous yield. The point has not been worked out in greater detail, however. The disconcerting experiments in which a clean punching was blown out of the bottom of
a soft cylinder with the sides of the punching amalgamated, are to be explained by the fact previously noted that a freshly fractured surface is amalgamated by mere contact with mercury. Breaking across of the punchings showed no evidence of amalgamation in the mass of the punching, so that all the amalgamation must have taken place after rupture. A similar experiment on the hardened nickel steel punching mentioned above showed more or less complete honeycombing of the metal with mercury.

This is as far as the explanation has been carried. Enough has been done to show that there is here a genuine effect, so that pressure can not be transmitted directly by mercury in hardened steel cylinders, and that the effect is due to amalgamation. One-sided pressure is necessary to start this amalgamation, so that when steel is entirely surrounded by mercury there is no danger of amalgamation or of penetration of the mercury into the pores. This fact was made use of in modifying the desigu of the apparatus spoken of in the first part of the paper.

## Sumpary.

The fact has been established that cylinders of hardened steel will burst at very much lower than the natural bursting pressure when the Huid exerting the pressure is mercury. Soft steel cylinders show the effect hardly at all, the yield point being reached before the pressure can be raised high enough to produce the effect. The fact that this rupture is due to the amalgamation of the steel is established by the examination of the fracture of such cylinders. The unexpectedly great affinity between steel and mercury was established by the complete amalganation of surfaces broken under mercury, and the enormons effect of the slightest contact with the air was shown. When this amalgamation is once started, the rapidity with which it spreads through the metal is greatly increased by the action of hydrostatic pressure. The spread of mercury through the mass of the steel and the subsequent destruction of the hollow cylinders is produced by two causes, both of which must act together. One is the natural chemical affinity between mercury and steel, shown by the ready amalgamation of freshly broken surfaces. But the amalgamation is never started by the action of pressure alone. In all those cases in which we have had amalgamation, we have had in addition to the chemical affinity a strain of such a nature as to distend the pores of the metal. This allows the entrance of mercury into the pores so that amalgamation may begin, and also facilitates its further growth, which is most rapid in the
direction in which the pores are most distended. It was shown in detail that in all cases in which rupture oceurs the strain is of such a type as to distend the metal, and that on the other hand in all those cases in which amalgamation is not produced by pressure, the strain is such as to compress the metal, elosing up the pores.

This work was done in the course of an experiment on the thermal properties of mercury and water under high pressure, the expenses of which were partially defrayed by a liberal appropriation from the Rumford Fund of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard
L'nhereity, Cambridge, Mass. October, 1910.
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## Introduction.

Is a recent paper (to be published in the American Journal, 1911) the author discussed properties of systems of lines in $S_{4}$ involving differentials of the first order, that is, simple tangency, and interpreted the results in the geometry of the circle in space of three dimensions. It is the purpose of the present paper to discuss properties of lines and circles involving second and higher differentials. The methods of the first paper are not applicable to this case. Here lines are represented by points of a six-dimensional spread which stands in a space of nine dimensions. The problem is, then, simply one of differential geometry in higher space.

1. The coordinates. ${ }^{1}$ In $S_{4}$ let the line be defined by two points (homogeneous coordinates). Then the coordinates of a line are the two row determinants of the matrix,

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{lllll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} \\
y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4} & y_{5}
\end{array}\right\| .
$$

Adopting the usual notation

$$
p_{i k}=x_{i} y_{k}-x_{k} y_{t}
$$

we see that there are ten coordinates, since

$$
p_{i k}=-p_{k i}, \quad p_{i i}=0 .
$$

These ten coordinates are connected by the five quadratic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i} \equiv p_{k l} p_{m n}+p_{k m} p_{n l}+p_{k n} p_{l m}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^93]( $i=1,2,3,4, \pi$, and $k: l m n$ is a permutation of the remaining numbers after $i$ is chosen). It is well known, however, that of these five relations $\Omega_{i}$ only three are distinct.

Now, letting $p_{i k}$ be the ten homogeneous coordinates of a point in a space of nine dimensions $S_{9}$, the points which represent lines in $S_{4}$ are the points common to the five quadrics

$$
\Omega_{i}=0
$$

The intersection of these quadrics is known to be of order five and dimensions six. In our discussions, then, we shall be interested only in the points of this variety which we shall indicate by $\Phi$. The following properties can be easily verified.
( 1 ) The hyperplanes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}^{5} i \xi_{i} p_{i k}=0, \quad k=1,2,3,4,5 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

cut $\Phi$ in cuadries $\mathrm{V}_{4}^{2}$, of order two and dimensions four ; hence $\Phi$ contains $x^{4}$ such quadrics.
(b) The $S_{3}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
l_{i k}=l_{1} p_{i k}^{\prime}+l_{2} p_{i k}^{\prime \prime}+l_{2} p_{i k}^{\prime \prime \prime}+l_{4} p_{i k_{k}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

where $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ correspond to lines passing through a common point, lies in $\Phi$.
(c) The $S_{2}$ defined by

$$
p_{i k}=l_{1} p_{i k}{ }^{\prime}+l_{2} p_{i k}{ }^{\prime \prime}+l_{i: 2} l_{i k}^{\prime \prime \prime},
$$

where $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime \prime}$ represent lines which lie in the sume plane, also lies in $\Phi$. It is easily seen that the planes ( $\cdot$ ) and the spaces ( $l$ ) do not in general intersect. It can also be easily shown that two quadrics (11) intersect in a plane (r).

A pencil of lines in $s_{4}$ is represented by a line which is contained in中. The proints of a quadric ( 1 ) represent the lines of $s_{4}$ which lie in a space of three dimensions $\wedge_{3}$. A space ( 1 ) represents the lines in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}$ which pass through a fixed point, and a plane (c) represents the lines which lie in a fixed plane.
'The lines which cut a fixed line $p_{t s}{ }^{\prime}$ are representel by the intersection of $\Phi$ with its tangent $S_{6}^{\prime}$ at the point $\mu_{i k i}{ }^{\prime}$. (Here $\mu_{i i}{ }^{\prime}$ is used to denote a line in $\mathrm{s}_{4}$ or a point on d.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l_{k i}^{\prime} l^{\prime} k l^{\prime}=0 .}^{\partial \Omega_{2}} \tag{:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

'The intersection is of order three and dimensions fonr. The lines which cut two fixed lines will be represented by the intersection of two such tangent suaces $s_{6}$ with $\Phi$; this is an ordinary quadrie contamed ill $\Phi$.
2. Directions: in reled space of fimur dimensims. In raled space of four dimensions as in three dimensions a direction through a given line of a system is detined as the Chasles correlation which a ruled surface belonging to the system and passing through the given line determines: that is to say, a direction through a given line is determined by the given line and a line infinitely near to it. In the s, defined by the coordinates $p_{i k}$ this corresponds to the tangent lines to the eurves traced on the variety $\Phi$, but since the points of $\aleph_{9}$ which lie outside of $\Phi$ have no significance, the tangent lines in general will have none. We can, however, consider the polar of such a line. This polar will intersect $\Phi$ in an ordinary quadric cone, and since it can be looked upon as the intersection of two tangent spaces $\mathscr{c}_{6}$ to $\Phi$ at infinitely near points of the given line, the points of this cone will represent lines of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ which cut two infinitely near lines, that is, will represent the special congruence determined by the two infinitely near lines. This congrnence represents the Chasles correlation determinel by the two lines. If the tangent line to $\Phi$ lies entirely in $\Phi$, the qualratic cone and consequently the congrnence will degrade. A curve all of whose tangents lie in $\Phi$ will represent a developable in $s_{4}$ becanse all the Chasles correlations are degenerate.

We saw that a tangent $S_{6}$ cuts $\Phi$ in a ${V_{4}^{+}}_{4}$. Then, if this $S_{6}^{\prime}$ is cut by an arbitrary hyperplane, the $\Gamma_{4}{ }^{3}$ will be cut in a variety $\phi_{3}{ }^{3}$ of order three and dimensions three. Each point of $\Sigma$, the intersection of $S_{6}$ and the hyperplanes, will represent a direction through the line $r$ corresponding to the point of tangency. The points of $\phi_{3}{ }^{3}$ will correspond to the special directions throngh $r$, that is, to the degenerate projectivities. The variety $\phi_{3}{ }^{3}$ and the space $\Sigma$ in which it stands can be used instead of the ones used by the anthor in the paper previously referred to, and all the results there obtained can be obtained here. Properties of lines involving differentials of the first order are disposed of in this manner.

## I. Properties of Five-Parameter Families of Lines.

3. In the following, for the convenience of writing, the coordinates $x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots, r_{10}$ will be used instead of $p_{12}, p_{13} \ldots r_{45}$. Also a variety on $\Phi$ and the system of lines which it represents will be denoted by the same symbols where there can be no ambignity. The symbols $C_{1}, C_{2}^{\prime} \ldots C_{5}$ will be used to denote linear systems of lines.

Consider a variety $V_{5}$ traced on $\Phi$; that is, let the coordinates $x$ be functions of the five parameters $u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots u_{5}$. Here and following all the functions used are supposed to be continuous and to possess all partial derivatives of the $n^{\text {th }}$ order. The tangent lines to $r_{s}$ will generate an $S_{5}^{\prime}$ determined by the six points ${ }^{2}$

$$
\left(\pi_{5}\right) \quad(x),\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}}\right),\left(\frac{\partial x^{x}}{\partial u_{2}}\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{3}}\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{4}}\right), \quad\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{5}}\right) .
$$

Through this $S_{5}^{\prime}$ will pass $\propto^{4}$ hyperplanes which are tangent likewise to $V_{5}$ (an hyperplane is said to be tangent to a variety if it contains the tangent space to the variety). Hence, In each line of a ficeparemeter family $V_{5}$ there are $\alpha^{4} C_{5}^{\prime} ;$ tangent to it.

The osculating planes of all the curves traced on $\Gamma_{5}$ which have the same tangent line in $x$ generate an $\mathbb{S}_{6}$ which contains the space $\pi_{5}$ tangent to $V_{5}$, as may be seen as follows:

Using Segre's ${ }^{3}$ notation, the osculating planes will be determined by the three points

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=0,  \tag{4}\\
& \Sigma f_{i} d u_{t}=0,  \tag{5}\\
& \Sigma f_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}+\Sigma f_{i} d^{2} u_{i}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Tangent lines are lines which join (4) to any point of the space determined by $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}$ and hence generate $\pi_{5}$. Now, if the $d u_{i}$ are held fixed (that is, the direction of the tangent is fixel) and the $d^{2} u_{i}$ are allowed to vary, we see that the osculating plane will always stand in the $S_{G}$ defined by
${ }^{2}$ A tangent line joins the points $x$ and $x+d x$, or expanding $x$ and $x+\Sigma \frac{\partial x}{d u_{i}} d u_{1}$. As the dut determine the direetion along $V_{5}$, the space generated by these lines will be the $S_{5}$ named.

3 "su una classa di superficie ece." Atti di Torino, 1907. Points are represented by their equations in hyperplanar coordinates or also with the first member of these equations. Thus

$$
f=\Sigma \xi^{(t)} x^{(t)}
$$

where $\xi^{(t)}$ are the hyperplanar coordinates. The point which describes a variety shall be represented by $f$. We may also speak of the point $x$, represented by $f$, or $\mathscr{s} \xi^{(i)} x^{(i)}=0$. Subscripts are reserved to denote derivatives; thus,

$$
f_{i j k}=\frac{\partial^{3} f}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j} \partial u_{k}}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=0, f_{1}=0, f_{2}=0, f_{3}=0, f_{4}=0, f_{5}=0,  \tag{7}\\
\sum_{i k^{k}} d u_{i} d u_{k}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since there are $x^{4}$ planes obtained allowing $d^{2} u_{i}$ to vary, this $S_{G}$ monst be the locns. From (7) it is evident that the $S_{6}^{\prime}$ contains the tangent space $\pi_{s}$, which is determined by

$$
\dot{f}=0, \dot{f_{1}}=0 \ldots \dot{f_{5}}=0
$$

We will call the space defined by (7) an hyperosculating $S_{6}$. Now, if an $s_{6}$ containing $\pi_{5}$ is given, then a direction (values of $d \|_{i}$ ) can be determined so that the $S_{6}$ will hyperosculate $I_{5}$ along this direction. It is only necessary for the $d u_{i}$ to satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\xi, \pm \dot{f} i k^{d} d u_{i} d u_{k}\right)=0 \\
& \left(彑^{\prime}, \leq \dot{f}_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}\right)=0  \tag{8}\\
& \left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, \pm f_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the symbol $\left(\xi, x^{\prime}\right)=0$ is used for $\Sigma \xi^{(i)} x^{(i)}=0$ and the $S_{6}$ is defined as the intersection of the three hyperplanes

$$
(\xi, x)=0, \quad\left(\xi^{\prime}, x\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, x\right)=0
$$

Then the tangents to $r_{5}$ along which the $S_{6}$ is hyperosculating are determined by the values of $d u_{i}$ which satisfy ( 8 ). There are $\infty{ }^{1}$ values of $\lambda u_{i}$ which satisfy ( 8 ), and the tangents so defined generate a cone of order eight. Therefore ant $S_{6}$ pressing through $\pi_{5}$ will hyperosculate $V_{5}$ along $x^{1}$ directions forming " cone of order eight. 'This shows that the hyperosculating $S_{6}$ cuts $I_{5}$ in a surface which has a conical point of order eight. It is also evident that a hyperplane passing through a hyperosculating $S_{6}$ will cut $V_{5}$ in a $V_{4}$ which has a conical point of order two, since only the first of equations ( 8 ) would have to be satisfied. Similarly, an $S_{7}$ which contains the hyperosculating $S_{6}$ will cut $I_{5}$ in a $V_{3}$ which has a conical point of order four, since only two of equations (8) would have to be satisfied.
4. We have just seen that a hyperplane passing through $\pi_{5}$ cnts $\Gamma_{5}$ in a $V_{4}$ with a conical point in $x$, the point of tangency. Let us now examine how this cone varies as the hyperplane varies. The coordinates of the hyperplane will be

$$
\xi_{i}=\lambda \alpha_{i}+\mu \beta_{i}+v \gamma_{t}+\eta \delta_{i}
$$

( $a, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are the coordinates of four hyperplanes passing through $\pi_{5}$ ). The cones therefore will form a linear series of three parameters and hence will have sixteen generators in common. Thesp are the siateen dirertions unnu 'whirh rast army of the infinitesimul properties of higher. order of fice-pmerturtor .immilie: of lines.

The tangent space $\pi_{5}$ " its $I_{5}$ in a curve which has a multiple point of order sixteen in $r$. The tangents at the multiple point are the sixteen directions note? above.

These sixteen directions correspond to the asymptotic directions on a surface in $\aleph_{3}$ both in the sense that two successive tangent spaces $\pi_{5}$ intersect in a line joining the points of contact and in the sense that tangents to $I_{5}^{\prime}$ in one of these directions have three-point contact. The latter property can be seen by deriving the condition that a tangent line have three-point contact. From equations (4), (5), (6) we see that this would simply lead to the condition that the point

$$
\Sigma f_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}=0
$$

shonld lie in the tangent $\pi_{5}$, because in that case the quantities $d^{2} u_{2}$ could be so chosen that the three-point (4,) (5,) (6), that is, $(x),(\ldots x)$ ( $d^{2},()$, would lie on a line. The condition is, then, the vanishing of the determinants of the matrix

$$
\left\|. c \begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}} & \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{2}} & \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{3}} & \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{4}} & \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{5}} & \sum \frac{\partial r^{\prime} r}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}} \lambda u_{i} d u_{k}
\end{array}\right\|
$$

and this is exactly the condition that defines the sixteen directions above.
5. In order to see that the tangent spases at two consecutive points of $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ along one of these sixteen directions intersect along the line joining the points of contact, we will first examine what corresponds to conjugate directions on $V_{5}$. ${ }^{4}$ If we consider the points of $V_{5}$ as determined by the hyperphanes passing through them, then any point will be given by

$$
(\xi, x)=0,
$$

where.$r$ is a function of the five $u^{\prime}$ s. Then, if the tangent $\pi_{5}$ he looked upon as the intersection of four hyperplanes, the condition that the hyperplanes pass through $x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\xi^{\prime}, r\right)=\cdot,\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, r\right)=0,\left(\xi^{\prime \prime \prime}, r\right)=0,\left(\xi^{\prime v}, r\right)=0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^94]and if this $N_{5}^{\prime}$ is tangent to $V_{b}{ }_{5}$,
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{2}}\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{3}}\right)=0,  \tag{10}\\
\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{+}}\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{5}}\right)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

and a similar set of equations for the hyperplanes $\xi^{\prime \prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}$, $\xi^{\mathrm{rv}}$. The $s_{5}$ infinitely near is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta \xi^{\prime}, x\right)=0,\left(\delta \xi^{\prime \prime}, x\right)=0,\left(\delta \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}, x\right)=0,\left(\delta \xi^{\mathrm{Ev}}, x^{\prime}\right)=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

These two spaces will intersect in a line. If the point $d v$ infinitely near to $r$ is to lie in (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta \xi^{\prime}, d x\right)=0 \ldots\left(\delta \xi^{\mathrm{Iv}}, d x\right)=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using $\delta u_{1}$ to denote the direction of the line of intersection of (10) and (11), and $d u_{t}$ to denote the direction of the line joining $r$ and $x+d x$, equations (12) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum \frac{\partial \xi^{\prime}}{\partial u_{i}} \delta u_{i}, \quad \sum \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{i}} d u_{i}\right)=\sum\left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\prime}}{\partial u_{i}}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{j}}\right) \delta u_{i} d u_{j}=0, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similar equations for the other $\xi$ 's.
Differentiating (9) and (10) partially with respect to the $u$ 's, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \xi^{\prime}}{\partial u_{i}}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{j}}\right)+\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial^{2}, x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}}\right)=0 .
$$

Substituting these values in (13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial^{2}, x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}}\right) \delta u_{i} d u_{j}=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \sum \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j}}\right)=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if we put $d u_{i}=\delta u_{i}$ we have the system of equations (8) ; hence that is the condition that should be verified if the two consecutive tangent spaces are to intersect in the line joining the points of contact.

Since $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ is contained in $\Phi$ (the intersection of the five quadrics $\Omega_{i}$ ), the tangents to $I_{5}$ which have three-point contact must lie entirely in $\Phi$. The sixteen lines discussed above then represent pencils of lines in $\mathbf{S}_{4}$ and may be called osculuting pencils, since they contain three lines of $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ infinitely near. Further the planes of these pencils are inflexional for
the cones of the system $V_{5}$ passing through the vertices of the pencils. I'hen
 phenes, and vietren curves af $I_{s}$ ! !yiny in planes passing through $p$ hace the liae 1. fing inflestimend tangent.

The tangent $\pi_{5}$ to $I_{5}^{5}$ at. $r$ cuts $I_{5}$ in a curve which has in $x$ a multiple point of order sixteen; hence The $x^{4}$ linear series $C_{5}^{\prime}$ tangent $1_{5}^{\circ}$ in a liar 1 out $1_{5}$ in a ruled surjuce haring $p$, for nultiple generator af order sisteren.
6. The locus of all osculating $s_{4}^{\prime}$ 's of the curves traced on $r_{5}$ and passing throngh $a$ and having the same oseulating $S_{3}$ is a hyperplane $s_{8}$. T'o show this let us consider the $S_{4}^{\prime}$ as defined by the points

$$
(x),(d x),\left(d^{2}, x^{\prime}\right),\left(d^{3} \cdot x^{\prime}\right),\left(d^{4} x\right),
$$

which in hyperplanar coordinates are

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=0,  \tag{t}\\
& \sum f_{i}^{\prime} l u_{i}=0,  \tag{.}\\
& \Sigma f_{i j} d u_{i} d u_{j}+\Sigma f_{i} d^{2} u_{i}=0,  \tag{6}\\
& \leq f_{i j k} d u_{i} d u_{j} d u_{k}+3 \leq f_{i j} d^{2} u_{i} d u_{j}+\sum_{i} i_{i} d^{3} u_{i}=0,  \tag{1.5}\\
& \leq f_{i j k i l} d u_{i} d u u_{j} d u_{k} d u_{i}+6 \leq f_{i j k} l u_{i} d u_{j} l^{2} u_{k}+3 \Sigma f_{i j} d^{2} u_{i} l^{2} u_{k}+ \\
& 4 \leq f_{i j} d^{3} u_{i} / u_{j}+\sum \dot{f}_{i^{\prime}} \lambda^{4} u_{i}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

If $d^{4} u_{i}$ are allowed to vary and all the other differentials are held fixed by reasoning similar to that previously used, it will be seen that the osculating $N_{+}$will generate an $N_{s}$ determined by the nine points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=0, f_{1}=0 \ldots . f_{5}=0, \quad \sum_{i} f_{i j} d u_{i} d u_{j}=0, \\
& \leq f_{i j k} d u_{i^{\prime}} d u_{j} d u_{k}+3 \leq f_{i j} d^{2} u_{i_{i}} d u_{j}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that this, $S_{s}$ contains the hyperoseulating $S_{6}^{\prime}$ and the tangent N'. lna similar maner it is seen that the osculating s's which have an oncolating blane fixed generate an $\mathfrak{S}_{7}$ which is contained in the $\boldsymbol{S}_{8}$ and which contains the hyperosenlatings $\aleph_{6}$. If du, defmes one of the sixteen principal directions, the above $N_{s}$ becomes an $\kappa_{i}$, ete.

We satw that a hyperosculating $s_{6}$ defined by any direction through a print ir hypernsculates along a whole cone of directions passing throug ir. If, however, the given direction is one of the sixteen principal lirections, the hyperosculating $s_{6}$ becomes indeterminate ; that is,
there is an molimited number corresponding to this direction. But ant $x_{i}$ may be determined in this case which hyperosemlates four points. I'here are sixteen such s. s .

In ruled space of four dimensions we have :
 romsereatioe to it, if imly the lesist of the siene comserntice limes is allomend








A curve all of whese tangent lines lie in $\Phi$ we saw represents a devel-


 wculutes $1_{5}^{\%}$. ('The pencils of lines lying in the tangent plane and having their vertices on the edge of regression contain three infinitely near lines of $\mathrm{I}_{5}^{\circ}$.)

Thereaie sideten curres of $\mathrm{V}_{5}^{5}$ (enrves enveloped by lines of $\mathrm{V}_{5}$ ) limring
 $p$ determine the sistern osculating pencils of which $p$ is " perit.
7. Other impurtont divections: Equations (14) set up a correspondence between two directions $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ defined by $/ l_{i}$ and $\delta u_{i}$. This correspondence is reciprocal, and we saw that the coincidences define the sixteeu principal directions. This correspondence between $t$ and $t^{\prime}$, however, is not projective, as can best be seen as follows. Consider $J_{5}$ as the intersection of four bypersurfaces in $S_{9}$. If the tangent space $\pi_{5}$ is cut by an arbitrary hyperplane $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ are represented by points in this $S_{4}$, the tangent hyperplanes to the hypersurfaces at points of $I_{5}^{*}$ cut $I_{5}$ in $I_{4}^{\prime}$ 's having a conical point. The cones formed by the tangent lines at these conical points are represented in the $S_{4}$ (intersection of $\pi_{5}$ by a hyperplane) by quadrics. Then $t$ ' is the polar of $t$ with respect to these four quadrics; hence,

When $t$ describrs a line, $t^{\prime}$ describes a curce generated by the intersertim of correspmeting hyperplemes in $S_{ \pm}$of fow projectice pencil: and therefore is a curce of order fom.
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The sixteen principal directions are represented in the $S_{4}$ by the sixteen points of intersection of the four quadrics.

The correspondence between $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ will not be mique if $t$ coincides with one of the vertices of the self-conjugate five-point with respect to any two of the quadrics. By choosing the defining hypersurfaces differently the self-conjugate points can be chosen in $\alpha^{2}$ different ways These points are in fact the vertices of the cones belonging to the threeparameter family of quadrics defined by the four quadrics above. Now, if $d u_{i}$ be taken as the homogeneous coordinates of the points of the $s_{t}$ above, it is seen at once that the coordinates of the vertices of these cones are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{h}\left(\xi, \frac{\partial^{2} \cdot r}{\partial u_{h} \partial u_{k}}\right) \partial u_{h}=0, \quad k=1,2,3,4,5 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Two parameters are independent.) We shall next show that these directions are such that along them a hyperplane has two-point contact with $r_{5}$.

The condition that the hyperplane $(\xi, x)=0$ be tangent to $J_{5}$ at $x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\xi, x)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0 \ldots\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{5}}\right)=0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it also passes through $x+d x$, then

$$
(\xi, x+d x)=(\xi, x)+(\xi, d x)=(\xi, x)+\sum\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{i}}\right) d u_{i}=0 .
$$

From (18) it is at once seen that this condition is verified. Now, in order that the hyperplane be tangent at $x+d x$, we must have

$$
\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x^{x}}{\partial u_{i}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} d x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\xi, \frac{\partial, r}{\partial u_{i}}\right)+\left(\xi, \sum \frac{\partial^{2} x^{r}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}} d u_{k}\right)=0 ;
$$

hence from (18)

$$
\left(\xi, \sum \frac{\partial^{2}, x^{\prime}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}} d u_{k}\right)=\sum\left(\xi, \frac{\partial^{2}, v^{\prime}}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}}\right) d u_{k}=0
$$

which is equation (17) again. Hence the directions defined by (17) are those along which a hyperplane may have two points of contact with $\mathrm{I}_{s}^{\prime}$. 'These hyperplanes are called stationary hyperplanes.
 hyperpheness have two-point contact with $\mathrm{I}_{5}$.

Tangent $\pi_{5}$ 's at two consecutive points of one of these curves do not intersect in a line but in general in a plane. Then in $\aleph_{+}$we have the following theorem:

Through any limep of $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ may be pussed $\infty^{2}$ ruled surfeces in surch a mumer thet there are linear series $C_{5}^{\prime}$ tangent to $V_{5}^{r}$ in tuo lines of the ruled surfice infinitely near.

## II. Folr-Parameter Families $\mathrm{V}_{4}$.

8. Let $\mathrm{I}_{t}$ be the variety on $\Phi$ whose points represent the family of lines. The tangent lines to $I_{ \pm}$at a point $x$ generate a linear space $\pi_{+}$ of four dimensions. Through $\pi_{4}$ pass $\propto^{6}$ stis and hence, There are $^{2}$ and $\infty^{6}$ linear series C $C_{4}$ tangent to a four-parameter family of lines in a line of the family.

The osculating spaces of the curves on $\Gamma_{2}$ which pass through a given point $x$ are determined by the points

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}=0,  \tag{1}\\
& \Sigma f_{i} d u_{i}=0,  \tag{2}\\
& \Sigma f_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}+\Sigma f_{i} d^{2} u_{i}=0,  \tag{3}\\
& \Sigma f_{i j k} d u_{t} d u_{j} d u_{k}+3 \Sigma f_{i k} d u_{i} d^{2} u_{k}+\Sigma, f_{i} d^{3} u_{i}=0,  \tag{4}\\
& \Sigma f_{i j k l} d u_{i} d u_{j} l u_{k} d u_{i}+6 \sum f_{i j k} d u_{i} d u_{j} d^{2} u_{k}+3 \sum f_{i j} d^{2} u_{i} l^{2} u_{j}+  \tag{5}\\
& 4 \Sigma f_{i j} d u_{i} d^{3} u_{j}+\Sigma f_{i} d^{4} u_{i}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By the same reasoning previously used it is at once seen that the osculating $S_{4}^{\prime}$ 's which have the same osculating $S_{3}^{\prime}$ in common generate an $S_{7}$ and the osculating planes having a tangent line in common generate an 今,

The $S_{5}^{\prime}$ generated by the osculating planes having the tangent line (having direction $d u_{i}$ ) in common is determined by the points

$$
f=0, \quad f_{1}=0, \quad f_{2}=0, \quad f_{3}=0, \quad f_{4}=0, \quad \sum f_{i k} d u_{t} d u_{k}=0 .
$$

It is then the space which joins $\pi_{4}$ to the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma f_{i t} d u_{t} d u_{k}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, if $d u_{i}$ are allowed to vary, (6) will generate a variety of three dimensions and order eight ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~V}_{3}^{8}$, and hence the osculating planes of

[^96]all the curves when pass through a given $]$ int or will generate a variety of order esht and dimensions eight $1_{0}^{8}$, which has the tangent space $\pi_{4}$ firr vertex.

Then in $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}$
 fimitely uener to it cure cometuinet in " $C_{i}$.

Thire is " ('s which cometrius all the lines inkinitely near, to infiniteximuls at the surome! meder, to a gieen line of $I_{4}$ in "t giren disertion (direction being retined by two lines infinitely near of the first order.)

It the dientimes are ceried, the Cas alome will genervete af fire-pereemeter femily of lines of order cight which hes the lines at a ruled surface ( 1 , fir domble tines.
3. Nutemontly divections on $V_{4}$. Through each point of $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ pass $x^{2}$ important directions. In these directions there are curves whose oscnlating plane has four consecutive points in common with the $\mathrm{I}_{4}$. If this is the case, the points (1), (2), (3), (1) must lie in the same plane. If $d u_{1}$ and $d_{2} u_{1}$ are held fixed for the moment and the $d^{3} u_{i}$ are allowed to vary, it is at once seen that if these four points lie in a plane then it is necessary that the points

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1),(2),(3), \sum, f_{i j k} d u_{i} d u_{j} d u_{k}+3 \Sigma f_{i k^{\prime}} d u_{i} d^{2} u_{i k}=0, \\
\leq f_{i} d^{3} u_{i}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

lie in the same $S_{3}^{\prime}$, and consequently the points

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=0, \quad f_{1}=0, \quad f_{2}=0, f_{3 i}=0, \quad f_{4}=0, \\
 \tag{6}\\
\sum f_{i k} f\left(u_{i} f u_{k}=0,\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum . f_{i j k}^{\prime} d u_{i} d u_{j} d u_{k}+3 \sum f_{i k} d u_{i^{\prime}} l^{2} u_{k}=0 \tag{s}
\end{equation*}
$$

will lie in an $S_{5}$. Conversely it is easily shown that if these points lie in an $N_{5}^{\prime}$ then values of $1^{3} n_{1}$ can be determined so that the original four pmints (1), (2), (3), (4) will lie in a plane. Now, writing the last term of $(x)$ in the form

$$
d^{2} u_{1} \subseteq f_{i_{k}} / u_{k}+d^{2} u_{2} \subseteq f_{2 k} d u_{k}+d^{2} u_{3} \subseteq \Gamma_{B_{k}} d u_{k}+d^{2} u_{4} \subseteq f_{4 k} d u_{k},
$$

aud noting that (ii) ean be written in a similar form where the $d^{2} n_{i}$ are replaced by $d / n_{2}$ we conclude that if the points (6), (7), ( $(4)$ lie in an $S_{5}$ it is necessary that the ten points

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=0, \quad f_{1}=0, \quad f_{2}=0, \quad f_{3}=0, \quad f_{4}=0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{I_{i k i} d} / u_{i}=0, \quad k=1, \stackrel{2}{ },: 3,4,  \tag{!}\\
\underline{y} i_{l k^{k}} / u_{i} d u_{j} / u_{k}=0, \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

will lie in an s., and conversely, if these ten points, lie in an ${\underset{N}{x}}^{\prime}, \|^{2} n_{1}$ and $d^{3} n_{\varepsilon}$ cim be so determined that the original four points will lie in a plane. The condition that these ten points lie in a plane is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}} \ldots \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{t}} \leq f_{i k} d u_{k} \ldots \leq f_{i j k} d u_{t} d u_{j} d u_{k}\right|=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if the $d n_{i}$ be considered as the homogeneons coordinates of the directions of the tungent lines at a point of $\mathrm{J}_{4}$, we see that Thromgh
 order seren such that along these directions there are curers: whose weseLeting phemes rut $\mathrm{I}_{4}^{*}$ in four consecative points.

Theu in $x_{4}$ we have
Through euch fine $p$ of a four-parameter family $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ puss: $\infty^{2}$ directions such that there is a ruld surfire tangent to each of these directions (containing the two infinitely near lines which determine the direction) cond passessing the property that four infinitety near yenerators are cat by one line.

It is known that four lines determine a $C_{0}$ (the intersection of six $C_{5}^{\prime}$ 's), but through four infinitely near lines of one of these ruled surfaces pass a whole pencil of $C_{0}$ 's. There are $C_{1}$ 's which have five lines infinitely near in common with $\mathrm{J}_{4}$.

A four-purameter family of lines can be generated by $x^{3}$ ruled surfuces such that four comsecutire generators are cut by a line. This cun be done in $\infty^{2}$ different ways.
10. Another set of important directions are those along which a tangent $C_{4}$ to $\Gamma_{4}^{\prime}$ has double contact. In $S_{9}$ a tangent $S_{7}^{\prime}$ to ${ }^{\prime} V_{4}$ is defined by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\xi, x)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0 \ldots\left(\xi, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{j}}\right)=0, \\
& (\xi, x)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0 \ldots\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)=0 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If this $S_{7}^{\prime}$ passes through $x+d x$,

$$
(\xi, x+d, r)=0, \quad\left(\xi^{\prime}, x+d x\right)=0
$$

Expressing $d x$ in terms of $d u_{t}$ and applying (12), it is at once evident that these equations are satisfied. Now, if $s_{7}$ is also tangent at this point,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}\left(x+d x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0, & i=1, \ldots 4 \\
\left(\xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}\left(x+d x^{\prime}\right)\right)=0, & i=1, \ldots 4
\end{array}
$$

Expanding these equations and making use of (12), this condition reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum\left(\xi, \frac{\partial^{2} \cdot x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}}\right) d u_{k}=0, & i=1, \ldots 4 . \\
\sum\left(\xi^{\prime}, \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}^{\prime}}\right) d u_{k}=0, & i=1, \ldots 4 . \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

For these equations to be compatible requires five conditions, but as we saw a tangent $S_{7}$ (lepends upon six constants (six $\xi$ 's are arbitrary) ; hence there are $\infty^{1}$ solutions of (13), that is, there are $\infty^{1} \stackrel{S}{T}^{\prime}$ 's which have two-point contact with $\mathrm{I}_{4}$.

Then in $S_{4}$ There are $\propto^{1} C_{4}^{\prime}$ 's tangent to $\Gamma_{4}$ in a line $p$ which are also, temgent to $V_{+}$in a line infinitely near to $p$.
'The consecutive tangent $\pi_{4}$ 's to $V_{4}$ (in $S_{9}$ ) along one of the directions above have a line in common. This line of intersection and the line joining the point of contact are in a sense conjugate directions.

## III. Three-Parameter Families $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

11. The tangent space $\pi_{3}$ to $V_{3}$ at a point $x$ is of three dimensions; hence there are $\alpha^{9} S_{6}^{\prime}$ 's passing through $\pi_{3}$ (and consequently tangent to $I_{3}$ ). Then in $S_{4}$ There are $\infty^{9} C_{3}^{\prime}$ 's tangent to a three-perameter fimily of lines $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ in a gicen line.

The osculating $S_{4}$ 's which have a fixed osculating $S_{3}$ in common generate an $S_{6}$. Then in $S_{t}$ The ruled surfaces: $\Gamma_{1}$, which have in common four infinitely near fixed lines of $\mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}$generate al $\mathrm{C}_{3}$.
12. The oseulating planes which pass throngh a given direction generate an $S_{4}$, and if the direction is varied these $r_{4}^{\prime}$ 's will generate a cone $V_{6}{ }_{6}^{4}$ having $\pi_{3}$ for vertex. The $s_{4}$ is determined by the points

$$
f=0, \quad f_{1}=0, \quad f_{2}=0, \quad f_{3}=0, \quad \sum f_{t k^{k}} d u_{i} d u_{k}=0
$$

'Then if $\lambda u_{1}$ is varied (that is, if the tangent line is varied) the cone is generated by the $S_{4}^{\prime}$ 's which project $\pi_{3}$ from the points of the surface generated by

$$
\mathbf{\Sigma}, f_{i k} d u_{i} d u_{k}=0,
$$

and hence is a cone of order four and dimensions six. 'Then

In a three-parameter family of lines all the lines infinitely near a giren line to iufinitesimuls: of the second order lie in a threepereremuter. fimily of order fien which has the lines represented by the intersection. of $\pi_{3}$ with $\Phi$ forr druble limes.

In general there are no osculating planes of $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ which hyperosculate. Hence in $S_{4}$ four consecutive lines of a three-parameter family are not in general cut by a fifth line.
13. Special directions. There are $\infty^{1}$ directions such that hyperplanes can be fom which are tangent to $V_{3}$ in three consecutive points. Let the three points be

$$
x, \quad x^{\prime}=x+d x, \quad x^{\prime \prime}=x+2 d x+d^{2} x
$$

If the hyperplane $(\xi, x)=0$ is tangent at $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\xi, x)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{2}}\right)=0, \quad\left(\xi, \frac{\partial x}{\partial u_{3}}\right)=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if it passes through $x^{\prime}$,

$$
(\xi, x+d x)=0,
$$

which from (1) is seen to be true. Now, if $(\xi, x)$ is tangent at $x^{\prime}$,

$$
\left(\xi, \frac{\partial(x+d, x)}{\partial u_{i}}\right)=0
$$

which on expanding and applying (1) reduces to

$$
\sum\left(\xi, \frac{\partial^{2}, x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}}\right) d u_{k}, \quad i=1,2,3 .
$$

If the hyperplane passes through $x^{\prime \prime}$, it is at once seen that

$$
\sum\left(\sum\left(\xi, \frac{\partial^{2}, x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{k}}\right) d u_{i}\right) d u_{k}=0
$$

which is seen to be satisfied if (2) is satisfied ; that is, if the hyperplane is tangent at $x^{\prime}$, it passes through $x^{\prime \prime}$. If it is also tangent at $x^{\prime \prime}$,

$$
\left(\xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}\left(x+2 d x+d^{2} x\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Expanding and using the previous relations, this reduces to

$$
\left(\xi, \sum_{i j} \frac{\partial^{3}, r}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j} \partial u_{k}} d u_{i} d u_{j}\right)+3\left(\xi, \sum_{i \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{} \cdot x u_{j}}^{d u_{i} d^{2} u_{j}}\right)=0 .
$$

The second term of this expression vanishes if $(\underset{2}{ })$ is satisfied ; hence

$$
\left(\xi, \sum_{i j} \frac{\partial^{3} \cdot x}{\partial u_{i} \partial u_{j} \partial u_{k}} d u_{i}{ }^{\prime} u_{j}\right)=0, \quad k=1,2,3 .
$$

The hyperplane ( $\xi, x$ ) will then have three points of contact infinitely near if the ten equations (1), (2), (3) are satisfied. This requires one relation, and hence there are $x^{\prime}$ directions $d n_{i}$ of $J_{3}$ generating a cone of order nine along which a hyperplane has three infinitely near points of contact with $I_{3}{ }_{3}$. 'Then
 dirertions. such that a $C_{5}$ will be tengent in three lines of the system infinitely near.

## IV. Application to the Geonetry of the Circle.

14. If the equation of the sphere is written in the form

$$
t\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+2(d x+l y+(z)+2 d=0
$$

the quantities $a, b, c, d, t$ may be taken as the coordinates of the sphere. The spheres of the pencil determined by two spheres ( 1 , l', $(c, d, t),\left(l^{\prime}, l^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ will have coordinates

$$
\left(\prime t+\lambda c^{\prime}, l+\lambda b^{\prime}, c+\lambda c^{\prime}, d+\lambda l^{\prime}, t+\lambda t^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then, if we consider the circle defined by this pencil as representing the pencil, we see that the circle in ordinary space corresponds to the line in $M_{4}$ joining the points

$$
(u, l, c, d, t),\left(l^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, l^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)
$$

The coordinates of the circle can then be taken as the two-row determinants of the matrix

$$
\left.\| \begin{array}{ccccc}
a & b & c & d & t \\
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} & c^{\prime} & d^{\prime} & t^{\prime}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
$$

These coordinates are identical with those used of the line in $S_{4}$.
The lines in $s_{4}$ which lie in an $s_{s}^{\prime}$ correspond to the circles which ent a given sphere orthonally. ${ }^{7}$

[^97]'Two lines in st, which intersect correspond to circles which lie on the same sphere.

A pencil of lines in $s_{4}^{\prime}$ corresponds to a pencil of circles on a sphere.
All the lines in s, which pass through a given point correspond to all the circles on a given sphere.

The lines in $x_{4}^{\prime}$ which lie in a plane correspond to the circles which pass through two tixed points.

Developable surfaces in $\mathbf{S}_{4}$ correspond to amnular surfaces and ruled surfaces to circled surfaces.

We are now able to interpret the preceding theorems in $s_{4}^{\prime}$ in circles.
1.5. Fife-fold infinite stestems of circles $U_{5}$. In each cirche ${ }^{+}$
 ruts. $V_{5}$ in " circled surface which has $O$ for multiple grueretor of mider 16.

The civcles of $U_{5}$ can be grouped into $x^{4}$ ammuler surficeses such that the wrulutiay pencil of circless (the pencil determined by ('and the two points in which it cuts the curve corresponding to the cuspidal edge of a developable) ${ }^{8}$ has three circles infinitely netr in common with ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{5}$. 'Tlis can be done in sixteen different ways.
 peuril of spleress determined by C'for tengent puncil suth thet the osculetiny congruence determined by three infinitely uent circles of ther ramular. surfince determine the sinteen osculating pencils of which $C$ is a pert.

Thromegh rech civcle $C$ of $U_{5}$ petis: $\alpha^{2}$ circled surfaces such thet there are linear series $A_{5}$ tangent to $U_{5}$ in two consecutice circles of such sucfices.
16. Four-parameter families $U_{4}$. There are $\infty^{6}$ linear series $A_{4}$ tenyout to $\Gamma_{4}$ in a given circle $C$.

All the linear circted surfices ${ }^{9} A_{1}$ which contain $C$ and two circles of $C_{4}$ infinitely near to it generate a linear series $C_{4}$.

All the circles oft $U_{4}$ infiuitely near $C$ 'to infinitesimals off secoull order, in a gicen direction (defined by two consecutive circles) lie in a linear series $A_{2}$. If the direction is varied, the linear series will generute a $L_{5}^{*}$ hariuy all the circles of a circleal surface for double elements.

Through each circle $C$ of $U_{4}$ passs $\alpha^{2}$ directions such thrt there are circled surfinces tangent to each direction (the circled surfaces contain

[^98]the two infinitely near circles which define the direction) such that four infinitely nere generators are cut in two points by one circle.

The system $C_{4}$ cen bie generated in $x^{2}$ ways by $x^{3}$ circled surfaces hacieng the athoce property.

There are $x^{2}$ linear series $\Lambda_{4}$ tengent to $U_{4}$ in a circle Ctand a circle infinitely near to $C$ :
17. Three-parameter families $C_{3}$. There are ox ${ }^{9}$ limear series $A_{3}$ tanyent to $C_{3}$ in "a gien circle $C$ :

The linear circledsurdaces which hare $C$ and thre consecutive circles to $C$ in common generrete alinear series $A_{3}$.

The circles iufivitely near to the first amt second order to a giren article $C$ of $C_{s}$ lie in a three-parameter family of order foar hacing fice rircles: of a penticycle for double elements.

There are $x^{1}$ directions such that alinear system $A_{6}$ will have threecircle contact with $L_{3}$.
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# THE INDETERMINATE PRODUC'T. ${ }^{1}$ 

By H. B. Phillips.

Presented by H. W. Tyler, November 9, 1910. Received November 12, 1910.
Tue analysis considered in this paper is applicable to many linear systems, such as linear forms, matrices, vectors, etc. For convenience of language it is here stated in terms of linear spaces.

I theorem involving in its statement only linear spaces can be expressed in terms of two processes, the determination of the space of certain spaces and the determination of the space common to certain spaces. It may involve two relations, of spaces contained in a space of a certain order and of spaces intersecting in a space of a certain order. We develop, after Grassmann, an analysis which expresses symbolically these relations and the results of these processes.

If $a, b, c$ are points and $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ numbers,

$$
\lambda a+\mu b+\nu c
$$

interpreted according to matrix theory, represents a point in the space of $a, b, c$ and, if $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ take all values, represents all points in that space. This expression vanishes only when the points lie in a lower space. Thus with undetermined multipliers we can express the relations desired. This method is usually very clumsy, however, and the peculiar excellence of Grassmann's system consists in replacing these sums with unknown coefficients by products without them.

For this purpose we consider what Gibbs called an indeterminate product. It has the following properties:
(1) $A+B=B+A$,
(2) $(A+B)+C=A+(B+C)$,
(3) $A(B+C)=A B+A C$,
(4) $(A B) C=A(B C)$,
(5) $\lambda A=A \lambda$,
(6) $0 A=A 0=0$,
${ }^{1}$ The method diseussed in this paper was suggested by Gibbs in his vicepresidential address before the American Association for the Advancement of science (Scientific Papers, 2, 109).
where $A, B, C$ are multiple quantities or numbers and $\lambda$ a number. An equality that is a consequence of these relations alone will be called an identity.

We assume a space containing all the points of a given discussion. Points in this space are expressible as linear functions (in the matrix sense) of others. In the statement of a linear problem these relations between points are the only ones that occur. Hence an expression will be provably (and therefore defined as) zero only when it is reduced by linear relations identically to zero.

Let $f^{\prime}\left(\iota_{1}, a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ be a rational integral function of the $n$ points $a_{i}$ and

$$
f\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)=0
$$

There must then (by definition) be a set of points $b_{1} \ldots b_{m}$, in terms of which the $a$ 's are linearly expressible, such that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(l_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)=\phi\left(l_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right) \equiv 0 .
$$

If any of the $l$ 's are linear functions of the others we may suppose them replaced so that $b_{1} \ldots l_{m}$ are linearly independent. If $a>m$, the $a$ 's (expressible in terms of a smaller number of points) must satisfy a linear relation. If $n=m$ and the $a$ 's are linearly independent, the equations expressing them in terms of $l$ 's can be solved and the points $b_{t}$ expressed in terms of the $a^{\prime}$ 's. Then, since an identity transforms into an identity,

$$
\phi\left(l_{1} \ldots l_{m}\right)=f\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right) \equiv 0 .
$$

If $n<m$ and the a's linearly independent, the equations expressing a's in terms of $l$ 's can be solved for $n l$ 's, and since that part of $\phi\left(l_{1} \ldots l_{m}\right)$ containing these must vanish identically, $f\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right) \equiv 0$ as before. 'Thus if $f\left(\alpha_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)$ really contains the $a$ 's, these points can not be linearly independent. If they are replaced by any linearly independent set of points $l_{l}$, the new expression, since it vanishes, must vamish identically. Therefore, if a rational integral function of $n$ points vanishes, these points satisfy a linear relation, and the given function reduces identically to zero when the $n$ points are expressed as linear functions of ( $11 y$ y set of linearly independent points.

T'o form expressions that do vanish when the points are linearly relatel we use orlered determinants, i.e., determinants expanded like ordinary determinants with all products ordered, first term being taken from first column, second term from second column, ete. 'Thus,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{1} \\
a_{2} & a_{2}
\end{array}\right|=a_{1} a_{2}-a_{2} a_{1}
$$

Such a determinant has certain properties of ordinary determinants. Thus, if two rows are equal, the determinant is zero ; its value is not changed when a multiple of one row is added to another row; it can be expanded in terms of minors taken from first $m$ columns. As the above example shows rows and columns are not interchangeable.

The necessary and sufficient condition that $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ satisfy a linear relation is

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & a_{1} & \cdots & \cdot & a_{1} \\
a_{2} & a_{2} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{n} & a_{n} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{n}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

For if any expression vanishes, we have shown that the $a$ 's are linearly related. Conversely, if they satisfy a linear relation, since one row is a linear function of the others, the determinant is zero.

We represent the above determinant by the symbols $\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right.$ ] or $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)$. This may be regarded as a product of the points $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$. Gibbs called it the combinatorial product because it has the property (characteristic of Grassmann's combinatorial) of changing sign when two of the $a$ 's are interchanged.

Similarly we define a combinatorial product

$$
\left[f^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right) \phi\left(l_{1} \ldots l_{m}\right)\right]
$$

when $f$ and $\phi$ are any rational integral functions. The two expressions are multiplied distributively and each product replaced by the sum of all permutations which leave the order of the $a$ 's and the order of the $b$ 's unchanged, the sign being negative when the permutation is odd. Thus the combinatorial product of $a_{1} \alpha_{2}$ and $b_{1} b_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{1} \iota_{2} l_{1} b_{2}+a_{1} b_{1} b_{2} i_{2}+b_{1} b_{2} \alpha_{1} a_{2} \\
+b_{1} a_{1} a_{2} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{1} \alpha_{2} b_{2}-b_{1} a_{1} b_{2} a_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

From the preceding definition it follows that

$$
\left[\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)\left(a_{m+1} \ldots a_{n}\right)\right]=\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right)
$$

For the left hand member expresses that every permatation of $a_{1} \ldots a_{m}$ is to be placed in every position among the letters of every
permutation of $a_{m+1} \ldots a_{n}$. That is equivalent to the right side which represents all permutations of $\mu_{1} \ldots u_{n}$ and the rule of sign is the same for both. It should be noted that $\left(a_{1} c_{2} b_{1} l_{2}\right)$ is the combinatorial product of $\left(a_{1} \mu_{2}\right)$ with $\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right)$ and not of $a_{1} u_{2}$ with $b_{1} b_{2}$.

Let $A=\left(a_{1} \ldots \pi_{n}\right) \neq 0$. If $x$ is a linear function of $a_{1} \ldots a_{n 1}$, i.e., if $x$ lies in the space of $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$,

$$
(A \dot{x})=\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n} x\right)=0 .
$$

Hence $(A, r)=0$ is the equation of that space and we may represent the space by the symbol $A$.

If

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right), \quad B=\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right) \\
(A B)=\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n} b_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If the points $a_{1} \ldots a_{n} l_{1} \ldots b_{m}$ are independent, i.e., if the spaces do not intersect, $(A B)$ represents the space determined by $A$ and $B$. If the spaces $A$ and $B$ intersect, $(A B)=0$. Because of this property of vanishing under incidence (property characteristic of Grassmann's progressive product) Gibbs called this product progressive. The progressive product represents our first linear process and by its vanishing gives the first linear relation.

We can now express the condition that two spaces intersect. To distinguish different types or degrees of incidence we need other products. We define as the regressive product in a space of order $p$ the result of multiplying two functions distributively and replacing each term of the result by the sum of terms gotten by permuting, as in the progressive product, the first $p$ letters in each term. If $A=\left(\iota_{1} \ldots \iota_{n}\right)$, $\beta=\left(l_{1} \ldots b_{m}\right)$
there being $l$, elements not zero in each row of the $A$ 's.
If $b_{i} \ldots b_{j}$ is any combination of $b_{\prime} s, l_{k} \ldots l_{l}$ the remaining ones,

$$
B=\Sigma \pm\left(l_{i} \ldots l_{j}\right)\left(l_{k} \ldots l_{i}\right)
$$

the sign being minus when

$$
b_{i} \ldots b_{j} b_{k} \ldots b_{l}
$$

is an odd permutation of $l_{1} \ldots b_{m}$.
Multiplication by a gives

$$
(A B)_{p}=\Sigma \pm\left(A b_{i} \ldots b_{j}\right)\left(l_{k} \cdot \ldots b_{l}\right)
$$

This is the fundamental identity of Grassmann, ${ }^{2}$ many special cases of which are used in geometry.

Let $A$ and $B$ be two spaces intersecting in the space

$$
C=\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{k}\right) .
$$

We can determine $n-k$ points $\epsilon_{i}$ and $m-k$ points $b_{i}$ such that

$$
A=\left(\mu_{1} \ldots a_{n-k} c_{1} \ldots c_{k}\right), \quad B=\left(l_{1} \ldots l_{m-k} c_{1} \ldots c_{k}\right) .
$$

We expand the product $(A B)$ by the formula given above. If $p>m+n-k$, there are two $c$ 's equal in each of the prefactors and the result is zero. If $p=m+n-k$; there is just one term that does not vanish, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A B)_{p} & =\left(\iota_{1} \ldots a_{n-k} c_{1} \ldots c_{k} b_{1} \ldots b_{m-k}\right)\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{k}\right) \\
& =D C
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is the space containing both $A$ and $B$ and $C$ the space common to them. If $p<m+n-k$, there are a number of terms in the expansion. The determinants which are prefactors are all different. The same is true of postfactors. Since the points in it are linearly independent, the expression can not factor or vanish. Hence if $A \neq 0, B \neq 0,[A B]_{p}=0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition that $A$ and $B$ lie in a space of order less than $p$. If the containing space is of order $p,[A B]_{p}$ is the product of that space and the common space.

Progressive products are used when the number of factors is equal to or less than $p$, regressive when that number is greater than $p$. Hence it causes no confusion to use the same notation [ $A B$ ] for both.

Expressions occurring in the discussions of linear geometry are always homogeneous. Upon multiplying two such expressions regressively, each term of the result is either zero or of the form IC where $I$ ) is the space in which we are working. Since it is a factor of all
such expressions, the equations connecting them are not changed by assuming $D$ scalar. This amounts to making all progressive products of $p$ letters scalar.

The regressive product represents the space common to two spaces or vanishes when they are contained in a space of order less than $p$. This product represents symbolically the second fundamental linear process, and by its vanishing the second type of relation.
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## VEC'IOR-DIAGRAMS OF OSCILLAATING-CURREN'T CIRCUI'TS.

By A. E. Kennelly.<br>Presented November 9, 1910. Reeeived November 25, 1910.

Although, as the appended bibliography indicates, the analysis of oscillating-current circuit phenomena has received much attention, and has attained a considerable degree of development, yet the methods of vector-diagrams do not seem to have been applied to it. It is believed that these vector-diagram methods offer marked advantages to the student, and particularly to students of electrical engineering who have already become familiar with the use of vector-diagrams in connection with alternating-current circuits.

## Definition.

An oscillating-current circuit may be defined as a circuit which, in undergoing a change of energy, carries a current that oscillates periodically about an ultimate value. In such a circuit not only the electric current, but also the emf. quantity, power, and energy oscillate freely. For brevity, the term oscillating current may be designated by the letters o. c. In practice, an o. c. circuit comprises a condenser as an essential element, the energy oscillating, or tending to oscillate, between the electric and magnetic types, at a frequency determined solely by the constants of the circuit. The oscillations decay in amplitude, energy being expelled irrecoverably from the circuit, during the process, by a regular sequence of dwindling impulses. These impulses may be either of the joulean or hertzian type, or of both combined.

## Simple Resistanceless Oscillating-Current Circuits.

The simplest type of o. c. circuit comprises a condenser $A B$, Figure 1, of capacity or permittance $c$ farads, inserted in a circuit of negligible resistance, containing a total inductance of $l$ henrys. Let $n$ be the freeoscillation frequency of the circuit, in cycles per second, and let $\omega=2 \pi n$ be the free-oscillation angular velocity of the circuit, in radians per second. Then the reactance $j X_{l}$ of the inductance $D E$ at this frequency will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
j X_{l}=j l \omega \quad \text { ohms } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=\sqrt{-1}$, the quadrantal operator.
The reactance $-j I_{c}$ of the condenser at the same frequency will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
-j X_{c}=-j \frac{1}{c \omega}=-j \frac{s}{\omega} \quad \text { ohms } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=1 / c$ is the elastance of the condenser in darafs. ${ }^{1}$
Discharging and Charging Oscillations. - An oscillatory circuit may be excited into activity either when energy is added to it, or when energy is withdrawn from it. In the former


Figure 1. Diagram of connections of simple oscillatingcurrent circuit, and schematic representation of the same. case charging oscillations, and in the latter case discharging oscillations are produced. Thus the circuit may be initially devoid of electric or magnetic energy, and a certain constant potential difference of $U_{0}$ volts, as from a storage battery, may be inserted in the circuit between the terminals TT, Figure 1. The condenser will then be charged by an oscillatory process, or series of charging oscillations.

Again, the condenser may be initially charged to a potential difference of $U_{0}$ volts, and allowed to discharge by shortcircuiting the terminals TT. Discharging oscillations will then be produced. Or, the condenser may be initially without charge, but the inductance $D E$ (Figure 1) may be charged by allowing a steady current of $I_{0}$ amperes to pass through it from some external source. If then the gap T'T be closed, and the source of charging current is suddenly withdrawn sparklessly, discharging oscillations will be produced in the circuit.

Discharging oscillations may even be considered as taking place in an active initially energised circuit, in the limiting case where the circuit is assumed to be resistanceless; so that energy ceases to be dissipated during the discharge.

In any of the above cases, the circuit selects the frequency of free

[^99]oscillation such that the reactance of the circuit remains zero. This is the law of all oscillating-current circuits, whether they contain small or large resistance, up to the aperiodic limit. Consequently :
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j \Lambda_{l}-j X_{c}=0 & \text { ohms } \\
j l \omega=j \frac{1}{c \omega}=j \frac{s}{\omega} & \text { ohms } \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$
\]

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{\sqrt{l c}}=\sqrt{\frac{s}{l}} . \quad \quad \text { radians } / \mathrm{sec} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When condensers are connected in parallel, their permittances are more readily dealt with; and when connected in series, their elastances.

Discharging Oscillations of a Simple Resistanceless OscillatingCurrent Circuit. - The oscillatory system of Figure 1 may be given its initial stock of energy either electrically or magnetically ; that is, either by giving an initial electric charge of $Q_{0}$ coulombs to the condenser, or by exciting a total initial linked magnetic flux $\Phi_{0}=I_{0} l$ ampere-henrys in the coil, where $I_{0}$ is the initial exciting currentstrength, supposed to be suddenly withdrawn from the coil without loss of energy in sparking. With respect to amplitude, the discharging oscillations of the coil will be the same as those of the condenser, provided that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}=Q_{0} \tilde{\sigma}_{0} \quad \text { volt-seconds } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{l}{c}}=\sqrt{l s}$ is the surge impedance of the system. With respect to phase, however, the discharging oscillations of the excited coil will be in quadrature with those of the excited condenser. In cases where both the condenser and the coil are initially excited, and are allowed to discharge simultaneously, each may be considered independently, and the two sets of oscillations may then be summed.

General Rotating Vector-Diagram of Simple Resistenceless Oscillat-ing-Current Discharging Circuit. - In Figure 2, let $O \bar{U}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ represent to volt-scale the initial p. d. applied to the condenser of Figure 1, and producing therein an initial electric charge $Q_{0}$. Then $O \bar{U}_{0}$ will be the equal and opposite p. d. of $U_{0}$ volts, tending to discharge the condenser. The direction of the discharging $p$. d. $O U_{0}$ may be taken as the direction of reference or voltage phase-standard, and $工 O X$ as the axis of reference. The vector $O \bar{I}_{0}$ then represents the discharging current established by the discharging p. d. $O \bar{U}_{0}$. The vector system $O \bar{U}_{0}$, $O \bar{I}_{0}, O \bar{E}_{0}$ is to be pivoted about the point $O$, and, starting at time
$t=0$ from the position shown, to rotate positively in the plane $\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0} \bar{E}_{0}$ with the angular velocity $\omega$, as determined by formula (5). At any time $t$ seconds after the release of the discharge, the orthogonal projections on $I O \Gamma^{-}$of the three vectors $O \bar{U}_{0}, O \bar{I}_{0}$, and $O \bar{E}_{0}$ will represent the corresponding instantaneous values of the discharging p. d. at condenser terminals, the current strength, and the emf. of self-induction in the coil. The vector discharging current therefore rotates in quadra-


Figure 2. Rotative vector-diagram of simple resistanceless oscillatingcurrent circuit.
ture between the two opposed and equal electromotive forces of discharge and of self-induction, developing with them reactive power and cyclic energy; but with no dissipated energy, under the assumption of negligible resistance.
Figure 3 presents a series of stationary vectors; $\omega, I, I, P, W^{*}$. The vector $\omega$ is drawn in the $-j$ direction, and with a length $\omega=1 / \sqrt{c l}$ $=\sqrt{s / l}$ radians-per-second, according to formula (5). If we take, as an example, a condenser of $c=4$ microfarads ( $s=0.25$ megadarat), charged to an initial p. d. of $U_{0}=1000$ volts, and discharged through a resistanceless inductance of $l=0.1$ henry, we find $\omega=1581.14$ radians per second, corresponding to a frequency of $n=251.646$ cycles per second, and a complete period of $T=0.003,974$ second.

Starting from the initial position of Figure 2, the subsequent position of any vector in the system, after the lapse of $t$ seconds, is determined by multiplying the vector by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{-(-j \omega t)}=\epsilon^{j \omega t}=\epsilon^{j \frac{t}{\sqrt{a}}}=\epsilon^{j 1581.14 t} . \quad \text { numeric } \angle \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The admittance of the oscillating-current circuit is given by the $Y$ vector, Figure :3, which is drawn in the $-j$ direction to a scale of mhos, and a length of $c \omega$ mhos. In the case considered $Y^{\prime}=-j 0.006,324,6$ mho.

The initial vector oscillating current is given by the $I$ vector, Figure 3, which is drawn in the $-j$ direction to a scale of amperes, and a length of $\bar{U}_{0} Y$, as the amplitude, or maximum cyclic value, of the p . d. at condenser terminals. Or, expressed in terms of the quantity of electricity in the condenser, $\bar{I}_{0}=\bar{Q}_{0} \omega$, where $\bar{Q}_{0}$ is the initial condenser charge in coulombs, and the vector amplitude or maximum cyclic quantity. $\bar{Q}_{0}$ is also $Q \sqrt{2}$, where $Q$ is the root-mean-square of the oscillating condenser charge, in coulombs. In the case considered, $\bar{I}_{0}$ is $-j 6.3246$ amperes, and $I=4.472,14 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{m}$. s. amperes. This shows that in Figure 2 the current vector $O \bar{I}_{0}=6.3246 \mathrm{max}$. cyclic amperes, lies $90^{\circ}$ in phase behind the discharging p. d. $O L_{0}^{\top}$.

The oscillatory power in the circuit is given by the $P$ vector, Figure 3 . Since the current is in quadrature with the emfs. in a resistanceless circuit, the power will be wholly reactive or non-dissipative. The $P_{m}$ vector is drawn in the $-j$ direction, to a scale of watts, and to a length of $P_{m}=\frac{\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0}}{2}=U I$ units on this scale. In the case considered
$P_{m}=-j 3162.3$ watts. This is the maximum cyclic value, or amplitude, of the power of the condenser. The power is positive when the condenser is doing work, or discharging, and is negative when the condenser is receiving energy from the magnetic field of the coil, or is charging.

The oscillatory energy in the circuit is given by the $W$ vector. This vector is drawn in the $=-j$ direction, to a scale of joules, and to a length of $W_{m}=P / 2 \omega$ units on that scale. In the case considered, $W_{m}=-j 1$ joule. This is the maximum cyclic value, or amplitude, of the energy delivered by the condenser into the magnetic field of the coil at each oscillation.

The time variation of the various oscillating quantities is represented in Figure 5, for one complete cycle of the current and p. d. The sinusoid $u, u, u$, of 1000 volts amplitude, is the graph of the condenser p. d., commencing at $U_{0}=1000$ volts. The sinusoid $e$ is the emf. of self-induction in the coil, and is always equal and opposite to the p. d. of the condenser. The sinusoid $i i$, of 6.3246 amperes amplitude, is the graph of the discharging current, and is $90^{\circ}$ in phase behind the discharging p.d. The sinusoid $p$, of double frequency, and of amplitude


Figure 3. Stationary vectordiagrams of angular velocity, admittance, r. m. s., current strength, maximumeyclicpower, and maximum cyelic energy in a simple resistanceless oseillatingcurrent circuit to phase of $p$. d. as standard.


Figure 4. Stationary vectordiagrams of impedance, r. m. s. s., potential difference, maximum eyclic power, and maximum cyclic energy in a simple resistanceless oscillatory-current circuit to phase of current as standard.
3.1623 kilowatts, is the power developed in the condenser. It starts from zero, in the positive direction, at the moment of release. The opposite sinusoid $p_{l}$, of double frequency, and also of amplitude 3.1623 kw ., is the power developed in the inductance. The condenser and coil are reciprocally and successively generator and recipient in respect to power.


Degrees of Current Phase
Figure 5. Analysis of p. d., current, power, and energy in a simple resistanceless discharging circuit.

The sinusoid $u_{b}$, of double frequency, is the graph of the energy expended by the condenser and stored in the coil. It has an amplitude of 1 joule above and below the line on as axis. The total energy in the coil, therefore, reckoned from zero, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 W_{m}=\frac{U I}{\omega}=\frac{\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0}}{2 \omega}=\frac{1}{2} l I_{0}{ }^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

joules
'The opposite sinusoid $w_{c}$, also of double frequency, and 1 joule amplitude, is the graph of energy in the condenser. It is evident that at every instant

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{c}+u_{l}=W_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{0}$ is the initial energy $U_{0}{ }^{2} c / 2$ of the system, or 2 joules in the case considered.

All of the vectors in Figure 3 are stationary, and in the series $I, P$, and $W$, are all drawn to the phase of the discharging p. d. $\overline{U_{0}}$ as standard. This means that the vector current $i$ is $90^{\circ}$ behind that of $u$ (Figure 5), the condenser power $p$ is quadrature power, or purely reactive power, and the delivered energy $W_{m}$ is also quadrature or reactive energy.

With respect to current-phase as standard, we have the series of vectors in Figure 4, commencing with $Z_{0}$ the impedance of the circuit, which is drawn in the $+j$ direction to a scale of ohms and a length of $l_{\omega}$ on this scale. In the case considered, $Z_{0}=j 158.114$ ohms. $Z_{0}$ is thus a purely reactive impedance, or reactance.

The vector $\overline{U_{0}}$, Figure 4, represents the amplitude or initial value of the p.d. at condenser terminals. It is drawn in the $+j$ direction, or is $90^{\circ}$ ahead of the current, and to a scale of volts, to a length of $\bar{I}_{0} Z_{0}=I Z_{0} \sqrt{2}$ on that scale, where $I$ is the r.m. s. value of the vector $\bar{I}_{0}$. In the case considered, $\bar{I}_{0}=6.3246$ amplitude amperes and $I=4.472 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. amperes. In terms of the electric quantity, however, it may also be expressed as $\bar{Q}_{0}{ }^{8}=Q s \sqrt{2}$ volts. In the case considered, this vector amplitude p. d. $\bar{U}_{0}$ is 1000 volts, with an effective or r.m.s. value of $C=707.1$ volts.

The vector $P_{m}$ in Figure 4 represents the amplitude, or maximum cyclic value, of the oscillatory power of the condenser. It is drawn in the $+j$ direction, being leading quadrature power with respect to current phase, to watt scale, and to a length of $\frac{\overline{\bar{O}}_{0} \bar{T}_{0}}{2}=I U$ units on that scale. In the case considered, $P_{m}=j 3162.3$ watts.

The vector ${H_{m}}_{m}$ in Figure 4 represents the ampliturle or maximum cyclic value of the oscillatory energy expended by the condenser. It differs only from the vector $W_{m}$ of Figure 3, by being drawn in the $+j$ instead of in the $-j$ direction. This is because the energy and power are leading quadrature quantities with reference to the current, but lagging fuadrature quantities with respect to the discharging p. d.

The stationary vector-diagrams of Figures 3 and 4 may be considered as graphically corresponding to the following vector equations:

With respect to the potential difference as standard of phase,
$\bar{I}_{0}=\bar{U}_{0} \gamma\left|90^{\circ}=\bar{Q}_{0^{\circ}}\right| 90^{\circ}, \quad$ maximum cyclic amperes
$P_{m}=\frac{\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0}}{2} 990^{\circ}=U I\left[\left.90^{\circ}=\frac{\bar{U}_{0}^{2} Y}{2} 990^{\circ}=U^{2} Y \right\rvert\, 90^{\circ}\right.$, max. cyclic watts
$\left.W_{m}=\frac{P_{m}}{2 \omega} \sqrt{90}{ }^{\circ}=\frac{\bar{U}_{0}{ }^{2} Y}{4 \omega} \sqrt{40}{ }^{\circ}=\frac{U I}{2 \omega} \right\rvert\, \overline{90}^{\circ} . \quad$ maxinum cyclic joules
With respect to the current as standard of phase:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{U}_{0}=\bar{I}_{0} Z_{0} \underline{90^{\circ}}=\bar{Q}_{0}{ }^{\circ} 90^{\circ}, \quad \text { maximum cyclic volts }  \tag{10}\\
& \left.P_{m}=\frac{\bar{I}_{0} \bar{U}_{n}}{2} \underline{900^{\circ}}=\frac{\bar{I}_{0}^{2} Z_{0}}{2} 90^{\circ}=I U \underline{90^{\circ}}=I^{2} Z_{0} \right\rvert\, 90^{\circ}, \text { max. cyc. watts }  \tag{11}\\
& W_{m}=\frac{P_{m}}{2 \omega} \underline{90}^{\circ}=\frac{\bar{I}_{0}^{2} Z_{0}}{4 \omega} \underline{90^{\circ}}=\frac{I U}{2 \omega} \underline{90^{\circ}} . \text { maximum cyclic joules } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The vector-diagrams $I, P$, and $W$, of Figure 3, as well as $U, P$, and $W$, of Figure 4, may also be treated as rotative vector-diagrams, for deducing instantaneous values of their respective quantities projectively. Thus in the case of $I$, Figure 3., we may rotate the vector $I_{0}$ about the point $d$, in the positive direction, with angular velocity $\omega$, commencing at time $t=0$, from the position shown. The instantaneous orthogonal projections of the vector on the dotted line, or "real" axis, will then give the corresponding instantaneous o. c. strengths.

In the case of the $P$ vector, Figure 3 , the vector $g k$ may be rotated abont the point $g$ with positively directed angular velocity $2 \omega$, commencing from the position shown in Figure 3, at time $t=0$. Instantaneous orthogonal projections on the dotted line, or "real" axis, will then give the corresponding instantaneous oscillating-current power, as indicated by the double-frequency sinusoid $p$ in Figure 5. This is the power of the condenser. The same rotating power-vector may also be used to project the power of the inductance, if displaced in phase by $180^{\circ}$, that is, if it starts from rest in the diametrically opposite position to that shown in Figure 3.

In the case of the $W$ vector, Figure 3, the vector $l n$ may be rotated in the positive direction about the point $l$ with angular velocity $2 \omega$. If the vector starts, at time $t=0$, from the position indicated in Figure 3, projections must be taken on the line $\ln$, or axis of imaginaries. In. stantaneous projections of the vector on this axis will then mark off instantaneous values of the energy in the condenser ( $u^{2} c / 2$ joules).

If the rotating vector be displaced $180^{\circ}$ in phase, its projections will mark off instantaneous values of


Figure 6 . Rotating and rolling vec-tor-diagram of the condenser energy, the inductance energy, and the semisystem energy in a resistanceless oscil-tating-current eircuit. energy, ( $i^{2} l / 2$ joules) in the inductance. For reasons that will be evident on considering oscil-lating-current circuits containing resistance, it is preferable to describe a circle upon a diameter $L \mathbb{S} C$, Figure 6, with the radius $l n=W_{m}$, Figure 3, and rotate this circle in the positive direction, with the angular velocity $2 \omega$, at the same time rolling it along the axis $O Y^{-}$, drawn through the point $L$. The orthogonal projection of the center $S$ upon $O Y$ will mark time, as indicated in Figure 6 both to a scale of seconds, and to degrees of energy phase. The projection of the point $C$ in the circle on the $O I^{-}$ axis, commencing at $c$, will mark off a distance $O c$ corresponding to the instantaneous energy $u^{2} c / 2$ joules in the condenser. Similarly, the projection of the opposite point $L$ in the circle on the $O . Y$ axis, commencing at $l$, will mark off a distance $O l$ corresponding, on the same scale, to the instantaneous energy $i^{2} / / 2$ joules in the inductance.

If we connect the points $L$ and $C$ by a straight line, and take the middle point, it will coincide, in the resistanceless case here considered, with the center $s$ of the rolling circle. Consequently, the projection of the point $s$ on the OX axis at $s$, will mark off a length $O_{s}$, equal to half the sum of the instantaneous energy in the condenser and in the inductance, i. e., equal to half the instantaneous
energy remaining in the system. We may call this quantity $O_{s}$, for brevity, the semi-system-energy. Since there can be no dissipation of energy from such a circuit devoid of joulean and hertzian resistance, the semi-system-energy $O s$ does not vary with time as the circle rolls along the $O Y^{\prime}$ axis. $C C C$ and LLL are cycloids differing $180^{\circ}$ in phase.

Inductance-Discharging Oscillations in a Simple Resistanceless Oscil-lating-Current Circuit. - We have thus far considered condenserdischarging oscillations. If, however, the inductance be charged with current and magnetic encrgy from a separate source, and this source is suddenly and sparklessly removed, while the condenser circuit Figure 1 is closed at $T T$, the inductance will set up a series of discharging oscillations. If we assume that the initial steady current strength $I_{0}$, at the moment of release, is equal to the maximum cyclic value of the current in the case already considered, then the oscillations of the inductance-discharging system will differ only in phase from the oscillations of the condenser-discharging system already discussed. Thas, if the current in the inductance were 6.3246 amperes at the instant of release, and the condenser were initially without charge, the oscillations of the system would be those of Figure 5, except that the time would start from the instant denoted by $90^{\circ}$ in that diagram. If the initial direction of the current in the coil were reversed, the starting point in time would be at $270^{\circ}$ in Figure 5. Consequently, with con-denser-discharging oscillations, we start in Figure 5 with $t=0$, either from the phase of $0^{\circ}$ or of $180^{\circ}$, according to the direction of the p. d. impressed upon the condenser ; while with inductance-discharging oscillations, we start with $t=0$, either from the phase of $90^{\circ}$ or of $270^{\circ}$, according to the direction of the current impressed upon the coil. The sequence of all the phenomena will then remain in each case as presented in Figure 5.

Not only Figures 2 and 5, but also Figures 3 and 4 apply equally to inductance and condenser-discharging oscillations. The diagrams of Figure 4 apply more directly, however, to inductance discharges, and those of Figure 3 to condenser discharges, because in the former the initial current is the independent common variable; while in the latter initial p. d. is the independent common variable. Thus, if in Figure 1 the inductance was initially charged with a current of 10 amperes, and an energy of 5 joules, the maximum cyclic current $\bar{I}_{0}$ would be 10 amperes, the r. m. s. or virtual current, $I, 7.071$ amperes. The maximum cyclic oscillating p. d. $\bar{U}_{0}$, in the absence of resistance, would be 1581.14 volts, the r. m. s., or virtual, p. d. $U$, would be 1118.0 volts, the maximum cyclic power $P_{m}$ would be 7905.9 watts, and the maximum
cyelic condenser or inductance energy $7905.7 / 3162.3=2.5$ joules. The semi-system-energy would also be 2.5 joules.

Charging Oscillutions in a simple Resistanceless Oscillating-Carrent Circuit. - If with the system of Figure 1 initially unchanged, we suddenly impress upon the circuit, assumed resistanceless, between the terminals $T T$, a constant potential difference $U_{0}$, also assumed resistanceless, as from a storage battery of large cells, then both the condenser and the inductance will be subjected to charging oscillations. In this case, if the impressed p. d. $U_{0}$ is the same as that already assumed for the initial p. d. of the discharging condenser, the conditions represented in Figure 5 will apply to the charging oscillations, except in regard to phase, and to the meaning of the zero line 00. The sign of the oscillations will be reversed, or the phase displaced $180^{\circ}$, from those corresponding to a p. d. of the direction On, Figure 5. That is, an impressed p. d. having the + direction will set up from the start the same system of oscillations as those from the discharge of a condenser impressing $a+$ direction of $p$. d. on the circuit. The zero line 00 of Figure 5 , in regard to p. d. and to condenser energy, instead of representing zero p. d. and zero condenser energy, will also have to be interpreted respectively in the charging case, as the constant value of impressed p. d., and the mean energy of the condenser under the impressed p. d. 'That is, the horizontal line through - 10 will be the zero line of p . d. if the impressed p. d. is 1000 volts tending to make the condenser p. d. positive.

## Sinple Oscillating-Cerrent Circuits Containing Resistance.

When such a circuit as that shown in Figure 1 is allowed to discharge through a known total resistance $r$ ohms, including both joulean and hertzian resistances (all types that involve dissipation of power in proportion to the square of the current), the first step is to find the resistanceless angular velocity $\omega$ of Figure 3, that is to determine the angular velocity of discharge on the basis of no resistance $(r=0)$. Let this value of resistanceless angular velocity be denoted by $\omega_{0}$. We then proceed to determine the angular velocity $\omega$ in the presence of the actual resistance $r$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=r / 2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the semi-resistance of the circuit, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=l / \rho \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

seconds
will then be a time-constant, which may, for convenience, be called the ascillation time-constant of the circuit, as distinguished from the ordi-
nary time-constant $l / r$ when the circuit is non-oscillatory and the condenser is short-circuited. The oscillation time-constant is thus double the ordinary time-constant. If, as in Figure 7, we take $r=200$ ohms in the same circuit as has been considered in Figures 3, 4 and 5, $\tau=0.001$ second.

The time-constant reciprocal of the oscillating-current circuit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota=\frac{1}{\tau}=\frac{\rho}{l} \cdot \text { seconds }{ }^{-1} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $\omega$-diagram of Figure 7, draw $O P=\lrcorner$, to a suitable scale of recip-rocal-seconds, in the direction of reference, or along the real axis in the positive direction. From $P$ draw a line $P Q$ in the $-j$ direction, or perpendicular to $O P$. With center $O$, and radius $O Q$ equal, on the adopted scale, to the value of the resistanceless angular velocity

$$
\omega_{0}=\sqrt{s / l},
$$

obtained as in Figure 3, intersect the line $P Q$ in $Q$. Then the intercept $P Q$ will measure, to scale, the angular velocity $\omega$ of the oscillation in the circuit with the resistance $r$ present.

Or analytically,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega=\sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}-\iota^{2}}=\omega_{0} \sin \phi \\
\text { radians per sec. } \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 7. Stationary vector-diagrams of angular velocity, admittance, vector current strength, maximum cyclic power, and energy in a simple o. c. circuit containing resistance. Phase of p.d., standard.
vol. Xlvi. -25
and $T$, the period of current and p. d. is $2 \pi / \omega$. seconds (17) In the case considered $\omega=1224.75$ radians per second, and $T=$ 0.00513 second.

The matter may be viewed in another direction by considering that with the resistanceless circuit of Figure 3, the vector condition of the p. d. in the circuit, at any instant $t$ seconds after release is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-t\left(-j \omega_{0}\right)}=\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{j \omega_{0} t} \quad \text { volts } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-j \omega_{0}$ of Figure 3 is the factor of the time in the exponential variable $\epsilon^{j \omega_{0} t}$. The effect of introducing a resistance $r$ into the circuit is to alter (18) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-t\left(\omega_{0}, \bar{\phi}\right)}=\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-t(t-j \omega)}=\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-t t+j \omega t} . \quad \text { volts } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the exponential time-factor $-j \omega_{0}$ of the resistanceless case (Figure 3) is deflected from the $-j$ direction to a direction making an angle $\bar{\phi}$ with the direction of reference ; such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\phi}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\nu / \omega_{0}\right) . \quad \text { radians or degrees } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case considered, $\phi=50^{\circ} \cdot 46^{\prime} .06^{\prime \prime}=0.8861$ radian.
The rotative vector-diagram of the resistant circuit is shown in Figure 8. $O U_{0}$, measured to scale along the axis of projection - NOA, is the initial p. d. between condenser terminals at release. $O \bar{C}_{0}$ is the initial position of the vector p . d . whose projection is $O C_{0}$. In a certain sense, $O \bar{C}_{0}$ is a fictitious vector; because it has a value $O U_{0}$ cosec $\phi=1291$ volts, which is greater than the initial p. d. at the moment of release ; but, owing to the effect of damping, this seeming inconsistency gives rise to no error in the result. $O E_{0}$ is the vector emf, of self-induction in its initial position. Midway between $O \bar{T}_{0}$ and $O \bar{E}_{0}$ lies the vector current $\bar{I}_{0}$, whose projection on $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{-}$is initially zero. The cophase component $\operatorname{Od}$ ( 5.164 amperes), of the current along $O l_{0}{ }_{0}$, is the dissipative component taking power from the discharging p . d. while the component in quadrature thereto, $d \bar{I}_{0}$ is the reactive component, taking reactive power from $O \bar{T}_{0}$. The "drop" of vector $\bar{I}_{0} r$ volts in the circuit would thus be a vector in line with $f_{0}$ and terminating at the point $r$. This would also be the resultant of the two vectors $O \vec{E}_{0}$ and $O \vec{L}_{0}$. If we take a vector $-I r$ drop $=O R$, or $O r$ reversed, we have three vectors $O \overline{E_{0}}, O \overline{l_{0}}$, and $O R$ whose vector sum is zero. 'This triple set of vectors is to be rotated about the
center $O$, with the miform angular velocity $\omega$, obtained from Figure 7. But instead of rotating in simple cireles, as in the resistanceless case of Figure 2, the three vectors of Figure s rotate in equiangular spirals, the angle of each spiral being $\phi$ as defined in equation 20. That is,


Figlre 8. Rotative vector-diagram of an oscillating-current circuit containing resistance. Instant of release of condenser charge.
the tangent to the spiral at any point makes with the radius vector the constant angle $\phi$. The vectors rotating with the uniform angular velocity $\omega$ of formula (16), describe equiangular spirals because energy is dissipated from the system in the resistance $r$, and each vector shrinks with time at the uniform exponential rate $\epsilon^{-د t}$; or falls to $1 / \epsilon$ th of its value in a time $\tau$ equal to the oseillatory time-constant. Since, however, all three vectors shrink at the same exponential rate, and since their vector sum in Figure 8 is initially zero, their veetor sum will
always be zero, and the sums of their projections on the $-X X$ axis will also always be zero. That is, at all times

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-l \frac{d i}{d t}-i r=0 . \quad \text { volts } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noteworthy that in Figure 8, both the discharging p. d. $O \bar{L}_{0}$ and the emf. of self-induction make an angle $\phi$ with the current $O I_{0}$, the former leading and the latter lagging. Each of these emfs. therefore develops power on the current. This is a general condition of the $o$. c. circuit, different from that of the a. c. circuit, in which the emf. of self-induction exerts no dissipative power on the current, being in quadrature therewith.

We may, however, dispense with the equiangular spirals of Figure 8 by assuming that all the vectors rotate in circles with uniform angular velocity $\omega$, provided we apply to their instantaneously projected values on $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{I}^{\top}$, the proper damping factor $\epsilon^{-2 t}$ for the instant considered.

The positions of the three vector emfs. and also their projections on -IJ , are indicated in Figure 8 for three successive instants angularly separated by $30^{\circ}$, or $0.000,427,5$ second.

Returning to the stationary vectors of Figure 7, if we multiply the $\omega$-diagram by the condenser-capacity $c$, we obtain the oscillatory ardnittance diagram $Y^{\prime}$ to a scale of mhos. The oscillatory admittance is numerically the same as in the resistanceless case of Figure 3, ( $0.006,324,6 \mathrm{mho}$ ), but makes a negative angle $\phi$ with the reference axis, instead of $90^{\circ}$. The oscillatory conductance is the real component, and the oscillatory susceptance the $-j$ component, of the oscillatory admittance.

Multiplying the $Y$ diagram by $\bar{U}_{0}$, the vector amplitude of the initial discharging p. d., we obtain the current or $I$ diagram, $d e f$, of Figure 7. The initial vector oscillatory current $\bar{I}_{0}$ is 8.165 amperes, corresponding to a r. m. s. initial oscillatory current $I$ of 5.7635 amperes. The reactive component $e f$ is the same as in the resistanceless case. The dissipative component $d e$ is the component in phase with the discharging p. d. $O \bar{U}_{0}$ Figure 8. A like component is also in phase with the self-inductive emf. $O E$; so that the total equivalent component of dissipation current would be $d d^{\prime}$ Figure 7, or 10.328 amperes maximum cyclic initial value.

Multiplying the $I$ diagram, Figure 7 , by $\frac{\bar{T}_{0}}{2}$, we obtain the stationaryvector power-diagram $P^{\prime}$, or the watts triangle $g k k$, which may be drawn to any suitable scale of watts. This gives the maximum cyelic
power $l_{m}$, of which the component $h k$ is the maximum cyclic reactive power, or the maximum cyclic power in the inductance; while the real component $g h$ is the maximum cyclic power expended in resistance by the discharging p. d. $O \bar{\Gamma}_{0}$, Figure 8. But a like dissipation of power occurs under the influence of the emf. of self-induction $)\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right.$, so that the total undamped maximum cyclic dissipative power in the circuit is $g y^{\prime}$, Figure 7, of 6666.6 watts.

Finally, if we divide the $P$ diagram by $2 \omega$, or twice the resistant angular velocity, we obtain the $W$ diagram of Figure 7, or the triangle 1 mn , which may be drawn to a suitable scale of joules. The stationary vector $l n$ is the undamped maximum cyclic energy $W$ in the oscillatory circuit as measured, at condenser terminals, or $2.151,65$ joules in the case considered. The $-j$ component, or 1.66 joules, is the undamped maximum cyclic energy in the reactance, and the real component $l m=1.360 s$ is the undamped maximum cyclic energy dissipated by the discharging p. d. $O \bar{U}_{0}$, Figure 8, on the oscillatory current. But a like amount of energy will be dissipated by the self-inductive emf. $O E$. Consequently, the total undamped maximum cyclic dissipative energy in the circuit will be $l l^{\prime}$, Figure 7, or 2.7216 joules.

