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## TO THE READER.

THE object of this compendious work is to collect Facts, and from them briefly and yet clearly to explain Principles. It does not attempt too refined distinctions or too minute classification, but it points out and illustrates the meaning of the Particles, both singly and in combination, leaving to the observation and intelligence of the student to mark occasional minor deviations from the established usages. It is desigued for the use of Schools, and is therefore made as simple and easy as possible.

These 'Particles,' it is well known, constitute an important and a characteristic feature of the Greek Language, especially of the Attic writings of the best period. They so greatly affect the tone, connexion, or irony of a passage, that a correct knowledge of their uses is quite a necessary condition of accurate Greek scholarship. Especially is it important to the right interpretation of the Greek Plays and to the idiomatic composition of Greek Iambics-too
often a mere nexus verborum, without any feeling for or knowledge of the real spirit of Tragedy. And it is with a view to these points that so many of the examples are taken from Tragedy. In fact, poetry is stronger, so to say, in the use of particles than prose, and a distinction occasionally has to be made of combinations which are, perhaps, exclusively poetical. No special account has been taken of epic or lyric usages, as it seemed desirable to exclude these, though in many respects they are not materially different from the Attic.

It may be doubted if any Manual exists which explains at once clearly and correctly, and in a conveniently concise form, the Doctrine of the Greek Particles. Longer works, as Hoogeven's, ${ }^{1}$ and expositions of the principal uses given in the larger Greek Grammars, are not generally accessible to young scholars, or at least, they are consulted with some reluctance. Perhaps, indeed, it is not too much to say, that in consequence of this some of the combinations are rather imperfectly understood, and are explained, if at all, by no means correctly. Many a student has learnt to think a $\gamma \epsilon$ or a $\delta \eta^{\prime}$ a mere makeshift to the metre, when it really has a most certain and definite sense. How many, we may

[^0]fairly ask, could give a true account ${ }^{1}$ of $\gamma \epsilon$ in Aesch. Theb. 71, and Soph. Oed. Col. 1409, or of S' in Oed. R. 66 and Oed. Col. 1215 ?

The present short Treatise is entirely original, and is under no obligation whatever to any work existing on the subject. It may be objected, with some truth, that it is difficult to define what should be admitted under the strict definition of the term "Particles." If, for instance, the uses of the conditional $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } v$ and of the negatives ou and $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ are included under this head, -and it does not seem reasonable to exclude them -it is not easy to write briefly on subjects involving so much variety in idiomatic usage. The best course is, perhaps, to lay down clearly the general principles only; for when these are well understood, then the details can be well filled up, like a picture completed from a good cartoon.

Indeed, the greatest difficulty in a work of this kind is, perhaps, to write at once clearly and briefly. For examples accumulate to so large an extent that a limited selection becomes absolutely necessary. As Hermann has written a long treatise on ă $\nu$, so a volume of no small size would be required to treat

[^1]exhaustively of $o v^{\prime}$ and $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ and their combinations. Still, I think both these subjects may be well explained at moderate length.

It has been my lot to know, from very long experiences both as a Lecturer and an Examiner in Classics, how common is a confused and misty conception of the logical grounds of certain idiomatic expressions, and how little even the primary distinction of objective and subjective propositions is realised by younger students. Thus, whether to use ov or $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ in Greek or (what is not very different) the indicative or the subjunctive in Latin, becomes a frequent cause of perplexity in composition, and it is only by understanding the reasons of things that the difficulties can be mastered. ${ }^{1}$ A use which was intuitive in a Greek and a Roman is often very hard to acquire by rule and example.

But much may be done by an intelligent survey of such special phenomena as are presented by the Particles. The fixed uniformity of their use in the best period of the language, with an import far beyond that of mere expletives, gives them a high place in the scientific analysis of the language.

To my mind, then, so far from being a dry unimportant subject, the combinations of the Greek Particles are full of the highest interest, as being,

[^2]so to say, an elaborately finished part of a most complex and beautiful machinery. That a few uninflected monosyllables should determine so completely the tone and meaning of a sentence, is in itself a curious phenomenon of language. Believing that from long and careful observation, I understand them myself, I have tried to make others do the same; and I only hope they will have the same pleasure in reading which I have had in writing this small work.

A few more words remain to be said on the predominance given to quotations from the dramatic writers over those from the prose compositions of the best age. The reason is simple; the idioms and usages of the Particles are the same, but they are, so to say, inten-sified,-they are much more frequently and pointedly used by the Attic poets. Hence it seems more useful to give illustrations (say) from Sophocles than from Thucydides; for the more subtle meanings in many passages of the Greek Plays are too often either wholly overlooked, or quite wrongly understood. Plato is one who makes a great use of particles, but always coincidently with the Tragic use; and that use can be learnt as well from the one source as from the other.

To fill pages with examples of $a ้ \rho a, \delta \dot{\eta}, \gamma \in$ or $\tau \in$ from epic poetry, or to mix up with the Attic the Ionic usages of Herodotus, would have added much to the bulk and perhaps nothing to the utility of this little

Manual. ${ }^{1}$ It was quite necessary too, for obvious reasons, to explain briefly and only generally the uses of ov and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and of the particle ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu$. My present belief however is, that the little that has been said on these most difficult monosyllables sufficiently indicates the true principles of their usage. No one has any claim to be a sound Greek scholar who is imperfectly acquainted with these and the other particles; and those who value verbal and grammatical accuracy in a classical education will do well to encourage this study in all the upper classes of the Schools.

[^3]London, 1881.
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## THE GREEK PARTICLES

## AND THEIR COMBINATIONS.

## $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$.

This word, commonly meaning but, and often nearer in sense to the Latin at or autem than to the separative sed, was in its origin, perhaps, the plural of ä a $\lambda \frac{1}{}$, 'to speak of other things.' ${ }^{1}$

A peculiar use of the word is nearly a synonym of yoûv, Lat. saltem. Sometimes it may be rendered 'then,' as
$\sigma \grave{v} \delta ొ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau a \sigma \delta i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma \epsilon v ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, ' then take and taste these.' Ar. Ach. 191.



Ibid. 1033.

${ }^{1}$ Compare the use of ceterum, 'this other matter'; and avire with autem, 'again,' 'further.'

Rhes. 167.
 Iph. Aul. 1239.

Lat. nunc demum. Soph. El. 411.

тєıрá $\sigma a \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \gamma \in \kappa \iota v \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~$ тò $\delta v \sigma \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \kappa a ̉ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \gamma о \rho o v ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a . ~$

Oed. Col. 1276.
 $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ ảт $\iota \mu a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

Ibid. 1405.

It is used like the Latin at in expostulation, and so the passage last cited from Oed. Col. may be explained.

таи́таıу $\lambda \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi a \ell,-\mu \eta ́ \sigma \phi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota i ́ \delta \eta \varsigma ~ к . т . \lambda$. Oed. Tyr. 1503.
 Iph. Aul. 1246.

In the sense at saltem we have $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' oviv, as Ar. Ach. 920, Eur. Tro. 1192, and Alcest. 363, nearly or quite the same as $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' oű $\nu-\gamma \epsilon=a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ زov̂v.

Like at enim, ảд入入̀ $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ (Antig. 148) may often be rendered 'but since,' and so ả à' oủ ràp, Oed. Col. 755.

The formula ov $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$, 'for indeed,' 'for of course,' lit. 'for it is not otherwise but,' is not unfrequent.

Eur. Suppl. 570.
$a ̈ \pi ı \theta^{\circ}$. ov̉ $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \rho a \theta \epsilon ́ v \tau o s ~ \tilde{\eta} \chi a ́ \rho \iota s . ~$
Ar. Equit. 1205.

## $\alpha \nu \nu$.

The uses of this particle may be reduced to three principal heads.
(1) It combines and coheres with relative and some few other quasi-relative words ( $\pi \rho i v$, in case a negative
 time, to express indefiniteness, like our word ever in ' whoever,' ' whenever,' \&c.

In this case it is naturally constructed with the subjunctive mood. For every subjunctive is a future, implying as it does something that is yet to be proved by experience. And in all such propositions an event is waited for, the issue of which is regarded as a present uncertainty.

And as 'ever' forms an integral part of our words 'whenever,' \&c., and we are not in the habit of writing separately 'when ever,' or 'what ever,' so ôt $\hat{a} \nu \nu$ and
 shortened to $\stackrel{a}{ } \nu)$. So $\dot{\delta} \pi \dot{o}^{\tau} \tau a \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\eta} v, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$, and the crasis âv for â aैv.
 ठ̈тov，ötा由s，＇whoever，＇＇whichever，＇＇of what kind soever，＇\＆c．，in all matters pending and still undecided， are followed immediately by ä $\nu$ ，which is inseparabie from the relative or quasi－relative word；so that ôs－$-\hat{a} \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta$ alone is right，and ôs $\lambda \in$ é $\gamma \underset{\sim}{a} \nu$ would be a solecism． It would be equally incorrect in English to write＇who says ever，＇instead of＇whoever says．＇Here，therefore， the Greek idiom is identical with our own．${ }^{1}$

Note here，that from their very nature such indefinite clauses logically form the first part of a proposition． Thus，＇whoever says（may be found to say）this，will say what is false＇；ôs ûv тои̂тo 入évŋ，廿єv́бєтae．But the order of the clauses is often inverted．

The contrary is the case in the use of $\ddot{a}_{\nu} \nu$ with the optative．
（2）Constructed with the optative（aorist or present） the particle expresses the probable results of a certain condition being fulfilled，and which condition therefore logically precedes．
＇If he were to say this，he would say（be saying） what is false．＇
єỉ тoûto $\lambda$ éyou，廿єúסolto ă้
Note that here the uncertainty or mere probability lies in the result：＇he would say，＇\＆c．，i．e．there is
${ }^{1}$ But，although $\not \partial \nu$ is so very important as a conditional particle to the Greek，neither the English nor the Latin possesses any re－ presentative of it ；for＇ever，＇cunque，in the indefinite or subjunc－ tive use，has only a resemblance to it in the respect pointed out．
a likelihood of that being the case. Whether the hypothesis is a probable one or not is immaterial.

The young student should consider the different ideas conveyed by if he should-he would, and if he shall-he will. He will thus learn clearly to distinguish סoín à $\nu$,

 $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$. Again, he will see that a supposition may be assumed as a fact ; $\epsilon \check{\iota} \tau \iota \epsilon ้ \chi \in \iota, \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$, where the result shares in the certainty which the speaker feels about the condition.

