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## PREFACE.

It is the purpose of this work to present, in a systematic manner, all the most important facts relating to the economical production of sugar, syrup, and fodder from sorghum. The attempt has been made to separate that which is demonstrable from the vast accumulation of statements, true and fanciful, which have been given publicity since the first introduction of sorghum into the United States.

While chemist of the United States ${ }^{\circ}$ Department of Agriculture, in the years 1878 to 1882 inclusive, the writer enjoyed exceptional advantages for the scientific study of different varieties of sorghum during all stages of development. The large amount of analytical work then accomplished, together with numerous laboratory experiments in various directions, have served to demonstrate many important questions previously unsolved or in dispute, and have led the way for larger practical experiments. All the material thus accumulated has been condensed and classified in this volume, and it is hoped that it has been so presented as to render it serviceable and comprehensible alike to farmers, sugar makers, and chemists.

In like manner, the actual working results of numerous practical experiments in the production of sugar from sorghum have been given in detail, together with illustrations and descriptions of all necessary apparatus. Credit has been given to other investigators, and, so far as is known to the author, all important results obtained by others have been included in this work.

There is no longer any reason to doubt that, when mature,
most varieties of sorghum contain an amount of crystallizable sugar sufficient to yield a very substantial profit to those who employ proper manufacturing methods. As in all new and great industries, there are still many unsolved questions relating to the perfection and cheapening of working processes. It must not be expected that all beginners will be successful, for the neglect of necessary precautions is very likely to be followed by failure ; but, with proper conditions and attention to the rules for practice here laid down, it is believed that the successes will greatly outnumber the failures.

There was a time in the carlier years of the present century, when sugar seems to have been considered a luxury, chiefly to be enjoyed by the wealthy, and when the average annual supply fell short of ten pounds per capita. Now, sugar may safely be classed among the staple articles of food which we term necessities, and the average consumption is about forty pounds per year for each person in the United States.

The sum annually paid to foreign nations for this great amount of sugar exceeds one hundred million dollars, and the first cost is further augmented by the tax of nearly fifty million dollars which is levied by our government.

In the matter of supplying our own demands, this country has never been able to make much headway, our present capacity being equal only to about one-eighth of the total consumption. Because the tropical sugar-cane can only be successfully grown in a very restricted area, and also owing to the fact that early frosts endanger the crop and compel sugar makers, in our southern states, to work up the canes while immature and containing less than the maximum amount of sugar, the production of all our sugar from the cane, within our present boundaries, can not be considered probable.

In sorghum, we have a plant botanically related to the tropical sugar-cane, and resembling it in capacity for the production of sugar, while it possesses the very important advantage that it is much more hardy, and, like Indian corn, to which it is also related, may be easily and successfully cultivated in nearly every state of the Union.

It is the author's belief, based upon the actual experience of four seasons' constant experimentation, that the sorghum plant is destined, sooner or later, to furnish not only all the sugar needed in this country, but also a very considerable proportion of that required by foreign nations. That these are not the unwarranted opinions of an enthusiast, will appear from the report, upon this subject, by the special committee of the National Academy of Sciences, which is here included.

It is the fortune of most investigators, who are so daring as to show, in advance, the great possibilities of some new industry, to meet ridicule, and even hostility from those who fail to comprehend the full import of the discoveries which have been announced. The writer has not been spared this infliction; but, as an offset, he has already had the satisfaction of witnessing the actual production of good sugar from sorghum on a large scale, and at moderate cost.
If, in part, as a result of his labors, the sorghum sugar industry shall ultimately be established in this country upon a sound basis, any personal inconvenience will be amply compensated by the great satisfaction attending the success of an enterprise of such consequence. It is hoped that this book may be of service to many who shall undertake the cultivation of sorghum and the manufacture of sugar, and that it may also serve to extend an industry both promising and important, as a new source of national wealth.

Washington, D. C., March, 1884.
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## CHAPTER I.

(a.) Conflicting opinions on essential points before the investigations at the Department of Agriculture.
(b.) History of the development of the Beet Sugar Industry in Europe.
(c.) Necessity for further research.
(d.) Future prospects of the Sorghum Sugar Industry.

PRELIMINARY.
In 1877, when the author was invited to take charge of the Chemical Division of the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, the Commissioner of Agriculture, General Wm. G. Le Duc, directed him to undertake the investigation of sorghum and maize as sugar producing plants, since the results, recently secured in Minnesota, from a variety of sorghum known as the "Early Amber," had again aroused the public interest, which for some years had been dormant, as to the possibility of producing our own supply of sugar. The investigation was begun during the season of 1878 , and with results so satisfactory and surprising, that it was the determination of the Department to prosecute them to the end. From each of four varieties of sorghum grown upon the grounds of the Department, there was made a large quantity of excellent sugar by processes practically identical with those used upon the sugar plantations of Cuba and Louisiana; and the quantity of sugar obtained from the crop, was fairly comparable with that obtained from the sugar-cane.

These investigations were continued by the author during the years $1879,1880,1881$, and 1882 , although without the facilities, during the last two years, to make them fully successful. The result has been, that the sorghum sugar industry, during the past three or four years, has been developed to a degree that, while it has hardly reached the proportions which its ardent advocates have anticipated, has at least confounded and silenced those who have from the first predicted failure.

It is hardly surprising, that the results obtained at the Department
of Agriculture, in the investigations of sorghum and maize, and the predictions based upon these results, should have met with much incredulity; since it is well known that, during the past thirty years, these plants have been the subject of repeated, though incomplete, investigations by many whose official position and professional standing weresuch as to entitle them to the confidence of the community.
Naturally, results which have often been at variance with their own, have met with a revision of unusual severity by other investigators; but, since the methods by which these results were obtained were the best known, a cordial acceptance of them has been given, so far as I know, without exception, among scientific men.

With another class the case has been quite different-those who, Bourbon-like, neither learn nor forget. From them there has been, from first to last, only ungenerous criticism or actual hinderance; which has been so unjustifiable and so marked as to prompt one of our leading papers editorially to declare, of one of this class, that " his entire course in the matter has been unreasonable, obstructive, and, apparently, malevolent." One of the most effective and frequent methods of this class in belittleing the work at the Department of Agriculture, and opposing the acceptance of the important results obtained by means of these investigations, has been to assert that, "after all, there was nothing new in all this, for it had all been done twenty years ago." Such and similar statements have, been urged to cast doubt upon this whole matter; to destroy public confidence in the truth of the results obtained; to prevent their practical acceptance by the people: and thus to prevent the realization of those practical results which have been predicted and based upon the geueral acceptance of these results. That such predictions have not been fulfilled, is the exact measure of responsibility resting upon those who have thus, from whatever motive, misled the people.

It is needless here to enter into any discussion as to whether all these facts were known and recognized twenty years ago-as to whether or not, in all this work done at the Department of Agriculture, from 1878 to 1882 inclusive, there was any thing new. It is simply a matter of fact and of record'; and it may be best, before proceeding further, to learn what was the state of our knowledge previous to these investigations.

## CONFLICTING OPINIONS ON ESSENTIAL POINTS.

The following citations are by no means an exhaustive summary; but are, probably, sufficient to show the wide differences of opinion on
nearly every important point of the subject entertained by the several authorities quoted.
A few only of the more important points of this inquiry have been selected as illustrations, and the conclusions reached are grouped under each head and chronologically arranged.

## Of the kind of Sugar present in the juice of Sorghum.

a. In a paper by D. Jay Brown (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1856, p. 310), he says:

Mr. Hervey, of France, contends that there is no uncrystallizable sugar pre-existing in the cane (sorghum), and that the formation of glucose (grape sugar), or molasses, is only owing to the action of the salts contained in the liquid during the manufacturing process.
b. Dr. C. T. Jackson (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture 1857, p. 187),says:
There is no doubt that this plant (sorghum), when unripe, contains only grape sugar.
c. Dr. J. Lawrence Smith, in a paper detailing the results of his investigations of sorghum (Aunual Report, Department of Agriculture 1857, p. 192), says:
This result settles the question that the great bulk of the sugar contained in the sorgho is crystallizable, or cane sugar proper.

And again, giving his final conclusions, he says:

1. The sorgho contains about 10 per cent of crystallizable sugar.
2. The sugar can be obtained by processes analogous to those emplosed for extracting sugar from other plants.
In an article entitled "Contributions to the knowledge of the nature of the Chinese sugar-cane" (Transactions Nerr York State Agricultural Society, 1861, p. 785), by Dr. C. A. Goessmann, he says, p. 789:
The facts so far obtained prove, that, besides cane sugar, no other kind of sugar exists in the juice of the ripe and sound sorghum-cane.

Again, in describing the general properties of the sorghum-cane juice, he says, p. 798:
I have already mentioned, that the results which I obtained entitled me to believe that cane sugar is the only kind of sugar that exists in that juice.
And on page 808 , he says, of results in extracting sugar from sorghum :
These results are very encouraging, as they show that more than half the sugar, or 5 per cent out of 9 to $9 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent, in the juice can be sepatrated. When Achard established the first beet sugar manufactory in Silesia, he was able to separate only from 3 to 4 per cent oî sugar, although $10 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent was present; and the French manufactories were quite contented, when they succeeded in extracting from 4 to 5 per cent of sugar. The history of the de-
velopment of the manufacture of beet sugar may be studied with great advantage by those interested in the sorghum.
d. Dr. Thomas Antisell, chemist, Department of Agriculture (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1866, p. 48), says:

The sorghum, while it contains some cane sugar in its early juice, loses it as it advances in life; and, in all cases, by the usual methods of defecation and classification, its existing sugar is almost wholly converted into uncrystallizable sugar.

Again, Dr. Antisell says (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1867, p. 33 ):

The attempt to separate and crystallize the cane sugar of sorghum on a large scale, has been wholly unsuccessful, and, as a sacchariferous plant, it is only valuable for molasses.
$e$. Dr. C. A. Goessmann, chemist of Massachusetts State Agricultural College, under date January 25th, 1881, says:

The sorghum juice furnishes, when properly treated, a good syrup; yet it is of little importance for the production of sugar.
f. President Stockbridge, of the Massachusetts Agricultural College, in his Annual Report, December, 1881, p. 19, says:

The experiments with sorghum, as a sugar producing plant, forever settled the fact that no known variety of it can be profitably employed for the purpose, unless chemical science can discover a law by which glucose can be changed for cane sugar.

## The Best varieties of Sorghum for the production of Sugar.

In the Sorgho Journal for February, 1869, p. 9, the editor, William Clough, says:
The Oomseeana is altogether the best, Neeazana is next, for making sugar. It is not worth while to try to make sugar of any other variety which we now possess.

Again, p. 26, he says:
It [the Oomseeana] is the only cane upon which the operation for sugar can be conducted with any certainty.

Again, he says:
Spend no time in attempting to make sugar from any but the Oomseeana or Neeazana varieties.

Again, same page, he says:
Its syrup does not tend to granulate.
Time for harvesting and working the Sorghum, and when the maximum of Sugar is present in the juice.
a. In the Annual Iieport, Department of Agriculture, 1854, p. 222, M. Vilmorin, of Paris, is quoted as concluding that

The proportion of sugar in the stalks continued to increase until the seeds were in the milky state. * * The ripeness of the seeds does not appear mnch to lessen the production of sugar, at least in the climate near Paris; but in other conntries, where it matures when the weather is still warm, the effect may be different.
b. J. H. Hammond, Silverton, S. C. (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1855, p. 282), found, by his experiment (he records one only) with sorghum, taken before the seed was in the milk, when it was in the milk, and when it was mature, that

The joungest canes had rather the most, and the oldest rather the least, saccharine matter. * * * Beginning to cut the cane as soon as the head is fully developed, it may be secured for a month before it will all ripen: how long after that, I do not know.
c. Dr. C. T. Jackson (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1856, p. 307 ) found that

The juice from stalks with quite ripe seeds was by far the sweetest, while the green ones, which were just in flower, contained but very little saccharine matter.
Upon page 312, Louis Vilmorin is quoted as saying:
The crystallization of the sugar of the sorgho, it seems, should be easily obtained in all cases where the cane can be sufficiently ripened; and, as the proportion of the sugar is an unfailing index of ripeness, it follows, that we could always be sure of obtaining a good crystallization of juices, the density of which exceeds 1.075 , while weaker ones could not yield satisfactory results after concentration.
Again, he says, same page:
This difficulty [of purging, through presence of gum] only presents itself in the employment of unripe canes; for, as soon as the juices attain the density of 1.080 and more, they contain little else than crystallizable sugar, and their treatment presents no difficulty.
d. Dr. C. T. Jackson, in his report (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1857, p. 187), says:

A ripe plant yielded a juice of 1.062 sp . gr., which yielded 16.6 per cent of thick syrup, which crystallized almost wholly into cane sugar, the whole mass becoming solid with crystals.

And he concludes:
From these researches, I am fully satisfied that both the Chinese and the African varieties of sorghum will produce sugar of the cane type, perfectly and abundantly, whenever the canes will ripen their seeds.

Again, he says:
The unripe canes can be employed for making molasses and alcohol, but, as before stated, will not yield true cane sugar.
e. The committee of the United States Agricultural Society, ap-
pointed to investigate the subject of sorghum, in their report (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1857), say :

Where the plant was well matured, the juice yielded from 13 to 16 per cent of dry saccharine matter, from 9 to 11 per cent of which was well-defined crystallized cane sugar. $\% * \%$ A palatable bread was made from the flour ground from the seeds. * * * Paper of various qualities has been manufactured from the fibrous parts of the stalks.
f. J. N. Smith, of Quincy, Ill. (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1862, p. 134), says:
The syrup [from sorghum] will not make sugar if the cane is cut before the seed is in the dough. $\%$ * The crop should be allowed to stand in the field as long as possible, without being in danger of frost.
g. L. Bollman, Bloomington, Iowa, upon page 147, loc. cit., says:

To me it is obvious, that the chief requisite for sugar making from the sorghum canes is their perfect maturity, and such maturity is dependent on correct cultivation and late cutting.
h. J. Stanton Gould, in a report on "Sorghum Culture," made to the New York State Agricultural Society, 1863 (Transactions New York State Agricultural Society, p. 752), says:

The seed of the cane [sorghum] continues in the dough for about a week. It is the general impression that the cane should be cut during this period, as it is then supposed to have the greatest amount of saccharine matter ; at least, this is thought to be true of all the varieties except the white imphee, which is usually cut just as it is going out of the milk, or just entering the dough.
i. William Clough, editor Sorgho Journal, Cincinnati, Ohio (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1864, p. 59), says :

The precise period most appropriate for harvesting the cane, is when the saccharine properties are fully developed, and before any supplementary action sets in. This will be found to be at the time when the seed at the middle of the panicle is just beginning to harden, or to pass from the fluid or milky state.

Again, he says (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1865, p. 312):

Until recently, the opinion has prevailed, that cane for making sugar should be thoroughly ripe ; that it could not remain standing in the field too long, provided it escaped the frost: but, lately, this notion has been somewhat modified. * \% \% Something like a case for early or premature harvesting has been made out. The matter can not, however, be considered as definitely settled, until the results of the season of 1866 shall have been determined. After the next year, it will be fully understood. The precise stage of maturity most favorable for the production of crystallizable sugar, according to the new theory, is just after the seeds are formed, and before they begin to harden.
j. Prof. Henry Erni, chemist, Department of Agriculture, 1865, p. 48, says :

Contrary to my expectations, I found that the expressed sorgho juice of ripe cane, whether neutralized by lime or not, refused to crystallize; for what solidified or granulated after long standing of the syrup, was grape sugar.

And, in a foot-note, he says:
The juice from unripe cane readily crystallized.
k. In a pamphlet entitled "The Sorgho Manufacturer's Manual," by Jacobs Brothers, Columbus, Ohio, 1866, p. 4, it is stated that

The cane is in the best state for harvesting when part of the seed is beginning to turn black; or, in other words, when the seed is in the doughy state.
$l$. A correspondent of the Department of Agriculture (Annual Report, 1867, p. 359) says:

I take the sorghum (Otaheitana) when just fairly in bloom. In no case do I allow the seed to mature when I wish to make sugar ; but, for No. 1 syrup, I let the cane mature.
m. The Sorgho Journal, William Clough, editor, February, 1869, p. 26, speaking of Neeazana, says:

Do not mind the panicle; if the juice has a clear, sweet taste, even if the panicle is only in bloom, cut and work the cane.

Again, p. 92, under an article entitled "Immature Cane best for Sugar," it says:

The theory that cane should be harvested before fully ripe, when designed for sugar, has been further confirmed by the experience of this year. The other idea, that the cane should be fully ripe, was never confirmed by facts.

Page 58, it says:
The weight of evidence, just now, is in favor of cutting as the seed is passing from the milk to the dough state.

Again, p. 73 :
Cut the cane as soon as the seeds are formed. $\% * *$ Cut the cane as soon as they acquire a clear, sweet taste. This may occur, in some seasons, when the cane is in the flower; and, in other seasons, not till the seed is fully formed.
n. E. W. Skinner, of Sioux City, Iowa, says (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1873, p. 393):

The best syrup is made from cane not fully ripened.
o. In his report on "Early Amber Cane," by Dr. G. A. Goessmann, of Amherst, Mass., 1879, he says, p. 9 :

The safest way to secure the full benefit of the Early Amber cane crop, for syrup and sugar manufacture, is to begin cutting the canes when the seed is full grown, yet still soft.
p. In the Sorgho Hand-Book, published by the Blymyer Manufacturing Company, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1880, it is directed, upon p. 8:

The cane should be cut when the seed is in the dough.
$q$. In a "Report on the manufacture of sugar, syrup, and glucose, from sorghum," by Professors Weber and Scovell, of the Illinois Industrial University, 1881, p. 22, they say:
The proper time to begin cutting the cane, is when the seed is in the hardening dough.
$r$. Vilmorin, of Paris, in the Journal d'Agriculture Pratique, February 17th, 1881, p. 230 , says:

The period during the development of the plant (sorghum) when the juice is purest and richest in sugar, is that which precedes the maturity of the seed. It is at that point when the interior of the seed has the consistence of soft dough, easily crushed under the finger-nail, that the plant should be cut and pressed.

## Prompt working of the Sorghum after cutting.

a. Dr. J. Lawrence Smith, in his report (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1857, p. 192), says:

The uncrystallizable sugar forms rapidly after the cane is fully ripe and recently cut.

And again, as the result of his examinations, he says:
Hence, it is evident that no time is to be lost, after cutting, in expressing the juice.
b. D. M. Cook, Mansfield, Ohio (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1861, p. 311), says:

Let the cane fully ripen, if possible. If the cane is fully ripe, it may be worked into syrup and sugar with advantage, as fast as it is cut up; but if the juice is not perfectly matured, it should be allowed to "season" a few days (by having the cane cut up, bound in buudles, and shocked under a barn or shed for a few days).
c. In an article on "Sorghum culture and Sugar making," by I. A. Hedges (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1861, p. 297), he says:

After the canes have been topped, stripped, cut up, and tied in bundles, they may be set up in the open air, or, more preferably, under shelter, and kept for some weeks. Such keeping improves the juice, not only in flavor, but also in saccharine richness, from 1 to 3 degrees $B$. This improvement takes place upon the same principle, and from similar causes, which determine the sweetening of acid fruit after pulling, viz., the change of gum and starch into sugar.
d. J. H. Smith, Quincy, Ill. (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1862, p. 134), says:
The cane should be cut and brought to the mill and crushed on the same day; and the topping of the cane, and the stripping of the leaves from the stalks, should proceed no faster than it is cut and brougnt to the mill, if the very best results are desired, and all danger of souring is to be avoided. * * * It is much better, therefore, not to give the cane any rest, after being stripped and topped, till the juice is expressed and run into syrup. \% \% \% When the
cane is ripe, it should be immediately cut ; for, if suffered to remain, after it is ripe, in connection with the roots, a deteriorating effect upon the quality and flavor of the syrup will be the result, and, at the same time, the quantity will be greatly diminished.
e. William Clough, editor of the Sorgho Journal, says (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1865, p. 312):

It would be best to allow but little time between harvesting and working the cane, and on no account shonld it be stored and allowed to remain long in large shocks. It is almost demonstrable, that no cane sugar is developed under any circumstances after the cane is harvested. The changes that occur after the cane is cut, if any, must be in their nature depreciative, consisting in the transformation of crystallizable to uncrystallizable sugar.
$f$. The Sorgho Manufacturer's Manual, Jacobs Brothers, Columbus, Ohio, 1866, p. 4, directs that

The cane should be cut and shocked in the field, with tops on, and in this condition it may remain several months before being worked up, for the cane matures and forms more saccharine matter.
g. A correspondent (Anvual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1867, p. 359) gives his method of working :

I strip, cut, and work up the cane the same day, if possible.
h. E. W. Skinner, Sioux City, Iowa (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1873, p. 393), says:

As soon as matured, cut, pile, and cover with leaves; never allow it to stand, after inaturity, in connection with the roots.
i. The Sorgho Hand-Book (Blymyer Manufacturing Company, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1880 , p. 8) directs, that

The cane should be cut several days before grinding, as it will be more free from impurities if cured for a few days before going to the mill.
j. Professors Scovell and Weber, in their report, 1881 (Illinois Industrial University), say :

The cane (sorghum) should be worked up as soon as possible after cutting.

## The necessity of further investigation of Sorghum.

a. D. J. Brown (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1856, p. 313) says:

Let the same skill, directed by science, be applied to the making of sugar from the sorgho sucré, and we may reasonably expect the happiest results.
b. Dr. J. Lawrence Smith (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1857 p. 192) further says:

On investigating the sugar bearing capacity of the Chinese sugar-cane, the . first step required was to ascertain the true chemical constitution of the juice extracted from the plant. From various conflicting statements on the subject nothing satisfactory could be gleaned; some of the best authorities insisting
that there was not any crystallizable sugar in the juice, or but a very small portion, while others, equally as strong, held the contrary opinion.
c. Dr. J. Lawrence Smith (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1857, p. 192) further says:

It is not to be forgotten that sugar making is an art, and can not be practiced by every one with a mill and a set of kettles. \% * * What was necessary for the beet root is doubtlessly required for the sorgho, namely, a thorough study of its nature. with a process of extracting the sugar especially adapted to it.
d. J. Stanton Gould, "Report on Sorghum Culture" (Transactions New York State Agricultural Society, 1863, p. 740), says, in view of the discordant testimony concerning the sorghum question:

These conflicting opinions might easily be reconciled by a few well-directed experiments.

Again he says, same page:
After the most careful inquiry, orally and by letter, I am unable to find that any such experiments have ever been made.

Again, he says (p. 747):
These experiments are not conclusive, and the whole question needs a careful and accurate investigation.
e. Dr. J. M. Shaffer, Secretary Iowa State Agricultural Society, says (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1868, 1. 515):

The production of sugar (from sorghum) is rather the result of accident than of any well-digested system for its extraction.

From the foregoing discordant statements upon some of the more important points selected for comparison, viz. (1) the kind of sugar found in the sorghum; (2) the best variety of sorghum for the production of sugar; (3) the time for harvesting, and when the maximum of sugar is present in the juice; (4) the prompt working of the canes after cutting, etc., it is not a matter of wonder that the committee of the National Academy of Sciences, in their report on the "Sorghum Sugar Industry," should have said:

It is evident that nothing was definitely determined, even on points where work in the laboratory, and the exercise of analytical skill, were apparently sufficient to settle most doubts, aside from economic questions, relating to methods of manufacture.

Such, we find, was the condition of the "sorghum sugar question" up to a neriod immediately preceding the researches undertaken by the United States Department of Agriculture, in 1878, by their present chemist, Dr. Peter Collier.

Nor that they should have, in view of the results of these investigations, reported as to the

## Value of the research, in a material sense, to the nation.

Aside from the value of this research from a scientific stand-point-illustrating, as it does, the importance of obtaining, from an extended investigation, the facts and their mutual relations in an agronomic problem-the results obtained appear to this Committee to possess a high value, in a material sense, to the nation.

Whether the cultivation of a crop like sorghum, deriving its support largely from the atmosphere and water-since it appears to thrive best upon light soilsmay or may not reward the cultivator better than the growth of cereals, it certainly adds a new factor to agriculture, of value, not only as a sugar producing plant, but also as a food plant of no mean quality. It thrives over a very wide area; and; as we have shown, develops in the warm and temperate latitudes more than a single crop per annum, and becomes, certainly, in one of its varieties, perennial.

But the work is also of national importance in its relation to existing industries, and especially to that of the cultivation of the sugar-cane and sugar production therefrom.

In this country the sugar planter has to contend with obstacles unknown to the resident of tropical countries. A greater degree of skill and knowledge is here required for the attainment of the same result that elsewhere is reached through the normal operation of natural causes, almost without effort on the part of the planter. Such skill and knowledge can only be attained by a carefully conducted experimental inquiry, such as this investigation exhibits.

The methods developed in the course of this investigation are also applicable, with but slight modification, to the cultivation of the sugar-cane, and there can be little doubt but that the ultimate effect of such investigations will be to stimulate the Southern sugar planter to similar experıments for the ascertainment of the most favorable conditions for the prosecution of his own special industry, depending on the culture of tropical cane in subtropical climates, where it never attains its its fullest development, and is consequently subject to many adverse conditions unknown in the tropics.

As a work of national importance, calculated directly 10 benefit widely separated sections of the country, it is one that' has been wisely undertaken and encouraged by the Department of Agriculture, and is deserving of every aid that Congress may be willing to grant for its encouragement and prosecution.

The sugar planter of Louisiana and Texas may possibly discover that he has at command, in one or more of the larger varieties of sorghum, which, like the so-called "Honduras," "Mastodon," etc., attain at maturity, say in four or five months, a growth of 18 to 20 feet in height, and a weight of 2 to 5 pounds per stalk, a sugar-producing plant thoroughly adapted to his climate and soil, equal, and possibly superior, in productive capacity of cane sugar, to the "Ribbon," "Red, or "White" cane now grown there, and escaping the perils from frost which always attend the cultivation of the cane in those regions where the $s$ "nson is never long enough to parmit its full maturity.

Of the early maturing varities, like "Early Orange," it will be possible, in Southern latitudes, to make two crops of sugar and seed in one season, and
these, alternating with varieties of longer periods, may extend the sugar crop over nearly half the year.*

The late Hon. J. P. Updegraff, of Ohig, in debate, upon the floorof the House of Representatives, declared, that

The distribution of sorghum seed, by the Department of Agriculture, had brought to the agriculture of this country a greater return than the whole amount which that Department has ever cost since its foundation. Yet it is only a few years since the distribution of sorghum was ridiculed.

And the Hon. J. H. Burrows, of Missouri, in the course of the same debate, declared, that

The importation and introduction of the sorghum, or African, cane, is one among the many of the practical results of the Department of Agriculture; and, to the people of the North, fell like a blessing from a war-clouded sky.

## HISTORY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BEET SUGAR INDUSTRY IN EUROPE.

To those at all familiar with the early struggles of nearly every great industry, there is nothing surprising in the experiences which those have been compelled to undergo who have labored to develop the sorghum sugar industry. The prejudices of ignorance, the arrogance of conceit, and the malevolence of jealousy, have conspired to belittle and misrepresent, to hinder and obstruct every attempt, to ridicule, rather than encourage: such has been the reception which has been accorded to those who have taken the lead in this enterprise.

The history of the development of the beet sugar industry, presents much to encourage those who have engaged in the efforts to produce sugar from sorghum and maize; and a brief account will interest and encourage those who hope to see sorghum sugar produced in sufficient quantity to supply all our wants.

In 1747, Margraff, a member of the Berlin Academy of Sciences, succeeded in securing from the beet root crystallizable sugar; and he
*We cite, in this connection, the following letter from Col. H. B. Richards, of La Grange, Texas:
"But now let me tell you about my Orange cane. It is no longer doubtful at all but that the Orange cane will become, in this climate, perennial; and, after this year, I will only plant every two years. I have tested it now effectually for two years, and am convinced that the stubbles will stand colder weather, and more of it, than those of the Ribbon cane.
" My cane, from last year's stubbles, has larger stalks, is taller, and, in every way, ahead of the earliest seed cane at this time.

[^0]"La Grange, Fayette Countr, Texas, April 8th, 1882."
urged upon the Academy the importance of his discovery, believing that Europe would find in this root the basis of an immense industry. But his important results appear to have been forgotten, until nearly a half century later, his pupil, Achard, again took up the work of his master, aind produced from beets a considerable quantity of sugar. In 1797, he published his results; and, in 1799, presented a sample of the sugar, and submitted his method for its extraction, to the Institute of France. The interest aroused in the Institute was such that a commission was appointed by the Institute to examine the results and methods of Achard, and to repeat his experiments. This commission consisted of MM. Cels, Chaptal, Darcet, Fourcroy, Guyton, Parmentier, Tessier, Vauquelin, and Deyeux, names eminent in the anuals of science.

The report of this committee, although fully sustaining the methods and results of Achard, was without apparent result until nearly ten years after, when M. Deyeux, one of the committee, at the request of the Minister of the Interior of France, again repeated the work of Achard, and was again successful in obtaining "sugar perfectly crystallized, of great whiteness, brilliant, and sonorous-in a word, enjoying all the properties of the finest cane sugar."

About the same time, MM. Barruel and Isnard undertook experiments for the determination of the quantitative and economical aspects of this question, and found that they were able to extract one and onehalf per cent of Muscovado sugar, at a cost of thirty cents per pound, while the refined sugar cost forty cents per pound.

February 20th, 1811, the Société l'Encouragement pour l'Industrie Nationale received samples of beet sugar produced by M. Drappiez, a pharmacist of Lille, who also, in a memoir, laid before this society his methods for its extraction.
M. Drappiez obtained by his method one and three-tenths of one per cent of sugar from the beet ront, at an estimated cost of eighty cents per pound. About a month later (March 25th, 1811), Napoleon issued his first decree, now famous for the encouragement and the impulse it gave to this struggling industry. By this decree, there was appropriated one million fraucs $(\$ 200,000)$ to provide for the planting of 32,000 hectares ( 79,040 acres) in beets; "for the establishment of six experimental schools, for giving instruction in the manufacture of beet sugar, conformably to the processes of chemists;" as also for the experiments and instruction in processes for the manufacture of indigo. In addition, it was decreed, that the importation of sugar and indigo from England and her colonies should be prohibited. It is interesting to observe that, at this time, the value of the sugar and indigo imported into France
amounted to $100,000,000$ francs $(\$ 20,000,000)$, so that the amount appropriated for the encouragement of efforts to produce these two commodities amounted to one per cent of the amount paid for them to foreign countries. In 1882, the United States imported over one hundred million dollars worth of sugar and molasses, and the duties paid upon the same amounted to more than fifty million dollars additional; and the amount appropriated by Congress for the purpose of investigations on sorghum was ten thousand dollars.

It is also interesting to observe, that the six experimental schools established were "to give instruction in the manufacture of beet root sugar, conformably to the processes of chemists." This tells the whole story: it fully explains how, from such small and unpromising beginningsfrom a root inferior, in every way, to the tropical cane-from the production of sugar costing eighty cents per pound-this industry has developed to that degree, that the beet root is to-day, and for many years has been, the only rival of the sugar-cane in producing the world's supply of sugar. The acorn has become the oak. The predictions of Margraff, in 1747, are to-day accomplished facts. Europe has found, in the beet root, the basis of an immense industry. The reason for this, is found in that decree of Napoleon-than whom, whether in peace, or in war, Europe has never had his superior in the field-the decree of Napoleon, that the manufacture of beet sugar should be taught in the schools "conformably to the processes of chemists."

The beet sugar industry is one of the proudest triumphs of science. About its cradle, all the sciences have stood as foster parents; and improved methods, improved machinery, improved cultivation, improved varieties, have marked each step of its progress. But for the careful supervision of science, its present proportions were impossible: and so soon as that supervision shall be withdrawn, or shall be given in equal measure to the production of sugar from sugar-cane-or sorghum the beet sugar industry must inevitably perish; but its history has shown the value of science to the arts, and has done much to silence the sneers of the ignorant at laboratory work. As evidence of the rapid development of this new industry, we may recall that there was produced in France, from beets, in 1826, only 1,500 tons of sugar, and in 1875, 462,259 tons ; and, at the present, 38 per cent of the world's supply of sugar is obtained from beets, and 62 per cent from the sugar-cane.

## NECESSITY FOR FURTHER RESEARCH.

To those engaged in the development of the sorghum sugar industry, it is hardly necessary to say, that, great as are the strides which have been made during the past few years in our knowledge of sorghum as
a sugar producing plant, there yet remains many questions of the greatest practical value, concerning which we know very little indeed; and it is to be hoped that we have long ago ceased to speculate, when accurate and repeated experiments alone can assist us in a correct solution of these questions.

It is proposed to indicate a few of the more prominent questions connected with this industry, which are of practical importance, and await solution.

It must not be forgotten that, owing to the number of conditions which affect each experiment, but few of which we may take cognizance, that no conclusion may be safely drawn from one, or even many results ; but, at the same time, every carefully recorded observation is of value, and will serve in the final determination of the truth. Not alone in this, but in every other inquiry is it true, that hasty generalizations are the very bane of science; and yet we have seen how this unfortunate tendency has retarded the development of this industry for over a quarter of a century. From a single poorly conducted experiment, it was decided that, practically, no sugar could be made from sorghum, owing to the inversion of the sugar, which, through some mistaken method of manufacture, resulted in this one case. From the examination of a single one of the hundred varicties of sorghum, the sweeping conclusion was published, by one who should have been an authority in agricultural science, that no variety was of any value for the production of sugar. Through imperfect methods of testing, one finds the seed of sorghum a rival of tan bark in its contents of tannic acid, despite the fact that such a discovery would render sorghum unique among the cereals; another fails to find in the juice of sorghum a trace of glucose, although it is never absent; while still another bases a method for the extraction of sugar upon his discovery (?) of crystals of sugar in the fresh stalks of sorghum. Let each remember that, for every incorrect observation or result reported, there is necessitated at least ten times the labor to disprove it-and they may be less disposed, without repeated confirmation, to publish, although it is to be hoped they will not neglect to record.

Above all, let us not consider that we are bound, at the present, to profess complete knowledge concerning the entire subject; for we may feel no hesitation in confessing our ignorance, which our attempts to conceal makes too evident.

At a recent meeting of sorghum growers, the question as to the soil best adapted for the cultivation of the plant was under discussion. All must admit that is a question of extreme practical importance. After a long discussion, with the presentation of as many views as
there were speakers, it was at last settled, by the passage of a resolution, that such and such and such a soil was the best adapted for the growth of sorghum. Than this, nothing could be more unwise, more unscientific, or more utterly foolish. As well might the couvention have resolved that a solar eclipse should take place the next week or the next month. Accumulated facts, and not resolutions, are imperatively demanded. A leading agricultural writer, not long ago, declared, that "the conversion of amber cane (one of the varieties of sorghum) into crystallized sugar of standard excellence, in paying quantities, and with a fair margin of profits to all concerned, is a result not only never yet reached, but made simply impossible by the force of natural laws." Strong words, indeed; and yet, within three months of the time the above was written, there was produced, within 300 miles of this paragrapher, notwithstanding the forces of nature he had so confidently declared as in opposition, 160 tons of excellent sugar, at good profits, mainly from the very variety he had named as being incapable of yielding sugar. This new industry has very much conservatism of such sort to contend with. It has, also, beyond doubt, many practical problems yet to solve; but this may be confidently asserted, that, thus far, there has nothing presented itself which has long stood in the way of an advauce, which, during the past three years, has been most remarkable.

As with the beet sugar industry, many experiments have proved failures; and many persons have been found, as then, who, from the first, have declared that the manufacture of sugar from sorghum was a commercial impossibility. But, in spite of adverse criticisms, partial failures, and the opposition of interested parties, the beet sugar industry in Europe has been, and to-day is, one of the greatest industries of that country; and, as we have seen, provides, at a profit more or less great, fully two-fifths the sugar of the world. It must not be, however, supposed, that all the practical questions which may arise shall prove as of easy and speedy solution as those, the solution of which have sufficed to place the sorghum sugar industry fairly upon a basis of profit.

The development of any new industry of great magnitude, and involving so many conditions conspiring to help or hinder its greatest success, is sure to bring to light many important questions bearing upon the cheapening and simplification of manufacturing processes, the many questions concerning the cultivation and management of the crop, which the results of only a series of years of observation and experiment can bring to a reliable conclusion.

That it is a wise anc enlightened policy for this government, whether state or national, to encourage in every legitimate way the thorough investigations of these great economic questions, which have so much
to do with the material prosperity of the country, seems hardly a question admitting an intelligent doubt. Already New Jersey and Massachusetts have by means of bounties stimulated experiments in this direction, which, in New Jersey at least, have already led to most important results.

New Jersey, in an " Act to encourage the manufacture of sugar in the state," provides, that one dollar shall be paid by the state to the farmer for each ton of material out of which crystallized cane sugar has actually been obtained; and it provides, also, a further bounty of one cent per pound to be paid to the manufacturer for each pound of cane sugar made from such material. Massachusetts passed an act providing that one dollar be paid for each 2,000 pounds of sorghum cane, or sugar beets, used in the state for the manufacture of sugar.

Several of the states have, by appropriations, provided for the continuance of investigations looking to the economical proluction of sugar. The general government has for the past two years, in spite of a persistent and determined opposition from a source as surprising as it has been inexplicable, continued to make appropriations for the prosecution of those investigations, which have already resulted in the accumulation of most of the facts which are thus far established beyond question, and which have been recorded in tliese pages.
In the words of the Committce of the National Academy of Sciences, "the fruits of this policy of the general goverument are already beginning to show themselves in the decided success which has attended the production of sugar from sorghum on a commercial scale in the few cases in which the rules of good practice, evolved especially by the rescarches made at the laboratory of the Department of Agriculture, have been intelligently followed," and they conclude their report in these words: "The sugar producing industry of the whole country, both that of the tropical cane in the South and the sorghum over a far wider area, will derive yet greater benefits from the continued investigations of the chemist of this department, to whose former work we are already so much indebted."

A few of the points which are at present awaiting investigation, may be briefly summarized. Even granting that the questions already settled have sufficed to place this new industry upou a safe and profitable footing, it by no means follows that it may not be made far more profitable. To this end there yet remains a vast amount of work demanding further investigation.

The unanimous testimony of sugar manufacturers conclusively proves, that, at present, fully one-third the sugar present in the cane or sorghum is lost through the imperfect methods for its extraction.

That such a loss is permitted to continue, is a reproach to the industrial science of the country.

The variety of soil best adapted to the development of sorghum; the effect of the various fertilizers upon the several varieties of soil; the effect of our climate and soils upon the sugar producing capacity of the many varicties of sorghum ; the effect of various methods of cultivation of the plant; the possibility of producing by skillful hybridization varieties more valuable than any now known; the growing and examination of varieties as yet unknown to this country; the various methods of defecation and their relative value; these, and many similar questions, will at once arise to the mind of any one familiar with what has already been accomplished.

Concerning each and all of these important questions, our knowledge at present is almost nothing.

In view of the wide area over which this plant may be grown in this country, with the great diversities of climate, it is by no means improbable that a varicty of sorghum may be developed, combining the excellencies of several and surpassing any now known. Such results have been secured with the beet, so that the average per cent of sugar present in the best varieties now grown, has been increased one or two hundred per cent over that originally present in the root. Certainly, with such results already the reward of investigation, it is to be confidently anticipated that no efforts will be spared that similar results may reward those engaged in the investigation of the sorghum, a plant which is most remarkable for the great adaptability it possesses, as evidenced by the numerous varieties grown in every quarter of the globe. Eren while engaged in writing, the author has received several varieties of seed from Asia and Africa, wholly new to this country, and mention has been made in this volume of some twenty or more varieties from southern Africa, quite unlike any previously grown in America.

The practical determination of what is known as "available sugar," is also a matter of great importance; since it may be found that the methods for its estimation in the juices of the sugar-cane and the beet, are inapplicable to the juices of sorghum.

In the purging of sorghum and corn-stalk sugar, it happens very often that this operation is of unusual difficulty, owing to the presepuce of a certain gummy substance; and this practical difficulty has been by some so magnified, that the economical production of sugar from these two plants has been confidently declared impossible.

In the experience at Washington, as well as that at many other places, this peculiar substance has been found often to be present in
quantity so small as to offer little, if any, resistance to complete purging in the ordinary centrifugal.

It is a matter of very great practical importance, to determine those conditions which prevent its being produced in the manufacture of the syrup; since in no case has its presence been detected in the freshly expressed juices of either sorghum or maize. It appears to be formed by transformation of other constituents of the juice in the progress of syrup production.

In fact, unless the sorghum sugar industry shall prove to be unlike any other which has been developed, we may safely predict that many questions similar to the above will, from time to time, arise and demand solution.

It is worse than idle to dogmatize upon such questions; but dogmas will prevail in the future, as in the past, where carefully ascertained experimental results are wanting, and it is only the results of careful research which can clear the way to the establishment of this industry upon the basis of the greatest economy.

To such an end whoever contributes, even in the least degree, may consider himself a public benefactor; and whoever, either through ignorance or wickedness, shall hinder the consummation of a result so greatly to be desired, shall receive, as he will most justly deserve, the execration of his fellow men.

## FUTURE PROSPECTS OF THE SORGHUM SUGAR INDUSTRY.

From the results already secured and recorded in this volume, there would appear no good reason to doubt, that, within a few years, we may render ourselves wholly independent of other nations for our sugar supply.

It may appear somewhat hazardous to venture any prediction; but I think such a result will be accomplished within the next decade, and that, by 1900 , we shall export sugar produced from sorghum to Europe. That such a result appears possible, yes, most probable, rests upon these few well established facts :

1. About 38 per cent of all the cultivated land in the United States, including the grass land, is at present devoted to the cultivation of maize: thus showing that the conditions of soil and climate in our country conspire to make the production of maize profitable.
2. The demands made upon the soil, and the conditions of climate necessary to the full development of sorghum, are practically identical with those made by and necessary to maize.
3. The methods of cultivation of the two crops are identical; so
that, in every township of the country, these methods are practically understood.
4. The greater part of the maize consumed in this country, is used for the purpose of feeding and fattening swine; and numerous analyses of several different varieties of sorghum seed, have shown that the proximate chemical composition of sorghum seed is identical with that of maize, the sorghum seed differing no more from maize in composition than does one variety of maize from another.
5. Numerous feeding experiments have established the fact, that, for feeding and fattening purposes, sorghum seed is the equivalent of maize, and may be substituted for it.
6. As much sorghum seed may be produced from an acre as of maize, on the same land; and wherever maize may be grown successfully in this country, one variety or another of sorghum may be as successfully grown.
7. Fully ninety-nine per cent of the sorghum now grown in the world, is grown solely for the seed and the forage obtained in the leaves; and abundant testimony is given, that, for the seed alone, the crop may be profitably grown, while many of those using the stalks for syrup and sugar declare that the seed enables them to produce the stalks free of all cost.
8. It is only after the seed of any variety of sorghum is quite mature, that the maximum of sugar in the stalks is attained; so that there is nothing to prevent the securing of both the maximum of seed and the maximum of sugar from the crop of sorghum.
9. Many thousands of analyses of over fifty varieties of sorghum have conclusively established the fact, that, at maturity, the stalk of sorghum contains an amount of sugar equal to that found in the best sugar-cane grown in Louisiana ; and already, by processes and apparatus identical with those employed upon the sugar plantations of Cuba and Louisiana, several hundred tons of sorghum sugar have been put upon the market in competition with sugar from the tropical sugarcane.
10. The testimony of numerous manufacturers of syrups from sorghum, shows that the syrup may be manufactured at an expense varying in different localities, and with different manufacturers, from 12 to 25 cents per gallon, from cane delivered free at the mill, even when working with small mills instead of the improved appliances of the large plantations.
11. A yield of 6 to 8 pounds of sugar from the gallon of syrup, made at the proper time, may be fairly expected; and thus the sugar would cost, according to the expense of manufacture above given,
from $1 \frac{1}{2}$ cents to 4 cents per pound, without any allorrance for the molasses.
12. Excellent sugar has been made from sorghum : and where accurate account of all expenses was kept, including cultivation of crop, but no account made of the seed, the expense of production of the sugar did not exceed $4 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound.
13. In view of these results, I have no doubt that sugar may even now be produced at an expense of not over 2 cents per pound; and I believe that, within a decade, it will be produced at an expense of not over 1 cent per pound.

## CHAPTER II.

(a.) Chemistry of Sugar.
(b.) Sources of Sugar.
(c.) Statistics of Sugar.
(d.) Bibliography of Sorghum.

## CHEMISTRY OF SUGAR.

Under the name, sugar, the chemist includes a number of different organic compounds, most of them being vegetable in their origin. They are all soluble in water, though in different degrees; and are all characterized by a sweet taste, though possessing different degrees of sweetuess.

They are neutral in their reactions with vegetable colors; but, in the compounds they form, play the part of acids. They are all remarkable for their effects upon a beam of polarized light, which, when passed through solutions of any one of the sugars, is rotated to the right or the left. The direction and the degree of rotation is constant under the same conditions of density of solution and temperature for the several sugars.

The principal members of this group of sugars, are:

1. Cane sugar; also known as sucrose and saccharose, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}$.

This sugar is found present in the juices of the sugar-cane, Saccharum officinarum; maize, zea mais; sorghum, Sorghum saccharatum; beets, Beta vulgaris; sugar maple, Acer saccharinum ; several species of the palm, and many other plants.

The pure sugar, from either of the above sources, is identical in all its properties-as crystalline form, chemical composition, degree of solubility, sweetness, and rotatory power.
2. Glucose, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$. Under this name is grouped two principal compounds: (a) Dextro-glucose, or Dextrose, which rotates the polar ized beam to the right; and (b) Laevo-glucose, or Laevulose, which rotates the beam to the left.

Dextro-glucose is known as grape sugar, starch sugar, fruit sugar, honey sugar, diabetic sugar, according to its source.

Sweet fruits and honey contain this form of glucose, associated with cane sugar and laevo-glucose.

Laevo-glucose has the same chemical composition as dextro-glucose; but is distinguished by its left-handed rotatory power. This form of
glucose is obtained, together with dextro-glucose, in the fermentation of cane sugar, which, under the action of the ferment, splits up into equal parts of dextro and laevo-glucose. And, since the left-handed rotation of the latter is greater than the right-handed rotation of the former, the solution of cane sugar-which, at first, was right-handed in its rotation of the plane of polarized light-after fermentation is found to be left-handed, or (as it is termed) inverted; and hence the name "inverted sugar," which is a mixture of these tro forms of glucose.

The formula which represents the final results in this process of fermentation is, in

Cane sugar + water. Dextro glucose. Laevo-glucose.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} .
$$

One molecule of cane sugar uniting with one molecule of water, and then breaking up into one molecule of dextro- and one molecule of laevo-glucose.

This change in cane sugar is readily brought about, also, by the action of dilute acids. If, to a dilute solution of cane sugar, a few drops of sulphuric or hydrochloric acid be added, and the solution be heated at a temperature of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(194^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.) for half an hour, it will be found that the cane sugar will have entirely disappeared from the solution, and, in its place, will be found its equivalent of inverted sugar. This is the method pursued in the determination, by analysis, of the cane sugar in juices of sugar producing plants. (See chapter on Methods of Analysis.)

It is, however, to be remembered, that this change is effected by the heat in the presence of an acid, and very speedily in such circumstances: but heat alone effects this change very slowly, indeed, if at all; although the contrary opinion is very generally entertained by those who have never subjected the matter to the test of experiment.

A series of experiments are given in the Journal Fabr. Sucre, by M. Pellet, showing the amount of inversion of solutions of cane sugar at diferent temperatures and degrees of concentration. The experiments were, in each case, continued for four days ( 96 hours) :

| Sugar in 100 c.c. | At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | At $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | At $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 grams. | .5975 grams. | 3.0216 grams. | 8.8100 grams. |
| 30 grams. | .5275 grams. | 2.9200 grams. | 7.1825 grams. |
| 60 grams. | .1025 grams. | .6450 grams. | 5.4900 grams. |
| 90 grams. | trace. | .1500 grams. | 3.97 .6 grams. |

It will be seen, that the extent of inversion was dependent upon temperature and concentration of the solution ; and that a solution containing 60 grams. of sugar in 100 cubic centimeters of solution (about the cousistency of a syrup), even after four days' heating at a temperature
of $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(177^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.), had only 5.49 grams. of the sugar inverted, or 9.15 per cent of the amount; so that, in ordinary work, the inversion of sugar by heat alone may be regarded as very slight.

In the presence of a slight excess of lime, it has been found that a solution of cane sugar remains unchanged, even after prolonged boiling for thirty-six hours. The practical importance of this fact is cbvious to the sugar manufacturer, since, by proper defecation with lime, the acids present in the saccharine juices of plants are neutralized and rendered inert.
3. Lactose, or milk sugar, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}$.

This sugar is that which gives to milk its sweet taste.
Lactose, although having the same compositiou as cane sugar,.differs from it very greatly in all its properties, in crystalline form, degree of solubility, and sweetness, and in its rotatory power, which, though right-handed as is that of cane sugar, is far less in degree-the relative degrees of rotatory power of the four sugars mentioned being as follows:


Lactose, also, in ordinary fermentation, produces Lactic Acid instead of glucose. The formula representing the results of the fermentation, being:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lactose }+ \text { Water }=\text { Lactic Acid. } \\
& \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The one molecule of lactose taking up one molecule of water and being then broken up into four molecules of lactic acid; this result constituting what is known as the souring of milk.

The relative solubilities of these several sugars in cold water, are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { part Cane Sugar in } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { 1/3 }
\end{array} \text { its weight of water. } \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned} \text { " Lacose } \begin{aligned}
& \text { Llose } \\
& \text { " } \\
& \text { " } \\
& 5 \text { times its weight of water. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Cane sugar is readily obtained in crystals by the evaporation of its solution in water, as in the familiar form of the so-called granulated sugar, which may be seen to be composed of well defined crystals, also in the well known form of rock candy, in which crystals an inch in diameter are often found.

Glucose also crystallizes both in its anhydrous form, and also in combination with water. The crystals of glucose, however, are generally a collection of minute needles clustered into granular masses, or, if the solution is concentrated, uniting to form a semi-solid mass; as
frequently occurred in the earlier efforts in making commercial glucose, where the fluid syrup, after standing a short time, was found to have been entirely changed into a solid mass of crystals of hydrated glucose.

Lactose is easily obtained crystallized, although the form of its crystals, its comparative insolubility, and its low sweetening power, will enable one to readily distinguish it from either of the other sugars mentioned.

It is also to be observed, that each of these sugars are composed only of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen ; the last two elements existing always in the proportion to form water, and hence the name carb-hydrates which has been applied to compounds of this class. Since, now, these three elements exists in the atmosphere in inexhaustible quantity, it will be seen that the production of sugar need necessarily never result in the exhaustion of the soil.

This matter will be hereafter discussed.

## SOURCES OF SUGAR.

It has been already stated that cane sugar, or sucrose, is found in the juices from the stalks of the sugar-cane, maize, sorghum, and many similar plants ; also in the juices of the beet root, the sap of the sugar maple, and of many species of the palm. It is, besides, a constituent of honey, and of fruits.

A comparatively small amount is obtained from the palm, while the maple sugar is an article of local consumption.

It is estimated that, in 1850, there was exported of palm sugar 10,000 tons from that portion of North-eastern India lying near the mouths of the Ganges. About 20,000 tons of maple sugar is produced annually in the United States, in 18 states.

The commercial supply, however, of this important product, is obtained almost exclusively from two sources, viz: the several varieties of the sugar-cane, and the beet root, the former furnishing about 62 per cent, and the latter 38 per cent of the world's sugar.

The actual production of cane sugar in tons in the years $1875,6,7$, $8,9,80$, was as follows:


The several countries producing this supply, are as follows:


## The Consumption per capita of Sugar in the World.

The amount of sugar consumed per capita differs very greatly, and represents to a certain extent the relative degree of luxury of the several countries.
According to the statement of Lock, Wigner \& Harland, the following represents approximately the number of pounds annually consumed in the several countries per capita:

|  | Aggregate pounds <br> Consumpt'n <br> per |  | Aggregate pounds <br> Consumpt' |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| pewt. |  |  |  |

Countries Supplying United States with Sugar.

| Cuba. | Pounds. $1,008,413,671$ | Value. $\$ 41,039,048$ | Dutch E. Indies. | Pounds. $26,187,880$ | Value. $1,052,953$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanish Possessions. | 110,44, 70, | $3.572,400$ | British WV. Indies |  |  |
| Porto Rico | 70,155,045 | 2,610,418 | and Honduras | 23,212,168 | 84,144 |
| French W. Indies | 49,687,265 | 1,751,458 | British Guiana... | 21,865, 691 | 912,101 |
| Brazil <br> and Guiana. | 40,010,416 | 1,329,938 | Sandwich Islands. | 20,978,374 | ,051,987 |

The above are the principal sources. Twenty-one other nations furnish the remainder, which constitutes about 3 per cent of the whole amount.

From the statistics it appears, that, during the past 20 years, the United States have produced less than 13 per cent of their sugar supply, and little more than 21 per cent of the molasses consumed.

## Sugar Product of Louisiana, 1823-1877.

American Almanac, 1880, p. 32.
Högsheads.
Hogsheads.


Average Yield of Sugar per Acre.

|  | Pds. Maximum reported. |  |  | Pd. | Maximum reported. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demerara |  |  | Louisiana | 1,200 |  |
| Mauritius. | 3,500 | 5,500 | Queensland | 2,958 | 7,840 |
| Philippines | 1,650 | 2,500 | Natal |  | 7,000 |
| Java, about | 3.360 |  | Sandwich I |  | 12,000 |
| Rio Janeiro | 2,100 |  | Figi....... |  | 5,000 |
| Jamaica | 1,344 | 5,600 | Surinam | 1,960 |  |

The following statistics of the acreage and production of sugar and molasses in the United States, is from the Annual Report of the Department of Agriculture.

## Sugar-cane. <br> (1879 Census.)

| States. | Acres. | Sugar. Hhds. | Molasses. Gallons. | States. | Acres. | Sugar. <br> Hids. | Molasses. Gallons. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 6,627 | 9 i | 79.5,199 | Mississippi | 4,555 | 18 | 536,625 |
| Florida | 7,938 | 1,2\%3 | 1,029,868 | South Caroli | 1,787 | 2291 | 138,944 |
| Georgia | 15,053 | 601 | 1,565.784 | Texas | 10,224 | 4,951 | 810,605 |
| Louisiana | 181,592 | 171,706 | 11,696,243 |  |  |  |  |

## Maple Sugar.

Lewis S. Ware gives the following table, showing the total product of maple sugar in the United States:

| 1861. | 42,000,000 pounds. |  | 1870 | 28,443,645 pounds. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1862 | 44,000.000 |  | 1871. | 30,756,000 |  |
| 1863 | 41,500,000 | " | 1872 | .31,682,000 |  |
| 1804 | -40,500,000 | " | 1873 | 32,157,000 |  |
| 1865 | . 39,740,796 | " | 1874 | 33,044.200 | ، |
| 1866 | . $37,532,000$ | " | 1875 | 43,197,930 | " |
| 1867 | .35,654,000 | " | 1876 | .43,288,080 |  |
| 1868 | 33.421,000 | " | 1877 | 41,000,000 | " |
| 1869 | 29,114,500 | ، |  |  |  |

Of this amount, over 50 per cent is produced by the states of New York and Vermont, 20 per cent by Ohio and Michigan, while the remaining 30 per cent is divided between 14 of the northern states.

Production of Sugar from Sugar Beets.
France.-Authority, Corenwinder and Macarez, Lille (Special Report, Department of Agriculture, on "Culture of the Sugar Beet"):

From 2,200 Pounds Roots.
Pounds Sugar. Pounds Molasses.


Equal to 5.47 per cent sugar from beets.
From "A Complete Treatise on the Fabrication and Refining of Beet Sugar," by L. Walkoff, we learn that the average per cent of sugar from beets, in 1872-3, was, in Russia and Poland, 7.0 per cent.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Germany............. .............................5.5 ". " } \\
& \text { Austria and Hungary............ .....9.6 " }
\end{aligned}
$$

During the season of 1879-80, there were worked in Germany $4,628,748$ tons of beet roots for sugar. The average product per acre was 11.09 tons of roots. From 1,174 tons of roots there was obtained 100 tons of all sugars, or 8.52 per cent of the weight of roots. This yield is equal to 1,890 pounds of all sugars to the acre.

The following tables give the statistics of the production, importation, and consumption of sugar and molasses in the United States from 1790 to 1882 , inclusive.

From 1878 to 1882, inclusive, no allowance is made for sorghum or maple sugar, owing to the lack of reliable statistics as to their production. Since 1878 , the amount of sorghum and maple molasses is estimated at $15,000,000$ gallons of the former, and $2,000,000$ gallons of the latter, although the production of sorghum syrup is probably very largely in excess of the above estimate at the present time.

The tables are taken from the Report of the Department of Agriculture for 1878 .

## Explanations and Remarks on the Tables.

In making thorough examination of the question of sugar production in the United States, a collateral inquiry has resulted in information which is appended at this time, for the attention, not only of the legislative powers of the country, but of all
those interested in commercial transactions with sugar and its allied products.

The tabular statement of the tariff on sugar, extending from 1790 to 1882 , inclusive, compiled with care, has been subjected to such revision, as, I believe, entitles it to the confidence of those who wish to investigate this subject, or to predicate legislation thereon.

The Imports and Exports are taken or compiled from the "American State Papers" and the statistics of "Commerce and Navigation."

The "Difference" is obtained by subtracting the exports from the imports, or the reverse; if the export item exceeds the import item, a minus sign is used to designate such excess.
"Value" signifies the difference between the value of the imports and the value of the exports, and is, therefore, the cost of what is consumed. From 1867 to the present date, the amounts in the value column are quoted from the statistics of "Commerce and Navigation."
"Price," or "Average per pound," is obtained by dividing value by the quantities in the column of foreign consumption.

The annual amounts "Paid for customs" from 1867 until date, are quoted or compiled from the statistics on "Commerce and Navigation;" previous to that year, they are found by multiplying the quantity consumed by the rate of duty on each kind or grade of the article under consideration. For instance, refined sugar comprises different grades, with a corresponding variety in the rates of duty.

The "Rate," or "Average rate of duty," is the result of dividing the Paid for customs by the amount consumed.

The column of Domestic produce is estimated and collected from various sources, and, although not absolutely correct, forms the best known data of the sugar produced in the United States.

The figures in Domestic exports are quoted from the "American State Papers" and statistics of "Commerce and Navigation."

Previous to 1867, Foreign consumption is deduced from the imports and exports; after that time, the amounts are taken from the tables of home consumption in the statistics of " Commerce and Navigation."

Domestic consumption, previous to 1867, is the difference between domestic production and exports; subsequently, the amounts are copied from the statistics of "Commerce and Navigation."

Total consumption and Average per capita are interesting and important, as showing the rate of increase in the consumption of sugar with the increasing population; also, the fluctuations from year to year, caused by changes in the tariff laws, or by wars or other disturbances.

Imports, Exports, Cost, Production, and Consumption of Cane, Maple, and Sor-

(a) These imports are for calendar years, and not fiscal years.
(b) Estimated by taking the mean between 1819 and 1821 , there being no statistics of imports on record.
(c) The only reliable values on record, from 1790 to 1823 , are those of 1807 and 1815 , which are found in "Pitkin's Commerce of the United States."
I.
ghum Sugar, Candy, and Melado, in the U. S., for each fiscal year from 1790 to 1882.


## TABLE

| Years. | Foreign. |  |  | Value of Foreign Sugar Consumed. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Difference. | Value. | Paid for Customs. |
| SIXTH DECENNIUM. | Pounds. | Pounds. | Pounds. | Dollare. | Dollars. |
| 1840 ..................... | 120,941,277 | 18,947,018 | 101,993,719 | 4,2:23,751 | 2,574,145 |
| 1811 | 184,264,993 | 11,814, 266 | 172,450,715 | 7,920,808 | 4,385,295 |
| 1812 | 173,864,844 | 12,597,703 | 160,965,852 | 5,773,297 | 4,169,017 |
| 1813 | a 71,339,050 | 1,886,976 | 69,444,470 | 2,439,402 | 1,795,020 |
| 1814 | 186,808,695 | 4,475, 032 | 182,329,492 | 6,884,501 | 4,572,952 |
| 1845 | 115,666,4i56 | 13,799, 837 | 101,865, 203 | 4,063,202 | 2,573,331 |
| 1846 | 128,032,840 | 20,570,023 | 107,458,852 | $4,3: 24,562$ | -2,687,142 |
| 18.47 | 236,970,894 | 8,394,918 | $228,573,926$ | 9,406, 4.44 | 3,165,490 |
| 1848 | 257,144,861 | 13,120,769 | 244,008,984 | 8,775,77\% | 2,6:32,732 |
| 1849 | 259,326,584 | 17,149,994 | 242,169,247 | 7,276,241 | 2,182,872 |
| SEVENTH DECENNIUM. $1850$ | 218,439,055 | 14,153,065 | 204,272,283 | 6,953, 667 | 2,086,099 |
| 1851 | 380,123,569 | 6,387,108 | $374,01 \cdot 1,916$ | 13, 483,178 | 4,014,974 |
| 1852 | 457,544,544 | 9,573,357 | 447,937,734 | 13,924,707 | 4,177,410 |
| 1853 | 464,127,281 | 18,981,701 | $445,418,963$ | 13,934,467 | 4,180,341 |
| 18.54 | 45.5,964,452 | $52,019,584$ | 403,894,136 | 12,467,10s | 3,440,133 |
| 18.55 | 473,884,218 | 33,716,*23 | $440,114,752$ | 13,323,505 | 8,997,052 |
| 1856 | $545,262,754$ | 23,311,47.4 | $5 \geq 1,872,706$ | 21,373,459 | 6,412,158 |
| 18.97 | 777,00:3,115 | 14,7:31,501 | $769,127,041$ | 41,731,898 | 12,519,569 |
| 1858 | 519,240,945 | 74,910,624 | $444,330,3221$ | 19,083,918 | 4,5S0,140 |
| 1859 | $655,868,415$ | $33,608,603$ | $622,003,778$ | 28,670, 126 | 5,580,902 |
| RIGHTH DECENNIUM. $1860$ | 694, 879,7950 | 34,016,070 | 660,777,373 | 29,291,087 | 7,029,861 |
| 1861 | $809,813,489$ | 50,408,714 | 7-99,494,775 | 27,254,957 | 10,011,932 |
| 1862 | : $557,143,181$ | $23,5-2,145$ | 533,591,039 | 19,049,781 | 10,724,725 |
| 1863 | -523,131,247 | 16,15.3,5.5 | 502,448,466 | 17,64, 237 | 10,272,961 |
| 1864 | 63,2,245,612 | 27,271,713 | 605,949,979 | $27,069,139$ | 12,317,6-47 |
| 1865 | $651,971,85^{2}$ | 30,743,481 | $614,067,543$ | 23, 596,358 | 18,972,632 |
| 1866 | 1,000,076,709 | $8,580,092$ | 991,496,617 | 40,182,049 | 30,633,113 |
| 1867 | 849,108,911 | 12,210,707 | 835,416,841 | b 38,513,0.55 | b 28,589,781 |
| 1868 | 1,121,221,670 | 16,112,978 | 1,105, 108,8522 | 43,4:4,090 | 30,455,442 |
| 1869. | 1,247,885, 371 | 17,828,678 | 1,230,056,693 | 48,258,660 | 30,929,337 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NINTH DECENNIUM. } \\ & \text { 1870................................... } \end{aligned}$ | 1,196,8:9,359 | 18,38, 902 | 1,178,495,487 | 60,270,688 | $36,829,037$ |
| 1871 | 1,277,525,009 | 10,361,161 | 1,267,160,845 | 60,819,370 | $30,758,657$ |
| 1872 | 1,509,219,507 | 12,122,280 | $1,497,127,297$ | 76,029,865 | $28,876,131$ |
| 1873 | 1,568,393,877 | 23,930,45; | 1,544,463, 424 | 79,513,278 | 29, 412,942 |
| 1874 | 1,701,354,:312 | 19,310,777 | 1,6S2,048,53, | 81,491,851 | 32,499,835 |
| 1875 | 1,797,586,806 | 11,200, 5.57 | 1,756,385,949 | 71,800,598 | 34,662,057 |
| 1876 | 1,494,065, 427 | 15,870,600 | $1,478,194,827$ | 67,030,351 | 39,4, 0,917 |
| 1877 | 1,623,973,587 | $3,122,956$ | 1,620, 550,051 | $73,780,829$ | 35,274,468 |
| 1878 | 1,507,120,551 | 6,016,85\% | 1,501,103,696 | 82,659,480 | 37,080,803 |
| 1879 | 1,831,403,349 | 10,389,906 | 1,824,013,443 | 69,961,566 | 38,074,137 |
|  | 1,829,355,368 | 10,501,791 | 1,818,85?,574 | 72,197,267 | 39,804,805 |
| 1881 | 1,946,804,201 | 9,728,29.3 | 1,937,075,906 | 88,37\%,629 | 46,325,011 |
| 1882 | 1,990,234,525 | 5,625,199 | 1,954,609,326 | 91,752,195 | 46,99 !,644 |

(a) These statistics are from October 1 st, 1842, to June 30 th, 1843 , nine months.
( $\dot{D}$ ) The "Values," "Paid for Customs," and foreign sugar consumed, are quoted from the consumption tables in the Annual Reports of the Bureau of Statistics.

## I．－Continued．

| Total． | Domestic． |  | Conscmption． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Produce． | Exports． | Foreign． | Domestic． | Total． | Popula－ |  |
| Dollars． | Pounds． <br> 165．930－705 | Pounds． | Pounds． 101 M13 719 | Pounds． 156,419149 | Pounds．＇Vumber． $258,412,56$ 17 $^{17,070,240}$ |  | Pounds． |
| － $12,3936,103$ | 167，930，700 | 11，511，296 | 172， 45030,615 | 156，419，149 | $294,144, \text {, } 6 \overline{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,070,240 \\ & 17,56,2,940 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 9，942，314 | 142，27i，793 | 3，596，5：9 | 160，965， 53 | 138，680，916 | 299，646，7nis | 18．（4ios．a 13 |  |
| $4,234,423$ | 200，240，000 | 667,417 | 69， 444,450 | 199，572．5．3 | 269，017，023 | 1s．618．0ヶ6 | 18.73 |
| 11，45，4．3．3 | 137， 013,438 | 1， 5 M， |  | 13．145，211 | 317.174 .708 | 19，102，946 |  |
| $6,636.533$ $7,011,704$ | －73，979，000 $-237,371,627$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 101,865,203 \\ & 107,455,852 \end{aligned}$ | 271，785，023 | $\begin{aligned} & 373,650,226 \\ & 338.592,670 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,640,029 \\ & 20,225,760 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12．71．684 | 102， 00 l （mm | 1.927 .4 | －2， 780 | 19007.0 |  | 20， 860.760 |  |
| 11，405．544 | 312.510 .501 | 8．15 | 244.006 .081 | 30.996 .791 | N53．655．0． | 21.6 |  |
| 9，409， 116 | 292．72．000 | 2，320．104 | －42．169．24 | 290．415．596 | 532，585，140 | 2930，293 |  |
|  |  |  | m10－\％のex |  | 590.818 250 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 9,039,766 \\ 17,525,132 \end{array}$ | 283， 048,5 | 3，201，369 | 374，014，916 | 270， 297,419 | 6 | 23，974，993 |  |
| 18，102，117 | 310，517，886 | 2，495， 390 | 447，937， 7 \％${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 305，019，446 | $755,457,1 \times 0$ | 24， 43,54 |  |
| 18，114．506 | 4M0．4．9．992 | T，2－，\％1 | 445．41－．44，${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | －49 $1449,2 \cdot 24$ | 2－7， |  |
| 15．94\％ $2+1$ | 249，2－5．54 | 90，03， 51 | 40.514 .186 | 530.30 .3 | 343，－3， 9 \％ | －6．615，${ }^{2}$ | 30.18 |
| $17 \times 0$ | 42 cos | 11．160．945 | 440.114 .502 |  | 5－5．31．20 | 27．3－6．11： | 30.18 |
| 27．indioll | －\％シfinc | ？ 9 －1． 191 | $5 \times 10.5$ |  | N04． 4 H |  |  |
| 54.251 .467 | 114．40．7．4 -11 | 5.53 | 7no． $1: 3.041$ | 109．67－2m | 51.204 .8 | \％ 1124.81. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23,664,0.8 \mid \\ & 35,551,328 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366.540,144 \\ & 465,972,150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.201,090 \\ & 6,558,757 \end{aligned}$ | 424，2，003，6\％ |  | $\mid 1,051,417,171$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36，320，948 | 302．209，105 | 4，466，031］ | 660， 727,673 | 297， 743,074 | 958，520，447 | 31，443，321 |  |
|  | 312，291，9， | 6．．511．1：4 | 7－3 | 315．ズいこ1 |  | 31．2．403 |  |
| 29．74．566 | $595.900,7-2$ | 2.55020 | 533，591，039 | 593，225，${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $32,457,953$ |  |
| $27.915,198$ | 201.483 .745 | 3.39 .5 （4n！ |  | 2－3．5si |  | 3－211，431 |  |
| $39.3 \times 6.7 \times 1$ 42.168 .990 | 120， $51,903,654$ |  | $674,049.54$ | 126.240 .161 | 703， 19414 | 3 | 28.16 |
| 70， $5 \cdot 20,142$ | 5s， 715,645 | 4，460， 135 | 991，496，617 | $54,235,50-$ | 1，045，752， 124 | －1， 324,665 |  |
| 67，102． 236 | 82，698，65s | 8，197．0．50 | a 939，806， 45 | 74，501，10s | 1，014，307，566 | $3,342 \times 19$ |  |
| $73,509,532$ | $76,659,44$ | 2，252，655 | $1,000,866,403$ | $74,40 \overline{4}, 189$ | $1,075,203,5931$ | 26，361，669 |  |
| 79，157，997 | 129，142，286 | 3，187，993 | 1，018，807，0is | 125，954，293 | 1，144， 61,361 | 37，400，130 |  |
| 97，099，725 | 132，979，178 | 4，501，221 | 1，216，459，872 | 128，474，957 | 1，344，937， 829 | 38，558，371 |  |
| 91，0us， 02 － | 208，196，046 | 3，945，923， | 1，231， 23,0611 | 204，250，123 | 1，436，133，184 | 34， 23,55 |  |
| 104，90－9\％6 | 160.106 .426 | 4．750 48 | $1.412 .919 .4 \%$ | 11.315 .44 | 1．94．434．93－ | 40.967 |  |
| 109，336．${ }^{\text {che }} 0$ | 163，925， 04 | 10.030 | 1．40．6．7．191 | 1．3，-3.319 |  | f2． 0.76 |  |
| 113，991，${ }^{\text {ani }}$ | $141,6,29.424$ $184,506,695$ | 15．．．65，\％－ | 1．644．965）．71． | 120，043， 148 | 1，70．4x．${ }^{1}$ | 43.406081 $4,505,0 * 3$ | 35.35 |
| 106，481，265 |  | $52,024,916$ | 1，6̄5， 719,524 | 160.949 .537 | $1,521,668,281$ | $4.50 .65,665$ |  |
| 109，055．29－ | 241．24，959 | $54,073.814$ | 1．505，nvi．11： | 157.15 .64 | 1．64p－29055 | 16．761．551 |  |
| 119，740，283 | 155，928，057 | 44．093，092 | 1．6200．067．542 | 111，834，963 | ［1．731，923．507 | 47，871，485 |  |
| 105，03s， 703 | 253，847，4is | 72， 352,264 | 1，681，349，585 | 181，494，514 | 1，862，844，099 |  |  |
| 112，002，072 | $\underline{210,900,015}$ | $30,142,004$ | 1，657，5－6，123 | 180，758，011 | 1，665，334，134 | 50，150， 183 |  |
| 134，697，640 | 289，361，873 | 29．25．2．833 | 1，965，669，934 | 267，109，040 | －2， $233,779.024$ |  |  |
| 137，746，889 | 169，467，47 | 13，514，005 | 2，033，787，066 | 155，653，442 | ｜2，189，440，508 |  |  |

（a）See note（b），page 32.
3

Imports, Exports, Cost, Production, and Consumption of Cane Mo-

| Years. | Foreign. |  |  | Value of cane MOLASSES CONSUMED. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Difference. | Value. | Paid for customs. |
| ${ }_{1790}$ FIRST DECENNIUM. | Gallons. <br> Gallons. | Gallons. | Gallons. <br> 5,977,109 | Dollars. | Dollars. |
| 1791 | 7,191;606 | 12,721 | 7,181, 88. | 1,429,195 | 215,40̄7 |
| 1792 | a 5.229,915 | 11,338 | 5,218,577 |  | 156,557 |
| 1793 | a $4,930,141$ | 28,733 | 4,901,408 |  | 147,042 |
| 1794 | a 3,476,906 | 7,216 | 3,469,690 |  | 104,091 |
| 1795 | 4,425,621 | 20,124 | 4,405,497 |  | 132, 165 |
| 1796 | 4,965,191 | 112,257 | 1,852,934 |  | 145,588 |
| 1797 | 3,876,420 | 48,559 | 3,827,561 |  | 153, 114 |
| 1798 | 4,629,370 | 32,350 | 4.597,020 |  | 183,881 |
| 1799 | 4,100,242 | 61,911 | 4,038,331 |  | 161,533 |
| $1800$ | 4,092,67\% | 39,122 | 4.053,55.5 |  | 162,142 |
| 1801 | 5,717,290 | 421,628 | 5,295,662 |  | 211,826 |
| 1802 | 6,833, 261 | 56,9.99 | 6,776,302 | 2,026,114 | 271,052 |
| 1803 | $6.723,400$ | 38,552 | 6,683,818 | 1,992,681 | 267,474 |
| 1804 | 5,747,256 | 55,2-5 | 5,691,997 | 1,702,907 | 227,680 |
| 1805 | 9,021,700 | 48,474 | 8,973,226 |  | 448,661 |
| 1806 | 8,597,456 | 53,798 | $8,543,658$ |  | 427,183 |
| 1807 | 8,511,234 | 40,937 | 8,470,277 | 3,125,532 | 423,514 |
| 1808 | 6,499,008 | 7,337 | 6.481.671 |  | 324,084 |
| 1809 | 5,219,415 | 33,943 | 5,185,472 |  | 259,274 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { THIRD DECENNIUM. } \\ & 1810 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{aligned}$ | 8,055,629 | 40,245, | 8,015,384 |  | 400,769 |
| 1811 | $8,634,415$ | 18,837 | 8,615,581 |  | 430,779 |
| 1812 | 8,141,264 | 8,001 | 8,133, 263 |  | 406,663 |
| 1813 | 3,199,361 | 1,309 | 3,195,052 |  | 319,805 |
| 1814 | 3,376,367 |  | 3,376,367 |  | 337,637 |
| 1815 | $4,752,642$ | 11,292 | 4. 771,414 |  | 474,141 |
| 1816 | 8,494,248 | 29,008 | 8,465, 240 |  | 846,524 |
| 1817 | 11,480,948 | 14,457 | 11,466,497 |  | 573,325 |
| 1518 | 12,?5;3,9,5 | 11,470 | 12, 34, 2007 |  | 617,125 |
| 1819 | 10,583,298 | 20,486 | 10,562, 812 |  | 528,141 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOURTH DECEENIUM. } \\ & 1820 \text { ……................ } \end{aligned}$ | b 9,835, 140 | 82,57i | 9,752,569 |  | 487,628 |
| 1821 | 9,056,982 | 39,421 | 9,047,561 | 1,707,995 | 452,378 |
| 1822 | 11,990,569 | 13,292 | 11,977,277 | 2,393,945 | 598,864 |
| 1823 | 13,019,328 | 3,409 | 13,015,919 | 2,633,228 | 650,796 |
| 1824. | 13,117,724 | 18,7:7 | 13,098,957 | 2,408,911 | 654,949 |
| 1825 | 12,535,062 | 15,806 | 12,519,256 | 2,543,137 | 625,963 |
| 1826 | 13,843,045 | 50,602 | 13,792,443 | 2,822,309 | 689,622 |
| 1827 | 13,376,502 | 20,107 | 13,356,395 | 2,812,490 | 667,820 |
| 1828 | 13,0,93,651 | 30,168 | 13,363, 483 | 2,778,983 | 668,174 |
| 1829 | 10,150,224 | 36,920 | 10,113,304 | 1,455,609 | 1,011,330 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { FIFTH DECENNIUM. } \\ 1830 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{gathered}$ | 8.374,139 | 27,121 | 8,347,018 | 988,985 | 834,702 |
| $18: 31$ | 17,085, 578 | 17,695 | 17.068,183 | 2,427,705 | 853,409 |
| $18: 32$ | 15,860,553 | 29,656 | 15,830,897 | 2,515,498 | 791,545 |
| 1833. | 15,693,050 | 18,730 | 15,674,320 | 2,862, 536 | 783,716 |
| 1834. | 17,086,472 | 58,736 | 17,027,7:6 | 2,975,223 | 851,387 |
| 1835 | 18,971,603 | 50,776 | 18,920, 827 | 3,062,045 | 946,041 |
| 18.36 | 18,051,784 | 42.951 | 18,008,833 | 4,061,240 | 900,442 |
| 1837 | 16,451,182 | 90,597 | 16,360,585 | 3,411,757 | 818,029 |
| 1838 | 21,196,411 | 62,098 | 21,134,313 | 3,845,701 | 1,056,716 |
| 1839 | 23,094,677 | 121,171 | 22,973,506 | 4,327,500 | 1,148,675 |

(a) These imports are for calendar years, and not fiscal years.
(b) Estimated by taking the mean between 1819 and 1821, there being no imports on record for this year.

## II.

lasses in the United States for each fiscal year from 1790 to 1882.

(a) From 1826 to 1835 , inclusive, the statistics of domestic exports are given in value, which are reduced at the rate of 30 cents per gallon.

TABLE

| Years. | Foreign. |  |  | Value of cane MOLASSES CONSUMED- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imports. | Exports. | Difference. | Value. | I'aid for customs. |
| 1810 SIXTH DECENNIUM. | Gallons. $19,703,620$ | Gallons. $185,078$ | Gallons. $19,515,542$ | Dollars. 2, 261,261 | Dollars. 975,777 |
| 1811 | 19,355,028 | $3 \pm 5,7 \times 6$ | 19,026,242 | $2,542,93 ; 3$ | 951,312 |
| 1842 | 17,834,927 | 20:3,472 | 17,631,455 | 1,908,131 | 881,573 |
| 1543 | a 11,776,047 | 100,763 | 11,675,284 | 1,116,310 | 525,388 |
| 1844 | 22,675,35\% | 224,668 | 22,450,681 | 2,795,555 | 1,010,281 |
| 1815 | 18,301,033 | 297,949 | 18,003,484 | 3,072,021 | 810,139 |
| 1816 | $22,760,6 \div 2$ | 414,678 | $22,345,4.4$ | 3,254,075 | 1,005, 5.57 |
| 1817 | 30,677,630 | 1,467,418 | 99,210,212 | 2,740,392 | 872,494 |
| 1818 | 3:3,640,287 | 559,735 | $33,080,552$ | 3,337, 86.5 | 1,001,360 |
| 1819 | 23,796,806 | 793,035 | $23,003,273$ | 2,636,920 | 791,076 |
| seventh decennium. | 25,044,835 | 581,820 | 24,463,015 | 2,785,117 | 835,535 |
| 1851 | 36,376,772 | 2226,592 | 36,156,180 | $3,663,323$ | 1,098,997 |
| 1852 | 32,795,610 | 325,958 | $32,469,652$ | 3,534,277 | 1,060,283 |
| 18.93 | 31,856,100 | 488,666 | 31,397,434 | 3,587,008 | 1,076,102 |
| 1854 | 27,759,463 | 889,295 | 26,870,168 | 2,946,562 | 883,969 |
| 1855 | 26, $2 \mathbf{2} \mathbf{3}, 593$ | 1,517,474 | $24,865,119$ | 3,190,706 | 957,212 |
| 18.56 | 23,617,674 | 1,261,140 | 22,356,534 | 4,028,488 | 1,208,5.46 |
| 1857 | $32,705,844$ | 1,441,660 | 31,264,184 | 7,748,961 | 2,324,688 |
| 1858 | 24,566,357 | 3,908,075 | $20,658,282$ | 2,991,326 | 717,918 |
| 1859 | 32,518,146 | $2,113,669$ | 30,704,477 | 4,543,012 | 1,090,323 |
| EIGHTH DECENNIUM. | 30,922,683 | 1,220,118 | 29,700,515 | 4,932,886 | 1,183,893 |
| 1861 | -99,94],397 | 3,065,956 | 26, 872,411 | 3,508,33, | 709,862 |
| 1862 | 25,157,280 | 1,296,564 | 23,860,716 | 3,213,983 | 1,193,036 |
| 1863 | 30, 55.54261 | 1,156,799 | 29,697,465 | 4,439,597 | 1,781,848 |
| 1864 | 3:37,51,230 | 953,472 | 32,617,758 | 7,005,603 | 2,609,421 |
| 1865 | $37,306,168$ | 1,487,815 | 33, 818,353 | 6,965,428 | 2,865,468 |
| 1866 | $45,285,98: 3$ | 1,020,544 | 44, 260 , 439 | 7,495,864 | 8,541,235 |
| 1867 | 56,123,079 | 639,888 | $55,483,191$ | b 8,916,211 | b $4,009,321$ |
| 1868 | 56,408,435 | 518,428 | $5.5,860,007$ | 11,8×4,702 | 4,402,624 |
| 1869 | $53,301,030$ | 2,315,842 | 50,985,188 | 11,847,827 | 4,168,900 |
| 1870 NINTH DECENNIUM. | 56,378,537 | 1,606,272 | $54,767,265$ | 11,345,631 | 3,821,461 |
| 1871 | 44,401,359 | 1,002,184 | 43,399,175 | 10,953, 029 | 3,826,462 |
| 1872 | $45,214,403$ | 310,588 | 44,903, 515 | 10,108,889 | 2,102, 896 |
| 1873 | 43,533, 909 | 5-5,2ヘ9 | 42,975,620 | 10,424,65:2 | 2,205,6:1 |
| 1874 | 47,189,837 | 958,280 | 46,231,557 | 11,12, 174 | 2,360,282 |
| 1875 | 49,112,255 | 648,488 | 48,463,767 | 10,409,255 | 2,495,189 |
| 1876 | 39,026,200 | 1,058,815 | 37,967,385 | 8,712,116 | 2,447,658 |
| 1877 | 30,188,963 | 302,891 | $29,886,072$ | 7,335,194 | 1,812,525 |
| 1878 | 27,490,007 | S44,206 | 26,645,501 | 6,874,767 | 1,678,485 |
| 1879 | 38,460,347 | 734,706 | 37,725,641 | 6,914,983 | 2,209,660 |
| TENTH DECENNIUM. 1880 .......................... | 38,120,880 | 77,878 | 28,043,00: | 8,997,844 | 2,465,265 |
| 1881 | 28,708,221 | 616,831 | 28,091,390 | 6,401,214 | 1,659,064 |
| 1882 | 37,268,830 | 172,773 | 37,096,057 | 9,714,943 | 2,221,692 |

(a) These statistics are from October 1st, 1812, to June 30th, 1843-nine months.
(b) The values and amounts paid for customs after 1866 were calculated on the amount entered for consumption.

II－Continued．

| Total． | Domestic． |  | CONSUMPTION． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Produce． | Exports． | Foreign． | Domestic． | Total． | Popula－ tion． |  |
| Dollars． | Gallons． | Gallons． | Gallons． | Gallons． | Gallons． | Number． | Gallons． |
| 3，836，936 | 10，217，307 | 32,583 | 19，515，542 | 10，184，724 | 29，700，266 | 17，070，240 |  |
| 3，494，245 | 7，503，230 | 26，663 | 19，026，242 | 7，776，567 | 26，802，509 | 17，563，990 |  |
| 2，754，704 | $8,072,317$ | 63，467 | 17，631，453 | 8，008，850 | 25，640，305 | 18，065， 813 |  |
| 1，641，698 | 12，556，923 | 4，390 | 11，675，284 | 12，55， 538 | 24，2：27， 817 | 18，603，956 |  |
| 3，805，836 | 9，000，2ti4 | 13，073 | 2．3，450，684 | 8，987，191 | 31，437， 575 | 19，102，946 | 1.80 |
| 3，482，160 | 17，923，076 | 69，237 | 18，003，084 | 17，853，839 | 35，856，923 | 19，640，029 | 1.80 |
| 4，259，642 | 16，652，769 | 5，270 | 22，345，944 | 16，677，499 | 39，023， 443 | 20，225，760 |  |
| 3，612， 886 | 12，556，923 | 89,863 | $29,210,212$ | $12,467,060$ | 41，677，272 | 20，869，760 |  |
| 4，339，225 | 21， $5 \cdots 26,153$ | 18，543 | 33，080，55：2 | 21，507．610 | $54, \overline{\text { ar }} 8.162$ | 21，609，554 |  |
| －3．427，996 | 19；935， 461 | 24， 007 | $23,003,271$ | 19，913，6戸 4 | 42，916，925 | 22，358，293 |  |
| 3，620，652 | 21，035，037 | 47，123 | 21，463，015 | 20，990，914 | 45，453，929 | 23，191，876 |  |
| $4,762,3200$ | 17，921，913 | 56，100 | $36,150,150$ | 17， 6 6．, 513 | 54，015，993 | 23，974，993 |  |
| $4,594,560$ | 20，072，702 | 43，873 | $32,469,652$ | 20，028，825 | 52，498，47\％ | 24，843，547 |  |
| 4，663， 110 | 27，315，399 | 58，607 | 31，397，434 | 27， 259,792 | 58，657，는 | ？ $2,721,956$ |  |
| 3，830，531 | $37,422,270$ | 436,413 | 26，870，168 | 36，983，857 | 63，856，025 | 26，615，328 | 1.59 |
| 4，147，918 | $25,869,743$ | 790，956 | 24， 868,119 | 28，078，757 | 52，946，906 | 27，586，113 |  |
| 5，237，034 | 19，274，563 | 454，315 | 22， 356,534 | 18，820，－48 | 41，176，782 | 2－9，319，746 |  |
| 10，073，649 | $6,248,248$ | $20 \overline{4}, 981$ | $31,264,184$ | 6，035，317 | 37，299，501 | 29，124，515 |  |
| 3，709， 244 | $23,824,189$ | 290，046 | $20,6 \mathrm{in}, 282$ | $23,534,143$ | $44,192,425$ | 29，966，042 |  |
| ． $5,633,335$ | 30，206，\％20 | 181，341 | 30，704，476 | 30，357，384 | 61，091，861 | 30，685， 356 |  |
| 6，116，779 | 18，717，7¢0 | 79，439 | 29，700，515 | 18，688，311 | 48，338， 826 | $31,443,321$ |  |
| 4，218，192 | 19，301，032 | 91，59： | 26， 6202,411 | 19，209，439 | 46，0181，850 | 32，238，403 |  |
| 4，407，019 | 39，417，378 | $4 \overline{5}, 009$ | $2 \mathrm{c}, \infty 60,716$ | 39，372，369 | 63， $2 \times 3,0 \times 5$ | 32，987，985 |  |
| 6，221，445 | 17，160，000 | 39，290 | 29，697， 465 | 17，120，710 | 46，818，175 | $33,211,430$ |  |
| 9，615，024 | 6，275，738 | 47,455 | $22,617,758$ | 6，208，283 | 38，546，041 | 33，345，204 |  |
| 9，830，896 | 848，766 | 30，875 | 3̄，，818，353 | S17，891 | 36，636，244 | $33,394,83^{2}$ |  |
| 11，037，099 | 1，476，577 | 55，633 | 44，265， 439 | 1，420，924 | 45，686，363 | 34，324，665 |  |
| 12，925，632 | 3，350，285 | 59，544 | a 50，116，517 | 3，490，741 | 53，407，258 | 35，342， 849 |  |
| 16，257，3：6 | $3,076,297$ | 42，54：3 | $55,006,060$ | 3，033，754 | 58，0：39，814 | 36，361，669 |  |
| 16，016，727 | 7，1：8，841 | 265，995 | 52，111，252 | 6，859，846 | 58，971，098 | 37，400，130 |  |
| 15，167，092 | 6，961，706 | 299，672 | 47，768，267 | 6，662，0\％4 |  |  |  |
| 13，779，491 | 11， 821,501 | 2，946，113 | $47,260,0: 1$ | $8,575,398$ | $56,185,419$ | 39，743， 755 |  |
| 12，211，755 | 10，2－3，42 | $2,7=6,858$ | 42， 057,924 | 7，5⿹\zh26¢，500 | 49，614，494 | 40，967 095 |  |
| 12，630，273 | 8，774，254 | 3，055，836 | 44，112，413 | 5，718，418 | 49， 8330,831 | 42，263， 762 |  |
| 13，482，456 | 7，226，878 | 2，447，905 | 47，205，641 | 4，778，973 | 51，981，614 | 43，456，931 | 1.15 |
| 12，904，444 | 9，415，328 | 4，769，292 | 43，2－2，697 | 4，646，036 | 47，866，733 | 44，588，083 | 1.15 |
| 11，159，784 | 11，439，－64 | 4，408，412 | $39,213,505$ | $7,030,85: 2$ | $46,244,657$ | 45，657，668 |  |
| 9，147，719 | 13，347，079 | 3，470，827 | 29，000，397 | 9，876，252 | 38，576，649 | 46，761，551 |  |
| 8，553，252 | 20，359，310 | 1，477，057 | 26，943，298 | 18，882，253 | $45,825,551$ | 47，874，485 |  |
| 9，124，643 | 18，902，318 | 4， $7: 27,367$ | 35，353，586 | 14，174，951 | 49，528，537 |  | ） |
| 11，463，109 | 17，430，452 | 3，596，010 | 39，545，695 | 13，834，532 | 53，380，227 |  |  |
| 8，060，278 | 21，814，691 | 2，214，467 | 26，744，013 | 19，600，224 | 46，344，237 |  |  |
| 11，936，635 | 13，858， 279 | 1，592，050 | 35，696，3⿹̄3 | 11，966，299 | 47，662，502 |  |  |

（a）After 1867，inclusive，the amounts of foreign molasses consumed were obtained from the Annual Reports on＂Commerce and Navigation，＂and not by subtracting the exports from the imports，as was done previous to that date．
Table III.-Production, exports, and consumption of molasses in the United States for each fiscal year, from 1790 to 1882.


[^1]| Foutrif decennium. | Giallons. | Gultons. 400,000 | (iallours. 2,250,000 | Gictlons. | (itallons 2, 2. 20,000 | Giallous. $9,75,569$ | Gitlloms. <br> $2,650,000$ | Gitllons. $12,402,56!$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ 9,(6 ; 3,153] \end{array}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1821 |  | 433,000 | 2,116, (6i6 |  | 2,416,6ifi | $0,0.77,561$ | 2,819,666 | 11, $\times 97,227$ | 0,917,091 |
| 18.22 |  | 466i,600 | 2,500,000 |  | 2,500,000 | 11,977,277 | $2,9966,600$ | 1-1,913, 3 , 57 | 10,205,555 |
| 1823 |  | 383,300 | 2,887,500 |  | $2,887,500$ | 13,015,919 | 3,270,800 | 16.2Sti, 719 | 10,504,195 |
| 1821 |  | 450,000 | :3,080,000 |  | 3,080,000 | 13,098,987 | 3,5330,000 | 16,628,987 | 10,812,777 149 |
| 1825 |  | 48,3,300 | $2.857,500$ |  | 2,887,500 | 12,519,256 | 3,370, 800 | 15,810, 056 | 11,132, 91.91$\}^{149}$ |
| 1826 |  | 550,000 | 4,331,250 | 2,070 | 4,339, 180 | $13,792,413$ | 4, 879,180 | 15,671,623, | 11,459,903 |
| 1827 |  | 581,300 | (, \%, S3, 3 , 70 | 5,0:7 | 6, 8228,713 | 13,356,392 | 7.410,013 | 20,766,408 | 11,803,775 |
| 1828. |  | 516,600 | $8,466,631$ | 2,003 | $8,46 \cdot 1,1)^{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 13,363, $18: 3$ | $8,931,2 \mathrm{y}$ x | 20, 24.1411 | 12, 157, 956 |
| 1829. |  | 571,4i00 | 4,612,907 | 6,610 | 4,6:36,267 | 10,113,304 | 5, 2007,867 | 15,321,171 | 12,508,808 |
| 1830....... ........ |  | 583,000 | 6,485, 769 | 13,227 | 6,472,512 | 8,3:17,018 | 7,055,542 | 15,402,560 | 12,866,020 |
| :31 |  | 616,000 | 6,6,6i3, 161 | 3,166 | 6,660,301 | 17, (16is, 18: | 7,276,301 | 24,31.1, 18.1 | 13,20\%, 429 |
| 18 |  | 575,000 | (6,663, 661 | 8,310 | 6, 6,205151 | 15,830, 897 | 7,230, 151 | $23,061,0 \cdot 18$ | 13,615,82 6 |
| 1833 |  | 600, 000 | 6,219, 230 | 7,597 | 6, $6,211,63: 3$ | 15,67.1,320 | 6,811,63:3 | 22, $48.5,9.93$ | 14,019,3.4.3 |
| 1831 |  | 583, 3000 | 6,6663, 161 | 19,780 | 6,513, 6 ,6i4 | 17,027,736 | $7,2266,681$ | 2.1,2.21,417 | $11.420,731$ |
| 18:3.3 |  | 616,000 | $8,84.4,615$ | 6,5.13 | 8 8, $5 \times 8,07 \times$ | 18,920, 8.27 | 9,49404 | 24, $11.1,819$ | 1,1,814,2-13, $\}$ |
| 18:36 |  | (625,000 | $2.665 .3,351$ | 2,8,37 | $2,6692.517$ | 18,008, 83 | 3,207, 5, 47 | 21,296, 3, 30 | 15,270, 48.3 |
| 1837 |  | (fific,000) | 6.219,230 | 23,903 | 6, 199, 3 | 16,360,585 | (6, $8610, \ldots 27$ | 23, 291,912 | 15,711,264 |
| 1838 |  | 63:3,000 | 5,775,000 | 20, 204 | 5,732,93:3 | 21,134, 313 | ( $6,3855,133$ | 27,520,246 | 16, 120,691 |
| 1839 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 616,000 | (6,219,2:30 | 11,460 | 6,207,770 | 20,973,506 | 6,823,770 | 29,797,276 | 16,599,492 |
| 1810........... ... ........ |  | 671,920 | 10,217,307 | 32,583 | 10,184, 721 | 19,515,512 | 10,856,64.4 | 30,362,186 | 17,070,2:10 |
| $1 \times 11$ |  | 62, 0000 | 7,803,230 | 26,666: | 7,776,567 | 19, 0 (1) $6,6,212$ | 8, 101,567 | 27,427,809 | 17,563,990 |
| 1812 |  | (6.50,000 | 8,072,317 | 63,467 | $8,(01), 480$ | 17,631,455 | $8,6 \mathrm{BL}, 80$ | 26,290,302 | 18,065, 13 |
| 1813. |  | 690,000 | 12, $5.56,92 \cdot 3$ | 4.390 | 12, 5 , $0,5 \times 3$ | 11,675, 25 | 1:3,242,53, | 21,917, 817 | 18,603, 956 |
| 1814. |  | 325,000 | 9,000, 26.4 | 13,073 | 8 8,987,191 | 22, 450,684 | 9,312,191 | 31,762,875 | 19,102, 9.46 |
| 181.0 |  | 738,000 | 17,923,076 | 699,237 | 17,553, 8.39 | 18,003, 08.1 | 18,591, 839 | 36,594,9323 | 19,640,029 |
| $1 \times 16$. |  | 420,000 | 16,648, 769 | 5,270 | 16,677,499 | 22,315,944 | 17,097, 4193 | 39, $4143,4.13$ | $20,225,760$ |
| 1817. |  | 470,000 | 12,556,923 | 89, 863 | 12, 1477, (16) | 29,210,212 | 12,937,060 | 42, 147, 272 | 20,869,760 |
| 18.15 |  | 580,000 | 21, $5.26,1.53$ | 18,543 | 21,507,610 | 33,01080,55, 5 | 22,087,610 | $55,168,160^{2}$ | 21,609,554 |
| 1819 |  | 50s,000 | 19,9336, 161 | 24,807. | 19,913, 6 (iol | 23,003, 271 | 20,511,654 | 43,514,925 | 22, 35.58,293 |
| 18,50.............. |  | (632,96, | 21,038,037 | 17,123 | 20,900,911 | 21,463,015 | 21,623, 879 | 46,086,891 | 23,191,876 |
| 18.51 |  | (611,000 | 17,921,913 | 56, 100 | 17,8tis, 813 | :36, 150, 180 | 18,406, 81.3 | 54,950,993 | 23,974,993 |
| $185{ }^{2}$ |  | 670,000 | 20,072,702 | 43,577 | 20, $0128,82.5$ | 32, 469,659 | 20,698, 825 | 53, 168,477 | 2, $2,813,517$ |
| 18,33 |  | 650,000 | 27,318,399 | 58,607 | 27,2,9,7, 92 | 31,397,434 | 27,909,792 | 59,307, 228 | 25,721,956 |
| 18.1 |  | 1,107,000 | 37,422,270 | 4 4 6,413 | :36,985, 8.77 | 26,870, 168 | :12,092, 807 |  | 26,615,328 |
| 18.5 |  | -933,012 | 28, 8699.743 | 790,956 | 28,078,787 | 21, 26 C8, 119 | 29,014,799 | $53,8 \times 2,918$ | 27,586, 113 |
| 18.50 |  | 897,000 | 19,274,563 | 454,315 | 18, $5 \cdot 20,218$ | 22,356,5:31 | 19,717,248 | 42,073, $7 \times 2$ | 28,319,76 |
| 18.77 | 11,645 | 900,000 | 6,243,218 | 2017,9:1 | 6, $0,3,3,317$ | 31,264, 18.1 | 6,936,96i | 3, $20.201,16$ | 29,121,515 |
| 18.78 | 512,000 | 1,100,006) | 23, $8^{1}=1,189$ | 299,016 | 23,533, 14, | 20,658,283 | 25,176, 143 | 45,831, 425 | 21,966,012 |
| 180 | 6,747, 12:3 | 1,275,000 | $30,568.72 .5$ | 181,341 | 30,387, 38.4 | 30,704,477 | 38,409,507 | 69,113,981 | 30,6485, 5860 |

Table III.-Production, export, and consumption of molasses in the United States for each fiscal year, from 1790 to 1882 .-Continued.


## STATISTICS OF SUGAR.

The magnitude of the sugar industry in the United States may be realized, by reference to the following statistics obtained from official sources.

In 1879, the sugar and molasses imported reached in round numbers the sum of $\$ 76,500,000$, one-eighth of which was for molasses; at sum requiring more than the aggregate production of gold and silver of our mines, which, in 1880 , was of gold $\$ 36,000,000$, and of silver $\$ 39,200,000$, a total of $\$ 75,200,000$, or $\$ 1,300,000$ less than sufficient to pay for the sugar imported the previous year.

In 1881, the raw sugar consumed in the United States amounted to $1,008,932$ tons of 2,240 pounds, and in 1882 to $1,177,949$ tons. About an eighth of this was produced in the United States.

The value of the importations in 1881 was as follows:

| Sugar and Molasses | . 88,432,083 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Duties | 47,984,033 |
| Total.. | \$136,416,116 |

The Director of the U. S. Mint gives the gold and silver produced in 1881, in the United States, as follows:


Thus it will be seen that the sugar cost us, without duties, $\$ 9,832$,083 more than the entire product of our gold and silver mines; and, since to the consumer the duties are to be added, it appears that our sugar cost, in 1881, $\$ 57,816,116$ more than our gold and silver product.

In short, it is found that the amount of sugar consumed in the United States, since the discovery of gold in California, in 1848, has exceded the entire product of gold and silver of the United States during the same period, and has amounted to an aggregate of about $\$ 2,000,000,000$.

So impossible is it for the mind adequately to grasp the amounts represented by such figures, that it may perhaps be more readily comprehended when we say, that the amount of sugar annually consumed in the United States would fill a continuous row of hogsheads extending nearly from Boston to Chicago.

The following table represents the total receipts of the United States for revenue and customs in 1881. It will be seen that the duty upon sugar amounts to 24.3 per cent of the total receipts for customs, and
to 14.6 per cent of the entire revenue of the government from both customs and revenue.

## Internal Revenue Receipts, 1881.

| Spirits. | \$67,153,975 | Sugar, Melada, and Molasses. | \$47,984,033 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco | 42,854,992 | Silk Goods | 19,0338,666 |
| Fermented Liquors. | 13,700,241 | Iron and Steel | 21,462,534 |
| Banks and Bankers.. | 3,762,08 | Wool Stuffs | 27,255,62.5 |
| Penalties, etc. | 231,078 | Cotton Stuffs | 10,83.5,115 |
| Adhesive Stamps. | 7,924,708 | Flax Stuffs. | 6,981,375 |
| Other Articles..... | 152,163 | Other Articles | 59,980,663 |
|  | \$135,779,365 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 193,561,011 \\ & 135,719,365 \end{aligned}$ |

Sugar equal 24.3 per cent of Customs. 14.6 "4 of Entire Revenue.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SORGHUM.

The following attempt has been made towards the chronological arrangement of the literature of sorghum, and, although it is confessedly imperfect, it will at least assist those who may desire to investigate for themselves the history of this plant.

Many of the most valuable articles have appeared in the current publications, of which it was obviously impossible to procure a record. At some future time the list may be brought to a condition more nearly complete.

```
bibliography Of sorghum.
(Chronologically arranged.)
```

Arduino Pietro. Memorie di osservazioni e di sperienze sopra la coltura e gli usi di varie piante, che servons o che servir possono utilimente alla tinctura all'economia, all'agricoltura, etc. Tomo I. Padova, 1766.
Child, David Lee. The Culture of the Beet, and Manufacture of Beet Sugar. Boston, 1840. $12^{\circ}$. p. 156.
Notiz über Mais-zucker. Annales maratimes et coloniales. Paris, 1842. II T., 2, p. 346.

Colman's Rural World. St. Louis, Mo., 1848 to 1884.
Montigny. Liste de l'envoie Bulletin du comice agricole du Toulon. 1853.
Holcus Saccharatus. Reyue Horticole. 1854, February, July, and November.
Browne, D. Jay. Researches on Sorgho-Sucré, Department of Agriculture, Report, 1854, P. XII, and pp. 219-223.
Chinese Sugar-Cane. Correspondence, Department of Agriculture Report, 1855, pp. 279-285.
Barral. Ueber den Zucker in Holcus Sorghum. Moniteur industriel, 1855, p. 1919.

Ponsard. Verzuche mit Holcus Saccharatus. Moniteur industriel, 1855, No. 1939.

Reihlen. Ueber Holcus Saccharatus. Polytechnisches Centralblatt, 1855, p. 703.

Vilmorin, Louis. Le Bon Jardinier. 1855, p. 41.

Sorghum, Characteristics of. Massachusetts Agricultural Report, 1856, pt. 1, pp. 89, 91, 98.
Sorghum Saccharatum brought from China. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1856-'57, p. 446.
Browne, D. Jay. Crystallization of the juice of the Sorgho-Sucré. Department of Agriculture Report, 1856, pp. 309-313.
Jackson, C. T., M.D. Chemical Researches on the Sorgho-Sucré. Department of Agriculture Report, 1856, pp. 307-309.
Erfahrungen über die Kultur und Ausbeute von Zucker aus Sorghum. Moniteur industriel, 1856, No. 2049.
Turrel. Ueber das Sorghum in Nord-China. Moniteur industriel, 1856, No. 2110.

Zoulie. Ueber Sorghum. Moniteur industriel, 1856, No. 2110.
Madinier (P.) and (G.) Lacoste. Guide du cultivateur du Sogho-á-Sucré. Paris, 1856.
Madinier, M. Department of Agriculture Report, 1856, p. 313.
Vilmorin, Louis. Department of Agriculture Report, 1856, p. 312.
Browne, D. Jay. Report of the United States Agricultural Society. Department of Agriculture Report, 1857, pp. 181-183.
Sorghum, Experiments in the cultivation of. Massachusetts Agricultural Report, 1857, pt. 1, pp. 117-145, 149-215; pt. 2, pp. 38, 157, 170, 222, 225, 229, 234.
Sorghum Mills, Description of. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 416.
Sorghum, Lovering's experiments on. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 423.
Sorghum, Statement of, in Ohio. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 437.
Hardy. Ueber Zucker aus Sorghum. Moniteur industriel, 1857, No. 2131.
Cavé. Ueber den Anban von Sorghum auf dem Gute de Condé. Moniteur industriel, 1857, No. 2153.
Sorghum, Report on, at Fair. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 142.
Sorghum Sugar-Cane. New York Agricultural Report, 1857, pp. 16, 128, 135.
Hyde, J. F. C. The Chinese Sugar-Cane. New York, 1857. $12^{\circ}$.
Sorghum Saccharatum. Pennsylvania Agricultural Report, 1857-58, pp. 147, 557.

Sorghum, History of. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 409.
Sorghum discussed in annual convention. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 195.

Sorghum or Chinese Sugar-Cane. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1857, p. 34.
Jackson, C. T., M.D. Chemical Researches on the Chinese and African SugarCanes. Department of Agriculture Report, 1857, pp. 185, 192.
Lovering, Joseph S. Sorghum Saccharatum, or Chinese Sugar-Cane. Detailed account of experiments and observations upon, 1857.
On the Identity and Hibridity of the Chinese and African Sugar-Canes. (Condensed from the proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History.) Department of Agriculture Report, 1857, pp. 183-185.
Smith, J. Lawrence: Investigation of the Sugar bearing capacity of the Chinese Sugar-Cane. Department of Agriculture Report, 1857, pp. 192196.

Sorghum Sugar, Condensed correspondence on. Department of Agriculture Report, 1857, pp. 196, 226.

Stansbury, Charles F. Chinese Sugar-Cane and Sugar Making. New York, 1857. $12^{\circ}$.

Sorghum Syrup, Statement in regard to making. New York Agricultural Report, 1858, p. 722.
Sorghum-Cane, Letters read at a convention on. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1858, p. 306.
Sorgham Cane. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1858-'59, pp. 261, 350, 409, 412.

Sorghum. New York Agricultural Report, 1858, p. 12.
Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1858, p. 9.
Sorghum-Cane, Sugar from. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1858, pp. 107-109.
Sorghum-Cane, On. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1858, p. 512.
Olcott, Henry S. Sorgho and Imphee. The Chinese and African Sugar-Canes. A treatise upon their origin, varieties, and culture. New York, 1858, $12^{\circ}$, p. 352.

Jackson, Ch. T. Compte rendus, xlvi, p. 55. 1858.
Du Feyrat. Comparative Ausbeute aus Sorghum u. Zuckerrohr. Moniteur industriel, 1858, No. 2228.
Leplay, Ueber Sorghum u. dessen Zuckergehalt.
Moniteur industriel, 1858, No. 2334.
Comptes rendus, v. 46, p. 444.
Polytechnisches Centralblatt, 1858, p. 593.
Polytechnisches Jour. Ding. B, 148, p. 224.
Collectaneen über Zucker aus Sorghum.
Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., B. 148, p. 158.
Bulletin de la Société d'Encouragement pour l'Industrie Nationale, 1858, p. 505.

Polytechnisches Centralblatt, 1858, p. 1102.
Habich. Ueber Sorghum u. dessen Werth.
Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., B. 148, p. 302.
Polytechnisches Centralblatt, 1858, p. 1647.
Lovering. Ueber den Werth des Sorghums als Zuckerpflanze.
Moniteur Industriel, 1858, No. 2313.
Bulletin de la Société d'Encouragement pour l'Industrie Nationale, 1858, p. 673.

Sorghum Saccharatum. Essay on its composition. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1859, p. 213.
Wagner. Ueber den Mais als Zuckerpflanze. Agronomische Zeitung, 1860, p. 12.

Das Zucker-Sorgho, oder das chinesische Zuckerrohr (Holcus Saccharatus). Deutsche Gewerbezeitung, Wiecks, 1859, p. 443; 1860, p. 156.
Pierre. Ueber das chinesische Zucker-sorgho als Futter-u. Zuckerplanze. Bulletin de la Société d'Encouragement pour l'Industrie Nationale, 1860, p. 94 .

Anbawersuche mit der Zucker-hirse (Sorghum Saccharatum). Annalen der Landwirthschaft, Wochenblatt, Berlin, 1860, p. 350.
Cook, D. M. Culture and Manufacture of Sugar from Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1861, pp. 311-314.
Sorghum. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 52.

Sorghum as an Exhauster of Soil. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 526.
Sorghum as a Wine Plant. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 526.
Sorghum, Growth and Manufacture. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 210.
Sorghum Sugar. Warder's statement. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861. p. 15.
Sorghum, Culture and Manufacture. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 208.
Sorghum Sugar-Cane. New York Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 785.
Corn-stalk Sugar. Department of Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 275.
Sorghum Culture. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1861-'64, pp. 553-5̌67.
Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 8.
Sorghum. History of. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 206.
Sorghum as a Forage Plant. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1861, p. 527.
Sorghum Culture. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1861-'68, p. 35.
Goessmann, C. A. Chinese Sugar-Cane ; contributions to the knowledge of its nature, etc. Transactions of New York State Agricultural Society, 1861, pp. 785-811.
Hedges, Isaac A. Sorghum Culture and Sugar making. Department of Agriculture Report, 1861, ॥p. 293-311.
Sorghuin. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1861-'64, pp. 32, 67, $209,859$.
Bollman, Lewis. Cultivation of the Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1862, pp. 140-147.
Smith, J. H. Inphee and Sorghum Culture, and Sugar and Syrup Making. Department of Agriculture Report, 1862, pp. 129-140.
Statistical Report. Department of Agriculture Report, 1862, pp. 552, 553.
Syrup and Sugar Manufactured from Sorghum. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1862, p. 87.
Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1862, p. 128.
Hedges, Isaac A. Sorgho, or the Northern Sugar Plant. With an introduction by Wm. Clough. Cincinnati, Ohio, $1862,12^{\circ}$, p. 204.
Sorghum. Ohio Auricultural Report, 1862, p. 87.
Wetherell, Chas. M., M. D., Chemist Department of Agriculture. Report on Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1862, pp. 514-540.
Clough, Wm. Sorgho Journal, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1863 to 1869.
Gould, John Stanton. Report on Sorghum. New York Agricultural Report, 1863, pp. 735-769.
Wallace, G. B. Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1863, p. 162.
Moss, James W. Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1863, p. 244.
Sorghum, Essay on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1863, pp. 137-244.
Gould, J. Stanton. Report on Sorghum and Sugar Beet Culture. Transactions of New York State Agricultural Society, 1863, pp. 735-769.
Sugar Eraporator and Mills. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1863, p, 100.
Sorghum Sugar, Protest from Exhibitors of. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1863, p. 101.

Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1863, p. 4.
Sugar Mills, Ohio Agicultural Report, 1863, p. 85.
Clough. Wm. Sorghum, or Northern Sugar-Cane. Department of Agriculture Report, 1864, pp. 54-87.
Sorghum Mills. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1864.
Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1864, p. 7.

Sorghum Mills, Report of Committee on. Ohio Agriçultural Report, 1864, pp. 119, 120.
Collins, Tarnum B. Sorgho, or Northern Chinese Sugar-Cane. Journal North China Branch Royal Asiatic Society, December, 1865, pp. 85-98. Shanghai, 1865.

Clough, Wm. Production of Sugar from Sorghum or Northern Sugar-Cane. Department of Agriculture Report, 1865̄, pp. 307-324.
Sorghum, Introduction into the State. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1865, p. 17.

So:ghum. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1865, pp. 14, 352.
Sorghum. Premiums awarded. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1865-'66, pp. 18-97.
Sorghum, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1865, p. 225.
Tenney, A. P. Sugar Question. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1865, p. 329.
Ives, Mrs. E. F. Essay on Sorghum making. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1865, p. 225.

Peck, F. Botanical history of Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1865, pp. 229-307.
Webster \& Co., Sorgho Sugar-growers. The Culture and Manufacture of Sugar and Syrup from the Chinese and African Canes. Chicago, 1865 (?), $32^{\circ}$, p. 41.
Reed, W. History of Sugar and Sugar yielding Plants, and Epitome of Processes of manufacture. London, 1866.
Moser, J. Versuches Stationen, vol. 8, p. 93, 1866.
Jacob Brothers, Sorgho Manufacturers. Manual, etc. Columbus, Ohio, 1866.
Sorghum and its Products. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1866, pp. 169-172.
Sorghum in Delaware County. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1866, pt. 1, p. 188.
Sorghum and Imphee. Missouri Agricultural Report, 1866, p. 28.
Sorghum Mills. Illinois Agricultural Report, 1865-'66, p. 202.
Sorghum, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1866, p. 133, 223.

Sorghum, Report of Standing Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1866, p. 223.

Sorghum Sugar Making. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1866, pt. 2, p. 287.
Stewart, F. L. Sorghum and its Products. Philadelphia, 1867, 12, p. 240.
Sorghum and its Products. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1867, pp. 65-67.
Sorghum, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1867, p. 18.
Sorghum, Resolutions and Report in regard to. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1867, pp. 305-307.
Sorghum and its Products. Missouri Agricultural Report, 1867, p. 92.
Sorghum and Machinery, Awards on. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1867, p. 138.
Sorghum. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1867, pp. 62, 246; pt. 2, p. 16
Sorghum of Van Wert County. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1867, pt. 1, p. 158.
Sorghum and Machinery. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1868, p. 97.
Sorgho, The Journal and Farm Mechanic. Cincinnati, Ohio, Feb., 1869, $8^{\circ}$
Sorghum, Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1869, pp. 190-195.
Sorghum, Products of. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1869, p. 17.
Sorghum, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1869, p. 179.
Sorghum, Secretary's Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1869, p. 16.

Sorghum and Imphee. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1869, p. 27.
Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1869, p. 16; 1870, p. 189.
Bretschneider. Notes on History of Plants and Chinese Botany. Pebing, 1870.
Sorghum Syrup. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1870, p. 34.
Sorghum and its Products. Michigan Agricultural Report, 1870, p. 149.
Sorghum, Statement as to. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1871, pp. 205-212.
Sorghum, Report of Secretary on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1871, p. 23.
Sorghum Sugar and Syrup, Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1871, p. 204.

Sorghum. Abstract of County Agricultural Societies. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1871, p. 300.
Skinner, E. W. Sorghum. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1872, p. 290.
Sorghum Syrup and Sugar, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1872, p. 325.
Cadıcell, Phineas. Report of Committee on Sorghum and its Products in Iowa. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1872, p. 286.
Sorghum Plant. Nebraska Agricultural Report, 1873, p. 89.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1873, p. 313.
Sorghum, The Production of. Nebraska Agricultural Report, 1873, p. 89.
Sorghum, Table of Products and Acreage. Kansas Agricultural Report, 1873, pp. 89-126.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Ioma Agricultural Report, 1874, p. 304.
Sorghum. Ohio Agricultural Report, 1874, pp. 254, 636.
Basset, N. Guide Pratique du Fabricant de Sucré Paris, 1875, 3 vols., $8^{\circ}$.
Sorghum, Number of acres in. Georgia Agricultural Report, 1873-'75, p. 9.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1875, p. 281.
Sorghum. Tables of Product and Value. Kansas Agricultural Report, 1875, pp. 464-469.
Sorghum. Diagram showing Product and Value. Kansas Agricultural Report, 1875, p. 460.
Sorghum. Georgia Agricultural Report, 1876, p. 222.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1876, p. 312.
Sorghum, Report of Committee on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 18i6, p. 224.
Slewart, F. L. Maize and Sorghum as Sugar Plants. Department of Agriculture Report, 1875, pp. 228-264.
Sorghum Molasses, Gallons of. Virginia Agricultural Report, 1877, p. 43. Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1877, p. 271.
Collier, Peter. Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 187s, p. 98.
Maumené, E. J. Traite theorique et pratique de la Fabrication du Sucré. Paris, 1878, 2 vols., $8^{\circ}$.
Stewart, F. L. Sorghum Sugar made from Maize, etc. Washington, 1878. Sorghum. Virginia Agricultural Report, 1878. p. 31.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1878, p. 386.
Sorghum. Introduction into the country. Kentucky Agricultural Report, 1878, p 144.
Collier, Peter. Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1879, p. 36.
Sorghum, Abstract of Report on. Iowa Agricultural Report, 1879, p. 315.
Sorghum, Production of. Kentucky Agricultural Report, 1879, p. 64.

Goessmann, C. A. Early Amber Cane. Report Massachusetts Agricultural College, 1879.
Sorghum, Sugar from. Vermont Agricultural Report, 1879-'80, p. 260.
La Sucrurie Indigine, Extraction du Sucré du Sorgho et du Mais, 1879, p. 130.
Collier, Peter. Sugar from Surghum. Vermont Agricultural Report, 1879-'80, p. 219.

Stewart, F. L. Sugar from Maize and Sorghum. Washington, D. C., 1879, $12^{\circ}$. p. 102. -
Drummond, Victor A.W. Report on the Production of Sugar from Sorghum, 1879.

Sorghum-Cane. Proceedings of the Wisconsin Sugar Cane Growing and Manufacturing Association. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1879-'80, p. 463.
Sorghum Sugar. Report on, to Johnston, Hon. J. W., Chairman of Committee on Agriculture in United States Senate, 1880.
Blymyer Manufacturing Company. Sorgho Hand-Book. Cincinnati, Ohio, 1880.

Clough Refining Company. Clough Refining Process for Sorghum, etc. Cincinnati, 1880.
Collier, Peter. Corn-stalk and Sorghum Sugar. Abstract of an address delivered in the House of Representatives, Hartford, Conn., February 17th, 1880, Pamphlet, $8^{\circ}$, p. 23.
Collier, Peter. Sorghum and Corn as Sugar Producing Plants. Address delivered before the Connecticut State Board of Agriculture, at Willimantic, 1880. Pamphlet, $8^{\circ}$, pp. 23.

Sorghum: Sweets of Wisconsin. Wisconsin Agricultural Report, 1880-'81, p. 331 .

Sorghum, Statistics of. Quarterly Report. Kansas Agricultural Report, 1880, pp. 21, 22.
Sorghum, Productions of. Kentuc'iy Agricultural Report, 1880, p. 140.
Collicr, Peter. Sorghum. Department of Agriculture Report, 1880, p. 37, and Special Report, No. 33.
Ingram. W. Sorghum Cultivation in Belvoir. London, 1880.
Department of Agriculture. Preliminary Report, 1880.
Collier, Peter. Department of Agriculture Report, 1881 p. 17.
Hedges, Isaac A. Sugar Canes, and their Products. St. Louis, Mo., 1881.
Rutger's Scientific School. Seventeenth Annual Report, 1881, p. 63.
Tucker, J. H., Ph.D. A Manual of Sugar Analysis, including the Application in general of Analytical Methods to the Sugar Industry. New York, 1881, $8^{\circ}$, p. 353.
Weber and Scovell, Professors. Sorghum. Report on the Manufacture of Sugar, Syrup, and Glucose, from. Illinois Industrial University, 1881.
Tilmorin, Andrieux. Le Sorgho sucré de Chine et le Sorgho hatif du Minnesota, ou Sorgho sucré ambré. Journal d'Agriculture practique, May 8th, 1880, and February 17th, 1881.
Spon's Dictionary. Article, Sorghum. London, 1881.
Ware, L. S. A Study of the Various Sources of Sugar. Philadelphia, 1881.
Sorghum, Sugar from. Kentucky Agricultural Report, 1881, p. 83.
Sorghum, Cultivation and Manufacture of. Kentucky Agricultural Report, 1881, p. 72.

Kolischer, Theo. Sorghum, Sugar from. Kentucky Agricultural Report, 1881, p. 86.

Locke, Wigner, and Harland. Sugar Growing and Refining. London, 1882.
Experimental Farm, Madison, Wisconsin. Experiments in Amber Cane, 1882.
Biot and Soubeiran, Zucker in Mais. Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., 86 s., 213.

Pallas. Mais Zucker. Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., 94 s., 326. Brévetés d'invention. Paris, T. 46, p. 146.
Vorschlag. Zu einer Production von Zucker aus Holcus Sorghum. Technological Repository, Gill v. 10, p. 119; Franklin Jour., 1 s., v. 1, p. 201.
Pallas. Zucker aus Mais. Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., 63 s., 156 ; Jour. des con. usul. et prat., Paris, T. 26, pp. 97, 109.
Neumann: Zucker aus Mais. Polytechnisches Jour. Ding., 67 s., 300.
Collier Peter. Department of Agriculture Report, 1881-'82, p. 379.
Van Buren. Thomas B. Sorghum in Japan. Commercial Reports, Consuls, 1882, No. 25, p. 97.
National Academy of Sciences. Report of Committee on Sorghum Sugar Industry. Washington, 1882.
Report. Miss. Valley Cane Growers' Association. St. Louis, 1882.
Report. Wisconsin State Cane Growers' Association. St. Louis, Mo., 1883.
Report. Northern Cane Growers' Association of New York. Elmira, N. Y., 1883.

Collier, Peter. Sorghum as a Sugar Producing Plant. Special Report Dep't of Agric., 1883.
Sorghum and Beet Root Sugar Industry. Department of Agriculture. Special Report, 1883.
Storer, F. H. Sorghum Sugar. The Nation, vol. 31, p. 58.
Kittell, T. P. Sorghum. Hunt's Merchants' Magazine, vol. 48, p. 17.

## CHAPTER III.

(a.) History of Sorghum.
(b.) Botany of Sorghum.
(c.) Introduction of Sorghum into the United States.
(d.) Hybridization of Sorghum.

## HISTORY OF SORGHUM.

This interesting and valuable plant, which, for one purpose or another, has been cultivated, from the earliest historic periods, over an extent of territory as wide as that occupied by any of the other cereals, is in its early history very obscure. It origin is supposed to have been in India, the fruitful source of so many other cultivated plants; and thence it has been carried over a large portion of Asia, Europe, and Africa. Cultivated not only in India, but China, over the wide territory of Central Asia, Syria, and Arabia, and thence into Africa and Europe, until it occupied nearly the whole of Africa and the southern half of Europe, this plant has supplied the wants, as food or forage, of perhaps as large a number of the earth's population as any plant. Although its great value has so long been known, it appears destined to be, in the future, of far greater value to the agriculturist than it has been in the past.

