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U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

| Multiply | by | To obtain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inches | 25.4 | millimeters |
|  | 2.54 | centimeters |
| square inches | 6.452 | square centimeters |
| cubic inches | 16.39 | cubic centimeters |
| feet | 30.48 | centimeters |
|  | 0.3048 | meters |
| square feet | 0.0929 | square meters |
| cubic feet | 0.0283 | cubic meters |
| yards | 0.9144 | meters |
| square yards | 0.836 | square meters |
| cubic yards | 0.7646 | cubic meters |
| milessquare miles | 1.6093 | kilometers |
|  | 259.0 | hectares |
| knots | 1.8532 | kilometers per hour |
| acres | 0.4047 | hectares |
| foot-pounds | 1.3558 | newton meters |
| millibars | $1.0197 \times 10^{-3}$ | kilograms per square centimeter |
| ounces | 28.35 | grams |
| pounds | 453.6 | grams |
|  | 0.4536 | kilograms |
| ton, long | 1.0160 | metric tons |
| ton, short | 0.9072 | metric tons |
| degrees (angle) | 0.1745 | radians |
| Fahrenheit degrees | 5/9 | Celsius degrees or Kelvins ${ }^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: $C=(5 / 9)(F-32)$.
To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: $K=(5 / 9)(F-32)+273.15$.

SAND RESOURCES ON THE INNER CONTINENTAL SHELF OF THE CAPE FEAR REGION, NORTH CAROLINA
by
Edward P. Meisburger

## I. INTRODUCTION

The construction, improvement, and periodic maintenance of beaches and dunes by placement of suitable sand along the shoreline is an important means of counteracting coastal erosion and of enhancing recreational facilities (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1975). In recent years, it has become increasingly difficult to obtain large volumes of suitable sand from lagoons and inland sources for this purpose because of economic and ecological factors. Accordingly, the Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) initiated an Inner Continental Shelf Sediment and Structure (ICONS) study to locate offshore sand resources suitable for beach fill. This report, part of that effort, deals with the location and physical characteristics of offshore sand resources near Cape Fear, North Carolina.

The study area includes a zone adjacent to the shore about 14 nautical miles ( 26 kilometers) wide, extending from the South Carolina border through Long Bay, Frying Pan Shoals, and Onslow Bay to Cape Lookout (Fig. 1). More detailed coverage of the area is given in Figures 2, 3, and 4. Data consist of 512 statute miles ( 824 kilometers) of reflection profiles and 124 cores ranging from 2 to 20 feet ( 0.6 to 6.1 meters) in length. These data are supplemented by pertinent scientific and technical literature and National Ocean Survey (NOS) hydrographic data.

This report is primarily the result of a reconnaissance effort; seismic line spacing and core density, even in grid areas, are not suitably detailed for reliable delineation of borrow sites. Consequently, further study of promising locales is needed before selection or use in project design and construction. A separate report, largely from the same data base used here, covers general aspects of inner shelf geology in the Cape Fear region (Meisburger, in preparation, 1977). That report is aimed at providing a background for better understanding of the character, disposition, and origin of the inner shelf sediment bodies. It contains visual logs of all cores taken during the study and size data for those core samples composed essentially of sand.

## II. POTENTIAL BORROW AREAS

1. Sand Requirements.

The suitability of sand for beach nourishment is largely dependent on grain-size characteristics (Krumbein and James, 1974; James, 1975). Size characteristics of beach sand within the region covered by this report have been obtained from unpublished size data held by CERC and the U.S. Army Engineer District, Wilmington (U.S. Army Engineer District, Wilmington,


Figure 1. Location of the study area.



Figure 3. Central part of the survey area showing survey limits, core locations, and borrow sites.

Figure 4. Northeastern part of the survey area showing survey limits, core locations, and borrow sites.
1973). These sources indicate that desirable borrow sand for beaches in this region should be in the medium to coarse sand range ( 0.250 to 1.00 millimeter, 2.0 to 0.0 phi). Selection of the borrow sites described below is based mainly on that size criterion.

## 2. Potential Borrow Areas.

Two groups of potential offshore borrow locations are: (a) Areas for which there are sufficient data to delineate the probable area extent and sand volume of the deposit (designated as borrow areas A, B, C, and D); and (b) potential borrow sites for which there are insufficient data to delineate the deposit or calculate volume, but which are shown by cores to contain suitable material (identified by core number). Borrow areas and core sites are described in the Table and plotted in Figures 2, 3, and 4.
a. Borrow Area A. Borrow area A (Fig. 2) consists of the larger part of Middle Ground Shoal lying immediately seaward of the Cape Fear River entrance; center coordinates are $33^{\circ} 52.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 01.7^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.

