

Seaward Limit of Significant Sand Transport by Waves: An Annual Zonation for Seasonal Profiles

by Robert J. Hallermeier

COASTAL ENGINEERING TECHNICAL AID NO. 81-2

JANUARY 1981





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

TC 330 .U8 No. 81-2

Kingman Building Fort Belvoir, Va. 22060 Reprint or republication of any of this material shall give appropriate credit to the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center.

Limited free distribution within the United States of single copies of this publication has been made by this Center. Additional copies are available from:

> National Technical Information Service ATTN: Operations Division 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield Virginia 22161

Contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.





UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM					
1. REPORT NUMBER	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER					
CETA 81-2						
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED				
SEAWARD LIMIT OF SIGNIFICANT SAND	TRANSPORT BY	Coastal Engineering				
WAVES: AN ANNUAL ZONATION FOR SE.	6. PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER					
	and the second second					
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)				
Robert J. Hallermeier						
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK				
Coastal Engineering Research Cent	er (CERRE-CS)	D31193				
Kingman Building, Fort Belvoir, V	irginia 22060	031173				
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE				
Department of the Army		January 1981				
Coastal Engineering Research Cent	er irginia 22060	13. NUMBER OF PAGES				
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different	free Controlling Office)					
	nom connormig office)	INCLASSIETED				
		UNGLASSIFIED				
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE				
16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Percet)						
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	n Block 20, if different from	n Report)				
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	9					
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	ildentify by block number)					
Beach profiles Coastal engineering Beach zones Coastal processes	Sand transpor Seaward limit	t Wave climate application Wave-sand interactions				
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)					
Sand characteristics and annual w mine two water depths bounding a sho is based on two thresholds of wave-i during a year have neither strong no in the shoal zone. The calculation shoal zone bounds are presented to s	ave statistics a al zone on the b nduced sand agit r negligible eff procedure and re upplement techni	at a site are used to deter- each profile. This zonation ation, so that expected waves fects on the sand bottom with presentative results for the ques for estimating a seawar				
limit of significant sand transport	given in the Sho	ore Protection Manual (SPM).				

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



PREFACE

This report provides a new technique for seaward-limit estimation, supplementing those given in Section 4.523 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM). Two calculated water depths locate the edges of a buffer region called the shoal zone, which is defined so that significant alongshore transport and intense onshore-offshore transport by waves occur landward of this zone, and only insignificant onshore-offshore transport by waves occurs seaward of this zone. This profile zonation and guidance on its applications are interim results from an ongoing study conducted within the beach behavior and restoration research program of the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC).

The report was written by Dr. Robert J. Hallermeier, Oceanographer, under the general supervision of C. Mason, Chief, Coastal Processes Branch, and then Dr. R.M. Sorensen, Chief, Coastal Processes and Structures Branch.

Comments on this publication are invited.

Approved for publication in accordance with Public Law 166, 79th Congress, approved 31 July 1945, as supplemented by Public Law 172, 88th Congress, approved 7 November 1963.

Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Director

3

CONTENTS

		Pao	- 0
		CONVERSION FACTORS, U.S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)	5
		SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS	6
	I	INTRODUCTION	7
	II	BASIC EQUATIONS AND SOLUTION TECHNIQUES	8
	III	APPLICATIONS OF d _l AND d _i 1	.2
	IV	SHOAL ZONE EXTENT ALONG U.S. COASTS	.3
	v	SUMMARY	.6
		LITERATURE CITED	.8
AP	PENDIX	CALCULATOR PROGRAM FOR THE BOUNDS OF THE SHOAL ZONE 1	.9
1	Calcula	TABLES ation procedure for locating bounds of the shoal zone1	.2
2	Calcula stati	ated shoal zone bounds for 10 U.S. sites using annual stics of nearshore wave gage measurements	.3
3	Calcula stati	ated shoal zone bounds for 20 U.S. sites using annual stics of LEO breaker observations	.4
1	Defini	FIGURES tion sketch of annual sand beach zonation and terminology	7
2	Graphic wave l	cal definition of statistics of exponential cumulative height distribution	9
3	Graphic	cal solution of equation (5) for γ ' = 1.6	.1
4	Graph d	of hyperbolic sine and tangent functions	1

U.S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	by	To obtain
inches	25.4	millimeters
	2.54	centimeters
square inches	6.452	square centimeters
cubic inches	16.39	cubic centimeters
feet	30.48	centimeters
	0.3048	meters
square feet	0.0929	square meters
cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters
yards	0.9144	meters
square yards	0.836	square meters
cubic yards	0.7646	cubic meters
miles	1.6093	kilometers
square miles	259.0	hectares
knots	1.852	kilometers per hour
acres	0.4047	hectares
foot-pounds	1.3558	newton meters
millibars	1.0197×10^{-3}	kilograms per square centimeter
ounces	28.35	grams
pounds	453.6	grams
	0.4536	kilograms
ton, long	1.0160	metric tons
ton, short	0.9072	metric tons
degrees (angel)	0.01745	radians
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins

To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use formula: C = (5/9) (F -32).

To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use formula: K = (5/9) (F - 32) + 273.15.

SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS

D	median sand grain diameter
d	water depth
d _i	water depth at seaward bound of shoal zone
dl	water depth at landward bound of shoal zone
g	acceleration of gravity
Н	wave height
$\bar{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{S}}$	annual mean significant wave height
H _{s0.137}	extreme significant wave height exceeded 12 hours per year
Hs50	annual median significant wave height
L	linear wavelength
Lo	linear wavelength in deep water
Т	wave period
\overline{T}_{s}	annual mean significant wave period
u _{max(-d)}	maximum linear wave-induced horizontal velocity near the bed
x	argument of hyperbolic functions in Figure 4
γ'	ratio of density difference between sand and fluid to fluid density
σ	annual standard deviation of significant wave height

.

