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#### Abstract

Collections of fishes from demersal trawl surveys to 800 m depth off the Angolan coast in 2001, 2002 and 2005 resulted in several range extensions tabulated here. Specimens of species poorly represented in previous collections allowed improved diagnoses of Myxine ios, Torpedo bauchotae, Dysommina rugosa, Pisodonophis semicinctus, Xenomystax congroides, Lestidiops cadenati, Ophidion lozanoi, Cataetyx bruuni, Dibranchus atlanticus, Diceratias pileatus, Himantolophus paucifilosus, Neomerinthe folgori, Careproctus albescens, Paracaristius maderensis and Pachycara crossacanthum. All specimens accessioned into the Fish Collection of the South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity are listed and colour plates show a selection of species from the trawl catches.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Angolan coast is an area of high biodiversity interest as it forms the boundary between the fauna of the temperate Benguela current, arising from upwelling off the Namibian coast to the south, and the tropical waters of the Gulf of Guinea to the north. The sea off Angola therefore supports a rich and diverse fauna.

Few survey studies of fish off the Angolan coast have been made. Poll $(1951,1953,1954,1959)$ reported on work carried out by L'Expédition Océanographique Belge dans l'Atlantique Sud of 1948-49. Lloris (1984, 1986) reported on mainly deepwater fishes from Spanish government surveys run through the Instituto de Ciencias del Mar, Barcelona, along the adjacent Namibian coast. Surveys in the area by the former Soviet Union were conducted during the 1970s and 1980s (Trunov 1981), but many of these collections remain unreported. A few other summaries and guides have been published that are relevant to the Angolan marine fish fauna. The FAO Species Identification Sheets for the eastern central Atlantic (Fischer et al. 1981) provide identification material for mostly commercial species. The Check-list of the fishes of the eastern tropical Atlantic (or "Clofeta"), in three volumes, is a comprehensive guide to the relevant literature on fishes of the area (Quero et al. 1991). Smiths' Sea Fishes (Heemstra \& Smith 1986) covers the southwestern African coast up to Namibia and thus is a partial guide to the Benguela system fishes, while the dated Blache et al. (1970) covers the eastern Atlantic coast to the north of Angola.

Extensive demersal trawl surveys off Angola in March 2001 and March 2002 in depths of 20 to 800 m by the $R V$ Dr Fridtjof Nansen under a UNDP/NORAD programme provided South African Institute for

Aquatic Biodiversity (SAIAB) with an opportunity to make large representative collections of the fishes of the region. The collection had two purposes, (1) to enhance the Institute's existing fish collection, and (2) to provide the fisheries researchers on the vessel with an updated species list, the documentation of range extensions and descriptions of the rarer species encountered.

Because of space and transport limitations, preparations for the surveys included a review of the species likely to be encountered, using existing guides to the area (Bianchi 1986; Bianchi et al. 1993; Schneider 1990) to determine which species should be collected. Decisions were made on which of the known species to collect based on presence or absence from the existing fish collection at SAIAB and on taxonomic status. Those species that required further taxonomic study had higher priority than well-known species. For the lessè-known, deep-water species, efforts were made to obtain representative samples of as many as possible, but concentrating on specimens that were in good condition. Any specimens that were not identified on board were retained for later study. A complete list of all species collected is appended to this paper, together with a summary of trawl station data for cross reference. On the basis of the Clofeta synopsis and some more recent literature, we record range extensions (Table 1) of 34 fish species from more northerly waters, two (Galeichthys feliceps and Careproctus albescens) from more southerly waters and the first eastern Atlantic record of the apparently widespread eel Dysommina rugosa. A new morid species collected, Physiculus cyanostrophus Anderson \& Tweddle 2002, was described earlier.

Colour plates in this paper illustrate a small selection of species caught during the surveys, including some of the species discussed in the text.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trawl surveys were designed to obtain biomass estimates using the swept area method (Sparre \& Venema 1998) and covered the entire length of the Angolan continental shelf from 20 to 800 m in depth. A total of 342 stations (summarised in Appendix A) were fished in the 2001 and 2002 surveys, with trawl bottom time usually of 30 minutes unless interrupted by hard ground.

Samples were taken from each trawl by the fisheries scientists on board for sorting, weighing and measurement. The fishes in this sample were checked and if there was any uncertainty over identification, the fishes were examined further in the vessel's laboratory, using available identification keys on board. In addition to the sample taken, each trawl haul was examined on deck for any unusual species that were present.

Using the target species list prepared in advance, up to ten good specimens were collected for each of the species and preserved in $10 \%$ formalin. Rare, unidentified or questionable specimens were also retained. Most specimens were identified on board, though some species are the subject of further study, as discussed below. Each fish kept was labelled with a code number written in pencil on plastic paper, which was inserted in the mouth or gillcover, cross-referenced with the field notes and station number. Full collection details were added later from the vessel's trawl records at SAIAB where the collection of 1704 specimens of 277 species was transferred to $60 \%$ propanol or $70 \%$ ethanol and catalogued. The full species list is given in Appendix $B$.

When time permitted and specimens of the various species were available in good condition, photographs were taken, generally against a standard yellow background, using the vessel's Olympus digital camera. Some photographs were also taken on slide film. Most photographed specimens were keptand preserved, with the specimen and photo cross-referenced, though some larger and/or commoner species were not retained.

In addition to the 2001 and 2002 survey collections, specimens of the uncommon Lestidiops cadenati, Cataetyx bruuni and Pachycara crossacanthum were obtained by Dr Tomio Iwamoto, California Academy of Sciences (CAS), during the UNDP/NORAD cruise of March-April 2005. Data from these specimens were incorporated into our accounts, with the station data added to Appendix A.

Counts and measurements in the species accounts follow Hubbs \& Lagler (1947), Fernholm \& Hubbs (1981) and McMillan \& Wisner (1984) for hagfishes, Robins \& Robins (1970) and Smith \& Kanazawa (1977) for eels, Bradbury $(1988,1999)$ for batfishes, Pietsch (1974) and Bertelsen and Krefft (1988) for anglerfishes, Eschmeyer (1969) for scorpionfishes, Anderson (1994) for zoarcids and Robins $(1960,1962)$ for ophidioids. Counts of vertebrae and unpaired fin rays of elongate fishes were taken from radiographs. Abbreviation used
are as follows: SL - standard length; TL - total length; HL - head length; Pelv. - pelvic fin rays.

Authorities for original descriptions are available in Eschmeyer's on-line Catalog of Fishes, http://www. calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/ fishcatmain.asp, and are not included in the reference list here.

## SYSTEMATICS

## MYXINIDAE

## Myxine ios Fernholm, 1981

This hagfish was the first to be captured in West African waters, and is known to occur from off WesternSahara to Iceland (Fernholm \& Vladykov 1984). Fernholm (1981) found the species exists in two populations, a northern one with a light grey body and whitish head, and an African one with a uniformly darker grey colour. A single specimen, 303 mm TL , of the African population was collected off northern Angola in 700 m at station 2799 during the 2002 cruise (Appendix B). It agrees with the original description in every respect except that it has 101 slime pores, compared with 103-116 for the West African population, and slight differences in a few morphometric features.

Head and body uniformly dark purplish-grey. Ventral part of snout, mouth, barbels, gill apertures, slime pores, cloaca and distal half of ventral fin fold white. Proportions as percent total length: prebranchial length 30 ; trunk length 57 ; tail length 13 ; body width 4.8; body depth including fin fold: 6.5; body depth excluding fin fold: 5.6; body depth at cloaca: 5.3; tail depth 5.7 ; first barbel length 1.3 ; second barbel length 1.3; third barbel length 1.5. Slime pore counts, left side: prebranchial 29 , trunk 61 , tail $11=101$ total. Seven gill pouches on each side. Tooth counts: cusps on multicuspids $2 / 2$ each side; unicuspids, right side: outer row 9 , inner row 9 ; unicuspids left side: outer row 10, inner row 9 ; total cusps 45 .

## TORPEDINIDAE

## Torpedo bauchotae

Cadenat, Capapé \& Desoutter 1978
(Plate 1J)
This electric ray was described from two juveniles taken off the Congo and Senegal, depths unknown. Other (unpublished) specimens are known from Ivory Coast and Senegal (B. Seret pers. comm., 2003). Two juvenile females, $148-150 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{TL}$, were taken off northern Angola during the 2001 cruise in 24 m (Appendix B).

Anterior edge of disk straight, pelvic fins gently rounded. Characteristic black variegations snaking around disk; these wider, therefore disk darker, in 148

Table 1. New records, range and depth extensions for fishes caught in the Angolan trawl surveys. The sources for the previous data, followed by page number, are: C = CLOFETA; S = Smith 1989; L = Lloris 1986.

| Name | From | To | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MYXINIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Myxine ios | W. Sahara | N. Angola | $\mathrm{Cl}: 1$ |
| ANGUILLIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Coloconger cadenati | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | CI:168 |
| Uroconger syringinus | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | CI:166 |
| Nettastoma melanurum | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | CI:174 |
| Xenomystax congroides | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | S:566 |
| Hoplunnis punctatus | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | CI:173 |
| Chlopsis olokun | Congo | N. Angola | Cl:150 |
| Dysommina rugosa | (First East-Central A | record) | S:242 |
| SILURIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Galeichthys feliceps | Walvis Bay | S. Angola | Cl:230 |
| SALMONIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Talismania homoptera | Congo | S. Angola | CI:263 |
| AULOPIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Aulopus cadenati | NW Africa | N. Angola | Cl:349 |
| Bathypterois quadrifilis | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | Cl:357 |
| Lestidiops cadenati | Gorée, Senegal | N. Angola | Cl:375 |
| GADIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Nezumia africana | Gulf of Guinea | S. Angola | CII:560 |
| OPHIDIIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Ophidion lozanoi | Senegal | C. Angola | CII:574 |
| Cataetyx bruuni | First since original d | tion (off Angola) | CII:574 |
| LOPHIIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Lophiodes kempi | Congo | C. Angola | CII:479 |
| Antennarius senegalensis | Congo | C. Angola | CII:482 |
| Diceratias pileatus | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | CII:496 |
| SCORPAENIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Scorpaena elongata | Guinea | C. Angola | CII:671 |
| Neomerinthe folgori | Mauritania | N. Angola | CII:667 |
| Careproctus albescens | So. Namibia | S. Angola | L:320 |
| PERCIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Boops boops | São Tome-Principe | S. Angola | CII:790 |
| Mycteroperca rubra | Zaire | Luanda | CII:702 |
| Cephalopholis nigri | Zaire | Luanda | CII:695 |
| Chaetodon robustus | Gulf of Guinea | Luanda | CII:838 |
| Labrisomus nuchipinnis | Equatorial Guinea | Luanda | CII:918 |
| Lutjanus fulgens | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | CII:776 |
| Pachycara crossacanthum | Gabon | N. Angola |  |
| Priacanthus arenatus | Gulf of Guinea | S. Angola | CII:712 |
| Apogon affinis | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | CII:814 |
| Synagrops bellus | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | CII:693 |
| Xyrichthys novacula | Gabon | C. Angola | CII:882 |
| Trachinus pellegrini | Nigeria | N. Angola | CII:895 |
| Foetorepus phaeton | Gabon | N. Angola | CII:924 |
| Trachinotus goreensis | Gulf of Guinea | C. Angola | CII:749 |
| Ariomma bondi | Gulf of Guinea | N. Angola | CII:1019 |
| PLEURONECTIFORMES |  |  |  |
| Microchirus wittei | Ghana | N. Angola | CII:1043 |
|  | Depth: 145-460 m | 43-44 m |  |
| Cynoglossus cadenati | Depth: $10-20 \mathrm{~m}$ | 729-738 m | CII:1051 |

mm specimen than in the larger individuals. Pale spots surrounded by thin black lineations in this specimen, spots paler (whitish), without lineations in 150 mm specimen. Spiracle surrounded by 9-10 finger-like papillae. Molariform teeth in four rows anteriorly in both jaws. Proportions as percent disk width: total length 1.4-1.5; disk length 83-87; snout to origin of first dorsal fin 93-99; snout to origin of second dorsal fin 1.0; interdorsal distance 3.7-4.1; first dorsal fin base 9.3-9.8; second dorsal fin base 7.5-7.7; transverse spiracle diameter 2.7-2.9; interspiracular width 7.07.9; interorbital width 4.8-5.0; mouth width 9.3-9.4; internasal width 5.8-5.9; snout to mouth 13; first gill slit interdistance 25; fifth gill slit interdistance 23-24.

## SYNAPHOBRANCHIDAE

## Dysommina rugosa Ginsburg 1951

This cutthroat eel has been reported reliably from upper slope depths in the northwestern Atlantic and Hawaii. A single 362 mm TL specimen was taken off northern Angola in 536-542 m during the 2002 cruise (Appendix B) that agrees with North Atlantic specimens (Robins \& Robins 1989).

Total vertebrae133; predorsalvertebrae11; Dorsal fin rays (D) 314 ; Anal fin rays (A) 290; Pectoral fin rays (P) 16 ; Caudal fin rays (C) 15. Pores: preoperculomandibular 5 (pore in position five, under posterior margin of eye, not open on both sides); infraorbital 5; supraorbital 3. No supratemporal commissure. Vomer with four caniniform teeth. Proportions as percent TL: predorsal length 17; preanal 28; body depth at anus 5.9; head length 13; head width 3.3. Proportions as percent head length (HL): head width 26; snout 28; eye diameter 13; jaw length 45; gill opening 16; interbranchial distance 12; pectoral-fin 24; interorbital 15. Colour uniformly dark chocolate brown.

## OPHICHTHIDAE

Pisodonophis semicinctus (Richardson 1848) (Plate 3Y)

This distinctive snake eel was originally described as Ophisurus semicinctus Richardson 1848. This name, however, is a junior homonym of Ophisurus semicinctus Lay \& Bennett 1839, another snake eel now placed in the genus Leiuranus Bleeker (McCosker 1977). Richardson's name has been wrongly treated as valid by authors as Pisodonophis semicinctus. Also, the species does not belong to Pisodonophis sensu stricto, thus a replacement name is required (J. McCosker, pers. comm.). This eel ranges from the Mediterranean coast of Algeria to Angola at inner shelf depths (Bauchot 1986). Three specimens, 616-813 mm TL, were taken during the cruises off northern and central Angola in

25-40 m (Appendix B). Recent descriptive literature for the species includes Blache et al. (1970), Blache \& Saldanha (1972), Saldanha (1982) and Bauchot (1986), summarised below.

Total vertebrae 155-162. Lateral line pores: prepectoral 10-12; preanal 53-59. Pectoral-fin rays 1011. Caudal fin absent, tip of tail a stiff lobe. Proportions as percent total length (TL) (Blache \& Saldanha 1972): predorsal length 6.7-8.9; preanal 32-43; head 1112; body depth 3.3-4.3. Proportions as percent HL: predorsal length 63-79; body depth 29-40; snout 19-21; eye diameter 6.6-10.0; interbranchial distance 18-20; pectoral-fin 28-33.

Head cuneiform in lateral view when mouth closed. Dorsal and anal fins well developed, dorsal-fin origin distinctly in advance of gill opening. Background colour yellow, lighter ventrally, with 14-18 dark brown saddles from nape to tail tip. Pectoral fin yellow. Head with large dark saddle blotch and smaller black spots.

## CONGRIDAE

> Xenomystax congroides Smith \& Kanazawa in Smith, 1989

This eel is chiefly known from the Gulf of Mexico to off northern Brazil in depths of about $150-500 \mathrm{~m}$, but is also known in the eastern Atlantic from 10 specimens taken from off Liberia to Congo in 156-400 m (Smith 1989). Two specimens were taken off northern Angola during the 2001 cruise at depths of $327-355 \mathrm{~m}$ (Appendix B). One specimen (SAIAB 64867, 445 mm TL) has lost the end of its tail (172 total vertebrae) and has a regenerated pseudocaudal fin. Both specimens agree well with the Gulf of Guinea population in the original description but have one less supraorbital pore.

Predorsal vertebrae 4; preanal vertebrae 3940; precaudal vertebrae 60-61; total vertebrae 216 (undamaged specimen). Branchiostegal rays 10. Pectoral-fin rays $12-13$. Pores: lateral line to anus 36-37; preoperculomandibular 14; infraorbital $5+$ $0+3$; supraorbital $1+4$; supratemporal commissure 3. Proportions as percent TL (undamaged specimen): predorsal 12; preanal 34; head 14. Proportions as percent preanal length (both specimens): predorsal 3435; head length 41; head width 9.0-10.1; depth at anus 11; pectoral fin 9.2-10.1. Proportions as percent HL: snout 32; eye 11; upper jaw 55-56; gill opening 9.2-10.3; interbranchial 10; pectoral fin 23-25.

Body and tail brownish dorsally with scattered melanophores. Lateral line area pale. Ventral surface pale yellowish. Edges of vertical fins posteriorly and gut black. Intermaxillary tooth patch with three large canines anteriorly (Smith 1989, fig. 598).


Fig. 1. Lestidiops cadenati, 218 mm SL, SAIAB 66083.

## PARALEPIDIDAE

Lestidiops cadenati (Maul 1962)
(Figs. $1 \& 2$ )
This barracudina was described from a single beachcast juvenile, 82.5 mm SL, found near Dakar, Senegal in 1953 (Maul 1962). Subsequent references that we found all refer to the holotype (Blache et al. 1970; Post 1972, 1991). Nineteen specimens, $210-233 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, were collected during the two cruises off northern Angola at five stations ranging in bottom depth from 259 m to 364 m (Appendix B). The 2005 CAS collection contains seven specimens $217-318 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL from stations 3630, 3656, 3675, 3698 and 3709 (Appendix A). Barracudinas are considered coastal, mesopelagic fishes (Rofen 1966). Vertebral and caudal-fin counts were taken from radiographs of 15 specimens. Other counts and measurements were taken from all 26 fish where possible.

Vertebrae 36-40 + 41-45 = 79-84; D 9-11 (usually 10); A 25-27; P 11-12; Pelv. 9; C xiii-xiv $+10-11+9+$ ix-xvii (19-20 principals); lateral line sections 130-140; gill rakers $4-13+22-29=26-41$; branchiostegal rays 5; pseudobranch filaments 16-19. Proportions as percent SL: head length 22-24; head width 4.1-4.8; head depth 6.4-7.5; postorbital length 8.4-9.3; upper jaw length 8.410.2; lower jaw length 11-13; predorsal length 60-63; preanal length 79-80; prepelvic length 56-60; pectoralfin length 7.9-9.3; pelvic-fin length 5.2-5.9; body depth at dorsal-fin origin 5.2-7.3; body depth at anal-fin origin 5.0-6.1; gill slit length 11-12; caudal peduncle length 5.2-7.2; caudal peduncle least depth 2.5-2.8; dorsal-fin base 4.5-5.6; anal-fin base 14-15. Proportions as percent HL: head width 19-21; head depth 28-34; postorbital length 38-41; upper jaw length 38-43; lower jaw length 50-57; pectoral base depth 9.2-11.6; pectoral-fin length 35-42; pelvic-fin length 23-25; snout length 44-46; orbit diameter 16-18; gill slit length 50-52; bony interorbital width 9.2-11.0.

Head depressed, snout long and tapering to tip of upwardly-protruding lower jaw that fits into the median diastema of the upper jaw. Over 60 tiny teeth on each premaxilla. Maxilla closely joined to premaxilla, edentate, as is vomer. Palatines with 6-9 retrorse, caniniform teeth in anterior half, usually arranged in groups of two, with innermost the larger; single row
of 8-19 smaller, retrorse teeth posteriorly. Dentary with double row of teeth posterior to symphysis, outer teeth small, retrorse, inner teeth long, daggerlike. Eye large, rounded, with adipose eyelid covering anterior and posterior third of pupil. Gill cavity black, gill arches and pseudobranch filaments elongate. Gill rakers reduced to placodes bearing 1-4 strong denticles, with 4-13 placodes on epibranchial, 12-17 on ceratobranchial and 9-12 on hypobranchial. Operculum and suborbital regions with complex, radiating pattern of sensory canals. Body strongly laterally compressed. Ventral carinas (adipose keels) between pectoral and pelvic fins and pelvics and anal fin dotted with tiny melanophores. Lateral line scales (sections) one per myomere anteriorly; three upper and three lower pores posteriorly on each scale to mid-anal fin (Fig. 2; Maul 1962, fig. 5; compare with Rofen 1966, figs. 101, 102). Scales abruptly diminish in size near posterior end of anal fin, becoming two per myomere and with a single dorsal and single ventral pore. Lateral line scales extend to end of caudal peduncle where they are three per myomere, with a few scales turning dorsally right at end. Anus on vertical through dorsal-fin rays 4-8, center of anus situated 20.8-26.2\% HL posterior to pelvic-fin insertion. Fins relatively small; pectorals wedge-shaped, dorsalmost five rays longest. Anal fin deeply notched anteriorly, rays 4-6 longest. Dorsal fin low, usually of 10 rays, its origin one eye diameter posterior to vertical through pelvic-fin origin.

Colouration: dorsum dusky brown, pale silvery ventrally. Eye and opercular areas dark blue. Peritoneal pigment patches absent in our material. Fins of largest specimen (CAS 222645; 318 mm SL) dusky.


Fig. 2. Lestidiops cadenati, SAIAB 66083, lateral line scale detail.

Ophididae<br>Ophidion lozanoi Matallans 1990

This cusk-eel was described from two specimens taken off Western Sahara (holotype) and Senegal (paratype). No further published records are known (J. Matallans pers. comm.), but Robins (1999:40) listed it from Spain as well. Twenty-one specimens, $117-208 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$, were collected during the 2002 cruise off central and northern Angola (Porto Amboim to the Congo River mouth) at depths of $40-259 \mathrm{~m}$ (Appendix B). Counts of vertebrae and unpaired fin-rays were taken from six radiographed specimens. Other counts and measurements were taken from 15 specimens $144-208 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL (the two types were $130 \& 138 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL})$.

Vertebrae 15-16 + 51-53 = 67-69; D 130-147; A 108-119; P 24-25; C 9; Pelv. 2; lower limb gill rakers 4; branchiostegal rays 7; pseudobranch filaments 4-5. Proportions as percent SL: head length 21-22; head width 8.7-11.3; head depth 13-14; predorsal length 26-29; preanal length 40-41; prepelvic length 5.2-6.4; gnathoproctal length 37-38; lateral line length $88-90$;
body depth at occiput 14-25; body depth at dorsal-fin origin 14-15; body depth at anal-fin origin 13-14; gill slit length 12-15; occipital length 13-14; postorbital length 12-13. Proportions as percent HL: upper jaw length 49-54; lower jaw length 55-60; pectoral base depth 18-19; pectoral-fin length 43-46; pelvic-fin length $33-53$; snout length 19-21; orbit diameter 24-27; gill slit length 56-68; bony interorbital width 14-17; occipital length 61-63; postorbital length 57-59.