Figure 5 is an isopach map of Middle Ground Shoal based on bathymetric data and cores. The volume of sediment within the zero isopach contour is 71 million cubic yards, the bulk of which is clean quartz sand in the fine to medium size range ( 0.125 to 0.250 millimeter, 3.0 to 1.0 phi ). To minimize adverse effects of shoal removal on the shoreline, U.S. Army Engineer District, Wilmington (1973) indicated only so much of the shoal should be removed which would leave the resultant bottom profile equivalent to the shoreface slope of the open-coast beaches to the west. With this adjustment the potential volume of sand available in the borrow area is judged to be 50 million cubic yards ( 38 million cubic meters).
b. Borrow Area B. Borrow area B consists of that part of Frying Pan Shoals extending from Cape Fear to 16 nautical miles ( 29.7 kilometers) offshore (Fig. 2). Figure 6 is an isopach map of the borrow area drawn above a reflector that passes beneath the shoal at about the elevation of the surrounding shelf floor. Because of sparse reflection and core coverage of areas adjacent to the shoal, the zero isopach contour cannot be established with reliability. Therefore, volume calculations were made using the 10 -foot isopach contour as the datum. These calculations show that approximately 1.4 billion cubic yards ( 1.1 billion cubic meters) of sediment is contained in the shoal. Cores indicate that most of the shoal sediment consists of quartz sand containing about 15 -percent biogenic calcium carbonate derived chiefly from mollusks, echinoids, and Foraminifera. Most of the sand recovered in nine cores of the shoal area is in the fine size range ( 0.125 to 0.250 millimeter, 3.0 to 2.0 phi); however, four cores contain medium to coarse sand. Three of the cores (58, 61, and 62; Fig. 6) are in relatively close proximity and apparently lie within the bounds of the same deposit. The coarser texture of this material may be related to the relatively shallow water ( $<40$ feet) of the shoal crest.

In terms of size distribution and quality, the best beach replenishment material in Frying Pan Shoals is in the general locale of cores 58,