6

SEAWARD LIMIT OF SIGNIFICANT SAND TRANSPORT BY WAVES: AN ANNUAL ZONATION FOR SEASONAL PROFILES

by

Robert J. Hallermeier

I. INTRODUCTION

Certain coastal activities require consideration of the seaward limit to significant wave-induced bed activity along a sand beach profile. Examples include design of nearshore structures, subaqueous beach fill, and borrow or disposal of material. The seaward limit to significant sand movement by waves clearly depends on both wave and sand characteristics through the mechanisms of wave agitation of sand. However, available guidelines on the seaward limit (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977, pp. 4-63 to 4-70) proceed from indirect or qualitative consideration of wave-sand interactions. This report provides a new quantitative procedure for seawardlimit estimation based on definite wave-sand interactions. A comprehensive discussion of the present procedure and of other seaward-limit treatments is provided in Hallermeier (1981).

The present procedure defines an annual beach profile zonation related to the seaward limit. Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of this zonation and identifies the terminology used here. The water depths d_{ℓ} and d_{i} , respectively the landward and seaward bounds of the shoal zone, are calculated in Section II, using sand characteristics and statistics of annual wave climate for a given locality. This shoal zone is a buffer area where expected waves have neither strong nor negligible effects on the sand bed during a typical annual cycle of wave action, according to available knowledge of sand movement by waves.

The water depth, d_{ℓ} , gives a seaward limit to extreme surf-related effects, so that significant alongshore transport and intense onshore-offshore transport are restricted to water depths less than d_{ℓ} . The water depth, d_{i} , gives a seaward limit to sand motion by usual waves, so that significant onshore-offshore transport is restricted to water depths less than d_{i} . The seaward limit in sandy regions reasonably falls within this calculated shoal zone, although placement of the limit depends on the particular engineering application, as pointed out in Section III.





Since the shoal zone has a definite physical basis, the present calculation procedure may reduce the need for detailed site-specific investigations of physical processes, and certainly aids in designing such investigations at localities where wave climate is known. Nearshore measurements of wave climate along U.S. coasts provide a basis for the example calculations given in Section IV. The calculated shoal zone extent is to be considered in conjunction with other information pertinent to the seaward limit of the wave-dominated sand profile.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS AND SOLUTION TECHNIQUES

The water depths d_{ℓ} and d_i are calculated from relationships giving the threshold flow energy for two distinct wave interactions with a sand bed. The major assumptions involved are the accuracy of linear wave theory for calculations of near-bottom maximum flow velocity, and a modified exponential distribution of nearshore wave heights.

The landward bound to the shoal is determined from the relationship

$$\frac{u_{\text{max}}^2(-d)}{\gamma' g d} = 0.03$$
(1)

where

d

u_{max(-d)} = the maximum wave-induced horizontal fluid velocity near the bed
γ' = the ratio of the density difference between sand and fluid to
the fluid density
g = the acceleration due to gravity

= the mean water depth.

Equation (1) describes a definite threshold of erosive sand-bed agitation by steady wave action, and $u_{max}(-d)$ will be taken as that due to an extreme wave height exceeded 12 hours per year in determining d_{ℓ} . The water depth then defined by equation (1) agrees with field data on the maximum water depth for significant profile changes (elevation excursions greater than ±0.5 foot or ±0.15 meter) throughout a yearly cycle of wave climate.

Linear wave theory gives

$$u_{\max}(-d) = \frac{\pi H}{T \{\sinh (2\pi d/L)\}}$$
(2)

where H is the wave height, T the wave period, L the local wavelength at d; and

$$\left\{\frac{L}{\tanh\left(2\pi d/L\right)}\right\} = L_{o} = \left(\frac{gT^{2}}{2\pi}\right)$$
(3)

where Lo is wavelength in deep water.

The modified exponential distribution approximating typical measured nearshore wave heights is shown in Figure 2. In terms of standard annual wave



Figure 2. Graphical definition of statistics of exponential cumulative wave height distribution.

statistics, the extreme significant wave height exceeded 12 hours per year (0.137 percent) is

$$H_{s0,137} = H_s + 5.6 \sigma$$
(4)

where $\rm H_S$ is annual average significant wave height, and σ is the annual standard deviation of significant wave height. For simplicity, the period associated with this extreme wave condition is taken to be the average significant wave period $\rm \bar{T}_S$, with fair justification. The wave statistics $\rm \bar{H}_S$, σ , and $\rm \bar{T}_S$ should be defined by one or more full years of daily or more frequent measurements.

Using equations (2), (3), and (4), equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$\left\{\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right\} \sinh^{2}\left\{\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right\} \tanh\left\{\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right\} = \frac{\pi^{2}(\overline{H}_{s} + 5.6 \sigma)^{2}}{0.03\gamma'(g\overline{T}_{s}^{2}/2\pi)^{2}}$$
(5)

and the values of γ' , H_s, σ , and T_s determine a single value of $(2\pi d_{\ell}/L)$. The value of d_{ℓ} can be accurately determined using the iterative root-finding calculator program presented in the Appendix. Alternatively, for $\gamma' = 1.6$ (quartz

sand in saltwater), Figure 3 provides a graphical solution of equation (5). The dimensionless value of $(2\pi d_{\ell}/L)$ is converted to d_{ℓ} by multiplying by $\{(L_0/2\pi) \text{ tanh } (2\pi d_{\ell}/L)\}$ (see eq. 3); Figure 4 provides the needed graph of (tanh x) versus x. Another alternative for $\gamma' = 1.6$ is the first-order analytical approximation,

$$\widetilde{d}_{g} = 2\widetilde{H}_{S} + 11 \sigma, \qquad (6)$$

which is convenient and usually within ±5 percent of an exact calculation.

The seaward bound to the shoal zone is determined from the relationship

$$\frac{u_{\max}^2(-d)}{\gamma' gD} = 8, \qquad (7)$$

where D is the median sand diameter characteristic of the shoal zone bed, e.g., at mean water depth of $(1.5 d_{\ell})$. Equation (7) gives the threshold of sand motion by wave action in field conditions, and umax(-d) will be taken as that due to the median (50 percent) wave condition. For this wave condition, equation (7) along with the depth dependence in equation (2) defines a maximum water depth for sand motion, d_i , which corresponds to the seaward limit of the usual wave-constructed profile.