Anterodorsal edge of snout high as result of large rostral spine; tip of snout vertical (Fig. X). Tail laterally compressed, lateral line coursing along its dorsal third, extending to posterior seventh of total length. First dorsal-fin pterygiophore inserted between vertebrae 6 and 7. Epibranchial tooth plates 2-3, four elongate gill rakers on ceratobranchial. Vomerine teeth 28-51, in triangular or rhomboidal patch. Palatine teeth in three (smallest fish) to five (largest) irregular rows. No pyloric caeca. Peritoneum and orobranchial chamber black, mouth dusky toward sides. Body greyish-brown dorsally with yellowish tinges ventrally in smaller specimens.


Fig. 3. Cataetyx bruuni, 100 mm SL, SAIAB 65946, pectoral and caudal fin details from separate specimen, SAIAB 65871.

## BYTHITIDAE

Cataetyx bruuni (Nielsen \& Nybelin 1963)
(Fig. 3)
This rare brotula was originally described in the genus Oculospinus Koefoed 1927 from three specimens taken off Angola at depths of $235-510 \mathrm{~m}$, but was assigned to Cataetyx by Cohen (1981). No other descriptive records were found, but Nielsen (1990) mentioned there were a "few specimens from the Gulf of Guinea". We found that these are 27 specimens in four lots in the Museum de La Rochelle, France, and the National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C., USA. They range from Senegal to Gabon at depths of 329-1355 m. Three specimens were collected during the 2002 cruise off central Angola (north of Benguela to off Cabo Ledo) at depths of 504-707 m (Appendix B), an adult male

92 mm SL and two gravid females $87-100 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL. The CAS 2005 collection is of 21 specimens $65-122 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL from stations $3601,3622,3641,3649$ and 3656 at depths of $604-809 \mathrm{~m}$ (Appendix A).

Vertebrae $15-16+48-50=61-66 ;$ D 107-120; A 81-89; P 26-28; C 10; Pelv. 1; lower limb gill rakers 3; branchiostegal rays 9; pseudobranch absent. Proportions as percent SL: head length 23-26; head width 10-14; head depth 11-13; predorsal length 31-34; preanal length 47-51; prepelvic length 19-21; gnathoproctal length 42-46; body depth at occiput 1114; body depth at dorsal-fin origin 11-17; body depth at anal-fin origin 11-13; gill slit length 15-16; postorbital length 14-15; pectoral fin length 13-15. Proportions as percent HL: upper jaw length 43-49; lower jaw length 49-56; pectoral base depth 21-26; pectoral fin length 56-63; pelvic fin length 31-42; snout length 21-23; orbit diameter 19-20; gill slit length 63-66; bony interorbital
width 14-16; postorbital length 56-60.
Head ovoid, depressed; tip of snout steeply sloping (Fig. 3). Tail laterally compressed; lateral line not evident. First dorsal-fin pterygiophore inserted between vertebrae 7 and 8 . Rudimentary gill rakers on epibranchials 2-3; three elongate rakers on upper part of ceratobranchial and seven rudiments ventrally. Vomerine teeth 16-27, in two arcing rows. Palatine teeth in three irregular rows. No pyloric caeca. Opercle with stout, V-shaped spine arrangement; uppermost projecting posteriad, lower projecting ventroposteriad. Skin flap on opercle above posteriorly-directed spine ending in a wide pore. Cleithrum with posteriorlydirected spine just above pectoral base. Posteriorlydirected preorbital spine directly behind posterior nostril. Pelvic fins inserted under opercle. Top of head and body brown, head darker. Opercle, lower side of head and area under eye blue. Cheeks yellowish. Orobranchial chamber and peritoneum black.

## OGCOCEPHALIDAE

## Dibranchus atlanticus Peters 1876

This batfish is known in the western Atlantic from Canada to Brazil and in the eastern Atlantic from the Gulf of Guinea to Angola in depths of about 100-1260 m (Bradbury 1999). Twenty-six specimens were taken off central and northern Angola during the cruises at depths of 112-614 m (Appendix B). The specimens agree with Bradbury's detailed description, but most have very large tubercles more like those of $D$. tremendus Bradbury 1999 than typical D. atlanticus (Bradbury 1999, fig. 8). The central spines of our specimens are not greatly elongated on the tubercles of the tail, as in D. tremendus. All tubercles are decorated with spinules, however, and this, with the small sizes and shallow collection depths, identify the species as D. atlanticus.

Counts and body proportions from 10 specimens $81-105 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL: D 5-6; A 4; P 13-14; Pelv. 5. Neuromast counts: preopercular 2; subopercular 5-6; tail 10-11. Proportions as percent SL: disk margin length 42-44; skull length $28-31$; cranium width $20-22$; eye width 9.7-10.7; distance jaw to anus 49-53; jaw to anal fin origin 71-74; predorsal length 58-60; interorbital width 8.6-9.4; jaw length 11-12; mouth width 14-16.

## DICERATIIDAE

## Diceratias pileatus Uwate 1979

This double anglerfish is known in the literature from 45 specimens ranging across the tropical Atlantic from the Bahamas to the Gulf of Guinea (Uwate 1979; Fujii 1983). Pietsch \& Randall (1987) reported the first occurrence of the species outside the Atlantic (off

Hawaii), which is the largest specimen known at 275 mm SL. Twenty-two female specimens, $20-114 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, from nine stations were collected during our cruises off Angola (Appendix B). This species is mesopelagic and bottom depths ranged from $446-769 \mathrm{~m}$.

Following counts and proportions taken from the ten best specimens, $20-65 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL: D 6-7; A 4; P 12-13; C 9; vomerine teeth 0-8 (absent in 20 mm fish); upper jaw teeth 9-17; lower jaw teeth 14-22. Proportions as percent SL: predorsal length 76-79; preanal length 8689; body depth 59-62; illicial length 36-40; head length 37-40; head depth 57-59; head width 33-36; lower jaw length 44-47. Esca a simple bulb with a small cap (absent in 20 mm fish). Body solid black, covered in minute spinules.

## HIMANTOLOPHIDAE

## Himantolophus paucifilosus <br> Bertelsen \& Krefft 1988

This footballfish was described from 17 females taken at mesopelagic depths across the tropical Atlantic. A single female, 147 mm SL, was taken off central Angola in 2002, bottom depth 672 m (Appendix B).

Counts and proportions as percent SL: D 5; A 4; P 16; C 9; head length 39; head depth 56 ; predorsal length 87 ; preanal length 87 ; body depth 65 ; illicial length 46 ; diameter escal bulb 5.5 ; length distal escal appendage 2.0; anterior appendage absent; length posterior appendage 9.5 ; length illicial appendage 15 . Two illicial appendages just below esca, each with an unbrancing filament. Colour solid black.

## SCORPAENIDAE

## Neomerinthe folgori (Postel \& Roux 1964) <br> (Fig. 4)

This rare scorpionfish was described from a single specimen, 287 mm SL, taken off the Cape Verde Islands in $180-200 \mathrm{~m}$. We found that only two other specimens have been reported, one from Mauritania in 310 m (Cervignon 1960, reported as Scorpaena sp. (Eschmeyer 1969)) and another from Namibia in about 200 m (Penrith 1980; Eschmeyer 1986). The species was not mentioned by Blache et al. (1970). A gravid female, apparently the largest specimen known at 417 mm SL , was collected off northern Angola during the 2001 cruise in 322-324 m (Appendix B). It agrees with published descriptions, but as it is much larger than the other three (to 340 mm ), has significantly shorter predorsal and snout lengths and fewer gill rakers (lowermost somewhat coalesced); variation in other morphometric features is insignificant.

D XII, 11; A III, 5; P 17; C 16; Pelv. I, 5; gill rakers 8 + 8 ; tubed lateral line scales 28 ; lateral line scale rows 78 .


Fig. 4. Neomerinthe folgori, 417 mm SL, SAIAB 65015, photograph of right side in mirror image.

Proportions as percent SL: head length 45; head width 25 ; head depth 32 ; body depth 36 ; predorsal length 35; preanal length 73; prepelvic length 36; pectoral-fin length 23. Proportions as percent HL: head width 57; head depth 71; postorbital length 55; orbit diameter 19; snout length 31 ; upper jaw length 47; pectoral-fin length 51.

Preorbital bone with two spinous lobes over upper jaw, with 11 lateral points and five cirri. Suborbital ridge with 34 small points along centre line. First preopercular spine longest, second small (absent on left side), third and fourth present, fifth a mere nub under skin. Supplemental preopercular spine present. Postorbital ridge with upper posttemporal spine embedded (unexposed); supracleithral, lower posttemporal, pterotic and sphenotic spines present, letter two with several small points. Scales on body ctenoid, 78 lateral scale rows (the count by Postel and Roux 1964, of 85 in the holotype was corrected by Poss \& Duhamel 1991, to 77). Cirri on head weakly developed, mostly absent. Background colouration yellowish with black and brownish variegations. Four variegated black bands on body. Caudal fin with narrow bands, posterior margin without wide black band as in holotype.

## LIPARIDAE

## Careproctus albescens Barnard 1927

## Careproctus griseldea Lloris 1982

This snailfish was described from six juveniles taken off Cape Town in $1152-1463 \mathrm{~m}$. It is still rare in collections, and we are aware of 16 other specimens from Cape Town north to off southern Namibia. Lloris (1982) described the first adults as C. griseldea. A single adult, 126 mm SL, was taken during the 2002 cruise off
southern Angola in 603 m (Appendix B).
Vertebrae $11+51=62$; D 55; A 49; P $26+11=37$; C 11; branchiostegal rays 6 . Proportions as percent SL: Predorsal length 23; preanal length 36; head length 23; head width 14; head depth 18; body depth 20 ; gill slit length 8.2; pectoral-fin length 19. Proportions as percent HL: head width 60; head depth 77; upper jaw length 37; lower jaw length 43; pectoral base depth 32; pectoralfin length 82 ; body depth 86 ; disk width 23 ; disk length 26; snout length 32 ; eye diameter 22; gill slit length 36; bony interorbital width 19. Colour uniformly pale grey anteriorly grading into blackish tail. Peritoneum dusky (densely dotted with melanophores, typical of adult, shallow-dwelling Careproctus species). Teeth mostly simple, retrorse, a few in inner rows of lower jaw trilobed (tips triangular).

## CARISTIIDAE

The manefishes are in great need of systematic revisionary study. No keys to all the species exist (but see below) and a few undescribed genera and species are known in collections (M. Leiby pers. comm. 2002). Until recently, two subgenera of Caristius Gill \& Smith 1905 were usually recognised, Platyberyx Zugmayer 1911, with a high, curved lateral line and vomerine and palatine teeth, and Caristius Gill \& Smith 1905, with lateral line straight or absent and with or without vomerine or palatine teeth. The manefishes were not considered by Blache et al. (1970), but Post (1991) lists four species for the Clofeta area, two Platyberyx ( $P$. opalescens and P. groenlandicus) and two Caristius (C. macropus and C. maderensis). Trunov et al. (2006) erected Paracaristius for C. maderensis (type species) and a new southern hemisphere species ( $P$. heemstrai), but did not comment on the generic status of C. macropus. Nine
specimens, $56-173 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, of a manefish were taken during our cruises off central and northern Angola at bottom depths of 601-776 m (Appendix B).

Caristius macropus, originally described from Japan (Bellotti 1903) and well known in the Pacific, has been reported from the Atlantic a few times (e.g., FraserBrunner 1931; Parin et al. 1974, but not Norman 1930 [see Maul 1949: 26 for corrected counts]). We distinguish our species from C. macropus, and therefore identify it as $P$. maderensis, on the basis of its lower counts and lack of vomerine and palatine teeth. The material agrees well with Mauls' original description and that of Trunov (1981), each based on one specimen. We offer here a tentative key gleaned from other Caristius specimens in the SAIAB Fish Collection, the literature and an unpublished key of R. Britz, Natural History Museum, London.

## KEY TO ATLANTIC SPECIES OF MANEFISHES

1A. Lateral line high on dorsum, arched .................... 2
1B. Lateral line straight, coursing midlaterally, obsolete 3

2A. D 28-31; A 17-19 $\qquad$ Platyberyx opalescens
2B. D 32-36; A 20-22 ........... Platyberyx groenlandicus

3A. Rear margin of upper jaw not reaching beyond middle of eye; vomerine and palatine teeth absent; D 26-30; A 15-17; P 15-17; vert. 32-33 ......

Paracaristius maderensis
3B. Rear margin of upper jaw reaches beyond middle of eye; vomerine and palatine teeth present; D 33-37; A 21-23; P 17-20; vert. 39-40

Caristius macropus

## Paracaristius maderensis (Maul 1949)

Counts and proportions from Angola material (vertebral counts from radiographs of five specimens): Vertebrae $15+17-18=32-33 ;$ D 27-28; A 17-18; P 16-17; C 17 (principal rays); Pelv. 6; gill rakers $6-8+14=20-22$; branchiostegal rays 7; pseudobranch filaments 11-20. Proportions as percent SL: head length 30-36; head width 13-15; upper jaw length 11-12; lower jaw length 15-20; predorsal length 8.8-9.5; preanal length 56-57; prepelvic length 25-30; length longest dorsal ray 58-81; length longest anal ray 25-43; pectoral length 26-31; pelvic length 59-61; body depth 47-48. Proportions as percent HL: head width 41-44; upper jaw length $32-$ 36; lower jaw length 49-56; pectoral base depth 21-23; snout length 11-13; pupil diameter 31-36.


Fig. 5. Pachycara crossacanthus, drawing from original description.

## ZOARCIDAE

Pachycara crossacanthum Anderson 1989
(Fig. 5)
This rare eelpout was described from six specimens, 227-378 mm SL, collected by French researchers in fish traps set off Senegal and Gabon in depths of 900-1050 m . No other specimens have been reported. Four late juvenile females, 137-155 mm SL, were collected during the two cruises off central and southern Angola at two stations in depths of 672-783 m (Appendix B). The CAS 2005 collection is of four specimens $122-265 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL from stations 3609, 3676 and 3684 taken at depths of 725-891 m (Appendix A). Vertebrae and median fin rays were counted from radiographs of all eight fish.

Vertebrae 25-28 + 76-81 = 103-107; D 98-101; A 8082; C 11-12; P 17; Pelv. 3; vomerine teeth 5-9; palatine
teeth 7-13; gill rakers 3-4 +13-14; branchiostegal rays 6; pseudobranch filaments 4-5. Proportions as percent SL: head length 17-19; head width 8.3-8.7; head depth 8.8-9.6; pectoral-fin length 10-11; predorsal length 1922; preanal length 41-44; body depth 9.4-10.7; gill slit length 6.6-7.5; caudal-fin length 3.0-3.7. Proportions as percent HL: head width 44-50; head depth 50-54; upper jaw length 31-38; pectoral-fin length 58-66; snout length 18-22; eye diameter 18-24; gill slit length 38-42; interorbital width 7.9-11.2; interpupillary width 23-27; pelvic-fin length 13-21; pectoral base/length ratio 42-47.

The four SAIAB and two CAS juveniles agree in every respect with the larger specimens but have slightly longer heads, pectoral fins and gill slits. Eight preoperculomandibular pores, seven suborbitals, two supraorbitals, four postorbitals (except CAS 222451 and 222647 with three), and no interorbital or occipitals.

Only dorsalmost 5-7 gill rakers on lower limb furcate in the six juveniles (Anderson, 1989, fig. 8). Body lateral lines originating just posterior to postorbital pore four, with mediolateral and ventral branches diverging just above anterior quarter of pectoral fin. Dorsal fin origin associated with vertebra four, with no free pterygiophores. Anal fin origin associated with ultimate precaudal vertebra, with 2-3 ray-bearing pterygiophores inserted anterior to haemal spine of first caudal vertebra in all four fish. Caudal fin with two epural and 9-10 hypural rays.
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## PLATE 1


A. Cepola pauciradiata. Station 2762, SAIAB 65971.

First published live colour photograph of this species.

C. Physiculus huloti. Station 2765, SAIAB 66038.

E. Bathygadus macrops. Station 2861, SAIAB 67989.

G. Syacium micrurum. Station 2472, SAIAB 65501.

I. Zanobatus schoenlenii. Station 2556, SAIAB 65726.

B. Coloconger cadenati. Station 2842, SAIAB 67386.

D. Physiculus cyanostrophus. Station 2753, SAIAB 64639, paratype. First published live colour photograph of this species.

F. Trachyrhynchus trachyrhynchus. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo MARTRO1.jpg.

H. Ebinania costaecanariae. Station 2701, SAIAB 65804.

J. Torpedo bauchoti. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo Rayto13.jpg.

PLATE 2

K. Stromateus fiatola. Caught on 12 March 2001, not preserved.

M. Hoplostethus cadenati. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo TRHH0031.jpg.

O. Centrarchops atlanticus. Station 2808, SAIAB 68106.

Q. Scorpaena scrofa. Two specimens from Station 2555, SAIAB 65733.

L. Zenion longipinnis with mouth everted. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo ZE1Z10.jpg.

N. Laemonema lawreysii. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo MORLA011.jpg.

P. Setarches guentheri. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo SCRSE031.jpg.

R. Scorpaena normani. Station 2501, SAIAB 65496.

## PLATE 3


S. Aulopus cadenati. Station 2477, SAIAB 64976.

U. Zenopsis conchifer. Adult. RV Dr Fridtjof Nansen collection, photo ZEIZN01.jpg.

W. Uranoscopus albesca. Station 2481, SAIAB 65507.

Y. Pisodonophis semicinctus. Station 2798, FMNH 117452.

T. Epigonus constanciae. Station 2495, SAIAB 64872.

V. Zenopsis conchifer. Juvenile, 89 mm SL, SAIAB 67765.

X. Uranoscopus cadenati. Station 2477, SAIAB 65517.

Z. Schedophilus pemarco juvenile. Station 2792, SAIAB 67986.

## APPENDIX A.

A summary of station data for the 2001 and 2002 cruises. The 2005 survey stations from which specimens were provided by T. Iwamoto are also included.

| 2001 cruise |  |  |  | Station | Date | Position (Lat./Long.) | Depth (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2485 | 12.03.2001 | S 09 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12055' | 43-44 |
| Station | Date | Position (Lat./Long.) | Depth (m) | 2486 | 12.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12053' | 65 |
| 2426 | 04.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12058' | 745-750 | 2487 | 12.03.2001 | S 090 ${ }^{\prime} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | 94-95 |
| 2427 | 05.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 515-530 | 2488 | 12.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ | 751-769 |
| 2428 | 05.03.2001 | S 12026' E 13019' | 315-326 | 2489 | 12.03.2001 | S 09016' E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$ | 771-776 |
| 2429 | 05.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$ | 39-45 | 2490 | 13.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | 107-108 |
| 2430 | 05.03.2001 | S 12022' E 13029' | 70-75 | 2494 | 13.03.2001 | S 09006' E 12049' | 112-125 |
| 2431 | 05.03.2001 | S 12024' E 13022' | 105-107 | 2495 | 13.03.2001 | S 09007' E 12042' | 305-341 |
| 2432 | 05.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 13 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | 574-614 | 2496 | 13.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 650-697 |
| 2433 | 06.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ | 444-445 | 2497 | 14.03.2001 | S 08050' E 12049' | 538-541 |
| 2434 | 06.03.2001 | S $12^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 13 ${ }^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ | 86-87 | 2499 | 14.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 183-185 |
| 2435 | 06.03.2001 | S 11059' E 13043' | 25-29 | 2501 | 14.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ | 81-83 |
| 2436 | 06.03.2001 | S 11053' E 13040' | 65-66 | 2502 | 14.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 113 |
| 2437 | 06.03.2001 | S 11048' E 13034' | 103-107 | 2504 | 14.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | 368-369 |
| 2438 | 06.03.2001 | S 11052' E 13031' | 189-193 | 2506 | 14.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 637 |
| 2439 | 06.03.2001 | S 11051' E 13027 | 268-276 | 2507 | 15.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 624-633 |
| 2440 | 06.03.2001 | S $11^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | 432-437 | 2508 | 15.03.2001 | S 08020 ${ }^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | 164-169 |
| 2441 | 06.03.2001 | S 11028' E 13020' | 624-654 | 2509 | 15.03.2001 | S 08924'. E 13003' | 108-110 |
| 2442 | 07.03.2001 | S $11^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ | 109-114 | 2513 | 15.03.2001 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 120 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ | 322-324 |
| 2443 | 07.03.2001 | S 11030' E 13033' | 73-81 | 2515 | 15.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 12^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$ | 780-783 |
| 2444 | 07.03.2001 | S 11029' E 13040' | 35 | 2517 | 18.03.2001 | S 08014' E 13011' | 43-44 |
| 2445 | 07.03.2001 | S $11^{10} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ | 33 | 2518 | 18.03.2001 | S $08^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 1203 $5^{\prime}$ | 681-694 |
| 2446 | 07.03.2001 | S 11018' E 13040' | 31-36 | 2521 | 19.03.2001 | S 07058' E 12040' | 348-364 |
| 2447 | 07.03.2001 | S $11^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ E 13033 | 53-55 | 2522 | 19.03.2001 | S 08001' E 12043' | 233-248 |
| 2448 | 07.03.2001 | S 11015' E 13036' | 152-168 | 2525 | 19.03.2001 | S 07054' E 12053' | 86-87 |
| 2449 | 07.03.2001 | S 11013' E 13031' | 321-332 | 2528 | 19.03.2001 | S 07053' E 13005 | 19-21 |
| 2450 | 07.03.2001 | S $11^{10} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ | 618-622 | 2529 | 19.03.2001 | S 07050' E 12932' | 732-744 |
| 2451 | 08.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ | 441-451 | 2530 | 20.03.2001 | S 07049' E 12034' | 547-553 |
| 2452 | 08.03.2001 | S 10053' E 13031' | 124-126 | 2531 | 20.03.2001 | S 07046' E 12033' | 433-456 |
| 2453 | 08.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ E 13037 | 79-81 | 2532 | 20.03.2001 | S 07045' E 12034' | 336-340 |
| 2454 | 08.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ | 44-47 | 2533 | 20.03.2001 | S $07^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 1^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ | 250-251 |
| 2455 | 08.03.2001 | S 10037' E 13028' | 82-85 | 2538 | 20.03.2001 | S 07040' E 12058' | 35-36 |
| 2456 | 08.03.2001 | S 10938' E 13023' | 106-108 | 2539 | 20.03.2001 | S 070 ${ }^{\circ} 9^{\prime}$ E 12059' | - 24-26 |
| 2457 | 08.03.2001 | S 10036' E 13013' | 163-173 | 2540 | 20.03.2001 | S 07036' E 12026' | 642-651 |
| 2458 | 08.03.2001 | S 10 $0^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ E 13009 | 400-429 | 2542 | 21.03.2001 | S 070 $33^{\prime}$ E 12020' | 320-366 |
| 2464 | 09.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ | 177-179 | 2543 | 21.03.2001 | S 07033' E 120 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ | 244-248 |
| 2465 | 09.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12056' | 232-236 | 2546 | 21.03.2001 | S $07^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 1^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ | 81-83 |
| 2466 | 09.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 2^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 374-378 | 2547 | 21.03.2001 | S $07^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 1^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ | 50-51 |
| 2467 | 09.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12951' | 529-535 | 2552 | 22.03.2001 | S 07022' E 12099 | 355-364 |
| 2468 | 09.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | 727-780 | 2553 | 22.03.2001 | S 07021' E 12015' | 224-232 |
| 2470 | 10.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12054' | 156 | 2554 | 22.03.2001 | S 07018' E 12020' | 143-149 |
| 2471 | 10.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 01^{\prime}$ | 94-97 | 2555 | 22.03.2001 | S 07018' E 12027' | 101-102 |
| 2472 | 10.03.2001 | S $10^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$ | 69-74 | 2556 | 22.03.2001 | S 07013' E 12043' | 31-32 |
| 2473 | 10.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 13013' | 29-30 | 2557 | 22.03.2001 | S $07^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12030' | 52-53 |
| 2474 | 11.03.2001 | S 090 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 13011' | 23-24 | 2561 | 23.03.2001 | S 07011' E 11059' | 342-346 |
| 2475 | 11.03.2001 | S 09 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ | 81-85 | 2562 | 23.03.2001 | S 07010' E $12^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ | 256 |
| 2477 | 11.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12050' | 178-179 | 2566 | 23.03.2001 | S 07001' E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ | 24 |
| 2478 | 11.03.2001 | S 09049' E 12051' | 214-218 | 2569 | 24.03.2001 | S 06059' E 11 ${ }^{10} 46^{\prime}$ | 548-549 |
| 2479 | 11.03.2001 | S 090 $8^{\prime}$ E E $12^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ | 432-486 | 2570 | 24.03.2001 | S 06057' E 11050' | 327-355 |
| 2480 | 11.03.2001 | S 09928' E 12039' | 444-446 | 2571 | 24.03.2001 | S 06057' E 11053' | 236-246 |
| 2481 | 12.03.2001 | S 09033' E 12047' | 168-171 | 2573 | 24.03.2001 | S 06054' E 12007' | 89-90 |
| 2482 | 12.03.2001 | S $09^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ | 101 | 2576 | 25.03.2001 | S 06037' E 11057' | 110 |
| 2484 | 12.03.2001 | S 09026' E 13003' | 25-27 | 2577 | 25.03.2001 | S 06043' E 11 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 283-285 |


| Station | Date | Position (Lat./Lo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2578 | 25.03 .2001 | S 06 |