Whether or not the many varieties of this plant now in existence have resulted from a common origin, remains, and perhaps must remain, an open question. Certain it is, that the numerous varieties, together with the closely related species, have long engaged the attention of botanists. The following quotations will show some of the points in the history of this plant.

In his "Principal Plants used as Food by Man," Dr. F. Unger says:
The common Indian millet (Sorghum vulgare, Pers.) was introduced into Egypt by Arabians, and is a characteristic plant of Africa, not because it was originally indigenous there, but because it is principally cultivated in this country, on the east and west coast of the northern half to Timbuctoo; in Abyssinia, from sea level to 8000 miles elevation. Although its native country can not be positively ascertained, it can scarcely be any other land than India. Even in the time of Pliny it was known in Europe, and in the 13th century had extended to Italy, and at beginning of 16 th century reached France under name of Saracen millet. It is now grown in Hungary, Dalmatia, Italy, Portugal. The different varieties of the Indian millet, however, are not well defined at the present day. It is doubtful whether the Sorghum bicolor, Willd, and the Sorghum Usorum, Nees, are entitled to a specific rank.

## In his " Manual on Phrsical Geography," Professor Gustav Adolph von Kloeden, Berlin, 1866, says:

Sorghum (Holcus) saccharatum (Halapense andropogon), a variety of S. vulgare, or of the Durrha, is the sugar-cane of Northern China, and by the Zulu Kaffirs called Imphee. The Japanese cultivate it only for the sugar and the alcohol, and for that purpose it is now cultivated in the United States. In the year 1851, this plant became first known in Europe. In France, it is cultivated in the Drome, East Pyrenees, the upper Marne, Gironde, Gers, etc., also in Algeria, where it is extensively cultivated. It grows from 9 to 18 feet in height. When the cane is cut, there grow 5 to 20 canes from the root. It is an excellent food for cattle.

The seed coming from the East Indies, are used in England for puddings. From the common Durrha the Kaffirs make a flour, and they plant close by their huts 15 varieties of the Imphee, which they chew for the sweet juice. They call it also Mabali. The stronger varieties seem to be Koom-ba-na, Shla-goon-dee, and Oom-see-a-na; the tallest are the Vim-bis-chu-a-pa and E-a-na-moodee.

This variety of Sorghum grows also in Nubia, and in the Oases, and it is called'in Egypt Bali, Arabic Durrha. In Egypt, there are six varieties cultivated.

The common Sorghum (vulgare) is the principal grain food in Africa: it is made into bread, or eaten as mush. It is the principal nutriment in many parts of India, where it is called Jovari, and in the dry regions of Arabia, in Syria, where it has been cultivated since time immemorial. In Egypt, Nubia, where it is called Durrba, it grows from 5 to 20 feet in height, and in Senegambia, where it reaches 15 feet in height. It is cultivated in Hungary, Dalmatia, Italy, and Portugal. In the West Indies, it is called Guinea Corn. In China and Cochin China, the S. saccharatum is also cultivated. Marshilla, Sorghum bicolor, is cultivated in Abyssinia at 8,000 feet above the sea. In Borneo, they grow a kind called gussub, which is used exclusively as food, and they make the Kaddel from it as a delicacy. The Nubians make from the sorghum a fermented beverage called Buzah.

## Chambers' Encyclopcedia says:

The common Durra, Doura, Durra Millet, Indian millet, Sorghum, S. vulgare, or Andropogon sorghum, Holcus sorghum, Joar and Jowaree, in India, native of East India; cultivated extensively in Asia, and may be called the principal corn plant of Africa. Also cultivated largely in South Europe. Rival of maize in amount of seed. Leaves and seed are used as food for horses and cattle.

The seeds of the Shaloo or Sugar Grass (S. saccharatum) are more pleasant than those of Doura to taste. It is cultivated in warm parts of Asia and Africa, and has diffuse and very spreading panicle. The moist pith is eaten. It is cultivated in the United States as Chinese sugar-cane. Kaffir-corn (S. caffrorum) has a very diffuse umbel-like panicle, with branches bending down all around. Has sweet pith. Largely cultivated in South Africa, both by Caffers and colonists. By latter, the grain is used for horses.

Dr. S. Wells Williams, the distinguished Chinese scholar, in a communication to the Committee of the National Academy of Scieuces, engaged in the investigation of the "Sorghum Sugar Industry," in reply to the question, "Is it known how long sorghum has been cultivated in China as food, or for making spirits?" says :

To this question it is hard to make any satisfactory reply, inasmuch as no Chinese books contain illustrations of grains or plants used in ancient times, nor are there found among their monuments pictures of these similar to representations of ancient Egypt, Assyria, Greece, etc.

As to the history of this grain in China, Dr. Bretschneider, of the Russian legation at Peking, and foremost among the authorities upon Chinese botany, says (concerning the plant called Shu): "This cereal is separately described in the Pun Tsao (Chinese Herbal), published A. D. 1570. The grain is called Hwangmi, and is said to possess much glutinous matter. It is used for manufacturing alcoholic drinks. This corn was known to the Chinese in the most ancient times. It seems to me that the meaning of the character Shu, in ancient days, was not glutinous millet (as Dr. Legge states in the Shu King), but rather sorghum, as Dr. Williams translates." ". If this deduction is true, the cultivation of this plant dates from about 2000 в. c. The precise uses of this grain in ancient times can only be inferred.

If the identity of the Shu (mentioned in the classics) with sorghum could be proved beyond question, this grain would rank in age as grown in China with any in the world.

Sorghum is seldom used in China now as food for man; the great food staples of Northern China are wheat, pulse, maize, and Italian millet (Setaria). Buckwheat, panicled millet, and the sweet potato, may be included as secondary staples. Rice is imported to the north from the southern provinces.
I have never seen the broom corn grown in China.
The twenty or more varieties which President Angell brought from China could, probably, be increased in number if the collection were made from a more extended area.
The uses of this plant for fuel, tend to increase attention to the development of its stalk rather than the grain.
The plant often attains a height of 15 or 16 feet. The common practice of stripping off all the leaves within reach upon the growing stalk, for feeding cattle, increases very materially its woody fiber. Cutting the stems while in their prime of growth, and chewing them green, as southerners do the sugar-cane, is not unusual in the north.
The Chinese do not possess the art of refining sugar or making syrup to perfection. Even in the cane-growing districts their employment of molasses is small; none of this is ever made from sorghum, to my knowledge.

[^2]Dr. E. Bretschneider, physician to the Russian legation at Peking, who is quoted in the foregoing notes from Dr. Williams, says, in his essay, or memoir, on the study and value of Chinese botany, page 46 :

> The true sugar-cane (Saccharum officinarum) growing in China, must not be confounded with what is called Northern China sugar-cane. This is Sorghum saccharatum, a plant now-a-days largely cultivated in Europe and America for the purpose of manufacturing sugar from it. This plant was Arstintroduced from Shaughai into France by the French consul, M. Montigny, in the year 1851 , whence it spread over Europe and America, after it was prored that it is very lich in sugar.

Dr. Bretschneider then relates substantially the same statements respecting Mr. Collins astonishing the natives by making sugar from sorghum, which Dr. Williams also mentious.
On page 45 , after discussing the meaning of the Chinese terms applied to these plauts, he adds, in conclusion:

It seems to me that the meaniug of the character translated Shu in ancient times, was not glutinous millet las Dr. Legre states in his translation of the Shu King), but rather sorgho, as Dr. Williams translates.

It seems, then, that the term Chinese sugar-cane is a misnomer, only so far as the plant was not recognized as a sugar producing plant by the Chinese, while the original seed of the Sorghum saccharatum, according to these authorities, was undoubtedly imported into France from China.

The above statement is very interesting, in connection with the names of those rarieties of sorghum received through President Augell from Northern China. Each of these was called Liang, which was interpreted millet, though they were, undoubtedly, specimens of sorghum. As will be seen, however, Dr. Williams mentions panicled millet as one of the secondary staples grown in China. Besides the common name Liang, the additional names, Hwong-mao-nien, translated yellow-cap-glutinous, were given; and the name of the grain grown by Dr. W. is Hwang-mi.

In this connection, the following quotation from a letter received from John Thorne, Esq., is of interest. It would appear that, if the identity of Hwang -mi and Hwong-mao was established as the grain of the plant "Shu," that the cultivation of sorghum in China is verified by historical evidence extending back nearly 4,000 years.

The note of Dr. Collier I inclose, and note what he says about the meaning of the word in Chinese. I faucy Hwang-mao the same as H. mi, or, better, "shu," which is a radical, and means millet. See Williams' Ch. Dict'ry, Rad.
202. The appearance of the grain is cap-like, growing in even rows, corn high, and beautiful to look at, in all of the North China provinces.
I have not seen it, except to a limited extent, in the provinces watered by the great river (Yang Tse).

Dr. Williams' Report, p. 57, is a very accurate one, and I can add nothing thereto. "Pao Liang," which is also understood in China as a millet production, is a spirituous liquor much used by the Chinese, north, south, east, and west. I have tasted it, but, like all the Chinese distillations, in failing to purify from "must," is objectionable. Other grains are also distilled in China; but none, I think, to the same extent as the millet plant.

## BOTANY OF SORGHUM.

In the Annual Report of the Department of Agriculture, 1865, p. 299, F. Pech has collected many historical and botanical references to sorghum, which have been verified by reference to the several authorities, and are here appended. Pliny the elder, who lived in the first century, in his Natural History, Lib. XVIII, ch. 10, says :

Milium intra hos decem annos ex India in Italiam invectum est, nigrum color, amplium grano, arundineum culmo. Adolerat ad pedes altitudine septem, praegrandibus culmis lobas vocant, omnium frugum fertilissimum. Ex uno grano sextarii terni gignuntur. Seri debet in humidas.-Within the past six years, a millet has been imported into Italy from India, of a black color, abounding in seed, and with a reed-like stalk. It attains a height of seven feet, and has very large stalks, which they call lobas; and of all grain it is the most fruitful. From a single kernel, about three pints of seed is produced. It should be planted in moist ground.

And a note appended to the above, by Scaliger Exercit, 292, p. 869, says:

Hoc sorghum vocari apud nos populares.-This plant is called sorghum among our people.

The name milium, or millet, means thousands, referring to its numerous seeds, and is, of course, as applicable to sorghum as to millet, and was, probably, applied originally to all plants of this general character.

Pliny, 32nd chap., Book VI, speaking of Insulae Fortunae (Canary Islands), asserts, on authority of Juba :

Arbores similes ferulae, ex quibus aqua ex premitur, ex nigra amare, ex candidioribus jucunda.-Trees similar to the giant fermel, from which juice is expressed, which from the black variety is bitter, and from the whiter variety is sweet.

Since sugar-cane is not reported as having been introduced upon these islands earlier than 1420 , it would appear that the above reference of Juba must have been to the sorghum.

Fuchius, of Belgium, describes, in his History of Plants, in 1542,
a plant under the name of Shorghi, which is precisely the true popular name of the Sorgho in the East Indies.

Jerome Fragus, in describing the plants of Germany, in 1552, gives the description of the same plants, under the name of "Panicum Dioscorides et Plinii" (bread millet of Dioscorides and Pliny), thus showing that the plant referred to by Pliny was the same as that mentioned by Dioseorides, the Greek, and was already cultivated in Germany.

Conrad Gesner, in his Hortus Germania (German garden), in 1591, names the same plant Sorghum.

Matthioli, an Italian, in his Commentaries on Dioscorides, in 1595, describes it under the name of Milium Indicum (Indian millet).

Lobel, a Belgian, in 1576; describes the plant as the "Sorgho melica Italorum" (sweet sorghum of the Italians).

Dodon, a Belgian, in 1583, in’his Pemptades, names it " melica, sive sorghum" (honey, or sorghum).

It will be observed, here, that a distinction is made in these plants, then cultivated in Italy and Germany-reference being made to the sweet character of one variety. And a Roman writer, Lucian, the Syrian poet, who wrote about the secund century, says, verse 237, Book III, "Quique bibunt tenera dulces ab arundine succos" (Those who drink the sweet juices from the tender cane), may refer to Sorghum saccharatum.

Belloni speaks of it as Sorghum Insubrum, thus locating it as already established in Northern Italy.

Lonicer, a German, 1589, and Gerarde, an Englishman, 1597, describe several varieties of sorghum, as sorghum panicum loculere.

Bester, a German, 1613, also describes it as milium Plinii : thus showing that the plant described by Pliny had been cultivated in Europe from his day down to the seventeenth century.

In 1623, Gasper Bauhin, in his Pinax, includes all the above names as synonyms, under the descriptive phrase of " milium arundinaceum subrotundo semine, sorgo nominatum" (a reed-like millet, with nearly round seed, called sorgo), and with the observation, that the seed varies in color from a brownish red to black, and from white to yellow; these names represent one or more species.

And, in his Historia Plantarum, Liber XVIII., Art. Sorghi, Bauhin, says of the seed:
"Appensa haeret copiosissima, quae lentibus aequalia compressa non nihil oblonga, nunc alba, nunc fusca et quandoque nigra."-It is in the greatest
abundance, and is compressed similar to lentils, somewhat oblong in shape, white, dark, and sometimes black in color.

And of the stalk, he says:
Eius tamen calamus, non ut vulgarium arundinum inanis est, sed sacchariferarum arundinum modo, alba farctus medulla. - Yet the stalk of this reed is not worthless, like the common reed, but is, in fact, a sugar bearing reed, filled full of a white pith.

And he quotes Dodonius as saying, in Hist. Lat. Frum :
Melica, siva sorghum, Lusitanis milium Saburrum appellatum non nulli tamen Panicum peregrinum at Indicum cognominant.-Melica, or sorghum, called by the Lusitanians Saburrum millet, yet sometimes they call it foreign, or Indian Panicum.

And he concludes as follows:
Nos eorum sententiam probamus qui milium Indicum Plinii esse conclu-dent.-We agree with the opinion of those who consider it to be the Indian millet of Pliny.)

Frequent reference is made to the white, sweet incrustations upon the joints of an Iudian reed, to which the name Saccharum was given by Dioscorides; and which is supposed to be the earliest reference to sugar. It is probable that this substance was similar to, if not identical with, a similar exudation from the joints of a hollow reed growing in our Western territories, and which is annually gathered, in considerable quantity, by the Indians, and is known as Piute sugar. It is allied to manna in composition, and is not cane sugar.

Besides the many quotations given, there are many others which could, with equal force, apply to either the sugar-cane or the sorghum, as, for example, Varro ( 68 B. c.), says :

India non magna nimis arbore crescit arundo illius è lentis primetur radicibus humor dulcia qui nequeant succo condendere nulla.-There grows, in India, a reed, not much less in size than a tree, from the pliant stalks of which is expressed a juice which the sweet honey can not surpass.

From Bauhin to the present day, botanists have been more careful in their determinations of those plants so closely allied.

Linneus places them under his genus Holcus, under the specificacation of H . sorghum for the Indian millet, and H . saccharatum for the Chinese cane.

Persoon, after a careful study of these plants, has divided the Linnæan genus Holcus to form a new one, which he calls Sorghum.

The name sorghum is from Shorghi, the common name of the plant in the East Indies.

## Botanical Description.

Generic characters: Spikelets (flowers with their husks at the end of the small branches), two or three together on the slender ramifications of the panicle (seed head), the lateral ones abortive, or reduced to a mere pedicel ; the middle or terminal ones fertile. Glumes (the husk or hull) coriaceous (leathery), closely bearded or downy, becoming indurated after the anthesis (blooming), with or without awns. Palea (inner husk) membranous ; stamens, three ; styles, two, with bearded ${ }^{\text {; }}$ stigmas. Stout, tall grasses, with solid stalks with pith.
Specific Name, Sorghum Saccharatum.

## Botanical Names.

Milium quod ex India, in Italiam inrectum, nigro colore.-Pliny. Sorgo melica Italorum.-Lobel.
Melica, sive sorghum.-Dodon.
Melica forte a milica sagina, aliis saginanda calamagrostis Dioscori-des.-Coesalpin.
Milium arundinaceum sub rotuddo semine, sorgo nominatum.-G. Bauhin.
Sorghum.-Rumphi.
Milium Indicum arundinaceo caule, granis flarescentibus.-Herman.
Holcus saccharatus.-Linureus.
Milium Indicum sacchariferum altissimum seminibus ferrugineo.Breynuis.

Holcus dochna.-Foskal.
Holcus caffrorum.-St. Clair.
Andropogou saccharatum.-Kuntz.
Sorghum saccharatum --Persoon.
Holcus caffrorum.-Thunb.
Holcus caffir.-Arduini.
Sorghum Arduini.-Jaquas.
Sorghum caffrorum.-Beaurois.

## Description of Plant.

Root, fibrous; culm (stalk) thick, stout, solid, with pith, from sis to twelve feet high; leaves lanceolate, acuminate, downy at base ; flowers forming a large, more or less diffusely spreading panicle, with the branches more or less verticillate, often nodding when in fruit; glumes (husk) of the perfect flower hairy, downy, and persistent ; from the East Indies. Cultivated.

William Henry Harvey, F.R.S., in "The Genera of South African Plants," London, 1868, gives the generic characters of this plant as follows:

Sorghum.-Spikelets at the ends of twigs of a branching panicle-either female, male, or neuter-dissimilar. Outer glumes, two ; in the fertile and male spikelets coriaceous, hardening with scarcely obvious immersed nerves; in the neuter spikelets membranous, nerved; flowering glumes thinly membranous ciliate, the lower neuter, the upper fertile, with a short twisted awn, or awnless, Palea small, narrow scales, fimbricate. Seed thick, short, hard, closely. wrapped in the hardened glume and palea.

- Nees, l. c., p. 85 :

Tall, strong, broad-leaved grasses, with villous or pubescent glumes; grain used as food in India.

Holcus caffrorum, described by Thunberg, in his "Flora Capensis," and later (1780) introduced from South Africa into Italy by Peter Arduino, was found by Sprengel to be identical with S. saccharatum, to which he also referred S. arduini, Jaqu., and the S. caffrorum, Beauv.

Nees agrees with Sprengel; but states decidedly that two distinct species are cultivated on the Cape of Good Hope; as is seen from a note appended to his description of the following species: "Species altera in hortis Coloniae culta quae Holcus caffrorum. Thunb. Flora. Cap."

## Sorghum Usorum. N.v. E.

This species, referred to above by Nees, has been described by Thunberg, in his Prodromus Floro Capensis, as Holcus caffrorum, and has subsequently been mentioned as $S$ caffrorum panicula compactiori. Roem and Schlut.

Recognizing it as a proper species in his Agrostographia Capensis, Nees applied to it the name of the Caffir tribe, " Us," among whom Drege found the plant extensively cultivated.

Dr. Charles Mohr, of Mobile, Ala., who has recently investigated the subject, writes, that

There can scarcely be any doubt that all the South African varieties, with more or less closely contracted panicles, can be referred to this species. The many forms under cultivation can be reduced to those specifically distinct types. Adopting the view that Sorghum vulgare is the parent plant of these species, and all of them can be separated in two races, distinct in habit and geographically in their ancestry, as has already been shown by Pech, in his Botanical History of Sorghum (Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1865):

1. The race of the sorghos chiefly of Asiatic origin, with the branches of the expanded panicles more or less drooping, characteristic of the Sorghum saccharatum.
2. The race of the Imphees, or the exclusively African race, the closely contracted panicle more or less dense, with erect adpressed ramifications, the type of Sorghum Usorum.

By the crossing of these races, and the inter-crossing of the resulting hybrids, many varieties have been produced. Introduced into regions of different latitudes, exposed to influences of various conditions of climate and soil, these were subjected to further modifications, which, permanently retained by inheritance through future generations, show their peculiarities less in the morphological features than in their physiological relations, of much more importance to the cultivator, by their influence upon the life of the plant and the product of its activity. In the endeavor to attain those modifications which shall appear most favorable under given conditions, in respect to the time required for ripening, the percentage of sugar, and also the capacity of resisting unfavorable influences, the number of permanent variations is constantly increasing in the United States.

The difficulty of assigning them their proper places, and discovering their relationship and ancestry, increases with the obliteration of the original type.
In general, the following well established varieties can be regarded as belonging to the first of these races: regular Sorgho, Chinese sugar-cane, Honduras, Mastodon, Honey cane, Sprangle top, Honey-top, Link's hybrid, and other varieties produced by crossing with varieties of African ancestry with rather expanded panicles.

To the second, or the African race, belong the Liberian, Imphee, Oomseeana, Neeazana, White African, with the varieties produced in this country known as Black top, Bear tail, Iowa Red top, White mammoth, Wolf tail, Gray top. The Early Amber and Early Orange are forms of prominently African type. The identification of these various sorts is extremely difficult, and can only be accomplished by artificial methods, regardless of their natural affinities, as has been successfully attempted by Dr. Collier.
C. G. Nees ab Esenbeck, in his Agrostographia Capensis, 1853, p. 86, classifies the sorghums as follows:

1. Sorghum bicolor.-Willd.

Holcus bicolor.-Willd.
Andropogon cernus.-Kunth.
2. a. Sorghum saccharatum.-Pers.

Sorghum saccharatum.-Pers.
Holcus saccharatus. -Kunth.
Holcus Caffrorum.-Thunb.
Holcus Caffer. - Arduini.
Sorghum Caffrorum.-P. de Beauv.
Sorghum Arduini.-Jacq.
Holcus dochna.-Forsk.
b. Rubens.

Sorghum rubens.-Willd.
Andropogon rubens.-Kunth.
3. Sorghum Usorum.-N. ab. E.

Holcús Caffrorum.-Thunb.
Sorghum Caffrorum panicula compactiori apud.-R. et Sch. S.
4. Sorghum halapense.-Pers.

Holcus halapensis. - Sibth.
Trachspogon avanaceus.-N. ab E.

# Andropogon halapensis.-Kunth. 

 Andropogon avanaceus.-Michx.Blumenbachia halapensis.-Köhl.
Bentham, in Genera Plantarum, III, p. 1135, considers the whole genus sorghum as comprised in two species, S. vulgare and S. halapense.

This final conclusion of such eminent authority, is evidence of the plastic nature of this plant, which, by variations, has adapted itself to the various climatic conditions under which it is grown, and gives reason to hope that, in the hands of the intelligent cultivator, it may develop other varieties more valuable for the purpose of sugar production than any now known.

The genus Sorghum (of which Sorghum vulgare is the accepted type) is included in the natural order Graminacer, to which natural order belongs, also, the tropical sugar-cane (Saccharum officinarum); but it should be remarked that, between the genus Sorghum and the genus Saccharum, there are classed by botanists the three genera, Erianthus, Eriochrysis, and Ischaemopogon. Vid. Grisebach's Flora of the West India Islands, pp. 560, 561.

While, therefore, the two plants are somewhat closely related, this relationship does not warrant the assertion made by a recent writer upon this subject, "that the name sorghum is a mere disguise, for the reason that it is nothing more nor less than a sub-variety of sugar-cane, which may explain why it is that the reader and the investigator have so frequently been misled."

To the unscientific observer, a growing sorghum plant would seem to combine many of the exterior characteristics of sugar-cane.

I here append parallel statements, prepared by Dr. George Vasey, Botanist of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, which show the differences between the genera Sorghum and Saccharum, upon which botanists base the opinion that sorghum is not " a sub-variety of sugar-cane."

## Sorghum vulgare.

Flowering spikelets, two or three together, on the ends of the branches of an open panicle; these spikelets are of two kinds, viz, one sessile, single flowered, fertile spikelet, and accompanying this, one or two others, which are short stalked, or pediceled, and contain male flowers, or sterile flowers; or sometimes these disappear, leaving only the stalks or pedicels. The fertile spikelet consists of a pair of thick, coriaceous or hard glumes, and of two very thin hyaline palets, one of which usually has a twisted awn or beard, twice as long as the spikelet. In some varieties, the glumes and seed are more (or less hairy, and in others nearly smooth. The seed is large and round.

The above will suffice to settle a question, which could not have arisen but that a recent writer, who, by some, might be assumed to speak with authority, has in his writings confounded the sorghums with sugar-cane, while he places a single variety of sorghum apparently in a genus by itself.

## The Agricultural Character of Sorghum.

Under this head the Committee of the National Academy of Sciences say in their report:
The cultivated varieties of sorghum, considered botanically, are cereals. They belong more especially to that very small group of cereal species which have been cultivated from the dawn of history, and have developed along with our civilization. During ages of culture they have so changed under the hand of man, that we are ignorant as to their native countries, and know not what their original wild progenitors were. Their descendants now exist in a vast number of varieties, which differ so greatly among themselves, that neither scientific botanists nor practical cultivators are agreed as to what are true species, and what mere varieties which have arisen in cultivation.

The cultivated varieties of sorghum have been placed in the genera Holcus, Andropogon, and Sorghum, by different botanists--the latter being the name now accepted.

A generation ago, botanists grouped the numerous cultivated varieties into a considerable number of distinct species, without agreement as to how many: five or six were generally believed to exist. Certain varieties of durra, with the grain in a somewhat loose panicle, and which were more especially cultirated in Asia and in southern Europe, were classed as one species called Sorghum (Holcus or Andropogon) vulgare; the varieties with the grain in a densely contracted panicle, grown more largely in Africa, and known as Guinea corn, Egyptian durra, Moorish millet, etc., were grouped into another species called S. cernuum ; the variety best known as chocolate corn was the S. bicolor ; broom corn, and all the sugar producing kinds, were classed together as $S$. saccharatum; and other specific names were applied to smaller groups of these varieties.

But the investigations of modern science have gradually led to the belief, that all the numerous varieties once classed in the several species above enumerated had a com:non origin and constitute but a single species, to which the old name Sorghum vulgare is now applied.
This is now the belief of the most eminent botanists of the world. Some even go further, and believe that all the cultivated varieties of the genus, including the spiked millets (Sorghum (Holcus) spicatum), are the descendants of a single original parental species.
These conclusions have a most important bearing upon the subject of this special investigation.
It is a law of nature, that the longer a species is cultivated and the wider its cultivation extends, the more easily it changes into new varieties, and the wider the differences between the varieties become. Some species, however, have a
much greater capacity for variation than others, and Sorghum vulgare stands pre-eminent among the useful plants for this character.

The usefulness of any agricultural species is intimately correlated with its capacity for variation in cultivation, for this means capacity for the improvement of varieties by the only means known to cultivators by which such improvements may be effected. It also means capacity for adaptation to varied conditions of soil, climate, and natural surroundings, and, furthermore, adaptation to various methods of culture, and to various uses. It is a sort of plasticity which allows the species to be molded in the hands of the intelligent cultivator.

This species (Sorghum vulgare) has varied more widely under cultivation than any other cereal, unless it be Indian corn. The varieties differ in all their characters-in height, fruitfulness, habit of growth, grain, stalk, leaf, panicle, chemical composition, preference of soil, climate, and exposure; and so on, to all the differences in which species themselves differ. Its cultivation has extended to most of the warm, and many of the temperate, climates of the globe, and it has adapted itself to the varied uses and more varied agricultural methods of nearly all the civilized races of mankind.

The agricultural success of any plant in a country depends, in part, upon its fitness to the soil and climate, and in part to a variety of other conditions, one of which is, that it must fill some place in the agriculture of that country better than the other species competing with it. Sentiment and local customs are also factors, but which have less force in this country than in others.

Durra, Guinea corn, broom corn, and, probably, also chocolate corn, were introduced into this country in colonial times. During the days of more imperfect tools and machinery, and of difficult transportation, all our agricultural crops were, of necessity, grown upon a much smaller scale than now; and, on most farms, a greater variety of crops were grown than now. Most, if not all, the agricultural plants of the Old World were tried here, and many had a wide and sparse cultivation until well into the present century, and then disappeared under the new conditions of our agriculture. The cultivation of others became specialized. Varieties of this species may be found in both these categories. Durra and Guinea corn were both widely introduced, and they lingered in cultivation until crowded out by Indian corn. They were dropped just as many other minor crops were : they did not fill a place in our modern agriculture so well as some other species did, and now are only found in regions where Indian corn does not grow so well, particularly in the states which border on Mexico. Chocolate corn (the old S. bicolor) was cultivated here and there as a poor substitute for coffee; but, under the changed conditions of things, it has entirely disappeared from our fields and gardens, crowded out by imported and better coffee. Broom corn, also introduced in colonial times, was widely cultivated: forty years ago, very many persons grew enough for their own use or for local sale. It supplied a certain want better than any thing else, consequently, it could not be crowded out; but, under the conditions of modern agriculture, its cultivation has become specialized and concentrated in fewer localities, in some of which it has assumed an importance found nowhere else in the world. It has been greatly improved, and the cultivation of American varieties has now extended to the Old World.

About thirty years ago, the sugar yielding sorghum was introduced. Filling.
a certain place on our farms better than any other plant previously tried, it spread in cultivation with a rapidity no other agricultural plant ever did before in this or any other country, and is the only one adapted to a wide region introduced into the United States since colonial times which has become of suffcient importance to be enumerated in the census. It has become the "sorghum" of common language, and its cultivation has extended the whole length and breadth of the country.

Its adaptation to our soil and climate is abundantly demonstrated, and its capacity for improvement also thoroughly proved. The Department of Agriculture has already examined more than forty varieties, some of which have originated in this country. We have, now, varieties with very unlike characters: some mature in eighty days, others require twice as long a time, and one variety has become, in a sense, perennial-a fact not true of any other cereal species grown in the country. They vary in habit of growth and in sugar content; the two extremes have been developed here-the one as rich as Louisiana sugar-cane, the other, the broom corn, so poor in sugar.

Belonging to such a plastic species, with such adaptation to a wide range of soil and climate, with such capacity for modification and improvement, already in such wide cultivation, and promising to meet such a definite want in our agricultural production, it is certain that, in obedience to natural laws, some of the existing varieties may be greatly improved, and that new ones may be made, some of which will better serve the ends we are now seeking than any rarieties we now have. No efforts have yet been made to increase the sugar content by systematic, intelligent, and long continued selection. In the light of the successful results of experiment in this direction with sugar beets, and with the abundant experience we have with other species as to other results attained by such processes, we have much to hope as to improvement in this character with a species which has been so variously molded to the uses of man.

Agriculture, however intelligently pursued, is more of an art than a science. Hence, the ultimate profitableness of any agricultural crop introduced into a region new to it, can ouly be determined by actual trial through a series of years. The nature of the economical problem is such that science can not predict the result. It can, however, render great aid in making success more probable, and in hastening it where it otherwise might be much delayed. It can suggest means and methods, can indicate promising directions for experiment, can aid in foreseeing and overcoming many difficulties, suggest remedies for mishaps, and, in a multitude of ways, aid in solving the practical problem. This is especially true when the crop is to be manufactured into a commercial product, and emphatically so in the production of sugar, the whole economical aspects of which have been changed by the aid of modern science.
No agricultural species can be cultivated profitably every-where within its range of actual growth, and it is yet to be demonstrated where the best regions are for the most profitable growth of sorghum. This is only partly an agricultural problem; it is as intimately related to the question of winning the sugar in the best form and at the least expense. For the solution of the latter, scientific work is needed. It can ultimately be done in the sugar-house; it may be more quickly done, and with vastly greater economy, if this be aided by the scientific laboratory. The profitable production of sugar from cane, as now pursued in Louisiana, and from beets, as pursued in Europe, was achiered only
by such aid. The methods of extracting sugar from these two great sources are very unlike, and each was developed along with scientific investigation instituted for each special plant. Sorghum still needs this. The work so nobly begun and successfully pursued by the Agricultural Department, is still incomplete and unfinished. To use an agricultural simile, the crop has been sown, but the harvest has not been reaped.
Agriculturally, the sorghum question is solved so far as it can be, until science now does her share. That the crop may be widely and economically grown, containing a satisfactory amount of cane sugar, is sufficiently proved. All the problem remaining unsolved relates to the extraction of sugar. In view of the magnitude of the interests involved, the results already obtained, and the wide attention the matter is now receiving, we feel that there are most encouraging indications of practical success.

## INTRODUCTION OF SORGHUM INTO THE UNITED STATES.

In 1850, M. de Montigny, the French consul at Shanghai, China, sent to the Geographical Society of Paris a lot of plants and seeds from China, and among them sorghum seed grown upon the island of Tsung-ming, at the mouth of the Yang-tse-kiang river.

It is said that but one seed germinated, and that from the single head 800 seeds were obtained, which were bought by the firm of Vilmorin, Andrieux \& Co., of Paris, at one franc each.

In 1853, Wm. K. Prince, of Flushing, Long Island, N. Y., imported from France the black seed variety of Chinese sorghum into the United States of America, and in 1854 a few pounds of this seed was distributed.

In 1855 , a large hogshead of the seed was disposed of in small quantities throughout the country.

The first lot of sorghum seed sent out by the Agricultural Department at Washingtom was in 1856 , and, about this same time, Orange Judd distributed to the subscribers of the American Agriculturist 25,000 packages of this seed.

In 1857, Leonard Wray, an English merchant, brought from Natal, South Africa, 16 varicties of sorghum seed, which were sent to South Carolina and Georgia and grown there.

To these African varieties the geueral name Imphees was given, while to the variety from China the name Chinese Sugar-Cane was given.

In 1840, M. d'Abadie sent to the Museum at Paris the seed of 30 kinds of sorghum from Abyssinia, and it is said of several of these, that the stalks had a sweet juice. But whether any of these seeds were planted, does not appear to be a matter of record, and the cultivation of sorghum during these later years appears to
have begun with the introduction of the China variety by M. de Montigny, in 1850.

Although throughout France and in Algeria the cultivation of sorghum rapidly spread, and many experiments were undertaken in the production of sugar from this plant, the new and growing beet sugar industry resulted in the abandonment of all efforts with sorghum, and it has been mainly in the United States that sorghum has been grown as a sugar producing plant during the last quarter of a century. Eren here the crop has been chiefly used in the production of syrup, sugar having been only an accident of manufacture rather than the result of intelligent efforts for its production.

For several centuries, however, before the importations of M. de Montigny, sorghum had been extensively grown in Europe; not, indeed, as a sugar plant, although, so early as 1776, Pietro Arduini, of Floreuce, had succeeded in making sorghum sugar. He says, that the seed of the sorghum he experimented with was of a clear brown color.

In 1859, E. T. Teas, of Dunreith, Ind., reports to have imported from Vilmorin, Andrieux \& Co., of Paris, a few pounds of Chinese cane seed, and that in this lot of seed, upon planting, he found a single plant, the seed of which had thoroughly ripened before the rest of the plants were in full bloom. The seed from this plant was carefully preserved, and is said to be the source of the Minnesota Early Amber, so named since it was very early, gave an amber colored syrup, and has been grown extensively in Minnesota of late years.

On the other hand, Mr. Leonard Wray at once recognized this socalled Early Amber, from the wood-cuts of the ripened panicle, as one of the 16 varietes of Imphees which he introduced in 1854, and he says the name is Boom-vwa-na.

In this connection, it will be interesting to know the names and descriptions of the several varieties which were introduced by Mr. Wray, which were as follows:

Vim-bis-chut-a-pa.-This is the largest and tallest of the whole; full of juice, very sweet; requiring from four to five months to come to maturity; grows to a height of ten to fifteen feet; from one and a half to two inches in diameter at the lower end; usually cracks or splits as it ripens; juice contains fourteen per cent of sugar; seed head large and beautiful, twelve to eighteen inches in length; plump seeds, sandy color, strongly held by a sheath, which partially envelops, them.

E-a-na-moo-dee.-Next in size, and very similar in habit and value;
not as coarse, softer, and more juicy; fourteen per cent of sugar in juice; seed heads large, stiff, erect; seeds round, plump, of a clear yellow color; ripens two weeks earlier than last variety.

E-en-gha.-A fine, tall kind, from ten to twelve feet high, more slender than either of the foregoing, exceedingly graceful in appearance, ripens in four months; fourteen per cent of sugar in juice; seed head large, and very pretty; seed upon long, slender footstalks, which are bent down by weight of seed, forming a graceful drooping; seeds a dull yellow color, rather long and flat.

Nee-a-za-na.-Held, by the Zulu Kaffirs, to be the sweetest of all the Imphees; ripens in about three months; stalks soft, and more abounding in juice than any; fifteen per cent of sugar; small size, tillers greatly, having sometimes fifteen stalks to one root ; juice mucilaginous, and abounding more in fecula than some other varieties; seed heads very bushy and bunchy when ripe; seeds round, large, and plump.

Boom-vwa-na.-Most excellent and valuable variety; juice never contains less than fifteen per cent of sugar; resembles the E-en-gha, but stalks brighter and more slender; stalks have a pinkish tint, and seed cases have pink and purple hue, mixed with a yellow ground; short, stiff footstalks; tillers very much, giving ten to twenty stalks for one root, which seldom weigh more than one pound each; makes beautiful sugar ; reaches perfection in three to three and a half months.

Oom-sec-a-na.-Distinguished by the purple or black appearance of its seed heads, the sheath, or seed cases, being of this color, and not the seed itself; seed head stiff, erect; short, strong footstalks; seed large, round, and full; growth and goodness of juice very similar to the Boom-vwa-na; stalks small, numerous.

Shla-goo-va.-Slightly inferior to the three last mentioned; ripens in three and a half months ; tall, good sized plants ; chief distinction, exceeding beauty and elegance of seed head; footstalk extremely long, drooping gracefully; seed cases, or sheaths, vary in color from a delicate pink to red, and from a light to a very dark purple, but each color is very bright aud glistening.

Shla-goon-dee.-Sweet and good; under favorable conditions, produces fine sized stalks; seed heads very stiff, erect; seed vessels compact and very close; usually requires three and a half months to reach maturity.

Zim-moo-ma-na.-Likewise a sweet and good variety; seed heads upright, compact, and fine; seeds plump, very numerous.

E-both-la, Boo-ee-a-na, Koom-ba-na, See-en-gla, Zim-ba-za-na, E-thlo-sa.-These last six are merely mentioned by Mr. Wray, with the re-
mark, that they "form the remainder of fifteen varieties, each differing slightly from the others in saccharine qualities, as well as appearance; but still easily distinguished from each other by any one who has studied them."
J. H. Smith, of Quincy, Ill., who, in 1862, reports the results of his investigations upon sorghum, says:

Of the Chinese cane, sve have known but one description, as before stated. We have cultivated six different kinds of the African canes.

And he enumerates six of those names already given.
The following letter from Mr. Leonard Wray, is especially interesting in connection with this discussion of the Imphees:

Perak, via Penang, September 7th, 1882.
To the Commissioner of Agriculture, IV ashington, U. S.
Dear Sir: I am pleased, beyond measure, to find that the United States Government has at last awakened to the great value of the "imphee varieties," which I introduced into your country ; and has taken the most certain course to verify, by scientific tests, the truth of my printed statements respecting them, published in Euglish, and also in French, in 1854, copies of which I gave to Mr. D. J. Browne, of the Patent Office, in Washington.

You will find the contents of this, my pamphlet, in a little book by H. S. Olcott, published by Moore, of Fulton street, New York, in 1857; and if you do me the honor to read that, you will, I am sure, fairly acknowledge, that every statement I therein made is strictly proved by the valuable results of the able men whom you selected to conduct your experiments. I must, however, mention that the last chapter of my pamplet-viz, that on the manufacture of the imphee juice into sugar-is omitted in Olcott's little book.
It is most gratifying to see the "thorough" manner in which your Department has gone into, and decided these important questions.

I first became acquainted with these plants in March, 1851 (thirty and onehalf years ago), just after my arrival in Natal, South Africa; and, in 1854, I grew them in several parts of France, in England, Spain, Italy, and in various other places, so that I may claim to know their merits; and I now say, that all I said and wrote about them at that time, I am fully prepared to stand by, and substantiate the truth of.

In fact, your admirable Department has, in its recent scientific demonstrations, abundantly and authoritatively confirmed my facts, and thereby rendered an inestimable service to your country, and to other countries also. I hope and trust you will continue it.

Looking at the beautiful plates in your reports, I can not but express my admiration, and, at the same time, my astonishment, at the very remarkable constancy of the "types" maintained by the different sorts of imphee shown. For instance, I may mention Plate 1, facing page 8, in Special Report, 33. This is there called "Imphee," "Liberian" and "Sumac;" but I distinctly recognize it as my "Koom-ba-na," one of the very sweetest and best I had. (I inclose you some very old seed.)

Plates 2, 3, and 4, are my Neeazana, and its sports.

Plate 5 is my En-ya-ma, which I see figures as "White Mammoth." I inclose some of my old seeds of it.

Plate 7 is my Oom-see-a-na.
Plate 8 seems to me to be the "Chinese sorgho."
Plate 9 is an Oom-see-a-na kind (no doubt a "sport").
Plate 10 is undoubtedly my "Vim-bis-chu-a-pa," which, to please General Hammond, I nicknamed Sorgho Ka-bai (or Sorgho Brother). Some grew to six pounds weight when "topped," and I had the head of gne such until about nine months ago, when I unluckily threw it away (it was twenty inches long). I see you call it by the names of Honduras, Honey, Mastodon, etc.

Plate 11 seems to be no other than my Boom-vwa-na, one of my special favorites. Please see the description in my little pamphlet (in Olcott's book, 1857), and I think you will not long be in any doubt about its origin, bogus stories notwithstanding.

Plates 12 and 13 are both my imphees, and I had some growing here twelve months ago; but the seed unfortunately got spoiled.

The seed you were kind enough to favor me with, I have sown, and had sown by my friends here; and mine are now eight inches high, being. only sixteen days' growth. I may mention that I soaked my seed in a strong solution of sugar, with a little salt, camphor, and soap-suds, for twenty hours, and I think they are growing much more vigorousily than those not so treated. I shall continue to watch them.

Pray, do not think me ungrateful, when I say that I felt disappointed in not finding any "Minnesota Early Amber," nor any "Oomseeana," among the seed you sent me; and I trust you will forgive me, if I trespass so far on your kindness to beg that you will be so good as to send me some of those two kinds, also as "White Mammoth" and "Sumac," all of which I particularly wish to have. Even one hundred or two hundred seeds of each of these four sorts will be ample for me to propagate from; and these might come in a letter direct to me here (and not by Singapore). In such case, the correct address is, "Perak, via Pinang, straits of Malacca," nothing more.

I need not say, also, how thankful I shall feel for any of your instructive reports, or other information you may be kind enough to bestow upon me.

I will, by no means, neglect to send you a goodly assortment of such seeds as I think you will be glad to have, as soon as they are ready. With many excuses for so troubling you, I beg to subscribe myself, dear sir, Yours, very faithfully,

Leonard Wray.
In explanation of the above letter, it is necessary to say, that the plates referred to by Mr. Wray, were of the ripened panicles, or seed heads, of several varieties which had been grown at the Department of Agriculture at Washington, from seed received from different parts of the United States. Not unfrequently the same variety came under several different names: e. g., Plate 1 was received under the names of Liberian, Imphee, Sumac, Chinese. Mr. Wray recognizes it as his Koom-ba-na. Plates 2, 3, 4, were from seed named, respectively, Neeazana, Wolf Tail, Gray Top. They are, without doubt, very closely
allied, if not identical, varieties. Mr. Wray recognizes them as his original Neeāzānā and its "sports."
Plate 5 was received from Western Missouri under the name of "White Mammoth." Mr. Wray recognized it as his En-yă-mă.

This name does not appear in his list already given, but it will be remembered that he is reported to have introduced siateen varieties, and only fifteen are mentioned in his list. Besides this, "White Mammoth" is the most characteristic of all the varieties grown in this country, and can not possibly be confounded with any other. The seed is almost as white as rice, and the glumes are quite black.

It is especially interesting, also, that this was one of the two varieties of which Mr. Wray sent specimens of his old seed, and both specimens received from him were at once recognized as the identical ones which he declared them to be from the plates, viz. : Liberian (Koom-ba-na) and White Mammoth (En-yà-mā).
Plate 7 was grown as Oom-see-ī-nā, and such Mr. Wray declares it to be.
Plate 8 was grown from seed received from Hon. D. Wyatt Aiken, of South Carolina, and by him called "Black Top." Mr. Wray thinks it the original "Chinese Sorgo."

Plate 9 Mr. Wray pronounces an Oom-see- $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-nā sport. It is "Link's Hybrid," which Mr. Link, of Greeneville, Tenn., found in a field of "Honduras" sorghum.

Plate 10 is from seed which has come under the names Honduras, Mastodon, Honey Top, Texas Came, Honey Cane, and Sprangle Top. Mr. Wray is positive that it is his old Vim-bis-chu-a-pa.

Plate 11 is the Early Amber. Mr. Wray's Boom-vwa-na.
Plates 12 and 13, Goose Neck and White Liberian, respectively, he also recognizes as among those varicties he imported. The great importance of this matter will be discussed in another place, but it is remarkable that these varrieties should have so persistently retained their characteristics during over 30 years of continuous cultivation.

## Hybridization of Sorghum.

The letter from Mr. Wray, and these reports from Natal, have much value, since they throw more light upon a matter of very great practical importance, and fully confirm the experience of the last five years at the Department of Agriculture at Washington.

Mr. Wray writes, that he is " astonished at the very remarkable constancy maintained by the several varieties" which he had introduced a third of a century before. It certainly is remarkable that Mr.

Wray should be able, eren from plates, which fail to represent many of the marked peculiarities of the different varieties, to have at once recognized almost every one as among those introduced by him.

Perhaps nothing has been more generally believed, than that the greatest care was necessary to avoid the hybridization of the different sorghums.

At least a score of so-called hybrids have been received from one section and another of the country; and generally, along with the statement as to the desirable qualities of this new variety, the information is given that a little seed may be had at quite an advance over the price of common varieties.

It is reported, that, owing to the marked success which attended the production of sugar from sorghum at Rio Grande (near Cape May), New Jersey, the seed obtained was by certain thrifty farmers of that vicinity, sold under the name of "Cape May Hybrid," although it was no other than the common Early Amber variety.

It is probable, that, in the hands of an expert hybridist, there may be originated many new varieties, and possibly those surpassing in excellence any now known; but, at present, the so-called "hybrids" have not resulted in any such way, and, so far as they really exist, are only accidental.

During the past five years there have been cultivated in Washington, in all, at least 100 varieties, and upon the same plat of ground. These varieties were grown in rows, separated from each other only three feet, and, although it is possible that crosses have taken place, and remained unobserved, it is certain that no evidence has been seen of such fact: and this is the more remarkable, since, day by day, throughout the season, the different varieties were subject to careful observation.

As has been said, those specimens of seed received from foreign countries, have been found to contain generally several varieties under one name, differing very widely among themselves, and giving evidence of an admixture, in the samples received, of several distinet varieties.

No such result has ever been observed in the samples of seed received from various sources in the United States-since generally ripened panicles have been sent to the Department instead of the cleaned seed -and in no case was it found that two distinct kinds of seed were present upon the same panicle. This result is entirely at variance with the universal experience in growing different varieties of maize in the same vicinity; and in this regard the two plants, which, in many respects are similar, could hardly be more unlike.

It would appear incredible, if this tendency to "cross" or "sport"
was in any degree marked, that these many rarieties could have been grown by our farmers for thirty years, and have so entirely maintained their ideutity. In regard to the "White Mammoth," the En-yā-mā, of Mr. Wray, the only specimen received at Washington came from Western Missouri. Of all the varieties, none is more marked than this one; and yet, grown year after year beside a scbre of varieties quite distinct, it has steadily maintained its integrity, not a seed of any other variety is found upon its panicles; nor has it, so far as careful observation extends, in any way affected its neighboring varieties. The contrast with maize could not be more noticeable. Under similar conditions, hardly an ear of maize in the field would be found uniform as to its seed.

In this connection, a portion of a letter from Ephraim Link, of Greeuville, Tenn., one of our most intelligent cultivators of sorghum, will be of interest.

It accounts for the origin of the so-called "Link's Hybrid," one of our most valuable varieties of sorghum ; but it will be seen, that there is not the slightest evidence of hybridization given. Indeed, under the circumstances, it appears imnosible that hybridization could have taken place, since the single original head of "Link's Hybrid" was found in a field of Honduras. It would seem most likely that, unless this was simply a sport, remarkable for its persisteuce in retaining its valuable peculiarities, it may have been one of the original Imphees introduced by Mr. Wray, and which, but for the careful observation of Mr. Link, might have been quite lost. It is remarkable, that, of the varieties introduced by Mr. Wray, he is now able to at once recognize so many among those cultivated in America; while, of the large number recently received by the author from Natal, not one is to be confounded with either of those hitherto examined.

## From letter of Ephraim Link.

Perhaps six years since, I procured my first Honduras seed from Mississippi, and readily found it much superior to any of the varieties I had before cultivated, and discarded all others in the endeavor to prevent any hybridization. It remained seemingly pure and fully satisfactory for several years, during which time I furnished the Department at Washington seed for distribution to the amount, in three years, of 50 bushels or more. In my crop of 1879 , I saw a good many heads indicating a mixture, for which I could not account, and which I had been so careful to avoid, unless the contamination occurred the first year, when another variety grew a little distance off. If so, the contaminating principle lay dormant three years and had developed only that year. I sent to a friend in Texas for an entire renewal of seed for the planting of the spring of 1880 , and found that, and the crop of last year, to be very pure, and to ripen two or three weeks sooner than the same variety before grown. Here
also is a locked mystery I fail to understand. Also, four years ago I found a head-a clear sprout in the Honduras-entirely different in appearance from it, propagated it, and found its yield and richness in juice second to no other, and its syrup freer from the sorghum flavor than any I ever made. I sent General Le Duc a specimen of the syrup and seed, and he ordered all the seed I had, about 14 bushels. In his report of the analysis of varieties, he calls it "Link's Hybrid." It grows to good size, stands well, ripens before the Honduras, and I predict for it a high place among varieties.

Ephraim Link.
Greenville, Tenn.

## CHAPTER IV.

(a.) Tarieties of sorghum cultirated in the United States.
(b.) Signification of the names of the rarieties of sorghum.
(c.) Table for identification of rarieties.
(d.) Comparison of sorghums from different countries.

VARIETIES OF sORGHUM CCLTIVATED IN THE LNTTED sTATES.
Dering the seasons of 1879 , ' 80 , ' 81 , and ' 82 , there were receised, at the Department of Agriculture, the seed of very many distinct varieties of sorghum, from different parts of the country ; aud these hare been cultivated, and subjected to a careful examination, during the entire period of their growth, for the purpose of determining their actual and relative value for sugar production. In the case of many of them, cultivation and examination were continued during the four years; and since the several rarieties of seed were planted on the same plat of ground, and upon the same day, and were subject to the same climatic conditions during growth, their relative value, under the conditions of climate prevailing at Washington, is established. What these conditions of soil and climate were, will be presented in another chapter.

It ofton happened that the same variety of sorchum seed was received from several localities, under as many different names; as, for example, the same seed came as Chinese, Liberian, Oomseeana, Sumac, Imphee; and, it is interesting to observe, that its origin was, by one referred to China, and by another to Liberia, West Africa; although I find no record of any sorghum seed having been received from any other African locality than Natal, in South Africa.

Many other samples of seed were obtained, which, upon being cultirated, produced plants very closely resembling each other, if, indeed, they were not identical. It is quite probable that the slight differences existing between the so-called Honduras, Mastohnn, Honey Tup, Huner Cane, Sprangle Top, and Texas Cane, are the result of rariation produced by the different climates and soils in which these canes hare been grown during the past thirty-four years, since their introluction by Mr. Wray.

The same appears true of those rarieties known as the Early Orange, Orange, Wolf Tail, Gray Top, each of which bears a close resemblance to the Neeazana, another of Mr. Wray's original importatious. So, too,
the Early Amber, Early Golden, Golden Syrup, and at least three others, which have been sent as "new varieties" without name, are so nearly alike as to trouble one to distinguish them; and these are recognized, also, by Mr. Wray, as identical with, or but slight variations from, his original Boom-vwa-na.

## Relative Lengths and Weights of the different Varieties of Sorghum.

In the following table will be found the average length of the several varieties of sorghum, as grown upon the experimental plat of the Department grounds; the average weight of the entire plant; of the stalk topped and stripped of its leaves, and ready for the mill; as, also, the number of stalks of each variety upon which such averages are based.

This table will euable any one to determine the relative amount of either variety which may be grown upon an acre, since these several varieties were grown from seed planted the same day, and upon a plat of ground which insured practically uniform conditions in every respect, since the culture of all varieties was the same.

It will be observed that the average loss, by stripping and topping, is, in the case of the sorghums, 24.6 per cent, and of the maize 38.4 per cent.

RELATIVE LENGTIS AND WEIGHTS OF THE DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF SORGHUM.

| Name. | Source of SEEd. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & \text { E00 } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Amb | D. Smith, Arlington, Va | 111 | Feet. <br> 870 | Lbs. $1.390$ | Lbs. 0.960 |
| Larly Am | Plant Seed Company, St. Louis, Mo. | 104 | 870 | 1.410 | 1. 004 |
| Early Golden | A. B. Swain, Elysian, Minn... .......... | 98 | 8.70 | 1.370 | 1.001 |
| Golden Syrup | W'. H. Lytle, Yellow Springs, Ohio | 101 | 8.50 | 1.370 | 1. 001 |
| White Liberian. | Kush G. Leaming, Decatur, Neb. | 62 | 8.46 | 1.629 | 1.337 |
| Early Amber. | S. E. Evans, Monroe, Kan | 54 | 8.54 | 1.317 | 0979 |
| Black Top sorghum | D. W. Aiken, Cokesbury, S. | 48 | 748 | 1.409 | 0961 |
| Airican Sorghum. | W. E. Parks, Carlisle, Ky.... | 100 | 8.59 | 1.654 | 1.155 |
| White Mammoth.. | Amos Carpenter, Carpenter's Store P. O., Mo | 50. | 9.54 | 1.637 | 1.353 |
| Oomsceana.. | Blymyer \& Co., Cincinnati, Ohio......... | 100 | 8.26 | 1.578 | 1. 169 |
| Regular Sorgho. | Blymyer \& Co., Cincinnati, Ohio | 101 | 9.435 | 1.779 | 1.266 |
| Hywrid ........ | E.JJnk, Greeneville, Tenn. | 4.3 | 8.854 | 1.901 | 1.379 |
| sugar (1ane.. | J. W. Barger, Lovilia, Iowa | 51 | 7.326 | 1.261 | 0.893 |
| Oomseeana Sorghum. | D. W. Aiken, Cokesbury, S. C. | 52 | 8.398 | 1.510 | 1.140 |
| Neeazana......... | W. H. Lytle, Yellow Springs, Ohio | 105 | 7.694 | 1.512 | 1.089 |
| troose Neck | P. P. Ramsey, Belgrade, Mo | 94 | 9.057 | 1.73 .3 | 1.255 |
| Early Orange | I. A. Hedres, St. Louis, Mo. | 92 | 8.238 | 2.115 | 1.467 |
| Veeazana. | Blymyer © Co., Cincinnati, Ohio. | 104 | 7.547 | 1.450 | 1. 047 |
| New Variety | E. Link, Grecneville, Tenn | 41 | 9.144 | 1.562 | 1.181 |
| Chinese. | D. Smith, Arlington, Va | 93 | 79.5 | $172 \%$ | 1.225 |
| Wolf Tail. | E. Link, Greeneville, Tenn | 34 | 8.06 | 1.449 | 1.277 |

Relative Lengths and Weights，etc．－Continued．

| Name． | Socree of Seed． |  | E． |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gray Top． | H．C．Sealey，Columbia，Tenn |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feet. } \\ 7.419 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L b \varepsilon_{1} . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L b s, \\ & 1.189 \end{aligned}$ |
| Liberian | Blymyer c－Co，Cinciunati，Ohio | 101 |  |  |  |
| Liberian | W．H．Lytle，Yellow Springs，Ohio．．．．． |  | 8.29 | 2 F | 1． 803 |
| Oomseeana | W．I．Mayes \＆Co．，Sweet Water，Tenn． |  | 8.11 | 2.337 |  |
| Sumac | W．Pope，Jones switch，Ala．．．．．．．．．．． | 81 | 8.70 | 2.17 | 163 |
| Mastodo | D．W．Aiken，Cokesbury，S．C |  | 11.33 | $\bigcirc 612$ |  |
| New Variety | D．W．Aiken，Cokesbury，S．C <br> J．IV．H．－alle Strafforỉ Mo | 41 | 8.84 | $\cdots$ | 1． 543 |
| New Variet | J．IV．H．－alle，Strafford．Mo J．H．Wighton，Mocnt Olive， | 78 36 36 | 8.33 8.68 | 1  <br> 2 786 <br> 18  |  |
| Hondura | Arsenal，Waslington，D．C | 88 | 80． 09 | 1.603 |  |
| Honey Ca | J．H．Clark，Pleasant Hill．La |  | 11.35 | 2.75 | 2289 |
| Sprangle Top | IV．Pope，Jones switch，Ala． | 90 | 11.07 | 28.8 | 1．854 |
| Honduras．．．．．．．．．． | E．Link，Greeneville，Te |  | 11.60 | 2．513 | $\bigcirc 1+3$ |
| Honer Top or Texas． | －Brinde，Brussels，Mo．．．．．．．．． |  | 11.48 | 2517 | $\frac{5}{2} 181$ |
| Sonduras | L．Brande，Mayersville，Texa |  |  |  | 2079 |
| Sugar Can | C．E．Miller，Etringham，Ill |  | 680 | $1 . \mathrm{Cs} 9$ | 0.731 |
| Hubrid． | J．C．Moore，San Diego．Cal | 67 | 8.9 | 1.809 | 1.26 |
| Sugar Can | E．Lirk，Greenerille，Tenn | 3.5 | 9.99 | 1.700 | 1231 |
| Bear Tail Iow Red Top． | Jacob Latshaw，Cedarville，Ill | 42 | 8.06 | 1.38 | 1.055 |
| Iowa Red Top． | Jacob Latshaw．Cedarville， 111 | 41 | 8 89 | 1.329 |  |
| New Varicty ．．．．．．． | F．W．stump，Marshall．Ill | 41 | 8.34 | 1．309 | 1037 |
| W．India sugar Cane | D．C．Snow，Lamoile，Inwa． | 1.5 | － 8 － | $\bigcirc$ | 1.653 |
| White African． | John N．Barger Lovilia，Jowa | 33 | 7.75 | 1．434 | 1.045 |
| Gonse Neck | G．N．Gilson，Shelbyville，ky | 31 | S． 19 | 185 | 1.835 |
| White Imphee | John N．Barrer，Lovilia Iowa | 35 | 750 | 1.309 | 0.911 |
| Hybrid，No | Will N．Wallis，Collin Comnty， | 40 | 879 | 1.410 | 1.065 |
| Sugar Cane | John S．Barger，Lovilia，Jowa | 21 | 665 | 1．324 | 0.971 |
| New Variety | John | 品 | I7：3 | 115 | 0 ズ！ |
| Min＇sota Early Amber | Vilmorin，Paris． | 99 | 780 |  | 1.097 |
| Holcus saceharatus | Vimmorin，Paris | 1. | こ心 | 1 10：3 | 0 － |
| Holeus Sorghum | Vilmorin，Paris |  |  | 1.035 | 0.746 |
| Holcus Ceruns，white． | Vilmorin，Paris ．．．．． |  | 826 | 1.80 | 1.245 |
| Honey Cane． | J．H．Clark，Pleasant Hill，La | 14 | 10.23 | 2.193 | 1.765 |
| New Variety | 1），B．Bradford，Elizabeth City，N． | 1.5 |  |  | 1.250 |
| Chinese Imphee | W．A．Sanders，Sanders，Cal | 23 |  |  | 1.125 |
| New Variety | Richard Haswell，Armstrong Grove． | 23 |  |  | 1.010 |
| Standard， $\begin{gathered}\text { Sew Variety } \\ \text { N }\end{gathered}$ | Isaac O．Harrell，Greeneville | 25． |  |  | 1.488 |

In the abore list，sixteen States are represented as furnishing seed； and four varieties were obtained from France，among which is our own Early Amber，which already appears to be grown there from seed imported from America．Aithough，some thirty years since，we ob－ tained our Chinese varieties of sorghum from France，and having，at the present time，many of them extensively cultivated in the Cnited States，nearly all，if not every variety，of these Chinese sorghums seem to have disappeared from France，since the large house of Vilmorin \＆Co．were unable to send even a single specimen．

The local names of the above mentioned rarieties（as，for example， West India sugar－cane），must not be confounded with the real sutar－ cane of Cuba and Louisiana；for the so－called sugar－canes，represented
by the above numbers, are only varieties of sorghum, a family of plants quite distinct from the true sugar-cane.

Varieties of Sorghum received from Africa, China, and India.
In 1881, the author received through President Angell, Minister to China, six varieties of sorghum seed, the names of which were as follows:
Hwong-mao-nien-liang-Yellow-cap-glutinous-millet.
San-sui-hoong-liang-Separated-headstalks-red-millet.
San-sui-pai-liang-Separated-headstalks-white-millet.
Er-chiu-hung-liang-Second-autumn-red-millet.
Ma-wei-nien-liang--Horse-tail-glutinous-millet.
Ta-min-hung-liang-Large-people's-red-millet.
Also, through W. T. Thiselton Dyer, Esq., Assistant Director of the Royal Gardens, Kew, England, thirteen varieties of sorghum seed from the Botanical Gardens at Natal, South Africa, and from the Gordon Memorial Mission of Natal ; also, through Mr. Dyer, two varieties from Cawnpoor, India.

The names of these were as follows:
From Natal.

Undendebule.
Ukubane. Jyaugentombi. Iyenga. Ibohla. Dindemuka. Uboyana.

Umgatubanda. Ubehlana. Ufatane. Unkunjana. Hlogonde. Unhlokonde. From India.

## Red Sorgho.

Upon planting these, it was found that very many more varieties could be distinguished than had been recognized by those sending the seed ; and it, was found that there were at least 14 instead of 6 of the Chinese, 26 instead of 13 of the African, and 3 instead of 2 of the Indian. This appears the more remarkable, since, in a letter from the Assistant Secretary for Native Affairs, J. Shepstme, Esq., of Natal, he says: "The natives that I have spoken to only know of six varieties of the 'Imfe.'"

Besides these specimens of seed, the author received from Wm. Keit, Esq., Director of the Royal Botanical Gardens at Natal, ripened panicles of the several varieties mentioned below, for the greater facility of identification :

| Iyenga Imphee. | Dundemuka Imphee. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ufatana Imphee. | Unkunyana Imphee. |
| Ubehlana Imphee. | Hlogonde Imphee. |
| Undendebule Imphee. | Umgatubanda Amabele. |

Also from Dr. Dalzell, of the Gordon Memorial Mission, Natal, the following list of ripened panicles:

| U-Dwe Imphee. | Dindemuka Imphee. |
| :--- | :--- |
| M-behlana Imphee. | Hlogonde Imphee. |
| Iyeuga Imphee. | Ukabane Amabele. |
| U-fengkule Imphee. | Umquatubanda Amabele |
| Ihlosa Imphee. |  |

The differences in the spelling, of what are obriously the same names in the several lists, is retained.

It will be observed that there are twelve varieties in the two lists of ripe panicles, and that four of the varieties in the list of seeds are not represented among the lists of panicles.

We have then sixteen named varieties received from Natal in these several lots, although the natives knew, of only six, as was reported.

This is the exact number of varietics which Mr. Wray brought from Natal in $1 \times 54$; but it is to be noticerl, that of his list only four of his rarieties, in name, bear any resemblance to those in the abore lists, riz:

Iyenga, which may be his E-en-gha.
Ihlosa, which may be his E-thlo-sa.
Ibothla, which may be his E-both-la.
Hlogonde, which may be his Shla-goon-de.
Supposing the above four to be identical, it would seem that at least28 named varieties have already been received from Natal.

The thirteen specimens of seed received were planted, and twentysix varieties were observed among them, and not one resembled either of the numerous varieties grown in America the past thirty years, and which have been grown at the Department of Agriculture, in Washington. Either the effect of climate and cultivation has been such as to materially change the character of those varieties introduced br Mr. Wray, or else there must exist, under cultivation in South Africa, a much larger number of varieties than those alreadr received from there. Since Mr. Wray so readily recognizes his old varieties from the plates published in the reports of the Department of Agriculture, it would hardly seem possible that any change has resulted; and the conclusion appears well founded, that these numerous varieties
have already, through centuries of cultivation in Africa, become permanently fixed in their character.

Besides the above foreign varieties, there have been grown, at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, during the past five years, nominally, sixty distinct varieties of sorghum, from seed received from different parts of the country: and, at the present time, the author has in his possession full ripened panicles representing all these, as, also, each of the foreign varieties already mentioned, besides many specimens of seed from what purport to be new varieties, which have been sent in to be planted, in order that their comparative value for the purpose of syrup and sugar production might be determined. In the current news concerning this industry, mention is often made of other varieties, at least, under names new to the author, and it is, without doubt, true that there exists, at present, in the United States, at least one hundred varieties of sorghum, more or less distinct. There is litthe reason to doubt, that, should a careful examination be made in those sections which have already so abundantly supplied us with new varieties, the list might be very greatly extended. Indeed, when we remember that, so fir as our knowledge extends, India is the original home of the sorghum, and that, for thousands of years, in all probability, it has been subjected to cultivation there, it seems more than probable that very many varieties now unknown to us, and, possibly, surpassing in value any we now possess, might be found there. More than twenty years ago, Mr. J. H. Smith, of Quincy, Ill., in an article upon sorghum, says, in reference to this very point: "Is there any way in which the Agricultural Department at Washington could spend money to better advantage, than in sending an experienced agent to the countries from which these canes have originated, for the purpose of obtaining all possible knowledge concerning these important accessions to the agriculture of our country?"

A distinction is made in the sorghums received from Natal, most of them being called Imphees: but two are called Amabele. Professor vou Kloeden speaks of the native Kaffirs calling the fifteen varieties which they cultivate Imphi or Mabali; and he mentions Bali as the name under which the sorghum is grown in Egypt, where six varieties are cultivated.

I have not met with these names elsewhere in the literature of sorghum. But the use at Natal as a specific name is interesting, and it will be observed, in the plates showing the ripe panicles of these two varieties, that they are peculiar in having large, prominent seed; in fact, the Umgatubanda is remarkable for the size of its seed.

Analysis also shows the juice of these two varieties to be very infe-
rior in its content of sugar to the other African varieties, and comparatively of little value. From the above considerations, it would appear as though there existed very marked differences between these two groups of sorghums, not to be aceounted for by cultivation, so far as we know.

In the Report of the Gorermment Farms, Said á pet, Madras, India, Sept. 7th, 1882, mention is made of three varieties of sorghum as. being there under cultivation experimentally: Black Cholum (Sorghum vulgare), Planter's Friend (Sorghum kaffrarium), Chinese Su-gar-cane (Sorghum saccharatum). The last two were used in making syrup, and preference was giveu to the Planter's Friend for its greater saccharine value. Mention is also made of broom millet (a variety of sorghum), and of the Early Amber sorghum, from the United States of America; and it is reported that the syrup of the Early Amber crystallizes far more rapidly, and to a much more considerable exteut, than the syrup of either Sorghum saccharatum or Sorghum kaffrarium. The refuse canes (bagasse), after crushing, are far more palatable to stock than refuse of sugar-cane; and, judging from its appearance and general character, the refuse sorghum must be far more digestible.

## SIGNIFICATION OF THE NAMES OF THE VARIETIES OF sORGIIUM.

It will be observed that in the bames given to the different varieties of sorghum, there is a tendency on the part of those cultivating them to describe them by their physical peculiarities. Thus, we have the "Sprangle Top," which has a loose, waving seed head; the "Sumac," which in its close, compact panicle, resembles the seed head of our common Sumac, so well known to our farmers; the "Goose Neck," oring to the bent culm of this variety, a characteristic which is not uncommon with several other varieties.

So, too, in the Chinese names, we have the "Separated Head Stalks," red and white; the Horse Tail, etc.

Also, in the South African varieties, the same tendency appears in their names: as, for example, "Jyenga "means " loose headed, waving;" "Hlojonde " is " long headed," etc.

In this connection, the following letters will be found of interest, being in reply to letters of inquiry as to the significance of those names of the Imphees found current in sorghum literature, of which names a list was sent.

Two of the varieties of sorghum received from Natal, viz., Ukabane and Umgatubanda, are called Amabele, while the remainder are designated as Imphees.

The following letters were received through the kindness of Mr. Dyer, of the Royal Gardens, Kew, to whom a list of the Imphee names had been sent. The one is from the Assistant Secretary of Native Affairs at Natal, the other fromothe daughter of the late Bishop of Natal:

## Secretary of Native Affairs to Colonial Secretary, Natal:

I can not give the meaning of the generic term, "Imfe," nor can I find natives that can do so. The natives that I have spoken to only know of six varieties of the "Imfe," of which I will give the names and derivations:.

1st. "Iyenga"-Enticer-from its drooping or waving ear or head of corn.
2nd. Umbemba-Sprouts or shoots. This cane invariably having small shoots from each joint.

3rd. "Uzimumana"-The enwrapped-from the close adherence of the outer leaves to the cane.

4th. Umapofu-The yellow-from its yellow color.
5th. Ihlosa-The prominent-from its growing generally higher than other corn with which it is sown.
6th. Unfenkulu-The great Imfe-from its large size.
The names given in the list inclosed by you, are, I believe, repetitions obtained from different tribes, many having names according to the dialect spoken. 'ine names are also badly spelt.

J: Shepstone, Ass't Sec'y for Native Affairs.

Nos. 2, 3, and 4 of this list are new names, for they neither appear in the list of names of Mr. Wray's varieties, nor in that of the seeds sud panicles received by me from Natal.

## List of Imphees returned by Miss Colenso.

"Undendebule." Not* recognized, but the word ukudendebuleka means " capable of being peeled straight down through the joints."
"Umkunyana." Not recognized, but the word should mean " rather hard."
"Unhlokonde," "Hlgonde," "Slagonda." The first means " long iead;" the others are the same word misspelt.
"Ibohla" probably means "causing flatulence."
"Iyenga," "Eenga," "Engha." The first means " loose headed," "waving ;" the others are the same word misspelt.
"Umnyani-mude-"with long flower stalks or head." The head in this variety is still longer than that of the "Unhlokonde.
"Boomvana," "Boom-vwana," Booena." The first means "small red" or "rather red;' the last two are misspelt.
" Uzimumana," "Limmoomana," " Zimmoomana." The first means " close," " thick headed." The last two names are misspelt.
"Hlakura." Called so after the castor oil plant; the seeds being thought to look alike. A very small headed, short variety.
"Zimbazana." Called so after "Izimba," a variety of "Kaffir corn," because very like it. "Izimba" is used as grain in making beer. "Zimbazana" may be used so, also.
"Ihlosa "-" budding," " beginning to swell." A variety which looks young when already grown.
"Elwofla," "Ehahla." Not recognized, but evidently the same.
"Koombana," "Koombanna." Not recognized, but evidently the" same.
" Ubarana," " Ukubane," " Cbehlane," "Jrangenbambi," "Dindemuka," " Ugabane," " Lmgatubanda," "Oomseeana," "Neeazana," "Sangokahea," "Vimbischuapa." None of these names are recognized:

The following plates show the ripened panicles of several of the more characteristic varieties of sorghum-of those grown in the Cnited States, and of those grown from seed received from South Dfrica, China, and Iudia.

The labels attached to the engrarings, which mere made from photographs, were, in every case, two inches by one in actual size, so that the dimensions of each panicle may be readily ascertained by this scale of measurement.

The Black and Red sorgho, Plates I and II, are from Cawnpore, India; Plates III and IV are two varieties from Northern China; Plates V and VI are tro of the new South African varieties, called Amabele; Plates VII, VIII, and LX, are of the new South African varieties, called Imphees; Plates X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, are of characteristic varieties grown during the past thirty years in the United States, being either the original varieties introduced by Mr. Wray, or varieties which have proceeded from them. It is possible that some of them may hare had a Chinese origin, though they partake rather of the general African type.

The names given to these six American grown rarieties, have been those names by which they have been generally known in this couniry, although, as has been remarked, the same variety has been received from several localities under different names; for example, the Liberian, Plate XII, has been received under the names Imphee, Chinese, Sumac, and Liberian.

The frontispiece represents a hill of the variety known as Honduras, which has also been received under the names Mastodon, Sprangle Top, Honey Top, Texas Cane, Honey Cane. It is possible that two or
three of the largor varieties introduced by Mr. Wray may be confounded under these several names, but, at the present, the identity of each has not beeu established. The compact heads of the African varieties is a marked feature; but that is not a characteristic of those which are valuable for sugar, since two of these, Plates V and VI, which are called Amabele, are practically worthless for sugar, although, like the other African varieties (Imphees), they have compact panicles or seed heads. The two varieties from Northern India have loose, waving heads, but are very valuable for sugar, as the analysis, page 101, will show. The presence of these two distinct classes from South Africa, with their wide difference in sugar content, is highly interesting, especially in view of their being recognized as belonging to distinct families. It will be seen that the per cent of sugar in the juice of the two Amabeles averages 4.90 , while in the seventeen Imphee varieties it averages 11.82 per cent.
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SYNOPTICAL TABLE OF THE VARIETIES OF SORGHUM CULTIVATED AT THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE DURING THE YEARS 1879-80-81-82.
The following table can not claim any great degree of botanical accuracy, as it has been worked out from single dry heads, and without a careful comparison of the varieties growing in the field. It is believed, however, that it will be of great assistance in aiding the practical farmer to distinguish, with the aid of the illustrations, whatever variety he may have under cultivation.

It is based upon a similar table prepared by Mr. F. Peck, and published in the Annual Report of the Department of Agriculture, 1865:

## The Ripe Grain.

I. Longer than the glumes (husks).
(A.) Panicle or head dense.

1. Glumes black.
a. Inconspicuous.

Liberian, or Imphee.
Head short, 6 to 7 inches long, dense, cylindrical, obtuse; general color dark brown.
Glumes small, obtuse, black shining; outer one hairy on the margin.
Seed smallest of all varieties, round, obtuse, tapering to the base; hilum or point of attachment of a lighter color and prominent.
b. Conspicuous.

Seeds brown; effect of head black. (Grain at times hardly longer than the glumes.)

## Oomseeana.

Head slender, erect, 8 to 9 inches long; branches closely appressed, but not dense.
Glumes black, pointed; outer one keeled, smooth and open.
Seed deep brown, and visible between the open glumes; plane convex, acute at both ends.
Black Top.
Head larger and broader than the preceding, blacker and more dense; seed lighter.
Bear Tail.
Denser head and longer glumes than in preceding, resembling in some respects a compacted Early Amber.
Iowa Red Top.
An Oomseeana cane, with large, prominent seeds and smaller glumes.
Seeds white.

## White Mammoth.

Head very dense, expanding toward the flattened top.
Glumes shining black, prominent.
Seed white, large, flattened; hilum inconspicuous.
2. Glumes light-red brown.

Seeds white.
White African
Head slender, 7 to 8 inches long; branches closely compressed but not very dense.
Glumes large, light red, shining.
Seed large, white.
Seed yellowish brown.

## Neeazana.

Head 5 to 8 inches long, dense, cylindrical.
Glumes pointed, somewhat hairy; outer one gray: inner one black, smaller, and inconspicuous.
Seed long, flat; hilum inconspicuous.
Synon. White Imphee, Early Orange.
New Variety (Salle), similar to Neeazana, but both glumes are at times light colored and hairy.

## Wolf Tail.

Head 9 to 10 inches long, slender, dense.
Glumes almost white, shining, somewhat downy.
Seed shorter than in Neeazana, long, round; hilum slightly flattened.
Gray Top.
Head similar to Neeazana, but glumes brown, shining, obtuse, short.
Seed short, long, large, prominent, round; hilum only slightly flattened; distinguished by its brown glumes and the prominence of the large round seeds in the head.
3. Glumes gray.

Rice, or Egyptian Corn.
Head heary, bending the culm, dense, obtuse, cylindrical.
Glumes gray, prominent, wooly, persistent.
Seed large, flat, white, round in outline, width greater than the length; prominent in the head, and easily shaken out.
(B.) Panicle not dense.

Glumes black.
Regular Sorgho.
Head loose, 10 to 12 inches long.
Glumes black, shining, open. displaying the seeds.
. Seeds large, flat, obtuse.
Hybrid Sorghum.
Hybrid of E. Link.
Oomseeana of Blymyer.

These are hybrids of the Liberian or Imphee varities with the Honduras or Chinese varieties, and bear the characteristics of both races. Here, also, might be mentioned-
African of Parks, of Kentucky. Hybrid of Moore.
II. Equal to the glumes.
(A.) Glumes closed, or nearly so.

Red, and palet awned.
Honduras.
Head 1 foot long, thin, loose, spreading, nodding.
Glumes reddish brown, shining, somewhat hairy, acute at both ends; inner one keeled.
Seed long, very acute at the base, obtuse at the apex; plane convex; hilum conspicuous, with a prominence at the base, and a rcund mark at the upper edge.
Synon. Mastodon, Honey Cane, Sprangle Top, Honey Top. These all vary slightly, so as to be distinguished in the field; but not, however, by description.
Deep chocolate palet, awned.
Hybrid of Wallis, Collin county, Texas.
Similar to the Honduras, except in the deep brown glumes and more compact bead, showing its Imphee affinities.
(B.) Glumes open.

Under this head might be sought Regular Sorgho and Black Top, classed as having the grain longer than the glumes.
III. Shorter than the glumes.
(A.) Glumes black.

Culm erect.
Early Amber.
Head slender, erect; branches appressed, pointed, 9 to 10 inches long.
Glumes large, smooth, shining, acute at both ends, concealing the seed or open, flattened on both sides.
Seeds long, obtuse, light colored; hilum large, with a prominence in the center.
Synon. Early Golden, Golden Syrup.
Culm erect, or often bent with heavy heads.
Goose Neck.
Head inverted on the bent culm; somewhat loose, 8 inches long.
Glumes shining, downy at the tips, flattened.
Seeds smaller than Amber, long, acute at the base, obtuse at the apex, somewhat flattened.
(B.) Glumes purplish.

White Liberian.
Head slender, erect, or goose-necked; branches appressed, pointed.
Glumes large, smooth, shining, acute at both ends, often not covering the seed. Infertile ones often very prominent and purplish gray.
Seed large, long, and similar to the Amber, but hilam more prominent.
Synon. Sugar-cane (Barger).

```
COMPARISON OF SORGHUMS GROWN FROM SEED RECEIVED FROMI CHLNA, NATAL, INDIA, AND FRON THE UNITED STATES.
```

These several varieties were grown upon the same plot of ground, in Washington, D. C., in the year 1882, and the results obtained are, therefore, comparable.

The number of analyses made of each group, the average weight of stripped stalks, the percentage of juice expressed, and the average percentages of sucrose, solids not sucrose (including glucose), and available sugar, in the juices, are given, for several stages of development.

The poor quality of the Chinese varieties is seen in the light weight of the stalks, the small percentage of juice expressed (though the same mill was used in all the experiments recorded-a total of 984), and in the lower percentage of sucrose and available sugar.

It is, however, to be remembered, that these Chinese seeds were obtained from about Peking, while the original Chinese sorghum seed imported into France by M. de Montigny, according to Dr. Williams, was grown upon the island Tsung-ming, which lies at the mouth of the Yang-tse-kiang river, some 700 miles south of Peking. It is not improbable that this warmer region had developed a variety richer in sugar than those of Northern China, where, as Dr. Williams says, the uses of the plant for grain and fuel may have developed qualities, during the centuries of its cultivation, fitting it for such purposes, but at the expense of its sugar content.

Comparison of $1 t$ Chinese sorghums, 26 African, 3 Indian, and 20 American :

Percentage of Sucrose in Juice.

|  | Chinese. | African. | Indian. | American. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed in milk. | 6.90 | 8.72 | 8.07 | 8.91 |
| Seed in dough.. | 8.23 | 9.49 | 10.36 | 11.53 . |
| Seed, hard | 8.93 | 10.20 | 9.52 | 11.57 |
| Sucker seed in milk | 9.57 | 10.83 | 12.03 | 12.01 |
| Sucker seed in dough | 9.61 | 12.24 | 11.85 | 12.80 |
| Average | 8.65 | 10.30 | 10.37 | 11.36 |

Comparison in Weight of Stripped Stalks and Juice.


Percentage of available Sugar in Juice.

|  | Chinese. | African. | Indian. | American. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed in milk.. | 2.10 | 3.66 | 3.28 | 3.43 |
| Seed in dough. | 2.83 | 4.64 | 5.97 | 6.42 |
| Seed, hard | 4.51 | 6.41 | 5.36 | 7.11 |
| Sucker seed in milk | 5.18 | 6.70 | 7.68 | 7.69 |
| Sucker seed in dough | 4.98 | 8.46 | 7.75 | 8.91 |
| Average | 3.92 | 5.97 | 6.01 | 6.71 |

Percentage of Solids not Sucrose (including Glucose) in Juice.

|  | Chinese. | African. | Indian. | American. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed in milk. | 4.80 | 5.06 | 4.79 | 5.48 |
| Seed in dough.. | 5.40 | 4.85 | 4.39 | 5.11 |
| Seed, hard .... | 4.42 | 3.79 | 4.16 | 4.46 |
| Sucker seed in milk | 4.39 | 4.13 | 4.35 | 4.32 |
| Sucker seed in dough | 4.63 | 3.78 | 4.10 | 3.89 |
| Average.. | 4.73 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.65 |

From the above tables, the general resemblance between the African, Indian, and American varieties is noticeable, as to their quality of juice and its amount; but, while the Indian and American varieties have about the same weight, the average of the African varieties is much greater-the proportion between the African, American, Indian, and Chinese, in weight, being as follows: $100: 72: 71: 54$.

It will be seen, also, that the average content of sugar is a little greater in the American varieties than in any of the others, and this result may be due to the effects of our climate during the thirty-three years of their cultivation in the United States; or, to the fact that some principle of selection has prevailed during their cultivation, since, as is well known, their value as sugar producing plants has been steadily kept in mind.

Whichever view is taken of the matter, the practical conclusion is most favorable, for it appears hardly probable, that, by chance, such varieties only were introduced at that early day as were the best for sugar. It would seem, theu, possible that, by more intelligent and systematic selection and cultivation, we might be able to produce a variety heavier than any yet known, and with a higher content of sugar.

Similar results with other cultivated plants are, by no means, exceptional.

The following table gives the relative heights and weights of the average sorghums from China, Africa, India, and the United States; also, the average length and weight of the panicles.

Season of 1882.
10 Chinese. 20 African. 3 Indian. 18 American.
Average height of stalk...................feet. 9.6
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { "weight of stripped stalk.....pounds. } & . .746 & 1.44 & 1.20 & 1.13 \\ \text { " } & .74 & 1.44 & 1.83 & 2.68\end{array}$

The following table presents in detail, for comparison, the analyses of the four classes of sorghums, all of which were grown side by side, in 1882, at Washington. Those analyses only are included which were made after the seed had become hard.

Average Results in 1882,

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { 首 } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ | Variety. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Hoong Mao Nien Liang (a) | 1 | . 61 | 36.82 | 2.28 |
| 2. | San Sui Hoong Liang ( $\alpha$ ( | 13 | . 44 | 40.19 | . 71 |
| 3. | San Sui Pai Liang (a) ... | 1 | .75 | 32.45 40.66 | 2.37 |
|  |  | 13 | . 76 | 42.94 | 84 |
| 4 | Er Chiu Hung Liang (a) | 17 | . 58 | 38.68 | . 6 |
| 5 | Ma Wei Nien Liang ... | 12 | . 68 | 39.54 | . 60 |
| 6. | Ta Min Hung Liang (a) | 15 | . 65 | 38.70 | . 83 |
|  | "، "، " "، (b) | 1 | . 85 | 39.11 | 1.93 |
|  | " " " ${ }^{\text {" }}$ ( | 1 | 1.35 | 46.66 | 1.10 |
| 7. | Undendebule | 23 | 1.25 | 56.07 | . 8 |
| 8. | Uknbane (a). | 15 | 1.31 | 59.97 | 1.18 |
| $9 .$. | Jyangentombi (a) | ${ }_{5}^{9}$ | 1.805 | 53.15 58.23 | . 88 |
|  | Jyangentombi (b) | 1 | 1.24 | 65.72 | 1.30 |
| 11. | Ibohla | 4 | 1.45 | 66.21 | 2.49 |
| 13. | Dindemuka | 24 | 1.28 | 60.82 | 1.01 |
| 13. | Uboyana.. | 20 | 1.85 | 62.06 | 1.72 |
| 14. | Umgatubanda | 7 | 1.68 | 60.35 | . 97 |
| 15. | Ubehlana (a) | 14 | 1.71 | 62.80 61.58 | 1.21 |
| 16. | Ufatane (a). | 22 | 2.25 | 61.58 <br> 57 <br> 9 | 1.61 |
|  | " (b) |  | 1.34 | 6.0.90 | . 98 |
|  | " (c) | 9 | 1.65 | 58.96 | 1.20 |
| 17. | Unkunjana (a) | 15 | 1.43 | 63.89 | 1.96 |
|  | " (b) |  | 1.72 | 62.73 | 1.71 |
|  | " (c) | 11 | 1.58 | 60.57 | 1.28 |
| 18. | Hlogonde (a). | 18 | 1.40 | 57. 54 | 1.35 |
|  | -" (b) | 18 | 1.35 | 57.86 | 1.4 |
| 19. | UnhIokonde | 4 | . 95 | 59.93 | 1.8 |
| 20. | White Imphee. | 13 | 1.05 | 63.08 | 1.3 |
| 21. | White African | 15 | 1.38 | 5679 | 1.28 |
| 22. | White Mammoth. | 8 | 1.46 | 62.69 | 1.23 |
| 23. | West India | 17 | 1.25 | 58.93 | 2.38 |
| 24. | New Variety (Stump). | 15 | . 95 | 57.0 .3 | 1.2 |
| 25. | Early Amber...... | 23 | . 80 | $55^{2} .83$ | 1.15 |
| 26. | New Variety (H. S. Coll.) | 21 | . 76 | 59.9 | 1.17 |
| 27. | Bear Tail. | 23 | 97 | 57.03 | 1.88 |
| 28. | Iowa Red Top. | 2 | 1.12 | 648 | 1.58 |
| 29. | Red Sorgho | 18 | 1.41 | 57.67 | 1.45 |
| 30. | Black Sorgho (a) | 12 | 1.92 | 61.54 | . 97 |
|  | " " (b) | 8 | 1.28 | 65.75 | 1.15 |
| 31. | Link's Hybrid. | 8 | 1.64 | 60.43 | 8 |
| 32 | Standard (Harrall) | 18 | 1.51 | 5.56 | 59 |
| 33. | Neeazana | 26 | . 76 | 53.69 | 1.92 |
| 34. | Gray Top. | 21 | .99 | 61.30 | 1.26 |
| 35. | White Liberian | 54 | . 82 | 56.11 |  |
| 37. | New Variety (Link) | 19 | 1.28 | 56.07 | 1.28 |
| 38. | New Variety (Haswell) | 19 | 1.02 | 56.79 | 1.5 |
| 39. | Chinese Imphee | 21 | 1.06 | 53.48 | 1.16 |
| 40. | New Variety (Bradford) | 5 | 1.50 | 55.36 | 1.91 |

After Seed was Hard.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \text { 00 } \\ & \text { En } \\ & \text { Eng } \\ & =1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{H}{6}$戠 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.63 | 2.87 | 4.48 | 1067 |  |  | 31/2 | 1412 | 9.3 |
| 9.13 | 3.80 | 4.63 | 1060 | S.12 | 81 | $31 / 2$ | 1413 | 9.3 |
| 6.25 | 2.97 | . 91 | 1053 |  |  | $31 / 4$ | 133/2 |  |
| 8.93 | 3.85 | 4.33 | 1060 | 8.15 | 97 | 4 | 16 | 9.6 |
| 9.45 | 3.94 | 4.67 | 1061 | 10.30 | 97 | 4 | 121/2 | 9.6 |
| 9.79 | 3.84 | 5.30 | 1062 | 9.88 | 90 | $51 / 2$ | 13 | 9.4 |
| 8.27 | 4.63 | 3.04 | 1060 | 9.46 | 104 | 31 | 14 | 10.0 |
| 8.56 | 4.07 | 3.65 | 1055 | 8.69 | 117 | 414 | 15 | 9.6 |
| 9.64 | 1.95 | 5.56 | 1058 | 9.64 |  | 4 | 19 |  |
| 10.63 | 2.34 | 7.19 | 1061 | 10.96 |  | 4 | 19 |  |
| 13.64 | 329 | 9.52 | 1077 | 13.57 | 124 | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 9.6 |
| 11.98 | 2.78 | 8. 02 | 1067 | 12.05 | 100 | 312 | 1412 | 9.6 |
| 5. 27 | 3.20 | 1.72 | 1039 | 5. 3 | 116 | 4 | $18 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5.6 |
| 13.45 | 3:10 | 9.47 | 1074 | 13.41 | 116 | 212 | 101/2 | 8.6 |
| 6.49 | 2.31 | 2.88 | 1042 | 6.52 | 136 | 415 | 81/2 | 7.0 |
| 9.71 | 2.37 | 4.91 | 1061 | 9.58 | 115 | 334 | 181/2 | 11.4 |
| 11.05 | 2.54 | 7.50 | 1064 | 10.95 | 186 | $21 / 2$ | 8 | 8.0 |
| 11.16 | 2.51 | 6.93 | 1045 | 10.94 | 143 | $51 / 2$ | $111 / 2$ | 8.2 |
| +.52 | 267 | . 83 | 1043 | 4.2t | 115 | 418 | $8{ }^{1}$. | 7.0 |
| 11.82 | 2.71 | 7.87 | 1069 | 11.54 | 124 | 3 | $15 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9.0 |
| 12.57 | 2.03 | 8.94 | 1069 | 12.31 | 143 | 1 | 9 | 8.3 |
| 12.09 | 2.66 | 7.92 | 1009 | 11.75 | 124 | 3 | 10 | 8.10 |
| 13.59 | 3.07 | 9.51 | 1075 | 13.65 | 143 | $51 / 2$ | 812.2 | 6.1 |
| 10.85 | 2.68 | 6.97 | 1073 |  | 143 | 5 | 11/2 | 7.8 |
| 10.11 | 2.06 | 6.09 | 1062 | 9.32 | 143 | $21 / 2$ | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9.2 |
| 485 | 2.67 | 5.45 | 1059 | 8.50 | 186 | 5 | 14 | 10.6 |
| 11.70 | 2.47 | 7.95 | 1066 | $11.5 \pm$ | 143 | 1 | 8 | 7.5 |
| 12.44 | 2.81 | 8.2S | 1071 | 11 6\% | 129 | 6 | 13 | 8.9 |
| 12.99 | 2.64 | 8.91 | 1070 | $12 \%$ | 129 | 3 | 712 | 7.4 |
| 11.99 | 2.14 | 8.01 | 1065. | 10.55 | 115 | $31 / 2$ | 10 | 9.2 |
| 11.81. | 2.89 | 7.58 | 1069 | 12.01 | 117 | 3 | $8 \frac{1}{12}$ | 8.1 |
| 11.62 | 2.92 | 7.42 | 1065 | 11.17 | 115 | 4 | 1012 | 9.6 |
| 11.58 | 3.14 | 7.21 | 1067 | 12.02 | 115 | 8 | 9 | 10.3 |
| 11.34 | 2.61 | 6.35 | $10 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | 115 | 3 | 9 | 8.6 |
| 11.87 | 3.22 | 7.11 | 1067 | 12.01 | 100 | $11 / 2$ | 9 | 9.2 |
| 13.08 | 2.97 | 5.96 | 1073 | 12.72 | 107 | 2 | 10 | 9.0 |
| 10.53 | 3.10 | 6.26 | 1063 | 10.99 | 100 | 11/2 | 10 | 9.6 |
| 11.52 | 2.38 | 7.29 | 1065 | 11.24 | 11 j | $11 / 2$ | 10 | 9.4 |
| 11.07 | 2.19 | 7.30 | 1062 | 10.68 | 107 | 13.4 | 9 | S. 4 |
| 12.27 | 2.91 | 7.91 | 1071 | 12.02 | 181 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 8 | 9.2 |
| 11.11 | 3.15 | 6.99 | 1065 | 11.21 | 110 | 3 | 11 | 7.6 |
| 11.70 | 2.51 | 8.01 | 1043 | 11.58 | 110 | $31 / 2$ | 8 | 8.0 |
| 14.21 | 3.10 | 10.27 | 1085 | 14.24 | 130 | $3{ }^{2 / 2}$ | 13 | 9.3 |
| 14.25 | 3.17 | 10.49 | 1076 | 14.35 | 129 | 3 | 12 | 98 |
| 13.11 | $\stackrel{9}{2} .86$ | 8.33 | 1075 | 12.99 | 100 | 3 | 7 | 7.3 |
| 12.01 | 2.91 | 7.84 | 1068 | 11.68 | 107 | $31 / 2$ | 81/2 | 8.0 |
| 12.40 | 2.96 | S. 19 | 1070 | 11.94 | 100 | $11 / 2$ | 11. | 9.0 |
| 13.60 | 3.00 | 9.32 | 1076 | 13.67 | 104 | $2^{2}$ | 10 | 9.7 |
| 11.46 | 3.03 | 6.89 | 1066 | 11.19 | 95 | 312 | 91/2 | 9.6 |
| 13.49 | 3.12 | 9.21 | 1076 | 13.37 | 97 | $3{ }^{2}$ | 12 | 10.3 |
| 9.97 | 2.43 | 5.63 | 1060 | 9.66 | 124 | $41 / 2$ | 13 | 8.6 |

## Character of the Varieties of Sorghum as to Habits of Suckering.

Owing to the importance of having a uniform crop of cane without any admixture of immature stalks, for the purpose of sugar production, observations were made in the field upon the several varieties of sorghum under cultivation in 1882 for the purpose of determining their character in respect to throwing up suckers from the roots and offshoots from the parent stalk.

The following different classes appeared pretty well defined; but it often happened that one variety would have the characteristics of two or more classes:

First class.-A single stalk from the seed, without suckers from below or offshoots from the stalk.

Second class.-Two or more stalks from the seed, maturing with equal rapidity, and without suckers or offshoots.

Third class.-A single stalk from the seed, with no suckers from the roots; but with offshoots from the upper joints of the parent stalk.

Fourth class.-A single stalk from the seed, without offshoots; but with suckers springing up from the roots, and maturing much later than the original stalk.

Fifth class.-A single stalk from the seed, with no suckers from the roots; but with offshoots from every joint of the parent stalk.

It will, I think, be obvious that, other things being equal, the several varieties of sorghum will, for the purpose of sugar production, be valuable according as they belong to the above classes in their order, viz, those of the first or second class most valuable; those belonging to the third next, and so in order.

It was generally found, however, that each variety belonged to two or more of the above classes; and below is given the results of observations upon fifty-two varieties, October 14th, one hundred and fortythree days after planting, see page 121:

Class 1.-Nos. $8 b, 10,11,16 c, 17 c$.
Class 3.-Nos. 3b, 7, 8a, 12, 14, 16a, 16b, 18a, 21, 22, 25, 28, 29a, 29b, 33, 35, 37, 39, 40 .

Class 5.-Nos. 2, 3a, 4, 5, 6, 34.
Classes 1 and 2.-Nos. 9b, $15 a$.
Classes 2 and 3.-Nos. $9 a, 9 c, 13,17 a, 17 b, 19,23,30$.
Classes 2 and 4.-No. 1.
Classes 3 and 4.-Nos. 20, 24, 26, 27, 32, 36, 38, 40a.
Classes 3 and 5.-No. 31.
Classes 1, 3, and 4.-No. 18 .

Nos. 1 to 6, inclusive, were Chinese ; Nos. 7 to 19, African; Nos. 29 and 30, Indian; and the remainder from the United States.

It will of course be understood that the above classification is only relative; and upon other soils, and in different conditions than those which prevailed with the plat upon which the above varieties were grown, these sorghums would pass from one to another of the classes.

This classification, however, will show the relative tendency of these varieties under conditions which were for each the same; and for any' soil or any climate the choice would be fully justified of only such varieties as stood near the head in the above classification. Of course the relative weight of crop of each variety, its time for maturing, and its relative content of sugar, are also to be taken into consideration in selecting the variety best adapted to any given locality.

## CHAPTER V.

(a.) Selection and preparation of ground, planting, and cultivation.
(b.) Selection and preparation of seed.
(c.) Time, from planting, to reach certain stages of development.
(d.) Time for harvesting crop.
(e.) Importance of an even crop.
(f.) Effect of removing seed, etc.
(g.) Effect of stripping cane.

## SELECTION AND PREPARATION OF GROUND, PLANTING, AND CULTIVATION.

In general, the sorghum crop, in its demands upon the soil and climate, and in its method of planting and cultivation, very closely resembles Indian corn (Zea mais), with which every one is practically familiar. But this general statement demands modification. During the earlier stages in the life of the sorghum, the plant is feeble, and liable to be easily choked by weeds. Although the resemblance in its culture is so close to that of maize, and the expense generally regarded as about the same per acre, it is to be remembered that it is a crop which, for seed, forage, sugar, and syrup combined, far surpasses maize in value, and that any increase in the aggregate value of these products (which may be secured by greater care in the preparation of the soil and cultivation of the crop), will be fully justified by the economical results.

It is, of course, hardly possible to lay down directions as to each detail of cultivation applicable to every soil and locality; but, in general, such soil and such culture as would secure a good corn crop will suffice.
Like maize sorghum requires, for its best development, heat and light; but, unlike maize, it is found to successfully withstand even prolonged drought, provided only that it shall have secured a fair start: and, in fact, the maximum of sugar has been found, in every variety of sorghum under examination, to have been developed during a season of drought (that of 1881) so severe that the crops of maize, in the same sectoon, were almost a complete failure.

This subject will be considered in another part of this volume in detail.

For the growing of sorghum, then, good corn land should be selected,
with a warm exposure; and the crop, if in drills, should be planted with them generally running east and west, so that the fullest access of sun and heat may be secured.

If practicable, the field should be chosen so as to be sheltered from heary winds, which, by prostrating the crop, increase greatly the expense of harvesting, and injure its quality.

The character of soil and fertilization necessary, will be the subject of a special chapter.

## Preparation of the Soil.

Haring selected the field, the aim should be to put it in a condition of perfect tilth, more like a garden than a field, by continual cultivaation, effectually destroving weeds, and thoroughly pulverizing the soil, so that subsequent cultivation is rendered easy and rapid.

To this end it should be constantly remembered, that any additional labor at the outset, in preparing the soil for the crop, saves more than its equivalent in after cultivation, and greatly increases the value of the crop. The main points are, first, to see that the reeds shall not be allowed to get the start of the crop ; and it is, therefore, to be advised strongly to run a cultivator over the ground, and drag and redrag the ground within a day of the time of planting, so that no weeds shall have even a day's start of the crop. Next to secure at the outset a good, even stand of cane, and avoid any occasion for replanting: not so much on account of the trouble and additional expense, as that, by replanting, it is impossible to have all the crop come to maturity at the same time-a matter of the utmost importance in the production of sugar.

Owing to the importance of having a field free from weeds, it would be well to have sorghum follow some hoed crop (as corn, potatoes, or tobacco) ; or, if such land is not available, to secure the destruction of the weeds by fall plowing and continuous working of the land until ready to put in the seed.

By deep fall plowing a deep tilth is secured, favorable to the full development of the roots of sorghum, and assists it to withstand drought; also, it will tend to destroy, through winter freezing, those weeds which may give trouble in the spring. Especially is this treatment necessary upon new land. In the spring, after having thoroughly broken up the ground by deep plowing, the drag, roller, or smoothing harrow, should be used, after the ground is warm, until the soil is suitable for a garden, free from weeds, lumps, and litter, smooth, and ready for planting.

## Time for Planting.

The time for planting will, of course, depend upon the locality. The main thing desired, is that an even, uniform stand shall be secured at the first planting, free from weeds as possible.

Since the weeds and foul seeds are always ready to start so soon as the warmth is sufficient for germination, it is well to defer planting until the growth, fairly begun, shall be stopped by the cultivator and harrow, and the weeds destroyed.

By so doing the ground is made warm as well as clean. Nothing is gained, and there is great risk, in planting too early. As a rule, the planting is to be done only at the time when the ground is so warm and moist that the germination of the seeds shall proceed at once without interruption. If planted at such time, the plants will make their appearance within three or four days.

The testimony is almost universal, that the latest planting has secured the best crop, and required the least care in its production.

By late planting, the crop has a better chance with the weeds, and by proper cultivation for the first month, will quite overshadow and destroy them.

## Amount of Seed for Planting.

The number of seed, of course, varies with the kind, and with the different lots of the same variety, but averages about 25,000 to the pound.

Professor Henry found 27,680 seed of the Early Amber; and I found in one specimen from Virginia, 19,000; and in another from Minnesota, 31,800 seed to the pound. Owing to the extreme importance of securing a good stand of cane at first planting, it is always best to plant two or three times as much seed as will be necessary in case it all grows. By this means, of course, in most cases, it will be necessary to thin out the plants, which involves little work, as it may be speedily effected by the hoe, so soon as the cane is about five or six inches high. In the event of failure more or less complete to secure a good stand, the choice is presented of either replanting the whole, if there remains time, or to make the best of such as may have started. In this latter case most persons will be greatly surprised to find how large the crop is at the harvest. In any event, do not plant in the vacant spaces of the field, unless the object is only the production of syrup from the crop. For sugar, this second planting would practically be worse than useless.

Two quarts of seed, if fairly distributed, would be quite enough to the acre; and if all the seed was good, there would be need even then of thinning out the crop: but, as equal distribution of so small an
amount of seed is practically impossible, it is better to plant at least three or four quarts to the acre, with the expectation of thinning out at the proper time.

## Method of Planting.

Having thoroughly prepared the ground, the planting may be done in drills or in hills, as in each locality may have been found to give with corn the best results. Of course, no general rule will apply; for not only is there a difference in the soils, but also in the several varieties of sorghum. Of those who advocate planting in hills, some declare that the crop is thus better able to stand up in the wind-a most desirable result ; also that, by an opportunity for cross cultivation, the weeds are more readily kept down.

The several methods of planting recommended by cultivators are given below, and for the convenience of those who may wish to know the number of stalks to the acre, and estimate the weight of crop of the several varieties of sorghum, from the table, page 74, giving the average weight of the stripped stalks, the following table has been prepared, giving, according to the several modes of planting, the

## Number of Stalks per Acre.

In drills 4 feet apart, and 3 stalks to the foot $=32,670$

| ، | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | ، | ، | 3 | ، | ، | ، |  | $=36,300$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ، | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | '، | " | 2 | " | ، |  |  | $=24,200$ |
| ، | 3 | ، | " | 3 | ، | " |  |  | $=43,560$ |
| ، | 3 | ، | " | 2 | ، | ، |  |  | $=29,040$ |
| ${ }^{6}$ | $3 \frac{10}{12}$ | " | ، | 3 | " | " |  |  | $=34,090$ |

In hills 4 feet by 4 feet apart, and 6 stalks to the hill $=16,400$

| ، | $3{ }^{1}$ | " | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | " | " | 5 | ، | " | $=17,730$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ، | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | " | 2 |  | " | 4 | " | " | $=24,895$ |
| " | 3 | '6 | 3 | ' | " | 6 | " | ، | $=29,040$ |
| ، | 3 | ، | 3 | ، | " | 4 | " | ، | $=19,360$ |
| ، | 3 | " | 2 | ، | " | 4 | ، | " | $=29,040$ |
| " | 4 | " | 2 |  | " | 4 | '، | ، | $=21,780$ |
| " | $3 \frac{10}{12}$ | " | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | ' | " | 6 | " | ، | $=32,120$ |

Upon good, strong land the cane may be planted in drills three feet apart, with stalks only four inches apart in the drill, giving 43,560 stalks to the acre.

It is thought that, by close planting, the growth of weeds is more speedily checked by being sooner overshadowed by the sorghumplants; also, that the growth of suckers is far less. On the other hand, the exclusion of light and heat retards the production of sugar in the plant; and, if the stalks are too close, their development is less, and
they are inclined to be long, spindling, and weak, with low content of sugar. It is very much to be desired that careful comparative experiments shall be made, to determine the relative effects of open and close planting, since a maximum weight of stalks per acre may be at the expense of the possible amount of sugar, or even of syrup. Recent results with maize give reason to believe, that, should the distance between the drills or hills of sorghum be very much increased over that at present given, the result would be found very satisfactory.

Marking the land may be done after the rolling, with an implement consisting of a plank resting upon three or four short runners at least 3 inches wide, so as to give a good track fixed at the proper distances; and great care should be exercised to have the rows as straight as possible, for the convenience of after cultivation.
The planting may be done by hand, or with the planter. The one horse "Keystone Planter" is reported by Professor Henry, of Wisconsin, to do perfect work, planting about an acre an hour, with the rows four feet apart, dropping the seed regularly every ten inches, and covering it half an inch, three-fourths, or an inch as may be desired, and at an expense of not over 25 cents per acre for planting. The " Mound City One Horse Corn Drill," and the "Union Planter," are also said to give entire satisfaction. The price of the above planters is about $\$ 18$. In using them, it is necessary that the seed shall have been


Plate XVI.
carefully cleaned and free from hulls. The depth to which the seed should be covered will depend much upon the soil and its conditions of moisture and temperature. Unless the soil is very dry, a half inch is the proper depth; and deep planting should be carefully avoided.
The light one horse planter is much to be preferred to the two horse, especially if the ground by thorough preparation has been mellowed,
since there is danger that the hearier planter may plant the seed too deep.

This planter is represented in Plate XVI.

## Cultivation.

The main object after the planting of the sorghum, is to keep the weeds in subjectiou until the crop has so frr adranced as to be able to care for itself.

It is now that the previous cultivation of hoed crops upon the field, the fall plowing, the frequent cultivation and harrowing of the land up to the day of planting, are seen to have been of great value.

After planting, these last operations are continued uninterruptedly until the plants are about two feet high. It is a common practice, a few days after planting, to drag the field over once or twice with a light harrow; and this is even done after the cane has made its appearance. But, if the seed was planted when the earth was thoroughly warm and moist, and directly after a thorough cultivation, harrowing, and rolling of the land, it will be found that the weeds will have made no appreciable start before the cane is so well up that the hills or drills are easily recognized, and then the work with the cultivator and the hoe should begin, and be continued. So soon as the plants are about six inches high, they should be thinned out, and this operation may easily be performed with the hoe. After the plants have attained a height of 12 or 18 inches, care should be taken to avoid deep cultivation, especially near the plant, in order not to disturb the rootlets of the growing plant, which extend out near the surface. In short, the care of the crop, after planting, is practically identical with that of naize, with this difference, that the young sorghum is more delicate and requires more attention than does maize. At the present, it is hardly known whether hilling or flat culture is best;; but at the first it is best to leave the land level, in order that the harrow may reach the weeds. By many it is asserted that the hilling results in throwing out of suckers, a most undesirable result, although it does not as yet appear established as an effect of hilling.

It will probably be found, in each locality and season, that the course to be pursued will vary with the conditions prevailing, and that neither the one course nor the other will be found best for general application.

In certain sections of the country, the best results have been secured by what is termed ridge culture. Instead of marking, as usual,
for the planter, the land is thrown up into ridges and the seed planted upon these. This method is especially adapted to a wet, backward season. On the other hand, in Southern Kansas, where there is a lack of sufficient moisture, the opposite system, known as " listing," is said to give excellent results with both sorghum and maize. In this method, by means of the listing plow (sub-soil), furrows are laid in the field and the seed is planted in these, where is found moist earth, and where the roots, going deeper, are able to reach the necessary supply of moisture.

## SELECTION AND PREPARATION OF SEED.

The first thing to be considered in the production of a crop of sorghum, is the selection of that variety which has been found, by actual and continued experience, to be suited to the particular locality where the crop is to be grown.

Since, practically, there is no difference in the demands upon the soil which one variety makes as compared with another, the main thing is to select that variety which in the given locality will mature long enough before frost occurs to enable the crop to be worked up.

By consulting the tables on pages 121 and 122 , it will be seen that the period from planting to maturity varies with the different varieties from 90 to 170 days, and that between these limits all those cultivated will mature their seed.

The farmer generally has a choice of several varieties, all sufficiently early for his climate and locality ; and, in such case, it is desirable to make actual test of several, in order to learn which he had best adopt.

Owing to exposure, the crop may be liable to be prostrated by heavy winds, in which case those varieties best able to withstand such storms are much to be preferred. A short, heavy stalk, with a relatively small panicle, is then to be chosen, and reference to the table upon page 74 will be a guide in such selection.

More frequently it may happen that, owing to the length of season in certain localities, several varieties may be planted, which, by reaching maturity in succession, will enable the farmer not only to secure his crop of seed from each, but to prolong his season for working up the crop for sugar, having each crop ready for the mill when it has reached its best condition. For example, one might select the following varieties: Early Amber, Link's Hybrid, Early Orange, Liberian, and Honduras, the number of days required from planting to maturity being, respectively, $90,105,116,130$, and 140 ; or, for the Early Amber, the White Liberian, and for the Early Orange, the White

Mammoth could be substituted. All the above are among the best of our varieties.

Other things being equal, it is desirable that those rarieties be selected which shall give the heaviest crop, since, if worked at their best, the amount of sugar or syrup produced is proportioned to the weight of the cane; and, by reference to the tables on pages it and 75 , it will be seen that, geverally, the weight of the crop is nearly proportioned to the time necessary for it to mature. For example, we find the average weight of the stalks, of the above rarieties, as cut in the field, and after stripping, to be as follows:

|  | Arerage weight of stalks as cut. | Average weight of stripped stalks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Amber | 1.39 pounds. | . 96 pounds. |
| Link's Hybrid | 1.90 | 1.38 " |
| Earl Orange... | 2.12 | 1.47 |
| Liberian. | $\cdots$ | 1.81 |
| Honduras | 2.34 | 2. 14 |

A field, then, which would produce a crop of ten tons of Early Amber stripped stalks, would produce over twenty tuns of Honduras stripped stalks. But the advantage of a prolunged season for working up the crop would prove so great that the difference in yield of crop would be more than compensated.

## The Choice of Seed.

Having selected the proper variety for cultivation, the selection and testing of the seed is of first importance.

It is by many urged that, for cultivation in any northern localitr, seed should be obtained further south ; not that earlier maturity is thus secured, but a hearier crop. It being asserted, as the result of experience, that, after two years' planting, there was a marked falling off in the weight of the crip. The general opinion prevailing among those who hold to this riew, is seen in the following from the proceedings of the Minnesota Cane Grower's Association:

The weight of opinion was decidedly in faror of seed brought from the latitude of St. Louis. Some cane growers had sent their seed to Missouri and Kansas, to have a crop grown and its seed returned. Among the decisire facts reported, Mr. Miller stated that his seed, imported from Southern Indiana 11 years before, had produced, on its first sowing, stalks from 12 to 15 feet high : but, by planting the seeds of each crop, its successors showed a declining height of cane, until it grew but 7 or 8 feet high. Mr. Wrlie had areraqed, with seed brought from the South, 273 gallons per acre; the following jear. using his own seed, he obtained but 223 gallons, a falling off of 50 galtons. The president of the convention had found, as a general thing, that the deterioration of seed was
not very marked till the third year. The southern seed did not excel so much in an earlier ripening of the crop as in its increased product, the excess, in some cases, amounting to one-third. The sentiment of the convention was expressed in the following resolution:

Resolved, That Early Amber cane seed, grown in the latitude of St. Louis, is the best seed for Minnesota for two years.

If every statement in the above be accepted withont question, the conclusion expressed in the "resolution" appears by no means established, since we might expect a falling off in crop, as with Mr. Miller, if the sorghum was continuously grown upon the same land, and especially as nothing is said about any means for keeping up the fertility of the soil.

In the case observed by Mr. Wylie, a difference of less than 20 per cent in the product, and that but for a single year, is a result which, in one place or another, is experienced every season with all our crops; and often, as in 1881, over nearly the whole country, and with almost every crop grown. Such differences are readily explained upon other grounds.

In a matter of so great moment as this-the proper selection of seedit is most unfortunate that such questions are settled by "resolution," rather than by careful experiments. Hasty generalizations are the bane of science; and the history of this sorghum industry during the past thirty years, well illustrates the fact, that the extent of our knowledge is not always measured by the amount of our experience.

In connection with this matter, the following results with seed of apparently the same varieties, grown in all parts of the country, planted the same day and upon the same plat of ground, are of interest.

Variety Honduras (planted May 6th, 1880, at Washington, D. C.).

| Source of seed. | Local nimme. | May 11th. | May 14th. | Days to maturity. | Average weight stripped stalks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Carolina.. | Mastorlon | Few up. | All up. | 128 | 1.99 pounds. |
| Louisiana. | Honey Cane. |  |  | 133 | 2.24 " |
| Maryland. | Honduras... | "' | " | 148 | 1.24 " |
| Alabama. | Sprangle Top. | All up. | ، | 153 | 1.87 " |
| Tennessee | Honduras.... | More up. | " | 157 | 2.11 " |
| Missouri | Honey Top | Few up. | " | 163 | 2.14 " |
| Texas.. | Honduras.. | ، | " | 164 | 2.16 " |

Fariety Liberian.

| source of seed. | Local name. | Mar lith. | Mar lith. | Days to maturitr. | Arerage weight stripped stalks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tennessee. | Oomseeana | Ferr up. | All up. |  |  |
| Ohio. | Liberian ... | , | .. | 131 |  |
| Tirsinia | Chinese | , | : | 137 | 1.30 |
| Alabsma. | sumac | "* | " | 15.3 | 1.64 |
| South Carolina.: | Imphee | All up. | ". | 155 | 1.55 " |
| Alsbama.... | Sumac. | Few up. | - | 165 | 1.54 |

Tariety Early Anber:

| Source oi seed. | Local name. | Mry llth. | May 1th. | Dars to maturity | Iverace weight stripped stalks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virginia | Early Amber | All up. | All up. | 7 | 1. mopoonds. |
| Misouri |  |  |  | s) | 1.(r) |
| Minnesota | * Golden.. | Fow | " | so | 1.02 " |
| Ohio | Golden Srrup.. | Few np. | " | 87 | 1. $10{ }^{(0)}$.. |
| hansas. | Earsy Amber.. | All up. |  | 3 |  |

From the abore it would appear, that there was no difference noticeably due to the locality whence the seed was obtained. either in the rapidity of germination, in the weight of the crop produced, or in the time required for maturity.

In this last particular, there is a great difference, $\mathfrak{a s}$, for example, in those rarieties clasenl as Liberian and Honduras: but it will be observed, the specimen of Liberian requiring most time to reach maturity cane from Alabama; the specimen of Hunduras which required the longest time to mature came from Texas: and the specimen from Missouri was practically ideutical. nut ouly in this respect, but in weight of crop.

## The Testing of Sced.

Orring to the fact that, through lack of care in the harresting and curing of the seed, its ritality may be destroyed, it is a precaution which should never be omitted by the farmer, that he should, shorty hefore the time fir phanting, make a careful test of his see:t, in order to determine its vitality ; otherwise, he may find, when it is too late, that his fields require replanting, the season being too short to permit this with any assurance of a mature crop of cane.

In order to test the seed, it is only necessary to take a shallow box, with a cuser an empty biackiag-box will cu, but it should be caretully washed clean); the bex should be half filled with clean sand-n+t earth nor gravel, but sand-and this sand should be saturated with
water; then allowing the excess of water to escape by inclining the box. Into the box, an average sample of 100 seed should be dropped upon the moist sand; and, being covered, it may stand in a moderately warm room, at about $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Every day, the cover should be removed, and after from three to five days, those seed which retain their vitality will have germinated, and may be counted. By this means, one may easily learn the per cent of vitality of his seed, and it will be found that fairly good seed will give at least 90 out of 100 .

Of course, if the percentage is less, a proportionally larger amount of seed must be used in planting. Owing to the importance of securing at the start a uniform stand of cane, and to avoid the danger of re-planting, as well as expense, it would be well, in every case, to duplicate the test of the seed, to be assured against failure from poor seed.

## The Preparation of Seed for Planting.

According to the testimony of many, the plants will come forward more rapidly if the seed is soaked in lukewarm water for twenty-four hours before planting-and, indeed, it has been advised by some to sprout the seed before planting; but even the advocates of either soaking or sprouting seed admit that there is risk of losing the seed, if the ground should, at planting, prove too cold or too dry.

By reference to the tables just given, it will be seen that, of all the varieties planted, and of the seed received from so many sources, there was not one which was not fully up within a week after planting; and the same was true of all the forty-eight varieties planted at that time.

If properly planted, and with the ground in good condition, it is questionable whether there is any gain in soaking or sprouting the seed, while there is far more danger of losing it if the weather is unfavorable.

It may happen that the seed is liable to be destroyed by wire-worms, as was the case in one of the fields of the Department of Agriculture, at Washington; and, in this case, a stand was only at last secured by rolling the seed, first in coal tar, and afterward in plaster (gypsum) powder. Whether this remedy was efficacious can hardly be affirmed, since it may have been, that, by the time of this planting, the worms were either dead or had secured other subsistence.

It would appear best to plant the seed in its ordinary condition, and, in case the ground is very dry, but warm, to plant a little deeper than usual, and to take care to press the earth firmly about the seed, with roller, hoe, or foot.

## TNIE FROM PLANTING REQUIRED BY THE SEVERAL VARIETIES OF SORGHUM TO REACH CERTAIN STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT.

The following table will give the number of days required by 31 American varieties, and 3 received from France, to reach certain stages of development. This table can be only regarded as relatively true for the several varieties as the season of 1881 was in Washington (where these were grown), one of almost unprecedented drought, as will be seen by reference to tables giving the meteorological data for the several years of experiment, the results of which are largely recorded in this volume.

For the purpose of accurately recording the observations in the field from day to day, the following list of stages was made out:

| Stage. | Development of Plant. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | About one week before opening of panicle. |
| 2 | Immediately before opening of panicle. |
| 4 | Panicle just appearing. Panicle two-thirds out. |
| 5 | Panicle entirely out; no stem above upper leaf. |
| 6 | Panicle beginning to bloom on top, |
| 8 | Flowers all out: stamens beginuing to drop. Seed well set. |
| 9 | Seed entering the milky state. |
| 10 | Seed becrming doughey. |
| 11 | Seed donghy becoming dry. |
| 12 | Seed almost dry, easily crushed. |
| 14 | Sucker in bloom. |
| 15 | Sucker sced in milk. |
| 16 | Susker sped in dough. |
| 17 | Sucker seed hard. |
| 18 | After sucker seed hard. |

By the sucker in this case, is meant the off-shoot from the upper joints of the parent stalk upon which, if the season permits, panicles will mature. It will be seen, by consulting the table, that the Honduras variety was a month later than the Early Amber in showing its panicle, and that before the Honduras was in bloom the Early Amber was quite ripe.

```
DEVELOPMENT OF, SORGHUM - DAYS AFTER, PLANTING-SEASON OF 1881, AT
WASHINGTON, D. C.
```



The following table is similar to the last, and gives the time required to reach certain stages of development by several new varieties received from China, Africa, and India, for purpose of comparison with several American varieties. These results were secured in Washington, in 1882, and these results are to be compared fairly with only those obtained the same season, since, as will be seen upon page 147, the climatic conditions of 1881 varied greatly from those prevailing in 1882. This matter will be again discussed.

TIME, FROM PLANTING, TO REACH CERTAIN STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT.
The following table gives the number of days, after planting, required by the several varieties to reach certain stages of development.

This table can be regarded as only relatively true, for these varieties, during the season of 1882 , which season was remarkable for an unusual rain-fall during the summer months, thus retarding the development of the plants, probably, beyond the period they would require under ordinary conditions of climate.