| Designation | Center coordinates | Water depth (ft) | Composition | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean dia } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | areter (phi) | Phi standard deviation ${ }^{1}$ | $\underset{\text { Area }}{\left(10^{5} y d^{2}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thickness } \\ (f t) \end{gathered}$ | Est volume ( $10^{6} \mathrm{yd}^{3}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Type } \\ \text { deposit } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Areas A | $33^{\circ} 52.7 \mathrm{~N} . .78^{\circ} 01.71 \mathrm{~W}$. | 5 to 25 | Quartz sand | $0.344^{2}$ | 1.54 | $-{ }^{3}$ | 17.01 | 0 to 27 | 71 | Shosl |
| $B$ | See Fig. 6 |  | Quartz sand | 0.125 to 0.250 | 3.0 to 2.00 | 0.22 to 1.08 | 270.6 | 5 to 50 | 1,400 | Shoal |
| B1 |  |  | Quartz sand | 0.239 to 0.433 | 2.07 to 2.21 | 0.29 ta 0.82 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 11.5 | Shoal |
| c | See Fig. 7 |  | Calcareous sand and gravel | Silt to g | gravel | -- | 59.3 | 20 to 100* | 202 | Channel fill |
| D | $34^{\circ} 38.9$ N N., $76^{\circ} 42.3{ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}$. | 35 to 45 | Quartz sand | 0.266 to 0.379 | 1.91 to 1.40 | 0.39 to 0.82 | 1.45 | 6.0 | 2.1 | Dredge spoil |
| Cores 4 | $33^{\circ} 48.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 06.81 \mathrm{~W}$. | 48 | Quartz sand | 0.487 to 0.839 | 1.04 to 0.25 | 0.31 to 0.83 | --- | 2.0 | --- | --- |
| 5 | $33^{\circ} 48.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 08.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 51 | Quartz sand | 0.237 to 0.273 | 2.08 to 1.87 | 0.58 to 0.95 | --- | 8.0 | --- | Channel fill |
| 16 | $33^{\circ} 49.6^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 1 \mathrm{B}. 2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 45 | Quartz sand | 0.607 | 0.72 | 0.59 | --- | 1.5 | --- | Sheet |
| 20 | $33^{\circ} 51.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 12.71 \mathrm{~W}$. | 37 | Shelly sand | 0.240 to 0.766 | 2.06 to 0.38 | 0.59 to 0.93 | --- | 3.5 | --- | Sheet |
| 27 | $33^{\circ} 48.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, .788^{\circ} 13.51 \mathrm{~W}$. | 50 | slity sand | 0.255 to 0.493 , | 1.97 to 1.02 | 0.63 to 1.13 | --- | 5.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 29 | $33^{\circ} 45.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 13.4^{1} \mathrm{M}$. | 54 | Qusitz sand | 0.262 to 0.362 | 1.93 to 1.46 . | 1.05 to 1.30 | --- | 6.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 31 | $33^{\circ} 52.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 78^{\circ} 08.9^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. | 39 | Quartz sand | 0.293 | 2.77 | 0.94 | --- | 1.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 40 | $33^{\circ} 54.2^{\prime} \mathrm{N} .48^{\circ} 16.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 24 | Shelly quartz sand | 0.286 and 0.463 | 1,80 and 1,11 | 0.91 and 1.14 | --- | 7.0 | --- | Shoreface |
| 50 | $33^{\circ} 50.1^{1} \mathrm{~N} ., 77^{\circ} 55.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 35 | Silty quartz sand | 0.393 | 1.35 | 1.10 | - | 1.5 | --- | Sheet |
| 52 | 33*51.71 N., 77*55,91 W . | 29 | silty quartz sand | 0.264 and 0.436 | 1.92 and 1.20 | 0.44 and 1.05 | --- | 1.5 | --- | Sheot |
| 56 | $33^{\circ} 35.1^{1 \%} \mathrm{~N} .47^{\circ} 53.88^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 68 | Quartz sand | 0.345 | 1.53 | 0.71 | --- | 1.5 | --- | Sheet |
| 64 | $33^{\circ} 35.01 \mathrm{~N} ., 77^{\circ} 59.5^{1} \mathrm{~N}$. | 61 | Quartz sand | 0.446 and 0.609 | 1.17 to 0.72 | 0.42 and 0.67 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 10.4 | Shoel |
| 78 | $33^{\circ} 54.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N},,^{7} 77^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 1.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 45 | Quarti ${ }^{\text {cand }}$ | 0.326 and 0.386 | 1.62 and 1.37 | 0.94 | --- | 3.5 | --- | Sheet |
| 79 | $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 9.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{m}^{7} 77^{\circ} 50.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 44 | Quartz sand | 0.226 to 0.262 | 2.14 to 1.93 | 0.37 to 0.57 | --- | 6.0 | --- | Shos 1 |
| 81 | $34^{\circ} 04.77^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} \mathrm{SO}, 81 \mathrm{~W}$. | 40 | Quartz sand | 0.302 to 0.558 | 1.73 to 0.84 | 0.66 to 0.80 | --- | 6.0 | --* | Sheet |
| 82 | $34^{\circ} 06.6^{1} \mathrm{~N}, 7^{7} 7^{\circ} 48.7^{\circ} \mathrm{Ni}$. | 51 | Quartz sand | 0.253 and 0.319 | 1.98 and 1.65 | 0.73 and 0.81 | --- | 2.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 86 | $34^{\circ} 11.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 47.5^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$. | 37 | Quartz sand | 0.200 to 0.416 | 2.32 and 1.26 | 0.91 and 1.23 | --- | 1.0 | --- | Shosl |
| 87 | $34^{\circ} 11.3^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 42.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 60 | Shelly quartz sand | 0.241 to 0.602 | 2.06 to 0.73 | 0.81 to 1.17 | --- | 20.0 | $\cdots$ | Charne1 |
| 89 | $34^{\circ} 10,5^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 42.88^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. | 54 | Quartz sand | 0.348 to 0.443 | 1.52 and 1.17 | 1.18 and 1.32 | -- | 3.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 90 | $34^{\circ} 08.33^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 40.3^{+} \mathrm{W}$. | 60 | Quartz sand | 0.469 and 0.632 | 1.09 and 0.66 | 0.66 and 0.85 | --- | 3.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 91 | $34^{\circ} 08.4^{1} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~F}^{7} 77^{\circ} 44.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. | 55 | Quartz sand | 0.345 | 1.54 | 0.97 | --- | 4.0 | --- | Sheet |
| 92 | $34^{\circ} 04.9{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 77^{\circ} 46.5{ }^{\text {\% W. }}$ | 44 | Quartz calcareous | 0.263 and 0.276 | 1.93 and 2.86 | 0.21 and 0.95 | --- | 19.0 | --- | Shoal |
| 23 | $34^{\circ} 04.5^{1} \mathrm{~N}, 77^{\circ} 46.61 \mathrm{~W}$. | 45 | Quartz sand | 0.265 and 0.205 | 1.92 and 1.76 | 0.42 te 0.89 | 1.45 | 6.0 | 2.1 | Dredge spoil |

${ }^{1}$ Calculated by the moment method.
${ }^{2}$ Composite average mean from U.S. Aray Engineer Diatrict, Wiluington (1973).
${ }^{3}$ Unknown.