2689
2691
2692
2693
2694
2695
2697
2698
2699
2701
2702
2703
2704
2705
2706
2707
2708
2709
2710
01.03.2002 01.03.2002 01.03.2002 01.03.2002 01.03.2002 02.03.2002 02.03.2002 02.03.2002 02.03.2002 03.03.2002 03.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 04.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 05.03.2002 06.03.2002 06.03.2002 06.03.2002 06.03.2002 06.03.2002 06.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 07.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002 08.03.2002

## Depth (m) 336

## $+$

家
S $17^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ E $11^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ S 17014' E 11³1'

## 53-58

131-133
S 17014' E $11^{\circ} 21^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 160$

## S 17013' E 11¹6' 603-617

S 17000' E 11041' $36-37$
S 1658' E 11³3' 102-103
S 16³1' E 11³4' 93-94
S 16¹8' E 1140' 65-66
S $12^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \quad 760-773$
S 12 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ E 13016' 723-737
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{S} 12^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \text { E } 13^{\circ} 26^{\prime} & 61-70\end{array}$
S 12002 ${ }^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$
S 12002' E $13^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$
S $12^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S } 12^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \text { E } 13^{\circ} 32^{\prime} & 71-74 \\ \text { S } 12^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \text { E } 13^{\circ} 27^{\prime} & 06-97\end{array}$
S $12^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ E 13 $3^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \quad 111--112$
S 11056' E 13²0' 657-662
S 11055' E 13²1' 575-576
S 11年56' E 13 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \quad$ 471-477
264-266
101-106
70-73
49-54
26-28
$110-111$
160-161
349-356
672-674
351-354
27-28
23-24
20-21
113-116
529-540
35-40
52-53
114-115
30
91
151-152
331-336
504-514
344-349
130-131
48-50
30-31
70-71
96
168-173
610-624

| Station | Date | Position (Lat./Long.) | Depth (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2756 | 09.03.2002 | S 0958' E 12045' | 739-749 |
| 2762 | 09.03.2002 | S 090 $46^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ | 93-94 |
| 2763 | 09.03.2002 | S 09\% ${ }^{\circ} 3^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ | 628-707 |
| 2764 | 10.03.2002 | S 09036' E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$ | 536-542 |
| 2765 | 10.03.2002 | S 090 $32^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 12 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ | 112-114 |
| 2766 | 10.03.2002 | S 09²8' E 1300' | 50-52 |
| 2767 | 10.03.2002 | S 09 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ E 13 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 25-26 |
| 2768 | 10.03.2002 | S 090¹5' E 12058' | 24-27 |
| 2769 | 10.03.2002 | S 090 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ E 12051' | 74-76 |
| 2770 | 10.03.2002 | S 09016' E 12047' | 114-116 |
| 2771 | 13.03.2002 | S 090 ${ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ E 12042' | 259 |
| 2772 | 13.03.2002 | S 09 ${ }^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ | 404-438 |
| 2773 | 13.03.2002 | S $09^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ | 729-738 |
| 2774 | 14.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | 308-343 |
| 2776 | 14.03.2002 | S 08054' E 1300' | 186-195 |
| 2777 | 14.03.2002 | S 08052' E 12059' | 215-219 |
| 2779 | 14.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ | 701-708 |
| 2780 | 14.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 51{ }^{\prime}$ | 535-574 |
| 2782 | 15.03.2002 | S 089 $38^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ | 113 |
| 2783 | 15.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$ | 81-82 |
| 2784 | 15.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 52-59 |
| 2786 | 15.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ | 28-32 |
| 2787 | 15.03.2002 | S $08^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 13^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ | 82-87 |
| 2788 | 15.03.2002 | S 080²6' E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ | 700-717 |
| 2789 | 15.03.2002 | S 089028' E 12047' | 601-647 |
| 2792 | 16.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ E 12053' | 308-309 |
| 2798 | 16.03.2002 | S 089015' E 130 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ | 25-28 |
| 2799 | 16.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 120 ${ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime}$ | 703-705 |
| 2807 | 17.03.2002 | S 080 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$ | 40-42 |
| 2808 | 17.03.2002 | S 08003' E 13010' | 26-28 |
| 2809 | 17.03.2002 | S 08004' E 12036' | 732-736 |
| 2811 | 18.03.2002 | S 08002' E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ | 524-527 |
| 2816 | 18.03.2002 | S 070 $52^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ | 55-58 |
| 2819 | 18.03.2002 | S 070 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ | 730-759 |
| 2824 | 19.03.2002 | S 070 $38^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ | 88-93 |
| 2825 | 19.03.2002 | S 070 $36{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 120 $48^{\prime}$ | 68-70 |
| 2828 | 19.03.2002 | S 070 $34^{\prime}$ E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ | 721-722 |
| 2833 | 20.03.2002 | S 070 ${ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 120 $39^{\prime}$ | 57-64 |
| 2834 | 20.03.2002 | S 07019' E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 3^{\prime}$ | 42 |
| 2835 | 20.03.2002 | S 070 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E 12047 | 24-26 |
| 2836 | 21.03.2002 | S 07003' E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$ | 26 |
| 2837 | 21.03.2002 | S 07005' E 12036' | 38 |
| 2841 | 21.03.2002 | S 07015' E 12009' | 229-235 |
| 2842 | 21.03.2002 | S 070 $20^{\prime}$ E 1200 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 416-423 |
| 2843 | 21.03.2002 | S 07021' E 12002' | 521-529 |
| 2846 | 22.03.2002 | S 07006' E 11057' | 272-276 |
| 2852 | 22.03.2002 | S 0658' E 11* ${ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime}$ | 724-728 |
| 2855 | 23.03.2002 | S 0651' E 11050' | 255-267 |
| 2856 | 23.03.2002 | S 06051' E 11 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 132-146 |
| 2857 | 23.03.2002 | S 060 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E 11 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 100 |
| 2858 | 23.03.2002 | S 06047' E 11053' | 143-156 |
| 2859 | 23.03.2002 | S 060 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E $11^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ | 117-126 |
| 2860 | 23.03.2002 | S 06049' E 12001' | 90-91 |
| 2861 | 23.03.2002 | S 06038' E 110 ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ | 704-720 |
| 2868 | 24.03.2002 | S 060 ${ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ Ė 11 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ | 97-98 |
| 2869 | 24.03.2002 | S 060 ${ }^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ E 12002' | 80 |


| Station | Date | Position (Lat./Long.) | Depth (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2870 | 24.03.2002 | S 06\% ${ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E 12 ${ }^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ | 54-56 |
| 2872 | 25.03.2002 | S $06^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ 11 $1^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ | 383-385 |
| 2876 | 25.03.2002 | S $06^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 11^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ | 74 |
| 2877 | 25.03.2002 | S $06^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E} 11^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ | 69 |
| 2878 | 25.03.2002 | S $06^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$ | 40 |

## 2005 cruise

| 3609 | 01.04.2005 | S 120 $23^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ | 729-733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3610 | 01.04.2005 | S $12^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ | 646-656 |
| 3622 | 03.04.2005 | S $11^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ | 652-658 |
| 3630 | 03.04.2005 | S 11032' E $13^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | 361-364 |
| 3632 | 04.04.2005 | S 11028' E 130 ${ }^{\circ} 9^{\prime}$ | 733-735 |
| 3641 | 04.04.2005 | S 11011' E $13^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ | 806-809 |
| 3649 | 05.04.2005 | S 10 ${ }^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ | 640-647 |
| 3656 | 06.04.2005 | S 10 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ E $13^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ | 501-504 |
| 3675 | 08.04.2005 | S 10 $0^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ | 381-385 |
| 3676 | 08.04.2005 | S 10 $0^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ E 122047' | 725-734 |
| 3684 | 09.04.2005 | S 09 ${ }^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ E 120 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime}$ | 884-891 |
| 3698 | 11.04.2005 | S 080 $48^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ | 662-666 |
| 3709 | 12.04.2005 | S 080 $28^{\prime}$ E $12^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$ | 304-305 |

## APPENDIX B .

All species collected and deposited in SAIAB from the 2001 and 2002 cruises, indicating the station numbers (see Appendix A for station details) and the SAIAB collection numbers for each species.

| NAME | STATION NO. (SAIAB CATALOGUE NO. IN BRACKETS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| MYXINIFORMES |  |
| Myxine ios | 2799(66087) |
| CHONDRICHTHYES |  |
| Scyliorhinidae |  |
| Galeus polli | 2497(64537); 2693(65840) |
| Scyliorhinus cervigoni | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2546(65499); 2561(64847); 2562(64602); } 2720 \\ & (65886) ; 2740(65998) ; 2745(68094) \end{aligned}$ |
| Leptochariidae |  |
| Leptocharias smithi | 2538(64850); 2539(64960) |
| Carcharhinidae |  |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | 2513(64650) |
| Squalidae |  |
| Centroscymnus crepidater | 2427(64334) |
| Deania calcea | 2426(64340) |
| Isistius brasiliensis | 2427(64998); 2488(65007); 2732(65972) |
| Squatinidae |  |
| Squatina oculata | 2477(65006) |
| Torpedinidae |  |
| Torpedo bauchotae | 2539(65676) |
| T. marmorata | 2539(65673); 2704(68029); 2833(67966); 2857(67965); 2859(67983); 2868(68030) |
| T. mackayana | 2485(65514); 2539(65672); 2706(67964); 2716(68088); 2727(68096); 2735(65999); 2748(67984); 2786(66076) |
| T. torpedo | 2429(65541); 2430(65714); 2431(65004); 2435(65560); 2517(65503); 2834(68086) |
| T. nobiliana | 2754(68087) |
| Rhinobatidae |  |
| Rhinobatos albomaculatus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2429(65718); 2454(65730); 2717(68034); } \\ & \text { 2737(67971) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Platyrhinidae |  |
| Zanobatus schoenleinii | 2556(65726); 2566(65727) |
| Rajidae |  |
| Raja sp. | 2494(64915) |
| R. barnardi | $\begin{aligned} & 2427(64336) ; 2450(65716) ; 2497(65715) ; \\ & 2506(64911) ; 2540(64927) \end{aligned}$ |
| $R$. miraletus | 2878(67751) |
| R. straeleni | 2478(65725) |
| Dasyatidae |  |
| Dasyatis marmorata | 2878(67752) |
| Gymnura micrura | 2734(68095) |
| HOLOCEPHALI |  |
| Callorhynchidae |  |
| Callorhinchus capensis | 2698(68039) |
| Chimaeridae |  |
| Hydrolagus sp. | 2861(67976) |
| H. mirabilis | 2852(67402) |
| Rhinochimaeridae |  |
| Neoharriotta pinnata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2479(64323); 2531(64935); 2732(65973); } \\ & \text { 2782(66066) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |
| ACTINOPTERYGII |  |
| ALBULIFORMES |  |
| Albulidae |  |
| Albula vulpes | 2870(65722) |
| Pterothrissus belloci | 2439(65009); 2448(65607); 2691(65836) |
| NOTACANTHIFORMES |  |
| Halosauridae |  |
| Halosaurus ovenii | 2441(64358); 2479(64376); 2504(64893); 2701(65805); 2702(65821); 2754(65933); 2772(66007); 2773(66016) |
| ANGUILLIFORMES |  |
| Heterenchelyidae |  |
| Pythonichthys microphthalmus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2499(64914); 2697(65849); 2776(68260); } \\ & \text { 2783(66074) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chlopsidae |  |
| Chlopsis olokun | 2478(64396) |
| Synaphobranchidae |  |
| Dysommina rugosa $\quad 2764(66023)$ |  |
|  |  |
| Synaphobranchus affinis | 2441(64357); 2450(64351); 2496(64900); 2701(65806); 2763(65941); 2764(66024); 2828(66104) |
| S. kaupil | 2515(64885); 2756(65969); 2763(65942); 2764(66025) |


| NAME | STATION NO. (SAIAB CATALOGUE NO. IN BRACKETS) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ophichthidae |  |
| Echelus myrus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2485(65746); 2709(67977); 2753(67978); } \\ & \text { 2856(67946); 2860(67948); 2876(67947); } \\ & \text { 2877(67945) } \end{aligned}$ |
| E. pachyrhynchus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2562(64937); 2693(68259); 2753(65967); } \\ & \text { 2855(67979) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mystriophis rostellatus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2428(67980); 2495(65738); 2689(68257); } \\ & \text { 2692(67981); 2693(67982); 2697(67953); } \\ & \text { 2741(67942); 2752(65959) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Pisodonophis semicinctus | 2435(65748); 2878(67943) |
| Colocongridae |  |
| Coloconger cadenati | 2497(64878); 2842(67386); 2843(67383) |
| Congridae |  |
| Bathycongrus bertini | 2428(64931); 2439(64936) |
| Bathyuroconger vicinus | 2450(64899); 2694(65845); 2701(65807); 2773(66015); 2788(66078); 2789(66084) |
| Uroconger syringinus | 2751(65961); 2770(68258); 2774(66072); 2783(66062); 2852(67403); 2877(67944) |
| Xenomystax congroides | 2532(64867); 2570(64881) |
| Unidentified leptocephali | 2495(64874); 2497(64879) |
| Muraenesocidae |  |
| Cynoponticus ferox | 2435(65748); 2449(64330); 2458(64397); 2479(64375); 2727(67951); 2734(67952); 2807(66092); 2876(67950) |
| Nemichthyidae |  |
| Nemichthys scolopaceus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2441(64356); 2450(64352); 2467(64402); } \\ & \text { 2488(64390) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Serrivomeridae |  |
| Serrivomer beanii | 2828(66103) |
| Nettastomatidae |  |
| Facciolella oxyrhyncha | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2479(64374); 2496(64902); 2504(64894); } \\ & \text { 2842(67387); 2843(67384) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hoplunnis punctata | 2508(64898); 2509(64938); 2710(65868); 2745(65966) |
| Nettastoma melanurum | 2496(64903); 2764(66026); 2852(67949) |
| Unidentified leptocephali | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2507(64870); station data lost(67764); } \\ & \text { 2710(65873); 2852(67404) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| CLUPEIFORMES |  |
| Clupeidae |  |
| Etrumeus whiteheadi | 2689(65834) |
| llisha africana | 2727(65898) |
| Sardinella aunta | 2727(65899); 2816(66093) |
| S. maderensis | 2443(65000); 2836(67905) |
| Engraulidae |  |
| Engraulis encrasicolus | 2486(64584); 2878(67754) |
| SILURIFORMES |  |
| Ariidae |  |
| Arius heudeloti | 2798(68263) |
| A. latiscutatus | 2770(67972); 2787(68262) |
| A. parkii | 2435(64845); Luanda Harbour (64846) |
| SALMONIFORMES |  |
| Alepocephalidae |  |
| Alepocephalus sp. | 2701(65813); 2764(66028) |
| Leptoderma macrops | 2861(67734) |
| Talismania antillarum | 2701(65812) |
| T. homoptera | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2450(64350): } 2488(64385) ; 2515(64882) ; \\ & 2701(65811) ; 2764(66027) \end{aligned}$ |
| Xenodermichthys copei | 2450(64347); 2467(64407); 2488(64386); 2701(65810); 2711(65875); 2722(65891); 2732(65978); 2763(65943); 2861(67735) |
| Platytroctidae |  |
| Maulisia microlepis | 2819(66097) |
|  |  |
| STOMIIFORMES |  |
| Gonostomatidae |  |
| Sigmops elongatus | 2488(64388); 2530(64933); 2710(65870); 2742(65990); 2779(66068); 2819(66095) |
| Triplophos hemingi | 2732(65977); 2780(66064); 2788(66079) |
| Sternoptychidae |  |
| Sternoptyx diaphana | 2468(64380); 2488(64391) |
| S. pseudodiaphana | 2468(64381) |
| Phosichthyidae |  |
| Yarrella blackfordi | 2732(65974) |
| Chauliodontidae |  |
| Chauliodus sloani | 2742(65991) |


| Stomiidae |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stomias boa boa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2488(64392); 2732(65976); 2742(65989); } \\ & \text { 2763(65944) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Astronesthidae |  |
| Astronesthes barbatus | 2488(64387) |
| Borostomias antarcticus | 2779(67762); 2861(67736) |
| B. mononema | 2507(64913) |
| Melanostomiidae |  |
| Melanostomias sp. | 2780(66063) |
| Odontostomias micropogon | $\begin{aligned} & 2450(64354) ; 2458(64398) ; 2467(64405) ; \\ & 2694(65844) ; 2780(66065) \end{aligned}$ |
| Photonectes parvimanus | 2732(65975) |
| AULOPIFORMES |  |
| Aulopidae |  |
| Aulopus cadenati | 2477(64976) |
| Chlorophthalmidae |  |
| Bathypterois quadrifilis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2515(64883); 2773(66018); 2828(66107); } \\ & \text { 2852(67405) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chlorophthalmus atlanticus | 2439(64322); 2448(64328); 2449(64342); 2465(64411); 2466(64415); 2495(64873); 2577(64890); 2693(65843); 2843(67385); 2846(67377) |
| Parasudis fraserbrunneri | 2449(64329); 2533(64924); 2542(64919); 2577(64889); 2713(65880); 2846(67378) |
| Notosudidae |  |
| Scopelosaurus smithii | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2467(64406); 2496(64901); 2754(65936); } \\ & \text { 2819(66096); 2828(66106) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Synodontidae |  |
| Saurida brasiliensis | 2436(65597); 2438(65564); 2457(65604) |
| Trachinocephalus myops | 2836(67393); 2837(67396) |
| Paralepididae |  |
| Lestidiops cadenati | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2513(64909); 2552(64896); 2771(66012); } \\ & \text { 2774(66073); 2792(66083) } \end{aligned}$ |
| L. similis | 2449(64332) |
| Lestrolepis intermedia | 2774(69153); 2855(67398) |
|  |  |
| MYCTOPHIFORMES |  |
| Neoscopelidae |  |
| Neoscopelus macrolepidotus | 2861(67737) |
| Myctophidae |  |
| Diaphus diadematus | 2878(67753) |
| Lampadena pontifex | 2468(64382); 2489(64906); 2773(66017) |
| Notoscopelus resplendens | 2488(64389) |
| Scopelopsis multipunctata | 2828(66105) |
| GADIFORMES |  |
| Macrouridae |  |
| Bathygadus macrops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2754(65934); 2799(66088); 2852(67975); } \\ & 2861(67989) \end{aligned}$ |
| B. melanobranchus | $\begin{aligned} & 2450(64346) ; 2489(64905) ; 2828(66110) ; \\ & 2852(67407) \end{aligned}$ |
| Coelorinchus coelorhincus*. | 2732(65980) |
| Hymenocephalus gracilis | 2466(64419) |
| H. italicus | 2842(67389) |
| Malacocephalus occidentalis | $\begin{aligned} & 2440(64324) ; 2451(64412) ; 2458(64399) ; \\ & 2466(64984) ; 2479(64378) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Nezumia aequalis | 2842(67388) |
| N. africana | 2426(64339); 2861(67738) |
| N. micronychodon | 2441(64355); 2722(65895) |
| Trachyrincus scabrous | 2518(64916); 2809(66091) |
| Moridae |  |
| Gadella imberbis | $\begin{aligned} & 2449(64327) ; 2458(64400) ; 2466(64420) ; \\ & 2467(64408) ; 2540(64926) ; 2722(65894) ; \\ & 2732(65979) ; 2763(65945) ; 2771(66013) ; \\ & 2772(66011) ; 2846(67379) ; 2852(67408) ; \\ & 2855(67397) ; \end{aligned}$ |
| Laemonema laureysi | 2458(64401); 2693(65839); 2721(65890); 2772(66010) |
| Physiculus cyanostrophus | 2428(64343); 2709(64638); 2753(64639) |
| P. huloti | 2765(66038); 2876(67742) |
|  |  |
| Melanonidae |  |
| Melanonus zugmayeri | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2701(65808); 2754(65935); 2763(65947); } \\ & \text { 2779(66071) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Merlucciidae |  |
| Merluccius polli | 2438(65563) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| OPHIDIIFORMES |  |
| Ophidiidae |  |
| Brotula barbata | 2429(65723); 2430(65545); 2431(65005); 2442(65010); 2448(65736); 2539(65011); 2707(68091); 2735(66001); 2762(65970); 2769(66040) |
| Dicrolene intronigra | 2515(64884) |
| Lamprogrammus exutus | 2489(65008); 2694(65848); 2732(65981); 2773(68035) |