A reference to the meteorological data of this year, as compared with 1881, will make the difference in the two years manifest :
time, from planting, to reach certain stages of detelopment.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | Variety. |  |  |  |  |  | 品 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 a$ | Yellow Cap Glutinous | 93 | 74 | 67 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Separated Head Stalks Red. | 93. | 74 | 53 | 60 | 74 | S1 |
| $3 a$ 36 | Separated Head Stalks Winite | 9.6 | 74 | 67 | 7 | S8 | 97 |
| 4 | Second Alutumn Red. | $9: 6$ 9.4 | 67 | 60 | 74 | 81 | 97 |
| 5 | Horse Tail (rlutinous.. | 10.0 | 86 | 72 | 79 | 90 | 104 |
| 6 | Large People's Red. | 96 | 7 | 74 | 88 | 95 | 117 |
| 7 | Undendebule. | 96 | 93 | 90 | 107 | 115 | 124 |
| $8 \pi$ | Ukubane...... | 9.6 | 93 | 79 | 86 | 93 | 100 |
| sb |  | 56 | 93 | Ni | 100 | 107 | 116 |
| $9{ }_{9} 9$ | Jyangentombi | $8{ }_{8}^{6}$ | 86 | 93 | 107 | 110 | 116 |
| 96 98 | " | 7.0 90 | 93 | 86 | 100 | 114 | 136 |
| 10 | Jyenga. .... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Ibohla. | 11.4 | 100 | 97 | 107 | 111 | 115 |
| 12 | Dindemuka | 8.0 | 100 | 86 | 93 | 124 | 136 |
| 13 | Uboyana. | 8.2 | 109 | 100 |  | 124 | 143 |
| 14 | Umgatubanda | 7.0 | 100 | 86 | 100 | 107 | 115 |
| $15 \%$ | Ubelana | 9.0 | 93 | 93 | 107 | 115 | 124 |
| 150 | Ufatane | 8.30 | 100 | 93 | 148 | 115 | 124 |
| 16 b |  | 6.1 | 100 | 93 | 105 | 124 | 14.3 |
| 16 c | -" . . | 7.8 | 100 | 93 | 105 | 124 | 143 |
| $17 \pi$ | Unkunjana. | 9.2 | 100 | 93 | 107 | 124 | 143 |
| 17 | ، | 10.6 | 100 |  | 115 | 124 | 136 |
| $18 \pi$ | Hlogonde. | 75 8.9. 8. | 1109 | 93 | 115 | 194 | 143 |
| 185 | - | 7.4 | 109 |  | 105 | 124 | 1.9 |
| 19 | Unhlokonde. | 9.2 | 79 | 72 | 93 | 107 | 115 |
| 20 | White Imphee | 8.1 | 79 | 79 | 93 | 100 | 107 |
| 21 | White African. | 9.6 | 93 | 86. | 110 | 107 | 115 |
| 22 | White Mammoth. | 10.3 | 93 | 86 | 100 | 107 | 115 |
| 23 | West India | 8.8 | 100 | 100 | 105 | 110 | 115 |
| 24 | New Variety, Stump | 9.2 | 86 | 6.5 | 86 | 93 | 100 |
| 25 | Early Amber | 9.0 | 86 |  | 93 | 100 | :07 |
| 26 | New Variety, H. S. Coll. | 9.6 | 86 | 65 | 79 | 90 | 100 |
| 27. | Bear Tail | 9.4 | 102 | 79 | 100 | 107 | 115 |
| 28 | Jowa Red Top | 8.4 | 86 | 79 | 93 | 100) | 111 |
| $29 a$ | Black Sorgho. | 7.6 8.0 | 81 | 74 | S8 | 99 | 110 |
| 30 | Red Sorgho. | 8.2 9.2 | ${ }_{95} 9$ | S8 | 102 | 110 | 110 |
| 31 | Link's Hybrid. | 9.3 | 93 | 93 | 107 | 115 | 130 |
| $3{ }^{3}$ | Standard Harrall | 9.8 | 110 | 93 | 107 | 124 | 129 |
| 33 | Neeazana | 8.3 | 86 | 75 | $8{ }^{86}$ | ${ }^{93}$ | 100 |
| 34 | Gray Top | 8.0 | 93 | 79 | 93 | 100 | 107 |

Time, from Planting, to Reach, etc.-Continued.

|  | Variety. |  |  |  | 07 ภuţuvidu uoij siseg |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | White Liberian.. | 9.0 | 93 | 65 | 79 | 90 | 98 |
| 36 |  | 8.8 | 86 | 72 | 86 | 93 | 100 |
| 37 | New Variety, Link | 97 | 81 | 81 | 88 | 95 | 104 |
| 38 | New Variety, Haswell. | 9.6 | 74 | 67 | 81 | 88 | 95 |
| ${ }_{40} 9$ | Chinese Imphee,., | 10.3 | 74 | 67 | 81 | 88 | 97 |
| $40 a$ $40 b$ | New Variety, Bradford. | 8.6 | 74 | 74 | 88 | 104 | 124 |
|  |  | 7. | 14 |  |  | 104 | 124 |

## TIME FOR HARVESTING CROP.

## When the Maximum Content of Sugar is Present in the Sorghum.

No conclusion established by the work of the Department of Agriculture, practically considered, is of greater importance than the positive ascertainment of that period, in the development of the several varieties of sorghum, when their juices contain the maximum of cane sugar.

## Conflicting Testimony Before this Investigation.

On this point, there has existed, during the past twenty years or more, the greatest discrepancy in statement; and the general opinion prevailing has been very wide of the truth, as established by all these experiments.

As evidence of the great diversity of opinion concerning this important matter, which existed previous to the experiments at Washington, the following quotations are made from the reports of various experimenters:
a. In his report on "Early Amber Cane," by Dr. C. A. Goessmann, of Amherst, Mass., 1879, he says, page 9 :

The safest way to secure the full benefit of the Early Amber Cane crop, for syrup and sugar manufacture, is to begin cutting the canes when the seed is full grown, yet still soft.
b. In the "Sorgho Hand-Book," published by the Blymyer Manufacturing Company, Cincinnati, Ohio, 1880, it is directed, upon page 8:

The cane should be cut when the seed is in the dough, and several days
before grinding, as it will be more free from impurities, if cured for a ferw days before going to the mill.
c. In a pamphlet entitled "Sugar Making from Sorghum," published by the Clough Refining Company, page 5, directions are given to

Harvest as soon as the seeds begin to form, and before they get hard. Grind the cane, if possible, soon after it is cut.
d. In a pamphlet entitled "The Sorgho Manufacturers' Manual," by Jacobs Brothers, Columbus, Ohio, 1866, page 4, it is stated, that-

The cane is in the best state for harvesting when part of the seed is beginning to turn black; or, in other worde, when the seed is in a doughy state. The cane should be cut and shocked in the field, with tops on; and in this condition it may remain several months before being worked up, for the cane matures and forms more saccharine matter.
$e$. In a "Report on the Manufacture of Sugar, Srrup, and Glucose from Sorghum," by Professors Weber and Scovell, of the Illinois Industrial University, 1881, page 22, they say:

The proper time to begin cutting the cane, for making sugar, is when the seed is in the hardening dough. The cane should be worked up as soon as possible after cutting.
f. J. Stanton Gould, in a "Report on Sorghum Culture," made to the New York State Agricultural Society in 1863, page 752, says:
The seed of the cane (sorghum) continues in the dough for about a week. It is the general impression the cane should be cut during this period, as it is then supposed to have the greatest amount of saccharine matter; at least, this is thought to be true of all the varieties except the White Imphee, which is usually cut just as it is going out of the mill: or just entering the dough.
$g$. In conclusion, we quote from Mr. Gould's paper, as illustrating the chaotic state in which our knowledge was prior to the work at the Department of Agriculture. Upon page 740, he says:
These conflicting opinions might easily be reconciled by a few well-directed experiments.

Again, he says, same page:
After the most careful inquiry, orally and by letter, I am unable to find that any such experiments have ever been made.

Again, he says, page 747:
These experiments are not conclusive, and the whole question needs a carefal and accurate investigation.

As the result of such an investigation, we call attention to the average results of the past years, as shown in the tables given in this volume, from which it will be seen that, during each of the past three
years, it has been demonstrated beyond any reasonable doubt, that the value of the sorghum for the production of sugar increased,' upon an average of the 35 or 37 varieties tested, fully 500 per cent, and in many cases 1,000 per cent, after the period when, according to the authorities cited, it was recommended that the crop should be cut up.

It will be observed, also, how completely at variance the above quoted authorities are in reference to the subsequent treatment of the crop after cutting it up, the one recommending that it he stored, even for months; the other, that it be immediately worked up. The importance of this latter course of treatment can hardly be overestimated, as appears from data herewith presented.
I remember, in 1881, that an Ohio farmer, who met me one day as I was looking over my sorghum plat in Washington, and who did not know that I had any interest in it, told me that the crop ought to have been worked up long before, for it was suffering. He also told me that he had grown sorghum for 20 years in Ohio. Now this was during the latter part of July, when, according to his twenty years' experience, he was sure that the crop was deteriorating.

Well, that plat contained some 35 varieties, and it had been daily examined for at least two months before this, and it was examined daily for at least three months after the time when this farmer, with his 20 years' experience, was convinced that it was suffering.

The results of all these examinations are published, and are accessible to you all. If you examine them, you will find that after the time when this farmer would have it cut up, and worked it, this cropincreased in the amount of available sugar at least 200 per cent.

## Length of Periodfor Working Sorghums.

Reference has already been miade to the very great difference existing between the different varieties of sorghum, as to the length of time needed for them to reach maturity. It is not known that experiments have been made to determine this difference accurately, until those lately made at the Department of Agriculture. It has also been shown, as already remarked, that those varieties requiring long periods for their complete maturity, have been the varieties largely cultivated in the Northern States during the past thirty years.

The results given in the Annual Report of the Department of Agriculture, page 130, Table 96, show not only the number of days from time of planting, to complete maturity of each variety, but also the number of days during which the several varieties were in a condition for working in this latitude:

By this table, the farmer in any section of the country, may be able to select such varieties as the nature of his climate will give him reason to believe may be successfully grown; or, if his season permits, he may select several varieties, which, coming to maturity in succession, will
enable him to extend hisworking season, and yet have his cane of each sort in the best condition for sugar or syrup production. Plauted, as these several varieties were, side by side in the same soil, and on the same day, the comparative results given in the table referred to are fully trustworthy, and could have been secured in no other way.

These results are of direct practical value to the sorghum grower, and were confirmed by the experience of 1881 and 1882.

## Period for Working the Sorghums.

In the following table is given the working period for the different r::rieties of sorghum, the number of analyses made during this period, and the maximum, minimum, and average per cent of available sugar during this period.

The average number of analyses of each variety is 15 , so that these results may be relied upon with confidence. The entire period is from July 30 th to November 17th, thus allowing 110 days in the latitude of Washington for working up the crops, which may be so planted or selected among the different varieties as to enable each crop to reach its maximum value at the time of being worked up.

The average minimum of arailable sugar of the thirty-five varieties, is 6.44 per cent, while the average maximum is 12.51 per cent. The average of the best half of the thirty-five varieties, during the entire period, is 10.97 per cent, while the average of the poorer half during the entire working period, is 8.63 per cent. The average of the entire number during their entire working period, is 9.77 per cent of available sugar.

It will be remembered that these rarieties were planted April 29 th, so that the length of time for each to reach the coudition represented by these averages may be readily determined, and are given in one of the columns.

As will be seen, this period varies from 92 to 139 days, and several of these later maturing varieties appear even in this latitude to have failed in reaching their best condition, as will be seen in the fact that their maximum of available sugar falls far below that of other varieties of shorter periods of development. In fact, many of these varicties can not be successfully grown for sugar, perhaps, except in the Gulf States.

Owing to the fact that the amount of syrup which may be produced from a juice depends upon the sum of the sucrose and glucose, it is obvious that syrup may be produced from the canes in any condition of maturity; but even for syrup production, it will be seen by reference to the tables of analyses of the several varieties, that the maximum of syrup may be produced at the same period when the sorghum may be
most profitably worked for sugar, since at that time the sum of the two sugars is also at its maximum. For the production, then, of either sugar or syrup, it is desirable that only such varieties should be grown in any locality as may be able to reach full maturity.

PERIOD FOR WORKING THE DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF SORGHUM.

| Variety. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of determi- } \\ & \text { nations. } \end{aligned}$ |  | From | To |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Days. |
| Early Amber | 24 | 106 | Aug. 8 | Nov. 17 | 6.06 | 14.62 | 10.12 | 96 |
| Early Golden | 26 | 110 | July 30 | Nov. 17 | 7.06 | 1400 | 10.02 | 92 |
| White Liberia | 25 | 110 | July 30 | Nov. 17 | 6.71 | 14.77 | 10.41 | 92 |
| "6 ، | 25 | 110 | July 30 | Nov. 17 | 6.71 | 15.12 | 10.61 | 92 |
| Black Top | 15 | 76 | Aug. 15 | Oct. 30 | 7.70 | 15.15 | 11.08 | 103 |
| African . | 20 | 89 | Aug. 24 | Nov. 17 | 5.16 | 14.00 | 9.82 | 117 |
| White Mammoth | 9 | 42 | Aug. 29 | Oct. 10 | 8.46 | 12.51 | 10.60 | 129 |
| Oomseeana | 15 | 75 | Aug. 16 | Oct. 30 | 4.37 | 13.46 | 10.76 | 109 |
| Regular Sorgho | 7 | 18 | Aug. 25 | Sept. 12 | 8.90 | 11.76 | 9.78 | 118 |
| Link's Hybrid. | 21 | 106 | Aug. 3 | Nov. 17 | 739 | 1453 | 11.02 | 96 |
| "6 "4. | 23 | 97 | Aug. 12 | Nov. 17 | . 7.98 | 14.87 | 11.36 | 105 |
| Sugar Cane. | 23 | 103 | Aug. 6 | Nov. 17 | 8.17 | 12.81 | 10.86 | 99 |
| Goose Neck. | 6 | 16 | Alug. 29 | Sept. 14 | 10.09 | 11.90 | 11.34 | 122 |
| Bear Tail. | 10 | 56 | Aus. 16 | Oct. 10 | 7.43 | 11.59 | 9.76 | 109 |
| Jowa Red Top | 13 | 70 | Aug. 11 | Oct. 20 | 9.26 | 14.17 | 1264 | 104 |
| New Variety. | 19 | 92 | July 30 | Oct. 30 | 5.63 | 14.56 | 11.63 | 92 |
| Early Orange | 14 | 72 | Aug. 19 | Oet. 30 | 5. 268 | $\begin{array}{ll}15 & 05 \\ 12 & 35\end{array}$ | 10.73 9.91 | 112 116 |
| Orange Cane | 14 | 71 | Aug. 20 | Oct. 30 | 4.95 | 11.44 | 9.56 | 113 |
| Neeazana.. | 20 | 89 | Aug. 20 | Nov. 17 | 2.52 | 9.07 | 6.78 | 113 |
| Wolf Tail | 21 | 91 | Allg. 15 | Nov. 17 | 7.15 | 12.21 | 967 | 108 |
| Gray Top | 21 | 90 | Aug. 19 | Nov. 17 | 3.32 | 9.90 | 679 | 112 |
| Liberian | 7 | 38 | Sept. 2 | Oct. 10 | 4.64 | 11.89 | 8.55 | 126 |
| Mastodon | 9 | 41 | Aug. 30 | Oct. 10 | 3.64 | 11.87 | 8.66 | 123 |
| Honduras | 7 | 25 | Sept. ${ }^{2}$ | Sept. 27 | 1.80 | 8.39 | 6.56 | 126 |
| Sugar-Cane | 11 | 62 | Aug. 19 | Oct. 20 | 296 | 10.31 | 7.82 | 112 |
| Hybrid No. 4 | 4 | 8 | Aug. 26 | Sept. 3 | 8.85 | 10.20 | 9.45 | 119 |
| White Imphee | 8 | 56 | Aug. 15 | Oct. 10 | 8.08 | 15.36 | 11.90 | 108 |
| Goose Neek . | 13 | 72 | Allg. 19 | ()et. 30 | 678 | 12.17 | 9.29 | 112 |
| White African | 20 | 97 | Aug. 10 | Nov. 15 | 4.93 | 12.04 | 821 | 103 |
| West India Sugar Cane. | 8 | 49 | Aug. 14 | $\mathrm{Oct}$. | 7.67 | 14.10 | 10.70 | 107 |
| Sugar Cane ........ | 4 | 23 | Sept. 7 | Sept. 30 | 6.51 | 11.27 | 8.76 | 131 |
| New Variety of Liberian and Oomseeana. | 10 | 51 | Aug. 8 | Sept. 28 | $\begin{array}{lll}6 & 81\end{array}$ | 9.84 | 8.30 | 101 |
| Minnesota Early Amber. | 10 | 5.3 | Aug. 8 | Sept. 30 | 8.23 | 12.17 | 1078 | 101 |
| Honey Cane ....... | 2 | 35 | Sept. 15 | Sept. 30 | 722 | 8.15 | 768 | 139 |

IMPORTANCE OF PROMPTLY WORKLNG THE CROP AFTER CUTTING.
To this point, also, reference has been made already. Its importance can hardly be overstated. If departure from this rule is at any time admissible, it is at least safe to say, that the conditions which would warrant such departure are as yet not determined. Prompt working of the cane so soon as cut is always safe, and any delay is fraught with unavoidable risk of loss.

This conclusion is established, as well by the work of others as by that of the Department of Agriculture.

## The following results are reported by Professors Scovell and Weber, of Illinois Industrial University:

Change of sugar after cutting the cane.-On October 23rd, 1880, an analysis was made of the juice of the Orange cane, which had been cut, stripped, and topped October 2nd, and placed under shelter until examined. Juice whitish.

Specific gravity.................... ........................................ 1.091
Grape sugar.............. ..................................per cent.... 1466
Cane sugar..................................................per cent.... 3.55
A sample of cane, cut August 25th, 1880, without being stripped and topped, was preserved in a warm room, where it had become dry long before it was examined. On April 3rd, 1881, it was analyzed, and showed 12 per cent of grape sugar, and no trace of cane sugar.

Professor Sirenson and Henry, of Wisconsin State University, give the following report of experiments in this matter. It is to be regretted that the percentage of juice expressed in each experiment was not given, in order that the real loss of sugar could have been determined, as it was doubtless much greater than the several analyses of the juice would indicate :

## Effect of leaving Cane cut in the Field.

A number of stalks still in good condition, the juice of which contained 9.50 cane sugar and 3.25 glucose, were cut and left in the field ten days, during almost constant rain. At the end of the ten dars the juice contained 5.98 cane sugar and 6.15 glucose. Some Early Orange cane was also cut September 20 th, when the juice contained 10.50 cane sugar and 4.95 glucose, and was left in the field till November 2nd, when the juice contained 13.80 glucose, while not a trace of cane sugar was present. These experiments show conclusively, that if cane is cut or injured and left exposed to rain, the destruction of cane sugar goes on very rapidly, being in time entirely changed into glucose. The rapidity of the change depends, of course, in great degree, on the weather.

Effect of leaving Cane cut under Shelter.
In order to ascertain the effect of leaving cane under coser, two tons of Early Amber cane were cut, the juice containing 10.02 per cent of cane sugar and 3.23 per cent of glucose. One-half was topped and stripped, and both lots were placed on the floor of the barn. The change taking place may be seen from the following table:


|  |  | Cane sugar. | Glucose. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | November 2nd. |  |  |
| After 6 weeks: (Stripped).. |  | 8.26 | 3.74 |
|  | December 20 Th. |  |  |
| After 13 weeks: (Stripped).. |  | 8.45 | 6.80 |

To judge by the table, the cane changes very slowly, but in reality the loss of sugar is quite rapid. If no loss of sugar took place, the juice would of course become richer in sugar, on account of the evaporation of part of the water. In reality this is not the case. The cane sugar becomes gradually changed to glucose, which in turn is destroyed by fermentation. In this way the juice may become even richer in sugar, but the quantity of juice is greatly diminished. The juice becomes also very acid. The effect produced by shocking the cane in the field was tried, with very unsatisfactory results, the cane sugar being destroyed very rapidly.

In the following table is given the comparative results obtained in the manufacture of syrups from several varieties of sorghum. In the one case, the crop had been suckered, and the stalks were cut and promptly worked; in the second case, the crop had not been suckered, but was promptly worked after cutting ; and, in the third case, the crop had been unsuckered, and was allowed to remain from one to four days after having been cut, topped, and stripped, before it was brought to the mill for pressing. In each case the juices expressed and the syrups made from them were analyzed with the following results. The amount of any single lot of stalks was generally too small to permit a lot of syrup to be made from it, but each lot of juice and of syrup was so near alike in quantity, that the average fairly shows the effect of suckers, and of lack of promptness in working. It will be seen, that, of the sixteen varieties of sorghum experimented upon, the presence of suckers had, in five cases, lowered the available sugar to a minus quantity, while the average of the sixteen juices showed a loss of over 48 per cent of the available sugar, and of nearly 42 per.cent in the syrups made from their juices: also, that, in none of the eleven syrups, and in but one of the sixteen juices from the stalks which had been kept for a few days before working, was the available sugar other than a minus quantity.

EFFECT ON SORGHUM OF LETTIXG IT LIE AFTER CUTTING UP.
Per Cent of Available Sugar.

| Suckered and promptly worked. |  | Unsuckered and promptly worked. |  | Unsuckered and worked after one to four days. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice. | Syrup. | Juice. | Syrup. | Juice. | Syrup. |  |
| 10.87 | 35.90 | 12.05 | 28.16 | -9.90 | -45.50 |  |
| 10.63 | 35.18 | 12.76 | 23.90 | -10.10 | $-35.72$ |  |
| 11.20 | 37.40 | 9.61 | 16.19 | -13.43 | -68.60 |  |
| 8.10 | 20.20 | . 09 | 21.56 | - 1.49 | -28.40 |  |
| 7.81 | ...... | 2.22 | 6.26 | - . 65 | -45.78 |  |
| 8.18 | ..... | 1.84 | 35.12 | $-7.27$ | -12.20 |  |
| 5.45 | $\ldots$. | -1.05 | 18.30 | -9.68 | $-2.50$ |  |
| 7.26 | $\ldots .$. | -. 01 | 6.36 | $-1.67$ | -11.34 |  |
| 7.10 | ..... | 3.16 | 4.60 | - 2.98 | - 5.68 |  |
| 9.91 | $\ldots$ | 5.97 | 25.06 | - 2.24 | - . 04 |  |
| 9.52 | ..... | 8.02 | 20.34 | -3.84 | $-3.82$ |  |
| 4.30 | ..... | $-.65$ | ..... | - . 65 | ..... |  |
| . 23 | ..... | -. 99 | ..... | - . 99 | ..... |  |
| . 50 |  | -. 33 | ... . | -10.30 | $\ldots$ |  |
| 6.95 | ..... | 3.42 | $\ldots$. | -1.85 | ..... |  |
| 1.97 |  | 78 |  | -9.12 |  |  |
| Average, 6.87 | 32.17 | 3.55 | 18.71 | $-5.15$ | -23.60 |  |

Experience of Dr. C. A. Goessmann with Sorghum cut some time before Working.
Dr. Goessmann, of the Massachusetts Agricultural College, in his report of experiments upon the Early Amber Sorghum, gives a similar series of results of analyses of juices entirely comparable with those just given. (Vide, report on "Early Amber Cane, by Professor C. A. Goessmann, 1879.") His results are so valuable, as fully confirming our own, and establishing the fact, that, what has been found true during the past four years in this latitude, is equally true in Massachu-setts-viz: that certain of the varieties of sorghum may, even in that high latitude, attain a content of sugar fully equal to that of the sugar cane of the tropics, that his analytical results of examination are here appended. Of these, there were but eighteen complete results; and, for purpose of comparison, the results here attained of the arerage of juices having the same specific gravity as those analyzed by Dr. Goessmaun, are given in the table alongside. It will be observed that the results attained by him, from August 15th to September 18th, inclusive, are almost identical with my own, showing, from the first, a gradual increase in the sugar :

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OBTAINED BY DR, GOESSMANN, AT AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS, WITH THOSE OBTAINED AT THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.


After the first analysis, under date September 18th, the results, as will be seen, are widely different. In explanation of this, Dr. Goessmanu has given ample reason in his report accompanying these analyses. In regard to these early analyses (before September 18th) he says, the juice from the freshly cut canes grown upon the grounds of the Agricultural College, was " treated without delay;" and of those subsequent to September 18th he says: 'A part of our cane, after being cut, was left upon the field for about ten days before being ground and pressed."

He sars, that the results of these experiments "admit of no other explanation, but that the best course to pursue consists in grinding the matured cane as soon as it is cut."
In regard to the remainder of the experiments recorded by him, he says:
Some of the cane sent nn (by farmers growing it near the college) was ground soon after it had been cat; other lots had been cat weeks before their turn in the mill came round.

It will be observed, then, that only those analyses made previous to September 18th, are of freshly cut cane; and these analyses fully agree with the average of my results with all the varieties of sorghum experimented with.
It will be observer, also, that, just as he found in those canes which were brought in some days (or even weeks) after they had been cut, so, too, my results show the inversion of a large amount of sugar ; and, except in the sum of the sugars present in the juices, these results are nut at all comparable with those secured by analyses of juices of the same specific gravity from freshly cut canes. It will also be of interest to remember, that the last examinations made by Dr. Goessmann of the canes grown under his superrision, were made only nine days after he describes the "seeds as still soft;" and, by reference to the tables, p. 000 , it will be seeu that, during each of the past four years, I found that it is just at this period of developiment of the plant that the sugar in the juice becomes practically available, and that thereafter it rapidly increases in quantity.

## Inversion of Sugar in Cut Canes.

The effects of this inversion of sugar, due to allowing the cut canes to remain some time before working, will be seen in the following results with three varieties grown on the department grounds and promptly worked; these same varieties grown by Mr. Golden and not promptly worked; and three of the results of Dr. Goessmaun, of which three he reports that the first analysis was of canes which, "after being cut, were left for three reeks upon the field," the second analysis of " cane several weeks old when ground," the third analysis of canes topped, cut up, and "left upon the field nine dars." These are the only cases mentioned in his report in which the time is given during which the canes, after being cut up, remained unworked.

The close agreement of results attained with those from Mr. Golden's canes is obrious, and the great difference between these and the results from canes promptly worked up, show the great importance of
this matter to those hoping for good results in the production of sugar.

INVERSION OF SUGAR BY CANES NOT BEING WORKED PROMPTIY.


It is possible that there may exist certain conditions of climate and crop, when the cane may be kept even weeks after cutting without great loss of sugar, but the above experiments conclusively prove that such a course is extremely hazardous, and that the only safe course to follow, is to work the cane as soon after cutting it (never more than twenty four-hours) as possible. In harvesting the sorghum, it is often the case that those stripping the cane may get ahead of those cutting, or the mill in pressing the cane, and it is to be remembered that so soon as the plant has been mutilated by stripping off the leaves, or by being broken down by the wind, there is opportunity for the air to have access to the juices of the cane, as is the case in cutting it up, and that fermentation and consequent inversion of the sucrose is liable to at once begin.

A single experiment appears to have been recorded, showing this effect, by Professor Swenson.

## Effect of Leaving Cane Stripped in the Field.

One part of a patch of Minnesota Early A mber cane was stripped of leaves and left standing in the field from September 15th to September 22nd. It was then cut, and the juice, together with some that had not been stripped, was analyzed, with the following result:

|  | Cane sugar. | Glucose. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cane stripped for one week | 11.05 | 3.25 |
| Same cane not stripped. | 12.98 | 2.78 |

The diminution of sugar is undoubtedly due to the fact, that the latent leaf buds found under each leaf begin to derelop into new leaves. These new leaves are formed partly at the expense of the sugar in the cane.

The following analysis is given by Messrs. Scovelland Weber, showing, that little if any inversion could have taken place in the cane while standing two weeks in the field, after haring been stripped:

## Effect of Stripping and Allowing to Stand.

On October 2nd, 1880, an analysis was made of the juice of cane which had been stripped on the 18th of September-the cane not otherwise disturbedwith the following result:

the miportaice of an eten crop, with no stckers, in the proDUCTION OF SUGAR.
The experiments at the Department of Agriculture, in 1881, have fully confirmed the practical wistom of a course which is pursued by the sugar planters of Louisiana and Cuba, viz., the exclusion from the natured crop of all immature canes, if the production of sugar is contemplated.
This point, if previously recognized br sorghum growers, has never been properly understood and cousidered as it deserves to be.

## Danger from Suchers.

It is important also to remember that, owing to the tendencr of sorghum to send up suckers from its roots from time to time during the season, there is the liability of having in the crop canes of every stage of derelopment, and the injurious effect already shown is sure to result. It is, therefore, necessary, in order to secure the best results in the production of sugar, to see to it that either the growth of these suckers be prevented, by remoring them from time to time during the season, or that they be thrown aside when the crop is harvested as worthless, except for the production of syrup.

To demonstrate this point, the plat of sorghum, grown upon the grounds of the Department of Agriculture at Washington, in 1881, and containing 34 rarieties, was divided into two nearly equal parts, one portion of which was carefully kept free from suckers through the season, and the other portion, after having been thinned out like the former, was allowed to send up any suckers which would grow; and, when harvested, these suckers were included in the crop, weighed, stripped, and worked with the other stalks of the unsuckered portion.

The difference in the results of the above treatment is mauifest in the following table, which gives the weight of crop, and the analyses of the juices from the suckered and unsuckered canes:


|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { рәдə } \\ \text {-qวns } 10 \mathrm{~N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | рวมฺวกล |  <br>  |
|  |  |  |
|  | paxวyons |  <br>  |
|  | $\|$pris <br> -7.115 <br> 0.0 |  <br>  |
|  | рวхวฺุดล |  <br>  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { rpaxa } \\ \text {-yous } \downarrow 0 \wedge \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | рәлалапs |  |
| $\because$ <br>  |  |  |
|  | рวมวบูร |  <br>  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { pa.to } \\ \text { - 耳ous } \ddagger 0 \mathrm{~N} \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  |
|  | pororons |  |
|  | 㙖 |  |

From the preceding table, it will be seen that, while the average crop was the same from the suckered and unsuckered plats, and the percentage of juice also practically the same, the composition of the juice raried very widely, and, in every particular, was strongly in favor of the suckered stalks, so far as the production of sugar is concerned.

The arerage results of the thirtr-four varieties show the relative composition of juices to be as follows:

|  | Suckered. | Ensuck- ered | Ratio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific grarity .......... . . . . . . . . . . . . . - per cent. | 1.085 | 1.0 | 100: 5.5 |
| Sucruse ...................................... - $_{\text {- }}^{\text {- }}$ | 13.17 | 10.25 | 100 : s0. 1 |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{3.10}$ | $\frac{125}{3}$ | $100: 157.9$ |
| Arailable sngar. | 8.9 | 3.79 | $100: 55$ |
| Stripped statss, per acre................... pounds | 22-85 | 2-39 | 1000 |
| Juice................................. ...... per cent.. | 48.9 | 49.9 | 100:102.0 |

It will be seen that, although there is a much greater amount of glucose and solids in the juice of the unsuckered canes, the specific gravity is less, and the sucrose is a fifth less, while the arailable sugar is only t5. 7 per cent of the amount present in the juice of the suckered stalks.

Br reference to the table it will be seen, that several of the varieties show no difference between the suckered and unsuckered portions, while in fact, some of then, as Nos. 1, 2, 18, show an amount of available sugar greatest in the juice of the unsuckered canes. The explanation of this is probably that, owiug to the fact that these varieties had so long reached maturity, while the more adranced suckered canes had begun to fall off in their coutent of sugar, the unsuckered portions of the cane were largely composed of suckers, which had themselves had time to reach their complete maturity, and, consequently, they had brought up the average of the juice, rather than to have lowered it.
It is also to be observed, that, in the case of several of these varieties, we have results fairly comparable with what might be espected upon a large scale; for, although, as bas been already stated, the aualrses made during the season in the laboratory were of stalks taken from the suckered pirtion of each rariety, aud although exactly one-sixth part by actual weight, on an average, was taken from each varietr, aud that, whesevera stalk was cut down there would spring up suckers in its place, which were included in the final cutting, generally, as we have seen, with the effect to lower the average sugar content, it is yet true that many of these, as we may term them, culled rows, gave arerages
in sugar fairly comparable with the average results from our Louisiana sugar-cane.

The average of Nos. $1,2,3,4,10,11,12$, in available sugar, is 12.53 per cent of the juice. The average crop of stripped stalks per acre actually obtained of these seven varieties was 26,667 pounds.

A good mill would give 60 per cent of juice, or 16,000 pounds, and 12.53 per cent of this amount would give 2,005 pounds of sugar per acre, as the average product to be expected from the results obtained.

The following table gives the results obtained in working up the juices from these several lots of suckered aud unsuckered sorghums, and the available sugar produced from each in the syrups, as also the available sugar present in the juices, for purpose of comparison.

By available sugar, is meant the difference between the sucrose and the sum of the glucose and other solids. Sucrose-(glucose, plus solids not sugar) $=$ a vailable sugar.

It will be seen that the arerage arailable sugar from the thirty-four varieties of sorghum which were suckered was 8.29 per cent of the juice; while from the unsuckered plat the average of thirty-seven varieties was only 3.9 per cent of the juice. Also, that while the former gave syrups averaging in available sugar only 32.17 per cent of their weight, the latter gave syrups averaging in available sugar only 18.71 per cent of their weight, or 58.2 per cent of the former. It will also be remembered, that the amount of stalks grown per acre was practically the same, whether the crop had been suckered or not. Also, that the suckered portion had been, during the season, culled of exactly onesixth of its weight of stalks for purpose of analysis, and that these stalks, after being cut, sent up numerous suckers, which really lowered the average per cent in available sugar which would have been otherwise attained.

PER CENT OF ATAILAble SUGAR IN JUICES OF SORGHUMS AND in syrups.


The suckering then of the crop, or at least the careful exclusion of suckers from that portion of the cane which is intended to be worked for sugar, is of the most imperative importance.

For sugar production they are far worse than worthless.
But they may be used for the manufacture of syrup, since both glucose and sucrose enter into its composition ; and, in fact, the presence of the suckers in the crop would very easily prevent the crystallization of the syrup which the manufacturers of syrup frequently find a serious disadvantage.

It is not shown that the gromth of suckers has any injurious effect upon the cane, their presence being largely due to the rank growth of
the crop on strong soils, and in favoring circumstances, and it is not advised that they be thrown away, but that they only be used in the manufacture of syrup.

## EFFECT OF REMOVING SEED DÚRING DEVELOPMENT OF PLANT.

During the experiments upon sorghums grown on the grounds of the Department for the past five years, much annoyance has been occasioned by the multitude of English sparrows, and it was almost impossible to save any seed from the crop, except of such varieties as appeared less attractive to these birds, or from such panicles as were protected against their invasions. It was at least a matter of doubt whether this removal of the seed during the plant's development had not had an effect upon the sugar content of the juices, since, as is obvious, the production of the seed is at the expense of constituents of the juice of the plant; and if this process is arrested by any removal of the seed before reaching maturity, it would appear natural to expect some result upon analysis of the juices of such plants.

That such a view has widely obtained, among those engaged in the investigation of the production of sugar from sorghum and maize stalks, is clear from the advice frequently given to remove the ears of corn so soon as they appear, if the maximum amount of sugar in the juice from the stalks is desired.*

For the purpose of securing the seed of the new varieties from Africa, India, and China, as also to learn whether to any extent my results in past years had been vitiated by these depredations of the birds, care was taken, in 1882, to protect certain panicles of each variety grown, so soon as they came into blossom, by enveloping them in bags made of tarlatan. In this way I was able to secure well developed heads of each, fully set with seed.
*Professor Weber reports the following analyses made of two stalks of sor-
ghum, which had been planted at the same time; but the one, A, had been
topped while it was in blossom, while B, had been allowed to retain the pani-
cle, and the seed was in the condition of "hardening dough:"
A. Sucrose, 12.62 per cent, Glucose, 2.58 per cent.
B. Sucrose, 7,80 per ceat, Glucose, 4.80 per cent.

There was a corresponding increase in specific gravity.
This is au important result, and apparently indicates that the production of the highest content of sugar is incompatible with the production of grain; and since the grain is alone sufficiently valuable to pay all the expense of cultivating the crop, it will be admitted, as most desirable, that this question, as to the practicability of the two crops of grain and sugar, be set at rest by decisive experiments.

In the examinations made there were taken for analrsis one stalk, the panicle of which had been thus protected, and another at the same time, of the same rarietr, and, so far ats other indications showed, at the same stage of development, but the seed of which had been taken by the birds.

There were made during the season, in all, 136 pairs of analyses of most of the varieties under examination. The resulte of these analyes are given in the following table.

In the first table there are giren 92 , and in the second 44 , pairs of analyses.

It will be obserretl, that in the 92 pairs there is a result indicating an earlier stage of develupment in those stalks upon which the seed was kept, while in the $4 t$ pairs this difference is not marked.

EFFECT OF REMOTISA SEED.

|  | Seed remuved. | Seed on. | Per cent. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seedi } \\ & \text { remored } \end{aligned}$ | seed on. | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staze of dereiopment | 1) 42 | $=7.7$ | 419 | 11 7 | 1) 2 S | 8.3 |
| Percent juice.......... | 55.765 | 55. 0103 | 10t. 1 | ario | 5 s . 4 ¢ | 0.9 |
| Specitic sravity | 1.0517 | 1.0037 | 8t. 7 | 1. Winlt $^{\text {a }}$ | 1. (t)T: | 101.7 |
| Per cent glucose. | Mris | 1.498 | 1469 | 1.251 | $10 \times 3$ | - $5 \cdot 7$ |
| Per cent sucruse. | 12.2i9 | 9.958 | TS 7 | 1130 | 12.2005 | 195 |
| Per centsiolids. | $\because 95$ | 2.45 | 38.9 | $\because 9 \times 3$ | $\cdots$ | 9. 3 |
| Polarization ........... .... | 13.734 | 93 | 78 | $11.42 t$ | 11 Sit | 10c) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Per çent available sugar... | 8.650 | 5.670 | 65.5 | 7.050 | S.3 | 117.9 |
| Number of amalyses.... ... | 22 | 92 |  | +1 | 4! |  |

In the thirl and sixth columns is given the per cent of the average results secured from the analyes of three canes with full seed heads, of the arerage results obtaine 1 from the analyses of these canes from which the seed had been remored. It will be ubserved, that in the arerage results of 92 pairs there is an increase in juice of 4.1 per cent, and of cluense 4.9 per cent, and a decrease of every nther element of the aualyses: of sucrose, 21.3 per cent; of solids, 1.1 per cent; of available sugar, 34.5 per cent; and a decrease in snecific erravity. It is als, noticeable that, while the average stage of developinent was ab ut midway between the tenth and eleventh in those stalks from which the seed had been remorel, it was below the ninth stage in the stalks of which the seed had been protected.

The arerages from the $4 t$ pairs give results indicating almost t'ae opposite effect, for it will be noticel that, although the tevderser it the remoral of the seed is to hasten the develupment and maturit.. f the plant, the average amount of juice and its specific gravity is prastically the same: the amount of sucruse i= qreater, and that of ol: as? much less, the solids also being less, so that the per cent of arailabie
sugar is increased 17.9 over that present in those juices from stalks not bearing seed.

The practical conclusions from these results are, that there is no incompatibility between the maximum crop of ripe seed possible, and the maximum content of sugar in the juice of the stalks; and that, owing to the more rapid development of the cane from which the seed has been removed, the time necessary from planting to the maturity of the crop would be shortened from seven to ten days for each of the varieties, if the seed was removed early.

By comparing the average results above given, it will be seen that in the one case the stalks with the seed on had not yet attained their maximum, while in the other case they had done so, and those with which they were compared, being without seed, had attained their maximum sometime before, and had retained it until the others had caught up with them in their sugar content.

It is also to be observed, that those varieties in the first case where the difference was so much in favor of the stalks without seed, were largely the later maturing kinds, while in the second case the varieties are chiefly those maturing earlier.

An average of the number of days required from planting to maturing, as shown by the experiments of 1882 , gives for the varieties of the first lot 120 days, and for those of the second lot 112 days, thus confirming the conclusions above given.

## EFFECT OF STRIPPING CANE.

On account of the trouble in stripping the stalks, experiments were made, in 1879 , with stalks unstripped, the tops alone being removed; and these experiments appear to prove that this troublesome operation of stripping may be avoiderl without any diminution of the amount of juice or of sugar obtained therefrom.

Below are the results obtained from stripped and unstripped sorghum, calculated to the raw stalks used.

By raw stalks is meant the stalks as they were cut in the field, leaves, tops, and all.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average per } \\ & \text { cent of } \\ & \text { juice to raw } \\ & \text { stalks. } \end{aligned}$ | Average per cent syrup in juice. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stripped sorghum (two experiments) | 3502 | 15.00 |
| Unstripped soighum (five experiments) | 40.60 | 15.47 |

From the above it will be seen, that not only was an increased amount of juice obtained, but that this juice gave an increased percentage of syrup, and there appears nothing unusual in the treatment of this juice from the unstripped cane, nor was there any appreciable difference in the readiness of the syrup to crystallize, nor in the character of sugar finally obtained.

At the time of these experiments, the mill used was an old one, and the amount of juice expressed much less than should have been obtained; but recent experiments seem to show that the conclusions from those experiments were fully justified. Those conclusions were as follows:

Although perhaps further experiments are desirable before considering this point as settled, it would appear from the above that not only was stripping unnecessary, but that it really involved a loss in the amount of sugar to be obtained; at least the above results indicate a difference of twenty per cent increase in product of syrup in favor of the unstripped cane. It is not improbable that the above result is due to the fact, that the leaves in passing through the mill tended to fill up the interstices between the compressed cane, and thus prevented the expressed juice from flowing through between the rolls with the bagasse. In case of discoloration by action of moisture or other causes, it will, however, be advisable, and probably necessary, to strip the stalks.

Mr. Shoemacker, West Salem, Wis., reports as the result of three experiments, that " all three were in faror of unstripping."

Henry Lindley, of Mazomanie, Wis., reports as the result of his inquiries, that pressing with the leares on make no difference in either the quality or quantity of the syrup produced.

Another manufacturer reports to the Wisconsin Cane Growers' Association, that he nerer strips his cane, and finds no trouble in making good syrup. Is unable to see any difference in the syrup, from stripped and unstripped cane.

It is customary to deduct 200 pounds from each ton, for leares when the cane is unstripped, and then allowing the same price for the cane, whether stripped or not.

At the Champaign Sugar Works, Illinois, they did not strip the cane.

At Rio Grande, New Jersey, they did not strip any of their cane, and they found no trouble crystallizing their syrup in the racuum pan.

In fact, there is no doubt but that the amount of juice which a given lot of cane will yield, is appreciably greater if the cane is
passed through the mill with the leaves on, than if it is stripped; but owing to the fact that the juice from the leaves is impure, containing an excess of glucose and solids, it is found that the presence of the leaves on the cane causes a gain of about $6 \frac{1}{3}$ per cent in the amount of syrup, and a loss of about $6 \frac{2}{3}$ per cent in the amount of sugar to be obtained.
. This matter will be again discussed at another place, page 144.
In those cases where the sorghum was stripped and topped, the following percentage of stripped stalks, and of leaves and tops, was obtained:

| Sorghum. | Per cent of stripped stalks. | Per cent of leaves and tops. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First experiment. | 72.67 | 27.33 |
| Second experiment. | 72.55 | 27.45 |
| Average | 72.61 | 27.39 |

In 1882 , as the average of eight experiments, the relative weight of stripped stalks to leaves was as 100 to 17.68 , this would give the amount of leaves as 15 per cent of the topped stalks.

## Comparative Analyses of Juices from the Stalks and Leaves of Sorghim.

Owing to the trouble and expense involved in stripping the canes for the mill, the following analyses were made for the purpose of determining the effect of leaving the cane unstripped. In the eight experiments below recorded, the stalks were topped as usual, and the blades from each lot weighed, passed through the mill, and the juice expressed. The juices from stalks and leaves were then analyzed as usual.

In the Annual Report Department of Agriculture, 1879, page 59, it will be seen that, as the result of seven experiments, two with stripped and five with the unstripped stalks of sorghum, there was an increase of juice calculated to the raw stalks (i.e., to stalks as cut in the field without topping or stripping). This would indicate that, stripping was not necessary, and actually resulted in loss of syrup, if not in sugar. To more fully determine this, the above-mentioned experiments were made, and the results are given in the following table:

COMPARATITE ANALISES OF JTICES FROM THE STALKS ANDLEATES OF SORGHUM AT ILFFERENT PERIODS OF DEVELOPMENT.


The above results show, that the effect of stripping the cane is to diminish the quantity of juice, but to improve its quality. It has been almost invariably stated, that by leaving the blades upon the stalks a large amount of juice would be wasted.

Such is far from the case, but it is to be observed that in no case was there any available sugar in the juice from the leaves, owing not to the excess of glucose, but to the much larger percentage of solids not sugars in the leaf juice.

The general result, then, of putting the unstripped stalks through the mill is, as an average of the eight experiments, to occasion a loss of available sugar equal to 6.66 per cent of the amount found in the juice from the stalks, and to cause a gain of 6.33 per cent in the amount of syrup over that to be obtained from the juices of the stalks alone. This is due to the fact that the total sugars, with those solids not removed by defecation and skimming, go to increase the amount of syrup to be obtained from a juice.

## Method of Stripping.

Owing to the value of the leaves as fodder, many may wish to strip their cane, if only to utilize this material.

In stripping, a wooden blade about three feet in length may be used, when, by two or three dextrous blows, the blades may be easily removed while the cane is standing. The seed heads may be removed by bending down under the arm a small bundle of the standing cane, and, by a bluw of a sickle, several tops may be at once struck off.

In saving the seed, it may be gathered up in small handfuls and laid upon the ground to dry, care being taken to turn it every few days until it is dry and ready for threshing. It may be threshed in the ordinary machine used for wheat and like grain; but, after the threshing, great care should be taken to provide for its being thoroughly dried before it is put in bulk. By such means, the Rio Grande Sugar Company economically secured their large crop of seed in good condition.

Some criticism has been made against the use of machine threshed seed for planting, owing to the danger of its being broken up and its germinating power destroyed; but, of course, after testing the seed, more may be planted, if necessary, since the expense for seed is but trifling。

## CHAPTER VI.

(a.) Effects of temperature and rain-fall on sorghum.
(b.) Effects of frost on sorghum.
(c.) Effects of fertilizers on sorghum.
(d.) Composition of soil as affecting sorghum.

## EFFECTS OF TEMPERATURE AND RAIN-FALL ON SORGHUM.

Obviously the climatic cunditions prevailing have the most important influence, not only upon the successful growing of the cane, but upon its content of sugar. This has been so conclusively shown true in the cultivation of sugar-cane and sugar beets, that it is of the greatest importance to determine what conditions are the most favorable for sorghum.

The ordinary farmer, with his time wholly taken up in the care of his crops aud cattle, can hardly be expected to keep accurate data as to the temperature and rain-fall, although his success or failure may almost entirely depend upon these conditions. On the other hand, the climate, on the average, is pretty generally known for each section of the country, and if those observers who have the opportunity to make record of their work shall compare them, it will within a fer years be ascertained in what sections of the country climate and soil conspire to produce the best results, and thus fix the localities where the production of sugar from sorghum may be most ceonomically conducted.

Nearly all the results of the analyses of the rarious sorghums, given in these pages, have been secured at Washington, D. C., in the years $1879,1880,1881,1882$; and the following data from the records of the Signal Serrice Bureau of this city are, therefore, of the greatest value in the discussion of the results obtained, and it will be seen that many of the results are clearly explicable, by reference to this data alone.
The consideration of the soils will be taken up at the end of this chapter.

Inthis connection, the following passage from "Sugar Growing and Refining," page 21, will be read with interest:
Climate has a very pronounced effect upon the commercial value of all plants whose secretion products are sought to be availed of, and the sugar-cane forms
no exception. This plant thrives to the greatest perfection in a warm, moist climate, with moderate intervals of hot, dry weather, tempered by refreshing sea breezes. Its most luxuriant development is always observed on islands and sea coasts, leading to the supposition that the saline particles conveyed to it by the winds are congenial to its taste; but, perhaps, a more weighty reason for the exuberance of the plant in such situations, is to be found in the moisture which accompanies the sea breezes, even in the hottest and dryest weather.

## Again:

It is obvious that the sugar-cane is essentially a tropical plant, requiring the strong light and great heat which can only be found in the tropics. But these conditions alone are not sufficient for successful cane culture. Rain at the proper season is equally necessary, though it may be to a great extent replaced by a proper system of irrigation. On the other hand, rain at the wrong season, i. e., when the canes are maturing, if in great quantity, may do much mischief. As the canes are approaching maturity, 2 or 3 months of hot and fairly dry weather are exceedingly beneficial, bringing the juice to the highest degree of sweetness, and assuring a large yield of fine sugar. Slight showers at long intervals, serve to maintain the vigor of the plant without appreciably weakening the juice. In the case of renewed vegetation being caused by rains after a drought, if it occurs in a locality where frost is not to be feared, it will sometimes be advantageous to leave the canes on the ground much later than usual, as the juice will gradually become richer than it can be immediately after the rain.
On the other hand, should an alternation of sunshine and rain, which for the space of 5 or 6 months has induced a luxurious vegetation, be followed by a long continued drought, the growth of the plants and rattoons will be prematurely checked, and they will often, under these circumstances, show a disposition to arrow. Should they now be cut, the juice will probably be found of good quality, and easily made into sugar-the only attendant evil being its deficiency in quantity, owing to the small size which the canes have attained. With a return of rain, vegetation would immediately revive, and then the evil of having juice poor in saccharine matter would be added to that of unusually small canes.

The above quotation, written with reference only to the sugar-cane, is almost a literal record of the results obtained at Washington with the many varieties of sorghum, and accords with the experience of many cultivators in various sections of the country.
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temperature and rain-fall, 1880, 1881, 1882.

| Montr. | 1880. |  | 1851. |  | 1882. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { monthly } \\ \text { tempera- } \\ \text { ture. } \end{gathered}$ | Arerage daily rain-fall. |  | Average daily rain-fall | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { monthls } \\ \text { tenpera- } \\ \text { ture. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arerage } \\ & \text { daily } \\ & \text { rain-fall. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | - Fahr. | Inches. | - Fahr. | Inches. | - Fahr. | Inches. |
| Mas | 70.8 | 0.11 | 67.9 | 0.06 | 59.2 | 0.16 |
| June | 74.8 | 0.12 | 70.9 | 019 | 73.8 | 008 |
| July... | 77.2 | 0.07 | 74.4 | 005 | 76.0 | 0.14 |
| Alugust | 75.1 | 0.12 | 76.4 | 0.03 | 73.9 | 0.14 |
| September. | 67.9 | 0.11 | 77.0 | 0.07 | 69.1 | 0.26 |
| O-tober... | 53.4 | 0.07 | 62.9 | 0.11 | 61.0 | 0.02 |
| Norember. | 40.7 | 0.05 | 47.5 | 0.05 | 42.9 | 0.04 |
| December, to 16th.. |  |  |  |  | 34.1 | 0.06 |

heatt rain storms, May lst to notember 30 th, 1880.

| Date. | Began. | Ended. | Amount: | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mas 11th. | $4.34 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ | $6.05 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | Inches. | All rain storms between |
| June 14th to 16th... | 8.25 p.m., 14th | S. 10 a.m., 16th | 2.46 | the dates named, in |
| July 22nd. | 610 a. m..... | 4.10 p. m.. | 1.37 | Which the amount of pre- |
| Sequemb'r fih to ${ }^{\text {Ath }}$ | ${ }^{4.25}$ p. m., 3rd | 5.00 p. m., 7 \%th. | $1.3 \pm$ | cipitation exceeded one inch, are here given. |
| Septemb'r sth to 9th | 6.15 a . m., sth. | 10.00 p. m., 9th. | 1.53 | inch, are here given. |

heaty raix storms, may lst to notember 30 th, 1881.

| Date. | Began. | Ended. | Amount. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 27th. | $1.20 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | S.45 p. m. | Inches. 2.59 | All rain storms between |
| September 11 th. | $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . . . \mathrm{c}$. | $8.40 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . . . . .$. | 133 | the dates named, in |
| October 23 rd it 25 th | 10.18 p. m., 23 rd | 8.05 a m. 2 25th.. | 1.26 | which the amount of |
| Oct. 29th to Nor. 1st | $2.25 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m} ., 29 \mathrm{th}$ | $10.15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Nov.1st | 1.69 | precipitation excceded one inch, are here given. |

heaty rain storms, may lst to december $15 \mathrm{Th}, 1882$.

| Date. | Amount. | Date. | Amount. | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inches. |  | Inches. |  |
| May 31st to June ist. | 1.24 |  | 1.51 | the dates named, in |
| July th. ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.00 | Sept. 11th. | 1.85 | which the amount of |
| July 2sth to 29th..... | 1.05 | Sept. 20 th and 21st. | 1.48 | precipitation exceeded |
| August 1st to 2nd... | 1.48 | Sept. 26th. | 1.15 | one inch, are heregiven. |

[^3]|  | 1880. | 1881. | 1882. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total rain-fall, May and June ... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Inches | 6. 89 | 7.57 | 7.33 |
| " " July, August, September.. .............. " | 937 | 493 | 16.74 |
| " " May to September, inclusive........... " | 16.26 | 12.50 | 24.07 |
| Average daily rain-fall, May and June................ "\% | . 113 | 124 | . 120 |
| " " " July, August, September.. ... "~... | -. 102 | . 05.54 | - 182 |
| Average mean temperature, May and June ........... Degrees F. | 72.8 | 69.4 | 664 |
| "\% " " 6 " July, August, September. " | 73.4 | $\begin{array}{ll}76 & 9 \\ 78\end{array}$ | 73.0 |
| * " " May to Sept'r, inclusive.. " | 73.16 | 7392 | 70.40 |

RAIN-FALLS.


By reference to the above data" it will be seen, that the past three seasons, covering most of the experiments in cultivation here recorded, have been very unlike in their climatic conditions.

## Comparison of Seasons 1880 and 1881.

The crop returns for 1881, and universal testimony, agree that that season was, over a wide area of our country, of an almost unprecedented character. A cold, backward spring, and a drought of exceptional severity, united to produce most unfavorable results.

The records which follow will fully sustain the general opinion, and explain sufficiently the reasons of failure in sugar making.

It will be observed, that the average mean temperature for May and June, in 1880 , was $72.8^{\circ}$, while, for the same months in 1881 , it was $69.4^{\circ}$; also, that the total rain-fall for these months was, in $1880,6.89$ inches, of which amount 5.29 inches fell in three rains, pretty evenly distributed over the two months, viz: May 11th, 1.40 inches; May 22nd and 23rd, 1.61 inches ; and June 13th, 2.28 inches.

On the other hand, in May and June, 1881, the total rain-fall was 7.57 inches, of which 5.71 inches fell in June.

Also, it will be observed that, during the three months of July, August, and September, in 1880, the mean average temperature was $73.4^{\circ}$, and the total rain-fall 9.37 inches; the mean average temperature for these months in 1881 was $76.9^{\circ}$, while the total rain-fall was only 4.93 inches, and of this small amount nearly half, 2.19 inches, fell in September.

The results, however, secured in the plat of sorghum planted on the grounds of the department, fully justify the reputation this plant has of being able to withstand drought, although it appears necessary to this end, that the crop should secure a good start before the drought. Such, as will be seen, was the case in the experimental plat above men-
tioned; for, although planted early (April 29th), the ground had been carefully prepared, had a good exposure to the sun, and the crop came forward rapidly, so that it was fully two feet high before the seed was planted for the third time in the larger fields.

In very marked contrast, were the results seen upon a portion of the department ground. A portion of the sorghum plat was plowed up through a mistake, and upou June 13th (forty-six days after the first planting), this portion was re-planted with ten varieties of sorghum. But neither of these varieties attained any development, the average not being even 10 per cent of the crop secured from the ground immediately surrounding this re-planted portion; and, throughout the season, these ten varieties were stunted, withered, sickly, and evidently the result of the drought which followed closely upon this planting, and before a good start had been made by the plants.

On the other hand, the several varieties grown upon the field from the first planting suffered comparatively little harm ; and yet, although withstanding this severe drought during July and August, the result was evident in a much lighter crop than was secured in 1880 , as will be seen by the following:
The average weight of stripped stalks per acre of thirty-eight varieties grown in 1880 was 31,409 pounds, the maximum being 50,017 , and the minimum 13,839 , pounds per acre.

The average weight of stripped stalks per acre of thirty-four varieties grown in 1881 was 22,524 pounds, the maximum being 33,538 , and the minimum being 10,750 , pounds per acre.

It is interesting to consider the meteorological data of 1880 and 1881, in connection with the results shown by the tables representing the average results of analyses for these years. It will be remembered, that the varieties of sorghum gromn in 1880 and 1881 were mainly the same; the land upon which it was grown was the same; the mill by which the juice was expressed was the same, and care was taken to maintain it in good order. It will, however, be seen, that the a verage percentage of juice, by weight, obtained from the stripped stalks was greater in 1881 than in 1880 -that in 1881 averaging 64.02 per cent, while that in 1880 areraged only 62 per cent.

It will be seen, also, that the specific gravity of the juices from the thirteenth to the nineteenth stage, inclusive (the periol when the canes should be worked forsugar), differs greatly, the average specific gravity being, for this period, in 1880, 1.071 , while in 1881 it was 1.078 , this showing, as is seen by the analysis, the presence of a larger quantity of sugar in these juices of 1881.

The increase in specific gravity as will be seen, is due to the in-
creased amounts of sugar, and since the percentage of juice is about in the inverse ratio, it shows that the amount of water in the plant varies but very little, whether in seasons of rain or drought.

But the very general belief that the character of the juice undergoes great change, due to the occurrence of heavy rains, seems hardly to be supported by the facts. In fact, it would be of great importance if these opinions were more generally submitted to the test of experiment. If we look over the meteorological data from the Signal Office, which ;has just been given, we shall see that, on the 10 th and 11 th of September, 1881 , there was a rain-fall of 1.73 inches, which succeeded a seasnn of protracted drought. It would seem, then, in accordance with the generally accepted belief, that we should obtain evidence of this in a greatly increased percentage of juice; but an examination of the tables of analyses shows no appreciable change in either of the varieties.

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF THIRTY-EIGHT VARIETIES OF SORGHUM MADE DURING THE WORKING PERIOD IN 1880 AND 1881.

|  | 1880. | 1 SS1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent juice expressed. | 6067 | 59.70 |
| Specific gravity of juice | 1071 | 1.178 |
| Per cent glacose in juice | 198 | 201 |
| Per centsolids in juice. | 3.84 | 3.09 |
| Per cent sucrose in juice | 1214 | 1502 |
| Per cent sucrose available in juice | 6.88 | 9.93 |
| No. of analyses........ | 1348 | 280 |
| No, of varieties | 38 | 38. |

It appears from the above, that there was, during the working period, on these thirty-eight varieties of sorghum, in 1881,

A loss of 1.6 per cent in the juice,
A gain of 9.9 per cent in specific gravity,
A gain of 1.0 per cent in glucose,
A loss of 7.5 per cent in solids,
A gain of 23.7 per cent in sucrose,
A gain of 45.6 per cent in available sucrose, over the results obtained in 1880.
The conclusion appears established, that if only the crop of sorghum shall have got fairly under way before the drought, there is no erop which more effectually withstands it-and the result is a crop of cane, less in the aggregate weight, but much richer in sugar than a crop grown under the conditions of moisture and temperature which, with maize, give the best results; for, it will be remembered that this season of 1881 was most unfavorable for maize, much of it being wholly lost.

The season of 1880 may fairly be regarded as an average of those of 1881 and ' 82 , in Washington, in regard to temperature and rain-fall; while the season of 1881 was almost unprecedented for the severe drought.

The total rain-fall from May to September, inclusive, was only $12 \frac{1}{2}$ inches; while, during the same period in 1882 , it was 24.07 inches. In 1881 the number of storms, during this period, was only three, with an aggregate rain-fall of ouly 4.87 inches; while, in 1882, there were ten storms, with an aggregate rain-fall of 14.11 inches. The average temperature for these five months (from planting to maturity of the crop) was, in $1881,73.92^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.; while, in 1882 , it was only $70.40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

It will also be observed that the first frost, in 1882, was (notwithstanding the unusually cold season) a month later than in the two preceding years.
The lack of rain during Octoher, 1882, and a temperature, for the month, much above the average for the preceding two years, together with absence of frost, gave an additional month for the maturity of the sorghums; and this, in part, made up for the late planting and unfavorable season.

## Effect of Rain upon the Composition of Sorghum Juices.

The investigation of this question, and the results secured, offer a good illustration as to the importance of submitting doubtful questions to the test of actual experiment ; since it is nearly certain that any one, reasoning from a priori considerations, would have concluded (and, indeed, such conclusion has been accepted as established fact), that the effect of rain would be manifest in a diluted juice, and that, conversely, a prolonged drought would result in a concentration and diminution of the juice. The results, however, of very many experiments on every variety of sorghum, during the past season, prove the incorrectness of such conclusions.

## Effect of Heavy Rain-fall after Long Drought.

For the purpose of showing the effect produced, if any, in the composition of the several sorghums, due to a heavy fall of rain, the following table has been prepared, which gives the results of analyses of each variety, taken before and after the heary rain-fall of September 10 th and 11 th.
The average of the analyses of all the varieties, made just before the heavy rain of September 10th and 11th (viz., those made September 7 th, 8 th, and 9 th), also the average of all the aualyses of each
variety made immediately succeeding this rain－fall（viz．，analyses made on September 12th，14th， 15 th，and 17 th），and the results are as follows：

TABLE SHOWING EFFECT OF HEAVY RAIN－FALL AFTER LONG DROUGBT．

|  | DATES OF ANALYSES． | Analyses taken just before Sept．10th and 11th． |  |  |  |  | Analyses taken just after Sept．10th and 11th． |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \underset{\Xi}{\bullet} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s. } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\Delta} \\ & \text { sin } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & \text { U心 } \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ | 荢 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Per | Per | Per cent． |  | Per cent． | Per cent． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { cent. } \end{gathered}$ | Per cent． |  |
| 1 | Sept．7，17，and Oct．5， 15 | 18.3 |  | 3.00 | 54.17 | 1.059 | 17.09 | ． 66 | 3.64 | 4．3 8：3 | 1.088 |
| 2 | Sept．7，17，and Oct．5． 15 | 17.41 | ． 81 | $\leq 76$ | 58.86 | 1084 | 16.59 | 111 | 3.19 | 52． 80 | 1.085 |
| 3 | Sept．7，17，and＇Oct． 5,15 | 18.30 | ． 86 | 1.77 | 6：3．51 | 1.057 | 16.88 | 10.5 | 2.88 | 5：3．72 | 1.086 |
| 4 | Sept．7，17，and Oet 5， 15 | 19.58 | 93） | 2.75 | 59.51 | 1.095 | 1.5 .24 | 1.27 | 386 | 50.54 | 1 0s0 |
| 5 | sept．7，17，and Oct．5， 15 | 14.08 | 2.03 | $2.32 \cdot$ | 64.45 | 1．074 | 1701 | ． 49 | 295 | 50.46 | 1.086 |
| 6 | Sept．7，17，and Oct．5， 15 | 18.38 | 1.48 | 3.95 | 45.14 | 1.094 | 17.69 | 57 | 3.12 | 5930 | $10 \times 7$ |
| 7 | Sept． 7,12 ，and Oct．7， 17 | 16.00 | 1.73 | 2.40 | 62.01 | $108: 3$ | 1565 | 1.62 | 1.52 | 6364 | 1.080 |
| 8 | Sept．7，12，and Oct．7， 27 | 16.20 | 1.07 | 2．20 | 56，9．3 | 1083 | 12.56 | 2.44 | 1.79 | （i2． 21 | 1.072 |
| 9 | sept．8，13，and Oct．7， 17 | 16.99 | 1.38 | $\cdots .76$ | 6094 | 1.056 | 10．14 | 3.52 | 2.07 | 6：3．16 | 1.063 |
| 10 | Sept． 8,12 ，and Oct．7， 17 | 17.86 | ． 83 | 3.39 | 57.69 | 1.089 | 15.18 | ． 80 | 285 | 5833 | 1． 090 |
| 11 | Sept．8，12，and Oct．7， 17 | 18.86 |  | 3．33 | 5159 | $109 \pm$ | 17.0 .3 | 1.03 | 2.56 | 29．56 | 1.086 |
| 12 | Sept．8，14． | 16.12 | ． 79 | 187 | 60.83 | 1．05： | 19.51 |  |  | 55.68 | 1．093 |
| 13 | sept．8， 14 | 16.91 | 1.81 | 3.00 | 57.38 | $10 \leq 4$ | 15． 70 | 1.75 | 481 | 63.01 | 1.069 |
| 14 | Sept．8， 14 | 16.89 | 1.90 | 3.25 | 64.35 | 1.084 | 17.51 | 1.55 | 3.77 | 5240 | 1.087 |
| 10 | Scpt．8， 14 | 1693 | 1.26 | 3.88 | 5 s .16 | 1057 | 18．28 | 77 | 8.94 | 6010 | 1057 |
| 16 | Sept．8，14，19，and Oct． 17 | 18.81 | 1．26 | ${ }^{3} 97$ | 6.3 .87 | 1.098 | 18． 61 | 1.14 | 3.16 | 59 （4） | 1.091 |
| 17 | Sept．9，14，27，and Oct． 18 | 17.35 | 2 29 | 491 | 55 \％ 71 | $10 \% \%$ | 19.20 | 1.54 | 2.61 | 51.49 | 1092 |
| 18 | Sept．9，11，27，and Oct． 18 | 16.46 | $\because 58$ | 398 | 5805 | 1． 056 | 17.79 | 28.5 | 3.55 | 56.79 | 1.089 |
| 19 | Sept．9，14，27，and Oct． 18 | $17 \div 0$ | $\geq 00$ | 467 | 54.48 | 10.9 | 15.79 | 2.05 | 4.52 | 60.35 | 1084 |
| 20 | Sept．5，14，27，and Oct． 18 | 15．83 | 130 | 389 | 62． 78 | 1．0ヶ9 | 15.95 | 1.94 | 4.23 | 51.53 | 1.084 |
| 21 | Sept．9，14，and Oct．3， 12 | 16.02 | 1.53 |  | 62.50 | 1.091 | 18.69 | 1.09 |  | 59.77 | 1.690 |
| 22 | sept．5，15，and Oct．3， 14 | 14.40 | 3.00 | 306 | 61.71 | 1050 | 1：36 | 1.93 | 5.0 .7 | $59.5: 3$ | 1.075 |
| 23 | Sept．9，15，and Oct，3， 14. | 13.00 | 1.71 | 365 | 67.62 | 10.81 | 14 $2 \cdot 3$ | 291 | 4.11 | 59.04 | 1081 |
| 24 | Nept．9，15，and（1et．3， 14 | 14.27 | 1.51 | 2.51 | 66.00 | 1．07：3 | 11.31 | 2.61 | 5.03 | 6007 | 1.054 |
| 25 | Sept．5，15，and Oct．3， 14 | 13.45 | 3.26 | 1.92 | 67.92 | 1.078 | 1423 | 255 | 5.43 | 59.56 | 1076 |
| 26 | Sept．9， 15 ，and Oct．3， 14 | 9.80 | 5.18 | 4.40 | 59.53 | 1.073 | 1114 | 3.73 | 3.82 | 6311 | 1.070 |
| 27 | Sept．9，15，and Oct．3， 15 | 13.05 | 4.16 | 228 | 63.78 | 1.077 | 11.6 .5 | 3.82 | 3.68 | 62.24 | 1070 |
| 28 | Sept．9．15，and Oct：3， 15 | 19.23 | 1.45 | 241 | 61.06 | 1.0 n 9 | 16.73 | 86 | 4.35 | 57.02 | $1.08 \%$ |
| 29 | Sept．9，15，and Oct．4， 15 | 16.00 | 1.8 | $\because 00$ | $60 \quad 10$ | 1077 | 14.37 | 1．21 | $5 \cdot 27$ | 57.5 | 1.079 |
| 30 | Sept．9，15，and Oct．4， 15 | 16.14 | 1.70 | 2． 40 | 5877 | 1077 | 14．6\％ | 133 | 4.47 | 61.09 | 1077 |
| 81 | Sept．9， 15. | 1887 | 1.70 | 3.07 | 6255 | 1085 | 14.47 | 2.54 | 4.26 | 5755 | 1 0s1 |
| 32 | Sept．9， 15 | 1580 | 3.071 | 1.13 | 55.89 | 1.075 | 1338 | 2.57 | 4.30 | 65.81 | 1.074 |
| ni3 | Sept．9， 15 | 15.13 | 2.72 | 2． $3: 3$ | 61.72 | $10^{-5}$ | 1470 | 2.14 | 3.28 | 58.64 | 1.076 |
| $3 \pm$ | Sept．9， 15 | 15.90 | 1.38 | 2.68 | $57.3: 3$ | 1077 | 11．5： | 1.87 | 5.04 | 62.83 | 1.066 |
| 37 | Sept 7， 17 | 1257 | 99 | 4.19 | （2） 36 | $107: 3$ | 13.61 | 68 | 4.31 | 40.27 | 1075 |
| 38 | St $\mathrm{f}_{\text {t．}}$ 7， 17 | 8.97 | 5.19 | $1.0 \pm$ | 69.64 | 1.059 | 13.07 | 2.83 | 2.09 | 61.62 | 1.070 |
|  | Average | 16.02 | 2.06 | 2.98 | $59.5 \pm$ | 1.0882 | 15.40 | 1.803 | 3.694 | 57.80 | 1.0802 |

## aferage results of analyses of thirty-six rarieties of sorghey before and after the rain-fall of september 10th and llth.

|  |  | Before. | Aiter. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose | per cent. | 1602 | 15.40 |
| Glucos | per cent. | $\cdots$ | 180 |
| Solids | per cent. | 293 | -3.69 |
| Sute | per cent. | 59.54. | 57.00 |
| Arailable sugar | per cent | 10.95 | 9.90 |

From the above it will be seen, that the results of this storm, as shown in these analyses, show an average loss of


The gain in solids was 23.8 per cent.
The above results are rather surprising, and certainly opposed to the riew generally entertained. Without accepting them as wholly conclusive, it must be remembered that they are the results of a very large number of determinations, and of a very large number of distinct rarieties. If it shall hereafter be shown that such a result invariably follows a rain-fall, it would appear that the explanation is, that, by such rain-fall, a vigorous growth in the plant is excited, and that the material for this rapid development of the plant is derived from the stored-up food (mainly sugar or starch) present. This would account for the loss in sugar; while the water, being simply the rehicle for transporting such fool, is eralporated from the foliage more rapidly than it is absorbed by the roots.

The following report submitted by Professor Scovell, of the Champaign Illinois Sugar Company, is interesting as bearing upon the importance of favorable climatic conditions. It will be seen that his experience accords with that in Washington. A cool, wet season resulted in the growth of sorghum comparatively poor in sugar.

In order to get a correct idea of the season's work, the condition of the weather as compared with other years, is of material consideration.

In our section of the country, the weather this year, so far as planting, cultiration, maturing of the crop, and the development of the cane sugar in sorghum is concerned, has been the most unfarorable of any year within our knowledge.

I submit, herewith, a synopsis of the weather report, as giren by the Signal Service Station at Champaign, showing a comparison of the seasons of this and last year.
MONTHLY MEAN TEMPERATURE.

RAIN-FALL DURING THE SAME PERIOD.


From these results, we find the average temperature during the growing season of cane this year, fully $6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. below that of last year, and the rain-fall exceeded that of last year by fifteen ( $\mathbf{1 5}$ ) inches.
The season was too wet to cultivate the crop properly, and too cold for the proper development of the sugar in the cane. This last is readily shown by a comparison of the amount of sugar found in the cane this year and last. Last year we found in the Early Amber cane, at its maturity, an average of

Per cent.

while this year, the same variety under like circumstances, only revealed the presence of

And a gravity of.
1.060

We began planting sorghum the 2nd of May, and finished the 22nd of June.
The varieties planted were Early Amber, Early Orange, and Kansas Orange.
The land upon which the sorghum was grown, was rich prairie land, which had been cultivated for upward of twenty years. The preceding crop was broom corn.
As before stated, the extremely wet spring gave the crop a very late start, and the weeds had the advantage. Just as the last difficulty was overcome, the chintz bugs made their appearance, and threatened the destruction of the whole crop. With the exception, however, of lessening the yield somewhat on a thirty-eight acre field, the damage done by them was nominal.

## EFFECTS OF FROST UPON SORGHUM.

The investigations concerning this question, practically reconcile the discordant reports in regard to this matter. It has been shown that, when fully matured, the sorghum withstands even hard frosts without detriment-but that, if immature, the effect is most disastrons.

It is shown also, that this disastrous result is due not directly to the effect of the frost, but to the subsequent warm weather, which rapidly induces fermentation with inversion of sugar in the frosted and immature cane.

For the purpose of learning the effect of frost upon the sorghum, the following examinations were made in the fall of 1881, at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington.

An average was taken of all the analyses made of each variety of sorghum under cultivation, just before the dates of the first frosts, which occurred October 6th and 11th, viz.: those analyses which were made September 27 th, October 3 rd, 4th, 5th, 7 th; also, those analyses made immediately subsequent to these frosts, viz: on October 14th, 15 th, 17 th, 18 th. The results are given in the following table:

TABLE SHOWING EFFECT OF FIRST FROSTS.

| Just before October 6th and 11th. |  |  |  |  | Just after October 6th and 11th. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \% | ¢ |  |
| Perct. | Perct. | Perct. | Perct. |  | Perct. | Per ct. | Perct. | Perct. |  |
| 15.54 |  |  | 54.18 | 1.078 | 14.32 |  |  |  | 1.078 |
| 16.35 | 1.08 | 2.15 | 31.18 | 1.057 | 16.19 | 1.09 | 5 | 57.93 | 1.075 |
| 11.85 | 1.11 | 3.05 | 55.10 | 1.068 | 16.24 | 1.27 | $4 \times 0$ | 5.580 | $1.0 \bullet 2$ |
| 1258 | 70 | 2.40 | 51.31 | 1068 | 14.6.3 | 40 | 5.10 | 574 | 1.078 |
| 15.36 | . 98 | $\because 8$ | 58.05 | $1.08{ }^{2}$ | 1397 | 3.2 ¢ | + $3: 3$ | 53.07 | 1.084 |
| 14.77 | 1.31 | 3.65 | 59.59 | 1.1882 | 11.99 | 116 | 3.73. | 58.13 | 1.069 |
| 17. 2 ? | 95 | 3.94 | 4546 |  | 13.85 | 24 | 3.97 | 55.23 | 1184 |
| 12.54 | 2.12 | 2.58 | 61.19 | 1.170 | 13.24 | 1.8.7 | 3.45 | 58.60 | 1.176 |
| 17.35 | . 51 | 412 | 5402 | 1090 | 16.30 | 40 | 380 | 5t 60 | 10 Si |
| 16.59 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 3.35 | 57. | 1.035 | 16.13 | . 69 | 487 | 5291 | 1088 |
| 17.40 | 78 |  | 5.58 | 1089 | 13.90 | 1.78 | 4.4 | 47.38 | 1.081 |
| 16.57 | 15.2 | 3.14 | 5.5 .84 | 1.083 | 14.7 | 1.64 | 2.0 | 5499 | 1079 |
| 1815 | 1.39 | 4.40 | 5599 | 1.094 | 185 | 120 | 359 | 5695 | 1.078 |
| 16.68 | 1.56 |  | 49.29 | 1.089 | 14.39 | 1.73 | 2.93 | 58.07 | 1081 |
| 14.14 | 2.60 | 367 | $46 \cdot 29$ | $1 . \mathrm{es} 0$ | 15.:25 | 1.96 | 201 | 58.51 | 1.052 |
| 16.10 | . 94 | $4 \times 0$ | 53.87 | 1.005 | 15.60 | 120 | 3.4 | 58.7. | 1.085 |
| 15.78 | 1.26 | 4.01 | 57.97 | 1.083 | 12. 41 | 2.57 | 181 | 6.580 | 1.969 |
| 15.75 | 1.72 | 4.23 | 5599 | 104 | 124 | 3.02 | ${ }^{2} 68$ | 6084 | 1.055 |
| 15.91 | 127 | 3.66 | 51.72 | 1.082 | 9.45 | 1.58 | 2.31 | 62.7 | 10.53 |
| 14.55 | 2.31 | 3.11 | 57.28 | 1.079 | 464 | 4.77 | 261 | $67: 1$ | 1.048 |
| 162 | 1.66 | 4.17 | 57.98 | 1.083 | 10.19 | 2.46 | 3 | 5611 | 1.164 |
| 1170 | 4.14 | 2.74 | 63.72 | 1.071 | 6.50 | 3.98 | 2.97 | 597.3 | 1.0.\% |
| 16.37 | 1.20 | 415 | 36.74 | 1.045 | 7.27 | 1.07 | 314 | 6403 | 1.04.5 |
| 14 13.50 | 1.50 | 3.27 | 52.94 | 1082 | 1: 15 | 192 | 119 | 60.39 | 1.071 |
| 13.50 | 1.43 | 3.34 |  | 1.088 | 11.69 | 1.28 | 4.00 | 59.51 | 1.066 |
| Ar'ge. 15.28 | 1.41 | 3.37 | 54.82 | 1.0514 | 12. 91 | 1.82 | 3.49 | 5¢ 09 | 1.0738 |

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANAIMSES OF THIRTY-SIX VARIETIES OF SORGHUM BEFORE AND AFTER THE FROSTS OF OCTOBER 6TH AND llTH,

|  | Before. | After. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose. | 15.28 | 1291 |
| Glucose | 1.41 | 18 |
| Solids | - 3.37 | 3.49 |
| Juice .......... | 54.82 | 5519 |
| Specific gravity | 1.051 | 1.074 |
| Available sugar | 10.50 | 7.6 |

From the above averages, it will be seen that the results of these frosts show an average loss of -


The above results accord with the general belief as to the injurious effects of frost upon the cane. It would appear from the increase in glucose and decrease in sucrose, that the effects of frost were to produce an inversion of the sugar present in the juices of the plant.
If we consider the average results produced in a few of the different varieties of cane, viz., Mastodon No. 24, Honduras No. 25, Sugar Cane No. 26, Wallis' Hybrid No. 27, White Imphee No. 28, and White Mammoth No. 7, for example, we shall find the effect even more marked. For purpose of comparison, I have given the average results of analyses of the above varieties by themselves, and also the average results of several other varieties by themselves, viz., Early Amber "No. 1, Early Golden No. 2, White Liberian Nos. 3 and 4, Black Top No. 5, African No. 6, Regular Sorgho No. 9, Link's Hybrid Nos. 10 and 11.
aferage results of analyses of nos. $7,24,25,26,27,28$, made just before and just after the frosts of october 6til and llth.

|  |  | Before. | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose | per cent | 1492 | 8.34 |
| Glucose | do.. | 1.98 | 2.50 |
| Solids | do | 358 | 301 |
| Juire | do | 57.89 | 61.84 |
| Specific gravity |  | 1.081 | 10.56 |
| Available sugar | . . per cent | 9.86 | 2.83 |

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NOS. $1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11$, MADE JUST BEFORE AND JUST AFTER THE FROSTS OF OCTOBER 6TH AND 1lTH.


The effects of these frosts were far more disastrous upon the first group of sorghums selected, than upon the last group; for, arranging the results side by side, this difference in effect produced is readily compared, thus:


As will be seen from the above statement, there is practically little effect shown by the frost upon the several varieties of sorghum in the second group. The percentage of increase in glucose and solids is, in fact, not a very large actual increase, while the percentage of sucrose in the juice is slightly more.

It is more than probable that the difference in the effects of the frost upon the two groups is due to the fact, that, in the case of the second group, the different varieties of sorghum were those of early maturity, and this will be seen by reference to the tables of analyses of these varieties, which will show that for a long period these varieties had reached their maximum content of sugar, and, in fact, had begun to fall off a little; while, as will be seen by reference to the tables, the members of the first group were of the late varieties, and their full development had not yet been attained, for their content of sucrose was and had been gradually increasing. It is, therefore, probable that, while the plant is in its immature condition, and the functions of growth and the elaboration of its sugar in vigorous action, it is far more susceptible to the action of frost than after full maturity has been attained. Should this prove to be the case, it would explain the injurious action of frost upon the sugar-cane of Louisiana, which, owing to the long period necessary for its full development, can never reach that condition of maturity which would render it comparatively safe.

The above results will enable us to explain the very conflicting testimony of sorghum growers as to the effects of frost upon their crops, many having experienced no evil results, while others have found the, effects of frost most disastrous. At least these results will be of value in guarding us from drawing too hasty conclusions, since a reasonahle support is afforded in the above data for either view, and it would seem wise to withhold conclusions until more facts are accumulated.

In 1882, however, as has been already pointed out, the meteorolog-
ical conditions of this locality differed so widely from those of the preceding year, that it effected practically a change of climate. The first frost occurred November 3rd, nearly a month later than upon the two years preceding, and was followed by successive frosts upon November 5 th, 6 th, 15 th, 16 th, 19 th, 21 st, 22 nd, 23rd, 24 th, 26 th, and December 2 nd and 4 th. The mean temperature after the first frost, and until December 8th, when the last examination of the sorghums was made, was $39.8^{\circ}$, with maximum temperatures, November 12 th , of $74.1^{\circ}$, and, November 13 th, of $70.8^{\circ}$.

For greater ease of comparison, the above data for 1881 and 1882 are placed side by side in the following table:

|  | 1881. | 1882. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First frost... |  |  |
| Other frosts | Oct. 11. Nov. 14. | Nov. 5. Nov. 6. |
|  | Nov. 16. | Nov. 6. Nov. 15. |
|  | Nov. 17. | Nov. 16. |
|  | Nov. 28. | Nov. 19. |
|  |  | Nov. 21. |
|  |  | Nov. ${ }^{\text {Nov. } 23 .}$ |
|  |  | Nov. 24. |
|  |  | Nov. 26. |
|  |  | Dec. 2. |
|  |  | Dec. 4. |
| Mean temperature, October | $77.0^{\circ}$ | $610^{\circ}$ |
| Maximum temperature, October | $88.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $81.3^{\circ}$ |
| Mean temperature, November.. | $62.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $42.9{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Maximum temperature, November | $76.1^{\circ}$ | 74.10 |

To show the effects of the frosts this year, there is given in the following table the results of the analyses of the nine varieties which were last examined on December 8th, and, for the purpose of comparison, the last analyses made of these same varieties just before the first frost of November 3rd.

It is important to mention, that each of these nine varieties had ripened their seed some time before the first frost, and that among the nine there were three of the new African varieties, viz: Nos. 7, 12, and $16 a$.
last analyses made after thirteen frosts，december 8th－effects of Frosts， 1882.

| Variety． | \＃ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 感 | 号 | \％ | 寺 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neeazana．． | Dec． S | 42.20 | 10326 | 3.35 | 12.88 | 2.53 | 69－1 | 11.45 |
| White Liberia | do．． | 3592 | 1054 | 3.13 | 11.78 | $3{ }^{74}$ | 5.61 | 10.4 |
|  | ．．do．． | 3490 | 10034 | 3.91 | 11.92 | 290 | 5.11 | 9.83 |
| Links Haswell＇s Variety | do．． | 43.40 | 1.020 | $\bigcirc$ | 14.95 | ${ }^{3} 00$ | 9 9， | 1381 |
| Chinese impliee． | do． | 37.13 | 1.0932 |  | 14.97 | － 31 | $9 \%$ | 15.12 |
| Undendebule | do．．． | 35.01 | 1.1046 | 2.83 | 17．19 | 3.67 | 11.19 |  |
| Dindemuka | do． | 42 24 | 10.89 | 19 | $1.5: 4$ | 3 い－ | 11） 3.1 |  |
| Ufatane．．． | do．． | 42.16 | 1.0857 | 1.95 | 14.90 | 3.16 | 9.83 | 13.94 |
| Arerage |  | 39.17 | 1.0900 | 2.85 | 14.35 | 2.93 | 5．52 | 1230 |

last analyses made before first frost，Notember 3rd．

| Vimiety． | \＃ |  | coss |  |  |  | \％ | \＃ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Neeazana | Sor． 2 | （55．4．5 | 1． 1.069 | 1.54 | 12.24 | 3.4 | 7．26 | 11.80 |
| White Liberian | Nor． | 57.43 | 1.0731 | 1．U | 1305 | 1.93 | $10.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 1： 0 |
|  | Oct． 25. | 5.365 | 1.0700 | 1.46 | 13．37 | 2.53 | 820 | 1211 |
| Link＇s Hrbrid． | Oct． 25. | \｛50．43\} | 1．0834 | 198 | 1505 | 3.20 | 10.92 | 15.15 |
| Haswell＇s Variety | Oct． 30. | ${ }^{1} 58.16$ | 1．んいsi | 1.10 | 116 | － 2.56 | 7.65 | 1111 |
| Chinese Imphee | Oct． 20. | 158.27 | 10768 | 1.42 | 14．16 |  | 10 － | 13， 60 |
| Cndendebule | Oct． 30. | （60． $5: 1$ | 1.074 | ． 55 | 13.65 | $2 \times$ | 10 | 13.51 |
| Undendebule | Oct． 3. | （6） 30 | 1 deres | 4 | 1206 | 3 | 5 | 11.13 |
| Dindemuka | Oct． 30. |  | 10 | 9 | 12.915 | 2.61 | $6 \div$ | 11. |
| Ufatane | Oct． 31. | 157.88 | 1．0692 | 1.58 | 11.90 |  |  | 11 It |
|  | Oct． 31. | $\{63.56\}$ | 10632 | 201 | 10．60， | 2.55 | 5.84 | 1015 |
| Arerage |  | 58.19 | 1.0707 | 1.23 | 12.43 | 2.68 | 8．62 | 12． 11 |

The results above given are very interesting and a little surprising． It will be seen that the arerage shows，as the effects of these repeated frosts and long continued cold weather of nearly fifty dars from the time when the first examinations were made，October 20th，to the last， December 8th，the following：

Percent．


The average results obtained by the polariscope before the frosts was 97.35 per cent of those obtained by analysis, while the results after the frosts were only 90.84 per cent. This result is, in all probability, due to the presence of inverted sugar in the juice, as is indicated by the increase of glucose, which increase amounts, upon an average, to 1.62 per cent of the juice.
The increased percentage of sugar in these juices, obtained after the frosts, must not be regarded as an actual increase of sugar in the plant; for, on the other hand, there has been a very considerable loss of sugar, as is indicated, not only by the increase in the glucose, which now consists largely of inverted sugar, as the polariscope determinations show, but there has been a very large loss of juice, showing a loss of water. Indeed, there seems in this case to have been a gradual drying up of the water of the plant; and the increased per cent of sugar shows only that the inversion of the sugar and the fermentation and disappearance of the glucose did not proceed quite as rapidly in proportion.
The following table will show the relative composition of the juices before and after these frosts, and the results calculated to the stripped cane:

|  | Before frosts. | After frosts. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice from stalks. | Per cent. 55.19 | Per cent. 39.17 |
| Sucrose in juice | 12.44 | 14.35 |
| Qlucose in juice. | 1.23 | 2.85 |
| solids injuire | $\underline{38}$ | 2.98 |
| Total solids in juice. | 16.3. | 20.18 |
| Available sugar in juice | 8.53 | 8.52 |
| Water in juice | 83.65 | 79.82 |
| Sucrose expressed from stalks. | 7.24 | 5.62 |
| Glucose expressed from stalks | . 71 | 1.11 |
| Sodids expressed from stalks.. | 1.56 | 1.17 |
| Total solids expressed from stalks | 9.51 | 7.90 |
| Available sugar expressed from stalks | 4.97 | 3.34 |
| Water expressed from stalks............ | 48.68 | 31.27 |

From the above it will be seen that the effects of these frosts upon the several constituents of the juices, calculated to the stripped stalks, showed

| Loss of sucrose. | nt, or 1.62 per cent of stalks. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gain of glucose | 56.34 per cent, or 40 per cent of stalks. |
| Loss of solids. | 25.00 per cent, or 39 per cent of stalks. |
| Loss of total solids | 16.93 per cent, or 1.61 per cent of stalks. |
| Loss of available su | 32.80 per cent, or 1.63' per cent of stalks. |
| Loss of water | 7 per cent, or 17.41 per cent of stalks. |

These results above detailed must not be regarded as in conflict with the conclusions published in the aunual report 1881-'82, p. 502, where the increase in sugar during the later stages in the development of the sorghum was shown not to be due to a loss of water by drying up of
the plant, as has been supposed by many, but was an actual increase in sugar.

For comparison with the results just given, the results obtained in 1881, are, in part, repeated here.

Two stages of derelopment are selected for comparison-the eleventh, when the seed was just hard, and when the amount of juice was at its maximum; and the seventeeth, which represents several weeks after the seed had ripened.

The arerage analyses of the juices of 35 varieties of sorghum gave the following results:

|  | Elerenth. stage. | Seventeenth stage. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of analrses. | Per cent. <br> 166 | Per cent. 197 |
| Juice from stalks ... | 6ī. 04 | 60.17 |
| Sucrose in juice. | 10.66 | 13.72 |
| Cilucose in juice. | 2.35 | 1.56 |
| Solids in juice. | 2.72 | 4.07 |
| Total solids in juice | 15.73 | 19.35 |
| A failable sugar in juice. | 5.59 | 8.09 |
| Water in juice.......... | St. 27 | 80.65 |
| Sucrose expressed from stalks. | 6.93 | 8.26 |
| Glucose expressed from stalks | 1.53 | . 94 |
| Solins expressed from stalks... | 1.77 | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| Total solids expressed from stalks. | 10.23 | 11.65 |
| Available sugar expressed from stalks | 83.63 | 4.87 |
| Water expressed from stalks. | 54.81 | 48.52 |

Calculating these results to the stripped stalks, we find between the eleventh and seventeenth stages the following:


It appears, therefore, conclusively established, that long after the seed has throughly ripened, and, indeed, as in all of our results, until the plant is killed by the frost, there is a steady increase in the amount of sugar in the juice, which is actual and not due to loss of water; and that this increase extends also to the available sugar, which, as will be seen above, increased from the eleventh to the seventeenth stages 34.16 per cent, while the sugar increased only 19.19 per cent. This result has been established by the continued experiments of the past four years.

In discussion of the effects of frost upon the sorghums, the following quotation from "Sugar Planting and Refining," page 22, is so entirely in accord with our own experience, that we give it in full:

The sugar-cane attains its greatest perfection within the tropics; cold, in any degree, opposes its growth and development, hence it can not be successfully cultivated in Europe, except in a very prescribed district of Spain. Even in Louisiana, the frost often sets in before the planters can gather the crop, and so affects the cane juice that it can no longer be induced to crystallize, unless the canes can be cut and manufactured before a thaw occurs.

This singular change in the nature of the juice is occasioned by the fluid contents, the saccharine and the nitrogenized principles of the various cells or organs, bursting their bounds and becoming intermingled the one with the other.

While the frost continues, the low temperature prevents the possibility of fermentation taking place, which will altogether prevent the crystallization of the juice if subsequently concentrated.

If the thaw or period of comparatively warm weather has sufficient duration, this viscous fermentation contioues until all the sugar contained in the juice is inverted, and the commingled fluids have resolved themselves into a viscid mucilaginous matter, possessing neither sweetness nor acidity. This will occur to the juice of the yet uncut cane; but it also happens to expressed juice under other circumstances. Juice which has become affected in this manner can not be made into crystallizable sugar, and is valuable only for distillation to produce rum. In the upper districts of India, also, frost frequently does great harm to cane crops.

## EFFECTS OF FERTILIZERS ON SORGHUM.

The following experiments were made with a view of determining the effect of various fertilizers upon the production of sorghum, in Washington, D. C.

The plat of ground, upon which were grown 37 varieties of sorghum, was 105 by 215 feet in size. The drills were lengthwise the plat, and it was divided into four sections-three of 50 feet and one of 65 feet; and these plats were respectively called $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, a corner off A reducing its area to 6,525 feet, the others containing each 5,250 feet. A sample of the soil was carefully selected from many portions of the entire plat, and analyzed, with the following result:

ANALYSIS OF SOIL UPON SORGHUM PLAT BEFORE APPLYING.FERTILIZERS.
Per cent.


An inspection of the analysis of the soil shows it to be exceptional in its very small content of lime, and in the almost entire absence of chlorine. It is, in fact, a gravelly soil, which has been highly cultirated, and very considerably changed in its character. Its need seems chiefly to be the addition of sulphate of lime ("land plaster," or gypsum).
Upon plat A there was applied:
13 pounds of kainite,
16 ammonium sulphate,
16 " $\quad$ calcium sulphate.

Upon plat B there was applied:
21 pounds of potassium sulphate,
104 " calcium sulphate.
Upon plat C there was applied:
50 pounds of sunerphosphate,
104 " calcium sulphate.
Upon plat D there mas applied:
50 pounds of bone meal.
$10 \pm$ calcium sulphate.
The above amounts are equal to an application per acre as follows:

Plat A:
Si pounds kainite,
37 " ammonium sulphate,
Trt " calcium sulphate.
Plat B:
175 pounds potassium sulphate,
S67 " calcium sulphate.
Plat C:
417 pounds superphosphate,
\$67 " calcium sulphate.
Plat D:
41\% pounds bone meal,
867 "ش calcium sulphate.

ANALYSIS OF FERTILIZERS USED UPON SORGHOX PLAT.
Superphosphate of lime:
Per cent.
Soluble phosphoric acid........................................................................... . . 9 . 7



Commercial kainite:
Per cent.

Sodium sulphate...
Sodium chloride.
15.54

| Bone meal: | Percent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phosphoric acid. | 21.96 |
| Nitrogen ( $=\mathrm{NH}_{3} 5.22$ per cent). | 4.30 |
| Sulphate of ammonia: |  |
| Pure ammonium sulphate.. | 98.39 |
| Sulphuric acid ( $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ )... | 59.63 |
| Ammonia ( $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ). | 25.34 |
| Sulphate of potash: |  |
|  | Per cent. |
| Pure potassium sulphate. | 9879 |
| Potash ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 53 45 42 |

The superphosphate was such as is commonly sold in this vicinity; it was a good article, but not of the highest grade. The same may be said of the kainite. The other fertilizers were of higher grade. It was thought best to show the effect of each fertilizer on each cane in the various stages of its growth. For this purpose, the results are classified in the three tables to correspond with a content of sucrose; in the first set below 5 per cent, in the second set of 5 to 10 per cent, in the third set of 10 to 15 per cent, and in the fourth set above 15 per cent. It will be understood, that the results embraced in the third and fourth sets are those attained during the period when most of the canes were in the best condition for working; those in the first and second sets are equally valuable as helps in settling the effect of the fertilizers on the immature growing cane; while the final averages must, after all, give the most accurate general idea as to the effect of each fertilizer on each cane during the whole season.

We do not feel warranted in drawing any definite conclusions from these final averages ; the close agreement between the averages drawn from so many results, seems to point to the fact that the soil originally contained sufficient food for the proper development of the sorghum plants, and that the addition of these special fertilizers was unnecessary, and resulted in no marked change in the composition of the sorghum juices. In fact, the analyses made the year before showed the canes to have the same composition as they this year were found to have, and equally large crops of four varieties of sorghum were then obtained. These results must not be taken to prove, however, that on certain soils, which are deficient in one or more essential constituents of plant food, the addition of proper fertilizers will not be of great value. Certainly, such additions to poor soils are likely to increase the crop: whether the quality of the juice will be improved, must yet be decided.

Effects of Fertilizers on Sucrose, Glucose, and Solids, in Sorghum Juices. The three tables which follow represent $63 t$ analyses, made for the purpose of determining what, if any, differences in the composition of sorghum juices are caused by the use of different fertilizing materials.


Glucose in Solids.

percentages


Third Set．－Glucose corre－ sponting with arerage su－ crose 10 to 15 per cent．

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.39 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| 134 | 16 | $1 \%$ | 13 |
| 1.57 | 16 | 1 ＂ | 134 |
| 14 | 15 | $1:$ | 154 |
| 1.41 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| 11.3 | 138， |  |  |
| 15 | 1.21 | 14 | 12 |
| 1.19 | 1.25 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 125 | 125 | 124 | 14 |
| $1: 2$ | 1.35 | 1. | 14 |
|  |  | 14 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 14 | 1 \％ |
| 22 | $\because 2$ | 111 | 1.4 |
| I． 3 | 1－3 | － | 1．41 |
| 180 | 1.96 | $1 \div$ | 204 |
| 2.24 | 2 － | $\div$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 166 |  | 12i | 14 |
| 134 | 132 | － 21 | 130 |
| 1.7 | $15 ⿳ 亠 口 子$ | $1 \because$ | 1 it |
| 185 | $\because 45$ | $\therefore 1$. | － |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\cdots{ }^{3} 61$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 1．89 | 2 | 1－ | 19 |
|  |  | I | 2－1 |
| 2.4 | 255 | － | － 21 |
|  |  | $\because$ | $\bigcirc{ }^{3}$ |
|  |  | 1 it | $\bigcirc$ |
| ${ }_{2}$ | 583 | $\cdots 12$ | $\underline{\square}$ |
| 9. | 2 66 | 5 | 2 |
| －${ }^{2}$ | 3 | － | 2． |
| 231 | 260 | － | 2.11 |
| 1．22 | 1.87 | 1 \＄1 | 1.4 |

B．
D．
B．

Fotrit set－Giucose corre－ sponding with arerace su－ crose orer 15 per cent．

FINAL ATERAGES－－AT－ erage glacuse ior the ごいた。
$\qquad$

| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | Variety． | First Set．－Solids cor－ responding to aver－ age sucrose below 5 per cent． |  |  |  | Second Set．－Solids corresponding to ar erage sucrose 5 to 10 per cent． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ن. } \\ & \text { 苟 } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { 句 } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 弚 } \\ & \text { 芯 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Early Amber |  |  |  |  | 3.48 |  |  |  |
|  | Early Amber． |  |  |  |  | 3.41 | 3.4 | 3.18 |  |
| 3 | Early Golden． |  |  |  |  | 3．24 | 295 | 2.36 | 3.62 |
| 4 | Condlen surup White Liburian |  |  | 3.12 |  | （ $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3\end{aligned} 19$ | 3.06 4.26 | 270 | 2.51 |
| 6 | Sarly Amber．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Black Top |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{9}^{8}$ | Arican $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aramo } \\ & \text { White Mamoth }\end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Oomseeana |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2} 07$ | 2.14 | 2.74 | ${ }_{2.38}^{2.02}$ |
| 11 | Regular sorgho |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.53 | 2.90 | 2.38 |
| 12 | Hybrid． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | cigar ciane． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |
| 1.5 | Nee：zana． | ． |  |  |  | 249 | 28 | 246 | 2 s0 |
| 16 | Gionse Neek |  |  |  |  | 197 | 2.0 | 2.46 | 270 |
| 17 | Early Orang |  |  |  |  | 144 | 1.73 | 1.91 | 202 |
| 18 | Nееazama |  |  |  |  | 2.29 | 3.58 | 2.50 | －． 25 |
|  | New Varicty |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Chinese |  | ．．． | ．．．． |  | $2{ }^{2} 29$ | 2.40 | Q． 57 | 2.37 |
| $21$ | Woly Tail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2}{23}$ | Griy Top | ．．．． |  |  |  | （ $\begin{aligned} & 2.58 \\ & 269\end{aligned}$ | 2． 2.59 | 2．74 2.28 | ${ }_{2}^{2.36}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2,3 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | Liberian． |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}{ }^{2} 6$ | 2． 47 | 2． 32 | ${ }_{2}{ }_{57}$ |
| 27 | Oomsteana |  |  |  |  | 2． 69 | 2.74 | 250 | 280 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | cumac． |  |  | 125 | 1.42 | 242 | 206 | $\stackrel{\square}{2} \frac{19}{97}$ | 255 |
| 23 | Imphee |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.58 | ${ }_{3.13}$ |
| 29 | New Variety |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | 2.12 | 231 | 217 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | Samac． | 11 | － 79 | 250 |  |  |  | 25 | ${ }_{2}^{2.44}$ |
| ${ }_{3}^{31}$ | Honey Cane | 1.76 | 2.01 | 1.82 | 1.90 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 150$ | 1 |  | 1．76 |
| 33 | sprangle Top． | 1.78 | 1.72 | 1.81 | 1.83 | 1 ¢ 4 | 225 | 1.98 | 2.12 |
| 34 | Honduras | 1.39 | 1．72 | 1.78 | 1.49 | 2.16 | 2.37 | 1.89 | 179 |
| 35 | Honey Top | 1.57 | 1.68 | 1.63 | 1.37 | 191 | － | 2.14 | 2.15 |
| 36 | Honduras | 1.59 | 1.37 | 1.66 | 1.49 | 1.79 | 1.91 | 210 | 192 |
| 37 | Sugar Cane | 3.37 | 3.01 | 2.29 | 273 | 280 | 253 | 2.33 | 2.75 |
| Averages．．Order．．．．． |  | 1．72 | 1.81 | 1.80 | 1.68 | 2.39 | 2.40 | 2.34 | 2.46 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. } \\ & \text { C. } \\ & \text { C. } \\ & \text { A. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | D．B．A．C． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Third Set．－Solids corre－ sponding to arerage Su－ crose 10 to 15 per cent． |  |  |  | Focrth Set－Solids corre－ sponding to average su－ crose above 15 per cent． |  |  |  | Finataterages－At－ erage solids for the row． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < | 淢 |  |  |  |  | ت |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{2} \\ & \\ & \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 350 | 3.28 | S． 30 | ？ $0 \cdot$ |  |  |  |  | 3．4： | 3：3 | 3.30 | ¢．03 |
| 34 | 334 | 322 | 346 |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 3.25 | $3 \div$ | 3 in |
| 303 | 304 | ¢ 20 | 3.24 |  |  |  |  | 3 3： | $3.0: 3$ | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| 310 | 3.16 | 3．0．3 | 3.08 |  |  |  |  | 8.11 | 315 | 2 河 | 304 |
|  | $\bigcirc 9$ | 2.86 | 3.16 |  |  |  | 5 | $\because$ | 3.04 | 2 s 9 | $3: 4$ |
| 31.5 | 3.43 |  |  | 9.4 | 2 |  |  | 310 | 33 |  |  |
| － 3 | 3.41 3.21 |  |  | 3.75 |  |  |  | \％ 2 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 11 \\ 3 & 11\end{array}$ |  |  |
| 3 | 3.11 | 3.19 | 3 4 4 4 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 319 | 3.1 |
| 3 3 3 3 0 | 3.14 | 3.15 | 4.03 3.60 | 3.16 |  |  | 2.95 | 300 <br> 78 | － 67 | ${ }_{3}^{3} 110$ | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 <br> -1 <br> 1 |
| 32 | 2.8 | 3.19 | 3 08， |  |  |  |  | 5.14 | 2－ | 311 | 3 |
|  |  | 3.43 | 3.27 |  |  |  | 369 |  |  | ： 8 呈 | 3 4： |
|  |  | 3：2 | 349 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | $3+1$ |
|  |  | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 4.59 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 3.9 |
| 29 | 29 | B． $0 \mathrm{i} /$ | 3.30 | 429 |  |  | 4.7 | 2－9 | 2－1 | 8 \％ | － 3 |
| 294 | 311 | 301 | 3 31 | 4.47 |  |  | 4.4 | $2 \times$ | 2 | $\therefore 19$ | 8 2－2 |
| 3.1 | 303 | 2 s | 310 |  |  |  |  | $\overline{3} 1: 3$ | 3.19 | $\underline{2}-1$ | 3 （11 |
|  |  | ${ }^{3} 0.3$ | ${ }^{3} 01$ |  |  |  | 5.14 |  |  | 3 | 3.160 |
| 3619 | 531 | 320 | 328 |  |  |  |  | 313 | $\because 44$ | 290 | 290 |
| 5 | 3 3 | 3.16 | 36 | 422 |  |  | 4.92 | $\frac{1}{3} 17$ | \％ 1 | $3 \mathrm{Cr}_{2}$ | 29.5 |
| 351 | $3 \quad 35$ | 3 to | $3{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 3.24 | ： 10 | 311 | $3-6$ |
| 3 \％ | 349 | 3.53 | 389 |  |  |  |  | 3.34 | $\therefore 131$ | 3 保 | 341 |
| 3 31 | 3 3 4 0 | $3 \cdot 0$ | 337 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}3 & 11 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}$ | $\because 15$ | 23 | 315 |
| 412 |  |  | 3 3 3 3 40 | 3.59 |  |  | 4.45 | 324 | 297 | － 9 | 3 |
|  |  | ${ }_{3}^{2}: 4$ | 31. |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | － | － |
| 2.83 | 294 | $3 \div 1$ | 310 |  |  |  |  | 261 | 2 | 27 |  |
|  |  | 3 ？ | 3 ， |  |  |  | 202 |  |  | 3 | $\cdots$ |
| 325 | 27 | $\xrightarrow{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 251 | ${ }_{\square}^{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 2.89 | 2 si | 2 | $3 \div 1$ |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2} 0$ | $2-4$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 2．93 | 2 94i | 317 | 303 |  |  |  |  | 211 | 2 | $\bigcirc 19$ | － |
| 3.3 | 29 | 289 | $\bigcirc 97$ |  |  |  |  | 215 | $21:$ | －14 | － |
| 2.51 | 296 | 3.5 | 373 |  |  |  |  | 201 | 19 | 2 | 13 |
| 2.98 | 37 |  | 434 |  |  |  |  | 289 | $2 \times$ | $\cdots$ | $\because 93$ |
| 3．23 | 3.17 | 3.20 | 3.30 | 5.7 |  |  | 429 | 293 |  | 288 | 300 |
| D．A．C．B． |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} . \\ & \mathrm{C} . \\ & \mathrm{A} . \\ & \mathrm{B} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | D．A．C．B． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Effects of Fertilizers on the Ash of Sorghum Juices.

A small number of determinations (34) were made of the ash of various sorghum juices; it was originally intended to make a larger number of estimations for the purpose of showing the effect of these four fertilizers on the amount and composition of the ash in sorghum canes and juices. The pressure of other work and the limited number of assistants prevented the completion of the work; and the results here recorded are given for what they may be worth.

If these results are considered sufficiently numerous to warrant any conclusions, it appears that the amounts of ash are least with fertilizer A , and increase regularly in the order $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$.

I seems hardly safe, however, to draw any conclusions. We can safely infer, however, that the ash in sorghum juices does not vary greatly from 1 per cent.

The following are the results obtained:

EFFECT OF FERTILIZERS ON THE ASH.


Effect of Fertilizers upon the Production of Sugar in Sorghum.
Many experiments have been made with a view to the determination of this question. A limited number of these being taken, conclusions apparently well established would follow from such limited examina-
tion. The result, however, of all the experiments, including 34 analyses of the ash of juices from sorghum grown upon plats differently fertilized, leares the matter wholly undecided.

In the literature of sorghum respecting fertilizers very much may be found, as in that upon sugar-cane and beets, which appears to bo well established, at least it is with great coufidence asserted; but it is very doubtful whether any conclusion as to the effect of one or another fertilizer upon a sugar producing crop rests upon data in. volving over $3 t$ analyses of ash-and, as has been said, even this number fails to prove any thing as to the effect of various fertilizers upon sorghum.

The following report upon experiments in the use of fertilizers upon sorghum, in 1881, is made by Prof. George H. Cook, Director of the New Jersey Experiment Station, at New Brunswick, N. J.:

For the study of the effect of fertilizers, sixteen adjoining plats, of one-tenth acre each, were measured off, fertilized as stated in the table, and planted May 23 rd , 1881, with Early Amber seed. The cane was doubtless injured by the unusually severe drought: it was noticeable, however, that it suffered much less from this than corn planted on neighboring field. It was harvested on the first of October.

For samples to represent each plat twentr arerage canes were cut from ten different rows, immediately weighed, and after ther had been stripped and topped, again weighed and passed singly between the rollers of a hears cane mill. The juice from each lot of twenty cane, after it had been carefully mixed, was used for the analysis. The determinations of cane sugar were made by means of the polariscope, using solutions clarified with basic lead acetate and 50 per cent absolute alcohol.

The plan of the experiment was, to ascertain the effect of each of the fertilizing material applied singly and in combination on the production of sugarto compare the effect of muriate of potash with that of sulphate of potashand to determine whether by increasing the amount of phosphoric acid used per acre, an advantage would be gained. The action of the fertilizers is best studied in the table under the heading, pounds of extractable sugar per ton of cane and per acre. It was expected that phosphoric acid would materially hasten the maturity of the cane; it appears to have exercised no decided influence in this respect. It caused, however, an increase of 250 pounds, or nearly 30 per cent, of sugar over that yielded by plat No. 15.

Muriate of potash used alone increases the gross weight of stalks very much more than sulphate of potash; it increases too the yield of sugar per acre. It is a fact, however, of especial importance to the manufacturer, that the yield per ton is 20 per cent greater from the plat No. 12, on which the sulphate was used, than from the muriate plat No. 4. Muriates, too, if taken into the sorghum juice, can not be removed by the process of manufacture now used, and interfere seriously with the crystallization of sugar.

As has been well known for many years past，crude barn－yard manure must not be used directly on sugar producing plants．Plat No． 11 draws attention once more to the fact．No noticeable increase in the amount of sugar was caused by it；but a point of much greater importance，is the positive statement of experienced men that sugar will not crystallize from syrup of canes which have been fertilized with it．A heavy dressing on corn land loses its injuious qualities in the course of a year，and sorghum following in rotation is benefited by it．

The expression＂extractable sugar＂has been used in this table to indicate that a portion only of the total amount of sugar has been extracted by the mill； the bagasse or crushed cane，when it is burned under the boilers or thrown on the compost heap，still contains one－third of the sugur produced by the plant． If the profits of the business are so large that manufacturers can content them－ selves with two－thirds of the sugar，farmers should endeavor to turn this bagasse into food for sheep，by the process of ensilage．After a struggle which has now lasted more than twenty－five years，sorghum to day does not occupy its true position among sugar producing plants．Its advocates justly claim that this is due to our lack of information，not only in regard to the maufacture of sugar from it，but also in respect to its proper cultivation．

|  |  |  |  | 150 pounds potassium chloride． |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 己 } \\ & \text { 己 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{20}$巳゙ㄴ 들 ＂ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of fertilizers per acre．．．． | \＄0 00 | \＄610 | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 3.30$ | \＄13 60 | $\$ 000$ | $\$ 3.50$ | \＄11．00 |
| Pounds of sorghum per acre．．． | 11，515 | 13,365 | 14，8：0 | 16，000 | 14，410 | 11，170 | 11，640 | 12，390 |
| Pounds of stripped and topped cane per acre．． | 8，406 | 9，890 | 11，263 | 12， 160 | 10，830 | 8，378 | 8，846 | 9，293 |
| Percent of juice extracted from stripped and topped cane． | 69.6 | $67.0$ | $66.4$ | 68.0 | 66.8 | 64.2 | 65.1 | 64.3 |
| Pounds of juice extracted per aere． | 5，8．71 | 6，626 | 7.479 | 8，269 | 7，234 | 5，379 | 5，759 | 5，975 |
| Per cent of sugar in juice．．．．．． | 9.70 | 9.43 | 9.00 | 9.27 | 9.68 | － 9.91 | 10.51 | 11.68 |
| Pounds of extractable sugar per acre． | 568 | 625 | 673 | 767 | 700 | 535 | 605 | 696 |
| Pounds of sugar extracted per ton of cane． | 135 | 126 | 120 | 126 | 129 | 128 | 137 | 150 |
| Pounds of clean seed per acre．． | 1，020 | 1，351 | 1，298 | 1，246 | 1，344 | 1，132 | 1，038 | 1，067 |

[^4]|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 里 | 果 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of fertilizers per acre：．．．． | \＄15 10 | \＄1 60 | 810 c0 | $8{ }^{8} 5$ | \＄12 | 314 （0）${ }^{\prime}$ | \＄20 10 | 225 20 |
| Pounds of sorghum peracre | 12500 | 1 －－is） | L－365 | 11.60 | 11，650 | 12．50） | 13，260 | 14，0630 |
| Pounds of stripped and topped | 9，9Н6 | 9，510 | 9，205 |  | 8， 534 | 9,50 ！ | 9，512 | 10，943 |
| Per cent of juice extracted from stripped and topped cane． | 67.3 |  |  | CS 2 | 69.0 | 653 |  | 5.8 |
| Pounds or juice extracted per | 6.694 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61．5 |
| Per cent of sugar in juice．．．． | 6.694 11.45 |  |  |  | 6,100 9.73 | 6.497 9.73 | 6.000 9.4 | 7.913 1201 |
| Pounds of extractablesugar per acre |  |  | 5ㄴ） | 693 |  |  |  | \＄21 |
| Pounds of sugar extracted per ton of cane | 154 |  |  |  |  | 133） | 1331 | 165 |
| Pounds of clean seed per acre．． | 1，3025 |  |  | 1，26 | 1，223 | 1，133） | 1，342 | 1，273 |

Professor Magnus Swenson，of the Cniversity of Wisconsin，at Madis n，gires the fullowing as the result of his experiments with fer－ tilizers in 1882.
One piot of ote and a quarter acres，was used for experiments with fertiiizers．
Each kind of fertilizer was put on a plot of cane of one－tmentieth of an acre， and these plots were separated from each other br guard rows，where no fer－ tilizer was used．Each lot was cut and brought to the mill separately，and a sample from the defecated juice was taken for analysis．
The following table gives the results：

| Fertilizers．＊ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Sitrozen } \\ \text { on plat. } \end{array}\right.$ | Cane <br> sugar． | Glucose． | Strinped stalks． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No．1．No manure | Poundz． | Per cent． <br> 1091 | Per cent． <br>  | Pounds. |
| No．2－2－39 pounds barri－tard |  | 1019 | 2.7 | 84 |
| No．S．Vitrogen misture | 1.2 | 10.50 | 2.6 | 790 |
| No．4．Superphosphate（ 15 pounds）．． |  | 10． 34 | 2 9 | 762 |
| No．5．Chlotije of potassium－：prumds． |  | 123 | 2 is | 919 |
| So．6．Yivrate of sodium iníz pounds）？ | 12 | 107 | 2.55 | 7.5 |
|  | 12 | 1017 | 27 | 550 |
| So．S．Superphosphate（15 pounds） |  | 10.53 | 2.89 | $\square$ |
| No．9．Barn－sardmanure ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 1087 | 255 | 575 |
| N0．10．Nio manure ．．．．．．．．．．．． |  | 11.13 |  | 615 |
| No．11．Mised minerals and nitrogen ．．．．．．．．． | 1.2 | 11.31 | 2.85 | $\pm 7$ |
| N゙o．1＊Mixed mineralsand two－thirds nitrosen． | 5 | $10-3$ |  | 575 |
| No．13．Mixed minerals and one－third nitrogen． | t | 10 ＋1 | 2.94 | 543 |
| No． 14 ．Mixed minerals and one－sixth nitrogen．． | 2 | 10.10 | $2 \times$ | 506） |
| So．15．Mixed mineralsandone－twelfthuitrogen | 1 | 1159 | $\cdots$ | 515 |
| So．15．Mised mimerals and no nitrogen．．．．．．．．．． |  | 10.45 | 25 | 330 |

＊The＂nitrocen mixture＂consisted of sodium nitrate，ammonium sulphate，and dried blood in equal parts．

The "superphosphate" contained about 16 per cent of phosphoric acid.

The "chloride of potassium" contained about 50 per cent of potash.
The " mixed minerals" was composed of two-thirds of superphosphate, and one-third chloride of potassium.

The amount applied to one-twentieth of an acre, besides the nitrogen mixture in the experiments Nos. 11 to 15 inclusive, was the same amount used in experiment No. 8, viz.: Superphosphate 15 pounds, and chloride of potassium $7 \frac{1}{3}$ pounds.

The yield of stripped stalks upon the two plats with no manure, Nos. 1 and 10 , differs as widely as any of the others, one being 828 and the other 616 pounds, while the sucrose and glucose in the two are practically alike in quantity. Also Nos. 3 and 11 give 795 and $47^{\circ} 2$ pounds of stripped stalks respectively, though both received the same amount of nitrogen. This result by itself, would make it appear that the " mixed minerals" upon plat 11 had been injurious; but, in No. 8, the yield was about the same as in No. 3.

Again, in contrasting Nos. 9 and 10, we have an apparent falling off in weight of stalks (a surprising result), while the content of sugar is practically unchanged. It will be seen that Professor Cook obtained, by the use of barn yard manure, 9,405 pounds of stripped stalks, while the average of his two no manure plats gave only 8,392 pounds, and the percentage of sugar in the juice was practically the same in both, viz.: 9.57 per cent with barn-yard mauure, and 9.82 per cent without manure.

As to the effects of barn-yard manure, Professors Weber and Scovell, of Illinois Industrial University, report as follows:

To ascertain the effect of manure, a field was selected which had been used as a barn-yard for several years. A part of the cane was planted directly on the rotten manure pile. An analysis was made of a sample taken from this part of the field, as well as of a part away from the manure pile. The seed in each case was in the "hardening dough." The following is the result of the analysis:

> Manured-Sp. gr. 1.063. Grape sugar 2.6.5. Cane sugar 10.89.
> Unmaured-Sp.gr, 1.074. Grape sugar 2.65. Cane sugar 13.37.

The lower specific gravity of the juice from the plat so highly manured, is what might be expected from a rank growth under such circumstances, and the longer time necessary to its complete maturity. It would have been interesting to have had the analysis of this cane a month later, to have seen whether it would not have shown an increase in specific gravity and sugar content.

At least the experience as recorded, is not against the use of barnrard manure, as a fertilizer for sorghum.

It appears from these results, that, although this question of the fertilizers is one of great practical importance, the data attained by experiments thus far, is by no means sufficient to enable one to draw reliable conclusions.

It is especially important that we guard against the tendencr to hasty conclusions, which is the greater if our limited data apparently confirms our preconceired notions.

## COMPOSITION OF SOIL AS AFFECTING SORGHUM.

The character and compsition of the suils best adapted to the cultiration of sorghum for sugar production, as also the proper method of fertilization necessary for the best results, are obviously matters of fundamental importauce.

At present our knowledge is very limited, and the number of carefully ascertained facts so small, as hardly to warraut more than conjecture.

In many respects, the habits of the sorghums, and their demands upon climate and suil, are almost identical rith those of the several varieties of maize; and yet there appear to be. in certain respects, marked differences. It is known that, when fairly established, the sorghums, as a class, are capable of sustaiuing a period of drought which would prove fatal to maize ; and nut only this, but that such drought and the accompanying high temperature, results in the development of an unusual amount of sugar in the plant.- See Amnual Report Department of Agriculture, 1881-82, page 456.)

It will be seen, by consulting the results of our experiments as to the effects of fertilizers upon the sugar content and ash in the juices of the several sorghums (see page 172 ) that, although'a very large number of determinations were made, the arerage result of all was such as to leave the matter wholly unsettled.

To those who may desire to aid in these and similar investigations, a careful study of these results above referred to, may be helpful as showing the extreme danger of hasty generalizations; for any half lozen of the analytical results, selected at rundom and considered alone, would, in most cases, warrant a conclusion, more or less decided, which the increase of testimony renders less and less probatle.

The results of the past year, 1882, at Rio Grande, N. J. (where ther produced 320,000 pounds of sugar, and where, upon fields identical in character there was great variation in the amount of crop produced),
were such as to awaken great interest in these questions of soils and fertilization. Besides, the juices of the sorghums there grown proved to be remarkably pure, comparing well even with the best sugar-cane juice. Therefore, average specimens of the soils from the several fields were obtained, and a record of the yield of crop, and the fertilizers applied to each, was also secured from the president of the Sorghim Sugar Company, George C. Potts, Esq., of Philadelphia, Pa.

Rio Grande is a small hamlet some 6 miles north of Cape May, N. J., in latitude $39^{\circ}$ north, and longitude nearly $2^{\circ}$ east from Washington. It is situated upon a sandy peuinsula, about 5 miles in breath, with the Atlantic upon the east, and separated from the main-land by the Delaware Bay, at this point about 20 miles wide. Average samples of soil from six fields were selected for analysis, viz :
A. Harne farm.-This field received an application of 300 pounds of Peruvian guano per acre. The average yield of stalks was $3 \frac{1}{2}$ tons per acre
B. Richwine farm.-This farm also had 300 pounds Peruvian guano per acre. The average yield was $5 \frac{1}{2}$ tons of stalks per acre.
C. Hand farm.-This field received an application of 300 pounds of Peruvian guano and 30 bushels of lime per acre. The average yield was $7 \frac{1}{2}$ tons of stalks per acre.
D. Neafie farm.-This field received same amount of guano and lime as C. Average yield per acre, 8 tons stalks.
E. Uriah Creese farm. -Same amount of guano and lime as C and D. Average yield per acre, 15 tons stalks.
F. Bennett farm.-Same anount of guano and lime as C, D, and E. Average yield per acre, 17 tons stalks.

From the above results, it will be seen that the application of the expensive fertilizer, Peruvian guano, was without any apparent benefit, while the application' of lime seems to have been beneficial, although it is to be regretted that we have not the data for comparing the yield of these fields with and without the application of fertilizers.

With the exception, only, that the amount of pebbles of an appreciable size, one-twentieth to one-quarter inch in diameter, was more in some of the samples than in others, there was to the eye no noticeable difference in the character of the soil.

The samples were passed through sieves of $20,30,40,50,60,70,80$, 90 meshes to the inch, and the following results obtained: The column marked residue, consisted of pebbles which would not pass through a sieve of twenty meshes to the inch, or rather of one-twentieth inch diameter. The column marked 20 was that portion which, passing meshes of one-twentieth inch, would not pass those of one-thirtieth, etc.0

Besides these sir samples of soil from Rio Grande, N. J., analrses hare been wade of several other soils, upon which sorghum was grown the past year, as folloms:
G. Grounds of the Department of Agriculture.-The recent treatment of this plat, is given in the annual reports of the past three rears. The sample for analysis was taken November, 1882.
H. Sit No. 1.-Geat Bend, Kus.-This soil has been cultivated for sis rears. The rield was $10 \frac{1}{2}$ tons stalks per acre. No fertilizer used.
I. Sil Mo. 2.-Great Bend. Fas.-This soil was plowed for the first time. The rield peracre wass tous of stalks. No fertilizers were used.
J. Soil from Rising City, Nebr., upon which 18 tons per acre of sugar beets were grown, which gave, on analrsis, an arerage of 12.27 per cent of sugar in the juice.
K. Svitiom Hutchinsm, Kus,-Yield of sorghum, 6 tons stalks per acre.
L. Soil from Sterling, Kaz.-Under cultivation for three years in cereal crops. A hlack sandy loam. Arerage viell per acre. $\bar{i}$ tons stalks.
M. Soil from Sterling, has.-A black, sandy loam. Under cultivation for seven rears wioh crops of cereals. Crop very promising, but destrored br hail.

న. Sit itom Storling. Fus-Black, sandy luam. Under cultivation for fire rears in cereal crops. Arerage rield per acre, 12 tons uf stalks.
O. Soil from Sterling, Kas.-A strictly sandy soil, in cereals for five rears. Average yield per acre, 10 tons of stalks

PER CENT OF SOILS PASSED THROLGH SIETES.

|  | Residue. | 20. | 30. | 40. | 50. | 60. | 70. | So. | 90. | Total. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | $\because \leqslant$ | 42 | 59 | 88 | 2.5 | 30 | 43 | 39 | $44 \leqslant$ | 109 |
| B. | 2) 7 | 3.1 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 6.0 | $11 \sim$ | 6.4 | 209 | 100 |
| C | 30 | 67 | 17. | 185 | 85 | 8 S | 114 | 10 है | 16.6 | 100 |
| D | 5.7 | 7-2 | 10.7 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 1:3 | 17.2 | 100 |
| E | 8.2 | 6.2 | 12. | 5.7 | 75 | 69 | 9.5 | 83 | 32.2 | 100 |
| F | 55 | 6.9 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 97 | 8.0 | 20.6 | 100 |
| G | 5.5 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 36 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 55.6 | 100 |
| H. | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 70.1 | 100 |
| I | -3 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 7 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 85.9 | 100 |
| J | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | . 6 | 11 | 1.5 | 95.8 | 100 |
| K | 7 | . 3 | - | 1.0 | 7 | . 9 | 2.1 | $\because 0$ | 91.6 | 100 |
| L | 1.5 | 3.0 | 74 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 4.15 | $\pm 6$ | 64.8 | 100 |
| M | 4.9 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 74.9 | 100 |
| $\underline{N}$ | 1.0 | . 9 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 96 | 12. | 54.1 | 100 |
|  | . 9 | 1.6 |  | 8.6 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 14. $\overline{6}$ | 29.5 | 100 |

The mechanical condition of a soil determines often its degree of ferilitr, quite as much as chemical composition. This state of extreme comminution is said to account for the great fertility of the tchernozème or black soil of Southern Russia, which, upou chemical
analysis, does not appear any better than ordinary soils, and yet its productiveness is such that, as has been said of it:
The Russian proverb, "One can not distinguish the generous from the rich," may be most appropriately applied to the tchernozeme. It appears rich because it is generous.

