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OXFORD:
by T. Combe, ma., E. b. Gardner, and E. packard hall, PRINTERS TO THE UNIVERSITY.

## PREFACE.

Of all the unpublished Old English ${ }^{1}$ texts, the present is perhaps the most important. Preserved in two MSS. written during Alfred's lifetime, it affords data of the highest value for fixing the grammatical peculiarities of the West-Saxon dialect of the ninth century, and, although several texts belonging to the same period have been published, the present edition is the first one of any of Alfred's works which is based on contemporary MSS. : all the editions hitherto published give but a garbled reflection of his language. The result has been that all editors, both at home and abroad, have, with one exception ${ }^{2}$, persisted in ignoring the genuine West-Saxon MSS., dismissing their most constant and characteristic peculiarities as 'Mercian,' ' Northern,' 'dialectic' (whatever that may mean), 'abnormal,' or ascribing them to the innate depravity of the scribes.

It is solely with a view to prevent the student's mind from being biassed by these irrational prejudices, that I have given in

[^0]the Introduction a short sketch of the characteristics of Alfredian English as distinguished from those of the later period. The illustrations are drawn chiefly from the present work, but are supported throughout by citations from other MSS. of the period, especially the Parker Chronicle. It is to be hoped that the results of these investigations will help to dissipate the wide-spread delusion that Old English has been thoroughly worked up, and that nothing remains for us but to accept blindly the theories of Rask and Grimm.

From a lexicographical point of view also this work is of high importance: there is not another prose text in the language that offers so many rare words, many of which seem to occur nowhere else. Most of these words, indeed, have found their way into our dictionaries, although often in a corrupt form, or with inaccurate renderings, but others are here brought to light for the first time. Their lexicographical history is so interesting in its bearings on the past and present state of Old English philology in this country, that a brief sketch of the leading facts may not be unacceptable.

When the study of Old English was first revived by Archbishop, Parker, the want of a dictionary was naturally soon felt, which want was first supplied by Somner's ' Dictionarium Saxo-nico-Latino-Anglicum,' Oxon., 1659, a mere glossary, without references. Meanwhile, Franciscus Junius was engaged in compiling a far more elaborate work, with copious citations from the MSS. The work was never published: it was for a long time preserved in loose sheets among the other Junius MSS. in the Bodleian, and is now bound, forming two huge volumes.

Among the MSS. used by Junius, the Pastoral, of which he possessed a transcript of his own, seems to have been indexed with especial care: but few words are omitted, and still fewer are wrongly explained.

Now it is not, perhaps, generally known that all our 'Anglo-

Saxon' dictionaries are, as far as the prose language is concerned, based almost entirely on the great work of Junius. I find that all the hápax legómena of the Pastoral cited by Lye (1772) are taken direct from Junius, his definitions being copied off word for word, occasionally with some trifling interpolation (see note to 97. 17), and without the slightest attempt at verification by reference to the MSS. The most discreditable feature of the whole proceeding is, that Lye totally ignores his obligations to Junius, and does not even mention his name. Lye, again, has been pillaged by still later dictionary-makers, also without acknowledgment or revision ${ }^{3}$. The most conclusive proofs are afforded by those words which were explained wrongly by Junius, of which gehydnes (see note to 387.13 ) is a good example. In other cases the attempt to supply gaps in the information supplied by Junius has led to equally unfortunate results. Thus Junius gives the infinitive plion correctly from 229. 20 ; our lexicographers are not content with copying this, but must add a weak preterite pliode, while, if they had read the Pastoral MSS. with any attention, they would have found the strong preterite pleah (37.7), which Junius did not recognize, because his MS. (Cotton I) shows it in the slightly disguised form of pleh. Compare also the note on eftga (421. 10). Junius has also, from various causes, missed some words altogether ; hence their non-appearance in our present authorities. Some of these words-bedecian (285. 12, the original of our beg), dela (405. 1), geonre (443. 25), wealg (447. 18)-are of the highest philological interest. How long they might have remained hidden, had they not been brought to light by this edition, it is hard to say.

[^1]The whole history may be summed up in the words of Cynewulf:
moð'e word fræt: me §æt ðuhte
wrætlicu wyrd, ${ }^{2}$ a ic ${ }^{\circ} æ t$ wundor gefrægn,
Wæt se wyrm forswealg wera gied sumes
§eof in §ystro, $\begin{gathered}\text { rymfestne cwide }\end{gathered}$
and "æs strangan staðol.

The last two lines are especially appropriate :

> Strelgiest ne wæs
> wihte §y gleawra, ©e he ॠam wordum swealg.

The main principle I have adopted in printing is to make the text as far as possible a facsimile of the original MSS., without introducing any theoretical emendations. All alteration in the text of a MS., however plausible and clever, is nothing else but a sophistication of the evidence at its fountain-head: however imperfect the information conveyed by the old scribe may be, it is still the only information we have, and, as such, ought to be made generally accessible in a reliable form. In accordance with this principle I have in all cases enclosed contemporary additions above the line in brackets, the two forms, with and without the bracketed letter, being often extremely valuable, as showing fluctuations in the pronunciation.

All evidently late additions, which are very numerous, have been rejected entirely. It is, however, possible that some of the bracketed letters may be late, as I have never rejected anything without being quite certain of its spuriousness,-a certainty which can only be obtained by long and careful study of the palæography of the MS. This point is often entirely neglected by editors, who thus introduce disturbing elements into their texts. Even Junius has in some cases quoted these late additions and alterations as genuine readings. Those few cases in which I have employed brackets to indicate restored crasures are mentioned in the notes.

In the other text (Cotton I), whose original MS. is lost, I
have employed the brackets to denote the readings of Cotton II, omissions of that MS. being indicated by (om.) after the word in question. When I resolved on adopting this plan, I was under the impression that Cotton II had been entirely destroyed by fire, and consequently that the readings given by Junius in the margin of his transcript of Cotton I were all that remained. As these readings were few in number, I judged it most convenient to incorporate the more important of them into the text, so that the reader might compare the three texts at a glance. When I learnt that Cotton II was not totally destroyed, and began to examine it carefully, I repented of my plan, but it wás too late to change it, as a portion of the text was already printed off.

All additions of my own in either text are enclosed in parentheses, and are intended solely to assist the beginner. From a strictly scientific point of view such additions are hardly advisable, as tending to bias the reader's judgment; but in an edition like the present, which endeavours to supply a variety of wants, they are less objectionable.

The English translation is added more from deference to the usage of the Early English Text Society than from any conviction of its utility. In fact, I look upon a translation to a text like this, which is of exclusively philological interest, as so much waste paper, utterly useless except to the merest tyrouseless even to him, if he wishes to acquire a sound knowledge of Old English, a language, which, like all others, ought either to be studied properly with grammar and dictionary, or else let alone. I should have much preferred printing the Latin original at the foot of the page, and devoting the time and space taken up by the English translation to a full critical commentary, for which, as it is, my very limited time has not sufficed. To prevent misunderstanding, I may state that the translation is made direct from the Old English, not from the Latin original. My principle throughout has been to ask myself the question, What
ideas would this sentence suggest to a ninth century Englishman, unacquainted with the original? and to frame my translation accordingly. In many obscure passages, however, I have been obliged to consider what meaning the translators themselves intended to convey, and only as a last resource have I occasionally translated direct from the Latin. I have also endeavoured to translate into the received language of the present day, and have carefully avoided that heterogeneous mixture of Chaucer, Dickens, and Broad Scotch, which is affected by so many translators from the Northern languages.
The publication of the Latin text, promised on the title-page, must be postponed for an indefinite period. Critical readers will, however, have no difficulty in procuring one of the numerous texts of the work published on the continent.

The Notes are necessarily brief, and chiefly confined to remarks on erasures, interpolations, \&c. Wherever a remarkable form occurs in the text I have repeated it in the Notes, to guard against the suspicion of an editorial slip. To many of the readings of Cotton I, I have added v. l. ='varia lectio,' signifying that Junius quotes a different reading from one of the two other MSS., thus guaranteeing, to a certain extent, at least, the accuracy of his own form.
The two Appendices need no special comment. I may, however, call the attention of Aryan philologists in general, as well as specially Teutonic scholars, to the theory of the lautverschiebung. advanced in Appendix I, which I believe will be found to offer a satisfactory solution of its difficulties. The only point about which I do not feel satisfied is the distinction between wear $\delta$, worden, \&c. Its causes have never yet been explained, and, until this is done, it is impossible to say whether it was developed independently in each language, or belonged to the groundspecech. The latter supposition can hardly be reconciled with the evidence of the oldest English documents, which seems to indicate a period in which medial and final 8 \&c. had not yet
developed themselves. There can be little doubt as to the originally vocal character of the $p, f$, and $h$, and when this is once admitted, some modification of our views on the lautverschiebung becomes absolutely necessary. Misled by false notions of symmetry, philologists have hitherto assumed that the p was originally an aspirated $t$, and have thus been obliged to make historical facts fit in with unproved assumptions.

I have also added a list of errata; it is believed that such errors as may still lurk in the text are of a wholly insignificant character. I must confess that the translation stands in need of a thorough revision, which, however, I am unfortunately quite unable at present to bestow on it.

I intended originally to add the readings of the three Cambridge MSS. in a separate Appendix, but have been prevented by want of time and access to the MSS. The omission is, however, not much to be regretted. These MSS., which are of late date-two of them at least being of the eleventh century,-are of little or no value in elucidating the language of Alfred.

In conclusion, I cannot refrain from expressing a hope that this work may contribute somewhat to that reviving interest in the study of English, of which so many cheering signs begin to show themselves from various quarters. Ignorance and literary intolerance may sneer at 'Anglo-Saxon,' but all liberal minds are agreed that, even if Old English were totally destitute of intrinsic merit, it would still form a necessary link in the history of our language, and, as such, be well worthy of attention. Here, as in all branches of knowledge, it may be safely asserted that the wider the range of study, the more valuable will be its fruits: Shakespeare is elucidated by Chaucer, Chaucer, again, cannot be fully appreciated without a knowledge of the Oldest English, whence to the kindred tongues is but a short step-to the Heliand, the Edda, and the classic prose of Iceland.

## INTR0DUCTION.

## MANUSCRIPTS.

The MSS. on which the present edition is based are these :-

1. Hatton 20 (formerly 88) in the Bodleian (H.). This MS. is a square quarto of the end of the ninth century, preserved entire with the exception of a single leaf cut out (pp. 219, 221 and 223 of this edition).
2. Cotton Tiberius B. xi., in the British Museum (C. i.). Originally a large quarto (in quarto grandiori $W$.), of the same age as H., containing only the first forty-nine out of the sixty-five chapters of the work, and having a large gap towards the end. It was injured in the great fire of ${ }^{1731}$, restored and rebound, and burnt again in a fire at the bookbinder's, so that nothing now remains of it but a few charred fragments. It will therefore be necessary to quote Wanley's accounts of the MS., which was made while it was uninjured.
'Tiberius. B. xi. Codex membr. in quarto grandiori, in quo continetur capita pene 49 libri Gregorii Magni Papæ de Cura pastorali Saxonicè versi per Elfredum Regem. Hujus MLS. fol. 3. dicitur esse liber quondam Plegmundi Archiepiscopi Cant. qui floruit anno 889. sub ipso Alfredo: Hæc autem notula, sc. $\ddagger$ Plegmunde Arcebiscepe is agifen his boc. and Swiłulfe Biscepe. \& Werfer Ye Biscepe. quam videre est fol. i. facit quo minus id credam. Nam si antea inclytissimus Rex Plegmundo exemplar versionis suæ donaverat, quid opus illi fuerit alterius? Quin \& in prefatione, lacunula cernitur alterius cujusdam nomine implenda, ELFRED kyning hatep gretan-his wordum. loflice (sic) and freondlice. Quod si Ælfredus hunc ipsum Plegmundo destinarat codicem, non dubito quin jussisset ut nomen ejus in præfatione scriberetur perinde ac in Werferthi, Heastani \& Wulfsigi libris. Quod autem ad scriptionem \& antiquitatem hujus MS. attinet ; utraque
prefatio, sicut in Cod. Werferthiano ab aliena manu scripta, Codici præmittur. Codex ipse, manu largiori, laxiori, elegantiori, \& seculo Elfrediano plane convenienti, exaratur; in usum forte cujusdam Magnatis, seu Regiæ stirpis Principis.'
3. Junius 53 , in the Bodleian (J.). A copy of the above, made by Junius in the seventeenth century. He has added many readings from H. and the MS. described below in the margin. Those parts of the work which are wanting in C. i. he has copied from H.
4. Соtтon Оtho B. ii. (C. ii.). A small folio (in fol. min. W.), apparently of the beginning of the tenth century. The MS., which was originally defective towards the end, was burnt in the Cottonian fire, but has been partially restored and rebound. About a half of the work is more or less legible in the MS. The following is Wanley's account of the MS. :-
' Otho. B. ii. Cod. memb. in fol. min. in quo continetur Liber Pastoralis Gregorii Papæ, Saxonicè conversus per Ælfredum Regem.
' In Præfatione, nuncupavit Rex suum librum Hehstano Epis. Londoniensi, al cujus Codicis fidem, hoc exemplar forte descriptum est ante Conquisitionem Angliæ. Olim fuit peculium Joannis Rogerii, qui eum, ut videtur, dono dedit Gulielmo Bowiero. Dein pervenit in manus Henrici Ellzinge, qui eum dedit, D. R. Cottono, 6. die Octobris, 1597. Olim caruit duobus foliis integris, quorum alterum manu recentioris restituitur.'

There are also three MSS. of much later date, an account of which will be found in an Appendix. These MSS. are all at Cambridge, in Corpus Christi, Trinity, and the Public Library.

That the two MSS., whose texts are given in full in this edition, were written during Alfred's reign is proved not only by the hand-writing-of which I shall speak presently-but also by internal evidence, which, as far as H . is concerned, was first stated by Wanley, in his Catalogue. I therefore quote his words in full, omitting his account of the contents of the MS.
'His versibus intelligimus, (Đis ærendgewrit, \&c., p. 8.) quod primus omnium Augustinus Anglorum Apostolus, Gregorii librum Pastoralem secum in Angliam adtulit: librum forsitan suæ Missioni inprimis necessarium. Quorum autem rogatu, \& quo consilio, in

Gregorio Saxonicè vertendo, negotium adhibuit suum tantus Rex, ipse in Præfatione prima pluribus docet. Đa ic (inquit) ða gemunde \&c. Ex quibus etiam clare apparet, Pientissimum Regem \& bonarum litterarum fautorem maximum, Regni sui unicuique Ecclesiæ Episcopali, exemplar unum mittere secum statuisse. Porro, in summitate primæ pag. Epistolæ Elfredi R. ad Werferthum Episc. Wigorn. (quæ etiam est prima pag. Codicis) exarata est quæ sequitur Inscriptio litteris Capitalibus, quæ locum memorat cui Translationis suæ exemplar unum Rex missuruo erat.

4 Đeos boc sceal to wigora (sic) ceastre.
'Quoad scripturam hujus Cod. Lectorem monitum velim, eam id genus esse, quæ in usu apud A. Saxones erat in priscis temporibus, antequam Regnante ipso Ælfredo, vetus scribendi ratio in novam mutari cœpit. Vetus autem illa hujusce Codicis duplex est: nempe altera qua scriptæ sunt Præfationes Elfredi Regis, quæ proxime accedunt ad manum vetustissimi Codicis Cottoniani, qui peculium Plegmundi fuisse vulgo dicitur, de quo quidem Cod. infra plura: altera, qua cætera scribuntur, (unum si excipias folium, à Cod. abscissum, \& Fran. Junii manu restitutum,) antiquitatis laude videtur certare cum Amnalibus Anglo-Saxonicis Ecclesiæ Christi Cantuariæ, quorum potissima pars Manuscripta fuit A.D. 891. qui quidem Cod. jam nunc Bibliothecæ C.C.C.C. notatur S. ii. à me fusiùs infra describendus, cùm ordine, Codd. Saxon. illius Bibliothecæ descripturus sum. Præfationem Regii Translatoris ad Werferthum tunc temporis Episc. Wigorn. fuisse inscriptam paulo ante significavi ; Addo quod unumquodque exemplar hujusce Versionis nomen illius Episcopi præ se ferebat, in cujus \& Ecclesiæ usum ex Autographo descriptum fuit. Sicut enim in hoc Cod. nomen Werferthi ; sic in alio Cod. Cottoniano nomen Hehstani Episcopi ; in Cod. Cantabrigiensi nomen Wulfsigi Episcopi legimus. Denique, quia docet Inscriptio, hunc Cod. fuisse destinatum Ecclesire Wigorniensi, ex eo constat illum non adhuc ad Ecclesiam missum fuisse. Hæc de nobilissimo hoc Cod. qui ante octingentos annos Ælfredo Rege jubente scriptus erat. Utrum vero apud exteras gentes, Regia id genus monumenta, in patria lingua conscripta, extent, dum docti ubique silent, me id nescire fateor.'

Alfred says in his preface that he intends to send a copy of the
work to all the bishops in his kingdom-'to ælcum biscepstole on minum rice wille ane onsendan'-and accordingly he begins his preface with the words ' Ælfred cyning hate $\begin{gathered}\text { gretan . . . . biscep,' a }\end{gathered}$ different name being inserted in each copy. This affords, of course, no means of distinguishing between the actual MS. that was sent to the bishop and a later copy, as the scribe would naturally retain the name. But in the Hatton, and in no other, MS. these words are written on the first page ' Đeos boc sceal to wiogora ceastre,' implying that at the time when this was added-that is, after the completion of the MS.-the book had not been sent to Worcester, the see of bishop Wærfert.

Still stronger is the evidence for C. i. In this MS. the blank after 'hateð gretan' is not filled up at all, nor is there anything to show for whom the book was intended. There is however on the first leaf this memorandum :-'Plegmunde arcebiscepe is agifen his boc ond Swiðulfe biscepe ond Werferðe biscepe.' This fact points to two important conclusions, ( I ) that the MS. was not sent to any one, (2) that it was written before the copies destined for Plegmund, Swirulf and Wærferð. So far, then, we are lead to the conclusion that in C. i. we have the original, or one of the original, drafts of the work from which Wærferð's MS. was copied. As each copy was completed, compared with the original, and sent off, a memorandum was made in the latter. This conclusion is, however, only partially supported by a comparison of the various readings of the two MSS. themselves : although the correct reading is generally that of $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{i}$., yet in many cases $H$. has the advantage. The probability is that $H$. was re-read with some other MS., which MS. must in some cases have been a better authority than C. i. When the number of copies that would be required is considered, it seems probable that several of them would be made simultaneously, and hence that several originals would be required, which would, of course, themselves be careful copies of the corrected first draft of the work. These considerations modify our first conclusion:-C. i. is not the original draft of the work ; it only represents it more closely than any other existing MS. Another explanation of the partial superiority of H.'s readings is possible-that H . was revised by a fresh comparison with the Latin
original. It seems however improbable, when we consider how laborious such a task must have been in those illiterate times.

From a purely philological point of view-and the interest of the work is mainly philological-these questions are of little importance : it is enough to know that in these two MSS. we have genuine and accurate specimens of Alfred's language, written during his reign. This is proved not only by the evidence stated above, but also by the character of the handwriting, which, as Wanley remarks, agrees closely with that of the first part of the Parker MS. of the Chronicle, which stops at the year 891. It would be impossible to treat the question of handwriting fully without facsimiles and a regular treatise on palæography, but a few remarks on the chief peculiarities of the writing of Alfred's time may be useful. Its general characteristics are freedom, lightness, and elegance. There is, generally, a tendency to slope the letters a little, and to join and interlace them together as much as possible. After Alfred's time the handwriting begins to lose its artistic character : it becomes thick and heavy, has a laboured look, and, in fact, approximates gradually to that ne plus ultra of barbarism, the black letter. There are also differences in the formation of individual letters. In ninth-century MSS. the $l$ often projects below the line, and ends in a free semicircular sweep. The $p$ is always open, the second stroke ending with a point. The $r$ has four shapes : the first is that of our capital $R$, only small; the second is the same with the leg lengthened; the third that of the ordinary 'Anglo-Saxon' types; the fourth is the same with the leg shortened. It is important to observe that the second stroke of all these $r$ 's is always turned up at the end, which alone distinguishes the fourth shape of the letter from the $n$. The $y$ always slopes, and is never dotted ; there is besides another shape of the $y$ which is that of the Greek digamma, except that it always descends below the line, like the ordinary $y$. There are besides some isolated palæographic archaisms to be found in H .: the a sometimes resembles $o c$ joined together, and $c e$ is written separately $a e$ in the word haef $\gamma$ (277.6), and a peculiar form of the $t$, resembling the capital T, appears once at the end of a word. The use of $k$ for $c$ in kyning, koka, kokk, \&c., and of $u$ for $w$ may also be regarded as archaisms. $u$ for $w$ is limited to those cases in which $w$ is preceded
by another consonant, as in cuce $\gamma$, hucet, suæe, suugode (151.22), suuncon (239.2 I). In the Chronicle $u u$ is occasionally written initially for $w$, as in uuintra, uuceron, and in some of the oldest MSS. $u u$ or $u$ is used exclusively.

These remarks apply chiefly to H . ; the fragments of C . i. that remain are too scanty to allow us to judge of the details of the handwriting, but its general character is entirely Alfredian. (Compare the remarks of Wanley, quoted above, p. xiii.)

The variety of the handwritings of H . is remarkable : Alfred's preface is written in one hand, the piece of verse in another, and the handwriting changes again with the table of contents, and so on through the whole MS.

Many Latin glosses are inserted between the lines in the earlier part of the MS. : the work seems to have been used as a text-book for the study of Old English in the thirteenth and following centuries.

The MS. was evidently written with great care: it is full of the most minute corrections, often consisting in purely orthographical modifications, a single letter being added above the line.

The character of the second Cottonian MS. (Otho B. ii.) is somewhat peculiar. It is certainly later than Alfred's time: its handwriting points to the early part of the tenth century. The first half of the MS. is evidently a careful copy from a MS, of Alfred's time, preserving not only the words but also the forms with considerable accuracy. Towards the middle, however, the scribe becomes careless, frequent omissions and inaccuracies creep in, and increase to such a degree as to make the MS. almost unintelligible at parts. The language also undergoes a marked change : the genuine forms of Alfred's time are supplanted by others quite foreign to the two older MSS., although it is not easy in all cases to determine whether they are genuine or merely due to scribal carelessness.

The original of C. ii. was sent to Bishop Hehstan (see Wanley's account p. xiv, above) : C. ii. itself was not therefore copied directly from either H. or C. i. What the relation of Hehstan's MS. itself was to H. and C. i. cannot be ascertained with certainty. The nonmention of Hehstan in the memorandum in C. i. makes it probable that the two MSS. had nothing special in common. This is confirmed
by the readings of C. ii. itself, which in most cases agree closely with those of H ., yet with exceptions enough to show that C. ii. or its original were not copied directly from H . These readings of C. ii. which differ from those of H . always agree with C. i., except in a few cases of manifest corruption of the text.

The only point of interest about $J$. is the accuracy of the copy. This question is easily settled by a comparison of those parts of the MS. which were copied from H., and the result is very satisfactory : the words and letters of the original are given with great accuracy, and without any 'critical' emendations. Junius has, however, swerved from the path of literal accuracy in a few unimportant particulars: he neglects the accents of his original, changes $u$ in such words as lucet into $w$, and $\gamma$ into $p$, especially in the word $\not \subset e$, which he nearly always writes pe. He also expands contractions, writing łonne and and for $\chi_{o} \bar{n}$ and J. These facts are confirmed by the fragments of C. i. itself : they show $o n$ several times, while Junius omits the accent each time, and $\begin{gathered}\text { e, } \\ \gamma_{\text {ate }} \text {, suce against the pe, pott, swoe of J. Otherwise the frag- }\end{gathered}$ ments-as far as they can be decyphered-agree with $J$.

Many of the rarer forms in J. are indirectly confirmed by the reading of the other two MSS. given in the margin. Thus the form agne (140.20) for agnes, which we should otherwise be inclined to regard as a mere slip of Junius's, is made certain by the mention of the agnes of the two other MSS. This evidence is of especial value in cases of omission.

## THE GRAMMATICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ALFRED'S ENGLISH.

A curious feature in the history of Old English philology is the neglect of the older documents of the language: not only are the forms that appear in our grammars and dictionaries West-Saxon, to the almost entire exclusion of the equally important Anglian and Kentish dialects-they are to an equal extent, late, as opposed to early West-Saxon. The cause must be sought in the early history of the study of Old English in this country. When the antiquaries first
began to collect, copy, and print Old English MSS., they could not fail to distinguish roughly between two classes of MSS., the one consisting of a few MSS. written in a free, almost cursive hand, often partly illegible from age and difficult to read, while the MSS. belonging to the other class were numerous, well preserved, and as easy to read as a printed book. The result was, that they turned their attention exclusively to the later MSS., and gradually came to regard the older ones as abnormal or dialectic variations from the regular language preserved in the later works. These unreasoning traditions have been preserved up to the present day, and the result is, that not a single one of Alfred's works has been printed from contemporary MSS., but from copies of the tenth, eleventh, and even the twelfth centuries, which give only an imperfect idea of the language of Alfred's time ; for, although they follow the words of Alfred with more or less accuracy, they alter the orthography to suit that of their own period, so that the characteristically Alfredian forms appear only sporadically, and are consequently regarded as scribal errors by editors. An unfortunate result of the partial retention of the original forms is, that these MSS., while giving but a garbled representation of the language of Alfred, can as little be taken as faithful guides to that of their own period. When it is considered that the majority of existing Old English MSS. are of this kind, the chronological confusion in our grammars and dictionaries needs no comment. The only sound basis of comparison would evidently be MSS. of the ninth century on the one hand, and contemporary MSS. of writings of the beginning of the eleventh century on the other. When these two extremes have been compared and their distinctive peculiarities determined, it would be possible to trace the gradual change of the intermediate tenth century. To carry out this scheme with any completeness, is, in the present state of Old English editing, an impossibility; it would, in fact, amount to writing a dictionary of the prose language-a work whose foundations have yet to be laid. I have mentioned the want of proper editions of Alfred's works; we are hardly better off with regard to the eleventh century. Of the two chief prose writers of this period, Elfric and Wulfstan, the latter has not been edited at all, while all that has been published of the voluminous works of

Elfric consists of a slovenly copy of a single MS. of a part of his Homilies.

The only works of Alfred which are preserved in contemporary MSS. are the Pastoral and Orosius. Of the Orosius there are two MSS., one of Alfred's reign, which is unfortunately defective, the other of the eleventh century. This latter (the Cotton), although one of the worst Old English MSS. that exists, has been twice printed entire, while the older one was ignored by the one editor, and only used by the other to fill up the constant omissions and correct the gross errors of the later MS. The most curious fact is, that the editor himself has proved decisively that the later MS. is a direct copy of the earlier one! There are besides several other MSS. of Alfred's reign, which, although not containing any of his known works, are of equal philological importance. These are the Parker MS. of the Chronicle ${ }^{1}$, a few leaves of a Martyrology, printed by Mr. Cockayne in his 'Shrine,' and a charter of uncertain date, placed by Kemble 871-889, which seems to be the oldest document which can, with any certainty, be claimed as West-Saxon.

## PHONOLOGY.

## Vowels.

a. In late W.S. original $a$ appears as $e a$ before consonant combinations beginning with $l, r, h$, while in early W.S. the simple vowel is often preserved. This is especially the case before $l$-combinations: while the forms heard, bearn, wear $\gamma$, eahtian, \&c., are in early, as well as late W.S. almost exclusively in use, the unmodified $a$ in onwald, salde, allum, \&c., is almost as frequent as the $e a$ in all MSS. of Alfred's time. An almost solitary instance of the retention of $a$ before an $r$-combination in the Pastoral occurs p. 180.in where C. has art, and H. the usual eart. In one place (48.21) C. ii. has harm, with the $e$ added above the line, showing that Hehstan's copy had the more archaic form. The Charter also has ondwardum and towardan. Original $a$ also occurs sporadically before other con-

[^2]sonants ; thus p. 95.4 we find apla twice in both MSS., but in the next line ceppel and 69.I cepplas. Other examples are-fagenian (60.17), atiewe (85.16), nas (108.10), watrode (293.4). These forms are however occasionally found in much later MSS., especially in the case of apla.
ea itself also occurs archaically in some forms of the verb moeg, which in the later language have an $i$; thus in the Pastoral we find meahte, $\searrow u$ meaht constantly, alternating occasionally with moehte (6.24) and mehte (113.14), which latter also occurs 164.14 as the reading of C. ii. In the Charter the very archaic almahtig occurs as well as almoeltig. It is remarkable that while the late mihte \&c. hardly ever occur in the Pastoral, the form niht is fully established.

The labialization of $a$ before nasals which appears in every stage and dialect of O.E. is so strongly developed in early W.S. as in many words almost to exclude the original sound and constitute a special characteristic of the period. Such forms as monig, monn, ond, long occur in every line of the Pastoral and Orosius, while in Elfric and Wulfstan the original manig, mann, and, lang reappear. The labialization is however retained in a few words throughout the O.E. period, and, in one case, up to the present day. These words are the prep. on, the conj. ðonne, and the acc. masc. sing. of the def. art. ðone. This is, no doubt, owing to the very frequent occurrence of these words, and is paralleled by the exceptional retention of the original vocal pronunciation of such words as this, that, \&c. The change is, however, by no means universal in early W.S. : such forms as mann, manig, land occur now and then in the best MSS., while in some words the $a$ is almost exclusively used. The general rule seems to be that the commonest words have $o$, the rarer $a$. Thus in all MSS. of Alfred's time the form ond occurs exclusively, never and. There are many apparent exceptions in Junius's copy of C., where the form and occurs constantly ; but I believe they are merely inaccurate expansions of the contraction. In the same way monn, monig are much more frequent than mann, manig, while a rarer word, such as panne, ramm (see the passages in Cap. XXI.), is almost always written with $a$.

Archaic uses of $a$ in inflections are treowleasana (260.9) floss-
licana, with which compare welona (465.16), earda (36.5) dat. sing., anra (167.2) for anre, manoda (168.16). For the archaic fem. plur. nom. of adjectives see p. xxxvi, below. Inflectional $a$ occasionally appears in the weakened form ce: eallce several times in Alfred's preface, gefyldæ (5.10), gces $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ces (291.9) gen. sing. }\end{gathered}$

A vexed question in Old English phonology is that first started by Grimm, whether there was any distinction between the two kinds of e'sthe $a$-umlaut and the $i$-umlaut? Grimm, arguing from the analogy of the undeniable High German distinction, at first answered the question in the affirmative, but afterwards changed his opinion (Deutsche Grammatik, i. 333, 3te ausg.). Later German philologists also deny the distinction. A careful study of the Pastoral MSS. has, however, convinced me that Grimm's original view is correct. In all the three oldest MSS. the $e$ which arose from $a$ is often expressed by $\propto$, while the $e$ from $i$ is written simply $e$. These $a$ 's are sparingly employed in H., more frequently in C., and occur so frequently in C.ii. as to constitute a special feature of this MS. They are found in H. and C. chiefly in the verb secgan, thus saeg $ð$ (225.23) in all three MSS., scecgean (212.9) in C. and C. ii., while H. has secgan. The word elfeodig also appears frequently in the two oldest MSS. with $x$. Other examples are bældo (40.17), angel (69.10). In the Charter we find cerfeweardum, and in the Martyrology unascecgendlicum and scegr. This point is so important that I have, as far as the dilapidated state of the MS. allows, collected all the words in C. ii. which show this $a$, and given an exhaustive list of them in an Appendix among the other peculiar forms of C. ii. This $a$ occurs here and there in later MSS. as well, but is either ignored by editors or considered as an abnormal change of $e$ into the regular $\varepsilon e$ of daeg, \&c. The cause of the disuse of this $\alpha$ is not difficult to see: it was the ambiguity of the combination, which led to constant confusion with the short $a$ of daeg on the one hand and the long of laeran on the other. Grimm's main objection to the assumption of two $e$ 's was that in the regular $c e$ of $d a g g$ an intermediate vowel between $a$ and $e$ already existed; but if we assume for the $a$ of $d a y$ the sound in 'man' (Mr. Ellis's æ) and for the umlaut of $a$ that of the English short $e$ in 'men' (E), the sound (e) still remains for the umlaut of $i$.

These distinctions, although delicate, are quite conceivable, and are strongly supported by the analogy of Icelandic. (See Doroddr's account in the Skálda, and Mr. Vigfússon's interesting remarks in the Icelandic Dictionary, p. II3.)

This $e$ also occurs archaically, though rarely, in the place of $e a=a$ : scel (125.4), helfcuicne (125.8), andwerdan (133.18), middangerdes (60.8) in C. ii. Similar forms occur in the Chronicle : cester, huerf, agef; and in the Charter we find hrofescestre. These forms are interesting in connection with Koch's ingenious theory of the origin of the $e a=a^{1}$; but the fact that $e a=a u$ undergoes a similar change is against it.
i. The change of $i$ into $e$ is not uncommon in derivative syllables, especially in -lec and -eg for -lic and -ig; thus such forms as hirdelican and hirdelecan, hefigum and hefegum alternate on almost every page of the Pastoral. The forms in -lec are especially important, as showing that the vowel was already shortened in the time of Alfred, which is further confirmed by the fact that derivative adjectives ending in -lic or -lec take the full termination $-u$ in the fem. sing. and neut. plur. nom. (p. xxxvi, below), thus nytwyrðlicu łing (255.12); while the radical gelic preserves the original quantity, as is proved by the absence of the inflectional $-u$ in ungelic sprcec (49.9) and similar instances. The same change occurs also before $n g$ in derivative syllables, as in niedenga, gemetengum, obliging us to modify Grimm's rule that $i$ is always preserved intact before nasals. These forms are found also in the other early W.S. MSS.; thus the Chronicle has denescan, wicenga, and even the later Dialogues of Gregory show such forms as halegan, cuґlecestan, holenga. This change also appears in the form brengan for bringan, which is very common in the Pastoral and the Chronicle, unless we assume the $e$ in this case to be the $a$-umlaut, as it certainly is in the Old Saxon brengian. It seems, however, most probable that bringan and brengan differed originally as strong and weak, the former having as preterite brang, the latter brohte.

The early W. S. use of $i, e$ and eo (io) is different from the late in many words. Thus in the Pastoral the form hefon, hefonlic occurs

[^3]invariably, to the total exclusion of heofon, heofonlic, \&c., the regular forms in Elfric and other late writers. eo also appears in many words where later writers employ $i$ exclusively : 欠eosum, cnioht, cleopian in the Pastoral ; gewreotu, weotum, ageofen in the Charter.

The use of $i o$ for $e o$ is common in early W.S., and appears but rarely in MSS. of the late W.S. period. Examples offer themselves on every page of the Pastoral.
ea $=$ eo. Of the ea for eo, which is so frequent in Kentish and Anglian, there are but scanty traces in early W. S. The only example in the two oldest MSS. of the Pastoral seems to be tweagea (86.13) for tweogea = twega. The Chronicle has feala (14.3r), the Martyrology scealden and sceolden, and the Charter ear`e. The comparison of the Icelandic hiarta (or, as póroddr would write it, hearta), and the fact that the form hearte occurs only in the oldest English documents, and is gradually supplanted by heorte, makes it probable that the ea is the older of the two diphthongs. In eart for eort the excessive frequency of the word has preserved the older form intact throughout all dialects and periods of O. E. In Kentish and Anglian the constant fluctuation between eo and ea has led to an analogous change of eō into $e \bar{a}$, although here there can be no question about the spuriousness of the unlabial diphthong. Of this change there seems to be an example in the reading Xeawas (196.23) of C. for the correct Xeowas of H . The second Cottonian MS. shows the converse change in unteowas for unðeawas, geðreotod (182.14) for gěreatod. The same MS. also changes $e a=a$ into eo: eorce (170.11), beorn (190.1), and in several other instances.
u. There are traces of a substitution of $o$ for $u$ in root- as well as derivative syllables exactly parallel to those discussed under i. Examples are :-folneah (35.20), ðorhtio (423.4), tielongum (133.4). u is also extensively employed in inflections, where the later language has o. Examples are :-hlafurd, heafud, rumgiful, swiður, wisust, saldun, grapude, Zrowude, seldun, all from the Pastoral. Similar forms occur in the Chronicle : abbud, weorpuste, wecrun, todoeldun, prowude. It will be seen that this $u$ represents a great variety of older vowels. In strong preterites, such as saldun, it may be a genuine archaism, but in most cases it is evidently a mere neutral vowel, in
some cases representing a variety of long vowels, as in the weak preterites trowude, \&c., while in others it stands for some other short vowel.
$u$ occasionally changes into $y$ in a very peculiar and inexplicable manner. Thus, from the adjectives mettrum and untrum the derivates mettrymnes and untrymnes occur very frequently, as well as the normal mettrumnes and untrumnes, the two MSS. often showing each a different form in the same passage. These forms may be explained by supposing that the original $i$ of the -nes produced umlaut in the root syllable, which is certainly the explanation of a similar occurrence of $y$ for $u$ in a few subjunctive preterites (p. xxxv, below). This theory will not however explain ofercymenne (229.20) for ofercumenne. This form is no scribal error, for it is supported by the imperative cym of the Martyrology and the sealwyda of the Chronicle (80.9). Here there is no possibility of umlaut: we can only assume a direct change from guttural to palatal, as in the regular weakening of $a$ into $a$, without any external influence.
y. The different usages with respect to this letter in early and late W.S. form one of the most distinguishing features of the two periods. In the later period $y$ and $i$ are written in many cases almost at random, and $y$ is very generally substituted for $\bar{e}=a u$, while in early W. S. $y$ is, as a general rule, strictly limited to its original use-to express the $i$-umlaut of $u$ : such spellings as hym, syndon, cryp $\gamma$, gehyran, cining, never appear in Alfredian MSS., except as isolated irregularities. There is one case, however, in which $y$ for $i$ begins to appear even in the best early W.S. MSS. : when $i$ comes before consonant-combinations beginning with $r$, it is frequently written $y$. Thus in the Pastoral MSS. we find towyrpð, wyr そest, wyrst, byrhto, although the older unlabialized forms still hold the upper hand, especially in H ., which in this particular is more archaic than C. There are besides isolated instances of $y$ for $i$ before other consonants, such as $\begin{gathered}\text { xsum, }\end{gathered}$ cwyde, ny ̌emestan, which are again more frequent in C. than in H . In some words, however, the $y$ for $i$ seems to have fixed itself permanently. This is especially the case with the adjective riht, which throughout both Pastoral MSS. is, as far as I have observed, always written with a $y$, both singly and in composition. A perhaps solitary exception
seems to occur 64.11, where the Junius MS. has unrihtwisan; this may, however, be an error of Junius's. In the same way the preterite of don is everywhere in the Pastoral MSS. written dyde, dydon, \&c. The Chronicle also writes ryht, geryhtan, gedyde, \&c. The Martyrology, however, has dede, instead of $d y d e$, and the same form occurs twice in the Pastoral MS. C. ii. : dede (192.15) and dedon (206.20). This dede is probably the oldest form of the word: compare Old Saxon deda and Old High German teta.

Observe the delicate distinction between wile, wiste, and nyle, nyste, which is observed almost without exception in the Pastoral MSS.; the labialized vowel of the contractions of ne-wile, ne-wiste is evidently due to the absorption of the $w$.

It is curious to observe how early this confusion between $i$ and $y$ begins in West-Saxon : even the MS. of the Dialogues of Gregory, which retains many genuine Alfredian forms, lost in other MSS. of the same character, is full of such barbarisms as hys, bysene, me pinceठ, brice (for bryce), \&c.

How far the confusion is founded on an actual change in pronunciation, or is only orthographical, is a doubtful question. In many MSS. I believe it is almost entirely a matter of spelling, the $y$ being preferred because of its greater distinctness, being less liable to be confounded with parts of other letters than the $i$. This is confirmed by the fact that $y$ is much oftener written for $i$ than $i$ is for $y$, which would hardly be the case if $i$ and $y$ had absolutely the same pronunciation. It is also worthy of remark that the confusion is generally confined to the short $i$ and $y$ : such forms as wyf for uif, \&c., are not frequent in the generality of MSS. It is well known to all phoneticians that short French $u$ is much more liable to be confounded with short $i$ than the long sound is with long $i$; and it seems probable that this is the explanation of the Old English confusion. Some careless or obtuseeared scribe may have begun to write hym for him, \&c., and the change may have been generally adopted from purely orthographic and practical reasons.

For the rare change of $y$ into $e$, see note on embe (20.22).
$\overline{\mathrm{a}}$. In some words $\bar{a}$ becomes $a$ in early W. S., while the $a$ reappears in the later language. The commonest example is swee for
swa, which occurs throughout C ., and appears here and there in H . It is interesting to observe that the $e$ of the original swce has been carefully erased in the Hatton text of Alfred's preface, showing that the $c e$ was getting antiquated (see note to Alfred's preface). Other examples are geest, Ł̌em (dat. sing. and plur.), cegen (4.13. 63.1 i), twcem, b๙m, hucem, lacnigende (61.3), twrarawen and gex'avene (87.18,22), scewan (427.18). The same forms appear in the other W. S. MSS. of the period: tucem in the Chronicle, suce alternating with sua in the Martyrology. In late W. S. all these words would be written with $a$, except perhaps さ̌em for Łam now and then. anne and nemne, however, for anne and nanne, are common enough in the MSS. of Elfric ; more so indeed than in Alfredian MSS., where they rarely occur.
$\overline{\mathrm{e}}$. This vowel occasionally appears as a contracted $\epsilon \bar{a}$ in such words as Xeh (103.15), togenes (89.18), helicuste (131.19), smegeanne (152.13). In H. the $a$ is often added above the line as in ela (49.7), henesse (99.2), さevec (125.2). Similar forms occur in the Chronicle: ongen (80.9), Veh (90.4), ger (93.32); and in the Charter we find ec, ger. In gecnewon (28.1) and oncnew (295.8) e exceptionally represents ej.
oe. A few cases in which the $i$-umlaut of $\bar{o}$ still appears in a labialized form deserve special attention. oe instead of the usual $e$ appears in three words in the Pastoral-oěel (2.7), = Old-Saxon $\bar{o} \times i l$, oele from Latin oleum (see note on 368.1 r), and doe (8.2), subj. of don. This doe also occurs in the Martyrology, and is the only example of oe for $\bar{e}$ in that document. The Chronicle has only the proper name coenbryht (34.12). In the Charter there are several examples, mostly written eo: gefeorum, meodrencynn and feo (subj. of fōn) several times, once written foe, as in the doe of the Pastoral. This eo for oe is found in several of the older MSS.: the O. E. inscription in the Codex Aureus at Stockholm has ras halgan beoc twice. It is interesting, both as proving the nondiphthongic character of the sound-the $e$ being evidently a mere diacritic, and therefore liable to be transposed without affecting the significance of the digraph-and because it removes all doubt as to the form doe, in which the $e$ might otherwise be regarded as inflectional.
eō. This diphthong is frequently written io in such words as lioht,
bebiode. The use of this $i \bar{o}$ is exactly parallel to that of the $i o$ for eo in cnioht (p. xxv, above).

For the occasional change of $e \bar{o}$ into $e \bar{a}$ see under eo above.
ie. On account of the importance of this diphthong and the complexity of its origin, I have reserved its consideration to the last place among the vowels. This ie seems to be confined entirely to the W. S. dialect: I cannot find a trace of it in the Old Kentish and Anglian dialects. Even in early W. S. its range is limited and its distribution varied: the Charter affords not a single example of it, and the Martyrology only one (afierr). It is of frequent occurrence both in the Orosius and the Chronicle, and is especially frequent in the Pastoral MSS. Judging from its scarcity in the Charter and Martyrology, which are the most antiquated W.S. MSS. we possess, the ie seems to have developed itself during the latter half of the ninth century, and to have reached its highest point of development towards the end of the century, to which period the three MSS. in which it is most fully represented belong. It arises from the following vowels :-
(1) i—siexte, liecgað, cnieht, begietan, hieder, gefrieðode, bieseniað, giefa, hiera, ierre, tieligeað, wielle, hiene, siendon, خienga, niewan.
(2) $e$ (from $a$ ) before consonant-combinations beginning with $l$ and $r$-ieldran, gehielt, hielfe (handle) ; amierred, awiergdum, ahwierfar, ierminga; rarely before $n$-combinations-gesciendan.

(4) $\bar{e}$ (from au)-geiecen, ciegeð, diegla, bietles, niedenga, ieðnesse, gehieran, gieman, gehiened.
(5) $e \bar{o}$, and more rarely $e \bar{a}$; probably in both cases through an intermediate $\bar{e}$-onlieht (illumines), bebiet, (commands), ce $\begin{gathered}\text { ©iedig, }\end{gathered}$ Xiestrum, gestiere, friend; hliepen (214.7), hiew (hews).

There are besides some isolated cases which cannot be brought under the above heads. In scieran (139.12) we have a solitary instance of ie arising from $e=i$. In ciele (285.5, and foll.) an equally anomalous exception to the rule that $\bar{e}$ only becomes ie when it corresponds to original au.

In all the above cases $i e$ is liable to undergo a further change into simple $i$, or rather, in the case of $i$ and $\bar{i}$, a return to the original sound. No rule can be given : ie and $i$ seem to occur in pretty equal proportions, although, perhaps, the $i$ 's preponderate in C., the $i e$ 's in H . Where these sounds arise from $e$, either short or long, the original $e$ frequently appears, so that many words have three forms : gescendan, gesciendan, gescindan ; geheran, gehieran, gehiran, \&c. The original forms are, however, much rarer in most cases than the modifications, and it is only in exceptionally antiquated passages that they occur in any frequency. Thus in the Charter and Martyrology they are still in the majority, in the Hatton MS. of the Pastoral they occur chiefly towards the end of the MS., which is on the whole more archaic than the earlier part. We see now that these changes are the key to the late W.S. forms with $y$, such as yldra, yrming, gehyran, bebyt, gestyran, \&c., which figure almost exclusively in the later MSS., whence they have passed into our grammars, dictionaries, and 'critical' texts, to the exclusion of the genuine old forms discussed above: these $y$ 's are labialized $i$ 's which arose from an older $i e$. Physiologically it seems simplest to regard the change of $e$ into $i e$ as due to simple palatal diphthongization by prefixing an $i$-glide, the original sound of the unmodified vowel being preserved in all cases; thus ieldra would have the sound (ie) and gehieran that of (iee), as in the Icelandic mér (mieer), which was certainly originally (meer).

## Consonants.

$\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{g}$. The palatalization of these letters, indicated by the introduction of an $e$, which also occurs in the later language, is carried to a great extent in early W. S., although the unmodified consonants are frequent enough, so that there is a constant fluctuation between such forms as geðencean and geðencan, bregean and bregan, \&c. In some cases an $i$ appears instead of the $e$ : olecciunga, worldwlencium, and especially in ecium. It is possible, however, that the $i$ in the last two words may be really a remnant of the final $-i$ of the stem.

The later change of the final $g$ into $h$ is only beginning in early W. S. : such forms as slog, burg, still maintain their ascendancy over
the sloh and burh of Elfric and other writers of the period. The spelling bogh (81.19) is interesting, as showing that the final $h$ was probably vocal at this time, that is, where it arose from an earlier $g$. In $a h$ for $a c$ (305.r) we have the only example I can find in the Pastoral of the change of final $c$ into $h$. This $a h$ also occurs in the Martyrology. In the Northumbrian Gospels ih for ic, \&c., is common enough.

The frequent omission of initial $h$ is a remarkable feature of the Pastoral MSS.: afdon (27.25, 153.18, \&c.), is (43.17), ringas in C. ii. (168.24). Often the $h$ is added above the line, as in ealden (63.14). The addition of an inorganic $h$ is rarer: his for is (215.19), compare ahebbad in the Chronicle (95.33). The omission of the medial and final $g$ and $h$ is also common, but the missing letter is generally added by the corrector: awierdan (249.15 several times), oferhyde (110.22); ður (63.9 and often), ðurteon (73.6), $\delta_{u r}$ in C. ii. (258.23), fort (33.5), fulbeortum (87.23), dryten (101.23).
$\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \gamma$. For a full discussion of the difficult question of the pronunciation and origin of the $\gamma$ the reader is referred to Appendix I. Here I will only state that the general result of my investigations is that the sound-whether represented by $\gamma, p$, or $t h$-was in Alfred's time vocal (dh) in all positions, and that at a still earlier period this (dh) occurred only initially, being represented medially and finally by the (d) from which it arose. In the same Appendix will also be found an account of the peculiar $s \gamma$. For the loss of $d$ after $l$ see under $n$.

There are many cases in the Pastoral of that change of $d$-generally final, but often also medial-into $t$ which appears in isolated words throughout the O . E. period. In one word the change appears fixed in Alfred's time, although the original form re-appears later on. This word is sint, contrasting with sindon. Other examples are fcerelt alternating with fcereld (36.22, 49.4), the proper name dauit, also appearing as dauid and dauið (169.17, 199.4, 93.13), gesuntfulnessum (34.5).

Various assimilations between $\gamma$ and $t$ also deserve notice. A familiar instance, not peculiar to Alfredian English, is $\begin{gathered}\text { oette } \\ \text { for } \\ \chi_{\text {at }}\end{gathered}$

Xe. This is the only instance of the kind in the Pastoral, with the exception of $\begin{gathered}a t t \\ t a \\ \text { for } \\ \text { と } a t t \\ \searrow\end{gathered} a$ in C. ii. (200.16). In the Chronicle we find poet text for poet poet (50.22). In many Middle E. works this assimilation of $t$ at the end of one word and $p$ at the beginning of another is carried out with great regularity, for example in Orrm ; in O. E. it is only sporadically indicated. The same assimilation sometimes takes place with the $t=d$ mentioned above, as in mittyze for mit $\succ_{y} \chi_{e}=m i d{ }_{\zeta y} \chi_{e}$, a form which occurs in the Dialogues of Gregory and in some old MSS. of uncertain dialect. Lastly in witteah (255.23) for wirteah we have an instance of the assimilation of final $\gamma$ and initial $t$.
b, f. For the archaic use of $b$ for $f$ in nobbre, \&c., see Appendix I.
r. The tendency to drop this letter, especially in the word for is strongly marked in H.: folorenan (123.11), foloct (99.24), fosewen (135.2), and in C. focorfen (308.2). Another example is arac for arcert (123.13, 163.12).
n. Dropping of final-generally inflectional $-n$ is very frequent in H. The $n$ is frequently added above the line, but often the correction is neglected, especially towards the end of the MS. It is the $n$ of the infinitive, weak adj. inflection and subjunctive that most frequently suffers this apocope. Examples are :-lora (303.7), forbera
 we-gearige-mage (119.5). Other cases are:-ohyrigean (119.12, 229.15), scoldo (131.4), tunga (309.10). In C. Junius has uforwandodlicre in one place (88.23); whether other cases occurred in the original MS. is uncertain, as Junius may have filled in the $n$ without comment. In C. ii. there are several examples-uferra (100.20), with the $n$ added above the line, and others where the vowel has also been weakened-wite (206.19), infin., and noeddre (236.22), gen. sing. The same vowel-weakening seems to occur in $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \\ & \text { anbestungne sallas }\end{aligned}$ in H. (171.11) ; and in wolc for wolcen, which occurs twice on p. 285, even the vowel is lost. From the limited range and frequent correction of this peculiarity it is probable that it was rapidly becoming extinct in Alfred's time, although from the want of older W. S. documents it is impossible to determine the extent to which it prevailed in the earlier period. Had the dialect not been fixed and
regulated by the literary labours of Alfred and his successors, the loss of final $n$ might easily have developed itself as extensively as in late Anglian, where the retention of the infinitival $n$ is altogether exceptional.
$n$ is also frequently omitted before $g$, sometimes before $c$, as in costug (67.1), gebrin> (89.9), drucon (317.2), stragne (164.1 1) and gemaegde (166.22) ; these last two in C. ii. The Chronicle has adrecton (86.34) for adrencton. There can be no doubt that these forms are genuine. A similar omission occurs in some early Middle E. MSS., where, however, the $g$ is doubled, to compensate for the lost consonant. Such would probably be the strictly correct spelling of the O. E. words as well-costugg, druccon, \&c.

In the combination $n d$ the $d$ is often omitted : anweardan (65.7), anwearde ( 90.5 ) in C., godcunra (81.16). Compare scylgan (117.12) and the milred of the Chronicle (52.32) for scyldgan and mildred. The same simplification of $n d$ is common in early Anglian, thus the fragment of Cædmon has scepen for sceppend; and in Danish $n$ is the regular sound of $n d$, land, landet being pronounced (lahn, lahnet).
m . $m$ sometimes appears instead of $n$, as in beom (57.9) for beon, and is often changed to $n$ in inflections : hwilon (25.22), $y$ 万on (61.20), ðioson (73.19), curiously enough always with a change of the vowel into $o$. The same change in certain words occurs also in the late

$\mathbf{w}$. There seems to be a tendency in the Pastoral MSS. to omit $w$ before another consonant : gecnceð (29.1), atiede (43.19, 291.6), eorum (218.24).

## INFLECTIONS.

## Verbs.

An isolated archaism in the Pastoral is ic cwe $\gamma_{0}$ (397.27) with the old ending of the first person. In the Martyrology ic biddo occurs, and in the Charter there are several examples: ic hatu, sello, but also ic. foestnie, write. It is probable that the retention of the archaism in this MS. is part of the conservative and formal character natural to a
legal document: in ic willio ond wille the remarkably antique willio seems purely ornamental.

The ending of the 2 nd pers. sing. occasionally appears without the final $t$ : hafas $\begin{aligned} & \text { (193.3), そu worhtes (207.I }) \text { ), cans (304.15). Some- }\end{aligned}$ times inclination of the following pronoun takes place with change of ð into $t$ : lufastu (43.3), wenstu (63.1).

The endings of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing. are very various :-

(2) -et uncontracted-×yncet (25.9), deret (237.10). Compare the plurals agniat (25.12) and dot (61.15).
(3) -ed contracted-gewend (225.22), gefred (249.7).
(4) -eð contracted—birð, cym $\begin{gathered}\text {, win } ૪ . ~\end{gathered}$
(5) -et contracted-fint, gehrist.

Of the origin and explanation of these forms $I$ have treated at length in Appendix I., to which the reader must be referred. It is very doubtful whether any uncontracted -ed forms occur in the Pastoral, as those few cases in which the termination ed seems to occur probably owe their origin to the carelessness of the scribe in leaving out the stroke of the $\gamma$; I have, therefore, omitted them. The contracted forms are highly irregular, and differ in many respects from those of the later language: $t$ and $t t$ unite with the termination to form $t t, t$ or $\gamma$-forgiett, forgiet (from forgitan), licett, licet (licettan), utascieð (70.7, from utasceotan); st becomes st $\delta$ or more usually st, as in rest $\begin{gathered}\text { for rest (142.2 }) \text {, }, ~\end{gathered}$ and in some cases the $t$ is inserted where the verbal stem ends in simple s-gecistð (50.4, from geceosan), gerist $\begin{gathered}\text { ( } 74.8 \text {, from }\end{gathered}$ gerisan.) Besides this str, $s$ becomes $s t$ and $s \gamma$ as in rast, res $\gamma$ (rcesan),
 $d$ becomes $d$, as in gefred (gefredan), $d t$, $t t$ or $t$ as in bidt (285.15), bitt, bit (from bided, bidet).

The treatment of the root-vowel in the 2nd and 3rd sing. of the pres. indic. also requires some remark. The change of $i$ into $y$, so extensively developed in the later language, is rare in Alfredian English, occurring chiefly before $r$-combinations, as in wyir, towyrpð for wir $\delta$, towirp $>$ or wier $\gamma$, towierp $>$ (p.xxvi, above). Such forms as besylh (67.14)
and gesylr (142.8) are quite exceptional. e $\bar{a}$ and $e \bar{o}$-verbs show ie or $i$ in these cases, as in hiew (167.16) from heäwan, liehð from leōgan, never $y$, which is constant in the later MSS. $y$ only occurs in early W. S. MSS. where there is an $\bar{u}$ in the root, as in lyc $\gamma, b r y c \gamma$ from lēcan, $\dot{b} r \bar{u} c a n$. The ie from $e \bar{a}, e \bar{o}$ and the $y$ from $\bar{u}$ are never interchanged or confounded in any way in early W. S. ; hence the explanation of forms like lyhð from leōgan as 'umlauts,' which is given in all the grammars, is as erroneous as it would be in the case of wyrr noticed above.

In early W.S. the subj. plur. still retains its older ending en, instead of the later on, which, however, already begins to show itself, the two MSS. often varying in the same word (see 31.3, 33.18, and 45.25). Such forms as ne forbinden ge (105.7) are interesting as affording an explanation of the well-known difference of ending which depends on the relative position of the verb and its personal pronoun. The frequent dropping of the final $n$ has been noticed above ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xxxii}$ ), we need not therefore be surprised at one MS. having ne bregde ge, while the other retains the final $n$ (173.10, compare also 189.23). It seems not improbable that these curtailed forms may have gradually extended their range, first appearing in imperatives without the negation, and afterwards in all cases of pronominal postposition. That the heebbe ge, wese ge, \&c., of the grammars are of comparatively late origin is shown by the frequent occurrence in the Pastoral of the fuller forms habbað ge (95.11), weahsað ge (109.5), beoð ge (201.2 I). An example of the later form is beo ge (189.22) in both MSS.

Some traces of subjunctival umlaut in the preterite-present verbs deserve notice. It seems not improbable that the $y$ of such forms as gemyne he (25.3), [hie] ne dyrren (25.14), he 夭yrfe (37.21) and he scyle (9.21) are to be explained as umlauts caused by the $i$ of the subjunctive ending. Compare the Gothic gamuni, O. H. G. durfi, \&c. The same holds good of the subj. doe (p. xxviii, above). It is possible that at an early period such forms as fynde, fynden may have been general in the subj. The later funde, funden, \&c. may have arisen from the analogy of the indicatival $u$ in funde, fundon.

The ending $a$ of the weak conjugation in manoda (168.16) for
manode is remarkable ; compare oferhergeada (40.4) in the Chronicle. In loere (291.16) and sende (48.9), for laer, send, the $e$ of the imperative sing. has been exceptionally preserved after a long syllable.

Finally, some archaic preterites deserve notice. Cwom for com occurs once (125.20), and only once in the Pastoral ; it occurs in the Martyrology and in the Dialogues of Gregory, and is regular in the Chronicle, which, however, sometimes has com. Heht (9.14) for het is likewise an exceptional form in the Pastoral, although it occurs in the inscription on Alfred's jewel and is found in the Martyrology and Chronicle. Wisse and nysse (40.1, 117.2, 355.21) is an archaism -compare Gothic vissa, Icel. vissi-although in the later wiste a return to what must have been the oldest form has place, probably after the analogy of moste and the weak verbs generally.

## Substantives.

In hwothuguningas (155.15) and earda (36.5) the gen. and dat. inflection appears in the archaic form of $-a s,-a$ for $-e s,-e$. The gen. gces $\begin{array}{r}\text { ces } \\ \text { (291.9) shows the intermediate stage. }\end{array}$

The feminine declension shows interesting traces of the distinction between the $\bar{a}$ and $i$ stems in the accus. singulars hyd (141.9), doed (443.1 I) for the regular hyde, deede as in Gothic dēd, O. H. G. tāt, \&c., and the plur. nom. and acc. scylde (61.15, 63.20, 72.18), onsine (44.20), byřenne (52.1) for scylda as in O. Saxon skuldi, \&c.

For traces of masc. plurals in -os see note on 59.20 .

## Adjectives.

The inflections of the adjective, especially in the nom., both sing. and plur., are of great importance in distinguishing early and late W.S. In early W. S. the fem. sing. and neut. plur. end in $u$, when the preceding syllable is short, or when the adjective ends in $-e$. In the plural nom. the fem. very often ends in $a$. In late W. S. the $u$ is everywhere dropped in the fem. sing. and changed to $e$ in the neut. plur., the $a$ of the fem. plur. being likewise changed into $e$. The change is not phonetic, as is shown by the fact that the inflectional $u$ of sub-
stantives is preserved in the later language, but is due to inflectional levelling, the nom. terminations being made uniform, regardless of gender.

| Nom. sing. | Early W.S. |  |  | Late W. S. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. | F. | N. | M. | F. | N. |
|  | [sum | sumu | sum | sum | sum | sum |
|  | gōd | gōd | gōd | gōd | gōd | gōd |
|  | clǣne | clǣnu | clǣn | clǣne | clǣn | clǣne |
| Nom. plur. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sume } \\ \text { gōde } \\ \text { clæ̈ne }\end{array}\right.$ | sumagōdaclǣna | sumu <br> gōd <br> clæ̈nu | sume | sume | sume |
|  |  |  |  | gōde | gōde | gōde |
|  |  |  |  | clǣne | clǣe | clǣne. |

The $u$-forms are oftener preserved in later MS. of Alfred's works than most other archaisms, hence they are adopted in all the grammars to the exclusion of the later forms, which, strange to say, are utterly ignored, though regularly employed by Elfric and other writers of the same period. Exceptions to the rules stated above occasionally occur. There are evident traces of that tendency to level the nom. plur. endings which afterwards prevails: both the fem. and neut. plur. occasionally end in $e$, as in eowre honda (65.17), strðlice word (166.10), ealle nitenu (109.7). The weakening of the $u$ of the fem. sing. into $e$ occurs, though rarely, both in the early and late W. S. : an lytele burg (399.23). In menniscu (71.12) we have an exceptional case of inflectional $u$ after a long syllable. The anomaly is probably to be explained by the non-accentuation of the -isc, which would tend to counterbalance its quantitative weight. Compare the metrical character of such a M.H. G. word as tugende, where the quantity of the last syllable but one is ignored in the same way.

A less constant but still very frequent peculiarity of the adjective declension consists in the substitution of the strong for the weak ending in the gen. plur., ðara godena monna appearing as ðara godra monna (81.14, 234.20, 237.21). Still more remarkable is the weak dat. plur. an for um, ðœm godan for ðœem godum (25.4, 47.12, 421.5), probably after the analogy of the sing. ðcm godan. Perhaps, however, the desire of avoiding the incorrect -ra for -ena may have suggested this change
of the apparently strong -um. The former theory is supported by đom singalum ge $\begin{gathered}\text { ohte ( } 73.5 \text { in both MSS.), where the plur. ending -um }\end{gathered}$ has supplanted the regular sing. -an. Compare also Elfric's Hom. 38.33, 52.26, 56.14. In $\succ a$ anbestungne sahlas (171.11) we have a further example of confusion betweeen weak and strong in the nom. plur., unless it be merely a case of the loss of final $n$ (see p. xxxii, above).

## Pronouns.

The masc. pron. of the 3 rd person often appears in the sing. nom. in the older form of $h$, hie ( $56.3,60.18,99.4,312.8$ ), compare the Old Saxon hi, hie, he and the Old Frisian hi, he. Conversely the plur. nom. sometimes assumes the weakened form he (26.22, 44.4, 87.1, 305.14), instead of the regular $h i$, hie.

It is not improbable that to this phonetic confusion may be partly owing the extraordinary fluctuation between sing. and plur. which prevails in the Pastoral, especially in those cases where the pronoun of the third person is used in a general sense, like the German man : 'ac monige bio૪ 广ara خe hie gehealdað wið unryht hæmed, and swaðeah his agenra ryhthiwena ne brycł swa swa he mid ryhte sceolde' (399.7). Other instances under 53.I, 127.22, 163.i, and in the Chronicle.

No example occurs in the Pastoral of the accusatives mec, Xec, although the inscription on Alfred's jewel, flfred mec heht gewyrcan, and the ic biddo Łece of the Martyrology, where also mec occurs, show that these forms were not yet extinct in his time. Ussum for urum (355.24) is an isolated instance of the older form of the possessive of the ist pers. plur. ; usses also occurs in the Dialogues of Gregory.

## Prepositions.

The prepositions, both alone and in composition, present many points of interest. For often appears as fer : far ofermettum (113.7), forhafdnesse (41.14, 87.24), forwyrd (133.20). Fore often loses its final $e(10.13,117.23,194.16)$; while in fore eaさ̀modnesse (106.5) fore is substituted for for. Geond (giond) appears as gind (9.10, 59.23, 259.10). Of appears once in the antiquated shape
of ob (304.9), where the archaism seems to be suggested by the preceding Obab; in aefweardan (453.2) the vowel is archaic. To as te in tefleowe (49.11), to teweorpanne (443.33), weor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { 「 te furwyrde }\end{aligned}$ (463.6); compare the M.H.G. zervliezen, zerwerfen, ze schande werden. Ymbe often loses its final vowel: 3.10, 49.12, 200.4. Lastly, the preposition in is still preserved in a few cases, although even in Alfred's time it is almost completely absorbed by on. An example is in ðces monnes mode (155.22). On itself occasionally shows the unlabialized form an (49.1r, 61.9, 104.22). The loss of the archaic te and fcer, the irregular labialization of $a f$, and the absorption of in into on, itself a labialized an, all seem to point to that phonetic levelling which we have already encountered in treating of the adjective inflections: the regular change of an into on, the existence of a to and for beside the te and for made $o$, as it were, the representative prepositional vowel; hence the loss of the older forms $t e, f a r$, and $i n$, and the labialization of $a f$.

I will conclude this sketch of the peculiarities of Alfred's English with some general syntactical and stilistic remarks.

In tracing the developement of $0 . E$. prose, the interesting question arises, How far must the influence of Latin models be taken into consideration? In other words, Can the numerous translations of Latin works, especially the translations of Alfred, be regarded as faithful representations of the natural utterance of the translators? There seem to be strong reasons for answering this question in the affirmative, with certain limitations. In the first place, we must remember that the O. E. writers did not learn the art of prose composition from Latin models: they had a native-historical -prose, which shows a gradual elaboration and improvement, quite independent of Latin or any other foreign influence. This is proved by an examination of the historical pieces inserted into the Chronicle. The first of these, the account of the death of Cynewulf and Cynehard, is composed in the abrupt, disconnected style of oral conversation: it shows prose composition in its rudest and most primitive form, and bears a striking resemblance to the carliest

Icelandic prose ${ }^{1}$. In the detailed narratives of Alfred's campaigns and sea-fights the style assumes a different aspect: without losing the force and simplicity of the earlier pieces, it becomes refined and polished to a high degree, and yet shows no traces of foreign influence. Accordingly, in the Orosius, the only translation of Alfred's which from the similarity of its subject admits of a direct comparison, we find almost exactly the same language and style as in the contemporary historical pieces of the Chronicle. In the Bede, where the ecclesiastical prevails over the purely historical, the general style is less national, less idiomatic than in the Orosius, and in purely theological works, such as the Pastoral, the influence of the Latin original reaches its height. Yet even here there seems to be no attempt to engraft Latin idioms on the English version : the foreign influence is only indirect, chiefly showing itself in the occasional clumsiness that results from the difficulty of expressing and defining abstract ideas in a language unused to theological and metaphysical subtleties.

There is evident difficulty in connecting the clauses of a long argument, arising from the paratactic nature of O. E. syntax, and consequent scarcity of particles and freedom in their use. Hence the monotonous repetition of such words as $\gamma_{o n n e}$ and for $\begin{gathered}\text { arm }\end{gathered}$ in the
 beforan Gode his ryhtwisnes, ðonne he łurh his agene geornfulnesse
 dyde đær he hit for ðæm ege dorste forlætan' (265.10). As in all early languages the tendency to correlation is strongly developed,
 swelc-swelc, \&c., in the same sentence, where in modern E. the idea would be expressed only once. Hence also pleonasms and repetitions of all kinds abound, especially with the personal pronouns: 'se oferspræcea wer ne wier` he næfre geryht ne gelæred on ðisse worlde' (279.21). The modal and auxiliary verbs are often introduced in a very loose manner, as in the following sentences: 'forbær ðæt he ne dorste ofslean' (199.2); '[hie] hie næfre bilwitlice willað monian' (145.1), where the Latin has simply admonent; so also wile

[^4]toweorpan (169.7) corresponds to the present destruit. In these and similar instances the willan gives no sense of futurity: it is entirely otiose, as much so as the knâttu in the 'knáttu öll ginnóngavé brinna,' of the Haustlöng, and the kunde in Wolfram's 'mit zuht si kunden wider gên, zuo den êrsten vieren stên' (Parz. 234.1 Lachm.). Past tenses, especially the pluperfect, are often strengthened by a pleonastic $\overline{e r} r$, as in this passage: 'ðæt hit sceal suǐe hrædlice afeallan of łære weamodnesse ૪e hit ær onahæfen wæs' (297.20), where the Latin has simply erexerant.

Another result of the difficulty in reproducing the sense of the original is the use of anacoluthons, which are very frequent in the Pastoral: ' $Æ l$ lfred cyning hateð gretan . . . \& ðe cyðan hate' (3.5) ; ' ond symle ymb ðæt ðe hine ðonne tueode, ðonne orn he eft innto ðæm temple' (103.4). Compare also 99.17, 101.55, 107.20.

The evidence afforded by a direct comparison of the translation with the original is of a similar character. Compared with the other works of Alfred, the Pastoral is a very close rendering-no original matter is introduced, nor are sentences expanded into long paragraphs as in the Boethius; yet, according to modern notions, each section of Alfred's is a paraphrase rather than a translation of the corresponding piece of Latin. The rendering of the simplest passages is often attended with wide deviations from the words of the original, which are transposed, omitted and expanded, even when it would seem simpler and easier to have followed the original literally. It is evident that the sole object of the translator was to reproduce the sense of the original in such a way as to be intelligible to an unlearned Englishman of the ninth century. The anxiety to bring out the meaning of the Latin as vividly as possible is strikingly shown in the frequent rendering of a single Latin word by two English ones of practically identical or similar meaning; thus, ' per dolorem purgant' is rendered, 'rurh sar ond ૪urh sorge geclænsiað ond geeałmedał' (34.4) and 'servi' in the heading of XXIX. becomes ' $\succ_{a} \Varangle_{\text {egnas }}$ ond eac $\succ_{a}$ ðeowas.' - In those days, when grammars and dictionaries were hardly known or used, Latin was studied much more as a living language than it is now ; sentences were grasped as wholes, without the minute analysis of modern scholarship, and were consequently translated as wholes.

These remarks will be enough to give a general idea of the relation of the Pastoral to its original, and also of its value in determining the syntactical usages of O . E . : for special syntactic information I must refer to the notes on the several passages.

Many other points of difference between early and late W. S. can only be treated of satisfactorily in a dictionary, especially those which depend on slight variations in isolated words. The investigation of changes in the vocabulary would, of course, require very full and elaborate dictionary work ; and even if such work existed, its results would never be entirely free from doubt, because of the limited range of the literature. It seems, however, tolerably certain that many words in familiar use in Alfred's time became extinct in the eleventh century, or were only used in poetry. Such words as dogor (281.13), holde haweras (229.1\%), and 'habban me to gamene' (249.1) sound archaic and poetical after reading such a writer as Elfric; while leorningeniht, on the other hand, for which Alfred employs Xegn, has a distinctly late character.
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# THE ANGLO-SAXON VERSION <br> OF <br> GREGORY'S PASTORAL, <br> FROM 

THE HATTON MS. AND THE COTTON MSS.

## GREG0RY'S PASTORAL.

[Cotton MSS.]

Đis is seo foresprefc hu S. Gregorius mas boc gedihte pe man Pastoralem neminat.

Elfred kyning hate $\begin{gathered}\text { gretan . . . his wordum luflice \& freondlice ; \& }\end{gathered}$ ðe kyðan hate pret me com suiðe oft on gemynd, hwelce wutan gio wæron geond Angelkynn, ægðer ge godcundra hada ge woruldcundra ; \& hu gesæliglica tida pa wæron geond Angelcynn ; \& hu pa kyningas pe ðone anwald hæfdon ðæs folces Gode \& his ærendwrecum hirsumedon; \& hu hi ægðer ge hiora sibbe ge hiora sido ge hiora anwald innanbordes gehioldon, \& eac ut hiora oe el rymdon ; \& hu him $\begin{aligned} \text { a speow } æ g ð e r ~ g e ~ m i d ~ w i g e ~ g e ~ m i d ~ w i s d o m e ~ ; ~ \& ~ e a c ~ \\ \text { Øa godcundan }\end{aligned}$ hadas hu georne hie weron ægðer ge ymb lare ge ymb leornunga, \& yinb calle" pa ðeowntdomas pe hie Gode don sceoldon ; \& hu mon utanbordes wisdom \& lare hider on lond sohte, \& hu we hi nu sceoldon ute begietan gif we hie habban sceoldon. Swa clæne hio wæs oðfeallenu [oðfeallen nu] on Angelkynne ðætte swiðe feawe wæron behionan'Humbre pe hiora ¡enunga cuðen understandan on Englise, orðe furðum an ærendgewrit of Lædene on Englise areccan; \& ic wene $ð æ t t e ~ n a u h t ~ m o n i g e ~ b e g e o n d a n ~ H u m b r e ~ n æ r e n . ~ S w a ~ f e a w e ~$ hiora wæron ðætte ic furðum anne anlepne ne mæg geðencean besuðan Temese $\nsucc a \not{ }_{a}$ ic to rice feng. Gode ælmiehtegum si $\nsucc$ onc

## This Book is for Worcester.

King Alfred bids greet bishop Wærferth with his words lovingly and with friendship; and I let it be known to thee that it has very often come into my mind, what wise men there formerly were throughout England, both of sacred and secular orders; and how happy times there were then throughout England; and how the kings who had power over the nation in those days obeyed God and his ministers; and they preserved peace, morality, and order at home, and at the same time enlarged their territory abroad ; and how they prospered

## GREG0RY'S PASTORAE



EIfred kyning hate $\begin{aligned} & \text { gretan Wærferð biscep his wordum luflice \& }\end{aligned}$ freondlice; \& $\succ$ e cyðan hate $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { me com swiðe oft ôn gemynd, }\end{array}$ hwelce wiotan iu wæron giond Angelcynu, ægðer ge godcundra hada ge worul[ 1$]$ cundra ; \& hu gesæliglica tida $ð$ a wæron giond Angelcynn ; \&
 Gode \& his ærendwrecum hersumedon ; \& hie ægðer ge hiora sibbe ge hiora siodo ge hiora ônweald innanbordes gehioldon, \& eac ût hiora eðel gerymdon ; \& hu him ða speop ægðer ge mid wige ge mid wisdome ; \& eac $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ godcundan hadas hu giorne hie wæron ægðer ge 10 ymb lare ge ymb liornunga, ge ymb earle $\gamma_{\mathrm{a}}$ خiowotdomas $^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}$ hie Gode [don] scoldon ; \& hu man utanbordes wisdom \& lare hieder ôn lond sohte, \& hu we hie nu sceoldon ute begietan gif we hie habban sceoldon. Swæ clæne hio wæs oðfeallenu ôn Angelcynne ðæt swiðe feawa wæron behionan Humbre ðe hiora ðeninga cuðen understondan 15 ôn Englisc, o૪૪e fur areccean; \& ic wene $\gamma æ t[t e]$ noht monige begiondan Humbre næren. Swæ feawa hiora wæron ðæt ic furðum anne ânlepne ne mæg geðencean besuðan Temese $ð a \nsucc a$ ic to rice feng. Gode ælmihtegum

[^5]ðætte we nu ænigne on stal habbał lareowa. Forðam ic ðe bebeode


 God sealde $\nsupseteq r ~ ð æ r ~ \succ u ~ h i n e ~ b e f æ s t a n ~ m æ g e, ~ b e f æ s t e . ~ G e ð e n c ~ h w e l c ~$ witu us pa becomon for $\begin{aligned} & \text { isse worulde, pa pa we hit nohweæer ne selfe }\end{aligned}$ ne lufedon ne eac orrum monnum ne lifdon [lærdan]: ðone naman anne we hæfdon [lufedon] ðætte we Cristene wæron, \& swiðe feawe
 geseah, ærpæmpe hit eall forheregod wære \& forbærned, hu pa cirican geond eall Angelkynn stodon maðma \& boca gefylda [afylleda] \& eac
 forpæmpe hie heora nan wuht ongietan ne meahton, forpæmpe hie næron on hiora ægen geðeode awritene. Swelce hie cwæden: Ure
 hi begeaton welan \& us læfdon. Her mon mæg giet gesion hiora swæ૪, ac we him ne cunnon æfterspyrigan, forłæm we habbał nu æg૪er forlæten ge pone welan ge pone wisdom, forðampe we noldon to $\nsupseteq m$ spore mid ure mode onlutan. Đa ic pa $\begin{aligned} & \text { is eall gemunde, }\end{aligned}$ pa wundrode ic swiðe swiðe para godena [godra] witena pe giu wæron geond Angelcynn, \& pa bec befullan ealla geleornod hæfdon, pæt hi hiora pa nanne dæl noldon on hiora ægen ge૪iode wendan. Ac ic pa sona eft me selfum andwyrde \& cwæ૪: Hie ne wendon pætte æfre men sceoldon swa reccelease weor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { an }\end{aligned}$ sio lar swa oðfeallan; for
 londe wære $\succ y$ we ma geðioda cu૪on. Đa gemunde ic hu sio æ wæs
that we have any teachers among us now. And therefore I command thee to do as I believe thou art willing, to disengage thyself from worldly matters as often as thou canst, that thou mayest apply the wisdom which God has given thee wherever thou canst, Consider what punishments would come upon us on account of this world, if we neither loved it (wisdom) ourselves nor suffered other men to obtain it: we should love the name only of Christian, and very few of the virtues. \$When I considered all this I remembered also how I saw, before it had been all ravaged and burnt, how the churches throughout the whole of England stood filled with treasures and books, and there was also a great multitude of God's servants, but they had very little knowledge of the books, for they could not understand anything of them, because they were not written in their own language.


 wisdom ૪e ૪e God sealde ૪ær ðær ૪u hiene befæstan mæge，befæste．
 nohwæðer ne selfe ne lufodon ne eac oðrum monnum ne lefdon ：ðone naman anne we lufodon $\chi_{æ t}[t e]$ we Cristne wæren，\＆swiðe feawe $\succ_{a} \succ_{\text {eawas．}} \mathrm{Da}^{\text {ic }} \succ_{\mathrm{a}} \chi_{\text {is }}$ eall gemunde $\succ_{a}$ gemunde ic eac hu ic geseah，ærðæmðe hit eall forhergod wære \＆forbærned，hu $ð$ a ciricean 10 giond eall Angelcynn stodon maðma \＆boca gefyldæ ond eac micel men［i］geo Godes خiowa \＆ða swiðe lytle fiorme ðara boca wiston，for－ ðæmðe hie hiora nan wuht ôngiotan ne meahton forðæmðe hie næron ôn hiora agen geðiode awritene．Swelce hie cwæden：Ure
 15 hie begeaton welan \＆ús læfdon．Her môn mæg giet gesion hiora swæð，ac we him ne cunnon æfterspyrigean，\＆forðæm we habbað nú ægðer forlæten ge ðone welan ge ðone wisdom，forðæmðe we noldon to $\chi_{æ m}$ spore mid ure mode ônlutan． $\mathrm{Da}^{\text {ic }} \chi_{\mathrm{a}} \chi_{\text {is eall }}$ gemunde，$\chi_{a}$ wundrade ic swiłe swiðe ૪ara｀godena wiotona $犭 e$ giu wæron giond
 ða nænne dæl noldon ôn hiora agen geðiode wendan．Ac ic ða sona eft me selfum andwyrde \＆cwæð：Hie ne wendon ðætt［e］æfre menn sceolden swæ re［c］celease weorðan \＆sio lar swæ oðfeallan ；for ðære wilnunga hie hit forleton，\＆woldon $犭 æ t ~ h e r ~ 犭 y ~ m a r a ~ w i s d o m ~ o ̂ n ~ l o n d e ~$ 25 wære ðy we mâ geخeoda cuðon．（ Đa gemunde ic hu sio æ wæs ærest

As if they had said：＂Our forefathers，who formerly held these places，loved wisdom，and through it they obtained wealth and bequeathed it to us．－In this we can still see their tracks，but we cannot follow them，and therefore we have lost．ظoth the wealth and the wisdom，because we would not incline our hearts after their example，When I remembered all this，I wondered ．extremely that the good and wise men who were formerly all over England，and had perfectly learnt all the books，did not wish to translate them into their own language．But again I soon answered myself and said：＂They did not think that men would ever be so careless，and that learning would so decay ；through that desire they abstained from it，and they wished that the wisdom in this＇land might increase with our knowledge of languages．Then I remembered how the law was first
ærest on Ebreisc gexiode funden, \& eft, pa pa hie Crecas geleornodon, pa wendon hi hie on hiora ægen geðiode ealle, \& eac ealle oðre bec. And eft Lædenware swa same, siððan hi hie geleornodon, hi hie wendon ealla ૪urh wise wealhstodas on hiora agen ge reode. \& eac ealla oðra Cristena ðioda sumne dæl hiora on hiora agen geðiode
 bec, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { pe nidbe } \text { yrfesta sien eallum monnum to witanne, pæt we pa }\end{aligned}$
 we swiðe eaðe magon mid Godes fultume, gif we pa stilnesse habbað, ðætte eal sio gioguð pe nu is on Angel kynne friora monna, para pe pa speda hæbben pæet hie 犭æm befeolan mægen, sien to leornunga oðfæste, pa hwile pe hi to nanre oðerre note ne mægen, oð ðone first pe hie wel cunnen Englisc gewrit arædan: lære mon siððan furður on Lædengeðeode pa pe mon furðor læran wille \& to hierran hade don wille. $\triangle$ Đa ic pa gemunde hu sio lar LædengeØeodes ær Øysum oðfeallen wæs geond Angelkynn, \& $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { eah monege cuðon Englisc gewrit arædan, }\end{gathered}$ pa ongan ic ongemang oðrum mislicum \& monigfaldum bisgum خisses kynerices pa boc wendan on Englise pe is genemned on Læden Pastoralis, \& on Englise Hirdeboc, hwilum word be worde, hwilum ondgit of andgite, swæ swæ ic hie geleornode æt Plegmunde minum ærcebiscepe \& æt Asserie minum biscepe \& æt Grimbolde minum mæssepreoste \& æt Iohanne minum mæssepreoste. Sirðan ic hie pa geleornod hæfde, swæ swæ ic hie forstod, \& swæ ic hie andgitfullicost areccean mæhte, ic hie on Englisc awende ; \& to ælcum biscepstole on minum rice wille ane onsendan; \& on ælcre bið an æstel se bir bon
known in Hebrew, and again, when the Greeks had learnt it, they translated the whole of it into their own language, and all other books besides. And again the Romans, fwhen they had learnt it, they translated the whole of it through learned interpreters into their own language. And also all other Christian nations translated a part of them into their own language. Therefore it seems better to me, if ye thinkso, for us also to translate some books which are most needful for all men to know into the language which we can all understand, and for you to do as we very easily can if we have tranquillity enough, that is that all the youth now in England of free men, who are rich enough to be able to devote themselves to it,' be set to learn as long as they are not fit for any other occupation, until that they are well
ôn Ebr[e]ise geðiode funden, \& eft, $\gamma \mathfrak{a}$ hie Creacas geliornodon, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ wwendon hie hie on hiora agen geخiode ealle, : \& eac ealle orre béc. \& eft Lædenware swæ same, siððan hie hie geliornodon, hie hie wendon eall[a] ðurh wise wealhstodas ôn hiora agen ge Xiode. Ond eac ealla 5 oðræ Cristnæ ðioda summe dæl hiora ôn hiora agen ge才iode wendon.
 $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ niedbeðearfosta sien eallum monnum to wiotonne, $\chi_{\text {æt }}$ we $\chi_{a} .0$ on $\gamma_{æ t}$ ge $\chi_{i o d e}$ wenden $\gamma_{e}$ we ealle gecnawan, mægen, \& ge dón swæ we swiðe eaðe magon mid Godes fultume, gif we $\gamma$ a stilnesse 10 habba $\gamma, \chi_{x t}[t e]$ eall sio giogu$\gamma \gamma_{e} n u$ is ôn Angelcynne friora monna,
 liornunga oðferste, ̌a hwile $\Varangle e$ hie to nanre ołerre note ne mægen, or خone first $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ hie wel cunnen Englise gewrit arædan: lære môn siððan furður ôn Lædenge $X_{i}$ de $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ môn fur $\begin{gathered}\text { or læran wille \& to }\end{gathered}$
 ær Xissum afeallen wæs giond Angelcynn, \& Xeah mònige cuðoṇ Englisc gewrit arædan, ða ôngan ic ôngemang ołrum mislicum \& manigfealdum bisgum خisses kynerices $\chi_{a}$ boc wendan ôn Englise $\chi_{e}$ is genemned ôn Læden Pastoralis, \& ón Englise Hierdebôc, hwilum 20 word be worde, hwilum andgit of andgi[e]te, swæ swæ ic hie geliornode æt Plegmunde minum ærcebiscepe \& æt Assere minum biscepe \& æt Grimbolde minum mæsseprioste \& æt Iohanne minum mǽssepreoste. Siððan ic hie; ða geliornod hæfde, swæ swæ ic hic forstad,-.\& swæ ic hie andgitfullicost areccean meahte, ic hie ôn Englise 25.awende: 4 ond to ælcum biscepstole ón minum rice wille aue
able to read English writing: and let those be afterwards taught more in the Latin language who are to continue learning and be promoted to a higher rank of When I remembered how the knowledge of Latin had formerly decayed throughout England, and yet many could read English writing, I began, among other various and manifold troubles of this kingdom, to translate into English the book which is called in Latin Pastoralis, and in English Shepherd's Book, sometimes word by word and sometimes according to the sense, as I had learnt it from Plegmund my archbishop, and Asser my bishop, and Grimbold my mass-priest, and John my mass-priest. And when I had learnt it as I could best understand it, and as I could most clearly interpret it, I translated it into English; and I will send

Liftegum moncessa. Ond ic bibiode on Godes noman pæt nan mon خone æstel from pære bec ne doe, ne pa boc from pæm mynstre: uncuł hu longe pær swæ gelærede biscopas sien, swæ swæ nu Gode〇onc well hwær sindon; forły ic wolde $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { tte } \\ \text { hie ealneg æt } ð æ r e ~\end{aligned}$ stowe wæren, buton se biscep hie mid him habban wille orre hio hwær to læne sie, ofðe hwa orre biwrite.)

Đis ærendgewrit Agustinus ofer saltne sæ suðan brohte iegbuendum, swæ hit ær foreadihtode dryhtnes cempa Rome papa. Ryhtspell monig Gregorius gleawmod gindwod ðurh sefan snyttro, searoðonca hord. Forðon he moncynnes mæst gestrynde rodra wearde, Romwara betest, monna modwelegost, mær૪um gefrægost. Siððan min on Englise Ælfred kyning awende worda gehwelc, \& me his writerum sende suð \& nor $\gamma$; heht him swelcra ma brengan be ðære bysene, pæt he his biscepum sendan meahte, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hie his sume }\end{aligned}$ خorfton, خa pe Lædenspræce læsðe cuðon:-
I. Đætte unlærede ne dyrren underfôn lareowdom.
II. Ne eft pa gelæredan, pe swæ nyllar libban swæ hie on bocum leornodon, ðæt hie ne sceoldon underfon pa are ðæs lareowdomes.
III. Be pære byrðenne pæs reccenddomes, \& hu he scile eall earfeðo forseon, \& hu forht he sceal beon for ælere orsorgnesse.
a copy to every bishopric in my kingdom; and on each there is a clasp worth fifty mancus. And I command in God's name that no man take the clasp from the book or the book from the minster: it is uncertain how long there may be such learned bishops as now, thanks be to God, the $\begin{gathered}\text { dare nearly everywhere; therefore I wish }\end{gathered}$ them always to remain in their place, unless the bishop wish to take them with him, or they be lent out anywhere, or any one make a copy from them.

This message Augustine over the salt sea brought from the south to the islanders, as the Lord's champion had formerly decreed it, the pope of Rome. The wise Gregorius was versed in many true doctrines through the wisdom of his mind, his hoard of cunning thoughts.
onsendan; \& ôn ælcre bił ân æstel, se bið on fiftegum mancessa. Ond ic bebiode ôn Godes naman ðæt nan môn $\not$ one æstel from ðære béc ne dô, ne ða bôc from ðæm mynstre : uncu૪ hu longe ðær swæ gelærede biscepas sien, swæ swæ nu Gode خonc wel $5 \mathrm{hwær}$ siendon; forðy ic wolde $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { [te] } \\ & \text { hie ealneg æt } \text { ære stowe }\end{aligned}$ wæren, buton se biscep hie mid him habban wille orðe hio hwær to læne sie, oððe hwa oðre biwrite. $\qquad$
pis ærendgewrit Agustinus ofer sealtne sæ suðan brohte iegbuendum, swa hit ær foreadihtode dryhtnes cempa Rome papa. 10 Ryhtspell monig Gregorius gleawmod gindwôd ðurh sefan snyttro, searoðonca hord. Forðæm he monncynnes mæst gestriende rodra wearde, Romwara betest, monna modwelegost mærðum gefrægost. Siððan min on Englise Ælfred kyning awende worda gehwelc, \& me his writerum sende suð \& norð ; heht him swelcra mâ brengan bi ðære
 ૪orfton, ૪a ૪e Lædenspræce læste cuðon:-
I. Đætte unlærede ne dyrren underfôn lariowdôm.

II. Ne eft $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ gelæredan, $\chi$ e sua nyllað libban sua hie on bocum leornedon, ðæt hie sceoldon [ne] underfôn $\chi_{a}$ áre |  |
| ---: | :--- | lariowdômes.

III. Be ðære byrðenne ðæs reccenddômes, \& hu he scyle eall earfoðu forsion, \& hu forht he sceal bion for ælcre órsorgnesse.

[^6]IIII. Ond hu oft sio bisgung ðæs rices \& خæs recendomes toslit pet mod pres recceres.
V. Be ðæm pe magon on aldordome nytte beon on bisnum \& on cræftum, \& 久onne for hiora agenre ieðnesse peet fleo૪.
 domes; 犭onne hie beoł rylhtlice eałmode ponne hie ne winnað wið pone godcundan dom.
VII. Đætte oft pæs lareowdomes penung bið swiðe untælwierðelice gewilnod, \& eac swiðe untælwierðlice monige bioð togenidde.
VIII. Be ðæm pe wilniað biscephad to underfonne, hu hie gegripað خone cwide pæs apostoles Paules hira gidsunge to fultome.
VIIII. Hu ðæt mod $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rtte wilna } \\ \text { fore [for] ołre bion, lihð himselfum }\end{array}$ ponne hit ðenč fela godra weorca to wyrceanne, \& ðæt licet orrum monnum, gif he worldare hæbbe, \& wile hit ponne oferhebban siððan he hie hæf $\chi$.
X. Hwelc se bion sceal se to reccenddome cuman sceal.
XI. Hwelc se bion sceal se prerto cuman ne sceal.
XII. Hu se se pe gedafenlice \& endebyrdlice to cymð, hu he pæron drohtigean scile.
XIII. Hu se lareow sceal bion clæne on his mode.

XIIII. Hu se lareow sceal bion on his weorcum fyrest [fyrmest].
XV. Hu se lareow sceal bion gesceadwis on his swigean \& nytwyrðe on his wordum.
IV. And how often the occupation of power and government. distracts the mind of the ruler.
V. Concerning those who are able to be useful when in power, both by their example and virtues, and yet for their own comfort avoid it.
VI. Concerning those who through humility avoid the burden of government, but if they are really humble do not resist the divine decree.
VII. That often the ministration of teaching is very blamelessly desired, and that often many very blamelessly are compelled to undertake it.
VIII. Concerning those who wish to be made bishops, how they seize on the words of the Apostle Paul to defend their desire.

IIII. Ond hu oft sio bisgung |  |
| :---: |
| æs rices $\& ~ ð æ s ~ r e c e d o m e s ~ t o s l i t ~[~$ |
| æt | mód] ðæs recceres.

V. Bi $\not$ æm $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ magon ôn ealdordome nytte bion ôn bisnum \& ón cræftum, \& خonne for hiora agenre ieðnesse ðæt fleoð.

 winnað wið ðone godcundan dôm.
 gewilnad, \& eac suiðe untælwyrðlice monige bioð togeniedde.
VIII. Bi đæm $\begin{aligned} & \text { e wilnał biscephad to underfônne, hu hie gegripað }\end{aligned}$ ðone cuide $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { ra } \\ \text { apostoles Paules hira gitsunge to fultume. }\end{array}$
 hit $\begin{aligned} & \text { enc } \\ & \text { fela godra weorea to wrcanne, \& ðæt licet ołrum }\end{aligned}$ monnum, gif he woroldare hæbbe, \& wile hit ðonne oferhebban siððan he hi hæf $\gamma$.
X. Huelc se beon sceal $\mathrm{\gamma e}^{\mathrm{e}}$ to reccenddome cuman sceal.
XI. Huelc se beon sceal se ðærto cuman ne sceal.
XII. Hu se se $\mathrm{\delta e}$ gedafenlice \& endebyrdlice to cymð, hu he ðærôn drohtian scyle.
XIII. Hu se lareow sceal beon clæne on his mode.

XIIII. Hu se lariow sceal beon on his weorcum fyrmes $\gamma$.
XV. Hu se lariow sceal beon gesceadwis ôn his suigean \& nyttwyrðe on his wordum.

[^7]XVI. Hu se lareow sceal bion eallum monnum efnðrowiende \& fore $\begin{aligned} & \text { encende on hira earfe } \\ & \text { eum. }\end{aligned}$
XVII. Hu se reccere sceal bion $\Varangle æ m$ weldondum monnum fore eałmodnesse gefera, \& wið para yflena unخeawas strec for ryhtwislecum andan.
XVIII. Hu se lareow ne sceal pa innerran giemenne gewanian for pære uterran abisgunge, ne eft pa uterran ne forlæte he for pære innerran.
XVIIII. Đætte se reccere his godan weorc for gilpe anum ne dô, ac ma for Godes lufan.
XX. Đætte se reccere sceal gearlice [geornlice] witan $\begin{array}{r}\text { tte } \\ \text { oft }\end{array}$ pa unðeawas leoga久 \& licettað pæt hie sien gode peawas.
XXI. Hu gesceadwis se reccere sceal bion on his $\begin{aligned} \text { reaunga \& on }\end{aligned}$ his oleccunga, \& eac on his hatheortnesse \& on his man久wærnesse.
XXIL. Hu swiðe se reccere sceal bion on his smeaunga abisgod on pære [ymb pa] halgan æ.
XXIII. Hu micel scyle bion pæt toscead, \& hu mislice mon scyle men læran mid $ð æ m$ cræfte ૪æs lareowdomes.
XXIIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne weras, on ołre wiif.
XXV. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne pa iungan, on oðre pa ealdan.
XXVI. Đætte on ơre wisan sint to monianne pa welegan, on oðre pa wædlan.
XVI. How the teacher is to be sympathizing with, and mindful of, all men in their troubles.
XVII. How the ruler is to be the companion of the welldoers from humility, and severe against the vices of the wicked from righteous anger.
XVIII. How the teacher is not to diminish his care of inner things on account of outer occupations, nor on the other hand neglect the outer affairs for the inner.
XIX. That the ruler is not to do his good works for vainglory only, but rather for the love of God.
XX. That the ruler is to know accurately that vices often deceive and pretend to be virtues.
XVI. Hu se lariow sceal bion eallum monnum efnðrowiende \& fore $\begin{aligned} & \text { encende on hira earfe } \\ & \text { um. }\end{aligned}$

XVII. Hu se reccere sceal bion |  |
| :---: |
| weldoendum monnum fore | eaðmodnesse gefera, \& wið ðara yfelena unðeawas stree for ryhtwislecum andan.

XVIII. Hu se lariow ne sceal $\gamma_{a}$ inneran gimenne gewanian for $\succ_{\text {ær }}(\mathrm{e})$ uterran abisgunge, ne eft $\gamma$ a uterran ne forlete he for ðære innerran.
XVIIII. Đætte [se] reccere his gôdan weore fore gielpe anum ne dô, ac ma for Godes lufan.
XX. Đætte se reccere sceal geornlice witan ðætte oft ða ûnðeawas leogað \& licettað ðætte hi sien gode ðeawas.
XXI. Hu gesceadwis se reccere sceal bion ôn his $\begin{array}{r}\text { rea[u]nga \& }\end{array}$ [on] his oleccunga, \& eac ôn his hatheortnesse \& on his manðwærnesse.
XXII. Hu suiðe se reccere sceal bion ôn his smeaunga abisgod ymb $\chi_{a}$ halga[n] æ.
XXIII. Hu micel scyle bion $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rt toscead, \& hu mislice mon scyle }\end{array}$ men læran mid $犭 æ m ~ c r æ f t e ~ ૪ æ s ~ l a r e o w d o m e s . ~$
20 XXIIII. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to manianne weras, ôn ołre wiif.
XXV. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{array}{r}\text { a iungan, ôn o o re }\end{array}$ ða ealdan.
XXVI. Đætte ón ołre wisan sint to manian[n]e ða welegan, ôn oðre ða wædlan.
XXI. How discreet the ruler is to be in his blaming and flattering, and also in his zeal and gentleness.
XXII. How greatly the ruler is to be engaged in his meditation about the holy law.
XXIII. How great is to be the distinction and how variously men are to be taught with the art of instruction.
XXIV. That men are to be admonished in one way, in another women.
XXV. That the young are to be admonished in one way, in another the old.
XXVI. That the rich are to be admonished in one way, in another the poor.
XXVII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne pa gladan, on oðre pa unrotan.
XXVIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monionne ja aldormen, on oðre [wisan] pa hieremen.
XXVIIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa hlafordas, on ołre wisan ja ðegnas \& eac pa deowas.
XXX. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa dolan, on ołre pa wisan.
XXXI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa scamfeestan, on ołre pa scamleasan.
XXXII. Đætte on orre wisan sint to monianne pa ofermodan \& pa upahæfenan on hira mode, on orre wisan pa earmheortan \& pa wâcmodan.
XXXIII. Đrtte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa ungeðyldegan, on ołre pa gedyldegan.
XXXIIII. Đætte on orre wisan sint to monianne pa welwillendan, \& on orre ja æfstegan.
XXXV. Đrtte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa bilwitan, on ołre pa ł̌weoran. [\& pa lytegan.]
XXXVI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne pa halan, on oðre pa unhalan.
XXXVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne pa pe him ondrædað Godes swingellan ołðe monna, \& for py forlætað pæt hi yfel ne doð; on oðre wisan $ð$ a pe bioð swæ aheardode
XXVII. That the cheerful are to be admonished in one way, in another the sad.
XXVIII. That princes are to be admonished in one way, in another subjects.
XXIX. That masters are to be admonished in one way, in another servants and slaves.
XXX. That the foolish are to be admonished in one way, in another the wise.
XXXI. That the modest are to be admonished in one way, in another the shameless.
XXXII. That the proud and puffed up in spirit are to be admonished in one way, in another the humble and fainthearted.
XXVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ gladan, ón oðre $૪$ a unrotan.
XXVIII. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to monianne $\nsucc a$ aldormen, ón o $\succ_{\text {re }}$ wisan $\partial_{a}$ hiremen.
5 XXVIIII. Đætte on [o] ${ }^{2}$ re wisan sint to monianne $\nsucc a$ hlafordas, ón (o) $\succ_{r e}$ wisan $\succ_{a} \chi_{\text {egnas }}^{\&}$ eac $\succ_{a} \succ_{\text {eowas. }}$
XXX. Đætte on oठre wisan sint to monian(n)e $ð$ a dolan, ôn ołre ૪a wisan.
XXXI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne ða scamfæstan, ôn orre $\not$ かa scamleasan.
XXXII. Đætte on o૪re wisan sint to monianne $\gamma$ a ofermodan \&
 heortan \& $\succ$ a wâemodan.
XXXIII. Đætte ón oðre wisan sint to monianne ða ungeðylðegan, \& on o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { a ge ge } \\ & \text { gldegan. }\end{aligned}$
XXXIIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monian(n)e $\begin{array}{r}\text { a welwillendan, }\end{array}$ \& on oðre $\succ$ a æfstegan.
XXXV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $\Varangle a$ bilwitan, on oڭre $ð a ~ \npreceq w e o r a n ~ \& ~ \succ a ~ l y t e g a n . ~$
20 XXXVI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\not{ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ halan, on o $\not$ re ૪а únhalan.
XXXVII. Đætte on o $\not$ re wisan sint to monianne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ him ondræda $\gamma$ Godes suingellan ołxe monna, \& for $\nsucc y$ forlæta $૪$ ૪t hi yfel ne doð; on o $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{re}}$ wisan $\gamma$ a $૪$ e bio૪ sua âheardode
XXXIII. That the impatient are to be admonished in one way, the patient in another.
XXXIV. That the kindhearted are to be admonished in one way, the envious in another.
XXXV. That the simple are to be admonished in one way, the perverse and cunning in another.
XXXVI. That the healthy are to be admonished in one way, the unhealthy in another.
XXXVII. That those who dread the punishments of God or men, and therefore abstain from doing evil, are to be admonished in one way; in another those who are so
on unryhtwisnesse pæt hi mon ne mæg mid nanre ૪reaunge ge reatigean［preatigan］．$^{2}$
XXXVIII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\chi_{a}$ pe to swiðe swigge bio久，on ołre wisan $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ pe willað to fela idles \＆unnyttes gesprecan．
XXXVIIII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\chi$ a pe bioð to late，on o㐅re $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe bio $\mathrm{l}_{\text {to }}$ hrade．
XL．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a mon $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ranan，}\end{array}$ on oðre $\gamma_{a}$ grambæran．
XLI．Đætte on o ${ }^{\text {ree }}$ wisan sint to monianne $ð a$ eałmodan， on o $\gamma_{r e}$ wisan $\gamma_{a}$ upahæfenan on hira mode．
XLII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\gamma_{a}$ anwillan，on oðre ða ungestæððegan $\& ~ ð a ~ u n f æ s t r æ d a n . ~_{\text {un }}$
XLIII．Đætte on orre wisan sint to monianne $\gamma$ a pe hi selfe forgifað gifernesse，on ołre wisan $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe doð for－ hæfdnesse．
XLIIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a pe hira agnu ૪ing mildheortlice sellað，on oðre wisan $\gamma$ a pe ponne git wilniał oðerra monna gereafian．
XLV．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne đa pe nohwæðer ne oðerra monna ne wilniað，ne hira agen nyllað sellan； on ołre wisan $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe willað sellan pæt hi gestrienað，\＆ łeah nyllał geswican pæt hi oðre men ne reafien．
XLVI．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ge } \text { なwæran，}\end{aligned}$ on oðre ða unge $^{\text {unwran．}}$
hardened in unrighteousness that they cannot be moved by any chiding．
XXXVIII．That those who are too silent are to be admonished in one way，in another way those who like to speak too much of what is frivolous and useless．
XXXIX．That those who are too slow are to be admonished in one way，in another those who are too hasty．
XL．That the goodnatured are to be admonished in one way， in another the spiteful．
XLI．That the humble are to be admonished in one way，in another those who are puffed up in spirit．
XLII．That the obstinate are to be admonished in one way，in another the fickle and inconstant．
ôn unryhtwisnesse łæt hi mon ne mæg mid nanre ðreaunge geðreatian.
XXXVIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð a$ ðe to suiłe suige beoð, on o o re wisan $\succ_{a} \chi_{e}$ willað to fela idles \& unnyttes gesprecan.
XXXIX. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\gamma_{a}$ ૪e bioð to late, on ołre ða ðe bioð to hrade.

XL. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne |  |
| :---: |
| mon |
| wwran | on ołre [ $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ] grambæran.

XLI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\nsucc a$ eaðmodan, on oðre wisan $\gamma$ a uppabæfenan on hira mode.
XLII. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to monianne $\succ_{a}$ ânwillan,

XLIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð a \nprec e \mathrm{hi}$ selfe forgiefað gifernesse, on oðre wisan $\nsucc$ a $\not$ e doð forhæfdnesse.
XLIIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð \mathrm{a}$ ðe hira agenu ðing mildheortlice sellað, \& on oðre wisan $\gamma_{a} \chi_{e}$ ðan[ne] git will[ni]að ołerra monna gereafian.
XLV. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a $૪$ e nohuæðer ne ołra monua ne wilniað, ne hira agen nyllað sellan; on oðre wisan $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ willað sellan $\nsucc$ æt hi gestrinað \& ðeah nyllað geswican ðæt hi ołre men ne reafien.
XLVI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{o}]$ nianne $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}$ geðwæran, on oðre ૪a ungeðwæran.
XLIII. That those who give themselves up to gluttony are to be admonished in one way, in another those who are abstinent.
XLIV. That those who generously give away their own things are to be admonished in one way, and in another way those who still wish to seize on those of other men.
XLV. That those who neither desire the property of other men nor to give away their own are to be admonished in one way, in another way those who wish to give away what they gain and yet are not willing to cease robbing other men.
XLVI. That the quiet are to be admonishcd in one way, in another the turbulent.
XLVII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð a$ wrohtgeornan, on ołre $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a gesibsuman. }\end{aligned}$
XLVIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a pe $\nprec a$ halgan $æ$ ryhtlice ongietan ne cunnon; on ołre wisan $ð$ a pe hie ryhtlice ongietað, \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse swigiað pæt hi hie ne bodiað.
XLVIIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\gamma$ a pe medomlice cunnon læran, \& ðeah for miclum ege \& for micelre ea $\Varangle$ modnesse forwandiað ; on oðre wisan $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe ૪onne git to $ð æ m$ gewintrede ne bioð ne geðigene, \& ðeah for hrædhydignesse bior to gegripene.
L. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a pe worldare wilniað, \& hi خonne orsorglice habbał ; on ołre wisan ða pe worldare wilniað, \& ðonne hi gewilnode habbar, hi $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne mid micelre earfoðnesse \& mid micle broce }\end{aligned}$ onwuniað.
LI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe bioð gebundne mid sinrædenne, on oðre wisan $\nprec a$ pe freo bio $ð$ łara benda.
LII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\chi_{a}$ pe gefandod habbał ðara flæsclicra synna, on oðre wisan $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe $ð æ s$ nowyht ne cunnon.
LIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\searrow_{a}$ pe $ð a$ geworhtan synna wepar, on oðre wisan [wisan omitted] pa pe ða geðohtan wepað.
XLVII. That the quarrelsome are to be admonished in one way, in another the peaceable.
XLVIII. That those who cannot rightly understand the holy law are to be admonished in one way, in another way those who understand it rightly, and yet from humility refrain from preaching it.
XLIX. That those who can teach well, and yet hesitate from great fear and humility, are to be admonished in one way, and in another way those who are not yet old or experienced enough for it, and yet hastily undertake it.
L. That those who desire worldly honour and then possess it
XLVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monian(n)e $\succ_{a}$ wrohtgeornan, on o૪re ૪a [ge]sibsuman.
XLVIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monian(n)e ða ðe $\nprec a$ halgan æ ryhtlice ongitan ne cunnan ; ôn ołre wisan [ $\chi_{a}$ ] $\chi_{e}$ hi ryhtlice angieta久 \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse swigiað ðæt hi hie ne bodiat.
XLVIIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $૪$ ૪ $\prec$ medomlice cunnon læran, \& خeah for miclum ege \& for micelre

 for hredhy[dignesse] beor to gegripene.
L. Đætte on oðre [wisan] sint to monianne $\succ_{a}$ ૪e woroldare wilniað, \& hi ðonne orsorglice habbað ; \& on oðre wisan $\chi_{a}$ ૪e woroldare wilniað, \& 犭onne hi gewilnode habbað,
 onwuniað.
 dene mid sômrædenne, on o o re wisan $ð a \nprec e$ freo beo $ð$ ðara benda.
LII. Đætte ôn oðre wisan sint to monianne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ gefandod
 nowiht ne cunnan.
LIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\chi_{a} \succ_{\mathrm{e}} \succ_{a}$ [ge]worhtan synna wepað, on oðre $ð$ a $ð$ e $\succ_{a}$ geðohtan wepað.
prosperously are to be admonished in one way, and in another way those who desire worldly honour, and after having desired it, possess it with great trouble and misfortune.
LI. That those who are married are to be admonished in one way, in another those who are free from those ties.
LII. That those who have tried the sins of the flesh are to be admonished in one way, in another those who have no experience of them.
LIII. That those who weep for the sins they have done are to be admonished in one way, in another those who weep for those they have meditated.
 scylda wepað, \& hi swæðeah ne forlætað; on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ pe hi no ne hreowsiað, \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah forlætað. }\end{aligned}$
LV. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monionne $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ pe $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { unalifedan }\end{aligned}$
 swæðeah doð.
LVI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a pe swiðe hrædlice biuð oferswiðde mid sumre unryhtre gewilnunge ; on ołre wisan $ð$ a pe longe ær ymbðeahtigeað, \& hit ðonne on last ðurhteoð.
LVII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\rtimes_{a}$ pe oftrædlice lytla scylda wyrceað; on oðre wisan $_{\mathrm{a}}$ pe hi gehealdað wið $_{\mathrm{a}}$ lytlan scylda, \& ðeah hwilum [hwiltidum] afeallað on hefegum scyldum.
LVIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ a pe nanwuht godes ne onginnał ; on ołre wisan $\gamma$ a pe hit onginnað, \& wel ne geendiar.
LVIIII. Đætte on o૪re wisan sint to monianne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { pe digellice } y f e l\end{array}$
 hi to gode doð, \& of sumum ðingum openlice cyðað pæt hi willað pæt men wenen pæt hi yfle beon.
LX. Embe pæt hu man monige scyndan scile to pæm pætte his godan dæda ne weorðen to yflum dædum.
LXI. Embe pæt hu mon ænue mon scyndan scile ðonne he yfle costunga monige ðrowað.
LIV. That those who weep for the sins they have committed, and yet do not give them up, are to be admonished in one way, in another those who do not repent of them and yet give them up.
LV. That those who praise their unlawful deeds are to be admonished in one way, in another those who blame them and yet do them.
LVI. That those who are soon overcome by any unlawful desire are to be admonished in one way, in another those who consider it long before and at last carry it out.
LVII. That those who often commit small sins are to be admonished

LIIII．Đætte on oðre wisan to monian（n）e $\succ_{a} \gamma_{e}$ ðurhtogena scylda wepað，\＆hi suađeah ne forlætað；on oðre wisan $\chi_{a}$ ðe hi nô ne hreowsia久，\＆ðeah forlætað．
LV．Đætte on o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & r e \text { wisan sint to monianne } \\ & \partial \gamma_{e} \\ & \text { a unaliefedan }\end{aligned}$
 suaðeah dor．
LVI．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianue $\succ_{a}$ ૪e suiðe hrædlice beor ofersui $[\gamma]$ de mid sumere unryhtre gewilnunge ；on
 laš 犭urlteo久．
LVII．Đætte on o $\begin{aligned} \text { re wisan sint to monianne } & \chi_{a} \gamma_{e} \text { ofthræ［d］lice }\end{aligned}$ lytla scylda wyrcea $\gamma$ ，on o $\nsucc$ re wisan $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ hi gehealda $\gamma$ wið pa lytlan scylda，\＆ðeah hwiltidum afealleð on hefegum scyldum．
LVIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $\Varangle_{a} \succ_{e}$ nan wuht godes ne onginnað；on oðre wisan $ð$ ə ðe hit onginnað， \＆wel ne geendiað．
LVIIII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $ð$ ð $\rceil$ deogollice yfel do૪ \＆gód openlice ；on ołre wisan $\nsucc$ a $૪$ e willał helan ðæt hi to gode doð，\＆of［s］umum $\begin{gathered}\text { ingum openlice kyðað }\end{gathered}$

LX．Ymbe $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ hu man monige scyndan scyle to $\begin{aligned} & \text { æm } \\ & \text { Øætte } \\ & \text { his }\end{aligned}$ godan dæda ne weorðen to yfelum dædum．
LXI．Ymbe $犭 æ)^{2}$ hu mon ænne mon scyndan scile $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { he } y f l e ~\end{aligned}$ costunga monige $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { rowað．}\end{aligned}$
in one way，in another way those who abstain from small sins，and yet sometimes fall into great sins．
LVIII．That those who begin no good work are to be admonished in one way，in another those who begin it and do not end it well．
LIX．That those who do evil secretly and good openly are to be admonished in one way，in another those who wish to con－ ceal their good deeds，and to a certain extent openly show that they wish men to think they are evil．
LX．How many a one is to be exhorted that his good works may not become evil．
LXI．How a man is to be exhorted when he suffers many evil temptations．
LXII. Đætte hwilum $>$ a leohtan scylda bioð betran to forlætonne, ðylæs ða hefegran weorðen ðurhtogen [ðurhtogene].
LXIII. Đætte ðara untrumena mod mon ne scyle eallenga to healice læran.
LXIIII. Be $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { weorcum } \nsucc æ s ~ l a r e o w e s ~ \& ~ b e ~ h i s ~ w o r d u m . ~\end{array}$
LXV. Đonne hwa خis eal gefylled hæbbe, hu he خonne sceal hine selfne geðencean \& ongietan, ðylæs hine auðer oððe his lif orðe his lar to upahebbe.

Đu leofesta broður, swiðe freondlice \& swiðe fremsumlice ૪u me tældest, \& mid eałmode ingeðonce $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { me ciddest, for } \text { æm ic min }\end{aligned}$ mað, \& wolde fleon $ð a$ byrðenne ðære hirdelican gemenne. Đara byrðenna hefignesse, eall pret ic his geman, ic awrite on خisse andweardan bec, خylæs hi hwæm leohte خyncen to underfonne; \& ic eac lære pæt hira nan Øara ne wilnige pe hine unwærlice begâ ; \& se pe hi unwærlice \& unryhtlice gewilnige, ondræde he pæt he hi æfre underfenge. Nu ic wilnige pætte ðeos spræc stigge on pæt ingeðonc ðæs leorneres, swæ swæ on sume hlædere, stæpmælum near \& near,
 \& for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { ic hi todæle on feower : an is خara dæla hu he on خone }\end{aligned}$ folgor becume; oðer hu he pæron libbe; łridda [૪ridde] is hu he pæron lære; feor $\begin{aligned}\text { [feor } ð e] ~ h u ~ h e ~ h i s ~ a g e n e ~ u n ~ & \text { Ceawas ongietan }\end{aligned}$ wille \& hira geðæf bion, bylæs he for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y underfenge his eałmod- }\end{aligned}$ nesse forlæte, o૪૪e eft his lif sie ungelic his ૪enenga, orðe he to ðriste \& to stið sie for $ð \mathrm{y}$ underfenge his lareowdomes ; ac gemetgige
LXII. That it is often better to leave the lighter sins alone, lest the more serious be carried out.
LXIII. That weak minds are not to be taught too loftily.
LXIV. Concerning the works of the teacher and his words.
LXV. When any one has performed all this, how he is then to consider and understand himself, lest either his life or teaching puff him up too much.

Thou dearest brother, very friendlily and very profitably thou blamedst me, and with humble spirit thou chidedst me, because I hid myself, and wished to flee the burden of pastoral care. The heaviness of which burdens (all that I remember of it) I will write
LXII. Đætte hwilum $\chi_{a}$ leohtan scylda beoð beteran to forlætan, ૪ylæs $\chi_{a}$ hefegran weor૪en $\not$ uurhtogen.
LXIII. Đætte $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { untruman mód mon ne scyle eallinga to helice }\end{array}$ læran.
5 LXIIII. Be $\npreceq æ m$ weorcum $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { s } \\ \text { lareowes } \& ~ b e ~ h i s ~ w o r d u m . ~\end{array}$
LXV. Đonne hwa خis eall gefylled hæbbe, hu he $\begin{aligned} \text { onne sceal hine }\end{aligned}$ selfne geðencean \& ongietan, ðylæs hine auðer oððe his lif orðe his lar tô úpảhebbe.
pu leofusta broður, suiðe freondlice \& suiðe fremsumlice $\gamma_{u}$ me
 mẩ, \& wolde fleon $\not$ ła byrðenne 犭ære hirdelecan giemenne. Đara byrðenna hefignesse, eall $\not æ t$ ic his geman, ic awrite on $\begin{aligned} \text { isse andwear- }\end{aligned}$ dan bêc, خylæs hi hwæm leohte ðyncen to underfônne ; \& ic eac lære ðæt hira nan ðara ne wilnie ðe hine unwærlice begá ; \& se ðe hi un15 wærlice \& unryhtlice gewilnige, ondræde he ðæt he hi æfre u[n]derfenge. Nu ic wilnige ðætte ૪eos spræc stigge on ðæt inge૪onc ðæs leorneres, suæ suæ on sume hlædre, stæpmælum near \& near, ołðæt
 for $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ ic [hi] todæle [on] feower: ân is ðara dæla hu he on 20 [久one] folgoł becume; ołer hu he ðæron libbe ; łridda is hu he ðæron lære; feorðe is hu he his agene unðeawas ongietan wille
 forlæte, ołðe eft his lif sie ungelic his خenunga, o૪ðe he to $\begin{aligned} \text { riste }\end{aligned}$ \& to stið sie for $\begin{aligned} & \text { underfenge his lareowdomes; ac gemetgige }\end{aligned}$
of in this present book, lest they seem to any one easy to undertake; and I also advise no one to desire them who manages them rashly; and let him who desires them rashly and unrighteously fear ever undertaking them. Now I wish this discourse to rise in the mind of the learner as on a ladder, step by step, nearer and nearer, until it firmly stands on the floor of the mind which learns it and therefore I divide it into four parts : one of the divisions is how he is to attain the dignity; the second how he is to live in it ; the third is how he is to teach in it ; the fourth is how he is to desire to perceive his own faults, and subdue them, lest, having attained it, he lose his humility, or, again, lest his life be unlike his ministration, or he be too presumptuous and severe because he has
hit se ege his agenra unðeawa, \& befæste he mid his lifes bisenum ða lare ðæm pe his wordum ne geliefen ; \& خonne he god weorc wyrce, gemyne he ðæs yfles pe he worhte, pætte sio unrotnes, pe he

 deman eagum sie ahæfen on his mode \& on ofermettum ałunden, \& $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { łurh pet selflice his godan weore forleose. Ae monige }\end{array}$ sindon me swiðe onlice on ungelærednesse, خeah pe hi næfre leorningcnihtas næren, wilniað ૪eah lareowas to beonne, \& Øynceð him swiðe leoht sio byrłen pæs lareowdomes, forðonpe hie ne cunnon pæt mægen his micelnesse. From そære dura selfre خisse bec, pæt is
 him agniað $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { one cræft } \text { æes lareowdomes pe hi na ne geleornodon. }\end{aligned}$
I. Đætte unlærede ne dyrren underfon lareowdom.

Forðonpe nan cræft nis to læronne $\searrow æ m$ pe hine ær geornlice ne leornode, forhwon beoð æfre swæ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { riste } \\ & \partial a \\ & \text { ungelæredan pæt hi }\end{aligned}$ underfón pa heorde ðæs lareowdomes, خonne se cræft pæs lareowdomes bið cræft ealra cræfta? Hwa nat pæt $\gamma$ a wunda $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { æs modes bio } \gamma ~\end{aligned}$ digelran Xonne pa wunda ðæs lichoman? \& Xeah pa worldlecan læceas scomał pæt hi onginnen pa wunda lacnian pe hi gesion ne magon, \& huru gif hi nouðer gecnawan ne cunnon ne pa medtrymnesse ne eac pa wyrta pe ðær wið sculon. \& hwilon ne scomað ða pe ðæs modes læceas bion scoldon, ðeah pe hi nane wuht [nanwuht] ongitan
attained the post of instruction ; but let the fear of his own faults moderate it, and let him confirm with the example of his life his teaching for those who do not believe his words; and when he has performed a good work, let him remember the evil he has done, that his contrition for his evil deeds may moderate his joy for his good works ; lest he lee puffed up in spirit before the eyes of the unseen Judge, and inflated with pride, and so through his egotism lose his good works. But there are many who seem to me to be very similar in want of learning, who, although they were never disciples, yet wish to be teachers, and think the burden of teaching very light, because they do not know the power of its greatness. From the very door of this book, that is, from the beginning of this discourse, the unwary are driven away and blamed, who arrogate to themselves the art of teaching which they never learned.
hit se ege his agenra unðeawa, \& befæste he mid his lifes bisenum ða lare $\succ æ m ~ \succ e ~ h i s ~ w o r d u m ~ n e ~ g e l i e f e n ~ ; ~ \& ~ 犭 o n n e ~ h e ~ g o ́ d ~ w e o r e ~ w y r c e, ~$

 5 weorcum hæfde; خylæs he beforan ðæs dieglan deman eagum sie âhafen on his mode \& on ofermettum aðunden, \& Xonne ૪urh ðæt selflice his godan weore forleose. Ac monige sindon me suiðe ônlice
 ðeah lareowas to beonne, \& łyncet him suiðe leoht sio byrðen ðæs 10 lareowdomes, for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { on } \text { he } \\ & \text { he cunnon } \\ & \text { ไæt mægen his micelnesse. }\end{aligned}$ From $\begin{aligned} & \text { ære } \\ & \text { dura selfre } \\ & \text { خisse } \\ & \text { béc, } \\ & \chi æ t \\ & \text { is from onginne } \\ & \text { خisse sprece, }\end{aligned}$
 lareowdomes $\partial \mathrm{e}$ hi na ne geleornodon.

## I. Đætte unlærde ne dyrren underfôn lareowdôm.

15 Forðonðe nan cræft nis to læranne ðæm ૪e hine ær geornlice ne leornode, forhwon beoð æfre suæ $\begin{aligned} \text { riste } & \Varangle_{a} \text { ungelæredan } \searrow_{æ t} \text { hi }\end{aligned}$

 digelran $\chi_{o n n e} \succ_{a}$ wunda $\succ_{æ s}$ lichaman? \& $\chi_{\text {eah }} \chi_{a}$ woroldlecan 20 læcas scomap $犭$ æt hi ong[i]nnen $ð$ a wunda lacnian $\chi_{e}$ hi gesion ne magon, \& huru gif hi nouðer gecnawan ne cunnan ne $ð$ a medtrymnesse
 modes læceas beon scoldon, $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { eah } \\ \gamma e \\ \text { hi nane wuht ongitan ne cunnon }\end{array}$
I. That the unlearned are not to presume to undertake the office of teacher.

Since no art can be taught by him who has not diligently learnt it before, why are the unlearned ever so rash as to undertake the care of teaching, when the art of teaching is the art of all arts? Who does not know that the wounds of the mind are more obscure than the wounds of the body? And yet worldly physicians are ashamed of undertaking to cure wounds which they cannot see, especially if they neither understand the disease nor the herbs which are to be employed. And sometimes those who are to be physicians of the mind, although they cannot understand anything of the spiritual precepts, are not ashamed of taking upon themselves to be phy-
ne cunnon ૪ara gæstlecena beboda，pæt hi him onteoð pæt hi sin


 monig $\not$ æot he æwfæst lareow sie，pe he wilnað micle worldare habban．Be $ð æ m$ Crist selfa clipode，\＆pus cwæð：Hi seceað pæt hi mon ærest grete \＆weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { ige } \\ & \text { on ceapstowum \＆on gebiorscipum，}\end{aligned}$ \＆pæt hi fyrmest hlynigen æt æfengiflum，\＆pæt yldeste setl on gemetingum hi seceað．Forðæm hi swæ mid［on］ofermettum \＆ mid upahæfennesse becumað to ไære are ૪ære hirdelecan gemenne， hi ne magon medumlice ૪enian pa ðenunga，\＆犭ære eaðmodnesse lareowas bion ；ac sio tunge bið gescended on ðæm lareowdome ðonne hio oðer lær૪，ołer［hio］geleornode．Swelcum monnum Dryhten cidde ðurh ðone witgan，\＆him swelc ołwat，pa he cwæ૪ ：Hi ric－ sodon，næs ðeah mines ðonces ；ealdormen hi wæron，\＆ic hi ne cuðe． Đa pe swæ ricsiað，hi ricsiað of hira agnum dome，næs of 犭æs hihstan deman，ðonne hi ne bioð mid nanre sylle underscotene pæs god－ cundlican mægenes，ne for nanum cræfte gecorene，ac mid hira agenre gewilnunge hi bioł onbærnde，pæt hi gereafiað swæ heane lareowdom swiður 犭onne hi hine geearnigen．Hi ðonne se ecea \＆se digla dema upahef $\gamma$ swelce he hi nyte，\＆ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { afiende he hit forbir } \gamma \text { for } \not \text { ðæm dome }\end{aligned}$
 hi to him cumað，he cwæð［cwið］：Gewitað from me ge unryht－ wyrhtan；nat ic hwæt ge sint．Eft he hi ðreade ðurh ðone witgan for hira ungelærednesse，pa he cwæ૪：Đa hirdas næfdon andgit：
sicians of the mind．But since now all the honour of this world is turned by the grace of God to the honour of the pious，so that now the most pious are in greatest estimation，many pretend to be pious teachers because they desire great worldly honour．On which subject Christ himself exclaimed，and said thus ：＂They desird to be greeted first，and honoured in market－places and at banquets，and to re－ cline first at suppers，and they seek the most honourable seat in assemblies．＂Since with pride and vainglory they thus arrive at the honour of pastoral care，they are unable properly to fulfil the duties of their ministration and to become teachers of humility ；but their exhortation in teaching is disgraced，when they teach one thing， having learnt another．Such men God chided through the prophet，
ðara gæstlecena beboda, ðæt hie him ônteoð ðæt hie sien heortan

 ðe æwfæstoste sindon, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { on licet suið[e] monig } \text { ðæt he æwfæsð lareow }\end{aligned}$ 5 sie, ðe he wilnað micle woroldare habban. Be ðam Crisð selfa cleopode, \& ૪us cwæ૪: Hi secað ðæt hi mon ærest grete \& weorðige on ceapstowu $m$ \& on gebeorscipum, \& ðæt hie fyrmest hlynigen æt æfengieflum, \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ieldes } ð e ~ s e t l ~ o n ~ g e m e t e n g u m ~ h i ~ s e c a ð . ~ F o r ð o n ~\end{aligned}$ hie sua ôn ofermettum \& mid [up]ảhafenesse becumað to ðære âre $10 \chi_{æ r e}$ hirdelecan giemenne, hi ne magon medomlice $\chi_{\text {enian }} \chi_{a} \succ_{\text {enunga, }}$ \& ðære eaðmodnesse lareowas bion; ac sio tunge bið gescinded on ðam lariowdome ðonne hio oðer lær૪, oðer hio liornode. Suelcum monnum Dryhten cidde ðurh خone witgan, \& him suelc oðwât, ða he cuæ૪: Hie ricsedon, næs ðeah mines 犭onces; ealdormen hi 15 wæron, \& ic hie ne cuðe. Đa ðe sua ricsieað, hi ricsiað of hira agnum dome, næs of ðæs hiehstan deman, ðonne hi ne beoð mid nanre sylle underscotene ðæs godcundlican mægenes, ne for nanum crefte gecorene, ac mid hira agenre gewilnunge hie bio onbærnede, ðæt hie gereafiað sua heane lariowdôm suiðor ðonne [hi] hine geearnien. 20 Hie ðonne se êca \& se diegla dema ûpâhefeð suelce he hi nyte, \& geðafiende he hit forbireð for ðam dome his geðylde. Ac ðeah hi on ðam hade fela wundra wyrcen, eft ðonne hi to him cumað, he cuið: Gewitað from me ge unryhtwyrhtan; nat ic hwæt ge sint.
 25 he cuæ૪: Đa hierdas næfdon \&git: hie (h)æfdon mine $\mathfrak{æ , ~ \& ~ h i ~ m e ~}$
and reproached them with such doings, when he said: "They reigned, but not by my will ; they were princes, and I knew them not." Those who so rule, rule through their own power, not through that of the highest Judge, since they are not supported on any foundation of the divine power, nor chosen for any excellence, but they are inflamed by their own desire, so as to seize on so high an office rather than obtain it by their deserts. And the eternal and unseen Judge exalts them as if he knew them not, and suffers it without interfering, as an example of patience. But though they perform many wonders in their office, when they come to him he says, "Depart from me, ye evildoers ; I know not what ye are." Again, he rebuked them through the prophet for their want of learning, when he said, "The
hi hæfdon mine $æ$, \＆hi me ne gecnewon．Se je Godes bebodu ne gecnæw万，ne bið he oncnawen from Gode．Đæt ilce cwæ૪ Paulus： Se pe God ne ongit，ne ongit God hine．Unwise lareowas cumar for ðæs folces synnum．Forðon oft for Øæs lareowes unwisdome misfarað pa hiremen，\＆oft for ðæs lareowes wisdome unwisum hiremonnum bið geborgen．Gif ðonne ægðer bið unwis，$\chi_{o n n e ~ i s ~}^{\text {it }}$ to geðencenne hwæt Crist self cwæð on lis godspelle，he cwæ૪：Gif se blinda ðone blindan lædeð，hi feallað begen on anne pyt．Be $ð æ m$ ilcan se salmscop cwæð：Sin hira eagan aðistrode pæt hi ne gesion， \＆hira hrycg simle gebigged．Ne cwæð he pæt forðype he ænegum
 sceolde．Soðlice $\chi_{a}$ eagan pæt biuð $犭$ a lareowas，\＆se hrycg pæt
 weardum \＆ufeweardum，\＆se hyrcg fær૪ æfter ælcere wuhte ；swæ gað $ð \mathrm{a}$ lareowas beforan $ð æ m$ folce，\＆pæt fole æfter．Đonne $ð æ m$ lareowum aðistriað ðæs modes eagan， $\begin{aligned} & \text { e beforan gân sceoldon mid }\end{aligned}$ godum bisnum，ðonne gebigð pæet fole hira hrycg to［mid］hefegum byrðenum monegum．

> II．Ne eft $ð a$ gelæredan pa swæ nyllað libban swæ hie on bocum leornodon，pæt hie ne sceoldon underfón $\gamma_{a}$ are $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { 价 lareow－}\end{array}$ domes．

Monige eac wise lareowas winnað mid hira ðeawum wið ðam［ða］ gastlican bebodum［bibodu］pe hi mid wordum lærað，ðonne hi on ołre wisan libbað on ołre hi lærað．Oft خonne se hirde gæð on
shepherds had not understanding ；they had my law，and knew me not．＂He who knows not God＇s commands is not acknowledged by God．The same said St．Paul：＂He who knows not God，God knows not him．＂Foolish teachers come for the people＇s sins． Therefore often through the teacher＇s folly the disciples come to grief，and often through the teacher＇s wisdom foolish disciples are preserved．If，then，both are foolish，we must consider what Christ himself said in his Gospel，he said：＂If the blind lead the blind， they will both fall into a pit．＂On the same subject the Psalmist spoke：＂May their eyes be dimmed that they may not see，and their back always bent．＂He did not say this because he wished or desired it to befall any man，but he prophesied how it was to happen．For
ne geeniowon. Se $ð e$ Godes bebodu ne gecnæ(w) $\gamma$, ne bið he oncnawen from Gode. Đæt ilce cuæð sanctus Paulus: Se ðe God ne ongit, ne ongit God hine. Unwise lareowas cuma久 for $\nprec æ$ folces
 5 hieremenn, \& oft for $\Varangle æ s$ lareowes wisdome unwisum hieremonnum
 hwæt Crisð self cuæ૪ on his godspelle, he cwæ૪: Gif se blinda ૪one blindan lêt, hi feallał begen on ænne pytt. Be ðæm ilcan se sealmscop cuæ૪ : Sien hira eagan ałistrode ðæt hi ne geseon, \& hiora hryge 10 simle gebieged. Ne cuæ૪ he ðæt forð઼ððe he ænegum men ðæs wyscte orðe wilnode, ac he witgode sua sua hit geweor $\begin{aligned} & \text { an } \\ & \text { sceolde. }\end{aligned}$
 menn ; forðan ða eagan bioð on ðam lichoman foreweardum \& ufeweardum, \& se hrycg fær૪ æfer ælcre wuhte ; sua gá̀ ða lareowas beforan 15 ðæm folce, \& 犭æt folc æfter. Đonne ðam lareowum áðistriað ðæs modes eagan, ð̀ beforan gán scoldon mid godum bisenum, ðonue gebigð $ð æ{ }^{\circ}$ folc hira hry[c]g to hefegum byrðenum manegum.
II. Ne eft $ð a$ gelæredan $ð e$ swa nylla $ð$ libban swa hie ôn bocum leornedon, $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hi scoldon ne underfon [ } \gamma \text { a are] } \not \text { ðæs lareow- }\end{array}$ domes.
 lecan bebodu $\gamma$ e hi mid wordum lærað, ðonne hie on oðre wisan libbað on ołre hi lærað. Oft ðonne se hirde gæð on frecne wegas, sio hiord
the eyes are the teachers, and the back the disciples; because the eyes are in the front and upper part of the body, and the back comes after everything; and in the same way the teachers go before the people, and the people after. When the eyes of the teacher's mind are dimmed, which ought to go before with good examples, the people bend their backs under many heavy burdens.
II. Nor, again, let the learned, who are not willing to live as they have learnt in books, undertake the dignity of teaching.
Many wise teachers also fight with their behaviour against the spiritual precepts which they teach with words, when they live in one way and teach in another. Often when the shepherd goes by dan-
frecne wegas，sio hiord pe unwærre bið，gehrist．Be swelcum hirdum cwæð se witga：Ge fortrædon Godes sceapa gærs \＆ge gedrefdon hira wæter mid eowrum fotum，خeah ge hit ær undrefed druncon． Swæ $ð$ a lareowas hi drincað swiðe hlutor wæter，犭onne hi 犭one godcundan wisdom liorniað，\＆eac ૪onne hi hine lærað；ac hi hit
 unðeawum，nalles on hira lare．Đeah ðæt folc $\begin{aligned} & \text { yrste } \\ & \text { ðære lare，hi }\end{aligned}$ hie ne magon drincan，ac hio bið gedrefed midðæmpe $\chi_{a}$ lareowas oðer doð oðer hi lærað．Be 犭æm Dryhten cwæð eft ðurh ðone witgan：Yfle preostas bioð folces hryre．Ne dereð nan mon swiður
 endebyrdnesse $\not$ æes halgan hades，\＆犭onne on woh doł；forðon hi nan mon ne dear łreagean ðeah hi agylten，ac mid pæm bioł synna swiłe gebrædda，pe hi bior swa geweorrode．Ac hi woldon selfe
 gif hi mid hira heortan earum woldon gehiran \＆geornlice geðencean ðone Cristes cwide，pe he cwæ૪：Se pe ænigne خissa ierminga be－
 swiran getigged，\＆swæ aworpen to sæs grunde．Đurh $\succ_{a}$ cweorne is getacnod se ymbhwyrft $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{isse}}$ worlde \＆eac monna lifes \＆hira geswinces，\＆犭urh $\begin{aligned} & \text { one sægrund［sæs gr．］hira ende \＆se siðemesta }\end{aligned}$ dom．Đonne bið sio cweorn becirred $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne se mon bið geendod ；}\end{aligned}$ ðonne bið sio micle cwiorn becirred $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { そeos world bi b geendod．}\end{aligned}$ Se خonne pe to［Se ponne to］halgum hade becymð，\＆ðonne mid yflum bisnum oł૪e worda ołðe weorca ołre on wôn gebringð，betre him wære pæt he on læssan hade \＆on eorðlecum weorcum his lif

[^8]ðe unwærre bið，gehrist．Be suelcum hirdum cwæð se witga：Ge fortrædon Godes sceapa gærs \＆ge gedrefdon hiora wæter mid iowrum fotum，$\chi_{e a h ~ g e ~ h i t ~ æ r ~ u n d r e f e d ~ d r u n c e n . ~ S u a ~}^{\text {万a lareowas hi drinca } \delta ~}$ suiðe hluter wæter，ðonne hi 犭one godcundan wisdôm leorniað，\＆eac 5 ðonne hie hiene lærað ；ac hie hit gedrefað mid hira agnum unðeawum， ðonne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { folc bisenað on hira unðeawum，nals on hira lare．Đeah ðæt }\end{aligned}$ folc $\begin{aligned} & \text { yrste } \\ & \text { そære lare，hie hie ne magon drincan，ac hio bið gedrefed }\end{aligned}$
 cwæð eft ૪urh خone witgan：Yfle preostas biop folces bryre． Ne

 noman underfôð \＆$ð$ a endebyrdnesse $ð æ$ halgan hades，\＆ðonne on wôh خồ ；forðon hie nan monn ne dearr ðreag［e］an خeah hie agylten， ac mid $ð$ am beoð synna suiðe［ge］brædda $૪ e$ hie beoð sua geweorðade． Ac hie woldon selfe fleon $\gamma_{a}$ byrðenne sua micelre scylde，$\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ his 15 unwier $\begin{aligned} \text { e wæron，gif hie mid hiora heortan earum woldon gehieran \＆}\end{aligned}$ geornlice geðencan ðone Cristes cuide，ða he cuæð ：Se ðe ænigne \begin{tabular}{rl}
issa <br>
\hline

 ierminga besuicð，him wære betere 

<br>
æt <br>
him wære sumu esulcweorn to
\end{tabular} ðæm suiran getiged，\＆sua âworpen to sæ̂́s grunde．Đurh 夭a cweorne is getacnod se ymbhwyrft خisse worolde \＆eac monna lifes \＆hira 20 gesu［i］nces，\＆ðurh ðone sǽgrund hira ende \＆se siðemesða demm． Đonne bið sio cweorn becierred Konne se monn bið geendod ；犭onne bið sio micle cweorn becierre［d］ðonne ðeos weorld bił geendod．Se סonne to halgum hade becymð，\＆૪onne mid yflum bisnum oł૪e worda oððe weorca oðre on wôn gebringð，betre him wære $\begin{array}{r}\text { ætt } \\ \text { he on }\end{array}$ 25 læssan hade \＆ôn eorðlicum weorcu $m$ his lif geendode ；for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { æ } \\ \text { gif he }\end{aligned}$

[^9] gif he yfle de૪, læsse wite he ðrowað on helle, gif he ana ðæder cymð, ðonne lie dô, gif he oðerne mid him $\not æ$ æder bringð.
III. Be ðære byrðenne ðæs reccendomes, \& hu he scile eall earfeðo forseon, \& hu forht he sceal bion for ælcre orsorgnesse.

Forłæm we خis feaum wordum sædon, pe we woldon gecyðan hu micel sio byrłen bið ðæs lareowdomes, ðylæs ænig hine underfćn durre
 underfô łone ladtcowdom ðæs forlores. Swiðe medomlice Iacobus se apostol his stirde, pa he cwæ૪: Brołur ne beo eower to fela lareowa. For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ se wealhstod self Godes \& monna, ðæt is Crist, fleah eorłrice [eorplic rice] to underfônne. Se se pe ealne ðone wisdom $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { uferrena gasta oferstig } ð ~ \& ~ æ r ~ w o r l d e ~ r i c s o d e ~ o n ~ h e f o n u m, ~\end{array}$ hit is awriten on $\Varangle_{æ m}$ godspelle, Iudeas comon \& woldon hine don nidenga to kyninge. Đa se hælend pæt ongeat, pa becirde he hi \& gehydde hine. Hwa meahte ie $\gamma$ monnum rædan butan scylde, ðonne se pe hi gescop? Ne fleah he $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ rice $\gamma_{y}$ his ænig mon bet wirðe wære, ac he wolde us $\not{ }_{a}$ bisene astellan [asællan] pæt we his to swiðe ne gidsodon; \& eac wolde for us ðrowian. He nolde bion kyning, \& his agnum willum [willan] he com to rode gealgan. Đa weorðmynde kynehades he fleah, \& jæt wite ðæs fracoðlicostan deaðes he geceas, forłæm pætte we, pe his limo sindon, leornodon æt him

were better for him to end his life in a humbler station and in earthly works; for if he do well in them he will have a good reward for it, if he do ill he will suffer less torment in hell if he arrive there alone, than if he bring another with him.
III. Of the burden of rule, and how he is to despise all toils, and how afraid he must be of every luxury.
We have said thus much in few words, because we wished to show how great is the burden of teaching, lest any one dare undertake it who is unworthy of it, lest he through desire of worldly honour undertake the guidance of perdition. Very justly the apostle James forbade it when he said, "Brothers, let there not be too many masters among
 خrował ôn helle，gif he ana خider cymð，خonne he dô，gif he oðerne mid him ðider bringð．

III．Be $\not$ æære byrðenne $\not$ łæs reccenddomes，\＆hu he scyle eall ear－ foðu forsion，\＆hu for（h）t he sceal beon for ælcre orsorgnesse．

Forðon we ðiss feawum wordum sædon， $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { we woldon gecy̌an hu }\end{aligned}$ micel sio byrðen bið ðæs lareowdomes，خylæs ænig hine ûnderfôn
 woroldâre underfô ðone latteowdom ðæs forlores．Suǐ̀e medomlice
10 Iacobus se apostol his stirde，ða he cuæð ：Brołor ne beo eower to fela ［lareowa］．Forłæm se wealhstod［self］Godes \＆monna，そ̌æt is Crist， fleah eorðrice to underfonne．Se se ðe ealne ðon［e］wisdóm đara uferren－ na gæsta oferstigð \＆ær worolde ricsode on hefenum，hit is awriten on łæm godspelle，Iudeas comon \＆woldon hine dôn niedenga to cyninge．
15 Đa se Hælend $\Varangle_{æ t}$ ongeat，$\searrow_{a}$ becierde he hie \＆gehydde hiene．Hwa meahte ieð monnum rædan butan scylde，ðonne se ðe hi gescop？Ne fleah he ðy rice ðy his ænig monn bêt wyře wære，ac he wolde us ða bisene astellan，$\npreceq æ t$ we his to suiłe не gitseden ；\＆eac wolde for ús خrowian．He nolde beon cyning，\＆his agnum willan he com to rode 20 gealgan．Đa weorłmynde cynehades he fleah，\＆خæt wite خæs fraceðlecestan deaðes he geceas，forðam そætte we，そe his liomu sindon，leornedon æt him $\succ_{æ t}$ we flugen ${ }_{~_{a}}$ oliccunga $\Varangle_{i s s e s}$ middan－ geardes；\＆eac そæt $\nsucceq æ t$ we his ege \＆his brogan us ne ôndreden，\＆
you．＂Therefore the mediator himself between God and men， that is Christ，shunned undertaking earthly rule．He who sur－ passes all the wisdom of the higher spirits，and reigned in heaven before the world was，it is written in the Gospel that the Jews came and wished to make him king by force．When the Saviour perceived it，he dismissed them and hid himself．Who could easier rule men without sin than he who created them？He did not shun supremacy because any man was worthier of it，but he wished to set us an example of not coveting it too much； and also wished to suffer for us．He wished not to be king，yet of his own free will he came to the cross．He shunned the honour of reigning，and chose the punishment of the most ignominious death，
we his ege \＆his brogan us ne ondreden，\＆for sorfæstnesse pæt we lufien geswinc，\＆orsorgnesse we us ondræden，\＆hi forðy forbugen．
 earfeðu ðurh sar \＆犭urh sorge hine geclænsiað \＆geeaðmedað．On
 そeah hit ær upahæfen wære，hit bið geeaðmeded．On ðære gesuntful－ nesse mon forgit his selfes；on $\begin{array}{r}\text { æ } \\ \text { geswincum he sceal line selfne }\end{array}$ geðencean，ðeah he nylle．On ̌ære orsorgnesse oft pæt he to gode gedyde he forlist ；on $\Varangle æ m ~ e a r f e ð u m ~ o f t ~ p æ t ~ h e ~[l o n g e] ~ æ r ~ t o ~ y f l e ~ g e-~$ dyde，he hit（om．）gebet．Swiłe oft mon bið pære earfoðnesse lareow－ dome underðided，ðeah he ær nolde his lareowes ðeawum \＆larum bion． Ac ðeah hine $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { ða brocu getyn \＆gelæren，sona，gif he on rice be－}\end{gathered}$ cymð，for そære weorðunge $\begin{aligned} \text { そs } \\ \text { folces be bið on ofermetto awended，\＆}\end{aligned}$
 rice，\＆tealde hine selfne his swiłe unwier｀ne．Ac sona swa he ðone onwald onfeng ไæs rices，he astag on ofermetto，\＆hine bealg wið ðone ilcan Samuhel pe hine ær on خæm rice gebrohte，\＆hine to gehalgode，$_{\text {g }}$
 hira ðonces gestiran ne meahte；\＆pa he him fram wolde，pa feng ［gefeng］he hine，\＆toslat his hrægl，\＆line geunarode．Swæ eac Dauid，
 næfde swæ manegra earfoða，he wæs mid ofermettum gewundod，\＆pæt swiðe wælhreowlice gecyðde on Urias slege his agnes holdes ðegnes， for $\not$ æære scamleaslican wilnunge［gewilnunge］his wifes．Se ilca se
that we who are his members might learn from him to shun the seductions of this world ；and also that we might not dread its fear and terror，and for the sake of truth，love toil and dread luxury，and therefore avoid it．For through luxury men are often inflated with pride，while hardships through pain and sorrow purify and humble them．In prosperity the heart is puffed up；in adversity，even if it were formerly puffed up，it is humbled．In prosperity men forget themselves ；in adversity they must remember themselves，even if they are unwilling．In prosperity they often lose the good they formerly did；in adversity they often repair the evil they long ago did．Often a man is subjected to the instruction of adversity，although before he would not follow the moral example and instruction of his

for soðfæsðnesse $\searrow$ łæt we lufigen gesuinc，\＆orsorgnesse we us ondræden，\＆hi forðy forbugen．For તam for ðære orsorgnesse monn oft áðint on ofermettum，\＆ða earfeðu ðurh sár \＆ður（h）sorge hiene geclænsiað \＆geeaðmedað．On $\succ æ m$ gesundfulnessum |  |
| :---: |
| t | 5 môd wier $\begin{array}{r}\text { úpâhafen ；\＆on } \nsucc æ m \text { earfeðum，خeah hit ær úpáhafen }\end{array}$ wære，hit bið geeaðmedd．On そære gesundfulnesse mon forgiett


 ૪æm earfoðum oft $\mathrm{r}_{\text {rt }}$ he longe ær to yfle gedyde，he gebett．Suiðe
 nolde his lareowes そeawum \＆larum bion．Ac ðeah hine ðonne $\chi_{a}$ brocu getŷn \＆gelæren，sona，gif he on rice becymð，for łære weorð－ unge łæs folces，he bił ón ofermettu awended，\＆gewunał to そæm gielpe．Sua sua Saul s［e］cyning，æres૪ he fleah خæt rice，\＆tealde 15 hine selfne his suiðe unnwierðne．Ac sona sua he ðone anwald onfeng そæs rices，he âstag on ofermetto，\＆hine bealg wið łone ilcan Samuel ðe hine ær on $\begin{array}{r}\text { æ }\end{array}$ rice gebrohte，\＆hine to gehalgode，forðamðe he
 gestieran ne meahte ；\＆$\quad$ oa he him from wolde，$\not$ ða gefeng he hine，\＆ 20 toslat his hrægl，\＆hine geunarode．Sua eac Dauit，خe folneah on eallum خingum Gode licode，sona sua he ða byřenne næfde sua monegra earfe $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ，he wæs mid ofermettum gewundad，\＆łæt suiðe wælhreowlice gecyðde on Urias slæge hi［s］agenes holdes خegnes，for $\chi_{\nsupseteq r e}$ scamleaslecan gewilnunge his wifes．Se ilca se monegum yfelum
teacher．But although schooled and taught by adversity，soon，if he attain to power，through the homage of the people he becomes proud and accustomed to presumption．As king Saul at first declined the throne，and deemed himself quite unworthy of it．But as soon as he obtained the rule of the kingdom，he became proud，and was angry with that same Samuel who formerly brought him to the throne， and consecrated him，because he told him of his faults before the people，since he could not control him before with their approval ； and when he wished to depart from him，he seized him，and tore his clothes，and insulted him．So also David，who pleased God in nearly everything，as soon as he had not the burden of so many troubles， he was wounded with pride，and showed it very cruelly in the murder
monegum yflum wið hine selfne forworhtum ær geárode，he wearð eft swæ ungemetlice grædig đæs godan deaðes，butan ælcre scylde \＆ ælcre wiðerweardnesse wið hine．Se ilca Dauid pe forbær bæt he ðone kyning ne yflode，pe hine on swæ heardum wræce gebrohte，\＆ of his earda adræfde，pa he his wel geweald ahte on łæm scræfe，he genam lis loðan ænne læppan to tacne pæt he his gewald ahte，\＆ hine $\begin{array}{r}\text { eah } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { そæm ealdan treowum forlet．Se ilca Dauid miclum }\end{array}$ his agnes herges pleh，\＆monigne forsende，¡ær he ymb his getreowne Xegn unsymigne sirede．Sio scyld hine swite feor of ealra haligra


> IV．Ond hu oft sio bisgung خæs rices \＆ðæs recendomes toslit $\not$ そæt mod $\begin{aligned} & \text { æs } \\ & \text { recceres．}\end{aligned}$

Swiłe oft gedrefð pa heortan sio monigfalde giemen Xæs under－ fangnan lareowdomes，\＆ponne łæt mod bił on monig todæled，hit bið on anes hwæm pe unfæstre，\＆eac ðy unnyttre．Be ðæm cwæð Salomon se snottra：Sunu min，ne todæl $\chi_{u}$ on to fela $\chi_{i n} \bmod , \&$
 fæstrædnesse fe he mid ryhte on him innan halban scoolde，hine spæn૪ his mod to swixe monegum unnyttum weorce．He sorga久 ymb $\chi_{a}$ ，\＆bið Xara swǐe gemyndig，\＆forgit his selfes，Xonne he swiður his mod gebint to ðæm unnyttan［unnyttran］weorcum $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne }\end{array}$ he $\begin{aligned} & \text { yrfe．Him bið swæ swæ そæm menn pe bið abisgod on færelte }\end{aligned}$ mid ołrum cirrum，oxpæt he nat hwæder he ær wolde，ne gěencean
of Uriah，his own faithful servant，for the shameless desire of his wife．The same one who formerly spared him who had sinned against him with so many evils，became so immoderately eager for the death of the virtuous Uriah，without any crime or offence against himself． The same David who forbore injuring the king who brought him into such painful exile，and drove him from his country，when he had him completely in his power in the cave，took a lappet of his coat as a sign of having had him in his power，and yet let him escape for his former allegiance．The same David exposed his own army to great danger，and caused many to perish，when he laid snares for his faithful and innocent servant．The sin would have removed him very far from the number of all the saints，had not his toils and troubles come to his help again．
wið hine selfne forworhtum ær gearode, he wearð eft sua ungemetlice grædig Łæs godan deapes butan ælere scylde \& ælere wiðerweardnesse wið hine. Se ilca Dauid そe forbær łæt he `one kyning ne yfelode, Xe hine on sua heardum wræce gebrohte, \& of his earde âdræfde, $\searrow$ a 5 he his wel geweald ahte on ไæm scræfe, he genom his lołan ænne læppan to tacne $\npreceq æ t$ he his geweald alite, \& hine ðeah for ðam ealdan treowum forlêt. Se ilca Dauid miclum hi[s] agenes herges pleah, \& monig[ne] forsende, خ̌ær he ymb his getreowne خegn unsynnigne sierede. Sio scyld hine suiخe feorr if ealra haligra rime atuge, Xær


## IV. Ond hu oft sio bisgung ðæs rices \& ðæs recedomes toslit そæt mod ̌æs recceres.

Suǐe oft gedrefe૪ ða heortan sio manigfealde giemen ðæs underfangenan lareowdomes, \& ðonne Łæt môd bið o[n] monig todæled, hit
 Salomonn se snottra : Sunu min, ne todæl $\succ_{u}$ on to fela $\Varangle_{i n} m o d, \& X_{i n}$
 $\Varangle_{\text {rednesse }}$ ðe he mid ryhte on him innan habban scolde, hine spren [his môd] to suiðe manegum unnyttum weoree. He sorgað ymb ða, \& 20 bið ðara suiłe gemyndig, \& forgiett his selfes, ðonne he suǐor his
 [sua] sua ðam menn ðe bið abisgod on færelde mid oðrum cierrum, ơ̌ææt he nát hwider he ær wolde, ne gełencan ne con hwæt him
IV. And how often the trouble of rule and government distracts the mind of the ruler.

Very often the manifold care of teaching when it is undertaken disturbs the heart, and when the mind is divided among many objects it is the less firm in each, and also less useful. Of which spoke the wise Solomon: "My son, do not divide thy mind among too many things, and thy works likewise." For often when a man loses the fear and firmness which he ought properly to have within him, his mind allures him to many useless works. He is concerned for them, and very mindful of them, and forgets himself, when he occupies his mind with the useless works more than he ought. He is like the man who is occupied on a journey with other affairs,
ne can hwæt him losað on ðære gælinge pe he pa hwile amirð, \& hu swiðe he on ðæm gesyngað. Ne wende na Ezechias Israhela kyning pæt he gesyngode, pa he lædde pa elðeodgan ærendracan on his marmhus, \& him geiewde his goldhord. Ac he onfunde خeah Godes irre on خæm hearme pe his bearne æfter his dagum becom. \& ðeah he wende pæt hit nan syn nære. Oft ðonne hwæm gebyre૪ pæt he hwæt mærlices \& wunderlices gedeð, \& his ðonne wundriað $ð \mathrm{a}$ pe him under $\begin{aligned} & \text { idde } \\ & \text { bio } \gamma, ~ \& ~ h i n e ~ h e r i a \gamma, ~ \text { onne } \\ & \text { ahef } \gamma \text { he hine on his }\end{aligned}$ mode, \& his Deman ierre fullice to him gecigð, đeah pe he hit on yflum weorcum ne geopenige. Swæðeah mid $\begin{aligned} \text { y } y \text { selflice se Dema }\end{aligned}$ bið genided to łæm irre, \& se Dema se そæt ingełonc eal wat, he eac $\nearrow æ m$ ingeðonce demð. We magon monnum bemiðan ure geðonc \& urne willan, ac we ne magon Gode. Hwæt se Babilonia kyning wæs swiðe upahæfen on his mode for his onwalde \& for his gelimpe, pa he fægenode pæs miclan weorces \& fægernesse łære ceastre, \& hine othof imnan his geðohte eallum oðrum monnum, \& swigende he cwæð on his mode: Hu ne is $\mathrm{Xis}_{\text {is }}$ nu sio micle Babilon pe ic self atimbrede to kynestole \& to ðrymme, me selfum to wlite \& to wuldre, mid mine agne mægene \& strengeo? Đa swigendan stefne swiæe hræðe se digla Dema gehirde, \& him swiłe undigellice geondwyrde mid $\searrow æ m$ witum pe he hit swǐe hrædlice wræc. pa upahæfenesse he arasode \& hi getælde, pa he hine âsced of خæm worldrice, \& hine gehwirfde to ungesceadwisum neatum, \& swæ awende mode he
 forleas his mennisce. Se ilca se pe wend(e pæt) he wære ofer ealle
until he knows not whither he formerly wished to go, and cannot think what he loses in the delay, and how greatly he sins therein. Hezekiah, king of Israel, did not think that he sinned when he led the foreign ambassadors into his treasury, and showed them his treasures. But he experienced God's anger in the misery which came on his child after his days. And yet he thought it was no sin. Often when any one happens to do anything famous and wonderful, and those who are under him, admiring it, praise him, he is puffed up in spirit, and completely calls down on himself the severe anger of his Judge, although he does not show it in bad deeds. Yet through his pride the Judge is compelled to anger, and the Judge, who knows all the thoughts of the mind, also judges those thoughts. We can hide our thoughts and desires from men, but not from God. The
 gesyngað．Ne wende na Ezechias Israhela kyning $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt }\end{array}$ he［ge］syngade， $\rtimes_{a}$ he lædde $\succ_{a}$ ællðeodgan ærenddracan on his ma $\Varangle_{m h u s, ~ \& ~ h i m ~ g e-~}^{\text {g }}$ iewde his goldhord．Ac he onfunde 夭eah Godes ierre on ðam hearme 5 ðe his bearne æfter his dagum becóm．\＆ðcah he wende đæt hit nan syn nære．Oft ðonne hwæm gebyre૪ ðæt he hwæt mærlices \＆wun－ dorlices gedeð，\＆his ðonne wundriað Ła ðe him underðiedde bioð， hine heriegeał，ð conne âhef $\gamma$ he hine on his mode，\＆his Deman ierre fullice to him gecig久，ไeah $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$ he hit on yfelum weorcum ne geopenige． 10 Suaそeah mid ðy selfice se Dema bið genieded to そæm ierre，\＆se Dema
 monnum bemiłan urne geðonc \＆urne willan，ac we ne magon Gode． Hwæt se Babylonia cyning wæs suǐe úpâhafen on his mode for his anwalde \＆for his gelimpe，$\chi_{a}$ he fægnode $\begin{aligned} & \text { miclan weorces \＆}\end{aligned}$ 15 fægernesse łærre ceastre，\＆hine ołhof innan his gełolite eallum oðrum monnum，\＆suigende he cwæð on his mode： Hu ne is $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{is}}$ sio micle Babilon $\chi_{e}$ ic self atimbrede to kynestole \＆to $\begin{aligned} \text { rymme，me selfum }\end{aligned}$ to wlite \＆wuldre，mid mine agne mægene \＆strengo ？Đa suigendan stefne suiłe hrałe se diegla Dema gehirde，\＆him suiłe undeogollice 20 g§wyrde mid ðam witum ðe he hit suiłe hrædlice wrec．£a úpáhafen－ esse he ârasode \＆hie getælde，$\searrow_{a}$ he hine âs［c］ead of ðam woroldrice， \＆hine gehwyrfde to ungesceadwisum neatum，\＆sua âwende mode he hine gěiedde to feldgo（n）gendum deorum ；\＆sua $\chi_{y}$ ðearlan dome he forleas his mennisce．Se ilca se $ð[e]$ wende $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt }\end{array}$ he wære ofer ealle

Babylonian king was greatly puffed up in spirit for his power and success when he rejoiced at the size and beauty of the city he had built，and extolled himself in thought above all other men，and spoke silently in his mind：＂How，is not this the great Babylon which I myself built as a throne of splendour，to adorn and glorify myself， with my own might and strength？＂The silent voice the unseen Judge very soon heard，and answered him very distinctly with the punishments with which he very quickly punished it．He rebuked and blamed his pride by depriving him of his worldly kingdom，and turning him into an irrational animal，and broke his spirit by asso－ ciating him with beasts of the field ；and so by the severe punishment he lost his state of man．To the very one who thought he was above all other men it happened that he hardly knew whether he was a man
ołre men, him gebyrede (pæt he) nysse self ðæt he man wæs. Swałeah, ( $\begin{gathered}\text { eah ic) nu } \\ \text { is recce, ne tæle ic na micel weorc ne }\end{gathered}$ ry(htne) onwald, ac ic tæle pret hine mon for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { upahe(bbe) on his }\end{aligned}$ mode ; \& pa untrymnesse hira heortan ic wolde getrymman \& gestiran ðære wilnunge ðæm unmedemum, pæt hira nan ne durre gripan swæ
 swæ frecue stige, $\chi_{a}$ pe ne magon uncwaciende gestondan on emnum felda.
> V. Be ðæm pe magon on ealdordome nytte beon on bisnum \& on cræftum, \& 犭omne for hicra agenre ieðnesse ðæt fleoð.