Figure 5. Sand isopach map of potential borrow area A. Core numbers refer to a group of cores obtained by Wilmington District and not to the CERC cores.

Figure 6. Sand isopach map of potential borrow area B.

61 , and 62 (Fig. 6). A conservative estimate of the minimum volume of the material, based on the minimum area encompassed by lines connecting the cores sites (Fig. 6, area Bl) and a minimum depth based on the average depth ( 13.8 feet, 4.2 meters) sampled in the cores is 11.5 mị11ion cubic yards ( 8.2 million cubic meters). Since much of the shoal mass was not sampled, other areas as suitable for borrow as area BI may exist closer to shore.
c. Borrow Area C. This site comprises a large rectangular area within the boundaries of a prominent filled river channel (Figs. 2 and 7), which trends east-southeast from the vicinity of Kure Beach. Cores taken within this area contain biogenic calcareous, sediment and rock with the upper 5 to 15 feet ( 1.5 to 4.6 meters) in a loose granular state. It is unclear whether the unconsolidated material represents a naturally unconsolidated facies or the product of disaggregation of poorly consolidated material during the coring process. In either event, it seems probable that large quantities of dredgable granular sediment are available from the area. Since well-consolidated ledges occur throughout the area, detailed surveys with a dense net of probe or drill holes would be required to further delineate the recoverable material. An isopach map of the channel area and similar channels to the north is shown in Figure 7. The volume of fill within the channel area, as delineated on seismic reflection profiles, is approximately 1.2 billion cubic yards ( 0.92 billion cubic meter). The nature of the fill is unknown below a depth of 20 feet ( 6.1 meters), the maximum penetration of cores. The calcareous facies fills the channel to this depth. Using 10 feet as an average depth for this material, the approximate volume in the channel area is 202 million cubic yards ( 154 million cubic meters).

The suitability of the calcareous granular sediment found in borrow area C for beach fill is questionable. Its coarseness and abundance of granule- and pebble-size fragments might make it undesirable on a recreational beach. In addition, being almost entirely composed of calcium carbonate, it is chemically and mechanically less durable than quartz and could degrade rapidly or form cemented crusts when placed in the beach environment. It would probably be quite suitable for purely protective beaches or as core material for beaches and armored structures.

Three similar channels north of borrow area C are shown in Figure 7. They appear to contain the same calcareous material as the southern channel but there are insufficient core data for further definition.
d. Borrow Area D. This borrow area is a previously used dredge spoil disposal area located 3 nautical miles ( 5.6 kilometers) southwest of Beaufort Inlet (Fig. 4). The borrow consists of a low mound, apparently composed of material dredged from the entrance channel of Beaufort Inlet. Core 94 in the central part of the mound contains 8 feet ( 2.4 meters) of clean, medium to coarse quartz sand overlying fine, shelly sand. Mean diameters of the quartz sand range from 0.266 to 0.379 millimeter ( 1.91 to 1.40 phi). The zero isopach reflector in Figure 8 is at about the level


Figure 7. Isopach of channel fills in Onslow Bay showing potential borrow area C.


Figure 8. Isopach map of potential borrow area $D$.
of the surrounding shelf floor and probably represents the original bottom before dumping. The boundaries of the mound are best defined by the 5foot isopach contour. Within this area there is approximately 2.1 million cubic yards ( 1.6 million cubic meters) of sediment. Additional data are needed to determine if all of this sediment is similar to the medium to coarse sand in core 94. The bottom topography of the spoil area outside the borrow site indicates that other mounds of spoil are also present within the general vicinity. These may contain sand usable for beach fill and warrant further investigation.
e. Core Sites. Suitable sediment for beach fill was found in cores from 23 other sites; however, there are insufficient data to determine the extent and thickness of these deposits. With the exception of borrow areas A to D, the cores are considered the most promising sites for further exploration. Pertinent data concerning the suitable material in these cores are contained in the table.

## III. SUMMARY

1. The Inner Continental Shelf in the Cape Fear region of North Carolina was surveyed for sand deposits suitable for beach restoration and maintenance.
2. Data collected during the field survey consist of 512 statute miles ( 824 kilometers) of high-resolution seismic reflection profiles coverage and 124 cores of the sea floor ranging from 2 to 20 feet ( 0.6 to 6.1 meters) in length.
3. Desirable sand for restoration and maintenance of beaches in the study region should be in the Wentworth scale, medium to coarse size range ( 0.250 to $1.00 \mathrm{millimeter}$,2.0 to 0.0 phi ).
4. Twenty-seven sites where sand deposits were potentially suitable for offshore borrow were located and described (Figs. 2 to 8; Table).
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