It is commonly known, that the mineral matter, which composes the larger part of every good soil, has been derived originally from rocks which, during comparatively recent periods, geologically speaking, have been disintegrated by one agency or another, and that those supplies of mineral food necessary to the plant, in any soil, must have previously existed in the rocks from which this soil was produced.

But those agencies, as frost, water, attrition, the carbonic acid and oxygen of the air, which have in the past reduced these rock masses to every degree of fineness, from small pebbles to impalpable powder, are still operative ; and gradually, year by year, day by day, new supplies of mineral food are being unlocked from these rock fragments and made available to the plant. Besides, it will be seen, that, as this pulverization goes on, the surface exposed to the action of these agencies, above mentioned, increases in geometric ratio, and so, in consequence, the disintegration becomes proportionately the more rapid.

To illustrate: Suppose a block of granite 1 foot square to be broken into cubes of 6 inches square, there would be obviously 8 cubes produced, and the surface exposed in the first case, being 6 square feet, would be doubled. Let this process be repeated, and the surface becomes 24 feet square. Continue this operation for only 25 times, and our block of granite 1 foot square, with 6 square feet of surface, becomes resolved into minute fragments of quartz, feldspar, and mica, about one three-millionth of an inch in diameter, and presenting an aggregate surface of over 7 square miles; and yet there is no reason to suppose that the comminution ceases at such limits; indeed, there is every reason to believe that the plant is incapable of assimilating food which is not absolutely in the molecular condition.

The calculations of Sir William Thompson show the size of the molecule to be, at his largest estimate, only one hundredth the diameter, or one millionth the bulk, of the fragments to which we have reduced our block of granite in the above illustration.

The importance, then, of assisting these agencies by good tillage is obvious; indeed, an agriculturist, of long experience and distinguished success, has declared that he would prefer to have an ordinary field well plowed without manure, than poorly plowed with it.

So far as the partial mechanical analysis goes, it quite fails to throw any light upon the cause of the very wide difference in the crops grown upon the Rio Grande soils.

For example, the soils, C, D, F, are very much alike, and yet their respective yields per acre in tons of stalks were $7 \frac{1}{2}, 8$, and 17 . It is obrious that much of this might have been due to difference in cultivation, but it does not appear that there was practically any difference in this respect.

## Chemical Composition of the Soils.

The following table shows the results of the chemical analysis of the several soils. The absence of other than mere traces of chlorine in the Rio Grande suils is remarkable, in view of the fact that these fields were lying within a few hundred yards of the ocean. It is possible that the leary fall rains had leached such compounds below the surface, from which alone the samples were taken for analysis. It was intevded to make still further examination of the subsoils of these several fields; for it may be that sorghum, being, through its root system, a deep feeder, will account for good crops of cane upon land Which failed to grow good crops of other kinds:


For purpose of comparison, analyses are given of two sugar-caue soils, from a pamphlet on the agricultual chemistry of the sugar-cane, by Dr. T. L. Phipson.

A is soil from Jamaica, under cane for the first time.
B is soil from Demerara, which has been steadily under cane for 15 years.

C is soil from the Philippines, which grows a superior quantity and quality of sugar-cane-analysis by R. H. Harland.


Dr. Phipson calls attention to the greater amount of organic matter, nitrogen, lime, and phosphoric acid in A, and to the important fact, that the quantity of lime (.08) in B is far below that of the magnesia (.25). This he regards as a very bad sign in cane soil. He deduces from the results of a numerous series of analyses made by him, that the degree of exhaustion which a cane soil has suffered may be determined by comparing the relative amounts of lime and magnesia present in them.

In support of this view, he gives analyses of four samples of cane soils from Guiana, A and B having been cultivated from ten to fifteen years, and C and D having been cultivated over sixty years:

|  |  | A. | B. | C. | D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lime.. | Per cent. | 44 | 64 | . 11 | 40 |
| Marnesia. |  | . 32 | . 50 | . 36 | 51 |

[^5]In rier of the abore facts, it is not improbable that a similar explanation will suffice for the remarkable results obtained at Rio Grande, N. J.

In the following table, the crop of stalks produced, with the per cents of lime and magnesia in the sereral soils, is giren, for purpose of comparison with ratio of lime to magnesia.

|  | Tous stallas. | Per cent lime. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Per cent mas- } \\ \text { nesia. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Ratio lime to magnesia. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 83 | . 315 | . 380 | 100 | 124 |
| B | $5{ }^{2}$ | . 305 | .200 | 100 | 95 |
| C. | 7 |  | . 147 | 100 | 63 |
| D. | 8 | . 35 | . 155 | 100 | 49 |
| E. | 15 | -460 | . 150 | 100 | 39 |
| F. | 17 | . 505 | . 190 | 100 | 35 |

It will be remembered, that, while each of the abore soils had receired an application of 301 pounds of Perusian guano per acre, the soils C. D, E. and F. hal, in addition, receivel 30 bushels of lime per acre. It is also very interesting to observe that, as the relative amount of the magnesia compared with lime in the abore sils fell off the crop of cane increased.

For purposes of comparison, the tons of stalks produced per acre, with the per cents of the lime and magnesia. and their ratio. is giren for the other soils analyzed :

|  | Tons staiks. | Lime. | Magnesia. | Ratio lime tomagnesia. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. | 15 | S60 | . 367 | 100 | 43 |
| ${ }_{0}$ | $1{ }^{102 / 3}$ | 500 | -250 | ${ }_{100}^{100}$ | 4 |
| 1 | $\stackrel{7}{6}$ | \%6i0 | - | 100 100 | $\stackrel{59}{59}$ |
| N | 12 | 150 | Sv0 | 100 | 5\% |
| K.. | 6 | 56.5 | 59.5 | 100 | 10.5 |

In the abore list, the order of arrangement is according to the ratio of lime to magnesia, and it will be seen that the crop from soil N is the ouly one which is fairly exceptioual to the conclusions laid down by Dr. Phipson in his examination of sugar-cane soils. The ratios of L and I are almost identical, and there is but a ton difference in the rield per acre; also, the actual amount of lime present in I is greater than that in L.

At the several conventions of sorghum growers, the discussion of the soil best suited to the growth of sorghum has been general: but the
result shows that, at present, our knowledge is too limited to enable us to speak with any positive assurance. It has been urged, that new land was better than old, on account of the greater ease of cultivation, and greater freedom from weeds; but in the main, at present, the quality of soil best adapted to the cultivation of sorghum, is far more a matter of opinion than established by fact.

A general opinion prevails, that a sandy upland soil, well drained, and not freshly manured, is the best.
Professors Weber and Scovell, of the Illinois Industrial University, in the report of their experiments with sorghum, give the following results:

Effects of Soils.-The following analyses were made to study the effect of different varieties of soil upon the production of sugar in sorghum. But, as other circumstances (as, locality from which seed was obtained, time of planting, and manner of cultivation) may affect the amount of sugar, many more investigations would have to he made before definite conclusions could be reached. The table, however, shows that sorghum can be grown successfully on all varieties of soil specified:

TABLE SHOWING THE EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT SOILS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUGAR IN SORGHUM.


## CHAPTER VII.

(a.) Derelopment of sucrose and glucose in sorghum.
(b.) Average results of analyses of different varieties of sorghom.
(c.) Comparative value of different parts of the stalk.
(d.) General analyses of sorghum juices.
(e.) Chemical composition of sorghum.

## DEVELOPMENT OF SUCROSE AND GLECOSE IN SORGHUM.

For the purpose of determining the condition of the sorghum plant, in the several stages of its existence, there were planted upon the grounds of the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, in the spring of 1879 , four varieties of srghum, viz., Early Amber, White Liberian, Liberian, and Honduras.

On the 18th of July, when the plants had attained such development that their panicles or seed heals were just beginning to appear at the top, one or two stalks were selected of each variety, the juice expressed and analysed; and this examination was continued, at intervals of a few days, during the entire season, and after severe frosts. The detailed results of these analyses will be found on page 189. The following chart graphically represents the results of the analyses. The line which represents the "Average sucrose in sugar-cane," is the arerage per cent found in triplicate analyses of the three principal varieties of sugar-cane grown in Louisiana. These canes were analyzed by the same methods used in the analyses of the sorghum juices.

The line representing the arerage per cent of sucrose in sugar beets, is from the results of analyses of thirteen specimens of sugar beets grown upon the Agricultural College farm, Amherst, Mass., and analyzed by Professor Goessmann (vide Massachusetts Agricultural Report, 1870-'71).

It will be observed how closely the Early Amber and the Liberian correspond in their development, heing almost identical, and yet being clearly distinct varieties. While these two varieties attain a content of sugar in their juices equal to the arerage in juice of the sugar-cane by the middle of August, the Liberian does not reach this comition until the last of September, and the Honduras not until the middle of October.

After having attained approximately the maximum content of sugar, this condition is maintained for a long period, affording ample time to work up the crop.

It is doubtless true, that had the season been longer, it would have been found that the Liberian and Honduras, having once attained this full devolopment of sugar, would also have retained it; but, as is seen by the chart, the heavy frosts and subsequent warm weather which happened about October 24th, caused a rapid diminution of sucruse in each variety, and a corresponding increase in glucose.

The converse of what is found true of the sucrose is clearly shown as to the development of the glucose, and it is seen that a minimum quantity once attained is continued a long time, and that this minimum is quite as low as the average amount found present in the sugar-canes.

It was most unfortunate, as tending to retard the development of the sorghum sugar industry, that Dr. C. A. Goessmann, through his examination of canes, which, through long keeping after being cut up had suffered an inversion of their sugar, should have concluded his report, made in 1879, on the Early Amber cane, in these words: "The presence of a large amount of grape sugar in all the later stages of the Early Amber, as well as of all other varieties of this species, is a serious feature in the composition of the juice, impairing greatly the chances for a copious separation of the cane sugar by simple modes of treatment." This sweeping conclusion is quite at variance with the results which have just been recorded, and does not appear to be justified by the facts upon which it is based, as will be seen upon page 129.

It is obvious that the results depicted upon the chart are not to be taken as entirely exact, but the general fact represented is, without doubt, true, and with a still larger number of observations, the approach to true curves would be found nearer than here represented.

An average of all the examinations made of these four sorghums, during these periods when they were suitable for cutting, gives the following results:

Early Amber, from Angust 13th to October 29th inclusive, 15 analyses, extending over 78 days, 14.6 per cent sucrose.

White Liberian, from August 13th to October 29th inclusive, 13 analyses, extending oyer 78 days, 13.8 per cent sucrose.

Liberian, from September 13 th to October 29 th inclusive, 7 analyses, extending over 46 days, 13.8 per cent sucrose.

Honduras, from Octuber 14th to October 29th inclusive, 3 analyses, extending over 16 days, 34.6 per cent sucrose.

It will be seen, that, in the four varieties grown, it was most fortunate to have had those differing so widely from each other in nearly every respect, except only the all-important one, their practical equality in their content of sugar after they had reached maturity.

When each variety had quite matured, the greater portion of each was taken, aud samples of excellent sugar was made without trouble, and in considerable quantity, from each.
'HAX อุท!


After the encouraging results of 1879 , which have just been recorded, the examination of many other varieties of sorghum and maize was continued at the Department of Agriculture in 1880, 1881, and 1882, including, in all, the examination of about 100 varieties of sorghum and 20 varieties of maize, for the purpose of determining the nature of certain changes going on during the life of the plant, and especially to determine that period when, in each variety, the content of sugar was at its maximum, as, also, to learn the length of time during which this practical maximum was retained.
To this end, the examinations were, in some instances, begun so soon as a stem had fairly formed in the plant, in order to determine how early in its life the presence of sugar could be detected; and, in all cases, the examinations were begun long before even the panicle had appeared, and before any one would think to work the crop for either sugar or syrup. These examinations were continued almost daily with each variety, till long after frosts, and the results of each variety were tabulated, and may be found in the Annual Reports of the Department of Agriculture for those years.

The interest and value which these results have, in giving, as they do, in a condensed form, the life history of these plants, is such that the detailed examinations of three varieties is here given.

The following tables show the results of the analyses of three varieties of sorghum stalks, made during the season of 1881, at Washington, D. C.-the date of each analysis, the dimensions and weight of the stalk, the percentage of juice obtained from the stalk and the specific gravity of the juice, the per cent of sucrose, glucose, and of the solids not sucrose nor glucose present in the juice. In addition, there is given the percentage of sucrose present, as determined by the polariscope, which will be found to correspond closely with the percentages of sucrose as determined by analysis.

An examination of these tables of analyses reveals the following facts: In the earlier stages in the growth of each plant, the amount of crystallizable sugar (sucrose) is small; but, as the plant matures, the sucrose rapidly increases until it equals from 12 to 16 per cent of the juice. The "solids not sugar" in the juice also increase from the first, but very much less rapidly than does the crystallizable sugar; at the same time, the uncrystallizable sugar (glucose) steadily diminishes, so that the purity of the juice (shown in the column marked "available sugar", increases constantly until the cane is ready to be worked.

These facts, and the inferences to be drawn from them, will be more fully discussed in connection with the general averages deduced from these figures. See page 189.

EARLT AMBER．

|  |  |  | 淢 |  |  | 萻 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stage． |  | Feet． | Inch． |  | Lb． | Pr．ct． |  | Pr．ct | Pr．c | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． |  |
| June ${ }^{-}$ |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 25 on！ | 1014 | 12 |  | 1.91 | －3．09 |  |
| July 5 |  | 6 | 2.8 |  | 3.5 |  | －9， 41 | $1 \begin{array}{ll}1 & 017 \\ 1 & 017\end{array}$ | $\cdots 21$ |  |  |  |  |
| July 16 |  |  | 5. |  |  |  | －3． 6 | 102 | 315 | 1.15 |  | －4．65 |  |
| July 16 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  |  | 50 |  | $\cdots$ | 1．2－ | 14.5 | －2． 85 |  |
| July 14 |  | 1 | 5.5 |  | 1.4 | 1 | cis， | 1025 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 410 | 2 |  |
| July 15 |  | 1 | 75 |  | 1.3 | （1） | liti ${ }^{\text {ctil }}$ | 102 | 280 | 1．80 | 350 | －5． 6 |  |
| July 15. |  |  | 8．5 |  | 1.9 | 11 | （i．）M1 | 1 に－ | 261 | 4 | 2 n | －4．42 |  |
| July 20 |  |  | 7.5 |  | 1.1 | ？ | （i） 2 | 1047 | 3.17 | 3.10 | 242 | －2．49 |  |
| July 23 |  | 1 | 8 |  | ． 96 |  | （i） 7 | 1041 | 354 | 4． 23 | 36 | －2．34 |  |
| July |  |  | 77 |  | 1.12 |  | （i）． s ］ | 1 ט：\％ | 3．7 | $35 \%$ | 327 | －：3． 31 |  |
| July 27 |  | 1 | 74 |  | 1.1 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}-6$ | 1 12U | $\cdots$ | 7.3 | － 4 | 2.18 |  |
| July 25 |  |  | 65 |  | 1.0 | 8 | $6: 48$ | 1045 | － 5 | 7.12 | 2.4 | 1.83 | 7.25 |
| July |  |  | 75 |  | 1.3 | 14 | （6） | 10.3 | $\because 84$ | 8.41 | 1 | 3.6 | 716 |
| July ：9 | 10 | $\because$ | 7.2 |  | 2.0 | $1=$ | cou 0 | 1 Uis | ${ }_{3}{ }^{0}$ | 9.02 | 27 | 3.25 | 9.15 |
| July 29 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.05 | $\cdots$ | 9．49 | 2 － | $\therefore$ a | $9 \because 1$ |
| Aug． 1 | 11. | $\because$ | $\varepsilon$ |  | 1.7 | 15 | Of cis | 1 （int | 24 | $12 \times 1$ | $\cdots$ | 6.91 | $11: 9$ |
| Aug． 8 | 12 |  |  |  | 1.1 | 9. | 6：3 ：\％ | 100 | $1 \times 6$ | 129 | ． 01 | 6.06 | 12 z |
| Aug． 13 | 13 | 1 | $\underline{8}$ |  | 1.0 | 8 | 6． 5.51 | $10: 1$ |  | 14.27 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 4.40 | 1359 |
| Ally． 15 | 14 |  | 78 |  | 1.3 | 10 | 6．3． | 1 （1） | 15 | 14．53， | $30 \%$ | 9.37 |  |
| Aug．${ }^{2} 4$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\therefore 0$ |  | 1.9 | 14 | 23 10， | 1 （心） | 98 | 16.45 | $\because 91$ | 12.58 |  |
| Aug．${ }^{0}$ | 15 | 1 | 74 |  | 1.4 | 9 | 6is 23 | 1 （150） | 76 | 15.48 | $\therefore$（4） | 11.61 |  |
| Ang． 27 | 1.7 |  | 94 |  | 1.4 |  | Fir 01 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1.11 | 16．13 | ？ 7.42 |  |  |
| Aug． 31 | 16 | 2 | 83 |  | 1.7 | $1:$ |  | $1{ }^{1019}$ | 119 | $15.4:$ | $\therefore 2$ | $14{ }^{4}$ |  |
| Sept． 3 |  |  | 7 |  | 1.4 | 10 | 32 | $\left.1{ }^{1} \times 1\right)$ | s0 | 15.41 | ？tisul |  |  |
| Sept．${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | 8.5 |  | 29 | $\because 1$ | 54 14 14 4 | 1 Us： | 80 | 18 | 3110 | 14.43 | 3169 |
| Sept． 10 | 1. | $\because$ | 9. |  | $\because 1$ | I 6 | 44.91 | 1 いい | $1 \geqslant 6$ |  | 413 | 12． 14 |  |
| Sept． 17 | 1s | 1 | 9. |  | 1.1 | － | 43 | 1 1＇心 | v | 17.09 | $3{ }^{3} \mathrm{t} 4$ | 12.59 |  |
| Oct．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | After 15 | 1. | 9 （1） |  | 1.3 | A | 32 | 10 N | $5 \cdot$ | 15.24 | 2 －i， | 11.61 |  |
| Oct． 15 | ．．do | － | 80 |  | 1.7 | $1 .:$ | 579 | $10=$ | 1.16 | 14．．23 | 4 tin | S． 56 |  |
| Oet． 2 | ．．do | 1 | 73 |  | 1.1 | 1. | 56 | 10.6 | 127 | 14.23 | 354 | 9.96 | 1701 |
| Oct． 27 | ．．do | 1 | 7.5 |  | 1.1 | s | 5ts 90 | 1 us： | 92 | 15．76 | $41 \times$ | 9.66 |  |
| Oct．${ }^{2}$ | ．．do | $\square$ | 80 |  | $2 \cdot 2$ | 15 | 行 | $10=$ | $\triangle 9$ | 12.65 | $\square$ | 5.99 | $14 \%$ |
| Nor． 2 | ．．do | 2 | 83 |  | 1.9 | 1 ： | 61.6 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 13.91 | 324 | 8.44 |  |
| Aos． | ．．do | 2 | $7:$ |  | 1.3 | 1.11 | 30 9is | 100 | 1.12 | 12.54 | 311 | 5.16 |  |
| Nov． | ．．do | － | 8.4 |  | 1.7 | $1 \because$ | 500.1 | 10 ati | 43 | 14.01 | 3.51 | 9.64 | 14 （13） |
| Nov． 9 | do | ， | 9 （1） |  | 1.1 | ． 4 | －4 $3:$ | 107 | 59 | 13．82 | 372 | 9.30 | 1397 |
| Nov． 12 | do | ， | 80 |  | 1.0 |  | 坔碞 | $10-4$ | 0 | $1+$（ti） | 3 － | 93 34 | 13 |
| Nov． 15 | ．．do | 1 | 7 |  | 1.0 | ， | 动 4 | $10:$ | （9．） | 14.27 | 280 | 11 12 | 1409 |
| Nov． 17. | ．．do | 1 | 5.7 |  | 1.1 | － | （3）， | 1 U－in | 140 | 1343 | 4.25 | 7.12 |  |

LINK'S HYBRID.

|  | Development. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { ED } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | O. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ | "感 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stage. |  | Feet. | Inch. | Lb. | $L b$. | Pr.ct. |  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | $t$. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. |
| July 8 |  | 1 | 5. |  | 1.5 | 1.1 | 70.69 | 1.017 | 1.47 | .98 | 3.10 | -3.64 |  |
| July 18 | 1 | 1 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 72.45 | 1.025 | 2.19 | 2.22 | 2.51 | -2.48 |  |
| July 19 | 2 | 1 | 7. | . 9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 66.00 | 1.030 | 2.13 | 3.04 | 1.18 | - . 27 |  |
| July 20 | 3 | 1 | 7.7 | . 8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 68.52 | 1.033 | 2.39 | 4.34 | 3.14 | -1.19 |  |
| July 27 | 4 | 1 | 9.0 | . 9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 65.19 | 1.047 | 2.39 | 7.46 | 1.86 | 3.21 | 7.17 |
| July 28 | 5 | 1 | 9.7 | . 8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 67.64 | 1.049 | 2.72 | 7.21 | 2.22 | 2.27 | 6.58 |
| July 29 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.047 | 2.84 | 7.05 | . 71 | 3.50 | 6.50 |
| July 30 | 6 | 1 | 9.7 | 9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 61.69 | 1.052 | 2.48 | 7.90 | 2.54 | 2.88 | 7.96 |
| Aug. 2 | 6 | 2 | 9.8 | 8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 65.29 | 1.062 | 2.15 | 10.66 | 3.06 | 5.45 |  |
| Aug. 5 | 7 | 1 | 9.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 9 | 63.72 | 1.052 | 2.61 | 8.01 | 3.87 | 1.53 | 7.69 |
| Aug. 10 | 8 | 1 | 9.7 | . 9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 67.50 | 1.064 | 2.70 | 11.25 | 1.55 | 700 | 10.65 |
| Aug. 15 | 9 | 1. | 11.1 | . 9 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 63.39 | 1.069 | 1.98 | 12.22 | 2.54 | 7.70 | 12.12 |
| Aug. 19 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.071 | 2.06 | 12.79 | 2.42 | 8.21 | 12.19 |
| Aug. 19 | 10 | 1 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 64.07 | 1.071 | 2.03 | 12.46 | 2.78 | 7.65 |  |
| Aug, 25 | 11 | 1 | 11.0 | . 9 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 64.04 | 1.082 | 1.69 | 15.73 | 2.54 | 11.50 | 15.14 |
| Aug. 25 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.082 | 1.69 | 15.87 | 2.64 | 1154 | 15.31 |
| Aug. 29 | 12 | , | 11.1 | . 9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 65.11 | - 1.082 | 1.38 | 15.58 | 3.51 | 1069 |  |
| Scpt. 1 | 13 | 1 | 10.5 | . 9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 63.20 | 1.082 | 1.58 | 16.41 | 2.43 | 12. 40 |  |
| Sept. 5 | 14 | 1 | 11.2 | . 9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 62.81 | 1.089 | 1.21 | 18.05 | 3.28 | 13.56 | 17.10 |
| Sept 5 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.058 | 1.55 | 17.20 | 3.67 | 11.98 |  |
| Sept. 8 | 15 | 1 | 11.1 | 9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 54.59 | 1.094 | . 66 | 18.86 | 3.33 | 14.87 |  |
| Sept. 12 | 16 | 1 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 59.56 | 1.086 | 1.03 | 17.03 | 2.56 | 13.44 |  |
| Scpt. 19 | 17 | 1 | 11.2 | . 8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 5.). 21 | 1.091 | . 34 | 18.28 | $\because 7.09$ | ? 10.85 |  |
| Oct. 7 | After 18 | 1 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 57.25 | 1.085 | 42 | 16.89 | 3.38 | 13.09 |  |
| Oct. 17 | ....do | 1 | 10.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 52.91 | 1.088 | 59 | 16.18 | 4.87 | 10.67 |  |
| Oct. 25 | . ...do | 1 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 58.56 | 1.080 | 42 | 15.32 | 3.32 | 11.58 | 14.75 |
| Oct. 28 | do | 1 | 11.5 | 9 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 56.83 | 1:085 | 49 | 16.22 | 4.81 | 10.92 |  |
| Oct. 31 | .do | 1 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.4 .78 | 1.074 | 44 | 13.77 | 5.43 | 7.90 | 13.69 |
| Nov. 2 | do | 1 | 9.0 | . 8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 59.75 | 1.079 | . 37 | 14.92 | 4.91 | 9.64 | 15.22 |
| Nov. 4 | do | 1 | 11.2 | . 7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 54.32 | 1.085 | 29 | 15.89 | 4.55 | 1105 |  |
| Nov. 7 | do | 1 | 11.0 | 9 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 58.93 | 1.074 | .39 | 13.11 | 5.12 | 760 |  |
| Nov. 10 | do | 1 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 55.7 f | 1.082 | . 21 | 15.36 | 4.30 | 10.85 | 15.17 |
| Nov. 12 | do | 1 | 10.4 | 9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 58.18 | 1.078 | . 47 | 12.15 | 5.27 | 6.41 |  |
| Nov. 15 | ....d | 1 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 61.68 | 1.079 | 37 | 15.14 | 3.35 | 11.40 | 15.38 |
| Nov. 17 | ....do | 1 | 10.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 59.74 | 1.082 | . 43 | 13.68 | 4.96 | 8.29 | 15.46 |

SEW TABIETT．－F W．STCMP．

| ミ. | 元 | Number of mialks． | 淢 | 芯 |  |  |  | 年 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | stage． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00 |  | 7 | － | P－ $\mathrm{c}^{\text {che }}$ | c |
| Juy 15 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 368 |  |  | 二－ |  |
| July 11 | 3 |  | 65 |  |  | 1.1 | 간 | 12 | 31. |  |  | $\square 11$ |  |
| Julie 12－ | 3 |  | 65 |  |  | 1. |  | 1 102 | 3 （ri） | 13 | 3 \％ | － |  |
| July is． | 4 |  | 57 | 1.1 |  | 1.0 | －3， | 1 是5 | 3. | 1 dis | 3 | －5 60 |  |
| July 13． | 5 | 1 |  | \＆ | $1: 3$ | 11 | 3 | 108 | 33.3 | 4 | 4 | － |  |
| July 15. | 6 |  | 85 | ＝ | 13 | 1.1 | $\because 2$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | $2 \%$ | － |  |
| July 19. |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 638 | $1{ }^{\text {cos}}$ | 3 c | $\bigcirc$ |  | ？－1． |  |
| July |  |  | S\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juiv | 9 | 1 | 9 if | 5 |  | 1. | － | 14 | 3 | 83 |  | －＝－5 |  |
| Jais 23 | 10 | 1 | 5. | ． | $1 \%$ | 1.1 | \％ | 1 4 | 311 | －－ | ！is | － |  |
| July 2 b ． | 10 ， | 1 | $5{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 12 | 71 | 1 （3） | $3-$ | － | 210 | －18 | \＆） |
| July 2 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  | 1.3 | T 6 | 1 （by | 3 | －51 | － | 1 （6）， | $4{ }^{1}$ |
| July | 10. | 1 | 53. |  | 3 | 1.1 | $\cdots$ | 1 wo | $\because 5$ | 930 | 2 2 | 364 | － 3 |
| July 2 ． | 10 | 1 | －-2 |  | 1. |  | It |  | $\div 2$ | －So | $\cdots$ | 1.05 |  |
| Aug． 5. | 11 | 1 | S |  | 1.4 | 1.2 | ¢ 4 | 10.3 | 1 Ef | If 34 | 534 | 95 |  |
| Aug． 10. | 12 |  | S I |  | ． | 1 | $\cdots$ | 15 | 24 | 13．4．t | 1 \％ | 883 | 13， 23 |
| Aug． 10. | 12 |  | 96 |  |  | 1.4 | －6 | 1 － | 2 | 1．76 | 1．6 | 9.8 | 123 |
| Ang． 15. | 1.3 |  | ＊- |  |  | 1.2 | $\cdots$ | 108 | I． | 15 | $1 \%$ | 14.35 | 15 |
| AuF． 2. | 12 |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | $\therefore 4$ | $1.6 \pm$ | 1.5 | 26 | 3.0 | 11.2 | 1353 |
| A15． 25. | 15 |  |  |  |  | 1.4 | $\because 11$ | 18 | 1.5 | 15 | $\cdots$ | 1337 |  |
| Aug．3i）． | $10^{\circ}$ |  | 9.6 |  |  | 1. | 62 | 1 cos | I 14 | 15 | 3 \％ | 1296 | 1735 |
| Sept． 1. | 15 | 1 | 90 |  | \％ | $1 \%$ | S | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 1） 1.3 | $\cdots$ | 14.19 |  |
| Sept． 5. | 17 |  | \％ |  | 1. | 12 | $\therefore=$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 130 | 13 | － 5 | 14.33 |  |
| Sept． |  |  | $9 \%$ |  |  | 1.9 | $\cdots$ | 1 cos | 13 | 19： | 2 |  | － 7 |
| Sept．1t－ | 15 |  | 9 |  | ： | 1.1 | 500 | 1 el | 1.18 | $1-86$ | \％ | 14.31 |  |
| Sept． 13. | － |  | 5 |  |  | 9 | 5．9 | 10 |  | 17. | 5 | P10 70 |  |
| Oct． 11. | After is | 1 | 50 |  | 17 | 4 | 洨 | 1 | 115 | 15 | $\because$ | 13.2 |  |
| Oet．17． |  |  | 9.5 | － | 13 | ． 9 | 10 | 1 合 |  | 1\％ | $\pm 4$ | 7.60 |  |
| Oet． 25. | ．do | ， | 9 | 9 | 1. | 8 | 5．：- | 161 | $-8$. | 11.11 | 5 | 368 | 37 |
| Oct． 23. | do |  | 30 |  | 12 | 1.0 | B6 |  | s | 15： | $\pm$ \％ | 10.33 | $\therefore 5$ |
| Oet 31． | －do |  | 8 S |  | 13 | － 9 | ti | $1{ }^{1}$ | 18. | 12 6 | 3 | 6.56 | $1{ }_{1}^{15}$ |
| Nor． 3. | ．． 30 |  | 5 | ， | 17 | 1.2 | 5 |  | 3 | 14 | $\cdots$ | 11.11 | $\cdots 84$ |
| Nor． 5. | do |  | 35 |  | 1. | 1.1 | 紬 | 1 dio | $1 \div$ | 12 |  | 7 Cl | 11 43 |
| Nor． 8 |  |  | 100 | ． 6 | 18 | 1.1 | 5． 3 | 1 100 | ミご | 9 | 425 | 323 |  |
| No5． 11. | do |  | $9:$ | 9 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1.2 | $5{ }^{3}$ | 1 心 | 14 | 14 | 37 | 9.45 | $\therefore$ ： 3 |
| Nor． 14. | do |  | $5:$ |  | 10 |  | 55 | 101 | 1 名 | 121 | $3 \pm$ | 823 | $\cdots$ |
| Nor． 15. |  |  | 98 |  |  |  | 51 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 涼年 | － | 19 | $\div$ |
| Nor． 15. |  |  |  | － | 1 C |  | 31 二． | 1 10\％ | 19 | $\because$ | $\pm$ | 12 | $\bigcirc$ |

## Analyses of Three Farieties in Dijferent Stages．

The following tables，from the Annual Report，Department of Agri－ culture，1881－82，represent the average results of the analyses of three rarieties in the several stages of development，each table con－ taiuing only the analyses of a single stage，and the general arerage of all the analyses of all varieties at each separate stage is given．An examination will show that there is practical agreement in all these sorghums，the only difference being in the time from planting necessary for the different varieties to attain to any giren stage．

FARLY AMBER.


LINK'S HYBRID.

| Before 1. | July 8 | July 8 | 1 | 1.47 | 93 | 3.10 | 5.50 |  |  | 70.69 | 1.017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | July 18 | July 16 | 1 | 2.19 | 2.22 | 2.51 | 6.92 |  |  | 72.45 | 1.025 |
| 2 | July 19 | July 20 | 1 | 2 113 | 3.01 | 1.1s | 6.33 |  |  | 661.00 | 1.030 |
| 3. | July 20 | July 25 | 1 | 2.39 | 4.34 | 3.14 | 9.87 |  | -1.19 | 68.52 | 1.033 |
| 4 | July 27 | July 27 | 1 | 2.72 | 7.46 | 1.86 | 1204 | 7.17 | 2.84 | 6i5. 19 | 1.047 |
| 5. | July 28 | July 29 | 2 | 2.66 | 7.47 | 162 | 11.75 | 6.59 | 3.18 | 67.64 | 1.018 |
| 6 | Aug. 1 | July 30 | 2 | 2.31 | 9.28 | 280 | 15.39 | 7.96 | 4.17 | $6: 39$ | 1.057 |
| 7 | Aug. 5 | Aug. 2 | 1 | 2.61 | 8.01 | 387 | 14.49 | 7.69 | 1.5:3 | 6.3 .72 | 1.0.52 |
| 8 | Aug. 10 | Aug. 4 | 1. | 2.70 | 11.25 | 1.55 | 15.50 | 1065 | 7.00 | 67.50 | 1.064 |
| 9 | Aug. 15 | Aurg. 6 | $1)$ | 1.98 | 12.22 | 2.54 | 16.71 | 12.12 | 7.70 | 6:3.39 | 1.069 |
| 10. | Ang. 19 | Aug. 12 | 2 | 2.03 | 12.63 | 2.60 | 17.25 | 12.19 | 798 | 64.07 | 1.071 |
| 11. | Aug. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Aug. 17 | , | 1.69 | 1.). 80 | 2.59 | 2008 | 15.23 | 11.52 | 64.0t | 1082 |
| 12. | Alug. 29 | Aug. 19 | 1. | 1.58 | 1558 | 351 | 2047 |  | 10.69 | 6.). 11 | 1.082 |
| 13 | sept. 1 | Aug. 2\% | 1. | 1.58 | 16.41 | 243 | 20.42 |  | 12.40 | 63.20 | 1.082 |
| 14. | Sept. 5 | Sept. 1 | 2 | 1.38 | 17.63 | 3.48 | 12. 49 | 17.10 | 12.7 | 62. 81 | 1.088 |
| 15 | Sept. 8 | Sept. 8 | 1. | . 66 | 18.86 | 3.33 | (22.s5 |  | 14.87 | 54.59 | 1.094 |
| 16. | Sept. 12 | Sept. 12 | 1 | 1.03 | 17.0 .3 | 2.56 | 2062 |  | 13.44 | 59.56 | 1.086 |
| 17. | sept. 19 | Sept. 19 | 1 | . 31 | 18.28 | $? 7.0 .1$ | ? 25.71 |  | 10.85 | 5.). 21 | 1.091 |
| Aiter 18 | Oet. 10 |  | 1. | 42 | 16.89 | 3.88 | 20.69 |  | 13.00 | 57.25 | 1.085 |
| After 18 | Oct. 20 |  | 2 | . 50 | 15.73 | 4.09 | $20: 32$ | 11.75 | 11.14 | 55.73 | 1.084 |
| Aiter 18 | Oct. 30 |  | 4 | .4.) | 15.20 | 4.92 | 20.57 | 14.45 | 9.83 | 56.42 | 1.081 |
| After 18 | Nov. 10 |  | , | . 36 | 13.54 | 4.891 | 18.79 |  | 8.29 | 576 | 1.076 |
| After 18. | Nov. 17 |  | 2 | . 40 | 15.41 | 4.15 | 19.96 | 15.39 | 10.86 | 60.71 | 1.050 |

NEW TARIETY.-F. W. STCMP.


## General Averages for each Stage in 1880.

The following table, deduced from the results of 2,739 analrses of sorghum canes, made at Department of Agriculture, Washington, presents, in a condensed form, a very correct idea as to the actual derelopment of the cane itself, and of the changes in the juice.

Among the points of most practical interest may be mentioned the following:

1st. The changes in height, weight. diameter, and total and stripped weight, are not sufficiently important to require comment.

2nd. The percentage of juice extracted from the stripped stalks gradually increases up to the eleventh stage, then slowly diminishes until the close of the season.

3d. The specific gravity of the juice, the percentage of sucrose, the percentage of solids not sucar, and the available sugar, regularly increase (with but one or two exceptions) until the close of the season; and the percentage of glucose in the juice as steadily decreases from the first.

It will here he noticed, that the sucrose increases in the juice much more rapidly than do the solids not sugar; and this fact, taken together 13
with the steady decrease of glucose, is the explanation of the equally steady increase of the available sugar, which represents the comparative purity of the juices.

4th. It is stated in the discussion of the table of specific gravities, that the proper stage in the development of sorghum at which to begin the manufacture of sugar, is when the juice has the specific gravity 1.066 , corresponding with 6.6 per cent of available sugar.

Confirmation of this statement is here furnished by this table, and we further see that this specific gravity $(1,066)$ is attained when the cane reaches what has been named the " 13 th stage."

By reference to the table describing these stages, it appears that the seed of the plant should be quite fully developed and hard.

By these three indications, every cane grower can judge for himself as to the proper time to work up his sorghum crop, in order that he may obtain satisfactory results.
At the same time, an analysis of the juice is always valuable, and should be made when practicable.

GENERAL AYERAGE FOR THE STAGES, AS DETERMINED FROM THE RESULTS OF THE SAME STAGE FOR ALL VARIETIES OF SORGHUM, IN 1880 , AS DETERMINED AT DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

| Stages. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { ̈n } \end{aligned}$ | Unstripped weight. | 药 |  | - A7! |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent available } \\ & \text { sucrose. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of juices } \\ & \text { analyzed. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.5 | 09 | 1.93 | 1.34 | $59 . C 6$ | 1.031 | 4.29 | 1.76 | 1.75 | -4.28 | 58 |
| 2 | 85 | 0.9 | 1.93 | 1.46 | 59.60 | 1.036 | 4.45 | 2.96 | 1.86 | -3.85 | 69 |
| 3 | 8.8 | . 9 | 1.78 | 1.39 | 59.67 | 1.037 | 4.50 | 3.51 | 1.78 | -2.74 | 57 |
| 4 | 9.1 | . 8 | 1.83 | 1.44 | 61.61 | 1.041 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 191 | -1 91 | 70 |
| 5 | 9.3 | . 9 | 1.96 | 1.55 | 63.05 | 1045 | 4.15 | 5.13 | 192 | -. 94 | 75 |
| 6 | 9.7 | . 9 | 2.02 | 1.60 | 62.79 | 1050 | 3.99 | 6.50 | 2.45 | $+.06$ | 62 |
| 7 | 9.7 | . 9 | 2.11 | 1.55 | 63.85 | 1.052 | 3.86 | 7.38 | 2.19 | 1.33 | 70 |
| 8 | 9.3 | 1.0 | 2.10 | 1.63 | 6568 | 1. 055 | 3.83 | 769 | 2.37 | 1.49 | 111 |
| 9 | 8.8 | . 9 | 1.87 | 1.40 | 64.88 | 1. 058 | 3.19 | $8.9 . \overline{3}$ | 2.42 | 3.34 | 266 |
| 10 | 8.9 | . 9 | 1.81 | 1.38 | $64.8: 3$ | 1.061 | 2.60 | 998 | 250 | 4.88 | 217 |
| 11 | 91 | . 9 | 1.94 | 1.48 | 6502 | 1.163 | 2.35 | 10.66 | 2.72 | 5.59 | 166 |
| 12 | 9.0 | . 9 | 181 | 1.37 | 63.39 | 1.006 | 2.07 | 11.18 | 283 | 6.28 | 170 |
| 13 | 9.1 | . 9 | 1.86 | 1.34 | 6299 | 1.066 | 2.03 | 11.40 | 2.82 | 6.55 | 183 |
| 14 | 8.9 | . 9 | 1.82 | 1.32 | 61.72 | 1.067 | 1.88 | 11.74 | 2.96 | 6.92 | 191 |
| 15 | 8.9 | . 9 | 1.81 | 1.32 | 60.45 | 1.067 | 1.81 | 11.69 | 3.15 | 6.73 | 217 |
| 16 | 87 | . 9 | 1.73 | 1.22 | 61.20 | 1.070 | 1.61 | 12.40 | $33^{2}$ | 7.44 | 339 |
| 17 | 7.7 | . 9 | 169 | 1.25 | 60.17 | 1078 | 1.56 | 13.72 | 4.07 | 8.09 | 197 |
| 18 | 85 | . 9 | 1.44 | 1.15 | 62.09 | 1.069 | 1.85 | 11.92 | 3.42 | 6.65 | 191 |
| 19* | 8.5 | 1.0 | 1.81 | 1.53 | 56.04 | 1.080 | 3.09 | 12.08 | 3.62 | 5.37 | 30 |

[^6]
## Early Appearance of Sucrose in Juices of Sorghum and Maize.

A preliminary examination of one variety of sorghum, and two of the varieties of maize, was made June 13th, when the plauts were about two feet high, aud it was found that, even at this early period, there was in their juices an appreciable amount of both sucrose and glucose, as will be seen ly the following results:

Juice of White Liberian Sorghum: sucrose, . 12 per cent; glucose, .68 per cent.
Juice of Egyptian Sugar Corn: sucrose, . 25 per cent; glucose, .94 per cent.
Juice of Lindsay's Horse Tooth Corn : sucrose, . 38 per cent; glucose, .98 per cent.
From the above it would seem, that both forms of sugar exist in these plants even in this early stage of derelopment, and that the relative proportions of the two remain about the same for a long time, as will be seen by reference to the tables (page 189). It has, however, not been demonstrated that what is giren in the abore analyses as sucrose, is such beyond question. It was, however, if not sucrose, at least a substance not precipitated by sub-acetate of lead solution, and without action upon Fehling's solution, until, like sucrose, it had been acted upon by a dilute acid solution. It remains, however, a matter rather of importance in its relation to regetable physiology, than of practical ralue as regards the production of sugar from these plants.

## The Presence of Sugar in the Stalk:s of Sorghum, and its Amount at Different Stages of Development.

The fundamental importance of this matter is so great, that any doubts as to the facts set forth in this chapter are to be dispelled by the most conclusive testimony which can be presented.
The following conclusion adopted by a Committee of the National Academy of Sciences, after the mature deliberation of eighteen months and careful consideration of the data, and of the methods by which they were ascertained, can not but be accepted as decisive, and is here given from the Report of the National Academy of Sciences, entitled an "Investigation of the Scientific and Economic Relations of the Sorghum Sugar Industry, by a Committee of the National Academy of Sciences," Nov., 1882, Washington, D. C."

## CONCLUSION.

Summary of Results already obtained at the Department of Agriculture, in Washington, D. C., in the Production of Sugar and Molasses from Sorghum and the Stalks of Maize.
The committee find, as the result of their investigation, by all the data which have come before them, as well as those obtained by the Department of Agriculture during the years from 1878 to 1882 , both inclusive, and those derived from other parties in different sections of the United States, that the following points are established by an amount of investigation in the laboratory, and of practical experience in the field and factory, which have rarely been devoted to the solution of any industrial problem.
The more important and well established results are here enumerated, and are followed by a statement of certain practical and scientific points which still remain for future inquiry.

## A. - OF THE POINTS ALREADY SETTLED

## 1. The Presence of Sugar in the Juices of Sorghum and Maize Stalks.

From records examined by this committee, it appears that, during the three years prior to 1882, there have been made, at the Department of Agriculture, alnost four thousand five hundred chemical analyses of the juices of about forty varieties of sorghum, and of twelve varieties of maize. These analyses have shown the constitution of the juices of each variety at the successive stages in the development of the growing plant. They not only confirm the well known fact of the presence of sugar in the juices of these plants in notable quantity; but they also establish, beyond cavil, what seems surprising to those who have not examined the facts, that the sorghum, particularly, holds in its juices, when taken at the proper stage of development, about as much cane sugar as the best sugar-cane of tropical regions.

An examination of the analytical tables in the reports of Dr. Collier, synopses of which follow, will show that the juices of sorghum, in certain exceptional but not isolated cases, were remarkable for the amount of cane sugar they contained, viz:

Of true crystallizable sugar in the juice-

As compared with the juices of sugar-cane, which gave, by analysis, under 15 per cent of sugar, these results are unexpected and surprising.

But the average results obtained during long periods of working, and from different varieties, are of more value to the practical farmer than any exceptional instances.

The average results obtained from 122 analyses of 35 different varieties of sorghum, and during a working period of one or another of the above varieties of at least three months in the latitude of Washington, are as follows:

ATERAGE RESUITS OF ANALYSES OF JUICES OF THIRTY-FITE TARIETIES OF SORGHCM.


From this statement it will be spen, that, as an average of all the analyses made during those three stages, there was obtained 58.57 per cent of the weight of the stripped stalks in juice; that 16.18 per cent of the weight of this juice was crystallizable cane sugar; and that 11.30 per cent of the weight of the juice may be obtained as sugar by the ordinary process of manufacture.*

By reference to the tables it will also be seen, that of the eight varieties of maize examined in 1881, seven of which were of common field and one of sweet corn,

|  | Per cent of cane sugar. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 analrses of 3 rarieties gave nrer. | , |
| 9 analyse of $\overline{\text { a }}$ varieties sabe over. | $\ldots$ 12 |
| 2. analyses of 7 Varieties gave over. |  |
| 29 analyses of 7 tarieties gare orer. | 10 |
| 35 aualyses oi 7 varietics gave over. |  |

Of ten rarieties of maize grown in 1880, the following results were obtained:

|  | Per cent of cane sugar. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 124 analuses of 10 rarieties gave orer. 90 analyses of 10 varieties gave orer. | ${ }^{9}$ |
| 59 analyses of 9 varieties gave orer | 11 |
| 24 aralyses of 9 varicties gave over | 12 |
| 5 analyses of 4 varieties gave orer. | 13 |
| 2 analises of 1 variety gave orer | 14 |
| 1 analysis of 1 variety gare urer |  |

## Explanation of the Stages of Grouth, or of Development.

In order to record as closely as was possible the development of the plants at the time when they were taken from the field for examination, a series of numbers were made use of, which indicated the several stages of development. The determination of stages after the fourteenth was, in the case of the sorghum, difficult, and depended upon the increasing hardness of the seed. These numbers and their significations are as follows:

## Stages of detelopment in sorghuim.

1. About nue week before opening of panicle.
2. Immediately before opening of panicle.
3. Panicle just appearing.
4. Panicle two-thirds out.

[^7]5. Panicle entirely out; no stem above upper leaf.
6. Panicle beginning to bloom at the top.
7. Flowers all out; slamens beginning to drop.
8. Seed well-set.
9. Seed entering the milk state.
10. seed becoming doughy.
11. seed doughy: becoming dry.
12. Feed almost dry; easily crushed.
13. Seed dry; easily split.

## AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF ALL VARIETIES OF SORGHUM GROWN A'T THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WASHINGTON, IN $1879,1880,1881$.

From the general averages of the tables of all the varieties of sorghum, similar in general character to the tables already given by way of illustration, the following tables have been prepared, giving the percentages of sucrose, glucose, solids not sugar, available sugar, and juice; as also the specific gravity and the number of separate analyses made under each stage, for the years 1879 , ' 80 , and ' 81 ; and, finally, a general average of all the results for the three years.

The same results are graphically represented upon the four charts which follow, the line for juice bcing left out in the chart giving the results of 1879 , since, as has been explained in a previous report, the juice was obtained that year without the aid of a mill, and was so much less in consequence as not to be comparable with the results obtained the last two years. This final chart comprises, as will be seen, the average results of a total of 4,032 separate analyses of some fortyfive varieties of sorghum, and, covering the record of three years' work, the results are the more conclusive.
average results for 1879, 1880, 1881.

| Development. | Per cent sucrose. |  |  | Per cent glucose. |  |  | Per cent solids. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1879. | 1880. | 1881. | 1570. | 1880. | 1881. | 1879. | 1850. | 1881. |
| Firststage |  | 1.76 | . 89 |  | 4.29 | 2.81 |  | 1.75 | 240 |
| Second stage | 1.20 | 2.96 | $1: 3$ | 5.13 | 445 | 3.4 |  | 186 | 2.41 |
| Thirdstage. |  | 351 | 1.84 |  | 450 | 38.3 |  | 178 | 2.11 |
| Fourth stage |  | 4.34 | 202 |  | 432 | 3.41 |  | 191 | 290 |
| Fiith stage | 394 | 513 | 2.78 | 4.63 | 4.15 | 311 | 1.40 | 192 | 318 |
| Sixth stage.. | 3.62 | 6. '1 $^{\prime}$ | 360 | 5.50 | 3.99 | 369 | $\cdots 56$ | 24.5 | 238 |
| Seventh stage | 7 <br> 7 <br> 18 | 788 | 471 | 3.87 | 386 | 388 | 1.71 | 2.19 | 281 |
| Eirlith stage | 618 | 769 | 608 | 4.47 | 3.83 | 3.69 | 136 | $2: 37$ | 303 |
| Nilutls stage.. | 97 7 | 8.93 | 747 | 360 | 319 | 370 | 1.45 | 2.42 | 263 |
| Tenth stage. | 804 | 9.98 | 876 | 3.27 | 260 | 3.30 | 1.53 | 250 | 260 |
| Eleventh stage | 11.51 | 1066 | 10.10 | 2.81 | 23 | 2.96 | 1.42 | 272 | 290 |
| Twelfth stage. | 14.15 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 & 18\end{array}$ | 1201 | 158 | $\bigcirc 07$ | 271 | 2.52 | 2.83 | 293 |
| Thirteenthstage | 14.37 | 11.40 | 1306 | 146 | 203 | 247 | 151 | 282 | 298 |
| Fourteenth stage | 13.44 | 11.76 | 1398 | 1.16 | 188 | $2{ }^{2} 1$ | 2.93 | 1296 | 290 |
| Fiftenth stare.. | 14.26 | 1169 | 14.34 | 1.74 | 181 | 2.20 | 3.01 | 315 | 288 |
| Sixterath stage. | 14.37 | 1240 | 1599 | 1.12 | 164 | 184 | 2.02 | 832 | 301 |
| Seventeenth stage | 1381 | 1372 | 1594 | . 93 | 15 | 1.72 | 313 | 407 | 320 |
| Eighteenth stage | 8.45 | 11.92 | 1661 | 70 | 185 | 183 | 329 | is 42 | 8.01 |
| Nineteenth stage | 147 | 12.08 | 15.2 | . 82 | 309 | 1 \% | 260 | 3.62 | 8 (6) |
| Twentieth stage. | 14.13 |  | 11.89 | 1.50 |  | 1.73 | 3.13 | .... | 3.83 |

Average Results for 1879，1880，1881．－Continued．

| Derelopment． | Specific gravity． |  |  | Per cent of juice． |  |  | Per cent availa－ <br> ble sugar． |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18.9. | 1850． | 1881. | 1579. | 1880. | 1881. | 1579. | 1880. | 1851. |
| First stage |  | 1.031 | 1.018 |  | 59.00 | 6．7． 30 |  | －4．28 | －3． 82 |
| Second stage | 1.035 | 1．035 | 1.055 | 34.40 | 59.60 | 67.13 | －3．93 | －3．35 | －4．45 |
| Third stage．． |  | 1.053 | 1.029 |  | 59.67 | 69.48 |  | － | －3．92 |
| Fourth stage |  | 1.041 | 1．029 |  | ${ }_{6}^{61.61}$ | 68.02 |  | －1．91 | $-1.29$ |
| Sixth stage | 1.044 | 1.050 | 1.035 | 35.20 | 63． 69 | ${ }_{6 S .}^{65}$ | －2．15 | －． 04 | －3． 51 |
| Serentustage | 1.061 | 1.052 | 1.042 | 36.65 | 63． 85 | 67.21 | 1.49 | 1.33 | －1．95 |
| Fighth stage | 1.061 | 1.053 | 1040 | 33.80 | 6i． 68 | 67.81 | ． 35 |  | － 64 |
| Ninth stage． | 1.043 | 1.058 | 1.05 .2 | 3： 70 | 64.85 | 0.76 | 4.67 | 3.34 | 1.14 |
| Tenthstaye | 1 （kil | 1 （wil | 10 O | \％ 91 | （4） | 6i） 91 | 3 | $4=$ | $\because$ |
| Elerenthstage | 1.603 | 1.063 | 1.061 | 34.35 | 6． 02 | ${ }^{\text {Ci3．}} 84$ | 7.31 | 5.59 | 4.14 |
| Twelith stage | 1.031 | 1.063 | 1.008 | 31.72 | ${ }_{6}^{63} 3$ | 62.4 | 10.05 |  | 631 |
| Thirteenth stage | 1.002 | 1.006 | 1.071 | 3007 | 62.94 | 62． 50 | 1140 | 6.53 | 7.61 |
| Fourteenth stage | 1.080 | 1.067 | 1．075 | 30.73 | 61.72 | 55.92 | 8.25 | 6.92 | 8.57 |
| Fifteenth stage．． | 1．07s | $1.00{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.07 | 29.50 | 60.45 | 63． 31 | 9.51 | 6.73 | 9．24 |
| sixteenth stage | 1.077 | 1．070 | 1．052 | $27.5 \overline{7}$ | 61 20 | 60.25 | 11.23 | 7.44 | 11.14 |
| Serenteeth stag | 1．07s | 1078 | 1.051 | 21.60 | （to． 17 | $55^{5}$ | 9.8 | 8.6 | 11.02 |
| Eighteenth stag | 1.081 | 1.069 | 1． $0 \times 2$ | 2 zi 20 | $6 \pm 69$ | 26． 51 | 4.33 | 6.65 | 11.76 |
| Nineteenth stay | 1077 | 1.080 | 1．av | 룔 45 | 56.04 | 57.2 | 11.3 |  | 983 |
| Twentieth stage． | 1.054 |  | 1.009 | 25.20 |  | 5 S .45 | ． |  | 6.89 |


| Derelopment． | No．of analyses． |  |  | Average results， $1879,1850,1881$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1579. | 1850. | 1851. |  | 感 |  | 等ご | $\xrightarrow{\text { E゙ }}$ | 年 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Pr．ct． | $\mathrm{Pr} \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {d }}$ | Pret． |  | Pr．ct． | Pret． |  |
| First stage． |  | 55 | 16 | 1.57 | 3.80 | 1.89 | 1.023 | 60.41 | － 4.18 | 74 |
| Secont stage． | 2 | 69 | 35 | 23 | 4.05 | 2.05 | $1.05{ }^{2}$ | $62: 20$ | $-3.76$ | 109 |
| Third stage |  | 57 | 40 | $\because 2$ | 4.02 | $\because .44$ | 1.003 | 6.3 .71 | －3．24 | 97 |
| Fourth srage |  | 70 | 52 | 3.3 | 3.94 | 2.33 | 1.035 | 64.34 | －2．92 | 122 |
| Fiith stage． | 8 | 75 | 46 | 4： 23 | 3.85 | 2.36 | 1.043 | 6．） 00 | －2．02 | 1：9 |
| Sixth slagre． | 4 | 62 | 51 | 5.16 | 3.35 | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | 1.045 | （i）． 17 | －1．30 | 117 |
| Seventh stage | 4 | 70 | $4:$ | 6 ¢ 3 | 3． $3^{3}$ | 241 | 1.048 | 6i5． 11 | ． 13 | 116 |
| Fighth stage． | $\pm$ | 111 | 4.2 | 7 －23 | 3.00 | $\cdots$ | 1．0－3 | 66． 5 | ． 90 | 157 |
| Niuth stage | 4 | 236 | 4.3 | S． 74 | 3.30 | 24 | 1． 0.37 | 6.5 .15 | 4.04 | 315 |
| Tenth stage | 8 | 217 | 60 | 4.69 | 2.60 | $\because .50$ | 1．（hat | （a） 49 | 4.49 | 235 |
| Elerenth stage | 12 | 160 | 53 | 10.543 | 2.50 | 27 | 1.062 | 65．23 | 5.31 | 230 |
| Tirelith stage | 10 | 170 | 44 | 11.41 | 2.19 | 2 St | 1.040 | （i） 19 | 6.30 | 르t |
| Thirternth stage． | s | 1：3 | 40 | 11.5 | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | 1 kri | ti2 ： 40 | 6 －1 | 231 |
| Fourteenth stage | 8 | 191 | 37 | 1213 | 1.92 | 2.95 | 1.00 S | 61.20 | 7.26 | 236 |
| Fifteenth stage． | 4 | 217 | － 37 | 12．（12） | 1.57 | 3.11 | 1.065 | 60.90 | 7.11 | 255 |
| Sisteenth stage | 6 | 2；9 | 40 | 12． 79 | 1.65 | 3.28 | 1.071 | 61.10 | 7.06 | 355 |
| Serenteeth stige． | 6 | 197 | 37 | 14.07 | 1.57 | 3.92 | 1.078 | 59.48 | 8.58 | 240 |
| Fighteeuth stage | 2 | 191 | 45 | 1：20 | 1.71 | 3.3 | 1.071 | 61.03 | 7.75 | 23 |
| Nincteenth stage | 12 | 33 | 4 | 14.01 | $\bigcirc 15$ | 3.31 | $1.0-0$ | 56.74 | 8.52 | 86 |
| Twentieth stage．． | 2 |  | 370 | 11.93 | $1 . \%$ | 3.51 | 1.069 | 55.45 | 6.42 | 392 |
| Total． | 124 | 789 | 1，179 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1，042 |

## Graphical Charts．

The preceding results will appear more clearly by representing them graphically；and in the following charts the percentage is indicated， for the sucrose，glucose，solids not sugars，and availab．e sugar，by the numbers given upon the right and left hand margins，while the dates
are given upon the upper margin of each sheet. For convenience of platting upon the same sheet, the per cent of juice given upon the charts should be multiplied by five in every case, and the specific gravity is represented by having . 001 in specific gravity equal to onefifth of one per cent as given upon the chart.

Each point indicated by a break in either of the lines representing the above constituents, the specific gravity or the juice, represents the average result actually obtained in the several analyses of a juice at any given stage of development. The beginning of each line and the end represent the average results of the first aud last stages, and the intervening breaks the successive stages from the first to the lust:
IIIAX OUMI

|  | $1{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\omega$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | $\pm$ | $\infty$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\overline{\text { jo }}$ | 戸！ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ！ | $\cdots$ | － |  | － | I |  |
|  | $1!$ |  |  |  | $!$ |  | 1 | － |  |  | T | $!$ | ！ |  |  | I | 1 |
| ， |  |  | 1 | I | 1 |  | I | 8 |  |  | ！ | T | ］ |  | ？ | 1 | 1. |
| － |  |  | － |  | I |  |  | （ill |  |  | － | I |  |  |  | I | $\bigcirc$ |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | ， | － |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| － |  | c |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | I |  |  | Cl | $\vdots$ | 0 |  |  |  | i | 1 |  |  | $\cdots 1$ | 11 |
|  | I | ， | － |  |  | 8 | ！ | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 0 | ； |  |  | \％ | 1 | $E$ |  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  | 1 |
| $!$ | 1 | m | T |  |  | $\cdots$ | 1 | － |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＇？ |
| 4 | 1 |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | § |
| 1 | $!$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | ， |  |  | 3rd | 5t303 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 111 | 5 |
| $15$ |  |  | $7$ |  |  |  |  |  | $5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{F}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － | I | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |
| －1 | ， |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m | － | 4 | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 \％h． | $\mathrm{Slac}^{-1}$ | $1-\frac{2}{1}$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\geq$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ； |  | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stag | 12 |
| － | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | －！ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＜ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9th． | －stage |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $!$ |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ！ | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nth | －Staje | 9 |
|  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \％ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| \％ | m |  | 1 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bar{\square}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | 3 |  | 1 | II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 可 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |
| $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | \％ |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 2 |
|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | ह］： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{+}$ |
|  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | $=$ |
|  | \＃ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | － |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | zin |  |  |  |  |  |  | $!$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  | 会 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | ：$:$ | $\because:$ | $\therefore$－ | \％ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bar{\omega}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ？ |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | इ | $\overline{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | ㅋ．0 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Co |  | $\bigcirc$－ | E． |  |  |  |  | i |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  | $\cdots$ | ： | T |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | ， |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | $1-$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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In 1882，there were grown upon the grounds of the Department of Agriculture，at Washington， 78 varieties of sorghum，consisting largely of varieties quite new to the Cuited States，from South Afriea， China，and India．The Chinese rarieties，and several of these from Africa，proved to be comparatively worthless for sugar；but，since the average results of the entire number grown and examined during the season only serves to confirm fully the results already recorded as to the gradual increase of sugar in these plants，the analyses are here given：

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT．－ TS TARIETIES GROWN IN゙ 1882.

|  | DEvELOPMENT． |  | $\underbrace{2}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Head strelling | 66.97 | 1.034 | 3.27 | 3.75 | $1.2 \pi$ | $3 . n 5$ | －0 \％ |
| 4 | Head fully out | 63.04 | 1.040 | 4.393 | 3．6．） | 1.26 .5 | －4．195 | －1．813 |
| 2 | Beiore bloom | 60．2．2 | 1.044 | 4.59 | 5.1 t | 1.50 | 5.77 | －（1）．9．5 |
| 6 | In full bloom | 6.3 .20 | 1.049 | 3.59 | 6.47 | 11.67 | 6i． i t | 0 －${ }^{3}$ |
| 21 | After bloom． | 63.77 | 1.080 | 83. | 7.88 | 2.17 | 6 in | 15 |
| Sj | Seed in milk | 60.83 | 1．05．5 | 2.90 | 84.5 | 2.41 | 887 | 3.2 |
| 15. | Seed in dough | 53.11 | 1．Atiot | $\because .171$ | 985 | 2.65 | 9.80 | i）（1）2 |
| $20{ }^{2}$ | Seed hard． | $5 \times 17$ | 1．00i27 | 133 | 10.48 | ごらす | 10.417 | （5．23） |
| 94 | Sucker seed in milk | 5 k .71 | 1．Writis | 1.203 | 11.418 | 3.274 | 11．fit：3 | 7.423 |
| 192 | Sucker seed in dough | 5s C．6 | 1.070 | 1.12 | 12.25 | 3.10 | 12.40 | 819 |
| 134 | Sucker seed hard．．． | 52.90 | 1.0731 | 1.45 | 12.63 | 3.50 | 12．303 | 8.56 |

Comparison with Foreign Varieties．
In 1882，examinations were made of a large number of rarieties quite new to this country，from seed received from Africa，China，and India，and the results of these analrses are given by themselves for the purpose of comparison with the analrses of those varieties which have been cultivated in the United States for the past thirty or thirty－ five years．

Comparative Value during Working Period（i．e．，after seed was hard）of 14 Chinese， 26 African， 3 Indian，and 20 American Varicties of Sor－ ghum，see page 102.

PER CENT AYAILABLE SUGAR IN JUICES．


Analyses of Other Varieties of Sorghum（Sorghum Vulgare）．
The following analyses will show how widely these rarieties of sor－
ghum under consideration differ in composition and in value, as sources of sugar, from other members of the Sorghum family to which, botanically, they are so closely allied:

| ANALYSES, -JUICES BROOM CORNS. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety. | No. Anal. | Sucrose. $p r$. ct. | Glucose. pr. ct. | Solids. pr. ct. |
| Valley. | 6 | 1.45 | 1.55 | 2.25 |
| Chinese Evergreen | 5 | 2.40 | 2.25 | 2.49 |
| Evergreen | 4 | 2.50 | 1.97 | 2.40 |
| Average............. | 15 | 2.12 | 1.92 | 2.38 |
| ANALYSES. - JUICES DOURA CORNS, |  |  |  |  |
| Variety. | No. Anal. | Sucrose. pr.ct. | Glucose. pr, ct. | Solids. pr. ct. |
| Rice, or Egyptian........ | 17 | 6.57 | . 84 | 3.49 |
| Doura | 6 | 5.77 | 2.33 | 2.71 |
| Brown Doura | 2 | 3.70 | 1.75 | 8.70 |
| White Doura. | 1 | 8.70 | 1.90 | 7.00 |
| Average............ | 26 | 6.19 | 1.71 | 5.48 |

## Comparison of Sugar-Cane with Sorghum.

The results represented upon the tables and charts which have been given, will appear the more surprising if compared with the average analyses of the juices of sugar-cane.

## Analyses af Louisiana Cane.

The following analyses of specimens of Louisiana cane, were made at the Department of Agriculture, by the same methods pursued in the analyses of the sorghum; so the results are entirely comparable.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z Z Z ZマママZマZZ } \\ & = \\ & < \end{aligned}$ | － |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | Portion． |
| に ハー ー 1 | Sumber of stalks． |
|  | Tota？meight，in pounds． |
|  | Weight of leaf top． |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \therefore & \ddot{y} & \bar{y} \\ \vdots & x & \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | Weight of bare cane． |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}$ ¢ | Weicht of top，stripped． |
|  | Total length to end of leares， in feet． |
|  | Lensth of bare cane． |
| － | Lencth of leaf top，stripped． |
|  | Diameter at butt，in feet． |
| 完 灾 こ こ 灾 | Diameter at first leaf． |
| $\Rightarrow \quad 0$ ！！ | Number of joints in butt． |
|  | Sumber of joints in midतle． |
|  | Length of first joint． |
| 狺に：． | Length of second joint． |
| $\therefore \vdots: \vdots:$ 突：：！ | Length of middle joint． |
|  | Lensth of first leaf－joint． |
|  | Per cent of water in cane． |
|  | Weight of juice，in pounds． |
| 옹 | Specific gravity of juice． |
|  | Per cent of solids in juice． |
|  | Glucose，per cent of，in juice． |
|  | Sucrose，percent．of，in juice． |
|  | Per cent of solids not sugar， in juice． |
|  | Polarization，perct．，sucrose． |

## ANALYSES OF SUGAR-CANE JUICES BY REGINALD M. SANDYS.

A. Ribbon Cane, Sagua la Grande.
B. Second years' stubble.
C. Red Plant Cane.
D. First year's stubble-one-half red, one-half ribbon.
E. Creole Cane.
F. Japanese Cane.


For purpose of further comparison, the following analyses of sugarcanes and juice of the sugar-cane grown in Madras, India, are given below. The canes were divided into upper, middle, and lower thirds: each third being two feet in length, except the lower thirds of the selected canes, which were three feet in length :

analyses of expressed juice.

| Sucrose. | 11510 | 14.550 | 14580 | 10270 | 14980 | 15.110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glucose.. | 2860 | 1.650 | 1.680 | 2 6:30 | . 806 | . 775 |
| Asli... | . 333 | . 283 | . 255 | . 590 | . 398 | . 381 |
| Undetermined | . 497 | 917 | 485 | . 510 | 1076 | . 934 |
| Water. | 84.800 | 82600 | 83.000 | 86.000 | 82790 | 82.800 |
|  | 100.000 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 100.000 |

Chem. ('ent. Blatt., February, 1880.

## AVERAGE ANALTSIS OF ELEVEN SUGAR-CANES.



Twenty-five samples of sugar-cane juices, from the many varieties of this plant grown in Louisiana, Cuba, Jamaica, Martinique, Guadalupe, and the East Indies, analyzed by several chemists, give the following average composition : Sucrose, 13.28 per cent; other solids, 2.71 per cent. If, now, as in the case of the sorghums, we subtract the sum of the solids (which was made up of ash, glucose, and other undetermined substances) from the sucrose, we have, as available sugar in these juices, an average of 10.57 per cent-an amount even less than that found present in the average juice of thirty-five kinds of sorghum for long periods, as will be seen by reference to the charts and tables.

## Analysis of Juice at Different Stages.

It has been supposed by some, that the increase in the amount of sugar at certain periods is due to the drying up of the plant, and the consequent concentration of the juice by evaporation.

This view, although appareutly supported by some facts, is probably erroneous, since (as will appear from the results of our determinations, as shown upon either of the charts showing the average results for a year) the amount of juice varies but little during the year; but, owing to continuous increase in the sucrose, glucose, and other solids, during the season (as shown by the analyses, and indicated by the steady increase in specific gravity), it follows, of necessity, that the amount of water in the juice must as steadily decline.

This, however, would hardly appear as the result of a drying up of the plant; since, as has been shown, neither the amount of juice, nor its composition, suffers any great change, even when a heavy rain-fall succeeds a period of prolonged drought.

It appears rather a normal condition of the plant's growth; and the production of sugar seems to be accompanied by the elimination of a certain amount of water.

If, at any time, we might look for more concentration of juice by the evaporation of water, and the consequent increase in the percentage of the several constituents of the juice, it would scem to be during the later periods of the plant's growth. If, now, we take the results for the past season, as given in the general averages, we find that, for example, the amount of total solids obtained in the juice were, in the fifteenth, sixteenth, and seventeenth stages, $12.35,12.56$, and 12.30 per cent of the weight of the stripped stalks; but the amount of water in the juices at these periods was, for these respective stages, 51.19 , 47.69 , and 46.65 per cent of the weight of the stripped stalks.

As will be seen, there is, in the above results, a slow diminution of water, but no corresponding increase of the solids.

The fullowing table gives the results for the season of 1881 , showing the per cent of juice, and of each of its constituents, as also of available sugar, calculated to the stripped stalks:

PERCENTAGE OF SUCROSE, TOTAL SOLIDS, WATER, AND AVAILABLE SUGAR, IN STRIPPED STALKK, OBTAINED IN JUICE AT DIFFERENT STAGES.

| Stages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 65.30 | 1.018 | 3.66 | 61.64 | . 58 |  |  | 16 |
| 2 | 67.13 | 1.025 | 4.60 | 62. 53 | . 81 |  |  | 38 |
| 3 | 69.48 | 1.029 | 5.23 | 64.20 | 1.28 |  |  | 40 |
| 4 | 68.02 | 1. 0229 | 567 | 62.35 | 1.37 |  |  | 52 |
| 5 | 68.18 | 1.032 | 6.39 | 61.79 | 1.90 |  |  | 46 |
| 6 | 68.07 | 1.035 | 6.85 | 6128 | 2.45 |  |  | 51 |
| 7 | 67.21 | 1.042 | 7.96 | 59.05 | 3.17 |  |  | 42 |
| 8 | 67.81 | 1.048 | 8.68 | 59.13 | 4.12 |  |  | 42 |
| 9 | 66.76 | 1.0.\% | 921 | 57.5 | 4.99 | 76 | 15.20 | 4.5 |
| 10 | 67.91 | 1.0 .26 | 9.96 | 579 | 5.95 | 1.94 | 38.80 | 60 |
| 11 | 65.81 | 1.061 | 10.41 | 5.740 | 6.55 | 2.73 | 54.60 | 53 |
| 12 | 62.44 | 1.068 | 11.04 | 51.40 | 7.50 | 396 | 79.20 | 44 |
| 13 | 62.50 | 1.071 | 11.57 | 50.90 | 8.16 | 4.76 | 95.20 | 40 |
| 14 | 58.9 | 1.075 | 11.25 | 47.67 | 8.21 | 5.23 | 104.60 | 37 |
| 15 | 6.3.54 | 1.077 | 12.35 | 51.19 | 9.11 | 5.87 | 117.40 | 37 |
| 16 | 60.25 | 1.082 | 12.56 | 47.69 | 9.63 | 6.71 | 134.20 | 40 |
| 17 | 58.95 | 1.081 | 12.30 | 46.65 | 9.40 | 6.50 | 130.00 | 37 |
| 18 | 56.51 | 1.081 | 12.12 | 44.39 | 9.39 | 6.65 | 133.00 | 45 |
| 19 | 57.23 | 1.080 | 11.80 | 4.5.42 | 8.71 | 5.62 | 112.40 | 43 |
| 20 | 58.45 | 1.069 | $10: 20$ | 48.25 | 6.95 | 3.97 | 79.40 | 370 |

It will be seen in the foregoing table, that there is an uninterrupted increase in the percentage of sucrose, total solids, available sugar, and specific gravity, with a corresponding decrease in the percentages of water, to about the sixteenth stage. During the sixteenth, seventeenth, and eighteenth stages, the per cent of available sugar in the stalks remains nearly constant, and at its maximum; although the per cent of sucrose and of available sugar in the juice obtained, as has been shown, is at its maximum at the eighteenth stage.

The number of pounds of available sugar to be obtained from a ton of stalks, at the different stages, is also given in a separate column. From these results, it would appear, as the average result of 122 analyses of thirty-five varieties of sorghum, that 133 pounds of sugar from a ton of stripped stalks is not beyond the limits of even probability. It will also be seen that these same stalks, if cut while the seed is in a doughy condition, as shown by the ninth stage, would yield only 15 pounds of sugar per ton of stalks.

## Practically Little Difference in the Tarieties of Sorghum as to their Content of Sugar.

The results of the investigations at the Department of Agriculture have shown the remarkable uniformity of the several varieties of sorghum as sugar producing plants when fully developed; and have also shown the different varieties to vary widely in the time required for their full development, varying, as has been shown, year after year, fully three mouths as between the earlier and later maturing varieties.

This fact of the wide variation in different varieties in their period of reaching full maturity, although previously recognized, has not receired the consideration which its extreme importance demanded, as is evinced by the fact, that at present, as for the past thirtr years, those varieties are largely grown in the northern states which could only reach maturity at rare intervals and in exceptional seasons in these latitudes. This satisfactorily accounts for the occasional production of crystallizable sirups, and the general failure to secure similar results continuously.

Comparative Value, During the Working Period of the Different Varieties of Sorghum.
From the following table it is possible to judge quite accurately as to the comparative values of the different canes fur the production of sugar. These values are applicable more especiaily to the latitude of Washington, and it will be seen later that certain canes which do not stand high in the list, when grown in this section, are very likely to prove valuable where the growing season is longer.

Again, those which mature quickest, and also have a long working period, are the ones especially recommended for culture in more northern latitudes.

In this table the canes are arranged in the order of their comparative value, as shown from the large number of analyses recorded. It must not be inferred, homerer, that it is possible to state positively that this order may not be somewhat modified by future experience: it certainly would be somewhat changed were any one characteristic of the juice used as the basis of comparison to the exclusion of all others. It has been attempted to give due weight to all the factors which tend to show the good or bad qualities of the canes.

Among the points which have the most direct bearing on the determination as to the value of any cane for any locality are the following:

1. Other things being equal, that cane is best adapted to any local-
ity which most quickly reaches the working stage, and longest continues workable. It will be noticed that, judged by this rule, the first eight varieties are superior to those that follow. It appears, also, that these varieties matured in from 77 to 89 days, and continued workable from 87 to 107 days, or, on an average, over three months. It is very important to have sufficient time in which to work up the crop.
2. The average purity of the juice is another very important consideration. This is shown by the column headed "average exponent;" by this term is meant the percentage of pure crystallizable sugar in the total solids of the juice. As has already been stated in the discussion of the table of specific gravities, the exponent should not fall below 70 for the best results.
3. The average available sugar in the juice has very much to do with its value. The figures in this column were calculated by multiplying the figures in the column showing "average per cent sucrose in juice" by the corresponding figures for "average exponent."
4. The pounds of juice per acre has much to do with the amount of sugar that can be obtained.

As will be seen, the various canes do not differ very materially in the percentage of juice they can furnish; hence, the pounds of juice per acre depend more directly upon the number and weight of canes which can be raised. By reference to the tables for each variety, it will be seen that several of the varieties standing low in this list (Honduras, Honey Top, etc.), furnish canes much heavier than those standing near the first of the list; hence, if an equal number of such heavy canes could be grown on an acre, the amount of juice must be correspondingly greater.

If, then, the quality of the juice from heavy canes is as good as that from the light, and the season for working is greater, the heary canes would be preferable, because they would furnish the larger amount of sugar per acre. Unfortunately, this is not the case in this latitude. The first two columns in this table show, that the heavicr canes do not attain their full growth and maturity in time to be worked up into sugar.

It is fully believed that these heary canes are well adapted to the more southern parts of the United States, and that in those regions they will reach full maturity in time to leave an ample working period. In fact, several examinations of canes sent from South Carolina in 1879 confirm these statements.

If it be supposed, for the sake of comparison, that an equal number of canes of each variety can be grown on an acre of land, the results
given in the last three columns will show what amounts of stripped stalks, juice, and available sugar, can be obtained on an acre from each variety of sorghum. The number of stalks per acre has been placed at $24 ; 000$, which is believed to be a fair estimate.

In comparing these figures with those in the three columns just preceding them, which represent actual results of aualyses, it will be seen that the figures do not differ greatly.
6. After all, the real test of value for any cane, is the amount of crystallizable sugar that can be actually separated from the juice obtained from the stalks grown on an acre. This amount will depend very greatly on the quantity and quality of the canes, and upon the promptness and care with which they are worked up after cutting. The figures here given in explanation of the various points which have been discussed, have been derived from very carefully conducted work, and they are offered as fair statements of what can and should be attained by careful workers.

Among the essential points worthy of repetition are the following:

1. Select a cane that matures quickly, and has as long a working period as possible.
2. Do not work the cane too early; the seed should be well matured and quite hard, and the juice should have a specific gravity of 1.066 or higher.
3. After cutting the canes, work them up without great delay. It is best to draw directly from the field to the mill as may be needed.

| Name． | Source of seed． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{}$ | ly obta |  |  | uted at s per <br>  | 24,000 <br> acre． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varieties of Sorghum． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $L b s$ | Lbs | $L b s$ | Lbs | L，bs | Lbs． |
| Early Amber ．．．．．．．．． | D．Smith．． | 7 | 99 | 80 | 1242 | 155 | 298 | 7315 | 9.11 | 6002 | 27，078 | 16，249 | 1，480 | 25,520 | 15，317 | 1，395 |
| Early Amber | Plant Seed Company | 80 | 99 | 70 | $1: 300$ | 1.51 | 318 | 71.72 | 867 | 61.33 | 29，808 | 18，281 | 1.585 | 24,150 | 15，023 | 1，302 |
| Early Golden． | A，B，Swain ．．．．．．．．．． | 80 | 104 | 76 | 11.47 | 1.76 | 3.09 | 70.24 | 8.12 | 10.03 | 24，611 | 14，774 | 1，200 | 24,480 | 14，695 | 1，352 |
| Golden Sir | W．II．Iytle． | $8 \%$ | 82 | 67 | 124 | 142 | 299 | 7365 | 9 | 61.36 | 15， 822 | 9，708 | 897 | 21，480 | 14，023 | 1，388 |
| White Liberian | I）．smith | 8 | 101 | 39 | 1343 | 1.31 | 317 | 7498 | 1008 | （i．） 82 | 32,165 | 20，5：28 | 2，069 | 31.920 | 20，371 | 2，053 |
| Early Amber． | L．E．Evans． | 89 | 96 | 21 | 1：3：1 | 1 \％ 4 | 3.25 | 7323 | 969 | 5902 | 27，95： | 16，503 | 1，599 | 2：，760 | 14，0：3 | 1，359 |
| Black Top | I．W．Aiken． | 8 | 87 | 3 | 1269 | 121 | 307 | 7475 | $\begin{array}{lll}9 & 51 \\ 8 & 13\end{array}$ | 6135 | ${ }^{2} 1,907$ | 13，440 | 1，278 | 22，800 | 13，97\％ | 1，329 |
| African | dV．E．l＇arks | 87 | 107 | 83 | 1150 | 1.46 | 3.14 | 70.38 | 8.13 | 62.92 | 21，716 | 13，664 | 1，111 | 27，840 | 17，517 | 1，424 |
| White Man | Amos Carpente | 102 | 83 | 32 | 13.51 | 1.18 | 3.45 | 74.50 | 10.13 | 62.31 | 29，341 | 18，282 | 1，851 | 31，680 | 19，740 | 1，999 |
| Oomsecana． | liymyer 心 Co． | 11. | 77 | 51 | $1: 16$ | 149 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 0 \\ 8\end{array}$ | 7 3.43 | 881 | 64.15 | 19，522 | 12，523 | 1，103 | 27，840 | 17， 559 | 1.573 |
| Regular Sorgho． | blymyer d Co．． | 101 | $9: 3$ | 71 | 11 so | 14. | $30: 3$ | 727 | 871 | 6077 | 26，611 | 16，172 | 1，407 | 30,720 | 18，669 | 1.624 |
| Hybrid | E．Link | 101 | 84 | 30 | $14 \cdot 3$ | 933 | 343 | 76： 18 | 10 St | 6353 | 34，447 | 21，903 | 2，374 | 42，240 | 26，8：5 | 2，969 |
| Sugar（ane | J．W．Barger． | 10. | 77 | 28 | 1：3 3 | 149 | 313 | 7418 | $10{ }^{10} 27$ | $66^{2} 32$ | 21，117 | 12，150 | 1，350 | 21，600 | 13，461 | 1，382 |
| Oomseeana | 1）W．Aiken | 104 | 88 | 3 | 1284 | 112 | 3：31 | 7421 | 957 | 6204 | 22，825 | 14，100 | 1，355 | 28，080 | 17，4201 | 1，667 |
| Neeazana | W．II．Lytle． | 130 | 58 | 38 | $1: 36$ | 1 9：3 | 315 | 7318 | 948 | 6158 | 23，467 | 14，451 | 1，360 | 26,400 | 16，257 | 1，441 |
| Goose Nec | I＇，l＇，Ramse | 111 | 72 | 44 | 12.26 | 1.46 | 2.99 | 73.29 | 7.58 | 62.12 | 27，362 | 16，997 | 1，288 | 30,480 | 18，934 | 1，435 |
| Early Orang | I．A．Hedges | 117 | 79 | 63 | 1318 | 1.58 | $3: 39$ | 72 45 | 9.51 | 6167 | 48，758 | 30，069 | 2，875 | 35，520 | 21，943 | 2，09．4 |
| Neeazana． | blymyer d | $1 \%$ | 65 | 46 | $1: 34$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 9.5 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 311 | 7277 | 975 | 605 | 20，154 | 12，198 | 1，193 | 25，200 | 1．5，241 | 1，491 |
| New Variety | F．Link | 108 | 84 | 31 | 12.81 | 1.19 | 3.35 | 73.93 | 9.50 | （6．） 22 | 30，731 | 20，042 | 1，904 | 28，320 | 18，470 | 1.755 |
| Chinese． | D．Smith | 137 | 57 | 36 | 13.18 | 1.81 | 3.65 | 70.66 | 9.22 | 60.43 | 30，956 | 18，707 | 1，725 | 32，720 | 19，773 | 1，8\％3 |
| Wolf Tail | E．Link | 118 | 56 | 21 | 11.72 | 1.23 | 2.98 | 71.87 | 8.65 | 6．2． 09 | 31，493 | 19，5．54 | 1,691 | 30,960 | 19，223 | 1，663 |
| Gray Top | II．（．Mealoy | 13． | 59 | 38 | 130 | 147 | 354 | 7－19 | 9 4 2 | $6: 301$ | －29，587 | 18，809 | 1，752 | 25,800 | 18，144 | 1，709 |
| Liberian | 13lymyer d C | 131 | 38 | 22 | 13.18 | 2.05 | 3.22 | 71.23 | 9.39 | 6202 | $45,5>0$ | 2S，269 | 2，6i－4 | 45,120 | 27，983 | 2，628 |
| Liberian | W．H．Lytle． | 134 | 48 | 36 | 12．92 | 2.09 | 3.37 | 70.31 | 9.0 s | 62.56 | 44，913 | 25，088 | 2，550 | 44.400 | 27，777 | 2，522 |
| Oomseeana | W．I．Mayes of | 127 | 67 | 36 | 1362 | 171 | 310 | 7250 | 988 | 6189 | 3.$), 414$ | 21，918 | 2,165 | $43,4 \times 0$ | 26，291 | 2，548 |
| Sumac | W．Iope | 15 | 31 | 14 | 14．24 | 1.67 | 4.18 | 70.82 | 10.09 | 60.15 | 39,919 | 24，011 | 2，423 | 39，360 | 23，675 | 2，389 |
| Mastodon | J．W．Aiken | 128 | 60 | 23 | 11.21 | 1.68 | 3.03 | 69．9：3 | 7.93 | 64.27 | 20，413 | 13，119 | 1，043 | 47，760 | 30，695 | 2，440 |
| Implice． | D．W．Aiken | 135 | 37 | 9 | $14 \cdot 21$ | 176 | 361 | 7：56 | 10.31 | 6167 | 37，031 | 22， 2,87 | $2.35-4$ | 37，9ㄹ0 | 23，385 | 2，411 |
| New Variety | J．W．II．calle | 17. | 7 | 5 | 13.99 | 2.02 | 3.73 | 70.88 | $9.9=$ | 58.57 | 26.090 | 15，287 | 1，516 | 25,920 | 15，181 | 1，506 |
| Sumac | J．H．Wighton | 168 | 20 | 6 | 14.411 | 180 | 340 | 73.30 | 1058 | 6084 | 29，815 | 24， $2 \cdot 23$ | 2，563 | 3t，960 | $\underline{2} \cdot 2,486$ | 2，388 |
| Honduras | Arsenal． | 118 | 29 | 27 | $10 \sim 2$ | 226 | 809 | 6.76 | 681 | 5709 | 9－i，3：3） | 14，464 | 98.3 | （29， 760 | 16，990 | 1，157 |
| Honey Cane | J．II．Clar | 133 | 43 | 21 | 10.80 | 256 | 2.51 | 6776 | 7.37 | 65.0 s | 50，017 | 30，301 | 2， $2: 33$ | 5：3，760 | $34,9 \leq 7$ | 2，579 |
| Sprangle Top | W．Pope | 183 | 38 | 20 | $11: 1$ | $\because 61$ | $\because .91$ | 667 | 751 | 6．） 91 | 41.634 | 30，736 | 2，308 | 44.880 | 29， 580 | 2，221 |
| Hondiaras．．． | Li．Link | 157 | 10 | 4 | 1283 | 1.80 | 2.95 | 7： 98 | 1006 | 6.506 | 4．）， 69.3 | 29，739 | 2.991 | 50,740 | $\therefore \therefore 3,011$ | 3，321 |
| Honey Top，or Texas Cane | Jrussels，Mo．． | $16: 3$ | 20 | 7 | 1298 | 211 | 392 | $60 \quad 27$ | 886 | 6468 | 47,246 | 30，5，5， | 2，708 | 51，22， | 23，1299 | －3，939 |
| Hondiuras． | L．Brande | 164 | 22 | 7 | 11.67 | 203 | 2.22 | 6900 | 806 | 6659 | 46，421 | 27，912 | 2，2，3 | 51，840 | 34，5111 | 2，782 |
| Sugar Cane． | C．E．Miller． | 99 | 8 | 6 | 8.84 | 2.37 | 2.32 | （i5． 39 | 5.79 | 6460 | $13,8: 39$ | 8，940 | 518 | 17，280 | 11，163 | 649 |

General Results of Analyses Bearing upon the Question of Available Sugar.
By reference to the table giving the general results of all the analyses of the several varieties of sorghum, in 1879,1880 , and 1881 , the aggregate number of analyses being 4,042 , and the varieties analyzed being about 40 , these results having been obtained from as many varieties by so large a number of separate analyses made in successive years, the general conclusion reached appears established beyond question.

During the early stages of devolopment of these plants, up to and including the sixth stage, the available sugar is given as a minus quantity, $i$. e., the amount of sucrose in the juice is less than the sum of the glucose and other solids. Also, in the seventh stage, the available sugar is practically none, being only .13 per cent, and this stage represents the period when the seed is in the milky state. It is, then, obviously absurd to expect to obtain any sugar by working up the crop until it has advanced beyond this condition toward maturity.
It will also be observed in the table, that, during these early stages, the amount of this minus availahle sugar remains nearly the same, the average for the first five stages being - 3.22 per cent; and also, that the available sugar, after it first appearz, rapidly increases in quantity, and remains practically constant through the several subsequent stages; and in this it agrees, as will be seen, with the development of the sucrose, which, at a certain period, is very rapid, and afterward nearly constant through the season, while, as has been remarked, the sum of the glucose and solids is nearly the same throughout.

In the table, is given the average of the determinations for each stage of development for all varieties.

In addition to the columns giving the average results of the several determinations given for each variety, there is given a column showing what is termed the percentage of a vailable sugar present in the juice, i. e., the amount of sugar which may be obtained as sugar from the juice, for, as is known, the amount of sugar to be obtained from any specimen of juice, depends obviously upon the amount of sugar present; and not alone upon this, but also upon the amount of glucose, and other matters present, since, as is well known, the effect of these is to prevent the crystallization of a portion of the sugar present, and, hence, to increase the relative amount of molasses, the molasses consisting of glucose, water, mineral matters (the ash), and more or less sugar, which practically can not be recovered as such. Now, this molasses producing (melassigenic) property of the several impurities present in the juices of cane, sorghum, and beets, has been a subject of con-
siderable experiment, but at the present time the exact effect of each impurity is not known.

The average of thirty-four analyses of sorghum juices, made in this laboratory, shows an average percentage of ash equal to 1.06 ; the maximum being 1.66 per cent, and the minimum being .82 per cent. We may; then, safely estimate the ash as being about one per cent of the juice.

Now, while all authorities are agreed as to the melassigenic effect of certain of the mineral constituents of the ash, there is much difference as to the action of other mineral matters; and while some of these are regarded as quite indifferent in their action, other constituents of the ash are shown to strongly favor the crystallization of the sugar. For example, potassium carbonate increases the quantity of molasses produced, potassium sulphate appears to have no effect, while magnesium sulphate seems to favor the crystallization of sugar, and thus decrease the amount of molasses.

It is highly probable, that much of the good effect attributed to the use of sulphurous acid, as an aid in the crystallization of syrups, is due to the fact, that it converts the harmful alkaline carbonates into the inert sulphates. In the reports of my work, I, in accordance with a common practice among sugar makers, made use of the so-called "exponent," which represented the relative purity of the different juices. This "exponent" was the percentage of sucrose in the total solids of the juice; and this represented the percentage of the sugar present in the juice, which could be in practice obtained as sugar. While this method of calculation is doubtless, at least approximately, correct, when applied to those juices which are generally worked up for sugar, it is obviously erroneous when applied to juices poor in sugar, and with comparatively large amounts of other solids.

I have, therefore, adopted a method for calculating the available sugar, viz.:-the difference between the per cent of sucrose and the sum of the per cents of glucose and solids not sugar, and although confident that all the experiments of Marschall, La Grange, and others, go to prove that the amount of available sugar thus shown is beyond question too low, it is at least safe to err upon this side rather than the other.

If we apply these two methods to two specimens of juice, one good and the other poor, it will be seen that for the good juice, the two methods approximately agree, while for the poor juice, they differ widely, and there is no doubt but that the method of the exponent is in such a case inapplicable; e. g.:

Juice A contains, sucrose, 3.51 per cent; glucose, 4.50 per cent; solids, 1.78 per cent. The exponent would be 35.85 , and the available
sugar 1.26 per cent; or, by the other method, $3.51-(4.50+1.78)=$ $-2.77$.

Juice B contains, sucrose, 15.30 per cent; glucose, .87 per cent; solids, 2.95 per cent. The exponent would be 80.02 , and the available sugar, 12.24 per cent ; or, by the other method, $15.30-(.87+2.95)=$ 11.48 per cent.

It is from the above assumed cases obvious, that the last method of calculation, although giving probably too low a result, is one of general application, since no one would regard it as possible practically to obtain any sugar from a juice having the composition of the one marked A.

It will be seen from the tables, that the available sugar begins to show itself quite late in the development of the plant, generally about the seventh or eighth stage, and it is obvious that, previous to this period, the available sugar exists, as we may say, as a minus quantity; but owing to the practical importance of this matter, its discussion will be again taken up.

## Danger of mixing Immature with Mature Cane in Working.

It is of greatest practical importance, also, to consider the effect of mixing immature with mature caues in the working. If, for example, a ton of sorghum in the tenth stage was mixed with an equal quantity in the third stage, and the mixed juices together boiled to a syrup, it is doubtful whether any sugar would be obtained, for, as will be seen, the first lot would yield a juice having 4.49 per cent of a vailable sugar, the second lot of juice would have -3.24 per cent, and the mixed juice would, of course, have but . 62 per cent available-so small a quantity as to be practically valueless. It is, then, to be remembered, that, for the purpose of sugar making, every uuripe cane allowed to go to the mill is not only worthless in itself, but far worse than worthless, since it causes the loss of sugar otlierwise available.

This fact will more clearly appear, if the necessary calculations are given of the results. Supposing that the mill gives 60 per cent of the weight of stalks in juice: we should then have 1,200 pounds of juice from each ton of stalks, and the former would give 4.49 per cent of sugar, or 53.88 pounds, while the latter would give -3.24, or minus 38.88 pounds, the difference being 15 pounds of sugar from the two tons of stalks, equal to .625 per cent of 2,400 pounds of juice.

We thus see that, by mixing in the immature canes, we really obtain only about one-fourth the sugar which the one ton of good cane would have yielded alone.

The above facts are practically understood by the sugar planters of

Cuba and Louisiana, for they are careful to cut off and leave upon the field the upper and immature portion of the sugar-cane, knowing by experience that, by sending it to the mill, it results in actual loss in their product of sugar.

That their practice is entirely justified by the results of analysis, will be seen by reference to the table below, which represents the average results in each case of fur analyses of the juices from the butt, the middle, and the top, of three varieties of sugar-cane grown in Louisiana.

TABLE SHOWING RELATIVE VALUE OF DIFFERENT PARTS OF SUGAR-CANE STALK.

|  |  | Butt. | Middle. | Top. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose. | .Per cent | 15.86 | 12.95 | 3.21 |
| Glucose |  | .75 | 1.42 | 3.68 |
| Solids ... | " | . 24 | ${ }_{10} .68$ | 2. 23 |
| Specitic gravity. |  | 1.068 | 1.061 | 1.038 |

From the above results, there would seem to be, in the immature sugar-cane top, a close resemblance to the immature stalk of sorghum, and yet the analogy ceases so soon as the sorghums have attained full maturity; for, as the results of very many analyses show, there is practically no difference in the juice from the upper or lower half of the sorghum stalks.

This difference is probably due to the fact that, owing to the short season, comparatively, it is impossible for the sugar- cane to reach, even in Louisiana, a condition of full maturity.

The Increase in Sugar during the later Stages in the Development of the Sorghum is not the Result of a Loss of Water or Drying Up of the Plant.
This is a matter of such great practical importance to the manufacturer of sugar from the sorghums, that a fuller discussion of the facts obtained by analysis is justified, since, if it were true that the absolute amount of sugar present in the plant was at its maximum during the early stages in its development, it would certainly be advisable that the crop be worked at such time as showed the greatest per cent of juice, since, obviously, a larger per cent of the sugar actually present in the plant would be extracted by pressing the cane at such time as showed the maximum per cent of juice.

It is true, as will be seen, that the per cent of juice expressed by the mill is greatest in the earlier stages of development; and it is also true
that the actual amount of water present in the plant, and in the expressed juice, is-less at the later stages in the plant's life.

But it is obvious that, if the increased per cent of sugar, as shown in the juice at these later stages, was due simply to loss of water through a drying up of the plant, then it would necessarily follow that, by such evaporation, the relative percentages of the several constituents present in the juice would be maintained; but such is far from being the case, as will be seen by the following table; for, while the sugar and the solids not sugar increase, it will be seen that their increase is by no means proportional, the sucrose increasing from the first to the seventeenth stage 688 per cent, while the solids increase only $13 \overline{5}$ per cent; besides, glucose, instead of.increasing, as would be natural and inevitable, if we regard the matter as simply one of loss of water by evaporation, decreases 65 per cent.

But it is obvious that, if the water present in the juice at the different stages be multiplied by the per cent of the several constituents, as, $e . g$. , sucrose, the series of products would necessarily be a constant quantity; but, on the other hand, we find that the sucrose increases 606 per cent, the solids 111 per cent, while the glucose decreases 68 per cent. Such a result is wholly at variance with the view, that the increase of sugar is only apparent and due to the evaporation of water.

It will be observed that the actual increase in sugar in the plant is in reality greater than is shown in the above results, since it is obvious that a larger proportion of that present in the phant is expressed at the time when the amount of water is at its maximum, viz., during the earlier stages, and that a larger proportion is left in the bagasse during the later stages.

TABLE SHOWING THAT THE INCREASE IN SUGAR DURING THE LATER STAGES IS NOT DUE TO A DRYING UP OF THE PLANT.

| Stages. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 7.73 | 58.72 | 54.18 | 59 | 1.74 | 4.26 | 1.73 | 94. | 2.307 | 937 |
| 2. | 9.23 | 59.52 | 5403 | 70 | 2.93 | 4.44 | 1.86 | $158: 3$ | 2.399 | 1.005 |
| $3 .$. | 9.71 | 59.58 | 53.79 | 58 | 3.47 | 4.45 | 1.79 | 18817 | 2.394 | . 963 |
| 4. | 10.49 | 61.58 | 53.12 | 7 | 4.29 | 4.28 | 1.92 | 2.36 | 2359 | 1.058 |
| 5. | 11.14 | 6:3 00 | 5598 | 7 | 5.06 | 4.11 | 1.97 | 2834 | 2.362 | 1.103 |
| 6. | 12. 79 | (i) 60 | 5459 | 6.4 | 6.40 | 3.91 | 2.45 | 3.494 | 2.151 | 1.337 |
|  | 13.43 | 63.8 .1 | 5.5. 27 | 70 | 7.38 | 3.86 | 2.19 | 4.079 | $\bigcirc 13: 3$ | 1.210 |
| 8. | 13.89 | 6 6 .63 | 56.53 | 111 | 7.69 | 3.83 | 2.37 | 4.3477 | 2.165 | 1.340 |
|  | 14.56 | 6496 | 5.50 | 266 | 8.9.7 | 3.19 | 2.42 | 4.967 | 1.770 | 1.343 |
| 10. | 15.08 | 6.4 .94 | 55.15 | 217 | 9.98 | 2.60 | 250 | 5.507 | 1.434 | 1.879 |
| 11. | 15.78 | 6504 | 51.81 | 166 | 10.6 | 2.35 | 2.72 | 5.970 | 1.10.2 | 1.511 |
| 12. | 16.08 | 63.62 | 53.39 | 171 | 11.18 | 2.07 | 2.83 | 5.912 | 1.095 | 1.497 |
| 13 | 16.25 | 63:14 | 5: 88 | 18:3 | 11.40 | 2.03 | 2.82 | 5.868 | 1.045 | 1.451 |
| 14 | 16.60 | 61.72 | 51.47 | 191 | 11.76 | 1.88 | 2.96 | 6.053 | . 964 | 1.524 |
| 1. | 16.65 | 60.45 | 50.39 | 217 | 11.69 | 181 | 3.15 | 5.891 | . 912 | 1.587 |
| 16. | 17.36 | 61.20 | 50.58 | 339 | 12. 40 | 1.64 | 3.52 | 6.29 | . 830 | 1. 679 |
| 17. | 19.35 | 60.17 | 4553 | 197 | 13.72 | 1.56 | 4.07 | 6.6 \% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 257 | 1.975 |
| 18 | 17.19 | 62.09 | 51.42 | 191 | 11.92 | 1.83 | 3.42 | 6.129 | . 951 | 1.759 |

During the examinations of the various sorghums, the amount of sucrose found present in many of the juices, especially during the later periods of the plant's life, and determined both by analysis and polarization, was exceptionally high :

> 5 Analyses gave between 19 and 20 per cent of sugar averaging 19.35 . 23 Analyses gave between 18 and 19 per cent of sugar averaging 18.35 . 46 Analyses gave between 17 and 18 per cent of sugar averaging 17.66. 73 Analyses gave between 16 and 17 per cent of sugar averaging 16.48 .

The average amount of juice expressed was as follows:


In these cases certainly we might look for such drying up as is insisted upou by many, but we have here an average increase from 16.48 per cent of sucrose to 19.35 per cent, or 2.87 per cent, while the loss in juice is, on the average, from 57.92 per cent to 57.33 per cent, or only .59 of one per cent. Besides, if we compare the results, as given in the preceeding table, we find that the average of juice expressed from the stalks in 59 analyses, in the first stage, $i . e$., before even the plant had headed out, and when it might naturally be expected to be exceptionally full of juice, was but 58.72 per cent, practically the same as the amount expressed when the sugar present was exceptionally high. But it is to be observed, that, since the average sucrose, at this first
stage, was but 1.74 per cent, the percentage of juice expressed being the same as when from 16 to 20 per cent of sucrose was present, it necessarily follows that the amount of water present in the plant must have been much greater early in the plant's life than at the close; but there is no reason to suppose that this decrease in water is in the nature of evaporation, or a drying up of the plant.

Why it is I do not know. It is an interesting point for further investigation. It would seem, if I might reason upon it, as though when the plant was endeavoring to provide for this elaboration of the seed in the plant, it was natural for it to elaborate these constituents which were necessary for the building up of the seed; but its organs by which these were elaborated were stimulated to an excess, and they had acquired, if you will say so, a sort of momentum, so that, after the demand was supplied, which the seed made, there still went on an elaboration of these constituents in the plant. Whether that is the explanation or not, as a matter of fact, the sugar increases in the plant after the seed is thoroughly ripe, and will actually shell out. And the same has been found true of corn.

## Analyses of Fresh and Dry Juices.

In the following table is given the analyses of thirteen juices in their condition as freshly expressed, and after they had been rapidly dried in a warm chamber.

It was intended to make a more thorough examination of these dried juices to determine the character of the several constituents present besides the sugars, viz., the organic substances which are to be removed, if at all, by defecation, and the mineral constituents of the ash.

Excluding the analyses of the juices from suckers and leaves of Nos. 7 and 8 , as being hardly comparable with the others, the average analysis of the fresh juices is as follows:

| Per cent of juice expressed. | 56.62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Specific gravity | 1.0702 |
| Per cent glacose in juice | 1.17 |
| Per cent sucrose in juice | 12.36 |
| Per cent solids not sugar in juice. | 3.08 |
| Per cent of polarization. | 12.16 |
| Per cent of water in juice | 8.53 |
| Per cent of dry matter in juice. | 14.47 |

It will be observed that there was lost by drying 85.52 per cent, and the solids remaining were 14.47 per cent; but, as will be seen by the analyses of the fresh juices, there was an average of 16.61 per cent of total solids: this shows that, in the operation of drying, there was a loss of 2.14 per cent, equal to 12.88 per cent of the total solids.

The average proximate analyses of the dried juices gave:


The ether extract contains free organic acids, chlorophyl, fixed oils, fats and waxes, volatile oils, but no mineral matter.

The alcohol extract contains mineral matter, nitrates, organic acids and their salts, glucosides, coloring matter, sugars, albuminoids, and nou-albuminoids, nitrogenous matter, amides, etc.

The water extract contains soluble albuminoids, gum, pectin matter, dextrinoid bodies, and coloring matter.

The insoluble matter consists of crude fiber and mineral matter, silica, etc.

Now, it will be seen that there was present in the total solids of the fresh juices 81.46 per cent of the two sugars, but in the total solids of the dried juices there was only 52.28 per cent of the two sugars. Also, there was in total sugars of the fresh juices 91.35 per cent of sucrose and 8.65 per cent of glucose; but in the total sugars of the dried juices there was ouly 76.44 per cent of sucrose and 23.56 per cent of glucose.

The above results are important, as showing how liable the juice is to undergo fermentation, and loss of sugar, during evaporation even, unless, by means of defecation, certain impurities be removed; and, although many farmers stili persist in the manufacture of syrup, " without chemicals," as they say, by simply boiling down the freshly expressed juice, removing only such impuritics as may be brought to the surface as scum during the evaporation, it is altogether likely that they have a loss of sugar greater than would occur in the sediment and scum of a good defecation, besides producing a syrup which is likely to have poor keeping qualities, owing to its tendency to ferment upon the approach of warm weathes.

The aualyses of the suckers (No. 7), and of the leaves from the suckers (No. 8), are interesting, and of practical value.

These suckers were those that had sprung up from the roots of those stalks which had been previously cut up for analyses; and it will be observed how low is the content of sugar, and how great the percentage of ash, in their juices. The large percentage of nitrogen is also noticeable, as also the ether extracts of the leaves, owing to an excess
of chlorophyl in the leaf juice. The worthlessiess, therefore, of immature suckers, for the purpose of sugar production, is obvious; and also the importance of stripping the cane, in order to secure the best results in sugar, is manifest. In another place, this matter is considered at greater length.

## ANALYSES OF FRESH AND DRIED JUICES. <br> Fresh Juices.



Dried Juices.


```
COMPARATIVE VALUE OF DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE STALK OF
SORGHUM FOR SUGAR.
```

In 1879, there were made a large number of analyses of the juices of four varieties of sorghum, for the purpose of determining the relative value of the upper and lower halves of the cane for the proluction of syrup and sugar. The canes were, in each case, about equally divided by weight into upper and lower halves. The results obtained were as follows:
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|  |  | にない子 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \infty \\ & \therefore x=\infty \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  <br>  | $\omega=\stackrel{N}{=}$ |
| $\rightarrow$ ssciuu dog pasn <br> syims 10 daquans |  | －1215\％ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

EARLY AMber.

White liberian．

| －sdot utory <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \text { Nut } \\ & 60106 \end{aligned}$ |
| spunod <br>  |  | $\infty_{0}^{1} \underset{y}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 或言铛行 |
| －OUb） <br>  |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 1=903 \\ & =9103 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 耳分きS } \\ & \text { 名にはに } \end{aligned}$ |
| U！xatuat jo qtop dod |  <br>  |  |
|  |  | $8=1 \times 12$ |
|  |  | すござ号 |
|  |  <br>  |  |
|  |  syiniencoser-m-n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 心に, } \\ & \text { isi } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  <br>  |  |
|  |  <br>  | ざにに サーナ゙ー |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | －\％1－104 |
| 己 己 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 解 |  |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { E }]{\text { E }}$ | 点突 <br>  |  |

VALUE OF DIFFEREST PARTS OF TEE STALK, ETC.


SORGHUM.


HONDURAS.


|  －Irjod iq asoso <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & -\infty \infty \\ & \infty \equiv \cong=9 \end{aligned}$ | : | ¢¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stınq $j 0$ <br>  iq əsosons quəว دал | $: x-\infty 0$ | 心－ | $\bigcirc$ |
| －sdol＇adozstintod〔q วsosons ұuәว $1 \partial_{\text {I }}$ | 阾OS14 <br> ㄷ．을 | $0=?$ | $\square$ |
| －ววฺฺ！ <br> แ！desins pou spulos <br>  |  <br> くッ～ーーにぶー | 位 | $\vdots \vdots$ |
| ＇siנnc uto．รJ əoเ̣！ <br> U！duユus fou spllos | 大1～一ーぐごー | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\overline{5}$ |
| －sdol mox！a．ว！n！ <br> UI！denins quil spilos |  | $\underset{\sim}{\therefore} \underset{\sim}{n}$ | E |
|  |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \because==x- \\ & 5=15=- \end{aligned}$ | ¢12\％ |
|  |  <br>  |  | $1 \sim x$ |
|  |  |  | $\because=$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sdot jo วว!̣! } \\ & \text { u! asosons juว jor } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & c-c=7 \\ & \operatorname{cis}=5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}-5= \\ & \therefore=x=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -x \\ & \sim x \end{aligned}$ |
| －sdol 30 әว！̣！！ <br> แ！วร๐วแ［ゴ 子นวว ปəd |  | $\because=x$ に にきッーー | $\begin{aligned} & G L \\ & x r \end{aligned}$ |
| －วงฺ̣！u！̣ spị̂os <br>  |  |  |  |
| sılnq uoxy әว！n！ <br> II！splitos quas dəd |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 巨 } \\ & \text { 河 } \end{aligned}$ |
| －silot uoay aอ！̣！ <br> H！spifos quәa 10 I |  |  |  |
|  วy！วads จมัยรวมト |  |  | 客突 |
| －Sınnq utosy ə．）！̣！ IO inturat jy！adis |  |  | 这 |

## COMPARISON OF THE UPPER AND LOWER HALVES OF SORGHUM-CANES

Per cent.

|  | 05 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average per cent of water in 16 specimens of Liberian sorghum..... . .butts.. | 74.46 |
| Average per cent of water in 20 specimens of Honduras sorgham....... tops | 72. 57 |
| Average per cent of water in 20 specimens of Honduras sorghum...... . butts | 76.15 |
| Average per cent of water in $2 ; 3$ specimens of White Liberian sorghum... tops | 71.67 |
| Avernge per cent of water in 23 specimens of White liberian sorghum. . butts | 75.22 |
| Average per cent of water in 2.2 specimens of Early Amber sorghum.... tops | 72.73 |
| Average per cent of water in 22 specimens of Early Amber sorghum..... butts. | 72.13 |
| Average per cent of juice from 10 specimens of Jiberian sorghum.......tops | 45.17 |
| Average per cent of juice from 10 speeimens of Liberian sorghum..... butts. | 49.89 |
| Average per cent of juice from 16 specimens of Honduras sorghum .... .tops | 42.88 |
| Average per cent of juice from 17 specimens of Honduras sorghum | 45.4 |
| Averare per cent of juice from 13 specimens of White Liberian sorghum, top | 42.63 |
| Average per cent of juice from 13 specimens of White Liberian so ghum, butts. | 44.50 |
| Average per cent of juice from 11 specimens of Early Amber sorghum...tops | 46.68 |
| Average per cent of juice from 11 specimens of Early Amber sorghum. butts | 50.58 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 17 specimens of Liberian sorghum, tops | 1.0725 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 17 specimens of Liberian sorghum | 1.0708 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 21 specimens of Honduras sorghum, tops. | 1.16602 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 21 specimens of Honduras sorghum, butts | 10581 |
| Average specitic gravity of juice from 24 specimens of White Liverian sorghum, tops.. | 1.07 |
| verage specific gravity of juice from 24 specimens of White Liberian sorghum, butts.. | 1.0730 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 22 specimens of Early Amber sorghum, tops. | 10765 |
| Average specific gravity of juice from 22 specimens of Early Amber sorghum, butts | 10771 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 16 specimens of Liberian sorghtim | 16.21 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 17 specimens of Liberian sorghum | 16.81 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 10 specimens of Honduras sorgh11m | 13.85 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 23 specimens of Honduras sorghum. $\qquad$ | 1382 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 23 specimens of White Lihelian sorghum. | 6.91 |
| Average per cent oí solid matter in juice from 22 specimens of White Liberian sorghum.. | 16.71 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 19 specimens of Early Amber sorghum, | 1759 |
| Average per cent of solid matter in juice from 21 specimens of Early Amber sorghum. | 1675 |
| Average per cent of water in tops, 79 | 12.4. |
| Average per cent of water ir butts, 79 specimens, | 7451 |
| Average per cent of juice from tops, 50 specimens | 4.36 |
| Average per cent of juice from butts, 51 specime | 46.91 |
| A verage per cent of solids in juice from tops, 77 specimens |  |
| Average per cent of solids in juice from butts, 80 specimens | 16.02 |
| Average specifie gravity of juice from tops, 81 specimens |  |
|  | 10 |

From the above comparison it will appear, that there exists no marked difference in the amount of juice present in the upper and lower halves of the canes, nor in the quality of this juice, as indicated by either the relative specific gravities or the total amount of solid matter present in the juices.

It will also appear that, during this early and immature state of the plant, the relative amount of crystallizable sugar (sucrose), as compared with the total sugars present, is much greater in the lower half of the canes. This condition remains, apparently, until the seed has reached the milky state, at which time the juices in both parts of the plant appear to be of equal value. But it must not be understood that the maximum content of sugar in the plant has been reached at
this period of development, since, as will be seen by the tables, this is far from the fact.

From this period in the plant's development, until the perfect ripening of the seed, the juices appear to unifurmly increase in their content of crystallizable sugar, and to decrease in their content of uncrystallizable sugar.

Still later in the giowth of the plant, there was observel a slight deterioration in the quality of the juices from the lower halses of the stalks, and they were geuerally found to be somewhat inferior to the juices at this time present in the upper halves. It was also found that, in ihe early examinations, the specific gravity of the juices from the lower halves was almost invariably greater than that of the juices from the upper halves, and that equal specific grarities indicated an equality between the juices, not only in their content of sugar, but in the relative proportions of sucroze and glucose.

It appears probable that this deterioration of the juice from the lower part of the cane marks the incipient stages of death, and the ultimate decay of the plant, the routs and leaves failing in their office $t$ supply the full amount of nourishment which the plant requires. It begins to feed upon itself, so to speak ; and it is to be observed, that, at this period, the offshoots from the upper joints of the stalk begin a vigorous growth, and appear to live as parasites upon the parent stalk.

Several experiments were also made with both corn-stallss and sorghum to determine the relative value of the upper and lower half of the stalks, with the results given in the following table:

|  | Percentage of juice to stalks. | Specific grarity of juice. | Percentage of syrup in juice. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn-stalks, butt ends, No. | 29.04 | 10.33 | 14.62 |
| Corn-stalks, top ends, No. 4 | 1994 | 1050 | 13.46 |
| Sorghum, butt ends, No.s. | 47.49 | 1059 | 16.41 |
|  | 4.49 | 106 | 1647 |
| Sorghum, top ends, No. 11. | 43, 16 34.09 | 110.57 | 14.70 14.26 |

Nos. $\delta$ and 9 were the butts and tops of the same stalks, and were cut just after a rain, as were also $N$ os. 10 and 11 , from which the rain had evaporated, and the difference in yield of juice and syrup between butts and tops is nearly coustant. The increase in specific gravity of the juice from butts over that from the top is also worthy of notice.

From the above table the conclusion from the average results is, that the proportion, by weight, of sugar in the lower half of the stalk, is to the sugar in the upper half as follows: Corn butts to corn tops as 159 to 100 ; sorghum butts to sorgham tops as 131 is to 100 . As
will be seen by reference to the first table, the stalks of both corn and sorghum in the above experiment were divided almost equally by weight into butts and tops, so that the above proportion fairly represents the proportion of yield of sugar in the upper and lower half of the cane. There was a marked difference in the appearance of the juice as it flowed from the mill (that from the butts being lighter in color, especially in the experiments with corn) ; but, after the clarification, no appreciable difference could be observed, nor was there any difference in the product except the quantitative one above mentioned, which was, however, a marked difference; also, there was a marked difference in granulation in favor of the juice from the butts.

The following analyses are reported by Professors Scovell and Weber. It will be observed that the great difference in the analyses dues not accord with the slight difference in specific gravity.

Comparison of the lower and upper half of the cane. -The two following analyses were made to show what part of the cane is richest in sugar:

Amber-October 2nd, 1880.-Juice obtained from the upper half of the stalks after topping as usual:


Amber-October 2nd, 1880.-Juice obtained from the lower half of stalks:


The Encyclopedia of Chemistry II., 901, gives the following analyses by F. N. Gill, Madras, India, of the top, middle, and butts of two samples of sugar-caue:

|  | Water. | Sugar. | Fiber, | Salts. | Glucose. | Unknown. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top | 78.33 | 10.63 | 7.63 | 31 | 2.64 | 46 |
| Midale | 75.61 | 13.31 | 8.47 | 26 | 1.51 | . 84 |
| Ibutt | 76.12 | 13.37 | 8.30 | 23 | 1.54 | . 46 |
| Top, | 79.48 | 9.49 | 7.58 | 5.5 | 2.43 | 47 |
| Midalle | 75.63 | 13.64 | 86.5 | . 36 | 74 | 98 |
| Butt | 75.95 | 13.85 | 8.29 | 35 | 71 | 86 |

It will be seen that the sugar-cane closely resembles sorghum, in the relative value of the juices from different parts of the stalk. The inferior quality of the tops is due to the fact, that the growing part of the cane is always in a condition of immaturity; and, knowing this, the planters are accustomed to leave, as trash in the field, the upper portion of the cane, knowing that it is worthless for sugar making.

Owing to the fact that it is often advised to cut the crop two or three joints above the ground, under the belief that the butts were worthless for either syrup or sugar, the following experiments were
made to ascertain whether such a course was advisable，in fact， whether it did not involve a large waste of sugar．Since，in the ex－ periments above recordel，the stalks were divided，as nearly as possi－ ble into halves by weight，it might still be true that the butts of the cane were practically worthless；therefore，in the following experi－ ments，the stalks were cut as low dowu as possible，and were divided into butts，middle，and tops，the analysis of each of which appears in the following table．

In each experiment 27 or 29 canes were taken．In the one case the seed was hard，and in the other in dough．In the former，each cane was divided in about equal parts by length into butt，middle，and top：and， in the latter case，the portion called butt was such portion as might na－ turally be left upon the field if the crop should be cut at the second or third joint．It will be seen that，in this latter case，the relation of butt to middle and top was in length as 1 to 8 ，and in weight of strip－ ped stalk as 1 to 4.6 ：

ANALYSES OF JUICES FROM BUTT，MIDDLE，AND TOP，OF SORGMCM STaLKS．

| Variety． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |  | ｜c｜c |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Amber． | Hard．． 2 | 27 | Butt | 20 | S 65 | 5.25 | 60.46 | 1.15 | 10.27 | 3.01 | 10.5 | 6.11 |
| ．do | ．do．．．2 | $\because 7$ | Midule | 22 | 794 | 4.20 | 51.21 | 1.00 | 10 त | ：3．03 | 10.5 | 6． 74 |
| ．do | ．do ．z | 27 | Top． | $\underline{4}$ | 4．2－2 | 245 | 55.79 | 9.8 | 11.23 | 3.25 | 11.1 | 7.13 |
| do | do ．${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 27 | Entire cane | 66 | 2084 | 12.59 | 60.41 | 11.05 | 10.92 | 3.34 | 10.6 | 6．53 |
| White Liberian | Dough | 29 | Butt | ． 8 | 351 | 1.91 | 54．43 | 3 85 | 12.63 | 407 | 13.0 | 7.73 |
| do | ．do．．．2 | 29 | Mirdale | 2.8 | 8.78 | 5.13 | 55.80 | ． 94 | 11.64 | 3．84 | 12.1 | 16.86 |
| do | do | 23 | Tいね。 | 37 | 「ぶ | 4 i0 | 59－ | ，$\because$ | $11 \times$ | 447 | 12 | （1） $0^{2}$ |
| do | do ．． | 29） | Entire cane |  | 19 \％ | 1150 | S 19 | ） 3 | 1214 | ：3 28 | 12．2 | 785 |

From the above table it will be seen that there was practically very little difference in the juice obtained from butts，middles，or tops，either in the amount expressed by the mill or in its composition．It will be also observed that，in the maturer cane，the juice from the butts was in its per cent of sucrose and arailable sugar slightly less thau that from the middle，while that from the tops was best of all．In the cane，how－ ever，the seed of which was in the dough，exactly the reverse was true， the juice from the butts being the best of all．It is，therefore，safe to say，that the crop should be cut as near the roots as possible，whether intended for sugar or syrup，since，as will be seen，the butts，though ouly about 9 inches long，equaled about one－fifth the weight of the cane．

GENERAL ANALYSES OF SORGHUM JUICES BY VARIOUS PERSONS.
The following published results of analyses of sorghum juices are given for purpose of confirming, so far as they go, the more extended results secured at the Department of Agriculture already recorded, and also as evidence that these results are not exceptional, since they have been, to a great extent, reproduced in many sections of the country, notably in Massachusetts, by Professor Goessmann ; in New Jersey, by Professor Cooke and Mr. Hughes; in Wisconsin, by Professors Henry and Swenson; in Illinois, by Professors Weber and Scovell ; and in Indiana, by Professor Wiley; as also in France, by M. Louis Vilmorin.

In reviewing these several reports, it will be seen that, in very many instances, they are vitiated by the absence of certain data which would greatly increase their scientific and practical value, and the conclusions often are hardly such as are justified by data so limited. For example, M. Louis Vilmorin, in 1853, had secured results from sorghum fairly comparable in the content of sugar shown to be present in juice, with those more recently obtained; but at present no one, I think, will agree with him in saying that the maximum of sugar is reached "when the seeds are in the milky stage," and his conclusion as to the sugar being more abundant in the middle of the stalk than in the lower or upper portion.

The very close resemblance between the analyses of Prof. Henry, showing the "Development" of sugar, to those obtained at the Department of Agriculture, is marked ; and, his conclusion, that "the cane sugar gradually and rapidly increased, while the glucose slowly decreased, from the time of flowering to the maturity of the seed," is the exact result established, year after year, with every variety of sorghum cultivated at Washington. It is, however, to be regretted, that the examinations of the cane were suspended October third, as the increase in sugar, constant up to and including that date, would doubtless have increased after, and have fully confirmed, for Wisconsin, results thus far secured at Washington, and shown to be true in Boston, Mass., by Prof. Sharpless, in his analysis of the sorghums grown by Heury B. Blackwell, in 1882, in the juice of which 18 per cent of sucrose was found, equal to the best sugar-cane of Cuba.

In view of such a result, it is hardly to be wondered at, that the legislature of Massachusetts should have provided by law a bounty to encourage the development of this new industry.

The analyses of Professors Scovell and Weber, in the main, sustain those made in Wisconsin and Washington, but appear to justify the conclusion that the maximum content of sugar is at the time when
the seed is in the "hardening dough" state. But it is to be said, that only two varieties were carried beyond that state of maturity; and this matter is one of such great practical importance, that a fuller discussion of these apparent exceptions appears justified.
Their results with these two were as follows, averaging the analyses:

| Development. | Orange. |  |  | Amber. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 O O | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | - |  | cin 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Beginning to head. | 10.5. | 5.70 | 4.90 | 10.58 | 8.39 | 3.38 |
| In blossom ........ | 10.63 | 6.10 | 7.12 | 10.61 | 5.43 | 8.42 |
| In milk.. | 10.55 | 5.90 | 6.01 | 10.67 | 3 s0 | 10.30 |
| In hidrdening dough. | 10.65 | 4.11 | 9.76 | $10.6!$ |  | 12.56 |
| Ripe seed.......... | 10.78 | 4.02 | 11.41 | 10.68 | 2.65 | 10.93 |

From this it appears that these results show, that as at Washington so in Illinois, the "Orange" cane was at its maximum content of sugar when the seed was ripe, and had increased 1.65 per cent in amount over that present when the seed was in the "hardening dough." On the other hand, the "Amber" had fallen off 1.63 per cent in its content of sugar between the "hardening dough" stage and ripe seed. In neither case were the examinations, as reported, carried beyond this stage, and as the matter stands there is at least an even case. In explanation of such a result with the Amber, it has been found often true of many varieties, that, during the period of ripening of the seed, there is a slight falling off in the content of the sugar in the juice, as though the demands of the plant at this period for material from which to elaborate the seed was a little in excess of the production of this material, and that there was, therefore, a drawing upon the supply already stored up in the plant; but it was found invariably, as an average result of all the varieties under investigation each year, that, so soon as the seed had ripened, this slight deficiency in sugar in the juice was not only speedily made good, but that the amount of sugar actually increased in the plants. This will be made clear by the consideration of analyses made in Washington of a few of the many varieties which have shown such deportments; as, for example, Early Amber, Early Golden, Golden Syrup, Early Orange, Neeazana, and others.