| Monomitopus metriostoma | 2515(64888); 2702(65823); 2710(65869); 2754(65938) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ophidion lozanoi | 2753(65968); 2771(66014); 2878(67755) |
| Bythitidae |  |
| Cataetyx bruuni | 2710(65871); 2742(65992); 2763(65946) |
| Cataetyx laticeps | 2489(64904); 2507(64868) |
| BATRACHOIDIFORMES |  |
| Batrachoididae |  |
| Perulibatrachus rossignoli | 2709(65860); 2856(67750) |
| LOPHIIFORMES |  |
| Lophiidae |  |
| Lophiodes kempi | 2458(64897); 2466(65609); 2497(64877); 2521(64932); 2529(64934); 2542(64920); 2553(65741); 2554(65744) |
| Lophius vaillanti | 2479(65719); 2504(65720); 2723 (65897) |
| Antennariidae |  |
| Antennarius senegalensis | 2739(65996) |
| A. striatus | 2429(65543) |
| Chaunacidae |  |
| Chaunax suttkusi | 2433(64325); 2466(64421); 2479(64377); 2721(65888); 2741(65997); 2772(66009) |
| Ogcocephalidae |  |
| Dibranchus atlanticus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2427(64333); 2432(64337);2765(66039); } \\ & 2772(66008) \end{aligned}$ |
| Melanocetidae |  |
| Melanocetus johnsonii | 2702(65822); 2828(66108) |
| Himantolophidae |  |
| Himantolophus paucifilosus | 2722(65893) |
| Diceratiidae |  |
| Bufoceratias wedif | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2467(64403); 2488(64394); 2710(65864); } \\ & \text { 2779(66070); 2788(66081) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Diceratias pileatus | 2480(64359); $2488(64393) ; 2710(65865) ;$ $2711(658777) ; 2722(65892) ; 2779(66069) ;$ 2788(66080); 2828(66109); 2852(67406) |
| Oneirodidae |  |
| Oneirodes eschrichtii | 2701(65803) |
| Ceratiidae |  |
| Ceratias holboelli | 2468(64379) |
| Cryptopsaras couesii | 2711(65876); 2712(65878) |
|  |  |
| BELONIFORMES |  |
| Exocoetidae |  |
| Fodiator acutus | Luanda Harbour (65962) |
| Parexocoetus brachypterus | 2710(65872); 2842(67390) |
| BERYCIFORMES |  |
| Trachichthyidae |  |
| Gephyroberyx darwini | 2439(64320); 2448(64341); 2571(64880) |
| Hoplostethus cadenati | 2432(64338); 2515(64887); 2773(67987) |
| Berycidae |  |
| Beryx splendens | 2543(64929); 2742(68107) |
| Melamphaidae |  |
| Scopelogadus beanii | 2468(64383); 2489(64907); 2754(65937) |
| ZEIFORMES |  |
| Macrurocyttidae |  |
| Zenion longipinnis | 2439(64321); 2495(64876); 2533(64923); 2543(64928); 2577(64892); 2712(65879); 2744(65965) |
| Zeidae |  |
| Cyitopsis rosea | 2577(64891) |
| Zenopsis conchifer | Station data lost(67765); 2693(65841) |
| Zeus faber | 2697(68100) |
| Grammicolepididae |  |
| Xenolepidichthys dalgleishi | 2495(64871) |
| SYNGNATHIFORMES |  |
| Fistulariidae |  |
| Fistularia petimba | 2767(66031) |
| F. tabacaria | 2484(65103) |
| SCORPAENIFORMES |  |
| Scorpaenidae |  |
| Ectreposebastes imus | $\begin{aligned} & 2468(64384) ; 2507(64869) ; 2742(65993) ; \\ & 2773(66019) ; 2789(66085) \end{aligned}$ |
| Helicolenus dactylopterus | 2428(65745); $2495(65510$ ) |
| Neomerinthe folgori | 2513(65015) |
| Pontinus accraensis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2428(69408); 2448(65608); 2553(65002); } \\ & 2709(65862) \end{aligned}$ |
| P. leda | 2449(65588) |
| Scorpaena angolensis | 2728(65987): 2747 (65957); 2786(66077) |
| S. elongata | 2495(65511); $2705(68031)$; 2709(68033) |
| S. normani | 2431(65556); 2501(65496); 2704(65829); 2735(66002); 2736(66004); 2739(65995) |
| S. scrofa | 2555(65733) |
| S. stephanica | $\begin{aligned} & 2435(65561) ; 2538(65551) ; 2539(65678) ; \\ & 2714(68032) \end{aligned}$ |


| Setarches guentheri | 2495(64873); 2542(64922); Station data lost (67763); 2872(67746) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Triglidae |  |
| Chelidonichthys capensis | 2689(68264) |
| C. gabonensis | 2475(65734); 2689(65835); 2699(65853); 2709(65859); 2766(66029); 2825(68093) |
| Lepidotrigla cadmani | 2431(65559); 2434(65589); 2436(65596); 2437(65562); 2555(65521); 2573(65500) |
| Peristedion cataphractum | 2449(64331); 2578(64856); 2846(67380) |
| Trigla lyra | 2553(65086); 2578(65519); 2693(65842); 2698(65852); 2846(67381); 2855(67399) |
| Trigloporus lastoviza | 2709(68103) |
| Platycephalidae |  |
| Grammoplites gruveli | 2429(65542); 2454(65599); 2472(65611); Station data lost(67766); 2876(67744); 2877(67740) |
| Psychrolutidae |  |
| Ebinania costaecanarie | 2426(65103); 2427(64335); 2450(64353); 2467(64404); 2694(65847); 2701(65804) |
| Psychrolutes inermis | 2710(65867) |
| Liparidae |  |
| Careproctus albescens | 2694(65846) |
| PERCIFORMES |  |
| Acropomatidae |  |
| Synagrops bellus | 2513(64910); 2533(64925) |
| S. microlepis | 2438(64344); 2465(64409) |
| Dinopercidae |  |
| Centrarchops atlanticus | 2539(65735): 2808(68106) |
| Serranidae |  |
| Anthias anthias | 2442(68084); 2555(68085); 2705(68041) |
| Cephalopholis nigri | Luanda Harbour(65498); 2539(65677) |
| Epinephelus aeneus | 2435(64958); 2444(64996); 2539(65680) |
| E. caninus | 2429(65540) |
| E. costae | 2547(64995); 2747(67970); 2834(68255) |
| E. haifensis | 2429(65539); 2703(65814): 2745 (68256) |
| E. marginatus | 2430(65623) |
| Mycteroperca rubra | Luanda Harbour(65964) |
| Rypticus saponaceus | 2539(65609); 2717(65882); 2878(67756) |
| Serranus heterurus | 2538(65552); 2539(65682) |
| Priacanthidae |  |
| Priacanthus arenatus | 2471(64986); 2703(65819) |
| Apogonidae |  |
| Apogon affinis | 2767(66034); 2878(67759) |
| A imberbis | 2539(65675); 2767(66035) |
| Epigonidae |  |
| Epigonus constanciae | 2429(64345): 2495(64872): 2721(65889) |
| E. pandionis | 2553(64930); 2842(67391) |
| Malacanthidae |  |
| Branchiostegus semifasciatus | 2443(65724); 2708(67968) |
| Pomatomidae |  |
| Pomatomus saltatrix | 2695(68111) |
| Carangidae |  |
| Alectis alexandrina | 2444(65742) |
| A. ciliaris | 2446(64999) |
| Caranx hippos | 2474(65721) |
| Chioroscombrus chrysurus | 2703(65818): 2748(65955) |
| Decapterus punctatus | 2816(66094) |
| Selene dorsalis | 2429(65538); 2430(64963); 2431(65728); 2473(65620); 2522(65490); 2533(65492); 2703(68114); 2728(65986); 2767(66033) |
| Trachinotus goreensis | 2446(65012); 2768(68036) |
| T. ovatus | 2717(65883) |
| Trachurus capensis | 2443(65616) |
| T. trecae | 2443(65615); 2824(66102) |
| Coryphaenidae |  |
| Coryphaena equiselis | Angling near station 2835(65106) |
| Bramidae |  |
| Brama brama | 2701(65809) |
| Caristiidae |  |
| Paracarastius maderensis | 2489(64908); 2754(65939); 2763(65948); 2773(66020); 2788(66082); 2789(66086); 2828(66111) |
| Emmelichthyidae |  |
| Erythrocles monodi | 2464(65594); 2470(65600); 2705(68105) |
| Lutjanidae |  |
| Lutjanus fulgens | 2748(65956) |
| Gerreidae |  |
| Eucinostomus melanopterus ${ }^{-}$ | 2444(65587); 2473(65621), 2798(66089) |
| Haemulidae |  |
| Brachydeuterus auritus | 2706(65825) |
| Parakuhlia macrophthalmus | 2539(65681); Luanda Harbour(65952); Luanda Harbour(65963) |
| Parapristipoma octolineatum | 2708(68115) |
| Plectorhinchus | 2715(68108); 2717(65884) |


| Pomadasys incisus | 2703(65820); Luanda Harbour(65954) |
| :---: | :---: |
| P. jubelini | 2728(68040) |
| P. perotaei | 2728(67973) |
| P. rogerii | 2539(65674) |
| Sparidae |  |
| Dentex angolensis | 2477(65515); 2705(68116) |
| D. barnardi | 2472(65612); 2698(68092) |
| D. congoensis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2442(65505): } 2471(65591) ; 2475(64588) ; \\ & 2502(65626) \end{aligned}$ |
| D. gibbosus | 2699(65855) |
| D. macrophthalmus | 2477(64978): 2691(65837) |
| Diplodus sargus cadenati | Luanda Harbour(65950) |
| Lithognathus mormyrus | 2703(68104) |
| Pagellus bellottii | 2472(65613); 2699(65854) |
| Spondyliosoma cantharus | 2431(64972); 2703(65815); 2707(65828) |
| Centracanthidae |  |
| Boops boops | 2431(65555); 2470(65014); 2703(65816) |
| Spicara alta | 2464(65595); 2470(65601); 2705(65830) |
| Sciaenidae |  |
| Atractoscion aequidens | 2689(65832) |
| Pseudotolithus senegalensis | Luanda Harbour(64973) |
| Pteroscion peli | 2528(64643); 2878(67758) |
| Umbrina canariensis | 2689(65833) |
| Mullidae  |  |
| Pseudupeneus prayensis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2472(65614); Luanda Harbour(65504); } \\ & \text { 2703(65817); } 2767(66032) \end{aligned}$ |
| Drepanidae |  |
| Drepane africana | 2474(64992); 2728(68090); 2786(68089) |
| Ephippidae |  |
| Chaetodipterus lippei | 2538(65003): 2539 (65679) |
| Chaetodontidae |  |
| Chaetodon hoefleri | 2429(64980); 2430(64962); 2431(64964); 2437(64983); 2455(65740); 2482(64848); 2703(68113); 2734(66003); 2767(79497) |
| C. robustus | 2539(65671); 2767(68110): Luanda Harbour(65949) |
| Prognathodes marcellae | 2482(64605); 2525(65485) |
| Pomacentridae |  |
| Chromis chromis | 2538(65554) |
| Cepolidae |  |
| Cepola pauciradiata | 2762(65971) |
| Polynemidae  |  |
| Galeoides decadactylus | 2473(65729); Luanda Harbour(64993); 2706(65824); 2727(68112); 2768(66042) |
| Pentanemus quinquarius | 2727(65900) |
| Labridae |  |
| Bodianus speciosus | 2484(64629) |
| Xyrichtys novacula | 2556(65484): 2729(65985) |
| Zoarcidae |  |
| Pachycara crossacanthum | 2515(64886): 2722(65896) |
| Trachinidae |  |
| Trachinus armatus | 2878(67757) |
| 7. pellegrini | 2825(66100) |
| Uranoscopidae |  |
| Uranoscopus albesca | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2481(65507) ; 2554(65487) ; 2714(65881) ; \\ & 2720(65887) ; 2730(65983) ; 2736(67988) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| U. cadenati | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2431(65558) ; 2452(65618) ; 2457(65602) ; \\ & 2477(65517) ; 2478(65495) ; 2719(65885) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Percophidae |  |
| Bembrops sp. n. | 2457(64414); 2478(64395); 2499(64854) |
| B. greyi | 2542(64921): $2578(64855) ; 2552(65523)$ |
| Labrisomidae |  |
| Labrisomus nuchipinnis | Luanda Harbour(65951) |
| Callionymidae |  |
| Synchiropus phaeton | 2855(67400) |
| Gobiidae |  |
| Awaous lateristriga | Luanda Harbour(65953) |
| Lesueurigobius koumansi | 2452(65617); 2487(65486); 2499(65625); 2697(65850); 2730(65982); 2735(66000); 2784(66075) |
| Acanthuridae |  |
| Acanthurus monroviae | 2484(64844) |
| Gempylidae |  |
| Gempylus serpens | 2450(65001); 2468(65593) |
| Trichiuridae |  |
| Aphanopus carbo | 2809(68038) |
| Centrolophidae |  |
| Schedophilus pemarco | 2707(65826): 2792(67986) |
| Nomeidae |  |
| Cubiceps pauciradiatus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2710(65866); 2754(65940); 2773(66021); } \\ & \text { 2819(66098); 2852(67410) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Psenes pellucidus | 2811(68097); 2819(660990; 2861(67739) |
| Ariommatidae |  |
| Ariomma bondi | 2576(65502); 2858(67745); 2859(67747) |
| A. melanum | 2569(64895); 2742(65994); 2841(67392) |
| Stromateidae |  |
| Stromateus fiatola | 2727(65901) |


| PLEURONECTIFORMES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Citharidae |  |
| Citharus linguatula | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2452(65619); 2454(65598); 2456(65592); } \\ & 2457(65605) ; 2557(65739) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Paralichthyidae |  |
| Citharichthys stampflii | 2485(65512) |
| Syacium micrurum | 2573(65501) |
| Bothidae |  |
| Arnoglossus imperialis | 2429(65544); 2430(65622); 2555(65520) |
| Bothus podas | 2836(67394); 2878(67760) |
| Chascanopsetta lugubris | 2552(65522); 2578(65518); 2846(67382) |
| Monolene microstoma | 2440(65506); 2448(65606); 2457(65603); 2477(65516): 2478(65494): 2533(65493) |
| Soleidae |  |
| Dicologlossa cuneata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2689(65831); 2699(65856); 2798(66090); } \\ & \text { 2878(67761) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Solea hexophthalma | 2767(66037) |
| Microchirus boscanion | 2691(65838); 2692(65851) |
| M. frechkopi | 2431(65557); 2501(65497); 2707(65827); 2709(65861); 2752(65960); 2769(66041); 2869(67749): 2876(67743); 2877(67741) |
| M. wittei | 2508(64534) |
| Monochirus hispidus | 2485(65683); 2539(65513);2766(66030); 2825(66101) |
| Vanstraelenia chirophthalma | 2430(65624); 2703(67969) |
| Bathysoela polli | 2777(66067); 2855(67401) |
| Cynoglossidae |  |
| Cynoglossus canariensis | 2727(67974); 2773(66022); 2869(68099) |
| Symphurus ligulatus | 2518(65491); 2529(65488) |
| TETRAODONTIFORMES |  |
| Balistidae |  |
| Balistes capriscus | 2445(64853); 2446(64849); 2729(68102) |
| B. punctatus | 2566(64965) |
| Monacanthidae |  |
| Aluterus heudelotii | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2445(64852); 2446(64851); 2835(68261); } \\ & \text { 2836(67395) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Tetraodontidae |  |
| Ephippion guttifer | 2444(64997); 2735(68109) |
| Lagocephalus laevigatus | 2716(68037) |
| Sphoeroides marmoratus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2538(65553); 2539(65670); 2728(65988); } \\ & 2767(66036) \end{aligned}$ |
| S. pachygaster | 2490(64975) |
| Diodontidae |  |
| Chilomycterus spinosus mauretanicus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2446(65590); 2706(68101); 2747(65958); } \\ & \text { 2870(67748) } \end{aligned}$ |
| * Note: Spelling of C. coelorhincus follows recommendation of T. Iwamoto in e mail to $E$. Anderson, based on original intent of author. |  |
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#### Abstract

Two new barbine species are described from the South Rukuru River, which flows into Lake Malawi. 'Barbus' seymouri sp. nov. differs from the ' $B$ ' eutaenia / ' $B$ '. miolepis species complex in the absence of the sheath of enlarged scales at the base of the dorsal fin, which is prominent in the other species of the complex, and in the pigmentation pattern of the mid-lateral stripe. Labeobarbus nthuwa, sp. nov. differs from L. marequensis of the Middle and Lower Zambezi River system and from L. johnstonii of the Lake Malawi system in the presence of a bony dorsal spine, and from L. codringtonii of the Upper Zambezi in the absence of the tall dorsal fin. It also differs from L. johnstonii in scale counts, and from L. marequensis in gill raker counts and caudal peduncle proportions. The fish fauna of the South Rukuru River is separated from that of Lake Malawi and all other inflowing rivers by steep rift escarpment waterfalls. Evidence is presented for river capture from the Luangwa River, which flows to the Middle Zambezi. Other Zambezian species found on the northern Malawi lakeshore are considered to be evidence for a separate, former link to the Zambezi system via the Chambeshi system.


Key Words: new species, Lake Malawi, Luangwa River, river capture

## INTRODUCTION

The South Rukuru River rises in the central uplands of Malawi and flows to Lake Malawi (Fig. 1). In its upper reaches it flows north, close to the Zambian border, before turning east and passing between the high Viphya and Nyika plateaux. For much of its northward passage, the terrain is fairly flat and the river slow flowing, but it is fed by fast-flowing mountain streams that arise on the two plateaux, many of which are perennial. After cutting through the Precambrian granitic basement complex rocks of the Njakwa gorge, the river continues to the lake down the rift escarpment as a series of rapids cut through a heavily faulted Karooage trough. Shortly before entering the lake, the river drops over the Wongwe and FuFu waterfalls, which form a barrier preventing the upstream movement of lake fishes (Tweddle 1982).

The fish fauna of the South Rukuru River, its tributaries and neighbouring rivers has been sampled on several occasions and differs considerably from that of all other affluent streams of Lake Malawi (Tweddle 1982; 1996; Tweddle \& Willoughby 1978). The species collected in the South Rukuru have been compared with known species from other river systems in Africa, and three of the barbine species are new to science. Two species are described here, while the third will be described later in a review of small spotted 'Barbus' species in the region (Tweddle, in prep.). The use of 'Barbus' follows Berrebi et al. (1996), under which the generic name Barbus is restricted to Barbus barbus (L.) and its large European congeners. The small African barbine species with radiate scale striations are not
closely related and therefore do not belong in the same genus. Until further research clarifies their relationships, the temporary epithet 'Barbus' will be used.

One of the species described herein is a member of the group of smaller 'Barbus' species with radially striated scales, a serrated dorsal spine and a mid-lateral stripe. This species also occurs in the Kaziwiziwi River (Fig. 1), a tributary of the North Rumphi River that arises close to tributaries of the South Rukuru on the southern flanks of the Nyika Plateau. The Kaziwiziwi River joins the North Rumphi before it falls steeply over the rift escarpment to Lake Malawi. Below the escarpment, sampling in the North Rumphi yielded only the typical lakeshore stream fauna (unpublished catch data; the lakeshore stream fauna is described by Tweddle (1996)).

The second species to be described is a member of the large African barbine group with parallel-striated scales recognised as the recently reinstated genus Labeobarbus Rüppell 1835 (Skelton 2001). In Lake Malawi and its other inflowing rivers, this genus includes two species, L. brevicauda (Keilhack) and L. johnstonii (Boulenger).

## METHODS

Specimens were collected from various sites (Fig. 1) in the South Rukuru River and tributaries and in the Kaziwiziwi River by electric fishing and the three largest specimens of Labeobarbus nthuwa (sp. nov.), were purchased from a local angler. Specimens were preserved on site in $10 \%$ formalin, transferred to $60 \%$ N-propyl alcohol, and were later transferred to 70\%


Fig. 1. The South Rukuru and neighbouring catchments. $\mathbf{X}=$ localities where Labeobarbus nthuwa sp. nov. type specimens were collected; O $=$ localities where 'Barbus' seymouri sp. nov. type specimens were collected; = localities where non-type specimens of ' $B$ ' seymouri listed in text were caught; = towns of Rumphi and Mzimba; $\star$ indicates site of possible river capture between Luangwa and South Rukuru river systems. The small map attached shows the location of the main map in southeastern Africa, indicated by the rectangle.
ethyl alcohol.
Measurements were made by vernier calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm following Skelton (1988). Skeletal meristics and fin ray counts were taken from radiographs. Vertebral counts include the four Weberian vertebrae and a single (PU + U1) caudal fin vertebra. Abdominal vertebrae include all anterior vertebrae without an extended haemal spine. Caudal vertebrae possess an extended haemal spine. Lateral line scales were counted from the first scale row behind the gill cover to the end of the body, i.e. the point of flexure of the caudal fin. This usually excludes the last pored scale and smaller scales on the fin base. In transverse scale counts where a count is given as e.g. $2+1$, this means two full size scales and either one small scale or a gap between scale and fin with the next scale overlapping the fin base.

Colour descriptions were made from field
observations and colour transparencies of live fishes. Pharyngeal bones were dissected and macerated in trypsin for several days before being defleshed. Scales were removed and stained with alizarin red. Scales and pharyngeal teeth were drawn using a camera lucida.

Institutional abbreviations follow Leviton et al. (1985). The following abbreviations are used in text and tables: SL, standard length; HL, head length; HD, head depth; SNL, snout length; OD, orbit diameter; POL, postorbital length; IOW, interorbital width; PDL, predorsal length; PPL, prepelvic length; DL, dorsal fin length; PCL, pectoral fin length; PVL, pelvic fin length; AL, anal fin length; BD, body depth; BW, body width; CP , caudal peduncle; CPL, caudal peduncle length; CPD, caudal peduncle depth; $A B$, anterior barbel length; PB, posterior barbel length; LJW, lower jaw width; LL, lateral line.

STATISTICA 8 software was use for the principal component analysis.

## 'Barbus' seymouri sp. nov.

Fig. 2.
Holotype. SAIAB 34888, unsexed, 93.3 mm SL; Kaziwiziwi River, North Rumphi River basin, Lake Malawi affluent, Malawi, $10^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 34^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; D. Tweddle, 25 September 1980.

Paratypes. SAIAB 34887, 17 specimens, 52.4-108.2 mm SL, collected with holotype. SAIAB 34946, 1 specimen, 74.0 mm SL, collected with holotype. SAIAB 34881, 5 specimens, $28.3-92.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, South Rukuru River at Njakwa gorge, $11^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 33^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, and Runyina tributary, $11^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at Rumphi, Malawi, D. Tweddle, 22-23 October 1980.

Other material examined. SAIAB 40797, 20 specimens, 31.6-51.2 mm SL, Mzimba River (South Rukuru tributary), Mzimba, Malawi, $11^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ S $33^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ E, D. Tweddle \& P.H. Skelton, 2 July 1992; BMNH 1978.8.3.537-556, 20 specimens, South Rukuru River, $12^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 33^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, and Mzimba tributary, $11^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ S, $33^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, D. Tweddle \& N.G. Willoughby, October 1976; BMNH 1978.8.3.1596, 1 specimen, South Rukuru River $12^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 33^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, D. Tweddle \& N.G. Willoughby, October 1976; further specimens are also deposited in the museum in the Monkey Bay Fisheries Research Unit Museum, Malawi, without accession numbers.