Ac monige sindon mid miclum gifum monegra mægena \& cræfta geweor'ode, for $\begin{gathered}\text { Onpe } \\ \text { hi hie sceoldion monegum tæcean, \& for orerra }\end{gathered}$ monna Kearfe onfoð ðyllica gifa. pret is pæt hie gehealdað hiera lichoman firenlusta clænne; ołer is pæt hie bioð on forhæfdnesse strengeo strange ; ðridde is pæt hie bioð mid lara swetmettum gefylde; feorre is pæt hie bior on ælengum خingum \& on ælcre longunge ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { yldige, } \& ~ o n ~ f o r e l y r d e ~ e a ð m o d e ~ ; ~ f i f t e ~ i s ~ p r e t ~ h i e ~ h a b b a ł ~ p a ~ a r u d-~\end{aligned}$ nesse \& pa bæ!do pæt hie magon anwald habban ; sixte is pæt hie bioð fremsume ; siofoðe is pæt hie bioð reðe \& strece for ryhtwisnesse. Đa pe łonne $\begin{aligned} & \text { llice bior, \& him mon swelcne folgor beodeð, \& hie }\end{aligned}$ him wiðsacað, oft him gelyreð pat hie weorðað bereafod ðara gifa pe him God for monegra monna Xingum geaf, næs for hiera anra. Đonne hie synderlice $\chi_{\text {encea }}$ hu hie selfe scylen fulfremedeste weorłan, \& ne gimał to hwon ołerra monna wise weorłe, mid ły
at all. However, although I tell this now, I do not blame great works nor legitimate power, but I blame a man for being conceited on that account ; and I would strengthen the weakness of their hearts, and forbid the incompetent such desires, lest any of them presume to seize on power or the office of teaching so rashly, lest those attempt such dangerous paths who cannot stand firmly on level ground.
V. Concerning those who can be useful as teachers with their example and virtues, and for their own ease avoid it.
But there are many distinguished with great gifts of many virtues and talents, because they ought to teach many, and for the need of other men they receive such gifts. That is, that they keep their
ołere menn，him gebyrede łæt he nyste self hwæðer he monn wæs．

 anwald，ac ic tæle łæt hine mon forły upâhebbe on his mode ；\＆ða untrymnesse hiera heortan ic wolde getrymman \＆［ge］stiran خære 5 wilnunge |  |
| :---: |
| m |
| unmedemum，ไæt hiera nan ne durre gripan sua or－ | sorglice on Łææ rice \＆on ðone lareowdóm，ðylæs $\begin{aligned} \text { gangen on sua }\end{aligned}$ frecne stige， $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ne magon uncwaciende gestondan on emnum fella．

> V．Bi ðæm ðe magon on ealdordome nytte beon on bisnum \＆ón cræftu $m$ ，\＆Øonne for hira agenre iěnesse 〕æt fleoð．

Ac monige siendun mid miclum giefum monegra cræfta \＆mægene geweor｀ode，forłonłe hie hie scoldon monegum trean，\＆for ǒerra monna Xearfe onfoð ૪yllica giefa．Đæt is 犭æt hie gehealdað bir［a］li－ choman firenlusta clæn［n］e；oðer is Хæt hi beoð on færhæfdnesse strenge
 is そæt hi beoð on ælengum خingum \＆ælcre longunge gełyldige，\＆on
 そæt hie magon anwea＇d habban；siexte is Łæt hi beoł fremsume； siofoðe is そæt hi beoð reそe \＆stræce for ryhtwisnesse．Đa ðe ðonne 20 ðyllice beoð，\＆him mon suelcne folgað beodeð，\＆hie him wiðsacað，
 for monigra monna $\begin{aligned} & \text { ingum geaf，næs for hiera anra．Đonne hie }\end{aligned}$ synderlice ૪enceał hu hie selfe scylen fullfremodeste weorłan，\＆ne

body pure from lusts；the second is that they are strict in the severity of abstinence；the third is that they are full of the dainties of learning；the fourth is that they are patient in tedious things and in every delay，and humble in authority ；the fifth is that they have spirit and boldness enough to possess authority ；the sixth is that they are beneficent ；the seventh is that they are zealous and severe for the cause of righteousness．Such as these then，if，when such power is offered them，they refuse it，it often happens that they are deprived of the gifts which God bestowed on them for the sake of many men，not of them alone．When they consider only how they themselves may become most perfect，and do not care what becomes of other men，they thus deprive themselves of the benefits which
hie bereafiað hie selfe ðara goda pe hie wilniað synderlice habban． Be swelcum monnum Crist on his godspelle cwæð：Ne scyle nan mon blæcern ælan under mittan．And eft he cwæð to Petre ðæm apostole：Petrus lufast $\mathrm{Xu}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{me}$ ？He cwæð：Đu wast pæt ic 「e lufige． And pa cwe $\begin{gathered}\text { Dryhten ：Fed } \text { Øonne min sceap，gif Xu me lufige．Gif }\end{gathered}$ ðonne sio feding đara sceapa bið pære lufan tacen，hwy forewið ðonne se pe him God swelce cræftas gifð pæt he ne fede his heorde，buton he cwe $\begin{aligned} & \text { an wille pæt he ne lufige } \begin{array}{c}\text { one }\end{array} \text { Hlaford \＆Xone hean Hirde }\end{aligned}$ ealra gesceafta？Be $\Varangle æ m$ Paulus se apostol cwæð：Gif Crist for us
 betre $\searrow_{a}$ hwile pe we libben，ðonne we ures flæsces lustum ne libben， ac ไæs bebodum pe for us dead wæs \＆eft aras？Be خæm cwæð Moyses：Gif hwa gefare \＆nan bearn ne gestrine，gif he brołur læfe，fó se to his wife．Gif he Xonne bearn Łærbie gestriene， ðonne cenne he pæt $\Varangle æ m$ gefarenan bređer pe hie ær ahte．Gif he
 foran，\＆his mægas hiene anscogen ołre fet，弓æt mon mæge siððan hatan his tun そæs anscodan tun．Đis wæs ryht dom on そære ealdan $æ, \&$ is nu us to bispelle．Se ær gefarena broður getaenað Crist． He hiene ætiewde æfter đære æriste，\＆cwæð：Farað \＆cyðað minum brołrum fæt hie cumen to Galileum ；łær hie me gesioð．He gefor swelce he butan bearnum gefore，forcon he næidde gefylled pagit ðone rim his gecorenra．Swæ swæ Łæs gefarenan broður wif on đære ealdan æ wæs geboden ðæm libbendan breðer to anfonne，swæ is cynn jæt sio gimen Xære halegan cirican，ไæt is Cristenes folces
they wish to keep to themselves．Of such men Christ spoke in his Gospel ：＂Let no man light a lantern under a measure．＂And again he spoke to the apostle Peter ：＂Peter，dost thou love me？He said： Thou knowest that I love thee．And then said the Lord：Feed my sheep，if thou lovest me．＂If，then，the feeding of the sheep is the sign of love，why does he，to whom God has given such qualities， refuse to feed his flock，unless he wish to say that he does not love the Lord and high Shepherd of all creatures？Of which the apostle Paul spoke：＂If Christ died for us all，all men will die．＂What is，therefore，better while we live than not to live in the lusts of our flesh，but after his commands who died for us and rose again？ About which spoke Moses：＂If any one die without begetting a child，
selfe ðara goda $\begin{aligned} & \text { e hie wilniað synderlice habban．Be suelcum monnum }\end{aligned}$ Crist on his godspelle cuæ૪：Ne scyle nan mon blæcern ælan under mittan．\＆eft he cuæð to Petre ðæm apostole ：Petrus lufastu me？He


 Łæt he ne fede his heorde，buton he cue $\begin{gathered}\text { an wielle } \\ \text { そæt }\end{gathered}$ he ne lufige ðone Hlaford \＆夭one hean Hierde eallra gesce［a］fta？Be｀am Paulus se apostol cuæ૪：Gif Crist for us eallum dead wæs，خonne weorða૪ ealle
 urres flæsces lustum ne libben，ac ðæs bebodum ðe for ús dead wæs \＆ eft ârâs？Be ðam cuæð Moyses：Gif hwa gefare［\＆nan］bearn ne gestriene，gif he broxor læ̂fe，fô se to his wife．Gif he Xoune bearn
 15 ahte．Gif he ðonne さæt wif wille［for］sacan，ðonne hræce hio him on そæt nebb foran，\＆his mægas hine anscogen ołre fêt，そæt mon mæge siððan hatan（h）is tún خ̌æs anscodan tûn．Đis wæs ryht dóm on ðære ealdan $æ, \&$ is nu ûs to bispelle．Se ær gefarena brołor getacnað Crist．He hine ætiede æfter 〕ære æriste，\＆cuæ૪：Farað \＆cyðað 20 minum broðrum Łæt hie cumen to Galileum ；ไær hie me geseoð． He gefôr suelce he butan bearnum gefôre，forðon he næfde gefylled ðagiet ðone rỉm his gecorenra．Sua sua đæs gefarenan brǒor wif on ૪ære ealdan $\mathfrak{\notin}$ wæs geboden $\not$ そæm lifiendan breðer to onfonne，sua is cynn ไæt sio giemen đære halgan ciricean，ไæt is Cristes folces
if he leave a brother，let him take his wife．If he beget a child by her，let him beget it for the dead brother who formerly had her． But if he wish to refuse the woman，let her spit in his face，and let his relations take the shoe off one of his feet，that his house may afterwards be called the house of the one－shoed．＂This was a lawful sentence in the old law，and is now an example for us．The brother who died first signifies Christ．He appeared after the resurrection，and said：＂Go and tell it to my brothers that they may come to Galilee， where they will see me．＂He died as it were without children，for he had not yet filled up the number of his elect．As in the old law the wife of the brother who had died was offered to the living brother that he might take her，so it is proper that the care of the holy Church，
gesomnung，sie łæm beboden pe hie wel ofer mæge，\＆hiere wel rædan cunne．Gif hiere ðoune se wiðsace，ponne is cyn pæt him
 nung，emne swelce he［hie］him on そæt neb spæten，forłonpe he nyle gifan pæt him God geaf，and helpan $\begin{array}{r}\text { æs } \\ \text { folces } \\ \text { mid } \\ \text { đæm pe }\end{array}$ he his healp．Swæ is cyn łæt sio halige gesomnung tæle ælces ðara god そe hit him anum wile to gode habban，\＆nyle ołerra mid helpan．Se bir eac mid ryht［ryhte］ołre fet onscod，\＆hiene mon scile on bismer hatan se anscoda．Be そæm cwæð Crist on his godspelle：Sceogea＇eowre fett，pæt ge sin gearwe to ganne on sibbe weg æfter minra boca bebodum．Gif we خonne habbał swæ micle sorge \＆swæ micle gieman urra nihstena swæ swæ ure selfra，ไonne hæbbe we begen fett gescode swiłe untællice ；gif we łonne agieme－ leasiað urra nihstena Xearfe［ Cearfa］，\＆خenceað ymbe ure synderlice， Xonne bið us swiðe fracuðlice oðer fot unscod．Monige men sindon， swæ swæ we ær cwædon，be bio૪ geweořod［geweor｀ode］mid miclum \＆mid monegum Godes gifum，\＆Xonne bioð onælede mid łære girninge Øara smeaunga Godes wisdomes anes，\＆fleoð خonne pa nytwierðan hiersumnesse ไære lare，\＆nyllað ðæs ðencean hu hie mægen nytwieřuste bion hiera nihstum，ac lufiað digla stowa，\＆ fleoð monna ansine［onsina］．Gif him خonne God ryhtlice \＆streclice deman wile，\＆he him for his mildheortnesse ne arað，ðonne bioð hie swæ monegum scyldum scyldige swæ hie monegra unłeawa gestieran ［stieran］meahton mid hiora larum \＆bisnum，gif hie ongemong monnum bion woldon．Hwæt خenceað $\chi_{a}$ pe on swelcum weorcum

[^10]




 habban，\＆nyle oڭer（r）a mid helpan．Se bið eac mid ryhte ơre fêt anscod，\＆hine mon scyle on bismer hatan se anscóda．Be そæm cuæð Crist on his gospelle：Sceawiał iowre fêt，そæt ge sien gearwe to 10 ganganne on sibbe wêg rfter minra boca bebodum．Gief we Xonne habbar sua micle sorge \＆sua micle gieman urra niehstena sua sua ure selfra，łonne hæbbe we begen fét gescôde suǐe untællice；gif we ðonne âgiemeleasiał urra niehstena łearfa，\＆łenceað ymbe ure synderlice，ðonne bił us suǐ̌e fracoðlice ołer fôt unscôd．Monige

15 menn siendon，sua sua we ær cuædon，ไe beoð geweor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ode } \\ \text { mid miclum }\end{aligned}$ \＆mid monegum［Godes］giefum，\＆łonne beoð onælede mid łære gierninge Łara smeaunga Godes wisdomes anes，\＆fleoð ðonne ða nyttwyrðan hiersumnesse Yære lare，\＆nyllað さæs Xencean hu hie mægen nyttweor｀uste bion hiera niehstum，ac lufiað diegla stowa，\＆ 20 fleoð monna onsiena．Gif him خonne God ryhtlice \＆stræclice deman wile，\＆he him for h＇s mildheortnesse ne ârax，ðonne beot hie su［a］ monegum scyldum scyldige sua［h［i］e］manegra unخeawa gestiran meahton mid hiora larum \＆bisenum，gif hi ongemong monnum beon wolden．Hwæt ðenceað $\succ_{a}$ ðe on suelcum weorcum scinað，\＆magon
blamelessly；but if we neglect the wants of our neighbours，and think about our own specially，then one of our feet is very disgrace－ fully unshod．There are many men，as we have remarked above， who are honoured with great and many gifts of God，and then are inflamed with the desire of the contemplation of God＇s wisdom alone， and so avoid the profitable obedience of teaching，and will not con－ sider how they can be most useful to their neighbours，but love solitude and shun the face of men．But if God determines to judge them righteously and severely，and does not of his mercy spare them， they are guilty of as many sins as they could have corrected faults with their instruction and example，if they had been willing to associate with men．What reason have those，who shine with such works and can be so useful to their neighbours，for trusting rather
scinar, \& magon hiera nihstum swæ nytte bion, hwy hie para geearnunga hiora digelnesse \& anette bet truwien `onne đære hu hie oðerra monna mæst gehelpen? Hwæt se ancenda Godes sunu of his fæder bosme wæs ferende to urre andweardnesse ̌æt he ure gehulpe.
VI. Be $ð æ m ~ j e ~ f o r ~ e a ð m o d n e s s e ~ f l e o ð ~ ð a ~ b y r ð e n n e ~ p æ s ~ l a r e o w-~$
 wið rone godeundan dom. $^{\text {g }}$
 noldon jæt hie mon ahofe ofer $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ pe him betran $\begin{aligned} & \text { yncea } \\ & \text { łonne } \\ & \text { hie }\end{aligned}$ selfe. Nis $\not$ ææs ðomne nan tweo, gif swelc eaðmodnes bið mid ołrum godum ðeawum begyrded, pæt Łæt bið beforan Godes eagum soð
 weorcum pe him mon beodeð to underfonne. Ne bið đæt na soð eałmodnes, gif mon ongit Łæt Łæt Godes willa sie łæt he ofer ołre bion scile, K$_{\text {æt }}$ he Xonne wiłsace, ac bio under $\begin{gathered}\text { ided Godes willan \& }\end{gathered}$ his dome, \& forlæte $\npreceq a$ uncysta $\npreceq æ r e ~ a n w i l n e s s e . ~ D o n n e ~ h e ~ o f e r s t æ l e d ~$ bix, \& him gereaht lix pæt he oðrum mæg nyt bion on 夭æm je him mon Xonne bebeodeð, mid his mode he hit sceal fleon \& ðeah for hiersumnesse he hit sceal underfon.
VII. Đætte oft Łæs lareowdomes ૪enung bið swiðe untælwierðlice gewilnod, \& eac swiðe untælwierðlice monige bioð to geniedde.

Đeahhwæ૪re monige wilniað folgǒes \& ealdordomes swiðe un-
to the merits of retirement and solitude than aiding other men as much as possible? Did not the ouly born Son of God come from his Father's bosom to be with us and help us?
VI. Of those who through humility avoid the burden of teaching, but if they are really humble, do not oppose the divine decree.

And there are many who avoid it out of humility alone, because they do not wish to be raised above those whom they think better than themselves. There is no doubt that if such humility is enforced with other virtues, it is before God's eyes genuine humility, when he
hiera niehstum sua nytte beon，hwy hie łara geearnunga \＆diegel－
 gehelpen？Hwæt se ancenneda Godes sunu of his fæder bosme wæs ferende to urre andweardnesse $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { æt }\end{gathered}$ he ure gehulpe．
 domes，夭onne hie bcot ryhtlice eałmode 夭onne hie ne winnað wił（ $\gamma$ ）one godcundan dom．


 godum ðeawum begyrded，ðæt Łæt bið beforan Godes eagum soł eałmodness，$\not$ onne he for nanre anwielnesse ne wiłcuił Cam nyttan weorcum ૪e him mon beodeð to underfonne．Ne bið ૪æt na soł eałmodnes，gif mon ongiett $\npreceq \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$ そæt Godes willa sie $\not$ æet he ofer ołre 15 his dôme，\＆forlæte ða uncyste خære anwielnesse．Donne［he］ofer－ stæle［d］bið，\＆him gereaht bił łæt he o૪rum mæg nytt bion on ૪am Xe him mon łonne bebeodeð，mid his mode he hit sceal fleon \＆Xeah for hiersumnesse he hit sceal underfon．

VII．Đætte oft ‘æs lareowdomes $\succ$ enung bił swiłe untælwyrðlice gewilnad，\＆eac swiłe untælwier $\begin{aligned} & \text { lice monige beoł to }\end{aligned}$ geniedde．

Đeahhwæ૪re monige wilniał folgoðes \＆ealdordomes suiłe untæl－
does not out of any obstinacy reject the useful works which are offered for his acceptance．It is not true humility，if a man perceives that it is God＇s will that he be above others，for him to refuse it，but to submit to God＇s will and decree，and relinquish the vice of obstinacy． When he is exalted and appointed that he may be useful to others in the post which is offered him，he should avoid it in spirit，and yet out of obedience accept it．

VII．That the ministration of teaching is also very blamelessly desired，and also many are compelled very blamelessly to undertake it．
However，many desire rule and supremacy very blamelessly，and
tælwierðlice, \& monige bioð togeniedde eac swiðe untælwierðlice. Đæt we magon swiðe sweotule ongietan, gif we geðenceað pa twegen witgan pe God wolde sendan to læranne. Oxer hine his selfes willum gebead to đære lare \& to ðæm færelte. Oðer for ðæm ege, pe he ondred pæt he hit swæ medomlice don ne meahte, him wiłsoc. Đæt wæs Hieremias. pa he hiene sendan wolde, pa bæd he eaðmodlice pæt he hiene ne sende, \& cwæð : Eala eala cala Dryhten, ic eom cniht; hwæt can ic sprecan? Ae Isaias, pa Dryliten ascode hwone he sendan meahte, pa ewæð Isaias: Ic eom gearo; sende me. Loca nu hu ungelic spræc eode of Xissa twegea monna muðe. Ac hio wæs of swiðe gelicum willan, forron hio afeoll [aweol] of anum welle; teah hio [he] on tu tofleowe, خeah wæs se [sio] æspring sio sołe lufu. Ymbe pa we habbar twa bebodu: an is pæt we lufien God, oXer pæt we lufien ure nihstan. For そære lufan Isaias wilnode hu he nyttost
 he wilnode łære łegnunga đæs lareowdomes. Ieremas ðonne wilnode singallice hine geðidan to łære lufan his scippendes, \& forðxm he forcwæð, \& nolde pæt hiene man sende to læronue. Đæt ilee pæt he untælwierðlice ondred to underfoonne, pæt ilce se oðer swiðe hergendlice gewilnode. Oðer ondred pæt he forlure sprecende $\mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ gestreon pe he on łære swiggean gełencean meahte; ǒer ondred pæt he ongeate on his swiggean $\dagger æ t$ he sumne hearm geswugade $\begin{aligned} \text { ær }\end{aligned}$ ðær he freme geclipian meahte, gif he ymb pæt geornlice swunce.
 se pe łær wiłcwæð, na fullice ne wiðcwæð, \& se se pe wolde pat hiene mon sende, he geseah ær hiene elænsian łurh pa colu
many are also compelled to undertake it very blamelessly. This we can clearly understand, if we think of the two prophets whom God wished to send to teach. The one voluntarily undertook the teaching and the journey. The other, through fear of not doing it so well, refused. This was Jeremiah. When he wished to send him, he begred him humbly not to send him, and said:" Behold, Lord, I am a youth; what can I say?" But Isaiah, when God asked whom he should send, said: "I am rearly; send me." See now what different speeches came from the mouth of these two men. But they arose from a very similar desire, for they flowed from the same spring; although they flowed in different directions, the source was true love. About which we have two precepts: one is to love God, the other
wier［ $ð$ ］lice，\＆monige beoð togeniedde［eac］suize untælwierəlice．Đæt we magon sueotole ongietan，gif we geðenceał $ð$ a twegen witgan $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ God wolde sendan to læra［n］ne．Oðer hiene his selfes willum gebead to ðære lare \＆to đæm færelte．Oðer for ðæm ege，ðe he ondred ðæt 5 he hit sua medomlice dôn ne meahte，him wǐsôc．Đæt wæs Heremias．
 \＆cuæð：Eala e［a］la eala Dryhten，ic eom cnioht；hwæt conn ic sprecan？Ac Essaias，ða Dryhten acsode hwoue he sendan meahte，ða cuæ૪ Essaias：Ic eom gearo ；send me．Loca nu hu ungelic spræc 10 eode of Xissa tuega monna muðe．Ac hio wæs of suǐe gelicum willan， forðon hio âweoll of anum wille；そeah heo an tu tefleowe，તeah wæs sio æspryng sio soðe lufu．Ymb ða we habbað tua bebodu：an is đæt we lufigen God，oðer خæt we lufien ure niehstan．For ðære lufan Essaias wilnode hu he nyttosð meahte beon his nihstum on ðys eorð－ 15 lican life，\＆fořon he wilnode そære ðegnunga ðæs lariowdomes． Hieremias ðonne wilnode singallice hine geðiedan to ðære lufan his Scippendes，\＆for $\begin{aligned} & \text { am } \\ & \text { he forwæð，\＆nolde } \nprec æ t ~ h i n e ~ m o n ~ s e n d e ~ t o ~\end{aligned}$ læranne．Đæt ilce Łæt he untælwyrðlice ondred to underfonne，خæt ilce se oðer swiðe hergeondlice gewilnode．Oðer ondred đæt he forlure 20 sprecende ða gestrion ðe he on ðære swigean gěencan meahte ；oðer ondred $\searrow æ$ t he ongeate on his swygean $\npreceq æ t$ he sumne hearm geswi－ gode ðær ไær he freme gecleopian meahte，gif he ymb さæt geornlice sw［u］nce．Ac we sculon swiðe smealice ðissa ægðer undeřencean，
 25 ðæt hine mon sende，he geseah ær hine clænsian ðurh $\Varangle_{a}$ colu ðæs
to love our neighbour．From love Isaiah desired to be as useful as possible to his neighbours in this earthly life，and therefore he desired the ministration of teaching．Jeremiah desired always to continue in the love of his Creator，and therefore he refused，and did not wish to be sent to teach．The same charge that he blamelessly dreaded to undertake，the other very laudably desired．The one feared losing what he had gained in silence and meditation；the other feared concealing some mischief by his reticence，while he might have spoken to advantage，if he had zealously laboured．But we ought to consider both cases very narrowly，for he who refused did not altogether refuse，and he who wished to be sent saw that he was first purified by the coals of the altar，lest any one durst undertake upurified
pæs alteres, ðylæs ænig unclænsod dorste on swæ micelne haligdom fôn łære clænan łenunge ðæs sacerdhades, oððe eft ænig durre on eaðmodnesse hiewe hit ofermodlice forewěan, swelce he licette eałmetta, \& do ðeah for gilpe, gif hiene gecist> sio uplice gifu. Ac forとæmpe hit swæ earfoðe is ænegum men to wietanne hwoune he geclænsod sie, he mæg ૪y orsorglicor forbugan pa خenunga ; \& næs swæðeah to anwillice ne forbuge he, swæ we ær cwædon, Xonne he ongiete $\begin{array}{r}\text { one } \\ \text { ufancundan willan pæt he hit don scyle. }\end{array}$ Æǧer ૪issa gefylde Moyses pa he wiðsoc swæ miclum ealdordome. Ægðer ge he wolde ge he nolde, \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse ge夭afode. We witon خæt he nære eaðmod, gif he underfenge ðone ealdordom swelces unrimfolces buton ege; \& eft he wære ofermod, gif he wiðcwæde pæt he nære underðiedd his Scippende. Ac ægðer ðissa he dyde for eałmodnesse \& for under $\begin{aligned} \text { riednesse. He sceawode hine }\end{aligned}$ selfe, [selfne] \& pinsode, pa pa him ðuhte ðæt he hit don ne meahte, \& swæðeah gełafode, for $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { a }\end{gathered}$ him bebead. Hwæt se halga wer ongeat pæt he hæfde Godes fultom, \& swæðeah ondred pæt he underfenge ðone ladteowdom pæs folces, \& nu him ne ondrædað pa dolan for hiora agnum scyldum pæt hie sien ofer oðre, \& ne magon him gegadrian on ðyllicum bisene hu micel syn \& hu micel frecennes hit bið. God selfa tyhte Moyses on Xone folgor, swæðeah he him ondred ; \& nu fundiað [fandiað] swelce

 hie ne magon standan [gestondan], hie willað lustlice underfôn oðerra
so holy a work of the pure ministration of the priesthood, or under the pretext of humility haughtily refuse it, as if he simulated humility, and yet showed himself vainglorious, if the divine grace chooses him. But since it is so difficult for any man to know when he is purified, he can with so much the less hesitation decline the ministration ; and yet he must not decline it too obstinately, as we remarked above, when he sees that it is the divine will for him to do so. Moses fulfilled both requirements when he refused so great a sovereignty. He was both willing and unwilling, and yet from humility he consented. We know that he would not have been humble, if he had undertaken the rule of so vast a host without fear ; and, again, he
alteres，ðylæs ænig unclænsod dorste on swa micelne haligdom fôn đære clænan ðegnenga そæs sacerdhades，o૪ðe eft ænig durre on eaðmodnesse hiwe hit ofermodlice forcweðan，swelce he licette eałmetto，\＆doo ðeah for gilpe，gif hine gecist sio uplice gifu．Ac 5 fořæmðe hit swa earfoðe is ænegum menn to witanne hwonne
 ond næs swałeah to anwillice ne forbuge he，swa we ær cwædon， Xonne he óngiete 夭one ufancundan willan łæt he hit dôn scyle． Ægłer Xissa $^{\text {gefylde Moyses }} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he wiłsóc swa miclum ealdordome．
10 Ægðer ge he wolde ge he nolde，\＆łeah for eałmodnesse gełafode． We witon $\npreceq æ$ he nære eałmod，gif he underfenge 夭one ealdordóm swelces unrimfolces buton ege ；\＆eft he wære ofermod，gif he［wið－］ cwæde łæt he nære underðidd his Scippende．Ac ægðer ðissa he dyde for eałmodnesse \＆for underridednesse．He sceawode hine
 swaðeah geðafode，forłamæe he getruwode ðæs mægene ðe hit him bebead．Hwæt se haliga wer ongeat pæt he hæfde Gorles fultom， \＆swałeah ondred đæt he underfenge ðone lattiowdóm そæ［s］folces，\＆ nu him ne ondrædað $\gamma$ dolan for hiera agnum scyldum Łæt hie sien 20 ofer ơre，\＆ne magon him gegaderian on خyllicum biwene hu micel synn \＆hu micel frecennes hit bið．God selfa tyhte Moyses on ðone folgox，swaðeah he him ôndred；ond nu fandiað swelce wræccan \＆teoð
 mid hira agnum byrðennu $m$ of $\begin{aligned} \\ r y c t e \\ \text { そæt hie ne magon gestondan，hie }\end{aligned}$ 25 willað lustlic［e］underfon ołerra monna，ond unniedige hie underlutað
would have been presumptuous，if he had refused to be subject to his Maker．But he did both out of humility and docility．He con－ templated himself，and thought that he could not do it，and yet consented，for he trusted in the might of him who offered it him． The holy man saw that he had God＇s help，and yet feared to under－ take the leadership of the people，and yet fools are not afraid because of their own sins to rule others，and cannot infer from such an example how great sin and presumption it is．God himself encouraged Moses to rule，yet he feared；and yet such wretches try for，and aspire to undertake the dignity and burden ；and those who are oppressed with their own burdens so that they cannot keep their footing，are
monna, \& unniedige hie underlutað mid hiora sculdrum oðerra byrðenne toeacan hiora agnum. He ne mæg his agene aberan, \& wolde خeah maran habban.
VIII. Be $\not$ æm pe wilniał biscephád to underfonne, hu hie gripað [gegripał] ðone cwide ðæs apostoles Paules hiora gidsunge to fultome.

Ac ða pe willað gripan on swelcne folgoł for hiera gidsunge hie doð him to lade [leafæ] Xone cwide pe sanctus Paulus cwæð: Se pe biscephad [biscephade] gewilnað, god weorc he gewilnar. Gif he hit pa herede \& on tyhte, eft he stirde đære gewilnunge pa he cwæ૪: Biscepe gedafenað pæt he sie tælleas. And ðærbufan is geteald hwelc he beon sceal, gif he untælwierðe bið. Mid oðrum worde he hierte, mid ${ }^{\text {}}$ なrum he bregde, swelce he openlice cwæde: Ic herige pæt ge seceað, ac leorniað pæt ge wieten hwæt hit sie, ac gif ge agiemeleasiał pæt ge ameten eow selfe hwelce ge sien, swæ ge eow on hieran folgołe ahebbað, swæ ge sweotulran \& widmærran gedoð eowre tælwierðlicnesse. S'wæ se micla cræftega hiertende toscyfo, \& egesiende stier久 ofermetta mid ðære tælinge his hieremonnum, pæt he hie gebrenge on life. Eac is to geðencenne pæt on $\chi_{a}$ tid pe se biscephad swæ gehened [gehered] wæs, swæ hwelc swæ hiene underfeng, he underfeng martyrdom. On pa tiid wæs to herianne pæt mon wilnode biscephades, pa pa nan tweo næs pæt he ðurh ðone sceolde cuman to hefegum martyrdome. Đæt is to tacne pæt mon endebyrdlice ðone biscepdom halde, pæt he hiene on godum weorcum geendige. Fořon hit is gecweden : Se pe biscephad gewilnað, god weore he gewilnað. Se ðonne for
ready cheerfully to undertake those of other men, and needlessly bow their shoulders under the burden of others beside their own. They cannot support their own, and yet desire to have greater ones.
VIII. Of those who wish to become bishops, how they seize on the words of the apostle Paul to excuse their desire.
But those who wish to seize on such authority excuse their desire with the words of St. Paul : "He who desires to be a bishop, desires a good work." If he praised and encouraged, again he forbade the desire, saying, "A bishop should be blameless." It is besides said what kind of man he must be to be blameless. With the one speech he encouraged, with the other he dissuaded, as if he had
mid hira sculdrum oðerra byrðenna toeacan hiera agnum ；he ne mæg his agne âberan，\＆wolde خeah maran habban．

VIII．Be ðæm ðe wilnað biscephâd tó underfonne，hu hie gegripað ðone cwide ðæs apostoles Paules hiora gitsunge to ful－ tome．

Ac $\gamma_{a}$ خe willað gripan on swelcne folgał for hiera gitsunge hie doð him to leafe ðone cwide đe sunctus Paulus cwæð ：Se đe biscephade gewilnað，god weore he gewilnað．Gif he hit $\gamma_{a}$ herede \＆on tyhte， eft he stierde そære gewilnunge ða he cwæð：Biscepe gedafnað خæt 10 he sie tælleas．Đærbufan is geteald hwelc he beon sceal，gif he untælwierðe bið．Mid o九rum worde he hierte，mid oðrum he bregde， swelce he openlice cwæde：Ic herige そæt ge secað，ac leorniað ðæt ge witen hwæt hit sie，ac gif ge agiemeleasiað ðæt ge ameten cow selfe hwelce ge sien，sua eow ón hierran folgoそe áhebbað，swa ge sweotolran 15 \＆widmærran gedoð eowre tælweorðlicnesse．Sua se micla cræftiga hiertende toscyfr，\＆egesiende stier｀ofermetta mid Łære tælinge his hieremonnum，łæt he hie gebringe on life．Eac is to geðencanne そæt ón ða tiid ðe se biscephad swa gehiered wæs，sua huele swa hine underfeng，he underfeng martyrdôm．On ða tiid wæs to herigeanne 20．ðæt mon wilnode biscephades，ða ðe nan twio næs ðæt he ðurh ðone sceolde cuman to hefegum martyrdome．Đæt is to tacne łæt mon endebyrðlice ðone biscepdóm healde，đæt he hine on godum weorcum geendige．Fořon hit is gecweden：Se $\begin{aligned} & \text { e biscephad gewilnað，gód }\end{aligned}$
openly said：＂I praise your desire，but learn to know what it is， and if ye neglect to estimate yourselves at your real worth，the higher the authority ye attain to，the more manifest and notorious will ye make your unfitness．＂Thus the great craftsman incites and en－ courages his disciples，and sternly rebukes their pride by blaming them，that he may bring them to life．We must also reflect that at the time when the office of bishop was in such high estimation， he who accepted it accepted martyrdom．At that time it was praise－ worthy for a man to desire to become a bishop，for there was no doubt that through it he would arrive at a cruel martyrdom．It is a proof of a bishop＇s holding his office well for him to end it with good works．Therefore it is said：＂He who desires the office of
ðære wilnunge［gewilnunge］swelcra weorca biscepdom ne sec $ð$ ，he bið خonne him selfum［self］gewiota Łæt he wilnað him selfum gilpes；

 Łæs folgoðes，his mod bið afedd mid łære smeaunga ðære wilnunge ［wilnunga］oðerra monna hiernesse \＆his selfes upahæfenesse，\＆fæge－ nað łæs hu hiene mon sciele herigean．Ahefð Xonne his heortan
 eaðmoduesse，\＆secð mid Yæm $\begin{aligned} \text { isses middangeardes gestreon．On }\end{aligned}$


 nesse，$\not$ とæt $\Varangle æ t$ he utan iowað inuan he hit awende $\begin{gathered}\text {［anwent］}\end{gathered}$

IX．Hu $\chi_{\text {æt }} \bmod \chi_{\text {rette }}$ wilna $\gamma$ fore［for］oðre bion lih $\gamma$ him selfum，ðonne hit ðencł fela godra weorea to wyreeanne， \＆ $\mathrm{X}_{\text {æt }}$ licet $o{ }^{\text {̌trum }}$ monnum，gif he worldare hæbbe，\＆wile hit ðonme oferhebban，siðxan he hio hæf $\gamma$ ．
Ac Xome he wilnar to underfome pa are \＆خone ealdordom，he そencð on そæm oferbrædelse his modes そ̌æt he scile monig god weore ðæron wyrcean，\＆he ðencð mid innewearde mode Ł̌æt he girneð
 on hiora modes rinde monig god weore to wyrceanne，ac on $\Varangle æ m$ piłan bið ołer gehyded．Ac on uteweardum his mode he lihł him

bishop，desires a good work．＂He，therefore，who does not aspire to that office from the desire of such works，is his own witness that he desires his own vainglory；he not only does wrong in not loving the holy ministration，but altogether slights it ；and when he aspires to the honour of rule，his heart is nourished with the contemplation of the desire of having other men subject to him，and his own exaltation，and rejoices in being praised．Hence he is puffed up in spirit，and rejoices in the possession of abundant wealth．He simu－ lates humility，and through it seeks the possessions of this world． Under the pretence of mortifying his pride he increases it．Instead of distributing his property he accumulates it．When the mind thinks to make humility a pretext for pride，that which he displays openly he perverts in secret．
weore he gewilnał. Se łonne for łære gewilnunge swelcra weorca biscopdôm ne seč, he bið Xonne him self gewita łæt he wilnał him
 halgan Xegnunga, ac eallinga he hie forsiehð; ond ðonne he fundað to
 ðæere wilnunga ołerra monna hiernesse \& his selfes upahæfenesse, \& fægenað そæs hu hie[ne] mon scyle herigean. Ahefð ðonne his heortan

 10 łæm hiewe $\chi_{e}$ he sceolde his gielpes stieran on $\nsucc æ m$ he his strien $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {. }\end{aligned}$
 Đonne Łæt mod Łence $^{\text {¢ }}$ gegripan him to upáhefenesse $\Varangle_{a}$ eałmodnesse, ðæt ðaet he utan eowað innan he hit anwent.
IX. Hu ðæt mod ðætte wilnað for oðre beon lihð him selfum, ðonne
hit ¿enç fela godra weorca to wyrcanne, \& Xæt licett ołrum monnum, gif he worldare hæbbe, \& wile hit $\begin{gathered}\text { onne ofer- }\end{gathered}$ hebban, siððan he hie hæft.
 そencł on łam oferbrædelse his modes łæt he sciele monig (g)ôd 20 weore łæerôn wyrcan, \& he łencł mid innewearde mode łæt he gierneð for gilpe \& for upáhafenesse ðæs folgoðes, smeageað خeah \& ðeahtiga $\gamma$ on hiera modes rinde monig god weore to wyrcanne, ac on


IX. How the mind that desires to be above others deceives itself, when it thinks to perform many good works, and simulates it before other men, if he has worldly honour, and wishes to neglect it when he has it.

But when he wishes to undertake honour and rule, he thinks on the surface of his heart that he will do many good works in his office, and acknowledges in his inmost heart that he desires it out of pride and conceit of authority, but ponders and considers in the bark of his mind that he will perform many good works, but in the pith is something else hid. On the surface of his mind he is deceived about himself as to the good works; he pretends to love that which he

そæt he ne lufað：Øyses middangeardes gilp he lufað，\＆he licet swelce he ðone onscunige，\＆hine him ondræde．Đonne he wilnað on his mode łæt hie sciele ricsian he bił swiðe forht \＆swiðe be－ healden ；ðonne he hæf૪ đætte he habban wolde，he bið swiðe ðriste． Đoune he to fundar，he ondræt pæt he ne mote to cuman，\＆sona swæ
 ［mid scyld］sceolde se se hie him salde，\＆brycð ðære godcundan are worldcundlice，\＆forgit swiðe hræðe ðæt he ær æfestlices geðohte． Hu mæg hit butan đæm bion ðætte ðæt mod pe ær wæs aled of his gewunan for ไære gewilnunge［wilnunge］ðære worldare，ðæt hit ne sie eft to gecirred ðonne hit hæfð łætte hit ær wilnode？Ac sona bioð ¿æs modes eagan eft gewende to ðæm weorcum pe hit ær worhte． Ac ðence ælc mon ær hu nytwierðe he sie \＆hu gehiersum ðæm pe he łonne mid ryhte hieran sciele on đæın pe he ðonne deð．Đonne mæg he wietan be $\begin{aligned} \\ y\end{aligned}$ ，gif he hieran folgoł habban sceal，hwæðer he そonne dón mæg ðæŋt ðæt he ær ðencð ðæt he don wolde，forðon seldun mon geliornað［leornað］on miclum rice eaðmodnesse，gif he ær on læssan folgołe ofermod wæs \＆recceleas．Hu mæg he ðonne そæt lof \＆خone gilp fleon ðonne he onahæfen bið，se his ær wilnode pa he butan wæs？Hu mæg he bion ðonne butan gidsunge，ðonne he sceal ymb monegra monna are łencean，gif he nolde pa pa he moste ymb his anes？Healde hiene đæt hiene his agen geðanc ne beswice，pæt he ne truwige pæt he on Łæm maran folgoðe wille wel don，gif he nolde on đæm læssan；fořæmpe oftor on ðæm hieran folgołe mon forlæt godne gewunan，خoune he hiene ไær on geleornige，
loves not：he loves the glory of this world，and pretends to shun and dread it．When he desires in his heart to rule，he is very timid and cautious；when he has what he wished to have，he is very bold． While he is aspiring to it he dreads not attaining it，and when he at－ tains the honour he thinks he who granted him the honour was bound to grant it of necessity，and enjoys the divine honour in a worldly spirit， and very soon forgets his former pious resolutions．How can it other－ wise happen but that the mind which was formerly diverted from its usual routine through the desire of worldly honour returns thereto when it has attained its desire？And the eyes of the mind soon return to its former works．But let every man consider before how useful and obedient he is to those he is bound to obey in his actions，
łæt he ne lufar：Xisses middangeardes gilp he lufað，\＆he licett swelce he łone onscunige，\＆hine him ondræde．Đonne he wilnað on his mode łæt he sciele ricsian he bið swiðe forht \＆swiðe behealden ；ðonne he hæf૪ ðæt he habban wolde，he bið swiðe $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { riste }\end{aligned}$ 5 Đonne he to fundað，he ondræt ðæt he ne mote to cuman，ond sona swa he to ðære are cymð，swa ૪yncð him ðæt se hie him niedscylde sceolde se se hie him sealde，\＆brycð ðære godcundan âre worldcund－ lice，\＆forgitt swiłe hræðe łæt he ær æfæstlices geðohte．Hu mæg

 そonne hit hæf૪ そætte hit ær wilnode？Ac sona beo૪ そæs modes eagan eft gewende to ðæm weorcum ૪e hit ær worhte．Ac ðence ælc mon ［ær］hu nytwyrðe he sie \＆hu gehiersum łæm ૪e he ðonne mid


 on miclum rice eaðmodnesse，gif he ær on læssan folgoðe ofermod wæs \＆recceleas．Hu mæg he ðonne ðæt lôf \＆خone gilp fleon łonne ［he］on［a］hæfen bix，se his ær wilnode ła he butan wæs？Hu mæg $^{\text {a }}$ 20 he ðonne beon butan gitsunge，ðonne he sceal ymb monigra monna are $\succ_{e n c a n, ~ g i f ~ h e ~ n o l d e ~}^{\text {}}$ a $\succ_{a}$ he moste ymb his anes？Healde hine ðæt hine his agen geðanc ne biswice，ðæt he ne truwige ðæt he on ðæm folgoðe wille wel dón，gif he nolde on đæm læssan；fořæmðe oftor on ðæm hieran folgoðe mon forlæt goodne gewunan，ðonne he 25 hine ðæron geleornige，gif he hine ær næfde on læssan folgołe \＆on
and by his performance under these circumstances he can judge whether，if he is to have higher authority，he is able to carry out his former intentions，for men seldom learn humility in a high station if they were proud and reckless in a humbler one．How can he avoid praise and vainglory when he is exalted，who formerly desired them when he was without power？How can he be without covet－ ousness when he has to consult the interests of many，if formerly he would not avoid it when he had to consult his own interests alone？ Let him beware of allowing himself to be deceived with his own imagination，lest he believe that he will do well in that station when he would not in the lesser；for in a higher station men oftener lose good habits than learu them there，if they had them not in a humbler

gif be hene ær næfde on læssan folgoxe \＆on maran æmettan． Swiðe eałe mæg on smyltre sæ ungelæred scipstiora genoh ryhte stieran，ac se gelæreda him ne truwað on łæære hreon sæ \＆on ðæm miclan stormum．Hwæt is ðomne łæt rice \＆se eallordom buton Xæs modes storm，se symle bił cuyssende Xæt scip そære heortan mid Xara gěohta ystum，\＆bił drifen［dræht］hider \＆خider on swiðe nearwe bygeas worda \＆weorca，swelce hit sie ongemong miclum \＆monegum stancludum tobrocen？Hwæt is nu ma ymbe خis to sprecanne，buton se se pe swelc ongieten sie pæt he $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { cræftas hæbbe }\end{aligned}$ pe we ær bufan cwædon，pæt he Xonne to fo，gif he niede sciele，\＆ se se pe swelc ne sie，ไær no æt ne cume，ðeah hiene mon niede？ Se Xonne se pe Xeonde bið on swelcum cræftum \＆on geearnungum， swelce we ær spræcon，\＆ðonne to swiłe wiðscorað $\Varangle æ m$ eallordome， healde hiene $\begin{array}{r}\text { æ }\end{array}$ he ne cnytte ðæt underfangne feoh on $\not$ $_{\text {æm }}$ swatline pe Crist ymbe spræc on his godspelle； $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rt } \\ \text { is } \\ \text { ært }\end{array}$ he $\nsucc a$ Godes gifa pe he onfeng ge on cræftum ge on æhtum |  |
| :---: |
| me |
|  |
| $\chi$ | ne becnytte on Yæm sceate his slæwてe，\＆he for his swongornesse hie ne gehyde， ðylæs hit him sie eft witnod．Đa łonne pe idle beoł swelcra giefa， \＆Xeah wilniað đæs alderdomes，healden hie pæt hie mid hiera un－ ryhtum bisnum $\chi_{a}$ ne screncen $\chi_{a}$ pe gað on ryhtne weg toweard そæs hefonrices，swæ dydon Fariseos：nałer ne hie selfe on ryhtne weg gân noldon，ne ołrum geðafian．Ymb $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y} l l i c}$ is to gełencenne

 underfehð łææs folces medtrymnesse，\＆he sceal faran gind lond swæ swæ læce æfter untrumra monna husum．Gif he 大onne git geswicen
station and in greater leisure．An untaught steersman can very easily steer straight enough on a smooth sea，but the skilled steersman does not trust him on a rough sea and in great storms．And what is sove－ reignty and rule but the mind＇s storm，which ever tosses the ship of the heart with the waves of the thoughts，and is driven hither and thither in very narrow straits of words and works，as if it were wrecked amongst great and many rocks？What need is there to say more about this，except that he who is known to possess the above－mentioned qualities is to undertake it if he is obliged，and he who is not fit is not to approach it，even if compelled？And let him who is gifted with such qualities and merits as we have mentioned above，and too obstinately refuses the supremacy，be careful
maran æmettan. Swiðe eałe mæg on smyltre sæ ungelæred scipstiera genoh ryhte stieran, ac se gelæreda him [ne] getruwał on đære hreon sæ̇ \& on $\npreceq æ m$ miclan stormum. Hwæt is łonne łæt rice \& se ealdordoom butan ðæs modes storm, se simle bið cnyssende łæt scip 5 ðære heortan mid đara gěohta ystum, \& bið drifen hider \& خider on swiðe nearwe bygeas worda \& weorca, swelce hit sie ongemong miclum \& monigum stancludum tobrocen? Hwæt is nu ma ymbe Xis to sprecenne, buton se se ðe swelc ongieten sie $^{\text {đet }}$ he $\chi_{a}$ cræftas hæbbe خe we ær bufan cwædon, خæt he ðonne to fôo, gif he niede sciele, $10 \&$ se se Xe swelc ne sie, ðær no æt ne cume, ðeah hiene mon niede?
 we ær spræcon, \& Xonne to swiðe wiðsceorað łæm ealdordome, healde hine łæt he ne cnytte Łæt underfongne feoh on ðæm swátline
 15 he onfeng ge on cræftum ge on æhtum さæt he 「a ne becnytte on łæm sceate his slæwðe, \& he for his swongornesse hie ne gehyde, ðylæs hit $\mathrm{h}: m$ sie eft witnod. Đa ðonne [ $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ] idle beoð swelcra giefa, \& ðeah wilniað $\npreceq æ s$ ealdordomes, healden hie $\begin{array}{r}\text { æ } \\ \text { hie mid hiera unryhtum }\end{array}$ bisenum $\succ_{a}$ ne screncen $\succ_{a} \succ^{2}$ gað ou ryhtne weg toweard $\succ_{æ s}$ hefon20 rices, swa dydon Fariseos: nałer ne hie selfe on ryhtne wég gan
 smeaganne, forłam se łe biscephâd underfehð, he underfehð ðæs folces mettrymnesse, \& he sceal faran gind lond swa swa læce æfter untrumra monna husum. Gif he خonne giet geswicen næf his agenra
not to tie up the money he has received in the napkin mentioned by Christ in his Gospel ; that is, let him not tie up the divine gifts he has received, both in virtues and in riches, in the cloth of his sloth, and through his laziness hide it, lest he be reproached for it afterwards. Let those who are devoid of such gifts, and 'yet wish for supremacy, beware lest they seduce with their bad example those who are going the right way to the kingdom of heaven, as the Pharisees did: they neither cared to go the right way themselves, nor to suffer others. Such things are to be considered and meditated on, because he who undertakes the office of bishop undertakes the charge of the people's health, and he must traverse the country like a physician, and visit the houses of sick men. If he has not yet
næf૪ his agenra unðeawa, hu mæg he ðonne oðerra monna mod lacnian, ðonne he bireð on his agnum monega opena wunda? Se læce bið micles to bald \& to scomleas pe gæð æfter ołerra monna husum lacniende, \& hæf on his agnum nebbe opene wunde unlacnode.

## X. Hwelc se beon sceal pe to reccendome cuman sceall.

Ac ðone mon sciele ealle mægene to biscephade teon, pe on monegum ðrowungum his lichoman cwilmð, \& gastlice liofað, \& ðisses middangeardes orsorgnesse ne gim $\gamma$, ne him nane wixerweardnesse ne ondræt $\begin{aligned} & \text { isse worlde, ac Godes anne willan lufað. Swelcum inge- }\end{aligned}$ Konce gerist Łæet he for lichoman tidernesse ne for worlde [woruld-] bismere anum wið pa scire ne winne, ne he ne sie gidsiende oterra monna æhta, ac sie his agenra rummod, and his breost sien symle onhielde for arfæstnesse to forgifnesse, næfre ไeah swiður ðonne hit gedafenlic sie for ryhtwisnesse. Ne sceal he noht unalyfedes don, ac そæt jætte ołre men unaliefedes doð he sceal wepan swæ swæ his agne scylde, hiora untrymnesse he sceal łrowian on his heortan, \& ไæs godes his nihstena he sceal fagenian swæ swæ his agnes. His weore sculon ไææs wierte beon pæt him ołre men onhyrien. Hi sceal tilian swæ to libbenne swæ he mæge $\chi_{a}$ adrugodan heortan ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { wronan mid } \nsucc æ m ~ f l o w e n d a n ~ y ~ \\ & \text { ðum his lare. He sceal geleornian }\end{aligned}$ pæt he gewunige to singallecum gebedum, or he ongiete $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { the }\end{array}$ mæge abiddan æt Gode pæt he onginne, swelce him mon to cweðe :
given up his own vices, how can he doctor the minds of other men, while he has in his own mind many open wounds? The doctor is much too bold and shameless who visits the houses of other men, undertaking to cure them, and has on his own face an open wound unhealed.

X . What kind of a man he is to be who is to rule.
But every effort is to be made to induce him to undertake the office of bishop who mortifies his body with many hardships, and lives spiritually, and regards not the pleasures of this world, nor dreads any worldly trouble, but loves the will of God alone. It is befitting for such a disposition, not for weakness of body or mere worldly
unðeawa，hu mæg he ðonne ołerra monna môd lacnian，犭onne he bire on his agnum moniga opena wunda？Se læce bið micles to beald \＆to scomleas خe gæð æfter ołra monna husum læcnigende，\＆hæf＇on his agnum nebbe opene wunde unlacnode．

Ac ૪on［e］monn scyle ealle mægene to bisscephade teon， $\mathrm{Xe}_{\mathrm{e}}$ on monigum ðrowungum his lichoman cwilmð，\＆gæstliee liofað，\＆ $\begin{gathered}\text { isses }\end{gathered}$ middangeardes orsorguesse ne gimð，ne him nane wiðerweardnesse ne andræt $\begin{aligned} \text { isse worolde，ac Godes anne willan lufað．Sueleum inge } & \text { once }\end{aligned}$ gerist $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ he for licuman tiedernesse ne for woroldbismere anum wið $犭$ a scire ne winne，ne he ne sie gietsiende oterra monna æhta，ac sie his agenra rummod，\＆his breosð sien simle onhielde for arfæstnesse to forgiefnesse，næfre ðeah suiðor ðonne hit gedafenlic sie for ryht－ wisnesse．Ne sceal he nalht unaliefedes dón，ac そ̌æt ðætte oðre menn 15 unaliefedes dót he sceal wepan sua sua his agne seylde，\＆hira untrymnesse he sceal łrowian on his heortan，\＆Łæs gódes his nibstena he sceal fægnian sua sua his agnes．His weore sceolon beon ðæs weorðe ไæt him ołre menn onhyrien．He sceal tilian sua to libbanne sua he mæge ða adrugodan heortan geðwænan mid łæm 20 flowendan y̌on his lare．He sceal geleornian ไæt he gew［u］nige to singallecum gebedum，or he ongite ðæt he mæge abiddan æt Gode خæt he ongiene，suelce him mon to cueðe：Nu ‘u me cleopodeš；nu ic
reproach to deeline the supremacy，nor to be greedy of other men＇s property，but liberal with his own，and his heart is to be always inclined to forgiveness for piety＇s sake，yet never more so than is befitting for righteousuess．He must not do anything unlawful，but he must bewail the unlawful deeds of others as if they were his own sins；and he must sympathize with their weakness in his heart，and rejoice in the prosperity of his neighbours as his own．His works must make him worthy of being imitated by other men．He must strive to live so as to moisten the dried－up hearts with the flowing waves of his instruction．He must learn to accustom himself to incessant prayer，until he sees he can obtain from God what he requires，as if it were said to him，＂Thou hast called me；here I

Nu ðu me clipodest; nu ic eom her. Hwæt wenest $\gamma u$ [wenstu nu], gif hwele forworht mon cymð, \& bide $ð$ urne hwelcne $\npreceq æ t$ we hiene læden to sumum ricum men, \& him gełingien ðonne he wið hiene iersał? Gif he me łonne cuð ne bið, ne nan mon his lieredes, ic wille him swiðe hræðe andwyrdan \& cweðan : Ne mæg ic さæt ærendian : ic ne eom him swæ hiewcuð. Gif we Xonne scomiað pæt we to uncǔum monuum swelc sprecen, hu durre we łonne to Gode swelc sprecan? Oððe hu dear se gripan on $\searrow_{\mathrm{a}}$ scire ðæt he ærendige oðrum monnum to Gode, se se pe hiene selfne hiwcuðne ne ongit Gode ðurh his [lifes] geearnunga? OłXe lu dear he ðingian ołrum monnum, \& nat hwaðer him selfum geخingod bir? He mæg ondrædan pæet he for his agnum scyldum mare ierre gewyrce. Ealle we wioton be monnum, se se pe bide $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { one } \text { mon pæt lim } \\ & \text { lingige }\end{aligned}$ wið ołerne $\chi_{e}$ he bið eac irre, ðæt irsiende mod he gegremeð, \& wyrse
 \& healden hie $\mathrm{X}_{\text {mt }}$ hie mid hiera ðingengum hefigre ierre ne astyrien ðæs ðearlwisan deman. Healden hie hie đonne hie gitsiað swæ micles ealdordomes ðæt hie ne weorðen ealdormen to forlore hiera [hiere] hieremonnum. Ac pinsige ælc mon hiene selfne georne [geornlice], Øylæs he durre underfôn ðone lareowdom ไæs folces pa hwile pe him ænig uňcaw on ricsige. Ne wilnige se na bion ðingere for ołerra monna scylde se pe bix mid his agenum geswenced [gesciended].
XI. Hwelc se beon sceal se Xærto cuman ne sceal.

Bi ðon cwæð sio uplice stefn to Moyse ðæt he sceolde beodan
am." What thinkest thou, now, if a criminal comes to one of us, and prays him to lead him to a man in power who is angry with him, and intercede for him? If he is not known to me, or any man of his household, I shall very soon answer him and say: "I cannot undertake such an errand : I am not familiar enough with him." If we are ashamed to speak so to strangers, how dare we speak so to God? Or how can he presume to undertake the office of mediator between God and other men, who is not sure of being himself intimate with God through the merits of his life, or to intercede for other men while he knows not whether he himself has been interceded for? He has reason to fear arousing greater anger because of his own sins. We all know that among men he who prays a man
eom her．Hwæt wenstu nu，gif hwelc forworht monn cym $\begin{gathered}\text { \＆}\end{gathered}$ bitt urne hwelcne ðæt we hine lælen to sumum ricum menn，\＆him geðingien ðonne he wið hine iersað？Gif he me 夭onne cúð ne bið，ne nán moun his hiredes，ic wille him suiðe ræðe andwyrdan \＆cue $\begin{gathered}\text { an }: ~ N e ~ m æ g ~\end{gathered}$ ${ }_{5}$ ic łæt ærendigean：ic ne eom him sua hiwcu૪．Gif we ðonne scomia久 そæt we to uncuðum monnum suelc sprecen，hu durre we ðonne to Gode suelc sprecan？Ołðe hu dear se gripan on $\not$ ða scire Łæt he ærendige oðrum monnum to Gode，se［se］ðe hine selfne hiwcuðne ne óngiet
 10 monnum，\＆nat hwæðer him selfum geðingod bił？He mæg ondrædan ðæt he for his ægnum scyldum mare ierre gewyrce．Ealle we witon bi


 15 hie Łæt hie mid hira خingengum hefigre ierre ne âstyrien đæs ðearl－ wisan deman．Healden hie hie ðonne hie gitsiað sua micles ealdor－ domes $\searrow$ łæt hie ne weořen ealdormenn to forlore hira hieramonnum． Ac pinsige ælc mon hiene selfne georne，خylæs he durre underfon خone lareowdom $\chi_{æ}$ folces $\succ_{a}$ hwile $\chi_{e}$ him ænig uňeaw on ricsige．Ne 20
 gescinded．

XI．Hwelc se beon sceal se ðe ðærto cuman ne sceal．

to intercede for him with another，who is angry with the interceder also，irritates the angry mind and arouses worse anger．Let those consider this who still desire this world，and avoid arousing with their intercessions more violent anger of the severe Judge，lest，when they covet so great authority，they lead their disciples into destruction． But let every one carefully examine himself，lest he presume to under－ take the office of instruction whilst any vice prevail within him．Let him not desire to intercede for the sins of others who is disgraced with his own．

XI．What kind of man is not to attain thereto．
About which the sublime voice commanded Moses to tell Aaron

Arone pat nan mon hiera cynnes ne hiera hioredes ne offrode his Gode nanne hlaf，ne to his 久enunga ne come，gif he ænig wam hæfde ： gif he blind wære oððe healt，ołðe to micle nosu hæfde，o૪ðe to lytle，ołre eft［to］wô nosu ołre tobrocene honda orre fett，orðe hoferede wære，o૪re torenigge，ot૪e fleah hæfde on eagan ołðe singale sceabbas ołðe teter oððe healan．Se bið eallinga blind se pe naht ne ongit bi $\Varangle æ m$ leohte đære uplican sceawunge，\＆se se pe

 næfre ne gesihð mid his modes eagum خæt towearde leoht，$\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ pe he hit lufige，\＆he nat hwider he recð mid 犭æm stæpum his weorca． Be ðæm witgode Anna，pa hio cwæð：Dryhten gehilt his haligra fet，\＆pa umrihtwisan siccettað on ðæm ૪istrum．Se bið eallinga healt se pe wat hwider he gan sceal，\＆ne mæg for his modes un－ trymnesse，ðeah he gesio lifes weg，he ne mæg medomlice ongan， ðonne he hæf $\gamma$ to godum weorce gewunad，\＆læt $\begin{gathered}\text { onne pet aslacian，}\end{gathered}$ \＆hit nyle uparæran to $\begin{aligned} & \text { rm } \\ & \text { sta｀ole } \\ & \text { fulfremedes weorces ；} \\ & \text { onne ne }\end{aligned}$ magon ðider fullice becuman pa stæpas łæs weorces ðider pe he wilnað．Be ðæm cwæð Paulus：Astrecceað eowre agæledan honda \＆eowru cneowu，\＆stæppað ryhte，ne healtigeað leng，ac bioð hale． Đonne is sio lytle nosu ðæt mon ne sie gesceadwis ；forڭæm mid |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | nose we tosceadað ða stenceas，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { m }\end{array}$ is sio nosu gereaht to scead－ wisnesse［gesc．］．Đurh ða gesceadwisnesse we tocnawał good \＆yfel，$_{\text {g }}$ \＆geceosað 犭æt good，\＆aweorpað ðæt yfel．Be خæm is gecweden on ðære bryde lofe ：Đin nosu is swelc swelce sê torr on Libano خæm munte．Forさæm sio halige gesomnung ðurh gesceadwisnesse gesih $\delta$

that no man of their kin or household was to offer to his God any bread，nor come to his ministration，if he had any blemish：if he were blind or lame，or had too big or too little a nose，of if he were crooked－nosed，or had broken hands or feet，or were hump－backed or blear－eyed，or afflicted with albugo or continual scabbiness，or eruptions or hydrocele．He is quite blind who has no conception of the light of sublime contemplation，and is enveloped in the darkness of this present life，when he never sees with his mind＇s eye the future light so as to love it，and knows not whither he is tending with the steps of his works．About which Anna prophecied， saying：＂The Lord will direct the feet of his saints，and the un－ righteous shall lament in darkness．＂ He is altogether lame who

Arone $\mathrm{X}_{\text {æt }}$ nan monn hiera cynnes ne hiera hieredes ne offrode his Gode nan[n]e hlâf, ne to his خegnunga ne come, gif he ænig wom [h]æfde: gif he blind wære o૪ðe healt, ołðe to micle nosu [h]æfde, ołðe to lytle, ołre eft wô nosu orre tobrocene honda ołte fêt, orte hoferede wære, oððe torenige, ołte fleah hæfde on eagan ołðe singale 5 sceabbas ołðe teter ołte healan. Se bið eallenga blind se ðe noht ne ongiet be ðam leohte ðære ûplecan sceawunge, ond [se] se ðe bið $o[f]$ seten mid $\nsucc æ m$ خistrum $\begin{gathered}\text { isses } \\ \text { an } \\ (d) w e a r d a n ~ l i f e s, ~ \\ \text { onne }\end{gathered}$ he næfre ne gesieh $\gamma$ mid his modes eagum $\succ_{æ t}$ towearde leoht, $\chi_{y} \chi_{e}$ he hit lufige, \& he nât hwider he recð mid Łæm stæpum his weorca. Be ไæm 10 witgode Anna, $\chi_{a}$ hio cuæð: Dryhten gehilt his haligra fët, ond $\chi_{a}$
 hwider he gaan sceal, \& ne mæg for his modes untrymnesse, đeah he geseo lifes weg, he ne mæg medomlice ongân, ત̌onne he hæfð to godum weorce gewunad, \& læt ðonne خæt âslacian, \& hit nyle úparæran to 15 ðam staðole fulfremedes weorces; Xonne ne magon ðider fullice
 Paulus: Astreccað eowre agalodan honda \& eowru cneowu, \& stæppað ryhte, ne healtigeað leng, ac beoð hale. Đonne is sio lytle nosu $\chi_{æ t}$ mon ne sie gescadwis; for $\begin{array}{r}\text { mm } \\ \text { mid } \\ \text { Łære } \\ \text { nose } \\ \text { we tosceada } \\ \chi_{a}\end{array}$ 20 stencas, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { am }\end{aligned}$ is sio nosu gereaht to [ge]sceadwisnes[se]. Đurh $\chi_{a}$ gesc[e]adwisnesse we tocnawał good \& yfel, \& geceosað さæt gód, \& aweorpað đæt yfel. Be ðæm is gecueden on ðære bryde lofe: Đin


knows whither he ought to go, and for the infirmity of his mind, although he see the way of life, cannot properly follow it, when he has accustomed himself to good works and then relaxes his vigour, and will not raise it to the state of perfect works; then the steps of the works cannot entirely arrive at the desired point. Of which Paul spoke : "Stretch out your relaxed hands and knees, and proceed rightly, and limp no longer, but be saved." The little nose is want of sagacity ; for with the nose we distinguish odours, therefore the nose is put for sagacity. By sagacity we distinguish between good and bad, and choose the good and reject the bad. Of which it is said in the praise of the bride: "Thy nose resembles the tower on Mount Lebanon." For the holy assembly through sagacity sees and understands whence every temp-
\＆ongieta久 of hwæm ælc costung cyme૪，\＆خæt towearde gefeoht ðara uncysta，hwonon hie łæs wenan sculon．Ac monige men bioð
 oftrædlice mare secggean \＆smeagean swiðor خonne him خearf sie to begonganne，\＆rædað sume leasunge on łære smeaunge．Đæt is sio micle nosu \＆sio woo se pe wile ungemetlice gesceadwis beon，
 woo，forłon sio gesceadwisnes hie selfe gescent mid ðære ungemet－ godan smeaunge．Đæt is خonne se foruda fot \＆sio forude hond ðæt mon wite Godes beboda weg，\＆Xær nylle on gản，ac sie bedæled \＆aidlod ælces godes weorces，nealles na swæ swæ healt mon ołre untrum，hwilum hie gáð，hwilum hie restað，ac se forudfota bið ælces feðes bedæled．Se ðonne bið hoferede se pe sio byrðen of $\begin{aligned} \text { ry－}\end{aligned}$
 are ；ac ealneg［ealne weg］fundað to ðisum eorəlicum，\＆ðonne hie gehierað auht be $\npreceq$ æm gode ðæs hefonlican rices，ไonne ahefegiað hiera heortan ða byrðenna ðæs forhwirfedan gewunan ðætte hie ne magon hiera geðohtes sta夭ol uparæran．Be ðæm se salmscop cwæð：Ic eom gebigged，\＆æghwonon ic eom gehiened．Ond eft be さæm ilcan scyldum sio Soðfæstnes ðurh hie selfe cwæ૪：Hiora sæd gefeollun on pa ðornas． Đæt sindon $\begin{aligned} \text { a pe gehierað Godes word，\＆mid } \not \text { æære geornfulnesse \＆}\end{aligned}$ mid ðære wilnunge ðisse worlde \＆hiere welena bið asmorad Łæt sæd Godes worda，Xeah hie upasprytten，ðæt hie ne moton fullgrowan ne wæstmbære weor＇an．Se ðonne bið siwenigge se pe his ondgit bið to $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \text { beorhte scinende } \\ & \text { ðæt }\end{aligned}$ hie mæge ongietan soðfæstnesse，
tation comes，and whence they are to expect the impending attack of vices．And there are many men who，not wishing to be thought fools， often try to speak and meditate more than is profitable for them to do， and are led astray in their meditation．The big and crooked nose is the desire of over－sagacity，when a man desires it more eagerly than he ought，he has too big and crooked a nose，for his sagacity shames itself by its excessive contemplation．The broken hand and foot is when a man knows the path of God＇s commands and will not follow it，but is deprived of every good work and frustrated，not at all like a lame or diseased man，who is sometimes in motion，sometimes at rest，while the broken foot is always entirely deprived of motion． He is humpbacked who is oppressed by the burden of earthly desire，
ælc costu(n)g cyme $\gamma$, ond ðæt towearde gefeoht ðara uncysta, hwonon hie ðæs wenan sculon. Ac monige menn beoð ðe noldon ðone hlisan habban Łæt hie unwiese sien ; angiennað خonne oftrædlice mare sec-
 5 sume leasunge on ไære smeaunge. Dæt is sio micle nosu \& sio woo
 he ðyrfe, se (h)æf` to micle nosu \& to woo, forðon sio gesceadwisnes hie selfe gescind mid ðære ungemetgodan smea[u]nge. Đæt is દonne se foreda foot \& sio forude hond ðæt mon wite Godes biboda wêg, \& 10 łær nylle on gán, ac sie bedæled \&\& aidlad ælces godes weorces, nals na sua sua healt monn ołte untrum, hwilum hee gád, hwilum hie restar, ac se foreda fot a bið ælces feðes bedæled. Se خonne bið hoferede
 besylð to ðære uplican âre; ac ealne weg fundað to ðeosum eorð15 lecum, ond ðonne hie gehierað awuht be łæm góde Xæs hefonlican rices, $\Varangle_{0 n n e ~}$ ahefegiað hira heort $[a] \mathrm{n}$ ða byřenna $\Varangle_{æ s}$ forhwirfdan gewunan łætte hie ne magon hiera geðohtes stǎol uparæran. Be ðæm se salmsceop cwæ૪: Ic eom gebiged, \& æghwonon ic eom geh[i]ened. Ond eft be đæm ilcan scyldum sio Soðfæstnes ðurh hie 20 selfe cwæ૪: Hiera sæd gefeollon on ða łornas. Đæt sindon ða ðe gehierał Godes word, \& mid đære geornfulnesse \& mid đære wilnunge خisse worlde \& hiere welena bið asmorod とæat sad Godes worda, خe:h hie ûpáspryttæn, ðæt hie ne moten fulgrowan ne wæstmbære weorðan.
 25 ðæt he mæge ongietan sołfrestnesse, gif hit 夭omne aðistriað ða $^{2}$
and never contemplates exalted virtue, but ever pursues carthly things, and when they hear aught of the excellence of the kingdom of heaven, their hearts are oppressed by the burdens of their perverse habits, so that they cannot exalt the state of their mind. Of which the Psalmist spoke: "I am bowed and humiliated on all sides." And, again, Truth itself spoke about the same sins: "Their seed fell among thorns." That is those who hear the word of God, and by the cares and desires of this world and its wealth the seed of God's words is smothered, although they spring up, so that they cannot flourish or bear fruit. He is blear-eyed whose mind is clear enough to perceive the truth, but is obscured by fleshly works. The pupils of the bleared eyes are sound, but the eyelashes become bushy, being often dried because of the

5-2
gif hit $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { a } \\ \text { istria } \\ \\ \\ a\end{array}$ flæsclican weore．Hwæt on $\nprec æ s$ siwenig－ gean eagum beoð ða æplas hale，ac $\nsucc a$ bræwas greatiað，for $ð æ m ~ h i e ~$ bioł oft drygge［drygde］for đæm tearum pe đær gelome offlowað， oððæt sio scearpnes bið gewierd łæs æples．Swæ sindon wel monige
 lifes， $\begin{aligned} \text { a pe mealiton smealice \＆scearplice mid hiera ondgiete ryht }\end{aligned}$ gesion，ac mid $\not$ Łæm gewunan ðara wona weorca $\not$ ðæt mod bið adim－
 cynd ascyrpð，\＆he hit خonne self gescent mid his ungewunan \＆wóm wilnungum．Be đæm wæs wel gecweden ðurh ðone engel ：Smire－ wa $\begin{aligned} & \text { cowre eagan mid sealfe } ð æ t ~ g e ~ m æ g e n ~ g e s i o n . ~ Đ o n n e ~ w e ~ s m i r e-~\end{aligned}$ wał ure heortan eage mid sealfe pæt we mægen $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ bet gesion，$\gamma_{\text {onne }}$ we mid łæm læcedome godra weorca gefultumað urum ondgiete $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$
 hæf $\begin{gathered}\text { eallenga fleah on his modes eagum，pe on nane wisan ne mæg }\end{gathered}$ ryhtwisnesse gesion，ac bið ablend mid unwisdome pæot he ne ongiet Xa $_{a}$ uplican rihtwisnesse．Đurh ðone æpl đæs eagean mon mæg
 ealle ofergæð，ðonne ne mæg he noht gesion．Swæ eac be łæs
 self dysig lið［sie］\＆synfull，ðonne gegrip $૪$ hit ðurh ðone wenan そ̌æt ondgit łære incundan binhto；gif he ðonne self weneð ðæt he sie wis \＆gesceadwislice ryhtwis，mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { he hiene bedæle } ð æ r e ~\end{aligned}$ oncnawnesse ðæs uplican leohtes，［\＆micle py læs he ongiet pa bierhto そæs sǒan leohtes］夭onne he hiene upahef $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { on his mode on swelc }\end{aligned}$
frequent flow of tears，until the sharpness of the pupil is dulled． Thus there are very many who wound their mind with the works of this fleshly life who could clearly and sharply perceive righteousness with their understanding，but with the habit of bad works the mind is dimmed．He is altogether blear－eyed who has a naturally good heart and understanding，and of himself disgraces it with his bad habits and perverse desires．Of which was well spoken through the angel ： ＂Anoint your eyes with salve，that ye may see．＂We anoint the eyes of our heart to see better，when we aid our understanding with the medicine of good works，so that it is sharpened enough to perceive the brightness of true light．He has altogether albugo in his mind＇s eyes who can in no wise see righteousness，but is blinded with folly
flæsclican weorc．Hwæt on ðæs siwenigean eagum beoð ða æpplas

 æpples．Swa sindon wel monege ðara $\mathrm{Xe}^{2}$ gewundiað hiera mod
 \＆scearplice mid liera \＆gite ryht geseon，ac mid Łæm gewunan ðara wona weorca $\Varangle æ$ mod bið adimmod．Se bið eallinga siwenige Xonne his mod \＆his \＆git łæt gecynd ascirpł，\＆he hit ðonne self gesci［e］nt mid his ungewunan \＆wom wilnungum．Be Łæm wæs 10 wel gecweden ðurh ðone ængel：Smiriað eowre eagan mid sealfe ðæt ge mægen geseon．Đonne we smierewał ure heortan eage mid
 godra weorca gefultumað urum ondgite łæt hit bið ascirped to ongietenne ða bierhtu ðæs soðan leohtes．Se ðonne hæfð eallinga 15 fleah on his modes eagum，خe on nane wisan ne mæg ryhtwisnesse geseon，ac bǐ áblend mid unwisdome ̌̌æt he ne ongit đa uplican ryhtwisnesse．Đurh ૪one æpl ðæs eagan mon mæg geseon，gif him łæt fleah ôn ne gæð，gif hine ðonne ðæt fleah mid ealle ofergæð，

 20 gecueden，gif そæt ondgit そæs menniscan geðohtes ongiett łæt hit self dysig sie \＆synfull，ðonne［ge］gripð hit ðurh ðone wenan そæt andgit そære incundan byrhto；gif he ðonne self wen |  |
| ---: |
| t | he sie wis \＆gescadwislice ryhtwis，mid $\chi_{y}$ he hiene bedælð Łære oncnawnesse さæs uplecan leohtes，\＆micle $\begin{aligned} & \\ & y \text { læs he ongiet } ð a \text { bierhto }\end{aligned}$ 25 ૪æs［s］oðan leohtes 夭onne he hiene upahefeð on his mode on suelc

so that he does not understand celestial righteousness．A man can see with the pupil of the eye if it is not covered with albugo， but if it is entirely covered with albugo，he cannot see anything． So also it is said of the mind＇s eyes that if the understanding of human thought perceives that it is itself foolish and sinful，through that idea it grasps the conception of inner brightness；but if he himself thinks that he is wise and prudently righteous，he thereby deprives himself of the recognition of celestial light，and he under－ stands so much the less of the brightness of true light by extolling himself in spirit with such pride and egotism ；as is said of cer－ tain men：＂They said they were wise，and therefore they became foolish．＂He is aftlicted with chronic scabbiness who never refrains
gilp \＆on swelc selflice ；swæ swæ be sumum monnum cweden is：Hie sædon ðæt hie wæron wise，\＆pa wurdon hie dysige forðon．Sołlice se hæf singalne sceabb se pe næfre ne blinð ungestæððignesse．Đonne bi łæm sceabbe swiðe ryhte sio hreofl getacnað łæet wohhæmed．And Øonne bið se lichoma hreof，ðonne se bryne pe on łæm innołe bið utaflihð［utaslihð］to ðære hyde．Swæ bið sio costung ærest on ðæm mode，\＆夭onne fære૪ utweardes to ðære hyde，oððæt hio utascieð on weorc．Butan tweon gif そæt mod ær đæm willan ne wiðbritt，se wilm ðæs iunoðes utabirst［utbirst］\＆wier久 to sceabbe，\＆monega wunda utan wyreð mid ðæm won weorcum．Forðæm wilnode sanctus Paulus ðæt he ðære hyde giocðan ofadrygde mid $\nsucc$ æm worde，pa he cwæ૪：Ne gegripe eow næfre nan costung buton mennescu．Swelce he openlice cwæde：Mennislic is $\succsim æ$ mon on his mode costunga

 pe hæft on his mode gidsunge，and gif hiere ne bił sona gestiered， heo wile weaxan mid ungemete．Butan tweon se teter butan sare he ofergæð ðone lichoman，\＆swæðeah ðæt lim geunwlitegað； se gicða bið swiðe unsar，\＆se cleweða bið swiðe row，\＆swæðeah
 \＆sio wund sarað．Swæ eac sio gitsung Łæt mod ไæt hio gebinde૪
 hwæthwugu to begietenne．Hio gehæt him æghwæs genoh，خeah
 ¡æmpe hit wyrcð feondscipe．Đurh $\succ_{a}$ wunde he forlist $\not$ łone wlite

from wantonness．The scab of leprosy is a type of fornication．The body is leprous when the inflammation of the body spreads to the skin．Thus temptation is first in the mind and then spreads to the skin until it bursts forth in actions．Doubtlessly，unless the mind oppose the desire beforehand，the internal inflammation breaks forth and becomes scal，causing many external sores with the perverse actions．Hence Paul desired to wipe off the prurience of the flesh with the words he spoke：＂Let no temptation seize on you unless human ；＂as if he had openly said：＂It is only human for a man to suffer temptations in his mind from the desire of bad deeds，but it is devilish for him to carry out his desire．＂He suffers from ring－
gielp \＆on suelc selflice；sua sua be sumum monnum cueden is ： Hie sædon $\npreceq æ t$［hie］wæren wiese，\＆$\chi_{a}$ wurdo［n］hie dysige forłam．
「ignesse．Đonne bi łam sceabbe suiłe ryhte sio hreofl getacnað そæt 5 wohhæmed．Đonne bił se lichoma hreof，તonne se bryne ૪e o［n］ðæm innołe bið utaslihð to ðære hyde．Sua bið sio costung æresð on $\npreceq m$ mode，\＆夭onne fereð ûtweardes to łære hyde，o૪ðæt hio ûtasciet on weorc．Butan tweon gif ðæt mod ær Øæm willan ne wiðbritt，se wielm łæs innołes utabiersð \＆wierð to sceabbe，\＆moniga wunda 10 utane wyrcł mid Łæm wón weorcum．Forłon wilnode sanctus Paulus ૪æt he 夭ære hyde giocłan ofadrygde mid $\npreceq m$ worde，$૪$ 人 he cuæ૪： Ne gegripe eow næfre nân costung buton menniscu．Suelce he openlice cuæde：Mennisclic is łæt mou on his mode costunga łrowige on ૪æm luste yfles weorces，ac $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t } \\ \text { is deofullic } \npreceq æ t ~ h e ~ ð o n e ~ w i l l a n ~\end{array}$ 15 ður（h）teo．Se 夭onne hæf teter on hi［s］lichoman se hæf on his mode gi［t］sunga，gif hiere ne bir sona gestiered，hio wile weahsan mid ungemete．Butan tueon se teter butan sare he offergæð Xone
 unsár，\＆se cleweða bið suiłe row，\＆ðeahhwæðere gif him mon 20 to longe fylg $\gamma$ ，he wundað \＆sio wund sarað．Sua eac sio gitsung

 hæt him æghwæs genog，૪eah ðæt ðૅonne そæm mode licige \＆lustful－ lige，$\not$ eah hit gewundað midłæmłe hit wyrcł feondscipe．Đurh $\times a$
 weorc forliest łone wlite ołerra godra weorca，gelicost 夭æm ไe he
worm on his body whose mind is filled with covetousness，which， unless soon checked，will increase enormously．Ringworm doubt－ lessly spreads over the body without pain，and yet disfigures the limb；scab is not at all painful，and itch is very mild，and yet if it is allowed to go too far，it wounds，and the wound pains．Thus covetousness wounds the mind that it enslaves with desires when it excites in the mind the desire of obtaining something．It pro－ mises him enough of everything，which，although it pleases and delights the mind，yet wounds it by causing enmity．Through the wound he loses the beauty of his limbs，when he through the evil work loses the beauty of other good works，as if he polluted his whole

 mede sanctus Paulus pa he cwæð ðæt ælees yfles wyrtruma wære ðæt mon wilnode hwelcere gidsunge. Se خonne pe bił healede he mæg mid weorce began $\begin{aligned} & \text { a sceondlicnesse, \& swæðeah bið ahefegod mid } \searrow æ m\end{aligned}$ singalum geðohte butan ælcum gemete, \& swæðeah næfre ne mæg łurhteon ðæt unryhtlice weorc, \& hwæðre さæt mod hæf' fullfremedne willan to łære wrænnesse butan ælcere steore \& wearne gif he hit Xurhteon meahte. Đonon cymeð sio medtrymnes ðæm healedum, ૪e se wæta 夭ara innoða asigð [astigð] to đæm lime, ðonne aswilð hit \& hefegał \& unwlitegað. Se bið eac eallinga healede se pe eal his mod bior aflowen to gæglbærnesse \& to dole, ðonne he
 woon weorcum hit to $X_{w e o r l i c e ~ n e ~ f r e m e ~}$ §, $\chi_{\text {eah }}$ he hit on his mode forlætan ne mæge, ne fullice gewunian to godum weorcum, forææm sio byrðen ૪ære sceonde hiene diegollice hefegað. Swæ hwelc ૪onne swæ $\begin{aligned} \text { issa uncysta } \\ \text { hwelcre under } \\ \text { ueded bið, him bið forboden } \nsucc æ t ~ h e ~\end{aligned}$ offrige Gode hlaf, for $ð æ m$ hit is wen $\npreceq \preceq t ~ s e ~ n e ~ m æ g e ~ o ð e r r a ~ m o n n a ~$ scylde ofaðwean, se se pe [hine added] ðonne giet his agna on herigeað. Ær ðissum we sægdon feam wordum hwelc se bion sceolde pe medeme hierde \& lareow bion sceolde, \& eac hwele se biخ pe him ondrædan sceal خæt he unmedeme sie. Ær خissum we reahton hwelc sẹ beon sceolde pe to $\begin{array}{r} \\ m\end{array}$ biscepdome cuman sceolde ; nu we willa reccean, $^{2}$ gif he Xær swelc to cume, hu he ðæron libban scyle.
body by perverting his mind with every vice, which Paul confirmed by the remark that "covetousness is the root of all evil." He who is afflicted with hydrocele cannot carry out his shameful desires, and yet is excessively troubled with continually thinking of it, and yet can never accomplish the umrighteous deed, although the mind is altogether desirous of lasciviousness without any restraint or hesitation if he could accomplish it. Hydrocele is caused by the humours of the body collecting in the member, so that it swells and becomes heavy and disfigured. He is altogether hydrocelous whose whole mind is addicted to wantonness and folly, when he bears in his heart the burden of shame, and yet does not too perversely carry it out in evil deeds,

 wyrttruma wære そæt mon wilnode hwelcre gitsunge．Se ðonne se bið healede he mæg mid weorce beg［e］an $\chi_{a}$ scondlicnesse，\＆ 5 suaðeah bið ahefegod mid łæm singalam［u］geðohte butan ælcum
 ond hwæðere さæt mod hæfð fulfremedne willan to łære wrænnesse butan ælcre steore \＆wearne gif he hit ðurhteon meahte．Đonan cymeð sio mettrymnes ðæm healedum，ðe se wæta ðara innoða astigð 10 to Łæm lime，ðonne asuilð hit \＆ahefegað \＆unwlitegað．Se bið eac eallenga healede［se］se đe eall his mod bið aflogen to gæglbærnesse \＆
 suǎeah mid won weorcu $m$ hit to $\chi_{\text {weorlice ne neme freah he hit on }}$ his mode forlætan ne mæge，ne fullice gewunian to godum weorcum， 15 forłon sio byřen 犭ære sconde hine diogollice hefegað．Sua hwelc ðonne sua $\begin{gathered}\text { issa } \\ \text { uncysta } \\ \text { hwelcre under uieded bið，him bið forboden }\end{gathered}$ ðæt he offrige［Gode］hlaf，for｀æm hit is wên ðæt se ne mæge oðerra monna scylda ofałuean，se se خe hine ðonne giet his agena on－ herigeað．Ær Xioson we sægdon feam wordum hwelc se bion scolde 20 ðe medome hierde \＆lareow bion sceolde，ond eac hwelc se bið ðe him ondrædan sceal Łæt he unmedome sie．Ær łiosum we rehton hwelc se beon sceolde ðe to ðæm biscepdome cuman sceolde；nu we willað reccan，gif he ðær suelc to cyme，hu he ðæron libban scyle．
although he cannot dismiss it from his mind，nor fully habituate himself to good works，for he is secretly oppressed by the burden of shame．Whoever，then，is subject to one of these vices is forbidden to offer bread to God，for it is to be expected that he will not be competent to wash away the sins of others while he is harassed by his own．We have briefly stated above what kind of man the proper pastor and teacher ought to be，and also he who has cause to fear being incompetent．We have said above what kind of man is to be appointed bishop；we will now say how he is to conduct himself when he has attained the dignity．

XII．Hu se se pe gedafenlice \＆endebyrdlice to cymð，hu he ðæron drohtigean sciele．

Đæs biscepes weore sculon bion ofer oðerra monna weore swæ micle betran swæ hit micel bił betweox ðæs hierdes life \＆ðære heorde． Him gedafenað ðæt he geðence \＆geornlice smeage hu micel niedðearf him is $\nprec æ t$ he sie gebunden to $\nprec æ r e ~ r y h t w i s n e s s e ~ m i d ~ ð y ~ r a p e ~ ð æ t ~$ he ongiete for hwæs geðyncðum ðæt folc sie genemned heord．Hwæt ðæm hierde［夭onne］wel geristð ðæt he sie healic on his weorcum， \＆his word sien nytwier $\gamma u$ ，\＆on his swiggean he sie gesceadwis； him sculon eglan oðerra monna brocu swelce he efnswiðe him łrowige ； he sceal sorgian ymbe ealle \＆foreخencean；he sceal beon for eał－ modnesse hiera gefera ælces ðara pe wel do；he sceal beon strec wið pa pe خær agylta久，\＆for ryhtwisnesse he sceal habban andan to hiera yfele；\＆ðeah for ðara bisgunge ne sie his giemen no ðy læsse ymb pa gehiersuman ；ne eac for hiera lufan geornfulnesse ne forlæte he ða ungehiersuman．Ac $\begin{aligned} & \text { is } \\ & \text { ðæt we nu feaum wordum arimdon we }\end{aligned}$ willað hwene rumedlicor heræfter areccean．

XIII．Hu se lareow sceal beon clæne on his mode．
Se reccere sceal bion simle clæne on his gełohte，そæt［te nan］
 ðæt he mæge adryggean of ołerra monna heortan ðæt ðæron fules sie．Hit is ðearf $\begin{array}{rlr} \\ \text { sio } \\ \text { hond sio ær geclænsod pe wille ðæt fenn of }\end{array}$ oðerre aðierran ；gif sio خonne bið eac fennegu，ðonne is wen đæt hio

XII．How he who attains the dignity properly and regularly is to conduct himself therein．

The bishop＇s works must surpass other men＇s works as much as the shepherd＇s life is superior to that of the flock．It behoves him to think and carefully consider how very necessary it is for him to be bound to righteousness with the rope of understanding through whose dignity the people is called flock；it befits the shepherd to be lofty in works，profitable in words，and discreet in silence ；he must grieve for the troubles of others as if he suffered equally with them ； he must care and provide for all ；through humility he must be the equal of all well－doers；he must be stern with sinners，and through
XII. Hu se [se $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ ] gedafenlice \& endebyrdlice to cymð, hu he そærôn drohtian scyle.
pæs biscepes weore sceolon bion ofer ołra monna weorc sua micle beteran sua hit micel bið betwux ðæs hirdes life \& そære heorde. Him 5 gedafenað ðæt he geخence \& geornlice smeage hu micel niedðearf him is ðæt he sie gebunden to ðære ryhtwiesnesse mid ðy rape ðæt he ongite for hwæs geðyncðum ðæt folc sie genemned heord. Hwæt ðæm hierde ðonne wel gerisð ðæt he sie healic on his weorcum, \& his word sien nyttwyrðu, \& on his suigean he sie gescadwis; 10 him sculan eglan oðerra monna brocu suelce he efnsuiðe him $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rowige } ; ~\end{array}$ he sceal sorgian ymbe ealle \& foreðencean; he sceal bion for eað-

 hira yfele ; ond خeah for ðara bisgunge ne sie his $g[i]$ emen na $\begin{aligned} & \text { ły læsse }\end{aligned}$ 15 ymb ða gehirsuman ; ne eac for hira lufan geornfulnesse ne forlæte he $\nsucc a$ ungehirsuman. Ac $\chi_{i s} \not \varliminf^{2} t$ we nu feam wordum arimdon we willað hwene rumedlicor heræfter areccean.
XIII. Hu se lareow sceal bion clæne on his mode.

Se reccere sceal bion simle clæne on his gełohte, ðætte nan 20 unclænnes hine ne besmite $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { he } \\ \text { ða } \\ \text { łegnunga underfeh }\end{gathered}$, forðæm ðæt he mæge adrygean of oðra monna heortan خæt ðæron fules sie. Hit is ðearf ðæt sio hond sie ær geclænsad ðe wille خæt fenn of oðerre aðierran; gif sio $ð_{o n n e ~ b i \gamma ~ e a c ~ f e n n e g u, ~}^{\text {onn }}$ (n)e is
righteousness he must feel indignation at their ill deeds ; and yet in his care of them he is not to neglect the obedient; nor also in his love of the latter is he to neglect the disobedient. But this which we have now briefly recounted we will treat more at length in the following chapters.
XIII. How the teacher is to be pure in heart.

The teacher must be ever pure in heart, that no impurity defile him when he undertakes the ministration, to enable him to wipe off the impurity of other men's hearts. It is needful for the hand to have been cleaned beforehand which is to wipe off the dirt from the other ; if it is also dirty there is reason to expect that it will dirty the

Øa oðre wiers besmite gif hio hiere onhrinð. Forðæm wæs ðurh ૪one witgan geeweden : Do૪ eow clæne, ge pe berað Godes fatu. Đa ðonne berað Godes fatu, ða pe oðerra monna saula underfooł to lædonne on ða triowa hiera agenra geearnunga to $\nsucc$ mm innemestan halignessum. Geðencen hie ðonne betweoh him selfum hu swiðe hie sculon beon geclænsode $\nsucc a$ pe berað on hiera greadum $\nsucc a \hat{a}$ libbendan fatu to $\not$ đæm ecean temple on hiera agenre [ægenne] borg. Forðy wæs ðurh pa halgan stemne beboden $\npreceq$ ætte on Arones breostum sceolde beon awriten sio racu ðæs domes on $ð æ m$ hrægle pe mon hæt rationale, \& mid nostlum gebunden, for $æ æ m ~ ð æ t t e ~ s i o ~ o f e r f l o w n e s ~ Ł a r a ~ g e ̌ o h t a ~ n e ~ m e a h t e ~ o f s i t t a n ~ p æ s ~$ sacerdes heortan, ac hio sceolde beon gebunden mid ðære ilean race, ðætte he ne Xohte naht ungesceadwislices ne unnytlices. Forさæm he bið gesett to bisene oðrum monnum, simle he sceal ætiewan on his lifes gestæłxignesse hu micle gesceadwisnesse he bere on his breostum. On خæm selfan hrægle, pe he on his breostum wæg, wæs eac awriten ૪a naman ðara twelf heahfædra. Đonne birð se sacerd swixe untællice awriten ðara fædra naman on his breostum, ðonne he singallice geðencð hiora lifes bisene. Đonne stæp૪ se sacerd swiðe tælleaslice on ૪one weg, Xonne he pa bisene ૪ara for`gefareura fædra geornlice \& unablinnendlice sceawað, \& on ðæt swæð ðara haligra singallice
 Xone Xerscold his endebyrduesse stæppe. Swǐe ryhte is đæt hrægl gehaten, そæt se sacerd beran sceolde Łæs domes racu, forłon se sacerd sceolde \& gitt sceal simle smealice gełencean ðæt he cunne god \& yfel toseeadan, \& siððan geornlice geðence hu he gehwelcne læran scile \& hwonne, \& hwæt him gecopust sie, \& nowuht him selfum
other worse if it touches it. Hence it was said through the prophet : "Purify yourselves, ye who bear God's vessels." They beảr God's vessels who undertake the guidance of other men's souls in the faith of their own merits to the inmost sanctuary. Let those consider among themselves how pure they ought to be who carry in their breasts the ever-living vessels to the eternal temple on their own responsibility. Therefore it was commanded by the holy voice that an account of judgment was to be inscribed on Aaron's breast on the robe called rationale and bound with fillets, that the flood of thoughts might not overwhelm the priest's heart, but it was to be bound by that same account not to entertain foolish or useless thoughts. Since
wėn đæt hio ða ołre wiers besmite gif hio hire anhrinð．Fořæm wæs ðurh ðone witgan gecueden：Dooł eow clæne，ge te berað Godes fatu．Đa ðonne berað Godes fatu，ða ðe oðerra monna saula
 5 innemestan halignessum．Gełencen hie łonne betwuh him selfum hu suiłe hie sculon beon geclænsode $\gamma_{a}$ ðe berað on hira greadum $\succ_{a}$ à libbendan fảtu to $\chi_{æ m}$ ecean temple on hira agenne borg．For $\begin{aligned} \\ y\end{aligned}$ wæs ðurh $\searrow$ a halgan stemne beboden $\not$ 〔ætte on Arones breostum sceolde beon awriten sio racu đæs domes on đæm hrægle ðe mon hæt rationale， $10 \&$ mid noslum gebunden，furtæm さætte sio oferflownes ðara geðohta ne meahte ofsittan $\not$ æ઼s sacerdes heortan，ac hio sciolde beon gebunden mid ðære ilcan râce，そætte he ne ðohte nawuht ungesceadwislices ne unnet－ lices．Forłæm he bið gesett to bisene orrum monnum，simle he sceal ætiewan on his lifes gestæ̌ðignesse hu micle gesceadwisnesse he bere on 15 his breostum．On $\Varangle æ m$ selfan hrægle，$ð e$ he ôn his breostum wæg，wæs eac awriten $犭 a$ naman $\succ a r a ~ t w e l f ~ h e a h f e d e r a . ~ Đ o n n e ~ b i r ð ~ s e ~ s a c e r d ~$ suiðe untællice awriten ðara fædra naman on his breostum，ðonne he singallice geðencð hiera lifes bisene．Đonne stæp૪ se sacerd suiłe
 20 geornlice \＆unablinnendlice sceawað，\＆on ðæt suæ૪ ðara haligra sin－ gallice winnað to spyriganne，\＆unaliefle ge夭ohtas ófðryč，خylæs he offer łone ðerscold his endebyrdnesse stæppe．Suiłe ryhte łæt hrægl is gehaten，ðæt se sacerd beran sceolde ðæs domes racu，fořam se sacerd scolde \＆git sceal simle smealice gełencean łætt he cunne gód 25 \＆yfel tosceadan，ond siððan geornlice gełence hu he gehwelene læran scyle \＆hwonne，\＆hwæt him gecopust sie，\＆nowuht him selfum syn－
he is set as an example for other men，he must always show in the consistency of his life how much prudence he cherishes in his heart． On the same robe which he wore on his breast were also written the names of the twelve patriarchs．The priest bears the names of the fathers written very blamelessly on his breast when he is ever mind－ ful of the example of their life．The priest advances very blame－ lessly on the path by zealously and incessantly contemplating the example of the departed Fathers，and ever striving to follow in the tracks of the saints，and suppressing unlawful thoughts lest he cross the threshold of his authority．Very rightly the priest＇s robe is called the account of judgment，because the priest was
synderlice wilnige，ac his nihstena god he sceal tellan him selfum．Be ðæm is awriten $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mon sceolde writan on } \nearrow æ m ~ h r æ g l e ~ \\ \text { ðe Aron bær on }\end{aligned}$ his breostum，خonne he inneode beforan Gode，$\chi_{a}$ lare \＆$\chi_{a}$ domas \＆ $\chi_{a}$ so $\begin{aligned} & \text { estnesse．Đa domas he bær on his breostum beforan Gode［\＆］}\end{aligned}$ Israhela bearna simle．Swa sceal se sacerd gitt simle ða domas beran awritene on his breostum Israhela bearna，ไæt is さæt he ðara ðing pe him underðiedde bioð for $ð æ m$ ege anum ðæs godcundan［innecundan］ deman innweardlice undersece，łætte sio mennisce olicung for nanum freondscipe $\npreceq$ ærto ne gemenge，forðonpe he bið to Cristes bisene \＆to his anlicnesse ðær gesett［aset］．\＆ðeah for さære geornfulnesse ðære ryhtinge ne sie he to hræd ne to stið to ðære wrace，ac ðonne he bið ongieten æfstig wið oðerra monna yflu，anscunige he eac his agenu，خylæs ða smyltnesse ðes domes hine gewemme［o૪ðe］se dyrna æfst ołte to hræd irre．Ac gif he geðencð ðone ege ðæs deman pe ofer eall sitt，ðonne ne stirð he no his hieremonnum butan miclum ege．Ac se ege ðonne he geeaðmet Łæt mod he hit geclænsað，خylæs sio dyrstignes［gedyrstignes］his modes hiene to upahebbe，o૪ðe ไæs flæsces lustfulnes hiene besmite，oððe ðurh pa wilnunga ðissa eorð－ cundlicra ðinga ðæt mod a夭istrige se forhwirfeda gewuna gemalicnesse， sio oft ðæt mod ðæs recceres astyreð．Ac hit is micel ðearf ðæt mon hiere swiðe hrædlice wiðbrede，ðylæs sio scyld pe hiene ðurh scinnesse［scirnesse］costa久 for his luste \＆for his wacmodnesse hiene oferswiłe ；forłon gif hio ne bið hrædlice aweg adrifen，he bið ofslegen mid $\nsucc æ m[ð y]$ sweorde $ð æ r e ~ g e ð a f u n g e . ~$
bound and still is ever to consider how he can discern good and evil，and then to consider carefully how and when he is to teach each one，and what is most profitable for them，and not desire to appropriate anything to himself only，but reckon the prosperity of his neighbours as his own．About which it is written that on the robe which Aaron wore on his breast when he entered before God were to be written the instruction，judgments，and truth．He ever bore on his breast before God the judgments of the children of Israel． Thus the priest must still always bear the judgments of the children of Israel written on his breast，that is，that he must zealously serve the interests of those under his care solely from his awe of the inner Judge，that no human flattery be mingled therewith through friend－ ship，for he is placed there to serve as an example and type of Christ．
derlice wilnige，ac his niehstena god he sceal tellan him selfum．Be Øam is awriten đæt mon sceolde writan on đæm hrægle Xe Aron bær on his breostum，夭onne he inneode beforan Gode，ða lare \＆夭a domas
 5 Israhela bearna simle．Sua sceal se sacerd giet simle beran $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { domas }\end{array}$ awritene on his breostum Israhela bearna，ðæt is ðæt hie ðara ðing そe him underðiodde bioð for $\not$ ææm ege anum ૪æs innecundan deman inweardlice undersece，そætte si［o］men（n）isce oliccung for nanum freondscipe đærto ne gemencge，for $\rtimes_{o n}$ he bił to Cristes bisene \＆ 10 to his anlienesse Łær asét．\＆ðeah for ðære geornfulnesse خære ryhtinge ne sie he to hræd ne to stið to ðære wrace，ac ðonne he bið ongieten æfstig wið ołra monna yfelu，anscunige he eac his agenu，
 to hræd ierre．Ac gif he geðencð ðone ege ðæs deman ૪e ofer 15 eall sitt，Xonne ne stier $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he no his hieremonnum butan miclum ege．}\end{aligned}$ Ac se ege ðonne he geeaðmed ðæt mod he hit geclænsað，夭ylæs sio gedyrst：gnes his modes hine to uppahebbe，ołðe ðæs flæsces lusð－
 Xinga łæt mód ałistrige se forhwierfeda gewuna gemalicnesse，
 mon hire suiłe hrædlice wiðbregde，ðylæs sio scyld ðe hiene łurh scienesse costað for his luste \＆for his wâcmodnesse hine ofersuiłe； foræon gif hio ne bið hrædlice awég adrifen，he bił ofslægen mid ðæm sueorde ðære gěafunge．

And yet his zeal in correcting must not be too excessive，nor his severity in punishing，but whilst showing himself zealous against the faults of others，let him fear his own，lest secret malice or over－ hasty anger corrupt the calmness of judgment．And if he consider the terror of the Judge who sits over all，he will not correct his subjects without great fear．But fear humbles and purifies the spirit， lest the boldness of his heart puff him up too much，or the pleasures of the flesh corrupt him，or through desire of earthly things the perverse habit of wantonness obscure the mind，which often disturbs the ruler＇s mind．But it is very necessary to withstand it at once， lest the sin which assails him with temptations through his desire and weakness of mind overcome him ；for if it is not quickly driven away，he will be slain with the sword of consent．

## XIV. Hu se lareow sceal beon on his weorcum fyrest [fyrmest].

Se lareow sceal beon on his weorcum healic, łæt he on his life gecyðe lifes weg his hieremonnum, ðætte sio heord se pe folgað ðæm wordum \& đæm ðeawum łæs hirdes, mæge bett gân æfter his ðeawum ðonne æfter his wordum. (He bið genied mid ðæm folgote ðæt he sceal healice sprecan ; geðence he ðonne ðæt him is efnmicel nied, siððan he hit gesprecen hæf૪, ไæt he eac swæ dô swæ swæ he lær૪, for $\begin{gathered}\text { on }\end{gathered}$
 gehierendes, gif he mid his ðeawum hie łæron gefæstnað; خæt is ðæt he sprecende bebiet ðæt he ðæt wyrcende oðiewe, ðæt hit ðurh ðone fultom sie for`genge. Be ðæm wæs gecweden ðurh ðone witgan : Đu pe wilt godspellian Sion, astig ofer heanne munt. Đæt
 heofonlicra lara, forlætan ðas nieðerlican \& ðas eorðlican weore,
 Swæ micle he mæg ieð his hieremen geteon to betran, \& he bið swæ micle sel gehiered swæ he ufor gestent on his lifes geearnengum.] Forðæm bebitt sio halige $æ$ Łæt se sacerd scyle onfôn $\begin{gathered}\text { one swiłran } \\ \text { n }\end{gathered}$ bogh æt ไære offrunge, \& se sceolde beon asyndred from đæm ołrum flæsce. Đæt ðonne tacnað ðæt ðæs sacerdes weore sculon bion asyndred from ołerra monna weorcum. Nalles no łæt an ðæt he good dó gemong oðrum monnum, ac eac synderlice swæ swæ he on geðyncðum bið fuřor 夭onne ołre, ðæt he eac sie on his weorcum \& Xeawum swæ micle fuřur. Eac him mon sceolde sellan $\chi_{a}$ breost ðæs neates toeacan ðæm boge, そæt is ðæt he geleornige ðæt he

## XIV. How the teacher is to be foremost in his works.

The teacher must be lofty in his works, to show in his own life the way of life to his disciples, that the flock which follows the words and moral example of the shepherd may rather follow his example than his words. His position obliges him to speak eloquently; let him then consider that it is equally needful for him, when he has spoken, to act according to his teaching, for the voice of the teacher penetrates the heart of the hearer much the more easily if he fix it there with good examples; that is, that he is to display openly what he commands with his words, to help it to become effective. Of which was said through the

XIV．Hu se lareow sceal beon ôn his weorcum fyrmest．
Se lareow sceal bion on his weorcum［h］ealic，ไæt he on his life gecy̌e lifes weg his hieremonnum，Łætte sio hiord se そe folgað Łæm
 5 gán æfter his 犭eawum ðonne æfter his wordum．He bił genied mid ðæm folgołe łæt he sceal healice sprecan；gěence he ðonne đæt him is efnmicel nied，sił̌an he hit gesprecen hæfð，łæt he eac sua doo sua sua he lær૪，fořon sio stefn łæs lariowes micle ðe ie そelicor ‘urhfær૪ ða heortan Łæs gehirendes，gif he mid his ðeawum
 wyrcende ołiewe，そæt hit łurh ðone fultum sie forðgenge． Bi ðæm wæs gecueden ૪urh そone witgan：Đu $\begin{aligned} & \text { e wilt godspellian Sion，astig }\end{aligned}$ ofer heane munt．£æt is Łætte se sceal，se łe wile brucan đara godcundra Xinga \＆Xara hefonlicra lara，forlætan $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}[\mathrm{s}]$ nixerlican 15 \＆ðas eorðlecan weore，for｀am he bið gesewen standende on ðam hrofe godcun（d）ra Xinga．Sua micle he mæg ie $\begin{aligned} & \text { his hieremenn geteon }\end{aligned}$ to beteran，\＆he bir sua micle sel gehiered sua he ufor gestent on his lifes geearnungum．Forłam bebiet sio halige $\hat{\notin}$ Łæt se sacerd scyle onfôn ðone suiłran bôgh æt łære of［f］runge，\＆se sceolde 20 bion asyndred from ૪æm ołrum flæsce．Đæt ðonne tacnað そæt さæs sacerdes weore s［c］ulon beon asyndred from oterra monna weorcum． Nalles na そæt an ðæt he gód doo gemang ołrum mo［n］num，ac eac syn－ derlice sua suæ he on ðynčum bið furður ðonne ołre，そæet he eac sie on his weorcum \＆Xeawu $m$ sua micle furður．Eac him mon scolde sellan

prophet：＂If thou wishest to preach to Zion，ascend a lofty mountain．＂ That is，that he who desires to enjoy divine things and heavenly in－ struction must forsake low and earthly works，since he is seen stand－ ing on the roof of divine things．He can the more easily improve his disciples，and the better he will be heard，the higher he stands in his life＇s merits．Therefore the holy law commands the priest to receive the right shoulder of the offering，which is to be separated from the rest of the carcass．This signifies that the works of the priest are to be separated from those of other men，not only by his doing good among men，but also specially by his excelling as much in good works and virtue as in rank．He was also to have the breast of the beast as
selle Gode his agne breost，łæt is his ingeðanc；nalles no łææt an
 his ðeawa giemał to ðæm ilcan mid his godum bisenum．Ne wilnige he nanes eorðlices ofer 夭æt，ne he him ne ondræde nanne eorðlicne ege Xisses ondweardan lifes，ac gěence he łone incundan Godes ege， \＆forsio ælce oliccunge خisses middangeardes，\＆eac his ege for łære wynsuman swetnesse Godes．Forðon $\not$ łurh $\not$ ða uplican stefne wæs beboden on ðære æ łæ઼t se sacerd sceolde beon fæste bewæfed on bæm［bewæbed on pæm］sculdrum mid łæm mæssehrægle．［Đæt is 犭æt he beo simle getrymed \＆gefrætwod wið ælce frecenesse ge gastlice ge mennisclice，\＆wið ælce orsorgnesse beswapen mid خissum mægnum，swæ swæ Paulus cwæ૪：Gað ge gewæpnode ægخer ge on $ð_{a}$ swi $\Varangle_{\text {ran }}$ hond ge on $\Varangle_{a}$ winestran mid $\Varangle æ m$ wæpnum ryhtwisnesse． Fořæm ðonne he higað to ðæm godcundum ૪ingum anum，ðæt he ne dyrfe an nane healfe abugan［anbugan］to nanum fullicum \＆synlicum luste，ne eac ne ðyrfe beon to upahafen for nanum wlencum ne for nanre orsorgnesse，ne hiene ne gedrefe nan wuht wiłerweardes［nan wider－ weardnes］，ne hiene ne geloccige nan olicung to hiere willan，ne hiene ne geðrysce nan wiłermodnes to ormodnesse．Gif łonne mid nanum
 bið swiłe gerisenlice beswapen mid swiðe wlitige oferbrædelse on bæm［łæm］sculdrum．］Đæt hrægl wæs beboden łæt sceolde bion geworht of purpuran $\vec{k}$ of twiblium derodine \＆of twispunnenum twine linenum \＆gerenod mid golde \＆mid Łæm stane iecinta，for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m }\end{array}$ そæt wære getacnod on hu mislecum \＆on hu monigfealdum mægenum
well as the shoulder，that is that he is to learn to offer up to God his own breast，that is his thoughts ；not only by meditating righteousness in his breast，but also by attracting others who observe his virtues to the same with his good example．Nor let him desire anything earthly beyond that，nor be influenced by any earthly fear of this present life， but consider the inner fear of God，and despise all worldly flattery and fears for the pleasant sweetness of God．Therefore it was commanded in the law by the sublime voice that the priest was to be clothed on both shoulders with the close－fitting surplice．In other words，he is to be always strengthened and provided against all dangers，both spiritual and human，and protected against all pleasures with this cloak of strength，as Paul said：＂Go on your way armed on the
he selle Gode his agne breosð，ðæt is［his］inngeðonc ；nalles na ðæt ân łæt he on his breostum خence łætte ryht sie，ac eac ða spone Xe his خeawa giemað to $\Varangle æ m$ illcan mid his gódum biesenum．Ne wilnige he nanes corðlices ofer đæt，ne he him ne ondræde nanne
 ege Godes，\＆forsio ælce olicunge خisses middangeardes，\＆eac his ege for Łære wynsuman suetnesse Godes．Forłon łurh 夭a úplecan stefne wæs beboden on خære æ 犭æt se sacerd scolde beon fæste bewæfed on bæm s［c］uldrum mid Łæm mæssehrægle．Đæt is Łæt he bio simle 10 getrymed \＆gefrætwod wið ælce frecenesse ge gæstlice ge mennisclice \＆wið ælce orsorgnesse besuapen mid $\Varangle y[s]$ su $m$ mægenu $m$ ，sua sua Paulus cuæð：Gẩ ge gewæpnode ægðer ge on ða suiðran hond， ge on $\chi_{a}$ winstran mid $\nsucc æ m$ wæpnum ryhtwisnesse．Forðæm犭onne he higað to そæm godcundum ૪ingum anum，ðæt he ne 15 Xyrfe an nane healfe anbugan to nanum fullicu $m$ \＆synlicum luste， ne eac ne Xyrfe bion to úpahæfen for nanumb wlencum ne for nanre orsorgnesse，ne hine ne gedrefe nan wuht wiłerweardes，ne hine ne geloccige nan oliccung to hiere willan，ne hi［ne］ne geðrysce nan wiðermodnes to ormodnesse．Gif 夭onne mid nanum ×issa ne 20 bið ónwæced his inngeðonc，ðonne bið hit swutul Łæt he bið suiðe gerisenlice besuapen mid swǐe wlitige oferbrǽdelse on bæm sculdrum． Đæt hrægl wæs beboden $\not$ ææt scolde bion geworht of purpuran \＆óf tweobleom derodine \＆of twispunnenu $m$ twine linenu $m$ \＆ gerenod mid golde \＆mid［ Z æm］stane iacincta，forðæm $\begin{aligned} \text { ææt } & \text { wære }\end{aligned}$ 25 getacnod on hu mislecum \＆［on］hu monigfaldum mægenu $m$ se sacerd
right hand and on the left with the weapons of righteousness．＂That when he aspires to divine things alone he may not deviate on either side after any foul and sinful lusts，nor become inflated with pride and luxury，nor be troubled by adversity，nor be allured and subjected by any flattery，nor be reduced to despair by disappointment．If then none of these are able to shake his resolution，it is clear that he is very becomingly attired on both shoulders with a very beautiful dress．The dress was commanded to be made of purple and double－ dyed scarlet and twice－spun linen cloth，adorned with gold and the gem jacinth，to show with how various and manifold virtues the priest was to shine before God as an example to men．First and fore－ most among all the ornaments gold was to shine on his robe．That

6－2
se sacerd sceolde scinan beforan Gode，monnum to bisene．Ærest ealra glengea \＆fyrmest［ymest］sceolde scinan gold on his hrægle． Đæt is そætte on his mode scine ealra łinga fyrmest ondgit wisdomes． Toeacan ðæm golde ealra glengea fyrmest on his hrægle wæs beboden そæt sceolde bion se gim iacinctus，se is lyfte onlicost on hiewe．Se ðonne tacnað 〕ætte eal ðætte ðæs sacerdes ondgit ðurhfaran mæge， sie ymb $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hefonlican lufan，næs ymbe idelne gylp，ðylæs him losige }\end{aligned}$ ðæt hefenlice ondgit，for｀æmpe he sie gehæfted mid łæm luste his selfes heringe．Eac ðæm golde \＆Łæm line wæs ongemong purpura， Łæt is kynelic hrægl，furtæm hit tacnað kynelicne onwald． Be ðæm gěence se sacerd，خonne he oðre men healice lærð，خæt he eac on him selfum healice of $\not$ rysce［ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { rysce］} \\ & \succ a \text { lustas his unðeawa，}\end{aligned}$ for tæmpe he kynelic hrægl hæfช，પæt he eac sie kyning ofer his agene uňeawas，\＆$\npreceq a$ kynelice oferswiłe $\lambda \sqrt{\&}$ gełence he simle sie swæ æðele swæ unæðele swæðer he sie ða æðelu ðæære æfterran acennesse， $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt }\end{array}$ is on $\not$ そæm fulluhte，\＆simle otiewe［ætiewe］on his
 そeawas pe him mon đær bebead．Be خæm æðelum Łæs gastes Petrus cwæ૪：Ge sint acoren kynn Gode \＆kynelices preosthades．Be そæm onwalde，pe we sculun ure un久eawas mid ofercuman，we magon bion getrymede mid Iohannes cwide łæs godspelleres，Xe he cwæ૪： Đa pe hiene onfengon，he salde him onwald $\not$ ææt hie meahton beon Godes bearn．Đa medomnesse Łære strengeo se salmscop ongeat，pa he cwæ૪：Dryhten，suiðe suiłe sint geweorłode mid me ðine friend， \＆swǐe is gestrangod hiera ealdordom；for $\begin{array}{r}\text { æmpe } \\ \text { そ} æ t ~ m o d ~ \\ \text { خinra }\end{array}$ haligra bið ałened swiðe healice \＆swǐe stranglice to $\nless e$ ，ðonne
is，that above all the knowledge of wisdom was to shine in his mind． After the gold，above all the gem jacinth was to be on his robe， which is most like the sky in colour，signifying that whatever the mind of the priest penetrates，it must be for the sake of divine love and not of idle vaunt，lest heavenly understanding fail him when he is ensnared by the desire of his own praise．Besides the gold and linen there was purple，which is a royal vestment，since it is the sign of royal authority．By which let the priest remember，when he loftily teaches other men，loftily to destroy his vicious desires， since he has a royal robe，that hg＿may also be king over his own faults and royally vanquish them whether he be noble or of
scolde scinan beforan Gode，mannum to biesene．Wresð alra glengea \＆ymesð scolde scinan gold on his hrægle．Đæt is łætte on his mode scine ealra خinga fyrmesð ongit wisdomes．Toeacan łæm golde ealra glenga fyrmest on his hrægle wæs beboden łæt scolde bion 5 se giem iacinctus，se is lyfte onlicusð on hiwe．Se łonne tacnað ðæt［e］all ðætte 犭æs sacerdes ondgit 犭urhfaran mæge，sie ymb ða hefonlican lufan，næs ymbe idelne gilp，ðylæs him losige łæt he［o］fenlice ondgit，for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { そe }\end{aligned}$ he sie gehæfted mid Xæm luste his se［1］fes heringe．Eac ‘æm golde \＆Xæm line wæs ongemang pur－ 10 pura，ðæt is cynelic hræg［1］，for ðæm hit tacnað kynelicne anwald． Be $\not$ ææm gełence se sacerd，ðonne he ołre mên healice lær૪，Łæt he
 he kynelic hrægl［h］æf૪，ðæt he eac sie kyning ofer his agne unðea－ was，\＆ða cynelican ofersuiðe；\＆gełence he simle sie sua æðele


 łæm æ૪elum ðæs gæstes Petrus cuæ૪：Ge sint acoren kynn Gode \＆kynelices preosthades．Bi ðæm anwalde，ðe we sculon ure uňea－ 20 was mid ofercuman，we magon beon getrymede mid Iohannes cuide そæs godspelleres，૪e he cuæ૪：Đa ðe hine onfengon he salde him anwald Łæt hie meahton beon Godes bearn．Đa medomnesse łære strengio se salmscop ongeat，ða he cuæð：Dryhten，suiłe suiłe sint




[^11]ðonne oðrum monnum $\begin{aligned} \text { ync } \\ \text { łæt hie mæstne } \\ \text { dem \＆mæste scande }\end{aligned}$ ðrowigen，\＆hie forsewenuste bio૪ for worlde．On خæs sacerdes hrægle wæs toeacan golde \＆iacincte \＆purpuran，dyrodine twegra
 gewlitegode mid łære lufan Godes \＆monna beforan ðæm eagum đæs ecean Deman，đætte se spearca đara godra weorca，pe her twinclað beforan monnum，birne healice ligge on خære incundan lufan beforan ðæm diglan Deman．Sio lufu خome hio lufað ætsomne ægðer ge God ge his nihstan，hio scinð swi犭e smicere on twæm bleom swæ swæ twegea bleo godweb．Se خoune se pe swæ hiegað ealneweg to andweardnesse his scippendes，\＆agiemeleasar pa giemenne his nih－ stena，ołðe eft swæ singallice folgað さære giemenne his nihstena ðæt
 tweagea bleo godweb $\not$ łæt he habban sceolde on $\not$ łæm halgan hrægle，

 forhæfdnesse anre，ðæt he his lichoman swence and hlænige．Forłon is beboden toeacan $\not$ ææm twibleon godwebbe Łæt scile beon twiðrawen twin on ðæm mæssegierelan．Of đære eorłan cymeð đæt fleax，ðæt bił hwites hiewes．Hwæt mæg ૪onne elles beon getacnod łurh Xæt fleax buton lichoman clænnes，sio sceal scinan of clænre heortan？ Forłæm bið gefæstnod ðæt gełrawene twin to［on］Xæm wlite Xæs mæssehrægles，forそæm sio clænnes bið خonne to fulbeorhtum wlite becumen，ðonne łæt flæsc bið geswenced ðurh forhæfdnesse，\＆ðonne betweox ołrum mægenum bið ðeonde sio earnung łæs geswenctan
other men they seem to suffer the greatest misfortune and shame， and are most despised in the eyes of the world．＇On the priest＇s dress，besides gold and jacinth and purple，there was twice－dyed scarlet，signifying that all his good deeds and virtues are to be adorned with the love of God and men before the eyes of the－ eternal Judge，that the spark of good works which twinkles before men may burn with the vigorous flame of inner love before the unseen Judge．The love which includes both God and one＇s neigh－ bours shines very beautifully with two colours like the twice－dyed cloth．He therefore who is continually striving to attain to the presence of his Creator，and neglects the care of his neighbours，or，
 bioð for worulde．On ðæs sacerdes hrægle wæs toeacan golde \＆iacinče \＆pur［pu］ran，dyrodine twegera bleo．Đæt tacnað łætte
 5 Godes \＆monna beforan đæm eagum خæs ecean Deman，ไætte se spearca ðara godra weorca خe her tuinclað beforan ma［n］num，bierne healice li［c］ge on ðære inncundan lufan beforan ðæm dieglan Deman． Sio lufu 夭onne hio lufað ætsomue ægðer ge God ge his niehstan， hio scinð suiðe smicere ôn twæm bleom sua sua twegea bleo godwebb． 10 Se خonne se Xe sua higað ealneweg to andweardnesse his scip［p］endes，
 folgað đære giemenne $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}] \mathrm{s}$ niehstena，Łæt he agiemeleasað ða god－ cundan lufe，Xonne hæf he anforlæten خæt twegea bleo godwebb ðæt he habban sceolde on 犭æm halgan hrægle，gif he auخer ૪issa 15 forlæt．Ac 〕onne ðæt mod bið ałened on ða lufan ægðer ge Godes ge his niehstena，ne bið hit ðonne nohtes［h］won buton forhæfdnesse anre，ðæt he his lichoman suence \＆hlænige．Forðon is toeacan ðæm twiblion godwebbe ðæt scyle beon twiðræwen twin on ðæm mæssegierelan．Of خære eořan cymeð ðæt fleax，ไæt bið hwites 20 hiwes．Hwæt mæg خonne elles beon getácnod ðurh łæt fleax butan lichoman clæmnes，sio sceal scinan of clænre heortan？Forさæm bið gefæsłnod $\npreceq \supseteq t$ gełræwene twin to $\not$ æm wlite $\not$ ææs mæssehrægles，
 ૪onne ૪æt flæsc bið gesuenced خurh færhæfdnesse，\＆ðonne betweox 25 ołrum mægenum bið خionde sio $\mathrm{e}[\mathrm{a}] \mathrm{rnu}[\mathrm{n}] \mathrm{g}$ ðæs gesuenctan flæsces，
on the other hand，is always so intent on the care of his neighbours as to neglect the divine love，has omitted the double－dyed purple，which he ought to have on the holy vestment，if he forsake either of these two．And when the mind is exalted with the love of God and its neighbours，there is nothing wanting but abstinence，with which he must mortify and starve the flesh．Therefore，besides the twice－dyed cloth，there is to be on the surplice twice－spun linen．Flax comes from the earth and is of a white colour．What can be signified by the flax but bodily purity，which must shine from a pure heart？ The woven linen is fastened to the beautiful surplice because purity attains resplendent beauty when the flesh is mortified by abstinence，
flæsces, swæ swæ on ðæm mæssehrægle scinð ongemang oðrum bleom $\chi_{æ t}$ twy ${ }^{\text {rawene }}$ twin.
XV. Hu se lareow sceal beon gesceadwis on his swiggean \& nytwierłe on his wordum.

Sie se lareow gemetfæst \& gescadwis \& nytwierðe on his wordum,

 giemeleaslicu sprec menn dwele $\gamma$, swæ eac sio ungemetgode swigge Xæs larcowes on gedwolan gebring $ð$ ða pe he læran meahte, gif he sprecende bion wolde. Oft eac $\Varangle$ a unwaran lareowas for ege ne durron clypion \& (om.) ondrædað him sumra monna unðanc, ne durron for ron $^{\text {on }}$ ryht freolice \& unforwandodlice sprecan. Be $\not$ æm sio Sołfæstnes cwæ૪: Ne healde ge mid swelcum eornoste pa heorde swæ [swelce] hierdas sceoldon, ac hyrena ðeawe ge fleo૪, \& hydað eow mid خære

 Dryhten łurh łone witgan, pa he cwæð: Dumbe hundas ne magon beorcan. Ond eft he cidde, pa he cwæð: Ne come ge no togeanes minum folce łæt ge meahton standan on minum gefeohte for Israhela folce, ne ge Xone weall ne trymedon ymb hiera hus on łæm dæge pe him nidðearf wæs. Đæt is ðonne ðæt he fare togeanes Israhela folce him mid to feohtanne そæt he wiðstande mid his spræce ðæm unryhtwillendum pe خyses middangeardes waldał mid freore \& $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{n})$ forwandodlicre stefue, for gescieldnesse his heorde. Đæt is ðonne ðæt he him mid feohte on そæm dæge pe him niedðearf sie, ไæt he wiðstande ealle mægene |  |
| ---: | :---: |

and then the merits of the mortified flesh flourish among other virtues, as the twice-woven linen shines on the surplice among the other colours.
XV. How the teacher must be discreet in his silence and useful in his words.
Let the teacher be moderate and discreet and useful in his words, lest he keep unsaid what is useful to speak, or speak what ought to be kept silent. For as unguarded and careless speaking leads men astray, so the excessive silence of the teacher leads into error those whom he might teach if he were willing to speak. Often also unthinking teachers out of fear dare not speak, fearing the displeasure
sua sua on łæm mæssehrægle scienð ongemang ołrum bleon łæt tweoðræwene twin.
XV. Hu se lareow sceal bion gesceadwis on his swigean \& nytwyrre on his wordum.

5 Sie se lariow gemetfæs $ð$ gescadwis \& nyttwyrðe on his wordu $m$, $ð_{æ t t e}$ he ne suigige $ð_{æ s} \chi_{e}$ nyttwyrðe sie to sprecanne, ne ðæt ne sprece łæt he suigigean scyle. Forłæm sua sua unwærlicu \& giemeleaslicu spræc menn dweleð, sua eac sio ungemetgode suige ðæs lareowes on gedwolan gebrin $(\mathrm{g}) \Varangle$ ૪a ૪e he 10 læran meahte, gif he sprecende beon wolde. Oft eac ða unwaran lareowas for ege ne durron cleopian, ondrædał him sumra monna unłonc, ne durron fořon ryht freolice læran \& unforwandodlice sprecan. Be ðæm sio Soðfæšness cuæ૪: Ne healde ge mid suelcum eorneste $\chi_{a}$ heorde suelce hirdas scoldon, ac hyrena ðeawe ge fleoð, 15 \& hydað eow mid ðære suigean, sua se hyrra łonne he خone wulf gesiehð. Đæt ilce oðwât Dryhten ðurh ðone witgan, ða he cuæð: Dumbe hundas ne magon beorcan. Ond eft he cidde, $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he cuæ૪: Ne come ge no togenes minum folce そæt ge meahton standan on minum gefeohte for Israhela folce, ne ge ðone weall ne trymedon ymbe hira 20 hus on ðæm dæge ðe him niedðearf wæs. Đæt is $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { ðæt } \\ \text { he }\end{gathered}$ färe togeanes Israhela folce him mid to feohtanne đæt he wiłstande mid his spræce ðam unryhtwillendum ðe ðyses middangeardes waldað mid freore \& unforwandodlicre stefne, for gescildnesse his heorde. Đæt is તonne ðæt he him mid feohte on ðæm dæge ðe him niedłearf
of men, and so are afraid to teach with due freedom and speak without hesitation. Of which Truth spoke: "Ye do not watch over the flock with the zeal which befits the shepherd, but ye flee like hirelings, and hide yourself in silence, like the hireling when he sees the wolf." The same fault the Lord rebuked through the prophet, saying: "Dumb dogs cannot bark." And again he rebuked it when he said : "Ye came not towards my people to stand in my fight for the people of Israel, nor did ye strengthen the wall round their house in their day of need." Going towards the people of Israel to fight with them is withstanding with his speech the unrighteous who rule this world with free and fearless voice, to protect his flock. Fighting with them in the day of nced is withstanding with all his might those whose
je on woh willen for ðære ryhtwisnesse lufan．Be そæm wæs eft ge－ cweden to $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ leasunga，\＆noldon eow gecyðan eowre unryhtwisnesse，ðæt he eow gebrohten on hreowsunge．Đa godan lareowas beoð oft genemnede on halgum gewritum witgan，for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { on }\end{aligned}$ hie gereccea $\gamma \chi_{i s}$ anwearde lif fleonde， \＆ðæt towearde gesweotuliað．Đa ðonne pe sio godcunde stefn ðreade， \＆cwæð ðæt hie sceolden leasunga witgian，そæt sindon pa ða（om．）pe him ondrædað đæt hie men for hiera scyldum ðreagen，ac mid idelre olicunge orsorgnesse gehateð $\not$ æm scyldegan，ond mid nanum خingum nyllað geopenian $\Varangle æ m$ syngiendum hiera unryht，ac swigiał ðara

 \＆geopenað $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ scylde pe se him self ær nyste se hie ðurhteah．Be ðæm cwæð Paulus ðæt se lareow sceolde beon miltig to tyhtanne on halwende lare，\＆eac to $\begin{array}{r}\text { reageanne } \\ \text { ła pe him wiłstandan willen }\end{array}$ ［willað］．Eft wæs gecweden ðurh Zacharias：Sio æ sceal bion soht on ðæs sacerdes muðe，\＆his weloras gehealdað ðæt ondgit，forとæm he bið Godes boda to $\Varangle æ m$ folce．Forłæm myndgode Dryhten łurh Essaiam ðone witgan \＆cwæ૪：Cliopa \＆ne blin，hefe up ðine stefne swa ðer bieme．Forłæm se se pe ðone sacerdhad onfeh $\gamma$ ，he onfehð fryccean scire \＆foreryneles pa her iernað beforan kyningum，\＆bodiað hiora færelt \＆hiera willan hlydende．Swæ sculon pa sacerdas nu faran hlydende \＆bodigende beforan |  |
| :---: |
| æm | egeslican deman pe him swiðe andrysnlic æfter gæ૪．Gif ðonne se sacerd bið ungerad ðæs lareowdomes，hwæt forstent خonne his gehlyd？Hwæt mæg he bodian ma ðonne se dumba fryccea？Ac for خissum wæs geworden そæt

desires are evil，through love of righteousness．Of which was again spoken to the guilty people：＂Your prophets prophesied to you folly and falsehood，and would not proclaim to you your unrighteousness to bring you to repentance．＂Good teachers are often called prophets in holy writ，for they proclaim that this present life is fleeting，and reveal the future．Those whom the divine voice blamed，and said that they would prophesy untruth，are they who fear to reproach men with their sins，but gently soothe them with promises of security，and are quite unwilling to show sinners their wickedness，but suppress their admo－ nitions．The word of admonition is a key，for it often unlocks and opens the sin which he who committed it was not aware of．Of which Paul said that the teacher should be mighty to exhort to salutary doc－
sie，犭æt he wiłstonde ealle mægene そæm ૪e on woh wiellen for ðære ryhtwisnesse lufan．Be ðæm wæs eft gecıeden to ðæm scyldegan folce：Eowre witgan eow witgodan dysig \＆leasunga，\＆noldon eow gecyðan eowre［un］ryhtwisnesse，そæt hie eow gebrohten on 5 hreowsunge．Đa godan lareowas beoł oft genemnede on halgum gewritum wietgan，forðæm hie gereccał خis andwearde lif fleonde， \＆Łæt towearde gesueotoligeað．Đa 夭onne そe sio godcundde stefn
 łe him ondrædał ૪æt hie menn for hira scyldum ૪reagen，ac mid 10 iedelre olicunge orsorgnesse gehatał $犭$ æm scyldegan，\＆mid nanum łingum nyllał geôpenian łæm syngiendum hiera unryht，ac suigiað ૪ara 夭reaunga．Đæt word ðære ૪reau［n］ge is cæg，forłæm hit oft anlyc $ð$ \＆geopena $\succ_{a}$ scylde $\nsucc e$ se him self ær nyste se hie ðurhteah．Be ૪æm cuæ૪ Paulus $\not æ$ t se lareow sceolde beon miehtig 15 to tyhtanne on halwende lare，\＆eac to ðreanne ða そe him［wið］－ stondan wiellen．Eft wæs gecueden ૪ur［h］Zacharias：Sio æ sceal beon soht on 犭æs sacerdes muðe，\＆his weleras gehaldað ðæt andgit，
 ૪urh Essaiam ૪one witgan \＆cuæ૪：Cleopa \＆ne blin，hefe up ૪ine 20 stefne sua ૪es bime．Forðæm se se $\prec e ~ ð o n e ~ s a c e r d h a ̂ d ~ o n f e h ð, ~ h e ~ o n-~$ feh $\gamma$ friccan scire \＆foreryneles $\not$ ૪a her iernað beforan kyningum，\＆ bodigeað hira færelt \＆hiera willan hlydende．Sua sculun 「a sacerdas nu faran hlydende \＆bodiende beforan $\nprec m$ egeslican deman Ke him suiðe andrysnlic æfter gæ̂૪．Gif 犭onne se sacerd bi犭 ungerad 25 خæs lareowdomes，hwæt forstent خonne his gehlyd？Hwæt mæg he bodigean mâ łonne se dumba fryccea？Ac for łeosum wæs geworden
trine，and also to reprove those who oppose him．Again it was said through Zachariah：＂The law must be sought in the mouth of the priest，and his lips contain understanding，for he is God＇s messenger to the people．＂Therefore the Lord admonished through the prophet Isaiah，saying：＂Cry aloud and cease not，raise thy voice like a trum－ pet．＂For he who undertakes the priesthood undertakes the office of herald and footmen who run before kings，loudly proclaiming their journey and will．Thus priests ought to run and proclaim londly before the awful Judge，who follows them in great majesty．But if the teacher is unskilled in instruction，what avails his cry？What more can he proclaim than the dumb herald？Therefore the Holy Ghost in the shape of tongues settled on the apostles，for without doubt whom－
se halga gast on tungena onlicnesse gesette ofer pa apostolas，for ºn $^{\text {n }}$ butan tweon ðone pe he gefylð he gedeð ðæt he bið swiðe hræðe ymbe hiene sprecende．Forłæm wæs beboden Moyse $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { t se sacerd }\end{aligned}$ sceolde bion mid bellum behangen．Đæt is خæt he hæbbe pa stefne ðære lare，pylæs he abelge mid ðære swiggean ðone dom ૪æs Scea－ weres．Hit is awriten خæt he sceolde ingongende \＆utgongende $^{\text {a }}$ beforan Gode to Xæm halignessum beon gehiered his sweg，pylæs he swulte．Hit is gecweden łæt se sacerd sceolde sweltan，gif se sweg nære of him gehiered ægðer ge ingongendum ge utgongendum，
 sweg ðære lare．Hit wæs awriten ðæt łæs sacerdes hrægl wære mid bellum behongen．Hwæt elles getacnað łæs sacerdes hrægl buton ryht weore？Dauid se witga łæt cyðde，pa he ewæð：Sin ðine sacerdas gegirede mid ryhtwisuesse．On Xæs sacerdes hrægle wæron bellan hangiende．Đæt is خæt pa weore ðæs sacerdes \＆eac se sweg his tungan clipien ymb lifes weg．Ac Xonne se lareow hiene gegearwað to خære spræce，behealde he hiene geornlice そæt he wærlice sprece ；forłon gif he unendebyrdlice onet mid ðære spræce，\＆wilnað
 ðara gehierendra mid 犭ære wunde，ðæt is さæt he hi gedweleð \＆ unwislice geic $૪$ pa idelnesse pe he ofaceorfan sceolde．Be łæm sio Sołfæstnes cwæð：Habbað ge sealt on eow，\＆sibbe habbał betweoh eow．Đurh ðæt sealt is getacnod ða word wisdomes．Se ðonne se pe fundige wislice to spreconne，ondræde he him swiðlice ðylæs his spræc gescende pa anmodnesse ðara pe Xærto hlystað．Be ðæm cwæ૪ Paulus：Ne wilnigen ge mare to wietenne ðonne eow ðearf sie，
soever he fills he readily causes to speak about him．Therefore it was enjoined on Moses that the priest was to be hung around with bells； in other words，he was to have the voice of instruction，lest with silence he offend against the will of the Spectator．It is written， that whether he went in or out before God to the sanctuary，his sound was to be heard，lest he died．It is said that the priest was to die unless the sound was heard from him both entering and coming out，because he compels the unseen Judge to anger if he enters without the sound of instruction．It is written that the robe of the priest was to be hung with bells．What signifies the priestly robe but good deeds？The prophet David showed it when he said ：＂Let thy priests
ðæt se halega gæs૪ on tu［n］gena onlicnesse gesette ofer そa apostolas，
 hræðe ymbe hine sprecende．Fořæm wæ［s］beboden Moyse Yæt se sacerd scolde bion mid bellum behangen．Dæt is łæt he hæbbe
 Sceaweres．Hit is awriten ðæt he scolde inngongende \＆útgongende beforan Gode to ðam halignessum beon gehiered his sueg，Xylæs he swulte．Hit is gecueden $\not$ ææt se sacerd scolde sweltan，gif se sweg nære of him gehiered ægðer ge inngongendum ge utgongendum， 10 forron he geniet そone dieglan deman to irre，gif he inngæð butan Øam swege Łære lare．Hit wæs awriten そæt łæs sacerdes hrægl wære behongen mid bellum．Hwæt elles getacnað ไæs sacerdes hrægl butan ryht weore？Dauið se witga đæt cyðde，ða he cuæð： Sien łine sacerdas gegierede mid ryhtwisnesse．On ðæs sacerdes
 \＆eac se sueg his tungan clypien ymb lifes weg．Ac Xonne se lareow line gegearwað to ૪ære spræce，behalde he hine geornlice łæt he wærlice sprece ；for Kon gif he unendebyrdlice onet mid Xære spræce，
 20 heortan ðara gehirendra mid đære wunde，そæt is đæt he hie gedwele $૪$ \＆unwislice geiec $૪$ ða idelnesse $\succ_{e}$ he ofaceorfan sceolde．Be $\not$ æm sio So૪fæšnes cuæ૪：Habbał ge sealt on ieow，\＆sibbe habbał betweoh
 fundige wislice to sprecanne，ondræde he him suiælice，Xylæs his spræc 25 gescynde ða anmodnesse ðara ðe ðærto hlystað．Be ðæm cuæ૪ Paulus：Ne wilnien ge mare to witenne ðonne iow ðearf sie，ac witað
be clad with righteousness．＂Bells hung on the priestly robe，which means that the works of the priest and his voice are to proclaim the way of life．But when the teacher is ready to speak，let him be careful to speak warily；for if he hastens on irregularly with his speech that he may seem the more wise，it is probable that he will wound the hearts of his hearers by leading them into error and foolishly increasing the frivolity which he ought to prune away．Of which Truth spoke ：＂Have salt in you and peace among you．＂Salt signifies the words of wisdom．He therefore who hastens to speak wisely ought to fear greatly，lest his speech disturb the confidence of the hearers． Of which Paul．spoke：＂Desire not to know more than is needful for
ac wietað ðæt そæt eow gemetlic sie \& eower ondefnu sien to wietonne. Be łæm wæs gecweden mid ðære godcundan stefne ðæt on łæs sacerdes hrægle sceoldon hangian bellan \& ongemong ðæm bellum reade apla. Hwæt elles is getacnod ðurh pa readan apla buton sio anmodnes ryhtes geleafan? Swæ se æppel bið betogen mid anfealdre rinde, \& خeah monig corn oninnan him hæf $\gamma$, swæ sio halige cirice unrim folces befehð mid anfealde geleafan, \& pa habbał swæðeah swiðe mislica geearnunga pe łærinne wuniǎ.
 on pa spræce. Embe ðæt pe we ær spræcon sio Soðfæstnes ðurh hie selfe clipode to Xæm apostolum, \& cwæð: Habbał ge sealt on eow \& sibbe betweoh eow. Sio anlicnes wæs gecweden łæt sceolde beon on łæs sacerdes hrægle ða readan apla ongemang ðæm
 fangen \& wærlice gehealden sio anmodnes $\not$ ææs godcundan geleafan. Se lareow sceal mid geornfullice ingehygde forełencean na łæt an ðæt he ૪urh hiene nan woh ne bodige, ac eac łæt he nane ðinga ðet ryht to swiðe \& to ungemetlice \& to unaberendlice ne bodige ; for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { m }\end{array}$
 [ungedafenlicre] \& unwærlicre oferspræce ða heortan \& Łæt andgit gedweleð ðara pe ðærto hlystað, ond eac se lareow bið gescended mid さære oferspræce, તonne he ne con geðencean hu he nytwierðlecust læran mæge ða pe Łærto hlystan willað. Be ðæm wæs swiłe wel gecweden łurh Moyses ðætte se wer se łrowude oferflownesse his sædes, \& ðæt

you, but know what is fitting for you to know and what ye are capable of knowing." Of which was said by the divine voice that bells were to hang on the priest's robe, and among the bells red apples. What signify the red apples but the constancy of righteous belief? As the apple is covered with a single skin, and yet has many pips inside it, so the holy Church encloses a multitude of people with one faith, and yet they who dwell therein have very different merits. Therefore let the teacher consider lest he incautiously hurry on with his speech. About that which we have treated above Truth itself spoke to the apostles : "Have salt in you and peace among you." It was said as an illustration that there were to be the red apples on the priest's robe among the bells. That is, that through all that we have said
łæt łæt iow gemetlic sie \＆iower ondefenu sien to witenne．Be ðæm wæs gecueden mid そære godcundan stefne ૪æt on そæs sacerdes hrægle scoldon hangigan bellan \＆ongemang そæm bellum reade apla．Hwæt elles is getacnod خurh $\chi_{a}$ readan apla buton sio 5 anmodnes ryhtes geleafan？Sua se æppel bið betogen mid ânfealdre rinde，\＆łeah monig corn oninnan him hæfæ，sua sio halige cirice unrim folces befeh $\gamma$ mid anfealde geleafan，\＆ła habbał suałeah suiłe misleca geearnunga ૪e Łærinne wunigeað．Forłæm gełence se lariow
 $10 æ r$ spræcon sio Suæfæs૪nes łurh hie selfe cleopade to Xæm apostolum， \＆cuæ૪：Habbar ge sealt on iow \＆sibbe betweoh iow．Sio anlicnes

 ær spræcon sie underfangen \＆wærlice gehealden sio anmodnes そæs 15 godcundan geleafan．Se lareow sceal mid geornful［l］ice ingehygde
 ac eac łæt he nane 夭inga łæt ryht to suiłe \＆to ungemetlice \＆to unaberendlice ne bodige，fortæm oft $\not$ Łæt mægen $\npreceq æ r e ~ l a r e ~ w i e r ૪ ~$ forloren，Xonne mon mid ungedafenlicre \＆unwærlicre oferspræce
 se lariow bił gescinded mid そære oferspræce，夭onne he ne conn geðencean hu he nyttwyrðlicost læran mæge ða ðe ðærtô hlystan willað．Be ðæm wæs suiłe wel gecueden ðurh Moyses łætte se wer se ðe łrowude oferflownesse［h］is sædes，\＆ðæt unnytlice agute，‘æt 25 he ðonne wære unclæne．Sua eac ða word Łære lare beoł sæd，
above the constancy of divine belief is to be received and carefully held．The teacher must consider beforehand with careful meditation not only how he is to avoid himself preaching bad doctrine，but also how he is not to preach what is right too excessively or too immo－ derately or too severely ；for often the virtue of doctrine is lost when the heart and understanding of the hearers are led into error with unseemly and imprudent loquacity，and the teacher also is disgraced by his loquacity，when he cannot think how he may most usefully teach those who wish to hear it．Of which was very well said through Moses，that the man who suffered overflow of his seed and discharged it to no purpose，was to be unclean．Thus also the words of instruction are seed，and they fall on the heart of the hearer，
lare beo sæd，\＆hie gefeallað on $\npreceq a$ heortan pe hiera hlyst，swæ nytt swæ unnyt，swæðer hie bioð．Đurh ða earan ða word beoð onfangen， \＆on $\nsucc æ m$ mode hie bio $\begin{aligned} & \text { acende } \nless u r h ~ \Varangle æ t ~ o n d g i t . ~ F o r ð o n ~ h e t o n ~\end{aligned}$ worldwise men wordsawere ðone æðelan lareow Paulus．Se ðonne se pe 「olał flownesse his sædes he bił unclæne gecweden．Swæ eac se pe oferspræce bið，he bið nohte خon læs mid ðære besmiten．Gif he ðonne endebyrdlice his spræce forðbringð，ðonne mæg he cennan mid ðæm đæt tuder ryhtes geતohtes on đara tohlystendra heortan．Gif

 unnyt agoten，næs to nanre cenninge łæs cynrenes，ac to unclænnesse \＆to ungerisnum．Be ðæm Paulus cwæð，pa he manode his eniht Xret he sceolde standan on łære lare，he cwæ૪：Ic そe bebeode beforan Gode \＆$\not$ $_{\text {æm }}$ hælendan Criste，se pe demende is cwicum \＆deadum，\＆ic ðe bebeode［beode］ðurh his tocyme \＆Xurh his rice，$\npreceq æ t ~ \nsucc u ~ s t a n d e ~ o n ~ ð i s s u m ~ w o r d u m, ~ \& ~ h i e ~ l æ r e ~ æ g ð e r ~ g e ~ g e d æ f t e-~$ lice ge eac ungedæftelice．Đeah he cwæde ungedæftelice（Đ．h．c．u．om．）， he cwæ૪ ટeah ær gedæftelice，for｀æm sio ofersmeaung mirð ða unwisan pe hit gecnawan ne magon，\＆gede $૪$ ða spræce unnytte | æm |
| :---: |
| tohlysten－ | dum 欠onne sio ungedæftnes hit ne can eft gedæftan．

XVI．Hu se lareow sceal beon eallum monnum efn $\not$ rowiende \＆ foreðencende on hiera earfe $\begin{gathered}\text { xum．}\end{gathered}$

Ac sie se lareow eallum monnum se nihsta \＆eallum monnum efnðrowiende on hiera geswincum，\＆sie he for ealle upaðened

whether they be profitable or not．The words are received by the ears and brought forth in the mind by the understanding．Therefore the noble teacher Paul was called by learned men word－sower．He who suffers overflow of his seed is accounted unclean，so also he who is loquacious is not a whit the less defiled therewith．But if he brings forth his speech seasonably，he can beget therewith the progeny of righteous thoughts in the listeners＇heart．But if the instruction is carelessly spilt by loquacity，the seed is discharged to no purpose， not for any procreation of progeny but for uncleanness and indecency． Of which Paul spoke when he admonished his servant to stand firm in doctrine，he said：＂I command thee before God and the Saviour Christ，who is to judge the living and dead，and I charge thee
\＆hi gefeallað on $\nsucc a[h] e o r t a n ~ Y e ~ h i e r a ~ h l y s t, ~ s u a ~ n y t t ~ s u a ~ u n n y t, ~$ suæ̌er hie beoð．Đurh ða earan ła word bioð onfangen，\＆on łæm mode hie beoł acennedu ૪urh łæt ondgiet．Fořæm heton woroldwise menn wordsawere ðone æðelan lareow Paulus．Se Xoune 5 se ðe ðolað flow［ed］nesse his sædes he bið unclæne gecueden．Sua
 Gif he ðonne endebyrdlice his spræce for ̌bring久，Xoune mæg he
 heortan．Gif ¿onue unwærlice sio lâr toflewð ðurh oferspræce，તonne 10 bið łæt sæd unnnyt agoten，næs to nanre kenninge خæs cynrenes， ac to unclænnesse \＆to ungerisnum．Be ðam Paulus cuæð，ða he manode his cneoht そæt he scolde standan on łærre lare，he cuæð： Ic ðe bebeode beforan Gode \＆Ł̌m hælendum Criste，se ðe demende is cucum \＆deadum，\＆ic ‘e beode ‘urh his tocyme \＆łurh his
 ge［eac］ungedæftlice．Đeah he cuæde un［ge］dæftelice，he cuæð そeah ær gedæftelice，fořæm sio ofersmeaung mir｀夭a unwisan $\prec e ~ h i t ~$ gennawan ne magon，\＆gedeð ða spræce unnytte ææm to $[h] l y s t e n d u m$ ðonne sio ungedæftnes hit ne cann eft gedæftan．

20 XVI．Hu se lareow sceal bion eallum monnum efnłrowiende \＆ forełencende on hiora earfoðum．

Ac sie se lareow eallum monnum se niehsta \＆eallum mon（n）um efn $\begin{aligned} & \text { owiende on hira gesuincum，\＆sie he for ealle upałened mid }\end{aligned}$ Łære godcundan foresceawunge his inngěances，Łætte ðurh 夭a
by his coming and kingdom to abide by these words and teach them both seasonably and unseasonably．＂Although he said unseasonably， yet he said before seasonably，because excessive argument injures the unwise who cannot understand it，and makes the discourse useless to the hearers，when unseasonable interference cannot set it right again．

XVI．How the teacher is to be sympathizing with and solicitous about all men in their troubles．

The teacher must be the nearest to all men and sympathizing with them in their troubles，and elevated above all with the divine fore－ sight of his mind，that through his pious benevolence he may take
mildheortnesse his arfæstnesse đæt he teo on hiene selfne ołerra monna scylda, \& eac ¿a heanesse Łære sceawunga his ingełonces he hiene selfne oferstigge mid Łære gewilnunge ðara ungesewenlicra 夭inga, \& ðætte he swæ healicra ðinga wilnigende ne forsio his nihstan untrume \& scyldige, ne eft for hiera untrymnesse ne forlæte łæt $^{\text {a }}$ he ne wilnige łæs hean. For خissum wæs geworden ðætte Paulus, ðeah pe he wære gelæded on neorxna wong pær (om.) he arimde $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ digolnesse đæs ðriddan hefones, \& swæðeah for ðære sceawunge ðara ungesewenlicra łinga łeah he upałened wære on his modes scearpnesse, ne forhogode he ðæt he hit eft gecirde to ðæm flæsclican burcotum, \& gestihtode hu men sceoldon łærinne hit macian, pa he cwæð: Hæbbe ælc mon his wif, \& ælc wif hiere ceorl ; \& do ðæt wif $\searrow æ m$ were $\searrow$ ðt hio him mid ryhte dôn sceal, \& he hiere swæ some, ðylæs hie on unryht hæmen. And hwene æfter he cwæð [cuið]: Ne untreowsige ge no eow betweoxn, buton huru ðæt ge eow gehæbben sume hwile, ærそæmpe ge eowru gebedu \& eowra offrunga dón willen, \& eft sona cirrar to eowrum ryhthæmde. Loca nu hu se halga wer, se pe swæ fæstlice geimpad wæs to ðæm hefonlicum digolnessum, \& swæðeah for mildheortnesse wæs łonon gecirred to smeagenne hu flæsclicum monnum gedafenode on hiera burcotum \& on hiera beddum to donne; \& swæ swiðe swæ he wæs upahafen
 mildheortnesse gebigde his mod to untrumra monna digelnessum. Hefonas he łurhfor mid his modes sceawunga, \& swæðeah łone ymbhogan ne forlet ðæs flæsclican beddgemanan; for ææm he wæs gefeged mid ðære lufan Godes \& monna ægðer ge to łæm hihstan

[^12]mildheor（t）nesse his arfæs $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { nesse } \\ \text { そæt }\end{aligned}$ he tio on hine selfne ołerra
 he hine selfne of［er］stige mid Xære［ge］wilnunge đara ungesewenlicra ðinga，\＆さætte hie sua healicra ðinga wilnigende ne forsio his niehstan 5 untrume \＆scyldige，ne eft for hiera untrymnesse ne forlæte $\searrow æ$ t he ne wilnige Łæs hean．For ðysum wæs geworden $\begin{array}{r}\text { ætte } \\ \text { Paulus，}\end{array}$
 nesse đæs ૪riddan hefones，ond suaðeah for ðære sceawungge ðara un－ gesewenlicra ðinga ðeah te he upǎened wære on his modes scearp－ 10 nesse，ne forhogde he đært he hit eft gecierde to ðam flæsclican bûr－ cótum，\＆［ge］stihtode hu men scoldon łærinne hit macian，ła he cuæð ：Hæbbe æle monn his wif，\＆æle wif hiere ciorl ；\＆doo さæt wif Łæm were $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t hio him mid ryhte doon sceal，\＆he hire sua some，}\end{array}$ ðylæs hie on unryht hæmen．\＆hwene æfter he cuið：Ne untreowsige 15 ge nô eow betweoxn，buto［n］huru｀æt ge eow gehæbben sume hwile， ærðæmðe ge eowru gebedu \＆eowra offiunga doon wiellen，\＆eft sona cirrað to eowrum ryhthæmede．Loca nu hu se halega wêr，se Xe sua fæs૪lice geimpod wæs to خæm hefenlicum diogolnessum，\＆sua－ ðeah for mildheortnesse wæs Xonon gecierred to smeaganue hu flæsc－ 20 licum mo（n）num gedafonode on hira burcôtum \＆on hiera beddum to dônne；\＆sua suiðe sua he wæs upâhæfen to đæm ungesewenlicum， he łeah gehwyrfle his heortan eage，\＆for mildheortnesse gebigde his mod to untrumra monna diogolnessum．Hefonas he xurhfôr mid his modes sceawunga，\＆suaそeah ðone ymbhogan ne fo［r］let Xæs flæsc－ 25 lican beddgemanan；for $\searrow æ m$ he wæs gefeged midð ære lufan Godes \＆monna ægðer ge to ðam hiehstum ðingum ge to ðæm nyðemestum．
in the same way，lest they commit fornication．＂And shortly after he said ：＂Defraud not one another，unless ye abstain for some time before the day of prayers and sacrifices，and return forthwith to your lawful intercourse．＂Behold，now，how the holy man who was so familiar with the secrets of heaven applied himself to the consideration of what was proper for carnal men to do in their chambers and beds； and although he was so exalted to the unseen，yet he directed his mind＇s eye and through humanity turned his attention to secrets of weak men．He traversed heaven with the contemplation of his mind，and yet neglected not the consideration of carnal intercourse ；for he con－ nected through the love of God and men both the lighest and the
［hihstum］夭ingum ge to 夭æm niðemestum．He wæs on himselfum mid $\npreceq \preceq$ halgan gastes mægene swǐe healice upabrogden，\＆そeah eorəlicum monnum efnlice for arfæstnesse \＆for niedrearfe wæs geuntrumad．Forそæm he cwæ૪：Hwa bið geuntrumod łæt ic ne sie eac geuntrumod；oððe hwa bǐ gescended rort ic eac ðæs ne scamige？Eft he cwæð be そæm ilcan：Đonne ic wæs mid Iudeum ic wæs swelce hie．Ne cwæ犬 he そææ forpype he wolde his treowa \＆his geleufan forlætan swæ swæ hie，ac he wolde otiewan［ætiwan］his ariæstnesse，દ̌a he licette hiene selfue そæt he wære ungeleaffull，ac on ťxm he geleornode hu he sceolde orrum monnum miltsian pe he gěolte hu he wolde đæt mon him miltsode gif he swele ware．And cif he cwar：Đeah we nu ofer ure mæð Kencen \＆smeagen，そæt we doð for Gode ；そonne we hit eft gemet－ læceał，̌oune doð we ̌æt for cow．He ongeat Łææt he oferstag hiene selfne on｀ære sceawunge đære godcundnesse，\＆eft hiene selfne ofdune astigende he cułe gemetgian his hieremonnum．Be ̌æm eac Iacobus se heahfæder，pa he smircle Kone stan fe æt his heafdum læg to tacne そæt he eft wolde his ierfe さ̌ær geteołian，for さærre gesiȟe pe he on そæm swefnc geseah，pa he æt そæm stane slæpte．He geseah ane hlædre stondan æet him on corðan．Ǒer ende wæs uppe on hefonum， \＆æt ટæm uferran ende Dryhten hlinode，\＆englas stigon up \＆ofdune
 wilniað secean \＆sceawian łæt halige heafod čære halgan gesom－ nunge，そæt is Dryhten，ac wilniað for mildheortnesse ðat hie ofdune astigen to his limum．Fořæm Moyses oft eode in \＆ut on そæt templ，fořæm he wæs ไærinne getogen to ไære godeundan sceawunge，
lowest things．In his own person he was greatly exalted with the power of the Holy Ghost，and yet his piety made him equally solici－ tous about earthly men in their need．Therefore he said：＂Who is weak and I am not weak；or who is shamed and I am not ashamed ？＂ Again，he said on the same subject：＂When I was among the Jews I was like them．＂He did not say so because he wished to forsake his honour and faith，as they did，but he wished to show his piety by pretending to be an unbeliever，and learnt to be merciful to other men by thinking how he would desire mercy if he were such as they．And again he said：＂If we now exalt our thoughts beyond measure，it is for the sake of God；if we moderate them again，it is for your sake．＂

He wæs on himselfum nid Łæs halgan gæstes mægene suiłe healice úpabrogden，\＆そeah eorðlicum monnum emnlice for arfæšnesse \＆for niedそearfe wæs geuntrumod．Forłæm he cuæð：Hwa bił geuntrumod Yæt ic ne sie eac geuntrumod ；ož̌e hwa bið gesciended Yæt ic eac 5 خæs ne scamige？Eft he cuæð be そæm ilcan：Đonne ic wæs mid Iudeum ic wæs suelc hie．Ne cuæ૪ he そæt for｀y̌e he wolde his treowa \＆his geleafan forlætan suæ suæ hie，ac he wolde ætiewan his arfæšnesse，Ła he licette hine selfne łæt he wære ungeleaffull，ac on Xæm he geleornode hu he scolde ǒrrum monnum miltsian Ke $^{\text {K }}$ 10 he geđohte hu he wolde đæt mon him miltsode gif he suele wære． \＆eft he cuæð：Đeah we nu ofer ure mæð そencen \＆smeagean，ไæt we dooð for Gode；Yonne we lit eft gemetlæca夭，‘onne doł we そæt for eow．He ongeat łæt he oferstag hine selfne on さære scea－ wunge｀ære godcundnesse，\＆eft line selfne ofdune astiggende he 15 cǔe gemetgian his hieremo［n］num．Be łæm［eac］Iacobus se heah－

 swefne geseah，Ła he æt そæm stane slæpte．He geseah ane hlædre standan æt him on eorcan．Ǒer ende wæs uppe on hefenum，\＆æt 20 そæm uferran ende Dryhten hlinode，\＆englas stigon úp \＆ofdune on そa hlædre．Fořæm Ła godan lareowas upsceawiende no $\npreceq$ æt an wilniað secean \＆sceawian そæt halige heafoð Xære halgan gesomnunge，そæt is Dry［h］ten，ac wilnað for mildheortnesse｀æt hie ofdune astigen to his limum．Forłæm Moyses oft eode inn \＆ut on $\not$ そæt templ，forðæm he 25 wæs そ̌rinne getogen to そære godcundan sceawunga，\＆Yærút he wæs

He perceived that he surpassed himself in the contemplation of godli－ ness，and he knew how to let himself down again to the level of his disciples．Therefore Jacob the patriarch anointed the stone which lay at his head，to show that he would afterwards tithe his inheritance there，because of the vision he saw in sleep when he slept at the stone． He saw a ladder standing near him on the earth．The other end was up in heaven，and at the upper end the Lord reclined，and angels climbed up and down the ladder．For good teachers gazing upwards desire not only to seek and contemplate the holy Head of the holy Churel，which is God，but also from humanity to descend to his mem－ bers．Therefore Moses often went in and out of the temple，because
\& łærute he wæs abisgod ymb ðæs folces ðearfe. Đærinne he sceawode on his mode ła digolnesse $\npreceq æ r e ~ g o d c u n d n e s s e, ~ o n d ~ ł o n o n ~_{\text {n }}$ utbrohte $\not$ đæm folce, \& cy $\begin{gathered}\text { de } h w æ t ~ h i e ~ w y r c e a n ~ \& ~ h e a l d a n ~ s c e o l d o n . ~\end{gathered}$ And simle ymb Łæt pe hiene ðonne tweode, ðonne orn he eft into ðæm temple, \& frægn łæs Dryhten beforan łære earce pe se haligdom on wæs ไæs temples. He onstalde [astealde] on đæm bisene さæm reccerum pe nu sindon. Hie sculon, Xonne hie ymb hwæt tweor Xæs pe hie đærute don seulon, cyrran eft to hiera agnum inngeðonce, \& خær God ascian, swæ swæ Moyses dyde beforan ðære earee on خæm
 halgum gewritum, frine đara hwæt he don o૪ðe læran scielen. Forłon sio So $\nsucc \not f$ festnes self, $\nsucc æ t$ is Crist, $\chi_{a}$ he on eorðan wæs, he hiene gebæd on muntum \& on diglum stowum, \& on burgum he worhte his wundru, mid $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m } \\ \text { strewede } \\ \text { ðone } \\ \text { weg } \\ \text { ðære onhyrenesse } \\ \text { ðæm } \\ \text { godum }\end{array}$
 synfulra \& ðara ungetydena, ̌eah je hie selfe wilnigen ðæs hihstan. Fořon Xonne sio lufu for mildheortnesse niðerastige $\gamma$, \& hio hie geðiet to his nihstena ̌earfe, ðonne bið (om.) hio swiłe wunderlice upastigen ; and swæ micle swæ hio estelicor ofdune astige $ð$, swæ hio ieðelicor upastige $\gamma$; swelce hie sculon hie selfe ætiewan, ða pe oðrum fore biox, ðætte ða pe him underðiedde sien him durren hiera diglan
 synfullan łrowiað, Łæt hie mægen iernan \& fleon to ðæs lareowes mode him to andettunge, swæ swæ cild to his modur greadan, \& pa scylda pe hie wenað łæot hie mid besmitene sin, mid his fultume \& gełeahte hie mægen aðwean clænran ðonne hie ær ðære costunge
in it he was led to divine contemplation, and outside he occupied himself with the people's wants. In it he contemplated in his mind the mysteries of godliness, and brought them out thence to the people, and proclaimed what they were to do and observe. And whenever he was in doubt he ran back into the temple and asked God about it before the ark, in which was the covenant of the temple, thus setting an example to those who are now rulers. When they are uncertain about anything which they are to do outside, they must return to their mind, and there ask God, as Moses did before the ark in the temple. If they still doubt there, let them go to the holy Scriptures, and ask there what they are to do or teach. For Truth itself, that is Christ, when on earth prayed on mountains and in retired places, and per-
abisgod ymb Łæs folces ૪earfe. Đærinne he sceawode [on] his mode $\chi_{a}$ diogolnesse $\npreceq æ r e ~ g o d c u n d n e s s e, ~ o n d ~ \Varangle o n o n ~ u t b r o h t e ~ \Varangle æ m ~ f o l c e, ~ \& ~$
 hine łonne tueode, ‘onne orn he eft innto さæm temple, \& frægn Xæs 5 Dryhten beforan Łære earce $\begin{aligned} \text { te se haligdom ôn wæs Łæs temples. He }\end{aligned}$ âstealde on łæm bisene đæm reccerum łe nu siendon. Hie sculon,
 to hira agnum inngeતonce, \& Łær God ascian, suæ suæ Moyses dyde beforan $\npreceq æ r e ~ e a r c e ~ o n ~ \Varangle æ m ~ t e m p l e . ~ G i f ~ h i e ~ ł o n n e ~ g i e t ~ ł æ r ~ t u e o n a ð, ~$ 10 gongen $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { łæm halgan gewritum, frine } \\ \text { ไara liwæt hie don }\end{gathered}$
 eoř̌an wæs, he hine gebæd on muntum \& on dioglum stowum, \& on burgum he worhte his wundru, mid $\npreceq m$ he strewede ðone weg Łære onhyrenesse Łæm godum lariowum, Łæt hie ne scolden forhyggean ૪one 15 gefêrscipe đara synfulra \& ðara ungetydra, خeh ðe hi selfe wilnien ไæs heahstan. Forłæm ðonne sio lufu for mildheortnesse niełerastigeð, \& hio hie geخied to his niehstena そearfe, ðonne hio suiłe wunderlice upastigen $[\gamma]$; \& sua micle sua hio estelicor ofdune astige $\gamma$, sua hio
 20 fore beoð, ไætte ła ðe him underłiedde sien him dyrren hira dieglan
 synfullan łrowiað, ðæt hi mægen iernan \& fleon to đæs lareowes mode

 25 mægen aðwean clænran łonne hie ær Łære costunge wæren, mid łæm
formed his miracles in cities, thus preparing the path of imitation for good teachers, lest they despise the company of weak and sinful men, though they themselves aspire to the highest. Because when love descends through humanity and is occupied with the need of his neighbours, it rises marvellously; and the more cheerfully it descends, the easier it ascends, signifying that those who are set above others are to let themselves be seen, that their subjects may not through shame fear confessing to them their secrets, that when the sinful are overwhelmed with the waves of temptation, they may hasten to take refuge in the heart of the teacher for confession like a child in its mother's bosom, and wash away the sins wherewith they think themselves polluted, with his help and counsel, and become purer than they were
 pe beforan Xæm temple stod æren ceac onuppan twelf ærenum oxum， Xrette pa men pe into łem temple gân woldon meahton hiera honda $_{\text {gen }}$ ðwean on pæm mere．Se ceac［eac］wæs suæ micel ðæet he oferhelede ða oxan ealle，butan ja heafdu totodun ut．Hwæt getacniar［tacnigar］ Konne pa twelf oxan buton pa twelf apostolas，\＆sił̌an ealle ‘a ende－ byrdnessa đara biscopa pe そæræfter fylgeað？Bi ðæm wæs gecweden on そære æ：Ne forbinde ge no đæm તerscendum oxum 夭one mu૪．Đone cwide Paulus gereahte eft to biscepum Xara openlican weore we gesioð，
 on đæm diglan edleanum．Đa Łeah ðonne hie niðerastigað to aðweanne hiera nihstena scylda，ðomne hie him ondettað，hie［him added］bior onlicost swelce hie beren ૪one ceac beforan Xære ciricean duru［dura］， swee swæ ða oxan dydon beforan ðæm temple ；ไætte swæ hwelc swæ inweard higige to gangeme on ‘a duru đæs ecean lifes，he ðonne on－ dette ælce costunge pe him on becume Xæm mode his scriftes beforan Łæm temple ；ond swæ swæ łara manna handa \＆fett wæron aðwægene on đære ealdan æ on đæm ceace beforan ðæm temple，swæ خonne nu we aðwean ures modes handa \＆ure weore mid łære ondetnesse．Oft eac gebyre｀ðonne se scrift ongit そ̌æs costunga pe he him ondetteð ¿æt he eac self bið mid $\searrow æ m$ ilcum gecostod．Hwæt $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { w wer on } \\ \text { ææm ceace }\end{aligned}$ wæs gedrefed，તonne Łær micel folc hiera fett \＆honda an $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { wogon．}\end{array}$

 him ไyncð swelce he forleose pa smyltnesse his clæmnesse．Ac nis Xæt
before confession，washed in the tears of their prayers．Therefore also there stood before the temple a brazen basin，supported by twelve brazen oxen，that those who wished to enter the temple might wash their hands in the sea．The basin was big enough to cover the oxen entirely，except the projecting heads．What signify the twelve oxen but the twelve apostles and the whole succession of bishops which come after them？Of which was spoken in the law：＂Bind not the mouth of the thirsting oxen．＂This saying Paul applied again to those bishops whose public works we see，while we know not what their thoughts are before the severe Judge with his hidden requital．When they descend to wash the sins of their neighbours，when they confess，they

tearum ðara gebeda aðwægen．Forłæm eac wæs ไæt خe beforan خæm temple stod æren cêac onuppan twelf ærenum oxum，そætte خa menn そe into さæm temple gân woldon meahten hira honda ðwean on خæm mere．Se ceac wæs sua micel $\Varangle æ t$ he oferhelede |  |
| :---: |
| oxan ealle，buton | 5 ða heafudu totodon út．Hwæt getacniað ðonne $\searrow_{a}$ twelf oxan buton $\Varangle_{a}$ XII apostolas，\＆siððan ealle ða endebyrdnessa ̌ara biscopa خe łæræfter fylgeað？Bi ðon wæs gecueden on ðære æ：Ne forbinden ge na Łæu ðyrstendum oxum ðone muð．Đone cwide Paulus geryhte eft to b［i］scepum ̌ara openlican weore we gesio久，ac we nyton hwelc

 edleanum．Đa ¿eah ðonne hi niðerástigað to ałweanne hiera niehstena scylda，Xonne hie him ondettað，hie beoð onlicost suelce hi beren Xone ceak beforan そære ciricean dura，sua sua ða oxan dydon beforan خæm temple ；đætte sua hwelc sua inweard higige to gangenne on ða duru 15 そæs ecean lifes，he ̌onne ondette ælce costu［n］ge ðe him on becume Xam mode his scriftes beforan そæm temple ；ond suæ suæ ૪ara monna honda \＆fet wæren aðwægene on خære ealdan $æ$ on خæm ceake beforan خæm temple，sua خonne nu we aðwean ures modes honda \＆ ure weore mid そære ondetnesse．Oft eac gebyreð ðonne se scrift ongit 20 そæs costunga Łe he him ondetteð łæt eac self bið mid đæm ilcum gecostod．Hwæt さæt wæter on đæm ceake wæs gedrefed，ðonne ðær micel folc hiera fët \＆honda on ðwogon．Sua bið Łæs sacerdes mod Konne Łær bið micel fole on aðwægen hira scylda ðurh his lare． Đonne he underfehð ðæt fenn ðara ðweandra，him ðyncł suelce he 25 forleose ${ }^{2} a$ smyltnesse his clænnesse．Ac nis ðæt na to andrædanne
support，as it were，the basin before the church door，as the oxen did before the temple；so that whoever inwardly desires to enter the gates of eternal life must confess every temptation which has assailed him to the mind of his confessor before the temple；and as mon＇s hands and feet were under the old law washed in the basin before the temple，so let us now wash our mind＇s hands and our works with confession．It often happens also that when the confessor hears the temptations of him who confesses，he is himself assailed with the same temptations．The water in the basin was dirty when many hands and feet were washed in it．In the same way，when the sins of many are washed in the mind of the priest with his instruction，and he receives
 swið esmealice geðenc $\gamma$ ，\＆him forgif $\nsucc æ$ he swæ micle ieðelicor bið gefri ${ }_{\text {od }}$ from his agnum costungum swæ he mildheortlecor bi $\gamma$ geswenced mid oðerra monna costungum．

XVII． Hu se reccere sceal bion $\begin{gathered} \\ \end{gathered}$ eaðmodnesse gefera \＆wið ðara yflena unðeawas stræc for ryhtwislecum andan．

Se ealdormon sceal lætan hiene selfne gelicne his hieremonnum ： he sceal bion hiera gefera for eaðmodnesse ðara ðeah pe wel don；he sceal bion wið đara agyltendra unðeawas upahæfen for ðæm andan his ryhtwysnesse，\＆ðætte he on nanum 夭ingum hiene betran ne doo
 ðonne geðence he ðone ealdordom his onwaldes；\＆eft ongean $\chi_{a}$ godan \＆$\npreceq a$ wellibbendan forsio he his ealdordom swæ swiðe $ð æ$ t he
 wene he nanes خinges hiene selfne betran ；\＆eft wið pa wiðerweardan ne ondræde he ðæt he begonge his ryhtwisnesse，swæ swæ ic geman そæt ic iu sæde on そære bec pe Morales［Moralis］Iob hatte．Ic cwæ૪ ðæt æghwelc mon wære ołrum（om．）gelic acenned，ac sio unge－ licnes hiera earnunga hie tih $\gamma$ sume behindan sume，\＆hiera scylda hie ðær gehabbað．Hwæt ðonne ða ungelicnesse ðe of hiera uňeawum for $\begin{gathered}\text { cyme } \\ \text { ，se godcunda dom gełenc } \\ \text { tætte ealle menn } \\ \text { gelice bion }\end{gathered}$ ne magon，ac wile łæt simle se ołer beo aræred from łæm ołrum．

the dirt of the washers，he fears losing his unruffled purity．But the pastor has no cause to fear it，for God considers it very carefully，and the more he is afflicted with the temptations of others，the easier deliverance he grants him from his own．

XVII．How the ruler must be the companion of well－doers from humility，and severe against the vices of the wicked from righteous indignation．
The ruler must put himself on a level with his subjects ：he must be the companion of well－doers from humility；he must be severe with the faults of sinners from righteous zeal，and must not exalt himself above the good；and yet，when he perceives the sins of the perverse，
ðæm hirde, forłæmðe Dryhten hit eall swiðe smealice gełenč, \& $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}] \mathrm{m}$ forgief $\gamma$ ðæt he sua micle ieðelicor bið gefriðod from his agnum costungum sua he mildheortlicor bið gesuenced mid ołerra monna costungum.