But by refereuce to the charts showing the average resuits of all the varieties for 1879-82, it will be seen, that the maximum of sugar was in every case attained after the seed was ripe, and was maintained long afterward.

## M. Louis Vilmorin on Sorghum.

M. Louis Vilmorin, of Paris, the well-known seedsman, in 1854, published in the Bon Jardinier Almanac for 1855, pages 41-53, an article on Sorgho sucré of much interest, from which it appears that sorghum was grown as a sugar plant at Florence, in 1766, by Pietro Arduino, and also that M. d'Abadie sent to the Museum in Paris from Abyssinia a collection of seeds containing thirty varieties of sorghum, some plants of which attracted attention from the sugary flavor of their stems. M. Vilmorin calls attention, especially, to the fact, that while the seeds of sorgho from the new importation of Montigny from China, in 1851 (see Dr. Williams' notes on the Chinese sorghum, p. 53 ), were black, and apparently identical with those of the old collections, the seeds of the Florentine plants were described as of a clearbrown color, corresponding to well-recognized differeuces in the sugar sorghum.

I have not extracted any sugar from sorghum; I have only made some determinations by means of the saccharometer, and verified them generally by means of evaporation and a treatment with alcohol.

The following are the results presenting the proportion of sugar existing in the juice from plants gathered at Verrières:


Our calculations, on the basis given above, would show that the returns of one hectare of sorghum would be as follows:

| Stalks and leaves.. | kilograms.. 77,270 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Net stalks | do .... 49,:00 |
| Juice, at 55 per cent to the weight of stalks ( 271 hectoliters) | liters.. 27,115 |
| Sugar, at \& per cent to the juice.......................... | kilograms . 2,169 |
| Absolute alcohol, at 6.3 per cent to the | liters.. 1,708 |

The analogous returns from the beets would be as follows:

| Roots, weight to the hectare | grams . 45,000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Juice, at 80 per cent to the | ,00 |
| Sugar, at 6 per cent to the juice | ,160 |
| Absolute alcohol, at 3 per cent | 1,350 |

The 8 per cent sugar on which I have calculated the yield of sorgho will, perhaps, be considered as too low; but it should not be forgotten that it refers to the crystallizable sugar that can actually be extracted, and I do not, therefore, believe my estimate too low. If I were to make a comparison between the "sorgho" and the "sugar-cane" in a more southerly climate, I have no doubt that the figure representing the product in sugar would rise to a far higher value; but I lack the data required for such a comparison.

After examining the chances of the industrial culture of sorgho, and the considerations that may lead to the adoption of this plant, I have only to furnish
some data obtained from our first experiments, which may afford some indications for further study, or some guide for the first attempts in manufacture.

One of the points which I have endeavored to establish, without, however, obtaining complete success, was this, viz: What is the time, during the period of vegetation, when the stalks begin to contain sugar, and, consequently, what is the moment when the manufature may commence? It appeared to me that this time coincided with that of the appearance of the ears; but the proportion of sugar existing in the cane keeps on increasing up to the time when the seeds pass into the milky stage. I have noticed that the richness in sugar in a plant while blooming, diminished gradually from the lower to the upper part of the stalk in the spaces between the joints, and also that the lower portion of each one of these interspaces is younger and less rich in sugar than the upper one. Such being the case, the middle of the stalk is the richest portion, for the lower joints are hard and small. I have not been able to ascertain it with exactness, but I suppose that at a later period the spaces between the joints in the lower part of the stalk become impoverished, or, if the juice does not grow poorer, it at least diminishes in quantity.
The ripeness of seeds does not seem to reduce, to any considerable degree, the production of sugar, at least in our climate; but as maturity is reached at the end of the season, and our plants, consequently, continue to advance in richness with the development of vegetation, the effect of maturity on these phenomena can hardly be determined. This question can be solved only in those countries where the seeds of the plant mature before the warm season is over. According to M. de Beauregard's report, addressed to the "Comice de Toulon," maturity would seem to have had no injurious influence within the limits of his experience; and he considers seed and sugar as two products, which can be obtained jointly. On the other hand, the Zulu Caffres are accustomed to snatch, by an abrupt pull, the panicles away from their plants as soon as they show themselves, in order to increase the sugary quality of the stalks. But this question has, after all, no importance in respect to France, since here ripeness will never take place too soon to prove detrimental.

The fullowing analyses were made by Professors Henry and Sirenson, of Wisconsin State University, in 1881-2, and, as they say, the conclusions to be drawn from them are very indefinite. It is unfortunate that the development of each sample is omitted from their report.

| Character of soil. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Variety. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandy lo | May 15. | Oct. 5 | 1218 | 48.0 | 7.29 | 3.94 | Oct. 8. | Early Orange. |
| Sandy loam. | May 20. | Oct. 5 | $12121 / 2$ | 60.0 | 11.14 | 3.40 | Uct. 8. | Early Amber. |
| Clayey... |  |  | $12{ }^{12}$ | 53.1 |  | 5.45 | Oct. 10. | Early variety. |
| Clayey | May 15. | Oct. 6 | $13103 / 4$ | 58.8 | 9.92 | 2.96 | Oct. 10. | Early Amber. |
| Clay loam | J'ne 2\%; | Oct. 5 | $12103 / 4$ | 62.8 | 6.25 | 5.12 | Oct. 10. | Early Amber. |
| Sandy loam. | May 26. | Sep. 6 | 121212 | 52.0 | 12.83 | 2.91 | Oct. 10. | Early A mber. |
| Heavy clay loam | May 15. |  | 12103 | 558 | 4.88 | 5.23 | Oet. 11. | Larly Amber. |
|  |  |  | 1293 | $\overline{9} 9.0$ | 8.95 | 3.45 | Oct. 11 | Early Amber. |
| Sandy 1 | May 20. |  | $12121 / 2$ | 56.0 |  | +. 50 | Oct. 11. | Early Amber. |
|  |  |  | 5 51/2 | 59.0 | 6.75 | 5.78 | Oct. 11. | Early Amber. |
|  |  |  | 1113 | 61.5 | 7.08 | 5. 36 | Oct. 11. | Early Amber. |
| Light loam. | May 15. | Oct. 10. | 1212 | 52.0 | 13.11 | 3.22 | Oct. 12 | Early Amber. |
| Light loam | May 12. | Oct. 10 | 12113 | 51.1 | 13.63 | 2.65 | Oct. 13. | Early Amber. |
| Black Ioám | May 26. | Oct. 6. | $12151 / 2$ | 56.4 | 10.87 | -. 59 | Oct. 12. | Early Amber. |
| Clay. | May 6, | Oct. 6. | 128 | 54.3 | 6:00 | 8.43 | Oct. 13 | Early Amber. |
| Prairie loam | June ${ }^{3}$ | Oct. 6 | 1212 | 58.3 | 6.23 | 7.56 | Oct. 12 | Early Amber. |
| Sandy loam | June 1. | Oct. 13 | $1273 / 1$ | 58.1 | 6.11 | 3.98 | Oct. 14 | Early Amber. |
| Clay land.. | May 5. | Oct. 12 | 1214 | 60.7 | 7.61 | 1.50 | Oct. 14 | Early Amber. |
| Clay land. | June 1. | Oct. 12. | $12{ }^{12} 8$ | 54.3 | 6.59 | 3.96 | Oct. 11. | Early Amber. |
| Sandy loam. | May 10. | Sep. 24. | 1211 | 63.6 | 10.31 | 6.02 | Wet. 14. | Early Amber. |
| Black loam | May 16. | Scp. 2 s . | $12111 / 2$ | 71.7 |  | - 49 | Oct 14 | Earl amber. |
| Very sandy | May 12. | Sep. \% | 131118 | 66.6 | 9.07 | 5. 36 | Oct. 11. | Early Orange. |
| Sanioy loam | May 2. | Oct. 6. | 1213 | 57.7 | 4.50 | 9.50 | Oct. 14. | Stewart's Mybrid. |
| Clay: | $\text { May } 12 .$ | Oct. \& | 1263 | ${ }^{\circ} 1.8$ | S. 70 | S 20 | Oct 21. | Golden lmphee. |
| Wash oí barn-yard | $\text { May } 24$ | Oet. 11. | 6 41/4 | 58.8 | 5.77 | 5.60 | Oct. 21 | Farly Amber. |
| Clay............ | May 15. | Oct. 2 | $\begin{array}{ccc}9 & 5 \\ 19 & 14\end{array}$ | 55. 5 |  | 5. 63 | Oct. 21 | Firly Amber. |
|  |  |  | $12141 / 2$ | 51.7 |  | $6.90$ | Nov. - | Kansas Orange. |
| Clay soil Black soil | May 20. | sep. 10. sep. 10. | $1212{ }^{12}$ | 58.7 19.0 | 6.4.3 | 6.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 2 \\ & \text { Nov. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | Farly Amber. Early Amber. |

It is hardly possible to draw any definite conclusions from the above analyses, as many samples were not received for several weeks after being cut. It will be seen, however, that nearly all those samples which were analyzed within but a few days after being cut contain a large proportion of cane sugar, while those which were analyzed after a longer period of time show a hish content of glucose and a low proportion of cane sugar. This corroborates my statement in the first part of this report, and shows the necessity of working up the cane directly from the field, in order to get the best results.

It will also be seen, that all the samples conspicuous for their high content of cane sugar are raised on a light soil, usually sandy loam, while those raised on heavy clay land contain large proportions of glucose. It, therefore, appears that, in order to obtain a maximum content of cane sugar, the cane should be yrown on a light soil. For making syrup alone, the cane raised on clayer land will do about as well, as the high content of glucose will not materially affect the quality of the syrup.

Twenty-six varieties of cane were grown on the university farm during the past season, some of which were from seeds kindly sent by Dr. Collier. The following table shows the results of my examinations:

| Variety of Cane. |  | Stage of the seed. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinese, No. 1.. | Lbs. Oz. | Dough | 7281.76 |
| Ohinese, No. $3 .$. | 8 | Milk | 7.71 .1 sis |
| Chinese, N̦o. 3.. | 1436 |  | 7261.75 |
| Chinese, No. 4. | $9 \frac{12}{12}$ |  | 7 Nol is |
| Chinese, No. 5. | 9 | Dough | 7.15 |
| Chinese, No. 6.. | 14 | Milk | +181.61 |
| India, No. 1..... | 16 | Hard dough | 9.451 .65 |
| ludia, No. 2.... | 14 | Hard dough | 9.261 .64 |
| Honduras. | $1{ }^{6}$ | Dough . . . | 891312 |
| Miller | $10 \frac{1}{2}$ | Ripe ... | 101824 |
| sumpe | 16 | Doughy | 15.77 7.63 |
| Gonse Neck. | 11 | Drush | 13) $0 \div 2$ |
| White Mammoth |  | Milk | 8.153.26 |
| Gray Top. | 113 | Milk | $9.54 \geq 7$ |
| Neeazana. | $131 / 2$ | Hard dough. | 9.123.22 |
| Early Amber... | $1 \stackrel{2}{1}$ | Ripe ..... | 13:04.1.96 |
| l,ink's Hybrid. | 112 | Milk ...... | 11 8s 177 |
| Honey.. | 22 | Milk....... | 9 9 2223.45 |
| Liberian | 1 61/2 |  | 1130238 |
| Kansas Orange. | 1 312 | Hard dough. | $10.99 \mid 232$ |
| Early Orange. | 114 | Dough. ... | 716357 |
| White Liberian | $11.41 / 2$ | Doughy... | 11.141 .78 |
| Canada Amber. | 12 | Ripe........ | 10.742 .97 |
| Texas amber. | 1212 | Ripe ... ... | $1365 \times 9$ |
| lllinois Amber | 12 | Ripe........ | 11.621 .65 |

The only variety used for sugar making was the Early Amber. Three separate plats were planted, of $3,6,2$, and $l_{\ddagger}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ acres respectively. The latter plat was used for experiments with fertilizers.

## Development.

The development of the Early Amber cane raised on this farm may to some extent be seen from the following analyses, which have been made by me during the summer and fall:
August 10:
cane sugar.. ..... 3.00
Glucose ..... 4.50
Augnst 20 : Cime sugar. ..... 8.20
Gilucose'. ..... 510
September 6: Cabe sugar. ..... 929
Glucose. ..... 4.20
September 14:
Cane sugar. ..... 996
Glucose.... ..... 3.45
Scptember 17: Cane sugar. ..... 9 \&
Glucose. ..... 3.32
September 20: Came sugar. ..... 1002
Glucose.. ..... 3.23
September 22:
Cane sugar. ..... 110.5
Glucose. ..... 2.60

| September 23:* |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cane sugar.. | 8.59 |
| (ilumose | 3.50 |
| Ser: ${ }^{\text {ember 29:* }}$ |  |
| ('ance sugar. | 860 |
| ('lucose.... | 3.50 |
| September 29:* |  |
| cane sugar.. | 861 |
| chlucose... | 3.44 |
| October : $:$ |  |
| ('ane sugar.. |  |
| Glucose...... | 2.43 |

From these we see that the cane sugar gradually and rapidly increased, while the glucose slowly decreased, from the time of flowering to the maturity of the seed. During the latter part of September, most of the cane was lodged by a very violent wind and rain storm. The juice from the stalks that were lodged was charged with a red coloring matter, the inside of the entire stalk being in many cases of a bright red color. Several of the stalks contained but a small portion of red coloring matter, but instead had a peculiar yellow and watery appearance, and quite a disagreeable taste. The juices from these contained on an average only 8 per cent sugar, and 4.8 per cent glucose.

The following letter, giving the results obtained by Mr. Blackwell, will be read with interest:

Maine Beet Sugar Company, 5 Park St., Boston, December 7th, 1882. To the Cane Growers' Association, St. Louis, Mo.
Gents-Having been a sugar refiner, and, more recently, the treasurer and managing director of the Maine Beet Sugar Company, which made in two years ( 1879 and 1880) $\$ 200,000$ worth of sugar and syrup from beets, raised in New England, I desire to make some practical suggestions for the manufacture of sugar from sorghum. I have recently become convinced, by the experiments of Prof. Collier, of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., that the juice of well matured sorghum is equal to that of the sugar-cane. This summer, I raised an experimental crop of "Early Amber" and "White Liberian," from seed obtained at Washington, in my garden here in Boston. In the last week of October, I cut this cane, fully matured, and still uninjured by frost. The juice gauged $11^{\circ}$ Baume, when clarified, and proved so fine that I had it analyzed by S. P. Sharpless, state assayer, 114 State street, Boston, with the following result:

| Water | 78.18 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Calur sugar |  |
| Inverted sugar | 2.89 |
| Gum, ete. | . 84 |

This juice had been defecated by lime in excess-the lime being mostly precipitated afterward by phosphoric acid. The "Ash" indicated above was largely lime and phosphate of lime held in solution, the result of defecation.
This being fully equal to average West India juice. I made a few pounds of
*This cane was lodged by storm.
sugar orer the open fire, without the use of bone-black, or of any chemical except lime. I send you a sample of the sugar obtained. It was not washed in the centrifugal, and so is darkened by the adhering alkaline syrup. Still, the sample polarized 90 per cent pure sugar. I got exactly one-half the weight of the boiled syrup in this sugar at the first running. The syrup I filtered through bone-black to remove the lime. From it I got 50 per cent of sugar at second running, a sample of which I also inclose. Thus I obtained without any method of evaporation but open fire, $\bar{i} 5$ per cent of sugar from the boiled juice.

I kept a part of my canes for five weeks in a shed, a part of the time at a freezing temperature. December 4 th. I worked some of these, and got, by the diffusion process, 10 per cent of weight of canes in excellent syrup, weighing twelve pounds to the gallon, and of quality equal to that from the same cane when first cut.
I will now make a few suggestions for the profitable making of sugar from sorghum. Two conditions are needed: 1. The cane should not be worked until nearly ripe; the seed should be hard before the cane is cut. 2. The same processes, so successfully applied to the beet in Europe, should be applied to the sorghum. These processes are, briefly, as follows:

1. The juice should be treated with a very considerable excess of lime, so as to make it decidedly alkaline, at a temperature not excoding $160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Without this excess of lime, a perfect defecation is impossible.
2. The lime should then be almost wholly precipitated in the juice, by the injection of carbonic acid gas.
3. The slightly alkaline thin juice should then be filtered through cloth by filter presses, or otherwise.
4. It should then be passed through bone-black, and thoroughly decolorized.
5. It should then be boiled down, either by open evaporator, or, far better and cheaper, by exhaust steam in a double-effect vacuum pan to about $25^{\circ}$ Batume.
6. It should again be filtered through fresh bone-black, to remove all color.
7. It should then be grained in the vacuum pan.
8. It should be purged in a centrifugal.

The first product should be standard granulated sugar; the second product should be bright yellow, and the third product light brown, refined sugar-a total product of about 75 per cent of the boiled juice. The process above described will be substantially adopted when the manufacture of sugar from sorghum is put upon its permanent business basis. It will be done, if at all, on a large scale, and with large profit.

The gumminess and difficulty of crrstallization complained of in sorghum is due either to unripeness, or to injury by frost, or to an imperfect defecation. No properly defecated juice ever needs to be skimmed while boiling It must be remembered that syrups designed for sugar require different treatment from those which are not intended to crsstallize. The presence of gum and regetable matter add to the volume of syrup, without greatly deteriorating its color. if carefully handled, but is extremely detrimental to crystallization. No subsequent manipulation will cure an imperfect defecation.

Another important consideration is, that the mills now in use, no matter how powerful, do not express more than about two-thirds of the saccharine juice. These
should be supplemented, wherever plenty of water can ive had, by a diffusion battery, whereby the whole of the sugar can be washed out of the cane by a stream of warm water ( $170^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.) , which displaces and drives the sweet juice before it. This, however, can not be profitably done without a double-effect vacuum pan, whereby an economical evaporation is effected.

The inproved processes above described are not my own invention, but are used in hundreds of factories here and in Europe. They can not be patented or monopolized, and are not likely ever to be superseded, because they are the result of a century of scientific research.

Yours, respectfully,
Hexry B. Blackwell.
Professors Weber and Scovell report the following results of their analyses, in 1880 and 1881:

TABLE SHOWING THE DEVELOPMEYT AND CHANGE OF SUGARS IN SORGHUM.

| Stage of development. | $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | Date. | $\frac{8}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning to head | 1 | Aug. 14, 1880 | Orange. | 1.050 | 5.70 | 4.90 \} | 4.14 |
|  | 2 | Aug. 10, 1881 | Amber.. | 1058 | 8.39 | 3.38 |  |
| In blossom | 3 | lug. 25, 1880. | Orange | 1.062 | 610 |  | 7.77 |
|  | 4 | lug. 10, 1881. | Amber.. | 1.066 | 513 | 8.42 |  |
| Seed soft and milky | 5 | Aug. 14, 1880 | Amber.. | 1.06 .5 | $3: 34$ | 10.75 | $\text { \} } 8.6$ |
| Seed soit and miry |  | sept. 6, 1880 | Orange.. | 1.068 | 5.00 | 913 |  |
| Seed in hardening dough. |  | Aug. 10, 1881 | Amber... | 1.068 | 4.25 | ${ }_{12} 9.81$ |  |
|  |  | Lug. 12, 1881. | aranue. | 1.070 | \% 6.75 | 12.75 |  |
|  | 10 | Sept. 1, 1881. | Orange. | $\begin{array}{ll}1.048 \\ 1 & 048\end{array}$ | 6.11 6.58 | 3.71 5.19 |  |
|  | 11 | Aug. 25, 1880. | Amber.. | 1068 | $\cdots$ | 12.48 | >11.95 |
|  |  | Sept. 16, 1880 | Orange. | 1.005 | 4.11 | 9.76 |  |
| Seed ripe | 13 | Aug. 10, 188 l . | Amber... | 1.074 | 36 | 10.10 |  |
|  |  | Aug. 12, 1581 | ...do.. | 1074 | $\cdots$ | 13.37 |  |
|  | 15 | Aug. 16, 1s, 1. | - do . | 1070 | 3.92 | 11.89 |  |
|  |  | Ang. 16, 1881. | do. | 1072 | 3.00 | 1:3.6i |  |
|  |  | Aug. 1!, 1581. | . do. | 1.167 | 3.46 | 1249 |  |
|  |  | Aug. 19, 1881. | . . do. | 1074 | 3. 10 | $1 ; 18$ |  |
|  |  | Aug. 19, 1881 | . . do. | 1176 |  | 1:3 64 |  |
|  |  | Aug. 19, 1881 | . . do | 11070 1070 | 2.98 3.26 | 12.60 |  |
|  |  | Sept. 1, $1 \times 81$. | Ciberian | 1.160 | 3.64 | 10.24 |  |
|  |  | Supt. 1, 1881 | Amber.. | 1.0683 | 2.61 | $1: 37$ |  |
|  |  | sept. 1, 1-sı. | do... | 10.56 | 2.18 | 11.14 |  |
|  |  | Sept. 1, 1881. | Cbinese. | 1.05 | 4.1:3 |  |  |
|  | 26 | sept. 6, 1sso | Amber.. | 1064 |  | 11 42 <br> 11 $0^{2}$ <br>   <br>   |  |
|  | 27 | Sept. 16, 1880 | . . do.. | 1.06\% | 2 <br>  <br> 3 | $\begin{array}{ll}11 & 0 \\ 10 & 06\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | Oet. $\mathrm{f}, 1860$ | Orange | 1.178 | 1812 | 11.41 | 11.18 |
|  |  | Sept. 9, 1881. | I. I.U. | 1.070 | 29 | 1348 | 11.18 |
|  |  | 1 Sept. 1, 1881 | Amber.. | 1070 | $\bigcirc 71$ | 1076 |  |
|  |  | Sept. $\frac{2}{5}, 1881$ | ...do... | 1107 | 3.61 3.16 | 111.57 |  |
|  |  | Sept. . 5, 1881 | ...do. | 1.067 | 3.16 | 11 76 |  |

The analyses made in 1880 , numbers $1,3,5,6,11,12,26,27,28$, and 29, were from cane grown upon the University farm.

FIELD CROPS IX 1882.


ANALYSIS OF FIELD CROPS.


ANAIYSIS OF PLATS 1 TO 11.

|  | Plat. | Specifie gravity. | Cane sugar. | Grape sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept. 2 | 1 | 14.20 | 8.81 | 848 |
| Sept | $\underline{2}$ | 1420 | 8.31 | 8.45 |
| " | 3 | 14.20 | 8.31 | \% 45 |
| " | 4 | 14.20 | 8.31 | 345 |
| " | 5 | 14.20 | 8.81 | 3.45 |
| " | (i) | 14.20 | 8:31 | 345 |
| $\because$ | 7 | 1420 | 8.31 | 3.45 |
| " | 8 | 14.20 | 8.81 | 3.48 |
| " | 9 | 1420 | $8: 1$ | 3.48 |
| " | 10 | 14.10 | 945 | 3.11 |
| " | 11 | 1410 | 94.5 | 3.11 |
| Oct. 21 | 1 | 1.070 | 11.50 | 1.71 |
| ${ }_{6}{ }^{-1}$ | 10 | 1. 074 | 12.5\% | 15 |
|  | 1 | 1.058 | 8.31 | $\therefore 49$ |
|  |  | 1.037 | 411 | 370 |
|  | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 14. 6 | 7.80 | 4.80 |
|  | $\div 6$ | 17.2 | 12.62 | 2.58 |

[^8]$\div$ Topped while in blossom.

Professor Geo. H. Cook, director of the New Jersey Experiment Station, reports the following results of his analyses of several varieties of Sorghum in 1881:
The sorghum was grown on the college farm, and the chemical work carried out in the laboratory of the experiment station. The investigation includes the trial of different varieties of sorghum, with special reference to their time of ripening and percentage of sugar, as well as the study of the effect of different fertilizing ingredients, applied singly and in combination, upon the yield of sugar and seed.

The field selected for the experiment is thoroughly underdrained, rather heavy piece of land, cropped last year with field corn grown on sod, to which a liberal dressing of barn-yard manure had been applied. On that portion devoted to the trial of different varieties, Mape's sorghum manure was used this year immediately before planting, at the rate of 600 pounds per acre. . The seeds were kindly furnished by Dr. Peter Collier, chemist of the United States Department of Agriculture.

Dr. Collier, in his valuable reports, has clearly shown that the condition of the ripening seed may be taken as an index to the condition of the juice of the plant. When the seeds have become so hard that they can no longer be split with the finger-nail, the stalks will contain the maximum amount of sugar and minimum of glucose, and when this stage is reached, the plant may be regarded as matured.
The importance of using great care in the choice of seed is illustrated by the following list of varieties:

| Wolf Tail | to mature before frost. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Link's Hybrid | do. |
| Liberian |  |
| Early Amber | seed failed to germinate. |
| Neeazana | Failed to mature before frost. |
| Gonse Neck | Matured. |
| Sorghum. | do. |
| Early Orange | Failed to mature before frost. |
| Oomseeana. | Matured. |
| Gray Top. | Failed to mature before frost. |
| African | Matured. |
| Hondura | Failed to mature before frost. |
| Chinese |  |
| Early Golden | Matured. |

Of the fourteen varieties, five only matured. Their relative value to the manufacturer is shown below:


Professor Cook says that the Early Amber also matured, yielding, under favorable conditions, 162 pounds of sugar per ton of stripped and topped cane. Judging from this experiment, the choice of variety for this section of the State is linited to the Early Amber and Early Golden.

Professor Wiley, of the Indiana State University, reports the following analyses of sorghum juices, made in 1882 :

ANALYSIS OF CANE JUICE OBTAINED FROM THE MLLL OF THE LAFAYETTESUGAR REFINERY.

|  | Variety of cane. |  |  |  |  |  | \|cc|c | Remarks. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Amber | Oct. 8 | 7.23 |  | 1.0604 | 11.99 | 281 | River bottom. |
|  | Orange | Oct. 9 | 9.02 |  | 10.568 | 13.15 | 0 ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | College Farm. |
| 3 | Orange.. | Oct. 9 | 8.76 |  | 1.0533 | 13.10 | 050 | College Farm. |
| 4 | Amber. | Oct. 11 | \$.00 | 3.40 | 1.0510 | 11.40 |  | second bottom. |
| ( | Orance | Oct. 11 | 10.46 | 883 | 1.16:30 | 14.29 |  |  |
| ( | Amber <br> Orauge. | Oct. 12 | 5.39 |  | 1 1.06:30 |  |  | Clay upland. |
| 8 | tmber. | Oct. 13 | 7.85 | 6.06 | 1.0591 | 13.89 | 061 | * |
|  | Orange | Oct. 13 | 8.74 | 5.03 | 105.3 | 13.83 | 010 |  |
| $1)$ | Unknown | Oct. 11 | 7.80 | $\because 96$ | 1.051 is | 10.76 | 3.14 | $\dagger$ |
| 11 | Orange. | Oct 14 | 4.81 | 11.7 | 1.0669 | 1603 | 0.27 |  |
| 12 | Amber. | Oct. 14 | 7.81 | 5. | 1.0557 | 13.38 |  | Kept 5 days in shed. |
| 13 | Orange.. | Uct. 14 Oct. 16 | ${ }_{9}^{9} \cdot 63$ | 13.58 | 1.0709 | $16 \geqslant 0$ | 1.00 0.40 | $\ddagger$ |
| 15 | Orange. | Oct. 16 Oct. 21 | 579 | ${ }_{6} 6.31$ | $1.02{ }^{1}$ | 12.40 | 0.70 |  |
| $1{ }^{\text {fi }}$ | Orange | Oct. 23 | 4.69 | 5.15 | 1.04 .3 | 9.81 | 136 |  |
| 17 | Unknown. | Oct. 23 | 6 697 | 5.87 | 1.0310 | 128 | 0.00 |  |
| 18 | Amber | Oct. 23 | 9.21 | 4.01 | $1.0 .59$ | 13.22 | 1.28 |  |
| 19 | Amber. Orange | Oct. Oct. O7 | 7.80 8.060 | 5.62 | 1.0607 | 13.40 |  | Stood in shed 4 weeks. Stood in shed 8 dars |
| 21 | Orange. | Oct. 27 | 903 | 5.53 | 1.0663 | 14.55 | 1.65 | Stood in shed 2 weeks. |

* Upland. Sent in by car load, and stood orer a week.
$\div$ Creek bottom, but cut and left in field 5 days.
I Cut and left in field 7 days; warm, rainy weather.

ANALYSIS OF JCICE OF SORGHUM, FROM AN EXPERIMENTAL FARM.

|  | Variety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 毝 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | White Liberia | 550 | 28119 | 1S: | 33.7 | 66 | 7.00 | 427 | 1.64 | 7.50 | 1.0503 | 1127 | S6-50 | 2.23 |
| $2^{*}$ | White Liberian | 2:20 | 1054 ? |  |  |  | 11.00 | 4.67 | 2.36 | 8.00 | 1.03:3 | 1567 | St 3 | 1600 |
| 3 | Miller | 350 | 17325 | 120 | 34.3 | 65.7 | 5.99 | :3.21 | 1.85 | 8.00 | 1.035 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9.11 | 8551 | 586 |
| 4 | Bear Tail | 360 | 17520 | 130 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 6.00 | 3.75 | 1.60 | 7.75 | 10366 | 9.75 | 86.10 | 415 |
| 5 | African. | 2s0 | 13860 |  |  |  | 6.67 | 6.06 | 1.10 | 8.00 | 1.0.56 | 12.78 | 8.50 | 1.77 |
| 6 | Goose Neck. | 82 | 1.510 | s0 | 25 |  | 563 | 7.61 | 074 | 7.75 | 1.0566 | 13.27 | 86. 211 | (1. 53 |
| 7 | Stump. | 600 | $2{ }^{2} 000$ | 20s | :3.7 | 65 \% | 5.01 | 537 | 0.94 | 8.00 | 1.0.58 6 | 10.41 | Si, 50 | 4.09 |
| 8 | Veeazana | 46.) | 20925 | 188 | 41.7 | 25S. 3 | 10.45 | 6.11 | 1.71 | 950 | 10709 | 16.59 | $8: 90$ | 061 |
| 9 | Hond | 2x) | 1:3460 |  | $\stackrel{2}{7} .8$ | 72 | 69.7 | , 80 | 120 | 700 | 1.0 .501 | 1275 | 878 | 1100 |
| 10 | Honcy | 5:30 | 27120 | $20: 3$ | 38.3 | 61.7 | 6.64 | 4.11 | 1.05 | 7.511 | 1.0 .85 | 1275 | ¢6. 50 | 0 75 |
| 11 | Link's | 3:3, | 18125 |  |  |  | 6.23 | 6.19 | 1.01 | 850 | 10626 | 1:41 | 84.70 | $)^{-3.8!}$ |
| 12 | White Mammoth. | 275 | 15, | 100 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 792 | 4.07 | 1.94 | 7.75 | 10.763 | 11.99 | 56 | 1.51 |
| 3\% | Gray Top. | 60.) | 2994 | 207 | 34.2 | 65.8 | 6.38 | 448 | 14. | 5.75 | 1.0645 | 10.86 | 8:3.18 | 4.9 ถ̀ |
| 14 | Regular. | 370 | 18936 |  | 40 | 60 | 8.92 | 428 | 2.09 | 8.00 | 1.0 .866 | 13.21 | 85.60 | 1. 29 |
| 1.5 | Orange | 1020 | 32500 | $3: 3$ | $3: 5$ | 67.5 | 6.69 | 5.28 | 1.27 | 8.75 | 1.0643 | 11.97 | 84.30 | \|3 73 |

Remarks.-Nos. 1, 2, and 3, lay in shed 4 days after cutting; Nos. 4. 5, 6, and 7, lay 5 or 6. All after this were worked within 3 or 4 days after cutting.

## CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF SORGHUM.

Professors Weber and Scovell give the following analysis of sorghum in their report:

Composition of stalks of Orange Cane in 100 parts:


According to the above analysis it will be seen, that, if in the juice we include the water and soluble constituents, there would be present 91 per cent of juice, and 9 per cent of insoluble matter, starch, fiber, ash, etc. But it is well known that in pressing the cane a certain portion of these insoluble matters are mechanically removed, and go to increase the estimated amount of juice yielded by the mill, which is

[^9]rarely as high as 60 per cent, often not over 45 per cent, of the weight of the stalk pressed. It is clear, therefore, that there is a loss of from one-third to one-half of the juice, and approximately the same loss of the sugar present in the cane.

The extent of this loss is such, that it will be more fully discussed in the chapter on "Waste products," page 376.

## Character and Composition of Sorghum Juice-Chemical Changes in Sugar Making.

In order that the sugar boiler may understand the nature of this operation, and the character of the problem to be solved in defecation, as also to assist those who may desire to experiment for themselves in an effort to improve the present method, the following statement as to the character and chemical composition of the juice of sorghum is given, as also an account of certain of the chemical changes to which it is subject under certain conditions which naturally would exist in the ordinary operations of sugar making.

## Sorgham Juice.

The juice expressed from the sorghum at or near maturity is a liquid containing quite a large amount of suspended matter, giving it a color varying from green to a deep brown. This suspended matter is deposited to a greater or less extent on standing, and consists of very fine starch granules, colored violet blue by iodine, and easily discolored by the acids of the juice, fiber, and albumen, with the green coloring matter of the outer portions of the stalk, and sometimes a red coloring matter from the center of the stalk.

After allowing it to settle a few minutes, it has a specific gravity of from 1.06 to 1.09 , and contains in solution, in addition to the substances in suspension, most prominently sucrose, with smaller amounts of glucose, aconitic acid, soluble albumen, amide bodies, and inorganic salts. It can be freed from albumen, organic, and some inorganic acids, by means of basic acetate of lead-and this method of defecation is in use in most laboratories in the analytical determination of the content of sugar in the cane. The filtrate, after the addition of the acetate of lead, contains in addition to the sugars nothing which reduces Fehling's copper solution, with the exception possibly of a very small amount of amide substances.

The following examination of a juice collected on November 2nd, 1881, though somewhat late in the scason and after a slight frost, will illustrate some points in the general composition :

## WHITE LIBERIAN CANE JUICE.



The inorganic part of the juice consists of soluble silica, iron, lime, magnesia, potash, phosphates, sulphates, chlorides, nitrates.

All attempts to detect gum or any carbo-hydrates other than glucose and sucrose in the juice, before it has changed its character by standing, have failed.

After the juice has been left to itself, with or without the addition of ferments, it undergoes certain changes.

In the first place, it deposits a white substance which, under the microscope, shows the organized structure of starch. The granules are, however, much smaller than most starches, and do not give as deep a blue color with iodine, the color fading out in a short time. On longer standing, there collects a greenish precipitate on the surface of the starch, containing fiber, albumen, and coloring matter. The supernatant liquor, however, never becomes entirely clear.

During the course of from twenty-four to forty-eight hours in warm weather fermentation sets in, even with no addition of yeast. The products are not strictly those of the vinous fermentation, neither are they entirely like the lactic. Much lactic acid is, however, formed, torether with a large amount of mannite and a smaller amount of alcohol, acetic acid, glycerine, and succinic acid. The same thing takes place even when quite large quantities of yeast have been added to the juice.

It the juice immediately on extraction is filtered through paper and allowed to stand, the cellulosic fermentation sets in, and over night white clots of cellulose, or a similar substance, settle out on the walls of the containing vessel. What the products in solution are, under these circumstances, has not been investigated. If the expressed juice is immediately mixed with numerous slices of fresh cane and left to itself, lactic fermentation is probably the form to be expected. The same form of fermentation always occurs on adding slices of cane to a pure sugar solution. If, however, to the juice sufficient slices of cane are added to fill the vessel as nearly as possible with them, then the mucous fermentation takes place. After a few days the liquid becomes sticky, and alcohol precipitates from it a ropy slime, not easily soluble in water, and resembling the gum found in many sorghum syrups.

## The Acidity of Corn and Sorghum Juices.

The juices of four varieties of sorghum and two of corn have been examined at various intervals during the growth of the canes to determine their acidity, and with the result presented in the following tables.

While it is impossible to draw any very definite general conclusions, owing to the great irregularity in the amount of acid present in juices expressed from canes in the same stage of development, it is apparent that in all but one of the varieties which have been examined, there is a greater amount of free acid in the juice during the later stages of growth. Two of the sorghums show besides an apparent decrease to about the eighth or ninth stage, followed by an increase later on in the development of the canes. Beyond these conclusions it is impossible to go.

The determinations were made in the following manner:
The method employed for the volumetric determination of the acid present in sorghum or maize stalk juice was as follows:

50 c. c. of the juice, usually of a greenish or greenish brown color, was titrated with a fiftieth normal solution of sodium hydrate $\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{50} \mathrm{NaOH}\right)$.

The acice was calculated as malic acid= $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$.
1 c. c. of $\frac{\mathrm{n}}{50} \mathrm{HNaO}=.00134$ malic acid.
As the most practical indicator for the final test of saturation of the acid juice by soda solution, a dilute solution of extract of logwood was used, which, when added to the juice containing a slight excess of NaOH , turned to a bluish purple or violet color. This final reaction was made in small porcelain dishes, into which a few drops of juice were brought and some drops of logwood added by means of a glass rod. Before this point of neutrality was reached, red and blue litmus paper was employed.

The calculation was as follows:
A sample of sorghum juice, 50 c. c. required-

1. 44 c. c. of $\frac{\mathrm{n}}{50} \mathrm{HNaO}$ solution for saturation; hence 100 c. c. of juice $44 \times 2=88.0$.
2. $88 \times .00134($ malic acid $)=.11792$.
3. $\frac{.11792 \text { (malic acid) }}{1.0660 \text { (specific grav. of juice) }}=.11051$ gram of malic acid in 100 grams of juice.

## SORGHUM JUICE ACIDITY.

Early Amber.

| Date. | Stage** | $\begin{gathered} \text { c. c. of } \\ \frac{11}{50} \mathrm{HNaO} \\ \text { for } 100 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c} . \\ \text { of juice. } \end{gathered}$ | Specific gravity of juice. | Per cellt of acid as malic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuly 23 | 7 | 96 | 1041 | 123 |
| Jinly 25 | 9 | 100 | 1047 | 123 |
| July 25 | 9 | $1: 0$ | 10.19 | 153 |
| July 28 | 9 | 124 | 10.3 | 158 |
| July 29 | 10 | 46 | 10.78 | .121 |
| Aus. 1 | 11 | 120 | 1066 | . 151 |
| Allg. 8 | 12 | 1.5 | 1072 | .195 |
| Angr. 24. | 15 | 196 | 10 N | $\therefore 24$ |
| A 1 \% 26 | 1.5 | 192 | 1080 | 238 |
| Ang. 27 | 1.7 | 151 | 1091 | 192 |
| Aug. 81 | 16 | 1:8 | 1091 | .167 |
| Sept. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 17 | $160)$ | 1091 | . 196 |
| Sept. 7 | 17 | 192 | 1049 | . 236 |
| Supt. 10 | 18 | 196 936 | 1081 | . 243 |
| Sept. 17 | 18 | 296 | 1088 | . 291 |

Golden Syrup.

| D.ate. | Stage. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. c. of } \\ & \frac{1}{5} \text { HNaO } \\ & \text { for } 100 \text { c.c. } \\ & \text { of juice. } \end{aligned}$ | Specific gravity of juice. | Per cent of acid as malic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 27 | 10 | 132 | 1.0 .9 | 170 |
| Aug. 1 | 11 | 154 | 1.073 | 195 |
| Aur. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12 | 160 | 1.075 | 200 |
| Aug. 19 | 14 | 184 | 1.083 | 228 |
| Ang 24 | 1.5 | 15 | 1085 | 188 |
| Alis. 26 | 1.7 | 196 | 1046 | 239 |
| Ang, 29 | 16 | 17.3 | 11109 | 213 |
| Ang. 31 | 16 | $19 \%$ | 1 (14) | 2 |
| sepit. 3 | 17 | 164 | 1090 1095 | 201 |
| Stpr. 7 |  |  |  | 311 |
| Sept. 17 | 15 | 2 |  |  |

* For explanation of "stage," see page 119.


## Wolf Tail.

| Date. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Oomseeana.

|  | Date. | Stage. | $\begin{gathered} \text { c.c. of } \\ \frac{n}{50} H N a O \\ \text { for jooc. c. } \\ \text { of juice. } \end{gathered}$ | Specific gravity of juice. | Percent of acid as malic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 28 |  | 1 | 132 | 1.032 | . 171 |
| Aur. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 1 | 6.4 <br> 92 | 1.03. | .083 |
| Aug. 11. |  | $\overline{3}$ | 85 | 1.045 | . 118 |
| Aug. 11. |  | 4 | 92 | 1.043 | 115 |
| Aug. 16. |  | 5 | 72 | 1.055 | . 091 |
| Aug. 16: |  | 6 | 62 | 1.055 | . 079 |
| Alur. 21 |  | 7 | 81 | 1.062 | . 101 |
| A 14 |  | 8 | 80 | 1.061 | 101 |
| Alug. 30 |  | 9 | 11,0 | $1.07{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 125 |
| Sept. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 10 | 96 | 1.075 | 119 |
| Sept. 5 |  | 11 | 100 | 1.073 | 125 |
| Sept. 9 |  | 12 | 6is | 1.065 | . 084 |
| Sept. 15 |  | 1: | 150 | 1.076 | 190 |
| Sept. 27 |  |  | 160 | 1,072 | 200 |

Composition of Ash of Canes and Juices of Sorghum.
The actual composition of the pure ash, both of the whole cane and the expressed juice, are matters of interest and importance. From a careful study of the following figures, it will be seen that the amomit of potash extracted from the soil, is much greater than the amount of any other ash ingredient, while the quantity of phosphoric acid is small. It would seem, then, that the farmer should supply these two constituents, when his soil seems to need them, in about the relative proportions in which they exist in the ash. The following are analyses of two lots of ash from sorghum, and two samples of ash from sorghum juices:
*ANALYSES OF ASH FROM SORGHUM CANES AND JUIOES.

| Constituents. | Canes. |  |  | Juices. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. 1. | No. 2. | No. 3. | No. 1. | No. 2. |
| Potash. $\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 49.66 | 33.77 | 46.48 | +55. 31 | +54.76 |
| Potassium, K | 4.31 |  | . 00 | Trace | 17 |
| Lime, CaO. | 13.49 | 900 | 6.83 | 7.20 | 7.40 |
| Magnesia, Mgo. | 10.47 | 10.28 | 4.64 | 6.36 | 7.85 |
| Irnn Oxide, $\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{O}^{3}$ |  |  | ${ }_{27}{ }^{14} 91$ | $\stackrel{2}{2.01}$ | 1.63 |
| -ulphuric acid, $\mathrm{SO}^{3}$ | 5.55 | 11.70 | 6.23 | 5.11 | 4.11 |
| Phosphoric acid, $\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{O}^{5}$ | 3.64 | 4.50 | 537 | 8.22 | 5. 72 |
| Chlorine, Cl . | 3.91 | 13.24 | . 25 | 9.08 | 15.89 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.63 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

* Analyses Nos. 1 and 2 of canes and juices, were made at the Department of Agriculture. Cane Analysis No. 3 is repo:ted by Professors Weber and Seovell, of Illinois Industrial University.
$\div$ It was thought best in these analyses, to state all the potassium as oxide, although, doubtless, a part existed in the juice in combination with chlorine.


## CHAPTER VIII.

(a.) Extraction of juice from cane.
(b.) Roll mills.
(c.) Varinus methods for the extraction of juice.

## EXTRACTION OF JUICE FRON CANE.

In the production of sugar from plants, the first step is the extraction of the juice; and in this operation the methods generally applied to sugar-cane and sorgum or maize stalks, on the one hand, and to beets upon the other, differ radically, and those methods employed with beets are in their principles and in their results vastly superior to those in general use in the production of sugar from cane.

A recent writer, eminently qualified from long experience to judge of these matters, declares that " the sugar beet industry in all its details has been so exhaustively investigated, in Europe, that it may, without exaggeration, be declared to be at present the most thoroughly developed department in the whole range of iudustrial science. The question of the successful introduction of this industry into the United States rests on purely economic considerations."

In no respect is the perfection to which this industry has been brought more manifest than in the success which attends the processes for the extraction of the juice from the beets, and in no respect are the two methods of sugar production from cane and beets more at variance than in this preliminary step in manufacture.

A glance at the results obtained will suffice to show how much yet remains to be done before the sugar-cane industry shall even approach in practical economy that of the sugar beet.
The results ordinarily obtained in making beet sugar are as follows:
100 pounds of beet roots give-


The above estimate is from "Sugar Growing and Refining," page 387.

With beets of higher sugar content than 10 per cent, the losses
would be proportionately less, and vice versa; but it will be seen that only 5 per cent of the total sugar of the beet is lost in the pulp, while the amount of sugar ordinarily lost in the bagasse of sugar-cane or sorghum is fully one-third of the total amount present in the canes.

This amazing loss, a loss which aggregates at least $\$ 100,000,000$ worth of sugar annually, is due entirely to the imperfect extraction of the juice by the ordinary cane mill.

In the main, the principle of extraction has suffered no change for centuries. The most elaborate and expeusive mills of the sugar plantations of Cuba, capable of crushing hundreds of tons of cane daily, are but modifications of the primitive mills of centuries ago, when, with greater labor and greater loss, a few armsful of cane was the daily capacity of the rude and inexpensive mill.

In the hope that the skill and ingenuity, which has satisfactorily solved so many practical problems which have hindered the full development of many new industries, may be also successfnlly applied to this, it is well to consider briefly the nature of the problem to be solved.

This problem-the complete extraction of the sugar from the plant-is by no means beyond hope of satisfactory solution. It is now brought to the attention of a new class of people, proverbially clever and full of invention, and fortunate in this, that, with a full knowledge of its conditions, they are largely free from the prejudices of long established methods, the practical knowledge of which is likely to retard rather than stimulate invention.

To such a class was the extraction of sugar from beets presented, and, although a comparatively new industry, it has been from its infancy, and to-day is exclusively, in the fostering hands of science, and, as an industry, is fully abreast of the most advanced science of the day. It is to this that the beet is entirely indebted for its success in having become the only rival practically of the sugar-cane in the production of sugar. When but a fraction of the attention which has so far advanced the beet sugar industry shall have been given to sorghum, there can be no doubt but that it will speedily become to us as a people the most profitable and economical source of our sugar supply.

## Structure of the Cane.

The stalks of sugar-cane and of sorghum, when mature, very closely resemble each other in their general character. The stalk of sorghum consists of a solid stem, from $\frac{3}{4}$ to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter, and from 6 to 12 feet long, according to the variety, separated into joints from 6 to 10 inches apart. These joints are nearer together at the butt end
of the stalk than at the upper portion. At each joint a leaf develops alternately upon the side of the stalk, and, as the plant matures, those leaves at the lower parts of the stalk gradually die. The leaves partly enwrap the stalk, and then fall away in blades from 2 to 4 inches in breadth, and from 2 to 3 feet in length. The stalk terminates in a panicle (seed head), which is diffuse and spreading, or close and compact, with seeds varying from brown to white, according to variety.

By cutting across the stalk between the joints, and treating with a solution of iodine, there are seen to exist numerous large fibers, about each of which are clustered small cells of nitrogenous material, with intermediate cells of ordinary cellular tissue, containing the sugar in solution. By splitting the stalk lengthwise through the joint, there is shown, upon the application of iodine, a belt of highly nitrogenized tissue, about one-eighth to three-sixteenth of an inch in width, while distributed along the portion of the stalk between the joints the blue grains of starch appear. The exterior of the stalk is composed of more compacted fibers of woody matter, enveloped in a hard siliceous covering, much resembling the sugar-cane, but less hard. Like the sugar-cane, a slight coating of a waxy substance (cerosie) covers the stalk, especially at the joints. Except that the joints are not so close on the sorghum, and that the stalk is softer in its structure and generally less in diameter than the sugar-cane, the resemblance of the stripped stalks of these two plants is very close.

Owing to the fact, that the water present in the juice of the plant is always far in excess of the amount necessary to hold the sugar in solution, there is no reason to be seeu that the sugar is ever present in the fresh cane in a solid form, as has sometimes been asserted. By means of diffusion, the contents of the cells readily pass from one portion to another of the plant; and during the life of the plant this circulation is constantly going ou, such matters of the juice as are necessary being supplied to the growing parts, while the sugar, which is being elaborated in the leaf cells, is accumulated in the cellular tissue of the stalk, gradually increasing in quantity as the plant approaches maturity.

## Mills for Extracting Juice.

The principle of nearly every mill for the extraction of juice from cane or sorghum, at present in use, depends upou rupturing the cells of the cane by pressure, and in this way expressing the juice. In certain mills this pressure is estimated as equal to 1,200 pounds to the square inch, or a pressure upon the entire roller of 300 tons. This pressure is generally produced by three rolls-one upper and two lower-the cane passing first between the upper and one of the lower
rollers; and then, by assistance of a returning bar, or knife, as it is termed, the partially crushed cane again passes between the upper and second lower roller. The mills may be classed as upright, or horizontal, according to the position of the rolls. All mills of large size are with horizontal rollers. They are also two, three, and four roll mills, generally three.

In the case of four rolls being used, it is customary to separate them, and, by means of a jet of steam or water, to moisten the partially expressed cane before subjecting it to pressure between the second pair.
In the construction of mills upon this general principle, it is doubtful whether there is left much, if any, room for improvement. The amount of juice expressed varies from 45 to 65 per cent of the weight of the stripped cane, and in exceptional cases, where, as in competition trial of mills, every precaution is taken, the amount of juice may reach 70 per cent, but it is doubtful whether the average results will equal 60 per cent of juice extracted by the sugar mills.

We have seen that the average of juice present in the cane is at least 90 per cent of its weight; and there is reason, therefore, to consider the principle of these mills, to learn whether such waste of juice is necessary and inevitable in their use. It appears that such loss is inevitable, owing to the following reasons:

1. The cells of the cane, which contain the juice, are microscopic in size, and many of them escape rupture, even under the enormous pressure to which the cane is subjected, and it is not unlikely (though, perhaps, this can not be experimentally determined) that the elasticity of the cell walls is such, as to permit a certain degree of pressure before they are ruptured.
2. Owing to capillarity, the bagasse (or pressed cane) rapidly absorbs the juice the instant the pressure is removed; and, since a portion of the juice will follow the cane through the rolls, such portion is at once taken up by the bagasse. It is, of course, mathematically true, that the maximum of pressure exerted, even with the largest rolls and with the slowest revolution, is but instantaneous; and, as the escape of the juice can not be as rapid, a large share of it must be lost. In fact, such is found to be the case. Although, in common language, we often hear the bagasse spoken of as "perfectly dry," it is never found with less than half its weight of water, even after having come from the best mills; and generally it will be found, that the percentage of water still remaining in the bagasse is equal, approximately, to that present in the cane before it was pressed. With this water is, of course, a large amount of sugar, estimated as being equal to the half of that expressed in the juice.

ROLL MILLS.
The following illustrations will show the different styles of mills at present in use in the United States, adapted for horse, water, or steam power. It is always advisable to have a mill at least a size larger than appears to be necessary for the remaining apparatus, since the supply of juice, for continuous work, must be maintained, and any excess may be easily avoided. It is also a matter of economy to secure the strongest mill of any given capacity; for any break down may so interrupt the work of the season as to imperil the entire crop. It is desirable, also, that the power applied be in excess of what is really demanded for regular work, since it often happens, through improper feeding, that there is danger of choking the mill, and thus causing delay, if not breakage of some of the parts.

The object of the illustrations of mills, is to enable the farmer to see their general character. Those who may desire mills of larger capacity than these represented, need only to be informed that they may be secured of any capacity up to several hundred tons daily, and are, in all respects, identical with those in use upon the large sugar plantations of Cuba and Louisiana.

These illustrations are inserted solely for the purpose of information to the reader, and not as advertisements of those who have kindly furnished the plates for use. There are, doubtless, other manufacturers of mills and other apparatus similar to these represented in this volume, but whose models do not appear. The general fact, however, is one that should be had in mind, viz.: that it is true economy to buy a mill of somewhat greater capacity than that which is thought necessary; also, that extra weight and extra strength will far more than compensate for a little additional cost.


Plate XXII.
Plate XXII. shows the Victor Cane Mill, an apparatus in very common use. It is constructed with vertical rollers, on a plan suited to horse-power, or with horizontal rollers for water or steam power. The horizontal mills are fitted with extra gearing, are necessarily heavier, and require greater motive power to accomplish the same result.

The following list of sizes and capacity of mills, of this style, will be of value. These mills are made by Blymyer \& Co., Cincinnati, Ohio :

| Size. | Weight. | Tons cane in 12 hours. | Acres cane per season. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 0, Light One-horse. | 390 lbs . | 2 to 21/2 | 5 to 8 |
| No. 1, Jr., One-horse. | 550 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 3 | 7 to 10 |
| No.1, Heavy One-horse., | 750 | 3 to $31 / 2$ | 8 to 12 |
| No. 2, Two-horse. | 875 " | 4 to 5 | 12 to 18 |
| No. 3, Heavy Two-horse.. | 12,00 | 6 to 7 | 20 to 30 |
| No. 4, Large Two-horse | 1,300 " | 8 to 9 | 25 to 35 |
| No. 6, Four-horse | 1,800 | 11 to 13 | 35 to 45 |

The same mill, with the sweep below, is furnished by the same parties. For many, such a mill is greatly to be preferred, since it permits full access to the mill for bringing cane, removing bagasse, and it may be used in the second story of any suitable building.


Plate XXIII.

## VERTICAL VICTOR MILL.

[With horse-power below.]
The mill with sweep, shown above, presents these advantages over the common Victor mill: 1. The mill is more steady. 2. The horses do not interfere with bringing cane to the mill, feeding or removing the bagasse. 3. The juice can flow, by gravity, to the juice tank and defecation, on lower level, thus avoiding pumping.

horizontal mill.
The above represents a good form of a mill, adapted to horse-power, and with horizontal rolls. The size, capacity, weight, and price list of these is as follows:

Power.
No. 1-2 to 4 horse.
No. 2-4 to 6 "

Size of rollers.
$1-15 \times 12$. $2-15 \times 9$.
$1-20 \times 121 / 2 . \quad 2-20 \times 91 / 2$.

Weight.
2,100 lbs.
2,400 lbs.


Plate XXV.
HORIZONTAL, SELF-ADJUSTING ANIMAL-POWER MILL.
The above plate represents a horse-power, horizontal roll mill, made by Geo. L. Squier, Buffalo, N. Y. The following list of sizes and weights are given:

Pearl No. 1.
Pearl No. 2.
Pearl No. 3.
Pearl No. 4.

Main Roller, $8 \times 8$.
Main Roller, $10 \times 10$.
Main Roller, $10 \times 12$.
Main Roller, $12 \times 16$.

Weight, about 800 lbs . Weight, about $1,200 \mathrm{lbs}$. Weight, about $1,400 \mathrm{lbs}$. Weight, about $3,000 \mathrm{lbs}$.


Plate XXVI.
The Horizontal Victor, illustrated in above cut, has the plan of dispensing with the return plate between the rolls. These mills have three rolls, and are made extra heavy and strong. A Bagasse Carrier, 10 to 15 feet long, is furnished, and is included in the prices given of the mills.

| Size. |  | Tons Cane in 24 hours. | Acres Cane <br> per season. | Weight. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 0, four | horse, | 16 to 20 tons, | 50 to 60 acres. | 2,300 lbs. |
| No. 1, six | " | 24 to 30 tons, | 60 to 80 acres. | $3,200 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| No. 2, eight | " | 30 to 40 tons, | 70 to 90 acres. | 3,600 lbs. |
| No. 3, ten | 6 | 40 to 50 tons, | 80 to 100 acres. | $4,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. |
| No. 4, fifteen | * | 70 to 90 tons, 2 | 250 to 300 acres. | 10,000 lbs. |



Plate XXVII.
HEAVY HORIZONTAL HORSE-POWER MILLS, WITH SWEEP BELOW OR SWEEP ABOVE, AS DESIRED. FIVE SIZES.
There are many advantages in a Sweep Below Mill, when the planter has a proper building in which to run it. The mill is placed in the second story of the building, and a shaft extends from thence to the ground, to which the team working on the ground floor is attached. The team and sweeps are entirely out of the way of the mill; the cane can be unloaded from the cart directly into the second story of the building, and piled near the mill under cover; the bagasse can be carried by a shute into the cart and carted off, and the juice spouted to the defecator or evaporator, without lifting or pumping. A Feed Table or Cane Carrier can be used with the mill as described.
Texas No. 0 .
Texas No. 1.
Texas No. ${ }^{2}$,
Texas No. 3 .
Main Roller, $12 \times 15$.
Rollers, 11 x 20.
Rollers, $12 \times 20$.
Rollers, $16 \times 24$.
Rollers, $20 \times 30$.
Weight, 2,000 lbs.
Weight, $3,500 \mathrm{lbs}$.
Weight, 4,100 lbs.
Weight, 6,600 lbs.
Weight, $13,150 \mathrm{lbs}$.

The abore mill, Plate XXVII, is made by George L. Squier, Buffalo, N. Y.


The engraving, Plate XTVIII, represents the Niles Three Roll Cane Mill, which is in very general use upon the sugar plantations of Louisiana.

The following lists of sizes and weights, will be of interest to those wishing to buy.

They are manufactured by Blymyer and Co., Cincinnati, Ohio, U. S. A.

| Size. <br> Number. | Length and Diameter of Rolls. | Weight. | Size. Number. | Lensth and Diameter of Rolls | Weight. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $16 \mathrm{in} . \times 16 \mathrm{in}$. | 7.2001 lbs | 13 | $54 \mathrm{in} . x$ x in . | 5. 0.00 lbs . |
| 4 | 20 in . $\times 16 \mathrm{in}$. | 5.5001 bs . | 14 | 54 in . x es in. | 5t. (c) lbs. |
| 5 | 24 in . $x 16 \mathrm{in}$. | 10.2001 lbs . | 1.5 | 60 in . x 2 c in. | 63.510 lbs . |
| 6 | $24 \mathrm{in} . x=0 \mathrm{in}$. | 20. 200 lbs l | 113 | it in. $x$ e in. | 75.0.0 lbs. |
| 7 | $30 \mathrm{in} . x \geqslant 0 \mathrm{in}$. | ? 000 lbs . | 17 | co in. $x 30 \mathrm{in}$. | 20, 500 lbs . |
| 8 | $36 \mathrm{in}. \mathrm{x}^{20 \mathrm{in}}$. | 30.060 lbs . | 15 | \%in. $\times 30 \mathrm{in}$ | s. 5.000 lbs . |
| 10 |  | S-(00) lbs . | 19 20 | 6inin. x 30 in . | 97.000 lbs. 120.000 lbs. |
| 11 | 48 in . $\times 24 \mathrm{in}$. | 41.0 (1) l lbs . | 2 | $6{ }^{6} \mathrm{in}$. x 34 in . | 130,000 lbs. |
| 12 | 48 in . $\mathrm{x}^{26} \mathrm{in}$. | 47.0001 lbs . | 22 | İ in. $\times 34 \mathrm{in}$. | 140,000 lbs. |

Plate XXIX

Plate XXIX represents a plan of cane mill, showing the three rolls, cheek pieces, cane and trash carrier pulleys; also, how they are actuated by the geared wheels by a linked iron belt.

The mill as shown represents a single-geared mill-that is, the pinion is connected directly on the main shaft of engine, and operates into the main wheel, secured to the shaft of the top roll. A better way is to make it compound geared, speeding it so that a point on the surface of the roll will travel about fifteen feet per minute, and the engine about thirty revolutions to one of the mill.

The top roll is arranged in connection with a knife, so as to direct the cane toward the center of the bagasse side, and by this, hindering it from passing out between the ends of the rolls and dropping into the juice pan, which is placed between the cheeks so as to catch the juice as it falls.

The cane carrier is a long traveling table of ash slats, as wide as the rolls, secured at each end in the long links of a chain, and passing over the inboard pulleys, which have projections to catch into the short links of the chain. The outboard pulleys and shaft are plain, and secured to a suitable foundation. This carrier is set in action by means of a chain belt, receiving its motion from the cane roll, the shaft of it being longer on this end to receive the wheel. If the cane carrier should be too much loaded, so as to crowd the mill, the carrier can be stopped by means of the lever $V$, which throws out the noiseless friction clutch, allowing the carrier to be idle while the mill revolves and clears itself.

The deliverer, or trash carrier, is arranged in the same manuer, but has no need of the stop motion, as the trash can be carted away as fast as made.

We are now making mills with steel shafts, crown wheels, and pin-ions-much stronger than wrought or cast iron.

There is also arranged, in connection with the mill, a juice tank and plunger pump, operated from one of the shafts by means of a crank, and of capacity about double what could be anticipated of the mill, in order that it may never choke.

This mill is manufactured by Colwell Iron Works, New York, and of any size desired.


Plate XXX.
To those engaged in the examination of canes, whether of sorghum, maize, or sugar-cane, it is of the greatest importance to have at hand a small mill, by which the juice of a single stalk may be obtained for examination.

To the planter who desires to learn the condition of his crop, such a mill is almost indispensable. The above plates represent mills of this discription made by George L. Squier, Buffalo, N. Y. The weight of the mills is from 170 to 270 pounds, and the rolls are from 4 inches long and 4 inches in diameter, to 5 inches long and 5 inches in diameter, and are said to give a yield of juice fully equal to the large mills.

## Importance of a good Mill.

It is most desirable, in order to secure the best results possible, that great care be exercised in the selection of a mill, since there is, even with the best mill, a very considerable amount of sugar left in the bagasse. According to the testimony of an experienced sugar chemist and engineer, it is probably true that nearly, if not one-half, of the sugar present in the cane of Louisiana is left in the bagasse, for he says:

To a great many it may appear startling, that about 50 per cent of the sugar
is left in the cane after it passes through the ordinary mill. Some who doubt this base their opinion on the apparent dryness of ordinary bagasse, while others arrive at their conclusions from experiments which, from their nature, are fallacious. The fallacy lies in the high percentage of juice claimed for the mills. It is probably quite correct that, by taking a few hundred, or even a few thousand, pounds of cane, and passing them carefully through a good mill, such high percentages may be secured; but, with the average mill, grinding in the ordinary way, I have reason to believe that the percentage of juice obtained, on the whole weight of the cane, is more frequently under fifty than over.

The above estimate of loss is undoubtedly too high, but all are agreed that there is a very great loss in this operation of expressing the juice.

To illustrate this more fully, let us take the average results of the analyses made in 1881 ot the sorghums during those three periods when the best results in sugar were found.

The average composition of the juices at this time was as follows, and it must remembered that these canes were passed singly through a mill, giving, as will be seen, excellent results in juice :

Per cent.

Snerose in juice...................................................................................... 16.18
Glucose in juice.................................................................................. 1 . 3
Solids in juice ................................................................................................ 3.07
But 21.08 per cent of the juice, the amount of total solids, is 12.35 , which, subtracted from the percentage of juice, leaves 46.22 per cent, as the amount of water expressed in the juice.

Now, the amount of water actually present in the cane at this period is probably not less than 75 percent, which would leave in the bagasse 28.78 per cent of the weight of the cane as water; and, since the bagasse constitutes 41.43 per cent of the weight of the cane, there would still remain in the bagasse 69.47 per cent of its weight of water. This, to the ordinary observer, would appear incredible, since the bagasse is so generally spoken of as being perfectly dry when it passes from the mill.

It is obvious, therefore, that, since even a good mill leaves 38.37 per cent of the water of the cane in the bagasse, there also remains along with this water a large amount of sugar, and that this amount, if not equal to that estimated above, is yet sufficiently great to demand that only such mills should be used as will secure the greatest percentage of juice.

If, in the above calculation, the amount of sugar lost is in proportion to the per cent of water remaining in the bagasse, it is clear that 46.22: $28.78:: 9.477: 5.901$; i. e., while, in the expressed juice there is an amount of sugar equal to 9.48 per cent of the weight of the cane,
there is an amount of sugar equal to 5.90 per cent of the weight of the cane left in the bagasse, equal to 62.27 per cent of the amount actually expressed in the juice, and equal to 38.40 per cent of the total amount present in the cane, which, as will be seen, is equal to 15.38 per cent of the weight of the stripped stalk.

From this it would appear, that the general estimate as to the proportion of sugar actually recovered in a marketable condition is not far from the truth, the several sources of loss being given as follows:

|  | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left in bagasse. |  |
| Lost in skirnming. | 2.5 |
| Lost in molasses. |  |
| Raw sugar obtained | 5 |
| Total in canc. | 18. |

## Loss of Sugar in Bagasse.

In 1879 , the following experiments were made at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, to determine the loss of sugar in the bagasse :

Two varieties of sorghum and one of maize stalks were selected for the experiment. Carefully selected stripped stalks of each kind were taken, and, in order to obtain an average, each stalk was split lengthwise into halves. The half of each kind was carefully weighed, dried, and analyzed; the other half of each variety was passed through the mill, and the bagasse weighed, dried, and also analyzed. From the results given below it will be seen, that in each case the per cent of water present in the cane was less than the per cent remaining in the bagasse; the average per cent of water in the three varieties of cane analyzed being 80.2 per cent, while the average per cent of water in the three bagasses is 85.5 per cent. This rather surprising result is of course due to the fact that the expressed juice, which averaged 48.24 per cent of the weight of the stripped stalks, contained a larger percentage of solid matter than did the fresh cane.

It will be observed also in these results, that the amount of sugar expressed in the juice was greater in proportion than would be due to the amount of water expressed ; for, while not more than half the water was expressed, it appears that an arerage of four-fifths of the sugar in the cane was expressed with the water. From the published results of numerous other experiments, it would appear that the proportional amount of sugar which is expressed with the ordinary mill pressure is not a constant quantity, but depends upon the amount present, since the following results show a wide variation in this respect; for, while the per cent of water in the Honduras and Sugar Corn was nearly the same, as also the per cent of juice expressed, the total sugar found in the

Honduras was nearly twice the amount found in the Sugar Corn，and， while only 15.2 per cent was lost in the bagasse from the Sugar Corn， there was 23.8 per ceut lost in the bagasse from the Honduras．It is of great practical importance that this matter should be more thor－ oughly investigated．

LOSS OF SUGAR IN THE BAGASSE．

|  |  |  | 茄 | 年 | 淢 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight of stripped cane ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．pounds．． | 1，425 | 1，390 6.51 | 905 |  | 875 |
| Weight of juice．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．pounds．． |  |  |  |  | 415 |
| Weight of brgasse．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．pounds．． |  |  | 458 |  | 460 |
| Per cent of juice．．． |  | ${ }^{47} 91$ | 49.39 |  | 47.43 |
| Per cent of water in cane | S0． | 52．．．75．7 |  |  |  |
| Percent of water in bayasse |  | 840 | \％ |  | 7 |
| Per cent of dry matter in cane． | 20.0 | 2 t 3 |  | 15.1 |  |
| Per cent of dry matterin bagasse |  | 16.0 | 16.3 |  | 11.3 |
| Per cent of sugarsin dry bagasse |  | 21.3 | 19.4 |  | 10.1 |
| Per cent of sugars in dry cane． | 35.1 | 34.7 |  |  |  |
| Per cent of sugars in fresh bagasse |  | 3.48 |  |  | 1．ii |
| Per cent of sugars in bagasse to that in cane |  | 45.7 | 37.4 |  | 29.0 |
| Per cent of sugars lost in bagasse．．． |  | 23.8 | 18.9 |  | 15.2 |

In 1882，experiments were made with a large variety of sorghums， the results of which were as follows：

Analyses of Bagasses from Sorghum．
The folloring table gives the analyses of twenty samples of bagasses from nine varieties of sorghum；also，for purpose of comparison， analyses of the juices expressed from the canes are given on page 223.
Excluding the analrses of the suckers and leares，as not being com－ parable with the others，the average result of the prosimate analyses is as follows：

| ATERAGE COMPOSITION OF |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |
| Ether extract（fats，chorophsi，etc．） | 20.15 |
| Water extract（soluble albumenoids，gum，etc． | 1.48 |
| Insoluble matter（fiber，silica，etc．）．．． | $76: 34$ |
|  | 100.00 |
| Albumenoids（ $\times ., \times 6.25)$ ． | 3.17 |
| Crude fiber | 23.19 |
| Sucrose． | $9: 4$ |
| Glucose | S－$\frac{1}{2}$ |
| Ash． | 27 |
| Wnater | 441 |
| Undetermined | 52．68 |
|  | 100.00 |

The average percentage of juice and bagasse obtained，and the com－ position of the juice，was as follows：


Specific gravity of juice, 1.0726 .

ANALYSES OF DRIED BAGASSES.


## loss of scgar in the bagasse.

The most important point established by these analyses, is the very considerable loss of sugar, owing to the impossibility of a mill to express all the juice. We otteu hear of bagasse as coming from the mill "perfectly dry ;" but it will be seen that although the juice obtained from these canes was much greater in amount ( 5 T .61 per cent) than is usually obtained in practice, still the arerage amount of water remaining in the bagasse was 56.26 per cent, and if to this we add the alcohol and water extracts of the bagasse, which would naturally constitute the juice, we should hare remaining in the bagasse $(20.75+1.48) \times$ $.45 \%=10.1 \%+56.26=66.43$ per cent of juice still remaining in the bagase; that is, 64.41 per cent of the weight of the bagasse as it came from the mill.

Surprising as this may appear to those who have not considered it, there can be no doubt but that the abore is even short of the truth.

The arerage amount of juice obtained was 57.61 per cent, and the total sugars in the jaices averaged 14.21 per cent, or 8.19 per cent of the meight of stripped cane. The arerage of the dry bagasses gave 13.78 per cent of total sugars, or 6.31 per cent of total sugars in the fresh bagasses; it follows, therefore, that the bagasses, as they came from the mill, contained 77.05 per cent as much sugar as was expressed by the mill from the fresh canes.

Since there was 6.31 per cent of total sugars in the fresh bagasses, it follows that the amount of sugars in the bagasse equaled 2.67 per cent of the weight of the stripped cane; also as the total sugars in the expressed juice was 14.21 per eent, it follows that the amount of sugars in the juices equaled 8.19 per cent of the weight of the stripped cane, and, therefore, the total sugars in the stripped cane was equal to $10: 6$ was lost in the bagasse 24.62 per cent of the total sugar present in the cane.

That this estimate falls short of the truth is obrious, when we consider that the juices were analyzed the day they were expressed, while the bagasses in drying had lost much of their sugar through fermentation, as was seen to be true in the analyses of fresh juices as compared with the analyses of the same juices when dried.

Since the water contanged in the plant is far more than sufficient to hold in solution all the sugars present, there appears no good reason to doubt that the juice left in the bagasse is identical in its composition with that expressed; but if we examine the arerage results of the analyses of juices and lagasses in the table, we find that the per cent of sucrose in the total sugars of the juices was 90.92 , while in the bagasses it was $\mathfrak{i} 2.13$; while, if we examine certain of the analyses, we find a discrepancy still greater. For example, analysis, (page 223) of the juice of Link's Hrbrid, gives us in the juice 95.39 per cent of sucrose and 4.61 per cent of glucose in the total sugars; while the analysis of the bagasse from this cane shows the two sugars to be in this rativ: G'ucose, 48.74 per cent; sucrose, 51.26 per cent.

Such a result is, beyond question, due to the fact that, during the process of drying the bacasses, there had been an inversion of much of the sucrose, and in all probability a loss of glucose by fermentation.

Prof. Geo. H. Cook, director of the New Jerses Agricultural Esperiment Station, at New Brunswick, in the report on hiswork, alludes to the waste in the
use of the ordinary mills for extracting the juice, and estimates the loss as be ing equal to 40 per cent of the sugar present in the cane.

When we consider the magnitude of this industry, this estimated loss assumes immense proportions.

Fully $\$ 300,000,000$ worth of sugar is now annually produced from cane by practically the same methods used in the production of sorshum suyar. According to the estimate of Prof. Cook, then, it appears that there is annual'y lost in the bagasse two-thirds as much, or $\$ 200,000,000$ worth of sugar. It would appear most desirable, that some method be devised by which this enormous waste may be prevented.

The following analyses of bagasse from sugar-cane show results comparable with the above:


The percentage composition of the bagasses is as follows:


It will be seen that, when 60 per cent of the weight of the cane was expressed as juice, there yet remained in the bagasse 15 per cent of its weight as sugar, and an amount of water quite equal to that in the unpressed cane. It appears also that even in the cane where the percentage of juice was 80 , the fresh bagasse contained 9 per cent of its weight of sugar.

The importance, then, of a mill which shall express the greatest amount of juice is obvious, provided the juice obtained by the increased pressure is of equal purity with that obtained by less.

Upon this point there exists a diversity of opinion, but the matter does not appear to have been made the subject of such experimental investigation as its importance demands. It seems rather, in many cases, to serve as a convenient excuse for what would otherwise appear as a wasteful method of manufacture; as also for the makers of mills, who have found it practically impossible to surpass a certain limit in the amount of juice expressed.

In several experiments with a second-hand mill, as compared with a new one, the following results were obtained :


By comparing the percentage of juice obtained and the specific gravity of the juice in the first and last experiments, it will be seen that the former results are to the latter as 100 to 175 ; while, if we compare the percentage of juice obtained and the percentage of syrup in the juice, the former experiments in their results are to the latter as 100 is to 156 . This apparent discrepancy is due to the fact that, when the latter experiments were made, it was possible to carry the concentration of the syrup to a greater density than in the former cases, and hence a given amount of syrup in this latter case represents a far higher content of sugar than in the former; but these results clearly indicate that, with a good mill, results from 50 to 75 per cent greater than those obtained in the first experiments could be confidently relied upon.

As has been said above, this matter is too important to rest upon other than repeated and well established experimental results.

## The Speed of the Rolls.

This is a matter of extreme importance. We have already seen that the maximum pressure exerted by any mill is instantaneous, bụt the length of time during which the pressure upon the cane is approximately at its maximum, will depend upon the diameter of the rolls and their relocity of revolution. Careful experiments have shown that, without any change in the relative position of the rolls, a greatly increased amount of juice may be secured by diminishing their speed. It is generally true that the speed of the mill is too great.
Repeated experiments have all tended to prove that, while only 46 per cent of the juice is extracted by a speed of 8 revolutions per minute, as much as 70 per cent is obtained by the same mill when the speed is reduced to $2 \frac{1}{2}$ revolutions per minute.

Comparative trials were made with a mill, the rollers of which were 22 inches in diameter and 48 inches long, the arerage speed being $2 t$
feet per minute, and another with rolls 36 inches in diameter, and 66 inches long, the average speed being 9 feet per minute.

The first gave 59.9 pounds of juice from each 100 pounds of cane, and the latter 77.61 pounds of juice to 100 pounds of cane. The first gave 9.36 pounds of sugar from each 100 pounds of cane, the latter 14.87. One gallon of juice from the first gave .572 pounds of molasses, and 1.672 pounds of sugar, and 1 gallon of juice from the other gave .66 pounds of molasses, and 2.07 pounds of sugar.

According to this calculation, there was lost in the bagasse of each 100 pounds of cane ground 7.53 pounds of sugar in the first case and 2.47 pounds in the latter, equivalent to an increase on the crop of 46.6 per cent by the slow mill. The above experiments were made with sugar-cane, but will illustrate the principle. Very many experiments are reported from Louisiana bearing upon this question, but generally a difference in the cane used in the two cases vitiates the result.

## VARIOUS METIIODS FOR THE EXTRACTION OF JUICE.

Although the three-roll mill of capacity suited to the requirements is the ordinary method employed in the extraction of the juices from sugar-cane and sorghum, it is, of course, to be expected that many other methods have been devised to accomplish this result without the enormous and inevitable loss which the system of simple crushing of the cane involves.

It is proposed briefly to refer to those several methods which have been devised, more for the purpose of stimulating experiments and invention than for the full elucidation of the different processes.

The several methods devised for the extraction of sugar may be classed as follows: 1. Multiple rolls; 2. Disintegration of the cane; 3. Maceration ; 4. Diffusion.

## 1. Multiple Rolls-Auxiliary Mills-Double Crushing.

One of the largest mills in the world is that belonging to the Khedive at Aba-el-Wakf, in Upper Egypt. This is a three-roll mill, each roll being 48 inches in diameter and 5 feet 6 inches long. The length of time during which the cane remains under pressure (the surface velocity of the rolls being the same) is proportioned to the diameter of the rolls, and although rumning with a velocity of 36 feet per minute, this immense mill is said to express as high a percentage of juice as the ordinary three-roll mills do at half the velocity. This large percentage of juice obtained with large rolls is due not alone to increased and prolonged pressure, but to the fact that the juice escapes from contact with the bagasse, and thus less of it is absorbed. There is an.
impression widely prevailing, although not sustained by the tests of repeated experiments, as its importance demands, that the juice expressed by heavy and slowly-revolving rolls has its increased quantity fully offset by its diminished quality.

It is urged, therefore, that, in the place of increased pressure and heavier mills, the pressure should rather be diminished, but continually repeated; and this view has led to the experimental adoption of more rolls-as four arranged in two pairs-the bagasse from the first two being received and again pressed by the second pair. This is, in effect, ouly a modification of the three-roll mill, so far as repeated pressure is concerned; but, by separating the two pairs of rolls, opportunity is offered to sprinkle the bagasse, or steam it, before it passes the second rolls. This is called maceration, and will be considered by itself, below. Mills with five, six, and even nine rolls, have been employed; but generally the result of such experiments has been the final return to the three-roll mill.

The objections to the use of the greater number of rolls being the cost of mill, the increased power required, and the grinding up of the bagasse by the repeated pressure.

## 2. Disintegration of the Cane.

Several methods have been devised, depending for their efficiency upon the grinding up of the cane in a pulp, and the extraction of the juice by hydraulic presses. One machine, in its construction resembling the common mill for mincing meat, consists of a series of knives on a central shaft, revolving in a cylinder, upon the inner surface of which another series of knives are arranged, which meet those upon the central shaft in the manner of shear blades. By the spiral arrangement of these sets of knives, the cane, which is fed in at one end, is cut up, carried along, and discharged as pulp at the other end of the machine. A machine of the above construction, at Guadeloupe, having a capacity of five tons of cane per hour, gave, in five experiments, the following per cent of juice, the pulp being subjected to pressure by means of a hydraulic press: 70.0, 72.7, 75.6, 76.2, 76,8, or an average of 74.26 per cent.

Another machine consists of a series of circular saws upon a common shaft, the bundles of cane being by them converted into sawdust, which is subjected to pressure, as in the former case.

## 3. Maceration.

This process, which, by one method or another, has been the subject of repeated experiment, consists in moistening the bagasse as it comes
from the ordinary three-roll mill, by either hot or cold water or steam, and then subjecting it to pressure again. For this purpose, the bagasse is received upon a continuous carrier of wood or cloth; and, while slowly passing to the second set of rolls, is exposed to a spray of water or steam. The diluted juice obtained in this second pressure is generally used as sprinkling water, to moisten fresh portions of bagasse, until it attains, by repeated use, density sufficient for the sugar to be extracted from it economically.

In this process there is a very considerable saving of sugar, which amounted, with sugar-cane, according to the data given in "Sugar Growing and Refining" (page 159), to 15.7 cents upon each 100 pounds of cane used, or, estimating 10 tons of canes to every hogshead of sugar produced, to a saving of $\$ 35.16$ on each hogshead.

But from this must be deducted the increased cost of the " plant," of labor, and of manufacture, owing to the greater amount of water necessary to be evaporated, so that the conclusion given is as follows: "It would appear, from numerous statements, that the total extra cost of procuring the extra yield of sugar is about 50 per cent of the value of the extra sugar."

## 4. Diffusion.

All the processes thus far mentioned for the extraction of the juice from sugar-cane and sorghum, depend, for their success, upon the rupture of the cells of the plant, and the pressing out of the juice; and, in the process of maceration, the principle is mainly the washing off of the juice remaining in the bagasse. In the process of which we are now to speak, the extraction of the sugar depends upon an entirely different priuciple, known in science as Diffusion, Dialysis and, Osmosis ; and to fully understand the matter, it is necessary to again refer to the physiological structure of the stalk of cane or sorghum.

We have seen, p. 259, that the stalk is made up of an outer rind or shell, firm and woody in its structure, containing within a soft, juicy pith, and that this pith consists of numberless cells, composed of cellulose similar to the woody fiber of other plants, the juice being contained in these cells, as is the juice of an orange in the larger cells which make up the pulpy portion of that fruit.

Now, it has been found that, if slices of cane, or of sorghum, or of the sugar beet, are immersed in water, in a short time the water will have become perceptibly sweet, and this sweetness will increase up to a certain degree, after which it will remain the same. If now we replace this sweet water with a fresh portion of pure water, we may extract an additional amount of sugar, and by repeating this operation a few times, it will be found that the sugar has been entirely removed
from the slices of stalks or beets. This result is due to the principle of diffusion, dialysis, or osmose, as it is termed. It has been found that certain substances, when in solution, will thus pass through animal or vegetable membranes, as bladder, animal or vegetable parchment, When such solution is separated from water by the intervention of either of these materials. The cells of plants are composed of matter, which also permits the passage of such materials under similar conditions. The process will continue, until the amount of the material in solution outside is equal to that inside the containing vessel.

But, while certain substances will thus readily diffuse, another class of substances will not. To the former the name crystalloids has been given, because it is found that those substances which crystallize, as sugar, salt, and similar bodies, are diffusible; to the latter class the name colloids has been given (a word meaning glue-like), because those substances which, like glue, do not crystallize, are also found not to be diffusible.

It is now interesting and important to observe that, in the cells of the cane, sorghum, or beet, there are found in the juice substances belonging to both these classes, and also that, while the desirable substance sugar is quite diffusible, those substances which are most troublesome to the manufacturer of sugar, as the nitrogenous matter, the gum, and the starch, are not diffusible. These substances existing together in the juice are expressed by the common mill, and, in addition, there is always a considerable amount of mechanical impurity, as dirt, fragments of cane, and so forth, all of which add to the difficulty of securing the sugar in a commercial condition.

Besides the sugar, there are several other constituents of the juice which are also diffusible, as the many salts. Owing to the large quantity of these relatively in the beet, the advantage of this system for beets does not appear to be so decided as it seems that it might prove if applied to sorghum and cane. But, while this system is in almost universal use in the production of beet sugar, it appears as yet scarcely to have made other than an experimental advance in the working of cane or sorghum.

It is possible, by this system, to remove practically all the sugar from the stalks or beets; and we have seen that, by the roller mill, it is estimated that fully one-third the juice is left in the bagasse; and many experiments show that the juice remaining in the bagasse is equally rich in sugar with that expressed.

A review of the attempts to apply this system to sugar-cane will, therefore, be of interest. The methods are practically the same, and consist in cutting the cane in slices from one-sixteenth to one-eighth of
an inch in thickness. These slices are packed in appropriate receptacles, which are arranged in a train in such a manner that, after filling the first with water, it may, after a certain time, be discharged into the second, the third, fourth, and so on, until the water has become as rich in sugar, approximately, as the juice of the stalks or beets. So soon as the water is discharged from the first receptacle to the second, fresh water is again added to the contents, and this is in succession delivered into the second, third, fourth, etc. By this means, the sliced cane or beet is successively treated with fresh portions of water, until at last the sugar has been entirely removed. The diffusion water being necessarily used upon portions increasing in their content of sugar, until the water contains sufficient to be profitably treated for sugar.

In order to render insoluble certain constituents of the juice, it has been the practice to first steam the fresh slices, or treat them with hot water; and, to remove from the diffused liquor other impurities, it has been advised to sprinkle lime over the slices in filling the receptacles. It would appear desirable to use for this purpose a mixture of lime and sulphite of lime, in order to avoid any tendency to fermentation.

The following results are recorded in "Sugar Growing and Refining," p. 190 -the juice from the canes giving $7 \frac{3}{4}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$. The water had, in succession, been passed through the series, until seven vessels, each containing 120 cubic feet, and holding 4,200 pounds of cane chips, and 3,250 pounds of water, were filled with the diffusion liquor:

| No. of |
| :---: |
| vessels. | | Temperature |
| :---: |
| of juice. | | Specific |
| :---: |
| gravity. |$\quad$| Per cent |
| :---: |
| sucrose. | | Density, |
| :---: |
| Beaumé, |

It will be observed, that, if the diffusion liquor in vessel 7 be regarded at the maximum of saturation with such cane chips as were used in this experiment, that the following represents the per cent of the total sugar removed from the successive vessels:

| No. 1. | No. 2. | No. 3. | No. 4. | No. 5. | No. 6. | No. 7. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Per cent. | Percent. | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| 99.74 | 93.0 | 87.3 | 74.6 | 63.5 | 42.9 | 0.0 |

The complete extraction of the sugar by this process, appears, then, to be easy of accomplishment.

An analysis of the mill juice and diffusion liquor of the cane, shows their relative composition:

## ANALISES MLL AND DIFFESION JCICES.



The difference in strength of the tro juices is due to the fact, that the diffusion juice was diluted by about 20 per cent additional water used in the operation. The eraporation of this excess of water must be taken into account in the estimate of cost of production of sugar ly this process. In the abore experiments, each ressel contained 4,200 pounds of sliced cane; and from each 4,290 pounds of diffusion juice was drawn off; 113 gallons of this diffusion juice being equiralent to 100 gallons of mill juice. The 4,290 pounds was equal, therefore, to 3,796 pounds of mill juice, or 88.5 per cent of the weight of the cane.
In the Aska District, Madras, India, the following results were obtained in the diffusion process:
The arerage composition of the juice of the canes, obtained by diffusion, was as follows:


The per cent of total solids in the juice averaged 16.53.
The composition of the "masse cuite" (the mixture of sugar and molasses obtained by evaporation) was as follows:


It will be seen how close in composition the masse cuite is to that of the diffusion juice, showing that the amount of inversion of sugar, during the process, was rery little-certainly no greater than that incurred in the ordinary processes. But results of an experiment with the same diffusion process, in the West Indies, were apparently not so satisfactory, it being claimed that the syrup from diffusion juices would not crystallize so readily as ordinary syrup, and that much of the sugar was therefore lost in the molasses. The following analysis of the molasses obtained would apparently justify such conclusion:

| Water. | 20.08 per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose. | 63.82 per cent. |
| Glucose | 12.38 per cent. |
| Other so | 3.72 per cent. |
|  | 100. |

In the experiment in which the above given molasses was obtained, the following results were secured in sugar and molasses:

```
Sugar
        5.234 per cent of weight of cane.
Molasses
5.193 per cent of weight of cane.
```

But it was held, that a much larger amount of sugar could have been obtained from the molasses by subsequent treatment, as seems very probable in consideration of its composition, as given above.

In conclusion, it would appear that, although the results are not yet such as could be desired, there is reasonable expectation that this process may be, by a little care, brought to perfection, and wholly supplant the present wasteful method of manufacture.

At present, the following points appear established: 1. The possibility to completely remove the sugar from the cane by this process. 2. The separation, at the outset, of all of those mechanical impurities, and many of those chemical constituents of the juice which invariably are present when it is expressed by the common mill, and which are obstacles to the production of sugar.

This matter will again be discussed under the chapter upon Waste Prodicts, and the experiments made in recovering sugar from the bagasse.

## CHAPTER IX.

(a.) Defecation, principles of.
(b.) Defecation with lime.
(c.) Other agents in defecation.
(d.) Sulphurous acid and sulphites in defecation.
(e.) Experiments in defecation.

## defecation, principles of.

The juice extracted from the sorghum, or cane, by either of the processes already described, is found to consist of a solution of not only sugar, but of other soluble constituents of the cane which may be present. Besides, the juice secured by the roller mill contains a considerable portion of mechanical impurities, i. e., those which are suspended in the juice as solid particles, and are visible to the ere, often being in a state of subdivision so small that the effect of these impurities may be only to render the juice turbid instead of clear, as it should be when free from them.

The juice obtained by the process of diffusion, is quite free from such impurities, and is generally clear, containing only those substances which are in solution with the sugar.

The composition of the juice of sorghum, as obtained by the roller mill, is given upon page 252.

The production of sugar from the juice is accomplished by the remoral of the water and those constituents of the juice, wholly or in part, which are present with the sugar. The more perfectly this is done, the larger the amount of sugar which may be obtained from any juice.

Defecation, as the word implies, is the remoral of impurities; and from what has already been said, it is obviously the most important operation in the manufacture of sugar. All the other operations are mechanical merely.

Defecation is both a mechanical and chemical problem, since the impurities are both mechanical and chemical, and even the removal of the latter is only possible, for the most part, after, by some means, they have been converted into mechanical impurities.

As the character and composition varies so greatly, as to the impurities of the juice, it naturally follows that the methods which have been suggested, and which have been adopted in practice, have differed as widely. That there yet remains great room for improvement, none will more readily admit than those fully conversant with the advantages and defects of the present methods. In the hope that the inventive faculties of those who are engaged in this new sorghum sugar industry may be stimulated and directed, it will be the aim of this chapter, not ouly to describe the methods of defecation in use or suggested, but also, so far as is possible, to consider the principles involved in the several methods.

## Mechanical Impurities of Juice-Nature of, and Methods of Removal.

The mechanical impurities of the juice of sorghum are fragments of the cane, dirt, wax, and starch.

We have already seen how readily fermentation is excited in a sample of juice by the presence of the bagasse with the formation of what appears to be the so-called gum, which has proved so troublesome in the extraction of sugar from syrups. The most careful experiments with freshly expressed juices, have invariably failed to reveal even a trace of this gum; so that its subsequent presence in the products proves conclusively that it must be the result of the method of manufacture. Owing to the trouble it produces in purging the sugar; and the loss of sugar it causes, the source whence it is derived, and the method by which it is produced, should be carefully investigated, so that the source may be removed, or the method of its production avoided or modified.

Nearly every sample of sorghum juice will reveal the presence of starch grains, which will give their characteristic color with iodine solution; and we have already referred to the presence of the starch in the slices of cane when examined under the microscope. Iu the crushing of the cane these grains are mechanically carried along with the juice.

The presence of this starch, although the actual amount is small, is beyond question highly detrimental to the juice, and does not appear to have received the attention it demands. Its removal must be accomplished at the outset, if at all, since the heat employed in the ordinary methods of defecation would speedily convert it into a form rendering its removal, by mechanical means at least, quite impossible. The size of these starch grains is such as to preclude their separation by ordinary filtration, such as would readily suffice for the removal of the principal mechanical impurities.

## Preliminary Filtering.

This may be accomplished in many mars. Many are accustomed to allow the juice, as it flows from the mill, to fall into a large bucket, from the bottom of which a pipe conrevs the juice to the storage tank. (See Plate, small mill.) This bucket is nearly filled with clean hay or straw pressed closely down, by which all fragments of cane and the coarser impurities are remored from the juice. In larger mills the juice from the mill flows through a wire gauze, with meshes from $j 0$ to 70 to the linear inch, and this guaze filter is arranged as a continuous belt, which, by its slow revolution, presents a fresh surface to the stream of juice. The accumulating impurities are remored during its revolution by scrubbing brushes and a tank of water through which the belt is made to pass.

Another cheap and very efficient filter is made by having a box about 30 inches deep, 30 to 40 inches square at the tup, and tapering to 20 t t 30 inches at the bottom. About four inches from the bottom a false bottom is placed perforated with holes, and upen this coarse gravel, corered by layers of increasing fineness in succession to the top, which is clean, fine sand. The juice is admitted into the open space below, under a slight pressure, and, filtering upward through the gravel and sand, escapes by a pipe ahore. Properly constructed, this filter will deliver the juice quite free from mechanical impurities. In case the filter becomes stupped up, the fluid contents may be remored by a stop-cock, which is inserted into the open space at the bottom, when a pail or so of water will wash out the accumulated impurities. This filter should be thoroughly washed with water when not in use, and a little lime should be added to the last washings in order to avoid fermentation.

A convenient and effective filter may be prepared by taking an ordinary grain bag, holding 2 bushels, and splitting it lengthwise, then stitching each half into a bag equal in length to the original but of half the width. Into each of these an entire bag mar be inserted, and the upper edges of the two bags sewed together. The inclosed bag will thus be compressed into a series of folds, and in this way present an increased surface to the juice to be filtered. It will be found an advantage to pack the inner bag as full as possible with fine, clean hay, which will greatly assist the filtering by keeping the surface of the bag from being stopped up by the impurities filtered out.

For conrenience, four such filters may be fastened to a frame, and placed in a common barrel, with a stop-cock at the bottom for drawing off the filtered juice. These, and all other filters, should be fre-
quently cleansed, and the straw, hay, sand, and gravel, should be either replaced by fresh material, or thoroughly washed with water, to which a little lime has been added.

Where large quantities of juice are to to be filtered, a large number of bag filters are so arranged as to be fed from a common tank, and filtering into a common receptacle.

Bags of suitable texture are woven seamless for such purpose. Filter presses of many different constructions have been devised, the general principle being to present large surfaces of the filter to the juice. For a full description of these, reference is made to the more elaborate works which treat of the manufacture and refining of sugar. Besides the several varieties of filters already described, all of which depend upon the removal of impurities through their mechanical entanglements in the material of the filter, there is in very extensive use, for the removal of other impurities, filters of charcoal and boneblack, $i$. e, an animal charcoal prepared by burning bones in ovens or retorts, with the exclusion of the air, in a manner similar to the production of ordinary wood charcoal.

It is found by filtering juice or semi-syrup through a layer of boneblack of sufficient depth, that not only are the mechanical impurities removed, as would be expected, but all the coloring matter also, so that the juice or syrup becomes not only clear, but colorless as pure water. It is also found that the bone-black retains other of the impurities of the juice or syrup, as the albumenoid or nitrogenous substances, gum, etc., but it is found that the bone-black soon becomes so charged with these impurities, that it ceases to have any effect, and must then be washed, dried, and reburned before it is again fit for use.

In the process of refining the raw sugar, filters varying in length from 10 to 50 feet are used, and it is found that generally about one ton of fresh bone-black is needed for each ton of sugar refined; but, since it may be used over and over again, only needing this revivification, as it is termed, with the addition of so much as may be lost in the operation of washing, drying, and burning, the expense attending it is largely the original cost of the plant and material, as also the additional labor involved in its use.

The use of bone-black is only practicable with large central factories working up very large quantities of cane, or refineries receiving the product of many smaller manufacturers. It is not within the limits of the farmer or sugar planter; and, although as invaluable to the refiner as it is indispensable, its economical use involves the investment of a large capital.

## Seitling Tanks.

Besides the use of filters, for the remoral of mechanical impurities, the employment of settling tanks for the fresh juice, has been practiced by some with excellent results.

The only danger in this practice is, that fermentation is likely to take place by letting the juice stand; but this has been obviated br treating the juice with sulphurousacid between the mill and the settling tank. In this way it has been found practicable to keep the juice of sugar-cane from 6 to 10 hours, and, upon standing, a large amount of slimy sediment was separated from the juice. It is well to have the juice drawn off from an inch or two above the bottom of the tank; the sediments being reserved for vinegar, or passed through the filter before it is added to the remainder of the juice.

## Eitiect of Heat on Juice.

The juice, ereu after the most careful filtration, still retains many impurities, which may br other means be removed. We have seen that, in its natural state, it has an acid reaction due to the presence of acill salts of organic acids of the nature of which we as yet know little.

There are also present certain nitrogenous matters, besides the inorganic constituents or ash of the juice. The effect of heat alone, is to cause the coagulation of at least most of the nitrogenous matters, and the formation of an abundant scum ; and very many, seeingsuch quantities of impurities removed hy heat alne, are accustomed to rely upon it solely in defecation. This is a serious error, although it must be admitted that excellent syrup, in appearance at least, has been made without the use of any thing but the skimmer to remove those impurities which arose as the juice was being evaporated. Heat also, by destroring the germs. prevents or retarls fermentation. Heat is a raluable, but not a complete agent in the defecation of the juice.

## Efject of Lime on Juice.

The agent which is almost universally employed in the defecation of saccharine juices is lime, and, owing to its cheapness, general accessibility, and the excellent results which attend its intelligent use, a description of its chemical properties, preparation, and use in defecation, is important.

Lime belongs to the class of bodies known to the chemist as alkaline earths, because, in its chemical nature, it appears to stand midway between the alkalies and the earths. Athough far less soluble in water than are the alkalies, it is yet sufficiently soluble to form a solution pretty strongly alkaline in character, a clear colorless liquid, known as
lime-water, which contains, at ordinary temperatures, about one part of lime by weight to 730 parts of water. Its solution gives the ordinary reactions for alkalies, viz.: it will turn reddened litmus paper blue, and imparts a brownish red color to yellow turmeric paper. These test papers are invaluable to the sugar manufacturer, and they may be had of any druggist at a few cents cost for enough to last during the season. They are prepared by extracting with water the coloring matter of the commercial litmus, which consists of little clay pellets saturated with the coloring matter of this lichen, and then wetting strips of white unsized paper with the solution and drying them. The turmeric is prepared from the powdered curcuma root of the shops, which, extracted with alcohol, will give a yellow color to strips of paper immersed in it, and then dried. These papers are best kept in a stoppered bottle, and in the dark.

Lime is generally used in defecating saccharine juices in the form of the milk or cream of lime, both being practically the same, except that the latter is the stronger.

Cream or milk of lime is prepared by carefully selecting the best specimens of well burned lime, and then slaking them with water, precisely as though the preparation of a white wash for the walls was the object. After slaking, it would be well to pour the whole through a sieve, in order to remove any lumps which had not perfectly slaked. Water is added in quantity sufficient to make, when thoroughly stirred up, a thin cream or thick milk in appearance and consistence; hence the name.

It may be kept for almost any length of time, as only a small portion of it will suffer change from the carbonic acid of the air, by which such portion is converted into the carbonate of lime. It is well to make, in advance of the season's work, a quantity sufficient to last through the season, in order that a supply may be always at hand.

The effect of the addition of lime to the juice of sorghum or cane, is to neutralize the acidity which these juices have in their normal state. This change may be known by the effect produced upon the test papers, the normal juice being without effect upon the yellow turmeric paper, but turning the blue litmus paper red. (In thus testing a sample of juice, a slip of the paper two inches long and one-fourth inch wide will suffice, and this should be moved about in the juice, in order to bring it in contact with different portions, and allow a few seconds for the reaction to manifest itself.)

After adding the milk or cream of lime in quantity a little more than sufficient to neutralize the acidity of the juice, it will be found that the action upon the test papers is to turn the yellow turmeric to a
brownish-red, and the blue litmus to a purple or red, according as the lime present is in less or greater excess.

The visible effect produced by adding the lime will be the formation of a precipitate throughout the liquid, which was before comparatively clear. This cloudy precipitate will be seen to gather itself in flocks, and these will either slowly settle to the bottom or rise to the top of the mass of juice, leaving at last the intermediate portion quite clear. It will also be observed, that the green color of the juice will change to a yellow (brownish-yellow), leaving the juice, after the separation of the precipitate, of a light sherry-wine color.

The chemical effect of lime upon these saccharine juices is to decompose the several salts of organic acids present, uniting with the acids to form insoluble lime salts, which are precipitated. It is said also to render certain compounds, which were soluble in the acid solution, insoluble, and thus effect their removal. It also, especially in connection with the heat, effects the destruction of the germs of fermentation, and thus keeps the juice sweet. It is, on this account, advisable that the mill, and those ressels in which the juice is stored, should be, when not in use, rinsed out with water, to which enough lime has been added to render it a little milky.

## DEFECATION WITH LIME.

After the preliminary filtering or settling of the juice, it is taken into the defecator, and there receives the treatment with lime. The defecator may be either round or square; may be heated by steam coils or by direct heat ; although steam is much to be preferred. It is desirable that it should be deep, so that the sediment and scum formed by defecation may, together, occupy but a small section of the depth, thus permitting a large proportion of the clear defecated juice to be drawn off directly, without the necessity of being passed through the filters. A defecator 4 feet wide, 5 feet long, and $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet deep, is a convenient size, and would hold 500 gallons of juice. It should not be filled more than about five-sixths of its capacity, in order to permit the juice to be actively stirred about while adding the lime, and to avoid the danger of overflowing when it is brought to the boiling point. So soon as the steam pipes are well covered with juice, or a sufficient amount has run into the defecator to more than absorb all the heat of the steam or fire, the heat may be applied; and when enough juice has been brought into the defecator, the tempering with lime may begin. For this purpose, a bucket of the cream of lime, with a dipper for ladling it out, an assistant, with a stroug paddle, for stirring
up the juice, and several slips of fresh litmus paper, previously reddened by dipping them in fresh juice, should be right at hand.

The lime should be added gradually, with constant stirring, in order that it may be thoroughly distributed through the mass of liquid, and repeated tests are to be made with the litmus paper, until the reddened litmus is turned purple, but not blue; since that would show that too much lime had been added. The turmeric paper would show a faint brownish-red, instead of the yellow, which is its color, in juice which had received the right amount of lime. As the amount of lime which is approximately correct will soon become known, the additions at first may be more rapid than at the close; but, as the point of neutralization is being approached, the greatest care should be exercised to avoid an excess. Should too much lime be accidentally added, a little more fresh juice may be brought into the defecator, although, with care, this will very rarely be necessary.

Owing to the impossibility of having the samples of cream of lime of the same strength, as also the difference in the acidity of juice, it is impossible to lay down any exact rule as to quantity to be added; and the good defecator will always proceed with caution, until experience has taught him how to advance more rapidly. But, with an ordinary defecation of from 300 to 500 gallons of juice, there is always ample time to complete the addition of the lime before the boiling point is reached. So soon as the proper amount of lime has been added, the juice should be left at rest, and the heat increased to bring the whole to the boiling point.

The following phenomena will appear in a good defecation: As the temperature increases, a dark green scum will rise upon the surface, and, if a portion of it is pushed aside, a heary, flocculent precipitate will be seen distributed throngh the clear and almost colorless juice. The scum will increase in quantity, and gradually grow darker in color, and more compact, resembling a thick "blanket," as it has been termed. Shortly after, seams will form in the scum "cracking," and here and there the juice (now about reaching the boiling point) will bubble up through points in these cracks, showing a perfectly white foam. So soon as the boiling point is reached, aud before the scum has been broken up by boiling, the steam is wholly turned off, or the fire is withdrawn. And here is one of the great adrantages of steam, that the heat may be almust instantaneously withdrawn. If direct heat is used, care shoull be exercised that the fire be nearly through. by this time, and the heat, at the last, urged by a few sticks of light wood, which may be easily quenched. The object of preventing the boiling of the
juice, is to aroid the mixing up of the scum in the juice, as it is now in a condition to be completely remored with almost no loss of juice.

Many experiments hare been made (as will be seen br reference to page 316 for the purpose of learning at what temperature the lime should be added; and there appears to be no difference whether the lime is added to the juice at the ordinary temperature, or at any point under boiling. Owing to the possibility that the acids of the juice may cause the incersion of some of the sugar after the heating is begun, also in order to hare ample time for adding the proper amount of lime before the boiling point is reached, it mould seem to be desirable to add the lime as soon as possible after turning on the heat.

If an excess of lime is used, it will result in giving a darker color to the juice and to the srrup produced from it.

After having withdrawn the heat, the contents of the defecator are left at rest for from fifteen to twenty minutes, after which the scum may be carefully removed br a large skimmer, pierced with holes not orer onesixteenth of an inch in diameter; and this scum may be emptied into a gutter, upon une side of the defecator, by which it may run to the scum tank for future treatment.

The disposition of the skimmings will be discussed in the chapter on waste prolucts, page 376. It will be found pussible to remore almost every particle of scum in this manner, with but little loss of juice.

It is, with manr, the practice to fill the defecator with the fresh juice, and theu, after liming, bring it to the boiling point, and letting it boil gently, to sweep off the dense scum into a gutter attached to one side of the defecator, which is about four inches lumer than the other three, so that whatever boils over shall be saved with the scum. This method is very wasteful, unless the scum is treated by filters to recover the juice lost, and is uneconomical, since it necessitates the filtering of rery much more juice. It is a practice, in short, haring nothing to commend it.

After shimming the contents of the defecator, it will be found, when the juice has fallen to a temperature of about $\left.8.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .18 .5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}.\right)$, that the sediment has quite subsided, and occupies a depth of about two inches, the defecated juice abore being perfectly clear and almost colorless, resembling a very pale sherry.

Such a result mar be regarded as an indication of a successful defecation. Too little lime will be indicated by a turbid, cloudy liquor; and too much lime by a bright, clear juice, but darker color.

For the purpose of watching the prugress of the precipitation of the sediment, and to learn when it is completed, it is convenient to have
a strong open glass tube, cut square off at each end, with an interior diameter of not over one-quarter of an inch. By carefully thrusting this to the bottom of the defecator, while holding it upright, and then after placing the finger firmly over the opened upper end and withdrawing carefully, a good section may be taken out of the defecator for inspection.

By laving a series of cocks up and down the defecator at intervals of six or eight inches, it will be possible to draw off the clear juice from above the sediment, without waiting for its complete subsidence. The last cock should be an inch or an inch and a half from the bottom of the defecator, so that nearly all the juice may be drawn off without disturbing the sediment. Finally, the sediment itself is drawn off, by a cock in the lower part of the pan, which empties into a tank, the same or similar to the one for the scum, and the juice remaining in it may be secured by the bag filters or filter press.

By procceding as above, it will be found practicable to secure the juice so clear as to permit its going at once to the evaporators, without being passed through any filter.

It has been the practice of many to draw the contents of the defecator immediately after skimming into settling tanks, where it is allowed to stand, as in the defecator; but it would seem desirable to have two or more defecators in use, so that they may take the place of settling tanks, and thus avoid the necessity of disturbing the juice during the subsidence of the sediment.

The plates will illustrate some of the several forms of defecators in use among sugar makers. See page 344.

## OTHER AGENTS IN DEFECATION.

## Effect of adding Water to the Juice during Defecation.

It may frequently happen, where the specific gravity of the juice is very high, from 1.075 to 1.090 ( $10^{\circ}$ to $12^{\circ}$ Beaumé), that, owing to this great density, the precipitate will not subside in the process of defecation, but will remain permanently suspended in the defecated juice. As it has been found upon trial, that, during the process of evaporation, this suspended matter was but partially brought to the surface as scum, and remained in the syrup, giving it a disagreeble appearance and taste, the experiment was made of diluting the juice after defecation by adding buckets of cold water directly after having removed the scum, and it was in every case found entirely satisfactory, causing the sediment to subside, and only necessitating the removal of this additional amount of water by evaporation.

Fourteen experiments were made, with an average of about 1,000
pounds of juice in each experiment, and different quantities of water were added, according to the density of the juice operated upon, varying from 10 to $3 \overline{5}$ per cent of the weight of the juice. In each case, the actual amount of sucrose and glucose present in the juice, and in the syrup obtained from the juice, was determined, and it was found, as an arerage of the fourteen experiments, that 90.4 per cent of the sucrose, and 88.0 per cent of the glucose, in the juices was recorered in the srrup-an amount of lozs easily accounted for as indispeusable in the necessary operations of manufacture.

Actinn of Lime upon thee Glucose and Sucrose in Juices during Evaporation.
The following experiments were made, for the purpose of determining the effect of lime upon the sucrose and glucose in juices during evaporation, and will be studied with much interest by the sugar maker, as the results are, in some respects, surprising and of very great practical importance.

In the three sets of experiments, the results of which are given below, a solution of the strength given, equal in volume to $2,000 \mathrm{c}$. c., was placed in a large class flask, aud boiled in the open air over a gas stove for several hours.

Samples were taken at first, and at intervals during the process of boiling, and subjected to analysis. These samples were always taken just after the evaporated water had been replaced. The amount evaporated was determined by graduations upn the side of the flask; but, on account of the width of the column of liquid, it was difficult alwars to bring the solution back to the exact volume of the original, and. doubtless, some of the irregularities recorded below are due to this cause.

In each sample taken for analysis, the acidity or alkalinity, glucose and sucrose, were determined, the sugars being estimated by the same methorls used in the analysis of juices.

In the series of experiments No. 1, no color appeared until sample No. 5 was taken, at the end of four and a half hours' boiling; the solution then became gradually darker, until sample Ňo. 8 was taken, which was very much darker than sample No. 7.

No. 9 was still much darker, and then the coloration proceeded gradually until the end, sample No. 11 being of a sherry-wine color.

In the series of experiments No. 2, there was a gradual darkening of color till the end, sample No. 24 in this series resembling a dark whisky in color.

In the series No. 3, sample No. 1 was colorless; No. 2 was darkbrown, with a heary precipitate. The color gradually darkened to the end. Sample No. 12 was a very dark-red wine color.

EFFECT OF LIME DURLNG EVAPORATION OF JUICES.
Experiment No. l.-No Lime added to Solution.


Experiment No. 2.-A Little Lime added to Solution.


Experiment No. 3-Much Lime added to Solution.

| 1. | 0 | 0000 |  | 2.31 | 14.76 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | 0 | 40013 |  | . 28 | 6.60 | 87.9 | 553 |
| 3. ${ }^{\text {a }}$.......... | 1/2 | 3.110 |  | . 10 | . 6.56 | 957 | 556 |
| 4. .. ............. | 1\% |  | . . . . . . . | . 07 | 666 | 97.0 | 549 |
| 5. | 3 | ${ }^{2} 563$ | ..... . . | . 05 | 668 | 97.8 | 54.7 |
| 6. | $41 / 2$ | 2.577 | ......... | . 01 | 7.43 | 99.6 | 49.7 |
| 7. | $71 / 2$ | $2 . \overline{6} \mathrm{ti} 3$ |  | . 02 | 7.17 | 99.1 | 514 |
| 8. | $101 / 2$ | 2520 |  | . 04 | 6.93 | 98.3 | 53.1 |
| 9. | $13 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2. 549 |  | . 04 | 663 | 98.3 | 551 |
| 10. | $16 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1541 |  | . 02 ' | 6.94 | 99.1 | 53.0 |
| 11. | 18 | 1.321 |  | . 02 | 6.77 | 99.1 | 54.0 |
| 12. | 22 | 1.226 | $\cdots$ | . 07 | 7.18 | 97.0 | 51.4 |

In considering the results of the above experiments, it will be observed that, in the series of the first experiment, where no line was added, there was a continuous increase in the amount of glucose, and a decrease in the amount of sucrose, as the result of the boiling. After an interval of two hours, the actual loss in sucrose was only . 09 gram. while the increase in the glucose was $.6 \pm$ gram.; but the .09 gram. sucrose would furnish, by its inversion, only $.0947+$ gram. of glucose, which is much less than the gain shown. It is probable that the commercial glucose was composed of other compounds largely intermediate between starch and glucose-compounds which would have no effect upon Fehling's solution, but which, by boiling, were readily converted into glucose, or some copper-reducing compound.

The general result, howerer, is manifest, viz: the rapid and continuous iurersion of the sucrose present, until, at the close of the experiment, sample 11 showed no sucrose present, and an increase of orer 800 per cent in the amount of glucose.

The increase in the acidity of the solution is noticeable, amounting to 800 per cent, and determined by the amount of lime required to neutralize the solution, $1,000 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c}$. requiring at the beginning only .004 gram., but at the end of the experiment .032 gram. This increase was by no means constant, but was most marked after about eleven hours' boiling.

In the series of experiments No. 2, where a small amount of lime was added to the solution, the solution, at first alkaline, becomes, after about nine hours' boiling, slightly acid, and this acidity increases steadily to the end of the experiment, until, at the end of thirty-five hours' boiling, the amount of lime necessary to restore neutrality is twice as much as that originally added to the solution. After the solution had become distinctly acid, the inversion of the sugar became much more rapid.

Also, during the earlier periods of this experiment the amount of glucose increases but slightly, although there is a gradual decrease of sucrose. This is doubtless due to the fact, that the action of the lime is maiuly exerted in the destruction of glucose, as has been shown in $m y$ reports to be true in experiments in sugar making from sorghum and maize juices.

The practical point, however, to be observed is, that, so long as the solution remained distinctly alkaline, there was but very slight loss in sugar and slight increase in glucose, two desirable conditions in the economical production of sugar from sorghum. And so soon as this alkalinity was destroyed, through the formation of acid products during the boiling, the inversion of sugar became rapid, and the accumulation
of glucose very marked. These results are, obviously, most undesirable in sugar making. The conclusion thus far would be, that the solution should, during boiling, be kept slightly alkaline.

In the series of Experiments, No. 3, where a larger quantity of lime was added to the solution, its effect at the outset was to remove from tle solution as a precipitate about half of the sugar, and the remainder during eighteen hours of boiling was found to be unchanged in amount; on the other hand, the action of this excess of lime upon the glucose was very marked, effecting practically its destruction within two hours, and producing from the glucose other compounds of high color, which dissolved in the liquid and gave it a deep wine-red color.

It would appear from this last series of experiments that an excess of lime has no action upon cane sugar, as has already been established, and that its effect is to diminish rapidly the glucose present, and darken the solution.

The above experiments corroborate the results of practical working with large quantities of juice, and explain fully the loss of the glucose shown to be present in the fresh juices, but which was found in comparatively small quantity in the syrups manufactured from these juices.

## Effect on Juice of Standing after Defccalion.

In the daily work at the Department of Agriculture, it became frequently desirable to keep a supply of juice over night; and it was found that, after defecating as usual with lime and heat, the juice could remain in the defecator without suffering any detriment. As this is a matter of considerable practical importance in working up large quantities of juice, especially if the work is not carried on through the night, by euabling one to have a fresh lot of juice for the evaporator early in the morning, the following results of these experiments are given, including the analysis of juice before defecation and after standing over night in the defecator, of the syrups produced, and the percentage of sugar present in the juice and obtained in the syrup. It will be seen that the results show no effects fairly to be charged against this mode of procedure.

ETFECT OF JUICE ON STANDING AFTER DEFECATION.



| 苟 | Analysis of juice. |  |  | Analysis of juice after defecation. |  |  | Percent sucrose of juice in syrup | Percent glucose of juice in syrup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of glucose. | Percent ot sucrose. | Percent of solids not sugar. | Percent of glucose. | Percent of sucrose. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Percent } \\ \text { of snlids } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { sugar. }\end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.10 \\ & 6.01 \\ & 1.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.25 \\ 6.97 \\ 14.29 \end{array}$ | 1.19 1.99 3.64 | 8.40 6.91 1.84 | 7.43 74.12 | 1.72 20.03 200 | 98.7 98.5 7.5 -0.1 76.0 69.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 90.5 \\ & 75.3 \\ & 71.8 \\ & 99.4 \\ & 231 \\ & 69.7 \end{aligned}$ |

It will be seen that in those juices which were analyzed before defecation, defecated and allowed to stand on an average of 15 hours, there was no loss of sucrose sustained, and that the average of the 6 experiments showed that 83.1 per cent of both the sucrose and glucose present in the juice was recovered in the syrup.

## Use of Clay in Defecation.

In order to effect the more rapid and complete subsidence of the lime precipitate produced by defecation, it has been the practice of some sugar makers to mix, with the cream of lime, clay or finely pulverized g.tpsum (plaster), whiting, sulphate of baryta, etc., the object being to entangle in the flocculent precipitate this heavier material, and thus cause its more rapid subsidence. To accomplish this, the clay or other material, is stirred up into a thin cream with water, and mixed in with the cream of lime in proportion so that for each 100 gallons of juice to be defecated there shall be added along with the lime about a gallon of the clay or other cream. After skimming, as usual, the contents of the defecator are allowed to stand, to be drawn off as in the
ordinary defecation, or the defecator is at once emptied into a subsiding tank where the defecated juice is left until complete subsidence is effected, when the juice is drawn off for evaporation as usual.

## Superphosphate of Lime.

The use of this compound has been very highly commended in defecation. It is added in solution to the juice just before the neutralization with lime. The superphosphate of lime forms, with some of the lime which is added in liming the juice, an insoluble phosphate of lime, which is readily precipitated, carrying with it the lighter sediment which would the more slowly settle. As superphosphate of lime of a high grade may now be readily obtained in the market, a solution of it in water may be easily prepared for use.

## Alumina in Defecation.

The use of alumina, either as the sulphate, phosphate, hydrate, or in other forms, depends upon its property of forming, when precipitated from its solutions, a gelatinous mass, which mechanically entangles the impurities rendered insoluble by heat and lime, and in its subsidence carrying such impurities along with it. Its use in clarifying water for the purpose of the laundry, depends upon this same principle.

The various forms in which it is used, are the sulphate (porous alum so-called), and a mixture patented in England, over a half century ago, known as "Howard's Finings," which, patented in England, October 31st, 1812, by Edward Charles Howard, the inventor of the Vacuum Pan, was largely used in the refining of sugar. Similar mixtures are largely used in the United States. The objection to the use of alum is, that by it compounds of potash would be introduced into the juice, the presence of which are very injurious.

Howard's Finings may be prepared, by boiling in a convenient vessel thin cream of lime, until, after a few minutes, a sort of lime curd is formed. Then, for each 100 gallons of juice, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of alum are dissolved in 6 gallons of water, and 3 ounces of whiting, finely pulverized, is added to the alum solution, the mixture being stirred until all effervescence ceases. It is then allowed to settle, and the clear liquor is poured off. To the residue from which the liquor has been drawn, enough of the curdy lime previously prepared is added, with thorough intermixture, until a slip of yellow turmeric paper is just turned a slight brownish red when placed in the mixture. Then, after letting the mixture settle and pouring off any supernatant liquid, it is
placed upon a blanket filter, until it has se far dried as to begin to crack, when it may be kept for use in defecation.

The method of using this is, to mix it up into a cream and apply in the amount above stated, using for each 100 gallons as much of the finings as would be made from $2 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of alum.

The details of the process as given, in "Sugar Growing and Refining," are as follows:
The juice is strained before entering the defecator, and is then gently heated; to cach 100 gallons of juice, 2 ounces (more is necessary with sorghum juice) of finely sifted quick-lime are made into a cream with water and added to the contents of the defecator, with thorough stirring, and the heat is increased to $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ( $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.), until a thick crust forms on the surface and shows a disposition to crack. This mar take 15 to 20 minutes after the addition of the lime; if it is verr slow in forming, the heat may be raised to $93^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .\left(200^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.), but not beyond. When the crust of scum has formed and shows signs of cracking, the heat is withdrawn, and the juice is allowed to stand for 10 minutes, when it is drawn off through a fine strainer into a second ressel, called the precipitator. Here, again, the jnice is heated up to the boiling point, but is not allowed to boil, and the scum is remored as fast as it forms. The juice is then boiled for 10 or 15 minutes, with constant skimming, and then the "finings" are added, with stirring, and the boiling is continued for 2 or 3 minates more, when the juice is quickly run off into a subsiding tank, and allowed to rest for from 2 to 6 hours. It is generally then passed through charcoal filters, and thence goes to the evaporators.

It will be seen that the "finings" are composed of a nixture of sulphate of lime, alumina, and lime, and that, in short, this mixture is used after the remoral of the scum of the ordinary lime defecation, to effect the more complete subsidence of the precipitate, which it does mechanically.

Porous alum, an impure sulphate of alumina, prepared by dissolving 4 ounces of the salt in a gallon of water, and, after allowing it to settle, pouring off the clear solution for use, is used in a similar way, for the clarification of defecated juices and semi-syrups.

Brsic alum and defecating compound are two forms of alumina recommended by certain manufacturers. They are prepared, being practically identical, by adding to a solution of porous alum (crude alumina sulphate) a solution of sal-soda in water, until a slight permanent precipitate is produced.

The materials are used in connection with the cream of lime in ordinary defecation, and also with the clay cream already referred to.

A solution of water glass, basic silicate of soda, has been recom-mended-the gelatinous silica which is produced mechanically carrying down the impurities.

The principal objection to several of these preparations is the intro-
duction into the syrup of the soluble salts of potash or soda, and their use is not to be advised under any circumstances, unless solely by way of experiment. They are nearly all based upon the principle of Howard's Finings, for which they are a very poor substitute.

The use of sulphate of alumina for the removal of the potash present in the juices is, however, a most important matter, and is largely used in beet sugar manufactories and the refining of raw sugars, the potash being crystallized out as alum.

## Lime-Sucrate Defecation.

In 1865 , Boivin and Loiseau, of Paris, invented a process for the refining of raw sugars and the defecation of juices, by a process which, although complicated and requiring careful supervision, appears to have given excellent results. It is known as the lime-sucrate process, and the general procedure is as follows:

The freshly expressed juice is received into a tank, where it is agitated with cream of lime, the amount of which is equal to from 1 to 2 per cent of the juice. In this form, the juice will remain unchanged, and may be kept even for weeks, if desired.

When thoroughly agitated, the limed juice is treated with carbonic acid (obtained from the lime-kiln or coal furnace) in large tanks, which, owing to the frothing up of the juice in this operation, are filled to only one-fourth or onc-fifth their capacity, and by means of revolving stirrers, it is kept in agitation during this process.

When the frothing ceases, the addition of carbonic acid is discontinued, and a portion of the juice is now tested by boiling for a few minutes and filtering. If the filtrate is of a pale straw color, and the precipitate upon the filter is not too gelatinous, indicating that not enough carbonic acid has been added, or too granular, showing that too much has been added (in the latter case, the filtered juice would be of a darker color), the operation is successful.

The object aimed at is to introluce sufficient carbonic acid to nearly precipitate the lime present, and yet to leave enough in combination with sugar in the form of sucrate of hydro-carbonate of lime, that, in the boiling of the juice, the floceulent precipitate produced may carry down the impurities of the juice. This, then, is the critical point of the whole operation. When it is found that the lime remaining dissolved in the juice is the right amount, the juice is boiled rapidly for a few minutes, and a pricipitate is thrown down containing nearly all the impurities of the juice.

It is now filtered by means of filter presses, and the juice, which, after filtering, should be of a light straw color, is again treated with
carbonic acid, to remore the lime which remains and which was not thrown down by the first treatment with carbonic acid nor by the boiling. It is then boiled, passed through bag filters, after haring stood in the subsiding tanks for the subsidence of the carbonate of lime, and is ready for concentration. In expert hands, the process is most highly commended. Details may be found in "Sugar Growing and Retining."