DiAGnosis. A moderate-sized (up to 108 mm SL), robust bodied, 'Barbus' species with an ossified, serrated last dorsal simple ray, 26-29 lateral line scales, fins tinted pinkish-orange, and a midlateral black stripe that extends through the caudal fin to the fork, but does not extend onto the snout. Differs from other orangefinned, serrated-spined 'Barbus' species in the region (including 'B.' eutaenia Boulenger, 1904, 'B.' miolepis Boulenger, 1902, 'B.' choloensis Norman, 1925, and


Fig. 2. Holotype of 'Barbus' seymouri sp.nov.
several other species, some of which are undescribed, recently discovered in Upper Zambezi tributaries (Tweddle et al., 2004)) in the absence of the prominent sheath of enlarged scales at the base of the dorsal fin present in the other species, and in the pattern of pigmentation of the mid-lateral stripe, which is distinct in each species.

Description. Based on the holotype and paratypes. Morphometric and meristic data are given in Table 1.

Body fusiform, slightly compressed, maximum depth approximately equal to head length, located before dorsal fin. Nape rises in hump behind head, particularly in larger specimens. Head moderately deep, four times in SL. Eyes lateral in position, visible from above and below, orbit becoming proportionately smaller in large specimens ( OD as $\% \mathrm{HL}=-0.5952 \mathrm{HL}$ $+39.184, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.7346$ ). Snout rounded and short, equal to or slightly shorter than orbit diameter. No tubercles on the head. Nostrils small, short tubular anterior naris adjacent to open posterior naris; nostrils level with dorsal margin of eye and separated from orbit by less than one orbit radius. Mouth subterminal, crescentshaped and reaching to below anterior border of orbit, lips moderately well-developed. Two pairs of simple barbels, anterior slightly shorter than posterior, which is approximately equal to orbit diameter. Gill cover opening from level with dorsal margin of orbit, attached ventrally close to isthmus. Gill arches with four short, stub-like, widely-spaced gill rakers on ceratobranchial of anterior arch, two on epibranchial.

Pharyngeal bones typical of small African 'Barbus' species with three rows of peg-like pharyngeal teeth with pointed cusps, formula $5,3,2$ 2,3,5.

Origin of dorsal fin equidistant between tip of snout and base of caudal fin, above or just behind origin of pelvic fins. Dorsal fin becomes relatively shorter with
increasing size of specimen, from approximately $30 \%$ of SL in smallest specimens to $20 \%$ in largest individuals, anteriormost branched ray longest, distal margin concave. Last unbranched dorsal ray ossified and finely serrated (approximately 30 prominent serrations and up to 40 in total) on its posterior side. Pectoral fins reach $2 / 3$ of distance to base of pelvics, tips rounded and distal margin straight. Pelvic fins reach $2 / 3$ of distance to base of anal fin, relatively small and rounded. Anal fin short, extending $1 / 3$ length of caudal peduncle, last unbranched ray longest, distal margin straight. Caudal fin forked, outer rays twice length of median rays, lobes rounded. Caudal peduncle long, length twice depth.


Fig. 3. Predorsal scale of 'Barbus' seymouri paratype, SAIAB 34887, from right side above lateral line, showing striae. Dashed line indicates limit of embedded area, Scale bar 1 mm .

Anus and genital opening immediately anterior of base of anal fin. Gut short, about equal to SL, in a single simple S-flexure.


Fig. 4. Colour photograph of paratype of 'Barbus' seymouri from Kaziwiziwi River, SAIAB 34887.

Scales moderately large, cycloid and rounded, well developed in regular rows. Scales radially striate with about 10 radii in total (Fig. 3). Lateral line complete, anteriorly dipping one scale row below the horizontal myoseptum, joining and extending straight along the midline at the anterior end of the caudal peduncle. No sheath of enlarged, elongate scales along base of dorsal fin; short pelvic axil scale present; breast scales well developed,

Colouration. Live colours (Fig. 4): body and head olive dorsally and sides silvery with whitish-gold sheen ventrally; black midlateral stripe bordered with golden yellow; black post-opercular vertical bar. Dorsal fin with dark olive rays and clear membranes; caudal fin colouration similar but with pinkish-orange tinge, particularly on lower half; pectoral, pelvic and anal fins pale brownish-orange tinted distinctly pinkishorange. Iris dark anteriorly and posteriorly, pale yellow ventrally with orange highlight dorsally. Specimens from turbid water uniformly pale with faint dark midlateral stripe.

In preserved specimens ( $60 \%$ propyl alcohol), dorsal surface scales densely pigmented with fine melanophores, with a crescent of closely spaced larger melanophores at both anterior and posterior borders of exposed part of scale. Pigmentation less intense in centres of lateral line scales and in the row below the lateral line only a few scattered melanophores present on each scale, although anterior crescent is still fairly prominent. In next row below, only a few scattered melanophores present anteriorly and dorsally on each scale. Below this, all scales pigment-free except for a few melanophores along the ventral scale row between anal and caudal fins. Crescent of dark pigment present behind operculum from lateral line to pectoral fin base. Horizontal myoseptum darkly pigmented beneath the scales, forming a fine but fairly intense mid-lateral
stripe, most prominent on the caudal peduncle. In many specimens, underlying pigmentation emphasised by additional melanophores along the line on the scales themselves. At base of caudal fin, lateral stripe broadens slightly as a result of heavier scale pigmentation. Stripe continues through to posterior margin of caudal fin. Mid-dorsal stripe present for full length of body. Rays of the dorsal, pectoral and caudal fins lightly pigmented with fine melanophores, pelvics and anal almost pigment free. Operculum and snout covered in melanophores, which are more abundant but finer on the snout. Both pairs of barbels lightly pigmented.

Distribution and habitat. 'Barbus' seymouri is found throughout the South Rukuru system above the falls that isolate the fauna from that of Lake Malawi, and in the Kaziwiziwi River above the escarpment down to the lakeshore. The sites where the species is caught are generally clear, strongly-flowing streams with cover in the form of vegetation and/or rocks. In the Mzimba River in Mzimba town, the river changed dramatically between sampling in 1976 and 1992. The narrow, wellvegetated, clear stream of 1976 yielded many large well-coloured specimens of ' $B$ '. seymouri, whereas in 1992 the wide, shallow, sandy stream at the same site yielded small, pallid specimens only from the road bridge gabions.

Etymology. Named after the late Tony Seymour (Fig. 5), a close friend of the first author and colleague for many years in the Malawi Government Fisheries Department, in recognition of his many years of service to Malawi not only in Fisheries but also in many other aspects of environmental management and conservation, and in particular for his long-term commitment to supporting Lake Malawi's fishermen.

Comparisons. 'Barbus' seymouri is one of a group of small


Fig. 5. The late Tony Seymour, after whom 'Barbus' seymouri is named.

African 'Barbus' with radiate striated scales, a bony, serrated last unbranched dorsal-fin ray, orange tinted fins and a dark midlateral stripe. The three most similar species so far recorded in eastern, central and southern Africa are ' $B$.' choloensis, ' $B$.' eutaenia and 'B.' miolepis. 'Barbus' choloensis occurs in the south of Malawi in two Lower Shire River (i.e. Lower Zambezi system) tributaries, the Ruo River and the Mwabvi River (Tweddle \& Willoughby 1979). 'Barbus' eutaenia is currently recognised as being widespread in southerncentral Africa while 'B.' miolepis is considered to be a species of the Upper Zambezi, Kafue and Congo river systems (Skelton 2001). All three are robust species with yellow or orange tinted fins, a black mid-lateral stripe and a serrated dorsal spine. The ' $B$.' eutaenia / 'B.' miolepis species complex needs detailed revision and several other species new to science exist, including another possibly distinct species in the lower reaches of the Lufirio River, which flows into northern Lake Malawi from Tanzania (SAIAB 54766). In the Upper Zambezi system several other species in this complex occur, some of which are undescribed (Tweddle et al. (2004). Taxonomic review of these species will not affect the status of ' $B$.' seymouri.
'Barbus' seymouri has larger scales than 'B.' choloensis with 4 scales between the dorsal fin and lateral line compared to $5-6$ scales in 'B.' choloensis. 'Barbus' seymouri has 12 scales around the caudal peduncle and 'B.' choloensis has 14-16. 'Barbus' seymouri has a more rounded snout, thus the mouth is slightly sub-terminal, whereas the snout of ' $B$.' choloensis is pointed and the mouth terminal. In similar-sized specimens, ' $B$.' choloensis has a much larger eye. 'Barbus' choloensis has pointed caudal fin lobes whereas those of 'B.' seymouri are rounded. In 'B.' choloensis, the mid-lateral stripe
is diffuse, confined to the posterior half of the body, broadens to an indistinct oval spot one full scale wide on the caudal peduncle, and does not extend noticeably on to the caudal fin rays. The mid-lateral stripe of ' $B$.' seymouri is narrower and better defined, extends the full length of the body following the curve of the scale row above the lateral line, broadens only slightly on the caudal peduncle and continues through the caudal fin to its fork.
'Barbus' seymouri differs from the other species in the 'B.' eutaenia / 'B'. miolepis complex in that it lacks the enlarged scales that form a sheath at the base of the dorsal fin in the other species. It also has more lateral line scales (26-29 v. 23-27). The mid-lateral stripe of 'B.' seymouri is finer than the broad heavy stripe of the other species. The well-defined stripe in the other species extends through the operculum and to the tip of the snout, whereas in ' $B$.' seymouri there is only a suggestion of heavier pigmentation in the centre of the operculum and only diffuse melanophores on the snout.

## Labeobarbus nthuwa sp. nov.

Fig. 6.
Holotype. SAIAB 39341, 210 mm SL; Runyina tributary of South Rukuru River, Lake Malawi affluent, Malawi, $11^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; D. Tweddle \& P.H. Skelton, 3 July 1992.

Paratypes. SAIAB 79494, 2 specimens, 217-236 mm SL, collected with holotype. SAIAB 40787, 6 specimens $31.0-36.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Runyina, $11^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, and South Rumphi, $11^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 33^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, tributaries of South Rukuru River; D. Tweddle \& P.H. Skelton. SAIAB 39293, 1 specimen, 42.1 mm SL, Runyina tributary of South Rukuru River, $11^{\circ} 01^{\prime} S 33^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; D. Tweddle \& P.H. Skelton. SAIAB 51928, 2 specimens, 210-217 mm SL, South Rukuru River at Chikulamayembe, Malawi, $10^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ S $34^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ E; D. Tweddle, R. Bills \& P.H. Skelton, 22 October 1995.

Diagnosis. A Labeobarbus species with parallel-striated scales, a heavily ossified, unserrated, last unbranched dorsal-fin ray, five unbranched dorsal rays in total, and 30-33 lateral line scales. Differs from the two Lake Malawi Labeobarbus species (L. johnstonii Boulenger 1907 and L. brevicauda Keilhack 1908) in scale counts and the presence of the bony dorsal spine. Differs from L. marequensis (A. Smith 1841) of the Zambezi River and east coastal rivers south to the Phongolo in gill raker counts, caudal peduncle proportions and the presence of the bony dorsal spine. Differs from L. codringtonii (Boulenger 1908) of the Upper Zambezi River in the absence of the high dorsal fin characteristic of that species.

Description. Based on the holotype and paratypes.


Fig. 6. Holotype of Labeobarbus nthuwa sp. nov.

Morphometric and meristic data are given in Table 2.
Body fusiform, slightly compressed, body depth approximately equal to head length in smaller specimens, becoming proportionately deeper with size, to approximately $11 / 2$ times head length in adults, deepest at origin of dorsal fin. Head length 4 times in standard length, head depth approximately $3 / 4$ head length. Dorsal profile of head in larger specimens straight to slightly concave (Fig. 7), shallowly convex in smaller specimens.


Fig. 7. Head of $L$. nthuwa holotype, showing position of tubercles.

Eyes lateral in position, visible from above and below, becomingproportionatelysmallerinlargespecimens(OD as $\% H L=54.662 \mathrm{HL}^{-0.236}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.971$ ). Snout pronounced, particularly in larger specimens, longer than orbit diameter in fish over 40 mm SL , shorter than orbit diameter in smaller specimens. Small conical tubercles present on snout, operculum and sides of head, not extending on to dorsal surface of head or on to body. Nostrils prominent, short tubular anterior naris adjacent
to open posterior naris and separated by raised rounded septum. Nostrils at horizontal through dorsal half of eye, separated from orbit by less than one orbit radius. Mouth sub-terminal, not reaching below anterior border of orbit; lips variable, ranging from specimens with straight, keratinised scraping edge to the lower lip (Varicorhinus-like) to specimens with rounded, fleshy lips. Two pairs of barbels, anterior just over half length of posterior, which ranges from being equal to orbit diameter in largest specimens examined to less than one-half orbit diameter in smallest specimens. Gill cover opening from level with dorsal margin of orbit, attached ventrally close to isthmus. Gill arches with $8-10$ robust gill rakers visible on anterior arch.

Pharyngeal bones robust with pharyngeal teeth in


Fig. 8. Left pharyngeal bone of paratype of $L$. nthuwa, SAIAB 79494, from left, lateral view, posterior view and anterior view. Scale bar 5 mm .
three rows, formula 5,3,2 2,3,5 (Fig. 8).
Origin of dorsal fin equidistant between tip of snout and base of caudal fin, positioned before origin of pelvic fins, last simple ray heavily ossified and unserrated, anterior branched ray longest, distal margin of fin


Fig. 10. Colour photograph of Labeobrabus nthuwa paratype, SAIAB 79494.
strongly concave. Radiographs show five simple rays in dorsal fin in all but smallest three specimens, where first, very small ray may have not yet developed to a size where it becomes visible. Pectoral fins falcate, reaching $3 / 4$ of distance to base of pelvic fins. Pelvic fins pointed with square distal margin, reaching $2 / 3$ of distance to base of anal fin. Anal fin last unbranched ray longest, distal margin shallowly concave, reaching to $1 / 2$ length of caudal peduncle. Single rows of prominent tubercles present on posterior edge of branched rays of anal fin in adult males. Caudal fin deeply forked, outer rays nearly four times length of median rays, lobes pointed. Caudal peduncle of moderate length, depth generally more than $60 \%$ of length. Anus and genital opening located at anterior of base of anal fin. Gut extended and involuted, its length several times longer than SL.

Scales moderately large, cycloid and rounded, well developed in regular rows. Scales with numerous parallel striations on exposed field, less numerous on medial area of embedded field, focus ill-defined (Fig. 9). Lateral line complete, anteriorly dipping one scale


Fig. 9. Scale of Labeobarbus nthuwa paratype, SAIAB 79494, from right side above anal fin, showing striae. Scale bar 1 mm .
row below midline, which it rejoins anterior to anal fin, passing mid-laterally to base of caudal fin. Elongated pelvic axil scale present; breast scales well developed but markedly reduced in size between bases of pectoral fins.

Colouration. Live colour (Fig. 10) silvery-olive dorsally, silver laterally and ventrally with faint purple sheen that is most prominent on operculum. Dorsal and caudal fins dark olive-grey; anal, pelvic and pectoral fins paler with brownish-orange bases, greyish extremities.

Preserved specimens ( $60 \%$ propyl alcohol) with scales on dorsal surface lightly pigmented with fine melanophores, more closely spaced at anterior border of exposed part of scale. Pigmentation less intense ventral to lateral line scales with melanophores confined to anterior of exposed part of scale. Ventral scales unpigmented. Vertical crescent of dark pigment behind operculum from lateral line to pectoral fin base. Fin membranes between rays of dorsal, caudal and pectoral fins heavily pigmented, outlining the rays. Similar pigment appears on pelvic and anal fins, but less prominently. Caudal fin with fine, dark posterior edge as result of membrane pigmentation. Dorsal surface of the head very finely pigmented, with larger melanophores in the centre of operculum. Posterior edge of operculum unpigmented. Ventral surface of head, lips and barbels are unpigmented.

Distribution and habitat. Adult $L$. nthuwa occur in the main South Rukuru River down to the Wongwe and FuFu falls near the lake (Fig. 1), but have not been found in the lake itself. The upper distribution limit is unknown, but the species occurs at least as far upstream as Lake Kazuni. The species also occurs in larger perennial tributaries flowing from the Nyika Plateau, such as the Runyina, where adults and juveniles were caught, and the South Rumphi, a smaller stream that
yielded juveniles only. All sampling sites where the species has been caught were rocky, fast flowing stretches with some deeper pools. The species has not been recorded beyond the South Rukuru River system.

Etymology. Nthuwa (pronounced "ntoowa") is the vernacular name used for this species in the vicinity of the town of Rumphi, and is used here as a noun in apposition.

Comparisons. There are two similar large Labeobarbus species in Lake Malawi and all perennial rivers and streams flowing to the lake with the exception of the South Rukuru above the Wongwe and FuFu Falls.

Labeobarbus brevicauda (Keilhack), formerly known as L. eurystomus (Keilhack) (Seegers 1995), is easily distinguished from L. nthuwa by its higher lateral line scale count (33-37, mean 35 v. 30-33, mean 31), long, robust barbels of equal length and enlarged, molariform pharyngeal teeth.

Labeobarbus johnstonii is closer in appearance to $L$. nthuwa and has similar pharyngeal bones and teeth. Because L. johnstonii is variable in form depending on habitat and locality, e.g. Shire River specimens from torrential stretches of river are deeper bodied than specimens from standing or slow moving waters in Lake Malawi and inflowing streams, it was necessary to investigate the full range of variation in the species. A total of 56 specimens from Lake Malawi, various inflowing rivers at different altitudes in different habitat types, the out-flowing Shire River, and small tributaries of that river in the Lower Zambezi system were measured. Labeobarbus johnstonii can be separated from L. nthuwa by its higher lateral line scale count (3140 , mean 35 ), by its higher gillraker count (L. johnstonii, 10-15, mean 11; L. nthuwa, 8-10, mean 9) (Table 3), and by having a flexible and not heavily ossified last simple dorsal ray, as in L. nthuwa. Labeobarbus nthuwa has five unbranched dorsal-fin rays visible on radiographs of specimens $>38 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, whereas in L. johnstonii, the usual count is four: only four of 58 specimens radiographed have five unbranched rays.

Labeobarbus nthuwa was also compared with $L$. marequensis from the Zambezi system. Labeobarbus marequensis, as currently recognised, is found from the Middle and Lower Zambezi south to the Incomati system in South Africa, including the type locality, the Marico River (Skelton 2001). L. marequensis populations show considerable variation, e.g, populations to the south of the Zambezi have higher scale counts and narrower caudal peduncles (discussed by Farquharson 1962). Following preliminary examination of the other populations, our study was restricted to Zambezi populations as these are closest to Malawi drainages, most similar in scale counts, and most likely to be related to L. nthuwa. Meristic and morphometric measurements were taken for 46 specimens of $L$. marequensis from the Middle and Lower Zambezi River and tributaries (Table 4). From the Gairezi tributary of the Lower Zambezi,
both typical L. marequensis and chisel-mouthed forms previously identified as Varicorhinus nasutus Gilchrist and Thompson 1911 (see Tweddle \& Skelton 1998, for discussion of validity of $V$. nasutus) were measured. The various Zambezi populations differ in the depth of the caudal peduncle. Specimens from the Lower Shire tributary of the Lower Zambezi have very broad caudal peduncles, as deep as long, whereas specimens from the Gairezi tributary have narrow caudal peduncles. A list of the measured specimens of $L$. johnstonii and $L$. marequensis is presented in the Appendix.

Labeobarbus marequensis can be separated from $L$. nthuwa by its higher gillraker count ( $9-14$, mean 11 cf. 8-10, mean 9) and the absence of the ossified last simple dorsal-fin ray. Specimens of $L$. marequensis from Lake Kariba have slightly more robust last unbranched dorsal-fin rays than other populations, but not to the extent that they could be considered spinous. Only two of 36 radiographed specimens of $L$. marequensis had five unbranched dorsal-fin rays, the rest had four.

Because of the wide geographic variation in $L$. johnstonii and L. marequensis, caution is needed when describing a new species. To assess the validity of $L$. nthuwa, principal component analysis was carried out on the measurements from all specimens examined. The first principal component summarised body size, as indicated by its large weights for linear measurements and low weights for meristic variables, and did not help to differentiate the species. Components 2 and 3, however, separated L. marequensis and L. johnstonii, based largely on scale counts (factor 2), barbel lengths and caudal peduncle depth (factor3) (Fig. 11), whereas L. nthuwa was intermediate, with slight overlap with both species. A single aberrant specimen from the Maperera tributary of the Lower Shire River had 32 lateral line scales and only 12 around the caudal peduncle, and was possibly a L. johnstonii/L. marequensis hybrid as both species occur in the Lower Shire. This specimen is excluded from the convex hull drawn to encompass the L. johnstonii data points in Fig. 11.

Labeobarbus nthuwa was compared with the different populations of L. marequensis (Fig. 12) and L. johnstonii (Fig. 13) in separate analyses. Labeobarbus nthuwa and L. marequensis were clearly separated, with scale counts and caudal peduncle depth (factor 2) and gillraker counts and barbel lengths (factor 3) identified as key variables. Some clustering of different Zambezi populations of $L$. marequensis is also noted on this figure, and further investigation using genetic and morphometric techniques on fresh $L$. marequensis material is needed to ascertain the relationships between populations of this species.

In the third analysis, L. nthuwa was also distinguished from L. johnstonii (Fig. 13), based on scale and gillraker counts (factor 2), caudal peduncle depth and barbel length (factor 3). There is considerable overlap between L. johnstonii populations and thus they are not outlined in Fig. 13. Middle Shire River specimens, from torrential stretches of river, have more powerful caudal regions


Fig. 11. Separation of $L$. marequensis and $L$. johnstonii with $L$. nthuwa occupying an intermediate position, using PCA based on all morphometric measurements. Numbers represent specimens from different populations (see Figs. 12 and 13 captions). $1=L$. nthuwa, $2-7=$ L. johnstonii, $8-13=$ L. marequensis. An aberrant specimen of L. johnstonii population 4 is omitted from the convex hull for that species, as explained in the text.


Fig. 12. Separation of $L$. nthuwa from Zambezi populations of $L$. marequensis, numbered as follows: $1=L$. nthuwa; 8-13 = L. marequensis, $8=$ 'normal’ mouthed Gairezi River, $9=$ 'Varicorhinus' mouthed Gairezi River, $10=$ Ruo River, tributary of Lower Shire River, $11=$ Mazoe River, $12=$ Lake Kariba, 13 = Luangwa River. Specimens measured are listed in the appendix. The different populations are outlined in the scatterplot.