The modified exponential distribution gives the median significant wave height as

$$H_{S50} = \bar{H}_{S} - 0.3 \sigma$$
 (8)

The period associated with this median wave height is also taken to be the average wave period \bar{T}_c . With these relationships, it follows that

$$\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{i}}{L}\right) = \left\{\frac{\pi^{2}(\bar{H}_{s} - 0.3 \sigma)^{2}}{8\gamma'gD\bar{T}_{s}^{2}}\right\}^{0.5}$$
(9)

The value of d_i can be accurately determined using the calculator program presented in the Appendix. Alternatively, after calculating the value of the right side of equation (9), the value of $(2\pi d_i/L)$ can be determined from the graph of x versus (sinh x) in Figure 4, and converted to d_i by multiplying by {(L₀/2 π) tanh ($2\pi d_i/L$)}, using the Figure 4 graph of (tanh x) versus x. Another alternative, for $\gamma' = 1.6$, is to use the empirical approximation

$$d_i = (\bar{H}_s - 0.3 \sigma) \bar{T}_s (g/5,000 D)^{0.5}$$
 (10)

which is convenient and usually within ±5 percent of an exact calculation.

Table 1 summarizes the basic procedure for calculating the water depths at the two bounds of the shoal zone, for specified values of g, γ' , D, \overline{H}_{s} , σ , and \overline{T}_{s} . The procedure can be executed using either the calculator program provided in the Appendix or the graphs provided in Figures 3 and 4. A convenient alternative is to use the simple approximations in equations (6) and (10), which



Figure 4. Graph of hyperbolic sine and tangent functions.

Bound	Procedure
Bound	riocedule
Landward	Required site parameters: γ' and H_g , σ , \overline{T}_g , and g in consistent units.
	Find dimensionless root $(2\pi d_{\ell}/L)$ of equation (5), either by iteration using the calculator program in the Appendix or from Figure 3 after cal- culating the value of the abscissa $\{2\pi \ (H_S + 5.6 \ \sigma)/gT_S^2\}$.
	Calculate $d_{\ell} = \left(\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right) \left\{ \tanh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right) \right\} \left(\frac{g\overline{T}_{S}^{2}}{4\pi^{2}}\right).$
Seaward	Additional required site parameter: D in consistent units at water depth of (1.5 dg).
	Find the dimensionless value of $(2\pi d_1/L)$ specified by equation (9), using either the calculator program in the Appendix or Figure 4 after calculating the value of the ordinate $(\pi^2 (\bar{H} - 0.3 \text{ gy}^2)^{0.5})$
	$\left\{\frac{\ (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{S}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{S}})}{8\gamma' \mathrm{gD} \tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\right\}$ Calculate
	$d_{i} = \left(\frac{2\pi a_{i}}{L}\right) \left(\tanh\left(\frac{2\pi a_{i}}{L}\right) \right) \left(\frac{g_{1}}{4\pi^{2}}\right) .$

Table 1. Calculation procedure for locating bounds of the shoal zone.

provide results usually consistent in accuracy with the critical values given in equations (1) and (7) to one significant digit. To allow for possible effects of tides, it is recommended that the calculated d_{ℓ} and d_i be taken as being with respect to mean lower low water (MLLW) at a locality, giving conservative (farther seaward) locations for the shoal zone boundaries. Also, it seems appropriate to use calculated results in U.S. customary units (feet), rounded to the nearest integer unit.

III. APPLICATIONS OF d₀ AND d₁

The shoal zone is an objectively defined buffer area of moderate wave effects on the submerged beach profile throughout the year. Where wave and sand characteristics are known, the two calculated water depths are useful in various coastal engineering activities requiring a seaward-limit estimate. The possible applications in the following list are discussed in detail in Hallermeier (1981), and further evaluations of shoal zone uses are being conducted.

(a) Fathometer surveys of customary vertical resolution (± 0.5 foot or ± 0.15 meter) may not be expected to resolve usually small bottom changes during the year seaward of d_{ℓ} . Nearshore surveys extending to d_{ℓ} are needed to define seasonal conditions at a site.

(b) Gages to record waves incident on the littoral zone may be placed just seaward of the anticipated value of d_{ℓ} , so that the measured waves will not be modified differently through the year due to significant changes in the bottom seaward of the gage site.

(c) Sand for beach fill must be placed landward of d_{ℓ} to increase the sand supply in the intensely active littoral zone. The necessary quantity of nourishment material is at least that required to advance the average profile landward of d_{ℓ} the desired distance.

(d) In calculations of shoreline erosion due to sea level rise over long timespans, the ocean boundary to the equilibrium nearshore profile may be set at d_i.

(e) In calculations of sediment budgets pertaining to timespans less than a few decades, the ocean boundary to the control volume may be set at d_{ℓ} .

(f) At sites with shore-parallel contours, subaqueous borrow or disposal of material should be conducted well seaward of d_{ℓ} , so that destructive effects on nearshore processes are minimized. Seaward of d_{ℓ} , borrow or disposal might be conducted in a region with irregular contours if bottom elevations and thus shore exposure are not changed significantly.

(g) A rubble-mound breakwater should be sited in water deeper than d_{ℓ} for the proximate region, if its primary purpose is to provide wave shelter with minimum effect as a littoral barrier.

(h) To balance economy and function, it may be advisable to build a shore-normal structure to the length corresponding to the d_{ℓ} contour for the nearby region if its primary purpose is to control littoral drift.

IV. SHOAL ZONE EXTENT ALONG U.S. COASTS

Tables 2 and 3 present bounding water depths for the shoal zone at selected U.S. coastal localities. Using the calculator program provided in the Appendix, calculations for the 10 sites in Table 2 use annual summary statistics from the wave climate data reported by Thompson (1977). For these sites, at least 1 full year of nearshore surface-piercing gage data is available, with summary statistics provided by objective digital record analysis.