## SULPHUROUS ACID AND SULPHITES IN DEFECATION.

The employment of this re-agent in the defecation of saccharine juices was suggested by Proust, in 1810 , and has been rery general, and the results attending its use seem to justify it. This gas is the product of burning sulphur, and is readily made available by burning sulphur in such a way that the products of the combustion may be drawn from the furnace and brought in contact directly with the juice, or with water, in both of which the gas is very soluble.

The bleaching properties of sulphurous acid are well known; and it is, owing to its tendency to unite with oxygen to form sulphuric acid, one of the most powerful denxidizing or reducing agents. It is also, $\mathrm{by}_{\mathrm{y}}$ some, regarded as an antiseptic (preservative), and as a disinfectant; but whatever action it may have in this way is probably due to its remoral of the oxygen of the air, and the destruction of the products of decomposition by its reducing power.

It will readily dissolve in water, one volume of water at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\left(81^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.) dissolving about 30 volumes of the gas, or at $21^{\circ}\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.) 38 volumes, and at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .\left(61^{\circ} \mathrm{F}.\right) 45$ volumes; so that it may, by being dissolved in water, be easily prepared and kept for use, the only precaution necessary being to keep it in closely corked bottles, or casks, so as to exclude the air, the oxygen of which will unite with it, and, in time, convert it entirely into sulphuric acid, a substance which exercises the most injurious action upon sugar by converting it into glucose.

Sulphurous acid may be readily prepared by the reduction of sulphuric acid (oil of ritriol) by means of charcoal, according to the following re-action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sulphuric acid + Charcoal }=\text { Water }+ \text { Carbonic acid }+ \text { Sulphurous acid. } \\
& 2\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)+\mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Or, by weight, as follows;
Sulphuric acid + Charcoal $=$ Water + Carbonic acid + Sulphurous acid 196 parts +12 parts $=36$ parts +44 parts +128 parts.

The apparatus used by the author for making this solution of the gas, consisted of a small-sized hot water tank for kitchen range, about forty inches long and ten inches diameter. Into this, powdered charcoal and oil of vitriol were put, and the sulphurous gas passed through iron gas pipes into a wash bottle contaning oil of vitriol, and from thence into a barrel nearly filled with water. A safety tube was connected with the wash bottle, to prevent any possible rushing back of the water into the generator in case of the withdrawal of the heat. By this apparatus, a barrel or two of the solution may be made in a short time, and at an expense of not over seventy-five cents per barrel. For two barrels of the solution there would be required seventy-five pounds of oil of vitriol and seven pounds of powdered charcoal.

When the sulphurous acid is for immediate use, and when steam is used, the use of the sulphur box is the most convenient form. This may be easily constructed by anybody, and consists of a wooden box three or four feet high and from two to three feet square, with ten or twelve partitions, slightly incliued, and reaching nearly across the box from side to side, so that the juice, entering the box at the upper end, flows across the upper partition and, falling upon the second, flows back under the place of entering, and then falls upon the third partition, and thus, running back and forth over the partitions, and falling from each in a thin sheet, is thoroughly exposed to the fumes of sulphurous acid, which enter by a pipe, placed between the second and third partitions from the bottom, from a small furnace of iron near the box, to which the pipe acts as a chimney. Into this furnace small bits of roll brimstone, or flowers of sulphur, are placed from time to time, the burning of which produces the sulphurous acid. A sliding door to the furnace determines the amount of air admitted to the burning sulphur, and therefore regulates the rapidity of the combustion and the amount of sulphurous acid produced. The draught to the furnace is produced by a steam-pipe, which enters the sulphur box two or three partitions above that where the pipe from the sulphur furnace enters.

Generally, it is found that from two to six ounces of sulphur are sufficient for five huudred gallons of juice; so that, after having ascertained the amount of sulphur necessary, the draft to the furnace may be so adjusted as to give the requisite quantity.

Six ounces of sulphur will give gas enough to saturate four gallons of water at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .\left(81^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$.) ; so that, if the solution is used instead of the gas direct, the relative amount to be used may be easily ascertained.

The treatment of the juice with sulphurous acid, and then leaving it from six to ten hours in the settling tanks, has already been mentioned as being successful in the removal of a large amount of impurities;
and it is claimed that the sulphurous acid reuders certain of these impurities of the juice insoluble, and thus effects their remoral in the settling tanks. This is a matter requiring and deserving very careful inrestigation.

The general use of sulphurous acid is, howerer, in the sulphur bos. where the freshly expressed juice, on its war to the storage tank and immediatels previous to its defecation with lime. is charged with this gas: or the juice, after defecation and the separation of scum and sediment, is treated with the sulphurous acid, until the juice, which had been rendered slightly alkaline br the lime, is rendered acid again.

The addition, at this stage, changes the culor of the defecated juice, bleaching the color which still remains, and greatly improving the appearance of the juice. Anr excess of the acid which mary be added is remored readily during the evaporation, since the gas is very volatile.

Any small quantitr of sulphuric acid which may be present in the sulphurous acid used. will be rendered harmless $t \mathrm{r}$ the slight excess of lime present, and will form the insoluble sulphate of lime, which mar be remored as sediment or skimmings in the process of manufacture. So far as the results of the author's experiments go, there appears to be no choice in the two methois, abure described, of using the sulphurous acid; and. indeed, it seems that the alvantages claimed for it are greatly orer-estimated. It is certain that. br prompt workiug of the cane, the results, without its use have proved as satisfactory as with it. In case of delay in working up the juice. it wuld appear that the use of the gas as a temporary antiseptic is beneficial. It is prohably true that, br its exidation, it forms sulphuric acid. which. with the soluble putash salte present in the juices and retained be the syrup, forms the comparatively insoluble sulphate of putash, a salt which has been found not to retard the crystallization of the sugar in the syrup.

At the large sugar factory at Aba-el-Wakf, in Eerpt, the juice was first treated with sulphurous acil previous to the liming, and the results showed excellent returns in sugar; but it does not appear that the good results were due any more to the use of sulphurnus acid, than to the many improved appliances of this famous mill. It must be remembered, that the bleaching be sulphurous acid is only temporarr, the color being only masked, but not destroved; so that the apparent action of this re-agent is rery deceptive, and not comparable with that of bone-black, which completely remores the color from the juice or syrup.

## Bisulphite of Lime.

This compound, so called, exists neither in theory nor in practice; but the name has long been applied to the salt produced by the union of lime and sulphurous acid, the only chemical compound of which is the sulphite of lime known commercially as the bisulphite. It may be had in quantity at a few cents (five to seven) per pound, and contains about 30 per cent of sulphurous acid (if chemically pure, it should contain $53_{3}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ per cent), and, owing to its comparatively low price and great convenience in handling, is the most available form in which sulphurous acid may be used by the sugar manufacturer. This salt is practically insoluble in water; but is soluble in a solution of sulphurous acid, and is said to exist in such solution as the bisulphite, which in effect it is, and in such solution it is largely prepared and used by sugar makers. In using this re-agent in defecation, the acid of the juice will liberate the sulphurous acid, and give practically the same results as by the use of the gas.
Many experiments were made with a mixture of slaked lime and commercial sulphite, using the same in precisely the manner of using the lime in defecation. The mixture contained $15 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of sulphurous acid, 50 per cent of dry slaked lime, and the remainder moisture, sulphate of lime, and other impurities.
For comparison, certain experiments were made with the calcium sulphite alone, and the results are given at the end of this chapter.

## EXPERIMENTS IN DEFECATION.

During the season of 1882 , there were made, in all, seventy-eight experiments in defecation, using the following re-agents: Hydrate of lime, calcium sulphite, and a mixture of these two. The results of these experiments are given in detail in the following tables:

In table A, is given the variety of sorghum used in each experiment; and it will be seen that the new African varieties were used in eight of the experiments, the new varieties from India in five experiments, and different varieties grown in this country for the remaining sixtyfive experiments. In each case, for purpose of comparison, the analysis of the juices is given. In most cases, each sample of juice analyzed was used for several experiments in defecation, and the syrups, in each case, were also analyzed.
In Table B, the details of each experiment is given, and the character of the different syrups produced.

In Table C, are given details of fourteen experiments in defecation
of the juice and manufacture of syrup and sugar, which were carried through quantitatively.

By reference to Table A, it will be seen that the average composition of the juices in the seventy-eight experiments, and of the syrups made from them, is as follows:

|  | Juices. | Syrups. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent sucrose in total solids. | 7606 |  |
| Per cent glucose in total solids | 8.38 | 756 |
| Per cent solids, not sugar, in total solids. | 15.56 | 10.58 |
| Per cent available sugar in total solids | 52.13 | $63 \mathrm{S1}$ |

From the above it appears, that, in the preparation of these syrups, there was an increase of 7.65 per cent in the relative amounts of sucrose, and of 22.45 per cent in the relative amounts of a vailable sugar, over the relative amounts present in the juices from which the syrups were made, while there was a relative decrease of 9.79 per cent in the glucose and of 39.20 per cent in the solids not sugar.

As it is, for the purpose of sugar production, most desirable to decrease, as much as possible, the relative amounts of glucose and other solids, not sugar, in the syrups (or, what is equivalent, to increase the relative amount of sugar in a syrup), the above results are obviously very satisfactory. But these results do not show what proportion of the sugar present in the juice was actually recovered in the several syrups.

In table $\mathbf{C}$, it will be seen that, as an average of the fourteen experiments, there was found, of each constituent in the several juices, and in the syrups made from them, the following parts, by weight:

|  | In juices. | In syrups. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose | 1,151 | 1,026 |
| Glucose. | 149 | 108 |
| Solids, not sugar | 224 | 128 |
| Available sugar. | 778 | su0 |

In other words, there was a loss of 10 per cent of the sucrose, of 27.52 per cent of the glucose, and of 42.86 per cent of the solids not sugar, and a gain of 2.83 per cent in the amount of available sugar present in these syrups, as compared with the actual amounts present in the juices from which they were made.

The recovery, then, of 90 per cent of the amount of sugar present in the juices of sorghums, and an actual increase in the amount of available sugar, is conclusive evidence that these juices may be manip-
ulated with as great economy as are the juices of sugar-cane, if only due care is exercised.

- Of course, this loss of 10 per cent of the sugar is due to such portions. as are lost in the scum and sediments of defecation, and in the skimming necessary during the evaporation to syrup. As these experiments were necessarily upon a very small scale, using rarely, for each experiment, more than a quart of juice (since, as has been mentioned, our entire plat of sorghum, of sixty-four varieties, only equaled two-ninths of an acre), it is fair to presume that the losses sustained by working such small amounts were much greater in proportion than would be necessary when working with larger quantities.

By reference to page 61 of the Annual Report for 1879, it was said, as the result of certain experiments that year :

We may hope, then, to secure in syrup 90 per cent of the crystallizable sugar present in the juices operated upon.

And in the Annual Report for 1881-'82, page 500, it is said of the experiments made in 1881:

The results show, that, in the forty experiments made, the amount of sucrose recovered in the syrups, was 87.5 per cent of the actual amount in the juice.

There remains only to speak of the character of the syrups produced in the seventy-eight experiments following. In Table $\mathbf{B}$, a column is given, which describes the physical character of the several syrups made, and, as will be seen, in nearly every case, crystals of sugar were present, while, in a very large number, the syrup was a semi-solid mass of sugar and molasses.

In the fourteen experiments which were made quantitatively, eleven of the syrups were a solid mass of crystals; in two of them, two-thirds of the syrups was semi-solid with sugar; and in the remaining sample, the syrup contained a few crystals of sugar, but the analysis showed that this one had not been evaporated quite to the point of good crystallization. All of the seventy-eight experiments were made by open pan evaporation.

As evidence of the character of the juices used in these fourteen experiments, it will be seen that their average analysis was:

Specific gravity, 1.0786.

|  | Per cent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose. | 13.646 |
| Glucose. | 1.696 |
| solids not sugar. | 24 |
| Polarization.. | 13.048 |
| Available sugar. | 9298 |

And the per cent of syrup made from the juices averaged 20.85 .

The average composition of the juices used in the seventy-eight experiments, was as follows:

Specific gravity, 1.077.
Per cent.

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Table A．－experiments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | JuI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variety： | Development． |  | （管 | 莡 | cio |  |
| 605 | White Liberian ．．． | Seed hard，sucker in dough．． | 19 9．） | 1 Des | 114 | $1: 121$ | 277 |
| $606$ | Eurly Amber．．．．． |  | 6361 | 1070 | 100 | 1：3 18 | 2.60 |
| 707 | Mixed juices from rows $25,33,34$ |  |  | 10 シ | 153 | 1298 | 1.16 |
| 760 | West India．． | Seed hard，sucker in dough． | 5792 | 107 s | 201 | 13.62 | 2.40 |
| 773 | Red sorgho． | ＂6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5901 | 1.072 | 1.27 | 12.34 | 3.17 |
| 793 | West India．． | ＂4 ${ }^{6}$ | 5937 | 1.076 | 2.04 | 13.14 | 2.35 |
| 809 | ＂ | ＊＊ | 59.85 | 1.074 | 1.73 | 12.51 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.78 \\ & \text { Lost } \end{aligned}$ |
| 821 | ＂ | ＂ 6 | 59.15 | 1.078 | 1.72 | 13.55 | 2.90 |
| 834 | 4 | Seed hard，sucker in hard dough | 58.28 | 1.079 | 1.85 | 13.47 | 2.88 |
| 851 | 66 | ＊＊ | 58.64 | 1.079 | 2.12 | 13.28 | 2.69 |
| 866 | ＂ | ＂${ }^{4}$ | 59.24 | 1.074 | 229 | 12.09 | 2.75 |
| 882 | ＂ | ＂＊ | 57.46 | 1.078 | 2.06 | 1287 | 3.27 |
| 895 | ＂ | ＂＂ | 58.62 | 1.074 | 2.09 | 12.34 | 2.68 |
| 910 | New variety，E．Link．．．．．．．．． | Seed hard，sucker in dough．．． | 58.72 | 1.079 | 89 | 14.78 | 2.88 |
| 970 | ${ }^{6}$ <br> 44 | ＂6＂ | 63.01 | 1.082 | ． 62 | 15.17 | 3.28 |
| 9S3 | 68 | Seed hard，sucker in hard dough | 53.63 | 1.082 | 1.73 | 14.20 | 3.18 |
| 995 | ＊ 6 | 86 ＊ | 53.68 | 1.083 | ． 85 | 14.64 | 3.81 |
| 1008 | ＊＂ | ＂، | 57.93 | 1.072 | 1.50 | 12.62 | 2.50 |
| 1021 | Standard，T．O．Harrell．．．．．．．． | Seed hard，sucker hard．．．．．．．．．．． | 51.63 | 1.080 | ． 39 | 16.17 | 3.15 |
| 1045 | $46^{68} \ldots \ldots$. | ＂6 6 ．．．．．．．．． | 52.36 | 1.080 | ． 52 | 15.14 | 3.01 |

IN DEFECATION.

CE.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.81 | 8. | 75 | 7 | 17.29 | 51.18 |  | A B | 1.072 |  |  | 2.95 |  |  |  |  | 18.29 |  |
|  | 9.5 |  | 5 |  | 7.09 | 624 | AB | 1.0 | 5.65 |  | 6.45 | 52.41 | 40.20 | 81.21 | 8.77 | 10.02 |  |
| 12 | 10.29 | 82.83 | 9.76 | 7.40 | 65.67 | 708 |  | 1.070 | 11.30 | 46.74 | 5.20 | 443 | 30.24 | 73.91 | 17.87 | 8.22 | 782 |
|  |  |  |  | 13.2 |  | $\left\{{ }^{761}\right.$ | A | 1.066 | 7.34 | 50.62 | 584 | 52. | 37.44 |  | 11.50 | 9.15 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{763}$ | C | 1.069 | 5.20 | 48.07 | 9.17 | 50. |  |  | 8. 8 \% | 69 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | A | 1076 | 4.00 | 54.34 | 8.70 | 54.43 | 41.64 | 81.06 | 5.96 | 12 | 62.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{1} 1071$ | 3 so | 51.11 | 8.69 | 51.65 | 3562 | 8036 | 597 | 15.6 | 65873 |
| 2.71 | 7.90 | 73.54 | 7.57 | 18.89 | 47.08 | 780 | C | 1.071 1 1 072 | $3: 65$ 4 4 3 | 51.69 | 994 869 | 4981 51 81 | 35.10 | 78.18 |  | 15.96 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 782 | E | 1.074 | 4.30 | 53.39 | 8.07 | 53.62 |  | 81.19 | 6.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( 794 | A | 1.071 | 6.25 | 49.64 | 9.19 | 52.16 | 34.20 | 76.28 | 8 9.60 | 14.12 | 56 |
| . 57 | 8.75 | 74.96 | 11.64 | 13.41 | 49.91 | \{ 795 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 11.073 | 6.50 | 51.49 | 7.87 | 52.81 | 37 | I8 | - 9.87 | 11.95 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( 810 | A | 1072 | 6 \% $)$ |  | ¢ 76 | 5-5 |  | 5. | 1030 |  |  |
|  | 8.00 | 73.50 | 10.16 | 16.33 |  | 811 | B | 1.06is | 6.75 | 50.59 | 6.7 | -3 | 37.14 | 79.00 | 1054 | 46 |  |
|  | 8.00 |  | 10.6 |  |  | 812 813 813 | C | 1068 | $6 \%$ 6.05 | 4950 46.98 | 8.10 6.93 | I2 23 | 3 20 | 7759 | 97 | 1269 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S | A | 1.060 | 5.15 | 5192 | 7.15 | 54.43 | 64 | S0. 87 | 8.0 | 11.11 | 4 |
| . 96 | 8.93 | 74.57 | 9.47 | 15.96 | 49.14 | , 823 | B | 1.070 | 5.40 | 5149 | 7.35 | $5 \overline{57}$ | 35.74 |  | 8.41 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (82 | C | 1.069 | 5.05 | 5106 | 7.25 | 53.78 | 38.76 | 80.59 | 9 7.97 | 1144 | 461.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - 8.3 | A | 1047 | 575 | 19.26 | 58.3 | 5119 | 2768 | 5097 | ${ }^{9} 98$ | 958 | 61.94 |
| 12.91 | 8. 74 | 74.01 | 10.16 | 15.82 | 48.03 | 838 | ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.066}$ | 5.45 <br> 5 |  | 7.23 | 51.19 49 | 34.96 336 |  | 8 9.03 | 11.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( | D | 1.067 | 5. 50 | 50.26 | 4.60 | 5330 | 39.86 | \| 82.86 | - ${ }^{8} 9.0$ | 13.40 | 854.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\int 8$. | A | 104 im | 62 | 48.97 | 7.10 | 5314 | 35.62 | İ 5 | $100: 3$ | 1139 | 516 |
| 12.85 | 8.47 | 73.41 | 11.72 | 14.87 | 46.82 | 85. | B | 1.070 | 6.30 | 1. 02 | 6.28 | 53. 95 | 38.4 | 80. 22 | 90 | 9.88 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ( | D | ${ }^{1} 1048$ | 6.15 | 47.83 58.76 | 7.30 | 53 | 34 | 78 05 | 1004 | 1191 | $1{ }^{56.10}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \{ 86 | A | 1.072 | 7.90 | 50.16 | 650 | 55.24 | 35.76 | 77.70 | 12.24 | 10.07 | 53.39 |
| 11.88 | 7.05 | 70.58 | 13.37 | 16.06 | 41.05 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}868 \\ 869\end{array}\right.$ | B | 1.073 | 76.9 6.95 | 50.68 47.55 | 6.31 6.15 | 55.24 | 36.72 34.42 | 27840 | (111.83 | 9.77 | 756.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | S 8 s 3 | A | 1.068 | 6.50 | 4S 93 | 7.13 | 51.84 | 35.30 | 78.21 | 11039 | 1140 | 56.42 |
| 266 | 7.54 | 70.71 |  | 17.9 | 41 | \{ 884 |  | 1070 | 6.40 | 49.97 | 7.71 | 52.97 | 35.86 | 77.98 | 8 9.99 | 1203 | 55 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -88 |  | 1.071 | 6.45 | 51.16 | 8031 | 53.70 | 36.65 39.04 | 4, |  |  |  |
|  | 7.57 |  |  | 15 |  | 897 | B | 1.075 | 7.10 | 53.20 | 7.34 | 54.84 | 38.76 | 78.65 | 510.49 | 108 | 57.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 |  | 1.069 | 6.90 | 48.83 | 8.27 | 52.4 | 33.66 | 76.80 | 10.78 | 12. 92 | 252 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 899 | A | ${ }^{1} 1.069$ | 7.05 290 | 95. 01 | 7.00 425 | 52.08 | 35.78 | 88801 | 111.03 | ${ }^{10.96}$ | 55602 |
| 4.69 | 1101 |  | 80 |  |  | 912 | , | 1.074 | 3.10 | 58.43 | 6.83 | 60.75 | 48.50 | 055.47 | 74.54 | 9.99 | 970 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - 913 | C | 10 | $\bigcirc 10$ | 5197 | 685 | 52 | 43.02 |  | 3 | 11.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D | ${ }_{1}^{1.064}$ |  | 5. 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.06 | 11.27 | 79.55 | 3.25 | 17.20 | 59.10 | $\{972$ | B | 1.069 | 2.05 | 57.43 | 5.24 | 56.70 | 50.14 | 488 | 4.3 .17 | 8.09 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (973) | C | 1.070 | 1.90 | 56.91 | 6.11 | 57.92 | 48.90 | 87.66 | 62.93 | 941 | 175.32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (94\% | A | 1.067 | 230 | 53.68 | 6.82 | 55.24 | 44.56 | 685.48 | 83.66 | 61086 | 670 |
| 15.13 | 9.29 | 74.31 | 9.05 | 166 | 48.62 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}98 \\ 986 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ${ }^{1} 07.2$ | 2.4 .5 2.30 | 5781 | 664 6.55 | 586 | 48.70 44.54 | ${ }^{+} \times 68$ |  | $6{ }^{9}$ | 572 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 1.070 | 2.15 | 56.91 | 5 ss | 56.70 | 48.38 | 85.13 | $3{ }^{3} 39$ | 8.48 |  |
| 14.95 | 9.98 | 75.85 | 4.41 | 19.74 | 51.70 | $\{997$ | B | 1.070 | 2.15 | 56.72 | 6.09 | 56.70 | 47.48 | 887.14 | 43.36 | 952 | 74.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - 998 | C | 1.072 | 2.15 | 57.62 | 6.31 | 57.83 | 48.96 | 68 | 23.26 | 9.88 | 73.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{10}$ | A | 1.063 | 4. | 50 | 5.64 | 53 | 42.58 | 83 | A | . 5 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\}_{1011}$ | C | 1.069 | 4.95 | 52.11 | 4 | 51.68 | 42.62 | 284.59 | 988.03 | 7.37 | 769.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 1.081 | 1.40 | 64.03 | 7.37 | 66.42 | 55.26 | ${ }^{87} 95$ | 5192 | 10.18 | 375 |
| 4 | 11.63 | 81.08 | 2.09 | 16 | 62.16 | $\{10$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 1076 | 145 | 6294 | 5.50 | 63.67 | 5516 | 690 | 2207 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | C | 1.076 | 1.45 | 63.22 | 6.65 | 64.80 | 55.12 | 288 61 | 2 | , | 77.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 1.075 | 1.95 | ${ }^{63} .41$ | 7.16 | 63.8 | 54.30 | 87.43 | $3{ }^{2} 269$ | 9.87 | 74.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}10 \pm \\ 10 \pm 8 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ | ${ }_{\text {C }}$ | 11.0 | 1. | 63.70 | 6 | 64.80 | 8 | 88.57 | $1{ }_{1}{ }_{2} 2.50$ | 9.18 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 77.15 \\ & 74.2 \end{aligned}\right.$ |

Table A.-experiments

|  | Variety. | Development. |  |  |  |  | JuI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 这 |  |  |  |
| 1058 | Standard, T. O. Harrell......... <br> New variety, R. Haswell..... | Seed hard, sucker hard.. | 48.70 | 1.078 | . 58 | 14.85 | 2.82 |
| 1071 |  | " " | 50.72 | 1.074 | 1.99 | 12.79 | 2.62 |
| 1084 | Chinese Imphee, W. A.Sanders | " " | 48.45 | 1.078 | 1.95 | 12.98 | 2.96 |
| 1093 | Undendebule, Natal........... | " | 48.56 | 1.085 | 1.12 | 15.47 | 2.21 |
| 1102 | $\square$ | " ." | 50.67 | 1.079 | 1.33 | 13.90 | 2.72 |
| 1109 |  | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | 49.47 | 1.077 | 2.09 | 12.99 | 2.65 |
|  | Average |  |  | 1.077 | 1.148 | 13.55 | 2.79 |

In derecation.-Continued.

| CE. |  |  |  |  |  | SYREP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polarlgation, per cent. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I'er cerit of sticrose. | 家 | 号 | $\begin{aligned} & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 14.60 | 11.45 | 81.37 | 3.15 | 15.45 | 62 it |  | A B C - | $\begin{array}{ll}1.07 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1.0 & \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30 \\ & \frac{2}{2} .40 \\ & \frac{41}{6} 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 06.51 \\ 03 \\ 07 \\ 07 \\ 54 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.04 \\ & 4.81 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 7.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} i f & 7 \\ i & 6 \\ -\quad 31 \\ \therefore & 81 \end{array}$ |  | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 50 & -2 \\ 90 & -4 \\ 91 & 6 \\ 79 & 6 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.07 \\ 3.03 \\ 3.25 \\ 10.14 \end{array}$ |  |
| 11.95 | S. 18 | 73.50 | 11.44 | 15.06 | 47.00 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1+7 \\ 1+7\end{array}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { C }}$ | $11.07 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 615 \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | \%6.10 | 7.37 3 -62 | $\because$ |  | 79.41 <br> 4.4 <br> 10 | 10.13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1048 \\ & 5.3-29 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12.49 | 8.07 | 72.54 | 10.90 | 16.55 | 45.09 |  | A B C A | $1.00^{+\prime}$ $1.08^{\text {a }}$ $1.07 \%$ 1.081 | 5.75 5.70 3.90 4.05 |  | 700 8.85 9.75 4.01 | 18 11 0 4 4 ci | $\begin{array}{ll}41 & - \\ \therefore & \text { ir } \\ 7 & 5 \\ 4 & 4\end{array}$ | (1) <br> 7 <br> -6 <br> -8 <br> -8 | 9.74 9.54 9.73 5.74 | $\begin{array}{rrr} 10 & 11 & 31 \\ 13 & 55 & 65 \\ 13.7 & 52 & 90 \\ 5 & 6 & 1 \end{array}$ |
| 14.61 | 12.14 | 82 29 | 5.96 | 11.75 | 64.58 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1165 \\ 108\end{array}\right.$ | B | 1. 1.05 | 3.0 4.05 | 00.71 52.11 | 598 459 | $\therefore 02$ -80 | 50161 | \% 7 - $1:$ | 5.39 5.72 | 8.42723 $6.4075 .57$ |
| 13.59 | 9.85 | 72.35 | 7.41 | 15.15 | 54 S3 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1103 \\ 1104\end{array}\right.$ | $\frac{A}{B}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 00 \\ 1 & 07 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.05 \\ 4.7 .5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 6:3 5 | 4.92 | 50 40 40 | $\begin{array}{ll}53 & 5 \\ 45 & 8\end{array}$ | 80 $4 \times 1$ | 6.5 6 5 | 6 710 71 |
| 12.60 | 8.25 | 73.27 | 11.79 | 14.95 | 16.23 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1110 \\ 1111 \\ 1112\end{array}\right.$ | A B C |  | 1 <br> .35 <br> .30 <br> $\div .65$ |  | 1057 12.85 6.81 | ( | 40 11 <br> 40 1 <br> 10 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.67 \\ & 9.07 \\ & 9.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9162 .8 \\ & 15.6049 .03 \\ & \text { S. } 2=62.59 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13.28 | 9.29 | 76.06 | S. 38 | 15.56 | 2.13 |  |  |  | 3.697 | 4.47 | 7.7 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 7.56 | 10.250381 |

Table B.-experiments


IN DEFECATION.


Table B.-experiments


## in defecation.-Continued.



Table B.--experiments

in defecation.-Continued.

| Juice before syrup. | Character of syrup. | Character of sugar in December. | Remarks. | Scum while evaporating. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slightly acid | Turbid; light brown. | Clear; light color; large xx . | About half defecated juice lost in precipitate. | Yellowish green. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clear: dark } \\ \text { brow } \\ \text { scorched. } \end{gathered}$ | Clear; solid; medium $x \times$. |  | Green'h white |
| Slightly alkaline. | Turbid;light brown. | Clear; bright; semi-solid small xx. |  | Do. |
| Slightly acid.. | Clear: light brown. | Semi-solid; very small xx.. |  | Do. |
| Slightly alkaline. | Clear, light amber. | Solid; yellowish: very fine sinall xx. |  | White, foamr. Do. |
|  | do. | Clear: light; $\frac{1}{2}$ full medium xx. | Part of defecated juice lost in precipitate. | Do. |
| Acid... | Clear; light | Semi-solid; rellowish; very fine small $x x$. <br> Solid; small xx. | Part of juice lost in pre- | Clean, white, foamy. Do. |
| ......do........ | brown. | Clear: bright; almost solid small xx. | cipitate. <br> do... | Do. |
| Slightly alkaline. | Dark brown. | Clear; dark; nearly solid; small xx. |  | Do. |
| Slightly acid.. | Turbid; | Solid; small xx; dark color. |  | Do. |
| Neutral. | Clear; dark brown | .....do...................... | do | Do. |

SORGHUM.
Table C-Experiments in defecation.


Besides the experiments in defecation just recorded, and preliminary to them, the following experiments were made in the laboratory, with comparatively small quantities of juice, for the purpose of learning the effects of various defecating agents, especially lime, sulphurous acid, and sulphite of lime.

The results of these experiments are given in the following table, as being chiefly valuable to those who may desire to continue investigations in the same direction.

In each case a sample of juice was analyzed as usual, and then separate portions of this juice were submitted to different modes of treatment, and the resulting products were in each case fully examined, and the gain or loss of sucrose, glucose, and solids resulting from the several methods of defecation, were thus shown.


## CHAPTER X.

(a.) Concentration or evaporation of jaice.
(b.) Methods of evaporation.
(c.) Tacuum pans.
(d.) Multiple effects.
(e.) Separation of sugar from molasses.
(f.) Sucrates of lime and strontia.

## CONCENTRATION OR ETAPORATION OF JUICE.

Having, by filtering and defecation, separated as far as possible the impurities of the juice, the next step in the production of sugar is the removal of the excess of water, which is always present in saccharine juices.

By reference to the table on page 336, it will be seen that a solution of sugar, boiling at a temperature of $239^{\circ} \mathrm{F} .\left(115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}.\right)$, for example, will contain 85.2 per cent of sugar and 14.8 per cent of water, and if this solution is allowed to cool down to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F} .\left(15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$.), the water present will hold in solution about twice its weight in sugar; i. e., the 14.8 parts of water will dissolve 29.6 parts of sugar, and the remaining portion of sugar, 55.6 parts, will crrstallize out from the solution. As the temperature is increased, the amount of water necessary to dissolve a giren weight of sugar becomes less, as will be seen by consulting the table on page 336 .

In slowly passing from a state of solution to that of a solid, those bodies, as sugar, salt, and others, which are able to assume a crrstallizable form do so.

From the composition of the juice, it will be seen that about 84 per cent is water ; i.e., the sugar in the juice is in a solution of about five times its weight of water, and a large proportion must be removed in order to secure this sugar.

In order that this matter, which is fundamental, may be thoroughly understood, a few illustrations of the principles involved are given.

Common salt is soluble in about three times its weight of water. Suppose, now, that a pound of salt is dissolved in three pounds of water, and we evaporate rapidly one and a half pounds of water from the solution, it will be found that about half a pound of the salt will be thrown down as a solid, but in a fine powder. If, however, the water is slowly evaporated, we will have the salt crystallizing out in large cubical crystals.

Unlike the result with salt, which is but very little more soluble in hot than in cold water, when we evaporate a sugar solution in the open air, we find that the whole will remain in solution; and it is ouly when we allow the solution to cool, that we have the excess of sugar, which the water remaining is unable to hold in solution, crystallizing out.

The crystallization of sugar from its solution is a matter of the greatest simplicity. Many interested or ignorant persons have said, or thought, that there was some secret device by which the sugar could be secured; and many have represented that, by one thing or another, crystallization could be effected. The sugar may be obtained from a saccharine juice by any body, provided only the conditions for securing it are maintained; and these conditions are very few and very simple, and will be made plain in what follows.

It is also to be remembered, that no one is able to obtain sugar from a juice if it is not there; and yet there are many whose claims are such as to lead some to believe the contrary.

Crystallization is also a means of purification. To illustrate this point, suppose an ounce of common salt and an ounce of saltpeter (nitrate of potash) are together dissolved in a half pint of water, and the solution is allowed to evaporate slowly. It will be found that the crystals of salt and crystals of saltpeter will be separately formed as the water evaporates, and if the two are carefully picked out, each will be found to be entirely free from the other-that is, the salt crystallizes out by itself and the saltpeter by itself. Further, if to a solution of sugar there is added a solution of another substance, which does not crystallize, and evaporation takes place, the sugar will crystallize out, leaving the other substance in solution.

But it is found that the presence of certain substances will prevent the crystallization of sugar to a certain extent; also, the presence of certain others seems to favor or assist in the crystallization of sugar; while still other substances are apparently without any effect upon the sugar present. The saccharine juice of plants, even after the most careful defecation, is found to contain, besides the sugar, substances of each of the three classes. The most important one is glucose and inverted sugar, more or less of which is always present, and which is estimated by sugar boilers as being able to hold in solution its own weight of sugar. If, then, a pound each of glucose and sucrose should be dissolved in a quart of water and the solution evaporated, it would be found practically impossible to recover any of the sugar-but there would be obtained a little over two pounds of molasses. So, too, the salts or mineral matters present in the juice have each their specific
effect, either to retain more or less of the sugar present as molasses, or, as is the case with some, to cause the crrstallization of more of the sugar than would hare been obtained without them. Owing to this tendency of a substance when crystallizing to build up a crystal of pure material, it is found, generally, that the crystals of sugar, even when formed in a solution highly colored, are perfectly pure, and colorless as glass, and that the color of the ordinary yellow sugar is due almost entirely to the molasses which adheres to the surfaces of each minute crystal.

In the defecated juice there always remains a certain amount of impurities, which may be removed in the early stages of eraporation; and it will always be found that, as the eraporation progresses, a scum will form upon the surface, which may be easily removed by skimming. This' scum will continue to rise, until the juice has been reduced to nearly one-half its bulk, or to a deusity of about $22^{\circ}$ Beaumé, specific gravity 1.092.

A solution of sugar will, upon protracted boiling, suffer more or less inversion of the sugar : but it will be seen, from the experiments given upon page 297, that so long as there is an excess of lime in the solution, this inversion will not take place; also, that the effect of the heat, when lime is present, is the destruction of the glucose, with the formation of highly colored compounds, which will darken the syrup produced. In the production, then, of syrup, it is most desirable, in order to avoid a high color, that the removal of the water be effected as speedily as possible.

## METHODS OF EVAPORATION.

The means by which the removal of the excess of water is accomplished are, in principle, the same, viz., the evaporation by heat; but the methods employed are numerous. They may be classed as,

1. Eraporation in open pans over the fire.
2. Evaporation in open pans by steam.
3. Evaporation from heated surfaces.
4. Evaporation from surfaces by hot air.
5. Evaporation in vacuum.

## Evaporation in open Pans over the Fire.

This method is the most primitive and the most inexpensive. It is in use to a greater or less extent in every sugar producing country.

The following applications of this method will illustrate its extensive use.

The so-called Jamaica train consists, generally, of four large hemispherical copper boilers, mounted in brick work in a row, the fire being
built under one end and passing beneath each copper in succession to the chimney. This row of boilers is known as a "battery," and as the "copper wall." The several boilers are known as " the grande," it being the largest; " the flambeau," since it is just touched by the flames of the furnace; "the syrup," since in it the juice is concentrated to a syrup; and " the battery," or "strike," since from this the concentrated syrup is "struck" or dipped off into the coolers for crystallization.

The defecated juice is added to the largest pan nearest the chimney, and, as the juice becomes more and more concentrated, it is generally, by means of ladles, dipped from the one to the other until it reaches the last of the series, where it is evaporated to that degree of concentration which is found necessary. It is then ladled into coolers, and allowed to stand for crystallization, wheu the molasses is drained from the sugar, which is known in the trade as " muscovado."

In this process, a very large amount of sugar is lost through the high heat of the pans, which chars a portion of the sugar and darkens the product; but its simplicity and low cost is such, that, even at the present day, large quantities of sugar are thus produced. The same principle, although greatly perfected in details, is involved in a large number of evaporators in general use throughout the country for the production of syrup and sugar from sorghum. These need not be separately described, but the several points which constitute their improvements over the Jamaica Train of the creoles may be briefly mentioned:

1. The smaller quantity of juice under evaporation at any given time, thus proportionately diminishing the amount of inversion of sugar, and thus increasing the yield, owing to the rapidity with which the water is removed.
2. The protection of all portions of the evaporators not covered with juice or syrup from the direct contact with fire, and thus lessening the production of caramel and other products, which, besides destroying sugar, darken the syrup.
3. The introduction of devices for removing the scum during the concentration of the syrup, this greatly diminishing the labor of manufacture and the loss in sugar through this operation.
4. The arrangement of dampers beneath the several compartments of the pan, which in function correspond to the four boilers of the Ja maica Train, so that the heat may be moderated under each, or, as is necessary when the syrup after sufficient concentration is to be removed from the last pan, may be entirely shut off.
5. The very great reduction in cost in the apparatus necessary to the
manufacture of a commercial product, thus enabling one of even very limited means to provide himself with the apparatus necessary to work his crop.

Another simple and effective class of evaporators, are those which practically embody the adrantages of the Jamaica Train, although consisting of but a single pan. The so-called "Cook Pan" well illustrates this class of evaporators. It is essentially an oblong pan of copper or iron, of a size rarying from $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet $\times 6$ to 5 feet $\times 30$, which, by a series of partitions extending nearly across the pan, and separated from each other by spaces from 6 to 8 inches, cause the defecated juice, which is admitted at oue end, to pass back and forth across the pan to the other end, so that, by adjusting the supply of juice, the evaporation is completed in the circuit, and the syrup is obtained in a continuous stream. By the interposition of gates, the pan is divided into several compartments, thus imitating in principle the Jamaica Train, The scum which rises during the earlier stages of the evaporation, is remored by skimming.

In theory, nothing would seem superior to this arrangement, and the results are exceedingly satisfactory. It will be seen at a glance that the juice is exposed to heat but a minimum of time, and there mould appear to be little room for improrement, so long as direct heat is used. It is worthy of note, also, that, in pans of this character, the progress of the juice is the reverse of what it is in the Jamaica Train, the juice in the Cook Pan entering the end directly orer the fire, and learing at the end furthest away, thus diminishing the danger of burning the syrup.

sent with each pan.


Plate XXXII.

## PORTABLE EVAPORATOR-FURNACE AND PAN.

For the smaller pans, Nos. 2, 3, and 4, portable furnaces are provided. These are made of cast iron and sheet iron, heavily bound and riveted, strongly made, and the whole mounted upon rockers of angle iron-thus furnishing a complete portable furnace of iron and brick, combined in one, with all the advantages of both, and ret so light that it can be easily handled by two men. This is the most convenient arrangement for small home operations; and, for custom work, it is well nigh indispensable. With it the operator can move from field to field. or from farm to farm, and thus avoid the labor and expense of hauling the cane.


## P'ate XXXIII.

## AUTOMATIC COOK PAN.

The Automatic Cook Pan has three divisions, each performing separate offices, and all connected by high ledges and gates, under the full control of the operator. The processes of defecation, clarification, and finishing, are systematically carried on without interruption to the end.
The first division frees the juice from its crude impurities, whilst passing through the channels, by the automatic action of the skimming device, which throws the scum in an opposite direction from the moving juice.
In the second division, the julee is freed from its remaining impurities and reduced to semi-syrup. This division is pruvided with high ledges, to prevent the mixing of the juice; and is so constructed, that the scum is thrown to the opposite side, or into the trough, to be returned to the first division for re-separation. This saves a considerable percentage of syrup.
The semi-syrup is taken by the third division, and finished as rapidly as possible to the sugar point, and drawn into coolers.

The Antomatic Cook Pan secures thorough defecation, saves a large percentage of juice that would otherwise be wasted, and saves labor in skimming and fuel.

Full direction for building arch and working pan sent with each pan.


Plate XXXIV.

## THE STUBBS EV゙APORATOR.

Plate XXXIV represents the Stubbs Eraporator. The upper cut shows the pan with two compartments. The first occupies three-fourths of the pan ; the second compartment the remaining fourth. The juice enters the first compartment near the smokestack in a regular stream, passing around the circle orer the fire-box to cross-partitions, where it thickens to a semi-syrup. Being orer the hottest part of the furnace, it raises to a light foam, which breaks to the lowest point where the cool juice enters, not only keeping back the green scum, but carrying all the scum off of thirty feet sur. face, where it is scraped off without loss of street. The semi-syrup is turned into the second compartment at intervals, to be finished under full control of heat governed by dampers. When done, to be run off with scraper, letting semi-syrup follow. Boil rapidly with two inches juice in order to cleanse well.

The lower engraving represents the furnace. Should be built of brick, with eightinch wall fourteen inches abore fire-grate; the balance seren inches. A sectional arch with one damper in center, hinged at the back end to swing to back wall; also damper across the mouth of left flue. The smoke-stack stands back, as the cut indicates. The smoke-stack should be sisteen feet high, fourteen inches diameter.

The following table has been prepared to enable the reader readily to convert the degrees of the Centigrade thermometer into degrees upon the Fahrenheit scale, although it has been the aim to give both, whenever temperature has been mentioned in the text.

TARLE FOR CONVERSION OF CENTIGRADE DEGREES INTO FAHRENHEIT DEGREES.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $\bigcirc$ |  | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| -37 | -34.6 | $-13$ | 8.6 | 11 | 51.8 |  | 95.0 | 59 | 138.2 | 83 | 181.4 | 107 | 224.6 | 130 | 266.0 |
|  | -32.8 | -12 | 10.4 | 12 | 53.6 | 36 | 96.8 |  | 140.0 | 84 | 183.2 | 108 | 226.4 | 131 | 267.8 |
| -3.5 | -31.0 | -11 | 12.2 | 13 | 55.4 | 37 | 98.6 | 61 | 141.8 | 85 | 185.0 | 109 | 228.2 | 132 | 269.6 |
| 1 | $-29.2$ | - 10 | 14.0 | 14 | 57.2 |  | 100.4 | $6{ }^{62}$ | 143.6 | 86 | 180.8 | 110 | ${ }_{20}^{230.0}$ | 133 | 271.4 |
|  | -27.4 | - | 15.8 | 15 | 59.0 | 39 | 102.2 | 63 | 145.4 | 87 | 188.6 | 111 | ${ }^{231.8}$ | 13 | 273.2 |
|  | -23.6 | - 7 | 17.6 | 17 | 60.8 62.6 | 41 | 104.0 | 65 | 149.0 | 88 | 192.2 | 112 | 235.4 | 135 | ${ }_{276}^{275} 8$ |
| -30 | -220 | -6 | 21.2 | 18 | 64.4 | 42 | 107.6 | 66 | 150.8 | 90 | 194.0 | 114 | 237.2 | 137 | ${ }_{27} 8.6$ |
|  | -20.2 | - 5 | 23.0 | 19 | 66.2 | 43 | 109.4 | 67 | 152.6 | 91 | 193.8 | 115 | 239.0 | 138 | 280.4 |
| - | -18.4 | -4 | 24.8 | 20 | 68.0 |  | 111.2 |  | 154.4 | 92 | 197.6 | 116 | 240.8 | 13 | 2822 |
| - | -16.6 | 3. | 26.6 | 21 | 69.8 | 45 | 113.0 | 69 | 156.2 | 93 | 199.4 | 117 | 242.6 | 140 | 284.0 |
| - | -14.8 | - 2 | 28.4 | 22 | 71.6 | 46 | 114.8 | 70 | 158.0 | 91 | 201.2 | 118 | 244.4 | 141 | 285.8 |
|  | -13.0 | - 1 | 30.2 | 23 | 73.4 | 47 | 116.6 | 71 | 159.8 | 95 | 203.0 | 119 | 246.2 | 142 | 287.6 |
|  | $-11.2$ | 0 | 320 | 24 | 75.2 | 48 | 118.4 | 72 | 161.6 | 96 | 2048 | 120 | 248.0 | 143 | 289.4 |
|  | $-9.4$ | +11 | 33.8 | 25 | 77.0 | 49 | 120.2 | 73 | 163.4 | 97 | 206.6 | 121 |  | 144 | 291.2 |
|  | $-7.6$ |  | 35.6 | 26 | 78.8 | 50 | 122.0 | 74 | 165.2 | 98 | 205.4 | 122 | 251.6 | 145 | 293.0 |
|  | -5.8 | 3 | 37.4 | 27 | . 80.6 |  | 123.8 | 75 | 167.0 |  | 210.2 |  | 253.4 | 146 | 294.8 |
| -20 | - 4.0 | 4 | 39.2 | 28 | 82.4 |  | 125.6 | 76 | 168.8 | 100 | 212.0 | 124 | 255.2 | 147 | 296.6 |
| 19 | $-2.2$ | 5 | 41.0 | 29 | 84.2 | 53 | 127.4 | 77 | 170.6 | 101 | 213.8 | 15 | 257.0 | 148 | 298.4 |
| -18 | -0.4 | 6 | 42.8 | 30 | 86.0 | 54 | 129.2 | 78 | 172.4 | 102 | 215.6 | 126 | 2588.8 | 149 | 300.2 |
| -17 | + 1.4 | 8 | 44.6 | 31 | 87.8 | 55 | 131.0 | 79 | 174.2 | 103 | 217.4 | 127 | 260.6 | 150 | 302.0 |
| -15 | 5.0 | 8 | 46.4 | 3.3 | 89.6 91.4 | 56 | 134.8 | 81 | 177.8 | 105 | 221.0 | 128 | 262.4 | 151 | 303.8 305.6 |
|  |  | 10 | 50.0 | 34 | 93.2 | 58 | 136.4 | 82 | 179.6 | 106 | 2228 |  |  |  |  |

By means of the following table, the manufacturer may be able to determine the percentage of total solids in his juice or syrup, by sim. ply taking the specific gravity at any temperature not over $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or $212^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. For example, a sample of syrup, at a temperature of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., had a specific gravity of 1.2862 -that syrup contains 65 per cent of total solids; and at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. this sample would have a density of 1.3211 . For temperatures and percentages between those tabulated, it will be easy to make the necessary calculations.


The following table gives the temperatures at which solutions of sugai of different degrees of concentration boil, the percentage of sugar present in each case, the degrees Beaumé and density of each at the temperature of boiling, and also at the ordinary temperature of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or $59^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

For example, a solution containing 79.5 per cent of sugar will boil at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or $230^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and at this temperature will have a density of $38^{\circ} .5$ Beaumé, or specific gravity of 1.364 ; and when cooled to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. or $59^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. will have a density of $42^{\circ} .8$ Beaumé, and a specific gravity of 1.4215 .

TABLE OF BOILING POINT, DEGREES BEAUME, SPECIFIC GRAVITY, AND PER CENT OF SUGAR OF SACCHARINE SOLUTIONS.

| Boiling Point. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { sugar. } \end{gathered}$ | Beaumé. |  | (Flourens) Specific gravity. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { C. }}{\text { Temp. }}$ | $\underset{F_{.}^{\circ}}{ }{ }^{\text {Temp. }}$ |  | at temp. of observation. | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } 15^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{C} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { at temp. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { observation. } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { at } 15^{\circ}$ |
| 104.5 | 220.1 | 67.2 | 32. 20 | 36.5 | 1.2872 | 1. 83.50 |
| 105. | 221.0 | 69.1 | 33.20 | 37.25 | 1. 2999 | 1.3480 |
| 10.75 | $2 \geqslant 1.9$ | 71.2 | 34.20 | 38.30 | 1.3106 | 1. 3613 |
| 106. |  | 7 4 | ${ }^{35} 500$ | 39.10 39.65 | 1.3200 | 1.3720 |
| 107.5 | ${ }_{20}^{223.7}$ | 78.4 | 35.50 36.00 | 39.65 40.15 | 1.3260 | 1.3780 |
| 107.5 | 225.5 | \% 2 | 36.50 | 40.70 | 1.3385 | 1.3925 |
| 108. | 226.4 | 76.4 | 37.00 | 41.10 | 1.340 | 1.3985 |
| 108.5 | 227.3 | 77.4 | 37.50 | 41.75 | 1.3.510 | 1.4080 |
| 109. | 228.2 | 77.8 | 37.90 | 42.10 | 1.3562 | 1.4120 |
| 169.5 | 2229.1 | 78.7 | 38.25 | 42.50 | 1.3606 | 1.4180 |
| 110. | 230.0 | 79.5 | 38.50 | 42.80 | 1.3640 | 1.4215 |
| 110.5 | 230.9 | 80.0 | 38.75 | 43.00 | 1.3670 | 1. 4.245 |
| 111. | 231.8 | 80.6 | 39.00 | 43.30 | 1.3700 | 1.4290 |
| 111.5 | 233.7 | 81.4 | 39.30 | 43.65 | 1.3740 | 1. 4335 |
| 112. | 233.6 | 82.2 | 39.60 | 44.00 | $\frac{1}{1} .3750$ | 1. 4380 |
| 112.5 | 234.5 | 83.9 | 39.80 | 44.20 | 13810 | 1.4415 |
| ${ }_{114}^{113 .}$ | 2354 237.2 | 8.3 84.2 8.2 | 40.00 40.30 | 44.40 | 1.383 .5 $1.38 \%$ | 1.4500 |
| 115. | 237.2 239.0 | 8.3 .2 | 40.60 |  | 1.3915 |  |
| 116. | 240.8 | 85.8 | 40.90 |  | 1.399 .5 |  |
| 117. | 242.6 | 86.5 | 41.20 |  | 1.4000 |  |
| 118. | 244.4 | 87.2 | 41.45 |  | 1. 40330 |  |
| 119. | 246.9 | 87.9 | 41.65 |  | 1. 4060 |  |
| 120. | 2450 2950 | 885 | 41.90 |  | 1. 408.5 |  |
| 125. | 257.0 266.0 | 91.2 | 42.80 43.50 |  | 1.4215 |  |

The following table, calculated by Mategczeh, Scheibler, and Stammer, shows the comparison of degrees Beaumé with specific gravity, as also with the scale of Brix, which represents the percentage of total solids present in the solution. It also gives the number of pounds avoirdupois which an American gallon of the solution of each density would weigh. For example, a juice of $10^{\circ}$ Beaumé would have a specific gravity of 1.0744 , would contain 18 per cent of solids, and would weigh 8.96 pounds to the gallon; or a syrup of $43^{\circ}$ Beaumé
would hare a specific grarity of 1.4267 , would contain 81.5 per cent of solid matters, and mould meigh 11.9 pounds to the gallon:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 5 | 1.0035 | . 9 |  | $96.5$ | 1.2230 |  | 10 <br> 10.20 <br> 20 |
| 1. | 1.0070 | ${ }_{2}$. | 8. 10 | $\frac{27}{27.5}$ | 1.2300 | 49.6 | 10.20 |
| 1.5 | 1. 01015 | $\frac{2.7}{3.6}$ | S. 43 | 27. | 1.2411 | 51.5 | 10.35 |
| 2.5 | 1.0141 | 3.6 4.5 | 8.46 | 25.5 | 12467 | 52.5 | 10.39 |
| 5. | 1. $621 \%$ | 5.5 | 8. 5 | 29. | 1.2523 | 53.5 | 10.44 |
| 3.5 | 1.0249 | 6.3 | 8.55 | 29.5 | 1.2574 | 51.4 | 10.48 |
| 4. | 1.0206 | 7.2 | 8.58 | 30. | 1.9631 | 55.4 | 10.53 |
| 4.5 | 1.0323 | 8.1 | ${ }^{8} .61$ | 30.5 | 1269 | 56.4 57.3 | 1057 10.62 |
| 5. | 1.0369 | 9.0 | ${ }_{8}^{8} .61$ | 31.5 | 1.274 | 54.3 $3 \times 3$ | 10.67 |
| 5.5 | 1.0397 | $\begin{array}{r}9.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8.81 | 31.5 | 1.2039 | 59.3 | 10.72 |
| 6.5 | $1.04 \%$ | 11.7 | 873 | 32.5 | 12011 | 603 | 10.7 |
| 7. | 1.0.310 | 12.6 | S. 76 | 33.5 | 3.2970 | $61:$ | 10.82 |
| 7.5 | 1.0349 | 13.5 | 8.80 | 33.5 | 1.3030 | 63.2 | 10.81 |
| 8. | 10357 | 14.4 | ${ }_{8}^{5.83}$ | ${ }_{31}^{31}$ | 1.3154 | 6.1 | 109 |
| 8.5 | 10063 | 15.8 | 8 | 35. | 1.3211 | 6.5 .2 | 11.02 |
| ${ }_{9}^{9.5}$ | 1.00704 | 17.1 | 8.32 | 35.5 | 1.3272 | 66 | 11.07 |
| 10. | 1.0744 | 15.0 | 8.96 | 36. | 1.23)3 | 67.2 | 11.12 |
| 10.5 | 1.0784 | 18.9 | 8 899 | 30.5 | 1.395 | 65.2 | 11.17 |
| 11. | $1.00<9$ | 19.9 | 9.02 | 37. | 1 \% | 69.2 | $11 \times$ |
| 11.5 | $1.0 \times 69$ | 20.8 | 9.60 | 37.5 | $1.35 \div \frac{1}{5}$ | 71.2 | 11.23 |
| 19 | 1.0909 | 21.7 | ${ }_{9}^{9.10}$ |  | 1.3619 | 722 | 11.38 |
| 12.5 | 1.09 .00 | 23.6 | 9.16 | 59. | 13714 | 73.\% | 11.4 |
| 13.5 | 1.1033 | 244 | 920 | 39.5 | 1. $37 \times 0$ | It | 11.49 |
| 14. | 1.1074 | 25.3 | 92 | 40. | 1.3540 | 75.3 | 11.55 |
| 14.3 | 1.1116 | 26 | 9.27 | 40.5 | 1.3013 | 76.3 | 11.66 |
| 15. | 1.1163 | 27.3 | ${ }_{9}^{9.31}$ | 41.5 | 1.31819 | 78.8 | 11.72 |
| 10.5 | 1.1249 | 290 | 9.38 | 4.2 | 14118 | 79.4 | 11.7 |
| 16.5 | 1.1292 | 29.9 | 9.41 | 4.5 | 1.4187 | 804 | 11.83 |
| 75 | 1.1335 | 30.8 | 9.4. | 43. | 1. 4207 | $8{ }^{81} 5$ | 11.95 |
| 17.5 | 1.1384 | 31.8 | 9.49 | 43.5 | 1.4328 | $8{ }^{82} 5$ | 12.01 |
| 18. | 1.1427 | ${ }^{32} 78$ | 9.56 | 4.5 | 1.4420 | 84.6 | 1207 |
| 18.5 | $1.14 \%$ | 33.6 34.6 | $\stackrel{9}{9.66}$ | 4.5 | 1.4545 | 85.7 | 12.13 |
| 19.5 | 1.1566 | 35.5 | 9.64 | 453 | 1.4619 | $80^{\circ}$ | 1219 |
| 20. | 1.1611 | 36.4 | 9 is | 46 | 14694 | 87.8 | 1.2 |
| 20.5 | 1.1662 | 37.4 | 9.72 | 46.5 | 1. 4769 | 850.8 | 1.02 |
| $\frac{21}{21} 5$ | 1.1707 | 38.3 39. | 9.80 | 475 | 1.49 20 | 91.0 | 12.45 |
| ${ }_{22}^{21 .}$ | 1.1799 | 40.1 | 9.84 | 45 | 1.5000 | 92.1 | 12.51 |
| 23 | 1.1851 | 41.1 | 9 So | 45.5 | 1.5079 | 93. | 12.51 |
| 23. | 1.1903 | 42.1 | ${ }^{9} 9.9$ | 49.5 | 1.5158 | 9.9 | 1.8 |
| 24. | 1.1930 | + +1.0 |  | 50. | -1. 2319 | 910.5 | 12 is |
| 24.5 | 1.2051 | 44.9 | 10.05 | 50.5 | 15401 | 97.6 | 128 |
| 25. | 1.2104 | 45.9 | 10.09 | 51. | 15454 |  |  |
| 25.5 | 1.2153 | 46.8 | 10.13 | 515 | 1.2365 |  |  |
| 26. | 1.2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Evaporation in Open Pans and by Steam.

Owing to the far greater ease with which the heat may be applied and regulated, it is extensively employed, both in the operations of defecation and evaporation. In open pans it is applied by means of a coil of iron (or, preferably, copper) pipe, as in the defecator; and since the heat may thus be applied over a greatly increased surface, and at any temperature and pressure, the evaporation is proportionately more rapid than from a pan which is heated directly over the fire. The relative evaporating capacity of pans heated by direct heat and by steam, may be learned by the following comparisons. The average evaporation from an open pan over a fire, the grate surface of which is abcut one-fourth to one-third the size of the pan, is about one gallon of water to each square foot of surface in the pan per hour. Pans of large size evaporate a larger amount proportionately than small ones, and with greater economy of fuel, and less relative waste. To illustrate: pans of the following dimensions are claimed to evaporate as follows:

| Size. | Feet of surface. | Gallons per hour per foot surface. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40 \times 120$ inches | 331 \% | ........ 4 |
| $40 \times 192$ inches | - $531 / 3$ |  |
| $40 \times 258$ inches | 80 | 3 |
| $44 \times 108$ inches |  |  |
| $44 \times 14 t$ inches | 44 |  |
| $41 \times 180$ inches |  | 11 |

Experiments were made at the Department of Agriculture, by the author, with two evaporators heated by copper coils with steam at the pressure of 55 pounds.

As an average of seven experiments, with two evaporators, it was found that there were evaporated four gallons of water per hour from each square foot of surface of the juice in the evaporator. One evaporator was seven feet in diameter; the other, four feet ten inches. Both were circular. '

Practically, the same results are reported by others in their work with similar evaporators.

The importance of keeping up a brisk boiling, must not be forgotten; for it has been found that the transmission of heat from steam pipes is two and a half times as rapid when the water is boiling vigorously, as when it is at rest as in the heating up of the juice in the act of defecation, This great difference is obviously due to the rapid circulation and distribution of the heat when the boiling is going on, as compared with the very slow movements in the mass of water when not boiling.

It is found, also, that the heat given out by steam coils to boiling juice, is about fifteen per cent less than that given out to boiling water,
and this result is obviously due to the comparatively sluggish juice, as compared with the water.

## Surface or Film Evaporation.

A very large number of evaporators have been devised (some of which appear to have given excellent results in practice), based upon the evaporation of the water from thin films of juice distributed over heated surfaces, or exposed to hot and dry air. Among the many forms of apraratus constructed upon this principle, may be mentioned the following:

1. A continuous sheet of cloth, passing over two sets of rollers, at the top and bottom of a chamber through which, by means of a fan, fresh hot and dry air is made to pass. The lower rolls are placed beneath the surface of the juice to be evaporated, so that, by the revolution of the cloth, a fresh portion of the juice is constantly exposed to the hot air.
2. A long, hollow cylinder, heated by the waste steam of the sugar house, which passes through it, and which, revolving in the juice, in which it is partly immersed, presents a fresh film constautly to the air.
3. The substitution of hollow discs upon a common axle, the hollow axle permitting the steam to enter and heat the dises, which are caused to revolve partially immersed in the juice to be evaporated.
4. A spiral hollow coil, through which steam passes, which also revolves partially in the juice.
5. An upright hollow cylinder ( 15 or 20 inches in diameter, 10 to 12 feet long), heated within by steam, over which, from the upper end, the juice is allowed to stream, the distribution of the juice over the surface of the cylinder being effected by circular troughs about the cylinder, at intervals, which, by their overflow, secure the distribution of the juice in a film, which otherwise would form streams from the top to the bottom of the cylinder.
6. Still another form is a skeleton cylinder, consisting of two steam tight circular drums, which are connected together by a series of tubes which enter the periphery of each drum, and through which the steam passes from one drum to the other. This cylinder revolves horizontally, with its lower portion dippigg in the juice, and thus presents a large surface for evaporation.

None of these surface evaporators permit the removal of any impurities by skimming; and they can not, therefore, be used except for the concentration of semi-syrup of $22^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ}$ Beaumé, since the juice requires more or less skimming during its evaporation, until it has reached that density.

It would appear, however, that this principle possesses some valuable features, especially if so contrived as to complete the evaporation of a given quantity of juice at once ; for it is found that the evaporation from a thin film is rapid, even at temperatures far below the boiling point, as is known to everybody in the familiar process of drying clothes by hanging them upon a line. The principal objection urged against all evaporators of this class, does not appear, as yet, to have been overcome in practice, viz.: the drying and adhering to the heated surfaces of a gradually increasing crust of sugar, which not only prevents, to a great extent, evaporation, by being a poor conductor of heat, but results in the inversion of a large portion of the sugar which is thus adhering.

## Pan Scale.

In the process of evaporation, especially with the open pan, considerable trouble arises from the formation of a white scale upon the pan, which not only retards evaporation, but becomes, when loosened, incorporated with the syrup. An analysis of this scale, shows it to be composed, when deposited from sorghum juice, of a lime salt of aconitic acid. This acid has been detected in the molasses of sugar-cane, and it is probable that a similar deposit found upon the evaporators of sugar-cane juice, and generally called lime, is of like composition. The average of three analyses of scale, from a concretor used for the juice of sugar-cane, gave the following composition :
analysis of pan scale.
Per cent.
Moisture.... ............................................................................. 5 . 36
Organic................................................................................................ 18.05
Insoluble .................................................................................................. 14.24
Sulphuric acid .......................................................................................... . . . 96


Alkalis, etc.................................................................................... 1237
100.00

The character of the organic matter in the above is not given, but it is by no means improbable that this scale is in part composed of the same salt. The practical question concerning it, is its removal from the pans, since, by its poor conducting power, it will cause the pans to be heated even red hot, thus burning them out; and besides, if, cracking loose, it admits the syrup to this red hot pan, burning is inevitable.

The use of diluted acids, sulphuric, hydrochloric, or even vinegar, has been recommended for its removal; but, if used, the pan must not loug be in contact with these stronger acids, and, after their use, the
pan must be repeatedly washed and rinsed with water, to remove every portion of the acid.

A method which has been found effective with the open pan, has been to wipe the pan quite dry, and before using it to burn a small armful of straw or sharings under the pan, the sudden expansion of the irun by the momentary blaze cracking the scale loose, so that it may be swept out of the pan with a broom. Of course, care must be taken to avoid unsoldering of the pan in this operation, of which there is no danger if the blaze is only of short duration. It is very interesting to observe, that a similar scale is often found in the pans when maple sap is eraporated; but this scale has been found to be the lime salt of malic, another organic acid.

## VACLLOM PANS.

The principle upon which the racuum pan is based, is the fact that the boiling point of water, syrup, or any liquid, is in part, dependent upon the pressure of the atmosphere, and that the temperature at which a liquid boils, is higher or lower, according as the atmospherie pressure is increased or diminished. For example, the boiling point of water at the sea level, is $212^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. $\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}.\right)$, and the pressure of the atmosphere is equal to the pressure of a column of mercury 30 inches ( 776 mm .) high; but it is found that, at the summit of Mount Blane, which is 15,560 feet above the level of the sea, water boils at $185^{\circ} .8 \mathrm{~F}$. $\left(85^{\circ} .6 \mathrm{C}\right)$, and that the pressure of the atmosphere at the summit, is only equal to a column of mercury 17,363 inches high ( 449 mm .)
It appears, then, an eleration of about 600 feet diminishes the boiling point $1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and this is demonstrated as due to the fact, that the pressure of the atmosphere is diminished by leaving a portion of the atmosphere below us in the ascent. Conversely, if we descend a mine, we find the boiling point increases, owing to increase of pressure, as indicated by the barometer.
If, now, by artificial means, the pressure of the atmosphere is in part or wholly remored from a liquid, we fiud the boiling point is proportionably diminished; and in fact, the boiling point of water has been reduced in a vacuum approximately perfect to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F} .\left(21^{\circ} .1 \mathrm{C}\right)$.

The vacuum pan, which was iuvented by Howard in 1813, and which has come into such general use in the evaporation of liquids, works by the principle above illustrated. Its importance in sugar making is due to the fact, that, by the avoidance of high temperatures, there is no danger of burning the sugar, as is frequent with open pans; and the inversion of the sugar is reduced to a minimum.

Also, the rapidity of the operation is greatly increased, since the evaporation in a vacuum proceeds very rapidly.
The amount of vacuum in the pan is stated in inches, in the sugar house, and is determined by a barometer connected with the interior of the pan. Thus, 28 inches of vacuum means, that the pressure within the pan is equal to only 2 inches of mercury at the sea level; 20 inches vacuum means, that two-thirds of the pressure has been removed from within the pan.

It is obvious, that the pressure on the pan by the surrounding atmosphere, when any thing approaching a good vacuum is attained, becomes enormous; 28 inches vacuum is common with good pans, and a pan 8 feet in diameter would then sustain an outside pressure of at least 200 tons. The pan, therefore, must be constructed of material able to sustain this pressure.
The following table gives the boiling points for syrup in the vacuum pan, for the several degrees of vacuum which are obtained in practice. It is taken from Ures' Dictionary of Arts and Manufactures:

BOILING POINTS IN VACUUM PAN.

| Inches of vacuum. | Temperature, Fahrenheit. | Temperature, Centigrade. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26.00 | $175^{\circ}$ |  |
| 26.38 | $170^{\circ}$ | $79^{\circ} .4$ |
| 26.90 | $165^{\circ}$ | $76^{\circ} .7$ |
| 27.28 | $160^{\circ}$ | $73^{\circ} .9$ |
| 27.64 | $155^{\circ}$ | $71^{\circ} .1$ |
| 27.95 | $150^{\circ}$ | $68^{\circ} .4$ |
| 28.20 | $145^{\circ}$ | $65^{\circ} .6$ |
| 28.43 | $140^{\circ}$ | $62^{\circ} .8$ |
| 28.64 | $130^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ} .0$ |
| 28.83 | $125^{\circ}$ | $57^{\circ} .2$ |
| 28.99 | $120^{\circ}$ | $54^{\circ} .4$ |
| 29.14 | $115^{\circ}$ | $51^{\circ} .7$ |
| 29.26 |  | $48^{\circ} .9$ |

In construction, the vacuum pan consists of a spherical or oval vessel of copper or iron, from four to ten or more feet in diameter, provided with one or more coils of copper tubes, to either or all of which steam may be admitted by means of cocks. These coils occupy about one-fourth or one-third the height of the pan. From the top of the pan a large pipe proceeds, which enters first a receptacle, called the overflow, which is to catch and retain any portion of the contents of the pan which may by accident boil over. From the bottom of this receptacle a tube, provided with a stop-cock, passes back to the vacuum pan, in order that such overflow may be returned at intervals.

The large tube proceeding from the vacuum pan is connected with the condenser, where the vapor escaping from the pan is condensed,
and remored by the racuum pump. Coudensation is effected by a jet of water, similar to the method of cundensing engines, or, when water is limited, surface condensation is made use of: the vapor passing through a srstem of pipes, over which water is allowed to trickle. In the one case, the water used in condensation, as also the condensed vapor, is removed by a steam pump ; in the latter, only the condensed rapor, and such unc:ndensed portion as remains, is removed by the pump. At the bottom of the racuum pan a sliding valve permits the discharge of the contents, when concentration is completed. The semi-syrup is forced into the pan through a pipe connected with the syrup tank, by atmospheric pressure, owing to the racuum in the pan. A barometer and thermometer are connected with the interior of the pan, by which the extent of the racuum and the temperature of the contents are indicated at a glance; also a proof stick, which enables a portion of the contents of the pan to be remored for examination without breaking the racuum. Eye-glasses in the sides of the pan enable the sugar-boiler to watch and thus control the operations.

The volume which a gas occupies being inversely as the pressure, it follows that, with a racuum of 28 inches, a cubic foot of water ( $7 \frac{1}{2}$ gallons), which would produce, at the ordinary pressure of the atmosphere, 1700 cubic feet of steam, would rield, at 28 inches of vacuum, 25,500 cubic feet. It is found that a cubic foot of water will produce 21,500 cubic feet of steam under a pressure of 2 inches of mercury.

The pipe which is to discharge from the pan the enormous bulk of rarified steam generated, must be adequate, as also the apparatus for condensation.


Plate XXXV.
The above plates, No. XXXV, show twe forms of defecators made by the Colwell Iron Works, New York, made either with cast-iron, wrought iron, or copper, with double bottoms, as shown in one plate, or with copper coils, as shown in the other plate.
These vessels can be used as clarifiers, if needed; but it is objectionable to have a vessel of too great a depth. Therefore, the vessels represented in Plate XXXVI are recommended, either being as large as occasion requires, and so arranged with a scum trough round the top, that, in the rapid ebullition, the overflowing liquor and scum can be caught, and, by an automatic arrangement of valve, the liquor can be returned to the
main body, while the scum is held in the trough, until a sufficient quantity has gathered to make it an object to ease the steam and wash out the trough.

These vessels are also used as open steam evaporators, having an abundance of heating surface, plenty of high pressure steam, and proper openings for the escape of the condensation; for the water must not be allowed to accumulate in the coils, if rapid and effective work is desired.
The cuts show the necessary pipes and valves for the complete and successful working of the train.

These vessels are also used for reducing the juice to a syrup of $2 j^{\circ} \mathrm{B} .$, preparatory for the vacuum pau.



Plate XXXVII.
DIRECT STEAM EVAPORATOR.
Plate XXXVII is a "direct steam evaporator," which receives the clarified juice from the steam clarifier shown in Plate XXXV. The juice is boiled by means of a coiled steam-pipe. The resniting semm boils over into a trough round the upper edge of the evaporator, and is itself subjected to defecation aiterward.


Plate XXXVIII.
ONE STOKY VACUUM.-COLWELL.


Plate XXXIX.
TWO STORY VACUUM.-COLWELL.

The foregoing plates, Nos. XXXIII and XXXIX, represent two styles of racuum pans manufactured by the Colwell Iron-Works, and the folloring description of the details of their construction, and the mode of their operation has been supplied by the manufacturer:

Vacuum pans are of copper or iron. Copper was once used exclusively, but of late years cast-iron has been the choice.

The heating surface is of seamless dramn copper tubes, in serpentine coils, leaving from three to four inches space betreen each circle, and inclining to the center, the lower coil following the dip of the bottom, and the upper coil having a dip of about four inches, taking steam on the outside, and leading the condensation out at the center through the bottom to traps-the diameter of tubes depending upon the size of pan.

These coils are secured to cast braces br a cup and cover, which hold them securely from jumping during the boiling, ret allowing them to expand and contract without any strain. The braces are so arranged that ther offer but little obstruction to the outflow of the finished sugar (this is a late improrement). Heretofore it was clumser and strong, or light and weak-in the first place, holding great masses of magma at each strike of the pan; or, in the latter case, when a very stiff boiling is made, the worms were oftimes in a ruin at the bottom of the pan. With our arrangements, the minimum of obstruction and the maximum of strength are obtained. The heating surface is the life of the pan, and if not properly arranged, properls secured. with proper inlets and outlets, the amount of work will not be up to expectation.

## Mountings.

Double steam ralves, through which the steam is admitted into the coils-principally exhaust steam. That not being enough, live steam can be used at the same time, and yet not exert any back pressure upon the exhaust of the different engines, if properly managed.

Eye glasses, which are placed in curb and dome to see the depth of liquor, as well as the action of boiling.

Thermometer, racuum guage, which, to be the most accurate, should be columns of mercury. A double-charge cock, with one pipe leading to the syrup tank, and another leading to molasses tanks.

A butter or oil cup, for the introduction of a little oil or sweet butter to check the foaming.
The charge cock has a small cock in its side, called the lime-water cock, for the purpose of correcting any acidity that may occur.

The draw off ralve at the bottom is made much larger than formerly, as refiners and planters find it much more economical to boil the pan as stiff as it can be done without getting it too dry (in which
case it will not run from pan without steam pressure from within), many boiling it so closely that in two minutes more it would be liable to set.

For the economical working of a pan, a recipient is furnished. It has many nozzles, so as to connect the exhaust from the various engines in the sugar house, and is connected to the steam trunk with suitable openings, and these in turn connected to the valves on pan by copper pipes. To guard against too much back pressure, the recipient has an escape valve, loaded to a working pressure of about five pounds; and also so arranged that, when the pan is not working, the steam can escape perfectly free.

The recipient also has a water guage and outlet cock.
The condensation of the eliminated vapors of the juice during the boiling, is accomplished in a condenser that is connected to the vacuum pan for the purpose of producing this effect by the injection of cold water, which, coming in contact with these vapors, produces the vacuum desired.

This condensation and injection water is drawn off' by means of a large air pump, which, at the same time, takes out any air which may have been admitted through any leaks in the machinery, together with the air which we know to be in the water.

Without sufficient cold water, it is impossible to procure a perfect condensation and a proper vacuum ; and, where this occurs, it is impossible to do the work required of the pan.

From 24 to 29 inches of vacuum are considered necessary; and if this is not shown by the mercury gauges, some imperfection exists which ought to be remedied at once.

The operation of boiling is as follows: The juice is elevated into the tanks above by means of a steam pump, from whence the pan draws it. After cooking a strike, which operation lasts from four to six hours, it is thrown out by means of a valve at the bottom of the pan into a mixer (see illustration), which is sufficiently large to contain the entire strike of the pan. Afterward, the sugar passes from the mixer to the centrifugals through a valve opening into each of the machines, where it is purged, ready to be emptied into either boxes or hogsheads, and sent to market. This system is called purging " hot," and is used to-day in all the refineries of the United States and on many plantations. For raw sugar, the vacuum pan can be made to operate on the "wet" or "dry" system. The latter, which is the most modern, is preferred by all who thoroughly understand the matter, but it requires more water. A plantation having complete machinery will make six thousand barrels of sugar with greater ease and less labor
than will be necessary on another plantation to make three thousand harrels where the fire trains are used.

The adrantage of the vacuum pan system, in respect to expense of fuel, is immense; as the vacuum pan is worked by means of exhaust steam from the rarious engines and pumps of the sugar house, thus taking adrantage of the latent heat in the boiling of the sugar, after the steam has done its duty as prime mover.

Thus it will be seen that the employment of the racuum pan and triple effect does not materially increase the amount of fuel necessary for the engines.

The situation of the pan in the house is important, for upon its position depends the economical working of the sugar house.

Employing this system, the planter can have packed in boxes or barrels, and many times in the market each day, the sugar made on the previous day. With the vacuum pan only can be obtained a grain of sufficient consistence to resist the extraordinary expulsive force of the centrifugal.

With a well arranged boiling house, it is not necessary to touch the juice after it leaves the mill until sugar, dry and well purged, comes from the centrifugal, which all planters must acknowledge is a great economy of labor, time, and money.

The pans can be put upon the beanis of building, but the better way is to place them upon iron columns and platform, independent of building, so they will not be shaken by the high winds that visit sugar countries.

In the racuum pan system, the amount of fuel saved is considerable, and the difference in the amount of molasses obtained from a given amount of juice is as 2 to 3 -that is, the train produces three hogshead of sugar and one of molasses; the vacuum pan produces five, or even seven, hogsheads of sugar to one of molasses.


Plate XL represents a sectional view of the Hersey Granulator.
The sugar is fed in on end through the spout by a set of rolls placed above the machine ; and, by lifting shelves on the inside of outer cylinder, is carried up and dropped in a continuous shower upon the heating cylinder, and rolls off by the rotation of the machine, to be again carried up, working forward to the opposite end by the inclination of the apparatus, and is then delivered into the screen for separating into the different grades.

The heater cylinder is put centrally within the iron conveying cylinder, which is 23 feet long and 6 feet in diameter, the heater cylinder being 20 feet long and 36 inches in diameter, and making five revolutions per minute; a striker jarring off any sugar that may adhere to
the cylinder when first entering the machine, which is operated by cams. The machine is rotated by means of outside gear on the convey cylinder.

The current of air constantly passing through the machine carries off the moisture from the sugar through a pipe, and the dry sugar is delivered cool from the end into which the cold air is passing, so that it does not cake, and can be immediately barreled, and will not cause the barrels to shrink.

The following calculations of the practical workings of the vacuum pan, are from the Encyclopedia of Chemistry, Vol. II, p. 903, Lippincott.
"If 30,000 pounds of sugar containing 10 per cent of water, are produced in 2 hours from pan-liquor containing 50 per cent of water, equal to $27 \pm^{\circ}$ Beaumé of density, then 27,000 pounds less 3,000 pounds of water would be evaporated, which, at $28^{\circ}$ vacuum, would equal $5,333,33 \pm$ cubic feet of rapor, and the velocity of this vapor through a pipe of two feet in the cross section, would be 741 feet per second.

If the condensing water was at the temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and should escape by the racuum pump at a temperature of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F} ., 24,000$ pounds of steam would require 84,480 gallons of water for condensation, or 1 gallons of water evaporated would require 28 gallons of water to condeuse it.

The steam needed to evaporate 24,000 pounds of water in the racuum pan, would be, at 30 pounds pressure above the atmosphere, 28,208 pounds, without any allowance for waste.

An open pan would require only 14 per cent more heat to eraporate the same amount of water."

It will thus be seen that the advantage of the vacuum pan (and it is unquestionably great) is not found, as is by many urged, in its saving of fuel or steam, for that is small, and, besides, the apparatus is expensive, and requires expert supervision.

The vacuum pan enables the juice to be concentrated at a low temperature, thus preventing burning entirely, and the inversion of the sugar to a great degree.

The heat of the racuum pan is generally the direct steam from the boiler, but, on account of the low temperature necessary to secure active boiling, it has been found economical to use the waste steam of the sugar mill in the coils. As an improvement upon the vacuum pan, there have been devised what are known as the double or triple effects, to be shortly described.

In order, also, to avoid the effect of high temperatures upon the sugar, an apparatus similar in construction to the Cook Pan, see page

330 , has been employed, with the sides and partitions higher, so that a depth of juice may be secured sufficient to cover a pipe through which hot water circulates, by which the evaporation is kept up. In like manner, hot water has been employed in the coils of the vacuum pan, thus avoiding all danger of burning, which, by using steam, may occur when the vacuum is not well kept, as through an insufficient supply of condensing water. Even in the vacuum pan, the steam generated by the hot surface of the coils is yet under the pressure of the superincumbent mass of syrup, which would be approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds pressure, equal to 3 inches of mercury, for two feet depth of syrup above the heated coil. It is obvious, therefore, that the pan should be shallow in order to secure evaporation at the lowest temperature; but, in practice, it has been found to give better results in crystallization, by increasing the depth-a result which may be due to the greater freedom of motion of the contents of the pan, which the higher temperature and the escape of steam from a greater depth insures. We have seen that sugar is soluble in all proportions in water ; or, rather, that the amount of water necessary to dissolve sugar depends upon the temperature, see page 336 . If, therefore, the water which is present in a solution of sugar be evaporated by boiling in an open vessel, it will be found that, as the water evaporates, the temperature of the boiling liquid will gradually increase, and the degree of concentration may be very accurately determined by means of the thermometer. See page 336 .

No crystallization of sugar, therefore, can be secured in the open pan so long as the boiling is continued; but it is found, after the concentration has been carried to a certain point, that the amount of sugar is so much in excess of that which the water present is able at ordinary temperatures to dissolve, that, if a portion of the boiling syrup be taken from the pan, the crystallization of the sugar is almost instantaneous, and it is by means of a few simple tests that the experienced sugar-boiler in charge of the Jamaica Train is enabled to tell, when the contents of his battery or strike pan are ready to be transferred to the crystallizing vessels.

The method which is difficult to describe, but which is very simple in practice, commonly employed, is to remove a portion of the boiling syrup by the ladle, and observe its character and appearance, as the last and partially cooled portion runs off; or, by taking a drop of the syrup between the thumb and fore-finger, gradually opening them and observing the string of syrup formed, which, if of the right character, may be extended to about an inch and a half before parting; the broken end partly curls up, while the string presents
a granular rather than a glassy look, showing the presence of the minute grains of sugar which are formed during the cooling.

Another method is to observe the character of the escaping steam, which no longer is continuous, but, as the time of striking for sugar approaches, is in large bubbles, escaping with a puff much resembling the boiling of hasty pudding, or the last boiling in making maple sugar.

In the vacuum pan, however, after a certain degree of concentration is reached, the temperature is no longer sufficient to hold all the sugar in solution, and the excess is at once thrown down in the form of an infinite number of microscopic crystals distributed through the boiling mass. The appearance of this grain is carefully watched for by the sugar-boiler; and, after its appearance, the contents of the pan and the progress of the evaporation receives his constant supervision. The object to be secured is now, by repeated additions of "pan-liquor" (as the semi-syrup, with which the raccum pan is at first charged and afterward fed, is termed), to " build up," as they say, the small crystals of sugar, or "grain," until they' are of appreciable size, so that their purging in the centrifugal may be easily accomplished. The dangers are that, either through increased heat in the pan, by partially losing the vacuum, or by the two great amount of pan liquor admitted in a charge, and the consequent considerable dilution of juice, he may lose the grain by its being dissolved, and he must again recover it, as at the outset ; or, by a too rapid evaporation, and the consequent excessive deposition of sugar, it may happen that the sugar is thrown down more rapidly than it can be deposited upon the crystals already formed, and a fresh crop of crystals is produced, known technically as "false grain."

This "false grain" is very carefully guarded against by the sugarboiler, and may be dissolved by a heary charge of pan-liquor and a high heat in the pan. But it may happen when the pan is already so full as to forbid further addition of fresh liquor ; in which case, it can only be remored by means of heary washing with water in the centrifugal or mixer, thus causing a great loss of sugar in the molasses.

In working the vacuum pan, the vacuum is first created by the pump, when the semi-syrup is drawn into the pan, until the first coil of pipe is covered, when the steam may be turned into such coil, and, as the successive coils become covered with the syrup, steam is admitted to them. It then remains only to add fresh portions of the semi-syrup as evaporation progresses, until the grain is formed, when the exercise of more care is needed. After a time the full capacity of the pan is reached, and it is then necessary to remove the contents of the pan,
wholly or in part, into cooling or crystallizing tanks, which, being on wheels, are conveniently brought under the sliding gate at the bottom of the vacuum pan; and as they are successively filled, they are wheeled into a warm room, where they are allowed to remain for a few hours, or even a day or two, during which time more of the sugar will crystallize.

In "striking" the pan, as the removal of its contents is termed, the steam is first turned off, the vacuum is broken, the pump stopped, and the contents discharged. It is, however, often the case, that but a portion is removed, and that then the boiling is resumed, supplies of pan sugar being drawn in from time to time, as before. Of course this results (in case no false grain is produced) in the increase in the size of the crystals of sugar ; and they are often obtained, by the repeated partial striking of the pan, at last with a diameter from onesixteenth to one-eighth of an inch. But this "doubling," as the operation is termed, is only possible with very pure juices, as of the best sugar-cane, since the impurities of the juice are gradually accumulating in the molasses, and finally become so abundant as to make purging of the sugar difficult, if not impossible. If such "gumminess" of the molasses is not excessive, a little lime-water drawn into the pan during boiling, is said to remove it; but when it is such as to seriously interfere with the purging of the sugar, the strike of the vacuum pan should be complete, and the pan should be charged with fresh liquor, as at the outset. The danger of false grain being produced, is especially great when, after a partial strike, a fresh portion of panliquor is drawn into the pan.

During the entire operation, the interior of the pan may be observed through the glasses in its side, and its contents examined, from time to time, by means of the proof-stick.

## MULTIPLE EFFECTS.

On account of the low degree of heat necessary to secure evaporation in the vacuum pan, an important modification has been made by the employment of what are known as double and triple effects. These are, in fact, a series of two or three vacuum pans, connected with each other; the first being heated, as usual, and the steam from it being used in the coils of the second pan, which practically plays the part of a surface condenser for the first pan. In like manner, the steam from the second pan passes into the coils of the third, which, in its turn, acts as a surface condenser to the second pan. Finally, a condenser and vacuum pump is connected with the last pan of the series, as to the ordinary single vacuum pan. It is claimed that, by this arrange-
ment, great economy is secured in the use of fuel, and that the expense of attendance during the concentration of the juice is greatly diminished.

The saving of labor secured by the employment of triple effect apparatus, as shown in the working of two similar estates, is as given in "Sugar Growing and Refining," page 271, as follows: The srrup and sugar produced upon each estate were said to hare been identical in quality and value, and both were under equally able management. On the estate using open evaporators and a single vacuum pan, the labor amounted to 58 men for 18 hours per day, or 1,044 hours per day; while the second estate, using a triple effect and racuum pan, required, per day, 40 men for 13 hours, or a total of 520 hours of labor per day-a saving of labor, as will be seen, of one-half. Each factory turned out daily 13 tons of first and second sugars. The additional expense of the plant is obvious; but if this saving may be counted upon as possible, the employment of this triple effect appears by far the most economical. Only such juice as has been purified to such a degree as to rield no impurities upon further concentration, is adaped for use in the triple effect, since it is impossible that these can be remored by skimming. The several pans of the triple effect are connected with each other in such way as to enable the contents of the first to be drawn into the second, and the second intn the third, as the proper degree of concentration is attained in each.

Plate XLI.
TRIPLE EFFECT VACUUM.

Plate XLI represents a triple effect evaporating apparatus, as manufactured by the Colwell Iron-Works, many sets of which are in use upon sugar plantations, as also in the manufacture of beet sugar. The triple effect is the most economical method of evaporation, as it is all done in vacuum. A general description of this apparatus is as follows:

It is composed of three cast-iron racuum pans, so arranged that the rapor generated in the first pan passes to the heating surface of the second pan, where it boils the liquor; and the vapor thus generated passes to the third, where it boils the syrup; while the vapor from this third pan passes to the condenser, and is drawn off by means of the vacuum pump. The first pan receives its steam from the exhaust steam recipient, which also supplies the vacuum pan.

By this method, there will be required only thirty-five per cent of the steam and water for the proper operation of these pans which would be necessary were all the evaporation done in a single vessel; but this method of evaporation will only hold good on liquor up to 28 or $30^{\circ}$ Beaumé.

The interior heating surface of these pans consists of two-inch copper tubes, expanded into composition heads, which are properly secured in the lower curbs of the pans. There is also a suitable large circulating pipe. The mountings of the pans are about the same as in the vacuum pan. By a system of valves and vapor pipes, any of the pans can be connected directly with the condenser at will, thus making it single, double, or triple effect.

The course of the liquor is as follows:
The pump upon the left of the cut draws the defecated liquor from the deposit tanks, the speed being so regulated that the pan is kept at a certain level, the vacuum being about 5 inches and the heat about $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. It is here evaporated to about 15 or $16^{\circ}$ Beaumé, and, by means of a discharge cock and pipe, is fed into the second pan in such a quantity as to maintain a fixed level. It is here reduced to about $20^{\circ}$ Beaumé, and a temperature of say $150^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and, by means of a discharge cock to this pan, the third pan is supplied in the same manner. Here the concentration goes on till at the finishing point, 25 to $28^{\circ}$ Beaumé ; then, by means of the condenser and vacuum pump, the necessary vacuum is maintained, in order to finish at as low a temperature as possible. It is now drawn off by means of a montjus, or pump, and passes to the clarifiers.

The operation is continuous, provided there is a proper supply of defecated juice.

The saving of fuel and labor by this method over the steam or fire trains is one of the great incentives in adopting this large piece of ma-
chinery. The quality of the sugar from the juice evaporated in vacuum, and the greater quantity of sugar, or, more properly speaking, the smaller quantity of molasses that can be obtained by this over the fire system, is another and very important reason for its adoption.

If necessary, the vacuum pan, triple effect, and the different circuits of juice and water about the house, can all be operated by one engine, under the immediate control of the sugar master.

## Concretors.

In each of the evaporators thus far described, the object has been the concentration of the juice after defecation to a syrup, from which the sugar, after crystallizing, may be obtained, by either draining off the molasses, as with the muscovado, or open pan, fine grained sugars, or by removing the molasses by means of the centrifugal machine, as is done with vacuum pan large grained sugars.

But, owing to increased expense and trouble attending the production of sugar upon the plantation, and the greater coonomy which attends the extraction of sugar where larger and improved apparatus and greater skill in supervision is secured, many attempt only the production upon the plantation of what is known as "concrete," i. e., the defecated juices evaporated until, upon cooling, it solidifies to a mass, which may be shipped readily, and from which the refiners may readily extract the sugar. This concrete contains, of course, all the impurities which remain in the defecated juice and are not removed by skimming in the production of the concrete.
In principle the concretor may be likened to a Cook Pan, by means of which the defecated juice is allowed to flow over a long trough, exposed, during its flow, to a heat which at first is sufficient to boil the juice and raise the scum, and is afterward diminished so that the juice, when at a density of about 25 to $30^{\circ}$ Beaumé, is not heated even to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Generally, the time required for the concentration of defecated juice to $30^{\circ}$ Beaumé is from 8 to 10 minutes. The syrup thus obtained is, by means of revolving discs, similar to those described under Surface Evaporation (page 339), still further evaporated. This last evaporation is rendered more rapid by means of hot air, which is forced by a fan over the film of syrup upon the dises, the last evaporation being accomplished at a temperature below that of boiling water. Scrapers continually remove the solidified juice from the discs. The "concretor" is also used for the purpose of reducing the juice, after defecation, to pan-liquor, for which it is well adapted: in this way taking the place of the ordinary evaporators used for this purpose. It would seem that the use of the concretor and the preparation of con-
crete fur some central factory or refinery was worthy of careful consideration by those who are unable to enter upon the expense of a sugar house, since this concrete may be kept a long time, can be shipped without loss, and worked for sugar when convenient. Its market value would, of course, be largely dependent upon its content of sugar; but it could easily be worked over for syrup. By thus simplifying the necessary work upon the farm, in the preparation of a marketable article, it offers unusual facilities to those entering upon this new industry with little experience and limited capital. In all the methods thus far described for the removal of water from the saccharine juices and the separation of the sugar, heat has been always the agent employed; but, to the chemist and the physicist, cold presents itself as an agent well calculated to accomplish the same result, and possessing certain great advantages in its employment.

Already this agent has long been considered as practically arailable, and numerous experiments have been made to render it effective; but thus far no practical results appear to have been realized.

One pound of water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. loses $38^{\circ}$ in passing to the freezing point, and in the act of freezing must part with $140^{\circ}$ more of heat; or, in other words, to freeze one pound of water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. requires the removal of heat enough to raise 178 pounds of water one degree.

On the other hand, one pound of water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. requires $142^{\circ}$ of heat to raise it to the boiling point ; and, to convert it into steam, requires an additional $966^{\circ} .6$ of heat; or, in other words, to convert one pound of water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. into steam at $212^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. requires as much heat as would suffice to raise $1,106.6$ pounds of water one degree in temperature.

It appears, therefore, that the relative energy required to freeze or boil water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. is as 178 is to $1,108.6$, or as 1 to 6.23 . In evaporation, the energy developed in the combustion of wood or coal, for example, is applied directly, and, although, through radiation, conduction, and the various imperfections of the furnace, much of the energy is lost-so far as effective work is concerned, such loss may be reduced almost to its minimum ; but, in the several transformations necessary to apply the energy developed by burning coal, through steam boilers, engines, pumps, and so forth, to the freezing of water, the inevitable loss seems to more than counteract the apparent advantage the latter operation has over the former.

One pound of coal will evaporate about nine pounds of boiling water, equal to 7.85 pounds of water at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.; and it is claimed that one pound of water may be frozen by the combustion of three pounds of coal under the boilers of the refrigerating apparatus. But, despite this
unfavorable showing, there are so many advantages to result from the use of cold in concentration, that it is well worth careful investigation, and it is scarcely improbable that, through improved appliances, such a process may be made practical. The fact that crystallization is a means of purification, owing to the crystal during its growth excluding all foreign substances, has been referred to, page 326.

The formation of ice, which is simply the crystallization of water, is not an exception to this rule. The concentration of cider and of vinegar by the freezing of a portion of water are familiar examples. This method of concentration has been extensively used in the preparation of salt from sea water in Northern Russia, shallow pits being dug upon the shore, into which the sea water is admitted and allowed to freeze, the ice which forms being nearly pure water. The unfrozen portion is thus concentrated to such a degree as to make further concentration by heat economical.

## SEPARATION OF THE SUGAR FROM MOLASSES.

After the concentration of the juice has been effected, it is necessary, if the open pan process has been used, to let the syrup remain in coolers or cystallizing tanks until the sugar has had time to separate in crystals. The time required for the completion of this process depends upon the character of the syrup. In case the relative amount of glucose and other impurities is small, and the syrup thin and liquid, a day or two will suffice; but where the amount of sugar is relatively small, the syrup stiff and viscid, weeks, or even months, are necessary to complete the crystallization. It is found that the slower the crystallization the fewer and larger the crystals, and, in some instances, crystals over an inch in diameter have been produced. The production of rock candy is a case illustrating this.

Crystallization is facilitated by keeping it in warm rooms, as the heat renders the syrup more liquid, and gives freedom to the particles of sugar.

Crystallization is more rapid from shallow coolers ( 6 to 10 inches) than from those of great depth ( 2 to 3 feet), but, when rapid, results in a mass of fine crystals difficult to free from the molasses, while the crystals from the deeper vessels are larger. If the concentration has been properly conducted, there will always be after crystallization a mass of sugar crystals distributed in the molasses.

The separation of this molasses is accomplished in a variety of ways. The common muscovado sugar, obtained by boiling in the Jamaica Train or open pan, was drained of its molasses by throwing the mass of sugar and molasses from the coolers into hogsheads, arranged so
that the drippings from them could be receired in a large tank. In the bottom of the hogsheads holes were bored, which were kept open, and, in time, a large portion of the molasses would slomly drain off, learing almars a considerable portion still adhering to the crystals of sugar. It was thus sent to market as a soft-grained, moist, rellow or brown sugar, the color being due almost entirely to the adhering dark colored molasses. Another method suitable for the extraction of sugar upon a small seale, is to put the " mush sugar," as the mixture of sugar and molasses may be termed, into strong bags of a somerwhat loose material, and subjecting them to pressure, at times taking out the bags to work over their contents, and pressing again.

## Claying.

The fact that the color of the raw sugar was found to be due to the film of molasses adhering to each crystal, which neither draining nor pressure could entirely remove, led to the washing of the sugar with water. This was accomplished by placing the concentrated s.rup or mush sugar in earthen molds, shaped like an inverted cone. At the buttom a hole, which was stopped until crystallization of the contents was completed, allowed the molasses to drain awar. When this was completed, a layer of stram was spread on the sugar, over which a stiff paste of clay was put, the moisture from which, slowly percolating through the mass of sugar crrstals, washed off the coating of molases and left the crrstals nearly white. Instead of clay, a piece of felt or other heary cloth was often used.

Of course, much of the sugar mas dissolved br this process, aud the claring was discontinued before the entire contents of the cone was made white; so there came to be recignized in the trade different brands of clayed sugars, aceording to the portion of the cone from which ther were taken. The driad cones were cut into portions horizontally, the upper and whiter being termed in French trade premier, next second, then troisieme, petit, commun, and tete, the latter being the still black sugar from the apex of the cone.

These sugars have been largely made in the French colonies, China, and Cuba ; and the "Havana sugar," so common in our own markets twenty years ago, was a clayed sugar.

At one time, it is said that there were four hundred plantations. in St. Domingo alone, which made clayed sugars.

## Centrifugals.

The most convenient (and, at present, almust universal) method for the separation of the molasses from the sugar, is the centrifugal ma-
chine, introduced in 1843. It consists of a cylindrical vessel, about three feet in diameter, revolving upon an upright shaft. The outer portion of this cylinder is of wrought iron, pierced with numerous small holes. Within this, and lying against it, are one or two screens of wire gauze. This is inclosed within an outer cylinder of iron, of a diameter six or eight inches greater than the revolving drum within. The wire basket (which has an opening at the top for the introduction of the charge, and trap-doors at the bottom for the removal of the separated sugar) is connected by a belt, etc., with an engine, by which it is made to revolve from 1,000 to 1,500 times a minute, thus giving at the circumference a velocity of from two to three miles a minute, for a centrifugal three feet in diameter. The mixer is a long, half cylindrical vessel, into which the mass of sugar and molasses from the coolers is emptied, and where (by means of a series of revolving arms, attached to a shaft running lengthwise in the mixer) the contents are stirred into a homogeneous mass. From this vessel (which, for convenience, is placed directly over, and discharges through a sliding valve its contents into the centrifugal) a charge is introduced after the centrifugal is set in motion, but before it has attained its maximum velocity. The centrifugal force distributes the mass equally over the gauze periphery, and the molasses forced through is caught by the outer drum, and collected in a receiving tank placed below. So soon as the molasses has been separated, a spray of water is thrown upon the surface of the sugar, and the residual molasses is thus removed. A jet of steam is sometimes introduced upon the outside of the perforated drum, to aid in the ready removal of the molasses; and, after the washing, hot air is drawn in upon the sugar to dry it. Ordinarily from five to fifteen minutes suffices to purge one charge, although the character of the mush sugar introduced is sometimes such, that a far longer time is necessary.

Vacuum pan sugars, being made up of larger crystals, are far more easily purged than the softer, finer grained, open pan sugars, and the purging of such coarse grained sugars is accompanied with less loss of sugar. The injurious effect of false grain is here manifest, since it tends to block up the meshes of the gauze, and thus prevent the expulsion of the molasses. The treatment of water, therefore, either in the mixer or in the centrifugal, for the purpose of dissolving these minute crystals of sugar is rendered necessary.


Plate XLII.

No. 1. Hand, 18 -inch disk. Capacity, 60 nounds per hour. Same, for belt. Capacity, 100 pounds per hour.
Same, combined for either belt or hand.
Power machine, 24 -inch disk. Capacity, 250 pounds per hour.




## Plate XLV.

The machines, plate XLV, are arranged, and can set on an ordinary floor; are entire self-contained by substantial iron frames. Fitting at the top, there is no shaft to interfere with its easy and perfect manipulation, It is discharged at the bottom, and the sugar received in a car. The belts are all underneath, and thoroughly protected from drip. This is a great inprovement upon the overhead belts, where, if a belt should break, the lives or limbs of the men are endangered. These machines are steady, better balanced, and in every way superior to the German standing machine, or the hanging machine.

## Molasses.

Molasses is the name given to the liquid product which is, by either of the processes given, separated from the sugar.

In speaking of "available sugar" in a juice or syrup, it was explained that the glucose and inverted sugar present in a syrup would prevent its own weight of sugar from crrstallizing; also, that some of the mineral matters present in the syrup, exerted a similar action in holding the sugar in solution. Molasses, then, is a mixture comtaining in solution all those impurities originalls present in the juice, which were not removed in defecation or skimming, and the sugar which has been held in solution by such impurities. It generally holds in solution a certain amount of sugar which was'not removed in the first crrstallization, and this is often so great as to warrant the molasees being boiled in the racuum pan a second, or even a third time for sugar. These successive crops of sugar thus obtained, are called seconds or thirds, and the molasses from them is also termed second and third molasses.

Of course, each additional quantity of sugar taken from the molasses only serves to concentrate the impurities more and more, so that the last molasses are almost worthless, except for distillation into rum, or fur the production of spirits. In the crude operations of the sugar plantations, the amount of sugar left in the molasses is so great, that large quantities are imported and worked over hy the refineries; and the residual molasses, purified by the bone-black filtration to which it is subjected, is rendered still a very acceptable commodity for domestic use.

The average percentage composition of a molasses, when all the sugar which will crystallize has been obtained, is nearly as follows:


We have then from the abore, the "arailable sugar" from the cane as equal to the cane sugar or the sucrose, less the sum of the glucose and mineral matter, since, in practice, it appears that the sum of these above represents the amount of sucrose held in solution in the molasses.

If, then, as is most probable, the juices of sorghum and maize are comparable with the juice of sugar-cane in this regard, it will be seen that our estimate of arailabie sugar has been placed too low, since, in every
case, we have also considered the organic matters not sugars as capable of holding in the molasses their own weight of sucrose, while in the cane molasses this is seen not to be the case. On the other hand, the molasses from sugar beets is found to contain but slight amounts of glucose, but a very much larger amount of sucrose, and this is held in solution by the ash present, composed largely of potash salts, which have been shown by the experiments of Marschall and La Grange (La Sucrerie Iudiginie, X., 259) able to hold from 3 to 6 times their weight of sugar in the molasses.

## SUCRATES OF LIME AND STRONTIA.

In the manufacture of beet sugar, owing to the fact that the molasses is of such quality as to unfit it for any domestic use, efforts have been made to secure from it the crystallizable sugar which it contains, aud one of the most successful methods has been to combine the sugar with lime, to form the basic sucrate of lime, which may be then separated from the glucose and other impurities of the molasses, and from this sucrate the sugar is obtained by converting the lime into carbonate of lime, and thus setting free the sugar.

Since this process has been by some recommended as one by which the sugar from sorghum or maize stalks might be procured readily, in a condition such as to enable it to be sent to central factories, or become an article of commerce, the process of its preparation will be given. The compound of sugar and lime is known as the tri-basic sucrate of lime, and consists, when pure, of one molecule ( 342 parts by weight) of sugar, and three molecules (168 parts by weight) of lime, or 67 per cent sugar and 33 per cent lime.

Its preparation from molasses or syrup is as follows: The molasses is mixed with about one-quarter its weight of lime, when the mass solidifies, and, after cooling, it is broken up and washed with water, by which the greater part of the impurities are removed, the eomparatively insoluble sucrate of lime being left behind. But, owing to the partial solubility of the sucrate, much of the sugar is thus lnst, and, instead of water, alcohol of about 35 per cent is used to wash the mass, the alcohol being again recovered by distillation. It is necessary to have lime sufficient to fully saturate the sugar present, since several other compounds of lime and sugar may be formed which are far more soluble than the tri-basic sucrate; and besides this compound, while comparatively insoluble in water, is readily soluble in a solution of sugur. Owing to the ease with which it would seem that this compound might be prepared, its convenience for shipping or storage, since it is said to keep unchanged for long periods, it is to be hoped that ex-
perimeuts mar soon be made to determine the exact method of procedure, by which the small farmer could convert the juice of his sorghum into a permanent, portable, and commercial product, without the trouble and expense of trying to make sugar.

## Sucrate of Strontia.

Professor Scheibler, of Berlin, Germany, has recently patented a process, for the recovery of sugar from molasses, in which he substitutes strontial for lime, forming the tri-basic sucrate of strontia, which, like the corresponding lime compound, is afterward decompesed by carbonic acid, and the sugar recovered.
The adrantage of this modification is, that the strontia compound is less soluble than that of lime, and it may be more readily obtained free from the impurities with which it is asseciated in its production.
The details, in brief, of this process, are as follows: The molasses or syrup is diluted to such an extent, that, when heated to a temperature of from $70^{\circ}$ to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ( $155^{\circ}$ to $167^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.), it will dissolve enough of the somewhat difficultly soluble strontia hydrate to form a tri-basic sucrate of strontia, with all the sugar present in the molases or syrup. Or a solution of the strontia hydrate in water may be added to the molasses sufficient to form the tri-basic sucrate, allowing 364.5 parts by weight of stroutia hydrate to 342 parts by weight of sugar present in the molasses or syrup to be operated upon, or 106.6 per cent of the weight of the sugar calculated to be present. The objection to this method of procedure being, that the solution would thus be rendered too dilute, since the strontia hydrate is much less soluble in water than in a solution of sugar.

In practice, the diluted molasses is run into a sheet-iron vessel, surrounded by a steam jacket, and provided with a stirring apparatus, by which the molasses is stirred up with the strontia hydrate, an excess of which has been previonsly added in the solid condition to the sheetiron receptacle. So soon as the sugar of the molasses has become saturated with strontia, care being takeu that the temperature be not allowed to arise above $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the solution of strontium sucrate, and the other impurities of the molasses, is drawn off into another vessel, leaving behind the excess of undissolved strontia hydrate for a subsequent lot of molasses. This second vessel receiving the solution, is also prorided with stirring apparatus, and, in this vessel, the sulution is heated to the boiling print, at which temperature the strontium sucrate is deposited from the solution in the form of a heary sandy powder, which, unlike the corresponding gelatinous and voluminous calcium sucrate, deposits quickly and may be easily filtered. The filtration of the de-
posited strontium sucrate must be effected at a boiling temperature, and is accomplished best by means of the filter press, the last portions of the impurities still in solution being removed by steam, or the strontium sucrate is obtained by means of the centrifugal.

The production of sugar from this sucrate, and the recovery of the stroutia as hydrate, are comparatively simple matters.

The cost of the strontium hydrate, and the large amount required to combine with the sugar, forbid this from becoming a process to be employed by the farmer, but there appears to be no reason why it might not be used in large works where the production of the maximum of sugar was desirable.


The above diagram shows the arrangement of a complete charhouse, filters, kilns, etc.

The description of the same was prepared by the Colmell IronWorks, of New York City. The man-holes at the bottom of the filters are not represented in the plate, since the filters are in two rows mith the man-holes opposite the side shown in the plate. The driers, also mentioned in the description, are not represented in the plate, but the general arraugement of this portion of the sugar-house will appear sufficiently clear to the reader.

The char house is acknowledged to be the most important branch of sugar refining, for without it white sugar can not be made to adrantage; and to properly revivify the char, much ingenuity has heen expended. As many as 172 distinct patents for drying, revivifying, and cooling the char preparatory to filling the filters, have been granted.
The char house and filtering department should be adjacent. so that the spent char from the filters can be delivered in as short a time as possible to the driers on the top of the kilns, and the revirified char from the coolers under the kilns may be transferred to the dusting arrangement and deposit tauk for the char over the filters.

We will now follow the course of the char, and suppose it has been received from the filter, and placed in the drier.

The char, in its slow descent through the drier, constantly presents new surfaces to the action of the in-going air, carrying off the ammoniacal gases, and leares the drier almost at boiling point, so that after a fer minutes exposure to the open air it is quite dry on the surface. These driers, when applied to the old style of kilns, have increased the capacity about 25 per cent.

The char is receised in a bin, which is directly over the top of the retorts; and, as the char is drawn from the coolers underneath the kiln, the retort is charged; thus being continuous in its action, and requiring no men on the kiln head, trampling, shoveling, turning, and pitching the char about, so as to dry it as much as possible before it enters the pipes; for it is admitted that, without the drier, fully twothirds of the fuel is consumed to expel the muisture before the char begins to purify, filling the char house with stean and gases, while with the drier it is the best rentilated portion of the house.

The char now passes to the retort pipes which, in the orlinary kiln, are of cast-iron, of an oval pattern, $3 \times 12$ inches inside set-twelve or more on each side of the fire-place, which is about eighteen inches wide br eight feet long. The retorts are entirely surrounded lor a firebrick wall, outside of which are the flues, into which the gases escape through small holes hack of the retorts, Passing up these side flues, they join in front or back and are delivered under the drier into a Hue,
where the hot gases are distributed into the pipes of the drier, and through them into the chimney, at a temperature of $380^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., with a great saving of fuel.

After remaining in the retort a sufficient length of time to be raised to a cherry-red heat, the organic substances which have been taken up from the juice are here expelled.

At intervals, the char-slide at the bottom of cooler is opened, and a quantity of char drawn off and tested, which test, proving correct, soon enables the char-burner to tell how much char should be drawn at certain intervals : for if too much is drawn, the char will be improperly burned, and will be unsuited for use in the filters. This can be learned by practice and by test. The cooler is a sheet iron tube, connected with the bottom of the retort, where the char is reduced in temperature to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and is then received in barrows, or, in large houses, upon an endless carrier apron, which delivers it to an elevator, raising it $t$ ) a dust box and blower, where the fine dust char is taken away, and the clean char is received in a carrier with inclined shoots, so as to fill the filters quickly and uniformly.

The filters are iron cylinders and usually designed so that the height is six times the diameter, until within a few years, when filters have been made ten feet in diameter and eighteen feet high. The style of filter somewhat depends upon the size of char used, and the manner of working the house. whether very fine grain or coarse char-each size having its partisans, claiming superiority for each. The filter is filled with animal charcoal, aud the liquor is let in upon it expelling the air. Great care is necessary that the whole filter should be full, and the juice not allowed to ran down on one side only. After the liquor has completely filled the filter, the cock is turned on at the bottom, and the liquor is conducted to the top of another filter, and also to a third, if needed, so the juice passes over a set of two or more, discharging the juice clear and colorless; this gees on until the color grows dark, or is not satisfactory, and they are stopped, the foul filters washed out by letting the water flow in at the top, pressing the liquor out before it, until it does not show a trace of swcetness. The filter is then allowed to drain. Sometimes steam is used, or an exhaust is applied to the bottom to draw off the water-air following to fill the vacuum. The lower man hole is now opened, and the exhausted char conveyed directly to the drier over the kilns by means of a suspended rail car or elevator.

The plate represents the sectional view of filter and kiln house; the car to convey it to the elevator, the elevator to raise it up into the top
of the char house, where it is received upon the drier, through the drier into the cooler, through the coolers to the wagon underneath. where the finished and revivified char is re-lifted back iuto the filter house and departments as shown.

## CHAPTER XI.

(a.) Waste products from sorghum.
(b.) Seed, composition, and feeding value.
(c.) Bagasse, loss of sugar in.
(d.) Experiments in saving sugar from bagasse.
(e.) Leavez, food value.
(f.) Bagasse as food, fuel, and as material for paper.
(g.) Scums and sediments, value.
(h.) Sorghum as a forage plant.

## WASTE PRODUCTS.

By those who have watched closely the development of the beet sugar industry, it is seen that its successful establishment has, in every case, been intimately connected with the most careful attention to details. Not only has the extraction of the sugar from the beet been brought to the limits of perfection, but entirely new methods have been devised, after long years of investigation, for extracting the largest attainable quantity of sugar from the syrup. The greatest attention has also been given to the utilization of the so-called waste products of the mauufacture ; and where these, as an additional source of revenue, are disregarded, the industry has, at best, barely sustained itself, even in those sections where the conditions appear most favorable. In fact, to such perfection have all the details of the manufacture of the sugar been brought, that the chief care appears to be given to these minor points of the industry. The result is, that the beet is practically the only rival of the sugar-cane as a source of sugar for the world.

It needs but a glance to see that nothing like such minute and careful supervision has been given to the sugar-cane or the sorghum industry.

Millions of tons of sugar have, during past years, been produced from sugar-cane, by processes so crude and methods so wasteful, that it is safe to say, hardly another great industry in the world would survive such burdens of waste for a single decade. At the present, the sorghum sugar industry is setting out mainly in the course laid down by the sugar-cane manufacturers. As the reports from every section of the country unite to show that none of our common farm crops yield so large a profit as does the production of syrup and sugar from
sorghum, it is most important that adrantage be taken of every detail: attention to which gives promise of increasing the profit of this new indusiry.

A discussion of what may be regaried as the maste products of sorghum is of extreme importance, and is given in this chapter.

Amoug waste products may be classed the seed, bagasse, and leaves, and the refuse products in the manutacture of sugar, riz.: scum and sediment of the defecator, the sediments of the settling tanks, and skimmings from the evaporator.

The most important of these is the seed. For this alone sorghum has, for centuries at least, been grown over a large portion of the globe, as maize is in the United States. The rield per acre of seed is practically the same as maize, and its uses in those countries depending upon it mainly are the same as maize. Analysis shows it to have the same comprition, and practical feeding experiments confirm the indications of chemical aualysis that it is at least the equal of maize in its feeding value.

## COMPOSITION OF SORGHUN SEED.

Analrses of several varieties of sorghum seed have been made, with a riew of determining their probable value as food for animals; and, for the purpose of comparison, an arerage of the analyses of the grain of twenty-oue rarieties of common field corn is given:

| . |  |  | 立 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. |  |
| Water | 10.50 | $10.5 \overline{7}$ | 9.93 | 10.90 | 10.45 | 9.30 |
|  |  | 1.81 |  |  | 1.6s | 1. 34 |
| Fat | 434 | 4.60 | 3.0 .5 | 4.14 | 4.20 | 5.56 |
| Soluble albumen | 5.92 | 7.24 | ti. $(1)$ | 5.69 | 6.45 | 5.93 |
| Insoluble albumen | 4.25 | 2.64 |  | 6.97 | 4.13 | 4.97 |
| Sugar. | . 60 | 1.91 | 2.80 | As | 1.52 | $\cdots$ |
| Gum | 90 | 1.10 | T | $\because 20$ | 1.23 | $\because \cdots$ |
| Sturch | 89.04 | cis | 70.17 | 63.71 | 65.36 | (6) |
| Crude iber. | 2.2 | 1.45 | 1.5는 | 1.51 | 1.56 | 1.12 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.03 | 100.03 |

The abore analrses show the arerage composition of the sorghum seed and corn to be in those nutritive constituents which are of value,
riz.: the albumenoids, fats, and carbhydrates, or non-nitrogenous matters, as follows :

|  | Albumenoids. | Fats. | Non-nitrogenolis. | Other constituents. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sorghum seed Corn.. | $P r . c \%$ J10. 61 10.90 | Pr. ct. 4.26 4.56 | Pr.ct. 71.11 71.22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pr.ct. } \\ & 14.02 \\ & 12.32 \end{aligned}$ |

Upon page 97, Annual Report Department of Agriculture, 1879, after a discussion of the market value of the above nutritive constituents, in grains, the following prices are given as being approximately true for our country, viz.:
$\qquad$
son-nitrorenous
At these prices, 100 pounds of the sorghum seed would be worth $\$ 1.32$, and 100 pounds of corn $\$ 1.38$, or practically almost the same.

The above results seem to be confirmed by many in their experiments in feeding the sorghum seed; and, as is well known, this grain has been in very extensive use in China for centuries as food for both man and beast.

In the above analyses, the percentage of starch, as given, is determined by difference; but in the analysis, in the attempt to determine the stareh by converting it into glucose, there was a considerable portion which resisted such couversion. In the sorghum seed, this amounted, in the case of the White Mammoth to 17.56 per cent, and in the Early Amber to 19.44 per cent of the grain.

This substance appears incapable of fermentation, is not able to reduce Fehling's solution, and is without action, so far as conld be determined, on polarized light. In a sample of corn analyzed there appeared to be only 4.33 per cent of this substance present.

The presence of 5.42 per cent of tannin has been reported in a sample of sorghum seed; but such a composition is quite unique among the cereals, and as this tannin has been detected in no other specimens, its presence as indicated above shows a faulty method of aualysis.

The importance of having the value of the seed clearly established is such that the following testimony is presented from those who have given it trial :

Hollister S. Phillips, Mindora, La Cross Co., Wis., reports 22 bush-
els of seed per acre, and says that " 108 pounds of seed rielded 66 pounds of flour;" the flour was used in his family from November to the following August.
For griddlecakes it is nearly equal to buckwheat (some of his neighbors consider it superior), and mixed equally with buckwheat no difference could be detected.
For ginger-cakes it is excellent. As feed for cattle, horses, and hogs, I know it has no equal. There is no grain that will make a horse gain in flesh faster. For milch-cows a farmer can not estimate its value till he has tried it. It is especially valuable for young stock, and calves, and for hogs. I know that it is worth more per bushel than corn, and when I say more I mean that there is a great difference.

In the spring of 1881 , we killed a hog that had been fattened wholly on cane seed. The meat was as hard and sweet as I ever tasted. This hog was fed on nothing but cane seed and water, yet it took on flesh faster than any hog I ever fed. Some farmers complain of the expense of harvesting it. Now, does it pay to pick up an ear of coru after it is husked and thrown on the ground? One head of cane seed will yield as much feed as an arerage sized ear.

Mr. A. L. Talcott, Secretary Jefferson Sugar Manufacturing Company, Jefferson, O., writes:

I hare seen a number of questions regarding the use of cane seed flour for griddle-cakes, that can be fully answered from the experience of this company. Cane seed is worth more for flour than fur any thing else. It is a great improvement on buckwheat, as it is finer food, and more nutritious. We own a large flouring mill, and have given the question a thorough test, both in 1881 and 1882. The flour is put up in 12 pound sacks, and retailed by our grocers at 50 cts. per sack (same as buckwheat flour). We have also mixed it with oats and ground it up into chop feed. It is as good as corn for feed.
Professor Geo. H. Cook, Director New Jersey Experimental Station, New Brunswick, N. J., reports that

The ralue of the crop (sorghum) is considered to be mainly in the sugar, but the seed is found to be about equal to Indian corn in feeding value, and the crop per acre is not less than that of other common cereals. There are no good feeding experiments to show what may be the value of stalks from which the juice has been extracted. The field for enterprise in this direction is a large and inviting one.

Col. Colman, of St. Louis, President of the Mississippi Valley Cane Growers' Association, in his address to the sorghum growers of Wisconsin, says:

Where is our adrantage in the northern cane? It produces seed, and the experience of the farmers all through our section is, that the amount of sced obtained from an acre of cane is equivalent to the amount of corn raised upon the same area of ground, and the seed is just as good for fattening hogs and steers, and feeding your horses, sheep, and forls. In Kansas they pay ten cents a
bushel more for it than they do for corn, because it agrees with their sheep better. Here is a crop that really costs nothing. You are paid for the use of your land, for the raising of the crop, in the seed alone, and the cane then can be put at four mills for a mere song. What other sugar producing plant will do the same? Will beets do it? No. Beets do not pay in this country. Labor is too expensire. Every beet establishment in the country is played out. Will the southern sugar-cane equal it? By no means. There is no compensation in the seed there, because there is no seed obtained there. Here is a plant that pays for its own production, and all you have to do is to use your stalks. Capt. Blakeley will tell you that they have told him, at the Rio Grande place, that wherever they have fed this seed at dairies there was an increase of milk. In Philadelphia they will pay 65 cents a bushel for all they can get to feed to dairy stock, and farmers all over the country tell of its great value for feeding purposes. So that if you only got the seed it would pay you; but, in addition to that, you can raise from 10 to 20 tons of product for which you can get two dollars and a half a ton. I would like to know what other crop will bring the farmer as good returns as this?

At this same meeting other testimony was given, as follows:
$M_{r}$. Clements.-In our country there are about 3,000 acres of cane raised, and they are as careful in saving the seed as the cane. 'The results, as to the seed, are equal to corn. We consider it equal to corn, pound for pound.

Mr. Poland.-I feed my horses and milch-cows with it, and make a mush of it for the pigs. There is no one has fatter hogs than we have, just fed on the seed. It is excellent feed; corn has no preference over it for feeding purposes, in my opinion. I do n't feed it dry, but soaked.

Professor W. A: Henry, of Wisconsin Agricultural College, reports as follows:

For several reasons the ralue of cane seed fur feed has received little attention. Its importance has not yet impressed itself upon cane growers. As will be seen from Mr. Swensen's report, from one-fifth of an acre of ground, $6 \frac{3}{8}$ bushels of seed, weighing 53 pounds per bushel, were obtained, or at the rate of 32 bushels per acre.

The average yield of oats in the vicinity of Madison this season was about 35 bushels.
J. M. Edwards, Oak Hill, Jefferson County, reports 230 bushels of seed, weighing 58 pounds per bushel, from 9 acres.

There is no difficulty in saving the seed, as the heads can lie upon the ground a long time, unless there is an excessive amount of rain. The heads can be drawn and spread on the barn floor, or, what would be better, arranged on racks in a shed like broom corn. Some bind the heads in bundles, and stand them on end in the field, like bundles of wheat, to dry.

During the past few years the manufacture of glucose has grown to immense proportions, and vast quantities of corn (maize) has been used in its production. It will be seen, from the analyses, that sorghum seed contains the same percentage of starch as corn; and its employ-
ment. in place of corn. in the manufacture of starch and gluense. will doubtless iend to maintain its price at approsimately that of corn.

## Analyes of Bagases from Sorghum.

The following table cives the analrses of twenty samples of hagasses from niee varieties of sorghm: also. for purp se of comparison, analrsts of the juices expressed from the canes.

Excluding the analyses of suckers and leares as not being comparable with the others, the arerage result of the proximate analrees is as follows:
aterage composition of eighteen bagasses.


The arerage percentage of juice and bagasse obtained, amt the composition of the juice, mas as folloms:

| Juine erpressed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent. } \\ \therefore \therefore 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bazasse ........ | 12.20 |
| Water in bagasse | 5-4 |
| Dey bacasse | 8.5 76 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 12- |
| Gluense in juice. | 123 |
| suts : suzer in *uta |  |

Specific gravity of juice, 1.0726 .

## ANALYSES OF CANES, JUICES, AND BAGASSES.

Analyses of Canes and Juices.