5 XVII. Hu se reccere sceal bion $\nsucc æ m$ weldondum monnum for eaðmodnesse gefera \& wið ðara yfelena unðeawas stræc for ryhtwislicum andan.

Se ealdormonn sceal lætan hine selfne geliene his hieremonnum : he sceal bion hira gefera for eałmodnesse ðara ðea[h] ðe wel dôn; he 10 sceal bion wið さara agyltendra unłeawas úpahæfen for ðæm andan his ryhtwisnes(se), \& Xætte he on næn[eg]um łingum hine beteran ne do ðæm godum ; \& ðeah ðonne he ongiete ða scylda ðara ðweortiemena,


 he nanes ðinges hine selfne beteran; \& eft wið ða wiðerweardan ne ondræde he ðæt he bego[n]ge his ryhtwisnesse, suæ suæ ic geman đæt $^{\text {ret }}$ ic io sæde on Łære béc ðe Morales Iob hatte. Ic cuæð Łæt æghwelc monn wære gelice ołrum acenned, ac sio ungelicnes hira geearnunga 20 hie tiehð sume behindan sume, \& hira scylda hi ðær gehabbað. Hwæt


 orrum bieon sculon ne sculon hi na sua suiłe ne sua oft gełencean
let him consider the authority of his office ; and again, with those who lead a good life, let him think so lightly of his authority as to place his subjects on an equality with himself, nor deem himself in any respect their superior ; and, again, with the perverse, let him not fear to practise his righteousness, as I remember once saying in the book entitled "Morales." I said that all men are born alike, but some are kept behind others by the difference in their merits, and their sins keep them there. The divine judgment is mindful of the difference caused by their moral defects, and that all men cannot be equal, and always wishes them to be raised one above another. Therefore all those who are to be above others must not think too much or too often of their authority, but of how like they naturally are to other
swæ swiłe ne swæ oft gełencean hiera ealdordomes swæ hie sculon geðencean hu gelice hie bioð ołrum monnum on hiera gecynde；\＆ne gefeon hie no đæt hie ofer o $\begin{gathered}\text { re menn bion moten swæ swǐ̌e swæ } \nsucc æ s\end{gathered}$ đæt hie oðrum monnum mægen nyttoste bion．Hwæt hit is gesæd ðæt ure ealdan fædras wæron ceapes hierdas．Ond eac Dryhten cwæð to Noe \＆to his bearnum ：Weahsað ge \＆monigfaldiað \＆gefyl－
 cwæð he no ofer ołre men ac ofer nietenu，ða he wæs forboden ofer menn，$\chi_{a}$ he wæs aliefed ofer nietenu（ $\chi_{a} \mathrm{~h}$ ．w．f．．．．nietenu om．）．Se mon is on gecynde betera ðonne dysig nietenu，ac he ne bið na betra Xonne ołre menn．Forðon hit nas na gecweden đæt hiene sceoldon ołre men ondrædan，ac nietenu．Forðon hit is ungecyndelicu ofer－ modgung Łæt se mon wilnige Łæt hiene his gelica ondræde，\＆swæ－ ðeah hit is nid $\begin{gathered}\text { earf } \\ \text { さæt mon his hlaford ondræde，\＆se eniht his }\end{gathered}$
 underðiedde bioð him to hwon God ondrædað，خonne is ðearf đæt hie gedon $\not$ ææt hie huru him menniscne ege ondræden，ðæt hie ne durren syngian $\not$ かa pe him ne ondrædað ðone godcundan dom． Ne
 hie andrysne sien，forðon hie ne seceað na hiera selfra gilp on đæm， ac hiera hieremonna ryhtwisnesse hie wilniað，\＆đæm hie wilniað ðæt hie andrysne sien さæm pe on woh libbað；\＆ofer ða hie sculon riesian næs na swæ ofer menn ac swæ swæ ofer nietenu，forðæmpe hie be sumum dæle wildiorlice bioð．Hie sculon forðy ofdrædde licgean astreahte oðrum monnum underðiodde swæ swæ nietenu．For ðissum ðonne oft gebyreð Łæt se reccere on his mode wier’ upahafen，\＆
men；nor think so much of how they are to gain authority over others，as how they can be most useful to them．It is said that our ancestors were shepherds．And the Lord said also to Noah and his children ：＂Grow and multiply，and fill the earth，and your fear and terror shall be over all the beasts of the earth．＂He did not say over other men，but over animals，since he was forbidden to have power over men，but was allowed to have it over animals．Man is by nature superior to irrational animals，but not to other men．Therefore it was not said that other men should fear him，but not animals． Therefore it is unnatural presumption for a man to wish to be feared by his equals，and yet it is necessary for a man to fear his lord，and
hiera ealderdomes sua hie sculon geðencean hu gelice hie beoð ołrum monnum on hira gecynde ；\＆ne gefeon hie na خæt hie ofer oðre menn bion moten sua suiðe sua Łæs ðæt hie ołrum monnum mægen ny［t］－ toste beon．Hwæt hit is gesæd そæt ure ealdan fæderas wæron ceapes 5 hierdas．Ond eac Dryhten cuæ૪ to Nöe \＆to his bearnum ：Weahsað
 ealle eor ðan nitenu．Ne cuæð he no ofer ołre menn ac ofer nietenu，$^{\text {n }}$ ${ }^{\text {}}$ a he wæs forboden ofer menn，$\chi_{a}$ he wæs aliefed ofer nietenu．Se monn is on gecynde betera ðonne dysig nietenu，ac he ne bi $\gamma$ na betera
 oðre menn ondrædan，ac nietenu．For $\begin{aligned} & \text { hit is ungecyndelicu ofer－}\end{aligned}$ modgung łæt se monn wilnige Łæt hine his gelica ondræde，\＆sua－ Keah hit is niedrearf $\begin{array}{r}\text { ret } \\ \text { mon } \\ \text { his hlaford ondræde，\＆se cueoht his }\end{array}$
 15 under $\begin{aligned} \text { iedde beor him to hwon God andrædar，Konne is Yearf そæt hie }\end{aligned}$ gedôn đæt hi huru him mennisc［ne］ege ondræden，ไæet hie ne durren syngian $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe him ne ondrædað ðone godcundan dom．Ne ofermod－
 andrysne sien，forðon hi ne secað na hira selfra gielp on 夭am，ac hiora 20 hieremonna ryhtwisnesse hie wilniað，\＆Łæm hi wilniað Xæt hie andrysne sien ðæm ðe on wôh libbał ；\＆ofer ̌a hi sculon ricsian næs na sua ofer menn ac sua sua ofer nietenu，fořonگe hie be sumum dæle wildorlice beoð．Hie sculon for $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ ofdræd［de］licgean astreahte ołrum monnum underðiodde＇sua sua nietenu．For ðiosum ðonne 25 oft gebyre $ð \nprec$ e reccere on his mode wir｀upahæfen，\＆wier $\gamma$
the servant his master．Therefore when teachers perceive that their subjects fear God too little，it is necessary to make them at any rate fear human authority，that they may fear to sin，though they do not dread the divine judgment．Nor do the rulers become proud，although they desire to excite terror on account of such as these，because they do not therein seek their own glory，but desire the righteousness of their subjects，and wish to be feared by those who lead a corrupt life； and over such they are to rule，not as over men，but as over beasts， because to a certain extent they resemble wild animals，and therefore must lie prostrate in terror，subjected to other men like animals． Hence it often happens that the ruler becomes puffer up in spirit
wierð ałunden on ofermetto, ðonne he swæ swiðe ołre oferhlifað ðæt hie ealle licgeað under his willan, \& eal łæt he bebeodeð bið swiæe hræðe gefylled to his nytte ; ond gif hwæt welgedones bir,
 nesse ; \& gif he hwæt yfeles deð, ne wiłcwið ðæm nan mon, ac herigeað oft swæ swiðe swæ hie hit leean sceoldon ; \& mid ðy wierð Łæt mod beswicen \& genætt mid ðara oliccunga pe him underðiedde bioð $\not$ ææt he bið up ofer [for] hiene selfne ahafen on his mode ; ond ðonne he bið utane ymbhringed mid ungemetlicre heringe, he bið invan aidlad Łære ryhtwisnesse, \& forgiett hiene selfne Łonne he tolætt, \& fægenað ongean ðara oðerra word, \& geliefeð ðæt he swelc sie swelce he gehier $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { t his olicceras sæcgeað ðæt he sie, næs swelc swelc his }\end{array}$
 pe him underðiedde bioð, \& ne mæg ongietan $\gamma$ a pe him bioð on gecynde \& on ðeawum gelice, \& [ac] wen૪ ðæt he hæbbe hie ofer૪ungne on his lifes geearnunga swa he hie hæf $\begin{aligned} & \text { oferstigene mid } \\ & \text { そæm }\end{aligned}$ hliete his anwaldes, \& wenð ðæt he swæ micle ma wiete ðonne ołre men, swæ he gesihð Łæt he mare mæg doon ðonne ołre menn. Ond ðonne hiene selfne swæ healice upahefð on sumum ðingum, \& swæðeah bir getiged to ołrum monnum mid onlicre gecynde, خeah he forsio ðæt he him onlocige. Ac swæ he wierð self to ðæs onlicnesse pe awriten is ไæt he (om.) gesio ælce ofermetto, se is kyning ofer eall $\gamma_{a}$ bearn oferhyde. Se wilnode synderlices ealdordomes, \& forseah $\chi_{a}$ geferrædenne orerra engla \& hiera liif, pa he cwæ૪: Ic wille wyrcean $\min$ setl on norðdæle, \& wille beon gelic ðæm hiehstan, \& pa
and inflated with pride when he towers so much above others that all are subject to his will, and all his commands are very quickly obeyed for his benefit; and if anything is well done, all his subjects praise him for it ; and if he does any wrong no man opposes it, but they often praise, as much as they ought to blame it; and thus the heart is deceived and injured by the flattery of the subjects, so that he is exalted above himself in his mind ; and when he is surrounded externally with immoderate praise he is internally deprived of righteousness, and forgets himself while he gives himself up to the pleasure of hearing the praises of others, believing himself to be such as his flatterers say he is, not such as his own sagacity ought to under-
aðunden on ofermetto, ðonne he sua suiðe ołre oferhlifa૪ Łætte ealle licggeað under his willan, ond eall Łæt he bebeodeð bið suiłe hrałe
 him $\nprec$ æt ealle $\succ_{a}$ ૪e him underðiedde bio૪ mid herenesse; \& gif he 5 hwæt yfeles deð, ne wiðcuið ðam nan mann, ac herigað oft sua suiðe

 mid ðæra olicunga $\succ e$ him under $\begin{aligned} & \text { iedde beo } \not \text { ðæt he bið up ofer hine }\end{aligned}$ selfne ahæfen on his mode ; ond 犭onne he bið utane ymbhringed mid ungemetlicre heringe, he bið innan aidlad ðære ryhtwisnesse, \& forgiet gelief $\gamma$ ðæt he suelc sie suelce he gehier $૪$ ðæt his olicceras secgáð ðæt he sie, næs suelc scu[e]lc his selfes gescadwisnes sceolde ongietan |  |
| ---: | :--- | he wære. Ac forsiehð $\chi_{a}$ ðe $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}] \mathrm{m}$ underðiodde beo૪, \& ne mæg ongietan $\succ_{a}$ ðe him beoð on gecynde \& on ðeawum gelice, ac wenð

 oferstigene mid ðam hliete his anwaldes, ond wenð he sua micle ma wite ðonne ołre menn, sua he gesihð ðæt he mare mæg doon łonne ołre menn. \& łonne hine selfne sua healice upahefeð on sumum ðingum, ond suǎeah bið getieged to ołrum monnum mid 20 onlicre gecynde, そeah he forsio łæt he him onlocige. Ac sua he wierð self to Łæs onlicnesse ðe awriten is ไæt gesio ælce ofermetto, se is kyning ofer eall $\mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bearn oferhygde. Se wilnode synderlices ealdordomes, \& forsieh $\chi_{a}$ geferræddene ołerra engla \& hira lif, ða he cuæð: Ic wille wyrcean min setl on norðdæle, \& wielle bion

stand that he is. But he despises his subjects, and does not perceive that they are his equals in birth and virtue, but thinks he has surpassed them in the merits of his life as he has in the acquisition of authority, and thinks he is as much their superior in knowledge as he is in power. And so he extols himself so loftily in some respects, and yet is bound to other men by being of the same kind, although he disdains to regard them. But thus he himself is made similar to him of whom it is written that "he beholds all pride who is king of all the children of pride." Who desired a separate sovereignty, and despised the fellowship and way of life of the other angels, saying: "I will build my seat in the north, and be like the highest." And then by a won-
wundorlice dome gewear $\searrow$ ðæt he geearnode mid his agne innge $\begin{aligned} & \text { once }\end{aligned}$ خone pytt pe he on aworpen wearð, $x_{a}$ he hine his agnes ðonces upahof on swæ healicne onwald. Buton tweon łonne se mon oferhygð łæt he bio gelic oðrum monnum, ðonne bið he gelic ðæm wiðerwear ðan $^{\text {n }}$ \& ðæm aworpnan deofle. Swæ swæ Saul Israhela kyning łurh eaðmodnesse he geearnode ðæt rice, ond for Łæs rices heanesse him weoxon ofermetto. For eaðmodnesse he wæs ahafen ofer ołre memn, ond for ofermettum he wæs aworpen. Dryhten ̌æt gecyðde ̌a pa
 Israhelum to heafde. Ærest him ðuhte selfum ðæt hè wære swǐe unmedeme, ac siððan he understungen \& awreðed wæs mid ðys hwilendlecan onwalde, he ðuhte him selfum swiðe unlytel \& swiðe medeme. Forłæm he hiene æthof from orerra monna geferrædenne, \& hiene dyde ołrum monnum swæ ungelicne. Forðy he ongeat łæt he ma meahte łonne ænig oter, ða wende he Łæt he eac mara wære. Đæt wæs wunderlicu gemetgung đætte ¿̌a ða he him selfum wæs lytel geðuht, ða wæs he Gode micel geðuht, ond $\mathrm{xa}_{a} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he wæs him selfum micel geðuht, ða wæs he Gode lytel geðuht. Swæ oft ðonne خææt mod ałintt on ofermettum for đære menge ðæs folces pe him underðieded bið, hit bið gewemmed mid خæs onwaldes heanesse. Đone onwald mæg wel reccean se pe æg九er ge hiene habban con ge wiðwinnan. Wel hine secð [rec $\gamma$ ] se pe conn wel strec beon \& ahafen wið pa unryhtwisan \& wið pa scyldgan \& wel emn wið oðre men, \& he hiene na betran ne de૪. Ac そæt mennisce mod bið oft upahafen, ̌eah hit mid nane onwalde ne sie underled ; ac hu micle ma wenst łu ðæt hit
drous judgment he obtained through his own presumption the abyss into which he was cast, when he exalted himself in imagination to such a height of power. Without doubt when a man is impatient of being like other men he resembles the perverse and banished devil. As Saul, king of Israel, through humility obtained sovereignty, and became proud because of the dignity of power. Through humility he was raised above others, and through pride he was rejected. God showed it when he said: "When thou didst scem despicable in thine own eyes I made thee chief of all Israel." As first he himself thought that he was incompetent, but when he was supported by transitory authority, he considered himself far from despicable and quite competent. Therefore he exalted himself above the companionship of
 $\chi_{a}$ he hine his agnes $\not$ xonces úpahof on sua healiene ânwald．Butan tweon خonne se monn oferhyð łæt he beo gelic oðrum monnum， Xonne bið he gelic Łæm wiðerweardan \＆Xæm aworpnan diofule． 5 Sua sua Sawl Israhela kyning ðurh eałmodnesse he geearnode đæt rice，ond for Łæs rices heanesse him weoxon ofermetto．For eað－ modnesse he wæs ahæfen ofer oðre menn，ond fær ofermettum he
 selfum ðuhtest［unwenlic，］$\succ_{a}$ ic Łe gesette eallum Israhelum to $^{\prime}$ 10 heafde．Æresð him ðuhte selfum Łæt そæt he wære suiłe unmedeme， ac siððan he understungen \＆awreðed wæs mid $\ngtr y s$ hwilendlican onwalde，he ðuhte him selfum suiðe unlytel \＆suiðe medeme．For－ łæm he hine æthôf from oðerra monna geferrædenne，ond hine dyde oðrum monnum sua ungelicne．Forðy he ôngeat Xæt he ma mehte 15 خonne ænig oðer，ไa wende he さæt he eac mara wære．Đæt wæs
 $\chi_{a}$ wæs he Gode micel geðuht，ond $\succ_{a} \not{ }_{a}$ he wæs him selfum micel geðuht，ða wæs he Gode lytel geðuht．Sua oft đonne đæt mod aðint on ofermet［t］um fo［r］đære menige そæs folces خe him underخied bið， 20 hit bið gewemmed mid Łæs anwaldes heanesse．Đone anwald mæg wel reccan se ðe ægðer ge hine habban cann ge wiðwinnan．Wel hine rec $૪$ se $\nless e$ conn wel stræc bion \＆ahæfen wið ða unryhtwisan \＆wið ða scyldgan \＆wel emn wið ołre menn，ond he hine na bettran ne deð． Ac ðæt mennisce mod bið oft upahafen，ðcah hit mid nane anwalde 25 ne sie underlêd；ac hu micle mâ wenstu ðæt hit wolde，gif $ð_{a}$
other men，and made himself so different from other men．Perceiving that he had more power than any other man，he thought he was also greater．It was a wondrous dispensation that when he deemed him－ self little，he was great in the eyes of God，and when he deemed himself great，he was in the eyes of God little．Thus often when the mind is swelled with pride，because of the multitude of people subject to it，it is polluted with the height of its authority．He is well able to wield authority who knows both how to hold and resist it．He wields it well who knows when to exercise the requisite severity and authority against the wicked and sinful，and impartiality towards other men，and does not exalt himself above them．But the human heart is often puffed up without being supported by any authority；and
wolde，gif ða wlencea \＆se anwald Łær wære to gemenged！And そeah swǐe ryhte stihtað ðone anwald se pe geornlice conn ongietan
 winne łæt him dereð，\＆ongiete hiene selfne，\＆ongiete đæt he bið self oðrum monnum gelic，\＆خeah ahebbe hiene ofer đa scyldgan mid andan \＆mid wrece．We magon eac fullicor ongietan \＆tosceadan ða spræce，gif we sceawiał $\succ_{a}$ bisene $\npreceq æ s$ forman hierdes，そæt wæs sanc－ tus Petrus．Đurh Godes giefe he onfeng ðone ealdordom ૪ære halgan
 Đa $\succ_{a}$ Cornelius for eaðmodnesse wel dyde $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ he hiene astreahte ［strehte］beforan him，he ðeah hiene selfne ongeat him gelicne，\＆ cwæð：Aris，ne do swæ；hu，ne eom ic mon swæ ilce swæ ðu？Ac广a $\chi_{a}$ he ongeat pa scylde on Annanian \＆on Saffiran，swiðe hrædlice he ǒiewde hu micelne onwald he hæfde ofer ołre men，ða he hiera liif łurh $\chi_{a}$ smeanga $\chi_{æ s}$ halgan gastes ongeat，\＆hiene $\chi_{a}$ mid his worde geslog，\＆mid $\begin{aligned} \text { y anwalde gecyðde } \searrow æ{ }^{2} \text { he wæs ieldest ofer }\end{aligned}$ そa halgan cyricean \＆strengest wið scylda．Đæt rice \＆خone onwald he no ne ongeat wið Cornelius，$㐅_{a} \succ_{a}$ he hiene swæ swiðlice weor $\succ_{i a n}$ wolde ；he wolde him ætfæstan his eaðmetto，\＆mid $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ he geearnode Xæt him ðuhte đæt he wære his gelica．He cwæð to him ðæt he wære his gelica ：ðær he gecyðde his eaðmodnesse；ond eft on Annanian \＆ on Saffiran gecyrde his nið \＆his onwald mid łære wræce．And eft sanctus Paulus ne ongeat he no hiene selfne betran ołrum godum mon－ num，$\chi_{a}$ ða（om．）he cwæ૪：Ne sint we nane waldendas eowres geleafan， ac sint fultumend eowres gefean，fortæmpe ge stondał on geleafan．
consider how much more so if distinction and power were added！ And yet he wields authority very rightly who well knows how to gather from it that which is beneficial for him，and oppose what is hurtful，and understand himself，and see that he is like other men， and yet exalt himself above the sinful with zeal and severity．We shall be able more fully to understand and sift the argument，if we consider the example of the first shepherd，St．Peter．By the gift of God he received the rule of the holy Church，and yet rejected the excessive adulation of men．When Cornelius out of humility did right in prostrating himself before him，he nevertheless acknowledged himself to be his equal，and said：＂Arise，do not so ；what，am I not a man as thou art？＂But when he perceived the sin of Ananias and


 \＆ongite hine selfne，ond ongiete $\npreccurlyeq$ æt he bi夭［self］ołrum monnum
 We magon eac fullecor ongietan \＆tosceadan $૪$ a spræce，gif we sceawiað
 giefe he onfeng $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { one } \\ \text { ealdordôm } \nprec r e ~ h a l g a n ~ c i e r i c e a n, ~ o n d ~ \\ \text { eah }\end{gathered}$ he wiðsôc ૪æt hine mon to ungemetlice weorðode．Đa ૪a Cornelius for
 hine selfne ongeat him gelicne，\＆cuæð：Aris，ne do sua；hu，ne iom ic monn sua ilce sua $\gamma u$ ？Ac $\Varangle a$ бa he ongeat $\nsucc a$ scylde on Annanian \＆on Saffiram，suiðe hrædlice he oriewde hu micelne on－
 15 halgan gæstes ongeat，\＆hine $\nprec a \operatorname{mid}$ his worde geslog，\＆mid $\nsucc y$ anwalde gecyðde $犭 æ t$ he wæs ieldesð ofer $ð$ a halgan cirican $\&$ strenges $\gamma$ wið scylda．Đæt rice \＆ $\begin{gathered} \\ {[n e] ~ a n w a l d ~ h e ~ n a ~ n e ~ a n g e a t ~}\end{gathered}$ wi $૪$ Cornelius，$\succ a \nsucc a$ he hine sua suiðlice weor $૪ i a n$ wolde；he wolde him ætfæstan his eaðmetto，\＆mid $犭 \mathrm{y}$ he geearnode $૪ æ t$ him $૪ u h t e$ 20 そæt he wære his gelica．He cuæð to him 犭æt he wære his gelica： ðær he gecyðde his［eað］modnesse；ond eft on Annaniam \＆on Saffiram gecyðde his nił \＆his onwald mid Ґære wræce．Ond eft sanctus Paulus ne ongeat he na hine selfne beteran oxrum godum monnum，૪a ૪a he cuæ૪：Ne sint we nane waldendas eowres geleafan， 25 ac sint fultemend eowres gefean，for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { am } \text { ge stondał on geleafan．}\end{aligned}$

Sapphira he soon showed how great his authority was over others， when he perceived their course of life by the meditation of the Holy Ghost，and smote him with his word，and by his power showed that he was chief of the holy Church and most severe against sins．He did not acknowledge his power and authority in the case of Cornelius， when he wished to honour him so excessively ；he wished to impart to him his humility，and so he earned the reputation of being his equal．He told him he was his equal，and thus showed his humility ； and，on the other hand，he showed his zeal and authority in the punishment of Ananias and Sapphira．And again，St．Paul acknow－ ledged that he was not better than other good men，when he said： ＂We are not rulers of your belief，but helpers of your joy，because ye

Swelce he openlice cwæde：We sint emnlice on $\begin{gathered}\text { ©m } \\ \text { そe we ongietað }\end{gathered}$ ðæt ge stondað．Eft he spræc swelce he nysse ðæt he â furður wære ðonne ơre brǒor，$\chi_{a}$ he cwæð：We sint gewordene swelce lytlingas betweoxn eow．Ond eft he cwæ૪：We sint eowre દeowas for Cristes lufan．Ac ðonne he gemette $_{\mathrm{a}}$ scylde pe he stieran sceolde，hrædlice he cyðde łæt he wæs magister \＆ealdormoun．Đæt he cyæde pa he cwæð on his epistolan to Galatum ：Hwæðer wille ge ðæt ic cume to eow，pe mid gierde pe mid monðwære gaste？Swelce he cwæde： Hwæðer ic cume pe mid ege pe mid lufe？Đoune bił そæt rice wel gereaht，ðonne se pe Łærfore bið swiður wilnað łæt he ricsige ofer monna uňeawas łonne ofer oðre gode menn．Ac ðonne đa ealdor－ men ðreagea $\searrow$ ða scyldgan，ðonne is him micel そearf ðæt hie geornlice geðencen ðætte ðurh ða lare \＆ðurh ðone ege pe hic niede don sculon mid hiora onwalde gestieren Xara scylda．Ond そeahhwæðre，૪ylæs he
 ilcan monnum pe he ðær łreatað \＆hienð；ond eac we magon swi－ gende gełencean on urum ingehygde，ðeah we hit ne sprecen，ไæt hie
 to とæm gesette sien そæt we hie ðreagean scylen，\＆ðurh us scylen bion hiera scylda gestiered mid cræfte \＆mid lare．Ac eft $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne we }\end{aligned}$
 For $\Varangle æ m$ we bioł mid Gode swæ micle swiłur gebundne swæ we for mannum orsorglicor ungewitnode syngiað buton ælcre wrace．Ac ðonne we ure hieremen lærað \＆łreageað，swæ micle ma we hie ge－ freogeað æfter ไæm godcundan dome，swæ we her hiera synna swiður
stand in faith．＂As if he had openly said：＂We are equal to you in that in which we perceive you are standing．＂Again，he spoke as if he knew not that he was exalted above the rest of the brothers， when he said：＂We have become as it were little children among you．＂And again he said：＂We are your servants for the love of Christ．＂But when he discovered the sin which he had to punish， he soon showed that he was master and lord．He showed it when he said in his Epistle to the Galatians ：＂Do ye wish me to come to you with a rod，or with gentleness of spirit？＂As if he had said： ＂Shall I come with fear or with love？＂The government is well administered when he who rules desires rather to rule over human vices than over other good men．But when rulers chide the sinful

Suelce he openlice cuæde: We sint emnlice on $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { am } \\ & \searrow\end{aligned}$ خæt ge stondað. Eft he spræc suelce he nysse đæt he a fur`or wære ðonne oðre broðor, $\chi_{a}$ he cuer $\gamma$ : We sint gewordene suelce lytlingas betu[e]ox eow. Ond eft he cuæ久: We sint eowre 久eowas for Cristes

 he gecyðde ðæt he wæs magister \& ealdormonn. Đæt he cyðde |  |
| ---: | :--- | he cuæð on his epistolan to Galatum : Hwæðer wille ge ̌æt ic cume to eow, ðe mid gierde ðe mid monnðwære gæste? Suelce he cuæde: Hwæðer ic cume ૪e mid ege ðe mid lufe? Đoune bił ðæt rice wel

 monna unðeawas Xonne ofer oðre gôde menn. Ac خonne $\begin{aligned} \text { a ealder- }\end{aligned}$

 dôn sculon mid hiera anwalde gestiran ðara scylda. Ond ðeahhwæðre, 15 ðylæs he his eaðmodnesse forleose, geخence he $\chi_{æ t}$ he bið self suiðe
 magon suigende geðencean on urum inngehygde, $\gamma$ eah we hit ne sprecen, ðæt hie beoł beteran خonne wê, \& ðæs wierłe ðæt we hie
 $20 \&$ خurh us scylen bion hiora scylda gestiered mid cræfte \& mid lare.
 ane worde ne tæl>. Forðam we beo $\gamma$ mid Gode sua micle suiðor gebundne sua we for monnum orsorglicor ungewitnode syngiał buton ælcre wrace. Ac ðonne we ure hieremenn lærað \& خreageað, sua 25 micle ma we hie gefreogał æfter $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { am godcundan dome, sua we her }\end{aligned}$


#### Abstract

it is very necessary for them to consider carefully how with the instruction and awe which they are bound to employ they may correct sins with their authority. And yet, lest he lose his humility, let him consider that he is himself very similar to those very men he chides and humbles; and also we can silently think in our heart, without saying it aloud, that they are better than we, and worthy of being promoted by us, although we are appointed to reprove them, and their sins are to be corrected by us with power and doctrine. But, on the other hand, when we ourselves sin, no one chastises or even blames us with a single word. Therefore our responsibility with God is the greater in proportion to the security and impunity with which we sin among men without any punishment. But when we teach and reprove


wrecað；\＆swæðeah on そære heortan is â sio eałmodnes to haldanne \＆eac on weorcum to læronne；\＆betweoh خæm twæm is eallinga to ge ðenceanne ðæt we to ungemetlice $\chi_{a}$ eaðmodnesse ne healden，ðylæs se anwald aslacige Łæs recendomes，\＆łæt we ure hieremen swæ gearigen swæ we hie eft geegsian mægen．Đonne ealdordom \＆〕æt riceter pe se reccere for manegra monna ‘̌earfe underfehð he hiene sceal eowan utan，\＆he sceal healdan his eaðmodnesse innan．Eahtige he hiene selfne on his ingeðonce swelcne he ondræt đæt he sie．And ðeah hit on sumum đingum getacnad sie đæt he hwelc gerisenlic wundor wyrcean mæge，gedô he ðeah łæt his hieremen ongieten そæt he sie eałmod on his ingełonce，łæt hie mægen łæm onhy－ rigean，ond on his ealdorlicnesse hie ongieten đæt hie him mægen ondrædan．Đa pe ofer ołre bioł giemen he geornlice łætte swæ micle swæ hiera anwald bið mara gesewen ofer ołre menn ð̌æt hie swæ micle ma sie innan gełrycte mid eaðmodnesse，ðylæs ðæt ge－ Xoht hiene oferswixe \＆on lustfulnesse his mod geteo hwelces un－ Xeawes，Xæt he hit 犭onne ne mæge to his willan geweldan，for ðæmpe he him ær to uňeawum his agenne willan underłiedde，\＆him gěa－ fode łæt hit mid onwalde him moste oferricsian，ðætte ðæt ofsetene mod mid Łære lustfulnesse his onwaldes ne sie getogen to upahæfe－
 he cwæð to $\npreceq æ m$ ołrum ：To ealdormenn $\nprec u$ eart gesett，ne bio $ð_{u}$ そeah to upahæfen，ac bio swelce an łinra hieremonna．And eft be ðæm ilcan cwæð sanctus Petrus：Ne sint we nane waldendas خisses folces，ac we sint to bisene gesette urre heorde．Be ðæm ilcan eft
our subjects，the more severely we punish their sins in this world，the greater will be their freedom after the divine judgment；and yet humility must ever be preserved in the heart and tanght in practice ； and between the two we must avoid carrying humility too far，lest the influence of authority be weakened，and take care to honour our sub－ jects in such a way as to be able to command their reverence again． The authority and power which the ruler receives for the benefit of many he must exhibit outwardly，and preserve humility internally． Let him consider himself in his heart to be such as he would wish not to be．Even if it is shown on any occasion that he is able to perform some good and admirable deed，let him make his subjects understand that he is humble in spirit that they may imitate it，and
hiera synna wrecað suiðor ; ond suaðeah on $\begin{array}{rrr} \\ \text { heortan is a sio }\end{array}$ eaðmodnes to healdanne \& eac on weorcum to læranne ; \& betûh ðæm twæm is eallenga to ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { encenne } \nprec æ t ~ w e ~ t o ~ u n g e m e t l i c e ~ \\ & \\ & \text { a ea emod- }\end{aligned}$ nesse ne healden, خylæs se anweald âslacie $\npreceq æ s ~ r e c e n d o m e s, ~ o n d ~ ð æ t ~$ 5 we ure [hiere]menn sua geárige sua we hie eft geegesian mæge. Đone ealdordom \& ðæt riceter ૪e se reccere for monigra monna ðearfe underfeh $\gamma$ he hine sceal eowian utan, \& he sceal healdan his eałmodnesse innan. Eahtige he hine selfne on his innge $\begin{aligned} & \text { once suelcne suelcne }\end{aligned}$ he ondrætt $\chi_{æ t}$ he sie. Ond $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah hit on sumum } \\ & \text { ingum getacnad }\end{aligned}$ 10 sie さæt he hwelc gerisenlic wundor wyrcean mæge, gedô he ðeah ðæt - his hieremenn ongieten ðæt he sie eaðmod on his [inn]ge $\begin{gathered}\text { once, } \\ \text { Xæt }\end{gathered}$
 ðæt hie him mægen ondrædan. Đa ðe ofer ołre bioð giemen hie geornlice $\not$ ætte sua micle sua hira onwald bið mara gesewen ofer 15 oðre menn ðæt hie sua micle ma sien innan geðryccede mid eaðmodnesse, خylæs ðæt geðoht hine ofersuiłe \& on lustfulnesse his môd geteo hwelces unðeawes, ðæt he hit mæge ðonne to his willan gewealdan,

 20 ðæt ofsetene mêd mid ðære lustfulnesse his anwaldes ne sie getôgen to úpahafenesse. Bi ðam wæs suiðe ryhte gecueden ðurh sumne wisne monn, he cuæð to ðæm oðrum : To ealdormenn $ð u$ eart gesett, ne beo $\chi_{u}$ خeah to upahafen, ac bio suelce ân خinra hieremonna. Ond eft be ðam ilcan cuæð sanctus Petrus: Ne sint we nane walden25 das خisses folces, ac we sint to bisene gesette urre [h]eorde. Be ðæm
by his authority understand that they have cause to fear him. Let those who are above others be very careful that the greater their visible authority over others the more they be inwardly subdued by humility, lest his imagination overcome him and lead his mind to the desire of some vice so that he cannot subject it to his will, because he formerly had made his own will subservient to his vices, and allowed it to rule over him with authority, lest the troubled mind through the intoxication of authority be led to pride. Of which was very rightly spoken by a wise man, who said to some one else: "Thou art made ruler, yet be not too proud, but be like one of thy suljects." St. Peter, again, said on the same subject : "We are no rulers of this people, but we are set as an example to our flock." Again, on the same subject,
sio Soðfæstnes, ไæt is Crist, ðurh hiene selfne cwæð, ૪a he us spon to Xæm hiehstan geearnungum, he cwæ૪: Wiete ge Xætte ðeoda kyningas beoð łæs folces waldendas, \& ða pe ðone onwald begað hie beoð hlafurdas gehatene; ne sie hit خonne no swæ betweoxn eow, ac swæ hwelc swæ wille betweoxn eow fyrmest beon, se sceal bion eower ðegn, \& swæ hwelc swæ wille betweoxn eow mæst beon, sie se eower 久eow. Swæ swæ monnes sunu, cwæð Crist be

 godspelle hwele wite sceolde خrowian se upahafena خegn æfter ðæm anfangenan rice; he cwæð ðonne: Se yfela ðeow cwið on his mode: Hit bið long hwonne se hlaford cume ; ic mæg slean \& ierman mine heafudgemæccean. Itt him ðonne \& drincð mid łæm druncenwillum monnum, \& læt his hlafordes gebod to giemeliste. Đonne cymð his hlaford on $\searrow æ m$ dæge pe he ne wenð, \& on $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { tiid } \text { æt } \\ & \text { he hiene }\end{aligned}$ ær nat ; hæf૪ hine ðonne siððan for ænne licettere. \& swiðe ryhte deð for ðære licettunge pe he licet[te] ðæt he wolde habban $\mathrm{xa}^{2}$ Øenunga ðeawas \& خeodscipe to læronne; \& ða he ðæt hæfde, pa wolde he hit habban him to agnum anwalde, \& dyde him łæt riceter to sioda \& to gewunan. And swæðeah oft agyltað ða ealdormenn efnswiðe on ðæm pe he bið to eaðmod ðæm yflum [yflan] monnum, \& læt hiene him to geline, \& licet wið hie ma geferrædenne خonne ealdordome. Swiłe ryhte se bið geteald to ðæm licetterum se pe
 dome, \& gemacał łæt his ege \& his onwald wyrð to gewunan \& to

Truth, that is Christ, himself said, when he incited us to the highest virtues: "Know that kings of nations are rulers of the people, and they who exercise authority are called lords; let it not be so among you, but whoever among you desires to be first, shall be your servant, and whoever wishes to be greatest among you, shall be your slave." "As the Son of Man," said Christ of himself, "did not come on earth to be served but to serve." Hence we are also told in the gospel what punishment the proud servant would suffer after obtaining power; he said then: "The wicked slave says in his heart : 'My master is long of coming ; I can beat and abuse my companions.' So he eats and drinks with drunkards, and neglects his lord's commands. And his lord comes on the day he expects not


 begað hi beoł hlafordas gehatene；ne sie hit ðonne na sua betweoxn 5 eow，ac sua hwelc sua wille betweox［n］eow fyrmest beon，se sceal beon eower ไegn，ond sua hwelc sua wille betweoxn eow mæst beon， sie se eower ðeow．Sua sua monnes sunu，cuæð Crist be him selfum，


 rice ；he cuæ૪ ðonne：Se yfela 久eow cuið on his mode：Hit bið long hwonne se hlaford cume；ic mæg slean \＆ierman mine［b］eafodge－ mæccan．Itt him $\gamma_{o n n e ~ \& ~ d r i n c ~}$ mid $\searrow_{a m}$ dru（n）cenwillum monnum， ond læt his hlafordes gebód to giemelieste．Đonne cym૪ his hlaford 15 on $\searrow æ m$ dæge $\succ_{e}$ he ne wen૪，ond on $\succ_{a}$ tiid $\succ_{æ t}$ he hine ær nât； hæf hine ðonne siððan for ænne licettere．\＆suiðe ryht deð for

 habban him to agnum anwalde，ond dyde him $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t riceter to sida }\end{array}$ $20 \&$ to gewunan．Ond suaðeah oft agyltað $\succ a$ ealdormenn efnsuiðe
 to gelicne，\＆licett wio hie ma geferrædenne خonne ealdordome． Suiðe ryhte se bið geteald to $犭 æ m$ liceterum se $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & \text { on lareowes }\end{aligned}$ onlicnesse $\chi_{a}$ 久enenga $\chi_{æ s}$ ealdordomes gecier $\Varangle$ to hlaforddome，\＆ 25 gemaca $\chi^{2}$ t his ege \＆his onwald wier $\gamma$ to gewunan \＆to landsida
and at the time he knows not beforehand，and considers him a hypo－ crite．＂And does so very rightly because of his hypocrisy in pre－ tending to desire ministration in order to teach morality and disci－ pline，and，when he has it，desiring to have it for his own aggrandise－ ment，and habituating himself to authority．Yet the rulers often err as much in being too humble with the wicked man，and putting himself too much on an equality with him，and affecting familiarity rather than authority．He is very rightly accounted a hypocrite who，while seeming to teach，perverts the ministration of authority to temporal supremacy，and causes the reverence of himself and his power to become the regular habit of the country he rules．And yet sometimes they sin still more by making themselves companions and equals of
landsida on his scire．Ond łeah hwilum giet swiður hie gesyngiał ［syngia $\gamma$ ］on $\nsucc æ m$ pe hie healda $\begin{aligned} & \text { ma geferrædenne } \& \text { efnlicnesse }\end{aligned}$ $\succ_{\text {onne }}$ ealdordom wið $\succ_{a}$ yflan \＆$\succ_{a}$ unryhtwisan．Swæ Heli se sacerd dyde．He wæs mid leasre mildheortnesse oferswiðed $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { he }\end{array}$ nolde witnian his agne suna $\chi_{a}$ hie agylton，ac beforan $犭 æ m ~ \succ e a r l-~$ wisan Deman he ofslog æogðer ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { a suna ge hiene selfne midðæmpe }\end{aligned}$ he geðafode $ð$ a scylde unwitnode．Hit wæs onlicost swelce sio god－ cunde stefn to him cwæde：Đu weorðast ðine suna ma ૪onne me．
 Đæt sceap ðæt ไær scancforad wæs ne spilcte ge ðæt，\＆ðæt $\nsupseteq r$ forloren wæs ne sohte ge ðæt，ne ham ne brohton．Se bringł ham ðone forlorenan se pe mid geornfulnesse ðære hierdelican giemenne
 wisnesse．Hweet se foroda sceonca bið gewriðen mid $\npreceq æ m$ bende， swæ bioł ła synna mid 犭æm lareowdome gebundne．Swæ swæ sio wund wile toberan，gif hio ne bið gewriðen mid wræðe，swæ willað $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { a synna weaxende toflowan，gif hie ne beoð gebundne hwilum mid }\end{array}$ stræclice lareowdome．\＆swæðeah oft sio wund bið łæs pe wierse \＆ Øy mare，gif hio bið unwærlice gewriðen，\＆him bið ðæt sar pe gefredre［ungefredre］，gif sio wund bið to ungemetlice fæste gewriðen． Swæ is eac 久earf ðæt se lareow，se bið ðære saule læce，ðara synna wunda stierende gemetlice gewriðe on his hieremonnum，\＆خeah swæ geornlice bega $\chi_{a}$ ryhtwisnesse $\chi_{æ s}$ lareowdomes wið pa gyltendan ðæt he ne forlæte his mildheortnesse．Ond eac him is to giemenne ðæt he ætiewe his hieremonnum $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t } \\ \text { he sie hiera fæder \＆reccere }\end{array}$ on lare，\＆hiora modur on mildheortnesse，$犭$ æt he huru ne sie to
the wicked and unrighteous rather than exercising their authority． Thus did Eli the priest．He was overcome with false humanity so as not to punish his own sons when they sinned，but before the severe Judge he slew both his sons and himself by allowing their sins to pass unpunished．It was as if the divine voice had said to him： ＂Thou honourest thy sons more than me．＂And，again，shepherds were blamed through the prophet，when he said：＂Ye did not bind up the broken leg of the sheep，nor did ye seek that which was lost， and bring it home．＂He brings home the lost one who，with the zeal of pastoral care，brings back and raises up him who falls into sin， so that he stands in righteousness．The broken leg is bound with
$o[n]$ his scire．Ond ðeah（h）wilum giet suiðor hie syngiað on خam ðe hie healdað ma geferrædenne \＆efnlicnesse 犭onne ealdordôm wið $\chi_{a}$ yfelan \＆$\chi_{a}$ ünryhtwisan．Sua Heli se sacerd dyde．He wæs mid leasre mildheortnesse ofersuiðed łæt he nolde witnian his agne 5 suna $ð a$ hie agylton，ac beforan $\begin{aligned} & \text { am } \\ & \text { Øearlwisan } \\ & \text { Deman he ofslog ægðer }\end{aligned}$ ge $\succ_{a}$ suna ge hine selfne midðamðe he ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { afade } \\ & \\ & \mathrm{a}\end{aligned}$ scylde unwitnode． Hit wæs onlicost suelce sio godcunde stemn to him cuæde：Đu weor－
 gecid hierdum，ða he cuæ૪：Đæt sceap ૪æt ðær sceoncforad wæs

 fulnesse ðære hierdelican giemenne ðone $\delta \mathrm{e}$ âfiel $\gamma$ on synne eft gehwyrf $\left[\& \operatorname{aræ}\right.$ ］$ð_{æ t}$ he stent on ryhtwisnesse．Hwæt se foreda sconca bið gewriłen mid łæm bende，sua beoð ða synna mid ðam 15 lareowdome gebundne．Sua sua sio wund wile toberan，gif hio ne bið gewriðen mid wræde，sua willað $ð$ a synna weaxænde toflowan，gif hie ne beor gebundne hwilum mid stræclice lareowdome．Ond suaðeah ［oft］sio wund bið 犭æs $૪$ e wierse \＆ $\begin{aligned} & \text { y mare，gif } h[i] o ~ b i ð ~ u n w æ r l i c e ~\end{aligned}$ gewriðen，\＆him bið 犭æt sár ðe gefredre，gif sio［wund］bið to un－ 20 gemetlice fæste gewriðen．Sua is eac خearf ðæt se lareow，se bið saule læce，ðara synna wunde stirende gemetlice gewriðe on his hiere－ monnum，ond $\succ$ eah sua geornlice begáa ða ryhtwisnesse ðæs lareow－ domes wið $\chi_{a}$ gyltendan $\chi_{æ t}$ he ne forlæte his mildheortnesse．Ond eac him is to giemenne ðæt he ætiewe his hieremonnum ðæt he sie 25 hiera fæder \＆reccere on lare，\＆hiera modur ôn mildheortnesse，ðæt
a bandage；in the same way sins are bound with instruction．As the wound is sure to swell unless bound with a bandage，so will sins increase and spread unless sometimes bound with rigorous discipline． And yet the wound is often aggravated and increased if carelessly bound，and the wound is more painful if bound too tightly．So it is also necessary for the teacher，who is the soul＇s physician，while curing the wounds of the sins of his subjects，to bind them mode－ rately，and yet to exercise the righteousness of instruction towards the guilty so carefully as not to neglect humanity．And he must also be careful to prove to his subjects that he is their father and ruler in instruction，and their mother in humanity，lest he be too severe
strec on ðære lare, ne to slæc on خære mildheortnesse. Swæ swæ we iu cwædon on خeawa bocum be Iobe ðæt ægðer wære unnyt ge mildheortnes ge steor, gif hie anlepe wæren, buton hie butu ætsomne sien. Forðæm sceal beon on $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { reccere } ð æ t ~ h e ~ s i e ~ r y h t l i c e ~ \& ~ m i l d h e o r t-~\end{array}$ lice rædende his hieremonnum \& mildheortlice witnigende. For Xissum ilcan wæs ðætte sio Soðfæstnes self cwæð, ðæt is Crist, ða he lærde ðurh $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { tiolunga } \text { æs Samaritaniscan ymb } \\ & \text { 〇one } \\ & \text { gewundedan, }\end{aligned}$ pe mon lædde healfcwicne to $ð æ m$ giesthuse, \& bæd $ð æ t$ mon sceolde ægðer ge win ge ele geotan on his wunde. Wiotodlice ðæt win slit

 forrotedan wunde suge \& clænsige, \& eft ele, そæt se hie liðe \& hæle.
 \& of $\nsucc æ m$ gemange wyrce gemetgunge, $\not$ ææt he mid ungemetlicre grimsunge his hieremonna wunda to swiðe ne slite ne ne iece, ne eft for ungemetlicre mildheortnesse he hie ne læte unwriðena. Swiðe wel ymb ðæt tacnað sio earc on ðære ealdan æ. On ðære wæron pa stænenan bredu pe sio æw wæs on awriten mid tien bebodum, \& eac sio gierd mid ðæm bredum, \& eac se sweta mete pe hie heton monna, se him com of hefonum. Swæ eac, gif ðara haligra gewrita
 $\npreceq æ r ~ b i o n ~ g i e r d . ~ Đ æ t ~ i s ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ g e ð r e a g e ~ h i s ~ h i e r e m e n n . ~ A n d ~ e a c ~$ sceal bion on $\searrow æ m$ breostum $ð æ s$ monnan swetnes. Đæt is $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { he }\end{array}$ him sie liðe. Be ðissum ilcan cwæð David to Gode: Đin gierd \& Xin stæf me afrefredan. Mid gierde mon bið beswungen, \& mid
in instruction and too remiss in humanity. As we have said before in the book of morals, speaking of Job, that both humanity and severity were separately useless unless combined. Therefore the ruler ought to have a righteous and loving care of his subjects, and severity tempered with mercy. Therefore Truth itself, which is Christ, spoke when he taught by the Samaritan's care of the wounded man, who was carried half alive into the inn, and wine and oil were ordered to be poured into his wound. Wine irritates a wound, and oil softens and heals it. He who desires to heal a wound must pour in wine, that the harshness of the wine may penetrate and cleanse the corrupted wound, and afterwards oil, to soften and heal it. So also the teacher is to mingle gentleness and severity, that he may attain moderation
he huru ne sie to stræc on ðære lare, ne to slæc on ðære mildheortnesse.
 unnyt ge mildheortnes ge steor, gif hie anlipe wæron, buton hi butu ætsomne sien. Forðæm scel bion on ðæm reccere ðæt he sie ryhtlice 5 \& mildheortlice rædende his hieremonnum \& mildheortlice witniende.

 ૪e mon lædde helfcuicne to ðæm giesðhuse, \& bæd ðæt mon scolde ægðer ge win ge ele giotan on his wunde. Witodlice $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { win } \\ \text { slit } \\ \\ \text { a }\end{array}$
 lacnigean wille giote win on, $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { sio } & \text { re } \chi_{n e s} \\ & æ s \\ \text { wines } \\ & \text { forrotedan }\end{array}$ wunde suge \& clænsige, \& eft ele, ðæt se hie lieðe \& gehæle. Sua
 ðam gemonnge wyrce gemetgunge, $\chi_{æ t}$ he mid ungemetlicre grim15 sunge his hieremonna wunda to suiðe ne slite ne ne ice, ne eft for ungemetlicre mildheortnesse he hie ne læte unwriðena. Suiðe wel
 stænenan bredu $૪$ e sio $\mathfrak{æ}$ wæs on awriten mid tien bebodum, \& eac sio gierd mid $犭 æ m$ bredum, \& eac se sweta mete $\begin{aligned} & \text { h } h[i] e ~ h e t o n ~ m o n n a, ~\end{aligned}$ 20 se him cuom $o[\mathrm{f}]$ hefonum. Sua eac, gif 犭ara haligra gewrita \&git
 Đæt is $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { he } \text { reage his hiremenn. \& eac sceal bion on } \nsucc æ m\end{aligned}$ breostum ðæs monnan swetnes. Đæt is ðæt he him sie lieðe. Be ૪iosum illcan cuæ૪ Dauið to Gode: Đin gierd \& ૪in stæf me âfre25 fredon. Mid gierde mon bið beswungen, \& mid stæfe he bið awreðed.
by combining the two, lest with excessive ferocity he irritate and increase overmuch his subjects' wounds, or, on the other hand, out of excessive mildness, leave them unbound. This is well illustrated by the ark in the old law. In it were kept the stone tablets on which the law was written in ten commandments, and with the tablets the rod and the sweet food they called manna which came to them from heaven. So also, if the understanding of the holy writings is in the breast of the good ruler, there must be a rod, signifying that he is to correct his subjects, and sweetness of manna in his breast, showing that he is to be gentle with them. Of this same David spoke to God: "Thy rod and staff have comforted me." We are beaten with rods and supported by staves. If there is a rod to beat with, let there
 sie $\begin{array}{rr}\text { eac stæf mid to wreðianne：sie } \not æ r ~ c a c ~ l u f u, ~ n æ s ~ & \text { eah to }\end{array}$ hnesce ；sie ðær eac reðnes，næs ðeah to stið；sie ðær eac onda，næs ðeah to ungemetlice grim ；sie ðær eac arfæstnes，næs $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah wandi－}\end{aligned}$ gendre $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { hit gedafenlic sie ；} \chi_{æ t t e} \text { Øonne sio ryhtwisnes \＆sio }\end{aligned}$
 his hieremonna oleccende egesige \＆ðreatigende olecce．

XVIII．Hu se lareow ne sceal $\succ_{a}$ innerran giemenne gewanian for ૪ære uterran abisgunge，ne eft $>a$ uterran ne forlæte he for ૪ære innerran．
 domes for ðære abisgunge ðara uterra weorca，ne cac ne gewanige he na ðone ymbhogan ðære innerran scire for ðære abisgunge ðære uterran；૪ylæs he sie gehæfð mid ðæm uterran，o૪૪e eft mid ðæm innerran anum abisgad，ðæt he ne mæge $\begin{aligned} & \text { urhteon his nihstum } \\ & \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ he him utan dôn sceolde．Monige ðeah nyllað na geðencean ðæt hie beoڭ oðrum broðrum ofergesett，\＆him fore beon sculon on god－ cundum ૪ingum；ac mid ealre heortan geornfulnesse begongað $\succ_{a}$ worldcundan giemenne，\＆fægniað $犭 æ s ~ 犭 æ t ~ h i e ~ 犭 a ~ h a b b a ð ~ t o ~ b e g o n-~$ ganne ；\＆ðonne，ðonne hie hie nabbað，dæges \＆nihtes hie fundiað to begietonne，\＆beoð swiðe gedrefede on hiera mode forðæmpe him ðonne wana bið ðæs pe hie habban woldon．Ac ðonne him eft
 hiera mode geswenced for $\nsucc æ m$ æmettan ；forðæm $ð æ t ~ w æ r e ~ h i s ~ w i l l a ~$
be also a staff to support with：let there be also love，yet not too effeminate；let there be also vigour，but not too severe ；let there be also zeal，but not too excessively fierce ；let there be also kindness， yet not more scrupulous than is fitting；that when righteousness and mercy are associated in the ruler＇s authority，he may，while soothing the hearts of his subjects，inspire them with reverence，and，whilst correcting，soothe them．

XVIII．How the teacher is not to diminish his care of inner things for outer occupations，nor neglect outer things for the inner．
Let not the ruler forsake the inner care of the divine ministration
 wreðianne: sie ðær eac lufu, næs ðeah to hnesce ; sie ðær eac reðnes, næs $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah to stið ; sie } ð æ r ~ e a c ~ o n d a, ~ n æ s ~ \\ & \text { eah } \\ & \text { to ungemetlice grim ; }\end{aligned}$ sie ðær eac arfesðnes, næs خeah wandigendre ðonne hit gedafenlic 5 sie ; ðætte ðonne sio ryhtwisnes \& sio mildheortnes hi gegadrige on ðæm anwalde ðæs recceres, \& ðæt mod his hieremonna oliccende egesige \& ðreatigende olicce.
XVIII. Hu se lareow ne sceal $\chi_{a}$ inneran giemenne gewanian for $\gamma_{æ r}[\mathrm{e}]$ uterran abisgunge, ne eft $\chi_{a}$ uterran ne forlæte he for 才ære inneran.

Ne forlæte se reccere $\chi_{a}$ inneran giemenne $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { godcundan } & \text { iow- }\end{array}$ domes for ðære abisgunge ðara uterra weorca, ne eac ne gewanige

 uterran ; خylæs he sie gehæft mid ðam uterran, oððe eft mid ðam 15 inneran anum abisegad, ðæt he ne mæge ðurhteon his nieh[s]tum |  |
| ---: | :---: | he him utan dôn scolde. Monige ૪eah nyllað nâ geðencean ðæt hi beoð oðrum broðrum ofer[ge]sett, \& him fore bion scoldon on godcundum ૪ingum; ac mid ealre heortan geornfulnesse begongað $\gamma_{a}$

 20 genne ; \& ðonne, ðonne hie hie habbað, dæges \& niehtes hie fundiað to bigietenne, \& beoð suiðe gedrefede on hira mode for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { am } \text { him }\end{aligned}$
 ðæt hi æmtige beoð łære scire, ðonne bioð hie suiður on hira mode

for the occupation of outer works, nor let him diminish his care of inner government for outward occupations; lest he be hampered by the outer or engaged exclusively in the inner occupations, so that he cannot accomplish the exterior duties which he owes to his neighbours. Many, however, will not consider that they are set over other brothers to superintend them in divine things; but with the desire of their entire heart exercise worldly care, and rejoice that they have it to exercise ; and when they have it not, they strive day and night to obtain it, and are greatly grieved in spirit when they are without that which they would like to have. And when they happen to be again without authority they are more troubled in mind because of the want; since it was his desire to be allowed to toil therein, and it

犭æt he moste ymb swincan, \& ૪ync૪ him geswinc $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he bið butan }\end{aligned}$ worldgeswincum. \& swæ hit gebyre૪, ðonne he fæguað ðæt he sie abisgod mid worldðingum, ðæt he ne can oðre læran $\chi_{a}$ godcundan wisan pe he læran sceolde. Forðon aðr.....[aðreat] $\chi_{a}$ hieremen ryhtes lifes, $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { hie wilnia } \\ \text { gastlice libban, be }\end{array}$ ðæm yfelan bisnum pe se deð pe him fore beon sceolde. Đonne

 heafod bił unhal eal $\gamma$ a limu bioð idelu, $\gamma$ eah hie hal sien, swæ bið eac se here eal idel, ðonne he on ołer folc winnan sceal, gif se heretoga dwolað; swæ eac ðonne se biscep begæð ða ðenunga pe eorðlice deman sceoldon, ðonne ne tyht nan man his hieremonna mod ne ne bielt to gastlicum weorcum, ne nan mon hiera scylda ne ðreað, ac se hierde bið idel pe sceolde ðære heorde gieman. Forðy ne magon pa hieremenn begietan خæt leoht خære soðfæstnesse, forðæm خonne sio geornfulnes eorðlicra خinga abisgað $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ondgit, \& ablent } \nsucc æ s ~\end{aligned}$ modes eagan mid ðære costunge [costunga] ðæm folce, suæ suæ dust deð ૪æs lichoman eagan on sumera mid ðodene. Forðæm swiðe ryhtlice se Aliesend monna cynnes, |  |
| :---: |
| he |
| us stierde urra womba | oferfylle, he cwæð : Behealdað eow ðæt ge ne gehefegien eowre heortan mid oferete \& oferdrynce \& mid monigfealdre gieminge خisse worlde. And eac he geiecte ðærto ege, $ð$ a he cwæð: Đylæs eow hrædlice on becume se færlica domes dæg. Đæs dæges tocyme hwelc he beo he cyðde, pa he cwæ૪: He cymð swæ swæ grin ofer ealle ða pe eardiað ofer corðan. Ond eft he cwæ૪: Ne mæg nan mon twæm hlafordum hieran. And eac cwæð Paulus, pa ja he wolde arweorðra monna mod from خises middangeardes geferreddenne ateon, swiðe swiðe he him

seems to him a hardship to be without worldly troubles. And so it happens, when he rejoices in being occupied with worldly matters, that he knows not how to teach the divine things which he ought to teach. Therefore the subjects become indifferent to righteous life when they wish to live spiritually, through the evil example set by their superior. Then they become rebellious, and thus are led astray. As when the head is unsound all the members are useless, even if they are sound, and as the army which is ready to attack another nation is useless if the general goes wrong ; so also when the bishop is engaged in the ministrations which properly belong to earthly judges, no one incites or encourages the minds of the subjects to spiritual works, nor does any one correct their faults, but the shep-
ymb swincan，ond $\begin{aligned} & \text { ync }[\gamma] \text { him gesuinc } \\ & \text { そæt }\end{aligned}$ he bið butan worold－ gesuincium．\＆sua hit gebyreð，ðonne he fægnað ðæt he sie abisgod mid woroldðingum，犭æt he ne conn ołre læran $\mathrm{\delta a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ godcundan wisan ðe he læran scolde．Forðon aðreat $ð$ hieremenn ryhtes lifes， $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { onne }\end{aligned}$ 5 hie wilniað gæstlice libban，be $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { yfelum bisenum } \nprec \text { e se de૪ } ૪ e ~\end{array}$ him fore beon sceolde．Đonne ætspornað hie，\＆weorðað mid $ð æ m$ ascrencte．Sua eac $\begin{array}{rr} & \text { æt heafod bið unhal eall } 犭 a \operatorname{limu} \text { bio } ð ~\end{array}$ idelu，ðeah hie hal sien，sua eac bið se here eal idel，ðonne he on oðer fole winnan sceal，gif se heretoga dwolał；sua eac łonne se
 nan mon his hieremonna môd ne ne bilt to gæestlicum weorcum，ne
 heorde gieman．Forðy ne magon $犭$ a hieremenn begietan $犭 æ t$ leoht
 15 abisgað［ $\gamma æ t$ t \＆git，\＆ablent خæs modes eagan mid 犭ære costunga ðæm folce，sua sua dust deð ðæs lichoman eagan on sumra mid Øodne．Forðæm suiðe ryhtlice se Aliesend monna cynnes，$\chi a$ he us stierde urra womba oferfylle，he cuæð：Behealdað eow ðæt ge ne gehefegien eowre heortan mid oferæte \＆oferdrynce \＆mid monigfaldre
 Đylæs eow hrædlice on becume se færlica domes dæg．Đæs dæges tocyme hwelc he beo he cyðde，$૪$ a he cuæ $૪:$ He cym $ð$ sua sua grin
 mon twam hlafordum hieran．Ond eac cuæ૪ Paulus，$\gamma a \nsucc a$ he wolde 25 arwier $\succ_{r a}$ monna mód from $\succ_{i s s e s}$ middangeardes geferrædenne ateon，
herd is useless who ought to watch over the flock．Therefore the subjects cannot obtain the light of truth，because the desire of earthly things occupies the understanding and blinds the mind＇s eyes of the people with temptation，as dust does the eyes of the body in summer in a high wind．Therefore the Redeemer of mankind spoke very rightly dissuading us from gluttony：＂Beware dulling your hearts with gluttony and drunkenness and manifold worldly cares．＂He also added fear when he said：＂Lest the terrible day of judgment come on you．＂＂He showed what was to be the coming of this day when he said ：＂It shall come as a snare on all dwellers on the earth．＂And again he said：＂No man can obey two masters．＂Paul also said， wishing to divert the mind of pious men from the companionship of
wiłbræd，$\succ_{a}$ he ewæ૪：Nele nan Godes ðeow hiene selfne to un－ gemetlice gebindan on worldscipum，خylæs he mislicige $ð æ m$ pe he hiene ær selfne gesealde．Đa ða he lærde ðæt $ð æ r e ~ c i r i c e a n ~ ð e g n a s ~$ sceoldon stilnesse 犭æra ૪enunga habban，ða lærde he hie eac hu hie hie geæmetigian sceoldon oðerra weorea；he cwæ૪：Gif ge ymb worldcunde domas beon seylen，ðonne nime ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { pe on } \\ & \gamma æ m \\ & \text { hiorede }\end{aligned}$ unweor久uste sien，\＆setta久 pa to domerum，ðæt hie stierien［strienen］
 ‘ude mid Łæm gastlicum gifum．Swelce he openlice cwæde：Gedoð

 hiene selfne spræc，æt sume cirre Giethro his sweor，ðeah he hæðen \＆elðeodig wære，hiene trlde \＆sæde ðæt he on خyslicum［dyslicum］ geswincum wære mid $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { folces } \text { eorðlican } ૪ e o w o t e, ~ a c ~ l æ r d e ~ h i e n e ~\end{array}$ đæt he gesette oðre for hiene to demenne betweox $\begin{aligned} & \text { æm } \\ & \text { folce } y m b\end{aligned}$ hiera geflito，خæt he wære 犭æs pe freora to ongitonne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { diglan } \&\end{aligned}$ $\chi_{a}$ gastlican $\gamma$ ing，$\chi_{æ t}$ he meahte $\chi_{æ t}$ folc $\gamma_{y}$ wislecor \＆$\gamma_{y}$ red－ licor læran ；forðon $\nsucc a$ hlafordas \＆$\nsucc$ a recceras sculon $\begin{aligned} & \text { encean } y m b e ~\end{aligned}$ ðæt healecoste，\＆ða underðieddan sculon dôn $\npreceq æ t$ unweorðlicre． Đa recceras sculon beon beforan $犭 æ m$ folce swæ swæ monnes eage beforan his lichoman，his weg \＆his stæpas to sceawianne．Đonne

 ðara pe をærunder beoð，\＆ðæt heafod sceal wisian ðæm fotum，ðæt hie stæppen on ryhtne weg；ufane sceal ðæt heafod gieman ðæt pa
this world，and charged them very straitly when he said：＂Let no servant of God be too much engaged in worldly matters，lest he offend him to whom he formerly rendered himself．＂When he directed that the servants of the Church were to have quietness in their ministra－ tions，he also directed that they were to keep themselves free from other occupations ；he said：＂If ye have to deliver judgment in worldly things，take those who are least esteemed in the household，and appoint them judges，that they may rule and arrange about earthly things who are not so greatly honoured with divine gifts．＂As if he had openly said ：＂Make them useful in the one pursuit if they cannot be so in the other．＂Therefore Moses，who was in such honour with God that he often spoke to him，was once reproved by his father－in－law Jethro，
sui［ $\mathrm{Xe}^{2}$ ］suiðe he him wiðbræd， $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he cuæð ：Ne［scy］le nan Godes Xeow hine selfne to ungemetlice bindan on woruldscipum，Øylæs he
 ðære ciricean ðegnas scoldo［n］stilnesse ðære ðenunga habban，ða 5 lærde he hi eac hu hie hie geæmettian scoldon ołerra weorca；he cuæ૪：Gif ge ymb woroldcunde domas beon scylen，ðonne nime ge

 sua suiðe geweorðode mid ðæm gæstlicum giefum．Suelce he openlice 10 cuæde：Gedoð ðæt hie sien on $犭 æ m$ ołrum nytte，gif hie on $犭 æ m$ oðrum ne cunnen．Be ðæm eac Moyses，se $\begin{aligned} & \text { re wæs Gode sua weorð }\end{aligned}$ ðæt he oft wið hine selfne spræc，æt sume cierre Githro his sueor， ðeah he［h］æðen \＆elðiodig wære，hine tælde \＆sæde ðæt he on
 15 hine $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { he gesette o orre for hine to demenne betweox } ð æ m \text { folce }\end{array}$
 dieglan \＆ða gæstlican خing，ðæt he meahte ðæt folc $\begin{aligned} & \text { y wislicor }\end{aligned}$ \＆خy rædlicor læran；forðæm $\chi_{a}$ hlafordas \＆$\chi_{a}$ recceras scoldon ðencean ymb ðæt helicuste，\＆đa undeřioddan scoldon dôn خæt 20 unweorðlicre．Đa recceras sceolon bion beforan $犭 æ m$ folce sua sua monnes eage beforan his lichoman，his weg \＆his stæpas to sceawianne．


 25 hie stæppen on ryhtne wêg；ufone sceal ðæt heafod giman ðæt ða
although he was a heathen and foreigner，who said that he occupied himself foolishly with the earthly service of the people，and advised him to appoint others to decide for him the differences among the people，that he might have the more leisure to understand secret and spiritual matters，so as to be able to teach the people more wisely and prudently ；because lords and rulers ought to meditate on the loftiest subjects，and the suljects discharge humbler duties．The rulers ought to be before the people as a man＇s eye before his body，to see his path and steps．So it is necessary that the eye of the ruler be not obscured by the dust of earthly cares，because all those in authority are heads of the subjects，and the head has to guide the feet and make them step in the right path；the hoad above must take care
 eal se lichoma wier૪ geligged, \& ૪æt heafod gecym૪ æt [on] ðære corðan. Hu gerades mæg ðonne se biscep brucan ðære hierdelican are, gif he self drohtað on $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { em } \\ \text { eor } \\ \text { licum tielengum pe he ołrum }\end{array}$ monnum lean sceolde? Forðæm ryhtan edleane Dryhten $\begin{gathered}\text { reade }\end{gathered}$

 ilce deð $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { lie } \\ \text { doð, \& his on pa ilcan wisan tiolað pe hie dor. }\end{aligned}$ Đæt ongeat Ieremias se witga, pa pa he swiðe sarlice weop, \& spræc
 adeorcad? \& ðæt æðeleste hiew hwy wearð hit onhworfen [ahworfen]? Toworpne sint $ð \mathrm{a}$ stanas $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æs }\end{array}$ temples, \& licgeað æt ælcre stræte ende.
 ondweorc, buton $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { heanesse } \text { æes haligdomes? O} \\ & \text { ? }\end{aligned}$ hwæt getacnað ðæt æ૪ele hiew buton $\begin{array}{r}\text { a } \\ \text { arwyrðnesse } \\ \text { łære } æ f e s t n e s s e, ~ p e ~ e a l l u m ~\end{array}$
 huses buton ðone hâd ðære halgan endebyrdnesse? Hwæt getacnað eac sio rume stræt buton خone widan weg Øysses ondweardan lifes? Be Łæm ruman wege sio Soðfrestnes, ðæt is Crist, ðurh hiene selfne he cwæ૪ : Đæt is swiðe rum weg \& widgille pe læt to forwyrde. Ac

 hiow onhworfen, $\begin{aligned} \text { onne se æht } & \text { ara godra weorca, pe he ær beêode, }\end{aligned}$ bið gewanod, for $\begin{array}{r}\text { mpe } \\ \text { men } æ r ~ w e n d o n ~ \\ \text { æt } \\ \text { he ær æfestlice drohtode. }\end{array}$ Ac ðonne hwelc æfter halgum hade hiene selfne fæstlice geimpał on
not to let the feet slip in their course, for, if the feet fail, the whole body is inclined, and the head comes to the ground. How, then, can the bishop properly enjoy the pastoral dignity, if he is himself engaged in those earthly occupations which he ought to blame in others? Therefore God justly requited them by reproving them through the prophet when he said: "As the people are, such is the priest." The priest is the same as the people, when he does the same as they do, and has the same aspirations as they. Jeremiah the prophet perceived it, when he wept very sorely, aud spoke as if the temple were altogether destroyed ; he said: "Alas, why is the gold dimmed, and why is the noblest colour changed? The stones of the temple are scattered, and lie at the end of crery street." What signifies the gold, which is so
 eal se lichoma wier久 gebiged, \& ðæt heafod gecym> on ðære eorðan. Hu gerades mæg łonne se biscep brucan đære hirdelican are, gif he self drohtað on ðam eorðlicum tielongum đe he oðrum

 Đonne bið se sacerd suele suelc ðret fole bið, ðonne he ðæt ilce deð

 templ wære eal toworpen ; he cure : Eala, hwy is خis gold adeorcad ? \& ðæt æðeleste hiew hwy wearð hit onhworfen? Toworpne sint $\begin{aligned} \text { a }\end{aligned}$ stanas $\not$ جæs temples, \& licggeað æt ælcre stræte ende. Huæt tacnað Øonne $\chi_{æ t}$ gold $\chi_{e}$ is sua diorwyrðe ofer eall ondweorc, buton $\chi_{a}$ heanesse ðæs haligdomes? Oððe hweet getacnað ðæt æðele hiew

 hâd خære halgan endebyrdnesse? Hwet getacnað eac sio rume street butan ðone widan wêg $\begin{aligned} & \text { isses andwerdan lifes? Be } ð \text { am ruman wege }\end{aligned}$
 20 suiðe rum weg \& widgille ðe læt to færwyrde. Ac ðonne bið ðæt gold asueartod, ðonne sio halignes monnes lifes bið mid eorðlicum weorcum gewemmed. Ond Xonne bið $\not$ ææt æðeleste hiw onhworfen, ðonne se æht ðara godra weorca, ૪e he ær beeode, bið gewanod, forðæmðe menn ær wendon ðæt he æfæs૪lice drohtode. Ac ðoune 25 hwelc æfter halgum hade hine selfne fæstlice geimpał on eorðlicum
precious above all substances, but the excellence of holiness? Or what signifies the noble colour but the reverence of piety, which is to be loved by all? What signify also the stones of the holy edifice but the office of holy ordination? What also signifies the wide street but the wide road of this present life? Of the wide road Truth, that is Christ himself, spoke: "It is a very spacious and wide road which leads to destruction." The gold is blackened when the sanctity of a man's life is stained with earthly works. The noblest hue is changed when the possession of the good deeds he formerly accomplished is diminished, since he was formerly thought to live virtuously. When any one, after obtaining the holy office, is busily engaged in earthly works, it is as if the fair hue of the gold were changed and it were dulled and despised
eorðlicum weorcum，犭onne bið hit swelce $犭$ æt fægre hiew $犭 æ s$ goldes sie onhworfen，\＆hit sie ablacod \＆forsewen for monna eagum．And pa gimmas ðara halignessa licgeað toworpene æfter stræta endum． Đonne licgeał $\chi_{a}$ gimmas toworpne æfter strætum， $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \succ \text { a men }\end{aligned}$ e hie selfe to ðære ciricean wlite geæmettigian sceoldon on ðæm diglum ðenengum Łæs temples，ðomne hie ute wilniað ðara rumra［rumena］
 wæron gemacode［getacnode］ðæt hie sceoldon scinan on ðæs hihstan sacerdes hrægle betweox ðæm halgestan halignessum．Ac ðonne ða sacerdas to æfestnesse \＆to weorðunga ures Aliesendes ne bædað
 beoð hie na ðære halgestan halignesse gimmas on ðæm gerenum ðæs biscepes gierelan，ac licgeað toworpne æfter strætum，$\searrow_{\text {onne }} \succ_{a}$ hadas ðære halgan endebyrdnesse bioð forgifene $犭 æ m$ widgillan wegum hiora agenra lusta，\＆bioð getigde to eorðlicum tiolengum．Eac is to witonne $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { he ne cwæð na ðæt } \npreceq a ~ g i m m a s ~ w æ r e n ~ f o r s c e a d e n e ~ æ f t e r ~\end{array}$ ðæm strætum，ac æt ðæra stræta endum ；forðæm ðeah hie world－
 hie gan on łone ruman weg hiera agnes willan \＆lustfulnesse，he wilnia $\gamma \not{ }_{\text {æ }}$ hie mon hæbbe for $\chi_{a}$ betstan $\& \succ_{a}$ halgestan．And swa－
 \＆næfre $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah } \\ & \text { to swiðe ne lufige，} \nless y l æ s ~ h i e ~ g e h e f e g i e n ~ \\ & \text { æes monnes }\end{aligned}$ mod pe hie to swiðe lufað，ðæt he for 犭ære byrðenne gehefgad \＆oferswiðed ne sie besenced of $\Varangle_{æ m}$ yfemestum to $\Varangle_{æ m}$ nie $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$－ mestan．Ond swæðeah monige underfo૪ heorde，\＆ðeah wilniað ðæt hie bion freo \＆æmettige synderlice him selfum to gastlicum
in the eyes of men．And the gems of the sanctuaries lie scattered at the end of the streets．The gems of the sanctuaries lie seattered along the streets when the men，who ought to keep themselves unoccupied for the adornment of the church in the secret ministrations of the temple，desire the wide roads of this world outside．For the gems of the sanctuaries were made in order to shine on the robe of the highest priest among the holiest holinesses．But when the priests do not incite their subjects to virtue and reverence of our Redeemer with the merits of their life，their gems of the holiest holinesses are not in the orna－ ments of the lishop＇s robe，but lie scattered up and down the streets， when the offices of holy ordination are left to the wide roads of their
weorcum, ðonne bið hit suelc ૪æt fægere hiw ðæs goldes sie ônhworfen, \& hit sie ablacod \& fo[r]sewen for monna eagum. \& $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}$ giemmas ðara halignessa licggeað toworpne æfter stræta endum.
 5 ðe hie selfe to さære ciricean wlite æmtegian sceoldon on ไæm dieglum Øenungum ðæs temples, ðonne hie ute wilniað ðara rumena wega
 gemacod ðæt hi scoldon scinan on ðæs hiehstan sacerdes hrregle
 10 nessum \& weorðunga ures Aliesendes ne bædað $ð_{a} \succ_{e}$ [him] underXiedde biǒ mid hira lifes geearnungum, ðonne ne beoð hira łære
 ac licggeað toworpne æfter strætum, $ð_{0}$ ne $\succ_{a}$ hadas $\nsucc æ r e ~ h a l g a n ~$ endebyrdnesse beoð forgiefene $\Varangle æ m$ widgillan wegum hiera agenra 15 lusta, \& beor getigede to eor $\begin{aligned} & \text { licum tielengum. Eac is to witanne }\end{aligned}$ ðæt he ne cuæð na ðææt ða giemmas wæren forsceadne æfter [ $\begin{array}{cr} \\ \text { ] }]\end{array}$ strætum, ac æt ðara stræta endum ; forðæm ðeah hie woroldcun(d)lice drohtigen, hie wilinia $ð$ ðæt hie $\begin{aligned} & \text { yncen } \\ & \\ & a \\ & \text { betstan, ond } \nless e a h ~ h i e ~ g a ́ n ~\end{aligned}$ on 犭one ruman weg hiera agnes willan \& lustfulnesse, hie wilniað ðæt 20 hie mon hæbbe for $\succ_{a}$ betstan \& $\chi_{a}$ halgestan. \& suaðeah hwilum sint to geðafianne for niedðearfe ðas eorðlican tielunga, \& næfre ðeah
 suiðe lufað, ðæt he for ðære byrðenne gehefegad \& ofersuiðed, ne sie besenced of ðæm ymestun to $ð æ m ~ n i o ð e m e s t u m . ~ O n d ~ s u a ð e a h ~$ 25 monige underfôð heorde, \& ðeah wilniað ðæt hie beon freo \& æmtige synderlice him selfum to gæstlicum weorcum, \& noldon beon abisgode
own desires and are tied to earthly occupations. We must also know that he did not say that the gems were scattered along the streets, but at the ends of the streets ; because although they live in a worldly manner they desire to be considered the best, and, although they go in the wide road of their own will and desires, they wish to be considered the best and holiest. And yet, in cases of need, earthly occupations are sometimes to be tolerated, yet never to be loved too much, lest they oppress the mind of the man who loves them too much, so that he is oppressed and overcome with the burden, and depressed from the highest to the lowest. Yet many undertake ministration, and wish to be free and unoccupied, so as to devote themselves to
weorcum, \& noldon beon abisgode nane wuht on corəlicum ૪ingum. Đa ૪onne hie eallinga agymeleasiað ðone ymbhogan worldcundra ðinga, ðonne ne fultumað [gefultumað] he noht to his hieremonna niedðearfe. Forðæm wirð oft forsewen ðara monna lar, $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { hie }\end{array}$ tælað \& hatigeað hiera hieremonna unðeawas, \& ne doð him nan oðer god [ðisse weorolde] ; forðæm ðæt word ðære lare ne mæg ðurhfaran 犭æs wædlan heortan, gif he næfð $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { are pe he on bion }\end{aligned}$ [onfon] mæge. Ac خonne grewł ðæt sæd swiðe wel ðara worda, ðonne sio mildhiortnes $\not$ æes lareowes geðwænð \& gelecð $ð$ a breost ðæs gehierendes. Forðæm is niedðearf $犭 æ m ~ r e c c e r e ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ m æ g e ~$ \& cunne oðerra monna ingeðonc gindgeotan \& gewætrian, \& hie eac on hiora niedðearfum utane besio. Swe sculon $\gamma$ a hierdas weallan
 ne forlæten $\gamma_{a}$ giemenne hiera uterran $\gamma_{\text {earfe. Niede sceal bion }}$ gebrocen $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mod } \not \text { ðara hieremonna, gif se lareow \& se hierde agieme- }\end{aligned}$ leasað ðæt he hiera utan ne helpe. Be ðæm se forma hierde sanctus Petrus geornfullice manode, \& cwæð: Ic eom eower efnðeowa \& Cristes $\succ_{\text {rowunge }}$ gewiota, ic eow healsige $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt } \\ & \text { ge feden Godes heorde }\end{aligned}$ pe under eow is. Swiðe hreðe æfter ૪on he gecyðde hwæðer he mænde, pe ૪æs modes foster pe ðæs lichoman, خa he cwæð: Ungenidde, mid eorum agnum willum, ge sculon $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { encean } \\ \text { for eowre heorde }\end{array}$ Godes ðonces, nalles no for fracoðlicum gestreonum. Mid ðæm wordum fullice he us warude \& lærde ðætte ૪onne hie gefylden \& gebeten $\succ_{a}$ wædie hiera hieremonna, $\succ_{æ t}$ hie ne wurden selfe ofslægene
 beoð gereorde \& geârode ðæt hie selfe ne fæsten ðæs hlafes ryhtwis-
divine works, and would not concern themselves at all with earthly things. These, when they entirely neglect the care of worldly things, do not at all help their subjects in their need. Therefore their instruction is often despised when they blame and hate the faults of their subjects, and do them no other good in this world ; for the word of instruction camot penetrate the heart of the poor man unless he be encouraged with kindness. But the seed of words grows very well when the humanity of the teacher softens and moistens the breast of the hearer. Therefore it is necessary for the ruler to be able and know how to irrigate and water the minds of others, and also to provide for their outer wants. The pastors are to be fervidly zealous about the inner wants of their subjects, without neglecting the care of
nane wuht on eorðlicum ૪ingum．Đa ðonne hie eallinga agiemeleasiað ðone ymbhogan woruldcundra ૪inga，ðonne ne gefultumað he nawuht to his hieremonna niedðearfe．Forðæm wyrð oft forsewen ðara monna lâr，خonne hie telað \＆hatigað hiera hieramonna unخeawas，\＆

 he on beon mæge．Ac 夭onne grew $\not$ ૉæt sæ̂d suiłe wel 夭ara worda， ðonne sio mildheortnes đæs lareowes geðwæn૪ \＆gelec૪ ða breost ðæs ［ge］hierendes．Forðæm is niedæearf $\searrow$ ðm reccere ðæt he mæge \＆cunne 10 ołerra monna inngełonc giendgeotan \＆gewæterian，\＆hie eac on hiera niedrearfum utane besio．Sua sculon $\succ_{a}$ hierdas weallan ymb $\chi_{a}$ geornfulnesse ðære inneran ðearfe his hieremonna，đæt he ne forlæte
 mod Łara hieremonna，gif se lareow \＆se hierde agiemeleasað ðæt he 15 hiera utan ne helpe．Be ðæm se forma hierde sanctus Petrus georn－ fullice monode，\＆cuæ૪：Ic，eower emnðeowa \＆Cristes łrowunge gewita，ic eow healsige $\chi_{æ t}$ ge feden Godes heorde रe under eow is．$^{\text {in }}$
 foster ðe ðæs lichoman，ða he cuæ૪：Ungeniedde，mid eowrum agenum 20 willan，ge sculon ðencean for eowre heorde Godes ðonces，nals na for fraceðlecum gestreonum．Mid خæm wordum fullice he us warode \＆ lærde ðæt ðonne hie gefylden \＆gebeten 「a wædle hiera hieremonna，$_{\text {a }}$
 ðonne hira niehstan ðurh hie beoð gereorde \＆geárode ðæt hie selfe 25 ne fæsten ðæs hlafes ryhtwisnesse．Đas ilcan geornfulnesse ૪ara
their outer wants．The spirit of the subjects is necessarily broken if the teacher and shepherd neglect helping them outwardly．About which the first shepherd，St．Peter，earnestly admonished us，and said ： ＂I，your fellow－servant and witness of Christ＇s suffering，entreat you to feed God＇s flock which is under your care．＂Soon after he showed whether he meant food of the mind or of the body，when he said：＂Without compulsion，of your own freewill，ye must provide for your flock for the love of God，not for base gain．＂With these words he fully warned and taught us，lest，after replenishing and bettering the wants of their subjects，they themselves should be slain with the sword of avarice，lest，while their neighbours are refreshed and aided by them，they themselves abstain from the bread of right－
nesse．Đas ilcan geornfulnesse Xara hierda sanctus Paulus aweahte， ða he cwæ૪：Se pe ne gimð ðara pe his beoð，\＆huru Godes ðeowa， he wiðsæc $\begin{gathered}\text { Godes geleafan，} \& \text { he bið treowleas．And swæðeah }\end{gathered}$ betweox $\not$ issum simle is to ondrædonne \＆geornlice to behealdanne， ðonne he ða uterran 犭ing dôn sculon，ðæt hie ne sien ðæm incundum
 cera，swæ swæ we ær cwædon，ðonne hie mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { issum } \\ & h w i l e n d l i c u m ~\end{aligned}$
 acolian $\succ_{a}$ incundan lufan，\＆ne ondrædað him na $\begin{array}{rr} & \text { hie forgieten }\end{array}$ ðæt hie underfengon $\begin{array}{r}\text { one reccendom［reccedom］manna saula．Ac hit }\end{array}$ is $\begin{aligned} & \text { earf } \\ & \chi \text { rtte sio } \\ & \text { giemen，pe hie hiera hieremonnum utan dôn sculon，}\end{aligned}$ sie wel gemetgod．Be ðæm swiðe wel wæs gecweden to Ezechiele ðæm witgan $犭 æ$ ætte $犭 a$ sacerdas ne sceoldon no hiera heafdu scieran mid scearseaxum，ne eft hie ne sceoldon hiera loccas lætan weaxan， ac hie sceoldon hie efsian mid scearum．Swiðe ryhte ða sacerdas sint
 ladteowdom geearwian ૪æm geleaffullum \＆him sculon fore bion．Đæt feax ðonne on hiera heafde getacnað pa uterran geðohtas，ðæt grewð
 ðisses ondweardan lifes $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { getacnað．Swæ giemeleaslice oft sceaca } \gamma ~\end{aligned}$ ure geðohtas from us，ðæt we his fur $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { um ne gefredar，} \text { on ma pe }\end{aligned}$ man his feax mæg gefredan butan $\Varangle æ m$ felle，for $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \text { we oft } y m b\end{aligned}$ ungedafenlice wisan smeageað．\＆swæðeah ealle $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { pe fore o } o \text { rum }\end{array}$
 ne sien hie to fæste to gebundene．Swiðe ryhtlice wæs $ð æ m ~ s a c e r d e ~$


eousness．This same zeal of the shepherds St．Paul aroused，saying ： ＂He who cares not for those that are his，and especially God＇s，servants， is an apostate and infidel．＂Yet，with all this，it is always to be feared and due care taken，lest，while they are to perform outer duties， they be not estranged from inner contemplation；because the minds of rulers，as we have remarked above，when occupied with these tran－ sitory things and inconsiderately devoted to them，often let the inner love grow cold，and are not afraid of forgetting that they have received the control of men＇s souls．But it is necessary that their solicitude about the outer wants of their subjects be kept within due bounds． Concerning which it was well said to the prophet Ezekiel that the
 his beor, \& huru Godes Xeowa, he wiłsæcð Godes geleafan, \& he bið treowleas. \& suaそeah betuoxn łissum simle is to ondrædenne \&

 heortan ðara reccera, sua sua we ær cuædon, ðonne hie mid ðissum hwilendlicum ðingum hie selfe abisegiað, \& ðæm unwærlice خiowiað, hi 夭onne lætað acolian $\begin{aligned} \text { a } \\ \text { innecundan lufan, \& ne ondrædał him na }\end{aligned}$ ðæt hie forgieten ðæt bie onfengon ðone recedóm monna saula. Ac 10 hit is $\begin{aligned} & \text { earf } \\ & \text { ðætte sio giemen, } \\ & \chi \\ & \text { e hie hira hiremonnum utan don scylen, }\end{aligned}$ sie wel gemetgod. Be ðæm suiðe wel wæs gecueden to Ezechiele ðam witgan ðætte ða sacerdas ne scoldon no hiera heafdu scieran mid scierseaxum, ne eft hi ne scoldon hira loccas lætan weaxan, ac hie scoldon hie efsigean mid scearum. Suǐe ryhte $_{\mathrm{a}}$ sacerdas sint
 latteowdóm gearwian đam geleaffullum \& him sculon fore beon. Đæt

 خisses andweardan lifes $\begin{array}{rrl} \\ \text { getacna } \gamma \text {. Sua giemeleaslice oft sc[e]acað } \\ \text {. }\end{array}$

 ungedafenlice wisan smeageað. Ond suałeah ealle $\succ_{a}$ ðe for ołrum
 ne sien hi to fæste to gebundene. Suiðe ryhte wæs ðæm sacerde 25 forboden ¿æt he his heafod sceare, \& eac ðæt he his feax lete weaxan;

priests were not to shave their heads with razors, nor, on the other hand, let their locks grow, but clip them with scissors. Priests are very properly called sacerds, that is in English "cleansers," because they are to act as guides of believers and govern them. The hair on their head signifies outer thoughts, for it grows and flourishes over the brain and yet no one feels it ; which signifies the cares of this present life. Our thoughts often proceed from us so carelessly that we no more feel it than a man can feel his hair above the skin, because we often meditate on improper subjects. Yet all those who are to be above others must be careful of outer things, and yet must not be too much hampered by them. The priest was with good reason forbidden
his hieremonnum to nytte habban, ne eft he ne læte forweaxan to swiðe to unnytte \& to unryhte. Be ðæm wæs swiðe wel gecweden $\chi_{æ t}$ se efsienda efsade his heafod, $\chi_{æ t}$ is $\chi_{æ t}$ he swæ geornfullice sie
 sie, ond ðeah swæ swæ he mæge hie ieðlice butan sare ofaceorfan

 for ૪ære ungemetgunge ૪æs ymbehogan 犭ara uterra ૪inga; swæ


XIX. Đætte se reccere his godan weorc for gielpe anum ne dô, ac ma for Godes lufan.

Betweox $\begin{aligned} & \text { issum } \\ & \text { is micel } \\ & \text { ðearf } \\ & \text { ðet se reccere } \\ & \text { geornlice wacige } \& ~\end{aligned}$
 for $\succ_{æ m}$, $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ he geornlice ongit $\chi_{a}$ innerran \& $\succ_{a}$ gastlican $\gamma_{i n g}$ on

 ðonne he mid godum weorcum bið underwreðed, \& from worldmonnum ongieten swelce he sie el $\begin{aligned} & \text { iedig on } \\ & \Varangle\end{aligned}$ issum middangearde, خæt he $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne for } \\ & \text { ðære wilnunge his agne [agnes] gilpes \& heringe }\end{aligned}$ ne weorłe elðidig from Gode. Se bił eallinga Godes gewinna se se pe wilnað ðæt he hæbbe pa weorðunga for his godan weorcum pe
to shave his head, or let his hair grow; that is, that he is not to cut away from his mind all the thoughts which he ought to preserve for the benefit of his subjects, nor yet let them grow too rankly so as to be useless and evil. About which it was well said that the cutter was to cut his hair ; in other words, that he is to be as zealous as is needful in the care of transitory things, and yet so as easily to be able to clip them without pain to prevent their growing too luxuriantly; lest, while the bodily life is protected, the thoughts of the heart be tied down through the excessive care of outer things ; the priest must preserve his locks so as to cover the skin, and yet clip them before they fall into his eyes.
his hieremonnum to nytte habban, ne eft he ne læte forweahsan to suiðe to unnytte \& to unryhte. Be ðæm wæs suiðe wel gecueden $\chi_{æ t}$ se efsigenda efsode his heafod, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \end{aligned}$
 5 sie, ond $\gamma_{e a}[h]$ sua sua he mæge hie iðelice butan sare ofaceorfan $\mho_{æ t}$
 bið gescilðed, łæt innegeðonc sie gebunden ðære heortan for đære ungemetgunge ðæs ymbehogan $\chi_{a r a} u$ terra $\chi_{i n g a ; ~ s u a ~ s i n d o n ~}^{\chi_{a}}$
 10 he hie forceorfe $æ r$, ær hie on $\not$ ða eagan feallen.
XIX. Đætte se reccere his goda[n] weorc for gielpe anum ne dô, ac ma for Godes lufan.

Betueox $\chi_{i s s u m}$ is micel $\chi_{\text {earf }} \chi_{æ t}$ se reccere geornlice wacige \& ðence $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hine ne cnysse sio wilnung } ð æ t ~ h e ~ s c y l e ~ m o n n u m ~ l i c i g e a n ; ~\end{aligned}$


 ðonne he mid godum weorcum bið underwreðed, \& from woruldmonnum ongiten suelce he sie æl>iedig on خiosum middangearde, خæt he خonne 20 for $\nsupseteq æ r e$ wilnunga his agnes gielpes \& heringe ne weorðe ælðiodig from Gode. Se bið eallinga Godes gewinna se se $\chi_{e}$ wilnað $\chi_{æ t}$ he

XIX. That the ruler is not to do his good works for vainglory only, but rather for the love of God.

Meanwhile, it is very necessary for the ruler to be zealously vigilant and careful, lest the desire of popularity overcome him ; that, when he zealously studies inner and spiritual things in his mind, and is very careful of outer things, he may not desire to please his subjects rather than God ; lest, when he is supported with good works, and is regarded by worldly men as a stranger in this world, through the desire of his own glory and praise he become estranged from God. He is altogether God's adversary who desires to have the reverence for his good

God habban sceolde æt $ð æ m$ folce．Hwæt we genoh georne wieton ðæt se esne pe ærendað his worldhlaforde wifes， $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ot he bið dierne－}\end{array}$ geligres scyldig wið God，\＆wið his hlaford eallenga forworht，gif he wilnał ðæt hio hiene lufige，\＆he hiere licige bet ðonne se pe hiene
 recceres，he wilna $\gamma$ ungemetlice lician， $\begin{gathered}\text { onne beræs } \gamma \text { he oft on ungemet－}\end{gathered}$ lice cweminge，\＆bið hwilum to ungemetlice smeðe，hwilum to unge－ metlice reðe．Đonne bił ðæt mod awacod ðæs recceres，ðonne he ge－ syh $\gamma$ ðæt his hieremen agyltað，\＆he nyle hie arasian，خylæs hiera lufu wið hiene aslacige，\＆he him $\begin{aligned} & \text { e wirs licige．Ac خone gedwolan his }\end{aligned}$

 ðurh ðone witgan：Wa đæm pe willað under ælene elnbogan lecgean pyle \＆bolster under ælene hueccan men mid to gefonne．Se legð pyle under æelces monnes elnbogan，se pe mid liðum oliccungum wile læcnian $\succ_{a}$ men pe siga久 on 犭isses middangeardes lufan，oððæt hie afeallað of hiera ryhtwisnesse．Đonne bið se elnboga underled mid pyle \＆se hnecca mid bolstre， $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \searrow æ m \\ \text { synfullan menn bið oftogen } ð æ t \text { hiene }\end{array}$ mon stiðlice arasige．Đonne hiene mon ne cnys $\begin{aligned} & \text { mid nanre reðnesse }\end{aligned}$


 Хe hie ondrædað 犭æt him derian mæge æt $\Varangle æ m$ gilpe，\＆him ofteon
 nanwuht laðes ne wiðerweardes don ne mæge， $\begin{aligned} & \text { a hie swiðe stiðlice }\end{aligned}$
works which God ought to have from the people．We know well that the servant who obtains a wife for his worldly master is guilty of adultery towards Gorl，and altogether guilty towards his master if he wish her to love him，and himself to please her better than he who sent him and the money thither．When vanity seizes on the mind of the ruler，and he desires to please excessively，he often rushes into ex－ cessive flattery，and is sometimes too excessively smooth，sometimes too severe．The mind of the ruler is weakened when he sees that his subjects sin and yet he is unwilling to correct them，lest their love decrease and he be the less popular．But he is often too indul－ gent with the errors of his subjects which he ought to correct，since he dare not reprove them on account of the flattery．Of which was
æt $ð æ m$ folce. Hwæt we genoh georne witon đæt se esne ðe ærendað his woroldhlaforde wifes, خæt he bið diernes gelires scyldig wið God, \& wið his hlaford eallenga forworht, gif he wilnað ðæt hio hine lufige,
 5 ðonne ðæt selflice gegriepð ðæt mod ðæs recceres, \& he wilnað ungemetlice licigean, ðonne beræst he oft on ungemetlice cuêminge, \& bið hwilum to ungemetlice smeðe, hwilum to ungemetlice reðe.
 hieremen agyltað, \& he nyle hie arasian, ðylæs hira lufu aslacige, \& 10 he him خe wirs licige. Ac خone gedwolan his hieremonna $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}$ he stiera[n] sceolde he oft to suiðe gełafað, ðonne he ne dear hie ðreagean for Łære olicunge. Be ૪æm wæs suiðe wel gecueden ૪urh ðone witgan: Wa خæm خe willað under ælcne elnbogan lecggean pyle \& bolster under ælcne hneccan menn mid to gefonne. Se lege $\begin{gathered}\text { pyle }\end{gathered}$ 15 under ælces monnes elnbogan, se $ð e$ mid liłum oliccungum wile
 afeallał of hiera ryhtwisnessum. Đonne bið se elnboga underled mid pyle \& se hnecca mid bolstre, خonne $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { synfullan menn bið oftogen }\end{array}$ ðæt hine [mon] stiðlice arasige. Đonue hine mon ne cnys $\curlyvee$ mid nanre 20 reðnesse ne nanre wiðercueðnisse, ðonne geðafað him mon on $\nprec æ r e$ hnescean olecunge $\npreceq$ æt he hine suiðe forteres $૪$ on his agnum gedwolan. Ac ða recceras ðe hira agnes gilpes giernað, ૪æm hie ge ðafigał ðyllic ðe hie ondrædað ðæt him derian mæge æt ðæm gielpe, \& him oftion mæge ðisses eorðlican weorðscipes. Ac ða ðe hi wenað ðæt [him] 25 nan wuht lałes ne wiðerweardes don [ne] mæge, ða hie suiðe stiðlice

[^13]arasiað，\＆mid ealle of $\begin{aligned} \text { rysceað } \text { ；ond hie næfre bilwitlice willað }\end{aligned}$ monian，ac hie ofergietað ðære hierdelican lufan，\＆egesiað hie \＆ ðreatiað mid onwalde swæ swæ hlafordas．Đas ðonne wæron ðurh خone witgan swiðe ryhtlice geðreade mid 犭ære godcundan stefne，pa he cwæ૪ ：Ge budon swiðe riclice \＆swiðe agendlice．Đæt is be ðæm pe ma lufiað hie selfe \＆hiera agenne weor 欠scipe $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne hiera Hla－}\end{aligned}$ fordes．Hie ðonne ahebbað hie ofer hiera hieremenn，\＆犭enceað â hwæt hie dôn mægen，\＆ne geðenceað no hwæt he dôn scoldon，\＆ne
 Xisses hwilendlican onwaldes，\＆licað him $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt } \\ \text { hie } \\ \text { æet } \\ \text { unaliefede } \\ \text { do }\end{array}$ aliefedlice，\＆hiera hieremanna him nan ne wiðcwið．Se 夭onne se wilnað woh to donne，\＆wilnað［ $\chi_{\text {eah }}$ ］ Łæt $\Varangle_{æ s}$ ołre men swugien，he ðonne bið him selfum gewiota ðæt he wilnað ma $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t hiene man lufige }\end{array}$ Xonne ryhtwisnesse．Forðæm nan mon nis pe eallinga swæ libban mæge ðæt he hwilum ne agylte．Se ðonne wilnað swiður ðæt mon lufige soðfæstnesse $\not$ onne hiene selfne，se pe wilna $ð$ ðt mon nanre ryhtwisnesse fore him ne wandige．For łissum łingum sanctus Petrus onfeng swire lustlice sancte Paules tælinge．Ond eft Dauid se kyning onfeng swiłe eałmodlice his agnes ðegnes cease，ðæt wæs Naðan se witga．Forðæm eac ða godan recceras ðonne hie ne recceað hwæðer mon hie selfe synderlice \＆ungemetlice lufige，hie wenað，犭eah hiera hieremen hie mid ryhte herigen for hiera agnum gewyrhtum， ðæt hie $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { don } & \text { for lufan } \& \text { for eałmodnesse，nalles for his geear－}\end{array}$ nungum．Đonne is swiðe micel ૪earf $\nprec$ t we mid micle cræfte


[^14]arasigear，\＆mid ealle of $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ryscea } \\ \text { ；}\end{aligned}$ ond hic næfre bilwitlice willað monigean，ac hie ofergietað 犭ære hirdelican lufan，\＆egesiað hie \＆ ðreatigenł mid onwalde sua sua hlafordas．Đas Xonne wæron ðurh
 5 he cuæð ：Ge budon suiðe riclice \＆suiðe agendlice．Đæt is be ðæm ૪e ma lufigeað hie selfe \＆hiera agenne weor $[\gamma]$ scipe $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { hiera }\end{gathered}$ Hlafurdes．Hie ðonne ahebbað hie ofer hiera hieremenn，\＆đencear â hwæt hie don mægen，\＆ne đenceał no hwæt hie don scol［d］on，\＆ne ondrædað ðone dôm $૪ e$ Łæræfter fylgð ；ac suiłe scamleaslice gielpað 10 خisses hwilendlican onwaldes，\＆licað him そæet hie ðæt unaliefede dôð aliefedlice，\＆hiera hieremonna him nan ne［wið］cuið．Se ðonne ðe


 15 libban mæge ðæt［he］hwilum ne agylte．Se ðonne wilnað suiłur そ̌æt mon lufge soðfæšnesse خonne hine selfne，se łe wilnað đæt mon nanre ryhtwisnesse fore him ne wandige．For łiosum łingum sanctus Petrus anfeng suiłe lustlice sancte Paules tælinge．Ond eft Dauið se kyning anfeng suiłe eałmodlice his agnes łegnes cease， 20 ðæt wæs Nathan se witga．Forłæm eac ða godan recceras，ðonne hie ne recceað hwæðer mon hie selfe synderlice \＆ungemetlice lufige，hie wena久， $\mathrm{Xea}^{2}[\mathrm{~h}]$ hira hieremenn hie mid ryhte heregen for hiera agnum gewyrhtum， $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { hie } \\ \text { hæt } \\ \text { don } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { lufan } \& \text { for eaðmodnesse，nals for }\end{array}$ his geearnungum．Đonne is suiłe micel $\begin{aligned} & \text { earf } \nsucc æ \text { we mid micle }\end{aligned}$ 25 cræfte betueox ðissum gemetgien $\npreceq a$ gemetgunge $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { æ } \\ \text { reccedomes，}\end{gathered}$

[^15]ðonne $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt } \\ \text { mod } \\ \text { Øara } \\ \text { underðieddra } \\ \text { hwæthwugu ryhtlices ongietan }\end{array}$
 wende on selflice \& on ofermetto, ðonne his hlaford him to ungemetlicne onwald forgif $\gamma$ on his spræce, ðæt he ðonne forðæm ne forgiete, ne [ne] forlæte his eaðmodnesse. Ond $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah wel gedafenað } ð æ t t e ~ \\ & \text { a }\end{aligned}$
 ða licunga hie mægen gedôn $\not$ ætte hiera Dryhten licige $\not$ æm folce, \& hie mægen geteon $\begin{aligned} & \text { urh } \\ & \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { eahtunge pe hie mon eahtige hiera }\end{aligned}$ nihstan to $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { soðfastnesse lufan; nalles fortæm anum pe hie }\end{array}$ wilnien $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hie mon synderlice lufige, ac swelce [sio] hiera lufu sie }\end{array}$ sum weg ðurh ðone hie mægen lædan pa heortan pe hie gehieran $_{\text {lat }}$ willał to ðære lufan ures Scippendes. Ac hit is ðeah swiðe earfoðdæde $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { lustlice } \text { one lareow gehieran wille pe mon ne lufað. }\end{aligned}$
犭æt he mæge beon gehered. \& ðeah pa his lufe ne sece he no pa

 nunge. Đæt swiðe wel sanctus Paulus geopenode, $ð a$ he us kyðde pa digolnesse his geornfulnesse, \& cwæð: Swæ swæ ic wilnige on eallum $\begin{aligned} \text { ingum } & \text { æt ic monnum cweme \& licige. And swæðeah eft }\end{aligned}$ sona he cwæ૪: Gif ic monnum cweme \& licige, $\begin{aligned} \text { onne ne bio ic no }\end{aligned}$
 for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { mpe } \text { on } 犭 æ m ~ p e ~ h e ~ w i l n o d e ~ l i c i g e a n, ~ n a l l e s ~ n o ~ h e, ~ ð e a h p e ~ h e ~\end{aligned}$

without inclining to egotism and pride, when his master gives him too great licence of speech, that he may not on that account forget or lose his humility. And yet it is right for good rulers to desire to please, in order that through their popularity they may make their Lord please the people, and through the estimation in which they themselves are held, they may draw their neighbours to the love ofe truth; not merely because they desire to be specially loved, but as if the love of themselves were a road by which they may lead the hearts which are willing to hear them to the love of our Creator. For it is very difficult for a man willingly to listen to the teacher

ไætte ðonne đæt mod｀ara underðiedra hwæthwugu ryhtlices ongitan mæg，そæt hit ðonne sua bald sie for his freodome đæt hit ne gewende on selflice \＆on ofermetto，خonne his hlaford him to ungemetliene
 5 ne forlæte his ea $\Varangle$ modnesse．Ond $\succ$ eah wel gedafonað $\succ æ$ tte $ð a$ godan
 licunga hi mægen gedon ไætte hiera Dryhten licige ðæm folce，\＆hie
 ðære soðfæsðnesse lufan ；nalles fortæm anum ðe hie wilnigen ðæt 10 hi mon synderlice lufige，ac swelce sio hira lufu si sum weg 〕urh Xone hie mægen lædan $\Varangle_{a}$ heortan $\nsucc e$ hie gehiran willað to ðære lufan ures Scippendes．Ac hit is خeah suiðe earfe $\begin{aligned} & \text { dæde } \\ & \text { đæt mon lustlice } \\ & \text { ðone }\end{aligned}$ lareow gehieran wille ðe mon ne lufað．Forðon se ðe for ołre beon sceal，he sceal tilian đæt he licige，fořæm ðæt he mæge beon ge－ 15 hiered．\＆そeah $\succ_{a}$ his lufe ne sece he no for him selfum，ðylæs he sie ongiéten $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t } \\ \text { he sie wiðerwinna on } \nsucc æ r e ~ d i e g e l n e s s e ~ h i s ~ g e ~ \\ \text { ohtes，} \\ \text { ææs }\end{array}$ そe he bið gesewen Keow ôn his そenunge．Đæt suiłe wel sanctus Paulus geopenude，$\succ_{a}$ he us cy $\not$ de $^{\text {}} \mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ degolnesse his geornfulnesse，\＆ cuæ૪：Sua sua ic wilnige on eallum ðingum ðæt ic monnum cueme 20 \＆licige．\＆suałeah eft sona he cuæð：Gif ic monnum cueme \＆ licige，ðonne ne beo ic no Godes łeow．Hwæt ðonne Paulus ægðer
 no he，ðeahðe he cuæde，ac ðurh hine he wilnode さæt sio sołfæstnes monnum licode．
whom he does not love．Therefore he who is to be above others must try to please in order that he may be heard，and yet he must not seek popularity for himself，lest he be convicted of being in his heart the enemy of him whose servant he is seen to be in his minis－ tration．This was very well expounded by St．Paul when he showed to us his secret zeal，saying ：＂As I wish in all things to please men．＂ Yet，on the other hand，he soon said：＂If I please men，I am not God＇s servant．＂So Paul both pleased and did not please，for in what he wished to please it was not himself，although he said so，but through himself he wished truth to please men．
 un 欠eawas liogað，\＆licettað ૪æt hie sien gode 犭eawas．
 godum ૪eawum \＆to mægenum 夭urh leasunga．Monig mon deð micel fæsten，\＆hæf $\gamma$ ðone hlisan $\nprec$ æt he hit dô for forhæfdnesse，\＆ deł hit $૪$ eah for hneawnesse \＆for feohgidsunge．Monig bił agita his goda \＆wilnał mid $\Varangle y$ geearnian $\nless 0 n e ~ h l i s a n ~ \npreceq æ t ~ h e ~ s i e ~ r u m g i f u l, ~$
 ૪onne for lufan．Ond oft cac ungemetlice forgifnes bið gelicet，$\not$ ðæt mon wene $\curlyvee$ łæt hit sie mildheortnes．Ond oft eac ungemetlicu irsung bið gelicet，そæt men wenað ðæt hit sie ryhtwislic anda．Oft mon bið swiðe rempende，\＆ræs૪ swiðe dollice on æle weore \＆hrædlice，\＆ ［ Xeah］wenał men $\npreceq \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}$ hit sie for arudscipe \＆for hwætscipe．Oft mon bił swiðe wandigende æt ælcum weorce \＆swiłe lætræde，\＆wenað
 wisdome \＆for wærscipe．Forðæm is micel niedłearf ðæt se reccere夭a łeawas \＆夭a unðeawas cunne wel toscadan，ðylæs se hneawa \＆se
 ઉæm pe he healdan scyle ơłe dælan．Oとłe eft se gilpna \＆se agita for his goda mirringe gilpe，\＆wene $\npreceq æ$ he sie cystig \＆mildheort． Oł૪e eft se ðafetere，se pe wile forgiefan $\not æ$ かt he wrecan sceolde，to ecium witum geteo his hieremen．O૪૪e eft se pe ungemetlice wric $\begin{gathered}\text { pa }\end{gathered}$ scylda，‘æt he self swiður on $૪ æ m$ ne gesyngige．O૪૪e eft $૪ æ t$ he ryhtlice \＆stiælice wrecan sceolde，ðæt he ðæt ne forielde，ðylæs se

XX．That the ruler must know well that vices often deceive，and pretend to be virtues．
The ruler must also know well that vices often seem to be virtues and good qualities through deceit．Many a man fasts much，and has the reputation of doing it for abstinence，but does it，however，for niggardliness and avarice．Many a one is lavish of his property， wishing to gain the reputation of generosity，and men think he does it for virtue ；and it is，however，done rather for vanity than for charity． Often also excessive forgiveness seems to men to be humanity．And often，also，immoderate anger seems to men to be righteous indig－ nation．A man is often very hasty，and rushes very senselessly and

XX．Đætte se reccere sceal geornlice wietan |  |
| :---: |
| tte |
| oft |
| Ła uňeawas | leogað，\＆licettað خæt hi sien gode 「eawas．

 godum ૪eawum \＆to mægenum ૪urh leasunga．Monig mon deð 5 micel fæsten，\＆hæf૪ ðone hlisan łæt he hit dô for forhæfdnesse， \＆deð hit ðeah for hneawnesse \＆for feohgitsunge．Monig bið agieta

 ma Xonne for lufan．\＆oft eac ungemetlico forgifnes bið gelicet， 10 ðæt mon wene $\begin{aligned} \text { Xæt hit sie mildheortnes．Ond oft eac ungemetlicu }\end{aligned}$ irsung bið gelicet，ðæt menn wenað ðæt hit sie ryhtwislic anda． Oft mon bið suiðe rempende，\＆ræsð suið［e］dollice on æle weore \＆ hrædlice，\＆そeah wenað men そæt hit sie for arodscipe \＆for hwætscipe． Oft mon bið suiæe wandigende æt ælcum weorce \＆suiðe lætræde， 15 \＆wenað menn $\npreceq æ t$ hit sie for suarmodnesse \＆for unarodscipe，\＆bið そeah for wisdome \＆for wærscipe．Forそæm is micel niedðearf そæt se
 \＆se gitsigenda fægnige ðæs ðætte menn wenen đæt he sie gehealdsum on đæm ૪e he healdan scyle ołte dælan．Ołðe eft se gielpna \＆se 20 agita for his goda mierringe gielpe，\＆wene łæt he sie kystig \＆ mildheort．O૪̌e eft se ðafetere，se ðe wile forgiefan ðæet he wrecan sceolde，to ecum witum geteo his hieremenn．Orðe eft se te unge－


rashly into all his actions，and yet men think that it is from readiness and alacrity．A man is often very hesitating in every action，and very slow，and men think it is from stupidity and cowardice，and yet it is from wisdom and caution．Therefore it is indispensable for the ruler to be able well to distinguish between virtues and vices ；lest the miser and the covetous rejoice in the reputation of being provident in what he ought to keep or give away ；or，again，lest the ostentatious and the squanderer，because of the waste of his property boast，and think him－ self virtuous and benevolent ；or，again，lest the assentator，who is ready to pass over what he ought to punish，bring his subjects to eternal punishments ；or，again，lest he who punishes sins excessively himself sin worse thereby；or，again，when he has anything to punish rightly
ryhtwislica anda akolige, $\begin{aligned} & t \\ & \text { he hit eft swæ ea } \chi_{e} \text { wrecan ne mæge, }\end{aligned}$
 ðæs godan weorces lean losige pe he mid $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { steore } \\ \text { geearnian }\end{array}$ sceolde.
XXI. Hu gesceadwis se reccere sceal bion on his łreaunga \& on his oleccunga, \& eac on his hatheortnesse \& on his man$\gamma_{\text {wwrnesse }}$

Eac is to wietanne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { h } \\ \text { hwilum bið god wærlice to miðanne his }\end{array}$ hieremonna scylda \& to licettanne swelce he hit nyte; hwilum eft to secgeanne ; hwilum, ðeah hit mon cuðlice wiete, hit is to forberanne ; hwilum eft smealice \& geornlice to seceanne; hwilum liæelice to ðreatigeanne; hwilum swiðlice \& stræclice to $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rafianne. Monige }\end{array}$ sint, swæ swæ we ær cwædon, pe mon sceal wærlice licettan, \& ૪eah-
 eaðmodlice gaðafien, \& $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { onne }{ }_{\mathrm{a}} \text { a scylda pe hie diegollice on him }\end{aligned}$ selfum forberað hie geornlice on hiera agnum inge ${ }^{\circ}$ once sceawigen, \& on him selfum demen \& wrecen, \& hie forscamige خæt hie eft swæ dôn ; Xonne bið he self geladod wið hiene selfne mid his agenre scame \& mid his geðylde \& eac mid his recceres. Be $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { ieldinge swiðe }\end{array}$
 leogende: næron ge no min gemunende, ne ge no ne ge夭ohton on eowerre heortan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t ic swugode, swelce ic hit ne gesawe. He ielde, }\end{array}$
 scyldgigendan swugude, he hit him ðeah swigende gesæde. Ac monige
and severely, lest he delay, so that his righteous indignation become cold, and he cannot afterwards so easily punish it, that the sinful man be not let off too easily, lest he lose the reward of the good work which he ought to have merited with correction.
XXI. How discreet the ruler must be in reproving and flattering, and also in his zeal and gentleness.
It is also good to know that he ought sometimes cautiously to conceal the sins of his subjects, and pretend not to know it ; sometimes, again, to tell it ; sometimes, although it is well known, it is to be tolerated ; sometimes, again, to be investigated minutely and accurately ; sometimes to be blamed gently ; sometimes to be corrected vigorously
forielde, ไylæs se ryhtwislica anda acolige, ไæt he hit ef[t] sua eare
 scyldgan, خylæs him ðæs godan weorces lean losige $\begin{aligned} & \text { e he mid } \nsucc æ r e ~\end{aligned}$ steore geearnian sceolde.

5 XXI. Hu gesceadwis se reccere sceal bion ôn his łreaunga \& ôn his oleccunga, \& eac ôn his hatheortnesse \& ón his monðwærnesse.

Eac is to wietanne łætte hwilum bił gôd wærlice to miðanne his hieremonna scylda \& to licettanne suelce he hit nyte ; hwilum eft to 10 se[c]ganne; hwilum, خeah hit mon cuðlice wite, hit is to forberanne; hwilum eft smealice \& geornlice to seccanne ; hwilum liðelice to $\begin{aligned} \text { rea- }\end{aligned}$ tianne; hwilum suiðlice \& stræclice to $\begin{array}{r}\text { rafianne. Monige sint, swa }\end{array}$ swa we ǽr cuædon, ðe mon sceal wærlice licettan, \& ðeahhwæðre

 forberał hie geornlice on hiera agnum ingeðonce sceawigen, \& on him selfum demen \& wrecæn, \& hie forscamige $\not \supsetneq æ t$ hie eft sua dón; Xonne bið he self geladod wið hine selfne mid his agenre scame \& mid his geðylde \& eac mid his recceres. Be Łære ildinge suiłe wel
 leogende : næron ge no min gemunende, ne ge no ne geðohton ôn eowerre heortan ðæt ic suugode, suelce ic hit ne gesawe. He ilde, \& Xafode ða scylda, \& ðeah he him gecyrde; ðcah خe he wið ða scyldgiendan swugode, he hit him $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah suigende gesrede. Ac monige scylda open- }\end{aligned}$
and severely. There are many, as we have remarked above, whom we must cautiously allow to dissimulate ; and yet let it afterwards be known, that they may understand that men blame them, and endure it with humility, and so narrowly contemplate in their own mind the sins which they secretly suffer in themselves, and in themselves judge and punish and feel ashamed of them, that they may not do so again. Then he is himself acquitted towards himself with his own shame and patience, and also with his ruler's. God reproved the Jews very well about this delay, when he spoke through the prophet: "Yé are liars: ye remembered me not, nor did ye think in your heart that I kept silence, as if I saw it not." He delayed, therefore, and tolerated the sins, but yet exposed it to them ; although he kept silence with the sinners, he yet
scylda openlice wietena beoð to forberanne, ðonne đæs ðinges tima ne bið ðæt hit mon sidelice gebetan mæge. Swæ se læce, ðonne he

 lacnigenda forlist خone kræft his læcedomes. Ac ðonne se lareow


 salmscop, pa he cwæ૪: Đa synnfullan bytledon uppe on minum hryege. He sarette ðæette $\chi_{a}$ synfullan sceoldon bytlan onuppan his hryege, swelce he openlice cwæde: Đonne ic mann geryhtan ne $-m æ g^{\circ}$ \& hiene gelæran, Xonne bið me swelce ic hiene bere uppe on minum hrycge. Ac monegu diglu Xing sindon nearolice to smegeanne, Xæt se reccere mæge ongietan be sumum tacnum on his hieremonna mode eall ไæt Xær gehyddes lutige, \& on Хæm anbide pe he hiera fandige, $\begin{gathered}\text { æot } \\ \text { he }\end{gathered}$ mæge hwilum ongietan micel of lytlum. Be Xæm wæs swiłe ryhte to Ezechiele $\Varangle æ m$ witgan gecweden : Đu monnes sunu ðurhðyrela ૪one
 eowde he me ane duru beinnan $\Varangle æ m$ wealle, \& cwæð to me: Gang inn, geseoh $\chi_{a}$ scande \& $\succ_{a}$ wirrestan $\chi_{i n g} \chi_{e} \chi_{a s}$ men her do $\gamma$. Ic $\searrow_{a}$ eode inn, \& geseah $\mathrm{X}_{\text {ær }} \succ_{a}$ anlicnessa ealra creopendra wuhta \& ealra anscunigendra [anscunigendlicra] nietena, \& ealle $\Varangle_{a}$ heargas [hearga] Israhela folces wæron atifred on ðæm wage. Hwæt elles
 wah sio heardheortnes ðara hieremonna? Hwæt is ðonne sio ðyre-
silently told them of it. But many sins, although openly known, are to be tolerated, when it is not the right time to reform them properly. As when a physician doctors a wound at the wrong time, it corrupts and putrefies. Therefore unless he arrange the time of treatment it is evident that the physician loses his medical skill. But when the teacher delays, and watches for a suitable opportunity of reproving his subjects, it is evident that he bears in his patience the burden of their sins. Therefore it was very well spoken through the Psalmist ; he said: "The sinful built on my back." He was annoyed at the sinful building on his back, as if he had openly said: "When I cannot reform and teach a man, it is as if I carried him on my back." *But there are many hidden things to be considered narrowly, that the
 hit mon sidelice gebetan mæge. Swa se læce, તonne he on úntiman lâcnað wunde, hio wyrmse $\gamma$ \& rotað. For`æm buton he $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { on }[\mathrm{e}] \text { timan }\end{array}$ aredige Łæs læcedomes łonne bið hit swutol Łæt se lacnigenda



 cwæð :] Đa synfullan bytledon uppe on minu $m$ hrygge. He sarette 10 łætte ła synfullan sceoldon bytlan onuppan his hrycge, swelce he $^{2}$ openlice cuæde: Đonne ic mân geryhtan ne mæg \& hine gelæran, Xonne bið me suelce ic hine bære uppe on minum hrycge. Ac manegu diglu łing sindon nearolice to smeageanne, ðætte se reccere mæge ongietan be sumum tacnum on his hieremonna mode êal łæt Łær
 hwilum ongietan micel of lytlum. Be さæm wæs suiðe ryhte to Ezechiele łæm witgan gecueden: Đu monnes sunu, ðurhðyrela łone wág. Đa ic ða ðone wâh ðurhðyreludne (h)æfde, cuæð se witga, ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ iewde he me ane duru beinnan $\searrow æ m$ wealle, \& cuæ to me: Gong

 \& ealra anscunigendli[cra] nietena, \& ealle $\npreceq a$ hearga Israhela folces wæron atiefrede on Xæm wage. Hwæt elles meahte beon getacnod
 25 ðara hieremonna? Hwæt is ðonne sio ðyrelung ðæs wâges buton
ruler may be able to infer from symptoms in the mind of his subjects all that lurks there hidden, and watch his opportunity of testing them thereby, that he may sometimes be able to infer much from little. Therefore it was very rightly said to the prophet Ezekiel:"Thou son of man, pierce the wall. When I had pierced the wall," continued the prophet, "he showed me a door inside the wall, and said to me, Go in, and see the shame and most wicked things which the men here do. So I went in, and saw there the images of all the reptiles and loathsome beasts ; and all the idols of the people of Israel were painted on the wall." What could be signified by Ezekiel but the rulers, and by the wall but the hardheartedness of the subjects? What is the piercing of the wall but sharp and searching temptation of the mind,
lung ðæs wages buton scearplicu \& smealicu fandung đæs modes, ðæt mon mid łære ðurhðyrelige ðone weall, \& onluce ða heardan heortan, \& gehnescige? He cwæð: Đa ic hæfde ૪one weall ðurhðyrelod, ða geseah ic duru. Swelce he cwæde: Đa ic đære heortan heardnesse mid geornfullicre fandunge $\&$ ascunge $\& \Varangle_{\text {reatunge }}$ [ ðreaunge] toslat, $\Varangle_{a}$ geseah ic swelce ic gesawe sume duru onlocene, ðurh $\chi_{a}$ ic geseah
 wæs swiðe wel gecweden: Gong inn, \& geseoh pa heardsæl $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ \& $\succ_{\mathrm{a}}$ sconde pe ðas her doð. Đæt is ðonne swelce he inga \& geseo $\succ_{a}$ scande, ðonne he ongit be sumum ðingum ołðe ðeawum utone
 ðæt mod his hieremonna $\not$ ðette him bið eall cuð $ð æ t$ hie unalifdes そenceað. Forðæm wæs eac gecweden: Ic ða eode inn, \& geseah ða anlicnessa ealra creopendra wuhta \& eac onscunigendlicra nietena. Đa creopendan wuhta getacniað $\searrow$ a eorðlican geðohtas. Đa nietenu Konne beoð hwæthwugununges from eorłan ahafen, \& swæðeah onlutað to Łære eorðan, forðon hie sculon be ðære libban. Đa creopendan \& Xa snicendan licgeał mid ealle lichoman on eorðan. Đa nietenu $_{\text {a }}$ ðonne, ðeah hie maran sien, hie beoð swiður ahafen from eor $\begin{aligned} & \text { an, \& }\end{aligned}$ swæðeah for ðære gewilnunge hiera gifernesse hie simle locigeað to
 ða ingeðoncas pe wealcað in ðæs monnes mode, je æfre willað licgean on ðæm eorðlicum gewilnungum. Đa nietenu ðonne pe he geseah binnan $\Varangle æ m$ wage getacnað ðonne mon hwæt ryhtlices \& gerisenlices gełenceð, તonne ne lið he eallinga on ðære eorðan swæ $\npreceq a$ creopen-
that with it he may pierce and open the wall, and soften the hard hearts? He said : "When I had pierced the yall, I saw a door." As if he had said: "When I had pierced the hardness of the heart with careful probing and questioning and reproof, I seemed to see an open door, through which I saw in him whom I was to teach all the innermost thoughts." Therefore it was very well said: "Go in, and see the wickedness and abominations which they do here." He goes in, as it were, and sees the abominations, when he infers from certain outward indications of facts or behaviour all that they internally think; and thus his understanding penetrates the heart of his subjects, so that all their unlawful thoughts are known to him.
scearplicu \& smealicu fandung ðæs modes, خæt mon mid خære $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { urh- }\end{gathered}$
 He cuæð: Đa ic hæfde ðone weall ૪urhðyrelod, ða geseah ic duru. Suelce he cuæde: $Đ a$ ic ðære heortan heardnesse mid geornfullicre 5 fandunge $\&$ ascunge $\&$ ðreaunge toslát, $\npreceq a$ geseah ic suelce ic gesawe sume duru onlocene, ðurh $\succ_{a}$ ic geseah on $\searrow æ m$ خe ic læran scolde ealle $\chi_{a}$ innemestan ge $ð_{o h t a s . ~ B e ~}^{~_{æ m} \text { w ws suiłe wel gecueden: }}$ Gong inn, \& geseoh ða heardsælða \& $\Varangle_{a}$ sconde $\succ_{\mathrm{e}}$ ðas her dóð. Đæt is $x_{o n n e ~ s u e l c e ~ h e ~ i n g a a ~ \& ~ g e s e o ~}^{\chi_{a}}$ scande, $\chi_{o n n e}$ he ongiet be
 Xenceað, \& sua Xurhfær૪ his \&git ðæt mod his hieremonna ૪ætte him bið eall cu૪ દæt hie unaliefedes ðenceað. Forłæm wæs eac gecueden : Ic $\Varangle_{a}$ eode inn, \& geseah $\succ_{a}$ anlicnessa ealra creopendra wuhta \& eac onscuniendlicra nietena. Đa creopendan wuhta getacni15 gea $ð$ a eorðlican geðohtas. Đa nietenu ðonne beoð hwæthuguningas from eorðan âhæfen, \& suaðeah onlutað to łære eorðan forłæm hie sculon bi łære libban. Đa creopendan \& [ $\mathrm{Xa}^{2}$ ] scnicendan licgeað mid ealle lichoman on eorðan. Đa nietenu ૪onne, ðeah hie maran sien, hie beoð suiður áhæfen from eorłan, \& suaðeah for ðære gewil20 nunge hiera giefernesse hie simle locigeað to ðære eorðan. Đa creopendan wuhta beinnan $\searrow_{a} m$ wage getacniað $\searrow_{a}$ inge $\succ_{o n c a s} \chi_{e}$ wealcað in ðæs monnes mode, ไe æfre willað licgean on خæm eorð-
 wâge getacnigeað $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { onne }\end{aligned}$ mon hwæt ryhtlices \& gerisenlices ge-


Therefore it was also said: "So I went in, and saw the images of all reptiles and loathsome beasts." The reptiles signify earthly thoughts. For beasts are to a certain extent raised from the earth, and yet incline to the earth because they have to live by it. Creeping and crawling animals lie on the earth with their whole body. And beasts, although larger, are more raised from the earth, and yet, because of their greedy desires, they all look towards the earth. The reptiles, inside the wall signify the thoughts which fluctuate in the human heart, which continually desire to wallow in earthly desires. The beasts which he saw inside the wall signify that when a man entertains any righteous and proper thought, he does not lic altogether on
dan wuhta，ac bið hwæthwugu upahafen swæ łæt neat from eorðan ；ac for ðære gewilnunge［gewilnunga］worldgilpes \＆gidsunge he onlyt ungerisenlice to $\chi_{i s s u m}$ eor $\begin{aligned} & \text { licum，swæ } \\ & \text { đot neat for gifer－}\end{aligned}$ nisse onlyt to ไære eorðan．Eac wæs gesewen on ðæm wage atifred ealle خa heargas Israhela folces，\＆eac sio gidsung pe sanctus Paulus $^{\text {s }}$ ewæ૪ ðæt wære hearga \＆idelnesse gefera．${ }^{\vee}$ Swiðe ryhtlice hit wæs awriten æfter $\searrow æ m$ nietenum $\chi_{æ t} \searrow_{a}$ heargas wæron atifrede，forðæm $\chi_{\text {teah }} \chi_{e}$ ful monige mid gerisenlicum weorcum arisen from eorðan， mid ungerisenlicum gewilnungum Xissa worldxinga hie hie selfe alecgeał on eorðan．For $\begin{aligned} & \text { y wæs swiðe wel gecweden } \Varangle æ t ~ h i t ~ w æ r e ~\end{aligned}$ atiefred，for 欠on łonne mou smear on his mode ymb hwelc eorłlie Xing，ðomne deð he swelce he hit amete \＆atifre on his heortan，\＆ swæ tweolice \＆unfæestlice he atifreð Łæs łinges onlicnesse on his mode pe he Xonne ymb smeǎ．Eac is to wietonne そæt ærest bið
 ontyned bix，Xonne mæg mon gesion gif ðær hwelc diglu scond inne bið，swæ se witga dyde．Feorrone $\chi_{u}$ meaht gesion，gif se wag bið
 ða duru antyne．」 Swæ $\chi_{u}$ meaht ælcne unðeaw on $\chi_{æ m}$ men ærest be sumum tacnum ongietan，hwes $\chi_{u}$ wenan scealt，ær he hit mid wordum orłe mid weorcum cyðe．Siððan he hit ðonne mid ðara ałrum cy̌，ðonne bið sio duru خære unryhtwisnesse ontyned $\Varangle_{æ t} \chi_{u}$ meaht gesion eall ðæt yfel openlice ðæt ðærinne lutað．Monige hiera
 ne gesyngar，ac of unwisdome \＆ungewisses oððe ungewaldes orðe of
the earth like the reptiles，but is somewhat raised from the earth like the beasts ；but，from the desire of worldly fame and cupidity，he is im－ properly inclined to earthly things，as the beast from greediness bends to the earth．There were also seen painted on the wall all the idols of the people of Israel，and also the cupidity which St．Paul said was the companion of idols and vanity．It is very rightly written that after the beasts the idols were painted，because，although very many are elevated from the earth with proper works，they lay themselves on the earth with improper desires of earthly things．Therefore it was very well said that it was painted，because，when á man meditates in his heart about any earthly thing，he，as it were，draws and paints it in his heart，and thus he dubiously and unfirmly paints the likeness
dan wuhta，ae［bið］hwæthwugu ûpahæfen sua ไæt neat from eorðan ； ac for ไære gewilnunga woroldgielpes \＆gietsunga he onlytt unge－ risenlice to Xissum eorðlicum，sua そæt neat for gifernesse onlŷt to ðære eorðan．Eac wæs gesewen on $\succ_{æ m}$ wage atifred ealle $\succ_{a}$ 5 heargas Israhela folces，\＆eac sio gitsung ðe sanctus Paulus cuæ૪ そæt wære hearga \＆idelnesse gefera．Suiłe ryhtlice hit wæs awriten æfter ไæm nitenum ðæt ða heargas wæron atiefrede，for｀am ðeah ðe ful monige mid gerisenlicum weoreum arisen from eor $\begin{aligned} & \text { an，mid unge－}\end{aligned}$ risenlicum gewilnungum $\begin{aligned} \text { issa worold } & \text { inga hie hie selfe alecgeað on }\end{aligned}$ 10 eorðan．Forðy wæs suiðe wel gecueden ðæt hit wære atiefred， for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { Konne mon smea久 on his mode ymb hwele eorðlic Xing，}\end{aligned}$ Xonne deð he suelce he hit amete \＆atiefre on his heortan，\＆sua
 ૪e he ðonne ymb smeað．Eac is to wietanne ðæt æresð bið se wâh 15 ðurhðyrelod，\＆siððan mon wyre夭 duru to．Gif sio 夭onne ontyned bix，ðonne mæg mon geseon gif Łær hwele dieglu scond inne bið，sua se witga dyde．Feorrane $\chi_{u}$ meaht geseon，gif se wâh bið خyrel，
 ontyne．Sua خu meaht ælene unخeaw on 犭æm menn æresð be sumum 20 tacnu $m$ ongietan，hwæs $\nsucc u$ wenan scealt，ær he hit mid wordum ołðe mid weorcum cyðe．Sieððan he hit łonne mid đara awłrum cyð， ðonne bið sio duru ðære unryhtwisnesse ontyned $\begin{aligned} & \text { æ } \\ & \not \supset u \text { meaht }\end{aligned}$ geseon eall ðæt yfel openlice ไæt さærinne lutað．Monige hira ðonne sindon suiðe liðelice to $\begin{array}{r}\text { reageanne，} \\ \text { ©onne } \\ \text { he of } \\ \text { yfelum willan ne }\end{array}$ 25 gesyngað，ac of unwisdome \＆ungewisses orðe ungewealdes ołðe of
of the thing he meditates on in his mind．It is also to be known that the wall is first pierced，and then a door is added．If then the door is thrown open，we can see if there is any shameful secret inside， as the prophet did．Thou canst see from afar，if the wall is pierced， but thou canst not see what is hidden inside unless thou open the door．So thou canst first infer some vice in a man from certain signs， what thou shalt expect，before he reveal it with words or deeds． When he reveals it with either of them，the door of unrighteousness is thrown open，so that thou canst openly see all the evil that therein lurks．And many of them are to be chided very gently，when they do not sin from evil will，but from imprudence，and unwittingly or involuntarily，or from instincts of the flesh，or from want of firmness，
flæsclicum gecynde orre of wacmodnesse \＆of unbieldo orre of un－ trymnesse modes oře lichoman．Forðæm is swiðe micel nied $\begin{aligned} & \text { earf }\end{aligned}$ đæt mon mid micelre gemetgunge swelcra scylda łreaunga geliðigie \＆ gemetgige，forłonpe we ealle，pa hwile pe we libbał on خissum dead－ lican flæsce，そære tidernesse \＆そære hnescnesse ures flæsces we bioð underðidde．Be him selfum sceal relc mon geđencean hu he ołrum deman wille，ðylæs he sie ongieten Xæt he sie onstyred \＆onæled mid ðæm andan his hieremonna unðeawa，\＆hæbbe hiene selfne for－ gietenne．Be Xæm swǐ̌e wel Paulus us manode，pa he cwæ૪：Gif hwa sie abisgod mid hwelcum scyldum，ge Xonne pe gastlice sindon gelærað $\chi_{a}$ swelcan mid manðwærnesse gaste ；gesceawiað eow selfe， ðylæs eow becyme costnung．Swelce he openlice cwæde：Đonne eow misliciað pa medtrymnessa pe ge on oðrum monnum gesioð，夭onne

 ge on ołrum monnum tælað．Ond ðeah sindon monige swiłe swiðe to $\not \begin{array}{r}\text { reageanne，} \\ \text { ©onne hie selfe nyllað ongietan hiera scylda，} \npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~\end{array}$
 hie habbar on hiera scyldrum［scyldum］；Xonne hie willað him selfum

 そæs recceres ryht ðæt he đurh đa stemne his lareowdomes ætiewe đæt wuldor ðæs uplican eঠles，\＆hu monega digla costunga łæs ealdan
 he his hieremonna yflu to hnesclice forberan ne sceal，ac mid miclum
or timidity，or weakness of mind or body．Therefore it is very neces－ sary that the chiding of such sins be tempered and regulated with great moderation，because we all，while we live in this mortal flesh， are subject to the weakness and frailty of our flesh．Let every man consider from his own circumstances how he will judge others，lest it be known that he is excited and inflamed with indignation at the vices of his subjects，and has forgotten himself．Therefore Paul admonished us very well，saying：＂If any one be afflicted with any， sins，ye who are spiritual instruct such ones with the spirit of humanity；contemplate yourselves，lest temptation assail you．＂As if he had openly said：＂When ye are offended at the weaknesses which
flæsclicum gecynde orte of wâcmodnesse \＆of ûnbieldo orře of un－ trymnesse modes ołðe lichoman．Forłæm is suiðe micel niedæearf ðæt mon mid micelre gemetgunge suelcra scylda ðreaunga geliðige \＆
 5 deadlican flæsce，ðære tidernesse \＆Łære hnescnesse ures flæsces we beoð underðiedde．Bi him selfum ælc mon sceal gełencean hu he ołrum deman wille，ðylæs he sie ongieten $\npreceq$ æt he sie onstyred \＆ onæled mid $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { andan his hieremonna unðeawa，\＆hæbbe hine selfne }\end{array}$ forgietenne．Be $\npreceq æ m ~ s u i \nsucceq e ~ w e l ~ P a u l u s ~ u ̂ s ~ m a n o d e, ~ ð a ~ h e ~ c u æ ð: ~ G i f ~$ 10 hwa sie abisegod mid hwelcum scyldum，ge łonne łe gæsðlice sindon gelærað $\chi_{a}$ suelcan mid monnðwærnesse gæste；gesceawiað eow selfe，ðylæs eow becume costung．Suelce he openlice cuæde： Đonne eow misliciað $\Varangle_{a}$ mettrumnessa $\chi_{e}$ ge on ołrum monnum geseoð，ðonne gełence ge hwæt ge sien \＆hwelce ge sien ；fořæm 15 ðæt ge eower mod gemetgien on そæm niðe，خonne ge eow selfum ôn－ drædað ðæt Łæt ge on ołrum［monnum］tælað．Ond ðeah sindon monige suiðe suiłe to łreageanne，そonne hie selfe nyllað ongietan hiera scylda，そæt hi ðonne gehieran łreagende of ðæs lariowes mǔe hu micle byrðenne hie habbað on hiera scyldum；ðonne hie willað him 20 selfum ðæt yfel $ð æ t$ hie ðurhtugon to suiðe gelihtan，ðæt hie ðonne on－ dræden for Łæs lareowes ðreaunga łæt hie hit him geliefegigen．Đæt
 ætiewe đæt wuldor Łæs úplican éðles，\＆hu moniga digla costunga đæs ealdan feondes lutigea $ð$ on $૪$ ys andweardan life he eac geopenige，ond $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ 25 he his hieremonna yfelu to hnesclice forberan ne sceal，ac mid miclum ye see in others，consider what and who ye are，that ye may moderate ${ }^{\circ}$ your angry zeal，when ye fear in yourselves that which ye blame in $R_{1}$ others．＂$\times$ And yet many are to be very severely blamed，when they are unwilling to perceive their sins，that they may be blamed by the teacher＇s mouth，and hear how great a burden of sins they have ； that when they wish to make too light of the evil they have done，they may fear the weight of the teacher＇s blame．$X$ It is the duty of the ruler with the voice of his instruction to display the glory of the lofty regions，and to show how many secret temptations of the old foe lurk in this present life，and not to suffer too gently the sins of his subjects， but correct them with great zeal and severity，lest he be responsible
andan \& reðnesse him stiere, ðylæs he sie seyldig ealra hiera scylda, ðoune him hiera na ne of $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { nncð. Be } ð æ m ~ w æ s ~ s w i ̌ e ~ w e l ~ g e c w e d e n ~ t o ~\end{aligned}$ Ezechiele: Nim sume tiglan, \& lege beforan $\chi_{e}$, \& writ on hiere $\succ_{a}$ burg Hierusalem. And sona æfter ‘on he cwæð: Besittað hie utan, \& wyrcead ołer fæsten wił lie, \& berað hiere hlæd to, \& send ðærto gefylceo, \& ðerscał ðone weall mid rammum. And eft he him tæhte to fultome ðæt he him gename ane irene hierstepannan, \& sette betweoh hiene \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { a burg for iserne weall. Hwæt tacnað } \nless \text { onne }\end{aligned}$
 ane tiglan, \& lege beforan $\gamma \mathrm{e}$, \& writ on hiere $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ burg Hierusalem? Đa halgan lareowas đonne him nimað tiglan, ðonne he ðara eorðlicra monna heortan underfoł to læronne. Đonne hie lecgeað ła tiglan beforan hie, je him beboden wæs ðæt hie sceoldon ða ceastre Hierusalem on awritan, Xonne hie behealdað ealle $\nsucc a$ innge $\not$ oncas hiera modes, \& swið̌e geornlice giemað ðæt hie ða eorðlican heortan gelæren, \& him ætiewen hwele sie ðære uplican sibbe gesih $\gamma$, \& hu on idelnesse mon ongit Godes $\succ$ æt hefonlice wuldor, gif he ne ongit hu maniga costunga $\not$ ðes lytegan feondes him onfeallað. Swiłe wel he hit geiccte mid خissum, pa he cwæð: Ymbsittað ða burg swiðe gebyrdelice, \& getrymiað eow wið hie. Đa halgan lareowas ymbsitta $\gamma$ ja tiglan, pe sio burh Hierusalem on atifred bið, $\chi_{o n n e ~ h i e ~}^{\text {}}$ æm menniscan mode, je ðeah ðæt uplice lif seč, ætiwað hu manega him on $\chi_{y s}$ andweardum life frecenlice wiðerwearde unðeawas him wiðfeohtað, \& hu æghwelc syn bið sætigende خæs خeondan monnes. \& swæ swæ se here sceolde beon getrymed onbutan Hierusalem, swæ sculon beon
for all their sins, when he is not at all incensed at them. Therefore it was very well said to Ezekiel: "Take a tile, and lay it before thee, and draw on it the city of Jerusalem." ' And soon after he said: "Besiege it, and build another fortress against it, and bring up a mound against it, and send armies against it, and batter the wall with rams." And, again, he directed him to protect himself by taking an iron frying-pan, and placing it between himself and the city for an iron wall. What does Ezekiel the prophet signify but teachers, to whom is said: "Take a tile, and lay it before thee, and draw on it the city of Jerusalem ?" Holy teachers take a tile, when they undertake the charge of teaching earthly men's hearts. They lay the tile, on which they were
andan \＆rełnesse him stiere，ðylæs he sie scyldig ealra hira scylda， ðonne him hiera na ne of $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { nč．Be } \\ \text { Xæm wæs suǐe wel gecueden to }\end{aligned}$
 burg Hierusalem．\＆sona æfter $\not$ æmm he cuæð ：Besittað hie utan，\＆ 5 wyrceað ołer fæsten wið hie，\＆berað hiere hlæd tô，\＆send łærto gefylcio，\＆Xerscað ðone weall mid rammum．\＆eft he him tæhte to fultome łæt he him gename ane iserne hearstepannan，\＆sette betweoh hine \＆ła burg for iserne weall．Hwæt tacnað Xonne Ezechhiel se
 10 \＆lege beforan $\nless e$, \＆writ on hiere ða burg Hierusalem？Đa halgan lareowas Łonne him nimał tigelan，ðonne hie ไara eorðlicra monna
 そe him beboden wæs đæt hi scolden đa ceastre Hierusalem ôn âwritan，

 sie đære uplican sibbe gesiehð，\＆hu on idelnesse mân ôngiett Godes
 lytegan feondes him ôn feallað．Suixe wel he hit geicte mid $犭$ ysum， $\Varangle_{a}$ he cuæð：Ymbsittað ða burg suiłe gebyrdelice，\＆getrymiað eow 20 wið hie．Đa halgan lareowas ymbsittað Ła tieglan，$^{2}$ e sio burg Hierusalem ôn atiefred bið，ðonne hi ðam menniscan mode，ðe ðeah ðæt uplice［lif］secð，ætiewað hu maneya him ôn 夭ys andweardum life frecenlice wiłerwearde unðeawas him wiðfeohtað，\＆hu æghwelc sŷn bið sætigende đæs خiondan monnes．\＆suæ suæ se here sceolde bion 25 getrymed onbutan Hierusalem，suæ sculon beon getrymed $\Varangle_{a}$ word $\not$ ðæs
commanded to draw the city of Jerusalem，before them，when they behold all the thoughts of their minds，and with zealous care instruct worldly hearts，and show them what the sight of exalted peace is，and how a man understands in vain the heavenly wonders of God，if he does not understand how many temptations of the crafty foe assail him．$r_{r}$ Very well he added these words ：＂Zealously beset the city， and arm yourselves against itt．＂$\vee$ Holy teachers beset the tile，whereon is drawn the city of Jerusalem，when they show tlye human mind， which yet seeks exalted life，how many dangerous vices oppose and fight against it in this present life，and how every sin lies in wait for the flourishing man．And as the army was to be arrayed round
getrymed $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { word } \nsucc æ s ~ s a c e r d e s ~ y m b u t a n ~ \\ & \text { ðet mod his hieremonna. \& }\end{aligned}$ ne sceal he no $\rtimes_{æ t}$ an bodigean his hieremonnum hu $\chi_{a}$ synna him wiðwimað, ac he him sceal eac cyðan mid hwelcum cræftum he him wiðstondan mæg. Swiðe ryhtlice wæs se eaca đærto gedon, ða mon to ðæm witgan cwæ૪: Wyrceað fæsten ymb ða burg. Wiotodlice
 æone cræft hu hit mæg costungum wiłstondan, \& him eac gesæg૪ hu Xæm monnum pe him mægen \& cræft wixst, hu him eac hwilum æfter ðæm mægenum eakiað ða costunga. Be ðæm wæs swiðe ryhte gecweden : Bera久 hiere to hlæd, \& ymbsittað hie, \& gał to mid ram-
 him gecyðð hu sio byrðen wixst \& hefegað. Eac he arær૪ ceastre wið Hierusalem, ðonne he Łæm ryhtlicum ingeðonce his hieremonna foresæg૪ ða dieglan sætinga ðæs lytegan feondes, pe he him wenan mæg. And eac he birð rammas ymbutan ðæt mod his hieremonna, Xonne he him gecyð mid hu scearplicum costungum we sint æghwonan utan behringde, \& se weall ures mægenes ðurhðyrelod mid ðæm scearpan rammum ðara costunga. And swæðeah nu, ðeah se
 ne him nohte $\begin{aligned} & \text { on ma ne beo forlætna his agna synna, buton he sie }\end{aligned}$ onæled mid ryhtwislicum andan wir his hieremonna scylda. Be
 irene hierstepannan, \& sete betweoxn ðe \& Hierusalem for iserne


Jerusalem, so are the words of the priest to be arrayed round the mind of his subjects. And he is not only to proclaim to his subjects how sins fight against them, but he is also to show them with what arts they can withstand them. It was besides very rightly said to the prophet : "Construct a fortress round the city." $\Varangle$ The holy teacher constructs a fortress round the city of the mind, which he teaches the art of withstanding temptations, and tells him how, when a man's virtue and wisdom increase, his temptations also often increase in proportion to his excellence. $\vee$ About which was very rightly said: "Bring a mound against it, and beset it, and attack it with battering-rams." Every teacher brings a mound against the man's mind, when he shows him how the burden grows and oppresses. He also raises a camp against
sacerdes ymbutan ðæt mod his hieremonna. \& ne sceal he no łæt ân bodigan his hieremonnum hu ða synna him wiðwinnað, ac he him sceal eac cyðan mid hwelcu $m$ cræftum he him wirstondan mæg. Swiðe ryhtlice wæs se eaca [ðær]to gedỏn, $\chi_{a}$ mon to ðæm witgan 5 cuæð : Wyrceað fæsten ymb ða burg. Wiotodlice fæsten wyrcł se
 mæg costingum wi(ð)stondan, \& him eac gesægð hu đæm monnum ðe him mægen \& cræft wiex૪, hu him eac hwilum eakiað æfter ðæm mægenum ða costunga. Be ðæm wæs suiðe ryhte gecueden: Berað
10 hire to hlæd, \& ymbsittał hie, \& gað tô mid rammum. Đonne bire ælc lareow hlæd to łæs monnes mode, ૪onne he him gecył૪ hu sio byrłen wiex $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& hefegað. Eac he aræ૪ ceastre wið Hierusalem, ૪onne }\end{aligned}$
 sætenga łæs lytegan feondes, そe he him wenan mæg. \& eac he bierð 15 rammas ymbutan łæt môd his hieremonna, ðonne he him gecyð mid hu scearplicu $m$ costungu $m$ we sint æghwonon utan behrincgde, \& se weall ures mægenes ðurhðyrelað mid $\begin{array}{r}\text { an } \\ \text { scearpan } \\ \text { ramman } \\ \text { ðara }\end{array}$ costunga. Ond suałeah nu, તeah se lareow خis eall smealice \& openlice gecyðe, ne forstent hit him noht, ne him nohte ðon ma ne beoð 20 forlætna his agna synna, buton he sie onæled mid ryhtwislicum andan wið his hieremonna scylda. Be $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { is [git] suǐe ryhtlice gecueden } \\ \text { nen }\end{aligned}$ to ðæm witgan: Genim ðe ane iserne hierstepannau, \& sete betweoxn $\nprec$ \& Hierusalem for iserne weall. Đurh $\begin{array}{r}\text { a pannan is getacnod se }\end{array}$ wielm ไæs modes, \& ૪urh ðæt isern ไæt mægen ðara خreatunga.

Jerusalem, when he warns the righteous understanding of his subjects of the secret machinations of the cunning foe, which they are to expect. And also he brings battering-rams round the mind of his subjects, when he shows them with how sharp temptations we are outwardly surrounded on all sides, while the wall of our virtue is pierced with the sharp battering-rams of temptations. And yet, although the teacher preach all this carefully and openly, it avails him nought, nor are his own sins pardoned any the more, unless he be inflamed with righteous zeal against the sins of his subjects. About which is further very rightly said to the prophet: "Take an iron fryingpan, and place it betiween thee and Jerusalem for an iron wall." By the pan is signified the fervour of the spirit, and by the iron the
isern ðæt mægen ðara ðreatunga. Hwæt is ðinga pe biterre sie on ðæs lareowes mode, ołðe hit swiður gehierste \& gegremige łonne se anda ðe for ryhtwisnesse bið upahafen? Mid ðisse pannan hierstinge wæs Paulus onbærned, pa he cwæ૪: Hwa bið medtrum, خæt ic ne sie eac for his ðingum sioc? O૪ðe hwa bið gescended, ðæt me forðæm ne scamige? Ond swæ hwelc swæ mid ðæm Godes andan bið onæled, ne bið he for giemeliste gehiened, ac he bið stranglice wið ${ }_{\text {}}$ a getrymed
 Sete iserne weall betweox $\nsucc$ \& $\npreceq a$ burh. Đa isernan hierstepannan he tæhte for iserne weall to settonne betweoh łæm witgan \& ðære byrh, forłon nu ða recceras ætiewað swæ strangne andan $\begin{aligned} & \text { y hie willa } \\ & \text { h }\end{aligned}$ ðæt hie hiene eft hæbben on $\begin{gathered} \\ \end{gathered}$ hieremonnum to isernum wealle, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { æt } \\ & \text { is to gewitnesse } \npreceq æ t ~ h i t ~ h i m ~ n e ~\end{aligned}$ licode, ðeah he hit gebetan ne meahte. Forłæm ðonne ðæs recceres mod wirð to reðe on ðære ðreaunga, ðonne abirst đær hwilum hwæthwugu ut ðæs fe he swugian sceolde. Ond oft eac gelimpeð, ðonne he
 his word bioð gehwirfdo to unnyttre oferspræce. Đonne sio ðreaung bið ungemetgad, ðonne bið ðæt mod $\not$ ææs agyltendan mid ormodnesse
 he his hieremonna mod swiður gedrefed hæf $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { he sceolde, } \\ \text { ææt }\end{gathered}$ he sona for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hreowsige, } ð æ t ~ h e ~ ð u r h ~ p a ~ h r e o w s u n g a ~ g e m e t e ~ f o r-~\end{aligned}$ gifnesse beforan ðære Soðfæestnesse ðæs pe he ðurh ða geornfulnesse his andan gesyngade. Đæt ilce Dryhten God us bisnade ðurh Moysen, pa he cwæ> : Gif hwa gonge bilwitlice mid his friend to wuda treow
efficiency of reproof. What thing is there that is bitterer in the teacher's mind, or more fries and excites it, than the zeal which is roused in the cause of righteousness? With the frying of this pan Paul was inflamed, when he said: "Who is infirm, and I am not sick on his account? or who is shamed, and I am not ashamed?" And whoever is inflamed with divine zeal is not condemned through negligence, but is strongly fortified against it for ever. $V$ About whịch was very rightly said to the prophet: "Place an iron wall between thee and the city." He directed the prophet to place the iron fryingpan for an iron wall between himself and the city, because the rulers show such severe zeal now, fince they wish to have it afterwards in eternal life as an iron wall between themselves and their subjects, to

Hwæt is 犭ienga łe bieter[r]e sie on Łæs lareowes mode, o૪ðe hit suiður [ge]hierste \& gegremige łonne se anda łe for ryhtwisnesse bið úpâhæfen? Mid ðisse pannan hierstinge wæs Paulus onbærned, ða he cuæ૪: Hwa bið medtrum, łæt ic ne sie eac for his Xingum seoc? 5 Ołðe hwa bið gescended, ðæt me for $\not æ m$ ne scamige? Ond sua hwele sua mid ðam Godes andan bið onæled, ne bið he for giemeleste gehiened, ac he bið stranglice wið $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ getrymed on ecnesse. Bi ðæm wæs suiðe ryhte gecueden to ðæm witgan : Sete iserne weall betuh ðe \& ða burh. $^{\text {ba }}$ isernan hierstepannan he tæhte for iserne weall to 10 settanne betuh ðæm witgan \& خære byrig, forðam nu ða recceras ætiewað sua strang[ne] andan $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ hie wiellað ðæt hie hiene eft hæbben on łæm ecan life betux him \& hiera hieremonnum to isernum wealle, ðæt is to gewitnesse ðæt hit him ne licode, ðeah he hit gebetan ne meahte. Forðæm Łonne そæs recceres mod wyr` to reไe on ðære 15 ðreaunga, ðonne abievsð đær hwilum hwæthwugu ut đæs ðe he sugian sceolde. Ond oft eac gelimpe૪, Xonne he to suiłe \& to ðearllice ðreapian wile his hieremenn, ðæt his word beoð gehwyrfedo to unnyttre oferspræce. Đonne sio ðreaung bið ungemetgad, ðonne bið ðæt mod ðæs agyltendan mid ormôdnesse gełrysced. Forðæm is micel ðearf, 20 ðonne se reða reccere ongiett $\Varangle_{æ t}$ he his hieremonna môd suiłur


 Dryhten God ús bisnade ðurh Moysen, ða he cuæ૪: Gif hwa gonge 25 bilwitlice mid his friend to wuda treow to ceorfanne, \& sio æcs ðonne
show that they did not approve of it, although they could not reform it. But when the ruler's spirit is too severe in reproof, something sometimes breaks forth which he ought to keep silent. And it also often happens that, when he reproves his subjects too severely, his words become perverted to useless loquacity. When the reproof is excessive, the mind of the sinner is driven to despair. $>$ Therefore it is very necessary, when the severe ruler perceives that he has afflicted the minds of his subjects more than he ought, for him to repent at once, that through his repentance he may obtain from the Truth forgiveness of the sin he committed through his angry zeal. ${ }^{\text {C }}$ The same the Lord God illustrated for us through Moses, when he sefil : "If any one go innocently with his friend to the forest to cut wood, and the
to ceorfanne，\＆sio æcs خonne awint of ðæm hielfe，\＆swæ unge－ wealdes ofslih久 his geferan，he خonne sceal fleon to anra ðara $\begin{array}{r}\text { reora }\end{array}$ burga be to friðstowe gesette sint \＆libbe，ðylæs hwelc ðara nihstena
 To wuda we gað mid urum freondum swæ oft swæ we sceawiað $u r(r)$ a hieremonna unðeawas；\＆bilwitlice we heawað ðone wudu，ðonne we ðara gyltendra scylda mid arfæstes ingeðonces lare anweg aceorfað．
 sio lar wint on reðnesse swiður ðonne mon niede sciele．Sio æcs
 mid $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { his } & \text { freond gewunda久，ołðe ofslih} \gamma, ~ ð o n n e ~ h e ~ h i e n e ~ o n ~\end{array}$ unrotnesse o$ð$ o on ormodnesse gebringð mid his edwite， $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah he hit }\end{aligned}$ for lufum dô，ðæt he geopenige his unðeawas．Swæðeah ðæt geðrea－ tude mod bið swiðe hræðe gehwierfed to feounga，gif him mon to
 Ac se se pe unwærlice 犭one wudu hiew $\gamma, \&$ swæ his freond ofslih $\gamma$ ， him bił niedłearf ðæt he fleo to Xara ૪reora burga anre，ðæt he on sumre ðara weor｀e genered，ðæt he mote libban； $\begin{aligned} & \text { æot } \\ & \text { is } \\ & \text { æot }\end{aligned}$ he gehweorfe to hreowsunga，\＆swæ fleo to $\begin{aligned} & \text { ara } \\ & \text { łreora burga sumre，}\end{aligned}$ ðæt is tohopa \＆lufu \＆geleafa．Se to anra ðara burga geflihð，ðonne mæg he beon orsorg ðæs monslihtes；ðeah hiene ðær meten ða nihstan
 se ryhtwisa Dema cymð，se pe hiene on urne geferscipe ðurh flæ（s）ces gecynd gemengde，ne wric $\curlyvee$ he mid nanum $\begin{aligned} & \text { ingum } \\ & \text { ða scylde on him，}\end{aligned}$ for $ð æ m$ under his forgifnesse hiene gefriðode sio lufu \＆se geleafa \＆ se tohopa．
axe slip from the handle，and he thus involuntarily kill his companion， he shall flee to one of the three cities which are appointed sanctuaries， and live，lest one of the neighbouŕs of the slain man in his anger pursue him，and catch and kill him．＂We go to the forest with our friends，whenever we observe the faults of our subjects；and we inno－ cently hew wood，when we cut away the sins of the guilty with the instruction of pious thoughts．．But the axe slips from the handle， and also out of our hands，when the instruction becomes severer than is necessary．The txe slips from the handle，when too severe words proceed from the reproof，with which one＇s friend is wounded or slain； when he is brought to sadness or despair by reproaches，although it is－ done out of love，to show his faults．The rebuked mind is very
 sceal fleon to anra ðara ðreora burga ðe to friðstowe gesette sint \＆ libbe，ðylæs hwele ðara niehstena ðæs ofslægenan for ðæm sare his ehte，\＆hine ૪onne gefoo \＆ofslea．To wuda we gał mid urum 5 freondum sua oft sua we sceawiað ura hieremonna unðeawas；\＆ bilwitlice we heawað ૪one wudu，ไonne we ðara gyltendra scylda mid ârfæs૪ðes ingełonces la［re］anweg âceorfað．Ac sio æcs wint of ðam hielfe，\＆eac us ôf đære honda，ไonne ðonne sio lar wint on rełnesse suiður ðonne mon niede scyle．Sio æcs wient of ðæm hielfe，ðonne of 10 ðære łreatunga gâł to stiælico word，\＆mid ðam his freond gewundað， o૪ðe ofsliehð，૪onne he hine［on］unrotnesse o $\searrow$［ e ］on ormodnesse gebring $ð$ mid his edwite，đeah he hit for lufum dô，そæt he geopenige his ûňeawas．Suałeah ðææt geðreatade mod bið suiðe raðe gehwier－ fed to fio［u］nga，gif him mon to ungemetlice mid łære łreapunga 15 oferfylgð suiður Łonne mon ðyrfe．Ac se se łe unwærlice ðone wuda hiew $૪$ ，\＆sua his freond ofslieh $\gamma$ ，him bið nidrearf 犭æt he fleo to ðara ૪reora burga anre，đæt on sumere ðara weorðe genered，ðæt he mote libban ；ðæt is خæt he gehweorfe to hreowsunga，\＆sua fleo to ðara ðreora burga sumere，ðæt is tohopa \＆lufu \＆geleafa．Se to 20 anra ૪ara burga gefliehð，犭onne mæg he bion orsorg ðæs monnsliehtes； ðeah hine łær meten ða niehstan ðæs ofslægenan，ne sleał hi hiene na；for¿æm ðonne se ðearla \＆se ryhtwisa Dema cymð，se ðe hine on urne geferscipe ðurh flæsces gecynd gemengde，ne wriecð he mid
 gefrieðode sio lufu \＆se geleafa \＆se tohopa．
soon turned to hatred，if pursued too much with more reproof than is necessary．But he who carelessly hews the wood，and so slays his friend，must flee to one of the three cities，that he may save himself in one of them，that he may live ；that is，he is to turn to repentance， and so flee to one of the three cities，that is，hope，love，and faith． He who flees to one of the three cities need not be apprehensive about the homicide ；even if the neighbours of the slain man meet him there， they will not kill him ；because，when the severe and righteous Judge comes，who associated with us in the flesh，he will not exact punish－ ment from him for the sin，because under his forgiveness he is pro－ tected by love，faith，and hope．

XXII．Hu swiłe se reccere sceal bion on his smeaunga abisgod on ［ymb］夭ære［「a］halgan æ．

Ac eall $\begin{aligned} & \text { is } \\ & \text { aredał se reccere swiðe ryhte，} \\ & \text { 万onne he for Godes lufum }\end{aligned}$
 خa bebodu haligra gewrita，$\chi_{æ}$ tte on him sie uparæred se cræft $૪ æ r e$ giemenne ymbe $\nsucc$ foresceawunga $\nprec$ æs hefonlican lifes，ðone singallice「isse eorðlican drohtunge gewuna wile toweorpan，buton hiene sio myndgung ૪ara haligra gewrita onbryrde ；forłæm se eorðlica gefer－ scipe hiene tih $\gamma$ on $ð$ a lufe his ealdan ungewunan，he sceal simle higian ૪æt he weorłe onbryrd \＆geedniwad to $ð æ m$ hefonlican eðle．Ac his $\bmod$ bi૪ swiłe yregende \＆swiðe abisgod mid eorðlicra monna

 oðłæt he afil of his agnum willan；ac him bið ૪earf $ð æ t$ he hiene genime simle be ðære leornunge haligra gewrita，\＆be $ð æ m ~ a r i s e . ~$ For ðissum 夭ingum manoda Paulus Timotheum his cniht，\＆cwæ૪： Đonne ic cume， $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne beo } \gamma u \text { abisgod ymbe rædinge．And eft Dauid }\end{aligned}$ be $ð æ m$ ilcan spræc，$૪$ a he cwæ૪：Loca，Dryhten，hu swi犭e ic lufige Xine æ；ealne dæg ૪æt bił min smeaung．Eft be ૪ys ilcan bebead Dryhten Moyse hu he sceolde beran $\not$ a earce，pa he cwæ૪：Wyrc feower hringas ælgyldene，\＆ahoh hie swiðe fæste on $\begin{aligned} & \text { feower hyrnan }\end{aligned}$ ૪ære earce；\＆hat wyrcean twegen stengeas of $犭 æ m$ treowe，pe is haten sethim，૪æt ne wier久 næfre forrotod；\＆befoh utan mid golde；\＆ sting ut ðurh $૪$ a hringas bi ðære earce sidan，ðæt hie mon mæge

XXII．How greatly the ruler is to be engaged in meditating on the holy law．
But the ruler arranges all this very rightly，when he does every－ thing for the fear and love of God，and daily meditates zealously on the commands of the holy Scriptures，that in him the power of the provident care of the heavenly life be exalted，which the habit of this earthly life is ever about to destroy，unless the admonition of the holy Scriptures inspire him ；since earthly companionship draws him to the love of his former bad habits，he must ever strive to be inspired and regenerated for the heavenly regions．But his mind fluctuates greatly and is disturbed by the words of earthly men，because it is openly

XXII．Hu swiðe se reccere sceal beon on his smeaunga abisgod ymb ૪a halgan $\mathfrak{\text { ®．}}$
 \＆for Godes ege deð خæt łæt he deð，\＆ælce dæge geornfullice smeað 5 ða bebodu halegra gewrita，Xætte on him sie uppâræred se cræft đære
 Xisse eorðlican drohtunge gewuna wile toweorpan，buton hine sio myndgung ðara haligra gewrita onbryrde ；forðæm se eorðlica gefer－ scipe hine tieh $\mathrm{r}_{\text {on }} \mathrm{\gamma}_{a}$ lufe his ealdan ungewunan， $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{e}]$ sceal simle 10 higian đæt he weorłe onbryrd \＆geedniwad to ðæm hefonlican exle． Ac his mod bið suiðe ie Xegende \＆suiðe abisgad mid eorðlicra monua wordum，forðam hit is openlice cuð łætte sio uterre abisgung ðissa woroldðinga łæs monnes môd gedref $૪$ ，\＆hine scofett hidres łædres， ołxæt he âfielł of his agnum willan ；ac［him］bið 犭earf 犭æt he hine 15 genime simle be $\Varangle_{æ r e}$ leornunge haligra gewrita，\＆be $\Varangle_{a m}$ arise． For $\begin{aligned} & \text { iosum } \\ & \text { Xingum manade Paulus Timotheum his ciniht，\＆cuæ } \gamma \text { ：}\end{aligned}$ Đonne ic cume，ðonne beo $\succ_{u}$ abisgad ymbe rædinge．\＆eft Dauit be Xam ilcan spræc，ða he cuæ૪：Loca，Dryhten，hu suiłe ic lufige ðine $\mathfrak{\notin}$ ；ealne dæg $\not$ ææt bið min smeaung．Eft bi $\not$ ys ilcan Dryhten 20 bebead Moyse hu he scolde beran $\not \supset a$ earce，$\not \supset a$ he cuæ $૪$ ：Wyrc feower hringas ælgyldene，\＆âhoh hie suiðe fæste on $\chi_{a}$ feower hyrnan ðære earce；\＆hat wyrcean twegen stengas of $犭 æ m$ treowe，$\chi_{e}$ is haten sethim，ðæt ne wyrð næfre forrotad ；\＆befoh utan mid golde ；\＆

known that the outer occupation with worldly matters disturbs the mind of man，and drives it hither and thither，until he falls of his own will ；but he must always collect himself and rise again by the study of the holy Scriptures．Therefore Paul admonished his servant Timothy， saying：＂When I come，be thou occupied with reading．＂And again， David spoke about the same，saying：＂Behold，Lord，how greatly I love thy law ；the whole day it is my contemplation．＂Again，on the same subject，the Lord directed Moses how the ark was to be carried， saying：＂Make four rings of pure gold，and fasten them very securely to the four corners of the ark；and order two poles to be made of the tree which is called sethim，and never rots，ánd surround them outside with gold ；and push them through the rings at the side of the ark，to
beran on $\Varangle æ m, ~ \& ~ l æ t ~ h i e ~ s t i c i a n ~ ð æ r o n ; ~ n e ~ t i o ~ h i e ~ m o n ~ n æ f r e ~ o f . ~$ Hwæt mæg ðonne elles sio eare tacnian buton $\chi_{a}$ halgan cyricean, on ðære sculon hangian ða fiower hringas on ðæm feower hyrnum, Łæt sint $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ feower hyrnan خisses middangeardes, binnan ðæm is tobrædd Godes folc, ðæt is utan begyrd mid ðæm feower godspellum? Đa sahlas $\Varangle$ oune, pe mon $ð$ a earce bi beran sceal, sticiað ealne weg
 treowe sethim, ðæt næfre ne rotað. Swæ sindon to secenne stronge \& unałrotene lareowas \& خurhwunigende on pære lare haligra boca, $\npreceq a$ simle sceolon bion bodigende ymbe $\chi_{a}$ anmodnesse $\nsucc æ r e ~ h a l g a n ~$ gesomnunge, swæ swæ ða anbestungnan sahlas ða earce berað. Đæt
 lareowas $\begin{aligned} & \text { a halgan gesomnunge beoð lærende pa niewan \& pa un- }\end{aligned}$ geleaffullan mod mid hiera lare gelæde to ryhtum geleafan. Đa sahlas is beboden $\chi_{æ t}$ sceoldon bion mid golde befangne. Đæt is, 久onne pa lareowas mid wordum oðre men lærað, ไæt hie eac selfe on hiera agnum weorcum beorhte scienen. Be そæm sahlum is swiðe gesceadlice gecweden $\nsucc æ$ hie sculon simle stician on $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { hringum, } \& \text { næfre }\end{array}$
 beoð gesette to ðære ૪egnunga ðæs lareowdomes $\begin{aligned} & \text { æ }\end{aligned}$ hie næfre ne gewieten from ðære geornfulnesse Łære rædinge \& leornunge haligra
 sceoldon stician on $\not$ æm gyldnum hringum, خylæs hiene ænig wuht gælde ungearewes, ðonne mon $\begin{aligned} \text { a earce beran sceolde. Đæt is ૪onne }\end{aligned}$ ðonne ðara lareowa hieremen hwæthwugu gastlices to him seceað, \&
carry it by, and let them remain there ; let no man ever draw them out." What signifies the ark but the holy Church, on which are to hang the four rings at the four corners, that is, the four corners of this world, within which is spread God's people, which is surrounded outside by the four Gospels? The poles, with which the ark was to be carried, remained always inside the rings, to carry the ark with, and were made of the tree sethim, which never rots. So strong and vigorous teachers are to be sought, steadfast in the instruction of the holy books, who are always to proclaim the unanimity of the holy assembly, as the inserted poles bear the ark. The ark is carried by the poles when good teachers instruct the congregation, and lead the young and
bera[n] on łam, \& læt hi stician łærôn; ne tio hie mon næfre of. Hwæt mæg ૪onne elles seo earc tacnian buton $\not$ ða halgan ciricean,
 sint $\Varangle_{a}$ feower hyrnan $\begin{gathered}\text { ises middangeardes, binnan } \not \text { ðæm is tobrædd }\end{gathered}$ 5 Godes folc, Łæt is utan begyrdd mid ðam feower godspellum? Đa saglas ̌onne, ไe mon ða earce big beran sceal, sticiað eallne weg inn on
 sethim, ðæt næfre ne rotax. Sua sindon to seceanne stronge \& unaðrotene lareowas \& ðurhwuniende on خære lare baligra boca, $\chi_{a}$
 nunga, sua sua $\Varangle_{a}$ anbestungne saglas $\Varangle_{a}$ earce berað. Đæt is ðonne
 halgan gesomnunge lærende đa niwan \& ða ungeleaffullan mod mid hira lare gelæde to ryhtum geleafan. Đa saglas is beboden łæt scoldon 15 beon mid golde befongne. $Đ \mathfrak{t}$ is, خonne $\chi_{a}$ lareowas mid wordum oðre menn lærat, łæt hi eac selfe on hira agnum weorcum biorhte scinen. Be ðam saglum is suiðe gesceadlice gecueden ðæt hie sculon simle stician on $\Varangle a m$ hringum, \& næfre ne moton him beon ôfatogene, forłæm is micel niedðearf æætte ða ðe beoð gesette to ðære ðenunga 20 łæs lareowdomes łæt hi næfre ne gewiten from łære geornfulnesse ðære rædinge \& leornunge haligra gewrita. Fortæm is eac gecu[e]den そætte simle $\chi_{a}$ ofergyldan saglas sceolden stician on $\nsucc æ m$ gyldnum hringum, ðylæs hine ænig wuht gælde ungearowes, તonne mon ða earce beran scolde. Đæt is خonne ðonne łara lareowa hieremenn

unbelieving spirits with their doctrine to righteous belief. The poles were to be cased in gold. That means that when the teachers instruct other men with their discourse, they are also themselves to shine brightly with their own works. It was very wisely directed that the poles were always to remain in the rings, and never be pulled out, because it is absolutely necessary that those who are appointed to the ministration of instruction never swerve from the desire of reading and learning the holy Scriptures. Therefore it was also directed that the gold-cased poles were always to remain in the golden rings, lest anything unexpected hindered the ark when it was to be carried. That is, when the subjects of the teachers seek anything spiritual from them,
 he sellan scyle, ðonne he iewan sceolde ðæt him mon to ascað. Ac Øonne sticiað $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { sahlas swiðe singallice ou } \nsucc æ m ~ h r i n g u m, ~ \\ \text { onne } \\ 㐅_{a}\end{array}$ lareowas simle on hiera heortum smeagea $\Varangle$ とa halgan gewritu. Ond ðonne hie hæbbał swiðe arudlice ða earce up, ðonne hie swiłe hrædlice bioð gearwe to læronne ðætte ðonne ૪earf bið. Be ðæm swiðe wel se forma hierde ไære halgan ciricean, ไæt is sanctus Petrus, manode ołre hierdas, pa he cwæ૪: Beoð simle gearwe to lærome \& to forgifonne ælcum ðara pe eow ryhtlice bidde ymbe ðone tohopan pe ge habbar on eow. Swelce he openlice cwæde: Ne brede ge no Xa $^{\text {a }}$ stengeas of ðæm hringum, ðylæs sio earc sie ungearo to beranne.
XXIII. Hu micel scyle bion $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t toscead, \& hu mislice mon scyle }\end{array}$ men læran mid ðæm cræfte ðæs lareowdomes.