The condition of either the vector p. d. $O \bar{U}_{0}$ (Figure 7), the vector self-inductive emf. $O \bar{E}_{0}^{\prime}$, or the vector current $O \bar{I}_{0}$ after $t$ seconds, is obtained by applying the exponential $\left(\epsilon^{-(1-j \omega)} t\right.$ ), as in (19). This exponential may be expressed as $\epsilon^{-1 t}$. $\epsilon^{j \omega t}$, the first of which is a damp-ing-factor, and the second a rotating factor. The diagrams of Figure 7 apply only to the effects of the rotating factor, as thongh no damping existed. That is, they represent undamped oscillating quantities, or quantities which would be projected on - I OAF $^{\prime}$ by the rotation of the vectors $O \bar{C}_{0}, O \bar{E}_{0}, O R$, in pure circles, instead of in spirals. The damping-factor $\epsilon^{-\Delta t}$ to be applied, is represented in Figure 9, which is drawn on semi-logarithm paper, i.e., on paper ruled to a logarithmic scale of ordinates, but to a uniform scale of abscissas. The ordinates give the damping factor, and the alscissas the time from release, to a scale of degrees, and also of seconds. The straight line $O A$ represents the damping-factor for voltage and currents. The straight line $O B$ represents the damping-factor for powers and energies. The time in which the voltage and current fall to $1 / \epsilon$ th of their initial value is $\tau$, the oscillatory time-constant, or 0.001 second in the case considered. The number of radians through which the vectors of Figure 8 must rotate in order to shrink to $1 / \epsilon$ th of their initial values, i. e., the timeangle for a damping-factor of $\epsilon^{-1}=0.367,88$, is $l \omega / \rho=\tan \phi$, or, in the case considered, 1.22475 radians $=70.2^{\circ}$.

Figure 10 shows the undamped time-values of the various quantities considered, or the values before applying the damping-factor. The sinusoid $\bar{u}$, of 1291 volts amplitude, corresponds, to the projection of


Figure 9. Damping-factors at different time-intervals after release.
$O \vec{e}_{0}$, Figure 8 , on the $\mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{OX}$ axis. Similarly, the sinusoid $\vec{\rho}$, of 1291 volts amplitude, corresponds to the projection of $O E_{0}^{\prime}$, Figure $s$, on the same axis. 'The heavy sinusoid $\bar{i}$, of s.165 amperes amplitude, gives the undamped current, starting positively from zero at $O$. The emfs.


Figure 10. Curves of potential difference, current, power and energy in simple oscillating-current circuit containing resistance, leaving damping out of account.
$\bar{u}$ and $\bar{e}$, being out of phase with the current, are divided each into two eomponents $\bar{u}_{r} \bar{u}_{l}$ and $\bar{e}_{r} \bar{e}_{l}$, the former being in phase with the current, the latter in quadrature therewith. The maximum eyclic values of $\bar{u}_{r}$ and $\bar{\ell}_{r}$ will be $\$ 16.5$ volts each as at $U$, Figure 12, and the maximum cyclic values of $\bar{u}_{l}$ and $\bar{e}_{l}=1000$ volts each. The undamped power of the cophase components $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{u}_{r}$ is the double-frequency $\bar{p}_{r}$ of 3333.3 watts amplitude about the zero line $o o$, itself elevated 3333.3 watts. The power of $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{e}_{r}$ will be an identical sinusoid. The total power of cophase components is thus the simusoid $2 \bar{p}_{r}$ of 6666.6 watts amplitude, about the zero line oo, oo, itself elevated 6666.6 watts. The reactive power expended by the quadrature voltage component of $\bar{u}_{2}$ upon the current $i$, is the double-frequency sinnsoid $p_{2}$ of 408.2 .5 watts as at $I^{\prime}$, Figure 12. 'This power is in the magnetie field of the reactance. The total power exerted by $\bar{u}$ upon $\bar{i}$ is the heavy double-frequency simusoid $\bar{p}$, of 5270 watts amplitude, about the zero line oo elevated $33: 3.3$ watts.

The undamped energy of reactance magnetic flux is the double frequency sinusoid $w_{l}$, of $1.6 \dot{6}$ joules amplitude, about the zero line $q q$, elevated 1.66 joules. The undamped energy of dissipation in resistance due to $\bar{u}_{r}$ and $\bar{i}$, is the double-frequency simusoid $u_{r}$ of 1.960 s joules. An identical sinusoid would represent the energy of dissipation due to $\bar{e}_{r}$ and $\bar{i}$; so that the total unattennated energy of dissipation would be a donble-frequency simusoid of 2.7216 joules amplitude, as at $\mathrm{IV}^{\prime}$, Figure 12. The total undamped energy of $\bar{u}$ acting on $\bar{i}$ is the heavy doublefrequency sinusoid $\bar{w}$, of $2.151,65$ joules amplitude, about the zero line $4 \%$.

If we apply the attenuation-factors of Figure 9 to the ordinates of Figure 10, that is, multiplying the currents and voltages by ${ }^{-t t}$, while multiplying the powers and energies by $\epsilon-2 t t$, we obtain the curves of Figure 11 (with the exceptions of $u^{\prime}$ and $u_{r}$ ). This diagram represents the actual succession of events in the oscillating-current circuit. 'The p.d. at terminals falls along $u$. 'The emf. of self-induction pursues the opposite curve $e$. The current follows the heavy line $i$, reaching a maximum of 3.04 amperes near to $45^{\circ}$ of its phase, or 0.00064 second after release. The components of voltage in phase with the current - $u_{r}$ and $e_{r}$-both coincide with its curve. The quadrature components of voltage are $u_{b}$ and $\%$. The total component of voltage in phase with the current follows the curve marked $2 u_{r}$. The power curve $p$ reaches a maximum of 2200 watts at about $60^{\circ}$ of its phase. This power rapilly subsides, and only crosses the zero line in fecble measure. The total dissipative power is shown by the curve $2^{2} p^{\prime}$.

The energy in the inductance follows the curve $\pi_{l}$. 'This corresponts to $\bar{\pi}_{2}$, in Figure 10, after aplying the factor $\epsilon^{-2 t t}$. The total energy dissipated in the resistance, and the total energy expended by the con-


Figure 11. Curves of potential difference, current, power and energy in simple oscillating-current circuit containing resistance after applying damping-factors to the ordinates of Figure 10.
denser, follow respectively the curves $w_{r}$ and $w$, which do not correspond with the cyclic curves $\bar{w}_{r}$ and $\bar{w}$ of Figure 10, being energy summations instead of instantaneons values. Consequently, the curves of $\bar{u}_{r}$, and $\bar{w}$ in Figure 10 must be interpreted otherwise than by the application of an attenuation-factor.

With respect to the phase of the oscillating-current as standard, we have the series of stationarv vector-diagrams of Figure 12. The oscil-

latory impedance of the circuit is $Z=\rho+j l \omega$ ohms, or $Z_{0} / \phi$; where $Z_{0}$ is the impedance in the resistanceless condition of Figure 4. The total resistance $r$ of the circuit is represented by A.A'. 'The initial vector potential difference has an amplitude $\bar{I}_{0}=\bar{I}_{0} Z_{0}$ volts. The total initial drop of potential resistance is indicated by $D D^{\prime}$. The undamped maximum eyclic power is indicated at $P$, and the undamped eyclic energy at $\boldsymbol{W}$. The $P$ and $W$ diagrams to standard current phase are inverted with respect to those of standard $p$. d. phase, because the undamped power lags behind the p. d. but leads the undamped current.

The diagrams in Figures 7 and 12 of the oscillating-current circuit correspond to similar diagrams in connection with the alternating-current circuit. ${ }^{2}$ The $I, I, P$, and II diagrams are stationary vector-diagrams, but they may be converted into rotating vector-diagrams. Thus I may be made a rotating vector-diagram by mounting it on the point $d$ as center, and giving a positive angular velocity $\omega$ to the triangle, starting with $d f$ in the $-j$ direction. The projection of $d f$ on the real axis, after applying the damping-factor $\epsilon^{-4 t}$, will

Figure 12. Stationary rector-diagrams of impedance, vector potential difference, maximum eyclic power and energy in a simple o. c. cireuit containing resistance. Phise of current, standard.

2 "Vector Power in Alternating-Current Circuits" by A. E. Kennelly. Trans. American Inst. Elect. Engrs. June, 1910. Vol. 29.
give the actual current at any instant. 'Ihis is equivalent to rotating If $f$ in an equiangular spiral of angle $\phi$ in the manner of Figure $s$.

Similar treatment will convert $U$ into a rotating vector-triangle, except that $/ / / \bar{y}$ should start from the position $\mathrm{Ol}_{0}$ of Figure 8.
'The $I$ ' diagram, in either Figure 7 or Figure 12, can be made into a rotating vector-diagram, by rotating the triangle with angular velocity $2 \omega$ about the vertex $k$ or $K$ respectively. Thus, taking the power triangle ghk of Figure 7, we mount it on an axis at $k$, Figure 1:3, and draw $k r$ equal and parallel to $h g$. 'The three-vector system, kh, hog, and $k r$ is then allowed to rotate with the angular velocity $2 \omega$, in the case considered $2449.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$, starting from the position shown, when the p. (l. vector $)^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{\prime}$, Figure 8 , starts with angular velocity $\omega$ from the position $K I I$, or parallel to $O Y$. The orthogonal projections on - $N O I^{-}$ of the three vectors $k h, k g$, and $k r$, then define at any instant the undamped reactive, total, and dissipative power, under the action of $O \overline{U_{0}}$ on the oscillatory current. The total undamped power $\bar{p}=5270$ watts thus lags $\frac{\pi}{2}+\phi$ behind the p. d. vector in terms of power phase, or $\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{\phi}{2}$ in terms of p. d. phase $\left(70^{\circ} .23^{\prime}\right)$. The total undamped power is measured on the $O . I$ axis from the point $O$ and oscillates between the limits $O \bar{p}=8603.3$ and $O-\bar{p}=-1936.6$ watts, as shown in Figure 10.

The undamped dissipative power $\bar{p}_{r}$ oscillates between the limits $O_{P_{r}}^{-}=6666.6$ watts and zero. The total undamped dissipative power is $2 \bar{\mu}_{\tau}=13,333.3$ watts, owing to the separate actions of $O \bar{U}_{0}$ and $O E_{0}$, Figure 8.

The undamped reactive power $\bar{p}_{l}$ is reckoned from $o$ as zero, and oscillates between $\pm 4052.5$ watts.

The damping-factor $\epsilon^{-2 \iota t}$ must be applied to $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{p}_{r}$ as measured from $O$, and to $\bar{p}_{t}$ as measured from $o$, along the $O X$ axis.

If we employ the phase of the oscillating current as standard, and as taken in Figure 10, we mount the power triangle $G H K$ of Figure 12 on an axis at $K$, and rotate it, as before, at angular velocity $2 \omega$, starting from the position $G H K$ shown in Figure 13 when the current vector $O \bar{I}_{0}$ of Figure 8 is passing through the position $K H o$ with angular velocity $\omega$. The total power $\bar{p}$ will then $\operatorname{lag} \frac{\pi}{2}-\phi$ behind the current vector, in terms of power-phase, or $\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{\phi}{2}$, in terms of current phase
$\left(19^{\circ} 37^{\prime}\right)$ as indicated in Figure 10. The $\bar{p}_{r}$ vector $K_{r}$ then follows, $90^{\circ}$ of power phase or $45^{\circ}$ of current phase, behind the current at release. The vector $K H$, or andamped reactive power vector, Figure 13, starts in phase with the current.


Figure 13. Rotative vector power diagram for p. d. standard phase and for current standard phase.

The stationary vector-diagrams of Figure 7 may be understood as involving the following phase relations, with respect to the phase of the undamped vector p. d. $O \bar{U}_{0}$, Figure 8.

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{0}=\bar{U}_{0} Y 历 \bar{\phi}=U Y \sqrt{2} \bar{\phi}=\bar{U}_{0} c \omega_{0} \sqrt{\phi}  \tag{22}\\
& P_{m}=U I \left\lvert\, \bar{\phi}=\frac{\bar{L}_{0} \bar{I}_{0} Y}{2} \sqrt{\phi}=\frac{\bar{U}_{2}^{0}}{2} Y \sqrt{\phi} \quad\right. \text { cyclic amperes }  \tag{23}\\
& \text { max. cyclic watts }
\end{align*}
$$

That is, the undamped current lags $\phi^{\circ}$ of $p$. d. phase behind the diseharging undamped p. d. The undamped power reaches its positive


Figure 14. Rotating and rolling vector-diagram of the condenser energy, the inductance energy, the semi-system energy and the semi-dissipated energy in an oscillating-current circuit.
maximum $\phi^{\circ}$ of its phase behind the discharging undamped p. d. Similarly in Figure 12, we may write the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{U}_{0}=\bar{I}_{0} Z\left\lfloor\phi=I Z \sqrt{2} \downharpoonright \phi=\bar{I}_{0} l \omega_{0} \downharpoonright \phi\right. & \text { max. cyclic volts } \\
P_{m}=I U^{\prime}\left\lfloor\phi=\frac{\bar{I}_{0}{ }^{2} Z}{2} / \phi=\frac{\bar{I}_{0} \bar{U}_{0} Z}{2}\lfloor\phi .\right. & \text { max. cyclic watts } \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

Or the discharging $p$. $d$. is $\phi^{\circ}$ of current phase ahead of the current and the undamped power reaches its maximum $\phi^{\circ}$ of its phase ahead of the current, all heing considered as undamped.

In order to derive a rotative euergy vector-diagram, we take the triangle $l m n$ of Figure 7, or $L^{\prime} M N^{\prime}$ of Figure 12 and lay $L^{\prime} M$ along the $O Y^{r}$ axis as shown in Figure 14. With the point $N$ as pole, the equiangular spiral $N L^{\prime}$ is drawn, of angle $\phi$. This will be tangent to the $O Y^{\prime}$ axis at $L^{\prime}$. We then draw a vector $N C=N M$ and making with $N M$ an angle of $2 \phi$. The mid-point $S$ of the straight line $N C$ is then connected to $N$ by the vector $N^{2} \mathrm{~S}$. We may call $N C$ the con-denser-energy vector, $N / I$ the reactive-energy vector, and $N S$ the semi-system-energy vector ; i. e., the vector of the half sum of the energy in the condenser and reactance. We now rotate the three vectors and the spiral, with angular velocity $2 \omega$, while permitting the spiral to roll along the axis $O Y$. The successive turns of the spiral are to be capable of rolling on this axis, as by displacing them infinitesimally out of the plane of the paper, like the wards of a conical band spring. The vectors $N C, N \mathrm{~S}$, and $N M$ are also to shrink as they rotate by application of the damping factor $\epsilon^{-2 L t}$. Then the projections of $C, M$, and $s$, on the OII axis, will define the instantaneous energy in the condenser, reactance and semi-system respectively. The path of the pole $N$ will be the straight line $N T$, pursued with damped velocity. The paths $C$ and $M$ will be exponential cycloids, that of $S$ ' an exponential trochoid. The positions of $C, S$, and $M$ are traced in Figure 14 for several energy phase intervals of $30^{\circ}$, or $0.000,213,8$ second, the first two of which are marked 1, 2, ou each curve. It will be seen that taking the energy scale along $O X$ conformably with that of $L I M N$, the condenser energy starts at 2 joules, and after $60^{\circ}$ or $0.000,427,6$ second, it falls to 1.3811 joules. The reactive energy ol commences at zero and after $60^{\circ}$ power and energy phase it rises to 0.3544 joule. The semi-system energy $O s$ starts at 1 joule, and after $60^{\circ}$ falls to 0.8678 joule. The displacement $s_{11} s$ is therefore half the dissipated energy $=0.1322$ joule. The total dissipated energy at this instant is thus $2 s_{0} s=0.2645$ joule. All three vectors finally terminate and shrink into the point $T$. The distance $T L^{\prime}=N^{\prime} L^{\prime} / \cos \phi$, and $N T$ is perpendicular to $N L^{\prime}$.

The fundamental differential equation for quantity $q$ is satisfied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=A \epsilon^{-(t+j \omega) t}+B \epsilon^{-(t-j \omega) t}, \quad \text { coulombs } \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are integration constants, while $\downarrow$ and $\omega$ follow from the construction of the triangle $O P Q$, Figure 7.

Choosing the constants consistently with the discharge of the condenser initially charged to potential $U_{0}$ volts, the potential at time $t$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =U_{0} \operatorname{cosec} \phi \epsilon^{-L t} \sin (\omega t+\phi) & & \text { volts }  \tag{27}\\
& =\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-L t} \sin (\omega t+\phi), & & \text { volts } \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

from which $q$ follows by the relation $q=u / s=u c$ coulombs. $\bar{U}_{n}$ is the initial value of the vector discharging p. d. as obtained from Figures 8 and 12.

I'he instantaneous current is

$$
\begin{align*}
i & =Q_{0} \omega \operatorname{cosec}^{2} \phi \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sin \omega t & & \text { amperes }  \tag{29}\\
& =U_{0} c \omega_{0} \operatorname{cosec} \phi \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sin \omega t & & \text { amperes }  \tag{30}\\
& =\frac{\bar{U}_{0}}{z_{0}} \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sin \omega t=\bar{I}_{0} \epsilon^{-t} \sin \omega t, & & \text { amperes } \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{0}=U_{0} / l \omega=\bar{U}_{0} / \tilde{z}_{0}=\bar{C}_{0} c \omega_{0} . \quad \text { amperes } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The emf. of self-induction in the circuit at any instant is

$$
\begin{align*}
e & =U_{0} \operatorname{cosec} \phi \epsilon^{-1 t} \sin (\omega t-\phi) & & \text { volts }  \tag{33}\\
& =\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-1 t} \sin (\omega t-\phi) . & & \text { volts } \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

The instantaneous power of the condenser in the circuit is

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =u i=\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0} \epsilon^{-2 t t} \sin \omega t \cdot \sin (\omega t+\phi) & & \text { watts }  \tag{35}\\
& =\frac{\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0}}{2} \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}[\cos \phi-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi)] & & \text { watts }  \tag{36}\\
& =U I \epsilon^{-2 t t}[\cos \phi-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi)] . & & \text { watts } \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

The instantaneous power of the reactance in the circuit is

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} & =e i=\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0} \epsilon^{-2 t t} \sin \omega t \cdot \sin (\omega t-\phi) & & \text { watts } \\
& =\frac{\bar{U}_{0} \bar{I}_{0}}{2} \epsilon^{-2 t t}[\cos \phi-\cos (2 \omega t-\phi)] & & \text { watts } \\
& =U I \epsilon^{-2 t t}[\cos \phi-\cos (2 \omega t-\phi)] . & & \text { watts } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The total dissipation power of the condenser and reactance is after $t$ seconds,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 p_{r}=p+p^{\prime} & =\bar{U}_{0}^{2} \gamma \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}(1-\cos 2 \omega t), \quad \text { watts }  \tag{41}\\
& =\bar{I}_{0}^{2} \rho \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}(1-\cos 2 \omega t), \quad \text { watts } \tag{41a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=c \downarrow$, the oscillation conductance of the circuit. The energy in the condenser $t$ seconds after release, if ${J_{l}}_{l}=m n$, Figure $7,=M N$, Figure 12, is

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{c} & =\frac{\bar{U}_{0}^{2}}{4} c \epsilon^{-2 t t}[1-\cos (2 \omega t+2 \phi)] & & \text { joules }  \tag{42}\\
& =W_{l} \epsilon^{-2 t t}[1-\cos (2 \omega t+2 \phi)] . & & \text { joules } \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy in the reactance $t$ seconds after release is

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{l} & =\frac{\bar{U}_{0}^{2}}{4} c \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}(1-\cos 2 \omega t) & & \text { joules }  \tag{44}\\
& =W_{l} \epsilon^{-2 t t}(1-\cos 2 \omega t) . & & \text { joules } \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The total energy of the system $t$ seconds after release is

$$
\begin{align*}
w=u_{c}+u_{l} & =\frac{\bar{U}_{0}^{2}}{2} c \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}[1-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi) \cos \phi] \text { joules }  \tag{46}\\
& =2 W_{l}^{\gamma} \epsilon^{-2 t t}[1-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi) \cos \phi] . \text { joules } \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The semi-system energy $u_{s}$ at time $t$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{s}=W_{2} \epsilon^{-2 t t}[1-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi) \cos \phi] . \quad \text { joules } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial energy of the system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\frac{\bar{C}_{0}{ }^{2} c}{\underline{2}} \sin ^{2} \phi=2 W_{b} \sin ^{2} \phi=2 W_{m} \sin ^{3} \phi=\frac{C_{0}^{2} c}{2} \text {. joules } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total loss by dissipation is at any instant

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{d} & =W_{0}-w \\
& =\frac{\Gamma_{0}^{2} c}{2}\left\{\sin ^{2} \phi-[1-\cos (2 \omega t+\phi) \cos \phi] \epsilon^{-2 t t}\right\} . \quad \text { joules } \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the total expenditure of energy in $1^{2} r$ up to time $t$. At any complete energy cycle, when $\cos (2 \omega t+\phi)=\cos \phi$, and $t=m \frac{T}{2}$,

The energy dissipated in the first energy cycle, when $t=T / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=W_{0}\left(1-\epsilon^{-\perp T}\right) . \quad \text { joules } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dissipation in successive energy cycles 1 st, 2 d , 3 rd , 4 th, etc., is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}, \quad u_{1} \epsilon^{-L T}, \quad u_{1} \epsilon^{-2 L T}, \quad u_{1} \epsilon^{-3 \iota T}, \text { etc. joules } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total ultimate energy dissipated is

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{0} & =u_{1}\left(1+\epsilon^{-\Delta T}+\epsilon^{-2 \perp T}+\ldots\right) & & \text { joules }  \tag{54}\\
& =\frac{u_{1}}{1-\epsilon^{-L T}} & & \text { joules } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

With the values in the case considered of $\bar{U}_{0}=1291, L_{0}=1000$, $c=4 \times 10^{-6}, \quad \omega=1224.75, \quad \phi=50^{\circ} 46^{\prime}, \quad \iota=1000, \quad T=0.00513$, $W_{0}=2$, we have, for the attenuation factor of one power period or semi-period of p. d., $\epsilon^{-\Delta T}=0.005,987$. The energy dissipated by $I^{2} r$ in the first energy cycle is thus $2 \times 0.994,01=1.988,02$ joules. The second cycle dissipates $0.005,987 \times 1.988,02=0.0119$ joule. Each successive cycle dissipates 0.5987 per cent of the amount dissipated in the last preceding cycle. It is thus evident that in a damped oscillatory discharge, a relatively large fraction of the energy is rejected from the system in the first half-cycle of voltage or current, i. e., the first complete energy cycle.

## Logarithmic Decrement.

If $v$ be any vector oscillating-quantity of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=V_{0} \epsilon^{-s t} \sin (\omega t+\phi), \quad \text { Ph. Q. } \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

such as a voltage, current, or force.
Then the rotating vector of this quantity $V_{0} \epsilon^{-\lambda t} \epsilon^{j \omega t}$, in passing from one assigned position to another, in a time $t_{1}$ seconds, decreases from the first to the second value by the exponential, or damping factor, $\epsilon^{-\Delta h}$. The exponent, $\Delta t_{1}$, of the damping may be defined as the Napevol. xlvi. -26
rian logarithm of the decrement during the interval, or simply as the $\log$-decrement in the interval. If the log-decrement be denoted by $\delta$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\iota t_{1} . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

numeric
If the rotating vector moves through one radian at the actual angular velocity $\omega$, the time $t_{1}$ occupied in the passage will be $1 / \omega$ seconds; so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{\perp}{\omega}=\cot \phi . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

numeric
If the rotating vector moves through a half-cycle, semi-revolution, or $\pi$ radians, the time occupied in the passage will be $t_{1}=\pi / \omega$ seconds; so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\pi}=\pi \frac{\perp}{\omega}=\frac{\perp}{2 n}=\pi \cot \phi, \quad \mathbf{3}_{\text {numeric }} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the log-decrement of any two successive elongations of the vector's projection in opposite directions on the axis of reference $I . X$. If the vector moves through a whole cycle, revolution, or $2 \pi$ radians, the time occupied will be $t_{1}=2 \pi / \omega$ seconds, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2 \pi}=2 \pi \frac{\perp}{\omega}=\frac{\perp}{n}=\frac{T}{\tau}=2 \pi \cot \phi, \quad \text { numeric } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the log-decrement of any two successive elongations of the vector's projection in one and the same direction on the reference axis. Consequently, from any pair of successive maxima of the oscillating quantity $v$, the $\log$-decrement is obtainable, and from this the angle $\phi$ of the equiangular spiral and of the stationary vectors for the quantity is obtainable. In the case considered, $\delta_{1}=0.8165, \delta_{\pi}=2.5651$, and $\delta_{2 \pi}=5.1302$.

Root of Mean Square of Oscillating-Current Quantities.
If $v$ be any vector oscillating quantity of the type $\Gamma_{0} \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sin \omega t$, such as an oscillating-voltage, current, or force; then if the damping coefficient $\downarrow$ is taken as zero, the square root of the mean square of the quantity during any integral number of cycles is $V^{r}=V_{0} / \sqrt{2}$, as in ordinary alternating-current theory. If, for instance, the initial voltage is 1000 , and there were no damping, the r.m.s. voltage of the o.c.
${ }^{3}$ The condition expressed in (59) was first pointed out by_Prof. ClerkMaxwell, in a somewhat different manner. See Bibliography.
circuit as shown by an ideally perfect voltmeter would be 707.1 volts. The question is what would such an instrument show in the presence of a known damping? 'The reading of the instrument would evidently fall as the damping continued, and, in most practical cases, would fall very rapidly; so that the inquiry must be limited to a certain definite instant during the charge or discharge ; or at least to a certain definite interval of time within the process. At any instant we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=V_{0}^{2} \epsilon^{-2 t t} \sin ^{2} \omega t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time integral of this square from time $t=0$ to $t=\infty$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{\infty}{r^{2}}^{2} d t=\frac{V_{0}^{2}}{4^{\perp}}\left(\frac{\omega^{2}}{\iota^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right)=\frac{V_{n}^{2}}{4 \perp}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \phi\right) . \quad \text { (Ph. Q. }\right)^{2} \text { secs. } \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

But after the lapse of a time $t_{1}$ seconds, including $m$ complete periods of the oscillating quantity, its value will have become

$$
v_{m T}=V_{0} \epsilon^{-\Lambda m T} \sin \omega t=V_{0} \epsilon^{-\Delta t_{1}} \sin \omega t, \quad \text { Ph. Q. }
$$

where $T$ is the period of the oscillation, and $n$ is any positive integer ; so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{t_{1}} v^{2} d t=\frac{\Gamma_{0}^{2}}{4 \iota}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \phi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{-2 t_{1}}\right) . \quad \text { (Ph. Q. }\right)^{2} \text { secs. } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean of this square during the interval is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} 火^{2} d t=\frac{V_{0}^{2}}{4 \Delta t_{1}}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \phi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{-2 t_{1}}\right), \quad(\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Q})^{2} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the root of this mean square during the interval is

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{t_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} v^{2} d t}=\frac{V_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon^{-2 t_{1}}}{2 \perp t_{1}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{V_{0} \epsilon^{-\frac{t_{1}}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{\sinh \Delta t_{1}}{2 t_{1}}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But $V_{0} / \sqrt{2}$ is the $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{m}$. s. value of the undamped oscillating quantity, and $V_{0} \epsilon^{-L_{2}^{t_{1}}} / \sqrt{2}$ would be the r. m. s. value of the oscillating quantity at the mid-interval if it were to continue thereat throughout un-
damped. Consequently the r.m.s. value of an oscillating quantity $V_{0} e^{-t t} \sin \omega t$ during any number of complete periods, is either the r. in. s. value of its initial undamped vector multiplied by

$$
\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon^{2 \Delta t_{1}}}{2 \Delta t_{1}}}\right)
$$

or the $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{m}$. s. value of its mid-interval damped vector, multiplied by $\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{\sinh \Delta t_{1}}{\Delta t_{1}}}$. After $\iota t_{1}$ passes the numeric 2 , the first of these rapidly converges to $\frac{\sin \phi}{\sqrt{2 L t_{1}}}$, so that when the oscillatory damping is rapid, the r.m.s. value of the oscillatory quantity varies inversely as the square root of the interval during which the summation is effected. If the summation be confined to a single period, starting with radius vector $\mathrm{I}^{r}, m=1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
V=\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v^{2} d t} & =\frac{V_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon^{-2 L T}}{2 L T}}\right) \\
& =\frac{V_{0} \epsilon^{-L} \frac{T}{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{\sinh +T}{\perp T}}\right) . \quad \text { Ph. Q. } \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case considered, the current is $i=8.1652^{-1000 t} \sin 1224.75 t$. If we take the first complete period of $T=0.00513$ second, with
$\sin \phi=0.7746 ;$ and $\left(\sin \phi \sqrt{\frac{1-\epsilon^{-2 . T}}{2+T}}\right)=0.7746 \sqrt{10.26}=0.242 ;$
so that the $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. value of the current during the first complete damped oscillation would be 1.396 amperes.

If the oscillating quantity is a cosinusoid of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=V_{0} \epsilon^{-1 t} \cos \omega t . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral to infinite time of its square:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} v^{2} d t=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{4^{\perp}}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \phi\right) \tag{Pb.Q.}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that by the same reasoning as before

$$
\begin{align*}
V=\sqrt{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}} v_{0}^{2} d t & =\frac{V_{0}}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \phi\right)\left(1-\epsilon^{-2 t t_{1}}\right)}{2 \Delta t_{1}}} \\
& =\frac{V_{0}^{\top}}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{-2 \frac{t_{1}}{2}} \sqrt{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \phi\right)\left(\frac{\sinh +t_{1}}{\Delta t_{1}}\right)}, \quad \text { Ph. Q. } \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

which differs from the preceding corresponding expression (66) in the substitution of $\sqrt{1+\cos ^{2} \phi}$ for $\sqrt{1-\cos ^{2} \phi}$. By the combination of


Figure 15. Rotative vector-diagram of an oscillating-current circuit containing resistancc. Instant of release of reactance charge.
formulas (66) and (70) the r. m. s. values of oscillating quantities containing a sum of sine and cosine terms can be evaluated.

Discharging Oscillations from Reactance. - If with the condenser (Figure 1) uncharged, and resistance $r$ in the circuit, we charge the reactance with magnetic energy, by passing through it a steady current of $I_{0}$ amperes, we may then allow the reactance to discharge through the condenser and resistance. We first find $\omega_{0}$ the angular velocity of
discharge for $r=0$ and then determine $\omega$ by the stationary vectordiagram of Figure 7, taking the full resistance $r$ into account.

Upon the axis - TO O of reference, Figure 15, lay off the initial value $O I_{0}$ of the current in the reactance, at the instant of release, assumed in this case to be 6.3246 amperes. The initial energy in the reactance will be $l I_{0}{ }^{2} / 2=2$ joules. Lay off an angle $\mathrm{YO} \bar{I}_{0}=90_{0}-\phi$, and a vector initial current $\bar{I}_{0}=I_{0} \operatorname{cosec} \phi=8.165$ amperes. All of the diagrams in Figures 7 and 12 now apply. The $U$ diagram gives us the initial position of the condenser $p$. d. vector $O \bar{U}_{0}=1291$ volts. The projection of this on $-Y O X$ is $O U_{0}=0$, corresponding to the uncharged condition of the condenser. $O E_{0}$ is the initial position of the vector inductive emf. in the reactance, and the initial selfinductance emf. in the circuit is $O E_{0}=1264.9$ volts propelling the discharging current. The initial $I r$ drop in the circuit coincides in phase with $\bar{I}_{0}$, and taken negatively, extends to $O \bar{R}_{0}=1633$ volts. 'The projection of this on -NOX gives an initial $I r$ emf. in the circuit of 1264.9 volts just equilibrating the emf. of self-induction. The entire vector system is to be considered as starting to rotate at angular velocity $\omega$. If the diagram is to include the effects of damping, then each vector must rotate in an equiangular spiral of angle $\phi$ as indicated in Figure 8. But if we apply independent damping factors, the vectors in Figure 15 may rotate in circles as undamped quantities.

It will be seen that Figure 15 corresponds to Figure 8 except that it is advanced $\pi-\phi$ in phase. Thus a given energy charge discharged from the condenser, in this case 2 joules, with an initially uncharged reactance, will give rise to precisely the same rotative vector-diagram as the same energy discharged from the reactance, except in regard to the phase of the diagram. The rotative diagrams of $U, I, P$, and $\|^{\prime}$ will also be the same in either case ; except that in the rotating and rolling vector-diagram Figure 14, $N M$ and $N C$ interchange in significance, $N M$ being the reactance-energy vector in one case and the condenserenergy vector in the other.

Simultaneons Discharging Oscillations from Condenser and Reactrunce. - It is possible for a circuit like that of Figure 1, containing resistance, inductance, and capacity in simple series, to be released with an electric charge in the condenser, and an independent magnetic charge in the coil. The discharge which follows is then in part a condenser discharge, and in part a reactance discharge. If we know the initial charges, we can obtain the vector-diagram of the discharge by determining the $\omega$-diagram of the system (Figure 7) and then making two separate rotative vector-diagrams, one, like Figure 8, for the dis-
charging condenser and the other, like Figure 15, for the discharging reactance. These two vector-diagrams are now to be combined vectorially, into a new resultant vector-diagram, which will represent the behavior of the mixed discharge. Since each of the component diagrams obeys the geometry of Figures 7 and 12, the resultant diagram will also obey it. 'The rotative vector-diagrams $U, I, I$, and $W$ will also follow, but the $I^{\top}$ diagram of Figure 13 will be ambiguous, except in regard to the dissipated energy.

It is evident, moreover, that since the energy of a simple resistance-reactance-condensance oscillator exchanges harmonically from the electric to the magnetic form, after correcting for dissipation, any initial state of assigned separate electric and magnetic energies must correspond to some phase of a discharge from a certain single stock of energy, electric or magnetic.

Charging Oscillttions of Circuit Containing Resistance. - If in the circuit of Figure 1, assumed to possess resistance, and with no initial charge, we insert a constant charging p . d. between the terminals $t, t$, in such a direction as will cause a subsequent discharge to flow in the positive sense of the arrow $d e$; then both the charging emf. and the initial direction of the charging current must be reckoned negative, or in the sense $e, d$. The charging vector-diagram will then be the same as that of Figure 8, with a phase difference of $180^{\circ}$, or read upside down. The stationary vector-diagrams of Figures 7 and 12 apply as before, as well as the rotative vector-diagrams of Figures 13 and 14. In the last-named, however, the condenser energy $O c$ must be counted from $c$ to $O$, or in the reversed direction, since the energy in the condenser is initially nil and increases to its final full value.

We may consider that Figure 8 is the vector-diagram of a charging condenser system in which the constant impressed emf. between the terminals $t t$ (Figure 1) is positive, or in the direction of the arrow $d e$. If as before $c=4 \times 10^{-6}$ farad ; $r=200$ ohms ; $l=0.1$ henry and $E=1000$ volts, we first find $\omega_{0}=1581.14$ radians per second as in Figure 3, then the angle $\phi$, and $\omega=1581.14 \sqrt{50}^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$, as in Figure 7.

We then lay off $O U_{0}=E=1000$ volts in Figure 8 and $O \bar{U}_{0}=O U$. $\operatorname { c o s e c } \phi \sqrt { \frac { \pi } { 2 } - \phi } = 1 2 9 1 \longdiv { 3 9 ^ { \circ } 1 t ^ { \prime } }$ volts. This is the vector charging p. d. The vector current follows from Figure 7 as 8.165 amperes, and the vector emf. of self-induction symmetrical with $O U_{0}$ in regard to the current. The graphs of Figures 10 and 11 then apply as before, except that in Figure 11 the p.d. must be read from the top line as zero, since the potential of the condenser at $d$, Figure 1, commences at zero and
falls to a final value of -1000 volts. The other graphs in Figure 11 remain unaltered.
'The charging oscillations of a simple circuit containing resistance differ only from the discharging oscillations with the same resistance, in regard to phase direction, and to the absolute numerical values of the condenser potential $u$, condenser charge $q$, and condenser energy $W_{0}$.

## Condensers and Reactances in Series.

If we have a circuit (Figure 16), containing a plurality of condensers, or of reactances, or of both, in simple series, containing also resistances, and subject to charging or discharging oscillations, we may compute the behavior of the system as follows:
Let $r^{\prime}=$ sum of the individual joulean resistances. (ohms).
$r^{\prime \prime}=$ sum of the individual hertzian resistances. (ohms).
$r=r^{\prime}+r^{\prime \prime}=$ the sum of all the individual resistances. (ohms).
$s=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}$ etc., the sum of the individual elastances. (darafs).
$l=l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}$ etc., the sum of the individual inductances. (henrys).


Figite 16. Simple series oscillation circuit of composite elements. Indurtances in dekahenrys.

Then if we have only charging oscillations to consider, under the action of a constant impressed emf. $E^{\prime \prime}$, inserted between terminal $T T$, we may replace the multiple elenent system by the equivalent single element system of Figure 1 with resistance $r$, elastance $s$, and inductance $l$.

Discharging Oscillations: of Comblenser in Simple Neries Cireuit of Multiple Elements. Let one of the condensers, say si, Figure 16, be initially charged with a quantity $Q_{1}$ coulombs to an initial potential $I_{0}=\left(\int_{1} \cdots_{1}\right.$ volts, the rest of the system being without charge. Then, after release, the discharge of condenser $s_{1}$ will charge the other con-
denser oppositely, in such a manner as to check the oscillation. The oscillations will take place about a condition of voltage equilibrium, such that the emf. of the discharging condenser is equal and opposite to the sum of the emfs. in the other condensers. If the quantity necessary to flow through the circuit in order to attain the condition of emf. equilibrium is $\psi_{c}$ coulombs, then
or

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(Q_{0}-q_{e}\right) s_{1}=q_{c}\left(s_{2}+s_{s}\right),  \tag{71}\\
& q_{c}=Q_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}}=Q_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s} \quad \text { volts }  \tag{72}\\
& \text { coulombs }
\end{align*}
$$

This is the oscillatory part of the charge in $s_{1}$. The remainder would reside permanently in the condensers, if there were no dielectric leakage.

Since the passage of $q_{e}$ coulombs attains the point of equilibrium about which oscillation takes place, the first swing, neglecting damping, carries $2 q_{e}$ coulombs through the cireuit.

Of the initial stock of energy $W_{0}=Q_{0}{ }^{2} s_{1} / 2$ joules, the portion subject to oscillation is, neglecting damping,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}}=W_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s}, \quad \text { joules } \tag{i3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This portion is alternately electric and magnetic energy. The remainder persists in the electric form, disregarding leakage.

If the impressed voltage $E^{\prime \prime}$, instead of being applied initially to the component condenser $s_{1}$, were applied initially to the equivalent resultant condenser $s$ of Figure 1, whose elastance is the sum of the component elastances, the charge taken by $s$ would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=Q_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s}, \quad \text { coulombs } \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the energy of the charge would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=W_{0} \frac{s_{1}}{s} \quad \text { joules } \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

But we have seen that these are precisely the amounts of oscillationcharge and oscillation-energy available in the case of the charged component condenser. Hence we obtain the following rule for the
treatment of composite simple series circuits with component condenser discharges:- Form the equivalent single-element series circuit (Figure 1). Impress the same initial emf. on the single condenser as would be impressed on the component condenser. The discharging oscillations of the single-element system will then be identical with those that would occur in the composite system. After the oscillations have subsided, there will be in the composite system a residual electric energy to take into account, which does not appear in the equivalent single-element system.

Thus in the case of Figure 16, let $s_{1}=5 \times 10^{4}, s_{2}=14 \times 10^{4}$, $s_{3}=6 \times 10^{4}$ darafs, $l_{1}=0.0 .5, l_{2}=0.02, l_{3}=0.03$ henry ; $r^{\prime}=150$ ohms, $r^{\prime \prime}=50 \mathrm{ohms}$, and let an initial charge of 0.02 coulomb be given to $s_{1}$ by an impressed emf. of 1000 volts, the other elements being without charge. The initial electric energy of the system $W_{0}=10$ joules. To find the oscillation of the system, we form the equivalent singleelement system (Figure 1) with $s=25 \times 10^{4}$ darafs, $l=0.1$ henry, $r=200$ ohms, and impress 1000 volts initially on the condenser $s$. This will take a charge of 0.004 coulomb, and an electric energy of 2 joules. These are the oscillation-charge and oscillation-energy of the composite system considered. The oscillations of the systen are the same as those indicated in Figures 7 to 15. After the oscillations have subsided, there will be a residual energy of 8 joules in the system, neglecting dielectric leakage, 0.016 coulomb at 800 volts in $s_{1},-0.004$ coulomb at -560 volts, in $s_{2}$, and -0.004 coulomb at -240 volts in $s_{3}$.

## Non-Osclllatory Condenser Disciarges.

Although the non-oscillatory discharge of a condenser lies outside of the title of this paper, an outline of the case may be admitted, not only in order to complete the discussion, but also to present therein certain important analogies to the oscillating-current discharge.

If in Figure 12, the semi-circuit resistance $\rho$ is increased until it is equal to $\tilde{z}_{0}$, the resistanceless impedance, both the reactance $j / \omega$, the angle $\phi$, and the angular velocity $\omega$ disappear ; so that the discharge becomes non-oscillatory and aperiodic. If $\rho$ is increased beyond this aperiodic value, the discharge continues to be non-oscillatory, but becomes what may be called ultraperiodic. We may first consider the ultraperiodic case.

In Figure 17 let $o p$ be the exponential time-factor of an ultraperiodic circuit. About op as diameter, construct the semicircle orp. With center $o$, and radius $o q=\omega_{0}$, the resistanceless angular velocity, intersect the semicircle in $q$. Then the chord $p^{\prime \prime}$ is the non-oscillatory
or hyperbolic angular velocity $\Omega$ of the currents in the circuit. ${ }^{4}$ In the case of a circuit resistance $r=50 \%$ ohms, the hyperbolic angular velocity will be $\Omega=1936.492$ hyperbolic radians per second, as shown at $O I^{\prime}(Q$, Figure 17.

$$
\text { Analytically, } \quad \Omega=\sqrt{{L^{2}}^{2}-\omega_{0}^{2}} \quad \text { hyp. radians/sec. (76) }
$$

and the hyperbolic period $T$ of the current and $p$. d. is $2 \pi \Omega$ seconds.


Figure 17. Stationary vector-diagrams of hyperbolic angular velocity, impedance, and discharging potential difference in a simple non-oscillating condenser circuit with ultraperiodic resistance.

Similarly, the $Z$-triangle of Figure 17 has a base $A B=\rho=r / 2=$ 250 ohms, and a side $A C$ equal to the resistanceless oscillatory impedance $\sqrt{l_{s}}=158.1138$ ohms, as in Figures 4 and 12. The remaining side $C B$ opposite to the angle $\psi$ and perpendicular to $A C$, represents the non-oscillatory or hyperbolic reactance 193.6492 ohms. Operating upon the $Z$ triangle by multiplication with 5.164 amperes, the initial vector-current, we obtain the $U$ triangle $D E F$, Figure 17.

4 For the first publication of the conception of hyperbolic angular velocity of discharges in ultraperiodic circuits, we are indebted to Dr. Alexander Macfarlane. See appended Bibliography.

Figure 18 shows the rotative vector-diagram of voltage and current for the ultraperiodic case considered, and corresponding to Figure 8, the rotative vector-diagram for the oscillating-current case. Lay down the p. d. triangle INEF of Figure 17 at $\bar{I}_{0} U_{0} O$, Figure 18, to voltage scale as shown. On $O I_{0}$ as semi-axis, construct the rectangular hyperbola $J \bar{B}_{0} \bar{I}_{0} \bar{U}_{0} I I^{\prime}$, whose asymptotes $O A$ and $O A^{\prime}$ make angles of $45^{\circ}$ with o $\bar{I}_{0}$. From $U_{0}$, draw a parallel to $O \bar{I}_{0}$, intersecting the hyperbola at $\zeta_{0}$. Join $O \bar{U}_{0}$. Then the angle $\bar{I}_{0} O \bar{U}_{0}=\psi$ will be the gudermannian of the hyperbolic sector $\overline{I_{0}} O U_{0}$; or the hyperbolic sector angle $\overline{I_{0}} O \bar{C}_{0}$ the anti-gudermannian of $\psi$. From the opposite corresponding point $\overline{E_{0}}$ of the hyperbola, draw the straight line $O \overline{E_{0}}$. Then $O \bar{U}_{0}$ represents the initial vector discharging p.d. in the circuit considered, $O \bar{E}_{0}$ the initial vector emf. of self-induction, and $O \bar{I}_{0}$ the initial vector discharging current, corresponding respectively to the vectors of the same denomination in Figure 8. These three vectors, starting at the positions shown, at the moment when the condenser of 4 microfarads, after being charged at 1000 volts $p$. d., is released through $l=0.1$ henry and $r=500 \mathrm{ohms}$, run along the hyperbola $H \bar{I}_{0} H^{\prime}$, in the positive direction, with uniform hyperbolic angular velocity $\Omega=1936.492$ hyps. per second. That is, the sectorial areas described by each vector in each second of time are constant and equal to 1936.492 hyperbolic rarlians, taking the length of the semi-axis $O \bar{I}_{0}$ as unity. Consequently, at any instant, the sectorial areas $\bar{E}_{0} O \bar{I}_{0}$ and $\bar{I}_{0} O \bar{U}_{0}$ remain equal to that shown, this area being the hyperbolic angle of either, and equal to 1.03172 hyps. $=g d^{-1} 50^{\circ} .46^{\prime} .06^{\prime \prime}$. As the three vectors $O \vec{E}_{0}$, $O I_{0}$ and $O \bar{U}_{0}$ rotate with this uniform angular velocity in contact with the hyperbola, they continually approach the asymptote $O A^{\prime}$, without ever actually reaching it.

The resultant, or vector sum of $O \bar{U}_{0}$ and $O \bar{E}_{0}$ is $O r=2582$ volts, and is equal to the initial vector product of the discharging current $O \bar{T}_{0}$ and the resistance $r$ of the circuit. This vector also rotates with uniform hyp. angular velocity $\Omega$, over the rectangular hyperbola $h r h^{\prime}$, in the direction $r h$, corresponding to $O r$, Figure 8. As in Figure 8, the negative of vector $O r$, or $-\bar{I}_{0} r$, should be drawn in the direction $\bar{I}_{0} O$; but is omitted from the diagram for economy of space. It may be demonstrated that this negative extension of or, rotating positively over a rectangular hyperbola, the image of $k r k^{\prime}$, will always be in vector equilibrium with $O \bar{E}_{0}$ and $O \bar{U}_{0}$, so that the geometrical sum of these three vectors at any instant will be zero, just as in the case of Figure 8.

The orthogonal projections of $O E_{0},-O r$, and $O I_{0}$ give the instantaneous values of the discharging $p$. d., the $/ r$ drop, and the emf.


Figure 18. Rotative vector-diagram of a non-oscillating current ultraperiorlic circuit containing resistance. Instant of release of condenser charge.
of self-induction respectively, after applying the damping factor $\epsilon^{-\mu t}$. That is, we may take the instantaneous $I^{\prime} \Gamma^{\circ}$ projections of these undamped vectors, and then apply the damping factor for the instant
considered ; or, we may shrink the vectors by the application of the damping factor before taking projections, as in Figure 8. In the case considered, the damping coetficient $L=25 \%$, as in Figure 17 ; so that applying the damping factor $\epsilon^{-20001}$, we obtain the curved lines of Figure 18. 'The dotted line $\sigma^{\prime} 2^{\prime} 2^{\prime} 1^{\prime} r$ is drawn as though with negative rotation of $O r$, to simulate the projective effect of a negative vector $-I_{0} r$.

The points 1,2 , and 3 on the hyperbolas, indicate the positions of the various vectors after the lapse of 1,2 and 3 ten-thousandths of a second respectively, after release. The corresponding points $1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$, $3^{\prime}$ on the curved lines, give the termini of the same vector as reduced by damping, and the projections of the latter, $1,2,3$, on the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ axis, give the corresponding instantaneons values in the circuit of the discharging p. d. $u$, the ir drop, the current, and the emf. of selfinduction, in the same mamer as in Figure 8. It will be observed that white the undamped vectors all increase in length without limit, the actually projected values under the dominating influence of the damping factor, diminish in time without limit. In particular, the current $i$ reaches a maximum when the vector $O I_{0}$ has swept over 1.0317 hyp. radians, in a time $1.0317 / 1936.492=0.000,532,8$ second. At the same instant, the self-inductive emf. vector $O E E_{0}^{\prime}$ will have reached the transverse axis $O \bar{I}_{0}$, and its projection on $X^{\prime} X^{\prime}$ will momentarily vanish. Consequently, there will be no emf. of self-induction in the circnit at this instant; because the current is stationary for that instant, being about to diminish. After this instant, the self-inductive emf. changes sign, and propels the current along with the discharging p. d.

It will be noticed that as in the oscillatory-current case, both the discharging voltage and the voltage of self-induction exert dissipative activity upon the discharging current.

It may also be noticed that although the orthogonally-projecting rotating-crank vector-diagrams used in this paper are convenient and useful devices for representing the actions in o. c. circuits, the polarcoordinate vector-diagram, sometimes called the "time" diagram, is not so well adapted for this purpose. The undamped vector quantities in the periodic case may indeed be represented by circles on the polar-coordinate diagram ; but the corresponding damped quantities are represented by spirals that are not so easily interpreted as equiangular spirals. Moreover, in the ultraperiodic case, the hyperbolic analogue is missing in the polar-coordinate diagram; so that apparently there is no analogy presented in polar-coordinate representation between the periodic aml ultraperiodic cases. It would seem, therefore, that the orthogonally projected "crank-diagram" or "clock-diagram"
has witer applications, in these respects, than the polar-coorlinate vector-diagram.

Analytically, we have the following relations:-
The fundamental differential equation for quantity $q$ is satisfied hy

$$
y=\Lambda \epsilon^{-(L \Omega) t}+B \epsilon^{-(t+\Omega) t} \quad \text { conlombs } \quad(77)
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are integration constants, while $\perp$ and $\Omega$ follow from the construction of the triangle $O^{\prime}(\ell)$ of Figure 17. Choosing the constants consistently with the discharge of a condenser initially charged to potential $C_{0}$ volts, the discharging p. d. after $t$ seconds is

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =U_{0} \cot \psi \epsilon^{-\mu t} \sinh \left(\Omega t+g l^{-1} \psi\right) & & \text { volts } \quad(7 S) \\
& =\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-\mu t} \sinh \left(\Omega t+g_{t} t^{-1} \psi\right) & & \text { volts }(79) \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

form which $q$ follows by the relation $q=u / s=u c$ coulombs. $\quad \bar{C}_{0}$ is the initial vector value of the discharging p. d. by Figures 17 and 18.

The instantaneous current $i$ is

$$
i=\bar{I}_{0} \mathrm{\epsilon}^{-\Delta t} \sinh \Omega t \quad \text { amperes }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{0}=C_{0} / / \Omega=\bar{C}_{0} / z_{0} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The current $i$ will therefore be a maximum when

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\tanh \Omega t & =\Omega \tau=\sin \psi, & & \text { numeric } \\
\Omega t & =g d^{-1} \psi . & \text { hyp. radians } \tag{83}
\end{array}
$$

or
The emf. of self-induction in the circuit at any instant is

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
e & =V_{0} \cot \psi \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sinh \left(\Omega t-g d^{-1} \psi\right) & & \text { volts } \\
& =\bar{U}_{0} \epsilon^{-\mu t} \sinh (\Omega 4) \\
\left(\Omega t-g d^{-1} \psi\right) . & & \text { volts }
\end{array}
$$

The apparert resistance of the circuit $u / i$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\rho+l \Omega \operatorname{coth} \Omega t . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the apparent resistance of the circuit, judging from the discharging p . d. and the discharging current, commences at $\propto$ and tends rapidly to the limit ( $\rho+l \Omega$ ) ohms.

The instantaneous power of the condenser in the circuit is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =U_{0} \bar{I}_{0} \cot \psi \epsilon^{-2 t t} \sinh \Omega t \cdot \sinh \left(\Omega t+g^{d-1} \frac{1}{\psi}\right) & & \text { watts } \\
& =\bar{I}_{0} I_{0} \epsilon^{-2 t t} \sinh \Omega t \cdot \sinh \left(\Omega t+g^{\prime} d^{-1} \psi\right) . & & \text { watts }
\end{aligned}
$$

A complete series of stationary vector-diagrams might be presented following those of Figure 17, and corresponding to those of Figures 7 and 12 ; but in. view of the relative simplicity of formulas (78) to (88), such vector-diagrams have more theoretical than practical interest.

## Aperiodic Case.

When $\rho$, the semi-resistance of the circuit, is just equal to the surge impedance $z_{0}=\sqrt{l s}$ of the same; then the circuit is aperiodic, and there is neither a circular angular velocity $\omega$, nor a hyperbolic angular velocity $\Omega$. The aperiodic case may also be represented by a special


Figure 19. Aperiodic case as limiting condition of ultraperiodic circuit, when $\omega_{0}=1$. $\Omega$ stationary vector-diagram.


Figure 20. Aperiodic case as limiting condition of o. c. circuit, when $1=\omega_{0}$. $\omega$ stationary vector-diagram.
rotating vector-diagram as has been suggested by Macfarlane ; but it is easier, for practical purposes, to treat it as a limiting case of the ultraperiodic circuit. We have by (78)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\bar{U}_{0} \cot \psi \epsilon^{-s t} \sinh \left(\Omega t+g d^{-1} \psi\right) . \quad \text { volts } \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\Omega$ become very small, as in Figure 19. Consequently, $\psi$ becomes very small; so that $\cot \psi$ may be replaced by $1 / \psi$, and $\sinh \left(\Omega t+g t^{-1} \psi\right)$ by $\left(\Omega t+g t^{t^{-1}} \psi\right)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\psi=0}=\frac{\Gamma_{0}}{\psi} \epsilon^{-s t}\left(\Omega t+g d^{-1} \psi\right) . \quad \text { volts } \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\Omega=\downarrow \psi$ and $g d^{-1} \psi=\psi$ when $\psi$ approaches zero ; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.u_{\psi=0}=\frac{V_{0}}{\psi} \epsilon^{-\lrcorner t}( \lrcorner \psi t+\psi\right)=V_{0} \epsilon^{-\lrcorner t}( \lrcorner t+1\right), \quad \text { volts } \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{\psi=0}=Q_{0} \epsilon^{-2 t}(\Delta t+1), \quad \text { coulombs }  \tag{92}\\
& i_{\psi=0}=-\frac{d y}{d t}=Q_{0}{ }^{2} t \epsilon^{-2 t} . \quad \text { amperes } \tag{93}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a maximum when $t=\tau$; when

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{m}=Q_{0^{2} \epsilon^{-1}}=\frac{Q_{0}}{\tau} \epsilon^{-1} . \quad \text { amperes } \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The power in the circuit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p=\ell_{0} \partial_{0} \iota^{2} l \epsilon^{-2 \Delta t}( \lrcorner t+1\right) \quad \text { watts } \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may also derive (91) from the limiting case of the oscillatory discharge. Taking (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=U_{0} \operatorname{cosec} \phi \epsilon^{-\Delta t} \sin (\omega t+\phi), \quad \text { volts } \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\omega$ becomes very small, as in Fignre 20, the angle $\phi$ becomes very small ; so that $\operatorname{cosec} \phi$ may be replaced by $1 / \phi$ and $\sin (\omega t+\phi)$ by ( $\omega t+\phi$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\phi=0}=\frac{V_{0}}{\phi} \epsilon^{-\Delta t}(\omega t+\phi) . \quad \text { volts } \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\omega=\lrcorner \phi$ when $\phi$ approaches zero ; thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.u_{\phi=0}=\frac{U_{0}}{\phi} \epsilon^{-\Delta t}( \lrcorner \phi t+\phi\right)=U_{0} \epsilon^{-\Delta t}( \lrcorner t+1\right), \quad \text { volts } \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in (91). So that the aperiodic case may be computed either as the limiting oscillatory case with $\omega=0$, or as the limiting ultraperiodic case with $\Omega=0$.

## Summary of Conclusions.

The orthogonal-projection or rotating-crank vector-diagram of the ordinary a. c. circuit applies also, by extension, to the o. e. circuit.

With the aid of the stationary vector-diagrams, the principal features of any given o. c. case may be simply and speedily deduced.

By making the above stationary vector-diagrams rotative, and subsequently applying the proper damping-factor, the process of oscillation in any given o. c. circuit may be readily visualised.

By interpreting the above diagrams and formulas hyperbolically, the corresponding properties of the ultraperiodic case may be readily computed and visualised. That is, the rotating-crank vector-diagram of the ordinary a. c. circuit applies also, by extension, to the non-oscillatory ultraperiodic condenser circuit.

The properties of the condenser circuit, whether oscillatory or ultravol. xlvi. -27
periodic, are intimately connected with a certain angle, $\cos ^{-1}(\rho / z)$ or $\cos ^{-1}(\rho / \tilde{z})$, comnected with the circuit constants.

The polar-coordinate type of vector-diagram is not so conveniently adapted to the o. c. circuit as the crank-projection diagram.

The aperiodic case may be treated as the limiting case either of the oscillatory, or of the ultraperiodic, circuit.

## List of Symbols Employed.

A. B. Constants of integration (coulombs).
a. c. abbreviation for alternating current.
$c, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ Permittance of a condenser, or of each of several condensers (farads).
$\begin{array}{ll}\gamma & \text { Oscillation conductance of an o. c. circuit (mhos). } \\ \delta_{1}, \delta_{\pi}, \delta_{2 \pi} & \text { Logarithmic decrement of an oscillating quantity }\left(\log _{\epsilon} \frac{\boldsymbol{V}^{r}}{v}\right)\end{array}$ during the angular interval of 1 radian, $\pi$ radians (semiperiod), and $2 \pi$ radians (complete period), of the radius vector (numeric).

|  | $=2.71828$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{E_{0}}$ | Initial vector amplitude of emf. of self-induction (volts $\angle$ ). |
| $\varepsilon_{0}$ | Initial emf. of self-induction in circuit (volts). |
| $E^{\prime \prime}$ | Charging emf. impressed upon a condenser (volts). |
| ¢, e | Instantaneous value of emf. of self-induction in an 0 . c. circuit, and the undamped value of same (volts). |
| ${ }_{T}{ }^{\prime} \ell_{T}$ | Undamped and damped instantaneous values of selfinduction emf. in phase with the current (volts). |
| $I_{0}$ | Initial current strength in a circuit (amperes). |
| $I_{0}$ | Initial vector amplitude oscillating current (amperes $\angle$ ). |
| I | $=\bar{I}_{0} / \sqrt{2}$, the r. m.s. value of initial vector current amplitude (amperes). |
| $\bar{i}, i$ | Undamped and damped instantaneous currents (amperes). |
|  | $=\sqrt{ }-1$, quadrantal operator. |
| $l, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$, | Inductance of an o. c. circuit, and of particular parts thereof (henrys). |
| m | any positive integer (numeric). |
| " | Frequency of oscillation of a circuit (cycles per second). |
| ${ }_{0}{ }^{\text {c. c. }}$ | abbreviation for oscillating-current. $=3.1459$. |
|  |  |
| P'h. Q. | Any oscillatory physical quantity pertaining to an o. c. circuit. |


| $P_{m} \cdot p, p$ | Undamped maximum cyclic power developed by condenser, undamped instantaneous value of same, and damped instantaneous value of same (watts). |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p_{1}, p_{t}$ | Undamped and damped instantaneous values of power in inductance (watts). |
| $p_{r}, p_{r}$ | Undamped and damped instantaneous values of power developed by condenser in the resistance of an o. c. circuit (watts). |
|  | Instantaneous power |
| $2 \bar{p}_{r}$, | Total undamped, and total damped, intantaneous values of power developed in the resistance of an o. c. circuit by condenser and inductance combined (watts). |
|  | Initial charge in a condenser (coulombs). |
| $\bar{Q}_{0}$ | Initial vector amplitude of electric charge in condenser (coulombs $\angle$ ). |
| $Q$ | $=\bar{Q}_{0} / \sqrt{2}$, the r. m. s. value of the initial vector amplitude (coulombs). |
| $Q_{1}$ | Initial charge of one among several condensers in series (coulombs). |
| $q$ | Instantaneous charge in condenser (coulombs). |
| $q_{e}$ | Quantity required to flow through an o. c. circuit in order to establish p. d. equilibrium (coulombs). |
| $r^{\prime}$ | Joulean resistance in an o. c. circuit (ohms). |
| $r^{\prime \prime}$ | Hertzian resistal |
|  | Total resistance in an o. c. circuit (ohms). |
| r. m. s. | Square root of mean square of an oscillatory quantity. $=r / 2$, Semi total of resistance in an o. c. circuit (ohms). |
| $\Phi_{0}$ | Total initial magnetic flux linked with a discharging circuit counting all of the turns in the same (volt-seconds). |
| $\phi$ | Phase angle in an o. c. circuit, and angle of a spiral (radians or degrees). |
|  | Phase angle in an ultraperiodic circuit (radians or degrees). |
| $g d^{-1} \psi$ | Antigudermannian of a circular angle, or the hyperbe? angle of which $\psi$ is the gudermannian (hyp. radians). |
| $s, s_{1}, s_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$, | $=1 / c$, elastance of a condenser, or of each of several condensers (darafs). |
| $t$ | Elapsed time from the release of an o. c. system (seconds). |
| $t_{1}$ | Time interval (seconds). |
| $T$ | Period of an o. c. circuit (seconds). |
| $\tau$ | $=l / \rho$, Oscillation time-constant of an o. c. circuit (seconds). |


| 420 | proceedings of the american |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | $\begin{aligned} = & 1 / \tau=\rho / l \text {, time-constant-reciprocal of an } o . \text { c. circuit } \\ & (\text { seconds } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\bar{U}_{0}$ | Initial vector amplitude of the discharging p. d. in an o. c. circuit (volts $\angle$ ). |
| $U$ | $=\bar{U}_{0} / \sqrt{2}$, the r.m. s. value of the initial vector discharging <br> p. d. (volts). |
| $U_{0}$ | Initial discharging p. d. in a circuit (volts). |
| $u, u$, | Undamped and damped time-values of condenser $p$. d. in an o. c. circuit (volts). |
| $u_{r}, u_{r}$ | Undamped and damped time-values of the component of the condenser p. d. in phase with the current (volts). |
| $\mathrm{J}_{0}{ }_{0}, V, v$ | Initial, r. m. s., and instantaneous values of any oscillating quantity, pertaining to an o. c. circuit. |
| W | Initial energy in a condenser or in a reactance (joules). |
| $W_{l}$ | Initial vector amplitude of cyclic energy in reactance, $m n$, Figure 7, $M N$, Figures 12 and 14 (joules). |
| $W_{m}$ | Undamped maximum cyclic value of energy in a condenser or reactance (joules). |
| $u, w$ | Undamped and damped time-values of energy in condenser or reactance (joules). |
| $u_{1}$ | Energy dissipated in a circuit in the first energy cycle (joules). |
| $w_{c}, w_{l}$ | Energy in a condenser, and in a reactance, at a specified time (joules). |
| $u_{d}$ | Total expenditure of energy by dissipation from a circuit up to $t$ seconds (joules). |
| $u_{0}$ | Energy of charge communicated to a series of condensers (joules). |
|  | Semi-system energy at time $t$ (joules). |
| IX, | Cartesian rectangular coordinates. |
| $\lambda_{i}, X_{c}$ | Reactance of an inductance and of a condenser in an o. c. circuit (ohms). |
| $Y$ | Admittance of an o. c. circuit (mhos). |
| Z, | Impedance of an o. c. circuit (ohms). |
|  | Impedance of an o. c. circuit devoid of resistance (ohms). |
| $\omega_{0}$ | Angular velocity of an o. c. circuit with its resistance ignored (circular radians per second). |
| (1) | Angular velocity of an o. c. circuit in the presence of its actual resistance (circular radians per second). |
| ! | Angular velocity of an ultraperiodic circuit (hyperbolic radians per second). |
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## I. Introduction.

IT is my purpose to state in the present paper the results of some observations and experiments on division of labor among ants, especially in relation to size and structural differences of individual workers and of classes. These studies have been carried on, with interruptions, since September, 1904, chiefly at the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, at Cambridge, Mass., under the guidance of Dr. E. L. Mark, to whom I am deeply grateful for kind and valuable assistance, but also in part at several other places, especially in summer, viz., at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Mass., at the Biological Laboratory of the Brooklyn Institute of Arts and Sciences at Cold Spring Harbor, Long Island, at Ogunquit, Maine, and at Randolph, N. H. I wish to express my obligations to Dr. W. M. Wheeler for help in identifying species and for many suggestions.

## II. Historical.

Many writers have for a long time maintained that among ants there is a division of labor correlated with differences of size and structure. While some of this work has been done either by experiment or observation in the laboratory, or in the field, with this question as the main point, much of it has been more or less incidental, the student giving attention to this subject only as a side issue of other work.

There are some differences of opinion as to the functions of the
different classes. Probably this is largely due to the fact that the observations were in many cases made upon different species. There are, however, certain facts regarding the existence of classes which seem to be established by previous observations.
Different classes certainly exist in various species of ants ; but the division into classes is not sharp in all species in which they are present.

Where structural differences are not well defined, there is not a sharp distinction in function : For example, classes 1 and 2 of Anomma (Savage, '47, p. 5) ; some species of Eciton (Bates, '92, p. 355 ; Belt, '88, p. 357) ; different sizes of workers other than soldiers in Holcomyrmex (Wroughton, '92, p. 15) ; some species of Camponotus (Pricer, :08, p. 192).

In those species where the classes are well defined, the small workers are generally admitted to be the real workers. In Anomma they engage in carrying pupae and prey (Savage, '47, pp. 5-11 ; '50, p. 197); in Eciton crassicormus and E. vastator, in repairing the nest, and in E. hamata and E. mexicana, in marching beside the column (Bates, '92, p. 362 ; Belt, '88, p. 22 ; Sumichrast, '68, pp. 43-44) ; in Atta, in the charge of the "razzias" (Sumichrast, '68, p. 44) ; in A. sexdens, in tending queen larvae and leaf-cutting (Forel, '97, p. 331) ; in A. cephalotes, in leaf-cutting (Forel, l. c.) ; in A. structor, in carrying in seeds (Moggridge, '73, p. 49) ; in A. fervens, in leaf-cutting (Wheeler, :01 ${ }^{\text {a }}$, p. 200) ; in Solenopsis, in constructing covered ways (Rothney, '89, p. 366) ; in Pheidologeton laboriosus, in being in the open, fighting, making covered ways (Rothney, '89, p. 369) ; in Pheidole megacephala, in attacking prey, carrying home prey (Heer, '52, p. 3) ; in P. instalilis, in foraging, excavating, caring for the brood, assisting callows to emerge (Wheeler, :07b ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 4) ; in Pheidole in general, in fighting, going into the field, feeding the majors (Wheeler, :02, p. 770) ; in Holcomyrmex, in harvesting grain (Wroughton, '92, p. 15) ; in CEcophylla smaragdina, in carrying larvae for shuttles (Doflein, :05, p. 502) ; in Camponotus, in fighting outside the nest (Forel, '74, p. 354) ; in Camponotus ligniperdus, C. herculeanus, and C. pubescens, in carrying larvae and pupae (Forel, l. c.) ; in Myrmecocystus, in fighting (Escherich, :06, p. 46) ; in Formica sanguinea, in all the domestic duties (White, '95, p. 67).

In regard to the functions of the large workers, there is evidence that they engage in a variety of occupations, but in some cases there is a great difference of opinion as to what they do. For example, in most species they appear to be for defence, since they are thus reported for Anomma (Savage, '47, p. 5 ; '50, p. 197) ; Eciton erratica, E. vasta-
tor, E. crassicornus (Bates, '92, pp. 355 and 362), Pheidole megacephala (Heer, '52, p. 3), P. pallidula (Forel, '74, p. 384), P. instabilis (Wheeler, : $07^{\text {b }}$, p. 4), Pheidole in general (Lubbock, '77; '79, p. 69 ; '82, p. 20 ; but Wheeler, : 02 , p. 770, says that in some species of this genus they are cowardly), Pogonomyrmex (McCook, '79, p. 196 ; Wheeler, :05d, p. 384), Camponotus (Forel, '74, p. 354; McCook, '76, p. 286 ; Alcott, '97; Lüderwaldt, :09, p. 311), Formica sangniner (White, '95, p. 67), Atta (Forel, '97, p. 331 ; Wheeler, :07², p. 675 ; Belt, '88, p. 83), (Ecophylla (Doflein, :05, p. 502), and Formicoxenus nitidulus (Forel, '86, p. 132). 'They are said to form arches with their bodies in Anormma (Savage, '47, p. 5) ; to march beside the column in Anomma (Savage, l. c. ; '50, p. 197), Eciton drepanophora (Bates, '92, p. 360), E. hamata (Belt, '88, p. 22), and Atta fercens ; to perform some sort of guard duty in Eciton mexicana (Sumichrast, '68, pp. 43-44), Pheidole (Reichenbach, '96, p. xcv), Pogonomyrmex (McCook, '79, p. 196), and especially Colobopsis, the wood borers (Forel, '96, p. 486), in which the soldiers close the entrance of the nest with their heads and allow no strangers to enter (Escherich, :06, p. 46 ; Forel, '86, p. 132 ; :03, p. 83 ; :05, pp. 453-454; Wheeler, :042, p. 44 ;:10, pp. 182, 211-212; :05 ; Lubbock, '82) ; to attack prey in Anomma (Savage, '47) ; to cut up prey or seeds in Pheidole (Heer, '52; Reichenbach, '96; Wheeler, :02, p. 770 ; :10, p. 279 ; :07 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 4), Aphaenogaster and Pogonomyrmex (Forel, '86, p. 132); to attend to various aspects of building in Eciton mexicana (Sumichrast, '68) and Pheidologeton (Rothney, '89, p. 369) ; to care for the young in Eciton and Myrmecocystus (Escherich, :06, p. 46) ; to grind leaves in Atta cephalotes Forel, '97, p. 331) ; to cut grass in Pogonomyrmex (McCook, '79, p. 22) ; to carry seeds in Attc structor (Moggridge, '73, p. 49) ; to carry out refuse in Camponotus (Pricer, :08, p. 192) ; to pull the edges of a leaf together in mending the nest in Ecophylla (Doflein, :05, p. 506) ; to perform in general the severer duties of the colony in Formicoxenus (Forel, '86, p. 132) and Pogonomyrmex (McCook, '79, p. 22) ; to become repletes in Myrmecocystus (Wheeler, :08 ${ }^{\text {b }}, \mathrm{p} .378$ ) ; to lay eggs in Aphaenogasta fulca (Fielde, :01) and in Camponotus (Pricer, :08).

As examples of difference of opinion held by competent observers as to the function of the large workers in the same species, the following may be cited. In Atta they are thought by Bates to be passive defenders of the rest of the colony, but Wheeler contends that they are aggressive soldiers. In Pheidole generally they are thought by Lubbock to be fighters, but Wheeler states that, while in some species of this genus they are for defence, in others they are not.

In certain species there seems to be a third class, which is in size
and structure iutermediate between these two, while in function it sometimes resembles the large and sometimes the small.

Often the same occupation is seen to belong to one class in one species and to another in another species.

That in general the greater amount of work is done by a few workers is the contention of Miss Fielde (:03 ${ }^{\text {d }}$, p. 621) and of Forel ( $\mathbf{7 4}$, p. 151). Lubbock ('82), working on foraging in Formica fusca, came to the same conclusion, but made no study of the relation of activities to size. Pricer thinks that in Camponotus it is the medium-sized ants which accomplish most, whereas Forel believes that the small ones do the most work. But I know of no case where in a given species a large number of functions have been studied in relation to class distinctions.

Therefore without further evidence we are not in a position to state definitely the relation of size and form to division of labor.

## III. Polymorphism of Ants.

## A. Polymorphism in General.

In order to study satisfactorily the correlation between polymorphism and division of labor, it is necessary to define what is meant by polymorphism. Wheeler (:10, p. 86) says that only those animals properly represent the phenomena of polymorphism "in which characteristic intraspecific and intrasexual groups of individuals may be recognized, or, in simpler language, those species in which one or both of the sexes appear under two or more distinct forms."

According to Wheeler (see also Wheeler, :07 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 85) and to Escherich (:06, p. 45) polymorphism is commonly supposed to be due to a physiological division of labor.

Though both sexes of ants show some tendency to polymorphism, such as is described for males by Wheeler (:04 ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ ), by Forel (:04 $;: 04^{\mathrm{b}}$ ), and by Emery (' $86 ;: 06$ ), polymorphism is not common in the male sex, and we are not here concerned with that manifestation of it. If, however, we confine our attention to the females of a colony of ants, it will be found that they are, as a rule, markedly polymorphic, consisting of two chief divisions, queens and workers; although in some cases (certain Ponerinae) there are no apparent distinctions between the queen and the worker classes, the two forms being connected by individuals of an intermediate character (Wheeler, :00 ${ }^{\text {a }}, \mathrm{p} .1$; : 10, pp. 242243). Wheeler (:00) feels certain "that forms externally indistinguishable from the workers commonly function as females." Again, he (:03c, p. 6) says of Leptothorax emersoni that many workers approach the queens in size, possess ocelli, and probably function as
queens. In Leptothorax tuberum, Fletcher ('89) found that workers lay eggs. Workers, though very rarely, may even bear vestiges of wings (Wheeler, :05e). Emery ('94, p. 54), too, states that in most Ponerinae the workers are only slightly differentiated from the queens, and that in several species there are intermediate forms between workers and queens. Furthermore, that some species have a wingless queen which is transitional between the queen and workers. Such a queen is also mentioned by Wheeler (:04e, p. 251). Moreover, the head of the normal, winged queens of such ants as have two different sorts of workers is almost always less developed than that of the largest worker (Camponotus, Pheidologeton, Pheidole, etc.); only seldom (certain Colobopsis and Cryptocerus) does the head of the queen resemble that of the largest worker in size and form. It furthermore appears (Emery, '94, p. 55) that the degree of development of the sexual glands is correlated with the development of the head.

As a rule, however, egg-laying belongs to the queen, while the workers attend to the general needs of the nest, though some workers do occasionally lay eggs. In a good many species they seem, according to Miss Holliday (:03), to be anatomically if not physiologically adapted for this. Indeed, Wheeler (:06a, p. 298) says that when workers are well fed, they readily become fertile, and that they can, and often do, produce normal young from unfecundated eggs. But if the workers may lay eggs, so, on the other hand, according to Wheeler (:03 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ), "the females [Leptothorax Mayr] live almost like the workers, being merely somewhat less inclined to work." But in some cases (e. g. in Formica consocians, lifficilis, sanguinea, etc.) the queen has so far lost her powers of performing the general work of the nest that she is dependent on the workers of another species to bring up her first brood (Wheeler, :04 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. $359 ;: 04^{\mathrm{c}} ;: 05^{\mathrm{a}} ;: 05^{\mathrm{b}} ;: 05^{\mathrm{d}}$, p. 399), and it is doubtful whether in some species she alone could found a colony (Wheeler, :05a). Again, through becoming parasites, the workers of some species in several genera (Anergates, Epoecus, Tomognathus, Sympheidole, Epipheidole) have secondarily disappeared (Forel, '95, p. 145 ; Wheeler, $: 04^{\mathrm{d}}$ ).

Nor are the workers of a given species themselves always alike. Emery ('94), Wheeler (:07 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, pp. $53-57$; :08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$, pp. $4.4-47$; :10, pp. 9299), and Forel ('95, pp. 142 et seq., and :04 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) describe several castes of females in many species, and these authors would account for the great gap between the extreme types of workers in a species by the disappearance of intermediate forms (Emery, '94; Wheeler, :08 ${ }^{3}$, pp. $58-59$; :10, p. 112 ; Forel, '86, p. 132 ; '95; :043, p. 574). On the other hand, there are species in which there is still a completely graded
series from the smallest to the largest workers (see also Emery, '96, p. 397).

Occasionally the fertile winged queens (Emery, '96, p. 399) are of different sizes, as, for example, in Camponotus abdominalis F. and $C$. dorylus subsp. confuscus Emery ; and the differences here are parallel to those of the workers. Again (Wheeler and McClendon, :03; Wheeler, $: 03^{\text {b }}$ ), some species may have two distinct forms of queen without intermediates, and Wheeler (:03b, p. 650) is inclined to believe this due to mutation. Moreover, intermediates, though usually abnormal, are found between the queen and the largest workers (see also Forel, :04 ${ }^{2}$, p. 574 ; Wasmann, ' 90 , pp. 301 et seq.; ' 95 ; :02; :09², pp. 46, 52, 60, et seq.; Viehmeyer, :04; Muckermann, :04; and Wheeler, :01 ${ }^{\text {b }} ;: 10$, pp. 406-408). Hence, taking ants as a whole, there is evidence of a long series of gradations from the smallest workers to the largest queens.

When there are modifications of workers of the same species, they are (Einery, '96, p. 398), first, modifications of size with but slight differences of form of body and mandibles; but on approaching the maximum size the form of the mandibles changes rapidly, though by a series of gradations. Individuais showing such gradations are difficult to get together because of the scarcity of soldiers, and especially of forms intermediate between them and the worker-major. There are also differences of form and sculpture of the head and other parts of the body. According to Forel ('95, p. 143), the large worker may be distinguished by its enormous increase in size or by the peculiar form of its head or its mandibles, which are suited to breaking seeds, obstructing the nest opening, or fighting, etc. If the head has no such adaptation, the ant shows no peculiarities.

Wasmann ('90, p. 300) says that with differences of size are correlated differences of sculpture of separate parts of the body, but especially of the back and the head. Emery $\left(: 01^{\mathrm{a}} ;: 01^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$, p. 54 , foot-note, and :04, pp. 588-589) states that the smallest forms of driver ants, as well as of other species of Dorylus, differ in the structure of the head and its appendages, even in the number of antennal joints, from the mediumsized and small ants, and that these differ from the largest specimens in having smaller heads and toothed mandibles.

It is an interesting fact, as Emery ('94, p. 55) points out, that in the Ponerinae, which are considered morphologically as the stem form of ants, there is no striking polymorphism of the worker classes (Emery knows it only in the case of Melissotarsus). But polymorphism of the worker does appear in many genera of all the other groups, and it therefore seems that polymorphism among ants has originated polyphy-
letically. It is furthermore probable that, since the large workers more closely resemble the queen, they are more primitive than the small ones. Emery, then, classifies ants according to the condition of the workers as follows:
I. Ants with only large workers.
II. Ants with large and small workers.
a. 'The extremes connected by intermediate forms.
b. The large and small workers without intermediate forms.
III. Ants with only small workers, which are very different from the queen; due to dropping out of large workers.
IV. Ants with one kind of workers, which are smaller than the queen through increase of size of queen.
V. Ants from which have disappeared the worker class because of parasitism.

According to this classification, only the species which fall within the second group offer more than one form of workers in the same species. Hence, I have chosen as examples of workers with extremes connected by intermediate forms, two species of Camponotus (C. americanus and C. herculeanus pictus). As the only available species in this region of the country which falls under the division "large and small workers without intermediate forms" is Pheidole piliferc, I have also selected that species. In addition, I succeeded in collecting $P$. vinelandica from New Jersey, and in obtaining $P$. dentata through the kindness of Mr. Carl Hartman of Austin, Texas.

## B. Polymorphism of the Species Studied for Division of Labor.

1. Camponotus. - In Camponotus the workers form a continuous series from the smallest, which have heads much longer than broad, to the largest, whose heads are nearly as broad as long. This was established for Camponotus americanus by measuring nearly 500 individuals, most of which were alive. To secure significant and reliable results requires the selection of suitable and easily identified points on the head and careful attention to the position of the parts during measurements. In using the microscope to view the head and to get the distance between points in its outline it is especially necessary to have the heads of the individuals which are to be compared with one another held in the same relative position. It was found practicable to do this by pressing the head firmly into a small mass of rather soft bees' wax mounted on a glass slide. Usually the wax thus employed held the head in the desired position, but sometimes it was found necessary to push the fore feet of the animal also into the wax to prevent the ant from pulling its head away. The aim in orienting the
head was to place it as nearly as possible in a horizontal position for the purpose of viewing its dorsal aspect. This was done in the case of the transverse axis by placing the head so that the outer margin of the two eyes was equally distant from the outline of the adjacent side of the head; in the case of the anterio-posterior axis, by bringing the anterior margin of the clypeus and the posterior margin of the head to lie at the same focus. These two points can both be seen distinctly
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only when the head is in one position. In making the measurements I used a Zeiss A* objective so set as to give a magnification of about 45 diameters at a projection distance of 430 mm . With this arrangement the whole length of the head of the largest individual could be covered by the ocular micrometer, by means of which the measurements were made. To avoid accidental errors measurements were taken more than once, and recorded in terms of divisions of ocular micrometer. In all cases they were ultimately calculated in millimeters. By "length" is meant the distance measured in a straight line in the median plane between the posterior margin of the head and the vol. Xlvi. -28
anterior margin of the clypeus; by "breadth" or "width" is meant the distance between the lateral outlines of the head measured along a line tangent to the posterior margin of the eyes.

The first diagram (Figure 1) is a frequency polygon, giving the results

of the measurements of width, Figure 2 that of length, Figure 3 that of length multiplied by width, and Figure 4 the ratios found by dividing width by length. In constructing the polygons the ants were divided into classes. For the length a difference of 0.2 mm . was chosen as the basis, the first class containing all individuals in which the length of
the head fell between 1.00 mm . and 1.20 mm . ; the second class between 1.20 and 1.40 mm ., and so on. The interval adopted for width (Figure 2) was 0.15 mm . ; that for area $0.6 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$. ; and for ratios 4 per cent of length. The ordinates show the number of individuals falling within each class. None of the resulting "curves" shows any signs of two or more maxima, except that of Figure 2, where the indication is too slight to be of significance, so that there is no evidence from these measurements that there are well marked classes. When a given colony was plotted by itself, the results were substantially like those here recorded for the combination of several colonies, and each curve was therefore similar. Consequently it seems to me that no objection can be raised to combining the results of several colonies, as I have here done.

I have also tried to find evidence of distinct types or classes based on other grounds, such as the number of teeth on the mandibles and the ratio of the width between the eyes to the width between the insertions of the antennae, but with equally small success.

I next turned my attention to Camponotus herculeanus pictus. Here, too, although I did not make such measurements as are described above for C. americamus, there seems to be a complete, graded series. This fact is easily seen from the Plate, which was made from a series of photographs taken with the aid of a microscope. All exhibit the animal in the same position and under the same magnification, - abont 9 diameters, - so that they represent accurately variations of size and shape. But not relying on this single view of the head, since all the parts were not visible in that position, studies were made with the microscope from different sides. When necessary in comparing the size of the various organs measurements were made by means of the ocular micrometer.

1. The most striking difference (Plate, Figures 2-20) between the large and the small worker is that of size, the heads of the large workers being not only actually but also relatively larger than those of the smaller ones.
2. Not only is the head of the larger workers larger, but it is also of a somewhat different shape. Thus, while the head of the small worker is somewhat longer than broad, the head of the large worker is more nearly equal in the two dimensions, or even a little broader than long in the largest specimens, thus resembling Camponotus americamus. Moreover, the posterior margin of the small heads is convex backward, whereas that of the large workers is more nearly straight, or even slightly concave backward. It might be more nearly correct to say of the latter that it presents a backward convexity of the two ends of the pos-
terior margin, probably due to the greater development of the great jaw muscles, as in this respect the large worker seems to resemble the condition of the soldier in those species where there is a real soldier. Moreover, there is another difference in the general shape of the head, in that its greatest diameter dorso-ventrally is less in proportion to the length of the head in the larger workers; in other words, the heads of the larger workers are somewhat shallower.
3. In regard to the differences of some of the organs of the head, it may be seen at a glance that the length of the antennae relative to the size of the head is much less in the larger ants, they being hardly any longer by actual measurement than in the smaller ants. The number of joints in the antennae seems to be here, as in Camponotus americanus, always the same, unlike Dorylus (Emery, :01a ${ }^{\text {a }}$. There are, however, some differences in the antennal parts. For example, in the smaller ants the scape is slightly longer than the width of the head, while in the larger individuals it is somewhat shorter. In heads of intermediate size the condition is variable; sometimes the head is wider than the length of the scape, sometimes the reverse is true, regardless of the size of the head. In the larger ants the funiculus is only slightly longer than in the smaller ones, and in proportion to the size of the head it is much shorter. In proportion to the length of the scape it is shorter in the larger ants. The scape itself is also of a different shape in the two extremes; in the large ants it is larger at the distal end than at the proximal end, whereas in the small workers it is nearly miform in thickness throughout its length.
4. Again, it was found that in the larger ants the compound eyes are set slightly further back, as may be seen especially in side vier, and that they are also somewhat further from the margin of the head.
5. The clypeus presents a good deal of difference in the two extremes; in the larger ants it is much thinner dorso-ventrally and only slightly arched on the dorsal surface, while in the smaller ants the arch becomes higher and more angular. Moreover, there is a considerable difference, as seen from the dorsal side, in the shape of its outline, which is nearly rectangular in the large individuals, and somewhat hexagonal in the small.
6. In the larger workers the frontal carinae (Wheeler, :10, p. 18) are slightly farther apart in proportion to the total length of the head.
7. The mandibles are not very unlike in the two extreme sizes, but are, on the whole, more strongly built in the large workers. All the teeth are fairly large in the large workers, but in the small workers the outside ones are the stronger, though the difference in strength is not great.
8. But in each of these characteristics there is a graded series, s 0 that a distinction into classes camot be marle; indeed, it sometimes happens that in a single individual of the middle size some characters more clearly resemble those of the large workers, while others are more like those of the small. I'hese observations tend strongly to confirm those made by measurements on Cumponotus ctmericamus. They are, so far as I am aware, the only observations of the sort made on this genns.