Fig. 13. Separation of $L$. nthuwa from populations of $L$. johnstonii, numbered as follows: $1=L$. nthuwa; 2-7 $=L$. johnstonii, 2 = Bua River, 3 = Lake Malawi, $4=$ Maperera River, tributary of Lower Shire River, $5=$ Lufira River, $6=$ Middle Shire River, $7=$ North Rukuru River. Specimens measured are listed in the appendix. Because of the large degree of overlap between $L$. johnstonii populations, they have not been differentiated in this scatterplot.
than specimens from standing or slow moving waters in Lake Malawi and inflowing streams, and this was reflected in the weight given to caudal peduncle depth in the third principal component. As a result, Lake Malawi specimens (population no. 3 in Fig. 13) are all found in the upper part of the scatter diagram, while the robust Middle Shire specimens (population no. 6) are mainly in the lower part.

Labeobarbus codringtonii is a species found in rapids of the Upper Zambezi River system and is distinguished from L. nthuwa by the absence of the ossified last simple dorsal-fin ray and from both L. marequensis and $L$. nthuwa in having a very tall dorsal fin.

## DISCUSSION

Banister \& Clarke (1980) considered L. johnstonii to be 'strikingly similar' to L. marequensis in gross appearance, including the form of the pharyngeal bones and teeth and the scale striations. They cautioned that the characters in question are probably plesiomorphic, but stated that, with no other likely candidates in the region, there are no zoogeographical inconsistencies in the suggestion that the two species are related. Labeobarbus nthuwa is
an addition to this group of similar species.
In scale counts $L$. nthuwa is closer to $L$. marequensis than it is to L. johnstonii. Labeobarbus marequensis occurs in the Luangwa River. The very close proximity of the South Rukuru River to the Luangwa River watershed on the Zambian border for approximately 150 km of its course, and the presence of swamps and evidence of former lakes in the area (Hopkins 1973) suggests river capture has occurred as a result of tectonic warping of the land surface associated with the Lake Malawi rifting. Unconsolidated pebble sheets up to 30 m above the present level of Lake Kazuni (Fig. 1) probably represent the littoral deposits of a once much more extensive lake (Hopkins 1973) created by such tectonic movements. A probable site of river capture is marked on Fig. 1. Prior to this, much of the present South Rukuru River would have been a west-flowing Luangwa River tributary. The Luangwa River in Zambia flows to the Middle Zambezi. It is possible that $L$. nthuwa is derived from a population of $L$. marequensis present in the Luangwa tributary captured by the South Rukuru River. Unfortunately no Labeobarbus specimens are available in museum collections of the Luangwa River's eastern tributaries near Malawi to compare with L. nthuwa. An alternative possibility is that $L$. nthuwa evolved from a population of $L$. johnstonii present in the South Rukuru
before the Wongwe and FuFu Falls became a complete barrier to migration during rifting.

Another possible interpretation is that L. johnstonii is derived from Luangwa River L. marequensis, which entered the Lake Malawi system via the South Rukuru River. With the South Rukuru separated from both systems as a result of the river capture to the west and falls to the east, $L$ nthuwa would then have evolved its distinctive characteristics in isolation, while the lake populations in continuous contact with each other evolved into the species now recognized as $L$. johnstonii. The alternative suggestion that the ancestor of L. johnstonii entered Lake Malawi from the Lower Zambezi via the Shire River (Banister \& Clarke, 1980) is untenable because the Shire link is very recent, established only in the Quaternary (Lister 1967).

The South Rukuru River is unique among rivers flowing to Lake Malawi as it contains several fish species absent from the other rivers. In addition to the two species described here, there is another small 'Barbus' species with midlateral spots, which is possibly distinct from a similar species, also undescribed, on the lakeshore plain. Genetic study is needed to resolve this problem. One of the species described in this paper, 'B.' seymouri, also occurs in the adjacent Kaziwiziwi River, from where many of the type series, including the holotype, were taken. The species may have found its way into the Kaziwiziwi naturally as a result of river capture, or possibly by human transfer when a fish farm on the Nchenachena tributary of the South Rukuru, very close to the Kaziwiziwi, was used to stock fish ponds in the area in the 1950s.

The South Rukuru River contains two undescribed species of the small catfish genus Zaireichthys, only one of which occurs in other Lake Malawi rivers, while the other is found in the Zambezi system (D.H. Eccles et al., in prep.). Clarias liocephalus Boulenger occurs in Malawi only in the South Rukuru River. This is a species of the Upper Zambezi, north to the Congo, and through the Central African Great Lakes to the Lake Victoria region (Teugels 1986; Skelton 2001). In addition to these distinct species, there are as yet unquantified differences in the appearance of Amphilius uranoscopus (Pfeffer) from the South Rukuru River compared to other Malawi rivers, and the South Rukuru 'Barbus' paludinosus Peters population grows to a much larger size ( 15 cm SL) than in other populations elsewhere in its wide range (Jackson et al. 1963; personal observations). The distinctness of the South Rukuru fish fauna shows that it is likely to be derived from a different source than the fauna of other rivers flowing into the lake. It is suggested here that the likely source is highland tributaries of the Luangwa River captured by the South Rukuru during tectonic warping of the rift flanks.

The fish fauna of the Lake Malawi catchment as a whole shows close links with both east coast rivers and also with the Zambezi system to the west. The distribution of some of these 'Zambezi' species suggests there may have been more than one source.

The following 'Upper Zambezi' species occur along the northern Malawi lakeshore plain, including the Limphasa wetlands (Fig. 1), but not in the south: Pollimyrus castelnaui (Boulenger), 'Barbus' bifrenatus Fowler, Clarias stappersii Boulenger, Tilapia sparrmanii Smith and $P_{\text {seudocrenilabrus philander (Weber). None of }}$ these species occur in the South Rukuru River above the falls. Another "Upper Zambezi" species in the Hippopotamyrus ansorgii (Boulenger) species complex occurs in the upper reaches of the North Rukuru River, to the north of the Nyika Plateau (W. Kadye, pers. comm., specimens lodged in SAIAB). Thus the relationship between Lake Malawi and the Zambezi system is complex and cannot be explained simply by the single river capture from a Middle Zambezi tributary for which evidence is presented in this paper.

Worthington(1933) suggested linksbetweennorthern affluents of Lake Malawi and the Chambeshi system in Zambia, which is currently a headwater system of the Congo River system in an area that is flat and largely covered by marshes and large lakes. Genner et al. (2007) also suggested links in this area when discussing the molluscan Melanoides polymorpha (Smith) 'complex' of Lake Malawi. They pointed out that the M. polymorpha complex formed a clade with Melanoides anomala (Dautzenberg and Germain), a taxon now restricted to the southeast of the Congo drainage, and Melanoides mweruensis (Smith), an endemic of Lake Mweru. They stated that the Malawi Basin may have been colonised directly via a direct watershed boundary between the headwaters of the Congo River and the northwest of the Malawi Basin. Here tributaries of the Songwe River are adjacent to tributaries of the Chambeshi River that flows directly into the Bangweulu swamps and onto Lake Mweru.

Stankiewicz \& de Wit (2006) described historical changes in river directions in this area based on geological evidence. They suggested that in the Miocene the Luangwa and Middle Zambezi rivers changed course from their previous northeasterly flow direction towards an eastward-flowing paleo-Congo River, and may have become landlocked until captured by the Lower Zambezi River. The Chambeshi River also originally flowed north east, either joining the Luangwa or flowing directly into the palaeo-Congo. Its flow was also reversed in the Miocene and it became landlocked, possibly originating Lake Bangweulu. This system was captured first by the Kafue River to become part of the Zambezi River network and then, in the Lower Pleistocene, by the Luapula River to become part of the new west-flowing Congo River network, as it is today. The course of the proto-Luangwa River when flowing northeast cuts across the northern tip of the current Lake Malawi. Thus there have been opportunities for transfer of fish species in the area as proposed by Worthington (1933), explaining the presence of the group of species discussed above in northern Lake Malawi lakeshore streams. Further study is needed on the faunas of Zambian waters between the Upper

Zambezi and Lake Malawi, including eastern Luangwa tributaries, to shed more light on these possible links.
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Table 1. Morphometric and meristic measurements of 'Barbus' seymouri type series.

| Measurement | N | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Range } \\ \text { Holotype } \\ \text { Max }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | Min |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Mean $)$ SD

## Meristics

## Count

## Holotype

Range

| Dorsal-fin rays | iv +7 | iii $+7(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ or iv $+7(\mathrm{~N}=22)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Anal-fin rays | iii +5 | iii $+5(\mathrm{~N}=23)$ or iii $+6(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Pectoral-fin rays | 14 | $12(\mathrm{~N}=1), 13(\mathrm{~N}=1), 14(\mathrm{~N}=7), 15(\mathrm{~N}=15)$ |
| Pelvic-fin rays | 8 | $8(\mathrm{~N}=22), 9(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Total vertebrae | 35 | $34(\mathrm{~N}=17), 35(\mathrm{~N}=7)$ |
| Abdominal vertebrae | 19 | $17(\mathrm{~N}=1), 18(\mathrm{~N}=9), 19(\mathrm{~N}=14)$ |
| Caudal vertebrae | 16 | $15(\mathrm{~N}=8), 16(\mathrm{~N}=14), 17(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 10 | $9(\mathrm{~N}=8), 10(\mathrm{~N}=16)$ |
| Preanal vertebrae | 19 | $18(\mathrm{~N}=3), 19(\mathrm{~N}=19), 20(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Rib pairs | 13 | $12(\mathrm{~N}=8), 13(\mathrm{~N}=16)$ |
| Lateral line scales | 27 | $26(\mathrm{~N}=6), 27(\mathrm{~N}=11), 28(\mathrm{~N}=5), 29(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Caudal peduncle scale rows | 12 | $12(\mathrm{~N}=24)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - dorsal | 4 | $4(\mathrm{~N}=24)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - pelvic | 3 | $2+1(\mathrm{~N}=9), 3(\mathrm{~N}=14), 3+1(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - anal | $2+1$ | $2(\mathrm{~N}=1), 2+1(\mathrm{~N}=21), 3(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Predorsal scale rows | 12 | $9(\mathrm{~N}=1), 10(\mathrm{~N}=9), 11(\mathrm{~N}=13), 12(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |

Table 2. Morphometric and meristic measurements of Labeobarbus nthuwa type series.

| Measurement |  | Range |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | Holotype | Max. | Min. | Mean | SD |
| Standard length (mm) | 12 | 210.00 | 236.00 | 31.00 | 91.43 |  |
| Head length (\%SL) | 12 | 23.33 | 28.71 | 22.86 | 26.25 | 2.19 |
| Head depth (\%HL) | 12 | 76.73 | 76.73 | 70.16 | 72.92 | 1.74 |
| Snout length (\%HL) | 12 | 37.14 | 37.14 | 22.68 | 29.96 | 4.48 |
| Orbit diameter (\%HL) | 12 | 22.04 | 32.58 | 21.30 | 28.10 | 4.42 |
| Postorbit length (\%HL) | 12 | 46.33 | 47.98 | 39.62 | 42.78 | 2.82 |
| Interorbit width (\%HL) | 12 | 37.76 | 40.12 | 23.60 | 32.03 | 5.05 |
| Predorsal length (\%SL) | 12 | 48.43 | 52.97 | 46.14 | 50.51 | 2.17 |
| Prepelvic length (\%SL) | 12 | 50.81 | 57.14 | 49.84 | 53.30 | 2.44 |
| Dorsal fin length (\%SL) | 12 | 21.62 | 27.33 | 20.79 | 23.42 | 1.92 |
| Pectoral fin length (\%SL) | 12 | 19.86 | 20.73 | 19.05 | 19.68 | 0.49 |
| Pelvic fin length (\%SL) | 12 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 15.42 | 16.62 | 0.79 |
| Anal fin length (\%SL) | 12 | 17.48 | 19.83 | 15.98 | 17.24 | 1.32 |
| Body depth (\%SL) | 12 | 30.14 | 30.38 | 25.42 | 27.40 | 1.70 |
| Body width (\%SL) | 12 | 18.19 | 19.22 | 12.35 | 15.09 | 2.28 |
| Caudal peduncle length (\%SL) | 12 | 19.86 | 19.90 | 15.52 | 18.09 | 1.42 |
| Caudal peduncle depth (\%CPL) | 12 | 59.95 | 71.59 | 55.84 | 62.47 | 4.77 |
| Anterior barbel length (\%OD) | 12 | 68.52 | 68.52 | 13.79 | 33.70 | 18.17 |
| Posterior barbel length (\%OD) | 12 | 96.30 | 107.83 | 24.14 | 58.94 | 26.18 |
| Mouth width (\%HL) | 12 | 26.12 | 32.38 | 16.85 | 23.49 | 4.88 |

## Meristics

| Count | Holotype | Range |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Dorsal fin rays | $\mathrm{v}+9$ | $\mathrm{iv}+9(\mathrm{~N}=3), \mathrm{v}+8(\mathrm{~N}=1), \mathrm{v}+9(\mathrm{~N}=8)$ |
| Anal fin rays | 16 | $15(\mathrm{~N}=5), 16(\mathrm{~N}=3), 17(\mathrm{~N}=4)$ |
| Pectoral fin rays | 9 | $8(\mathrm{~N}=1), 9(\mathrm{~N}=11)$ |
| Pelvic fin rays | 40 | $40(\mathrm{~N}=3), 41(\mathrm{~N}=9)$ |
| Total vertebrae | 22 | $21(\mathrm{~N}=1), 22(\mathrm{~N}=5), 23(\mathrm{~N}=6)$ |
| Abdominal vertebrae | 18 | $17(\mathrm{~N}=1), 18(\mathrm{~N}=7), 19(\mathrm{~N}=3), 20(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Caudal vertebrae | 10 | $10(\mathrm{~N}=5), 11(\mathrm{~N}=7)$ |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 26 | $26(\mathrm{~N}=10), 27(\mathrm{~N}=2)$ |
| Preanal vertebrae | 14 | $13(\mathrm{~N}=2), 14(\mathrm{~N}=10)$ |
| Rib pairs | 32 | $30(\mathrm{~N}=3), 31(\mathrm{~N}=3), 32(\mathrm{~N}=5), 33(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Lateral line scales | 12 | $12(\mathrm{~N}=12)$ |
| Caudal peduncle scale rows | 5 | $5+1(\mathrm{~N}=12)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - dorsal | 3 | $3(\mathrm{~N}=7), 3+1(\mathrm{~N}=5)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - pelvic | 4 | $3+1(\mathrm{~N}=3), 4(\mathrm{~N}=8), 4+1(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Scale rows lat. line - anal | 11 | $11(\mathrm{~N}=5), 12(\mathrm{~N}=7)$ |
| Predorsal scale rows | 9 | $8(\mathrm{~N}=4), 9(\mathrm{~N}=6), 10(\mathrm{~N}=1)$ |
| Gill rakers |  |  |

Table 3. A comparison of the morphometric and meristic characters of L. nthuwa, L. johnstonii and $L$. marequensis.

|  | L. nthuwa |  |  | L. johnstonii |  |  |  | L. marequensis |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Measurement | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD | n | mean | SD |  |
| SL | 12 | 91.43 |  | 54 | 104.93 |  | 45 | 100.45 |  |  |
| HL \%SL | 12 | 26.25 | 2.19 | 54 | 25.42 | 1.50 | 45 | 25.67 | 2.17 |  |
| HD\%HL | 12 | 72.92 | 1.74 | 56 | 74.71 | 3.19 | 45 | 74.98 | 5.37 |  |
| SNL\%HL | 12 | 29.96 | 4.48 | 56 | 33.33 | 2.81 | 45 | 33.00 | 3.06 |  |
| OD\%HL | 12 | 28.10 | 4.42 | 56 | 27.16 | 3.29 | 45 | 28.95 | 3.54 |  |
| POL\%HL | 12 | 42.78 | 2.82 | 56 | 43.46 | 2.71 | 45 | 42.18 | 3.08 |  |
| IOW\%HL | 12 | 32.03 | 5.05 | 56 | 37.21 | 4.62 | 45 | 36.40 | 6.25 |  |
| PD\%SL | 12 | 50.51 | 2.17 | 54 | 49.64 | 1.70 | 45 | 50.93 | 1.87 |  |
| PP\%SL | 12 | 53.30 | 2.44 | 54 | 52.43 | 1.63 | 45 | 53.59 | 1.96 |  |
| DL\%SL | 12 | 23.42 | 1.92 | 54 | 23.81 | 1.39 | 45 | 25.24 | 3.16 |  |
| PCL\%SL | 12 | 19.68 | 0.49 | 54 | 20.10 | 1.20 | 45 | 20.98 | 1.82 |  |
| PVL\%SL | 12 | 16.62 | 0.79 | 54 | 17.82 | 1.10 | 45 | 18.85 | 1.87 |  |
| AL\%SL | 12 | 17.24 | 1.32 | 54 | 18.38 | 1.38 | 45 | 19.38 | 1.93 |  |
| BD\%SL | 12 | 27.40 | 1.70 | 54 | 28.15 | 2.25 | 45 | 28.70 | 2.28 |  |
| BW\%SL | 12 | 15.09 | 2.28 | 54 | 15.35 | 1.17 | 45 | 15.71 | 1.70 |  |
| CPL\%SL | 12 | 18.09 | 1.42 | 54 | 18.65 | 1.13 | 45 | 17.55 | 1.24 |  |
| CPD\%CPL | 12 | 62.47 | 4.77 | 54 | 64.78 | 5.35 | 45 | 70.18 | 8.51 |  |
| AB\%OD | 12 | 33.70 | 18.17 | 56 | 40.25 | 13.64 | 45 | 36.70 | 13.37 |  |
| PB\%OD | 12 | 58.94 | 26.18 | 56 | 61.22 | 16.34 | 45 | 54.84 | 14.38 |  |
| MW\%HL | 12 | 23.49 | 4.88 | 56 | 28.56 | 4.74 | 45 | 32.08 | 9.66 |  |


| Counts | Range |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L. nthuwa $(n=12)$ | L. johnstonii $(\mathrm{n}=56)$ | L. marequensis $(\mathrm{n}=36)$ |
| Dorsal-fin rays | iv+9, v+8, (v+9) | iv +8 , (iv+9), iv $+10, \mathrm{v}+9$ | (iv+9), iv+10, v+10 |
| Anal fin rays | iii+5 | (iii) $+5, \mathrm{iii}+6$, iv +5 | iii+5 |
| Pectoral fin rays | (15)-17 | 14-(16)-17 | 15-(16)-17 |
| Pelvic fin rays | 8-(9) | 8-(9)-10 | 8-(9) |
| Total vertebrae | 40-(41) | 40-(41)-43 | 38-(39)-42 |
| Abdominal vertebrae | 21-(23) | 22-(23)-24 | 21-(23)-24 |
| Caudal vertebrae | 17-(18)-20 | 16-(18)-19 | 16-(17)-19 |
| Predorsal vertebrae | 10-(11) | 10-(11)-12 | (10)-12 |
| Preanal vertebrae | (26)-27 | 25-(26)-28 | 24-(25)-27 |
| Rib pairs | 13-(14) | 14-(15)-16 | 13-(15)-16 |
| Lateral line scales | 30-(32)-33 | 31-(34)-40 | 26-(29)-32 |
| Caudal peduncle scale rows | 12 | 12-(14)-16 | 11-(12) |
| Scale rows lateral line - dorsal | 5+1 | 5+1-(6+1)-7 | 4+1-(5)-5+1 |
| Scale rows lateral line - pelvic | 3-(3+1) | 2+1-(3)-4 | 2-(3) |
| Scale rows lateral line - anal | 3+1-(4)-4+1 | 3+1-(4)-4+1 | (3)-4 |
| Predorsal scale rows | 11-(12) | 10-(12)-14 | 9-(11)-12 |
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## APPENDIX

List of specimens of Labeobarbus marequensis and Labeobarbus johnstonii used in the morphometric and meristic comparisons.

## Labeobarbus johnstonii

SAIAB 74-108, 5 specimens, 108.1-144.5 mmSL, Lake Malawi. SAIAB 74-126, 2 specimens, $141.1 \& 156.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Lake Malawi, Varicorhinus-mouth form. SAIAB 42112, 1 specimen, 213.0 mm SL, South East Arm of Lake Malawi. SAIAB 42111, 2 specimens (large heads only), South East Arm of Lake Malawi. SAIAB 39340, 1 specimen, 133.3 mmSL , Bua River, Lake Malawi system. SAIAB 50089, 9 specimens, 41.9-111.7 mm SL, Bua River, Lake Malawi system. AMG 8401, 3 specimens, 193.0-225.0 mm SL, North Rukuru River, Lake Malawi system. SAIAB 34910, 7 specimens, 41.7-81.2 mm SL, Lufira River, Lake Malawi system. SAIAB 50174, 14 specimens, 40.5-175.0 mm SL, Wankurumadzi River, Middle Shire system. AMG 8403, 3 specimens, 117.9170.8 mm SL, Mpatamanga, Middle Shire River. SAIAB 34322, 1 specimen, 120.9 mm SL, Maperera River, Lower Shire system. SAIAB 34854, 8 specimens, 43.9-119.5 mm SL, Maperera River, Lower Shire system.

## Labeobarbus marequensis

SAIAB 34938, 1 specimen, 185.0 mm SL, Chiromo, Ruo/Lower Shire River. SAIAB 51947, 1 specimen, 59.6 mm SL, Sankhulani, Ruo River, Lower Shire system. SAM 8801, 1 specimen 482.0 mm SL, (type specimen of Varicorhinus nasutus), Middle Zambezi below Victoria Falls. AMG 366, 4 specimens, $143.7-156.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Mazoe River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG 8pf) 33, 3 specimens, 50.6-147.7 mm SL, Mazoe River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG 2100, 1 specimen, 368.0 mm SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG (pf) 144, 3 specimens, 180.0-195.0 mm SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG 30, 6 specimens, $59.4-99.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG 1249, 3 specimens, $39.6-60.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG 67, 4 specimens, 70.1-121.2 mm SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG (pf) 351, 3 specimens, $83.2-89.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Gairezi River, Lower Zambezi system. AMG (pf) 1322, 6 specimens, 29.2-51.7 mm SL, Luangwa River, Middle Zambezi system. AMG 2022, 10 specimens, $75.6-154.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Kariba, Middle Zambezi system.
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#### Abstract

Tail spine characteristics were examined of 51 species (including one subspecies) of stingrays frequenting African, Arabian to Chagos-Maldive archipelago waters. Thirty-four species ( 313 males, 405 females) possessed intact spines, 12 species lacked tail spines. Spine characteristics recorded were: total length, prebase length, serrations (right and left), serrations or spaces on the spine base and if a dorsal groove was present. Spine total serrations ranged 36-261; spine serrations of males were usually more numerous than of females, sometimes twice as many. Total serration count seemed to be associated with stingray habitat and behavior, those of active open water rays have high counts, while benthic or freshwater ray species have low counts. Disk width, spine length, prebase length and presence of a dorsal groove is not correlated with size or type of stingray. Likewise the number of spine serrations does not increase in more derived genera. A combination of spine characteristics does, however, define a species. These features will help scientists, paleontologists and physicians identify a species.
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## INTRODUCTION

The public is acutely aware of injuries - even death resulting from encounters with stingray tail spines. To date no one has examined stingray tail spines for species specific spine characteristics or species-spine-habitat correlations. Schwartz (2005), examined stingrays frequenting the Mediterranean Sea, noting each species could be identified by its spine characteristics, and that the number of spine serrations seemed to be habitat related: two species with high total spine serrations were open water swimmers (Dasyatis centroura, Pteroplatyrygon violacea); five species with intermediate serration counts (Dasyatis pastinaca, Himantura uarnak, Myliobatis aquila, Taeniura meyeni, Dasyatis margarita) were midwater or benthic inhabitants; and three benthic species had low serration counts (Gymnura altavela, Pteromylaeus bovinus, Taeniura grabata).