reet per second sq	uared (1 thot = 0.305 n	acter,	I mill	limeter	= 0.	0033	root).
Site	lucation	H _s	0 (E+)	T _s	D	d _g	d ₁
Atlantic cont	Bucarton	(11)		(5)	(unu)	1252.	(11)
Atlantic coast		1					
Atlantic City, N.J.	39 [°] 21' N., 74 [°] 25' W.	2.95	1.55	8.6	0.11	21.5	82.0
Virginia Beach, Va.	36 [°] 51' N., 75 [°] 58' W.	2.40	1.40	8.3	0.11	19.0	65.8
Nags Head, N.C.	35 [°] 55' N., 75 [°] 36' W.	3.20	1.80	8.8	0.11	24.2	88.7
Atlantic Beach, N.C.	34°43' N., 76°44' W.	2.25	1.30	7.2	0.12	17.2	51.0
Wrightsville Beach, N.C.	34 ⁰ 13' N , 77 ⁰ 47' W.	2.60	1.10	7.7	0.11	16.3	65.7
Holden Beach, N.C.	33°55' N., 78°18' W.	2.05	1.00	7.5	0.17	14.4	43.2
Lake Worth, Fla.	26 [°] 37' :1., 80 [°] 02' W.	2.10	1.15	6.7	0.21	15.4	34.8
Gulf of Mexico coast							
Naples, Fla.	26 [°] 08' N., 81 [°] 49' W.	1.10	0.75	4.6	0.12	9.1	15.8
Destin, Fla.	30°23' N., 86°25' W.	1.65	1.05	5.7	0.2j	13.1	20.1
Pacific coast							
Huntington Beach, Calif.	33°39' 11.,118°00' W.	2.90	1.05	13.2	0.11	17.8	139.3

Table 2.	Calculated shoal zone bounds for 10 U.	S. sites using annual statistics
	of nearshore wave gage measurements (T	hompson, 1977); γ' = 1.6, g = 32.2
	fact not second caused (1 fact = 0 20)	5 motor 1 millimeter = 0.0022 few

D = 0.00033	1000 (T 1000	= 0.303 met	er).					
Site	Locati	lon	Data year	H _s (ft)	σ (ft)	Ī _s (s)	d ₂ (ft)	d ₁ (ft)
Atlantic coast	And						a r ng ma ng rya s	
Assateague, Md.	38 ⁰ 11' N.,	75 °09' W.	1978	2.18	1.14	8.73	17.7	73.3
Bull Island, S.C.	32'55' N.,	79 ⁰ 35' W.	1977-78	2.37	0.84	6.17	13.7	52.7
Tybee Lighthouse, Ga.	32 [°] 01' N.,	80 ⁰ 50' W.	1976-77	2.80	1.85	7.30	24.3	68.7
Boca Raton, Fla.	25 ⁰ 22' N.,	80 [°] 04' W.	1971	1.59	1.04	5./4	13.7	31.2
Gulf of Mexico coast								
St. Andrews Park, Fla.	30 ⁰ 05' N.,	85 [°] 40' W.	1969-70	1.74	1.24	4.86	14.7	29.6
Crystal Beach, Fla.	з) ⁰ 23' N.,	86 ⁰ 27' W.	1969-70	1.72	1.42	4.81	15.8	27.1
Gilchrist, Tex.	29 ⁰ 31' N.,	94 °29' W.	1975	1.29	0.95	6.55	13.3	31.4
Galveston, Tex.	29 ⁰ 11' N.,	94 ⁰ 58' W.	1975	1.53	0.83	6.71	12.5	39.6
Corpus Christi, Tex.	27 ⁰ 45' N.,	97 ⁰ 10' W.	1974	2.59	1.12	6.66	17.0	60.9
Pacific coast								
San Clemente, Calif.	33 ³ 24' N.,	117 ⁰ 21' W.	1969	2.85	1.40	15.15	23.4	169.4
Bolsa Chica, Calif.	33 ⁰ 41' N.,	118°02' W.	1969	2.42	1.23	12.10	20.0	114.4
Pt. Mugu, Calif.	34 [°] 07' N.,	119 ⁰ 09' W.	1973	2.84	1.00	14.69	18.6	172.1
Pismo Beach, Calif.	35 ^c 09' N.,	120 ⁰ 39' W.	1969	3.07	1.52	12.03	24.6	143.0
San Simeon, Calif.	35 [°] 34' N.,	121 ⁰ 07' W.	1969	3.09	1.22	11.58	21.2	141.7
Capitola Beach, Calif.	36°59' N.,	121 ⁰ 56' W.	1971	1.50	0.87	11.16	13.9	59.0
Stinson Beach, Calif.	37 ⁰ 54' N.,	122 ⁰ 38' W.	1968-69	3.78	1.32	12.62	23.9	186.4
Wright's Beach, Calif.	38 ⁰ 24' N.,	123 ⁰ 06' W.	1969	4.94	2.10	11.37	34.0	199.2
Shelter Cove, Calif.	40 ⁰ 02' N.,	124 ⁰ 04' W.	1969-70	2.33	1.53	11.88	23.2	102.1
Prairie Creek, Calif.	41 [°] 21' N.,	124 [°] 04' W.	1969-70	3.44	1.34	10.69	22.9	139.6
Umpqua, Oreg.	43°29' N.,	124 ⁰ 13' W.	1978	3.74	1.61	9.38	25.6	122.8

Table 3. Calculated shoal zone bounds for 20 U.S. sites using annual statistics of LEO breaker observations; $\gamma^* = 1.6$, g = 32.2 feet per second squared, D = 0.00033 foot (1 foor = 0.35 meter).

Calculations for the 20 sites in Table 3 use annual summary statistics of breaker observations collected under the LEO program conducted by the U.S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC). Such wave data are liable to criticism regarding precision and subjectivity, and seem to give larger annual mean wave heights than gages located seaward of the littoral zone. Also, for the Table 3 calculations, D has been set equal to 0.00033 foot (0.1 millimeter), a typical value. However, the Table 3 results show consistency with Table 2 results for common regions.

<u>GIVEN</u>: At Galveston, Texas (29⁰17' N., 94⁰47' W.), annual wave statistics for 1966 (Thompson, 1977) are:

Mean significant height, \overline{H}_{s} = 1.35 feet

Standard deviation of height, $\sigma = 0.9$ foot

Mean wave period, $\overline{T}_{s} = 5.7$ seconds.

Median sediment diameter at the 18-foot water depth off Bolivar Peninsula just north of Galveston (U.S. Army Engineer District, Galveston, 1958) is:

D = 0.00033 foot (0.1 millimeter).

 $\underline{\text{FIND}}\text{:}$ The water depths d_{L} and $d_{\text{i}}\text{,}$ giving the extent of the shoal zone at this site.