In order to see how much the queens (Plate, Figure 1) of C'ampomutus pictus differed from the workiers in regard to these same structures, I made similar observations on them, comparing them with the largest workers, which it is evident they more closely resemble than they do the small ones. The resnlts follow:

1. The head of the queen is here somewhat, though not much, larger than it is in the largest worker. In regard to its shape, as compared with that of the worker, it is only slightly broader in proportion to its length, about as much as we should expect from its increased size. The posterior margin of the head of the queen resembles very closely that of the largest worker. The dorso-ventral axis of the head is slightly shorter than in that of the worker.
2. The length of the antennae, in proportion to the size of the head, is less in the queen. The antemnal joints are of the same number in worker and queen. If the length of the scape is compared to the width of the head, it is found that in the queen it is hardly, if any, shorter than in the large worker. The funiculus of the queen is slightly shorter in proportion to the length of the scape. In proportion to the size of the head it is also somewhat shorter, being actually of about the same length in both forms. The scape in the queen is still thicker at the distal end than in the large workers.
3. The compound eyes are slightly further back than in the large worker, and resemble the condition in the small worker more closely in being a little nearer the margin than in the large worker.
4. The clypeus is even flatter in the queen than in the large worker and slightly more indented by the cheeks; the arch is curved in both.
5. The frontal carinae are slightly further apart in the queen than in the large worker.
6. The mandibles of the queen very closely resemble those of the large worker.

So far, these characters make it appear as though the queen were merely at one end of a long series of females. But in this species other characters, such as the more developed ovaries, the presence of wings, etc., show that there is a noticeable break between the queen and the largest worker.

However, in nearly all the differences among the workers of this species, it is necessary to observe that there is no break in the series, no tendency to form separate classes. There is, rather, a continuous variation from, one size to the next, forming, as Wheeler (:07b, p. 77) says, a series of intermediates between the very large and the very small.

Although one would not expect the duties of classes in this species to be as distinct from one another as they are in those polymorphic species where there are sharp morphological differences, still it seemed possible that polymorphism might be associated with some recognizable division of labor. Furthermore, as already stated, it was thought well to compare the activities of two forms, in one of which (as in Camponotus americanus and C.pictus) there is a graded series; and in the other (as in certain species of Pheidole) there are dimorphic classes without intermediate forms between the large-headed soldiers and the workers (Emery, :02, p. 719 ; Wheeler, :10, p. 559).
2. Pheidole. - In Pheidole there is, as a rule, no intermediate form between the small workers of ordinary proportions and the soldiers (Emery, :02, p. 719 ; Wheeler, :10, p. 559), though such intermediate individuals are occasionally found. By "soldier" is meant, according to Forel ('95, p. 143), merely a large worker which, through complete dropping out of the intermediate forms and through adaptation to precise functions, has become differentiated from the small worker. It is often so different from the worker proper as to be taken for another species. In some species, as Ponera edouardi, there are, indeed, two forms of soldier (Forel, '95, p. 145). In Pheidole, however, there is only one. The differences between the heads of the soldier (Plate, Figure 22) and the worker (Figure 23) of Pheidole pilifera may be described as follows:

1. A great difference in the size of the head, that of the soldier being much larger, not only actually, in correspondence with its larger body, but even relatively; it is so heavy and clumsy, that it is held bent downward at a much greater angle than that of the small worker.

The thickness of the heads measured dorso-ventrally does not differ materially. When the dorsal surface of the head is examined, however, it is found that the proportions of its outline are quite different ; it is nearly square in the small workers, and quite oblong in the soldiers. The posterior margin is ouly slightly cordate in the small ants; but in the soldiers it is deeply indented in the centre, where ends a median dorsal groove, which begins about the middle of the head. This groove lies between the muscles of the mandibles, which cause a pair of longi-
tudinal mounds; these are wanting in the small workers. The head of the soldier is much redder, except for the black eyes and the dark regions around the mouth. It is also covered with stronger ridges of chitin and is more pubescent.
2. The autemae are, relatively to the whole head, much longer in the small ants. The number of joints is the same for both classes, but in the small ants the three distal joints are larger than in the soldiers. The scapes are in form very similar in the two castes, but are relatively shorter in the soldier. The funiculus, although actually slightly shorter, is, in proportion to the size of the head, much longer in the small workers.
3. The frontal carinae differ slightly in the two forms, being nearly parallel in the small workers, but diverging posteriorly somewhat in the soldiers.
4. The clypeus in the small ants resembles a triangle, somewhat curved outward in front, but in the soldier it has four sides, of which the two lateral diverge anteriorly, and its anterior margin is slightly notched in the middle.
5. The compound eyes are further forward in the soldier than in the worker.
6. The mandibles in the small ants are slender, and bear teeth, of which the outside one is especially large, but in the soldier they are very strongly built, blunt, straight-edged, without teeth, and somewhat sharp on the edges which come in contact with each other, very much resembling batchets.

When the queen (Plate, Figure 21) of this species was examined, it was found that, while she resembles the worker more closely in certain characteristics, she is, on the whole, more like the soldier.

1. Her whole body is larger than that of the soldier, suggesting that, as in Camponotus americanus and C. pictus, she represents one end of a series from which some members have, probably, dropped out. But there is more difference between majors and queens in this species than in Camponotus, and even within each of the two classes, soldiers and minors, - supposedly connected by missing forms, - the gradation is not as uniform as it is throughout the Camponotus series.
2. Her head is both relatively and actually smaller than that of the soldier. On the other hand, the shape, both in regard to its general proportions and to the posterior margin, more nearly resembles that of the worker; but the chitinous ridges and the general color closely resemble those of the soldier, though they are less marked.
3. Certain organs of the head also resemble those of the soldier more closely, viz., the antemnae and their parts, the angle which the
frontal carinae make with each other, the shape of the elypeus, and the pubescence, this being even more marked than in the soldiers.
4. On the other hand, the mandibles are toothed and in shape are much like those of the small workers, and the mandibular muscles do not cause momids on the dorsal surface of the head.
5. There are three well marked ocelli, and the compound eyes are much larger than those of either soldiers or workers.
6. From these facts it seems probable that the large workers originally resembled the queen more than did the small workers, and later developed to an exaggerated extent certain of her characteristics, which the small worker, on the other hand, lost.

## IV. Methods in General.

In order that I might not be biased when considering the subject of division of labor among ants as a whole by conclusions deduced from a single method of work, it was thought well to make observations muder several different conditions, partly by placing the ants in more, partly in less natural surroundings. My observations may thus be arranged in three groups as follows: A. work with Fielde (:00;:04 ${ }^{2}$ ) nests, with aluminmm nests (Buckingham, :09) and other apparatus; B. Work with Barth (:09) nests ; C. Out-door work.

## A. Work with Fielde Nests, Aluminum Vests and Other Apparatus.

The Fielde and aluminum nests used are shown in plan in Figure 6 (p. 447) ; they were ten inches long and six inches wide.

1. Marking. - In order to study the activities of each member of a colony, when experimenting with ants in the Fielde nests and in my own, the following method was employed : Each individual was marked and its head measurements were recorded together with the data of the various experiments, so that it might be possible to tell which sizes were concerned in the different activities and to keep account of the special activities of each individual. Length of head multiphied by width of head was used as a criterion of size. The classes of the slecies of Pheidole which I used are so distinet that I have thought no marking necessary, and have simply recorded the numbers of ants of each class taking part in each activity. With Camponotns, lowever, the case was quite different, since it was impossible to establish natural groups or classes, owing to the continuous gradation of the forms into one another.

A common way of marking ants, described by Miss Fielde (:03 ${ }^{2}$. 1. 610 , foot-note), is to affix to them colored paint by means of var-
nish. I have never succeeded in making this method work well, since the paint often falls off or is removed by the ant or its companions. Especially is this likely to happen in the course of experiments extending over considerable time, as mine have done. I have had no better success with trying to aftix other substances to the ants. 'The following
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method, however, works well with species of large ants : Pieces of colored sewing-silk were split into their component strands. One or, if different colors were to be combined, two or more of these were tied with a single knot into a loop. The legs of the insect were then grasped by the thumb and forefinger of the left hand, while with the right hand the loop was slipped over the abdomen, adjusted immediately back of the last pair of legs, and fastened by completing a square knot, care being taken not to make the loop around the ant too tight. A small pair of forceps is indispensable in adjusting and tying the loop. Provided that the thread is sufficiently slender, I cannot
see that its presence makes any difference in the behavior of the ant after the first few minutes, and the number of individuals which can be thus marked is practically molimited, for by varying the single or combined colors, many different combinations can be secured.
2. Heating. - In carrying out this set of observations the ants were kept during the summer at ordinary room temperature. In winter, however, in order to maintain a state of greater activity than they would have had at room temperature, especially at night, it was deemed expedient to keep them at temperatures which approximated those of summer, the extremes being about $60^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ Farenheit. They were therefore placed, when not under observation, in an artificially heated chamber (Figure 5). This chamber, made of matched boards $\frac{3}{8}$ in. thick, was about five feet high, three and a half feet wide, and two and a half feet from front to back, and raised on wooden legs about two feet above the floor. The front of the chamber was composed of two doors (c), and the back was open, but was placed against the wall of the room in such a way as to surround a west window that was provided with two sashes enclosing an air space between them. The floor, the roof, the two ends and the two doors were lined with sheets of asbestos. 'Io give more surface on which to place the nests and to allow at the same time free circulation of the heated air, a shelf ( $(\alpha)$ made of slats was placed midway between the floor and roof. Since the afternoon sun sometimes made the temperature in the chamber dangerously high, and since daylight excites ants, the window was supplied with a red curtain (b), so arranged that it could be easily raised or lowered. The curtain was used continuously except occasionally for a few moments when a little extra light was needed while caring for the ants. Although the doors could be tightly closed, they were usually left slightly ajar, thus affording better ventilation and avoiding danger of too great a rise of temperature. Heat was supplied by an electric stove ( $(d)$, the current being taken from the lighting circuit. The ants which I was studying were kept on the floor of the chamber, where the temperature was somewhat lower than on the shelf. The latter was used by Mr. I. A. Field for the ants he was rearing in comection with his studies on spermatogenesis. The chamber was built for receiving ants and in accordance with plans worked out by Mr. Field and the director of the laboratory. While the ants were kept in this chamber at such times as they were not under immediate inspection, all observations were made at ordinary room temperatures, under the influence of daylight.

## B. IVork with Barth Nests.

1. Enciroment in General. - It appeared that there are some activities of ants which camot be satisfactorily studied in natural nests, and in order to watch the insects under more natural conditions than were used for observations under division A (pp. 440-442), aul to subject them, though in the laboratory, to an enviromment as nearly as possible resembling that of their wild homes, Barth (:09) nests were selected. Here the ants soon burrowed their chambers and galleries in earth between two glass jars, a smaller set within a larger, the outside of which was always covered with thick black paper, except at such times as the nests were examined. 'To prevent the escape of the ants, screens of wire gauze were placed over the tops of the jars, which were otherwise open, allowing free access of air. During the period that these observations were made the nests were kept continuously in a photographic dark room, with black walls, and a small window through which daylight eutered for some hours each day. In order to produce the darkness normal to ants underground, this daylight was closed out when the black paper was removed from the nests during observation. The light needed in studying the movements of the ants was secured by a 16-C. P. incandescent filament enclosed in a bulb of ruby glass. This lamp served also another purpose, viz. to stimulate the ants slightly, and thus make them more lively.
2. Influences of ITeat and Light. - That this stimulus was due to heat and not to light was proved by the following experiment, tried on two different colonies of Camponotus herculeanus pictus. The results of both were so nearly alike that I give only those of one. When the temperature of the earth in the nest was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., by a thermometer previously placed there, and the ants were quiet, a water screen $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches thick was placed between the nest and the ruby bulb, which was about four inches distant from the nest. After five minutes the ants were still perfectly quiet. The screen was then removed. Immediately the ants began to move vigorously, and at the end of five minutes more the glass felt warmer to my hand where the light shone on it than in other places. The temperature in the earth near the ants had now gone up to $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and the ants were carrying the larvae toward the outer glass of the nest. The water screen was now replaced, and the ants examined again at the end of another five minutes, when, though the thermometer still registered $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the ants were perfectly quiet. After five minutes more with the screen still in place, the room having become in general warmer, the thermometer in the earth registered $22+^{\circ}$ C., and the ants were perfectly quiet. Black paper
was now placed around the nest to keep out the light, the lamp being left in the same position, but the screen having been removed. After five minutes the thermometer reading was $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and the ants were in commotion. I then poured cold water over the outside of the nest till the ants became fairly quiet, the thermomoter standing at $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the thermometer had reached $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the lamp was again placed near the nest, and at the first intimation of motion among the ants a reading of the thermometer was taken ; it showed $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. But previously, when there was light and no rise of temperature the ants were quiet at $22+{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The nest was now cooled to $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and the ants began to move at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It therefore seems fair to assume that the stimulus is not simply heat, but a rise of temperature. To see the effect of light other than red, at the same power, a $16-\mathrm{C}$. P. incandescent lamp with an ordinary glass bulb was now used, at the same distance that the ruby light had previously been, with the water screen in place. At the end of two minutes the ants were disturbed, but they seemed to be less disturbed by the light of a 16-C. P. incandescent lamp than by its heat. From these two experiments it seems clear that we are dealing here with the stimulus of heat from the ruby bulb rather than of light. Heat is really a natural stimulus to ants, as they are in the habit of coming up under stones or to other warm parts of the nest, and of taking there the eggs, larvae and pupae to warm them. Moreover, in the Barth nest I found that so long as the heat was not too intense, the ants took their young toward it; if, however, it became very intense, from placing the lamp nearer the nest, they carried them away. So long as the behavior of the ants indicated that the warmth was favorable to them, so long, I think, we may safely say that it was a natural stimulus.

## C. Out-door Work.

That I might study ants under entirely normal conditions, I worked during the spring, summer, and autumn of 1909 on out-door colonies of Cemponotus herculeanus pictus at Randolph, N. H., and of Pheidole pilifere at Cambridge, Mass. In order to obtain as natural results as possible, the observations were made in many cases without touching the nest at all, and in no case was there any disturbance of the ant when it could be avoided. 'Io this ond, before making obscrvations, I often waited for a time after seating myself on the ground so that the ants might recover from any stimulus to activity caused by my approach. In making notes or in preserving ants, all individuals sharing in any activity under the influence of any excitement, other than that which
might naturally be expected in such an activity, were so recorded. To gain as much evidence as possible from the observations, two methods of study were used, viz. (1) field notes, and (2) captured ants.

1. Field Notes. - First, at the time the observations were made notes were taken, giving general impressions of the sorts of individuals engaged in various pursuits, and these notes were later carefully summed up and compared for the different colonies. Although such notes are necessarily in many respects less accurate for Camponotus, because of the nature of polymorphism in the species here used, than is the evidence derived from the second method, to be described below, yet they reveal more precisely the numbers of ants engaged in the various activities, and are, I think, in the main fairly correct.
2. Captured Ants. - The second method employed in the field work, used particularly in connection with Camponotus herculernus pictus, was as follows: Because the workers of this species form a graded series (p. 438), it was in many cases impossible to judge with accuracy as to the size of ants engaged in particular activities, and it was, therefore, thought well to distinguish, for the purpose of later study, those ants which shared in any given activity. Accordingly, small vials of commercial alcohol were used, into each of which were dropped all ants of a particular colony engaged in a given activity on any day, and each bottle was provided with a label giving the colony, the activity, and the date of capture of all ants contained therein. For convenience each ant was later mounted on a separate pin with a label containing the above data.

## D. Activities Tested.

Although the activities of the ants studied have not all been tested with each method of observation, some of them have. I give here the whole category of activities which were examined by any method: 1. foraging, either (a) presence in the field, or (b) actually carrying food; 2. partaking of different foods; 3. feeding themselves; 4. regurgitating food to others, likewise receiving regurgitated food; 5. licking others, likewise being licked; 6. tending the young (eggs, larvae, or pupae) ; 7. building, (a) digging, (b) carrying earth; 8. carrying other ants, likewise being carried by other ants; 9 . surrounding the queen ; 10. fighting ; 11. responding to disturbances of the nest; 12. guarding ; 13. scavangering.

Though the nature of most of these activities seems clear from the names given them, a few, perhaps, need explanation. For example, "preparing food" means tearing it into smaller pieces or crushing it
so that it can be earried into the nest, or so that it is suitable for eating. "Regurgitating food" is a fairly common habit among ants; when they have been feeding, they sometimes disgorge some of the food to their companious. The process of "licking" is minutely deseribed by McCook (79, p. 125) for Pogonomyrmex barbatus as eleaning each other. 'The ant which is doing the licking or cleaning passes all over the body of the other ant with her mouth parts, which are constantly in active motion. The ant which is being licked stands still, apparently content to have the process carried on. Wheeler (: 03 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, p. 43-44) says in regard to licking in Leptothorax that there can be little doubt that the ants obtain some substance from the body of the Myrmicas, but it is difficult to ascertain its nature. He thinks it may be a secretion of the cutaneous glands, or merely the salivary secretion that has been spread over the Myrmicas by the mutual licking in which they indulge. Later be (Wheeler : $\mathbf{0 7}^{c}$, p. 70) speaks of it as an "oleaginous secretion." By "responding to disturbances of the nest " I mean such disturbances, for example, as knocking on logs in which Camponotus pictus for the most part lives, jarring the artificial nests, or tearing open log nests or earth nests. "Guarding" signifies such babits for the protection of the nest as are found in Colobopsis (see p. 428). Although these guards allow all the inhabitants of the nest free passage, they nevertheless keep out all intruders.

## V. Studies of Camponotus.

## A. Camponotus americames.

In the observations on the various activities of Campmotus americants I have tried to diseover (1) the proportion of the whole number of worker ants which engaged in a given activity, ( 2 ) the extent to which each individual was engaged in that activity, and (3) the relation, if any, between the size of the individuals and the nature of their activities.

## 1. Foraging.

1. Methods. - In order to determine to what extent this species is attracted by food near at hand, and which individuals respond to this stimuhns, any arrangement which would allow one to know definitely which individuals, if any, had had recourse to the food (or at least to the chamber containing it) during a fixed interval of time would afford a basis for judging of the general effect of food as a stimulns and for determining the particular ants or classes of ants stimulated by it. The method adopted to attain these ends was as fullows: 'The ants
were confined in the Fielde nests already mentioned (Figure f). In all cases the chambers were connected with each other by two passages, one at each end of the partition. In each of the chambers was a moist sponge, and both chambers were kept moderately dark by pastehoard covers laid over the glass. 'I'he ants were placed in chamber $A$, the food in chamber $B$. One of the passages between $A$ and $l ;$ was blocked by a plug of cotton, while in the other was placed a trap-door which allowed the ants to pass from $A$ to $B$, but not in the reverse direction. The trap-door (Figures 7-11) was made as follows: A piece of mica, $a$, slightly narrower than the passage, rested on a fulcrum, $l$, in such a way that one end touched the floor of the nest in chamber $A$, while the other came against the roof $(c)$ of the passage in chamber $B$. 'I'be roof, $c$, covered the whole passage between $A$ and $B$. In Figure 8, a front


Figure 6.
elevation, are shown, besides the parts already mentioned, $d$, the outside wall of the nest in cross section, and $e$, the cross partition between $A$ and $B$, also in section. The fulcrum ( $b$ ) consisted of a short piece of straightened watch spring just long enough to fit easily across the passage, and supported, edge up, between two strips of thin card-board, cut as shown in Figure 9, where $b$ is the steel watch spring, and $h$ one of the supporting cards. The dotted lines $(g)$ show where the card was bent at right angles to form the parallel wings, $f$, which were glued to the glass wall of the passage (Figure 8, $d$ and $e$ ). The portions $i$ of the eard-board remained in a plane parallel to $b$ and hence perpendicular to $f$. Both edges of the mica plate (a) were notched at the middle (Figure 11) to receive the projecting edges, $i$, of the vertical card-board. This arrangement prevented the slipping of the mica plate on the fulcrum, while allowing freedom of motion in a vertical direction. The two arms of the mica plate were of unequal length, the longer and
heavier arm projecting into chamber A. Consequently, when the plate was undisturbed, this arm rested on the floor of chamber $A$; but when an ant, ascending the incline of the plate, passed beyond the fulerum, its weight was added to that of the shorter arm, and by the time it had come near the end of the shorter arm, the combined weight of the two

Figure 7.
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was sufficient to tip the plate and bring the $B$ end into contact with the floor. As soon as the ant had passed from the plate to the floor of chamber $b$, the weight of the long arm caused the plate to swing back into its original position. Figure 10 shows, in horizontal section, at the level of the uncovered portion of the wateh spring ( 1 ), the fulcrum without the mica plate. As the mica plate was somewhat longer than the end of the partition, the latter was prolonged by a vertical piece of paper (1, l, Figure (6) parallel with the edge of the plate. 'This prevented ants from getting under the plate from the $A$ side, and interfered with any attempt of a second ant to get on the $B$ end of the plate while it was being held down by the weight of the ant entering $b$.
'To climinate as far as possible other factors than that of fuor, the latter was placed alternately in chamber $B$ and in chamber $I$, the same number of observations being made for each position.
?. Ohsercutims. - In these experiments ten different colonies were made use of. Records in regard to the feeding activity were made every $2 t$ hours with the exception of certain Sundays and a few holidays. It is clearly necessary, however, to exclude observations made on any day suceeeding one when no observations had been made, becanse the time interval during which the ants had had an opportunity to respond was in such a case 48 hours instead of 24 hours, the usual interval. At the begiming of each observation the ants were all placed in chamber $A$, and the foor was placed half the time in chamber A, half the time in chamber 13. A "series" of observations consists of a number ( 50 or 25 ) of separate observations all made under the same conditions as to the relation of insects to the foor-chamber. The maximum number of observations in a week was only five, except during those periods when records were made on Sundays as well as week days, in which cases secen observations a week were possible. During the earlier experiments on this species, 50 observations were included in each series, but later the number was reduced to 25 . A "set" of observations includes two or more "scries," one of the series being made while the food was in chamber $A$; the other while it was in $B$. 'The ants at the beginning of every experiment were, as stated, all in one chamber (A). After the lapse of 24 hours the number of individuals which had migrated into chamber $B$ was noted. The results of a series of 50 (or 25 ) such observations were combined as follows: First was computed what per cent of the whole number of ants under observation made their way into the unoccupied chamber during each observation. These per cents for the 50 (or 25 ) observations were then averaged. The result is shown in T'able I. When the food was in chamber $B$, these values give a partial measure of the stimulus to migration caused by food ; but, assumably, the migration under this condition was not due exclusively to the stimulus of food. To eliminate as far as possible all other factors except food, experiments were also made with the food in chamber $A$. The individuals making their way from chamber $A$ to chamber $B$ under this condition would clearly not be attracted to $B$ by food, and the number of them may fairly be taken as an approximate measure of the number of individuals which made their way into the food-chamber (when that chamber was 13 ) independently of the influence of food. In the earlier sets of observations (viz. with 50 observations in a series) three series were combined into a set (colonies $4,17,20$, and 24 ) ; in the later sets only two series (colonies
TABLE I. 1

${ }^{1}$ Owing to the death of some members of a colony, the number varied. The average number in a colony was determined by taking the sum of the individuals found in the nest on successive days and dividing that by the number of observations.
$42,51,53,54,55)$. Usually the three-series set embraced two series with the food in $B$ and one with the food in $A$, but in one instance (colony $\because 4$ ) the reverse was true, two series of observations having been made with food in $A$, and ouly one with food in $B$. These three series were so arranged as to eliminate as far as possible any tendency of the colony to a change in its activities during several weeks of observation, by putting one of the two series lufore, the other after the odd series. Although the results may differ considerably in different experiments, and the proportions found may be so variable as to show that we are dealing with rough approximations only, still the numerical relations are the only basis we have to go by, and are not less reliable than vague statements that there are " many" or "very many" more engaged in this than in that activity. Accordingly, I shall give the numerical results of my observations, which are of necessity the basis of my general statements of proportions.

In those cases where two series of observations were made with the food in the same chamber, it was found that there is not much difference in the per cents resulting in the two cases (27.1 and 33.5; 25.4 and $25.7 ; 6.7$ and $6.5 ; 3.2$ and 8.9). In experiments with colonies $4,17,24,42$, and 54 there was a much larger number of ants entering $B$ when the food was in $B$ than when it was in $A$, as we should expect ; but, for some reason which I have been unable to explain, the reverse was true in colonies $51,52,53$ and 55 . However, the average of these per cents was higher when the food was in $B$ (21.2 per cent) than when it was in $A$ ( 15.6 per cent). This would leave a difference of 5.6 per cent, which may be assumed as the per cent which went to $B$ for food alone. It will be noticed that the per cent of ants entering $B$ is fairly low, showing that not every ant went each day to the food chamber, and, indeed, some ants did not enter $B$ at all. This is shown by an examination of the details of a series of observations in colony 54. The ants whose numbers are not recorded here died before this experiment was performed. Nos. 25, 28, 35, 37, 39, 45, 47 and 48 did not enter chamber $B$ at all, while out of a possible 33 times, No. 30 entered $B$ twenty-two times; No. 2, twenty times ; Nos. 21 and 22, seventeen times each; Nos. 10 and 12, sixteen times each; Nos. 3 and 52, fifteen times each ; No. 9, eleven times ; No. 20, eight times ; No. 44, six times ; No. 14, five times ; Nos. 8 and 13, three times each ; Nos. 11, 17, 19, 31, 34, and 42, twice each ; and Nos. 15, 19, 33, 36, and 40 , once each. There were, in fact, instances in all the colonies of failure on the part of some individuals to enter the foodchamber. It might be urged that any one individual does not need food every day, and can readily live for some time without it, as has

been shown by Janet ('98) and by Fielde (: $04^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) ; but in my experiments many individuals did not enter the food-chamber for a long period, fifty days, and while it is possible that they may exist for such a period when deprived of food, I do not believe that with food within their reach they would, as a rule, go so long without eating. Moreover, it is certain that not every individual is dependent for its food on a personal visit to the food-chamber, because I have often seen ants, immediately after being taken from $B$ (containing food) and placed back in $A$, regurgitate food to their fellows, so that the failure of a particular ant to visit the foodchamber by no means warrants the conclusion that it has remained without nutrition. Many of the nests contained queens, males, and larvae, all of which throve, the ants being able to rear their young in chamber A provided proper food was kept in $B$, and provided the ants which entered $B$ were placed back in $A$. Colony 4 was the only one without a queen, but as the ants even in this colony flourished, it cannot be said that in all cases the food is supplied by queens, either through their fat-bodies or otherwise as is done when a queen is founding a colony.
Table II was made up in exactly the same manner as Table I, except that the intervals between observations were 48 hours instead of 24 . In the cases of colonies $24,42,51$, and 54 , the per cents of ants entering $B$ were larger when the food was in $B$ than when it was in $A$; the reverse was true in colonies 52,53 , and 55. The deficiencies in the records of colonies $4,17,20$, and 24 are due to the fact that these colonies were examined
every 24 hours, during at least one series when the food was in $A$ or in $B$. A comparison of the results of these two tables shows that there is one colony (51) from which during the 24 -hour periods more ants entered $/ 3$ when the food was in $A$ than when it was in $B$; but which in the 48 hour periods gave the opposite result. All those colonies which in the 24 -hour periods showed more ants entering $B$ when the food was in $B$ than when it was in $A$, showed the same results in the 48 -hour periods. In the 48 -hour periods, as in the 24 -hour periods, when there was more than one series with food in $B$, the per cents of ants in $B$ were fairly similar in the two cases ( 34.3 and $28 ; 6$ and 4.6 ), nor are the results very different in a given colony ( 17 and 20 ) for the 24 - and 48 -hour periods. On the whole, when we compare the results in the 24 -hour periods and the 48 -hour periods, it will be seen that there were somewhat more ants entering $B$ during the 48 -hour periods than during the 24 -hour periods. In the 48 -hour periods there were rather more ants entering $B$ when the food was in $A$ than when it was in $B$, as opposed to the reverse condition shown for the 24 -hour periods. I believe this to be due to the fact that there were several colonies ( $4,17,20$, and 24 ) which, throughout one or more series, were examined daily (consequently no 48 -hour periods) and these were colonies which, during the 24 -hour periods showed more ants entering $B$ when the food was in $B$.

To ascertain the constancy of individuals in regard to foraging, I noted the number of times which each ant had the opportunity (one opportunity in 24 hours) to go into $B$, and also the number of times which it availed itself of this opportunity. From the ratio of the two numbers, expressed in per cents, was subtracted a similar ratio ascertained when the food was in $A$, since we must assume that the ants entering $B$ when the food was in $A$ did so for some other purpose than that of obtaining food, and that an equal number would presumably have passed from $A$ to $B$ when the food was in $B$ for similar reasons, i. e. not for food. These results are given in Table III, where the second column shows the number of ants engaged in foraging for each of the several per cents from -78 to 85 . For the sake of convenience the results of the corresponding operation for the activities of tending the young (third column) and building (fourth column) are incorporated in the same table. The results on foraging would have been more convincing had more ants in all colonies entered $B$ when the food was in $B$ than when it was in $A$. But as has been stated, it was frequently found that an individual ant entered $B$ more often when the food was in $A$ than when it was in $B$.

In compiling Table III, I have disregarded in respect to every activity all individuals which died before the end of the experiment. There
were many ants which did not engage in foraging at all ( 76 out of 195, or about 39 per cent), while only a few entered $B$ a large part of the possible number of times - only 8 out of 195 , for example, taking advantage of more than half their opportunities. This suggests some sort of division of labor, and thus agrees with Lubbock's ('82) observations, although the number of individuals which constantly engaged in this activity was much larger in my studies than in his. This difference may have been due in part to our having used different species of ants, but also in part to differences in our methods of experiment-


Figure 12.
(Foraging.)


Figure 13.
(Tending the Young.)
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(Building.)
ing and observing. His ants had perfect freedom to go to and from the food, being therefore able immediately to return with food to their fellows; whereas mine had to wait for a longer or shorter time before returning, in some cases probably nearly twenty-four hours, with the result that those of my ants which entered the fool-chamber were for much of the time of no use as a source of food supply to the rest of the colony, in consequence of which other ants probably went in search of food, making the total number of food-seekers somewhat larger than it would have been but for this restraint. His observations of unrestrained ants were made once an hour ; mine, made on ants that were free to enter the food chamber, but could not leave it, were made only once a day. However, I do not think this wholly explains matters, for on days immediately following those on which no record had been taken, there were not many more foraging ants than after an interval of only twenty-four hours, which seems to me to show that nearly all individuals likely to frequent the food-chamber had done so during the first twenty-four hours. Moreover the artificial homing of mv ants
may have had something to do with the difference in our results, for while my ants were thus stimulated to unusual activity, his experiments were carried on at room temperature in winter.

TABLE III.


I do not find from these experiments any very striking evidence of a correlation between size and the habit of foraging. I have plotted frequency polygons (Figures 12-14) for three of the various activities studied. For this purpose the ants experimented with were divided into six classes, based on the size of the head expressed in sq. mm . (length $\times$ breadth). The class values are indicated along the axis of abcissas. The values along the axis of ordinates are the average per
cent of times (the opportunities being 100 per cent) which ants of these sizes entered into the activities of foraging (Figure 12), tending the young (Figure 13), and building (Figure 14), respectively. The figures below the middle of each class show the number of individuals in that elass. There seems to be no marked difference as to the amount of foraging among the various classes, except that the largest ants did not engage much in that aetivity. It might be objected that the colonies should not have been classed together in this way, since there might be differences in behavior of the classes of different colonies, depending on the number of ants of each size within the colony, numbers which change with the age and amount of food of the colony. But here the colonies, being all small, were in approximately the same condition, and were kept in the same environment. Moreover, such a combining of colonies would tend not to exaggerate but to obliterate differences in behavior of the different classes, and yet such differences are more or less evident.

## 2. Tending the Young.

1. Methods. - In endeavoring to ascertain which ants were most active in tending the young, both passages at the ends of the partition in the Fielde nest were left open. The ants were placed in chamber $A$, and this was left partially darkened until the ants had collected in the darkest part of the chamber. Then the screen was removed, allowing the daylight to fall upon the insects. In order to cause ants more quickly to pick up the young, a gentle current of air was pumped into the corner of the nest where the ants were congregated. The pumping was done by the following method: A five-gallon glass earboy was used as a compression chamber for the air. Into this the air was pumped by means of a foot-bellows, connected to the carboy by a heary rubber tube. Another tube leading from the earboy terminated in a piece of glass tubing drawn out to a fine point, which was inserted through a small hole in one of the two roof-panes of the nest.

Four colonies were used in this experiment. Each colony was observed for 50 one-minute periods. During each period a record was made of all ants seen carrying eggs or larvae (there were no pupac). The average number ${ }^{2}$ of workers in each colony was then found (Table IV, line 3) and finally the per cent of workers which were active in tending the young (line 4). In a similar manner, line 5 gives the average number of winged queens in the colony, line 7 the average

[^101]number of deialated queens, and lines 6 and 8 the per cent of times that these were respectively active in tending the young.
2. Olservetions. - I may noto here the following incidental observations :
(1) Ants often appeared to touch the young, even with the antennae, without seeming to notice them.
(2) Unless violently disturbed, the ants did not remove the young to a definitely safe place, but wandered about with them in their mouths in an apparently aimless way.
(3) After an experiment had continued for some minutes, the ants were not as much disturbed by the current of air as they were at first.

TABLE IV.

| No. of the Colony . . . . . . . . . . . . | 42 | 51 | 54 | 55 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. of observations . . . . . . . . . . . | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |
| Av. no. of workers in colony . . . . . . . | 5 | 10 | 23 | 24 |  |
| Per cent of workers tending young . . . . | 17 | 8 | 31 | 9 |  |
| Av. no. of winged queens in colony . . . . | $\ldots$ | 2 | 1 | $\ldots$ |  |
| Per cent winged queens tending young | .. | $\ldots$ | 0 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| Av. no. of deälated queens in colony | . | . | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 |$| 1$

This is in agreement with Turner's (:07, p. 408) statement in regard to sound, and Fielde's (:03 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 493) in regard to other stimuli.

Unfortunately the number of individuals in a colony in this experiment was small. However, it was found (Table IV) that only a small per cent $(17,8,31,9)$ of the workers were occupied in tending the young. The per cent of workers engaged in this activity seems to be quite independent of the number of individuals in a colony.

A similar conclusion to that reached in regard to foraging (pp. 453455 ), - viz. that a few individuals are more active than the rest, is also to be drawn from my experiments on tending the young, as shown in the third column of Table III (p. 455). The methods used in experimenting allow no minus results in this activity, or in building (column 4), such as were found in foraging. Out of 46 indviduals experimented with, 7 did not share at all in tending the young, and
only 5 reacted more than half the time. On the whole, this resembles the results obtained in regard to foraging.

Figure 13 (p. 454), constructed as described on pages 455 and 456 , seems to show that there is a somewhat greater activity on the part of the smaller individuals. So far as these observations go, this might be considered, as in certain aspects of foraging (pp. 45:3-455), to be due to a great alertness of some individuals in more than one activity, which certainly exists, and will be discussed later (pp. 462-463) ; but in corroboration of field experiments on C. pictus (pp. 468-469), it also seems to me to point clearly to a greater activity on the part of the smallest ants of $C$. americanus in tending the young.

Figure 15.


Figure 16.


It is somewhat peculiar that both deilated and even winged queens, as well as workers, took part in this activity.

## 3. Building.

1. Methods. - (a) Horizontal nest. Two kinds of nest were used in investigating this part of the problem. The first was a Fielde nest, such as was used in previously described experiments. In one chamber, kept dark except during observations, was placed damp earth, collected with the ants, so as to be of an appropriate sort. The nest was nearly filled with the earth, only enough room being left to enable the auts to walk comfortably between the earth and the roof. The other chamber was exposed to daylight and contained the food. I call this the horizontal nest.
(b) Vertical nest. 'The second kind of nest (Figures 15-17) was vertical, and consisted of a plaster-of-Paris base, two end pieces of wood let into two soekets (Figure 16,d) in the base, two sides of glass, and a wooden cover. 'The base (Figure 16) was $6 \frac{1}{2}$ inches long, 212 inches wide, and 5 inch thick. The thickness of the base was increased above the level at ${ }^{\prime} 1 \frac{1}{8}$ inches at the ends $(c)$ and along the middle of its


Figure 17.
upper surface ( $b$ ). In each end thickening ( $c$ ) there was a groove, or elongated mortise ( $d$ ), which received the tenon (e, Figure 17) of the lower end of the wooden end piece. In the upper end of each of these pieces was inserted a cylindrical glass peg, $l$ (Figure 17), to hold in place the wooden cover, $k$, which had bored in it holes corresponding in size to the peg and in such positions as to hold the end pieces parallel to each other. The end pieces were $5 \frac{1}{2}$ inches long, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches wide and ${ }_{1}^{11}$ inch thick. To the inner surface of each was securely fastened a strip of wood ( $j$ ) $\frac{3}{16}$ inch thick and as wide ( $\frac{1}{2}$ inch) as the median thickening $(l)$ of the base. This strip of wood and the thickening $(l)$ of the base served as stops to keep apart the two glass plates (i, Fig-
ure 15 ), 5 inches $\times 6$ inches, which formed the sides of the chamber. When one of the glass sides had been firmly pressed against the median "stops," the two wooden strips ( $h$, Figures 17, 15) were pressed against the pane and clamped in position by steel clamps ( $m$, Figure 15), one jaw of which rested in a vertical groove ( $g$, Figure 17) cut in the edge of the end piece, as shown in section at $m$ (Figure 15). When both panes were thus clamped in position, a fairly tight chamber $\frac{1}{2}$ inch thick and 5 inches $\times 6$ inches in area was completed. This was nearly filled with earth. All wooden pieces were infiltrated with paraffin to prevent warping, as the base of the nest was occasionally placed in water to keep the

TABLE V.

| No. of the Colony | 54 | 54 | 53 | 53 | 52 | 51 | 51 | 42 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kind of Nest | H | V | H | V | V | H | V | H | V |
| No. of observations | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Av. no. of workers in colony | 22 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Per cent of workers building | 5 | 13 | 5 | 14 | 59 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 38 |
| Av. no. of winged queens in colony | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| Per cent of winged queens building | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 65 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Av. no. deälated queens in colony | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 65 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| Per cent deälated queens building | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 4 |

earth damp. In case either pane of glass needed cleaning, the nest was laid on its side with that pane uppermost, and the plate was then exchanged for a clean one by unclamping the wooden strips of that side.
2. Olservations. - The colonies observed in this activity were especially small, so that it is not safe, perhaps, to lay much stress on the results, except in relation to other work on this subject. I give them, therefore, merely as additional evidence.

From these experiments ('Table V) ${ }^{3}$ I found, again, that the per cent of individuals engaged in this activity is, as a rule, small, and is independent of the number of individuals present in the nest. In most cases (colonies 54, 53, and 42) the per cert was smaller in the

[^102]horizontal nest (II), but in colony 51 it was smaller in the vertical ( $I^{\prime}$ ). For my problem, however, this is unimportant.

In T'able III, column 4, it may be noted that out of 43 ants tested in building, five did not engage at all, while only one reacted more than half the time, the largest per cent being 59. As in tending the young, the methods employed allow only positive results.

Figure 14 (p. 454) shows a distinct correlation between size of the individual and activity. It will be noticed that this activity is almost confined to the smaller sizes ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mm} .-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.).

TABLE VI.

| Classes, |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.40-3.19 \\ \text { sq. mm. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.20-4.99 \\ \text { sq. mm. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.00-6.79 \\ \text { sq. mm. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.80-8.59 \\ \text { sq. mm. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\theta} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { E } \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 90 | 50 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 30 |
| 89 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 32 |

But here again, as in tending the young, both deälated and winged queens took part.

## 4. Fighting.

1. Methods. - It was impracticable to deal here with the colony as a whole, since it was impossible in the confusion which occurred to distinguish readily the sizes which took part. In order to discover which of four different known sizes (classes) was more active in fighting, a few individuals of each size were placed in four separate Stender dishes, one dish for each size. Each dish measured 5.5 cm . in diameter and contained the same number (given in Table VI) of ants. The limits of the head sizes of the individuals in each of the four classes is indicated in sq. mm. Into each dish in succession was introduced
for a period of thirty seconds a worker from another colony of Camponotus americamus, and the number of ants attacking it was noted for each dish.
2. Obsercations. - Table VI gives the results of these experiments. The first colum gives the number of the colony, the second the number of observations made on each colony. The results for each of the four classes of ants are shown separately : for each class the first column shows the whole number of individuals of that class under observation, the second column the per cent of activity. ${ }^{4}$
T'wo colonies were observed in these experiments, and in both cases it was found that the largest size took part slightly more often than the other sizes. They were, too, more savage in their attacks. For the first time, then, we seem to have an indication of greater activity on the part of the large workers. This might, of course, be due to differences in surrounding conditions, for in the other activities Fielde nests were used (pp. 446, 456, 458), and in fighting, Stender dishes; but in view of work done in the field on Compomentus pirtus (pp. 468-471), I hardly think that this is the explanation. It seems to me, rather. that it is due to division of labor, though here, again, the distinction is not hard and fast, as all sizes are very active, but the largest workers most so.

## 5. Relutions of Classes to Trarious Activities.

Having discussed foraging, tending the young, building, and fighting in their various aspects, attention should now be directed to the specific question of the relation between size and the various activities. If we examine Figures $12-14$ we see that, while the correlation between the size of the ants and the three first mentioned activities is not in all cases striking, nevertheless, on the whole, the smaller ants are the more active in each of these functions. This is even more evident when the curves given in Figures 12-14 are combined (Figure 18) so as to show the total activity for these three occupations for each size. In the case of fighting, on the contrary, it is the larger intividuals which show the greater activity.

It is another question whether a giren individual shares in all activities, for it is conceivable that certain individuals of a given size-elass confine their attention to one mode, and nthers of the same size-class exhibit theirs in another mode of performance. As a matter of fact, I

[^103]have frequently seen the same ant occupied first in one and then in another activity. Indeed, some individuals were about equally concerned in two or more activities. When, however, we consider all the evidence on the various activities, we are warranted in the conclusion that in fighting, the largest ants predoninate; in building, the small and middle-sized ones; in tending the young, the smaller individuals; and in foraging, all sizes except the largest. From field evidence on Camponotus pictus: I can corroborate these conclusions.

And yet it is evident that certain individuals, regardless of size, are much more alert in each activity than others; this at first sight suggests a division of labor not correlated with size differences. In Figure 19 individual ants, arranged on the axis of abcissas in the order of the size of the head, are designated by the letters of the alphabet; at the left the ordinates indicate the per cents of the possible number of times which each of these twenty-one individuals $\left(A-C^{*}\right)$ was engaged in the several activities, these being plotted as solid black lines. The upper curve shows "building," the second, "tending the young," the third, "foraging," and the fourth (bottom) is the sum of the other three curves, which thus gives the total activity of each indi-


Figure 18. vidual for these three occupations. The dotted curve shows the various head sizes in sq. mm. (length $\times$ width), the values being indicated by the figures in the right margin. It may be seen at a glance: (1) that there is no very obvious correlation between any of the activities when compared with one another (curves 1,2 , and 3 ) ; (2) that there is no close correlation between any of the various activities and the size of the head (compare curves 1 , 2 , and 3 with the dotted curve) ; and (3) that certain individuals are in general much more active than others (bottom curve). The fourth curve shows that, on the whole, the smaller individuals are the more active. While there is a slight preponderance of certain sizes ( $D=2.30$ $\mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$.) in certain activities (building), there is also an individual diligence in several activities, and this seems to be more or less independent of size.

In regard to the behavior of queens, it may be said that it was only rarely that they shared in any of the activities described above, and then it was usually under more or less exciting iuflu-


Figure 19. ences. This activity was, in all probability, a reversion in the case of deälated individuals to the condition of the queen when founding a colony, and in the cases of winged individuals to the condition in the parental nest (see Wheeler, : $06^{3}$ ).
6. Conclusions in Regard to Camponotus americanus.
From the foregoing account it appears that:

1. Males were never active in the duties of the nest.
2. The proportion of workers occupied in any given activity seems to be independent of the number of individuals in the colony, when the colony is as small as those here studied.
3. Queens, even when retaining their wings, may take part in certain activities in small colonies, although the colony has reached such an age as to contain all classes.
4. A few individuals are very constant in a certain activity, or in even more than one, the majority being much less active.
5. Some individuals are about equally concerned in two or more activities.
6. Too few individuals were available in making up Figures 12-14 to show divisiou of labor as clearly for this species as is seen in $C \cdot$ pictus
when examined in the field (pp. 467-471). There seems here to be a greater activity on the part of some individuals than of others, irrespective of size ; this is probably due to psyehological differcnces of individuals, as suggested by Fseherich (:06, p. 45). But there seems also to be some evidence of a division of labor correlated with polymorphism ; this is not marked by hard and fast lines, since all classes may share in any activity, but by a meponderauce (a) of large ants in fighting, ( 1 ) of those of medimm and small size in building, (r) of those of small size in tending the young, and (d) of those of small and mediun size in foraging.
7. 'The small and medium-sized workers are, in general, much more active than the largest ones.

## B. Cemponotus herculectmus pictus.

## i. In Barth Nests.

1. Methods. - In Barth nests, 8 inches high $\times 6$ inches in diameter, with $\frac{3}{4}$ inch between the jars, five colonies of C. herculeamus pictus were studied, all of which had been previously studied in the field, the object being to compare the two sets of studies with a view to learning if the ants behaved differently in the laboratory from what they did in the field. In other words, the field studies afforded a control for those in the laboratory. Therefore, not only those aetivities which had been unsatisfactorily examined in the field were studied, but also all others. The colonies were necessarily smaller than those in the natural nests, as it was impossible to collect the whole of a colony. I attribute to this diminution of the size of the colony the fact that, as a whole, the ants in captivity were less active than those in the field, for it will later be seen (p. 471) that in the normal state the smaller colonies of Camponotus herculeanus pictus were less active than the larger.
2. Obsercations. - In the Barth nests I have never seen any of these ants carrying in food, feeding themselves, or preparing food. More of the intermediate sizes than of any other size were seen ontside of the earth at the top of the nest, or ruming about in the bottom of the well, i. e. the inside jar, a condition which may be compared to foraging. The other two sizes about equalled each other in this activity.

In reyurgitating and receiving regurgitated food it is difficult to see in which direction the food passes. But as I have seen this process occur between all possible combinations of majors, intermediates, and minors, no one class can be the sole regurgitators; I rather suspect that it is more common for the two smaller classes to regurgitate, and for the majors to receive. The reasons are as follows: First, the vol. xlvi. - 30
majors were several times seen drumming on the heads of these two classes, both immediately before this activity took place and during its performance, whereas this drumming was never seen in the other two classes. Apparently the same stimulus is used by ants to make aphids give out secretions (Forel, '74, p. 251) and to make ants regurgitate (l. c., p. 243. See also Wheeler, :01c, p. 438). This leads me to think that it is the ant desiring food, rather than the one giving it, which does this tapping. Secondly, throughout all my observations on this species and C. cmericanu., it is the class of large ants which has less to do with collecting food than the other classes. Thirdly, the large ants are, on the whole, so much more lazy than the intermediates and minors that it is characteristic for them to take food which is easily obtained from other ants near at hand rather than to seek it or prepare it for themselves. Of course they probably do often obtain other food, and the other classes certainly sometimes receive regurgitated food; but for the reasons stated, I am inclined to think that in general the majors are more apt to receive regurgitated food, the intermediates and minors to give it. On one occasion I saw an intermediate and a queen, on another a minor and a queen engaged in regurgitation, but I am unable to say which way the food went during the process.

In licking, all three classes took part, but the majors slightiy less often. The majors were never licked, and the minors seldom, the intermediates being most often the oljects of this activity. There were not many individuals, however, which shared in this activity, so that this evidence may not have great weight by itself; it may, however, help to confirm other evidence.

Practically the same conditions in regard to tonding the young hold true here as in the same colonies out of doors, viz. that there are many minors, few intermediates and no majors so engaged. This was particularly noticeable in colony R 1s, where the nest consisted of two chambers, an upper and a lower, with a short gallery comecting the two, and another gallery leading to the surface. It was a marked fact that day after day the large and some of the intermediate ants stayed in the lower chamber, while the other intermediates and all the small ants were in the upper chamber with the larvae. Moreover, when the ants were stimulated with the heat from the electric bulb (pp. 440-443), it was nearly always the small ants which carried the larvae toward the heat, or away from it when it was too great. In regard to the chambers and galleries, I have noticed both here and in out-of-door colonies that ants do not, as a rule, collect in the galleries, but use these rather as passages; they are inclined to huddle together in the chambers.

In buildiny, both in digging and in carrying earth, it was the majors
and intermediates which performed the greater part, the minors hardly sharing at all. Digrging is here similar to that described for Phuidule pilifera (p. 185).

Carrying (and being carried) was not a frequent occurrence, and even when it did ocenr, it was, in these nests, rather a dragging than a carrying. In the few cases where it was observed, minors were dragging intermediates or majors, and no minors were dragged.

Any unemployed ants may collect in the chamber with the queen, regardless of their size, and 1 have no reason to think that one size is more apt to do this than is another.

When the nest is jarred, or the earth on top broken, ants of all sizes become much excited. Here there did not seem to be a difference in the reaction of ants of different sizes as there was in the out-door nests.

Nothing resembling guarding was observed.

## ii. In Out-door Nests.

1. Field Notes.
2. Methods. - In considering these notes it shonld be remembered that the records were made at the time the ants were captured, and therefore before any conclusions could have been deduced from them. Later, the ants were arranged in series, and they will be described further on (pp. 469-471). Thee results of these field observations are of course additional to those obtained by actually collecting and arranging other ants in series. So far as they agree with the results deduced from collected material (see pp. 469-471), they strengthen the conclusions drawn from that material. The classes had to be determined arbitrarily, and were designated as "majors," "intermediates," and "minors." The numbers were also, as a rule, only approximate, and were classed as "many," "some," and "few," except where actual numbers are given, when they always fell under "few." The approximate mumbers of individuals of each class occupied each day in each activity, then, were observed, and a summary was made first for each colony, and later a summary for all the colonies taken together. The lack of records in several of these activities (regurgitating and receiving regurgitated food, licking and being licked, and as a rule, surrounding the queen) is due to the fact that it is difficult, and often impossible, to observe them in an undisturbed out-door nest, and one must therefore depend upon as natural conditions as possible in the laboratory to show facts concerning them. Though digging is not represented here, nevertheless I succeeded in capturing ants engaged in this activity
(p. 469). Fighting is probably partially represented by "disturbed by knocking."
3. Observations. - In the out-door work on Campomotus herculeanus pictus it was evident that many more ants, probably even a greater proportion of the colony, took part in the various activities than was the case with Camponotus americanus in the laboratory ; and yet, when compared with the total population, it was noticeable that few were engaged in any kind of work at one time. This was best seen in foraging ; for no matter how many ants were in the field, when the nest was opened a vastly greater number of individuals was revealed within.

In regard to those activities which were successfully observed in the field, it was found that the intermediates and minors take a much more active part than do the majors, and on the whole, the intermediates are here slightly more active than the minors.

Except for those ants which were captured, only two, an intermediate and a major, were seen actually carrying in food.

The majors were least active in "tending the young," the intermediates more active, and the minors most so.

As for building, all sizes were seen carrying earth, thongh the two smaller sizes excelled in this, especially the minors. No ants were observed digging, except those which were captured.

The act of carrying I think is most frequently manifested when the ants are somewhat excited, either by some disturbance or by the presence of food. This activity is on the whole not very common, but in these out-of-door nests, the process seems to be one of actually carrying rather than of dragging, which was observed in the Barth nests (p. 467).

My impression is very strong that, while all classes are disturbed when the nest is knocked upon or broken into, and while all will attack an intruder vigorously, it is on the whole the majors in this species which exhibit most zest in this response. They gnash their jaws, curl their abdomens forward under the rest of the body in order to throw poison on the enemy, and appear very savage. If they once get hold of an enemy, they cling with bull-dog tenacity, keeping a firm grip with their mandibles even after death. While all this is also true to some extent of the intermediates and the minors, it is the majors which are the fiercest.

The note-book evidence, then, seems to show that the minors are the most active in tending the young, somewhat larger ants in foraging and in building, and that the majors are more or less reserved for fighting. 'There is, however, no hard and fast division between classes
either morphologically or physiologically, and therefore, all that one can say is that ants of certain sizes predominate in a given activity.

Another very striking conclusion which may be drawn from the field notes is that on the whole the majors are relatively inactive in all occupations except fighting, while the other two sizes taken together are very active. Only a small proportion of the colony is at one time occupied in any given activity.

## 2. Captured Ants.

1. Methods. - Ants of twenty-two colonies of Camponotus herrulecmus: pictus were studied in their natural out-door nests, as described on pages $467-469$. When insects from the same nests had been killed, they were, as mounted specimens, aranged in series according to size, and a permanent record of each series was secured by means of photographs showing the insects' natural size. This was done to show particular facts given below. One series consisted of ants engaged in foraging ( $a$, all the ants seen in the field; $b$, ants seen carrying food in the field); a second, tending the young; a third, building ( $a$, carrying earth; $b$, digging; $c$, digging under excitement); a fourth, surrounding the queen ; a fifth, carrying other ants ; a sixth, being carried by other ants; a seventh, fighting, i. e. rushing out when the nest was disturbed, or rumning about excitedly five minutes after the nest had been disturbed. In order to compare the various colonies studied for each activity, the ants of each colony engaged in a given activity were arranged by themselves, according to size, from the smallest to the largest. Other series were also made, where no attention was paid to colonies, all the ants taking part in each occupation being arranged in a single series.
2. Observutions. - In the case of each occupation it was found that there was a perfectly graded series of sizes, i. e. with no division into classes; this means that all sizes take part in each activity which was tested. This was especially marked when all the colonies were arranged in a single series.

But when the various occupations were compared with one another, it was found that, although ants of varions sizes shared in each activity, in some activities there is much more of a tendency for the large ants to take part, while the smaller ants are more inclined to share in other activities. The smaller ants, for example, are much more apt to tend the young, while the larger ones are more prone to rush out when the nest is disturbed. Thus, I was able to group the series representing the several activities in such a way that the series in which the small ants predominated were at one end, and those in which the
large predominated at the other. The several series fell into the following order, beginning with those in which the smallest sizes predominated: (1) tending the young, (2) foraging, including ants actually carrying food, - which was done neither by the very large nor the very small, - (3) surrounding a very young queen, carrying other ants, and being carried by other ants (the ants in these series keing of about equal oize), (4) building, (5) responding to external disturbances. It should be stated, however, that the three activities listed under 3, as well as that of actually carrying food, had only a few individuals in each. Of the other activities, tending the young was exhibited by 114 individuals, foraging by 96 , building by 42 , and responding to disturbances by 56 . A series was also made of 74 individuals which were tending the young under excitement, i. e. when their nests were disturbed; these ants were, on the whole, much larger than those which were collected under normal conditions of tending the young. To watch this activity under normal conditions is more difficult than to watch several of the other activities; but in some colonies the ants brought the young near openings in the nests, or in carrying them ifrom one part of the nest to another, had to pass exposed places, so that I was thus able to observe and capture them. I was also able on some occasions to open the nest suddenly enough to see ants tending the young before they became disturbed. Although from the shape of the heads of all sizes of individuals one would not expect to find any specialized guards, such as are found in Colobopsis (Lubbock, '82; Forel, 74 ; :03, p. 83 ; :05, pp. 453-454; Escherich, :06, p. 46 ; Wheeler, :04 ${ }^{\text {a }}$, : 10, pp. 184, 211-212), nevertheless I made an examination to see if there were any indication that special guards existed. This species does not appear to guard the entrances in any way, but, with the exception of those individuals which are going in and out, all individuals are more apt to stay quietly huddled together in chambers within the nest. By trimming off little by little the decayed wood of the nest, along the passages, working from the outside in, and taking great care to create no disturlmuce, I have succeeded in making this observation repeatedly. No cineens were engaged in any of the activities, probably because here there were a sufficient number of workers to attend to the needs of the colony.

In order to see how much influence the size of the colony had on the sort of individuals participating in certain ocenpations, I noted, at the time the ants were collected, the size of the colony. This had to be estimated ronghly, and when statements of the size of a given colony were recorded on different days, these separate estimates were considered in making up the final estimate ; colonies were classed as very
large, large, small, and very small, but none of them were so small as those of $C$. americimus, which had been previously used. The momited ants, arranged in series for each occupation according to colonies, were then compared colony with colony, keeping in mind the size of the original out-door colony from which they were captured. It was found that in regard to foraging, the sorts of individuals were practically the same, irrespe:tive of the size of the colony. There was, however, this difference in behavior, that the large colonies sent proportionately more workers into the field, probably because large colonies are apt to be less timid than small ones. Forel (74, p. 249) has also noticed this fact.

While also propurtionally more ants were active in tending the young in the large colonies, I failed to find any correlation between the size of the workers engaged in this activity and the numbers in the colony. In tending the young under excitement and in responding to disturbances, there were hardly any ants from small colonies, so that a comparison between large and small colonies could not be made for these activities. So few ants were seen bringing in food that it was impossible to ascertain whether such correlation exists in that activity or not. As it does not, however, exist in ants seen in the field, when those without food are considered together, it is highly probable that it is also wanting here. In carrying other ants, in being carried by other ants, and in carrying earth, no correlation was found.

Of course it must be remembered that, - as Wheeler (:02) found in Pheidole and Pricer (:08) in certain species of Camponotus, - in colonies which are extremely small because of poor nourishment, due to the youth of the colony or to other causes, there are no large workers, or at most only a few, and hence all the duties must be performed by the small ants. My remarks in regard to lack of correlation between the size of the celony and the sorts of ants engaged in varions activities would therefore apply only to such colonies as have all the sizes which are normal to them. Also, there are, in proportion to the whole number of active ants, rather fewer large ants engaged in most of the activities in these wild colonies than in the small colonies in artificial nests described above.

## iii. Correlation between Age and Function.

1. Methods. - When an ant emerges from the pupal state it is very light in color, but begins almost immediately to grow darker, taking, according to the species, a longer or shorter time to reach its ultimate color tone. McCook ('79, p. 20), Forel ('74, p. 262), Pérez (:00, p. 769), and Moneno (:00) claim that ants, when they first emerge, do not go out or fight, but attend to duties within the nost. That there is some
difference in regard to capability and strength of individuals of a given age in different species is stated by Wheeler (:00 b, p. 63). He says that newly hatched queens, as well as worker callows, of Stigmatomma are soon able to run about and to join in the labors of the colony ; and they are not so feeble as the callows of more specialized ants. He also says (Wheeler, :07b ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 87) that the callows of many ants confine themselves to caring for the larvae and pupae, and do not forage till later.

The difference of brightness occurs in Camponotus herculeanus pictus, especially in the thorax, and it has occurred to me to make use of the specimens collected at Randolph, N. H. (see p. 445), in order to see if there is correlation between brightness and various occupations. Of course, as Wasmann (:09b, p. 39) points out, there is some individual variation in the length of time required for the color to reach its maximum darkness, but it is probable that on the whole the lighter ants are younger, and their strength less developed.

In order to resolve this problem I proceeded as follows : The mounted specimens which had been captured at Randolph, N. H., sharing in particular activities, were first classified according to those activities, and then the ants of each activity were arranged according to color, and compared.
2. Obsercations. - On the whole, the number of light or brightly colored ants was small, but they were of all classes. When the separate activities were observed, the following facts were noticed:

1. In foraging, there were none of the very youngest, though there were a few somewhat lighter than those of the deepest color.
2. There were a few ants engaged in "tending the young" which showed signs of being cery callow, since they were of such light color that even the legs were very pale. I remember noticing when I collected these that they had not obtained their full strength. There were a good many ants of different sizes in which the thorax had a very bright color, and thus seemed to be young. Most of those which were tending the young under excitement had reached their maximum darkness, though there were a few which were fairly young.
3. Of the few ants which were taken in the act of surrounding a queen, none had obtained their full color, and yet not any were very light colored. This was probably a young brood, the first from that queen, for the queen herself showed signs of being young, and there were only a very few ants in the colony.
4. While most of the ants engaged in building were of full color, there were a very few brightly colored ones.
5. While some of the ants which were being carried were of the maximum depth of color, it is somewhat curious that the majority
were rather light-colored, and some gave evidence of being very young, their color being in gencral pale, even in the legs. On the other hand, those which were doing the carrying were almost universally dark, and none of them were very bright. Those which were being carried were probably more or less weak.
6. When those which responded to disturbanees of the nest were examined, it was found that all had at least nearly reached the maximum depth of color, and most of them had quite reached that stage.

Thus it may be seen that, as has been elaimed for other species and for ants in general, the young workers of this species sometimes build, and sometimes are carried about; but they are especially engaged in tending the young (eggs, larvae, or pupae). Occasionally young individuals, though not the youngest, carry other ants, or are found in the field, but only the fully colored ants share in defence.

## iv. Conclusions for Camponotus herculeanus pictus.

To summarize the facts coneerning Cimponotus hercnleamus pictus when studied in Barth nests or by any of the methods used in the field :

1. No males were seen to share in the duties of workers.
2. The very youngest ants take part especially in tending the eggs, larvae, and pupae, and sometimes in building, and they are apt to be carried by their companions, but they do not go into the field until they are somewhat darker than the very young stages, though they may do so while still retaining some brightness of color. The ants of this species do not, however, enter into the defence of the nest until they are dark.
3. Only a small proportion of the whole number of ants in a colony is engaged at one time in any activity.
4. Though more ants in proportion to the size of the colony are active in large colonies than in small ones, nevertheless this does not seem to make a difference in the kind of individuals engaged in different sorts of work, provided that the colony is of sufficient age to contain ants of all sizes. It must be remembered, however, that in the small colonies in artificial nests rather more large ants, in proportion to the whole number partieipating, were engaged in the varions activities than was the case in out-door nests.
5. All classes may take part in carrying food, but the intermediates are the ones which do this most. Intermediates are also more likely to be found in the field, apparently foraging.
6. While individuals of all classes regurgitate food and receive regurgitated food, it is probable that the two smaller classes, especially
the intermediates, are more addicted to regurgitating, and the majors to receiving regurgitated food.
7. In tending the young, the majors are least active, the intermediates more so, and the minors most so. When excited, larger ants enter into this activity somewhat more than they do under normal conditions.
8. In building, all sizes were seen carrying earth, though the two smaller sizes especially excelled in this. In digging, the intermediates were also the more active. The evidence in regard to building is somewhat conflicting, the field notes indicating minors and the Barth nests majors and intermediates as the more active. As the captured ants can, after all, be studied by more exact methods than those in the field, I am inclined to accept as correct the results obtained with the use of nests.
9. Carrying and being carried seem to take place most frequently when the ants are somewhat excited, either by some umatural disturbance or by the presence of food. These activities are, however, not very common. The process is sometimes one of dragging, but often it is one of actually carrying, and the minors and majors seem often to be transported by other ants, and the intermediates to be carrying them.
10. All three classes took part in licking, but the majors slightly less than the other two classes. The majors seemed never to be licked, and the minors seldom, the intermediates being most often the objects of this activity. The evidence on this activity was, however, slight; nevertheless, it is probable that majors, too, are licked.
11. Ants of all sizes may surround the queen.
12. While all classes will attack an intruder when the nest is disturbed, the majors are the most savage.
13. There is nothing in C. herculeamus pictus resembling the process of guarding seen in Colobopsis.
14. There is no hard and fast distinction between classes, either morphologically or physiologically - for in each activity there is a perfectly graded series with no break into classes - but only a preponderance of ants of certain sizes in certain activities.
15. The duties in which the small ants, i. e. intermediates and minors, excel may be called the "household duties," and foraging.
16. The majors are relatively inactive in all occupations except fighting, while the other two sizes taken together are very active.

## VI. Studies of Pheieme.

## A. Pheidole pilifera.

## i. Experiments with Ants in Aluminum Nests and Other Apparatus.

## 1. Methods in (ieneral.

I made use of four colonies of Pheidole pilifera from Cambridge, Mass. In order to ascertain whether in these ants there is any correlation between habits on the one hand and size and structure on the other, an attempt was made, as with Camponotus americanns (pp. $4.46-$ 465), to discover what proportion of each class (see pp. 438-440) took part in each of several activities.

In most cases I have endeavored to ascertain (a) the proportion of the whole number of working ants which engage in a given activity, and (b) the relation, if any, between the size of the individuals and the sort of activities which they showed ; but in fighting, in carrying, and in partaking of different kinds of food, I have considered only the second of these two matters.

All experiments, unless otherwise stated, were performed in aluminum nests ten inches long, six inches wide, and half an inch deep, kept under the conditions described on pages 440-442, and in all cases buth chambers were exposed to daylight and ordinary room temperature during observations. At other times the chamber not containing food was darkened by a pasteboard cover and the nest was placed in the heated chamber.

## 2. Feeding Themselves.

1. Methods. - To make sure that all the ants which partook of food were observed, the food, on paraffined paper, was placed in the chamber with the ants, the paper and the food being removed whenever the ants were not under observation. To give the ants an enviromment as natural as possible, the chamber in which they lived was provided with damp earth. During observations a record was made of the number of ants of each class which partook of food during one hundred and fifty periods of one minute each.
2. Obsercations. - For convenience of comparison, the results of the observations on the various activities of the several species are all placed together in Table VII. Except for fighting, carrying, being carried, and kinds of food, of which mention will be made in appropriate places, this table was compiled as follows. The first column in the table gives the number of the colony, and the first column under each activity shows the number of observations made upon the colony concerning that activity.
TABLE VII.



The second column under each activity gives the average number of workers in the colony, the fourth and sixth the average numbers of the two classes of workers (soldiers and minors, respectively) in the nest during the observations on that activity. It was necessary to take the average number rather than the total number, because of the death of some individuals between series of observations when the whole of an experiment on a colony could not be carried out at one time. In many cases this was equal to the total number of individuals at the beginning of the experiment, no deaths having occurred. The third, fifth and seventh columns under each activity give the average per cent of the workers as a whole and of the respective classes (soldiers and minors) which took part in each activity. These were found by dividing the sum of the per cents of those which were active at each observation by the total number of observations, usually 150. Dots signify that a particular experiment was not made upon the colony opposite which the dots appear, as, for instance, opposite colony S1, "feeding," "regurgitating," etc. This was usually due to the death of the whole colony before the experiment on that form of activity could be carried out, but in the case of "surrounding the queen" the absence of records is due to the fact that most of the colonies had no queen. Colonies $81,86,87$, and 91 were Pheidole pilifera; 82, 83, and 84 were $P$. dentatu; while colony 55 was $P$. cinelandicu.
()f I'heidole pilifera, only one colony (56) was tested in the matter of feeding. Of all the workers, including both soldiers and minors, the per cent engaged in feeding was small ( 0.003 per cent). In this case, the soldiers did not take part at all.

## 3. Regurgitating and lieceiving Regurgituted Food.

1. Methorls. - As these two sets of activities are necessarily reciprocal, they were noted at the same time. At tro-minute intervals the number of ants of each class engaged in regmrgitating food was recorded, and likewise the number of each class which were receiving regurgitated food. As the ants were inclined when opportunity offered to lide in the earth, a wet sponge, to provide both drinking water for them and dampness for the atmosphere, was substituted for earth. 'To prevent the ants from hiding in this sponge it was wrapped in cheese cluth, the edges of which were securely sewed together so as to leave no openings. When this was done great care had to be taken to prevent the sponge from drying. This sponge was placed in one chamber and food in the other.
2. Olservatioms. - 'Three colonies of I'heidole pilifer, were observed in these experiments. Here, again, there were very few ants ( 0.01 per
cent) actively or passively engaged, and in one of the three colonies (87) there was no such activity during my observations. In each of the other two colonies the percentage engaged in this process, was about equal, but as there was considerable difference in the whole number ( 89 and 173) of ants in these colonies, it follows that the number engaged is not closely correlated with the number of ants in the colony.

In this species I saw no soldiers either regurgitating or receiving regurgitated food. In one nest, as before stated, this activity was not seen at all; in the others, minors fed minors, 0.01 per cent giving and 0.01 per cent reseiving food in each colony. In this species, then, so far as my observations go, regurgitating is limited to the minors.

## 4. Licking and Being Licked.

1. Methods. - These two sets of activities, being also reciprocal, were observed at the same time. In one chamber was a wet sponge covered with cheese cloth; in the other, food. Observations were made once every two minutes, and the number of ants of each class, both those licking other ants, and those being licked, was recorded separately.
2. Olservations. - One hundred observations were made on each of three colonies. Here, too, only a few ants licked or were licked ( 0.2 per cent, 0.7 per cent, 0.5 per cent ; and 0.2 per cent, 0.6 per cent, 0.5 per cent), and the per cents of ants so engaged in the different colonies of the species do not agree closely. In this species of Pheidole the proportion of ants engaged seems to be independent of the total number of ants in the colony.

In all cases in this species the minors were more active than the soldiers, as the latter did not lick other ants at all. In the matter of being licked, two colonies gave more minors ( 0.2 per cent $>0$ per cent; 0.6 per cent $>0.4$ per cent), and one, more soldiers ( 0.5 per cent $<1$ per cent).

## 5. Tending the Young.

1. Methods. - In this experiment it was not practicable to have earth on the floor of the nest, as the ants were inclined to make use of the earth in which to hide their young ; therefore a wet sponge covered with cheese cloth was put into one of the chambers, while the food was placed in the other. At intervals of one minute a count was made of the number of ants of each class holding young in their mouths, or otherwise seeming to care for them. As an individual in possession of young frequently held them for a long time, the young, after each ob-
servation, were taken from any ants carrying them, and placed on the floor of the nest, so as to give all ants as nearly as possible an equal chance to pick them up.
2. Olsercations. - One hundred observations were made on a single colony of $P$. pilifera. The other colonies contained no young. In this colony only a few workers ( 2.6 per cent) were engaged in this activity, no soldiers sharing in it.

## 6. Building.

1. Methods. - In order to watch the ants while building, one chamber was provided with damp earth. This was placed on the floor of the chamber, and was of such depth that the ants could not wholly secrete themselves in it. Food was placed in the other chamber. As an aid in counting the ants, the pane of glass which covered the chamber containing earth was ruled into balf-inch squares. Observations were made at five-minute intervals in the case of one colony (81); in all other cases the interval was two minutes. At each observation a count was made of all ants of each class which were either digging or carrying earth.
2. Observations. - One hundred and fifty observations were made on colony 81 , and on the others $(86,87,91)$ one hundred each.

Although the per cent of active ants here was somewhat greater than in the activities previously considered, still it was small ( $0.8,2,0.4$, 0.2 ). It was not correlated with the whole number of ants in the colony.

In two colonies (87 and 91) the soldiers did not build at all, and in one of the others (86) the minors were the more active ( 2 per cent $>$ 1 per cent), in the remaining colony (81) the soldiers were the more active ( 0.6 per cent $<1.4$ per cent). Considering all the colonies together, the greater activity was decidedly on the part of the minors.

## 7. Surrounding the Queen.

As there were no queens in any of the colonies of Pheidole pilifera, I was obliged to omit observations in regard to this subject on this species.

## 8. Guarding.

1. Methods. - For the purpose of observing which individuals served as guards to protect or warn the colony in time of danger, the ants were allowed to build a nest of earth in one chamber; food was placed in the other. In order to stimulate the ants and to discover whether any individuals were stationed as guards at the entrances of the earth
nest, and if so, to which chass or classes they belonged, the entrances to the nest were probed with a bristle either once every five minutes (colony 81 ) or once every minute (colonies $56,87,91$ ). The number of ants of each class emerging from these entrances at each observation was recorded. Often the first individual to come from the entrance attacked the bristlo with widely opened jaws, in some instances even elinging to the bristle. Sonctimes, however, it rushed out excitedly, with open jaws, and then huried back into the hole, whence it often emerged again, sometimes followed almost immediately by one or more other ants. In such cases the class to which these accompanying ants belonged was also recorded, though they were not considered as belonging among the original guards.
2. Observations. - Four colonies of Pheidole pilifera were experimented on by making one hundred and fifty observations on colony 81 , and one hundred observations on each of colonies 86,87 , and 91 .

Only a very small per cent $(1) ; 0.2 ; 0.1 ; 0.3$ respectively) were engaged in this activity, one colony giving no response ; moreover, the number was not correlated with the whole number of ants in the colony.

In two of the responsive colonies (86 and 87) of this species, the soldiers were not represented; in the other they were slightly more active than the minors $(0.2>0.03)$; but we may say of this species that on the whole the minors were the more active.

## 9. Fighting.

1. Methods. - In this activity it was impossible to deal with per cents of the colony as a whole, becanse when an enemy was introduced, all the ants of the colony rushed about in such a lively and excited manner that it was out of the question to count even those which attacked it. Accordingly the soldiers of the colony were placed in a Stender dish, 5.5 cm . in diameter, and an equal number of minors were placed in another Stender dish of the same size. If a minor was killed, another was put in its place, but if a soldier was killed, the number of minors was reduced by removing one of the minors, so that the number of ants in the two dishes was constantly equal. The observations consisted in counting for one-minute periods the number of ants clinging to an enemy which was placed for that length of time alternately with the soldiers and with the minors. The enemy introduced was an ant from a colony of Camponotus americanus.
2. Obsercations. - Fifty observations were made on each of two colonies of Pheidole pilifera (colonies 86 and 87). Under "Fighting," and "Carrying and Being Carried," in 'Table VII the first column gives vol. xlvi. - 31
the number of observations, the second and fourth the average number of soldiers and of minors respectively used in the experiment, and the third and fifth columns the average per cents of soldiers and of minors respectively which took part in this activity.

It will be noticed that in fighting, the per cents of ants attacking an enemy are fairly large ( $27.9,18.7 ; 25.6,6.3$ ) and that the soldiers were much more active than the minors.

## 10. Carrying and Being Carried.

1. Nethods. -These activities occurred so rarely that I have not experimented in regard to them. However, when I have seen one ant carrying another, I have recorded the fact, as well as the class to which each belonged, and the number of each class present in the colony at the time of the observation, so that I might judge of the proportions, which would be in some degree comparable with those in the other activities. Though these observations were few, perhaps too few to make it safe to draw conclusions from them when they stand by themselves, nevertheless, in connection with other observations on the same activities, they may have some value.
2. Obsercutious. - Carrying was observed to take place in only one colony (56) of $P$. pilifera. In this colony no soldiers were active in either carrying or being carried. The per cent of minors ( 7.3 per cent) engaged in carrying and being carried was therefore the same, and one table answers for both.

## 11. Partaking of Different Kinds of Food.

1. Wethods. - According to the accounts of Reichenbach ('96, p. xcv ) and Wheeler (:02, p. $77(0)$, it seems probable that different classes of ants have different tastes in regard to various kinds of food and different ways of treating it. Accordingly, while making my other observations on feeding, I have tried to discover whether one class interests itself in certain kinds of food and the other class in other kinds. 'To this end I recorded the class to which each ant seen at the food belonged, and also the kind of food of which it was partaking.
2. Olsercations: - One colony (86) of $P$. pilifera was observed, and in this colony only one ant (a soldier) ate, its food being a mealworm. During these observations no ant ate grass-seed. That this species does eat grain, and even grass-seed, I feel sure from Wheeler's (:05d, p. 380 ) observations and from my own observations in the field; morcover Whecler states that in the grain-storing species of Pheidole, the soldiers act as seed crushers for the community.

## 12. Relation of (heswes to Verious Acticities.

From the foregoing accome it is evident that, at least muler the conditions employed, both classes of I'luidule pilifira share in monst of the activities which were examined. In treatment of seed, no activity was shown by either class. The soldiers of this species took 110 part in either feeding, tending the young, regurgitating, receiving regurgitated food, licking, carrying or being carried ; but they were more active than the minors in fighting. In being licked, the two classes shared nearly eqnally, but in all other activities the minors excelled (feeding, regurgitating, licking, tending the yomg, building, and carrying), wecnpations which may be called "household duties." Carrying, in which the minors alone engaged, may be correlated with the size of the head, for the body of a minor would be better balanced when performing this duty than wonld that of a soldier. Guarding, though shared apparently to a greater extent by the minors, seems to be more or less accidental, and does not here consist - as in Colobopsis and a species of Pheidole recorded by Reichenbach - of blocking the entrances of the nests with the head. If it did, we should expect to find always the same sort of worker at the same opening, which is not the case in any of the species of Pheidole which I have studied. The act of guarding seems here to be rather the result of the fact that, as ants pass in and out, or are otherwise near an opening, they rush out when disturbed. Now, the soldiers are not apt to leave the nest, and hence are probably not as often near the openings, which would account for the greater number of minors found guarding.

But if the minors are pre-eminently occupied with household duties, the soldiers seem to be concerned with occupations requiring strength of jaw, such as fighting, and though the minors help them in this, there is much difference between the two classes in regard to this activity. Moreover, when in the presence of the enemy, the soldiers ran about snapping their jaws in a much more ferocious way than did the minors, and they never ran away, whereas the minors frequently did. We may, then, say that in this species the minors are, in general, more concerned with "household duties," and the majors with fighting, and, as Wheeler has shown, with crushing seed.

## ii. Studies of Pheidole pilifera in Barth Nests.

1. Methods. - The methods here employed were exactly like those described for Camponotus pictus (pp. 443 et seq., 465 ), except for the difference in size of the nests. These nests were 5 inches in diameter,
$4 \frac{3}{4}$ inches high, and had $\frac{1}{4}$ inch space between the two jars. Two colonies were under observation.
2. Obsercations. - There were neither queens nor young of Pheidole pilifera in the nests, and hence evidence on the activities of surrounding the queen and tending the young is necessarily wanting. Only a small part of the colony took part at any one time in any of the activities observed.
'Though I several times tried the same experiment with the mealworm which was tried in the field (p. 486), I never got a response. This experiment consisted in placing a meal-worm near the nest, and notieing whether either class broke it to pieces.

Unfortunately the ants all died before I was able to try any experiments on fighting. I had purposely left this experiment till the last, because of its destructive outcome. However, if responding to a disturbance of the nest caused by knocking on the glass or tampering with the earth at the top of the nest be any indication, it may be said that both elasses respond pretty generally every time; but the soldiers seem to be much more excited than the minors by such stimuli.

I did not see any seavengers in these nests.
In regard to foraging, the minors were seen in the well and on top of the earth much oftener than the soldiers ; the latter kept almost wholly underground.

No soldier was ever seen carrying in food or preparing seed, but a few minors were noticed doing both of these things, especially the earrying of food. Once, however, when a minor brought in a seed and placed it near a soldier, the latter became excited, waving its antemae in the air. Presently it left the chamber, but returned almost immediately, and for a short time remained near the seed perfectly quiet. Soon it left again, and did not return while I watehed the nest; where it went I was unable to see. It did not at any time touch the seed, and the cause of its exeitement may have been something wholly different. Once I saw a soldier carry a seed from one part of the nest to another; and on another occasion four small ants each tried unsuccessfully to drag a soldier toward a pile of seed. When the small ants broke up seed, they sometimes pulled at different parts of the same husk, and sometimes each attacked a seed alone, pulling off pieces of the husk with its jaws. Chaff was commonly found in the deeper parts of the nest and under the well. "Though "breakfast foods" of various kinds ("Quaker" oats, hominy, rice, corn meal) were placed on the surface of the nests, I never saw the ants split these. They were carried in, however, especially the Quaker oats, but the ants seemed to much prefer grass-seed.

On only a few occasions did I see minors feeding themselves, and soldiers never; nor did I see the latter receive any regurgitated food.

The small ants were much more likely to lick other ants than were the soldiers, of which I saw only one engaged in this performance. The minors were just as much inclined to lick one class as the other.

Thongh I did not see many ants engaged in building, there was a division of this kind of labor between the two classes. The carrying of earth was all done by the minors, whereas all the digging observed was the work of soldiers (four individuals, not all from the same colony). The digging consisted of using the front feet, much as a dog does in digging a hole in the gromid, and also in breaking off pieces of earth with the jaws. I have also seen soldiers, by squeezing their way through narrow passages in the galleries, loosen earth, which the minors then carried away.

Only minors have been seen dragging other ants, and only soldiers were being dragged. Had those which were being dragged been small enough, they probably would have been carried, for this activity seems to correspond to that which is properly called "carrying" in cases where the ants being transported are small ; such, for example, as were observed in this species, both in aluminum nests and in the field, and likewise in Camponotus (p. 468).

To sum up the facts concerning the relative participation of the two classes in the various activities as far as observed in Barth nests, it may be stated that all the digging was done by soldiers, and that they were more excited in responding to disturbances of the nest, but that in all other functions they were less active, not sharing at all in some of them. There was no evidence of guarding.

## iii. Out-door Work on Pheidole pilifera.

## 1. Methods.

Essentially the same methods were used in studying Pheidole piliferce as in that of Camponotus pictus, except that, owing to the distinctness of classes, it was possible to make and record final observations as to class without the necessity of killing and preserving for subsequent study so large a number of ants observed in the different activities. There are occasionally individuals intermediate in form between the extreme classes, but they are so rare as to cause no danger of confusion. I have had so little chance to observe them that I am not prepared to say just what their functions are. Frequently records were made of the number of ants of each class in a colony seen engaged in a given occupation during a certain period, usually of
five minutes' duration. Sometimes ants of this species mere eaptured and preserved in alcohol and then mounted in series, as described above for Camponotus (p. 469). Eighteen colonies were studied.

## 2. Olsercations.

1. Field notes. - In these studies, as in those on Cremponotus pictur, certain activities were not recorded. This was due to the fact that, for the most part, these activities are carried on below ground, and bence are not to be seen without disturbing the nest.

In regard to the other activities, it appeared that here as in Campomotus pictus when stadied in the field, the proportion of ants engaged in any activity at one time was very small in relation to the total number of ants belouging to the colony. On the whole, the minors are much more alert in all activities, it being only rarely that the soldiers take any part at all. Thus, carrying food, tending the young, carrying other ants, fighting and seavengering are functions in which only the minors take part; while in other duties (foraging, cutting up mealworms, carrying earth, and being carried), though both classes participate, the minors exhibit the greater activity. I have never seen the soldiers eating in the field. There is, moreover, no function which belongs exclusively to the soldiers. The question is whether there is any function to which this class is exclusively adapted. Wheeler (:02, p. 750) says that in Pheidole, the soldiers of the grain-storing species crusk seed, breaking up the hard shells, while those of the carnivorous species cut up the hard chitinous joints of insects. He states that, in some species, the soldiers deserve their name, while in others they are cowardly. I have never seen I'heidule pilifers in the field tearing seeds apart or crushing them. As to cutting to pieces large insects, I have frecquently seen the soldiers pass by large inseets which they found in the field, or the meal-worms which I placed near the entrances of the nests, whereas the same food was frequently carried in by the minors, cither ins separate bits or as a whole, by the combined action of a number of ants. Only once have I seen a soldier engaged in cutting up an insect. On this occasion I placed a mealworm, which was dry and had been partly eaten by its comrades, near the entrance of the nest where many minors were going and coming. Ahost immediately two minors began to feed on it, and others soon joined them. Ifter five minutes, when one had eaten, it entered the nest, and almost immediately a number of other minors emerged and bergan to eat the meal-worm. Then they began to break off bits of the insect and to carry it into the nest. All the ants which emerged from the entrance now went to the meal-worm. After fifteen minutes the
first soldier made its appearance, and with its huge jaws began to cut the meal-worm, always returning to the nest after every three or four cuts had been made. After a time the ants tried to carry the whole carcass into the nest, but found it too large, so they only succeeded in moving it to the entrance. I do not feel sure whether or not the soldier carried any pieces into the nest, but the minors certainly did, and they also broke off little bits. If the soldier carried nothing lack into the nest, I am at a loss to explain why it kept returning there. This is the only time I have seen such a performance. It would seem that while the solliers of this species sometimes cut up insects in the field, it is not very common for them to do so ; but perhaps this sort of work is carried on to a greater extent within the nest.

In regard to fighting, I tried a number of times throwing down a colony of Lasius (sp ?) upon a Pheidole nest. Sometimes no fight followed, the ants of the two species not happening to meet each other; but when a struggle did ensue, the soldiers were much more sluggish than the minors, which attacked Lasius vigorously. Even when the soldiers came in contact with the enemy, they did not succeed in grasping them, though they snapped at them. Possibly the Lasius workers were too quick for them, or the jaws of the Pheidole soldiers are not adapted for grasping the legs of Lasins. However, I have alreaty (pp. 481-482) given evidence to show that the soldiers of Pheidule pilifera do fight. Forel ('74, p. 246) deseribes the different manner in which ants fight with enemies larger or smaller than themselves. When the enemy is larger, the small ants try to grasp its antennae or its legs, which is just what happened when Pheidole was fighting with Camponotus. But Lasius, though slightly larger than Pheidole, was more nearly of the size of Pheidole, and the small workers were therefore better able to cope with Lasius than with Camponotus.