Where the uncertainty is solely about the condition, and the result of the fulfilment of it is contemplated as certain, then, of course, the $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ has place in the conditional clause :-
' If he says this, he will say what is false.'
 $\psi \in \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$. This then is but a variety of the first example, ôs à $\nu \lambda$ 白 $\gamma \underset{n}{ }$, \&c. The doubt here is, whether he will say it. If he does, there is no doubt about the falsehood. ${ }^{1}$
(3) With the past indicative (aorist or imperfect) àv is used to express what would have been the case if a certain condition had been fultilled, but which is not the case under the present circumstances.

[^4]' If he had said this, he would have said what was false.'
 he did not say it, and therefore he has told no lies.'

The real meaning of the phrase is, 'if he said it (only he did not), according to that (aंvà $\tau o v i \tau o$ ) he said what was false.' The Roman idiom is here strikingly different, si hoc dixisset, mentitus esset.

Note particularly, that with the optative the position of $a^{\prime} \nu$ in the sentence is usually early, that is, it follows some emphatic word, which from its very emphasis stands nearly first. It thus much more frequently precedes the verb than immediately follows it. In fact the Greeks
 'this is not likely to happen,' but nearly always oủk âv耳́vouto тav̂̃a. And generally, emphasis is expressed by the position of $\hat{a} \nu$, as in $\gamma \eta \eta_{\varsigma} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \hat{a} \nu(\mathrm{p} .1)$. When there are two emphatic words, or when the verb follows long after the introductory $\ddot{a} v$, the particle may be re-



Oed. R. 139.

Note also, that even with the subjunctive such particles as $\mu \hat{́} v$, $\delta \in ́, \gamma a ́ \rho, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$, often intervene between the äv and the relative word, as $\dot{\mathscr{\omega}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\Delta} v$
 סó $\mu$ оя, Ant. 584.

A peculiar use of $\stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu$ with an historic tense contemplates the condition as having often taken place, and an act or event in consequence having frequently occurred.






' He would get anything he asked for, through that complimentary title Athens the Bright.'

In the optative or the indicative construction (as distinct from the subjunctive and relative use), the intinitive, or even the participle, is often combined with $\ddot{a} \nu$, where either of these represents the original mood by some change of the syntax. Thus,
' know that you would fear everything,' is only a short, form of the fuller proposition,
 тоขิто фоß૯є̂.
 Iph. Aul. 96.


 fuisse si posset or potuisset. Thuc. viii. 66, Є̇v $\eta$ бav rà $\rho$
 ' whom no one ever supposed would turn (or, would have turned) to oligarchy.' Similarly, eै $\phi \eta \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả̀ $\nu$
 would have been for doing it, if at the time he had the power.'

Examples of $\not{\alpha} \nu \nu$ with both participles and infinitives are very common, and present no difficulty if the sentence is resolved into its primary conditional form.
 $\pi \rho о \sigma а \rho \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \hat{a} \nu$ is only a brief way of saying є่ $\pi \epsilon \hat{\zeta}$ ध่र⿳亠 $\mu \in ̀ v ~ \pi a ̂ \nu \nu ~ औ ै \nu ~ \theta e ́ \lambda o \iota \mu \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma a \rho \kappa \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ a n d ~$



Note further; in a few instances the subjunctive construction with $\hat{a} \nu$ ( $\hat{s} s-\hat{a} \nu$, \&c.) is retained even with the optative, when the original sentence is affected by oratio obliqua in a past narrative. Thus, it is not wrong, though it is by no means usual, to say écé $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$
 or primary proposition being the command öтav єौ $\lambda \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$,
 however (the correctness of which is even denied by some) is nearly confined to a few passages in poetry, as

$\chi$ б́ $\rho a s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon i \eta$,
Soph. Trach. 164,
where the actual words of Hercules were,


ôт Aesch. Pers. 450.

As äv with the optative, expressing result, occurs in a different clause from $\epsilon i$ implying the condition, it follows that such a combination as $\epsilon i \dot{a} \stackrel{a}{\nu}$ yévolto is quite irregular. Iet even of this a few examples occur, and the reason seems to be that ầ yévorto is regarded as equivalent to $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$. For $\epsilon i$ үєv ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, though less frequent, is as correct Greek as $\hat{\eta} v$ yév $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{r} a l$. The line in the Agamemnon, v. 903,
may be so explained, though $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma o \iota \mu \in \nu$ is a very probable correction ; ' if I continue to act thus in all things, I for my part have good confidence.'

Again, as the future expresses a certain result, and even the future optative is nothing more than the expression of the same certainty made indirect by past narrative, it fullows that neither ${ }^{\text {č }} \sigma \tau a \iota$ ầ nor č́боьто ầ $\nu$ nor $\begin{gathered}\text { ě } \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a ̂ ̀ \nu \\ \nu\end{gathered}$ is really good Greek. Of the last, however, there are not wanting a few examples in good writers. It is much more probable that they
are lax colloquial usages than that they imply any subtle difference of meaning.

Lastly, though a perfect tense, ${ }^{1}$ active or passive, cannot take $\quad \stackrel{a}{v}$, a pluperfect can do so. And hence even $\pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \circ \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \hat{a} \nu$ is good Greek, if it stands for є̇тєтоїто ä้. See Thuc. ii. 103, and v. 46. So Athen.
 $\mu \eta े ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \pi o ́ \gamma \gamma \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu . ~$

## 



As a particle of purpose ('in order that') iva does not take ${ }^{2} \nu v$, with the subjunctive; but it does so in the sense of 'wherever' (Ion, 315). In Oed. Col. 405, $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} i v$ ' àv бavtov̂ крaroîs is right, the $\hat{a} \nu$ belonging to the verb, (and not where you are likely to have control over yourself.' In this sense $\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} s$ is a solecism, and in its only true force, 'and not wherever you may,' \&c., it makes nonsense. Both $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ and $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \hat{a} v, ~ o ̃ \pi \omega \varsigma$ and | $\pi$ |
| :---: |
| $\pi$ | $\dot{a} v$, mean 'in order that' with a subjunctive, and $\dot{\omega} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ and $o ̈ \pi \omega s, \vec{a} v$ also mean 'according as,' e.g. in Soph. Aj. 1369. Without $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, õ $\pi \omega$ s is more often constructed with a future, and $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \omega s \stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ with the optative means 'how.' In this latter case, though the position is less usual, the à $\nu$ may follow the verb, as in


1 Of course, in such phrases as oủk oĩo ầ єi $\pi$ tíaaul (Eur. Alc. 48, Ar. Av. 1017) there is a hyperthesis of the $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \nu \\ & \text {, which }\end{aligned}$ attracted by the ovk.

But we have

where $\hat{a} v$, though strictly belonging to the optative verb, follows $\delta \pi \omega$ s from its natural tendency to come at the beginning of a sentence.

It should be added, that an optative with $a \not v \nu$ is often used as a mild or polite command or request. Thus $\chi \omega \rho o i ̂ s ~ a ̊ v ~ ' y o u ~ m a y ~ g o, ' ~ m e a n s, ~ a s ~ i t ~ w o u l d ~ i n ~ E n g l i s h, ~$ 'go,' lit. 'you would be for going (if you wished to please me, \&c.).'

The above are all the main facts really necessary for understanding the uses of $\ddot{a} v$. And it would serve no purpose to encumber this short and plain statement of the doctrine with a number of examples.

$$
{ }^{2} \rho \alpha, \quad \hat{a} \rho \alpha .
$$

The root of this word implies connexion and consequence. It is one of the commonest in epic (where it is often little, if at all, more than a metrical supplement), and is very frequent in the Attic poets and prose writers, especially in dialogue.

The most usual sense of ápa is 'then,' as Soph. El. 772.
 263, A.

But $\mathfrak{a} \rho a$ generally asks a question where an affirmative answer is expected, lit. 'are then these things so, or not?'

Soph. Aj. 277.
åpá $\sigma \circ \iota$ ठокє $\hat{\imath}$

And the ov is often added, as
 Oed. Col. 883.

Combined with $\mu \dot{\eta}$, a negative answer is anticipated, the question being put with a tone of surprise and incredulity.
© $\pi a \hat{\imath}, \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu \hat{a} \rho a \mu \eta े \kappa \lambda v ́ \omega \nu$
$\tau \hat{\varsigma} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ ovú $\mu \phi$ ov $\pi a \tau \rho \grave{\imath} \lambda v \sigma \sigma a i v \omega \nu$ тápєє; Soph. Ant. 632.

 'Surely you do not suppose !' \&c.
 $\pi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{v} \rho \in \epsilon \eta \chi a \nu \eta ̀ \nu \quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ;$

Aesch. Theb. 196.
 mean 'if really,' 'if so be that,' 'that truly' (or forsooth), \&c.

A peculiar use of ${ }^{\alpha} \rho a$, mostly with the imperfect, expresses something of the existence of which the
speaker was not previously aware, and which comes on him as a surprise, or as a conclusion to be now first deduced from the circumstances of a case.

'and this, it seems, was regarded with suspicion.'

Soph. Trach. 1172.



Aesch. Theb. 486.
äтар тà $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{a} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta о к \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \iota v$ бофà


Eur. Troad. 411.


'so you were here, were you ?' Eur. Hel. 616.
Not unfrequently with ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v$, 'I thought I should,' ' it seems then I was likely to,' \&c.

' I thought I should make you all raise your-voices'
 Sometimes ápa is so combined with a participle, as
oủk єiठ̀vī ă $\rho a$

тои̂т' ă $\rho a$ бкоточ́ $\mu \in \nu o \iota$,
'having an eye, it seems, to this.'

Soph. El. 935.
Eur. Hel. 1537.

The strengthened form of ă $\rho a$ (compare $\delta \grave{\eta}$ with $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$, $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ with $\mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu)$ is used in strong affirmations.

 Ibid. 738, 980 ; Oed. Col. 408-9 ; and El. 1179.
In Rhes. 118,


the use is peculiar, where $\vec{\eta} \nu \mu \eta{ }^{2} \rho a$, 'unless indeed,' would be more usual.

$$
\gamma \epsilon
$$

This is a most important particle, and one which has several combinations that are either but little observed or not fully understood. ${ }^{1}$ It is peculiarly adapted to the genius of a language which delights in pointed questions, irony, and equivocal assent. But it is remarkable that it has for most of its uses no English equivalent. We must translate or paraphrase according to the context, as in
> and


 'a nice reproach, truly !' \&c. Ibid. 664.
${ }^{1}$ Some of these will be explained under the other particles with which it most frequently unites.

The most ordinary meaning of $\gamma \epsilon$ is 'yes,' in assenting to a question or proposition.


Aesch. Prom. 261.

'aye, they said they heard.'


Very frequently it conveys a slight banter, which may be expressed by an emphasis.
 ' I knew it by hearsay, for I never saw it to this day.'

$$
\text { ойтє } \gamma \dot{a ̀ \rho} \text { Өрабѝs }
$$

 'by your present account.' Ibid. 89.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a ~ \kappa a ̉ \nu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a$ то̂̂ $\gamma є \kappa a \tau \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu, \quad A j .476$. ' when it does but bring us nearer to, or remove us further from death.'