This study reports spine characteristics of 34 stingray species frequenting the eastern Atlantic ocean waters adjacent to Africa, the Mediterranean and Arabian Seas and waters from eastern Africa to the Chagos-Maldive Archipelago, noting spine shape, total length, prebase length, right and left side serration counts and features, base serrations or space features, and presence or absence of a dorsal spine groove.

The study area (Fig.1) includes the tropical ocean waters off Africa's west coast from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, the subtropical and cool temperate waters from $15^{\circ}$ to the Cape of Good Hope at $25^{\circ}$; the tropical coastal waters of East Africa from $15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to the Tropic of Capricorn,


Fig. 1. Outline map of Africa showing the major current patterns (Sources: The Times Atlas and Encyclopaedia of the Sea 1989; Lutjeharms 2007).
and the subtropical and warm temperate south to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ (Whitfield 2005).

Fish faunal distributions are influenced by ocean currents. Fig. 1 shows the prevailing currents in the study area. Two points are worth noting. The first is that


Fig. 2. Diagram of a tail spine in situ to show how total length (STL) is measured (based on Halstead, 1978).
during the northwest monsoon (February and March), the wind blows from southeast across the Indian Ocean and across the Indian sub-continent, inducing the development of the North Equatorial Current. A strong countercurrent exists south of the North Equatorial Current at this same time of year. In August and September, during the southwest monsoon, the North Equatorial Current reverses its flow from west to east (and is called the Monsoon Current), and the countercurrent seems to disappear. During this time of year the winds form a major upwelling off the Somali coast (Kindle \& Arnone 2001).

The second point is that there is no defined current in the Mozambique Channel, but that water is carried down the Channel in a series of slowly rotating eddies. The South Equatorial Current, impinges on the east coast of Madagascar at about $17^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, divides into a south flowing East Madagasar Current and a north flowing current, which flows around the north of Madagascar and contributes to the southward flowing Mozambique Eddies. The East Madagascar Current, together with the Mozambique Eddies, form part of the Agulhas Current (Lutjeharms 2007).

## METHODS

Intact tail spines of 34 preserved stingray species and one subspecies ( 313 males and 405 females) were examined at the following institutions: Natural History Museum (London), Natural History Museum (Paris), Naturhistorisches Musem (Vienna), South African Museum (Cape Town, South Africa), the South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity (formerly the J. L. B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology, Grahamstown, South Africa), Natal Sharks Board (Umhlanga Rocks, South Africa), CSIRODivision of Fisheries (Hobart, Tasmania), Australian Museum (Sydney, Australia), United States National Museum (Washington, DC), California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco, California) and Scripps Oceanographic Institute (La Jolla, California).

I follow Compagno's (2005) use of stingray common names.

Most tail spines were left attached to the tail. The skin surrounding the spine base was teased loose when necessary to reveal serrations or spaces on the spine base sides. The base demarcation point was determined by placing a thin plastic ruler parallel to the spine and pushing it forward until it met the base (Fig. 2). Total serrations (right [R] or left [L]), regardless of shape or size of tail spine, were counted from the spine tip to the base. Total spine length (STL) was the length from the spine tip to its posterior dorsal insertion on the tail. Prebase length (pb) was the STL minus the length of the spine from the tip to its base. Base length was the difference of the STL minus the pb . Secondary spines were noted if developing before or behind the primary spine. Disk width (DW) of each specimen was measured in millimeters (mm). Sex was noted as immature or adult. Species' spine serrations are presented alphabetically within a genus in the text and in Table 1.

## OBSERVATIONS

## PLESIOBATIDAE - GIANT STINGRAYS

Plesiobatis daviesi (Wallace 1967) - giant stingray. Found off southwest Africa, (Wallace 1967). A 270 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: total length averages, males 136 mm , females 81 mm ; total serrations averages, males 136, females 104; pb/STL average $68 \%$ in both sexes. Six to 15 serrations or a $2-18 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on spine base sides; no dorsal groove evident.

## DASYATIDAE - WHIPTAIL STINGRAYS

Dasyatis bennetti (Müller \& Henle 1841) - frilltailed stingray. Frequents Persian Gulf and Hormoz Straits (Fowler 1941; Garman 1913; Vossoughi \& Vosoughi 1999). A 33 mm DW species. Spine characteristics: total lengths, males 77 mm , females 86 mm ; total serrations averages, males 94, females 100; pb/TL averages, males $91 \%$, females $91 \%$. Eight serrations on spine base sides, dorsal groove $60-80 \%$ of spine length.

Dasyatis brevicaudatus (Hutton 1875) - smooth stingray. Synonyms: Dasyatis schrienei (Garrick 1954) and not D. agulhensis (Wallace 1967). South and southeast coast of South Africa from False Bay to Maputo (Wallace 1967; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno et al., 1991). A 2.1 m disk width (DW) species. A 33 mm DW species. Spine characteristics: total length averages, males 100 mm , females 149 mm (longest spine measured was of a female at 338 mm STL - see Table 1); total serrations averages, males 261, females 156 ; pb/STL averages, males $89 \%$, females $71 \%$. Five to 10 serrations on spine base sides; dorsal groove 30$80 \%$ of spine length. A unique feature was cul-de-sacs located medially between serrations (Fig. 3), a feature also possessed by the pelagic stingray Pteroplatytrygon violacea.

Dasyatis centroura (Mitchell 1815) - roughtail stingray. Occurs in the eastern Atlantic from Norway to Madeira, Mediterranean and Black Seas (Fowler 1936; Capapé 1977; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Dulcic et al. 2003; Golani \& Capapé 2004). An active midwater to benthic 2.1 m DW species. Spine characteristics: large, heavy spines, wide at base and tapering to tip; total length averages, males 143 mm , females 159 mm ; total serrations averages, males 160, females 178; pb/STL averages, males $78 \%$, females $72 \%$. Eleven to 20 serrations or $72-114 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on spine base sides; dorsal extends $60-80 \%$ of spine length; secondary spines develop below and behind primary spines.

Dasyatis c. chrysonota (Smith 1828) - blue stingray. D. chrysonata is often confused with Dasyatis pastinaca and D.c. marmorata, resolved by Cowley \& Compagno (1993). Known from Angola southeast to Cape Agulhas and northeast to St. Lucia, South Africa (Soljan 1948; Wallace 1967; Compagno et al. 1991; Cowley \& Compagno 1993; Ebert \& Cowley 2003; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004; Golani \& Capapè 2004). A 48 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: total length averages, males 36 mm , females 52 mm ; total serrations averages, males 61, females 82 ; pb/STL averages, males $73 \%$, females $71 \%$. Twelve to 17 mm spaces on spine base sides; dorsal groove $33 \%$ of spine length.

Dasyatis c. marmorata (Steindachner 1892) - blue stingray. A subspecies of D. chrysonata; found off Senegambia, West Africa, Mediterranean and eastern Atlantic from Spanish Sahara south to Congo (Maigret \& Ly 1986; Cowley \& Compagno 1993; Capapè \& Zaouali 1995; Golani \& Capapè 2004). A 27 cm DW species found in coastal benthic habitats. Spine characteristics: total length averages, males 48 mm , females 38 mm ; total serrations averages, males 65 , females 55 ; pb/STL averages $48 \%$ in both sexes. Twelve to 28 serrations or a 16 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove extends length of spine.

Dasyatis kuhlii (Müller \& Henle 1841) - bluespotted stingray. Found from southeastern Africa north to Red Sea (Fowler 1941; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno et al. 1991; Goren \& Dor 1994; Vossoughi \& Vosoughi 1999; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004). A 38 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: lengths 50 mm in both sexes; total serrations averages, males 72, females 61; pb/STL averages, males $67 \%$, females $58 \%$. Two to 13 serrations or a $1-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on base sides. Dorsal groove extends $10-50 \%$ spine length.

Dasyatis margarita (Günther, 1870) - dwarf stingray. Frequents lagoons, brackish water, mangroves and estuaries from Ivory Coast to Congo, west Africa to Angola (Fowler 1936; Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Maigret \& Ly 1986; Compagno \& Cook 1994). A 65 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 43 mm , females 45 mm ; total serrations averages, males 52 , females 64 ; pb/STL averages, males $71 \%$, females $69 \%$. A $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on the base and spine proper; no dorsal groove.

Dasyatis margaritella Compagno and Roberts 1984 - pearl stingray. Frequents marine and inshore waters, even off mouths of rivers, Guinea-Bissau to Congo and West Africa (Fowler 1936; Compagno \& Roberts 1984). A 26 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths males 57 mm , females 61 mm ; total serrations averages, males 62, females 78; STL averages, males $72 \%$, females $74 \%$. Three to 10 serrations or a 24 mm space may be present on base sides; no dorsal groove.

Dasyatis pastinaca (Linnaeus 1758) - common stingray. Some consider D. tortonese (Capapè 1977; Golani 1996) a separate species, others consider or confuse it with $D$. pastinaca (Serèt, pers. comm. 2005). Found in the northeast Atlantic to Madeira, Mediterranean and Black Seas, South Africa, Angola to KwaZulu-Natal Coast, and Red Sea (Fowler 1936, 1941; Soljan 1948; Wallace 1967; Capapé 1977; Klimaj 1978; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Cowley \& Compagno 1993, Dulcic \& Lipez 2002; Golani \& Capapé 2004). A 60 cm DW inshore species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 77 mm , females 72 mm ; total serrations averages, males 112; females 86; pb/STL averages, males $74 \%$, females $71 \%$. Eight to 18 mm spaces on base sides; dorsal groove $85 \%$ spine length.

Dasyatis rudis (Günther, 1870) - smalltooth stingray. Found off coast of Sierra Leone (Springer \& Collette 1971; Compagno \& Roberts 1984). A benthic 2 m DW stingray. Spine characteristics: length 138 mm ; total serrations 108 short and tightly appended to spine proper. Thirty-three to 35 serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $50 \%$ of spine length.

Dasyatis thetidis (Ogilby 1899) - thorntail stingray. Once described as D. agulhensis or D. lubricus (Wallace 1967). Southeastern Africa (Wallace 1967; Last \& Stevens 1984; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984). A large, inshore, heavy 180 mm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 66 mm , females 70 mm ; total serrations averages, males 83, females 88; pb/STL averages, males $64 \%$, females $65 \%$. One to 30 serrations on base sides; no dorsal groove evident.

## WHIPRAYS

Himantura bleekeri (Blyth 1860) - whiptail stingray. Smith's (1945) H. bleekeri specimen may be H. oxyrhincha. Occurs in the Arabian Gulf (Kuronuma \& Abe 1986). A 39 cm DW species found over sandy substrates. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 57 mm , females 48 mm ; total serrations, males 63 , females 49 ; pb/STL averages, males $63 \%$, females $69 \%$. Five to 18 mm spaces on base sides; no dorsal groove.

Himantura draco (Compagno \& Heemstra 1984). No common name. Compagno (2005) considered H. draco a synonym of H. jenkensii. Stehmann (1995) and Eschmeyer (1998) believed H. draco is a valid species. Known from off Durban, South Africa at 50 m (Compagno \& Heemstra 1984). DW $38-58 \mathrm{~cm}$. Spine haracteristics: tail spine long, males 53 mm , females 28 mm . Total serrations are, male right 27, left 28, female
right 27, left $25 ; \mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL} 34 \%$ for both the male and female. One to 20 serrations or one- 12 mm spaces on base sides; dorsal groove $40-75 \%$ of spine length.

Himantura fai Jordan \& Seale 1906 - pink stingray. Occurs in the Red Sea and Maldives (Capapé 1977; Randall \& Anderson 1993; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004; Randall 2005). DW 150 cm . Spine characteristics: long 184 mm tail spine; total serrations ( 1 female), 88 right, 95 left; pb/STL 70\%. No serrations on spine base; dorsal groove $95 \%$ of spine length.

Himantura gerrardi (Gray, 1851) - sharpnose stingray. Often confused with H. draco or H. jenkinsii (Wallace 1967). Found from South Africa to Red Sea and Arabian Sea (Fowler 1941; Wallace 1967; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Kuronuma \& Abe 1986; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Goren \& Dor 1994; Stehmann 1995; Randall 1995; Randall \& Lim 2000; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004). A 90 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 43 mm , females 63 mm ; total serrations averages, males 51 , females 81 ; $\mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL}$ averages, males $71 \%$, females $75 \%$. One to 11 serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $40-57 \%$ of spine length. Two forms may be encountered: tail may be banded or mottled.

Himantura granulata (Macleay 1883) - mangrove whipray. Known from Seychelles, Oman and Maldives (Randall \& Anderson 1993; Randall 2005). A 90 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 71 mm , females 63 mm ; total serrations averages, males 97 , females $79 ; \mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL}$ averages, males $71 \%$, females $68 \%$. One to four serrations or a one to four mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $60 \%$ spine length.

Himantura imbricata (Bloch \& Schneider 1811) - scaly stingray: Synonyms are Trygonoptera walga and Trygon panopeus. Found in the Red Sea and Arabian Gulf (Fowler 1941; Goren \& Dor 1994; Khalaf \& Desi 1997; Kuronuma \& Abe 1986; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Randall 1995; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004). A dwarf species with 23 cm DW. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 43 mm , females 28 mm ; total serrations averages, males 56 , females 52 ; $\mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL}$ averages, males $72 \%$, females $65 \%$. One to 13 serrations or a 1-28 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $63-72 \%$ spine length.

Himantura jenkinsii (Annandale 1909) - pointednose stingray. Often considered to be Himantura draco or H. gerrardi (Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Stehmann 1995; Randall 1995; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004). A 100 cm DW stingray. Occurs off KwaZuluNatal, southeastern Africa (Wallace 1967). Spine characteristics: average lengths 68 mm in both sexes; total serrations averages, males 73, females 72; pb/STL averages, males $72 \%$, females $73 \%$. One serration or a $1-23 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on base sides.

Himantura uarnak (Forsskål 1775) - honeycomb stingray. A systematically confused species often considered to be H. oxyrynchus, H. krempfi or even H. bleekerii (Eschmeyer 1998; Deynat \& Fermon 2001). Found in the Mediterranean, Red Sea, Arabian Sea,

Persian Gulf and along the east coast of Africa (Fowler 1941; Smith 1955; Smith \& Smith 1963; Wallace 1967; Kuronuma \& Abe 1980; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Goren \& Dor 1994; Khalaf \& Desi 1997; Capapè \& Zaouali 1995; Randall 1995; Basusta et al. 1998; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004; Golani \& Capapé 2004). A highly active 110 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 70 mm , females 84 mm ; total serrations averages, males 83 ; females 82 ; pb/STL averages, males $80 \%$, females $68 \%$. One to 22 serrations or a 1-14 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $87 \%$ of spine length. Body may be spotted or reticulate and tail may be banded or plain.

## feathertail stingrays

Pastinachus sephen (Forsskael, 1775) - cowtail stingray. Found off northeast Africa, Red Sea to Chagos Archipelago (Fowler 1941; Smith 1955, 1967; Smith \& Smith 1963; Wallace 1967; Kuronuma \& Abe 1986; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Winterbottom et al. 1989; Randall \& Anderson 1993; Goren \& Dor 1994; Compagno \& Cook 1994; Randall 1995; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004). A 180 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 157 mm , females 159 mm ; total serrations averages, males 124 , females $130 ; \mathrm{pb} /$ STL averages, males $75 \%$, females $76 \%$. Five to 21 small serrations on base sides, no dorsal groove evident.

## PELAGIC STINGRAYS

Pteroplatytrygon violacea (Bonaparte 1832) - pelagic stingray. Often confused with D. purpureus (Winterbottom et al. 1989). Found in the Mediterranean and along the western and southern African coasts (Soljan 1948; Tortonese 1976; Capapé 1977; Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Compagno et al. 1991; Basusta et al. 1998; Mollet 2002, Golani \& Capapé 2004). A broad ( 80 cm DW), open ocean stingray, dark dorsally and ventrally, anteriorly arched in profile. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 147 mm , females 128 mm ; total serrations averages, males 191 , females $161 ; \mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL}$ averages, males $66 \%$, females $78 \%$. Three to 23 serrations or a 7-9 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $25 \%$ of spine length. The even-sided spine is characterized by cul-de-sacs medially between serrations, similar to Dasyatis brevicaudatus (Fig. 3).

## RIBBONTAIL STINGRAYS

Taeniura grabata (Geoffroy St. Hilaire 1817) - round fantail stingray. Known from the Mediterranean Sea, eastern Atlantic and eastern Africa (Fowler 1936, 1941; Maigret \& Ly 1986; Biscioto \& Wirtz 1994; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Dingerkus 1995; Basusta et al. 1998; Serena et al. 1999; Golani \& Capapé 2004), erroneously from the Red Sea (Fowler 1936; Compagno \& Randall 1987). A $\sim 100 \mathrm{~cm}$ DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 50 mm , females 56 mm , tip


Fig. 3. A typical stingray spine (Pteroplatytrygon violacea).
upturned; total serrations averages, males 52 ; females 39; pb/STL averages, males $64 \%$, females $85 \%$. One to 25 mm space on base sides, extending onto spine proper; dorsal groove $90 \%$ of spine length. New spines often develop ahead of primary attachment end; spine end V-shaped.

Taeniura lymma (Forsskål 1775) - blue-spotted stingray. Western Indian Ocean (Fowler 1941; Smith 1955; Smith \& Smith 1963; Wallace 1967; Compagno \& Heemstra 1984; Goren \& Dor 1994; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Randall \& Anderson 1993; Khalaf \& Desi 1997; Basusta et al. 1998; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004). An oval-shaped 30 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths 45 mm STL in both sexes; total serrations, males 63, females $59 ; \mathrm{pb} /$ STL averages, males $80 \%$, females $68 \%$. One to four serrations or a 1-20 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $40-88 \%$ of spine length.

Taeniura meyeni (Müller \& Henle 1841) - fantail stingray. Frequents eastern Africa, Madagascar northward to Red Sea, Arabian Sea and Persian Gulf (Fowler 1941; Randall \& Anderson 1983; Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004; Randall 2005). A dangerous 180 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 151 mm , females 63 mm ; totals serrations averages, males 84 , females 71 ; pb/STL averages, males $72 \%$, females $74 \%$. One to 10 serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $80 \%$ of spine length.

## PORCUPINE RAYS

Urogymnus ukpam (Smith, 1863) - thorny freshwater ray. Often placed in Dasyatis because of tail size or lack of tail spine in some specimens (Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Compagno \& Cook 1994). Known from freshwaters of west Africa from Cameroon to Congo (Compagno \& Roberts 1984; Compagno \& Randall 1987). A large 65 cm DW species. Spine characteristics:
average lengths, males 56 mm , females 46 mm ; total serrations averages 46 in both sexes; pb/STL averages $55 \%$ in both sexes. A 10 mm space on base sides; dorsal groove $33 \%$ of spine length.

## GYMNURIDAE - BUTTERFLY RAYS

Gymnura altavela (Linneaus 1758) - spiny butterfly stingray. Found in northeast Atlantic from Norway to Madeira, Mediterranean and Black Seas (Fowler 1936; Soljan 1948; Bigelow \& Schroeder 1953; Hureau \& Monod 1973, Maigret \& Ly 1986; Dulcic et al. 2003). A $>64 \mathrm{~cm}$ DW benthic stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 23 mm , females 33 mm ; total serrations averages, males 53 , females 62 ; pb/STL averages, males $69 \%$, females $67 \%$. Two to 23 serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $40-85 \%$ spine length.

Gymnura natalensis (Gilchrist \& Thompson 1911) - diamond ray. Known from south and eastern South Africa (Wallace 1967; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004). A 182 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 67 mm , females 53 mm ; total serrations averages, males 20 , females 69 ; $\mathrm{pb} /$ STL averages $36 \%$ in both sexes. A $9-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on base sides; dorsal groove $33 \%$ of spine length.

## MYLIOBATIDAE - BONNETRAYS

Aetobatus flagellum (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) -long-headed stingray. Frequents Red Sea (Vossoughi \& Vosoughi 1999; Randall \& Lim 2000: Bonfil \& Adullah 2004). A 62 cm DW stingray. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 35 mm , females 40 mm ; total serrations averages 57 in both sexes; $\mathrm{pb} / \mathrm{STL}$ average $66 \%$ in both sexes. One to four serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $60 \%$ spine length.

Aetobatis narinari (Euphreson 1790) - spotted eagle ray. Widely distributed along west and east African coasts, in Red Sea and Arabia Gulf (Fowler 1925,

1936, 1941; Smith 1955; Smith \& Smith 1963; Wallace 1967; Klimaj 1978; Kuronuma \& Abe 1986; Compagno \& Randall 1987; Goren \& Dor 1994; Stehmann 1995; Randall 1995; Kahlaf \& Desi 1997; Bonfil \& Abdullah 2004; Randall 2005). A 330 cm DW active, open water species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 60 mm , females 78 mm ; total serrations averages, males 102 , females $114 ; \mathrm{pb} /$ STL averages, males $76 \%$, females $75 \%$. Ten serrations on base sides; no dorsal groove evident. Usually spotted dorsally, yet Last and Stevens (1984:448) depict an individual spotted on the rear two-thirds of the dorsum. The same is true for three specimens at Vienna, while one specimen at Hobart (CSIRO) is spotless. May possess up to eight tail spines (Gudger 1914).

## EAGLE RAY

Myliobatis aquila (Linnaeus 1758) - cowtail stingray. Often described as Himantura cervis. Found in the Mediterranean, south and east African coasts and Arabian Sea (Fowler 1936, 1941; Soljan 1948; Wallace 1967; Klimaj 1978; Compagno et al. 1991; Lens \& Marin 1993; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004). A 83 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 60 mm , females 45 mm ; total serrations averages, males 72 , females 56 ; pb/STL averages, males $70 \%$, females $65 \%$. Two to 23 mm spaces on base sides and onto spine proper; dorsal groove $70 \%$ spine length.

## BULL RAY

Pteromylaeus bovinus (Geoffroy St. Hilaire, 1817) - bullray. Frequents Mediterranean, western Africa to Namibia and South Africa to Mozambique (Fowler 1936, 1941; Wallace 1967; Maigret \& Ly 1986; Garman 1913; Heemstra \& Heemstra 2004). A 1.1 m DW stingray. Characteristics are: spine lengths are males 32 mm STL, females 61 mm STL. Serrations total: males 30, females 69 . pb/STL's average: males $56 \%$, females $67 \%$. One to seven serrations or a $3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ space on base sides. Dorsal groove $30 \%$ of spine length.