From the field-notes on Pheidole pilifera, then, it appears that the minors are, on the whole, much more active than the soldiers. The soldiers in the field appeared very sluggish, moved more slowly than the minors, and were rarely occupied.
2. Captured Ants. - The studies on captured specimens of Pheidole pilifera yielded the following results: Out of a total number of 258 ants collected in the field, around the natural nests, only four were soldiers. Of these 288 ants, 92 minors and only one soldier carried food. There were thirty-seven minors and no soldiers captured building, i. e. carrying earth out of the nests; five minors and no soldiers tending the young under conditions causing excitement; one soldier being earried, and two minors carrying. From this it is evident that,
at most, the soldiers take little part in these occupations. Of the minors which were taken empty-mouthed in the field, one was hardly half the normal size, and was very light in color.

## iv. Conclusions from all Studies on Pheidole pilifera.

1. Only a small portion of the whole colony is engaged in any of the activities of the colony at any one time, whether observed in the laboratory or in the field, though in the field the proportion is larger than in the laboratory.
2. The proportion of ants engaging in any particular activity is probably not closely correlated with the number of ants in the colony, though in very large colonies more ants take part than in very small ones.
3. The size of the colony does not seem to make much difference as to kind of ants engaging in the various activities, so long as both classes are present, and there is not great difference in the sizes of the colonies. Moreover, the classes behave in essentially the same manner in this respect whether the colonies are studied in the laboratory or in the field. There are, however, more ants of each kind active in the out-door nests than in the artificial ones, and on the whole, a greater proportion of soldiers are active in the laboratory than in the field.
4. The minors were much more active than the soldiers in obtaining food for themselves.
5. No soldiers were seen regurgitating or receiving regurgitated food, but minors regurgitated to minors.
6. No individuals were seen to eat grass-seed, but a soldier ate 'meal-worm when caged in an aluminum nest.
7. Minors alone took part in carrying in food, with the exception of one soldier which was captured, though soldiers were occasionally seen in the field, and once a soldier was observed carrying a seed from one part of a Barth nest to another.
8. In scavengering, only minors took part.
9. No soldiers broke or crushed grass-seed, though such seed is found in the nests, and minors carry it home. Minors, however, have been seen breaking seed.
10. Both soldiers and minors were seen cutting up a meal-worm.
11. The minors were active in licking, but I saw only one soldier taking part in this occupation, this one being in a Barth nest. Both classes were licked, but the minors somewhat more frequently than the soldiers.
12. No soldiers showed any evidence of taking part in tending the
young, even in the out-door colonies when excited, but the minors were fairly active.
13. The greater activity in building was decidedly on the part of the minors, though both classes carried earth and both dug. Probably the soldiers, with their large jaws, are better fitted to do this work than they are to share in some other functions, such as tending the young, for example, and hence their relatively increased activity in this duty.
14. 'There was no guarding of the kind observed in Colobopsis by Wheeler and by Forel. However, when the entrances of the nests were disturbed, both classes were inclined to rush out, though the minors were somewhat the more active.
15. When confined in Stender dishes it was found : First, that the proportion of ants engaged in fighting is unusually large for both soldiers and minors. This is probably due in part to the difference of methods from those employed for the other activities, the ants being here confined in so small a space as to have a very large chance of stimulation; and in part to the nature of the activity, which is of such a character that we should expect a large proportion of individuals to share in it. Secondly, the soldiers were much more active in fighting than were the minors, and they were more successful in grasping the legs and antennae of Camponotus, while the minors seized the body hairs of their enemies. On the other hand, in the field, the minors seemed more successful in fighting with Lasius. The soldiers were much more active in responding to disturbances caused by knocking on the nest.
16. In aluminum nests no soldiers were active in carrying or being carried. In Barth nests, soldiers were dragged more commonly than minors, but did not drag or carry other ants.
17. The minors show greater activity in foraging and in all "household duties."
18. The minors are much more lively in those activities in which they share than are the soldiers.
19. There is no hard and fast line between the duties undertaken by separate classes, and in most duties all individuals are capable of sharing. There is, nevertheless, in each activity a preponderance of ants of a certain class taking part.

## B. Studies on Other Species of Pheidole.

1. Methods. - Three colonies of Pheidole dentata, from Austin, Texas, and one of Pheidole vinelandica, from Highlands, New Jersey, were studied in exactly the same way as Pheidole pilifera in aluminum
nests and other apparatus, except for slight differences in the number of observations or in the length of time of the observations. I had no opportunity for studying these ants by the other methods used on ${ }^{1}$. pilifera.
2. Otsercations. - Since the conclusions for these two species in the main closely resembled those for $P$. pilifera, I have considered them merely as a control, and I shall give only the points in which they differ from $P$. pilifera. The numerical values are given in Table VII.

## I. Pheidole dentata.

1. In Pheidole dentata, though the minors were more active in regurgitating, as also in receiving regurgitated food, soldiers appeared to take some part in receiving regurgitated food.
2. Occasionally soldiers were seen carrying larvae.
3. No soldiers were observed carrying other ants, and only minors were carried.
4. Only minors ate meal-worms. Both classes ate honey.
5. No soldiers and no minors were seen to crush, break, or eat grassseed. 'This is what we should expect, since Pheidule dentuta is a purely carnivorous species.

## II. Pheidole vinelandica.

1. Surrounding the Queen. (a.) Methods. - This was the only species in which I had a colony containing a queen. The ants of this genus have, like other ants, a tendency to gather in groups around the queen. The number of ants of each class sharing in this grouping was noted once every five minutes. To keep the ants from secreting themselves under the sponge, thus making observation difficult, the sponge was removed. There was food in one chamber.
(b.) Otsercations. - Only 41 observations were made on the colony of $/$ '. cinelundicu, because the queen died before further observations were possible. The proportion of workers surrounding the queen was somewhat higher ( 12.4 per cent) than in any of the other activities.

In this case both classes shared in the activity, but the minors were more active than the soldiers ( 13.4 per cent $>11.9$ per cent).
2. 'Ilough the soldiers, when confined in artificial nests, seemed more active in feeding, the minors of this species appeared to me to be much more numerons around the natural nest in the field, even in proportion to their numbers in the colony. If more than one colony had been accessible at the time of the experiments in the artificial nest, the results with this species might have resembled those with the other species more than these records show. There are two possible expla-
nations for the apparent greater activity of the soldiers of this species in feeding: First, the amome of difference between the two classes may not be real, buth being about equally concerned in this activity. Secondly, the greater aetivity of the minors in the field may be due to the fact that they collect more food than they need for themselves, and later regurgitate it from their crops to other individuals. In the case of colony is they may have taken only enough for themselves, so that they were here less active than usual, and in conschuence, the soldiers appeared relatively more active. In view of the facts that the minors usually regurgitate and soldiers receive, that soldiers at best give out food only very seldom, and that soldiers of I'heidele piliferc, and probably also of this species, appear only rarely in the field, I am inclined toward the second explanation.
3. The soldiers were more licked than were the minors.
4. 'Though, as in $P^{\prime}$. pilifere, the minors were most concerned in tending the young, on one occasion a soldier was seen with young in its mouth.
5. In surrounding the queen, both classes took part, the minors being somewhat more active than the soldiers. This was the only colony of Pheidole in which this activity was tested.
6. 'Though the nature of guarding resembled that found in P. piliferu, the soldiers in $P$. vinelandica seemed much more active than the minors.
7. Though carrying and being carried were looked for, no cases were observed.
8. No individuals of either class crushed or broke up grass-seed.

## VII. Discussion.

Having given in the historical part of this paper some account of the work of other writers on division of labor among ants, and having recorded my own observations on several species, we may now state such conclusions concerning the division of labor as seem warranted by the facts already established.

1. It will be remembered that Forel ('95, p. 146) and Escherich (:06, p. 45) state for ants in general that polymorphism goes hand in hand with division of labor. Many examples of this are cited in the historical part (II) of this paper.

Of the species which I have studied, Camponotus americanus and C. herculermus pictus are examples of a graded series of workers extending from the smallest to the largest with no break in the line, and in many characteristics the queen closely resembles the largest worker.

Pheidole pilifera, $P$. vinelandica and $P$. dentata, on the contrary, belong to the group described by Emery ('94, p. 55) as having two classes of workers, the extremes not being connected by intermediates.

The large size of the larger workers of Camponotus, especially the great size of the head and its disproportionate width, which are correlated with greater strength of jaw, adapts them particularly for combatting enemies and for work requiring great strength. The small workers, owing to their size, are better adapted to pursuits which require agility. However, their jaws and the general proportions of their bodies probably fit them better for carrying loads, such as larvae, pupae, earth, wood, food, etc. In the workers, the position of the eyes, the depth of the head, and the shape of its posterior margin are probably caused by the greater development of the clypeus and the mandibles. But in all these structures there is a gradual transition in size from one end of the series to the other.

In Pheidole pilifera, however, the case is different. Though the same parts of the head that are variable in C. herculeanus pictus are also subject to variation in this species, there is not a graded series; there are only three well-marked conditions, a queen, a large worker and a small worker. The small worker, especially because of its weak mandibles, which are toothed, seems adapted to only the lighter work of the colony, while the soldier, with its peculiar mandibles, is better adapted for heavy work or fighting. The queen resembles in some respects the small worker, in others the large one. The characters of the queen which least resemble those of the small worker, become especially prominent in the soldier, which has, in addition, certain modifications of structure depending on the enormously developed mandibles. It seems that we have a case in harmony with the view of Emery ('94) and of Wheeler (:07b;:10), that the large workers resembling the queen were the primitive form, that the small workers were developed later as the result of gradual diminution in size, and that the intermediate forms then disappeared, leaving the extremes as two separate classes, and that in addition the large workers became enormonsly developed along certain lines.
2. These forms, Camponotns and Pheidole, have been compared with each other for the purpose of discovering, if possible, whether there is any difference in the distribution of functions in the classes of the two genera. With this end in view a larger number of activities has been studied in each group than has hitherto been examined in any one species. Though it was found that artificial conditions made some difference in the proportion of active ants, there does not seem to be
much difference in respect to the sort of individuals which participate most in each occupation, for the results in this respect seem to agree fairly well for each species, whether studied in the laboratory or in the ficld. This statement must, of course, be understood as applying to such colonies only as have attained sufficient size to contain individuals of all classes. Furthermore, it was found in both Camponotus and Pheidole that in the snall colonies which were used in the laboratory the larger ants did more work than they do in the field. This is probably due to a reversion on their part to queen instincts (p. 464). It was found that in both genera the investigated activities of the classes generally overlap ; that is, the activities are not limited exclusively to one class, but probably all individuals share more or less in all activities. In general, however, there appears to be in certain activities a preponderance of large ants, and in certain others of small ones. For example, in Camponotus americanus the small individuals seem to be engaged especially in tending the young, the minors and intermediates together in building, the intermediates in foraging, and the majors in fighting. In C. pictus the minors are especially engaged in tending the young ; the intermediates in carrying food, in foraging, and in carrying other ants ; and the minors and intermediates together in regurgitating (probably), in building, and in licking other ants. In Pheidole pilifera there is no intermediate class, but all these duties are performed by the small workers. Moreover, when, as occasionally happens, intermediates are found, they more often approximate the size of the small than the large workers, and even the shape of the head is not wholly like that of the soldiers. In Camponotus there is a slightly greater break between the functions of the majors and those of other ants than there is anywhere else in the worker series, though there is less difference in structure between the extremes than there is in Pheidole. Nor is there as much difference in behavior between the majors and minors of Camponotus as between those of Pheidole. It therefore seems as if the two classes of smaller individuals, the minors and intermediates, taken together, share in the work which in Pheidole is accomplished by the small ants. Again, the soldiers of Pheidole and the majors of Camponotus both seem to take a more active part in fighting than do the other workers of either genus. In some species of Pheidole, however, according to Wheeler (:02, p. 770), the soldiers are cowardly and not inclined to fight. Furthermore it has been established by Wheeler (l. c.) that in Pheidole the soldiers of some species prepare insect food, or grain, so that it may be carried home or eaten. I, also, have seen some evidence of this. The small workers, as I have shown, also undoubtedly share in this. It is seldom that
the soldiers of Pheidole are seen in the field, and when they are, they are usually idle.
3. It was found in Camponotus americanus and I have no reason to doubt it is likewise true of the other species of this genus and of the species of Pheidole which I studied, and, indeed, for ants in general) that a few individuals were much more active than the others, not only in foraging, as was proved by Lubbock ('82) for certain species, but also in building and in tending the young; and these more active ants were not all of the same size. Moreover, some of them were about equally concerned in more than one activity. This is probably due to such individual psychical differences as those to which Escherich (:06, p. 45) refers, and is in agreement with the statement of Fielde (:03 ${ }^{\text {d }}, \mathrm{p} .621$ ) that "a few individuals among ants do most of the work undertaken."
4. In both Camponotus and Pheidole, there were many more ants in the colony than were active at any one time. This was true not only in artificial nests, but also, though to a less extent, in the field. Lubbock ('82) found this limitation of activity to be very striking in his artificial nests of Formica fusca and Polyergus cuntaining "the usual slaves," though the numbers of ants in his colonies were much smaller than those in mine. The artificial conditions, which were unavoidable in such nests as either of us have used, must evidently be responsible for the very small number of ants which were active under these circumstances, as compared with the conditions out of doors. But the proportion of active ants in my colonies was much larger than in his. Although it was found that the proportion of inclividuals which were active in natural nests was much greater than in the artificial neste of the laboratory, nevertheless, when compared with the size of the whole colony, the number is surprisingly small even in the natural nests. In Camponotus it is, to judge from my own observations, somewhat smaller than in Pheidole.

It was also found that the number of individuals which are active in a colony is not closely correlated with the whole number in the colony, for often more ants are found to be active in a very sinall colony than in one slightly larger. It is certain, however, that if a very large and a very small colony are compared, the large colony will be found to have many more individuals at work than the small one.
5. In regard to the kind of work done by individuals at different ages, it may be said that my studies on C. herculcanus pictus confirm the statements of Forel ( $\mathbf{7 4}, \mathrm{p} .262$ ), Peréz (:00, p. 769), Wheeler (:07b, p. 57), and others, that ants when they emerge from the pupal state attend especially to nursing and somewhat to other duties within the
nest, but that they do not go into the field until they have nearly reached their darkest color, and that they do not fight until they have completely attained that condition. It has been stated by Fielde (:03c, p .321 ) that if ants from different colonies, even of different species, are placed together within twelve hours after hatching, and if they touch all the other ants with their antennae during the three days following, they will live together peaceably. She further states (Fielde, :02, p. 541) that before an ant is five days old it has all its reflexes determined. The fact that ants when very young do not fight at all would account for the possibility of forming mixed colonies, as just deseribed. Probably by the time their fighting instincts are developed, they have become so used to one another that they have no inclination to fight. The same would probably also be true of ants emerging from the pupal state under similar conditions in out-door colonies.
6. Some attention was paid to the kinds of activity in which the queens of colonies of various sizes engaged, in order that queens and workers might be compared. While the queens of those species of Camponotus which were studied are capable of bringing up colonies and of doing all the work necessary for this, it was found that in large colonies they commonly serve merely as egg-layers, except possibly in time of danger ; but in small colonies, even in those which are of sufficient age to contain workers of all sizes, their instincts occasionally cause them to revert to other occupations, and to share in such activities as building and tending the young. Indeed, Wheeler (:04a, p. 156) found a queen of Colobopsis guarding the entrances of the nest, and says (:03", p. 45), "So far as I was able to observe, both the virgin and the de:ilated females of Leptothorax behaved in all respects like the workers," and, "it should also be noted that in artificial nests consisting exclusively of female Myrmicas and Leptothorax colonies, the former behaved in nearly all respects like the workers." In Trachymyrmex septentrionalis he (Wheeler, : $06^{\text {b }}$, p. 99) saw old, deälated females doing work, and he also reports (:07a, p. 741) the same fact for Atta (Trachymyrmer) turrifex. McCook ('79, p. 148) states that the queen of Pogonomyrmex probably assists somewhat in the nursing of the young, and may contribute something of her strength to the extension of the formicary bounds. This reversion of the queen to her earlier occupatious seems to hold true not only for deälated individuals, which must work when founding a colony, but also for winged queens. That the work in the case of the latter is due to virgin instincts, seems probable from Wheeler's statements $\left(: \mathbf{0 6}^{a}\right)$. The deälated and winged queens do not seem to differ in respect to those activities which were noted. Possibly if I had used some such species as Formica consocians (Wheeler,
:04 ${ }^{\text {b }} ;: 05^{\text {a }}$, p. 5 ), the group of which Formica rufa is the centre (Wheeler, : $05^{b}$ ), or Polyergus (Wheeler, : $05^{3}, \mathrm{p} .3$ ), - where the queen cannot bring up her first brood, but must depend for that on ants of another species, - the queens would not have been found engaged in any work; but Wheeler $\left(: 08^{3}\right.$, p. 65$)$ makes the statement that even queens which camot bring up their first brood show threptic instincts. The essential rôle of the queen, however, even in Camponotus herculeanus pictus and C. americanus, seems to be egg-laying rather than attending to any other duties, and she is, in consequence, rather passive, and is never found outside the nest except at the time of swarming. I had no opportunity to study the queen of Pheidole pilifera, for I have never seen a queen of this species outside the nest, and only once found one in the ground. They seem to be very timid and shy, and I have no reason to think that they take an active part in the work of the nest, even though, according to Wheeler (:02, p. 768), they can found their own colonies.
7. In regard to the class or classes which do most of the work, it is noticeable that in both species of Camponotus the two smaller classes, and in all the species of Pheidole studied the small workers, predominated in all the "household duties" and in foraging. Furthermore, they move with greater rapidity and seem in every way much more lively, except when a fight occurs. On the other hand, the large workers in both genera were relatively sluggish. When the nature of polymorphism is considered, this seems to be most reasonable, for the large workers, especially in Camponotus, are much more like the queen in structure than are the small workers. Pricer (:08) has recently shown that in Camponotus, at any rate, the large workers make their appearance in a colony only slightly before the sexual forms, while the small workers appear as the first brood, and Wheeler (:02) several years ago stated the same fact for Pheidole. As soon as this first brood has reached maturity, the queen gives over all the work to them, and thereafter is even less active than the largest workers. But when a colony has reached sufficient size to produce large workers, there are more individuals than are needed to carry on the work, and the large individuals, being less well adapted for the various occupations, come to take on not only the queen's duties, some of them laying eggs, butalso approach her in instinct, becoming less employed than the smaller workers. We have already seen that it is especially in times of danger that the queen's instinct to work returns to her (Escherich, :06, p. 45 ; Wheeler, :05 ${ }^{\circ}, p .271$ ), and that she then becomes more active; or, if a queen is deprived of her first brood (Wheeler, :06 ${ }^{2} ;: 10, \mathrm{p} .52 \mathrm{z}$ ), she begius to bring up another brood, precisely as in the first instance, pro-
vided her body still contains sufficient food-tissue. I find that the large workers and the soldiers resemble the queens in being active in time of danger, for then they come to the front and enter vigorously in most species even more vigorously than the small workers - into the defence of the colony, and in small colonies they share more in the work.
'This passivity of the large workers, except in ease of need, seems to be a valuable adaptation for the safety of the colony as a whole, in that there are thus always a certain number of well-adapted individuals which are ready to put all their efforts into the defence in a sudden emergency. The other workers may be more or less spent by their occupations, but the large workers, or soldiers, as the case may be, are continually fresh and ready for the fray. Another way in which this passivity on the part of the soldiers seems a profitable adaptation is in guarding, especially in such species as Colobopsis. Were the soldiers of a lively disposition, it would be impossible for them to remain for a long time quietly keeping their heads in the entrances of the nests, and hence a much larger number of individuals would be necessary to earry on this work.
8. Males were never active in Camponotus, and none were found in Pheidole.
9. All observers seem to agree, more or less completely, that feeding in the larval stage is in some way responsible for differences of classes in ants, the chief reasons for such a view being as follows : (a) Such differences have been shown to be the result of feeding in both bees and termites. (b) When a young queen ant of a species in which the workers are polymorphic establishes a colony, she necessarily rears her workers with a small amount of food ; this first brood always consists of small individuals. (c) Poorly nourished larvae do not starve, but pupate and become small ants. As some organs begin to develop at one stage of larval growth and others at other stages, it follows that some organs are likely to become influenced by lack of food more than others. In ants provided with meagre nourishment, nutrition is often not sufficient to stimulate certain organs to develop at all. The consequent difference in structure results in the establishment of classes. This may be seen in cases where there is a long series of intermediate forms, as well as in cases where the classes are more obviously separated from one another, for Wheeler (:02) has shown that there is less variation in cases where there is not a plentiful food supply. He (Wheeler, :10, p. 106) also states that "the grossly mechanical withdrawal by parasites of food substances already assimilated by the larva produces changes of the same kind as those which distinguish the worker from vol. xlvi. -32
the queen." (d) As Escherich (:06, p. 49) points out, if such great differences as exist between the queen and worker of Carebara had arisen suddenly (through mutation), unquestionably the destruction of the species would have taken place, for the small worker is naturally governed by a wholly different sort of conduct from the queens, a wholly different manner of feeding, of building, etc. If in a colony of Colobopsis, according to Escherich, there had never been any transitional forms between the workers proper and the soldiers, the latter might not know how to work.

There does not seem to be unanimity of opinion as to whether these differences are due to qualitative or quantitative changes in feeding. Emery is inclined to believe that qualitative feeding may play a considerable part, since it is known that this is the case in bees; but Forel ('95,:04 ${ }^{2}$ ) thinks it is not safe to depend on this analogy, since it has not been proved - but only conjectured - that different forms of ants can be created by different qualities of food ; ants have, moreover, neither comb nor cells, such as bees have, but must make within their own crops the differentiation of such food as they give to the larvae. It seems to me that Forel's argument need not necessarily hold, inasmuch as there may be some specialization on the part of the ants whereby the larvae are furnished by regurgitation with food of different qualities. It is not impossible that a given ant may, at least for a certain time, busy herself in feeding larvae which are to be raised as queens, while another is engaged in rearing a particular kind of worker, etc., or certain larvae may (Wheeler, :08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$, p. $50 ;: 10$, p. 103) assimilate only certain kinds of food. These are, it is true, only speculative suggestions, for which there is at present no direct evidence, so far as I know. However, I am inclined to think that quantitative feeding may alone be sufficient to account for class distinctions, as the organs which tend to develop late may fail to receive sufficient nutriment before pupating and therefore fail to develop. Though Wheeler (:003, pp. 27$28 ;: 02 ;: 10, \mathrm{pp} .104-107$ ) urges that there is much ground for believing it not only possible, but probable, that definite forms may arise from differences in feeding, he found $\left(: 00^{a}\right)$ that in Ponerine ants the feeding habits were such as to make it improbable that a qualitative difference of food exists. Later he (Wheeler, :07 $;: 08^{\text {a }} ;: 10$ ) gave reasons for believing the differences of food to be quantitative rather than qualitative, but adds, " a direct causal connection between under feeding on the one hand and ontogenetic loss or development of characters on the other hand, has not been satisfactorily established."

Granting that some kind of food difference, probably quantitative, exists, there are three principal views in regard to the amount of influ-
ence it has. The view of Spencer ('94) and his followers is that any fertilized egg may develop into a queen or worker, or any morlifiation of either, or any transitional stage between the two, merely by a differ. ence of feeding in the larval stage. The larvae which pupate before reaching their maximum growth become workers, the others, queens; and the several castes of workers are produced from larvae which pupate at different stages.

Emery ('94, '96, :04) and his followers go farther than this, claiming that the germ-plasm, being plastic, is capable of different degrees and kinds of development according to the stimuli (especially food) acting on the larvae. 'This peculiarity of the germ-plasm, which is laid down in every female egg, is somatogenic and not blastogenic, and is transmitted as an ability of germ-plasm to develop variously in the individual according to the conditions.

Finally, there are those who hold with Weismann ('92, pp. 455-497) that, since the queen and the workers are very different, the eggs producing them must contain in the germ-plasm a different "determinant" for each form. The stimulus which calls forth one or another of these forms seems to be difference of feeding in the larval state, but such feeding alone would not be a sufficient cause to produce such differences.

It seems to me that while feeding, probably only quantitative, is effective in bringing about the various castes in a species, it is a stimulus rather than a cause, for certain structures of the workers and queens of some species show that morphologically the queen and worker of a given species vary independently of each other, and the same thing is true of distinct soldier and worker castes. These facts make it appear that, as Wheeler says, " while adaptive characters in stature, sculpture, pilosity, and color must depend for their ontogenetic development on the nourishment of the larva, it is equally certain that they have been acquired and fixed during the phylogeny of the species. In other words, nourishment, temperature, and other environmental factors merely furnish the conditions for their attainment of characters predetermined by heredity." Wheeler feels that we are therefore "compelled to agree with Weismann that the characters that enable us to differentiate the castes must be somehow represented in the egg. We may grant this, however, without accepting his conception of representative units."

The worker characters are inherited, because the workers are capable of laying eggs (according to Wheeler, much more often than has been supposed), and these eggs develop into males, through which the worker characters are transmitted.

But the morphological is not the only point of view from which to regard this question. As has already been said (p. 429), polymorphism has been commonly attributed to a division of labor, and if this is so, it presupposes a difference of instinct among otherwise similar workers before structural differences arose. Such a physiological difference seems to be illustrated by the experiments of Lubbock on monomorphic forms, which have already been cited; Wheeler (:10, p. 370), indeed, states that such a division of labor probably exists in various forms and degrees among all ants with monomorphic workers. Also, Figure 19 (p. 464), based on the conditions in a graded series, seems to indicate something of the same kind, for here there seems to be a difference in activities not yet entirely correlated with size.

Reichenbach (:08) maintains that the fundaments of all the instincts must be present in each ant egg ; if the offspring is a male, the female instincts do not mature. Wheeler (:06 ${ }^{3}$ ) states that most, if not all, of the characters of the worker are quantitatively, but not qualitatively, different from those of the queen ; i. e. the worker does not differ from the queen as a mutant, but as a fluctuating variant, which has been produced by imperfect feeding in the larval stages. The same idea is again suggested by him (Wheeler, :06 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) when he says that the queen is the epitome of the instincts of the ant colony, etc. According to the view of Weismann, that there must be "determinants" to cause the different castes of workers, it would be difficult to account for the fact that form and function are not more closely correlated, even in species like Pleidole pilifera, where morphologically the classes are very distinct. If, on the other hand, we substitute for "determinants "a different potentiality of the same germ-plasm to develop into any one of several forms, according to more or less favorable conditions, we should expect, what we have seen to be the case, that while there is a greater tendency for each class to perform certain duties, there is an overlapping of the functions. Each individual seems to have potentially an instinct to perform the duties even of those classes in which, owing to structural differences, we should not expect it to take part. As it is with the queen (when the workers which normally perform certain duties are present, she does not perform those duties), so it is, to a less extent, with the workers; for though they do not take an equal part in the work to which another class is especially adapted, they do share in it to a certain extent, unless physically incapacitated. We may say, then, that while there are some differences in the duties of the different classes of workers and of the queen, all female ants have an instinct - which may become more or less latent - to perform all the duties of the colony; while the male, coming from an egg which is
potentially different, is distinguished from all the female forms in structure and in those instincts which undergo development.

## VIII. Summary.

The conclusions for certain parts of this paper have already been given, and need only to be referred to here. Those on Polymorphism have not been summarized ; they are stated for Camponotus on pp. $4: \%-$ 438 , for Pheidole on pp. 438-440; the summaries are to be found as follows: Cemponotu: americanu: (pp. 464, 465) ; Camponetus herruleanus pictus (pp. 473, 474); I'heidole pilifera (pp. 488, 489) ; Pheidohe dentata (p. 490); Pheidole rimelandica (pp. 490, 491).

In addition to these conclusions, certain other facts have been established.

1. Not only certain temperatures, but also increments of temperature are an effective stimulus for ants.
2. The fact that callow auts do not fight, may account for the possibility of placing together young ants of different species to form mixed colonies.
3. Large ants are more apt to share in the work of a very small than of a very large colony, showing in this respect a resemblance to the queen.
4. It is natural that the large workers and the soldiers should be more sluggish in their activities and motions, since they more closely resemble the queen than do the small workers.
5. This sluggishuess is a valuable adaptation, in that there are thus always some ants which are not in an exhausted condition by reason of constant labors, and therefore are ready to defend the colony.
6. Inactivity is also advantageous in such forms as Colobopsis, where guarding in one position for a long time would otherwise become irksome, and more individuals would be required to perform this work.
7. In Pheidole, where intermediate classes have probably dropped out, the small ants perform all the duties which in Camponotus are done by the small and intermediate workers together.
8. The overlapping of the duties of the different classes of ants is an additional reason for believing that the classes arise from eggs with a similar potentiality.
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## EXPLANATION OF PLATE

All the figures were photographed by means of a Zeiss $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ objective, giving a magnification of about 9 diameters.

Figures 1-20. Heads of Camponotus herculeanus pictus.
Figure 1 - Queen.
Figures 2-20 - Workers.
Figures 21-23 - Heads of Pheidole pilifera.
Figure 21 - Queen.
Figure 22 - Soldier.
Figure 23 - Minor worker.
For further description see text pp. $435 \mathrm{ff} ., 438 \mathrm{ff}$.
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## A ME'THOD FOR DETERMIINING HEAT OF EVAPORA'TION AS APPLIED TO WATER.

By Theodore W. Richards and J. Howard Mathews.

Presented by Theodore W. Richards. Received December 24, 1910.
Ayong usual calorimetric measurements none has been in the past less satisfactory than the measurement of heat of vaporization. 'Fhe methods employed have been almost as untrustworthy as they have been numerous. The results for water are indeed not very divergent, but in most other cases there is little or no concordance. In the case of ethyl formate, for example, the variation in the values given by different experimenters is over ten per cent; in the case of ethyl acetate, the extreme difference is over thirteen per cent. 'Ihese cases have been selected because they concern substances carefully investigated by many observers; less carefully studied cases might have been selected which show even greater discrepancies. Evidently most of the results are in error ; but which are the correct ones? The newest values are by no means necessarily the best, for wide diserepancies have appeared in the most recent work. Part of the errors were undoubtedly due to impurity in the materials, aud some to fanlts in the methods.

Desiring really to know the heats of evaporation of a few liguids, we felt hopeless concerning our ability to select among these discordant figures. New research was evidently needed, involving great care in purification of material, and careful choice of the best details of experimentation. 'The present paper contains an account of the evolution of a satisfactory method.

A brief account of previous methods may well precede the deseription of our own experimental work, as this was based partly on the successes and partly on the failures of others.
'The methods used for measurements of heats of evaporation are of two classes. In one the energy used in the process of vaporization is measured ; in the other the energy given up by the condensation of vapor is evaluated ; each procedure should of course yield the same
result. Most of the methods used, particularly the earlier ones, belong to the latter class; because, although those belonging to the first class have the advantage of being independent of the values for the specific heats of the substances used, they are more complicated in manipulation and calculation, and none has proved to be entirely satisfactory.

Joseph Black ${ }^{1}$ was the first to make the interesting observation, that in the formation of the vapor phase from the liquid phase heat is absorbed. His first experiments, though extremely crude, were sufficient to show that in the case of water the amount of heat absorbed by this transformation is considerable. Somewhat later Black and Irvine made further experiments in this direction, and found the value 520 calories per gram, which is surprisingly close to the now generally accepted value, considering the crude method with which they worked. In $1781 \mathrm{Watt}^{2}$ for a short time attacked the subject at the suggestion of Black, and about fifteen years later returned to it and made a num ber of measurements, the details and results of which he published He pointed out that heat is lost through radiation, but found no method of measuring this loss. He showed also that the condenser gains heat by conduction when connected directly to the boiler by means of a metallic tube, and sought to overcome this source of error through making the comnection by means of a cork, so that metallie contact was avoided. The average of eleven separate determinations gave him the value 525.2 calories, or 625.2 from zero, ${ }^{3}$ the values varying from 612.9 to 637.1, a difference of about four per cent; but he expressed his opinion that the true value is not far from $6: 33$ calories.

Somewhat later Comit Rumford ${ }^{4}$ made three experiments concerning the same constant, firding the mean value 667 as the total heat of evaporation from zero, - a result much higher than Watt's. Rumford also determined the heat of evaporation of two or three organic liquids, but these results have no value, since, as he admits, the substances were not pure. In this work he sought to overcome the error due to radiation by starting a determination with the calorimeter water at a temperature as much below the surroming temperature as it would be above it at the completion of the determination, on the assumption that the gain in heat during the first half of the total time

[^104]would be exactiy comuterbalanced by the loss in the secomd half. 'This practice has recently been found to be of very domitful :ulvantage. ${ }^{5}$ In 1sis ['re ${ }^{6}$ fomm the valne 6:7.5 calories; and Despreta, 7 in 1se:; published the value di31 as the result of one series of measmements, and 640 as the result of a secomd series, his apparatus. having been similar to that nsed by Rumford. 'Ihe next work was done by Brix ${ }^{8}$ in 18.2 , who pointed out many of the causes of error and sompht to correct them mathematically. His value for water was 6 an, includins the heat given up by the water between $100^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ}$, - a result very close to the most prolable figure.

In 1 s.t7 Reguant ${ }^{9}$ published his exhaustive memoir upon this subject, which surpassed in detailed precaution iny preceding work. As an average of thirty-eight separate determinations he obtained the value 636.67 calories, the individual values varying between 635.15 and 6:38.4.

The work of Andrews ${ }^{10}$ may be considered somewhat more in detail, becanse his method was similar to those which have since been usually employed. He distilled the liquid from a screened retort into a spiral condenser placed in a calorimeter, and noted the rise in temperature. The water equivalent of his calorimeter, water, and utensils was only abont 280 grams, the amount of water distilled was a little less than two grams, and there was no device to prevent particles of water from being carried over in the vapor, so that the methou was still evidently in its infancy. His thermometric precautions and his efforts to apply corrections for heat lost and gained by radiation were very crude, as was also his method for determining specific heats; but nevertheless, for alcohol, he obtained 202.4 at the boiling point, a value which is but three per cent lower than the present accepted value. His two figures for water, 531 and 543 calories (not including the heat required to warm the water), obtained at different rates, were less satisfactory; premature condensation evidently took place in his apparatus.

Favre and Silbermamn ${ }^{11}$ shortly afterwards measured the heats of vaporization of a number of organic liquids as well as of water, but the amount of material used was very small and the method in general unsatisfactory, so that their results are of but doubtful value.

[^105]The next work of importance is that of Berthelot, ${ }^{12}$ whose method (a modification of Audrews's) is so well known as to need no deseription. The vaporizer was placed immediately over the calorimeter and heated by a ring burner, the tube for delivering the vapor passing through the ring. His apparatus, although ingenious, has grave defects, some of which have been pointed out by Schiff ${ }^{13}$ and by Kahlenberg. 14 Superheating of the vapor, the most glaring defect, was suspected by the former critic, but the experimental demonstration was first made by the latter. Another source of error is direct radiation from the burner into the calorimeter, for which, however, an approximate although monstisfactory correction may be applied. There was no device to prevent the vapor which came off from the liquid before it reached the boiling point from gaining access to the condenser.

Passing over the work of Schall, 15 who used the method of Favre and Silbermann, we come to the work of Robert Schiff, 16 who sought to avoid the causes for error in the Berthelot apparatus by removing the source of heat from the neighborhood of the calorimeter, and interposing a small silver trap just before the entrance of the vapor into the condenser. By this ingenious device, particles of unvaporized material that might be carried over by the current of vapor, and most of the liquid resulting from a premature condensation of the vapor, would be caught and prevented from going into the condenser. Some of the earlier experimenters had sought to prevent prematurely condensed liquid from reaching the condenser by making a sharp bend in the vapor delivery tube, so that condensed liquid would run back into the vaporizer, but Schiff's device has the decided advantage that the trap can be placed much closer to the condenser, so that the amount of condensation between this point and the condenser will be smaller. 'That this was a distinct improvement is apparent in his results; they were among the best that have been made. While the method of Schiff is modoubtedly better than any similar methods previonsly used, it is objectionable in the case of liquids of higher boiling point, as Kahlenberg has shown. The danger here arises from the fact that a substance of high boiling point condenses in the trap to such an extent that it finally overthows and runs into the condenser.

Another objection, heretofore not mentioned, lies in the fact that the vapor, just before it reaches the calorimeter, passes through a zone,

[^106]which, though heated, is not quite as high in temperature as the builing point, and consequently premature condensation is not wholly avoided. By no means all of the heat thus lost finds its way into the calorimeter. 'Ihe result of this defect is to give a value lower than the true heat of vaporization.

In the hands of Louguinine ${ }^{\mathbf{1 7}}$ the apparatus of Schiff has been so perfected as to make it one of the most satisfactory heretofore used. The tube leading to the trap was made large in order to prevent the elogging with condensed liquid. The proximity of the hot trap to the calorimeter necessitated a correction for heat gained therefrom through radiation and conduction. In Schiff's work this correction appears to have been omitted, but Longuinine evaluated this cause of error by keeping the trap hot while he prevented both vapor and liquill from entering the condenser. In this way the heat gained per minute by radiation and conduction can be determined fairly well, and, knowing the time during which vapor enters the condenser and gives up heat, a suitable correction can be made for the heat gained by raliation and conduction during the same period. Louguinine made the distance from the trap to the condenser very short ( 15 mm .) in order to reduce the premature condensation of the vapor, but our experience indicates that even then he could not have been wholly successful. The fact that his results for the heat of vaporization of water are fairly concordant does not prove the absence of error from this cause. To reduce condensation to zero, the distance between trap and condenser should also be reduced to zero, - an obvious impossibility. Because, as has been said, the effect of the error is to make the heat of vaporization appear less than it really is ; Louguinine's three values, 535.61, 537.61 , and 538.51 calories per gram are probably too low.

About fourteen years ago J. A. Harker ${ }^{18}$ published an elaborate and interesting account of his work. His early rejected experiments demonstrated more conclusively than ever the danger of premature condensation, and he concluded that this cause of error is inevitable, when the vapor is introduced from above. In his later work, fearing that minute drops of water exist in vapor as ordinarily formel in distillation, he passed the vapor throngh coils in a heated oven, and then through a zone kept at a constant temperature about three degrees above the boiling point. Finally the vapor was admitted into the condensing coil of the calorimeter, through the side of the latter, the temperature being taken at the point of introduction by means of

[^107]a calibrated thermo-couple. The apparatus was ingenious, but the conduction of heat into the calorimeter from the entering tube must have been considerable, and heat was mudoubtedly gained also by direct radiation from the black interior surface of the ebonite chamber at the opening in the side of the calormeter.

He concluded from his experiments, which he wished to be considered as merely preliminary, that the true value for the heat of vaporization of water (not including the heat given out in cooling the water) is about 540 calories. Uufortunately, his data, corrections, and calculations, are not given.

Kahlenberg, ${ }^{19}$ in an almost simultaneous investigation, sought to retain all the good qualities of the method of Berthelot, and at the same time endeavored to eliminate its sources of error by an ingenious modification, using the heat generated from an electric current conveyed by a resistance wire immersed unter the liquid to be vaporized, in order to produce the vaporization. 'Ihus superheating is avoided, as well as the presence of any flame near the calorimeter. This method, undoubtedly one of the best heretofore nsed, has yielded fairly good results, which are nevertheless undoubtedly somewhat vitiated by premature condensation.
()ther methods which should be considered are the electrical methods of Marshall and Gritfiths, ${ }^{20}$ of Marshall and Ramsay, ${ }^{21}$ of Brown, ${ }^{22}$ and of Heming. ${ }^{23}$

The method of Marshall and Griffiths is exceedingly complicated, and the heat of vaporization of but one substance, benzene, has been obtained. The values were determined for the temperature of $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and the value at the boiling point obtainel by extrapolation. Upon this value for benzene, thus obtained, was based all the work done by Marshall and Ramsay. 'This latter method was merely a comparative method. The electric current was sent throngh two similar vaporizers in series, and the amount of liquid distilled from each was weighed. 'The results obtained all depended, therefore, upon the value of the heat of vaporization of one substance, and for this one substance different experimenters had formd values differing by over sixteen per cent. The investigators state that they were unable to obtain any satisfactory results for water, perlaps because of electrolysis and its consequent consumption of electrical energy.

[^108]Brown, working with a single apparatus, passed a current of measured value for a measured time through a wire of known resistance, immersed under the lipuid. The portion evaporated was weighed; thus all the clatil for caleulating the heat of evaporation were obtainecl. As in Ramsay's and Marshall's method the liquid must be at the boiling point before the measured current is passed through, but no knowledge of the specific heat of the liquid is necessary. The results obtained by brown agree well among themselves, but are even higher in value than those obtained by Marshall and Ramsay.
F. Hemning ${ }^{24}$ used a method similar in principle to that used by Brown, but superior in detail, becanse greater precautions were taken to provide against possible errors. The original paper must be consulted for particulars, most of which do not immediately concern the present investigation. The greatest difficulty in this interesting and painstaking work seems to have been that considerable hoat was necessarily carried away by the conducting wires, and there seems to have been no entirely satisfactory method for measuring or calculating this uncertain quantity. As the mean of his determinations made at an average temperature of $100.59^{\circ}$, Henning found the heat of vaporization of a gram (weighed in vacuum) of water to be 538.25 calories at $15^{\circ}$. A number of determinations were made also at reduced pressures, but they do not concern us here.

A modification of this electrical method has been proposed by A. Cameron Smith, 25 who suggested that the electrically heated vaporizer be suspended from one arm of an analytical balance. This apparatus is suitable only for lecture demonstrations; many possible sources of error tend to diminish its accuracy.

Among these many methods, Kahlenberg's modification of Berthelot's seemed to be preferable, partly because of its simplicity, and partly becanse it retains the advantage of the electrical method of heating while avoiding the disadvantages. Hence we used this method as the basis of our own, seeking to discover and correct any sources of error which might still remain in it.

## The Evolution of tile Present Apparatus.

One of the most serious canses of error in all calorimetric work is the more or less uncertain correction for cooling. Hence one of the first steps of the present research was the application of the new method of adianatic calorimetry to the problem. ${ }^{26}$ This method, first put into

## 24 Loc. cit.

${ }^{25}$ Proc. Roy. Soc., Edinburgh, 24, 450 (1903).
26 Richards, Forbes, and Henderson, Proc. Am. Acad., 41, 1 (190.5). See also Richarls and Jesse, as well as Richarls and Burgess, Jour. Am. Chem. Soc., 32, 268 (1910) and 32, 431 (1910).


Figure 1. First Modification of Kahlenberg's Vaporizer.

The hood or trap (C) over the delivery tube helps to eliminate mechanically carried drops.
practice about six years ago at Harvard, has since beeu used successfully in several calorimetric processes, such as the determination of specific heats, heats of neutralization, combustion, and solution. 'The method consists in warming the surroundings of the calorimeter at the same rate and to the same extent as the calorimeter itself during its operation. This is accomplished by surrounding the calorimeter proper by a watertight jacket, which is immersed in a larger vessel containing dilute alkali. Into the latter can be delivered the requisite amount of acid, the bath being stirred rapidly so as to insure uniformity of temperature. By such a system it is possible to prevent heat-exchange to or from the calorimeter proper, and the thermometer is stationary during both the initial and the final readings.

For the weasurements of the heat of vaporization a modified form of Kahlenberg's apparatus was combined with the adiabatic method of calorimetry. The exact form of apparatus finally used was reached only by degrees, several successive improvements having been introduced. The first modification of Kahlenberg's vaporizer consisted in the interposition of a trap or hood (C in Figures 1, 3, 4 and 5) between the boiling liquid and the condenser, to prevent drops of unvaporized material from being carried into the latter by the lively current of vapor. The objection to such a trap as that used by Schiff (namely, premature condensation of vapor) was avoided by keeping the trap entirely enclosed by the vapor of the boiling liquid, and therefore at the same temperature. Furthermore it was made in such a form as to prevent any danger of its filling and rumning over.

With this apparatus a number of preliminary determinations were made, with a condenser and calorimeter to
be described presently, and a striking systematic irregularity was observed in the results. The heat of vaporization of benzene, for example, appeared to be about $s 9$ calories when 25 grams took 14 minutes to evaporate, but as much as 93 calorics when the time was shortened to 2..5 minutes, with corresponding results for intervening rates. 'The results are plotted in the accompanying diagram.


Figure 2. The Effect of Speed of Vaporization on the Results. (Benzene in the Vaporizer shown in Figure 1.)
In the direction of ordinates are plotted the observed values for the heat of raporization of a gram of benzol in small calories; in the direction of abscisse are plotted the times (in fractions of a minute) needed for the vaporization of that quantity.

The ordinates represent heats of vaporization in calories, and the abscisse, the time in minutes required to vaporize one gram. By a comparatively short linear extrapolation we obtain the value 94.1 cal. as the heat of vaporization of benzene. It will be shown in a subsequent paper that this value is very near the most probable value obtained afterwards with better apparatus. Water was found to exhibit precisely the same phenomenon. Previous investigators have not taken into consideration this variation of result produced by varying the rate of distillation, and the oversight undoubtedly accounts for much of the wide variation in the published results.

Consideration of the various possible complications which might bring about this time-effect led to the conclusion that it was probably due to premature condensation between the vaporizer and the condensing coil, and the consequent loss of heat from the vapor thus condensed. Evidently such a loss must be directly proportional to the time required for the vapor to pass through the zone of premature condensation. Further, in accordance with Newton's Law of Cooling, the loss of heat should be proportional to the difference in temperature between the boiling point of the substance and the environment of this zone, - a conclusion later verified by the facts.

Attcmpts were next made to eliminate as far as possible the zone of premature condensation. The vaporizer was set into an asbestos shield
made in the form of a frustum of a cone, the space between the asbestos and the glass being closely packed with cotton, and the outside of the cone covered with bright tin foil to cut down the radiation. In order to place the vaporizer as low as possible and at the same time to prevent its becoming wetted, a shallow glass enp was placed immediately below the asbestos shiell. The cup also served to prevent loss of heat by evaporation of the calorimeter water at its surface, or by evaporation of water rising on the vaporizer stem by capillary action or other cause, such evaporation being caused by the presence of the hot tube carrying the vapor. In this manner the distance between the boiling liquid within the vaporizer and the calorimeter water was reduced to a little less than one centimeter.

In spite of the precantions to prevent radiation, the correction for heat gained by the calorimeter due to this canse was increased from about $0.002^{\circ}$ to $0.008^{\circ}$ per minute, a quantity which was very carefully determined and applied to the results.

This vaporizer yielded results which gave when plotted a line less steep than the previous one. The modification had evilently improved the results, but had not wholly climinated the cause or causes of error.

In the space above a boiling liquid in a flask, a mist ean often be observed. This mist is cansed by radiation of heat from the walls of the vessel ; and the question arose as to whether the difficulty in oltaining uniform results at various speeds might not be che in part to the formation of such a mist within the vaporizer. The mist would not be entirely canght by the trap, and would thus introduce minute drops of water into the condenser, possibly proportional in amount to the time required for distillation.

In order to discover whether any diffieulty arose from this cause, the vaporizer, above the asbestos cone, was surrounded by a jacket through which live steam was passed throughout the measurement. This must have prevented the furmation of a mist within the vaporizer ; but the results were no better.
'The ejection of fine drops from the surface of the boiling liquid drops which might be swept along hy the eurrent of valpor - could not have been the cause of the particular trouble in question. Such an action would seem more likely when the boiling is raphid than when it is slow, an outcome exactly the opposite to that actually observed. Doubtless such an ejection oecurs to a slight extent, but in our trap its effect had undoubtedly been much rednced. Is will be seen, our final result shows that we have been at least as fortunate as others in eliminating this danger.

Harker, in his desperation on account of obvious premature conden-
sation, resurted to superheating the valor, in order to insure its being perfectly dry: areordingly we, too, tested this doubtfind device. A eoril of fine phatimm wire wats introduced into our valum delivery tule, extending nearly its whole length, and a surall current was sent throng the wire. Several determinations made at different sucets and with different strengths of eurrent throms the coil, gave higher values than luefore. With a constant strength of current, the slower the speed, the higher was the result : and with a constant rate of evaporation, the greater the eurrent the higher was the result. Evidently superheating occurred. With some modifications of the parts and the construction of special thermometers it wonld have been possible to take the temperature of the vapor as it entered the calorimeter: but the nusatisfactory nature of these experiments offered no temptation to the further prosecution of this line of attack.

Being still convinced that the principal premature condensation took place in the narrow zone between the vaporizer and the condenser, we next sought to reduce further this distance, and to protect the vapor passing through it from loss of heat. A new vaporizer having a vaeuum jacket was constructed as shown in Fignre :3, the walls (B) of the jacket being abont one centimeter from the walls (A) of the vapurizer, save at the bottom where the distance was about eight millimeters. Both the outer wall of the vaporizer and the inner wall of


Figure 3. A Further Modification of K゙ahlenberg's Vaporizer.

The compartment containing the liquid and coiled phatinum wire for heating is surrounded by a silvered racumm jacket (AB). The trap (C) is retained. The condenser is attached at $G$. The vaporizer is immersed in the water of the calorimeter as far as the water-line at F .
the jacket were brightly silvered to a distance of about five centimeters above the vapor exit. The vaporizer was set so low that the level (F) of the calorimeter water came up on its jacket to a height of a few millimeters. This brought the boiling liquid in the vaporizer to within one centimeter of the calorimeter water, largely protected the vapor from condensation in this zone, and prevented most of the evaporation from the surface of the calorimeter water at the line of immersion. The vaporizer projected a short distance through the adiabatic cover, and, since the projecting part was silvered, the amount of radiation was not excessively large. The space around the vaporizer was closely packed with cotton. With this apparatus, values were obtained which were slightly higner than those previously obtained with the earlier forms of apparatus, when made at the same rate; but they were not as consistent as desired, partly because of the fact that the diameter of the exit of the delivery tube was much too large.

Another attempt to reach a better result led to the cutting off of still more of the delivery tube below, the thermal protection being provided only by a rubber cup, cut from a child's ball, placed between the vaporizer and condenser. The rubber cup was packed with cotton, as was also the space around the vaporizer. After a few determinations, however, the apparatus was discarded, because the correction for radiation and conduction was very large ( $0.0 .40^{\circ}$ per minute), and also because of the difficulty in making a tight joint.

The form of apparatus finally adopted combined all the advantages of the preceding forms, and is shown in Figure 4. The boiling liquid was surrounded by a vacuum jacket whose walls were about one centimeter from the walls of the boiling compartment, save at the bottom where the space was but five millimeters across. In addition to the hood covering the upper end of the vapor delivery tube, the tube was also provided with another trap to catch and retain any liquid that might in any way gain access thereto. This trap was placed as low as possible so that the distance between it and the condenser might be reduced to a minimum, yet it was surrounded by the boiling liquid in order to prevent condensation within it. The heating coil was placed so low as to make sure that the liquid surrounding the trap was at the boiling point. If prematurely condensed liquid now gained access to the condenser, it must come entirely from condensation in the short distance between the trap and the calorimeter water, - because all previously condensed liquid was caught in the trap. After an experiment the trap usually contained a few drops of liquid, sufficient to have introduced appreciable error, had it been allowed to reach the condenser.

The interior of the vacuum jacket was brightly silvered to a height
of four or five centimeters, the silvering being on both walls, so that any heat passing from the boiling liquid to the calorimeter water by radiation hat to pass through two brightly silvered surfaces and a vacuum space. The conduction of heat to the calorimeter through the glass itself cannot be prevented, but was made small by having the glass as light as was consistent with the strength demanded. The proper correction was always applied for heat gained by the calorimeter in these two ways, the necessary observations being always determined before each measurement of latent heat. For several minutes previous to admitting the vapor into the condenser, readings of the temperature were made at intervals of one minute, until the increase became constant and of certain value. The nature of the problem is such as to make this correction absolutely necessary, for the vaporizer must be brought close to the calorimeter water, and radiation and conduction across this small distance cannot be prevented.

After many experiments had been made, not only with water but also with higher boiling substances, the accidental cracking of the vaporizer jacket near the top destroyed its high vacuum ; nevertheless the correction for the combined radiation and conduction was then found to be but little greater than before. Apparently the two brightly silvered surfaces effectually prevented radiation.


Figure 4. Final Form of Vaporizer ( $1 / 2$ actual size).
A vacuum jacket (AB), silvered inside, surrounds the hot vessel, which is provided with a stopcock above. The delivery tube has two traps, one over its top (C), and another (E) as near as possible to the water of the calorimeter below. The condenser is attached at the very bottom at G , and F is the waterline, as in Figure 3.

The heating coil had a resistance of about 0.7 ohm , and was supplied with a suitably controlled current of from twelve to eighteen amperes from eight large storage cells. The ends of the coil were sealed into the ents of sniall glass tubes within which were stout copper wires, contact being made by mercury. It is necessary that the copper wires be heary so that they may not become heated, and thus superheat the rapor coming into contact with the glass tubes encasing them.

The temperatures at which distillations took place were read from small standardized Anschiitz thermometers, whose mercury threads were entirely within the vapor, so that no correction for projecting mercury thread was necessary. The bulb of the thermometer was placed opposite the entrance to the hood, in order to measure the temperature of the vapor actnally admitted - a point especially emphasized by Louaninine, who nevertheless merely inferred the temperature from the barometric pressure and the coefficients expressing the dependence of boiling point on pressure.

Another point, usually neglected, is worthy of brief notice. Before the liquid comes to the boiling point, an appreciable quantity of vapor may pass over and be condensed. Obviously this may introduce error, since the assumption is made, in calculating the result, that all the vapor was at the boiling point of the liquid. Moreover, the heat of vaporization is different at different temperatures. In the present experiments this cause of error was eliminated by providing the vaporizer with an outlet and stopock above, and by passing a very slow current of dried air backwards through the condenser coil and vaporizer until the liquid was boiling at a lively rate, and the temperature of the whole interior was quite at the boiling point of the liquid. This air prevented the vapor from passing into the condenser, so that no premature condensation was possible ; its initial temperature was kept close to that of the calorimeter.

Preliminary experiments were made to find whether or not the proximity of the hot coil of platinnm wire might superheat the vapor passing in its downward course to the condenser. The wire was first made into a coil about 3 cm . in diameter, and a thermometer suspender therein, the bulb of the thermometer being at the center. The coil and thermometer bulb were then immersed in distilled water in a large open test tube, the current was comected, and the temperature noted at which boiling occurred. 'The coil was then made about 2 cm . in diameter and the process repeated; finally the wire was coiled as tightly as possible around the thermometer bulb, without actual contact, and the temperature at which boiling took place was noted as before. It was found that there was no danger of superheating from this
source, as the temperature recorded by the thermometer was exactly the same in all three cases. As long as the wire is covered with


Figure 5. The Calorimeter.
The vaporizer (V) is set within a large hole (XX) in the cover. At B is attached the condenser A immersed in water contained in the calorimeter. Between the jacketing vessels $E$ and $F$ is dilute alkali, into which sulphuric acid is dropped in order that the temperature of the surroundings should keep pace with that of the calorimeter proper. C is a stirrer within the calorimeter, H one in the outside vessel.
liquid, it matters not whether the resistance wire is coiled loosely or closely around the tube through which the vapor is passing. Hence
vapor passing through a tube similarly encircled by hot wire must be free from superheating.

The calorimeter, of about 1.5 liters capacity, was made of thin nickelplated sheet copper, highly burnished on the outside. It was almost filled with water, and is shown in Figure 5 (D, D). The condenser (A) within the calorimeter was constructed of block tin, the joints being soldered by tin only; it consisted of a spiral tube, 1 meter long and 3 millimeters in internal diameter, coiled in four turns, with a tin cylinder 10 centimeters long and 3 centimeters in diameter at the bottom to serve as a receptacle for the condensed liquid. The tin cylinder had an outlet tube, leading directly up to the air of the room. The tin coil and cylinder together weighed 436.7 grams. The outlet tube or beak of the vaporizer was attached to the worm by a short piece of pure rubber tubing (B). Various preliminary experiments were made as to the position of this joint in relation to the water of the calorimeter, but the details need not be given. Finally, the arrangements shown in the figure was adopted; the silvered jacket of the vaporizer was immersed to the depth of about a centimeter under the water. The water-line was thus protected from heat by the vacuum jacket, and abnormal evaporation and cooling at this point were therefore avoided.

The stirrer (C) within the calorimeter was of the propeller pattern, having six blades, each 1 centimeter long. It was made of copper, and, in order to prevent loss of heat by conduction, extended only to the surface of the water, where it joined a shaft of hard rubber to which was attached the driving mechanism. The scarcely perceptible evolution of heat from the stirrer, being directly proportional to the time of the experiment, was included with the warming due to the proximity of the vaporizer in a single time-correction, and thus eliminated from the result.

The heat capacity of the solid parts of the calorimeter was equivalent to 53.4 grams of water, the separate parts amounting to the following quantities. The finished copper calorimetric vessel weighed 299.95 grams. It was "plated" inside and out with a thin electrolytic film of burnished nickel, which has a specific heat so near that of copper as to cause no appreciable difference in the heat capacity of the whole. The copper vessel was soldered with about 4 grams of solder, the solder consisting half of lead and half of tin, and having therefore a specific heat of about half that of copper. The calorimeter vessel with its nickel and solder was therefore approximately equivalent to the pure copper vessel, weighing 298 grams, and had a heat capacity equivalent to 27.7 grams of water, the specific heat of copper at $21^{\circ}$ being about
0.093. It may be noted that the weight of the copper vessel need not be known within two or three grams, for this corresponds to the limit of possible accuracy of the thermometric part of the experimentation. The pure tin condenser weighed 436.7 grams, and therefore had a heat capacity equivalent to 23.6 grams of water, the specific heat of tin at $21^{\circ}$ being about 0.054 .27 The thermometer was found by the method of 0 stwald-Luther ${ }^{28}$ to have a heat capacity equivalent to 1.4 grams of water ; and the copper stirrer, weighing 7.7 grams, had a heat capacity of 0.7 on the same basis. These weights have as their sum 53.4 grams.

An important matter of detail lay in the discovery of the time needed for equalization of temperature between the hot liguid accumulating in the condenser and the water of the calorimeter. In order to test this, a glass funnel was substituted for the vaporizer at B, and 17 grams of water were poured little by little through this funnel during 5 minutes. There being no heated object near the calorimeter, the radiation-effect was negligible, and the rise in temperature of the calorimeter water was due only to the hot water introduced.

Immediately after the addition, the reading of the thermometer was a trifle over $1^{\circ}$; in another minute the thermometer read $1.101^{\circ}$; in yet another minute $1.10 t^{\circ}$. In the 3 minutes following, the thermometer rose $0.001^{\circ}$ each minute, and finally remained perfectly constant at $1.107^{\circ}$. Thus in 5 minutes after the last portion of water had been added a constant temperature had been attained, showing that with the rate of stirring usually adopted, this time was sufficient for complete equalization of the temperature within the calorimeter.

The calorimeter was surrounded by a narrow air-space, bounded by a copper can (E) with a burnished nickel-plated lining. This was immersed in a much larger vessel ( F ) of about ten liters capacity, which contained dilute crude sodium hydroxide. The outer vessel was provided with a basin-shaped cover (G) of about four liters capacity, through which were several openings for thermometers, vaporizer (V), stirrer, etc. The bottom of the cover was coated with bright tin-foil. In this way the calorimeter was entirely surrounded by a uniform temperature, except where the vaporizer protruded through the cover. A powerful stirrer (H), revolving at the rate of 250 revolutions per minute and driven by an electric motor, kept the lower alkaline solution in violent agitation, while the solution in the cover was more gently agi-

[^109]tated by means of a perforated ring of heavy sheet copper, lifted by an electric motor and allowed to fall by its own weight. The stirring in the cover need not be so energetic as that below, since the temperature in this part of the bath need not be so accurately adjusted as in the lower compartment.

As the temperature in the calorimeter rose, because of the condensation of the vaporized liquid, concentrated sulphuric acid was run from burettes into both jacketing compartments, so that the environment around the calorimeter was changed in temperature as fast as the calorimeter itself. The details are so similar to those of the other applications of this adiabatic method that they need not be reviewed. The stirring was so effective that the thermometers in different parts of the bath all rose at practically the same rate.

It was found possible to follow the rising temperature of the calorimeter within $0.05^{\circ}$ in the lower compartment and within $0.1^{\circ}$ in the upper compartment throughont the entire course of the experiment. Suitable tests proved that with so small a difference in temperature there was no danger of appreciable heat-exchange with the surroundings during the brief progress of a determination.

The rise in temperature of the calorimeter was measured by a carefully standardized Beckmann thermometer, having a slender bulb and rather a long scale. Readings to $0.001^{\circ}$ were made with certainty by means of a lens. The standardization was effected with very great care by comparison with two Baudin thermometers standardized by the Bureau des Poids et Mesures of Paris. The comparison was conducted by immersing all the thermometers in the water of the adiabatic calorimeter surrounded by its jacket. The calorimeter was covered tightly with a non-conducting cover through which the thermometers and stirrer passed, and the thermometer to be studied was immersed to the depth at which it was subsequently to be used. All the thermometers were placed as closely together as possible in order to insure their having the same temperature. The temperature of the calorimeter water could be held perfectly constant for any desired length of time, so that there was no error due to the lag in any of the thermometers. Readings were made (after gently tapping the thermometers) at every $1_{10}{ }^{\circ}$ mark, and the corrections were calculated with due aecount of the corrections for the various standardized thermometers used. The Baudin thermometers had previously been found to agree very closely with an accurate Fuess thermometer, which in its turn had been carefully studied by the Reichsanstalt of Berlin.

The various parts of the apparatus having been described in detail, the actual execution of the experimental work may now be considered.

As may have been inferred, many preliminary determination were made in order to determine the best conditions, and the various danderto be avoided. Water, having been the hquid most studied tiy eter. was chosen as the most desirable substance with which to tert the ato paratus and method.

Over thirty trials mere made with the object of finding the bere pist tion of the raporizer and testing varions devices to prevent rabliton.

Finally the following methet of experimentation was adosten: The pan-like copper cover (G. Figure 5 ) of the calorimeter jache: waplaced temporarily upon a raised stand which permitted easy aces= to its lower surface : the vaporizer $V$, containing the liquid to be investigated was adjusted into its phace paching the space I between :ts silvered envelope and the copper corer with cotton woll , the empty meighed condenser (A) was then attached to the beak of the ramorizer (b) as it protruded beneath the elevated copper cover, and the thermometer and stirrer were arranged in their oritices. Meanwilie the calorimeter had been almost filled with a weighed amount of water at about $20^{\circ}$, and the jacketing crude akaine sulutions had been aduased at exactly the same temperature. When all mas readr, the orper cover with the suspended sondenser was placed into position over the calorimeter, the condenser being immersed very caretulir in the danrimeter water. The silvered beak of the raporizer itself dipped aton: a centimeter under the surface as shom in the diagram. The slow backward current of air, which served to prevent the aceess of rapor to the condenser until all was ready, was then driven through the apparatus, and at the same time the heating electrical eurrent was tared on within the raporizer. When the liquid was actively boilinge a care:ul study of the radiation-conduction-effect on the calorimeter was made. This having been acomplished, the actual experiment cond bexin: the stopeok (h. Figure 4) above was closed and the backward current of air discontinued. The rapid current of vapor was thas suddenly switched iuto the condenser. and the temperature of the ealorimeter becan to rise at a rapid rate. This rate was continuonsly matehed in the environing alkali by admitting acid in suitable quantities, and the experiment proceded very smonthly until a rise of about 4 destees had been accomplished. Finally the stopook (K. Figure 4 athere the raporizer mas suddenly opened, the posterior outlet (l'. Figure a of the condenser closed, and the electrie current cut off. The rapor forming was then free to escape into the air. and when boiling stopped. the condensed lignid was not drawn back into the vaporizer by the sadden racum formed upon cessation of briling. For at least five minues afterwards, the temperature of the calorimeter was read every minate
yol. xlui. - 34
with as great care as at first. After dismounting the apparatus the condensing coil was dried outside and weighed ; and the experiment was thus brought to a close.

The increasing temperature and the increasing heat capacity of the calorimetric system during an experiment canse complications in the calculation which have usually received insufficient atteution. At the beginning, the heat capacity of the calorimeter is that of the solid apparatus and the water in which the coil is immersed. As the experiment proceeds, this heat capacity is augmented by the liquid which collects within the condenser. At the end of the distillation the heat capacity of the calorimetric system reaches its maximum. Evidently the value used in the calculation must be taken as the initial heat capacity plus the heat capasity of half the condensed liquid.

Heat is radiated and conducted from the hot vaporizer into the calorimetric system, and correction for this unavoidable complication must be applied. The correction is primarily based upon the measurement of the heat gained during the preliminary minutes before the experiment has been begun, in the usual fashion ; but it must be remembered that this value does not apply exactly to the end of the experiment, because the calorimeter has then risen in temperature, and therefore cannot take so much heat from the vaporizer as before. For example, if the temperature of the calorimeter is $20^{\circ}$ at first and $24^{\circ}$ at the conclusion of the experiment, and if the vaporizer has a temperature of $100^{\circ}$, it is evident that the difference of temperature between the vaporizer and the calorimeter is $80^{\circ}$ at first and only $76^{\circ}$ at the end. Hence, if the calorimeter gains $0.009^{\circ}$ during each preliminary minute, it will be expected to gain only $76 / 80 \times 0.009^{\circ}=0.0085$ during each final minute, and intermediate values during the intervening period. The method of correction for this changing effect is obvious and was easily applied. This practice was justified by the actual results, for the warming effects of the hot vaporizer was always found to be less after the experiment than before. The diminution was manifest even before the vaporizer itself had cooled considerably.

The changing condition of the calorimeter involved a similar detail in the calculation of the heat given out by cooling the condensed liquid from its boiling point to the temperature of the condenser. The heat actually measured in the calorimeter was due of course not only to the heat given out by condensation, but also to that given out by the condensed liquid in falling from the temperature of the vaporizer to that of the calorimeter. The first portion of condensed liquid is cooled to the initial temperature of the calorimeter, the last portion only to the somewhat higher final temperature. Obviously here again the mean
temperature must be taken in the calculation. 'Thus, if the experiment began at $20^{\circ}$ and ended at $24^{\circ}$ and the barometer indicated 760 mm ., the first drop of water was cooled through $80^{\circ}$, the last throngh only $76^{\circ}$. Obviously here again the average value, $78^{\circ}$, should be taken in the calculation. It is easy to show by means of the calculus that all these compromises in the calculation are legitimate.

The amount of water evaporating into the small air space around the calorimeter during an experiment was negligible both in weight and in thermal effect.

There follows, as a typical example, the complete data and computation of a single case, the second given in the table, selected at random from results.

For five minutes preceding the experiment, the calorimeter gained at a perfectly constant rate of $0.009^{\circ}$ per minute. When this was certain the vapor was turned into the condensing coil, and after five minutes more the electric current of 14 amperes was stopped, and the vapor prevented from gaining further access to the coil. In yet five minutes the calorimeter had settled down once more to a rate of increase in temperature corresponding to that observed at first, that is to say, $0.0085^{\circ}$ per minute, clearly due only to radiation and condensation from the vaporizer. The heat from the actual condensation had all been imparted to the calorimeter, hence the experiment was considered as concluded. The several data and the simple calculation depending upon them are given below. The barometric pressure was exactly 760.0 , hence the steam entered the condeuser at $100.1^{\circ}$.

In calculating the heat given out in cooling a gram of water from $100^{\circ}$ to the mean temperature of the calorimeter ( $21.42^{\circ}$ ) the figures of Barnes ${ }^{29}$ were employed, because they probably represent most nearly the present standard of temperature. By graphic integration, the average specific heat of water over this range was found to be 1.0012 times the value at $21.4^{\circ}$; hence is calculated the value 78.67 calories above, corresponding to a fall of $75.58^{\circ}$. The $21^{\circ}$ calorie is apparently about 0.9985 times the $15^{\circ}$ calorie, hence in terms of the latter the result would be 535.2.

Six determinations were conducted in this way with this vaporizer, two of them being run very slowly and the others with increasing speed. For the slowest about 11 or 12 amperes were usually necessary, and 17 or 18 amperes were required for the fastest. The results are rearranged in the table and renumbered in order of speed, beginning with the fastest, so as to make the dependence of the results upon the

[^110]Heat of Vaporization of Water. Determination No. 2.

| Time when Reading <br> was taken | Observed Thermom. Reading <br> in Water in Colorimeter <br> (uncorcected. | Increase in Temper- <br> ature per Mininute. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.21 | $0.090^{\circ}$ |  |

1.34 Electric current stopped.

| 1.35 | $5.100^{\circ}$ | ..${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.36 | $5.117^{\circ}$ | $0.017^{\circ}$ |
| 1.37 | $5.128^{\circ}$ | $0.009^{\circ}$ |
| 1.38 | $5.138^{\circ}$ | $0.010^{\circ}$ |
| 1.39 | $5.147^{\circ} 30$ | $0.009^{\circ}$ |
| 1.40 | $5.155^{\circ}$ | $0.008^{\circ}$ |
| 1.41 | $5.164^{\circ}$ | $0.009^{\circ}$ |


|  | Reading of Thermometer. | Correction to reduce Reading to true emperature | Corrected Temperature Hydrogen Scale. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Final temperature | $5.147^{\circ}$ | +18.787 | $23.934^{\circ}$ |
| Initial temperature | $0.161^{\circ}$ | +18.760 | $18.921^{\circ}$ |
| 'Total rise in temperature <br> Rise due to radiation and conduction ( $2.5 \times 0.009^{\circ}+$ $7.5 \times 0.0085)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Rise in temperature due solely to condensation of vapor and cooling of resulting liquid to temperature of calorimeter$=4.927^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| Water equivalent of calorimeter and fittings |  |  | 53.4 grams |
| " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | water in ca | imeter | 1252.9 |
|  | $\frac{1}{2}$ the liquid | ndensed | 5.26 |
|  | Total water | quivalent | 1311.56 grams |

[^111]| Weight of condensing coil and condensed liquid | 447.219 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weight of condensing coil alone | $\frac{436.705}{10.514}$ grams |
| Weight of vapor condensed (liquid vaporized) |  |

Total heat effect per gram $=\frac{1311.6 \times 4.927}{10.514}=614.63$ cals. at $21^{\circ}$
Heat given out by cooling 1 gram from $100.0^{\circ}$ to $21.42^{\circ} \quad=78.67$ cals. at $21^{\circ}$
Uncorrected heat of vaporization of one gram of water

$$
=536.0 \text { cals. at } 21^{\circ}
$$

speed more clearly manifest. The first five experiments made early in December, 1907, were all consecutive; but that numbered 6 was executed long after the others (in January, 1908), after the vacuum jacket had been used for higher boiling liquids, and had unfortunately cracked. Except for its somewhat larger warming correction, no essential difference could be detected between its results and that of the preceding experiment, carried out at the same rate. The last experiment was conducted under a pressure of 757 millimeters of mercury, the others were practically at normal pressure of 760 millimeters.

The table explains itself.

## Heat of Evaporation of Water.

Series I, with Vaporizer I.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.319 | 3.0 | 0.36 | $3.921^{\circ}$ | 1307.6 | 78.9 | 616.3 | 537.4 |
| 2 | 10.514 | 5.0 | 0.48 | $4.927^{\circ}$ | 1311.6 | 78.6 | 614.6 | 536.0 |
| 3 | 8.283 | 4.25 | 0.51 | $3.895^{\circ}$ | 1307.8 | 78.8 | 614.9 | 536.1 |
| 4 | 9.558 | 8.5 | 0.89 | $4.473^{\circ}$ | 1309.3 | 78.6 | 612.6 | 534.0 |
| 5 | 8.368 | 9.0 | 1.07 | $3.896^{\circ}$ | 1310.2 | 78.3 | 609.9 | 531.6 |
| 6 | 8.398 | 9.0 | 1.07 | $3.916^{\circ}$ | 1309.4 | 78.4 | 610.5 | 532.1 |

It will be noticed that the figure in the last column falls from 537.4 calories per gram, the result of the fastest determination, to 531.8 calories, in the two slowest, - a change of about 1 per cent.

This definite march of the results seems to be referable only to the loss of heat by premature condensation in the part of the tube between the trap and the condenser, - a defect in the method which is inevitable, for the vaporizer could hardly be brought closer to the calorimeter than it was in these experiments.

When the results are plotted, the values for the heat of vaporization being laid out in the direction of ordinates, and the time required for vaporization of 1 gram laid out in the direction of abscissw, the linear


Figure 6. The Heat of Evaporation of Water; First Series.
Time in fractions of a minute is plotted in the direction of abscissx, and heat of evaporation (in $21^{\circ}$ calories) in the direction of ordinates. The dotted line is an extrapolation, giving the value for a hypothetical instantaneous experiment.
tendency of the results is manifest. The greatest departure of any single result from the straight line representing their average tendency is only 0.6 calorie, or 0.1 per cent of the total thermal quantity being measured. I'his corresponds to an error of thermometric reading of $0.004^{\circ}$. The agreement therefore is as close as could be expected.

By extrapolation to zero time the value 539.6 (cal. $21^{\circ}$ ) is obtained for the heat of vaporization of a gram of water weighed in air - a value from which the effect of premature condensation must have been eliminated, because there is every reason to believe that this disturbing phenomenon is directly dependent upon the time consumed in the experiment, and that if the experiment could be performed instantancously the error would wholly disappear. 'This value becomes 538.8 in terms of the calorie at $15^{\circ}$.

Inspection of the curve shows that the loss of heat in 1 minute must have been 7.0 small calories from this apparatus under these circumstances, and each of the results is evilently to be reduced to a common basis by adding to it this value multiplied by the fraction of a minute required for the vaporization of 1 gram . 'The results, then, become respectively $539.9,539.4,539.7,540.2,539.1$ and 539.6 in the
mean 539.6 (cal. $21^{\circ}$ ), essentially the same as the value found by the graphic extrapolation, upon which indeed the values are directly dependent.

Feeling that it was desirable to test these conclusions in another apparatus, a new vaporizer was made, - unfortmately, however, with a somewhat wider and heavier exit tube. In this apparatus both the warming effeet of the vaporizer upon the calorimeter and the loss of heat from premature condensation were greater than before - the

Heat of Evaporation of Water.
Series II, with Vaporizer II.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 7.435 | 3.0 | 0.40 | $3.484^{\circ}$ | 1309.0 | 78.62 | 613.5 | 534.9 |
| 8 | 7.170 | 3.0 | 0.42 | $3.376^{\circ}$ | 1306.9 | 78.95 | 615.4 | 536.4 |
| 9 | S. 740 | 4.5 | 0.52 | $4.091^{\circ}$ | 1305.6 | 78.54 | 612.5 | 534.0 |
| 10 | 8.625 | 5.0 | 0.58 | $4.034^{\circ}$ | 1308.6 | 78.59 | 612.1 | 533.5 |
| 11 | 9.040 | 10.0 | 1.11 | $4.190^{\circ}$ | 1310.1 | 78.69 | 607.3 | 528.6 |
| 12 | 9.150 | 10.0 | 1.09 | $4.250^{\circ}$ | 1307.8 | 78.54 | 607.5 | 529.0 |

former instead of being about $0.009^{\circ}$ per minute became about 0.013 , and the correction for premature condensation also increasing from 7 calories per minute to nearly 9.7 calories per minute. The determinations were also somewhat less concordant than before, as was to have been expected on account of the larger correction for radiation and conduction. Nevertheless they add valuable confirmatory evidence to the results given in the previous series, and accordingly are recorded in the following table arranged in the same way as those. Experiments 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 were consecutive, and were made on the 7th and 8th of April, 1908. Experiment 12 was made at another time, but accords satisfactorily with 11, having about the same rate. During Nos. 7, 9 and 10 the barometer stood at 763 millimeters; during Nos. is and 11 at 766 millimeters, and during 12 at 762 millimeters.

On extrapolating the steeper but fairly consistent straight line drawn through these determinations, the value 539.3 (cal. $21^{\circ}$ ) is obtained for the heat of vaporization of a gram of water, a value only about 0.06 per cent lower than that found in the previous series. A part of this difference is due to the slightly higher temperature of boiling in the second series, caused by the greater pressure.


Figure 7. The Heat of Eraporation of Water; Second Series.
Time in fractions of a minute is plotted in the direction of abscissae, and heat of evaporation (in $21^{\circ}$ calories) in the direction of ordinates. The dotted line is an extrapolation, giving the value for a hypothetical instantaneous experiment.

The individual determinations may be corrected by adding to them 9.65 multiplied by the time needed for vaporization of 1 gram, because the loss of heat is found through extrapolation to have been 9.65 small calories per minute. Corrected in this way the five determinations become respectively $535.8,540.5,539.0,539.1,539.3$, on the average 539.3 as given before. The average of the results given in this series and those given in the previous series is 539.45 . As the second decimal place has no significance, this may be rounded off to 539.5 , giving the first series preference, partly because the pressure was more nearly normal, and partly because the apparatus was undoubtedly better and the series more concordant. This value becomes 538.7 in terms of the calorie at $15^{\circ}$. If the weight of the water is reduced to the vacuum standard, both of these figures are diminished by 0.11 per cent. The resulting values may be given in a brief table, together with the same quantity expressed in terms of the c. g. s. units :-

## Final Result.

Latent heat of evaporation at $100^{\circ}$ of 1.0000 gram (in vacuum) of water equals

> 538.9 cal..$_{21^{\circ}}$ or $0.5389 \mathrm{Cal}_{21^{\circ}}$ 538.1 cal. $15^{\circ}$ or $0.5381{\mathrm{Cal} .15^{\circ}}^{2251 \text { joules or } 2.251 \text { kilojoules }}$

In all the subsequent work the first vaporizer was used, even although its vacuum had been destroyed ; and it continued to give excellent results with other substances. The communication of these results must be left for a future publication, partly because the necessary specific heats of the liquids are not yet well enough known. One point, however, in connection with the results may advantageously be mentioned here, because it strengthens highly one's faith in the method of extrapolation employed to eliminate the effect of premature condensation. The loss of heat per gram was 7 calories per minute with steam in the best apparatus (Vaporizer I). With liquids of lower boiling point the loss should be less; with liquids of higher boiling point the loss should be more, if the inference is really justified. As a matter of fact precisely this phenomenon was noticed with different liquids. For example in the case of benzene, where the difference between the temperature of the vaporizer and that of the calorimeter amounted to $60^{\circ}$ instcad of to about $80^{\circ}$, the loss per minute amounted to about 5.2 calories per minute, ${ }^{31}$ a figure strictly proportional to the number 7.0 found in the case of water. Again, with ethyl butyrate, boiling at $122^{\circ}$, the difference between the temperature of the vaporizer and that of the calorimeter was $102^{\circ}$ instead of $81^{\circ}$, a fall of temperature which should correspond to a loss of heat of about 9 calories per minute, and the actual loss was found to be about 9.2 calories per minute, an amount as close as could be expected to the computed result. Moreover, in the case of methyl formate, boiling at $32^{\circ}$, the time of the experiment made practically no difference at all in the observed value for the heat of vaporization. Thus it seems perfectly clear that the march in the results is really due to an illicit loss of heat, and that the method employed for correcting the results is the best that can be devised. In conclusion the remark may be made that unfortunate as this inevitable difficulty with the method is, it is no more unfortunate than similar clifficulties which come into any other method for determining the latent heat of vaporization. As has been more than once pointed out, the very nature of the problem renders impossible a method wholly free from some sort of correction. Even Henning's far more complicated method had its own difficulties of a somewhat similar kind, as a perusal of his paper will show.

The comparison of our value for the heat of vaporization of a gram of water weighed in vacuum, 538.9 cal. ${ }_{21^{\circ}}$ (or $538.1 \mathrm{cal}_{15^{\circ}}$ ), with the
${ }^{31}$ This figure applies only to Vaporizer I, not to the preliminary form used in the benzene series given on p. 13. In the early form the loss per minute was over 9 calories with benzene.
work of others, speaks strongly in its favor. The value given by Reg. nault, 536.7, is unquestionably too low. Henning's value, 538.25 cal. ${ }_{15}$ was found at $100.6^{\circ}$. Corrected to $100^{\circ}$, this would be 538.7 cal. ${ }_{15}{ }^{\circ}$, a value only a trifle above ours. Joly, ${ }^{32}$ Harker, ${ }^{33}$ and Smith, ${ }^{34}$ likewise obtained values near 540 .

In conclusion we are glad to express our gratitude to the Carnegie Institution of Washington, for generous pecuniary assistance.

## Summary.

1. The method of Berthelot and Kahlenberg for determining heats of vaporization has been modified in such a way as to diminish greatly the errors inherent in the experimentation. A Dewar vessel was used as a vaporizer and the calorimetric work was strictly adiabatic.
2. A serious persistent disturbing effect, amounting to about 0.1 per cent of the total per minute, was eliminated by conducting experiments at different speeds and extrapolating the results to a hypothetical instantaneous experiment from which the disturbing effect may be supposed to be eliminated, because this was found to depend essentially upon time. The disturbing effect was probably premature condensation in the very narrow zone between the vaporizer and the condenser.
3. The heat of vaporization of a true gram of water was found by this method to be 538.9 cal. $21^{\circ}$ or 2.251 kilojoules per gram. A gram molecule therefore requires $9.707 \mathrm{Cal}_{21^{\circ}}$ or 40.54 kilojoules, when the vaporization is conducted at $100^{\circ}\left(0=16.000,1 \mathrm{Cal}_{21^{\circ}}=4.177\right.$ kilojoules).
4. Comparison of this figure with the results of others shows that the method is trustworthy and suitable for general use.
5. Numerous other liquids also have been used in the apparatus, and consistent results with them have been obtained. These will be communicated in a future paper, when the specific heats of the liquids have been determined.
${ }^{32}$ Phil. Trans., 186, 322 (1895). Dependent on Joly's value for the mean calorie between $12^{\circ}$ and $100^{\circ}$ as determined by the steam calorimeter.
${ }^{33}$ Loc. cit.
${ }^{34}$ Loc. cit.
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In making some kinds of electrical measurements, one occasionally needs to alter abruptly the inductances of a circuit and to inquire what the effect of the change is upon the march of the currents which the circuit is carrying. If the circuit happens to be a simple one with no magnetic metals and no other circuits near, and if the whole change takes place in a sufficiently short time, it is easy to compute the magnitude and the direction of the corresponding change in the current. If, however, the circuit is complex, or affected by the presence of other inductive circuits in the neighborhood, and if the duration of the change in inductance is long compared with the various time constants which enter, the problem may be much more difficult; though if there be no magnetic metals in the field, the principles laid down more than forty years ago by Maxwell ${ }^{1}$ in his dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field, and soon afterwards elaborated and illustrated by Rayleigh and others, point the way to the solution.

In most cases which present themselves in practice, there are masses of magnetizable metal in the form of cores, near the circuits to be studied, and it is often difficult, even if one knows something about the magnetic properties and the history of the cores, to predict exactly what the effects of a given sudden change in the inductances will be.

[^112]This paper discusses first, with the help of mechanical analogies, a few simple and familiar cases of circuits without cores, with the purpose of emphasizing some facts to be met with also when cores are present, and then gives a number of diagrams obtained from the photographic records of oscillographs in circuits which contained large electromagnets some with solid and some with divided cores. Changes in the inductances of a circuit which contains one or more electromagnets often involve the moving of comparatively large masses of metal, and it is obviously impossible to make such changes instantaneously even though they may be carried out in intervals which are not long relatively to the time constants of the circuit. In solid cores, also, eddy currents tend to mask the effects of sudden changes in the conformation of the circuit, and this, with the fact that the susceptibility of the iron depends not only upon the intensity of the present excitation, but also upon the past experiences of the metal, leads to considerable differences in the magnetic behavior of a circuit according as it does or does not "contain iron." The diagrams show these differences and illustrate some typical conditions which arise in practical work.

It is well known that the final flux of magnetic induction through a solid iron core is not determined by the intensity of the excitation alone even when its magnetic condition at the outset is given, but depends in many cases upon the mamer of application of the given excitation, - whether it be made suddenly, by small steps at intervals, or by slow, continuous rise. The diagrams are interesting in this connection because they show that when the core is fairly well dividerd, the forms of two distinct portions of a current curve, interrupted by a sudden change of inductances, are often almost identical with corresponding portions of two current curves obtained without any such interruption, the one with the original inductances, the other with the final ones.

It may be well to consider briefly at the outset the very simplest case, the familiar one of a single circuit, without iron, of fixed resistance, $r$ obms, and of inductance originally equal to $L_{0}$ henries, which contains a constant electromotive force of $E$ volts and is carrying at the time $t=0$, a current of $C_{0}$ amperes. At this instant $(t=0)$ let the inductance begin to change according to some law, and progressing always in the same direction, let it attain at the time $T$ the given value $L_{1}$, after which it shall remain constant.

In order to illustrate graphically the effect of making the given change in inductance in longer or shorter time intervals, it will le convenient to use three rectangular axes for $t, C$, and $T$ respectively,
and to represent the valuc ( $L$ ) of the inductance at any time $0<t<T$, by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}+\left(L_{1}-L_{0}\right) f\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(0)=0, f(1)=1$, and for $0<x<1, f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$.
'The line OK in the $t$ Tplane (Figure 1) has the equation $t=T$, and after the value ( $I=O H^{\prime}$ ) has been fixed for $T$, the course of the current during the change of inductance may be shown by a curve ( $H Q S$ ) in a plane (FRS), the equation of which is $T=T^{\prime \prime}$. FH , which measures the ordinates of the line AG in the $C T$ plane represents the initial current $\left(C_{0}\right)$ at the time $t=0$, and the ordinates of the curve $1[B$ in the plane COK represent for different values of $T$ the intensities of the current at the end of the change in inductance, when the form of the function $f$ is the same. The vertical distance AB shows the magnitude


Figure 1. of the sudden change in the current strength when the change in $L$ is supposed to be instantaneous and is the same whatever form $f$ has.