Allied to this is the sense 'at least,' ' at all events.'
 катє́ктаv,' à $\lambda \lambda$ ' aủтòs тápoı $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ต̈̀ $\lambda \epsilon \tau$,



Oed. R. 855.

It is often added to ôs and ö ötıs in the sense of quippe qui.


qui tributo liberaveris.
$\vec{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ oi $\theta \epsilon o i ́ ~ \sigma \phi \iota \mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\tau} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$
ёрьи катаб $\beta$ е́ $\sigma є \iota a v$ -



Alcest. 619.

## ทํ $\gamma є \mu \eta \delta$ غ̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta є o v ̀ s$


Soph. El. 911.
cui ne ad deos quidem domo impune exire liceat.
With $\mu \epsilon ̀ v$ it is frequently used in a slightly weaker sense than $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho$, like our 'that is to say,' nempe, quippe, scilicet.

In strong entreaty, expostulation, or deprecation, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ often follows $\mu \grave{\eta}$, with or without an interval. We often find $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ (Soph. Phil. 1409, Aesch. Prom. 649), $\mu \grave{\eta}$ бv́ $\gamma \in$ (Hecub. 408, Bucch. 951, Ion, 439), and $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu o i ́$ $\gamma \epsilon$, as
$\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu о i ́ \gamma \epsilon, \mu \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \iota, \mu \eta े \delta \iota a \sigma \kappa a \nu \delta \iota \kappa i \sigma \eta s$.
Ar. Equit. 13.
See ibid. 1100, Nub. 84, 196, 267, 433, \&c.
But the $\gamma \epsilon$ is sometimes separated, and yet is part of the formula of deprecation.

## 

 Oed. Col. 1409.
$\mu \eta े ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu ~ \phi \rho o v \omega ิ \nu ~ \gamma ं ~ a ं \pi о \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \varsigma$.
Oed. R. 326.


$$
A j .111
$$

 є́кӨaцvíŋттє. Aesch. Theb. 71.


Eur. Suppl. 320.
Here, of course, the $\gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ may emphasise é $\mu$ ós, 'if you call yourself mine.'

So too in Bacch. 951,
$\mu \eta े \sigma u ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau a ̀ ~ N u \mu \phi \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta ı о \lambda є ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ i \delta \rho v i \mu a \tau a ~$


The sense may be, 'Don't you destroy the haunts of the Nymphs (whatever others may do), or the $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ may be part of the expostulation.

When assent is expressed, but some new consideration, or some demur or reservation is intended, we commonly find $\delta \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$, 'aye, but,' \&c.



Aesch. Suppl. 726.

' Very true; but a man who is not envied is a man who is not worth envying.' Agam. 912.

Both $\epsilon \check{\imath} \gamma \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, quoniam quidem, siquidem, are common, but they do not require special illustration. ${ }^{1}$

The particle $\gamma \varepsilon$ is not used with the imperative, and it $v e r y$ rarely closely follows $\alpha \ddot{ } \nu, \delta \eta^{\prime}$, or $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, though instances of each do occur. (Herc. Fur. 517, Ar. Thesm. 934.)

The common practice of rendering $\gamma \in$ 'at least' is much more often wrong than right. In truth this is, both in prose and poetry, a particle by which many, and sometimes very subtle, senses are conveyed; and a good deal of the higher scholarship is implied in the right understanding of it. The notion, that it was often a mere metrical and otiose supplement, must be dismissed, at all events in the interpretation of undoubtedly genuine passages in Attic Greek.

## 8ท.

As a strengthened form of $\delta$ é it has nearly the sense of ov̉v and ${ }^{\alpha} \rho a$, 'then.' The two are very often combined, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Eur. Phoen. } 1277 .
\end{aligned}
$$

 Suppl. 457.

Hippol. 722.
 єє $\xi \in \notin \beta a \lambda o v$.

Androm. 1156.

[^5]See also Orest. 62, 101, 425, 580, 940.
Conversely, ǒtє $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ occurs Ar. Eecl. 195, 827.

## 

 тảp $\chi a i ̂ o \nu$.- Well, you do seem to me to have (as you say) some ancient connexion with this land.' Aesch. Suppl. 319.

It never stands first except in the epic $\delta \grave{\eta} \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho$ and $\delta \dot{\eta}$ то́тє, which latter occurs also in Aesch. Theb. 202,
 and in the compound $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$, olim.

It is used as an adjunct to express some special emphasis or assurance of a fact,
(a) With relatives, as
 $\mu$ аутєîov.
'Who, as is well known,' \&c. Aesch. Eum. 2.


 Soph. Aj. 1045.
The reading in Eur. Suppl. 162, ô $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \in$ MSS.) $\pi o \lambda \lambda o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \omega ̈ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau a s$, is Porson's. The verse may be spurious. But in Soph. Phil. 130 we have ov̉ $\delta \eta ิ \tau a, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa v o v, ~ \pi o \iota к i \lambda \omega \omega s ~ a i ̀ \delta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~$

(b) With superlatives, as $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \delta \eta$, ṽభıova ס $\dot{\eta}$
 (Eur. Heracl. 794). Very often with one or more words intervening, as


This hyperbaton is found also
(c) With monús, as

Oed. R. 66.

$\dot{a} \mu$ épaı катé $\theta_{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$
$\lambda u ́ t \pi a s$ є̇ชүvтép $\omega$. Oed. Col. 1215.
The formula $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$ is very frequent.
каíto८ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o v ́ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$ є $\xi \in i \pi \pi a \varsigma . ~ S o p h . ~ E l . ~ 520 . ~$



 Trach. 1046.

 Eur. Heracl. 53.



Ar. Av. 139, and

$\lambda i \mu \nu a \nu$ 'A $\chi є \rho о \nu \tau i a \nu ~ \pi о \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$. Eur. Alcest. 442.
(d) With imperatives and earnest exhortations, as cia

So
A1. єia $\delta \eta{ }^{2}, \phi i \lambda o \iota \lambda o \chi i ̂ \tau a \iota, ~ \tau o u ̋ \rho \gamma o v ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ e ́ \kappa a ̀ s ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
 Agam. 1628.
It is used with a finite verb in the sense of 'as it seems,' ' as you now see.'
 Eur. Med. 1024.

Heracl. 665.

Hel. 134.

Soph. Aj. 1271.
 'thereupon $I$ came into possession of.' Ant. 173.

Like fac, кai $\delta \eta^{\prime}$ is used in assuming some supposed case, meaning properly 'already that has been done,' or 'now it is likely to be done.'

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { кaì } \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \epsilon \theta v a ̂ \sigma \iota \cdot \tau i s, ~ \mu \epsilon \delta \in ́ \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ; \\
\text { Eur. Med. } 386 .
\end{array}
$$


Eum. 854.



Cho. 556.


'Supposing now (as I dare say is the case) that my assertion of virtue does not convince you : then it is for you to show in what way I was corrupted.' Hippol. 1007.
$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho \in i ̂ \kappa \epsilon \nu$,
'suppose that he has conceded this.' Hel. 1057.
'The same combination means (a) 'before now,' as
 Aesch. Suppl. 493.
(b) 'Well, then,' as
 Soph. Ell. 892.



Theb. 468.

' Well, I have considered : and the matter comes to this.' Suppl. 432.
(c) 'Already,' as



каї $\delta \eta ̀$ ' $\pi i$ краті̀ бтéфауо૬. Med. 1065.
каì $\delta \grave{\eta} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủ $\pi$ тарóvтa,
' Nay rather, actually now present.' Oed Col. 31.

Vesp. 492.
Combined with $\dot{\omega}, \delta \dot{\eta}$ conveys intense irony, especially with the emphatic $\sigma \dot{v}$.
 'As if forsooth you only knew what virtue was.'

Eur. Andr. 235.

Aesch. Ag. 1611.

Oed. Col. 807.
And this would be a better reading in Iph. Taur. 1184,
 $\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \phi \epsilon)$,

- Of course-that you might save them through delight at the tidings.'

See also Eur. El. 947, Hel. 1038. Herc. Fur. 1407,

'As if you will be at all the easier for having that charm applied.'

The two particles are separated in Hel. 1378,

With a participle it has the sense of tanquam, with a slight irony;

Phoen. 873.

Equit. 691-3.

Vesp. 1315.
Very often ov $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\tau i \delta \dot{\eta}$ occur where the context alone must determine whether $\delta \dot{\eta}$ means 'then,' or is merely emphatic.

## 

Aj. 1180.
$\tau i ́ \delta \eta^{\prime} \pi o \tau^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} \xi \in \xi^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega ิ \nu \sigma \tau \in ́ v \in \iota \varsigma ;$
Ibid. 1184.
$\kappa a i$ $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa a i$,' 'and moreover,' often occurs in prose, where the latter cai' may generally be taken to qualify the word next following. Plato, Phaedr. page 260A, каì $\delta \eta \eta_{\kappa} \kappa a \grave{~ \tau o ̀ ~} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta$ èv oủк ảфєтє́ov, ' we must not give up this point as well as the others.'

$$
\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha, \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu(\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon) .
$$

These are adverbial expansions of $\delta \eta^{\prime}$, the latter sometimes combined with $\dot{\omega}$ either before or after it.

When a word is repeated with assent，$\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau a$ is added， as

$$
\text { Zev̀s } \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho \text { Ï } \delta o \iota .
$$


Aesch．Suppl． 202.



Ibid． 211.
 OI．коцเรє́тш $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta{ }^{\prime}$ ．

Oed．R． 404.
 HM．$\mu_{\text {é }}$ єo九 $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\circ}$, ồ，к．т． ．

Theb． 872. so

$$
\tau \epsilon \tau v \mu \mu \in ́ \nu o l-\tau \epsilon \tau v \mu \mu \in ́ \nu o \iota ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\circ} . \quad \text { 1b. } 882 .
$$

 Ar．Ach． 1227.
kai $\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau a$ ，＇and did you then ？＇－or without a question． is a combination occasionally found．
 Soph．Ant． 449.

Ar．Ach． 142.
In strong and indignant denial oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ ，＇no indeed！＇is used．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau \epsilon i \rho o v{ }^{\prime} \text { 'Aт入avтos. Aesch. Prom. } 355 .
\end{aligned}
$$




Oed. Col. 433, 436.


ท̉ ка̀̀ $\nu \epsilon о \sigma \sigma o ̀ \nu$ то́ข $\delta \epsilon$ (ктєขєîs) ;
ME. ov̉ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a \cdot \theta v \gamma a \tau \rho i ̀ ~ \delta ’, ~ \eta ै v ~ \theta e ́ \lambda \eta \eta, ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \omega ~ к т а \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$ Ibid. 442.
 Cycl. 198.