SOLUTION: From equation (4),

$$H_{s0.137} = \{1.35 + (5.6)(0.9)\} = 6.4$$
 feet,

and from equation (3),

$$L_{o} = \left\{ \frac{32.2(5.7)^{2}}{2\pi} \right\} = 166.5$$
 feet

so that

$$\frac{H_{s0.137}}{L_0} = 0.038$$

and Figure 3 gives

$$\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L} = 0.74$$

Figure 4 gives

$$tanh (0.74) = 0.63$$

so that

$$d_{\ell} = \left(\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right) \left(\frac{L_{o}}{2\pi}\right) \left\{ \tanh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{\ell}}{L}\right) \right\} = (0.74)(26.5)(0.63) = 12.4 \text{ feet} \approx 12 \text{ feet}.$$

Note that equation (6) gives

$$\widetilde{d}_{\ell} = \{2[1.35 + 11(0.9)]\} = 12.6 \text{ feet} \approx 13 \text{ feet}.$$

From equation (8) ,

$$H_{s50} = \{1.35 - 0.3(0.9)\} = 1.08$$
 feet,

so equation (9) becomes

$$\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{1}}{L}\right) = \left\{\frac{\pi^{2}(1.08)^{2}}{8(1.6)(32.2)(0.00033)(5.7)^{2}}\right\}^{0.5} = 1.61$$

Figure 4 gives

$$\sinh^{-1}(1.61) = 1.26 = \left(\frac{2\pi d_{i}}{L}\right)$$

and

$$\tanh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{i}}{L}\right) = 0.85,$$

so that

$$d_{i} = \left(\frac{2\pi d_{i}}{L}\right) \left(\frac{L_{0}}{2\pi}\right) \left[\tanh\left(\frac{2\pi d_{i}}{L}\right) \right] = (1.26)(26.45)(0.85) = 28.33 \text{ feet} \approx 28 \text{ feet}.$$

Note that equation (10) gives

 $\widetilde{d}_i = \{(1.08)(5.7)[32.25/5,000(0.00033)]^{0.5}\} = 27.2 \text{ feet} \approx 27 \text{ feet}.$

With the same input parameters, the calculator program in the Appendix gives the more precise results:

These calculated results are to be considered in conjunction with other possible indicators of the seaward limit to the wave-dominated profile. Everts (1978) reported two geometric limit depths for Palm Beach near the center of Galveston Island (29°12' N., 94°57' W.). The limit depth to shore-parallel bathymetry is 47 feet (14.3 meters), and the depth at the boundary between the shoreface and ramp profile sectors is 59 feet (18.0 meters); both depths are with respect to mean low water (MLW).

Sand-size variation along the profile can indicate a seaward limit to wave effects (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977). For the Galveston area, Fisher, et al. (1972) charted the boundary between "shoreface sand and muddy sand" and "shelf mud and sand with shell" at about 28 to 29 feet below mean sea level (MSL). (MLW is about 1 foot below MSL at this site.)

Watson and Behrens (1976) presented six profiles surveyed to about 14 feet below MSL in June and December 1974 and March 1976 near New Corpus Christi Pass, Texas $(28^{\circ}41' \text{ N.}, 97^{\circ}11' \text{ W.})$. Five of these profiles superpose to within ±0.5 foot beyond a water depth of about 10 to 12 feet below MLW. Charted profile geometry at this site is similar to that near Galveston (Everts, 1978), and wave climate may be expected to be similar.

The preceding information supports the calculated values of d_1 and d_2 for this site. In this example, the shoal zone seems well-founded, while the two geometric indicators derived by Everts (1978) are not supported by other evidence on the limits to wave effects on the submarine profile.

V. SUMMARY

This report is a self-contained presentation of the calculation procedure (Table 1) for locating a shoal zone along a sand beach profile. The water depths bounding this shoal zone depend on sand characteristics (γ' , D) and summary statistics of annual wave climate (\overline{H}_{s} , σ , and \overline{T}_{s}). The shoal zone is defined so that expected surface waves have only moderate effects on the sand bottom in this zone throughout a typical year. The calculated shoal zone bounds have applications in coastal engineering activities requiring an estimate of the seaward limit to the wave-dominated nearshore region (see Sec. III).

The assumptions involved in the shoal zone model are limiting, to some extent, and confirmatory field evidence is definitely meager, but the present calculation procedure is objective, and seems to be a useful new tool for engineering applications. The calculated shoal zone supplements other estimation techniques for the seaward limit (U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, 1977); of these other techniques, analysis of sediment character variation along the profile seems the most defendable.

LITERATURE CITED

- EVERTS, C.H., "Geometry of Profiles Across Inner-Continental Shelves of the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States," TP 78-4, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Apr. 1978.
- FISHER, W.L., et al., "Environmental Geologic Atlas of the Texas Coastal Zone, Galveston-Houston Area," Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas, Austin, Tex., 1972.
- HALL, J.V., Jr., and HERRON, W.J., "Test of Nourishment of the Shore by Offshore Deposition of Sand," TM-17, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, D.C., June 1950.
- HALLERMEIER, R.J., "A Profile Zonation for Seasonal Sand Beaches from Wave Climate," to be published in *Coastal Engineering*, 1981.
- KOMAR, P.D., "Boundary Layer Flow Under Steady Unidirectional Currents," Marine Sediment Transport and Environmental Management, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1976.
- THOMPSON, E.F., "Wave Climate at Selected Locations Along U.S. Coasts," TR 77-1, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., Jan 1977.
- U.S. ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS, COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER, Shore Protection Manual, 3d ed., Vols. I, II, and III, Stock No. 008-022-00113-1, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977, 1,262 pp.
- U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, GALVESTON, "Report on Beach Erosion Control Cooperative Study of the Gulf Shore of Bolivar Peninsula, Texas," Galveston, Tex., May 1958.
- WATSON, R.L., and BEHRENS, E.W., "Hydraulics and Dynamics of New Corpus Christi Pass, Texas: A Case History, 1973-75," GITI Report 9, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va., and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss., Sept. 1976.

APPENDIX

CALCULATOR PROGRAM FOR THE BOUNDS OF THE SHOAL ZONE

A calculator program executing the Table 1 procedure is documented on the following four pages. Written for the Hewlett-Packard HP-67 Programmable Pocket Calculator, this program uses metric or U.S. customary units, 224 program steps, 25 storage registers, and RPN logic. The program could be translated for use with calculators having other logic systems and similar features and capacities.