During the interval $0<t<T$, the current is to be found, of course, by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E-\frac{d(L C)}{d t}=r C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- where $L$ has the variable value given above - and making the constant of integration such that $C=C_{0}$ when $t=0$. After the epoch $t=T$, the intensity of the current satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E-L_{1} \cdot \frac{d C}{d t}=r C \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the coefficients are constants. Equation (2) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C}{d t}+\frac{r+L^{\prime}}{L} \cdot C=\frac{E}{L}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{\prime}=d L!d t$, and if we make use of the usual notation, ${ }^{2}$ and put $P=\left(r+L^{\prime}\right) / L, Q=E / L$, we shall have in general

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=e^{-p}\left(M+\int_{0}^{t} Q e^{\tau} d t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad p=\int_{0}^{t} P \cdot d t=\log \binom{L}{L_{0}}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{r d t}{L}$.
That is, if $0 \leqq t \leqq T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}=\frac{L_{0}}{L_{t}} e^{-\int_{0}^{t \tau t t} \frac{t}{L}}\left(C_{0}+\frac{E}{L_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\int_{0}^{t \tau d t} L} \cdot d t\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{T}=\frac{L_{0}}{L_{1}} e^{-\int_{0}^{T r d t} L}\left(C_{0}+\frac{E}{L_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{0} \frac{t r d t}{L}} \cdot d t\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression $L$ is to progress always in the same direction from $L_{0}$ to $L_{1}$, and cannot pass through the value zero, so that the limit of $C_{T}$ as $T$ approaches zero has the familiar value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{T=0}{\operatorname{Limit}} C_{T}=\frac{L_{0} C_{0}}{L_{1}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which might have been found directly by integrating (2) with respect to $t$ from 0 to $T$; the electromagnetic momentum has no sudden change. Equation (9) follows immediately, of course, when one makes use of the usual analogies between the phenomena of ordinary mechanics and those of electromagnetism. Equation (2) is in form like the equation of motion of a system the mass of which changes with the time in a certain given manner and which is under the action of a constant accelerating force and a retarding force proportional to the velocity. Let a moving mass $L$ grow steadily during its motion by the gradual accretion of small particles which, originally at rest, are suddenly made part of the moving system, much as the links of a fine chain which has been lying on a table are successively set in motion when one end of the chain is lifted more and more ; or let the mass $L$ decrease steadily by the loss of small particles each of which leaves

[^113]the system with a parting push which reduces its own velocity to zero and speeds its late companions on their way; then, if 1 ' is the velotity of the moving mass, $E$ the accolerating force, and $r C$ the retarding force, the equation of motion will be $d\left(L C^{\prime}\right) / d t=E-r C^{\prime}$, that is, $(2)$.


Figure 2.
If, when its velocity is $C_{0}$, the mass of such a system be instantaneously changed from $L_{0}$ to $L_{1}$, the principle of the conservation of momentum in impact shows that if $C_{1}$ is the velocity immediately after the impulsive change, $L_{0} C_{0}=L_{1} C_{1}$.

The conventional diagram shown in Figure 2 indicates the nature of this simple mechanical problem. $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ is a mass furnished with a stiff vane of such a size as to make the air resistance (which is proportional to the velocity) equal to $r$ units when the mass is moving with unit velocity. $\quad L_{0}$ is urged to the right by the constant force $E$ and is retarded by a force $r v$. A slack inextensible string connects $L_{0}$ with another mass $L_{1}-L_{0}$, and when the string becomes taut, the impulsive change in the velocity of $L_{0}$ corresponds to the change in the current in the inductive circuit when the inductance is impulsively changed from $L_{0}$ to $L_{1}$.

If the induction flux, $N$, in a circuit which contains no iron be plotted against the current, the resulting locus is a straight line through the origin, the slope of which is the self-inductance of the circuit. If, then, the lines $(\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{OV}$, Figure 3) corresponding to $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ be drawn, and if when the rising current has attained the value $C_{0}$, the inductance be supposed to change suddenly to $L_{1}$, the induction flux through the circuit


Figure 3. The line OFTV represents the change of the induction flux linked with a circuit without iron, when the inductance is suddenly increased. preserves its value unchanged while the current falls from $C_{0}$ to $C_{1}$, and the point in the diagram which gives the state of the circuit moves from F to T .

If, as is approximately the case with some circuits which have open vol. xlvi. - 35
cores made of very finely divided soft iron, the hysteresis diagram is extremely narrow, so that the inductance may be considered to be a


Figure 4. The line OFKS represents the growth of the induction flux in a magnet with finely divided core when the inductance of the circuit is suddenly increased.
or definite function of the current strength, we may represent two different states of the circuit by lines like OFR and OKS of Figure 4. It is then easy to see that in this case also a sudden change from one state to the other when the current had the value $\mathrm{OD}=C_{0}$ would leave the induction flux through the circuit momentarily unchanged while the current fell to $\mathrm{OE}=\mathrm{C}_{1}$, and the point which represents the state of the circuit would suddenly move from F to K .

If, at any instant, the total flux of magnetic induction through any simple circnit, which may or may not contain iron, is $N$ (maxwells), if $r$ is the resistance of the circuit in ohms, $C$, the current in amperes, and $E$, the applied electromotive force in volts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E-\frac{1}{10^{8}} \cdot \frac{d N}{d t}=r C \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if the final value $(E / r)$ of the current be denoted by $C^{\prime}$, and the change in $N^{\top}$ during the time interval $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$ by $N_{1,2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1,2}=r \cdot 10^{8} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(C^{\prime}-C\right) d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, now, $C$ be plotted against the time (as in an oscillograph diagram) in a curve $s$ (Figure 5 ) in which $l$ centimeters parallel to the axis of abscissas represent one second, and an ordinate $m$ centimeters long one ampere, the curve will have a horizontal asymptote (CY) at a distance (KC) corresponding to $E / r$ amperes from the time axis, and, if OK represents the time $t_{1}$ and OL the time $t_{2}$, the area FGDC, or $A_{1,2}$, expressed in square centimeters, is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
l m \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(r^{\prime}-C\right) d t \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1,2}=\frac{10^{8}}{l m}\left(r \cdot 1_{1,2}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curve ONJ of Figure 6, which has been carefully drawn to scaic, represents the growth of the current with the time in a circuit without


Figure 5. If $l$ centimeters parallel to the horizontal axis represent one second, and an ordinate $m$ centimeters long one ampere, $A \cdot 10^{8} . r / l m$ (where $A$ is the area, in square centimeters, of CDGF) represents the change in the magnetic flux through the circuit during the interval KL.
iron, of resistance $r$ and inductance $L$. The curve OPT' represents the current in the same circuit when the inductance has been increased to $4 L$, while the resistance is the same as before. If, when the inductance of the circuit is $L$, the current rises in the time OU to the value UN, and if then the inductance is instantly increased to $4 L$, the current falls to UF and then rises again in the manner indicated by the curve FG, which is the curve OP'I moved to the right through a distance OL just great enough to make its ordinate at the time OU equal to one fourth of UN. Since the area between the curve and its asymptote is proportional to the inductance flux through the circuit, it is clear without any of the reasoning of the preceding paragraphs, that
there cannot be any impulsive change of the induction flux when the inductance is suddenly increased. A glance at the figure shows, however, that the rate of increase of the induction suddenly becomes much greater than it was just before the change.

Curve ODE of Figure 7 shows the manner of growth of the current in another simple circuit of fixed inductance, $4 L$. If, at the time $0 W$, when the current has attained half its final strength, and the induction


Figure 6. The line ONJ represents the current in a circuit of inductance $L$ without iron. OPT shows the form of the current in the same circuit when the inductance has been increased to $4 L$. ONFG is the current when the inductance is suddenly changed from $L$ to $4 L$ at the time OU.
flux through the circuit is represented on the scale indicated by equation by $O A B D$, the inductance be suddenly changed to $L$, the current suddenly becomes four times as strong as it was and then falls in a manner shown by the curve S'l'. 'The flux through the circuit just after the change is already twice as large as it will be eventually when the current reaches its final value, ( A , and it decreases by an amount represented by the area $\mathrm{BS}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$, which is half the area AODB. Just before the change the flux was iucreasing with the time at a rate represented by the length of the line BD ; just after the change it decreases at a rate represented by the line BS, which is twice as long as DB.

In the case of a circuit which does not contain iron, an increase of
inductance without an increase of the resistance usually involves a change of the conformation of the circuit, and this generally requires a considerable fraction of a second, at least, to bring about, so that the formula (9) camot be used to determine the current strength at the end of the inductance change. 'To illustrate this fact we may assume that the change from $L_{0}$ to $L_{1}$ in the time $T$ is brought about at a


Figure 7. ODE shows the current in a simple circuit of fixed inductance, $4 L$. If at the time OW, when the current has attained half its final intensity; the inductance is suddenly reduced to $L$, the course of the current will be ODBST.
constant rate so that $L=L_{0}+t\left(L_{1}-L_{0}\right) / T$, and the strength of the current at the time $t$ is given by the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
C=\left(\frac{L_{0}}{L}\right)^{m} \cdot\left(C_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{E T}{m\left(L_{1}-L_{0}\right)} \cdot \frac{L^{m}-L_{0}{ }^{m}}{L_{0}{ }^{m}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
m=\frac{r T+L_{1}-L_{0}}{L_{1}-L_{0}} \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

If, now, $C=E / r$ amperes, $L_{0}=2$ henries, $L_{1}=4$ henries, then, according as $T$ is one second, half a second, one tenth of a second, or one hundredth of a second, the value $\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of the current at the end of the interval $T$ is $6.980 \cdot C_{0}, 0.962 \cdot C_{0}, 0.836 \cdot C_{0}^{\prime}$, or $0.569 \cdot C_{0}$, whereas $C_{1}$
would be $0.5 \cdot C_{0}$, if the change in the inductance had been instantaneous.

Figure 8 shows in 'TW the relative changes in the current in this circuit from $t=0$ to $t=T$, when $T$ is one tenth of a second, and in TZ the changes when $T$ is one one-hundredth of a second. If the change were instantaneous the course of the current in one tenth of a second would correswond to the line TRU.


Figure S. If in a certain inductive circuit, without iron, the inductance be instantaneotisly doubled, the course of the eurrent in the next tenth of a second will be TRU. TW shows the current if the doubling be brought about by a continuous change going on at a constant rate during the whole interval. TZ shows on a different time scale, the course of the current for a hundredth of a second, if during this interval the inductance be changed at a constant rate which results at the end in its being doubled.

We may next consider the somewhat less simple circuit indicated in Figure $9_{a}$, consisting of three parallel branches each of which has selfinductance, but no two of which have mutual inductance. Let $r, r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the resistances of the branches, $L, L_{1}, L_{2}$ their inductances, $E_{\prime}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ the constant electromotive forces of the generators in them, and $C_{1}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ the currents. At the time $t=0$, when the currents and the inductances have given values, let the inductances begin to change according to given laws cach of which can be expressed by an equation similar to ( 1 ), and let them attain, at the time $T$, other given values, which they
thereafter keep. It is evident that any instant during the interval $0<t<T$,


Figure 9.

$$
\begin{gather*}
E+E_{1}-\frac{d(L C)}{d t}-\frac{d\left(L_{1} C_{1}\right)}{d t}=r \cdot C+r_{1} C_{1}, \\
E+E_{2}-\frac{d\left(L C^{\prime}\right)}{d t}-\frac{d\left(L_{2} r_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{d t}=r C+r_{2} C_{2},  \tag{17}\\
C=C_{1}+C_{2},
\end{gather*}
$$

or, if we represent differentiation with respect to the time by accents, $\left(L+L_{1}\right) C_{1}^{\prime}+L C^{\prime}{ }_{2}+\left(L^{\prime}+L_{1}^{\prime}+r+r_{1}\right) C_{1}+\left(L^{\prime}+r\right) C_{2}=E+E_{1}$,

$$
L C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(L+L_{2}\right) C_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\left(L^{\prime}+r\right) C_{1}+\left(L^{\prime}+L_{2}^{\prime}+r+r_{2}\right) C_{2}=E+E_{2}^{\prime} .
$$

If, from these equations and others obtained by differentiating them with respect to the time, $C_{2}$ and its derivatives be eliminated, we shall
get a differential equation of the second order for $C_{1}$ in which the inductances and their derivatives are known functions of $t$, and the initial values of $C_{1}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ are also known. This new equation may be found by equating to zero the determinant
$\left|\begin{array}{cccc}L & 2 L^{\prime}+r & L^{\prime \prime} & \left(L+L_{1}\right) C^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}+\left(2 L^{\prime}+2 L^{\prime}{ }_{1}+r+r_{1}\right) C^{\prime}{ }_{1}+\left(L^{\prime \prime}+L^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}\right) C_{1} \\ L+L_{2} & 2 L^{\prime}+2 L^{\prime}{ }_{2}+r+r_{2} & L^{\prime \prime}+L^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2} & L C^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1}+\left(2 L^{\prime}+r\right) C_{1}^{\prime}+L^{\prime \prime} C_{1} \\ 0 & L & L^{\prime}+r & \left(L+L_{1}\right) C_{1}^{\prime}+\left(L^{\prime}+L_{1}^{\prime}+r+r_{1}\right) C_{1}-E-E_{1} \\ 0 & L+L_{2} & L^{\prime}+L^{\prime}+r+r_{2} & L C^{\prime}{ }_{1}+\left(L^{\prime}+r\right) C_{1}-E-E_{2}\end{array}\right|$
and, although it may be somewhat simplified, it generally proves rather intractable. If, however, the interval $T$ is so short that the changes in the inductances may be regarded as impulsive, the corresponding changes in the currents may be found immediately, for if the equations be integrated with respect to the time from $t=0$ to $t=T$, and if $T$ be made to approach zero, while the currents remain finite, it appears that $L C+L_{1} C_{1}$ and $L C+L_{2} C_{2}$ have the same values just after the impulsive change in the inductances as they had just before the change. The induction flux through each circuit chosen for the equations remains unchanged by the sudden change of inductances.

It is easy to find a number of differeut problems in mechanics each of which yields equations of motion of the form (17), and is, therefore, analogous in a sense to the electromagnetic problem under consideration. Such an analogy, even though it be difficult to embody it in a working model, sometimes makes clearer to a person already familiar with mechanical principles the nature of the phenomena which he is to look for in interpreting his electrical equations. It will do no harm if, in imagining a mechanical system which is to serve this purpose, we postulate the existence o. flexible, inextensible, massless strings, or even, at a pinch, the existence of stiff, nearly massless rods, or of pulley wheels so light that their moments of inertia shall be negligible. It is often desirable to imagine the motions of the masses which in the mechanical system represent the inductances in the electrical problem, to be hindered by retarding forces proportional to the velocities, to represent the electrical resistances. The resistance which the air offers to a booly moving through it with a constant velocity not greater than 50 cms. per second is very nearly proportional to that velocity ; and since the velocities which in the mechanical case correspond to the currents are usually much smaller than that, the resistance may be sufficiently well indicated by thin wings or vanes of proper size attached to the masses.

In the arrangement shown in Figure 10 the masses $L, L_{1}, L_{2}$, are
urged towards the bottom of the diagram by forces of intensity $E_{\prime}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{q}^{\prime}$. The lines drawn across the masses indicate wings of such shapes as to make the resistances due to the air $r, r_{1}, r_{2}$ dynes respectively, when the corrcsponding velocities are one centimeter per second. It is evident from the geometry of the figure that the velocity of $L$ downward is equal to the sum of the velocities of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ upward. The tension of the string attached to $L$ and passing over the massless pulley A is at every instant half that of the cord which is attached to the massless pulley $B$, and equal to the tension of the cord which comnects $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. The equations of motion of the masses are of the form (17). If, as a consequence of applied forces or impulses, the string should become slack, the analogy between the mechanical and the electromagnetic problems would disappear, and it is sometimes convenient to imagine the masses attached to taut endless strings in some such manner as is shown in Figure 11. It is very easy to construct a model of this kind which will work fairly well if
 one uses for masses properly loaded roller skates which move about on the level top of a table. The masses may be connected by fine catgut passing around small, cheap pulleys with vertical axes mounted on the table.

A special case of some practical interest is that indicated in Figure $9_{\mathrm{b}}$, where the terminals of a battery without sensible self-inductance are connected by two inductive branches in parallel. The currents are given by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1} L_{2} \frac{d^{2} C_{1}}{d t^{2}}+\left[\left(r+r_{1}\right) L_{2}+\left(r+r_{2}\right)\right. & \left.L_{1}\right] \frac{d C_{1}}{d t} \\
& +\left(r_{1} r_{2}+r r_{1}+r r_{2}\right) C_{1}=r_{2} E \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} L_{2} \frac{d^{2} C_{2}}{d t^{2}}+\left[\left(r+r_{1}\right) L_{2}+\left(r+r_{2}\right)\right. & \left.L_{1}\right] \frac{d r_{2}}{d t} \\
& +\left(r_{1} r_{2}+r r_{1}+r r_{2}\right) C_{2}=r_{1} E
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is clear that if the inductances are suddenly changed, the products $L_{1} C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2} C_{2}^{1}$ are continuous, and if, in particular, only one of the inductances is altered, the current in the parallel branch is itself


Figcte 12. The lines OTW, OSM, show the forms of the currents in two parallel inductive resistances which connect the terminals of a storage battery. When at a given instant, the inductance of one of the parallel branches is suddenly doubled, the current in it changes its value abruptly and takes the course OTPYY, while the current in the other (OSED) suffers no sudden change in strength.
continuous. Figures 12 and 13 are drawn to scale for two typical cases which indicate well enough what is usually to be expected. In both diagrams $L_{1}=1, L_{2}=1, r=12, r_{1}=20, r_{2}=30, E=120$ (or these quantities are to be in the proportions here given). 'The final values of $C_{1}^{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are 3 amperes and 2 amperes.

In the case which corresponds to Figure 12 the battery circuit is closed at a given instant, and 0.02 seconds afterwards, when $C_{1}$ has
attained the value 1.607 and $C_{2}$ the value $1.457, L_{1}$ is suddenly changed from 1 to 2 . As a consequence, $C_{1}$ falls suddenly to 0.8035 , while ( ${ }_{2}$ remains momentarily unchanged. Before the change, the currents were given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1}=3-1 \frac{10}{3!} e^{-24 t}-129 e^{-50 t}, \quad C_{2}=2+1 \frac{20}{50} e^{-24 t}-\frac{36 \%}{13} e^{-50}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 13. After the currents in two parallel inductive resistances which connect the terminals of a storage battery have become steady, at the values OK, OL, the inductance of one of the branches is suddenly doubled so that the current in it takes the course KGQWS. The current in the other branch takes the continuous form LRDT and approaches its final value from above.
and afterwards by the approximate equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}=3-1.912 e^{-13.48 t}-0.284 e^{-44.52 t}  \tag{22}\\
& C_{2}=2+0.803 e^{-13.48 t}-1.346 e^{-44.52 t}
\end{align*}
$$

The line OTPVY shows the course of $C_{1}$, and OSED the course of $C_{2}$. It will be observed that $C_{2}$ approaches its final value from above.

If the change in inductance is made after the currents have attained their final values, the courses of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ will be those indicated in Figure 13 by the lines KGQS and LRD'T. If after the currents have reached their steady values, the main circuit be suddenly broken, $C_{1}$
and $C_{2}$ instantly acquire equal and opposite values, and the subsequent course of $C_{1}$ is given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{E\left(L_{1} r_{2}-L_{2} r_{1}\right)}{r_{1} r_{2}+r_{1}+r r_{2}} e^{-\frac{r_{2}+r_{2}}{L_{1}+L_{2}}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

See in Figure 13 the line GRXZ.
If the terminals of an open battery circuit of inductance $L$ and of resistance $r$ be connected by a number of inductive conductors in parallel, of resistances $r_{1}, r_{2}$,


Figure 14. $r_{3}, r_{4}$, etc., and of inductances $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}$, etc., and if sudden changes be made in the inductances, the quantities

$$
\begin{gathered}
L C+L_{1} C_{1}, L C+L_{2} C_{2}, \\
L C+L_{3} C_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

etc., will be continuous. If $L$ is negligible, and if only some of the other inductances be impulsively changed, the cur-
rents in the other branches will be continuous.
If, in the arrangement shown in Figure 14, the masses $P, Q, R$ are numerically equal to $L_{1}, L, L_{2}$, respectively, if the velocities of P and R in the direction of the bottom of the page are $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and if the dimensions of the vanes attached to the masses are such that the air offers resistance of $r_{1}, r, r_{2}$ times the velocities to the motion of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}$, and $R$, the equations of motion of the masses are identical with the current equations for the electrical circuit shown in the figure.

The currents in two neighboring circuits (Figure $9_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) of self-inductances $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and mutual inductance $M$, which contain the electromotive forces $E_{1}, E_{2}$, are given by the familiar equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}-L_{1} \frac{d C_{1}}{d t}-M \frac{d C_{2}}{d t}-r_{1} C_{1}=0  \tag{24}\\
& E_{2}-L_{2} \frac{d C_{2}}{d t}-M \frac{d C_{1}}{d t}-r_{2} C_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

and any impulsive changes in the inductances cause such sudden changes in the current as will keep $L_{1} C_{1}+M C_{2}$ and $L_{2} C_{2}+M / C_{1}$ momentarily unchanged.

Many different working models have been made to illustrate the simple electrical problems which concern two such circuits. Of these some of the best known are due to Maxwell, Rayleigh, J. J. Thomson, Webster, and Boltzmann.
'I'he original model of Maxwell, now in the Cavendish Laboratory, is represented by Figure 15 ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$, taken from Gray's Absolute Measurements in Electricity and Magnetism, where an excellent account of the apparatus and its theory may be found.

In Lord Rayleigh's model, shown in Figure $15_{\mathrm{b}}$, "two similar pulleys $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, turn upon a piece of round steel fixed horizontally. Over these is hung an endless cord, and the two bights carry similar pendent pulleys, C, D, from which again hang weights, E, F. . . . In the electrical


Figure 15. analogy, the rotary velocity of A corresponds to a current in a primary circuit, that of $B$ to a current in the secondary. . . . In the absence of friction there is nothing to correspond to electrical resistance, so that the conductors must be looked on as perfect. If $x$ and $y$ denote the circumferential velocities, in the same direction, of the pulleys $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, where the cord is in contact with them, $\frac{1}{2}(x+y)$ is the vertical velocity of the pendent pulleys. Also $\frac{1}{2}(x-y)$ is the circumferential velocity of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, due to rotation, at the place where the cord engages. If the diameter be here $2 a$, the angular velocity is $(x-y) / 2 a$. Thus, if $M$ be the total mass of each pendent pulley and attachment, $M h^{2}$, the moment of inertia of the revolving parts, the whole kinetic energy corresponding to each is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} M\left\{\frac{(x+y)^{2}}{4}+\frac{k}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4}\right)\right\} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the energy of the whole system, we should have the double of this, and, if it were necessary to include them, terms proportional to $x^{2}$ and $y^{2}$, to represent the energy of the fixed pulleys."

Here $L_{1}=L_{2}=a^{2}+k^{2}, M=a^{2}-k^{2}$, and, if there were no magnetic leakage, $k$ would need to he zero.

Figure 16 represents the model of Professor Sir J. J. Thomson. ${ }^{3}$ "It consists of three smooth, parallel, horizontal steel bars on which


Figure 16. masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, m$ slide, the masses being separated from the bars by friction wheels; the three masses are connected together by a light rigid bar which passes through holes in swivels fixed on the upper part of the masses; the bar can slide backwards and forwards through these holes, so that the only constraint imposed by the bar is to keep the masses in a straight line."

If $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}, c^{\prime}$ are velocities of $m_{1}, m_{2}$, in the same direction, the velocity of $M$, if it be midway between $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, is $\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{\prime}{ }_{1}+x^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)$, and the kinetic energy is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} L_{1} x_{1}^{\prime 2}+N x_{1}^{\prime} \cdot x_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} L_{2} x_{2}^{\prime 2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{1}=m_{1}+\frac{1}{4} m, \quad L_{2}=m_{2}+\frac{1}{4} m, \quad M=\frac{1}{4} m$.
Professor Webster's model is a modification of that of Thomson. "If the middle weight, instead of rolling on a fixed rail, roll on the bar connecting the two other carriages, the coefficients of induction will vary with the position of the middle mass, and moving it along its bar while one of the outer masses is moving will cause the other to move. The centrifugal force tending to make the middle mass roll along its bar will represent the magnetic forces between the eurrents."

The very elaborate and ingenions model of Boltzmann is described at length in the first fifty pages of his Vorlesungen über Maxwell's


Figure 17. Theorie der Elektricität und des Lichtes.

The general features of another simple model illustrative of this electrical problem are shown in Figure 17. The mass of U is $L_{1}-M$, that of $V$ is $4 M$, and that of $\Pi^{\prime}, L_{2}-M$. In Figure 15 the strings

[^114]are represented as stretched over four small pulleys to keep them tant. I have found that this model made of three weighted roller skates, moving over a level table top, and connected in the mamer indicated by cords passing around such small cheap pulleys as are obtainable at any irommonger's shop, may be made to work extremely well. The effects of sudden changes of inductance can be directly observed by dropping suitable masses into the skates as they move. In Figure 14, which illustrates the same problem, the mass of Q is $M$, and those of P and Q are $L_{1}-M$, $L_{2}-M$, respectively. Q should have no vane.

Scores of other models, more or less simple of construction, can easily be devised. It is to be noticed, however, that in some of the models which have been used to illustrate this problem, the masses representative of some of the combinations of the inductances would need to be negative if they were to correspond to cases which occasionally arise in electrical engineering.

If either of the two neighboring circuits contains no battery, the corresponding value of $E$ in


Figure 18. equations (24) is to be put equal to zero. Figure 19 is drawn for the case of an induction coil without iron and with no cell in the secondary circuit. The self-inductances of the two circuits are equal. The dotted curve, P , shows the form of the current induced in the secondary circuit when the primary circuit, which has been carrying a steady current, is suddenly broken. If, after a few seconds, the primary circuit containing its battery be closed again, the current in the secondary circuit will have the general form of either Q or $S$. Q, R, and $S$ are drawn for mutual inductances respectively half as great, nine tenths as great, and equal to, the inductance of either circuit. P is drawn for $M=L / 2$, and corresponds, therefore, to Q ; the areas $V$ and $W$ are equal. Curves like $P$ corresponding to $R$ and $S$ could be found by exaggerating all of P's ordinates in the ratio $9 / 5$, or the ratio 2 .

Figures 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 illustrate some phenomena which are frequently encountered in the practical use of neighboring inductive circuits. The curves have been drawn to scale for certain numerical values of the resistances, and the inductances so chosen as to make the results typical. There is no iron in either circuit, and only one circuit, the primary, contains a battery.

In Figure 20 the current in the primary circuit is drawn above 0X, in the curve OJAZ, and the current in the secondary circuit beneath MIN. Each of the circuits has a self-inductance of 2 henries, and the


Figcre 19. The curves $Q, R, S$ represent for different relative values of the mutual inductance the current induced in the secondary circuit of a certain induction coil without iron, when the primary circuit is suddenly closed.
resistances are 30 ohms and 40 ohms. The mutnal inductance is at first $\sqrt{2}$, and the currents are given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=4-2.4 e^{-10 t}-1.6 e^{-60 t}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{-2.4}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{-10 t}-e^{-60 t}\right), \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

until the time $O G=1 / 20$, when all the inductances are suddenly doubled. 'The currents are then given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=4-1.925 e^{-5 t}-0.8 .111 e^{-30 t}, \quad r_{2}^{\prime}=0.891 e^{-30 t}-1.361 e^{-5 t} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case represented by Figure $21, r_{1}=30, r_{2}=40,11=\sqrt{2}$, $L_{2}=2$. At the begiming $L_{1}=2$, but at the time 0.1 this is suddenly changed to 4 . Before the change in $L_{1}$ the currents are given by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=4-2.4 e^{-10 t}-1.6 e^{-60 t}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{-2.4}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{-10 t}-e^{-60 t}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just before the impulse, $C_{1}=2.465$, and $C_{2}=-0.945$; just after, the current in the primary is about 0.522 and the secondary current has the small positive value 0.217 . The new currents satisfy the equations

$$
C_{1}^{\prime}=4-2.634 e^{-20 t / 3}-0.543 e^{-30 t}, C_{2}^{\prime}=-0.932 e^{-20 t / 3}+1.149 e^{-30 t}, \quad(30)
$$

very nearly. $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is plotted below TQ.
Figure 22 shows the manner of growth of two neighboring currents, when $r=30, r=40, L=2, L=2$, and when $M$, which is at first


Figure 20. OJR and MFQ represent the forms of the primary and secondary currents in a certain induction coil without iron when the primary circuit is closed at the origin of time. If at the time OG, the self-inductances of both circuits and the mutual inductance of the two are suddenly doubled, the currents take the forms OJAZ and MFKY.
$\sqrt{2}$, is suddenly changed to zero at the time OA. When $M$ is changed, the current in the primary circuit suddenly falls from 2.465 to 1.797 , and the current in the secondary circuit, which has been negative, rises from -0.945 to +0.798 . After the change, the currents are given by the simple equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{\prime}=4-2.203 e^{-15 t}, \quad C_{2}^{\prime}=0.798 e^{-20 t} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 23 exhibits the effects of a sudden change in the value of the mutual inductance between the two circuits already described under Figures 21 and 22 , from $\sqrt{2}$ to 1.9 , while the other inductances remain unaltered. The primary current is shown by the curve OKRGS, and vol. xlyi. -36
()X shows its final value. The second current is represented by the curve ADWU plotted under AN and displaced to the right so that the sudden increase in the absolute strength at the time of the change in $1 /$ may appear. The flox of magnetic induction through the primary circuit is represented on the usual scale by the shaded area. The


Figure 21. At the time OA, the self-imductance of the primary circuit of a certain induction coil without iron is suddenly doubled, while the selfinductance of the secondary circuit and the mutual inductance of the two remain unchanged. OGC.Ji shows the course of the primary current and TDPSL that of the secondary current.
black area points to a decrease in this flux which goes on from the time XF to the time XG , when the current falls below its final value. The induction flux linked with each of the two circuits is plotted against the time in Figure 24. These quantities are shown to be continuons at the time of change in the inductance, as, of course, they should be.

Figure 25 shows another arrangement of two neighboring circuits and an analogous mechanical system. The gap () is closed at first, but is suddenly opened when the current has become steady. The mass W moves alone under the action of a force $E$ which urges it in the direction of the bottom of the page, and the air resistance. The motion
soon becomes steady, but when the string which comects W to X becomes tant the motion is suldenly changed.

Figure $9_{d}$ represents a circuit consisting of three parallel branches, each of which has self-inductance and may contain a battery, and two of which have mutual inductance. If $L_{1}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ are the self-inductances, $r, r_{1}, r_{2}$ the resistances, $C, C_{1}, C_{2}$ the currents, $E, L_{1}^{\prime}, E_{2}^{\prime}$ the electro-


Figure 22. At the time $O A$ the mutual inductance of two neighboring circuits, one of which contains a battery, is suddenly reduced to zero. The primary and secondary currents which have been pursuing the courses OCD, OGZ are abruptly changed in value and now follow the lines OCKW and OGAUY.
motive forces, and $M$ the mutual inductance of the second and third branches, the currents satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(L+L_{1}\right) \frac{d C_{1}}{d t}+(L+M) \frac{d C_{2}}{d t}+\left(r+r_{1}\right) C_{1}+r C_{2}=E+E_{1}, \\
(M+L) \frac{d C_{1}}{d t}+\left(L+L_{2}\right) \frac{d C_{2}}{d t}+r C_{1}+\left(r+r_{2}\right) C_{2}=E+E_{2}  \tag{32}\\
C=C_{1}+C_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Any sudden changes in the inductances cause such sudden changes in the currents as shall keep $\left[\left(L+L_{1}\right) C_{1}+(L+M) C_{2}\right]$ and


Figure 23. The two circuits of a certain induction coil without iron have equal self-inductances $(L, L)$ and the mutual inductance $L \overline{2}$. At the time XF the mutual inductance is suddenly increased to (1.9) $L$, and the currents which have been following the curves OKP, ADV, take the forms KR(is, DWU.
$\left[(L+M) C_{1}+\left(L+L_{2}\right) r_{2}\right]$ momentarily unchanged. In a case frequently met with in practice, there is no appreciable inductance in the


Figrere 24. OPD and OZV show, as functions of the time, the flaxes of marnetie induction linked with the two eircuits whel eary the currents represented in the last figure.
first branch and no electromotive forces in the other hanches, so that $L=0, L_{1}=0, L_{3}=0$, and any instantaneons change in the inductances will leave

$$
\left(L_{1} c_{1}+I / / c_{2}\right)
$$

and $\left(1 / \prime_{1}+L_{2} f_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
momentarily unchanged.
If in Figure 14

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=L_{1}-M \\
& Q=L+M \\
& R=L_{2}-M
\end{aligned}
$$

and if the vanes are of such dimensions as to make the air resistance $r_{1}, r, r_{2}$ when the bodies to which they are attached have mit ve-


Figule 25. locities, the equations of motion of the mechanical system are of the form (32), if E is applied upward to Q .

Figure 26 illustrates a special case under this problem where $r=1$, $r_{1}=20, r_{2}=30, L_{1}=2, L_{2}=3, M=0$, up to the time ()C, when by a sudden change in the conformation of the circuit, $M /$ is made equal to 2 . Before the change $C_{1}=1.986, C_{2}=1.324$; the change in $M$ leaves $C_{1}$ momentarily unchanged but suddenly reduces $C_{2}^{\prime}$ to zero. After the impulse the currents are given by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}^{\prime}=3-1.717 e^{-5.959 t}+0.703 e^{-54.511 t}, \\
& C_{2}^{\prime}=2-1.425 e^{-5.959 t}-0.572 e^{-54.541 t}, \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

very nearly.
If in the arrangement shown in Figure $9_{\mathrm{e}}$, the gap 0 , which has been closed by a stout wire, is suddenty opened, the current falls impulsively to a value which keeps the induction flux through the battery circuit momentarily unchanged.

I'lhe mechanical system shown in Figure 27 is analogous to the electrical cireuit indicated in Figure 9r. The gap (), which has been closed, is supposed to be opened at a given signal. The spring $S$ is the analogue of the condenser K.

A circuit which contains an electromagnet has, of course, no definite inductance in the sense of the ratio of the flux of magnetic induction linked with the circuit to the intensity of the current, for this ratio is different for different current strengths, and for a given electromagnet, and a given current depends upon the previous magnetic history of the core.


Figure 26. Two parallel coils of resistances 20 ohms and 30 ohms and of inductances 2 and 3 respectively, connect, in parallel, the terminals of a storage battery of 1 ohm internal resistance. At the beginning there is no mutual inductance between the branch circuits and the currents follow the curves OU, OK. At the time OC, the conformation of the circuit is suddenly changed so as to introduce a mutual inductance of 2 , and as a result, the courses of the two currents are altered: the first follows henceforth the line UDA, the second the line KCFB. The inductions linked with the parallel branches are shown by the dotted curves.

In the case of a single circuit without iron the magnetic flux which accompanies a changing current is at every instant the same as it would be under a steady current of the intensity which the changing current then has. If, however, a second circuit closed on itself is brought into such a position that the two circuits have a mutual inductance, a changing current in the first circuit induces a current in the other which contributes to the flox through the first. If, therefore, an electromagnet has a solid core, the eddy currents induced in it while a current is growing or decreasing in the exciting coil affect the amount of the flux through the core, and it is not possible to obtain a hysteresis

diagram for the iron directly from the records of an oscillograph in the coil circuit. It is difficult, indeed, to obtain by any method a satisfactory magnetic curve for such a core, for if the iron starts from a given magnetic state, it is possible to get very diferent magnetic fluxes from a given exciting current by building up the current more or less slowly. In any useful examination of the magnetic properties of a solid piece of iron which is to be used for any practical purpose, it is essential
that the metal be made to go over the same magnetic journeys which it will later be required to make, and at the same speed.

Before we discuss this anomalous magnetization more carefully, we may stop for some moments to study the records of an oscillograph in circuits which contained either the electromagnet TP, Figure 28, which has a solid core, or a certain toroid (DN), about 41 centimeters in mean diameter, the core of which was made of about 25 kilograms of fine, soft, varnished iron wire. It will be seen from Figures 29, 30, 31, $32,33,34$ that the phenomena are in general what we should expect


Figure 29. The curve OHS shows the manner of growth of a current in the coil of the magnet TP when the poles are separated by about three inches. WK shows the rise of the same current when the poles are nearly closed by the insertion of a planed block of iron between them. HLT shows the effect of suddenly dropping the block in while the current is growing.
to find in similar circuits without iron, though eddy currents and the time taken to make the mechanical changes modify somewhat the courses of the currents in the exciting circuits.

The Anomalous Magnetization of Iron
In 1863 von Waltenhofen first called attention to the fact that if an increasing current ( $C$ ) ending in the maximum value ( $C^{\prime \prime}$ ) be sent through a long solenoid, the final value of the magnetic moment of a bar of soft iron in the solenoid, which was at the outset demagnetized, will depond not only upon the final strength of the current, but also mon the manner of growth of $C$ in attaining this intensity. This moment will be greater if the current be suddenly applied in full strength than if it be made to grow slowly, either continuously or by
short steps. If, after the current has remained steady for a short time at the strength $C^{\prime}$ it be made to decrease to zero, the residual moment of the bar will be less if the circuit be suddenly opened than if the decrease be male slowly by introducing more and more resistance. ${ }^{4}$ If the soft iron bar to be magnetized was stout and relatively short, von Waltenhofen was sometimes able to reverse the direction of the remanent magnetism by a sudden break of the circuit. In one instance where the length of the bar was about ten centimeters and the diam-


Figure 30. The short coils of the magnet TP are in series with one another and with a battery and an oscillograph. The current follows the line OPR or the line OLM according as the long coil of the magnet is open or closed. When at the point P , the long coil circuit is suddenly closed, the current in the battery follows the line PSN, which despite eddy currents is not very unlike the upper part of OLM.
eter about two centimeters, the magnetic moment while the current was passing was about 45 mits, and about -0.20 when the current had been stopped. It seemed to von Waltenhofen that these phenomena could not be due to the induced currents caused by the sudden changes in the exciting current, and he explained them as consequences of the inertia of the molecular magnets turning in a viscous medium. This view seems to have been taken by Fromme, Auerbach, Ewing,
${ }^{4}$ Von Waltenhofen, Poggendorff's Ann. 120, 1863; Fromme, Poggendorff's Ann., Ergbnd. 7, 1876; Wied. Ann. 4, 1878, 5, 1878, 13, 1881, 18, 1883, 44, 1891; Bartoli and Alessandri, Nuovo Cimento, 8, 1880; Righi, Mcm. di Bologna, 1, 1880; Peuckert, Wied. Ann. 32, 1857; Auerbach, Wied. Ann. 14, 1881, 16, 1882; Winkelmann's Handbuch der Physik, Band 5; Wiedemann, Lehre von der Elektricität, Band IV; Ewing, Magnetic Induction, § \& $;$ Gumlich und Schmidt, Electrotechnische Zeitschrift, 21, 1905.

Penckert, Zielinski and others who have written upon the subject, while G. Wiedemann thought that his researches and those of Righi showed that eddy currents in the iron, and alternating currents induced in the exciting coil aecounted best for the observed facts. In describing experiments upon this so-called anomalous magnetization, Wiedemann distinguishes between the permanent moment ( $P$ ), that is, the remanent moment after the current has ceased, and the total moment ( $T$ ), which


Figure 31. The short coils of the magnet TP are in series with one another and with a battery and an oscillograph. The current follows the line OCP or OESV according as the long coil of the magnet is open or closed on itself. When at the point E the long coil which has been closed is suddenly opened, the oseillogram is of the form ENQ which on account of the disturbing effects of eddy currents is not like the upper part of the curve OCSP.
is the moment when the current is steady at its highest value. This last quantity is regarded as the sum of the permanent moment and a moment ( $V^{r}$ ) which vanishes with the current. The suffix a attached to $P$ or $T$ denotes that this moment has been reached after a gradual change in the current, while the suffix $f$ denotes that the current has heen suddenly opened or closed. According to Wiedemam, $T_{a}$ is always smaller than $T_{f}$, and $P_{f}$ than $P_{a}$, but these differences are much larger for short stout rods than for relatively long ones, where they become insignificant. $\left(P_{a}-P_{f}\right) / P_{a}$ is smaller in the ease of a rod made of a bundle of insulated soft iron wires than in the case of a solid rod of the same dimensions. As $C^{\prime}$ is made larger, $T_{f}-T_{a}$ attains a maximum and then sometimes decreases slightly. If the rod to be magnetized is surrounded by a thick metal tube in which eddy currents can he induced, $l_{f}$ ' is slightly inereased, especially if the current be first slowly raised to $C$ and then suddenly stopped. If shorter and
shorter iron rods of a given diameter are tested, $I_{f}$ gradually decreases to zero under given value of $C^{\prime}$, and then changes sign; the inversion comes with longer rods when $C^{\prime}$ is weak than when it is strong. If, with a given rod, $C^{\prime \prime}$ be gradually increased, the negative moment finally decreases and changes sign. After this there is no inversion and $P_{f}$ is positive.

It appears from Fromme's experiments that the von Waltenhofen


Figure 32. The toroid (DN) and the electromagnet (TP) with its poles separated by a gap of about eight centimeters, were placed in parallel across the terminals of a storage battery, with an oscillograph in the toroid branch. QVX shows the course of the current in the toroid which approaches its final value from above (See Figure 12). GCF, with its irregularities of curvature, shows the current in the toroid when the battery circuit was suddenly broken. AU shows the form of the temporary current induced in the toroid when an iron block was suddenly dropped into the gap between the jaws of the eleetromagnet, after the currents in the circuit had become steady.
effect is often less marked in straight, finely divided cores than in solid ones, and we may inquire how greatly the division of a straight core may be expected to facilitate the changes in the field $(I)$ within the iron, due to given changes in the exciting circuit. It is clear that if the circuit of an electromagnet be suddenly broken, the decay of the electromagnetic field in the core is much less rapid when the core is solid and eddy currents induced in it shield the immer filaments, than when it is made of wire. Indeed, if eddy currents were non-existent, the field would fall instantaneously to zero, in the absence of magnetic lag, when the current in the coil ceased to flow. If the exciting coil remains closed and some change is suddenly made in its resistance or
in the electromotive force applied to it, the change in the current and therefore the change in the field in the iron caused by the current cannot be made instantaneous, even if eddy currents be wholly shut out, and, though dividing up the core has its effects, we cannot expect them to be so striking as in the case where the exciting circuit is open.

Let us consider a very long, uniformly wound solenoid consisting of $N^{*}$ turns of insulated copper wire per centimeter of its length, wound closely upon a long, soft iron prism of square cross-section ( $2 a \times 2 a$ )


Figure 33. The toroid (DN) and the electromagnet (TP), with its jaws separated by a gap of about eight centimeters, were placed in parallel across the poles of a storage battery, with an oseillograph in the electromagnet branch. OJY shows the manner of growth of the current in TP and DCZ the manner of deeay of the current when the battery circuit was suddenly broken. If, after the currents in the eircuit have become steady, a block of iron was suddenly dropped into the gap in the core of the electromagnet, the induced current took the form GT.
built up compactly of a karge number of straight, varnished filaments or "wires" of square cross-section ( $c \times c$ ), with their axes parallel to that of the prism, which shall be used as the $\approx$ axis. The electric resistance of the solenoid per centimeter of its length parallel to the $\tilde{\sim}$ axis shall be $u$, the constant applied electromotive force per centimeter of the axis shall be $E$, and the intensity of the current in the coil shall be ( 15 Within the core, the magnetic field ( $H$ ) will have everywhere and always the direction of the axis of the prism, and if $q$ is the current flux at any instant at any point in the iron, $\rho$ the specific resistance of the metal, and $\mu$ its magnetic permeability, which for the present purpose shall be regarded as having a fixed miform value, $\psi_{x}=0, \quad \psi_{\nu}=0, \quad H_{x}=0, \quad I_{\nu}=0, \quad H_{2}=\Pi, 4 \pi \eta=\operatorname{Curl} I I$,

[^115]\[

\frac{\partial / I}{\partial t}=\frac{\rho}{A \pi \mu}\left($$
\begin{array}{c}
\partial^{2} / I  \tag{3,4}\\
\partial r^{2}
\end{array}
$$+\frac{\partial^{2} / I}{\partial y}\right) .
\]

When there are no eddy currents in the core, the intensity (II) of the masnetic field has at every point of the iron the boundary value $I_{s}=1 \pi N^{\prime}$ ', but in general $/ I$ varies from point to point. The flux of magnetic inluction through the turns of the coil per centimeter of


Figure 34. Two electromagnets were placed in series with each other and with an oscillograph and a storage battery, and a shunt ( $S$ ) of small resistance was provided for one of the magnets. OZ shows the form of the battery current when S was closed, QK the fall of this current when S was suddenly opened after the original current had become steady, and FG the rise of the eurrent to its old value when the shunt is again closed.
its length parallel to the $z$ axis and $N$ times the induction flux through the core are practically equal, and we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E-\frac{d p}{d t}=E-\mu N \iint \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \cdot d x d y=u \cdot C=\frac{w \cdot I_{S}}{4 \pi N} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{u^{\prime} \cdot I_{S}}{4 \pi N^{2}}+\frac{\mu_{\rho} N}{4 \pi \mu} \iint\left(\partial^{2} I I \partial^{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} I I}{\partial y^{2}}\right) d x d y, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration extends over a cross-section of the core.
The vector $/ I$ is always perpendicular to its curl, and the intensity of the component of the current at any point in the iron, in any direction $s$, parallel to the $x y$ plane at any instant, is equal to $1 / 4 \pi$ times the value at that point, at that instant, of the derivative of $I /$ in a direction parallel to the $x y$ plane, and $90^{\circ}$ in counter clockwise rotation ahead of $s$.
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$$
\Xi-\frac{\square}{i}=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime} C+\left(A-n^{2} B\right) 4 \pi N^{2} \cdot \frac{d C}{d t}+\mu N \iint \frac{\partial I I}{\partial t} \cdot d S=E \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $H_{S}$ represents the strength of the magnetic field in the air space within the solenoid, and $A-n^{2} B$ is written $h \cdot A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{S}-\frac{4 \pi V^{\prime} E}{w^{\prime}}+\frac{4 \pi N^{2} h . A}{u^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{d H_{S}}{d t}+\frac{4 \pi \mu n^{2} \Gamma^{2}}{w^{\prime}} \iint \frac{\partial I I}{\partial t} \cdot d S=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the double integral is to be taken over the cross-section of a single filament. If we put $H=H^{\prime}+H_{\infty}$ and $H_{s}=H_{s}^{\prime}+H_{\infty}$, the last equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{S}^{\prime}+\frac{4 \pi N^{2} h A}{w^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{d I_{S}^{\prime}}{d t}+\frac{4 \pi \mu n^{2} N^{2}}{w^{\prime}} \iint \frac{\partial H^{\prime}}{\partial t} \cdot d S \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $I I^{\prime}$ satisfies at every point the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I I^{\prime}}{\partial t}=\frac{\rho}{4 \pi \mu}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} I I^{\prime}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} I I^{\prime}}{\partial y^{-}}\right)=\frac{\rho}{4 \mu \pi}\left\{\frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \cdot \frac{\partial I^{\prime}}{\partial r}\right)\right\} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the distance from the axis of the wire in which the point lies. We are to find a function $I^{\prime}$ which satisfies equations (44), (45), which, when $t=0$, is everywhere equal to $\mathrm{H}_{0}-\mathrm{H}_{\infty}$, and which vanishes everywhere when $t$ is infinite.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=\sum L e^{-\beta^{2} t} \cdot J_{0}(m r) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which either $m$ or $\beta$ may be chosen at pleasure and the other computed from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2} \rho=4 \pi \mu \beta^{2} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if for $m$ in (46) we use the successive roots of the transcendental equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(m b)\left(1-\frac{N^{2} h A \rho \cdot m^{2} b^{2}}{\mu w^{\prime} b^{2}}\right)=\frac{2 \pi n^{2} N^{2} \rho}{u^{\prime}} \cdot m b \cdot J_{1}(m b), \tag{4s}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the radins of the wire, $\varpi$ satisfies equations (44), (45) and vanishes when $t$ is infinite.

Without any consideration of the question of a possible development of unity in terms of an infinite series of Bessel's lunctions of the form $J_{0}(m r)$ where the $m$ 's have the values just mentioned, it is clear 6 that,

[^116]within the comparatively short range from 0 to $l$, unity may be represented with sufficient accuracy by a few terms (sometimes two) of the form
$L_{1} \cdot J_{0}\left(m_{1} r\right)+L_{2} \cdot J_{0}\left(m_{2} r\right)+L_{3} \cdot J_{0}\left(m_{8} r\right)+\ldots=\sum L_{k} \cdot f_{0}\left(m_{k} r\right)$,
so that $\quad I I=I_{\infty}+\left(I_{0}-I I_{\infty}\right) \sum_{1} L_{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}^{-\beta^{2} t} \cdot J_{0}\left(m_{k} r\right)$
gives the value of the magnetic field at the time $t$ at any desired point in the wire in question, and, therefore, at any desired point in any other wire of the core.
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}=\frac{-\left(I_{0}-I_{\infty}\right) \rho}{4 \pi \mu} \sum L_{k} \cdot m^{2} e^{-\beta^{2 t}} \cdot J_{0}(m r) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and if this be integrated over the cross-section of a wire and divided by $\pi b^{2}$, the result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\frac{-\left(I I_{0}-I I_{\infty}\right) \rho}{2 \pi \mu b^{2}} \sum L_{k} \cdot e^{-\beta^{2} t} \cdot m b \cdot J_{1}(m b) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

will represent the average value in the whole core, at the time $t$, of the time rate of change of the magnetic field. An example will best show the meaning of these rather intractable expressions.

Suppose the core of a long solenoid of square cross-section, ten centimeters on a side, to be built up of straight, round iron rods one millimeter in diameter placed close together ; then $h=0.2146, b=0.05$, $n=100$. If the resistance of the solenoid coil per centimeter of its length is $\frac{1}{16}$ of an ohm, the specific resistance of the iron $9950 \mathrm{abs}-$ ohms, the number of turns of wire per centimeter of the solenoid 10 , and the value of the permeability of the iron 100 , then $m b=x$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \cdot\left(1-1.3666 x^{2}\right)=1000 x \cdot J_{1}(x), \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first root $x=0.04465$ will suffice, for $m=0.8930$; and $J_{0}(0.8930 r)$ differs from unity by less than one tenth of one per cent over the whole range from $r=0$ to $r=b$, and from (50)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I I-I_{\infty}}{H_{0}-I_{\infty}}=e^{-6.315 t} \cdot J_{0}(m r) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

very approximately. In the case of a core of the same cross-sectional area ( 0.7854 A ), and the same permeability, but wholly without eddy currents, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=H_{\infty}+\left(I_{0}-H_{\infty}\right) \cdot e^{-k t}, \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $k=w^{\prime} t / 4 \pi N^{2} \cdot D, \quad D=A[4+\pi(\mu-1)] / 4$.
For this problem, (55) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I I-I I_{\infty}}{H_{0}-I I_{\infty}}=e^{-6.316 t} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a comparison of (54) and (56) shows that the eddy currents in a core of this wire, one millimeter in diameter, have practically no effect in slowing the changes in magnetism of the iron.

If the core of the given solenoid were made up of rods one centimeter in diameter, $m b$ or $x$ would be given as the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(x) \cdot\left(1-0.01366 x^{2}\right)=10 x \cdot J_{1}(x) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is not very difficult to show by a process of trial and error from Meissel's Tafel der Bessel'schen Functionen, that the first three of these roots are approximately equal to $0.4411,3.8525,7.0204$, and that the corresponding values of $J_{0}(x)$ and $J_{1}(x)$ are $0.951946,-0.402672$, 0.300112 , and $0.215229,-0.008352,0.001444$.

If, with these roots, we wish to determine such a set of coefficients, ( $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ ) as shall make the mean square of the difference between unity and $\Sigma L \cdot J_{0}(\mathrm{mr})$ as small as possible, for the range from $r=0$ to $r=l$, we have to solve the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1} \cdot L_{1}+B_{12} \cdot L_{2}+B_{13} \cdot L_{3}=C_{1}, \quad B_{12} \cdot L_{1}+A_{2} \cdot L_{2}+B_{23} \cdot L_{3}=C_{2} \\
B_{13} \cdot L_{1}+B_{23} L_{2}+A_{3} L_{3}=C_{3}, \quad A_{1}=\pi b^{2}\left\{\left[J_{0}\left(\cdot x_{1}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[J_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{2}\right\}, \\
\text { where } \\
C_{1}=2 \pi b^{2} \cdot J_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) / x_{1}, \quad A_{12}=b^{2}\left[x_{1} \cdot J_{0}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdot J_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{2} \cdot J_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot J_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] /\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

as Professor Byerly's theorems show. The computation here indicated shows that $\Omega=6.096$ or (0.320, approximately, according as $t=0$ or $t=0.1$, whereas, if eddy currents were wholly cut out, the corresponding values would be 6.316 and 0.336 . These figures illustrate the comparatively slight effect of subdividing the core in the particular case here considered. The results would, of course, be somewhat different numerically, with different assumed values for the constants of the circuit.

It is clear that the inversion of sign in the magnetic moment of a straight iron bar, when the magnetic excitation is suddenly removed, accompanies, at least, a large demagnetizing factor due to the ends of the bar, and no one seems to have observed the phenomenon in the case of closed cores. In rings, however, as in straight bars, the ulti-
mate value of the intensity ( $I$ ) of magnetization depends very much upon the manner in which the given exciting current is made to attain its final strength.

The experiments of Ruicker 7 upon small solid iron toroids seem to show that at moderate excitations there may be a difference of from 6 per cent to as much as 30 per cent in the final flux density due to a given current, according as the current is applied suddenly or by


Figure 35.
many short steps, and, unlike some other observers, he found a very real difference ( $T_{f}-T_{a}$ ), though a smaller one than in the case of the solid metal, for a toroid with core of fine iron wire (Blumendraht). In the case of a large electromagnet with solid, closed core, weighing altogether more than 1500 kilograms, Babbitt found, by a very ingenious method of procedure, a difference of 17.4 per cent between the final flux density in the iron caused by the sudden application of a given current, and the growth from nothing of the same current in 56 steps. The cross-section of this massive core is more than 450 square centimeters in its narrowest part, and eddy currents are so much in evidence that quite two minutes are required for a "suddenly applied" current to attain its steady value.

Babbitt also carried out a long series of very accurate measurements extended over several months, upon two small toroids of fine, carefully annealed iron wire, and upon a toroid weighing more than 40 kilograms made of very well softened iron wire about half a millimeter in diameter. His results show conclusively that if one of these softened and demagnetized cores has been first put through the cycle due to a given excitation a considerable number of times to obliterate the effects

[^117]of the past experiences of the iron, the form of the hysteresis diagram is precisely the same, whether the half cycle be carried out by one reversal of the main switch or in a very large number of steps. In general agreement with these results are some less accurate ones which I obtained three years ago in experimenting upon a transformer which has an exeiting coil of 1394 turns and a core of about 120 square centimeters in cross-section, built up of thin strips of varnished sheet


Figure 36. Growth from an originally neutral core of a current in a transformer with a laminated core. The effects of eddy currents are here noticeable.
iron about ten centimeters wide. This transformer was convected in simple circuit with a storage battery and a rheostat besides a suitable oscillograph. When the cirenit was suddenly elosed, with such a resistance (. $x$ ) in the rheostat that the final strength of the current was about 1.10 amperes, the current eurve was of the form $R$ as shown in Figure 35, and when after a few seconds $x$ was suddenly removed, so as to bring the final strength of the current up to about 2.30 amperes, the current curve was (). When the whole journey was made without $x$ the current curve was 'T. 'The sum of the flux changes represented by the shaded areas as measured by a Coradi "Grand Plamimetre Ronlant et à Sphère" was $11 \pm 6$, while the flux change corresponding to the area above the curve ' I ' was 1130 . 'The core was not sufficiently well divided to avoid all evidence of eddy currents, for the eurve $\mathbf{Q}$ does not exactly conform throughout with the upper part of 'I'. 'This is shown more clearly in Figure 36, taken with the same transformer. Here the area of the shaded portion above K multiplied by the resistance then in the cirenit should be equal to so much of the area ahove C, maltiplied by the resistance belonging to it, as lies to the left of the
dotted line which rises at about 1.1 seconds after the circuit was closed, and is an exact copy of the curve D moved to the left. I'his curve coincides with C for a large part of its course, but has a trifle less arca above it than that portion of C has which lies to the right of the ordinate at which the lowest part of the dotted curve begins. 'I'he shape of D just at the beginning points to the existence of eldy currents.


Figure 37. Current curves for a toroid with fine wire core. The second part of a two-stage current is exactly the same as if the current were allowed to grow at once to its final value.

To test more thoroughly the effect upon the flux of magnetic induction through the core of the transformer, of building up the current in different ways, I first measured with great care, by aid of a modified Rubens-du Bois "Panzer Galvanometer," the changes of this flux for a quick reversal of an excitation of 1812 ampere turns. I then measured by means of the planimeter a long series of oscillograph records obtained by reversing the same excitation by a considerable number of steps. All the testing instruments were different in the two cases, and no comparison was possible until the final results were reached and were found to differ from one another by only one part in fourteen hundred. The labor of reducing the oscillograms was so great that this close agreement must be considered accidental, but there can be little doubt, I think, that the flux change due to the single step and the sum of the changes due to the long series of steps which together cover the same change of excitation were practically indistinguishable.

Figure 37 shows copies of oscillograms taken with a number of toroids in series. The core of each toroid was made of perhaps fifteen
kilograms of very soft, varnished iron wire, about one tenth of a millimeter in diameter. The curves OHD, PDXU were taken when the


Figure 38. ODHQ represents the curve of growth of a current in the exciting coil of the toroid DN, if the circuit was suddenly closed when its resistance was $r$. If the circuit was first closed with a higher resistance $(r+s)$, which corresponded to a steady current of intensity OT, and if the resistance $s$ was suddenly shunted out, the current rose to the intensity OP in the manner indicated by the curve EZQ, which, as Figure A shows, is of exactly the same form as the upper portion of ODHQ.
cores had been thoroughly demagnetized just before the experiment; the curves MNC, QCZB after the core had been put a number of times


through the cycle corresponding to the excitation used. The toroids were in simple circuit with a storage battery, an oscillograph, and a
rheostat of resistance $x$; when the eircuit was suddenly closed the current grew to the final value corresponding to this resistance by the curve OHD or MNC, as the case might be. When at the proper time the rheostat resistance was suddenly shunted out of the circuit, the current rose to the value $O A$ by the curve DXU or the curve CZB. If $x$ had been shunted out at the start the current curve had the shape accurately represented, when the starting point had been shifter just


Figure 40. The current in one coil of the toroid DN which is in series with a battery follows the line OKLZ or the line NSQ, according as another coil on the same core is open or elosed. When this last coil which has been closed is suddenly opened whike the battery eurrent is rising, this changes abruptly and follows exactly the upper part of the line OKLZ.
far enough to the right, by PDXU or QCZB. It was not possible to detect any difference between the curves DXU and CZB and the upper parts of the curves obtained with $x$ all the time out of circuit. This figure was drawn by superposing several oscillograms, for it is very difficult after one curve has been taken upon the sensitized paper carried by the revolving drum to start another curve some time afterwards at such a point that it shall coincide with the upper part of the first one. This feat has, however, just been accomplished in another case by Mr. John Coulson, who made the records shown in Figures A and B, and has helped me in most of the experimental work of this paper. Figure 38, drawn from another photograph, shows the two curves which coincide in A. The oscillograph was in circuit with the coil of a large toroid of about 41 centimeters in diameter, the core of which is made of soft, varnished iron wire abont half a millimeter in diameter. Fach record shows a current curve obtained by applying the electromotive foree directly to the cireuit, and the second part of a current diagram when an extra resistance, at first in the circuit, was suddenly shunted out.

There seems to be in these cases neither a magnetic time lag nor any sensible von Waltenhofen effect.

If an electromagnet has two exciting coils, and if one of them be attached to the terminals of a battery, the form of the battery current


Flaure 41. The current in one coil of the toroid DN which is in series with an oscillograph and a battery, follows the curve ODZ or the curve OBP, according as another coil wound on the same core is open or closed in itself. When at the point $D$, the second coil which has been open is suddenly closed, the oscillograph record gives the curve DBQ which except at the very beginning can be exactly superposed upon the upper part of the line OBP.
will depend upon whether the second coil is open or closed on itself, and the difference is usually noticeable even when the magnet has a


Figure 42.
large solid core in which eddy currents are being induced. Figure 39 shows curves taken under the two conditions just mentioned for both the electromagnet 'IP and the toroid DN. To determine whether the closing of the second coil in the case of the electromagnet where strong
eddy currents already existed changed the amount of the final flux through the cirenit, Mr. Coulson has measured with great care a mumber of oscillograms taken with this apparatus, and finds the area between the asymptote and the curve OAM to be 6216 on the scale of his planimeter, while the area above the curve OBN is 621.4 on the same scale. 'The areas above the curves agree within a small fraction of one per cent, as they were expected to do.

Figures 40 and 41 exhibit oscillograms taken with the toroid, DN, mader sudden opening and elosing of the second coil, and these show no signs of von Waltenhofen effects. Figure 42 gives the records of two oscillographs, one in the primary circuit of a toroid which has a core made of soft iron wire only one tenth of a millimeter in diameter, the other in a secondary coil, when a third coil, wound on the same core, was suddenly closed.

In early experiments upon the phenomenon of the reversal of moment in short rods magnetized in a solenoid, when the current was suddenly stopped, it was observed that if the rod bad been previously magnetized permanently in the direction in which the current magnetized it, reversal never occurred, but that it always appeared, under favorable circumstances, if the direction of the previous magnetization was the opposite of that which the current gave it. This and like results has led many physicists to think that the molecules of the iron, when the exciting force due to the current is suddenly removed, return to the positions which they had just before the current acted upon them, but that the motion is so much resisted by frictional forces that the kinetic energy is lost when the particles have swung slightly beyond the positions of equilibrium where they are held by the friction. Wiedemann believed, on the other hand, that when the exciting circuit of an electromagnet is suddenly opened, the rise and decay of the Oeffnungsextrastrom induces in the mass of the iron, currents, alternating in direction and decreasing in intensity, and that the magnetization of a rod due to the original current is reversed in sign, under favorable circumstances, by a weaker current in the opposite direction. In the case of closed rings, where demagnetizing factors are absent, anomalous magnetization seems to appear only when eddy currents in the iron so shield the particles inside the mass that they are never exposed to sudden changes in the intensity of the exciting magnetic field.

My thanks are due to the Trustees of the Bache Fund of the National Academy of Sciences, who have lent me some of the apparatus used in measuring the oscillograms mentioned in this paper.
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## General Discussion.

1. The internal resistance of a lead accumulator is not a factor of importance in the practical operation of storage batteries. In a small pocket battery it is only a few hundredths of an ohm : in medium sized cells it sinks to a few thousandths, and in large cells, such as are used in regulation and central station work, it is of the order of a few hundred-thousandths of an ohm. In almost every case the cell resistance is so low in comparison with the other resistances in the working circuit that it can be neglected as far as practical calculation is concerned.

But the resistance of a cell and the changes which take place in this factor are of much interest when the behavior of the cell is being studied from a more general point of view. A lead accumulator seems a very simple system indeed at first glance, but it is in fact a very complex one, concealing many problems difficult of solution. While the cell is at rest, fully charged, and therefore containing plates which are good conductors from their center out to the boundary of the electrolyte, its resistance is very nearly that of the acid between these plates, and it can be calculated with fair approximation. But when the cell is working, either on charge or discharge, its condition is most variable. The acid must diffuse through a series of fine channels, and great differences of acid concentration in the different layers of the plates will be set up. Then, too, the particles of lead and lead peroxide, themselves good conductors, will be more or less completely coated with lead sulphate - a bad conductor. The acid concentration will, moreover, be a function of the rate at which the cell is working, and the thickness of the lead sulphate coating over a particle of lead or lead peroxide at any given point in the plate will also be a function of the rate.
2. An ideal section of a storage battery of two plates is shown in

Figure 1. $A$ is the plate, and its resistance can be assumed to remain fairly constant, except for its temperature coefficient. 'This factor has a small value within the range of practical operating conditions. $C$ is that part of the electrolyte which does not lie very close to the plates. Its total concentration is determined accurately by Faraday's Law and its resistance is a function only of this concentration and of the temperature. $B$ is the most active, variable, and interesting part of the cell. It includes the active material, that part of the electrolyte which is in the pores of this material, and that part of the electrolyte which is


Figure 1. Section through storage cell.
near the plates. For ordinary rates large concentration changes can be assumed to extend not more than a millimeter or two from the outside surface of the active material before they are equalized by mixture with the main body of the electrolyte.

When the cell is at rest, its resistance may be considered as being made up of three parts. A, metallic in nature, and therefore with a negative temperature coefficient, but constant at a fixed temperature. $B$, also metallic in its nature, and sufficiently low so that the resistance of the electrolyte in its pores can be neglected in comparison with it. $C$, a purely electrolytic resistance, with positive temperature coefficient, but constant at a fixed temperature.

When the cell is working at a constant rate and fixed temperature, $A$ remains constant. $C$ is a function only of the total concentration of acid in the cell, and can be made constant by using small plates and a large body of electrolyte. The resistance of $B$ now becomes more complex, and may be considered to break up into two parts, one a function of the condition of the active material and the other a function of the
concentration of the electrolyte in the pores of the active material and in the layer in immediate contact with its surface.

The change in $B$ during charge will, moreover, bé wholly different from the change during discharge, for in both cases the changes in the active material follow the electrolyte. During charge the active material first to enter into reaction is that at the surface of the plate, provided the plate has not been allowed to "sulphate" so completely that the conductivity through the active mass has been very greatly reduced. Since the action is taking place at the surface the electrolyte does not have to diffuse far through narrow channels. But as the diffusion path increases and the cell becomes more fully charged, concentrated acid is produced in the pores of the mass. In spite of the decrease in resistance due to this better conducting acid it is still the plate itself which does most of the conducting. It is therefore to be expected that the change of resistance during the charge of a healthy storage cell will not be large.

During discharge a very different state of affairs exists. In this case also action begins at the surface of the plate, where electrolyte is available for the reaction. But as discharge proceeds, and the area of activity recedes into the interior of the active material, acid is used up within the plate and the concentration of the active part of the electrolyte decreases. To this loss is adrled the loss of conductivity of the plate itself, for the particles of lead and lead peroxide in the outer layers have now been covered with sulphate and more or less completely insulated from each other. The result is as if the distance between the plates had been increased, for the plate surface which is actually carrying current is now well back in the interior of the mass of active material, instead of at the actual outside surface.

It should be kept in mind also that the equalization of concentration differences in a working plate is not cared for wholly by diffusion. While this is a potent factor always, it can be shown to be insufficient to account for the facts. A considerable part of the equalization is probably cared for by local concentration cells at various parts of the plate, and these local actions depend for their efficiency on good conductivity of the plate between the points where the concentration differences exist. Any change in the conducting power will affect the rate of equalization of acid and will displace the area of action within the plate.

Viewed in this way we should hardly expect any very large or very rapid changes in cell resistance, nor any of the peculiar maxima of resistance at various points in charge and discharge which appear in some of the older reports on the subject. It is probable that polarization was not eliminated in these measurements.

On long standing, a storage cell may acquire a very high resistance indeed as the result of complete "sulphation." This term means that the active lead sulphate formed during discharge has gradually changed into the crystalline inactive form and that crystals of this form have completely covered the particles of lead and lead peroxide with an insulating coating. Authentic cases of cells of considerable size, with internal resistance as high as 10 ohms, are known. But under the usual conditions of charge and discharge the sulphate retains its "active" state, and even after standing discharged for a month or more no great change in internal resistance is usually to be observed [see § 15].
3. In its ordinary work, a storage cell is discharged only until the plate potential sinks to about $1.7-1.8$ volts. This means usually that only about one quarter of the active material in the plates has entered into reaction and that the increased resistance in the active material is due rather to separation of the particles by sulphate coatings than to complete transformation of the active material at any point into insulating material (sulphate). On charge these sulphate coatings and bridges are rapidly broken down, and the decrease in resistance is therefore much more rapid than that corresponding to change in electrolyte within the plate.

After a period of discharge, with corresponding change in resistance, the cell recovers its original e. m. f. along a curve which is somewhat like a diffusion curve [§ 13]. This curve is made steeper by the equalizing effect of local action as explained in 2. It also recovers its original resistance along a somewhat similar curve. These facts indicate clearly the dynamic nature of the whole cell activity, for evidently the change in resistance as well as the change in e. m. f. is fundamentally a function of acid concentration and diffusion. The particles of active material cannot have been completely covered by insulating layers, for on standing the plate returned to its original condition as measured by e. m. f. and resistance.

We must evidently think of the particles of lead and lead peroxide as covered with a spongy or powdery layer of lead sulphate, with interstices so small that diffusion cannot overcome the effect of even a small current and its accompanying exhaustion of acid within the pores. As long as no current is flowing, and when recovery has been allowed to take place completely, the total active surface has not been greatly reduced by the changes in the plate, nor has the "active surface" been far removed from its original plane. But the passage of even a small discharge current causes exbaustion of acid in the pores to such an extent that nearly pure water intervenes between the electrolyte
and the conducting material of the plate, and the result is a considerable increase in resistance.

While it is true that the concentration and therefore the resistance of the main body of the electrolyte is completely determined by Faraday's Law, the passage of the same quantity of electricity may result very differently in various types of cells. Change in concentration and resistance will be great in those types which have large weight of plates in proportion to their content of electrolyte. They will be small in the types where weight is not a factor to be considered and where a large excess of acid is maintained.

Other factors which may effect resistance will be discussed in connection with the data of this paper.
4. On page 611 will be found a list of references on the subject of the resistance of galvanic cells and accumulators. Many of these papers were written at a time when the difficulty of such measurements was not understood, and it may be said that the research of Nernst and Haagn (1896) was pioneer work, and that they showed for the first time how to eliminate the disturbing factors of the problem. The measurements of Dolazalek and Gahl (1901) are still more accurate and include data on several types of cell and on various rates of charge and discharge.

Our attention has been turned largely toward the temperature coefficients of resistance under various conditions, for we wished to exhibit as clearly as possible the dynamic nature of the phenomena in the lead accumulator. After investigation of the other methods of measurement, we adopted the form of bridge described by Ayres [20]. Ayres himself did not use the bridge for measurements on storage cells, nor indeed for any very low resistances of electrolytic nature. But we have found this type of bridge, with slight alteration, to be most satisfactory for the measurement of electrolytic resistances of the order of 0.01 to 0.10 ohm .

## The Bridge and Auxiliary Apparatus.

5. The bridge connections are shown in Figure 2, together with the circuit used in charging and discharging the cell and the secondary bridge on which resistances were measured after balance had been obtained. The bridge itself is symmetric, and contains a meter slide wire of manganin of about 0.40 ohm resistance. At opposite ends of this wire connection is made to the source of alternating current and to the two other arms of the bridge. The cell arm contains the cell under investigation in series with a capacity $C_{1}$ : the other arm convol. xlvi. - 38
tains a similar and nearly equal capacity $C_{2}$ and the variable resistance $l_{1} . C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are rolled paraftion paper condensers of about 22 microfarads each, composed of 10 mits of $2.2 . \mathrm{mf}$. comnected in parrallel by No. 11 copper wires soldered at each point and also to the bridge connections. The entire wiring of the bridge was noninductive.

The cell was maintained at constant temperature in a thermostat and was comected by soldered No. 11 wires terminating in a heavy mercury-metal switch-block so arranged that the cell could be placed


Figute 2. Bridge connections for measuring low electrolytic resistances.
in circuit, or cut ont, without change in the block resistance and without short-circuiting the cell. The $\frac{1}{4}$-inch wires of the block switch were kept continually under mercury whichever way the switch was turnel, thus keeping contacts bright when the cell was out.

The variable resistance $l$ consisted of two parts: One of two parallel wires shmented by a heavy sliding block, and. the other, in series with this, was a vertical U-tube of glass containing mercury, as shown in Figure 名. The comecting wires dip into the mercury in the two arms and the cross-section of one arm was decreased or increased by lowering or raising the glass rod. This smoothly variable resistance proved to be of the greatest aid in obtaining the close settings desired.

In parallel with $R$ was a box Wheatstones brilge and galvanometer. $f_{i}$ could be thrown over into the $\Gamma^{-a r m}$ of this brilge by means of a mercury switch, but as the box bridge had a resistance of abont $19(0)$ ohms it was found umecessary to cut it out while making settings on the cell, and during the later measurements the switeh was
removed, leaving the connections as shown in Figure 2. 'The ratio of the fixed arm of this anxiliary bridge was $1: 1000$ and resistances could be measured on it to 0.0001 ohm .

During the majority of our measurements a resistance of a few tenths of an ohm (( $O$ ) in the figure) was kept in the cell arm in series with $C_{1}$ and for some measurements of high resistances it was found necessary to introduce a similar resistance in series with the slidewire and mereury resistances of $R$.

Measurements were made with " cell in" and " cell out" within as short a time as possible, in order to eliminate any possible changes, and measurements were repeated several times in each case. We were thus measuring the difference between $\left[\right.$ cell $\left.+R_{1}\right]$ and $\mu_{1}$, where $\mu_{1}$ is the resistance of $O$ plus the connections in that arm.

Varions sources of alternating current were tried, but noue was wholly satisfactory. We had no source of pare sine-waves at our disposal and some trouble from harmonics was experienced. This was removed by using a transformer and various combinations of capacities in the circuit.


Figule 3. Variable mercury resistance.
6. The complete theory of this type of bridge may be found in Ayres's paper [20], but our method of operation was necessarily somewhat different from his because of the small magnitudes of the resistances we had to measure.

For the ideal condition of balance we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r / R=a / b=C_{2} / C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bridge is non-inductive, the resistances of comnections are negligible, $c$ and $b$ are the bridge-wire readings, $C_{2}$ is the capacity of the "known" arm, $r$ is the resultant of the capacity of the cell with $C_{1}, r$ is the resistance of the cell and its leads, and $R$ is that of the opposite arm of the bridge.

Taking into consideration the resistances of the various connections, and that of the coil $o$ inserted in the cell arm, we have, for both bridges, the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{b}=\frac{o+r+u}{l+v}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the resistances of the connections on the cell side and the $R$ side respectively ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
R+w=Q \frac{A}{B}=Q(0.001)=q \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the resistance of connection to the auxiliary bridge. Combining (2) and (3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
o+r=(q-w+v) \frac{a}{b}-u . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon cutting out the cell and short circuiting that arm of the bridge, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
o=\left(Q^{\prime} \frac{A}{B}-w+v\right) \frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}-u=\left(q^{\prime}-w+v\right) \frac{a^{\prime}}{b^{\prime}}-u \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (5) from (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{a}{b}\left(q-q^{\prime} \frac{a^{\prime} b}{a b^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{a}{b}\left(\frac{a^{\prime} b}{a b^{\prime}}-1\right) w-\frac{a}{b}\left(\frac{a^{\prime} b}{a b^{\prime}}-1\right) v . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

During the first part of the investigation $a$ lay between the limits 506 mm . and 507 mm ., and during the second part between 481 mm . and 486 mm . In most cases the difference between $a$ and $a^{1}$ was less than 0.5 mm ., and it was usually abont 0.3 mm .

In view of this, the terms containing $w$ and $v$ can at once be neglected. The difference between $q-q^{\prime}$, the value actually recorded as resistance, and $\left(q-q^{\prime}\right) \frac{a^{\prime} b}{a b^{\prime \prime}}$, is $\left(\frac{a^{\prime} b}{a b^{\prime}}-1\right) q^{\prime}$, which does not exceed 0.0005 ohm , since $q^{\prime}$ did not exceed 0.25 and the value of the parenthesis was in no case greater than 0.002 .

The following observations are deemed interesting in connection with the fact that the bridge reading was not the same with the cell in as when the cell was out.

1. The bridge reading with "cell in " $a$ is smaller than $a$.
2. Both with "cell in" and "cell out," the insertion of a condenser (5.2 MF ) in parallel with the leads to the cell-switch causes an increase in the value of $a$ or $\alpha^{\prime}$ as the case may be.
3. Decreasing the frequency by $50 \%$ causes no change in $a$, but $a^{\prime}$ is thereby decreased.
4. A courlenser in parallel with $C_{1}$ canses $\alpha$ and $a^{\prime}$ to decrease.

The last observation is to be expecter from the theory of the bridge. It is hard to see how any capacity possessed by the cell could act so as to make a smaller than $a^{\prime}$; rather the opposite effect would be ex-
pected. The supposition of an inductance in the cell wonld explain this change in the bridge reading, but a change in the frequency did not affect $a$, while it did affeet $\alpha^{\prime}$.

The explanation would seem to be as follows: The configuration of $R$ suggests inductance, and an approximate calculation shows $2 \pi n L_{2}$ to be about 0.01. Now the value of $1 / 2 \pi n C_{2}$ is about 5 . A change of 0.5 mm . from a to $a^{\prime}$ corresponds to a change of 0.01 in $1 / 2 \pi n C_{2}$. Calculation gives about 0.01 for $2 \pi u L$ for the leads from the cell-switch to the cell, corresponding to $2 \pi n L_{2}$ for the $C_{2}$ arm of the bridge. From these considerations it would appear that $a$ rather than $a^{\prime}$ is more nearly the point where $C_{2} / C=a / b$, for, with "cell in," the inductive reactance of the $C_{2}$ arm is balanced by that of the cell leads.

Since $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are abont the same, and since $C_{2}$ has an inductance with it, while $C_{1}$ has not, the reactance of the $C_{2}$ arm will increase with decrease of frequency faster than the reactance of the $C_{1}$ arm ; in short, the reactance of the $C_{2}$ arm will become greater than that of the $C_{1}$ arm, and $a^{\prime}$ will diminish with decrease in frequency. On the other hand, with "cell in," the two reactances will change equally with change in frequency, that is, $a$ will not change.
7. From the average of all our measurements we have compiled the values for the open circuit resistance of our cell at various temperatures. They are as follows:

## TABLE I.

Open Circuit Resistance of Planté Plates at Various Temperatures.

| Temperature. | Resistance. | Temperature. | Resistance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ | 0.0686 | 25.0 | 0.0448 |
| 8.5 | 0.0579 | 30.0 | 0.0420 |
| 10.0 | 0.0562 | 45.0 | 0.0362 |
| 20.0 | 0.0483 | 55.0 | 0.0335 |

These values are plotted in the full-line curve of Figure 4. In the dotted-line curve on the same figure are given the values for sulphuric acid of the same concentration as that used in our cell. We have chosen the two points indicated by larger circles as the points from which to calculate the remainder of the curve, which is plotted from the empirical formula

$$
K_{t}=K_{o}\left(1+c t+c^{1} t^{2}\right)
$$

It will be seen that the cell resistance and the acid resistance fall very closely together from zero to $30^{\circ}$. Above this point the cell re-


Figtre 4. Temperature-resistance curves for electrolyte and cell.
sistance does not decrease as rapidly with rise of temperature as does the acid. As a matter of fact the two points at zero do not fall together. So it is evident that both at high and low temperatures the cell resistance is higher than that calculated for the pure acil. This figure indicates merely the shape of the two curves with reference to
each other, and by no means indicates that the resistance of the cell is the same as that of sulphuric acid of the same eoncentration between a pair of platinum electrodes placed in the same geometrical position as the "average plate surfaces." The plate resistance is not nergigible and its negative temperature coefficient may accomt for the higher resistance at the higher temperature in part at least. 'That the resistance of the plate itself enters is clearly shown by the fact the pasted

TABLE II.
Internal Resistance of a Planté Cell during Dischiarge at 2 Amperes. Temperature $8.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Time. | Cell in. | Cell out. | Cell. | Voltoge. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | .2882 | .2304 | . 0.578 | ( 2.09 open-circuit 1 1.85 after closing |
| 10 | .2895 | . 2317 | .057S | 1.83 |
| 22 | . 2926 | . 2306 | . 0620 | 1.77 |
| 37 | . 2961 | :2316 | . 0645 | 1.70 |
| 52 | . 3080 | . 2313 | . 0767 | 1.5\% |
| 62 | . 3142 | . 2316 | .0836 | 1.36 |
| 71 | . 3158 | . 2315 | . 0813 | 1.18 |
| 77 | . 3160 | . 2316 | . 0814 | 0.97 |
| 81 | . 3164 | . 2315 | . 0819 | 0.80 |
| S6 | . 3191 | . 2314 | . 0874 | 0.63 |
| 96 | . 3656 | .2315 | . 1341 | 0.30 [reversed] |

plates of slightly greater area, placed as nearly as possible the same distance apart, show a decidedly greater resistance on open circuit than that of these Planté plates, which have a solid lead web and lead ribs running their whole length. The cells with pasted plates have nearly .01 ohn more resistance.
8. The values of Table 2 are plotted in the upper curve of Figure 5, and they show the characteristic course of changes in this particular type of plate. The cell changes its internal resistance a little more than 100 percent during complete discharge, and by the time the last value on the list is reached the coll voltage has dropped to zero.

The most interesting thing about the curve is the flat place which occurs after $60-80$ minutes of discharge. This is quite characteristic of Planté plates with ribs, but it has never been noticed before by any of the observers who have worked on storage cells. Indication of such a flat place may be seen in some of Haagn's curves, but it would seem that he thought them due to errors of measurement.


Figure 5. Curves of resistance of Planté cell during discharge at various temperatures.

The reason for the appearance of such a change in curvature seems quite obvious. These plates are of the Gould type, and they have ribs spun from the lead of the originally flat plate. These ribs are changed by formation to form active material, which lies close to the ribs at their tops but which forms a solid mass down toward the center web of the plate. During the first part of the discharge the electrolyte finds active material on the ribs and diffuses largely into the almost open space between them. As this material is used up the action moves further into the plate and presently reaches the mass of material which fills the bottom of the grooves. Here for a time there is material enough at a practically constant distance from the surface of the plate to supply the action, and when this is used up the resistance rises very rapidly and the plate potential shows that the cell is completely discharged.

TABLE III.
Planté Cell. Discharged at 2 Amperes.

| Temperature $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time. | Cell. | Voltage. | Time. | Cell. | Voltage. |
| Open circuit | . 0448 | . | 76 | . 0600 | 1.62 |
| 0 | . 0448 | . | 92 | . 0796 | 1.43 |
| 8 | . 0453 | 1.89 | 103 | . 0800 | 1.28 |
| 15 | . 0472 | 1.88 | 110 | . 0823 | 1.16 |
| 32 | . 0481 | . | 120 | . 1061 | 0.26 |
| 48 | . 0527 | 1.78 | 126 | . 1365 | 0.00 |
| 62 | . 0570 | 1.70 |  |  |  |
| Temperature $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open circuit | . 0400 | . | 94 | . 0650 | 1.57 |
| 8 | . 0399 | 1.92 | 105 | . 0678 | 1.42 |
| 19 | . 0430 | 1.91 | 110 | .0682 | 1.38 |
| 33 | . 0452 | 1.87 | 121 | . 0720 | 1.25 |
| 49 | . 0465 | 1.84 | 127 | . 0800 | 0.65 |
| 67 | . 0492 | 1.77 | 134 | . 1300 | 0.30 |
| 82 | . 0579 | 1.70 | 147 | . 1901 | 0.00 |
| Temperature $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open circuit | . 0351 | . | 112 | . 0570 | 1.65 |
| 6 | . 0358 | 1.96 | 120 | . 0589 | 1.57 |
| 23 | . 0395 | 1.95 | 131 | . 0598 | 1.52 |
| 37 | . 0406 | 1.90 | 136 | . 0600 | 1.47 |
| 46 | . 0420 | 1.87 | 144 | . 0620 | 1.37 |
| 63 | . 0431 | 1.84 | 153 | . 0653 | 1.00 |
| 79 | . 0460 | 1.50 | 159 | . 0945 | 0.52 |
| 92 | . 0488 | 1.76 | 173 | . 1757 | 0.12 |
| 103 | . 0520 | 1.70 | 178 | . | 0.00 |

As will be seen later, paste plates show no such flat place in their curves. [§ 11.]

The values for this Planté cell, discharged at 2 amperes at varions temperatures ['Table III], are all plotted on the curves of Figure 5. The eorresponding voltage curves will be found in Figure 6.

The characteristic points appear in each curve, and the flat place moves toward a later point in the discharge curve, as might be ex-


Figere 6. Voltage curves of Planté rell during discharge at varicus temperatures.
pecterl, when the temperature is raised. This means merely that the material of the plate can be better reached and utilized at the higher temperature, and that therefore more of the active material on the ribs enters the reaction, leaving the mass of material at the bottom of the gronses for a later period of the discharge.

It is hy no means easy to be sure that the cell has reached the steady state corresponding to any given temperature, except by maintaining it at the new temperature for several hours. The lag of resistance behim its final value when temperature is quickly changed is very noticeable and cansed us much tronble. We finally found it best to keep the cell fir at least six hours at the new temperature before trying to take a lischarge curve.

It will be noticel that the curve for $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. does not fit in very well
with the rest of the set. Most of our measurements at this temperature were made at the beginning of the work, and it is quite possible that some lag error has caused this slight variation. The values for resistances at $8.5^{\circ}$ were still harder to fix, but the curve given is the average of so many measurements that its correctness is fairly certain. At the higher temperatures the lag becomes much less troublesome. The explanation which suggests itself is that this slow change corresponds


Figure 7. Resistance and voltage curves for a Planté cell during complete discharge and reversal.
to a chemical reaction. Probably lead sulphate is dissolved as the temperature is raised and precipitated as it is lowered. This would be just such a process as would result in the slow adjustment of the cell resistance to a new temperature.