Nu ðonne oł ðis we reahton hwelc se hierde bion sceal ; nu we him willað cyðan hu he læran sceal, swæ swæ hit lange ær us ðære eadgan gemynde wer Gregorius lærde, se wæs ołre noman gecweden Nanzanzenus, he cwæð: Ne gedafenað hit no łæt we ealle men on ane wisan læren, fortæm hie ne sint ealle anes modes \& anra خeawa. Forłæm oft
 monegra cynna wyrta \& grasu bioł gerad, sumu neat batiað fore, sumu cwelał; swæ swæ mid liðre wistlunge mon hors gestilleð, swæ eac mid ðære ilcan wistlunge mon mæg hund astyrian ; swæ bioð eac monige læcedomas pe sume adle gelytligeað, \& sume gestrongiað; swæ
and question them, it is a great shame if he goes to seek what he is to give them, when he ought to expound what is asked of him. The poles remain constantly in the rings, when the teachers ever meditate in their hearts on the holy Scriptures. And they raise up the ark very vigorously, when they are quickly ready to teach what is necessary. About which the first shepherd of the holy Church, that is St. Peter, admonished other shepherds very well, saying: "Be ever ready to teach, and grant his request to every one who asks you rightly about the hope ye have in you." As if he had openly said: "Draw not the poles out of the rings, lest the ark be not ready to be carried."
scand gif he ðonne fær૪ secende hwæt he sellan scyle, ðonne he iowan scolde ðæt him mon to ascað. Ac ðonne sticiað $\begin{aligned} & \text { a saglas suiðe }\end{aligned}$ singallice on そæm hringum, ðonne $\chi_{a}$ lareowas simle on hira heortum
 5 earce úp, ðonne hi suiðe hrædlice bioð gearwe to læranne ðætte ðonne Xearf bið. Bi ðæm suiðe wel se forma hierde ðære halgan ciricean, $\succ_{æ t}$ is sanctus Petrus, manode oðre hierdas, $ð_{a}$ he cuæ૪: Bioð simle gearwe to læranne \& to forgiefanne ælcum ૪ara 夭e iow ryhtlice bidde ymbe ðone tohopan $\chi_{e}$ ge habbał on eow. Suelce he openlice cuæde:
10 Ne bregden [ge] no $\chi_{a}$ stengas of $\succ_{æ m}$ hringum, خylæs sio eare si ungearo to beranne.
XXIII. Hu micel scyle bion ðæt toscead, \& hu mislice mon scyle menn læran mid $\not$ æm cræfte ðæs lareowdomes.

Nu ðonne o o Xiss we rehton hwele se hierde bion sceal ; nu we him 15 willað cyðan hu he læran sceal, sua sua hit lange ær us ðære eadegan gemynde wer Gregorius lærde, se wæs oðrum noman genemned Nanzanzenus, $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{e}]$ cuæ૪: Ne gedafenað hit no ðæt we ealle menn on ane wisan læren, for $\begin{gathered}\text { am hie ne sint ealle anes modes \& anra ðeawa. For- }\end{gathered}$ ðæm oft sio ilce lár ðe ołrum hielpe૪, hio dereð ðæm oðrum; sua 20 sua manegra cynna wyrta \& grasu beoł gerad, sumu neat batigar fore, sumu cuelað; sua sua mid liðre wisðlunga mon hors gestilleð, sua eac mid $\not æ$ ære illcan wistlunga mon mæg hund astyrigean ; sua beoð eac monige læcedomas $\nprec e$ sume adle gelytliað, \& sume gestrongiað;
XXIII. How great is to be the difference, and how variously men are to be taught with the art of instruction.
Hitherto we have said what the pastor is to be; now we will show him how he is to teach, as the man of blessed memory, Gregory, who was by another name called Nazianzenus, taught it us long before; he said : "It is not proper to teach all men in the same way, because they are not all of the same mind and morals." Because often the same instruction which benefits one injures the other ; as is the nature of many kinds of herbs and plants, on which some animals fatten, others die; as with the same gentle whistling with which a horse is soothed a dog can be roused; so also there are many remedies which diminish some diseases and increase others; and bread, which increases the vigour of
eac hlaf pe strongra monna mægen gemiclað, he gelytlał cilda. For
 lareowes, Xæt he hiene selfne geXiode to eallum his hieremonnum, to æghwelcum be his andefene, \& łeah hwæłre swæ swǐe swæ he of ðære æwe \& of ðære ryhtan lare ne cirre. Hwæt cweðe we ðonne hwelce sien pa ingełoncas monna buton swelce sumre hearpan strengeas aðenede, pa se hearpere swiðe ungelice tihð and styre૪, \& mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ gedeł ðæt hie nolht ungelice ðæm sone ne singað pe he wilnað? Ealle
 he hie ungelice styrige. Swæ sceal æghwelc lareow to anre lufan \& to anum geleafan mid anre lare \& mid mislicum manungum his hieremonna mod styrigean. On oðre wisan mon sceal manigean weras, on oðre wif ; \& on o九re wisan ealde, on ołre geonge ; \& on ołre wisan earme, on ołre eadige ; \& on ołre wisan ða bliðan, on ołre ða
 gesettan ; \& on ołre wisan ða خeowas, on oðre ða hlafordas ; \& on
 scamleasan, on ołre $\searrow_{a}$ scamfestan; \& on o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { wisan } ð_{a} \text { ofermodan, on }\end{aligned}$ oðre đa wacmodan ; ond on ołre wisan đa ungeðyldegan, on ołre wisan ða gełyldegan ; \& on ołre wisan đa welwillendan, on ołre đa æfstegan ; \& on $o \succ_{r e}$ wisan $\succ_{a}$ bilwitan, on $o \succ_{r e} \Varangle_{a}$ felaspræcean; \& on o૪re wisan $\npreceq a$ halan, on ołre $\Varangle_{a}$ unhalan ; \& on ołre wisan $\succ_{a}$ pe for ege forberað ðæt hie yfel ne doð, on oðre wisan ða pe swæ aheardiað ðæt hie hit for nanum ege ne forlætað ; \& on ołre wisan $ð a$ swiðe swigean,

strong men, diminishes that of children. Because of the difference of the subjects, the words of the teacher must be different, that he may suit himself to all his subjects, to each according to his capacity, and yet so as not to swerve from lawful and right instruction. What therefore shall we call the thoughts of men but, as it were, the stretched strings of a harp, which the harper very variously draws and touches, and so prevents them from sounding differently from the tune he wishes? He touches them all with the same hand, to make them sound harmoniously, although he touches them differently. So every teacher must arouse the minds of his subjects to the same love and faith, with the same doctrine and various admonitions. In one way men are to be admonished, in another women; in one way the old, in
sua eac hlaf Xe strongra monna mægen gemiclað, he gelytlað cilda. For ðære ungelicnesse ðara hieremonna sculun beon ungelic ða word $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æs }\end{array}$ lareowes, łæt he hiene selfne gełeode to eallum his hieremon(n)um, to æghwelcum be his andefne, \& خeah (h)wæðre sua suiðe sua he of 犭ære 5 æwe \& of łære ryhtan lare ne cerre. Hwæt cuěe we ðonne hwelce $\sin \chi_{a}$ innge $\chi_{o n c a s ~ m o n[n] a ~ b u t o n ~ s u e l c e ~ s u m e r e ~ h e a r p a n ~ s t r e n g a s ~}^{\text {a }}$
 gedeð ðæt hi nawuht ungelice Łæm sone ne singað $\begin{aligned} & \text { e he wilnað? }\end{aligned}$ Ealle he gret mid anre honda, $\chi_{y}$ ðe he wile $\npreceq \ldots t$ hi anne song singen, 10 ðeah he hie ungelice styrige. $\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{u}]$ a sceal æghwelc lareow to anre lufan $\&[t o]$ anum geleafan mid anre lare \& mid mislicu $m$ manungu $m$ his hieremonna môd styrigean. On ołre wisan mon sceal manian weras, on ołre wif ; \& on ołre wisan ealde, on ołre gionge ; \& on ołre wisan earme,



 ða scamfæstan; \& on ołre wisan $\succ_{a}$ ofermodan, on ołre $㐅_{a}$ wâc-


 $\chi_{a}$ halan, on ołre $\chi_{a}$ unhalan; ond on oðre wisan $\succ_{a} \chi_{\text {te }}$ for ege
 hi hit for nanu $m$ ege ne forlæta $\gamma$; \& on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ suix[e] suigean, 25 on ołre $\succ_{a}$ felaidelspræcæn; \& on oðre wisan $\Varangle_{a}$ slawan, on ołre $ð_{a}$

[^16]




 æfter ołerra monna ierfe flietað, \& hie reafiað; \& on oðre wisan ða $^{\text {pe }}$ nohwæðer ne oðerra monna ne reafia久, ne hiera agen rumedlice ne dælað, on oðre wisan $\Varangle a$ pe hiera agen rumedlice sellað, \& ne forlætað Xeah ðæt hie oðerra monna ne reafien ; \& on ołre wisan $ð$ a ungemodan, on oðre $\gamma_{a}$ gemodan ; \& on oðre wisan $\chi_{a}$ wrohtgeornan pe cease wyrceað, on oðre $\chi_{a}$ gesibsuman ; \& on o o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { re } \text { wisan sint to }\end{aligned}$
 pe hie ryltlice ongietar, \& ðeah for eałmodnesse wandiað ðæt hie hit ne sprecał; \& on ołre wisan ${ }_{\text {}}$ a pe fulfremede ne bioł nohwæðer ne on ieldo ne on wisdome, \& łeah for rædwilnesse to fô $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {, on } \\ \text { o } \\ \text { re }\end{aligned}$ wisan $\nsucc a$ pe medomlice \& wel mægon læran, \& him ðeah ondrædað
 خisse liwilendlican âre wilniað, \& him nan geswinc ne ðynč đæt hie
 to habbanne, \& hiera swæðeah wilniað; \& on oðre wisan đa pe beoð

 gełohtan wepał ; \& on ołre wisan ða pe ła ærgedonan wepað, \& Xeah ne forlætax, on ołre ła pe hie forlætał \& swæðeah ne wepał; \& on

are too hasty; in one way the humane, in another the cruel ; in one way the humble, in another the proud; in one way the steadfast, in another the fickle and inconstant; in one way the over-greedy, in another the abstinent ; in one way those who generously give away their own, in another those who strive for the property of others, and rob them; in one way those who neither rob other men nor generously distribute their own, and in another those who generously distribute their own and yet do not refrain from robbing other men; in one way the quarrelsome, in another the peaceful; in one way the lovers of strife who breed dissensions, in another the peace-makers; in one way are to be admonished those who do not rightly understand the words of the holy law, in another those who rightly understand
ðe beoð to hrade ; \& on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ manðuæra(n), on ołre $\chi_{a}$
 hæfenan; \& on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ anwillan, on ołre $\succ_{a}$ ungestæð $\chi_{\text {egan }}$
 $5 \&$ on ołre wisan $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ mildheortlice hira agen sellað, on ołre $\succ_{a}$ ðe æfter ołerra monna ierfe flitað, \& hie reafigeað ; \& on ołre [wi]san $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$
 ne dælað, \& on ołre wisan $\Varangle_{a} \succ_{e}$ hira agen rumedlice sellað, \& ne for-

 cease wyrcea $૪, \&$ on ołre $\not$ ða gesibsuman ; ond on ołre wisan sint to
 そe hi ryhtlice ongietað, \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse wandiað ðæt hi hit

 wisan $\gamma_{a} \Varangle[\mathrm{e}]$ medomlice \& wel magon læran, \& him $\gamma_{\text {eah ondræda }}$ for
 hwilendlican are wilniað, \& him nan gesuinc ne $\begin{aligned} \text { ynç } \\ \text { łæt hi hie }\end{aligned}$
 20 habbanne, \& hiera suǎeah wilniað; ond on ołre wisan $\succ_{a}$ ðe beoð mid synscipe gebundene, on ołre $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ beoð frio $\nsucc a r a$ benda ; \& on oðre wisan $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}\left[\chi_{a}\right]$ خurhtogenan [synna] wepað, on o $\succ_{r e} \chi_{a} \chi_{e} \chi_{a}$ geðohtan wepað; \& on oðre wisan $\nearrow a$ خe $\succ a$ ærgedonan wepar, \&


them, and yet from humility hesitate to preach them; in one way those who are not perfect either in age or wisdom, and yet from hasty zeal undertake the office, in another those who are capable of teaching properly and well, and yet from humility are afraid, so that they decline it; in one way those who desire this transitory authority, and think it no trouble to hold it, in another those who think it a great hardship and trouble to hold it, and yet desire it ; in one way those who are married, in another those who are free from those bonds ; in one way those who bewail the sins they have committed, in another those who bewail those they have meditated; in one way those who bewail those that they formerly committed, and yet do not give them up, in another way those who give them up, and yet do not bewail them ; in one way those
wisan $\Varangle a$ pe hie tælað, and hie swæðeah ne forlætað ; \& on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ pe mid sumre unryhtwilnunga bioð færinga hrædlice oferswiðede, on oðre ða pe on đære synne ealnu weg licgeað, mid geðeahte to gebundene ; \& on oðre wisan $\chi_{a}$ pe $\chi_{a}$ lytlan scylda oftrædlice wyr-
 wyrceał; \& on ołre wisan $\Varangle_{a}$ pe nan god nyllað onginnan, on ołre خa pe hit onginnan willað, \& næfre ne geendiað ; \& on orre wisan ${ }_{\text {}}$ a pe dearnunga yfel do $\mathrm{c}_{\text {, }}$ \& god eawunga, on ołre wisan $\succ_{a}$ pe hiera god helað pe hie dor, \& ne recceað ðeah men wenen łæt hie yfel dón, \& eac mid sumum خingum gedoð đæt men wenað ðæt hie yfel dôn. Hu nyt reahton we nu ond rimdon $\gamma$ a cægea, buton we eac feawum wordum ætiewen hwæt hie healden, \& swæ we sweotulost mægen æfter gereccean ?
XXIV. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne weras, on ołre wif.

On oðre wisan sint to manianne weras, on oðre wif. Đa weras mon sceal hefiglicor \& stiðlicor læran, \& ða wif liohtlicor; for¿æm $\chi_{æ t} \chi_{a}$ weras higien to maran byrðene, \& $\chi_{a}$ wif mid oleccunga weorðen on gebrohte.
XXV. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ iungan, on oðre ra ealdan. $^{\text {and }}$
 for $\searrow_{æ m}$ oftor mid re $\succ_{r e}$ manunge bio $\chi_{a}$ giongan nytwyr $\succ_{e}$ gedone,
who do unlawful things, and also praise them, in another those who blame them and yet do not relinquish them; in one way those who are soon overcome by any sudden unrighteous desire, and in another those who are engaged in the sin the whole time, bound to it by design ; in one way those who often commit small sins, in another those who forego the small sins and yet sometimes commit the greater ; in one way those who will not begin any good, in another those who desire to begin and never accomplish it; in one way those who do evil secretly and good openly, in another those who hide the good they do, and do not care if men think they do evil, and also in some things make men think they do evil. Of what use were it to describe and enumerate the keys without explaining in a few words what they are to lock up, and treating of them hereafter as clearly as we can?
 wisan $\succ a \nprec e ~ m i d ~ s u m e r e ~ u n r y h t w i l n u n g a ~ b e o ł ~ f æ r i n g a ~ h r æ d l i c e ~ o f e r-~$ suiðede, on ołre ૪a ðe on $\prec æ r e ~ s y n n e ~ e a l n u ~ w e g ~ l i c g a ð, ~ m i d ~ g e ł e a h t e ~$ to gebundene; \& on ołre wisan $૪ a \nprec e ~ ૪ a ~ l y t l a n ~ s c y l d a ~ o f t r æ d l i c e ~$ 5 wyrceað, on ołre wisan $\succ a$ ૪e ૪a lytlan forgâð, \& ૪eah hwilum $\succ a$
 ołre ૪a łe hit onginnan willað, \& næfre ne geendigał ; \& on ołre wisan

 10 \& eac mid sumum ૪ingum gedoð ðæt menn wenað ðæt hi yfel don. Hu nytt rehton we nu \& rimdon $૪ a$ cæga, buton we eac feawum wordum ætiewen hwæt hie healden, \& sua we swiotolus૪ mægen æfter gereccan?
XXIV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne weras, on ołre wif.

15 On ołre wisan sint to manianne weras, on ołre wif. Đa weras mon sceal hefiglecor \& stiðlecor læran, \& ła wif leohtlecor; forłæm ไæt $\prec a$ weras higigen to mara[n] byr`enne, \& ða wif mid oleccunga weořen on gebrohte.
XXV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne ła iungan, on ołre خa ealdan.

On ołre wisan sint to læranne $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { a } \\ & \text { iungan, on } \text { ołre } \\ & \chi a \text { ealdan; }\end{aligned}$ forðæm oftor mid reðre manunga beo $\prec$ ła iungan nytwyrðe gedone,
XXIV. That men are to be admonished in one way, in another women.

Men are to be admonished in one way, in another women. Men are to be taught more seriously and severely, women more lightly; that the men may aspire to a greater burden, and the women be brought on with flattery.
XXV. That the young are to be admonished in one way, in another the old.

The young are to be taught in one way, in another the old ; because the young are more often made useful with zealous admonition, and
\＆ða ealdan mid liðelicre bene，swæ hit awriten is on ðære æwe：Ne $\Varangle_{r e a t a ~}^{\text {૪u na }}$ none ealdan，ac healsa hiene swæ خinne fæder．

XXVI．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $\nsucc a$ welegan，on oðre夭a wædlan．

On ołre wisau sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ wædlan，on o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { re } \\ & \chi_{a} \text { welegan．}\end{aligned}$ Đa wædlan sint to frebranne \＆to retanne，૪ylæs hie sin to ormode for hiera earfeðum．Đa ołre sint to bregeanne，ðylæs hie sien for hiora wlencium to upahafene．To đæm wædlan wæs gecweden ðurh
 gescended．And swiðe hræðe eac æfter ðæm he him olecte，pa he cwæð：Đu earma，ðu pe art mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y storme \＆mid } \nless æ r e ~ y s t e ~ o n w e n d ~\end{aligned}$ \＆oferworpen，$\chi_{e}$ ic geceas on $\not \varliminf_{\text {æm }}$ ofne pe $\chi_{u}$ on wære asoden，$\chi_{æ t}$ wæs on $\Varangle$ inum iermðum．Ac sanctus Paulus $\not$ reade $\chi_{a}$ welegan，pa he cwæ૪ to his gingran ：Sæegeað $\Varangle æ m$ welegum gind $\begin{aligned} \text { isne middan－}\end{aligned}$
 ungewissum welum．Be ðæm we magon swǐe sweotule oncnawan $\searrow_{æ \text { t }}$ se eałmodnesse lareow，$\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he ymb $\searrow_{a}$ welegan spræc，na ne cwæ૪：Biddað，ac：Sæcgeað，\＆bebeodað．And eac we magon oncnawan $\nprec æ t, ~ ð æ t ~ \Varangle a ~ e a r m a n ~ \& ~ ð a ~ u n t r u m a n ~ s i n t ~ t o ~ r e t a n n e, ~ \& ~ ð a ~$ ofermodan \＆$\Varangle_{a}$ upahafenan ne sint to weor $\begin{aligned} \text { ianne，ac } & { }_{\text {}} \text { a monn sceal }\end{aligned}$ swæ micle ma hatan ðonne biddan swæ mon ongit ðæt hie for $\begin{gathered}\text { issum }\end{gathered}$ worldwlencium bio犭 swiður upahafene \＆on ofermettum a夭undene． Be ðæm Crist cwæð on his godspelle：Wa eow welegum，pe eower lufu eall and eower tohopa is on eowrum worldwelum，\＆ne giema $\gamma$
the old with mild intreaties，as is written in the law：＂Rebuke not the old man，but intreat him as thy father．＂

XXVI．That the rich are to be admonished in one way，in another the poor．
The poor are to be admonished in one way，in another the rich． The poor are to be consoled and cheered，lest they despair too much because of their hardships．The others are to be terrified，lest they be too proud of their magnificence．To the poor man was said through the Lord to the prophet：＂Fear not，for thou shalt not be confounded．＂ And soon after he soothed him，saying：＂Thou poor man，who art prostrated and thrown over with the storm and whirlwind，I have
\& $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ealdan mid lǐelicre bene, sua hit awriten is on $\begin{gathered}\text { ære æwe: } \\ \mathrm{Ne}\end{gathered}$

XXVI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne đa welegan, ón oðre $\chi_{a}$ wædlan.
 Đa wædlan sint to frefranne \& to retanne, ðylæs hi sien to ormode for hira earfeðum. Đa ołre sint to breganne, ðylæs hi sien for hiera wlencum to úpáhæfene. To đæm wædlan wæs gecueden ðurh
 10 gescended. \& suǐe hræðe eac æfter đæm he him olecte, ða he cuæð:


 cuæ૪ to his gingrum: Secgað $\begin{array}{r}\text { rm } \\ \text { welegum } \\ \text { gind } \\ \text { xisne middangeard }\end{array}$ 15 ðæt hi to ofermodlice ne ðencen, ne to wel ne truwigen Xissum ungewissum welum. Be đæm we magon suǐe swutule oncnawan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ se eałmodnesse lareow, đa $㐅_{a}$ he ymb ða welegan spræc, na ne cuæ૪: $_{\text {, }}$ Biddað, ac : Secgað, \& bebeodað. \& eac we magon oncnawan đæt, ðæt $\chi_{a}$ earman \& $\chi_{a}$ untruman sient to retanne, \& $\Varangle_{a}$ ofermodan \& $\Varangle_{a}$
 ma hatan Xonne biddan sua man ongiet Xæt hie for $^{\text {Xissum woruld- }}$ wlencum bioð suǐur úpáhafene \& on ofermettum ǎundene. Be ðæm Crist cuæ૪ on his godspelle: Waa ieow welegum, ðe iower lufu eall \& eower tohopa is on eowrum woruldwelum, \& ne giemað łæs
chosen thee in the furnace wherein thou wert melted, that is in thine afflictions." But St. Paul rebuked the rich, when he said to his disciples: "Tell the rich throughout this world that they are not to be too proud in their thoughts, nor trust too much to these uncertain riches." By which we can clearly understand that the teacher of humility, when he spoke of the rich, did not say "Pray," but "Tell, and command." And we can also understand that the poor and weak are to be cheered, and the proud and puffed up are not to be reverenced, but are to be so much the more commanded rather than intreated the more they are seen to be puffed up because of their worldly magnificence and inflated with pride. Of whom Christ spoke in his Gospel: "Woe to you rich men, whose whole love and hope is in your
ðæs ecean gefean, ac gefio $\gamma$ ealle mode $\begin{aligned} & \text { isses } \\ & \text { ondweardan lifes ge- }\end{aligned}$
 bið his iermða, and se is to $\ngtr e a t i a n n e ~ \& ~ t o ~ b r e g e a n n e, ~ s e ~ p e ~ b i \gamma ~$
 sorgfullan ongieten $\nsucc æ t$ him becuma $\gamma{ }_{a}$ welan pe him gehatene sint, ðeah hie hie $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { giet ne gesion ; \& eac } \rtimes_{a} \text { welegan ongieten } \succ^{2} t[t e] ~\end{array}$ $\succ_{a}$ welan pe hie onlociað \& habbar, $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \text { hie pa habban ne magon. Ac }\end{array}$ $\chi_{æ m}$ lareowe is micel $\chi_{\text {earf }} \chi_{æ t}$ he ongiete hwa earm sie, hwa eadig, \& hwone he læran scile swæ earmne, \& hwone swæ eadigne. Forłæm oft se welega \& se wædla habbað swæ gehwierfed hiera ðeawum ðæt se welega bið eaðmod \& sorgfull, \& se wædla bið upahafen \& selflice. Forðæm sceal se lareow swiðe hrædlice wendan his tungan ongean ðæt pe he ongiet ðæt ðæs monnes ingeðonc bið, forðæm ðæt se earma upahafena sie mid his wordum geðreatod \& gescended, ðonne he ongiet ðæt hiene ne magon his iermða geðreatian \& geeaðmedan. Ac swæ micle liðelicor he sceal oleccean ðæm welegan eaðmodan swæ he ongiet ðæt he eaðmodra bið, ðonne hiene ne magon $\searrow$ a welan forwlencean, pe ælcne ofermodne ołhebbað. \& oft eac mon sceal łone welegan ofermodan to him loccian mid liðelicre olecciunga, for $૪ æ m ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ h i e n e ~$ to ryhte geweme ; forðæm oft hearde wunda bioð mid liðum beðungum gehnescode \& gehælede, and eac $\succ_{a}$ wod $\Varangle_{\text {raga }}$ ðæs ungewitfullan monnes se læce gestilð \& gehæl> mid $犭 æ m ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ h i m ~ o l e c ð ~ æ f t e r ~$ his agnum willan. Ne sculon we eac forgitan hu hit wæs be Saule ðæm kyninge: ðonne him se wieðerwearda gast on becom, ðonue gefeng Dauid his hearpan, \& gestilde his wodðraga mid ðam gligge.
worldly riches ; ye care not for the eternal joys, but ye delight with all your heart in the enjoyments of this present life." It is necessary to console him who is melted in the furnace of his miseries, and he is to be rebuked and terrified who is puffed up with the joys and glories of this world; that the sorrowful may understand that the riches which are promised to them will come to them, though they do not see them yet, and also that the rich may understand that they cannot retain the riches they look at and possess. It is very necessary for the teacher to know who is poor, who rich, and whom he is to admonish as a poor, whom as a rich man. Because the rich and the poor man often so change their natures that the rich man is humble and sad, and the poor man is puffed up and conceited. Therefore the teacher must
ecan gefean，ac gefeor ealle mode Xisses andweardan lifes genyhte．
 iermða，\＆se is to ðreatiganne \＆to breganne，se ðe bið ûpahafen

 ðonne git ne geseon ；\＆eac $\chi_{a}$ welegan ongieten $\Varangle_{æ t t e} \chi_{a}$ welan $\chi_{e}$ hie onlociað \＆habbað，ðæt hie ða habban ne magon．Ac Xæm lareowe is micel Xearf Łæt he ongiete liwa earm sie，hwa eadig，\＆hwone he læran scyle sua earmne，\＆hwane sua eadigne．Forðæm oft se welega 10 \＆se wædla habbað sua gehweorfed hira ðeawum そæt se welega bið eałmod \＆sorgfull，\＆se wædla bið ûpáhæfen \＆selflice．Forłæm sceal se lareow suixe hrædlice wendan his tungan ongean ไæt خe
 úpahafena s［i］e mid his wordum gełreatod \＆gescended，ðonne he ongiet 15 łæt hine ne magon his iermða ge $\not$ reatigan \＆gee［a］${ }^{2}$ medan．Ac sua micle liðelecor he sceal olecan ðæm welegan eaðmodan sua he ongiet $\chi_{æ t}$ he eałmodra bið，ðonne hine ne magon $\chi_{a}$ welan forwlencean，$\chi_{e}$ ælene ofermodne ołhebbað．\＆oft eac mon sceal łone welegan ofer－ modan to him loccian mid liðelicre olicunga，for そæm そæt he hine 20 to ryhte geweeme ；for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { oft hearda wunda beor mid liðum beðen－}\end{aligned}$ gum gehnescode \＆gehælede，\＆eac ða wodłraga łæs ungewitfullan monnes se læce gestilð \＆gehælð mid ðæm ðæt he him olecð æfter his agnum willan．Ne sculon we eac forgietan hu hit wæs be Saúle ðam kyninge ：૪onne him se wiðerwearda gæs૪ on becom，خonne 25 gefeng Dauid his hearpan，\＆gestillde his wodłraga mid $\searrow æ m$ glige．
quickly direct his tongue against what he perceives to be the man＇s thoughts，that the poor and proud man may be rebuked and humi－ liated with his words，when he sees that his miseries are not enough to afflict and humble him．But the more gently he must soothe the rich and humble man the more humble he sees that he is，when the riches which puff up all proud men are not able to make him proud．And often also he must attract the rich and proud man with gentle flattery，to entice him to goodness；because severe wounds are often alleviated and healed with gentle fomentations，and the physician stills and cures the paroxysms of the madman by soothing him according to his own desire．We must not forget how it happened to king Saul ：when the evil spirit came upon him，David took his harp，and stilled his par－

Hwæt mæg ðonne elles tacnian Saules ungewitfulnes buton $ð_{a}$ upahafenesse ðara welegena? Orðe hwæt is elles getacnod ðurh Dauid buton eaðmodlic lif haligra monna? Forðæm ðonne se unclæna gast

 to ierre gehwierfed, ðonne is cynn $\begin{array}{r}\text { ttte }\end{array}$ we for hiera modes hælo olecende hie on smyltnesse gebrengen mid ure spræce, swæ swæ Dauid dyde Saul mid ðære hearpan. Hwilum eac ðonne mon ðæm ricum cidan sceal, ærest mon sceal sprecan asciende, swelce he be ołrum men sprece \& ascige, \& gehiere hu he be ðæm deman wille. Đonne mon
 be ołrum gedemed hæbbe, خonne sæcge him mon swiðe gedæftelice
 cum anwalde hit gebelge wið ðone pe him cit, ac $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { t } \\ \text { he mid his }\end{array}$ agnum wisdome \& mid his agnum wordum łone swyran $^{\text {gebigge his }}$ agenra ofermetta, $\not$ æotte he nane lade ne mæge findan, ac sie swæ mid
 kyninge Dauide, \& licette, swelce he ymb sumes Xearfan \& sumes earmes monnes ryht spræce, \& sohte đæs kyninges dom, \& wolde đæt he ærest hiene be ołrum men gedemde, \& siððan gehierde his agne scylde, forðæm łæt he eft ne meahte ðæm ilcan dome wiłcweðan. \& eac se haliga mon ongeat ægðer ge ðæs kyninges scylde ge eac his hatheortnesse \& gedyrstignesse, wolde hiene $\Varangle_{a}$ ærest gebindan mid his agenre ondetnesse, \& forhæl him ðæt he hiene eft ðreatian wolde. Swæ se læce hyt his isern wið ðone mon pe he sniðan wile ; wen $ð$, gif he hit him iewe, đæt he him nylle geðafian ðæt he hiene sniðe. Ac
oxysms with the music. What else can Saul's madness signify but the pride of the rich? Or what else is signified by David but the humble life of holy men? Therefore, when the unclean spirit came on Saul, David with his song alleviated Saul's fit of madness. So, when the mind of the rich through pride is turned to anger, it is proper for us to heal their mind by soothing them and restoring them to tranquillity by our talk, as David did Saul with the harp. Sometimes also, when we have to rebuke a rich man, we must first speak enquiringly, as if we spoke and asked about another man, and hear how he will judge in his case. And when we see that he has judged rightly, and he himself thinks he has judged rightly in the other's case, we can tell him very adroitly, because of his own sins, lest the mind,

Hwæt mæg ðonne elles tacnian Paules ungewitfullnes buton $\npreceq a$ úpahafenesse ૪ara welegena? O૪ðe hwæt is elles getacnod ðurh Dauid buton eaðmodlic lif haligra monna? Forłæm ðonne se unclæna gæs $૪$

 to ierre gehwierfed, ðonne is cynn ðætte we for hira modes hælo olicende hi on smyltnesse gebringen mid ure spræce, sua sua Dauid dyde Saul mid ðære hearpan. Hwilum eac ðonne mon $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { ricum cidan }\end{array}$ sceal, æresð mon sceal sprecan asciende, suelce he be oðrum menn sprece 10 \& ascie, \& gehiere hu be ðæm deman wille. Đonne mon خonne ongiete łæt he ryhte gedemed hæbbe, \& he wene ðæt he ryht be ołrum gedemed hæbbe, ðonne secge him mon suiðe gedæftelice for his agnum scyldum, ૪ylæs ðæt aðundne môd for ðissum hwilendlicum anwalde hit gebelge
 15 agnum wordum $\begin{aligned} & \text { one suiran gebiege his agenra ofermetta, ðætte }\end{aligned}$ he nane lade ne mæge findan, ac sie sua mid his agnum wordum gebunden. Forðæm com Nathan to cidanne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m cyninge Dauide, }\end{array}$ \& licette, suelce he ymb sumes tearfan \& sumes earmes monnes ryht spræce, \& sohte đæs cyninges dóm, \& wolde خæt he æresð 20 hine be ołrum menn gedemde, \& siððan gehierde his agne scylde,
 haliga monn [ôngeat] ægðer ge ðæs cyninges scylde ge eac his hatheor $(\mathrm{t})$ nesse \& gedyrstignesse, wolde hine $\gamma$ æ æres $૪$ gebindan mid his agenre ondetnesse, \& forhæl him $\not æ æ t$ he hine eft $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { reatian wolde. Sua }\end{array}$ 25 se læce hyd his isern wið ðone monn ðe he sniðan wile; wen $૪$, gif he hit him iewe, ðæt he him nylle geðafigean ðæt he hine sniðe. Ac
puffed up with this transitory authority, be angry with him who rebukes it, and that with his own wisdom and words he may bend the neck of his own pride, that he may not find any excuse, but be thus bound with his own words. Therefore Nathan came to rebuke king David, and pretended to speak of the cause of a poor man, and asked the king's opinion, wishing him first to judge himself by another man, and then hear his own sin, that he might not be able afterwards to dispute the same sentence. And also the holy man perceived both the sin and the hasty temper of the king, and his rashness, and therefore wished first to bind him with his own confession, and concealed from him that he would afterwards rebuke him. So the physician hides his knife from the man he is about to cut, thinking that if he show
grapał swiðe fægre ymbutan $\npreceq æ t ~ \succ æ t ~ h e ~ s n i ð a n ~ w i l e, ~ \& ~ s n i ð ~ s w i ð e ~$ hrædlice. Swæ se witga dyde ðone kyning mid his wordum : ic wene Øæt he hiene snide slawlicor, gif he him ær sæde $\begin{aligned} & \text { æot } \\ & \text { he hiene sniðan }\end{aligned}$ wolde; خy hit wæs betre ðæt he grapude mid خæm bispelle ær, ærðonpe he cidde, swæ se læce grapað, \& stracað, \& hyt his seax \& hwett, ærðonpe he stingan wille. Se læce, ðonne he cymð łone

 hiene mon sniðe. Hyt ðonne his læceseax under his claðum orðæt he hiene wundað: wile ææt he hit gefrede, ær he hit gesio ; for $ð æ m$ he wenð, gif he hit ær gesio, łæt he hit wille forsacan.
 ða unrotan.

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ bliðan, on oðre $\nsucc a$ unrotan. Đæm oferbliłum is to cyðonne ða unrotnessa ða ðæræfter cumað, \& Xæm unbliðum sint to cyðonue $\chi_{a}$ gefean pe him gehatene sint. Geliornigen $\npreceq a$ bliłan on $\npreceq æ r e ~ \nsucc r e a u n g a ~ \Varangle æ t ~ h i e ~ h i m ~ o n d r æ d e n, ~ \& ~$ gehieren $\Varangle_{a}$ unbliðan $\Varangle_{a}$ lean $\nsucc æ s$ gefean pe hie tohopiað. To خæm blǐan is gecweden: Wa eow pe nu hlehhað, forðæm ge sculon eft wepan. Gehieren eac $\chi_{a}$ unrotan $\chi_{0 n e}$ cwide pe him is to gecweden Øurh ðone ilcan lareow, đæt is Crist, he cwæð: Eft ic eow gesio, \& łunne blissiað eowre heortan, \& eowerne gefean eow nan mon æt ne genimð. Monige beo૪ خeah \& eac unbliðe ðara pe for nanum worl(d)ðingum nahwæðer doð, buton for 犭æs blodes styringe \& for lichoman

[^17]grapað suiłe fægre ymbutan $\not$ ææt $\not$ ðæt he sniðan wile, \& snið swiðe hrædlice. Sua se witga dyde ðone cyning mid his wordum: ic wene ðæt he hine snide slaulecor, gif he him ær sæde |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| he hine sniðan |

 5 he cidde, sua se læce grapað, \& stracað, \& hyt his seax \& hwæt, ær૪onðe he stingan wille. Se læce, ðonne he cymð Xone untruman to sniðanne, æresð he sceawað $\not$ ææt cumbl, \& siððan hine tweonað ymb đæs untruman gełyld, hwæðer he gěafian mæge ðæt hine mon sniðe. Hyt ðonne his læceseax under his claðum ołðæt he hine 10 wundał: wile $\npreceq$ æt he hit gefrede, ær he hit geseo ; for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { me wen } \text {, }\end{aligned}$ gif he hit ær geseo, そæt he hit wille forsacan.
XXVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne ða gladan, on oðre ða $u[n]$ rotan.
 15 Đæm oferbliðum is to cyðanne ða unrotnessa ðe ðæræfter cumað, \& ðam unbliðum sint to cyðanne $ð$ gefean $\nearrow e ~ h i m ~ g e h a t e n e ~ s i n d o n . ~$ Geliorngen $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bliłan on ðære ðreaunga $\not$ ææt hie him ondræden, \&
 bliðan is gecueden: Wa eow re nu hliehað, for $\begin{aligned} & \text { am ge sculon eft }\end{aligned}$ 20 wepan. Gehieren eac $\chi_{a}$ unrotan $ð_{o n e}$ cuide $\chi_{e}$ him is to gecueden Kurh $\chi_{0}$ [ne] illcan lareow, $\chi_{æ t}$ is Crist, he cuæ૪: Eft ic eow geseo, \& łonne blissia久 eowre heortan, \& eowerne gefean eow nan mon æt ne genimð. Monige beoð ðeah blǐe \& eac unbliłe ૪ara ૪e for nanum woruldðingum nahwæðer doð, buton for ðæs blodes styringe \& for
XXVII. That the glad are to be admonished in one way, in another the sad.
The cheerful are to be admonished in one way, in another the sad. To the overcheerful is to be shown the sadness which follows, and to the sad the joys which are promised them. Let the cheerful learn from the rebuking to fear, and let the sad hear of the rewards of the joy they hope for. To the cheerful is said: "Woe to you who now laugh, for ye shall afterwards weep." Let the sad also hear the saying which is addressed to them by the same teacher, which is Christ; he said : "I shall see you again, and your hearts will rejoice, and no man shall deprive you of your joy." Many however are cheerful and sad, not from any worldly cause, but because of the motion of the blood and
medtrymnesse. Swæðeah is Łæm to cyðanne ðæt hie hie warenigen ægðer ge wið $\searrow a$ ungemetlican blisse ge wið $\searrow a$ ungemetlican unrotnesse, forłæm hiera ægðer astyreð sumne unðeaw, ðeah hie ungewealdes cumen of ðæs lichoman mettrymnesse. Đæm oferbliðan oft folgað firenlust, \& ðæm unrotan irre. Forłæm is micel niedðearf
 ac eac wið $\not$ æt pe forcuðre bið, pe $\nprec æ r æ f t e r ~ c y m \gamma, ~ ð æ t ~ i s ~ f i r e n l u s t ~$ \& unryhtlicu irsung, $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {æt }}$ is さæt mon irsige on oðerne for his gode. Đonne is micel ðearf, ðonne him mon خissa twegea hwæðer ondræt
 swæ he on đæt ołer ne befealle, pe he him ær læs ondred.
> XXVIII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne ða ealdormen, on oðre $\mathrm{ða}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hieremen.
 $\chi_{a}$ ofergesettan. Đa underðioddan mon sceal swæ læran $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hie }\end{array}$ ealles ne sien genæt ne geiermed, \& $\succ_{a}$ ofergesettan mon sceall swæ manian ðæt se hiera folgoð hiene ne oðhebbe. And ða underðioddan
 hie him to unaberendlice ne beoden. And $\nsucc a$ under $\begin{aligned} & \text { ioddan } \\ & \\ & \text { æt hie }\end{aligned}$ him eałmodlice underlicggen, \& ða ofergesettan ðæt hie gemetlice him ofer sien, $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ hie magon eae be $\chi_{i s s e}$ bisene ongietan pe him is to gecweden: Bearn, beo ge underðiodde eowrum ieldrum magum on Dryhtne. Đæm ofergesettan is to gecweden : Ne gremige ge eowru
bodily weakness. Yet they are to be directed to guard both against immoderate joy and immoderate sadness, because they both stir up some vice, although they arise involuntarily from bodily weakness. The overcheerful are often liable to wantomness, and the sad to anger. Therefore it is very necessary to guard both against anger and excessive prosperity, and also against what is worse, and follows after, which is wautonness and unrighteous anger, that is, being angry with another because of his prosperity. And it is very necessary, when a man dreads either of these two more than the other, and strives against it, that he strive not so earnestly against it as to fall into the other, which he formerly dreaded less.
lichoman medtrymnesse. Suałeah is ðæm to cyðanne, ðæt hi hie warenigen ægðer ge wið $㐅_{a}$ ungemetlican blisse ge wið ða ungemetlican $^{2}$ unrotnesse, forłæm hira ægðer astyreð sumne uňeaw, ðeah hie ungewealdes cumen of ðæs lichoman medtrymnesse. Đæm oferblǐan oft 5 folgað firenluš, \& $\nsupseteq m m$ unrotan ierre. Forðæm is micel niedłearf

 \& unryhtlicu iersung, łæt is ðæt mon iersige ôn ołerne for his gode. Đonne is micel ૪earf, ðonne him mon خissa tuega hwæðer ondrætt 10 suiður ðonne oðer, \& wið ðæt wienð, ðæt he sua suiðe wið ðæt winne sua he on Łæt ołer ne befealle, ðe [he] him ær læs ondred.
XXVIII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { ealdormen, on }\end{aligned}$ [o] ${ }^{\text {Xre }}$ wisan $\searrow_{a}$ hieremenn.
 15 ða ofergesettan. Đa under`ieddan mon sceal [sua] læran ðæt hie elles ne sien genæt ne geirmed, \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a ofersettan mon sceal sua manian }\end{aligned}$
 wiers ne dôn $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { him man bebeode, ond } \\ \text { ða ofergeset[t]an } \npreceq æ t ~ h i ~\end{array}$ him to unâberendlice ne beoden. \& ða under夭ieddan $\npreceq æ t ~ h i ~ h i m ~$ 20 eaðmodlice underlicgen, \& $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { a ofergesettan } \\ \\ \text { æt }\end{array}$ hie gemetlice him ofer sien, ðæt hi magon eac be $\begin{gathered}\text { isse } \\ \text { bisene ongietan } \npreceq æ t ~ h i m ~ i s ~ t o ~\end{gathered}$ gecueden: Bearn, beo ge underðiodde eowrum ieldrum magum on Dryhtne. Đæm ofergeset[t]an is to gecueden : Ne gremigen ge eowru
XXVIII. That the rulers are to be admonished in one way, in another the subjects.

The subjects are to be admonished in one way, in another those set above others. The subjects are to be so taught as not to be altogether troubled or afflicted, and those set above others are to be warned not to be puffed up by their authority. The subjects not to behave worse than they are commanded to do, and those set above others not to command them too intolerably. The subjects to submit to them humbly, and those set above others to rule them with moderation, which they can also understand from this example, which is addressed to them : "Children, be ye subject to your elder kinsmen in the Lord." To those set above others is said : "Provoke not your children." Let the
bearn．Geleornigen eac ða bearn ðæt hie swæ hieren hiera ieldrum swæ swæ hie selfe wieten on liera ingełonce beforan そæs diglan Deman eagum łæt hie hit for Gode doon，\＆æt Gode đa lean habban
 bende gode bisene astellen $\searrow æ m$ pe him underðiedde sien．Eac sculon
 menn be ðæm biseniað，swæ manigra wita hie beoð wyrðe beforan そæm oðrum swæ swæ hie manna on wôn gebrohten，buton he eft self geswice，\＆swæ manige gecierre swæ he mæst mæge．Forخæm him is swiæe micel ðearf ðæt he swæ micle wærlicor hiene healde wið scylda swæ he geare wietan mæg ðæt he no ana ne forwierð，ðonne he oðrum yfle bysene steleð．Eac sint to manianne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { a under uieddan \＆}\end{array}$ ða anlepan menn pe æmtige beo૪ $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { 乙æt hie for o o re menn swincen，}\end{aligned}$ Xæt hie hurũ hie selfe gehealden swæ micle ma swa hie æmettegran beoð夭onne ołre men，夭ylæs hie eft weorłen ðearlwislicor gedemde ðonne oðre men．Đa ofer oðre gesettan sint to manianne ðæt hie for hiera hieremonnum［hieremonna］gedwolan ne weorðen gedemde，ðonne hie wenað ðæt hie self（om．）hiera selfra gewyrhtum sien clæne．Se æmettega \＆se anlepa is to manianne $ð æ t$ he swæ micle sorgfulra sie ymb hiene selfne，\＆swæ micle swiður swince swæ hiene læs oðerra monna giemen bisgað．Đa ofergesettan sint to monianne ðæt hie swæ oðerra manna giemenne gefyllen，૪æt hie hie selfe ne forlæten，\＆eft ymb（om．） hie selfe swæ geornfulle sien ðæt hie to slawlice ðara ne giemen pe him
 wyrceanne，to ðæm is gecweden ：Đu slawa，ga ðe to æmethylle，\＆
children also learn so to obey their elders，as they themselves know in their hearts before the eyes of the unseen Judge，that they do it for the sake of God，and desire the reward from God．Let the fathers and lords also learn by their good lives to set a good example to their subjects．Those who are set above others must also know that whatever unlawful actions they perform，and thereby set an example to other men， they are worthy of as many punishments more than the others as they have led men astray，unless they afterwards cease，and convert as many as they can．Therefore it is very necessary for him to abstain from sins so much the more carefully as he clearly is able to understand that he does not perish alone when he sets others a bad example．Also the subjects and single men who are not obliged to labour for others are
bearn. Geleornigen eac $\chi_{a}$ bearn $\nsucc æ t$ hi sua hieren hira ieldrum sua sua hie selfe wieten on hira innge $\begin{gathered}\text { once beforan } \not \text { ææs dieglan }\end{gathered}$ Deman eagum ðæt hi hit for Gode dôn, \& æt Gode $\searrow$ a lean habban willen. Geleornigen eac $\nsucc a$ fæderas \& $ð_{a}$ hlafurdas $\npreceq x t ~ h i e ~ w e l ~ l i b-~$ 5 ben[de] gode bisene astellen ðæm $૪ e$ him underðiedde sien. Eac sculun
 men bi ðam bieseniað, sua manegra wieta hie beoð wyrðe beforan ðæm oðrum sua sua he monna on wôn gebrohte, buton he eft self gesuice, \& sua monige gecierre sua he mæsð mæge. Forłæm [him] 10 is suiðe micel $\begin{aligned} & \text { earf } \\ & \Varangle \\ & \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ he sua micle wærlicor hine healde wið scylda swa he gere witan mæg ðæt he no ana ne forwier $\gamma$, ðonne he oðrum yfele bisene stele $૪$. Eac sint to manianne $ð_{a}$ under $\not{ }^{\text {ioddan }}$ \&
 ðæt hie huru hie selfe gehealden sua micle ma sua hie æmetegran
 ðonne oðre menn. Đa ofer oðre gesettan sint to manianne ðæt hie for hira monna gedwolan ne weorðen gedemde, ðonne hie wenað ðæt hie hira selfra gewyrhtu sien clæne. Se æmetiga \& se a[n]lipa is to manianne $\mathrm{\succ}_{\text {æt }}$ he sua micle sorgfulra sie ymb hine selfne, $20 \&$ sua micle suǐur suince sua hine læs oðerra monna giemen bisegað. Đa ofergesettan sint to monianne ðæt hie sua oðerra monna giemenne gefyllen, $\not$ ææt hie hie selfe ne forlæten, ond eft hie selfe sua geornfulle sien そæt hie to slawlice ðara ne giemen ðe him befæste sien. Ac ðam ૪e ðonne æmetig bið his agenne willan to 25 wyrcean(n)e, to ‘æm [is] gecueden : Đu slawa, ga خe to æmetthylle,
to be admonished to restrain themselves so much the more as they are freer than other men, lest they be afterwards more severely judged than other men. Those who are set above others are to be warned, lest they be judged after the errors of their subjects, thinking that they are pure by their own merits. The unoccupied and the single man is to be warned to be so much the more careful of himself, and so much the more laborious, as the care of other men engages him less. Those in authority are to be warned so to take charge of other men as not to neglect themselves; on the other hand, not to be so careful of themselves as to care too sluggishly for those who are entrusted to them. But to him who is at liberty to carry out his own will is said: "Thou sluggard, go to an anthill, and observe their proceedings, and learn
giem hu hie doð, \& leorna ðær wisdom. Đa ðonne pe ofer oðre bion sculon sint swiðe egeslice gemanode mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ worde pe man ewæð:


 Hwelc magon bion maran gehat Xonne mon gehate for his freond $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ he underfó his saule on his pleoh? Đæt is swelce he hæbbe befæst his hond orrum menn, ðonne he gebint hiene selfne to him mid his

 mid his agnum wordum, swelce he sie mid grine gefangen, ðæot he hiene sceal niede tela læran. Đy him is micel ðearf, ðonne he tela lær૪, đæt he eac tela dô, \& his lif on nan ołer ne wende, on oðer he lær૪. Forðæm he eft sceal beforan łæm ðearlwisan Deman mid
 lærde. Ond eft swiłe hræðe æfter ðæm se ilca Salomon cwæð: Dô, min sunu, swæ ic łe lære: alies ðe selfne; forłon خu eart on borg gegan ðinum friend. Ac iern nu \& onette, awece hiene. Ne geðafa ðu ðinum eagum Łæt hie slapige, ne ne hnappigen ðine bræwas. Swæ hwa ðonne swæ his lif to bisene bið oðrum monnum geset, ne sceal he $_{\text {lon }}$ no $\nprec æ t$ an don $\not$ ææt he ana wacige, ac he sceal eac his friend wreccean. Ne ðynce him no genoh ðæet he ana wel libbe, butan eac $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { pe he fore }\end{aligned}$ beon sceal from ðære slæwłe his synna atio. Đæt is swiłe wel ðær gecweden: Ne slapige no ðin eagan, ne ne hnappigen $\chi_{i n e}$ bræwas. Đæt is $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { Øæt mon his eagan læte slapan [slapigen] } \text { Xæt mon for his }\end{aligned}$
there wisdom." Those who are to be above others are very terribly warned with the words which were said: "My son, if thou promisest aught for thy friend, thou hast given thy pledge, and thou art bound with the words of thine own mouth, and held by thine own speech." What greater promise can a man make for his friend than that of accepting his soul at his own risk? It is like committing his hand to another, when he binds himself to him with his words that he will necessarily be careful and zealous about him for whom it was not necessary before, because he holds him with his own words, as if he were caught in a trap, and he is obliged to teach him well. Therefore it is very necessary for him, when he teaches well, also to act well,
\＆giem hu hie doð，\＆leorna خær wisdôm．Đa ðonne ૪e ofer ołre bion sculon sint suǐe egeslice gemanode mid $\chi_{y}$ worde $\chi_{e}$ mon cuæð：


 beon maran gehat $\begin{aligned} \text { onne mon gehate for his freond } \not \text { ææt he underfoo }\end{aligned}$ his saule on his pleoh？Đæt is suelce he hæbbe befæsð his hond ołrum menn，ðonne he gebint hine selfne to him mid his wordum $\chi_{æ t}$ he sceal niede $\chi_{a}$ giemenne \＆［ $\chi_{a}$ ］geornfulnesse ymb $\begin{aligned} & \text { one }\end{aligned}$
 his agnum wordum，suelce he sie mid grine gefangen，Zæt he hine sceal nide tela læran．Đy him is micel ðearf，ðonne he tela lær૪， そæt he eac tela doo，\＆his lif ôn nan ołer ne wende，on ǒer he lær૪．Forðæm he eft sceal beforan $\not$ æm Xearlwisan Deman mid
 lærde．Ond eft suǐ（e）hraðe［æfter Łæm］se ilca Salomon cuæ૪ ：Dô，
 began Xinum friend．Ac iern nu \＆onette，awece hine．Ne geðafa
 20 hwa خonne sua his lif to bie［sene bi$ð$ ］ołrum monnum geset，ne sceal he no ðæt an dón đæt he ana wacie，ac he sceal eac his friend wreccan．
 fore beon sceal from そære slæw૪e his synna atio．Đæt is sui（خe）wel
 25 Đæt is $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { そæt mon his eage læte slapian } \not \text { そæt mon for his un－}\end{array}$
without perverting his life contrary to his teaching．Therefore he will afterwards have to prove at length before the severe Judge that he himself performed what he taught others．And again， very soon after the same Solomon said：＂Do，my son，as I advise thee ：free thyself；because thou hast pledged thyself to thy friend． But run now，and hasten，arouse him．Suffer not thine eyes to sleep， nor let thine eyelids doze．＂Whoever，then，makes his life an example to others must not ouly himself keep awake，but must also arouse his friend．Let him not think it enough that he only live well，but he must also draw away those he is set over from the sloth of their sins． It is very well said：＂Let not thine eye sleep，nor thine cyelids
unwisdome \＆for his swongornesse ne mæge ongietan $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ unخeawas ðara $ð e ~ h i m ~ u n d e r ð i o d d e ~ b i o ð . ~ A c ~ ð o m e ~ h n æ p p i a ð ~ u r e ~ b r æ w a s, ~$
 bioð，\＆we gebæra久 for ure recceliste swelce we hit nyten；ðonne hnappige we．Ac ðonne we slapað fæste，ðonne we nohwæðer ne hit wietan nyllað ne hit betan nyllað，ne fur $\begin{aligned} & \text { um } \\ & \text { ne recceað hwæðer we }\end{aligned}$ hit ó wieten［ỏngitan］，ðeah we hit gecnawan cunnen．Ne slæpð se no fæste，ac hnappar，se pe gecnawan mæg hwæt tælwierðe bið，\＆swæðeah for his modes swongornesse ołðe recceliste forwandað łæt he bete \＆ðreage his hieremen be Łæs gyltes andefene．Ærest mon hnappað； gif he ðonne ðære hnappunge ne geswicð［suicð］，ðonne hnappað he oð he wierð on fæstum slæpe．Swæ eac oft gebyreð ðæm pe fore ołre men bion sceal，đonne he hwelc yfel ongiet，\＆Łæt nyle onweg aceorfan， ðæt ðonne æt nihstan hit wier｀to gewunan Łæt he hit ne mæg gebe－ tan，ne fuřum ongietan ðæt hit ænig yfel sie．Ac ða sint to manianne pe for ołre bion sculon，ðæt hie geornfullice［georulice］ða ymb sion pe hie ofer beon sculon，đæt lie mid łære geornfulnesse geearnigen ðæt hie sien ðæm hefonlican neatum gelice：pa wæron geeawde，swæ hit awriten is $犭$ æt hie wæron ymb eall utan mid eagum besett，\＆eac imnane eagna full．Swæ hit is cynn ðætte pa sien pe for［e］ołre beon sculon，そæt hie æǧer hæbben eagan iman ge utan，łæt hie mægen ðæm incundan Deman on hiera agnum ingełonce lician，\＆eac utane mid godum bisnum hiera agues lifes hiera hieremonnum bisenigen，\＆ Xætte tælwier｀es on him sie，そæt hie ðæt tælen，\＆hie for｀æm ðreatigen ［「reagen］．Đa underðioddan sint to manianne ðæt hie ðara unðeawas
doze．＂A man lets his eye sleep，when from folly and sloth he cannot perceive the vices of his subjects．Our eyelids doze，when we perceive something worthy of correction in our subjects，and from indifference we feign not to know it ；then we doze．We are fast asleep，when we will neither acknowledge nor attempt to improve it，nor indeed care to notice it，though we are able to know it．He is not fast asleep，but dozes，who is able to know what is worthy of reproof，and yet from sloth of mind or indifference hesitates reforming and reproving his sub－ jects in proportion to their guilt．At first he dozes；if he does not stop dozing，he dozes until he falls fast asleep．Thus also it often happens to him who has to rule others，that，when he perceives any
wisdome \& for his suongornesse ne mæge ongietan $\chi_{a}$ unðeawas
 ðonne we hwæthwugu steor[weor]ðes ongietað on $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ us underðiedde beor, \& we gebærað for ure recelieše swelce we hit nyten ; 5 ðonne hnappige we. Ac ðoune we slapað fæste, ðonne we nohwæðer ne hit witan nyllað ne hit betan nyllað, ne furrum ne re[c]cað hwæðer
 ac hnappað, se $\nless e$ gecnawan mæg hwæt tælwierðe bið, \& suaðeah for his modes swongornesse orte recelieste forwandað さæt he bete \& 10 ðreage his hieremenn be ðæs gyltes andefne. Æresð mon hnappað; gif he Xonne łære hnappunge ne swič, ‘onne hnappał he or he wier'久 on fæstum slæpe. Sua eac oft gebyre ð ðæm đe for ołre menn beon sceal, ðonne he hwelc yfel ongiett, \& Łæt nyle aweg aceorfan, ðæt ૪onne æt niehstan hit wyr` to gewunan ðæt he hit ne mæg 15 gebetan, ne fuřum ongietan ðæt hit ænig yfel sie. Ac ła sint to \(^{2}\)  hie ofer beon sculon, Łæt hie đære geornfulnesse geearnigen ðæt hie sien \(\prec æ m\) hefonlicum neatum gelice: \(\begin{aligned} & \text { a wæron } \\ & \text { geiewde, sua hit }\end{aligned}\) awriten is ðæt hie wæron ymb eal utan mid eagum besett, \& eac 20 innan eagena full. Sua hit is cynn \(\npreceq æ t t e ~ ~_{a}\) sien \(\Varangle_{e}\) fore \(\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ree } \\ \text { beon }\end{gathered}\) sculon, ðæt hie ægðer hæbben eagan innan ge utan, đæt hi mægen Łæm inncundan Deman on hira agnum inngełonce lician, \& eac utane mid godum bisenum hiera agnes lifes hiera hieremonnum bisenigen, \& łætte tælwyřes [on him] sie, ðæt hie Łæt tælen, \& hie for`æm 25 ðreagen. Đa underðioddan sint to manianne ðæt hie ðara unðeawas
evil, and will not cut it away, he soon gets into the habit of not being able to reform it, or indeed perceiving that it is an evil at all. But those who have to rule others are to be admonished to tend those carefully whom they are to be above, that by their zeal they may merit comparison with the heavenly beasts: it is written that they appeared to be covered outside with eyes, and also full of eyes inside. So also ought they to be who are to rule others, having eyes both inside and outside, that they may please the inner Judge in their own thoughts, and also externally with the good examples of their own life set an example for their subjects, and blame what is blameworthy in them, and rebuke them for it. The subjects are to be warned
pe him ofergesette bioł to swiðe \＆to ðristelice ne eahtigen，ðeah hie ryhte spræce hæbben hiera yfel on him to tælonne；ðylæs hie for pære ryhtlæcinge weořen upahafene，\＆on ofermetto gewieten．Ac hie sint swǐe georne to manianne Łæt hie for hiera unðeawum hie
 swæ healicra yfla on him ongieten ðæt hie hit niede sprecan scielen， ðonne don hie łæt swiæe diegelice betweohx him，\＆ðeah for Godes ege under $ð æ m$ gioke his hlaforddomes ðurhwunigen \＆hiene for Godes ege weor｀igen，swæ mon hlaford sceal．Ac gif we nu onginnað reccean ongemong Xisum ymbe Dauides dæda sume，Xonne magon we $\chi_{i s}$ spell $\Varangle y$ openlicor gereccean．Hit gelomp æt sumum cirre $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ he wæs gehyd on anum eor $_{\text {screfe }}$ mid his monnum．Da Saul hiene wolde secean uppe on đæm munte，ða for he for $\begin{gathered}\text { bie } \\ \text { æm }\end{gathered}$ scræfe خe he oninnan wæs，\＆he his Łær no ne wende．Đa gewearð hiene ðæt he gecierde inn to $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { scræfe，\＆wolde him } \\ \text { đær gan to feltune．}\end{array}$ Đa wæs łærinne se ilca Dauid mid his monnum，pe lange ær his ehtnesse earfoðlice ðolode．Đa clipodon his łegnas him to，\＆hiene bædon，\＆geornlice lærdon 犭æt he hiene ofsloge．Ac he him sona ondwyrde，\＆him swixe stiernlice stierde，\＆cwæ૪ ðæt hit no gedafenlic nære ðæt hie slogen Gode gehalgodne kyning，\＆aras ðeah up，\＆ bestæl hiene to him，\＆forcearf his mentles ænue læppan to tacne｀æt he his geweald ahte．Hwæt tacnał us Xonne Saul buton yfle hla－ fordas？Oře hwæt Dauid buton gode łeawas？Swæ swæ Saul elles ne meahte his wambe geclæsnian buton he to feltune code，swæ eac ne magon 夭a yfelan hlafordas，ðonne hie underfo犭 yfle geðohtas
not to discuss the faults of their superiors too much or too boldly， even though they have good cause to blame their faults，lest for their criticisms they be elated and fall into pride．But they are to be warned very earnestly not to despise them for their faults，nor become more presumptuous towards them on that account．But if they see any fault in them so serious that they are obliged to mention it， let them do it very secretly among themselves，and yet for the fear of God continue under the yoke of their rule，and reverence them for the fear of God，as one is bound to reverence one＇s lord．But if，in the meanwhile，we begin to narrate some of David＇s deeds，we shall make the argument clearer．It happened once that he was hid in a cave with his men．Saul，wishing to seek him up in the hills，passed by
ðe him ofergesette bioð to suǐe \＆to ðrisðlice ne eahtigen，そeah hie ryhte spræce hæbben hiera yfel on him to tælanne ；ðylæs hie for ðære ryhtlæcinge weořen úpahæfene，\＆on ofermetto gewiten．Ac hie sient suiłe georne to maniganne ૪æt hi for hira unخeawum hie ne 5 forsion，ne no $\Varangle_{y}$ suiður wið hi ne rris $^{2}$ læcen．Ac gif h［i］e hwæt sua healicra yfela on him ongieten łæt hie hit niede sprecan scylen， ðonne don hie ðæt suiłe diegellice betweoxn him，\＆૪eah for Godes ege under ðæm geoke his hlaforddomes ðurhwunigen \＆hine for Godes ege weor Xigen，sua mon hlaford sceal．Ac gif we nu onginnað 10 ræcan ongemong Xissum ymbe Dauides dæda sume，Xonne magon we
 he wæs gehyd on anum eor $\begin{aligned} \text { scræfe mid his monnum．Đa Saul hine }\end{aligned}$
 he oninnan wæs，\＆he his Łær no ne wende．Đa gewearð hine 15 đæt he gecierde inn to łæm scræfe，\＆wolde him łær gân to feltune． Đa wæs ðærinne se ilca Dauid mid his monnum，ðe longe ær his ehtnesse earfoðlice ðolade．Đa cleopedon his ðegnas him to，\＆hine bædon，\＆geornlice lærdon đæt he hine ofsloge．Ac he him sona ondwyrde，\＆him suiłe stiernlice stierde，\＆cuæ૪ Łæt hit no gedæfenlic 20 nære ðæt hie slogon Gode gehalgodne kyning，\＆aras 犭eah ûp，\＆ bestæl hine to him，\＆forcearf his mentles ænne læppan to tacne đæt he his gewald ahte．Hwæt tacnað us 夭onne Saul buton yfle hla－ furdas？Otte hwæt Dauid buton gode Xeowas？Swa sua Saul elles ne meahte his wambe geclænsigan buton he to feltune eode，sua eac

the cave he was in，not thinking he was there．Then it happened that he went into the cave，wishing to go to stool there．And inside was the same David with his men who had long suffered the hardships of his persecutions．Then his followers called to him，and entreated， and eagerly advised him to kill him．But he soon answered，and very sternly forbade them，saying that it was not befitting to slay a king consecrated to God，yet arose，and stole to him，and cut off a corner of his coat，as a sign of having had him in his power．What is signified to us by Saul but bad masters？Or by David but good servants？As Saul could not purge his stomach without going to stool，so also bad masters，when they receive evil thoughts in their heart，cannot dismiss them without their bursting forth in foul
æt hiera heortan，$\searrow$ ð ær alætan ær hie utaberstað on fullicum weorcum． Swæ swæ Dauid forbær さæt he Saul ne dorste ofslean for Godes ege \＆for đæm ealdum treowum，swæ doð pa æltæwan mod ðara godra esna． Hie forberað æghwelce unryhte tælinge：swæ swæ Dauid forbær $\Varangle_{æ t}$ he ne slog Saul（orn．）mid his sweorde［Saul］，swæ hie forberað ðæt hie mid đæm sweorde hiera tungna tælinge ne sleað hiera hlafordes ðeawas，

 eallunga forberan ne mægen for hiera agnum uňeawum \＆for hiera ungestæððignesse łæt hie hit ne sciren，ðonne sprecen hie ymbe his pa læstan unðeawas \＆犭æt Xeah swiðe diegollice．Swæ swæ Dauid cearf swǐe diegellice swiðe lytelne læppan of Saules mentelle his eald－ hlafordes，swæ doð xa pe hiera hlafordas diegellice tælað，\＆ðeah swæ swæ hit him no ne derige，ne ne egle．Ac gif hwæm gebyrige |  |
| ---: | :---: |

 sceal he hiene selfne swiðe swiðlice for｀æm tælan \＆Xara læstena worda hreowsian．For｀on hit is awriten ðætte Dauid，ða he Xone læppan forcorfcdne hæfle，飞æt he sloge on his heortan，\＆swiðe swiælice hreowsode ðæt he him æfre swæ ungerisenlice geØenian sceolde，ðeah his ðegnas hiene lærdon $\succ$ ðot he hiene mid his sweorde sloge．Swæ scule gelwelc mon forberan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { he mid } \\ \text { æm sweorde his tungan his }\end{array}$ hlaford ne slea ；ðæt is，ðeah he hiene mid ryhte tælan mæge，ðæt he hit
 on ไæs hwæt befoo そe wið his willan sie，ðeah hit on ðæm ealra læstan Xingum sie， Xeah him is $^{\text {earf }}$ ðæt he his heortan \＆his mod mid hreowsunga swiðe pinige，\＆his agena scylda ongiete，\＆him selfum
works．As David forbore slaying Saul for the fear of God and his old allegiance，so do the pious minds of good servants．They abstain from all unrighteous blame：as David forbore slaying Saul with his sword，so do they forbear slaying with the sword of their tongue＇s blame the reputation of their lord，although they know that it is not altogether perfect．But if they cannot altogether abstain from proclaim－ ing it，because of their own faults and their frivolity，let them speak of his most triffing faults，and that very secretly．As David very secretly cut off a very small corner of Saul＇s coat，his liege lord，so do those who secretly blame their lords，and yet so that it does not injure or annoy them．But if any one happen to engage in blaming his lord，because of his own
æt hiera heortan，$\not \subset a$ ær alætan ær hie utaberstað on fullicum weorcum． Sua sua Dauid forbær $\begin{array}{r}\text { æot }\end{array}$ he Saul ne dorste ofslean for Godes ege
 Hie forberað æghwelce unryhte tælinge：sua sua Dauit forbær ðæt 5 he ne slog mid his sueorde Saul，sua hie forberał $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ ðæm sueorde hiera tungna tælinge ne sleał hira hlafurdes Xeawas， Xeah hie wieten Xæt hie elles æltæwe ne sin．Ac gif he Xonne eallunge forberan ne mæg for hira agnum unðeawu $m$ \＆for hiera ungestæððignesse ðæt hie hit ne sciren，Xonne sprecen hie ymbe his 10 ða læstan uňeawas \＆łæt 久eah suǐe diogollice．Sua sua Dauid cearf swiðe digellice suixe lytelne læppan of Saules mentle his eald－ hlafordes，sua dồ ̌a łe hira hlafordas diegellice tælað，\＆خeah sua sua hit him no ne derige，ne ne egle．Ac gif hwem gebyrige ðæt he for his agnum unðeawum on $\Varangle_{a}$ tælinge his hlafordes befoo，夭onne sceal 15 he hine selfne suixe suiðlice for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m } \\ \text { tælan \＆Xara læstena worda }\end{array}$ hreowsian．Fořæm［hit］is awriten ðætte Dauid，$ð_{a}$ he $\begin{array}{r}\text { one }\end{array}$ læppan forcorfenne hæfde，ðæt he sloge on his heortan，\＆suiłe suiælice hreowsade łæet he him æfre sua ungeriesenlice gěenigan sceolde，Xeah his ðegnas hine ær lærdon đæt he hine mid his sweorde sloge．Swa
 hlaford ne slea ；そæt is，đeah he hine mid ryhte tælan mæge，đæt he hit ne doo．Gif him 犭onne gewealdes gebyrige oððe ungewealdes 犭æt
 ［ Xingum］sic，Xeah him is Xearf Yæt he his heortan \＆his mod mid $\because 5$ hreowsunga suire $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}]$ nige，\＆his agena scylda ongiete，\＆him selfum
faults，he must blame himself very severely for it，and regret the most trifling words．Therefore it is written that David，when he had cut off the skirt，struck his heart，and very bitterly repented ever having served him so unbecomingly，although his followers had advised him before to slay him with his sword．So let every one forbear slaying his lord with the sword of his tongue ；that is，though he have reason to blame him，that he is not to do so．If he happen，then， voluntarily or involuntarily to engage in anything against his will， although it be in a most triffing affair，it is necessary that he severely punish his heart and mind with repentance，and perceive his own sins， and sentence himself to such a punishment as he thinks his lord would
deme swele wite swelce he wene $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { his hlaford him deman wolde, }\end{aligned}$ gif he hit wieste ; for $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { Øonne we agyltað wið } \npreceq a ~ h l a f o r d a s, ~ \\ \text { onne }\end{array}$ agylte we wið ðone God pe hlafordscipe gescop. Be ðæm ilcan cwæ૪ Moyses ; $\Varangle_{a}$ he gehierde $\begin{gathered}\text { æot } \\ \text { ðæt fole mænde to him \& Arone ymb }\end{gathered}$ hiera earfoðu, ða cwæð he: Hwæt is eower murcung wið unc ? Hwæt sint wit? Wið God ge doð さæt ge doð.
XXIX. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ hlafordas, on $o \not{ }_{\text {re }}$ خa خegnas \& eac ða ðeowas.
On oðre wisan sint to manianne pa ðeowas, on o $\begin{aligned} \text { re } & x_{a} ~ h l a f o r d a s . ~\end{aligned}$ Đa ðeowas sint to manianne ðæet hie simle on him hæbben $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ eałmodnesse wið hiera hlafordas. Đa hlafordas sint to manianne đæt hie [næfre] ne forgieten hu geliic hiera gecynd is, \& hu gelice hie sint gesceapene ðæm ðeowum. Đa ðeowas sint to manianne ðette hie hiera hlafordas ne forsion. Hiera hlafordas hie forsioð, gif hie his willan \& his bebodu forhycgeað. Đæm hlafordum is eac to cyðanne đætte hie wið Gode ofermodgiað for his agenre. gife, gif hie ne ongieta $ð æ t$ pa bio $\gamma$ hiera gelican \& hiera efngemæccean on hiera gecynde, $\gamma$ a pe him under $\begin{gathered}\text { iedde }\end{gathered}$ bioð ટurh Godes gesceafte. Đæm ðeowan is to cy̌onne ðæt he wiete Łæt he nis freoh wið his hlaford. Đæm hlaforde is to cyðonne ðæt he ongite ðæt he is efnðeow his ðeowe. Đæm ðeowan is beboden, \& ðus to cweden: Bioð ge under 「iedde eowrum worldhlafordum. And eft hit is gecweden : Ælle ðara pe sie under ðæm geoke hlafordsciepes, he sceal his hlaford æghwelcre are \& weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { scipes wurłne onmunan. And }\end{aligned}$ eft hit is gecweden: Ge hlafordas, doð ge eowrum monnum ææt ilce
sentence him to, if he knew it ; for when we sin against our lords, we sin against the God who created authority. Moses spoke about the same ; when he heard how the people complained to him and Aaron of their hardships, he said: "What is your murmuring against us? What are we? Against God ye do what ye do."
XXIX. That masters are to be admonished in one way, in another servants and also slaves.
Servants are to be admonished in one way, in another masters. Servants are to be admonished always to preserve humility towards their masters. Masters are to be admonished never to forget how similar their nature is, and how similarly to the servants they are created. Servants
deme suelc wite suelce he wene ไæt his h’aford him deman wolde,

 Moyses; $\chi_{a}$ he gehierde Łæt $\not$ ææt fole mænde to him Arone ymb 5 hiera earfe $\chi_{0}$, $\chi_{a}$ cuæð he: Hwæt is eower murcung wið unc? Hwæt sint wit? Wið God ge doð خæt ge doox.



On oðre wisan sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ そeowas, on o $\succ_{r e} \Varangle_{a}$ hlafordas.
 nesse wið hira hlafordas. Đa hlafordas sint to manianue ðæt hie næfre ne forgieten hu gelic hira [ge]cynd is, \& hu gelice hi sint gescea-
 fordas ne forsion. Hiera hlafordas hi forsiox, gif hie his willan \& his 15
 hira gelican \& hira efngemæccan on hira gecynde, خa ðe him underðiedde
 Øæt he nis freoh wið his hlaford.) Đæm hlaforde is to cy Canne $^{\text {X} æ t ~ h e ~}$
 \& خus to cueden: Beoð ge underðeodde eowrum woroldhlafordum. \&

 eft hit is gecueden : Ge hlafordas, doð ge eowrum monnum ðæt ilce
are to be admonished not to despise their masters. They despise their masters, if they neglect their will and commands. It is also to be made known also to the masters that they are presumptuous towards God for his own gift, if they do not understand that those who are subject to them by the dispensation of God are equals and associates in their nature. The servant is to be told to know that he is not independent of his master. It is to be made known to the master that he is to understand that he is the fellow-servant of his servant. The servant is commanded, and thus addressed : "Be subject to your worldly masters." And again, it is said : "All who are under the yoke of authority must hold their masters worthy of all honour and respect." And again, it is said : "Ye masters, do the same to your men after their measure,
be hiora andefcne \＆gemetgiað ðone łrean ；gełenceað łæt ægðer ge hiera hlaford ge eower is on hefonum．
 wisan．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne خa pe خisse worlde lotwrenceas cunnon，\＆｀a lufiað，on ołre đa medwisan．Đa lytgan sint to manianne そæt hie oferhycgen đæt hie さær wieton，đa samwisan sint to manianne Łæt hie wilnien to wiotome そæt さæt hie nyton．Đæm lytegan is ærest to beleanne hiera selflice，ไæt hie ne wenen そæt hie sin wise．On 犭æm medwisan is to trymmianne swæ hwæt swæ hie ongietan mægen Xæs godcundan wisdomes，for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ，ไonne hie nane wuht ne ofermodgiað，} \\ \text { ，}\end{array}$ Xome bioð $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ heortan swǐe gearwe wisdomes to onfonne．Ac ymb $\searrow_{a}$ lytegan we sculon swi犭e swiðe swincan 犭æt hie ðone wisdom for－ læten pe him selfum ðyncð łætte wisdom sie，\＆fon to $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm Godes }\end{array}$ wisdome pe him dysig 夭yncł．Ne そearf mon no そone medwisan læran

 sic to onbugonnc，for $\not æ m$ me he bið ær upahafen on selflice for his lot－ wrencium．Be Xissum ilcan cwæð sanctus Paulus：Swelc eower
 Xæs ærest dysig，đæt he mæge 犭onon weorłan wis．Be łæm medwisan is cweden ：Ne sculon ge bion to wise æfter Łæs lichoman luste．And eft cwæð Paulus ：Đa pe worldmonnum ૪ynceað dysige，ða gecist Dryhten，

moderating your threats；consider that both their master and yours is in heaven．＂

XXX．That the foolish are to be admonished in one way，in another the wise．
Those who know and love the wiles of this world are to be ad－ monished in one way，in another the simple．The cunning are to be admonished to despise what they know，the dull－witted to desire to know what they are ignorant of．The conceit of the cunning is first to be blamed，that they may not deem themselves wise．In the simple is to be strengthened whatever they can understand of divine wisdom，because，while they are not at all presumptuous，their hearts are
be hira andefne \＆gemetgiað łone đrean ；gěencað そæt æǧer ge hirat hlaford ge eower is on hefenum．

XXX．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $ð_{a}$ dolan，on oðre $\chi_{a}$ wisan．

5 On oðre wisan sint to man（ian）ne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ خisse worulde lotwrenceas cunnon，\＆$\Varangle_{a}$ lufigeað，on ołre $\succ_{a}$ medwisan．Đa lytegan sint to

 lytegan is æresð to beleanne hiera selflice，ðæt hie ne wenen ðæt hie 10 sien wiese．On $\Varangle æ m$ medwisan is $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{o}$ ］trymmanne swa［hwæt］sua hie ongietan mægen そæs godcundan wisdomes，forðon，ðonne hie nane wuht ne ofermodgiað，خonne beoð $\begin{aligned} & \text { a heortan suiðe gearwe wisdomes to an－}\end{aligned}$


 læran ðæt he ða lotwrencas forlæte，forðonðe he hie næf $\begin{gathered}\text { ．Forðæm }\end{gathered}$

 his lotwrencium．Be ðysum illcan cuæð sanctus Paulus：Suelc eower 20 suelce him selfum $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ynce } \\ \text { Øætte wisus } \\ \text { sie on } \\ \text { ðæm lotwrencum，weorðe }\end{aligned}$ ðæs æres $૪$ dysig，ðæt he mæge ðonan weorðan wis．Be ðam medwisan is cueden：Ne sculon ge beon to wise æfter ðæs lichoman luste．\＆
 Dryhten，forそæm خæt he ða lytegan，ðe mid $\begin{aligned} \text { issum woroldwrencium }\end{aligned}$
in a very fit state to receive wisdom．But with the cunning，we must labour hard to get them to forsake the wisdom which they think is wisdom，and take to the wisdom of God，which they think folly．It is not necessary to advise the simple to forsake their wiles，for they have them not．Therefore it is much easier for them to rise to righteous wisdom than it is for the cumning to turn thither，because he was formerly puffed up with conceit because of his wiles．About the same thing St．Paul spoke：＂Whoever among you thinks himself the wisest in guiles，let him first become foolish，that he may thence become wise．＂Of the simple is said ：＂Ye must not be too wise after the lusts of the body．＂And again，Paul said：＂Those who scem to worldly men foolish，the Lord chooses，to confound the cunning，who
upahafene, gescende. And đeah oft gebyreð Yæt ða bioł mid lǐelicre race gehwirfde, \& eft $\nsucc a$ medwisan oft mid bisenum gehwirfde. Đæm lytegan $\nless o n n e ~ i s ~ b e t r e ~ ð æ t ~ h i e ~ m i d ~ r y h t r e ~ r a c e ~ w e o r ̌ e n ~ o f e r r e a h t e ~ \& ~$ mid ðære race gebundene \& oferswiłde. Đæm medwisan bił genoh god ðæt he gennawe ǒerra monna weore untælwyrłe. Be ðæm se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus, se sceolde læran ægðer ge wise ge unwise, $\chi_{a}$ he ongeat $\searrow_{a}$ Ebreas sume wisran, sume medwisran, $\searrow_{a}$ manode he, \& cwæð to 〕æm gelæredum ðara ealdena boca mid lǐelicum wordum : Đætte nu forealdod is, ไæt is forneah losod. And eft he cwæð to $\not$ Łrm medwisan $\Varangle_{a}$ he ongeat $\npreceq$ æt hie mon mid swerum [sumum] bisenum monian sceolde: Đa halgan men gełafedon on خisse worlde monig bismer \& monige swyngean \& monige bendas \& karcernu, hie wæron strende, \& snidene mid snide, hie wæron costode, \& mid sweordum hie wæron ofslægene. And eft cwæð Paulus: Gemunað eowerra foregengena ðara pe eow bodedon Godes word, \& behealdar hiera liif \&
 he $\gamma$ a lotwrenceas oferwunne \& oferreahte ; \& eac $\gamma$ a medwisan to maran onginne mid $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { liðelican bisnunga gespone. }\end{array}$
XXXI. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne ða scamfæstan, on oðre ða scamleasan.
 fæstan. Đæm scamleasan ne wyrð no gestiered butan micelre tælinge \& miclum $\begin{aligned} \text { rean ; pa scamfæstan bio久 oft mid gemetlicre lare gebetrode. }\end{aligned}$
are puffed up with the guiles of this world." And yet it often happens that they are converted with mild arguments, and the simple, again, with examples. It is better for the cunning to be convinced by a righteous argument, and to be bound and overcome by the argument. It is good enough for the simple to know that other men's works are blameless. Therefore the noble teacher, St. Paul, who had to teach both wise and foolish, perceiving some of the Jews to be wiser, others simpler, said, admonishing those learned in the old books with gentle words: "That which is now antiquated, is almost dissolved." And, again, he said to the foolish, perceiving that they ought to be admonished with some examples: "Holy men suffered in this world many indignities and stripes, and many bonds and prisons, they were stoned,
 race gehwyrfde, \& eft $\succ_{\text {a medwisan oft mid bisenum gehwyrfde. Đæm }}$
 mid łære race gebundene \& ofersuiðde. Đæm medwisan bił genog 5 god $\npreceq{ }^{2}$ he gecnawe oঠerra monna weore untælwierðe. Be ðæm se æ૪ela lareow sanctus Paulus, se sceolde læra[n] ægðer ge wise ge un-
 he, \& cuæ૪ to ðæm gelæredum ૪ara aldena boca mid liðelicum wordum: Đætte nu foraldod is, ðæt is forneah losad. \& eft he cuæ૪ to ૪æm sceolle : Đa halgan menn geðafedon on ૪isse worlde monig bismer \& monige swyngean \& monige bendas \& carcernu, hie wæron stende, \& snidene mid snide, hie wæron costade, \& mid sweordum hi wæron ofslægene. Ond eft cuæ૪ Paulus: Gemunað eowerra foregengena 15 ðara ไe eow bodedon Godes word, \& behealdað hiera lif \& hira
 ૪a lotwrenceas oferwunne \& oferreahte ; \& eac 万a medwiisan to maran $^{\text {m }}$ angienne mid $犭 æ r e ~ l i ð e l i c a n ~ b i s n u n g a ~ g e s p o n e . ~$
XXXI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\nprec a$ scamfæstan, \& on oðre ða scamleasan.

On ołre wisan sint to læranne $\succ a$ scamleasan, on ołre $\nprec a$ scamfæstan. Đæm scamleasan ne wyrð no gestiered butan micelre tælinge \& miclu $m$ ðrean ; ða scamfæstan beoł oft mid gemetlicre lare gebetrode.
they were sawn with the saw, were tempted, were slain with swords. And again, Paul said: "Remember those who went before you, who preached to you God's word, behold their life and departure, and walk in faith." He spoke thus to overcome and confute their guiles ; and also to encourage the simple to greater enterprises, with the gentle example.
XXXI. That the modest are to be admonished in one way, the shameless in another.

The shameless are to be admonished in one way, the modest in another. The shameless cannot be managed without great blaming and threatening, the modest are often improved with moderate in-
 \＆Xeah hit mon him secgge，hie his ne geliefað，buton hie monige menn forðy tælen．Se scamfæsta hæfð genoh on ðæm to his betrunge ðæt his lareow hiene swiðe lythwon gemyndgige his unðeawa．Đone
 scent，ac be ðæm scamfæstan hit is nytre ðæt ðæt him mon on tælan wille，$ð æ t$ hit mon healfunga sprece，swelce hit mon hwon gehrine． Be ðæm Dryhten swiðe openlice tælde ða scamleasan Iudeas，\＆cwæð： Eower nebb sint swæ scamlease swæ ðara wifa ૪e bioð forelegissa．
 scande pe $\chi_{u}$ on iuguðe worhtes ic gedo $\chi_{æ t} \chi_{u}$ forgitst \＆ ðæs $^{\text {a }}$
 Waldend pe $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { e geworhte．And eft } \gamma_{a} \text { scamleasan Galatas swiðe open－}\end{aligned}$ lice sanctus Paulus tælde，$\gamma_{a}$ he cwæ犭：Eala ge ungewitfullan Galatæ，hwa gehefgade eow？And eft he cwæ૪：Swæ dysige ge sint ðætte $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ææt ge gastlice underfengon，ge willǎ geendian flæsclice．}\end{aligned}$ Đa scylda 夭ara scamleasena he tælde，swelce he efnswiłe him bære， \＆cwæð：Ic eom swixe gefionde on Dryhtne ðætte ge æfre woldon ænige wuht eow selfum witan ær ic hit eow wite．Hit is god $犭$ æt ge hit nu wietun．Næron ge noht æmettige，ðeah ge wel ne dyden． Forðæm he spræc $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { as }\end{aligned}$ word pe he wolde łara scamleasena scylda tælende geopenian，\＆Xara scamfæstena giemelieste he wolde mid liðe－ licum wordum gedieglan．
struction．The shameless do not know that they do ill，without being told，and when told，they do not believe it，unless many men blame them for it．It is enough to reform the modest man，if his teacher remind him very gently of his faults．The more the shameless man is rebuked and humiliated，the better the chance of improving him， but with the modest man it is better to speak out what one has to blame in him only partially，as if touching it lightly．Therefore the Lord very openly blamed the shameless Jews，saying：＂Your faces are as shameless as those of harlots．＂And again，he soothed the modest，saying：＂I will make thee forget the shame and disgrace of thy youth，and thou shalt not remember the reproach of thy widow－

Đa scamleasa nyton $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { hie untela dor, buton hit mon him secge, }\end{array}$ \& Xeah hit mon him secge, hie his ne geliefað, buton hie monige menn for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & y \text { tælen. Se scamfæsta hæf } \gamma \text { genoh on } ð æ m \text { to his bettrunge }\end{aligned}$ Xæt his lareow hine suiðe lythwon gemyndgige his unðeawa. Đone

 tæla[n] wille, خæt hit mon healfunga sprece, swelce hit mon hwôn gehrine. Be ðæm Dryhten suiðe openlice tælde $\chi_{a}$ scamleasan Iudeas, \& cuæð: Eower nelb sint sua scamleas sua ðara wifa [ Xe beoð] fore-


 Waldend $\chi_{e} \chi_{e}$ geworhte. \& eft $\succ a$ seamleasan Galatas suiðe openlice sanctus Paulus tælde, $\searrow_{a}$ he cuæ૪ : Eala ge ungewitfullan Galatæ, 15 hwa gehefegode eow? \& eft he cuæ૪: Sua dysige ge sint łæotte ðæt ૪æt [ge] gæsðlice underfengon, ge willað geendigan flæsclice. Đa scylda łara scamleasena he tælde, suelce he efnsuiðe him bære, \& cuæ૪: Ic com suiðe gefeonde on Dryhten ðætte ge æfre woldon ænig wuht eow selfum wietan, ær ic hit eow wite. Hit is god $\succ_{\text {æt }}$ 20 ge hit nu witon. Næron naht æmetige, خeah ge wel ne dyden.
 telende geopenian, \& ðara scamfæstena giemelieste he wolde mid liðelicu $m$ wordum gedieglan.
hood, for it is thy Lord who made thee." And again, St. Paul very openly blamed the shameless Galatians, saying : "Oh, foolish Galatians, who hath afflicted you?" And again, he said: "So foolish ye are, that what ye received spiritually ye wish to end carnally." He blamed the sins of the shameless, as if he suffered equally with them, saying: "I rejoice greatly in the Lord, that ye were ever willing to impute anything to yourselves, before I imputed it to you. It is good that ye do so now. Ye were not unoccupied, though ye did not do well." He spoke these words because he wished to reveal the sins of the shameless by blaming them, and conceal the negligence of the modest with gentle words.
XXXII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ofermodan \& } ð a ~\end{aligned}$ upahafenan on hiora mode, on oðre ða earmheortan \& $\gamma_{a}$ wacmodan.

 him selfum to swiðe truwiað, hie forsioð ołre men, \& eac forcweðað.
 bældo \& hiera unmihte, hie weorðað oft ormode. Đa modgan ૪onne

 stegan wenað ðæt ðæt swiðe forsewenlic sie ðætte hie doð, \& forðon
 seceanne be ðæm weorcum ðara ofertruwudena, ðæt hie him gecyðen ðætte on $ð æ m$ خingum pe hie him selfum swæ swiðe liciað, ðæt hie

 secgen $\nsucc æ$ hie hæbben wyrst gedon, $\searrow_{æ t t e, ~}^{\text {, }}$ onne hie wenen $ð_{æ t}$ hie
 $\chi_{0}$ ne hæbben mid $\Varangle y$ scame geholude. Hwilum eac, $\chi_{0}$ ne $\chi_{a}$ for-
 ðonne magon we hie swæ ra夭ust to ryhte gecyrran ðæt we him sume opene scylde, pe ær ðurhtogen wære, healfunga oðwiten, ðæt hie for-


XXXII. That the proud and puffed up in spirit are to be admonished in one way, in another the humble and fainthearted.

The proud and presumptuous are to be admonished in one way, in another the humble and diffident. The presumptuous, when too confident in themselves, despise and revile others. The fainthearted and diffident, perceiving their want of courage and strength, often despair. The proud and presumptuous think that all their own special thoughts or deeds are the best ; but the humble and timid think that what they do is very contemptible, and therefore often despair. But the teacher
XXXII. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monianne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { a ofermodan } \& ~ \\ \text { a }\end{array}$ ûpahæfenan ôn hira mode, ôn oðre wisan $ð$ a earmheortan \& 「a a wácmodan. $^{\text {and }}$

On oðre wisan sint to manianne ða modgan \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { fortruwodan, on }\end{aligned}$ 5 oðre $ð_{a}$ unmodgan \& $\partial \mathrm{a}$ unðristan. Đa fortruwodan, $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { hie him }\end{aligned}$ selfum to suiðe truwiað, hie forsioð oðre menn, \& eac forcueðað. Đa
 \& hiera unmiehte, hie weorðał oft ormode. Đa modgan ðonne \&


 weorðað oft ormode. Ac ðæm lareowe is swiðe smealice to underseceanne be $\nsupseteq æ m$ weorcum ðara ofertruwedena, $ð æ t$ hie him gecyðen
 15 Gode misliciað. Swa we magon betst $\Varangle a$ gedyrstigan gelæran $\nsucc æ t t e$, ðonne hie wenen ðæt hie hæbben betst gedon, ðæt we him Xonne secgen đæt hie hæbben wierst gedon, ðætte, ðonne hie wenen ðæt hie ðone gilp \& ðæt lôf begieten hæbben ðæt hie ær wilnodon, ðæt hie
 20 truwudan \& $^{2}$ anwillan wenað ðæt hie nane scylde ðurhtogen næbben, ðonne magon we hi sua raðosð to ryhte gecierran $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æet we } \\ \text { him sume }\end{array}$ opene scylde, $\chi_{e} æ r$ łurhtogen wære, healfunga o o wwieten, ðæt hie




#### Abstract

must very narrowly investigate the works of the presumptuous, that they may show them that in the things wherein they please themselves so much they displease God. We can best teach the confident by telling them, when they think they have done best, that they have done worst ; that, when they think they have attained the glory and praise they desired before, they may find that they have only got disgrace thereby. Sometimes also, when the presumptuous and bold think that they have not committed any sin, we can most readily direct them right by half charging them with some manifest sin, which was formerly committed, that they may be ashamed because of it ; that from the sin of which he cannot clear himself he may understand that which he is committing, although it seems to him that he is not doing


nan yfel ne do. Đa fortruwodnesse \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { anwilnesse }\end{aligned}$ an Corintheum Paulus ongeat swiłe wiðerweardne wið hiene, \& betweoh him selfum swiðe aðundene \& upahafene; swæ ðætte sume cwædon łæt hie wæron Apollan, sume cwædon خæt hie wæron Paules, sume Petres, sum cwæð ðæt he wære Cristes. Ac Paulus $\gamma_{a}$ sona $\succ_{a}$ unclænan scylde beforan him eallum sæde, pe an hiera ealra gewitnesse gedon wæs, \& łagiet ungebett; he cwæð: We gehierdon betweohxn eow unryhthæmed, ge swæ unryht swæ we furłum betweohxn hæðnum monnum ne hierdun, $\chi_{æ t}$ is $\npreceq æ t$ ge sume hæfdon eowre steopmodor, \& ge ไæs næfdon nane sorge, \& noldon from eow adôn خa pe خæt dydon, ac wæron swæ upahafene swæ ge ær wæron. Swelce he openlice cwæde: Hwæt wille ge for eowerre fortruwodnesse \& for eowerre anwilnesse cwe $\begin{aligned} & \text { an, hwæs ołðe hwæs ge sien? Forðæmpe on eowre }\end{aligned}$ towesnesse ge habbað gecyðed ðæt ge ures nanes ne sindon. Ac ða lytelmodan \& $\succ_{a}$ un $૪$ ristan we magon $\Varangle y$ ie $૪$ on $\nsucc æ m$ wege gebringan godra weorea, gif we healfunga \& ðeah be sumum dæle hiera godan weore sæcgeað, for $\searrow æ m$, خonne we hiera yfel tælað, ðæt we eac hiera god herigen, for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { そæt we hiera modes meruwenesse gestiðigen mid }\end{array}$
 scylda geðreade mid Xæm Xæt we hie tælen. Oft we magon bion swæ nyttran $\mathfrak{æ t}$ him, gif we hie myndgiað hiera godna weorca, \& $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ secgear, \& gif we hwæt ongietað on him ungesceadwislices gedon, ne sculon we no hie swæ łreagean swelce hie hit gedon hæbben, خeah hit gedon sie, ac we sculon him forbeodan łæt hie huru swæ ne don,

any evil. The presumption and obstinacy of the Corinthians Paul saw to be greatly opposed to himself, and he saw that they were very inflated and puffed up among themselves; so that some said they were Apollos's, some Saul's, some Peter's, and one said that he was Christ's. But Paul soon spoke out before them all the unclean sin, which had been done with the knowledge of all of them, and was still unatoned; he said: "We have heard of fornication among you, and worse than any we have heard of even among heathens, that is, that some of you had your step-mothers, and ye were not troubled at it, and would not put, away from you those who did so, but were as elated as ye were before." As if he had openly said: "What will ye say for your presumption
nan yfel ne doo. Đa fortruwodnesse \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { anwilnesse an Corinctheum }\end{aligned}$ Paulus ongeat suiłe wiðerweardne wið hine, \& betweoh him selfum suire aðundene \& ûpahæfene; sua ðætte sume cuædon ðæt hie wæron Apollan, sume cuædon $\not$ ðæt hi wæron Saules, sume Petres, 5 sum cuæ૪ ðæt he wære Cristes. Ac Pa[u]lus Xa sona خa unclænan scylde beforan him allum sæde, 犭e an hiera e[a]lra gewitnesse gedôn wæs, \& ðagiet ungebet; he cuæð: We gehierdon betueoxn eow unryhthæmed, ge sua unryht sua we furðum betwuxn hæðnum monnum ne hierdon, ðæt [is ðæt] ge sume hæfdon eowre steopmodur, $10 \&$ [ge] ðæs næfdon nane sorge, \& noldon from eow adôn ða ðe ðæt dydon, ac wæron sua upahæfene sua ge ær wæron. Suelce he openlice cuæde: Hwæt wille ge for eowerre fortruwodnesse \& for eowerre
 towesnesse ge habbar gecy ed $^{\gamma}$ ætt ge ures nanes ne siendon. Ac $\gamma_{a}$
 godra weorca, gif we healfunga \& Xeah be sumum dæle heora godan weore se[c]geað, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m, ðonne we hira yfel tælað, ðæt we eac hira }\end{aligned}$ gôd herigen, forðæm ðæt we hira modes me[a]ruwnesse gestiðigen mid ðæm $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { hie gehiren [ } \varlimsup_{æ t} \text { we hi herigen, ] \& ðæette eft sien hira }\end{array}$ 20 scyl`a geðreade mid łam Łæt we hie tælen. Oft we magon beon sua nyttran $æ t$ him, gif we hie myndgiað hira godna weorca, \& $\chi_{a}$ secgeax, \& gif we hwæt ongietað on him ungesceadwislices gedoon, ne
 gedon sie, ac we sculon him forbeodan $\searrow$ ðet hie huru sua ne dôn, 25 suelce hit خonne giet gedôn ne sie, forðæm ðæt sio hering خe we ær
and obstinacy, whose ye are? For by your laxity ye have shown that ye belong not to any of us." But we can the more easily bring the fainthearted and diffident on the path of good works by partially mentioning their good works, so that when we blame their faults we may also praise their virtues, that we may strengthen the weakness of their minds by allowing them to hear how we praise them, and again, that their sins may be chastised by our blame. Often we can be more useful to them by reminding them of their good works, and mentioning them, and if we perceive that they have committed an imprudence, we must not blame them as if they had done it, although it be done, but we must forbid them to do so, as if it were not yet done, that our
heredon us gefultume $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { we hie wiðermode ne gedon us mid } 犭 æ r e ~\end{aligned}$ tælinge, ac ðæt sio hering getrymme \& gemetgige ðæs wacmodan \& ðæs unðristan monnes mod wið ða tælinge. Be ðæm se ilca Paulus cwæð, $\chi_{a}$ he ongeat $\npreceq$ æt folc pe Đessolonicensa hatte, $\searrow_{æ t}$ hie on his lare fæste wæron, \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah } \\ & \text { he ongeat } \not{ }_{\text {}} \text { æt hie gedrefede wæron mid }\end{aligned}$ wacmodnesse, for $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { mpe } \\ & \text { hie wendon } \text { ææt hit near worlde endunge }\end{aligned}$
 he fæstrædes wiste, \& sona æfter $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { on swiðe lǐelice } \\ & \text { he hirde } \text { 万a pe he }\end{aligned}$ unfæstrædes wiste, \& خus cwæð: We sculon simle sæcgean Gode ðancas for eow broður, swæ swæ hit wel wyrłe is, forðæmpe eower geleafa hæfخ oferðungen swiðe monegra oðerra monna, \& eower lufu is betweohxn eow swiðe genyhtsumu, swæ ðæt we apostolas sint swiðe gefeonde ealle for eowrum geleafan \& for eo(w)rum geðylde. Ac sona æfter ðære liðelican spræce he cwæð : Ic eow healsige broður for ðæm tocyme Dryhtnes Hælendan Cristes \& for ure gesomnunge ðæt ge no to hrædlice ne sien astyrede from eowrum gewitte, ne eow to swiðe ne [on]drædað for nanes monnes wordum ne for nanes witgan gaste, ne ðeah eow hwelc ærendgewrit cume, swelce hit from us asend sie, \& ðæron cyðe ðæt se domes dæg neah sie. Swæ gedyde se soðfæsta lareow $\chi_{æ t}$ he ærest gehierdun $\chi_{a}$ heringe pe him licode for $\begin{aligned} & x^{2} t \text { hie }\end{aligned}$

 hie wæron onstyrede mid ðæm wenan ðæt hie ðæs endes swæ neah wendon, $\searrow_{a}$ spræc he swelce he hit $\gamma_{\text {agit nyste }} \chi_{æ t}$ hie hit him $\gamma_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{iu}$ ondredon, ac forbead him ðæt hit ne sceolde swa weorðan, \& wolde
former praise may prevent their being impatient of our blame, and that the praise may strengthen and regulate the minds of the weak and diffident for the blame. Of which the same Paul spoke when he perceived that the people called Thessalonians were firm in his teaching, and yet troubled with faintheartedness, because they thought the end of the world nearer than it really was ; he began first to praise what he knew was their steadfastness, and immediately after, very gently admonished those whom he knew to be weakminded, and spoke thus: "We shall always have to say thanks to God on your account, brothers, as it is well meet, because your faith has surpassed that of many other men, and your love among yourselves is very abundant,
heredon us gefultume $犭 æ$ we hie wiðermode ne gedôn mid $ð æ r e$ tælinge，ac ðæt sio hering getrymme \＆gemetgige $犭 æ s$ wacmodan \＆ ðæs unðristan monnes mód wið ða tælinge．Be ðam se ilca Paulus cuæ૪，$\succ_{a}$ he ongeat $\succ_{æ t}$ folc $\chi_{e}$ Salonicensa hatte，$ð æ t$ hie on his 5 lare fæste wæron，\＆خeah he ongeat خæt hi gedrefde wæron mid wacmodnesse，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ & \text { hie wendon } \not \text { ðæt hit near worulde endunge }\end{array}$

 unfæsðrade wisse，\＆łus cuæ૪：We sculon simle secgan Gode 10 ðoncas for eow brǒur，sua sua hit wel wieře is，forðæmðe eower geleafa hæf ofeřungen suiðe monigra ołerra monna，\＆eower lufu is betweoxn eow suiðe genyhtsumu，sua $\npreceq æ t$ we apostolas sint suiðe gefeonde ealle for eowrum geleafan \＆for eowrum geðylde．Ac sona æfter ðære liðelican spræce he cuæ૪：Ic eow healsige broður for ðæm 15 tocyme Dryhtnes Hælendan Kristes \＆for ure gesomnunge Xæt ge no to hrædlice ne sien astyrede from gewitte，ne eow to suiłe ne on－ drædað for nanes monnes wordu $m$ ne for nanes witgan gæste，ne خeah eow hwelc ærendgewrit cume，suelce hit from us send sie，\＆łæron cyðe łæt se domes dæg neah sie．Sua gedyde se sołfæsta lareow
 æfter ðæm ðe lusðlicor gehierden ða lare，ðætte ไæt lof hie to ðæm getrymede ðæt sio manung hie eft ne ðrycte．Đa he ongeat ðæt hie wæron onstyrede mid đæm wenan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æt } \\ \text { hi } 犭 æ s ~ e n d e s ~ s u a ~ n e a h ~\end{array}$
 25 ondredon，ac forbead him Łæt hit ne scolde sua weorðan，\＆wolde
so that we apostles all rejoice greatly in your belief and patience．＂ But soon after the gentle speech，he said：＂I beseech you，brothers， by the coming of the Lord，our Saviour Christ，and by our congrega－ tion，that ye be not too quickly stirred from your senses；nor fear too much for any man＇s words or any prophet＇s spirit，or if any letter come to you，as if sent from us，to announce that the day of judgment is near．＂Thus the trusty teacher made them first hear the praise they liked，that they might afterwards hear the advice more cheerfully，that the praise might strengthen them so as not afterwards to be crushed by the admonition．When he saw that they were stirred by the expectation of their impending end，he spoke as if he did not yet know that they
ðæt hie wenden ðæt hie ðæs pe untælwyrðran wæron pe hie wendon ðæt he nyste hiera leohtmodnesse \＆hiera unfæstrædnesse．

XXXIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne ða ungeðyldegan， \＆on ơre ða gełyldegan．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne pa ungełyldegan，on oðre ða ge－$^{\text {g }}$ ðyldegan．Đæm ungeðyldegum is to sæcganne ðætte hie ne agime－
 on ðæt scorene clif unðeawa ；swæ hit oft gebyreð ૪æt sio hatheortnes \＆seo hrædwilnes đæt mod gebrengð on ðæm weorce pe hiene ær nan willa to ne spon，\＆deð Xeah swæ astyred，swelce he hit ungewisses oððe ungewealdes do，ðæt him eft gehreoweð，siððan he hit wat． For｀æm him is to sæcgeanne さæt hie weorðał oft ascrencte on そæm scyfe そære styringe hiera modes，Łæt hie hiera selfra ne agon 犭y mare geweald pe ołerra monna，\＆swiðe seldon magon ongietan hiera agen yfel，ær｀on hie hit Øurlhtogen habbað．Ac gif he ðonne ðære styringe ne wiłstent， $\begin{gathered}\text { onne gescent he } \chi_{a} \text { godan weore pe he oft ær on stillum }\end{gathered}$ mode ‘urlteah，\＆swæ ungleawlice for Łæm scyfe ðære styringe swiðe hrædlice towyrpð pa godan weore pe he longe ær foreðonclice timbrede，
 ðære ungeðylde forlett，\＆eac そæt mægen ðære soðan lufan he for－ læt．Hit is awriten on Paules bocum خæt sio Godes lufu sie geðyld，
 ðæm unðeawe ไære ungeðylde wierð utadrifen sio fostermodur ælcre
had been dreading it long，but forbade them to let it be so，wishing them to deem themselves the less culpable by thinking that he did not know their frivolity and inconstancy．

XXXIII．That the impatient are to be admonished in one way，in another the patient．
The impatient are to be admonished in one way，in another the patient．The impatient are to be told not to neglect bridling their mind，lest involuntarily they leap down the abrupt cliff of vices；as it often happens that impetuosity and hastiness bring the mind to the deed to which no desire allured it before，and so make it agitated，as if he did it unconsciously or involuntarily，so that he afterwards repented
 ðæt he nyste hira leohtmodnesse \& hira unfæs $\begin{aligned} \\ r a d n e s s e . ~\end{aligned}$


 geðyldegan. Đæm ungeðyldegum is to secganne łæt hie ne agimeleasigen łæt hi h[i]ra mod [ge]bridligen, ðæt hi ne hlipen unwillende on ðæt scorene clif unðeawa ; sua hit oft gebyreð ðæt sio hatheortness
 10 willa to ne spên, \& deð ðeah sua astyred, suelce he hit ungewisses orðe ungewealdes doo, ðæt him eft gehreoweð, siððan he hit wat. For-
 ðære styringe hira modes, ðæt hi hira selfra ne agon $૪ y$ mare geweald ૪e oðerra mouna, \& suiłe seldon magon ongietan hira ægen yfel, 15 ærðon hi hit ðurhtogen habbað. Ac gif he ðonne ðære styringe ne

 hrædlice towierp $૪ \succ_{a}$ godan weore $\Varangle_{e}$ he longe $æ r$ fore $ð_{o n c l i c e ~ t i m b r e d e, ~}^{\text {en }}$,
 20 ૪ær[e] ungeخylde forlêt, \& eac ðæ[t] mægen ðære soðan lufan he forlêt. Hit [is] awriten on Paules bocum そæt sio Godes lufu sie
 Forðæm for ðæm unðeawe ðære ungeðylde wirð utadrifen sio foster-
of it, when he knew it. Therefore they are to be told that they are often deceived by the impulse of the agitation of their mind, so that they cannot command themselves any more than others, and are very seldom able to perceive their own wickedness, until they have accomplished it. But if he does not oppose the agitation, he disgraces the good works which he often before accomplished with a calm mind, and so imprudently, from the impulse of his agitation, very quickly pulls down the good works which he long before carefully built up, and forsakes patience, which is mother and guardian of all virtues, through the vice of impatience, and also the virtue of true love. It is written in Paul's books that the love of God is patience, and that he who is not patient has not the love of God in him. Therefore, through the
leornunga \& ælces cræftes, \& æghwelces lareowes lar wihst łurh his geðylde, \& æghwelc monn bið onfunden swæ micle læs gelæred ðonne oðer swæ he bið ungeðyldegra. Ne mæg he no ryhtlice geðyld læran, buton he self gełyldelice ołerra monna teonan geðolige. Hwilum eac
 sticced mid łære scylde gilpes, \& he ne mæg geðyldgian ðæt he for Xisse worlde sie forsewen, ac gif he hwæt digollice for Gode to gode gedyde, łonne ne mæg he geðyldgian đæt he ðæt forhele, ac wierð Konon gilpen, \& onginneł Xonne đæt cyðan ðonne he ne mæg ge‘olian ðæt hiene men forsion, ac geopenað hit mid gilpe. Be $\begin{aligned} & \text { mm }\end{aligned}$ awriten $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt } \\ & \text { betra bio se ge } \\ & \text { yldega wer } \\ & \text { Øonne se gilpna, for } \nsupseteq æ m p e ~\end{aligned}$ him bið liofre scande to خolianne 久onne خæt god to cyðanne ðæt he digollice deð, ૪ylæs he for $\not$ ðæm unðeawe ૪æs gilpes hit forleose. Ac ðæm gilpnan bił liofre ðæt he secge on hiene selfne, gif he hwæt godes wat, ge ðeah he nyte hwæt he sołes secge, him is ðeah leofre ðæt he leoge ðonne him mon ænigra ungerisna to wene. Ac he forlæt ðonne
 geðylde. Forðæm wæs swiðe ryhtlice beboden Ezechiele ðæm witgan Xæt he sceolde Xone Godes alter habban uppan aholodne ðæt he meahte on healdan $\chi_{a}$ ofrunga \& $\chi_{a}$ lac pe man brohte to $\succ_{æ m}$ weobude; for $\not$ æm, gif se weobud ufan hol nære, \& ðær wind to come, ðonne tostencte he $\succ_{a}$ lac. Hwæt elles getannað ðæt weobud buton rihtwisra monna saula? Forさæmpe nu eal ðæt se ryhtwisa to gode deð eal hit bið broht to lacum beforan Godes eagum, swæ iu wæs eall sio ofrung uppe on ðæt wiobud broht. Hwæt tacnað ðonne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ t\end{array}$
vice of impatience, the foster-mother of all learning and virtue is driven out ; and the learning of every teacher grows through his patience, and every man is proved to be so much the less learned than another as he is more impatient. He cannot rightly teach patience, unless he himself patiently suffer the contumely of others. Sometimes also it happens, through the vice of impatience, that the mind is pierced by the silu of boasting, and he cannot bear worldly scorn ; and if he has done any good action in the sight of God, he cannot bear to conceal it, but becomes boastful, and begins to proclaim it, not being able to endure men's contempt, but reveals it boastfully. Therefore it is written that the patient is better than the boastful man, for he would rather suffer contumely than proclaim the good he does secretly, lest
modur ælcre leornunga \& ælces cræftes, \& æghwelces lareowes lar wihxð ðurh his geðylde, æghwele monn bið onfunden sua micle læs gelæred ðonne oðer sua he bið ungeðyldegra. Ne mæg he no ryhtlice geðyld læra(n), buton he self ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { yldelice o o } \\ & \text { erra monna tionan geðolige. }\end{aligned}$
 wierð gesticced mid ðære scylde gielpes, \& he ne mæg ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { yl }(\mathrm{d}) \text { gian } ð æ t ~\end{aligned}$ he for $\begin{aligned} & \text { isse worulde sie foresewen, ac gif he hwæt diogollice for Gode to }\end{aligned}$ goode gedyde, ðonne ne mæg he geðyl[d]gian خæt he ðæt forhele, ac wierð ðonon gielpen, \& ongienneð ðonne ðæt cyðan $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { he ne mæg }\end{aligned}$

 him bið leofre scande to خolianne ðonne ðæt gôd to cyðanne $ð æ t$ he deogollice deð, ૪ylæs he for $\searrow æ m$ unðeawe $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { gielpes hit forleose. }\end{array}$ Ac ðæm gielpnan bið leofre $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \text { he secge on hine selfne gif he hwæt }\end{array}$ 15 godes wât, ge Xeah he nyte hwæt he sołcs secge, him is خeah leofre ðæt he leoge $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ne } \\ \text { him mon ænigra ungerisna to wene. Ac he forlæt }\end{array}$
 forlæt $\chi_{a}$ ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { ylde. Forłæm wæs suiðe ryhtlice beboden Ezechiele }\end{aligned}$ ðæm witgan $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { he scolde } \text { one Godes alter habban uppan aholodne }\end{aligned}$
 weobude ; for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {, gif se weobud ufan hôl nære, \& } ð æ r ~ w i n d ~ t o ~ c o m e, ~\end{aligned}$ ðonne tostencte he ða lác. Hwæt elles getannað ไæt weolud buton ryhtwisra monna saula? Forðæmðe nu eal ðæt se ryhtwisa to gode deð eal hit bið beorht to lacum beforan Godes eagum, sua io wæs 25 eall sio offrung uppe on $ð æ t$ wiebed broht. Hwæt tacnað ðonne $ð æ t$
he lose it through the vice of boasting. But the boaster would rather attribute to himself any good action he is conscious of ; and even if he is not sure of speaking the truth, he would rather lie than have a bad reputation. But he forsakes and destroys all the good deed he performed before, when he forsakes patience. Therefore the prophet Ezekiel was very rightly commanded to have God's altar hollow above, that it might hold the offerings and gifts which were brought to it ; for if the altar were not hollow, and the wind rose, it would scatter the offerings. What signifies the altar but the souls of righteous men? Because all the good that the righteous man does is brought before God's eyes as an offering, as all the offering was formerly brought up to the altar. What signifies the hollow on the altar but the
holh on ðæm weobude buton godra monna geðyld？Forðæm，ðonne mon his mod geea $\begin{aligned} & \text { modega } \Varangle \text { ðæt he wiðerweardnesse \＆scande forbere，}\end{aligned}$夭onne geeacnał he sum holh on his mode swæ swæ そæt weobud hæf $\gamma$

 weobud legde．Đæt tacnað ¡æt łæt geðyld sceal gehealdan ðara gecorenra monna mod，ðætte hit ne［a］styrige se wind ไære unge $\begin{aligned} & \text { ylde } \\ & \text { ，}\end{aligned}$ Øylæs hit forleose ła godan weorc pe he ær geworht hæfde．Wel hit

 forlæt，he gehielt micle anmodnesse．Be łæm cwæð sanctus Paulus： Bere cower ælc ơres byrðenne betweohxn eow，ðonne gefylle ge Godes æ．Đæt is ðonne Godes æ $\not$ æセt mon hæbbe lufe \＆geðyld，ðæt $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ fullfremma $\chi_{a}$ ane pe hie ne forlæta $\chi$ ，$\chi_{o n n e ~ h i e ~ m o n ~ g r e m e ~}$ ．
 geðyldega wer ðonne se stronga \＆se kena，\＆strongra bið se \＆ðristra



 cumen łæt mod \＆gewielð，swelce he self hæbbe hiene selfne gewildne，
 unge $\begin{aligned} & \text { yldegan } h w æ t ~ s i o ~ S o ð f æ s t n e s ~ c w æ ð ~ t o ~ h i s ~ g e c o r e n u m, ~ h e ~ c w æ ~ \\ & \text { ：}\end{aligned}$ On eo（w）rum geðylde ge gehealdað eowra saula．Swæ we sint wun－ derlice gesceapene Xæt ure mod \＆ure gewitt hæf $\gamma$ خone anwald ures

[^18] mon his mód geeałmodgað ðæt he wiðerweardnesse \& scande forbere, ðonne geeacnað he sum holh on his mode sua sua ðret weobud href on him uppan. Holh wæs beboden ðæt sceolde beon on ðæm weobude 5 uppan, forðæm ðæt wind ne meahte ða lac tostencean, ðe mon on ðæt weobud legde. Đæt tacnað ðæet ðret geخyld sceal gehealdan ðara gecorenra monna mod, ðætte hit ne astyrige se wind ðære ungeخylde,
 wæs gecueden ðæt $ð æ t$ holh sceolde beon on $ð æ m$ weobude anre elne
 forlæt, he gehilt micle anmodnesse. Be ðæm cuæð sanctus Paulus: Bere eower ælc ołres byrðenne betweoxn eow, Xonne gefylle ge Godes

annoyed. Let the impatient hear this speech which is written: "Better is the patient than the strong and bold man ; and stronger and bolder is he who overcomes and subdues his own mind than he who takes a strong city." He who overcomes the citizens gains a less victory, because those he humbles and intimidates are strangers to him. Therefore the victory which is won with patience is much greater, because in this case wisdom has overcome and subdued the mind, as if he himself had conquered himself, and patience had intimidated and put a halter on the mind. Let the impatient hear what Truth said to his elect ; he said: "In your patience ye shall hold your souls." We are so wonderfully made, that our mind and intellect control the body, and wisdom the mind. Therefore, if wisdom has no control over the

lichoman, \& sio gesceadwisnes hæf૪ anwald ðæs modes. Forðæm, gif sio gesceadwisnes næf $\begin{aligned} & \text { nanne anwald } \nsucc æ r e ~ s a u l e ~ \& ~ \Varangle æ s ~ m o d e s, ~\end{aligned}$
 Ac sio geðyld is gesett to hierde urre gesceafte. Đæt us ætiewde Dryhten, pa he us lærde ðæt we sceoldon urra selfra waldan mid đære gełylde. We magon eac ongietan hu micel sio scyld bið خære ungeخylde, ðurh pa we forlætað خone anwald ure selfra, خone we sceoldon ðurh ða geðylde gehealdan. Gehieren ða ungeðyldegan ðone cwide pe eft be him gecweden is on Salomones bocum : Se dysega ungeخyldega all his ingeðonc he geypt, ac se wisa hit ieldcað, \& bitt timan. Sio
 ealne $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } \\ & \text { gast utadrif } \gamma \text {. For } ð æ m \text { hiene swæ hrædlice sio gedre- }\end{aligned}$ fednes utadrifł ðy hiene ðærinne ne belycł nan ege łære lare wisdomes. Ac se wisa hilt his spræce \& bitt timan, \& ne wilnað na to hrædlice ðære wræce, خeah he gegremed sie, ac wyscł خæt hit him gehreowe, そæt he hit mæge siððan forgifan ; \& ðeah wite he ðætte ealle scylda pe wið God beoð ungebetta beoð unforgifne on domes dæge \& ryhtlice gewrecene. Ac eft sint to manigenne ða geðyldegan

 ðonces toweorpe $\chi_{a}$ mægenu $\succ_{æ s}$ godan weorces pe he Gode utan anwealglice forgeaf, for $\succ æ m$, ðonne hit nan man wietan ne mæg hwæðer hit eallinga forgiefen sie, そætte hit łonne se ne wrece pe hit wat pe swiður pe he licet mildheortnesse \& forgifnesse $\not \searrow æ r ~ ð æ r ~ n a n ~ n e ~$ bið. Ac ðæm ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { yldegan } \& ~ \searrow æ m ~ f o r g i e f e n d a n ~ i s ~ t o ~ s e c g a n n e ~ \\ & \text { ðtt he }\end{aligned}$ georne wilnige ไæt he ðone mon eft lufian mæge pe him ær abealg,
soul and mind, the soul and intellect have no control over the body. But patience has been appointed guardian of our nature. This the Lord showed us, when he taught us how to control ourselves with patience. We can also understand how great is the sin of impatience, through which we forsake the control of ourselves, which we ought to preserve through patience. Let the impatient hear another passage about them spoken in the books of Solomon: "The impatient fool reveals all his thoughts, but the wise man delays it, and waits his time." Impatience compels a man to reveal all his thoughts, and drives out all the spirit. The agitation drives it out so quickly, because no reverence for the advice of wisdom confines it in there. But the wise man restrains his speech, and waits his time, and does
not desire revenge too soon, if he has been injured, but wishes to repent, that he may afterwards be able to forgive it ; and yet let him know that all sins against God which are unatoned will not be forgiven at the day of judgment, but rightly punished. But the patient are to be admonished again, that what they forgive with their words and deeds they are also to forgive in their hearts, lest with the anger of a bad heart they destroy the virtues of the good works which outwardly they offered to God completely, that, when no man knows whether it is entirely forgiven, he who knows may not punish it the more severely the more they stimulate humanity and forgiveness where none is. But the patient and forgiving are to be told to desire eagerly to be able afterwards to love the man who irritated them before, when
 ðære forgifnesse, خonne wierð ðær feoung, \& se goda cræft ðe he ðær licette ðære forgifnesse wierð behwirfed on wiersan scylde. Be łæm cwæð sanctus Paulus : Lufu bið geðyldig. And sona æfter ðæm he cwæ૪: Hio bið mildu. Swiłe sweotule he ætiewde mid đæm wordum
 hie sculon eac milde mode lufian. Be đæm se æðela lareow cwæð, ða
 ierre \& unweor $\begin{aligned} & \text { scipe } \& ~ g e c l i b s ~ \& ~ t æ l ~ s i e ~ a n u m e n ~ f r a m ~ e o w . ~ Đ a ~ h e ~\end{aligned}$ spræc, swelce he pa uterran yflu hæfde eall gesett, \& wende hiene pa to ðæm inneran, \& خus cwæ૪: And ælc yfel forlæte ge on eowrum ingeðonce. Forðæm hit bið unnyt خæt mon unweorðunga \& tæl \& geclibs utane forlæte, gif se yfela willa ðone onwald hæf łæs ingeðonces, se is modur ælces yfeles, for $æ æ m$ hit bið unnyt łæt mon hwelces yfles bogas snæde, buton mon wille ða wyrtruman forceorfan
 eowre fiend, \& doł łæm wel pe eow ær hatedon, \& gebiddað for pa pe eower ehtað \& eow lað doð. Đæt is swiðe micel cræft beforan mannum Łæt mon đæm men auht forberan mæge pe him wiðerweard sie, \& $\not$ ææt is micle mare beforan Gode $\not$ ææt hiene mon siððan mæge lufian; for $\begin{aligned} & \text { a lac beo } \not \text { Gode ealra andfengeost pe beforan his }\end{aligned}$ eagum se lieg ðære lufe forbærnð on ðæm altere godra weorca, swæ swæ iu mid $\searrow$ Łæm heofoucundan fire on ðære ealdan æ wæron $\Varangle_{a}$ lac forbærndu uppe on $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { altere. Be } & \text { æm }\end{array}$ eft Dryhte( n ) cwæð to

it is necessary to forgive it, because, if love does not follow forgiveness, hatred arises, and the simulated virtue of forgiveness is turned into a worse sin. Therefore St. Paul said: "Love is patient." And soon after he said : "It is mild." Very clearly he showed with these words that, if we bear with men out of patience, we must also love them with mild heart. Therefore the noble teacher spoke, encouraging his subjects to patience ; he said: "Let all perversity, and wrath, and indignation, and clamour, and blame be taken away from you." Then he spoke as if he had settled all external evils, and turned then to the internal evils, and spoke thus: "And dismiss all evil from your hearts." For it is useless for a man to dismiss indignation, and blame, and clamour externally, if evil will, which is the mother of all
evil, controls the heart; for it is useless for a man to lop off the boughs of any evil, without cutting off the root of the trunk. Therefore Truth spoke through itself: "Love your enemies, and do well to those who formerly hated you, and pray for those who persecute you and do you harm." With men it is a great merit to be able to bear with an enemy, but it is a much greater one with God to be able to love him afterwards ; because those offerings are most acceptable to God which the fire of love consumes before his eyes on the altar of good works, as formerly under the old law the offerings were consumed with heavenly fire on the top of the altar. Therefore the Lord spoke again to certain men who had patience, but not love; he said: "Lo, thou canst see a little mote in thy brother's eye, but canst
 gefredan micelne beam on ðinum agnan. Sio gedrefednes ðære ungeðylde on $ð æ m$ mode $\not$ ææt is se smala ciið, ac se yfela willa on ðære heortan $\nprec$ æt is se greata beam. Đone ungeðyldegan łonne swiðe lytel scûr łære costunga mæg onhreran, swæ swæ lytel wind mæg Xone cio awecggean, ac ðone yfelan fæstrædan willan folneah nan wind ne mæg awecggean. Be đæm cwæð eft Dryhten : Đu licettere, aweorp ærest of Xinum agnum eagan ðone greatan beam, \& cunna siððan hwæðer ૪u mæge adôn ðone cīð of łines broður eagan. Swelce he cwæde to ðæm unryhtwisan mode, pe innan bið gnorniende, \& utan licet geðyld : Adỏ ærest from ðe ða byrðenne ðæs yflan willan, \& tæl siððan ołerne for his ungeðylde \& for his leohtmodnesse ; for $æ æ m$,

 monnes yfel. And oft ðeah gebyre૪ đæm geðyldegan, ðeah him mon hwæt wiłerweardes dô, ołðe he hwelce scande gehiere be him selfum,
 geðyldelice swelce he hit hæbbe mid ealre heortan forlæten. Ac ðonne he hit eft ofman æfter lytlum fæce, ðonne of $\begin{aligned} & \text { yncð him } ð æ s ~\end{aligned}$ ilcan pe he ær forbær, \& bið eft onæled mid $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { fyre } \text { ærs sares. } \\ & \text { Sec } \gamma ~\end{aligned}$
 ær ૪urhtogen hæffle eft łeahtigende on yfel gewent. Ac ðæm mæg bion swiðe hræde geholpen from his lareowe, gif he him sægð hwonon ðæt cym૪, \& hu se lytega dioful styre૪ gewinn \& gefeoht betweox him twam : oðerne he lær૪ Łæt he onginne sume sconde be đæm ołrum
not perceive a great beam in thine own." The agitation of impatience in the mind is the little mote, but the evil will in the heart is the great beam. A very small breeze of tempation can stir the impatient, as a little wind can move the mote; but the evil, obstinate will almost no wind can move. Therefore the Lord said again : "Thou hypocrite, cast first out of thine own eye the great beam, and then try if thou canst remove the mote from thy brother's eye." As if he had said to the unrighteous heart, which is afflicted internally, while externally it simulates patience: "Remove first from thee the burden of the evil will, and then blame another for his impatience and frivolity ; because, whilst thou dost not desire to overcome the evil will, and relinquish thine own impatience, thou wilt be the worse able to suffer another man's faults."
－．micelne beam on Xinum agnan．Sio gedrefednes $\not$ ．unge－
 heortan $\npreceq \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is se greata beam．Đone ungeðyldegan $\not$ onne suiðe 5 lytel scur ૪ære costunga mæg onhræran，sua sua lyte［l］wind mæg ðone cið awecgan，ac $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { one } y f e l a n ~ f æ s \succ r æ d a n ~ w i l l a n ~ f u l n e a h ~ n a n ~ w i n d ~\end{gathered}$ ne mæg awecgan．Be $犭 æ m ~ c u æ \gamma ~ D r y h t e n: ~ Đ u ~ l i c e t t e r e, ~ a w e o r p ~$ æres $૪$ of Xinum agnum eagan $\not$ one greatan beam，\＆cunna sið૪an
 10 cuæde to Łæm unryhtwisan mode，ไe innan bił gnornigende，\＆utan
 tæl siððan ołerne for his unge $\begin{aligned} & \text { ylde } \& \text { for his leohtmodnesse ；forðæm }\end{aligned}$

 15 \＆oft ไeah gebyre $\Varangle$ Łæm geðyldgan，ðeah him mon hwæt wiłerweardes doo，o૪૪e he hwelce scande gehiere bi him selfum，そæt he 夭onne nawuht æt $\npreceq m$ cierre ne bið onstyred，ac gebær૪ sua geðyldelice suelce he hit hæbbe mid ealre heortan forlæten．Ac 夭onne he hit eft



 holpen from his lareowe，gif he him sæg｀hwonon $\preceq æ t$ cymð，\＆hu se lytega dioful styre $\gamma$ gewinn \＆geftoht betweoxn him twam ：ołerne 25 he lær૪ łæt he onginne sume scande bi そæm ołrum ołłe sprecan

And yet it often happens to the patient man that，although he suffers some wrong or hears some shameful report of himself，he is not agitated at the time，but comports himself patiently，as if he had dismissed it altogether from his heart．But when he remembers it again after a little time，he is indignant at what he formerly passed over，and is again kindled with the fire of the injury．So he seeks and considers how he can avenge it，and by brooding over it turns to evil the humanity he formerly exercised．But it can be very soon remedied by his teacher， if he tell him whence it comes，and how the cunning devil stirs war and fighting between them two ：the one he advises to begin to speak or do something disgraceful against the other，the other he advises to requite the disgrace．But it oftenest happens that he is over－
 Ac hit gebyre $\begin{gathered}\text { oftost } ð æ t ~ s e ~ b i ł ~ o f e r s w i ð e d, ~ s e ~ p e ~ ð u r h ~ d i o f l e s ~ l a r e ~\end{gathered}$
 he hit ærest onginð; and se hæf oftost $\not$ one weorðscipe se pe ær geðyldelice pa scande forbær. Ac łonne se dioful hæfð ૪one ærran gewunnenne, \& he bið under his geoc gegan, ðonne went he mid ealle
 sarig, for $\not æ m$ me he on $\npreceq æ m$ forman gefeohte hiene ne meahte ofsceotan

 læran, \& slitan his ingeðoht, \& bitt đære tide, hwonne he Łæs wyrðe sie đæt he hiene beswican mote. For $\begin{aligned} \\ m\end{aligned}$ he hiene ne meahte mid openlicum gefeohte oferswiłan, sætað ðonne digelice, \& secð hu he hiene
 went he eft ongean mid his mode, \& gemon ðone demm o૪ðe ðæt bismer, خæt him ær gedon wæs, \& خonne swiłe hrædlice \& swiðe ungemetlice eahtað eall $\Varangle æ t$ him ær gedon wæs, \& hit łonne swiðe unaberendlic talað, \& mid swæ micelre murcunga his agen mod gedrefo, ðætte oft ૪one geðyldegestan scamað さæs siges pe he ofer ðone dioful hæfde mid his geخylde, \& he ðonne swæ gebunden from $\not$ ææm diofle
 forbær ૪æt he $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { bismer ne forgeald, \& خencð ૪æs timan hwonne he }\end{aligned}$
 cran $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { ææm folce pe on clænum felda weorðlicne sige gefeohtað, \& }\end{array}$ eft innan hiera burgum fæste belocene ðurh hiera giemeliste hie lætað
come, who through the devil's adviee is first inflamed with the unrighteous anger, although he thinks it not, when he first begins it ; and he has oftenest the honour who before endured the disgrace patiently. But when the devil has won the first, and he has passed under his yoke, he turns with all his might against the patience of the other, who still resists him, and is greatly grieved because in the former fight he could not wound him with the disgrace which he inflicted on him through the other. So he ostensibly gives up the contest for a time, and begins to advise him secretly, and to wound his mind, waiting for the time when he is fit to be deceived. Not being able to conquer him in open fight, he besets him secretly, and seeks

 bið onæled mid $\Varangle y$ unrylitan niðe， $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { eah he sua ne wene，夭onne he }\end{array}$ hit æresð ongin $\delta$ ；\＆se hæf oftosð خone weorðscipe，se $૪ e$ ær ge－ 5 خyldelice Xa scande forbær．Ac خonne se diobul hæfð ðone ærran gewunnen［ne］，\＆he bir under his geoc gegan，Jonne went he mid ealle cræfte ongen ðæs oðres geðyld，$\chi$ e him ðonne giet wiðwinð，\＆ bið suǐe sorig，forそæm he on ðæm forman gefeohte hie［ne］ne
 10 sende．Lætt ðonne ân خæt gefeoht sua openlice sume hwile，\＆ ongien $૪$ hine diogollice læran，\＆slitan his innge夭onc，\＆bit đære tide，
 hine ne meahte mid openlicum gefeohte ofersuiðan，sætað $\begin{gathered}\text { onne }\end{gathered}$ diogollice，\＆secł hu he hine mæge gefôn．Se gełyldiga łonne eft， 15 ðonne そæt gestilled bǐ，ðonne went he eft ongean mid his mode，\＆ geman łone demm oz［ðe］ðæt bismer，ðæt him ær gedôn wæs，\＆ ðonne suiłe hrædlice \＆suǐe ungemetlice eahtað eall łæet him ær gedón wæs，\＆hit خonne suiðe un［a］berendlic talað，\＆mid sua micelre murcunga his agen mod gedref $\chi$ ，đætte oft łone geðyldegestan scamað

 sua emne \＆sua gełyldelice forbær đæt he ðæt bismer ne forgeald，\＆ そencł ðæs timan hwonne he hit wyrs geleanian mæge．Ac hwam
 25 felda weorəlicne sige gefeohtar，\＆eft innan hira burgum fæste belo－
how to take him．And the patient man afterwards，when it has sub－ sided，directs his mind back again，and remembers the loss or igno－ miny formerly inflicted on him，and then very hastily and immoderately estimates all that was formerly done to him，and considers it very intolerable，and disturbs his own mind with such excessive murmuring， that often the most patient man is ashamed of the victory he won over the devilwith his patience；and when he is thus bound by the devil he grieves at it，and repents having so equably and patiently forborne requiting the ignominy，and thinks when he will be able to requite it worse． But what do such men rescmble more than the nation which wins an honourable victory in the open field，and afterwards，when strongly
gebindan, ołre swelce hie ær lægen on longre mettrymnesse, \& hie Xeah gewierpten, \& eft cume an lytel fefres, \& hie ofslea? Đa geðyldegan sint to manianne ðætte hie hiera heortan getrymmen æfter ðæm miclan sige, \& pa burg hiera modes wið stælherigeas behealden, \& mid wighusum gefæstnige, swelce hie him $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { adle edcir swiður }\end{array}$ ondrede ðonne ðone fruman, ðylæs se lytega feond æfter fyrste swiðor fægenige $\not \not æ t$ he hiene mid his lotwrencium beswice, $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah he hiene }\end{aligned}$ ær on openum gefeohte ofercome, \& him ðone stiðan swioran fortræde.

XXXIV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne |  |
| :---: |
|  | on ołre $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } æ \text { fstegan. } \\ & \text {. }\end{aligned}$

On ołre wisan sint to manianne pa welwillendan, on ołre ða $æ f s t e-~_{\text {en }}$ gan. Đa welwillendan sint to manianne ðæt hie swæ fægenien oðerra monna godra weorca łæt hie eac selfe łæs ilcan lyste, \& swæ gilpen hiera nihstena dæda $\times$ æt hie him eac onhyrigen. Nimen him bisene on hiera godan weorcum, \& iecen hie simle mid hiera agnum, خylæs hie sien to oðerra monna gefeohte holde haweras, \& don him selfe nawuht, \& ðonne eft æfter ไæm gefeohte sie butan æghwelcum edleane on ðys andweardan life. Se pe nu on $ð æ m$ gefeohte $\begin{gathered}\text { isses } \\ \text { andweardan lifes nyle }\end{gathered}$ swincan, ne his selfes plion, he ongitt eft hine selfne ofercumenne \& gescendne, Xonne he gesihð \& gehier` ða weorðian pe ær wel ongunnon, $\nsucc_{a} \not{ }_{a}$ he idel wæs. Swiłe swiðe we gesyngiað, gif we ołerra
enclosed in their cities, through carelessness allow themselves to be captured ; or as if they had lain with a long illness, and yet had recovered, and a trifling fever had come, and killed them? The patient are to be warned to fortify their hearts after so great a victory, and hold the city of their hearts against predatory bands, and fortify it with battlements, as if they dreaded the return of the disease more than its beginning ; lest the wily foe after a time rejoice more in entrapping them with his artifices after they had overcome him in an open fight, aud breaking their stubborn necks.
cene $\quad$ ur $(\mathrm{h})$ hiera giemelieste hie lætał gebindan, o૪ðe suelce hie ær lægen on longre medtrymncsse, \& hie خeah gewierp[ten], \& eft cume an lytel febbres, \& hie ofslea? Đa geðyldegan sint to manianne ðætte
 5 modes wið stælherigas behealden, \& mid wighusum gefæsðnige, suelce
 se lytega fiond æfter fierste suiður fægnige ðæt he hine mid his lotwrencium besuice, خeah he hine ær openum gefeohte ofercome, \& [him] Xone stiðan suiran forbræce.

10 XXXIV. Đætte ôn ờre wisan sint to manianne ða welwillendan, \& on ołre $\chi_{a} æ f e s t g a n$.

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ welwillendan, on o $\begin{aligned} \text { re } & { }_{\mathrm{a}} \text { æfste- }\end{aligned}$ gan. Đa welwillendan sint to manianne $\searrow_{æ t}$ hie sua fægenigen o૪ra monna godra weorca łæt hie eac selfe łæs ilcan lyste, \& sua gielpen 15 hiera niehstena dæda ðæt hie him eac $o(n) h y r i g e n . ~ N i m e n ~ h i m ~ b i s e n e ~_{\text {en }}$ on hira godan weorcum, \& icen hie simle mid hira agenum, ðylæs hie sien to ǒerra monna gefeohte holde haweras, \& don him selfe nawuht, \& Xonne eft æfter ðam gefeohte sie butan æghwelcum edleane on $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ys }\end{aligned}$ andweardan life. Se خe nu on خæm gefeohte خisses $^{\text {andweardan lifes }}$ 20 nile suincan, ne his selfes plion, he ongiet eft hine selfne ofercymenne
 ongunnon, $\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he idel wæs. Suiłe suiðe we gesyngiað, gif we
XXXIV. That the benevolent are to be admonished in one way, in another the envious.

The benevolent are to be admonished in one way, in another the envious. The benevolent are to be admonished so to rejoice in the good works of others as themselves to desire the same, and so to boast of their neighbour's deeds as to imitate them. Let them take an example from their good works, and always increase them with their own, lest they be sympathizing spectators of other men's efforts without themselves helping them, and then, when the struggle is over, be without any reward in this present life. He who will not exert himself in the struggle of this present life, or run risks, afterwards has to acknowledge himself vanquished and humiliated, when he sees and hears those honoured who formerly began well, while he was idle.
monna welgedona dæda ne lufiað \＆ne herigeað，ac we nabbað $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah }\end{aligned}$ nane mede Łære heringe，gif we be sumum dæle nyllað onginnan $\npreceq æ$ t we onhyrigen ไæm ðeawum pe us on oðrum monnum liciað be ðæm dæle $\succ_{e}$ we mægen．Forðæm is to secganne ðæm welwillendan mon－ num łæt hie habbał swæ micle mede oðerra monna godra weorca，gif hie him nan wuht ne onhyriað，swæ we habbað Xæs hleahtres，ðonne we hlihhał gligmonna unnyttes cræftes．We heriað hiera cræftas，\＆ Xeah nyllað hie habban，fořæm we hiera nabbað nan lof．We wun－ driað hu wel hie liciað for hiera cræfte，\＆ðeah ne wilniað na ðæt we swæ licigen．Đæm welwillendum is to sæcganne，ðonne hie gesioð hiera geferena god weore，そæt hie eac خencen to him selfum，\＆ne fortruwigen hie for ołerra monua weorcum，ðylæs hie herigen hiera godan weore，\＆onscunien そæt hie selfe swæ don．Đæs ૪y wierse wite
 onhyrigean be sumum dæle．Ac $\Varangle_{a}$ æfstegan sint to manianne ðæt hie ongieten hu blinde hie beoð，ðonne hie beoð unrote for oðerra monna godan weorcum，\＆for hiera ryhtum ge（fean）bioð unbliðe，
 men godiað，\＆łonne hie gesioð đara ołerra gesælło eaciende，ไonne ðyncł him ðæt hie willen acwelan for خære mettrymnesse ðæs oðres gesælignesse，swæ he bið genierwed on his mode．Hwa mæg beon ungesæligra خonne se æfstega？Đonne $\chi_{u}$ gesihst $\not$ ætt he bið utan
 Đæt god ðæt se ǒer そonne deð，ðæt meahte bion eac his god，

We sin greatly if we do not love and praise the good deeds of others， but we shall get no reward for our praise if we will not to some extent begin to imitate the virtues which please us in others，as far as lies in our power．Therefore the benevolent must be told that they will have as much reward of other men＇s good works，if they do not imitate them，as we have of our laughter at the useless tricks of conjurers．We praise their tricks，and yet care not to possess them， because they are not creditable．We admire the approbation they get for their art，but yet we do not desire the same approbation．The benevolent are to be told that，when they see the good works of their companions，they must think for themselves，and not presume on the strength of the works of others；lest，while they praise their good
ołerra monna welgedona dæda ne lufigað \& ne herigað, ac we nabbað ðeah nane mede ðære $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{e}]$ ringe, gif we bé sumu $m$ dæle nellað onginnan
 dæle ðe we mægen. Forðæm is to secganne ðæm welwillendan 5 monnum ðæt habbað sua micle mede oðerra monna godra weorca, gif hie him nanwuht ne onhyrigeað, sua we habbað łæs hleahtres, ðonne we hliehað gligmonna unnyttes cræftes. We herigał hira cræftas, \& łeah nyllał hi habban, forłæm we hiera nabbał nan lôf. We wundriað hu wel hie liciað for hira cræfte, \& ðeah ne wilnigað 10 no ðæt we sua licigen. Đæm welwillendum is to secganne, ðonne hie gesioł hiera geferena gôd weorc, ðæt hie eac خencen to him selfum, \& ne fortruwigen hie for oðerra monna weorcum, ðylæs hie herigen hiera godan weore, \& ouscunigen ðæt hie selfe sua dón. Đæs ૪y wyrse wite hie sculon habban on ende ðe him licað ðæt mon wel doo, \& nyllað 15 ðæm onhyrigean be sumu $m$ dæle. Ac ða æfstegan sint to manianne ðæt hie ongieten hu blinde hi beoð, ðonne hie beoð unrote for ołerra monna godan weorcum, \& for hira ryhtum gefean beoð unbliłe, forðæm hie beoð suiðe ungesælige, ðonne hie yfeliað, for ðæmðe oðre menn godigað, \& ૪onne hie geseo૪ ૪ara ołer[r]a gesælða eaciende, 20 ðonne ૪yncð him ðæt hie wiellen acuelan for ðære medtrymnesse ðæs ołres gesælignesse, sua he bið genierwed on his mode. Hwa mæg beon ungesæligra ૪onne se æfstiga ? Đonne ૪u gesiehsð ðæt he bið utan gedrefed, hu micle ma wenstu ðæt he sie innan for Łæs ołres góde! Đæt god ðæt se oðer ðonne deð, ðæt meahte beon eac his god,
works, they avoid doing so themselves. The worse punishment they shall have at last, the more they are pleased at the good deeds of men without imitating them to some extent. But the envious are to be admonished to perceive how blind they are, when they are grieved at the good works of others, and are sad because of their righteous joy, because they are very unhappy, when they suffer because others are prosperous ; and when they see the happiness of others increasing, they think they will die from the discomfort of the other's happiness, so oppressed is their heart. Who can be unhappier than the envious man? When thou seest that he is externally afflicted, how much more thinkest thou that he is internally, because of the other's goodness! The other's good might also be his, although he could not yet

そeah he hit Xonne giet dôn ne meahte, gif he hit wolde lufigean on $\not$ ðæm ołrum. Ealle đa pe wuniað on anum geleafan \& on anum willan hie bioð swæ swæ manegu limo on anum men, \& ælc hæf $\gamma$ ðeah
 ołres nytte swæ sama swæ on his selfes. Đonon hit gewierð ðæt se fot gesihð ðurh ðæt eage, \& pæt eage stæp૪ on خæm fotum, $\begin{aligned} & \text { earan }\end{aligned}$
 Xearfe, \& sio womb sceal fulteman $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m } \\ \text { hondum, \& sio hond sceal }\end{array}$


 scand, gif we nyllað licettan $\npreceq \supseteq t$ we sien $\npreceq æ t$ we sindon, for |  |
| ---: | :---: | butan tweon łæt bið ure đæt Łæt we lufiað on ołrum monnum, ðeah we hit selfe don ne mægen, \& Łæt ołre men on us lufiað, Łæt bið

 lufe $\npreceq æ t$ hio gede $\searrow$ Łæt ołerra monna geswinc \& hiera weore bið ure butan ælcum geswince ures lichoman. Ac ðæm æfstegum is to sec-
 besencte on ða ealdan unryhtwisnesse đæs lytegan feondes, pe be him awriten is $\begin{array}{r}\text { tte } \\ \text { for his } æ f s t e ~ d e a ð ~ b e c o m e ~ o f e r ~ e a l l e ~ e o r ~ \\ \text { tan. }\end{array}$ For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ pe he hefonrice mid his agenre scylde forworhte, pa of $\begin{gathered}\text { uhte him } \text { ðætte }\end{gathered}$
 æfste, ðæt he tiolode men forlæran ðæt hie wurden eac forlorene swæ he wæs. Eac sint to læronne $\succ_{a} æ f s t e g a n ~ ð æ t t e ~ h i e ~ o n g i e t e n ~ u n d e r ~$ hu micelre frecennesse hie licggeað, \& hu hie ieceał hiera forwyrd, ðonne hie of hiera heortan nyllað aweorpan $\begin{aligned} & \text { one } æ f s t, ~ a c ~ h i e n e ~\end{aligned}$
do it, if he would love it in the other. All who continue in one belief and one will, are like many limbs of one man, and each has a special use ; and yet, although the limbs are variously apportioned, each is as useful to the other as itself. Thence it happens that the foot sees through the eye, and the eye walks with the feet, the ears hear for the mouth, and the mouth's tongue moves for the benefit of the ears, and the belly has to support the hands, and the hand works for the belly. In the structure of our body we received all the services we now render. Therefore it is a great shame not to imitate what we are. For doubtlessly that is ours which we love in others, though we cannot do it ourselves, and what others love in us is theirs.