Analyses of Dried Bagazzes．


| Date． | Varietr． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 为 | 号 |  |  | 边 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scpt．1t | Ser varietr（H．S．Coll） | 27.4 | 325 | $9-8$ | Si | 2.0 | 4.25 |
|  | White Liberian（Nesbit） | 21.5 | $4 \times$ | 7.10 | 0 | －${ }^{2}$ | 6． 7.5 |
| $\cdots$ | Sew rariety（ H So（oll） | 21.601 | 3 | $8 \cup$ | A | － 45 | $\cdots$ |
| 21 |  | 21.200 | ： 75 | $7{ }^{7} 10$ | 1.0 |  | 4．i3 |
| － | White Liberian（Sesbit） | 21－4\％ |  | 10.8 | 1.8 | 2． | ＋ 5 |
| Oct．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Suckers from row $2,3,4,3$ | 25.85 | $\because(0)$ | 9－） | 1.50 | 4.10 | 8.25 |
|  | Imberirom row ？ |  |  | 3 | 1 － |  |  |
| 10 | Veeazana． | $211(0)$ | 5.5 | 10 （b）． | －15 | 3 ．6． | 4 4！ |
| 11 | Iink＇s Hrbriu． | －－－ | 6.75 | 7.10 | 120 | $\therefore 00$ | 40 |
| 12 | White Liberian（Jesbit）．．． |  |  | 10.00 |  |  | 5.15 |
| 18 | White Liberian（Leaming） | 2－5 | 331 | 13 － 1 | 1.31 | － | 为 |
| 15 | Early Amber | I28 | － 30 | 12.5 | 1.9 | － | \％（4） |
| 15 | Link＇s Hybrid． | 23970 | 3 3． 0 | 9.50 | 3） | 205 | 4 \％ |
| S． | West Indiar | 24 4（0） | 4.51 | 5 m | 8 | － | ¢ 15 |
| 31 | Red Eorgho． | 25．7．00 | $3{ }^{2-1}$ | $10-\mathrm{z})$ | 1.16 | $\because$（a） | －21 |
| Nor． 1 | West Indra | －3 1.0 | 4 | 11.75 | 1.02 | 高易 | 310 |
| Dee．S | Vew varietr（R．Haswell） | 23050 | 58 | 14 | 12 | 3： | $\because$ |
|  | Arerage | 23.12 | 354 | 9.3 | ．3i | $\because$ | 4.41 |

## LOES OF SLGAR IN THE BAGIESE．

The most important point established br these analyses is the very considerable loss of sugar，owing to the impossibility for a mill to ex－
press all the juice. We often hear of bagasse as coming from the mill "perfectly dry," but the juice obtained from these canes was much greater in amount ( 57.61 per cent) than is usually obtained in practice, still the average amount of water remaining in the bagasse was 56.26 per cent; and, if to this we add the alcohol and water extracts of the bagasse, which would naturally constitute the juice, we should have $(20.75+1.48) \times .4576=10.17+56.26=66.43$ per cent of juice still remaining in the bagasses; that is, 64.41 per cent of the weight of the bagasse as it came from the mill.

Surprising as this may appear to those who have not considered it, there can be no doubt but that the above is even short of the truth.
The average amount of juice obtained was 57.61 per cent, and the total sugars in the juices averaged 14.21 per cent, or 8.19 per cent of the weight of stripped cane. The average of the dry bagasses gave 13.78 per cent of total sugars, or 6.31 per cent of total sugars in the fresh bagasses; therefore, the bagasses, as they came from the mill, contained 77.05 per cent as much sugar as was expressed by the mill from the fresh canes.

Since there was 6.31 per cent of total sugars in the fresh bagasses, it follows that the amount of sugars in the bagasse equaled 2.67 per cent of the weight of the stripped cane; also, as the total sugars in the expressed juice was 14.21 per cent, the amount of sugars in the juices equaled 8.19 per cent of the weight of the stripped cane, and therefore the total sugars in the stripped cane was equal to 10.86 per cent of the weight of the cane, and there was lost in the bagasse 24.62 per cent of the total sugars present in the cane.
That this estimate falls short of the truth is obvious when we consider that the juices were analyzed the day they were expressed, while the bagasses in drying had lost much of their sugar through fermentation, as was seen to be true in the analyses of the fresh juices as compared with the analyses of the same juices when dried.
As the water contained in the plant is far more than sufficient to hold in solution all the sugars present, there appears no good reason to doubt that the juice left in the bagasse is identical in its composition with that expressed ; but, if we examine the average results of the analyses of juices and bagasses in the table, we find that the per cent of sucrose in the total sugars of the juices was 90.92 , while in the bagasses it was 72.13. In certain of the analyses, we find a discrepancy still greater; for example, the analysis of the juice and bagasse of Link's Hybrid gives us in the juice 95.39 per cent of sucrose and 4.61 per cent of glucose in the total sugars, while the analysis of the bagasse
from this cane shors the tro sugars to be in this ratio: glucose, 48.74 per cent; sucrose, 51.26 per cent.

Such a result is, beyond question, due to the fact that, during the process of drying the bagasses, there had been an inversion of much of the sucrose, and, in all probability, a loss of glucose by fermentation.

Prof. George H. Cook, director of the Nerr Jersey Agricultural Experimental Station, at New Brunswick, in the report on his work, alludes to the waste in the use of the ordinary mills for extracting the juice, and estimates the loss as being equal to 40 per cent of the sugar present in the cane.

When we consider the magnitude of this industry, this estimated loss assumes immense proportions. Fully $\$ 300,000,000$ worth of sugar is now annually produced from cane br practically the same methods used in the production of sorghum sugar. According to the estimate of Professor Cook, then, it appears that there is annually lost in the bagasse two-thirds as much, or $\$ 200.000,000$ worth of sugar. It would appear most desirable that some method be devised by which this enormous waste may be prevented.

Mr. S. Bringier, in a report upon the sugar production of Louisiana, says:

These considerations give some idea of the enormous losses inflicted upon the sugar interest, and upon the country, by unthrifty methods of production. It is a startling thought, that probably a hundred million pounds of sugar are annually burned up in the bagasse of imperfectly treated canes.

In Ure's Dictionary of Arts, etc., Vol. II, page 758 , it says, in reference to this same natter:

The average quantity of grained sugar obtained from cane juice in our colonial (English) plantations, is probably not more than one-third of the quantity of crystallizable sugar in the juice which they boil.

And the mills do not probably average over 60 per cent of the weight of the cane in juice, or two-thirds of the amount actually present, which would show that but two-ninths of the sugar present in the cane was placed upon the market as sugar.

Another eminent authority states the loss in sugar making as follows:

Eighteen per cent of sugar in the cane fields not more than 8 per cent of crystallized sugar. The loss is thus accounted for : 90 per cent of juice actually present yields to the mill only 50 to 60 ; then, in the refining, there is a loss of one-fifth, and of the remainder only two-thirds is saved in boiling, one-third
being lost in molasses ; in the bagasse, 6 per cent; in molasses, 3 per cent; in skimmings, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent; raw sugar, $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

A De La Cornilliere, in his work on the "Culture of the Sugar-cane and Sugar Manufacture in Louisiana," says :

It is a well-known fact that the cane, after several pressures; even as many as eight or ten, still yields juice, and that a complete exhaustion can only be obtained by dissolving the saccharine substances inclosed in the cellular tissues.

In commenting upon these statements, Mr. Bouchereau, in his report on sugar, says:

The startling facts, so well attested, that 40 per cent of the sugar products of Louisiana, through all her great past, secured in the culture, have been lost through the inadequacy of the machinery employed in manufacture; that nearly one-half the product has been cast away from countless thousands of fields of cane, extending back through so many years, indeed generations; is certainly calculated to arouse the interest; not only of sugar planters, but of society at large, in all its classes and conditions, in the question of sugar production for the future, not here only, but every-where.

A writer in the Rural New Yorker says:
From some careful chemical analyses of, and practical experiments with, sor-ghum-cane growing on the University farm it appears, that, from the proximate analysis of the cane, one acre of sorghum produces 2,559 pounds of cane sugar. Of this amount we obtained 710 pounds, on the farm, of good brown sugar, and 562 pounds were left in the 737 pounds of molasses drained from the sugar. Hence, 62 per cent of the total amount of sugar was lost during the process of manufacture. This shows that the method of manufacture in general use is very imperfect. The 710 pounds of sugar, at 8 cents per pound, would bring $\$ 56.80$. The molasses is worth, at 25 cents per gallon, $\$ 17.75$, or the products of an acre of sorghum would bring $\$ 75.55$. There is no question that, with proper care and apparatus, the above yield can be readily doubled.

In the above it will be observed that there is no allowance made for seed and forage. And he concludes:

Nearly two-thirds of the sugar, as has been said, is left in the bagasse. This could, in great part, be removed by percolation with water, as is done sometimes in the manufacture of beet sugar.

The following analyses of bagasses from sugar-cane will show the extent of this loss in sugar:

ANALTSES OF bAGASSES-SCGAB-CANE (PELIGOT).

|  | First. | Second. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sngar <br> Molarses... <br> Cellulose and salts <br> Water. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pereent. } \\ 6.9 \\ 3.0 \\ 340 \\ 50.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent. } \\ \vdots \therefore \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 100. | 100 |

ATERAGE ANALTSIS OF TTO BAGASSES (DRF)-SCGGAR-CANE.
Percent.


The dry cane contained 27.64 per cent of sugar.
The arerage cu mposition of the four analrses as dry bagasse, would give of -

|  | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sugar... | ... 17.43 |
| Other solids | - ミ20 0 |
|  | 10). |

Or, if calculated to fresh bacasse, containing 50 per cent of water, the arerage would be of the four bagasses:


From the abore analrses it will be seen, that bagasee, as it escapes from the mill, contains about 9 per cent of sugar.

## experinents in recovering sugar from the bagdsee.

Some experiments have been male at the Department of Agriculture, with a riew to recorer from the bagasse the sugar it contained. The apparatus consisted of a series if harrels, so arranged that, haring been filled with bagasse, water was allowed to flom in at the top until the barrel was full, and then, br means of a pipe leading from the bottom of the barrel, the water flowed orer into a secout, and thence to a third barrel, and so on. The overtlum from the successive barrels was taken when it first ran off. and the following tables will show the results secured in a large number of experiments:

SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF DIFFUSION JUICES FROM SUCCESSIVE BARRELS.


It will be observed that the increase of specific gravity was very regular, and approximately the same in the several experiments.

The following table gives the analyses of a large number of the juices, which also show close agreement, and give evidence that the operation was performed without any appreciable inversion of the sugar, the ratio of sucrose to glucose in the diffusion juices being quite as good as in the juices expressed by the mill.

These diffusion juices, upon defecation and evaporation, give syrups, in which the relative proportions of sucrose and glucose remained the same as in the juices, and in general they were like the juices obtained by the mill, except in being entirely free from mechanical impurities.

ANALYSES OF JUICES OBTAINED BY DIFFUSION.

|  | First experiment. |  | Second experiment. |  | Third experiment. |  | Fourth experiment. |  | Fifth experiment. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{y y y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 1 | 1.62 | 1.11 | 63 | . 15 | 1.13 | . 30 | 1.21 | . 34 | 1.36 | 25 |
| 2 | 2.51 | 1.25 | 2.88 | . 71 | 2.61 | . 67 | 2.99 | . 63 | 3.39 | 53 |
| 8 | 2.88 | 2.41 | 3.94 | 1.13 | 3.31 | . 97 | 5.24 | . 94 | 4.05 | 72 |
| 4 | 3.54 | 2.76 | 454 | 1.39 | 4.56 | 1.36 | 5.97 | 1.09 | 5.64 | 101 |
| 5 | 3.66 | 2.80 | 483 | 1.52 | 4.92 | 1.44 | 6.37 | 1.41 | 6.27 | 1.58 |
| 6 | 3.50 | 2.96 | 5.02 | 1.23 | 5.51 | 1.27 | 5.97 | 1.67 | 6.11 | 1.90 |
| 7 | 4.91 | 1.71 | 6.18 | 189. | 6.30 | 1.54 | 6.08 | 2.26 | 6.40 | 230 |
| 8 | 4.37 | 3.15 | 632 | 210 | 6.77 | 1. 75 | 6.00 | 2.69 | 6.28 | 239 |
| 9 | 2.33 | 3.51 | 6.11 | 1.98 | 5.89 | 2.03 | 6.36 | 2.75 | 5.62 | 242 |
| 10 | 2.95 | 3.25 | 6.90 | 1.80 | 7.70 | 2.09 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 746 | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 782 | 178 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 781 | 197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Analyses of Juices Obtained by Diffusion－Continued．

|  | Sixth experiment． |  |  | Seventh experiment． |  |  | Average of all． |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 年 | $\frac{\dot{z}}{3}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{z}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E}}$ | \％ | 年 |  | 笭 | 迷 |
| 1 | 1.43 | s2 | ． 06 | 1.22 | 1.50 | ． 59 | 1.23 | ． 64 | 33 |
| 2 | 161 | 1．92 | 1.24 | 1.41 | 1.88 | 1.00 | 2.49 | 1.07 | 1.12 |
| 3 | $\because 20$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1.35 | 2.25 | $\bigcirc 12$ | 1.35 | 3.35 | 1.59 | 1.35 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1． 50 |
| 5 | $\because 55$ | 298 | 1.54 | 2.54 | 304 | 1．5s | 4.5 | $\bigcirc 11$ | 1.56 |
| 6 | $\bigcirc .5$ | 3.46 | 1．74 | 4.46 | 3.43 | 1.97 | 4.4 | 29 | 1.86 |
| 5 |  |  |  | 2.93 | 3.70 | 2.05 |  |  |  |
| S | －${ }^{2} .52$ | 3.31 3.70 | 1． 522 |  |  |  | 5.38 4.85 | $\frac{2.5}{2.85}$ | 1．52 |
| 10 |  | 3.50 |  |  |  |  | － 4.85 | $\underline{\square}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 746 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.81 |  |  |

From the abore experiments it will be seen that the water，as it gradually passed through successive barrels of hagasse，increased rery regularly in density and in its content of sugar，and after ahout four barrels of water had passed slowly through the bagasse，the water there－ after passed through without taking up anysugar ；that is，the bagasse had been entirely exhausted of its sugar．

It was found，as the arerage of nine experiments，that it was possi－ ble to recover 5.98 per cent of the weight of the bagasse taken in sugars，and that，by these successive leachings，there was obtained ulti－ mately a juice as rich in sugar as was the juice from the mill．

Sugar from Sorghum Bagasse．
restluts of nine experiments by collier，in 1880 ．


The bagasses experimented upon were not from good cane，but the leachings were found to compare favorably with the juices expressed from the cane by the mill．

The importance of this matter is such as to justify further experi－ ment in this direction．From the above average results it would ap－
pear, that a per cent of sugar may be recovered from the bagasse nearly equal to that which is expressed in juice even by our best mills.

After this leaching, the bagasse may be used for fuel or for the manufacture of paper pulp, and for this latter use the exhaustion by water increases its value.

## Estimate for a Diffusion Leach.

The following estimate is based upon the experiments recorded above, and would suffice for a mill grinding about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ tons of cane per hour.

A mill expressing 60 per cent of juice, would furnish 2,000 pounds. of bagasse from $2 \frac{1}{2}$ tons of cane.

2,000 pounds of bagasse would occupy 125 cubic feet of tank-room, and the tanks filled with bagasse would require 113 cubic feet ( 848 gallons) of water to fill them.

To take 2,000 pounds of bagasse per hour, would require two tanks, each of $62 \frac{1}{2}$ cubic feet capacity, or of the dimensions $3 \frac{1}{2} \times 3 \frac{1}{2} \times 5$ feet, and would require every hour 848 gallons of water. If the diffusion of the bagasse is completed in three hours, then 6 tanks would be filled before the first would be empty, and $8^{\circ}$ tanks in all, with 12 steps on which to place them, would suffice.

## ANALYSES OF SORGHUM LEAVES.

In the following table is given the analyses of the leaves of four varieties of sorghum, and of the juices expressed from the stalks from which the leaves were taken. There is in the dried leaves an average of 5.41 per cent of total sugars, while the average amount of total sugars in the juices from the stalks is 14.44 per cent.

As has been shown in another place, there is an increase of about 6 per cent in the amount of syrup, and a decrease of about 6 per cent in the amount of the available sugar obtained when the stalks are passed unstripped through the mill, instead of using stripped stalks.

But it will be seen that these leaves have a composition which shows them to be of very great nutritive value, and, as fodder, they are well worth preserving whenever one strips his cane for the mill. Indeed, their value is such that, if carefully preserved, they would easily repay the cost of stripping.

For purpose of comparison, the following average analysis representing the composition of five samples of hay, are given (A), and the average composition of a large number of American grasses (B), given in the Report of the Department of Agriculture for 1879, pages 112, 123. The average of the four varieties of sorghum leaves is given in (C).

|  | Ash． | Fat． | S．Free． | Albumen． | Fiber． | Nutr．Ratio． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 53 | 495 | 329 | $\varepsilon:$ | 2－14 | 1：6 6 |
| B | －00 | $\because$ | 5， 0 ？ | 83） | \％－10 | 1：69 9 |
| C | 1018 | $\bigcirc 6$ | 55 | 1361 | 1354 | 1：41 |

It appears，then，that the leares of the sorghums hare a higher nutritire ratio than our grasses or har，and，there is present in them， when dried with care，a large percentage of sugars and albumenoids， two of the most important constituents of animal food．

## ANALTSES OF LEATES OF SORGHTM．

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S } \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 关 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per cent mollds not } \\ & \text { sugurs. } \end{aligned}$ | 立 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African Canes ． Early Amber Link S Mrid． White Liberian | $\begin{aligned} & 63.61 \\ & 564 \\ & 59.8 \\ & 49.85 \end{aligned}$ | 100 1000 1005 | 10 40 1.14 | 131． 15 10.41 120 | 30 3 -8 | 12 12 115 13 | $5 \% 4$ 408 0 |
| Arerase | 36.65 | 10727 | ． 87 | 13.54 | 2 O | 13.30 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ב } \\ & \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per eent dry mat- } \\ & \text { ter in leaves. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Afriean Canes Earls Amber Link＇s Hrbrid．． iberian | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 112 \\ & 117 \\ & 112 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ |  | 27.45 23.93 29.35 -3.57 | 6.39 709 7.45 741 | 15.67 21.63 203 21.35 | 1.52 $\frac{1}{2} 51$ 2 2 2 2 | 7is |
|  |  | 7212 | 27 | 7.00 | 21.01 | 24 | 69， 45 |
|  |  |  |  | 年 |  | 実 | \％ |
| African Canes． Earls Amber Links Hrbrid． Thite Liberian |  |  |  | 4.25 4.25 5 0 200 -40 |  | 11.25 8.85 .85 12.05 | 4.70 4.100 1.55 4.05 |
| Arerage | 1383 | 10 －35 | 150 | 35 | 1．7 | 10．175 | 410 |

## BAGASSE AS FOOD.

By reference to the preceding tables, giving the analyses of the bagasses, it will be seen that their average composition, when dry, is as follows:

|  | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cruder fiber | ${ }_{23}^{4.41}$ |
| Ash. | 2.77 |
| Albumenoids | 3.17 |
| Carbhydrates | 66.46 |

And that of the non-nitrogenous matter, or carbhydrates, 13.78 per cent is sugar.

Or, calculated to the fresh bagasse as it came from the mill :

| Crude fiber. | Per cent. 10.61 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ash | . 1.27 |
| Albumenoids | - 145 |
| Carbhydrates | 30.41 |
| Water | - 56.26 |

And of the carbhydrates 6.30 per cent was sugar.
Now, in accordance with the general method of estimating the relative values of different fodders, we find that these fresh bagasses possess a low nutritive ratio, about 1 to 21 .
In Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1879, page 57, were given results of two analyses of Honduras and Early Amber bagasses and leaves, made that year, as follows:

|  | Bagasses. | Leaves. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude fiber | Per cent. 19 . 88 | Per cent. 18.25 |
| Ash ... | 3.78 | 11.79 |
| Albumenoids.. | 3.92 | 14.79 |
| Non-nitrogenous. | 72.42 | 55.17 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Nutritive ratio | 1:18.47 | 1:1668 |

In 1882 , as the average of eleven experiments, where many stalks were taken, and of several varieties of sorghum, it was found that the ratio of stripped cane to the leaves was as 5.5 to 1 , and as we saw that the relative proportion of stripped cane to the bagasse was as 100 to 42.39 , it follows that there would be an average of 23.31 pounds of bagasse to 10 pounds of leaves. The average composition of the mixture of these two in that proportion in which they normally occur can be readily determined as follows:

AFERAGE ANALYSES OF FRESH BAGASSES AND LEATES.

|  | Arerage of 1s bagasses. | Arerage of tleares. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude fiber | Percent. <br> 10 til | Per cent. 4.61 |
| Ash....... | 1.27 | $\bigcirc 81$ |
| Albumenoids. | 1.45 | 3.9 |
| Carbhydrates. | 80.41 | 15. 49 |
| Water......... | 56.26 | 730 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 |

If we multiply the constituents of the bagasse by 23.31 , and add to ten times those of the leares, and divide by 33.31, we hare, as the composition of the mixture of fresh leares and fresh bagasse, which would be produced from the cane yielding the leaves:

|  | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crude fiber. | ... s so |
| Ash. | 1.74 |
| Albumenoids | - 2.15 |
| Carblicurates | 2393 |
| Water | 6135 |
|  | 100.00 |
| Nutritive ratio | ... 1:12 |

The arerage analyses of 26 specimens of ensilage, published in the Aunual Report for 1881-'82, page 572, and of two specimens of maize cut at the period when it would generally be used for the purpose of ensilage, are interesting in this connection, and are as follows:

ATERAGE ANALYSIS OF ENSILAGE AND MAIZE.

|  | Arerage of 26 samples ensilage. | Arerage of 2 samples corn stalksin silk. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude fiber. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per cont. } \\ \overline{5}!9 \end{gathered}$ | Per cent. 4.24 |
| Ash ..... | 1.33 | 1.16 |
| Albumenoids. | 1.37 | 1.19 |
| Carbhydrates. | 10.05 | 10.48 |
| Wat........... | 80.49 | S2. 3.36 |
|  | $100 . \mathrm{eo}$ | 100.00 |
| Nutritive ratio. | 1: 79 | 1:93 |

If, now, we assume the following values to the several nutritive constituents of these materials, viz.:

| Albumenoids | Cents per lb. 340 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fats ......... | 293 |
| Carbhydrates | . 72 |

we should have for a ton of 2,000 pounds of each of the above the following values:
money values in two thousand pounds.

|  | Average ensilage. | Maize stalks. | Bagasse and leaves. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albumenoids | \$093, | \$0 81 | \$146 |
| Fiats.. | 46 | - 33 | 62 |
| Carbhydrates. | 145 | 151 | 358 |
| Total | \$2 84 | \$2. 65 | \$5 66 |

It would appear, then, that this mixture of leaves and hagasse, as it comes from the mill, has a feeding value just twice as great as the average of the 26 specimens of ensilage ; and it is, therefore, most desirable that careful and repeated experiments be made for its preservation as fodder, especially in silos. Owing to the disintegration of the stalk, and the rupture of the cells of the plant, the bagasse is in such a condition as to rapidly enter into fermentation, and it would be necessary, therefore, to remove it as speedily as possible from the action of the air by compressing it in silos; owing, also, to this thorough crushing of the hard coating of the cane, the bagasse is in better condition for eating, and the nutritive constituents would the more readily be digested and assimilated.

By general testimony, bagasse is found, when fresh, to be greedily eaten by most stock, and cattle have been known, during the winter, to burrow and eat far into a pile of bagasse, the interior of the heap being obviously in the condition which it would have been if preserved in a silo.

The disposition to be made of the bagasse is, on many accounts, the most important question connected with the sorghum sugar industry.

The sugar of the plant is derived ultimately from the atmosphere, containing, except as an impurity, not a trace of mineral matter. It would be possible, therefore, to produce upon our lands a sugar supply for the world indefinitely without exhausting the soil ; indeed, the soil would gradually increase in fertility under cultivation.

But when we cousider the remainder of the plant, the seed, the leaves, and the bagasse, we find that for their production large demands are made upon the soil, a demand practically the same as for the production of an equal weight of maize.

If the cane is stripped for the mill, the leaves are either left upon the field or are preserved as food for animals, and with the proper pres-
erration and use of the manure of the farm, no loss to the fertility of the soil could result from this source.

In regard to the seed, it is most likely that it will be largely consumed upon the farm, especially since its value for feeding stock has been experimentally proved by Professor Cook, of the New Jersey Experimental Station, to be practically the equivalent of maize. Until, therefore, the seed of sorghum shall reach a wholesale price in the market approximately equal to maize, it is more than likely that the farmer will use his sorghum seed as fond for his farm animals, and thus secure its consumption upon the farm, returning to his fields most of the mineral matter which the seed has removed in its growth.

There remains only the bagasse and the sediments and scums of the sugar house which could prove any source of exhaustion to the soil. The importance of adding the sediment and scum obtained in defecation to the land directly, or to the manure or compost heap, has already been alluded to. Such disposition of these unsightly products is easy, and would naturally suggest itself to the ordinary farmer.

An experimeut made at the Department showed that piling up the bagasse, with the addition of quicklime or solution of potash, caused it during the winter to become thoroughly disintegrated, so that it could easily be added to the land as manure, and by the plow and harrow readily incorporated with the soil.

In those sections where scarcity of fuel exists, the utilization of the bagasse for such purpose is likely to increase, but it is of great importance that the ashes should be carefully saved and applied to the soil, since it is only those constituents of the bagasse, derived from the atmosphere, which would burn or could serve the purpose of fuel.

The mineral matter necessary to the production of a crop of sorghum equal to 11 tons of stripped stalks to the acre would be as follows: 11 tons of stripped cane would give, at 42.39 per cent bagasse, 4.66 tons of bagasse and 6.34 tons of juice. The relative proportion of leaves to stripped cane is about 1 to 5.5 , and we should have 2 tons of leaves. A crop of 25 bushels of seed would not be disproportionate to such a yield of cane, which, at 56 pounds to the bushel, would be 1,440 pounds. The average per cent of ash in sorghum juices is about 1.0 per cent ( Ag ricultural Report, 1880, p. 125). We should have, therefore, as the total mineral matter taken from the soil by a crop of 11 tons of stripped cane, the following :

ASH in a crop of 11 tons of stripped cane.

| 4.66 tons bagasse, at 1.27 per cent | Pounds. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6.34 tons juice, at 1.00 per cent. | 126 8 |
| 2.00 tons leares, at 2.84 per cent | 113.6 |
| 1,400 pounds seed, at 1.68 per cent. | 23.5 |
| Total. | 357.1 |

The constituents of the ash from the several portions of the plant is not known, but the analysis of the ash of two samples of the entire cane is given, Agricultural Report, 1880, p. 126, and the analysis of the ash of the seed closely resembles that of maize. If, then, we calculate the amount of these several constituents of the ash upon such basis, we have, in the 357.1 pounds above, as follows:


## Loss of Sugar in Drying Sorghum.

The following experiment was made with a bundle of Honduras sorghum, which, when cut, contained from 12 to 14 per cent of sugar in the juice. The cane was dried thoroughly and rapidly in a room where the temperature was about $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Of this dry cane, 502 grams. were taken and cut into thin shavings and beaten in a mortar; 1,300 grams. of water was added, and, after digesting for half an hour, the juice was expressed, amounting to 1,189 grams., or 65.97 per cent of the moistened cane. The juice gave the following analysis:


It is obvious that the sugar had been almost entirely lost during the drying of the sorghum. Since this cane was dried under circumstances even more favorable than those attending the drying of larger quantities, it is clear that a very large proportion of the nutriment is lost, as in the case of corn fodder or fodder corn dried for food. The preservation of such food in silos would appear commendable, since, in coarser grasses, the large percentage of sugars they contain is, in the longer period required for their drying, almost wholly lost through slow fermentation.

## Bagasse as Fuel.

Valuable as we have shown the bagasse to be for the sugar which it contains, the paper pulp which may be produced from it, as a food to be preserved in a silo, or as a source of manure, it is yet true that, to many of our Western farmers, as to the planters of Cuba and other sugar producing regions, it is the cheapest (if not the only available) fuel, and will continue to be used for such purpose, as it has been for years.

In drring the bagasse in the sun, it loses about half its weight, and the dried bagase is found, from manr experiments, to poseess about half the value of coal; so that one pound of coal is about equal, in eraporating power, to four pounds of fresh bagasee. A mill giving sixty per cent of juice would then give, for each ton of cane torked. 1.200 pounds juice and 800 pounds of fresh bagasse. equal to 200 punds of coal. This is ample for the evaporation of the juice. and it is sostimated upon the sugar-cane plantations. The following results. repertell hr those who hare used the bagase as fuel. with the discussion thereunon at one of the recent conrentions of sorghum growers. held at St. Louis, Missouri, will be read with interest in this connection:

The President.-I would like to hear from members on the use of the bagasse, in shert, pointed remarks. Who has had success in using bagasse as fuel?

Mr. Clements, of hansas: We started our works with the expectation of burning coarse fuel-har, hagasse, or something of that hind for fuel-as we had seen it used on a small scale-and with other fuels, to make up fuel for running the factory. We arranged our boilers and every thing with large furnacesfurnaces seren feet long. grates three feet in length. Back of the grates is the furnace: the draft has all to go in the first three feet. The depth of the furnace, from the boiler grates, is thirty inches. We carry 100 pounds of steam. We found, br drring the bagasse in the sun, giring it one dar to drr, it makes hetter fire than br letting it lay a week in the sun. After it is treated, we stack it up. This season, after we got started, bagasse made all the fuel we could ose for eraporation. We started in, and used 100 tons of har, and the balance of other fuel: and all the fael we had was used in the hot dry spell ajout the first of September. From that we started in with bagasse-bought a ferm tons of har. When we closed up, we had about 300 tons of hagasse left orer. In connecion with that, during the wet spell, we had 20 gallons of semi-srrup in the tanks. We obtained coal to finish the syrup. We could not get steam orer $i 0$ pounds. I am satisfied that bagasse will make all the fuel that is required to eraporate where steam is economically used.

The President. - What do you have to pay for hay a ton?
Mr. Clements.-A dollar and a half a ton delivered at the factors. A ton of bagasse will make as much steam as a ton and a half of har, and as much as tro tons of stram. I prefer bagasse for fuel to the best wood that could be obtained, delisered at the factory; and it is much better than coal; you can get up steam quicker. With a boiler filled with cold water, you can get steam in thirty minutes, where coal will require an hour and a half.

A Member.-Is your boiler a flue boiler?
Mr . Clements.-Tubular; one is $3 \frac{2}{2}$, the other is $3 \frac{1}{2}$; the latter makes steam easier than the other. I think a 4 inch flue is the best size. The less juice you express from the bagasse. the better fuel it makes. It requires a fireman to each boiler. We hare a feeder, with a trap door to it; it is very necessary to keep it closed, except when putting fuel in.

Prof. Culbertson, of Nebaska.-I have had a little experience in the bagasse, and coal, and straw business as a fuel; and the experience comes prettr close,
on account of our boiler capacity not being what it ought to be. It was little trouble to get steam enough with good, dry bagasse. When we first commenced we ran with bagasse for fuel, and then we used coal. With the very best firemen we could get, we had 100 to 150 gallons less a day with coal. Bagasse, in that connection, was worth twenty dollars a day to us, over and above what coal would have come to-taking into consideration the decrease in syrup made.

Mr. Clements (in answer to an inquiry).-It would be impossible to keep the necessary fire in a furnace 28 feet long with coal. Where you can have dry bagasse, it is better fuel than you can get from any thing else.

Mr. Stout.-We use bagasse pretty much as Mr. Clements does. We use two fire trains. I have two pans, 33 feet long, with chimneys about 30 feet high. The grates are 4 feet long and 3 feet wide, and probably 2 feet from the pans. We made, on an average, this season, 325 gallons of syrup a day. I am satisfied it would take three cords of wood to have done that much evaporation-probably a little more. We didn't use wood-except about half a cord the day we started up, and at one time when every thing got wet. We came early to the conclusion, after burning bagasse, that, if we could not get that or straw, we would actually shut down. The furnace we arranged for bagasse is so we could not run with coal or wood; it might do with wood split up very fine. I have noticed frequently, in burning bagasse, the blaze came out at the top of the chimneys 30 feet high, and trains, one 28 feet and the other 33 feet long. You have to have the ash pit three or four times as deep (three or four feet), and you have to take it out once a day, when running. If we let it fill up, it will melt the grate in a few hours-if we let the cinders pile up too close to the grate. In this season, the only thing we used was bagasse (except wood; a few hours), and when there came a heavy rain for a day or two, we burned a rick of probably twenty tons of dry straw. We paid a dollar a ton for the straw. A ton of straw, that cost us only a dollar, was worth more than a cord of wood.

A Uember:-How did jou prevent burning every thing up with the draft; Wouldn't it rain fire all over your premises?

Mr. Stout.-That height of a chimney wouldn't. Several gentlemen have asked whether they could run a small furnace-a small train-with bagasse. We did that, last season, under a Cook pan, 12 feet long, and a chimney 12 or 15 feet high. Out of that chimney it set the bagasse, in the bagasse yard, on fire half a dozen times. That was really dangerous; but with 30 -foot flues, this season, the cinders came out-but it is so high before they light, I think they go out. They are very dangerous in small works, with chimneys only 10 or $15^{\circ}$ feet high.

A Nember.-My chimney was 30 feet high, and my building was set on fire.
A. Member.-Can you burn bagasse that you grind this morning, the same day?
Mr. Stout.-If it is a dry day, we can burn bagasse after two o'clock. You can burn it all that evening and all that night; bagasse that we scatter in the morning.
A Member.-Can you keep it à year, and then burn it?
$M_{r}$. Stout.-Yes.
A Member.-Suppose you had all wood, would you use bagasse then?

Mrr. Stout.-I think I should: I don't think it would cost as mach to use the bagasse as to cut the wood.

A Member.-I would like to ask Mr. Stout if he does not think a sparkarrester could be used on the smoke-stack, to avoid fire?

Mr. Stout.-I have no doubt they can. I tried a bonnet; it stopped the draft so we had to take it off. Railroads do that, and I have no doubt it can be fixed, and don't think there is much danger with 30 -inch flues.

A Member. - Is there any adrantage in a crooked grate?
$M_{r}$. Stout.-Yes; I think that it is an adrantage. I think the grate should crook down.

A Member.- What size of opening in the grate?
Mr. Stout.-Not very particular; I think about two inches.
$M_{r}$. Clements-I find a set of grates will last longer with begasse than with coal or wood, if the fireman attends to his business. I think the distance between the grate part depends a great deal on the fineness with which you crush the canf.

Mr. Frazer:-Ноw far from the front end do you put the feed-tube?
$M_{r}$. Clements. - On a furnace fire feet long, put it a foot from the end.
Dr. Mayberry, of Kansas.-I live in a part of the country where we are almost destitute of fuel, except coal, and coal is high- $\$ 6.50$ a ton. The first two years I ran, I ran on coal oil; it cost fire cents net a gallon; that was cash. I hare tried tbree different kinds of coal, and there is nothing makes steam for me like bagasse does. In feeding bagasse in the furnace, I mant it as loose as I can get it. I crowd the furnace, and then take a long iron bar and make it loose. I have one trouble with my factory. I have not enongh boiler. I can ron better on bagasse than on any bind of coal. If coal was lring in piles free of charge, I would n't use it. I dont think that bagasse can be made into any thing that will pay as well as fuel. I have had a good many inres from a smoke stack fortr-six feet to the top. I think a good draft is essential.

Mr. Hort said he had a cut of Squier's bagasse furnace, which he passed around the audience, there being no patent on it. It has an arch in front of the pan to build the fire in, and the flame is thrown up by it against the pas. I can make a hotter fire with bagasse than with ans thing else, except chemicals. A fork full will burn in $\overline{5}$ or 6 minutes.

The following plate, 工̄o. NLVII, represents the bagasse furnace, invented by Isaac A. Hedges, and nom manufactured by J. A. Field \& Co., of St. Louis, Missouri.


Paper Pulp from Bagasse.
From the analyses of 18 bagasses, it will be seen that the average juice expressed from the canes was:


The average of crude fiber was 10.61 per cent of the fresh bagasses, or 4.50 per cent of the stripped stalks, so that each ton of stripped stalks contained 90 pounds of crude fiber.

A sample of pulp made from the bagasse of sorghum was submitted for examination to one of the largest paper-makers of the United States, and was by him said to be worth $4 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound.

This bagasse was treated by the ordinary processes for making paper pulp. An average yield of ten tons of stripped cane to the acre would give 900 pounds of pulp, which, at the above price, would amount to
$\$ 40.50$. It appears that this material could be thus economically used, and so be another source of profit in the cultivation of sorghum.

## SCUI AND SEDDIENT FROM DEFECATION.

The following analyses show the arerage composition of several samples of scum and sediments from the defecation of sorghum juices:
analifees of sedinent and scum of sorghum in sugar making.

|  | Sediment. | Scum. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent. | Per cent. |
| Ether extract, wax, fat, chlnrophrl. etc. | 16.23 | ${ }^{9} 9$ |
| Alcohol extracts, sugars, resins, etc. | 8.063 | 27.00 |
| Water extract, gum, ete. Insoluble in etuer, alcohol, and water. | 3., 81 40.86 | 2883 |
|  | 99.01 | 99.34 |
| Ash, per cent | 19.49 | 1307 |
| Potash ( $\mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 12.36 | 19.51 |
| Soda ( $\mathrm{Na}^{20}$ ) | 3.87 $\times 3.13$ | 6.03 |
| Lime ( CaO ) | 32. 13 | 26.43 |
| Magnesia (MgO). ${ }^{\text {Sulphuric acid ( } \mathrm{SO}^{3} \text { ) }}$ | 1.42 | 1.92 262 |
| Chlorine (Cl) | 2.34 | 6.02 |
| Phosphoric acid ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{O}^{5}$ ) | 6.18 | 2.39 |
| Silica.................. | 27.81 | 23.40 |
| Saud, etc. | 10.01 | 10.93 |
|  | 98.16 | 99,55 |
| Nitrogen, per cent | $2.55{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.46 |

The following analyses of two different specimens of sediments and one of skimmings, show the great variation in these, due, doubtless, to the mechanical conditions prevailing in each defecation :


The large amount of ash in Liberian lime precipitate and Honduras skimmings is duc to the presence of considerable clay, which had been used to hasten the clarification of the juice. There was little or no clay present in Honduras lime precipitate. The claying seems mechanically to have carried down a large proportion of the albumen in the Liberian lime precipitate.

The large percentage of nitrogenous matter, sugars, and ash, especially potash, in each of the above analyses, is evidence of the great value of these waste products, and great care should be taken that they be utilized, if not as food for swine, at least as a fertilizer to be added to the compost heap, the manure pile, or to the land directly.

Many farmers have used them as feeding material with excellent results, as the following testimony shows:
All my scum I feed to cows and hogs. I find that by the use of the scum my cows will give a double yield of milk; and, for my part, I would rather have milk than vinegar. As an article of commerce, vinegar has rather a dull sale with us. I find the scum makes excellent hog feed.

Mr Powell, of Wisconsin.-I would like to ask if Mr. Stebbins kept any account of his hogs and cows, by which he could give the results in dollars and cents.

Mr. Steblins.-I could not definitely; I take my hired man's word for the amount of milk given by the cows. He told me they gave about double the amount of milk they did before be commenced feeding scums; and, as regards the hogs, I know they did right well when they had nothing but scum. I did n't raise hogs before I commenced my mill, and I could not give any figures. I am well satisfied with the working of the field.

Mr. Powell.-Shoats and hogs will do splendidly from the skimmings; they will do remarkably well if you will only mix the skimmings with shorts.

Mr. Folgor.-I tried an experiment of that lind with twelve hogs, averaging 125 lbs .; I fed them three weeks with skimmings, and the result was 50 lbs . to the hog in that three weeks- 600 lbs difference in the weight; they averaged 175 lbs . at the close of the three weeks. On Sundays they were fed corn; the rest of the time merely skimmings, and nothing else.
Prof. Cullbertson. of Nebraska. - Last year from the skimmings of eighteen hundrcd gallons, and the seed from six acres of cane, the hogs weighed 2,000 llbs. more at the end of the season; there were about thirty-five, and confined to that exclusively.

Dr. Mayberry.-My pigs got nothing else than skimmings ; I have thrown them a little cane seed occasionally; and I have as fine hogs as are grown in that section of country. I have heard men say they could see themselves on them. I would rather have cane sced than corn for feeding them.

Prof. Ilenry. - I want to speak of the value of the skimmings. I have found that, when fed to pigs, all they will eat, it gives them a very fair growth. I hope a majority of our farmers will be able to report at our next convention how skimmings work for feed. The value of the skimmings is probably from
half to two-thirds that of skimmed milk, and you are throwing away, at your works, from three to ten barrels a day of it. By using skimmings you would be able to carry your hogs from a month to six weeks without giving them any corn at all. I made this fall a pound of pork from eight pounds of meal, and that was the result in Philadelphia, with nothing but skimmings mixed with warm water.

The President.-A friend in Pennsylvania said he had his fed to his milchcow. I never tried it, but I have it from a brother of Seth H. Kenney, who has always worked with him, although I had the opinion that if skimmings were fed to a milch-cow it would dry her up very quickly, but I was assured by him that his cows almost doubled their milk as soon as the mill commenced runuing and they were fed on skimmings. I would feed it to hogs, and all they wanted of it. I did one year, and my shoats did splendidly, and my large fatting hogs did nicely too. It made them fatten very fast. Do not give skimmings to the hogs after it has fermented, give it fresh from the mill, or it will be a damage, and not a benefit.

Henry Linlcy.-I can fully indorse what the president said about feeding it to hogs. I have fed my green skimmings a number of jears, and I should say we should not give it to them after it has fermented. I have had pigs as drunk as could be. I generally give it to them as soon as I can, and the trouble is, I can not get half enough. The hogs prefer skimmings to milk.

## Vinegar from Skimmings.

The manufacture of vinegar from the skimmings and sediments of defecator, evaporator, and settling tanks, has been by many rendered a source of profit.

It is necessary that the clear liquor be drawn off from the tank containing these waste products before the acetic fermentation begins; since otherwise the acetic acid formed will unite with the lime of the sediment, and destroy the vinegar. After drawing off the clear liquor into barrels, or a fermenting tank, the remaining sediment may be used as a fertilizer. The addition of wash waters to the contents of the scum and sediment tank will sufficiently dilute them to render their content of sugar easily removed.

It will be found cleaner to use the scum and sediments as food for swine, and reserve in a separate tank the skimmings of the evaporator, sweet water from washings, etc.

The following testimony from those who have made vinegar will be of interest:

Mr. Powell, of Wisconsin, Mississippi Valley Cane Growers' Association.I must say a little for my vinegar. I take the settlings and skimmings and run them right outside my mill. I have a large building, $42 \times 85$, two stories high. I run them outside in a large tank. I have six large tanks, holding three thousand gallons each, that I use for the storage of syrup and vinegar. A great many have wanted to know what process was used to make vinegar. Sim-
ply to settle off the thick substance from these skimmings, running the clear juice into the tanks from the outside inside, and keeping it a time-one year. I want to make good vinegar.

The President.--How do you reduce that, with water?
Mr. Powell.-Don't reduce it at all; just about as it comes from the mill, settlings and skimmings, just let it stand till it works itself. down to vinegar. I pump mine up and filter it through straw filters to take out any little particles. I have noticed some receipts in the papers; men want to go through a great ceremony; I think that is all wrong.

The President.-How many gallons of vinegar do you make off sixty acres?
Mr. Powell.-In making 7,268 gallons of syrup I made 6,000 of vinegar. Those 6,000 gallons of vinegar needed no expense nor labor, except to provide yourself with tanks; the tanks will probably be forty-five to fifty dollars apiece. With the exception of a little attention, while doing your other work, you need not take time specially for this, only to see that in transferring from one tank to the other you take out the impurities. I have had some covering put over the tanks, matched flooring, and those tanks have not frozen only so that I can take a pencil and work it right round the little slush of ice on the top. The mercury indicated $30^{\circ}$ below zero before I leift home.
A Member.-Do you sell the vinegar for manufactured cane vinegar?
Mr. Powell.-I sell it for pure manufactured cane vinegar.
The President.-How does it compare with other vinegar in price?
Mr. Powell.-As far as price is concerned, I asked, until quite recently, twenty cents a gallon by the barrel. I found it accumulated on my hands, and I put it at sixteen. But I have something that is better for me in the locality where I live. I have 10,000 gallons of better vinegar than jou can buy in the city of Chicago. Three years ago I ordered from a large factory in Faribault six large storage tanks, capable of holding about 2,600 gallons each. I am using four of these to run my skimmings into. There is a class of skimmings I do not allow to go there, but that that I think is fit I run there, and there I let it stand till I get through with my other work; and, if it is too late to filter it, then I let it stand till next spring. Then I filter it, open the windows and doors for free circulation of air, and you will have better vinegar than you can buy, I believe. I do not add any water to it. I filter it through a couple of barrels of straw. I believe in simplicity. These highfangled notions, that cost a great deal of money, I am going to repudiate till $I$ know something about them. The barrels are placed one on top of the other, and the juice pumped up and allowed to run through them. There is not a particle of any thing in the 10,000 gallons but the skimmings; but, in an experimental way, I have taken a gallon or so and dusted in a little sulphur, and I find that it clears it a little. The sample exhibited here was nothing of the kind, however. It was drawn out of a tauk containing 2,500 gallons. It commences to ferment in the tank very soon. It freezes in the winter, but that does not hurt it a particle. I do not put the green skimmings into it. I run the green skimmings outside, and they are settled till they will run comparatively clear into the tank, and the other is drawn off.

## SORGHUY AS FODDER.

It will interest many to learn the value of sorghum for fodder, and the analyses below will show how it compares with maize for such purpose. Experiments have been made in substituting it for maize in the silo, with excellent results, as by its analysis we should expect.

Below are giren the results of the examination of the stalks of Egyptian sugar corn, Honduras, and Early Amber sorghums, and the leares from the same. This examination was made for the purpose of determining the loss of sugar in the method emplored in its extraction; also to determine the relative nutritive value of the leaves and stalks, pressed and unpressed. The stalks selected were split lengthwise, so that a fair arerage might he taken, and one-half was dried thoroughly without pressing, and the other half was passed through the mill, and the bagasse, or pressed stalks, carefully saved and dried.

LFATES, STALKS, AND BAGASSE, FROM CORI ASD SORGHUMS.

|  |  |  |  |  | \% | 峀 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Egyptian sugar corn, leares | 380 |  |  |  | 116.6 | 67.3 |
| Egyptian sugar corn, one half of 4 stripped stalks, unpressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estptian sugar corn, one half of 4 stripped stalks. pressed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras sorghum, leares | 2 | 160 | 415 | 47.45 | 100.8 | 10 |
| Honduras sozghum, one-half of 2 stripped stalks, unpressed. |  |  |  |  | 285.3 | S0.0 |
| Honduras sorgum, one-half of 2 stripped stalks, pressed.. |  | T-4 | 666 | 4791 | 223 | 84.0 |
| Early amber sorghum, leares | 299 |  |  |  | 99.7 | 75. |
| Early Amber sorghum, one-half of 3 stripped stalks, unpressed | 651 |  |  |  | 157.9 | 75.7 |
| Early Amber sorghum, one-half of 3 stripped stalks, pressed........................ |  |  | 47 | .29 | 147 | 33.7 |

A determination of the proximate constituents of the dried leares, stalks, and bagasse, is given below, from which it will appear that there still remains a large amount of sugar in the bagazse, which the process employed failed to remore from the stalks; als, that the per cent of starch compounds is greater in the pressed than in the unpressed stalks, and that the perceutage of nitrogenous matter remains nearly the same. The nutritive value of the pressed stalks is nearly, if not quite, equal to that of the unpressed stalks, weight for weight.

PROXIMATE ANALYSES OF STALKS，BAGASSE，AND LEAVES OF SWEET CORN AND SORGHUM，CALCULATED TO THE DRY SUBSTANCE．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organic acid，chlorophyl，color． | 736 | 539 | 2.85 | 147 | 201 | 1.11 | 140 | 32.9 | 1.48 |
| Wix ． | ． 91 | ． $3: 3$ | 44 | ． 25 | 81 | 40 | 50.3 | 1． $\mathrm{i}_{7}$ | ． 54 |
| Brown resin | 69 | 6． 00 | 811 | 511 | 351 | 575 | $7!1$ | 6 （i） | 520 |
| Sugar． | 3473 | \％ 314 | 2 fl 01 | $19: 36$ | 2177 | $10.0 \times$ | 85 | 9.37 | $8 \div 1$ |
| Gum． | 214 | 157 | 138 | ${ }^{2} 04$ | ${ }_{0}^{2} 20$ | 1 1 $3 \cdot 3$ | 3） 82 | 278 | 454 |
| Starch isomers | 20.34 | 1767 | 2244 | 3146 | 2627 | 2：3 16 | 1449 | 21.22 | 2477 |
| Albumenoids．． | 4.9 .5 | 4 5 5 1 | 690 | ：396 | 387 | 6． 04 | 13.14 | 10 4：3 | 1138 |
| Alkali extract，by difference |  | 51.5 | 6． 03 | 1383 | 1510 | 22 | $1205$ | 11.95 | 126 |
| Cruder fiber．${ }^{\text {ash }}$ ．${ }^{\text {a }}$ ．．．．．． | 1601 605 | $\begin{array}{r} 3648 \\ 446 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}19 & 11 \\ \text { is } & 80\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2066 \\ 375 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2) } 00 \\ 487 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 15 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 18.51 14 | 21 <br> 10 <br> 14 |
| Ash，by ignition | $\underline{100}$ | $\frac{4}{00} \frac{40}{00}$ | $\frac{500}{10000}$ | $\frac{\square 80}{10000}$ | $100-\frac{1 \%}{00}$ | 200 00 | $\frac{15}{100} 0$ | $\frac{14}{100} \frac{00}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{100.00}$ |

The amount of sugar in the Early Amber cane，dry，is to the amount present in Early Amber bagasse，dry，as 100 is to 5．3．74
In Honduras cane，dry ：Honduras bagasse，dry ：： $100: 57.08$ ．
In Egyptian sugar corn，dry ：Egyptian sugar corn bagasse，dry ：： $100: 38.75$.
As will be seen from these analyses ：
Per cent sugar．

While the sugar remaining in the bagasse，calculated to the fresh cane which produced these bagasses，gave as follows ：

Per centsugar．


In other words，it will appear that there was occasioncd a loss of－
23.8 per cent of the sugar fresent in IIonduras sorghum．

189 per cent of the sugar present in Early Amber sorghum．
15.2 per cent of the sugar present in Egyptian sugar corn．

The following testimony as to the value of sorghum for fodder has been gathered．For this alone，the plant appears to be one of the most valuable agricultural products：

At the Kansas Wool Growers＇meeting，Mr．Wadsworth said he had 4,500 sheep feeding in ove plain，and 2,300 in another，during the winter．He had about 350 acres of sorghum．He thought 50 acres of sorghum，drilling in about one peck to the acre，being careful not
to get it too thick, and cutting up half to feed in storms meather, would feed about 1,0 ) 0 sheep through the winter. He used Early Amber and Orange. Former the sweetest, but shelled out more.

John D. White, Tulip, Dallas county, Arkansas, Report of Department of Agriculture, 1857, page 197, says of stalks of sorghum:

After even heary frosts, stock still eat it with erident relish. Cattle, sheep, and horses, are fond of the cane, which possesses excellent fattening properties. Hogs, also, will eat it voraciously, even after it has been crushed.

Ample time for two crops for forage.
Mr. N. C. Merrill, Clorinda, Ness county, Kansas, says he, for four years, used sorghum as a substitute for corn for feeding stock, with extremely satisfactory results.

Begin to feed as soon as sorghum is tro feet high, and br the time it heads out, hogs and cattle will begin to take on flesh very fast. When lower part of head is ripe, cut with morring machine with rake attachments, and put in shocks. Cost, $\$ 1.25$ to $\$ 2$ per acre to put it into shocks. From 500 to 800 pounds of beef (live weight) can be realized from an acre, in September, October, and November, using no other feed whatever. I realized at the rate of 1.100 pounds pork from an acre in September and 700 pounds in Norember. Hogs fatten very fast from July to September; and from December to spring, with little range, will keep in good growing condition. The larger the stalks, the better for hogs. Sorghum is really our best corn in this part of the State.

## CHAPTER XII.

(a.) Statistics of production of sorghum in the United States.
(b.) Production of sugar from sorghum.
(c.) Sorghum compared with other leading crops of United States.
(d.) Marketing of syrups.
(e.) Central factories.

## PRODUCTION AND PROFITS OF SORGHUM CULTIVATION.

The Department of Agriculture received the following returns, as to the crop of 1882, from the several states:

| State. | Number of counties. | Number of gallons syrup. | Pounds of sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas. | 24 | 729,500 |  |
| Alabama. | 12 | $520,12$. |  |
| Dakota | 5 | 139,648 |  |
| Georgia. . | 42 | 568,023 | 5,150 |
| Indiana. | 35 | 618,410 |  |
| Illinois.. | 32 | 660,6i3: | 13,200 |
| Iowa. | 38 | 491.949 | 731 |
| Kansas | 32 | 9.50,947 | 100 |
| Kentucky | 35 | 853.700 |  |
| Louisiana. | 10 | 81,800 |  |
| Missoari.. | 37 | 1,40x,350 | 2,400 |
| Minnesota. | 22 | 267,483 | 100 |
| Michigan | 16 | , 46,503 |  |
| Mississippi. | 15 | 530,100 | 2,200 |
| Maryland. | 1 | 1,200 |  |
| New Jersey | 2 | 42,000 | 319,000 |
| New York.. | 8 | 101,261 | 90,150 |
| Nebraska. | 19 | 177,430 | 60,000 |
| North Carolina | 20 | 371, 000 | 1,500 |
| Ohio | 19 | 201,5.5.) | 275 |
| Pennsylvania... | 1 | $1,200$ |  |
| South Carolina. | 6 | 292,500 |  |
| Tennessee...... | 31 | 2,1过, 700 | 50 |
| Texas... | 47 | 958,940 | 800 |
| Utah ${ }^{\text {Virgin }}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 67,480 | 10,600 |
| Virginia........ | 20 | 13,271 379.200 |  |
| West Virginia... Wisconsin.... | 13 | 3791,300 281,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ 5,000 \end{array}$ |
| 28 States | 563 | 12,908,098 | 510,781 |

This is but a small number of the counties in the states enumerated, and undoubtedly some of the best sugar-producing counties have been omitted.

The census of 1880 thus far contains accurate statistics from only four states, viz:


The above amount of syrup, which is confessedly far below the aggregate produced, ${ }^{*}$ is equivalent to one hundred million pounds of sugar, which is five per cent of our sugar supply, thus showing conclusively how extensive this industry has become within the past few years.

It is to be remembered that, at present, the demand for good syrup is so great, and the prices so remunerative, that many of the largest manufacturers have aimed solely at the production of syrup. Indeed, it is a not unusual inquiry on the part of manufacturers, "how they may prevent their syrups from crystallizing," showing, conclusively, that there is no trouble in obtaining sugar from the sorghum juices.

The statistician of the Department of Agriculture reports as follows, as to the production of sorghum in the United States:

It will be seen great, fluctuations in area have occurred, that the greatest extent of cultivation in the oller states was during the war period, and that a decline followed, except in newer states rapidly advancing in settlement.

There is scarcely any record of sugar, except in Ohio, where the product was greatest prior to 1870 .

In the more western states, there has been a revival of interest and extension of cultivation since the introduction of the Early Amber variety, from which some sugar has been made.

In 1860 and 1870 the census presented production as follows:

|  | 1570. | 1860. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana | Gallons of syrup. $2,026,212$ | Gallons nf syrup. 8s1,049 |
| Ohio... | 2, 2023,427 | 799,076 |
| Illinois | 1,960,473 | $806, \overline{\text { a }}$ 9 |
| Kentucky | 1, 240,453 | 350, 705 |
| Missouri. | 1,7:30, 171 | 79\%,111 |
| Tennessee | 1. 254,701 | 706,6663 |
| Iowa... | 1,218,636 | $1,211,51 \times$ |
| l'roduct of the above states. | 11,951.07:3 | 5,537,705 |
| l'roduct of other states. | 4,096,016 | 1,211,418 |
| Product of the United States | 16,050,059 | $6,749,123$ |

[^10]The returns of sorghum in the recent census have not been tabulated, except in two or three states. Only South Carolina and Kansas are complete, as follows:

|  | 1880. |  |  | . 1870. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Carolina. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arres. } \\ & 7,660 \end{aligned}$ | Pouncls sugar. $8,225$ | Galluns molasses 276,046 | Gallons molrsses. $18: 3.58 .5$ |
| Kansas ...... | 25,643 | 18,060 | 1,414,404 | - 449,409 |

The following states, in which the interest has been and is most prominent, are thus represented by local official enumerations:

оमIO.

|  | Years. | Acres. | Sugar. | Syrup. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1862 |  | 30,872 | Pounds. $27,48 i$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gallons } \\ & \text { 2.696, 159 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1863 |  | 31,255 | 27,3.99 | 2,347,578 |
| 1864 |  | 29,392 | 41,6ito | 2,609,728 |
| 1865 |  | 37,042 | 56,066 | 4,003,754 |
| 1806 |  | 43,101. | 469.51 | 4,629,570 |
| 1807 |  | 17,804 | 20,094 | 1,255,807 |
| 1868 |  | 25,257 | 28,668 | 2,004,055 |
| 1869 |  | 20,31 | 27,048 | 1,683, 042 |
| 1870 |  | 23,450 | 21,948 | 2,18, 673 |
| 1871 |  | 23,072 | 25,505 | 1, 517,042 |
| 187: |  | 12,9\%3 | 31,599 | 968,130 |
| 1873 |  | 9,426 | 36,846 | 692.314 |
| 1574 |  | 12,108 | 36.410 | 941,510 |
| $1 \times 75$ |  | 13,144 | 21,768 | 9224,106 |
| 1576 |  | 15,92934 | 25, 074 | 1,185, 235 |
| 157 |  | 115.1043 | $7.507^{1 / 4}$ | 1,110.255 |
| 1878 |  | 16,30, | 11,909 | 1,27:3,018 |

miñesota.
(No official returits of sugar.)

|  | Years. | \% | Acres. | Syrup. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1569 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gallons. } \\ 81,: 3,5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1869 |  |  | 629 | 31,191 |
| 1519 |  |  | 7:8 | 56,370 |
| 151 |  |  | 1,241 | 73,42.5 |
| 1772 |  |  | 8.9 | 78,095 |
| 1473 |  |  | 747 | 53,226 |
| 1574 |  |  | 1,14i | 69,599 |
| 14.7 |  |  | 1,5:34 | 70.479 |
| $1: 76$ |  |  | 1,693 | 72,489 |
| 157 |  |  | 2.200 | 140,153 |
| 1578. |  |  | 3,207 | 3:99,660 |
| 1479 |  |  | 5,033 | 446,946 |
| 1880 |  |  | 7,317 |  |

IOWA.

|  | Years. | Acres. | Syrup. | Sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1555 |  | 5,606 | Gallons. 410,776 | Pounds. |
| 18.2 |  |  |  | 21,496 |
| 18.3 |  | 21,4.93 | 1,443,60.5 | $8.3 \times 6$ |
| $1 \times 18$ |  | \% | 2,09, | 14,697 |
| $15 \%$ |  | 15, 6 | 1,356,903 |  |

illiñois.
(No official returns of sugar.)

| Years. |  | Acres. | Syrup. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1879. |  | 17,853 | Gallnns. |
| 1850. |  | 9, 525 | 636,216 |

KASSAS.

|  | Years. | Acres. | Syrur, | Sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gallons. | Pounds. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

For twenty-five years past, the average yield of syrup, varging from 16,000 ,000 gallons per annum to $5,000,000$ or 6,000000 , has probably averaqed about $11,000,000$ gallons, valued at 65 cents to 40 cents. For ssrup, fodder, and ail purposes, the average value of the crop may have approximated $\$ 8,000,000$ per annum.

Mr. J. A. Field, of St. Louis, Mo., in December, 1882, sent out several hundred circulars of inquiry as to the cultivation and manufacture of sorghum, and received replies from the following states, viz.: Vermont, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Virgini:s, North Carolina, West Virginia, Tennessee, Alabama, Georgia, Louisiaua, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Dakota, Iowa, Mebraska, Kansas, Missouri, Texas, and two provinces, viz., Quebec and Ontario, Canada: in all, 21 states, 1 territory, and 2 provinces.

All our reports are for the manufacture of syrup. We have, therefore, no information pertaining to the granulation of sugar from these sources.

The number of acres grown by the parties making these reports was 639 , with an additional amount, worked upon shares, grown by other parties, of about 900 acres, making a total of 1,539 acres. The number of gallons of syrup produced from the 639 acres was 54,245 , to which must be added the product of that worked on shares, equal to 76,100 gallons, making a grand total of 130,845 gallons.

The average number of gallons per acre was $87_{\frac{4}{10}}$. The average price of syrup was $54 \frac{1}{3}$ cents per gallon, making the value of an acre $\$ 47.76$. The average cost of cultivation, harvesting, and manufacturing, was reported at 15 cents per gallon, making a total cost of $\$ 13.10$ per acre, leaving a net profit of $\$ 34.66$. If we add to this the average product of seed, of 30 bushels per acre, sold at 35 cents per bushel, or $\$ 10.50$, we have a total net profit of $\$ 45.16$ per acre.

The lowest number of gallons per acre was 26 . This was grown on sod ground in Kansas. On the same farm, and nearly adjoining it, was another lot, planted on old ground and properly cultivated, which yielded 200 gallons per acre. This contrast proves that the proper preparation of soil and cultivation of crop materially adsance the product in this, as well as any other crop. The highest number of gallons per acre was grown from Early Orange, in Southern Illinois, producing 360 gallons per acre.

A large number of the parties making these reports were new beginners. This accounts partially for the small quantity produced per acre. Others report a wet, cold, backward spring, followed by severe drought, as the cause of shortness of the crop.

All the parties speak in the most encouraging manner as to their faith in the profitableness of the crop.

Professor Henry, of the State Agricultural College, Madison, Wisconsin, reports as follows as to certain sorghum growers in that state:
S. Hanson, of Whitewater, one of the oldest and most experienced growers in the state, reports 18 gallons from 10 rods of ground and 200 gallons per acre from larger pieces.

Joseph H. Osborn, Oshkosh, reports the highest yield 226 gallons, with an average of 150 .
N. D. Comstock, Arcadia, Trempealeau county, estimates the arerage at 125 gallons.

Maxon and Almony, Milton Junction, Rock county, estimates the average at 150 gallons.
J. H. Rhodes, Sextonville, Richland county, raised on 1 acre 170 gallons.
O. S. Powell, of River Falls, Pierce county, estimates the average crop at 100 gallons.
H. T. Webster, Keene, Portage county, obtained 40 gallons from 28 rods of ground.
J. D. Sherwood, Dartford, Green Lake county, reports one-third of an acre yielding 12,588 pounds of stalks, from which 79.14 gallons of syrup were made. A. J. Decker, Fond du Lac, considers 125 gallons the average.

Mr. S. Nason, of Nasonville, Wood county, where cane was grown this season for the first time, reports 800 gallons from 4 acres.

Evan Erickson, Stevenstown, La Crosse county, obtained 1,050 gallons from 5 acres.

The average yield of syrup on good ground, in a favorable season, may be
set down at about 160 gallons. With such culture as is usually giren to it, the field will be abont 100 . It may be set down as a fact that, wherever it has been planted in the state, it has succeeded, no matter how poor the soil was. It promises to be one of the rery best crops for our sandy lands, for, though the rield per acre will not be large, the syrup will le of fine quality. Land on the experimental farm, which produced 50 bushels of corn per acre, this jear gave 200 gallons of thick syrup.

The following tables give details as to the crop, the products, cost of cultiration, and profits, from several cultirators and manufacturers, and the accuracy of most of them hare been established by affidarits :

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| '688L 's! 11 '7700s 'H ${ }^{\text {'N }}$ |  |
| 788t "ses <br> -иву 'uоsıәриу'H " (I |  |
|  |  |
| *¢885 "III 'uoquial 'unal |  |
|  |  |
| ‘I88I "q2N <br> 'motes 'tuosury ' 1 'g |  |
|  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  |  |
|  <br> "o, desins uus.uyər |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  <br> -urdit uts "xpols int |  |
|  |  |
| ©S8I "still 'uns <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
| . |  |


|  |  | 年 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of acres. | 25 | Sj | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.11 | 14 | 10 | 1 |
| Rent of land. | 6.7. | 21250 | 3.00 | 2 m |  | 200 | 10. | 42.00 |  |  |
| Plowing .............. | - | 127 51 | $2{ }^{2}-5$ | 1. 5 | 3. 50 | 1.511 | 9 | 5. | 20.50 | 10.00 |
| Planting and cultirating. |  | 11\%) $\%$ | 18 | 12 | 3. 1.00 |  | ${ }_{10.75}^{6.7}$ | 33. | 310 |  |
| Cutting cane | 79. | Qit (0) | 2 (1) | 2.50 |  | 9 m |  |  |  | $2: 0$ |
| Hanling to mill. | 5 | I\%A) (r) | 21. | 2 Sa | 4 cm | $10: n$ | 2 |  | 558 | 60 |
| Gatiering seed | 10.5 |  | 2.00 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1.00 |  |  |  | $2 .(1)$ |
| Manufacturing | 45.80 | 51200 |  | 9.00 | 2000 | 34.00 |  | 255 | 275.55 | 19.00 |
| Total cost of oue acre.. | 20.57 | 15.09 |  | 20.75 | is. 00 | C1 30 |  | 24.14 | 33.74 | 39.50 |
| Cost manufact'g one acre | 13.95 | 6.021 |  | 0.001 | $20 . C 0$ | 34.00 |  | 18.4 ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27.50 | 19.00 |
| Cost of one acre at mill... | 12.92 | 9.07 | 14.57 | 11.85 | 13.00 | 27.30 | 15.70 | 5.71 | 11.18 | 20.50 |
| Profit on one acre. | 15.13 | 43.76 | 7.86 | 74.93 | 16.60 | 3000 | 26.35 | 30.98 | 24.66 | 51.00 |

The arerage net profits per acre of the entire 26 reports is 832.14 , and the average net profit upon each ton of cane worked was S 4.18 ; also the arerage number of tons of stripped cane produced per acre was 9.04 , and the arerage value of the products of an acre was S74.64.

The average cost of an acre of sorghum, delivered at the mill for grinding was $\$ 14.50$, and the average cost of manufacturing an acre of sorghum in syrup was $\$ 18.50$. The arerage price of the syrup was slightly over 50 cents per gallon, and the sugar about 8 cents per pound.

It is to be observed, that very few make much account of the seed in their estimates; but since the value of this portion of the crop has been ascertained to be equal to that of the crop of corn fur feeding purposes, it can not be left out in any plan for the most profitable production of syrup or sugar.

At Rio Grande, New Jerser, where this industry appears to be most permanently established, the seed is utilized in feeding and fattening swine, of which the company have several hundred, living exclusivelr upon the seed and bagasse of sorghum, and it is stated that the profits arising from this feature of their enterprise is such that the company
are enabled to grow their cane and deliver it to the mill absolutely free of all cost, so that the ouly expense of attending their production of sugar and syrup is that of manufacture.

Gratifying as is this result to those who desire to see the success of this new sugar industry, it can not be surprising to any one who will consider that our chief consumption of corn is for the fattening of swine, and that it is so used profitably needs no argument to prove. If, then, the seed of sorghum, equally abundant and equally nutritious, may be substituted for corn, the stalks of sorghum, like those of maize, may be regarded as being produced free of cost. Also, by means of such use of the seed and bagasse, fertilizing material is secured.

PRODUCTION OF SORGHUM SYRUP IN THE UNITED STATES ACCORDING TO THE CENSUS OF 1860-70-80.


It appears, from the above, that the increase in production from 1860 to 1870 was 133 per cent; and, from 1870 to 1880 , 77 per cent, and that, with the exception of Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Indiana, no state with a large proluction shows any falling off; while, in certain of the states, the increase has been remarksble.

## PRODUCTION OF SUGAR FROM SORGHUN.

Very many manufacturers of sorghum hare produced amounts of sugar fairly comparable in quantity with the results obtained from sugarcane.
Mr. A. J. Russell, who produced in 1879 over 22 tons of sorghum sugar, polarizing $96^{\circ} .5$, reports that he secured 15 to 23 gallons of syrup from a ton of cane, and obtained, as first sugar, $4 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds to the gallon of syrup.

Mr. John B. Thoms, an experienced sugar maker, reports that sorghum sugar may be produced at an expense for manutacture of not over $1 \frac{1}{4}$ cents per pounds.

Professor Henry, of Madison, Wisconsin, made sugar in 1882 at an "xpense for cultivation and manfacture of not over $\frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound. He obtained, as "firsts," 45 per cent of the weight of the syrup in sugar of excellent quality ; and upon their experimental plats, Professor Swenson and himself secured, approximately, a thousand pounds of sugar per acre.
The president of the Rio Grande Sugar Co. reported the expense of working the cane in the mill as not being over $\$ 1.75$ per ton. Much of the cane yielded fir each ton worked 65 pounds of first, besides the molasses ; and, with sorghum in good condition as to maturity, the estimate of 100 pounds of sugar to each ton of cane is confidently made.

Mr. Thoms estimates the expense of working 100 tons of cane int, syrup and sugar at $\$ 80.25+\$ 42$ for 30 barrels, equal $\$ 122.25$, or $\$ 1.22$ for the manufacture of each ton of cane.

These results are such as have been often reported, even by those working with far more inexpensive plants.
Edwiu Blood, of Stockbridge, Wisconsin, reports that, in the season of 1881 , it cost him 11 cents per gallon to manufacture syrup, but in 1882 he could make it for $7 \frac{1}{6}$ cents per gallon.
D. H. Anderson, of Kausas, reports 10 ceuts per gallon as the cost of manufacture of a gallon of syrup.

Now, at 15 gallons to the ton of cane, it costs at Rio Grande $11 \frac{2}{\overline{3}}$ cents, and Mr. Thoms $8 \frac{1}{3}$ cents, to mauufacture a gallon of syrup $-\mathrm{rt}_{-}$ sults closely agreeing with the results obtained from smaller mills.

Reference to the average results of many samples of juice from many varieties of sorghum, given on page 210 , will show that such estimate is far short of the possibilities.

Mr. A. J. Russell, already quoted, reports that he has ubtained 280 gallons of syrup from one acre of cane, and obtained from this syrup $7 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of sugar to the gallon. This is 2,100 pounds of sugar from the acre, besides the seed, which he estimates at from 25 to 40 bushels to the acre, and which he sold at 50 cents per bushel. He also reports that 10 tons of cane is an average yield to the acre, and 14 gallons of syrup to the ton, and $7 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of sugar to the gallon ; and that, at such yield, the sugar would not cost $2 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound ; but he says that a good scason and good land should give 20 tons of cane per acre, 17 gallons of syrup to the ton, and $9 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of sugar to the gallon. This is a result of 3,230 pounds of sugar per acre.

Of the heavier and later maturing varieties of sorghum, over 30 tons per acre have been repeatedly obtained.

Geo. W. Chapman, of Stirling, Kansas, reports having obtained $33 \frac{4}{5}$ tons of Honduras sorghum to the acre, so that the above statements of Mr. Russell do not appear to be beyond the limits of possibility.

At Rio Grande, New Jersey, Hon. James Bishop, Secretary of the Bureau of Statistics of New Jersey, reports that, in 1882, the product of sugar and cane upon which the state paid bounty was 5,638 tons of cane and $\bar{\Sigma} 19,9 \pm 4$ pounds of sugar. Also, that 1,011 barrels of syrup were produced. That the average of the juice worked during the season was $11^{\circ} .11$ Beaumé, and the average purity of the juice was $84^{\circ} .16$. That the first two weeks of the season more molasses than sugar was produced, viz., 112 barrels of sugar and 181 barrels of molasses, but that, on the fourth week, the proportion was 130 barrels of sugar to 110 barrels of molasses.

One plat of eight acres, by actual survey and weight, yielded 136 tons of cane, an average of seventeen tons to the acre. Another plat of one acre yielded twenty-one tons, and a third twenty-two tons to the acre.

During the season of 1883 , three acres, by actual measurement, yielded 78 tons of cane, an average of 26 tons per acre.

## Sorghum Sugar in Jupan.

Consul General Van Buren, of Yokohama, Japan, in a report to the Department of State upon the agriculture of Japan, under the head of sugar, says:
The sugar of Japan is made from that species of the sorghum plant known as the Chinese sorghum. It grows luxuriantly in all the southern portions of the empire south of the 36 th degree of north latitude. The whole product of the
empire in 1878 was $64,297,580$ pounds. Importation in 1878 was $67,434,805$ pounds. For thee or four hundred years, the processes of granulating and refining sugars hare been known and practiced. Sorghum is not grown, as with us, from the seed, but from cuttings. In September, selected stalks are cut and buricd in trenches a foot deep. Through the winter, from each joint of the stalks, spronts grow. In the spring, these joints are cut off aud set out in rows 15 to 18 inches apart, and about the same distance from each other in the rows. The ground has previously been thoroughly dug up and pulverized by a long-bladed mattock. The fertilizers used are ashes, fish, decomposed bay , straw and sea-weed, or night-soil. The plants are thoroughly hoed, hilled, and irrigated. In October and Norember, the leares are stripped off, and the stalks are then cut and the hard outer covering is removed, and the remaining portion is then ground betreen rollers of stone or hard wood. The cane juice is then boiled in iron kettles until the granulation takes place, when it placed in bags and pressed dry. The expressed syrup is used as molasses. Dry upland soils are required for the successful growth of the cane, and the expenditure of labor and fertilizers is as great, if not greater, as for any other crop. Great exertions are being made to promote the increased production of sugar, which will probably be, in some degree, successtul. In fact, I am informed that large orders for the apparatus for sugar making have been received from districts which have heretofore not grown sugar-cane.

Sugar Product per Acre.
Nelson Maltbr, Geneva, Ohio, reports that E. Winchester, of that place, obtained, from one-third of an acee of sorghum, 336 pounds of sugar and ti gallons of molasses; that M. D. Cole, from one-half an acre, obtained 330 pounds of sugar and 50 gallons of molasses; also, that, from 700 gallous of syrup, Mr. Maltby obtained 4,230 pounds of sugar from the first crystallization.

Professors Henry and Swenson, of Madison, Wisconsin, report, as the result from two experimental plats, 923 and 998 pounds of sugar per acre, and, in addition, 1,235 and 1,042 pounds of molasses, besides $27 \frac{1}{2}$ and 32 bushels of seed from the respective plats.

## COMPARATNE TALUE OF THE SORGHUM AND OTHER PRINCIPAL CROPS.

In the Iowa Agricultural Report for 1872 , page 268, the folloring average acreage values of the leading crops grown in Iowa during the years 1862-71, inclusive, is gisen as the report of a committee appointed for the purpose of investigating the sorghum industry in Iowa:

|  | Average acreage value of crop. | Difference. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sorghum | $\$ 188$ |  |
| Corn... | 1326 | \$54 87 |
| Wheat. | 1379 | 5434 |
| Oats. | 1173 | 5640 |
| Potatoes | 5584 | 1229 |

It thus appears that the acreage value of the sorghum crop was more than five times (5.27) that of the average of the other cereals, and that the only crop which approached it in acreage value was that of potatoes. The average net profits of an acre of sorghum has been shown (page 415) to be $\$ 32.14$, nearly three times the gross returns from the cereals.

For the purpose of comparison, the following tables from the Annual Report, Department of Agriculture, 1881-'82, are given, showing the average cash value of each of the principal crops grown in the United States, separately and together for each of the states; also, a summary of the amount of each crop grown, the acreage and value, average acreage yield and price, as also the statistics of maize and the cereals, as a whole, from 1871 to 1881, inclusive :

TABLE SHOWING THE AVERAGE CASH VALUE PER ACRE OF FARM PRODUCTS FOR THE YEAR 1881.


Table Showing Average Cash Falue，etc．－Continned．

| States． | \％ | 严 | シ | － | 突 | 䔍 |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Virginia． | 1680 | 1312 | 941 |  | 1845 | 834 | 4455 | 427 | 1362 |
| Kentucky． | 1190 | 9 8． | 109 | 766 | 1513 | $70: 3$ | 3700 | 6160 | 1560 |
| Onio． | 15. | 1716 | 120 | 1219 | $16 \stackrel{4}{4}$ | $80{ }^{\circ}$ | $3 \pm 10$ | 7712 | 13.54 |
| Michigan |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4640 |  | 1512 |
| Indialia | 1308 | 137 | 949 | 966 | 2780 | 108 | 3710 | 5， 7 | 1464 |
| Illinois | 118 | 10 On | 14，10 | 1436 | 13 | ${ }^{7} 5$ | 50 40 | 54 | 14，82 |
| Wisconsin | 1490 | 13.45 | 128 | 114 | 20.5 | 10 0s | 6300 | 10827 | 124 |
| Inwa． | 110 |  | 10：s | 1531 891 | 23 15 15 39 | $10^{10} 12$ | 617 510 |  | 8 44 |
| Missouri， | 1117 | 102 | 1003 | 1071 | 1545 | 12 C | 4368 | 7279 | 135 |
| Kinsas | 10 Sil | 95.5 | 9003 | 39 |  | 940 | 4940 |  | 583 |
| Nebraska | 1069 | 6 sg | 78 | 79 | 489 | 78 | 4704 |  | 540 |
| California | 2123 | 1236 | 1110 | 13 si | 145 | 1790 | 6.5 an |  | 1647 |
| Oregon | ${ }^{1.5} 1.5$ | 1514 | 1340 | 1485 | 1491 | 1500 | 5750 |  | 1091 |
| Nevada | $21=0$ | 17.40 |  | 2853 | 2565 |  | 12150 |  | 1950 |
| Colorado | 267 | 26 3 | 1940 |  | 2070 |  | 10400 |  | 2400 |
| Territories． | 3059 | 19 3； | 1636 | 17.9 | 1789 |  | 7070 |  | 1488 |

TABILE SHOWING THE ATERAGE CASH TALUE PER ACRE OF THE CEREALS，POTATOES， TOBACCO，AND HAY OF THE FARM，TAKES TGGETHER，FOR THE TEAR 1831.

| States． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arurage } \\ & \text { valut } \\ & \text { pet atre. } \end{aligned}$ | States． | Aterag Valne per acre． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine． | S10，nit | Arkansas．．． | \＄1\％ 0 i |
| New Hampshire | 1：min | Tennessee． | $4 \%$ |
| Vermont． | 1.5 | West Virginia | 14 in |
| Massachusetts | 2， 13 | Kentucky | 1： $1: 10$ |
| Khode Island． | 2－： | Ohio． | 15 6 |
| Connecticut | 220 41 | Michigan． | 1.58 |
| New York | 17： 7 | Imliama． | 1：3 3 \％ |
| Pew derser． | 19 | Hllinois． | $1 \because 3$ |
| Pennsylvania． | 15 | Wiseonsin．． | 14.4 |
| Delaware． | 11.1 | Vimmesota． | 13 |
| Maryland | 16 | Iowa． | 11.3 |
| Virginia | 11 | Missouri． | 11.15 |
| Sorth Carolina | 9 － | Kansas | 9 \％i4 |
| South Carolina | 7 | Xebraska． | $\therefore 45$ |
| Georgia | ＝： | California | 15 |
| Florida | 57 | Oregon． | 1． |
| Alxbama． | 9 ¢ | Sevada | $\cdots 17$ |
| Missicsippi | 10， 13 | Coloradn．．． Territories． | 管 |
| Texas．．．． | 12． 76 |  |  |

A GENERAL SUMMARY，SHOWING THE ESTLMATED QUANTITIES，NUMBER OF ACRES， AND AGGREGATE VALUE OF TIIE PRINCIPAL CROPS OF THE FARM IN 1881.

| Products． | Quantity pro－ duced． | Number of acres． | Value． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian corn．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {bushels．．}}$ | 1，191，916，000 | 64，262，025 | \＄709，482，170 |
| Wheut．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do．．．．． | $38: 3,20.090$ | 37，709，020 | $4.3,580,127$ |
| Rye．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do． | $20,70 \pm, 9.51$ | 1，789，100 | $11,3,3,7,415$ |
| Oats．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do | 416，481，000 | 16，$\times 31,600$ | 192，198，9\％0 |
| Barley ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do．．． | 41，161， $3: 30$ | 1，967，510 | $3: 3,413,513$ |
| Buckwheat．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do．．．．． | 9，486，200 | 8．3x，817 | $8,200,705$ |
| Potatoes ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．do do ．． | $109,145,444$ | 2，041，670 | 90， 291,341 |
| Total． | $2,175,17 \%, 064$ | 1 $\because ⿹ 丁 口 欠, 429,710$ | $1,570,248,541$ |
| Tobacco．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． ．pounds ．． |  |  |  |
| Hay ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．tons．．．． | $3 \overline{5}, 13 \overline{3}, 0134$ <br> 5， 400 | $30,88 \times, 700$ $16,710,7,0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 415,1: 1,: 66 \\ & 2.9,014,: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Grand total | ．．．．．．．．．．．． | 173，675，403 | $2,257,718,558$ |

TABLE SHOWING THE AVERAGE YIELD AND CASII VALUE PER ACRE，AND PRICE PER BUSIIEL，POUND，OR TON，OF FARM PLODUCTS FOR THE YENR $18 \times 1$ ．

| Products． | $\begin{gathered} \text {-avorad } \\ \text { plọi ouviont } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Products． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indian corn ．bu．． | 18 6－ | \＄0 63．6－ | \＄1182 | Buckwheat ．bu．． | $11.4+$ | \＄0 86．5＋ | \＄9 90 |
| Wheat．．．．．．．．do． | 10： 2 | $119:$ | 1203 | Potatioes．．．．do．． | 5：3．5－ | 909. | 4563 |
| Rye．．．．．．．．．．do． | 11 6－ | 9：3．3＋ | 1080 | Tobuceo．．．．1bs．． | $696.1+$ | $11.9 .6+$ |  |
| Oats．．．．．．．．do． | 2174 | 46．4－ | 114 | May ．．．．．．${ }^{\text {Cons．}}$ | $155^{1.14}$ | 118 | ${ }_{15}^{13} 50$ |
| Barley．．．．．．．do． | $20.9+$ | 823－ | $17 \times 1$ | Cotton ．．．．． 1 l s．． | 155 | 10 | 1550 |

CORN．

| Calendar years． | Total pro－ duction． | Total area oí crop． | Total value of crop． | Average value per bushel． | Average yirdelper acre． | Average villue of yield per atcre． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1871 | Bushels． <br> $991, \mathrm{sts}, 000$ | Acres． $34,991,1: 7$ | \＄478， 7 7， 900 | Cents． 48.2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Buslifls. } \\ -91 \end{array}$ | \＄1402 |
| $15 \%$ | 1，092， 219,000 | $3.8,5,6.836$ | $40.2,149,290$ | 39.8 | 吅 7 | 1324 |
| $1 \times 7.3$ | 922，2\％ 2.0100 | $39,197,145$ | 417,1 Si，020 | 480 | $2 \cdot 8$ | 1141 |
| 1574 | $8.30,145,500$ | 41．0．36，918 | $5: 0,04: 080$ | 647 | 20） 7 | 1340 |
| 1875 | 1，321，（069， 160 | $44,41,371$ | $55.5,45,9.0$ | $4: 0$ | 294 | 12 ： |
| 1476 | 1，28：3， 27,500 | 49，0：3， 3 ， 61 | 47．7，491，210 | 376 | 261 | 969 |
| 1577 | $1,342,508,100)$ | $54,369.113$ | 480，64： 400 | 3.38 | 266 | 951 |
| 1.78 | 1，388， $21 \times, 750$ | $51,54,5000$ | 441，152，405 | 318 | 269 | 855 |
| 1779. | 1，517，901， 790 | 5：3，1185，4， 0 | $5: 80,486,217$ | 375 | 298 | 1093 |
| 1850 | 1，717，404， 243 | $62,317,44$. | 679， 714,499 | 396 | 276 | 10 91 |
| 18S1． | 1，194，916，001） | 64，262，02－ | $759,48: 3,170$ | 63.6 | 186 | 1182 |
| Total． | 13， $662.963,08 ; 3$ | $525,316,204$ | －3，068，121 |  |  |  |
| Ann＇laver＇ge．． | 1，242，087，735 | 47，758，746 | 534，824，375 | 43.1 | 26 | $11: 20$ |

The average yield and value per acre for eleven years，from 1871 to 1881，inclusive，is thus comparatively presented：


RECAPITULATION OF CEREAL CROPS OF THE UNITED STATES．

| Years． | Total produc－ tion． | Total area of crop． | Total value of crop． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bushels. } \\ & 1,52,276,10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Acres. } \\ & \mathbf{6 5 , ( x ; 1 , 9 5 1} \end{aligned}$ | Dollars． $911, \times \not 5,441$ |
| 1ヵで2 | 1．644， $3: 31,610$ | $65.2 \times 0,197$ | $874,594,459$ |
| 1573 | 1，535．892， 101 | 74，112，1：37 | 919，217，273 |
| 1514 | 1．4．7．18） 20 | 80．051，2－9 | 1，015，5：3，570 |
| $15 \%$ | $2.032 .23: 3,800$ | $86,863,178$ | 1，030，277，099 |
| 1515 | 1，962．82． 100 | 93，920，619 | 935，005． 844 |
| 157 |  | 93，151，296 | 1，035， 3 71． 0 ¢\％ |
| 157 | $2,80,25,950$ | $100,556,260$ | 913，975 020 |
| 1579 | 2，437，452，300 | 102．260， 450 | 1，245， 127,719 |
| 1800 | 2，7バ，19，5．501 | 1：0，926，2－26 | 1，361，497， 04 |
| 1581. | 2，066，029，570 | 123，388，070 | 1，470，915，200 |
| Total | 21．84．13：3， 158 | 1，005，971，223 | 11，713，584，507 |
| Annual averag | 1，980，460，651 | 91，724，697 | 1，064，571，301 |

In a letter to the committee of the National Academy of Sciences， C．Courad Johnson，Esq．，an experienced sugar－boiler，after a careful consideration of the results of the investigations made at the Depart－ ment of Agriculture during the years 1878 to 1881 inclusive，makes comparisons between sorghum，sugar－canes，aud beets，as sources for the economical production of sugar．His results will be examined with interest．He says as follows：

Haring thus compared fully the chemical constituents of the canes under dis－ cussion，the processes best adapted for the attainment of the ends in view，to－ gether with such suggestions as may seem proper toward enabling the operation to be conducted with a minimum loss，we may return to the comparison of the actual results obtained in practice with the＂available＂ones presented by Dr． Collier in his tables．If we accept it as a fact that Louisiana cane will produce on an average 2,000 pounds of sugar and $1 \geqslant 0$ gallons of molasses to the acre （and we believe that，taking the plant and ratoons together，this will be found a high estimate），we have the following data：

[^11]| French beets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sugar | 3,600 |
| Nolasses | 156 |
| Sorghum-cane: |  |
| Sugar iaverage). | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1,417 \\ 2,374 \\ \hline 10\end{array}\right.$ |
| Molasses (estimated) | 90 80 |

AGRICULTURAL EXPENSE.


## VALUE OF RESULTS.

| Louisiana cane: Shgar Molasses | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 140 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 21200 |
| French beets: |  |
| Sugar. | 18900 1638 |
|  | 20538 |


| Sorghum-cane: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sugar (average) | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\$ 113 \\ 54 \\ 36\end{array}\right.$ |
| Molasses (estimated) | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\$ 189 \\ 4800 \\ \hline 80\end{array}\right.$ |

## MARKETING OF SORGHUM SYRUPS.

The amount of sorghum sugar thus far produced, has had no appreciable effect upon the general market, and has been readily sold at fair prices in the local markets.

The production of syrups, however, has already reached such proportions as to hase almost entirely supplied the demand in certain sections; and there is a great diversity in the prices received. The prices vary from 70 to 75 cents in certain places, to even 40 cents or less per gallon. It is without doubt true, that the quality varies as greatly as the price, and it is therefore a matter of great practical importance, not only to secure a good yield of cane, but a superior product from it-since the difference in cost of manufacture is at the most trifling between the best and poorest grades of syrup found in the market. To those who hope to establish a sure and permanent market for their syrup, it is of the greatest importance to secure a uniform product. This is more diffcult to the small manufacturer than the large, as usually the large works are able to retain the supervision

[^12]of a skilled person, and, besides, the large quantities made each dar are of uniform quality. On the other hand, eren the greatest care will harils prevent the small manufacturer from now and then getring a portion of his syrup far below, or much abue. his aremge pronuct. It would be well if the syrups were, after cooling, put into large storage tanks, which, when the tanks were filled, could be barreled for market. thus securing at least many barrels of like character; and if two or three such tanks should be kept of different grades, the inferior product might be kept apart from the better.

To those desirous of securing for their protucts the bighest market price, it will be found worih while to atiend carefully to the packages in which the syrup is sent to market. Siout, clean casks gire greater securitr in laniling. and will geuerally more thay par ans extra cost in the readiness with which a purchaser will be found.

Those who are satistied as tw the character of their syrups, should br means of a stencil phate attach their name to their packages. so that they may thus alrertise their products, and secure permanent custom.

## CENTPAL FACTORIES.

It will be found by far the most econmical, if the central factory sritem should be generally adopted in place of many independent, and small factories. The adrantages of such a srstem are obrious, since improved and necessary apparatus teo expensive for the individual could be secured. Steam could be emploved with the greatest economs, and the services of an experienced sugar maker could be secured. The economical production of sugar in the small factore is practically imposible: or, even if not in certain cases. its production by a well conducted central factory wuld be found far more profitable. The small farmer might then content himself either in growing the cane and delivering it to the factory: or. if at a listance. We might produce syrups of a high grade, i. e. containing a large amount of crsstallizable sugar, and depend upon a lecal market for their disposal. or furnish them to the central factory to be wromed for sugar and molasses, where a larger and better product could be secured than would be pessible for him to obtain on his own farm. By this means a supply of strup might be produced by the neighboring farmers sufficient to continue the work of the central factore during the winter, and until another crop-thus, not onir keeping the necessary foree for conducting it constantly employed, and the machinery always in rumning order, but haring the expensive plant at no time of the rear lying idle. Already such srstems hare been introluced in many of the industries of the farm, and, if wisely conducted, always with increased
profits and less labor. That such ultimately will be the result so soon as this new industry shall have been more generally entered upon, there can be no doubt; or, what is practically the same thing, large companies will be organized able to cultivate and manufacture several。 thousand acres of cane. A recent report of the Department of Agriculture says: "at one large factory there is reported a yield of 792 pounds of sugar, valued at 8 cents per pound, and 112 gallons of syrup, valued at 40 cents per gallon, from an acre, indicating a net profit of $\$ 50.67$. It should be understood, however, that this encouraging result was not obtained by the ordinary manufacturer, but by chemists who were skilled in the manipulation of the juice, and who were working with apparatus designed especially for the manufacture of sugar." That intelligence in the conducting of such operations is of practical value none can doubt, and that sugar is to be made with apparatus designed for such purpose, goes without saying, but the report eniphasizes the fact that the best results were secured by intelligent supervision, and improved appliances.

## CHAPTER XIII.

(a.) Maize sugar, history of.
(b.) Detailed analys-s of the juice of sereral varieties of maize.
(c.) Average results of analyses of many varieties of maize.
(d.) Sugar and ripe grain from maize.
(e) Comparison of the juices of sorghum and maize.
( $f$. ) Pearl millet, sugar from.

## MAIZE SUGAR, HISTORY OF.

The presence of sugar in the juice of the maize stalk has been long knorrn. In the "True Travels, Adrentures, and Observations of Captain Iohn Smith, Account of Sixth Vorage, A. d. 1606, London Ed., A. D. 1629," he says of Indian corn (Zea mais):
The stalke being yet qreene, hath a sweet iuice in it, somerhat like a sugarcane, which is the cause that when they gather their corne greene ther sucke the stalkes; for as we gather greene pease, so do thes their corne, being greene, which excelleth their olde [Maize and Sorghum, F. L. Stewart, page 17].

The historian, Prescott, in his "Conquest of Mexico," says of the cultivation of Iudian corn:

The great staple of the country, as indeed of the American continent, was maize or Indian corn, which grew freely along the valleys, and up the steep sides of the Cordilleras, to the high level of the table-lands

## Prescott says:

The Aztecs were as curious in its preparation, and as well instructed in its manifold uses, as the most experienced housewife. Its gigantic stalks, in the equinoctial regions, afford a saccharine matter not found to the same extent in northern latitudes, and supplied the natives with sugar little inferior to that of the cane itself, which was not introduced among them till after the conquest.

In the C'nited States, in the early colonial days, it appears that experiments on a large scale were made, looking to the utilization of cornstalks as au economical source of sugar.

The entire acreage of all the cultivated land of the Cnited States, including that in the cereals, root crops, cotton, and the grass lands, equals $132,910,281$ acres. Of this area, $50,369,113$ acres, or 38 per cent, is in maize. The enormous extent of this cereal becomes thus apparent.

The availability of these plants as a source of sugar has been known for a lung time ; but, although much has been known, little has been
done in the way of careful investigation for the purpose of determining their practical value for the production of sugar. Indeed, many of the statements made in reference to maize seem almost prophetic. It appears reasonably certain that had the matter been carefully followed up by a series of experiments, the enormous drain upon the country, which has required all the gold and silver product to supply, could not only have been prevented, but we might have been, a half century ago, the great sugar producing country of the globe.

In a letter from Abigail Adams to her husband, John Adams, September 24th, 1777, she says:

An instance may be seen in the progress which is made in arinding cornstalks and boiling the liquor into molasses. Scarcely a town or parish within forty miles of us but what has several mills at work; and had the experiment been made a month sooner, many thousand barrels would have been made. No less than 80 have been made in the small town of Manchester. It answers very well to distill, and may be boiled down to sugar. There are two mills fitting up in this parish. They have three rollers-one with cogs and two smooth. The stalks are stripped of the leaves and tops, so that it is no robbery upon the cattle, and juice ground out. 'Tis said four barrels of juice will make one of molasses, but in this people differ widely. They have a method of refining it, so that it looks as well as the best imported molasses.

David Lee Childs, on the culture of the beet and manufacture of beet sugar, says:

Other plants usually grown in our soil are capable of furnishing sugar, and some of them may be found worth cultivating for that and accessory products.

We have tried Indian corustalks and the pumpkin, and have obtained from them good sugar and molasses.

Perhaps these crops may alternate advantageously with the beet. If the manufacture of sugar from the stalks of Indian corn can be reconciled, as we believe it may, with the maturity or near maturity of the ears, this source of saccharine may supersede the beet root.

Under date of March 13th, 1880, R. S. Hinman, of Hartford, Connecticut, writes me as follows:
I have found that, in 1717, one of my ancestors procured a patent from the seneral court of the colony of Connecticut to make molasses from cornstalks, on condition that he should make it as good and as cheap as it could be got from the West Indies.

At a meeting of the French Academy, M. Biot stated that he had found $1^{2} 2$ per cent of sugar in juice from cornstalks in one experiment, and 13 per cent in another.

In the Farmers' Encyclopedia is the following:
The juice of maize contains as much, if not a larger proportion of sugar, than that of sugar-cane.

In Porcher's "Resources of Southern Fields and Forests," he sars:
In the first place it has been satisfactorily prored that sugar of an excellent qualitr, suitable for common ase without refining, mar be made from the stalks of maize.

Again:
The sweetness of the cornstall is a matter of unirersal observation. Our forefathers, in the Revolationary struggle, resorted to it as a means to furnish a substitute for West India sugar.

Thaër, in his "Principles of Agriculture" (1844), say̌s:
The use of unripe maize for the manufacture of sugar has latelr been again recommended, on the ground that maize is better adapted for this purpose than beet root. I hare long been of the opinion. he adds, that of all plants which can be raised in this country, maize is best suited to the purpose in question: the syrup extracted from it is, before crystallization, decidedly superior to that of beet root.

I hare been recently informed that, orer thirty sears ago, there was a factory in the south of France which produced large quantities of excellent sugar from the stalks of maize, but the rapid development of the beet sugar industry caused this factory to decline, and their practical results hare heeu almust forgotten. Also, about 1844 , a certain judge, of Williston, Verm•nt, produced a fair quality of syrup from cornstalks; but his experiments, like those of st many others, caused only a temporary and local excitement, which speedily died away. The same is true as regards the sorghums.

## EXAMINATION OF DIFFEREST VARIETIES OF MATZE.

For the purpose of a thorough incestigation of this matter. the author, as chemist of the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, planted sereral of the more common rarieties uf maize, and cultirated them according to the general method adopted when the grain is the object. The several rarieties mere subjected to daily examination during the season, in a manuer identical with that emplored in the examination of the sorghum, as described on page 185.

The varieties of maize planted were as follows:
No. 1. Egrptian Sugar Corn.
No. 2. Lindsay's Horse Tooth.
No. 3. Blount's Prolific.
No. 4. Improred Prolific Bread.
No. 5. Broad Thite Flat Dent.
No. 6. Long Narrow White Dent.
No. 7. Chester County Mammoth.
No. 8. 18-row d Yellow Dent.
No. 9. Stowell's Evergreen.
No. 10. Improved Prolific.
No. 11. Sandford.
No. 12. Early Minnesota Dent.

Each of the above varieties were planted in plats having ten rows, 24 feet in each row, and the rows $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet apart. There was, therefore, of each variety planted $\frac{x^{2}}{5}$ of an acre, or 840 square feet.

In the above list of the varieties, it will be seen that white and yellow, flint and dent, common and sweet varieties, were included, so that the results secured may be regarded as by no means exceptional. Besides, these investigations were continued year after year, with several of these varieties, without any marked differences being ma』ifest in the results obtained.

The fullowing table gives the several stages of development of the plant, as mentioned in the tables of analyses, similar to those used in the case of the sorghums.

The examination of sugar, or sweet corn, since the grain is used in its immature condition, was continued with one portion of the plat after the 11 th stage, at which time the cars were removed. The stalks were left standing in the field, and exawined at intervals of one week after the ears had been plucked.

## Stages of Development of Maize.

## Stage-

1. About a week before the appearance of the tassel.
2. Tassel just appearing
3. Tassel entirely out.
4. Ear just appearing.
5. Ear just forming grain.
6. Ear in roasting condition.
7. One week after roasting condition.
8. Two weeks after roasting condition.
9. Three weeks after roasting condition.

Intermediate stages were recorded in the observations, but the above list is complete enough to enable the reader to understand the condition of the plants when examined.

The following table gives the average length and weight of the several varieties of maize, as also the number of stalks of each from which the averages were taken :

DETALLED ANALYSES OF STALKS OF SETERAL TARIETES, ETC. 431

RELATITE LENGTHS ASD WEIGHTS OF THE SETERAL TARIETIES OF MAIZE.

| Name. | Number of stalks. | Length. | Total weight. | Stripped weight. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fect. | Pounds. | Pounds. |
| Egyptian Sugar Corn. | ${ }_{3}^{5 S}$ | 8.35 8.3 | ${ }_{2}^{1.710}$ | 1.105 |
| Blount's Prolific.. | ${ }_{23}$ | 96.7 | 2.063 | 1 185 |
| Improred Prolitic Bread. | 23 | 10.03 | 2 : 63 | 17 |
| Broad Flat White Dent. | 19 | 9.48 | 2616 | 1 fi4 |
| Lons Narrow White lent., | 19 | 913 | $2 \cdot 74$ | 1.fis |
| Chester Conaty Ilammoth | 22 | 8.43 | $\bigcirc 73$ | 1.459 |
| 18-rowed Yellow Dent | 20 | 824 | $\bigcirc$ Stio | 1510 |
| Stowell's Eversreen. | 82 | 605 | 0816 | 0610 |
| Improred Prolific | 26 | 737 | 1923 | 14.5 |
| Sandfurd Corn. | 49 | 5.94 | 0. 612 | 0438 |
| Early Minnesota Dent. | 52 | 5.75 | 0.48 | 0.295 |

DETAILED ANALYSES OF THE STALKS. OF SEYERAL VARIETIES OF MAIZE.

The following detailed analyses of one of the sugar corns, a yellow and a white dent, will give a geweral idea of the results obtained from each of the several other varieties examined:

EGIPTIAN SUGAR CORN:

| Date. |  |  | $\sum_{i}^{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{30}{3} \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Stripped welght. |  | 릉梁 | 'oọnf ụฺ asoon!! |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stage. |  | Fect. | Inch. | Lus. | LUs. | Pr.ct. |  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. |
| June 13 |  | S | 2.5 |  |  |  | 67.30 | 1.016 | .94 |  | 1.92 |  |
| June 20 | 1 | 5 | $\because 8$ | 1.1 |  |  | 89.10 | 1.114 | 1.17 |  | 152 |  |
| June -3 | 2 | 3 | $\because 9$ | 1.0 |  |  | 75.10 | 1.015 | 2.20 | 16 | 1.31 |  |
| July 5 | 3 | 1 | 5.2 | S | 2.1 | 1.5 | 65.75 | 1.017 | $\because \overline{5}$ | 0.5 |  |  |
| July 14 | 3 | 1 | 7.3 | 7 | 1.2 | 8 | 64.80 | 1.019 | 214 | 69 | 281 |  |
| July 16 | 4 | 1 | 75 | 8 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 64.07 | 1.019 | 1.32 | 74 | 490 |  |
| July Il | 5 | 1 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | $66^{\circ} .96$ | 1.011 | 2.38 | 81 | 2.19 |  |
| July 18 | 6 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.2 | 24 | 1.5 | 124. $\overline{\text { a }}$ | 1.027 | $\bigcirc 49$ | 2.12 | $\underline{-2} 30$ |  |
| July 18 | 7 | 1 | S. 0 | 1.2 | $\because 2$ | 1.4 | 6.36 | 1.025 | $3: 9$ | .89 | 1.35 |  |
| July 20 | 8 | 1 | 94 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 65.57 | 1.026 | 3 |  | 2 9\% |  |
| July 21 | 8 | 1 | 88 | S | 1.6 | . S | 62.23 | 1.029 | -. 50 | 3.97 | 305 |  |
| July ${ }^{2}$ | 8 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 1.4 | (0) 64 | 1.0:3 | 389 | 4.09 | 2.74 |  |
| July 25 | 9 | 1 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 60.20 | 1.034 | $-67$ | 507 | 1.64 |  |
| luly 25 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.035 | 2.61 | 3.75 | 2.03 |  |
| July 20 | 10 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 36 | 1.5 | 62.95 | 1.039 | 3.07 | 5.07 | 2.01 |  |
| Aug. 1 | 11 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 59.27 | 1.050 | 3.21 | 7.69 | 2 SI | 7.32 |
| Aug. 6 | 11 | 1 | 8.0 | . 8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 63. 36 | 1. 013 i | 417 | 394 | 2.12 | 3.25 |
| Aug. 8 | 12 | 1 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 64.33 | 1.034 | 3.20 | 385 | 289 | 3.66 |
| Aug. ${ }^{9}$ | 11 | 1 | 9.3 | . 9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 61.81 | 1.043 | 3.56 | 5.73 | 1.73 | 5.61 |
| Aug. 13 | 13 | 1 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 57.46 | 1.039 | 2.41 | 5.71 | 1.07 |  |
| Aug. 17 | 13 | 1 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 57.00 | 1.035 | 3.50 | 434 | 1.99 | 3.18 |
| Aug. 23 | 14 | 2 | 9.0 | . 9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 5399 | 1.053 | 2.19 | 927 | 2.03 | 7.92 |
| Aug. 27 | 15 | 1 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 55.35 | 1.062 | $\bigcirc 40$ | 110 | 4.14 | 10.98 |
| Aus. 31 | 16 | 1 | 9. | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 54.71 | 1.040 | 2.74 | 4.72 | 3.11 |  |
| sept. 2 | 17 | 1 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 57.30 | 1. 061 | 2.59 | 12.80 | 1.95 | 10.84 |
| sept. 7 | 17 | , | S. 0 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 54.85 | 1.07\% | 1. 73 | 13.59 | 2.19 |  |
| Sept. 10 | 18 | 1 | 9.3 | . 9 | 1.3 | . 9 | 53.63 | 1.047 | 2.50 | 7.58 | 1.05 | - |

## EGYPTIAN SUGAR CORN．

Analyses made after the ears of corn had been plucked．

| Date． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＂ <br> 空 <br> 芯芯 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\Xi}{0} \\ & \Xi \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Feet． | Inch． | Lbs． | Lbs． | Pr．or |  | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． | Pr．rt． | Pr．ct． |
| Aug． 9 | 0 | 1 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 21. | 1.1 | 61.81 | 1.043 | 3．56 | 5.73 760 | 1.73 2 188 | 5． 61 |
| Aug． 18 | 7 | 1 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 60.16 60.60 | 1．047 | 3.24 <br> 2.98 | 7.60 746 | 1.92 | ${ }^{7} 784$ |
| Ang． $2 ;$ | 14 | 2. | 9.5 | 1.2 | 27 | 2.3 | 63.88 | 1019 | 2.82 | 8.13 | 1.92 | 7.28 |
| Aug． 23 | 14 | 2 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 55.35 | 10 ¢0 | 3.45 | 7.24 | 2.45 | 7.34 |
| Aug． 30 | 21 | 1 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 56.57 | 1.0 .33 | 1.66 | 969 | Lost | 10.04 |
| Aug． 30 | 21 | 1 | 8：2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 61.19 | 1.057 | 1.89 | 10.33 | Lost | 8.96 |
| sept． 1 | 21 | 1 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 6000 | 1.061 | 1.76 | 12.57 | 1.03 |  |
| Sept． 1 | 21 | 1 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 59.02 | 1.660 | 2.18 | 11.20 | 2.18 | 1039 |
| Sept． 1 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.061 | 246 | 11.17 | 1.63 | 10.49 |
| Sept． 5 | 28 | 1 | 9.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | ． 8 | 50.14 | 1.071 | 5.41 | 9.91 | 3.35 |  |
| Sept． 5 | 28 | 2 | 9.0 | 9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 51.12 | 1.060 | 3.85 | 9.10 | 2.61 |  |
| Sept． 8 | 28 | 2 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 18 | 1.5 | 51.79 | $106 \overline{5}$ | 2.26 | 11.73 | 2.20 | 11.40 |
| Sept． 8 | 28 | 2 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 55.21 | 1.059 | 2.57 | 11.34 | 119 | 10.55 |

LoNG NARROW White dent．

| Date． |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { En }}{\text { en }} \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | ＂－ <br> 空 <br> 薮总 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \ddot{B} \\ & \ddot{B} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 莒 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 7 | 1 | 1 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 27 | 2.0 | 65.84 | 1.019 | 2.21 | 23 | 1.71 |  |
| July 15 | 2 | 1 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 60.27 | 1.024 | 2.41 | 1．8．3 | 3.96 |  |
| July 21 | 3 | 1 | 7.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.0 | 6．5． 97 | 1.029 | 3.54 | 1.43 | 2.56 |  |
| July 21 | 4 | 1 | 7.8 | 8 | 18 | 1.2 | 65.37 | 1.026 | 327 | 1.01 | 3.29 |  |
| July 21 | 5 | 1 | 7.8 | 11 | 2.4 | 1.6 | ci0． 27 | 1.1023 | 3.48 | 1.60 | 2.34 |  |
| July 26 | 6 | 1 | 9.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 60.96 | $1.0: \%$ | 3.15 | 3.56 | 2.10 | 2.80 |
| July 26 | 7 | 1 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 56.83 | 1.0033 | 3.69 | 3.05 | 2.16 |  |
| Aug．${ }_{1}$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.045 | 3.56 3.16 | 3.34 | ${ }^{3.10}$ |  |
| Aug．${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 8 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 1.9 | ${ }^{59.77}$ | 1．045 | 3.16 4.50 | 5.81 | 3.12 1.47 | 5．36 |
| Aug． 12 | 10 | ， | 10.2 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 59.70 | 1．05： | 4.41 | 8.32 | 2.27 | 8.03 |
| Aug． 18 | 11 | 1 | 10.4 | 1.1 | 40 | 1.7 | 6277 | 1.019 | 2.58 | 6.91 | 2.48 | 7.03 |
| Aug． 23 | 12 | 1 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 62.31 | 1.059 | 197 | 10.67 | 23 23 | 10.49 |
| Aug 24 | 13 | 1 | 10.6 | 1.2 | 27 | 18 | 53.58 | 1048 | $\because 53$ | 734 | 22.5 |  |
| Aug． 26 | 13 | 1 | 9.8 | 1.2 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 53.05 | 1.062 | 2.36 | 11.62 | 1.98 | 11.51 |
| Aug． 26 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.048 | 236 | 11.74 | 1.90 | 1163 |
| Aug． 27 | 14 | 1 | 9.5 | 1.1 |  | 1.7 | 57.73 | 1.048 | 316 | 7.59 | 2.75 3 39 | 728 |
| Aug． 31 | 15 | 1 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 53.55 | 1.012 | 1．55 | 5． 20 | 3.99 | 5.26 |
| ${ }_{\text {Ang．}} 31$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 | 161 | 5.42 9.51 | 3.62 <br> 3.94 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { Sept．}}{ }{ }_{\text {Sept }} 7$ | 16 17 | 1 | 9.5 9.8 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 45．95 | 1．0．063 | 3 <br> 3 <br> 185 <br> 1 | ${ }_{12}^{9.51}$ | 3.24 252 | ？${ }_{\text {？}}^{3} 818$ |
| Sept． 10 | 18 | 1 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 55.47 | 10.5 | 2.56 | 10.59 | ${ }^{2} 06$ | $10 \%$ |
| Sept． 10 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ．1．0．9 | 2.51 | 10.30 | 2.31 | 10： 29 |

EIGHTEEN－ROTTED TELLOW DEXT．

| Date． |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { 弟 }}{E}$ | Dhameter at butt． |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{5}$ <br> 空 <br> 象 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fcet． | Inc＇． | Lbs． | Lbs． | Pr．ct． |  | Pr，$\%$ ． | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． |
| July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 1 |  | 13 | 3.3 | 2.4 |  | $10 \times$ | 3 |  | 1.79 |  |
|  | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 1 |  | 11 | 1． 8 | 1.9 | $6{ }^{69} 6$ | 10 | 36 |  | 121 4 4 |  |
| July 15 | 3 | 1 | \％．${ }^{5}$ | 13 | －8．8 | 1.9 1.6 | 6is ${ }^{6}$ | 103 | － | 1.9 | － |  |
| July | 5 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 （i） | 19 | 1.3 | Gi．St | 1 12， | $\overline{3}$ | 1.54 | 38 |  |
| July 21 | 6 | 1 | 6.5 | 11 | 20 | $1: 2$ | （i）． 17 | $10: 3$ | ＋2i | 2.17 | $\because 93$ |  |
| July $\because 1$ | 7 | 1 | －1 | 12 | 2.3 | 1.5 | （i） $\mathrm{m}_{6}$ | 1025 | 30.5 | $\pm 3$ | 267 |  |
| July 26 | 8 | 1 | 9 | $1 \div$ | 2.6 | 15 | 6116 | 10.2 | 396 | 3.36 | 140 |  |
| Aug． 1 | 9 | 1 | 8. | 11 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 6.162 | 10. | 3 3it | 5.17 | 292 |  |
| Aug ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | $\frac{2}{1}$ | 110 | 11 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 5483 |  | 305 300 3 | 6.21 | 1 185 | 6． 40 |
| Aug is | 12 | 1 | 8. | 1 ： | 8.7 | 1.8 | 5． 30 | 1 （1） | 3 3 | 4.15 | $\underline{3}$ | ？ 4 |
| Aug． 24 | 13 | 1 | 10 $\because$ | 1 if | 4.3 | 2.3 | 54 | 10.0 | 1 －n | 9.21 | 1 S1 | 5.56 |
| Aug． 24 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.049 | 145 | 13.6 | － 24 | 869 |
| Aug ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.5 | 1 | 100 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 4080 | 1 （hat | $1 \geqslant$ | 11 in | ${ }^{2} 5$ | 10.77 |
| Aug ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1{ }_{1}^{1}$（6il | 1－3 | 11.4 | $\frac{3}{37}$ | 1076 834 |
| Aus ${ }^{-1}$ | $1 \pm$ | 1 | 9 | 1 1 1 1 | － | $\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 5． | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 10.5 \\ 1 & 015\end{array}$ |  | Si） 18 | 3．39 |  |
| Sept．： | $1{ }_{1}$ | 1 | 81 | 1 \％ | 21 | 1.5 | 策）（1） | $10 \cdot 9$ | 27 | 113 | \％ | 114 |
| Supt． | 15 | 1 | － | 1．－ | ：．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7 | 37 | 100 | $1 \geqslant 0$ | 318 | $\because 19$ |  |
| S．pt． 10 | 15 | 1 | 97 | 16 | ： 1 | 19 | 6，： 5 \％ | 10 | 1 in | 118 | $\bigcirc$ | 10 ：6 |
| Sept． 10 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 105. |  | 115 |  | 10 |

The sereral varieties were planted April 30th，so that the date of each analysis will enable the reader to determine the time necessary to reach the different stages：

The following table presents the results of the foregoing analyses by each stage，as has been explained in the analyses of sorghums，page 191 ；the arerage results of the analyses under each stage being given， as also a column giving the number of analyses thus areraged：

EGIPTIAN SUGAR CORN．
Analyses made after the ears of corn had been plucked．

|  |  |  |  |  | 笣 |  | 年 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average specifle } \\ & \text { gravity. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． | Pr．ct． |  |  |  |
| 7 | Aug． 19 | Aug． 13 | 2 | 3.11 | 7．5\％ |  | 12．79 | 7 \％ | $2 \because$ | 60 ふ | 1.051 |
| 14 | Aug． 23 | Aug． 15 |  | 3.13 | 7． 0 | 2.15 | 1299 | 7.31 | $\bigcirc 35$ | 5961 | 1049 |
| 21. | Aug． 31. | Aug． 23 |  | 1.99 | 10.99 | 1.61 | 14． 59 | 9.97 | 78. | 5919 | 10.5 |
| 23. | Sept． 6 | Alug． 30 |  | 3.52 | 10.52 | 2.31 | 16．30 | 1095 | 4.66 | 51．63） | $1.00 \pm$ |
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Egyptian Sugar Corn-Continued.

| Stage. |  |  |  | © © Ö Ö |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before 1 | Junc 13. | June 13. |  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. |  | Pr.ct. | Pr.ct. |  |
|  | June 20. | June 20. | 1 | 1.17 | 47 | 1.52 | 3.16 |  |  | 69.10 | 1014 |
| 2 | June 27. | June 27. | 1 | 2.20 | . 16 | 131 | 3.64 |  |  | 78.10 | 1.015 |
| 3 | July 10. | July 5. | 2 | 2.33 | 37 | 281 | 551 |  |  | 66.82 | 1.018 |
| 4 | July 16 | July 9. | 1 | 1. 232 | 74 | 4.90 | 696 |  |  | 6407 | 1.019 |
| 5 | July 11. | July 11. | 1 | 2.38 | 81 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 19$ | 5.38 |  |  | 6696 | 1021 |
| 6 | Jaly 18. | July 15. | 1 | 249 | 2.12 | 230 | 6.91 |  |  | 64.56 | 1027 |
| 8 | July 18 | July 18. | 1 | ${ }_{2}^{3.94}$ | 4.89 | ${ }_{2}^{1.38}$ | ${ }_{9}{ }^{5} 87$ |  |  | ${ }^{63} 6381$ | 1.025 |
| 9 | July $2 \overline{3}$ | July 20 | 2 | 2.6 .4 | 442 | 1.84 | 8.90 |  |  | 6020 | 1.035 |
| 10 | July 26. | July $2 \overline{5}$ | 1 | 307 | 507 | 2.01 | 10.15 |  |  | 62.95 | 1.039 |
| 11 | Aug. 4. | July 30. | 2 | 3.65 | 579 | 22 | 1166 | 5.30 |  | 61.45 | 1.043 |
| 12 | Aug. 8. | Aug. 5. | 1 | 3.22 | 385 | 2.89 | 996 | 366 | -2 26 | 64.33 | 1. 134 |
| 13. | Aug. 15. | Ang. 14. | 2 | 296 | 503 | 1.53 | 952 |  | . 54 | 57.23 | 1039 |
| 14 | Aug. 23. | Aug. 17. | 1 | 2.19 | ${ }^{9} 27$ | 2.03 | 1349 | 7.92 | 5.0.3 | 5399 | 1.053 |
| 15 | Ang. 27. | Aug. 19. | 1 | 240 | 11. 02 | 414 | 17.56 | 10.98 | 4.48 | 58.38 | 1.062 |
| 16 | Ang. 31. | Aug. 26. | 1 | 2.71 | 4.72 | 3.11 | 10.57 |  | $-1.13$ | 54.71 | 1.010 |
| 17 | Sept. 4. | Aug. 30. | 2 | 266 | 13.20 | 2.15 | 17.93 | 10.81 | 8.47 | 56.6 | 1.067 |
| 18 | Sept. 10. | Sept. 6. | 1 | 2.50 | 7.58 | 1.05 | 11.13 |  | 4.03 | 53.63 | 1.047 |

LONG NARROW WHITE DENT.

| 1 | July 7. | July 15. | 1 | 2.21 | 23 | 1.71 | 4.14 |  |  | 68.81 | 1.019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | July 15 | July 16. | 1 | 2.41 | 1.83 | 3.96 | 8.20 |  |  | 60.27 | 1.0:4 |
| 3 | July 21 | July 18. | 1 | 3.51 | 1.43 | 2.56 | 7.53 |  |  | 6.5 .97 | 1.029 |
| 4 | July 21. | July 19. | 1 | 3.27 | 101 | 3.29 | 7.60 |  |  | 6.5 .37 | 1.026 |
| 5 | July 21 | July 20 | 1 | 348 | 1.60 | 2.34 | 742 |  |  | 60.27 | 1.023 |
| 6 | July 26. | July 2.). | 1 | 3.15 | 356 | 2.10 | 8.81 | 2.80 |  | 60.96 | 1.032 |
| 7 | July 20 | July 28. | 1 | 369 | 3.03 | - 2.16 | 890 |  |  | 56.83 | 1.033 |
| 8 | Aug. 1. | July 30. | 2 | 3.36 | 5.57 | 3.11 | 1204 | 5.36 |  | 5977 | 1.045 |
| 9 | Ang. 9. | Ang. 3 | 1 | 450 | 4.40 | 1.47 | 10.37 | 36 | $-1.57$ | 56.96 | 1.041 |
| 10 | Aug. 12. | Aug, 5 | 1 | 4.41 | 832 | 2.27 | 15.00 | 8.13 | 1.61 | 59.70 | ]. 053 |
| 11 | Aug. 18. | Ang. 11 | 1 | 258 | 690 | 2.48 | 1196 | 7.03 | 1.84 | 62.73 | 1.049 |
| 12. | Aug. 23: | Aug, 15 | 1 | 1.97 | 1067 | 23.3 | 14.94 | 10.49 | 6.38 | 6.2.34 | 1.059 |
| 13. | Aug. 25. | Aug. 17 | 3 | 2.42 | 10.23 | 204 | 1469 | 11.57 | 5.77 | 52.81 | 1.0 .37 |
| 14 | Ang. 27. | Ang. 19. | 1 | 3.16 | 7.59 | 2.75 | 1350 | 7.28 | 1.68 | 57.73 | 1.048 |
| 15 | Allw. 31. | Aug. 23 | 2 | 1.58 | 5.31 | 380 | 10. 69 | 526 | $-.07$ | 53.55 | 1.042 |
| 16 | Sept. 2 | Altg. 27 | I | 3.25 | 9.51 | 3.21 | 17.00 | 939 | 3.02 | 45.95 | 1.056 |
| 17. | Sept. 7. | Alig. 30 |  | 1.84 | 12.11 | 2.52 | 16.47 |  | 7.7 .5 | 56.00 | 1.065 |
| 18. | Sept. 10. | Sept. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 2.53 | 10.44 | 2.18 | 15.15 | 10.25 | 5.73 | 55.47 | 1.058 |

EIGHTEEN-ROWED YELLOW IOENT.

| 1 | July 7 | July 11. | 1 | 3.58 | 35 | 179 | 572 |  |  | 65.26 | 1.022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | July 15 | July 15 | 1 | 3.64 | 48 | 3.21 | 7 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 62.38 | 1023 |
| 3 | July 10 | July 16 | 1 | 286 | .6.) | 4.89 | 8.40 |  |  | 62.32 | 1.023 |
| 4 | July 18. | July 18 | 1 | 2.49 | 1.89 | 5.81 | 9.72 |  |  | 63.47 | 1.028 |
| 5 | July 21. | July 19 |  | 3.86 | 1.57 | 2.83 | 829 |  |  | 62.84 | 1.028 |
| 6 | July 21 | July 20. | 1 | 4.21 | 2.07 | 298 | 9.26 |  |  | 60.17 | 1.031 |
| 7 | July 21 | July 2\%. | 1 | 395 | 2.23 | 2.67 | 8.85 |  |  | 60.09 | 1028 |
| 8 | July 26 | Aug. 5 | 1 | 3.96 | 3 36 | 140 | 8.72 |  |  | 61.03 | $10: 2$ |
| 9 | Aug. 1 | Alug. 11 | 1 | 3.65 | 5.17 | 2.92 | 11.74 |  | -140 | 60.62 | 1.043 |
| 10 | Aug. 9 | Aug. 15 | 1 | 3.05 | 6.87 | 1.35 | 11.30 | 6.40 | 2.44 | $54.8 \div$ | 1044 |
| 11 | Aug. 12 | Ang. 19 | 1 | 3.20 | 8.24 | 2.81 | 14.25 | 758 | 2.23 | $5 \pm 90$ | 1.0.52 |
| 12 | Allg. 18 | Aug. 20. |  | 3.35 | 4.15 | 205 | 9.55 | ?7.48 | $-1.2 \mathrm{~J}$ | 5.) 40 | 1.036 |
| 13 | Aug. 2.5 | Aug. 23. | 4 | 1.47 | 11.46 | 2.14 | 1507 | 9.77 | 7.85 | 54.12 | 1.0 .55 |
| 14 | Allg. 27. | Aug. 2\%. | 1 | 2.80 | 8.13 | 3.39 | $14 \quad 32$ | 8.34 | 1.94 | 59.38 | 1.051 |
| 15 | Allg. 31. | Ang. 28. | 1 | 3.22 | 618 | 2.56 | 31.96 |  | 40 | 49.64 | 1.045 |
| 16 | Sept. 3. | Aug. 30. | 1 | 2.11 | 11.89 | 5.80 | 19.30 | 11.44 | 3.48 | 50.00 | 1.062 |
| 17 | Scpt. 7. | Sept. 3. | , | 1.20 | 4.83 | 2.12 | 7.67 |  | 1.0.3 | 37.94 | 1030 |
| 18 | Sept. 10. | Sept. 6. | 2 | 1.57 | 11.65 | 2.64 | 15.86 | 10.85 | 7.41 | 63.53 | 1.057 |

The following table gives, by stages, the average results of the analyses of the twelve varieties examined. It will be observed that there is a general resemblance to the results shown on page 194, of the examination of the sorghums-a gradual increase in specific gravity of the juice and in the content of sugar; but an inspection of the detailed analrses shows that this is not as constant as with the sorghums, and that the juice does not reach at any time the high content of sugar which was found in the sorghum juices.