This explanation is made more probable by some experiments we have made in an ordinary conductivity vessel with platinum electrodes, filled with sulphuric acid from our cell. Some pieces of lead and lead peroxide from a partially discharged cell were placed in the vessel and the conductivity followed after a change in temperature. The lag of resistance behind temperature is just as noticeable in this arrangement as in the more complicated cell under investigation, and there seems to
be no doubt that the explanation given is the correet one. A similar lag in the e. m. f. following a change in temperature is to be expected, and this has been noticed by Dolazalek during careful determination of the temperature coelficient of the e. m. f. of a lead storage cell.

The eurves of cell voltage during discharge are given in Figure 6, aud the flat place in the curve is evident in all exeept the eurve for $8.5^{\circ}$. It is possible that we missed it in this case by not taking points

TABLE VI.
Complete Retersal at 2 Aimeres. Temperature $8.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Time. | Cell. | Voltage. | Time. | Cell. | Voltage. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open circuit | .0577 | $\ldots$ | 110 | .2000 | -1.36 |
| 8 | .0585 | 1.83 | 118 | .0751 | -2.30 |
| 14 | .0600 | 1.78 | 128 | .0604 | -2.33 |
| 33 | .0610 | 1.70 | 138 | .0554 | -2.35 |
| 51 | .0739 | 1.53 | 147 | .0571 | -2.37 |
| 62 | .0904 | 1.30 | 171 | .0545 | -2.44 |
| 71 | .0980 | 0.97 | 293 | .0541 | -2.56 |
| 81 | .0980 | 0.69 | Opened | circuit |  |
| 91 | .0986 | 0.20 | 296 | .0564 | -2.13 |
| 93 | ... | 0.00 | 300 | .0556 | -2.08 |
| 95 | .1302 | -0.31 |  |  |  |

close enough together, as it is quite evident in the curve of Figure 7, which was drawn from another run at the same temperature.
9. 'I'wo criteria have long been considered most pertinent as deseribing the condition of a storage cell. One of these is the density of the electrolyte, and if care has been taken to keep this density right, it is possible to judge accurately of the condition of the cell by an examination of the electrolyte at a given point in the cell eyele. Usually the density is measured at full charge. It could as well be measured at the end of discharge or at any other known point in the eyele, provided the curves describing the relation between density and voltage
for a given current and at constant temperature were accurately known.

The other criterion of the condition of the cell now in use is the plate potential. In order that this may give useful information it is necessary to have a discharge curve, on which are plotted the cell voltages at various times of discharge at constant current and constant temperature. Once in possession of such a curve, measurement of


Figure 8. Curves showing resistance of paste plate cell during discharge at various temperatures.
cell voltage, at a known time after discharge at constant rate has begun, gives accurate information as to the condition of the cell.

It is evident that a measurement of the cell resistance serves equally well to determine the condition of the cell, for the resistance-time curves of Figure 5 are equally as characteristic as the voltage-time curves of Figure 6. The greater difficulty of measurement of cell resistance will probably prevent any practical application of this fact.

## Reversal of a Planté Cell.

10. It was considered a matter of interest to follow a cell at one temperature through complete discharge and then on to more or less complete reversal. If the explanation given on page 591 is correct, it
was to be expected that the resistance would decrease very rapidly after passing through a maximum near the end of complete discharge of the cell, and that the e.m.f. would also reverse rapidly and soon attain nearly its maximum with sign opposite the normal direction in the cell. Table VI. and Figure 7 show how well this is realized:

The data of the above table is plotted in the curves of Figure 7, and


Figure 9. Resistance of Planté plate cell at various discharge rates.
they indicate qualitatively but clearly the course of the changes in the cell. It should be mentioned that the sharp point at the maximum of resistance (about .20 ohm ) is only a rough approximation, as it was quite impossible to follow the change in this portion of the curve rapidly enough to insure accuracy. It is, however, quite certain that the point given is lower than the true maximum value.

## Pasted Plates.

11. New pasted plates were thoroughly charged and put through several cycles until their capacity was approximately constant. They were then set up in a cell with separation of the plates as nearly as possible that of the Planté cells. The curves given in Figure 8 show the course of the resistance during discharge at 2 amperes at various
temperatures. The points of special interest to be noted are the higher resistance of the cell and the smoothness of the curves. These pasted plates were made to have as nearly as possible the same capacity as the Planté cell at the 2 -ampere discharge rate.
12. The course of the resistance of our Planté cell at constant temperature, but at various rates of discharge, is clearly shown by the



Figure 10. Voltage and resistance during partial discharge followed by recovery.
curves of Figure 9. It should be noted that these curves could be used as criteria of cell condition quite as well as voltage curves - and that the cell capacities corresponding to the different rates are clearly given by a statement of the cell resistance, corresponding to the rate in question, at which discharge must be stopped.

Recovery.
13. Curves showing resistance and voltage during partial discharge and subsequent recovery on open circuit are given in Figure 10. These curves are characteristic of the general shape of all recovery curves.

## Oyercharge.

14. The resistance during overcharge seems to be a most variable factor. It rises and falls a thousandth of an ohm or so in one cell but has no regular course.

The disturbing factor must be the escaping gases as there is no other action going on in the cell.


Figure 11. Temperature effect on resistance after various periods of discharge.

## Sulpiated Plate.

15. Measurements were made over a period of a month or more on a nearly discharged plate. It showed no characteristic course whatever but varied from day to day over a range of several thonsandths of an ohm, rising slightly during the first three weeks and then falling again. We evidently did not arrive at a sulphated condition in the usual understanding of the word.

## The Temperature-effect on Resistance.

16. The curves of Figure 5 show the course of change of resistance during discharge at constant rate and constant temperature. They are isothermals. From these it is possible to construct isorhromal curves of ressstance $v$ s. temperature, the isochronal curve corresponding to the fact that the same total amount of active material has been effected in each case, but at a different temperature. These curves are of special
interest because they show how dymmic a thing the momentary equilih. rium in such a cell must be. A set of these derived curves is given in Figure 11. 'The points on the curve $\mathrm{T}=0$ are for open circuit and they give the temperature-resistance curve of Figure 4. The points on the curve $\mathrm{T}=30$ are resistances taken from the isothermal curves as they are cut by a vertical line at 30 minutes of discharge, and so on for the other curves.


Figure 12. Temperature effect on voltage after various periods of discharge.
The slope of the line $T=0$ gives the temperature-coefficient of resistance at the temperature corresponding to the point where the slope is determined. The slope at any point on one of the other curves is the temperature coefficient corresponding to the temperature where the slope is taken, but for all the curves except ' $\mathrm{T}=0$ the condition of the cell is one of dynamic momentary equilibrium. The slopes are nevertheless temperature coefficients corresponding to this particular state in the cell and they are of great interest in disclosing the condition of the cell. In fact it would be hard to find a more striking expression of the complex system under observation than that given by these curves.

The change in temperature-effect is enormous. At $T=0$ the coefficient is about $1.5 \%$ per degree $C$. At $\mathrm{T}=150$ minutes the coefficient has reached $23 \%$ per degree C
vol. xlvi. - 39

## The Temperature Effect on Cell Voltage.

17. It must also be true that the isochronal lines of temperature and cell voltage will show a somewhat similar course. For while the resistance is a more complicated function of cell condition than the e. m. f. both factors must be largely determined by diffusion if final analysis were possible. Sections have been made of the isothermal voltage curves of Figure 6 at various times during discharge, and these


Figure 13. Temperature effect on capacity. Planté plates. One hour rate of discharge.
are plotted in the curves of Figure 12. No attempt has been made to determine the e.m.f. of the fully charged cell at various temperatures.

At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. the coefficient of a cell which has been under discharge for 30 minutes is about 0.006 volts per degree, wiile that of a cell which has been running at the same rate for two hours is 0.62 volts per degree. [The open circuit temperature coefficient for this acid concentration is about . 0003 volts per degree (Dolazalek).]

It should be kept in mind in considering this and the results indicated by the curves of Figure 11, that the cell contains throughout the course of each of the curves, exactly the same amount of each of its constituents. The same quantity of electricity has passed through it, and equal amounts of lead, lead peroxide and lead sulphate are present. The total amount of acid in the whole bulk of the
electrolyte is also the same in each case. The only difference is in the distribution of the materials in the cell.

## 'Iemperature Coefficient of Capacity.

18. From the data of these measurements it is also possible to calculate the temperature coefficient of the capacity of this type of plate. The values so obtained are plotted in the curves of Figure 13. It will be seen that they are nearly straight lines, and that they remain straight for various end voltages. In practice such plates would hardly ever be discharged below 1.6 volts at this rate, and the temperature coefficient of capacity, for plates discharged to this point, is about 3.5 percent per degree. This coefficient is only applicable to plates of this particular type, and to this discharge rate. For other plate types and various rates the temperature coefficient of capacity has been found to vary from 1.5 to 3.5 percent per degree.
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The peculiar action of the sulphide of silver as a conductor of electricity was described by Faraday ${ }^{1}$ in 1833. Later Hittorf, ${ }^{2}$ in 1851, repeated Faraday's experiments and confirmed the results of the earlier work. In 1902 Streintz, ${ }^{3}$ in a study of the conductivity of compressed powders, investigated the behavior of compressed silver sulphide in au electrical circuit and found all of the phenomena described by Faraday and Hittorf. All of these observers attribute to silver sulphide a negative coefficient of resistance for heat, Faraday speaking of it as "an extraordinary case . . . which is in direct contrast with the influence of heat upon metallic bodies."

I have recently conducted a long series of experiments upon the electrical resistance of compressed silver sulphide and, as a result of my observations, am led to the belief that many, if not all, of the phenomena•described by earlier experimenters may be explained as due primarily to the condition of the contacts between the specimen of silver sulphide and the electrodes used to connect it into the electrical circuit.

In order to study the behavior of this material I have found it convenient to consider the specimen and its electrodes during three distinct stages or phases of their condition. These will be briefly described.

1st Condition. When the specimen is first placed in circuit it has an extremely high resistance. Upon heating the specimen its conductivity increases with extreme rapidity and, when the source of heat is removed, the conductivity frequently falls to nearly that which originally existed. As an illustration of this action the figures given by Streintz of test upon a sample may be quoted. "At a temperature of $100^{\circ}$ the specimen showed a resistance from 3,000 to 1,000 ohms ; at $150^{\circ}$, from 400 to 150 ohms ; at $220^{\circ}$ the resistance measured only from .1 to .2

[^118]of an ohm ; and from $230^{\circ}$ upward, it appeared to have practically the same resistance." As also noted by lararlay the application of external heat is not necessary, as by the use of a source of current of sufficient voltage the refuisite current can be obtained to heat the specimen sufficiently and " break down" its initial resistance.

2ml Condition. After the initial high resistance has been broken down and a current of some strength has passed, I have found no case where the specimen attained its original high resistance. Usually, after cooling, the resistance is but a fraction of that which originally existed but is practically always several times greater than that which exists when the specimen has become heated by a flow of current through it. This stage, where the specimen shows a gradual lowering of apparent resistance with inereasing eurrent or an increase in apparent resistance with a diminishing current, but never returns to the abnormally high initial resistance, is termed the second condition of the test.
sird Cimblition. After the current has passed throngh the specimen for some time, - or usually more rapidly if the direction of the current has been reversed,- the characteristics of the sulphide of silver specimen in its scoond condition are no longer found, and the resistance of the specimen changes little with changes in eurrent strength or with heat. 'This peculiarity of what is here termed the "third condition" was deseribed by Faraday, who also noted that the properties of the specimen described under the second condition "could not be renered until a fresh surface of the sulphuret had been applied to the positive pole. This was in conseruence of peculiar results of decomposition."

Streintz's tests were made upon small cylinders of compressed silver sulphide approximately two centimeters in length and fifteen hundredths of a square centimeter in eross-section. Electrodes of platinum foil were placed at the two ends of the cylinder and two supplementary electrodes were embedded within the specimen. In my experiments plates of compressed sulphide were used, which were rectangular in shape and roughly three-quarters of an inch in length by five-sixteenths of an inch in width; the average thickness of the plates was about one thirty-second of an inel. Electrodes of platinum, silver and eopper were used both in the form of fine wires or of foil. The terminals were pressed into the plates of silver sulphide and the tests conducted both while the specimen and its terminals were in the press and after they had heen removed. Many of the specimens studied by me had been prepared by suljecting them to a pressure of ano(o)oo pounds to the square inch. The pressure used in forcing the terminals into the specimon wat approximately 25,000 pounds.

The electrical measurements were made by the use of a Weston
milliammeter ant voltmeter, induded in cirenit with the sperimem, anl a battery having an electro-motive force of 20 volts. The voltmeter was comected about the terminals of the specimen and the current was controlled by the use of resistance coils placed in the direat rirenit with Apecimen, ammeter and battery. I telephone was also so arrawed that it conld be connected with the terminals of the specimen moter test.

An extremely large momber of measurements was made on many specimens under varying comditions. The characteristics of this material, as deseribed ahove, were fomd throughont the tests and agree with those fond and recorded hy former experimenters. The ase of the telephone in my experiments, however, has, I believe, thrown some new light upon the action which is taking place within the specimen of sulphide of silver during the gassage of the electrical eurrent thromgh it.

Comsideration af (romdition I. I have frequently found that specimens had an initial resistance so high that with en volts no measmrements of enrrent conld he made with the instrmments at hand. When connecter with a 110 -volt lighting cirenit there was an immediate fall in resistance and thereafter the 2 o-volt sonree sufficed for current measurements. Placing a heated soldering iron in the vicinity of the specimen would frequently be equally effective in lowering the initial resistance of a specimen.

The following table gives characteristic current and voltage readings of specimens when in the first condition.

## T.ABLE I.

| Voltage at Terminals. | Current Amperes. | Apparent Resistance. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 18. | .96 | 300. | ohms. |
| 17. | .25 | 61. | ohms. |
| 18. | .34 | 53. | ohms. |
| 16. | .3. | 4. | ohms. |
| 4.5 | .95 | 5.3 | ohms. |

This action appeared to be equally conspicnons with platinum, steel, silver or copper electrodes. During the time that the resistance of this specimen was high, violent "sputtering" or "burning" noises were andible in the telephone, and these noises entirely ceased at the time of making the last measurement recorded above. The noises heart in the telephone were characteristic of those frequently present when a comrent is passing through an imperfect contact. The subsidence of these noises was indicative of the formation of more perfect contacts.

The existence of these burning noises shows the presence of an imperfect comection between the specimen and one or both of its electrodes and that arcing is taking place at one or both of these places. An examination of all specimens tested has shown that violent action of some kind has taken place at the point of connection between the sulphide pellet and the electrode connected with the positive pole of the battery; the surface of the specimen has been blackened and some of the fine wires of the electrode have been burned away. On the other hand, the negative ends of the specimens and the electrodes by means of which they have been connected with the negative pole of the battery have been invariably bright and clean.

The probable explanation of the physical action which takes place during the first condition is that despite the close mechanical association of the electrodes and the specimen there is no electrical connection, and an arc must take place between one or both electrodes and the specimen before a current can pass. To produce such an are requires ionization either by heat or by a sufficient difference of potential at the point or points of discontinuity. It appears from all tests that this action takes place most readily at the negative electrode of the specimen, and that gradually a more or less perfect comnection is established at this point. When this action takes place the excessive apparent resistance of the specimen is broken down, and the specimen may be considered as passing from the first into the second condition. In support of this explanation of this phenomenon, the following tests may be described.

The direction of the current through a specimen, the resistance of which had been broken down, was reversed. The noises in the telephone which previonsly had been present were no longer apparent, and after a short time the changes in resistance characteristic of the second condition ceased to exist and the specimen passed into the third condition. Again, a specimen which was in the third condition had one of its electrodes remored and, after the swface of the specimen had been carefully cleaned where the electrode had previously been, it was clamped under great pressure in the jaws of a steel vise with soft copper surfaces. The figures obtained in this test are given below.

From these figures it will be seen that a perfect connection had becu established between the original terminal and the sulphide, but that a couch connection had not been made at the terminal which had been clamped in the vise. During the test, while the current was passing from the vise to the sulphide, the noise in the telephone was very great, hut when the current was passing through the imperfect connection in the apposite direction no noises could be detected. After the

TABLE H .

| Current from Vise to $\mathrm{A}_{3} \times$ \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yotage at } \\ & \text { Terminals. } \end{aligned}$ | Current Amper's. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Dparmant } \\ \text { Resist:mee. }}}{\text { and }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { votuge at } \\ & \text { Terminals. } \end{aligned}$ | C'urrent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rpmancint } \\ \text { Resistance. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.10) | .210 | 5.2 | . 5 | .210 | 2.1 |
| $3 . \quad$ to 3. | .3.00 | . | . 48 | . 390 | 1.2 |
| 1. to 3 . | . 200 | . . | . 5 | . 540 | . 9 |
| $\because$. | .6.5) | 3. |  |  |  |
| 2.5 to | .sa) to | . |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | .90) |  |  |  |  |

current had passed for a short time a good connection was established at the terminal in the vise and the third condition of the action was established.

What actually takes place at the negative electrode I have not determined. Both Faraday and Hittorf were inclined to the belief that conduction through the sulphide of silver is electrolytic, and Hittorf states that silver was found by him at the junction with the negative electrode. Hittorf also found that, in similar tests made with the oxide of copper, antennae of copper were thrown ont from the specimen at the negative pole.

Consideration of Condition II. The second condition exists when a more or less perfect connection has been established at the negative electrode and the contact at the positive terminal is still faulty. All tests of specimeus in this condition show the characteristics of measurements of currents and potentials at imperfect contacts in an electrical circuit. The really remarkable peculiarity of the silver sulphide is that contact cannot be established even when the electrodes and the specimen are pressed together under a weight of many tons.

Attention might be called to the fact that there is present a condition of "unilateral " conduction such as has been extensively studied by Professor Pierce, ${ }^{4}$ the magnitude of the action being dependent upon the degree to which perfect contact has been obtained at the negative terminal of the specimen. This differential action is shown in Table II., and 'Iable III. given below as a fairly characteristic set of measurements made upon specimens in the second condition. It should be noted that the repeated reversals of current gradually establish perfect connections at the two terminals of the specimen, and thus rapidly obliterate the unilateral conduction and tend to establish the third condition.

TABLE III.

| Voltage at Terminals. | Current <br> Amperes. | Apparent <br> Resistance. | Current Reversed. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Voltage at Terminals. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & \text { Amperes. } \end{aligned}$ | Apparent <br> Resistance |
| . 42 |  | 5.8 | . 27 | . 072 | 3.7 |
| . 51 | . 110 | 4.9 | . 36 | .110 | 3.2 |
| . 52 | .200 | 4.1 | . 54 | . 202 | 2.6 |
| 1.08 | .310 | 3.5 | . 72 | . 310 | 2.3 |
| 1.114 | .3.0) | 3.0 | . 70 | . 350 | 9.0 |
| 1.1)4 | . 40.5 | 2.5 | . 80 | . 410 | 1.9 |
| 1.16 | . 180 | 2.4 | .90) | . 500 | 1.6 |
| 1.38 | .640 | 2.1 | 1.04 | . 640 | 1.6 |
| 1.60 | . 550 | 1.8 | 1.30 | . 830 | 1.5 |
| 1.90 | 1.350 | 1.4 | 1.60 | 1.300 | 1.2 |

It is this second condition which has been specially studied by previous experimenters, and it has been due to the measmrements made while the contacts between the electrodes and the specimen were in the condition above described that the negative coefficient of resistance for heat has been attributed to silver sulphide. Increasing temperature of the specimen or increasing difference of potential at its terminals simply promotes the ionization at the imperfect contact or contacts and permits a greater flow of current.

Consideration of Condition III. The condition which I have called the third is that in which fairly good connections have been established between both electrodes and the specimen, and is characterized by an absence of noise in the telephone, with the current passing through the specimen in either direction. The figures given in Table IV. are those obtained from continued tests of the sample, the apparent resistances of which are given in Table III. after it had passed into the third condition.

TABLE IV.

| Yoltage at <br> Terminals. | Current <br> Amperes. | Apparent <br> Resistance. | Voltage at <br> Terminals. | Current <br> Aruperes. | Apparent <br> Resisitace. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .08 | .072 | 1.11 | .08 | .07. | 1.11 |
| .122 | .110 | 1.11 | .12 .2 | .110 | 1.11 |
| .36 | . .31 | 1.16 | .35 | .805 | 1.1 .7 |
| .58 | .500 | 1.16 | .58 | .500 | 1.16 |
| .74 | .63 | 1.17 | .74 | .63 | 1.17 |
| 1.00 | .55 | 1.17 | 1.00 | .85 | 117 |

The characteristics of the secomd comblition. it will he seen, have entirely disippeared, and the resistance with increasing current on temperature instead of falling appears to have increased. As stated Day lamay, the removal of the positive electrode from the specimen, and the scraping of the surface so as to present a new layer of the sulphide restores in every case the specimen to the properties previonsly possessed by it umler the second comdition.

The conclusions which may be drawn from the above are:
(a) That electrical comnection camot be manle between compressed silver sulphite and electrodes of platinum, steel, silver or copper simply by pressure.
(h) 'That conduction through compressed silver sulphide camot be obtainet mutil sufficient ionization has occurred at the contacts to allow the passage of an are.
(c) 'Ihat comection, when a current has passel, is most readily and completely obtained at the negative terminal of the specimen.
(d) 'That it is probable that, when perfect comnections have been established between the sulphide of silver and its electrodes, the sulphicle has a positive rather than a negative coefficient of resistance for increasing temperatures.

Boston, January 25, 1910.
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For many years past the electrical and thermal properties of a certain kind of wrought iron have been, from time to time, under investigation in this laboratory, and a number of papers $\mathbf{1}$ giving the methods and results of this study have already been published. The present paper has to do with the so-called Hall effect and the allied effects in the same kind of iron. These are so mumerous and so unfamiliar to ordinary observation that it seems well to give an enumeration and brief description of them, somewhat like that given by H. Zahn ${ }^{2}$ in his comprehensive paper on such phenomena.

In each of Figures 1-4 the rectangle, with very short side projections, or arms, represents pretty accurately, halfscale, the broadside of one of our "plates" of iron, which were each about 5 cm . long between heavy terminal blocks of copper (see Figure 7), and about 2 cm. wide. The circle indicates the size of the flat face of each pole of the electromagnet, the arrow showing the direction of the magnetizing current.

## Description of the Transverse Effects.

Hall Effect. - Figure 1, in which EE is the longitudinal electrical current, and $E^{\prime \prime} E^{\prime}$ is the transverse electrical current due to the action of the magnet, shows the Hall effect, positive, according to the ordinary convention as to the sign, in this case, the equipotential line which would naturally extend straight across between the arms being rotated

[^120]by the magnetic action through a small angle in the direction of flow of the Amperian magnetizing current.

The letter commonly used for the Hall effect coefficient is $R$; we shall use also ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ in the same sense, $T$ indicating transcerse, the initial $e$ indicating that the longitudinal flow is electrical, the final $e$ indicating that the transcerse flow is electrical. If we let


Figure 1.


Figure 2.
$C=$ the longitudinal current, in absolute units,
$H=$ " intensity of the field "
$\Delta P^{\prime}=$ " diff. of pot. set up between the arms,
$w=$ " width of the plate in $\mathrm{cm} .$,
$t=$ " thickness of the plate in cm .,
we have by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e}(\text { or } R)=\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{C}{u t} I=\frac{t \Delta P^{\prime}}{C I} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ettingshausen Effect. - In Figure 2, with the longitudinal electrical current $E E^{\prime}$ and the magnetic field just as in Figure 1, we take note of a transverse difference of temperature, $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$, established by magnetic action. This is the Ettingshausen effect. $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$, as here represented, is in such a direction that a transverse current of heat would flow in the same direction as the transverse electrical current of Figure 1. In this case the Ettingshausen effect, like the Hall effect of Figure 1, is said to be positive.

Zahn uses $P$ for the Ettingshausen coefficient. We shall use also, in the same sense, ${ }_{e} T_{h}$, the $T$, as before, standing for transcerse, the $e$ for electrical, longitudinal, the $h$ for thermal, transverse. We have by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{b}(\text { or } P)=\frac{\Delta \theta^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{C}{u t} I I=\frac{t \Delta \theta^{\prime}}{C H \tilde{I}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nernst Effect. - In Figure 3, with the magnetic ficld as in Figures $1-2$, we have a longitudinal heat-current, $/ / I I$, and we take note of̆ a transverse difference of potential, $\Delta P^{\prime}$, established by magnetic action. This is the Nerust effect. This $\Delta P^{\prime}$, as here represented, is in the same direction as the $\Delta P^{\prime}$ of Figure 1, in which the longitudinal current was electrical. In this case, we shall call the Nernst effect positive ; Zahn would call it negative. Zahn uses $Q$ for the Nernst


Figure 3.


Figure 4.
coefficient with his convention as to the sign. We shall use ${ }_{n} T_{e}$ as equivalent to his $-Q$, the prefix $h$ indieating a thermal longitudinal flow, the $T_{e}$ indicating a transverse electrical action. We have by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} T_{e}(\text { or }-Q)=\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l} H, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d l}=\text { the temperature-gradient along the plate. }
$$

Leduc Effect. - In Figure 4, with the magnetic field and the longitudinal heat-current as in Figure 3, we take note of the transverse difference of temperature, $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$, established by magnetic action. This is the Leduc effect. This $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$, as here represented, is in the same direction as the $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$ of Figure 2, in which the longitudinal current was electrical. In this case, the Leduc effect is called positive. Zahn uses $S$ for the Leduc coefficient. We shall use also, in the same seuse, ${ }_{h} T_{h}$. We have by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} T_{h}(\text { or } S)=\frac{\Delta \theta^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l} H, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The longitudinal effects are defined later, in connection with an account of our work on them.

## Description of Apparatus.

Each of the iron plates mentioned above was brazed at each end into a thick copper block, as in Figures 7 and 8. After being so fixed each plate-was measured in thickness at nine points, the distribution of which is well shown by Figure 5, the distance between (1) and (2), (2) and (3), (4) and (5), etc., being appoximately 1.5 cm .


Figure 5.


Figure 6.

Plate 1.- The measurements of Plate 1 were
Length between coppers . . . . 5.1 cm .,
Width "
"

Arms about 0.12 cm . long and about 0.10 cm . wide,


As the part of the plate which is particularly important in the transverse effects, the effects that interest us most, is the narrow transverse strip including the arms, the thickness of this part is to be especially considered. This, according to our measurements, is about 0.1463 cm . ; but as the method of measurement, by means of vernier calipers with faces of considerable width, must tend to give a maximum value, we take off about 1 per cent from the quantity just given and call the mean thickness of the median transverse strip 0.145 cm .

To Plate 1, eight wires were attached (Figure 6) by means of copper electrolytically deposited. Four of these, $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$, were of copper about 0.017 cm . in diameter, the others, $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{3}, \mathrm{I}_{4}$, were of annealed iron wire about 0.020 cm . in diameter. This iron wire was drawn from a portion of the same bar that furnished the plates 1 and 2. These fine iron wires were joined to somewhat heavier iron wires of the same source 15 cm . or more above the iron plate, and the heavier wires, perhaps a
meter long, were soldered to copper wires, the junctions being kept in a water bath. The distance between junctions (1) and (:3) could not be very accurately determined. It was measured and taken as 2.78 cm . The wires $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$ ran down from the points of attachment, passed under the lower edge of the plate, and came up across it on the other face. The distance between $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and between $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ was perhaps 0.05 cm ., each wire being attached to the outer end of the arm. On the face shown in Figure 6, a flat sheet of asbestos about 0.15 cm . thick, arranged so as not to disturb the wires, was cemented by means of asphaltum or melted shellac (the latter was used with Plate 2), the whole being subjected to a temperature high enough to soften the cement and allowed to cool with sufficient pressure on the asbestos sheet to fix it flat in place.

The width of Plate 1 is the direction of the fibre, or grain, of the original iron bar.

Plate 2. - The measurements of Plate 2 were
Length between coppers . . . . . . . 5.15 cm .,
Width . . . . . . . 2.01 ",
Arms about 0.10 cm . wide and 0.10 cm . long,

|  | cm . Pt. | $\mathrm{cm} . \quad \mathrm{Pt}$. | cm. Pt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thickness (Figure 5) | $\int 0.134$ at 1 | 0.135 at 2 | 0.138 at 3 |
|  | $\{0.138$ " 4 | 0.142 " 5 | 0.145 " 6 |
|  | 0.140 " 7 | 0.143 " 8 | 0.146 " 9 |
| Means | $\overline{0.137}$ | $\overline{0.140}$ | $\overline{0.143}$ |

The face of Plate 2 was smoother at the last than the face of Plate 1, and we have taken as the mean thickness of the arm strip of (2) the mean of the measurements as here recorded, 0.140 cm . The thickness is several per cent greater on one edge of the plate than on the other, but it does not appear that any serious harm can come from this inequality.

The iron wires of Plate 1 (see Figure 6) were with Plate 2 replaced by constantan ("advance ") wires, $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}$, etc., about 0.020 cm. in diameter, which had been annealed by heating to bright incandescence by means of an electric current. The distance from junction (1) to junction (3) was now made about 2.62 cm ., in order to have them a little nearer the centre of the magnetic field than the corresponding junctions of Plate 1 had been. Wires $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ did not here pass under the lower edge of the plate, but followed the course shown in Figure 6, strips of mica fastened by shellac, melted on, being used for insulation. The wires themselves were bedded in shellac in their course across the plate. The plate was finally backed, on the side
shown, with a flat sheet of asbestos about 0.15 cm . thick, according to the description already given.

The length of Plate 2 is the direction of the fibre of the original bar.


Figure 7.

Mountini the: Plates in the Field.

Figures 7 and 8 show the methot of moming the plates in the magnetic field for the electromagnetic experiments. $T T$ is a trough of soft iron consisting of a rectangular one-piece ${ }^{3}$ block about 4.5 cin. long, 6.is cm. tall and 2.0 cm . wide, with a chamel 0.5 cm . wide and 5.5 cm . deep, cut through it from end to end, leaving an uncut part 1 cm. thick below. Through holes drilled in this uncut portion two streams of water, entering at the ends and meeting at the middle, reach teu vertical passages, five in each wall of the trough. The passages in each wall lead into a horizontal passage at the top, and the two streams of water issuing from the apparatus are reunited into one beyond the range of our figures.

The object of this water circulation is to control the temperature of the trough, and so that of our iron plate, which lies in the chamel of the
${ }^{3}$ The trough first used was not from one piece, but was a composite affair soldered together. It leaked more or less, and after
 much trial the new trough was substituted for it March 26, 1910. Nearly all our observations on the transrerse and longitudinal effects marle before that date we regard as tentative only and reject from our final results.
trongh, during the electromagnetic observations; for in these it is desirable to have all parts at the same temperature, except as differences may be set up by the magnetic action that we are studying.

The asbestos pad, indicated by a dotted strip in Figure 8, at the back of the plate bears against one face of the chamel in the trough. Between the plate and the other face of this channel is a space about 0.2 cm . wide, into which passes the single-turn "test-coil," of 1 cm . radius, by means of which the strength of the magnetic field is measured. The test-coil is in circuit with a ballistic galvanometer and the throw produced when it is suddenly withdrawn from the field is easily and accurately measured. The semicircular pieces above and below the plate are of soft iron of nearly the same thickness as the plate, their object being to insure uniformity of magnetic field over the whole width of the iron under observation. Care is taken to have the plate a little nearer to one face of the chamel than to the other, so that it may not be pulled forward on the test-coil side by magnetic action. At each end of the trough near the top is a slot which holds a small brass bar, haring a heal at one end and a nut at the other and carrying a stud 0.5 cm. long on its middle. This device prevents the walls of the trough from bending toward or from each other during the magnetic action.

During thermomagnetic observations also, with a longitudinal gradient of temperature, the plate was at first kept in the iron trough, without, of course, circulation of the water therein. It appeared, however, after a time, that the nearness of the walls of the trough affected the longitudinal temperature-gradient, and after May 21 , 1910, the trough was discarled during thermomagnetic measurements. At this time disks of cork (see ligure 8 ) about 0.2 cm . thick were cemented to the flat faces of the pole pieces of the magnet, which faces are 4.0 cm . in diameter, and the exposed surface of each disk was cross-cut with a file or saw, in order to reduce the naturally low heat-carrying power of the cork. The asbestos pad at the back of the iron plate was then placel against the cork disk on one pole of the magnet, and the other prle was bronght somewhat toward the plate, but not near enough to poll the plate away from the other pole. Most of the thermomagnetic data which we use were obtained after this arrangement hat been adopted.

The cork disks remained in use during all the subsequent measurements, even when the iron trongh wat put back for repetition of electromagnetic observations. Previons to their introduction a facing of ashestos had been used between the pole pieces and the sides of the trough.

The tules $W^{\circ}$ and $W^{\circ}$ show where the two streams of water enter the apparatus. The eomse of these streams is first downwarl past the thermmeter-bulbs, which give the temperature readings called $T_{n}$ and $T_{s}$, throngh the massive eopper Lhocks $/ 3$ and $B$. 'Ihen, if electromagetic experiments are in order, the comse followed is that shown by the arrows in ligure 7 ; but if a temperature-gratient for thermmagnetic work is to be established and maintaned, the two streams, one warm and the other cold, pass off at once from $B$ and $B$ to the sink.

The longitudinal electric current, when one is used, enters by one of the heavy wires $C, C$, and passes out by the other.

Plate 1 was studied at intervals from June 5, 1909, to June 29, 1910. All the observations we have to publish on Plate 2 were made during December, 1910.

## Calibration of tiee Thermo-Electric Couples.

The "thermo-electric height" between copper and our iron was determined some years ago for various temperatures ranging from $27^{\circ}$ to $71^{\circ}$, and from this set of data a curve was plotted which gives the thermo-electric force of a copper-iron couple within these limits. As our iron wires were drawn from a piece of the same iron bar that furnished the iron used in determining these thermo-electric heights, and as they were amealed after drawing, it was assumed that the curve just described would serve for couples made by joining these wires with copper ; that is, we assumed the irm wires to be thermoelectrically so like the plate to which we attached them, that we should be justified in ignoring any thermo-electric forces which might arise from differences between the plate and this wire.

But to our surprise and disappointment we found that the thermoelectric force of the couple $I_{1}-p l u t e-I_{3}$ was about one tentli-part as that of the couple $C_{1}-$ plate $-C_{3}$. This discovery, which was not made at the beginning of our work, taken with the fact that the thermoelectric quality of iron is considerably affected by magnetization, led to the rejection of iron wires and the use of constantan wires in their place, when we came to the preparation of Plate 2.

Experiments to determine whether constantan wire would be appreciably effected in its thermo-electric quality by magnetism were conducted as follows: A piece of annealed constantan wire was fastened by means of shellac, applicd melted, within a narrow glass tube across, or through, which ran side-tubes carrying streams of water, one at a temperature near $16^{\circ}$ degrees, the other near $76^{\circ}$.

The distance between the streams was abont 6 cm ., so that the gradient of temperature in the wire between must have been about $10^{\circ}$ per cm . The euds of the wire, which was about 130 cm . long, were soldered to copper wires, and the junctions were placed in a suitable water bath, the copper wires leading to the galvanometer. The part of the iron wire lying between the streams of hot and cold water was placed across the space between the magnetic poles in a field the strength of which, for a distance of perhaps 4 cm , was not far from 8000.

Galvanometer readings taken with "field off" and "field on" showed that the change of thermo-electric quality produced in the merpally heated constantan wire by magnetic action, if any such change occurred, was probably too small to yield a change of $3 \times 10^{-8}$ volt in the thermo-electric force of the circuit.

Four couples of copper and amealed constantan wires were tested for calibration purposes. The temperatures used were those of the tap-water (about $12.3^{\circ}$ ), boiling ether (about $35.5^{\circ}$ ), boiling alcohol (about $80^{\circ}$ ), and boiling water. The method used was substantially that which has been described in previous papers. ${ }^{4}$ None of the junctions came into direct contact with any one of the liquids or its sapor, each being protected by an arrangement like that shown in Figure 10. The thermoneters used had been studied with considerable care in previous work.

The four couples agreed so closely that for no one of the temperature intervals used in the calibration did the result from any one conple differ so much as one per cent from the mean result given by all. This mean follows:

| Temperature interval. | Mean e. m. f. per degree. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $11.6^{\circ}-31.7^{\circ}$ | 3911 absolute |
| $34.6-79.1^{\circ}$ | 41.1 .7 |
| $79.3^{\circ}-949.9^{\circ}$ | 4527 |

The thermo-electric current rums from constantan to copper at the hot junction : that is, at ordinary temperatures the copper line on the thermo-electric diagram lies between the iron line and the constantan line.
l'lutting a curve through the three points given by the data shown above, we get from it the following table for comper-constantan : -