 ðæm ołrum. Ealle $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ wunigeað on anum geleafan \& on anum willan hie beoð sua sua manegu limu on anum menn, \& ælc hæfł ̌eah sundernytte, [\& ðeah $\succ_{a}$ limu mislice todælde sin, æle hira bið on 5 oðres nytte swa some] swa on his selfes. Đonon hit gewyr |  | æt se |
| :---: | :---: |


 ðearfe, \& sio womb sceal fulteman $\chi_{æ m}$ hondu $m$, \& sio hond sceal wyrcean for $\searrow_{a}$ wambe. On $\nsucc$ æs lichoman gesceafte we underfengon 10 ealle $\nprec a$ ðenunga $\chi_{e}$ we nu ðiowiað \& wyrceað. Forðæm hit is micel sceand, gif we nyllał licittan $\nsupseteq æ t$ we sien $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ we sindon, forðæm
 ðeah we hit selfe dôn ne mægen, \& ðæt oðre menn on us lufigeað, خæt
 15 ðære lufe $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { hio gede } \npreceq æ t ~ o ð e r r a ~ m o n n a ~ g e s u i n c ~ \& ~ h i r a ~ w e o r e ~ b i ð ~\end{aligned}$ ure butan ælcum gesuince ures lichoman. Ac ðæm æfstegum is to secganne, gif hie hie nyllað healdan wið ðæm æfste, ðæt hie weorðað
 awriten is đætte for his æfeste deað become ofer ealle eorðan. For-

 ðæm æfste, ðæt he tiolode menn forlæran ðæt hie wurden eac forlorene
 under hu micelre frecenesse hie liecgat, \& hu hie iceað hira forwyrd, 25 ðonne hie [of] hira heortan nyllað aweorpan Xone æfst, ac hine

From this let the envious consider how great power there is in love, since it makes the toil and works of others ours without any personal toil. But the envious are to be told that, unless they guard against envy, they will be plunged into the old unrighteousness of the cunning fiend, through whose envy it is written that death came on the whole earth. Having lost heaven of his own fault, he was grieved at men being created for it, and increased his own sin with his envy, so that he strove to seduce men, that they might be lost, as he was. The envious are also to be taught to perceive to what great danger they are exposed, and how they increase their perdition, when they will not reject envy from their heart, but preserve it, until they fall into open
healdað，oðてæt hie afeallað on opene scylde，swæ swæ Cain dyde． Ne gefeolle he næfre on swæ opene scylde đæt he his broðor ofsloge， gif he ær ne æfstgade 犭ætte his broðor lac wæron 犭oncweorðlecor
 Abele \＆to his lacum，\＆nolde to Caine ne to his lacum．Đa wear久 Cain swiðe［swið（e）hrædlice］ierre，\＆hnipode ofdune，\＆se anda $\succ_{\mathbf{a}}$ pe he hæfde to his breðer，forðæmpe his lac wæron onfongnu \＆his næron，se anda wearð to sæde ðæs broðorsleges，forðæm him eglde

 sæcgeanne ðæm æfstegum ðætte，ðonne ðonne hie biǒ innan fretene mid ðære adle，ðæt hie forleosað swæ hwæt ołres godes swæ on him
 sie ðære flæslican heortan hælo，\＆ðeal $\not$ 夭a ban for him forrotigen． Hwæt getannað łonne ðæt flæsc buton unfæst weore \＆hnesce，\＆
 ðætte sume，ða pe welwillende bioð on monegum weorcum，unfæste bioð ongietene，\＆sume bioð beforan monna eagum gesewen swelce hie fæstlicu \＆stronglicu weorc wyrce，\＆خeal，خeah hie swæ dô beforan monnum，for $犭 æ m$ andan ołerra monna godra weorea，hie bið aswunden oninnan him selfum．Forðy is wel gecweden ðætte ðæt flæsclice lif sie ðære heortan hælo，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { se pe gelielt his unsceaðfulnesse \＆his }\end{array}$ godan willan，خeah he hwæt tiederlices ołte yfelra weorca utan do， he mæg $\nsupseteq x t$ æt sumum cierre betan．Ac $ð æ t$ is swiðe ryhte gecweden

sin，as Cain did．He would not have fallen into so manifest a sin as to slay his brother，had he not been envious before，because his brother＇s offerings were more thankfully received than his own．There－ fore it is written that the Lord regarded Abel and his gifts，but not Cain and his gifts．Then Cain very quickly became angry，and drooped， and his anger against his brother，because his offerings were accepted and his own were not，became the cause of the fratricide，because he was annoyed at his being better than himself，and he determined，as he afterwards did，to slay him，come of it what might．Therefore the envious are to be told that，when they are internally consumed by the disease，they lose whatever other virtues they are acknowledged to possess．Therefore it is written that this carnal life is envy，
healdað, ołðæt hie afeallað on opene scylde, [swæ swæ Cain dyde. Ne gefiolle he nô ôn swæ opene scylde] Xæt he his brołur ofsloge, gif he ær ne geæfstgode łætte his broður lac wæron đancweorðlicor onfongne $\chi_{o n n e}$ his. Be $\chi_{a m}$ is awriten $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \operatorname{Dr}[y] h t e n ~ b e s a w e ~ t o ~\end{array}$ 5 Abele \& to his lacum, \& nolde to Caine ne to his lacum. Đa wear久 Cain suið(e) hrædlice irre, \& hnipode ofdune, \& se anda $\succ_{a}$ ไe he hæfde to his breðer, forłæmðe his lac wæron onfangne \& his næron, se anda wearð to sæde ðæs broðurslæges, for $\not æ m$ him eglde łæt he wæs betra $\begin{gathered}\text { © }\end{gathered}$ ne he, \& ðohte, sua he eft gedyde, ðæt he hine ofsloge,
 æfstegum ( $\not æ$ ætte, ðonne ðonne hie bioð innan fretene mid ðære adle, ðæt hie forleosað) sua hwæt ołres godes sua on him ongieten bið. Be
 lican heortan hælo, \& Xeah ða bân for him forrotigen. Hwæt ge-

 $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ welwillende beo $\gamma$ on monegu $n$ weorcu $m$, unfæste beo $\gamma_{\text {ongietene, }}$ \& sume beoł beforan monna eagum gesewen suelce he fæsðlicu [\& stranglecu] weore wyrce, \& ðeah, ðeah he swa do beforan monnum, 20 for $\begin{array}{ll} \\ \text { am andan oterra monna godena weorca, he bið aswunden oninnan }\end{array}$ him selfum. Forðy is wel gecueden đætte さæt flæsclice lif sie ðære heortan hælo, forðæm se ðe gehielt his unsceadfulnesse \& his godan willan, ðeah (h)e hwæt tiederlices orðe yfelra weorca utan doo, he mæg ðæt æt sumum cierre betan. Ac ðæt is suiðe ryhte gecueden

which is the salvation of the carnal heart, although it makes the bones decay. What signifies the flesh but infirm and weak works, and what the bones but strongly wrought works? It often however happens that some, who are benevolent in many works, are considered infirm, and some in the eyes of men have the reputation of working firm and strong works ; and yet, though they do so before men, for rivalry of other men's good works, they waste away internally. Therefore it is well said that carnal life is the heart's salvation, because he who preserves his innocence and good will, although he do weak or evil actions externally, he can amend it at some other time. But it is very rightly said that the bones decay through envy, because good works perish through the siu of envy, although in the eyes of men they seem
 eagum ૪yncen trumlice gedon．Đæt is $\npreceq æ t ~ \nsucc a ~ b a n ~ f o r r o t i g e n ~ f o r ~ \succ æ m ~$


XXXV．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\nsucc a$ bilwitan，on oðre $\gamma_{a} \gamma_{w e o r a n ~[\& ~ p a ~ l y t e g a n] . ~}^{\text {［ }}$

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\nsucc a$ bilwitan，on oðre $\nsucc a$ lytegan． Đa bilwitan sint to herigeanne，for $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { mpe } \\ \text { hie simle swincał on } \nsucc æ m ~\end{array}$ ðæt hie tiliað ðæt hie ne scielen leasunga sæcgean．Hie mon sceal eac læran 犭æt hie hwilum swugien ðæs soðes，forðæm，swæ swæ sio leasung simle dereð łæm secgendum，swæ dereð eac hwilum sumum monnum ðæt so to gehieronne．Forðæm ure Dryhten gemetgode mid swiggean his sprece beforan his | egnum， |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | a he cwæð：Fela ic | hæbbe eow to sæcganne，ac ge hit ne magon nu git aberan．Đy sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ bilwitan anfealdan $ð æ t t e$, swæ swæ hie $\succ_{a}$ leasunga nytwyrðlice fleoð，ðæet hie eac ðæt suð nytwyrðlice secgen，\＆geiecen ૪æt god hiera anfealdnesse mid wærscipe，\＆swæ tilige $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { orsorg－}\end{array}$ nesse mid $\begin{array}{rrr} & \text { anfealdnesse } \nsucc æ t t e ~ h i e ~ & \text { one } y m b e \\ \text { onc } \\ \text { ðæs wærscipes }\end{array}$ ne forlæete．Be ðæm cwæð se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus：Ic wille「æt ge sien wise to gode \＆bilewite to yfele．Ond eft be ไæm cwæ૪ Dryhten ðurh hiene selfne to his gecorenum：Bio ge swæ ware swæ nædran，\＆swæ bilwite swæ culfran．Forłæm on Xara acorenra monna heortan sceal ðære nædran lytignes \＆hiere nið ðære culfran bilwit－ nesse gescierpan，\＆eft ðære culfran bilwitnes sceal gemetgian 犭ære nædran wærscipe \＆hiere ni犭，ðylæs hiene se wærscipe \＆se anda

strongly wrought．The bones decaying through envy is his losing any very good work through envy．

XXXV．That the simple are to be admonished in one way，in another the perverse and cunning．
The simple are to be admonished in one way，in another the cun－ ning．The simple are to be praised，because they always laboriously endeavour not to tell falsehoods．They are also to be taught some－ times to keep back the truth，because，as falsehood always injures the speaker，so also it sometimes injures some men to hear the truth． Therefore our Lord restrained his speech with silence before his dis－
æfstes scylde forweor $\succ_{a} ૪ \succ$ godan weorc，$\chi_{\text {eah }} \chi_{\text {e }}$ hie beforan monna eagu $m$ ðyncen trumlice gedôn．Đæt is ðæt $\chi_{a}$ bân fo［r］rotigen for


XXXV．Đætte ôn ołre wisan sint to manienne ða bilwitan，ôn ołre

## 

On oðre wisau sint to manianne $\not$ ða biliwitan，on oðre ða lytegan． Đa bilewitan sint to herigenne，for $\nsupseteq m \succ$ hie simle suincað on $\Varangle æ m$
 eac læran łææ hi hwilum suigien ðæs soðes，forðæm，sua sua sio 10 leasung simle deret $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { secggendum，sua dere } ð \text { eac hwilum sumum }\end{array}$ monnum $ð æ t$ soð to gehierenne．Forðæm ure Dryhten gemetgode mid suigean his spræce beforan his $\begin{aligned} \text { egnu } m \text { ，} & \text { a he cuæ } \gamma \text { ：Fela ic }\end{aligned}$ hæbbe eow to secganne，ac ge hit ne magon nu giet aberan．Đy sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ bilwitan ânfealdan $\chi_{\text {rtte }}$ sua sua hie $\chi_{a}$ leasunga 15 uyttwyrðlice fleoð，خæt hie eac ðæt soð nytwyrðlice secgen，\＆geicen ða god hira anfealdnesse mid wærscipe，\＆sua tilige ðære orsorgnesse
 forlæte．Be 犭am cwæð se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus：Ic wille ðæt ge sien wise to gôde \＆bilwite to yfele．Ond eft be てæm［cwæ૪］ 20 Dryhten ðurh hine selfne to his gecorenum：Beo ge swa ware sua sua nædran \＆sua bilwite sua culfran．Forðæm on ðara acorenra monna heortan sceal ðære nædran lytignes \＆hire nið ðære culfran biliwit－ nesse gescirpan，\＆eft $犭 æ r e ~ c u l f r a n ~ b i l i v i t n e s s e ~ s c e a l ~ g e m e t g i a n ~ ð æ r e ~$ nædran wærscipe \＆hire nið，خylæs hine se wærscipe \＆se anda
ciples，when he said：＂I have many things to tell you，but ye cannot yet bear it．＂Therefore the simple and straightforward are to be warned，as they usefully avoid falsehood，so also to speak the truth usefully，and increase the goodness of their simplicity with caution， and so strive for security with simplicity as not to dismiss cautious consideration．Therefore the noble teacher St．Paul said：＂I wish ye to be wise for good and simple for evil．＂And again，the Lord spoke about the same through himself to his elect：＂Be cunning as adders and simple as pigeons．＂Therefore in the mind of the elect the cunning and fierceness of the adder is to enliven the simplicity of the pigeon ；and，again，the simplicity of the pigeon is to moderate the cunning and fierceness of the adder，lest cunning and zeal lead
gelæde on ealles to micle hatheortnesse, oððe eft sio bilwitnes \& sio anfeallnes hiene to ungeornfulne gedô to ongietonne, ðylæs he weorðe besolcen. Ongean $ð æ t$ mon sceal monian ða lytegan, \& him sæcgean そæt hie ongieten hu hefig łæt twyfealde geswinc bił ðæt hie him selfe ðurh hiera agene scylde hiera agnes gewealdes him on getioð. Đæt is $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { } \\ \text { æt hie ealneg ræswa }\end{array}$ \& ondrædað $\chi_{æ t}$ hie mon tælan wille, \& bioð ealneg mid łæm ymbeðonce abisgode \& ofdrædde. Oðer is $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ara geswinca } ð æ t ~ h i e ~ s y m l e ~ s e c e a ð ~ e n d e l e a s e ~ l a d u n g a, ~ h u ~ h i e ~ h i e ~\end{aligned}$ Xonne bereccean mægen. Ac nis nan scild trumra wi૪ 犭æt twyfealde


 hu he hie gelicettan mæge, \& gewergał סonne his heortan swiðe hearde mid $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ geswince. Be $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rem geswince spræc se psalmscop, pa }\end{array}$ he cwæð: Đæt geswinc hiera agenra welora hie geðryscð. Forðæm se ilca feond se pe nu ðæt mod ðurh $\chi_{a}$ biswicolan olicunga forlære૪, he hit eft mid swiðe grimmum edleane gełryscð. Be $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm }\end{array}$ wæs gecweden ૪urh Ieremias ðone witgan: Hie lærdon hiera tungan, \& wenedon to leasunge, \& swuncon on unnyttum weorce. Swelce he openlice cwæde: Đa pe meahton Godes friend bion butan geswince, hie swuncon ymb ðæt hu hie meahten gesyngian. Witodlice, ðonne hwa nyle bilwitlice libban butan geswince, he wile geearnian mid his geswince his agenne deað. Ac monige men, ðonne him bioð unðeawas on onfundne, ðonne onscuniað hie ðæt mon wite hwelce hie sien, \& wilniað ðæt hie hie gehyden \& beheligen under ðæm ryfte ðære
them into excessive fervour ; or, again, lest simplicity and straightforwardness make them too indifferent to understanding, lest they become stupefied. The cunning, on the other hand, are to be admonished, and told to understand how heavy the twofold toil is that they voluntarily impose on themselves through their sins. That is, that they are always considering, and fearing to be blamed, and are always troubled and alarmed at the thought. The other toil is that they are always seeking endless excuses how to clear themselves. But there is no stronger shield against the twofold toil than being sincere, for nothing is easier to speak and believe than truth. But when any one takes to excuses, he cannot extricate himself, but is obliged to think how he can make them plausible, and wearies his mind very severely with the
gelæde ôn ealles to micle hatheortnesse，orre eft sio bilewitnes \＆sio anfealdnes hine tô ungeornfulne gedoo to ongietanne，ðylæs he weorðe besolcen．Ongean Ђæt mon sceal monian ða lytegan，\＆him secgan ðæt hie ongieten hu hefig ðæt twiefalde gesuinc bið 犭æt hie him 5 selfe ðurh（h）ira agena scylda hira agnes gewealdes him on［ge］teo犭． Đæt is ðonne ðæt hie eallneg ræswað \＆ondrædað ðæt hi mon tælan wille，\＆beoð eallneg mid $犭 æ m$ ymbe $\begin{gathered}\text { ncan } \\ \text { abisgode } \& ~ o f d r æ d d e . ~\end{gathered}$ Oðer is ðara gesuinca $\not$ æet hi simle seceað endelease ladunga，hu hie ૪onne bereccan mægen．Ac nis nan scild trum［ra］wið $\chi_{æ i t}$ tuiefalde
 ［ge］secganne，ne eac to［ge］liefanne ðonne sôð．Ac 夭onne hwa on $\chi_{a}$ leasunga befehð，ðonne ne mæg he ôf，ac sceal ðonne niede $\begin{aligned} & \text { encean }\end{aligned}$ hu he hie gelicettan mæge，\＆gewergał łoune his heortan suiðe
 15 he cuæð：Đæt gesuinc hira agen［r］a welena hie geðrycð．Forðæm
 he hit eft mid suiðe grimmum edleane geðryscð．Be $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { wæs }\end{array}$ gecueden ðurh Ieremias خone witgan：Hie lærdon hira tungan，\＆ wenedon to leasunge，\＆swuncon on unryhtum weorce．Suelce he 20 openlice cuæde：Đa $\gamma \mathrm{e}$ meahton Godes friend beon butan gesu［i］nce， hie suuncon ymb ðæt hu hie meahton gesyngian．Wietodlice，ðonne hwa nyle bielwitlice libban butan gesuince，he wile geearnian mid his gesuince his agenne deað．Ac monige menn，ðonne him beoð un－ خeawas on anfundene，ðonne anscunigað hie ðæt mon wite hwelce hie 25 sien，\＆wilniað $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hie hie gehyden \＆beheligen under } \not \text { ææm ryfte } ð æ r e ~\end{aligned}$
toil．Of which toil the Psalmist spoke，saying：＂The toil of their own lips oppresses them．＂Because the same foe who now seduces the mind with his deceitful flatteries，oppresses it afterwards with a very cruel requital．Of which was spoken through Jeremiah the prophet： ＂They taught their tongues，and trained them to falsehood，and toiled at an unrighteous work．＂As if he had openly said：＂Those who could have been God＇s friends without toil，toiled that they might be able to sin．＂In truth，when any one is unwilling to live simply without toil，he will earn with his toil his own death．But many men，when vices are discovered in them，shrink from men＇s know－ ing what they are，and try to hide and cover themselves with the cloak of hypocrisy；and even of the sins which are openly seen they
leasunga，ge fur $\begin{aligned} & \text { um } \\ & \text { 万ara scylda pe openlice bio૪ gesewena，hie wilniað }\end{aligned}$ そæt hie scylen hie beladian swæ georne 犭ætte oft se se pe wilnað hiera unðeawas arasian，bið openlice beswicen \＆ablend mid ðæm miste ðaraleasunga，swæ $\npreceq æ t ~ h i m ~ f u l n e a h ~ ð y n c ૪ ~ ð æ t t e ~ h i s ~ n a n ~ w u h t ~ s w æ ~$ ne sie swæ swæ he ær witodlice be him wende．Be $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm rytlice be }\end{array}$ Iudeum wæs gecweden $\begin{aligned} & \text { urh } \\ & \text { 〇one witgan } \\ & \text { ymb } \\ & \text { Łæt synnfulle } \\ & \bmod \\ & \text { pe }\end{aligned}$ hit symle wile ladian，he cwæð ðæt ðær se iil hæfde se holh．Se iil getacnað ða twyfealdnesse 犭æs unclænan modes ðæt hit simle lytiglice ladað，swæ swæ se iil，ærłæm he gefangen weorłe，mon mæg gesion ægðer ge his fet ge his heafud ge eac eal ðæt bodig，ac sona swæ hiene mon gefehð，swæ gewint he to anum cliwene，\＆tihð his fet swæ he inmest mæg，\＆gehyt his heafod，swæ Xætte betweoh hondum $\gamma u$ nast hwær him ałer cymð，oððe fet o૪ðe heafod，\＆ær，ær ૪u his ô on－ hrine，$\chi_{u}$ meahtes gesion ægłer ge fet ge heafod．Swæ doð ða lyte－

 of hwæm hit ærest com，\＆for hwæm．And ðonne bioð $\chi_{a}$ fet gesewene，ðonne mon ongiet mid hwelcum stæpum $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { met nauht wæs }\end{array}$
 dunga，\＆mid łære beheleð his fet \＆Ła stæpas his unnyttan weorces． Đonne he tihð his heafod in to him，犭onne he mid wunderlicre ladunge ætiew łæt he furðum næfre $ð æ t ~ y f e l ~ n e ~ o n g u n n e, ~ s w æ ~ h e ~$ hit hæf $\begin{gathered}\text { mid his lotwrencium bewunden oninnan him selfum，swelce }\end{gathered}$ se lareow hæbbe an cliwen on his honda swiðe nearwe \＆swire smea－ lice gefealden，\＆nyte hwær se ende sie，swæ feor \＆swæ fæste hit bið
desire to clear themselves so eagerly，that often he who desires to rebuke their faults is openly deceived and blinded with the mist of falsehood，so that it almost seems to him that they are not at all like what he formerly supposed them really to be．Therefore it was very rightly said about the Jews through the prophet，concerning the sinful heart which always tries to excuse itself；he said that the hedgehog had his hole there．The hedgehog signifies the duplicity of the impure mind，which is always making cunning excuses，like the hedgehog， whose feet and head and whole body can be seen before he is caught； but as soon as he is caught，he curls up into a clew，drawing in his feet as far as he can，and hiding his head，so that when you have him
 niað ðæt hie scylen hie beladian sua georne ðætte oft se ૪e wilnað hiera unðeawas arasian, bið openlice besuicen \& [a]blend mid $犭 æ m$ miste $ð æ r e ~ l e a s u n g a, ~ s u a ~ ð æ t ~ h i m ~ f u l n e a h ~ ð y n c ð ~ ð æ t t e ~ h i s ~ n a w u h t ~ s u a ~$ 5 ne sie sua sua he ær witodlice be him wende. Be ðæm ryhtlice bi

 hit simle wile ladian, he cuæ૪: Đær Łær se iil hæfde his holh. Se iil getacnað $\chi_{a}$ twiefealdnesse $\chi_{\text {æ }}$ unclænan modes $\chi_{e}$ hit symle lytiglice ladað, sua sua se iil, ærðæm he gefangen weor | e, mon mæg gesion |
| :--- | 10 ægðer ge his fet ge his heafod ge eac eall ðæt bodig, ac sona sua hiene mon gefeh $\gamma$, sua gewint he to anum cliewene, \& tih $૪$ his fét sua he inmest mæg, \& gehyt his heafod, sua $\succ_{æ t t e}$ betwuh hondum $\gamma_{u}$ nast hwær him awðer cymð, oððe fet ołðe heafod, \& ær, ær ðu his ô ôn-


 bið łæs iles heafud gesewen; ðonne mon mæg ongietan of hwam
 mon ongiet mid hwelcum stæpum ðæt nawht wæs خurhtogen, ac
 20 ðære beheleð his fêt \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { stæpas his unnyttan weorces. Đonne he }\end{aligned}$ tieh $\gamma$ his heafod in to him, ðonne he mid wunderlicre ladunga
 mid his lôtwrencium bewunden oninnan him selfum, suelce se lareow hæbbe ân cliwen on his honda suiðe nearwe \& suiðe smealice ge25 fealden, \& nyte hwær se ende sie, sua feor \& sua fæste hit bir
in your hands you do not know which comes first, feet or head, and before you touched him you could see both feet and head. So do the cunning and impure minds: when some fault is discovered in them, then the head of the hedgehog is seen; then we can understand whence it arose, and wherefore. And then the feet are seen, when we perceive with what gradations the wickedness was perpetrated; and yet the impure mind very soon has recourse to excuses, wherewith it hides its feet and the gradations of its useless work. He draws his head in to him, when he with strange excuses professes never even to have begun the evil deed, and has wound it up within him with his artifices, as if the teacher held a clew in his hand very closely and carefully wound,
 bewunden，ðætte se lareow ðæs yfles pe he stieran sceolde，ðeah pe he hit ær wiste，ðæt he hit ðonne nat，\＆eall 犭æt he ær tælwyrðlices geseah mid $\nsucc æ m$ forhwierfdan gewunan $\nprec æ r e ~ u n r y h t a n ~ l a d u n g e ~ h e ~ b i \gamma ~$ amierred $\searrow_{\ngtr t}$ he hit eall endemes forlæt，\＆his nanwuht nat．Witodlice se iil hæf his holh on ૪æs unnyttan monnes heortan，forðæm ૪æt yfel－ willende mod gefielt hit self twyfeald oninnan him selfum，\＆sio twyfealdnes そæs yflan willan hiene selfne twyfealdne gefielt oninnan him selfum，\＆gehyt biene on $犭 æ m ~ خ i e s t r a n ~ m i d ~ 犭 æ r e ~ l a d u n g e, ~ s w æ ~$ se iil hiene selfne gehyt on him selfum．Gehieren $\succ_{a}$ unclænan \＆$\chi_{a}$ lytegan hu hit awriten is on Salomones bocum ðætte se libbe getreow－ lice se pe bilwitlice libbe．Đæt is se trua micelre orsorgnesse，bil－ witnes \＆anfealdnes his weorca．Gehierað hwæt of $ð æ s$ wisan Salomonnes muðe wæs gecweden ；he cwæð ૪æt $\begin{array}{rc} & \text { Halgan Gastes }\end{array}$ lar wille fleon leasunge．Gehierað eac $\not 犭 æ$ tte $\npreceq æ r æ f t e r ~ a w r i t e n ~ i s ~$ $\chi_{æ t t e}$ he hæbbe his geðeaht \＆his sundorspræce mid $\Varangle_{æ m}$ bilwitum
 onliht $\chi_{æ t}$ mennisce mod mid his agenre andweardnesse，\＆him his dieglan ðing geopenað．Đonne is eac gecweden ðætte God sprece to
久ingum hiera mod onliht mid $犭$ æm sciman his giefe \＆his fandunga \＆


 bismriað，ðonne gilpað hie \＆fagniað ðæs，swelce hie sien micle wærran
and knew not where the end was，so far and firmly it is wound within the sinful man＇s mind，and encompassed with his deceit，that the teacher knows nothing of the evil he was to correct，although he knew it before，and he is so confused with the perverse trick of unrighteous excuses，that at last he lets go all the faults that he saw，and knows nothing of them．Truly the hedgehog has his hole in the heart of the idle man，because the vicious mind winds itself double inside itself， and hides itself in darkness with excuses，as the hedgehog hides itself in itself．Let the impure and cunning hear how it is written in the books of Solomon，that he lives surely who lives simply．The con－ fidence of great security is simplicity and straightforwardness of
gefealden oninnan $ð æ s$ synnfullan monnes ingeðonce，\＆mid his lote bewunden，ðætte se lareow đæs yfeles ðe he stieran scolde，ðeah ðe he hit ær wisðe，ðæt he hit ðonne nât，\＆eall ðæt he ær tælwyrðlices geseah mid ðam forhwirfdan gewunan ðære unryhtan ladunge he bið 5 amierred $\nsupseteq t$ he hit eal endemes forlæt，\＆his nanwuht nat．Wietod－ lice se il hæf $\gamma$ his holh on $ð æ s$ unnyttan monnes heortan，forðæm $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ yfelwillende mod gefielt hit self twiefald oninnan him selfum，．
\＆gehyt hine on $\succ_{æ m} \succ_{i e s t r a[n] ~ m i d ~}^{\text {æære ladunge，sua se iil hine }}$ 10 selfne gehyt on him selfum．Gehieren $\succ_{a}$ unclænan \＆$\Varangle_{a}$ lytegan hu hit awriten is on Salomonnes bocum ðætte se libbe getreowlice se $\begin{aligned} & \text { e }\end{aligned}$ bilwitlice libbe．Đæt is se truwa micelre orsorgnesse，biliwitnes \＆ anfealdnes his weorca．Gehirał hwæt of 犭æs wisan Salomonnes

 15 leasunga．Gehirað eac ðætte $\begin{array}{rr} & \text { efter awriten is } \chi_{æ t t e} \text { he hæbbe }\end{array}$ his geðeaht \＆his sundorspræce mid $ð æ m$ bilwitum \＆mid $犭 æ m$ anfealdum．Đonne spricł God to ðæm menn，ðonne he onlieht |  |
| ---: | :---: | mennisce mod mid his agenre andweardnesse，\＆him his dieglan خing geopenað．Đonne is eac gecueden $\begin{array}{rll} \\ \text { God spræce to } \nsucc æ m ~\end{array}$ 20 bilwitum，ðonne he mid ðæm uplicum \＆mid ðæm dieglum خingum hira mod onlieht mid $犭$ æm sciman his giefe \＆his fandunga \＆eac


 twiefaldra monna $\gamma_{æ t}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{e}$ ，$\chi_{\text {onne }} \chi_{0}$ onne hie o $\searrow_{r e}$ menn mid hira lote 25 bismriað， $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne gielpað hie \＆fægeniað } ð æ s, ~ s u e l c e ~ h i ~ s i e n ~ m i c l e ~ w æ r r a n ~\end{aligned}$
works．Hear what was said by the mouth of the wise Solomon ；he said that the doctrine of the Holy Spirit will flee falsehood．Hear also what is written after that，that he has deliberation and confi－ dential discourse with the simple and straightforward．God speaks to man，when he enlightens the human heart with his own presence，and reveals it his secrets．And it is also said that God spoke to the simple，when with sublime and secret things he enlightens their mind with rays of his grace，and trial，and also suggestion．That is，espe－ cially，the minds which are not obscured by any shadow of duplicity． But it is，however，a special fault of the insincere that，when they humiliate others with their cunning，they boast and rejoice at it，as if
 fægniað iermingas hiera agnes dyseges \& hearmes. Gehieren eac pa ilcan mid hwelcum ymbe once godcundes anwaldes hie $\begin{array}{r}\text { reade Soffonias }\end{array}$ se witga, ða he cwæ૪ : Git cymð se micla \& se mæra \& se egeslica Godes dæg, se dæg bið ierres dæg \& خiestra dæg \& mistes \& gebreces \& biemena dæg \& gedynes ofer ealla truma ceastra \& ofer ealle hea hwammas. Hwæt getacniað $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \gamma a \\ \text { truman ceastra buton hwur- }\end{gathered}$ fulu mod, getrymedu \& ymbtrymedu mid lytelicre ladunge, ðæt him
 gewrita manunga? Wið ða speru ðære so $\begin{gathered}\text { ffrstnesse hie hie scieldað, }\end{gathered}$ ðonne hie mon tælan wile \& arasian for hiera unðeawum. Hwæt tacniað [get.] Xonne $ð a$ hean hwammas buton unclænu \& twyfeald mod? Forðæm ælc wag bið geligged twyfeald on $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { heale. Swæ }\end{array}$ bił $\chi_{\text {as }}$ monnes heorte: 夭onne he $\chi_{a}$ bilwitnesse \& $\chi_{a}$ anfealdnesse flilh, he gefielt his mod mid wore \& mid unnyttre twyfealdnesse, \& eac ðætte wierse bið, he hiene ahef $\gamma$ on his' ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { ohte } \\ & \text { on gielp } \& \text {. on }\end{aligned}$ ofermetto for Łæm wærscipe his ageure scylde, \& deð his agenne unðeaw him to weorðscipe. Đonne cymð se Dryhtnes domes dæg \&
 $\chi_{æ t}$ ierre $\succ_{\text {aes }}$ ytemestan domes $\searrow_{a}$ menniscan heortan towierp $\chi_{\text {, }} \chi_{a}$ pe nu sindon betynede \& getrymede mid lytelicum ladungum wið $\succ \mathfrak{a}$ soðfæstnesse, \& arafað ðæt cliwen ðære twyfealdan heortan. Đonne feallað $\Varangle a$ truman ceastra, $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne pa mod pe Dryhtne ungeferu sint }\end{aligned}$

they were much more cunning and wise than they; for they do not consider the severe requital, but the wretches rejoice at their own folly and sorrow. Let the same also hear with what consideration of divine authority the prophet Zephaniah threatened them, saying: "Yet will come the great and famous and terrible day of God, which will be a day of wrath, and darkness, and mist, and clamour, and trumpets, and din over all strong cities, and over all high corners." What signify the strong cities but fickle minds, strengthened and fortified with cunning excuses, so that the spears of truth cannot approach them, which are the admonitions of holy writ? They shelter themselves against the spears of truth, when men wish to blame and chide them
 fægniað irmingas hiera agnes dysiges \& hearmes. Gchiren eac $\chi_{a}$ ilcan mid hwelcum ymbeðonce godcundes onwaldes hie $\begin{array}{r}\text { reade } \\ \text { Soffonias }\end{array}$ se witga, $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he cur૪ }: ~ G i e t ~ c y m ~ \\ \text { se } \\ \text { micla \& se mæra \& se egeslica }\end{aligned}$ 5 Godes dæg, se dæg bið irres dæg \& Xiestra dæg \& mistes \& gebreces \& biemena dæg \& gedynes ofer ealla truma ceastra \& ofer ealle hea lwammas. Hwæt getacniað ðonne $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { truman ceastra butan hwur- }\end{aligned}$ fulu mod, getrymedu \& ymbtrymedu mid lytelicre ladunge, さæt him
 10 gewrita manunga? Wið ða speru ðære soðfæstnesse] hie hie scildað, Øonne hi mon tælan wile \& arasian for hira unðeawum. Hwæt getacnia $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { onne } \\ \succ \text { a hean } h w a m m a s ~ b u t o n ~ u n c l æ n u ~ \& ~ t w i e f e a l d ~ m o d ? ~\end{array}$ Forðæm ælc wag bið gebieged twiefeald on $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { heale. Sua bið }\end{array}$
 15 he gefielt his môd mid wóre \& mid unnytre twiefealdnesse, \& eac ðætte wierse bið, he hine ahefð on his geðohte on gielp \& on ofermetto for $\searrow$ ðm wærscipe his agenre scylde, \& deð his agenne unðeaw him to weorðscipe. Đonne cymð se Dryhtnes domes dæg \& wrace dæg ofer $\Varangle_{a}$ truman ceastra \& ofer $\searrow_{a}$ hean hwammas, $ð_{o n n e ~}^{\text {ðæt }}$ 20 ierre ðæs ytemestan domes $\Varangle_{a}$ menniscan heortan towyrp $ð, \searrow_{a} \succ_{e} \mathrm{nu}$ sindon betynede \& getrymede mid lytelicum ladungum wið $ð$ a so $ð-$ fæsðnesse, \& arafað ðæt cliwen ðære twifaldan heortan. Đonne feallað $X_{a}$ truman ceastra, $\chi_{o n n e} \chi_{a}$ môd $\chi_{e}$ Dryhtne ungeferu sint

for their vices. What signify the high corners but impure and insincere hearts? Because every wall is bent double in a hall. So is the heart of man: when he shuns simplicity and straightforwardness, he folds his mind with perverse and useless duplicity, and also, what is worse, he exalts himself in his mind with boasting and pride, because of the cunning of his own sin, and glories in his own vice. The day of the Lord's doom and the day of vengeance comes on the strong cities and high corners, when the wrath of the last doon destroys the human hearts, which are now closed in and fortified with cunning excuses against truth, and unravels the clew of the insincere heart. The strong cities fall, when the minds which are impenetrable to God are brought to
heortan pe hie ahebbað for ðære twyfealdnesse ðæs unryhtan wærscipes ðurh ryhtlicne cwide \& dom weořað ofdune aworpne.
XXXVI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $ð$ h halan, on ołre da unhalan.

On oðre wisan sint to manian $\Varangle_{a}$ truman, on o $\begin{aligned} & \\ & r ~_{a} \text { untruman. }\end{aligned}$

 sie, gif hie $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a trumnesse } \\ \text { ðære Godes giefe him to unnytte gehweorfað, }\end{aligned}$ \& ðylæs hie siððan geearnigen swæ micle hefigre wite swæ hie nu egeleaslicor \& unnytlicor brucað ðære mildheortlican Godes giefe. For $ð_{o n}$ sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ halan $\chi_{æ t}$ hie ne forhycgen $ð_{æ t}$ hie her on worlde on ł$æ r e ~ h w i l e n d l i c a n ~ h æ l o ~ h i m ~ g e e a r n i g e n ~_{\text {ða ecean hælo. }}^{\text {h }}$ Ymb $\succ_{a}$ hwilendlican tida sanctus Paulus spræc, $\rtimes_{a}$ he cwæð: Nu is hiersumnesse tima \& nu sint hælnesse dagas. Eac sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ halan $\chi_{æ t}$ hie Gode wilnigen to licianne $\succ_{a}$ hwile pe hie mægen, ðylæs hie eft ne mægen, ðonne hie willen. Forðæm wæs gesprecen
 fleon $\begin{array}{r}\text { one pe hiene flilr } \gamma, ~ 犭 o n n e ~ h e ~ h i e n e ~ f u l ~ o f t ~ æ r ~ t o ~ h i m ~ c l i p a ~ \\ \text {, \& he }\end{array}$ forsæc૪ đæt he him to cume. Ac eft, ðonne he ðone Wisdom habban wolde, \& his wilnað, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { onne } \text { cwið se Wisdom to him : Ic eow clipode }\end{aligned}$ ær to me, ac ge me noldon æt cuman ; ic ræhte mine hond to eow, nolde eower nan to locian ; ac ge forsawon eall min ge eow to giemeliste, ðonne ic eow cidde. Hwæt sceal ic خonne buton
shame. The high corners fall, when the hearts which exalt themselves because of the insincerity of unrighteous cunning, are thrown down through a righteous sentence and judgment.
XXXVI. That the healthy are to be admonished in one way, in another the unhealthy.
The healthy are to be admonished in one way, in another the unhealthy. The healthy are to be admonished to desire that through the health of their bodies they may not lose the health of their minds, lest it be the worse for them if they make the soundness of God's grace useless to themselves, and lest they hereafter merit so much the heavier punisliment the more fearlessly and uselessly they now enjoy
 scipes ðurh ryhtliene cuide \＆dôm weor $\begin{aligned} \text { 万 } \\ \text { ofdune aworpne．}\end{aligned}$

XXXVI．Đætte on opre wisan sint to manienne $\not$ a halan，ôn oðre $\gamma_{\mathrm{a}}$ unhalan．

5 On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\Varangle_{a}$ truman，on ołre $\npreceq a$ untruman． Đa truman sint to manianne $\not$ æセt hie gewilnigen mid 犭æs licuman trumnesse đæt him ne losige sio hælo ðæs modes，ðylæs him $\begin{aligned} & \text { Øy wirs }\end{aligned}$
 \＆夭ylæs hie sił犭an geearnigen sua micle hefigre wite sua hie nu 10 egeleaslicor \＆unnytlicor brucał łære mildheortlican Godes giefe． Fořon sint to manianne $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{a}}$ halan $\mathrm{X}_{\text {æt }}$ hie ne forhycgen $ð_{æ t}$ hie her on worulde on łære hwilendlican hælo him geearnigen $\begin{array}{r}\text { ecan } h æ l o . ~\end{array}$ Ymb $\succ_{a}$ hwilendlican tida sanctus Paulus spræc，$\chi_{a}$ he cuæ $\gamma: \mathrm{Nu}$ is hiersumnesse tima \＆nu sint hælnesse dagas．Eac sint to manianne 15 ða halan $\nsucc æ t$ hie Gode wilnigen to licianne $\succ_{e}$ hwile $\succ_{e}$ hie mægen， ðylæs hie eft ne mægen，ðonne hie willen．Forðon wæs gesprecen ðurh ðone wisan Salomonn bi đæm Wisdome ðæt se Wisdôm wille sona fleon ðone ðe hine fliehð，ðonne he hine ful oft ær to him cleopað，\＆
 20 wolde，\＆his wilnað，ðonne cuið se Wisdôm to him ：Ic eow cleopode ær to me，ac ge me noldon æt cuman ；ic ræhte mine hond to eow， nolde iower nan to locian ；ac ge forsawon eall min geðeaht，\＆leton eow to giemeleste，ðonne ic eow cidde．Hwæt sceal ic ðonne buton
the merciful gifts of God．Therefore the healthy are to be admonished not to neglect here in the world in their transitory health meriting eternal health．Of transitory times St．Paul spoke，saying：＂Now is the time for obedience，now are the days of salvation．＂The healthy are also to be admonished to desire to please God while they can，lest afterwards they cannot when they will．Therefore it was said of Wisdom through the wise Solomon，that Wisdom will soon flee him who flees her，when she has often before called him to her，and he has refused to come to her．But afterwards，when he would like to have Wisdom，and desires her，she says to him ：＂I called you to me be－ fore，but ye would not come；I offered you my hand，but not one of you would look；ye despised all my counsel，and neglected my
 ðonne eow $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { yfel on becym } ૪ \text { ðæt ge eow ær ondredon? Ond eac }\end{array}$ cwið se Wisdom eft: Đonne hie to me clipiað, ðonne nylle ic hie gehieran. On uhton hie arisar, and me secear, ac hie me ne findar.
 bið to wyrceanne ðæt đæt he ðonne wile, ðonne $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { him eft sio hæl }\end{gathered}$ losað, ðonne gefret he ærest hwelc hio to habbanne wæs $\gamma_{a}$ hivile pe he hie hæfde, \& wilnað hiere ðonne to late and on untiman, ðonne he ær nolde hie gehealdan, $\searrow_{a} \chi_{a}$ he hie hæfde. Forðæm eft swiðe



 to lore gedon, \& $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{in}}$ flæsc gebrosnod. Hwa is خonne from us fremde buton $\succ_{a}$ awiergedan gastas, $\chi_{a}$ pe from $\succ_{æ s}$ heofoncundan Fæder eðle adrifene sindon? Ołðe hwæt is ure weorłscipe on خisum eorðlicum lichoman buton $ð æ t$ we sint gesceapene æfter $ð æ r e ~ b i s e n e ~ u r e s ~ S c i p-~$ pendes? \& hwæt is elles se wælreowa buton pa aworpnan englas, pe hie selfe mid hiora ofermettum on deałes wite gebrohton? \& on Xone ilcan deað hie wilniað eall moncynn to forspananne \& to forlædonne. Hwæt tacnað $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { ðæt word elles } \\ \text { wt mon ne selle his }\end{gathered}$ weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { scipe } \\ & \text { fremdum menn buton } \\ & \text { Xette }\end{aligned}$ se pe to Godes bisene gesceapen is, ðonne he $\chi_{a}$ tid his lifes on gewill ðara awiergedena gasta gehwierf $\quad$; \& his gear gesele $\begin{aligned} & \text { wælreowum, se se pe in yfelra \& wiðer- }\end{aligned}$ weardra anwald forlæt pa hwile his lifes? Ond eac cwæð Salomon

[^19]
 cui૪ se Wisdom eft: Đonne hie to me clipiað, ૪onne nylle ic hie gehieran. On uhtan hie arisað, \& me seceað, ac hi me ne findar. 5 Ac ðonne se mon his lichoman hælo for(sihð), خonne ðonne he wel trum bið to wyrceanne ðæt he ðonne wile, ðonne ðonne him eft sio hæl

 he hi hæfde, \& wilnað hire to late \& on úntiman, ðonne he ær nolde hie gehealdan, $\succ_{a} \succ_{a}$ he hi hæfde. For $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { eft suiðe ryhtlice Salo- }\end{array}$
 wælhreowan hond $\mathrm{Xin}_{\text {in }}$ gear, ðylæs fremde menn weořen gefylled of $\begin{aligned} \text { inum }\end{aligned}$ gesuince, \& $\Varangle_{\text {in }}$ mægen sie on ołres monnes gewealdum, \& $૪ u$ ૪onne sargige forخæm on las૪, خonne $\begin{gathered}\text { in lichoma beo to lore }\end{gathered}$ gedon, \& $\chi_{\text {in }}$ flæss gebrosnod. Hwa is $\not$ onne from us fremde butan $\chi_{a}$ 15 awier(g)dan gæstas, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe from ( $\not$ (æs) hefencundan Fæder eðle adrifene
 buton $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt we sint gesceapene } æ f t e r ~ \\ \text { ære biesene ures Scippendes? }\end{array}$ \& hwæt is elles se wælhreowa buton $\succ_{a}$ aworpnan englas, $\succ_{e}$ hie selfe mid hiera ofermettum on deǎes wite gebrohton? \& on Xone 20 ilcan dea $\gamma$ hie wilnia久 eal moncynn tô forspananne \& to forlædanne.
 fremdum menn buton ðætte se $\begin{aligned} & \text { そe to Godes bisene gesceapen is, }\end{aligned}$ $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ he $\chi_{a}$ tid his lifes on gewil ðara awierdena gæsta gehwierf $\gamma$; \& his gear geseleð wælhreowu $m$, se se $\not$ ไe in yfelra \& wiðerweardra 25 onwald forlæt $\chi_{a}$ hwile his lifes? Ond eac cuæð Salomonn $\not$ ææt
to ruin and thy flesh is consumed." Who are strangers to us but the accursed spirits, who have been driven from the country of the heavenly Father? Or what is our honour in this earthly body but our being created after the image of our Creator? And what else is the cruel one but the expelled angels, who by their pride brought themselves to the punishment of death? And to the same death they wish to allure and seduce all mankind. What signifies the expression, " giving his honour to a stranger," but him who is created after God's image, when he spends the time of his life according to the desires of the accursed spirits; and that he gives his years to the cruel one who gives up the period of his life into the power of his evil adversary? Solomon also said that strangers were not to be filled with our
ðæt fremde ne sceoldon bion gefylde ures mægenes，\＆ure geswinc ne sceolde bion on ołres monnes onwalde．Swæ hwa خonne swæ hæf on ðisse worlde fulle hælo his lichoman，\＆nyle wisdomes \＆cræftes on his mode tilian，ac swince $\begin{gathered}\text { on } \\ \text { ææm } \\ \text { æt }\end{gathered}$ he liornige unðeawas \＆ fremme，ne fylð se no his agen hus godra cræfta，ac fremdra hus he fylð，$\not æ \boldsymbol{t}$ sint unclæne gastas．Wiotodlice $૪$ a pe hiora lif on firenluste \＆on ofermodnesse geendiað，ne gefyllað hie godra rim，ac awiergedra gasta．Đonne is æfter そæm gecweden ðæt he sargige æt nihstan， ðonne his lichoma \＆his flæse sie gebrosnod，forðæm oft sio hælo ðæs lichoman on unðeawas wierð gecirred，ac ðonne he ðære hælo benumen wier $ð$ mid manigfealdum sare $ð_{æ s}$ modes \＆$犭$ æs flæsces，se lichoma ðonne wier久 gedrefed，forðæm sio sawl，ðonne hio hiere unðonces

 swelce he خonne wel \＆nytwyrðlice libban wolde，gif he forð moste．

 swince gebetan ne mæg，butan him ðurh his hreowsunga \＆ðurh Godes miltse geholpen weorłe．Forłæm cwæð se salmscop：Đonne God hie slog，ðonne solton hie hiene．Ongean đæt sint to manianne ða met－ truman ðæt hie ongieten \＆gefreden ðæt hie swæ micle ma bioł Godes bearn，\＆he hie swæ micle ma lufað swæ he hie swiður manað \＆ swingð，for $\not æ m$ ，gif he $ð æ m ~ g e h i e r s u m a n ~ m a n n u m ~ n æ f d e ~ g e t i o h h a d ~$ his ełel to sellanne，hwy wolde he hie mid ængum ungetæsum læran？ Forðæm cwæð Dryhten to Iohanne $\searrow æ m$ godspellere ðurh his engel，
resources，and our toil should not be in the power of another．Who－ ever，then，in this world has perfect bodily health，and will not cultivate wisdom and virtue in his mind，but toils in learning vices and carrying them out，does not fill his own house with virtues，but fills the houses of strangers，that is，unclean spirits．Truly those who end their lives in wantonness and pride，do not fill up the number of the good，but of accursed spirits．It is further said，that he will then sorrow，when his body and flesh are consumed，because often the health of the body is directed to vices，but when he is deprived of his health with manifold pains of mind and body，the body is afflicted，because the soul，when unwillingly compelled to forsake her wickedness，which she formerly
fremde ne scolden beon gefyllede ures mægenes, \& ure gesuinc ne scolde beon on oðres monnes anwalde. Sua hwa ðonne sua on ðisse worulde hæf $\gamma$ fulle hæle his lichoman, \& nyle wisdomes \& cræftes on his mode tiligan, ac suince૪ on $\succ æ n ~ 犭 æ t ~ h e ~ l e o r n i g e ~ u n ð e a w a s ~ \& ~$ 5 fremme, ne fylð se no his agen hus godra cræfta, ac fremdra hús he fylx, $\not$ ææt sint unclæne gæstas. Wiotodlice $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ hira lif on firenluste \& on ofermodnesse geendigað, ne gefyllað hie godra rim, ac awiergedra gæsta. Đonne is æfter ðæm gecueden ðæt he sargige æt niehstan, ðonne his lichoma \& his flæse sie gebrosnod, forðæm oft sio hælo ðæs 10 lichoman on unðeawas wierð gecierred, ac ðonne he ðære hælo benumen wier` mid monigfaldum sare ðæs modes \& ðæs flæsces, se lichoma ðonne wier૪ gedrefed, forðæm sio saul, ðonne hio hire unðonces gebædd wier久 ðæt yfel to forlætanne ðæt hio ær longe on wôh hire
 15 suelce he Xonne wel \& nytwyrðlice libban wolde, gif he for $\begin{aligned} & \text { moste. }\end{aligned}$

 suince gebetan ne mæg, buton him ðurh his hreo[w]sung \& ðurh Godes miltse geholpen weorłe. Forłæm cuæð se sealmscop : Đonne God hie 20 slog , ðonne sohton hie hine. Ongean $\nprec æ t$ sint to manianne $ð_{a}$ mettruman ðæt hie ongieten \& gefreden ðæt hie sua micle ma beoð Godes bearn, \& he hie sua micle ma lufað sua he hie suiður manað \& suingð, for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text {, gif he } \nsucc æ m \text { gehiersuman mannu } m \text { næfde geteohchad }\end{array}$ his eðel to sellanne, hwie wolde he hie mid ænegum ungetæsum læran? 25 Forðæm cuæð Dryhten to Iohanne ðam godspellere ðurh his engel,
for a long time wickedly exercised of her own free will, seeks her lost health, and desires it, as if she were going to live well and profitably, if spared. So he desponds, because he would not serve God while he could, because he cannot now remedy the mischief of his neglect with any toil, unless his repentance and God's mercy help him. Therefore the Psalmist said : "When God slew them, they sought him." On the other hand, the unhealthy are to be admonished to understand and perceive that they are so much the more God's children, and he loves them the more, the more he admonishes and chastises them. For if he had not intended to give his country to the obedient, why would he teach them with any severity? Therefore the Lord spoke to
he cwæð: Ic ðreage \& swinge ða pe ic lufige. Forłæm eac cwæð Salomon: Sunu min, ne agimeleasa $\Varangle u$ Godes swingan, ne $\chi_{u}$ ne beo werig for his ðreaunga, forðæmpe God lufað ðone pe he ðreað, \& swinge $\begin{aligned} & \text { æle bearn pe he underfôn wile. Be } \nsucc æ m \text { ilcan se psalmscop }\end{aligned}$ cwæð: Swiðe manigfealde sint ryhtwisra monna earfeðu. Be łæm eac se eadega Iob cwæð on his earfeðum, \& geomriende clipode to Dryhtne, \& cwæ૪: Gif ic ryhtwis wæs, ne ahof ic me na for $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$, \& ૪eah ic eom gefylled mid broce \& mid iermðum. Eac is to cyðonne $ð æ m$ medtrumum, gif hie willen geliefan $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ætte Godes re } \\ & \text { rice } \\ & \text { hiera sie, } \text { æt hie }\end{aligned}$
 swæ mon sceal on elðiode. Be ૪ys ilcan is gecweden on kyninga bocum, swæ swæ hit geworden wæs, \& eac us to bisene. Hit is gecweden $\begin{array}{r}\text { tte } \\ \text { pa stanas on } 犭 æ m ~ m æ r a n ~ t e m p l e ~ S a l o m o n n e s ~ w æ r o n ~\end{array}$ ær (om.) swæ wel gefegede \& swæ emne gesnidene \& gesme $\begin{aligned} \text { de, ær hie }\end{aligned}$ mon to ðæm stede brohte pe hie on standan sceoldon, ðætte hie mon eft siððan on $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { halgan stowe swæ tosomne gesette } ð æ t ~ ð æ r ~ n a n ~\end{array}$ mon ne gehierde ne æhxe hlem ne bitles sweg. Đæt ðonne tacnað us Øætte we scylen bion on $\begin{aligned} \text { isse el elidignesse utone beheawene mid swin- }\end{aligned}$
 on ðære Godes ccastre butan ðæm hiewete ælcere swingan, ðætte swæ hwæt swæ nu on us unnyttes sie, そætte łæt aceorfe sio swingelle from us, swæ ðætte siððan an sib Godes lufe butan ælcum ungerade us swiðe fæste gebinde \& gefege tosomne. Đonne sint eac to manianne $\succ_{a}$ unhalan $\succ_{æ t}$ hie geðencen mid hu manigfealdum ungetæsum \& mid hu heardum brocum us swingał \& łreageað ure worldcunde fæderas

John the Evangelist through his angel, saying: "I rebuke and chastise those I love." Therefore also Solomon said: "My son, neglect not the Lord's castigation, nor be weary of his rebuking, for God loves him he rebukes, and castigates all the children he will receive." Of the same the Psalmist spoke: "Very manifold are the troubles of the righteous." Of which also the blessed Job spoke in his troubles, and mourning cried to the Lord, saying: "If I was righteous, I did not therefore exalt myself, and yet I am filled with affliction and misery." It must also be made known to the unhealthy that, if they will believe that God's kingdom is theirs, they must suffer hardships lere in the world while it is necessary for them, as one must in exile. Of the same is spoken in the books of Kings, as it happened, and also as an
 Salomonn: Sunu min, ne agiemeleasa $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { Godes suingan, ne } \text { 万u ne beo }\end{aligned}$

 5 cuæ૪: Suixe monigfalde sint ryhtwisra monna earfoðu. Be ðæm eac se eadega Iob cwæð on his earfeðum, \& geomriende cliopode to Dryhtne, \& cuæ૪: Gif ic ryhtwis wæs, ne ahof ic me no forðy, \& ðeah ic eom gefylled mid broce \& mid iermðum. Eac is to cyðanne $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { æm }\end{gathered}$ mettrumum, gif hie willen geliefan $\begin{array}{r}\text { ætte } \\ \text { Godes rice hiera sie, } \\ \text { そæt hie }\end{array}$ 10 ðonne her on worulde ðoligen earfeðu ðæm timu $m$ ðe hie ðyrfen, sua sua mon sceal on elðiode. Be خys ilcan is gecueden on kyninga bocum, sua sua hit geworden wæs, \& eac ûs to bisene. Hit is
 sua we[1] gefegede \& sua emne gesnidene \& gesme $\begin{aligned} & \text { de, ær hie mon }\end{aligned}$
 siððan on ðære halgan stowe sua tosomne gesette ðæt ðær nan monn ne gehierde ne æxe hlem ne bietles sueg. Đæt ðonne tacnað us ðætte we scylen beon on Xisse ælðeodignesse utane beheawene mid suingellan, to ðæm ðæt we eft sien geteald \& gefeged to ðæm gefogstanum
 hwæt sua nu on us unnytes sie, ไætte ðæt aceorfe sio suingelle from ûs, sua łætte siððan an sibb Godes lufe butan ælcum ungerade us suiłe fæste s, ebinde \& gefege tosomne. Đonne sint eac to manianne
 25 hu heardum brocum us swingað \& ðreagað ure worldcunde fædras
example for us; it is said that the stones in the famous temple of Solomon were so well fitted, and so evenly cut and polished before being brought to the place where they were to stand, that they were afterwards so joined together in the holy place, that no man heard there the sound either of axe or hammer. That shows us that we are to be in this exile outwardly cut with flagellation, that we may afterwards be reckoned and joined to the keystones in the city of God without the cutting of any flagellation, that all in us that is useless now may be cut away from us by the flagellation, so that afterwards one peace of God's love may bind and join us together very firmly without any discord. The unhealthy are also to be admonished to consider with how manifold severities and with how hard afflictions our worldly
\& hlafordas, forłæm pe hie wilniað łæt we him geخwære sien, \& eac hiora ierfes wyrðe sien, \& hie us ðy bliðran bion mægen. Ac hwelc
 ðæm pe we mægen geearnian ðone heofonlican eðel pe næfre to lore ne
 wier $\begin{gathered}\text { geendod? For } \nsupseteq m \text { cwæð sanctus Paulus: Ure flæsclican fædras }\end{gathered}$ lærdon us, \& we hie ondredon ; hie ðreadon us, \& we weor $\begin{gathered}\text { odon hie. }\end{gathered}$ Hu micle swiðor sculon we ðonne bion geliersume $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { pe ure gasta }\end{array}$ Fæder bið wið ðæm pe we moten libban on ecnesse! Ure flæsclican fædras us lærdon to خæm pe hiera willa wæs, ac ðæt wæs to swiðe scortre hwile, forłæmpe خeos world is swiðe lænu, ac se gastlica Fæder
 $\chi_{æ t}$ ece lif. Eac sint to manianne ða mettruman ææt hie geðencen hu micel hælo ðæt bið ðære heortan ðæt se lichoma sie mettrum, forðæm sio mettrumnes ðæt mod gehwierfeð gehwelces monnes hiene selfne to ongietanne, \& Xæt gode mod pe sio hælu ful oft aweg adrifð ðæt gemynd $犭 æ r e ~ m e t t r y m n e s s e ~ g e e d n i w a ð, ~ ð æ t t e ~ 犭 æ t ~ m o d ~ p e ~ o f e r ~ h i s ~$ mæð bið upahafen gemyne of $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ swingum pe ðæt flæsc ૪olað to hwæm eall mancynn gesceapen is. Đæt wære swiðe ryhte getacnod łurh Balaham on łære lettinge his færeltes, gif he mid his hiersumnesse Godes stemne \& his gebodum fullice folgian wolde, \& on his willan fore. Balaham ðonne fulgeorne wolde feran $ð æ r ~ h i e n e ~ m o n ~$ bæd, ac his estfulnesse wirteah se esol pe he onuppan sæt. Đæt wæs for $ð æ m p e$ se assa geseah خone engel ongean hiene standan, \& him ðæs færeltes forwiernan, خone pe ðæt mennisce mod gesion ne meahte.
fathers and masters chastise and correct us, because they wish to make us docile and worthy of their inheritance, and that they may be the more happy with us. But what punishment of divine correction shall seem to us too heavy in comparison with our meriting the heavenly country which is never lost, and avoiding endless punishment? Therefore St. Paul said: "Our fleshly fathers taught us, and we dreaded them ; they rebuked us, and we reverenced them. How much more, then, must we obey our spiritual Father, that we may live eternally ! Our fathers in the flesh taught us to do their will, but it was for a very short time, because this world is very transitory, but our spiritual Father teaches us to partake of useful things, that is, meriting eternal life." The unhealthy are also to be admonished to consider
 hira irfes wier $\gamma \mathrm{e}$ sien，\＆hie us ðe bliðran beon mægen．Ac hwelc wite sceal us 犭onne to hefig Øyncan ðære godcundan ðreaunga wið ðæm خe we mægen geearnian خone hefonlican ěel łe næfre to lore
 wierð geendod？Forłæm cuæð sanctus Paulus：Ure flæsclican fædras lærdon ûs，\＆we hie ondredon ；hie ðreadon ûs，\＆we weorðodon hie． Hu micle suiðor sculon we ðonne beon gehiersume ðæm ðe ure gæsta Fæder bið wið そæm ðæt we moten libban on ecnesse！Ure flæsclican 10 fædras us lærdon to خæm 犭e hira willa wæs，ac 犭æt wæs to suiðe scortre hwile，forðæmðe ðios woruld is suiðe lænu，ac se gæsðlica Fæder
 ðæt ece lif．Eac sint to manianne ða mettruman ðæt hie geðencen hu micel hælo ðæt bið ðære heortan ðæt se lichoma sie medtrum，forðæm 15 sio medtrymnes $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { mod meh gerf } \gamma \text { gehwelces monnes hine selfne to }\end{array}$ ongietanne，\＆犭æt gôde môd خ̌e sio hælo ful oft aweg adrief $犭$ ðæt gemynd ðære medtrymnesse geedniewað，ðætte ðæt môd ðe ofer his mæ૪ bið úpahæfen gemyne of $犭 æ m$ suingu $m$ ૪e ðæt flæsc ૪olað to $h w æ m$ eal monncynn gesceapen is．Đæt wære suiłe ryhte getacnod 20 ðurh Balaham on ðære let［t］inge his færeltes，gif he mid his hiersum－ nesse Godes stemne \＆his gebodum fullice folgian wolde，\＆on his willan fore．Baloham ૪onne fulgeorne feran wolde $\begin{array}{rrr} \\ \text { hine mon }\end{array}$ bæd，ac his［est］fulnesse witteah se esol ðe he onuppan sæ̋t．Đæt wæs forðæmðe se assa geseah ðone engel ongean hine standan，\＆him ðæs 25 færeltes forwiernan，خone ðe ðæt men（n）isce môd geseon ne meahte．
how very healthy it is for the heart that the body is unhealthy，because the want of health compels the heart of every man to consider him－ self，and the good disposition which is very often driven away by health is restored by the memory of sickness，so that the heart which is unduly exalted remembers by the afflictions endured by the flesh what all man－ kind is created for．That would have been very rightly illustrated by the obstruction of Balaam＇s journey，had he obediently wished fully to follow God＇s voice and commands，and had gone after his will．Balaam would very willingly have proceeded whither he was told，but his zeal was opposed by the ass on which he sat．That was because the ass saw the angel standing opposite to him，and preventing his progress，which the human mind could not see．So also，when the flesh is hindered by

 pe $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ m o d ~ h w i l u m ~ o n g i e t a n ~ n e ~ m æ g ~ j e ~ o f e r ~ \\ \text { æm flæsce sitt，\＆his }\end{array}$
 willan خæs خeondan modes her on worlde．Swæ swæ mon oft lett fundiendne monnan，\＆his færelt gæl＞，swæ gæl＞se lichoma đæt mod，$^{\text {mot }}$ o૪ðæt he gebrocod wierð mid sumre mettrymnesse，\＆夭onne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { urh } \\ \gamma_{a}\end{array}$
 pe him togeanes stent，\＆him wiernð his unnyttan færelta ðurh ðæs lichoman mettrymnesse．Be ðæm cwæð sanctus Petrus swiðe ryhtlice ： Đæt dumbe \＆夭æt gehæfte neat ðreade ðone witgan for his yflan willan，$\chi_{a}$ hit clipode swæ swæ mann，\＆mid $\not \begin{aligned} \text { y gestierde } & \Varangle m m \text { witgan }\end{aligned}$ his unryhtre \＆dysigre［dysiglicre］wilnunge．Đonne $\begin{array}{rr} & \text { 〇 } \\ \nsupseteq t\end{array}$
 ðæt upahafene mod to ryhtre \＆to nytwierðre eaðmodnesse．Forłæm ne meahte Balaham geearnian $\Varangle_{a}$ Godes gife je he biddende wæs，$\Varangle_{a}$ he Israhela folc wiergean wolde \＆for hiene selfne gebiddan ；forłæm he wear $\begin{aligned} & \text { untyg } ð a \text { pe he hwierfde his stemne nalles his mod ：ðæt wæs }\end{aligned}$ ðæt he spræc o orer，o ơer he sprecan wolde．Eac sint $\chi_{a}$ siocan to manianne $\chi_{æ t}$ hie ongieten hu micel Godes giefu him bið［さæs added］ flæsces geswinc，for $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { me } \\ \text { hit } æ g ð e r ~ g e ~ & \succ_{a} \text { gedonan synna onweg }\end{array}$ ałwiehð，ge hiene eac ðara gelett pe he dôn wolde，gif he meahte，
 wyrceað 「a wunda on $_{\text {ไæm gebrocedan mode hreowsunge wunda．Be }}$ $\chi_{æ m}$ is eac gecweden［awriten］on Salomonnes cwidbocum $\begin{array}{r}\text { atte sio }\end{array}$
any affliction，it shows the mind with the castigation what God＇s will is，the same will which the mind，which presides over the flesh，and should control it，cannot sometimes perceive，because the flesh often balks the zeal and will of the flourishing mind here in the world．As a man in a hurry is often balked，and his journey delayed，so the body delays the mind until it is afflicted with some disease，and then with the disease the body shows the mind the unseen angel standing in front of it，and preventing its useless journey with the bodily disease． Therefore St．Peter said very rightly ：＂The dumb and captive beast rebuked the prophet for his evil desire，when it spoke like a man， and so restrained the wicked and foolish desire of the prophet．＂The dumb beast rebukes the foolish man，when the afflicted flesh trains the

Sua eac，Xonne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { flæsc bið gelett mid sumum broce，hit getacnað }\end{aligned}$ ðæm mode for ไære suingan hwæt Godes willa bið，ðone illcan willan $\chi_{\text {e }}$ ðæt mod hwilum ongietan mæg $\chi_{e}$ ofer $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { flæsee sitt，\＆his }\end{array}$ wealdan sceolde，for ðæm ðæt flæsc oft lætt $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { geornfulnesse \＆Xone }\end{aligned}$ 5 willan 犭æs 犭iondan modes her on worulde．Sua mon oft let［t］ fundigendne monnan，\＆his færelt gæl＞，sua gæl＞se lichoma đæt moll， oððæt he gebrocad wierð mid sumre mettrymnesse，\＆ðonne ૪urh ða mettrymnesse getacnað se lichoma đæm mode Xone ungesewenan engel ૪e him togenes stent，\＆him wiernð his unnyttan færelta ðurh ðæs 10 lichoma mettrymnesse．Be $ð æ m ~ c w æ \gamma ~ s a n c t u s ~ P e t r u s ~ s u i ð e ~ r y h t l i c e: ~: ~$
 willan，ða hit cleopode sua sua monn，\＆mid $\not$ y gestierde $\not 犭$ m wit－ gan his unryhtre \＆dyslicre wilnunga．Đonne ðreað ðæt dumbe
 15 úpahæfene mod to ryhttre \＆to nyttwyrðre eaðmodnesse．Forðæm ne meahte Balaham geearnian $\searrow_{a}$ Godes giefe $\chi_{e}$ he biddende wæs，$\chi_{a}$ he Israhela folc wirgean wolde \＆for hine selfne gebiddan；for $\begin{aligned} & m \text { he }\end{aligned}$ wearð untygða łe he hwierfde his stemne nales his mod ：ðæt wæs ðæt he spræc oðer，oðer ðæt he sprecan wolde．Eac sint ða seocan to 20 monianne ðæt hie ongieten hu micel Godes giefu him bið ðæs flæsces gesuinc，forðæmðe hit ægðer ge $\succ_{a}$ gedonan synna aweg aðwieh $\gamma$ ， ge hine eac łara gelett łe he don wolde，gif he meahte，for ðæm （（ onne）he bið gesargod on ðæs lichoman wundum，犭onne gewyrceað $\succ_{a}$ wunda on $\nsucc æ m$ gebrocodan mode hreo［w］sunge wunda． $\mathrm{Bi} \searrow_{æ m}$ 25 is eac awriten on Salomonnes cuidbocum $\nprec$ ret sio wund wolde

[^20]wund wolde haligean，æfterðæmpe hio wyrsmde．Đonne aflewð Łæt




 forðæm swæ swæ sio wamb gemielt ૪one mete，swæ gemielt ðæt mod mid ૪ære gesceadwisnesse his gełeahtes his sorga．Of Salomonnes cwidum we namon ૪ætte ðære wambe nama sceolde tacnian ðæt mod， ૪a ૪a he cwæ૪：Đæs monnes lif bi૪ Godes leohtfæt；૪æt Godes leohtfæt geondsec｀\＆geondliht ealle $\succ$ ª diegelnesse ૪ære wambe． Swelce he cwæde：Ærest he hiene onliht mid his leohtfæte，Xonne he hiene geliffæst，\＆eft he hiene onliht，łonne he hiene onæl $\begin{aligned} & \text { mid }\end{aligned}$ ૪æm tapore $૪ æ s$ godcundan liegges．Đæt bił ૪onne，犭onne he deð ૪æt he ongiet his agene unnytte ðeawas \＆gełohtas pe wen is ðæt he ær hæfde，ไeah he hit gełencean ne meahte．Đæt worsm ૪onne ðara


犭æm lichoman geswencte，خonne beo we swiggende gemanode mid ૪ære mettrymnesse ure synna to gemunanne，forðæmpe łonne bið broht beforan ures modes eagum eal 犭æt we ær yfles gedydon，for $\nsupseteq m$ swæ se lichoma swiłur utan ðrowað，swæ 犭æt mod swiður innan hreowsað ૪æs unnyttes pe he ær dyde．Forðæm gelimpð ૪ætte ૪urh
 wel ałwægen sio diegle wund $\nsupseteq s$ modes，forðæm sio diegle wund
the slough，when the pain of the flagellation of worldly afflictions washes away both the committed and the meditated sins from the soul．Solomon also said that the same is the case with the wounds inside the belly．The word＂belly＂signifies the mind，because，as the belly digests food，so does the mind digest its sorrows with wise re－ flection．It was from the Proverbs of Solomon we gathered that the word＂belly＂signifies the mind，when he said：＂Man＇s life is God＇s lantern；God＇s lamp investigates and illuminates all the secrets of the belly．＂As if he had said：＂First，he illuminates him with his lamp，when he brings him to life，and again he illuminates him， when he inflames him with the taper of divine flame．＂That is，
halian，æfterðæmðe heo wyrmsde．Đonne aflewð ðæt sâr of ðære

 saule．Eac cuæ૪ Salomon ðætte ðæt illce beo bi ðæm wundum ૪e 5 beoð oninnan ðære wambe．Đære wambe nama getacnað خæt mód， fořæm sua sua sio wamb gemielt 犭one mete，sua gemielt خæt mod mid łære gescadwisnesse his geðeahtes his sorga．Of Salomonnes cuidum we namon ðætte ðære wambe nama scolde tacnian ðæt mod， ða $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he cuæ૪：Đæs monnes lif bið Godes leohtfæt ；ðæt Godes 10 leohtfæt gindsec $૪$ \＆gindlieht ealle $\npreceq a$ diogolnesse $\nsucc æ r e ~ w a m b e . ~$ Suelce he cuæde ：Æresð he hiene onlicht mid his leohtfæte，૪onne he hiene gelieffæs $\gamma, \&$ eft he hine onlieht， $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{on}}$ ne he hiene onæl l mid ðæm tapure ðæs go（d）cundan lieges．Đæt bið ðonne，તonne he deð犭æt he ongiet his agne unnytte خeawas \＆gełohtas $\chi_{e}$ wen is $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he }\end{aligned}$ $15 æ r$ hæfde，ðeah he hit gełencean ne meahte．Đæt worms ૪onne ðara wunda ðæt is łæt broc ðæs lichoman，\＆そæt sar innan ðære
 aweg ðæt yfel of ðæm mode．Đonne we beo૪ butan łæm mode on ðæm lichoman gesuencte，તonne beo we suigende gemanode mid łære 20 mettrymnesse ura synna to gemunanne，forðæmðe łonne bið broht beforan ures modes eagan eall ðæt we ær yfeles gedydon，forðæm sua se lichoma suiður utan łrowað，sua ðæt mod suiłur innan hreo［w］sað ðæs unnyttes خe he ær dyde．Forðæm gelimp $૪$ ðætte ૪urh ða openlican wunda \＆ðurh Łæt gesewene sâr Łæs lichoman bið suiłe 25 wel aðwægen sio diegle wund ðæs modes，for $\not$ æm sio diegle wund
when he makes him understand his own unprofitable morals and ideas， which he probably had before，although he could not call them to mind．The slough of the wounds is the affliction of the body，and the pain inside the belly signifies the sorrow of the heart．Sorrow sweeps away evil from the heart．We are afflicted in the body outside the heart，when we are silently admonished by sickness to remember our sins，because then all the evil we formerly did is brought before the eyes of our mind，because the more the body suffers externally，the more the mind internally repents its former unprofitable works． Therefore it happens that through the open and visible wounds and pain of the body the secret wound of the mind is very well washed，
 manianne $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { medtruman to } ð æ m ~ ð æ t ~ h i e ~ g e h e a l d e n ~ p a ~ s t e n g e ~[s t r e n g e] ~\end{aligned}$ ðære geðylde．Him is to sæcganne ðæt hie unablinnedlice geðencen hu manig yfel ure Dryhten \＆ure Aliesend ge夭olode mid $ð æ m$ ilcan monnum pe he self gesceop，he hu fela edwites \＆unnyttra worda he forbær，\＆hu manige hleorslegeas he underfeng æt $犭 æ m$ pe hiene bismredon．Se ilca se pe ælce dæg saula gereafað of ðæs ealdan feondes hondum，se ilca pe us $\gamma$ wieh $\gamma$ mid $\nearrow y$ halwyndan wætre，se na ne forbeag mid his nebbe ðara treowleasana manna spatl，ðonne hie him on ðæt nebb spætton．Se ilca se us gefrioð mid his forespræce
 sealde ece are betweoxn his engla geferscipe，he geðafode ðæt hiene man mid fyste slog．Se pe us gehæleð from $ð æ m$ stice urra synna，he

 hiene $\begin{aligned} \text { yrste．Se pe for us gebæd to his Fæder，خeah he him efnmihtig }\end{aligned}$ sie on his godhade，$\gamma_{a} \gamma_{a}$ him man on bismer to gebæd，$\gamma_{a}$ swugode he．Se se pe deadum monnum lif gearwað，\＆he self lif is，he becom to deaðe．Forhwy ðonne scẹal ænegum men ðyncean to reðe ołðe to unie $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ðæt he Godes swingellan geðafige for his yfelan dædum，nu God self swæ fela yfles geðafode，swæ swæ we ær cwædon，for man－ cynne？Hwa sceal łonne，ðara pe hal \＆god ondgiet hæbbe，Gode unðoncfull beon，forðæm，ðeah he hiene for his synnum swinge，nu se ne for butan swingellan of 犭ys middangearde se pe butan ælcere synne wæs \＆giet is ？
because the secret wound of repentance heals the sin of perverse works． The sick are also to be admonished to preserve the strength of patience．They are to be told to consider incessantly how many evils our Lord and Redeemer suffered among the same men whom he him－ self had created，and how much reproach and how many vain words he endured，and how many blows he received from his revilers．The same who daily snatches souls from the hands of the old foe，and who washes us with salutary water，did not turn away his face from the spittle of those faithless men，when they spat in his face．The same who frees us with his mediation from eternal punishments，silently endured stripes．He who gave us eternal honour in the company of his angels，allowed himself to be struck with fists．He who heals

そære hreowsunga hæl૪ $ð a$ scylðe ðæs wôn weorces．Eac sint to
 geðylde．Him is to secgeanne ðæt hie unablinnendlice gełencen hu monig yfel ure Dryhten \＆ure Alisend geðolode mid ðam ilcan man－ 5 num خe he self gesceop，\＆hu fela edwites \＆unnyttra worda he forbær，

 Se ilca se ðe ælce dæg saula gereafað of ðæs ealdan feondes honda， se ilca se $\begin{aligned} & \text { e us } \\ & \\ & \text { wieh } \\ & \text { m m } \\ & \text { m }\end{aligned}$ halwyndan wætre，se na ne forbeag mid his nebbe łara triowleasena monna spatl，ðonue hie him on |  |
| ---: | ---: | 10 nebb spætton．Se ilca se us gefreo mid his forespræce from ecum witum，se ilca suigende geðafode swingellan．Se ðe us sealde ece are betwuxn his engla geferscipe，he ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { afode } \\ & \text { Øæt hine mon mid }\end{aligned}$ fyste slog．Se ðe us gehæleð from ðæm stice urra synna，he geðafode ðæt him mon set［t］e ðyrnenne beag on ðæt heafud．Se ðe us ofer－

 hine $\begin{aligned} & \text { yrstte．Se } \\ & \text { そe for } \\ & \text { us gebæd to his Fæder，đeah he him emnmie－}\end{aligned}$ htig sie on his godhade，$\chi_{a} \chi_{a}$ him mon on bismer to gebæd，$\chi_{a}$ swu－ gode he．Se se $\begin{aligned} \text { re deadum monnum lif gearuwa } \gamma \text { ，\＆he self lif is，he }\end{aligned}$ becôm to deaðe．Forhwy［ ®onne ］sceal ænigum menn Øyncan to reðe
 nu God self sua fela yfeles ge夭afode，sua sua we ær cuædon，for monn－ cynne？Hwa sceal ૪onne，ðara خe hal \＆good andgiet hæbbe，Gode unðoncfull beon，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { ，Xeah he hine for his synnum suinge，nu se }\end{array}$ ne fôr butan suingellan of خys middangearde se $\begin{gathered}\text { e butan } æ l c r e ~ s y n n e ~\end{gathered}$ 25 wæs \＆giet is ？
us from the stabs of our sins，allowed a crown of thorns to be put on his head．He who intoxicates us with the drink of eternal life， experienced the bitterness of gall when he thirsted．He who prayed for us to his Father，though he is equally mighty with him in his Godhead，when he was prayed to in mockery，was silent．He who makes life for dead men，and himself is life，himself came to death． Why，then，shall it seem to any man too severe or hard to endure the castigation of God for his evil deeds，since God himself，as we said above，suffered so much evil for mankind？Who，then，who has a sound and good understanding，ought to be unthankful to God，be－ cause，although he chastise him for his sins，he did not depart without stripes from this world，who was，and still is，without any $\sin$ ？
XXXVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sindon to manianne ða pe him ondrædał Godes swingellan ołre manna, \& for $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ forlætað $\not$ ææt hie yfel ne doð; on ołre $ð$ a pe bioð swæ aheardode on unryhtwisnesse ðæt hie mon ne mæg mid nanre $\begin{aligned} \text { reaunge ge greatigean. }\end{aligned}$

On oðre wisan sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ pe him swingellan ondrædað, \&
 pe on hiera unryhtwisnessum swæ aheardode bioð ðæt hie mon mid nanre swingellan gebetan ne mæg. Đæm is to cyðanne pe him swingellan ondredað łæt hie ૪issa eorðlicena goda to swiðe ne gitsien, $\succ_{e a h}$ hie gesion $\succ_{æ t} \Varangle_{a}$ yflan hie hæbben ongemang him, for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { hie }\end{array}$ sint ægłerum gemæne ge yflum monnum ge godum ; \& ne fleon eac Xis andwearde yfel, swelce hie hit adreogan ne mægen, forłæmpe hit oft gode meun her on worlde dreogað. Eac hie sint to manianne, gif

 wunigen on $犭 æ m$ ege, ac mid $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { fostre } & \text { ære Godes lufan hie sculon }\end{array}$ uparisan \& weaxan â ma \& ma to lufianne $\mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ godcundan weorc. Forðæm Iohannes se godspellere cwæð on his ærendgewrite, he cwæð: Sio fullfremede Godes lufu adrifeð aweg $\begin{gathered}\text { one ege. And eft cwæð }\end{gathered}$ sanctus Paulus: Ne underfengon ge no 万one Gast æt $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { m }\end{aligned}$ fulluhte to Xeowianne for ege, ac ge hiene underfengon to ðæm ðæt ge Gode geagnudu bearn beon scylen, forðy we clipiað to Gode, \& cweðað, Fæder [, Fæder $a d d e d]$. Forłon cwið eac se ilca lareow: Đær se
XXXVII. That those who fear God's chastisements or men's, and therefore abstain from evil-doing, are to be admonished in one way ; in another, those who are so hardened in unrighteousness as not to be corrected by any reproof.
Those who dread chastisement, and therefore live innocently, are to be admonished in one way ; in another, those who are so hardened in their unrighteousness that it is not possible to reform them with any chastisement. It is to be made known to those who fear chastisement that they are not to desire too much earthly prosperity, although they see that the wicked possess it among themselves, because it is common

# XXXVII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manienne $\prec$ か $\chi_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{him}$ on－ drædał Godes swingellan ołłe monna，\＆forły for－ lætał ૪æt hie yfel ne doð；on ołre wisan ૪a ૪e beoð swa aheardode ôn unryhtwisnesse ðæt hi mon ne mæg mid nanre Ґreaunge geðreatian． 

On ołre wisan sint to monianne $૪$ ว ૪e him suingellan ondrædað，\＆ forłæm unsceaðfullice libbał；\＆on ołre wisan sint to manianne 夭a ૪e on hiera unryhtwisnessum sua aheardode beoð $૪$ æt hie mon mid nanre swingellan gebetan ne mæg．Đæt is to cyðanne ૪e him swin－ 10 gellan ondrædał $\prec æ$ h hie ૪issa corłlicena goda to suiðe ne gietsien， ૪eah hie geseon ૪æt ૪a yfelan hie hæbben ongemong him，forðæm hie sint ægðrum gemæne ge yfelum monnum ge godum；\＆ne fleon eac
 oft gôde menn her ôn worlde dreogar．Eac hie sint to monianne，gif 15 hie geornlice wilnigen łæt him yfel ðing losie，ðonne beo him suiðe egefull $ð æ t$ ece wite；nalles łeah sua egeful ðæt hie ealneg ðurh－ wunigen on $犭 æ m$ ege，ac mid $૪$ am fostre $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { Godes lufan hie sculon }\end{array}$ upárisan \＆weaxan $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \mathrm{ma} \& \mathrm{ma}$ to lufigeanne $\not$ $a$ godcundan weorc． Forðæm Iohannis se godspellere cwæ૪ on his ærendgewrite，ic cwæ૪： 20 Sio fulfremede Godes lufu adrife ${ }^{2}$ aweg $\not$ one ege．\＆eft cwæ sanctus Paulus：Ne underfengon ge no ðone Gast æt ðæm fulluhte to ðeowi－ gėanne for ege，ac ge hine underfengon to $ð æ m$ ðæt ge Gode geagenudu bearn beon scielen，forðy we cliepiał to Gode，\＆cweðað，Fæder， Fæder．Forłæm cwið eft eac se ilca lariow ：Đær se Dryhtnes gast
to both bad and good；nor let them flee present evils，as if they could not endure them，because good men often endure them here in the world．They are also to be warned that，if they eagerly wish to avoid evil，they are to fear greatly eternal punishment；yet not to fear so much as always to continue fearing，but with the nourishment of God＇s love to rise and grow ever more and more，to love the divine works．Therefore John the Evangelist said in his letter ：＂The perfect love of God drives away fear．＂And again，St．Paul said ：＂Ye did not receive the Spirit at baptism to serve from fear，but ye received it to become God＇s own children，wherefore we call to God，and say， Father，Father．＂Therefore the same teacher says also again：＂Where


 monnes mod nanne gastes freodom, forðæm, gif he hit for ðæs wites ege ne forlæte, butan tweon he fullfremede $\chi_{a}$ synne. Đonne nat $\chi_{æ t}$ mod $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ him bið friodom forgifen, ðonne hit bið gebunden mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { æs }\end{aligned}$ ðeowutes ege. Đeah mon nu god onginne for sumes wites ege, hit mon sceal $\chi_{\text {eah }}$ geendian for sumes godes lufum. Se pe for |  |
| ---: | ---: | anum god de $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ he sumre $\nsucc r e a u n g e ~ y f e l ~ h i m ~ o n d r æ t, ~ s e ~ w i l n a ð ~$

 dyrre dôn unnytlicu 犭ing \& unaliefedu. Đome bið swiðe sweotol
 his agene geornfulnesse gesyngað umniedenga, ðonne bið swiðe sweotol ðæt he ðæt god na ne dyde ðær he hit for ðæm ege dorste forlætan.
 forwiernan ne na gelettan hiera unryhtwisnesse. Hie bioð to ðreageanne \& to swinganne mid swæ micle maran wite swæ hie ungefredelicor bio $\begin{aligned} & \text { aheardode on hiera un } \text { そeawum. Oft eac } \npreceq a \text { swylcan mon }\end{aligned}$ sceal forsion mid eallum forsewenissum, \& unweor $\begin{aligned} & \text { ian } \\ & \text { mid } æ l c r e ~ u n-~\end{aligned}$ weorłnesse, furłæm łætte sio forsewenes him ege \& ondrysnu on gebrenge, \& eft æfterðon ðæt hiene sio godcunde manung on wege gebrenge, \& hiene to hyhte gehwierfe. Đonne sint eac ðæm ilcan
 ðæm oncnawen, ðonne hie geðencen ðone ecean dom, to hwæm hiera agen wise wier $\gamma$. Eac hie sculon gehieran $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ret on him bið gefylled }\end{array}$ Salomonnes cwide pe he cwæ૪, he cwæ૪ : Đeah mon [ ra ] portige ૪one
the Spirit of the Lord is, there is freedom." If, then, any man refrains from his sins merely from fear of punishment, the mind of him who fears has no spiritual freedom, because, if he had not relinquished it from fear of punishment, he would doubtlessly have carried out the sin. The mind knows not that freedom is granted to it, when it is restrained by servile fear. Although a man has begun good from the fear of some punishment, yet he ought to finish from the love of something good. He who only does good because he fears the evil of some correction, wishes not to have cause to fear anything, that he may the more carelessly dare to do useless and unlawful things. It is very evident that his righteousness before God is lost, when he sins unnecessarily of his own desire, when it is very evident that he
 ege anum łæs wites，ðonne nafað łæs ondrædendan monnes mod nanne gastes freodom，forをæm，gif he hit for そæs wites ege ne forlete，
 5 bið freodôm forgiefen，Xonne hit bið gebunden mid đæs ðeowutes ege．Đeah monn nu good ongiune for sumes wites ege，hit mon sceal ðeah geendigêan for sumes gôdes lufum．Se خe for ðæm anum gôd deð ðæt he sumre ðreaunge yfel him ondrætt，se wilnað ðætte nan łing ne sie ðe he him ondrædan ૪yrfe，そæt he ૪y orsorglicor 10 dyrre dôn unnyttlicu $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing } \& ~ u n a l i f e d u . ~ Đ o n n e ~ b i ð ~ s u i ð e ~ s w e o t o l ~\end{aligned}$
 his agene geornfulnesse gesyngað unniedenga，夭onne bið suiłe sueotul
 Ongean $\nprec æ t$ ðonne sint to monianne ða menn 厄 suingellan ne magon 15 forwiernan ne na gelettan hiera unryhtwisnesse．Hie beoð to ðrea－ geanne \＆to swinganne mid swa micle maran wite sua hie ungefrede－ licor beoð aheardode on hiera unخeawum．Oft eac $\begin{aligned} & \text { a swelcan monn }\end{aligned}$ sceal forsion mid eallum forsewennessum，\＆unweor $\begin{aligned} & \text { ian mid } æ l c r e ~ u n-~\end{aligned}$ weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { nesse，} \text { for } \\ & \text { æm } \\ & \text { そætte sio } \\ & \text { forsewennes him ege } \& ~ o n d r y s n u ~ o n ~\end{aligned}$ 20 gebringe，\＆eft æfter ðæm ðæt hiene sio godcunde manung ôn wege gebringe，\＆hine to hyhte gehwierfe．Đonne sint eac خæm ilcan $^{\text {m }}$ monnram suiłe ðearllice to recceanne ða godcundan cwidas，ไæt hie bi ðam oncnawæn，ðonne hie gełencen ðone ecean dôm，to hwæm hiera agen wise wirð．Eac hie sculon gehieran $\Varangle æ$ t on him bið gefylled 25 Salomonnes cwide ðe he cwæð，he cwæð：Đeah ðu portige ðone dyse－
did not do good，when from fear he durst neglect it．On the other hand，the men are to be warned whom chastisement cannot hinder or restrain from their wickedness．They are to be rebuked and chas－ tised with so much the greater severity as they are more callously hardened in vice．Often also such men are to be despised with all possible contempt，and slighted with every slight，that the contempt may instil into them fear and reverence，and that afterwards the divine admonition may bring them on the road，and turn them to hope．Also the divine texts are to be vigorously quoted to such men， that they may learn from them to understand，when they consider the eternal doom，what their own prospects are．Let them also hear how in them is verified Solomon＇s saying；he said：＂Even if thou pound
dysegan on pilan，swæ mon corn de૪ mid pilstafe，ne meahððu his dysig him from adrifan．Đæt ilce sarette se witga，$\Varangle_{a} \succ_{a}$ he cwæ૪：Đu hie tobræce，\＆Xeah hie noldon underfôn ðine lare．Eft bi ðæm ilcan cwæ૪ Dryhten ：Ic ofslog $\mathrm{Xis}_{\text {is }}$ folc，\＆to forlore gedyde，\＆hie hie $\mathrm{Xeah}^{2}$ noldon onwendan from hiera won wegum，$\npreceq æ t$ is，from hiera yflum weorcum．Be ðæm ilcan eft cwæð se witga ：Đis fole nis no gewend to ðæm pe hie swingð．Ymb そæt ilce sargode se witga，swæ swæ god lareow deð，ðonne he his gingran swingð，gif hit him nauht ne for－ stent．Be ðæm cwæð se witga：We lacnedon Babylon，\＆hio そeah ne wearð gehæled．Đonne bið Babylon gelacnod，nealles $ð$ eah fullice gehæled，̌oune $\mathrm{Xes}_{\text {es }}$ monnes mod for his unryhtum willan \＆for his won weorcum gehier $\gamma$ scamlice ðreaunga，\＆scondlice swingellan underfehð，\＆久eahhwædre oferhygð ðæt he gecirre to beteran．Đæt ilce eac Dryhten orwat Israhela folce，‘a hie wæron gehergode \＆of hiera earde alædde，\＆swæðeah noldon geswican hiera yflena weorca， ne hie noldon awendan of hiera wôn wegum ；ða cwæð Dryhten：Đis Israhela folc is geworden nu me to sindrum \＆to are \＆to tine \＆to iserne \＆to leade inne on minum ofne．Swelce he openlice cwæde： Ic hie wolde geclænsian mid $\not$ æm gesode ðæs broces，\＆wolde Łæt hie wurden to golde \＆to siolofre，ac hie wurdon gehwierfde inne on ðæm ofne to are \＆to tine \＆to iserne \＆to leade，for $\begin{aligned} \text { æmpe hie noldon }\end{aligned}$ on Łæm geswincum hie selfe gecirran to nyttum خingum，ac ðurh－ wunedon on hiera unðeawum．Witodlice łæt ar，łonne hit mon slihð，hit bið hludre 夭onne ænig ołer andweorc．Swæ bið ðæm pe
the fool in a mortar，like corn with a pestle，thou wilt not be able to expel from him his folly．＂The same the prophet lamented，saying ： ＂Thou shatteredst them，and yet they would not receive thine in－ struction．＂Again，the Lord spoke about the same thing：＂I slew and destroyed this people，and yet they would not turn from their bad ways，＂that is，from their evil works．Of the same thing，again，the prophet spoke：＂This people has not returned to its chastiser．＂The prophet was grieved about the same thing，as a good teacher is，when he chastises his disciple，if it does not profit him．Of which the prophet spoke：＂We physicked Babylon，but without curing her．＂ Babylon is physicked，but not restored to complete health，when a man＇s mind，because of his wicked desires and perverse works，hears
 him from adrifan. Đæt ilce sarette se witga, ða ða he cwæð : Đu hie tobræce, \& ðeah hie noldon underfôn خine lare. Eft bi ðæm ilcan cwæð Dryhten : Ic ofslog ðis folc, \& to forlore gedyde, \& hie hie خeah 5 noldon ônwendan from hiera woom wegum, خæt is, from hiera yfelum weorcum. Bi ðæm ilcan eft cwæð se witga: Đis folc nis no gewend to $\begin{array}{r}\text { m } \\ \text { Øe hie swingð. Ymb } ð æ t ~ i l c e ~ s a r g o d e ~ s e ~ w i t g a, ~ s u a ~ s u a ~ g o ̂ d ~\end{array}$ lareow deð, ðonne he his gingran suingð, gif hit him nauht ne forstent. Be ૪æm cwæð se witga: We lacnodon Babylôn, \& hio ðeah 10 ne wear $\gamma$ gehæled. Đonne bið Babylon gelacnad, nales $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah fullice }\end{aligned}$ gehæled, ðonne $\not$ ææs monnes môd for his unryhtum willan \& for his wôn weorcum gehierð sceamlice łreaunga, \& sceandlice suingellan underfehð, \& ðeahhwæðre oferhygð ðæt he gecierre to bettran. Đæt ilce eac Dryhten orwat Israhela folce, $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hie wæron gehergeode \& of 15 hiera earde alædde, \& swaðeah noldon gesuican hiera yfelena weorca, ne hie noldon awendan of hiera won wegum ; ða cwað Dryhten : Điss Israhela folc is geworden nû me to sindrum \& to are \& to tine \& to iserne \& to leade inne on minum ofne. Suelce he openlice cwæde:
 20 wurden to golde \& to seol[u]fre, ac hie wurdon gehwierfde inne on
 on ðæm gesuincium hie selfe gecirran to nyttum ðingum, ac ðurhwunedon on hiera ûnそeawum. Witodlice そæt âr, ðonne hit mon slihð, hit bið hludre ðonne ænig ołer ondweorc. Sua bið ðæm ðe
shameful reproaches, and receives disgraceful castigation, and yet scorns reformation. With the same also the Lord reproached the people of Israel, when their lands were ravaged, and themselves led away, and yet they would not cease their wicked deeds, nor turn from their perverse courses ; the Lord said: "This my people of Israel has been turned to scoriæ, and bronze, and tin, and iron, and lead in my furnace." As if he had openly said: "I wished to refine them with the liquefaction of affliction, and wished to transmute them to gold and silver, but they were converted in the furnace into bronze, and tin, and iron, and lead, because they would not in their troubles turn to profitable pursuits, but continued in their vices." Bronze, when struck, is the most sonorous substance there is. So he who mourns greatly
swiðe gnornað on đære godcundan swingellan，he bið on middum ðæm ofne gecierred to are．Đæt tin $\begin{gathered}\text { onne，} \\ \text { onne he hit mon mid sumum }\end{gathered}$ crefte gemeng $\gamma$ ，\＆to tine gewyrč，ðonne bið hit swiłe leaslice on siolofres hiewe．Swæ hwa łonne swæ licet on ðære swingellan，he
 gelic inne on $犭 æ m$ ofne，se pe for 犭ære swingellan nyle his $\begin{aligned} & \text { weorscipe }\end{aligned}$ forlætan，ac ofann his niehstan his lifes．Đæt lead ૪onne is hefigre Konne ænig ołer andweorc．Forły bið inne on Xæm ofne geworden to leade se se pe swæ bið geðryced［geðrysced］mid ðære hefignesse his synna Łæt he furðum on さæm broce nyle alætan his geornfulnesse \＆ðas eorðlecan wilnunga．Be ðæm ilcan is eft awriten ：Đær wæs swiłe swiðlic geswinc，\＆ðær wæs micel swat agoten，\＆ðeah ne meahte mon him of animan ðone miclan rust，ne furðum mid fire ne meahte hine mon aweg adôn．Hie us stiereð mid fyres broce，for－
 for ไæm broce ðæs fyres nyllað alætan from us ðæt rust đara unnyttra weorca，久onne we on Yære swingellan nyllað gebetan ure unðeawas． Be $\not$ ææm cwæð eft se witga：Idel wæs se blawere，for $\not æ m$ hiera awiergdan weore ne wurdon from him asyndrede．Eac is to wietonne خætte oft ðæm bið gestiered mid manðwærlicre manunga，ðæm pe man mid heardre swingellan gecierran ne mæg，\＆ða pe ne magon łrowunga gestieran yfelra weorca，oft hie hie forlætał for liðelicre oliccunga， swæ swæ ða siocan，$\Varangle_{a}$ pe man oft ne mæg gelacnian mid ðæm drencium strongra wyrta gemanges，$\chi_{a}$ ful oft bioð mid wlacum wætre gelacnode，\＆on đære ilcan hælo gebrohte pe he ær hæfdon．Swæ
under the divine castigation，is turned into bronze in the midst of the furnace．Tin，when scientifically compounded and made into tin，has a colour deceptively like that of silver．Whoever，then，behaves hypo－ critically under his castigation，resembles the tin in the furnace．He is like iron in the furnace，who will not cease from perversity under affliction，but grudges his neighbour his life．Lead is the heaviest of substances ；therefore he is turned into lead in the furnace who is so oppressed with the weight of his sins that not even under affliction will he give up his lusts and earthly desires．Of the same is again written ：＂There was great toil and expenditure of sweat，and yet the great rust could not be cleaned off them，not even with fire．＂He
suiðe gnornað on $\begin{array}{r}\text { ære } \\ \text { godcundan suingellan，he bið on middu } m \text { そæm } \\ \hline\end{array}$ ófne gecirred to âre．Đæt tin łonne，Xonne（hit mon）mid sumum cræfte gemeng $x$ ，\＆to tine gewyrč，Xonne bið hit swiðe leaslice on siolufres hiewe．Sua hwa ðonne sua licet on łære swingellan，he
 gelic inne on خæm ofne，se ðe for ðære suingellan nyle his $\chi_{\text {weorscipe }}$ forlætan，ac ofán his nihstan his lifes．Đæt lead ðonne is hefigre

 10 he furðum on łæm broce nyle alætan his geornfulnesse \＆ðas eorðlican wilnunga．Bi ðæm ilcan is eft awriten：Đær wæs suiðe suiðlic gesuinc，\＆そær wæs micel swat agoten，\＆Xeah ne meahte monn him of animan ðone miclan rust，ne furðum mid fyre ne meahte hiene mon aweg adón．He us stiereł mid fyres broce，for－ 15 ðæmðe he wolde from ûs adon 夭one rust urra unðeawa，ac we ðeah for ðæm broce さæs fyres nyllað âlætan from ûs ðæt rust ðara unnyttra weorca，ðonne we ôn そære suingellan nyllað gebetan ure uňeawas． Be ðæm cwæð eft se witga：Idel wæs se blawere，forðon hiera awi［e］rgdan weore ne wurdon from him asyndred．Eac is to witanne 20 ૪ætte oft $\not$ ðæm bið gestiered mid manðwærlicre manunga，そæm $\chi_{e}$
 łrouunga gestieran yfelra weorca，eft hie hie forlætað for liðelicre olicunga，sua sua $\succ_{a}$ seocan，$\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ mon oft［ne mæg］gelacnian mid $\Varangle æ m$ drenc［i］um strangra wyrta gemanges，$\chi_{a}$ ful oft beo $\chi_{\text {mid }}$ 25 wlacu $m$ watre gelacnode，\＆on خære ilcan hælo gebrohte $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { e hie ær }\end{aligned}$
corrects us with fiery affliction，because he would clean off from us the rust of our vices ；but we will not let go the rust of unprofitable works under the fiery affliction，when under chastisement we will not reform our vices．Of which the prophet spoke again：＂The blower was useless，because their accursed works could not be parted from them．＂It is also to be known that those are often managed with humane admonition，who cannot be converted with severe chastisement ； and those who cannot be kept from evil works by suffering，often give them up for gentle flattery：as the sick，who often cannot be cured with draughts of mixtures of strong herbs，are often cured with tepid water，and restored to their former state of health．So also are
bioł eac ful oft $\Varangle_{a}$ wunda mid ele gehælda，$\searrow_{a}$ pe mon mid gesnide gebetan ne meahte．And eac se hearda stan，se pe ałamans hatte，ðone mon mid nane isene ceorfan ne mæg，gif his mon hrin久［onhrinð］mid buccan blode，he hnescað ongean ðæt liðe blod to đæm swǐe đæt hiene se cræftega wyrcean mæg to đæm pe he wile．

> XXXVIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sindon to manianne ða pe to swiðe $^{\text {pon }}$ swigge bioð，on oðre wisan $ð a$ pe willað to fela idles \＆unnyttes gesprecan．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} & \text { a swiðe swiggean，on ołre wisan }\end{aligned}$ $\Varangle_{a}$ pe bioð aidlode on oferspræce．Đa swiðe swiggean mon sceal læran ðætte hie，ðonne łonne hie sumne unðeaw unwærlice fleox，そæt hie ne sien to wiersan gecierde，\＆ðæron befealdne，swæ him oft gebyreð， ðonne hie hiera tungan ungemetlice gemidliað 犭æet hie beoð micle
 ðære swiggean hiera geðohtas bioð aweallene on hiera mode，forてæm hie hie selfe nieda久 to healdonne ungemetlice swiggean，\＆fortæm bio $\gamma$ swiłe geðrycte．Forðæm gebyreð oft ðæt hie bioł swæ micle unge－ stæð૪elicor toflowene on hiera mode swæ hie wenað łæt hie stilran \＆ orsorgran beon mægen for hiera swiggean．Ac fortæmpe mon ne mæg utane on him ongietan for hiera swiggean hwæt mon tæle，hio bioð innane oft ahafene on ofermettum，swæ $\searrow æ{ }^{2}$ hie $\nless a$ felasprecan forsioð， \＆hie for nauht dox，\＆ne ongietał na hu swǐe hie onlucał hiera
 Xeah sio tunge eałmodlice licge，そæt mod bið swǐe upahafen，\＆swæ
wounds very often healed with oil，which are not improved by lancing； and also the hard mineral called adamant，which no steel can cut，if sprinkled with the blood of a he－goat，softens so much with the liquid blood that the workman can make what he likes of it．

XXXVIII．That those who are too silent are to be admonished in one way，in another those who are given to speaking too much that is useless and unprofitable．
The very silent are to be admonished in one way，in another those who waste themselves with loquacity．The very silent are to be advised，when they avoid a vice incautiously，not to turn to a worse one and involve themselves therein，as it often happens to them that，
hæfdon．Sua beo ${ }^{\text {eac }}$ ful oft $\succ_{a}$ wunda mid ele gehælda，$\chi_{a} \succ_{e}$ mon mid gesnide gebetan ne meahte．\＆eac se hearda stân，se ðe ałamans
 mid buccan blode，he hnescað ôngêan ðæt lǐe blod to $\not$ ææm suiðe ðæt 5 hine se cræftega wyrcean mæg to ðæm ไe he wile．

XXXVIII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manienne $^{a}$ ðe to swiðe
 \＆unnyttes gespræcan．

On oðre wisan sint to monianne $\Varangle_{a}$ suiłe suigean，on ołre wisan $10 \succ_{a}$ ðe beoð aidlode on oferspræce．Đa suiðe suigean mon sceal læran そætte hie，ðonne ðonne hie sumne unðeaw unwærlice fleoð，そæt hie ne sien to wyrsan gecirde，\＆łærôn befealdne，sua him oft gebyreð，夭onne hie hiora tungan ungemetlice gemidliað łret hie beoð micle hefiglicor gedrefde on hiera heortan ðonne $\succ_{a}$ oferspræcean，for $\ngtr m$ for
 hie hie selfe nidað to healdonne ungemetlice swigean，\＆for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { beo } ð ~\end{aligned}$ suiðe forðrycte．Forðæm gebyreð oft $\npreceq æ t$ hie beoð sua micle unge－ stæð૪elicor toflowene ôn hiera môde sua hie wenað そæt hie stilran \＆ orsorgtran beon mægen for hiera suigean．Ac for $\not æ m$ re môn ne mæg 20 utane on him ongietan for hiera suigean hwæt mon tæle，hie beor innane oft âhafene on ofermettum，swa ðæt hie ða felasprecan forseoð， \＆hie for nauht dổ，\＆ne óngietað na hu suiðe hie onlucað hiera
 ðeah sio tunge eałmodlice licge，đæt môd bið suiðe upảhafen，\＆sua
when they excessively restrain their tongues，they are much more severely afflicted in their hearts than the loquacious，since their thoughts boil in their hearts because of their silence，since they compel themselves to preserve excessive silence，and are therefore greatly troubled．Therefore it often happens that they are so much the more distracted in their minds with vacillation，the quieter and securer they expect to be able to be with their silence．But since we cannot find anything in their outward demeanour to blame，because of their silence，they are often internally elated，so as to despise the loquacious and count them as nought，and do not understand how much they open up their heart with the vice of pride，although they keep their bodily mouth shut；though the tongue lies humbly still，the heart is
micle freolicor he tæl> on his ingeðonce ealle oðre men swæ he læs ongiett his agene uncysta. Eac sint to manian $\succ_{a}$ swiłe swiggean ðæt hie geornlice tilien to witanne $\begin{gathered}\text { æt }\end{gathered}$ him nis na $\chi_{æ s}$ anes $\chi_{e a r f}$ to Xenceanne hwelce hie hie selfe utane eowigen mannum, ac him is micle
 Xæt hie swiður him ondræden for hiera geðohtum Xone diglan Deman, そe hie ealle wat, ðomne hie him ondræden for hiera wordum \& dædum hiera geferena tælinge. Hit is awriten on Salomonnes cwidum : Sunu min , ongiet minne wisdom \& minne wærscipe, \& beheald $\begin{aligned} \text { in eagan }\end{aligned}$ \& $\begin{aligned} & i n n e ~ e a r a n ~ t o ~ \\ & \text { Xæm } \\ & \chi_{æ t t e} \\ & \chi_{u} \\ & \text { mæge } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { gěoht } \\ & \text { gehealdan. }\end{aligned}$
 for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hit gewit swæ oft from us swæ us unnytte gěohtas to cumað, }\end{aligned}$ \& æfter ælcum ðara toflewð. Be Łæm cwæð se psalmscop : Min mod \& min wisdom me forlet. And eft he gehwearf to him selfum, \& wearð on his agenum gewitte, \& cwæð: Đin ૪eow hæf૪ nu funden his wisdom, $\chi_{æ t}$ is $\chi_{\text {at }}$ he hiene gebidde to $\succ_{e}$. For $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text {, } \\ \text { onne monn }\end{array}$ his mod gehæft, $\Varangle_{æ t} \succ_{æ t}$ hit ær gewunode to fleonne hit gemett. Oft eac $\Varangle_{a}$ swiðe swiggean, $\chi_{o n n e ~ h i e ~ m o n i g e ~ u n n y t t e ~ g e ~}^{\text {gohtas }}$ innan
 ut ne sprecað, \& hwilum gebyreð, gif he hit gedæftelice asægð, ðæt he mid $\Varangle y$ his sorge gebett. Hwæt we wieton $\Varangle æ t$ sio diegle wund bið
 gif hit bið utforlæten, Xonne bið sio wund geopenod to hælo $\begin{gathered}\text { ææs sares. }\end{gathered}$

greatly elated, and the less he notices his own vices the more freely he blames all other men in his heart. The very silent are also to be admonished eagerly to strive to understand that it is not only necessary for them to consider how they are to display themselves outwardly to men, but it is much more necessary for them to consider how they are to display themselves internally to God; and that they are more to dread the secret Judge who knows them all, on account of their thoughts, than the blame of their companions for their words and deeds. It is written in the Proverbs of Solomon: "My son, attend to my wisdom and prudence, and direct thine eyes and ears to being able to guard thy thoughts." For there is nothing in us more restless and changeable than the mind, for it departs from us as often as vain
micle freolicor he tæl＞on his ingeðonce ealle orre menn sua he læs ongitt his agene uncysta．Eac sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ suiðe suigean ðæt hie geornlice tiligen to wietanne ðæt him nis na ðæs anes ðearf to Xenceanne hwelce hie hie selfe utane eowien mannu $m$ ，ac him is micle 5 mare ไearf łæt hie geðencen hwelce hi hie innan geeowigen Gode，\＆ Kæt hi swiðor him ondreden for hiera ge Khhtum $^{\text {Kone diglan Deman，}}$ ðe hie ealle wât，ðoune hie him êndræden wið hiera wordum \＆dædum hiera geferena tælinge．Hit is awriten on Salomonnes cwidum：Sunu min，ongiet minne wisdôm \＆minne wærscipe，\＆behald đin eagean
 ðæm nan wuht nis on us unstilre \＆ungestæððigre ðonne łæt mód， forðæm hit gewitt sua oft fram us sua us unnytte ge $\begin{aligned} & \text { ohtas to cumar，}\end{aligned}$ \＆æfter ælcum ðara toflew૪．Be ðæm cwæð se psalmsceop ：Min môd \＆min wisdôm me forlet．\＆eft he gehwearf to him selfum，\＆wear久 15 ôn his agenum gewitte，\＆cwæ૪：Đin łeow hæfخ nû funden his wisdôm，ไæt is Łæt he hine gebidde to そe．Forłæm，ðonne monn his mod gehæft，ðæt Xæt hit ær gewunode to fleonne hit gemét．Oft

 20 ut ne sprecað，ond hwilum gebyreð，gif he hit gedæftelice asæg久，ðæt he mid $\searrow \mathrm{y}$ his sorge gebet．Hwæt we wieton $\searrow$ æt sio diegle wund bið
 gif hit bið utforlæten，đonne bið sio wund geopenod to hælo Łæs sares．

thoughts approach us，and is dissipated by each of them．Of which spoke the Psalmist ：＂My mind and wisdom have forsaken me．＂And afterwards he returned to himself，and regained his wits，and said： ＂Now thy servant has found his wisdom，that is，praying to thee．＂ Therefore，when a man restrains his mind，it finds that which it formerly used to avoid．Often also the very silent，when they have many unprofitable thoughts internally，they cause them all the more internal pain if they do not speak them out ；and sometimes it happens that，if they speak them out properly，they thus relieve their grief． We know that the hidden is more painful than the open wound，for when the matter which collects in it is allowed to escape，the wound is opened and the pain relieved．Those who are more silent than they
sorge ne geiecen mid $\ngtr y$ ไæt hie hiera tungan gehealden. Eac hie sint to manianne, gif hie hiera nihstan lufien swæ swæ hie selfe, ðæt hie him ne helen forhwy hie hie tælen on hiera ge夭ohtum [geðohte], for $\not æ m$ sio spræc cymð hiera ægðrum to hælo, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mpe } \\ \text { hio } æ g ð e r ~\end{aligned}$ ge $ð æ t$ gehwelede on $犭 æ m$ ołrum geopenað \& utforlætt, ðæt he wierð ðonan gehæled, ge ðone oðerne gelær૪, \& his unðeawa gestierð. Se
 swæ swæ se læce pe gesceawað his freondes wunde, \& nyle hie ðonne gelacnian. Hu, ne bił he łonne swelce he sie his slaga, ðonne he hiene mæg gehælan, \& nyle? Fortæm is sio tunge gemetlice to midlianne, nalles ungemetlice to gebindanne. Be ðæm is awriten : Se wisa swugað, oð he ongitt ðæet him bið bettre to sprecanne. Nis hit nan wundor, خeah he swugige, \& bide his timan, ac ðonne he nytwyrðne timan ongit to sprecanne, he forsieh $\nearrow$ ða swiggean, \& spryc $ð$ eall $ð æ t$ he nytwyrðes ongiet to sprecanne. Ond eft hit is awriten on Salomonnes bocum, خæm pe Ecclesiastis hatton, Xætte hwilum sie spræce tiid, hwilum swiggean. Forłæm is gesceadwislice to ðenceanne hwelcum tidum him gecopust sie to sprecanne, ðætte, Xonne ðonne he sprecan wille, he his tungan gehealde $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { æt } \\ & \text { hio ne racige on unnytte }\end{aligned}$ spræce, ne eft ne aseolce ไær he nytt spræcan mæg. Be đæm swiðe wel cwæð se psalmscop: Gesete Dryhten hierde minum muðe \& ða duru gestæð૪ignesse. Ne bæed he no ðæt he hiene mid ealle fortynde mid gehale wage, ac he bæd dura to, Xæt he meahte hwilum ontynan, hwilum betynan. Đy we sculon geleornian ðæt we swiðe wærlice
ought to be must also know that they are not to increase their trouble by holding their tongue. They are also to be admonished, if they love their neighbours as themselves, not to conceal from them the reason of their blaming them in their mind, since speech is beneficial to both of them, because it both opens and lets out the inflammation in the one, and heals him, and teaches the other and restrains him from vices. He, then, who perceives any evil in his neighbour, and keeps silent about it, acts like the surgeon who looks at his friend's wound and will not cure it. How, is he not as it were his murderer, when he can cure him and will not? Therefore the tongue is to be moderately bridled, not to be bound immoderately. Of which it is written: "The wise man is silent, till he perceives that it is more profitable for him to speak."
sorge ne geiecen mid $\nsucc y$ łæt hie hiora tungan gehealden. Eac hie sint to manianne, gif hie hiera nihstan lufien swa sua hie selfe, $\not$ æt hie him ne helen forhwy hi hie tælen on hiera geðohte, forそæm sio spræc cymð hiora ægðrum to hælo, forðamðe hie ægðer ge ไæt
 gehæled, ge ðone ołerne geler૪, \& his unđeawa gestierð. Se Xe Xonne hwæt yfeles ongict on his nihstan, \& hit forswugax, he dér sua sua se læce خe gesceawał his freondes wunde, \& nyle hie Conne gelacnigan. Hu, ne bił he łonne swelce he sie his slaga, Xonne he 10 hine mæg gehælan, \& nyle? Forðæm is sio tunge gemetlice to midligánne, nales ungemetlice to gebindanne. Be ðæm is awriten : Se wisa suigað, or he ongiet $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { rt him bið nyttre to sprecanne. Nis }\end{aligned}$ hit nan wundur, Xeah he swûgie, \& bide his timan, ac łonne he
 15 eall ðæt he nytwyrðes óngiet to sprecanne. \& eft hit is awriten on Salomonnes bocum, ðæm ðe Ecclesiastis hatton, ðætte hwilum sie spræce tiid, hwilum swigean. For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ is gesceadwislice to ðenceanne hwelcum tidum him gecopust sie to sprecanne, ไætte, ไonne ไonne he sprecan wille, he his tungan gehealde ðæt hio ne racige on unnytte 20 spræca, ne eft ne aseolce ðær he nytt sprecan mæg. Be đæm suiðe wêl cwæð se psalmsceop: Gesete Dryhten hirde minum muðe \& ða duru gestæððignesse. Ne bæd he no łæt he hine elle fortynde mid gehalê wage, ac he bæd dura to, ðæt he meahte hwilum ontynan, hwilum betynan. Đy we sculon geleornian ðæt we suiłe wærlice

It is no wonder that he is silent, and waits his time ; but when he perceives that it is a profitable time for speaking, he disregards silence and speaks all that he sees to be profitable to speak. And again, it is written in the books of Solomon which are called Ecclesiastes, that it is sometimes time for speech, sometimes for silence. Therefore he must sagaciously consider when it is profitable for him to speak, that, when he desires to speak, he may restrain his tongue, lest it be directed to unprofitable speeches, or, on the other hand, be idle when he can speak what is profitable. Of which the Psalnist spoke very well : "May the Lord put a guard over my mouth, and the door of constancy." He did not pray him to enclose him entirely with a whole wall, but he prayed that a door might be added, that he might
gecope tiid aredigen，\＆ðonne sio stefn gesceadwislice ðone mu૪ ontyne，\＆eac $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ tiid gesceadwislice aredigen pe sio swigge hiene betynan scyle．Ongean $\chi_{\text {ret }}$ sint to læronne $\chi_{a}$ oferspræcan $\chi_{æ t}$ hie wacorlice ongieten from hu micclre ryhtwisnesse hie bior gewietene， ðonne hie on monigfaldum wordum slidriað．Ac ðæt mennisce mod hæf $\begin{aligned} & \text { wetres } \\ & \text { Xeaw．} \\ & \text { ．}\end{aligned}$ uppað \＆fundað wið ðæs pe hit ær from com，ðonne hit flowan ne mot łider hit wolde．Ac gif sio pynding wierł onpennad，ołðe sio wering wier $\Varangle$ tobrocen，Xonne toflew hit eall，\＆ne wierð to nanre nytte， buton to fenne．Swæ deð łæs monnes mod，犭onne hit gesceadwislice ne can his swiggean gehealdan，ac hit abricð ut on idle oferspræce，\＆ wierð swæ monigfealdlice on خ̌æm todæled，swelce hit eall lytlum riðum torinne，\＆ut of him selfum aflowe，そæt hit［eft added］ne mæge inn to his agnum ondgiete \＆to his ingeðonce gecierran．Đæt łonne bi九 fortæmpe hit bił todæled on to monigfalda spræca，swelce he self hiene selfne ute betyne from Łære smeaunga his agenes innge－ Xonces，\＆swæ nacodne hiene selfne eowige to wundianne his feondum， for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mpe }\end{aligned}$ he ne bił belocen mid nanum gehieldum nanes fæstennes． Swæ hit awriten is on Salomonnes cwidum ðætte se mon se fe ne mæg his tungan gehealdan sie gelicost openre byrg，خære pe mid nane wealle ne bið ymbworht．Fořæm sio burg ðæs modes，pe mid nanre swiggean ne bið betyned sceal swiðe oft gefredan hiere feonda speru， for $æ$ æm hio ætieweð hie selfe swiðe opene hiere fiondum，ðonne hio hie selfe toweorpe $\begin{gathered}\text { ut of hiere selfre mid unnyttum wordum，\＆hio }\end{gathered}$ bið micle to［pe］ieðre to oferfeohtanne pe hio self fiht wið hie selfe
sometimes open，sometimes shut．Thereby we must learn to arrange very cautiously a proper time，and when the voice is to open the mouth prudently，and also to arrange sagaciously the time when silence is to close it．On the contrary，the loquacious are to be taught to note carefully from how great virtue they have departed，when they slip about among many words．The human mind has the properties of water．When water is dammed up，it increases and rises and strives after its original place，when it cannot flow whither it would．But if the dam is thrown open or the weir bursts，it runs off，and is wasted， and becomes mud．So does the mind of man when it cannot preserve a rational silence，but bursts out into idle loquacity，and so is diverted various ways，as if it were all dispersed in little rivulets，and had
gecope tiid aredigen，\＆Xonne sio stemn gesceadwislice 犭one muð óntyne，\＆eac ða tid gesceadwislice aredigen $\chi_{e}$ sio suige hine be－ tynan scyle．Ongean $ð æ$ sint to læranne $㐅_{a}$ oferspræcean $\succ_{æ t}$ hie wacorlice ongieten fram hu micelre ryhtwisnesse hie beor gewietene， 5 ðonne hie on monigfealdum wordum slidrigað．Ac đæt mennisce mód haef $\gamma$ wætres Xeaw．Đæt wæter，ðonne hit bið gepynd，hit miclað \＆
 خider hit wolde．Ac gif sio pynding wier＇onpennad，ołte sio wering wir｀tobrocen，ðonne toflew $\gamma$ hit eall，\＆ne wier $\gamma$ to nanre nytte， 10 buton to fenne．Sua de $૪$ そæs monnes（mod），તonne hit gesceadwislice ne cán his swigean gehealdan，ac hit abricð ût on idle ofersprece，\＆ wier $\gamma$ swa monigfealdlice on そæm todæled，suelce hit eall lytlum riðum torinne，\＆ut of him selfum âflowe，ðæt hit eft ne mæge in to his agnum ondgiete \＆to his ingełonce gecirran．Đætte ne bił for－ 15 ðæmðe hit bi૪ todæled \＆to monigfealda spræca，suelce he self hine selfne ute betyne from ðære smeaunga his agnes ingeðonces，\＆sua nacodne hine selfne eowige to wundigeanne his feondum，for $\gamma æ>$ 成e he ne bið belocen mid nanum gehieldum nanes fæstenes．Swa hit âwriten is on Salomonnes cwidum ไætte se mon se ไe ne mæg his 20 tungan gehealdan sie gelicost openre byrig，そære そe mid nane wealle ne bið ymbworht．Fořæm sio burg さæs modes，そe mid nanre suigean ne bið bityned sceal suiðe oft gefredan hiere feonda spêru， forðæm hio ætiewe hie selfe suiðe opene hiere feondum，夭onne hio hie selfe toweorpe $\gamma$ ut of hiere selfre mid unnyttum wordum，\＆hio

flowed out of himself，so that it cannot return again into his own understanding and mind．That is because it is diverted into too manifold speeches，as if he had externally shat himself out from the meditation of his own mind，and so exposed himself naked to the wounds of his foes，because he is not enclosed in any defences of a fortress．As it is written in the Proverbs of Solomon，that the man who cannot restrain his tongue is most like an open city，which is not circumvallated．Therefore the city of the mind which is not enclosed in any silence must very often experience the spears of its foes， because it lays itself very open to its foes when it throws itself out of itself with useless words，and it is much the easier to overcome， because it fights against itself with loquacity，helping the adversary ；
mid oferspræce to fultome ðæm wiðfeohtende，for｀æm hio bið oft ofer－ fohten butan ælcum geswince．Oft ðonne ðæt hefige mod glit nioðor \＆ niołor stæpmælum on unnyttum wordum，o૪ hit mid ealle afiel l ，\＆to nauhte wier૪；forðæm hit ær hit nolde behealdan wið unnyt word， hit seeal łonne niedinga afeallan for ðæm slide．Et ærestum lyst ðone mon unnyt sprecan be ołrum monnum，\＆Xonne æfter firste hiene lyst tælan \＆slitan Xara lif butan scylde pe he خonne ymbspric $ð$ ， orðæt hit on last of his tungan utabirst to openum bismere ðæm
 towesnes，\＆of خære towesnesse bið خæt fyr ouæled ðære fiounga，\＆ sio fioung adwæsç ða sibbe．Be Łæm wæs swiłe wel gecweden ૪urh Xone wisan Salomon，Xætte se se pe Xæt wæter utforlete wære fruma خære towesnesse．Se forlætt ut خæt wæter，se pe his tungan stefne on unnyttum wordum læt toflowan．Ac se wisa Salomon sæde ðætte swiłe deop pôl wære gewered on ðæs wisan monnes mode，\＆swixe lytel unnyttes utaflcowe［utfleowe］．Ac se se pe 夭one wer brič，\＆ ðæt wæter utforlæt，se bið fruma 犭æs geflites．Đæt is Xonne se je his tungan ne gemidlað，se towierpð ammodnesse．Eft cwæð Salomon ： Se gemetgað ierre，se pe ðone dysegan hæt geswugian．Fořæm se næfre ne mæg ryhtwisnesse \＆gesceadwisnesse healdan，se pe ofer－ sprecol biخ．Đæt taenode se psalmscop，ða he cwæ૪ ：Se oferspreca wer ne wierł he næfre geryht ne gelæred on خisse worlde．Eft cwæð Salomon be ðæm ilean ：Ne bið næfre sio oferspræc buton synne．Be ðæm ewæð eac Isaias se witga，he ewæð ðætte sio swigge wære［とære added］ryhtwisnesse fultom \＆midwyrhta．Đæt ðonne taenał 〕ætte
therefore it is often overcome without any trouble．Often，then，the heavy mind slips down lower and lower by degrees in useless words， until it falls altogether，and becomes nought ；because it would not formerly guard against useless words，it must therefore fall when it slips．At first a man takes pleasure in talking frivolity about others， and then after a time lie likes to blame and backbite their lives without any fault of those he talks about，until at last it bursts forth from his tongue into open reviling of the others．Thus he sows the thorn of envy，until therefrom grows discord，and by discord the fire of hatred is kindled，and hatred extinguishes peace．Of whieh was very well said through the wise Solomon，that he who lets out the water is the cause of discord．He lets out the water，who allows the
mid oferspræce to fultome Łæm wiðfeohtende，fořæm hio bið oft oferfohten butan ælcum gesuince．Oft łonne Łæt hefige mod glit niłor \＆niðor strpmælum on unnyttum wordum，or hit mid ealle afiel $\gamma, \&$ to nauhte wir૪；fol $૪ æ m$ hit ær hit nolde behealdan wið 5 unnyt word，hit sceal ðonne niedinga afeallan for $犭$ Øm slide．不t ærestum lyst đone mônn unnyt sprecan be ołrum monnum，\＆Xonne æfter firste hine lyst tælan \＆slitan đara lif butan scylde خe he ðonne ymbs［p］ricd，otðæt hit on last of his tungan utabirst to openum

 feounga，\＆sio feoung adwæscð ða sibbe．Be łæm wæs suiðe wel gecweden Xurh ðone wisan Salomon，̌æette se se Łæt wæter utforlete wære fruma łære towesnesse．Se forlæt ut ðæt wæter，se ðe his tungan stemne on unnyttum wordum lætt toflowan．Ac se wisa Salo－ 15 mon sæde Xætte suiðe deop pól wære gewered on ðæs wisan monnes móde，\＆suǐe lytel unnyttes utfleowe．Ac se se خe خone wér brič，\＆ そæt wæter utforlæt，se bið fruma そæs geflites．Đæt is $\begin{gathered}\text { Konne se } \\ \text { ̌e }\end{gathered}$ his tungan ne gemidlað，se towierpð ammodnesse．Eft cwæð Salomon： Se gemetgað irre，se ðe ðône disigan hætt geswugian．Forðæm se 20 næfre ne mæg ryhtwisnesse \＆gesceadwisnesse healdan，se خe ofer－ sprecol bix．Đæt tacnode se salmsceop，તa he cwæ૪：Se oferspræcea wêr ne wier $\gamma$ he næfre gerylt ne gelæred on 犭isse worlde．Eft cwæð Salomon bi łæm ilcan：Ne bið næfre sio oferspræc butan synne．Bi ðæm cwæð eac Essaias se witga，he cwæð łætte sio suyge wære 25 ðære rylhtwisnesse fultum \＆midwyrhta．Đæt ðonne tacnað そætte
voice of his tongue to be dissipated in useless words．The wise Solomon said that a very deep pool is weired in the wise man＇s mind， and very little of what is useless flows out．He who breaks the weir and lets out the water is the cause of strife．That is，he who bridles not his tongue is he who destroys concord．Again，Solomon said：＂He moderates anger who bids the fool be silent．＂Therefore he who is loquacious can never preserve virtue and wisdom．That the Psalmist showed when he said：＂The loquacious man will never be corrected or taught in this world．＂Again，Solomon spoke of the same： ＂Loquacity is never without sin．＂Of which also Isaiah the prophet spoke，saying that silence is the support and helper of virtue．That signifies that the virtue of the mind which will never refrain from
ðæs modes ryhtwisnes bið toflowen，pe nyle forhabban $\chi_{a}$ ungemet－ godan spræce．Be ðæm cwæð Iacobus se apostol：Gif hwa tiohhað ðæt he æfæst sie，\＆nyle gemidlian his tungan，đæt mod liehð him selfum，forðæm his æfestnes bið swiðe idlu．And eft he cwæð：Sie æghwelc mon swiðe hræd \＆swiðe geornfull to gehieranne，\＆swiðe læt to sprecanne．Eft be ‘æm ilcan he gecyðde hwæt ðære tungan mægen is，he cwæ૪ ðæt hio wære swiðe unstille，yfel \＆deałberendes atres full．And eft us manode sio Soðfæstnes $\begin{aligned} & \text { urh } \\ & \text { he selfe，} ૪ æ t ~ i s ~\end{aligned}$ Crist，he cwar久：Nlees unnyttes wordes 夭ara pe men sprecał hie sculon eft ryht awyrcean on domes dæge．Đæt bið ðonne openlice unnyt word，ðætte gesceadwise men ne magon ongietan łæt hit belimpe to ryhtwislicre \＆to nytwyrðlicre ðearfe auðer oððe eft uferran dogore ołre ðonne．Gif we ðonne sculon ryht agyldan umnyttra worda，hwelc wite wene we ðæt se felaspræca scyle habban pe simle on oferspræce syngar？

XXXIX．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { pe biơ to late，}\end{aligned}$ on orre ta pe biot to hrade．

 bio久 to hrade．Đa slawan sint to manianne ðæt hie ne forielden ðone timan for hiera slæwðe pe hie tela on dôn mægen．Đa hradan 夭onne sint to manianne ðæt hie to unwærlice ne onetten，ðylæs hie forhradien ðone betstan timan，\＆hiere mede for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ leosen．Đæm slawan $\begin{gathered}\text { onne }\end{gathered}$ is to cy｀anne ðætte oft，｀onne we nyllał hwæthwugu nytwierðes don，〇onne we magon，Xætte hwilum eft cymð sio tiid ymb lytel fæc |  |
| ---: | :---: |

loquacity is dispersed．Of which the apostle James spoke：＂If any one thinks to be pious，and will not bridle his tongue，the mind deceives itself，because his piety is very useless．＂And again，he said ： ＂Let every man be very ready and zealous to hear，and very slow to speak．＂Again，about the same he showed what the power of the tongue is，saying that it is restless，evil，and full of deadly poison． And again，Truth，that is Christ，of itself warned us，saying：＂Every vain word that men speak they shall account for at the day of doom．＂ Those are evidently useless words，which wise men cannot perceive to belong to virtuous and useful necessity，either now or afterwards．If， then，we are to account for useless words，what punishment do we
$\chi_{æ s}$ modes ryhtwisnes bið toflowen，ðe nele forhabban $\chi_{a}$ ungemet－ godan spræce．Be đæm cwæð Iacobus se âpostol ：Gif hwa teoch［h］að ðæt he æfæst sie，\＆nyle gemidlian his tungan，ðæt mod lihð him selfum，fořæm his æfæstnes bið suǐe idlu．\＆eft he cwæð：Sie 5 æghwelc mon suiðe hræd \＆suǐe geornful to gehieranne，\＆suixe læt to sprecenne．Eft bi Xam ilcan he gecyðde hwæt łære tungan mægen is，he cwæð ไæt hio wære unstille，yfel \＆deaðberendes atres full．\＆eft us manode sio Soðfæstnes łurh hie selfe，ðæt is
 10 sculon ryht awyrcean ón domes dæge．Đæt bið ðonne openlice unnyt word，ðætte gescedwise menn ne magon ongietan ðæt hit belimpe to ryhtwislicre \＆to nytwyrðlicre ðearfe auðer oððe eft ufer－ ran dogore o૪ðe 夭onne．Gif we ðonne sculon ryht agildan unnyttra worda，hwelc wite wene we $\succ^{2}$ t se felaspræcea scyle habban $\chi_{e}$ simle 15 on oferspræce syugał？

XXXIX．Đætte on o $\begin{aligned} \text { re wisan sint to manianne } ð a ~ ð e ~ b i o ð ~ t o ~ l a t e, ~\end{aligned}$ on orre $x_{a} x_{e}$ bior to hrade．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ ðe beoð to late，on oðre $\searrow_{a}$ ðe beoð to hrade．Đa slawan sint to manianne ðæt hie ne forielden ðone 20 timan for hiera slæwðe ðe hie tiola ôn dôn mægen．Đa hradan Xonne sint to manianne ðæt hie to unwærlice ne onetten，ðylæs hie forhradien ઉone betestan timan，\＆hiera mede fořæm forleosen．Đam slawum
 dón，Xonne そonne we magon，そætte hwilum eft cymð sio tid ymb lytel
think that the loquacious ought to have，who is always sinning in loquacity？

XXXIX．That those who are too slow are to be admonished in one way，in another those who are too quick．
Those who are too slow are to be admonished in one way，in another those who are too quick．The slow are to be admonished not to put off out of sloth the time when they can do good．The quick are to be admonished not to hasten on too rashly，lest they anticipate the best time，and so lose their reward．The slow are to be told that often， when we will not do something useful when we can，sometimes the
 gecopustan timan，Łætte we ðonne ne bio｀onælde mid ðære lustbær－ nesse ures modes，ðonne bestilð sio slæwð on us，\＆ricsað ðonne ofer us，o૪さæt hio us awyrtwalað from ælcre lustbærnesse godra weorca． Be ðæm wæs swiðe wel gecweden ðurh Salomon ðone snottran：Sio slæw万 giett slæp on ðone monnan．Se slawa ongitt hwæt him ryht bið to donne，swelce he ealneg wacige，\＆swæðeah he aslawað，for－ Øonpe he nauht ne wyrč，ac sio slæw him giett on $\begin{gathered}\text { one slæp，} \mathrm{cwæ} \mathrm{\gamma}\end{gathered}$
 ðæt gode andgit，Xonne he forlæt 夭a geornfulnesse ðæs godan weorces． Be $\searrow$ æm ilcan is eft swǐe ryhte gecweden：Đæt ungeornfulle mod \＆Xæt toslopene hyngreð，for $\nsupseteq m$ hie næfre ne bioł gereorde mid godum weorcum，ne hie nyllað hie gehæftan \＆gepyndan hiera mod， swelce mon deopne pol gewerige，ac he læt his mod toflowan on $ð æ t$ ofdæle giemelieste \＆ungesceadwisnesse $æ f t e r ~ e a l l u m ~ h i s ~ w i l l u m, ~ \& ~ n e ~$ gehæft hit na mid $\searrow æ m$ geswincum godra weorca，ac hit wier久 ge－

 tostrett hit on yfelre \＆on unnytte wilnunga，\＆hæf૪ ðæs swiðe micelne hunger．Be ðæm eft wrat Salomon，\＆cwæð ：Alc idel mon lifað æfter his agnum dome．Be ðæm ilcan eft sio Soðfæstnes， $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ is Crist，he cwæ૪ on his godspelle ：Đonne an unclæne gast bið adrifen of ðæm men，Xonne bið ðæt hus clæne．Ac gif he eft cym×，\＆ðæt hus idel gemett，he lit gefylleð mid swiðe monegum．Oft se slawa， Xonue he agæl＞\＆foricl＞خæt weorc pe him niedðearf wære to wyr－
time comes a little afterwards when we wish，and cannot．Therefore， when we put off the fittest time，so that we are not inspired with a hearty desire，sloth steals on us，and rules over us，until it tears us away from every desire of good works．Of which was very well spoken through the wise Solomon：＂Sloth infuses sleep into a man．＂ The slow man perceives what he ought to do，as if he were always awake，and yet he is torpid，because he does nothing ；but sloth infuses sleep into him，says Solomon，because，although his thoughts are vir－ tuous，by degrees he loses his good understanding，when he gives up the desire of the good work．Of the same is again very rightly said ： ＂The indifferent and dissolute spirit shall hunger，＂because they are never refreshed with good works；nor will they restrain and dam up

 lustbærnesse ures modes，そonne bistilð sio slæw $\begin{aligned} & \text { ón us，} \& ~ r i c s a ð ~ 夭 o n n e ~\end{aligned}$ ofer ûs，ołłæt hio us awyrtwalał from ælcre lustbærnesse godra weorca．
 slæw giett slæpp ôn 夭one monnan．Se slawa ongit hwæt him ryht bið to donne，swelce he ealneg wacige，\＆swałeah he［a］slawað，forłæmðe he nawuht ne wyre $\gamma$ ，ac sio slæw $\gamma$ him giet on $\begin{gathered}\text { one slæp，cwæ } \gamma ~\end{gathered}$ Salomonn，forðæm，ðeah he ryhtlice $\prec$ ence，lytlum \＆lytlum he forlist
 Be ðæm ilcan is eft suiðe ryhte gecweden：Đæt ungeornfulle mód \＆Əæt toslopene hyngre夭，fortæm hie næfre ne beoł gereorde mid godum weorcum，ne hie nellað hie gehæftan \＆gepyndan hiora môd， swelce mon deopne pool gewerige，ac he læt his môd toflowan on ðæt 15 ofdele giemelieste \＆ungesceadwisnesse æfter eallum his willum，\＆ne
 wundod mid $ð æ m$ hungre $\prec æ s ~ n y ð e m e s t a n ~ \& ~ ð æ s ~ f u l e s t a n ~ g e ł o h t e s ; ~$ ðonne hit flihð ðæt hit sie gebunden mid ege \＆mid lare，$\chi_{o n n e}$ tostret hit on yfelre \＆on unnytte wilnunga，\＆hæf $\begin{aligned} & \text { łæs suiðe }\end{aligned}$ 20 micelne hunger．Be $\not$ æm eft wrat Salomon，\＆cwæð：Elc idel mon liofað æfter his agnum dóme．Be ðæm ilcan eft sio Soðfæstnes，૪æt is Crist，he cwæ $\gamma$ on his godspelle ：Đonne ân unclæne gast bił adrifen of 夭æm men，‘onne bið ðæt hus clæue．Ac gif he eft cym＞，\＆ðæt hus idel gemett，he hit gefylle $\gamma$ mid suiðe monigum．Oft se slawa，

their mind，as if a man weired a deep pool，but they let their mind flow away into the abyss of recklessness and folly according to all its desires，and do not restrain it with the labours of good works，but it is injured with the hunger of the lowest and foulest thoughts ；when it avoids being restrained by fear and instruction，it is distracted with evil and useless desires，and hungers after them ravenously．Of which，again，Solomon wrote，saying：＂Every idle man lives after his own judgment．＂Of same again，Truth，that is Christ，spoke in his Gospel ：＂When an unclean spirit is driven out of a man，the house is clean．But if he returns，and finds the house empty，he fills it with very many．＂Often the slow man，when he binders and delays the work he ought to do，thinks some works very
ceanne，ðonne $\begin{aligned} & \text { ynceał him sumu weore swiðe hefgu，sumu swiðe }\end{aligned}$ unwærlicu，\＆ðonne he wen｀ðæt he funden hæbbe hwæt he ryhtlice ondræde，Xonne wile he gereccean $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt } \\ & \text { he noht unryhtlice hit ne for－}\end{aligned}$ slæwde，خonne him خync૪ đæt he ryhte lade funden hæbbe．Be ðæm wæs swixe ryhte gecweden Xurh Salomon Xone snottran：For cile nyle se slawa erigan on wintra，ac he wile biddan on sumera，\＆him mon nyle ૪onne sellan．Đæt is Xonne Xæt se slawa nylle erian for ciele，てæt hwa sie gebunden mid hefignesse łære slæwてe，ðæt hiene ne lyste sum nytwier｀e weore wyrcean．Fořæm is gecweden そæt se slawa for ไæm ege ไæs ciles nylle erian，forðæm we oft for ไæm ege lyt＇es yfeles forlætað micel god．Hit is swiðe wel be $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { gecweden }\end{array}$ ðæt he eft bedecige on sumera，\＆him mon łonne noht ne selle． Swæ bił ðæm pe nu on godum weorcum ne swæt，\＆swiðe swinc $૪$ ： eft ðonne sio sunne，そæt is Crist，on domes dæge on mæstum wilme
 hefonrice．Be $\searrow æ m$ men wæs eft swiłe wel gecweden 犭urh Salomon Kone snottran，he cwæð：Se pe him ealneg wind ondræt，he sæw久 to seldon；\＆se pe him ælc wolen ondræt，ne ripð se næfre．Hwæt getacnað ðonne se wind buton ða costunga Łæs awiergdan gastes，\＆
 weardnesse unryhtwisra monna？Se wind drifeð ðæt wolen．Swæ de $૪$ se unclæna gast mid his winde；he onstyre $\gamma$ unryhtwise men． We cwædon ær そæt se sceolde lytel sawan，se pe him ðone wind ondrede ；\＆eft lytel ripan，se je him $㐅_{a}$ wolen ondrede．Đæt is そonne とætte swæ hwelc swæ him ondræt ozte diofules costunga orxe
arduous，some very imprudent，and when he thinks he has found what he can rightly dread，he tries to prove that he did not wrongly pro－ crastinate it，when he thinks he has found a good excuse．Of whom was very rightly spoken through the wise Solomon：＂The sluggard will not plough in winter for cold，but he will beg in summer，and no man will give him anything．＂The sluggard will not plough in winter， when any one is hindered by the weight of sloth from desiring to do a useful work．It is said that the sluggard will not plough from fear of cold，because we often let go a great good from the fear of a trifling evil．Of which it is very well said that he will afterwards beg in summer，and no man will then give him anything．So it will be with him who does not sweat now with good works，and toil laboriously：
ceanne，ðonne ðynceað him sumu weore suiðe hefug，sumu suiðe unwærlico，\＆donne he wen $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æt }\end{array}$ he funden hæbbe hwæt he ryltlice óndræde，ðonne wile he gereccean ðæt he noht unryhtlice hit ne for－
 5 wæs suiðe ryhte gecweden ૪urh Salomon ðone snottran：For ciele nele se slawa erian on wintra，ac he wile biddan on sumera，\＆him mon nele ðonne sellan．Đæt is ðonne ðæt se slawa nylle erian for ciele，ðæt hwa sie gebunden mid hefignesse ðære slæwłe，ไæt hine

 ege lytles yfeles forlætað micel gôd．Hit is suiłe wêl be đæm gecweden ðæt he eft bedecige on sumera，\＆him mon Xonne noht ne selle．Sụa bið ðæm ૪e nu on godum weorcum ne swæt，\＆suiðe ne suince૪： eft 「onne sio sunne，ðæt is Crist，on domes dæge on mæstu wielme 15 ætiewr，ðomne bið he idel，gif he ôn 犭æm sumra bidt ingonges in hefourice．Be さ̌æm men wæs eft suiðe wel gecweden ðurh Salomon ðone snottran，he cwæð：Se ðe him ealneg wind ondræt，he sæw to $\mathrm{s}[\mathrm{e}]$ ldon ；\＆se 「e him æle wolcn ondrædt，ne ripð se næfre．Hwæt getacnað Konne se wind buton $\Varangle_{a}$ costunga $\not$ 犭æs awirgdan gæstes，\＆ 20 hwæt ðæt wole $ð e$ bið astyred from ðæm winde buton ða wiðer－ weardnesse unryhtwisra monna？Se wind drifeð đæt wolen．Sua deð se unclæna gæst mid his winde ；he onstyreð unryhtwise men． We cwædon ær Łæt se sceolde lytel sawan，se de him ðone wind ondrede ；\＆eft lytel ripan，se خe him خa wole ondrede．Hwæt is 25 ðonne そætte sua hwelc sua him ondræt orðe deofles costunga orðe
hereafter，when the Sun，that is Christ，appears at the day of doom with the greatest heat，he will be empty－handed，if in summer he prays for entrance into the kingdom of heaven．Of this man it was，again， very well spoken through the wise Solomon；he said：＂He who always fears wind will sow seldom ；and he who fears every cloud will never reap．＂What signifies the wind but the temptations of the accursed spirit，and what the cloud which is stirred by the wind but the opposition of wicked men ？The wind drives the cloud．So does the unclean spirit with his wind ；he stirs up wicked men．We have remarked above，that he would sow little who dreaded wind；and again，reap little who feared clouds．That is，that whoever fears either the temptations of the devil or the persecution of evil men，and therefore
yfelra monna ehtnesse，\＆for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y forlæt } \npreceq æ t ~ h e ~ h w æ t h w u g u ~ g o d e s ~ n e ~ d o ̂, ~\end{aligned}$ ðonne nauðer ne he her 夭a corn godra weorca ne sæwð，ne he eft nænne sceaf ne rip $\begin{gathered}\text { Łæs ecean edleanes．Ongean } ð æ t ~ i s ~ t o ~ c y ̌ o n n e ~\end{gathered}$ łæm pe bioł to hrade，ðonne hie forhradiað łone timan godes weorces，Łæt hie forpærað Łæm edleane，\＆oft befeallað on micel yfel， ðonne hie nabbał ða gesceadwisnesse ðæt hie cunnen łæs ðinges timan aredian，ne furðum ne giemar hwæt hie dôn，orłe hwonne hie hwæt dôn，ac hwilum hit gebyreð łæt hie hit eft ongietað，æfter－ ðæmpe hit gedôn bið，đæt hie ær swæ dôn ne sceoldon．To swelcum monnum Salomon wæs sprecende，đa he his cniht lærde，he cwæ૪：


 \＆そæt gesceadwislice gełeaht gæ૪ beforan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { gm weorcum．Ac se pe }\end{array}$
 fotum，\＆wincað mid łæm eagum．He gæð on ðone weg，ac he nat on hwæt he gæð，ac he wierð swiłe hræðe on fielle．Swæ wier久 se pe beforan Łæm stæpum his weorca ne locað mid đæm eagum gescead－ wisra ge eahtes．
「a grambreran．
On oðre wisan sint to manianne ða mon wwæran，on o $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { re } \\ \text { ða gram－}\end{aligned}$
 ofer ołre men，દæt he for his manðwærnesse aslawað，\＆wierð to

[^21]yfelra monna ehtnesse，\＆for $\begin{aligned} & \text { y forlæt } \text { ðæt he hwæthwugu godes ne do，}\end{aligned}$ ðonne nauðer ne he her $\chi_{a}$ corn godra weorca ne sæw૪，ne he eft nænne sceaf ne ripð ટæs ecean edleanes．Ongean ðæt is to cyðanne


 timan aredian，ne furðum ne giemar hwæt hie dôn，ơð $\begin{gathered}\text { hwonne hie }\end{gathered}$ hwæt dôn，ac hwilum hit gebyreð ðæt hie hit eft ongietað，æfter－ ðæmðe hit gedon bið，łæ hie ær sua don ne sceoldon．To swelcum 10 monnum Salomon wæs sprecende，$\chi_{a}$ he his cnieht lærde，he cwæ૪： Sunu min，ne doo $x_{u}$ nan wult butan gełeahte，Xonne ne hriwł hit とe，તonne hit gedôn bið；ac læt simle gan Xin eagean beforan ૪inum fotum．Đonne stæppað ða eagan beforan đæm fotum，ðonne ðæt ryhte \＆ટæt gesceadwislice geđeaht gæ૪ beforan weorcum．Ac se ðe agime－
 \＆wincał mid Łæm eagum．He gæð on خone weg，ac he nat on hwæt he gæð，ac he wirł suixe raðe on fielle．Sua wir久 se ðe beforan ૪æm stæpum his weorca ne locað mid łæm eagum gesceadwisra gěeahtes．

XL．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manienne ða monðwæran，on oðre خa grambæran．
 bæran．Forðæm oft gebyre $ð æ m$ monðwæran，ðonne he wier久 riece ofer ołre menn，ðæt he for his monnðwærnesse aslawað，\＆wierð to

But he who neglects to deliberate before action，proceeds with his feet， and blinks with his eyes．He advances on the road without knowing his destination，and very soon falls．Such is the case with him who does not look before the steps of his works with the eyes of the counsel of wise men．

XL．That the gentle are to be admonished in one way，in another the passionate．

The gentle are to be admonished in one way，in another the pas－ sionate．For it often happens that，when the gentle obtain power over others，they become sluggish from their gentleness，and become too
unbald, forðæm sio unbieldo \& sio manðwærnes bioð swiðe anlice.

 $\Varangle_{r e a u n g ~}^{\text {đæs anwaldes. Ongean }} \chi_{æ t}$ sint to manianne $\searrow_{a}$ weamodan

 ðurh $\Varangle æ$ wier $\gamma$ tosliten sio stilnes hiera hieremonna modes, \& bið gedrefed sio smyltnes hiera lifes. Forðæm, ðonne ðæt ierre hæf $\begin{gathered}\text { an- }\end{gathered}$ wald ðæs monnes, ðoune gehrisð he on sume scylde, swæ ðæt he self nat hwat he on ðæt ierre deð. Đa ierran nyton hwæt hie on him selfum habbað, \& eac đætte wierse is, ไæt hie ful oft wenað $\begin{gathered} \\ t\end{gathered}$ hiera ierre sie ryhtwislic anda \& manung sumre ryhtwisnesse. Forそæm, ðonne hie wenał خæt hiora unخeawas sien sum god cræft, خonne gadriað hie hie \& iecað butan ælcum ege. Oft eac ða manðwæran weorłað swæ besolcne \& swæ wlace \& swæ slawe for hiora manðwær-
 grambæran leogað him selfum, ðoune hie wenað ðæt hie rylitne andan hæbben. Oft eac sio godnes خære monðwærnesse bið diegelice gemenged wið sleacnesse. Oft eac ða grambæran wenað łæt hiera unðeaw sie sumes ryhtwislices andan wielm. Ac we sculon manian

 bæran we sculon manian $\not$ łæt hie ongieten hwæt hie on him selfum habbar. Da manðwæran we sculon manian ̌æt hie ongieten hwæt hie nabbað. Ne forlæten ða ierran ðone andan, ac geðencen ðæt he
timid, because timidity and gentleness are closely allied. Therefore, often when fear and instruction are relaxed more than is necessary, out of weakness of mind, the severity of authority is relaxed. The fierce and passionate are to be admonished differently ; because, when they accept authority, their anger incites and provokes them to wallow in passion, and so the tranquillity of their subjects' minds is destroyed, and the calmness of their life is disturbed. Therefore, when anger possesses a man, he falls into some sin, so that he himself knows not what he does in his anger. The angry know not what they have in themselves, and also, what is worse, they often think that their anger is righteous zeal and admonition of some virtue. Therefore, thinking
ünbeald, forðæm sio bieldo \& sio monn`wærnes biuð swiðe anlice. Forłæm oft, ‘onne mon læt toslupan \(\not\) one ege \& 夭a lare suiður ðonne  ૪reaung ðæs anwaldes. Ongean đæt sint to manianne ða weamodan  hie \& gremeð] خret ierre ðæt hie wealwiał on \(\begin{aligned} & \text { a wedenheortnesse, \& }\end{aligned}\) Øurh ¡æt wierð toslieten sio stilnes hiera hieremonna modes, \& bið   10 nât huæt he on ðæt irre deð. Đa irran nyton hwæt hie on him selfum habbał, \& eac `ætte wierse is, そætte hie ful oft wenað $૪ æ$ tte hiera hierre sie ryhtwislic anda \& manung sumre ryhtwisnesse. For-

 15 weorłał sua besolcne \& sua wlace \& sua slawe for hira monnłwærnesse łæt hie ne anhagað nane wuht nyttwyrðes don. Oft eac $૪ a$
 hæbben. Oft eac sio gôdnes łære monnðwærnesse bił diegellice gemenged wi $\begin{aligned} & \text { sleacnesse. Oft eac } ૪ \text { a grambæran wenað } ૪ æ t ~ h i e r a ~\end{aligned}$ 20 unłeaw sie sumes ryhtwislices andan wielm. Ac we sculon manian
 suiðe neah liege $ð$ ૪ære monnðwærnesse, ૪æt is sleacnes. Đa grambæran we sculon monian $\nprec æ t$ hie ongieten hwæt hie on him selfum habbað. Đa monn $\delta$ wæran we sculon monian $ð æ t$ hie ongieten hwæt

their vices are virtues, they accumulate and increase them without any fear. Often also the gentle become so torpid and effeminate and sluggish from their gentleness, that they cannot do anything useful. Often also the passionate deceive themselves in thinking that they have righteous zeal. Often also the virtue of gentleness is secretly mingled with remissness. Often also the passionate think that their vice is the fervour of righteous zeal. We must admonish the gentle to keep their gentleness, and avoid what is very nearly allied to gentleness, that is remissness. We must admonish the passionate to perceive what they have in themselves. We must admonish the gentle to perceive what they have not. The passionate are not to give up their
sie gesceadwislic \& gemetlic. Leornien hiene pa manðwæran \& lufien, ołðæt hie hiene hæbben. Lytlien ða grambæran hiera gedrefednesse. Đa manðwæran sint to manianne ðæt hie geornlice tilien ðæt hie hebben ryhtwislicne andan. Đa grambæran sint to manianne, pe wenað $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { hie ryhtwislicne andan hæbben, đæt hie ðone gemengen }\end{array}$ wið manðwærnesse. Forðæm us ætiewde se Halga Gast ægðer ge on culfran anlicnesse ge on fyres, for $\begin{aligned} \text { æmpe } æ l c n e ~ ł a r a ~ p e ~ h e ~ g e f y l ̌, ~ h e ~\end{aligned}$ hiene onælð ægðer ge mid ðære culfran bilwitnesse \& manðwærnesse ge mid ðæs fyres reðnesse. Ne bið se no gefylled ðæs Halgan Gastes se pe on ðære smyltnesse his manðwærnesse forlætt ðone wielm ryht-
 ðære manðwærnesse. Ic wene ðæt we magon $\begin{aligned} & \text { is } \\ & \text { openlicor gecyðan, }\end{aligned}$ gif we sancte Paules lare sume on geman sæcgað, forłæm he hæfde twegen gingran swixe gelices willan \& on eallum ૪ingum swiðe onlice, \& he hie ðeah lærde swiðe ungelice. Ołer hiera wæs haten Timotheus, orer Titus. He cwæð to خon Timotheo: Lære hie, \& healsa, \& tæl hiera uňeawas, \& خeah geðyldelice. To ðæm Tite he cwæð: Lær ðæt folc, \& ðreata, \& tæl, \& hat, ðæt hie witen ðæt ge sume anwald habbað ofer lie. Hwæt mænde sanctus Paulus, $\mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he his lare swæ cræftelice tosced, \& ðone ołre lærde ðæt he him anwald on tuge, oðerne he lærde geðyld, buton Łæt he ongeat Titum hwene manðwærran \& geðyldigran 夭onne he sceolde, \& Timotheus he ongeat hatheortran $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { he sceolde? Titum he wolde onælan mid ryltwis- }\end{aligned}$ licum andan, Timotheum he wolde gemetgian. Ołrum he wolde geicean そæt him wana wæs, ołrum he wolde oftion ðæs pe he to fela

[^22]sie gesceadwislic \& gemetlic. Leorniað hine $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { man } \text { wæran \& lufiga } \\ & \text {, }\end{aligned}$ o૪ðæt hie hiene hæebben. Lytligen $\mathrm{Xa}_{\text {grambæran hiera gedrefednesse. }}$ Đa monðwæran sint to monianne ðæt hie geornlice tiligen $犭 æ{ }^{\text {( }}$ hie hæbben ryhtwislicne andan. Đa grambæran sint to monianne, $\begin{gathered}\text { e }\end{gathered}$ 5 wenað ðæt hie ryhtwislicne andan hæbben, ไæt hie ðone gemengen wið monnðwærnesse. Forðæm us ætiede se Halga Gæsð ægðer ge on culfran onlicnesse ge on fyres, forðæmðe ælcne ðara $\begin{aligned} & \text { e he gefylð, he }\end{aligned}$ hiene onælð ægðer ge mid ðære culfran bilewitnesse \& mannðwærnesse ge mid $\nprec$ æs fyres reðnesse. Ne bið se nô gefylled ðæs Halgan Gresðæs
 wislices andan, ołðe eft on đæm wielme ðæs andan forlæt ðone cræft
 gif we sanctus Paulus lare sume ongemong secgað, for $\begin{array}{r}\text { m } \\ \text { he hæfde }\end{array}$ twegen gingran suiðe gelices willan \& on eallum ૪ingum suiðe onlice, 15 \& he hie ðeah lærde suiðe ungelice. OXer hira wæs haten Timotheus, oðer Titus. He cuæð to łæm Timotheo: Lære hie, \& healsa, \& tæl hira unðeawas, \& ðeah geðyldelice. To ðæm Tite he cuæð: Lær ðæt folc, \& ðreata, \& tæl, \& hat, ðæt hie wieten $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt } \\ \text { ge sume }\end{array}$ anwald habbar ofer hie. Hwæt mænde sanctus Paulus, $\chi_{a}$ he his lare 20 sua cræftelice toscead, \& خone ołerne lærde $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \text { t he him anwald ontuge, }\end{array}$ ǒerne he lærde geðyld, buton ðæt he ongeat Titum hwene monðwærran \& geðyldigran ðonne he sceolde, \& Timotheus he ongeat hatheortran ðonne he sceolde? Titum he wolde onælan mid ryhtwi[s]licum andan, Timotheum he wolde gemetgian. Oðrum he wolde 25 geiecean ðæt him wana wæs, ołrum he wolde oftion ðæs ðe he to fela
he had two disciples of very similar will, and like in many respects, and yet he taught them very differently. One of them was called Timothy, the other Titus. He said to Timothy: "Teach and entreat them, and blame their faults, and yet patiently." To Titus he said: "Teach the people, and threaten, and blame, and command, that they may know that ye have authority over them." What meant St. Paul, when he made so marked a distinction in his instruction, telling the one to assume authority, while on the other he inculcated patience, but that he perceived Titus to be rather more gentle and patient than he ought to be, and Timothy hastier than he ought to be? He wished to inflame Titus with righteous zeal, Timothy he wished to moderate. He wished to supply the deficiencies of the one, while he moderated
hæfde．OXerne he draf swiðe geornfullice mid sticele，oðrum he wið－ teah mid bridle．Witodlice se mæra londbegengea，そæt wæs sanctus Paulus，he underfeng đa halgan gesamnunga to plantianne \＆to ymb－$_{\text {g }}$ hweorfanne，swæ se ceorl deð his ortgeard．Sumu treowu he watrade， to $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ðæt hie } \nless e ~ s w i ð u r ~ s e e o l d e n ~ w e a x a n . ~ S u m u ~ h e ~ c e a r f ~ ð o n n e ~ h i m ~\end{aligned}$
 forsearoden，\＆$X_{y}$ unwestmbærran wæren．Sumu twigu he lealite mid wætre，ðonne hie to hwon weoxon，そæt hie Øy swiłor weaxan sceolden． Ac ða iersunga siendon swiðe ungelica ：ołer bið swelce hit sie irres


 \＆to ungedafenlice atyht on とæt pe hio mid ryhte irsian sceal，ołer on犭æt pe hio ne sceal bir calneg to swǐe onbærned．Eac is to wiotome


 him mon on liege | orre mid wordum orre mid dædum，$\chi_{a}$ irsiendan |
| :---: |

 hie nan mon mid lǎe ne grett，hie willað grillan o㐅re men to ðæm 夭æt hie niede sculon，\＆secað ða pe hie fleoð，\＆styriað geflietu \＆geciid，\＆fægniað خæt hie moten swincan on ungeðwærnesse．Đa swelcan we magon ealra betest geryhtan mid $\searrow$ y $\nprec$ æt we hie forbugen，
 gedrefede beoð，hie nyton hwæt hie ðonne gehierað，Xeah him mon stire，ac eft，Xonne hie hie selfe ongietað，hic onfoð ðære lare swæ
the excesses of the other．The one he zealously drove with a goad， the other he restrained with a bridle．For the great husbandman， that is St．Paul，undertook the care of the holy assembly，to plant and tend，as the labourer does his orchard．Some trees he watered，to make them grow better；some he pruned，when they seemed to grow too luxuriantly，to prevent them growing so much as to wither away and become unfruitful ；some twigs he irrigated with water，when they were slow of growth，to make them grow the better．But there are two very different kinds of anger ：the one is，as it were，a counterfeit of anger，when one wishes to dissuade another from his evil ways，and bring him to virtue，and the other consists in a man＇s mind being agitated without any righteousness ；the former kind of anger is too
æfde．Oðerne he draf suiðe geornfullice mid sticele，oðrum he wiðteah mid bridle．Wietodlice se mæra landbegenga，ðæet wæs sanctus Paulus， he underfeng Xa halgan gesomunga to plantianne \＆to ymbhweorf－$^{\text {a }}$ anne，sua se ceorl deð his ortgeard．Sumu treowu he watrode，to

 forseareden，\＆$\nsucc y$ unwæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { mbærran wæren．Sumu twigu he lehte mid }\end{aligned}$ wætere，Xonne hie to hwon weoxson，ðæt hie $\begin{aligned} \text { y suiður weaxan sceolden．}\end{aligned}$ Ac Xa irsunga sindun suiðe ungelica：o㐅er bið suelce［hit sie］irres 10 anlicnes，Łæt is 〕æt mon wielle æt oxrum his yfel ałreatigan，\＆hine on ryhtum gebringan，ołer bið łæt ierre łæt mon sie gedrefed on his mode butan ælcre ryhtwisnesse ；ołer 夭ara irsunga bið to ungemetlice \＆to ungedafenlice atyht on Łæt $\chi_{e}$ hio mid ryhte irsian sceall，ołer on そæt そe hio ne sceal bið calneg to suiłe onbærned．Eac is to wietanne 15 łætte hwæthwugu bið betweoh ðæm irsiendan \＆Xæm ungeðyldgan，
 him mon on legr ołxe mid wordum ołte mid dædum， $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ iersigendan
 hie nan mânn mid lałe ne grete，hie wiellał griellan ołre menn to 20 Хæm ðæt hie niede sculon，\＆seceað ða ðe hie fleoð，\＆styrigað geflitu \＆geciid，\＆fægniað Xæt hie moten suincan on ungeðwærnesse．Đa suelcan we magon ealra betest geryhtan mid $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}$ łæt we hie forbugen， $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ ðonne hie beor anstyred mid hiera ierre，forðæm，ðonne hie sua gedrefede biox，hie nyton hwæt hie Xonne gehierar，Xeah him mon ${ }_{25}$ sticre，ac eft，خonne hie hie selfe ongietað，hie onfoo $\begin{array}{r}\text { Øære } \\ \text { lare sua }\end{array}$
immoderately and improperly excited against lawful subjects of indig－ nation，the latter is always overmuch inflamed against what it ought to leave alone．It is also to be known that there is a difference between the passionate and the impatient，which is，that the impatient cannot bear any annoyance to which they are subjected either by the words or deeds of others，while the passionate incur what they could easily avoid：although no one annoy them，they try to provoke others， and compel them to strife，and seek those who avoid them，and stir up strife and abuse，and rejoice in being able to busy themselves with discord．We can best reform such men by avoiding them when they are excited with their anger，because，when they are so agitated，they do not know what they hear when checked，but afterwards，when they
micle lustlicor swæ him mon ær gełyldelicor forbær hiera irre, \& swæ micle ma scamiað hiera unخeawes swæ hiene mon ær geðyldelicor forbær. Ac ðæt mod, ða hwile pe hit bið oferdruncen łæs irres, eall ðæt him mon ryhtes sægð, hit ðyncð him woh. Forðæm eac ðæt wif pe Abigail hatte swiðe hergendlice forswigode $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { dysig hiere }\end{aligned}$ fordruncnan hlafordes, se wæs haten Nabal, \& eft, ða him ðæt lið gesciered wæs, full hergendlice hio hit him gecyðde, \& he forðæm his agen dysig swæ micle bet oncnew swæ he undruncenra wæs. Swæ eac, ðonne ไæt gelimpð ðæt ða irsiendan men ołrum monnum oferfylgað to ðæm swiłe ðæt hit mon him forberan ne mæg, ne sceal mon no mid openlice edvite him wiłslean, ac be sumum dæle arwyrðlice wandiende swiðe wærlice stieran. Đæt we magon openlicor gecyðan, gif we Abneres dæda sume herongemong sæcgear, hu Assael hiene unwærlice mid anwalde ðreatode, \& him oferfylgde. Hit is awriten ðæt Albner cwæde to Assaele: Gecier la, \& geswic, ne folga me, ðæt ic ðe ne ðyrfe ofstingan. He forhogde ðæt he hit gehierde, \& nolde hiene forlætan. Đa ૪ydde Abner hiene mid hindewearde sceafte on ðæt smælðearme ðæt he wæs dead. Hwæs onlicnesse hæfde Assael $\chi_{a}$ buton ̌ara $^{2}$ pe hiera hatheortnes hie swixe hredlice on forspild gelæt? Đa તonne hie beræsað on swelce weamodnesse hie sindon swæ micle wærlicor to ferbugonne swæ mon ongiet ðæt hie on maran ungewitte bio久. Đæs Abneres noma pe $\begin{array}{r}\text { one } \\ \text { ołerne fleah is on ure }\end{array}$ gełeode fæder leohtfæt. Đæt getacnað ðætte ðara lareowa tungan pe ðæt uplice leoht bodiað, ðonne hie ongietað hwelcne monnan geswencedne mid irre \& mid hatheortnesse onbærnedne, \& ðonne for-
recover their senses, they receive advice so much the more cheerfully the more patiently their passion was formerly tolerated, and are so much the more ashamed of their fault the more patiently they were borne with before. But the mind, while intoxicated with passion, regards all the good that is said to it as wrong. Therefore, the woman called Abigail very laudably concealed the folly of her drunken lord, who was called Nabal, and afterwards, when his drunkenness had passed off, very laudably told it him, and he perceived his own folly the more easily the more sober he was. So also, when it happens that the passionate pursue others so much that it cannot be endured, they are not to be opposed with open reproach, but to be partially checked very serupulously and cautiously. We can explain it more clearly, if we
micle lusðlicor sua him mon ær geðyldelicor forbær hiera irre, \& sua micle mâ scamiað hiera unðeawes sua hiene mon ær geðyldelicor fo $[r] b æ r . ~ A c ~ \npreceq æ t ~ m o ́ d, ~ ð a ~ h w i l e ~ خ e ~ h i t ~ b i ð ~ o f e r d r u n c e n ~ ð æ s ~ i e r r e s, ~$
 5 wif $\mathrm{\chi e}^{\mathrm{Ab}} \mathrm{bi}$ ]gall hatte suiðe herigendlice forsuigode $犭 æ t$ dysig hiere fordruncnan hlafordes, se wæs haten Nabal, \& eft, ða him đæt lì gescired wæs, full herigendlice hio hit hin gecyðde, \& he for $\not$ (æm sua micle bet his agen dysig oncnew sua he undruncenra wæs. Sua eac, ðonne ðæt gelimpð ðæt ða iersigendan menn oðrum monnum ofer10 fylgea $\gamma$ to $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { on suiłe } \text { ææt hit mon forbera[n] ne mæg, ne sceal mon no }\end{aligned}$ mid openlice edwite him wiðslean, ac bi sumum dæle arwier telice wandigende suiłe wærlice stieran. Đæt we magon openlicor gecyðan, gif we $\not$ Efneres dæda sume herongemong secgað, hu Assael hine unwærlice mid anwealde ðreatode, \& him oferfylgde. Hit is awriten 15 ðæt Æfnere cwæde to Assaele: Gecier la, \& gesuic, ne folga me, ไæt ic łe ne dyrre ofstingan. He forhogde łæt he hit gehierde, \& nolde hine forlætan. Đa ૪ydde Æfner hine mid hindewerde sceafte on ðæt smælłearme łæt he wæs dead. Hwæs onlicnesse hæfde Assael ૪a buton ðara $\succ$ hiera hatheortnes hie suiðe hrædlice on færspild gelæd? Đa ૪onne hie beræsał on suelce weamodnesse hie sindon sua micle wærlicor to oferbuganne sua mo[n] ongiet łæt hie on maran
 gełiode fæder leohtfæt. Đæt getacnað ðætte ðara lareowa tungan ૪e łæt $u[p]$ lice leoht bodiað, ðonne hie ongieta久 hwelene monnan ge25 suencedne mid irre \& mid hatheortnesse onbærnedne, \& ðonne for-
meanwhile recount some of Abner's doings, how Asahel rashly and with violence threatened and pursued him. It is written that Abner said to Asahel : "Turn, and cease, follow me not, lest I pierce thee." He scorned to listen, and would not leave him. Then Abner pierced him with the butt-end of his spear through the small intestines, so that he died. Of whom was Asahel the type, but of those whose hastiness very soon draws them into destruction? who, when they fall into such anger, are the more cautiously to be avoided the more out of their senses they are seen to be. The name of Abner, who fled from the other, is, in our tongue, father's lantern. That means that the tongues of the teachers who proclaim the sublime light, when they perceive a man to be afflicted with anger and inflamed with fury,
wandiað $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { hie mid } \nprec æ m ~ k y c l u m ~ h i e r a ~ w o r d a ~ o n g e a n ~ h i e r a ~ i r r e ~\end{array}$ worpien, swæ swæ Abner wandode ðæt he nolde ðone slean pe hiene
 gestillan, ac swæ wedende folgiað hwam swæ swæ Assael dyde Abnere,

 heortnesse ofercuman wille, ðæet he hiene ongean ne hathirte, ac eowige him ealle stilnesse ongean $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rt, } \& ~ \\ \text { ðeah swiðe wærlice line pynge mid }\end{array}$ sumum wordum, ðæt he on |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| ongietan mæge be sumum dæle his | unðeaw. Forłæm Abner, $\Varangle_{a} \Varangle_{a}$ he ongean $\Varangle_{\text {one }}$ cirde pe liene draf, ne ofstang he hiene no mid ðæs speres orde, ac mid hindeweardum ðæm sceafte. Đrt is łonne swelce mon mid forewearde orde stinge, ðæt mon openlice \& unforwandodlice on ołerne ræse mid tælinge \&

 ૪ydde pe him oferfylge, Łæt mon Xone weamodan liłelice mid sumum Xingum gehrine, swelce he hiene wandiende oferswiłe. Swæ swæ Assael swiłe hrædlice afeoll, swæ Łæt ahrerede mod, ðonne hit ongiet ðæt him mon birgð mid ðære gesceadlican andsware, hit bið atæsed
 hit sceal swiłe hrædlice afeallan of ðære weamodncsse pe hit ær on
 hatheortnesse, for $\begin{aligned} & \text { Onne } \\ & \text { hiene mon slea mid liæelicre andsware, } \\ & \text { Øonne }\end{aligned}$ bið his unðeaw ofslegen butan ælcre niedðrafunga, swæ swæ Assael wæs dead butan orde.
scruple to hurl the darts of their words against their anger, as Abner hesitated to slay him who pursued him. So, when the furious will not calm themselves with reflection, but follow any one as madly as Asahel did Abner, and will never stop, it is very necessary that he who wishes to subdue his fury, do not himself become angry, but oppose him with a display of calmness, and yet stab him very cautiously with words, that he may to a certain extent perceive his fault. Therefore Abner, when he turned against him who pursued him, did not pierce him with the point of the spear, but with the butt-end of the shaft. Piercing point-blank is assailing another with blame and reproof openly and unhesitatingly. Piercing the pursucr with the butt-
wandigał ðæt hie mid ðæm kycglum hiera worda ongean hiera ierre

 gestillan, ac sua wedende folgiað hwam sua sua Assael dyde Efnere, 5 \& næfre nyllał gesuican, خonne is micel خearf ðætte se, se $\chi_{a}$ hatheortnesse ofercuman wielle, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { rtte } \\ & \text { he hiene ongean ne hathierte, } .\end{aligned}$
wærlice
hine pynge mid sumum wordum, ðæt he on $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { ongietan mæge be }\end{array}$
 10 ðe hine draf, ne ofstong he hiene no mid $\begin{array}{ll}\text { y speres orde, ac mid hinde- }\end{array}$ werdum ðam sceafte. Đæt is Łonne suelc mon mid forewearde orde stinge, ðæt mon openlice \& unforwandodlice on ołerne ræse mid tælinge

 15 sumum łingum gehrine, suelce he hine wandigende ofersuiłe. Sua sua Assael suiðe hrædlice gefeol, sua خæt ahrerede môd, خonne hit ongiet そæet him mon birgð mid خære gesceadlican andsuare, hit bið
 Łæt hit sceal suiðe hrædlice afeallan of đære weamodnesse ðe hit ær on
 hatheortnesse, for $\Varangle æ m$ そe hine mon slea mid lǐelicre andsuare, Xonne bið his unðeaw ofslægen butan ælcre niedðrafunga, sua sua Assael wæs dead butan orde.
end of the shaft is gently touching the angry man in some things, as if one hesitated to overcome him. As Asahel very quickly fell, so when the excited mind perceives that it is preserved by the gentle answer, its thoughts are soothed, and with the gentle admonition it is made ready to fall very quickly from the passion to which it was raised before. He, then, who refrains from the fervour and impetuosity of his passion, by being slain by a gentle answer, has his vice slain without any reproof, as Asahel was killed without the point of the spear.