The program incorporates the basic relationships in equations (1) and (7), the needed identities for hyperbolic functions and for linear waves, and an effective interative root-finding routine. The parameters to be specified for the calculations are \overline{H}_s , σ , \overline{T}_s , D, γ' , and g. For quartz sand, $\gamma' \approx 1.6$ in seawater and $\gamma' = 1.65$ in freshwater, with slight variations with water temperature and, of course, salinity (Komar, 1976). For most sites, especially at sea level in temperate latitudes, the value of g may be taken to be 32.2 feet (981 centimeters) per second squared.

Program Description

 Program Title BOUNDING WATER DEPTHS OF WAVE-BASED SHOAL ZONE

 Name
 ROBERT J. HALLERMEIER
 Date Jan. 1981

 Address
 RESEARCH DIVISION, U.S. ARMY COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

 City
 KINGMAN BUILDING, FORT BELVOIR
 State VIRGINIA
 Zip Code 22060

Program Description, Equations, Variables, etc. The MAIN PROGRAM PERFORMS AN ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF TWO FUNCTIONS DEFINING DIMENSIONLESS WATER DEPTH AT EACH END OF A SHOAL'ZONE ALONG THE SUBMERGED BEACH PROFILE. AFTER WAVE AND SAND CHARACTER AT A SITE ARE INPUT, THE SECANT METHOD FINDS A ROOT ACCURATE TO THREE SIGNIFICANT FIGURES. OTHER PROGRAMS: CONVERT THE DIMENSIONLESS ROOTS TO d. AND d;, WATER DEPTHS IN METERS AT THE LANDWARD AND SEAWARD BOUNDARIES, RESPECTIVELY; PERFORM UNITS CONVERSIONS; AND CALCULATE CRITICAL VELOCITY, NE, FOR SAND MOTION INITIATION. OTHER SYMBOLS ARE:

 $\delta' = RATIO OF DENSITY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SAND AND FLUID TO FLUID DENSITY$ $<math>\overline{T_s} = ANNUAL AVERAGE SIGNIFICANT WAVE PERIOD; g = ACCELERATION OF GRAVITY;$ $H_s = ANNUAL AVERAGE SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT; D=CHARACTERISTIC SAND SIZE;$ $<math>\sigma = ANNUAL STANDARD DEVIATION OF SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT; L= LOCAL WAVELENGTH;$ $a = NEAR-BOTTOM HORIZONTAL FLOW AMPLITUDE; L_s=(gT²/zrr)= DEEP-WATER WAVELENGTH;$ $<math>\overline{S_x} = (2\pi d_x/L) = DIMENSIONLESS WATER DEPTH; x = DUMMY INDEX STANDING FOR L OR L.$ THE TWO BASIC FUNCTIONS ARE:

$$0 = \frac{5}{5_{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{5}{5_{L}}} - e^{\frac{5}{5_{R}}} \right)^{3} + \frac{4\pi^{2}}{0.038'} \frac{\left(\overline{H}_{s} + 5.6\sigma\right)^{2}}{L_{s}^{2}} \left(e^{\frac{5}{5_{L}}} + e^{-\frac{5}{5_{R}}} \right) \qquad [LBL 2]$$

AND $0 = 1 + \{ \alpha (e^{-\xi_{\perp}} - e^{\xi_{\perp}})/(H_s - 0.3 \sigma) \}$, [LBL 3] THE SECANT METHOD USES THE ITERATION EQUATION: $\xi_{j+1} = \xi_{j}' - F(\xi_{j}) \{ (\xi_{j} - \xi_{j-1})/[F(\xi_{j}) - F(\xi_{j-1})] \}$, [LBL E] OTHER EQUATIONS AND IDENTITIES EMPLOYED ARE: $\alpha_{x} = H_{x}/2 \sinh \xi_{x}$; $N_{z} = 2\pi \alpha/T = (88'\alpha D)^{0.5}$ [LBL C]; sinh $\xi_{x} = \pm (e^{\xi_{x}} - e^{-\xi_{x}})$; $tanh \xi_{x} = (e^{\xi_{x}} - e^{-\xi_{x}})/(e^{\xi_{x}} + e^{-\xi_{x}});$ $L_{0}(tanh \xi_{x}) = L$; $d_{x} = (\xi_{x} tanh \xi_{x})(L_{0}/2\pi)$,

Operating Limits and Warnings THE INCORPORATED BASIC RESULTS ARE VALID ONLY FOR OPEN-COAST CONDITIONS AND SAND-SIZE SEDIMENTS (D BETWEEN 0.006 AND 0.2 CENTIMETERS), LINEAR WAVE THEORY AND A MODIFIED EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION FOR CUMULATIVE WAVE HEIGHT ARE ASSUMED. THE ROOTS S_2 AND S_2 CAN BE PHYSICALLY MEANINGFUL ONLY IF THEY ARE BETWEEN 0.1 AND 3.0, APPROXIMATELY.

User Instructions

BOUNDING WATER DEPTHS OF WAVE-BASED SHOAL 20NE 1 2A: landward bound, 52 C: critical E: start 3A: seaward bound, 52 velocity role Finder Fa: if her used fb: 52 meters calculation Fd: 52 meters free ters the 5