[^121]```
HALL AND (ANHPBELL. - MAGNETIC EFFECTS IN MOFT IHON. (i.).)
```

| Tenmprature． | Thertw－enetric laight （thictondits per（legree）． | Temperature |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01. | こら．0！ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ． | $11.7 \pm$ |
| 10 | ＂S． 16 | $70^{3}$ | 12．71 |
| $\because 0^{\circ}$ | OS．91 | $80^{\circ}$ | 1：3．：11 |
| 30 | $\because \because!.00$ | 910 | 1．i．i．i |
| $41^{\circ}$ | 40．11 | $1100^{\circ}$ | 47.111 |
| $50{ }^{\circ}$ | ＋11．SS |  |  |

Menchenent of the Transiehse Befents．
In giving the results，of our ohservations we shall report on the varions transerse effects in the order in which we have defined them． Relations，theoretical or empirical，between some of them will be dis－ chssed later，with especial reference to the formulas of Moreau and of Voist．

Hucll Eififect．－With Plate 1 we found

| 1910. |  | cTe． | $\theta$ ． | $C_{p}$. | II． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar．$\because 9$ | $+$ | $711 \times 10^{-5}$ | $19.7^{\circ}$ | 2.9 | 6.910 |
| ＂ 30 |  | $10 \cdot 1$ | 33．0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | ، |  |

$\theta$ here is the temperature of the water flowing throngh the copper blocks at the end of the plate and the iron trough in which the plate lay．（＇p is approximately the strength of the current throngh the plate，expressed in absolute mits；$/ /$ is approximately the strength of the magnetic field at the middle part of the plate，expressed in absolute units．

The temperature－coefficient of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ ，as derived from the data here given，is

$$
(1024-714) \div 714(53-19.7)=0.0108
$$

Thermo－electric action，due to the Ettingshausen transverse tempera－ ture－difference acting in the all－iron thermo－electric conple $I_{2}-\boldsymbol{l}_{\text {lute }}-I_{4}$ of Figure 6，is negligible in its influence on the Hall effect as here observed．

With Plate 2 ，using the circuit $C_{2}-p$ late－$r_{1}$ ，we found

| 1910. | ${ }_{\text {ct }}$ c． | $\theta$ ． | $C_{P}$. | H． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec． 3 | $+807 \times 10^{-5}$ | $13.1{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.94 | 5130 |
| ＂ 22 | 781＂ | $13.7{ }^{\circ}$ | ソ．！ 7 | 5460 |
|  | 794＂ | $12.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.96 | 8300 |
| ＂ 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1456 \times 10^{-5} \\ & (146.4) \quad{ }^{16} \end{aligned}$ | $84.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.99 | 5040 |

After the observations of December 10, on the Hall effect, it was found that the plate had been several millimeters too high in the magnetic field during the observations of December 3 and 10, so that the strength of the field to which it had been exposed was somewhat uncertaii. Proper adjustment was made December 22, and later in the stme day the Hall effect was measured again at low temperature. The coefficient found December 22 was about 3.3 per cent lower than that of December 33, though the temperature on the later date was only $0.4^{\circ}$ lower, which would account for scarcely 0.5 per cent difference. For the value of $T_{e}$ at the mean low temperature, $12.9^{\circ}$, we have taken the mean, $794 \times 1()^{-5}$ of the values found on December 3 and December 2.2 For the value of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ at the high temperature, $84.5^{\circ}$, we have taken the value found December 10 reduced by 1.5 per cent, thus getting $1464 \times 10^{-5}$.

For the temperature-coefficient of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ in Plate 2, we get

$$
(1464-794) \div 794(84.5-12.9)=0.0118 .{ }^{5}
$$

Ettingslluusen Effect. - With Plate 1 we undertook to measure this effect by using the east and the west coils of our galvanometer differentially, comecting the $I_{2} I_{4}$ circuit with the west coil and the $C_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }_{4}$ circuit with the east coil and taking care to have the total resistance of one circuit equal to that of the other. This arrangement we called $\left(I_{2}-I_{4}\right)$ risus: $\left(C_{2}^{\prime}-C_{4}^{\prime}\right)$. Lest there might be error from a possible accidental adrantage of one coil of the galvanometer over the other in action upon the needle, a series of observations made with this arrangement was always compled with a $\left(C_{2}-C_{4}\right)$ is. $\left(I_{2}-I_{4}\right)$ series, in which the $C_{2} C_{4}$ circuit was comnected with the west coil and the $I_{2} I_{4}$ circuit with the east coil.

The theory of this test was that the Hall effects in the two circuits would just neutralize each other, leaving the Ettingshausen effect in the $r_{2}^{2} r_{4}$ circuit to give an account of itself in the net result. 'This experimental method was not so good as that used in the study of the

[^122]Ettingshamsen effect in Plate $\because$, and the results here attained are not entitled to any great confidence. 'They are

| 1910. | .T |  | $\theta$. | $r_{p}$. | II. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mitr. 29 | - 8 T | $\times 10^{-10}$ | $1 \because .7$ | 2.86 | 6.,11 |
| 30 | - 1120 | " | \%3.1 | 2.85 |  |

It seems inadvisable to calculate a temperature-coefficient of ${ }_{e} T_{h}$ from these datia.

With Plate 2 we put the circnit $C_{4} K_{4}$ into comection with the west eoil of the galvanometer and the eirenit $C_{2} \mathcal{K}_{2}$ into comection with the east coil, as in ligure ! $3^{6}$ We shall call this arrangenent $\left({ }_{4} h_{+}^{-} \approx\right.$. ( $h_{2}^{-}$, or (1) © (2). Series with this arrangement were coupled with series having a (2) cs. (1) arrangement, the galvanometer connections being exchanged in the shift from one arrangement to the other. The results are

| 1910. | ${ }_{\text {c }} T_{h}$. | $\theta$. | $C_{p}$. | II. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dee. 9 | $-500 \times 10^{-10}$ | $12.2^{\circ}$ | 2.94 | 5000 |
|  | [ 4012 ] |  |  |  |
| " 10 | 621 " | $83.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 30.0 | " |
|  | [1512] |  |  |  |

The numbers given in brackets, which are the ones to be finally taken, are reached by deducting 1.5 per cent from the numbers immediately above, the deduction being made for reasons given in connection with ${ }_{e} T_{c}$.

From these data we get the following temperature-coefficient of ${ }_{e} T_{h}$ in Plate 2 :

$$
(612-492) \div 492(83.9-12.2)=0.003 .4 .
$$

The transverse differences of temperature (between the arms) actually produced by electromagnetic action in the cases here described in Plate 2 were about $0.0053^{\circ}$ at the low temperature and $0.0067^{\circ}$ at the high temperature. Whether these temperature-differences are set up instantaneously by the magnetic action or whether they grow for a time after the full magnetic field-strength is on, is a question we cannot at present answer with full confidence. The galvanometer was usually read about 45 to 60 seconds after the magnet-current, $C_{m}$, was put on. We have not observed any tendency toward galvanometer

[^123]drift of such a character as to indicate that we should have got larger Ettingshausen effects by waiting longer for these effects to be established.


Figure 9.


Figctre 10.

The Mernst Lifiect. - With Plate 1 we at first used the iron trough already described, during the thermomagnetic tests. We now reject all the Nernst-effect observations made with this trough between the poles. 'The remaining results are

| 1910. |  | ${ }_{n}{ }^{T}{ }^{\text {c }}$. | Arin $\theta$. | $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$. | II. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thne 4 | $-944$ | $\times 11^{-6}$ | $45.7^{\circ}$ | $113^{\circ}$ | 8.4010 |
| 11 | ! 2 | " | $44.5^{\circ}$ | $11.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 8500 |
| Me:lus | -936 | " | $45.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $11.3{ }^{\circ}$ | 83.00 |

The "arm $\theta$," that is, the temperature of the median cross-line of the plate, was fomm by comecting either the (' $1 / I_{2}$ circuit or the $\mathrm{C}_{2} I_{4}$ circuit with the galvanometer and then bringing the outer junction of the thermo-electric comple to such a temperature as to make the current in the cireuit zero.

The temperature-gradient ${ }_{\text {d }}^{\text {d }}$ along the plate was foumd by connecting the thermo-electric circuit $C_{1}-p_{\text {late }}-C_{3}$ with the galvanometer.

The transverse potential difference, the Nernst effect, was foumd by means of the cirenit $I_{2}-p^{\prime} l$ the $-I_{4}$, the assumption being made that the thermo-electric difference between the iron plate and the iron wires could safely oe neglected, so that no accome need be taken here of the faet that a transverse temperature-difference, the Ledue effect, is set up simultaneously with the Nerust effect. It now seems very doubtful whether this assumption was justified; but as we have good reason for supposing that the error thus introduced into the value of ${ }_{n} T_{e}$ was not more than 10 per cent, and as we are not sure of the sign of this error, we leave ${ }_{n} T_{e}$ for Plate 1 as we have found it.

With Plate 2 the conditions were better. We found arm $\theta$ and $d \theta$, Il in essentially the same way as before. We found the transverse e. m. f., $\Delta E^{\prime \prime}$ let us say, produced in the circuit $C_{2}-p$ late $-C_{4}$ by magnetic action and deducted from this the amount, a large part of the whole, which from a study of the Ledue effect we found to be attributable to a thermo-electric force set up in the circuit $C_{2}-p l a t e-C_{4}$ by magnetic action. If we call this e. m. f. $\Delta_{l} E^{\prime \prime}$ and call the true Nernst transverse difference of potential $\Delta P^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\Delta I^{\prime}=\Delta E^{\prime \prime}-\Delta_{l} E .
$$

Thus at $31^{\circ}$ we found $\Delta P^{\prime}=132.3-54.5=77.8$ absolute, and at $60^{\circ}$ " " $\Delta P^{\prime}=137.0-50.3=86.7 \quad$ "
The results are

| 1910. | ${ }_{n}{ }^{T}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | Arm ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\frac{d \theta}{d l} .$ | H. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 28 | - | $880 \times$ | $\times 10^{-6}$ | $30.1{ }^{\circ}$ | $8.4(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{s})$ | 5550 |
| " 29 |  | 840 | " | $31.7^{\circ}$ | 8.3 ( $3-\mathrm{s}$ ) | ، |
| Means |  | 860 | " | $\overline{31.0}{ }^{\circ}$ | 8.4 | 5550 |
| Dec. 30 |  | $940 \times$ | $\times 10^{-6}$ | $59.83^{\circ}$ | 7.7 ( $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{N}$ ) | 5560 |
| " 30 |  | 1020 | " | $60.3^{\circ}$ | 7.7 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{s}$ ) | 5470 |
| Means | - | 980 | " | 60.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.7 | 5500 |

Reference to formula (:3) defining ${ }_{n} T_{e}$ will show that it contains the factor $1 \div\left(w \times \frac{d \theta}{d l}\right)$, where $w$ is the width. Now as the Nerust $د P^{\prime}$ is
found between two points separated by the width of the main plate plus the length of both arms, it might seem as if $w$ must be taken as this whole distance. On the other hand, as the flow of heat in the arms follows a somewhat dubious course, one may well doubt whether the whole length of the arms should be included. Fortunately, the fact that the width $w$ occurs in combination with the gradient $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$ relieves us from this difficulty. The longitudinal gradient which counts is the gradient on the narrow strip running across from arm to arm and out into the arms, and this gradient will bear the same ratio to the measured gradient $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$ that the width of the main part of the plate bears to the effective width at the arms. Accordingly, we can take $w$ as the width of the plate without the arms and $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$ as the gradient which would exist if the arms were absent, that is, practically, the gradient as measured by means of the circuit $C_{1}$-plete- $C_{3}$. This we have done, here and in dealing with the Leduc effect.

The temperature-coefficient of ${ }_{h} T_{e}$ we find to be

$$
(980-860) \div 860(60-31)=0.0048
$$

The Lerduc Effect. - All of the Leduc observations on Plate 1 were made with the iron trough in use. For this reason, and the further reason that the dubious thermo-electric quality of our iron wire was involved in them, these observations are entitled to far less weight than those made with Plate 2 .

The method used with both plates was essentially that indicated in Figure 9, the (2) circuit and the (4) circuit being used differentially, one connected with the west coil of the galvanometer, the other connected with the east coil.

With Plate 1 we found

| 1910. | ${ }_{n} T_{n}$. | Arm $\theta$. | $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$. | H. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 31 | $+453 \times 10^{-9}$ | 43 (?) | 11.0 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{s})$ | 6500 |
| Apr. 2 | 410 " | 44 (?) | 11.3 " | " |
| Means | 432 " | 43.5(?) | 11.2 | 6500 |
| Apr. 1 | $421 \times 10^{-9}$ | 43 (?) | 11.3 (s-s ) | 6500 |
| 2 | 438 " | 44 (?) | 11.3 " | " |
| " 9 | 420 | 45 (?) | 11.3 | " |
| Means | 426 | 44 (?) | 11.3 | 6500 |
| Final means | $+429$ | 44 (?) | 11.3 | 6500 |

With llate 2 we found

| 1910. | ${ }_{n} T_{n}$. | Arm $\theta$. | $\frac{d \theta}{d l^{\circ}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 2s | $+54!\times 10^{-9}$ | $29.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 8.3 ( $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s}$ ) | 5600 |
| " 29 | isti " | $313^{\circ}$ | 8.6 ( $s-\mathrm{N})$ | 5550 |
| Means | +56s " | $30.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 8.5) | Erion |
| Dee. 30 | $+614 \times 10^{-9}$ | $59.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $7.9(5-\mathrm{N})$ | 5470 |
| " " | 6.49 | $61.1^{\circ}$ | 7.7 ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ ) | 53.01) |
| Means | +632 | $60.2^{\circ}$ | 7.8 | 5500 |

The temperature-coefficiont of ${ }_{h} T_{h}$ in Plate 2 is, according to these figures,

$$
(632-568) \div 568(60.2-30.6)=0.0038 .
$$

The + sign of ${ }_{n} T_{h}$ means, according to our definition, that the isothermal lines are by the magnetic field rotated in the same direction in which the magnetizing current flows.

The difference of temperature actually produced between the arms by this action was about $0.005 t^{\circ}$.

The magnet current was usually put on about 45 seconds before the final galvanometer reading showing the Leduc effect was taken. Professor Campbell, who did most of the experimental work in this research, is under the impression that the Leduc effect did not attain its maximum value as soon as the full field-strength was reachod, but that it continued, according to the testimony of the galvanometer, to grow for a time after that moment. We cannot speak positively, as yet, on this interesting particular.

Relations of $T_{e}, T_{i} T_{h}$, etc.: The Moreau Formula and
its Suggestions; tie Voigi Formula.
Attempts have been made by various writers to correlate some or all of the various effects which are dealt with in this paper and to connect them with some of the more generally familiar electrical and thermal properties of metals. Naturally the electron theory has been used of late in such efforts; but we shall consider first a suggestion or proposition made by Moreau, 7 about ten years since, in which no mention of the electron theory was made or intended. Indeed, Moreau says, "As, moreover, the variations of $K\left[{ }_{e} T_{h}\right]$ are explained by those of $c\left[T_{e}\right]$, since the Hall effect is the primordial phenomenon, onc is justified in supposing that the molecular state of the plate has a consider-
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able influence on the value of $c$. This seems to me a new proof that the Hall phenomenon is due to a deformation of the plate under the influence of the magnetic field, and it is enough to explain the differences, often very great, observed between the values of $c$, for the same body, by different experimenters."

It is difficult to see how anyone who has tried the Hall effect with a variety of conditions as to the manner of supporting the metal under examination can attribute this effect to any ordinary distortion of the material. If distortion, or deformation, is the cause of the phenomenon in question, this distortion must be something as remote from ordinary bending and twisting as are the changes of molecular orientation which we imagine to accompany or constitute magnetization.

We might, therefore, pay little attention to the suggestion of Moreau, if he harl not given and supported with some experimental evidence an exceedingly simple formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{e} \div s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\quad{ }_{e} T_{e}=$ the Hall coefficient,
${ }_{h} T_{e}=$ " Nernst "
$\rho=$ " specific electrical resistance,
$s=$ " mechanical equivalent of the Thomson-effect coefficient, that is, the amount of heat energy (ergs) absorbed by the unit current (absolute) of electricity per second in going, according to the ordinary convention of current direction, through the metal from a point where the temperature is $T$ degrecs C. to a point where it is $(T+1)$ degrees C .

This formula of Moreau, if it conld be substantiated by further experimental evidence, would prove disturbing to the point of view from which the present definition of the Hall coefficient was framed, even if it did not lead to the conclusion that the Hall effect and its various allied effects are due to a deformation of some kind in the material showing these phenomena. For it is to be noted that in the definition of $T_{e}$ the relation of the transverse potential-gradient to longitudinal current-strength, not to the longitudinal potential-gradient, is expressed. The reasons for this are two: 1st, a notion held by the discoverer at the time of the discovery that the new effect was due to the action of magnetic force on a current of clectricity, as such, not to the action of such force on a medimm containing lines of electrical force, ${ }^{8}$

[^125]as such; 2d, the fact that it was much easier to measure currentstrength than potential-gradient, in the longitudinal direction, in metal sheets of meven thickness and uncertain quality such as were often used in the early days of the Hall effect.

It is true that before long it began to be seen that metals of high resistance had, as a rule, high values of ${ }_{e} \boldsymbol{Y}_{e}^{\prime}$, and this fact raised the question whether high transverse potential-gradient might not go naturally with high longitudiual potential-gradient as such. But it was found that, in non-magnetic metals at least, rise of temperature, inereasing the resistance and the longitudinal potential-gradient, did not as a rule, if ever, increase ${ }^{9}$ the Hall effect proportionally. Accordingly, there has seemed to be no sufficient reason for recasting the definition of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ by introducing the potential-gradient instead of the longitudinal current-strength.

But the formula of Moreau suggests, or perhaps is suggested, by such a change of view as this recasting of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ would imply. To prove this statement an argument leading to this formula will now be given.

We will treat the matter first in its qualitative aspect ; and we will take the case of our soft iron, in order to be the more definite. Figure 1, which is correct for this iron, shows a + Hall effect, the equipotential lines being rotated in the direction of the Amperian current of the field. Such a rotation establishes a transverse potential-gradient, the lower edge of the plate attaining thus the higher potential.
'Iurning now to Figure 3 and remembering that in iron the Thomsoneffect coefficient, $s$, is negative, so that heat is absorbed by an electrical current when it flows (in the ordinary conventional sense) from high temperature to low temperature in iron, we see that in the present case we must, in order that an electrical current may not flow along the plate, have the cold end of the plate at a higher potential than the hot end ; that is, we have, under the conditions of Figure 3, a potentialgradient opposite in direction to the temperature-gradient and opposite to the potential-gradient along the plate in Figure 1. Accordingly, our magnetic field, rotating the equipotential lines of this stutic Thomson-effect potential-gradient, would make in our iron the upper edge of the plate electrically positive as compared with the lower edge, thus giving what we call a negative Nernst effect. The Nernst effect in our iron is negative, according to our convention as to signs, and the

9 "The temperature-coefficient of the Hall effect in gold, zine, platinum, silver and aluminum has . . . been determined and it has been found that with the exception of aluminum there is in each of these eases a decrease in the effect as the temperature is raised from $-190^{\circ}$ to about $22^{\circ} . "$ Dr. Alpheus W. Smith, Physical Review, Jan., 1910.
signs at least of the Moreau formula are correct in this case, ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ and $\rho$ being both + and ${ }_{h} T_{e}$ and $s$ both - .

The quantitative argument is very simple. Writing $\frac{d P}{d l}$ for the potential-gradient which maintains the current $C$ through a plate of width $u$, thickness $t$, and specific resistance $\rho$, we have, using absolute units, $C=\frac{d P}{d l} \times \frac{\omega t}{\rho}$, and accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e}=\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{C}{u t} I I=\left(\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{d P}{d l}\right) \frac{\rho}{H} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Traking, as before (equation (3)),

$$
{ }_{n} T_{e}=\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{w^{\prime}} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l} H,
$$

and observing that the static Thomson-effect potential-gradient is

$$
\begin{gather*}
s \frac{d \theta}{d l}=\frac{d P}{d l}, \\
{ }_{n} T_{e}=\left(\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{\pi^{\prime}} \div \frac{d P}{d l}\right) \frac{s}{I I} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

we have
If, now, we can assume that the equipotential lines of the electric eurrent in the Hall effect are by magnetic action rotated just as far as the static thermo-electric equipotential lines of the heat current in the Nernst effect, we shall have the parenthesis in equation (6) equal to the parenthesis in ( 7 ), and then, dividing (6) by ( 7 ), we shall get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e} \div{ }_{h} T_{e}=\rho \div s, \quad \text { or } \quad{ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{e} \div s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of Morean.
Before proceeding further it will be well to assemble in one taple the various coefficients under discussion, each evaluated, as aceurately as our knowledge of the rates of change with temperature will enable us to evaluate it, for various temperatures. We have, the last line relating to Plate 1 and the other lines to Plate 2,

| Temp. | ${ }_{4} 7{ }^{\prime}$ |  | e $T_{n}$ | ${ }^{4} T^{\prime}$ | ${ }_{\text {st }}$ 。 |  | $\rho$ | $s$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20^{\circ}$ | $801 \times$ | $10^{-5}$ | $-505 \times 10^{-10}$ | $545 \times 10^{-3}$ | -815 | $\times 10^{-6}$ | 125.50 | -S.51 | 1.129 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 10.48 | " | 538 | 588 | 897 | " | 13730 | 961 | 1370 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 1235 | " | 572 | 630 | 980 | " | 1-1910 | 1079 | 1307 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 1122 | * | 604 | 672 | 1062 | " | 16090 | 1203 | 1240 |
| $100^{\circ}$ | 1609 | " | 63.9 | 714 | 114 | " | 17:30 | 13333 | 1171 |
| $45^{\circ}$ | 963 | " | -971(?)" | 430(?)" | -935 | ، |  |  |  |

Previous work ${ }^{10}$ has given us 1136.5 as the value of $\rho$ at 9 ( ${ }^{\prime}$, with a temperature-coefficient 0.00519, and a 'lhomson-effect formula, ruming from $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to $182^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$., from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=-\left(107 T+2 T^{2}\right)+119 \times 10^{-5}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ being the absolute temperature.
Nof the last column is the estimated "thermo-electric height" of our iron relative to lead. It will be discussed at considerable length in connection with the formula of Voigt.

Testing the Morean formula with the data given, we find

|  | Temp. | $\left(e T_{e} \div \rho\right)=A$. |  | ${ }_{n} T_{e} \div s=B$. |  | $\begin{align*} & (A-B) \div A \\ & -0.40- \tag{II.} \end{align*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate ${ }^{2}$ |  | $+686$ |  | +9.5 | $11^{-}$ |  |
|  | $40^{\circ}$ | 763 |  | 9:3 |  | -0.2.2 |
|  | $60^{\circ}$ | S2S | * | 908 | ، | $-0.10$ |
|  | $80^{\circ}$ | 884 | " | 853 | " | $-0.00$ |
|  | (100 $0^{\circ}$ | 932 | " | 8.8 | " | $+0.08$ |
| Plate 1 | $45^{\circ}$ | $\overline{+656}$ | " | +944 | " | -0.38 |

Not all of the temperature-coefficients are sufficiently well known to justify us in pushing the comparison through a greater range of temperature, and in fact the values here set down for $A$ and $B$ are subject to an error of several per cent, probably. But when all reasonable allowance for error has been made, it seems mnlikely that the Morean formula can hold in this iron through any considerable range of temperature. The difference between the result from Plate 1 at $45^{\circ}$ and that for Plate 2 at or near the same temperature is less significant as throwing a doubt upon the accuracy of our work than it would be if the two plates had been cut in the same direction from the original iron bar. Plate 2 is far more important, not merely because it has been studied under better conditions, but because the main current in it was in the direction of the fibres of the iron, the direction along which the measurements for $\rho$ and for $s$ have been made.

It appears that the Moreau formula holds in our iron for one temperature, which in Plate 2 is near $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Below this temperature the static Thomson equipotential lines appear to be rotated through a somerhat greater angle, by a given strength of field, than the equipotential lines of an ordinary electric current ; but above this temperature the opposite appears to hold.

Comparison of ${ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho$ in Table II with ${ }_{n} T_{h}$ in Table I shows an
approach to equality, which may be interpreted as meaning that the erfuipotential lines of the longitudinal electric current in the Hall effect and the isothermal lines of the longitudinal heat-current in the Leduc effect are rotated in the same direction and to nearly the same extent, at certain temperatures. Detailed comparison gives


It would seem from this showing that, within the range of temperature here considered, the isothermal lines are rotated in the Leduc effect about three-fourths as far as the equipotential lines are rotated in the Hall effect, the strength of magnetic field being the same on both cases.

Comparison of Tables II and III indicates that, within the range of temperature shown, the static Thomson equipotential lines are rotated farther in the Nernst effect than the isothermal lines are simultaneously turned in the Leduc effect, but that the excess noted diminishes rapidly with rise of temperature and seems likely to become zero or negative with further rise.

As we have in the Moreau formula a suggestion at least of some relation between ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ and ${ }_{n} T_{e}$, we might expect to find evidence of a reciprocal relation between ${ }_{h} T_{n}$ and ${ }_{e} T_{h}$; that is, between the Leduc effect and the Ettingshausen effect. Reflection shows, however, that one link in the chain of reasoning, if it deserves such a name, is here missing. We go from the Hall effect to the Nernst effect by way of the 'Thomson effect, the known difference of electric potential which accompanies flow of heat or difference of temperature in iron under normal conditions. But no corresponding difference of temperature necessarily accompanying flow of electricity or difference of electric potential is known to us. On the other hand, if we could find by inspection of the various coefficients before us evidence of a relation between ${ }_{n} T_{n}$ and ${ }_{e} T_{h}$, we might perhaps take this as a clue to what could be called the reciprocal of the Thomson effect, and could be described as a temperature-gradient due to potential-gradient. The possibility of such a discovery makes it desirable to examine closely all the relations which a study of our various tables of data suggest.

A look at the uppermost line of 'Table 1 shows that, for the temperature $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. at least, we have, very nearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e} \times{ }_{e} T_{n}={ }_{h} T_{n} \times{ }_{n} T_{c} \text {, or }{ }_{e} T_{c} \div{ }_{h} T_{e}={ }_{h} T_{n} \div{ }_{e} T_{h} \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we test this formula through the various temperatures we find
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Temp. } & \left(e T_{e} \div{ }_{n} T_{c}\right)=A & \left({ }^{( } T_{n} \div e T_{n}\right)=B & (A-B) \div A \\ 20^{\circ} & -10.56 & -10.79 & -0.02+ \\ 40^{\circ} & 11.68 & 10.93 & +0.0)(9+ \\ 60^{\circ} & 12.60 & 11.01 & +0.13- \\ 80^{\circ} & 13.39 & 11.11 & +0.17+ \\ 100^{\circ} & 14.07 & 11.19 & +0.20+\end{array}\right\}$

The approximate equality here indicated appears to be closer than that of the Morean formula. But is it anything more than accident? Going back to the definitions of ${ }_{e} T_{e}$, etc., we find, putting $\Delta P_{1}^{\prime}$ for the transverse potential-difference of the Hall effect and $\Delta P_{2}^{\prime}$ for the transverse potential-difference of the Nernst effect,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{e} \div{ }_{h} T_{e}=\left(\frac{\Delta P_{1}^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{C}{u t}\right) \div\left(\frac{\Delta P_{2}^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l}\right)=\frac{\Delta P_{1}^{\prime}}{\Delta P_{2}^{\prime}} \times\left(\frac{d \theta}{d l} \div \frac{C}{u t}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensions of this quotient $A$ are those of a temperature-gradient divided by a current density.

We find also

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} T_{h} \div{ }_{e} T_{n}=\left(\frac{\Delta \theta_{2}^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l}\right) \div\left(\frac{\Delta \theta_{1}^{\prime}}{w} \div \frac{\Gamma}{w t}\right)=\frac{\Delta \theta_{2}^{\prime}}{\Delta \theta_{1}^{\prime}} \times\left(\frac{C}{w t} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensions of this quotient $B$ are the reciprocal of those of the quotient $A$, and accordingly it appears probable that we have only an accidental coincidence in the approach to equality shown by $A$ and $B$.

If we undertake to explain the Ettingshausen effect as due to the magnetic rotation of the isothermal lines of a longitudinal tempera-ture-gradient set up by the electric current along the plate, we see that, as the coefficient ${ }_{e} T_{h}$ is about 0.09 as large as ${ }_{h} T_{h}$, we must assume our temperature-gradient in question to be about 0.09 of a degree per centimeter in our plate when the electric current density therein is 1 . Such a condition, as a normal attendant of a current in iron, could not have escaped the attention of ordinary experience. If it were set up by the act of magnetization, it would be detected in the longitudinal tests presently to be described.

Is it, then, possible that the Ettingshausen transverse effeet is due
to an actual displacement of the electric current in our plate, the flow, under the action of the magnet, being stronger along one edge than along the other edge of the plate? This seems very unlikely, especially in view of the fact that, in Plate 2 at least, the resistance appears to be slightly, very slightly, decreased by magnetization. Indeed, the whole amount of heat generated per minute per unit length of the plate by our current $C_{p}$ was about 0.6 calorie, and the change in this amount due to the magnetization was probably not so much as 0.00002 calorie.

There is at least one other point of view which is worth trying. The transverse potential-gradient set up in the Hall effect is, apparently, like the Thomson potential-gradient, static, maintained without flow of electricity down the gradient, though there may be, if the circuit is properly closed, flow of electricity up this gradient. In the Thomson effect this static condition is attended by, and is apparently due to, a temperature-gradient of the opposite slope. Is it perhaps true that wherever such a static potential-gradient exists in a metal there is an accompanying temperature-gradient, and are the two gradients in iron always of opposite slope? The Ettingshausen tem-perature-slope is opposite in our iron to the potential slope of the Hall effect, and to this extent encourages our question ; but when we come to the ratio of the two slopes and compare this with the ratio of the two slopes in the Thomson effect, we find a great difference. In the latter case the ratio is our $s$. The exhibit follows :

| Temp. | $\left({ }_{e} T_{e} \div{ }_{\cdot} T_{\text {h }}.\right)$ | $s$. | $\left.{ }_{(0} T_{e} \div{ }^{(1)} T_{h}\right) \div s$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20^{\circ}$ | $-170500$ | - 8.51 | 200.4 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 194800 | 961 | 202.7 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 216000 | 1079 | $200.1\}$ |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 235000 | $1 \because 1) 3$ | 19:3.3 |
| $100^{\circ}$ | 252200 | 1333 | 189.2) |

We have at least the satisfaction of finding that the ratio of the two ratios, although each changes a good deal, nearly 50 per cent, remains pretty nearly coustant, ${ }^{11}$ especially at the lower temperatures, where the values of $T_{h}$ are probably more accurate than for the higher ones. Indeed, the whole change from $20^{\circ}$ to $100^{\circ}$ is less than 6 per cent. But the transverse temperature-gradient is only one two-hundredth part of what it should be to make the transverse ratio equal to $s$.

It would be difficult to go farther in this line of inquiry withont

[^126]introducing the electron theory, which we do not propose to disenss in this paper.

The F'oigt Formula. - Before the appearance of Morean's equation Voigt ${ }^{12}$ had been led by theoretical considerations, not involving consideration of electrons, to propose a formula very like that of Moreau, which, using our own symbols in part, we shall write thus: ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{e} T_{c} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{e} \div \Theta^{\prime} . \tag{1:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Theta^{\prime}$, replacing the $s$ of the Morean formula, is a quantity the definition of which we shall discuss at considerable length, as a number of refer-


Figure 11.
ences to it which we have seen do not make its meaning clear. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{We}$ cannot well show the significance of $\Theta^{\prime}$ without the use of a diagram.

The ordinary thermo-electric diagram has two rectangular axes, the horizontal one representing temperature, the vertical one a variable not usually named here, but which, as one of us pointed out several years ago, ${ }^{15}$ is really entropy. Accordingly we make our diagram (Figure 11) as an ordinary temperature-entropy diagram is made, with temperature, absolute C., vertical and entropy (ergs $\div T$ ) horizontal. In accordance with common practice the $T$ axis is assumed to be identical

[^127]with the line for lead. The representative lines for copper and iron are here made straight, though in a later figure they are shown as curves. In accordance with the ordinary interpretation of a temperature-entropy diagram, unit quantity of electricity, ten coulombs, flowing (in the ordinary sense) from $C_{0}$ to $C$ in copper or from $I$ to $I_{0}$ in iron absorbs heat, and cice versa, the Thomson effect; flowing from $C$ in copper to $I$ in iron, it absorbs heat, and rice cersa, the Peltier or Seebeck effect.

If now we denote by $-\Theta_{c}{ }^{18}$ the area $T_{0} T C C_{0} T_{0}$ and by $-\Theta_{8}$ the area $T_{0} T \Gamma I_{0} T_{0}$, the total, or net, thermo-electric force, in a copper-iron couple having one junction at $T_{0}^{\prime}$ (absolute zero) and the other junction at $T$, is represented by the area

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} C I I_{0} C_{0}=-\Theta_{4}+\Theta_{c} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $\alpha_{c}=$ the line $T_{0} C_{0}$ and $\alpha_{i}=T_{0} I_{0}$, we can write
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Theta_{c}=a_{c} T+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{c} T^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Theta_{\mathbf{i}}=\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} T+\frac{1}{2} \beta_{\mathbf{t}} T^{\mathbf{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \beta_{c}=\left(C^{\prime} C \div T\right)$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{i}}=-\left(I I^{\prime} \div T\right)$.
These equations (15) and (16) correspond to equation (55) of Voigt's paper.

It is evident from our diagram that, if we allow a very small increase of temperature, from $T$ to $T+d T$, and if we let $S_{i}$ represent the entropy of ten coulombs at $I$, the concentional aero of entropy being so taken that $s_{i}=T 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d \Theta_{t}}{d T} d T=S_{d} d T, \quad \text { or } \quad-\frac{d \Theta_{1}}{d T}=S_{d} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the $\Theta^{\prime}$ of the Voigt formula (13) is $\frac{d \Theta_{i}}{d T}$, and is therefore equal to our $-S$. It is numerically equal to the "thermo-electric height" of iron relative to lead. ${ }^{17}$

The relation of $\Theta_{i}^{\prime}$ to $s_{i}$, the mechanical equivalent of the Thomsoneffect coefficient, is readily found. The mechanical equivalent of the 'Ihomson-effect heat absorbed by ten coulombs in rising in iron from

[^128]$T$ to $T+d T$ is $s_{d} t T$, or rather, since $s_{t}$ is essentially -, the mechanical equivalent of the heat gicen out in this change is - $s_{d} / T$, and this is represented by the area between the two adjacent vertical lines of our diagram. Evidently this area is equal to the area between the two adjacent horizontal lines from $I^{\prime}$ to $I$, that is, to $\left(a_{4}+\Theta_{i}^{\prime}\right) d T$, and so we get
$$
-s_{t}=\Theta_{t}^{\prime}+a_{t}, \quad \text { or } \quad \Theta_{t}^{\prime}+s_{t}=-a_{2}
$$

That is, the sum of Voigt's $\Theta_{t}^{\prime}$ and the $s_{\imath}$, which Moreau uses in place of $\Theta_{1}^{\prime}$, is a constant, represented in Figure 11 by the line $T_{0} I_{0}$ taken as a negative quantity. As we have already identified $\Theta_{s}^{\prime}$ numerically with the line $T I$, taken as a negative quantity, we see that $s_{1}$ is represented by the line $I I^{\prime}$ taken as a negative quantity.

It must be remembered that this simple relation between $s_{t}$ and $\Theta_{t}^{\prime}$ results from the assumption made, for the time, in drawing Figure 11, and made also by Voigt, that the iron line is straight. The actual relation between $s_{d}$ and $\Theta_{b}^{\prime}$ is, according to our experiments, more complex.

The argument for Voigt's proposition, as expressed in equation (13), we shall not undertake to give ; and even when we try to put it to an empirical test by means of our data, we do so with a serious a primi doubt as to its value. What real significance can we attach to the formula of Voigt? His - $\Theta^{\prime}$, the "thermo-electric height" of iron with respect to lead, cannot be taken as a fundamental datum for iron. We have, to be sure, identified $-\Theta^{\prime}$ with our $S^{\prime}$; but this $S$ does not profess to be the absolute value of the thermo-electric entropy for iron. It is merely what we get for iron when we arbitrarily take the thermo-electric entropy of lead to be zero.

We have proceeded as follows: Assuming the "thermo-electric height" of "galvanoplastic" copper relative to lead to be $380 \times 10^{-8}$ microvolts, or 380 absolute, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., from the observations of Matthiessen, and taking the thermo-electric height of our iron with respect to modern commercial copper wire (assumed to be thermo-electrically like Matthiessen's copper) to be 1049 absolute at $20^{\circ}$ C., according to our own observations, we get 1429 absolute as the thermo-electric height of our iron with respect to lead at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. But "thermo-electric heights" are merely isothermal entropy-differences, if we reckon entropy, as we do, in ergs $\div T$. Accordingly in Figure 12 we take the $T$-axis as the lead line and at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. lay off from this axis a distance 380 to give us the $20^{\circ}$-point on our copper line, and a distance of 1429 to give us the $20^{\circ}$-point on the iron line. The other points of the iron line must be found by means of our formula (9) for the Thomson effect in iron.


Taking from Figure 11 the relation $T d S=s / T$, we get from (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S^{\prime}=-419 \times 10^{-5}(107+2 T) d T \ldots \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrated this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=K-\left(107 T+T^{2}\right) \times 419 \times 10^{-5} \ldots \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $N$ being taken, on grounds already shown, as 1429 at 20 $($., we find the value of $K$ to be 1921 . Accordingly the values of $s$ have been calculated which are given in the following table :

| Temp. | $S$ (entropy). | Temp. | $S$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 1485 | $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 1094 |
| $20^{\circ}$ | 1429 | $140^{\circ}$ | 10.21 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | $1: 370$ | $160^{\circ}$ | 9.41 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 1307 | $180^{\circ}$ | 8.38 |
| $100^{\circ}$ | 1171 | $200^{\circ}$ | 771 |

With these values of st the iron line of Figure 12 has been plotted. Various points of the copper line have been found by measuring to the left from the corresponding points of the iron line distances representing the thermo-electric heights of iron with respect to copper at the various temperatures. The iron line is decidedly concave toward the left, the copper line, according to our data, has a much smalier inclination from the vertical than the iron line, indicating a much smaller Thomson effect in copper than in iron, and is slightly concave toward the right.

If we now, taking our values of $心$ for certain temperatures, e. g. $20^{\circ}$, $41^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 80^{\circ}, 100^{\circ}$, test the Voigt formula for these temperatures, we find,

| Temp. | $\left(-{ }_{e} T_{e} S \div \rho\right)=A$. | ${ }_{n} T_{e}=B$. | $(A-B) \div A$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20^{\circ}$ | $-980 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-815 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.17-$ |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 1046 | $"$ | 897 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 1683 | $"$ | 980 |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 1096 | 6 | 1062 |
| $100^{\circ}$ | 1091 | $"$ | 1144 |

Comparison of this table with Table II. will show that the Voigt formula, with the value of $-S^{\prime}$ (or $\Theta^{\prime}$ ) found as we have found it, agrees with our data considerably better than the Moreau formula does. Each formula is right in our case as to signs ${ }^{18}$ and each is satisfied by our data at some one temperature, which is near $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for the Morean formula and not very many degrees higher for the Voigt formula. Above the temperature of agreement ${ }_{h} T_{e}$ is too small for the Moreau formula and too large for the Voigt formula. It is to be remembered that neither ${ }_{e} T_{e}$ nor ${ }_{n} T_{e}$ has been determined very accurately, nor is the temper-ature-coefficient of either precisely known. It seems to us, however, very unlikely that our errors of measurement are great enough to account for the disagreement between either formula and our data. It is probable that neither formula is correct.

18 There may be some doubt as to the signs. See the Postscript to this paper.

Of the two, the Moreau formula seems to us the more likely to be useful, as suggestive of true relations which it does not perfectly express. The value of $s_{i}$, which we need to know in order to use this formula, we do know or can find. It is a definite property of iron and of iron alone. But the value of Voigt's $\Theta_{1}^{\prime}$, as we have shown, is merely a property of iron with relation to lead. Now we know that the lead line cannot be regarded as the real boundary of the thermo-electric diagram. The lines for certain metals lie beyond it, below it in the ordinary diagram, to the left of it in such a diagram as that of Figure 11. These metals have a negative thermo-electric height, a negative thermoelectric entropy, as regards lead. Reckoning thermo-electric heights from lead, taking lead as having zero thermo-electric entropy, is quite as arbitrary as taking the freezing point of water for the zero of temperature. We may do injustice here; it is possible that we have not seen the exact meaning of Voigt's $\Theta$ '.

However useful the formula of Moreau may be, his thesis that the Hall effect and all the transverse effects associated with it are due to some deformation of the metal plate in the magnetic field appears to be incompetent to explain the observed facts, especially the Ettingshausen effect, and, if taken alone, misleading rather than helpful. It is altogether probable that the electron theory must be used, if all the phenomena observed are to be accounted for.

## 'The Longitudinal Effects.

All of the transverse effects just considered change direction with change of direction of the magnetic field, the sign of the coefficient in each case remaining unchanged. The associated longitudinal effects, which are now to be described, remain, as the argument from symmetry would predict, unchanged in direction with change of direction of the field. One might, from this absence of dependence on the sign of the field magnetism, expect the longitudinal effects to be proportional to the square of the field-strength, and this may be true of some of these effects in some metals, but in general it appears not to be true. The fact seems to be, however, that the longitudinal effects are much less simple functions of field-strength than the transverse effects are and sometimes change direction with change of intensity of the field. Whether they are strictly or very nearly proportional to the longitudinal grarlients of pontential and temperature respectively, our own experiments do not enable us to say. We shall, however, assume this proportionality and shall accordingly define a certain coeflieient for each of the two longitudinal effects which we have found in iron. For the sake of
completeness we shall deseribe two other longitudinal effects which have been observed, though we have not yet made sure of them in irom. ${ }^{10}$

No one of the four longitudinal effects has any assimed name. Each must be designated by a mather long plescriptive title.

Lomsitulimal Eldetromagnetir Potential- Difilerenere. With the magnetic field and the $E E=$ current as in Figure 1 , we may take mote of a change of longiturtinal potential-gradient, che to transerse mandic action, without change of strength of the longitudinal current. Of this effect Zahn says, "As its magnitude is found to be proprorional to the strength of the primary [longitminal] current-strength, it uaty be taken as a change of resistance."

We shall call this effect positive when it produces an increase of resistance. We take as the cuefficient

$$
{ }_{c} L_{e}=\Delta l i \div R I I,
$$

where $R=$ the normal resistance of a certain length of the plate and $\Delta R=$ the increase of resistance of the same length of the phate.

In studying this effect in Plate 1 we comnected points (1) and (3) of the plate (see Figure 6), by means of the iron wires, with our galvanometer, introducing into the galvanometer circuit e. m. f. from another circuit, so as to get an approximate balance of forces at the start, thus keeping the scale of the galvanometer within the field of view. Then we applied the magnetic field and observed the deflection produced, which was due to an increase or decrease in one of our comiter-balancing e. m. f.'s, the other being not affected by the magnet. 'I'his method is troublesome, because it is difficult to keep the requisite degree of equilibrium through an extended series of observations.

This operation gave us for Plate 1 values of ${ }_{c} L_{e}$ which are exhibited in the following table. The numbers given in the last three columns are approximate only.


With Plate 2 a different method was used. Copper wires connected with points (1) and (3) of the plate led to one coil of the galvanometer, while wires from two other points, $A$ and $B$ (see Figure 13), of the plate-circuit led to the other coil. By means of the shunts $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$
an approximate balance of the galvanometer forces was obtained which remained fairly constant when the span of copper wire $A B$ was kept in a bath of oil to prevent sudden changes of temperature. With this arrangement the following result was obtained with Plate 2:

| Dec. $9+1910$ |  | St. | Arm $\theta$. | $\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{p}}$. | H. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $-59 \times 10^{-10}$ | 130 |  |  |

The value of $I$ was about 113,000 , absolute, or 0.000113 ohm, and that of $\Delta R$ about -3 , absolute. This is a small quantity, and it may therefore be well to give


Figure 13. a few details as to the observations. Five series, or "runs" were made, three with the current $C_{p}$ flowing south through the plate, and two with this eurrent flowing north. It is not safe to depend on observations made without such reversal of the main current; for there is always a possibility of some illegitimate action of the electromagnet cirenit on the galvanometer which can be eliminated by combining two series of data, one obtained with the current in the plate ruming north, the other with this current romning south. The five series mentioned gave the following mean galvanometer deflections:

|  | With $C_{p}$ north. | With $C_{p}$ south. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + 0.383 cm . | + 0.11 cm |
|  | + $0.24{ }^{\prime}$ | -0.21 |
|  |  | -0.2.2 |
| Means | +0.29 " | -0.11 |

Final mean $=\frac{1}{2}(0.29+0.11)=0.20 \mathrm{~cm}$.
'Ihe first series with ( ${ }_{p}$ sonth, which gives a mean deflection discordant in sign with the others, was the shortest series and internally the worst of the five.

One other possibility of error must be considered here. The copper wires $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$, being comected with the iron plate, form a thermo-
electric conple, ant if the action of the magnet shomld make a difference of temperature amonting to 0.01 degree betweon the junctions (1) and (:3), the themo-electric action of this copper-irn anple wonh produce as sreat a detlertion of the satvanmeter ath that siven above, which was taken to indicate a change of resistance. Aeendingly, it was necesary to comsider the possible magniturle of this second longitudinal effect, which will presently be comsidered.

It is to be noted that Plate 1 shows in a field of 10,791 an ineromen of resistance which we may represent, on an arbitrary scale, by 16 , while Plate 2 shows in a field of 540 a decreatis of resistance which we may represent on the same scale by 3. Zahn says, "In ferromagnetie metals there is in weak fields an increase of resistance, in stronger fiedds a decrease. Aceording to the results of Grmmach for iron and cobalt and a preliminary publication of Blake on measurements in nickel, the initial increase is perhaps due to longitudinal components [of magnetism]." When it is remembered that in one of our phates, (1), the resistance is measured at right angles with the fibres or grain of the iron, while in ( 2 ) it is measured in the direction of the filres, it need not, perhap, be considered strange that the change of resistance cansed by magnetic action is opposite in direction in the two cases. In both cases the change is very small.

We now proceed to the other longitudinal effect which is so intimately comected with the one just discussed.

Lomgitudinal Lilectromagnetic Temperature-Differenre. - With the magnetic field and the $E E E$ current as in Figure 1, we may look for a difference of temperature, $\Delta \theta^{\prime}$, established by magnetic action between two points $d \mathrm{~cm}$. apart along the plate. We have spent much time in looking for this effect, especiatly in Plate 1, being led on by accidental phenomena which simulated it rather persistently, though with discrepancies that were suspicious, until a slight change in the disposition of the plate between the poles of the magnet wiped out the apparent temperature change which we had been studying and possibly gave a slight apparent change in the opposite direction.

With Plate 2 we gave only one day, a whole day, to this particular question. The method used was the following: Copper-constantan couple (1), having one junction at point (1) on the plate and the other in a glass tube placed in a water-bath, was put in circuit with one coil of our galvanometer. Couple (3), with one junction at peint (3) on the plate and the other in the water-bath, was put in circuit with the other coil of the galvanometer, opposing the action of comple (1) and nearly balancing it. Then the magnetic field was brought into action and the consequent deflections of the galvanometer were observed.
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The day's work gave a net deflection of 0.03 cm . as the result of magnetic action, - a quantity smaller than its own probable error. This deflection, if considered reliable, would indicate a temperature-difference of $0.0008^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., - about a tenth of the temperature-difference that would be needed to account for the apparent change of resistance which has been described above.

The coefficient of the longitudinal effect here looked for but not found may be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\varepsilon} L_{h}=\frac{\Delta \theta^{\prime}}{d} \div \frac{C_{p}}{u t} H . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Longitudimal Thermomagnetic Potentinl-Difference. - With the magnetic field and the $1 / I I$ current as in Figure 3, we may take note of the difference of potential, $\Delta P^{\prime}$, set up by magnetic action between two points $d \mathrm{~cm}$. apart along the plate. We shall call this effect positive when the potential-gradient thus established is in the same direction as the temperature-gradient along the plate. As the coefficient of this effect we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} L_{e}=\frac{\Delta P^{\prime}}{d} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l} H, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$ means the temperature-gradient along the plate.
We have not looked for this effect in Plate 2. In Plate 1 we studied it at considerable length, becanse of a disagreement between our observations and those reported by Gahn on the authority of Houllevigue and of Moreau. He says, "The phenomenon is complicated here [in ferromagnetic metals], for the sign is dependent on the field-strength. With small $I I$ the potential-gradient established is in the same direction as the temperature-gradient; it reaches a maximum at ordinary temperature [bei mittlerer Temperatur] for a field-strength of about ?(0\%) gansses, then vanishes, in nickel for $I I$ about 4500 , in iron and steel at ahout double this field-strength, and takes, for higher fields, the opposite direction. The reversal of sign oceurs at a lower fieldstrength the higher the mean temperature of the plate is."

We used at first the iron wires $I_{1}$ and $I_{3}$, comnected with points (1) and (3) on the plate. The outer ends of these wires were permanently joined to copper wires, in junctions like the one shown in Figure 10, and from these junctions the copper wires led to the galvanometer. After such a balance of thermo-electric forces in this circuit as to keep the scale of the salvanometer in the field of vision had been effected, the magnetic force was brouglit into action. An effect was observed;
but we soon found that the themo-electric hehavior of the irm wires, as well as that of the plate, was affected iu such a way ly the magnetization that it was impossible to get satisfactory results with this arrangement.

Accordindy we attached two other iron wires, one to each of the copper blocks $B^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ of ligure 7 , near the ends of the iron plate but, well outside the powerful intrapolar region of the magnetic field, within which the iron wires previonsly used lay. Working with this arrangement we got. very considerable effects which showed that transverse magnetization produced in the phate a change of quality or eomblition such as to make the copper block at the hotter end of the plate electrically positive compared with the copper block at the colder end. This difference of potential, $\Delta /{ }^{\prime \prime}$, we could and did measure, but there was, and still is, some uncertainty as to the value which should be assigned to $d$ in this casc. It should not be the whole length of the plate, for the whole length was not subjected to the full strength, $I I$, of the magnetic field. We have taken $d$ as 80 per cent of the whole length of the plate between the blocks, and have reckoned ${ }_{h} L_{e}$ accordingly. Each value of this coefficient, as given below, was found from two sets of observations, one made with the heat-current flowing south, the other with it flowing north. As usual, the numbers given in the last three columns are approximate only.
1910.
June

$14-17$ | ${ }_{n} L_{c}$ | Arm $\theta$. | $\frac{d \theta}{d l}$. | II. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $80 \times 10^{-5}$ | $47^{\circ}$ | 13.7 | 12000 |
| 83 | $"$ | $47^{\circ}$ | 13.7 |
| 211 | $"$ | $47^{\circ}$ | 13.7 |

The relation of ${ }_{n} L_{e}$ to $I I$ in these two groups of data is shown by means of the two curves of Figure 14. The $\times$ points are for the earlier observations, the o points for the later ones. The two curves are very like, and neither suggests a reversal of sign of the effect in question at any stage of magnetization. Why one curve runs notably lower than the other through its whole course we cannot say with full confidence. It is, however, a fact that in the period of the June 14-17 observations the arrangement for getting the sensitiveness of the galvanometer was under suspicion. In the warm, moist atmosphere of a Cambridge summer the problem of insulation in delicate electrical measurements becomes considerable. Leakages occur to a disturbing extent where
during the months of artificial heating of the laboratory they need not be regarded. There was perceptible leakage from the magnetcircuit into the galvanometer-circuit during June. Efforts were made to eliminate errors from such disturbances by combining series of observations takein under a variety of conditions, but we cannot be sure that these efforts were entirely successful. It is mulikely, however, that they affected vitally the results at which we arrived.


Figure 14.
L'mgitudinal Thermomagnetic Tomperatme-Difference. - With the magnetic field and the /I/I current as in Figure 3, we may look for an increase or decrease of the temperature-gradient along the plate as the result of magnetic action. This effect was looked for in Plate 1, but its existence was not proved. The methorl used was substantially the same as that illustrated in Figure ! for determining a transverse tem-perature-difference. 'Ihat is, circuits (1) and (:3), acting thermoelectrically only, were set against each other, each having one coil of the galvanometer. The great initial difference of temperature between the junction (1) and the junction (:i) made it necessary to place the onter junctions of the (1) eirenit and of the (3) cirenit in baths at different temperatures.

We thought at one time, in April, 1910, that we had found a rather
large positive value for the coeflicient in yuestion, which may be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n} L_{n}=\frac{\Delta \theta^{\prime}}{d} \div \frac{d \theta}{d l} I I, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta \theta^{\prime}$ being the increase of temperature-difference between two points $d$ cour apart along the phate.

Critical examination of the case, howerer, showed that in using, as we must with I'late 1 , iron wires conneted with points (1) and (3), we had brought in a source of error so great as to make the result entirely mucertain:

We hope to try the experiment with Plate 2, using now the con-stantan-copper junctions (1) and (3). ${ }^{20}$

## Sumpary.

1. A new and descriptive nomenclature is proposed and used for the varions transverse and longitudinal coeflicients. (See equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (23), (24), (25), (26).) For example, the Hall-effect coefficient is called ${ }_{e} T_{e}$, the initial snbscript $e$ indicating that the longitudinal current is electrical, the $T$ indicating that the effect observed is transverse, the final subscript $e$ indicating that this transverse effect is electrical. The Ettingshausen coefficient is called ${ }_{e} T_{h}$, the Nernst coefficient ${ }_{h} T_{e}$, the Leduc coefficient ${ }_{h} T_{h}$. Each of the four transverse effects is called + when the current to which it gives rise in the exterior part of a transverse circuit leaves the metal plate in the direction of the "ponderomotive" force acting on this plate or in what would be the direction of this foree if longitudinal heat-flow were replaced by longitudinal electric flow. This accords with the usual convention regarding the sign of the Hall effect, but is opposite to the usual convention regarding the sign of the Nernst effect.
2. Neasurements of ${ }_{e} T_{e},{ }_{e} T_{h},{ }_{h} T_{e}{ }_{n} T_{h}$, and of their temperaturecoefficients through a small range, have been made in a single plate of soft iron, for which the electrical conductivity, the Thomson-effect coefficient, and the thermo-electric height relative to copper, were already well known through previous study of pieces from the same bar that furnished the plate. (See Table I.)
3. The Moreau formula ${ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{e} \div s$, in which $\rho$ is the specific resistance, absolute, of the iron, and $s$ is the number of ergs of heatenergy absorbed by ten conlombs in rising through one centigrade degree in iron (the Thomson effect), is discussed theoretically and is
tested by means of the data for iron. (See Table II.) The formula is true for one temperature, near $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., according to our data, but departs progressively from the truth with descent from this temperature and probably with ascent above it. Below the temperature mentioned the first member of the Moreau equation, as here given, is too small; above this temperature it is, probably, too large. Hence Morean's theory, which would account for the Hall effect by a deformation of the plate under magnetic action (this deformation producing a real rotation of the equipotential lines of the longitudinal electric current), and would account for the Nernst effect as a like and equal rotation of the Thomson-effect equipotential lines of a longitudinal temperatureflow, appears to be ill-founded and, if taken literally, unsafe, though it is likely to be useful in a suggestive way.
4. Following the suggestion of the Moreau formula, we tested the equation ${ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{h}$ for various temperatures, to see whether the isothermal lines of the longitudinal temperature-flow are, in a field of given strength, rotated in the same direction and to the same extent as the equipotential lines of a longitudinal electric flow. (See Table III.) The direction is the same in the two cases, but the equipotential lines are, according to this test, rotated about one and a quarter times as far as the isothermal lines, this ratio remaining nearly constant through the whole range of temperature considered, $20^{\circ}$ to $100^{\circ}$. (See Table IV.)
5. "Rotation" cannot account for the Ettingshausen effect, since there is no corresponding longitudinal condition to be rotated, none, that is, which can be regarded as adequate to produce the observed transverse effect. On the other hand, the ratio of the Hall transverse potential-gradient to the Ettingshausen transverse temperature-gradient appears to be almost strictly proportional, through a considerable range of temperature, to the Thomson effect $s$. Thus we have, approximately, ${ }_{e} T_{e} \div{ }_{e} T_{h}=200 \mathrm{~s}$, from $20^{\circ}$ to $80^{\circ}$ or higher. (Sce Table V.) It should be said, however, that the temperature-coefficient of ${ }_{c} T_{h}$ cannot be regarded as accurately known. The suggestion is made that the transverse potential-gradient, which is like the 'I'homson-effect potentialgradient in being static (not attended by flow down the gradient), may be the canse of the transverse temperature-gradient, whereas in the 'lhomson effect the temperature-gradient causes the potential-gradient. It seems likely that the electron theory must here be used, but the attempt is not made in this paper.
6. The Voigt formula ${ }_{e} T_{e} \div \rho={ }_{h} T_{e} \div \Theta^{\prime}$, in which $\Theta^{\prime}$, the "thermoelectric height" of lead relative to the metal, takes the place of the Thomson effect $s$ of the Moreau formula, is considered. The iron here
studied has never heen tested against lead directly, but from Matthiessen's value of the thermo-electric height of "galvanmplasti," ""mper relative to lead, and from the known thermo-electric height of this irm relative to copper wire of the present day, values of -9 have beon estimated for various temperatures, from $20^{\circ}$ to $100^{\circ}$. With these values of (-), and with values of the other factors taken from or reckimed from direct observations on the iron here studied, the Voigt formmal has been tested. (See Table VII.) Like the Moreau formula, it seems to he correct at one temperatmre, between $80^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, and to be mitrue at other temperatures. Culike the Morean formula, it fails becanse the left-land member is too large below this particular temperatme. No constant added to the value of $\Theta^{\prime}$ would make the formula hold trine with varying temperature. In this comnection it is pointed ont that, the ordinary thermo-electric diagram being merely a temperatureentropy diagram (with the temperature-axis horizontal and the entropyaxis vertical, unfortunately contrary to the familiar custom of ordinary thermorlynamics), thermo-electric heights are merely entropy-differences. Accordingly, Voigt's $\Theta^{\prime}$, the thermo-electric height of lead relative to any metal, camot be regarded as a fundamental datum for the metal; for certainly we cannot suppose the entropy of electricity to be zero in lead, as we know there are metals which have a negative thermoelectric height, a negative electric entropy, relative to lead.
7. A thermo-electric diagram is given for copper and iron in which the temperature-axis is made vertical and the entropy-axis horizontal. This diagram shows graphically, by curvature of the iron-line, the law of change of the Thomson-effect coefficient with change of temperature, which law was in an earlier paper expressed algebraically. (See Figure 12.)
8. The longitudinal effect which is shown as a change of resistance of the iron plate by magnetization in the direction of its thickness, the coefficient of which effect is called ${ }_{e} L_{e}$, was observed in two iron plates, one cut with its width parallel to the fibres, or grain, of the iron, the other with its length parallel to these fibres. In the former plate there was a very slight increase of resistance in a field of 10,700 absolute mits, in the latter a still slighter decrease of resistance in a field of $540 \%$.
9. The longitudinal effect which is shown as a change of longitudinal potential-gradient, due to magnetization in the direction of the thickness of the plate, in a plate along which a heat-current is flowing, was observed in one of the plates mentioned in ( 8 ), the one first described there. It was not looked for in the other plate. 'The cuefficient of this effect is called ${ }_{n} L_{e}$. (See equation (25).) According to Zahn, who quotes Houllevigue and Moreau as authorities, the potential-
gradient established in iron by magnetic action is in the same direction as the temperature-gradient in comparatively weak fields, up to perhaps 9000 , but is in the opposite direction in stronger fields. In the iron here studied the potential-gradient produced by the magnetization is in the same direction as the temperature-gradient all the way from a field-strength of 1900 , the lowest tried, to 12000 , the highest tried, though the coefficient ${ }_{h} L_{e}$ is much larger for weak fields than for strong fields (see Figure 14).
10. The other two longitudinal effects, the coefficients of which may be called ${ }_{e} L_{h}$ and ${ }_{h} L_{h}$, were looked for diligently in one plate, but their existence there was not proven. ${ }^{21}$

## The Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Cambridge, Mass.

Postscript added March 11, 1911. - The objection which we have made to the use of $\Theta^{\prime}{ }_{m}$, the "thermo-electric height" of lead with respect to any metal $m$, as a fundamental property of the metal $m$, seems to me so plain that I have been at great pains to make sure that we have not fallen into some gross blunder of interpretation of Voigt's theory. There can be no question, however, that Morean takes Voigt's $\Theta_{m}^{\prime}$ in this way, and I have seen no protest against his doing so. There is possibly room for doubt as to whether Voigt had lead in mind or some other, unnamed, material of zero Thomson effect, with reference to which his $\Theta_{m}^{\prime}$ is to be reckoned, but this question is of little importance.

Apparently Morean does not criticise Voigt's theoretical discussion. He seems merely to point out that it is different from his own, and to test each empirically by means of data which he has taken from varions sources. He says, "I consider a circnit [chaine] formed by a metal $M$ and lead, the junctions being at different temperatures. At a point $(r, y, z)$ of $M$, if $I, Y, Z$ are the components of the thermo-electric electromotive force per unit of length, one has, according to M. Voigt,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\Theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}, \quad Y=\Theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}, \quad Z=\Theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}, \quad \text { where } \Theta^{\prime}=\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial T} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Theta$ is a function of the absolute temperature $T$, and is characteristic of the metal $M$."
"Leet us suppose that a thin slice of the metal $1 /$ is placed in a mag.

[^129]netic field $/ /$, perpendicular to the lines of force, the phane $1^{\prime} Y^{\prime}$ coinciding with the phane of the slice, which will be traversed by a current of heat going in the direction 01 . Equations (1) give
$$
Y-0, \quad Y=\Theta_{m}^{\prime} \partial Y^{\prime \prime} \quad Z=0
$$
" M . Veigt supposes that the force $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ turns under the action of the field as the electromotive force of the primary current in the Hall phenomenon turns. One obtains, then, along the axis OA, a transverse electromotive force $\lambda^{\prime}$, which, referred to the unit of length, is
$$
X_{1}=-{ }_{\rho}^{C_{\Theta}^{\prime}}{ }_{m} \frac{\partial T^{\prime}}{\partial y} I
$$
(where $C$ is the Hall coefficient, $\rho$ the resistivity of the metal), or, according to equation (3) $\left[\Phi=\Theta_{m}^{\prime}\right]$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-\frac{C}{\rho} \Phi \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} H . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

"This formula (4) gives the thermo-electric effect according to M. Voigt."

We thus get as the expression, according to Voigt, for $\boldsymbol{K}$, the Nernst coefficient,

$$
K^{22}=\Gamma_{1} \div \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} H=-\frac{C}{\rho} \Phi
$$

and this is the expression which Morean tests by means of data which he gives. For example, he gives the value of $\Phi$ as -1619 for iron and -152 for copper, evidently taking $\Phi$ as the thermo-electric height of lead with respect to the other metals.

As to his own formula for $K$, Moreau says, contrasting his point of view with that of Voigt, "By assmming that, only, the thermo-electric electromotive forces relative to the Thomson effect turn under the action of the field, I have obtained the formula

[^130]$$
I_{2}=K H \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}, \quad \text { or } \quad K=\frac{\sigma C}{\rho},
$$
$\sigma$ being the specific heat of electricity."
Mureau, then, seems to admit that Voigt's $I$ ' is a real thermo-electric force,
$$
\frac{\partial \Theta_{m} \frac{\partial T}{\partial T}}{\partial y}, \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y},
$$
directed along the $Y$-axis at any point in the metal $I$, though not the force which he considers significant in the Nernst effect. But it seems to me that this supposed total electromotive force at any point in the metal $\bar{l} /$ is a fiction. 'The only electromotive forces which we have reason to suppose existing in an unequally heated piece of metal in "nn circuit, as a metal is when tested for the Nernst effect, are those fond in the Thomson effect. When we have two unequally heated metals united in a thermo-electric circuit, the total electromotive force at any point in either metal is likely to be something different from that which the Thomson effect alone at that point would accomnt for, but we have no sufficient reason for supposing it to be actually the $\frac{\partial \Theta_{m}}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}$ mentioned above. If, for example, we are considering iron and if, for simplicity, we assume that $\partial T / \partial y=1$, the thermo-electric e. m. f. in the iron at temperature $T$ ' is, according to Toist, represented by the length of the line TI, taken as a negative quantity, in Figure 11 of our paper. Now it is true that, if we make this assumption and then integrate arome the whole circuit, which we will smpose to be of copper and iron, we shall get the area $\mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{0} \mathrm{C}_{0}\right.$ as the total e. m.f. of the circuit, which result will be correct. But we whomh arrive at precisely the sume correct integral result if we placed the ' T , T ' line of Figure 11 indefinitely far to the left or to the right of its prevent position, which wond have the effect of increasing or decreasing indefinitely the value of the expression $\partial \Theta_{m} \partial T$, the suppreed force at any individnal point in either metal. I can see, then, no whective reality in Voigt's supposed force 5 .

Morean was, I think, the first to put Voigt's formula for the value of the Nernst coefficient to the mmerical test. He gives, ${ }^{23}$ the three bottom lines being from his own observations,

|  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{23}$. | $-\frac{e^{\prime}}{\rho} \\|_{20} .$ | $K=\frac{\sigma 1}{p}$. | $\begin{aligned} & K \text { (ohs, }) \text { ( } \\ & \text { (Nirnot. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\sigma_{28}{ }^{2} .24\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bismuth | $-10.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & +8800 \\ & o r \\ & +6400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +0.337 \\ 010 \\ +0.216 \end{array}$ | $+0.149$ | $+0.196$ | $-1875$ |
| Antimuny | $+1.192$ | -2240 | $+0.0097$ | $+0.0090$ | $+0.0094$ | $+2017$ |
| Nickel | $-0.021$ | +2250 | +0.0039 | $+0.0026$ | $+0.0073$ | -1452 |
| C'obalt | +0.0041; | +2200 | $-0.00094$ | -0.00175 | $+0.00151$ | $-4073$ |
| Iron | $+0.0113$ | -1619 | $+0.0018$ | -0.00156 | $-0.00156$ | $-1412$ |
| Streel | $+0.0175$ | $-1731$ | $+0.00114$ | $-0.00062$ | $-0.00060$ | - 952 |
| Copper | $-0.00052$ | $-152$ | $-0.000047$ | $-0.000084$ | $-0.000073$ | $+275$ |
| Zinc | -0.000.41 | $-279$ | $-0.000018$ | $-0.000046$ | $-0.000054$ | + 697 |
|  | $C_{0}$. | $中_{0}$. | $-\frac{C_{0}}{\rho_{01}} U_{\mu_{0}}$ |  | $K_{0}$ (ols.). |  |
| Soft iron | $+0.00837$ | -1062 | $+0.000636$ |  | $-0.000616$ |  |
| Soft steel | $+0.006662$ | $-1351$ | $+0.000814$ |  | $-0.000596$ |  |
| Cubalt | $+0.00399$ | +1318 | -0.000512 |  | $-0.00146$ |  |

It will be seen that $K$, which $=$ our $_{h} T_{e}$ and Zahn's $-?$, as calculated from the formula of Morean and given in the fourtl column agrees in sign with $K^{\prime}$ as observed by Nernst in every case except that of cobalt, and that in this case direct observation by Morean himself gives the same sign which his formula gives. In other words, according to Morean his own formula gives the right sign for $h^{\prime}$ in every case. Zahn, however, agrees with Nernst in his observation of the sign of the Nernst effect in cobalt.

Moreau finds the Voigt formula, or at least the expression $-\frac{C_{\Phi}}{\rho}$, to give the same signs as his own formula in all the cases examined except those of iron and steel, but I am inclined to the opinion that he has made a mistake of sign in the use of the Voigt formula. It seems to me that the Voigt formula gives the right sign for $K^{\prime}$ in iron and
steel and the wrong sign in the other cases. I have not found any perfectly explicit statement by Voigt himself of the relation between the Nernst coefficient and the Hall coefficient, but I reason as follows: If we consider iron and take a case in which $\partial T / \partial y$ is positive, we have, as we saw a little distance back, $Y$ as a negative quantity; that is, according to Voigt, there is a thermo-electric e. m. f. acting in the direction of decreasing $y$. But with our iron in open circuit, as it would be for the Nernst-effect observations, we have no flow of electricity along the iron, the natural thermo-electric e. m. f. being balanced, or, as Voigt says, compensuted, by a static charge having a negative potential-gradient in the positive direction of $y$. If, now, we think of the magnetic field as rotating the equipotential lines of this potentialgradient in the direction of the Amperian current, as the equipotential lines of the primary electric current are rotated in the Hall effect in iron, we get what in our paper has been called, and what Moreau would call, a negatice Nernst effect.

According to this argument, which deals with a puzzling question and may be incorrect in its conclusion, all the signs in the third column of Moreau's table, as given above, should be changed, and in every case for which the specific beat of electricity within a metal and the thermoelectric height of the metal with respect to lead have the same sign the Morean formula and the Voigt formula will predict opposite signs for the Nernst effect.

The Moreau formula seems to me profoundly suggestive, though not strictly correct. But the fact that the Voigt formula, which I believe to be fundamentally wrong in one of its factors, gives results numerically so like those of Morean, and so like the values given by direct observation, is enough to warn us to be cautious in examining every theory and testing every formula relating to the matters here dealt with.

E. H. H.
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Presented February 8, 1911. Reeeived February 8, 1911.
The absorption of ultra-violet radiations by various mertia has been the subject of numerous investigations which need not here be recounted. So far as modern optical glasses are concerned our knowledge rests chiefly on the researches of Krüss, ${ }^{1}$ who investigated a series of ten typical Jena glasses by means of a fluorescent-screen polarizing photometer. His results extended to w. l. $309 \mu \mu$ and included thicknesses of 1,10 , and 100 mm . of glasses ranging from a very light boro-silicate crown of index $n_{D}=1.51$ to a dense silicate flint having $n_{D}=1.67$. At the lower limit of wave length there was practically complete absorption by all these glasses in a thickness of 1 cm . and partial transmissibility for the lighter glasses in thicknesses of 1 mm . The most striking thing about his results was the generally similar form of the absorption curves and the very rapid increase of absorption below w. l. $350 \mu \mu$ to $340 \mu \mu$. For greater wave lengths than the first mentioned, optical glasses, save for the heaviest flints, are moderately transparent, as is found in ordinary practice. The writer, indeed, many years ago, photographed the solar spectrum down to Cornu's line 0 , w. l. $344 \mu \mu$, through a large $45^{\circ}$ prism of moderately dense flint, $n_{D}=1.62$. Zschimmer ${ }^{2}$ has added to Kriuss' work an interesting investigation of the so-called Uviol glasses and some other optical glasses, with special reference to the value of the Uviol glass in transmitting the shorter wave lengths in the ultra-violet. His spectrograms show in some of the Uviol glasses transmission to as low as w. 1. $265 \mu \mu$ in a thickness of 2 mm ., and down to about w. l. $295 \mu \mu$ in a thickness of 10 mm . This shows, from the standpoint of transmissibility, a great improvement over the best of the ordinary glasses, spectra through which usually terminate at about w. $1.300 \mu \mu$. Some extremely light crowns are a trifle more transparent.

[^131]In the course of the investigation here undertaken, for example, the writer reached w. l. $289 \mu \mu$ through 5 mm . of Parra-Mantois "crown extra léger," $n_{D}=1.476$. The existence of glasses of this order of transparency is, as Zschimmer has pointed out, of importance in astrographic and spectrographic work in which it may be desirable to take advantage of all the rays which are transmitted by the atmosphere. As is well known, the atmospheric absorption becomes exceedingly strong below about w. l. $310 \mu \mu$, and extinguishes the solar spectrum at about w. l. $245 \mu \mu$. Below this point, absorption is complete for the whole thickness of the atmosphere, and as one nears w. l. $200 \mu \mu$ it is practically complete, even for layers of a few cm . in thickness. This is the region in which the atmospheric absorption is displayed by very powerful ionization, which must therefore be a striking phenomenon in the upper regions of the atmosphere, since the solar light, composed as it is of well-known metallic radiations extending far into the ultraviolet, fails to show these only in virtue of atmospheric absorption.

The work of Kriiss and of Zschimmer was carried on by means of spectrographs constructed of quartz, or quartz and fluorspar, and they both used, as a source, the quartz mercury lamp, which is by far the best available source for such investigations, inasmuch as when the lamp is held at a uniform current it gives a wonderfully steady radiation, which is not true of any other source rich in ultra-violet rays.

From another standpoint, that of the investigation of glasses particularly adapted to cut off the ultra-violet, which are of interest to the student of physiological optics, several investigators have recently attacked the problem. In particular, important researches have been published by Voege, ${ }^{3}$ Hallauer, ${ }^{4}$ and Schanz and Stockhausen. ${ }^{5}$ The studies from the ophthalmological side have unfortumately mostly been made with open electric ares of various kinds, which are subject to so considerable fluctuations, even under the most favorable circumstances, as to make the comparison of different spectrograms, even when taken in immediate succession, somewhat untrustworthy, although the distribution of intensity in a single spectrogram may be judged with considerable confidence.

In fact the quantitative value of spectrographic studies is somewhat limited, even with the steadiest sources of radiation, on account of the different performance of different makes of photographic plates and the varying action of developing and fixing baths. One can, however,

[^132]by uniform methods of treatment, get results which have a comparative value great enough to decide most of the important questions which come up for consideration in studying ultra-violet absorptions.

Having a quartz lamp and spectrograph set up for another research, it seemed desirable to the writer to take advantage of this apparatus for the preliminary investigation of some of the glasses commonly used in the practice of American opticians, since the data already referred to apply chiefly to glasses exploited mainly upon the Continent. The apparatus used was the concave-grating spectrograph of the Rogers Laboratory of Physics, kindly placed at the writer's disposal by the Director. It is fitted with a Rowland concave grating of approximately 1.75 meters radius of curvature, mounted in the ordinary Rowland manner. The grating itself has a ruled surface $24 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. ruled with about 14,438 lines per inch. The plateholder takes plates up to $3 \times 13 \mathrm{~cm}$., and the whole instrument is set up in a light-tight room with the slit and the source outside. The lamp employed was one of the ordinary quartz mercury lamps of the French CooperHewitt Co., operating at about 200 volts. In these investigations it was used at a normal current of 4 amperes. The lamp was set up about 90 cm . from the slit, and the tube was focussed upon it by a quartz lens of about 20 cm . focal length. An ammeter was kept in circuit with the lamp so that the current could be adjusted to a uniform value by a rheostat. The path of the rays from lamp to plateholder was thus slightly less than 4.5 meters. Inasmuch as only the violet and ultra-violet portion of the spectrum was thas investigated, ordinary photographic plates (Seed's No. 27) were used. These were developed, each set of exposures together, in a large tray, with 5 per cent rodinal for 5 minutes, and fixed together in stock hypo-solution. Except for special purposes of comparison in which other exposures are stated, the plates were exposed for a uniform period of 5 minutes and developed as soon as each particular set had been exposed, the spectrograph room being fitted with facilities for doing this. The printing was likewise done in groups to secure uniformity.

The glasses investigated included a few optical glasses which seemed to be of interest, and a group of colored glasses of the kinds frequently used for protective spectacles in this country. In working close to the quartz lamp, which was so situated that one had to work at times within half a meter, the operator's eyes were protected by spectacles composed of two of the glasses referred to later, but such protection seems to be quite unnecessary in ordinary working aronnd the laboratory with this lamp. In fact the writer was convinced, during a long period of experimenting, that the dangers to the eye from the quartz vol. xlvi. -43
lamp, although not negligible under extreme conditions, have been very much exaggerated. These observations in fact quite confirm the recent statements of Birch-Hirschfeld ${ }^{6}$ on this subject. The ordinary care which one exercises in keeping intensely brilliant lights out of the eye at short range seems also sufficient to avert any trouble from the specific effects of extreme ultra-violet radiations.

T'he accompanying plates, all taken with a rather wide slit, about . 2 nmm ., summarize the results obtained.

Figure 1, Plate 1, shows the ultra-violet spectrum of the quartz lamp from about w. l. $410 \mu \mu$ to about w. l. $310 \mu \mu$, as shown by the approximate wave-length scale above. It will be noted that, save for the brilliant triplet near w. l. $365 \mu \mu$, the region of the ultra-violet, down to the double at about w .1 .313 , has very few strong lines, and the region between the two groups mentioned is especially barren. There is in fact much less total strength apparent in the part of the quartz-lamp spectrum shown on these plates, than appears in the spectra of the sun and of ordinary electric arcs, the strong mercury lines being few. It is only in the extreme ultra-violet beyond the range shown in Plate 1, that the mercury spectrum is particularly brilliant.

Figure 2, Plate 1, shows the same spectrum when cut down by a polished plate of A.O. crown glass 6.2 mm . thick. The weakening of the further ultra-violet is here very marked, especially in case of the doublet at w. I. $313 \mu \mu$. The absorption near the other end of the strip is comparatively small and, in fact, the glass may be said to be moderately transparent to near w. l. $313 \mu \mu$. No lines of the mercury spectrum of less w. l. than this appear on this plate or on any other of the plates reproduced herewith. In other words, all the glasses tested and shown in these spectrograms cut off completely all radiation of w . l. less than that stated. The A.O. cromn is one of the glasses commonly used for spectacle lenses in American practice.

Figure :3, Plate 1, is the quartz are spectrum as reduced by a medinm tint of ordinary commercial "smoke" glass 5.6 mm . thick. In this case everything of less w. l. than abont $360 \mu \mu$ is completely obliterated. The strong gronp near w. l. $365 \mu \mu$ gets through with a fair degree of brilliancy, and a similar moderate absorption extends into the violet aud elsewhere into the visible spectrum.

Figure 4, Plate 1, is the spectrum as reduced by a plate of B. \& L. crown 4.2 mm. thick, a glass also much used for spectacles and comparable with Figure 2. It has, however, a slightly higher refractive index and shows more absorption in the extreme lines and not quite as

[^133]much, owing perhaps to its less thickness, in the left-hand side of the spectrum. It is, however, moderately transparent to the ultra-violet.

Figure 5, Plate 1, is the result obtained from a very pale amethyst glass in a thickness of 2.8 mm . This is a glass which has been considerably used for protective speetacles in which great density may not be desirable. It absorbs a trifle more of the extreme rays than do the clear crown glasses, and conspicuously more from the violet to and below w. l. $360 \mu \mu$. The appearance of the spectrogram suggests somewhat selective absorption, but it is little more effective as regards absorption in the ultra-violet generally than the common smoke glass.

Figure 6, Plate 1, is a protective glass of a curious light yellowishpink hue, which has been considerably used under the name of Armondel tint. It shows considerably stronger absorption than the amethyst glass, especially for the shorter rays ; the doublet at w. l. $313 \mu \mu$ is completely wiped out. The next conspicuous line at w. l. $334 \mu \mu$ is very greatly reduced in intensity, as is indeed the remainder of the ultra-violet shown in this spectrogram. It also reduces the blue end of the visible spectrum slightly, although its general tint is very light.

Figure 7, Plate 1, is the quartz arc spectrum of Figure 1 as reduced by a slip of Fieuzal glass 4 mm . thick. It completely obliterates the whole ultra-violet region and the blank spectrogram is introduced here merely for sake of contrast. It is a medium tint of yellowish-green glass, cutting out much of the violet and blue and reducing considerably the blue-green, although, since it retains all the rays of highest luminosity in the spectrum, it does not cut down the total light sufficiently to serve as a protection against are lamps or other extremely brilliant lights. It is, however, a pleasant and effective glass where considerable reduction in intensity is not required.

Figure 8, Plate 1, also a complete blank, was produced by a sheet of ordinary commercial amber glass of medium tint and 2 mm . thick. Its absorption of the ultra-violet is complete, and is strong in the violet, blue, and blue-green. Its tint is due to letting through the red a little more freely than does the Fieuzal glass just considered, which in general properties it resembles rather closely. It is not sufficiently dense to protect adequately against the dazzling effect of very brilliant sources of light in the tint here examined, but is dense enough to serve all ordinary purposes well. Its extinguishment of the ultra-violet, however, is most complete, a property which it probably shares with many other varieties of yellowish and orange-tinted glasses. A slip of a reddish amber selenium glass, such as is sometimes used for railway signals, 3.5 mm . thick, likewise cut off the whole ultra-violet region, giving a blank spectrogram. 'This last-mentioned glass absorbed power-
fully, clear down into the green, and, while fairly transparent to the rays of high luminosity, was preferable to either of the glasses just described for reducing the painful glare of powerful illuminants.

Figure 9, Plate 1, taken at the end of this series, shows again the spectrum of the bare quartz tube with an exposure of 15 seconds. The plate therefore, in this case, received just five per cent of the total energy recorded on Figure 1, Plate 1. The line near w. l. $391 \mu \mu$ appears faintly in the negative, but is lost in the reproduction. The line near w. l. $405 \mu \mu$ does not appear on this plate since, in this case, as in some of the others, the plateholder had been shifted by a small amount in order to reach down a little further into the ultra-violet. A comparison of this plate with those showing the effects of the absorbing glasses gives a vivid idea of the extent of the absorption produced by the glasses, especially those having even slight coloration.

In connection with these figures of Plate 1 should be considered Figure 8 of Plate 2, exposed under parallel conditions for 15 minutes. This shows the extreme ultra-violet portion of the quartz lamp spectrum, the last line visible in the reproduction being at w. l. $2300 \mu$. With the exception of the doublet at w. l. $313 \mu \mu$, this portion of the ultra-violet was obliterated by the absorption of the glass in all the spectrograms shown. The relatively great richness of the spectrum in the extreme ultra-violet portion down to about w. l. $230 \mu \mu$, where atmospheric absorption became very powerful, is conspicuous in this figure. In the lower portion of this spectrum are indicated the socalled "abiotic" radiations, which are particularly active in cell destruction, and as the quartz lamp here tested was put to use for bactericidal experiments, this portion of the ultra-violet was of special interest. As Cernovodeanu and Hemri 7 have shown, the bactericidal effects are practically confined to wave lengths below 270 , and increase beyond this point with enormous rapidity, an effect very possibly corresponding to the powerful ionization produced in this part of the extreme ultraviolet.

Figures 1 to 7, inclusive, of Plate 2, are not strictly comparable with the figures of Plate 1 , since they were taken at a later period, after the spectrograph had been in use for other work. The apparatus was set up anew. The grating was turned over, giving a somewhat more brilliant first order spectrum, and the brightest portion of the quartz tube was focussed upou the slit. The exposure in this series was, except when noted, 5 minutes, as before, but the intensities were notably higher.

[^134]Figure 1 shows the spectrum of the bare tube under these new conditions at the same current of 4 amperes used in all the spectrograms, and needs no further comment.

Figure 2, Plate 2, is an interesting illustration of the powerful absorption produced by a modern anastigmat lens in the ultra-violet portion of the spectrum. It was obtained merely by substituting the photographic lens for the quartz lens previously used in focussing the tube unen the slit. The particular lens used was a Zeiss Unar, series 1 B No. 5 , of 1.5 mm . equivalent focus. This lens is composed of 4 thin separate elements, having an aggregate mean thickness of between 10 and 11 mm . It will be noted that the absorption for wave lengths less than $30.5 \mu$ is very active, and that all the ultra-violet lines are somewhat weakened. The practical significance of the spectrogram is that even the first-class modern photographic lens is practically almost opaque to wave lengths below $365 \mu \mu$, and it points out the necessity of using special glasses and special constructions for spectrographic or astrographic work. For there is ample intensity in the ultra-violet spectrum of daylight to give trouble were the lens fully transparent down to the limit of the rays ordinarily transmitted by the atmosphere. As Zschimmer has shown, $\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{8}}$ one can work clear down to this limit with the Uviol glasses, which of course should be achromatized with this point in view. The Unar lens, like some others of recent type with separate lenses, suffers more from loss of light by reflections at the multiple surfaces than would the older anastigmats with thick cemented lenses, but probably much more than makes up for this loss by the lessened thickness of glass. The loss from reflections is quite a serious matter, inasmuch as the refractive index of an ordinary crown at say w. 1. $350 \mu \mu$ to $340 \mu \mu$ is in the vicinity of 1.55 and that of ordinary flints is about 1.65. Reckoning the losses by Fresnel's formula, $\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right)^{2}$, without applying additive corrections for the obliquity of incidence, it appears that in a 4 -lens separated system the loss of light by reflections will amount to nearly 35 per cent in this region of the spectrum ; and assuming a thickness of 10 mm . for the crowns and an equal amount for the flints, the absorptive loss for the former can scarcely be less than 20 per cent and that in the latter scarcely less than 70 per cent, so that on the whole hardly 20 per cent of the incident light would reach the plate. Evidently the increased absorption due to the greater thickness of glass would more than overbalance the gain from reduced reflections in the case of anastigmat lenses of the common symmetrical cemented type in
which the total thickness would be at least doubled for the same aperture.

Figure 3, Plate 2, shows the absorption of a slip of clear green signal glass, 2.4 mm . thick. This particular glass gives absorption in the violet and in the red and orange, and transmits not over 15 per cent of the total light. The absorption in the further ultra-violet is complete, and even the group at w. l. $365 \mu \mu$ gets through enormously weakened. The particular protective spectacles previously mentioned were made of a layer of this glass and of the reddish amber selenium glass before mentioned, of equal thickness. They completely suppressed the ultraviolet, violet, blue, much of the green and also most of the red and orange, leaving a nearly monochromatic strip in the most luminous part of the spectrum. The resulting combination transmitted ample light to enable one to read instruments and notes, or even to read a newspaper in fairly strong light, but cut down the intensity to a point that enabled one to look with comfort at short range into the quartz lamp or the most powerful commercial flaming arcs.

Figure 4, Plate 2, is the same amber glass as Figure 8, Plate 1, taken with the stronger illumination. Two of the lines in the violet came faintly through, but the ultra-violet was completely suppressed.

Figure 5, Plate 2, is the same glass as in Figure 7, Plate 1. This as before completely suppressed the ultra-violet and let through the two violet lines very faintly.

Figure 6, Plate 2, is the spectrum taken through a slip of No. 1 Euphos glass, 4 mm . thick. This is a light yellowish green in color, suppressing the blue and violet somewhat less completely than the two previous glasses, but like them blocking out the ultra-violet effectively. None of the four glasses just described cuts down the intense light of the quartz lamp or arc lamps, when viewed at short range, sufficiently to avert ocular distress after a short exposure. For adequate protection against such sources considerably deeper shades of all of them are necessary and are readily available. It must be borne in mind in this comection that among arc lamp workers and operators of electric furnaces cases of the so-called ophthalmia electrica, which is chargeable to the extreme ultra-violet rays, chiefly the abiotic radiations already referred to, are rare compared with distressing symptoms due to the luminous rays. Protective glasses, therefore, as light in tint as these of Plate 2 are, as a matter of practical experience, of comparatively little service although they suppress the ultra-violet quite completely. Deeper shades of any of them may be effective.

Figure 7, Plate 2, is an exposure of the bare quartz tube for 5 seconds. This spectrogram received therefore 1.66 per cent of the
total energy received by Figure 1, Plate 2, and well exhibits the effectiveness of the absorption produced by the glasses considered in the whole ultra-violet region. Withont going here into the matter of any specific effects due to the action of the ultra-violet rays upon the eye it is interesting to compare the results here obtained with those derived from some of the other protective glasses recently bronght to notice. From the very interesting studies of Hallaner 9 it appears that the so-called enixanthos glass shows absorption very similar to that of the Fienzal, while Hallauer's own glass possesses similar characteristics in the ultra-violet, but like the amber glass here described carries a stronger absorption into the blue. The Gonin rose glass apparently resembles the pinkish glass of Figure 6, Plate 1, while the blue glass is still more transparent to the shorter wave lengths. Hallauer's gray glass apparently corresponds quite closely to the smoke of Figure 3, Plate 1. The commercial red glass tested by Voege ${ }^{10}$ cut off the ultra-violet completely in a thickness of 2.9 mm . Broadly the red, amber, yellowish and yellowish-green glasses which absorb noticeably in the blue and violet also carry increased absorption through the ultra-violet, while the blue and green glasses which transmit somewhat freely in the blue and violet also transmit a perceptible amount of ultra-violet. This latter class of glasses is undesirable for protective use for other reasons than those connected with the ultraviolet, however, since a predominant blue tone in the transmitted light is both of uncomfortably low luminosity and greatly reduces the contrasts in most objects viewed. Of the amber and greenish glasses all will transmit, when of light tint or very thin, a limited amount of the more refrangible rays, including some ultra-violet, as would readily be found by a very prolonged exposure. The ultra-violet radiations transmitted by any of them when of sufficient thickness or density to be of service in softening the intensity of the visible rays is extremely trivial in amount, so small as to be utterly negligible in practice, as the spectrograms given show, and all of them, even the lightest in tint, suppress very thoroughly the only radiations in the ultra-violet that are certainly known to have a specific harmful effect. To sum up this matter of protection against the ultra-violet: All ordinary glasses, even the clear optical glasses, suppress all the ultraviolet radiations certainly known to have a specific harmfnl effect upon the human eye. As to the rest of the ultra-violet, say from w. l. $300 \mu \mu$ to the visible rays, there is little clinical evidence of injurious effect, and even this little does not gain in convincing quality from its

[^135]too frequent association with perfervid commendation of somebody's special variety of protective glass. If as a matter of precaution it be thought desirable in certain cases to cut off the whole ultra-violet region, there is a liberal choice of entirely effective glasses available. For this purpose the common commercial amber glasses such as are used for spectacles and sigual lenses seem to be quite as effective as those especially made for such use, the choice between them being chiefly a matter of taste. The very lightest tints of all of them undoubtedly may trausmit faint traces of the ultra-violet rays nearest the visible spectrum, but when there is density enough materially to soften the visible light these traces disappear. For protection against powerful sources of light at short range only the deepest tints reduce the visible light sufficiently, and these are particularly opaque to the ultra-violet.
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## Nine hundred ninety-ninth Meeting.

October 12, 1910. - Stated Meeting.
The President in the chair.
There were forty-five Fellows and one guest present.
The following letters and circulars were read by the Corresponding Secretary: - letters from Messrs. Archibald C. Coolidge, Roland B. Dixon, Worthington C. Ford and Edward C. Moore, accepting Resident Fellowship; from Sir David Gill, accepting Foreign Honorary Membership; a notice from his family of the death of F . von Recklinghausen; from the Instituts Solvay, asking recognition of the new society; from the tenth International Congress of Geography to be held in Rome in October, 1911; a notice of the formation of a society for the development of experimental sciences, in connection with the Imperial Moscow University; a circular notice to the President of the Academy that he had been elected a member of the organizing Committee for the Eighth International Congress of Applied Chemistry, to be held in the United States in 1912; a request from the Director of the Civic Pageant of the Boston 1915 organization for assistance for the Pageant, to be held in November ; a program of the first Universal Race Congress, to be held in London, July 26-29, 1911; a notice of various International Horticultural Expositions to be held in Turin in 1911, in connection with the International Exposition of Industry and Labor; a letter from Professor Hugo Miinsterberg to Professor Watson, announcing the formal opening of the Amerika-Institut of the German Government.

The Chair announced the following deaths: Robert Amory, Resident Fellow in Class II., Section 3; George P. Fisher, Class III., Section 3, and Melville W. Fuller, Class III., Section 1,

Associate Fellows; Frederick J. Furnivall, Class III., Section 4, and F. von Recklinghausen, Class II., Section 4, Foreign Honorary Members.

The following gentlemen were elected Resident Fellows :
Alfred Church Lane, of Cambridge, Class II., Section 1 (Geology, Mineralcgy, and Physics of the Globe).

Winthrop John Vanleuven Osterhout, of Cambridge, Class II., Section 2 (Botany).

Dr. Louis Bell called the attention of the Academy to the fact that the next meeting would be the one thousandth meeting of the Academy.

It was
Toted, that a committee be appointed to consider the celebration of the event.

On motion of the Recording Secretary it was
Toted, to meet on adjournment, November $9,1910$.
The following communication was given by Dr. Percival Lowell: "Investigations of Halley's Comet at Flagstaff Observatory."

The following papers were read by title:
"Theory of Coupled Circuits, under the Action of an Impressed Electromotive Force, with Applications to Radio Telegraphy." By G. W. Pierce.
"A Revision of the Atomic Weight of Neodymium. First Paper. - The Analrsis of Neodymium Chloride." By G. P. Baxter and H. C. Chapin.
" On the Equilibrium of the System consisting of Calcium Carbide. Calcium Cyanide, Carbon, and Nitrogen." By M. de Kay Thompson and R. H. Lombard. Presented by H. M. Goodwin.

## One thousandth Meeting.

## Noveniber 9, 1910. - Adjourned Stated Meeting.

The Piesident in the Chair.
There were thirty-eight Fellows and one guest present.
The Corresponding Secretary read letters from Messrs. Alfred C. Lime and W. J. V. Osterhout, accepting Resident Fellowship, and a circular from the Boston Vocation Bureau, announcing
the first national conference to consider the question of the choice of rocations by the young men and women of this country, to be held in Boston November 15 and 16, 1910.

The Council reported that it had considered Professor Percival Lowell's charge that Professor Edward C. Pickering had suppressed information in regard to Professor Lowell's candidate, Mr. Lampland, - and having considered Professor Pickering's testimony in regard to the same, found that the clarge was entirely unfounded, and passed the following vote: - "That the Council has considered the charges presented by Professor Lowell and the new counter-evidence from Professor Pickering, and considers the charges unfounded. The error arose by reason of forgetfulness on the part of Professor Davidson."

The President read the following letter from Mr. G. R. Agassiz :

My dear Prof. Trowbridge,
Percy Lowell has just telephoned me that you were waiting to call a meeting of your American Academy Committee, until you heard from us. You remember that I said that we (my brothers and I) thought it advisable not to make a formal proposal till we were in a position to pay the bequest. Matters move so slowly in these matters that it is still impossible for us to say how soon this will be. It certainly will not be before Mr. Borditch leaves.

You may remember that the question will be for the Academy to decide whether it prefers to have a fund of $\$ 50,000$ - or the house Mr. Agassiz intended to build for them. Should the Academy decide on the latter alternative (after they have received our proposal) they will doubtless find that the conditions will be something like this:

If they care to devote the $\$ 50,000$, bequeathed to them unconditionally by Mr. Agassiz, toward building from the plans that Mr. Agassiz had prepared - then his children would pay the rest of the cost - and furnish a suitable fund to furnish the house.

This is in no way an official communication, but is written in the hope of recalling to you what our actions will in all probability be. Furthermore I should like to have this matter known as widely as possible among the members of the Academy, as, should you decide to build, we should doubtless like the assurance that such a course meets with the approval of a very considerable majority of its members.

Yours very truly,
G. R. Agassiz.

The following recommendations from the Committee on Policy were read: -

That the Academy hopes in a short time to be in a position heartily to accept the conditions of the Agassiz beirs, informally outlined concerning the building.

1. That the number of Resident Fellows be gradually increased by one hundred.
2. That not more than twenty-five Fellows be added annually, and not over four in any section.
3. That a committee of five, including the President and Recording Secretary, be appointed to take charge of meetings.
4. That the functions of the Council be enlarged in order to give it supervision over all affairs of the Academy.
5. That if, at 8.30 , business is in progress, it be postponed, and the communication announced, be called.

No action on the above recommendations was taken by the Academy.

The President appointed Dr. Louis Bell and Professor W. M. Davis a Committee on the Celebration of the one thousandth meeting.

The following communication was given :-
"The Supposed Recent Subsidence of the Massachusetts Coast," by Professor D. W. Johnson.

The following papers were presented by title:-
"The Pegmatites of the Riebeckite-Aegirite Granite of Quincy, Mass. ; their Minerals, Structure and Origin." By C. H. Warren and Charles Palache.
"The Vector Diagram of the Oscillating-Current Circuit." By A. E. Kennelly.
"Infinitesimal Properties of Lines in $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ with Applications to Circles in $S_{3}$." By C. L. E. Moore. Presented by H. N. Tyler.
" The Indeterminate Product." By H. B. Phillips. Presented by H. N. Tyler.
"A Fundamental Theorem Regarding Curves on Reguli." By W. E. Story.
"The Action of Mercury on Steel at High Pressures." By P. W, Bridgman. Presented by John Trowbridge.

## One thousand and first Meeting.

## Decemper 14, 1910.

The Academy met at the University Club, to celebrate its one thousandth Meeting.

The President in the chair.
There were present seventy-five Resident Fellows, one Associate Fellow and twenty-two guests.

The dinner was typical of colonial times - being copied largely from one given on Forefather's Day in Plymouth, 1769. The menu, whieh is of historic interest, follows on page 688:

After the dimer the President, Professor John Trowbridge, congratulated the members upon the large attendance which testified to the vitality of a body which, after 130 years, could show such strength, and ealled upon the Reeording Secretary to read the record of the last meeting. Several members objected, and desired the record of the first meeting. This was accordingly read, as follows:

May 30, 1780. By an Act of the Great and General Court of the State of Massachusetts, passed $y^{\mathrm{e}} 3^{\mathrm{d}}$ of May, 1780, a Society was incorporated and established by the name of American Academy of Arts and Sciences. In the Act, the Philosophical chamber in the University of Cambridge was determined to be the place where the Fellows of the Academy should hold their first meeting and the Honorable James Bowdoin Esq! was authorised and impowered to fix the time for holding the said meeting and to notify the same. He having done this by advertisement in the public newspapers the fellows of the said Academy held their first meeting at the place aforesaid on the $30^{\text {th }}$ of May, 1780 .

At the meeting the Act of Incorporation was first published. The Revd President Langdon then prayed, after which the Fellows passed the following votes :-

1. That the Honorable James Bowdoin, Esq. be President until the next meeting of the Academy.
2. That Mr Caleb Gannett be Secretary until the next meeting of the Academy.
3. That Ebenezer Storer, Esq: be Treasurer until the next meeting of the Academy.
4. That the Honorable James Bowdoin, Esq${ }^{r}$, Mr Caleb Gannett, the Rev ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Samuel Langdon, D. D., the Honorable John Pickering Esq.,
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Stephen Sewall, Esq., the Rev Edward Wigglesworth \& the Rovd Samuel Williams, be a Committce to agree upon the names, number and duties of the several officers they shall judge necessary or convenient to the Academy and the tenure or estate they shall respectively have in their offices: Also to prepare such rules, orders and bye-laws, as they shall juilge necessary or convenient for the well-ordering and good government of the Academy. Also to consider of the times, places and manner of couvening the Fellows of the Academy and the number of Fellows which shall be present to constitute a meeting of the Academy : to devise a common seal for the Academy, to consider for what causes fines shall be levied \& what the fines shall be, and to report their proceedings upon the premises at the adjourument of this meeting.
5. That this meeting be adjourned to the second Wednesday of July next at ten o'clock in this place.

The President called attention to the words in the minutes, "The Rev. President Langdon then prayed," and asked Rev. Edward H. Hall if he could remove an odium scientie from the academy in abandoning this custom - in the hope that he would assure the members that although they were birds of passage lone wandering - they were not lost.

Reverend Mr. Hall then spoke as follows:

## The Revd. President Langdon then prayed.

It is well that the ravages of time have preserved for us this brief statement, for so far as appears, that was the first and perhaps the last time that the divine blessing was invoked within the Academy walls. There was no special intent in this, for the absence of prayer was by no means unknown in the early Puritan gatherings, and we find Governor Bowdoin, the first president of the Academy closing his inaugural address with a full evangelical invocation of almighty favor and support; at the same time, in view of the perfunctory character so often assumed in this service, it is a satisfaction to remember this relation of religion to science through the whole history of the Academy ; each paying due honor to the other with as little intrusion as possible into the other's domain. Science I suppose pays best homage to religion by showing its reverence for the laws of the universe without attempting to force its spiritual interpretation upon them.

Meantime it is pleasant to note that the American Academy turned at once to Harvard College in initiating its career. The Rev. Samuel Langdon was not one of the most noted of our early presidents. vol. XLVI. - 44

Falling as it did in the stormy hours of the incipient Revolution, his arlministration was broken from the start by the turmoil of war and the constant removals of family and possessions from town to town, from Cambridge to Watertown, to Concord, and again to Cambridge. So disturbed was the college life that all public ceremonial was restricted, and President Langdon entered upon his office without any formal inauguration. Even lottery tickets, the collegiate resource in days of need, remained on the hands of the authorities unsold, and the Corporation had to purchase two thousand tickets on their own account. The college needed a firm hand at the helm, and there is reason to think that this quiet country parson, called from a Portsmouth parish, notwithstanding his fine intellectual quality and ample learning, found himself hardly in his element among exuberant students aflame with patriotic ardor. No serious outbreaks are recorded in Langdon's time, it is true ; but the college officials were pained early in his administration, when the Tory students amused themselves by "bringing India tea into commons and drinking it to show their loyalty."

On the whole, however, the bricf period of Langdon's presidency passed quietly, reflecting honor upon the president's scholarship and learning, as well on the whole as on his administrative zeal. He was by no means lacking in public activity, taking his full share, if the accounts are correct, in the political affairs where college and state had to act together. He is credited with an active part in 1779 in framing the three articles of the constitution confirming the privileges of the college and defining the change in the position and functions of the ()verseers. The provision whereby, in connection with the Governor, Council and Senate, the ministers of the Congregational churches of Cambridge, Watertown, Charlestown, Boston, Roxbury and Dorchester succeeded to the functions of the Board of Overseers, has hardly vindicated its wisdom, and has long given way to something better, but otherwise the interests of Harvard College have found themselves admirably guarded by the constitution of 1779 .

Such being the condition of college affairs, it was with great astonishment that the Corporation Ang. 29, 1780, received the resignation of President Langdon after six years' service. Noihing had pointed to this event, the college was in no crisis, nor was any special cause given by the president for this sudden withdrawal from his post. In a letter of singular dignity and detachment, free from all accusations, and ilwelling with simple pathos upon the hopes of greater usefulness which he had cherished in "serving the noble cause of country and liherty, and the important interests of Religion and literature," dwelling also upon the "severe labors he had gone through since entering
upon his office," he declares that his "taste for youthful studies is decreasing" and pleads for a "more retired situation." 'Ihe next day a meeting of the ()verseers was called, no discussion scems to have followed, no regrets were expressed, the Overseers simply voted their thanks and acknowledged the value of his services, and the resignation was accepted. It reals as if the Corporation and Overseers were so accustomed to presidential resignations that no formalities were thought necessary, and the only thing to do was to choose a now head and start afresh.

What did it all mean? Of course there was more than appeared upon the surface, and by degrees a situation disclosed itself altogether unique in the annals of the college. So far as appears the relation of the president to his students was fricudly and their respect for his scholarship and learning was great ; but religious and political dissensions were rife, and the students caught many of the catch-words of their elders. He was thought skeptical and called by some a deist. Apparently a small body of students, otherwise discontented, took up these charges and formed a combination against him. A meeting of the three upper classes was called, and resolutions were unanimously passed, charging President Langdon with "impiety, heterodoxy, unfitness for the office ot preacher of the Christian religion, and still more for that of President." Twelve students were appointed to wait upon the President and invite him to resign his office. Two days afterwards he detained the students after morning prayers and, with unexampled humility, told them that he should resign (Quincy ii. 179). At once, the solemn crisis having passed, these same students, with equal unanimity, passed resolutions of entire confidence in his ability and character, and great sympathy with his needs.

In this extraordinary episode it is easy for those who are acquainted with student life to trace fairly well the probable course of events. With all sympathy with the weary and tormented president, we cannot help detecting in his immediate surrender to the situation, in the very absence of recrimination or reproach, and his quick retirement from the contest, the evidence of fine scholarly instincts perhaps, but of a man consciously out of place amid the rudenesses and frequent brutalities of college life. It was evidently President Langdon's misfortune to be called out of the quiet of a country parsonage into the turmoil of the American Revolution. With these singular incidents in our thoughts, it is easy to understand why one of his biographers, cited by Quincy, declared that President Langdon "wanted dignity and authority," another "that he wanted judgment and a spirit of government."

With this single event of Samuel Langdon's career, almost the only
important one that history has preserved, we take our leave of the 16th President of Harvard College. Slight as it is, it presents the college in a curious light, and in no respect more curious than in its relations with the patient president who bore himself with such scholarly calm through the turinoils of riotous days. It is pleasant to remember that the last seventeen years of his life, from 1781 to 1797, were spent in the congenial seclusion of a small country parsonage.

After the completion of Mr. Hall's address, the President read the following letter from Professor William W. Goodwin, a former President of the Academy.

Some of the pleasantest recollections of my first years as Tutor and Professor are connected witl the Academy, of which I was made a member by the kindness of my beloved teacher and friend, Cornelius Conway Felton, soon after I returned to Cambridge from Germany in 1856. I shall never forget the enjoyment of the earliest meetings which I attended. The first was at the house of Dr. Jacob Bigelow, then President of the Academy. Another memorable Meeting was when the venerable Ex-Vice-President, Josiah Quincy, invited the Academy to his house on Park street (I think it was on his ninetieth birthday), and entertained us by reminiscences of his early life in Boston and of his Presidency of Harvard College. After the meetings the Cambridge party, among whom were apt to be Professors Felton, Lane, Lovering, Agassiz, and Jeffries Wyman, with Morrill Wyman, B. A. Gould and others, hastened to Brattle street and filled the Cambridge omnibus to overflowing ; or occasionally, when the moon was full, made up a party to walk home to Cambridge.

At about this time a controversy arose between some of its members who felt that the increasing interest taken in the social meetings in private houses interfered with the more important scientific duties of the Academy as a learned society, and those who (like Professor Felton) "could see no harm in a glass of wine and an oyster." The social meetings became less and less frequent, however, when the Academy became more pleasantly settled in quarters of its own, and now, when we have a comfortable home in our own house in Boston, the old controversy has become a matter of early history.

I beg you to present to the Academy my deep regrets that I cannot be with them on Wednesday evening. As one of its older members, one who remembers always with pleasure that he had for a few years the honor of being its President, I feel a just pride in its honorable and useful past; and I hope that, as the years bring round the anni-
versary of its two thousandth meeting, the members then assembled may be able to feel that its traditions of fruitful work in the cause of science and the arts have been faithfully preserved and its field of useful service to humanity has been much enlarged.

The Corresponding Secretary, Professor Edwin II. Hall, was next called upon to report recent correspondence of the Academy; but on account of the commemorative character of the meeting, he excused himself from the current correspondence, and instead, presented to the meeting three letters received by the Academy one hundred and thirty years ago. These letters follow :

## Jamaica Plain July $\mathrm{S}^{\text {th }} 1780$.

Sir,
Permit me, as a Friend to all Establishments which have a Tendency to promote useful Knowledge, to congratulate you upon the Institution of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences ; and to add my warmest Wishes for the Success of so landable a Design.

As it will doubtless be a Part of the Society's Plan to form a Museum of the natural Productions of the Country, as well as to investigate the Properties of each, and the Uses to which it may be applied, I beg Leave to send you a Piece of the Asbestos, and some Pyrites, both Natives of Pennsylvania. The former I received from the ingenious David Rittenhouse, Esquire, who informed me he had used some of the Filaments of it (soon after it was taken out of the Earth) as Wick for a Lamp; but having been long exposed to the Air, it now wears the Appearance of the Petrefaction. Even in this State it is fissile, and may be easily split length-wise.

The Pyrites I collected at Lancaster, where they are found in great Plenty: they contain Sulphur ; and, notwithstanding the Regularity and Polish of some of them, are in their natural State.

My employment under Congress, as Surveyor General of the Post Officies and Roads in the Eastern District, frequently affords me Opportunities of collecting Specimens of various Kinds; and should these now sent prove acceptable, I shall take the Liberty, from Time to Time, of adding others as they occur.

I have the Honor to be, Sir
Your most obedient and very hum ${ }^{1}$ Serv ${ }^{\text {t }}$
Eben Hazard

Honoured Sir,
As I find my Name inserted among the names of the Members of the New Academy erected among us; and I perceive your Honour to be the chosen President of it; I think it my Duty to inform you, and so the Academy, that I esteem it honorary to be reckoned worthy to be placed as a Nember among you.

I am too far advanced in Life to attend the Meetings of the Academy. And although I dare not form the Wish, $O$ mihi prateritas referat ut Jupiter Amos! yet I must own that, if I was thirty or forty years younger, it would have been a much greater Satisfaction to me to have been numbered among you.

However I am heartily willing and strongly desirous, as far as it may be in my Power, to promote the Design, and support the Credit, Reputation and usefulness, of the Society.

And, in order to show my Good-will and Respect in the Body I have hastily collected the Methods and Rules, that have been proposed to be observed and followed by two foreign Academies; and have added a Proposal or two of my own.

And, if the Academy, over which I trust you will worthily preside, should think it worth the while to ask me to employ my Thoughts or use my Pen, on any Subject that may be subservient towards a Furtherance of the Arts and Sciences ; I shall endeavour, as old as I am, by the Divine Will and Assistance to comply with the Requisition from them.

One of the Academy of Sciences at Paris, it was I think Mr Frontenelle, called the Same Une Corpse des Esprits, A Body of Spirits, or Geniuses : I wish from my Heart, that our Academy may prove and continue to be, such a Body, to their own Honour and the Enlightening and Rejoicing of Others.

I wish you Honoured Sir, and all the Brethren of our Academy, the Presence and Blessing of Heaven in all your Projections and Endeavours to promote useful Sciences, as well as at the same Time Religion and Virtue ; and am, with great Respect,

Your most humble and obedient Servant
Samuel Mather.
P. S. I found your Honours Name among the first Members of the French Academy: But he spelt it Baudoin: Which seems to be the right way of Spelling it. He was a learned Man.

Dear Sir,
I think myself much honoured by the favour of your letter dated the $28^{\text {th }}$ of Feby last $\mathrm{w}^{\text {ch }}$ I received about a month ago. I an made very happy by the information it contains, that in the midst of war and the most important struggle that a people were ever engaged in, a new Academy for promoting arts and Sciences has been established at Boston. In compliance with your desire, I have communicated the incorporating Act and list of members to the President and Secretaries of the Royal Society, attended with a letter of my own stating the contents of your letter to me, and the hopes $\mathrm{w}^{\text {ch }}$ the American Academy entertain that the Royal Society, governed by the neutrality of Philosophy, will favour it with its encouragement. I do not yet know certainly what notice will be taken of these communications. The reply that has been reported to me from the President is, that it has not been customary to lay before the Royal Society notices of the institution of any Societies whatever.

I am obliged to be cautious in communicating the inaugural oration of your honourable and worthy President on account of some political passages in it. For my own part I approve and admire these passages; and I request the favour of you to deliver my best respects to the Author. I have delivered your letters to $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{r}}$ Morell and $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$ Maskelyne. I have likewise got a Friend to communicate to the Society of Arts and Commerce the copy of the incorporating Act which you intended for them.

I am at present very busy in preparing for the Press a fourth edition of my Treatise on Life-annuities and Reversionary paym!s I shall enlarge it to two volumes, and when out to Press ( $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{I}$ am afraid will not be till the beginning of next Summer) I shall endeavour to get it convey'd to you in hopes of the honour of its being accepted as a testimony of my respect for the American Academy. This work having been of some use I am anxious about making it as complete as possible. With this view I am collecting all the Observations I can get on population, the increase of mankind, and the duration of human life in different Situations.

All that can be worth communicating to you in the Philosophical and Astronomical way is published in the numbers of the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society which come out every half year. What has lately most engaged attention is the new Star discovered near Auriga by Mr. Herschel, a gentleman at Bath, who has for some time been very curious and diligent in watching the Heavens. This

Star was at first taken for a comet; and the Astronomer Royal once expected that it would have passed over the disk of the Sun at the beginning of last mouth. But he has since told me, that it is doubted whether it may not be a planet never before discovered moving at a much greater distance from the Sun than Saturn. It has been for some time hid by the Sun's rays. Should it appear again, Something more certain will probably be determined concerning it.
$D^{r}$ Priestly never went farther in his History of Philosophy than Electricity and Optics. He has been for some time wholly employed in making experim ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ on the different Sorts of air. In this branch of Philosophy he has made several very important discoveries, an account of which he has given in five Octavo Volumes, the last published this Summer. One of the most important facts which he has discovered is the effect of vegetation, aided by the action (not of heat but) of light in purifying, preserving and restoring common air constantly injured and diminished by the breathing of animals, the burning of fires, putrefaction and other causes. In the day time and particularly in Sunshine, the purest kind of air is emitted by the leaves of trees and all vegetables; and this emission is more or less copious in proportion to the vigour of the vegetation and the force of the Sun's light. In the night and in the dark it ceases entirely. $D^{r}$ Priestly is going on with these experim ${ }^{\text {ts }}$, and very probably another volume will be published in a little time.

If you think that my best respects and wishes will be acceptable to the members of your Academy, I beg you would deliver them. No one can observe with a more earnest attention than I do all that now passes in America. With much gratitude and the greatest regard I am, $S^{r}$, your most obedient and humble $\operatorname{Ser}^{t}$

## Rich. Price,

Deliver my very respectful complim ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ to the venerable $D^{r}$ Chauncy. $\mathrm{D}^{r}$ Winthrop was my correspondent. With pain I reflect that he is no more in this world to promote virtue, liberty and Science. But we are all following him. God grant that we may leave the world wiser and better for us.
A copy of this letter was sent by another conveyance.
The President called attention to the rich store of historical documents possessed by the Academy, of which the letters read were but a small portion. There are letters from General Washington acknowledging his election to the Academy ; a letter from Priestly, the discoverer of oxygen; several from Count Rumford, and a host of others.

The paper announced for the evening was on "Earthquakes"; but Professor Jiggar, who was to present it, moved that in consequence of the festivities it be omitted.

The President introduced the toastmaster of the evening, Professor E. C. Pickering. Professor Pickering spoke as follows:

This is the third celebration of its kind by the American Academy of Arts and Sciences. The first, eighty years ago, the Semicentennial, also included a dimer. My knowledge of it is derived only from hearing it discussed fifty years later. The second celebration, the Centennial, is well remembered by many of us. When the American Acadeny was founded in 1780, there was only one scientific society in America, the American Philosophical Society, fonnded thirty-seven years earlier, at the initiative of Franklin. Curiously enough, just thirty-seven years later, the third Society of its kind was established, the New York Academy of Sciences.

Of the sixty-two charter members of the American Academy, three were under thirty years of age, and two were over seventy. The Academy once elected a man who was twenty-one years old, and thus came within four months of having a member who was legally an infant. Youthful membership led to long terms, the longest, that of Dr. Jacob Bigelow, extending over sixty-seven years. The shortest, of but a few hours, was that of Mr. Horace Mann. It gave him much pleasure to learn that he had been elected, although he died the same night. The term of one of the charter members, Theodore Parsons of Newbury, appears to have been negative, as he is stated to have been lost at sea in 1779, the year before the Academy was founded. The terms of several of our members have exceeded half a century, including those of three now living. The term of the senior member, Professor Francis H. Storer, fifty-three years, exceeds by a few minutes only that of his twin member, President Eliot. Our former President, Professor Goodwin, has been a member for fifty-one years.
'Ihe usefulness, in fact the justification, of an Academy like this is not in holding meetings, or in reading scientific or literary papers. Such work is only local and temporary. The real objects of the Academy should be the increase and diffusion of knowledge, the first by research, the second by its publications. Research is the most important of all. I take great pride, as a member of the Rumford Committee for nearly forty years, in the list of investigations we have been able to aid. But it is pitiable to consider the many cases that have come before the Committee where admirable work must be abandoned for lack of a few hundred dollars. No more valuable contribution to Science,
or memorial to this meeting, could be made than the gift to the Academy of a Fund, whether large or small, to be administered like the Rumford Fund, but without the conditions which sometimes restrict the usefulness of that most valuable gift.

About thirty years ago, the Proceedings of the Academy filled twenty volumes, and its Memoirs ten. At that time I urged the preparation of an index to the whole, as the principal objection to publication in our Proceedings is that papers are buried in them, and are likely to be forgotton or overlooked. The need of such an index is much greater now than at that time. We shall soon have filled fifty volumes of Proceedings, and fifteen of Memoirs.

The first toast I shall propose to you is "The Foundation of the Academy." This is a question of History, and the Academy has a vigorous younger sister, the Massachusetts Historical Society, which is still in the prime of life, since $i t$ is but a little over a hundred years old. Many persons are active members of both societies, and I will ask one of them, Mr. Andrew McFarland Davis, to respond to this toast.

The paper of Mr. Davis contained the following interesting account of the incorporation of the Academy and of the time when it came into existence: -

The place at which the first meeting of the Academy should be held, was designated as the philosophical chamber of the University of Cambridge. This was in all probability the Jefferson Laboratory, rather than the Emerson Hall, of that day and was undoubtedly under the roof of the present Harvard Hall. Rev. Samuel Williams, who had succeeded John Winthrop as Hollis Professor of Mathematics and Natural Philosophy, was one of the incorporators of the Academy. He had accompanied Winthrop to Newfoundland twenty years before to observe the transit of Vemus, and later he had given lessons in Natural Philosophy to Benjamin Thompson, better known by the title which he afterward acquired of Count Rumford.
'Io a certain extent the definition given of the purposes of the Academy betrays the limitations imposed upon investigators of those days by their surroundings, their education and the primitive state of knowledge on most of the subjects, to the study of which they promised their attention. Eighty-seven per cent of the persons named in the act of incorporation were graduates of Harvard College. A glance at the curriculum of that institution ought to show how far this body of men, who it may be inferred from the language of the preamble to
the act were regarded as men of genius and learning, had been furnished opportunity for special preparation to cope with the numerous questions suggested in this list.

The Hollis professorship of mathematics and natural philosophy, founded in 1727, provided the only instructor in that institution whose function it was to deal with any of these topics. Professorships instituted after that date could have had no influence in the mental preparation of these investigators but it may be of interest to note the slow awakening of the college to the necessities of students along these lines. A professorship of Chemistry and Materia Medica was instituted in 1783; of Natural Religion, Moral Philosophy and Civil Polity, in 1789 ; of Natural History, in 1805 ; of Sciences applied to the useful arts, in 1816; of History, ancient and modern, in 1839 ; and of Astronomy and Mathematics, in 1842.

The annual income which the Academy could receive was limited to $£ 500$ from real property and $£ 2,000$ from personal. This measure of value was in silver at $6 s .8 d$. per ounce, and amounted to a little less than $\$ 8,500$. The annual dues were to be paid in Spanish Milled dollars in specie or an equivalent in bills of the current exchange.

The seal of the Academy was not adopted for some years thereafter. The erect figure upon it represents Minerva. Instruments of husbandry, as well as a quadrant and a telescope, are to be seen in the foreground. A corn-field to the left, a town in the distance; a ship under sail approaching it; overhead the sun rising above a cloud. Of this seal those who were describing it said: "The device represents the situation of a new country depending principally on agriculture, but attending at the same time to arms, commerce and the Sciences." One correction in the language used in this description suggests itself. The word "arms" in the phrase "arms, commerce and the sciences," should obviously be arts. The peculiar growth in the lower left-hand portion of the seal represents the corn-field, and was intended to be symbolical of agriculture. Commerce found recognition in the ship and science was obliged to content herself with the quadrant and telescope.

Elections in the Academy were in those days formidable affairs. The polls were to be opened at three P. M. and after the choice of scrutineers, the ballotting was to begin. The ballot box was to remain open until five P. M. and was then to be closed. The voter was required to fold his ballot and hand it in this form to the President, whose duty it was to put the ballot in the box and at the same time to check the name of the voter upon his list of members. A majority was required for election. Ties were settled by drawing lots.

The relations of the Academy to the public in those early days were absolutely different from the aloofness and reticence which prevail today. The aid of outsiders was deliberately sought for, and the results were communicated to the newspapers of the day. The records for January 31, 1781, show that "The Reverend Samuel Williams, having been directed by the Council to prepare an invitation to the public to communicate to the Academy any experiments, observations and productions of nature or art, adapted to the ends of its institution; and to lay the same before the Academy at the next meeting for their approval, in order to its publication ; reported as follows :
"'The Academy have the pleasure to inform the public that they have received the following communications, viz." Then follows a list of papers submitted at the meeting. Notices of meetings were required to be published.

Our associate, Abner C. Goodell, has collated in a note in the edition of the Province Laws which he edited, a few facts relative to the proceedings which took place prior to the passage of the act incorporating the Academy. It appears that on the 21st of March, 1776, a resolve was passed in the Continental Congress recommending to the "assemblies, conventions, and councils or committees of safety " of the several colonies to "take the earliest measures for erecting and establishing in each and every colony, a society for the improvement of agriculture, arts, manufactures and commerce, and to maintain a correspondence between such societies, that the rich and numerous natural advantages of this country for supporting its inhabitants may not be neglected."

So far as our own society is concerned, John Adams claimed to have first suggested its organization, in the course of a conversation with Rev. Dr. Samuel Cooper, at a dinner given in 1779, by the corporation of Harvard College in honor of Chevalier de la Luzerne, the French ambassador, and his suite. In his recollections, written thirty years after the event, Mr. Adams describes how he enlisted the services of Dr. Cooper in the propagation of his idea and plan, which was done so effectually that as he says "the first Legislature under the new Constitution adopted and established it by law." In this statement his memory served him false. We have already seen that the act was passed before the new Constitution came into cperation.

In a "country dependent principally upon agriculture," to adopt the language of the person who described the seal of the Academy, before the days of the application of electricity, for power, light or heat; before the development of the steam engine, whether of the stationary or locomotive type; the modes of life, the methods of business, the opportunities for scientific investigation, the subjects to be in-
vestigated, and the methods to be employed were so different from those of to-day that it requires positive effort to reproduce the limitations imposed upon the men of 1780 by their surroundings. Washington is to all intents and purposes as near Boston for us of to-day as was Worcester to our forefathers in 1780, the true measure of the distance being not the number of miles which intervene, but the ease with which they can be traversed. At the time of the revolution, says one writer, the stage-coach was unknown on this continent - a statement open to question, but still so near the truth that it may be quoted for its practical definition of the condition of passenger transportation at that time. Iravel was effected either on horseback or in the private chaise, caleche or coach. Communities under these conditions were necessarily provincial, interchange of thought was restricted and there was nothing to stimulate investigation. Real estate and bonds and notes were the only avenues open for investment of funds. When Ebenezer Storer opened his accounts as 'Ireasurer of Harvard College, he charged himself with certain real estate and with two hundred and nineteen personal notes and bonds, the latter being the bulk of the income-yielding property of the College. T'urn to the statement of our Treasurer and see the field covered by his investments to-day, and you will have as good a picture of contrast between then and now as can be given. 'Think for a moment the way in which the opportunity for scientific investigation must have been increased by the utilization of capital as shown on our 'Treasurer's books.
'Ihis period of bucolic simplicity continued for about half a century during which there was no material change in the lives and daily habits of our people. Things were not, however, stationary. This half century saw the beginning of the organization of combined capital. The water power of the country was utilized. Canals were dug. Stage-coach lines were established and the country was prepared for the great revolution which was to follow from the construction of steam railways and the stimulation of industry through the combination of capital in the form of corporations.

Some of us older members of the Academy can remember when the only public method of travel in New England was the stage-coach. As a boy, I well remember the daily passing my father's house of the stage which furnished means for the transportation of passengers between Worcester and Boston. The influence of the development of the facilities for travel since that time are far reaching. The various meetings of scientific men which take place in the holiday season were absolutely impossible then and the world lost the stimulus to research arising from the contact of different persons from different parts of the
country working along parallel lines which must necessarily follow these personal encounters of experts.

If we turn to the records of our meetings of to-day we find that there are presented many papers which are read only by title. Not a few of us have envied our Recording Secretary for the faculty which he possesses of reading with rapid facility the titles of these papers, the obscure terms of some of which convey no information to the hearer of the subject of the investigation, and leave no other impression behind than doubt as to whether there can be many persons beside the writer who can tell what the paper is about. This brings to our attention the fact that with the growth of scientific knowledge there has come partition of subjects and specialization of investigations. Every man no longer knows everything. The farmer of to-day is not necessarily carpenter, blacksmith, harness-maker and cobbler as well.

The change that has been produced within the period of my own life, in our social, industrial and scientific conditions by the mere development of photography is in itself an adequate explanation of the rapid progress in knowledge which has brought about the contrast between the simple earnest groping for knowledge on the part of our forefathers and the marvellous studies in the region of the incomprehensible submitted for our consideration to-day. Before the days of Daguerre, the portrait, the miniature, and the black silhouette were the only means at command to register the likeness of a relative or friend, the inadequate silhouette being the substitute for the snap-shot. Strip from the walls of our homes the records at different periods of life of the appearance of the several members of the family, what a void would be left in the household! The industrial arts, astronomy, the diagnosis of diseases, the study of the motion of animals and of birds, indeed pretty much every form of scientific study, has found the use of the photograph contributory to its advance. An aviator - if the word is permissible - crosses the English Channel. The camera records his tlight and his picture - in mid-air, high above the water of the chamel - is published in an illustrated magazine. A would-be assassin assaults a distinguished public officer, on the crowded deck of an outgoing steamer. The picture of the scene is secured while the smoke still hangs round the barrel of the pistol and is laid before the readers of a daily newspaper. The ineffectual efforts of a favorite foot-ball eleven are captured and offered by the Sunday papers as a feeble solace to their disappointed admirers.

All this is familiar to every one and all know that photography is but one of a number of scientific accomplishments, the development of which has helped to bring us where we are. The story of their progress
serves to emphasize the difference between scientific knowledge and scientific possibilities in 1780 and in 1910.
The advance of our investigators has carried them farther and farther from the central starting point of ignorance on the radius which measures the circle of knowledge, but with every outward step that has been taken the contact of the circumference of this area of conquered territory with the unknown beyond has become larger and larger. The proceedings of the thonsand meetings of the Academy contain a record of the conquest of a large part of the field which we now occupy and there is no sign as yet that our students flatter themselves that the unconquered space of the beyond has given up all its secrets.

Following Mr. Davis's address the Toastmaster called for the second toast:

The second toast I propose to you is "The First Extant Communication Presented to the Academy." There is one name, more prominent than any other in the science of Physics, which has repeated itself in different individuals, in no way connected with each other. There is no danger that this Academy will forget the name of Benjamin Thompson, Count Rumford, the greatest name in science that America produced during the eighteenth century, with the possible exception of the other Benjamin - Franklin. In the nineteenth century, Sir William Thomson stands preëminent, now better known as Lord Kelvin. At the present time, no name stands higher than that of Sir Joseph Thomson of Cambridge, England, the worthy successor of Maxwell and Rayleigh. All three Thomsons were Foreign Honorary Members of this Academy, but to them we may add a fourth, who like Lord Kelvin gave a practical turn to his work. I will ask Professor Elihu 'Thomson to respond to this toast.

## Professor Thomson responded as follows :

The first Academy paper, brief as it was, dealt with an extremely important subject, the making of steel. It was an art comparatively new to the Western world. Reliable information was needed, and this the paper furnished. There is no occasion to dwell upon the present importance of this art for it now dominates all our industries. The United States stands preëminent to-day as a steel producing and consuming nation. Indeed, our great manufacturing industries, our railroads, our steamships, our electrical plants, and our buildings largely rest, so to speak, on foundations of iron and steel. The telegraph
shapes its signals by an iron magnet. Even the telephone speaks to us through a steel magnet and an iron diaphragm.

The earth itself is probably mostly composed of iron, for we have reason to believe that we are living on a layer of cooled and modified slag surrounding a still hot spherical iron ingot five thousand miles or more in diameter, the product of the celestial furnaces. We get our usable iron from the surface flecks of rust here and there.

The art of steel making, as first practiced, was merely an art. There was no science to assist, as it long antedated metallurgical science. The processes were the result of accident or empirical trials. Only within the half century just passed has steel production been brought under control of scientific methods, and only within the past twentyfive years has there been reached a just understanding of the nature of the changes involved. That singularly valuable property of true steel which enables its hardness, elasticity and toughness to be adjusted by a simple heat treatment, has received its explanation. There is no need to emphasize the enormous importance of the property of hardening and tempering which is the characteristic most prized in tool steel. Chemical analysis and microscopic examination of etched faces or sections have revealed in large measure the actual structure of the steel in its various states. Such microscopic examination constitutes the comparatively new science of metallography, which has in recent years contributed so much to our knowledge of metals and alloys, but more particularly the structure of steel.

We now know that not only is steel a complex product, but that its properties, as varied by hardening and tempering, or heat treatment, depend upon the greater or less predominance and upon the distribution of certain chemical constituents, the relative amounts of which are not the same even in the same bar when heated to different temperatures. Names are given to these constituents; ferrite, cementite, pearlite, martensite or hardenite, graphite ; and they are, except the last, composed of iron associated with certain proportions of carbon in combination. By sudden cooling of red hot steel to harden it, we catch and fix, as it were, the components in their relation as existing in the hot metal, and before they can adjust their proportions ton correspond with that normal to cold metal. In the hot metal so fixed by sudden cooling, there is a preponderance of a hard constituent, martensite or hardenite. If the hot steel had been slowly cooled, then there is time for a reproportioning in which the hard martensite disappears and gives place to a mass composed largely of softer constituents.

Still more valuable is that property of tempering, without which steel tools would be either too hard and brittle, or too soft and flexible.

By moderate reheating of chilled and hardened steel a partial change from the unstable hard condition may be effected; a partial recovery of the condition and proportion of constituents normal to soft or slowly cooled steel. This is tempering, and allows just such a degree of hardness to be retained as is needed, while the brittleness is diminished.

A curious physical fact recently found by Prof. Carl Barus is that during the lapse of a sufficient time all hardened steel will ameal or soften itself automatically, even at ordinary temperatures, but that the change will be accelerated as we raise the temperature. Fortunately the period for this self annealing in the cold is long; but it follows that if we were to dig up steel tools which had been hardened say two or three thousand years ago, we ought to find them much softer than they were originally.

Our scientific knowledge of steel is, of course, not yet complete. Steels with new constituents and more valuable properties are continually being made. For example may be mentioned the so-called air hardening or high speed steels which have added so enormously to the productiveness of machinery and labor in the working of iron and steel itself, and metals generally. Iron and steel production goes on at a rapid rate of increase. Our consumption per capita per annum is already several hundred pounds, and the amount is larger, if I mistake not, in the United States than in any other country. Perhaps we waste more.

The first Academy paper which I have reread describes that method of steel making known as the cementation process. Even until very recently this was the method almost universally relied upon for the production of high grades of tool steel, but within a decade or so the art of refining steel has reached such an advanced stage that great quantities of fine steel are made in what are known as basic open hearth furnaces. Lastly, the advent of the electric arc furnace, some of them dealing with charges of 30,000 pounds or more at a time, has rendered possible refining at very high temperatures, far beyond those of ordinary combustion furnaces. The more perfect control and exclusion of deleterious gases allows separation of impurities and control of composition so as to ensure the product having the qualities desired. In these furnaces electric arcs are maintained on a scale many thousands of times greater than the arcs used in lighting our streets. The enormous output of heat and light is shut up within the furnace walls, or it would be insupportable, insufferable. Its energy goes to raise the temperature of the molten steel bath, and the energy itself may be drawn from a water power.

As in the case of steel making, many an art has been discovered vol. xlvi. -45 .
more or less accidentally, and then practiced empirically for a long time. When, however, scientific investigation discloses the laws and principles upon which it is founded, improvement takes place, as it has in steel making, by leaps and bounds. In the future, science should lead, not follow. Our Academy formed in 1780 brought together the leaders in scientific thought of the time, and it was organized for the spread of scientific knowledge. Can it not go on from this, its thousandth meeting, doing its part under improved auspices, adding to its influence and importance and assisting in those inevitable great advances which are the promise of the future of science?

The Toastmaster: "The next toast I propose to you is 'The First Research Undertaken by the Academy.' I offer this toast with pleasure, partly because of its professional interest to me, and partly because, as I have already said, I believe that the principal function of this Academy should be research. I will ask my colleague, Professor Robert W. Willson, to respond to this toast."

## The First Researci Undertaken by the Academy.

From the records of the Academy it appears that it was "Voted August 30, 1780, that the Hon. Thomas Cushing, Esqr., The Hon. Henry Gardner, Esqr., and Cotton Tufts, Esqr., be a Committee to confer with the Reverend and Honorable Congregation of the University of Cambridge upon pursuing measures to procure an accurate observation of the Solar eclipse in October next, in the eastern part of this State, and, in case it should be judged expedient, to join with the congregation aforesaid in an application to the Great and General Court for such assistance as may in the best manner affect the design."

Some years ago Dr. B. A. Gould, the founder of the Astronomical Journal, told me that its publication was delayed for six months, to await the completion of a research by Professor Benjamin Peirce on the Development of the Perturbative Function, in order that the issue of the first number, which was wholly occupied by this paper, might show that it was to be a worthy rival of the great European Journal whose field it entered.

In like manner we may look on this first research undertaken by the Academy, as foreshadowing the important place which this Society has since occupied in the development of the Sciences in America.

It may be of interest to know that the first number of the Journal, treating, as it did, of a subject far beyond the comprehension of all but
a very few of the very learned, was probably consigned to the waste basket by most of the recipients, and has at all events become extremely rare. It is in fact lacking in Professor Pierce's own set of volumes of which I am now the fortunate possessor.

Such a fate was not to be feared for the account of our "first research." There must always be a strong popular interest in a total eclipse of the Sun, especially if it occurs so near at hand that it may be seen without a long and expensive journey.

Nowadays we all anxiously scan the newspapers to learn whether our observers, sent half round the world, perhaps, to accomplish their utmost in a short five minutes, have had a clear sky at the critical moment.

The first eclipse of the sun in the present century occurred on the 18th of May, 1911. Its track passed over the Indian Ocean and it was successfully observed at the Island of Mauritius and in Sumatra at about noon of that date. Had direct telegraphic communication been established, this success might easily have been known in Boston in time to be announced in the morning papers and read at our breakfast tables on that same 18th day of May. What would the Rev. Samuel Williams, Hollisian Professor of Mathematics and Natural Philosophy, have thought of this modern miracle!-familiar though he doubtless was with the times of Hezekiah, when the Lord did the thing that be had spoken: "Behold, I will bring again the shadow of the degrees, which is gone down in the sundial of Ahaz, ten degrees backward. So the sun returned ten degrees by which degrees it was gone down."

I select the name of Samuel Williams from the list of Reverend Professors who one hundred and thirty years ago shed a lustre upon the University and formed a considerable part of the membership of the Academy, because he was naturally chosen to be the leader of their expedition.

Successor of the gentle and learned Rev. John Winthrop who had died in the previous year, he taught the Astronomy of his day to such undergraduates as were competent to pursue that vigorous study, and from his pupils six were chosen, together with a graduate who had just taken his degree, as assistants in his observations. His own account is as follows:
"Observations of a solar eclipse, October 27, 1780, made on the east side of Long-Island in Penobscot-Bay."
"A total eclipse of the sun is a curious and uncommon phenomenon. From the principles of Astronomy it is certain that a central eclipse will happen, in some part of the earth, in the course of every year : But it is but seldom that a total eclipse of the sun is seen in
any particular place. A favorable opportunity presenting for viewing one of these eclipses on October 27, 1780, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and the University at Cambridge, were desirous to have it properly observed in the eastern parts of the State, where, by calculation, it was expected it would be total. With this view they solicited the government of the Commonwealth, that a vessel might be prepared to convey observers to Penobscot-Bay; and that application might be made to the officer who commanded the British garrison there, for leave to take a situation convenient for this purpose.
"Though involved in all the calamities and distresses of a severe war, the government discovered all the attention and readiness to promote the cause of science, which could have been expected in the most peaceable and prosperous times; and passed a resolve, directing the Board of War to fit out the Lincoln galley to convey me to Penobscot, or any other port at the eastward, with such assistants as I should judge necessary.
"Accordingly, I embarked October 9 with Mr. Stephen Sewall, Professor of the Oriental Languages, James Winthrop, Esq., Librarian, Fortesque Vernon, A. B., and Messrs. Atkins, Davis, Hall, Dawson, Rensalear, and King, Students in the University. We took with us an excellent clock, an astronomical quadrant of $2-1 / 2$ feet radius, made by Sissons, several telescopes, and such other apparatus as were necessary.
"On the 17th we arrived in Penobscot-Bay. The vessel was directed to come to anchor in a cove in the east side of Long-Island: after several attempts to find a better situation for observations, we fixed on this place as the most convenient we had reason to expect: And on the 19th we put our instruments on shore, near the house of Mr. Shubael Williams, where the following observations were made."
[A note to the foregoing paragraph gives us a clear picture of the difficulties due to the existing state of war :
"As an officer who commanded at Penobscot in his answer to the application of the government, had limited us to a time wholly inadequate to our purpose, from the 25 th to the 30th of October, we were obliged to make a second application for leave to enter Penobscot-Bay. Leave was granted, but with a positive order to have no communication with any of the inhabitants, and to depart on the 28th, the day after the eclipse. Being thus retarded and embarrassed by military orders, and allowed no time after the eclipse to make any observations, it became necessary to set up our apparatus and begin our observations without any further loss of time. In the course of which we received
every kind of assistance from Capt. Henry Mowatt, of the Albany, which it was in his power to give."]

I pass over these further details of the account which are mainly of interest to professional astronomers, but I should read this early account of the phenomenou which has been referred to since 1836 as "Baily's Beads," after the English Astronomer who described their appearance at the total eclipse of that year.

Professor Williams after recording his micrometric observations of the diminishing width of the solar crescent, continues thus:
"Immediately after the last observation, the sun's limb became so small as to appear like a circular thread, or rather like a very fine born. Both the ends lost their acuteness, and seemed to break off in the form of small drops or stars ; some of which were round, and others of an oblong figure. They would separate to a small distance: Some would appear to run together again, and others diminish until they wholly disappeared. Finding it very difficult to measure the lucid part any longer, I observed again in the larger telescope, looking out for the total immersion.
"After viewing the sun's limb about a minute, I found almost the whole of it thus broken or separated into drops, a small part only in the middle remaining connected. Plate I, Figure VII. This appearance remained about a minute, when one of my assistants, who was looking at the sun with his naked eye, observed that the light was increasing."

He then resumed his proper task of making measures of the width of the increasing crescent until the end of the eclipse.

Other phenomena observed are as follows :
"From the beginning of the eclipse unto the time of the greatest obscuration, the colour and appearance of the sky was gradually changing from an azure blue to a more dark or dusky colour, until it bore the appearance and gloom of night."
"The degree of darkness was greater than was to be expected, considering the sun was not wholly obscured. Venus appeared in the west; Jupiter was seen near the sun ; Lucida near the zenith, and Aridef in the north-east near the horizon, appeared very bright."
[There seems to be some mistake here, both the stars mentioned were in the north-east, while the star near the zenith was Arcturus, "Bonus dormitat Homerus," as the learned professor himself might have said.]
"Several others of the fixt stars were also seen whose situations were not particularly noted. Objects at a small distance appeared confused, and we were obliged to make use of candles to count our clock. But
as soon as the greatest obscuration was past, it was universally remarked that the increase of the light was much more rapid than that of the darkness had been.
"As the darkness increased a chill and dampness were very sensibly felt. To ascertain the quantity of dew that fell on a square foot during the eclipse, we cut two pieces of very fine soft paper exactly twelve inches square. Having weighed them in a nice balance, we placed them on an horizontal board in the open air. Just after the greatest obscuration we weighed one of them again, and found its weight was increased by the dew that had fallen upon it, four and one-half grains Troy. At the end of the eclipse we took up the other, and found its weight increased by the dew that lay upon it, but 3 grains; 1-1/2 grains being evaporated as the light and heat of the sun increased. By a similar experiment, the quantity of dew that fell upon a square foot the night before was found to be $6-1 / 2$ grains ; the night after the eclipse, 7 grains. Thus, in 1 hour and 19 minutes, when the light and heat of the sun were rapidly decreasing, there fell two-thirds as much dew as fell the night before, or the night after the eclipse."

Observations of the Fahrenheit thermometer showed a fall from $58^{\circ}$ at the beginning of the eclipse to $48^{\circ}$ at the greatest obscuration.
"To this we may add, so unusual a darkness, dampness and chill, in the midst of the day, seemed to spread a general amazement among all sorts of animals. Nor could we ourselves observe such unusual phenomena without some disagreeable feelings."

The account closes thus: "The longitude of the place of our observation agrees very well with what we had supposed in our calculations. But the latitude is near half a degree less than what the map of that part of the country had let us to expect. On this account our situation, instead of falling within the limits of total darkness proved to be very near the southern extremity."

I hope it will not tire you if I read from the account of James Winthrop, preserved in the archives of the Academy, but, so far as I know, never printed:

An Account of the Proceedings of the Company sent by the General Court of Massachusetts to observe the Solar Eclipse at Penobscot on 27 Oct. 1780 ; By James Winthrop.
On Monday $9^{\text {th }}$ Oct. 1780, We sailed from Boston in the sloop lincoln-Galley, a vessel belonging to the Government. The Company consisted of ten persons besides passengers \& marines. Stormy weather prevented our arrival at Camden till the fourteenth. Having obtained leave from Gen ${ }^{1}$ Wadsworth, commander of the Massachusetts

Forces in that department, a flag was sent from thence on the fifteenth to the British Commanders at Penobscot, with letters from the Reverend Professor Williams, desiring permission to enter their harbor immediately, as our business was solely to promote the interest of sicience, which is the common interest of all mankind. MI Vernon took charge of the letters. On the $16^{\text {th }}$ he returned with the answers of Lieut. Col. Camphell \& Captain Mowatt. They both permitted us to come up the Bay immediately, \& to anchor our Vessel in Williams' cove on the east side of Long Island, about three leagues from the British Fort. From thence we were directed to go in our boat to the Albany, which lay near the fort, before we should land. Capt. Mowatt very politely offered us every assistance in his power towards promoting the business we had in view. Colonel Campbell received us with evident reluctance. His strict prohibitions of all communication with the Inhabitants put it out of our power to procure the smallest articles of refreshment, or any building to secure our apparatus. In consequence of these orders we went up the Bay to Williams' cove on the $17^{\text {th }}, \&$ on the next day in our boat to the Albany. We found no convenient place for our observations about the harbor. Being uncertain of our Longitude and Latitude, \& surrounded by almost perpetual fogs, We determined to tarry at Long Island. With permission we took up part of an house $\&$ barn which were made to answer our purpose. On the $19^{\text {th }}$ $\& 20^{\text {th }}$ we set up our clock \& other instruments. Till the $24^{\text {th }}$ the weather was so thick, that we had no opportunity to make any observations, either for regulating the clock or ascertaining the Latitude. The Variation we observed daily by means of a meridian drawn the first day of our being ashore. All our observations made it $11^{\circ}, 55^{\prime}$ West. In the year 1761 Doctor Winthrop observed the Variation to be $8^{\circ}$ West at Fort Pownal about twelve miles N. E. from our place of Observation. Whence it appears, that contrary to the common opinion the Variation has been increasing in the eastern parts of this State for several years past.
"The $24^{\text {th }} 25^{\text {th }}, \& 26^{\text {th }}$ were spent in regulating the clock, \& determining the latitude. The latitude appears from the mean of several observations of the Sun \& Fixed Stars to be $44^{\circ}, 17^{\prime}, 8^{\prime \prime}$, which is not so far northerly as the maps represent.
"On the Twenty seventh the weather was perfectly fine. In the morning we took several altitudes. A little before eleven o'elock the observers took their places: But as a compleat account of their Observations will be presented by Mr Professor Williams, to mention them particularly here would be needless. I shall confine myself to physical appearances $; \mathbb{\&}$ content myself with remarking that the eclipse was
greater than we had reason to expect at that place. As the Eclipse increased Fahrenheit's Thermometer, which at the beginning stood at 58 , gradually fell to $48 \frac{1}{2}$ where it stood at the time of the greatest obscuration. The weather was sensibly colder though the change was gradual. Our Prospect became coufined, \& distant objects were lost while those about us assumed a gloomy appearance. The Sky, particularly at the northeast, appeared as if charged with a thick fog. The Darkness was so great, that a lanthorn was necessary in counting the Clock; yet we had light enough abroad to distinguish countenances without any difficulty, \& to write down our observations. Shades were better defined than they ever are by moon-light ; \& the Sun, even at the greatest obscuration, shone with such dazzling brightness, that those of the company, who looked without a colored glass, could hardly perceive the Eclipse.
"Venus was seen clearly by the whole company shining with a vivid light. Several other Stars were seen, among which were Lucida Lyrâ \& Aridef. The part of the Sun which remained uneclipsed was not more than one eightieth part of his diameter, \& one eighth of his Circumference. The upper cusp was ragged $\&$ the lower one rounded. At the upper point appeared two drops as bright as Stars of the first magnitude. At the end of the eclipse the Thermometer had risen to 58 , where it was when the eclipse begun. The dew which fell on a sheet of paper twelve inches square was found immediately after the middle of the Eclipse to be $4 \frac{1}{2}$ grains. On another sheet at the end of the Eclipse it was 3 grains. The preceding night it was $6 \frac{1}{2}, \&$ the night following 7 grains. On the $28^{\text {th }}$ we packed our Instruments, \& sent them aboard the Vessel. The next day we set sail, \& on the $10^{\text {th }}$ of November arrived in Boston."

It is evident from what I have read to you that the Academy was fortunate in the personnel of its party, - it was well equipped and prepared to observe any new and unexpected phenomena which might occur. We can only guess what they might have seen and reported if they had found a more suitable place of observation than was permitted by the military conditions imposed on them. That they would have found by their first day's observations for latitude that it was advisable to move further to the northeast, perhaps to the fort itself, seems probable, and in that case there would have been an unusually efficient group of men upon the spot.

To say nothing of their elders, the general character of the six undergraduates who accompanied the party is shown by their later lives. Two were members of the Academy, two were members of Congress, and one a Justice of the Supreme Court of New Hampshire. The graduate, Mr. Fortesque Vernon, died ir 1790.

May I add that it is a peculiar gratification to myself, and will certainly be to some others present, that we have a personal acquaintance with at least two of the instruments which were used on this adventure.

In the collections of the Department of Physics at Cambridge is the two-foot reflector used by Professor Williams; on it is a silver plate bearing the arms of Pepperell and Sparhawk, - both good examples of canting heraldry. It was the gift of Sir William Pepperell after the destruction of the collection of Philosophical Instruments in Harvard Hall in 1764.

It is furnished with a divided object glass micrometer by Dollond, the precursor of the modern heliometer. A similar micrometer, the one with which Professor Williams made his measurements, was attached to the smaller reflector of one foot focus. This little instrument now has a place in the Faculty Room at Cambridge, just under the portrait of John Winthrop, in which it is faithfully depicted as an artistic accessory.

Gentlemen, it is almost no exaggeration to say that to-day, at our thousandth meeting, we have a thousandfold advantage over the founders. In the interval - back of us, before them - lies the century wonderful of science. But theirs were no small beginnings. I congratulate the Academy on its first research.

The last toast proposed was "The Millenium," responded to by Professor George L. Kittredge.

The celebration closed with thanks to Dr. Louis Bell and to Professor W. M. Davis for their inception of the quaint celebration of the one thousandth meeting, and for their success in making it a memorable one.

The following papers were presented by title:-
"On the Internal Resistance of the Lead Accumulation." By H. W. Morse and L. W. Sargent. Presented by John Trowbridge.
" The Wave Potential of a Circular Line of Sources." By A. G. Webster.
" Division of Labor among Ants." By Edith N. Buckingham. Presented by E. L. Mark.
"A New Method for the Study of Elastic Hysteresis." By A. G. Webster and T. L. Porter.
"The Action of Metals on Ketoric Chlorides of the Aromatic Series." By J. F. Norris.

## One thousand and second Meeting.

January 11, 1911. - Stated Meeting.
The Academy met in its Hall.
The President in the chair.
There were thirty-eight Fellows present.
The Corresponding Secretary presented the following: - a letter from Professor W. G. Farlow, representative of the Academy at the Third International Botanical Congress, enclosing a printed report of the Congress; a notice of the death of Angelo Mosso, from the Reale Accademia delle Scienze, Torino; a letter from Mr. Loammi F. Baldwin, of Woburn, notifying the Academy of the bequest in the will of Mrs. Catherine Rumford (Baldwin) Griffith, of mementos of Count Rumford; the felicitations of the new year, from the Museo nacional de Arqueologia, Historia y Etnologia, Mexico; a circular letter from the Nobel committee of the Svenska Akademien, requesting the distribution of some enclosed circulars regarding the competition for the Nobel Prize in Literature.

The President read the following letter from the sons of the late Professor Agassiz: -

> 14 Ashburton Place, Boston, Mass., January 7, 1911.

President and Members of the American Academy.
Gentlemen, - From a letter of our father's to Professor Trowbridge, dated October 16th, 1909, and published in Vol. 45, No. 21, of your Proceedings, it is evident that Mr. Agassiz did not intend to endow the building that he proposed to give to the Academy.

We therefore take pleasure in making the following proposal : Should the Academy care to devote the $\$ 50,000$ (bequeathed to the Academy unconditionally by Mr. Agassiz) toward the construction of the building he proposed to give them, we on our part will give No. 26 Newbury Street clear of all mortgages, and pay the balance of the cost of construction, provided this sum does not exceed $\$ 30,000$. (You will notice by the enclosed letter from the Architects that the estimated cost of the building is approximately $\$ 74,000$.) We will pay the Architect's fee, and give the sum of $\$ 9,000$ for furnishing the building.

As our interest in this matter is to carry out Mr. Agassiz's wishes as nearly as we can interpret them, we should like to have it understood
that the Academy, in case it favors our proposal, will make no changes from the plan which we hand you herewith without consulting us.

As we should regret to feel that we had induced the Acarlemy to adopt a measure that was opposed by any considerable minority of its members, we would like, in case the Academy accepts our offer, the assurance that such a course meets with the approval of at least threequarters of its active members.

We trust that the members of the Academy will give themselves ample time to consider carefully how they expect to meet the additional expenses of the maintenance of a larger building ; and that they will also consider whether they would not prefer to have the use of a fund of $\$ 50,000$ rather than the proposed building.

We enclose plan of the building and short description of the specifications.

Respectfully yours,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (signed) } \text { G. R. Agassiz, } \\
& \text { Max. Agassiz, } \\
& \text { R. L. Agassiz. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the motion of Dr. Ernst it was
Voted, I. That the thanks of the Academy be returned to the sons of Professor Agassiz for the letter transmitted by the President.
II. That the letter be referred to the Committee on Policy for action in connection with the circular of information and inquiry to be sent out by it.

The President made a brief statement of the probable annual expense of running the proposed new building; and also a statement of the number of Resident Fellows at stated periods during the last one hundred years, showing that the Resident Fellowship had not increased for forty years, whereas there were a great many who were eligible to Fellowship in the Academy.

The following gentlemen were elected members of the Academy: -

Richard Cockburn Maclaurin, of Boston, to be a Resident Fellow in Class I., Section 2.

William Curtis Farabee, of Cambridge, to be a Resident Fellow in Class III., Section 2.

Alfred Marston Tozzer, of Cambridge, to be a Resident Fellow in Class III., Section 2.

Albert Matthews, of Boston, to be a Resident Fellow in Class III., Section 4.
(On motion of the Recording Secretary, it was
Toted, To appropriate the following sums from the income of the General Fund:-
For Expenses of meetings . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 100$
For Treasurer's office . . . . . . . . . . . 25
For General Expenses, at the discretion of the President 50
The following letter to the President was read:-
Prof. Joun Trowbridge.
Dear Sir, - At a meeting of the Committee of the University Mu-. seum of Harvard University, held 4 January, 1911, it was Voted, To invite the American Academy of Arts and Sciences to hold a Meeting in the spring of 1911 in the University Museum.

Yours truly,
Samuel Hensilaw, for the Committee.
On the motion of W. M. Davis, it was
Toted, That a committee be appointed to plan and carry out the meeting in Cambridge.

The President appointed Messrs. Wolff, Jeffrey, Mark, Henshaw, Putnam, and W. M. Davis a committee on the Cambridge meeting.

The following communication was given:-
" An Investigation of the Cartago Earthquake in Costa Rica," by Professor T. A. Jaggar, Jr.

The following papers were presented by title: -
" A Revision of the Atomic Weight of Iron. Third paper. The Analysis of Ferrous Bromide." By G. P. Baxter, Thorbergur 'Thorvaldson, and Victor Cobb.
"A Revision of the Atomic Weight of Iron. Fourth paper. The Atomic Weight of Meteoric Iron." By G. P. Baxter and 'Thorhergur Thorvaldson.
" Buddhaghosa's Way of Purity analysed : first third, Morality." By C. R. Lamman.
()n motion of the Recording Secretary it was

Toted, To meet on adjournment on the second Wednesday in Febmary.

## One thousand and third Meeting.

February 8, 1911. - Adjoulined Stated Meeting.
The President in the chair.
There were thirty-six Fellows present.
In the absence of the Corresponding Secretary, the President read the following letters and circulars: - letters accepting Fellowship from W. C. Farabee, A. M. Tozzer, Albert Matthews, R. C. Maclaurin ; a circular from the Royal Frederick University of Christiania, inviting the Academy to send a delegate to its one hundredth anniversary celebration to be held September $5-6,1911$; a circular of the Fourth International Congress of Philosophy to be held at Bologna, April 6-11, 1911; a circular from the Boston 1915 Committee, asking a delegate to the first annual meeting of the Education Conference to be held March 16,1911 ; a card of invitation to the President to attend a meeting in memory of Henry Charles Lea, at Philadelphia, January 20th.

The President announced the following deaths:-Samuel H. Butcher, Foreign Honorary Member in Class III., Section 4; Leonard P. Kinnicutt, Resident Fellow in Class I., Section 3,a member of the Warren Committee since its formation in 1893, and chairman of that Committee since 1902.

The President reported that the Committee on Policy had voted against allowing any member to be enrolled in two different Sections of the Academy at the same time, and that the Council accepted that report.

The President read the following : -
The Committee on Policy submits the following recommendations as its report, and will move their adoption.

1. To accept the offer of the Agassiz heirs, whereby we should secure a dignified and comfortable home, with the probability of increased activity and wider influence, the methods of raising the funds needed for the increased cost of maintenance to be left for future consideration.

Note 1. In reply to a recent circular 133 Fellows voted in favor of the above recommendation, 10 voted against it, 56 did not vote.

Note 2. A committee on endowment has been appointed, from which a preliminary report is expected.
2. To amend the statutes in accordance with the bill now before the Legislature, then to increase the Massachusetts membership by 20 or 25 a year until we have perhaps 300 such members; and thereafter to increase membership as the Academy shall then determine.
3. To amend the statutes so that we may gradually increase the American membership outside of Massachusetts to such a number for example, 300 or 400 - as shall justify our name: "The American Academy of Arts and Sciences"; and thus in some measure return to the original intention of the Academy.
4. 'T'o place all American members in one list, all to have the right of attending meetings, presenting papers, and taking part in discussions. All Massachusetts members to pay an annual fee, and to have the further rights of voting on the affairs of the Academy, publishing in the publications of the Academy and receiving the publications if desired. American members residing outside of Massachusetts also to have these additional rights on payment of corresponding fees. All American members to be called Fellows, and their names to be published in one list, subdivided into Classes and Sections as at present, but without indicating which are paying and which non-paying members.
5. That the functions of the Council be enlarged, in order to give it supervision over all the affairs of the Academy, not otherwise specified by the statutes.
6. That a committee of five, including the President and the Recording Secretary, be appointed to take charge of meetings.

Note. Recommendations 5 and 6 were reported to the Academy November 9th, 1910.

If the above recommendations are adopted by the Academy, proposed alterations of the statutes will be submitted, for reference to a committee.

The President reported that the Committee on endowment Messrs. C. P. Bowditch, E. C. Clarke, and the President - had been promised four thousand dollars without effort on the part of the Committee, and he saw no reason why an endowment of fifty thousand dollars might not be raised.

On motion of W. M. Davis, seconded by A. G. Webster, it was
Voted, To adopt the first recommendation of the Committee on Policy, as follows: -
"Accept the offer of the Agassiz heirs, whereby we secure a dignified and comfortable home, with the probability of increased
activity and wider influence, the methods of raising the funds needed for the increased cost of maintenance to be left for future consideration."

On motion of W. R. Livermore it was
loted, To adopt the second recommendation of the Committee, as follows: -
" Amend the statutes in accordance with the bill now before the Legislature, then to increase the Massachusetts membership by 20 or 25 a year until we have perhaps 300 such members; and thereafter to increase membership as the Academy shall then determine."

On motion of Louis Bell, it was
Toted, That the fourth recommendation be laid on the table.
On motion of A. G. Webster it was
Toted, to adopt the fifth recommendation, as follows: -
"That the functions of the Council be enlarged, in order to give it supervision over all affairs of the Academy, not otherwise specified by the Statutes."

On motion of A. G. Webster it was
Toted, To adopt the sixth recommendation, as follows:-
"That a committee of five, including the President and the Recording Secretary, be appointed to take charge of meetings."

On motion of A. G. Webster it was
Voted, That the third recommendation be laid on the table.
Professor Davis presented proposed alterations of the Statutes to accord with the recommendations of the Committee on Policy just adopted, and moved that they be referred to a Committee.

The President referred the proposed alteration of the Statutes to a Committee consisting of W. M. Davis and H. H. Edes.

The meeting then adjourned to the Reception room where the following paper was given by Professor Kuno Francke: - "The Historical Aspect of Mediaeval German Mysticism."

The following papers were presented by title:-
"The Opacity of Certain Glasses to the Ultra Violet." By Louis Bell.
"On the Electromagnetic and Thermomagnetic Transverse and Longitudinal Effects in Soft Iron." By Edwin H. Hall and L. L. Campbell.
"Notes on the Electrical Conductivity of Argentic Sulphide." By Hammond V. Hayes.
"Investigation of Temperature Errors caused by the Protection Tube in Cooling-Curve Measurements." By Harvey C. Hayes. Presented by J. Trowbridge.
"Determination of the Altitudes of Aeroplanes." By Robert W. Willson.

## One thousand and fourth Meeting.

## March 8, 1911. - Stated Meeting.

The President in the chair.
There were thirty-seven Fellows present.
The Corresponding Secretary read a letter from Mr. F. J. Stimson, resigning Fellowship ; also the preliminary announcement of the Eighth International Congress of Applied Chemistry, to take place in Washington and New York September 4-13, 1912.

The Chair announced the death of Judge Francis C. Lowell, Resident Fellow in Class III., Section 1.

The report of the Committee on the amendments of the Statutes was read and accepted, and the amendments were adopted, as follows:

The first three printed lines of Chapter I., Article 2 are amended so as to read:
"2. The number of Resident Fellows residing in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts shall not exceed four hundred, of whom there shall not be more than one hundred and fifty in any one of the three classes."

The last five printed lines of Chapter II., Article 2 are amended so as to read:
"It shall be the duty of this Council to exercise a discreet supervision over all nominations and elections, and in general to supervise all the affairs of the Academy, not explicitly reserved to the Academy as a whole, or intrusted to special Committees by these statutes. With the consent of the Fellow interested, the Council shall have the power to make transfers between the several sections of the same Class, reporting their action to the Academy."

The last paragraph of Chapter II., Article 3, and the whole of Article 4, are amended so as to read:
"At the Annual Meeting, the Council shall submit to the Academy for its action, a report recommending the appropriations which in the opinion of the Council should be made for the various purposes of the Academy. Special appropriations may be made in any stated meeting of the Academy on the recommendation of the Council.
" 4 . If any office shall become vacant during the year, the vacancy shall be filled by the Council for the unexpired term."

Article 5 of Chapter III. is repealed.
Chapter V., Article 7 is amended so as to read as follows:
" 7. 'Ihe House Committee to consist of three Fellows. This Committee shall have charge of all expenses connected with the House, including the general expenses of the Academy not specifically assigned to other Committees, but not including the expenses of meetings. This Committee shall report to the Council in March in each year on the appropriations needed for the coming year. All bills incurred by this Committee within the limits of the appropriations made by the Academy shall be approved by the Chairman of the House Committee."

A new Article, No. 8, is added, as follows:
" 8 . The Committee on Meetings, to consist of the President, the Recording Secretary and three Fellows. This Committee shall have charge of plans for meetings of the Academy. It shall report to the Council in March in each year on the appropriations needed for the coming year. All bills incurred by this Committee within the limits of the appropriations made by the Academy shall be approved by the Chairman of the Committee on Meetings."

The present Article 8 becomes Article 9.
The present Article 9 becomes Article 10.
Chapter VI., Article 1 is amended so as to read:
"1. The Corresponding Secretary shall conduct the correspondence of the Academy, recording or making an entry of all letters written in its name, and preserving on file all letters which are received; and at each meeting of the Council he shall present the letters which have been addressed to the Academy since the last meeting, and at the next meeting of the Academy he shall present such of these letters as the Council may determine. Under the direction of the Council, he shall keep a list of the Resident Fellows, Associate Fellows, and Forcign Honorary Members, arranged in their Classes and in Sections in respect to the special sciences in which they are severally proficient ; and he shall act as secretary to the Council."

Standing Vote 10 is amended so as to read:
"10. No report of any paper presented at a meeting of the Academy shall be published by any member without the consent of the author, vol. xLVI. - 46
and no report shall in any case be published by any member in a newspaper as an account of the proceedings of the Academy, without the consent and approval of the Council previously given."

It was
Foted, to adopt the third and fourth recommendations of the Committee on Policy, read at the meeting of February 8th, with the addition of the words "(United States)" after the word American in line one of Recommendations 4, as follows:
"To amend the statutes so that we may gradually increase the American membership outside of Massachusetts to such a number for example, 300 or 400 - as shall justify our name: 'The American Academy of Arts and Sciences ;' and thus in some measure return to the original intention of the Academy."
"To place all American (United States) members in one list, all to have the right of attending meetings, presenting papers, and taking part in discussions. All Massachusetts members to pay an annual fee, and to have the further rights of voting on the affairs of the Academy, publishing in the publications of the Academy, and receiving the publications if desired. American members residing outside of Massachusetts also to have these additional rights on payment of corresponding fees. All American members to be called Fellows, and their names to be published in one list, subdivided into Classes and Sections as at present, but without indicating which are paying and which non-paying members."

The Corresponding Secretary presented proposed alterations of the Statutes to accord with the recommendations of the Committee on Policy just adopted, together with other alterations recommended by the Council, and moved that they be referred to a Committee.

The President referred the proposed alterations of the Statutes to a Committee consisting of H. H. Edes and W. M. Davis. ${ }^{1}$

Dr. Ernst said that as the adoption by the Academy of the several recommendations of the Committee on Policy necessitated so many alterations in the Statutes, other changes might, in consequence, suggest themselves to the Committee; and he

[^136]expressed the hope that it would feel warranted in incorporating in its report such further changes as it may deem expedient. Whereupon it was

Voted, That the Committee be authorized and requested to act in accordance with Dr. Ernst's suggestion.

It was
Voted, To appropriate from the income of the Publication Fund, five hundred (500) dollars for use of the Publication Committee during the present year.

It was also
Voted, To appropriate from the income of the General Fund, twenty (20) dollars for General expenses.

William Wallace Campbell, of Mt. Hamilton, Cal., was elected an Associate Fellow in Class I., Section 1 (Mathematics and Astronomy).

The President reported that the Charter of the Academy had been further amended by the Massachusetts Legislature as follows:

## [Chapter 47.]

## Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

In the year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eleven.
An Act Relative to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled and by the authority of the same, as follows: -

Section 1. Section four of chapter forty-six of the acts of the year seventeen hundred and seventy-nine, as amended by section one of chapter one hundred and twenty-nine of the acts of the year nineteen hundred and ten, is hereby further amended by striking out the word "three," in the last line, and inserting in place thereof the word :four, - so as to read as follows : - Section 4. That the fellows of the said academy may from time to time elect such persons to be fellows thereof as they shall judge proper ; and that they shall have full power and authority from time to time to suspend, expel or disfranchise any fellow of the said academy who shall by his conduct render himself unworthy of a place in that body, in the judgment of the academy; and also to settle and establish the rules, forms and conditions of election, suspension, expulsion and disfranchisement: provided, that the number of the said academy, who are inhabitants of this state,
shall not at any one time be more than four hundred nor less than forty.

Section 2. Section six of said chapter forty-six, as amended by section two of said chapter one hundred and twenty-nine, is hereby further amended by striking out the word "one," in the seventh line, and inserting in place thereof the word:-two, - and by striking out the word "three," in the eighth line, and inserting in place thereof the word :- five, - so as to read as follows :-Section 6. That the fellows of the said academy may, and shall forever hereafter be deemed capable in the law of having, holding and taking, in fee-simple or any less estate, by gift, grant, devise or otherwise, any lands, tenements or other estate, real and personal : provided, that the said real estate shall not exceed in value the sum of two hundred thousand dollars, and the said personal estate shall not exceed in value the sum of five hundred thousand dollars; all the sums mentioned in the preceding section of this act to be valued in silver at the rate of six shillings and eight pence by the ounce: and the annual interest and income of the said real and personal estate, together with the fines and penalties aforesaid, shall be appropriated for premiums, to encourage improvements and discoveries in agriculture, arts and manufactures, or for other purposes consistent with the end and design of the institution of the said academy, as the fellows thereof shall determine.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon its passage.
House of Representatives, F'ebruary 14, 1911.
Passed to be enacted. Joseph Walker, Speaker.
In Senate, February 15, 1911.
Passed to be enacted. Allen T. Treadway, President. February 17, 1911.

Approved.
Eugene N. Foss.
Office of the Secretary,
Boston, March 2, 1911.
A true copy.
Witness the Great Seal of the Commonwealth.
Wm. M. Olin, Secretary of the Commonwealth.

The President appointed the following gentlemen a Nominating Committee:-

Harry M. Goodwin, of Class I.
Charles S. Minot, of Class II. (Chairman)
George F. Moore, of Class III.

It was
Voted, To meet on adjournment April 12 th.
The following communication was given by Dr. D. G. Lyon: "Harvard Excavations at Samaria in 1910,". illustrated by lantern slides.

The following paper was presented by title:-
Contributions from the Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, new series, No. XXXIX. I. On the Classification of certain Eupatorieae; II. Revision of the Genus Barroetia; III. On some hitherto undescribed or misplaced Compositae. By B. L. Robinson.

One thousand and fifth Meeting.
Adjodrned Stated Meeting. - April 12, 1911.
The Academy met in the Geological Lecture Room of the University Museum, Cambridge, at the invitation of the Committee of the Museum.

The President in the chair.
There were fifty-five Fellows, and forty-three guests present.
The following letters and circulars were presented: - from the Verein für Naturkunde, Cassel, an invitation to the celebration of its seventy-fifth anniversary; from the Committee of the American Year Book Corporation, asking that a representative of the Academy attend its meeting, March 25th, and asking for authority to add the name of the representative of the Academy to the Corporation; from the tenth International Congress of Gcography to be held at Rome, Oct. 15-22, 1911 (Circular No. 2).

The Chair announced the death of Henry Pickering Bowditch, Resident Fellow in Class II., Section 3; and of Samuel Franklin Emmons, Associate Fellow in Class II., Section 1.

It was
Voted, To transfer the money now appropriated from the income of the Rumford Fund for Books and binding, to that of Periodicals and binding.

The chairman of the Rumford Committee stated that the Rumford Premium was granted to Mr. Charles Gordon Curtis
for his "improvements in the utilization of heat as work in the steam-turbine."

The President presented the medals to Mr. Curtis.
Mr. Curtis in receiving the medals addressed the Academy on the "Development of the Steam Turbine in the United States."

Professor George A. Reisner gave an illustrated account of the Archaeological Survey of Lower Nubia.

The following paper was presented by title:
"The Nature of Volcanic Action." By Reginald A. Daly.

## One thousand and sixth Meeting.

$$
\text { May } 10,1911 \text {. - Annual Meeting. }
$$

The President in the chair.
Thirty-six Fellows present.
The Chair announced the death of Thomas Wentworth Higginson, Resident Fellow in Class III., Section 4 ; of Charles Otis Whitman, Associate Fellow in Class II., Section 3; and of J. H. van't Hoff, Foreign Honorary Member in Class I., Section 3.

The Corresponding Secretary read the following letter and circulars:- from Lucien Carr, resigning Fellowship; from the Committee of the International Congress of Orientalists, inviting delegates to the 16th Session to be held at Athens, April 7th-14th, 1912; from the Committee of the first Universal Race Congress, to be held in London, July 26th-29th, 1911.

The following report of the Council was read: -
Since the last report of the Council eighteen deaths have been reported: - five Resident Fellows, - Robert Amory, Leonard Parker Kinnicutt, Francis Cabot Lowell, Henry Pickering Bowditch, Thomas Wentworth Higginson; seven Associate Fellows, - Cyrus Ballou Comstock, William Price Craighill, William Wirt Howe, Melville Weston Fuller, George Park Fisher, Samuel Franklin Emmons, Charles Otis Whitman; six Foreign Honorary Members, - Friedrich von Recklinghausen, Samuel Henry Butcher, William Huggins, Robert Koch, Maurice Levy, Jacobus IIenricus van't Hoff.

Two Resident Fellows have resigned.
New members elected are: - Resident Fellows, six; Associate Fellows, one.

The roll of the Academy now includes $19+$ Resident Fellows, 76 Associate Fellows, and 55 Foreign Honorary Members.

The annual report of the Treasurer was read, of which the following is an abstract: -

| General Fund. Receipts. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, May 1, 1910 | \$975.63 |  |
| Investments | 1,799.40 |  |
| Assessments . | 1,560.00 |  |
| Admission fees . | 90.00 |  |
| Sale of chairs | 35.00 | \$4,760.03 |
| Expenditures. |  |  |
| Expense of House | \$944.98 |  |
| Expense of Library | 2,438.71 |  |
| Expense of Meetings | 259.59 |  |
| Treasurer | 164.31 |  |
| Insurance . | 48.00 |  |
| Moving | 100.29 |  |
| Rent, 711 Boylston St. | 18.75 |  |
| General expenses of Society | 174.21 |  |
| Income transferred to principal | 173.05 | \$4,321.89 |
| Balance, May 1, 1911 | . . . | 438.14 |
|  |  | \$4,760.03 |
| Rumford Fund. Receipts. |  |  |
| Balance, May 1, 1910. | \$1,359.31 |  |
| Investments . | 3,008.28 | \$4,367.59 |
| Expenditures. |  |  |
| Research | \$1,650.00 |  |
| Books, periodicals and binding . | 272.66 |  |
| Publication | 398.92 |  |
| Medals . | 400.00 |  |
| Sundries | 3.50 |  |
| Income transferred to principal | 144.41 | \$2,869.49 |
| Balance May 1, 1911 | . . . | 1,498.10 |
|  |  | \$4,367.59 |

## C. M. Warren Fund.

Receipts.
Balance, May 1, 1910 . . . . . . . . $\$ 783.48$
Investments . . . . . . . . . . . . 385.63
$\$ 1,169.11$
Expenditures.
Research . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 200.00$
Sundries . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4.90
Income transferred to principal . . . . . 16.49
Charged to reduce premium on bonds . . . $50.00 \quad \$ 271.39$
Balance, May 1, 1911 . . . . . . . . . . . . 897.72
\$1,169.11

## Publication Fund.

Receipts.
Balance, May 1, 1910 . . . . . . . . . $\$ 1,321.95$
Appleton Fund investments
664.06

Centennial Fund investments 2,331.67
Sale of publications
$332.78 \quad \$ 4,650.46$

## Expenditures.

Publication . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 3,370.43$
Sundries . . . . . . . . . . . . . 67.50
Income transferred to principal . . . . . 146.35 \$3,584.28
Balance, May 1, 1911 1,066.18 $\overline{\$ 4,650.46}$

The following reports were also presented: -

## Report of the Librarian.

During the construction of the new building, the Academy has obtained Room 5, of the office-building 711 Boylston Street, as temporary quarters for the Assistant Librarian.

In March the unbound periodicals, the pamphlets, and all the stock of Proceedings and Memoirs which was in the basement of 28 Newbury Street were safely and expeditiously removed under the efficient supervision of the Assistant Librarian and Charles E. Wilder, who has been an assistant in the Library out of school and college hours since 1904.

The number of volumes added to the shelves during the past year is three hundred and twenty-seven, making thirty-one thousand, three
hundred and forty-three. The number of volumes added includes two hundred and seventy-seven received by gift and exchange, thirty-six purchased by the General Fund, and fourteen by the Rumford Fund.

Eighty-five books have been borrowed from the library up to the time the stack building was closed, March 25th.

The expenses charged to the library are as follows :- Miscellaneous, $\$ 371.95$ (which includes $\$ 104.62$ for cataloguing) ; Binding, $\$ 539.55$ General, and $\$ 59.55$ Rumford, Fumds ; Periodical subscriptions $\$ 483.71$ General, and $\$ 164.34$ Rumford, Funds, as the cost of subscriptions and binding.

The appropriation of $\$ 50$ from the income of the Rumford Fund plus $\$ 68.17$, the unexpended balance from last year for Books and binding, was transferred to the account of the appropriation for Periodicals and binding.
A. Laiwrence Rotch, Librarian.

May 10, 1911.

## Report of the Rumford Committee.

During the past year the following persons have received grants of the sums specified in aid of researches on light or heat : -

October 12, 1910. Dr. P. W. Bridgman, of the Jefferson Physical Laboratory, for the continuance of his research on the thermal and optical properties of bodies under extreme pressures, additional, $\$ 400$
Professor Charles L. Norton, of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for his researches on thermal insulation400

January 11, 1911. Professor Joel Stebbins, of the University of Illinois, for the continuance of his researches with the selenium photometer, additional,

Professor M. A. Rosanoff, of Clark University, for his investigations on the fractional distillation of binary mixtures, additional,300

February S, 1911. Dr. Daniel F. Comstock, of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for his research on the possible effect of the motion of the source on the velocity of light

Professor Gilbert N. Lewis, of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, for his research on the free energy changes in chemical reactions

Professor Robert W. Wood, of the Johns Hopkins University, for his researches on the optical properties of vapors150

Since the last annual meeting of the Academy, the following papers
have been published in the Proceedings at the expense of the Rumford Fund:

Vol. 46, No. 4. "Note on Kirchoff's Law." By G. C. Evans.
Vol. 46, No. 11. "On the Equilibrium of the System consisting of Calcium Carbide, Calcium Cyanamide, Carbon, and Nitrogen." By M. DeK. Thompson and R. H. Lombard.

Vol. 46, No. 19. "A Method for Determining Heat of Evaporation as applied to Water." By T. W. Richards and J. H. Mathews.

Vol. 46, No. 23. "On the Electromagnetic and the Thermomagnetic Transverse and Longitudinal Effects in Soft Iron." By E. H. Hall and L. L. Campbell.

Vol. 46, No. 24. "On the Opacity of Certain Glasses for the UltraViolet." By L. Bell.

On October 12, it was voted that the Chairman of the Committee be requested to bring up to date the pamphlet concerning the Rumford Fund, published by the Academy in 1905.

The endeavors of the Committee to procure fac-simile copies of the inscriptions upon the reverse of the Rumford Medals awarded prior to 1899, in order that replicas in bronze may be made, have been successful. All but one of the medals have been located and photographs or plaster casts of these are gradually being secured. Since the date mentioned, a bronze replica of each medal awarded has been made at the same time as those to be presented.

Reports of progress in their respective researches which have been aided from the Rumford Fund have been received from Messrs. P. W. Bridgman, W. W. Campbell, A. L. Clark, D. F. Comstock, W. J. Fisher, E. B. Frost, L. J. Henderson, L. R. Ingersoll, N. A. Kent, F. E. Kester, G. N. Lewis, C. E. Mendenhall, C. L. Norton, J. A. Parkhurst, T. W. Richards, M. A. Rosanoff, F. A. Saunders, J. Stebbins, M. DeK. Thompson, and F. W. Very.

At a meeting of the Committee held on January 11, it was unanimonsly voted for the first time and at a reeeting held on February 8, for the second time, to recommend to the Academy the award of the Rumford Premium to Professor James Mason Crafts, for his researches in high temperature themometry and the exact determination of new fixed points on the thermometric scale.

Charles R. Cross, Chairman.

May 10, 1911.

## Report of the C. M. Warren Comiittee.

The C. M. Warren Committee beg to report that during the past year an additional grant of $\$ 50$ has been made to Dr. J. Elliot Gilpin,
of Johns Hopkins University, for a continuation of his work upon the "Study of the Nature and Source of Petroleum."
Dr. Gilpin has presented a somewhat extended report of work already accomplished, and has outlined his plans for future investigations.

During the year Professor James F. Norris has published his work on the "Stricture of Triphenylmethyl," in aid of which a grant of $\$ 250$ was made by the Warren Committee in 1908.

Reports have been received from Dr. Frederic Bonnet, Jr., Professor W. L. Jennings, and Dr. E. W. Washburn, regarding the progress of researches for which grants have been made from the Warren Fund. None of these researches is as yet sufficiently advanced to permit publication of results.

The Committee has suffered a severe loss during the past year in the removal by death of Dr. Leonard Parker Kinnicutt, for many years one of its most active members, and for the past few years its chairman. The members of the Committee desire to record their sense of appreciation of the efficient service which Dr. Kinnicutt rendered to the Academy, and their sense of personal loss in the termination of his helpful and genial companionship.

H. P. Talbot, Chairman.

May 10, 1911.

## Report of the Publication Committee.

Between May 1, 1910, and May 1, 1911, there were published six numbers of Volume XLV. (Nos. 16-21) and twenty-four numbers of Volume XLVI. of the Proceedings. The total publication amounted to $912+$ iv pages, with twelve plates. Of these publications, six numbers (No. 18, Vol. XLV., and Nos. 4, 11, 19, 23, and 24 of Vol. XLVI.) have been authorized by the Rumford Committee to be published at the expense of the Rumford Fund.

There was available for use of the Committee on Publication an unexpended balance from last year of $\$ 44.49$, together with an appropriation of $\$ 3,000$, and an amount of $\$ 23.88$ from sales of publications between March 4 and May 1, 1910, and $\$ 312.14$ from sales between May 1, 1910, and March 3, 1911, - in all, $\$ 3,780.51$ from the Publication Fund. Bills against this fund to the amount of $\$ 3,425.43$ have been approved by the Chairman of the Committee, and have been submitted to the Treasurer. This leaves an unexpended balance of $\$ 354.68$.

Bills aggregating $\$ 387.50$ incurred in publishing Rumford papers, have been forwarded to the Rumford Committee.
G. W. Pierce, Chairman.

May 10, 1911.

## Report of the House Committee.

During the year the house has been occupied as usual, with the exception of the first floor. The building was abandoned on March 25th, and has since been demolished. A temporary office has been secured.

At the annual meeting, May 11, 1910, $\$ 1,200$ was appropriated for House expenses. In March, 1911, the Meeting-room chairs were sold for $\$ 35$, making $\$ 1,235$ for use during the year.

Of this amount $\$ 1,182.07$ has been expended, leaving a balance of $\$ 52.93$ toward the expenses of the coming year.

The expenses of the coming year will not be great as heretofore, the largest amount will be that of rent of the present office on Boylston Street - \$450, with a few incidentals.
A. G. Webster, Chairman.

May 10, 1911.
Financial Report of the Council.
The income for the year 1911-12, as estimated by the Treasurer, is as follows : -
General Fund $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Investments } \\ \text { Assessments }\end{array}\right.$.
..

The above estimates, less 5 per cent to be added to the capital, leave an income available for appropriation as follows:-
General Fund . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$4,315.03
Publication Fund . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $2,816.97$
Rumford Fund . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,793.50
Warren Fund . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 320.04
The following appropriations are recommended :General Fund.
House expenses . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 600$
Library expenses . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,600
Books, periodicals, and binding . . . . . . . . 1,200
Expenses of meetings . . . . . . . . . . . 200
Treasurer's office . . . . . . . . . . . . 150
General expenses . . . . . . . . . . . . $400 ~ \$ 4,150$

## Publication Fund.

Publication . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 2,500$

> Rumford Fund.

Research . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 1,000$
Periodicals, books and binding . . . . . . . . 250
Publication . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 700
To be used at discretion of Committee . . . . . 800 \$2,750

## C. M. Warren Fund.

Research . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 300$
On the suggestion of the Assistant Treasurer, the amount recommended for appropriation from the income of the General Fund for Books, periodicals and binding was reduced to $\$ 1,000$, and the amount recommended for House expenses was raised to $\$ 800$.

It was then
Voted, To appropriate for the purposes named the following sums :From the income of the General Fund . . . . . . . . $\$ 4,150$
From the income of the Publication Fund . . . . . . . 2,500
From the income of the Rumford Fund . . . . . . . . 2,750
From the income of the Warren Fund . . . . . . . . 300
On motion of the Corresponding Secretary it was
Voted, That the assessment for the ensuing year be ten dollars (\$10).

On the recommendation of the Rumford Committee it was
Voted, To award the Rumford Premium to Professor James Mason Crafts, for his researches in high temperature thermometry and the exact determination of fixed points on the thermometric scale.

On the motion of Dr. Bell, it was
Voted, That the Chairman of the House Committee and the Chairman of the Library Committee be constituted, with the President, a Building Committee, to have full power to act for the Academy in all that pertains to the new building, to have authority to add to their number as may seem desirable, and to report progress at each meeting of the Academy. A majority of the members shall constitute a quorum and meetings may be called at any time by any member observing occasion for it.

It was proposed by Professor W. M. Davis, that all meetings of the Academy may be Stated or Business meetings, and that nominations may be either read at a meeting or sent out in print with the notice for the meeting.

It was
Toted, To refer Mr. Davis's proposition to the Committee on the Revision of the Statutes.

The annual election resulted in the choice of the following officers and committees :