XLI．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manian $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { eałmodan，on } o \nprec r e ~\end{array}$ ${ }_{\text {}}$ a upahæfenan on hiora mode．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\nsucc a$ eałmodan，on ołre $ð a$ upa－ hafenan．Đæm eaðmodum is to cyðanne hu micel sio heanes is \＆hu soælic pe hie to hopiað，\＆eac habbað．Đæm upahafenum is to cyðonne hwele nauht ðes worldgilp is pe hie clyppað \＆lufiað，\＆his そeah nauht nabbax，ðeah hie wenen ðæt hie hiene hæbben．Gehieren ða eaðmodan hu ece ðæt is $\not æ t$ hie wilniað，\＆hu gewitende \＆hu unagen $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \text { is } \\ \text { ðæt hie onscuniað．Gehieren eac } \chi_{a} \text { upahafenan hu }\end{array}$ gewitende $\chi_{a}$ ðing sint pe hie gidsiað，\＆hu ecu $\Varangle_{a}$ sint pe hie for－ hycgeað，\＆forlcosan willað．Gehieren ða eaðmodan đære Soðfæstnesse stemne，そæt is Crist ure lareow，he cwæ૪：Alc ðara ðe bið geeaðmed， he bið upahafen．Gehieren eac $\not{ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ upahafenan on hiera mode hwæt he eft cwæ૪ ：Alc ðara ðe hiene selfne upahefeð，he wier $\gamma$ gehiened． Gehieren $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { eałmodan hwæt Salomon cweð，he cwæ૪：Sio eaðmodnes }\end{aligned}$ iernð beforan $\not$ ææm gilpe，\＆hio cymð ær ær ða weorðmyndu．Ge－ hieren eac $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a }\end{aligned}$ upahafenan on hiera mode hu he eft cwæð，he cwæð： たr Xæs monnes hryre bið łæt mod upahafen．Gehieren $\succ_{a}$ eað－ modan hwæt God cwæ૪ ðurh Essaiam 犭one witgan，he cwæ૪：To
 pe him ondrædað min word？Gehieren $\chi_{a}$ upahafenan hwæt Salomon cwæ૪，he cwæ૪：Hwæt ofermodegað خios eor｀e \＆خis dust？Ge－ hieren $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ eałmodan hweet on psalmum geeweden is，Xætte Dryhten locige to ðæm eaðmodan．Gehieren ða upahafenan ：Dryhten ongitt

XLI．That the humble are to be admonished in one way，in another those puffed up in spirit．

The humble are to be admonished in one way，in another the proud． The humble are to be told how great the loftiness is，and how genuine， which they aspire to，and also have．The proud are to be told how worthless this worldly glory is which they embrace and love，and have nothing of，although they think they have it．Let the humble hear how eternal that is which they desire，and how transitory and precarious that is which they shun．Let the proud also hear how transitory the things are that they desire，and how eternal those that they despise，and wish to lose．Let the humble hear the voice of

XLI．Đæ્tte on ołre wisan sint to monianne ða eaðmodan，ôn oðre wisan $㐅_{a}$ ûpahæfenan on hira mode．
 hæfenan．Đæm eaðmodum is to cyðanne hu micel sio heanes is \＆hu 5 soðlic ðe hie to hopiað，\＆eac habbað．Đæm upahæfenum is to cyðanne hwelc nawuht ðes woruldgielp is $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hie clyppað \＆lufiað，\＆his }\end{aligned}$ nawuht habba夭，ðeah hie wenen 犭æt［hie］hiene hæbben．Gehieren $\searrow_{a}$ eaðmodan hu ece $\chi_{æ t}$ is $\npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~ w i l n i a ð, ~ \& ~ h u ~ g e w i t e n d e ~ \& ~ h u ~$
 10 gewitende $\succ_{a} \gamma_{i n g} \sin t \not \chi_{e}$ hie gietsiað，\＆hu eciu $\succ_{a}$ sint $\succ_{e}$ hie for－
 stemne，ðæt is Crist ure lareow，he cuæð ：Elc ðara خe bið geeaðmed， he bir upahæfen．Gehieren eac $\begin{aligned} \text { ûpahæfenan on hiera mode hwæt }\end{aligned}$ he eft cuæ૪，he cuæ૪：Ale ðara Xe hine selfne úpahefeð，he wier｀ge－ 15 hined．Gehieren $\partial a$ eałmodan hwæt Salomon cuæð ：Sio eaðmodnes iernð beforan $\Varangle æ m$ gielpe，\＆heo cymð ær ær $\begin{aligned} & \text { wyrðmynðu．Ge－}\end{aligned}$ hicren eac ða úpahæfenan on hira mode hu he eft cuæð，he cuæð： Fr ðæs monnes hryre bið ðæt mod upahæfen．Gehieren $\chi_{a}$ eað－ modan hwæt God cuæð ðurh Essaim ðone witgan，he cuæð：To 20 hwæm locige ic buton to ðæm eaðmodum \＆to Xæm stillum \＆to ðæm ðe him ondrædað min word？Gehieren ða ûpahæfenan hwæt Salomon
 $\Varangle_{a}$ eałmodan hwæt on psalmum gecueden is，ðætte Dryhten loc［i］ge to $\not æ m$ eaðmodan．Gehieren $ð_{a}$ upahæfenan：Dryhten ongiet suiðe

Truth，that is our teacher Christ，saying：＂Every one who is hum－ bled shall be exalted．＂Let the proud also hear in their hearts what he said again ：＂Every one who exalts himself shall be humbled．＂ Let the humble hear what Solomon said：＂Humility runs before pride，and comes before honour．＂Let the proud also hear in their hearts how he spoke again，saying：＂Before a man＇s fall his heart is elated．＂Let the humble also hear what God said through the prophet Isaiah；he said：＂To whom shall I look but to the humble and quiet， and those who fear my words？＂Let the proud also hear what Solo－ mon said；he said ：＂Why is this earth and this dust proud？＂Let the humble hear how it is said in the Psalms that the Lord looks on the humble．Let the proud hear：＂The Lord perceives pride from
swiłe feorran $\Varangle_{a}$ heahmodnesse. Gehieren $\Varangle_{a}$ ea $\Varangle_{m o d a n ~ h w æ t ~ C r i s t ~}^{\text {m }}$

 he cwæ૪ łæt ælces yfles fruma wære ofermetta. Gehieren đa eałmodan $\not$ とætte Crist ure Aliesend hiene selfue geeałmedde emne or $\chi_{o n e}$ deað. Gehieren $ð$ a upahafenan hwæt awriten is be hiera heafde \& be hiora lareowe, Łæt is dioful: hit is awriten łæet he sie kyning ofer ealle $㐅_{a}$ oferhygdan bcarn, for $\nsupseteq m$ his ofermedu is fruma ures forlores, \& se or $\begin{aligned} & \text { ronc pe we mid aliesede sindon is Godes eaðmodnes. }\end{aligned}$ Se ure feond łome he wæs gesceapen ongemong eallum oðrum gesceaftum, ac he wilnode łæt he wære ongieten \& upahæfen ofer ealle ołre gesceafta. Ac se ure Aliesend, pe mara is \& mærra callum gesceaftum, he hiene gemedemade to bionne betweox ðæm læstum \& ðæm gingestum monnum. Đæm eaðmodum is to kyðonne łætte,
 to Godes anlicnesse. Secgeað eac $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { upahæfenum } \nsucc æ t t e, ~ ð o n n e ~\end{array}$ Xonne hie hie selfe upahebbað, ðæt hie ðonne afeallað on ða bisene ðæs aworpnan engles. Ac hwæt is łonne forcuðre łonne sio upahæfennes? Fořæm ðonne hio bið atyht ofer hiere andefenu, ðonne bir hio afeorrod

[The MSS. is defective here.]

afar." Let the humble hear what Christ said: "I came not on earth to be served, but to serve." Let the proud hear what Solomon said ; he said that pride was the origin of all evil. Let the humble hear how Christ our Redeemer humbled himself even to death. Let the proud hear what is written about their head and teacher, that is the devil ;- it is written that he is king over all proud children, because his pride is the cause of our perdition, and the scheme of our redemption is God's humility. Our foe was created among all other creatures, but he desired his exaltation over all other creatures to be recognized. But our Redeemer, who is greater and nobler than all creatures, conde-
 Ne com ic to Łon on eorðan ðæt me mon そenode, ac to ðon そæt ic wolde đegnian. Gehieren $\Varangle_{a}$ upahæfenan hwæt Salomon cuæ૪, he cuæ૪ ðæt ælc[es yfles] fruma wære ofermetta. Geh[i]eren ła eał5 modan ðæette Crisð ure Aliesend hiene selfne geeaðmedde emne o૪ ðo[ne] deað. Gehieren $^{\text {Xa }}$ úpahæfenan hwæt awriten is be hira heafle \& be hiera lareowe, $\not$ ææt is dioful : hit is awriten $\npreceq æ t ~ h e ~ s i e ~ k y n i n g ~$ ofer eal ða oferhydigan bearn, for $\begin{aligned} & m\end{aligned}$ his ofermedu is fruma ures $^{2}$ forlores, \& se orðonc $\begin{aligned} \text { te we mid aliesde siendon is Godes eaðmodnes. }\end{aligned}$ 10 Se ure f[i]ond Xonne he wæs gesceapan ongemang eallum ołrum gesceaftum, ac he wilnode Xæt he wære ongieten upalææfen ofer ealle ołre gesceafte. Ac se ure Aliesend, ðe mara is \& mærra eallum gesceaftum, he hine gemedomode to bionne betwiux đæm læsðum \& ðæm gingestum monnum. Đæm eaðmodum is to cyðannc $\npreceq \nsupseteq t t e$,
 to Godes anlicnesse. Secgað eac ðæm upahæfenum ðætte, ðonne
 خæs aworpnan engles. Ac hwæt is Łonne forcuðre ðome sio upahæfenes? For`æm, તonne (heo) bið atyht ofer hire andefnu, ðonne 20 bið heo afeorrod suiłe feor from ðære sǒan heanesse. Hwæt mæg Xonne hierre bion $\begin{gathered}\text { onne sio sołe eałmodnes? Sio, Xonne hio niełe- }\end{gathered}$ mes $\curlyvee$ gebygex, ðonne bið hio gelicosð hiere Dryhtne, se wunað ofer eallum ðæm hichstum gesceaftum. Đonne is ðeah betwux ¡issum
 25 memn onderfóð eałmodnesse hiw, sume ofermodnesse, sua sua hie

scended to be among the most insignificant and humblest of men. The humble are to be told that, when they humble themselves most, they rise to the similitude of God. Tell also the proud that, when they exalt themselves, they fall down to the example of the expelled angel. What, then, is worse than pride? Because, when unduly raised, it is estranged very far from true loftiness. What can be loftier than true humility? Which, when it bows lowest, is most like its Lord, who dwells over all the highest creatures. There is, however, something between these two to be considered carefully, which is that some men receive the appearance of humility, some of pride, without knowing it.
 hæfenan, ðeah hie hwilum unforwandodlice sprecen, ðonne hie hwelces unそeawes stiran seulon, ðonne gesugiað hie for ege, \& tiohchiað ðæt ðæt seyle bion for eaðmettum, \& ðonne hie sprecað, ðonne wenað hie [ Z æt hie] sprecen for unforwandodlicre \& orsorglicre ryhtwisnesse. Ac hit bið oftor for ðære ungeðylde hiera upahæfenesse. Da eaðmodan ðonne bioł oft gełrycte mid ðære synne ðæs eges, ðonne hie ne durron unðeawas tælan, \& licettað ðeah ðæt hie ðæt don ðurh eaðmodnesse. Ac ða upahæfenan, ðonne hie licettað ðæt him ne sie nawuhtes cearu ofer $\npreceq a$ ryhtwisnesse, weorðað ðonne unmidiod[e] sua \& aðundene geniedde mid hiera upahæfenesse خæt hie $\chi_{a}$ tælað \& ðreatigað $\begin{aligned} \\ e\end{aligned}$
 ðreatiað ðonne hie sceolden. Forðæm sint to manianne ða upahæfenan Łæt hie ne sien bealdran \& orsorgra[n] ðonne hie seylen, ond $\chi_{a}$ eaðmodan sint to manianne $\not$ ært $^{2}$ hie ne sien suiður underðiedde
 ryhtwisnesse gehwierfen to ofermodnesse, orðe eft ða eaðmodan ðonne hie [ma] wilniað oðrum monnum underðiedde beon ðonne hie ðyrfen, weorten geniedde $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}]$ era unðeawas to herianne \& to weorłianne. Eac is to geðencanne ðætte mon mæg oft $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ bet $\mathrm{\jmath}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ofermodan

Some, who think they are humble, out of fear yield the honour to men which they owe to God. Often also the proud, though they sometimes speak unhesitatingly, when they have to correet some fault are silent from fear, and think it is out of humility, and when they speak, they think they speak from unrestrained and fearless righteousness. But it is oftener from the impatience of their pride. The humble are often oppressed by the sin of timidity, when they dare not blame faults, pretending to do so out of humility. But the proud, when they pretend to care for nothing but virtue, are compelled with the pride of their unrestrained elation to blame and threaten those they should not, or threaten those that they ought more than they ought. Therefore the proud are to be warned not to be bolder and more confident than they ought to be, and the humble are to be warned not to be more subservient than they ought properly to be; lest the proud pervert the advocacy of righteousness to presumption, or again, the humble, when they desire to be more subject to others than they ought, be compelled to praise and respect their faults. It must also be borne in mind, that the proud can often be better rebuked if they are sustained during the

Øreatian，gif hie mon ongemang ðære ðreatunga fet mid sumere heringe．Him mon sceal cyðan ðara goda sum $\begin{aligned} & \text { e hie on him habbar }\end{aligned}$ ołte Łara sum te hie habban meahton，gif hie næfden．Sua we magon betesð ofaceorfan đæt us on him mislicað，خæt we æres૪ gedón 5 さæt hie gehieren æt ûs hwæthwugu خæs 犭e him licige，\＆mid 犭y hicra mod getion to ûs，ðæt hit sie Xe lusðbærre to gehieranne sua hwæt sua we him auter oððe lean ołðe læra wiellen．Forさæm hie beoð to
 to gehieranne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { æt }\end{aligned}$ him mon $\begin{gathered}\text { onne beodan wielle．Swa［swa］wildu }\end{gathered}$ 10 hors，ðonne we h［ie］æresð gefangnu habbað，we hie ðacciað \＆straciad mid bradre handa \＆lemiað，to $\not$ ̛on $\nsucc æ$ we eft on fierste hie moten mid gierdum fullice［ge］læran \＆Xa temian．Sua eac se læce，خonne he bietre wyrta deð to hwelcum drence，he hie gesuet mid hunige， ðylæs he $\searrow_{a}$ bieternesse さære wyrte $_{\text {૪e hine gehæla［n］sceal æt fruman }}$ 15 gefrede，ac ðonne se swæc ðære bieternesse bið bediegled mid ðære swetnesse，ðomne bił se deaðbæra wæta on $\not$ ðæm menn ofslægen mid ðæm biteran drence．Sua mon sceal on $\begin{aligned} & \text { æm } \\ & \text { upahæfenum monnum }\end{aligned}$
 gian，\＆wið heringe gemengan，ðætte hie fur ðære licunga ðære

reproof with a certain amount of praise．They are to be told of some of the good qualities that they have，or might have．We can best cut away that which we disapprove of in them by first making them hear from us something that pleases them，and thus inclining their hearts to us，that they may the more cheerfully hear whatever we wish either to blame or teach．Therefore they are to be reminded of the good they formerly did，that they may the more cheerfully hear what is to be en－ joined on them ；like wild horses，which，when first caught，we soothe and stroke with the palm of our hands，and subdue，that afterwards in course of time we may make them completely docile and tractable with whips．So also the physician，when he makes a draught of bitter herbs，sweetens it with honey，that the patient may not at first notice the bitterness of the herbs which are to cure him ；but when the bitter taste is concealed by the honey，the deadly humour in the man is neutralized by the bitter drink．Thus the beginning and commencement of the blame and reproof of proud men is to be mode－ rated and mingled with praise，that through the gratification of the praise and flattery they like，they may also suffer the blame and re－
 gelæra to urum willan, gif we him cy̌a૪ ha micle ðearfe we hiera habbał, suelce we maran Xearfe hæbben ðæt hie gełeon ðonne hie selfe, \& we hie ðonne biddað ðæt hie for urum ðingum hira unðcawa gesuicen : $\Varangle y$ iełelicor bið sio upahæfenes to gode gehwierfed, gif hie ongietað ðæt hiera eac oðre men ðurfon. Be łæm se ilca Moyses ðe God self lærde, \& hine lædde ðurh Łæt westen mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ fyrenan sweore on nieht, \& on dæg mid $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { sweore } \text { そæs wolnes, he wolde Obab his }\end{aligned}$ sweor ob ‘æs hæðendomes siðum alædan, \& hie wolðe underðiodan ælmilitigum Gode. He cuæ૪: We willað nu faran to łære stowe ૪e God us gehaten hæfx, ac far mid ûs, Łæt we ðe mægen wel don,
 he him, \& cuæ૪: Ic nelle mid 犭e faran, ac ic wille faran to minre cyð̌e, \& to Łæm londe $\succ$ e ic on geboren wæs. Đa andswarade him Moyses: La, ne forlæt ûs, ac beo ure lałeow, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & u \text { cans eal } \chi_{i s} \text { westen, }\end{aligned}$ \& was $ð$ hwær we wician magon. Ne spræc he hit no forðyðe his mod auht genierwed wære mi[d] Łære uncyððe Ł̌æs siðfætes, forðæm hit wæs geweor $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ad } \\ & \text { mid } \Varangle \\ &\end{aligned}$ self witga, forłam hine God hiewcuðlicor on eallum ðingum \& ðeawum imnan lærde đomne ołre menn mid his gelomlicre tospræce, \& utane he
proof they dislike. Often also we can better teach the proud as we wish by telling them how much need we have of them, as if their prosperity were more necessary for us than for themselves, and then asking them to abstain from their vices for our sake; their pride is the more easily reformed the more need they see that other men have of them. Moses, for example, whom God himself taught, and led through the wilderness with the fiery pillar by night, and by day with the pillar of cloud, wished to wean his father-in-law Hobab from his heathen customs, and sulject him to Almighty God. He said : "We will now proceed to the place promised us by God ; and come with us, that we may treat thee well, for God has promised prosperity to the people of Israel." Then he answered him, saying: "I will not go with thee, but return to my home and the country where I was born." Then Moses answered him: "Do not leave us, but be our guide ; thou knowest all this desert, and where we can encamp." He did not speak so because his mind was anxious from ignorance of the journey, for it was honoured with the understanding of divine wisdom, and he was himself a prophet, because God taught him morality and everything more familiarly than other men with his frequent conversations, and ex-
hine ne lærde mid $\Varangle æ m$ sweore $\Varangle_{æ s}$ wolenes. La ah ðeahhwæðre se foreðancula wer, for`æmðe he spræc to ðæm upahæfenan, he bæd his fultumes, swelce him niedðerf wære ; \& bæd ðeah for ðæs oðres خearfe, fortæm he tiohchode him ma to fultemanne; he sohte hine him to 5 látðeowe on $\searrow$ æm wege, for $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm }\end{array}$ he teohchode hine to lædanne on lifes weg. Ac he dyde sua sua ofermod gefera deð: he sceolde beon ðære spræce sua micle gefægenra sua him mare Xearf wæs, \& ไæs ไe gefægenra ðe he him sua eaðmodlice \& sua arlice to spræc, he sceolde


10 XLII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $ð_{a}$ anwillan, ôn ôðre ða (un)gestæððegan \& unfæsðræda.
 unbealdan. Đæm anfealdan stræcum is to cyðanne ટææt hie bet [ne t]ruwien him selfum ðonne h[i]e ðyrfen, Xonne hi [for`y] nyllað 15 geðafan beon oðerra monna geðeahtes. Đæm unbealdum is to cyðanne hu giemelease hie bio犭 đonne hie hie selfe to suiłe forsioð, for $æ æ m$ hie mon æt ælcum cierre mæg for hira leohtmodnesse of hiera agnum geðeahte awendan. Ac ðæm anstræcum is to cyðanne, ไær hie ne wenden خæt hie selfe beteran \& wisran wæren ðonne ołre
ternally he guided him with the pillar of cloud. Behold, however, the provident man, speaking to the proud Hobab, asked his help as if it were necessary for him, and yet asked for the other's benefit, because he wished to help him more ; he sought him as a guide of their way, because he wished to lead him on the way of life. But he acted like a proud companion : he should have rejoiced so much the more at his speech, the more need he had ; and the more humbly and honourably he spoke to him, the more humble he should have been with him, and the more obedient to his advice.
XLII. That the steadfast are to be admonished in one way, in another the fickle and inconstant.
The resolute are to be admonished in one way, in another the irresolute. The resolute are to be told not to trust in themselves more than they ought, when they are not willing to acquiesce in the plans of others. The irresolute are to be told how careless they are, when they despise themselves overmuch, because through their want of resolution they can on every occasion be moved from their own determination. The resolute are to be told that, if they did not deem
menn, ไæt hie ne læten hiera geðeaht \& hiera wenan sua feor beforan ealra ołerra monna wenan. Ac łæm unbealdum is to kyðanne, gif hie be ænegum dæle wolden geخencean hwæt hie selfe wæren, ૪onne ne leten hie no hie eallinga on ælce healfe gebigean, ne fur ðum no awecggan, đeah ไe hie mon manigfealdlice \& mislice styrede, sua sua wâc hreod \& idel, 犭e ælc hwiða windes mæg awecggan. Ac to ðæm anstræcum is gecueden Xurlh sanctus Paulus: Ne sculon ge no Xyncan eow selfum to wise. Ond eft he cuæ૪ to ðæm unbealdum: Ne læte ge eow ælcre lare wind awecggan. To ðæm anstræcum is gecueden ðurh Salomon: Hie etað ðone wæsðm hiera ægnes weges. Đæt is ðonne ðonne hie beoð gefyllede mid hiera ægnum geðeahte. Eft cuæð Salomon be Łæm unbealdum: Dysigra monna mod bið suiłe unemn $\&$ suiłe ungelic, ond $\npreceq$ æs wisan monnes mod bið suiðe emn, \& simle
 bið suið[c] arod \& suiłe gereðre on ryhtum weorcum. Ac 夭ara monna mod bið suiðe ûnemn, for $æ$ æm hit gedeð hit self him selfum suiðe ungelic for $\begin{array}{rrr} \\ \text { gelomlican wendinge, for } \not æ m \text { hit næfre eft ne bir }\end{array}$ Łæt hit ær wæs. Eac is to wietanne łætte sume unðeawas cumað of ołrum unđeawum sua ilce sua h[i]e comon ær of ołrum. Forðy [ûs] is to wietanne ðæet we magon hie sua ǐes $\curlyvee$ mid ðreaunga gebetan, gif

[^23]we خone biteran wille æt łæm æsprynge forwyrceað \& adrygað, forðæm ૪ære anwilnesse æwilm is ofermetta, \& of łære leohtmodnesse cymð sio twiefealdnes \& sio unbieldo. Đa anstræcan $\begin{gathered}\text { onne sint to }\end{gathered}$ monianne łæt hie ongieten ða upahæfenesse hiora modes, \& geornlice 5 tiligen Łæt hie hie selfe oferwinnen, ૪ylæs ðonne hie óferhyggað łæt hie sien oferreahte utane mid ołerra manna ryhtum spellum \& larum, hie łonne sien innan gehæfte mid ofermetum. Eac hie sint to
 God Fæder, us salde bisne ur[ne] willan to brecanne, $\chi_{a}$ he cuæð: Ne 10 sece ic no minne willan, ac mines Fæder, ðe me hider sende. \& eac he gehett $\not$ æt he sua don wolde, ðonne he eft come on ðæm ytemestan
 ðære lare. Đæt he cyðde, ða he cuæ૪: Ne mæg ic nane wuht don mines agnes ðonces, ac sua ic deme sua ic minne Fæder gehiere. Ac 15 hwy sceal ænigum menn ðonne ðyncean to orgellic ðæt he onbuge to ołres monnes willan, ðonne Godes agen sunu, ðonne [he] cymð mid his mægen $\begin{aligned} \text { rymme to } \\ \text { demanne, } \& ~ h i s ~ w u l d o r ~ t o ~ æ t i e w a n n e, ~ h e ~ c y r d e ~\end{aligned}$ ðæt he no ðonne of him selfum ne demde, ac of ðæm ðe hine sent?
 20 hie hera mod mid stillnesse \& gestæððignesse gestrongien. Sona

[^24]aseariað $\npreceq a$ twigu $ð æ r e ~ h w u r f u l n e s s e, ~ g i f ~ æ r e s ð ~ s e ~ w y r t r u m a ~ b i ð ~$ fo（r）corfen æt Łære heortan，Łæt（is）sio leohtmodnes．Đy mon sceal fæsðne weal wyrcean，خy mon ær gehawige Łæt se grund fæs૪ sie，ไær mon $\begin{array}{r}\text { one } \\ \text { grundweall onlecgge．Đy sceal eac bion ofersuiðed sio }\end{array}$
夭a leohtmodnesse．Đære leohtmodnesse \＆ðære leasmodnesse sanctus Paulus hine ladode， $\mathrm{xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he cuæ૪：Wene ge nu łæt ic ænigre leoht－ modnesse bruce，oððe ðætte ic そence æfter woruldluste，ołðe wene ge ðæt ægðer sie mid me ge gise ge nese？Suelce he openlice cuæde ðæt hine ne meahte nân scur đære hwurfulnesse astyrigean，fortæm he そære leohtmodnesse unłeawes nanwuht næfde．

XLIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\nsucc a$ łe hie selfe forgiefað gifernesse，on ołre wisan $\succ \mathrm{a}$ ðe doð forhæfd－ nesse．

On ołre wisan sint to manigenne $\Varangle_{a}$ gifran，on o $\nsucc_{r e} \Varangle_{a} \succ_{e}$ forhæfd－ nesse doð，forðæm $\npreceq m$ ofergifrum wile fylgean ofersprecolnes \＆
inconstancy soon wither，if the root has been cut out in the heart，that is levity．A strong wall must be built in a place where the ground has previously been ascertained to be firm，where the foundation is laid．Inconstancy and irresolution of the thoughts shall also be over－ come by guarding against levity．Paul cleared himself of the impu－ tation of levity and inconstancy，when he said：＂Do ye think that I employ any levity，or that I think according to worldly lusts，or do ye think that it is with me both yes and no？＂As if he had openly said that no breeze of inconstancy could move him，because he had nothing of the vice of levity．

XLIII．That those who give themselves up to gluttony are to be admonished in one way，in another those who practise abstinence．
The greedy are to be admonished in one way，in another those who practise abstinence ；because loquacity，levity，frivolity，and wanton－
leohtlicu weorc \& leaslicu \& wrænnes, \& ðæm swiðe fæstendan oft
 licu spræc ne eglde, ðonne ne burne se weliga $\chi_{e}$ suiður on $犭 æ r e$
 5 ælce dæge symblede, ðæt wæs se se ðe cwæ૪: Fæder Habraham, miltsa me, \& onsend Ladzarus, ðætte he gewæte his ytemestan finger on wættre, \& mid łæm gecele mine tungan, forłæm ic eom cwielmed on
 blað, on łære tungan suiłur syngiał ðonne on (o)ðrum limum, for-
 him Łære kelnesse bæd. Ond eft łæm gifrum suǐe hrædlice him willað fylgan leohtlicu weorc \& unnyt. Đæt trymeð sio halige æ̂, ðær hio cuæ૪: Đæt folc sæt, æt, \& dranc, \& sixðan aryson, \& eodon him plegean. Sua oft se oferæt wier gehwierfed to fierenluste,

 ૪æs ærestan monnes mod ontynde on $\not$ ææs æples gewilnunge, \& hit $\chi_{a}$

ness are apt to follow gluttony, and impatience, sometimes also pride, often follow abstinence. If the greedy were not afflicted with loquacity, the rich man would not have burned more in the tongue than in his other members, of whom it is said in the Gospel that he feasted daily, and who it was that said : "Father Abraham, have pity on me, and send Lazarus, that he may wet the tip of his finger in water, and cool with it my tongue, because I am tormented in this flame." With this speech was signified, that they who feast daily, sin more in the tongue than other members, because he was burning all over, and yet specially mentioned his tongue, and asked to have it cooled. And, again, frivolous and unprofitable works very soon follow gluttony. Which the holy law confirms, saying: "The people sat, ate, and drank, and then arose, and went to play." So gluttony often becomes lasciviousness, because, when the belly is full and distended, the goad of lust is excited. Therefore it was said with the divine voice to the cunning foe, who inflamed the mind of the first man with the desire of the

૪u ricsast ofer $\begin{aligned} & \text { a menniscan heortan．Forðæm } \nearrow æ m ~ p e ~ o n ~ g i f e r n e s s e ~\end{aligned}$ gewitene bioð wile folgian firenlust．Đæt cyðde se witga，$૪$ a he ðæt openlice sæde ૪ætte swæ gewearð，\＆ðæt gebiecnede ðæt ða giet diegle wæs，he cwæ૪：Koka aldormon towearp 〇a burg æt Hierusalem． Đara koka aldormon bił sio womb，forłæm eall hiora geswinc \＆ hiora ðenung belimper to hiere，hu heo weorðe mid swotlecustum mettum gefylled．Đa weallas ૪onne Hierusalem getacniał ૪a mægenu ૪ære saule．Đa mægenu bioð arærede mid wilnunge to ðære uplican sibbe．Ac ðæra koka ealdormon towierpð ૪a weallas Hierusalem． Đæt is，夭onne $\not$ 欠onne sio womb bið ałened mid fylle for gifernesse， ૪onne towierpð hio ðurh firenlustas $૪$ a mægenu ðære saule．Ongean
 oft mid ungeðylde ne ascoke $\chi_{a}$ sibbe of $\nsucc æ m$ sceate $\nsucc æ r e ~ s m y l t n e s s e$,夭onne ne cwæðe sanctus Petrus to his cnihtum swæ swæ he cwæ૪，he cwæ૪：Nu ge habbał geleafan，wyrcał nu god weore，\＆habbał ૪onne wisdom，\＆on そæm wisdome habbað forhæfdnesse，\＆eac læra૪，\＆ huru on ðære forhæfdnesse geðylde．Ne cwæde he no swæ，gif he ne
 خyld oft dereð $犭 æ m$ monnum pe micle forhæfdnesse habbað，ða lærde he $\npreceq$ æt hie huru sceolden $\npreceq a ~ h a b b a n ~ t o e a c a n ~ ð æ r e ~ f o r h æ f d n e s s e . ~$
 fæstendan，Xonne ne cwæde no sanctus Paulus：Se pe fæstan wille，ne

apple，and caught it in the trap of $\sin$ ：＂On thy belly and breast thou shalt creep．＂As if he had openly said：＂With gluttony and un－ profitable thoughts thou rulest over the hearts of men，because lust follows those who are found in gluttony．＂Which the prophet pro－ claimed，when he openly said what really happened，and signified that which was yet obscure，saying：＂The chief of cooks overthrew the city of Jerusalem．＂The cooks＇chief is the belly，because all their toil and service concerns it，how it is to be filled with the most luscious dainties．The walls of Jerusalem signify the virtues of the soul．The virtues are raised by the desire of celestial peace．But the chief of the cooks overthrows the walls of Jerusalem．That is，that when the belly is distended with repletion through greediness，it over－
 Suelce he openlice cuæde: On giefernesse \& on unnytum ge`ohtum  ongietene beo \(ð\) wile folgian fiereulust. Đæt cyðde se witga, ða he 5 ðæt openlice sæde ðætte sua gewear૪, \& ðæt gebiennede ðæt \(\succ_{a}\) giet diegle wæs, he cuæ૪: Koka caldormon towearp ða burg æt Hierusalem. Đara koka ealdormon bið sio womb, forðæm eall hiera gesuinc \& hiera ðenung belimpeð to hiere, hu heo weor`e mid swotlecustum mettum gefylled. Đa weallas ðonne Hierusalem getaeniað ða mægenu $^{\text {ma }}$ 10 ðære saule. Đa mægenu beoð aræredu mid wilnunge to ðære ûplican sibbe. Ac ðara koka ealdormon towierpð $ð_{a}$ weallas Hierusalem. Đæt is, ðonne $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne sio wamb bið aðened mid fylle for giefernesse, }\end{aligned}$ ðonne towierpð hio ðurh fierenlustas ða mægenu ðære saule. Ongean

 nesse, Xonne ne cuæde sanctus Petrus to his cnihtum [sua] sua he cuæð, he cwæ૪: Nu ge habbað geleafan, wyreeał nu gôd weore, \& habbað ðonne wisdôm, \& on ðam wisdome [habbað] forhæfdnesse, \& eae lærað, \& huru on ðære forhæfdnesse geðylde. Ne cuæde he no sua, 20 gif he ne ôngeate ðæt him wæs ðæs wana, ac for`yðe he ongeat ðæt sio ungeðyld oft dere $૪$ ðæm mannum ૪e micle forhæfdnes(se) habbað, ૪a lærde he ‘æt hie huru sceoldon $ð_{a}$ habban toeacan $\succ_{æ r e}$ forhæfdnesse.
 fæstendan, ðonne ne cuæde [nó] sanctus Paulus: Se ૪e fæstan wille,

throws with lust the virtues of the soul. On the contrary, the abstinent are to be told that, if the abstinence of the spirit did not very often with impatience drive out peace from the bosom of tranquillity, St. Peter would not have spoken to his disciples as he did, when he said: "Now that ye have faith, do now good works, and have wisdom, and in wisdom have abstinence, and also teach it, and in abstinence patience." He would not have said so, had he not perceived that they wanted it; and seeing that impatience often injures the man of great abstinence, he enjoined it on them in addition to abstinence. If also the sin of pride did not wound all the oftener the minds of the abstinent, St. Paul would not have said: "He who wishes to fast must not blame him who eats." And again, he said to
ongeat ðæt hie gulpun hiera fæstenes：Oft Yonne mon ma fæst خonne he ðyrfe，ðonne eowað he utan eaðmodnesse，\＆for ðerre ilcan eaðmod－ nesse he ofermodegað innan micle $\searrow$ y hefiglicor．Gif $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { mod full oft }\end{array}$ ne aðunde on ofermettum for Łære forhæfdnesse，ðonne ne talode se ofermoda Phariseus to swæ micle mægene $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { forhæfdnesse swæ he }\end{array}$ dyde，ða he cwæ૪：Ic fæste tuwa on wucan．Ongean ðæt sint to
 ðære gifernesse \＆さære oferwiste，Łæt hie huru hiene selfne ne ðurh－ stinge mid $\partial y$ sweorde unryhthæmdes，ac，ongiete hu micel leoht－ modnes \＆leasferðnes \＆oferspræc cymð of ðære oferwiste，૪ylæs hie hit mid $\npreceq m$ ołrum yfle geiece，\＆eac Xonne he his wombe swæ hnesclice oleč，Xæt he fortæm ne weor＇̌e wælreowlice gefangen mid Xæm grinum uncysta．Ac we sculon geخencean，swæ oft swæ we ure hand doð to urum mǔe for gifernesse ofergemet，ไæt we geedniwiað \＆gemyndgiað ðære scylde pe ure ieldesta mæg us on forworhte，\＆we bioł swæ micle fierr gewitene from urum æfterran Mæge pe us eft geðingode，swæ we of ̌or aslidał on そæm unðeawe．Ongean そæt sint to manianne đa fæstendan đæt hie huru geornlice giemen，ðær そær hie fleo૪ ðone unðeaw ðære gifernesse，ไætte of ไæm gode ne weoře wierse

 swǐed，gif Łæt mod bił mid Łæm ierre oferswiłed．Oft eac，خonne



the Colossians，when he perceived that they boasted of their abstinence： ＂Often，when a man fasts more than he need，he makes an outward display of humility，and is all the more proud internally because of the same humility．＂If the heart were not very often inflated with the pride of abstinence，the proud Pharisee would not have esteemed abstinence so great a virtue as he did，when he said：＂I fast twice in the week．＂The gluttonous，on the contrary，are to be admonished，if they cannot abstain from the vice of greediness and gluttony，at any rate not to run themselves through with the sword of fornication，but understand how much frivolity，folly，and loquacity proceeds from gluttony，lest they aggravate it with the other evil，and lest by pam－ pering their belly so delicately they be cruelly caught in the trap of vices．
ongeat ðæt hie gulpun hiera fæstennes：Oft ðonne mon ma fæst ðonne
 nesse he ofermodgað innan micle $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { hefelicor．Gif } \text { ææt mod ful oft }\end{aligned}$ ne ałunde on ofermettum for đære forhæfdnesse，ðonne ne talode se 5 ofermoda Phariseus to sua micle mægene ða forhæfdnesse sua he dyde，$㐅_{a}$ he cwæ૪：Ic fæste tuwa on wican．Ongean $\npreceq æ t$ sint to
 ðære gifernesse \＆ðære oferwiste，ðæt he huru hine selfne ne ðurh－ stinge mid ðy sweorde unryhthæmedes，ac óngiete hu micel leoht－ 10 modnes \＆leasferðnes \＆oferspræc cymeð of ðære oferwiste，ðylæs he hit mi（d）ไæm ołrum yfele geiece，\＆eac $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { he his wambe sua }\end{gathered}$
 mid $\not$ ææm grinum uncysta．Ac we sculun ge gencean，sua oft sua we ure hand doð to urum muðe for giefernesse ofergemet，ðæt we geedni－ 15 wiað \＆gemyndgiað そære scylde خe ure ieldesta mæg us on［for］worhte， \＆we beoð sua micle fier gewitene fram urum æfterra［n］Mæge ðe us $^{2}$
 sint to manianne đa fæstendan đæt hie huru geornlice giemen，đær さær
 20 wyrse yfel akenned，ไætte，ðonne ðonne ðæt flæsc hlænað，ðæt mod ne
 swǐed，gif そæt mod bið mid $\Varangle æ m$ ierre oferswiłed．Oft eac，ðonne ðæt mod Łæs $^{\text {fæstendan }}$ bi $\gamma$ mid $\chi_{y}$ irre ofseten，$\chi_{\text {onn }}[\mathrm{e}]$ cym $\gamma$ sio blis



But we must consider，as often as we put our hand to our mouth for excessive greediness，that we renew and recall to mind the sin wherewith our oldest kinsman ruined us，and that we have departed so much the further from our later Kinsman who afterwards interceded for us，the oftener we fall into that vice．The abstinent，on the contrary，are to be admonished to be very careful，that，when they avoid the vice of gluttony，from that good a worse evil may not arise，lest，when the flesh becomes lean，the mind rush into impatience，so that the victory over the flesh is useless，if the mind is overcome by anger．Often also， when the mind of the abstinent man is oppressed with anger，joy comes seldom，as if it were a guest or stranger ；because the mind is polluted with anger，and therefore loses the advantage of abstinence，because he
 wæs swiðe ryhte gecweden 犭urh ðone witgan：On eowrum fæsten－ dagum bið ongieten eower willa．And sona Łæræfter he cwæ૪：To gemotum \＆to gecidum \＆to iersunga \＆to fystgebeate ge fæstað． Willa belimpð simle to blisse \＆¿æt fystgebeat to ierre．On idelnesse ðonne bið se lichoma mid fæstenne geswenced，夭onne $\not$ ææt mod bið forlæten \＆onstyred \＆todæled ungeðafenlice \＆unendebyrdlice on uňeawas．Ond ðeah hie sint to manianne ðæt hie no hiera fæsten ne gewanigen，ne eft ne wenen $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { nt hit anlepe full healic mægen sie }\end{array}$ beforan ðæm dieglan Deman，૪ylæs hie wenen ðæt hit anlepe micelre geearnunga mægen sie，\＆łonne weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \text { on hiera mode for } ð y \text { to }\end{aligned}$ upahæfene．Be łæm wæs gecweden ðurh Esaias łone witgan：Ne geceas ic no ðis fæsten，ac ðyllic fæsten ic geceas：brec ðæm hyn－ griendum ૪inne hlaf，\＆૪one widfarendan \＆૪one wædlan læd on $\begin{aligned} & \text { in }\end{aligned}$ hus．Be ðæm we magon geðencean hu lytelu sio forhæfdnes bið gesewen，gif hio ne bił mid ołrum godum weorcum geieced．Be $\not$ ææm cwæð Iohel se witga：Gehalgiað eower fæsten．Đæt is ðonne そæt mon his fæsten gehalgige，ð̌æt he hit geiece mid ołrum godum weorcum ；Xonne mæg he eowian ðær Gode swiðe licwyrłe forhæfd－
 ðonne Gode swiðe licwyrðe forhæfdnesse brengað，ðonne hie ðearfen－ dum monnum sellað hiora ondlifene 犭one dæl pe hie him selfum ofteo૪．Ac us is swiðe geornlice to gehieranne hwæt Dryhten ðreati－ ende cwæð to Iudeum ૪urh Sacharias łone witgan；he cwæð：Eall ðæt ไæt ge fæston \＆weopon on ðæm fiftan \＆on ðæm siofoðan monðe
did not refrain from the spiritual sin．Of which was very rightly said through the propliet ：＂By your fast－days is known your will．＂ And soon after he said ：＂For meetings，and disputes，and anger，and fisticuffs ye fast．＂Good will always belongs to joy，and fisticuffs to anger．In vain therefore is the body afflicted with fasting，when the mind is let loose，and excited，and distracted improperly and un－ seasonably by vices．And yet they are to be admonished not to diminish their fasting，nor，again，to think that it alone is a very lofty virtue in the eyes of the unseen Judge，lest they think that it alone is a virtue of great merit，and so become too much puffed up in spirit． Of which was spoken through Isaiah the prophet：＂I chose not this
forłæmðe he hine nô ne beheold wið ða gæstlican scylde. Be łæm wæs suiðe ryh[te] gecueden ૪urh ðone witgan: On eowrum fæstendagum bił ôngieten eower willa. \& sona łæræfter he cuæð: To gemotum \& to gecidum \& to iersunga \& to fystgebeate ge fæstar. 5 Willa belimp to blisse simle \& $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { fyst [gebeat] to irre. On iedel- }\end{array}$
 bið forlæten \& onstyred \& todæled ungedafenlice \& unendebyrdlice ôn
 ne gewanigen, ne eft ne wenen $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rt hit anlipe full healic mægen sie }\end{array}$ 10 beforan $\not$ æm dieglan Deman, ðylæs hie wenen ðæt hit anlipe micellre geearnunge mægen sie, \& خonne weorðen on hiera mode [forðy] to úpahæfene. Be ðæm wæs gecueden ðurh Essaias ðone witgan: Ne geceas ic no ðis fæsten, ac ðyllic fæsten ic geceas: brec ðæm hyngriendum ૪inne hlaf, \& خone widfarendan \& 犭one wædlan lêd ôn $\begin{aligned} & \text { in }\end{aligned}$ 15 hus. Be ðæm we magon geðencean hu lytelu sio forhæfdnes bið gesewen, gif hio ne bið mid oðrum goodum weorcum geiced. Be ðæm cuæ૪ Iohel se witga: Gehalgiað eower fæsten. Đæt is ðonne ðæt mon his fæsten gehalgige, ðæt he hit geice mid ołrum godum weorcum ; خonne mæg he eowian ðær Gode suiłe gelicweorðe forhæfd-
 ðonne Gode suiðe licwyrðe forhæfdnesse briengað, ðonne hie ðearfendum monnum sellað hiera ondliefene ðone dæl 犭e hi him selfum oftioð. Ac us is suiðe geornlice to gehieranne hwæt Dryhten ðreatigende cuæð to Iudeum ðurh Sacharias $\begin{aligned} & \text { one witgan ; he cuæ૪: Eall }\end{aligned}$ 25 ðæt ðæt ge fæstun \& weopun on ðæm fiftan \& on ðam siofoðan monðe
fasting, but this is the fasting I chose: break thy bread for the hungry, and lead the wanderer and beggar into thine house." From which we can consider how lightly abstinence is estimated, if it is not increased with other virtues. Of which Joel the prophet spoke: "Hallow your fast." A man hallows his fast when he increases it with other good works ; then he can show to God a very acceptable abstinence. Therefore the abstinent are to be told, that they bring to God a very worthy abstinence, when they give that portion of their substance to the poor of which they deprive themselves. But we must listen attentively to what the Lord said, rebuking the Jews through Zachariah the prophet; he said: "All your fasting and weeping in the
nu hundsiofontig wintra, ne fæste ge خæs nauht me, and ðonne ge æton, Xonne æton ge eow selfum, \& ðonne ge druncon, ðonne druncon ge eow selfum. Ne fæst se no Gode ac him selfum, se pe łæt nyle ðearfum sellan ðæt he ðonne on mæle læf $\gamma$, ac wile hit healdan eft to oðrum mæle, そæt he eft mæge his wambe mid gefyllan. Ac swa he sceal etan łætte hiene sio gewilnung łære gifernesse of his modes fæstrædnes(se) ne gelrenge, ne eft sio ðræsting ðæs lichoman ðæt mod ne ascrence mid upahæfennesse. Gehieren $\chi_{a}$ oferetolan $\chi_{a}$ word Xe Crist of his agnum mułe cwæð, he cwæð: Behaldað eow ðæt iowre heortan ne sien gehefgode mid oferæte \& druncennesse \& on to manigfaldum ymbehogan خisse worlde, خylæs iow on ðæm weorcum gemete se re $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& se egeslica dæg, se cymð ofer ealle eorðwaran un- }\end{aligned}$ خinged, swæ swæ grin. Gehieren eac ða fæstendan hwæt he eft cwæð, he cwæ૪: Ne geunclænsað łæt no łone mon ðæt on his mu૪ gæ૪,
 oferetolan hwæt sanctus Paulus cwæð: Fulga nu se mete ðære wambe willan, \& sio wamb ðæs metes, ðonne towierpð God ægðer. And eft he cwæ૪: Ne gewunige ge no to oferetolnesse \& to oferdruncennesse. And eft he cwæ૪: Se ofermete ne befæst us næfre Gode. Gehieren eft $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { fæstendan } h w æ t ~ h e ~ t o ~ h i m ~ c w æ ૪, ~ h e ~ c w æ ૪ ~ p æ t ~ \text { cm } \\ & \text { clænum }\end{aligned}$ wære eal clæne, \& $\searrow æ m$ unclænum nære nauht clæne. Gehieren eft ða oferetolan hwæt he to him cwæð, he cwæð ðætte hiera womb wære hiora God, \& hie dyden him hiora bismer to weor $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{cipe}$. Gehieren
 bendum hwilum gebyrede Łæt hie gewiten of hiera geleafan, \& for-
fifth and seventh months for now seventy years, was not for me ; and when ye ate, ye ate for yourselves, and when ye drank, ye drank for yourselves." He fasts not for God, but for himself, who will not give the poor what he leaves of his meal, but wishes to keep it for another meal, to fill his belly with it afterwards. But he ought to eat so that the impulse of greediness may not move him from the consistency of his mind, nor, again, the mortification of his body deceive the mind with pride. Let the greedy hear the words which Christ spoke with his own mouth, saying: "Take care that your hearts be not oppressed with gluttony, and drunkenness, and too manifold cares of this world, lest in these works ye be overtaken by the fierce and terrible day, which shall come unawares on all the dwellers of earth, like a snare." Let the
nu hundsiofantig wintra, ne fæste ge ðæs nawuht me, \& خonne ge
 ge eow selfum. Ne fæs૪ se no Gode ac him selfum, se ðe ðæt nyle
 5 to ołrum mæle, てæt he eft mæge his wambe [mid] gefyllan. Ac sua he sceal etan Xætte hine sio gewilnung ไære gifernesse of his modes
 ne ascrence mid úpahæfenesse. Gehieren $\succ_{a}$ oferetolan $\succ_{a}$ word $\chi_{e}$ Krist of his agnum muðe cuæð, he cuæ૪: Behealdað eow ðæt eowre 10 heortan ne sin gehefegode mid oferæte \& druncennesse \& on to monigfaldum ymbehogan خisse worulde, خylæs eow ôn خæm weorcum gemete se re $\nearrow a \&$ se egeslica dæg, se cymð ofer ealle eorðwaran ungeðinged, sua sua grin. Gehieren eac $\mathrm{Xa}_{\text {f }}$ æstendan hwæt he eft cuæð, he cuæ૪: Ne geûnclænsað ðæt nô ðone mon ðæt on his mûð gæ̂ð,
 oferetolan hwæt sanctus Paulus cuæ૪: Fulga nu se mete ðære wambe willan, \& sio wamb łæs metes, خonne towyrpð God ægそer. \& eft he cuæð: Ne gew[u]nigen ge to oferetolnisse \& to oferdruncennisse. \& eft he cuæ૪: Se ofermete ne befæs $\gamma$ is næbre Gode. Gehieren eft 20 ða fæstendan hwæt he to him cuæð, he cuæ૪ ðæt ðæm clænum wære eal clæne, \& Xæm unclænum nære nan wuht clæne. Gehiren
 hiera God, \& hie dydon hiera bysmer him to wyr §scipe. Gehieren
 25 bendum hwilum gebyrede خæt hie gewieten $^{2}$ hiera geleafan, \& for-
abstinent hear what he said again ; he said: "What goes into a man's mouth defiles him not, but what comes from his mouth, that defiles him." Let the greedy hear what St. Paul said: "If the meat follow the belly's will, and the belly the meat's, God will destroy them both." And again, he said: "Accustom yourselves not to gluttony and drunkenness." And again, he said : "Glattony will never unite us to God." Again, let the abstinent hear what he said to them ; he said that to the pure all is pure, and to the impure is nothing pure. Again, let the greedy hear what he said to them; he said that their belly was their god, and that they gloried in their disgrace. Let the abstinent also hear what he said to them ; he said that it sometimes happened to the abstinent that they departed from their faith, and "forbid men
 etonne geleaffullum monnum, さæm pe ongietað soðfæstnesse, \& Gode ðancia久 mid godum weorcum his gifa. Gehieren $ð$ a oferetolan hwæt sanctus Paulus cwæð, he cwæð ðæt hit wære god ðæt mon foreode flæsc \& win for bisene his broðrum. Gehieren ${ }_{\text {Xa }}$ fæstendan hwæt he eft cwæð, he cwæð ðæt ge moston drincan gewealden wines for eowres
 oferetolan geleornodon ðæt hie to ungemetlice ne wilnoden flæscmetta,
 gife brucał pe ða ołre forberað.
XLIV. Đætte on oðre wisan sint to manianne $x_{a}$ pe hiora agnu خing mildheortlice sellað, \& on oðre $\succ_{a}$ pe $\not{ }_{\text {onne }}$ giet wilniað oðerra monna gereafian.

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\searrow$ a pe ðonne hiera god mildheortlice sellað, on ołre wisan ða pe ðonne git flitað æfter oðerra monna, \& hie reafiað. To manianne sint $\Varangle$ a pe hiora mildheortlice sellað $\not$ ætte hie
 hie hiora sellað, ne hie selfe $\Varangle_{y}$ betran ne talien pe $ð_{a}{ }^{\circ} ð_{r e}$, خeah pa ołre be him libben. Ac ða eorðlican hlafordas sint to $\not$ ææm gesette ðætte hie $\succ_{a}$ endebyrdnesse \& $\succ_{a}$ ðenunga hiora hieredum gebrytnige,
 rædum libban. Đæm hlafordum is beboden łæt hie him don $\begin{aligned} & \text { tot }\end{aligned}$
marriage, and the meats which God himself made to be eaten by believers who understand the truth, and thank God for his gifts with good works." Let the gluttonous hear what St. Paul said ; he said that it were good for a man to abstain from meat and wine, as an example to his brothers. Let the abstinent also hear what he said again; he said that "ye may drink wine moderately for the weakness of your stomachs." He said so, because he wished the greedy to learn not to desire flesh-meats immoderately, and, again, the abstinent not to despise the eaters, because they avail themselves of the gifts of God from which the others abstain.
 etanne geleaffullum monnum, ðæm łe ongietað soðfæsðnisse, \& Gode Xonciað mid goodum weorcum his giefa. Gehieren $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ oferetolan hwæt sanctus Paulus cwæ૪, he cuæ૪ đæt hit wære good $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { mon moreode }\end{array}$ 5 flæsc \& win for bisene his broðrum. Gehiren ða fæstendan hwæt he eft cuæð, he cuæ૪ łæt ge moston drincan gewealden wines for eowres
 oferetolan geleornoden そæt hie to ungemetlice ne wilnoden flæscmetta, ond ef[t] $\succ_{a}$ fæstendan ne forsawen $\succ_{a}$ etendan, for $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { Øe } \\ \text { hie } & \text { ære }\end{array}$ 10 Godes giefe brucað ða ૪e o૪re forbæra૪.
XLIV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne ða łe hiora agnu ðing mildheortlice sellað, \& on oðre wisan $\chi_{\mathrm{a}}$ ૪e 夭onne giet wilniad ołerra monna gereafigan.

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\searrow a$ ðe hira gôd mildheortlice sellað,
 reafiað. To manienne sint $\succ_{a}$ ðe hiera mildheortlice sellað $\not$ ætte hie ne aðinden on hiora mode to ðæm $\not$ æt hi hi hæbben ofer $\mathrm{ða}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe
 oðre be him libben. Ac خa eorðlican hlafordas sint to ðæm gesette 20 ðæt hie $\chi_{a}$ endebyrdnesse \& ða ðegnunga hiora hieredum gebrytnige, \& hie gerecce, \& ðæt folc is to ðæm gesett ðæt hie scylen be hira rædum libban. Đæm hlafordum is beboden ðæt hie him doon ðæt
XLIV. That those who generously give away their own property are to be admonished in one way, in another those who still desire to rob others.

Those who generously give away their property are to be admonished in one way, in another those who still strive after that of others, and rob them. Those who generously give away their own are to be admonished not to be puffed up in spirit, so as to exalt themselves above those to whom they give their property, nor account themselves better than the others, although these live by them. Earthly masters are appointed to arrange the degrees and avocations of their households, and rule them, and the people are appointed to live by their decrees. The masters are commanded to do for them what is needful,
hiora خearf sie，\＆ðæm ðegnum is beboden ðæt hie læten him đæt to

 his unhyldo pe hit him bryttian sceoldon，\＆ $\begin{aligned} & \text { a bio } ð \text { butan ierre pe be }\end{aligned}$ hiora gifum libban sculon．Eac sint to manianne ða pe ðonne mild－ heortlice sellað さæt hie ðonne habbał，ไæt hie ðonne ongieten łæt hie sint gesette ðæm hefencundan Gode to ðeningmonnum，to dælonne ðas lænan god．Forææm hie hie sculon swa micle estelicor dælan swæ hie
 hie magon ongietan $\Varangle æ$ か hie bio to hiora Łenunga gesette Godes gife
 aðundene on hiora mode？Him wære ðonne micel ðearf ðæt hie leten Godes ege hie geeaðmedan．And eac him is micel ðearf ðæt hie geornlice geðencen đæt hie to unweorðlice ne dælen $\npreceq æ t$ him befæst bið，ðylæs hie auht sellen $\Varangle æ m$ pe hie nanwuht ne scoldon，oðそe nauht ðæm pe hie hwæthwugu scoldon，o૪ðe eft fela ðæm ðe hie lytel scoldon，o૪ðe lytel $\npreceq m$ pe hie micel scoldon，ðylæs hie unnytlice for－ weorpen ไæt ðæt hie sellen for hiora hrædhydignesse，ołðe him eft hefiglice of ðynce łæs pe hie sceal don，\＆hie scylen selfe bion bid－ dende，\＆for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { weor wen geunrotsode，} \text { ołðe hie eft her wilnigen ðara }\end{aligned}$ leana Łæs pe hie on ælmessan sellað，خylæs sio gidsung Łæs lænan

 ðynce૪ દæt he swiðe wel atogen hæbbe，his mod swiður fægnige \＆ blissige ðonne hit gemetlic ołðe gedafenlic sie．Ac ðonne hie hit eall
and the servants are commanded to content themselves with what is given to them．And yet the masters often $\sin$ ，and the servants who are righteous continue in God＇s grace，and those incur his displeasure who ought to distribute it to them，and those are without anger who have to live by their gifts．They are also to be admonished who generously give away what they have，to understand that they are appointed stewards of the God of heaven，to distribute these transitory goods．They must so much the more graciously distribute them the more transitory and precarious they perceive that that is which they distribute，because they can understand that they are appointed to their ministration to distribute to them God＇s gifts．Why，then， shall they be on that account proud and inflated in their minds？

 $\succ_{a}$ menn wuniað on Godes hyldo $\chi_{a}$ ðe ryhtwise beoð, \& $\Varangle_{a}$ habbað his únhyldo $\nless e$ hit him bry[t]tian sceoldon, \& $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ beoð butan ierre $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$ 5 be hiera giefum libban sculon. Eac sint to manienne $\chi_{a}$ ðe ðonne mildheortlice sellað đæt hie ðonne habbað, ðæt hie ðonne angieten ðæt hie sint gesette ðæm hefencundan Gode to 欠eningmannum, to dælanne ðas lænan gôd. Fortæm hie hie sculon sua micle estelicor dælan sua
 10 bie magon ongietan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æt hi beoł to hiera } \text { خenunga gesette Godes giefe }\end{array}$ to dælanne. Hwy sculon hie $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne beon fortæm upahæfene \& ałun- }\end{array}$
 Godes ege hie geeaðmedan. \& eac him is micel ðearf Łæt hie geornlice
 15 ðylæs hie awuht sellen ðæm ðe hie nanwuht ne sceoldon, ołðe nan
 lytel sceoldon, o૪૪e lytel ðæm ðe hie micel sceoldon, ૪ylæs hie unnytlice forweorpen ไæt ðæt hie sellen for hira hrædhydignesse, ołðe him eft hefiglice of Øynce 犭æs łe hie sealdon, \& [hi] scylen selfe beon bid20 dende, \& forły weořen geunrotsode, ołte hie eft her wilnigen łara leana ૪æs ðe hie on ælmessan sellað, ૪ylæs sio gidsung đæs lænan lofes adwæsce ðæt leoht ðære giofolnesse, ołðe eft sio giofolness sie gemenged wið unrotnesse, ołðe [he] eft for ไæm giefum, ไe him łonne



It were very necessary for them to let the fear of God humble them. It is also very necessary for them carefully to resolve not to distribute what is entrusted to them dishonourably, lest they give anything to those to whom they ought to give nothing, or nothing to those they ought to give something ; or, again, much to those they ought to give little, or little to those they ought to give much, lest through their hastiness they uselessly throw away what they give, or afterwards bitterly repent having given it, and themselves have to ask, and therefore be disheartened ; or afterwards desire to receive here the rewards of their charity, lest the desire of transitory praise quench the light of generosity ; or, again, the generosity be mingled with sadness; or, again, because of the gifts which they think they have
ryhtlice gedæled hæbben, æonne ne teon hie nanwuht ðæs lofes \& ðæs ðances to him, ðylæs hie hit eall forleosen, ðonne hie hit gedæled hæbben, ne him selfum ne tellen to mægene hiora friodom ; ac gehieren hwæt awriten is on łæm ærendgewrite sancte Petres: Gif hwa ðenige, Xenige he swelce he hit of Godes mægene Xenige, næs of his selfes, Xylæs he to ungemetlice fægnige for his godum weorcum. Ac gehieren hwæt awriten is on Cristes bocum, hit is awriten : Đonne ge eall hæbben gedon łæt eow beboden is, ðonne cwełe ge ðæt ge sien unnytte خeowas, forðæm ge ðæt an worhton ðæt ge niede scoldon.
 cwide pe sanctus Paulus cwæð to Corintheum, he cwæð ðætte $\begin{gathered}\text { one }\end{gathered}$

 bocum awriten is, $\chi_{æ t}$ is $\chi_{æ t}$ sio winestre hond ne scyle witan hwæt sio swiðre dó. Đæt is, ðonne ðonne he his ælmessan dælð, ðæt ðær ne sie wið gemenged nan gilp ðisses andweardan lifes, ne he ne scrife łæs hlisan buton hu he ryhtost wyrce. Ne he ne gime hwelce hylde he mid ðære ælmessan gewrixle, ac gehiere hwæt awriten is on Cristes bocum, he cwæð: Đonne ðu hæbbe gegearwod underngif oł૪e æfengifl, ne lała ðærto no ðine friend, ne ðinne brołur, ne ðine cuðan, ne ðine welegan neahgeburas, ૪ylæs hie ðe dôn ðæt selfe. Ac ૪onne ૪u forme gierwe on ælmessan lała ðærto wædlan \& wanhale \& healte \& blinde,

 hieren hwæt awriten is on Salomones bocum, hit is awriten 犭æt mon
expended well, their minds be glad and rejoice beyond measure or propriety. But when they have distributed it all rightly, they must not arrogate to themselves any of the praise and gratitude, lest they lose it all when they have distributed it, or account their liberality a virtue ; but let them hear what is written in St. Peter's letter: "If any one minister, let him minister as if he ministered by the power of God, not by his own, lest he rejoice overmuch at his good works." And let them hear what is written in Christ's books; it is written : "When ye have done all that ye are told, then say that ye are useless servants, because ye have only done what ye were obliged to do." And again, lest discontent pollute generosity, hear St. Paul's saying to the Corinthians; he said that God loved the checrful giver. And again,
call•ryhtlice gedæled hæbbe，Xonne ne teon hie nanwuht łæs lofes \＆
 hæbben，ne him selfum ne te（l）len to mægene hiora freodom；ac ge－ hieren hwæt awriten is on $\Varangle æ m$ ærendgewrite sancte Petres：Gif hwa 5 Xenige，خenige he suelce he hit of Godes mægene خenige，næs of his selfes，ðylæs he to ungemetlice fægenige for his godum weorcum．Ac gehieren hwæt awriten is on Kristes bocum，hit is awriten：Đonne ge eall hæbben gedoon ðæt eow beboden is，ðonne cueðe ge ðæt ge sien unnytte $\begin{aligned} & \text { eowas，} \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { æm } \\ & \text { ge } \\ & \text { ðæt }\end{aligned}$ an worhton $ð_{æ t}$ ge niede scoldon．

 gladan giefan God lufode．\＆eft，ðylæs hie for łæm gedale đæs feos wilnigen خisses lænan lifes，gehieren hie خone cwide $\mathrm{\chi e}^{2}$ on Cristes bocum awriten is， $\begin{aligned} & \text { æot } \\ & \text { is } \\ & \text { そ}\end{aligned}$ sio winestre hand ne scyle witan hwæt 15 sio suiłre do．Đæt is，خonne ðonne he his ælmessan dæl૪，خæt ૪ær ne sie wið gemenged nan gielp ðisses andweardan lifes，ne he ne scrife ðæs hlistn buton hu he ryhtosð wyrce．Ne he ne gieme hwelce hylde he mid $\not$ ære ælmessan gewriexle，ac gehiren hwæt awriten is on Cristes

 ne ðine welegan neahgeburas，خylæs hie đe dôn $\not$ ææt selfe．Ac ðonne ૪u feorme gierwe on ælmessan laða đærto wædlan \＆wanhale \＆healte
 forgielden．\＆ไætte mon｀onne đurhteon mæge，ไæt he さæt ne forildc， 25 ac gehieren hwæt awriten is on Salamonnes bocum，hit is awrieten $\begin{aligned} \text { そ}\end{aligned}$

[^25]ne scyle cwe $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { an }\end{aligned}$ to his frind：Ga，\＆cum to morgen，ðonne selle ic $\begin{aligned} & \text { e }\end{aligned}$ hwæthwugu，gif he hit him 犭onne sellan mæge．And eft，خylæs mon unnytlice mierre ðæt ðæt he hebbe，gehieren men ðisne cwide：Hald ðine ælmessan，૪ylæs ðu hie forweorpe．And eft ða pe to lytel sellað ไæm pe micles Øorfton，sculon gehieran sancte Paules cwide，he cwæð： Se pe lytel sæw万 he lytel ripeð．And ðeah ne selle mon to fela ðæm pe lytles خyrfe，خylæs hwa him self weorðe to wædlan，\＆him خonne gehreowe sio ælmesse．Be ðæm cwæð sanctus Paulus：Ne bio ge oðrum monnum swæ giofole $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { rt hit weor }{ }^{\text {e }} \text { e eow selfum to geswince，}\end{array}$
 gebetað hiora wædle，ðætte swæ ilce swæ hie bioł her gefylde mid ure genyhtsunnesse，we beon eac mid hiora genyhtsumnesse．Đæt is ðonne hiora genyhtsumnes Godes milts， ða geearnał se se on his gaste bið wædla．Ac ðonne $\not æ æ s$ sellandan mod ne cann $\chi_{a}$ wædelnesse gełolian，｀onne him micles oftogen bił 犭æs pe he habban wolde；ðonne oncann he hiene selfne for đære hrædhydignesse pe he ær to fela sealde．Forðy man sceal ær geðencean，ær he hwæt selle，そæt he hit eft forberan mæge butan hreowe，ðylæs he forleose $\chi_{a}$ lean $\begin{array}{r}\text { æs } \\ \text { pe he }\end{array}$ ær sealde，\＆૪æt mod eac weorðe wiers forloren 犭urh ða gnornunga． Gehieren eac ða pe nanwuht ne sellað $\searrow æ m$ pe hie lytles hwæthwugu $_{\text {po }}$ sceoldon，hwæt to him gecweden is on $\searrow æ m$ godspelle，hit is gecweden： Sele ælcum ðara pe $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ bidde．Eft gehieren $\succ_{a} \succ_{a}$ pe hwæthwugu sellað $\not$ æm pe hie nane wuht ne scoldon hwat to him gecweden is on Salomonnes bocum，hit is gecweden：Sele $\succ_{i n}$ god，\＆na $\chi_{\text {eah }} \succ_{æ m}$ synfullum．Do wel $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { eałmodum } \& ~ \succ æ m ~ a r l e a s u m ~ n o h t . ~ A n d ~ e f t ~\end{array}$
delay ：hear what is written in the books of Solomon；it is written， that we are not to say to our friend：＂Go，and come to－morrow，then I will give thee something，＂if we can give it him then．And again，lest men uselessly waste their possessions，let them hear these words：＂Keep thine alms，lest thou throw them away．＂And again，let those who give too little to those who need much，hear St．Paul＇s words ；he said ：＂He who sows little，will reap little．＂And yet we must not give too much to those who need little，lest we ourselves become poor，and repent of our alms．Of which St．Paul spoke：＂Be not so liberal with others as to make it a hardship for yourselves，but of your superfluity give to the poor，and thus ameliorate their poverty，so that as they are here filled with our plenty，we may also be so with theirs．＂Their plenty is
mon ne scyle cwe $\begin{gathered}\text { an } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { his friend }: ~ G a, ~ \& ~ c u m ~ t o ~ m o r g e n n e, ~ ð o n n e ~\end{gathered}$ selle ic خe hwæthwugu，gif he hit him 犭onne sellan mæge．Ond eft， Øylæs mon unnytlice mierre đæt ðæt he hæbbe，gehieren menn ðisne
 5 lytel sellað ðæm ðe micles ðorfton，sculon gehieran sancte Paules cuide， he cuæð ：Se ðe lytel sæw万 he lytel rip久．\＆ðeah ne selle mon to fela
 ðonne gehreowe sio ælmesse．Be ðam cwæð sanctus Paulus：Ne beo ge ołrum monnum sua gifole Łæt hit weorłe eow selfum to gesuince，
 hiera wædle gebetað，ðætte sua ielce sua hie beoł her gefyllede mid ure genyhtsumnesse，we beon eac mid hiera genyhtsumnesse．Đæt is犭onne hiera genihtsumnes Godes milts，ða geearnał se se on his gæste

 oncann he hiene selfne for đære hrædhydignesse $\chi_{e}$ he ær to fela sealde．For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mon scel } æ r ~ g e ð e n c e a n, ~ æ r ~ h e ~ h w æ t ~ s e l l e, ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ h i t ~\end{aligned}$
 ær sealde，\＆ðæt môd eac weorłe wirs forloren ðurh ða gnornunga．$^{2}$ 20 Gehieren eac $\chi_{a}$ nanwuht ne sellað $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m } \\ \text { ðe hie lytles hwæthwugu }\end{array}$ scoldon，hwæt to him gecweden is on ðæm godspelle，hit is gecweden ：
 sellað $\not$ æm $ð$ e hie nane wuht ne scoldon，hwæt to him gecueden is on
 25 synnfullum．Doo wel $ð æ m$ eaðmodum，\＆$ð a m$ arleasum nanwuht．Ond

God＇s mercy，which he earns who is poor in spirit．But the mind of the giver knows not how to endure poverty，when he is deprived of much that he would have ；then he reproaches himself with the hasti－ ness with which he formerly gave too much．Therefore he must con－ sider，before he gives away anything，whether he can afterwards forego it without regret，lest he lose the reward of his former generosity，and the spirit be still more lost through his murmuring．Let those also hear，who give nothing to those to whom they ought to give a little， what is said to them in the Gospel ；it is said：＂Give to all who ask thee．＂Again，let those who give something to those they ought to give nothing to，hear what is said to them in Solomon＇s books；it is said： ＂Give away thy goods，yet not to the sinful．Do good to the humble，
lit is gecweden on Tobius bocum : Scte $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{in}}$ win, \& lege $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{n}$ hlaf ofer ryhtwisra monna byrgenne, \& ne et his nauht, ne ne drinc mid ðæm synfullum. Se ðonne itt \& drinc` mid $\not$ æm synnfullum, \& him selð his hlaf \& his win, se $\not$ æmm unrihtwisum fultemað, \& hiene arað, forそæmpe he unrihtwis bið. Swæ eac manige welige menn on $\chi_{y s}$ middangearde lætað cwelan hungre Cristes $\begin{aligned} & \text { earfan, \& fedað yfle glii- }\end{aligned}$ men mid oferwiste, \& bioð ðæm to ungemetlice cystige. Đa ðonne pe hiora hlaf sellað $ð æ m$ synnfullum pe ðearfende beoð, nalles no forðæmpe hie synfulle bioð, ac forłæmpe hie men bioð, \& ðearfende bioð, ne selð se no synnfullum his hlaf, ac ryhtwisum, gif he on him ne lufað his yfel, ac his gecynd, そæt is łæt he bið man swæ same swæ he. Eac sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} \text { pe nu hiora mildheortlice sellað, ไæt hie }\end{aligned}$ geornlice giemen $\npreceq æ t$ hie eft $\npreceq a$ synne ne gefremmen pe hie nu mid hiora ælmessan aliesað, ðylæs hie eft scylen dôn $ð æ t$ selfe. Ne fortruwige he hiene æt ðære ciepinge, ne wene he no $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt }\end{array}$ Godes ryhtwisnes sie to ceape, swelce he hie mæge mid his penengum gebycggean, \& don siððan swelc yfel swelce hie willen butan ælcere ołerre wrace, $\chi_{a}$ hwile pe hie penengas hæbben mid to gieldanne. Mare is $\chi_{\ngtr t} \bmod$
 ge mete ge hrægl ðearfendum rumedlice sel $\gamma, \&$ his $\bmod \&$ his lichoman mid uuryhtwisnesse besmit, ðonne sel $\gamma$ he Gode $\Varangle_{a}$ læstan ryhtwisnesse, \& oftihð him đære mæstan, ðonne he syngał on his mode \& on his mægene, \& selð خeah his ælmessan : selð Gode his æhta,
 wilniað ołre men to reafianne, ðæt hie geornlice gehieren ðone cwide
but not to the impious." And again, it is said in the books of Tobias: " Place thy wine and lay thy bread on the tombs of the righteous, and eat and drink none of it with the sinful." He eats and drinks with the sinful, and gives them his bread and wine, who aids and honours the unrighteous man, because he is unrighteous. So also, many rich men in this world let Christ's poor die of hunger, and support vile mountebanks in luxury, and are immoderately generous to them. Those who give their bread to the sinful who are poor, not at all because they are sinful, but because they are men and poor, do not give their bread to sinners but to righteous men, if they do not love in them their evil, but their nature, that is, their being men as well as themselves. Those who now give away their own generously, are also to be admo-
eft hit is gecweden on Tobius bocum : Sete خin win, \& lege ðinne hlâf ofer ryhtwisra monna byrgenne, \& ne et his nanwuht, ne ne drinc mid ðæm synfullum. Se ðonne itt \& drincð mid $ð æ m$ synfullum, \& him selð his hlaff \& his win, se đæm unryhtwisan fultumað, \& hine arað, 5 forłæmðe he unryhtwis bið. Sua eac monige welige menn on خys middangearde lætað cuelan hungre Cristes ðearfan, \& fedað yfle gliigmenn mid oferwiste, \& beoð to ungemetlice kystige. Đa خonne ðe hira hlăf sellað ðæm synfullum ðe ðearfende beoð, nalles nô forðæmðe hie synnfulle beoð, ac fortæmðe hie menn beoð, \& ðearfende 10 beoð, ne selð se nó synfullum his hlâf, ac ryhtwisum, gif he on him ne lufað his yfel, ac his gecynd, Łæt is $\npreceq æ t$ he bið man swa same sua he. Eac sint to manianne $\succ_{a} \chi_{e}$ nû hiera mildheortlice sellað, đæt hie
 hira ælmessan aliesað, خylæs hie eft scilen dón ðæt selfe. Ne for15 truwige he hiene æt $\npreceq æ r e$ cipinge, ne wene he no $\not$ Łæt Godes ryltwisnes sie to ceape, swelce he hie mæge mid his peningum gebygcgean, \& don siððan suelc yfel suelce hie willen butan ælcre ołerre wrace, $\chi_{a}$ hwile $\succ_{e} h[i] e$ peningas hæbben mid to gieldanne. Mare is đæt môd ðonne se mete, \& se lichoma ðonne ðæt hrægl. Ac خonne hwa ægðer 20 ge mete ge hrægl Xearfendum rumodlice sel $\gamma, \&$ his môd \& his lichoman mid ûnryh $(t)$ wisnesse besmit, ðonne selð he Gode $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ læs $\begin{aligned} & \text { lan rylt- }\end{aligned}$ wisnesse, \& oftiehð him ðære mæstan, ðonne he syngað ou his mode \& on his mægene, \& selð خeah his ælmessan: selð Gode his æhta,


nished to be very careful not to commit the sin again which they now atone for with their alms, lest they afterwards have to do the same. Let them not be too confident of their bargain, nor think that God's righteousness is for sale, as if they could buy it with their money, and afterwards sin as much as they like with impunity, as long as they have money to buy themselves off with. "The spirit is more than meat, and the body than raiment." When any one liberally gives both meat and clothing to the poor, and pollutes his mind and body with unrighteousness, he gives to God the least righteousness, and withholds from him the greatest, when he sins in his mind and virtue, and yet gives his alms: he gives his possessions to God, and himself to the devil. On the other hand, those who still desire to rob others are to be admo-
 cymð to ðæm dome, he cwið: Me hyngrede, \& ge me nauht ne saldon etan. Me ðyrste, \& ge me ne saldon drincan. Ic wæs cuma, \& ge me noldon onfón. Ic wæs nacod, \& ge me noldon bewrion. Ic wæs untrum \& on carcærne, \& ge min noldon fandian. Đæm monnum is gehaten $\nprec æ t$ he wille cwe $\begin{gathered}\text { an } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { him: Gewitał from me, awiergede, }\end{gathered}$ on ece fyr, đæt wæs gegearwod diofle \& his englum. Ne scirð he no hwæłer hie reafoden, oððe hwelc ołer yfel fremeden, \& swæðeah cwið łæt hie scylen bion gehæfte on ecium fyre. Be $\npreceq æ m$ we magon geðencan hu micles wites $\chi_{a}$ bioð wierre pe ołre menn reafiað, nu $\Varangle_{a}$ swæ micel wite habbał pe hiora agen ungesceadwislice healdað. Nu $\chi_{a}$ swæ micel wite habbał ðe hiora agen nyllað sellan, geðenceað ðonne hwelces wites ge wenen $ð æ m$ pe o o $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { re men reafiað. Hwæt wene }\end{aligned}$ ge đæt [hwæt] sio ðurhtogene unryhtwisnes geearnige, nu sio unðurhtogene arfæstnes swæ micel wite geearnał? Gehieren $>_{a}$ reaferas, $\chi_{a}$ pe higiað, wið łæs łæt hie willað ołre men bereafian, hwæt be him gecweden is, hit is gecweden: Wa $\Varangle æ m$ pe ealneg gadrał on hiene selfne ðæt hefige fenn, \& gemanigfaldað ðætte his ne bið. Đæt is
 gehefegige, $\chi_{æ t}$ se gidsere him on geheapige $\mathrm{\succ a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ byrðenne eorðlicra æhta mid unrylite, \& his weor $\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathrm{ig}}$ \& his land mid unryhte ryme. Ac hie scoldon gehieran rone cwide pe be him gecweden is in Essaies bocum, hit is gecweden : Wa eow pe gadriar hus to huse, \& spannar ðone æcer to ไæm ołrum o૪ ðæs londes mearce, swelce ge ane willen gebugean ealle ðas eorłan. Swelce he openlice cwæde: Hu feorr
nished to listen attentively to the speech which it is said in the Gospel the Lord will say when he comes to judgment; he will say : "I hungered, and ye gave me not anything to eat. I thirsted, and ye gave me not to drink. I was a stranger, and ye would not receive me. I was naked, and ye would not clothe me. I was sick and in prison, and ye would not visit me." It is promised to these men that he will say: "Depart from me, ye accursed, into eternal fire, which is prepared for the devil and his angels." He does not say whether they robbed, or did any other evil, and yet says that they are to be held in eternal fire. From which we can understand of how great punishment those are worthy who rob others, since those are punished so severely who unwisely retain their own. Since those are punished
 he cymð to $\not$ æmm dome, he cuið: Me hyngrede, \& ge me nawuht ne sealdun etan. Me ðyrste, \& ge me ne sealdon drincan. Ic wæs cuma, \& ge me noldon onfón. Ic wæs nacod, \& ge me noldon bewreon. Ic 5 wæs untrum \& on cearcerne, \& ge min noldon fandian. Đæm monnum is gehaten $\not$ ææt he wille cue ${ }^{\text {an }}$ : Gewitað from me, awiergde, on ece fyr, ðæt wæs gegearwod diofle \& his englum. Ne scirð he no hwæðer hie reafoden, oððe hwelc ołer yfel fremeden, \& swaðeah cwið łæt hie scylen bion gehæfte on ecum fyre. Be đæm we magon geðencean
 micel wite habbał $\gamma$ e hiora agen ungesceadwislice healdað. Nu $\gamma$ a sua micel wite habbał $\chi_{e}$ hira agen nyllað sellan, gełenceað ðonne hwelces wites ge wenen łæm ðe ołre men reafiað. Hwæt wene ge hwæt sio ðurhtogene unryhtwisnes geearnige, nu sio unðurtogene
 higiað wið $\npreceq æ s ~ \Varangle æ t ~ h i e ~ w i l l a ð ~ o ł r e ~ m e n n ~ b e r e a f i a n, ~ h w æ t ~ b e ~ h i m ~$ gecweden is, hit is gecweden: Wa $\Varangle æ m ~ \succ e ~ e a l n i g ~ g a d e r a \succ ~ a n ~ h i n e ~$ selfne ไæt hefige fenn, \& gemonigfaldað ðæte his ne bið. Đæt is
 20 gehefegige, $\chi_{æ t}$ se gitsere him on geheapige $\chi_{a}$ byrðenne eor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { licra }\end{aligned}$ æhta mid unryhte, \& his wortig \& his land mid unryhte ryme. Ac hi scoldon gehiran $\gamma_{0 n}[\mathrm{e}]$ cwide $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ bi him gecweden is in Essaies bocum, hit is gecweden : Wa eow 万e gadriað hus to huse, \& spannað Xone æcer to ðæm oðrum o૪ łæs landes mearce, swelce ge ane willen 25 gebugean ealle خâs eorðan. Swelce he openli[ce] cwæde: Hu feor

[^26]wolde ge nu ryman cower land? Wolde ge nu ðæt ge næfden nanne gemacan on ૪ys gemænan middangearde? Đu cwist nu ðæt ૪u wille


 wilnige? $\hat{A} \chi_{u}$ fintst wið hwone $\gamma_{u}$ meaht flitan. Ac gehiere ge feohgidseras hwæt be eow gecweden is on Salomonnes bocum, hit is gecweden: Ne wierð se gidsere næfre full fios, \& se pe worldwelan lufað ungesceadwislice, ne cymð him of خæm nan wæstm. Ac him meahte cuman, gif he hie to swiłe ne lufode, \& he hie wel wolde dælan. Ac fortæmpe he hie her lufað \& hilt, he hie eac her forlæt butan ælcum wæstme \& ælcum edleane. Ac ða ðe wilniað ðæt hie her bion gefylde mid callum welum \& mid $_{\text {æm }}$ willan bioð onælde, hie sculon gehieran ðone cwide pe be him gecweden is on Salomonnes $_{\text {sen }}$ bocum, hit is gecweden: Se pe æfter•ðæm higað ðæt he eadig sie in ðisse worlde, ne bið he unsceaðfull, ac ða hwile pe he girnð $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { t } \\ \text { he his }\end{array}$ welan iece, he agiemeleasað \& forgit łæt he forbuge his synna. Swæ swæ fleogende fugol, ðoune he gifre bið, he gesihð łæt æs on eorłan,
 awierged wierð; swæ bið 犭æm gidsere. He gesihð ðone welan pe he wilnað, \& he ne geliefð ðæs grines pe he mid gebroden wyrð, ærðon he hit gefrede. Ac ða pe wilniað خisses middangeardes gestreona, \& nyllał witan $\begin{gathered}\text { خone demm pe him æfter cuman sceal, hie sculon gehieran }\end{gathered}$ Kone cwide pe be him gecweden is on Salomonnes bocum, hit is gecweden : Đæt ierfe ðæt ge ærest æfter higiað, æt siłestan hit bið bedæled ælcre bledsunge. On ðys andweardan life we onginnað ærest
wished to dwell alone in all this earth." As if he had openly said : "How far will ye now extend your lands? Would ye now have no companion on this common earth? Thou sayest now, that thou wilt cease, before thou hast enough. When will it seem to thee so ? or when will it be that thou wilt not know whether thou desirest more? But thou wilt always find some one to strive against." Hear, ye avaricious, what is said of you in Solomon's books; it is said : "The avaricious man is never full of money, and he who foolishly loves worldly riches never reaps any advantage from them." But he might, if he did not love them overmuch, and would distribute them well. But since he loves and keeps them here, he shall also leave them here without any advantage or reward. But those who desire to be filled
wolde ge nú ryman eower land? Wolde ge nu łæt ge næfden nanne gemacan on łys gemænan middangearde? Đu cuist nu łæt wille

 5 nige? Ac $\chi_{\mathrm{u}}$ findst wið hwone $\gamma_{\mathrm{u}}$ meaht flitan. Ac gehiere ge feohgietseras hwæt be eow gecweden is on Salomonnes bocum, hit is gecweden: Ne wyrł se gitsere næfre full feos, \& se łe woruldwelan lufað ungesceadwislice, ne cymð him of $\searrow$ ðm nan wæsðm. [Ac him meahte cuman, gif he [hi] to swiðe ne lufode, \& he hi wel wolde 10 dælan.] Ac forðæmðe he hi her lufað \& hielt, he hi eac her forlæt butan ælcum wæsðme \& ælcum edleane. Ac ða łe wilniað ðæt hi her beon gefylde mid eallum welum \& mid đæm willan beoð onælede, hie sculon gehieran $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { one } \text { cwide } \\ & \succ \text { be him gecweden is on Salomonnes }\end{aligned}$ bocum, hit is gecweden: Se ðe æfter ðæm higað $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { he eadig sie on }\end{array}$ 15 خisse worulde, ne bið he unsceaðful, ac $^{2}$ hwile ðe he giern ðæt he his we[o]lan iece, he agiemeleasað \& forgiet $\begin{array}{rlr} \\ \text { at he forbuge his synna. }\end{array}$ Swa swa fleogende fugel, خonne he gifre bił, he gesilð خæt æs on eorðan, \& ðonne for đæm luste ðæs metes he forgiet đæt grin ðæt he
 20 he wilnað, \& he ne gelief $\gamma$ łæs grines $\chi_{e}$ he mid gebrogden wyr $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {, }\end{aligned}$ ær૪on he hit gefrede. Ac $\chi_{a}$ ðe wilniað خisses middangeardes gestreona, \& nyllað wietan خone demm خe him æfter cuman sceal, hie sculon gehieran $\succ_{o n}(\mathrm{e})$ cwide $\mathrm{\zeta}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{bi} \mathrm{him}$ gecweden is on Salomonnes bocum, hit is gecweden : Đæt ierfe ðæt ge ærest æfter hiegiað, æt siðesðan hit bið 25 bedæled ælcre bledsunge. On ðys andweardan life we onginnað æres૪

[^27]libban to $\nsucc æ m$ ðæt we æt ytmestan onfon sumne dæl bledsunga. Se そonne pe wilnał ðæt he wolde on ðæm anginne his lifes woruldspedig
 \& ðæs welan on ðæm ytmestan dæge. Ac ðonne hie wilniað ðurh ða

 bedælde ðæs ecean eðles ures Fæder. Ac ða pe wilniað fela to begietonne, \& eac ða pe magon begietan eall خæt hie wilniað, gehieren hwæt Crist self cwæð, he cwæð: Hwæt forstent ænegum men, ðeah he gemangige $\mathrm{Z}_{æ t}$ he ealne $\mathrm{X}_{\text {isne }}$ middangeard age, gif he his saule forspildeð? Swelce sio Soðfæstnes openlice sæde: Hwelc fremu bið men ðæt hie gestriene eall ðæt him ymbutan sie, gif he forliest $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ him oninnan bið, swelce he eall gegaderige خætte his ne sie, \& forspilde hiene selfne? Ac mon mæg ðy hraðor ðara reafera gidsunge gestillan, gif se lareow him gerec $\Varangle$ hu fleonde $\chi_{i s}$ andwearde lif is, \& lie gemyndgað ðara welegra pe longe strindon, \& lytle hwile brucon; hu hrædlice se færlica deał hie on lytelre hwile bereafode さæs pe hie $^{\text {m }}$ on longre hwile mid unryhte striendon. Đeah hie hit hrædlice ætsomne ne gestriendon, hie hit ðeah swiðe hrædlice ætsomne forluron, \& his nauht mid him ne læddon buton $\Varangle_{a}$ synne đara yfelena weorca hie brohton to Godes dome. Ac hit mæg eaðe gesælan, gif we him swelc sæcgeað, خæt hie eac mid us $\chi_{\mathrm{a}}$ oðre tælen, \& hie خonne eft hiora selfra gescamige, ðonne hie gemunað ðæt hie ðæt ilce doð ðæt hie on ðæm oðrum tældon.
present life, we begin at first to live in order to receive some portion of blessing at the end. He, therefore, who desires to become rich at the beginning of his life by unfair means, will deprive himself of blessing and riches at the last day. When they desire through their wicked avarice ever to increase their worldly riches, they will be deprived of the eternal country of our Father. Those who wish to acquire much, and also those who can acquire all they desire, may hear what Christ himself said; he said : "What profits it any man to buy up the whole of this world, if he destroy his soul ?" As if Truth had openly said : "What benefits it a man to acquire all that is without him, if he lose what is within him, as if he gathered all that is not his,
libban to $\begin{array}{rr} & \npreceq t \\ \text { we } æ t ~ y t e m e s t a n ~ o n f o ́ n ~ s u m n e ~ d æ l ~ b l e d s u n g e . ~ & \mathrm{Se}, ~\end{array}$ ðonne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { wilna } \\ \text { ðæt wolde on } \\ \text { łæm angienne his lifes woroldspedig }\end{array}$ weorðan mid unryhte, se hine wile selfne bedælan łære bledsunge \& ðæs we[o]lan on đæm ytemestan dæge. Ac ðonne h[i]e wilniað ðurh $\nearrow a$ 5 nawhtgitsunga ðæt hie hira woruldspeda ie icenn, ðonne weorðað hie bedælede $\not$ æs ecean eðles ures Fæder. Ac ða ðe wilniað fe[o]la to begietanne, \& eac $\succ_{a} \gamma_{e}$ magon begietan eall $\succ_{æ t}$ hie wilnia久, gehieren hwæt Krist self cuæð, he cwæ૪: Hwæt forstent ænigum menn $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { t, } \\ \text { ¡eah }\end{gathered}$ he mangige $\nprec$ æt he ealne xisne middangeard age, gif he his saule for10 spildt? Swelce sio Soðfæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { nes } \\ & \text { openlice cwæde: Hwelc fremu bið }\end{aligned}$
 him oninnan bið, swelce he eall gegadrige $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { tte } \\ \text { his ne sie, \& forspilde }\end{array}$ hine selfue? Ac mon mæg ðy hraðor ðara reafera gitsunga gestillan, gif se lareow him gerec $\gamma$ hu fleonde $\begin{aligned} & \text { is andwearde } \\ & \text { lif is, } \& ~ h[i] e\end{aligned}$ 15 gemy (n)dgał ðara weligera ðe lange striendon, \& lytle hwile brucon; hu [h]rædlice se færlica deað he on lytelre hwile bereafode đæs ðe hi on langre hwile mid unryhte strindon. Đeah hie hit hrædlice ætsomne ne gestriendon, hie hit ðeah swiðe hrædlice ætsomne forluron, \& his nawht mid him ne læddon buton $\nsucc a$ synne ðara yfelena weorca hie 20 brohton to Godes dome. Ac hit mæg eałe gesælan, gif we him swelc secgað, ðæt hie eac mid ûs $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ oðre tælen, \& hie ðonne eft hira selfra
 ołrum tældon.
and destroyed himself ?" But it is more easy to quiet the greed of the rapaciots, if the teacher tell them how fleeting this present life is, and remind them of the rich men who were long of acquiring what they enjoyed for a short time, how quickly unexpected death in a short time deprived them of what they for a long while had been wrongfully acquiring. Although they did not soon accumulate it, yet they very quickly lost it all at once, and took away none of it with them, except the sin of the evil works which they brought to God's judgment. It can easily happen that, if we tell them such things, they will also join us in blaming the others, and afterwards be ashamed of themselves, remembering that they do the same that they blamed in the others.

XLV．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $ð$ a pe nohwæðer ne ołerra monna ne wilniax，ne hiora agen nyllał sellan；on ołre wisan ૪a pe willał sellan ðæt hie gestrienað，\＆ðeah nyllał geswican $\nprec$ æt hie o $\mathrm{X}_{\text {re }}$ men ne reafien．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a pe naułer ne ołerra monna ne }\end{aligned}$ wilniað，ne hiora agen nyllað sellan；on ołre $\nprec a$ pe hiora agen willað sellan，\＆ðeah ne magon forlætan łæt hie ołre men ne reafien．Ac ða łonne pe hiora agen nyllał sellan，ne eac ołerra monna ne gidsiał，hie sint to manianne ðæt hie georulice geðencen $\begin{aligned} & \text { خios eorðe，pe him }\end{aligned}$ ðæt gestreon of com，eallum monnum is to gemanan geseald，\＆for－ ðæm eallum monnum bring $ð$ gemænne foster．Hwæt se 夭onne un－ ryhtlice talað，se pe talað łæt he sie unscyldig，gif he ða god，pe us God to gemanan sealde，him synderlice agnað．Ac łonne hie nyllar sellan $\npreceq \supseteq t ~ \npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~ u n d e r f e n g o n, ~ ð o n n e ~ m æ s t a ð ~ h i e ~ h i e ~ s e l f e ~ o n ~ h i o r a ~$ niehstena cwale，for $ð æ m$ he nealice swæ fela łearfena ofsleað swæ hie ieðlice mid hiora ælmessan gehelpan meahton，gif hie woldon． Forðæm，ðonne ðonne we $ð æ m ~ \npreceq e a r f u m ~ h i o r a ~ n i e d ð e a r f e ~ s e l l a ð, ~ h i o r a ~$ agen we him sellað，nealles ure；\＆ryhtlicor we magon cwełan łæt we him gielden scylde $\not$ onne we him mildheortnesse don．Forłæm sio Soðfæstnes，$\chi_{æ t}$ is Crist，$\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he lærde $\succ_{æ t}$ mon ælmessan wær－ lice sellan sceolde，૪a cwæ૪ he：Giemað 夭æt ge eowre ryhtwisnesse ne dón beforan mannum．To 犭æm cwide belimpe૪ eac 犭æs psalm－ scopes song pe he sang，$૪$ a he cwæ૪：Se todæl his god，\＆selð

XLV．That those who neither desire the property of others，nor care to give away their own，are to be admonished in one way； in another those who desire to give away what they acquire， and yet will not cease robbing others．
In one way are to be admonished those who neither desire the pro－ perty of others，nor care to give away their own ；in another those who wish to give away their own，and yet cannot give up robbing others． Those who will not give away their own，and do not desire that of others，are to be admonished to consider carefully that this earth， whence their gain came，is given to all men to be held in common， and therefore produces for all men common nourishment．He argues，
XLV. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne đa đe nohwæ̌er ne ołerra monna ne wilnia久, ne hiora agen nyllað sellan ; on
 nyllað geswican ðæt hi oðre men ne reafigen.

5 On ołre wisan sint to manianne $_{\mathrm{a}}$ خe naułer ne ołerra monna ne wilniað, ne hira agen nyllað sellan ; on oðre $_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe hira agen willað sellan, \& Xeah ne magon forlætan ðæt hie ołre menn ne reafigen. Ac ða ðonne ðe hira agen nyllað sellan, ne eac ołerra monna ne gitsiað,
 10 him ¡æt gestreon of com, eallum mannum is to gemanan geseald, \& forłæm eallum mannum bring $\begin{gathered}\text { gemænne foster. Hwæt se خonne un- }\end{gathered}$
 God te[o] geman[an] sealde, him synderlice ægnað. Ac $\begin{gathered}\text { onne hie }\end{gathered}$
 15 hira niehstena cwale, forłæm hie nealice swa fela خearfena ôfsleað swa hie ǐelice mid hiera ælmessan gehelpan meahton, gif hie woldon. Forłæm, ðonne ðonne we ðæm ðearfum hiera niedłearfe sellað, hiera ægen we him sellað, nalles ure; \& ryhtlicor we magon cweðan đæt we him gielden scylde ðonne we him mildheortnesse dôn. Forłæm
 sellan sceolde, $\chi_{a}$ cwæð he: Giemað $\not{ }_{\text {w }}$ t ge eowre ryhtwisnesse ne dón beforan monnum. To ðæm cwide belimpð eac ðæs psalmscopes sang خe he sang, ða he cwæð : Se todælð his gôd, \& selð خearfum,
therefore, wrongly, who argues that he is innocent, if he appropriates specially to himself the good things which God gave us for common use. But when they will not give away what they have received, they fatten on the death of their neighbours, because they kill about as many poor men as they could easily have helped with their alms, if they would. Therefore, when we give the poor what they require, we give them their own, not ours; and we can more rightly say that we pay them a debt, than that we act generously towards them. Therefore Truth, which is Christ, teaching us to give alms cautiously, said : "Take heed that ye do not your righteousness before men." To this speech belongs also the Psalmist's song, which he sang, saying : "The righteousness of him who distributes his goods, and gives to the
ðearfum，his ryhtwisnes wunał on ecnesse．Nolde he no 「a rum－$^{\text {r }}$ modnesse hatan mildheortnes，ac ryhtwisnes，for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ðætte us from }\end{array}$ $\succ_{æ m}$ gemænan gode geseald bix，hit is cynn $\Varangle_{æ t}$ we $\chi_{æ s}$ eac gemæn－ lice brucen．Be 犭æm cwæ૪ Salomon：Se pe ryhtwis bið，he bið á sellende，\＆no ne blin久．Eac hie sint to manianne さæt hie geornlice
 wæstm ne bære，ac stod unnyt；for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m him wearð irre se goda wyrhta }\end{aligned}$ forðæm he ofergreow ðæt lond butan wæstme．Đonne ofergrewð se
 monegum men to nytte weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { an } \\ & \text { meahte．Swæ se ficbeam ofer－}\end{aligned}$ sceadoð łæt land łæt hit under him ne mæg gegrowan，forłon hit sio sunne ne mot gescinan，ne he self nanne wæstm łærofer ne bire૪， ac đæt land bið eall unnyt swæ he hit oferbræt，swæ bið łæm unnyt－ wyrðan \＆Xæm unwisan men，ðonne he mid łære sceade his slæw̌e
 self nytne gedon nyle，ne ðone tolætan pe hiene đurh ða sumnan godes $^{\prime}$ weorces geondscinan wille，\＆nytwyrðne \＆wæstmbærne gedon wile．
 \＆cwe $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { ：We bruca久 ures agnes，ne gitsige we nanes ołres monnes．}\end{aligned}$

 word pe hie belucað hiera modes earan ongean $\not$ 万a godcundan lare． $\mathrm{H} w æ t$ se welega pe on $\nearrow æ m$ godspelle getæld is，\＆him bi gecweden is ૪æt he ælce dæge simblede，\＆mid micelre wiste wære gefiormod，\＆ ælce dæge geglenged mid purpuran \＆mid hwitum hrægle，nis hit no
poor，lasts for ever．＂He would not call generosity mercy，but right－ eousness，because it is reasonable for us to enjoy in common that which is given us from the common property．Of which Solomon spoke：＂He who is righteous is always giving，and never ceases．＂ They are also to be admonished to consider carefully that the fig－tree， of which it is said in the Gospel that it bore no fruit，stood useless； therefore the good labourer was angry with it for overgrowing the land without fruit．The fig－tree overgrows the land，when the miser hides and uselessly conceals that which might be useful to many．As the fig－tree overshadows the land，so that nothing grows under it，because the sun＇s rays cannot reach it，and it does not bear any fruit above it itself，but the land is all uscless，it spreads over it so ；so it is with the
 hatan mildheortuess，ac ryhtwisnes，forłæm Xætte us from Xæm gemænan góde geseald bið，hit is cynn łæt we łæs eac gemænelice brucen．Be łæm cwæ૪ Salomon：Se ૪e ryhtwis bił，he bið a sel－ 5 lende，\＆no me bliň．Eac hie sint to manien（n）e xat hie geornlice
 na［n］ne wæsðm ne bære，stôd unnyt；for｀æm him wear｀ierre se goda wyrhta forłæm he ofergreow łæt land butan wæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { rme．Đonne ofer－}\end{aligned}$
 10 さæt Łæt manegum menn to nytte weor夭an meahte．Swa se fiicbeam ofersceadał さæt lond そæt hit under him ne mæg gegrowan，forłæm hit sio sumne ne mot gescinan，ne he self nanne wæsłm łærofer ne bireð，ac đæt land bið eal unnyt swa he hit oferbret，swa bið ðæm unnytwyrðan \＆ðæm unwisan menn，ðonne he mid ðære scande his
 folgað self nytne gedón nyle，ne ðone tolætan ðe hine ðurh đa sunnan goodes weorces giendscinan wille，\＆nytwyrðne \＆wæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { mbærne gedón }\end{aligned}$ wille．Ac manigra manna gewuna is خæt hie hie mid Xissum wordum ladiað，\＆cuełał：We brucał ures ægnes，ne gitsige we nanes ołres 20 monnes．Gif we nauht ðæs ne dooð ðe us mon mid goode leanian ðyrfe，ne dô we eac nan woh ðe us mon fore tælan ðurfe．Ac forłæm
 cundan lare．Hwæt se weliga $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{e}}$ on đæm godspelle getæld is，\＆him bi gecweden is Łæt he ælce dæge symblede，\＆mid micelre wiste wære 25 gefeormod，\＆ælce dæge geglenged mid purpuran \＆mid hwitum
useless and foolish man，when with his disgraceful sloth he covers the district he possesses，and will neither himself make his authority bene－ ficial，nor admit him who is ready to shine over it with the sun of good works，and make it useful and fruitful．But many men＇s habit is to excuse themsclves with these words，saying：＂We enjoy our own，not coveting that of others．If we do nothing de－ serving of a good reward，we also do no harm that we can be reproached with．＂But they speak thus because they shut the ears of their heart against the divine instruction．It is not said of the rich man blamed in the Gospel，of whom it is said that he feasted daily， and was regaled with great luxury；and was arrayed daily in purple and white raiment，that he was blamed for robbing others，but because
 he his agnes ungemetlice breac，\＆ołrum monnum nauht ne sealde； \＆內eah æfter ðisse worlde he underfeng helle wite，nalles no forðype he auht unaliefedes dyde，ac for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mpe } \\ \text { ̌æs aliefdan nanwuht nolde }\end{aligned}$ forlætan，ac his swire ungemetlice breac，\＆hiene selfne eallenga ge－ sealde خiossum worldwelum．Eac sint to manianne ða fæsthafolan ðæt
 ðæt hie ðæm nan wult ne dôn pe him eall sealde ðæt hie habbað．Be ðæm cwæð se psalmscop：He ne sealde Gode nanne medsceat for his saule ne nænne gełingsceat wið his miltse．Đæt is ðonne se medsceat

 sealde．Be ðæm ilcan cwæð Iohannes：Æle triow man sceal ceorfan， pe gode wæstmas ne bir久，\＆weorpan on fyr，\＆forbærnan．Nu is ðonne sio æx asett on ðone wyrttruman ðæs treowes，ac ondræden him ૪one dynt swæ neah，ða pe noht to gode ne doð，\＆ðeah wenað ðæt hie sien unscyldige，for |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| mpe |
| hie nan lað ne doð．Ac him is | そearf ðæt hie forlæten Хa orsorgnesse \＆ðæt dysig hiora slæw૪e，૪ylæs

 treow pe $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ wyrttruman bioð forcorfene forsearað，swæ hie magon ondrædan $ð æ t ~ h i m ~ w e o r ð e n ~ ð a ~ w y r t t r u m a n ~ f o r c o r f e n e ~ o n ~ خ y s ~ a n d-~$ weardan life，gif hie for hiora giemelieste nyllað beran $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ bleda godra
 hie habbað，\＆૪eah nyllað forlætan $\not$ ðæt hie ołre men ne reafien；hie sint to manianne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \text { hie } \\ \text { geðencen，ongemang } \not \text { ðæm pe hie wilniað } ð æ t ~\end{array}$

he enjoyed his own immoderately，and gave nothing to others ；and yet after this world he received the punishment of hell，not at all because he had done anything unlawful，but because he would not abstain from anything of what was lawful，but enjoyed it very immo－ derately，and gave himself up altogether to these worldly riches．The miserly are also to be admonished to understand that the chief in－ jury they can inflict on God consists in doing nothing for him，who gave them all that they have．Of which the Psalmist said：＂ He gave God no price for his soul，nor any ransom for his mercy．＂The price of his soul is，paying him good works for the gift he for－ merly granted him．Of the same John the Evangelist spoke ：＂Every
hrægle, nis hit no gesæd $\not$ ææt he for $\not \backslash y$ getæled wære $ð y$ he ołre menn reafode, ac for $\gamma$ y $\mathrm{re}_{\mathrm{e}}$ he his ægenes ungemetlice breac, \& ołrum monnum nawuht ne sealde; \& خeah æfter خisse worulde he underfeng helle wite,
 5 dan nanwuht nolde forlætan, ac his swiðe ungemetlice breac, \& hine seifne eallinga gesealde خiossum woruldwelum. Eac sint to manianne
 Gode gedoon mægen, $\not$ ææt hie $\not$ ææm nawuht ne don $\chi_{e}$ him eall sealde ðæt hie habbał. Be ðæm cwæð se psalmscop: He ne sealde Gode 10 nanne metsceat for his saule ne nænne ge $\mathrm{Xin}_{\mathrm{ingsceat}}$ wið his miltse. Đæt
 giefe ðe he him ær sealde. Be Łæm ilcan cwæð Iohannes se godspe[1]lere: Alc treow mon sceal ceorfan, ૪e goode wæsðmas ne birð, \& weorpan on fýr, \& forbærnan. Nu is ðonne sio æxs aset on ðane wyrt15 truman ðæs treowes, ac ondræden him ðone dynt swa neah, ða ðe nauht to gode ne doð, \& ðeah wenað ðæt hie sien unscyldige, forðæmðe hie nan lað ne do犭. Ac him is خearf ðæt hie forlæten $\begin{aligned} \text { o órsorgnesse \& ðæt }\end{aligned}$ dysig hiera slæwそe, ðylæs hie wyrðen awyrtwalode of ðys andwerdan
 20 swa hie magon ondrædan ðæt him weorðen ða wyrttruman færcorfene

 ðæt hie habbað, \& ðeah nyllað forlætan $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hie o o re menn ne reafigen ; }\end{aligned}$ hie sint to manigenne $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hie ge gencen, ongemang } \succ_{æ m} \text { ðe hie wilnia } ૪ ~\end{array}$



#### Abstract

tree that does not bear good fruits shall be cut down and cast into the fire and burnt." Now is the axe placed at the root of the tree, and let those fear the impending stroke, who do no good, and yet deem themselves innocent because they do no harm. But it is necessary for them to relinquish their indifference and foolish sloth, lest they be rooted out of this present life. As the tree whose roots are cut off withers, so they have cause to fear having their roots cut off in this present life, if they from carelessness will not produce the fruits of good works. Those, on the other hand, who are willing to give what they have, and yet will not abstain from despoiling others, are to be admonished to take care, whilst they wish to seem generous, that for


weorðen，૪ylæs him gebyrge，swæ swæ we ær bufan cwædon，خonne hie hiora agen ungesceadwislice \＆ungemetlice dælen，ðæt hie ૪onne
 gitsian \＆reafian for hiora wædle．Hwelc mæg him mare unsæl＞
 lice dælð，\＆ðurh ðæt wierð eft gidsere？Hu，ne bið he ðonne swelce he sawe god，\＆him weaxe of 犭æm ælc yfel？Ac hie sint ærest to manianne ðæt hie cunnen hiora agen gesceadwislice gehealdan，\＆ siððan $\partial æ t$ hie ołcrra monna ne giernen ；forðæmpe no se ðorn ðære gidsunga ne wier｀forsearod on そæm helme，gif se wyrttruma ne bið forcorfen o૪ðe forbærned æt $\not$ ðæm stemne．Swæ wier $૪$ eac gestiered ðæm gidsere さæs reaflaces，gif he ær ðæm gedale cann gemetgian hwæt hiene onhagige to sellaune，hwæt he healdan scyle， $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he eft } \text { đæt god } \\ \text { got }\end{aligned}$ ðære mildheortnesse ne ${ }_{\text {}}^{\text {yrfe }}$ gescendan mid gidsunge \＆mid reaflace． Siððan hic ðonne ðæt geliornod hæbben，ðonne sint hie siððan to læronne hu hie scylen mildheortlice dælan ðæt ðæt hie ofer 犭æt hab－ bar pe hie hiora gidsunge mid gestillan sculon；forとæm，gif hie swæ ne doð，̌onne sculon hie eft niedenga gadrian ołer ierfe on łæs wrixle pe he rer for mildheortnesse \＆for rummodnesse sealdon，swelce hie setten $\searrow a$ synne wið ðære ælmessan．Oðer is ðæt hwa for hreowsunge his symna ælmessan selle，ołer is $\not$ æot he for $\Varangle$ y syngige \＆reafige $\begin{aligned} \text { y } \\ \text { he }\end{aligned}$ teohhige Łæt he eft scyle mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y reaflace } æ l m e s s a n ~ g e w y r c e a n . ~ A c ~\end{aligned}$ $\nprec æ t$ nis nan ælmesse，forðon hio nanne swetne wæstm for $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne brenge } ð \text { ，}\end{aligned}$ ac sona on $\nsucc$ æm wyrtruman abitriað $\chi_{a}$ bleda．Forðæm Dryhten
that good fame they do not become the more depraved，lest it happen to them，as we said above，that，when they distribute senselessly and immoderately，because of their poverty they become discontented and impatient，so that from poverty they begin again to be greedy and rapacious．What greater misfortune can befall them than that which befalls them through their alms，through which，if distributed immo－ derately，they become avaricious again？Is it not as if they were to sow good，and every evil were to grow up thence for them？But they are first to be admonished to know how to retain their own wisely，and secondly，not to desire that of others；because the thorn of greed never withers in the crown，unless the root has been cut off or burnt at the stem．So also the avaricious man can be cured of
weorłen, ðylæs him gebyrige, swa swa we ær bufan cwædon, ðonne hie hiera ægen ungesceadwislice \& ungemetlice dælen, đæt hie તonne for wædle weor`en on murcunga \& on ungeðylde, ไæt hie eft ongiemnen gietsian \& reafian for hiera wædle. Hwelc mæg him mare unslæw

 swelce he sæwe good, \& him weaxe of ðæm ælc yfel? Ac hie sint ærest to manianne ðæt hie cunnen hiora ægen gesceadwislice gehealdan, \&
 10 gitsunga ne wyr $\begin{aligned} & \text { forsearod on } \Varangle_{æ m} \text { helme, gif se wyrttruma ne bi久 }\end{aligned}$ færcorfen ołðe forbærned æt ðæm stemne. Swa wyrð eac gestiered ðæm gitsere ðæs reaflaces, gif he ær đæm gedale cann gemetgian hwæt hine anhagige to sellanne, hwæt he healdan scyle, ðæt he eft ðæt good ðære mildheortnesse ne Øyrfe gesciendan mid gidsunge \& mid reaflace.
15 Sið̌an hie ðonne ðæt geleornod hæbben, ðonne sint hie siððan to læranne hu hie scilen mildheortlice dælan ðæt さæt hie ofer ðæt habbað te hie hiora gitsunge mid gestillan sculon; forłæm, gif hie sua ne dox, તonne sculon hie eft niedenga gadrian ołer ierfe on ðæs wriexle خe hie ær for mildheortnesse \& for rummodnesse sealdon, swelce hie


 nis nan ælmesse, for $æ æ m$ hio nanne swetne wæs $\begin{aligned} & \\ & m\end{aligned}$ for $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne } b r i n g ~ \\ & (\gamma) \text {, }\end{aligned}$ ac sona on $\not$ ææm wyrtruman abiteriað ða bleda. Fořæm Dryhten
extortion, if before distributing he knows how to determine what he cares to give, and what he ought to keep, so that he may not afterwards have to disgrace the virtue of generosity with greed and extortion. When they have learnt this, they are then to be taught how to distribute generously that which they have over what they are to satisfy their greediness with ; because, if they do not so, they will necessarily have to collect another property afterwards, to make up for the one that they formerly charitably and generously gave away, as if they put the sin against the alms. It is one thing to give alms from repentance of sins, another to sin and rob because one desires afterwards to give alms with the spoil. But that is no alms, because it does not produce any sweet fruit, but soon the fruits turn bitter on
 eom Dryhten : ic lufige ryhte domas, \& ic hatige pa lac pe bioð on woh gereafodu. And eft he cwæ૪: Arleasra offrung bið awierged, forðæm hio bioð brohte of unrylitum gestreonum \& of mandædum.
 ðæt hie Gode sellen. Ac Drylten gecyðde ðurh Salomon Øone snotran hu micel his irsung æfter đære dæde bið, $\gamma_{a}$ he cwæð: Se pe me breng $\gamma$ lac of earmes monnes æhtum on woh gereafodum, $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ bið $\chi_{æ t}$ swelce hwa wille blotan $\Varangle æ m$ fæder to خance \& to lacum his agen bearn, \& hit ðonne cwelle beforan his eagum. Hwæt bið ðonne unaberendlicre to gesionne ðonne ðæs bearnes cwalu beforan ðæs fæder eagum? Be $\chi_{æ m}$ we magon ongietan mid hu micle irre Drybten geðyldegað ða $^{\text {ælmessan }}$ pe him mon of reaflace bring $ð$, nu he hie
 reaferas geðenceað swiðe oft hu micel hie sellað, \& swiðe seldun he willað gemunan hu micel hie nimað, swelce hie $_{\mathrm{a}}$ medsceattas rimen pe hie Gode sellen, \& $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a scylda willen forgietan pe hie wił hiene }\end{aligned}$ geworhton. Ac hie scoldon gehieran łone cwide pe awriten is in Ageas bocum خæs witgan ; he cwað : Se pe medsceattas gadra久, he
 to medsceatte sellan $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { enc } \\ \text {, se pe wat hwær he hiene lege } \gamma \text {, \& nat }\end{aligned}$ hwær he hiene forlist. Swæ bið ðæm pe witan willað hwæt hie sellað, \& nyllað wiotan mid hwelcum wô hie hit gestriendon ołðe forworhton; fořæm hie doð swelce hie hit on ðyrelne pohhan sætten,
the root. Therefore the Lord, through the prophet Isaiah, rejected such alms, and thus spoke: "I am the Lord : I love righteous judgments, and I hate those offerings which are wrongfully seized." And again, he said : "The offering of the wicked is accursed, because it is brought from unrighteous gain and evil deeds." And often that which they think they give to God is taken from the poor. But the Lord proclaimed, through the wise Solomon, how great his indignation is at such a deed, saying : "If any man brings me an offering, wrongfully snatched from the possessions of a poor man, it is as if one were to wish to sacrifice to the father his own child as a gratification and offering, and kill it before his eyes." What sight is more intolerable than the death of a child before its father's eyes? By which we can understand with
ðurh Essaias $\begin{gathered}\text { one witgan forcwæð swelce } æ l m e s s a n, ~ \& ~ \\ \text { us spræc : Ic }\end{gathered}$ eom Dryhten : ic lufige ryhte domas, \& ic hatige $\gamma$ a lâc $\gamma$ e beo $\gamma$ on woh gereafodu. \& eft he cwæ૪: Arleasra offrung bið awierged, forðæm hie beoð brohte of unryhtum gestreonum \& of mândædum. 5 \& oft bið genumen on ðearfendum monnum ðæt ðæet hie ðonne wenað ðæt hie Gode sellen. Ac Dryhten gecyðde ðurh Salomon ðone snottran hu micel his irsung æfter ðære dæde bið, ða he cwæð: Se ðe me bring lac of earmes monnes æhtum on woh gereafodu, خonne bið ðæt swelce hwa wille blotan ðæm fæder to ðance \& to lacum his ægen 10 bearn, \& hit خonne cwelle beforan his eagum. Hwæt bið ðonne unaberendlicre to gesionne $\chi_{\text {onne }} ð_{æ s}$ bearnes cwalu beforan $\searrow_{æ s}$ fæder eagum? Be ðæm we magon ongietan mid hu micle irre Dryhten ge $\nearrow$ yldega $\gamma \gamma_{a}$ ælmessan $\gamma_{e}$ him man of reaflace brin $[g] \gamma$, nu he hie tealde gelice $ð æ s$ bearnes cwale beforan ðæs fæder eagum. Ac $\chi_{a}$ 15 reaferas geðenceað swiðe oft hu micel hie sellað, \& suiðe seldon hie willað gemunan hu micel hie nimað, swelce hie ða metsceattas rimen ðe hie Gode sellen, \& ða scylda willen forgietan $\succ_{e}$ hie wið hiene geworhton. Ac hie sceoldon gehieran łone cwide ૪e awriten is in Ageas bocum そæs witgan ; he cwæ૪: Se ðe medsceattas gaderað, he
 to metsceatte sellan خenč, se ðe wat hwær he hiene leget, \& nât hwær he hiene forliesð. Swa bið ðæm ðe witan willað hwæt hie sellað, \& nyllað wietan mid hwelcum woo hie hit gestriendon ołðe forworhton ; forðæm hie doð swelce hie hit on $\begin{aligned} & \text { yrelne pohchan fæten, }\end{aligned}$
what indignation the Lord endures the alms which are brought to him from rapine, since he accounted them like the slaughter of the child before its father's eyes. But the rapacious very often think of how much they give, and will very seldom remember how much they take, as if they calculated the wages they have paid to God, and wished to ignore the sins they have committed against him. But they should hear the saying which is written in the books of the prophet Haggai ; he said : "He that collects wages, puts them in a purse with holes in it." He puts what he intends to pay as wages into a purse with holes in it, who knows where he puts it, but does not know where he loses it. So it is with those who like to know what they give, but not how wrongfully they acquired or wasted it ; for they,
 habba久, \& forgieta久 hiora demm pe him of łæm gestreonum cymð, o૪ðe com.
XLVI. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne ða geðwæran, on ołre ૪a unge૪wæran.

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ gesibsuman, on o $\succ_{r e} \searrow_{a}$ ungesibsuman. Da ungesibsuman sint to manianne ðæet hie gewisslice
 hie æfre mægen gastlice bion, gif hie ðurh ungemodnesse agiemeleasiað Xæt hie anmode bion nyllað on ryhte \& on gode. Hit is awriten on sancte Paules bocum ðætte ðæs gastes wæstm sie lufu \& gefea \& ryhtwislicu sibb. Se pe $\not$ onne ne gem $\gamma$ hwæðer he $\Varangle_{a}$ sibbe healde, ðomne forsæcð he ðone wæstm his gastes. Eft cwæð sanctus Paulus: Đonne betweoxn eow bið yfel anda \& geflitu, hu ne bioł ge ðonne flæsclice? And eft he cwæð : Seceað sibbe \& god to eallum monnum ; butan ðære ne mæg nan mon God gesion. Ond eft he manode, \& cwæ૪: Geornlice gelinde ge iow tosomne mid anmodnesse \& mid sibbe, ðæt ge sien swæ gelices modes swæ ge sint gelices lichoman, swæ swæ ge ealle sint gelaðode to anum tohopan. To ðæm gebanne Xæs tolopan nan man ne mæg cuman, butan he ðider irne mid anmodnesse wið his niehstan. And ðeah wel manige onfoð synderlicre gife, \& ðonne ofermodgiende forlætað $૪$ a giefe pe mare is, $૪ æ t$ is sio anmodnes; swæ swæ manige doð, gemidliað hiora gifernesse, \& atemiað hiora lichoman łæt hie magon bet fæstan $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { o } \\ \mathrm{re} \text {, \& }\end{array}$
as it were, put it in a purse with holes in it, because they remember their hope of the property, but forget the injury they suffer from it, or have suffered.
XLVI. That the peaceful are to be admonished in one way, in another the quarrelsome.
The peaceful are to be admonished in one way, in another the quarrelsome. The quarrelsome are to be admonished to know certainly that they do not possess so many good qualities, as ever to be able to be spiritual, if through strife they neglect to live properly and virtuously on good terms with others. It is written in the books of St. Paul, that the fruit of the Spirit is love, and joy, and righteous

 o૪ðe coom.
XLVI. Đætte on ołre wisa sint to manianne ða geðwæran, ôn ołre 5 ૪a unge $\begin{aligned} & \text { wwran. }\end{aligned}$

On ołre wisan sint to manigenne ða gesibsuman, on ołre ða ungesibsuman. Da ungesibsuman sint to manigen[n]e $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hie gewisslice }\end{aligned}$ wieten ðæt hie na ôn to ðæs manegum goodum cræftum ne beoð, ไæt hie æfre mægen gæsðlice beon, gif hie ૪urh ungemodnes(se) agiemelea10 siað đæt hie anmode beon nyllað on ryhte \& on gôdle. Hit is awrieten on sancte Paules bocum ðætte ðæs gæstes wæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { m sie lufu \& gefea \& }\end{aligned}$ ryhtwislicu sibb. Se خe ðonne ne giemð hwæðer he ða sibbe healde, ðonne forsencð he ðone wæsðm his gæstes. Eft cwæð sanctus Paulus : Đonne betweoxn eow bið yfel anda \& geflitu, hu ne beoð ge ðanne 15 flæsclice? Ond eft he cuæð : Seccað sibbe \& gód to eallum mannum ; butan ðære ne mæg nan man [God] gesion. Ond eft he manode, \& cuæ૪: Geornlice gebinde ge eow tosomne mid anmodnesse \& mid sibbe, $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ge sien gelices modes swa ge sint gelices lichoman, sua }\end{aligned}$ sua ge ealle sint gelaðode to anum tohopan. To ðæm gebanne خæs 20 tohopan nan monn mæg cunnan, butan he łider ierne mid anmodnesse wið his niehstan. \& 欠eah [wel] manige onfó’ synderlicre
 sio anmodnes ; sua sua manige dôð, gemidliał hiera giefernesse, \& atemiað hira lichoman ðæt hie magon bet fæstan ðonne oðre, \&
peace. He, then, who does not care to keep peace, rejects the fruit of his spirit. Again, St. Paul said: "When there are among you evil spirit and strife, are ye not then carnal?" And again, he said: "Seek peace and goodness with all men, without which no man can see God." And again he admonished, saying: "Zealously unite yourselves with concord and peace, that ye may be of like mind as ye are of like body, as ye are all called to the same hope." To the summons of that hope no man can come, unless he run thither with concord towards his neighbour. And yet very many receive a special gift, and then presumptuously relinquish the gift which is greater, that is concord ; as many do who bridle their greediness and subdue their bodies, so as to be able to fast better than others, and then through
 forhæfdnes, $\searrow æ$ æt is anmodnes. Ac se pe wille ascadan $\chi_{a}$ forhæfdnesse from ðære anmodnesse, geðence se ðone cwide pe se psalmscop cwæð, he cwæ૪ : Lofiað God mid tympanan \& on choro. Se tympana bið [ge]worht of dryggium felle, \& ðæt fell hlyt, ðonne hit mon slihð, \& on $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m } \\ \text { chore } \text { bio } ð \text { monege men gegadrode anes hwæt to singanne }\end{array}$ anu $m$ wordum \& anre stemne. Se łonne se his lichoman swenč, \& $\chi_{a}$ anmodnesse wið his niehstan forlæt, se hereð Dryhten mid tympanan, \& nyle mid choran. Oft eac, Xonne hwane mara wisdom
 monna geferræddenne, \& swæ micle swæ he ma wat, \& wisra bið ðonne oðre menn, swa he ma dysegað, \& swiður wind wið ðone cræft ðære anmodnesse. Ac hie scioldon gehieran $\begin{array}{r}\text { one cwide pe sio Soł- }\end{array}$ fæstnes self cwæ૪, he cwæ૪: Habbał sealt on eow \& sibbe betweoxen eow. Đæt sealt he nemde for wisdom, forðæm he wolde łæt we hæfden ægðer ge sibbe ge wisdom, forðæm hit ne bioð nane cysta ne nan cræft ðæt mon hæbbe wisdom, \& nylle wilnian sibbe; forðæm swæ swæ he bet wat, swæ he wiers agylt, \& maran demm gedrig $\delta$
 næbbe wite geearnad $\begin{aligned} \\ y\end{aligned}$ he meahte mid his wisdome wærlice $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a synne }\end{aligned}$ forbugan, gif he wolde. Ac him wæs swiðe ryhte to geeweden łurh Iacobus ðone apostol, he cwæð : Gif ge hælbben yfelne andan on iow \& tionan \& geflitu on iowrum mode, ne gilpe ge no, ne ne fægniað łæs, \& ne flitað mid iowrum leasungum wið đæm soðe; forðæm se wisdom nis ufan cumen of hefonum, ac he is eorðlic \& wildeorlic \&
that good quality lose that which is better than abstinence, that is concord. Let him who wishes to separate abstinence from concord consider the words of the Psalmist; he said: "Praise God with the timbrel and in the dance." The timbrel is made of dry hide, which sounds when struck ; and in the dance a number of men are assembled to sing something with the same words and voice. He, therefore, who mortifies his body, and neglects to live in concord with his neighbour, praises the Lord with the timbrel, but will not do so with the dance. Often also, when any one is exalted above others by greater wisdom, he wishes to separate himself from the society of others ; and the more he knows, and the wiser he is than others, the more foolish he becomes, and the more he opposes the virtue of con-

 forhæfdnes, ไæt is anmodnes. Ac se | e wille ascadan $ð$ forhæfdnesse |
| :--- | from ૪ære anmodnesse, geðence se ðone cwide ૪e [se] psalmscop cuæ૪, he cwæð : Lofiað God mid tympanan \& on choro. Se tympano bið 5 geworht of drygum felle, \& łæt fell hlyt, ðonne hit mon sliehð, \& on $犭 æ m$ chore beoð manige menn gegadrode anes hwæt to singanne anum wordum \& anre stefne. Se ðonne se $૪$ his lichoman swencð, \& $ð_{a}$ anmodnesse wið his niehstan forlæt, se hereð Dryhten mid timpanan, \& nyle mid choro. Oft eac, ðonne hwone mara wisdom úpa10 ræ૪ ðonne ołre menn, ðonne wile he hiene ascadan from ołerra monna geferrædenne, \& sua micle sua he ma wât, \& wisra bið خonne oðre menn, sua he ma dysegað, \& suiður wienð wið ðone cræft ðære

 self cwæ૪, he cwæð : Habbał sealt on eow, \& habbał sibbe betweoxn 15 eow. Đæt sealt he nemde for wisdom, forłæm he wolde ðæt we hæfden ægðer ge sibbe ge wisdom, for $ð æ m$ hit ne beoð nane cysta ne nan cræft ðæt mon hæbbe wisdom, \& nylle wilnian sibbe ; forðæm sua sua he bet wât, swa he wyrs agylt, \& mara[n] demm gedrihð him selfum mid ðæm lote. He mæg hine | y læs beladian $\Varangle æ t$ he |
| :--- | 20 næbbe wite geearnoð $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ he meahte mid his wisdome wærlice $\mathrm{\jmath a}^{2}$ synne forbugan, gif he wolde. Ac him wæs swiðe ryhte to gecueden ðurh Iacobus ðone apostol, he cwæ૪: Gif ge hæbben yfelne andan on eow \& teonan \& geflitu on eowrum mode, ne gilpe ge nô, ne ne fægniað ðæs, \& ne flitað mid eowrum leasungum wið ðæm soðe ; forðæm se 25 wisdôm nis ufan cumen of hefenum, ac he is eorðlic \& wildiorlic \&

cord. But they should hear the words of Truth itself, saying: "Have salt in you, and have peace among you." He mentioned salt instead of wisdom, because he wished us to have both peace and wisdom ; for it is no excellence or virtue to have wisdom, and not to care for peace, because the more he knows, the worse his guilt, and the greater the injury he inflicts on himself with the deceit. He is the less able to excuse himself from deserving punishment, the more able he was with his wisdom carefully to avoid sin, if he would. But it was very rightly said to him through the apostle James ; he said: "If ye have a bad spirit among you, and contumely and strife in your hearts, boast not nor rejoice thereat, and strive not with your falsehoods against the truth ; for that wisdom has not descended from heaven, but is earthly
eac deofullic. Ac se pe of Gode cymð, he bið godes willan \& gesibsum. Đæt is $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \nvdash æ s \\ \text { he sie clænes willan } \& \text { godes, } \\ \text { ætt }\end{array}$ he
 he gesibsum sie, łæt he hiene nanwuht ne ahebbe ofer his gelican, ne from hiora geferrædenne ne $\begin{array}{r}\text { iede. } \\ \text {. Đæm ungesibsumum is to cy̌anne }\end{array}$ $\chi_{æ t}$ hie witen $\chi_{æ t t e}$ swæ lange swæ hie bio૪ from $\chi_{æ r e}$ lufe aðied hiora nihstena, \& him ungemode bioð, ไætte hie nanwuht godes ne magon $\npreceq a$ hwile Gode brengan to $\not{ }_{\text {ances. }}$. Be $\nsucc æ m$ is awriten on

 weardes gedon hæbbe, forlæt $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { an } \\ \text { خin lac beforan } \\ \text { ðæm wiofude, }\end{array}$ \& fer ærest æfter him ; læt inc geseman ær $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { 〕in lac brenge ; breng }\end{aligned}$ si $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { an } \\ \text { ðin lac. Đæt is } \npreceq æ t ~ h w a ~ f a r e ~ m i d ~ h i s ~ m o d e ~ æ f t e r ~ h i s ~ n i h s t a n, ~\end{aligned}$ \& him unne Xæt he to ryhte gecierre. Of Xisum bebode we magon
 bioł forsæcene. Nu man ælc yfel mæg mid gode adilgian, is $\begin{array}{r} \\ \hline\end{array}$ ૪onne for micel scyld pe gede $\begin{array}{r}\text { Łtte nan god ne bið andfenge, buton }\end{array}$ man ær $\nprec æ t ~ y f e l ~ f o r l æ t e . ~ A c ~ \succ a ~ u n g e s i b s u m a n ~ s i n t ~ t o ~ m a n i a n n e, ~ g i f ~$ hie nyllen hiora lichoman earan ontynan to gehieronne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { godcundan }\end{array}$ lare, $\begin{aligned} & \text { æt hie ontynen hiora modes eagan, \& giemen خissa eorðlicena }\end{aligned}$ gesceafta, hu $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ fuglas, pe him gelice bioð, \& anes cynnes bioð, hu gesibsumlice hie farað, \& hu seldon hie willað forlætan hiora geferscipe, \& eac $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { dumban nietenu, hu hie hie gadriað heapmælum, \& hie }\end{aligned}$ ætsomne fedað. Nu we magon gecnawan on $\not$ 万ara ungesceadwisra nietena gesibsumnesse hu micel yfel sio gesceadwislice gecynd ðurh $\chi_{a}$
and animal, and also devilish. But that which comes from God is of good will and peaceful." Being of pure and good will, is purely and righteously understanding what he understands. Being peaceful consists in not exalting limself at all above his equals, nor separating himself from their society. The quarrelsome are to be told to know, that as long as they keep aloof from the love of their neighbours, and are at variance with them, they cannot bring anything good to please God. Of which is written in the books of Christ: "If thou wilt bring thine offering to the altar, and there remember well something that thy neighbour has done against thee, leave thine offering before the altar; and go first after him ; reconcile thyself with him before thou bring thine offering; then loring thine offering." That is, that he is
eac diofullic．Ac se se خe of Gode cym＞，he bið godes willan \＆ge－ sibsum．Đæt is ðonne $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { he sie clænes willan \＆goodes，} 犭 æ t \text { he }\end{array}$ clænlice \＆ryhtwislice ongiete Łæt ðæt he ongiete．Đæt is ૪oune ðæt he gesibsum sie，łæt he hiene nanwuht ne ahebbe ofer his gelican，ne 5 from hiera geferrædenue ne ðiede．Đæm ungesibsuman is to cyðanne ðæt hie wieten $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { tte swa lange sua hie beoð from ðære lufe aðied }\end{aligned}$ hiera niehstena，\＆him ungemode beoð，̌ætte hie nanwuht godes ne
 Cristes bocum：Gif $\Varangle_{u}$ wille $\succ_{i n}$ lâc bringan to $\Varangle æ m$ wiofude，\＆$ð u$
 gedôn hæbbe，forlæt $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { an } \\ \text { in lác beforan } \\ \text { ðæm weofude，\＆fér }\end{gathered}$ ærest æfter him；læt inc geseman ær ðu ðin lâc bringe；brieng siðð $ð_{a n} \Varangle_{i n}$ lâc．Đæt is $\Varangle_{æ t}$ hwa fare mid his mode æfter his niehstan，

 15 gełencean hu unaberendlic gylt sio towesnes bix，ðonne ða lâc for |  |
| ---: | ---: | beoð forsacene．Nu man ælc yfel mæg mid goode adilegian，is ðæt ૪onne for micel scyld ðæet gedeð ðætte nan good ne bið andfenge，buton mon ær $ð æ t$ yfel forlæte．Ac ða ungesibsuman sint to manien（n）e，gif hie nyllen hiera lichoman earan ontynan to gehieranne $\gamma_{a}$ godcundan 20 lare，ðæt hie ontynen hiera modes eagan，\＆giemen 犭issa eorðlic［na］ gesceafta，hu $\succ$ a fuglas，خe him gelice beo $\gamma, \&$ anes cyn［n］es beor，hu gesibsumlice hie farað，\＆hu seldon hie willað forlætan hiera gefer－ rædenne，\＆eac 夭a dumban nietenu，hu hie［hie］gadriað heapmælum， \＆hie ætsomne fedað．Nu we magon gecnawan on đara ungescead－ 25 wisra nietena gesibsumnesse hu micel yfel sio gesceadwislice gecynd

to go in quest of his neighbour，and give him the chance of returning to what is right．From this precept we can judge how intolerable an evil discord is，when offerings are refused because of it．Since every evil can be neutralized with good，it is too great a sin which canses no good to be acceptable，unless the evil be relinquished beforehand．The quarrelsome are to be admonished，if they will not open their bodily ears to hear the divine instruction，to open their mental eyes，and observe these earthly creatures；how birds of one and the same kind fly so peacefully，and how seldom they care to desert their family；and also how the dumb cattle gather together in herds，and feed together． Now we can understand from the peacefulness of irrational animals， how great a sin the rational race of man commits in being quarrel－
ungesibsumnesse gefremeð, ðonne he on gesceadwislicum ingeðonce forliest ðæt ða dumban nietenu gehealden habbað on hiora gecynde. Ongean ðæt sint to manianne ða gesibsuman, ðonne hie lufiað ða sibbe pe hie her habbał swiður ðonne hit ðearf sie, \& ne wilniað na đæt hie to đære ecean sibbe becumen. Ac sio stilnes pe hie ðær wilniað oft swiæe hefiglice dereð hiora ingeðonce, for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { swæ him }\end{array}$ ðios stilnes \& خios ieðnes ma licað, swæ him læs licað ðæt ðæt hie to gelałode sindon, \& swæ hiene swiður lyst Xisses andweardan lifes, swæ he læs secð ymb ðæt ece. Be ðissum ilcan cwæ૪ Crist ૪urh
 his apostolas spon of خisum andweardan to 犭æm ecean, he cwæ૪: Mine sibbe ic eow selle, \& mine sibbe ic læte to iow. Swelce he ewæde : Ic iow [on]læne ða gewitendan, \& ic eow geselle ða ðurhwuniendan. Gif łonne łæs monnes mod \& his lufu bið behleapen eallunga on đa lænan sibbe, خonne ne mæg he næfre becuman to ðære pe him geseald is. Ac swæ is خios andwearde sibb to habbanne ðæt we hie sculon lufian, \& ðeah oferhycgean, ðylæs ðæt mod ðæs pe hie lufað on synne befealle, gif he hie to ungemetlice lufað. Eac sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ gesibbsuman $\npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~ t o ~ u n g e m e t l i c e ~ ð æ r e ~ s i b b e ~ n e ~ w i l n i e n, ~ ð y l æ s ~$ hie for ðære wilnunga ðisse eorðlican sibbe forlæten untælde oðerra monna yfle ðeawas, \& hiene ðonne selfne swæ aðiede from ðære sibbe his Sceppendes mid ðære geðafunga ðæs unryhtes; ૪ylæs, ðonne he him ondræt $\Varangle \mathrm{a}$ towesnesse utane, he sie innan asliten from $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { ge- }\end{array}$ ðoftscipe ðæs incundan Deman. Hwæt is elles ðios gewitendlice sibb, buton swelce lit sie sum swæð ðære ecean sibbe? Hwæt mæg bion
some, when with their rational intellect they neglect what the dumb animals preserve in their kind. The peaceful, on the other hand, are to be admonished, when they love the peace that they have here more than they ought, and do not desire to attain to eternal peace. But the tranquillity they desire often injures their minds very severely, because, the more this tranquillity and ease please them, the less they are pleased with that to which they are called, and the more they desire this present, the less they aspire to the eternal life. Of this same Christ spoke through himself, when he distinguished between this earthly and the heavenly peace, and diverted his apostles from the present to the eternal peace, saying: "My peace I give to you, and my peace I leave with you." Ás if he had said: "I lend you this
ðurh $\Varangle_{a}$ ungesibsumnesse gefremeð，ðonne he on gesceadwislicum inge－ ðonce forlies $\searrow$ ðæt $\nsucc a$ dumban nietenu gehealden habbað on hiera ge－ cynde．Ongean $\searrow$ Łæt sint to manienne ða gesibbsuman，đonne hie lufigað
 5 na łæt hie to đære ecean sibbe becumen．Ac sio stillnes Łe hie そær wilniað oft swiðe hefelice dereð hira ingeðonce，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { swa him }\end{array}$夭ios stillnes \＆Xios ie夭nes ma licað，sua him læs licað đæt ðæt hie to gelałode sindon，\＆sua hie［ne］swiłur lysð Xisses andweardan， swa he læs secð ymb خæt ece．Be ðysum ilcan cwæð Crist ðurh 10 hiene selfne，$\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he $\succ_{a s}$ eorðlican sibbe toscead \＆$\succ_{a}$ hefonlican，
 Mine sibbe ic eow selle，\＆mine sibbe ic læte to eów．Swelce he cwæde：Ic eow onlæne ðas gewitendan，\＆ic eow geselle ða ðurhwini－ endan．Gif $\Varangle_{o n n e} \Varangle_{æ s}$ monnes mod \＆his lufu bið behleapen eallunga 15 on ða lænan sibbe，ðonn ne mæg he næbre becuman to ðære $\begin{aligned} \text { him }\end{aligned}$

 synne befealle，gif he hie to ungemetlice lufað．Eac sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ gesibsuman $\nsucc æ t$ hie to ungemetlice $\nsucc æ r e ~ s i b b e ~ n e ~ w i l n i g e n, ~ ð y l æ s ~$ 20 hie for ðære wilnunga $\chi_{i s s e}$ eorðlican sibbe forlæten untælde ołerra monna yfele unðeawas，\＆hiene خonne selfne swa aðiede from خære sibbe his Scippendes mid ðære geðafunga łæs ûnryhtes ；خylæs，［łonne］ he him ondrædt $\mathrm{ð}_{\mathrm{a}}$ towesnesse utane，he sie innan asliten from $_{\text {æm }}$
 25 sibb，buton swelce hit sie sum swæð そære eccean sibbe？Hwæt mæg

[^28]dyslicre $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne hwa lufige hwelcre wulte spor on } \npreceq æ m ~ d u s t e, ~ \& ~ n e ~\end{aligned}$
 hiene eallunga geðiedde to ðæm geðoftscipe ðære incundan sibbe，he cyðde $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t }\end{array}$ he nolde habban nane gemodsumnesse wið $\chi_{a}$ yfelan， $\begin{aligned} \text { a he }\end{aligned}$
 Xinum feondum ic aswand on minum mode，\＆mid fulryhte hete ic he hatode，for ðæm hie wæron eac mine fiend？Swæ mon ðonne sceal fullfremedlice Godes fiend hatigean，łætte mon lufige $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ðæt } \\ \text { hie }\end{array}$ bioð，\＆hatige ðæt $\not$ æt hie doð．He sceal weorðan his life to nytte mid $\Varangle_{y} \rtimes_{æ t}$ he næte his unðeawas．Ac hu wene we hu micel scyld ðæt sie $\not 犭$ æt mon aðreote $\Varangle_{æ r e}$ nætinge yfelra monna，\＆nime sibbe wi $૪$ ða wyrrestan，nu se witga $ð æ t$ brohte Gode to lacum \＆to offrunga ðæt he ðara yfelena fiondscipe ongean hiene selfne aweahte for Gode？ Forłæm wæs eac $\begin{array}{r}\text { ætte } \\ \text { Leuis cynn gefengon hiora sweord，\＆eodon ut }\end{array}$ ðurh $\not$ one here，sleande $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { a scyldgan ；\＆for } ð æ m ~ h i t ~ i s ~ a w r i t e n ~ \nsupseteq t\end{aligned}$ hiora honda wæron gehalgode Gode，forðæmpe hie ne sparodon $ð$ a synnfullan，ac slogon．Be ðæm wæs eac $\nsupseteq$ ætte Fines forseah his neahgelura friondscipe，$\nsucc a$ he ofsloh his agenne geferan，$\succ_{a}$ he hiene forlæg wið $\chi_{a}$ Madianiten，\＆$\chi_{a}$ forlegisse mid he ofslog，\＆swæ mid his irre he gestilde Godes irre．And eft cwæð Crist ðurh hiene selfne：Ne wene ge no ðæt ic to ðæm come on eorðan $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { re } \\ \text { ic sibbe }\end{array}$ sende on eorðan，ac sweord．Forðæm，ðonne we us unwærlice geðieda欠 to yfelra monna freondscipe，夭onne gebinde we us to hiora scyldum．Be ðis ilcan wæs $\begin{array}{r}\text { rtte Gesaphat，se pe ær on }\end{array}$ eallum dædum his lifes wæs to herianne，fulneah mid ealle forwearð
love the trace of anything in the dust，and not to love that which made the trace？Of which David spoke，when he entirely joined the society of internal peace，and proclaimed that he would have no con－ cord with the wicked，saying ：＂How，do I not hate all those，O Lord， who hate thee？Before thy foes my spirit shrank，and I hated them with perfect hatred，because they were also my foes．＂We ought to hate God＇s enemies so perfectly as to love what they are，and hate what they do．We must help their lives by blaming their faults． But how can we think how great a sin it is to tire of blaming the bad，and make peace with the worst，when the prophet brought it as a gift and offering to God，that he excited the hostility of the wicked against himself for the love of God？Therefore it was that the
 lufige ไæt ðætte ðæt spor worhte? Be ðæm cwæð Dauid, ða he

 5 cuæ૪: Hu ne hatige ic [ $\mathrm{C}_{a}$ ] ealle, Dryhten, $\chi_{a} \chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ ðe hatigað? \& for ðinum feondum ic aswand on minum mode, $\&$ mid fulryhte hete ic hie hatode, forłæm hie wæron eac mine find? Swa mon ðonne sceal ful[1]fremedlice Godes fiend hatigean, ðætte mon lufige خæt łæt hie beoð, \& hatige ðæt łæt hie doð. He sceal weor`an his life to nytte 10 mid $૪$ y $\begin{array}{r} \\ t\end{array}$ he næte his unðeawas. Ac hu wene we hu micel scyld ðæt sie đæt monn ałreote ðære nætinge yfelra monna, \& nime sume sibbe wið $㐅_{a}$ wierrestan, nu se witga $ð æ$ brohte Gode to lacum \& to offrunga ðæt he ðara yfelena feondscipe ongean hine selfne aweahte for Gode? Forðæm wæs ðæte Leuis kynn gefengon hiera sweord, \& eodon
 そæt hiera honda wæren gehalgode Gode, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ree } \\ \text { hie ne sparodan }\end{aligned}$ ða synfullan, ac slogon. Be خæm wæs eac ðætte Fines forseah his neahgebura freondscipe, $\chi_{a}$ he ofslog his a(ge)ne geferan, $\chi_{a}$ he line
 20 his ierre he gestilde Godes ierre. \& eft cwæð Crist łurh hine selfne : Ne wene ge no ðæt ic to łæm come on eorðan ðæt ic sibbe sende on eorðan, ac sweord. Forðæm, ðonne we us unwærlice geðiedał to yfelra monna freondscipe, ðonne gebinde we us to hiera scyldum. Be ðys ilcan wæs ðætte Gesaphað, se ૪e ær on 25 eallum dædum his lifes wæs to herigenne, fullneah mid ealle for-

[^29]for Achabes freondscipe. He wæs geleahtrod from Gode, Xurh ðone witgan him wæs to gecweden: Du fultumodest $\nearrow æ m$ arleasum, \& $\nless u$ gemengdest $\nsucc i n n e ~ f r e o n d s c i p e ~ w i ð ~ ð o n e ~ p e ~ h a t o d e ~ G o d, ~$

 on $\chi_{e}$ mette ; $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { wæs } \\ & æ t \\ & \succ u \text { adydest } \\ & \text { bearwas of Iudea londe. }\end{aligned}$ Be $\npreceq m$ we magon gehieran $\npreceq æ t t e ~ s w æ ~ m i c l e ~ s w æ ~ w e ~ u s ~ s w i ð o r ~$
 we swæ micle fierr bioð ðæm hiehstan ryhte aðiedde. Eac sint to
 sibbe ongean hie selfe gedrefen mid ðære ૪reaunga, ðonne hit tocymð ðæt hie hit sprecau sculon. Ond eft hie sint to manianne ðæt hie $\succ_{e a h} \succ_{a}$ sibbe anwealge oninnan him gehealden, $\succ_{a}$ pe hie utane mid

 him cidde, ðonne oncuðon hie me butan scylde. Hie oncuðon hiene for ðære cease, \& he wæs そeah hiora freond; ne aðreat hiene no ðæt he $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ dysegan ne tælde, \& Xeah pe hie hiene treldon, he hie lufode. Be ðys ilcan cwæð eft Paulus: Ic wolde, gif hit swæ bion meahte, ðæt ge wið ælcne monn hæfden sibbe eowres gewealdes. Fořæm he cwæð : Gif hit swæ bion meahte, and eac he cwæð : Eowres gewealdes, for-
 to cidanne pe yfel deð, ge eac sibbe wið to habbanne. Ac us is swiðe
 on ðara yfelena monna mode, ðæt hie ðeah on ussum eallunga gehealden sie. Forðæm he cwæð be ðære sibbe: Eowres gewealles,
by God, when it was said to him through the prophet: "Thou helpedst the wicked man, and mingledst thy friendship with him who hated God, and therefore thou hast merited the anger of God, because the good works were not formerly found in thee; that was, that thou didst remove the groves from the land of Judah." From which we can hear, that the more we associate and agree in the friendship of the wicked, the farther we are separated from the highest righteousness. The peaceful are also to be admonished not to fear to disturb this transitory peace with themselves by severity, when they have to speak. And again, those who outwardly trouble them with severity are to be admonished to preserve peace entire within themselves notwithstanding. Both of which David said that he very carefully
wearð for Achabes freondscipe. He wæs geleahtrad from Gode, ðurh ðone witgan him wæs to gecweden: Đu fultemodes ðæm arleasum,


 Be ðæm we magon gehieran $\begin{aligned} & \text { ætte } \\ & \text { sua micle sua we us swiður }\end{aligned}$ geðiedað \& gemodsumiað to ðæra yfe[le]na freondscipe, ðætte we swa micle fier beoð $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hiehstan ryhte aðiedde. Eac sint to }\end{array}$

 $\succ_{æ t}$ hie hit sprecan sculon. Ond eft hie sint to manianne $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { hie }\end{array}$ $\chi_{\text {eah }} \succ_{a}$ sibbe anwealge oninnan him gehealden, $\chi_{a} \chi_{e}$ hie utane mid
 wærlice beheolde, ไa he cwæ૪: Ic lufode ða ૪e sibbe hatodon, \&
 hiene for ðære cease, \& he wæs ðeah hiora freond; ne ałreat hine no
 Be ðys ilcan cwæð eft Paulus: Ic wolde, gif hit swa beon meahte, ðæt ge wið ælcne monn hæfden sibbe eowres gewealdes. For $犭 æ m$ he 20 cwæð: Gif hit swa beon meahte, \& eac he cwæ $\gamma$ : Iowres gewealdes,
 to cidanne Xe yfel deð, ge eac sibbe wið to habbenne. Ac us is swiðe micel Xearf, ðeah ðeos hwilendlice sibb for ure cease gedrefed weorðe on ðæra yfelena monna mode, ðæt hie ðeah on ussum eallunga ge25 healden sie. Forłæm he cwæð bi ðære sibbe: Iowres gewealdes,

[^30]for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { sio sib mid ryhte bion sceal æg૪er ge on } \not \text { łæs cidendan monnes }\end{aligned}$ mode ge on Łæs ge afiendan．Gif hio ðonne of oðres gewite，on ไæs ołres hio ðurhwunige．Be ðæm se ilca Paulus on ołre stowe manode his gingran，\＆ðus cwæð：Swæ hwa swa urum wordum \＆gewritum hieran nylle，do hit mon us to witanne，\＆næbbe ge nænne gemanan wið hiene，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { ðætte hiene gesceamige．And eft æfter } ð æ m ~ h e ~\end{array}$ cwæ૪：Ne scule ge wið hiene gebæran swæ swæ wið fiond，ac ge him sculon cidan swæ swæ breðer．Swelce he openlice cwæde：Forlætað $\succ_{a}$ uterran sibbe，\＆habbað $\chi_{a}$ innerran fæste，そætte eower uusib
 ne gewite，「eah hiere mon ne recce．

> XLVII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $ð$ a wrohtgeornan，on oðre ða sibsuman．

On oðre wisan sint to manianne $\gamma_{a}$ pe wrohte sawað，on o o $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ra }\end{aligned}$ sibsuman．Đa wrohtgeornan sint to manianne ðæt hie gełencen hwæs folgeras hie sindon．Be $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { aworpnan engle is awriten on } \nsucc æ m\end{array}$
 him gecweden：Sum man $\begin{aligned} \text { is dyde pe ure fiond wæs．Be ðæs ilcan }\end{aligned}$ feondes limum is خus［ge］cweden ðurh Salomon：Aworpen mon bið â unnyt，\＆gæð mid wô muðe，\＆bicne $ð$ mid $犭 æ m$ eagum，\＆trit mid ðæm fet，\＆sprič mid łæm fingre，\＆on wore heortan bið yfel donde， \＆on ælce tid saweð wrohte．Her we magon gehieran，ða he be łæm wrohtgeornan secgean wolde，ðæt he hiene nemde se aworpna ；forðæm，
because peace ought properly to be in the heart both of the chider and of him who suffers himself to be chid．If，then，it depart from the heart of the one，let it remain in the other＇s．About which the same Paul admonished his disciples in another place，and spoke thus： ＂If any one will not listen to our words and letters，let it be made known to us，and have no intercourse with him，that he may be ashamed．＂And again，he said afterwards ：＂Ye must not treat him as an enemy，but remonstrate with him like a brother．＂As if he had openly said：＂Relinquish the outer peace，and hold fast to the inner， that your enmity may humble the simner＇s heart，yet so that peace may not depart from your heart，although it is not regarded．＂

for |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $m$ |
| sio sib mid ryhte beon sceal ægðer ge ôn ðæs cidendan monnes | mode ge on łæs geðafiendan. Gif hio ðonne of oðres gewite, on ðæs oðres hio ðurhwuuige. Be ðæm se ilca Paulus on ołre stowe monode his gingran, \& Xus cwæ૪: Swa hwa swa urum wordum \& gewritum 5 hieran nylle, do hit mon us to witanne, \& nabbe ge nanne gemanan wið hine, forłæm ðætte hine gesceamige. \& eft æfter $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { he }\end{array}$ cwæð : Ne scule [ge] wið hine gebæran swa swa wið feond, ac ge him sculon cidan swa swa breðer. Swelce he openlice cwæde: Forlætað

 10 geeaðmede ðæs synnigan mod, swa ðeah ðæt sio sib of eowre heortan ne gewite, ðæah hiere mon ne recce.
XLVII. Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $\not$ ða wrohtgeornan, on ołre ða gesibsuman.

On ołre wisan sint to monigenne $ð a$ ðe wrohte sawað, on ołre $\succ_{a}$ 15 gesibsuman. Đa wrohtgeornan sint to manigenne łæt hie geðencen hwæs folgeras hie sindon. Be ðæm aworpnan engle is awriten on ðæm godspelle ðæt he sewe ðæt weod on ða godan æceras. Forðy wæs bi him gecweden: Sum mon $\begin{aligned} & \text { is dyde } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { ure feond wæs. Be } \text { ææs ilcan }\end{aligned}$ feondes limum is रus gecweden ðurh Salomon: Aworpen man bið 20 â unnyt, \& gæð mid wo muðe, \& bicne $\gamma$ mid $\nsucc æ m$ eagum, \& trit mid

 wrohtgeornan secgean wolde, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { t }\end{aligned}$ he hine nemde se aworpna; for §on $^{\text {, }}$
XLVII. That lovers of strife are to be admonished in one way, in another the peaceful.

Those who sow strife are to be admonished in one way, in another the peaceful. The lovers of strife are to be admonished to consider whose followers they are. Of the expelled angel it is written in the Gospel that he sowed the weed in the good fields. Therefore it was said of him : "An enemy of ours did this." Of the same enemy's members is thus spoken through Solomon: "An apostate is always useless, and goes with perverse mouth, and winks with the eyes, and treads with the foot, and speaks with the finger, and does evil with perverse heart, and is always sowing strife." In this passage we can hear how, when he wished to speak of the lover of strife, he called him the apostate ;
gif he ær on ðæs ofermodan engles wisan innan his inge $\begin{aligned} & \text { once } \\ & \text { of }\end{aligned}$


 eagum, \& sprece mid |  |
| :---: |
| $m$ | fingrum, \& trit mid $\nsucc y$ fet; forðæmpe innor bið se hierde, $\not$ ðæt is se willa, se hielt $\nsucc a$ limu utan. For $\not$ æm,

 hwilum swiðe ungestæððiglice astyred utane on his limum, \& gecyð૪
 ðæm wyrtruman innan. Ac gehieren $ð$ a wrohtsaweras hwæt awriten


 geðencean, nu $\succ_{a}$ sint Godes bearn genemde pe sibbe wyrceað, $\not$ ætte Xa sindon butan twion diofles bearn, pe hie toweorpan willa久, forđæmpe ælc ðara pe hiene mid unryhte ascadan wile from ðære geðwærnesse, he wile forlætan łære lufan grennesse, \& forsearian on ðære unge $\chi_{w æ r n e s s e . ~ F o r ~}^{\text {r }}$. weorces, gif he ne bið of godum willan \& of untwyfaldre lufan ongunnen, ne bið he nauht. Ge $\Varangle_{\text {encen }}$ be $\rtimes_{i s s u m} \Varangle_{a}$ wrohtsaweras hu manigfaldlice hie gesyngiað, ðonne hie ðæt an yfel ðurhteoð, \& mid ðæm anum yfle aterað of 犭ære mennescan heortan ealle |  |
| :---: |
| godan | cræftas. Mid ðæm anum yfle hie gefremmał unrim ǒerra yfla, fortæm $\chi_{a}$ pe $\succ_{a}$ wroht sawað, hie adwæsceað $\gamma_{a}$ sibbe, pe modor is ealra godra cræfta. For`onpe nan cræft nis Godes deorwyrðra $\begin{aligned} \text { onne }\end{aligned}$ sio lufu, ne eft $\Varangle æ m$ deofle nan cræft lioftælra $\not$ onne hie mon slite. Swæ hwa Xonne swæ $\chi_{a}$ wrohte bið sawende, \& mid $\chi_{y}$ ða sibbe

because, had he not formerly fallen in his thoughts from the sight of God, like the proud angel, he would not have become outwardly the seed of strife. Of whom it is rightly written that he winks with his eyes, and talks with his fingers, and treads with his foot ; because the guardian, that is the will, who keeps the members externally, is inside. Therefore, when a man loses the consistency of his mind internally, he is sometimes very unsteadily agitated exterually in his members, and shows by the agitation of the twigs outside that there is no stability in the root inside. But let the sowers of strife hear what is written in the Gospel ; it is written: "Blessed are the peaceful, for they shall be called the children of God." From these words we can suppose that, since those who make peace are called the children of
 gesiehðe ne afeolle, خonne ne become he no utane to ðæm sæde ðære


 ðonne mon $૪$ fastrædnesse his modes innan forlist, $\begin{array}{r}\text { fonne bił he }\end{array}$ hwilum swiłe ungestæðXiglice astyred utane on his limum, \& gekyðð
 ðæm wyrtruman innan. Ac gehiren $㐅_{a}$ wrohtsaweras hwæt awriten
 forðon hie beoð Godes bearn genemde. Be ðæm worde we magon geðencean, nu ða sint Godes bearn genemned $\prec e$ sibbe wyrcað, ðætte $\gamma$ a sindon butan tweon diofles bearn, خe hie toweorpan willað, forðæmðe ælc ðara ૪e hine mid unryhte ascadan wille from ðære geð15 wærnesse, he wile forlætan ðære lufan grennisse, \& forsearian ôn そære
 weorces, gif he ne bið of gôdum willan \& of untwiefaldre lufan ongunnen, ne bið he nawuht. Geðencen be $\begin{gathered}\text { issum } \\ \text { ða wrohtsaweras } \\ \text { hu }\end{gathered}$ monigfaldlice hie gesyngiað, ðonne hie خæt ân yfel ðurhtioð, \& mid
 cræftas. Mid $ð$ am anum yfele hie gefremmað unrim oðerra yfela,
 ealra godra cræfta. Forłonðe nan cræft nis Gode deorwyr $\begin{aligned} \text { ra } & \text { Øonne }\end{aligned}$
 25 Swa hwa ðonne swa $\Varangle_{a}$ wrohte bið sawende, \& mid $\nsucc y$ ða sibbe

God, those are without doubt the devil's children who try to destroy it, because every one who wiekedly tries to keep himself aloof from concord, will relinquish the greenness of love, and wither in dissension. Therefore, although he bring forth some fruit of good works, if it is not begun from good will and sincere love, it is nothing. From this let the sowers of strife consider how manifoldly they sin when they commit that single evil, and with it tear away from the human heart all good qualities. With that single evil they commit a multitude of other evils, because those who sow strife extinguish peace, which is the mother of all good qualities. Therefore no virtue is more acceptable to God than love, nor, again, any vice more pleasing to the devil than quarrelsomeness. Whoever, therefore, sows strife, and so destroys the
ofslihð on his geferan, he bið hiewcuðlice Xiow $犭$ æm Godes fionde, pe simle wiðbritt ðæm untruman mode ðære sibbe pe he self forlet, \& hiene mid $\not$ ðæm forworhte, oð he ofdune afeoll, \& nu git wilnað ðæt he us łone weg fordicige, ðæt we ne mægen upastigan on خone weg \& on $\chi_{a}$ are pe he of afioll. Ongean $\chi_{æ t}$ sint to manianne $\succ_{a}$ pe $\succ_{a}$ sibbe sawað, ไæt hie swæ micel weore to recceleaslice \& to unwærlice ne don, \& huru Łær ðær hie nyton hwǎer sio sib betre betweox gefæstnod bið, pe ne bix, for $\Varangle æ m$ swæ swiłe swæ hit dereł ટætte ænig wana sie ðære sibbe betweox ðæm godum, swæ swiłe hit eac dereð
 $\chi_{w e o r a n ~ \& ~}^{\text {\&a }}$ unryhtwisan hiora yfel mid sibbe gefæstniað, \& tosomne gemengear, خonne bið geieced hiora mægen, \& hiora yfelan weorcum gefultemad, fořæm swæ micle swæ hie gemodsumran bioł betweox him, swæ hie bioð bealdran $\succ_{a}$ godan to swencanne. Be ðæm wæs ðætte sio godeunde stemn cwæð to ðæm eadegan Iobe ymb $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { bodan }\end{array}$ ðæes idlan fætes, ðæt is se awergda Antecrist, hio cwæð: His flæ[s]ces lima clifał æle on ołrum. And eft hio dyde sciella to bisene his heor $\begin{aligned} & \text { enihtum, } \& ~ \text { uus cwæð : Alces fisces sciell bið to ołerre gefeged, }\end{aligned}$ $\chi_{æ t} ð_{æ r}$ ne mæg nan æðm ut betweox. Swæ eac $\chi_{a}$ his folgeras, swæ hie unwiðerweardran \& gemodran bioð, swæ hie swiðor hlecað tosomne, \& eac festor tosomne bioð gefegede to godra monna hien $\mathrm{K}_{\text {e }}$. Swæ eac se se ja unryhtwisan tosomne sibbað, he seleð ðære unryhtwisnesse fultom \& mægen, for $\succ æ m$ hie magon $\mathrm{ła}_{\mathrm{a}}$ godan swæ micle swiður geswencean swæ hie hiora anmodlicor ehtað. Be ðæm cwæ૪ se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus, ða he geseah ðæt fole Fariseo \&
peace of his companion, is a familiar servant of God's enemy, who is always depriving the infirm mind of the peace which he himself relinquished, and so ruined himself, till he fell down, and still wishes to block up our road, lest we ascend the path to the dignity he fell from. Those, on the contrary, who sow peace are to be admonished not to do such great works too recklessly and rashly, and especially when they do not know whether peace is better established between the two, or not ; because, as much as any diminution of peace between the good is injurious, so much is it also injurious, if it is not diminished, between the bad. Because, if the perverse and unrighteous consolidate their evil with peace, and combine it together, their power is increased, and their evil deeds helped, because the greater their unanimity between
 simle wiðbritt $\npreceq æ m ~ u n t r u m a n ~ m o d e ~ ð æ r e ~ s i b b e ~ ð e ~ h e ~ s e l f ~ f o r l e ̂ t, ~ \& ~$
 he ûs 犭one weg fordikige, خæt we ne mægen astigan on خone weg \& 5 on $\succ_{a}$ are $\succ_{e}$ he of áfeoll. Ongean $\nprec æ t$ sint to manienne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e} \searrow_{a}$ sibbe sawað, ðæt hie swa micel weore to recceleaslice \& to unwærlice ne don, \& huru łær Łær hie nyton hwæðer sio sibb betre betwux gefæstnod bið, ðe ne bið, for`æm swa swiłe swa hit dereð ðætte ænig wana sie ðære sibbe betwux ðæm goodum, swa swiłe hit eac dereð 10 そæt hio ne sie gewanod betwux ðæm yfelum. Forðæm, gif ða ðweoran \& $\npreceq a$ unrylitwisan hiera yfel mid sibbe gefæstnigað, \& tosomne gemengax, Xonne bið geiced hiera mægen, \& hiora yfelum weorcum gefultumod, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { on } \\ \text { swa }\end{aligned}$ micle swa hie gemodsumeran bioð betwux him, swa hie beoð bealdran ða godan to swenceanne. Be ðæm 15 wæs ðætte sio godcunde stemn cwæ૪ to ðæm eadgan Iobe ymb ða bodan ðæs idlan fætes, ðæt is se awirgda Antexrist, hio cwæ૪: His flæsces lima clifa $\not$ ælc on ołrum. \& eft hio dyde sciella to bisene his heorðcneohtum, \& Xus cwæ૪: Elces fisces sciell bið to oðerre gefeged, ðæt $ð æ r$ ne mæg nan $æ \succ_{m}$ ut betwuxn. Swa eac ða his folgeras, swa $^{2}$ somne, \& eac fæstor tosomne beoð gefegde to godra monna hienðe. Swa eac se se $\mathrm{Xe}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ unrylitwisan tosomne sibbað, he sele $\gamma$ ðære unryhtwisnesse fultom \& mægen, forðæm hie magon $\begin{aligned} \text { §a gódan swa micle }\end{aligned}$ swiður geswencean swa hie hiora anmodlicor ehtað. Be ðæm cwæð 25 se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus, ða he geseah ðæt folc Phariseo \&
themselves, the bolder they will be to trouble the good. Therefore the divine voice spoke to the blessed Job about the messengers of the useless vessel, that is the accursed Antichrist; it said : "The limbs of his flesh cleave together." And again, it used scales as an illustration for his domestic servants, speaking thus : "The scale of every fish is joined to the other, so that no breath can pass out between." So also his followers, the more friendly and unanimous they are, the more closely they unite, and the more firmly they join together to annoy the good. So also he who reconciles the wicked together, supports and strengthens unrighteousness, because the more unanimously they persecute the good, the more they will be able to afflict them. Of which the noble teacher St. Paul spoke, when he saw how the sects of the Pharisees

Saducia anmodlice his ehton, he tilode hie betweox him to tosceadanne, \& ðus cwæð : Hwæt do ge, broðor, doð esnlice. Hu, ne eom ic eower gefera, \& eom Fariseisc swæ same swæ ge ? \& forとæm min mon eht pe ic bodige ymb ðone tohopan deadra monna æristes. Forðæm he cwæð $\succ_{a}$ word, forðæm $\Varangle_{a}$ Saducie andsacedon $\nsucc æ r e ~ æ r i s t e ~ æ f t e r ~$ deałe, \& ða Fariseos geliefdon ðære æriste, swæ swæ ða halgan gewritu bodiað. Swæ he tosced ðara ehtera anmodnesse pe hiene ær woldon fordon, \& Paulus com gesund ðanon. Eac sint to manianne $\searrow_{a}$ pe on $\not$ æm bioð abisgode ðæt hie sibbe tiliað, そæt hie ærest tiligen to gecy $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { ðæm ungesceadwisum modum hu sio lufu bion scyle } \not \text { ðære }\end{gathered}$ inweardlican sibbe, Xylæs him æfter firste sio uterre sib derige;
 ðencen ไæt hie ne weor`en beswicene mid ðære uterran lufe, \& ðonne hie ongietał $\not$ ła godcundan sibbe, ไætte sio eorðlice sib hie ne geteo to wyrsan. Ond eft ðonne sume yfele men swæ gerade bioð ðæt hie ne magon godum monnum derigean, ðeah hie willen, خonne is betre
 ongietan $\not$ ða uplican sibbe ; đætte hie ðurh $\Varangle a$ menniscan sibbe mægen astigan to $\npreceq r e$ godcundan sibbe, Xeal hio him Xonne [giet] fior sie,
 hie ðonne gemanðwærige sio lufu \& sio geferræden hiora nihstena, \& hie to betran gebrenge.
and Sadducees unanimously persecuted him, trying to set them at variance, and spoke thus : "What ye do, brothers, do manfully. What, am I not your companion, and a Pharisee as ye are? And I am persecuted because I preach about the hope of the resurrection of the dead." He spoke thus because the Sadducees denied the resurrection after death, and the Pharisees believed in it, as the holy Scriptures tell. So he disturbed the unanimity of his persecutors, who before wished to destroy him, and escaped unhurt. Those who busy themselves with promoting peace are also to be admonished first to try and show the unwise minds what the love of inner peace ought to be, lest

Saducia anmodlice his ehtan, he tiolode hie betwux him to toscadanne, \& ðus cwæð: Hwæt do ge, broður, doð esnlice. Hu, ne eom ic eower gefera, \& eom Fariscise swa same swa ge? Ond forłæm min monn eft ðe ic bodige ymb خone tohopan deadra monna ærestes. Forðæm 5 he cwæð $\succ_{a}$ word, for $૪ æ m ~ ð a ~ S a d u c i e ~ a n t s a c o d o n ~ ð æ r e ~ æ r i s t e ~[æ f t e r ~$ deaðe, \& ૪a Farisseos geliefdon ðære æriste], swa swa ða halgan gewritu bodigað. Swa he tosced ðara ehtera anmodnesse 文e hine ær woldon fordon, \& Paulus com gesund ðonon. Eac sint to manianne
 10 tilgen to kyðanne ðæm ungesceadwisum modum hu sio lufu beon scyle ðære inweardlican sibbe, Xylæs him æfter firste sio uterre sib derige ;
 ðæt hie ne weorðen beswicene mid ðære uterran lufe, \& ðonne hie óngitað $\npreceq a$ godcundan sibbe, ðætte sio eorðlice sib hi ne geteo to
 ne magon godum monnum derian, خeah hie willen, خonne is betere

 astigan to ðære godcundan sibbe, ðeah hio him ðonne giet feorr sie,
 hie ðonne gemonnðwærige sio lufu \& sio geferreden hiora niehstena, \& hie to beteran gebrenge.
the outer peace injure them after a time ; that, when they think of righteous love, they may also take care not to be deceived by the outer love, and when they understand the divine peace, the earthly peace may not deteriorate them. And again, when any bad men are so circumstanced as not to be able to injure the good, although they desire it, it is better to confirm earthly peace between them, until they can appreciate sublime peace; that through human peace they may ascend to divine peace, although it is yet far from them, because the evil of their unrighteousness still hardens them, that love and the society of their neighbours may humanise and reform them.

XLVIII．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne ða pe ða halgan æ ryhtlice ongietan ne cunnon ；on ołre wisan $\Varangle_{a}$ pe hie ryhtlice ongietǎ，\＆Xeah for eaðmodnesse swugiað ðæt hie hie ne bodiar．

On ołre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} & \text { a pe } \\ & \text { ða halgan } æ \text { ryhtlice ongietan }\end{aligned}$ ne cunnon；on ołre pa pe hie ryhtlice ongietan cunnon，\＆Xeah for eaðmodnesse swugiað そæt hie hie ne bodiað．Đa sint to manianne pe pa æ ryhtlice ne ongietað，ðætte hie gełencen $\begin{array}{r}\text { ætte } \\ \text { hie } \\ \text { ðone hal－}\end{array}$ wyndan drync đæs æðelan wines ne gehwierfen him selfum to attre， \＆ łæt isen $\not$ ææt hie men mid lacnian sculdon，ðæt hie mid $\nsucc æ m ~ h i e ~$ selfe to feore ne gewundigen，ðylæs hie mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { tole } \\ & \text { Łæt }\end{aligned}$ hale lic gewierden pe hie sceoldon mid ðæt unhale awegaceorfan．Eac hie
 leohtfatum gesealde，そæt we mægen gesion hwæt we don scylen on
 niht urum eagum，ðætte ða gewritu on dæg liehten urum mode．Ac夭onne hwa ne con $\chi_{a}$ ryhtlice ongietan，ðonne bið him đæt lioht aðiestrod．Ne gehwierfde hiene næfre 夭æt unryhtwise inge $\bigwedge_{o n c}$ to łæm won andgiete，gif he ær nære aðunden on ofermettum．Ac łonne
 łæt hie folgien ołrum monnum æfter betran ondgiete，\＆wilniað ðæt hie gegitsien \＆gelicetten æt ðæm ungetydum folce wisdomes naman． Higiað Xonne ealle mægene łæt hie ðæt gedwellen خæt ołre menn

XLVIII．That those who cannot rightly understand the holy law are to be admonished in one way；in another those who understand it rightly，and yet for humility refrain from preaching it．

Those who cannot rightly understand the holy law are to be admon－ ished in one way；in another those who can understand it rightly，and yet from humility refrain from preaching it．Those who do not understand the law rightly are to be admonished not to turn the salutary draught of noble wine into poison for themselves，and not to wound themselves mortally with the lancet with which they should cure men，lest they destroy the sound flesh with the instrument that should cut away the

 ryhtlice ongietað, \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse swugiað $\Varangle æ t$ hi hi ne bodigear.