## COWNOSE RAYS

Rhinoptera javanica (Müller \& Henle 1841) Javanese cownose ray. Synonyms include R. peli Bleeker 1863, R. jayakari (Boulenger 1895) and R. sewelli Misra 1947 (type specimens of last two species are now at the NC State Museum, Raleigh, NC; Schwartz 1990). A midwater to benthic inhabitant from equatorial Africa, southeast Africa, Gulf of Oman and Arabia (Fowler 1934; Smith \& Smith 1963; Wallace 1967; Kuronuma \& Abe 1986; Schwartz 1990; Vossoughi \& Vosoughi 1999). A 195 cm DW species. Spine characteristics: average lengths, males 56 mm , females 109 mm ; total serrations averages, males 36 , females 72 ; pb/STL averages, males $69 \%$, females $64 \%$. Two to 10 serrations on spine sides, dorsal groove $40 \%$ of spine length.

Rhinoptera marginata (Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire 1817) - Lusitanian cownose ray. Synonyms R. peli Cadenat 1960; Quero et al. 1990 and R. bonasus Séret 1990. Found
in the Mediterranean and estuaries of northwest African coast (McEachran \& Capapé 1984-1986; Ruitart 1998; Schwartz 1990). A 2 m DW pelagic-benthic species. Spine characteristics: average lengths (females) 25 mm ; total serrations average, for females 49; pb/STL average $64 \%$. Spine flat with $3-17$ serrations on base sides; dorsal groove $20-50 \%$ spine length.

## DISCUSSION

Tail spine characteristics are described based for 34 preserved species, one subspecies, and 718 specimens ( 313 males, 405 females) that possessed tail spines. Spine serration differences existed in relation between a species and the habitat it frequented: species with a total spine serration count of $>100$ were ocean or pelagic inhabitants (e.g. Dasyatis centroura and Pteroplatytrygon violacea); species with $70-100$ total spine serration were midwater swimmers (e.g. Dasyatis pastinaca, Himantura uarnak and Myliobatis aquila); those with $50-70$ serrations totals were benthic inhabitants (e.g. Dasyatis chrysonota, Gymnura altavela, Taeniura grabata, Pteromylaeus bovinus), and those with counts of $<50$ were usually freshwater inhabitants (e.g. Urogymnus ukpam) (Table 1). The spines of Dasyatis brevicaudata and Pteroplatytrygon violacea are distinct, possessing cul-desacs medially between serrations (Fig. 3).

No primitive to advanced species-spine serration relationship (as in Dasyatis, Himantura, Gymnura, Myliobatis, Rhinoptera and Mobula (Compagno 2005) was evident. Likewise, Plesiobatis daviesi, considered a primitive species (Compagno 2005), has tail spines with 120 serrations while the spine serrations of 11 species of dasyatids range from 58 to 209, for D. marginata and D. bennetti respectively, and spine serrations of 7 species of Himanturids varied from 54 to183, for H. imbricata and $H$. fai, respectively. Counts for the other species are summarised in Table 1.

Comparing tail spine serrations characteristics of 11 stingray species reported by Schwartz (2005) with this study, three species' spine serrations totals were the same (D. centroura, G. altavela and U. upkam). Of the three, $U$. upkam enters freshwaters of West Africa. Total tail spine serrations for $H$. bovinus were lower off Africa than in the Mediterranean Sea (36, this study 44), while serration totals of the remaining seven spines were greater off Africa-Arabia than in the Mediterranean Sea (Schwartz 2005, Table 1).

Twelve other species, also found in AfricanArabian waters, do not possess tail spines: Aetomylaeus nichofii, A. milvus, A. maculatus, A. vespertilio, Gymnura micrura, Mobula rochebrunei, Mobula topacana, Mobula thurstoni, Mobula kuhlii,Urogymnus asperrimus, Mobula ergoodootenkee and Manta birostris. They represented members of advanced stingray families that exhibited a gamut of swimming and habitat usages, suggesting that advanced stingrays are tending to lose tail spines with evolution.

Spines of specimens of Hexatrygon bickelli and Dasyatis garouaensis (the latter an inhabitant of the Niger and Cameroon Rivers, West Africa) were broken and thus not counted. Mobula mobular, Gymnura poecilura and Aetoplatea tentaculata which possess tail spines, were not seen. However, examination of the spines of other Hexatrygon "species" specimens in Taiwan, Japan and Tasmania (designated species " I " or " H " at the time), revealed that there seem to be two species involved. Specimens labelled " H " have high serration counts ( 84 or more) and smaller spaced on the base sides, while "I" specimens have lower counts (64-75) and large spaces on base the sides.

## CONCLUSIONS

Much taxonomic confusion in general still persists regarding the stingrays inhabiting African-Arabian waters. For example, Himantura uarnak is a confusing species complex that Deynat and Fermon (2001) resurrected as H. oxyrhyncha, a species found in Thailand, Sumatra and Borneo, from its synonymy and H. krempfi, a freshwater species from Thailand, Cambodia, Indonesia and Mekong, as a junior synonym. Compagno (2005) listed H. oxyrhynchus as a full species. An H. oxyrhyncha specimen in Paris lacked a spine and three of five $H$. krempfi specimens possessed tail spines, their characteristics were: spine length 32 , 58 and 60 mm STL; serrations numbered 33,44 and 52 respectively; 4 serrations or a 20 mm space occurred on base sides, and no dorsal groove was evident.

While stingrays are large, bulky and feared because of their venomous tail spines that may cause injury or death, stingray spine characteristics are distinct within a species and should not be overlooked when
describing a species. Their inclusion in a species diagnosis adds another parameter that helps physicians, paleontologists and geologists with species identity and fossil relationships.
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Table 1. Characteristics of 313 male $(M)$ and 405 female $(F)$ tail spines of 50 species of stingrays frequenting African-Arabian to Chagos-Maldive Archipelago waters.

| Species $\&$ Distribution | No. $\&$ sex | Disc width (mm) | L Number of serrations $\mathbf{R}$ |  | Total | STL (mm) | pb/STL \% | B/S | D. groove \% STL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean (Range) | Mean (Range) | Mean | Mean (Range) | Mean (Range) |  |  |
| Plesiobatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plesiobatis daviesi SA | $\begin{aligned} & 3 M \\ & 2 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 711-802 \\ & 340-341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 43(41-44) \end{aligned}$ | $65$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \text { (63-171) } \\ & 81(63-93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68(64-72) \\ & 68(69-78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6-15 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 2-18 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Dasyatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis bennetti A. I | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{M} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146-288 \\ & 171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52(42-84) \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42(40-85) \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77(60-88) \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | 91 (80-95) | 8 B | 60-80 |
| Dasyatic brevicaudata SA | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 14 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 139-384 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 76(28-135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \\ & 80(29-180) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261 \\ & 156 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 149(34-338) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 71 \text { (58-85) } \end{aligned}$ | 5-10 B | 30-80 |
| Dasyatis centrura M | 27M | 123-203 | 78 (17-129) | 82 (20-116) | 160 | 143 (30-182) | 78 (58-98) | $\begin{aligned} & 20-116 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 72-114 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 60-80 |
| Dasyatis chrysonota $S W-S A$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 M \\ & 6 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 82-290 \\ 191-240 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30(24-37) \\ & 39(34-40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31(22-43) \\ & 43(31-56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36(34-35) \\ & 52(38-57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \text { (66-82) } \\ & 71(63-77) \end{aligned}$ | 12-17 S | 33 |
| Dasyatis c. marmorata M, NW | $\begin{aligned} & 5 M \\ & 6 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 279 \\ & 315-330 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32(31-40) \\ 24(23-26) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33(23-43) \\ & 31(27-33) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48(34-58) \\ & 48(38-51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33(30-40) \\ & 45(38-51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12-28 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 16 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| Dasyatis kuhlii SA, RS | $\begin{aligned} & 47 M \\ & 43 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190-280 \\ & 160-310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 32(12-40) \\ 32(15-67) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40(17-67) \\ & 29(18-67) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50(32-82) \\ & 50(21-37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67(32-90) \\ & 58(51-91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-13 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-25 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 10-50 |
| Dasyatis margarita NE, NW | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{M} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135-267 \\ & 150-222 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26(14-49) \\ & 33(25-48) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26(19-48) \\ & 31(25-47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43(15-50) \\ & 45(30-66) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71(48-92) \\ & 69(68-70) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10-66 S |  |
| Dasyatis margaritella <br> NW, A | $\begin{aligned} & 2 M \\ & 1 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 193-592 \\ & 186 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30(15-43) \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32(18-40) \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57(53-63) \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72(70-74) \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3-10 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-24 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Dasyatis pastinaca M, SA, SE, RS | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \mathrm{M} \\ & 12 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169-443 \\ & 190-598 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 59(30-70) \\ 47(24-71) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53(32-67) \\ & 39(16-101) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 86 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77(47-119) \\ & 72(36-109) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74(70-76) \\ & 71(63-80) \end{aligned}$ | $8-25$ S | 85 |
| Dasyatis rudis NW | 1F | ~2000 | 54 | 54 | 108 | 138 | 55 | 33-35 B | 50 |
| Dasyatis thetidis SE | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{M} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350-484 \\ & 347-801 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43(38-47) \\ & 43(40-44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66(55-77) \\ & 70(42-91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64(61-95) \\ & 65(61-76) \end{aligned}$ | $1-30 \mathrm{~B}$ |  |
| Himantura bleekeri <br> A | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 185 \\ & 165-178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 32 \\ 25(22-28) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 24(20-30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 48(42-73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 69 \text { (67-69) } \end{aligned}$ | 5-18S |  |
| Himantura draco <br> EA, MA, MO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 1 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 386 \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-20 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-12 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 40-75 |
| Himantura fai MA, RS, I | 1F | 950 | 88 | 95 | 183 | 184 | 70 |  | 95 |
| Himantura gerrardi SA, RS, I | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{M} \\ & 38 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 230-470 \\ 147-515 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28(24-45) \\ & 41(18-74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23(17-41) \\ & 40(16-79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43(40-50) \\ & 63(11-107) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71(56-87) \\ & 75(47-85) \end{aligned}$ | 1-11 B | 40-57 |
| Himantura granulata S | $\begin{aligned} & 5 M \\ & 7 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 280-356 \\ 234-431 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 47(40-53) \\ 39(23-52) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50(42-72) \\ & 40(22-52) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 97 \\ 79 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \mathrm{~B} \\ & 1-4 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 60 |
| Himantura imbricata RS, A. I | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \mathrm{M} \\ & 26 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127-330 \\ & 80-181 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28(20-38) \\ & 27(22-40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28(21-42) \\ & 25(15-38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43(16-64) \\ & 28(27-64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72(49-79) \\ & 65(22-93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-13 \mathrm{~B} \\ & 1-28 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 63-72 |
| Himantura jenkinsii SE, SA, A | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \mathrm{M} \\ & 21 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166-660 \\ & 435-651 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 40(27-44) \\ 38(33-61) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33(27-39) \\ & 34(26-61) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68(50-90) \\ & 68(40-105) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72(71-82) \\ & 73(65-98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-23 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 40-75 |
| Himantura uarnak <br> M, SA, RS, A, EA | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \mathrm{M} \\ & 28 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 216-419 \\ 241-720 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42(23-100) \\ & 43(17-119) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41(28-97) \\ & 39(16-119) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70(40-120) \\ & 84(33-116) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80(76-94) \\ & 68(54-74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-22 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-14 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 87 |
| Pastinaca sephen <br> NE, M, SA, EA, RS, A, I | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{M} \\ & 28 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180-404 \\ & 189-507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62(51-147) \\ & 68(50-238) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62(37-68) \\ & 62(37-69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157(49-180) \\ & 159(62-300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75(66-93) \\ & 75(66-86) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5-21 B |  |
| Pteroplatytrygon violacea M, SW | 15M | 460-540 | 94 (53-139) | 97 (53-120) | 191 | 147 (111-173) | 66 (64-85) | 4-23 B | 25 |
| Taeniura grabata M, NE | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 276-345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 18 \text { (14-22) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 21 \text { (18-23) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 52 \\ 39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 50 \\ 55(41-84) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | 1-25 S |  |
| Taeniura lymna <br> SA, SE, RS, A, MA | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \mathrm{M} \\ & 14 \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124-406 \\ & 110-308 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 31(20-42) \\ 33(33-44) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \text { (18-62) } \\ & 26(6-44) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 63 \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 45(30-66) \\ 45(24-78) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80(76-99) \\ & 68(54-71) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-4 \mathrm{~B} \text { or } \\ & 1-20 \mathrm{~S} \end{aligned}$ | 40-88 |

Table 1 cont.

| Species 8 Distribution | No. \& sex | Dise width (mm) | L Number of serrations R |  | Total | STL (mm) | pb/STL \% | B/S | D. groove \% STL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean (Range) | Mean (Range) | Mean | Mean (Range) | Mean (Range) |  |  |
| Taeniura meyeri EA, RS, A | $\begin{aligned} & 6 M \\ & 7 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330-365 \\ & 271-302 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44(21-98) \\ & 36(14-49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40(28-80) \\ & 35(17-55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151(45-230) \\ & 63(48-87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72(61-88) \\ & 74(70-82) \end{aligned}$ | 1-14 B | 60 |
| Urogymnus ukpam SW | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{M} \\ & 3 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266-360 \\ & 361-650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30(25-38) \\ & 29(25-38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21(19-30) \\ & 22(11-43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56(40-60) \\ & 46(40-61) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 10 S |  |
| GYmnuridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gymnura altivela M | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \mathrm{M} \\ & 44 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83-782 \\ & 100-179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25(10-110) \\ & 30(10-49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28(14-42) \\ & 32(10-49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23(21-33) \\ & 33(26-53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69(60-88) \\ & 67(43-85) \end{aligned}$ | 2-13 B | 40-85 |
| Gymnura natalensis SW, SE | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 460 \\ & 1200-1478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 33(20-43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 36(20-86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 53(30-85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 36(30-82) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 9-13 S | 33 |
| Mylobatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aeticbatis flagellum EA, RS | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 2 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28(18-37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 40(28-42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | 1-4B | 60 |
| Aetiobatis narinan SW, EA, RS, A, I | $\begin{aligned} & 5 M \\ & 22 F \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 461-632 \\ & 230-482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52(28-78) \\ & 62(11-87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50(28-71) \\ & 52(24-87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102 \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60(38-95) \\ & 78(31-91) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76(58-92) \\ & 75(35-96) \end{aligned}$ | 10 B | 50 |
| Myliobatis aquila <br> M, SA, SE, A, NW | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \mathrm{M} \\ & 17 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 382-498 \\ & 218-406 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38(25-60) \\ & 28(10-51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34(23-65) \\ & 28(10-51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60(45-83) \\ & 45(15-80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70(58-90) \\ & 65(47-81) \end{aligned}$ | 2-23 S | 70 |
| Pteromylaeus bovinus <br> M, SW, SA, Ma | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 4 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 452-536 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 38(37-63) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 31(23-55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 61(31-88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 67(48-71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1-7 \text { B or } \\ & 3-7 S \end{aligned}$ | 30 |
| Rhinopteridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhinoptera javanica NE, SW, A | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{M} \\ & 3 \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 870-1022 \\ & 676-932 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24(10-38) \\ & 38(30-42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12(10-14) \\ & 34(30-38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56(25-60) \\ & 109(105-120) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69(68-70) \\ & 64(62-65) \end{aligned}$ | 2-10 B | 40 |
| Rhinoptera marginata M, NW | 4F | 378-468 | 19 (15-21) | 30 (19-43) | 49 | 25 | 64 (53-76) | 3-17 B | 20-50 |

Abbreviations: B - no of serrations on spine base; S - space on base (mm). Geographic designations: M

- Mediterranean Sea; NW - northwest Africa; NEC - northwest-central Africa; SW - western and southwestern Africa; SA - South Africa; EA - eastern and southeastern coasts of Africa; S - Seychelles; RS - Red Sea; A -- Arabian Sea; Ma-Maldives; I - waters between east Africa and the Chagos-Maldive Archipelago.


## MATERIAL EXAMINED

Institutional abbreviations follow Leviton et al. 1985.
Plesiobatis daviesi: AMS 4127, CAS 3350.1, 1104127.1, CSIRO 4107.1, ORI B865

Dasyatis bennetti: MCZ 40418, USNM 13785, 193662, 19781, 21618.

Dasyatis brevicaudata: ANSP 167402, 16704, 167405, 167409, CAS 26470, 27449, MCZ 23860, SI 0457, 804861.001, 35710.00, I 35900.02 , IB 7911, BRI 805, CSIRO 1B07911

Dasyatis centroura: MCZ 562, 203759, NMW 50202, 77995a, 88086, 32 uncatalogued.

Dasyatis chrysonata: CAS C314, 117, 1334, 41536, 1 uncatalogued, HUJ 13768.12450, RUS 131823.

Dasyatis marmorata: NMW 82939, 89680.
Dasyatis kuhli: AMS 1B122, ANSP 121539, 127372, 131644, 15851, 171543, 171544, 171545, 171546, 171641, 25843, CAS 104, 270, 278, 280, 310, 21664, 29993, 3237, 60773(3), 61832, 68134, 68137, 68144, 60-252, 60-373, CSIRO 197671, I 11131, 21038.09, H4122.3, H4961, 4926(2), MCZ127372, 22154, 22546, MTUF 2070, NMW 50218, 86966, 90201,94588, SI 1131, 118180, 19767, 21844,

504, S1982.00, I 1653, 10123, 14878, I1652, 3482.001, 21833.013, USNM 206144, 222533, 222545, 222546.

Dasyatis margarita: MNHN 2605(2), 3826, 7954(4), NMW 6241, 50241.

Dasyatis margaritella: MCZ 459, 222589, 222592, NMW 3837, 22407, 50200, 50203, 61326, 68326, 78007, 87250, 87273, USNM 004595, 193622, 222589, 222592.

Dasyatis pastinaca: ANSP 29998, 350984, CAS 9865, 110560, 12110, 12830, 12845, 19880, NMW 77972, 78931, 79498, 83082, 86608.

Dasyatis rudis: USNM 202730.
Dasyatis thetidis: CAS 40960, 67575, SI 3047.001, B 6518, H3228.2.

Himantura bleekeri: USNM 222606, 222610, 222624(6).

Himantura draco: RUIS 1996(2), ZMMU -P 19174.
Himantura fai: USNM 051712.
Himantura gerrardi: ANSP 172801,BPBM 33201, 33202, 33199, CAS 141, 590, 680, 808, 2437, 2460, 2568, 7888, 20960, 41048, 52984, 63045, 63085, 63086, 63097, $68132,68138,68142,68144,68145,68152,68195,75869$, 12772, 60-28, 60-129, 60-205, 60-326, 60-400, LACM $38130.47,38113.48$, NMW2003.0025, 61774.

Himantura granulata: CAS 3097, 41048, 63006,

68144, 68145, 68149, 68152,75869, LACM 8311,38130.47, NMW 2003.0025, 60774.

Himantura imbricata: ANSP 03108, BPBM 33199, CAS 224(3), 1347, 2223, 2224, 4658, 27435, 48660, 34295, 41675, 41677, 41678, 41680, 41681, 41682, 41683, 41684, CSIRO 20762.001, I 2867, IB 457, MCZ 223, 224(3), C 123(22), SAM 41635, USNM 222529, 222552, 222561, 222564.

Himantura jenkensii: CAS 2645, 7855(2), 68131, 68133, 68135, 68136, 68142, 68148.

Himantura uarnak: ANSP 25842, 25843, CAS 1060, 2433, 2525, 8807, 63093, 63095, 68111, 68144, 68150, 68151, MCZ 201806, MTUF 25070, NMW 1897, 8972, 22453, 22459, 37325, 60176, 60773, 60776, 65715, 66799, $78972,81604,86650,86659,87125,87352,87329 a, 87052 a$, 87852, USNM 119225, 148100, 201806, 206132, 207045, 220153, 232879, 33700.

Pastinaca sephen: ANSP103715(3), CAS6155,65240, 68155, CSIRO 2213.11, H4116.04, 4213.02, 114172.4, MTUF 25108, 26701, 26711, 26712, 26899, 26902, NMW 0711, 2017, 2021, 60759, SI 1908, USNM 147420, 205138, TUFIL 26701, 26711.

Ptryoplatytrygon violacea: MTUF 3425, 106471, 11056, 67675, 74436, MZUP 49011, NMW 42672, 88604, 191234, S10, 29-308, 33-35, 58-35, 54-38, 54-93, 63-120, 73-418, 79-51, 76-21, 79-59, 79-275, 79-283(3), 79-219, 79295, 79-320, 79-309, NMW H2672, 88604, 191234, USNM 222566, 2.22646.

Taeniura grabata: BPBM 9204, 9205, 9206, HVJ8359, 12418.

Taeniura lymna: CAS 60-160, HV224, MCZ 1251, 40480, NMW 2645, 8791, 50223, 78008, 78020, 78189, 87178, 87189, 87188, 3 uncatalogued, S10, 4568, SI 14564, I 5067.03, 13832, 107687, 197785, USNM 40436, 49326, 222621, 226314, 262618.

Taeniura meyeni: CAS 63103, CSIRO I 17314.001, NMW 77976, 89471, SI 17364.000.

Urogymnus upkam: CAS 42761, USNM 219780.
Gymnura altavela: NMW 2008, 2009, 50231, MZUP 9721, 9914, 9918, 10380, USNM 20261, 20762, 70 uncatalogued.

Gymnura natalensis: CAS 14025, 1 uncatalogued, LJVC 682023, 824023, SAM 25019, 33293, SAS 25017.

Aetobatis flagellum: USNM 206131, 22684, 256190.
Aeotbatis narinari: ANSP 71284, 81715, 10224, 179204, CAS 11675, 62395, 63105, 63111, 68154, 71650, 113807, LJVC 87114, MTUF 4343, 110569, 167675, B9166, 26700616, NMW 6073, 10755, 66725, 87161, 87246, 82829, 88207, 88556, 89551, USNM 63175, $205141,222689$.

Myliobatis aquila: MCZ 150-S, 828, 89927, NMW 3857, 6653, 8531, 50236, 50237, 60780, 81159, 87165, 87195, 87366, 87844, 886605, RUSI 04804, 10423, 19823, 444352, SAM 12842, 26452, 26528, 33294, 32575, 333453, 34392, USM 030, 202823, $203457,22577$.

Pteromylaeus bovinus: NMW 89825, USNM 202709, 202763, 222709, 232329.

Rhinoptera javanica: China 34916, MCZ316, NCSM 2 uncatalogued types, ORI 0347, B646, SCB 98006.

Rhinoptera marginata: MNHN 2605(2), 3824,

7954(4), NMW 50241, 56241, 59055.
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