```
John Trowbridge, President.
Elihu Thomson, Vice-President for Class I.
Henry P. Walcott, Vice-President for Class II.
John C. Gray, Tice-President for Class III.
Edwin H. Hall, Corresponding Secretary.
William Watson, Recording Secretary.
Charles P. Bowditch, Treasurer.
A. Lawrence Rotch, Librarian.
```

Councillors for Three Years.
Harry W. Tyler, of Class I.
Thomas A. Jaggar, Jr., of Class II.
Arthur Fairbanks, of Class III.

Finance Committee.
John Trowbridge, Eliot C. Clarke, Francis Bartlett.

Rumford Committee.
Charles R. Cross, Arthur G. Webster, Edward C. Pickering, Elihu Thomson, Erasmus D. Leavitt, Arthur A. Noyes. Louis Bell.

## C. M. Warren Committee.

Menry P. Talbot, Gregory P. Baxter, Charles R. Sanger,

William H. Walker, Arthur A. Noyes, George D. Moore, James F. Norris.

The following Standing Committees were chosen: -

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Publication Committee. } \\
\text { George W. Pierce, of Class I. } \\
\text { Walter B. Cannon, of Class II. } \\
\text { Albert A. Howard, of Class III. } \\
\text { Library Committee. } \\
\text { Harry M. Goodwin, of Class I. } \\
\text { Samuel Henshaw, of Class II. } \\
\text { Henry W. Haynes, of Class III. } \\
\text { Auditing Committee. } \\
\text { Wouse Committee. } \\
\text { A. Lawrence Rotch, } \\
\text { Henry H. Edes, } \\
\text { Arthur G. Webster, Louis Derr. } \\
\text { Mr. Andrew McFarland Davis exhibited a specimen of the } \\
\text { Chinese paper currency of about the date 1375, and explained } \\
\text { what is known about the currency of that period. } \\
\text { The following papers were presented by title:- } \\
\text { "Anomalous Magnetization in Iron." By B. O. Peirce. } \\
\text { "The Electrical Inductivities of Certain Poor Conductors." } \\
\text { By B. O. Peirce. } \\
\text { "On the von Waltenhofen Effect in Soft Iron Rings." By } \\
\text { L. A. Babbitt. Presented by B. O. Peirce. } \\
\text { "Calanoid Copepoda from the Bermuda Islands." C. O. } \\
\text { Esterly. Presented by E. L. Mark. }
\end{gathered}
$$
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BIOGRAPHICAL NOTICE.
Henry Pickering Bowditch . . . . . . . . . By Walter B. Cannon.

## REPORT OF THE COUNCIL.

Since the last report of the Council eighteen deaths have been reported : - five Resident Fellows, - Robert Amory, Leonard Parker Kinnicutt, Francis Cabot Lowell, Henry Pickering Bowditch, Thomas Wentworth Higginson; seven Associate Fellows, - Cyrus Ballou Comstock, William Price Craighill, William Wirt Howe, Melville Weston Fuller, George Park Fisher, Samuel Franklin Emmons, Charles Otis Whitman; six Foreign Honorary Members, - Friedrich von Recklinghausen, Samuel Henry Butcher, William Huggins, Robert Koch, Maurice Levy, Jacobus Henricus van't Hoff.

## HENRY PICKERING BOWDITCH.

At the death of Henry Pickering Bowditch, on March 13, 1911, there passed away a man who, as soldier, scientist, and public-spirited citizen, had led a life of enviable usefulness and permanent achievement. He was born in Boston April 4, 1840, grandson of Nathaniel Bowditch, the distinguished writer on mathematics and navigation; and son of Ingersoll Bowditch, a merchant honored for integrity and generosity. 'Through his mother, he was descended from the bold and patriotic Col. Timothy Pickering, Secretary of State under Washington.

At the age of seventeen he entered Harvard College, and after four years of study received the A. B. degree in 1861. In the fall of that year he had begun, in the Lawrence Scientific Schoql, the study of chemistry, but then the needs of his country appealed to him so strongly that he volunteered his services for the War. In November he was appointed second lieutenant of the First Massachusetts Cavalry. From January, 1862, when his regiment was sent to the front, until the close of the conflict, he was in active service. He took part in the battle of Secessionville, and his regiment was in the reserve at Fredericksburg. In June, 1862, he was commissioned first lieutenant, and in May, 1863, captain. Thereafter he was in the battles of Aldie, Culpepper, and Rapidan Station; and at New Hope Church, November 27, 1863, he was shot in the right forearm. During the winter of 186364 convalescence from his wound kept him from the field. In February,

1864, he was honorably discharged ; but almost immediately he joined the service again, as major in the Fifth Massachusetts Cavalry (colored), and with that regiment entered Richmond April 3, 1865.

Major Henry L. Higginson has recorded his memory of Captain Bowditch as he then appeared, - a handsome, refined, and homebred looking youth, often reserved and even unbending in his manner, but unflagging in his faithfulness and unflinching in his courage.

Except for the end to be sought, however, service in the army was unpleasant to him, and on June 3, 1865, soon after the close of the War, he resigned his command.

In the autumn of 1865, he resumed his studies at the Lawrence Scientific School and came under the inspiring influence of Professor Jeffries Wyman. Although later that fall he entered the Harvard Medical School, he continued to receive the stimulus of Professor Wyman's instruction by pursuing between terms under that famous teacher's direction the subject of comparative anatomy. In 1866 he received the A. M. degree, and in 1868 was graduated from the Medical School.

On receiving his medical degree Dr. Bowditch went abroad to study physiology. He was fortunate in coming into relations with two of the foremost physiologists in the last century, - Claude Bernard, in Paris, and Carl Ludwig, in Leipzig. Bernard did not influence him nearly so much as did Ludwig, who, besides being an enthusiastic investigator, was a most warm-hearted and lovable character. In the Leipzig laboratory at that time and shortly afterwards was a remarkable group of young men, including Mosso, Kronecker, Cyon, Brunton, and Lankester. These men who, as the years passed, took high positions in Switzerland, Italy, France and England, maintained throughout their lives the close friendships established by the delightful days in Leipzig.

Under Ludwig's leadership Dr. Bowditch experienced for the first time the joy and the thrill of scientific search and discovery. As a result he published two papers, one of them, on peculiarities of the activity of cardiac muscle, destined to be famous. In that paper he reported that cardiac muscle differs from other muscle in contracting each time with its full force or not at all - following the "all-or-nothing" law, as it has been called, - and further, that repeated uniform stimulation canses a "treppe" effect, an increasing vigor of contraction, so that the record of the response rises like a stair. The generalization that activity of an organ is favorable to further activity has grown out of this observation on the heart, made forty years ago.

In September, 1871, Dr. Bowditch returned to Boston from Leipzig,
bringing with him, as his wife, Selma Knauth, the daughter of Franz Theodor and Fanny Elizabcth Knauth, at whose home he, with other American students, had been hospitably welcomed. On his return he became Assistant Professor of Physiology in the IIarvard Medical School, and in October, 1871, began his service. Previous to that time Oliver Wendell Holmes had given, at the end of his lectures on anatomy, a half-dozen lectures on the functions of the body. In medical circles in Boston at that period there was little appreciation of the ideals of the investigator in scientific medicine; there was only one laboratory and that was the dissecting room. Dr. Bowditch had to create not only his own laboratory, but also his own atmosphere. He secured for his uses two rooms in the attic of the Medical School building on North Grove Street, and fitted them with physiological apparatus. That was the first physiological laboratory, for the use of students, in the United States.

These rooms might perhaps be better designated the first laboratory for experimental merlicine established in this country, for every phase of experimental medical work was there represented. Charles S. Minot carried on investigations in general biology, J. Ott in experimental pharmacology, J. C. Warren in experimental pathology, G. Stanley Hall and W. F. Southard in experimental psychology, O. K. Newell in experimental surgery, and W. P. Lombard, J. J. Putnam assisted by William James, C. S. Minot, G. M. Garland, C. H. Williams, J. W. Warren, F. H. Hooper, and F. W. Ellis in physiological researches. The hospitality of the laboratory was unbounded ; indeed the first careful work in bacteriology in this country was begun there. With Dr. Bowditch's inspiration every scientific interest of a complete modern medical school was stimulated. From the start the emphasis was on productive scholarship. In the preface to the first collection of papers published from the laboratory the announcement was made that the contributions were presented in a volume, "not from any exaggerated idea of their value and importance, but with the hope that, by calling attention to the facilities offered in the laboratory for original research, a greater number of workers may be encouraged to attempt the investigation of the many physiological problems now pressing for a solution."

Dr. Bowditch's own investigations were almost as varied as those of the men who worked with him. His training in the Leipzig school, which was characterized by the application of physical principles to bodily processes, gave full play to his unusual inventive faculties. Simultaneous records on a single kymograph were suggested by him while in Leipzig, and this suggestion is said to have first directed the
attention of Ludwig to the young American's abilities. The contriving of the Bowditch clock was another product of the Leipzig experience. In the Harvard laboratory the invention of a new form of induction apparatus, with the secondary coil turning at various angles to the primary, and the devising of a new form of plethysmograph to register changes in the volume of organs, testify to his ingenuity.

That apparatus is a means to an end, however, he never forgot, and for many years he was engaged in researches of striking range and originality. Besides his investigations of the peculiar functions of cardiac muscle, he demonstrated the indefatigability of nerves, made interesting observations on the knee-jerk and conditions affecting it, performed experiments which showed the force of ciliary motion, studied the effects of different rates and intensities of stimulation on the action of vaso-motor nerves, conducted an exhaustive examination of the rate of growth of school-children, and collaborated with workers in still other fields of physiological science.

In the teaching of physiology Dr. Bowditch's instruction was marked by wide learning, clear discussion of controverted questions, cautious inference when convincing demonstration was not forthcoming, and orderly exposition. His lectures were unusually well illustrated, by methods which made lasting impressions. The sending of students to original sources for material for physiological theses was a notable contribution to educational procedure. The conferences at which the theses were read and the weekly quizzes were delightfully informal and conversational. For thirty-five years Dr. Bowditch continued his helpful relations to students of the Harvard Medical School. In 1876 he was made Professor of Physiology ; and in 1903, when the George Higginsou Professorship of Physiology was established, he was appointed first occupant of the chair.

Further service to physiology he performed by assisting to found the American Physiological Society, of which he was the second president. The character of the Society as an association of active investigators is largely the result of his initiative. His example and his frank appreciation of original work as it was newly reported at meetings of the Society wore an important source of encouragement to young men begimuing physiological investigation. The American Journal of Physiology also received his support from the time it was first suggested. Both the American Physiological Society and its journal have been of incalculable value in stimulating physiological and biochemical research in this country.

Dr. Bowditch's relations to medicine were not, however, confined to the advancement of physiology alone, taken even in the wide sense in
which he regarded it. His first larger allegiarce was to the Harvard Medical School. He was prominent in the movement during the late 70's to secure a new home for the School, and helped to obtain the necessary funds for the building occupied from 1882 to 1906, at the comer of Boylston and Exeter Streets. In 1883 he was persuaded that as Dein he would have opportunities of increased usefulness to medicine. During the next ten years while he held the deanship, important changes were brought to pass in medical education. Bacteriology was introluced as a regular study, a pioneer venture under his leadership. The four years' required course was adopted, another forward step which the Harvard Medical School was among the first to take. A further important innovation was thercalling of men from other universities to assume positions in the School, - Dr. W. H. Howell came from Michigan to be Associate Professor of Physiology, and Dr. W. T. Councilman came from Johns Hopkins to be Professor of Pathology. Although Dr. Bowditch resigned from the deanship in 1893, he never ceased to be interested in the larger problems of medical instruction. During his later years he became a strong advocate of greater freedom of election in medical study. Two of his addresses, "Reform in Medical Education" and "The Medical School of the Future," are admirable statements of the principles of sound teaching.

He was one of the first to foresee the necessity of enlarging the Harvard Medical School and bringing it into closer reiations to hospitals. He became an enthusiastic promotor of the plan to make an important medical center of the Longwood Group of medical institutions, and with Dr. John Collins Warren, was astonishingly successful in securing funds for the realization of that great vision.

Among the most valuable of the larger services to medicine which Dr. Bowditch performed was his defense of animal experimentation against repeated attempts to pass unreasonable legislative restrictions. The success of the medical profession in Massachusetts in overcoming the misguided zeal of ignorant agitators has given heart to the profession elsewhere. The methods which were here used in meeting the petitioners for hostile legislation are now being employed in other states. In an address on "The Advancement of Medicine by Research " Dr. Bowditch clearly exposed the methods of the antivivisectionists, and presented an illuminating statement of the great benefits which had been secured for mankind by animal experimentation.

In spite of his large interest in medical research and education, he maintained useful relations with public affairs non-professional in character. From 1877 to 1881 he was a member of the Boston School Committee. He was President of the Boston Children's Aid Society and
helped to broaden its scope and importance. Between 1895 and 1902 he was happily engaged as trustee of the Boston Public Library. As a member of the Committee of Fifty on the Alcohol Problem, he submitted a report on the character of public school instruction regarding the effect of alcohol, and urged that that instruction should be made to accord with scientific fact.

For his important services he was widely honored. He was elected a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences in 1872. During the year 1877 he was its Recording Secretary, and from 1881 to 1883 a member of its Council. For twenty-two years he served on the Library Committee. He was also a member of the American Philosophical Society of Philadelphia, the National Academy of Sciences, and many other scientific bodies in this country. The Royal Society of Medicine and Natural Science of Brussels, the Academy of Science of Rome, and other foreign societies enrolled him among their members. The University of Cambridge made him Doctor of Science in 1898 ; and Edinburgh (1898), Toronto (1903), Pennsylvania (1904), and Harvard (1906) gave him the degree of Doctor of Laws.

Everyone who came in close contact with Dr. Bowditch was impressed by his rare combination of sure and sober judgment with vigorous will and readiness of action, - qualities which made him a natural leader. Furthermore his mind was fertile with ingenious and effective ways to secure the accomplishment of worthy ends. He was eminently singleminded ; the matter in hand was always the important matter to be attended to. Persons who knew him well recall that he seldom spoke of the past, almost never of his experiences in the War, and rarely of his earlier researches. The forward look to the fulfilment of plans already started was characteristic of him to the last. These qualities of energetic leadership were tempered by unfailing courtesy, fairness, and good-will, and warmed by a delightful sense of humor. These lovable characteristics brought to him the friendship and lifelong devotion of the foremost men of medical science, as well as of his students and his associates in various activities. Friendship was to him a blessing to be cultivated. He rejoiced in having his friends with him at his beautiful home in Jamaica Plain, and in his summer camp in the Adirondacks, or in going to be with them. Comrades of his Leipzig days visited him thus, as well as Sir Michael Foster, Professor Mosso, and Professor Waller. And he frequently renewed associations with them in Europe.

Although during the years from 1906 to his death Dr. Bowditch's vigor and activity were more and more limited by the advances of a paralysing disease, his mind remained clear and he continued much in-
terested, almost until the last, in plans he had outlined for the Medical School. In spite of the growing physical weakness which must have clouded for a time his energetic spirit during those years, Dr. Bowditch's life as a whole must be regarded as essentially a happy life, happy in durable achievements, happy in the affections of close friends, and in the tender devotion of his family. When it became necessary for him to face the inevitable, he accepted his fate with cheerful patience and with gentlest consideration for those who ministered to him. Perhaps as the end approached he recalled the words which he wrote in a memorial to his friend, Theodore Lyman, years before :-
"I remember, Mr. President, when a young man, looking around among the men of my generation and considering whose lot in life seemed to me, on the whole, the most enviable. I came to the conclusion that Theodore Lyman was, of all my acquaintances, the man for whom the future seemed to hold out the brightest promise.
"In vigorous health, with a personality - physical, mental, and moral - which endeared him to all who came in contact with him, happily married, with instincts and powers which led him to the highest callings, to the service of his country in the field and in legislative halls, with tastes for the study of the natural sciences and abundant means to gratify them, there seemed to be nothing lacking to make his life an ideally happy one.
"'Then, when the shadow of a slow, insidious disease fell upon him it seemed for a time as if his life were but to afford another illustration of the old Greek saying that no man is to be judged happy before his death ; but when I saw how bravely he met the advances of his enemy, and with what courageous cheerfulness he interested himself in the pursuits of his friends and in the active life around him in which he could no longer share, I could not help feeling that a happiness was reserved for him higher than any of which the Greek philosopher had dreamed or I, as a young man, had formed a conception - the happiness of knowing that by the force of his example he had helped to raise those who came under its influence to a higher and nobler life."
W. B. Cannon.

List of Publications by Dr. H. P. Bowditch.
1871 Über die Eigenthïmlichkeiten der Reizbarkeit, welche die Muskelfasern des Herzens zeigen. Arb. a. d. physiol. Anst. zu. Leipz., 1871, 139-176. Also: Ber. d. k. sachs. Gesellsch. d. Wissensch. Math. phys. Kl., 1871.

1872 Über die Interferenz des retardirenden und beschleunigenden Herznerven. Arb. a. d. physiol. Anst. zu. Leipz., 1872. 259-280.
1873 The lymph spaces in fascie. Proc. Am. Acad., Feb. 11, 1873. 1874 Dr. Bowditch and Minot, C. S. The influence of anæsthetics on the vasomotor centres. Boston M. \& S. J., 1874, xc, 493-498.
1875 A new form of inductive apparatus. Proc. Am. Acad., Oct. 12, 1875.

1876 Force of ciliary motion. Boston M. \& S. J., 1876, xcv, 159-164.
1877 The growth of children. 8th Annual Report of the State Board of Health of Mass., Boston, 1877, 275.
1878 Does the apex of the heart contract automatically? J. of Physiol., 1878, i, 104-107.
1879 The growth of children, a supplementary investigation, with suggestions in regard to methods of research. 10th Annual Report of the State Board of Health of Mass., Boston, 1879, 33-62.
1879-80 Dr. Bowditch and Garland, G. M. The effect of the respiratory movements on the pulmonary circulation. J. of Physiol., 1879-80, ii, 91-109.
1879 A new form of plethysmograph. Proc. Am. Acad., May 14, 1879.
1879-80 Physiological apparatus in use at the Harvard Medical School. J. of Physiol., 1879-80, ii, 202-205.

1881 The relation between growth and disease. Trans. Am. Med. Ass'n., 1881, xxxii, 371-377.
1880-82 Dr. Bowditch and Southard, W. F. A comparison of sight and touch. J. of Physiol., 1880-82, iii, 232-245. Also abstract of same.
1880-82 Dr. Bowditch and Hall, G. S. Optical illusions of motion. J. of Physiol., 1880-82, iii, 297-307. Abstract of above.

1882 Dr. Bowditch and Harris, F. A. On the collection of data at autopsies. Boston M. \& S. J., 1882, cvii, 365.
1883 Dr. Bowditch and Warren, J. W. Plethysmographische Untersuchungen iuber die Gefässnerven der Extremitäten. Centralbl. f. d. med. Wissensch., 1883, xxi, 513.
1885 Note on the nature of nerve-force. J. of Physiol., 1885, vi, 133-135.
1886 What is nerve-force? Proc. Am. Ass'n. Adv. Sc., 1886, xxxv, 237-246.
1886 Dr. Bowditch and Warren, J. W. Plethysmographic experiments on the vasomotor nerves of the limbs. J. of Physiol., 1886, vii, 416-450.

1886 Vaso-motor nerves of the limbs. Abstract of foregoing paper. Proc. Am. Ass'n. Adv. Sc., 1886, xxxv, 270.
1887 The action of sulphuric ether on the peripheral nervous system. Am. J. Med. Sc., Apr., 1887.
1888 The reinforcement and inhibition of the knee-jork. Boston M. \& S. J., 1888, cxviii, 542-543.

1890 Dr. Bowditch and Warren, J. W. The knee-jerk and its physiological modifications. J. of Physiol., 1890, xi, 25-64.
1890 The physique of women in Massachusetts. 21st Annual Report of the State Board of Health of Mass., 1889, Boston, 1890, 287-304.
1890 Über den Nachweis der Unermïdlichkeit des Säugethiernerven. Arch. f. Physiol., 1890, 505-508.
1890 The growth of children studied by the method of percentile grades. Internat. Med. Congress, Berlin, 1890.
1891 The growth of children studied by Galton's method of percentile grades. 22d Annual Report of the State Board of Health of Mass., Boston, 1891, 479-522.
1894 Are composite photographs typical pictures? McClure's Mag. Sept., 1894.
1895 A card catalogue of scientific literature. Science, Feb. 15, 1895.
1896 The advancement of medicine by research. Boston M. \& S. J., 1896, cxxxiv, 577-581. Proc. of Mass. Med. Soc., 1896.
1897 Memoir of Charles Edouard Brown-Sequard. (1817-1894.) Read before the National Academy, April, 1897.
1898 Reform in medical education. Science, Dec. 30, 1898; and Boston M. \& S. J., 1898, cxxxix, 643-646.
1898 Apparatus for illustrating movements of the eye. Boston Soc. Med. Sci., June, 1898.
1900 The medical school of the future. Trans. of the 5th Congress of the American Physicians and Surgeons, May, 1900; and Philadelphia Medical Journal, May 5, 1900.
1904 The study of physiology. Univ. of Pa. Medical Bulletin, June 1904.

Two Resident Fellows have resigned.
New members elected are:- Resident Fellows, six ; Associate Fellows, one.

The roll of the Academy now includes $19 \pm$ Resident Fellows, 76 Associate Fellows, and 55 Foreign Honorary Members.

## RUMFORD PREMIIUM.

In conformity with the terms of the gift of Benjamin, Count Rumford, granting a certain fund to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and with a decree of the Supreme Judicial Court for carrying into effect the general charitable intent and purpose of Count Rumford, as expressed in his letter of gift, the Academy is empowered to make from the income of said fund, as it now exists, at any Amnual Meeting, an award of a gold and a silver medal, being together of the intrinsic valne of three hundred dollars, as a premium to the author of any important discovery or useful improvement in light or in heat, which shall have been made and published by printing, or in any way made known to the public, in any part of the continent of America, or any of the American islands; preference being always given to such discoveries as shall, in the opinion of the Academy, tend most to promote the good of mankind ; and to add to such medals, as a further premium for such discovery and improvement, if the Academy see fit so to do, a sum of money not exceeding three huudred dollars.
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Accumulator, Lead, The Internal Resistance of the, 587
Aeroplanes, Determination of the Altitudes of, 720.
Agassiz, G. R., Letter from, 685.
Agassiz, G. R., Max. and R. L., Letter from, 714.
Algal Coals, The Nature of Some Supposed, 271.
American Year Book Corporation, Committee of, 725.
Amerika-Institut of the German Government, 683.
Amory, Robert, Death of, 683 .
Ants, Division of Labor among, 423, 713.

Assessment, Annual, Amount of, 733.
Atomic Weight of Iron, A revision of the, 716.
Atomic Weight, The, of Neodymium, 213, 684.

Babbitt, L. A., On the von Waltenhofen Effect in Soft Iron Rings, 735.

Baldwin, Loammi F., Letter from, 714.

Barroetia, Revision of the Genus, 725.
Baxter, G. P., and Chapin, H. C., A Revision of the Atomic Weight of Neodymium. First Paper. The Analysis of Neodymium Chloride, 213, 684.
Baxter, G. P., and Thorvaldson, T., A Revision of the Atomic Weight of Iron. Fourth Paper. - The Atomic Weight of Meteoric Iron, 716.
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Calanoid Copepoda from the Bermuda Islands, 735.
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Calcium Cyanide, On the Equilibrium of the System consisting of Calcium Carbide, Carbon, and Nitrogen, 245, 684.
Campbell, L. L. See Hall, E. H., and Campbell, L. L.
Campbell, W. W.: elected Associate Fellow, 723.
Cannon, W. B., Biographical Notice of H. P. Bowditch, 739.

Carbon, On the Equilibrium of the System consisting of Calcium Carbide, Calcium Cyanide, and Nitrogen, 245, 684.
Carpenter, F. W.., Feeding Reactions of the Rose Coral (Isophyllia), 147.

Carr, Lucien, resigns Fellowship, 726.

Cartago Earthquake in Costa Rica, An Investigation of the, 716.
Centrifugal Action, The Electromotive Force produced in Solutions by, 107.

Chapin, H. C. See Baxter, G. P., and Chapin, H. C.
Charter, Amendment of, 723 .
Chemical Laboratory of Harvard College, Contributions from, 213, 509.

Chinese Paper Currency of about the Year 1375, 735.
Circles in $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, Infinitesimal Properties of Lines in $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ with Applications to, $343,686$.
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Coals, The Nature of Some Supposed Algal, 271.
Cobb, V. See Baxter, G. P., Thorvaldson, T., and Cobb, V.
Committees, Standing, appointed, 734.

Compositæ, On some hitherto undescribed or misplaced, 725.
Conductivity, Electrical, of Argentic Sulphide, Notes on the, 613, 720 .
Coolidge, A. C., accepts Fellowship, 683.

Cooling-Curve Measurements, Investigation of Temperature Errors caused by the Protection Tube in, 720.
Coral, Rose (Isophyllia), Feeding Reactions of the, 147 .
Council, Report of, 726 ; Financial Report of, 732.
Coupled Circuits, Theory of, under the Action of an Impressed Electromotive Foree, with Applications to Radiotelegraply, 291, 684.

Crafts, James Mason, awarded Rumford Premium, 733.
Cross, C. R., Report of the Rumford Committee, 729.
Curtis, Charles Gordon, presentation of Rumford Medal to, 725.
Curves on Reguli, A Fundamental Theorem Regarding, 686.

Daly, R. A., The Nature of Volcanic Action, 726.
Davis, A. McF., Chinese Paper Currency of about the Year 1375, 735 ; The Foundation of the Academy, 698.

Dixon, R. B., accepts Fellowship, 683.
Earthworms, The Reactions of, to Acids, 65.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{pp} .87 \mathrm{ff}$.
    6 Panly-Wissowa, Real-Encyclopaedie, s. v. Epigramm.
    ${ }^{7}$ I. e., those composed before 300 в. с. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{pI} .45 \mathrm{ff}$.
    ${ }^{9}$ E. g. $\operatorname{Pr} 64,65,206$. Cf. PLG 2.377 ff.

[^1]:    10 K. g. CTG $1050,1051$. Sue PIA 2. 238.
    11 pH . 5 F f . 12 p .18.
    13 Wre are not concerned here with the somewhat different coloring of "epigram" as applied to porme in latin and other languares.

    14 太.v. imi paupa. $\quad 15$ Mitkail, p. 1.
    
    
    
    
    

[^2]:    19 Suilas，s．v．Фi入óoopos．
    20 Athen． 10.436 d.
     oikos，rolaúr $\eta$ каi $\sigma v v a ́ \pi a \sigma a ~ \pi b$ גıs．
    22 Athen．11． 473 a ．
    ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Id} .15 .673 \mathrm{~b}$.
    24 Id .10 .415 b.
    ${ }^{25}$ E．g．Athen． $2.39 \mathrm{c} ; 3.125 \mathrm{c} ; 4.162 \mathrm{a} ; 13.604 \mathrm{f}$ ．
    261069,1070 ．${ }^{27}$ AP 11． 282.

[^3]:    28 Thume. 877, $87 \times$.
    29 Mimmermas, 6.
    30 ln the premed before 300 n .6 . no evidence as to the date is furnished be the armanamot of verses in inseriptions. As early as the sixth century eath verse may begin a new line and throughot the periont we fimb epigams where the verses are mot so separated. The later methoul is more common in the sixth entury, the former in the fifth and fourth. There is no example of an indented prontameter, mo-
     Att. 8 88, is representel as imbent one letter. I have not seen the stone.
     editom do mot admitely assign to a date carlier than 300 ls e.

[^4]:    ${ }^{32}$ AP 9. 547, where $\delta$ ' is evidently inserted for the sake of including all the letters of the alphabet in one and the same verse.

    33 The epitaphs Pr $238-47$, which celebrate early sages, are an excellent example of a set of epigrams composed probably by one author at a late period. Cf. also Pregur's mote, p. 109.

    34124 . (Arabic numerals refer to epigrams on 1 P . 46 fr .)
    ${ }^{35}$ Anac. 115, 116.
    ${ }^{36} 83(=\operatorname{Sim} .96)$ and $125(=\operatorname{Sim} .150)$.

[^5]:    37 Wilamowitz ( Goedt. Nacht. 1897, p. 320) : Fiar uns ist die Consedmenz unver. medlich, dass wir die Antoritat selbst der alexambinisphen Sammhmor hanch sald niedrig sehaitann, wo die dedichte selbst krinen mmittelharen Anstose arehen.
     (Al) medamos ; membax est ar fallax ot mon masis altur.
     1. 30 : F'and malla in hiv rehas tives est Anthologiae.

    38 l'anly-Wissowa, k. 80.
    39 V. g. 75 and 125.

[^6]:    40 E. g. 19 (= Sappho, 119). Cf. Table III.
    41 Some have even denied that they were inseribed at all. So Kaibel (IAll 29, 1. 455): Ante ommia Simonidi abiudicanda cum Bergkio aliis [sic] cpp. 95 et 96, manifesto demonstrativa.

    Hawette, p. 94 (Sim. 108) : A motre avis l'inscription ne peut étre ni de Simonide ni même d'un poète du 5 e siécle qui l’aurait comperée dans les premières années de la gnerre du Pelopomèse. Cf. Kaibel, LiM 28, p. 456.

    Hanvettr, $\mathrm{I}^{1 .} 133$ (Sin. 150): Par sa forme, par les idées qu'elle exprime, pt par son style cette pièce ne saurait . . . passer pour une inseription réelle, gravée an déhnt du 5 e siède sur une statue dans l’acalémie . . . une telle formule ne convient qu’à une pièce composée après conp à l'occasion d'une oflrande.

[^7]:    46 (f. 7 and 20.
    47 ClA I. 468 ( = К 5 ).
    ${ }^{48}$ IGA 344 ( $=$ K 181).
    49 CLA I. 557 ( $=$ lioherts 48 ).
    ${ }^{50}$ CLA IV. $373^{78} \mathrm{p} \cdot 86(=\mathrm{H} 240)$. Cf. IGA $466(=\mathrm{H} 286)$ and CLA I. $344(=$ H 216 ).

    $$
    { }^{51} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{~A} A \operatorname{tas}(=\mathrm{H} 300) . \quad \quad 52 \text { CLA IV. } 373^{93} \text {, 1. } 89 .\right.
    $$

[^8]:    ${ }^{59}$ LA 800.
    60 7. Cf. 1 and 2.
    ${ }^{61}$ Cf. also CIA IV. p. 118 ( $=\mathrm{K} 19$ ), the only hexameter inscription which belongs to this class.
    

[^9]:    62 See Tables I, II.
    63 Koehler thinks that in 10 the name of the deat was omitted in the verses and inscribed ahove them. His restoration, howerer, is by no means certain and it seems to me more reasomable to supgose it fandy than to acept on conjecture a rading which womla make the inseription an exeption, not only in the sixth but in the tifth rentory, at last as far as wo ean tell fom the evidener at our eommand. The only inseriphon that conld possibly support kombers view is 12.

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & \eta^{\eta} v \gamma \text { ]áp } \dot{a} \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    This is, however, not a paralld, for the name ahost certainly appeared in the missing part of the hexameter and it appars lefow, not as fart of the epitaph, repeating cotm motram information alrealy yiven in verse, but as pat of a second and separate inseription with quite a different function.

[^10]:    64 In 42 the words $\dot{o}$ кєpaucis take the place of the name of the dedicator. The appearance of the name itself below is due to the fact that the same man was both dedicator and potter. In other words Nekouazos does not repeat the name of the dedicator, but adds, in a separate inscription, the name of the potter. Cf. also n. 63.

    65 45. Cf. 61, 62. Cf. p. 22.
    66 For example 1, probably the oldest elegiac inscription, is one of the longest and most elaborate.

[^11]:    67 ( $\mathrm{f} .51,5,51,57,58,61$.
    63 (f. 5 5, Be.
    69 We have $n 0$ evilunce an to whether this epigram was atually inseribed. The only incription of this nature (17) is too mutilated to serve as a mondel amb the omission of any indieation in the varses themselves that they were inseribed is not more strange than the same oniswon bin the vores that arompanial honorary statues. Seep.17. ('f. also p. 17, n. 1ti9. For later epigrams of this kind ef. All $200,207$.
    
    71 l'indar, Olympian and l'ythian Oles, p. 129.
    72 HJ 16 fl . 93 (ff. p. 17.
    74 If wre do not regind this as a gusshble sentiment for an actual ephitaple, we must
     inserpption cited by M. Hanpt ("puse. 2. 190).

[^12]:    76 （f．11． 7 II．
     Forr pice forms see 所． 10 df．

    78 1． 36.
    79 See Tahbe Ill．
    80 E．g． 75 ，81， 86 and among the ephgrams in MSS． $96,102,101,109,118-115$.

[^13]:    81 Not every epigram which contains $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$, oü $\epsilon$, or similar words is necessarily an ${ }_{e}{ }^{\text {pitaph}}{ }^{\text {phe }}$, real or epidectic, since these words might oceur in a merely reflective poem and indicate that the anthor compred it with the tomb before his eyes ; but every epitaph must contain some such indieation of phace.
    ${ }_{82}$ p. 364.
    83 Mackail (l. c.) quotes K 89 in support of his theory and thinks that " $\tau$ óvó in that epigram is like the $\dot{\sigma} \mu \boldsymbol{v}$ of Simonide. here";

    A comparison of the two poems makes it evident at once that they are not parallel and, even if they were, K 89 is later than Simonides. In inseriptions the mame is never onitted till the fourth century and very rarely then (five times. Sue 'Table I.) For arguments against Bergk's theory that the opening of the epigram, which romtainet the name, is missing, see Mackail (l. e.).

    Wilamowitz ( (ioett. Nachr. 1897, M]. 306 \#f.) thinks that this is proved genuine by an imitation by Callimachus (Call. $17=11^{\prime} 7.271$ ).

    But the case is more likely to be reversed, and we have in Callimachas the mond which the nameless poet of Simonides 114 copied. See also Hauvette, ply 102 if.

[^14]:    but merely pad what has gone before. It is, moreover, impossible to base upon the evidence of two poems (which alone are proved to have been lengthened) any conclusions that will enable us to detect with certainty similar pieces of patehwork amones the epigrams preserved only in MSS., especially as we possess inscriptions of the fifth century which eontaingenume lines of mueh the same phameter as the spurions lines of 83 and 125 (e. g. 75 and 79 ). Without the proof, which discovery of the actual stones alone can give, we have only the merest conjecture to go ${ }^{1} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$,
     was produced twelve years after the battle commemorated in ep. 77 , but the sentiment of the iuscription is tragic. See (r. H. Macurdy, Classica? Weekly, Mareh 6, 1909 , P. 139.
    
    

[^15]:    107 Reitzponstein ( 1 . 96 ): " Sie (i. e. the epigrams of Asclepiades ant his eontemperaries) orgenen ein einheithehes bild sobald wir sie als Lieder beim Gelare anflass"n." He has, however, failed to show the necessity for amiving at "ein cinheitliches lill."

    108 p. 101 (l. c.).
    $103 \mathrm{p} .19 . \quad 110$ See p. 7.

[^16]:    111 AP 6．61．For Homeric forms see pp． 41 ff．
    112 Cf． $77 \mathrm{c}, 175$.
    113 Cf．also p． 25 and notes．

[^17]:    114 (f. 81.
    116 See Tables I, II.
    115 Cf .265 and 247.
    117 E. g. 265, 274, 275, 256.
    118 ('f. 245 with 11 ' 7.502 :
    
    
    
    
    Nowhere do we find the tonch, so light and yet so sure, of AP 7.453
    
    

[^18]:    
    121 Soph. fr. 275.
    122 Cf. 225, 227, 234, 251, 252, 268, 270, 271, 284.
    123 Cf. 230, 231, 239, 243.

[^19]:    132 (ค. 302, $310,311,312$ a.
    133 (ili liphgrammi di Platone. Cf. Ieitzenstein, Ep. u. Sk., pp. 1 E1 If.
    134 see p. 32. It is inpossible to tell whether they are real or epideictic epi-
    taplis.
    135 (f. I! ! 11 ff.
    136 Cf. $31 \pm$ with Al $5.171 ; 320$ with AP $5.82,83$.

[^20]:    138 siee＇「ahle III． 139 （＇f．＇Table III．
    140 E．g．Jlel．6i23，L．＇T．515，Phom． 1737.

[^21]:    141 Sere also J. Mesk, Satz n. Yers in elng. Distichon d. Griechen, Brimn, 1900 ; A. Lanem, De Disticho Graterom Elegiaco, Breslam, 1868.

[^22]:    142 Die Homerische Ilias, Goettingen, 1886, pp. v_ff.
    143 Hermes 5, 1]. 56 ff.
    144 (!urestiones de Epigrammate Attico et Tragoedia Antiquiore Dialecticae, Bonn, 1898.

    145 Jemnes 20, P1. 69 ff.
    146 Unaestiones de Epigrammatis Graccis, Leipzig, 1883.
    147 lanly-Wissowa, s. v. Epigramm, p. 78.
    148 See also II. W. Smyth, Ionie, [. 61.

[^23]:    149 1． 12.
    150 Collitz u．Bechtel，Sammlung d．gr．Dialekt－Inschriften， 5418.
    151 （＇f．Wilamowitz and Wagner（ll．ce．），and Wilhelm，JOAI 2． 244.
    152 Even кópa appears，JHS 13．126，n． 18 ；and CIA IV， $373^{199}$, p． 91.
    153 p． 21.
    154 See Ahrens，De Graecae Linguae Dialectis，Goettingen，1839，I， 201.

[^24]:    155 . Mess cites Hoffmam 258 a an example of an Attic epigram containing Aonvain. But this epigram may also have bern written by or for a foreigner. The name $\left.\mathcal{L}^{\mu}\right\}$ enefen (which is partly conjectural) is not fomd in Attica alone, and it is not certain that the form eaurîs could not be employed by an lunic poet.

    156 See Wilamowitz, Hermes 20,1 l .69 if.
    157 I. 14.

[^25]:    163 See p. 40.
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