5 On oðre wisan sint to manigenne ða ૪e ða halgan $\mathfrak{\notin ~ r y l t l i c e ~ o n g i e t a n ~}$ ne cunnon; on oðre $\succ_{a}$ ðe hie ryhtlice ongietan cunnon, \& ðeah for eaðmodnesse swigiað ðæt hie hie ne bodiað. Đa sint to maniene $\begin{aligned} & \text { e }\end{aligned}$ $\succ_{a} \mathfrak{\notin}$ ryhtlice ne ongietað, ðætte hie gěencen $\not$ $_{\text {ætte }}$ hie 犭one halwendan drync $\not$ ææs æðelan wines ne gehwyrfen him selfum to attre, 10 \& isen $\npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~ m e n n ~ m i d ~ l a c n i a n ~ s c u l d o n, ~ ł æ t ~ h i e ~ m i d ~ ð æ m ~ h i e ~$ selfe to feore ne gewundigen, $\zeta_{y l æ s}$ hie mid $\gamma_{y}$ tole $\not$ ææt hale lic gewierden $\chi_{e}$ hie sceoldon mid ðot unhale awegaceorfan. Eac hie $^{2}$
 leohtfatum gesald, ðæt we mægen geseon hwæt we don scylen on
 nieht urum eagum, ðætte $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { gewritu on } d æ g \text { liehten urum mode. Ac }\end{aligned}$ ðonne hwa ne cann $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ryhtlice ongietan, ðonne bið him đæt leoht aðiestrod. Ne gehwyrfde hine næfre łæt unryhtwise ingeðonc to ðæm wôn andgiete, gif he ær nære on ofermettum ałunden. Ac ðonne

 hie gegitsien \& gelicetten æt $ð æ m$ ungetydum folce wisdomes naman.

unsound. They are also to be admonished to consider that the holy Scriptures are given us as lanterns, that we may see what we are to do in this night, that is, this present life, as the lantern gives light to our eyes at night, that the Scriptures may enlighten our mind by day. But when a man cannot appreciate it properly, his light is obscured. The unrighteous mind would never have perverted him to the perverse understanding, had he not been previously inflated with pride. But when they think that they are themselves wiser than others, they do not care to follow other men after better understanding, but wish to gain by greed and hypocrisy the name of wisdom from the untaught multitude. They strive, then, with all their might to obscure that which others have rightly and sagaciously understood, and try to
ryhtlice \＆gesceadwislice ongieten habbax，\＆hiora agen unryht willað mid $\ngtr y$ getrymman．Be $ð æ m$ wæs swiðe wel gecweden łurh Amos ðone witgan，he cwæð：Hie snidon ða Galatiscan wif pe bearneacne wæron，\＆woldon mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ geryman hiora landgemæru．Đæt folc wæs gehaten Galað on Ebreisc，Łæt is on Englise gewitnesse heap，for－ ðæm eall sio gesamnung ðære halgan ciricean ðurh ondetnesse hielt ða gewitnesse ðære sołfæstnesse．Đy is swiłe ryhte getacnod đurh Ga－ laðes noman sio halge gesomnung，for $\not$ æmpe ealle $\succ_{a}$ geleaffullan bodi－ geað be Gode そætte soł is．Đonne getacniað $\chi_{a}$ eacniendan wif $\succ_{a}$ saula pe $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { gebodu ongietar，\＆hie mid godcundlicre lufan underfo } \gamma: ~\end{aligned}$
 hit mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { đurhtogenan weorce gedon，swelce hit sie geboren．Đæt is }\end{aligned}$ ðonne Łæt mon his mearce bræde，Xæt mon his hligsan \＆his noman mærsige．Ac łonne mon snił ða bearneacan wif on Galał hiora mearce mid to ryman［hrymanne］，خonne ða gedwolmen mid wore lare
 git underfangen hæf $\gamma$ ，\＆him hwæthwugu sio sołfæstnes on geeacnod bið，ærðæmpe hit fullboren sie，\＆willað mid $\nsucc y$ gedon $\nsucc æ t$ hie mon hlige wisdomes，mid $\chi_{y}$ pe hie ofsnixen mid $\Varangle y$ seaxe hefiglices gedwolan $૪$ a unborenan bearn，pe ðonne furðum bioð mid wordum geeacnode on geleaffulra mode；ac hie wilniað mid $\begin{aligned} \text { ð } & \text { æt hie mon }\end{aligned}$ herige，\＆cweそe łæt hie sien wise lareowas．Ac gif we wilnien ðæt hie そæs wôs geswicen，તonne sculou we hie ealra خinga ærest \＆ geornost læran $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t he } \\ \text { he wilnigen leasgilpes，for } \npreceq æ m, ~ g i f ~ s e ~ w y r-~\end{array}$ truma łære upahæfenesse ærest wierð forcorfen，خonne bið hit sona
confirm their own unrighteousness thereby．Of which was very well spoken through the prophet Amos；he said：＂They cat open the pregnant women of Gilead，to strengthen their boundaries．＂The people was called in Hebrew Gilead，which is in English＂the heap of testimony，＂because the whole assembly of the holy church preserves the testimony of truth through confession．Therefore the holy as－ sembly is very rightly signified by the name of Gilead，because all believers proclaim about God what is true．The pregnant women signify the souls which understand the commands，and receive them with divine love：if the received understanding is brought forth at the proper time，its birth is as if it were caused by the accomplished
ryhtlice \＆gesceadwislice ongieten habbað，\＆hira agen unryht willað mid $\Varangle y$ getrymman．Be ðæm wæs swiðe wel gecweden ðurh Amos ðone witgan，he cwæ૪：Hie sindon $\chi_{a}$ Galatis［can］wif $\succ e$ bearne［a］－ cene wæron，\＆woldon mid $\gamma \mathrm{y}$ getryman hira landgemæru．Đæt folc 5 wæs gehaten Galað on Ebrisc，ðæt is on Englisc gewitnesse heap， for ææm eal sio gesomnung ไære halgan ciricean ðurh ondetnesse hielt ða gewitnesse ðære soðfæsðnesse．Đy is swiðe ryhte getacnod ðurh

 10 saule $\prec e$ ða gebodu angietað，\＆hie mid godcundlicre lufan underfó $\quad$ ： gif ðæt underfangne andgit to ryltre tide bið forðbroht，ðonne bið hit mid $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { Øurhtogenan weorce gedôn，swelce hit sie geboren．} Đ æ t ~ i s ~\end{aligned}$ Øonne そæt mon his mearce bræde，そæt mon his hlisan \＆his naman mærsige．Ac ðonne mon suið $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { bearneacnan wif ón Galað hira }\end{aligned}$ 15 mearce mid to rymanne，$\nless$ onne $\nsucc a$ gedwolmenn mid wore lare ofslea $\curlyvee$ ðæt mod geleaffullra monna，ðonne $\chi_{\text {onne }}$ hit fur $\begin{aligned} & \text { um } \\ & \text { ryht andgiet }\end{aligned}$ underfangen hæf $\gamma$ ，\＆him hwæthwugu sio sołfæsðnes on geeacnod bið，ærðæmðe hit fullboren sie，\＆willað mid $\ngtr y$ gedôn そæt hie mon hlige wisdomes，mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ ไe hie ofsnixen mid $\Varangle_{y}$ seaxe hefiglices 20 gedwolan $\succ_{a}$ unborenan bearn，$\chi_{e}$ ðonne furðum beo૪ mid wordum geeacnode on geleaffullra mode ；ac hie wilniað mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { ææt }\end{aligned}$ hie mon herige，\＆cwe $\mathrm{Xe}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ðæt hie sin wise lareowas．Ac gif we wilnigen ðæt hie łæs wós geswicen，Xonne sculon we hie ealra łinga ærest \＆ geornost læran łæt hie ne wilnigen leasgielpes，for そæm，gif se wyrt－ 25 truma łære úpahafenisse ærest wyrð forcorfen，ðonne bið hit sona
work．Extending one＇s boundaries is proclaiming one＇s name and fame．Men cut open the pregnant women in Gilead to increase their territories，when heretics with perverse doctrine slay the minds of believers，when they have received a right understanding，and truth is partially begot on them，before it is completely born，and wish so to get a reputation for wisdom by cutting out with the knife of grievous error the unborn children，who are begot with words in the minds of the faithful ；but they wish to be praised for it，and accounted wise teachers．But if we wish them to cease from evil，we must teach them first and most zealously of all things，not to desire false glory， because if the root of pride is first cut away，it is cvident that the
swutol ðæt ða twigu forseariað ðære unryhtan lare．Eac hie sint to manianne ðætte hie ðurh hiora gedwolan \＆犭urh hiora ungeðwærnesse $\chi_{a}$ Godes $æ$ ，pe us forbiet deoflum to offrianne，そæet hie pa ilcan $æ$ ne gehwierfen to diofulgilde．Be ðæm Dryhten siofiende cwæð ૪urh Ossei $\begin{gathered}\text { one } \\ \text { witgan，he cwæ } \text { ：Ic him sealde hwæte \＆win \＆ele \＆}\end{gathered}$ gold \＆sylofr ic him sealde genoh，\＆ðæt hie worhton to diofolgieldum Bale hiora gode．Ac ðonne we underfo૪ ðone hwæte æt Gode，૪onne we ongietað inweardlice $\succ_{a} æ, \&$ onwrioł pa diglan cwidas，swelce we nimen 夭one clænan hwæte，\＆weorpen ðæt ceaf onweg．And סonne us selð God his win，ðonne he us oferdrenc $ð$ mid $\not$ æære lare dioplices ondgietes．And Xonne he us selð his oele， $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { he us his be－}\end{aligned}$ bodu openlicor gecyð，\＆mid $ð æ m$ ure lif liðelice \＆getæslice
 getyngnesse \＆wlitige spræce ymbe soðfæstnesse to cyðonne．Đonne he us gewelegał mid golde，خonne he ure heortan onliht \＆gebirht mid $\succ æ m$ sciman healices ondgietes．Dis is eall ryhtwisra monna offrung Gode，ac hit brengað eall $\mathrm{ya}_{\mathrm{a}}$ gedwolmen Bále，midðæmpe hie hiora hieremonna mod gedwellað，\＆call hiera ondgiet forhwerfað mid hiora wó lare．Đonne he doð خone hwæte \＆Xæt win \＆خone oele \＆犭æt silofr to diofolgieldum，خonne hie $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { gesibsumnesse } \& ~ \succ a ~ g e-~\end{aligned}$ sceadwisnesse to gedwolan \＆to unge $\begin{aligned} & \text { wærnesse gehwierfað．Forðæm }\end{aligned}$ hie sint to manianne ðæt hie geornlice geðencen，ðonne hie mid for－
 Xonne hie selfe ofsleað from lifes wordum mid ryhtum Godes dome． Ongean đæt sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} & \text { a pe } \chi_{a} \text { word } \nsucc æ r e ~ h a l g a n ~ \\ & \text { ryhtlice }\end{aligned}$
twigs of unrighteous doctrine will soon wither．They are also to be admonished with their errors and discord not to pervert the law of God，which forbids us to sacrifice to devils，to idolatry．Of which the Lord spoke sadly through the prophet Hosea，saying：＂I gave them wheat and wine and oil and gold and silver ；I gave them enough，and they made it into idols for their god Baal．＂We receive the wheat from God，when we inwardly understand the law，and reveal the ob－ scure sayings，as if we took the pure wheat，and threw away the chaff． And God gives us his wine，when he intoxicates us with the doctrine of deep understanding．And he gives us his oil，when he proclaims to us his commands more openly，and therewith leads on our life gently and softly．And he gives us much silver，when he gives us great
swutol ðæt Xa $^{\text {twigu forseariað 犭ære unryhtan lare．Eac hie sint to }}$ manigenne ðætte hie ðurh liera gedwolan \＆ðurh hiera unge｀wærnesse $\chi_{a}$ Godes $\hat{x}, \chi_{e}$ us forbiet diofulum to offrianne，$\chi_{\text {ret }}$ hie $\chi_{a}$ ilcan $\mathfrak{æ}$ ne gehwierfen to diofulgielde．Be ðæm Dryhten siofigende ewæð ðurh 5 Ossei łone witgan，he cwæ૪：Ic him sealde hwæte \＆win \＆［o］ele \＆ gold \＆siolfor ；ic him sealde genog，\＆さæt hie worhton to diofulgiel－ dum Bale hiera gode．Ac łonne we underf＇ł ૪one hwæte æt Gode，
 swelce we nimen ðone clænan hwæte，\＆weorpen 犭æt ceaf onweg．\＆
 dioplices andgites．\＆ðonne he us selð his ele，ðonne he us［his bebodu］openlicor gecyð，\＆mid $\Varangle$ æm［ure lif］liðelice \＆getæslice fereð．\＆夭onne he us seleð micel siolfor，ðonne he us selð micle getyngnesse \＆wlitige spræce ymb soðfæešnesse to cyðanne．Đonne 15 he us gewelegar［mid golde］，ðonne he ure heortan onlieht \＆gebierht mid $\npreceq m$ sciman healices angietes．Đis is eall ryhtwisra monna offrung Gode，ac hit briengał eal ła gedwolmen Bale，midðæmðe hie $^{\text {g }}$ ［hiora］hieremonna môd gedwellað，\＆eall hiera andgit forhwirfa＞mid hiera wore lare．Đonne hie doł łone hwæte \＆Łæt win \＆łone ele $20 \&$ そæt siolfor to diofulgieldum，خonne hie $\npreceq a$ gesibsumnesse \＆gescead－ wisnesse to gedwolan \＆to ungeðwærnesse gehwyrfað．Forłæm hie sint to manigenne łæt hie geornlice geðencen，łonne hie mid for－ hwirfede mode Łære sibbe bebod gehwierfað to ungeðwærnesse，ðæt hic Xonne hie selfe ofslea $\gamma$ from lifes wordum mid ryhtum Godes dome．

eloquence and fair speech to preach the truth．He enriches us with gold，when he illuminates and brightens our hearts with the splendour of lofty understanding．All this is the offering of righteous men to God，but heretics bring it all to Baal，because they seduce the minds of their subjects，and pervert their whole understanding with their perverse doctrine．They make the wheat and wine，oil and silver，into idolatry，when they pervert peace and wisdom to error and discord． Therefore they are to be warned to consider carefully，that when they with perverted mind turn the commandments of pace into discord， they kill themselves with the words of life by the righteous judgment of God．On the other hand，those who are able to understand the words of the holy law properly，and yet will not humbly teach them，
ongietan cumnon, \& hie swæðeah eaðmodlice nyllað læran, hie sint to manianne ðæt hie hie selfe ongieten on ðæm halgum gewritum, ærðæmpe hie oðre læren, ðylæs hie eahtigen ołerra monna dæda, \& forgieten hie selfe, \& ðonne hie eall $\succ$ halgan gewritu ryhtlice ongieten hæbben, خæt hie ne forgieten hwæt be ðæm upahæfenum gecweden is, hit is gecweden : Dysig bið se læce \& untyd pe wilnað Łæt he ołerne mannan gelannige, \& nat Łæet he self bił gewundod. Forłæm sint to manianne ða pe eaðmodlice nyllað læran Godes word, ðætte `onne ðonne hie ða untruman lacnian willað, ðætte hie ær gesceawien $\not$ æt ator hiora agenra medtrymnesse on him selfum, ðylæs hie hie selfe acwellen, そær ðær hie ða oðre lacniað. Ac him mon sceal manian
 hie lærað mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \nless æ t ~ h i e ~ o ł e r ~ d o n, ~ o ł e r ~ h i e ~ l æ r e n . ~ A c ~ g e h i e r e n ~\end{aligned}$ hwæt awriten is on $犭 æ m$ ærendgewrite sancte Petres, hit is awriten: Swæ hwa swæ spræce, spræce he Godes worde, swelce $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { word na }\end{aligned}$ his ne sien, ac Godes. Gif hit ðonne Godes word bioð, næs his, forhwy
 Ac hie scoldon gehieran خone cwide pe sanctus Paulus cwæð to Corintheum, he cwæ૪: Swæ swæ of Gode beforan Gode we spreeał on Criste. Se ðoune sprič of Gode beforan Gode, se pe ongit ðæt he ða
 nealles monnum. Hie sculon gehieran $\not$ łone cwide pe awriten is on Salomonnes bocum, hit is awriten $\npreceq$ ætte God onscunige ælene ofermodne mon. Se ðonne pe mid Godes wordum his agenne gilp secð, he wile reafian $\begin{gathered}\text { one pe hie him sealde his anwaldes ; \& ne ondret him }\end{gathered}$
are to be admonished to understand themselves in the holy Scriptures before they teach others, lest they observe the deeds of others, and forget themselves, and when they have properly understood all the holy Scriptures, not to forget what is said of the proud; it is said : "Foolish and unskilful is the physician who desires to cure another, and knows not that he himself is wounded." Therefore, those who will not humbly teach the words of God, are to be admonished, when they wish to cure the sick, first to look to the poison of their own diseases in themselves, lest they themselves die while curing the others. They are to be admonished to consider, that they are not themselves to cause discord with the words they teach, by doing one thing and teaching another. But let them hear what is written in the letter
ongietan cunnon，\＆hie swaðeah eałmodlice nyllað læran，hie sint to manigenne Łæt hie hie selfe ongieten on $\not$ Łæm halgum gewritum， ær૪æmðe hie ołre læren，ðylæs hie eahtigen oðer（ra）monna dæda，\＆ forgieten hie selfe，\＆ðonne hie eal $\searrow$ a halgan gewritu ryhtlice ongiten 5 hæbben，Xæt hie ne forgiten hwæt bi ðæm upphæfenum gecweden is，hit is geeweden ：Dysig bið se læce \＆untyd ðe wilnað Łæt he ołerne mon gelacnige，\＆nat $\Varangle æ t$ he self bið gewundad．Forðæm sint to monigenne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ eałmodlice nyllað læran Godes word，$\not$ æætte
 10 wigen Łæt ater hiera agenra mettrymnessa on him selfum，ðylæs hie selfe acwelen，ไær ðær hie ða ǒre lacniað．Ac hie mon sceal manian
 hie lærað mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { そæt } \\ & \text { hie oter don，ołer hie lærað．Ac gehieren }\end{aligned}$ hwæt awriten is on さæm ærendgewrite sancte Petres，hit is awriten： 15 Swa hwa swa sprece，sprece he Godes worde，swelce $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ word no his ne sien，ac Godes．Gif hit ðonne Godes word bioð，næs his，forhwy sceal hwa ðonne bion ahæfen on ðæm，swelce hit his agenu word sien？ Ac hie scoldon gehieran Xone cuide $^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ］sanctus Paulus cwæð to Co－ rintheum，he cwæð：Sua sua of Gode beforan Gode we sprecað on 20 Criste．Se ðonne sprič of Gode beforan Gode，se ૪e ongiet そæt he $\succ_{a}$ word $\nsucc æ r e ~ l a r e ~ f r o m ~ G o d e ~ o n f e n g, ~ \& ~ ð u r h ~ \succ a ~ w i l n a ð ~ G o d e ~ t o ~ l i c i-~$ ganne，nalles mannum．Hie sculon gehieran ðone cuide रe awriten is on Salomonnes bocum，hit is awriten $ð æ$ tte God anscunige ælcne ofer－ modne man．Se ðonne 「e mid Godes wordum his agenne gielp sec $\begin{gathered}\text { ，}\end{gathered}$ 25 he wile reafian $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { one } \\ \chi_{e} \\ \text { hie him sealde his anwaldes ；\＆ne ondræt him }\end{array}$
of St．Peter ；it is written ：＂Whoever speaks，let him speak with the word of God，as if the words were not his，but God＇s．＂If，then，they are God＇s words，not his，why shall any one be proud on account of them，as if they were his own words？But they should hear what St．Paul said to the Corinthians；he said：＂As if from God before God we speak in Christ．＂He speaks from God before God，who understands that he has received the words of instruction from God，and through them desires to please God，not men．They shall hear the words written in Solomon＇s books；it is written that God abominates all proud men．He who seeks his own glory with God＇s words，wishes to deprive him who gave them to him of his authority ； and is not afraid of subordinating Gorl to himself，although God gave
nu, خeah he dô God behindan hiene, đeah pe him God gefe ðæet خxt ðær mon hereð. Eac hie seulon gehieran hwæt to đæm lareowum geeweden is خurh Salomon, hit is geeweden: Drinc $\begin{aligned} \text { æot wæter of }\end{aligned}$ Xinum agnum mere, \& Xætte of Xinum agnum pytte aflowe, \& læt Xine willas irnan wide, \& todæl hie, læd hie giond xin land, \& gegierwe ðæt hie irnen bi herestrætum, \& hafa hie ðeah ૪e anum, ðylæs elðiodige hie ne dælen wið ðe. Đonne drincł se lareow ðæt wæter of his agnum mere, ðonne he gehwierf ærest to his agnum inge $\begin{gathered}\text { once } \\ \text { to }\end{gathered}$

 \& wel gedrenced mid lis agnum wordum. Swǐe wel wæs $\begin{gathered}\text { ios spræc }\end{gathered}$

 drincan of Xem wille his agenre lare, \& siððan geotan mid his lare Łort $^{\text {a }}$ ilee wæter on his hieremonna mod. Đæt is $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { æt mon his wætru }\end{array}$ utlæte, ðæi se lareow mid $\chi_{y}$ eræfte his lareowdomes utane on o $\gamma_{r e}$
 mon his wætru todæle æfter kyninga herestrætum, ðæt mon ða godcundan spræce łære mengio ðæs folees todæle gemetlice æleum be his andefene. Ac for ðonpe oft sio wilnung ðæs idlan gilpes gegrip $ð$ ðæt mod łæs lareowes, ðonne he ongiet Łæt ða Godes word monegum men lieiað pe ðurh his muð bioð gesprecen, furðæm wæs geeweden خæt ðæt
 wætru todæle, hafa hie Xeah خe self, \& ne sele elðiodegum hiora nowuht. He mænde pa awierdan gastas. Bi $\not$ ææm spræc Dauid swiðe
him what men praise. They shall also hear what is said to teachers through Solomon ; it is said: "Drink the water from thine own cistern, and that which flows from thine own well, and let thy springs flow widely, and divide them, lead them over thy lands, and make them flow along the highroads, and yet keep them to thyself, lest strangers share them with thee." The teacher drinks the water from his own cistern, when he turns first to his own mind to draw the water, that is, to do what he teaches. He drinks from the flow of his own well, when he is himself softened and well watered with his own words. To these words were very well added what Solomon said: "Send forth thy streams, and disperse thy waters along the highroads." That is, that the teacher is first to drink of the spring of his own doctrine,
 ðær mon here૪. Eac hie sculon gehicran hwæt to ðæm lareowum gecweden is ðurh Salomon, hit is gecweden: Drinc ðæt wæter of Xinum agenum mere, \& $\Varangle$ ætte of $\begin{aligned} & \text { inum } \text { agnum pytte aflowe, \& læt }\end{aligned}$ 5 Xine willas iernan wide, \& todæl hie, læd hie gind $\Varangle_{i n}$ lond, \& gegier Øæt hie iernen bi herestretum, \& hafa hie đeah ðe anum, ðylæs elðiodige hie dælen wið $\chi$ e. Đonne drincł se lareow ðæt wæter of $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{s}$ agnum mere, $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \\ & \text { he gehwirf } \gamma \text { æres } ð ~ t o ~ h i s ~ a g n u m ~ i n g e ~ \\ & \text { ance }\end{aligned}$ to hladanne ðæt wæter, Ł̌et is to wyrceanne ðæt Łæt he lærł. Donne
 $\&$ wel gedrenced mid his agnum wordum. Swiðe we[1] wæs خios spræc
 wætru æfter herestrætum. Đæt is ðætte se lareow ærest sceal self drincan of ðæm wille his agenre lare, \& siððan geotan mid his lare ðæt 15 ilce wæter on his hieremonna mod. Dæt is $\begin{array}{r}\text { onne } \\ \text { } \\ \text { æt mon his wætru }\end{array}$ ûtlæte, $૪ æ t$ se lareow mid $ð \mathrm{y}$ cræfte his lareowdomes utane on ô $\begin{aligned} & \text { re }\end{aligned}$ meun giote, o૪ðæt hie iunan gelærede weorðen. Đæt is ðonne ðæt mon his wætru todæle æfter cyninga herestretum, Xæt mon $\begin{aligned} & \text { gad- } \\ & \text { god }\end{aligned}$ cundan sprece łære menigo łæs folces todæle gemetlice ælcum be his
 mod $\nsupseteq æ s$ lareowes, ðonne he ongiet $\not$ ææt $\chi_{a}$ Godes word manegum
 ðæt ðæt we ær sædon đur': Salomon ðone snotran, he cwæð : Đeah ðu
 25 nawuht. He mænde $\chi_{a t}$ awiergedan gæstas. Bi ðiem spræc Dauid
and then with his instruction to pour the same water over the minds of his subjects. Sending out one's waters means, that the teacher is to water other men outwardly with the art of his instruction, until they become learned inwardly. Dispersing one's waters along the king's highways means, that we are to disperse the divine words among the multitude of the people suitably, to each according to his capacity. But since often the desire of vainglory seizes on the mind of the teacher, when he sees that the words of God which are spoken through his mouth please many, that was said which we have quoted above, through the wise Solomon, who said: "Although thou disperse thy waters, yet keep them for thyself, and give nothing of them to strangers." He meant the accursed spirits. Of whom
cuðlice on salmum，swæ he hit oft acunnad hæfde，he cwæ૪ ：Elðiodige arison wið me，\＆wunnon wið me，swiðe stronge wæron $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ pe min ehton．We cwædon ær $犭$ かt Salomon cwæde ðæt mon scolde his wætru todælan，\＆Øeah him self eall habban．Swelce he openlice cwæde： Iow is micel ðearf 犭æt ge swæ ætfeolen ut ðære lare，swæ swæ ge eow

 iowre fiend，ðylæs iow ðonan awuht gemænes weorðe．Đonne we doð ægðer，ge we $\chi_{a}$ wætru todælað æfter kyninga herestrætum，ge eac us selfe habbað，Xonne［ Conne］we swiðe wide uttogeotað $ð a$ lare，\＆swæ－ ðeah $\begin{array}{r}\text { urh } \\ \text { Øa ne wilnigeał woruldgilpes．}\end{array}$

XLVIV．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { pe } \\ \text { medomlice }\end{aligned}$ cunnon læran，\＆Xeah for miclum ege \＆for micelre
 git to ไæm gewintrede ne bioð ne geðigene，\＆خeah for hredhydignesse bior to gegripene．

On ołre wisan sint to manian［manienne］ða pe medomlice læran
 pe unmedome bioð to Xære lare o૪ðe for gioguðe oððe for unwisdome， \＆Øeal for hiora fortruwodnesse \＆for hiora hrædwilnesse bio久 to［ge］－ scofene．Đa Xonne sint to manianne pe nytwyrðlice læran meahton，\＆ ðeah for ungemetlicre eałmodnesse hit onscuniað，hie sint to manianne ðæt hie be ðæm læssan 夭ingum ongieten hu swiðe hie gesyngiað on

David spoke very positively in the Psalms，as he had often experienced， saying：＂Strangers arose against me，and fought against me，and my persecutors were very strong．＂We said above，that Solomon said that a man ought to disperse his waters，and yet keep them all for himself． As if he had openly said ：＂It is very necessary that ye so apply your－ selves outwardly to instruction as not to associate inwardly with the accursed spirits through pride of spirit，lest through the ministration of God＇s word ye allow your enemies access to yourselves；lest ye have anything in common．＂But we do both ；we disperse the waters along the king＇s highways，and also keep them for ourselves，when we disperse instruction very widely，and yet through it desire not worldly glory．
swiðe cuðlice on psalmum, swa he hit oft acunnad hæfde, he cwæ૪: Elðiodige arison wið me, \& wunnon wix me, \& swixe stronge wæron
 his wætru todælan, \& Xeah him self eall habban. Swelce he openlice 5 cwæde: Eow is micel خearf ðæt ge swa ætfeolen ût ðære lare, swa swa ge eow innan ne geخieden to ðæm awiergedum gæstum ðurh ûpahafenesse eowres modes, Xylæs ge ‘urh ða خenunga Хara Godes worda to eow forlæten eowre fiend, ไylæs eow łonon awulit gemæues weorte. Ac

 $\chi_{a}$ lare, \& suaðeah $\chi_{u}{ }^{\prime} \chi_{a}$ ne wilniað woruldgielpes.

XLVIV. Đætte on oðre wisa sint to manianne ða ðe medomice cunnon læran, \& Xeah for mi(c)lum ege \& for micelre

 for hrædhydignesse bior to gegripene.

On oðre wisan sint to maniane $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ૪e medomlice læran magon, \& ðeah for micelre eaðmodnesse him ondredað; on oðre ða đa ૪e $u(n)$ medome bioð to ðære lare o૪ðe for giogułe ołðe for unwisdome, \& 20 Xeah for hira fortruwodnesse \& for hira hredwilnesse beot to gescofene. Đa ðonne sint to manigenne Xe nyttweorðlice læran meahton, \& 欠eah for ûngemetlicere earmodnesse hit onscunia久, hi sint to mani-

XLIX. That those who are capable of teaching properly, and yet from great fear and humility refuse, are to be admonished in one way; and in another those who are not yet old or experienced enough for it, and yet from hasty zeal undertake it.

Those who are capable of teaching properly, and yet from great humility are afraid, are to be admonished in one way; in another those who are unfit for teaching either from youth or want of wisdom, and yet are impelled by their presumption and hasty zeal. Those who could teach usefully, and yet from immoderate humility avoid it, are to be admonished to understand from the lesser how much they
ðæm maran．Hwæt，hie witon，gif hiora niehstan friend weor ðað wædlan，\＆hie fioh habbað，\＆his đonne him oftioð，đæt hie bioð ðonne fultemend to hiora wædle．Hwy ne magon hie ðonne geðen－ cean，gif hie on $\not$ æm gesyngiað，hu micle swiður hie gesyngiað，ðonne hie oftio $\begin{array}{r}\text { そre } \\ \text { lare } \\ \text { Øæm synfullum brołrum，swelce hie gehyden lifes }\end{array}$ læcedom，\＆his forwiernen $ð æ m ~ c w e l e n d u m ~ m o d u m ? ~ B e ~ ð æ m ~ c w æ ð ~$ Salomon：Hu nyt bið se forholena cræft ołðe ðæt forhydde gold？ Orðe gif hwelc folc bir mid hungre geswenced，\＆hwa his hwæte gehyt \＆ǒhielt，hu ne wilt he 夭onne hiora deǎes？Be ðisum magon ongietan $\npreceq a$ lareowas hwelces wites hie wierðe bioð，ðonne hie lætað ða sawla acwel［1］an for hungre hiora worda，\＆hie nyllał hie fedan mid ðæm hlafe ðære gife pe hie onfengun．Bi ðæm wæs swiłe wel gecweden ðurh Salomon：Se pe his hwæte hyt，hiene wiergð ðæt folc．
犭ære halgan lare．Forłæm he for đære anre scylde đære swigan bið awierged \＆fordemed from $\ngtr m$ folce，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { he manigne gelæran }\end{array}$ meahte，gif he wolde．Hwæt we magon gełencean，gif hwelc god læce bið pe wel can wunda sniðan，\＆ðonne gesihð ðæt his hwæm ðearf bix，\＆ðonne for his slæwłe agiemeleasað \＆forwirnð そæt he his helpe， Øonne wille we cweðan ટæet he sie genoh ryhtlice his brołur deaðes scyldig for his agenre slæw $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ．Nu $\succ_{o n n e, ~ n u ~}^{\text {na licumlican læceas }}$ ${ }_{\text {Xus scyldige }}$ gereahte sint，$n u$ is to ongietonne ret hu micelre scylde $\chi_{a}$ biǒ befangne $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ pe ongieta $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ wunda on $\nsucc æ m$ modum，\＆agiemelea－ siað ðæt hie hie lannigen，\＆mid hiora wordum sniðen．Be ðæm wæs swiłe wel gecweden $\begin{aligned} & \text { urh } \\ & \text { Ieremias } \\ & \text { 「one witgan，he cwæð ：Awyrged }\end{aligned}$
$\sin$ in the greater．Surely they know that if their nearest friends are reduced to poverty，and they have money，and withhold it from them， they promote their poverty．Why do they not consider，if they sin in that，how much more they sin when they withhold instruction from their sinful brothers，as if they hid the medicine of life，and withheld it from the dying？Of which Solomon spoke：＂Of what use is the concealed art or the hidden gold？＂Or，if a nation is afflicted with famine，and any one hides and withholds his wheat，loes he not desire their death？From this the teachers can understand what punish－ ment they are worthy of，when they let souls starve for want of their words，and will not fecd them with the bread of the gift they have received．Of which was very well spoken through Solomon：＂He
 wædlan，\＆hie feoh habbað，\＆his ðonne him oftioð，łæt hie beoð Xonne fultemend to hiera wædle．Hwy ne magon hie ðonne geðen－ cean，gif hie on $૪ æ m$ gesyngiað，hu micle swiður hie gesyngiað，ðonne 5 hie oftio $\searrow$ ðære lare $\nsucc æ m$ synfullum broðrum，swelce hie gehyden lifes læcedôm，\＆his forwirnen $犭 æ m$ cwelendum monnum？Be ðæm cwæ૪ Salomon：Hu nytt bið se forholena cræft ołðe Xæt forhyd［d］e gold ？ Orxe gif hwelc folc bił mid hungre geswenced，\＆hwa his hwæte gehyt \＆ołhielt，hu ne wilt he 夭onne hiera deǎes？Be خissum magon 10 ongietan $\not \subset a$ lareowas hwelces wites hi wyře bioð，ðonne hie lætað ða sawla acwellan for hungre hira worda，\＆hie nyllað hie fedan mid đæm hlafe ðære giefe ðe hie onfengon．Bi ðæm wæs swiðe wel gecweden ðurh Salomon：Se そe his hwæte hytt，hine wier［gð］đæt fole． Đæt is $ð_{0 n n e ~}^{\text {}}$ æet mon his hwæte ahyde，$\chi_{\text {ret }}$ se lareow gehyde $\chi_{a}$ word 15 łære halegan lare．Forłæm he for đære anre scylde ðære swigan bið
 mealite，gif he wolde．Hwæt we magon ge encean，gif hwelc gôd læce


 scyldig for his agenre slæwðe．Nu łomne，nu ła lichomlican læcas Xus scyldige gerehte sint，nu is to ôngietanne $æ t$ hu micelre scylde $\chi_{a}$
 siað $犭 æ t$ hi hi lacnigen，\＆mid hiera wordum sniðen．Be ðæm wæs 25 swiðe wel gecweden đurh Ieremias ðone witgan，he cwæ૪：Awierged
who hides his wheat is cursed by the people．＂Hiding the wheat is when the teacher hides the words of holy doctrine．He is accursed and condemned by the people for the one sin of silence，because he could have taught many，if he would．We can consider，that if a good physician，who well knows how to cut wounds，sees that some one has need of him，and from sloth is neglectful and withholds his help，we will say that he is very rightly guilty of his brother＇s death， because of his own sloth．If，then，the physicians of the body are thus held guilty，we must consider how greatly they sin who under－ stand the wounds of the mind，and neglect to treat and cut them with their words．Of which was very well spoken through the prophet Jeremiah ；he said：＂Accursed is the man who keeps his sword from
bið se mon se pe wyrnð his sweorde blodes. Đæt is $\begin{aligned} & \text { onne } \nprec æ t ~ m o n ~\end{aligned}$ forwerne his sweorde blodes, Łæt hwa forwirne his lare łot he mid $^{\text {to }}$ ðære ne ofslea そæs flæsces lustas. Eft cwæð Moyses be ðæm ilcan: Min sweord itt flæs. Gehieren eac $\begin{aligned} \text { pe oninnan him gediglað \& }\end{aligned}$


 sellan to wæstme, \& $\begin{aligned} & \text { a for forpe } \\ & \text { he forwandode } \nsucc æ t ~ h e ~ s w æ ~ n e ~ d y d e, ~\end{aligned}$ ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}$ ageaf he hit to unðances, \& his eac hæfde micelne dem. Eac hie sculon gehieran $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt[te] sanctus Paulus geliefde } \Varangle_{æ t} \text { he swæ micle }\end{array}$ unscyldigra wære his nihstena blodes swæ he læs wandode ðæt he hiera unðeawas ofsloge. Hie magon gehieran be $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { cwide pe he }\end{array}$ cwæð, he cwæ૪: Hwæt ge sint ealle mine gewitan ðæt ic eom clæne \& unscyldig nu git to dæg eowres ælces blodes, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m }\end{aligned}$ ic næfre ne forwandode ðæt ic iow ne gecyðde eall Godes geðeaht. Eac hie sculon gehieran hu sanctus Iohannes wæs gemanod mid łæs engles stemne, $\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he cwæð to him: Se pe gehiere $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \text { hiene mon clipige, clipige }\end{array}$ he eac ołerne, \& cweðe, cum. Đæt is, se pe ongiete Łæt he sie gecigged mid godcundre stemne, ðætte he eac ciggende \& lærende ołre ðider tio \& laðige ðider he getogen bið, ðylæs he finde $\begin{aligned} \text { a duru }\end{aligned}$ betynede ongean hiene, ðonne he cume, gif he cume idelhende to, \& $\chi_{a}$ mid him ne brenge, pe he ðider laðian sceolde. Hie scoldon gehieran hu Essaias se witga hreowsiende hiene selfne tælde, ða he wæs onæled mid $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { upcundan liohte, he cwæ૪: Wa me ðæs ic swugode! Eac hie }\end{aligned}$ sculon gehieran ðæette ðurh Salomon is gehaten ðæm monnum pe lust-
blood." Keeping one's sword from blood is withholding one's instruction, and not slaying with it the lusts of the flesh. Again, Moses spoke of the same : "My sword shall eat flesh." Let those also who conceal and hide within themselves the divine doctrine, hear the awful words of God which are addressed to them, that the one fear may drive out the other, telling how the money was committed to the merchant to be lent out at interest, and when he neglected to do so he got no thanks for it, and suffered great injury from it. They should also hear that St. Paul believed that he was so much the more guiltless of his neighbour's blood the less he hesitated to slay their vices. They can hear it in the words he spoke, saying: "Ye arc all my witnesses that I am pure and guiltless of the blood of each of you up to this
bið se mann se ðe wirnð his sweorde blodes．Đæt is ðonne ðæt mann
 ðære ne ofslea さæs flæsces lustas．Eft cwæð Moyses be đæm ilcan ： Min sweord itt flæsc．Gehieren eac $犭$ ł $\nless$ oninnan him gediglał \＆
 gecweden is，forðæm ðæt se ege ðone oðerne ege útadrife，ไæt is 犭onne se cwide hu mon ðæt feoh befæste ðæm ciepemen ไe he scolde forð－


 unscyldigra wære his niehstena blodes swa he læs wandade ðæt he hira unðeawas ofsloge．Hi magon gehieran be ðæm cuide łe he cwæð，he cwæð：Hwæt ge sint ealle mine gewietan ðæt ic eom clæne \＆unscildig nu giet to dæg eowres ælees blodes，forłæm ic næfre ne 15 forwandode ðæt ic eow ne gecyðde eall Godes gełeaht．Eac hi sculon gehieran hu sanctus Iohannes wæs gemanod mid ðres engles stemne， $\Varangle_{a} \succ_{a}$ he cwæð to him：Se e gehire ææt hine mon clipige，clipie he eac ołerne，\＆cweðe，cum．Đæt is，se łe ongiete łæt he sie gecieged mid godcundre stemne，خætte he eac cigende \＆lærende
 betynede ongean hine，خonne he cume，gif he cume idelhende to，\＆ $\begin{aligned} \\ a\end{aligned}$ mid him ne brenge，đe he đider lałian scolde．Hie scoldon gehieran hu Essaias se witga hreowsigende hine selfne tælde， $\begin{aligned} \text { a he wæs［on］æled }\end{aligned}$ mid $ð \mathrm{y}$ úpcundan leohte，he cwæð：Waa me ðæs ic swigode！Eac hie 25 sculon gehieran ¿ætte ðurh Salomon is gehaten ðæm monnum ðe lust－

[^31] scyle bion hiora wisdom geieced \& gemanigfaldod. Salomon cwar: Đæs monnes saul pe wel spricð hio bið amæst, \& swæ hwa swæ ołerne łrenč, he wir` self oferdruncen. Swæ eac se pe ut wel lær૪ mid h:s


 canne fe hiene gehieran willað, he bið eac oferdrenced \& wel afedd mid $\searrow$ æm drynce mislicra \& manigfaldra gifa. Hwæt we hierdon $犭$ æt Dauid brohte Gode to lacum đæt $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { he } \searrow_{a} \text { lare ne hæl pe him God }\end{aligned}$ geaf. Đæt he cyððe, pa he cwæð: Dryhten, $\mathrm{Xn}_{\mathrm{n}}$ wast $\nsucc æ$ t ic ne wirne mine welora, \& Xine ryhtwisnesse ic ne digle on minre heortan ; خine hælo \& Xine ryhtwisnesse ic sæcge. Gehierał hwæt on Cantica Canti-
 cwæ૪: Hlyst hider, ðu pe eardast on friondes ortgearde, \& gedoo ðæt ic mæge gehieran xine stemne.

[End of Cotton, No. I.]

teach what they can, that is, that their wisdom shall be increased and multiplied. Solomon said: "The soul of the man who speaks well shall be fattened, and whoever makes another drunk shall himself be intoxicated." So also, he who externally teaches well with his words, shall receive internally fatness of mind, that is, wisdom. So also, he who does not withhold the wine of his instruction to intoxicate the minds who wish to hear him, shall also be intoxicated and well fed with draughts of various and manifold gifts. We have heard how David brought as an offering to God his not having hid the doctrine given him by God. He made it known, when he said: "Lord, thou knowest that I do not withhold my lips, and do not conceal thy rightcousness in my hcart ; I speak out thy salvation and righteousness."
 scylen hiera wisdom bion geieced \＆gemanigfalæod．Salomon cuæ૪： Đæs mon［n］es sawl $犭 e$ wel spricł hio bið amæst，ond swa hwa swa oðerne drenč，he wirð self oferdruncen．Swa eac se ðe ût wel lær૪ mid
 Swa eac se $\chi_{\mathrm{e}}$ ne wirn $\chi_{\text {æ }}$ wines his lare $\searrow_{a} \bmod m i d$ to oferdren－
 mid đæm drence mislicra \＆monigfaldra giefa．Hwæt we hirdon ðætte
 10 geaf．Đæt he cyðde，ða he cwæð ：Dryhten，ðu wast ไæt ic ne wyrne minra welera，\＆Xine ryhtwisnes［se］ic ne diegle on minre heortan ； Xine hælo \＆Xine ryhtwisnesse ic secgge．Gehierał hwæt on Cantica
 he cwæð：Hlyst hider，૪u そe eardasð on freondes orcgearde，\＆gedoo 15 خæt ic mæge gehiran ૪ine stemne．Đæt is sio halige gesomnung Godes folces，ðæt eardað on æppeltunum，ðonne hie wel begáð hira plantan \＆hiera impan，oð hie fulweaxne beoð．Đæt bir خonne ðæt mon his stemne gehiere，ðonne $\gamma$ a gecorenan menn giornfulle bio his worda to gehlystanne．\＆خonne wilnað se brydguma，ðæt is Crist，
 nung，ðonne he ðurh gesce［a］dwisra \＆him gecorenra monna mód him to clipað，\＆hie lær $\begin{gathered}\text { łurh hiora muð．Eac hie sculon gehieran hwet }\end{gathered}$ Moyses dyde，$\chi_{a}$ he ongeat $\succ_{æ t}$ God wæs $\searrow_{æ m}$ folce ierre，he bebead犭æt menn namen hiora sweord Godes andan mid to wrecanne，\＆ewæð


Hear also what is written in the Song of Songs，how the bridegroom spoke to the bride：＂Listen here，thou who dwellest in a friend＇s orchard，make me able to hear thy voice．＂That is，the holy assembly of God＇s people，which lives in orchards，when they carefully tend their plants and shoots till they are full－grown．Hearing his voice is when the elect are eager to listen to his words．And the Bridegroom，that is Christ，desires to hear the voice of the bride，that is the assembly of Christians，when he calls to them through the minds of wise men， who are chosen by him，and teaches them through their mouth．They ought also to hear what Moses did，when he saw that God was angry with the people；he bade men to take their sword to avenge Gorl＇s anger，and said that those who unhesitatingly slew the sins of the guilty
should be specially God's servants. He said: "Let him who is God's servant, come hither to me, and put his sword on his hip, and pass from gate to gate through the midst of the city, and let every man slay his brother and his friend and his neighbour." Putting one's sword on one's hip is preferring the zeal of instruction to the lusts of the flesh, and taking care to subdue and conquer unlawful lusts and doctrines, when one desires to teach holiness. Running from one gate to another is rumning with reproof from one vice to another, through which death can enter into a man's soul. Running through the middle of the city is being so impartial towards Christian people in the reproving of their sins as neither to flatter any man nor care for any man's flattery. As to which it was very rightly said, that they were to slay their brothers and friends and neighbours. A man slays his brother and his friend and his neighbour, when no relationship makes him hesitate to punish the sins of the guilty. If he is called the servant of God who is inspired by the zeal of divine love to slay vices, does not he absolutely refuse to be God's servant, who refuses to rebuke as much as he can the vices of worldly men? On the other hand, those who have neither age nor wisdom enough to be able or know how to teach, and yet hasten to undertake it, are to be warned not to block up for themselves the way of reformation, which might in time come to them, when they assume so hastily the burden of so arduous a ministration. But when they prematurely assume what they neither can nor know how to manage, they have cause to fear losing what in due time they might have attained, that is, wisdom, which they prematurely desire and display, but which very rightly proves their destruction. They can consider that young birds, if they try to fly before their wings are fully developed, are made to descend by the desire which before exalted them, till they perish. They are also to be admonished to consider that, if a big, heavy roof is placed on a new wall before it is dry and firm, not a hall, but a ruin is built. They are also to be admonished to consider, that those women who bring forth the children they have conceived before they are properly formed, fill, not houses, but tombs. Therefore Christ himself, who could easily have strengthened whom he wished,
scyldegena gyltas ofslogen．He cwæð ：Se ðe Godes ðegn sie，ga hider to me，\＆do his sweord to his hype，\＆gâð from geate to geate ðurh midde $ð$ a ceastre，\＆ofslea ælc mon his brołur \＆his freond \＆his
 5 mon $\succ_{a}$ geornfulnesse his lare læte fur $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { Øonne } \\ \text { his flæsces lustas，\＆}\end{aligned}$ ૪æs gieme $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { he un［a］liefede lustas \＆lara atemige \＆oferwinne，}\end{array}$ ðonne he wilnað ðæt he haligdom lære．Đæt is ðonne ðæt mon ierne
 ælcum to ołrum，－૪e deað mæge ingân on そæs monnes môd．Đæt is
 betweox cristenum folce on ðære ð̌reaunga hiera scylda ðæt he nauðer ne nanum men ne olicce，ne he nanes monnes oleccunga ne rec［c］e．
 broður \＆his freond \＆his nielistan．Đonne mon ofslihð his brǒur 15 \＆［his］friond \＆his niehstan，Xonne he for nanre sibbe ne wanda＇
 gehaten Godes $\begin{aligned} & \text { egn } \\ & \text { se } \\ & \text { ðe mid } \\ & \text { rmm andan onæled bið godcundre lufan }\end{aligned}$
 to bionne，se ðe wiðsæcð ðæt he ne ðreage swa he swiðusð mæge
 nawłer ne ildo ne wisdôm to ðon $\not$ æt hie mægen ołðe cunnen læran， \＆hi $\begin{aligned} & \text { eah forhradiað } \npreceq æ t ~ h i e ~ h i t ~ o n g i e n n a ð, ~ ૪ y l æ s ~ h i e ~ h i m s e l f u m ~\end{aligned}$ fordikigen $\begin{aligned} & \text { one weg } \\ & \text { ðære } \\ & \text { bote，} \\ & \\ & \text { e him on fierste becuman meahte，}\end{aligned}$

 is him to ondrædanne $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt } \\ \text { him weor } \prec e ~ t o ~ l o r e ~ \\ \text { さæt hie to ryhtre tide }\end{array}$ gefolgian meahton，ไæt is se wisdom，ðe hie ær tide wilniað \＆eowiað， ac he him wyrł Xonne swiðe ryhtlice to lore．Hwæt hie magon ge－ ðencean 〕æt fugla briddas，gif hie ær wilniað to fleoganne，ær hira 30 fe ̌ra $^{2}$ fulwe［a］xene sin，đætte sio wilnung hie geniłrał ðe hi ær úpa－ hefł，oð hie forweor $\begin{aligned} & \text { ǎ．Eac hie sint to manigenne } \not \text { ææt hie gěencen，}\end{aligned}$ gif mon on niwne we［a］ll unadrugodne \＆unastǐodne micelne hróf \＆ hefigne onsett，Xonne ne timbreð he no healle ac hryre．Eac［hi］sint to manigenne ðæt hi geðencen đætte ða wif $\nless$ ða geeacnodan bearn 35 cennað $\succ_{\mathrm{e}}$ ðonne git fulborene ne bioð，ne fyllað hie nó mid $\not$ ðæm hus ac byrgenna．For $\begin{aligned} & \text { issum } \\ & \text { Xingum wæs } \\ & \text { 犭ætte } \\ & \text { Crist self，} \\ & \text { 〕e swiðe }\end{aligned}$
gave an example to teachers, to prevent the unlearned from teaching : when he had taught his disciples the art of teaching, he yet said: "Sit yet within the city, until ye are fully prepared with spiritual power." We sit within the city when we shut ourselves up behind the bars of our mind, lest from loquacity we wander too far. But afterwards, when we are fully prepared with the divine power, then we have come forth from the city, that is, from our own mind, to teach others. Of this same, Solomon spoke to young men: "Thou, young man, be not ready to call out and advise, not even in thine own affairs, and even when asked twice, wait with the answer until thou knowest that thy speech has both beginning and end." Therefore our Redeemer, though in heaven he is creator and teacher of angels, would not be a teacher of men on earth until he was thirty years old, because he wished to instil into presumptuous men the reverence of salutary fear ; although he himself could not sin, he would not proclaim the gift of perfect life until he was himself of complete age. It is written in the Gospel, that our Saviour, when he was twelve years old, stayed behind his mother and relations in the city of Jerusalem. And afterwards, when his relations sought him, they found him in the midst of the wisest doctors in Jerusalem, listening to their words, and asking about their doctrines. We must vigilantly consider that our Saviour, when he was twelve years old, was found sitting in the midst of the teachers, asking, not teaching; because he wished to make it an example that the unlearned might not presume to teach, since he wished to be disciple and to be taught, the same who formerly taught those who taught him then with the power of divine authority. And again, Paul said to his disciple: "Command this, and teach, and let no man despise thy youth." We must know that adolescence is often called youth in the holy Scriptures. Which we can understand more clearly if we quote one of Solomon's sayings among the rest; he said: "Rejoice, young man, in thy youth." If he did not consider it all one, he would not have called the young man both young man and youth.
hrædlice meahte getrymian łone خe he wolde，sealde bisene $_{\text {Łæm }}$
 cnihtas gelæred hæfde ૪one cræft ðæs lareowdomes，he cwæ૪ swaðeah： Sittað eow nu giet innan ceastre，o૪ðæt ge weořen fullgearowode mid 5 ðæm gresðlican cræfte．Đonne we sittað innan ceastre，ðonne we ûs betynað binnan Xæm locum ures modes，ðylæs we for dolspræce to
 そæm godcundan cræfte，そonne bio we of ðære ceastre út afærene，ðæt
 10 Salomon to iongum monnum ：Đu gionga，bio خe úniðe to clipianne \＆to læranne，ge furخum łina agna spræca，\＆خeah ðe mon tuwa
 ægðer ge ord ge ende．For ðissum ilcan ðingum wæs ðætte ure Aliesend， Xeah he on hefenum sie Scieppend \＆engla lareow，nolde he Xeah on 15 eorłan bion monna lareow，ær he wæs ðritiges geara eald，fořæmðe he wolde $\Varangle æ m$ fortruwodum monnum andrysno halwendes eges on gebrengean ；Xeah そe he self gegyltan ne meahte，nolde he Xeah ær
 medre ielde．Hit is awriten on ðæm godspelle đætte ure Hælend，ða 20 he wæs twelfwintre，wurde beæftan his meder \＆his mægum innan ðære ceastre Hicrusalem．Ac eft，$\chi_{a}$ his mægas hine sohton，$\nearrow_{a}$ fundon hie hiene tomiddes ðara wietena Øe $^{\text {そær wisoste wæron in Hierusalem，}}$ hlystende hiora worda，\＆frinende hiora lara．Donne is ûs［ $ð æ t$ ］ swǐe wocorlice to geخenceanne $\succ_{æ t t e}$ ure Hælend，$\chi_{a} \succ_{a}$ he twelf－ 25 wintre wæs，ða wæs he gemêt sittende tomiddes ૪ara lareowa frig－ nende，nalles lærende ；for ææm he us wolde łææ to bisene dôn rætte $\Varangle_{a}$ únlæredan ne dorsten læran，nu he ðonne wolde cniht bion，\＆wolde
 ðæm cræfte Łæs godcundan anwaldes．Ond eft Paulus cwað to his 30 cnihte：Bebiod ðis \＆lære，\＆ne forsio nan mon ðine giogǔe．We scu－ lon wietan $\nprec x t t e$ oft bi $\curlyvee$ on halgum gewrietum genemued mid feorwe to giuguðhade．Đæt we magon sweotolor ongietan，gif we Salomones cuida sumne herongemong eowiað，he cwæð ：Blǐsa，cniht，on đinum giogǔhade．Gif he hit Xonne ne tiohchode eall tó anum，đonne ne 35 nemde he そone cniht æǧer ge cniht ge giong mán．
L. That those who desire worldly honour, and possess it prosperously, are to be admonished in one way; in another those who desire worldly honour, and when they have desired it, continue in it with great hardship and misfortune.
In one way are to be admonished those who prosperously attain all their worldly desires; in another way those who desire the joys and wealth of this world, and yet are kept from them by adverse misfortunes. Those who always attain what they desire in this world are to be admonished not to neglect, when they have attained it all, to seek him who helps them to it all; lest they love this exile more than their own country, and fix their affections on what is lent them here ; and lest the comforts and pleasures of their journey seduce them, so that they forget whither they are bound ; and lest, for the fair moon that they see by night, they despise the brightness of day and of the sun. They are also to be admonished not to believe that the pleasures and comforts which happen to them in this world are the rewards intended for them by God, but are the consolation of the miseries; while the rewards of the good we do are in the eternal life. Therefore we must fortify our minds against the flatteries of this world, lest we love them with our whole heart, and subject ourselves to them entirely, But he who does not overcome the prosperity he has here with prudence of mind, and love of the better life, makes of the flatteries of this transitory world his own eternal death. Therefore the prophet Ezekiel rebuked the people of Israel, and said that they would be destroyed and vanquished with prosperity, as the Idumæans were, because they rejoiced too much in the prosperity of this world. But the Lord rebuked them through the prophet, saying: "They made my land their inheritance with joy, and with all their heart and mind." From these words we can understand that they were very severely blamed, not because they rejoiced, but because they rejoiced with all their heart and mind. Of which Solomon said: "The folly of the young kills them, and the prosperity of fools destroys them." Of the same St. Paul spoke: "Let those who have be as if they had nothing, and

L．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $\chi_{a}$ ðe woroldare wilniað， \＆hie ðonne orsorglice habbał；\＆on ołre $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ łe woroldare wilniað，\＆ðonne hie gewilnode habbað，hie ðonne mid micelre earfo $\begin{aligned} \text { nesse } \& & \text { mid micle broce ôn wunia } \gamma \text { ．}\end{aligned}$

 middangeardes wilna \＆welena wilniað，\＆swǎeah mid sumum wǐer－ weardum brocum hiora him bið færwirned．Đa ðonne sint to mani－ eune ðe simle habbað ðisse worulde ðæt ðæt hie wilniað ðæt hie ne 10 agiemeleasien，ðonne hi hit eall hæbben，ðæt hie ne secen ðone ðe him to eallum gefultema久，ðylæs hie lufigen ðâs eľiodignesse ofer hiora ægenne ěel，\＆hior［a］mod eal ahon on そæt Łe him her gelæned bix， \＆Øylæs hie gedwelle sio gehydnes \＆ða getæsu Łe hie on ðæm wege habbał，Łæt hie forgieten hwider hie scylen，\＆łylæs hie for とæm 15 fægeran mónan $\succ_{e}$ hi on niht gesioð forhycgen $\nsucc$ æs dæges bierhto \＆ ðære sunnan．Eac hi sint to monienne ðætte hie nó ne geliefen そætte


 20 sculon ure môd getrymman wið ðisses middangeardes oliecunga，ðylæs we hie mid ealle mode lufigen，\＆us mid ealle hiere under $\begin{gathered}\text { ieden．Ac }\end{gathered}$
 wisnesse his ingěonces \＆mid ðære lufan Łæs beteran lifes，ðonne gehwierf $(\gamma)$ he $\gamma_{a}$ olecunga $\chi_{i s s e}$ gewitendan worulde him to ecum 25 deaðe．For ðissum ðingum wæs ðætte Ezechiel se witga ðreade Israhela folc，\＆cwæð そæt hie wolden weorðan forlorene \＆oferwun－ nene mid orsorgnesse，swa swa Idumeas wæron，forłæm hi to swiłe bliłsodon on Xisses middangeardes orsorgnesse．Ac Dryhten hie
 30 selfum to ierfelonde mid gefean \＆mid ealre heortan \＆mid ealle mode． Be ðæm worlum we mægon gehieran ðæt hie wæron swǐe suixlice getælde，næs nô forłæmðe hie fægnodan，ac fořæmðe hie mid ealre heortan \＆mid ealle mode fægnodan．Be さæm cwæð Salomon： Giongra monna dolscipe hi ofslihx，\＆dysigra monna orsorgness hi 35 fordex．Be ̌̌æm ilcan cwæ૪ sanctus Paulus：Sien $X_{a} h æ \supsetneqq b e n d a n$
those who enjoy this world as if they enjoyed it not." That we may so love this outward and earthly support, as not to avert our minds from the desire and zeal of divine love, lest that which is lent to us in this present exile as a support and mercy cause us mourning and exile from eternal life; that we may not rejoice as if we were glad of these transitory things, when we see, while among them, how poor we are in eternal things. Of the same Solomon spoke in the book of Song of Songs concerning the holy assembly, saying: "The Lord's left hand is under my head, and his right hand embraces me." He said that God's left hand was under his head. That signifies prosperity of this present life. The inner love of sublime life presses the hand. The right hand embraces him, when he holds him in such a desire that he loves the eternal life with all devotion. Again, it was said through the wise Solomon that in his right hand was long life, and in his left wealth and honour. When he compared wealth and honour with the left hand, he taught us how to love each. Again, of the same the Psalmist spoke: "May thy right hand save me." He did not say "thy left hand," but "thy right." He thus showed that he did not mean this present life, but sought the salvation of eternal life. Of the same is again written in Exodus, the book of Moses; it is written: "Thy right hand, O Lord, has crushed thy foes." Those who are God's enemies, though they prosper on the left, are crushed with the right hand ; that is, that this present life often exalts the wicked, but the coming of eternal bliss casts them down. Therefore those who live prosperously in this world are to be admonished to consider carefully that the prosperity of this present life is sometimes lent, that through it they may be led to $\mathrm{a} \cdot$ better life, sometimes that their confusion may be the greater in eternity. Why else was the land of Canaan promised to the people of Israel, but because the untaught people would not believe in the promise of a distant land after a time, unless he who promised them the greater land gave them a near one at once? And also that they might the more firmly and certainly believe in the eternal things, whenever he promised them to them ; lest he should attract them to the gift
swelce hie nowiht hæbben, \& $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ¡e } \text { isses middangeardes notigað }\end{aligned}$ swelce hi his nó ne notigen. Đætte we swa lufigen †isne uterran \& ðisne eorðlican fultum $ð æ t t e$ we forłæm from ðære wilnunga \& from ðære geornfulnesse ૪ære godcundan lufan ure méd ne awenden, ðylæs
 Xisse elłiodignesse to fultume \& to are gelæned is ; そætte we ne

 ðinga. Be ðissum ilcan cwæð Salomon on Cantica Canticorum ðære 10 béc be ðære halgan gesomnunge, he cwæ $\begin{aligned} & \text { : Dryhtnes winestre hand }\end{aligned}$ is under minum heafde, \& his swiðre hand me beclipð. Sio winestre hand Godes he cwæð wære under his heafde. Đæt tacnað orsorgnesse خisses andweardan lifes. $Đ a$ hand ðonne gełryč sio incunde lufu ðæs uplican lifes. Sio swiðre hand hine ðonne beclipð, ðonne ૪on(n)e 15 he hine gehielt on $\partial æ m$ willan $ð æ t$ he mid ealre estfulnesse lufa $\gamma \nsucc t$ ece lif. Eft wæs gecueden ðurh Salomon ðone snottran đætte on his swiłran handa wære lang lif, \& on his winestran wære wela \& wyrðmynt. Đa he lærde hu we ægðer lufian sceolden, $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { he mæ̂t } \text { خone }\end{aligned}$ welan \& خone wyrðmynd to ðære winestran handa. Eft be ðæm ilcan

 ne mænde $\begin{aligned} \text { is andwearde lif, ac } \Varangle æ s ~ e c e a n ~ l i f e s ~ h æ l o ~ h e ~ s o h t e . ~ B e ~\end{aligned}$ ðæm ilcan is eft awriten on Exodo, ðæt is Moyses bôc, hit is awriten :
 25 fiond bioð, ðeah hi on ðrere winstran handa bion geðigene, hi beoð mid $\nsucc$ ære swiðran tobrocene, $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { is } \\ \Varangle x t t e ~ o f t ~ & \text { is andwearde lif upa- }\end{array}$ hefeð ૪a yfelan, ac se tocyme ðære ecan eadignesse hie geniðrað. Đy sint to manienne $\gamma_{a} \chi_{e}$ on $\begin{gathered}\text { isse worulde orsorglice libbað, } ð æ t ~ h i e ~\end{gathered}$ geornlice ongieten $犭$ ætte sio orsorgnes $\begin{gathered}\text { isses andweardan lifes hwilum }\end{gathered}$ 30 bið to $ð æ m$ gelæned $ð æ t$ hie sien $\not$ urh $\nsucc a$ to beteran life getogene, hwilum to $\Varangle æ m ~ \succ æ t ~ h i e ~ s i e n ~ \Varangle y ~ s w i ð u r ~ o n ~ e c n e s s e ~ g e s c i e n d e . ~ F o r-~$ hwam wæs elles Canonea land Israhe(la) folce gehaten, buton for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { re }\end{aligned}$ ðæt ungetyde folc nolde geliefan $\begin{array}{r}\text { eah } \\ \text { him mon feorr land on fierste }\end{array}$ gehete, gif him sona ne sealde sum on neaweste se him łæt mare
 licor gelifden Xara ecena Xinga, swa hwanne swa him Xa gehete, ðylæs
with the promises and hope alone, but also with the gift he drew them to the hope. That also the Psalmist proclaimed very openly, saying: "He gave them kingdoms of other races, and they possessed the wealth of many nations, that they might preserve righteousness and seek his law." But when the human mind responds not to God's kindness with good works, he is very justly degraded with that wherewith he is thought to be honoured. Of which was again spoken through the Psalmist : "Thou didst humiliate them when they exalted themselves." So, when useless men will not requite the divine gift with righteous works, but try to destroy themselves altogether here with the pride of abundant wealth and prosperity, what outwardly exalts them, inwarilly makes them fall. About this same it was also said of the rich man, of whom it was said that he suffered in hell; it was said: "Thou hast received all thy good here in the world." The evil man receives a portion of good in this world, that he may hereafter receive the more evil in the future life, if here he would not for the good turn to God. Those, on the contrary, who desire the joys and wealth of this world, and yet are debarred from them, and afflicted in this world by adversity, are to be admonished to consider carefully with how great favour the Creator and Ruler of all creation watches over them, when he does not leave them to their own desires; as the physician, when he has no hope of the patient, and thinks that he cannot help him, allows him to do and take whatever he likes; but him whom he thinks he can help, he debars from very many of his desires. We even debar our children from playing with our money, those same children to whom we hereafter intend to leave our lands and estates and inheritance altogether, and make our heirs. So let those who are humbled by the adversities of this present world, learn from this example to rejoice and hope in the eternal inheritance : if God had not resolved to save them in eternity, he would not have bridled them with such severe reproof of his instruction. Those who desire these transitory things, and yet are debarred from them by misfortune and adversity, are also to be admonished to consider carefully that righteous men are often exalted with transitory authority, till
 giefe，ac eac mid łære giefe he hi teah on ðone tohopan．Đæt eac gecy̌de se psalmsceop swiðe openlice，$\chi_{a}$ he cwæ $(\gamma)$ ：He him sealde ricu ołerra kynrena，\＆manigra folca gestreones hie wieoldon，to ðon 5 ðæt hi his ryhtwisnesse geheolden，\＆his æ sohten．Ac ðonne ðæt mennisce mod Godes glæedmodnesse mid godum weorcum ne geand－
 ðæt mid gearod sie．Be ðæm wæs eft gecweden ðurh ðone salmsceop： Đu hie geniðrades，ða hi hi selfe ûpahofon．Swa，đonne ðonne unnyttan 10 men $ð a$ godcundan gife nyllað leanian mid ryhtum weorcum，ac willað hi selfe her mid ealle fordon mid Łære fortruwunga $\succ_{æ s}$ toflowendan
 bioð innan afeallene．Be ðys ilcan wæs eac gecweden be đæm wele－ gan $\succ_{e}$ gesæd is $\Varangle æ$ tte on hel（l）e $\not$ rowude，hit wæs gecweden ： Du 15 ônfenge ðin god eal her ôn worulde．Forðæm anfelið se yfla auht goodes on خisse worulde ไ̌æt he eft 夭y maran yfles on そæm toweardan life，gif he her nolde for ðæm goode to Gode gecierran．Angean Xæt sint to man［i］genne $\gamma_{a} \gamma_{\text {e }} \chi_{i s e s}$ mid［d］angeardes wilna \＆we［o］lena wilniað，\＆him swaðeah sum wiðerweardnes his $\mathrm{fo}[\mathrm{r}]$ wiern久，\＆hi
 geðencen mid hu micelre giefe ofer him wacał se Scippend \＆se Stihtere ealra gesceafta，犭onne he hi nyle lætan to hiera agnum wilnungum ；swa swa se læce，ðonne he そæm siocan ne truwað，\＆
 25 hine lysð to dónne \＆to ðycganne，ac ðæs ðe he wenð そæt he
 Hwæt we eac wiernað urum cildum urra peninga mid to plegianne，
 somue tô te forlætanne，\＆hie tiochiað us to ierfeweardum to habbanne． 30 Ac nimen him nû be $\begin{gathered}\text { isse } \\ \text { bisene } \\ \text { gefean } \& \text { tohopan } \npreceq æ r e ~ e c a n ~ i e r f e-~\end{gathered}$
 ac gif hi God næfde on ecnesse getiochod to gehælanne，ðoune ne gebridlode he hi nó mid swa swiðlicre $\begin{aligned} \text { reaunga his lare．Eac sint }\end{aligned}$
 35 broc \＆sumu wǐerweardnes hiera forwiern久，そætte hie geornfullice geðencen 夭ætte oft ryhtwise menn mid ðys hwilendlican anwealde
through their authority they are caught by sins as in a snare; as we said above in this same book of David, God's favourite, that he was a better man when a subject than when king. When he was a subject, he met his enemy, and yet for the fear of God and love of righteousness, he durst not slay him. But afterwards, when king, by the advice and encouragement of his own lust, he slew and circumvented his faithful officer. Who, then, can desire possessions or authority or honour without danger, since he who desired them not fell into such danger? Who can be saved from such things without great difficulty and danger, if he whom God himself chose for king fell into such a sin when king? They are also to be admonished to consider how it is written about Solomon, how, after being so wise, he fell, even until he began to sacrifice to idols. It is not said that he had any adversity in this world before he fell ; but when wisdom was entirely granted to him, he forgot himself, and the science and discipline he had learnt, so that he would not have any trouble with it, either less or more.
LI. That those who are bound in marriage are to be admonished in one way, in another those who are free from those ties.

Those who are bound in marriage are to be admonished in one way, in another those who are free from those ties. Those who are bound by those ties are to be admonished, when they mutually think how to please one another, each of them to try so to please the other in their union, as not to displease their Creator; and so to perform earthly works, as not to neglect desiring those of God; and so to rejoice in present good, as to dread seriously eternal evils; and so also to lament the evils of this world, as yet to fix their entire hope on eternal good ; and when they perceive how fleeting are their present works, and how lasting is that which they desire, to let no worldly evil break their spirit, nor any good deceive them, but let the joy of heavenly good fortify them against affliction; and, again, to let the expectation of coming evil at the future judgment alarm them
weorðað upalæfene，o૪ hie ðurh 犭one anwald weorðað mid synnum gefangne，sua sua mid sume grine，swa swa［we］ær herbiufan sædon
 wisra $\succ_{a} \rtimes_{a}$ he ðeng wæs ðonne he wære siððan he kyning wæs．Đa
 ryhtwisnesse lufum he hine ne dorste ofslean．Ac eft siððan he kyning wæs，for lare \＆for tiehtinge his agenes firenlustes he ofslog \＆besirede his getreowne ðegn．Hwa mæg đonne æhta oððe anwaldes o૪xe weorłscipes wilnian butan plio，nu se swelc plioh そærón gefôr，
 healden butan miclum gesuince \＆miclum plio，nû se on ไæm rice on swelce synne befioll，se ðe God self to đæm rice geceas？Eac hie sint to manigenne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t hie ge gencen hu hit awriten is be Salamonne，}\end{array}$ hu he æfter swa miclum wisdome afioll，emne oðてæt he dioflum ongan
15 gieldan．Nis hit nô gesæd ðæt he ænig wuht wiðerweardes on ðys middangearde hæfde，ærðæmðe he afeol ；ac siððan him se wisdom to forlæten wæs eallunga he forgét hine selfne \＆ða lare \＆خone خiodscipe そe he geliornode，swa đæt he his nan geswinc habban nolde ne læsse ne mare．

20 LI．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to manianne $ð$ ว $\nless$ beoð gebundne mid synrædenne，on oðre wisan $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe freo bioð ðara benda．
 gebundene，on oðre $\succ_{a}$ ૪e ૪ara benda bioð fr［i］o．Đa sint to mani－ genne ðe mid łæm gebundene bioð，ðonne ðonne hie betwuh him 25 ðenceał hu hiera ægðer ołres willan dön scyle，łæt hira swa tilige ægðer oðrum to licianne on hiera gesinscipe，$\not$ ææt hi ne mislicien hiera Scippende，\＆ðæt hie swa wyrcen ðisses middangeardes weorc ðæt hie

 30 \＆swa eac ðara yfela خisse worulde hiofen Łæt hi huru hiora tohopan anwealgne gefæstnigen to ðæm ecum godum，\＆૪onne hie ongieten hu

 $\chi_{i s}[\mathrm{ses}]$ middangeardes hiora môd ne gebrece ne nan gíd hie ne 35 beswice，ac se gefea ðara hefonlicena gôda hi gehierde wið ðæm
in their prosperity ; because the mind of the Christian consorts, which is both firm and infirm, and cannot fully despise these transitory things, can yet associate with the eternal with its aspirations, although it still lies in the lusts of the flesh, by fortifying and filling itself with lofty hope. And although he lave with him something of earthly and human habits on the road-the road is this present life-he must not relinquish the hope of attaining to God for his good will; and yet let him not accomplish altogether the desire of the body, lest he altogether fall from that whence he ought to derive his most certain hopes. Of which Paul treated very well in few words in his Letter to the Corinthians, saying: "Let these who have wives be as if they had none, and those who weep as if they wept not, and those who rejoice as if they rejoiced not." He has a wife as if he had none, who has her for bodily solace, and yet for the enjoyment and love does not turn from better works. He also has a wife as if he had none, who understands that all these pleasant things are transitory, and yet for necessity takes care of his flesh, and however with great desire of the spirit yearns for the cternal joy. A man weeps and yet does not weep, when he bewails the miseries of this world, and yet knows that he shall be comforted, and rejoice in eternal joy. And, again, a man rejoices and yet does not rejoice, when he exalts his mind from these earthly to heavenly things, and yet does not relinquish the fear of falling from the lofty to the lower things. About which St. Paul discoursed very well immediately after, saying: "The face of this world shall pass away." As if he had openly said : "Ye must not at all love this world too much, because, although ye love it, it cannot always last: ye fix your affections on it in vain, because it will elude you, although ye love it as if it were lasting." The married are to be admonished, although they be sometimes displeased at something in one another, to tolerate it patiently ; and let each of them pray for the other, that through it they may be saved, because it is written: "Bear your burdens in common among yourselves, then will ye fulfil God's law." Love is God's law ; the law and love bring
brocum，\＆eft se wena ðara toweardena yfela on đæm toweardan díme hie geegesige on ðære orsorgnesse；forðæm ðæt mód đara cristenra gesamhiwena，તætte bið ægðer ge trum ge untrum \＆ne mæg fullice
 5 ecum mid his willan，ðeah he ðonne giet on $\not$ ðæs flæsces lustfulnesse licge，mid $ð æ m ~ ð æ t ~ h e ~ h i n e ~ g e t r y m i g e ~ \& ~ g e f y l l e ~ m i d ~ ð æ m ~ u ́ p l i c a n ~$ tohopan．Ond そeah he hæbbe hwæt eorðlices \＆mennisclices him on gewunan on $\Varangle \hat{y} s$ wege－se weg is $\begin{aligned} \text { is andwearde lif－ue forlæte he }\end{aligned}$ Xeah Xone tohopan 〕æt he becume（to）Gode for his godan willan，\＆ 10 swałeah ne fulga he eallunga đæs lichoman wilnunga，ðylæs he eal－ lunga afealle ૪onon خe he fæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { licost tohopia［n］scolde．Ymb そæt reahte }\end{aligned}$ Paulus swite wel mid feaum wordum on hisærendgewrite to Corinctheum，

 15 hi nô ne fægnigen．Se tomne hæfł wif swelce he nan næbbe，se خe hit
 awent from bettrum weorcum．Se hæfð eac wif swelce he nan næbbe，
 for niedðearfe hæf［ð］giemenne his flæsces，\＆hwæðre mid micelre 20 wilnunga his gæstes gieruð そæs ecan gefeau．Đæt is $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { ¿itt mon }\end{gathered}$

 \＆eft is $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { mon } \\ \text { blissige \＆ne blissige } \nsucc æ t ~ m o n ~ a h e b b e ~ h i s ~ m o d ~ o f ~\end{array}$ ðissum eorðlican to てæm hefonlican，\＆ðeah ne forlæte đæt he him ne
 ［swiłe wel］ðærryhte æfter rehte sanctus Paulus，̌a he cwæð ：Đyses middangeardes ansien ofergar．Swelce he openlice cwæde：Ne sculon
 hine lufigen，he eow ne mæg ealneg standan；on idelniss［e］ge fæst－ 30 niað eower môd on him，for $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { 厄e }\end{array}$ he eow flihð， とeah ge hine lufigen swelce he wunigende sie．To manigenne sint $\Varangle_{a}$ gesomhiwan，ðeah hira hwæðrum hwæthwugu hwilum mislicige on ołrum，Łæt hie đæt geðyldelice forberen；\＆gebidde hira æǧer for ołer łæt hie mægen Kurh ðæt weorłan gehælede，fořæm hit awriten is：Berað eowre 35 byřenna gemænelice betwux iow，ðonne gefylle ge Godes ǽ．Sio lufu $\begin{gathered}\text { conne } \\ \text { is Godes } \\ \mathfrak{c}\end{gathered}$ ：sio $\mathfrak{x} \& \&$ sio lufu us briengał monig（g）ôd
us much good from Christ, and bear patiently with our evil. We imitate Christ, and also carry out the imitation, when we cheerfully give to others what God gives us, and patiently bear with their evil. The married, and also every one else, are to be admonished not to think less of what other men bear with and tolerate in them, than of what they bear with in others; because he can much the more easily endure the injuries done to him by others, if he will remember those he does to others. The married are also to be admonished to remember that they are not associated for any other purpose than the procreation of children. Therefore they ought to consider that, if they associate too often and too immoderately, they are not in lawful union, if they make a habit of it; but, because they defile their lawful union with unlawful intercourse, it is very necessary that they efface the sin with frequent prayer. Therefore the skilful physician of the heavenly medicine, that is St. Paul, both taught the sound and showed the unsound a remedy, saying: "It is good for a man to be without a wife." And again he said: "It is good for men that each have his own wife, and each wife her husband, lest they commit fornication." He did both ; he both inspired with fear those who committed fornication, and gave permission to those who could not forego it, that those who could not stand, if they had to fall, might fall on the soft bed of marriage, not on the hard earth of fornication. And, again, he said to the weak: "Let the husband give his wife her due in their union, and in like manner the wife to her husband." But after he had given some fitting leave to the married to do their will, he said : "I do not say what I said before, commanding, but advising and permitting." He spoke very much as if it were a sin, when he said he would allow and permit it. The sin is the sooner healed because it is not unlawful ; but, although lawful, it is not to be committed too often. Which Lot signified for us very well in himself, when he fled from the burning city of Sodom, and came to Zoar, when he durst not hastily withdraw from the city up into the mountains. When he fled from the burning city of Sodom, he showed that we are to flee from the unlawful heat of our bodies. The height of the mountains signifies
from Criste，\＆ure yfelu geðyldelice forbier $\gamma$ ．Ac ðonne we onhyrigað Criste，\＆eac $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ónhyri［n］ge gefyllax， Xonne we lustlice sellað ołrum $^{\text {n }}$ ðæt $ð æ t$ us God selð，\＆gełyldelice forberað hiora yfelu．Đa gesin－ hiwan mon sceal manian，\＆eac gehwelcne mon，ðæt hie nố læs ne ne 5 gełencen hwæt ołre men him forberað \＆geðafiað，đonne hie geðenceað hwæt hi ołrum monnum forberað；forðæmðe he mæg micle $\begin{aligned} & \text { ie } \\ &\end{aligned}$
 ołrum monnum deð．Eac sint to manigenne ða gesinhiwan ðæt hi ge－ munen $\not$ Łæt hie for nanum ołrum Xingum ne bioł gesomnode，buton $^{\prime}$
 gif hie to oftrædlice \＆to ungemetlice hie gemengað on $\not$ æm hæmede， そæt hie ne bioð nó on ryhtum gesinscipe，gif hie łæt on gewunan hab－
 liefedan gemengnesse，him is micel nie（d） とearf Łæt hie mid oftrædlicum
 hefonlican læcedomes，そæt wæs sanctus Paulus，ægðer ge ða halan lærde
 butan wife．\＆eft he cwæ૪：Gôd bið mannum خæt ælc hæbbe his agen wif，\＆ælc wif hire ceorl，ðylæs hi on unryht hæmen．Ægðer he 20 dyde，ge he egesode $\succ_{a} \chi_{e}$ on unryht hæmdon，ge he liefde $\succ_{æ m} \succ_{e}$


 cwæð to ðæm untrumum ：Agife se wer his wife hire ryht on hira 25 gesinscipe，\＆swa same ðæt wif ðæm were．Ac æfterðæmðe he hwelce－ hwugu gerisenlice leafe dyde $\npreceq æ m$ gesinhiwon hira willan to frem－
 ac lærende \＆geðafigende．Đa he spræc gelicost $\not$ $_{\text {æm }}$ 久e hit hwelc－ hwugu syn wære，$\chi_{a}$ he cwæð ðæt he hit forgiefan wolde \＆gełafian．
 liefedo，ac ðeah hio aliefedu sie，ne sceal hi mon to ungemetlice begân． Đæt us getacnode Loth swiłe wel on him selfum，$X_{a}$ he fleah $x_{a}$ biernendan ceastre Sodoman，\＆com to Segor， $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ne dorste he nawuht }\end{aligned}$ hrædlice ut of ðære ceastre faran up in ða muntas．Midłæmðe he
 unliefedan bryne ures lichoman．Sio heanes łonne ðara munta ge－
the purity of continence. Those dwell, as it were, up in the mountains, who are yoked in marriage, and yet have no intercourse except when they wish to beget children. They stand up in the mountain, when the flesh seeks nothing from the other but progeny. Standing on the mountain is when the flesh is not carnally united to the other. But there are many who abstain from fornication, and yet do not associate with their own consorts as properly as they ought to do. Lot departed from Sodom to Zoar, and yet did not quickly ascend the mountains. So, when a man relinquishes the worst life, without however being able yet to attain to the best, nor preserve the continence of high union, it is like Zoar standing midway between the mountains and the marshes where Sodom was. Zoar saved Lot, when a fugitive. So does the Zoar of the most excellent life : those who flee to it it saves. But when the married associate with excessive incontinence, when they avoid the fall of sin, they can yet be saved very easily by forgiveness and prayer, as Lot found the little city, and protected himself therein against the fire. The life of the married, though its power of withstanding worldly desires is nothing very wonderful, can yet be free from the apprehension of any punishments. Therefore Lot said to the angel : "Here is a little city very near, wherein I can preserve my life. It is a little one, and yet I can live in it." $H e$ said it was very near, and yet enough to secure his preservation. So is the life of the married. It is not far separated from this world, nor yet at all alienated from eternal salvation ; because they are excused in their dealings with one another with frequent prayers for one another, as if they were shut up in a little city. Of which was very rightly spoken through the angel to Lot: "I will now receive thy prayer, and at thy request I will not destroy the city thou intcrcedest for." So is the life of the married. It is not condemmed before God, if prayers follow. About the same prayers St. Paul admonished, spaking thus: "Let neither of you deprive the other against his or her will without consent, when either of you wish to pray, but keep yourselves free for prayers." On the other hand, those who are not bound with
tacnað ða clænnesse łære forhæfinesse．Đa ðonne bioł swelce hi eardigen upp on $\begin{gathered} \\ \end{gathered}$ \＆Xeah ne bioð na gemengde buton Xonne hi wilniað bearn to gestrienanue．Đonne hie stondað up on đæm munte，ðonne đæt flæsc 5 nauht elles ne secð to Xæm oðrum buton tudor．Đæt is łæt mon stonde on Łæm munte ̌æt ðæt flæsc ne sie flæsclice to ðæm ołrum gefær＞nod．Ac monige bioð ðara そe hie gehealdað wið unryhthæmed， \＆swaðeah his agenra ryhthiwena ne brycł swa swa he mid ryhte sceolde．Loth for ût of Sodoman to Segor，\＆Xeah ne com he nauht 10 hraðe onuppan đæm muntum．Swa，ðonne 夭onne mon forlæt đæt wyrreste lif，\＆ne mæg خeah Xonne git cuman to ðæm betstan，ne｀a forhæfduesse gehealdan そæs hean gesinscipes，ðonne bið Łæt swa swa Segor stod on midwege betweox Xæm muntum \＆Xæm merscum $\mathrm{Ke}^{\mathrm{e}}$ Sodoma on wæs．Sio Segor gehælde Loth fleondue．Swa deð sio 15 Segor ૪æs medemestan lifes：૪a ૪e hire to befleoð hio gehealð．Ac ðonne ða gcsinhiwan hi gemengað ðurh ungemetlice unforhæfdnesse，

 swa swa Loth funde ða lytlan ceastre，\＆line Yærôn wið［とæt］fyr 20 gescilde．Đæt lif ðara gesinhiwena，Xeah hit ful wundorlic ne sie on mægenum weoruldwilnungum to wiłstondanne，hit mæg Xeah bion orsorglic ælcra wita．Forðæm cwæð Loth to đæm engle ：Her is an lytele burg swixe neah，そær ic mæg min feorh on generian．Hio is an lytel，\＆خeah ic mæg ðæron libban．He cwæð ૪æt hio wære swǐe 25 neah，\＆ðeah genoh fæst on his hælo．Swa is ðæt lif ðara gesin－ hiwena．Nis hit naht feor ascaden from $\begin{aligned} & \text { isse worulde，ne eac noht }\end{aligned}$ fremde さære ecan hælo，fořæm for Łære dæde Łe h［i］e doð betwuh him hi beot gefriðode mid oftrædlicum gebedum betw［u］h him，swelce hie sien on sumere lytelre byrig belocene．Be ðæm wæs swiðe ryhte 30 gecweden ‘urh 夭one engel to Lothe：Đinre bene ic wille nú onfön，\＆
 ðæt lif ટara ges’nhiwena．Ne bið hit nô fordemed beforan Gode，gif Łær gebedo æfter fylgeað．Ymb ła illcan gebedo sanctus Paulus manode，\＆łus cwæ૪：Ne fornime incer nóder ołer ofer will butan 35 ge｀afunge，$ૅ æ m ~ t i m u m ~ \chi e ~ h e ~ h i n e ~ w i l l e ~ g e b i d d a n, ~ a c ~ g e æ m t i g e a ð ~ i n c ~ t o ~$ gebedum．Ongean Łæt sint to manigenne ̌a $_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe ne beoð gebundne
matrimony are to be admonished to keep the heavenly commands the more rightly, the less troubled they are with the cares of this world, because no yoke of carnal union inclines them to this world, nor does lawful union oppress them. It is very necessary, therefore, for them not to be oppressed by the unlawful burden of these earthly cares, that the last day, when it comes, may find them so much the more ready, the more disengaged they are ; and that, when they are disengaged so as to be able to do better than others, and yet neglect it, they may not thereby merit a severer punishment than other men. They ought to hear what St. Paul said, when he exhorted men to the grace of serving God; yet he did not say so because he blamed marriage, but because he wished to expel from the minds of his subjects the cares which grow out of marriage. He said: "This I speak for your benefit, lest I catch you in any snare. I tell you what is most honourable for you to do, and how ye can most fully serve God with the least impediment." Because earthly troubles and cares grow out of marriage, the noble teacher of nations incited his subjects to a better life, lest they should be bound with earthly cares; because, when the servant of God lets himself be impeded by worldly cares, although he has avoided marriage, he has not escaped its burdens. The servants of God are also to be admonished not to think that they can associate with unmarried women without the injury of severe damuation, since Paul denounced the evil of fornication among so many accursed vices. He proclaimed what the sin is, when he said: "Neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor the inconstant, who cannot cease from their fornication, nor thieves, nor the avaricious, nor drunkards, nor swearers, nor robbers, shall possess the kingdom of God." And, again, he said : "Adulterers the Lord shall judge." Therefore they are to be admonished, if they cannot preserve salutary continence, and cannot endure the storms of temptation, to desire the harbour of wedlock ; for it is written that it is better to marry than to burn, because they can marry without sin, unless they had previously renounced it. But he who promises more good than he previously did, makes the lesser good unlawful
mid $\not$ ææm gesinscipe, $\chi_{a}$ sint to manienne $\begin{aligned} \text { æt } \\ \text { hie swa micle ryhtlecor }\end{aligned}$ ${ }^{\text {}}$ a hefonlican bebodo healden swa hie orsorgran biot xisses middangeardes ymbhogena, forłæm hie nan gespann さæs flæsclican gesinscipes ne gebiege $૪$ on خisse worulde, ne se aliefeda gesinscipe hi ne gehefegat.

 dæg, ðonne he cume, swa hi her æmtegran bio૪ ; \& conue hi geæmetgade bioł $\not$ ææt hie magon bet don ðonne oðre menn, \& hit swałeah agiemeleasiað, ðæt hie ðonne ðurh ðæt ne geearnigen wyrse wite ðonne 10 ołre menn. Ac hi scoldon gehira[n] hwæt Paulus cwæ૪, ไa ða he sume men manode to ðære giefe Godes Xiowdomes; ne cwæ૪ he Xeah
 wolde $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a sorga awegadrifan } \gamma_{i s s e s ~ m i d d a n g e a r d e s ~ o f ~ h i s ~ h i e r e m o n n a ~}^{\text {a }} \text { a }\end{aligned}$ mode ła $^{\text {}}$ e bioł aweaxene of $\nsucc æ m$ gesinscipe; he cwæ૪: Đis ic 15 cweðe for eowerre ไearfe, خylæs ic eow mid ænige grine gefoo. Ic eow secgge hwæt eow arwyrðlicost is to beganne, \& hu ge fullecost magon Gode Xiowian Xæt eow læst Xinga mierð. Forðæm of Xæm gesinscipe weaxað eorðlice ymbhogan \& sorga, forłæm se æðela đioda lareow his hieremen to betran life spon, خylæs hi mid eorðlicre sorge
 ðære woruldsorga befehð, ðeah (h)e ðonne hæbbe beflogen ðone gesinscipe, Xonne næfð he nó beflogen 「a byrðenne. Eac sint to manienne
 stranges domes hi gemengan mægen wið ða æmtegan wifmen, خa 25 Paulus Łæt yfel Łære forlegnesse swa manegum awiergdum leahtrum loh. He gecyðde hwelc sio scyld bir, $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ he cwæð: Naw̌er ne ða

 seras, ne ða druncenwillnan, ne ða wiergendan, ne ða reaferas Godes $^{\text {a }}$ 30 rice ne gesittað. \& eft he cwæ૪: Đæm wohhæmerum deme $\begin{gathered}\text { Dryhten. }\end{gathered}$ Fořæm hi sint to manigenne, gif hie $\Varangle_{a}$ halwendan forhæfdnesse gehabban ne mægen, \& ða scuras ðære costu[n]ga adreogan ne mægen, ðæt hie wilnigen ðære hyðe ðæs gesinscipes; forðæm hit is awriten
 35 synne he mæg gehiwian, gif he hit ær ne forhêt. Ac se Łe mare god

that he formerly did. It is written in the Gospel that no man is to put his hand on the plough and look back. Still less is he who makes a vow to think he is ever the nearer to the kingdom of heaven, if he retract his vow. Therefore he who pledges himself to the greater good, and then relinquishes it, and turns to the lesser, is manifestly overcome by looking back.
LII. That those who have tried the sins of the flesh are to be admonished in one way, in another those who know nothing of them.

In one way are to be admonished those who perceive and know the sins of their bodies, in another those who know them not. Those who have tried the sins of their bodies are to be admonished at least to dread the sea after the shipwreek, and to shun the perdition which follows their danger, when they know it ; that those who are mercifully preserved after committing sins, may not unwarily return to them and perish. Therefore it is said to the sinful soul, which will never cease from its sins: "Thou hast the countenance of an harlot, because thou hast no shame." Yet they are to be warned to be very careful, although they would not preserve their natural goodness entire, at least to mend it when broken. They have need to consider how great a multitude there is of the faithfui, who both preserve themselves pure, and also turn others from their errors. But what will they say when the others stand in complete safety, while they will not reform after their evil deeds? Or what will they say, when the others bring both themselves, and others with their example, to the kingdom of heaven ; while they, when God gives them a respite, and bears with their evil, will not even bring themselves? They are to be admonished to remember what good, that they could have done, they formerly relinquished, that they may at least avoid the present evil. Concerning which the Lord spoke to the wounded minds through the prophet Ezekiel, as if he spoke to the Jews, and reminded them of the sins they had committed, because he wished them to be ashamed of being afterwards impure in the other world ; he said that they committed whorcdom in Egypt in their youth: "There they

そæt he ær dyde．Hit is awrieten on đæm godspelle $\begin{array}{r}\text { æot nan mon ne } \\ \text { nat }\end{array}$ scyle dôn his hond to $\begin{array}{rrr} \\ \text { sylg，\＆hawian underbæc．Ne خon ma se }\end{array}$ خe gehat gehæt，ne wene he ðæt he sie a $\Varangle y$ near hefonrice，gif he hine from went đæm gehatum．Forðæm se ðe hine selfne maran godes 5 behæt，\＆łonne forlæt $\gamma_{a}$ maran gód，\＆went hine to $\not$ ææm læssum， $\chi_{0}$ ne bið hit swutol $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { he bið fromlociende oferswiðed．}\end{array}$

LII．$£ æ$ ætte on o orre wisan sint to manienne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ gefandod habbað Cara flæsclicra synna，on ołre wisan $\succ_{a} \succ_{e} \not \varliminf_{æ s}$ noht ne cunnon．

 hiera lichoman synna onfunden habbað，đæet hie huru æfter ̌æm scip－ gebroce him $\succ_{\mathrm{a}}$ sæં ondræden \＆ไæt forlor hira frecennesse，ðonne hie
 15 gehealdne æfter hiora ðurhtogenum synnum，ðætte hi eft unwærlice to ne gecierren，\＆ðonne swelten．Fořæm is gecweden to ðære syngien－ dan sawle，૪e næfre hire synna geswican nyle：Đu hæfst forlegisse andwlitan，for $\not æ m$ そe no ne sceamað．Đeah hie sint to manienne đæt hie geornlice giemen，そeah hi 犭æt gôd hira gecynde gehal nolden ge－ 20 ［h］ealdan，̌æt hi hit huru tobrocen gebeten．Him is خearf ðæt hie geðencen hu micel menigu ðæra getreowfulra bið，đe ægðer ge hi selfe clæne gehealdað，ge eac ołre of hira gedwolan ahwierfað．Ac hwæt
 nyllað æfter yfelre dæde gecierran？Ołðe hwæt cwěað hi，ðonne đa 25 ołre briengað ægðer ge hie selfe ge eac oðre mid hiora bisenum to hefonrice ；ond hie，ðonne him God ðone first alef $\gamma$ ，\＆him hira yfel forbierð，nyllað furðum hie selfe briengan？Ac hie sint to manienne ðæt hie gemunen hwæt hi gódes ær forleton łæs ૪e hi don meahton， ðæt hi huru $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { onne } \\ \text { forbugen } \nsucc æ t ~ a n d w e a r d e ~ y f e l . ~ B e ~ ð æ m ~ c w æ ð ~\end{gathered}$ 30 Dryhten to $\not$ ææm gewundedum modum ðurh Ezechiel ðone witgan， swelce he to Iudeum spræce，\＆he ðara gedonena scylda eft gemynd－
 worulde wæren ûnclæne，he cwæð さæt hi hi forlægen on Egiptum on lira giogułe：hi wæron ไær forlegene，\＆Łær wæron gehnescode hiera
committed whoredom, there were their breasts softened, and the paps of their virginity bruised." Their breasts are softened in Egypt, when they submit to the shameful lusts of this world with the desire of their hearts. And again, the paps of their virginity are bruised in Egypt, when their natural sense is at first entire and uninjured for a time, until it is polluted by the oppression and laceration of unrighteous desires. Therefore those who have tried their sins are to be admonished to understand vigilantly with how great good will the Lord spreads out the lap of his mercy for those who turn to him after their sins. As he spoke through the prophet Jeremiah ; he said: "If any woman leaves her husband and takes to her another, thinkest thou that he will ever care for her again, or that she will ever be able to return to him as pure as she was before ? Behold, thou hast committed whoredom with many a lover, and yet I say: 'Return to me,' said the Lord." He pronounced the most righteous judgment on the whorish and repudiated woman, and yet proclaimed to us, that if we came to him after the fall of our sins, his mercy would be ready for us, not his justice. From these words we can understand that, since he spares us with such great mercy, that when we sin, and even after the sin will not turn to him, we sin again with great folly, since God's mercy towards the foolish is so great, that he is never tired of calling them to him, after they have sinned. Of the mercy after the invitation is very well spoken through the prophet Isaiah ; it is said to the perverse man: "Thine eyes shall see him who coinmands thee, and thine ears shall hear behind thee." All mankind, when first created in Paradise, were inclined to God; and he admonished them in his presence, and granted them freedom of action, and directed them what they were to do with it, and what not to do. Then men still remained inclined to him. But they turned their lacks to him, when they presumptuously despised his commands. And yet, although they despised him, he did not despise or forsake them : that he showed when he gave them a law, and invited them home with it, and often sent his angels to entice us home to him, and himself appeared in this mortal flesh. When he did all this, he stood turned towards us, and called after us, though we had turned away from him ; and although he was
breost，\＆forbrocene $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}}$ dela hiora mægdenhades．On Egiptum beoð hira breost gehnescod，$\chi_{o n n e}$ hi $\Varangle_{a}$ scandlican lustas $\chi_{i s s e s}$ middan－ geardes mid hira modes willan underhnigað．Ond eft on Egiptum bioł forbrocene ða wæstmas ðæra dela，ðoune đæt gecyndelice gewitt 5 ［ærest］sume hwile bið on him selfun anwalg untosliten，ołðæet hit bið gewemmed midłæm૪e hit cnyssað［on］unryhta wilnunga，\＆hit tote－ rað．Forłæm sint to manienne $_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe hiera synna onfunden habbał， ðætte hie mid wacore mode ongieten æfter hira misdædum mid hu miclum godum willan Dryhten tobræt łone greadan his mildheort－ 10 nesse ongen $\nless a$ そe to him gecierrał．Swa swa he Curh Ieremias Xone witgan cwæ૪，he cwæ૪ ：Gif hwelc wif forlæt hiere ceorl，\＆nimð hire oðerne，wenestu recce he hire æfre ma，oťe mæg hio æfre eft cuman to him swa clænu swa hio ær wæs？Hwæt ðu خonne eart fo（r）legen
 15 Dryhten．He gereahte ðone ryhtestan dôm be đæm forlegenan \＆خæm aworpnan wife，\＆swaðeah us gecyðde，gif we æfter Łæm hryre urra scylda to him gecierdon，તiet us wære gearo his miltsung，næs そæt ryht．Of خissum wordum we magon onenawan，nu he us sparał mid
 20 æfter đære scylde to him gecierran，ટæt we そonne eft mid micle dysige syngiað，nu sio Godes miltsung is swa micul ofer $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { dysegan，} \text { そot }\end{aligned}$
 god habbał．Be ðære miltsunga æfter Łære laðunga is swiðe wel


 hi ærest gesceapene wæron on neorxna wonge ；\＆he $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { a }\end{aligned}$ hie manode andwearde，\＆him forgeaf Xæt hie moston stondan on frioum anwalde， \＆him getæhte hwæt hi on łæm don sceolden，hwæt ne scolden．Đa 30 giet stodon men to him gewende．Ac $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ hie wendon hiera bæc to him， $\succ_{a}$ hi ofermodgiende his gebod forhogdon．\＆xeah，ðeah hi hinc ofer－
 him sealde $\mathfrak{x}$ ，\＆hi mid 犭ære ham gelǎode，\＆oft sende his englas us ham to spananne to him，\＆on $\begin{aligned} \text { issum deadlican flæsce he hine selfne }\end{aligned}$
 æfter us，Xeah we from him gewende wæren ；\＆Xeah he oferhogod
despised, he invited us back to his favour. But as we have now said this of all men, so each man can apply it to himself individually, because every man who understands what he commands and forbids, stands, as it were, before him, before he sins. He still stands before him, when he does not despise him, but for fear of him refrains from sinning. But when he relinquishes his goodness and innocence, and chooses and commits unrighteousness, he turns his back to him. But yet God still follows him, and calls after him, when he admonishes him, after the $\sin$ is committed, and entices him to turn to him. He will not see the sins, and calls the departing one, and spreads out the lap of his merey and consolation to those who return to him. We hear behind us the voice of the admonisher, when we turn to him, when he calls us even after we have sinned, and calls after us, although before we would not attend to his instruction. We ought to feel ashamed, when he calls after us, if we will not fear his justice, because we despise him with the more injustice and folly, the less he scorns still to entice us to him, after we have despised him. Those, on the contrary, who have not yet tried carnal sins, are to be admonished to dread the destructive fall so much the more than others the higher they stand than the others. They are to be warned to know that when they stand in their place more darts and arrows of their enemies assail them. Therefore they perceive that they are being attacked so much the more, the weaker they see themselves to be in their bodies. But if they withstand them, they will perceive so much the greater victory in themselves, the more difficult it was for them to hold out. But they are to be admonished to desire the rewards unceasingly, and to despise and suffer cheerfully the labour of the temptations they endure, and believe without doubt in the rewards; because, if they think of the endless joys which are to come to them after their labours, the temporary labours will seem to be the lighter. They should hear what is said through the prophet Isaiah ; he said: "This says the Lord: 'To the eunuchs who observe my day of rest, and choose what I will, and preserve my friendship, I will give in my house, and within my walls, a dwelling and a better name than to my other sons or daughters.'" What else signify the eunuchs
wære，he us eft lałude to his hyldo．Ac swa swa we nu $\Varangle \mathrm{i}[\mathrm{s}]$ reahton be eallum monnum，swa hit mæg æghwele mon be him anum gěen－ cean，forłænıそe æghwelc mon $\not$ Xe his bebod \＆his forbod ongiet，he bið swelce he b［ef］oran him stonde，ærさæmæe he gesyngige．Đonne giet 5 he stent befora $[\mathrm{n}]$ him，Xonne he hine ne forlyget，ac for his ege for－ bier久 Xat he ne syngał．Ac Xonne he forlæt his godnesse \＆his un－ sceałfulnesse，\＆gecist unryhtwisnesse，\＆Xa gefreme $\begin{aligned} & \text { ，Xonne went he }\end{aligned}$ his hryeg to him．Ac ðeah ðomne giet him fylgð God，\＆him æfter cliopað，Xonne he hine monað æfter đære gedonan scylde，\＆hine 10 spæn૪ łæt he to him gecierre．Đa scylda he nyle gesion，\＆૪one fromweardan he ciegex，\＆Xone greadan his arfæstnesse \＆his frofre he
 Xæs maniendes stemne，Xonne we to him gecierrað，Xonne Xonne he us ciege $\begin{gathered}\text { huru } æ f t e r ~ u r u m ~ s c y l d u m, ~ ત o n n e ~ h e ~ u s ~ æ f t e r ~ c l i o p a ð, ~ ð e a h ~ w e ~\end{gathered}$ 15 ær nolden æfter his lare．Hit is cŷn ટ̌et we ure scomigen，Xonne he us æfter cliopar，gif we us nyllað óndrædan his ryhtwisnesse，forłæm we hine mid swa micle maran unryhte \＆dysige oferhycgeað swa he læs forhogað さæt he us đonne giet to him spane，sið̌an we hiene ofer－
 20 fandod habbał flæsclicra scylda，そætte hie swa micle swǐor 犭one spild Xæs hryres him ondræden そome đa ołre swa hi ufor stondað そonne đa ołre．Hi sint to manienne Xæt hi witen［swa］swa hie on hira stede gestondar swa him mare gescot \＆ma flana hiera feonda to cymð．For－ ðæm he ongit swa micle swiður him on feohtan swa he hine selfne 25 untrumran gefred on his lichoman．Ac gif he خonne 〕æm wiðstent， Xonne ongit he swa micle maran sige ôn him selfum swa he unie $\begin{aligned} \text { wir－}\end{aligned}$ stod．Ac hie sint to manienne ไæt hie unablinnendlice Xara leana wilnigen，\＆lustlice ðæt geswinc đæra costunga そe hi łrowiað hi for－ sion \＆gěolien，\＆buton tweon hi geliefen łara leana；fořæm，gif 30 hie geخenceað ðara gesælð̌a ไe him ungeendode æfter Łæm geswincum
 sculon．Hi sculon gehieran hwæt ðurh Essaias ðone witgan gecweden is，he cwæ૪：Đis cwið Dryhten：Đa afyrdan，｀a ðe behealdað minne ræstedæg，\＆geceosað ðæet ic wille，\＆mimne freondscipe gehealðað，ic 35 him selle ôn minum huse，\＆binnan minum wealle，wie \＆betcran noman Xonne orrum minum sunum orxe dohtrum．Hwaet elles getac－
but those who subdue the impulse of carnal lust, and cut away from themselves unrighteous works? To these men is proclaimed what a place they are to have before our father, as we said above, they are to have eternal mansions in the Father's house in preference to his own children. They shall hear what was said through St. John ; he said: "These are they who have not been defiled with women, and have preserved their virginity; they shall follow the Lamb, wherever it goes." They shall sing the song which none else can sing but the 144,000 . They will have to sing a special song with the Lamb in eternity before all believers, and rejoice in the purity of their flesh, so that the other elect may be able to hear the song, although they cannot sing it so, and that for the love they bear to them, and the honour they see that they have, they may rejoice, and be as glad as they, although they have not merited the same honour. Let those who have not tried carnal sins also hear what Truth of itself said about purity; it said: "All men do not receive this doctrine." With these words he showed that it is the highest excellence, because he said that all did not receive it, and also said that it was difficult to keep, and also showed how carefully they were to keep it when they had received it. Those who have not tried the sins of the body are also to be admonished to know that virginity is higher than marriage, and yet they are to be instructed not to exalt themselves above the others ; but to rank the life of virginity above the others, and themselves below, and yet not to relinquish the life which they know to be better, and to beware of vainly exalting themselves. They are to be admonished to understand that the life of the married surpasses the life of virginity, when they undertake to practise both continence and humility over and above their vows, and the others do not even practise the duties of their own order. Of which was very well spoken through the prophet Isaiah to the city called Sidon, which stood by the sea; then said the prophet: "The sea tells thee to be ashamed of thyself, Sidon." As if the city were ashamed at the sea's voice. So this earthly life often fluctuates like the sea, and yet is often very estimable, and the other is very contemptible, which ought to be firmer and stronger. Often
 lustes, \& of him selfum aceorfað unryhtlico weorc? Đæm monnum is gecy̌ed hwelce stowe hi moton habban beforan urum fæder, swa swa we ær cwædon, Łæt hie sceolden habban ece eardungstowe on ðæs 5 fæder huse fur $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { or } \\ \text { ð onne } \\ \text { his ægnu bearn. Hi sculon gehieran hwæt }\end{aligned}$
 mid wifum ne beor besmitene, \& hira mæge૪had habbał gehealdenne ; ða folgiað ૪æm lambe, swa hwær swa hit fær૪. Đa singað خone sang ðe nan mon elles singan ne mæg, buton đæt hun(d)teontig \& feowertig 10 \& feower ठusendo. Đæm is sundorlic sang to singanne mid $\npreceq m$ lambe on ecnesse beforan eallum geleaffullum, \& to blissianne for hira
 ðeah Xe hine swa singan ne mægen, \& for đæm lufum ðe hi to him
 15 gen, \& emnswiłe [him] blissigen, ðeah hie đa geearnunga næbben ðæt
 habbał đara flæsclicana scylda hwæt sio Soðfæsðnes ðurh hie selfe

 20 ææt hine ealle ne gefengen, \& eac sæde さæt he uniexe wære to gehealdenne, \& eac cy $\begin{gathered}\text { de } \\ \text { hu wrrlice hi hine healdan scolden, خonne hie hine }\end{gathered}$ underfangen hæfden. Eac sint to manienne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ ungefandod habbað
 gesinscipe, \& swaðeah hi sint to læranne ðæt hi hi ne ahebben ofer ̌a $^{\text {a }}$ 25 oðre ; ac læten ðæt lif ૪æs mægðhades beforan $\not$ ðæm oðrum, \& hine
 \& behealde hine selfne łæt he hine ne ahebbe ôn idelnesse. Hi sint to manienne ðæt hi ongieten ̌ætte oft gebyreð ðætte ̌æt lif ̌ara gesinhiwena oferstigð ðæt lif đæs mægðhades, ไonne hi underfo૪ ægðer 30 ge forhæfdnesse ge eałmoduesse furðor $\begin{gathered}\text { © }\end{gathered}$ ne begáð fuř̌um hira ægne endebyrdnesse. Be ðæm wæs swiðe wel
 stod bi ðære sæ; ðæ cwæ૪ se witga: Đios sæ cwið ðæt ðu đin sca-

 bið oft swiłe acorenlic, \& łæt ołer swiłe aworpenlic, `ætte fæstre bion
many return to God after committing the sins of the flesh, and then establish themselves so much the more firmly in good works the more sinful they perceive themselves to be. And often those who have preserved their bodies in purity, the less they perceive in themselves of what they need repent, think the more that the purity of their life will suffice them, when their minds are not inflamed with any admonition of repentance. Therefore the life which after its sins is inflamed with repentance, becomes often dearer to God than that which is pure and innocent from sloth and luxury. About which the voice of the Judge, that is Christ, spoke of Mary the harlot: "Very many sins are forgiven her, because she repented greatly." And again, he said: "There will be greater joy in heaven bccause of one who repents than over ninety-nine righteous men who need not repentance." We shall be able to understand it the more clearly and quickly by our own habits, if we will consider the judgment of our own mind. We know that we love the field which was formerly choked with thorns, and after the thorns are cut down, and the field ploughed, yields good fruit, more than that which stands in open land, and is barren or bears worthless or empty corn. Those who have not tried the sins of the flesh are also to be admonished not to think that because of their purity they are before the higher orders, for they do not know whether they are behind those who are of less rank, while they think that they ought to be before them ; because in the judgment of the righteous Judge merit annuls rank and distinction. For who is there, who is wise and experienced enough to distinguish such things, who does not know that in the class of gems the carbuncle is more precious than the jacinth? And yet the colour of the blue jacinth is better than that of the pale carbuncle ; because that which its rank and nature denies to the jacinth, the beauty of its brightness supplies, and, on the other hand, the carbuncle, although exalted by its nature and rank, is degraded by its colour. So there are among mankind many of better order and rank, who are worse, and of worse order and rank, better ; so that often a man of lay order and garb surpasses those of monastic order by the goodness of his works and the righteonsness of his life, while
scolde \& trumlicre. Oft weorða૪ monige æfter ðæs lichoman scylde to Gode gecerred, \& hi łonne swa micle fæs×licor gestaðoliað on godum weorcum swa hi hi selfe synnigran ongietað. \& oft $\succ_{a}$, $\chi_{a} \succ_{e}$ on clænnesse hiora lichoman gehealdenne habbax, swa swa hi lesse ongietad
 łæt him genog sie ôn hira lifes clænnesse, đonne hira mod ne beoð ónhæt mid nanre manunge đære hreowsunga. Đonon wyrł oft Gode leofre $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { lif } & æ t t e ~ æ f t e r ~ h i s ~ s y n n u m ~ o ̂ n æ l e d ~ b i ð ~ m i d ~ h r e o w s u n g a ~\end{array}$ ðonne ðæt clæne \& ðæt ûnsceaðfulle for slæw̌e \& for orsorgnesse. Be 10 ðæm cwæ૪ ðæs Deman stemn, ðæt is Christ, be Marian ðære forlegisse: Hire sint forgifena swiðe manega synna, fořæmðe hio swiðe hreowsade. Ond ef[t] he cwæð : Mara gefea wyrð on hefonum for anum hreowsiendum ðonne ofer nigon \& hundnigontig ryhtwisra đæra ðe him nan خearf ne bið hreowsunga. Đæt we magon swutolor on15 gietan \& hræ̌or bi ûrum agnum gewunan, gif we willał ongietan ðone dom ures agnes modes. Hwæt, we witon ðæt we ma lufia Xone æcer ไe ær wæs mid ðornum aswogen, \& æfterðæm̌e ða ðornas beoð aheawene, \& se æker bið ônered, bring $\gamma$ godne wæs $\begin{aligned} & \text { m ma we lufia } \Varangle \text { خone }\end{aligned}$ ðonne ðone ðe stent on clænum lande, \& bið unwæsðmbære oððe un-
 dod habbar rissa flæsclicena scylda, そæt hie ne wenen for hira clænnesse ðæt hie sien beforan $\nsucc æ m$ hirrum hadum, for $\begin{array}{r}\text { m } \\ \text { ðe } \\ \text { hi nyton } \\ \text { ðeah hi }\end{array}$ sin behindan $\not$ æm $\nless$ l læssan hades biǒ, \& hie wenað ðæt hie beforan bion scylen; for $æ æ m ð$ o on $\nsucc æ m$ dome $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ryhtwisan Deman ônwent }\end{array}$
 sie, \& to ðæm gleaw sie ðæt he swelces hwæt tocnawan cunne, đætte nyte ðætte ôn gimma gecynde carbunculus bið dio[r]ra 夭onne iacinctus ? \& \& swaðeah ðæt bleoh ðæs welhæwnan iacintes bið betera ðonne Xæs blacan carbuncules ; for ðæm ðæs ðe sio endebyrdnes \& ðæt gecynd 30 forwiernð $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { iacinte, se wlite his beorhtnesse hit eft geiec } \gamma, \text { \& eft, }\end{array}$
 his blioh hine gescent. Swa bið on خisse menniscan gecynde manige on beteran hade \& on beteran endebyrdnesse wyrsan, \& [on] wyrsan hade \& on wyrsan endebyrdnesse beteran; swa ðætte [oft] on 35 læwedum hade \& on læwedum girelan mid godum weorcum \& mid

the others, who are of higher order, by not striving to follow morality and a meritorious life, degrade and defile their order.
LIII. That those who bewail the sins they have committed are to be admonished in one way, in another those who bewail those they have meditated.
Those who bewail the sins they have committed are to be admonished in one way, in another those who bewail those that they have meditated. Those are to be admonished who bewail the sins they have committed, to wash away the evil they have committed with perfect repentance, lest they be too tightly bound with the sins they have committed, and then delay too long unbinding themselves with repentance. Of which is written in the seventy-ninth Psalm: "God gave us to drink very moderately with tears," that every man's heart should be so much the oftener moistened by the tears of repentance, the oftener he remembered that it had been dried by God with his sins. They are also to be admonished to bring the sins they have committed indefatigably before their mind's eye, and when they have seen them, to prevent them being seen at the severe examination. Of which David spoke in the Psalms: "Turn, Lord, thine eyes from my sins." And a little before he said: "My misdeeds are always before me." As if he had said: "I pray thee not to look at my sins, because I myself look at them always." Of which the Lord also spoke through the prophet Isaiah : "I will not remember thy sins, but do thou remember them." Therefore they are to be admonished to think of each sin that they can remember, because when they repent of each one they repent of all. Of which is very well spoken through Jeremiah the prophet, when he recounted all the misdeeds of the Jews; he said: "Mine eyes let out the divisions of the waters." We let the divided waters out of our eyes, when we separately repent of separate sins: because we cannot always repent of all at once with equal contrition, but sometimes one, sometimes another, is painfully remembered ; and when they are moved by the one, they are purified of them all. They are also to be admonished to believe and confidently trust that they will have forgiveness for their intended repentance, lest they be too excessively
 gian，＞onne gewania૪ hie ðone had \＆gewemmar．

LIII．Đætte on o $\succ_{r e}$ wisan sint to manianne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e} \succ_{a}$ geworhtan ［synna］wepað，ôn ołre ða そe ða geðohtan wepað．

5 On oðre wisan sint to manienne $ð$ a $\not \subset e$ hiera geworhtan synna wepa $\gamma$ ，
 worhtan wepað，ðætte hie $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { gedonan } \\ \text { yfelu mid fullfremedre hreow－}\end{aligned}$
 scyldum，\＆Xonne to lange forelden ไæt hi hi ne anbinden mid ðære 10 hreowsunge．Be ðæm is awriten on ðæm nigon \＆hundsiofantigo $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { an }\end{aligned}$ sealme：God us drencte swǐe gemetlice mid tearum，swa خætte æg－ hwelces mannes môd swa micle oftor wære geðwæned mid hreowsunge tearum swa swa he gemunde łæt hit oftor wære adrugod from Gode on his synnum．Hi sint［eac］to manienne $\chi_{æ}$ t hi una $\Varangle_{\text {rotenlice }} \chi_{a}$ 15 gedonan synna gelæden beforan hira modes eagan，\＆Xonne hi hi gese－ wene hæbben，gedôn さæt hie ne ðyrfen bion gesewene æt $\not$ ææm nearwan dome．Be そæm cwæð Dauid on psalmum ：Ahwyrf，Dryhten，Xin eagan from minum synnum．\＆lytle ær he cwæ૪：Mine misdæda bioł simle beforan me．Swelce he cwæde：Ic ðe bidde $\begin{array}{r}\text { æ } \\ \text { ðu nô ne }\end{array}$ 20 locige on mine synna，for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { re }\end{aligned}$ ic self him ealneg on locige．Be $\searrow æ m$ eac cwæð Dryhten ðurh Essaias ðone witgan ：Đinra synna ne weor｀e
 hi ælce synne geðencen ðæra ไe hi gemunan mægen，for｀æm，ไonne hie for anre hwelcre hreowsiað，ðonne hreowsiað hie for calle．Be ðæm
 Iudea misdæda ealle apinsode，he cwæð：Todælnessa 夭ara wætera ûtleton min eagan．Todældu wæteru we lætað ut of úrum eagum， ðonne we for synderlecum synnum synderleca hreowsunga do $\begin{aligned} & \text { ：for－}\end{aligned}$ ðæmðe hie ne magon ealneg calla on ane tid emusare hreowan，ac 30 hwilum ân，hwilum ołru cymð sarlice to gemynde ；\＆ðonne he wierð mid $\not æ$ ære anre onstyred，ðonne wyrð he eallra geclænsod．Eac hie sint to manienne ðæt hi gelefen \＆baldlice getruwien $\begin{array}{r}\text { æt } \\ \text { hi } \\ \text { ða forgiefnesse }\end{array}$ habbał for ¿ære hreowsunga［ C e hi wilniað，خylæs hi to ungemetlice
oppressed by repentance. The merciful Lord would never have caused or brought into his mind such repentance, if he wished afterwards to punish it with any severity. He proclaimed, very mercifully, that he would not judge them, when he made them to judge themselves before. Of which is written in the ninety-fourth Psalm ; it is said : "Let us anticipate his judgment with confession." And again, it was said through St. Paul: "When we judged ourselves, God judged us not." But they are to be admonished so to hope for forgiveness as not in their security unwarily to become torpid. Because often the cunning devil, after deceiving the mind with the sin, when he sees that it is sad because of its sinful fall, allures it with pernicious flattery. This was signified by the example of the treatment of Dinah, the daughter of Jacob. It is written, that Dinah went out to see the women of the land. Then Shechem, the son of Hamor the Hebrew, who was prince of the land, saw her, and she pleased him, and he took her by force, and lay with her. And his heart was attached to the maiden, and as she was very sad, he soothed and enticed her to him. Dinah goes out to see the foreign women, when any man's mind leaves its own occupations, and busies itself with other men's affairs, which do not in the least concern it, and so goes wandering out of its order and station. Shechem, the prince of the land, forced the maiden Dinah, when he found her wandering about thus. So does the devil with the mind that he finds unprofitably occupied: he seduces it. Shechem's heart was attached to Dinah. So the devil, when he sees the mind with the same unrighteous desires as himself, and afterwards sees that it repents of them, brings before the eyes of the mind vain security and hope, to divert it from its beneficial sadness. About which was very rightly said, that Shechem gently soothed Dinah, after grieving her. So does the devil with the mind: sometimes he makes it think that what it does is no sin; sometimes he makes it think that, although it is a sin, others sin more gravely ; sometimes it is over-confident of God's mercy; sometimes it thinks it has time enough to repent. And when the deceived mind thinks so, it is hindered from repenting inwardly, so that it obtains no good, because it repents of no evil.
sien gewægde mid łære hreowsu（n）ga］．Ne gedyde næfre se mild－ heorta Dryhten，ne an his mode ne gebrohte swelce hreowsunga，gif he hit æfter $\not$ æm auht swiðe wrecan wolde．He gecyðde swiðe mild－ heortlice đæt he him deman nolde，ða he gedyde đæt hi him selfe ær 5 beforan demdan．Be $\npreceq æ m$ is awriten on $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { m feower \＆hundnigonti－}\end{array}$ goðan psalme，hit is gecweden：Wuton cuman ær his dome andettende． \＆eft hit wæs gecweden 「urh sanctus Paulus：Đær we us selfum demden，ðonne ne dem（de）us nô God．\＆eft hi sint to manienne Xæt hi swa hopigen to さære forgiefnesse łæt hie for さære orsorgnesse to 10 ûnwærlice ne aslawien．For $\npreceq m$［oft］ðæt lytige dioful ðæt môd $\not$ ææt he mid ðære synne ascrenceð，ðonne he gesih $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æt hit unrot bið for }\end{array}$ ðæm hryre his synna，ðonne forspenð he hit mid Łære wolberendan oliccunge．Đæt wæs mid ðære biesene getacnod そe Dinan gedon wæs Iacobes dohtor．Hit is awriten đæt Dina wære út gangende sceawian 15 ðæs londes wif．Đa hi ða geseah Sihhem，Emmores sunu ðæs ebrei－ scan，se wæs aldormon łæs［londes］，\＆ða gelicode hio him，\＆he hi genam niedenga，\＆hire mid gehæmde．\＆Ła wæs his mod gehæft mid $\chi_{æ m}$ mædene，\＆he $\chi_{a}$ hi swa unrote oleccende to him geloccode． Đonne gæ૪ Dine ut sceawian ða elðiodigan wif，ðonne hwelces monnes 20 môd forlæt his ægne tilunga，\＆sorgał ymb ǒerra mouna wisan，đe ［him］nauht to ne limp $ð, \&$ fær૪ swa wandriende from his hade \＆of his endebyrdnesse．Sihhem，ไæs landes ealdorman，geniedde ðæt mæ－
 mod $\nprec æ t$ he gemet ôn unnyttum sorgum ：he hit awiert．Sihhemes
 mod ôn ðæm ilcan unryhtan willan ðe he bið，\＆ðonne eft gesihð ðæt
 orsorgnesse \＆tohopan，forłæm đæt he him oftio さære nyttwyrさan un－ rotnesse．Be $\npreceq æ m$ wæs swiðe ryhtlice gecweden $\npreceq x t t[e]$ Sihhem Dinan 30 liðelice olehte，$\searrow_{a} \succ_{a}$ he hi geunrotsod hæfde．Swa de ðæt dioful ðæm mode：hwilum he gedeð đæt him ૪ync૪ ðæt hit nan scyld ne sie
 Xæt oðre men hefiglicor syngien ；hwilum he fortruwał to swiðe Godes mildheortnesse ；hwilum him ðync૪ ðæt he hæbbe fierst genogne tô 35 hreowsianne．\＆ðonne ðæt beswicene mod ymb خyllic ðencð，૪omne wyrð hit amierred from そære incundan hreowe，to łon ðæt hit nan

But it is so much the more deceived with punishments, the more it now rejoices in its evil ways. On the other hand, those are to be admonished who bewail the sins they have meditated, to take careful heed in the secret recesses of their mind, whether the thought comes to them from sudden pleasure or desire and consent, of sinning so. For it often happens that the mind is tempted by fleshly lusts, and yct the mind resists the fleshly lusts, so that the same lusts which afflict him in the secret recesses of lis heart, will afflict him again, if he withstand them. Often also the mind is pursued by such deep temptation, and is so swallowed up by it, that it does not withstand it with any opposition, but submits, and yields to the temptation. When it is excited by pleasure, and has opportunity for such things, it quickly forsakes the works of the internal good desire, when it has opportunity for outer things. But when the just judgment of the severe Judge sees it, it is not regarded as a meditated, but as a committed sin. Because that which he had no opportunity of carrying out externally with works, he sanctioned internally, and accomplished with the work of complete inclination. We have learnt from our progenitor Adam, that from him it is our nature to accomplish all evil in three ways: through suggestion, delectation, and consent. Suggestion is caused by the devil ; delectatiou by the body ; consent is accomplished by the spirit. The waylayer, that is the devil, seduces him. Then the body subdues him by delectation, till the spirit is overcome by the delectation, so that it allows it. As the serpent in Paradise first suggested evil to Eve, and Eve allowed herself to be subdued by delectation, like the body. Then was Adam, like the spirit, overcome by the serpent's suggestion and Eve's delectation, so that he consented to the sin. By the suggestion we can recognise the sin, we are forced by the delectation, and bound by the consent. Those who repent the meditated sins, are to be admonished to consider carefully into which sins they have fallen, that they may be able to compensate it by repenting to the same degree as they perceive that they have simned in thought, lest they repent so little the meditated sins, till they accomplish them. And yet we must admonish and awe them without reducing them to despair, lest they
god ne gemete，forłæm him nan yfel ne hriwð．Ac hit wyrð swa micle swiður beswicen mid ðæm witum swa hit nu swiłur gefihð on
 wepað，ðæt hie geornlice giemen on łære degelnesse hira modes hwæ－
 funga，ไæt hie swa［ge］syngeden．For｀æm hit oft gebyreð Łæt Łæt mod wyrð gecostod of خæs flæsces lustfulnesse，\＆خeah خæt mod wið－ stent ðæs flæsces lustfulnesse，swa ðætte se ilca lust ðe hine geunrotsað on Xære degelnesse his modes hine eft gerotsat，gif he him wiðstent．
10 Oft eac folgað $\npreceq æ m$ mode swa grundleaslicu costung，\＆hit swa for－ swilgð，Łæt hit mid nanre wiłerweardnesse hire ne wiðstent，ac geða－ figende folgað خære costunga．Đonne hit bið onstyred mid خære lustbærnesse，\＆hit ónhagað to ðæm ðingum，૪onne forlæt hit hrædlice
 15 Ac ðonne そæt gesihð se ryhta dóm ðæs ðearlwisan Deman，Xonne ne bið hit nô swa swa geðoht syn，ac swa łurhtogen．Forłæmðe łæt ðætte hine ne onhagode utane for $ð$ to brenganne mid weorcun，innane he hit geðafode，\＆‘urhteah mid ły weorce ðæs fulfremedan willan． We habbar geascod from urum ærestan mæge Adame đæt us is from 20 him gecynde そæt we ælc yfel on ðrio wisan 犭urhtion ：łurh gespan，\＆ ૪urh lustfulnesse，\＆ðurh geðafunga．Đæt gespan bið łurh dioful． Sio lustbærnes bið ðurh ðone lichoman．Sio geðafung bið ðurhtogen ૪urh ૪one gæst．Se sætere，ðæt is se dioful，he hine spæn૪ on wóh．
 25 swa sio nædre on neorxna wonge，ærest hio lærde Euan ôn woh，\＆Eue hi hire underخiod（d）e mid lustfulnesse，swa swa lichoma．Đa wæs Adam，swa swa se gæst，ðurh gespan ðære næddran \＆Xurh Euan lust－ bærnesse oferswǐed，ðæt he geðafode $ð \mathrm{a}$ synne．On ðæm gespane we 30 magon ongietan $\succ a$ synne，\＆mid 犭ære lustfullnesse we bio૪ genedode，

 łæra synna hie befeollen，forłæm łæt hi mægen ongean đæt be Łæm ilcan gemete hreowsian ðe hi on hira［inn］gěonce ongieten łæt hie 35 gesyngoden，ðylæs him to hwôn hreowen đa geđohtan synna，o૪ðæt hi hi fulfremmen．\＆swaðeah we hi scylen manian \＆bregean łæt we hi
do worse. For often the merciful Lord very quickly washes away the meditated sins, when he does not allow them to carry them out. By which they may know that they are quickly forgiven, when he does not allow them to attain to the deed for which he would be obliged to judge them more severely. Of which it is very well said through the Psalmist in the thirty-first Psalm ; he said : "I will pronounce against myself my injustice, Lord, because thou hast forgiven the impiety of my heart." He had committed to God his sins, when he determined to confess to him. The prophet showed how easily the meditated sin can be forgiven, when he said that it was forgiven him as soon as he had resolved to confess it. He said that the same thing that he had determined to ask had been previously forgiven him. Since the sin was not carried out in practice, the repentance did not amount to suffering, but the meditated repentance wipes away the meditated sin from the mind.
LIV. That those who bewail the sins they have committed, and yet do not leave them off, are to be admonished in one way ; in another way those who do not repent of them, and yet leave them off.

Those who bewail the sins they have committed, and yet do not leave them off, are to be admonished in one way; in another way those who leave them off, and yet do not repent of them. Those who bewail the sins they have done, and yet do not leave them off, are to be admonished to consider carefully that they strive in vain to purify themselves with mourning, when they defile themselves again by living unrighteously; as if they washed themselves with repentance to be able to make themselves dirty again. Therefore it is written that the dog will eat what he formerly vomited, and the sow wallow in her mire after being washed. The dog does not hesitate to vomit up the food that is heavy on his chest, and afterwards devours the same thing that he vomited because it burdened him, and so the same thing burdens him that formerly relieved him. So it is with those who repent of the evils they have done, when they cast out with repentance the evil with which the stomach of their mind was perniciously and oppressively crammed, and then resume
on ormodnesse ne gebringen，ðylæs hi wyrs dôn．Forłæm oft se mild－ heortaa Dryhten swiðe hrædlice ða geðohtan synna awegaðwih૪，ไonne he him ne gełafað łæt hi hi ðurhtion moten．Be ðæm he mæg witan łæt hi bioł hrædlice forgiefene，ðonne he him ne geðafað łæt hi to 5 ðæm weorce becumen ðæt he him ðonne ðearlur deman scyle．Be ðæm
夭an psalme，he cwæð：Ic wille secgan ongean me selfne min ûnryht，
 hæfde befæst Gode his synna， ða he getiohchod $æ f d e ~ \searrow æ t ~ h e ~ h i m ~ o n-~_{\text {g }}$ 10 dettan sceolde．Đa cyðde se witga hu ieðelic bið to forgiefenne sio geðohte synn，ða he cwæð ðæt hio him sona forgiefen wære swa he geðoht hæfde ðæt he hi ondettan wolde．Đæt ilee さæt he getiohchod hæfde to biddanne he cwæð ટæt him wære ær forgiefen．Fořæm sio synn ne wearð łurhtogen mid nanum weorce，for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { me } \\ \text { nem seo }\end{aligned}$
 geðohtan synne of さæm mode．
 synna wepað，\＆swaðeah ne forlætað ；on ołre wisan ða Xe $^{\text {e }}$ hie nô ne hreowsiað，\＆hie đeah forlætax．

20 On ołre wisan sint to manienne ða ðe $^{\text {}}$ a gedonan synna wepał，\＆ hi ૪eah ne forlætað ；on oðre wisan $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ðe hi fo（r）lætað，\＆swaðeah nó ne hreowsiað．Đa sint to manienne ðe ða gedonan synna wepað，\＆hi swaðeah ne forlætað，đæt hi geornlice ongieten そæt hi ôn idelnesse tiliað hi selfe to clænsianne mid $\begin{aligned} & \text { y wope，} \\ & \text { onne } \\ & \text { hi eft mid uinryhte }\end{aligned}$ 25 life hie besmitað ；swelce hi hi mid ðære hreowsunga to ðæm aðwean ðæt hi hi mægen eft afylan．Be ðæm is awriten łæt se hund wille etan さæt he ær aspaw，\＆sio sugu hi wi［1］le sylian ón hire sole æfter－ ðæmðe hio aðwægen bið．Hwæt，se hund wile aspiwan ðone mete Xe hine hefegað on his breostum，\＆ðæt ilce ðæt he for hefignesse aspaw， 30 ðonne he hit eft frit，ðoune gehefegað hine ðæt ilce ̌æt hine æer gelihte．
 ondetnesse him of aweorpað ðætte hira modes innað yfele \＆hefiglice mid gefylled wæs，\＆ðonne eft fóð to さæm ilcan \＆fætað in æfter さære
and take in after repentance the same evil that they formerly threw up with confession and repentance. As the hog, although washed, if it returns to the mire, is dirtier than it was before, and the washing is of no avail, although it was washed before; so it is with him who bewails the sins he has done, and yet does not give them up: he subjects himself to severer guilt and punishment if he does not give them up. He despised the forgiveness he had obtained by repentance, by wallowing in the muddy water ; and that which he cleaned with repentance he soiled again before the eyes of God. Therefore it is again written in the books of Solomon ; it is written : "Repeat not thy words in thy prayer." Repeating one's words in one's prayer is making repentance again necessary after repentance. Therefore it is again said through the prophet Isaiah ; he said: "Wash, that ye may be clean." He does not care whether he is clean or not, who after repentance will not conduct himself virtuously and purely : they are always washing and are never clean, although they are always weeping; they are always weeping, and after their weeping they bring on themselves the necessity of weeping again. Therefore it is said by a certain sage: "If a man takes in his hand anything unclean, and then washes, and takes hold of the same thing again, what avails him his previous washing ?" He is washed clean who washes away his impurity with repentance. He handles the impurity again who sins after his repentance. Those who repent of the sins they have done, and yet do not give them up, are to be admonished to perceive that before the eyes of the hidden Judge they are like those men who behave very humbly before great men, and flatter them excessively as long as they are in their presence, and afterwards, when they are behind their backs, injure them and are as hostile to them as they can be. What is repenting of one's sins but showing God one's humility and fidelity and obedience? Or what is sinning after repentance but behaving with hostility and pride and disobedience to the same God whom he formerly flattered? As James the apostle said, saying: "Whoever desires too excessively to be a friend to this world is called God's enemy." Those who bewail the sins they have committed, without leaving them off, are
ondetnesse łæt ilce yfel Łæt hi ær mid ðæere ondetnesse \＆hreowsunga ［út］awurpun．Swa خet swin，خeah hit aðwægen sie，gif hit eft filð on そæt sol，ðonne bið hit fulre ðonne hit ær wæs，\＆ne forstent đæt $\begin{gathered}\text { weal }\end{gathered}$
 5 synna wepeð，\＆hi swaðeah ne forlæt：hefigran scylde \＆hefigran witum he hine under｀iet，gif he hit ne forlæt．For｀æm he forhoglè
 wealwode on đæm gedrofum wætere ；\＆ไæt ไæet he ær mid ไær［e］ hreowsunga geclænsode he beforan Godes eagum eft afŷlde．Be ðæm 10 is eft awriten on Salomonnes bocum，hit is awriten：Ne eftga $\chi_{u}$ रin word on Xinum gebede．Đæt is ðonne $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { t mon eftgige his word on }\end{array}$ his gebede，łæt mon æfter his hreowsunga gewyrce łæt he eft scyle


 lice \＆clænlice nyle gehealdan：ealne weg hi hi ̌uear，$_{\text {\＆}}$ ne beoł hie næfre clæne，ðeah hi ealneg wepen ；ealneg hi wepað，\＆æfter ðæm wope hi gewyrceað łæt hi moton eft wepan．Be ðæm is gecweden Xurh sumne wisne mon：Gif hwa ôn hand nimð hwæt únclænes，\＆
 feng，hwæt forstent him خonne خæt ærre $\chi_{w e a l}$ ？Se bið aðwægen of unclænnesse，se $\begin{aligned} & \text { e ałwihð mid hreowsunga his unclænnesse．Se Xonne }\end{aligned}$ gehrinð eft łære unclænuesse તe syngað æfter his hreowsunga．Ac ða sint to manienne $\chi_{e} \gamma_{a}$ gedonan scylda hreowsiað，\＆hi そeah ne forlæ－ 25 tað，Xæt hi ongieten ðæet lie beoð beforan ૪æs dieglan Deman eagum gelice $\begin{array}{rc} \\ \text { monnum } & \text { §e swiłe eaðmodlice onginnað beforon ricum }\end{array}$ monnum，\＆him swiðe oleceað $_{\mathrm{a}}$ hwile $\mathrm{xe}_{\mathrm{e}}$ hi him beforan beoð，\＆eft， xonne hi him beæftan beor，xonne dor hi him to demme \＆to fiond－ scipe そæt そæt hi magon．Hwæt is ðæt，Xæt mon hreowsige his 30 synna，buton ðæt mon eowað Gode his eałmodnesse \＆his treowa \＆his hiersumnesse？Oære hwæt is $\Varangle æ t$ ， Xæt mon æfter his hreow－ sunga syngige，buton $\nsucc æ t$ ，خæt he deð feondscipe \＆ofermetto \＆un－ hiersumnesse łæm ilcan Gode ̌e he ær olehte？Swa swa Iacobus sæde se apostol，ไa he cwæ૪：Swa hwa swa wille bion Xisse weorlde 35 freond to ungemetlice，he bið gehaten Godes feond．Đa łonne sint

to be admonished to understand that the repentance of wicked men is often very vain and useless, when they do not afterwards try either to do good or relinquish evil. So, also, the evil thoughts of the good are very often without sin, when they do not carry them out in works. So wondrously divine wisdom dispenses and measures according to the merits of each, that the wicked, amidst all their wickedness which they fully perpetrate, become presumptuous, and exalt themselves with pride for the little good that they meditate, and do not attempt to perform. And again, the good, when disturbed by bad thoughts, which they never wish to carry out, are humbled, and despise themselves because of the little evil, and lose not at all thereby their righteousness, but increase it with their humility. Therefore Balaam said, when he saw the encampments of the righteous Israelites : "Let my life become like that of these righteous men, and my end like theirs." But afterwards, when the compunction left him, he devised a very wicked counsel and plot against their life : he forgot his affection towards the Israelites because avarice seized on him. And St. Paul said that he saw a different labit and will in his members, opposed to the will of his spirit, which led him away captive in sinful habits. He said that it was in his members. Paul was tempted with the weakness of his spirit that he might perceive his sins, and be so much the stronger in good works. Why is the sinful man touched with repentance, and is none the more righteous ; or why is the righteous man tempted with evil thoughts, and yet is not defiled with the sin ; but because his good thoughts avail the sinful man nought, because he has not a ready and unhesitating desire of the deed, and again, his evil thoughts injure not the righteous man, because he has not a ready will to accomplish the perverse deed? On the other hand, those who relinquish their sins without atoning for or repenting of them, are to be admonished not to think that, if they relinquish their sins, God will condone them, if they do not in any way atone for or repent of them. So the scribe, unless he erases what he wrote before, even if he never write anything more, that which he wrote before is still unerased. So, also, he who speaks of or treats another injuriously, even if he ceases, and never does it again, what he did is done and unatoned for, unless he gives satisfaction. But he must moderate his insolent words with humble
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words, if he wishes to be reconciled with the other. Do you think, if a man owes another something, that he can secure himself by not receiving anything more from him, without paying back what he received before? So it is with God and us, when we sin against him: even if we never do so again, unless we somehow atone for and repent of what we have done, we are not sure, unless we are displeased with what formerly pleased us, that it will be forgiven us, although we do no evil now in this world. We must not, however, on that account be too confident, if we do no good, because we often entertain very many unlawful thoughts. How can he, therefore, be confident, who himself knows that he sins? What satisfaction does God get from our punishment, or honour from our sufferings, but that he wishes to heal the wounds of our sins with a potent remedy, if he cannot with a mild one, that repentance may seem bitter to us, as sins formerly seemed sweet to us? And as we formerly inclined to what is unlawful, until we fell, so we must forego what is lawful, until we arise ; that the mind which was occupied with unrighteous joy may be afterwards occupied with salutary and righteous repentance, so that the mind which was wounded by pride and insolence may be afterwards healed by humility and self-reproach. Therefore David said in the seventy-fourth Psalm: "I said to the unrighteous, 'behave not unrighteously,' and said to those who sinned, 'exalt not your horns too much.'" The sinful exalt their horns excessively, when they never try to humble themselves so as to see their unrighteousness and repent of it. Therefore it was again said in the fiftieth Psalm: "The Lord does not despise the afflicted and humbled hearts." Whoever, therefore, repents of his sins, and yet relinquishes them not, afflicts the heart, and yet scorns to humble it. And he who relinquishes his sins without repenting of them, humbles himself without afflicting his heart. Therefore St. Paul said in his Epistle to the Corinthians, saying: "Ye were formerly engaged in evil works, but ye are now purified and hallowed;" because every man is purer after repenting of his sins than he was before he sinned. Therefore St. Peter said, when he saw many men in despair because of their former evil deeds, saying: "Let each of you repent and be baptized." He first directed them to repent, and
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then to be baptized ; as if he had said : "First repent in your mind, and then, after a time, wash and purify yourselves with your tears." How can he feel secure from the punishment of his sins who now neglects to repent of them? How can he feel secure as to them, when the chief shepherd of the holy church has said that repentance should precede baptism? Baptism purifies a man from his sins, and is the most powerful means of extinguishing sins.
LV. That those who praise their unlawful deeds are to be admonished in one way; in another those who blame, and yet do them.

In one way are to be admonished those who praise what is unlawful, and also do it ; in another those who blame, and yet do it. Those who both do and praise it are to be admonished to understand that they often sin more with words than deeds; because, when they do evil they do it for themselves alone, but when they praise it they teach it to all who hear it praised. Therefore they are to be admonished, when they simulate the desire of suppressing evil in themselves, not to presume to sow it in other men, but be satisfied with having done it themselves. And again, they are to be admonished, although they are not afraid of being evil, at least to be ashamed of men knowing what they are. For the concealed evil is often transitory, because, when the mind is ashamed of men knowing it, it can easily happen at some time or other that he is also ashamed of doing it. The more unblushingly every unrighteous man displays his wickedness, the more freely he perpetrates it, and the more lawful it seems to him. And the more lawful it seems to him, the deeper he plunges into it. Therefore it is written in the books of Isaiah : "They proclaimed their sins as the men of Sodom did, and did not conceal them." If the men of Sodom had concealed their sins, they would not have sinned without fear, but they entirely relinquished the bridle of fear, when they cared not whether it was day or night when they sinned. Therefore it is again written in Genesis that the shouting of the men of Sorom and Gomorrah was
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 swałeah doð.
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 15 dædum ; forðæm, ไonne hi yfel doð, તonne doð hi ðæt him anum, ac ðonne hi hit heriað, ðonne lærað hi hit ælene ðara ðe hit gehierð
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greatly multiplied. He calls who sins secretly ; but he shouts who sins openly and recklessly. Those, on the other hand, who detest their sins without, however, relinquishing them, are to be admonished to consider cautiously how they are to clear themselves at the great judgment, when they will not clear themselves here by judging themselves, and punishing their own sins in themselves. What are they but hypocrites, when they blame what they will not relinquish? But they are to be admonished to understand that it is the secret judgment of God, that they are afterwards to have the more punishment the more accurately they know that they are doing wrong, and yet will not cease, or make any exertions to enable them to relinquish it. The more clearly they know it, the greater their ruin, because they received the light of understanding, and yet would not relinquish the darkness of the wicked deed, but neglected the understanding that God sent them as a help. The same understanding will afterwards give testimony of their wickedness at the judgment, and that which was sent them before to destroy their sins with will increase their punishments. But because they sin here without repenting of it, some punishment affects them here before the eternal punishments, that they may not be free or without care in the expectation of the greater punishment. But the more accurately they know here that they are doing evil, and yet will not relinquish it, the greater punishment they will receive there. Therefore Christ spoke in his Gospel : "The servant who knows his lord's will, and will not act according to his lord's will, is worthy of many punishments." Of the same also the Psalmist spoke in the fifty-fourth Psalm, saying : "They shall go living into hell." The living know and understand what is being done with them ; the dead cannot know anything. They are called dead, and desceud into hell, who do not know when they are doing wrong ; but those who know, and yet do it, go living and conscious into hell.
LVI. That those who are very quickly overcome by an unrighteous desire are to be admonished in one way ; in another way those who consider it a long time before, and finally perpetrate it.
Those who are overcome with sudden desire are to be admonished in one way; in another those who think over and consider it long, and
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 ðurhtioð．

On ołre wisan sint to manienne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ mid færlice luste lio ${ }^{\circ}$ ofer－

are so deceived. Those who are deceived by sudden desire are to be admonished to understand that every day they are in the fight of this present life. But the mind which cannot see the arrow before it is wounded, requires to hold always with its hand the shield of the fear of God, and always to dread the unseen missiles of the treacherous foe, and cautiously protect himself within the fortress of his mind against nocturnal assaults, because they will always fight in darkness. But the mind which is not always careful to defend itself, is open in some quarter to be wounded; because the cunning foe wounds the mind so much the more easily the more bare he perceives it to be of the breastplate of caution. Therefore those who are overcome by sudden desire, are to be warned not to be too solicitous about earthly considerations, because they cannot understand with how many vices they are wounded, while they think too much of earthly things. Therefore Solomon said, that the man who was wounded while asleep says: "They wounded me without my feeling it; they dragged me without my knowing it; and as soon as I awoke I again desired wine." The mind is so wounded while asleep as not to feel it, when it is too heedless of its own wants. The mind which is saturated with vices cannot perceive future evils, and does not even know of those it does. It is dragged without feeling it, when it runs into unlawful vices, but yet does not awake so as again to run in with repentance. But it desires to awake, that it may again get drunk; because, although the mind is asleep over good works, it is yet awake to the concerns of this world, and voluntarily wishes to be drunk. So it happens that the mind sleeps over what it ought to be awake to, and is awake to what it ought to sleep over. Of the sleep of the mind was written before in the same book of Solomon ; it was written, that it was as if the steersman slept in the middle of the sea, and lost the helm. The man is most like that steersman who takes no care of himself amidst the temptations of this world and the waves of vices. He does as the steersman who loses the helm, who relinquishes the care and zeal wherewith he ought to steer the soul and the body. He is very like the stecrsman who loses his helm on the sea, who relinquishes his provident sagacity among the troubles
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of this world. But if the steersman keeps his helm, he will come safely to land, sometimes, however, against wind and waves, sometimes with both. So does the mind, when it vigilantly steers the soul : some vices it passes over, some it sees beforehand, and passes round ; that is, so that it laboriously repairs the sins it has done, and those it has not done providently avoids, as the steersman does: some of the waves he passes by with the ship, some it passes over. Concerning which is again spoken in the books of Solomon which we call the Song of Songs, about the stoutest champions of the lofty regions; it is said: "Let each of you have his sword by his thigh, because of nocturnal alarms." A man has his sword by his thigh, when he subdues his unlawful lusts with the words of holy doctrine. The night signifies the dark blindness of our frailty. Since no one can see by night how near any danger is to him, it is necessary for him to have his sword by his hip. So holy men must always stand ready for the fight with the cunning foe, fearing invisible dangers. Therefore it is again said in the book of Solomon which we call the Song of Songs ; it is said: "Thy nose resembles the tower on Lebanon." That is, that we often smell with our noses what we are unable to see with our eyes. With the nose we distinguish and recognise good and bad odours. What is signified by the nose but the forethought and sagacity of good men? What signifies the high tower on Lebanon but the lofty forethought and the sagacity of good men? They must perceive temptations and attacks before they come, so that they may be able to stand the more firmly when they come. Since every army has the less strength when it comes, if its coming be known beforehand ; because it sees those ready whom it thought to find uuprepared. It would have made it easier for it, if it had previously expected them to be rather ready than unprepared, and then to have found them ready. Those, on the other hand, who meditate simning beforehand, and deliberate about it, before they carry it out, are to be admonished to understand with provident sagacity that they will excite a severer judgment on themselves by deliberating on the evil here before they do it, and they will be struck by so much the severer sentence of the judgment the more firmly they are bound with the chains of evil deliberation. They would be much the sooner washed
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 Forłæmそe nan mon ne mæg ou niht gesion hu neah him hwelc fre－ 15 cenes sie，him is łearf łæt he hæbbe his sweord be his hype．Swa sculon $\Varangle_{a}$ halgan weras simle stondan gearuwe to gefeohte wið ðæm
 Be $\begin{gathered} \\ \end{gathered}$ Canticorum，hit is gecweden ：Đin nosu is swelce se torr on Libano． 20 Đæt is $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { we oft gestincað mid úrum nosum } \Varangle æ t ~ w e ~ m i d ~ u r u m ~\end{aligned}$ eagum gesion ne magon．Mid خæm nosum we tosceadað \＆tocnawa $\gamma$ gode stencas \＆yfele．Hwæt is elles getaenod ðurh 「a nosu buton se $^{\text {sen }}$ forełone \＆sio gesceadwisnes ðara godena mamna？Hwæt elles getac－


 hit cume？Forłæmðe æle here hæf $૪$ ð læssan cræft 夭omne he cym $\gamma$ ，
 wende đæt he sceolde ungearwe findan．Him wære đonne ieخre đæt 30 he hira ær gearra wende ðonne he hira ungearra wende，\＆hi ðonne gearuwe mette．Ongean ðret sint to manienne ða ðe ær ðenceað to syngianne，\＆ymb̌eahtiað，ær hi hit ૪urhtion，そæt hi ôgiten mid foreðonclicre gesceadwisnesse ðæt hi ónælað 犭earlran dôm wið him mid ðæm ðæt hi her ymb ðæt yfel ðeahtiað，ær hi hit dôn，\＆hi beoð 35 mid swa micle strengran cwide $\begin{array}{r}\text { æs } \\ \text { domes } \\ \text { geslægene swa hi beor }\end{array}$ fæstor gebundne mid さæm bende خæs yflan geخeahtes．Micle hrædlicor
clean of their sins with repentance, if they sinned more from impulse and want of thought. But the oftener they deliberate about it, the later they will be so ; because, unless the mind had previously altogether despised the eternal retribution, it would not have designed committing such a sin. So great a difference there is between the meditated sin, which is designed long, and that which is suddenly perpetrated ; so that he who designs the sin, both sins, and also sometimes afterwards falls into despair. Therefore the Lord did not blame the two sins equally. This he showed, when he said through the prophet Jeremiah : "Beware of inflaming my anger with your designs, so that ye may not be able to quench it afterwards." He spoke again angrily on the same subject through the same prophet, saying : "I will punish you according to your designs." The Lord does not punish equally the designed sin and that which is suddenly perpetrated, because the designed $\sin$ is unlike all other sins. But that which is suddenly perpetrated sometimes arises from heedlessness, sometimes from weakness of mind or body. While that which is long designed always arises from evil thoughts. Therefore it was very rightly said through the Psalmist in the praise of the blessed man, in the first Psalm ; it is said that he did not sit in the pestilential chair. This was said because it is very usual for judges and men of rank to sit in chairs. He therefore sits in the chair of pestilence who deliberately does evil. And he also sits in the pestilential chair who can sagaciously distinguish good and evil, and yet prefers to do evil. He sits, as it were, in the chair of the perverse assembly, who exalts himself with the pride of such unrighteousness that he perpetrates every evil designedly. Because, as much as he who sits in the chair is higher in rank than those who stand round, so much is the sin which has been meditated long before, and then perpetrated, above that which has been suddenly thought of, and then perpetrated. Therefore, those who design for a long time are to be admonished to understand how much punishment they shall have more than the others, because now they will not be the companions of sinners, but their leaders.
hi wæren aðwægene ðæra scylda mid đære hreowsunga，gif hi færlecor

 gesirede hit nô łæt hit｀urhtuge swelce synne．Swa micel toscead is 5 betwuh ðære beðohtan synne，૪e mon longe ymbsireð，\＆ðære ૪e mon færlice ðurhtiehð；swa ðætte se se ðe ða synne gesireð，ægðer ge gesyngax，ge eac syððan hwilum on ormodnesse gewit．Forłæm ne tælde Dryhten $\Varangle a$ twa scylda gelice．Đæt he cy $(\gamma)$ de，$\chi_{a}$ he cwæð ðurh Ieremias $\not$ ðone witgan ：Healdað eow ðæt ge ne ônælen min ierre 10 mid eowrum searwum，ðæt ge hit ne mægen eft adwæscan．Be ðæm ilcan he cwæð eft ierrenga ૪urh 夭one ilcan witgan，he cwæð：Ic wrice on eow æfter eowrum gěeahte：Đy ne wricł Dryhten nú
 rede syn bið ungelic eallum ołrum synnum．Ac sio خe hrædlice 15 Xurhtogen bix，sio bið hwilum for giemeleste，hwilum for ûntrymnesse modes ołðe lichoman．Sio đonne ðe longe gesired bǐ，sio cymð symle of yflum inge夭once．Be ðæm wæs gecweden swiðe ryhte ðurh ૪one psalmscop on ðære heringe ðæs eadgan weres，\＆on Łæm forman psalme，hit is gecweden そæt he nô ne sæte on 犭æm wôlberendan setle．
 \＆rice menn ôn setelum sitten．Se ̌onne sit on woles setle，se خe yfel wyrcð mid geðeahte．\＆se sit eac ôn wôlberendum setle，se خe gesceadwislice tocnawan con gôd \＆yfel，\＆خeah geleornað خæt he de $\gamma$ そæt yfel．Se sit，swelce he sitte on ðæm stole ðæs forhwierfdan ge－
 ðætte he fullfremme hwelc yfel huru ðurh geðeaht．For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { m swa micle }\end{aligned}$
 stondað，swæ bið sio sĵn ðe longe ær geðoht bið，\＆Xonne ૪urhtogen， ofer $x_{a} \Varangle_{e}$ færlice gěoht bið，\＆ðonne ðurhtogen．Fořæm sint to 30 manianne $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ lange ymbsieria $\Varangle^{2} æ$ hi ongieten hu micel wite hi sculun habban beforan $\npreceq æ m$ ołrum，forðæmðe hi nû nyllað bion đara synnfullena geferan，ac willað bion hira caldormenn．
LVII. That those who repeatedly commit little sins are to be admonished in one way; in another way those who abstain from little sins, and yet sometimes fall into grievous ones.

In one way are to be admonished those who repeatedly sin, and yet on a small scale ; in another those who guard against the lesser sins, and yet sometimes fall into great sins. They are to be warned, when they $\sin$ often, although on a small scale, to think more of the number than the greatness of the sins they commit; and if they scorn to dread their little sins when they see them, let them at least dread them when they count them. Very minute are the drops of the thin rain, but yet they make a very great flood and strong stream when they are collected together, because there are very many of them. By very small degrees and very imperceptibly penetrates the water into the leaky ship, and yet it strives to effect the same as the roaring wave does in the rough sea, unless it is previously baled out. Very small are the wounds on the scabby body, and yet, if the scab overspreads it entirely, the effect is the same as that of the great wound in the breast. Therefore it is written in the books of Solomon, that he who will not shun his little sins will glide into greater. And if he neglects to repent of the little sins, and sometimes avoid them, he will sooner or later fall into greater ones. They who often sin on a small scale are to be admonished to understand accurately that we often sin worse in little than in great sins, because the sooner we perceive them the sooner we begin to amend them ; while we do not believe that the small ones are sins at all, but get used to them, and amend them with so much the greater difficulty. Whence it often happens that the mind begins by not fearing the little sins, and ends with not fearing the great ones. And it gets used to sins until it attains to a certain supremacy in sinning; and then, the more confidently it accustomed itself formerly to little sins, and the less it feared them, the less it shuns the great sins. Those, again, are to be admonished who abstain from small sins, and yet sometimes plunge into great ones, to accurately understand themselves, since their mind is very often elated, because they have so cautiously abstained from small sins. It is

LVII．Đætte on oðre wisan sint to monicune $\Varangle_{a} \succ_{e}$ oftrædlice lytla seylda wyreear ；on ołre wisan $\chi_{a}$ خe hi gehealdað wið ða lytlan scylda，\＆خeah hwiltidum afellað on hefegum scyldum．
 lytlum scyldum ；ôn ołre wisan $\succ_{a} \nsucc e$ hie wið $\mathrm{ca}_{a}$ læssan scylda be－ wareniað，\＆ðeah hwilum afeallað on micla scylda．Hi sint to manienne，ðonne hi oft syngiað，ðeah hi lytlum syngien，Xæt hie ma Xencen hu manega synna hi fremmał 夭onne hi ðencen hu micla hi hie 10 gefremmen ；\＆gif hi oferhyegen ðæt hi him ondræden hiora lytlan synna，ðonne ðonne hi hi gesioð，óndræden hi him huru，ðonne［hi］ hi hirimað．Swiðe lytle beoð $ð$ dropan $犭$ æs smalan renes，âc hi wyr－ ceað そeah swiðe micel flod \＆swǐe strongue stream，Xonne hi gegad－ drode beoð，forłonðe hira bið swiðe fela．Swiłe lytlum sicerað ðæt 15 wæter \＆swiðe degellice on ðæt hlece scip，\＆૪eah hit wilnað ðæs ilcan $\not$ ðe sio hlude $y$ de de on $\not$ ære hreon sæ，buton hit mon ær ut－
 gif sio hreof hit eal ofergæ૪，hio gedeð ðæt ilce $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { sio micle wund }\end{aligned}$ gedeð ôn $ð æ m$ breostum．Be $\Varangle æ m$ is awriten o（n）Salomonnes bocum 20 ðætte se，se $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { nylle onscunian his lytlan scylda，} \text { Øæt he wille gelisian }\end{array}$ to maran．\＆gif he agiemeleasał ðæt he ða lytlan hreowsige，\＆hwi－ lum forcierre，he wile afcallan on $\chi_{a}$ miclan，$\chi_{\text {eah }}$ hit late sie．Ac hi sint to manienne，ðonne hi oft syngiał lytlum，ðæt hie geornlice ôn－ gieten $\not$ Łæt mon oft wyrs gesyngað on ðæm lytlum synnum そomne ou 25 Xæm miclum，for 犭æm hi mon ongin久 swa micle ær betan swa hie mon ær ongiet；ac $\gamma_{a}$ lytlan mon ne gelef $\gamma$ to nanre synne，ac nim $\gamma$ hi to
 ðrat mod him ærest na ne ondræt $㐅_{a}$ lytlan scylda，ne，ðoune ón last， $x_{a}$ miclan．Ac hit gewunar to $x_{æ m}$ synnum or hit becym to sumum 30 caldordome ðara scylda，\＆ðonne swa micle læ̂s onscunu૪ Xa miclan swa hit ær orsorglicor gewunode to ðæm lytlum，\＆him $\chi_{a}$ læs ôndred．
 \＆hwilum ૪eah gedufað on ðæm miclum，hi sint to manicnne ðæt hi geornlice hi selfe óngieten，for｀æmðe hiora mod hił swǐe oft upa－ 35 hæfen，for ðæmðe hi hi habbað swa wærlice gehealden wix Xat lytlan
necessary for them not to commit more grievous sins because of their confidence, that is, that through their elation they are not to fall into the pit of pride, lest they be swallowed up in the vortex of their elation. For often, when they outwardly subdue the little sins, they are internally puffed up with vainglory. And when the mind is internally subdued by pride, it very soon overflows and runs out, and appears in open evil. Therefore, those who abstain from the little sins, and yet sometimes plunge into the great ones, are to be admonished to avoid falling from the position which they think they occupy externally, lest their elation at their small amount of righteousness prove their road to a great sin according to the judgment and requital of the severe Judge. When they think that they have abstained from little sins by their own strength, they are very rightly forsaken by God, until they fall into greater sins ; that, falling, they may understand that they did not stand of themselves, that the mind which was formerly elated at a small amount of good may be humbled to a great evil. They are to be admonished to understand that they often $\sin$ still worse by bewaring of little sins than they do with great ones; because they simulate innocence by bewaring of the little ones. But they do not exculpate themselves at all when they commit the great and open ones. It is an open evil in the sight of God to commit great sins, but it is the simulation of holiness in the sight of men to forego little and commit great sins. Therefore it was said in the Gospel, to the Pharisees that they blew away the fly and swallowed the camel. As if he openly said : "Ye avoid small evils, and devour the great." That is the same which was again blamed through the mouth of Truth, that is Christ ; he said: "Ye tithe your mint and dill and cummin, and leave untithed what is more precious than your other possessions, and the commandments which are still greater in the law ye do not observe : that is, justice and mercy and faith." We must not hear without attention how he mentioned the least valuable plants that grow in gardens, and yet very fragrant. By the fragrance are signified hypocrites, who aspire to the reputation of sanctity, and yet do little good; and although they do not do too much good, they desire great reputation, and to be praised far and wide.
scylda．Ac him is ðearf ðæt hi for ðære orsorgnesse ne ðurhtion hefigran scylda，$\not$ ææt is $\not 犭 æ t$ hi for hira upahæfennesse ne befeallen ôn ðone pytt ofermetta，ðylæs hi fo（r）swelge sio swelgend ðære upahæfe－ nesse．Forðæm oft，ðonne hi oferswiðað utane $ð_{a}$ lytlan scylda，hi 5 ałindað innane ôn idlum gilpe．\＆ðonne $\not$ ææt mod bið innan ofer－ swiðed mid ðæm ofermettum，hi toflowað swiðe hræðe út，\＆ætiewað
 scylda gehealdax，ond đeah hwilum gedufað on 犭æm miclum，hi sint
 10 wenað ðæt hi útan stonden，ðylæs sio ûpahæfenes for ðære lytlan rylit－ wisnesse him weorðe to wege micelre scylde æfter そæs خearlwisan Deman dome \＆edleane．Ac ðonne hi wenað ðæt hi of hira æguum mægene hi hæbben gehealden wi $\chi_{a}$ lytlan scylda，ðonne weor $\gamma_{a}$ ૪ hi swire ryhtlice forlætene from Gode，oððæit hi afeallað ôn mara［n］ 15 scylda；forさæm ðæt hi ôngiten feallende ðæt hie ær hiora agnes ðonces ne stodon，ðætte ðæt mod，૪e ær wæs úpahæfen for lytlum gôde，si ðonne gebiged to miclum yfele．Ac hi sint to manienne ðæt hie ôngieten ðæt hie oft gesyngiað giet wyrs ôn さæm ðæt［hi］hi ware－ niað wið ða lytlan scylda ðonne hi dôn on miclum scyldum ；for $\begin{array}{r}\text { m } \\ \text { re }\end{array}$ 20 hi licettað hie ûnscyldge，ðonne hi hi wæreniað wið ða lytlan．Ac hi
 open yfel beforan Gode $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \text { mon } & \text { a miclan } \\ \text { dô，ac } & \text { そæt is licettung }\end{array}$

 25 ðære fleogan，\＆forswulgun ðone olfend．Swelce he openlice cwæde ： Đa lytlan yflu ge fleoð，\＆$\Varangle_{a}$ miclan ge fretað．Đæt is $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \text { ilce } \nsucc æ t ~\end{array}$ eft wæs getæled ðurh ðone muð ðære Soðfæstnesse，ðæt is Crist，he cwæ૪：Ge tiogoðiað eowre mintan \＆eowerne dile \＆eowerne kymen， \＆lætað untiogoðad ðætte diorwyrðre is eowra ołra æhta，\＆ða bebodu 30 ðe giet maran sint on ðære æwe ge nô ne healdað ：ðæt is ryht dôm \＆mildheortnes \＆treowa．Nis us nawht recceleaslice to gehiranne

 willað habban $\begin{gathered}\text { one hlisan haligdomes，\＆don ̌eah lytel godes ；\＆}\end{gathered}$ 35 そeah hi for micel god ne dôn，hi wilniað さæet hi micel łyncen，\＆hi mon witherge．
LVIII. That those who do not begin any good are to be admonished in one way ; in another those who begin it, and do not accomplish it well.