Similarly we have $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \eta \bar{\eta} a$ in strong deprecation.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi о \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \approx \pi \eta \theta^{\prime}$
ஸ́s Zєv̀s víuâs єis ảтро́оттто⿱ $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu, \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \hat{\eta}^{\prime}$, av̉тaì $\delta^{\prime}$ vifâs av่тás.

Aesch. Prom. 1094.

The ironical $\delta \tilde{\eta} \theta \epsilon v$, 'forsooth,' stands either first or second in a sentence.

є่кєрто́ $\mu \eta \sigma a \varsigma \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ஸ́s таî $\delta$ ’ o้ $\nu \tau a \mu \epsilon$,
'as if I were a child indeed!' Prom. 1007.
тท̂ऽ éкê̂vos oủסa $\mu a ̀$

' pretending to know nothing about it.'
Trach. 381.

Orest. 1119.




Eur. El. 267.
In Med. 785 for $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon \mu \eta$ ो $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \chi$ đóva, there was a var. lect. $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \mu \eta{ }_{\phi} \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \chi^{\theta \prime} v a$.
 रovtes є́ $\phi^{\prime}$ à ' $\xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \in v$. Thuc. III. iii. 1.



Eur. Ion, 655.

$$
\eta
$$

This particle is used, like $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$, in emphatic assertion, but it stands first, whereas $\delta \dot{\eta}$ follows, as $\eta \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a}=$ $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$. The two are combined in Aesch. Cho. 729,
 єư่ $\mathfrak{\text { àv } v ~ \pi u ́ \theta \eta \tau a t ~} \mu \hat{\nu} \theta o v$.

We also find both $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi r o v(A r . ~ A c h . ~ 122) ~ a n d ~ \dot{\eta}$ mov, as

Eur. Suppl. 762.



Troad. 59.

See $A j .850,1229$. This formula, used also by Plato, is both interrogative and emphatic. The question is more common with ov้ $\pi o v$, as

Hel. 135.

Ibid. 575.

Ibid. 791.
In Agam. 1031 we have-

In Theb. 667-


In Antig. 323,

Ibid. 484,

Both $\dot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\eta}$ кá $\rho \tau a$ are extremely common, e.g.一


See also Aj. 1417, El. 622, 1456, Agam. 694 ( $\boldsymbol{\eta}$
 Hel. 765.

Aesch. Suppl. 446.
 Soph. Trach. 379.

See Aj. 1359, El. 312, Eum. 204, Agam. 575.
In Platonic dialogue we often find $\dot{\eta}$ rá $\rho$; ' is it not so ?"

' What! are you really thinking of burying him when the state has forbidden it?'

Soph. Ant. 44.
See Agam. 1337, Soph. El. 1221, Phil. 248, Phoen. 1673.

Similarly $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' ${ }^{\eta}$, 'can it really be that ?'

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aesch. Suppl. } 890 .
\end{aligned}
$$

 Alcest. 58.
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda ’{ }^{\eta} \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \epsilon \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota o \nu \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$;
Herc. Fur. 1128.

Rhes. 560.

 Ar. Ach. 1111.

' Well then, was it some report, not a warning from the omen of birds, that you fed upon?' Agam. 267.

Sometimes $\eta$ simply asks the question, as


Often too it means sane, 'in sooth.'
 őtఢ̨ $\theta a \nu є i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu . ~$

Prom. 771.

## каí.

This particle, 'and,' 'also,' 'even,' has the same relation to $\tau \epsilon$ as et has to que. As the Romans say paterque et filius, not et pater filiusque, so the Greeks say тaтท̈p тє каi viòs, not каi тaтク̀ viós тє.

Hence in a few passages such as Aesch. Suppl. 742, ふ́s каi $\mu a \tau a i \omega \nu$ ả $\nu о \sigma i \omega \nu$ тє кvшठáخ $\omega \nu$

the кai must be taken separately, as here $\dot{\varsigma} \kappa$ каi
 absolute.

Oed. Col. 1393,

$$
\kappa \dot{a} \xi \dot{́} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} i \grave{\omega} \nu
$$

$\kappa a \grave{\pi} \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ Kaঠرeíoı $\sigma \iota$ тoîs $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ ă $\mu a$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o і ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota \sigma a \nu ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$
Besides $\tau \epsilon-\kappa a \iota^{\prime}=q u e ~ e t$, we find often $\kappa a i^{\prime}-\kappa a i^{\prime}=e t$ -et, and $\tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon=q u e-q u e$, the last mostly in epic, as $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon, I l$. i. 544.

Note here, that $\tau \varepsilon$ combines with $\dot{\omega} s$ to express consequence or result by $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, with olos to express power or capability by oios $\tau \epsilon$, and with the relative
 $\dot{a} \pi \sigma r i v e \iota \nu$, 'on condition of paying a sum of money.'

The Greeks use cai' very often where the Romans use vel and etiam.

Both $\epsilon i$ кai and кai $\epsilon i$ are used, and generally with this difference, that $\epsilon i$ кai implies an admitted fact, 'even though,' кai $\epsilon i$ a somewhat improbable supposition, 'even if.' So Oed. R. 302,
 oïa עó $\sigma \omega$ бúve $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.



'even if they have ventured to say strong things about taking you away.' Oed. Col. 661.
Here it is difficult to distinguish the one phrase from the other.



i.e. 'even if he has a quarrel with the mother.'

Eur. Med. 74.
$\sigma v ̀ ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \delta o ́ s \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \delta ̀ \iota o \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a l, ~ \kappa \epsilon i ̉ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ s$, 'even if you shall not really be the murderess.'

Ion. 1024.
veavías $\gamma \in \nu 0 \hat{v}$

Ibid. 1041.
$\lambda \epsilon i ́ \psi \omega$ б́̀ $\beta \omega \mu o ̀ \nu$ тóv $\delta \epsilon$, кєi $\theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \eta$..
Ibid. 1401.
Etiam si mihi moriendum sit; while єi кai $\theta a \nu \in i ̂ \nu ~$ $\mu \epsilon \chi p \eta$ would be etiam si mihi moriendum est.

The combination кai үáp is common, but it is rarely, if ever, a mere synonym or expansion of ráp. It should be rendered 'for even,' 'for also,' \&c. So Aj. 669,
> $\kappa a i ̀ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta є \iota \nu a ̀ ~ к а i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ к а \rho т є р ю ́ т а т а ~$ тıんaîৎ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon i ́ \kappa \in \iota$,
> 'for even things of power and things of mightiest strength obey the powers that be.'

$$
\text { каì } \gamma \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{v} \sigma \tau \in ́ \rho \varphi \text { тó } \gamma^{*} \text { єv̇ }
$$


Trach. 92.
каì үа̀р 'Н入е́ктрад бок $\omega$

'for if I mistake not, here comes my sister Electra too.' Aesch. Cho. 14.

кaì үà év таîs oiкíaıs $^{\prime}$

Eccles. 211.
Seldom in the earlier Attic, but frequently in Plato and Xenophon, we find $\kappa a i-\delta e^{\prime}$, where $\delta \epsilon$ is the copula and $\kappa a i$ is 'also.'
 'and also when far away.' Aesch. Eum. 65.

So Prom. 994,
каì $\sigma$ è $\delta^{\prime}$ èv тov́тoıs $\lambda$ е́' $\omega$,
' and yous too I reckon among these.'
With an interrogation кà̀ $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma, \kappa a \grave{\imath} \tau i ́ s, \kappa a \grave{\imath} \pi o \hat{\imath}, \& c$. are used ironically to ask a question which is regarded as somewhat absurd, as

'and pray what messenger could arrive with such speed as that? Agam. 271.

'surely I should not cure your body by setting fire to it ? '

Trach. 1210.
Generally, though not always, the inverted order of the words, $\pi \hat{\omega} s \kappa a i, \tau i s \kappa a i$, \&cc. asks a question where information is really required.

тoiov $\chi$ ро́vov $\delta$ è каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \pi$ о́р $\theta \eta \tau а \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ; ~$
 Agam. 269.

Eur. Hec. 515.
Yet in $A j$. 50 , the question

is answered by $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \phi^{\prime}$ à $\pi \epsilon i \rho \gamma \omega$.



 à $\rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu$ モ̇фаívov.

кaì $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ is a formula very often used where a practical illustration is given of some preceding general statement.
$\kappa a i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu \phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta o \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$,
'and accordingly here I am, watching for the concerted signal of a bright flame.' Agam. 8.

Äavtos. Aj. 3.

$\kappa a i ̀ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi a ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \eta \rho v ́ \xi a_{\varsigma}$ é $\chi \omega$. Antig. 192.
In combination with ăv (sometimes called 'consopitum,' because its force is, as it were, dormant) we often find кaí, especially in the latter Attic, under the crasis кâv. So тápєs кầ бu九крòv єiтєєiv, Soph. Ell. 1482.

'if he should close his eyes, be it ever so little.'

$$
\text { Ar. Vesp. } 92 .
$$

$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$.
These particles, apparently containing the roots one and two, though most extensively used by all Greek writers, have neither Latin nor English representatives.

äducos, the Romans generally say tu justus es, pater injustus; and we can only say ' You are honest, while your father is dishonest.'

Both $\mu \in ́ v$ and $\delta \varepsilon ́$ are often used separately; for instance, many of the tragedies commence with $\mu$ év, not followed by any antithetical $\delta$ é, which in many cases may be mentally supplied. So too $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu$ èv is usually answered by $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$, without $\delta$ é. Very often $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}=$ autem merely connects or combines a narrative ; and equally common is its adversative use 'but,' $=a t$ or sed. Sometimes it is used in apodosi, or to resume the thread of an argument or to introduce a question, as

With these ferv facts borne in mind, young students will find no serious difficulty in the uses of these particles.


This particle, a strengthened form of $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu$, has several well-marked and important meanings.

By itself it means 'but,' as

Plato, p. 810.
 Aesch. Suppl. 99 ₹
Oed. Col. 182 ër $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{a} \nu$, at sequere.
D 2

It has a remarkable tendency to be followed by $\gamma \epsilon$ with a word intervening. Thus oủ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu-\gamma \epsilon$ is nee tamen:


Theb. 553.
 $\kappa а т \iota \sigma \chi \nu a \nu \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.

Prom. 276.
 Iph. T', 1004.

$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi а р \omega ́ \nu ~ \gamma є ~ \kappa о и ̉ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \nu ́ \omega \nu . ~$
Pers. 266.

Eur. El 648.

Ibid. 661.

 Orest. 1116.

When ye precedes, the formula means tamen.



Agam. 1348.