STEP	INSTRUCTIONS	INPUT DATA/UNITS	KE	KEYS OUT DATA		
1	LOAD PROGRAM INTO CALCULATOR MEMORY,			[]		
,2	STORE SITE PARAMETERS :					
	L. NORMALIZED IMMERSED SEDIMENT DENSITY	×', -	STO	0		
	LL, ANNUAL AVERAGE SIGNIFICANT WAVE PERIOD	Ts, sec	(STO)			
	ILL. ANNUAL AVERAGE SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT	$\int \overline{H}_{s} \sim$	STO	Z [18		
		H _s , Ft	STO	3		
	INT. ANNUAL STANDARD DEVIATION OF HEIGHT	(0, m	ST0	4 ija		
		o ft	STO	15 p	1	
	N. ACCELE RATION OF GRAVITY .	g cm/sec2	STO	6 12		
		& Ft/sec2	STO	7 <u> </u> '		
3	IF ANY INPUT PARAMETER IS IN NON-METRIC	0.		[]		
	UNITS, AND/OR OUTPUTS ARE WANTED IN NON-		F	[a.]		
	METRIC UNITS, ENGAGE CONVERSION PROGRAM.			[]		
4_	EXCHANGE PRIMARY SECONDARY REGISTER CONTENTS,		161	P₹S		
5	CALL LANDWARD BOUND FUNCTION (51).		Z			
_6	GUESS 5 = 0.6 AND BEGIN ITERATION,		•			
-			6			
			E			
7	WAIT FOR STATIONARY DISPLAY OF 51 ROOT.				5L, -	
8	CONVERT 5% TO de IN METERS AND STORE.		IFI	Ь	de, m	
9	IF DESIRED, CONVERT de TO FEET.		IFI	e	de, Ft	
10	ENCHANGE PRIMARY SECONDARY REGISTER CONTENTS,		IF I	PZS		
	STORE ADDITIONAL SITE PARAMETER!					
	NIL, SAND SIZE AT WATER DEPTH OF (1.5 de)	D, cm	STO	8		
12	EXCHANGE PRIMARY SECONDARY REGISTER CONTENTS			PZS		
13_	ENGAGE PROGRAM TO CALCULATE AND STORE		C			
	CRITICAL VELOCITY FOR SAND MOTION INITIATION .			1		
14	WAIT FOR STATIONARY DISPLAY OF CRITCAL VELOCITY				NE, m/sec	
15	CALL SEAWARD BOUND FUNCTION (Si),		3	A		
16_	GUESS 5 = 1.2 AND BEGIN ITERATION.					
17	WAIT FOR STATIONARY DISPLAY OF 51 ROOT				51,-	
18	LONVERT SI TO d' IN METERS AND STORE.				dr, m	
19	LF DESIRED, CONVERT d' TO FEET			le	di, FC	
20	FOR NEW CASE, CLEAR ALL REGISTERS			15> Cl		
	AND RETURN TO STEP Z,			li≪⊃i haran		
				hrikedt		

Program Listing

STEP	KEY ENTRY	KEY CODE	COMMENTS	STEP	KEY ENTRY	KEY CODE	COMMENTS
001	JLBL FA	32 25 11	UNITS CONVERSION		DSP 3	23 03	
		03	AND STORAGE.	 	FGSBB	31 22 12	-
<u> </u>	0	00	-	060	KLL B	34 12	4
		04	4		6100	22 00	4
<u> </u>	4	04	4		PULO	34 00	4
	6709	33.00	4		EGGRI	31 77 74	4
	P(13	34 03	1		STAR	33 17	4
	Exa0	31 51	1		FLBLO	31 25 00	1
010	GTO 5	22.05	1		RELA	34 11	-
	F 70	31 82	1		RCLO	34 00	1 .
	STO Z	33 02	1		STO A	33 11	
	FLBL 5	31 25 05]		-	51	1
	RCL9	34 07]	070	RELD	34 14]
	RCL 5	34 05			RCLB	34 12	
	F #= 0	3151			STO D	33 14	
	GT07	22 07			-	51]
	1 70	31 82			÷	81	1
	STO 4	33 04			×	71	1
020	FLBL7	31 25 07			ST0-0	33 51 00	4
<u> </u>	KCL 9	34 09			RCLO	3400	4
	KCL /	54 01			T	81	4
F	F#=0	31 51		080	FKND	31 24	-
<u> </u>	I N KIN	35 44			FXFO	5161	4
	(TD /	17.0/			GTO 6	22.06	4
	5106	25.00			KCLO	34 00	4
	C I BI A	35 22	En of En internal		h KTN	35 22	
	LOLA	35 23 11	NUMBER	<u> </u>	FRAC	21 47 13	CALCULATE AND
030	L PTN	3533	NUMBER!		PCIO	31 44	STORE CRITICAL
	FIRIR	31 25 12	E		RELU	34 00	VELOCITY,
<u> </u>	FPY	42 .	FINITE - DIFFERENCE		KLL B	3400	
	CHS	42	SIGGE DEGINATINE	<u> </u>	PCIA	34.08	
	2	02	FIRST DERIVATIVE.	090	X	71	
	F 70	31 82			FJZ	31.54	• • •
	STOC	3313			8	08	
	2	02			FJZ	31.54	
	÷	51			F %	31 82	
	-	51			FP2S	31 42	1
040	STOA	33 11			STO 9	33.09	
	STOO	33 00			h RTN	3522	
	FGSB(A)	31 22 24			FLBLZ	31 25 OZ	DIMENSIONLESS
	STO D	33 14			9 e ²	32.52	LANDWARD BOUND
	RCLA	3411		100	STO 4	33 04	FUNCTION.
	RCLC	34 13			h 1/1/2	3562	
	+	61			RCL4	34 04	
	STO D	33 00			+	61	
	+ (356/4)	51 22 24			STO 8	33.08	
050	STO B	3312			RCL 3	34 03	
0.50	KCLD				F 72=0	3 61	
	ROC	34.12			GT04	22.04	
	- NUL C	81			- <u>L</u>	15 71	
	NRTN	35 22		110	×	11	
	FLBLE	31 25 15	TTERATIVE SECANT		STO 5	33.05	
	FFIX	31 23	ROOT FINDER,		FPES	3142	
			REGIS	STERS			
0 5:	'delm'	$\frac{2}{d}$	3 (m) 4 400	5 700	6	7 used	8 used 9-1m/ 1
0	- Cr	(u, (m)		2.11	used	useo -	maca Nel /sec)
8	32. (Sec	H(m)	HIFt) Jo(m)	or (Ft) glomly	er alft/ser	D(cm) 30,48
A	IP IP	5.		0	10-13	10,000	h F
5,-1		$F(\xi_i)$	۲۵۶ <u>ک</u>	F(5)	-1)		TUNCTION