In one way are to be admonished those who do not begin any good; in another those who begin it, and do not accomplish it. Those who do not begin any good are not to be taught what they are to do, before they are blamed for what they do; because they will not undertake the unknown that they hear, without hearing previously how mischievous that is which they know; because no man asks another to lift him, if he himself knows not that he has fallen; nor also does he who feels not the pain of his wound desire any physician. Therefore they are first to be told how vain and useless the objects of their affections are, and then they are to be told how useful that is that they have relinquished. First they must understand that they are to avoid what they love. Then they will afterwards be able to perceive that they are to love what they formerly avoided. They will undertake the unknown much better, if they perceive with certainty what there is in the known worthy of blame. They learn to seek the true good with full affection, when they perceive with full understanding that that was falsehood and vanity which they formerly held fast. Let them hear that this present good will soon be separated from all pleasure, and yet the sin which they perpetrate through the pleasure will permanently remain with punishment; and that they must now relinquish compulsorily that which they desire, and yet that which they now compulsorily relinquish will be reserved for their future punishment. Often, however, men are very salutarily terrified with those same objects which they formerly unprofitably loved; when the afflicted mind sees the deep perdition of its own fall, and he sees himself led astray into such danger and destruction, he steps back, and retires, and dreads what he formerly loved. He then learns to love what he formerly despised. Therefore it was said to Jeremiah the prophet, when sent to teach: "I have set thee to-day over kingdoms and nations, to pluck out, and destroy, and dissipate, and .seatter, and build, and plant them." Because, unless he had previously destroyed the wrong, he could not have profitably constructed the right; because, unless he had previously plucked out of the minds of his subjects

LVIII．Đrtte on ołre wisan sint to monianne ૪a ૪e nanwuht godes ne onginnał；ôn ołre wisan $\not$ ૪a łe hit onginnað，\＆wel ne geendiar．

On ołre wisan sint to manienne $\succ$ a $\nless e$ nan god ne onginnał；on
 onginnał，ne sint hi nô to lærenne hwæt hi don scylen，ær him si
 そæt hi gelirað，buton hi ær ongieten hu frecenlic ૪æt is ૪æt hi cun－ non；forðæm nan mon ne bitt ołerne ðæt he hine rære，gif he self 10 nat ðæt he afeallen bił；ne eac se，se his wunde sâr ne gefret，ne wilnað he nanes læces．Forðy him is ærest to cyðanne hu idel $\nprec$ æt is ðæt hi lufiað \＆hu unnytt，\＆siððan him is to reccanne hu nyttwyrðe ૪æt is ૪æt［hi］forlæten habbað．Arest hi sculon ôngietan 犭æt hi fleon đæt ૪æt hi lufiað．Đonne magon hi si૪ ieðelice óngietan łæt
 uncułe，gif hi on $\npreceq m$ cułan gewislice óngietał hwæt ðæron tæl－
 secanne，$\nless$ onne hi mid fulle gesceade ongietað $\nsupseteq t ~ \succ æ t ~ w æ s ~ l e a s ~ \& ~$ idelnes ૪æt hi ær heoldon．Ac gehiren hi 夭æt ૪as andwearda［n］gód 20 bio૪ from ælcre lustfulnesse swiðe hrædlice gewitende，\＆swałeah sio
 wuniende mid wræce；\＆nu ðæt 夭æt hie lyst hi sculon nede［n］ga
 gehealden．Oft 欠eah weorðað men swiłe halwendlice afærde mid 25 ðæm ilcan ૪ingum ૪e hi ær unnytlice lufedon：ðonne ૪æt geslægene
 swelcre frecennesse \＆ôn swelcne spild forlæd，đonne wiłtrem૪ he，\＆ onhupað，\＆ondræt him ðæt ૪æt he ær lufode．Leorna૪ ૪onne to lufianne ðæt he ær forhogde．Be ૪æm wæs gecweden to Teremie 30 ðæm witgan，૪a he wæs onsended to læranne，hit wæs gecweden ：Ic
 toweorpe \＆forspilde \＆tostence \＆getimbre \＆geplantige．Forさæm，
 ryht getimbran；for｀æm，buton he［of］his hieremonna mode 夭a
the thorns of vain affection, he would have unprofitably planted in them the words of holy instruction. Therefore also St. Peter pulled down what he reconstructed. That was when he would not teach the Jews anything about what they ought to do, but rebuked them for their former doings, speaking thus: "The Saviour of Nazareth, a man approved among you by virtues, and miracles, and prognostications, which God wrought through him among you, ye betrayed by the hands of unrighteous men, and deliberately slew and hung, as God knew in the beginning, and yet suffered it; the same God aroused him afterwards to release the captives in hell." Peter reproached them with the deed, because he wished them, after perceiving their cruelty, to become contrite and humble, that they might hear the holy doctrine with more advantage, after previously desiring to hear it. Then the Jews answered him, saying: "What can we do in the matter now, brother Peter?" Peter answered, saying: "First repent, and then be baptized." They would soon have despised the renovation and doctrine, had they not previously perceived the fall and destruction of their cruelty through his reproaches. Very similar was the case of St. Paul, when the light came to him from heaven and terrified him: he was not yet told what was right for him to do in future, but he was told of the wrong he had formerly done. When he was terrified, and fell on the ground, and asked, saying: "What art thou, Lord?" he was very soon answered with: "I am the Saviour of Nazareth, whom thou persecutest." And then said he : "Lord, what dost thou bid me do?" Then the Lord answered him : "Arise, and go to yonder city; they will tell thee there what to do." Behold now, how the Lord spoke from heaven to his persecutor, and rebuked him for the works he had formerly done. Before he told him how he was to conduct himself in future, the pride of Paul had fallen, and all the works it made him perform. And soon after the fall of his pride, he began to construct humility. When he desired instruction from God, the terrible persecutor fell, that the more heavily he fell the more strongly he might rise. So those who have done no good are first to be cast down by reproof from the hardness of their wickedness, that they may after a time be raised, and stand firm with rightcous works; for we cut down tall trees in the wood to erect them afterwards in the building,

Xornas Xære idlan lufan ær úpatuge, unnyt he plantode ôn hi $\begin{array}{r}\text { a word }\end{array}$ ðære halgan lare. Forðæm wæs eac ðætte sanctus Petrus ærest towearp Łæt $\not$ ææt he eft timbrede. Đæt wæs $\succ_{a} \succ_{a}$ he Iudeas nolde nan wuht læran hwæt hi don scolden, ac him cidde, for $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { re } \\ \text { hi ær }\end{aligned}$ 5 dydon, \& Xus cwæ૪: Đone Nazareniscan Hælend 〕æt wæs afandon wêr betwux eow on mægenum \& tacnum \& foretacnum, ૪â worhte Dryhten ðurh hine ôngemang eow, ðone ge beswicon ðurh unryhtwisra monna honda, \& ofslogon \& ahengon ðurl eower ge $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { eaht, swa swa hit }\end{gathered}$ God æt fruman wisse, \& ðeah ge Øafode ; $^{\text {; }}$ se ilca God hine eft aweahte
 dæd łe he walde, siððan hi ôngeaten hiora wælhreownesse, łæt hi
 halgan lare, ไe hi ær wilnodon Łæt hi gehiran mosten. Đa andwyrdon hin $\npreceq a$ Iudeas, \& cwædon: Hwæt magon we his nu don, brołur 15 Petrus? Petrus andswarode, \& cwæð: Doð ærest hreowsunga, \& weorðað siððan gefullwade. Đa edniwunge \& $ð a$ lare hi swiłe hredlice forsawen, ไær hi ær ne óngeten łone hryre \& Xa toworpennesse hira wælhreownesse ૪urh his ðreaunga. Gelicost đæm ðe sancte

 ac him wæs gesæd hwæt he ær to unryhte dyde. Ac ða he swa gebreged ôn eorðan feoll, \& ascode, \& cwæ૪: Hwæt eart ðu, Dryhten? $\chi_{a}$ wæs him swiðe hrałe geandwyrd: Ic eom se Nazarenisca Hælend, ૪e ૪u ehtst. \& ða cwæ૪ he: Dryhten, hwæt hætst $\mathrm{\gamma u}_{\mathrm{u}}$ me don? Đa 25 ondwyrde him Dryhten : Aris, \& gong to geonre byrg ; ðe mon sægð ðara hwæt $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { don scealt. Loca nu, hu Dryhten wæs sprecende oof }\end{array}$ hefonum to his ehtere, \& hine łreade for his ærgedonan weorcum. Ærðæmðe he him sæde hu he hine for`healdan sceolde, ða wæs
 $30 \&$ sona æfter Łæm hryre ไære upahæfennesse he ongan timbran eaðmodnesse. Đa $\npreceq a$ he wilnode lare æt Gode, $\succ_{a}$ gefeoll se egeslica ehtere to $\begin{aligned} & \text { on } \\ & \text { ææt } \\ & \text { he swa micle stranglicor arise swa he hefiglicor }\end{aligned}$ afeoll. Swa sint to teweorpanne ærest $\mathrm{\zeta}_{\mathrm{a}}$ خe nan god [ær] ne dydon ðurh ૪reaunge of ðære heardnesse hiora yfelnesse, to Łæm ðæt hi sien 35 eft on firste arærde \& gestonden on ryhtum weorce ; forさæm we ceorfał heah treowu on holte łæt we hi eft uparæren on łæm botle,
where we intend to build, although we caunot use them for the work too soon, because of their greeuness before they are dry. But the drier they are while on the ground, the more coufidently we can erect them. Those, on the other hand, who will never accomplish the good that they begin, are to be admonished to understand with careful consideration that when they relinquish of their own will and accord the good they had determined to do, they thereby cancel that which they formerly began ; because, if that waxes not which they determine to do, that wanes which they formerly did. Every man's mind in this world has the nature of a ship. The ship sometimes tries to ascend against the current, but it cannot, unless impelled by the rowers, but must float with the current; it camnot remain still, unless held by an anchor or impelled forward by oars; otherwise it goes with the current. So does the relinquishing of good works. It opposes the good that we formerly did, unless we continue to toil and do good works up to the end. Therefore it was said through the wise Solomon; he said: "He who voluntarily from sloth relinquishes his good works, is most like him who destroys them." Therefore also it was said through John the evangelist to the bishop of the church called Sardis; he said: "Be watchful, and amend the works in thee which are mortal: I have not found thy works perfect in the sight of my God." He said that he had not found his works, that he had formerly done, perfect in the sight of God, because he had not done those which he should have done. So also, if we do not repair that which is mortal in us through sins, that dies which formerly lived in us through good works. They are also to be admonished to consider carefully that it is worse than ever to begin to travel on the road of truth, if one intends afterwards to turn back and traverse the same ground. Because, if we do not desire the former evils we did, nothing hinders us from accomplishing the good works which we now do. They should hear the sentence which is written in the epistle of St. Peter ; it is written that it were better for them not to have known the road of truth, than to have turned back after knowing it. They should also hear the sentence written about them in the books called the Apocalypse; it is written that the angel said of the bishop to St. John: "Oh, would that he were either hot or cold. But since

ไær ไær we timbran willen，ไeah we hi for hrædlice to さæm weorce dôn ne mægen for grennesse，ærłæm૪e hi adrugien．Ac swa swa hi swiłur adrygde bioð on eorðan swa hi mon mæg orsorglicor upfegean． Ongean そæt sint to manienne ða ૪e næbre nyllað fulfremman ðæt gód 5 ðæt hi onginnað，ðæt hi ongieten mid wærlice ymbeðonce ðætte，ไonne


 wanað ðæt ðæt hi ær dydon．Ac ælces mannes môd on 犭ys middan－ 10 gearde hæf૪ scipes ૪eaw．Đæt scip wile hwilum stigan ôngean ðone stream，ac hit ne mæg，buton $\rtimes_{a}$ rowend hit teon，ac hit sceal fleotan mid $\gamma y$ streame：ne mæg hit nỏ stille gestondan，buton hit ankor gehæbbe，oððe mon mid rołrum ôngean tio ；elles hit gelent mid $\Varangle y$ streame．Swa deð sio forlætnes łæs godan weorces．Hio win久 wið 15 ða gôd $\nless e$ mon ær gedôn hæf $\gamma$ ，buton mon simle swi［n］cende \＆ wyrcende sie gôd weorc o૪ ende．Be ðæm wæs gecweden ðurh Salo－ mon ðone snotran，he cwæð：Se ðe his willum for his slæwðe forlætt his godan weore，he bið gelicost $\searrow æ m$ men そe his towirpð．Be そæm wæs eac gecweden ðurh Iohannis ðone godspellere to ðære ciricean
 deadlicu sint in $\mathrm{Ze}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ：ne mette ic nô $\mathrm{Xin}_{\mathrm{in}}$ weorc fullfremed beforan minum Gode．For $\begin{aligned} & \text { æm } \\ & \text { he } \text { cwæ } ૪ ~ \searrow æ t ~ h e ~ f o r ~ \\ & \text { y }\end{aligned}$ ne funde his weorc
 worhte，ða ðe he ða wyrcean sceolde．Swa eac，gif we ne gebetað ðæt 25 ôn us deadbæres is ðurh synna，ðonne acwilð そæt そætte ôn ûs ær lifde łurl gôd weorc．Eac hi sint to manienne $\not$ ææt hi geornlice gełencen そætte hit bił wyrse さæt mon â ônginne faran ôn sołfæstnesse weg，gif mon eft wile ôngeancierran，\＆ไæt ilce ôn faran．Forłæm，gif us ne lyst Łæra ærrena yfela ðe we ær worhton，ðonne ne gæl＞ús nan łing 30 te fullfremmanne $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { gadan weore } \text { ไe we nu wyrceał．Ac hi scoldon }\end{aligned}$
 hit is awriten Yæt him wære betere Łæt hi nô soðfæstnesse weg ne óngeaten，ðonne hi underbæc gecerden，sið̌an hi hine ongeaten．Eac hi sculon gehiran $\begin{gathered}\text { one } \\ \text { cwide } \\ \text { रe be him awriten } \\ \text { is on } \\ \text { ไæm bocum }\end{gathered}$ e 35 hatton Apocalipsin，hit is awriten $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { se } \\ \text { engel } \\ \text { cwæde be } \begin{array}{c}\text { } \\ \text { æm }\end{array} \text { biscepe }\end{array}$ to sancte Iohanne：Eala，wære he aułer，ołte hat，orte ceal［d］．Ac
he is neither hot nor cold, but lukewarm, although I swallow him, I shall vomit him out of my mouth." He is warm, and not lukewarm, who zealously begins good, and also completes it. But he is cold who does not begin any good. And as that which is cold begins to be lukewarm before it becomes quite warm, so also that which is warm becomes lukewarm before it is altogether cold. So also he who relinquishes the coldness of unbelief, and becomes of lukewarm faith, and will not overcome his lukewarmness, and get warm till he boils. Without doult, he who continues too long and fixedly in lukewarm faith, despairs of ever being able to boil, until he becomes completely cold; and although he believes when he is cold that he can be warm, he despairs when he is lukewarm, if he remains too long in that condition. So also he who still remains in sin has not relinquished the faith and hope of his conversion ; but he who, after his conversion, remains too long lukewarm, has his hope diminished which he entertained when he was sinful. Therefore God requires every man to be either hot or cold, lest he be vomited up because of his lukewarmness. He who is cold thinks to become warm, and he who is warm boils with virtues, lest he be tepid from lukewarmness, and therefore be vomited up. Because all water is less sweet to drink after being warm, if it cools again, than it was before it ever began to be made lukewarm.
LIX. That in one way are to be admonished those who do evil secretly, and good openly; in another those who try to hide the good they do, and to a certain extent openly show that they wish men to think they are bad.

In one way are to be admonished those who do evil secretly, and good openly ; in another those who hide the good they do, and do not care what men think of them. Those are to be admonished who do evil secretly, and good openly, to consider how quickly earthly fame passes away, and how firmly divine fame lasts. They are to be admonished to fix the eyes of their mind on the end of things, and see how human glory departs very quickly, and how the sublime and eternal Judge knows all secret sins, and is always ready to requite them.
 ic hine wille eft útaspiwan of minum muðe．Se خonne bið wearm， nalles wlaco，$\gamma$ g gôd geornlice ôngin $\gamma, \&$ eac geendað．Ac se bið ceald Xe nan gĉd ne ônginð．Ac swa swa ðæt cealde ærest ônginð wlacian， 5 ær hit fulwearm weorðe，swa eac ðæt wearme wlacað，ær hit eallunga acealdige．Swa eac se $\gamma_{\mathrm{e}}$ forlæt $\begin{aligned} & \text { one cele ungetreownesse，\＆wyr } \delta ~\end{aligned}$ wlacra treowa，\＆nyle ðonne ðæt wlæce oferwinnan，\＆wearmian o૪ he wealle．Butan tweon，se خe to lange \＆to fæste wunað ón ðæm wlacum treowum，he geĉrtreow ð ðæt he æfre mæge ôn welme weorðan， 10 o૪ðæt he mid ealle acolað；\＆خeah he ær truwige，خonne he ceald bið， ðæt he mæge wearm weorðan，he geortriewð，ðonne he wlacu bið，gif he to longe on ðæm stent．Swa eac se ðe nu giet ôn synnum is，næf $\gamma$ he no forlæten ðone truwan \＆Xone tohopan his gehwearfnesse ；ac se，se ðe æfter his gehwerfnesse to lange wlæc bið，ðonne lytlað him 15 se tohopa ðe he hæfde，૪a he synful wæs．Forخæm wilnað God to ælcum men ไæt he sie oððe wearm ołðe ceald，ðylæs he for wlæcnesse sie útaspiwen．Fořæm se cealda そenč to wearmianne，\＆se wearma welð ón gôdum cræftum，ðylæs he sie wealg for wlæcnesse，\＆forðæm weoře utaspiwen．Fořæm ælc wæter bið $\begin{aligned} & \text { y unwerodre to drincanne，}\end{aligned}$ 20 æfterをæmðe hit wearm bið，gif hit eft acolað，તonne hit ær wære，ær hit mon ô ôngunne wleccan．

LIX．Đætte on ołre wisan sint to monianne $\succ_{a} \not{ }_{e}$ diegellice yfel doð，\＆gód openlice ；\＆on ołre wisan $ð a$ ðe willað helau
 ðæt hie willað そæt men wenen Łæt hi yfle beon．

On ołre wisan sint to manienne $\succ$ a $\nless$ yfel degellice doð，\＆gód
 hwæt him mon ymbe ræswe．Đa ðomne sint to manienne ða ૪e yfel degellice doð，\＆gôd openlice，そæt hi geðencen hu hrædlice se eorłlica 30 hlisa ofergǽ $\gamma, \&$ hu unanwendendlice se go［d］cunda 夭urhwunað．Hi sint to manienne ðæt hi ôn ðara ðinga ende hiora modes cagan afæst－
 Dema \＆se eca $\not$ ła deglan scylla ealla wât，\＆simle bið gearo to خæm

Secret evils have an eternal witness in the divine Judge. But the good they do publicly before men is almost, as it were, without testimony, because they have not eternal testimony. But they have eternal testimony of the evil they do secretly, when they withhold from men what they ought to say, and say what they ought to withhold. Of such men the Lord said, that they most resembled the sepulchres of dead men, which are often made very beautiful outside, and inside are very foully filled. So are they who show their goodness before•men, and hide their badness inside themselves: they are hypocrites, and would like to please before the eyes of men externally without good works internally. They are to be warned not to despise the good deeds they do, but expect a greater reward for themselves than they expect. They despise them too much, if they do not expect for them a greater reward than earthly praise, and are content therewith. They sell for too small a price that with which they could buy the kingdom of heaven : they sell it for the praise of men. Of which the Lord said in his Gospel, that that was their reward. By doing good publicly, and evil secretly, they signify that men are to shon that which they do secretly, and love that which they do publicly : by their example they live for others, and die themselves. Those, on the other hand, who do good secretly, and yet in some actions pretend to do evil publicly, and do not care what men say of them, are to be admonished not to set a bad example to others with their dissimulation, although they themselves endeavoured not to lead others astray or injure them with their dissimulation, lest it be seen that they love themselves more than their neighbours, as if they themselves drank wine, and gave the others poison. When they set a bad example publicly, and do good secretly, they do not help their neighbours at all with the latter proceeding, and injure them with the former. Because, whoever hides his good works from the desire of avoiding vain ostentation, does not lead any after him to good works, when he will not set the example to others that he properly ought to set. He plants, as it were, trees, and cuts off the roots. Therefore Christ said in his Gospel : "Do your good works before men, that they may honour your Father who is in heaven." But yet a different sentence from this one is written in the same
 dan Deman．Ac 夭a gód $\succ$ e hi openlice doł beforan monnum beo $\curlyvee$ fulneah swelce hi sien butan gewitnesse，for $\npreceq m$ hi næbbał ece gewit－ nesse．Ac hi habbał ece gewitnesse đara yfela そe hi diegellice doð， 5 ðonne hi he［o］lað from monnum ૪æt hi secggan scoldon，\＆secgað łæt hi he［o］lan scoldon．Be swelcum monnum cwæ $૪$ Dryhten $ð æ t$ hi wæren gelicost deadra manna byrgennum，$\chi_{a}$ bio $\gamma$ utan oft swiłe wlitige ge－ worhte，\＆biǒ innan swǐte fúle gefylde．Swa bioð $\succ_{a}$ đe hira gôd eowiað beforum monnum，\＆hira yfel helað oninnan him selfum：hi 10 licettað，\＆woldon lician for manna eagum utane buton gôdum weorcum innane．Ac hi sint to manienne $\succ_{\ngtr t}$ hi ne forsion $\succ_{a}$ gôd $\succ_{e}$ hi do $ð$ ，ac wenen him maran mede tó $\begin{gathered}\text { onne } \\ \text { hi wena } \\ \text { ．To swǐe hi hi forsioł，}\end{gathered}$ gif hi him maran mede to ne wenað ðonne eorðlices lofes，\＆him ðær genog ૪yncð．Hi sel（l）að wið to lytlum weorそe ðæt ðæt hi meahton 15 hefonrice mid geby［c］ggan ：sellað wið manna lofe．Be ૪æm cwæ૪ Dryhten ôn his godspelle đæt đæt wære hira mêd．Ac fořæm̌e hi そæt gôd openlice doð，\＆ไæt yfel diegellice，hi tâcniað mid đæm łæt men scylen ónscunian ̌æt đæt hie degellice doð，\＆lufian ðæt hi

 \＆swaðeah on sumum weorcum geliccetað đæt hi openlice yfel dôn，\＆ ne reccað hwæt men be him sprecen，hi sint to manienne $ð æ t$ hi mid
 teladon X $_{\text {æt }}$ hi mid $\searrow_{æ r e}$ licettunga ołre men ne dwellen ne him ne 25 derigen，ðylæs hit sie ongieten đæt hie lufigen hi selfe swǐur ðonne hiora niehstan，swelce hi hie selfe drencen mid wine，\＆łæm ołrum sellen attor．Ac ðonne hi $\succ_{a}$ yflan bisne openlice doð，\＆ðæt gôd degellice，ðonne ne helpał hi mid oðrum ðara nauht hira niehstum， mid ołrum hi him deriað．Forðæm swa hwa swa hilð his godan

 そe he mid ryhte eowian sceal．He deð swelce he plantige treowu，\＆
 eower godan weore beforan mannum，ไæt hi mægen weorðian cowerne
 ungelic cwide đissum，$\searrow_{æ t}$ is ไæt he cwæð ：Behealdað eow ðæt ge ne
books; he said: "Beware of doing your righteousness before men, lest they praise you." But what kind of works can they be, which in one place we are forbidden to do before men, while in another we are taught to conceal them that we may not be praised, and display them that God may be praised, and others may take the same example? When God forbade us to perform our righteousness before men, he showed us why he forbade it, when he said, "lest they praise you." And again, when he bade us do it before men, he said immediately afterwards, "that they may honour your Father who is in heaven." With these two sentences he showed us why we are to hide our good works, and why we are to proclaim them ; that every man, whatever good he wishes to do, may not do it merely to be praised, but rather for the sake of God. Therefore every good work is good, whether it be open or concealed. When a man does not seek his own glory thereby, but that of the lofty Father, although he does it openly, he conceals it by having the testimony of him whom he thinks to please that he did it for the sake of God, not for glory. But if it is done secretly, and he yet desires to be blamed, and afterwards praised on that account, though no man knows it, yet it is done before men, just as if it had been done with the cognizance of all those whose praise he desired in his mind. Therefore it is better, as we have said above, for every man to wipe away from the minds of others the unfavourable opinion of himself, as far as he can without sin, because, if he does not do so, by his example he makes all imbibe the sin who attribute to him any evil. Therefore it often happens that, when a man does not care how much evil is attributed to him, although he does not do any himself, he sins through those who follow his example. Therefore St. Paul said to his disciples, when he allowed some of them to partake of what he did not wish them all to partake of, lest the weak should imitate their example, and through that be disturbed by some temptation, which they would not afterwards be able to withstand ; therefore he said: "See now that this your privilege be not a temptation to others." And again he said on the same subject: "Then will thy brother perish on thine aecount, for whom Christ formerly suffered. So when ye sin against your brothers, and slay their weak intellects, ye sin against God." Of the same Moses
dón eowre ryhtwisnesse beforan monnum, خylæs hi eow herien. Ac
 hit befo[ran] mannum don, ôn ołerre lær૪ buton ðæt we hit forðæm helen, ไæt [us] mon ne herige, \& forðy yppen ðæt mon God herige, 5 \& ołre men ða ilcan bisne underfôn? Ac ðær đær us God forbead そæt we ure ryhtwisnesse beforan monnum dyden, he us gecyðde forhwy he hit forbead, ða he cwæð, ðylæs hi eow herigen. Ond eft ða he us het ðæt we hit beforan monnum dyden, ða cwæð he sona ðæræfter, to ðon $ð æ t ~ h i ~ w e o r ` i g e n ~ e o w e r u e ~ F æ d e r ~ \succ e ~ o ̂ n ~ h e f o n u m ~ i s . ~ O n ~ ð æ m ~$ 10 twæm wordum he us getacnode for hwelcum ðingum we sceolden ure gódan weorc helan, \& for hwelcum we hi sceolden cyðan ; for ðæm ðætte ælc mon, swa hwæt swa he for gode don wolde, ðæt he hit ne do for ðæm anum ðæt hine man herige, ac mâ for Gode. For ðæm Xingum bið ælc gôd weorc gód, sie swa open swa degle, swæðer hit 15 sie. Đonne se mon nô his ægenne gielp mid ne sec $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text {, ac } \nprec æ s ~ u p l i c a n ~\end{array}$
 ðæs gewitnesse ૪e he ðær cweman ૪encð ðæt he hit for Gode dyde,
 he wurde arasod, \& siððan forðy hered, そeah hit ðonne nan mon nyte, 20 swałeah hit bił beforan monnum gedôn, emne swelce hit sie on ealra ðara gewitnesse gedôn ðe he ôn his mode wilnode ðæt hit hereden. Forðæm hit is betere, swa swa we ær cwædon, ðætte æle mon adryge of ołerra monna mode خone wenan be him ælces yfeles, swa swa he butan synne fyrmest mæge, forخæm, gif he swa ne deð, خonne scenc $\gamma$
 For $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { hit gebyreð oft, ðonne hwa ne reč hu micles yfeles him mon }\end{aligned}$ to wene, ðeah he self nan yfcl ne dô, ðæt he ðeah gesyngað ðurh ða ðe be him bisniað. Be ðæm cwæð sanctus Paulus to his giongrum, $\mathrm{\chi}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ða he sumum liefde to خicgganne ðætte he nolde ðæt hi ealle ðigden, 30 ðylæs ða úntruman be him bisneden, \& ðurl $\nprec$ æt wurden astyrede mid ðæra costunga hwelcre ૪e hi eft wiðstondan ne mealton; forðæm he cwæ૪: Lociað nu ðæt ðios eowru leaf ne weorðe oðrum monnum tô biswice. Ond eft he cwæ૪ be ðæm ilcan : Đonne forwyrð
 35 ðoune ge gesyngiað wið eowre bruðer, \& ofsleał hira ûntruma[n] gewit, ðonne gesyngige ge wið God. Đæt ilce mænde Moyses, ða he
spoke, saying: "Speak not evil to the deaf man." And again, he said : "Trip not up the blind man." He speaks evil to the deaf man, who accuses him who is absent, knowing him to be innocent. And he trips up the blind man, who injures the simple man by doing good secretly, and pretending to do evil.
LX. How many a one is to be exhorted that his good deeds may not become evil deeds.

These then are the modes in which the shepherds of the mind and soul are to teach all men, that they may always have the salve ready which belongs to the wound that they perceive. For while it is very laborious to have to teach each one separately, it is still more difficult to teach them all together, for he must regulate the voice of instruction with such art, that he may find the remedy that belongs to each man, because the diseases of all men are not alike. And he must conform his conduct to his instruction, that he may himself pass safely through the vices of others, as a sharp sword divides the wound in two, and emerges with unturned edge. He must overawe the proud, without thereby frightening too much the humble; and teach the former humility, without increasing too much the fear of the latter ; cheer the humble, without omitting to coerce the proud; teach the slow the zeal of good works, without urging on overmueh the zealous ; and strive to moderate the exertions of the latter, without making the idle confident, lest they become torpid ; restrain the anger of the impatient, without making the soft assentator careless ; and yet strive to infuse warmth into him, without inflaming the angry ; teach the niggardly liberality, without making the liberal wasteful ; and again, teach the generous economy, without teaching the niggardly base avarice; and teach the licentious marriage, without making the continent licentious; and teach the continent continence, without teaching them to despise marriage; and try to soothe and praise the good, without soothing the bad ; and praise the greatest good, without despising the least good ; and again, praise those who do a little good, without letting them think they do enough.
cwæð ：Ne cwe $\begin{gathered}\text { ge nan lað } \Varangle æ m \text { deafan．\＆eft he cwæ૪：Ne screnc }\end{gathered}$

夭one ungesceadwisan mirð mid $\Varangle y$ łe he his gód degel（l）ice de $ð$ ， 5 \＆Xeah licet swelce he yfel do．

LX．Ymbe $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ ，hu mon monige scyndan scyle to $\begin{array}{r}\text { æm } \\ \text { łætte } \\ \text { his }\end{array}$ godan dæda ne weorłen to yflum dædum．
 men læran $\begin{array}{rrc} \\ \text { hi ealneg hæbben } & \searrow \text { a sealfe gearuwe } \chi_{e} \text { to } \nsucc æ r e ~ w u n d e ~\end{array}$
 mon ælcne mon scyle on sundrum læran，hit is خeah earfoðre ealle ætsomne to læranne，for $\searrow æ m>e$ he sceal gemetgian swa cræftelice his stemne ðætte he æghwelcum men finde ðone læcedom ðe him to ge－ byrge，forðæmðe ða mettrymnessa ne beoð ealra ma［n］na gelica．\＆ 15 huru đæt he self do swa swa he ołre lær૪，forðon ðæt he mæg（e）self gán orsorglice betwuxn oðerra monna unðeawas，swa swa scearp sweord ða wunde tosceat ón tu，\＆gæð gehalre ecgge forð．Ond ðæt he huru

 fære ；ond swa lære $\Varangle_{a}$ ołre ea夭metta，swa he $\begin{array}{r}\text { one ege to swiłe } \nsucc æ m ~\end{array}$ 20 oðrum ne geiece；ðæt［he］swa frefre ða eaðmodan，swa ða ofermodan ne weor | ren |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| unmidlode | ；ond swa lære $ð$ a slawan geornfulnesse gôdes | weorces，swa he $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { g georufullan to ungemetlice ne geswence ；ond swa }\end{array}$ tilige hira geswinc to gemetgianne，swa he $\succ_{a}$ idlan ne gedo orsorge，

 25 irsunga，swa he ðone hnescan ðafettere ôn recceleste ne gebrenge ；\＆ خeah swa tilige hi to onælenne，swa hi $\gamma_{a}$ hâtheortan ne forbærnen； ond swa eac $ð_{a}$ uncystgan cysta lære，swa he $\chi_{a}$ cystgan ôn merringe ne gebringe ；ond swa eft $\chi_{a}$ rummodan fæsthafolnesse læren，swa hi $\succ_{a}$ uncystegan on yfelre hneawnesse ne gebrengen ；ond swa læren $\chi_{a}$ 30 wifgalan gesinscipe，swa hi $\Varangle_{a}$ forhæbbendan ne gebrengen on umryht－ hæmde ；\＆swa $\searrow$ a forhæbbendan læren forhæfdnesse，swa hie ne forsion ðone gesinscipe ；ond swa wilnigen to oleccanne $\begin{aligned} \text { xmm godum，\＆hi to }\end{aligned}$ herianne，swa hi huru ne oleccen | æm |
| :---: |
| yflum ； |
| ond swa herien |
| Xet | mæste gód，swa hi ðæt læste ne forsion ；ond eft swa heric ða ðe lytel 35 gol doł，さæt hi ne wenen さæt hi genog don．

LXI. How a man is to be exhorted when suffering under many evil temptations.

It is also a very severe labour for the teacher to have to find in general instruction, when he teaches all the people together, the instruction which they all require ; because their vices are very different. And yet it is still more difficult to instruct singly, because many of them have all the vices from which all men are to be interdicted. Often also it happens that some are too immoderately glad because of some good fortune or agitation of the blood, and very soon afterwards, because of some misfortune, too immoderately sad. Therefore the teacher must be careful to restrain the sadness as far as he can, without increasing the immoderate joy; and again, bridle the joy which arises from prosperity, without allowing the sadness which arises from sudden dejection or flow of bad blood to increase too much. For often the sanguine are dispirited because of their immoderate precipitation, when anything they design opposes them, which they cannot so quickly accomplish as they would like. So also, the very sad are often dispirited with immoderate fear, and yet sometimes are impelled by rashness to attempt what they desire. Therefore the teacher must moderate the sudden fear, without allowing too immoderate boldness to grow, and yet repress the boldness of the sanguine, without allowing to grow in them the repression of fear, which arises from the flow of bad blood. What wonder is it if the physicians of the mind keep to this method of instruction, when the physicians of the body have such sagacity in their art? Sometimes excessive disease oppresses the body. Against such a disease a potent remedy would be required, if the sick body could endure it. Therefore the physician must be very careful to administer to the patient a remedy so strong as to expel the disease, and yet so mild that the weak frame may endure it, lest he expel from the body both the disease and life. But he assists the patient very wisely, when he simultaneously expels the disease without injuring the

LXI．Ymbe łæt hu mon ænne mon scyndan scyle，ðonnc he yfle
costunga monege ðrowar．
Đæt bið eac swiðe hefig broc đæm lareowe ðæt he scyle on gemænre lare，૪ær ૪ær he eall fole ætsomne lær૪，ða lare findan đe hi ealle 5 behofigen；forðæm hira unðeawas bioð swiðe ungelice．\＆Xeah bið
 hæbba $\gamma{ }_{a}$ un ðeawas ealle ðe mon eallum monnum forbeodan sceolde． Oft eac gebyre $૪$ ðætte sume bio久 to ungemetlice bliðe for sumum ge－ sælðum，oððe for ðæs blodes styringe，\＆eft swiðe hræðe for sumum 10 ungesæľum to ungemetlice unbliخe．Forðæm is to giemanne łæm lareowe łæt he swa swiłe stiere Łære unrotnesse ðæt he to swiłe ne geiece $\chi_{a}$ ungemetlican blisse；ond eft swa gemidlige $\chi_{a}$ blisse ðe of ðære orsorguesse cymð そæt sio unrotnes to swiðe ne weaxe ðe of ðære færlican gedrefednesse cymð，ołte of yfles blodes flownesse．
 tunga，ðonne him hwæthwugu wǐstent ðæt hi ne magon swa hrædlice forðbrengan łæat hi tiohh［i］að swa hi woldon．Swa eac ða swixe unrotan bioð oft gedrefde mid úngemetlice ege，\＆તeah hwilum bioð genedde mid sumre fortruwodnesse ðæt hi onginnað đæt đæt hi willað． 20 Swa ðonne sceal se magister gemetgian ðone færlican ege ðæt そær તeah ne weaxe to ungemetlico beldo，\＆swałeah swa $\Varangle$ rycce $ð a$ belde on そæm oferbliðum ૪æt ðær ðeah ne weaxe on him sio ôfłrycnes ðæs eges， ૪e cymð of ðæas yflan blodes flownesse．Hwelc wundor［is］そæt，૪eah ðæs modes læcas behealden ðas lare，ðonne ૪æs lichoman læcas hab－ 25 bał swelce gesceadwisnesse on hira cræfte？Ac hwilum ðeah of frycð ðone lichoman ungemetlicu mettrymnes．Ongean swelee met（t）rym－ nesse mon be خorfte stronges læcedomes，خær s［e］mettruma lichoma hine adreogan meahte．Forと̌æm is ðæm læce swiðe geornlice to gie－ manne ðæt he swa strangue læcedôm selle ðæm seocan，swa he mæge $30 \chi_{a}$ mettrymnesse mid geflieman，\＆eft swa liðne swa se tydra lichoma mæge astandan，夭ylæs he ægðer afierre of ðæm lichoman ge $\left.\begin{array}{rl} \\ \text { me（ } \\ t\end{array}\right)$－ trymnesse ge eac ðæt lif．Ac ðonne he deð $\searrow$ æm siocan swiðe gescead－ wisl［i］ene fultum，ðonne he afliemð æt anum cierre $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { mettrymnesse，}\end{aligned}$
body. Why cannot then much more the physician of the mind heal the diseases of the vices of many men with the same instruction, when the remedies of the mind are so much more various than those of the body? And yet the physicians of the body often simultaneously save the body and expel the disease.
> LXII. That sometimes it is better to leave the light sins alone, lest the graver ones be perpetrated.

Often also it happens that two vices assail the same man, one less, the other greater. Therefore the physician of the mind must first direct his attention to the one which he thinks likely to be the first to bring the man to perdition. Sometimes, however, when the attention is concentrated on the one, the other increases. Therefore the wise physician must first let the lesser one increase, and direct his attention to the greater; until the time comes when he can see to the other, unless he can attend to them both together. He does not accumulate vices thereby, but tends the wounded man whom he has to watch over, till he can completely cure him. Those who cannot relinquish gluttony are often overcome by fornication. Often also it happens, that he who dreads and abstains from both of them, falls into vainglory, because no one can relinquish either of those without the other increasing. Which, then, of the evils ought rather to be attended to, if not the most dangerous? Therefore it is better to let the vainglory increase for a time, until full attention can be given to the fornication. Therefore St. Paul said to his servant, when he saw that he would either continue to do evil, or desire praise for his goodness ; he said: "If thou desirest not to have cause to fear thy Lord, do good: then he will praise thee." Yet no man must do the good he does, merely that he may not have cause to fear his Lord ; or, again, for the desire of earthly praise. Therefore the noble teacher St. Paul, when he saw that he could not teach his servant both to relinquish evil and not to desire any praise therefor, allowed him the vainglory

 monna mid anre lare，ðonne swa micle manigfaldran bioð ðæs modes læcedomas ૪onne ૪æs lichoman？Ond そeah そæs lichoman læcas oft 5 æt anum cierre ægðer doð，ge Łæm lichoma［n］gebeorgax，ge eac $\not$ Ła mettry（m）nesse afliemax．

LXII．Đætte hwilum ða leohtan scylda bioð beteran to forlætenne， ðylæs $\npreceq a$ hefigran weorðen ૪urhtogene．

Oft eac gebyre૪ ðætte twegen unðeawas hreosað on ænne man，ołer 10 læss［a］，ǒer mara．Fořæm sceal đæs modes læce ær tilian خæs đe he wenð そæt Xone mon ær mæge gebrengan ôn færwyrde．Hwilum ðeah，ðær さær mon oðres tiolað，Łær weaxð se ǒer．Forłæm sceal se gesceadwisa læce lætan ær weaxan 犭one læssan，\＆tilian 犭æs maran ； o૪ðæt sio tid cume ðæt he ไæs ołres tilian mote，buton he begra
 tilað ðæs gewundedan werpe ðe he bewitan sceal，ơðææt he hine fullice gehælan mæge．Oft weor $\Varangle_{a}{ }^{\circ} \chi_{a}$ oferswiłde mid unrylithæmde $\succ_{a} \succ_{e}$ ne magon forlætan hira gifernesse．Oft eac gebyreð さæm ૪e him $æ g$ ðer ðissa ôndrædað，gif hi hi wið æǧer gehealdað，Łæt hi befeallað 20 on idelgielp，forðæmðe nan mon ne mæg naułer Xissa swa forlætan ðæٍ ðæt oðer ne weaxe．Hwæðres ðonne ðara yfela is betere ær to tilianne，buton swæðres swæðer frecenlicre is？Forðy is betere خæt mon læte sume hwile weaxan łæt idelgielp，oððæt mon fullice mæge getilian そæs unryhthæmdes．Fořæm cwæð sanctus Paulus to his 25 cnihte，ða he ongeat đæt he wolde ołer twega，o૪ðe ða giet yfel don， orðe mid his gode him wilnian lofes，ða cwæð he：Gif Łu wille そæt ðu ne ૪yrfe ðe ôndrædan ðinne Hlaford，do tela：夭onne hereð he ðe． Ne scyle Xeah nan mon for 犭æm anum Xingum dón Yæt łæt he to gôde deð，ðæt he ne ૪yrfe his hlaford ondrædan，ne eft for $\Varangle æ m$ anum 30 ðe he wilnige eorðlices lofes．Forðæm se æðela lareow sanctus Paulus，$\chi_{a}$ he ôngeat $\mathrm{X}_{\text {æt }}$ he æg૪er ne meahte his cniht gelæran ge
 ða liefde he him $\begin{gathered}\text { one giclp } \\ \text { to sumre } h w i l e, ~ \& ~ f o r b e a d ~ \\ \text { æot } \\ \text { yfel．Đa }\end{gathered}$
for a time, and forbade the evil. When he allowed the vainglory, he forbade him the evil, that he might more easily relinquish the one by having in the other what he desired.
LXIII. That weak minds are not to be taught too loftily.

The teacher is to know that he is by no means to impose on any man more than he can bear, lest the rope of his mind be overstretched till it breaks asunder. Therefore lofty doctrine is better concealed from many men, and preached to few. Therefore Truth, that is Christ, spoke of itself, saying : "Who, thinkest thou, is so faithful and prudent a steward, that God will set him over his household, that he may equitably apportion to them the wheat at the due time?" By the measuring of the wheat is signified measured words, lest more of them are poured into the shallow mind than it can hold, so that it overflows. Therefore St. Paul said: "I cannot speak to you as to spiritual, but as to carnal men ; since in your faith ye are still children, I must still give you milk to drink, not meat to eat." Therefore Moses hid the excessive brightness of his countenance before the people, when he came from his secret conversation with the Lord, because he did not yet wish to teach them the secrets of the holy law, nor could they yet understand them. Therefore it was also commanded, through Moses, that if any one dug a pit, and neglected to enclose it, and an ox or an ass fell into it, he was to pay for it. So also, if any one comes to the highest wisdom, and then does not conceal the secrets of divine wisdom from the foolish, he is accounted sinful, if he reduces either a pure or an impure man to despair. Therefore the Lord said to the blessed Job: "Who gave the cock wisdom?" That means that all holy teachers, who now teach in the darkness of this world, bear a resemblance to cocks, who crow in dark nights. The teacher cries like a cock at night, when he says: "Now it is time for us to awake from

 hine lyste．

LXIII．Đætte ða untruman mód mon ne scyle ellenga to healice 5 læran．
pæm lareowe is to wietanne đæt he huru nanum men mare ne beode ðonne he acuman mæge，ðylæs se râp his modes weorðe to swiðe aðened，or he forberste．Forðæm sio hea lar is betere manegum monnum to helanne，\＆feawum to secgganne．Be ðæm cwæð sio 10 Soðfeestnes Xurh hi selfe，ðæt is Crist，he cwæð：Hwa wenstu ðæt sie to $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \end{aligned}$ ofer his hired，to ðæm そæt he him to tide gemetlice gedæle ðone hwæte？Đurh $\mathrm{Xa}_{\mathrm{a}}$ gemetgunge łæs hwætes is getacnod gemetlico word，ðylæs hira mon mâ geote on łæt úndiope mod Xonne hit be－ 1s habban mæge，خæt hit خonne oferflowe．Be ðæm cwæð sanctus Paulus：Ic ne mæg nó to eow sprecan swa swa to gæstlicum，ac swa swa to flæsclicum ；forさæm ge sint giet cilderu ón eowrum geleafan， ðy ic sceal sellan eow giet mioloc drincan，nalles flæsc etan．Forðæm



 Moyses，gif hwa adulfe pytt，\＆خonne forgiemeleasode Łæt he hine betynde，\＆Yær ðonne befeolle ón oł̌e óxa oł̌e esol，ðæt he hine 25 scolde forgieldan．Swa eac swa hwa swa becymð to ไæm hiehstan wisdome，\＆夭onne ne forhilð ða diogolnesse ૪æs godeundan wisdomes ðæm dysegum，he bið scyldig geteald，gif he gebrengð aułer o૪ðe clænne ołðe ûnclænne ôn ormodnesse．Be Xæm cwæð Dryliten to ðæm eadgan Iobe：Hwa sealde kokke wisdom？Đæt getacnað ̌ætte 30 æghwelc ðæra halgena lareowa ðe nu lærað on Łære ðisternesse ૪isses middangeardes habbał onlicnesse ðæm kokkum，ðe on ðistrum nichtum crawað．Đonne græt se lareow swa swa kok ón niht，Xonne he cwið：Nu us is tima さæt we onwæcnen of slæpe．Ond eft，તonne
sleep." And again, when he says: "Awake, ye righteous, and sin no more." The habit of the cock is, that he sings much louder before than after dawn. But when day approaches, he sings more finely and delicately. So every wise teacher must preach open and clear doctrine to the dark minds, and not yet proclaim any secret and deep doctrine. But when he sees the dark minds of foolish men approaching somewhat to the light of truth, he must display to them more secret and deeper doctrine out of the holy books.
LXIV. Of the teacher's works and words.

It is now necessary that among other remarks we revert, out of love, to what we spoke of above. That is, that every teacher is to teach more with his works than his words. Why, the cock, whom we spoke of above, before he begins to crow, lifts his wings and arouses limself, that he may be wide awake with the zeal of good works, lest he arouse others with his words, and himself be remiss in good works. Let him shake himself till he is awake, and then stir up others to the zeal of good works; let him flap himself with the wings of his thoughts. That is, he is first to investigate with the vigilance of his contemplation, what there is unprofitable in himself, and rebuke himself severely in his thoughts, and then with his instruction regenerate the life of others. First he must punish in himself his own evils, and repent of them, and then point out and punish those of others. First they must display in their own works all that they intend afterwards to teach with their words, so that the works may call before the words.
LXV. When any one has fulfilled all this, how he must bethink himself, and understand himself, lest either his life or his teaching elate him too much.

Often also the teachers are excited by secret joy, when they see that they teach fitly and properly. But it is then very necessary for him quickly to wound himself with the fear of becoming
he cwið: Onwæenað, ge ryhtwisan, \& ne syngiað mâ. Đæs cocces ðeaw is ðæt he micle hludor singð on uhtan ðonne on dægred. Ac Xonne hit nealæcð dæge, તonne singð he smælor \& smicror. Swa sceal ælc gesceadwis lareow ôpene lare \& swutole ðæm ૪iestrum 5 modum bodian, \& nane wuht خære dieglan \& ðære diopan lare خonne giet cyðan. Ac siððan he gesion ðætte ða ðiestra[n] mod そæra dysegena monna auht nealæcen ðæm leohte ðære soðfæstnesse, ðonne sculon hi him eowian diogolran \& diopran lara of halgum bocum.
LXIV. Be $ð æ m$ weorcum $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { s lareowes } \& ~ b e ~ h i s ~ w o r d u m . ~\end{array}$

Hit is nu خearf $ð æ t$ we for lufum eft cierren betwuxn oðrum spræcum to ðæm ðe we ær spræcon. Đæt is ðætte ælc lareow swiðor lære mid his weorcum ðonne mid his wordum. Hwæt, se kok 犭e we ær ymb spræcon, ærðæmðe he crawan wille, hef $\grave{\text { up }}$ p his fiðru, \& wecð hine selfne, ไæt he wacie ôn ðære geornfulnesse godra weorca, 15 ðylæs he oðre awecce mid his wordum, \& himself aslawige godra weorca. Ac hudenige ærest hine selfne, of he wacige, \& ahrisige sirðan ołre [to] geornfulnesse godra weorca; łaccige hine selfne
 wæccan his smeaunga ærest hwæt on him selfum unnyttes sie, \&
 his lare geedniwige ołerra monna lif. Ærest he sceal wrecan on him selfum his agnu yfelu \& $\gamma_{a}$ hreowsian, \& siððan oðerra monna cyðan \& wrecan. Ærest hi sculon eowian on hiora agnum weorcum eall ðæt hi eft læran willað mid hiora wordum, swa đætte $\searrow_{a}$ weore clipien 25 ær, ær ða word.
 selfne gełencan \& ongietan, ðylæs hine auðer, ołðe his lif ơðe his lảr to úpahebbe.

Oft eac $\chi_{a}$ lareowas weor $\chi_{a} \succ^{\circ}$ ôstyrede mid diegelre blisse, $\nearrow_{o n n e}$ 30 hi ongictað $\nprec æ t$ hi gemetlice \& medomlice lærað. Ac him is $\chi_{o n n e ~}^{\text {. }}$

elated at his eloquence ; lest, while he cures the wounds of others, he himself be elated with pride through neglect of his salvation; lest he forsake himself while he attends to his friends, and himself fall, while he strives to raise others. Because often virtue and excellence prove the destruction of their possessor, when from recklessness he presumes too much on the virtues he has, and does not care to increase them; then they prove his destruction, because virtues always contend against vices. But the mind often flatters itself, and with the flattery relinquishes the fear of its own reflections. Then the mind rests confidently in presumption. Then the cunning waylayer comes to the torpid mind, and recounts to it all its former good deeds, and makes him believe that he flourishes in virtues beyond all other men, until he becomes puffed up and elated in his mind. And then, in the eyes of the righteous Judge, the recollection of his virtues and excellence becomes a very deep pit, into which he falls very heavily, because he falls before the God who is the teacher of humility, when he exalts himself in his own eyes because of his virtues. Therefore it was said through the prophet Ezekiel ; he said: "Descend from where thou thinkest thou art most beautiful." As if he had openly said: "Because of the beauty of thy virtues thou wert elated, and thence thou shalt be degraded." Again, the same prophet spoke a parable about Jerusalem, and blamed the mind which was proud of its virtues, saying: "The Lord saith to this city : Thou wert perfect in my beauty, and wert proud thereof, and committed fornication of thine own accord." The mind is elated through presuming on its virtues, when it boasts of its merits, and rejoices confidently in itself. But through presumption it is led on with pride to committing fornication. First, the accursed spirit teaches it vainglory externally, until it penetrates by pride, and then seduces it with many vices. We must consider the words he spoke to the citizens: "Ye committed fornication of your own accord." That is, as soon as the mind despises God, it seeks its own vainglory, and collects for its own praise all the good that was granted it for God's praise ; it desires thereby to extend its own praise, and strives to appear to all men as distinguished and
he him óndræde, ðylæs he weorðe upahæfen for his wordum ; Xætte ðær ðær he oðer(ra) monua wunda lacnað, he self ne weorłe aðunden on ûpahæfennesse for ðære giemeleste his hælo ; خæt he hine selfne ne forlæte, ðær he oðerra freonda tilige, \& him self ne afealle, đær

 fortruwiað on ไæm cræftum ðe hi hæbbað, \& hi nyllað iecan: ðonne weorðað hi him to færwyrde, forłæm simle ða cræftas winnał wið ðæm unðeawum. Ac Хæt mod oft olecð him selfum, \& ðonne for 10 ૪ære oleccunga forlæt $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xone ege his selfes ymbe } \\ & \text { ances. } \\ & \text { Đonne gerest }\end{aligned}$ ðæt mod hit orsorglice on łære fortruwunga. Đonne cymð se lytega sætere to ðæm slawan môde, \& ateleð him eall ðæt he ær to gode gedyde, \& geræcð him Xoune to geleafsuman خæt he sie se gesælgosta on eallum cræftum ofer ealle oðre men, oð̌æt he wfrð aðunden \& 15 upahæfen on his mode. Ond ðome beforan ̌ææs ryhtwisan [Deman] eagum him wyrð ðæt gemynd đæra mægena \& đæra cræfta to swiðe

 upahefeð beforan him selfum for his cræftum. Be ไæm wæs gecweden


 Eft se ilca witga sæde bispell bi Hierusalem, \& tælde そæt mod ¿e for his cræftum ofermodgede, $૪$ a he cwæð: Dryhten cwið to $\begin{gathered}\text { isse } \mathrm{byrg} \text { : }\end{gathered}$ $25 Đ u$ wære fulfremed on minum wlite, \& ða fortruwdes $૪ u$ ૪e for ðæm, \& forlæge ૪e ૪ines ægnes ðonces. Đonne bið ðæt mod ûpahæfen for ðære fortruwunga his cræfta, ðomne hit for hiora geearnunga gilpð, \& orsorglice fægnað ón him selfum. Ac ðurh ła fortruwednesse hit $^{\text {for }}$ wyrð getogen to ðon ðret hit wyrð forlegen ôn ofermettum. Erest
 ofermetta, \& hit siððan gebregð ôn manegum unðeawe. Be ðæm worde is to ðenceanne そe he cwæ૪ to ðæm burgwarum: Ge eow
 God forsih $\gamma$, swa sec $૪$ hit his agenne gielp, \& gæderað him ðonne


wonderful as possible. He commits fornication of his own will, who binds himself to the devil, and forsakes the Lord from the desire of human praise. Therefore David said in the seventy-seventh Psalm : "The Lord suffered their virtue and excellence to be made captive, and their beauty to be in the hands of their enemies." Their virtue is made captive, and their beauty is led into the hands of their enemies, when the old enemy has power over the deceived mind because of its pride, when it exalts itself on the strength of good works. The cumning enemy tempts every man with the pride of good works, and even tempts the minds of the elect, although he cannot fully deceive them. For when any mind is elated, it is forsaken by God ; and as soon as it is forsaken by God, it is afflicted by the fear of the devil. Therefore David said again in the twenty-ninth Psalm : "I thought in my pride and abundance, when I was full both of wealth and good works, that there would be no end of it." But when he saw he was inflated with the pride of his good works, he proclaimed very soon after what he afterwards suffered, saying : "Lord, thou turnedst thy countenance from me, and I was afflicted." As if he had openly said: "I thought I was strong in many virtues, but I very soon saw, after thou hadst forsaken me, how weak I was." And again, he said in the hundred and eighteenth Psalm : "I swore, as I had determined, to hold thy judgments and righteousness, Lord; revive me according to thy words, Lord." But he very quickly perceived, when he experienced affliction, that it was not in his own power to hold what he had promised and sworn. And then he soon had recourse to prayer, and sought help therein, saying: "I am humiliated on all sides and in everything, Lord." So the divine dispensation, before bestowing on a man virtue and excellence, often shows him his infirmity, and reminds him of his want of power, lest he be elated because of his virtues. Therefore also it was said to the prophet Ezekiel that he was the son of man, before the heavenly things were shown to him. As if God had openly admonished him, and said to him: "Be not too elated in thy mind because of the things thou scest, but consider cautiously
he wolde hu he eallum monnum weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { fullicost \& wunderlicost } ð u h t e . ~\end{aligned}$ Se bið forlegen ôn his agnum willan se ðe hine selfne diofle befæst, \& Dryhten forlæt for mennisces lofes wilnunga. Be $\searrow$ æm cwæð Dauid on ðæm siofan \& hundsiofantiogoðan psalme, he cwæð: Dryhten 5 geðafode $ð æ t$ hiora mægen \& hiora cræft wære gehæft, \& hiora wlite wære on hira feonda honda. Gehæft bið hiora cræft, \& hira wlite ôn hiora feonda hond gelæd, ðonne se ealda feond ónwald hæfð ðæs beswicenan modes for ðære upahæfennesse, ðonne hit hit úpahef $\gamma$ for godum weorcum. Se lytega fiond wile fondian ælces monnes mid 10 خære ûpahæfennesse for godum weorcum, ge furðum ðara acorenra monna môd he wile costian, ðeah he hit fullice beswican ne mæge. Forðæm æle mod swa hit bið upahæfen swa bið hit forlæten from Gode, \& sona swa hit bið forlæten from Gode, swa bið hit gedrefed mid diofles ege. Be $犭 æ m ~ c w æ ð ~ D a u i d ~ e f t ~ o n ~ خ æ m ~ n i g o n ~ \& ~ t w e n t i o-~$ 15 goðan psalme, he cwæð: Ic wende on minum wlencum \& ón minum forwanan, $\searrow_{a}$ ic wæs full ægðer ge welona ge godra weorca, ðæt ðæs næfre ne wurde nan ende. Ac siððan he ongeat ðæt he wæs aðunden ôn ûpahæfennesse for his godan weorcum, ða gecyðde he swi犭e hræðe æfter ðæm hwæt he siððan dreag, ૪a he cwæ૪: Dryhten, ðu ahwyrfdes 20 خinne ondwlitan from me, $\chi_{a}$ wear $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { ic } \\ & \text { gedrefed. } \text { Swelce he openli(ce) }\end{aligned}$ cwæde: Ic wênde ðæt ic wære swiðe strong on manegum cræftum, ac ic ôngeat swiðe hraðe, siððan $ð u$ me forlete, hu untrum ic wæs. \& eft he cwæð on ðæm eahta \& hundælleftiogołan psalme, he cwæð: Ic swor swa swa ic getiohhod hæfde $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { æt }\end{array}$ ic wolde gehealdan $\mathrm{xine}^{2}$ domas $25 \& \Varangle_{i n e}$ ryhtwisnesse, Dryhten. Ac he ôngeat swiðe hra $\succ_{e}, \chi_{a}$ he ge-
 meahte gehealdan $\begin{array}{rr} \\ \succ æ t & \text { he ær gehet \& swor. \& } ð \mathrm{a} \text { wende he hine }\end{array}$ sona to his gebede, \& sohte him ૪ær fultum to, \& cwæð : Ic eom gehened æghwonane \& on æghwam, Dryhten; ac gecwuca me æfter 30 ૪inum wordum, Dryhten. Swa oft sio godcunde gemetgung, ær૪æmðe hio $ð æ m$ men selle cræftas \& mægen, hio him geeował his untrymnesse, \& his unmehta hine gemy(n)dgað, خylæs he hine ûpahebbe for his cræftum. Forðæm eac wæs gecweden to Ezechiele ðæm witgan ðæt he wære monnes sunu, ærðæmðe him wæren geeowad $\searrow a$ hefon35 lican ðing. Swelce hine God openlice manode, \& him to cwæde: Ne

what thou art ; and though thou traverse the highest, do not forget that thou art man, but consider very carefully in thyself the bridle of thine infirmity, although thou art raised above thy condition. Therefore it is very necessary for us to direct the eye of our mind to the contemplation of our infirmity. When virtues and excellence most fully flatter us, it is very necessary for us to bow down humbly with our mind, and salutarily reflect on the good we have neglected, not on that which we have done ; that our mind may be so much the firmer and stronger in virtues in the sight of God, from the humility wherewith we wound it, when we remember our heedlessness. Therefore Almighty God often lets the minds of his elect sin in some small things, although they are perfect in many, that they may fear, and be dispirited because of their imperfection, although they shine brightly in some admirable virtues; that they may not exalt themselves too much on the strength of the great things, while they cannot amend the little; lest they presume to be proud of the noblest works, whilst they cannot subdue the most insignificant evils.

See now, thou good man John, how fair and beautiful a character I have depicted, ugly painter as I am. In it I have shown what a pastor ought to be. I was compelled by thy blame to lead many men to the shore of perfection in the ship of my mind, while I myself am still tossed by the waves of my sins. But I pray thee to reach me a plank of thy prayers in the shipwreck of this present life, that I may sit on it till I come to land; and raise me with the hand of thy merits, for the burden of my own sins has oppressed me.

These are now the waters, which the God of Hosts promised as a solace to us earthdwellers. He said that he wished in the world ever-living waters to flow from the hearts of those who believed in him well under the sky. There is little doubt that the source of the waters is in the kingdom of heaven ; that is, the Holy Ghost. Whence


 ahæfen. Forðæm is micel ðearf $\npreceq \geqq t$ we ures modes eagan gecerren 5 to $\begin{array}{rrr} \\ \text { sceawunga urre untrymnesse. Đonne us fullicost oleccað } \chi_{a} ~ \\ a\end{array}$ cræftas \& ða mægenu, ðonne is us micel ðearf ðæt we eaðmodlice ófdune anluten mid urum mode, \& halwendlice gełencen $\gamma$ a gôd $\chi_{e}$

 10 خe we hit mid gewundia久, ðonne we gemunað ure giemeleste. Forðæm ôft se ælmiehtiga God fo(r)lét $\not$ ææt mod his gecorenra gesyngian on sumum lytlum خingum, خeah hi on manegum sien fullfremede, $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { t }\end{gathered}$ hi him óndræden, \& murkien for hira unfullfremednesse, خeah hi beor(h)te scinen on sumum wunderlicun cræftum ; ðæt hi hi for ðæm 15 miclum ðingum ne mægen to upahebban, $\searrow_{a}$ hwile $\Varangle_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{hi}$ ne magon gebetan $ð æ t ~ l y t l e ~ ; ~ ð y l æ s ~ h i ~ d y r r e n ~ o f e r m o d g i a n ~ f o r ~ ð æ m ~ æ ð e l e s t u m ~$ weorcum, $\chi_{a}$ hwile $\gamma_{e}$ hi ne magon oferswiخan $\chi_{a}$ yteme[s]tan yfelu.

Loca nu, $\chi_{u}$ goda wer Iohannes, hu fægerne \& hu wlitigne monnan ic hæbbe atæfred, swa unwlitig writere swa swa ic eom. Đær ic hæbbe 20 getæht hwelc hierde bion sceal. To ðæm ic wæs gened mid خinre tælnesse, ðæt ic nu hæbbe manege men gelæd to ðæm stæðe fullfremednesse ón خæm scipe mines modes, \& nu giet hwearfige me self ôn ðæm yðum minra scylda. Ac ic خe bidde ðæt ðu me on خæm scipgebroce $\begin{gathered}\text { isses } \\ \text { andweardan lifes sum bred geræce } \\ \text { خinra gebeda, }\end{gathered}$ 25 ðæt ic mæge ôn sittan oð ic to londe cume, \& arær me mid خære
 minra agenra scylda.

Đis is nu se wæterscipe, خe us wereda God to frofre gehet fold-
 30 innoðum a libbendu wætru fleowen, ðe wel ôn hine gelifden under lyfte. Is hit lytel tweo $\succ_{æ t} \chi_{æ s}$ wæterscipes welsprynge is on hefourice ; łæt is Halig Gæst. Đonan hine hlodan halge \& gecorene,
saints and the elect drew it, after those who obeyed God had directed it through holy books on this earth through the minds of men variously. Some dam it in within their minds, the stream of wisdom, hold it with their lips, so that it flows not out to no purpose. But the well remains in the man's breast, by the grace of the Lord, deep and still. Some let it flow away over the tract of land in rills. That is not a wise thing, if so pure water is dispersed in murmuring, shallow streams over the fields, till it becomes a marsh. But draw water now to drink, since the Lord has granted that Gregory should direct to your doors the Lord's stream. Let him now fill his vessel, who has brought hither a watertight pitcher. Let him come back soon. If any man here has brought to this spring a leaky pitcher, let him repair it carefully, lest he spill the clearest of waters, or lose the drink of life.
siððan hine gierdon $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ૪e Gode herdon łurh halga（n）bec hider on $^{\text {a }}$ eorran geond manna mod missenlice．Sume hine weriar on gewit－ locan，wisdomes stream，welerum gehæftað，そæt he ôn unnyt ut ne toflowe ．Ac se wæl wunað on weres breostum ðurh Dryhtnes giefe 5 diop \＆stille．Sume hine læta久 ofer landscare riðum torinnan．Nis ðæt rædlic $\begin{aligned} \text { ing，gif swa hlutor wæter hlud \＆undiop tofloweð æfter }\end{aligned}$ feldum，of hit to fenne wert．Ac hladał iow nu drincan，nu iow Dryhten geaf そæt iow Gregorius gegiered hafað to durum iowrum Dryhtnes welle．Fylle nu his fætels，se ðe fæstne hider kylle brohte．
 burnan，bete hine georne，ðylæs he forsceade scirost wætra，o૪ðe him lifes drync forloren weor $\begin{aligned} & \text { e．}\end{aligned}$


## NOTES.

Page 2. Junius has written the following remarks on the flyleaf of his MS.: 'Cottonianus codex Pastoralis Magni Gregorii inscribitur Tiberius B. ii. Ejusdem verò codicis frontispicio antiquâ manu adscripta sunt hæc verba, Plegmunde arcebiscepe is agifen pis (sic) boc . . \& Swiðulfe biscepe . . \& Wærfeře biscepe .. At codicis Hattoniani frontispicio antiquâ manu adscriptum est literis capitalibus deos boc sceal to wiogora ceastre. Ac tale habet idem codex Hattonianus hujus Proœmii initium, Elfred kyning hateð gretan Wærfer૪ biscep his wordum, \&c. Præsens interim hoc Pastorale opus ex mutilo codice Cottoniano descripseram, atque ex Hattoniano jam suppleveram, cùm oportunè incidi in alium Cottonianæ bibliothecæ perantiquum codicem inscriptum Отно. B. ii, quumque pretium operæ videretur etiam cum hoc tertio codice conferre quæ transcripseram, variantes quoque alterius codicis lectiones addidi Pastoralis opusculi oræ, ubi Hatt. \& Alt. denotant codicem Hattonianum et alterum hunc Cottonianum, cujus tale initium, Ælfred cyning hate久 gretan Hehstan bisceop his wordum,' \&c.
2. (heading). nemnar, MS.
3. The Hatton text of Alfred's preface is full of erasures, alterations and interpolations. I have in all cases, where possible, restored the original reading. Wherever 「otte $_{\text {cete }}$ occurs, the te has been erased, portions of the erased letters being in some cases still visible: I have, therefore, in all cases where $\mathrm{\gamma}_{\text {ct }}$ is followed by a distinct erasure, added the te in brackets. In like manner the $e$ of swa has been erased, though in some cases still partially visible; I have therefore restored the erased letter. In several words, hyrsumedon (3.6), hy nu (3.12), hy naron (5.12), yldian (5.14), hy hit (5.24), an eleventh century $y$-easily recognizable by its uprightness and the point above it-has been written over an erasure. I have not hesitated to remove these $y$ 's, which are quite incompatible with the archaic character of Alfred's preface, although my emendations are not absolutely certain,
as the original letters are not visible. The adjective termination $\boldsymbol{\infty}$ has also been tampered with in several cases by erasing one of the letters, gefyldce, (5.10), o૪rce cristnce (7.5), sumce (7.6) being made into gefylde, \&c. There are besides a variety of interpolations, some apparently quite modern, which are not worth noticing. It will in future be understood that all alterations, additions, \&c. that I notice are contemporary with the MS. itself, unless the contrary is stated, or doubt expressed. It is, of course, impossible to fix the date of simple erasures.
3.2. This change of person from the dignified hate $\gamma$ to the more familiar hate seems to have been frequent in prefaces and dedications. Compare Elfric's preface to his translation of the Heptateuch : Alfric munuc gret $\not$ Æxelwærd ealdormann eadmodlice. Đu bæde me leof ðæt ic sceolde ðe awendan of Ledene on Englise ða boc Genesis.
3.4. Observe 'Angel-cynn,' not 'Eng-lond,' the idea of the race predominating over that of the land which they only partially possessed.
3.5. on $ð a m$ dagum. The genuineness of this addition is a little doubtful : the handwriting looks old, but is different from that of the rest of the MS. I have thought it safest to retain it.
3.6. cerendwrecum. A curious modification of the normal cerendracum (39.3). The $w$ is paralleled by that of the Middle E. whole, whore for hole, hore, still preserved orthographically. In wreccan (awaken) for weccan (193.2I) a similar abnormal wr arises from the addition of $r$ to an original $w$. The vowel change seems to point to some confusion with the word wreeca (exile).
3.8. gerymdon. The ge is written small and crowded.
5.7. feawe. The second $e$ erased, and an $a$ written over.
5.13. cwacden. The $e$ made into an $o$; seemingly a later change.
5.20. ealles. The $c e$ over erasure ; the original form was probably ealla, as in C.
6.2 I. Asserie, MS. v.l.
7.r. Creacas. The first $c$ and first $a$ erased, and $g$ and $c$ written over, making the word Greccas. It need hardly be remarked that Creacas is the genuine old form, modified by the lautverschiebung; compare the forms creacum in the Traveller's Song, crecas, crecise in Alfred's translation of the Metres of Boethius.
7.4. ealla. In the MS. eall, ending with an erasure: I have supplied the erased letter.
7.8. ge don. The change from we to ge and back again, harsh as it
may seem, is preferable to the plausible reading gedon, in one word. Don seems to take the prefix ge only in the participial preterite and in certain cases where causation or result is expressed, as in gede $\gamma_{\text {oet }}$ he bir-(93.2).
9.r. cestel. The word only occurs here and in Elfric's glossary, where it translates stylus. It is no doubt a derivative of a substantive ost (German ast), which does not, however, appear anywhere in the documents that have been preserved. My translation is purely conjectural. The following account of the word given in Lye's dictionary is probably, like the rest of his information, taken without acknowledgment from Junius's MS. dictionary, although it cannot be proved in this case, as the earlier sheets of Junius's MS. are wanting. It gives a satisfactory explanation, but I do not know what authority there is for it. ' Æstel. Indicatorum, index, quemadmodum in privatæ alicujus bibliothecæ libris lacera olim chartula vel tenui festuca locum intermissæ lectionis designabunt, ita grandioribus ac publico destinatis voluminibus magnificentiæ ergo affigebant indiculum summa sui parte auro purpuraque contextum ac deinceps diremptum in complures bysseas tænias quibus initia resumendæ lectionis aptissime denotabantur. Insignia sunt ※lfredi regis verba in prœmio præmisso magni Gregorii Pastoralibus a se translatis,' \&c.
9.8. This curious doggrel is, as well as the similar piece at the end, probably Alfred's own composition. It is only distinguished from prose by its regular alliteration and use of poetic words and phrases, such as 'iegbuend,' 'Dryhtnes cempa,' ' rodra weard,' \&c. Metrically it is little more than dislocated prose, although some lines are worse than others. The freedom and looseness of all O. E. versification makes it peculiarly liable to degenerate into mere prose, which is also the case with much of our modern blank verse. I have in the text printed the piece as prose. A high authority in all metrical matters, Mr. Skeat, has kindly taken it in hand, and split it up into regular lines. This is his scheme :
pis cerendgewrit Agustinus
ofer sealtne sæ suðan brohte iegbuendum, swa hit ar fore adihtode Dryhtnes cempa
5 Rome papa. Ryhtspell monig Gregorius gleawmod gindwod ðurh sefan snyttro searoðonca hord.

Fortæm he monncynnes mæst gestriende rodra Wearde, Romwara betest,
Io monna modwelegost mær૪um gefrægost.
Sið̌an min on Englise Elfred cyning awende worda gehwele, \& me his writerum sende suð \& nor $\gamma$; heht him swelcra ma brengan bi ðære bisene łæt he his biscepum
I5 sendan meahte, for $\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}$ $\succ_{a} ð_{e} L æ d e n s p r æ c e ~ l æ s t e ~ c u \not o o n . ~$
Observe the rhymes in 1.6 and the double alliteration in 9 , both probably intentional.
9.19. Two letters erased after hie. The original reading must have been the same as that of C . It seems that the headings of the separate chapters were copied direct from the table of contents, for the heading of Chapter II. has also the altered reading scoldon ne. Compare also the heading of Chapter VIII.
10.17. se to, MS., but the heading of the chapter, p. 60, has pe.
11.11. wilnað, MS., so also in heading of chapter, p. 53.
11.13. Chapter IX. This passage is obscurely and clumsily translated; the Latin has 'quod mens præesse volentium plerumque sibi ficta bonorum operum promissione blanditur.'
 Vespasian Psalms.
13.1r. geornlice. The eorn over erasure.
13.17. ymb $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { over erasure. }\end{aligned}$
19.18. somrcedenne. The om over erasure; the tail of a $y$ is still visible.
20.22. embe. This $e$ for $y$ occurs sporadically in many of the older MSS. It seems to be most frequent in the Glosses to Solomon's Proverbs (Cott. Vesp. D. xvi.): gelden (aureus), wertum (olera), letig (callidus), \&c. Compare unnetlices, 77.12.
21.ro. las $\gamma$. This word must not be confounded with the superlalative of loet, which is latost ; it is a substantive (Gothic laists, O. H. G. leist), which in O. E. only appears in the sense of 'track,' 'footstep.' It must however have had other meanings : the Modern E. 'last' (German leisten) $=$ the mould of the foot, points to one. It is, I believe, generally taken for granted that in our modern phrase 'at last,' the 'last' is the superlative of 'late'; it seems however more probable that it is derived from this O. E. on last, and consequently that the 'last' has nothing to do with 'late.'
23.3. untruman. The an over erasure ; there seem also to be some traces of erasure after the preceding $\succ a$.
23.15. underfenge. The present underfonge would make better sense : a man can hardly be said to fear doing what he has already done. The tense was probably suggested by the Latin 'adeptum se esse pertimescat.'
23.2 I. feorre. The neuter, corresponding with 'on feower' above, seems more idiomatic than the feor $\prec a$ (dcel understood) of C., although both MSS. agree in ðridda: C. ii. alone has the neuter in both cases.
23.22. geðaf. My translation of this word is purely conjectural. Is it connected with geðäfian? Mr. Skeat suggests 'be their help': that is, be their amender or corrector. Mr. Lumby compares Boethius 38.2 'Ic eom gepafa ${ }^{\$}{ }^{\$}$ is sop ' $=$ ' I am convinced that it is true,' and translates 'be convinced of them.' This is satisfactory, as far as the meaning is concerned, but the form of the word is quite anomalous,
 otherwise ge $\begin{gathered}\text { } \\ \text { äf }\end{gathered}$ or ge $\begin{gathered}\text { äffe } \\ \text { would be the only possible forms. There }\end{gathered}$ can, however, be no doubt as to the genuineness of the word : I find now that Junius cites 'gěaef and eaðhylde' (contentus) from the Reg. Ben.
25.8. خeah ૪e hi ncefre—nceren, wilniað ðeah-. This omission of the pronoun in subordinate sentences is very common in the Pastoral, especially in explanatory clauses: 'ond nu fundiał swelce wreccan ond teor to, woldon underfon'-(51.22) ; compare 'to pam Lucius Bretene kyning sende stafas: bæd pæt he wære Cristen gedon.' (Chronicle, Earle 8.15). There are several examples of the omission in other cases as well; compare 85.14, 111.2 r, 149.8 (omission of hit), 181.24 (of $g e$ ). Some of these examples however are of doubtful authority, as the omission may be only a scribal error ; the same remark applies also to those few cases of omission of the pronoun in a principal clause.
25.12. croft. The text has arcem, which was misread as artem.
25.20. onginnen here has the sense of 'undertake,' and is almost periphrastic. Compare 67.3 'angiennar secgan.' In both passages there is no corresponding word in the Latin.
26.12. hio omitted in MS.
27.3. ceuffestam. Originally -um ; the $u$ made into an $a$.
27.8. Observe the metaphorical use of eald, (Latin primas
cathedras) ; compare 'ieldesð ofer ða halgan cirican' (115.16), where the original has 'summum.'
27.2 1 . Neither the sense of 'for Xam dome his geðylde' nor the connection with the original 'per judicium reprobationis' is very clear : my translation is conjectural.
27.22. unde ad se quibusdam et post miracula venientibus dicit (Christus). Alfred has evidently referred the 'wundru' to the ' unlærde' instead of Christ himself.
27.23. cuir is the correct reading : the Latin has 'dicit.'
27.23. hwoet might also be translated 'who,' as Old E. does not seem to observe the modern distinction between what and who very strictly. Compare Beow. 237, 'hwæt sindon ge searohæbbendra ?' (who are ye-?).
29.2. The 'sanctus' of H. seems incorrect: the Latin has simply ' Paulo attestante.'
29.8. be ðстm-сисеð. There is some difficulty about the exact sense of $b e$ in this very frequent collocation, as it generally translates some causative particle such as hinc (as in the present case), inde, unde. In one passage (131.ri) be 夭am translates hinc without any cwar, so that the translation ' of which,' which I have generally adopted, is quite impossible. The fact, however, that in another passage (433.8) linc is translated by 'ymbe đæt (is gecweden)' seems to justify my rendering.
30.20. The 'sæs grund' of C. ii. approaches nearest to the Latin ' profundum maris.'
33.15. becierde. There is no corresponding word in the Latin. Perhaps 'evaded' would be a better translation: compare 'sume $\chi_{a}$ $\mathrm{y} \succ_{a}$ he becer $ð$ mid $\Varangle \mathrm{y}$ scipe' (433.7), where the Latin has 'per obliquum findit.'
33.17. Here bet is used in a purely quantitival sense. Compare ' gode hwile pone here gefliemde' (Chronicle, 66.8) and 'god dæl pæs folces' (Chronicle, 102.7).
35.9. The 'longe,' omitted by C. i., is required by the Latin ' longi.'
36.5. earda, MS. v.l.
36.17. forlet, MS.
37.7. pleah. This is a hitherto unrecorded strong verb, of which the infinitive occurs p. 229.20, ' nyle his selfes plion.' There is no word that exactly corresponds in the Latin, but the context leaves no doubt
of the meaning, which is confirmed by the substantives pleoh (393.9) and pliht. This word was overlooked or misunderstood by Junius in the present passage, but the infinitive was given correctly from 229.20. Later dictionary makers have assumed that the verb is weak, and have given it an unverified preterite pleode.
37.9. $\mathrm{z}_{\text {cer }}$ is used in the sense of $i f$, as in 455.27 .
39.3. cerenddracan, MS., quasi ' errand-dragons.'
41.ir. mogene. This word has been partly worn away, and inked over by a later hand; the $e$ may therefore be an error.
41.17. forebyrde. No corresponding Latin word. The word does not appear in the dictionaries. Junius has however unforebyrdig= 'impatiens,' from Scint. I r. Perhaps the word ought to be translated ' continence,' after the analogy of the O. H. G. furipurt $=$ ' continentia,' unfuripurtig=infirmus (Graff).
44.7. ryht, MS.
45.17. ૪ara, MS.
48.1 i. afeoll, MS. The Latin 'emanavit' points to 'aweoll' as the true reading.
49.14. earfeðlican is the correct reading; the Latin has 'per activam vitam.'
50.15. selfe, MS. v.l.
51.20. biwene, MS., seems to be a mere scribal error for the bisene of C .
51.22. fandiað, MS. fundiar is no doubt the correct reading : the Latin has ' anhelat.'
51.24. gestondan seems preferable to the simple standan of C. ; the ge has an intensitive force-keep their footing.
52.3. Here also the ge has an intensitive force, and its omission is probably an error, for C. reads gegripar in the table of contents (10.1 i).
53.7. biscephade, MS. The dropping of the $s$ may possibly be something more than a mere scribal error: compare 140.20, 'his agne gilpes.'
53.14. ge omitted in MS. after sua.
53.18. The corresponding word in the original seems to be 'præerat,' but the connection between the English and Latin is very vague. The reading gehened ( $=$ 'humiliated') of C. i. is certainly wrong. If the text is not corrupt, the only way is to consider gehiered as the participle of herran (to hear) in the sense of 'being estimated,' as in a common Greek and Latin idiom.
55.5. smeaunge, MS., with $a$ written above the second $e$.
57.6. خync $\gamma$. The second $\gamma$ may be late.
57.9. beom, MS.
57.9. keled, MS. A very curious form, if the ke stands for the prefix ge. The Latin has 'ducitur.' Both MSS. show an abnormal vowel, $e$ for $\bar{c}$.
59.2. getruwað. The Latin has 'etiam peritus se nauta confundit.' Alfred seems to have read 'confidit' for 'confundit.'
59.10. cet, see note on 247.2 i.
59.20. Fariseos in both MSS., C.ii. also has the same ending, and it occurs again in the same word, 363.6 ; the genitive plural Phariseo also appears, 361.25 . Several examples of the masculine plural nominative and accusative ending os for $a s$, as in Old Saxon, occur also in the Glosses to the Proverbs (magos), and the plural hygewcelmos in Cædmon (1.980, Grein) has called forth a large amount of critical ingenuity.
61.6. bisscephade, MS. Comp. horssum in the Martyrology fragment.
61.10. licuma for lichoma is a common form in the Pastoral ; the loss of the medial $h$ is a familiar phenomenon, and the further change of licoma into licuma seems to be suggested by the verb cuman and the substantive cuma.
61.22. ongiene. The Latin has poposcerit. The reading of C.seems the most intelligible, if we take the onginnan in the sense of 'undertake,' ' strive for.'
62.9. lifes omitted in C. v. l.
63.1. In urne hwelcne for ure hwelcne the analogy of the hwelcne has changed the genitive plural ure into a possessive agreeing with hwelcne as if it were a substantive ; compare ures nanes (211.14) for ure nanes, and urra selfra (220.5) for ure selfra, which occurs two lines below.
65.5. healan. The dictionaries only give the adjective healede. The nominative is uncertain ; the analogy of the O.H.G. hola would make it heale.
65.23. suel, MS.
67.1 2. fot.a, MS.
67.16. heorten, MS., with $a$ written above the second $e$.
68.24. Clause omitted in C. i. v.l.
69.3. drygde, Latin 'atteruntcr.'
71.6. uia $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { fili } \gamma \\ \text { slil } \gamma\end{array}\right\}$, MSS., Latin 'trahitur.'
71.26. orerra is required by the Latin 'aliarum.'
73.1. $\gamma_{\text {cot }}(\bmod )$ is required by the Latin 'animum.'
73.4. All three MSS. agree in reading he for ne; the Latin has ' turpitudinem non exercet.'
73.5. singalam, MS., with $u$ written above the second $a$.
73.9. asigð, astigð, MSS., Latin 'labitur.'
75.13. $\succ_{a} \succ_{e} \succ_{a r r}$ agyltað. A clear example of the relative use of $\mathrm{r}_{\text {arr }}$ as in the M.H.G. 'alle die dâ sint,' 'allez daz der lebet.' In Danish der (=Icelandic par) is used by itself as an indeclinable relative. Other examples in the Pastoral will be found under 117.16, 425.22 .
77.12. unnetlices. See note to 20.22 .
79.7. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { god } \\ \text { inne }\end{array}\right\}$ cundan, MSS., Latin 'interni.'
81.3. se, MS. It is possible that there may have been an occasional phonetic confusion between sio and se, as there certainly was between hie and he (Introd. p. 26) ; compare sio cespryng (49. 12).
82.24. iecinta, MS.
83.23. derodine (cocco in the original) seems only to occur here. It is, as Mr. Skeat suggests, probably the Latin teredinem (nominative teredo).
85.2. ymest is probably a contraction of yfemest; compare 135.24, where C. has yfemestum and H. ymestun.
87.4. heo do $\delta$. These words have been inked over by a later hand, the letters having been partially rubbed away. The original reading may have been he doo.
87.5. ecean. The original has 'interni,' which seems to have been read as 'eterni.'
87.17. beboden omitted in H .
88.12. laeran omitted in C.i.: there is no word to correspond in the Latin.
90.20. ðer, MS. v.l. C. ii. has also ðer.
91.6. hie gereccað ðis andwearde lif fleonde can hardly be called idiomatic English ; the Latin has 'fugitiva esse præsentia indicant.'
91.7. godcundde, MS.
91.20. Here we have a clear instance of the use of ðes for $s e$, which is not unfrequent in O.E. The Latin has in this case simply 'tuba.'
 409. 33, ' Xios sæ,' where the Latin has 'mare' alone, is probably also
an example of the idiom, although in this, as in many other cases, the context would allow the translation 'this.'
91.21. $\begin{aligned} & \\ & a \text { her seems to be purely relative ; compare 75.13, above. }\end{aligned}$
95.4. oppel is, as Mr. Cockayne observes (Shrine, p. 25), one of those words which change their gender in the plural. The plural applas occurs, however, p. 69.r, but in the sense of pupil of the eye. It is possible that the forms may vary with the different senses of the word. It may be remarked that 'reade apla' is an overliteral rendering of 'mala punica,' which means 'pomegranates.'
97.17. ofersmeaung. This word only occurs here. Junius in his MS. dictionary defines it thus, 'superabundans discussio et rei alicujus pertractatio plus justo curiosa; which Lye copies straight off, only interpolating 'vel deliberatio' after ' discussio.'
99.2. ðurh seems to be omitted in both MSS. before $\succ_{a}$; the original has ' per speculationis altitudinem.'
99.7. Xerr omitted in H .
99.7. arimde, a mistranslation of the original's 'rimatur.'
99.14. cwwer, cwir, MSS., no corresponding word in Latin.
101.16. heafdum, of a single head; compare Cynewulf's Dream of the Rood, l. 63 (I quote as far as possible from the Ruthwell Cross, which Grein ignores), 'alegdun hiæ hinæ limwœrignæ, gistoddun him æt licæs heafdum' and the similar usage with breost (137.8, 419.29), in one case of a man, in the other of a dog, where Modern E. would require the singular, the idea of duality being only kept up in speaking of the more conspicuous female breast. It is probable that the same process of generalization took place with the word 'head,' which must originally have signified some distinct part of the head, probably one of the temples; the plural (or perhaps originally the dual) would then come to mean the whole head, and when the original partitive sense of the word had been lost sight of, the singular would be used from evident reasons of convenience.
103.18. upastigen. The $n$ is changed into a $\Varangle$, but apparently by a late hand.
105.5. totodon ut, Latin 'eminet.' This word seems only to occur here. Compare Icelandic tota (beak, finger ends of a glove), and the O.H. G. zota, zata, (Modern G. zotte) and uparzatit, which glosses 'supereminet.' The lines of P. Plowmans Crede, 'his ton toteden out, as he the londe treddede' ( $1.4^{25}$ ), are exactly parallel.
105.8. ðyrstendum over an crased $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { yrscendum, which } \text { is still }\end{aligned}$
partially legible. This is a deliberate and very ingenious alteration of the scribe's, who evidently was not acquainted with the Eastern custom of threshing with oxen.
107.ir. ryhtwisnes, MS.; compare $133.15,65.20$.
107.ir. neen[eg]um, the eg may be late.
107.18. I am unable to explain the word $I o b$ and its connection with the rest of the text.
109.7. eor $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { and }\end{aligned}$ the $e$ altered from an original $i$.
111.12. scu[e]lc, MS.
111.23. forsieh, MS.
113.ro. The second $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { cet } \\ \text { is added at the end of the line, and may be }\end{gathered}$ late.
114.3. tcelwier とe, MS. v.l., Latin ' quod adjuvat.'
115.3. stcelwier $\begin{gathered}\text { e, see Mr. Earle's note on this word in his edition }\end{gathered}$ of the Chronicle, p. 320.
117.25. I. cannot explain the refter here; the Latin has simply 'divino judicio.'
118.7. eowan, MS. v.l.
119.8. Only one suelcne in C. i.
121.2. speon, MS.
121.15. This hine can hardly be right; are we to read hi ne?
121.16. ryhte, ryht, MSS., Latin 'jure.'
121.17. licet, licette, MSS., the Latin has presents throughout.
123.9. خ $\quad$ сm omitted in MS.
123.16. wroede, MS.
125.13. monianne, MS., Latin 'miscenda.'
125.14. gemonnge, MS.
126.14. gehoef $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { MS. }\end{array}$
127.17. scoldon. This preterite for the present sculon of C., which occurs again, 131.18.19, can hardly be correct; it may originate in some such reading as scolon, which C. ii. shows in one passage (74.3), or sceolon.
127.20. habbar, MS., Latin 'desunt.'
128.4. These points are Junius's.
129.9. folc, see note on 361.25 .
131.r. The scy may be late.
131.ı4. Хyslicum, MS. v.l., Latin 'stulto labore.'
135.r. suelc, MS.
135.1 i. The second hira may be read hi $n a$, as in C. i.
135.16. The reading cefter stroetum, without any article, seems most idiomatic ; compare 'boden æfter burgum' (Elene, 972), 'bed æfter burum ' (Beow. I40), \&c.
135.18. wiliniað, MS.
136.5. ðisse w. omitted in C. v. l.: the Latin has ' presentis vitæ.' 136.19. eorum, MS.
137.6. gif he noef $\gamma{ }_{\succ} a$ are $\succ_{e}$ he on beon mage. This sentence is utterly unintelligible to me. The original has 'si hunc (doctrinæ sermonem) apud ejus animum manus misericordiæ non commendat.'
137.16. The seemingly incorrect eom of C. i. is omitted in H.; there is no 'sum ' in the Latin.
137.23. self, MS.
140.20. agne, MS. v.l.
141.3. geornfullice. With this use of an adverb instead of an adjective with the verb substantive, compare M.H.G. ' mir ist leide,' 'daz ir vil werlîchen sît,' \&c. and the Modern E. ' I am well.'
141.7. gesciฬed, MS.
143.2. dierne, diernes, MSS.
145.i2. Xeah omitted in C. i. v.l.
149.6. agieta. Compare forgitend, 'obliterans,' quoted by Junius from Gl. Cott. and 'pær læg secg mænig garum ageted' (Brunanb. 18). The force of the word lies in the prefix, as is proved by the O. H. G. argezan, 'abolere, postponere, oblivisci,' compared with bigezan, ' adipisci.' The root is gha(n)d, seen in the Latin pre-hendo; hence the word agita means 'thrower-away,' which is also the original signification of forgitan in its various applications.
149.12. rempende. This word only occurs here; it is evidently connected with the O.H.G. rimphan and the Modern G. ruimpfen, although the connection of the meanings is not very obvious, the German words being only used in the sense of the corresponding English rumple. Mr. Skeat compares the E. rampant, rampageous, romp, and the Italian rampa, 'claw,' rampare, 'to claw,' which seem to preserve the original meaning of the root.
152.22. anscunigendra, MS. v.l., cannot be the correct reading.
153.22. hearga, MS.; the word is generally masculine.
154.24. getacnað, MS. v.l.
155.ro. utanne, MS.
155.17. scnicendan, MS. Compare scmegan (Vesp. Ps. cxviii. 192) and the Icelandic sclakkagile for slakkagile in the Reykholtsmáldagi,

Gíslason，in his＇Frumparti Islenskrar Túngi í Fornöld，＇has collected several instances of $s c$ for $s$ ，but considers the $c$ as a purely ortho－ graphic variety of $s$ ，which view he supports by such spellings as haraldc，landc for haralds，lands．Gíslason supposes that this $c$ is the Greek sigma，and that the usage arose from the habit of writing the name Jesus in Greek letters．This is probably correct as far as it goes，but there seems no reason for extending it to all the cases， especially those where the $s$ is already written in the usual manner． Compare also the forms iacincta（83．24）and Corinctheum（211．1）for iacinta and Corntheum．

158．ig．scyldrum，MS．；but no v．l．given ：there is no corresponding passage in the Latin．

163．12．ceastre，a mistranslation of＇castra，＇which is freely，but more correctly rendered by gefylcio above（161．6）；fyrdwic would be the literal translation of＇castra．＇

163．17．ðurhðyrelað，MS．
167．1．ungewealðes ofslieð，MS．
167．7．arfesðてes，MS．
168．16．manoda，MS．v．l．
171．i I．anbestungne，MS．；see Introduction，pp．xxxii，xxxviii．
172．19．orre，MS．v．l．
173．20．The archaic gerad has been ingeniously made into gegaderode by some late hand．The word occurs again in a very similar passage （363．15），＇sume yfele menn swa gerade beoł ðæt hie ne magon godum monnum derian．＇Compare an analogous alteration in the Parker MS． of the Chronicle（18．15），where the gefor of the original is made into （ge）forpferde．Mr．Earle has in his edition carefully indicated all such late manipulation ；most editors incorporate them into their text without comment．

175．9．son，song，MS．
179．3．ealnu weg，MS．，pointing to an original ealna weg，the $a$ being labialized by the following $w$ ．

180．14．This gingran may be plural ；see Introduction，p．xxxvii．
189．6．The＇non solum＇of the original points to the omission of some negative particle．

189．2 I．biesene－$\widehat{\gamma}_{\text {cet }}$ ．Here $\widehat{\chi}_{\text {cet }}$ appears as a relative pronoun after a feminine substantive．Compare 9．r5，＇heht him swelcra ma brengan bi そære bisene，そæt he his biscepum sendan meahte，＇where ̌cet stands $^{\text {set }}$ for a plural relative．The same tendency to extend the use of the
neuter singular over other genders and the plural is shown in 121.18,
 refers to two masculine substantives.
191.18. gewyrhtu, MS.
192.25. slapan, MS. v.l.
193.21. wreccan, compare arendwrecum (3.6).
195.3. $\begin{aligned} \\ \text {, MS. }\end{aligned}$
196.23. ðeawas, MS. v.l. ; see Introduction, p. xxv.
197.21. bestcel hine - a genuinely Alfredian word ; compare Chronicle (78.12), 'and hie pa under pam hie nihtes bestælon pære fierde se gehorsoda here into Escancestre.'
198.17. forcorfedne, MS. ; C. ii. has forceorfedne.
201.4. to him Arone. This is a solitary instance of the common Icelandic idiom of placing a proper name in opposition to the pronoun of the third person in the plural (which, of course, stands for the dual) ; thus, 'peir Kari' is equivalent to 'hann ok Kari,' 'peim Sigmundi' to 'honom ok Sigmundi.' This usage has hitherto only been found in O. E. with the duals wit and git ; 'wit Scilling' ='I and Scilling' is the standard example. Junius's MS. reads 'him \& Arone,' but it is not impossible that the $\&$ is an interpolation of Junius himself.
203.7. sarwisan, the $r$ is indistinct.
204.io. swerum, MS. v.l. This word probably stands for swarum ; the original has 'solis exemplis,' which agrees with neither C. nor H.
207.18. The connection of this and the following lines with the original is very vague: the Latin has, 'Gavisus sum in Domino vehementer, quoniam tandem aliquando refloruistis pro me sentire sicut et sentiebatis ; occupati enim eratis, ut et illorum culpas,' \&c.
211.1. Corinctheum, compare iacincta, 83.24, and the Icelandic mincsta, mincstu, for minnsta and minnstu (Gíslason, Frumparti, p. 85 ).
213.4. そesalonicensa in one word is no doubt the true reading : the omission of the relative in such sentences of naming is common in O. E. (see March, A.S. Grammar, p. 180), as also in M.H.G., 'sinn pflæge ein künec hiez Anfortas,' 'erbûwens lands hiez Ascalûn,' both examples from Wolfram's Parzivâl.
213.22. Erasure before $\begin{aligned} \text { rycte, } e \text { still legible. }\end{aligned}$
215.19. his, MS.
215.23. Only one for خ̌em in C. i. v.l.
217.7. foresewen, MS.
217.24. beorht, MS.
219.13 . Leaf cut out of MS.
220.5. urra selfra, compare 63.1.
220.ro. ieldcað, MS.; C. ii. has ildcað.
225.13. Three words omitted in H.
227.8. sorig, MS.
229.4. ðam (v.l.), ðces, MSS. Both readings are admissible, but that of $H$. is the more forcible, if we take the סoss in the sense of adeo, as in Cynewulf's 'Seafarer' (l. 39, Grein), 'forłon nis òæs modwlanc mon ofer eorðan- - $æ$ he a his sæfore sorge næbbe.'
229.20. plion, see 37.7 .
230.17. ge . . ., MS.
233.1 . licittan, MS.
235.2 2. unsceadfulnesse, MS.
240.7. se holh, MS., but no v.l.
246.5. manian, MS.
247.21. at cuman. This is one out of many instances which seem to show that the Gothic distinction between gaggan du and kwiman at (Grimm, Gr. iv. 776) once existed in O.E. Compare 59.10 and 132.2 (where one MS. has cet, the other on).
255.23. witteah, MS. ; see Introduction, p. xxxii.
257.25. The Latin has 'scriptum.'
260.2. stenge, MS. v. l. The Latin has 'virtutem.'
261.16. ૪yrstte, MS.
263.9. ฟて $\mathfrak{c e t}, \mathrm{MS}$.
265.25. mon, ðu, MSS. The Latin has 'contuderis.'
267.20. seol[u]fie. Here, as at 269.4 and 368.6.20, the $u$ of the derivative syllable is archaically preserved, as in Gothic silubr.
269.22. eft, the $e$ is indistinct; perhaps it would be safer to read oft, as in C.
271.8. gesprcecan, MS.
271.19. orsorgtran, MS.
273.22. gehweled, Latin 'putredo quæ interius fervet.' The word seems to occur in this place only.
275.23. gehalé, it is quite uncertain whether the accent belongs to the $a$ or the $e$.
276.25. to ieðre, MS. v.l.
277.6. haeft, the $a$ and $e$ written separately. This is an isolated instance of the archaism in the Pastoral.

277.15. \&, MS. This ond for on seems to be caused by the confusion between $n$ and $n d$, treated of in the Introduction. This confusion was so strongly developed in the Old Anglian dialect as to make any other explanation of the and for on or an in such passages as 'hæfdon gleam and dream and heora ordfruman' (Cædm. I3) superfluous. It is, of course, uncertain in all cases whether the and belonged to the original text, or was introduced by the Southern copyist; perhaps the latter supposition is most probable: after having to change scepen, \&c. into scippend several times, the scribe would mechanically substitute and for an without always regarding the sense of the passage.
279.8. ymbs[p]ricd, MS.
285.1. hefug. This $u$ is probably due to assimilation, the original form being hefigu, then hefugu, and finally hefug; compare micul, feminine singular (405.2 r ) and the regular Icelandic feminine singular and neuter plural gömul for gamalu. Such assimilations are rare in O. E., while in O.H.G. they are developed to an often monotonous extent, scōnara for scōnora, spīhiri for spīhari, hungorogon for hungaragon (Heyne, Laut- und Flexionslehre, p. 30).
285.1 2. bedecige. This word, which occurs only here, was overlooked by Junius when he indexed the Pastoral, because his MS. wrote it in two words, bede cige (perhaps Junius himself divided it so), and he assumed bede to be a substantive answering to the German bitte, and cige the verb 'to call.' I do not doubt, however, that we have in bedecian a simple derivative of biddan, which is itself used to express the idea of 'begging' a few lines above, where the words of Solomon are first quoted. Such a derivate exists in the Gothic bidagwa, 'beggar.' A weak verb bidagwōn would answer to an O. E. bedegian, and this with the common change of $g$ into $c$ (sucan for sugan, \&c.) gives bedecian. This bedegian is no doubt the original of our 'beg,' whose etymology has always been a subject of dispute; the syllable deg was probably contracted into $g g$ before the softening of $g$ took place-possibly during the eleventh century-the stages being bedegian, beggian, beggen, beg.
287.5. forpara>, a hápax legómenon. The Latin has 'meritum pervertunt.'
289.1 2. hierre, MS.
291.13. $s \bar{c} s$, MS. Perhaps the contraction ought to be expanded
sanctes, with the English inflection, but the genitive Paulus, which follows immediately after, makes the form sanctus more probable. Latin names are declined very irregularly in O.E.; observe the datives Timotheo, Tite, below, and the accusatives Timotheus, Titum. The other MS. has the more native forms sancte Paules, of which the former calls for some remark. It is the direct descendant of the Latin genitive sanctĩ, which was introduced into English by the missionaries with shortened $i$, sanctŭ, at a period when English still retained inflexional and derivative $i$ (anhendi, geri, gifect, \&c. in the glossary of Epinal), and this $i$ was, like all other unaccented $i$ 's, afterwards weakened to $e$. In the Martyrology fragment the same form sancte represents the feminine singular sancter, and in 443.18, below, it is the English dative singular.
293.4 ortgeard. This word, which is the Gothic aurti-gards, appears also with consonantal assimilation in the form orcgeard (381.14). From this orcgeard the English orchard is derived, with some modification of meaning, ortgeard being applied to any enclosure for cultivating plants or trees, except corn-fields, while orchard is restricted to the signification of the O.E. ceppeltun (381.16). In the passage of the Song of Solomon (381.14.16) 'hortis' is translated by ortgeard and appeltun indifferently, showing that the ideas of 'plant-enclosure' and 'fruit-tree-enclosure' were convertible, if not identical. It is very improbable that our ancestors, living as they did in the midst of wild trees and flowers, had any conception of a Roman 'hortus' ; their gardens were merely enclosures for growing herbs and fruit-trees. The characteristic of a garden as distinguished from a corn-field was that it was enclosed with a wall or hedge; hence in German and Danish the idea of garden is expressed simply by words signifying 'enclosure,' Germ. garten and Danish have, in which the $v$ stands for an older $g$ (compare lov=Icel. lög), consequently have = Engl. hedge. In the Dutch tuin (=E. town) the idea of enclosure is also kept up.
293.9. ungelice, MS., with an $a$ written over the second $e$.
293.13. hio, the $o$ over an erased $e$, still partially legible.
293.19. grete, second e over erasure.
293.19. griellan, Latin 'insequuntur.' This word seems to be a hápax legómenon. It is evidently connected with M. H. G. grelle, 'spike,' 'fork,' and Mod. G. groll. Mr. Skeat compares the Mod. E. grylle, 'sharp' (Halliwell), and adds the following interesting illus-
tration :-‘In Trinity Coll. Library, Camb. are a lot of bookcases given by a Mr. Grylls. Above the name is conspicuous the crest-a hedgehog-obviously because of his prickles.'
295.4. eac omitted in MS.
295.6. Nabab, MS., the second $b$ made into $l$ by erasure.
295.7. lið gescired, Latin 'digesto vino.'
295.ro. him omitted in MS.
295.15. Afnere. Observe how the foreign Abner is naturalized : a becomes $c, b$ becomes $f$, as in noffe for nabbre, and a final $e$ is added to make the word look like a derivate in -ere, Gothic -areis.
295.2 I . There is no other example of such a word as oferbugan. It is probable that the original had to ferbuganne, out of which the scribe made to oferb. by dittography. Compare C. ii.'s reading of ofersiwenlic for the forsewenlic of the two other MSS. (208.1 r).
297.r. kycglum, Latin 'verborum jacula,' is the German kugel; it seems only to occur here.
297.8. pynge, another hápax legómenon; it is, of course, the Latin pungo.
297.if. suelc, MS. ; compare 135.i.
298.I. manian, MS. ; compare 246.5 .
299.16. wyrðmynðu, MS.
299.19. Essaim, MS.
300.in. \& upahaefen, MS., no v.l.
300.20. Here begins what Junius calls a 'fæeda lacuna,' extending to 310.2 .
301.25. onderfóð, MS.
302.8. خurh over erased for.
303.io. straciad, MS.
303.11. han $\Varangle a$, MS., stroke of $\gamma$ erased.
304.9. ob, hie, woľe, MS.
305.1. hine ne, MS.
305.13. ne over crasure.
305.14. $t$ ]ruwien, the en over crasure ; 內onne hi $n$-over erasure.
306.15. Some word seems to be omitted between ૪ara and monna, probably dysigra, answering to the Latin 'stultorum.'
307.i5. orgellic, Latin 'qua conscientia dedignatur homo.'
309.1. wrcennesse, MS., the se erased.
309.6. Ladzarus, MS.
309.8. deeg, MS.
309.io. tunga, $n$ added above the line, which may be contemporary. 309.ir. ðære is probably dativus commodi, referring to tungan.
309.15. anga, Latin 'aculei'; compare Gloss. Ep. 43, 'aquilium' anga and a passage from one of Cynewulf's riddles (24.4, Grein), ' me of bosme fare $æ$ æren onga' (said of the 'boga').
310.4. gewitene, MS. v.l. ; Latin ' gulæ deditos.'
310.16. cwaððe, MS. v.l.
311.6. خa burg cet Hierusalem. This use of ott is a genuine Teutonic idiom: compare the M. H. G. 'diu burc was ze Santen genant' (Nib. 20.4) and the Icelandic 'kaupstaðr mikill, er hét í Lundi' (Eigla).
311.15. unge ylľe, MS. $^{\text {M }}$
312.7. forleten, MS., but no v.l.
312.17. of $\gamma_{o r}$, MS. v.l.; compare gehoef $ð$ (126.14) and the analogous $s$ for st (Appendix I).
313.2. eowe久, MS.; compare infinitive eowan (118.7).
314.7. unge $ð$ afenlice, MS.
315.10. micellre, MS. ; compare gestillde (183.25).
318.9. etendam, MS., no v.l.
319.13. wilniad, MS.
320.19. sceal don, MS., no v.l.
322.12. dale, MS. v.l.
323.1o. rummodnessa, plural: compare the O.H.G. and M. H. G. use of abstract substantives in the plural, mit êron, mit solden, ze hulden (Grimm, Gr. iv. 288). The $a$ may however be a singular inflection, as in C. ii. 194.r, for his suuongornessa, and in feminines in -unga.
323.13. lofes, lifes, MSS., Latin 'laudem.'
323.20. ðinne, ðine, MSS., Latin 'fratres tuos.'
324.12. genyhtsunnesse, MS., no v.l.
326.4. unrihtwisum, MS. v.l.
327.16. Here pening is used in the plural to signify money generally, as is still the case in Swedish and Danish-'have penge (Swedish hafva penningar) hos sig.' Compare 391.27 .
330.4. hwider, MS.
331.3. ૪on, MS.
331.5. á, ac, MSS., Latin 'semper.'
333.5 . ie icenn, MS. Are we to read ieicenn in one word, and assume a weakening of $g e$ into $i e$ ? The Modern E. $i$ - for $g e$ - is found in the Cottonian MS. of Dial. Greg., a MS. of the tenth century.

335．13．te，MS．with an $o$ written over the $e$ ．
336．ェ i．ofersceadoð，MS．
336．13．sceade，MS．，no v．l．
339．1 2．Iohannes，the $e$ altered from an $i$ ．
340．4．unsal＞，MS．，no v．l．
341．4．unslaw $\gamma$ ，the slow over an erasure．
343．8．bring，MS．
343．24．foeten，MS．
345．3．coom，MS．
345．20．cunnan，MS．
347．5．tympano，MS．；compare Phariseo（361．25）．
347．20．geearnox，MS．
349．17．欠ot，compare 189．2 I．Note also the use of for，as in the Danish＇for meget，＇＇altfor stor，＇to express excess，instead of to，E． too．As far as I know，this is a solitary instance．
350.2 ．そeawas，MS．v．l．

351．2．Here habbar with the participial preterite does not express any idea of time，but＝＇keep（hold of）．＇

351．8．lifes omitted in MS．
351．13．đurhwiniendan，MS．
351．15．ðonn，MS．
351．25．eccean，MS．
353．1．Compare 359．24，＇ne eft ðæm deofle nan cræft（ne bið） leoftælra ૪onne hie mon slite．＇

353．14．૪et eleuis，MS．
357．3．monode，MS．
361．I3．gemodsumeran，MS．
361．20．hlecar，Latin＇glomerantur．＇I do not know the origin of this word，or if it occurs elsewhere．
361.25 ．The use of folc in this passage is an interesting tradition of the earliest stage of society in which every small body of men was a＇nation，＇and as the men of a tribe constituted its army，the ideas of ＇nation，＇＇crowd，＇and＇army＇were convertible．Thus in an earlier passage（129．8）folc and here are both used to signify army，＇se here bił eal idel，犭onne he on ołer folc winnan sceal，gif se heretoga dwolał＇；compare also 227．24．In the derivate gefylce（161．6）the idea of＇army＇is always predominant，as in the Icelandic fylki and fylkir（general）．Here itself originally implied nothing more than a crowd，as appears in numerous passages of the Heliand，where heri
and folc are used in parallelism, 'bigan thia heri Iudeono, that folc fragoian,' and in the Chronicle 'se here' is always understood of the savage, marauding host of the Danes, as opposed to the native 'fierd.'
363.2. broxor, MS., with an $u$ written over the second $o$. This sentence is not altogether intelligible, and is certainly a mistranslation; the Latin has ' viri fratres, ego Pharisæus sum,' \&c.
363.3. Fariscisc, MS.
363.5. antsacodon, MS. ; see Introduction, p. xxxi.
363.6. Farisseos, MS.
365.7. maniene, MS.
365.8. C. ii. has the ingenious reading ' $\begin{array}{r}\text { one ealdan drinc.' }\end{array}$
365.10. ̌.et omitted in MS. before isen.
366.14. bearneacan, MS.
367.3. sindon, MS.
367.19. hlige, Latin 'doctrinæ opinionem sibi faciunt'; this hápax legomenon is evidently of the same root as the substantive hlisa (fame).
368. I 1. oele, see Introduction, p. xxviii. This spelling with oe, pointing to an original $\bar{\sigma} l$, is important, as bearing on the question of the derivation word, for it seems, like the O.H.G. olei (Mod. $\partial \mathrm{l}$ ), to show that the Latin oleum is its original. It is, however, remarkable that the Vespasian Psalter, which regularly expresses the $\bar{o}$-uml. with oe, always writes ele, which cannot be derived from the Latin oleum, but must, like the Gothic alè $w$, have been an indigenous word. I confess myself unable to solve the difficulty.
375.9. herestrot simply means a road for the multitude, without any reference to armies ; compare note to 361.25 , above. The same remark applies also to the word herberge in German.
375.22. ungemetlicere, MS.
380.8. drynce, MS. ; compare halwyndan (364.9, 425.17).
381.2. gemanigfalðod, MS.
385.31. feorwe, the $w$ over erasure. As it stands the word can only be the dative of feorh (life), Gothic fairhwau, but I cannot extract any sense from it. The original has 'aliquando adolescentia juventus vocatur.' I have, however, just received an explanation from Mr. Skeat, which is no doubt correct. 'The word meant is midfeorl = middle life, midst of life : and then the scribe, having written mid, thinks it to be a prep. and turns feorh into feorwe.'
387.13. gehydnes. This word only occurs here, and is explained by Junius as 'deversorium.' The Latin words answering to the whole
sentence are＇subsidia itineris＇；gehydnes and getcosu are probably synonyms，like خegnas ond خeowas＝＇servi＇（15．6）：gehydnes can hardly therefore have the concrete sense of＇inn，＇which would be quite out of place．I believe gehydnes stands for gehygdnes，and comes from the root of hyge，hyht，\＆c．，which often developes the meaning ＇pleasure，＇＇comfort，＇out of that of＇hope＇：gehydnes is therefore practically identical in meaning with getosu，and signifies＇comfort．＇ The lexicographical history of this word，and of innumerable others， proves that the material of our dictionaries is stolen，directly or indirectly，from Junius，without acknowledgment or revision．

391．7．geandsworax，MS．
391．29．tó te，MS．
393．4．Xeng，MS．The same form occurs in the Charter．
393．26．agðer oðrum．Observe throughout this chapter the use of the neuter to include a masculine and feminine subject．This common Teutonic idiom is not strongly marked in O．E．，because of the want of inflection．In Icelandic it is rigorously observed up to the present day；thus，in the poem of the Fisherman and the Flounder all pronouns and adjectives referring to the man and the fish together are in the neuter－＇pau stríddu hvort við annars mátt，＇because the flounder（fly $\searrow_{r a}$ ）is feminine．

393．30．hiofen，apparently a hitherto unrecorded strong verb． Compare Gothic and O．H．G．hiufan．

397．4．ne ne，MS．
399．r 5．medemestan，the first $e$ originally an $i$ ．
401．2 I．ðare，MS．；compare C．ii． 176.2 I．
405．r．dela，Latin＇mammæ pubertatis．＇This word was overlooked by Junius：he probably confounded it with dēta，although $\bar{e}$ is never written $e$ in the Pastoral，except perhaps in one or two doubtful cases． It does not occur elsewhere，and，of course，does not appear in Lye or any later work．It is the O．H．G．tila，tili feminine，＇uber，＇＇papilla，＇ which postulate an O．E．nominative delu，and belongs to the same root as milcdeondra，＇lactantium＇（Vespasian Psalms，8．2）．

405．4．wcestmas ðara dela again translates＇mammæ pubertatis．＇
405．19．gesynngiar，MS．
405.2 r．micul，MS．，see note on 285．i．

407．34．gehealてað，MS．

409．33．九九e，MS．

## 411.4. ongietad, MS.

411.27. carbunculis, MS., $u$ written over the $i$.
413.24. be Xem inked over by a late hand; I have changed Xem to $\rtimes_{\text {ct }}$.
415.6. wuton, MS., with archaic retention of the original $w$.
419.2. heortaa, MS.
419.27. sylian, which only occurs here, is, together with sol, of the same root as the Latin sordes. Compare O. H. G. kisolotiu, 'lota in volutabro,' a gloss on this very passage.
419.33. fcetax, an obscure word, evidently connected with German $v a z z e n, v a z$, O. E. fcet, \&c. The nearest in form is the Icelandic fceta, used in such phrases as 'eiga um vandræða at fæta' (grapple with), 'trautt megu menn um hann fæta' (manage him). For other examples see the Oxford Dictionary, s.v. The whole group of words belongs to the root pad, used in a transitive sense.
421.5. hefigran, see Introduction, p. xxxvii.
421.10. eftga, imperative of eftgian, of which the subjunctive eftgige occurs in the next line. Junius has incorrectly given the infinitive as eftgan, which Bosworth writes eftgán, evidently regarding the word as composed of eft and the verb gan; Ettmüller, accordingly, refers under eft to eftgangan, without however giving anything under gangan. This is a common trick of his ; he refers in the same way under onga to anga, and omits anga altogether.
423.4. ૪orhtioð, compare ðorhwuniað (Vespasian Psalms, 5.6) and porgifect, port in the Epinal Glossary.
423.5. wisdom, the first three letters are surmounted by points, showing that they were to be erased.
423.15. funde $=$ ' devised,' compare Chronicle, 104.3, 'and se cyng hæfde funden pæt him (the pirates) mon sæt wir-pat hie ne dorston pæt land nawer gesecan on pa healfe.'
427.33. gemanigfaľod, MS. Sodomware, MS., with $a$ written above the $e$.
429.3. $e$ erased after the first $c$ of fore $\begin{gathered}\text { onclice }\end{gathered}$
431.2. celce daeg, MS. ; compare 309.8 and the regular todceg.
431.16. wundedod, MS., with $n$ (late ?) written over the last $d$.
431.25. wacað seems to have been originally waecað, the $e$ having been erased.
435.2 I. setelum, MS.
435.22. geleornað, Latin 'ex deliberatione perpetrari.' leornian
and lecran had originally a much wider meaning than they have in the modern language, and were often employed without any reference to learning or teaching. Thus, leerdon translates 'predicaverunt' in an earlier passage (429.28), 'hi lærdon hira synna swa swa Sodome dydon, \& hi hi nanwuht ne hælon.' The sense of geleornian in the present passage is borne out by a passage of Beowulf (2336, Grein), where leornian occurs in the signification of 'desiring,' 'him łæs guðcyning, Wedera peoden wræce leornode.' Compare also the O.H. G. ' ih lirnen, uuieo reht tu bist,' where lirnen has the sense of 'meditate,' which is nearly that of our present geleornar.
437.I3. gegaddrode, MS.
437.14. sicerax, of the same root as sihan, apparently only in this passage.
437.15. hlece, a hápax legômenon; the $h$ is probably an irregular addition, as in his for $i s, \& c$., as the Icelandic verb leka, lak (to dribble), and the adjective lekr, show no initial $h$.
437.20. gelīsian, Latin 'paulatim decidit,' occurs only here. It belongs to the same root as l̄̄ran, leornian, and läst.
439.33. welstincenda, Latin 'benevolentia.' The change of meaning of the verb stincan and its derivatives, which, in German and Dutch as well as English, has made it a word of exclusively disagreeable associations, makes it difficult for us to appreciate the fact that it was originally applied to any odour, good or bad, the exact sense being determined by the context. Compare Phœnix, 585 (Grein), 'fægre gefrætwed fugle gelicast in eadwelum æðelum stencum,' and Riddle, 41.23, 'ic eom on stence strengre ૪onne recels,' and the M. H. G. 'daz opfer stanch suoze' (Müller, W.B. s. v.).
441.27. wǐtremと, only here, from the same root as trem (step) in Beow. ${ }^{5} 5^{25}$ (Grein), ' nelle ic beorges weard oferfleon fotes trem.'
441.28. onhupað, another hápax legómenon; compare Icelandic hopa undan (retreat).
443.I. unnyt, MS.
443.5. afandon, MS.; compare 431.r6.
443.1 i walde, a solitary example of a common Old Anglian form, as in Cynewulf's Dream of the Rood (Ruthwell text), 'pa he walde an galgu gistiga.'
443.25. geonre-the Gothic jains, German jener, hitherto unknown in O.E. It is the Scotch yon, whose o is explained ly the O.E. diphthong eo.
445.r3. gelent. See Mr. Earle's note on this word in his edition of the Chronicle, p. 309.
445.19. Iohannis, MS. ; compare 339.r2.
447.18. wealg, Latin 'tepidus.' This word was overlooked by Junius, who probably confounded it with the wealg of anwealg (entire), with which it has no connection, anwealg being from the same root as wealwian, Latin volvo, Icelandic sivalr, while the present wealg is the Icelandic volgr, one of the commonest words in the living language, which in Old Icelandic would appear as válgr=still older valgr. Its proper meaning is 'lukewarm,' in which sense it is frequently applied to milk fresh from the cow, but all over Iceland it is said of any hot liquid, such as coffee.
449.9. beforum, MS.
449.24. teladon, MS., another form of tilodon.
455.27. ไ৫r ='if,' compare 37.9.
457.I5. ctgæddre, MS.
457.16. werpe, MS. This can hardly be anything else but an error for weres, $s$ and $p$ being nearly of the same shape, yet C. ii. has weorpe.
457.i6. bewitan, MS.
459.17. cilderu, MS.
459.32. grcet, Latin 'cantat,' is not from grēetan (weep), but grēedan ; compare Riddle, $25 \cdot 3$, 'hwilum (ic) grede swa gos.'
461.16. hudenige, Latin 'excutiant,' occurs only here. I am unable to suggest any derivation, unless the word be of the same root as the Latin quatio, which the lautverschiebung would certainly allow. Mr. Skeat compares the Scotch houd, 'to shake.'
463.6. te indistinct, may be to.
463.13. The first half of this line has been partly worn away in the MS., and then inked over by a later hand.
463.22. geniððrad, MS.
465.32. unmehta inked over by a later hand.

## A P P E N DIX I.

The Old-English Đ.

The following remarks are an enlargement of a paper read by me before the Philological Society in 1869. As that paper may not be accessible to all who possess this work, and as many of the views advanced in it have since received additional confirmation and illustration, no apology can be needed for introducing here a brief summary of the arguments bearing on the important question of the origin and pronunciation of the thorn-letters and the allied consonants of the labial and guttural series.

To avoid ambiguity I shall, in treating of sounds, as distinguished from their symbols, use Mr. Ellis's palæotype, enclosing, as he has done, palæotypic letters and words in parentheses. In palæotype: (th) as in 'thin,' (dh) as in 'that,' (kh) as Romaic $\chi$, (gh) as Romaic $\gamma$, (H) as in ' $h \mathrm{as}$, , (dн) as in Sanskrit 'dhanu ' (true aspirate) ; the other consonants as in English.

In the oldest Teutonic language, Gothic, the thorn is uniformly represented by one simple character, taken from the old Runic alphabet. This fact, taken in connection with the remarkable accuracy of Ulfilas's alphabet, makes it probable that the sound was also simple and uniform: either (th) or (dh). A strong argument in favour of the latter pronunciation is afforded by the frequent and, in many cases, apparently arbitrary change between this p and $d$ in the middle and at the end of words. When we find baup and baud constantly varying, it is difficult to believe that the voiced $d$ would at once change to a voiceless $p$, or vice versâ.

In Old High German we find the Gothic thorn generally represented by a $d$, which has continued in use up to the present day. In some of the oldest documents which verge towards Low German the combination $d h$ is written for $d$ in all positions, initial, medial and final. Finally, in the majority of the Old English MSS. the letter $\gamma$, an
evident modification of $d$, is used in all positions. We thus arrive at the result that the thorn was originally uniformly vocal (dh). A serious objection may, however, be brought against the original voiced pronunciation, grounded on the connection of the Teutonic languages with the Old Aryan languages in general, where the thorn is represented by a ( t ). It cannot be denied that the direct conversion of a voiceless stopped consonant into a vocal unstopped is phonetically improbable, or even impossible; but there is an intermediate stage possible, which removes all difficulties. In Modern Danish and Icelandic all medial and final $d$ 's, when uncompounded with other consonants, are pronounced (dh), whatever their origin may be, and the same change has taken place in English, though only to a partial extent, as shown in such words as father, hwither, thither, (O. E. fceder, hwider, pider). To this may be added that in Modern Greek the letter delta is pronounced (dh) in all positions, initial as well as medial and final, so that the sound of (d) is almost unknown in that language. I think these facts are strong enough to justify the assumption of an earlier stage of the Teutonic languages in which the Old Aryan ( t ) was changed into (d), whence the later ( dh ) arose from imperfect stopping, as in Modern Greek :

| Old Aryan | t | d | dH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oldest Teutonic | d | t | dH |
| Oldest Low German | dh | t | d |
| Oldest High German | d | tH | $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}$ |

The $d$ therefore, in those Gothic words which fluctuate between $d$ and $p$, is to be considered as the original sound. The same $d$ appears in many words, in O.E. as well as Gothic, invariably, instead of the normal p or $\gamma$ :

In $d d$, arising generally out of original $d j$, as in pridda for pridja.
In the so-called grammatical change in strong verbs, as weorran, wurdon.

Lastly, in many isolated words, such as feeder, modor, contrasting with brotor.

This is not the place to enter into a minute enquiry as to the causes of this remarkable variation; it seems certain that, although some rules can be laid down, many of the cases do not follow any definite principle, as is plainly seen in the last three words quoted.

These irregularities only occur in the middle and at the end of words. It seems therefore probable that the change began initially, and was afterwards carried out less perfectly medially and finally.

The later modifications of original ( dh ) all reduce themselves to :
r] change from voice to breath, (dh) becoming (th) ;
2] conversion of (dh) or (th) into a stop, giving (d) or (t).
Before proceeding to more minute details, it will be advisable to add a few remarks on the phonetic character of the changes.

The oldest changes of (t) into (d) and (d) into (t) must have occurred simultaneously, otherwise the original ( t ) and (d) would have merged into one sound, either ( t ) or (d), without the possibility of an after restoration of the original distinction. The phenomenon is in fact, a case of simple confusion or interchange, as familiarly exemplified in the vulgar hair for air and 'are for hare, when heard, as is not unfrequently the case, from the same mouth. It is important to observe that such changes are quite independent of general phonetic laws, and, as in the present case, as often directly opposed to them : for, if the change from ( $t$ ) to (d) be a weakening, the other from (d) to ( t$)$ must be a strengthening, and therefore opposed to the general tendency of sounds; and vice versa.

The other changes are of the ordinary phonetic character : they result from relaxation of articulative energy, modified by assimilative tendencies. Of all articulations the 'stopped' consonants require the greatest exertion : the slightest relaxation of the formative action allows the breath to escape, producing various articulations, which may be conveniently included under the common term 'unstopped.' Such was certainly the origin of the Romaic (dh), and probably of the Teutonic thorn also. The later change of $(\mathrm{dh})$ to (th) is from voice to breath, and as such will no doubt be pronounced by all philologists contrary to the general law of progressive weakening. It is true that (th) has a sharper and harder sound than (dh), and that the distinction of 'hard' and 'soft' is so far correct, but if we examine the formation of the sounds, the case is exactly reversed. The action of the tongue is identical in both sounds, but in the formation of (dh), besides the position of the tongue which forms the (th), there is the additional exertion of bringing the vocal chords together, which of course diminishes the force of the breath in the mouth. It is evident, therefore, that diminished acoustic effect is quite compatible with increased energy of organic formation.

This law is, however, liable to considerable modification by assimilation, or the tendency to save trouble by continuing a given formative position unchanged, or with as little change as possible. Assimilation,
although due to the same relaxative tendencies as the special phonetic laws, yet, like the tendency to interchange, often runs counter to them. Thus, the change of (kJ) to (kk) in O. E. wrecca for wrakja, if considered as a change from $j$ to $k$, would seem contrary to all analogy, while, from an assimilative point of view, it is perfectly natural.

It is this assimilative influence which explains the retention of (dh) or its substitute (d) medially, whilst devocalization is allowed to take place in the unprotected initial and final positions.

In most of the Scandinavian languages, in Dutch and in German, the thorn appears as a stopped consonant. At first sight we are tempted to assume retention of an older pronunciation, at least in the case of Dutch and German, where the $d$ appears in the earliest documents, but the non-occurrence of an analogous $b$ for the actual $v$ or $f$ makes it almost certain that the $d$ in Dutch and German, like the corresponding stop of the Scandinavian languages, has arisen from an earlier (dh).

This change from unstopped to stopped is highly anomalous, and can only be paralleled by the Italian and Old French change of Latin $j$ into a stopped consonant, and the similar phenomenon in Old Greek, which are equally opposed to the general tendency of phonetic changes.

We now come to Old English, where we find the original (dh) expressed by three symbols : $p$, th and $\gamma$. All the oldest MSS. use one of these signs, generally confining themselves to that one, and when they vary, seeming to do so entirely at random. The later (post-Alfredic) MSS. use both $p$ and $x$, often rather loosely, but generally with a certain regularity. This points to the conclusion that the two pronunciations which we are accustomed to associate with $p$ and $\gamma$ (chiefly from the Modern Icelandic rules so prominently brought forward by Rask) were of later origin ; that all the three symbols originally denoted the same sound, that is to say (dh).

The first books known in England were Latin books. The first books written in England, whether Latin or English, were written exclusively with Latin letters. The sound (dh) not being provided with any distinct symbol in the Latin alphabet, the approximate digraph th was adopted, which certainly then indicated in Latin some breath sound, probably (th). It was probably the feeling of the inaccuracy and clumsiness of using such a combination to express a voiced and simple consonant that led to its rejection. Two courses were now open : to adopt the old Runic letter, in the same way as the wen was made to
supersede the clumsy and ambiguous $u u$, or invent a new sign, to dispense with the necessity of introducing a Runic letter. As we see, both courses were adopted : some chose one letter, some the other ; all were unanimous in rejecting the $t$. Afterwards, when the two sounds (dh) and (th) had become fixed and recognized, the two letters were utilized to express the distinction. It is easy to see why this system was not carried out very strictly in practice : orthography is but a means to an end, and the requirements of intelligibility often fall far short of those of an accurate phonetic notation. Nevertheless, the history of the thorn in O. E. shows a high standard of perfection both in the appreciation and symbolization of sounds, contrasting favourably with the barbarous eccentricities of our present orthography-as shown not least of all in the present subject of investigation.

The Runic inscriptions, of course, use the pexclusively. The question therefore arises, what is the origin of this $p$ ? I think there can be little doubt that Mr. Vigfússon's theory is correct: he considers the p to be the Latin $D$ with the stem prolonged both ways. He further thinks that the Runic sign for $d$ was made by joining two of these $D^{\prime}$ 's back to back. This, if correct, shows that when the alphabet was first introduced among the Germanic tribes, the ( dh ) was still in its original stage of (d), the sign being preserved after the sound had changed, just as the modern Greeks keep their $\delta=(\mathrm{dh})$ unchanged Double $\mathrm{D}=(\mathrm{d})$ suggests the theory that the original aspirate had at that time by assimilation been changed to (dd)-a long or 'held (d), which would afterwards be reduced to simple (d).

| Aryan | d | t | dH |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Oldest Teutonic | t | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D})$ | $\mathrm{dd}(\mathrm{Da})$ |
| Later Teutonic | t | $\mathrm{dh}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Da})$ |

All the MSS. of Alfred's time belong to the older class. They show that in his time the sound (th) was not recognized, and, therefore, that the constant use of $\gamma$ in the two Pastoral MSS. is a genuine indication of the pronunciation. Other MSS. of Alfred's period employ the p with equal exclusiveness. A good example is the Parker MS. of the Chronicle, certainly one of the most archaic MSS. that can, with any certainty, be attributed to Alfred's reign. The Lauderdale Orosius, which is probably rather later than the Pastoral MSS., shows both $p$ and $\gamma$, but the p's greatly predominate. It must also be noted that isolated p's occur in the Pastoral MSS. and $\gamma$ 's in
the Parker Chronicle, showing that the scribes were acquainted with both $p$ and $X$.

The more accurate of the later MSS. generally write $p$ initially and $\gamma$ medially. Exceptions to this gencral rule arise from peculiarities of the MS. word-division, which frequently differs from that of our printed texts. Thus, if the word 'broðor' comes at the end of a line, so that there is only room for the first three letters, the ' ‘or' which begins the next line is written with a p. The same is the case when a word in the middle of a line is, from motives of calligraphical elegance or convenience, divided into two groups of letters, 'bro łor' again becoming 'bro por.' If, on the contrary, two words are written in one group, so that the initial $p$ of the second becomes orthograpically medial, the $p$ is changed into $\gamma$ : 'for pam pe' becomes 'for $૪$ am $\gamma e$,' ' wið pone' becomes 'wiððone,' \&c. This is the explanation of the frequent writing of pronominal thorn-words with $\gamma$, which in an earlier paper I erroneously considered an argument in favour of my theory. It was not till I had carefully examined the Bodleian MS. of Elfric's Homilies that I discovered the real MS. usage. The assumption of a (dh) sound of these pronominal words in O. E. must, therefore, rest on other grounds. It need scarcely be remarked that such niceties as writing 'spricp' for 'sprič' are as much beyond the capacity of the old scribes as they seem to be of modern critical editors, who do not stop to consider whether their 'normalized' spric $\gamma$, pirscð (-skdh), \&c. are phonetically possible or not. It is evident that the scribes mechanically followed an orthographic tradition without exercising any independent judgment of their own : the systematic utilization of the two letters begun by some poroddr of the period was found of little practical importance for purely literary purposes, and therefore, like the use of accents, degenerated into an unmeaning piece of calligraphy.

We can now safely assume three stages in the history of the thorn in English :
 The mystery of the pronunciation of the, thou, \&c. is now solved: these words are simply archaisms, remnants of an older stage of pronunciation preserved unchanged by the frequency of their occurrence ${ }^{1}$.

[^32]It need hardly be remarked that the results of the above investigation apply equally to the corresponding back (guttural) and lip consonants. We can, however, only trace the history of the $f$ in $\mathbf{O}$. E. by the analogy of the thorn. There can be no doubt that the $f$ was originally vocal in all cases, like the Welsh $f$, as is shown by the German spelling-preserved up to the present day-of uolc, uogal, \&c., and the pronunciation of Modern Dutch.

It is probable that the earliest sound of the $f$ was (bh), the purely labial preceding the dento-labial articulation, as in Romaic.

The case of $h$ is somewhat different. The frequent omission of the initial $h$ in the Hatton Pastoral, as in cefde for haefle, is almost certain evidence that initial $h$ at that period represented the simple expulsion of breath, which, being the weakest of all articulations, is incapable of further degradation, and can only be dropped. If the initial $h$ had the sound of (gh), or even (kh), as has been conjectured, it would no more have been liable to be dropped than ( s ), ( r ), or any other consonant. It is evident, therefore, that formative weakening has proceeded farther with this series than with the other two. The explanation must be sought in an important phonetic law: general weakening tendencies attack the strongest articulations first. Accordingly, we find that while original (d) and (b) have only passed through one stage of weakening, original initial (g) has passed through no less than three: (gh), (kh) and ( H ), in the last reaching the extreme of phonetic decrepitude. Medial and final $h$ seem to have remained parallel with the point and lip series, although it is not improbable, according to the law just stated, that final (gh) may soon have become (kh). Note, however, the spelling bogh for boh or bog (Past. 81.19).

The cases in which $d, b$ and $g$ represent original Aryan $t, p$ and $k$ may be divided into two classes : the first including those cases treated of above, in which the abnormality is invariable, and extends through the whole language ; the second, those which appear only as archaisms in the older MSS. In the very oldest MSS. the words which have $d$, $b$ and $g$ instead of the later $\gamma, f$ and $h$ are so numerons, that we are almost forced to the conclusion that at a period not much earlier than the beginning of the eighth century, the sounds represented by $૪, f$ and $h$ did not occur anywhere but initially. Thus, in the fragment of Cædmon we find gidanc, heben for the Alfredic geðonc, hefon, in the Epinal glossary sud for sur, loda alternating with lotha, and gibaen for gifen. The cases of $g$ for $h$ are so common in the MSS. of the

Pastoral that earlier examples are not necessary: such forms as slog for sloh belong to the most marked characteristics of Alfred's period. There are also a few examples of $b$ for $f$, as ob for of (304.9), bewcebed for bewoefed (82.8). It is remarkable that no corresponding examples of $d$ for $\gamma$ occur in the Pastoral. An interesting example of the change of $b$ into $f$ is afforded by one of Cynewulf's riddles, where the word boga written backwards appears in the shape of agof. The Northumbrian original had correctly agob ; the scribe, misled by the frequent necessity of altering the Northumbrian preposition ob into of treated the second syllable of the unintelligible word in the same way.

Distinct traces of the final $d$ for $\gamma$ occur in the verbal termination $-t$ for $-\Varangle$, which is not unfrequent in the Pastoral ; thus, ðyncet for ૪ynceð (25.9), dot for do૪ (61.15). This ðyncet is nothing but Xynced, the original of $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ncer } \\ \text {, with the final } d \text { devocalized, as in sint }\end{aligned}$ for sind. These forms, which are almost universal in some of the oldest MSS. and are probably the originals of the otherwise in-
 gencrally rejected as 'errors of the scribe.'

The $f$ and $h$ in the combination $f t$ and $h t$ must be carefully distinguished from the other $f$ 's and $h$ 's treated of above. They were formed directly from the original Aryan (p) and (k), the following ( t ) protecting them from the changes which the other (p)'s and (k)'s underwent. The original pt and $c t$ are still preserved in some of the oldest documents, thus the Epinal glossary has scaept for sceaft, nect for niht, the fragment of Cædmon dryctin for dryhten ${ }^{1}$. The assimilative influence of the $t$ precludes the possibility of an original vocal pronunciation : the change must have been direct from (pt) to (pht) and (ft), from (kt) to (kht).

Analogous to this $f$ and $h$ is a very remarkable $\gamma$, which seems to be peculiar to the Old West-Saxon dialect, and appears only in a few MSS. It is in its origin quite distinct from the ordinary $\gamma$, and resembles the above-mentioned $f$ and $h$ in being uniformly voiceless. It is a modification of a $t$, but only in the combination st; thus, teldes $૪$, ciddes $\gamma$ for toeldest, ciddest, goes $ð$ for gast. The change is evidently due to the assimilative influence of the preceding $s$, and might almost be termed consonantal umlaut, the (th) being exactly intermediate to

[^33]the ( t ) and the ( s ), a relation which is distinctly shown in Mr. Bell's speech symbols. The occurrence of this $s \gamma$ is, as remarked above, limited. It is extremely frequent in H. and very rare in C. and C. ii. Examples in C. are loš̌e (8.16) and cewfostoše (26.4), in C. ii. $u n \rtimes r i s \not a n$ (212.3) and ytemešan (244.20). Isolated examples occur in later MSS. of Alfred's works, and the Dialogues of Gregory show several, while in the Chronicle, Charter, and Martyrology, there is not a single one.

Traces of a similar assimilation of $f$ and $t$ appear in gehoe $f$ (126.14)


## A P P ENDIX II．

Readings of C．II．${ }^{1}$

 was beran； 30.3 druncen， 5 lære૪， 7 hit ne，in ændeb．，hit nan， 16 geđæncean， 16 pæ he， 20 demm， 21 geændod， 22 geændod；32．I geæn－ dode， 2 خieder， 3 久one he， 18 gidseden ； 34.1 ondræden， 7 gepæncean， 18 łoncas； 36.4 heardan， 5 earde， 7 pleah， 8 forsænde， 1 i si bisgung， 13 si［o］monifalde， 23 hwider，gebæncan ； 38.2 gesy［n］gað， 3 ælðeod－ gan，il se pæt， 12 ure gełonc，is to wuldre，is ræłe； 40.5 dyrre，in mæge ．．\＆cræfta， 16 ælængum，on ælcre， 23 ðænceał； 42.1 犭y hie， 4 lufas $\nless u ; 44.9$ scoiað，feet， 11 gieman， 24 wolden，pænceað； 46.2 geearnunga \＆d．， 4 fæ ．．．； 48.2 swiðe omitted， 5 widsoc， 8 isaias， sændan 9 isaias，sende， 10 muðæ， 14 isaias， $21 \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{e}]$ arm； 50.14 dydæ 18 ladreowdom；52．1 opærra， 10 and om．， 14 ge om．， 20 ða， nan， 22 endebyřlice，biscepdon； 54.6 herenesse， 9 mid ｀æm om．；in toworpan， 12 pænce $\gamma$, I 7 hæ久， 19 犭æncp； 56.4 pett， 9 al．．， 12 pænce， 20 bion Xonne， 21 pæncean； 58.2 gonoh， 11 \＆gee．， 20 Fariseos； 60.8 middangerdes， 13 rihtwisnesse， $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ \＆h．， 17 b．خ．w．； 64.2 æni， 9 ræc૪，II unrihtwisan，I7 steppað ryhte； 66.9 sio foruda hond， 12 se forudfota， 14 upplican； 68.16 ablænd； 70.3 he hæfð，blinð， 4 and om．， 7 fære૪，utasciet， 10 utane， 1 I giočan， 15 se hæfz， 16 and om． before gif， 19 clæwe ${ }^{2}$ ； 72.4 se bið h．he， 6 næfre mæg，in aflowen， 18 scylda； 74.2 drogtian； 76.10 noslum， 18 step $\gamma, 20$ unoblin－ nendlice， 22 suixe is poet；78．1 hie，seolfum， 4 sołfestnesse， 5 pa domas beran， 8 mænnisce， 9 gemænge，for $\mathrm{r}_{\text {on }}$ he； 80.3 heord se， 4 そætte sio heord added， 7 emnnmicel， 8 stæfn， 19 bôg； 82.1 ægnu， 6 ege godes， 24 iacinta； 84.6 tacnað pætte ðæs，II gepænce， 14 kynelice；86．14 wan；88．15 hyde $\mathrm{e}[\mathrm{o}] \mathrm{w}$ ； 90.2 e［0］wre witgan， 3 hie eow， 7 stæfn， 10 gehata૪， 12 cǽig， 15 halwynde， $19 \&$ cwæ૪ om．， 20 Ker b．； 92.12 beh．m．b．； 94.2 stæfne，in si $[0]$ anlicnes， 16 fore－

[^34]Xæncan， 22 gepæncean， 24 se pr．； 96.2 suaper， 3 acende， 5 flownesse， 14 cucum； 98.7 be［a］h ； 100.6 swelce， 8 licitte， 20 uferra［n］； 102.5 hali $[g]$ dom， 7 yb hwet， 9 erce， 14 خonne geferscipe； $104 . \mathrm{r}$ pat ðe，$^{2}$ 9 weor， 20 he eac， 24 Xincp；106．1 nanum， 18 ic geo， 19 earnunga； 108.14 mægister， 23 wildorlice； 110.2 bet te； 112.25 wenst［p］u； 114.1 wlæncea， 19 geearnonode； 118.15 sien gełrycced， 17 ponne ne mæge；126．14 gehæft， 17 sceolon， 22 w．bið； 128.15 ablænt； 130.3 h．æ．s．， 13 ælXeodig， 18 sceolon， 19 sceolon； 144.7 خæncap，
 modlice， 21 sylfe， 25 gemetgiæn； $146.2 \mathrm{mæg}$ ， 3 seolflice， 12 prette， 14 scæl he scæl，i5 no pa， 16 deagelnesse ； 148.13 mæm， 15 swar－ modnesse ； 150.8 patte， 13 scæl ； 156.23 yuel ； 158.2 untrumnesse， for ̌em， 4 deałlican， 5 hnecnesse， 6 sc．æ．m．g．， 19 hæbben， 20 yuell， 22 hlareowdomes； 160.12 lecgead， 16 ouplican， 21 atiebred， 22 sæcgð， 23 unłeowas，æghwylc， 24 setigende，swa swe ； 162.5 ym， $6 \mathrm{ym}, 7$ gesæg ， 8 ea．æ．久．m．， 12 aræð， 13 ryhlican，foresæcgð， 16 berinde， 17 łan scearpan ramman， 19 forstænt， 23 \＆for，sie wielm ； 164.1 mægenn， 2 lareowas，swǐer，gegræmie， 3 uphaæfen， 5 ge－ scænded，in stragne，خylæs， 12 \＆hire monna， 14 خæs sacerdos， 15 hwæthwug， 16 ðrearlice， 17 hiremænn， 18 unmetgod， 19 agyltandon； 166.2 anra， 8 ræðnesse， 9 nyde scyle， 10 stiðlice， 1 I ofslehð， 14 feaunga，łreaunga， 16 medłearf， 17 he on， 20 anre， 21 łæah， 23
 $13 \mathrm{~h} . \&$. X．，$^{17} 7$ dauit， 19 bibead drihten， 20 sceal beberan，earce， 21 hahoh， 22 earcan，his， 24 ringas，earcan； 170.3 fiowar，feower hyrnum， 4 ¡æm feower hyrnar is， 6 wæg，iI annbestungne，eorce， 12 łæette， $14 \ldots$ re laran gelædde， 15 lareawas， 16 mænn， 19 mid－ そearf， 21 cweden， 24 hieremænn； 172.1 sæcende， 2 eowan， 9 ðæ ge， $13 \mathrm{~m} æ n \mathrm{n}, 14$ si h．， 16 se wæs haten ołrum naman gecweden nan－ zanzehus， 21 gestillan； 174.3 lareowas； 175.5 cwæðe， 7 aðænede， 9 song，II monugum， 13 gunge， 16 hlafordes， 23 ，yuel ； 176.2 ead－ modan， 21 łære bænde；178．10 yuel， 12 æthiewdan， 16 leohlicor， 21 gio［n］gan， 22 giogan； 180.2 ðreatu，swa swa， 10 gescænded， 14 gingran， 15 خæncenn， 18 sæcgeað，biodaX， 20 uphahæfenan， 21 haten， 23 xrist； 182.1 gelefean ac fio九， 3 hirm $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \text { a，} 4 \text { upha－}\end{aligned}$ hafen，gelpe， 8 eorm，eadgi， 9 eormne，ir uphahæfen $0 . . ., 12$ scæl， 14 gełreotod，gescænded，${ }^{15}$ gełreatigen， 17 forwlæncean； 184.2 wæt，dauit， 3 botan（u over the o）， 4 wodłraga， 9 scæll， sceall，mænn， 10 demann， 17 cynige， 22 hathæorlitnesse， 24 ondæt－
nesse ； 186.7 cuml， 15 ðа ૪æræfter ； 188.5 midłearf， 13 wisan added， 16 gefnæt，ofergesettan， 21 犭æt him；190．1 beorn， 2 ingełonca， 8 opoon， 13 mænn， 14 hæm．， 17 weorłe gedemde， 18 geworhta， 20 giemenn， 23 giemenn；192．15 dede， 18 hirn nu， 2 I ane；194． 1 suuongornessa， 12 wurł， 17 mid，geornfulnessa， 18 sen，heofonlican， geewde， 19 hy，ymbset， 20 sen（often again）， 21 hy（often）， 24 sy， forłem； 196.6 nede， 8 ไem（often）， 13 Хe he， 14 his on ne wende， 18 hio，ofslogan， 19 sternlice， 21 ti him，mæntles， 23 Xeawas， 25 underfor yfle；198．i hiore， 8 ne mægen，in deahlice，mæntele， 12 hiore， 13 eglige， 17 forceorfedne， 22 he on； 200.8 wisan added， 10 edmodnes， 13 久eawum， 16 خæt ta， 17 efengemæcgan， 18 gescæfte， ૪æm 久eowum is to cyðanne pæt he wite $ð æ t ~ h e ~ n i ́ s ~ f r e o h ~ w i ð ~ h i s ~$ hlaford ；202．r そænceað， 5 lotwræncas， 7 samwisan， 19 lotwræncum， 20 lotwræncum， 23 ða geceget；204．1 gescænde， 2 gehwerfde， gehwerfde， 6 æpele， 14 foregængena， 16 forłsii＞， 17 lotwræncas， 19 \＆ on， 22 mycelre；206．1 sæcge， 3 tælen f．， 4 myngyge， 6 scænt， 7 spece， I 1 forgyetst， 12 mines w．， 18 cwæde，dryhtne， 19 wite ær， 20 næron ge，Ґeh（often），dedon， 22 gemeliste； 206.2 wisan added， 5 ołre wisan， 9 そæncał， I ofersiwenlic， $\mathbf{1} 7$ sæcgan，hio（often）； 210.3 upa－ hefene（often）， 12 eowor，eowor，in sæcgeat， 18 nearwnessa， 19 geheran（an for en often）， 21 me［n］dgiał， 22 sæcgał； 212.1 gedon us， 3 unłrisðan， 4 ongæt，folc ðosoloniscensa， 5 ongæt， 6 ændunge， 8 he herde， 9 unfæstræde，sæcgan，in oferðungun，i6 from eowrum， 18 ærendgewriht，asænd， 22 gełrycte， 23 ændes； 214.2 leohtmod－ nessa， 5 wisan added， 7 lipen， 12 ascræncte， 19 unwrænce； 216.2 \＆ omitted， 5 hwylum， 7 seo forsewan， 14 sæcge， 15 sołæs sæcge， 22 tostæncte， 24 broht； 218.2 wiłærweardnessa， 5 tostæncan， 14 græmeð， 15 geheran ； 220.2 夭are， 4 gescæfte， 5 ura selfra walden， 7 ura selfra， 8 geheran， 10 ildcat， 14 helt，biit， 15 gegræmed， 16 gereowe， 17 domæs， 20 yflæs ingeðoncæs， 25 sæcgenne ； 222.2 weort，
 21 andfængost， 22 forbærn૪， 23 ealdon；224．1 mæht，mæaht， 2 ahnum， 6 ðonne cið， 13 pone y．w．added， 22 gewænt， $23 \mathrm{sæg} \mathrm{\rtimes}$, 24 lytaga；226．i forgelde， 4 hafoð， 6 wænt， 8 sarig，I i ingełoht， 15 wænt，ongæn（often），ri ehtað， 23 ðæncł； 228.8 lotwræncum，ôn added， 9 fortræde， $\mathbf{1} 9$ ondwerdan，\＆werdan， 20 ofercumende；230．1 welgedonna， 2 suman dæla， 3 be łem dæle， 4 sæcgenne， 1 о sæc－ ganne， 1 I łæncan， 12 ołera（often）， 17 gefean， 19 geselða； 232.5 gewrið， 6 stepð， 7 mułæs tunga， 14 geðæncan， 17 sæcganne， 18
besæncte, 23 lærranne, 24 fræcednesse, 25 efest; 234.2 he næfre on, 3 æfstgade, 8 weor久, 10 sæcganne; 236.8 sæcgan, io sæcgendum, ${ }_{13}$ sæcganne, 15 sæcgen, 21 næddre; 238.4 twigfalde, 7 of $\begin{gathered}\text { readde, }\end{gathered}$ 8 geswinga, ændeleasa, in gesæcganne, ${ }_{5} 5$ łryč, 18 gere(mias), 19 unnytton, 20 cwææe; 240.3 ablænd, 6 gecwełen, 7 pcet Xer, his holh, 8 twigfealdnessæ, 16 illes, 23 lotwrencum ; 242.7 \& sio \&c. added, 14 gecweðen; 244.1 geðænca૪, 6 cæstre, 9 Хara soðfæstnessa, 20 ytemešan; 246.10 unrihtlicor, 22 gełeht; 248.1 liehan, lose, 8 ðonne added, ${ }^{1} 5$ gastes, adrifenne, 18 ænglas, 20 forspannanne, 22 fræmdum ; 250.2 hæf $\gamma$ omitted, 3 hæf $\gamma$ added, 5 fræmme, 24 ungetasu $m, 25$ ængel; 252.2 ne gegemeleasu ${ }^{\prime}$ u, 1 I gecwełen, 17 billes sweg, 24 gełæncen ; 254.6 geændod, 9 wið ðem ðe, 1 I gastlicu, 25 mænnisce ; 256.4 læt, 5 læt, 8 ængel, 9 stænt, ${ }_{5} 5$ eadmodnesse, 18 stæmne; 258.8 nome, 23 ૪ur ; 260.3 onobblinn. gełæncen, 6 leorslegas, 7 honda se ilea ðe, 8 halwyndan, 9 treowleasana, 15 oferdrænc૪; 262.3 wisan added; 264.7 geændian, 23 gełæncen; 266. . meahtu, 6 gewænd, 9 forstænt, 10 ne ealles, 17 teone, 18 cwæðe, 20 wur'on; 268.1 I awriton, 15 ure uňeawe, 22 oft; 270.2 hearde, ałamans, 20 utanne, 21 ahefene, feolesprecan; 272.4 łæncanne, 5 ge $\delta-$ æncen, 7 for, wordon, 8 gewriton, 15 fundon, 18 łone, 23 utforlæton; 274.12 nyttre, 17 Xæncanne, 22 mid added; 276.14 خætte ne bi犭, 15 \& to, 18 nanæs, 19 awriton, 23 operne hiora (there was a gap here in the MS. before it was burnt-desunt hic multa is written in the margin; nothing is preserved up to the end of ch. xliiii); 318.20 ðæt; 320.1 læten, 3 mæn, łurhwuniał; 322.12 gedale, 20 łinne br.; 324.3 hæbbe ; 326.4 unrihtwisan, 7 pæm added; 328.6 to him added; 352.24 iesaphat; 354.2 fultumades, 3 gemengdes, 4 geearnodes, 5 adydes, 7 monna omitted ; 364.8 gěæncen, 9 ealdan drinc, 16 lihton, 19 ałundon; 366.8 galathes, 9 pat te, eacniende.

## CORRECTIONS.

## Text.

$\gamma$ has been printed instead of d or vice-versa in the following words: gełyldegan 14.15, dor 31.12, خyrfe 82.15, heafod 101.22, wiðerweardan 112.4, forslæwde 285.4, noðer 399.34, cyðde 409.19, dome 445.7.

Insert \& before hive h. 39.8, bi ð after won 127.22, \& after recceres 142.6.

Read sumne 7.5, hrycg 28.14, pe for pa 28.18, clypian 88.11,
 Sautes 185.1, \& bu 260.5, geunclænsał 316.15, đæt for ðæs 348.2, geryman $367.4,431.17$ me.

After selfie insert \& bine selfne best. Swa is خearf ðæt se lareow, ærest awecce bine selfne,

The form bieldo (289.1) for unb. should have been mentioned in the notes.

## Translation.

22.8 benignantly for profitably. 24.8 who are very similar to me. 30.12 and then do ill. 36.2 formerly par- so many evils done against him. 41.6 benignant for beneficent. 43.5, 44.10 unshod. 99.5 insert from humanity after heaven. 108.I nor rejoice so much in having authority over others as in being most useful to them. 128.6 then they stumble. 129.8 lest the sudden day of judgment quickly come on you. 132.8 the same employments. 138.5 omit not. $\quad 150.7$ it is also to be known that it is sometimes good. 210.4 Paul's. 216.8 insert secretly after action. 224.12 hypocrisy for impatience. 284.6 plough for cold. 392.13 devils for idols. 398.13 Roar of the midmost life (?). 416.8 will console him again.

कxik $x^{3} x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rot } \\
& \text { 4. } \\
& \text { dor }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { PR } & \text { Early English Text } \\
1119 & \text { Society } \\
\text { A2 } & \text { cPublications } \\
\text { no. } 45 & \text { Original series. no. } 4,5,50
\end{array}
$$
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CIRCULATE AS MONOCRAPH


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I use 'Old English' throughout this work to denote the unmixed, inflectional stage of the English language, commonly known by the barbarous and unmeaning title of 'Anglo-Saxon.'
    ${ }^{2}$ I allude to Mr. Cockayne : a reference to the preface to the first volume of his 'Leechdoms' (p. xcii) will show that the real state of the case was rightly understood by him many years ago: his remarks do not seem, however, to have made any impression on English philologists.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Several highly amusing instances of the way in which gross errors have thus arisen, and been handed down from dictionary to dictionary, are given in Mr. Cockayne's 'Criticism on Dr. Bosworth and his Saxon Dictionary,' in his 'Shrine' (Williams and Norgate, 1864-70).

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ All my references are to Mr. Earle's edition.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, ii. 147-15 ${ }^{\text {Q }}, 339$ - 344 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such, for instance, as the pieces inserted in the Sæmundar Edda.

[^5]:    both with war and with wisdom ; and also the sacred orders how zealous they were both in teaching and learning, and in all the services they owed to God; and how foreigners came to this land in search of wisdom and instruction, and how .we should now have to get them from abroad if we were to have them $\downarrow$. So general was its decay in England that. there were very few on this side of the Humbertwho could understand their rituads in English, orntranslate a letter from Latin into English; and I believe that there were not many beyond the Humber. There were so few of them that I cannot remember a single one south of the Thames when I came to the throne. Thanks be to God Almighty

[^6]:    For he gained over most of mankind to the Guardian of heaven, best of Romans, wisest of men, most gloriously famous. Afterwards king Alfred translated every word of me into English, and sent me to his scribes south and north ; ordered more such to be brought to him after the example, that he might send them to his bishops, for some of them needed it, who knew but little Latin.
    I. That unlearned men are not to presume to undertake teaching.
    II. Nor again let the learned who are unwilling to live as they have learnt in books undertake the dignity of teaching.
    III. Concerning the burden of government, and how he must despise all hardships, and how afraid he must be of every luxury.

[^7]:    IX. How the mind that wishes to be above others deceives itself while it thinks to do many good works, and simulates it before other men, if he have worldly honour, and then wishes to neglect it when he has it.
    X. What kind of man he is to be who is to rule.
    XI. What kind of man he is to be who is not to rule.
    XII. How he who properly and regularly attains thereto is to conduct himself in it.
    XIII. How the teacher is to be pure in heart.
    XIV. How the teacher is to be foremost in his works.
    XV. How the teacher is to be discreet in his silence and useful in his speech.

[^8]:    gerous ways，the flock，which is too unwary，falls．Of such shepherds the prophet spoke：＂Ye trod down the grass of God＇s sheep，and ye defiled their water with your feet，though ye drank it before undefiled．＂Thus the teachers drink very pure water when they learn the divine wisdom，and also when they teach it ；but they defile it with their own vices，and set an example to the people by their vices， not by their instruction．Though the people thirst for instruction， they cannot drink it，but it is defiled by the teachers doing one thing and teaching another．Of whom again God spoke through the prophet：＂Bad priests are the people＇s fall．＂No man injures more the holy assembly than those who assume the name and order of the holy office，and then pervert it ；for no man dare admonish them

[^9]:    if they do wrong，and sins become very widely extended，since they are so much honoured．But they would of their own accord flee the burden of so great a sin，being unworthy of it，if they would hear with the ears of their heart，and carefully consider the words of Christ，when he said，＂He who deceives one of these little ones， it were better for him to have a millstone tied to his neck，and so to be thrown to the bottom of the sea．＂By the mill is signi－ fied the circuit of this world，and also of man＇s life，and their toil，and by the bottom of the sea their end and the last judgment． The mill is turned when the man is ended；the great mill is turned when this world is ended．He who attains holy orders，and with bad examples，either of words or of works，leads others astray，it

[^10]:    that is the assembly of Christ＇s people，be offered to him who can superintend and rule it well．But if he refuse it，it is proper for the woman to spit in his face，that is，for the assembly of the people to blame him，exactly as if they spat in his face，because he would not give what God gave him，and help the people with what he helped him with．In the same way it is proper for the holy assembly to blame the advantages of those who wish to appropriate them to themselves alone，and will not help others with them．He is also rightly shod on one foot only，and he shall be called in ignominy the one－shoed．Of which Clirist spoke in his Gospel ： ＂See to your feet，that ye be ready to go in the path of peace after the commands of my books．＂If we take as much trouble and care about our neighbours as ourselves，we have both feet shod very

[^11]:    low birth，let him ever consider the nobility of regeneration，which is in baptism，and ever show in his morals the promises he made on that occasion to God，and the virtues which were then inculcated on him．Of spiritual nobility Peter spoke：＂Ye are a race chosen for God of royal priesthood．＂As to the power with which we can correct our vices，we can be strengthened by the words of the evan－ gelist John：＂To those who received him he gave power of being God＇s children．＂The excellence of this strength the Psalmist ac－ knowledged when he said，＂Lord，greatly are thy friends in honour with me，and their power is greatly strengthened；for the heart of thy saints is exalted very loftily and mightily to thee，when to

[^12]:    on himself the sins of other men, and also by the lofty contemplation of his mind surpass himself with the desire of invisible things, and that aspiring after such lofty things he may not despise his weak and sinful neighbours, nor, on the other hand, through their weakness give up his lofty aspirations. Therefore Paul, though he was taken to Paradise and enumerated the mysteries of the third heaven, and although by the contemplation of unseen things he was exalted in the sharpness of his mind, yet he deigned to direct it to carnal bedchambers, and ordained how men were to arrange it therein, saying : "Let each man have his wife, and each woman her husband ; and let the woman do with the man what is lawful, and he with her

[^13]:    very well spoken through the prophet: "Woe to those who wish to lay a pillow under each elbow and a bolster under each neck to catch men with." He lays a pillow under every man's elbow who with soft flatteries wishes to doctor those who sink into the love of this world, until they fall from their righteousness. The elbow is supported with a pillow and the neck with a bolster when the sinful man is not sternly rebuked. When he is not humbled with any severity or contradiction, he is suffered through the gentle flattery to rest very softly in his own folly. But the rulers who desire their own glory grant such indulgences to those who they fear may stand in the way of their glory and diminish their worldly honour. But those who they think cannot do them harm or oppose them, they severely rebuke and entirely crush; and

[^14]:    never care to admonish them mildly，but forget the pastoral love，and terrify and threaten them with authority like lords．These were very rightly reproved through the prophet by the divine voice when he said：＂Ye commanded very severely and very imperiously．＂This is said of those who love themselves and their own dignity more than that of their Lord．They exalt themselves above their subjects，and always think of what they can，not of what they ought to do，and do not fear the judgment which follows ；but most shamelessly boast of their temporary authority，and take delight in doing what is unlawful as it were lawfully，and none of their subjects opposes them．But he who wishes to do wrong，and yet hopes that other men will keep silent about it， is his own witness that he desires men to love himself more than

[^15]:    righteousness．For there is no man who can altogether live so as never to sin．He desires men to love truth more than himself who desires no man to hesitate doing well on his account．Therefore St．Peter very cheerfully accepted the reproof of St．Paul ；and，again， king David very humbly accepted the reproof of his own servant， the prophet Nathan．Therefore also good rulers，while they do not care whether men love themselves specially and excessively，think， although their subjects rightly praise them for their own merits， that they do so out of affection and humility，not because of their deserts．It is very necessary for us to regulate our authority with such art that，when the mind of our subjects is able to grasp any righteous idea，it may be encouraged with the freedom it has，

[^16]:    another the young; in one way the poor, in another the rich; in one way the cheerful, in another the sad; in one way the subjects, in another those set above others; in one way servants, in another masters ; in one way the worldly-wise, in another the foolish ; in one way the shameless, in another the modest ; in one way the presumptuous, in another the fainthearted; in one way the impatient, in another the patient; in one way the benevolent, in another the envious; in one way the simple, in another the loquacious; in one way the healthy, in another the unhealthy ; in one way those who from fear abstain from doing evil, in another those who are so hardened as not to abstain from it for any fear ; in one way the very silent, in another those who speak much to no purpose ; in one way the slow, in another those who

[^17]:    it him he will not allow him to cut him. But he feels very gently about the part he is going to cut, and cuts very quickly. So the prophet did the king with his words: I think he would not have cut him so soon, if he had told him beforehand that he was going to cut him ; therefore it was better for him to feel with the parable before he rebuked, as the physician feels, and strokes, and hides and whets his knife, before he pierces. When the physician comes to cut the patient, he first examines the swelling, and doubts his patience, whether he will submit to be cut. He hides his lancet under his clothes until he wounds him, wishing him to feel it before he sees it ; for he thinks that if he see it beforehand he will refuse.

[^18]:    patience of good men？For when a man humbles his mind so as to bear enmity and contumely，he produces a hollow in his mind such as the altar has on it．A hollow was commanded to be on the top of the altar，that wind might not scatter the offerings which were laid on the altar．That means that patience is to restrain the minds of the elect，that the wind of impatience may not agitate them，lest they lose the good works which were formerly accomplished．It was well said that the hollow on the altar was to be one ell broad and one ell long，because， doubtlessly，he who forsakes not patience preserves great unanimity． Therefore St．Paul said：＂Let each among you bear the other＇s burden， then ye will fulfil God＇s law．＂God＇s law consists in having love and patience，which those alone fulfil who do not forsake them when

[^19]:    reproofs. What then can I do but laugh at your ruin, and mock, when the evil ye formerly dreaded comes on you ?" Again, Wislom spoke : "When they call to me I will not listen to them. They shall arise at dawn and seek me, but they shall not find me." But when a man despises his bodily health, when he is strong enough to do what he wishes, when he afterwards loses his health, then he first experiences what it was to have it. while he had it, and desires it too late and unseasonably, since he would not preserve it before when he had it. Therefore, again, Solomon spoke very rightly: "Give not thine honour to strangers nor thy years into the hand of the cruel one, lest strangers be filled with thy toil, and thy resources be in the power of another, and thou mourn therefore at last, when thy body is brought

[^20]:    proud heart to righteous and profitable humility．Therefore Balaam could not gain the favour of God which he prayed for，wishing to curse the people of Israel，and pray for himself；he was unsuccessful， because he directed his voice，not his heart，to his object ：that was，he spoke one thing，desiring to speak another．The sick are also to be admonished to understand how great a gift of God the troubles of the flesh are for them，because they both wash away the sins he has com－ mitted，and also hinder him from doing those he would do，if he could，because，when he is tormented by the wounds of the body， these wounds cause wounds of repentance in the afficted mind．About which it is also written in the Proverbs of Solomon，that the wound will heal after sloughing．The pain of the wound passes away with

[^21]:    abstains from doing any good，neither sows the grains of good works， nor afterwards reaps any sheaf of eternal reward．On the other hand， those who are too hasty are to be told，that when they anticipate the time of a good work，they lose their reward，and often fall into great evil，when they have not sense to be able to arrange the time for the thing，nor even care what they shall do，or when they shall do any－ thing，though sometimes it happens that they know afterwards，after it has been done，that they ought not to have done so before．To such men Solomon spoke when he advised his servant，saying：＂My son， do nothing without delibcration，then thou wilt not repent when it is done．But always make thine eyes go bcfore thy feet．＂The eyes go before the feet，when good and wise deliberation precedes action．

[^22]:    zeal, but take care that it be discreet and moderate. Let the gentle learn and love it, until they have it. Let the passionate moderate their irritability. The gentle are to be admonished zealously to endeavour to have righteous zeal. The passionate, who think they have righteous zeal, are to be admonished to temper it with gentleness. The Holy Ghost appeared to us in the form both of a dove and of fire, because he inflames every one whom he inspires both with the simplicity and gentleness of the dove and the vigour of fire. He is not inspired with the Holy Ghost, who in the tranquillity of his gentleness gives up the fervour of rightcous zeal ; or, again, in the fervour of zeal gives up the virtue of gentleness. I think that we shall be able to explain this more clearly by citing some of St. Paul's instructions, for

[^23]:    themselves better and wiser than others, they would not let their plans and opinions preponderate so much over the opinions of all other men. The irresolute are to be told that, if they considered at all what they themselves were, they would not at all let themselves be inclined on every side, nor even be moved, although they were manifoldly and variously stirred up, like a weak and useless reed, which can be moved by every breath of wind. It is said to the resolute through St. Paul : "Ye must not think yourself too wise." And again, he said to the irresolute : "Let not the wind of every doctrine move you." To the resolute is said through Solomon : "They shall eat the fruit of their own way." That is, when they are filled with their own devices. Again, Solomon said of the irresolute: "The minds of fools are very variable and inconsistent; but the wise man's mind is always even, and consistent with itself." He is always the supporter of good designs, because he is very bold and ready for good works. But the minds of those others are very variable, because they make themselves very inconsistent by constant changes, for they never remain the same as they were before. It is also to be known that some vices originate from others, just as these formerly originated from others. Therefore

[^24]:    it is to be known that we can most easily reform them with reproof, if we stop the bitter spring at the source, and dry it up; for the source of obstinacy is pride, and from irresolution arise doubt and inconstancy. The resolute are to be admonished to know the pride of their minds, and zealously to strive to conquer themselves, lest, when they scorn to be outwardly convinced by the good arguments and advice of others, they be internally bound by pride. They are also to be admonished to consider how Christ, who was always of the same will with God the Father, gave us an example of overcoming our will, when he said: "I seek not my will, but that of my Father who sent me hither." And also he promised to do so, when he should return at the last day, wishing us now the better to receive the virtue of instruction. He showed it, when he said : "I cannot do anything of myself, but I judge as I hear my Father." Why, then, shall any man think it too ignominious to yield to another's will, when God's own Son, when he comes in his majesty to judge, and display his glory, said that he would not judge of himself, but of him who sends him? The irresolute and infirm of purpose, on the other hand, are to be admonished to strengthen their minds with calmness and constancy. The twigs of

[^25]:    lest for the distribution of property they desire this transitory life，let them hear the words written in the books of Christ，which are，that the left hand is not to know what the right does．That is，when a man gives alms，that no boasting of this present life is to be mingled there－ with，nor is he to care for any fame except that of doing what is best． Nor is he to care what favour he gain by his alms，but hear what is written in the books of Christ；he said：＂When thou hast prepared a dinner or supper，invite not thy friends or brothers，nor thine acquaint－ ances or wealthy neighbours，lest they do the same by thee．But when thou hast prepared a feast，charitably invite thereto the poor and sick and halt and blind ；then thou shalt be blessed，for they know not how to recompense thee．＂And what a man can accomplish，he is not to

[^26]:    so severely who will not give away their own, consider what punishments are to be expected for those who rob others. What do ye think that unrighteousness carried out deserves, when neglected piety deserves so great punishment? Let the rapacious, who are always striving to rob others, hear what is said of them ; it is said: "Woe to him who always gathers on himself the heavy mud, and increases what is not his." Gathering on oneself the thick mud, and loading oneself therewith, is when the avaricious man heaps on himself wrongfully the burden of earthly possessions, and wrongfully enlarges his yard and lands. But they should hear the words spoken about them in the books of Isaiah ; it is said: "Woe to you who gather house to house, and join one field to another to the boundary of the land, as if ye

[^27]:    here with all riches, and are inflamed with that desire, shall hear the words which are spoken about themselves in the books of Solomon ; it is said: "He who aspires to be prosperous in this world, will not be innocent, but while he desires to increase his wealth, he neglects and forgets to avoid sins." As a flying bird, when it is hungry, sees the bait on the earth, and from its desire of the meat, forgets the snare with which it is strangled ; so it is with the avaricious man. He sees the riches he desires, and does not believe in the deceitful snare until he experiences it. Those who desire the possessions of this world, and ignore the mischief that follows, must hear what is said of them in the books of Solomon; it is said: "The inheritance that ye first aspire to, will at the end be deprived of every blessing." In this

[^28]:    transitory，and give you the lasting peace．＂If，then，the mind and love of man are entirely devoted to the transitory peace，he can never attain to the one which is given to him．But we are to have this present peace in such a way as to love，and yet despise it，lest the mind of him who loves it fall into sin，if he love it too immoderately． The peaceful are also to be admonished not to desire peace too ex－ cessively，lest，through desire of this earthly peace，they leave unblamed the bad vices of others，and so alienate themselves from the peace of their Creator by conniving at unrighteousness ；lest，when they fear discord outwardly，they be inwardly cut off from the society of the internal Judge．What else is this transitory peace but，as it were，a footprint of the eternal peace？What can be more foolish than to

[^29]:    tribe of Levi grasped their swords, and went out through the host, slaying the sinful ; and therefore it is written that their hands were hallowed to God, because they spared not the sinful, but slew them. Therefore, also, Phineas despised the friendship of his neighbours, when he slew his own companion because he lay with the Midianitish woman, and slew the harlot also ; and so with his anger he appeased the anger of God. And again, Christ said through himself : "Think not that I came on earth to send peace on the earth, but a sword." Because, when we incautiously associate ourselves in friendship with wicked men, we bind ourselves to their sins. From this same cause Jehosaphat, who before was praiseworthy in all the deeds of his life, very nearly perished entirely through the friendship of Ahab. He was rebuked

[^30]:    observed, saying: "I loved those who hated peace; and when I chid them, they attacked me without cause." They attacked him because of the quarrel, and yet he was their friend; he did not tire of blaming the foolish, and although they blamed him, he loved them. Of this same St. Paul spoke again: "I would, if it could be so, that ye had peace with every man, as far as is in your power." He said "if it could be so," and he also said "as far as is in your power," because he knew that it is very difficuit to do both, to chide him who does evil, and to preserve peace with him. But it is very necessary for us, although this transitory peace be disturbed by our quarrel in the minds of the wicked, that it be entirely preserved in ours. Therefore he said of peace, "as far as is in your power,"

[^31]:    day，because I never neglected to reveal to you all the counsel of God．＂They ought also to hear how St．John was admonished by the voice of the angel，saying to him：＂He who hears one calling to him，let him call another also，and say＇Come．＇＂That is，that he who perceives that he is called with the divine voice，is also to draw and invite others to where he is drawn，by calling and teaching them， lest he find the door shut against him when he comes，if he comes empty－handed，and does not bring with him those he ought to invite thither．They ought to hear how the prophet Isaiah repented and blamed himself，when he was inspired with the sublime light，saying ： ＂Woe is me that I was silent！＂They ought also to hear what is promised through Solomon to the men who checrfully and actively

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the Swedish and Danish $d \iota$, den, \&c., contrasting with the regular ting, tcenke, and pointing to an earlier (dh), lost in the Icelandic (thuu) and Feroic (tuu).

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schleicher's assumption of these -ft's and -ht's being 'urdeutsch' forms, is therefore erroneous. In Icelandic the original $p t$ is still preserved orthographically, but is pronounced (ft).

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ The line－numbers refer（except in the case of the first five lines of a page）to the position of the word in H ．