Oed. Col. 587.
 ' though it is to you.'

Orest. 1083.
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in$ Rhes. 195.

Ibid. 284.
$\mu а к р a ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ є ̋ \rho \pi \epsilon \iota ~ خ ̄ ŋ \rho \nu \varsigma, ~ \epsilon ’ \mu ф а \nu \eta ́ s ~ \gamma є ~ \mu \eta ́ \nu . ~$
Eur. El. 754.
Similarly $\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ means 'however' in Soph. El. 1243, Trach. 484, Agam. 644, 860, Eum. 397, and yє $\mu$ évto九 in Eum. 561, Pers. 388, Theb. 713, Philoct. 93, Eur. Heracl. 267, 637,

$\kappa \lambda a ́ \delta o \iota ~ \gamma є \mu$ ѐv ठ̀̀, катà vó $\mu$ оvs ảфıкто́ршу,
 Aesch. Suppl. 237.

Tbid. 269.

The interrogatives $\tau i \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu ; \tau i \quad \mu \eta \nu \nu$ ov̆; and $\tau i$ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$; mean 'why not,' or ' of course.'

HA. छvvaเvєîs; OP. тí $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ ov้; Soph. El. 1280.
HM. ঠокеî үáp; НМ. тí $\mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ o v ้ ; ~ R h e s . ~ 706 . ~$
With тí $\mu \eta \nu$ we may supply ä $\lambda \lambda 0^{\prime}$ ' why, what but this ?' i.e. " of course.'

Aesch. Suppl. 976.

êХрךба тоıvàs тои $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a i ~ \tau i ́ \mu \eta ́ \nu ; ~$
Eum. 194.
This formula is common in Plato, e.g. in page 36 (Philebus) it occurs thrice.

The combination $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} v$ has three peculiar meanings :-
(a) In taking an oath, 'I truly will do so-and-so.' ${ }^{\text {' }}$



:Soph. Trach 255.
HP. ö $\mu \nu v \Delta i o ́ s ~ \nu v \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \phi ن ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o s ~ \kappa a ́ \rho a . ~$

Ilid. 1185.
${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \nu \sigma \iota \iota \delta^{\prime} a i \chi \mu \eta_{\nu}$

(b) In expressing a threat.
 є̈бтає татєєvós.

Prom. 928.

Хрєià $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi_{\epsilon \iota} \mu a<a ́ \rho(a v \pi \rho v ́ \tau a \nu \iota s . \quad$ Ibid. 175.

Oed. Col. 816.
${ }^{1}$ Herodotus ii. 118, 2, and iii. 99, 1, has $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \eta \eta^{\nu}=\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \mu \eta$.

Alcest. 64.
 Ar. Nub. 865.

Vesp. 643.
 Av. 1259.
(c) In the sense of nihilominus, or crede mihi.

$\pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s$ èv $\mathfrak{v} \mu i ̂ v$.
Eur. Med. 1032.

KР. $\eta^{\mu} \mu \nu ̀ \nu \kappa \lambda \lambda \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$,
'but I will give orders.'
Prom. 72.

' I can tell you, I punish greater men than you.'
Ar. Vesp. 258.

Ibid. 278.
The formula кai $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$, 'but here comes,' \&c. is used (II) to introduce a new character on the stage.

тápєıaı.
Aj. 1168.
каì $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ iठळ̀v єै $\sigma \pi \epsilon v \sigma a$ тò̀ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \eta \nu$
'A $\gamma а \mu$ е́ $\mu \nu o v '$.
Ibid. 1223.

ба́цаатта тท̀ข К $\rho$ ќovтоя.
Antig. 1180.
(b) The same, with or without $\gamma \epsilon$, means, 'well, but,' and 'well, then.'
$\kappa a i ̀ \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ ó $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \kappa e ́ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \kappa а \lambda \nu \mu \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ є̌ єта८ סєठоркш́s.

Agam. 1149.




Ibid. 539.
See also El. 1045, 1188, Prom. 1006, Pers. 266, Theb. 234, Eur. Suppl. 393, 697.

We have $\dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \grave{a} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ in Pers. $23 \tilde{y}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' ov่ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ in Cho. 181, ' yet neither,' and in Eur. Hel. 1047,

Ov́ $\tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ occurs in Soph. El. 817.

$$
o v ं \text { and } \mu \dot{\eta} .
$$

The former of these negatives a fact, or denies the
 єौфиүє, \&c.

But $\mu \eta$ always contemplates some result, and is therefore used in all conditions, wishes, prohibitions, warnings, and generally with infinitive moods. Thus


$\mu \eta \delta e ́ v a$ фoßeioӨat, \&c. Thus ov is called objective, and $\mu \eta$ subjective.

By a very common idiom, both these negatives are re-
 $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \lambda \dot{a} \theta \eta \mu \eta \delta \in i s \mu \eta \delta a \mu \dot{\prime} \theta \in \nu \in \dot{\theta} \sigma \in \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$. This with us is a mere vulgarism, 'he didn't say nothing to nobody.'

Where ov is used when something known and finite is denied, $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is used where there is uncertainty. Hence ôs

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega v$, qui nơn dicat, đòv $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ǎठıкov äv $\delta \rho a$, express a class of persons supposed or conceived to exist. Sometimes we find the abbreviated formula $\tau o ́ v \tau \epsilon a ̆ \delta ı к о \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀, ~$ for caì тòv $\mu \eta$.

With indefinite relatives $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is regularly used where the Romans employ the subjunctive. So
$\pi \omega ̂ \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ; ~ \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon} \mu \eta \delta$ è $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s$


Soph. El. 911.

Ibid. 1259.
(Ipd. Tyr. 296 ; Ant. 691 ; Phil. 255 : Iph. Aul. 523, 823.

Hence with $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, expressing a result or contingency, followed by an infinitive mood, $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is almost invariably found. See, however, Soph. El. 780 ; Hel. 108 ; Phoen.

$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v a \phi 0 \beta \varepsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l$ ，which is a general proposition，they would say，describing the known character and habit of $A$ or $B$ ，and speaking of a fact，тoぃov̂тós є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ผ゙ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ov̉סéva фoßcìтaı．


ǐкоv；
Soph．Oed．R． 532.
$\dot{\eta} \delta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \rho \iota$
 Soph．El． 276.
Where we say＇I think you are not，＇the Greeks generally say，＇I don＇t think you are．＇Hence ov̉ $\phi \eta \mu i$ ，
 than $\delta о \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \mu \eta$ rooov̂tov eival．But there is a real difference of meaning between ov̉火 ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v$ ，＇it is not permitted to speak，＇and ${ }_{\epsilon} \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，＇you need not speak unless you like．＇So

Ar．Ach． 1108.
ov̉ Súvaцaı $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \in \lambda \hat{a} v$, non possum non ridere．Ran． 42.

$\chi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \zeta \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \delta{ }^{\prime}$ o̊ $\rho \hat{a} \nu$ фáos тó $\delta \epsilon$.
Eur．Heracl． 969.
The infinitive sometimes takes ov and not $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，as in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oed. R. } 551 .
\end{aligned}
$$


 Hipp. 507, where $\chi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \mu$ èv oṽ $\sigma^{\prime}$ á $\mu a \rho \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu=o u ̉ \kappa$ モ̇ $\chi \rho \eta ̂ v . ~ S e e ~ A n d r o m . ~ 100, ~ 214, ~ 607 ; ~ M e d . ~ 294 ; ~$ Suppl. 22.

So far the differences between ov and $\mu \eta$ are pretty clear. But the combinations ov $\mu \grave{\eta}$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ovं ${ }^{1}$ often ereate difficulty to students, the former especially, which is used both interrogatively and as a direct statement that something will not take place.

A little care, however, will distinguish these. When we find oú $\mu \eta े \lambda a ́ \theta \eta$, , ov $\mu \grave{\eta}$ фúr $\omega \sigma \iota v, \& c$. , the phrase may always be rendered 'there is no chance of his escaping notice,' 'there is no fear of their escape,' \&c.

And a few passages which occur where the full formula is expressed, où $\delta \in \iota v o{ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ or aủ $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta$ os $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ $\mu \dot{\eta}$, \&c., seem to show that this is the origin of the phrase.

Sometimes, however, we find such phrases as ov́ $\delta \epsilon i \rho \mu \grave{\eta}$ yévital, 'there is no chance of any one becoming' so-and-so. We cannot here supply фóßos, yet it is clear that this is but a variety of the original idiom.

Some doubt has been felt whether the first aorist subjunctive or the future indicative is the more correct, e.g. oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \in \iota \varsigma$ or oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \eta$ gs. The above con-

[^6]sideration gives the preference to the subjunctive. We find however instances of the future where the subjunctive cannot be used, as
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { oủ } \mu \eta \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime} \text { é } \delta \rho a ́ \nu \omega \nu \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Phoen. } 1590 .
\end{aligned}
$$


Electr. 1052. ${ }^{1}$
With an interrogation (a form of speaking which the Greeks were very partial to), ov $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ with a future conveys a strong and rather impatient command, as
 hand on me if you dare!'

Ar. Ach. 16 b.
Sometimes, as in Oed. R. 637, and $A j$. 75, two clauses are combined, the first with ou, the second with $\mu \eta^{\prime}$




Hippol. 498.
This idiom is more difficult to explain. 'Will you not not' do so-and-so, i.e. will you not abstain from doing? is

[^7]not a satisfactory solution, because it does not account for the subjective $\mu \eta^{\prime}$. Possibly, it is but an interrogative variety of the former idiom, 'Is there no chance of your not doing ?' i.e. 'pray don't do.' Or thus, 'Will you not attend to the command $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \pi o r \eta \sigma \eta \rho, d o n ' t ~ d o ~ i t ? '$ In either case, the future must be a modification of the subjunctive, from the formula being regarded as a simple interrogative.

Where the participle stands for the verb with $\varepsilon i, \mu \eta$ is of course necessary. So какòs ầ $\epsilon i \not \eta \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (or $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma a \varsigma) \tau a ́ \delta \varepsilon$, ' I should be base if I did not do this';
 as justice, if there are no such beings as gnds'; $\mu$ 门 $\tau \cup \chi \grave{\omega} \nu$, 'if I fail to obtain,' Ach. 466, Eum. 455 ; $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 ' he is base for not doing this.'
 Oed. Tyr. 1368.
 ' I wonder that he is not here already.'

Ibid. 289.

Ibid. 1158.
When the preceding clause contains a negative, or involves a negative idea, then ov is added to $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ in the second clause, as $\tau i \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma(=\tau i$ ov $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \delta e i s)$ тo $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̉ $\delta \rho a ̂ \sigma a t$; and
' I should be heartless if I did not pity,' \&c.
Oed. R. 13.
$\mu \eta \jmath^{\prime} \mu$ ảтı $\mu a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma v ̀ v ~ \sigma o \iota . ~$
' Do not refuse to let me die with you.'
Ant. 544.
This use is very extensive, especially in poetry, e.g.