STEP	KEY	ENTRY	KEY C	ODE		COMMENTS		STEP	KEY ENTRY	KEY CODE	COMM	ENTS
	RCI	-1	340	1					RCL 5	34 05	_	1
	9%	I	32.5	-4			- 1	170	÷	81	4	
	RCL	6	34 0	06					STO 1	3301	-	
	<u>F7</u>	0	31 8	52					h KTN	3522	1	
	FP	25	314	.2			ł		FLOL S	31 25 05	DIMENSION	LESS
	KCL	. 5	34 c	5					ge	32.52	SEAWARD	BOUND
100			81	-			ł		<u>5107</u>	2517	POACTION	
120	510	1.4	330	3					RCI 4	33 62	-	
	E P		214	.2			ł		-	51	-1	
	RCI	4	34 0	à					RCL 5	34 05	-	
	- <u>- C</u>	· 1	05				1	180	h 1/2	35.62	7	
			92						FP2S	3142	1	
	6		06						RCLI	34 01		
	×	_	71				- 1		×	71	1	
<u> </u>	RCL	2	340	Z					RCL4	34 04	1	
	+		61						•	83		
130	FP	15	314	Z					3	03		
	RCL	. 3	34 0	3					×	71		
	÷		81				- [CHS	42		
	9 1	2	32 5	54					RCLZ	34 02		
	RCL	5	34 0	5				190	+	61	_	
	97	<u> </u>	345	4						81	-	
	×		71						FPES	3142	_	
	· ·		83						RCL9	34 09	-	
	0		00						×	71		
	3		03						STO 6	3506		
140			81	-					<u>×</u>		-{	
	FP4	5	314	2							-1	
	KCL	. 0	540	0					+ F RTNI	2577	-	
	EP?	<	14	7				200	OLBI Ed	32 25 14	CONVERT	FAWARD
	STO	6	33.0	16					RCL4	34 04	BOUND T	METERS.
	BCI	8	340	8					h 1/2	35.67		o ricices.
	STO	×6	3371	06					RCL 4	34 04	-	
	RCI	4	34.0	4					t	61	-1	
	hy	4	351	2					RCL6	34 06	-	
150	RCL	.4	34 0	4					X	71		
	-		51						h 1/2	3562		
	STC	7	330	7					RCL 3	34 03		
	3		03						×	71		
	hy	z	35 6	3				210	RCLO	34 00_	_	
	RCE	0	34 0	0					×	71	_	
	×		71						RCL 5	34 05	_	
	STC	+6	33 61	06					Ť	81	-	
	RCL	- 6	340	16					STO 2	3502		
	L R	TN	35 2	12	-				h KTN	35 24		
160	19 LB	LFD	32 24	> 1 2	CONVE	RT LANDWAR	D		<u>q LBL re</u>	34 45 1	LONVERT	BOUNDS
	V KC		540		BOUN	D TO METER	.s,		PCIA	24.00	FROM MI	-1EKS 10
		3 A	34 0						F 72	3187	PEET,	
	-	- 0		10				220	1/0	01	-1	
}	RCL	0	34 0	0					9 10%	32.53	-	
	×		71						X	71		
	RCL	. 3	340	3					F P≥ S	31 42		
	×		71						L RTN	3522		
				<u> </u>	LAE	ELS	IC		FLAGS		SET STATUS	
Funct	tion	deriva	tive	U Lrit	ity	U	reot	-finder		FLAGS	TRIG	DISP
alapat	units	DE0-21	telon	с	1	dE: >d: (m)	eOvt	put unit	s 1	ON OF		FIX 09
CONVETS	ion	1		25 5	- 4.1	3e. E. t	4	ersion_	2		GRAD	SCI 🗆
vsed				"Se tu	nction	5+ FUNCTION	U !	250	0	2 0 0	RAD 🗆	ENG 🗆
'used		° itera	te	Vuse	d	" used	9		3	3 🗆 🖬		n <u> </u>



Hallermeier, Robert J.	Seaward limit of significant sand transport by waves: an annual contaction for seasonal profiles / by Robert J. Hallermeier Fort gelvoir, Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1981. : 27 cm (Technical aid U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; no. 81-2) Includes bibliographical references.	This report provides a new technique for seaward-limit estimation, supplementing those given in Section 4.523 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM). 1. Beach profiles. 2. Coastal engineering. 3. Coastal processes. 4. Sand transport. 5. Ocean waves. 1. Title. II. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Technical aid no. 81-2.	TC203 .U581ta no. 81-2 627	Hallermeier, Robert J.	Seaward limit of significant sand transport by waves: an annual zonation for seasonal profiles / by Robert J. Hallermeier Fort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1981.	[23] p.: iil. : 27 cm (Technical aid U.S. Coastal Engineering Kesearch Center ; no. 81-2) Includes bibliographical references.	This report provides a new technique for seaward-limit estimation, supplementing those given in Section 4.523 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM).	 Beach profiles. 2. Coastal engineering. 3. Coastal processes. 4. Sand transport. 5. Ocean waves. 1. Title. 11. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Technical aid no. 81-2. 	TC203 .US81ta no. 81-2 627
Bollormator Bohart 1.	<pre>mattermeter, worst or Seaward limit of significant sand transport by waves: an annual Seaward inter seasonal profiles / by Robert J. Hallermeter — Fort Belvoir, va. : u.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1981. [23] e. : ill. : 27 cm. — (Technical aid — U.S. Coastal Engi- neering Research Center ; no. 81-2) Includes bibliographical references.</pre>	This report provides a new technique for seaward-limit estimation, supplementing those given in Section 4.523 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM). 1. Beach profiles. 2. Coastal engineering. 3. Coastal processes. 4. Sand transport. 5. Ocean waves. 1. Title. II. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Technical aid no. 81-2.	TC203 .U581ta no. 81-2 627	Hallermeier, Robert J.	Seaward limit of significant sand transport by waves: an annual zonation for seasonal profiles / by Robert J. Hallermeier Fort Belvoir, Va. : U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center ; Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information	Service, 1981. [23] p. : ill. : 27 cm (Technical aid U.S. Coastal Engi- neering Research Center ; no. 81-2) Includes bibliographical references.	This report provides a new technique for seaward-limit estimation, supplementing those given in Section 4.523 of the Shore Protection Manual (SPM).	 Beach profiles. 2. Coastal engineering. 3. Coastal processes. 4. Sand transport. 5. Ocean waves. 1. Title. II. Series: U.S. Coastal Engineering Research Center. Technical aid no. 81-2. 	TC203 .U581ta no. 81-2 627