каї тобо́vס' е̇ко́ $\mu т а \sigma є$,



Phoen. 1174.
Another use of $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ implies an ellipse of $\delta$ édoıкa, as
 hit the truth,'

Rhes. 115.

 vereor ut peritis id persuadeas. Troad. 981.

Or with $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ only, as

Prom. 396.
The full syntax occurs Eur. El. 568.


Either oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ or $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \eta \delta \delta_{\epsilon} \nu$ is used in the sense of nihili, a nobody or a mere nothing. Rarely, and somewhat inaccurately, $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} v$ is used without the article, where
 stands for érì тò $\mu \eta \delta \grave{c} \nu$ in Soph. El. 1000.

When a relative conveys any notion of indefiniteness, or purpose, cause, or condition, $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, and not ov is used, affecting even the participle (Tro. 1166). Thus

Sometimes (in poetry especially) $\tau \grave{̀} \mu \eta$ stands for $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta$ ', 'to the not doing' of something being regarded as a result. So Agam. 552,

'they are dead and gone, so that a return to life is no care to them.'

A peculiar use of $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is to ask a question, directly or indirectly, where a negative answer is expected. Thus, $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda$ ह́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ тav̂тa סíкaıa єivaı; 'Surely you do not call that just, do you?'
 $\dot{\eta} \nu$; 'She was not one of the royal family, was she?'
 $\tau$ '́ $\rho \omega$; and 980. Pers. 346, Ag. 665. Indirectly, with the indicative, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ signifies 'whether. Soph. Ant. 1253,
 $\kappa \rho \cup ф \hat{\eta} \kappa а \lambda и ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa а \rho \delta i ́ a ~ \theta v \mu о \nu \mu$ ย́v $\eta$.



Heracl. 482.



Phoen. 92.

Whether $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is compounded of $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oìv, and is identical with num, or has a different origin, it falls under this head; but it is mostly used when a negative reply is expected.

$$
\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau i \mu_{0 \iota} \mu \in ́ \gamma a
$$

ти́рєбтє тро̀ऽ какоі̂б८ тє́ $\mu \pi о \nu \tau є \varsigma ~ к а к о ́ \nu ; ~$
Philoct. 126 ธ.
 Ag. 1174.

Similarly $\delta$ éסocka $\mu ウ{ }_{\eta} \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ means 'I am afraid he went,' lit. 'I have fears as to whether he went.'

Even in conditional clauses, if the negative adheres strictly to the verb, ou is sometimes used, e.g. cỉ oủk $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \underset{a}{\text { a }}$ for $\epsilon i \kappa \omega \lambda$ v́eє૬,

$$
\text { єi тov̀s } \theta a \nu o ́ v \tau a \varsigma ~ o u ̉ k ~ e ̀ a ̂ s ~ \theta a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi a \rho \omega ́ \nu ; ~
$$

Ajax. 1131.
$\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ ov่ тарои́o $\eta \varsigma ~ \tau a \cup ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \xi o \mu a \iota ~ \sigma e ́ \theta \in \nu$,
$\mu є \nu$ е́тш кат' оі้коขя.
Iph. Aul. 995.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ion } 347,388 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where a participle has the virtual sense of an infinitive, $\mu \eta$ is used, as $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} s=\dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta}$
 $\sigma \epsilon \tau a u ̂ \tau a \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \theta \omega \nu, \nu \theta_{\ell}$, where perhaps $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega \nu$ should be read. Or if a participle may be resolved into a condition, as Philoct. 935, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ is $\mu \in \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \quad \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \pi o \theta^{\prime}$


When a participle with a negative is continued from, or forms part of, a clause containing a prayer or command, $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is used, as

тógoıs iá $\pi \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \kappa \in ́ \tau ' ~ \epsilon i s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \beta e ́ \lambda \eta$.
Aesch. Agam. 491-3.

$\hat{\eta}$ äтayє $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ \nu$,

Iph. Aul. 817.
$\mu a ́ \chi a \nu$ ย้ $\pi \iota \delta є, \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$,

о้ $\mu \mu а \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ขסікоья. Aesch. Suppl. 791.
In Theb. 431,

the $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ is wrongly used, and the verse is probably an interpolation. A similar anomaly occurs in Eur. Heracl. 533 ,



 $\kappa а і$ к.т.入.

With a deliberative subjunctive, should I or not? $\mu \eta$ must be used, as
$\pi \omega \hat{\varsigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \beta i \zeta \omega$

каı $\rho$ ò̀ $\chi$ ápıтоs;
Ag. 758.


Ran. 1.

Thesm. 19.
A very peculiar use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ occurs in strong asseverations which assume the form of an oath.


Il. x. 329 .

Ar. Eccl. 999.




Lysist. 917.

We can only say that this is an idiom; it is hard to explain it on any logical principle.

From oủס̇̀v äl $\lambda \lambda o \quad \hat{\eta}$, nihil aliud quam (an accusative of the object), an adverbial formula $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta}$, practerquam, came into use. Thus in Pers. 211,

тарєі̂Хє,
 In Ran. 227,

we clearly trace the transition of $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda_{0}$ to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$.
Similarly in Pac. 475, (reading ä $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, not $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ :)


the word єìnov is used $\pi$ арà $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta o \kappa i a v$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma i o v \nu$, 'they have been doing nothing but (else than) laughing.'
 $\hat{\eta}$, became very common, especially in Plato. тó $\tau \varepsilon$


 aipov̂б九. Ibid. viii. 28, 2.

We often find $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}-\gamma \dot{u} \rho, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$, with some ellipse or aposiopesis, as
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ėv $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ סecvois oủ $\sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$
таи́та؟ ăтая,
' but (you need not advise me) for, \&c.' Soph. El. 223.
E. 2

The phrase ou $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ is also elliptical.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\kappa \lambda v ́ o \iota \mu \text { à àv ov̉ } \gamma a ̀ \rho \text { ả } \lambda \grave{\lambda a ̀ ~} \delta \in i ̂ \text { סov̂vaı } \mu \text { épos. } \\
\text { Eur. Suppl. } 570 .
\end{array}
$$

 'for indeed I am hard up,' lit. 'for it is not but that,' \&c.

Ar. Ran. 58.
oviv.

The simplest meaning of this particle is 'therefore.' $\xi u ́ \mu \beta$ ov $\lambda$ ov ov̉v $\mu$ ’ є่ $\pi \eta ̂ \lambda \theta \in \varsigma$, ท̂ тívos $\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ;$ Eur. Suppl. 125.

But in combination it has many very different meanings.

In Plato, $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉v is commonly used in assent, as $\pi a ́ v v \mu$ ย̀v oủv, $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \mu$ ย̀v ov̉v, \&c. But it is equally common in the sense of ' nay rather,' imo potius.

AI. $\overbrace{}^{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \phi u ́ \gamma \omega \sigma e$; OP.
$\mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a \cup ̋ \nu ~ \kappa a \theta ' ~ \eta ં \delta o \nu \eta ̀ \nu ~$
$\theta a ́ \nu \eta$ ィ.
Soph. El. 1503.
IO. av̉тòs $\xi v \nu \in \iota \delta \omega \varsigma, \stackrel{\wedge}{\eta} \mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda o v \pi a ́ \rho a$;
OI. $\mu a \nu \tau \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov้̉ кикоข̂pزov є่ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s$.
Oed. R. 704.
NI.
$\Delta H$.

$$
\lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma v .
$$

$\sigma \grave{v} \mu$ c̀v oṽv $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon$.
Equit. 13.
 ar $\pi 0 \psi \omega \hat{}$

KA. ė $\mu \circ \hat{v} \mu$ èv vv.
'No! on mine!' Ibid. 910.

 Iph. Aud. 892.

$$
\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \dot{a} y a \theta \grave{a}
$$

 Ar. Plat. 651.

This combination frequently occurs where oc iv simply means 'then,' and the $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ has reference to $\delta$ ' implied or expressed.
 тúpavvos єivaı $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{n} \eta \hat{\eta}$ тúpavva סрâv.
' I then (whatever others may feel) have no desire to be a ruler rather than to act as a ruler.' Oed. R. 587.




' My view then is this,-but if any one dissents from it, let him cling to that opinion as I do to this.'

Aj. 1036.
So Antiq. 65.

Phil. 359.


$\boldsymbol{\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma є \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota - ~}$



Cho. 687.
$\pi \lambda a ́ \tau a \mu \in ̀ \nu$ ov๋ข « $\chi є \iota \mu a \tau o ́ v \mu$ ’ єैтє $\mu \pi \epsilon^{\circ}$
-тє $\tau \epsilon v \tau a ̀ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ้ ข \chi \rho o ́ v \varphi$
татウ̀ о́ тауто́ттая
$\pi \rho \in \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̄ \varsigma ~ \kappa т і \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$.
Suppl. 126.
With oủv, both before and after, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ often combines in the sense of saltem, and sometimes with the interval of a word. This usage appears to be but little understood.
 $\tau \iota \nu \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$. Plat. Apol. p. 34, E.

ủ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủv єủvoía $\gamma^{\prime}$ aủס $\hat{\omega}$.
' Well, at all events it is from kindness that I tell you,' \&c.

Soph. El. 233.

Ibid. 1035.
 тoüрүov, крифŋ̂ סє̀ крv́ттє. Ant. 84.

' at least I did not on a former occasion hold aloof from your view.'

Ibid. 993.

viz. $\sigma \in \tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
Eur. Ion, 1325.
 ó $\delta o \iota \pi \sigma \rho \eta \dot{\jmath} \sigma \iota \varsigma$.

Oed. Col. 848.
See also Ibid. 651, 924, Oed. Tyr. 565, 13 ā7.

Prom. 526.
 Aj. 535.
In some of these, and many similar passages, the force of $\gamma \in$ seems to have escaped the notice of editors. The use of $\gamma o \hat{v} \nu$ is more obvious.




Oed. R. 1424.



Ibid. 408.
ПО. $\mu \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho, a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a ́ \mu \sigma \iota \sigma \dot{v} \chi^{a i ̂ \rho \epsilon .}$
IO.
$\chi^{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{a}$ үои̂v $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \omega, \tau \in ́ \kappa \nu o v . ~$
Phoen. 618.
The particles $\delta^{\prime}$ ov $v$ are very often used
(a) to express defiance, mostly with the pronoun of the second and third person.
¿ $\delta^{\prime}$ oủv $\pi о \iota \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \cdot \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о \kappa \eta \tau a ́ \mu о \iota$.
'Then let him do it! There is nothing that I may not expect to happen to me.'

Aesch. Prom. 956.

Ar. Ach. 186.

 Oed. Tyr. 669.
oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉v $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa a ̉ \pi \iota \chi a \iota \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ какоîs.
Aj. 961.

Trach. 329.

Soph. El. 891.

$\chi \rho \hat{\chi} \chi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\text { í. }}{ }$
Aj. 114.

Trach. 1157.

Eum. 217.
(b) With $\epsilon i$ or $\hat{\eta} \nu$ a barely possible contingency is expressed, 'but if he should do so-and-so, then,' \&c. This idiom also, though in fact common, seems but little understood.


'or, if he should deviate at all from his former statement,' \&c. Oed. Tyr. 851.

Antig. 722,
where $\mu \grave{\eta}$ бoфòs $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \varsigma \in \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{l}$ is to be supplied.
 $\epsilon i ̉ \delta^{\prime}$ oîv, $\pi \iota \theta \circ \hat{v} \mu \circ \iota$,
'but if you did go wrong,' \&c. Hippol. 507.



'but if he should close his eyes for ever so little,' \&c. Ar. Vesp. 92.
(c) Like ceterum, 'be that as it may,' $\delta$ ' oìv expresses a result arrived at where the possible causes or motives are left undecided.


'however, I will say no more now, than that I hope soon to shake hands with my lord.' Agam. 34.

'however, be that as it may (viz. respecting a mental infatuation), dare he did to slay his own daughter.' Tbid. 217.

Ibid. 246.
 ठокєî $\pi \rho о \sigma \in i ̂ v a \iota ~ \chi \eta ̉ ~ \mu a ́ т \eta \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \eta ̀ ~ \beta o \eta ̀ . ~$

Antig. 1251.
 aiкí̧єтаi $\mu \epsilon$, тои̂то $\delta \grave{\eta} \sigma a \phi \eta \nu \iota \omega$, $\quad$ Prom. 234.
viz. 'however, painful or not painful (v. 205), I will explain to you the cause.'

Both ou่коиิข and ov้коvь occur, where the accented syllable alone has its force. In all cases however oúк oưv should be taken separately, and the meaning determined by the addition or absence of the interrogation.

With $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ the particle means ' as in fact.'

'to prevent the city from suffering as it has suffered.'

Agam. 1142.



$\pi a \tau \eta े \rho,-\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \omega$;
Cho. 88.
With alternatives expressed by єïтє-єiँ $\epsilon$, or excluded by ov่тє and $\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$, we find ov̉v added to one or both clauses according as a special emphasis is conveyed.

' whether they are indeed true, or whether \&c.'
Agam. 474.
 $\lambda$ е́ $\gamma \omega$.

Ibid. 816.


$\theta a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon \in \phi \in \tau \mu a ̀ \varsigma \tau a ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi o ́ \rho \theta \mu \epsilon v \sigma o \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
Cho. 670.

Philoct. 345.

Oed. R. 1049.



Eum. 390.



Oed. R. 270.
Sometimes with a simple copula, as

$$
\text { тávтa үà } \tau a ́ ~ \tau^{\prime} \text { av̉v } \pi a ́ \rho o s
$$


In all such passages oủv strongly insists on the particular fact or person about which the statement is made. Thus

' No! go she must.' (Or perhaps, 'that may be as you say; but anyhow she must go.'). Alcest. 73.
 'for indeed you have the form of a bull.'

Eur. Bacch. 922.
 'for of you I certainly do take forethought.' Antig. 741.
єv̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oủv $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,
'for that you speak well there can be no doubt.'
Ibid. 1255.
 'but these girls he assuredly shall not save from their fate.'

Ibid. 769.

'however, be it as you wish.' Oed. Col. 1205.

Ibid. 1444.
See also Ilid. 980, 985 ; Ant. 771, 925.
In Heracl. 202, кai үàp ov̉v means 'for, of course.'
With a wish or prayer ov̉v adds particular point to the negative.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \rho a ́ т \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \rho \sigma \in ́ \nu \omega \nu . \quad \text { Aesch. Suppl. } 1147 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ion } 719 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Added to relatives and relative particles, oủy gives the sense of indefiniteness, as $\dot{o} \sigma \tau \iota \sigma o v ̂ v, ~ o \dot{\tau} \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu, \dot{o} \pi \omega \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \nu$.

 (one) body is akin to that in any other.'

## $\pi \epsilon \rho$.

This particle, except in the epic, is seldom used
 Aesch. Theb. 1041, $\gamma v \nu \eta$ ' $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ov̉ $\sigma a$, 'though a woman,' and Agam. 1547, тáסє $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \pi \lambda \eta \tau a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ o ̈ \nu \tau ’$ are among the few examples from tragedy. Similarly Cho. 495,

$$
\text { oưт } \tau \text { रà } \rho \text { oủ } \tau \in ́ \theta \nu \eta \kappa a \varsigma, ~ o u ̉ \delta e ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \theta a v \omega ́ v . ~
$$

More common is кaim $\rho$ with a participle, sometimes separated, as

каiтєє $\theta$ өòs $\check{\omega} \nu$. Ag.117.t.
 Eur. Orest. 680.

Very rarely кaime $\rho$ is used with a finite verb, as in Pind. Nem. iv. 35, є้ $\mu \pi a$, каiтєр ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon$, and Plat. Symp.,
 should doubtless be restored.
$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$, siquidem, is nearer to our 'since' than to 'if,' though it may sometimes be rendered 'if, as is the case,' e.g.-


'if, as we assume, he has done this.' Aj. 22.
It is followed by $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{i}}$ in assent, as

Aesch. Suppl. 338.
 Soph. El. 1216.
More often a word intervenes, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aesch. Cho. } 215 .
\end{aligned}
$$


Oed. Col. 27.

Oed. R. 369.


El． 1221.
 Adam．907，
（where the $\gamma \in$ seems incompatible with the MSS．reading єं $\xi \in i ̂ \pi o \nu)$.

$\epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon l^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \quad \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
Phoen． 725.
With relatives，$\check{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \quad$ on $\sigma o \nu \pi \epsilon \rho, \& c$ ．，the particle adds the notion of exact identity，＇the very one who，＇\＆c．，and thus it stands in contrast with the indefinite on $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ ．

OI．тís oűtos；
AN．
öviтєр каì тáдає катєí $\chi \mu \epsilon \nu$
д $\nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$.
Oed．Col． 1252.
Yet a few passages occur where $\tilde{0} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ar $\nu$ seems identical，as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi{ }^{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho(\text { or } \chi \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho) \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \theta_{i}^{\prime} \gamma \eta \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { モ゙ } \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \text { adv } v ⿳ \hat{\omega} \text {, 'so long as I may be alive.' } \\
& \text { Oed. Col. } 1361 .
\end{aligned}
$$



 Eur. Med. 1153.

Where perhaps the sense is not oṽ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu a \varsigma{ }^{a} \nu \nu o \mu i \zeta \eta$,
 regard as his friends.'

The correction of Dobree in Soph. El. 691, ${ }_{\alpha} \theta \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$
 adopted, seems inadmissible, and the passage is more probably an interpolation.
$\pi o v$ and $\pi \omega s$ enclitic, and $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \omega s$.

The two latter, 'somehow,' 'somewhere,' or 'perchance,' as $\epsilon l / \pi \omega \varsigma, \dot{\eta} \pi o v$, and $\pi \omega$ with a negative only (in the earlier Attic), nondum, as distinct from oúкéть, iam non, 'no longer,' are simple in their uses, and require no special illustration. But $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \omega \varsigma$, besides their uses in asking a direct or (as in Nub. 690) a repeated question and in expressing indirectly means how and purpose, with the future, subjunctive, or optative, have several other idiomatic meanings.

As $\pi o \hat{\imath}$ or $\pi o \hat{v} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ often occurs, so we find $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ єủpeveías é $\chi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, 'how are they disposed towards you?'
 'according as each one has ready means.' Eur. Hel. 313, and 1253.

Similarly ö $\pi \omega \varsigma \pi \sigma \delta \hat{\nu} \nu, o ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \tau a ́ \chi o v \varsigma, ~ ' w i t h ~ a l l ~ s p e e d, ' ~$ Aesch. Suppl. 816, Plat. Gorg. p. 507, D, where there is an ellipse of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \iota$, or some tense of it.

A wish is often expressed by $\pi \hat{\omega} s, \hat{a} \nu$ with the optative, 'how could I do it?' meaning virtually ' I should be glad if I could do it.'
$\pi \omega \hat{\varsigma}$ àv $\delta \rho o \sigma \epsilon \rho a ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \kappa \rho \eta v i ̂ o o s ~$

' $O$ that from a dewy spring I could take a draught of clear water!' Hippol. 208.

ỏ入є́ $\sigma \sigma a \varsigma$ Өávoıцı; Aj. 387.
$\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ à $\nu \mu o ́ \lambda o \iota ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i ̀ \nu \epsilon \in \nu \tau a ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \pi a \rho \omega ́ \nu$; Oed. R. 765.

A peculiar idiom is the use of $\tilde{o} \pi \omega \varsigma$ with an optative alone, where we should expect ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{v}$.



Agam. 603.

Alcest. 52.
Similarly with ő $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$,

Cho. 164.
 $\nu \in і \mu а \iota \mu ' \hat{\eta}$ боi. Prom. 299.
 Oed. Col. 1172.
 övтıva, \&c.

We find ov $\chi$ ö $\pi \omega$ s in the sense of 'so far from,' as $\pi \epsilon \pi a v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s$, oủ $\chi$ ö $\pi \omega \varsigma \sigma \epsilon \pi a v ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$. Soph. El. 796.
 à $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} \kappa a i-\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \psi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$. Thuc. i. 35.

With the ellipse of $\sigma \kappa$ óт $\epsilon \iota$ we not unfrequently find ö $\pi \omega$ s with a future in the sense of cura ut, or cave ne.


Eur. Bacch. 367.

Ar. Av. 131.
A usage more difficult to explain, but depending probably on the attraction and assimilation of moods and tenses, is the occurrence of ö $\pi \omega$ s and other particles of purpose, $\dot{\omega}$ or ${ }^{\prime \prime} v a$, in the sense 'in which case it would have been,' or 'that so it might have been.'



$a \dot{a} \pi \eta \lambda a ́ \gamma \eta \nu$;
' why do I not throw myself at once from this rocky crag, that so I may be rid of all my troubles ?' Aesch. Prom. 766.


' for then I should not have been agitated by two thoughts.' Cho. 187.


Eccles. 151.
See also Soph. El. 1131-4, Oed. Tyr. 1389, 1392, Eur. Hippol. 647. The original meaning seems to have been 'in which way (or 'where,' iva) so-and-so took place;' and we may compare such expressions as cai ס̀̀ $\delta$ '́́ $\delta є \gamma \mu a \iota$ in the sense of 'fac me accepisse.' Perhaps
 'being how,' i.e. in which circumstances, 'I was so-and-so.' It is not however certain that any logical explanation of the $\mu \eta$ in this idiom can be offered.
$\pi o \hat{v}$ interrogative.
The ironical question, ' Where shall I find so-and-so ?' with the implied answer 'Nowhere!' gave rise to a peculiar use of $\pi o \hat{v}$ interrogatively, which is formulated by Elmsley on Heracl. 371 (369), ' Tov̂ non sine indignatione negat.' He refers to Porson on Orest. 792 (802),
$\pi o v ̂ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ผ̂v $\delta \epsilon i \xi \omega$ фíخos,

' I shall not show myself to be a friend, if I fail to assist you in trouble.'
 тà $\theta \varepsilon \bar{i}$ ' $̇ \pi \pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тov̀s $\theta$ єoùs єúp $\rho$ какоús;

Philoct: 451.

' you never showed yourself a true prophet.'
Oed. R. 390.
$\pi<\hat{v} \sigma \grave{v} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon, \pi o v ̂ ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa a i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ é $\xi \in \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ảvá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$;
' you are not his commander, nor have you authority over his hosts.' Aj. 1100.

' then there is no such a thing as justice.'
Phoen. 548.

'such conduct is not thought becoming by the good.'

Herad. 510.
$\pi o v ̂ ~ \delta є ́ ~ \mu o 九 ~ \pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho ~ \sigma v ं ; ~ ;$
' you are not my father.'
Ion 528.


Dem. p. 978.

'but that cannot be,' viz. the means devised for preservation.

Orest. 1179.

## $\tau 0 \%$.

This is old form of $\tau \hat{\varphi}$, 'hereby,' 'truly,' 'in fact.' In epic, it is very often represented by $\tau \epsilon$, which better suits the hexameter verse.

 ả $\lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \epsilon ́ ~ o i ́ ~ \beta \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \nu ̀ o ́ o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta ̀ ~ \delta e ́ ~ \tau є ~ \mu \eta ̂ \tau \iota \varsigma . ~$ Il. x. 224.

It is peculiarly used in enunciating maxims or sententious remarks.
 $\phi \rho о \nu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єैттєбтเv єvै $\theta \nu v o s ~ \beta a \rho u ́ s, ~$
' know that Zeus severely punishes excessive pride.' Aesch. Pers. 823.

This particle combines very frequently with ral and $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$ in strongly expressed objections, 'but yet,' 'but indeed,' каíto九 always standing first, $\mu$ évro七 second in a sentence. Rarely we find каíтot yє, as in Eur. Tro. 1015.

But $\mu$ éviol, both in prose and poetry, is also used nearly as a synonym of rou, and in this case perhaps it should. be separately printed $\mu$ é $\nu \tau o \iota$.

' why, truly, anything may happen when a god contrives it.' Aj. 86.
 $\tau \epsilon v ́ \xi \in \iota \pi=\tau^{\prime}$. Soph. El. 963.

With ${ }_{a} \nu$ and $a ̆ p a$ there is frequently a crasis with тot, often corrupted in MSS.
$\chi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma о \mu a i ́ ~ \tau a ̉ \rho ’ ~ o ̂ \tau \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau a ́ \lambda \eta v ~ o ́ \delta o v ̂ . ~}$
Soph. El. 404.
ov่ тầv ต่入óvtes av̀ $\theta \iota \varsigma$ ảv $\theta a \lambda o i ̂ \epsilon \nu$ ăv. Agam. 330.
ท $\tau a ̂ \nu ~ \pi a \nu \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi а \gamma к а ́ к \omega \varsigma ~ \tau ' ~ o ̉ \lambda o i a \tau o . ~ T h e b . ~ 547 . ~$
It is often combined with $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon$, and we find also $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ тоє каí.

$$
\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\grave{l}}
$$


Trach. 321.

 Oed. Col. 433.

Cycl. 198.


Tpoiav єỉov. Androm. 540.

тоє каї $\psi о ф є і ̂ ~ \lambda a ́ \lambda o v ~ т \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$
$\pi$ upoppayés. Ar. Ach. 933.




Med. 677.
When the special attention is desired of the party addressed, $\tau 0 \iota$ or $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is added.
' you, yes you,' \&c.
Aj. 360.

Ibid． 1228.
$\sigma \in ́ ~ \tau o l, ~ \sigma e ̀ ~ \kappa \rho i v \omega . ~$ El． 1445. бoí тoı 入évovaa тaúєтal $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$ 入óyov． Agam． 1014.
 Equit． 168. бv́ тoí $\mu \in \pi \in i \theta \epsilon \iota$ ． Rhes． 663.



Antig． 441.



＇so you，${ }^{1}$ like a viper crouching in the house，have been quaffing my blood secretly（not assailing me openly，like your sister）．I little thought that I was maintaining two banes and two rebels against my throne．＇

Ibid． 531.
The combinations toryà $\rho$ ，$\tau o r \gamma a \rho o \hat{v}$ ，and $\tau o i(\nu v \nu$ ， ＇then，＇are remarkable for the occurrence of rou first in the sentence，equivalent to $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ，＇wherefore．＇In the weaker sense it is always enclitic．

In alternatives，ro九 combines with $\hat{\eta}$ in the first term，as

We find toı $\delta \eta$＇in Soph．Phil．245，үє́ тo九 $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Oed．$R$ ． 1171，and үé тo८ very frequently．
${ }^{1}$ The common reading $\sigma \dot{v} \delta{ }^{\imath} \hat{\eta}, \& c$ c．，is inferior in emphasis．

Phil. 823.


à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ єv̉ $\gamma \in ́ ~ т о \iota ~ \kappa a ́ т \iota \sigma \theta \iota . ~ A n t . ~ 1064 . ~$

Rhes. 589.

Hel. 994.

Cycl. 224.

Phoen. 730.

## $\omega s$.

This particle, which has the same relation to of $\pi \omega$ s as öros to ómó⿱os, oios to ómoîos, has several well-defined uses.
(a) As a particle of purpose, 'in order that,' it takes the subjunctive with or without $a v \nu$, the optative always without äl $\nu$.
Aesch. Cho. 974.
$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho^{\prime}$ è $\lambda \theta^{\prime}, ~ o ̊ \pi \omega \varsigma$ ầ каì $\sigma о \phi \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ \gamma \in ́ v \eta$.
Alcest. 779.

Med. 783.
 viz. тò фа́риакоv.

Ion 1214.
(b) In exclamations,

$$
\omega \check{\omega} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \omega \nu
$$

 Soph. El. 1128.
(c) In comparison, ' as,' quemadmodum.


Pers. 707.
In this sense ö or $\omega$ s is used by the poets,


Trach. 32.
Note, that in comparison, $\dot{\omega}$ takes the accent when it comes after the object compared.

Aesch. frag. Phorcid.
(d) After verbs of saying, indicating, \&c., where the Romans use the infinitive.
$\pi \lambda o v ิ \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \tau \eta ́ \sigma \omega ~ \xi \grave{v} \nu ~ a i \chi \chi \hat{\eta}$.
Pers. 750.
Dicunt te magnas opes liberis bello comparasse.
(e) Accented, âs is used for oṽtcs, but somewhat rarely, and chiefly in the formula à $\lambda \lambda ’$ '̀s $\gamma \in \imath$ é $\sigma \theta \omega$, ' then be it so,' Troad. 726.

Agam. 903.
( $f$ ) $\dot{\omega}$ s often signifies 'when' in the sense of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ ', and very often 'since.'



Orest. 953.
 єن̉Өن̀s $\eta$ ủтоно́̀ $\eta \sigma a \nu$. Thuc. iii. 77.

In this sense of 'when' ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \varsigma$ also is used in Antig. 253, 407, Trach. 917.
(g) Both $\dot{\omega} \varsigma a \hat{a} \nu$ and ö or $\pi \omega \varsigma$ âv with the subjunctive mean 'according as.'

Aj. 1369.
ő $\pi \omega$ s âv, oí $\mu a \iota$, кaì $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \tau u ́ \chi a \iota$.
Med. 331.
And so probably we should read in Ocd. $R .329$, $\tau a ̆ \mu$ ' $\dot{\omega} s \hat{a} \nu$ єi้ $\pi \eta \mathrm{s}$, 'however you may speak of $m y$ conduct'

(h) With superlatives, $\dot{\omega}$ s and of $\pi \omega \varsigma$ are used as the Romans use quam maxime, \&ic.
$\mu a i v \in \ell ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \dot{\omega} s a ̆ \lambda \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$.


Agam. 588.
 quae quum ita se habeant, \&c.
(k) With future participles, $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tilde{a} \nu \quad \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \omega \nu$ or סpá $\sigma$ оvтos, ${ }^{1}$ like the Latin future in -rus.

Generally, this expresses either real intention, or at least some avowed plea.
$\lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ סè $\beta$ ov́ $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu$ ' 'I $\delta a i ̂ o s ~ \Pi a ́ p ı s ~$


Hel. 29.
(l) With present or other participles, $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\omega} \nu, \dot{\omega} s \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$

Med. 682.
${ }^{1}$ Without $\dot{\omega} s$, the future participle represents the Latin supine with verbs of motion, as $\epsilon \in \xi \eta \in \iota ~ \theta \epsilon a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, ~ e x i b a t ~ s p e c t a t u m, ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega ~$ $\sigma \epsilon \mathfrak{a} \gamma \gamma \in \lambda о \underline{\nu} \tau \tau, \& c$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am not writing in ignorance that there are others, but it was not my purpose to borrow from any.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some will smile at this remark, and say, Truly, a worthy subject to engage the thoughts of clever men! But if the educational use of learning Greek is just in proportion to the accuracy of the knowledge and the closeness of the observation, we cannot afford to despise such small details of language.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prof. Campbell (on Soph. Trach. 90) even says that 'The whole question of the Greek nergatives is still indeterminate.'

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bäumlein's work, Untersuchungen über Griechische Partiklen, (Stuttgart, 1861) extends, for the above reason, to more than 300 pages of rather close print.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ That ${ }^{\prime \prime} y$ does not in itself govern or affect the subjunctive (as it does the optative) is proved by the pretty frequent occurrence
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ On グros- $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$, $\rangle$, etc., see Shilleto on Thuc. ii. 40, 3.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Kennedy calls $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov "the most difficult point in Greek grammar, and not adequately explained in any treatise he has read " (Studia Sophoclea, p. 45).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even the future occurs with $\mu \eta$ in the sense of 'lest.' See Ar. Eccl. 495, and on Aesch. Pers, 124.