But that this is often so high as to give reason for belief that even cornstalks may be found a profitable source of sugar will appear, when we consider that, of the analyses made of ten rarieties of maize gromn in 1880, the juice gave, of crystallizable sugar, as follows:

> 124 analyses of 10 rarieties gare orer 9 per cent. 90 annalyses of 10 varieties gare over 10 per cent. 5.1 annalyses of 9 varieties Gave over 11 per cent. 2t analyses of 9 varieties Eare orer 12 per cent. s analyses of 4 varieties gave orer 13 per cent. 2 analyses of 1 variety gare orer 14 per cent. 1 analysis of 1 variety gave orer 15 per cent.

And of eight varieties grown in 1881, seren of which were common field maize,

3 analrses of 5 rarieties gave orer 13 per cent. 9 analyses oi 7 varieties gave over 12 per cent. 22 analyses of 7 varieties gave over 11 per cent.
23 alalyses of $\overline{7}$ varieties gave over 10 per cent.
35 analyses of 7 varieties gave orer 9 per cent.
general results of analtses of maize by stages.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before first stage | 6 | 1.37 | . 33 | 1.7 | 65.36 | 1.016 |  |  |
| First stage. | 11 | $\underline{29}$ | 35 | 2.69 | 6489 | 1019 |  |  |
| Second stage | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 64 | $\bigcirc .70$ | (6) 92 | 1.021 |  |  |
| Third stage | 9 | $\stackrel{81}{9} 8$ |  | $\bigcirc 98$ | 64 (2) | 1.025 |  |  |
| Fourth stage | 10 | ${ }^{2}-88$ | 1.43 | 3. 42 | 61.75 | 1.02S |  |  |
| Sixth stage. | 10 | 538 | 1.19 |  | 63.85 | 1.030 |  | 185 |
| Serenth stage | 9 | 319 | 191 |  | $51+$ | 1 (12) |  | $\square$ |
| Eighth stige | 14 | $2-4$ | 439 | 270 | 62. 210 | 1. (1) 5 |  | 5 (n) |
| Ninth stage | $11)$ | $\because 9$ | 6.25 | 2.00 | 60.5 | 1.011 | $1 \ldots$ | 6 |
| Tenth stage ... | 10 | 3.17 |  |  |  |  | 63 | 604 |
| $\underset{\text { Twerenth stage }}{\text { Tlift }}$ | 11 11 | $\stackrel{+1}{2} \cdot 86$ | 5.61 |  | 58. 13 58 58 | 1. 1.42 | $\therefore 2$ | 5.33 |
| Thirteenth stage | 11. | 2.61 | 8 | ${ }_{2}{ }^{2} .58$ | 58.8 | 1.042 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \% \\ +0.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{5} 59$ |
| Fourteenth stage | 9 | $\bigcirc 30$ | 7.86 | 2.7 | 5 | 104 | 2 | $\div$ - |
| Fiiteenth stage | 10 | 12 | 5.9.5 | 3.19 | 53. 50 | 104 ; | - 93 | $\bigcirc 73$ |
| Sixteenth stage. | 7 | $\bigcirc 15$ | 617 | 3.64 | 4036 | 1.041 | 35 | 79.5 |
| serenteenth stage | 10 | 1.69 | 913 | $\bigcirc .11$ | 55.03 | 1.0.71 | 492 | 98 |
| Eighteeuth stage. | 9 | 192 | 8.83 | 237 | 54.5 | 1.049 | 4.4 | 8.39 |

It is to be remembered that maize has been grown for centuries as a source of grain only, and to that end varieties have been selected and developed. It is found, even now, that very great differences exist in the several varieties, in regard to the juicy character of the stalk and in its content of sugar. Some varieties have a spongy stalk, quickly drying up, and yielding little juice upon pressure; others have, even when the grain is quite hard and ripe, a heavy, juicy stalk, resembling the sugar-cane or sorghums, and this juice is found to be rich in sugar. Differences quite as great are found in the sorghums, as will be seen upon page 101; and it is quite possible, if not even probable, that, by a few years of careful selection, varieties of maize may be grown, the stalks of which shall be more valuable for sugar than is the crop for grain, even though the product of this may be but little diminished.

The following tables give the acidity of two varieties of maize juices-one sweet, the other field maize-which may be compared with similar tables for sorghum juices upon page 253:

## CORN JUICE, ACIDITY.

Egyptian Sugar Corn.

| Date. | Stage. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c. c. of } \\ & \mathrm{N} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{NaNaO}}{50} \\ & \text { for } 100 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{c} . \\ & \text { of juice. } \end{aligned}$ | Specific gravity of juice. | Per cent of acid as malic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 25. | 9 | 93 | 1.034 | 121 |
| ${ }^{26}$ | 10 | $10 \%$ | 1.030 | 197 |
| Aug. 1. | 11 | 129 | 1.050 | . 156 |
| 8 | 12 | 140 | 1034 | . 181 |
| 9 | 11 | 124 | 1.043 | 159 |
| 17. | 13 | 164 | 1.038 | 211 |
| 18. | 14 | 184 | 1.083 | .228 |
| 23. | 14 | 92 | 1.053 | . 117 |
| 31. | 16 | 136 | 1.040 | . 175 |
| Sept. 2 | 17 | 80 | 1.061 | . 100 |
| Sept. 7 | 17 | 104 | 1.073 | . 129 |
| 10. |  | 1:32 | 1.042 | . 169 |
|  | 18 | 148 | 1.017 | . 190 |

Lindsay's Horse Tooth Corn.

| Date. | Stage. | c. c. of <br> N <br> -HNaO <br> for <br> for $100 \mathrm{ce.c}$. <br> of juice. | Specific grarity of juice. | Per cent of acid as malic. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 25. | 9 | 152 | 1.033 | 197 |
| 26 | 9 | 128 | 1.030 | 166 |
| Aug. 1 | 11 | 156 | 1. 040 | 201 |
|  | 10 | 132 | 1.050 | .16s |
| ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | 11 | 118 | 1.041 | . 151 |
| 8 | 12 | 112 | 1.040 | 144 |
| 23 | 14 | 112 | 1.042 | 144 |
| $\stackrel{27}{81}$ | 15 | 140 | 1.041 | 180 |
| Sept. ${ }_{2}$ | 16 | 116 | 1.050 | . 148 |
| Sept. 7 | 17 | 5 | 1.056 | . 071 |
| 10 | 18 | 121 | 1.061 | . 158 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Analyses of Maize Stalks.

The following table shows the arerage results of numerous analyses of several varieties of maize. In these averages, every analysis made during the entire period is included, and none were made until the grain was so far matured as to be at its best for feeding purposes.

The average weights of the canes are a little different from those given on page 431, but those are the average weights of those stripped stalks which were analyzed, the table upon page 439 being of all the stalks cut of each variety.
If maize was grown in drills $3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet apart, and the plants were 6 inches apart in the rom, there would be 24,960 plants per acre. Upon first rate corn land such a crop is possible, and there is a column giving the results per acre upon an estimate of such a crop. In one plat there were grown at the rate of 23,150 , not allowing for those carried away by boys. There are also given the actual results obtained on the small experimental plats, calculated to the acre.

The stalks give an average result in juice fairly agreeing with the several varieties of sorghum, and the specific gravity is also fairly good; but the relative amount of impurities in the juices, as compared with the sucrose, is larger than in the sorghums.
There is an idea prevalent among farmers, that the stalks of maize are dry and juiceless when the grain is ripe, but this is quite erroneous, as any one may for himself determine. Owing to the practice of either topping the corn and allowing the ears to dry upon the butt, which is left standing in the ficld, or, more commonly, of cutting up the corn, and theu, even after months, husking out the ears, the stalks, though juicy when topped or cut, speedily dry out.

It appears that some other arrangement for drying and curing the ears could be devised, so that the sugar in the stalk could be secured. In the case of sweet or sugar corn, which is used for canning, there appears no reason why the stalks should not be utilized, since these stalks retain their content of sugar for weeks after the ears have been plucked.

It seems that judicious use of these stalks would add greatly to the profits of an industry which has reached very great proportions in. ; our country.


From the above table it will be seen, that five of the above varieties of field corn gave an average yield of available sugar of 527 pounds to the acre, with a reasomable possibility of averaging 873 pounds per acre. That is, the sugar present in the juice, was in this excess above the sum of glucose and other solids, and our experiments have shown that this available sugar may be increased by properly making the syrup, see page 309 .

It will be seen that these five varieties also give as the average of the total sugars per acre 1,195 pounds, with a reasonable possibility of 1,977 pounds per acre, which is equal to from $1,5 \overline{3} 3$ to 2,570 pounds of syrup, by allowing, as is correct, 70 per cent of total sugars for syrup. This would be equal to a product of from 124 to 206 gallons of syrup per acre, allowing $12 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds to the gallon. The average actually obtained was 124 gallons, and the -06 is at least possible.

Besides the above results embodied in the table, there were made seven separate analyses of bundles of sugar corn stalks, from which the ears had been removed for canning from 1 to 6 weeks before the stalks were cut and examined. As these stalks were from a field of over 2,000 acres, the entire product of which was used for canning purposes, the results are perhaps of greater practical interest. The average available sugar in the juices of the seven lots was 6.38 per cent.

The average of 57 analyses of 9 varieties of common field corn stalk, taken for analyses from 1 to 6 weeks after the ears had been removed for roasting, was as follows:

| juic | 52.66 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Specitic gravity of juice | 1.0646 |
| Per centsucrose in juice | 10.88 |
| Per cent glucose injuice | 104 |
| Per cent other solids in juice | 5. 41 |

This would give 1,053 pounds of juice, and $60 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of available sugar, to each ton of stalks, or 13 gallons of syrup.

Sugar from Corn Stalks.
The author has made many experiments for the purpose of extracting the sugar from maize stalks, and in every case secured such a result as the character of the juice indicated. All of the experiments were by open pan evaporation, so that there would appear to be no trouble in more than reaching such results upon a large scale. The sugar extracted amounted in several experiments, in 1878 , to 32 per cent, in 1879 , to 39.3 per cent, and in one case to 47 per cent, of the weight of the syrup made. This sugar was by many preferred to the raw sorghum sugar. In taste, it much resembled maple sugar.

An experiment made with the stalks of Egyptian sugar corn, taken after the ears had been plucked for canning, was as follows:

> 621 pounds stalks with leaves and tops.
> 240 pounds leaves and tops.
> 381 pounds stripped stalks.
> 159 pounds juice expressed.
> 10. ti3 specific gravity of juice.
> 41.7 per cent of juice from stripped stalks.
> $30.3: 3$ pounds of syrup made.
> 19.1 per cent syrup in juice.
> 14.25 pounds sugar extracted from syrup.
> 47 per cent of sugar extracted from syrup. 16.08 pounds of molasses remaining.

The above is equal to a yield from each ton of stripped stalks of 74.8 pounds of sugar, and 84.4 pounds, or 6.75 gallons; of molasses.

Nelson Maltby, of Geneva, Ohio, reports a similar result, January 8th, 1883 , as, follows: "I cut 200 pounds of corn stalks, when the ear was just fit for use. It made one gallon of syrup, and from this, I obtained $3 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of sugar. I think I can improve upon this next time." His result is about 35 pounds of sugar, and 7.2 gallons of molasses to a tou of stalks.

## sUGAR AND RIPE GRAIN FROM, MAIZE.

A small plat of three varieties of field corn (Lindsay's horse tooth, Improved Prolific, White Dent), planted in drills 3 feet apart, the stalks about 8 to 10 inches apart in the row (the rows were in all 166 feet long), was cut after the grain was fully ripe. There were left for this experiment but 142 stalks, and there was obtained from these 41.25 pounds of thoroughly ripened shelled grain, from which, in 1880 , a crop was planted and grown. (Upon an acre there would have been 17,424 stalks, in drills 3 feet apart, and the stalks 10 inches apart, and at the rate of yield obtained, there would have been 5,430 pounds of shelled corn, which, at 56 pounds to the bushel, would be 97 bushels, a very remarkable but not unprecedented yield.)

After having had stalks removed for analysis, there yet remained 142 stalks to the 166 feet of row, which yielded at the rate of 69.1 bushels of shelled corn to the acre.

These 142 stalks were worked for sugar, and the results were:

| Weight of stalks with leaves | Pounds. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Weight of leaves | 67 |
| Weight of stripped stalks | 155 |
| Juice expressel by mill |  |
| Per cent of juice to stripped | 45.16 |
| Specific gravity of juice | 1170 |
| Syrup made | ${ }^{9} 9.5$ |



Of this syrup, 53 per cent was obtained as excellent sugar, and 47 per cent as molasses, which afterward gave a second crop of sugar crystals. This result is equal to a yield of 618 pounds of sugar per acre from first crystallization, and 44 gallons of molasses, besides the crop of ripened grain.

In 1881, owing to the drought, a less yield of ripe grain was obtained than in the last experiment, only 48.4 bushels per acre-but the available sugar in the juices gave a yield of 366 pounds of sugar per acre.

While, therefore, the yield of sugar and syrup from maize is less than that from sorghum, it would appear worth while to pursue these investigations, since the results already obtained show the presence, in the stalks of our common varieties of maize, of an amount of sugar far more valuable than is the grain, and which may be readily secured by the same processes employed in the extraction of sugar from the sugar-cane and sorghum.

The gencral practice of drying the corn upon the stalk would, of course, have to be modified, in case the stalks were to be used for syrup or sugar production; but there is no doubt that means could readily be devised to accomplish this result.

In the case of sweet corn, which is plucked while immature, there is no reason why the stalks should not be thus used ; and, since they retain their content of sugar for weeks after the ears have been removed, there is ample time to utilize what is largely a refuse material. Besides, if it shall be found that the profits of canning may be enhanced by this additional product from the stalks, there is reason to belicve that this busincss, already so extensive in certain sections of the country, might be greatly enlarged, and, by exportation of canned corn, increase a demand for the crop, which even now occupies fully 38 per cent of all the cultivated land of the United States. In 1880 over sixty-two million acres of land were in maize. Although it may be premature to declare the utilization of any portion of this enormous acreage of stalks for sugar and syrup production, it is true that at present they are, for the most part, practically wasted. It is also, beyond question, true that they contain, at the very least, twice as much sugar as would supply the United States. That the economical production of this vast amount of sugar is only a question of time is probable. It yet remains a promising field for future investigation.

## Per Cent of Leaves and Stripped Stalks of Maize.

The relative amount of leaves and tops, as compared with the stripped stalks of maize, is as the average of several hundred stalks of nine varieties: 59.8 per cent stripped stalks, 40.2 per cent leaves and tops.

## COMPARISON OF SORGHUM AND MAIZE JUICES.

The following table has been prepared from the results of analyses, made in 1880 and 1881, of 38 varieties of sorghum and 9 varieties of maize, and comprise some thousands of analyses.

The average results of the analyses of all those juices falling within the specific gravities given are included, and we have those between 1014 and 1073 both for sorghum, of which there were, in 1881,722 analyses made, and of maize, of which there were 202. So, too, in 1880 , between specific gravity 1019 and 1073 , there were 2133 analyses made of sorghum and 188 of maize juices.

The analyses also are averaged of those juices between specific gravity 1050 and 1070 , of both sorghum and maize, for 1880 and 1881; since these specific gravities include those juices generally worked from syrup and sugar.

There are also given the average results of those sorghum juices which were of greater specific gravity than those of maize, viz.: In 1880, from 1070 to 1090 ; and in 1881 , from 1070 to 1095 . And, finally, the general average for both years, of sorghum and maize juices between 1019 and 1073 , and between 1050 and 1070 .

Besides the average aualyses of these juices, the per cents of available sugar, total sugars, total solids, sucrose in total sugars, and in total solids, is given. The table represents a very large number of analyses, over 3,000 , and a large number of varieties, 38 of sorghum and 9 of maize; also the results of two seasons very unlike in climatic conditions, as will be seen on page 148. This conclusion, therefore, may be regarded as clearly established, which to many will appear most surprising, viz.: In every case where two juices of the same specific gravity are taken, the one of sorghum and the other of maize stalks, it will be found that, in every respect, that from maize is superior : First, in the content of sugar; second, in the per cent of sucrose in the total sugar, through a less quantity of glucose ; and, third, in the per cent of sucrose in the total solids. In other words, the maize juice is the purer, and, by consulting the table, it will be seen that it is very much more pure. For example: As the result of the analyses of 1880 and 1881, the juices of maize, of specific gravity between 1050 and 1070 , contained 12.11 per cent on an average of total sugars, and
10.41 per cent was sucrose, or 86 per cent of the total sugars. The sorghum juices of this specific gravity averaged 12.28 per cent of total sugars, of which only 9.56 was sucrose, or 77.9 per cent of the total sugars. The per cent of total solids was in each the same, 15.17 ; but in the maize juices 68.6 per cent, and in the sorghum juices 63 per cent, of the total solids was sucrose. The average available sugar was, in the maize juices, 5.65 per cent, and in the sorghum juices 3.95 per cent of the juice.

It is, however, to be remembered, that at present sorghum is far more valuable for purposes of sugargor syrup production, owing to the following reasons:

1. Sorghum is far more constant in its composition, as well as uniform, while maize appears to vary greatly, even specimens of the same variety taken at the same time from the same field.
2. Sorghum reaches ultimately a much higher content of sugar than maize, as in 1880 there were 778 analyses made of juices having a specific gravity between 1070 and 1090 , and averaging 14.26 per cent of sucrose, of which 8.66 per cent was available; and in 1881 there were 485 analyses of juices of specific gravity between 1070 and 1095 , averaging 15.85 per cent of sucrose, of which 10.68 per cent was available. At present no such maize juices have been obtained, except at rare intervals.
3. Owing to the habit of the plant in bearing its seed, and the conditions necessary for its complete development, a greater weight of crop can be grown to the acre of sorghum than of maize.

But sugar of excellent quality, and in paying quantity, has been repeatedly secured from the stalks of maize after the seed had thoroughly ripened ; and it is by no means beyond reasonable expectation that certain varieties of maize may be found or developed by careful selection, which shall rival the sorghum in its sugar content, and prove to be as constant and uniform as are the best of the sorghums. That we have in maize a plant possessing a marvelous degree of adaptability to the varying conditions of soils, climate, and cultivation, is known to all. In this respect it certainly equals, if it does not surpass, the sorghum, which has through centuries of cultivation produced varieties so widely different as to have perplexed the botanist. But we have large groups of the sorghum family poorer in sugar than any of the varietics of maize thus far examined, and there is reason to hope that when investigations shall have taken the place of ridicule and dogmatic assertion, a plant so plastic in the hands of the cultivator as maize has shown itself, may be developed into varieties equal to any at the present known as producers of grain, and at the same
time more valuable as sources of sugar. Such a result appears clearly foreshadowed in the work already done upon this distinctively native cereal Zea mais.

COMPARISON OF JUICES OF SORGHUM AXD MAIZE.


The effects of frost upon the sugtr in the maize stalk, and of allowing the stalks to remain unworked after having heen cut, are in all rospects identical with those which have already been fully set forth in the chapters upon sorghum, see pages 154 and 126.

## PEARL MILLET.

The following analyses were made of the stalks of this plant, and, as will be seen, the content of sugar was remarkable, after the plant had fully matured-in this resembling the sorghums:

PEARL MILLET．

| Date． | Development． | 告 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sept． 10 | Stamens still on | 2 |  | 062 | 1.67 | 1.12 | ＊ | ． 50.5 | 30.0 | 1.035 | ＊ |  | 3 |  |
| 10 | stamens fallen． | 2 | 6.7 | 062 | 1.57 | 1.04 | ＊ | ． 480 | 30.5 | 1.034 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 16 | No change in ap－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.17 |  | 73 |  |
| 19 |  | 2 | 5 | $00^{\circ}$ | 1.78 | 1.09 | ${ }_{76} 6.98$ | ． 406 | 22.8 | 1.049 | 11.53 |  | 7.0 | 3.03 |
| 25 | Dry tops；sucker－ ing |  | 5 | ． 06.5 | 2.50 | 1.49 | 7200 |  | 21.5 | 1.054 | 11.09 |  | 8. | 1.29 |
| 29 |  |  | 66 | 065 | 3.00 | 2.08 | 75.53 | 783 | 261 | 1.060 | 11.21 |  | 9.6 | ． 41 |
| Oct． $\begin{array}{rr}4 \\ & 4\end{array}$ | Dry tops；suckers well developed Leaves dead and | 2 | 5.1 | 056 | 2.09 | ． 98 | $67.3 \overline{3}$ | ． 529 | 25.3 | 1.061 | 14.10 |  | 10.1 | 2.70 |
|  | yellow． |  |  | ． 072 | 1． 5. |  | 64.41 |  | 20.3 | 1068 | 15.30 | 20 | 11.3 | 2.00 |
| 20 | Frost－withered |  | 6.1 | ．072 | 1.65 | 1.06 | 6.5 | ． 560 | 3：3．9 | $1.0 \overline{2}$ ． | 1315 |  | 6.7 | 3.45 |
| 291 | Quite dead |  | 5.6 | ． 054 | 153 | ． 88 | 72.54 |  | 22.0 | 1.070 | 16.18 |  | 7 | 3.38 |
| 24 | Withered | 2 | 5.3 | ｜ 059 | 1.20 | ｜ 77 | 75.77 | 302 | 25.1 | 1.058 | 18.14 |  | 11.7 | 5.94 |

An experiment was made with the juice of pearl millet，with the following results：Stripped stalks taken， 130 pounds．These were passed through an old mill，which only gave 29 pounds of juice，or 22.3 per cent of the weight of stripped stalks．This juice had a specific gravity of 1062 ，and it gave，upon evaporation， 2 pounds 10 ounces of syrup，or 9 per cent of the weight of juice．This syrup readily crystallized，and gave，in the first lot of sugar， $17 \frac{1}{2}$ ounces of sugar， or 42 per cent of the weight of the syrup．The sugar polarized $92^{\circ}$ ．

Owing to the enormous acreage yield of this plant，it seems worth further investigation as a possible source of sugar．

## CHAPTER XIV.

(a.) Exhaustion of soil by growing sorghum.
(d.) Exhaustion how prevented in growing sorghum.
(c.) Average yield of principal crops in each state of United States, from 1868-72, and from 1872-80 compared.
(d.) Value of ash constituents of principal crops of United States.
(e.) How exhaustion of soils may be prevented by use of fertilizers.

## EXhaUstion of the soil and fertilization of the crop.

In another place, page 395 , there is given the amount of mineral matter, or ash, which is removed by an average crop of sorghum. A crop so heavy must, in its seed, stalk, leaves, and roots, make an unusual demand upon the soil, and the analyses of these several portions of the plant confirm the fact, that few of our crops are, in reality, so exhaustive of plant food as is sorghum.

In the face of this fact, which is unquestionable, there is abundant evidence to show that sorghum may be grown for successive years upon the same land with little if any diminution in the yield; also, that it will succeed where many other crops fail, and that, in fact, sorghum, even after a succession of heavy crops, leaves the land in good condition for other crops. These facts are apparently established.

The following, from one of the recent reports of a convention of sorghum growers, will illustrate the erroneous conclusions which have been drawn from these facts:

There is this about it, and it ought to be taken into consideration in studying to diversify our industry, that the wheat crop is a very exhaustive crop for the soil. I can recollect back in the State of New York, where I was born, and where my parents now reside, my father, when I was a boy, used to raise 25 and 30 bushels of wheat to the acre, with rio difficulty in obtaining that yield. I was back there last fall, and he has a good farm, one of the best in that section, puts out his little patch of wheat, even now, every year, and, if he gets 8 or 10 bushels to the acre, he thinks he is doing very well; and this has been the history of all wheat counties throughout the state of New York. It is the same in the state of Maryland, Delaware, and portions of Pennsylvania; but, as time has gone on, those fields which have produced this crop have been exhausted of those properties which make wheat, and they are no longer able to produce a paying crop, and manures and fertilizers and phosphates and superphosphates have to be applied, and the yield sometimes hardly pays for the amount paid out for these. That same destructive policy is going on throughout the entire west, and it will not be many years ${ }_{2}$ even within the recollection of some
of these younger men, when a yield of 8 or 10 bushels to the acre will be all that you will obtain from your wheat crop. There is another thing in connection with the sorghum crop, and it is a remarkable thing-it is not an exhaustive crop. It was said to you to-day by Prof. Swenson, and it has been said by professors of chemistry of numerous agricultural colleges, that your sugar and syrup are obtained from the sunshine and the air, and not from the soil. The ash of the sorghum is simply nothing, the amount which it obtains from the earth very small indeed. Some of our farmers believe that sorghum acts upon the soil something like clover, that it is a fertilizer. I have heard, at different sorghum conventions, farmers talking among themselves, and saying that they did not know what crop of grain they could safely plant after a sorghum crop. If they planted oats, or wheat, or barley, it was so productive and grew so rank that it would lodye, and they would have to put some other crop upon it on that account. Here is Seth H. Kenney, who was spoken of by your worthy president this afternoon. He said that for sixteen years sorghum had been raised upon the same field, and the last crop was just as productive as the first that was put upon it, and no fertilizer employed during that time. I think, however, I heard Mr. Kenney say that, a year or two aco, he did apply some fertilizer. Mr. Swartz, who lives in Illinois, near St. Lonis, for 12 years has grown a crop upon the same soil without the application of any manure, and he says that every year the juice is purer, and the syrup and the sugar are better from that land. This is a remarkable quality in this crop. It is not going to itnpoverish your land.

Without questioning any of the interesting facts above stated, as to this remarkable plant, it would be difficult to draw conclusions more erroneous, or ultimately more fatal to successful agriculture.

The fact that the acreage yield of wheat has fallen off in many sections of the country, is beyond question, but it is also true that many crops may be successfully grown upon lands which will not yielda a good return in wheat.

Clover, for example, has been long used as a crop preparatory to wheat, and yet clover makes a far greater demand upon the soil than does wheat, as is established by analysis. So, also, sorghum really exhausts the land far more rapidly than wheat or even clover, and yet it may be grown for years upon the same land successfully.

It is also a matter of common experience, that sorghum is capable of withstauding a period of drought, which would be fatal even to the crop which most nearly resembles it, maize (or Iudian corn).

We must look further, for the solution of wheat appears contradictory.

The mutual relations of wheat and clover have been thoroughly investigated, and their apparent anomalies fully reconciled. Wheat is a plant of a short period of growth, of a very scanty leafage, and, with mostly surface roots, comparatively limited in amount; while nearly opposite conditions are found in the clover plant, with its abundant
leafage, its prolonged life, and its root system, which enables it to seek supplies of food from the subsoil. It is then obvious, that, with these far greater facilities for securing food, clover, even though requiring far more food from both the atmosphere and the soil than does wheat, is adapted to thrive where the more dainty wheat plants would fail. Not only this, but the clover plant, during its growth, accumulates an enormous amount of plant food from the atmosphere and the soil, which is left in the soil by its roots: these, by their decomposition, being sufficient to supply the limited demands for such food by a future crop, as of wheat. It must not be concluded that such crops as sorghum or clover, because growing where other crops fail, are, therefore, not exhausting crops; for, on the contrary, few crops remove so much plant food from the soil as do these. They must inevitably imporerish the soil sooner or later; and it is, therefore, of supreme importance that correct ideas shall prevail among our sorghum growers, before it is too late, concerning a matter of such fundamental necessity as that of maintaining the fertility of our lands. Indeed, one of the leading motives which should prompt us in our efforts t, produce our own sugar is, that this important commodity is wholly derived from the atmosphere, and therefore may be produced in indefinite quantities, and for uulimited time, upon our soil, without in any way decreasing its fertility. On the other hand, it is probable that our soils would become more productive, provided ouly that care be taken to return to the soil those constituents which, in the seed, bagasse, leaves, and the scums and the sediments of the sugar, will have been removed from the land.
The system pursued at Rio Grande, New Jersey, appears in the highest degree judicious. By means of several hundred swine, the entire crop of seed is consumed upon the plantation, and the bagasse is thrown into the pens to be incorporated with the manure; thus furnishing, for the enrichment of the land, an abundant supply of good fertilizing material. In addition to this, quantities of sea-weed and muck from the adjacent beach are added to the accumulations of the pens. It has been found that the hogs thrive when ferl no other food; and the profit derived from this disposition of the seed and bagasse is estimated as sufficient to enable the company to raise and deliver their cane at the mill entirely free of cost: the sugar and syrup obtained -from the cane costing only the expense of its manufacture. It is estimated that one acre of seed, with the bagasse, will prove quite sufficient for the production of one hog weighing from 350 to 400 pounds. This estimate appears reasonable, since, as is well known, the sorghum
seed equals in quantity the yield of corn upon the same ground, and chemical analysis shows, it to be practically identical in composition with corn. If swine may be fattened without loss upon corn, as is the case, there appears no reason to doubt but that this method of using the seed at Rio Grande may enable them to pay all expenses for their crop of cane. Mr. Joseph Sullivant, of Duncan's Falls, Ohio, made a thorough examination of all available statistics concerning the fattening of swine upon corn, and sums up the evidence as follows:

I conclude that nine pounds of pork from a bushel of corn, fed in the ear, twelve pounds from raw meal, thirteen and a half pounds fiom boiled corn, sixteen and a half pous ds from cooked meal, is no more than a moderate suverage, which the feeder may expect to realize from a bushel of corn, under ordinary circumstances of weather, with dry, warm, and clean feeding pens.

The two most important considerations, then, in connection with this new industry, viz., the cconomical production of sugar and syrup, and the maintenance of the fertility of the land, urge that this practice, followed at Rio Grande, N. J., be imitated, so far as may be, by all entering upon the cultivation and manufacture of sorghum.

The corn crop of 1881 occupied 37 per cent of all the cultivated land in the United States, even including the grass lands, viz., 64,262,25 acres out of a total of $173,675,409$ acres.

During the years 1871 to 1881 inclusive, the total crop of corn produced in the United States was equal to $13,662,965,083$ bushels, which at 56 pounds to the bushel is equal to $382,563,022$ tons.

The arerage of 28 analyses of maize gives 1.63 per cent of ash, and of this ash 46.53 per cent is phosphoric acid, and 32.56 per cent is potash.

So that there was removed from the land in the corn crop of those eleven years $6,235,777$ tons of mineral matter, of which $2,901,507$ tons were phosphoric acid, and $2,030,369$ tons were potash. At 12 and 7 cents per pound, the prices respectively in the so-called commercial fertilizers, the value of these two components of the ash of the corn crop for those 11 years was $\$ 696,361,680$ for the phosphoric acid. and $\$ 284,251,660$ for the potash, or a total for the two of $\$ 980$,613,340 .

Now, the total value of the corn crop for those 11 years was $\$ 5$,$883,68,121$, so that the phosphoric acid and potash in this crop were worth at those prices which are paid for those materials $16 \frac{2}{3}$ per cent of the total value of the crop. For those same years the average number of bushels per acre of corn was 26 , and the average value of the crop per acre was $\$ 11.20$.

It is hardly probable that, at the present, corn is grown at a profit
much, if any, beyond $16 \frac{2}{3}$ per cent, and yet it is beyond question true that at no distant period it will be necessary to resort to fertilizers to maintain the fertility of our lands, as has been so largely done in the Eastern States.

It was in view of these considerations that the present chapter was written, which, at its conclusion, refers to another method by which this exhaustion of the suil could be arrested. It is nardly necessary t) say that it was by the introduction of the growth of sorghum instead of corn, by the feeding of the seed of sorghum upon the farm, and by the proluction of nur sugar supply from the stalks, that this exhaustion was to be permanently arrested.

In view of our rapidly increasing population, and in consideration of the fact that the land arailable fir purposes of agriculture will be ocpied within a very short perind, as also that the exportation of our agricultural products, especially of the cereals, already enormous in the aggregate, is stealily increasing, the subject of the maintenance of the fertility of our farning lands is one of supreme material importance.

The question whether the past and present productiveness of our soil is to continue indefinitely, or whether sooner or later, through exhaustion of its elements of fertilitr, diminished crops shall result, is one which ordinary pudence sh uld not postpone $f r$ future ennsideration.

It maz, perhaps, be doubted whether as yet we poseess sufficient data from the records of our own experience, and in our own country, to enable us to decide this important question; still, we have the accumulated experience of other countries and other ages to guide $u$ as to the probable slution of our own problem.

While upen limited areas of land the fact of exhaustion appears to be pretty well established, it is a matter of some considerable difficulty to establis'ı the fact that exhaustion, more , $r$ less in degree, has manifested itself orer the whole country. The productiveness of the suil depends upon so many and so varying conditions other than the simple question of a sufficient supply of plant focd, that any conclusions drawn from the returns, of a few years even, are liable to error. For example, as we have heard repeatedly reiterated during the past ferr years, the country has for several years been blessed with almost unprecedented crops, which it would be fully to expect are to be continued in equal abundance during the next decade. As may be seen by any one looking over the agricultural statistics, there appear to be "off years" for certain crops, so that, while the conditions are favorable to some crops, they are not favorable to others.

Again, since the demand upon the soil for plant food raries both qualitatively and quantitatively so widely for different crops, while the
ability o．plants to avail themselves of the supplies of food present in the soil also differs as widely，it therefore happens that，while certain crops suffer a falling off，others may be produced in their original abundance．

In the hope of throwing some light upon this question of exhaustion， I have had recourse to the Annual Reports of the Department of Agri－ culture since the year 1861．Since then there has been，with but few exceptions，annually published the average acreage yield of our prin－ cipal crops in the Northern States，and，since 1865，in the Southern States．

These annual average acreage yields of the principal crops have been averaged for each state for the first half of the period，and for the latter half of the period－i．e．，for the Northern States，the average acreage yield is given of each crop from 1862 to 1870 ，inclusive，and from 1871 to 1880，inclusive；and for the Southern States，from 1866 to 1872 ，inclusive，and from 1873 to 1880 ，inclusive．

These results are given in the table following；and，of course，cov－ ering so long a period of years，and so wide an extent of territory，local or temporary inequalities would tend to disappear，and the general re－ sult would probably closely approximate to the truth：

AVERAGE ACREAGE YIELD OF THE PRIXCIPAL CROPS IN EACH STATE．

| States． | $\stackrel{\text { 䔍 }}{\substack{0}}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 䓌 | 空 | $\frac{\stackrel{4}{む}}{\stackrel{E}{E}}$ | 总 |  |  | 晏 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mai | 1862－70 | $310{ }^{-1}$ | 12．71 | 15.51 | 2651 | 2094 | 3344 | 1256 | 1801 | 750 |
|  | 3871－80 | 起 2 | 1370 | 16.15 | 25.79 | 20.43 | 23.29 | 1050 | 1834 |  |
| New Hamp | 1ヵ60－70 | ：3．37 | 1．4．34 | 15.46 | 28.60 | 23．09 | 19.69 | 121.4 | 2002 | 510 |
| Verm | 1871－811 | ： 89.98 | 16． 14 | 16.85 15.70 | 37.01 34.41 | 24．69 | 19.78 22.68 | 3149.8 | 2004 |  |
| Verm | 1871－：01 | 36 | 16.40 | 17.17 | 35． 31 | 25.50 | 2179 | 13.5 | 2126 | 1246 |
| Massach | 1862－50｜ | ：219 | 16.29 | 14.82 | ${ }^{2} 7.8$ | 21.99 | 168 | 112.9 | 2343 | 1268 |
|  | 1871－8 | ：4．75 | 18.21 | 15.86 | \％2 | 22，ce | 1418 | 1148 | 2256 | 15.4 |
| Phode Isla | 1862－72 | 29 <br> 203 <br> 30 <br> 27 | 16.94 | 17.40 | \％ 0.10 | 25 21 21 | 1631 | 990 90 | 2175 | 1166 |
| Comnectic | 1862－50 | ：3165 | 1681 | 13.91 | 31.2 | 碞 6 | 15.86 | 104.7 | 2484 | 1329 |
|  | 1871－80 | ：30． 07 | 16.65 | 1466 | 29.46 | 2184 | 1654 | 2s 6 | 2044 | $1: 5 \overline{6}$ |
| New Yor | 1863－21 | 3020 | 14.93 | 1517 | 30：3 | 哭䢒 | 19.77 | 103.1 | 2516 | 918 |
| ＂＂＂ | 1871－80｜ | 洨， 13 | 14.98 | 14.09 | 品 71 | 浆： | 1838 | 825 | 2352 | 959 |
| New Jers | 186－－70 | 洼 9.95 | 14.79 | 14.37 | 2894 | 2 | 18.25 | 43.8 | 2873 | 991 |
|  | 1871－80 | 37.09 | 1454 | $1{ }_{18}^{13} 88$ | ${ }^{2} 51.71$ | 20， 50 | 16．69 | c0． 9 | ${ }^{2} 2404$ | 1150 979 |
| Pemnsylvamia | 1871－80 | 86.14 | 14.13 | 1406 | 32.50 | 22.16 | 18.56 | 86.8 | 2242 | 19920 |
| Delaw | 1862－70 | 20.23 | 11.70 | 110.63 | 16.73 | 19.86 | 18.82 | 845 | 2514 | 440 |
|  | 1871－80 | 24.10 | 1271 | 11．72 | 21.36 | 16．5． | 1950 | 88 | 2094 |  |
| Maryland | 1862－70 | ${ }^{25} .82$ | ${ }_{12}^{10.92}$ | 1239 | 29.8 | ${ }^{25} 717$ | 19.85 | 73.9 68.8 | $\begin{array}{r}2632 \\ \\ 2138 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 582 |
| rimi | 1866－72 | 19.9 | 8.51 | 10.25 | ${ }_{17} 7$ | 16.60 | 14.64 | 66.0 | 2157 | 603 |
|  | 1873－80 | 20.26 | 8.51 | 9.47 | 1416 | 15.25 | 35．90 | 74.0 | 2412 | 643 |
| North Carolina | 1866－72 | 13．90 | 7.11 | 7.48 | 1361 | 15.55 | 17．73 | 87.0 | 2634 | 621 |
| ＂${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1873－80 | 14.90 | 7.15 | 8.52 | 14.27 | 16.30 | 15.70 | 86.0 | 2662 | 530 |

aterige acreage meld，etc．－Continued．

| States． |  | 淢 |  | 豙 | － |  |  |  | $\Xi$ | 芜 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Caroli | 1866－29 | 9 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 79．01 | 1093 | 525 |
| Genrsia | 103ージ | 14 | 697 | $\bigcirc{ }_{6}^{6.14}$ | 12 | 17：$\overline{17}$ |  | 80 | 2－20 | 491 |
| c | $1 \sim 3$ | 10．535 | 7．45 | 6 － 42 | 1261 | 13.67 |  | 73.0 |  | $6=5$ |
| Flo | 150 | 11.11 | 8.95 | 9.62 | 14．3\％ | 1420 |  | 110.5 | 325 |  |
| Alabam |  | 14．57 | 7.38 | 520 | 12． 71 | 10.14 |  | 26.3 | 245 | 425 |
|  | 1573－40 | 1235 | 7.42 | － 6.5 | 13.71 | 11.50 |  | 74.0 | 2790 | $50)$ |
| Missis | 1va゙で2 | 16．11 | 8． 34 | S． 33 | 14．74 | 11.50 |  | $\bigcirc 0.0$ | 2171 | 511 |
| Louisi | 15－3－40 | 15 19 19 | ${ }_{8}^{8.38}$ | 10.40 10.16 | 15.51 |  |  | 79.0 94 | 2040 | 5 |
| Louis | 1575－0 | 16.85 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 67.0 | －ciol | 76 |
| Texas | 1N5－T3 | 25.57 | 11.47 | 15 s 2 | 25.15 | 2） 91 |  | 111.0 | 240 | 593 |
|  | 150－0 | 21.37 | 1． 01 | 15.51 | 30.15 | 2 m 10 |  | 8．3 | $\cdots$ | 74 |
| Ark： | 1wiore | 27.2 | ${ }_{8}^{10.05}$ | 13．03 | 21．43 | 14．：0 | 1500 | si．${ }^{\text {ch }}$ | 25 | 70 |
| Tennes | 1vixi－3 | 233 | 7． 4.5 | 9.51 | 17.61 | 3085 | 11 90 | 73： | 2703 | 715 |
|  | 1080 | 25.25 | 7.25 | 97 | 17.93 | 15．f： | 15.44 | 5 |  | 6.9 |
| West Virginia | 1－4i－7， | 㖪， 71 | 10 cid | 13.18 | 24.97 | 17．31 | $1{ }^{15}$ is | 73，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2＊－3 | 67 |
| Kentu | 1以4－a | ご心 | 3.31 | 11.05 | 21.92 | 15．4？ | 10.55 | 72 | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| －${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1571－90 | 2） | 10．22 | 11．5s | 216 | 23， | 17．62 | $71:$ | 2－5 | 702 |
| chi | 1，－－ | 洮 | 11 听 | 1．： | こ， | 2－1 | 14i－1 | ＊：． | －1－2 | ＋ |
| ichig | 1510 | 32 | 14.0 14.05 | ${ }_{15}^{13}$ | 31． 20 | 2t | 14.34 17 | 111． | －－ | 年 |
| －${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ハイハー0 | 3335 | 14.40 | 1141 | 31.41 | 른 | 16.16 | vil | －2： |  |
| ia |  |  | 11 T2 | 14．73 | 24．82 | $\underline{2}$ vi | 15.4 | －11 | 2 | 12 |
|  |  | 31.50 | 1：3：54 | 14．16 | 250 | －2 ${ }^{2}$ | 15.4 | 69.4 | －7 | 183 |
| Illinois | 160－50 | 34.7 | 13．811 | 15.4 | 23．0． | 20， 4 | $1{ }^{16} 37$ | 50 | 3： | $\cdots 3$ |
| co | 1511－2 | 29－07 | $1{ }_{5}^{13} 4$ | 16.0 | 3049 | $\cdots$ | 14． 4 | 75.7 | － | 7\％） |
| con | 151－20 | ${ }_{22}$ at | $12 \div$ | ${ }_{17}^{17} 8$ | 24．69， | － | 155 | 1150 | －7 | 1175 |
| Minneso | 18ごご吅 | 330 | $15 \times 1$ | 193 | 35． 50 | 25.72 | 158 | 13i．ti | 52， | T0 |
|  | 1ヶアリーツ | S2 60 | 140 － | 24 約 | 1230 | 23.33 | 15．（r） | 1 Lj h | 20 |  |
| I | 1wジアい | 34 | 13．731 | 14.41 | 34．903 | 25.88 | 19.25 | 103） | 4－ | － |
|  | 1571－9） | 35.14 | 10．$\times 3$ | 1691 | 34 法 | 27 4.5 | 17． 0 （2） | 92.19 | $\because$ | 75） |
| II | $1 \times 2-50$ | 31.5 | 14.4 | 17 （4） | 23.45 | 2404 | 20 | （40 ${ }^{2}$ | 3 3－1 | － |
|  | 1512－70 | 35.35 | 12 | ${ }^{1 .} 45$ | ${ }^{2}-23$ | 27 | ${ }_{21}^{17} .7$ | 100 | 517 | （） |
|  | $1 ヶ \overline{1-w)}$ | 34.45 | 1\％． 8 \％ | 15 | 30.75 | 213 | 16 （1） | －4．0 | $2{ }^{2}$ | 640 |
| Nebras |  | 3460 | 1637 | 2057 | $30^{2}$ | 27.3 | 2－2 31 | 148 | 2500. | 4i2 |
|  | 1ッジき | 23.8 | 1205 | 16.11 | $30.8 i$ | 놀．94 | 1535 | 81. | $2 \times 4$ |  |
| fo | 1NTー－ | 38.71 | 1：3 | 26．07 | 3i， 01 | 24.65 | 201 5 | 100.9 |  |  |
| Oregon | 1vil－it | 29.94 | 19 cis | 2，${ }^{10} 6$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $29+10$ | 22.25 | 1as 0 | －200 |  |
|  | 15.500 | 20.51 | 18.10 | 19.80 | 36.50 | 20.85 |  | $12 \% .0$ | 3200 |  |

As will be observed the returns for cotton are unfortunately want－ ing，owing to the fact that the annual returus for this crop，received by the Department of Agriculture，appear $t$ ，have been very meager and incomplete．

Fur conrenience of comparison I have prepared the following table， in which the acreage yield of each cr．p for each state for the latter half－viz．，for the Norihern States from 1871 to 1880 ，and for the Southern States from 18.3 to 1880 －has been calculatel to the per cent of the yield per acre of the several crops during the first half．It will thus appear，by a glance at this table，the gain or luss per cent
which each state has made in each crop．For example，the average acreage yield of corn in the State of Maine was 3.7 per cent greater from 1871 to 1880，inclusive，than it was from 1862 to 1870，inclusive； while of wheat it was 7.2 per cent greater．Again，Connecticut fell off 5 per cent in its acreage yield of corn during the latter half．

PERCENTAGE YIELD OF EACH CROP DURING LAST PERIOD，TIE FIRST PERIOD BEISG 100 ．

| States． |  | セ 己 E | －80 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 完 | 䔍 | 0． 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 空 | O 0 0 0 0 0 0 $H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine． | 103.7 | 107．2 | 104.1 | 97.2 | 975 | 99.8 | 85 ！ | 101.2 | ＊ |
| New Hampshire | 129．1 | 10.5 | 109.1 | 129．3 | 1114 | 101.4 | 940 | 110.0 | 26i． 2 |
| lermunt． | 19.1 | 100.4 | 109.3 | $10 \leq .6$ | 10：3．6 | （115 ： | 9.3 .4 | 101．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 162.6 |
| Massaciaucelts | 107.9 | 1111 | 105．1 | 115.7 | 10：3．7 | 81.0 | 928 | 962 | 120.2 |
| Rhode Isiand． | 10：3 5 |  | 81.5 | 42.4 | 8．） 1 | ＊ | 90.9 | 34． 8 | ＊ |
| Commecticut． | 9.5 .0 | 9 S .8 | 11.53 | 918 | 917 | 107． 6 | 81.15 | 91.3 | 102.0 |
| New York | 109.7 | 100 B | 928 | 107.8 | 69.7 | 92． 4 | 80． 11 | 914．2 | 10．1． 4 |
| New Jersey | 10.31 | 98.3 | 929 | 98.0 | 100.2 | 929 | 9 9．7 | 5．3．6 | 116.0 |
| Peunsyluani | 109.4 | 106.6 | 107.0 | 1035 | 92.4 | 1025 | $92 \%$ | xs 21 | 129.9 |
| Delaware | 119.1 | 108.6 | 110.2 | 127.6 | 83.3 | 104． 4 | 92， | ＜1．$\because$ | ＊ |
| Maryland | 101．： | 110.9 | $101: 3$ | $8{ }^{8 \prime} .5$ | 67.8 | 1103． 1 | 9：-2 | socr | 104.6 |
| Virgiuia． | 101.1 | 10.1 .0 | 92.3 | $8 \leq 11$ | 918 | 108.6 | 1121 | 111.8 | 106.6 |
| North（arolina．， | 107.1 | 300.5 | 113．9 | 104.8 | 102 $\sim$ | $8 \times .5$ | 488 | 1101.0 | 833 |
| Sonth Carolina． | 970 | 117.0 | 114.0 | 141.8 | 148.9 | ＊ | 101. | 120.4 | 94.6 |
| Georgia． | 78.1 | 111.0 | 866 | 10：3．0 | 1009 | ＊ | 83.9 | 109.9 | 127.4 |
| Florida | 9） 9 | ＊ | ＊ | 92， 3 | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | ＊ | 12.6 |
| Alabama． | 89. | 109.6 | 10.7 | 107.8 | 91.7 | ＊ | 96.5 | 112.3 | 116.9 |
| Mississippi | 9： 7 | 92.1 | 1245 | 10.9 .2 |  | ＊ | 987 | 117.7 | 108.0 |
| Louisiant． | 87.9 | ＊ |  | 806 | ＊ | ＊ | 71.2 | 8.75 | 145.7 |
| Texis．． | 84.2 | 11： 4 | $9 \times 1$ | 119.8 | 12： 2 | ＊ | 75.0 | 100.0 | 124．4 |
| Arkinsus | 857 | 879 | 911 | 11036 |  | ＊ | 102．：3 | 97.1 | 92.8 |
| Temmessee | 103： | $101: 3$ | 102．7 | 101.9 | 88.1 | 129.7 | 1005 | 97.6 | 91.8 |
| We－t Virginia | 9］．01 | 10.5 | 810 | 87.4 | 81. | 107.11 | 1091 | 99.1 | 1013 |
| Kentucky．． | 98 5 | 116.0 | 10．3． | 9× 4 | 120， | 106.3 | 987 | 91.7 | 100． 7 |
| Ohio． | 109.2 | 1209 | 102．5 | 102.5 | $16 \pm 9$ | 89.0 | 1104 | 83.5 | $10_{*}^{-7}$ |
| Michigan． | 102．11 | 1196 | 908 | 101.9 | 97 98 9 | 92.8 | 76.9 | 88.5 |  |
| Indiant． | 97.4 | 1159 | 9611 | 105.9 | 94 <br> 81 <br> 81 | St 7 | 85 |  | 86 |
| Illinois | 8：3） | 97.6 | $9 \geq 1$ | 921 | 810 | 876 | 27 7 | 81.7 | S1． 1 |
| W＇istonsin． | 87： | 81.7 | 87.1 | 926 | 94.3 | 774 | 770 | 86 | $8{ }_{*}^{8.0}$ |
| Mimmesota． | 9： 7 | 826 | 126.1 | 9.5 | $102:$ | $8 \pm 9$ | 8：4 | 908 |  |
| Iowat． | 141 | 788 | 91.8 | ！ 98 | 919 | 884 | 9.4 | 83.3 | 89.4 |
| Misconti | 9.7 | 84.7 | 83.9 | 97.1 | 84． 1 | 810 | 8： 9 | 85.9 | 97.7 |
| Kッリーが， | 976 | 816 | 81.4 | 93.4 | 778 | 7 78 | 8t 0 | $8: 4$ | ${ }_{*}^{94} 0$ |
| Nehraska | 97.9 | 73 fi | 78.3 | 8.1 | 824 | S1 8 | 83 ？ | 84.7 |  |
| （alifurnia | 870 | 79.2 | 63.5 | 9．3 1 | 87.0 | 8．3．9 | 116.9 | 102.0 |  |
| Oregon．．． | 85.5 | 9.50 | 68 ： | 10： 8 | 91．${ }^{3}$ |  | 117.6 | 110.8 |  |
| Average | 930 | 99.9 | － 93.9 | 101.3 | 96.7 | 948 | 92.9 | 954 | ${ }^{+107.6}$ |

It will be seen that the average percentages for all the states is，with the exception of those for wheat，oats，and tobaceo，less than 100 ，but these averages must not be taken as representing the relative production for the enuntry at large ；since a slight decrease in those States of great productive areas would more than counterbalance a large increase in several of the smaller states，and vice versa．

[^13]The tro tables given must be studied together, since many of the states showing large percentage increase are at present very low in their actual acreage rield, in comparison with other states which have declined in their percentage yield. For example, while South Carolina shows an increase of 17 per cent in her acreage rield of wheat, and Connecticut a slight decrease, yet the actual acreage yield of wheat during the past ferr years in Connecticut is about two and one-half times greater than in South Carolina.
For the purpose of showing which of the states are actually producing less than the arerage pield of the whole countrr, the average acreage yield of the principal crops in the United States is here given:

## ATERAGE ACREAGE MELD OF UNITED STATES IN 1880.



Of the 36 states given upon the table, the production is as follows:

| orn | 22 above the arerase and 14 below. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 18 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Rre. | 20 | " | " | 16 | " |
| Oats | 20 | " | " | 16 | " |
| Barley | 7 | " | " | 29 | " |
| Juckisheat. | 10 | " | " | 19 | " |
| Potatnes | 10 | " | " | 26 | " |
| Tobacco | 17 | " | " | 17 | " |
| Hay. | 20 | " | " | 16 | " |

In the following table I have taken the average acreage yield for the United States of the principal crops in 1880 as a basis, and calculated the percentage yield of these crops in the several states in the year 1879.

For example, Maine produced per acre, in 1879, 16.7 per cent more corn and 4.6 per cent more wheat than the average acreage yield of these crops in the United States; while South Carolisa produced per acre but 33.5 per cent of the average acreage yield of corn in the United States, and but 52.9 per cent of the average acreage yield of wheat in the United States. I have selected only those crops of general cultivation throughout the country, and which are most largely produced. The aggregate value of the corn, wheat, oat, potato, and hay crops amounts to $\$ 1,608,007,820$, equal to 83.7 per cent of the value of all our leading agricultural crops.

PERCENTAGE YIELD OF EACH CROP IN EACH STATE of THE ATERAGE CROP
THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES.

|  | Corn. | Wheat. | Oats. | Potatoes. | May. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 116.7 | 104.6 | 100.0 | 118.7 | 74.6 |
| New Hampshire. | 144.9 | 115.6 | 143.4 | 125.5 | 81.5 |
| Vermont....... | 132.5 | 125.2 | 136.9 | 146.7 | 86.9 |
| Massachusetts | 1259 | 139.0 | 125.3 | 1152 | 91.7 |
| Rhode Island. | 109.6 |  | 116.7 | 99.9 | 838 |
| ( $o m m e s t i c u t$. | 109.0 | 127.1 | 114.1 | 97.3 | 95.3 |
| New York | 1200 | 114.3 | 126.8 | 90.7 | 964 |
| New Jersey. | 1344 | 111.0 | 120.0 | - 88.7 | 97.7 |
| Pennsyl ${ }^{\text {a }}$ aida. | 130.9 | 107.9 | 126.0 | 95.3 | 9.$) 2$ |
| Delaware.... | 87.3 | 97.0 | 82.8 | 915 | 8.) 1 |
| Maryland. | 94.8 . | 92.5 | 76.7 | 75 6 | 86.9 |
| Virginia. | 73.4 | 65.0 | 54.5 | 813 | 98.0 |
| North Carolina | 540 | 54.6 | 55.3 | 9.4 .5 | 108.2 |
| South Carolina. | 33.5 | 529 | 52.2 | 879 | $9 \overline{5} .1$ |
| Georgia. | 38.2 | 56.9 | 48.9 | 80.2 | 1145 |
| Florida.. | 36.6 |  | 515 |  |  |
| Alabama | 46.6 | 566 | 53.1 | 81.3 | 113.4 |
| Mississippi | 54.7 | 63.2 | 60.1 | 86.8 | 118.3 |
| Louisiama. | 61.4 |  | 55.3 | 73.6 | 105.7 |
| Texas. | 77.4 | 99.3 | 116.9 | 91.6 | 115.4 |
| Arkansas. | 84.7 | 67.7 | 86.0 | 97.8 | 112.8 |
| Tennessee | 91.5 | 57.6 | 6.57 | 85.7 | 107.2 |
| West Virginia. | 102.2 | 85.3 | 81.7 | 87.9 | 95.9 |
| Kentucky. | 1065 | 78.0 | 83.9 | 784 | 102.8 |
| Ohio..... | 131.7 | 109.8 | 113.1 | 915 | 94.6 |
| Michigan | 1208 | 114.4 | 123.7 | 91.5 | 98.5 |
| Indiana.. | 1144 | 103.3 | 99.5 | 76.3 | 1028 |
| Illinois | 10.5 : 3 | 102.8 | 118.2 | 83.2 | 110.8 |
| Wisconsin | 118.3 | 96.9 | 135.4 | 99.0 | 110.2 |
| Minnesota | 118.4 | 107.4 | 131.7 | 116.0 | 119.3 |
| Iowa | 127.3 | 82.7 | 134.2 | 102.1 | 112. 1 |
| Missouri | 108.5 | 934 | 107.2 | 83.7 | 108.6 |
| Kansas | 124.7 | 105.0 | 119.3 | 92.3 | 1193 |
| Nehraska | 122.7 | 92.0 | 119.2 | 92.5 | 120.5 |
| California. | 119.0 | 102.1 | 121.7 | 129.7 | 122.3 |
| Oregon. | 96.1 | 138.2 | 140.9 | 139.6 | 133.3 |

If, however, we limit our attention to the two most important cercals, wheat and corn, the latter in 1875 occupying 36.7 per cent, and the former 22.5 per cent of the entire acreage of our farming lands, and the value of these two cereals being equal to 61.3 per cent of all our principal agricultural crops, the conclusions may more clearly appear, and will fairly apply to the remainder of the crops produced.

It is to be remembered that the years from 1875 to 1880, inclusive, were years of very great productiveness for corn, while those from 1877 to 1880 , inclusive, were equally so for wheat.

This will appear from the fact, that, while from 1863 to 1874 , inclusive, the average acreage yield for corn in the Uniteri States was $27.0 \pm$ bushels, it was, from 1875 to 1880 , inclusive, 27.63 bushels, an increase of 2.18 per cent; and while, from 1863 to 1876 , inclusive, the average acreage yield of wheat in the United States was 11.91 bushels, it was, from 1877 to 1880 , inclusive, 13.48 bushels, an increase of 13.18 per cent.

The above would certainly appear to indicate other than any ex-
haustion; but may not this increased yield during these later years have been owing to the occurrence of other farorable conditions, the continuance of which for the future we may not safely predict?

If, as we hare done with the sereral states, we calculate the arerage acreage yield of wheat and corn throughout the country for the first and last half of this period, we shall find that, previous to these later years of unusual production, the averages had fallen so low as to almost overcome this increase in the wheat, and to more than do so in the case of the corn.

Arerage acreage yield for uaited states.

The increase in wheat, though including these four rears of unusual production, is only $3 . t$ per cent; while the decrease in the rield of corn, though including the past six years of good crops, amounts to 3.3 per cent.

For the purpose of studying this matter more thoroughly, as also for the purpose of throwing some light upon these results, I hare grouped the several states as follows, and have calculated the above results for the several groups.

1st. The New England and Middle States, viz.: Maine, Nerr Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, Pennsylrania, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland.

2ud. The South Atlantic and Gulf States, viz: Virginia, West Virginia, Jorth Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas.

3rd. The Central States, viz.: Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Kenturky, Tennessee, Missouri, Arkansas.

4th. The North-western and Western States, riz.: Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, California, Oregon.

In 1879 there was produced in the United States $1,547,901,790$ bushels corn, ralued at $\$ 580,486,217 ; 448,756,630$ bushels wheat, valued at $\$ 497,756,630$.

Of these aggregates, there was produced in each of the several sections of country, classified, as follows:


The average acreage yield of these several sections for the first and later half of the period during which reports are given, and the average percentage yield for the later half of the period, the first half being 100 , is as follows:

|  | Corn-Average yield in bushels. |  | Wheat-Average yield in bushels. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st half. | 2nd half. | 1st half. | 2nd half. |  |  |
| New England and Middle States. | 3086 | 32.87 | 14.16 | 14.86 | 1065 | 10.4 .8 |
| South Athatic and Gulf States.. | 17.38 | 1596 | 8 8: | 874 | 92.0 | 1050 |
| Central states.... |  | 29.79 | 1153 | 11.91 | 97.2 | 103.9 |
| Northwestern and Western States | 3467 | 32. 59 | 16.36 | 13.56 | 94.1 | 825 |

If, now, we take the average acreage yield of these two crops in 1879 as a basis, and the reported prices for that crop, we may readily estimate in bushels and money the gain or loss to these several sections in one year, in having maintained or fallen short of the average production of the first half of the period under consideration.

This result will be found as follows:



From the abore it appears that the total gain was $\$ 16,478,866$ ，and the total loss $\$ 58,947,057$ ，or a total net loss of $\$ 42,568,191$ ．

It will，of course，be understood that owing to the different acreages of the several states thus grouped torether，as also the fact that their percentages of loss or gain are unlike，the above results are obviously， at best，but approximately true．It would be necessary to consider each state by itself，to learn the exact state of facts existing relative to the production of that state；but each for himself may readily make such calculation．

I have，however，selected those states the production of which is very large of these two cereals，and we will consider them somewhat in detail．

The states selected produce an aggregate of over 70 per cent of our wheat and corn，and are，moreorer，those states whose production is so largely in excess of their consumption，that it is from their surplus that we derive our large supply for exportation．

It seems proper，then，to consider the past and present production of these states with unusual care．Those selected are Ohio，Indiana， Illinois，Iowa，Missouri，Kansas，Nebraska，Wisconsin，Minnesota， California．

|  |  |  | 我 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 我 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { 気 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ohin． | 105，686，000 | 36，591，750 | 33.29 | 36.36 | 11.90 | $14: 3$ | 103 | 120．9 |
| Indiana．．． | 134．923，560 | 43，709，960 | 3：309 | 835 | 11 7 | 1：3 34 | 9.94 | 11．5 9 |
| Jllinois | 312 2－21．un | 44， 2 2i，M0 | 34.8 | 23.17 | 1：30 | 13 4 | 83. | 97.6 |
| Inwa | 185，180，230 | 32，785．240 | 346 | 3．7．14 | 1：3．7： | 10 ® | 1014 | Tis |
| Missouri． | 141，9：9．410 | $23.801,6(8)$ | 31．4． | 29.95 | 144 | 19 | 92－\％ | 81.7 |
| Kansas | $89,720,4(4)$ | 18．079， 000 | 3.33 | 34 | 16 m | 138 | 97 | 814 |
| Nebraska．．． | $62,4.59,400$ | 13，043， 590 | 34.60 | 3im | 163 | 130. | 97.9 | 7.311 |
| IIisconsin．． | 39.912 .40 | $20.36-7.20$ | 83.37 | 3244 | 15．te | 1220 | s7． 3 | ¢ 7 |
| Minnesotal | 15，715， 000 | 31，585，5201 | 33707 | ：2，fi | 15.87 | 170 | 8 | 8. |
| California．． | 2，814，000 | 3－3，00．），400 | 3771 | 3285 | 16 ss | 13．：3 | 870 | 7 |
|  | 1，030，577，500 | $32303 \% 303$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The above states give an aggregate yield of corn equal to 70 per cent, and of wheat equal to 72 per cent, of the total yield of the United States.

The aggregate value of the corn is equal to 55.7 per cent, and of the wheat to 64.7 per cent, of the entire crops of these two cereals in the United States.

From the above data, we find that the gain or loss in bushels of corn or wheat, and in value, calculated at the average prices for these crops in these several states, is as follows:


In nearly all of these states there is a loss of both corn and wheat, amounting in the aggregate to a net luss of $60,994,852$ bushels of corn, and $19,251,715$ bushels of wheat, with a value for the corn of $\$ 18,547$,147 , and for the wheat of $\$ 16,734,975$, or a total loss for both of $\$ 35,282,122$.

This deficiency and annual loss, it will be understood, represents the diminished yield of corn and wheat of these states, through failure on the part of the soil, from whatever cause or catises, to maintain the same acreage yield which it had averaged from 1862 to 1870 , inclusive.

Despite the very great inequalities of productiveness in the several sections of the country, which are doubtless largely due to inherent
and original differences in the character of the sill to climatic conditions farorable or unfarorable to agricultural production, ant in part also to better agricultural metherls ohtaining in sume sections, it is true that orer large and most productive areas of our country, the fertility of the soil has suffered appreciable diminution.

If we coutrast the New England and Middle States, for example, with those of the Central or the Torth-western and Western States, we shall fi: d, although the former were but a few rears ago surpassed in productirenes: by the latter, that, during the short period of fifteen or twenty years, the yield of the latter has fallen off, while that of the New England and Middle States has increased, so that at present the acreage yieh of these New Eagramd amd Minde status surpases that North-western and Western States and of the Central States.

This is the more remarkable, in view of the fact that these same lands which have so increased in productiveness have been under the plow for at least a century longer than those of the West.

This result is probably due largely to inherent differences in the soils of the several sections. The rocks of New England, which by their gradual disintegrati n hare frmed these lamls, will fa hably fomal richer in the mineral constituents of plant food than the rocks of the West. But it is beyond question that the early settlers upon these western lands found them rich in the elements of plant food, which through ages had accumulated.

The cortaued cropning and wasteful methods which characterized the early years of western agriculture appear, howeser, to have partly, at least, exhausted these accumulated stores of food, as evidenced by diminished crops.

As is meil known, the cereals require for their development large quantities, comparatively, of potash and phosphoric acid, and these two mineral constituents exist in comparatively rery small quantities in the rocks or in the soil derived from them. It folloms, therefore, that they would naturally be sonest remored from the soil by continual cropping, and, as is known, this removal has necessitated the restoration to the soil of these constituents through the application of products coutaining them.

The snecalled enmercial fertilizers which have within the past few years been manufactured and sold in the country, especially in the Sew England and Atlantic States, are chiefly valuable fir these twa constituents.

If we take as a basis for our calculation the returns for 1879 , we may readily determine the amount of these important mineral constituents Which is annually taken from our soil by these crops.

In the following table this is shown, and the results are in every case an average of a very large number of separate analyses, by different chemists often, and of samples of the crop grown in different sections and different years, so that there can be little doubt but that the results are very near the exact truth.

The aggregate amount of our principal crops is $106,889,390$ tons, and the total mineral matter present in this crop equals $3,707,223$ tons, and of this mineral matter or ash there are $1,301,224$ tons of potash and 679,901 tons of phosphoric acid. In other words, 53.4 per cent of the total mincral matter necessary for the production of our crop of 1879 (and the same is practically true of every other year) was composed of these two constituents, phosphoric acid and potash, which, in commercial fertilizers, agricultural chemists have agreed are worth respectively 12 and 7 cents per pound.

In the matter of fixing these prices, it must be understood that the chemists have no more to do than in fixing the price of flour or nails, or any other marketable commodity. They simply declare, from the composition and price of such fertilizing materials as are found in the market, that, in certain forms and in certain markets, these constituents may be obtained at such prices.

CROPS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 1879.

|  |  |  | - ISv quoa not oloudy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (oril . .........bir | 1, $247,901,790.56$ | $43,241,20036$ | 16 | 2\% | 16 | 7.3.709 | 201,216 | 2nc, 738 |
| Wheat......... do | 448,706,6;0 60 | 13,462, 699998 | 2.00 | 1318 | 46.1 | 264,251 | 82,712 | 121,821 |
| Oits ..........d. do. | $36: 3,761, \because \because 20 \mid: 2$ | $5.8 \div 0,151 \sim 1$ | 330 | 15 (i) | 21 3 | 112,066 | 29,862 | 40,910 |
| Iiver ......... do | 23, $63.9,460,36$ | 6it 1,90. 21 |  | 2 S ¢ | 4.) 6 | 1:3,2:3 | :3,81: | 6,0:37 |
| Barley........do | $40,28: 5,100$ - | 966.794 | 250 | 21.2 | 32.8 | 24,170 | 5,1.4 | 7,428 |
| Bnckwheat ...do | $13,140,000$ - 48 | $315,360{ }^{2}$ | 1.60 | 14.8 | 454 | 5,(46 | 749 | 2,442 |
| Potratoes....... do. | 181,622,400 60 | 5,448,792 39 |  | 60.9 | 18.3 | 22,340 | 13,605 | 4,085 |
| 'Tohatreo.. ...llos | 391,2\%ッ,3\% | 195, 63918 | 2080 | $17: 3$ | 8.1 | 40,69:3 | 7,040 | 3,296 |
| Hay...... tons | 8.7, 49\%,000 | 3-, 49:3,000 34 | 67 | 139 - | 64 | 2,302, 20n | 952,107 | 153,102 |
| Cuton . . . . . . lbs. | 2,367,540,900 | 1,183,770 | 130 | 132.5 | 10.0 | 15,389 | 4,996 | 1,539 |
|  | 1 | 106,859,390 |  | ) | - | 3,707,293 | 1,301,294 | 679,901 |

In the following table, the value of the potash and phosphoric acid in the several crops has been calculated, and for the purpose of comparison the value of the several crops is given:

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corn | \$24,170,210 | \$ $976,297,120$ | \$100,407, 260 | \$5 50, 486,217 | 17.3 |
| Wheat | 11,579,68( | 29, 237,0414 | 40,516, 220 | 497, $1830,1 \mathrm{l} 2$ | 42 |
| Oats | 4, 180, 6.50 | 9,518.40) | 13,949,050 | $12,5 \% 3,3$ | 117 |
| Rare | 717 | 1, 148,80 | 1,982, 700 | 15,967,431 | 118 |
| Buckwheat | 104,860 | 585,080 | -690,940 | 7,856,191 | 88 |
| Potatoes. | 1,904,760 | 976,1:0 | 2,580, 520 | 79, 15:3,67. | 3.6 |
| Tobacco. | 985,600 | 791,014 | 1,776,640 | $22,727,5-4$ | 7.8 |
| Cotton | 133,294,900 | $36,74.480$ 369,360 | $171,039,460$ $1,068,800$ | $3331,504,494$ $2+2,140,987$ | 51.5 |
|  | \$178, 171,360 | \$158, 171, 220 | \$3:36,342,550 | 1,919,951,397 | 17.5 |

It will be seen that the total value of potash present in the crops is equal to $\$ 178,171,360$, and of the phosphoric acid $t$, $\$ 158,171,220$, or together reaching the enormous aggregate of $\$ 336,342,580$, equal, as will be seen, to 17.5 per cent of the entire value of the crops.

There is also given in the table the value of the potas'l and phosphoric acid present in each crop, as compared with the market value of the crop, and it will be observed that in this respect there are great differences between the several crops. For example, while the potash and phosphoric acid in a bushel of corn is worth 17.3 per cent of the average market value of the corn, these same two constituents in a bushel of wheat are worth only 8.2 per cent of the average market value of the wheat. This result is due to the greater price which the wheat brings in the market as compared with corn ; for the bushel of wheat contains 9.1 cents worth of these two constituents, while a bushel of corn contains only 6.5 cents worth.

The small percentage value of these present in the cotton crop is of course due to the fact that this crop is composed almost wholly of atmospheric constituents, and, so far as the fiber is concerned, makes almost no demand upon the soil for its production.

The small percentage value in the potato crop is likely to be misleading It is, of course, because so large a percentage of this tuber is composed of water (some 90 per cent). But, owing to the very great acreage yield of this crop on good land, the actual amount of potash
and phosphoric acid removed is in reality very great, and, as is well known, this crop is, especially as regards potash, a very exhausting crop.

The hay crop, from every consideration, deserves especial attention, occupying as itdoes so large a portion of our cultivated land (19 per cent), constituting one-third the weight of the entire aggregate of our crops, and removing annually from our lands an amount of potash and phosphoric acid almost exactly equal to the amount removed by all the other crops combined. Its discussion is of the greatest importance.

Owing to its comparatively cheap production, it is sold at a price incomparably less than any other crop, and yet, as will be seen by reference to the analysis given, which represents the average of 34 of our native American grasses, the demand it makes upon the soil is very great. The potash and phosphoric acid present in its ash is, at the prices we have given, equal to 51.5 per cent of the average selling price of the hay itself.

Fed to the animal, practically all of these two constituents are returned to the manure pile; since in the animal economy but a trifling amount of potash is needed, and only so much of phosphoric acid as will suffice for the production of the bones of the growing animal.

It follows, then, that the manure obtained from the feeding of a ton of hay, having an average composition such as those analyzed, contains an amount of potash and phosphoric acid which, at the prices given, is equal to a little more than half the average selling price of hay in the United States, which was in 1879 given at $\$ 9.32$. This will be readily seen to be true, for 2,000 pounds hay with 6.74 per cent of ash would contain 134.8 pounds of ash. Of this ash 39.8 per cent is potash, or 53.7 pounds; and 6.4 per cent is phosphoric acid, or 8.6 pounds. Now, 53.7 pounds at 7 cents equals $\$ 3.76$, and 8.6 pounds at 12 cents equals $\$ 1.03$, or together $\$ 4.79$, which equals 51.4 per cent of $\$ 9.32$, the cost of the hay.

What has been said about the feeding of hay to the animal is equally true of the cereals and other feeding crops. Unlike the vegetable world, the animal demands little mineral food, mainly phosphoric acid and lime, which comprises the ash obtained by the cremation of the animal.

We have, then, obviously one solution as to the important question : "How shall exhaustion of our lands be prevented?" The answer the above facts force upon us is: increase so far as possible the home consumption of our agricultural products, and carefully preserve and return to our lauds such portions of those products as are either not fed to the animal, or, if fed, are not assimilated.

None need to be reminded that this practice has been repeatedly urged upon the farmer, and, indeed, thousands of practical illustrations abound proving that profitable agriculture and productive lands are closely connected with flocks and herds.

But if our present methods shall continue, and our exportation of cereals increase, the time must come, unless our experience is to be wholly uulike that of other countries, when, to increase the production of our lands we must at last have recourse to the same methods which have long obtained in great Britain and upon the Continent, and in the New England, Middle, and Atlantic States of our own country, viz., the application to our soils of those mineral constituents which now are being so rapidly removed. When that time comes, as come it assuredly must without some modification of our methods, it will be found that the profitable production of the cereal crops at the prices we now obtain for them will be an impossibility. Indeed, already in many parts of the country the continued production of corn, as in the past, is found to be almost without profit, and there have sprung up many factories hoping by its manufacture into other products to increase the profits in its production.

The manufacture and sale of commercial fertilizers has rapidly developed during the past few years in our country, and already reaches an aunual aggregate of many millions of dollars.

In Great Britain, there was imported in a single year 394,843 tons of fertilizing materials, worth $\$ 20,049,042$. Every corner of the earth and island of the sea has been ransacked, almost, to suipply her with the means for increasing her crops. Within five years from the time when Liebig called attention to the deposits of guano, her importation of this most valuable fertilizer reached the enormons amount of 283,300 tons in a single year, and her consumption of superphosphate is estimated amually at 250,000 tons.

But, in addition to this, her importations of cereals and breadstuffs for consumption within her borders has added greatly to the aggregate supply of her material for the enrichment of her farming lands. As a result of this enrichment of the soil, we have seen the productiveness of these farming lands of Great Britain, within the past thirty years, enormously increased, until it appears, according to the authority of one of their foremost agriculturists, they have reached about the last limit of profitable production in their agriculture.

In connection with this subject of commercial fertilizers, it is interesting to consider the results which have followed the chemical control which has been maintained over this great industry. All will under-
stand that the determination in a commercial fertilizer of the amount of those constituents of which our various crops are composed, is a chemical question, and we have, in most of the states, chemists appointed, whose duty it is to analyze and report upon such fertilizers as are offered for sale. The result of this chemical supervision gives abundant evidence that this work of the agricultural chemists of the country has, even in a pecuniary sense, very greatly added to the profits of the farmer.

The following table contains the results of analyses of commercial fertilizers, made by different chemists in different states during the past thirteen years, and shows the improvement which has taken place during these years, both in quality and price :

IMPROVEMENT•IN FERTILIZEISS.

| Years. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { xo. an- } \\ & \text { alyzed. } \end{aligned}$ | Chemist. | Averace mesket price. | Average value. | Per cent of r゙alue to cost. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 186 | 16 | Johnson. | 8.594 | \$21 0 | 36 |
| 1870-2. | 15 | Bruckner | 5) 07 | 17 ¢0 | 35 |
| 1871-2 | 11 | Storer | $56: 36$ | 3632 | 64 |
| 1875 | 10 | Goessman |  |  | 67 |
| 1881 | 36 | Dabney... | 3740 | 3320 | 89 |
| 1881. | 57 | Genth: | $34 \cdot 79$ | 3293 | 95 |

From the above results, it will be seen that, within the past thirteen years, the average price per ton has fallen from $\$ 59.47 \mathrm{t}, \$ 34.79$, or 41.5 per cent, while the intrinsic value per ton has increased during the same period from an average value of $\$ 21.36$ to $\$ 32.93$ per ton, or 54.2 per cent. In other words, did the same relation of value $t$ cost obtain to-day which existed in 1818, the average est of the fertilizers analyzed by Dr. Genth would have been $\$ 91.68$ per ton, whereas it is $\$ 34.79$, equal to 38 per cent only, thus showing that the purchaser of commercial fertilizers of today makes an average saving of 62 per cent.

Not only has this great saving been effected during the past few years, but it is to be observed, also, that the basis of valuation of these fertilizers has also been changed, and in this way increased greatly the benefit accruing to the purchaser and consumer of these commercial prorlucts. For example, in 1869, the value generally given to soluble phosphoric acid was $16 \frac{1}{4}$ cents per pound, while now the same constituent is estimated at 12 cents per pound.

The above statement but partially represents the whole truth in this matter-for, besides these, as one might say, legitimate products, there
were sold in these earlier dars, less than ten years ago, as fertilizers, material not worth, in fact, the barrels in which the stuff was packed for market; as, for example, the " What is It," as it was called, which in reality was only the powdered gangue rock of an abandoned gold mine. In another case, harbor mud was put up and sold as a valuable fertilizer.

But, at the present time, owing to the careful supervision which this matter receives, it is somewhat rare to meet with such cases of fraud; although, within a year, my attention was directed to one of my own analyses of one of these almost worthless products, where the results of the analysis had been increased one hundred fold by carefully removing the decimal points, in my report of the analysis, two places to the right, so that the one-tenth of one per cent of potash present was made to appear as ten per cent, and so throughout the entire analysis.

Our country has not been alone in this experience, though we hare passed through it much sooner than did England and Germany. In $18{ }^{5} 5$, Professor Voelcker declared "that, if ever there was a time when the agriculturist had need to exercise special cation in the purchase of artificial manures, that time is the present, for the practice of adulterating standard fertilizers, such as guanos, superphosphates, and so forth, has reached an alarming extent." One of our foremost agriculturists has recently declared that, "I have come to the conclusion that there is no way in which the Department of Agriculture can aid the farmers of this country more than by a careful analysis of the commercial fertilizers sold on the market. The use of these fertilizers has become a necessity in all the older states-a necessity which is to increase from year to year. There is not one farmer able to tell their value except by actual trial, and that must be made after his money is gone."

In concluding this paper, we would say that, from the data presented, it appears to be established that, during the past twenty years, the productiveness of our soil has sensibly decreased; and in those sections where the fertility has been fully maintained, it has been largely due to the fact that our farmers have resorted to the same means which, in Great Britain and upon the continent, have abundantly proved sufficient to maintain, and greatly increase, the acreage yield of crops.

We have also called attention to another method by which further deterioration of our lands will not only cease, but they may again in time be restored to their original productiveness.

So long as a foreign demand for our agricultural products exists, and
increased prices shall be obtained, there is little doubt but that in the future, as in the past, exportation will continue, and the results are inevitable. So, too, the system of large farms and large crops in the aggregate, though with scanty acreage yield, is possible now with the comparatively scattered population of the great West. It is more than probable that, when our population shall have increased from 50 to 100 or 150 millions, we shall be forced to abandon methods of farming which already are regarded with apprehension by those who have investigated them.

But there remain other methods than those indicated for the improvement of our lands, the discussion of which will be reserved for another paper at some future time.

## CHAPTER XV.

(a.) Method of analysis of sorghum and maize juices used by the author in his investigations.
(b.) Comparison of aualyses with polarization of juices.
(c.) Specific gravity of juices.
(d.) Tables of average composition of sorghum juices at different specific grasities.
(e.) Tables of average composition of maize juices at different specific gravities.
(f.) Preparation of re-agents for aralysis of sorghum and maize juices.

## ANALYSIS OF SORGHUM AND MAIZE-METHOD.

Since the results which have been given in this volume are practically those secured by the author, during his investigation of this subject, as the chemist of the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, and as the conclusions are drawn from them, the accuracy of the results and methods can not be too clearly established. This will be sufficient explanation for the insertion of the following:

At the meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, held at Philadelphia, in November, 1881, a paper was presented by Dr. Peter Collier, on invitation, giving, in brief, some of the points brought out in the investigation conducted by him at the Agricultural Department at Washington, on the subject of sugar from the sorghum and maize. After the discussion, following the reading of this paper, a record of the meeting says:

Mr. Silliman offered the following resolution, and moved its reference to the coun cil:

Resolved, That the subject of sorghum sugar, the experimental results on which obtained, during the three or four years last past, by Dr. Peter Collier, of the Agricultural Department, submitted in brief, by invitation, to the Academy, at Philadelphia, in November, 1881, is, in the opinion of the Academy, of sufficient importance to be referred to a committee of chemists, members of this Academy, with the request that they give Dr. Collier's results and methods a careful consideration, and report, at their earliest convenience, the conclusions to which they come.

The resolution of Mr. Silliman was agreed to.
This resolution was favorably reported from the council, and the following committee has been appointed by the president:

Messrs. Brewer, Chandler, Johnson, Silliman, and J. L. Smith, from the Academy. Experts, not members of the Academy : Dr. C. A. Goessman, Massachusetts Aqricultural College, Amherst; and Dr. Gideon E. Moore. 69 Liberty street, New York.

It may be well to state, for the information of those interested in this matter, that the National Academy of Sciences, incorporated by Congress in 1863 , is, by the fundamental law of its organization, constituted the adviser of the government in all matters of science referred to it for investigation by any department of the public service, and has often acted in this capacity.

The following is taken from the unanimous report of this committee upon the results of the above investigation :

## The Analytical Methods Employed.

The committee, after a careful examination of the analytical methods employed by the chemical division of the Department of Agriculture, find that they are entirely sufficient for the work to be done. The details of the processes for the volumetric determination of sucrose and grape sugar are fully exhibited. These methods have been skillfully adapted to the character of the proximate constituents of the complex juices to be analyzed, and are among the best known to) science.*

These methods have been employed with precautions adapted to the exigencies of the special problems for the solution of which the investigation has been instituted. By a judicious system of checks and control, and by the reduction to the lowest limits of the personal error of the observer, the accuracy and constancy of the results have been assured as far as, in the present state of our knowledge, such end can well be attained.

The care with which the methods for the determination of cane sugar have been tested, and the probable error determined, enlists our confidence. The reserve with which the chemist has refrained from accepting the results as conclusive, until, by repetition and variation in the methods, he had exhausted the means at his command to prove them to be erroneous, is in the true spirit of scientific research.

The analytical work prior to 1882 comprises the enormous number of nearly 4,500 analyses of forty varieties of sorghum and twelve varieties of maize, covering all the later stages of development of the growing plant. Such an amount of analytical work as is implied in the careful conduct of nearly five thousand quantitative analyses by the most rigid system and subdivision of labor in the work-a system in

[^14]which each assistant was, for the time, deroted exclusirely to one thing, $\epsilon$. 7\%. determinations of density by the balance, volumetric deterninations of glucose and sucruse, polarizations, ash determinations, tutal solids, ash analyses, analyses of the seed, quantitative determinations of acids aud other prosimate constituents of the juicies at serenteen dinerent stages of growth of the plant and after maturity. By this srstem each co-morker became thoughly expert as a specialist in his own daty; and it was thus possible, br this srstem, to test the accuracy of the whrh he submitting ilentical samples in duplicate and separate numbers $f$ ranalysis by the same and by diferent co-morkers-a crucial test of rerification.

Ti.e committee hare critically examined the work done in this mat, and the details show a suprising agreement.

## Method of Analysiz.

It is obriously of the first imprance that the results of analrses giren should hare been obtained by reliable methods.

Evers precaution has been takea to guard against error and to control the results.

In the firs: place it mar be remembered that each assistant, in the routine work assignel him, was necessarity free fr matl prejulice as to what result he was to expect, for each sample of juice. strup, or cane examined was known only br a number, and this was known only to one who himself performed no analytical work.

Every questionable result was at once repeated, and manr duplicate sampies of juice, under different numbers and with ut the knomledge f any of those engaged in the analyses, were from time to time analyzed.

Each new lot of either of the reagents emplored in analrsis mas carefully tested. and indeed nothing was omitted which w.uld tend to accuracy in work.

Those familiar with chemical methods, and considering the rast amount of work actually performed in these analrees are aware that absilute accuracr is not to be expecten: but whaterer ermes there may be are certainly within rery narrow limits, and the general results furnished in the foreging analyses mar be confidently relied upon as being practically near approximations to the truth.

## The Analytical Proceszes for the Examination of the Canes.

One or more stalks of the rariety of sorghum to be examined were selected in the experimental field, and, after recording the stare of derelupment and general appearance of the canes, a number was affised
by which they could be distinguished during the remainder of the examination. After being cut and brought to the laboratory, the length of the stalk from butt to the extremity of the head, its entire weight, and diameter at the butt, were taken. It was then stripped and topped, as in the usual way of preparation for the mill, and again weighed. The "stripped stalk" was then expressed in a three-roll mill, and the juice collected in a weighed flask and weighed to deter-mine " per cent of juice" in the stripped stalk. The specific gravity was determined with a piknometer, after an interval of an hour to allow the escape of air bubbles and the subsidence of suspended starch. For the determination of the "total solids" in the juice 2 "m3. were accurately measured into a weighed porcelain dish 6 to $7^{\mathrm{cm}}$. wide and 1.5 to $2^{\mathrm{cm}}$. deep, the bottom of which was previously covered with coarse sand to a depth of $.75 \mathrm{~cm}^{\mathrm{m}}$. to insure complete desiccation. After twelve to fourteen hours' drying at $85^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., there was no further loss of water. The weight of the residue in grams, divided by twice the specific gravity, gave the per cent of "total solids."

For the determination of glucose and sucrose, $100^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of the juice were taken and defecated by the addition of $25^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of solution of basic acetate of lead in water. The filtrate from the lead precipitate, which was perfectly clear, was, in many instances, polarized, and then devoted to the methods of volumetric analysis. Owing to the degree of dilution, every $10^{\mathrm{cms} 3}$. of filtrate represented $8^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of juice.

For the determination of glucose $10^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of the filtrate were taken; for sucrose, $5^{\text {em33. }}$. The portion for glucose was diluted with about 50 to $75^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of water, and about the same amount of Fehling's solution added. The porcelain dish containing the whole was placed upon a water bath kept at such a temperature by steam, that the liquid in the dish rose to about $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., but no higher. After an interval of thirty minutes, the dish was removed and allowed to cool. The portion for sucrose was diluted with $100^{\mathrm{cm} 3 .}$ of water, $5^{\mathrm{cm}} 3$. of hydrochloric acid ( sp . gr. 1.05) added, and the mixture heated in a porcelain dish on a steam bath for a half hour, the temperature not rising above $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The inversion being complete, an excess of Fehling's solution was added, depending in amount on the maturity of the cane, and the liquid allowed to remain thirty minutes longer on the bath, after which it was removed. When the suboxide of copper had completely settled, in the case of both sucrose and glucose, the supernatant liquid pvas decanted into a beaker placed in front of each dish, and hot water was poured over the suboxide. This process was repeated, pouring the first liquid decanted into a second beaker, and so on until it could be poured away free from any oxide, and the original dish was nearly free from alkali.

All the was! waters mere then passed in orler through a filter, taking care to bring as little as possible of the suboxide upon the filter.

The suboxide on the filter and in the beakers was dissolred in an acid solution of ferric sulphate, free frem nitric acid and ferrous salt, or more conreniently in an acid solution of ammonia ferric alum (which is more easily obtained free from impurities), and poured upon the suboride in the original dish. All the copper suboside being dissolved, it is hrought into a liter flask, diluted with water to about 500 cms , and acidified strongly with sulphuric acid. It is then ready to be titrated in the usual manner for the amount of reducel iron, the number of ems. of permanganate used giring easily the weight of glucose represented br the subuside of conper, as shomn in report f r $18^{-9}, \mathrm{p} .66$.

This method for determininug giuense depends upon the foll wing facts:

1. That two molecules ( 360 parts by weight) of glucose $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ will reduce from Fehling's slution! fire molecules of cuprous oxide (5 $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).
2. That the fire molecules of cuprous oxide thus precipitated will reduce in acid sol. fire molecules of ferric stlphate $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right)$ to form ten molecules ( 1,520 parts by weight) of ferrous sulphate ( $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ ), as is explained by the following equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\text { Ti5 parts }
\end{array}\right\} \div\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3} \\
2,000 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\} \div\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{ \pm} \\
490 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 \mathrm{CuS} \mathrm{O} \\
1,595 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\} \div \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 \mathrm{FeS} \mathrm{O} \\
4
\end{array}\right\} \div\left\{\begin{array}{c}
5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
90 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The ten molecules of ferrous sulphate thns formed, will decolorize one molecule ( 316.2 parts by weight) of potassium permanganate ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ), thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\because \mathrm{MnS} \mathrm{O}_{ \pm}\right\}+\left\{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right\} \div\left\{\mathrm{EH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By folloring this explanation, it appears that tro molecules of glucose are exactly represented by one molecule of potassium permancanate, as will appear from the following, by omitting the second and third members of the series. Thus:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{8} \\
360 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
715 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
1,520 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \\
3162 \text { parts }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In other words, 316.2 parts by weight of potassium permanganate are equiralent to 360 parts of elucose, or one part of permanganate corresponds to 1.1385 parts of glucose. If, then, the amount of permanganate decolorized be multiplied br 1.1385, it will correctly represent the amount of glucose present. So much for the theoretical explanation. In practice, it is found that each chemist must determine for himself his titration error by estimations made upon sugar of known purity.

This indiridual error is due to the difficulty in determining the exact end re-
action; experience has shown, in the course of this work, that the point where the color of the permanganate barely appears in the rapidly agitated liquid, is nearly identical with the true end reaction. Some operators carry the titration a little further, until a faint rose tint is permanent for about two seconds. Each man who has done this work, has carefully determined his titration error, and all Ggures submitted have been corrected therefor. The iron solution works best if very strongly acidulated with sulphuric acid. The most convenient strength for the permanganate solution, is 4.392 grams to the liter, equal to .005 grams glucose for each cubic centimeter.

In order to determine what errors there may have been in estimating glucose and sucrose by this method, the following experiments were carried out. Every portion of Fehling solution used, was heated by itself in the steam bath for an hour, to determine if it remained unreduced in absence of sugar. In all cases it was quite unchanged. Several solutions of dry granulated sugar, containing about. 10 per cent of impurities, were made of such a strength that every $5^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. contained .5000 grams of pure sucrose, or, on inversion, . 5263 of inverted sugar.

Of solution No. 1, four portions were measured out of $5^{\mathrm{cm3}} \cdot$ each, and submitted to the usual course of analyses, with the following result:


The specific gravity was found by the piknometer to ke 1.034 . The solution contained, therefore, 9.67 per cent of sugar. By titration we find 9.57 per cent of sugar, and polarization of the solution gave 9.63 per cent of sucrose.

Of the solution No. 2, nine portions were taken of $5^{\text {cm3. each, to six }}$ of which (Nos. 1-6) $5{ }^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$. of the usual dilute acid were added, and to the remaining three, $10^{\mathrm{cm3} .}$; otherwise the usual course of analysis was pursued. The entire lot was carried through simultaneously on the same steam bath. The results were as follows:

| Experiment. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1. | 1045 |  | 99.98 | 960 |
| No. N |  |  | 100 110 | ${ }^{9} 98$ |
| No. 4. | 1188 | EH15, | $102 \times$ | 9 9,5 |
| No. 5 | 107.4 | . $5: 370$ | 10202 | 9 :6 |
| No. 6. | 1181 |  | 102 | 9.93) |
| No. S | 104 104 10 |  | (99 <br> 98 <br> 98 <br> 18 | ¢ 96 |
| No. 9 . | 105.2 | . 5220 | 9394 | 9.6.ib |
| Average. |  |  | 100.74 | 9.74 |

The specific gravity was found to be 1.034 , and the per cent of sugar in the solution was therefore: By calculation, 9.67 ; by titration, 9.74. An estimation of total solids, gave 9.70 per cent. The addition of the larger amount of acid, apparently, had the effect of lowering the per cent of sucrose found. In no case was the error in the final result sufficiently large to be of account in work on such a large scale.

Fifteen portions of $5^{\mathrm{cm} 3}$ each were taken from solution No. 3. Its specific gravity was 1.035 , and the per cent of sucrose 9.66 .
Submitted to analysis in the usual way, the results were:


[^15]
No. 3. Fifteen determinations, by titration (average).............................................. 9.7
N゙o. 1. One polarization ............................................................................ 93
No. 2. One determination of total solids ........................................................... 9.70
The lowest restilt was .... ....... .............. . ................................................ 9.50
The highest result was................................................................................... 9.98
It may be assumed, therefore, that the greatest error is not more than minus one-tenth or plus three-tenths of one per cent, which, in the work under hand, can not be considered excessive.

In order to have a check on the process, when applied to juices as well as pure sugar solutions, polarizations were made in a large number of cases. The following table gives a series of average results for several years.

COMPARISON OF ANALYSIS WITH POLARIZATION OF SORGHUM JUICES.
In the examination of sorghum juices in 1882, there was taken, for the purpose of controlling analytical results, the polarization of the juices. In all, 855 juices from the several varieties of sorghum under cultivation, aud in every condition of development, were thus examined, and the average results are as follow :


The first 548 analyses made gave even closer results, viz.:


Or as 100.074: 100.
The above results prove that the analytical method employed in these investigations is as accurate as could be desired, and that the results secured by this method are entitled to entire confidence in their substantial accuracy.

In 1881, the average results of 697 analyses of sorghum and 103 of maize juices gave:


The fullowing table shows the results of analyses and polarizations of the juice, arranged according to the per cent of sucrose in the juices, from 1 to 19 per cent in the sorghums, and from 1 to 14 per cent in the maize juices. It will be seen that the agreement is as close between analysis of juices poor in sugar and comparatively richest in glucose, as between the best juices. This is very important, as
indicating the absence of any optically active constituent in the normal juice, other than sucrose.

SUMMARY OF ANALYSES AND POLARIZATION OF SORGHUM AND MAIZE JUICES.

| Sorghum. |  |  |  | Corn. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of analyses. | Sucrose. | Sucrose by analysis. | Sucrose by polarization. | Number of analyses | Sucrose br analysis. | Sucrose by polarization. |
|  | Percent. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | 2 10 ${ }^{1}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ | 11.09 | 10.19 |  | 4.78 | 2.74 4.42 |
| 19. | 3 to 4 | 62.03 | 56.14 | 7 | $25: 3$ | 22.07 |
| 18. | 4 to 5 | 82. 29 | 72.33 | 13. | 59.16 | 56.20 |
| 40 | 5 to 6 | 292.79 | 290.55 | $21 \ldots \ldots$ | 114.53 | 110.83 |
| 52 | 6 to 7 | 337.34 | 332.25 | 7 | 45. 41 | 43.53 |
| 41 | 7 to 8 | 311.86 | 297.21 | 17 | 127.51 | 120.59 |
| 37 | 8 to 9 | 31002 | 291.40 |  | 49.3 | 54.75 |
| 40. | 9 to 10 | 377.92 | 353. 74 | 4. | 38.72 | 34.18 |
| 43. | 10 to 11 | 4.151 .66 | 443.50 | 15. | 159.27 | 159.47 |
| 59. <br> 80 | 11 to 12 | 680.81 100914 | ${ }_{9}^{652.07}$ | - | 68.85 | 6.510 |
| 94 | 13 to 14 | 127263 | 1257.19 | $2 \ldots . .$. | 26.40 | 11.74 21.68 |
| 62 | 14 to 15 | 890.76 | 864. 98 |  |  |  |
| 48 | 15 to 16 | 746.51 | 699.47 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 16 to 17 | 412. 34 | 397.04 |  |  |  |
| 25. | 17 to 18 | 43 n .26 | 409.94 |  |  |  |
| 6. | 18 to 19 | 110.53 | 107.00 |  |  |  |
| 697. |  | 7,732.98 | 7,421.16 | 103. | 745.48 | 70730 |
| Ratio |  | 100 | 9\%.96 |  | 100 | 94.87 |

maize JUlces in 1880.



SORGHUM JUICES IN 1880.
Number of analyses
Average sucrose by polarization.................................................. 12.68 per cent.
Average sucrose by analysis ..................................................... 12.85 per cent.
In 1879, this comparison was, between sorghum and sugar-cane, as follows:


In 1881, the number of these comparisons was very greatly increased, being between 697 analyses of sorghum and 103 analyses of maize.

Calling the value of the sucrose, as found by analysis, 100 , the value indicated by the polariscope was 94.87 for the maize, and 95.96 for the sorghum. The nearly constant difference of about 4 per cent less sucrose, as determined by these polariscope tests, than was found by cuprous precipitation, was, for the time, attributed to a portion of invert sugar, and to various causes, which probably were misconceptions, seeing that this discrepancy disappears almost entirely in the results of 1882 , viz.: Number of analyses and polarizations 517 , of some forty varieties of sorghum.

Total polarization, 5,440.76; average percentage, 10.524.
Total by analysis, $5,433.72$; average percentage, 10,510 .

$$
10.510: 10.524=100: 100.13
$$

Each result of the 517 is of record, but the general result given suffices. The couchusion seems justified, that any differences existing in the polarization and analyses with normal fresh juices, are only differences incidental to the work, and are not caused by any active rotatory substance present other than sucrose. If the juice is abnormal, very wide differences may exist. This was conspicuous in the mill work at the department in 1881, both in juices and syrups.

The comparisons in 1879-1881, between large numbers of determinations, by the cuprous precipitation and by polariscope, appeared to sustain the opinion that there was a pretty constant difference in faror of the volumetric method, i.e., that the polariscope, for some unknown reason, failed to detect as much sugar as was demonstrated by the method of precipitation. These differences are set forth below, together with the very satisfactory results of over five hundred similar determinations made in 1882, from which it clearly appears that the discrepancy formerly noticed is apparent and not real. This conclusion removes any doubt which hung over the practical value of the optical method; and this is practically of much moment, for in the rapid operations of the sugar plantation, during the pressure of the crop, the polariscope is nearly the sole dependence of the superintendent in judging, many times daily, how his juices are running.

The conclusions which may be drawn from our experiments are, that, in experienced hands, the relative results are to be entirely relied upon; and, when the couditions which have been detailed are followed, the absolute results are also satisfactory.

## Duplicate Analyses of Sorghum Juices.

For the purpose of controlling the results of analyses, there were made, during the seasons of 1881-1882, very many analyses of sorghum juices in duplicate.

In no case did those who were engaged in the analyses have any reason to suspect that they were at work upon duplicates，the samples having been prepared and sent into the laboratory under their several numbers，as being individual specimens of juice．Thus，Nos． 105 and 113 were duplicate juices，and so on；Nos． 107 and 115 being also duplicates．

It will be observed that the agreement is quite as close as could be expected in work of such a character，and that the average results siven at the close of this table show that in the analytical work there is mothing to cause doubt as to the substantial accuracy of the work recorded．
duplicate analises of sorghum juices，1882．

| Number of analysis． |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { y } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ | \＆ | Number of analysis． |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{n}{3}}{\substack{3}}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105. | 1.042 | 5.40 | 2.72 | 1.69 | 3.06 | 113. | 1042 | $56 . \overline{3}$ | \％ 18 | $8 \%$ | 9.20 |
| 107 | 1.0134 | 3.25 | 3.73 | 130 | 424 | 115 | 1 0：4 | 3.26 | 3 8：3 | 12.5 | 8.72 |
| 109 | $10: 38$ | 327 | 4.69 | 1.29 | 5．28 | 114 | $10: 3 \mathrm{~N}$ | 827 | 480 | 1.21 | 524 |
| 111. | 1.153 | 3.3 s | 8.30 | 1.14 | 8.01 | 116 | 1.053 | 341 | 7 N゙ㄹ | 1.57 | 790 |
| 133. | 1.072 | 2.10 | 12． 96 | 3.071 | 13.44 | 136 | 1．07： | 1.43 | 12.81 | 3.27 | 135\％ |
| 158 | 1.165 | 1.15 | 11.79 | 3.26 | 12.06 | 160 | 1.069 | 116 | 11.59 | 30.3 | 12． 14 |
| 150 | 1063 | 279 | 10.06 | 2.55 | 1021 | 157 | 1．06： | 2 ！21 | 10．2： | 2.21 | 10 － 8 |
| 161 | $1.17 \%$ | ．93） | 13.31 | 3.28 | 1360 | 163 | 1．072 | 9\％ | 18.31 | 号． 5 | 13 co |
| 168 | 1.057 | 3.231 | 8.87 | $2 \cdot 35$ | 8 188 | $1 \times 0$ | 1.057 | 3.15 | 8.26 | 2.29 | 56 |
| 169 | 105 ti | 202 | 8．92 | 2.63 | 9.86 | 181 | 1056 | $2(15)$ | 8．ふ | 245 | 9.84 |
| 173 | 10 tis | 1.57 | 12.03 | $\because .64$ | 12． $4 t$ | 179 | 1068 | 1．5：3 | 11.96 | 2.71 | 12.3 |
| 182． | 1.057 | 1.48 | $8.4 \times$ | 3.41 | 8.54 | 183 | 1．0．7 | 1.49 | 845 | 3.09 | 8.5 |
| 189 | 1.058 | 213 | 1041 | 2.50 |  | 202 | 10.59 | 218 | 9.99 |  | 1045 |
| 191 | 1.0 .37 | $3: 9$ | 8.50 | 2 2 4 |  | 203 | 1.056 | 329 | 7.91 | $2 \pi$ | 847 |
| 192 | 1.169 | 2.44 | $11.6 \overline{3}$ | 279 |  | 204 | 1.069 | 2.41 | 11.111 | 2.8 | 12.05 |
| 194 | 10.56 | 2.42 | $8 \times 6$ | $\because 24$ | 9.01 | 20.3 ． | $1.0 \overline{6}$ | 2.46 | 8.71 | 2.5 .5 |  |
| 206 | 1．0．s | 67 | 5.72 | 29 |  | 207 | 1．03\％ | 67 | 5.93 | 2.88 |  |
| 224 | 1.0711 | 1.0 .5 | 13.15 | 3.27 | 13.44 | 2－2 | 1071 | 1.12 | 13.87 | 326 | 13.48 |
| 214 | 1．069 | 1.89 | 1248 | 2．73 | 12！ 11 | 2：37 | 1.069 | 1.87 | $12 \%$ | 258 | 1203 |
| 216 | 1.073 | 1.82 | 129 94 | 820 | 13，fis | 228 | 1.073 | 1.54 | 13.51 | 2.76 | 1376 |
| $\because 2$ | 1.071 | 84 | 13.33 | 2.98 | $1: 3.3$ | 2－99 | 1.071 | ． 89 | 13．73 | 2.98 | 13.48 |
| $\because 0$ | 1.071 | 1.19 | 1254 | 3.40 | 13： 23 | 2：0 | 1071 | 93 | 11.11 | 5.15 | 13.48 |
| $\because 21$ | 1.061 | 4.11 | $82:$ | 2 Nl | 8.70 | 231 | 1061 | 404 | 849 | 25.4 | 864 |
| 219 | 1.061 | 1.64 | 10.31 | 3.24 | 10．93 | 232 | 1．062 | 1.68 | 109. | 2.56 | 11.02 |
|  | 1.435 | 54．06 | 233.53 | 60.43 | 195.34 |  | 1.439 | 53.86 | 233.04 | 6037 | 194．59 |
| Average．． | ． 0598 | 2.252 | 9.729 | 2.627 | 10.251 | Average． | 1.0600 | 2.244 | 9.710 | 2.625 | 10.242 |

LIST OF DUPLICATE TESTS MADE IN SORGHUM ANALYSES， 1881.

| Number of analysis． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351. | 7.33 | 7.02 | 2.68 | 1.045 | 2.47 |
| 859. | 7.12 | 7.25 | 2.75 | 1.047 | 2.54 |
| 371 | 3．76？ |  | 2.61 | 1.185 | 2.04 |
| ：37\％． | 10.67 |  | 2 61 | 1.030 | 2.46 |
| － 3 万ั．． | 16.85 | 1548 | 0.12 | 1.046 | －1．42 |
| 412. | 1620 11.43 | 11.95 | 2.05 | 1.064 | 2.26 |
| 4；77． | 1175 | 11.06 | 2.17 | 1.063 | 1.84 |
| 413. | 955 | 900 | 3.9 .7 | 1.061 | 191 |
| 4：3． | 9.27 | 8.94 | 4.21 | 1．050 | 2.00 |
| 41.5 | 5.49 | 4.95 | 2.73 | 1041 | 206 |
| 4：4．． | 5.34 | 5.00 | 2.91 | 1040 | 2.08 |
| 416. | 721 | 658 | 2.72 | 1.049 | 222 |
| 4：99．． | 705 | 6.59 | 2.84 | 1.047 | 071 |
| 417. | 7.59 | 7.25 | 203 | 1.017 | 2.36 |
| 4：32． | 7.28 | 7.25 | 2.34 | 1.047 | 232 |
| 424. | 5.34 |  | 6.17 | 1.0 .54 | 2.77 |
| 4.38. | 5.88 | 536 | 6.22 | 1．0．4 | 175 |
| 426. | 5.62 |  | 3.19 | 1.044 | 2.49 |
| 4：31．． | 5.21 | 5.06 | 349 | 1.043 | 242 |
| 497. | 4.14 | 3.80 | 544 | 1.044 | 2.24 |
| 410. | 3.98 | 3.74 | 5.77 | 1.044 | 2.08 |
| 429. | 4.11 | 2.72 | 5.16 | 1．039 | 1.46 |
| 4，3．． | 3.29 | 2.80 | 5.47 | 1．039 | 1.49 |
| 4：3．． | 2.03 | 1.21 | 5.93 | 1．036 | 1.59 |
| 4：3．3． | 1.72 | 127 | $60: 3$ | 1.036 | 1.95 |
| 411. | 9.02 | 9.18 | 3.02 | 1． 0.88 | 2.75 |
| 43. | 9.49 | 9.21 | ${ }_{2}^{28}$ | 1.157 | 2.87 |
| 443. | 400 | 7.5 | 2.38 | 1.017 | 598 |
| 41．\％． | 732 | 721 | 242 | 1.047 | 2.67 |
| 446. | 608 | 6.09 | 261 | 1． 046 | 2.75 |
| 4 s ． | 616 | 6.18 | 2.6 .3 | 1． 046 | 3.01 |
| 417. | 384 |  | 59. | 1045 | 241 |
| 4.51. | 41.4 |  | 586 | 1.04 .5 | 1． 24 |
| 443．． | 313 | 2.76 | 5.36 | 1010 | 271 |
| 4.70 | 315 | 285 | 544 | 1.040 | 2.79 |
| $416 .$. | $70^{2}$ | 6.95 | 262 | 1048 | 476 |
| 418. | 701 |  | 25.5 | 1.049 | 6.79 |
| 417. | 5.72 |  | 159 | 1． 141 | 4.45 |
| $461 .$. | 5.49 | 5.31 | 1．50 | 1041 | 496 |
| 470．． | 303 | 2 87 <br> 2  | 351 | 1． $0: 3$ | 3.80 |
| 43. | 301 | 2.93 | 3.39 | 1034 | 4.03 |
| 410 | 564 | 4．32 | 2.34 | 1043 | 4.29 |
| 4 没． | 5.91 | 4.40 | 2.25 | $1.04 \%$ | 4.27 |
| 472 | 14.53 | 14.15 | ． 03 | 1.044 | －0．20 |
|  | 1416 | ．． |  |  |  |
| $486 .$. 491. | 5.34 5 5 |  | 356 365 | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 045 \\ 1 & 043\end{array}$ | 3.10 2.92 |
| 491. | 517 |  | 36.5 9 | 1043 | 2.92 2.83 |
| 490. | 592 5 5 | 5.71 | $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 18 \\ 2 & 11\end{array}$ | 1.039 1039 | 2．23 |
| 493. | 458 | 4.15 | ${ }^{2} .53$ | 1.037 | 272 |
| 48.5 | 4.92 | 425 | 25.3 | 1038 | 290 |
| 496. | 851 |  | 366 | 1041 | 3.33 |
| $4 \times 7 .$. | 429 | 875 | 366 | 1040 | 262 |
| 500. | 627 | $5 \because$ | 3.24 | 1045 | 2.21 |
| 438. | 581 | 5.36 | 3.16 | 1.046 | 3.12 |
| $5 \times 3$. | 94.5 | 909 | 142 | 10.5 | 453 |
| 583. | 9.47 | 884 | 189 | 1059 | 4.42 |
| 5ヶ4．． | 468 | $42 \%$ | 264 | 1.039 | 355 |
| 587. | 4.36 | $4{ }^{4} 2$ | ${ }_{2} 70$ | 1．040 | 3.51 |
| $590 .$. | 758 | 7.02 | 2 6is | 1.049 | 1.65 |
| 5116 | 8.03 | 698 | 286 | 1049 | 116 |
| 591．． | 766 | 702 | $\because 77$ | 10.50 | 176 |
| 61：3．． | 11.41 | 12．21 | 403 | 1.069 | 1.63 |
| （i20．． | 1200 | 11 咨 | 415 | 1069 | 095 |
| 619．．． | 1270 | 1067 | 2 73 | 1064 | 0.63 |
| $609 .$. | 13 | 10.0 in | 270 | 1064 | $15 J$ 9 49 |
| 6：31．． | 4.43 | 4.01 | 5.14 | 1． 044 | 2.49 |
| 6：34．． | 4.19 | 372 | 5.21 | 1.043 | 2.58 |
| 637． | 384 | 3.02 | 6.24 | 104.5 | 2.31 |
| $.633 .$ | 3.88 | 311 | 6.25 | 1044 | 174 |
| $66 \%$ | 699 | 760 | 220 | 1.049 | 3.05 |
| 662. | 7.32 | 7.30 | 2.32 | 1.049 | $-1.53$ |

List of duplicate tests made in sorghem analyses, 1881.-Continued.

| Number of analysis. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 670. | 10.23 | 9.60 | 3.83 | 1.054 | -0.78 |
| 673. | 9.55 | 8.93 | $\stackrel{3}{3} 17$ | 1.054 | $\bigcirc$ 2. ${ }^{2}$ |
| 678. | 15.05 | 14.67 | 1.04 | 1.079 | 2.72 |
| 688. | 1515 | 14.82 | 1.10 1.98 | 1.079 | $\stackrel{2}{2} .48$ |
| 679. | 12.22 | 12.12 | 1.98 | 1.069 | 2.54 |
| 765. | 12.79 | 12.19 | 2.06 | 1.071 | 2.42 |
| 773.. | 12.46 |  | 2.03 | 1.071 | 2.78 |
| 71. | 12.07 | 10.33 | 1.39 | 1.054 | 1.58 |
| 766. | 10.93 | 10.27 | 1.30 | 1.064 | 2.90 |
| 791.. | 10.15 | 8.88 | 4.29 | 1. 062 | 1.60 |
| 788. | 9.64 | 8.88 | 4.47 | 1.062 | 1.99 |
| 795. | 12.15 | 9.73 | 3.63 | 1.065 | 0.51 |
| 786. | 10.55 | 9.72 | 3.67 | 1.065 | 2.21 |
| 804. | 5.29 | 8.09 | 2.28 | 1.910 | 2.33 |
| 811. | 5.16 | 4.73 | 242 | 1.040 | 2.51 |
| 803. | 842 |  | 42 | 1.03s | 204 |
| 810.. | 8.26 | 7.90 | 4.52 | 1.058 | 2.15 |
| 818. | 9.20 | 8.56 | 1.50 | 1.150 | 1.81 |
| 824. | 13.60 | 8.69 | 1.46 | 1.049 | -2.48? |
| 826. | 11.45 |  | 1.39 | 1.078 | 6.03 |
| 828. | 13.43 |  | 1.21 | 1.077 | 4.31 |
| 834. | 15.73 | 15.14 | 1.69 | 1.082 | 2.54 |
| 836. | 15.87 | 15.31 | 1.69 | 1.082 | 2.64 |
| 833. | 15.62 | 15.233 | 1.69 | 1.082 | 2.65 |
| 842. | 16.07 |  | 1.78 .19 | 1.083 | 2.69 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 23.66 \\ & 23.15 \end{aligned}$ | 23.15 | . 19 | 1.074 | -0.39 |
| 847. | 11.62 | 11.51 | 2.36 | 1.062 | 1.98 |
| 857. | 11.74 | 11.63 | 2.36 | 1.062 | 1.90 |
| 819. | 11.56 | 10.77 | 1.32 | 1.060 | 2.54 |
| 861. | 11.48 | 10.76 | 1.53 | 1.061 | 2.07 |
| 851. | 13.83 | 13.15 | 121 | 1.077 | 3.14 |
| S56.. | 14.28 |  | 1.21 | 1078 | 2.76 |
| 879. | 6.10 | 6.37 | 3.49 | 1.049 | 4.18 |
| 890. | 6.48 | 6.49 | 3.49 | 1.049 | 3.70 |
| 883. | 7.4 | 6.6:3 | 2.32 | 1044 | 2.55 |
| 891. | 7.28 | 6.66 | $\underline{29}$ | 1.043 | 2.41 |
| 896. | 14.12 | 13.60 | 2.02 | 1.078 | 1.94 |
| $905 .$. | 14.03 |  | 1.96 | 1.050 | 3.87 |
| 901. | 15.29 | 14.21 | 1.56 | 1.076 | 3.42 |
| 906. | 15.07 | 14.19 | 1.56 | 1.076 | 2.60 |
| 948. | 16.06 |  | 1.87 | 1.078 | 2.61. |
| 952. | 15.40 | 15.07 | 1.89 | 1.078 | 2.21 |
| 939.. | 5.20 | 5.26 | 1.55 | 1.042 | 3.99 |
| $953 .$. | 5.42 |  | 1.61 | 1.042 | 3.62 |
| 964.. | 16.90 | ........ | 1.54 | 1087 | 3.21 |
| 971. | 16.96 |  | 1.67 | 1.085 | 3.02 |
| 965. | 12.57 |  | 1.76 | 1.061 | 1.03 |
| 972. | 11.17 | 10:49 | $\stackrel{46}{ } 9$ | 1.061 | 1.63 |
| 976. | 13.98 | 15.26 | 3.22 | 1075 | 289 |
| 1001.. | 13.66 | 12.97 |  | 1.074 | 1.90 |
| 974. | 15.80 | 14.69 | 1.21 | 10 S | 3.01 |
| 1002. | 15.96 |  | 1.47 | 1.077 | 2.30 |
| 1012.. | 15.67 | ..... | 1.70 | 1.085 | 6.78 |
| 1017. | 16.53 |  | 1.27 | 1.083 | 676 |
| 1016.. | 9.65 | 8.86 | 5.57 | 1.065 | 5.06 |
| 1018. | 9.42 | 9.02 | 5.45 | 1.066 | 5.76 |
| 1019.. | 18.05 | 17.10 | 1.21 | 1. 089 | 3.28 |
| 1047. | 17.20 |  | 1.55 | 1088 | 3.67 |
| 10:0.. | 13.56 |  | 3.00 | $10 \times 0$ | 3.14 |
| 1048.. | 14.40 |  | 3. 00 | 1. 050 | 3. $\mathrm{HiF}^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1063. | 16.00 | 15.33 | 1.73 | 1083 | 2.40 |
| 1067. | 15.64 | 15.14 | 1.78 | 1.083 | 289 |
| 1050. | 11.77 | 7.41 | 5.19 | 1.059 | 1.92 |
| 1068. | 8.97 | 7.34 | 5.19 | 1.059 | 1.04 |
| 1091. | 9.54 | 9.03 | 4.93 | 1.065 | 3.41 |
| 1101.. | 9.79 | 8.23 | 5.28 | 1.065 | 2.11 |
| 1090. | 14.27 | 12.92 | 1.51 | 1.073 | 2.51 |
| 1102. | 14.60 | 13.78 | 1.51 | 1.073 | 3.46 |

There was also made a series of analyses of solutions of known quantities of commercial cane sugar and of anhydrous glucose, both separate and mixed.
The results of these analyses are given in the following table. They show clearly the substantial accuracy of the analytical methods employed in the work which has been recorded; but it is interesting to observe that, while the specific gravity, polarization, and analytical results for each constituent agree very closely in the duplicate analyses made, there is found, as the aggregate of four of the analyses where sucrose alone was taken, as follows:

Grams.
Sucrose takeı.............................................................................................. 1763
Sucrose found ............................ .................................................................. 17.58
Also, in those four cases where there was taken anhydrous glucose, the following results were obtained in the aggregate:

Grams.
Glucose taken. . .. .... .............................................................................. . . 17.12
Glucose found.... ... . . ............................................................................... 17.11
But in these cases, eight in all, in which both sucrose and glucose were present in the solutions analyzed, the aggregate results were as follows:

| Sucrose Glucose | Taken. | Found. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grams. 20.505 <br> 19.810 | Grams. 2268 20. 39 |
|  | 19.810 | 20.39 |

It will be seen that, while the amount of glucose taken is approximately equal to that found, as in the case of analyzing the solution of glucose alone, still the amount of sucrose found is 10.4 per cent greater than the amount taken. This result is, in all probability, due to the fact, that there existed as impurity, in the anhydrous glucose taken, a certain quantity of some product intermediate between starch and glucose, which, while not reducing the Fehling solution in the estimation of the glucose, was converted into glucose by treatment with acid in the estimation of the sucrose:

TESTS OF ACCURACT．

| Sumber of analysis． | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 |  |  | Gilucose founti． |  |  | 劲 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | 50.1 | 00 | 4.9050 | $0 \times 1$ | 5.51 | 001 | 4.34 | 4.59 | 1.015 |
| S3 | 250 | 2.50 | 2.405 | 2.305 | － 2.43 | 2.49 | 5.25 | 4.25 | 1.015 |
| 82 | 0．3） | 501 | ．0000 | －S． 20 | 0 | 4.75 | 5.12 | 3.49 | 1． 0143 |
| 192 | 5.001 | ．00］ | 49050 | ． 0.01 | 4．72 | ． 001 | 5.35 | 4.92 | 1.016 |
| 20） | 2.50 | 250 | $2.45 \%$ | 216 | 2.20 | 2.85 | $4 . \mathrm{ni}$ | $\pm 04$ | 1． 116 |
| $\stackrel{3}{ }$ | ． 03 | 5.00 | ． 0000 | 4.820 | 11 | 4.84 | 496 | 3.51 | 1． 0154 |
| 12 | 2.10 | 2.00 | 1.9550 | 1.575 | 231 | 1.91 | 3.55 | 3.10 | 1.013 |
| 11：3 | $\because 00$ | $\because 00$ | 1.9530 | 1．85 | 2.12 | 1.96 | 3 ぶ2 | 3.04 | 1.13 |
| 11：4 | 4.00 | 2 （m） | 3.410 | 1．75 | 3.95 | 1.40 | 5.82 | 4.42 | 1．020 |
| 11：5 | 4 （0） | $\because 20$ | 3.9100 | 1.585 | 3 6s | 1.49 | 5．7．3 | 5.00 | 1.120 |
| 11：2 | $\because(10)$ | $4 .(4)$ | 1.950 | 3 7.8 M | 2.14 | 3.7 | 5． 63 | 463 | 1．120 |
| 11：9 | 2.060 | 407 | 1.9250 | 3.750 | 245 | 3.74 | 5.65 | 451 | 1． $\mathrm{r}_{2} 0$ |
| 1125. | （c） | 4．00 | ． 0093 | 3.750 | －49 | 35 | 3.63 | 2.54 | 1.013 |
| 1187 | ．0．） | 4.01 | La00 | 3.750 | ． 65 | 3.71 | 3.61 | $\cdots$－ 5 | 1.013 |
| 1133． | 4．（0） | ． 10 | 3 910） | ． 000 | $3=2$ | ． 10 | 3.80 | 3.90 | 1013 |
| 1140. | 4.60 | （c） | 3.9160 | ． 0001 | 3.94 | 10 | 393 | $3{ }^{3} 4$ | 1.013 |
|  | 3200 | 39.001 | 35.1750 | 30.930 | 40.36 | 30.50 | 76.49 | 63．29 | ．－ |

## Tests of the Accuracy of the Chemical Work．

In this inrestigation of the author，at the Department of Agri－ culture，there was taken every reasonable precaution to secure accu－ rate results in the analytical work．
The several methods of checks and control have been such，that， alihough errors mar exist in individual analres，it is impossible that the general resulte recorded should be uther than a rer？close approxi－ mation to the truth．

It will be seen in another place，that the arerage results in 1882 of the analrses of 855 sorghum juices gave 10.998 per cent of sucrose by precipitation，while the same juices gave an average of 10.966 per cent by the polariscope；or，as $100: 100.255$ ，practically identical results．

During the season of 1882 there were made，in all，amalrses of 24 juices in duplicate ；and，as has been said，none of those engaged upon these analyses had any knowledge of the fact that duplicate juices were present in the twenty or more samples under analysis daily．

The average results of these 24 analyses，in duplicate，are as follows：

| Specific gravity | 1.0598 | 1.0600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per cent sucrose | 9.726 | 9.710 |
| Per cent glucose | 225 | 2.244 |
| Per cent solids not sugar | 2.627 | 2.625 |
| Per cent polarization ... | 10.281 | 10.244 |

These results are practically identical.
In like manner, during 1881, there were made 72 analyses in duplicate; and, as will be seen by consulting the tables giving the results, the agreement was as close as could be expected with work of this character.

## SPECIFIC GRAVITY.

By specific gravity is meant the relative weight of any substance, solid, liquid, or gaseous, as compared with water (which is taken as the standard for solids and liquids), or as compared with air (which is taken as the standard for gases).

In the case of liquids, the determination of the specific gravity is easy, and may be found by first filling a bottle (the weight of which is known) with pure water, as rain-water, then finding the amount of water, by weight, which the bottle will hold. By then filling the same botlle with the liquid, the specific gravity of which it is desired to know (as, sorghum juice), and weighing it, we may learn the weight of a certain volume of the liquid, and also the weight of an equal volume of pure water. If, now, we divide the weight of the sorghum juice by the weight of the water, we ascertain the specific gravity of the juice.

For convenieuce, it is customary to use bottles which hold a certain definite weight of pure water, as 100 or 1,000 grams, and then it is only necessary to weigh the bottle full of juice, and the specific gravity is at once shown by the weight.
Such bottles are known as piknometers; but they are unnecessary. The only precaution to be taken in the determination of the specific gravity of sorghum juices is, that they should be allowed to stand a sufficient length of time (from one-half an hour to an hour) after they have been expressed by the mill, to allow the small bubbles of air present to escape, since these would, of course, diminish the specific gravity of the juice.

## Hydrometers and Saccharometers.

Another method for readily determining the specific gravity of liquids is by means of the hydrometer or saccharometer, of which there are several kinds.

The principle upon which all of these instrument are constructed is, that whenever a body floats in any liquid, it displaces exactly a volume of that liquid equal in weight to the floating body.

These hydrometers are glass bulbs, with a long, slender spindle, made by means of a little mercury at the lower end to maintain an erect position in the liquid. The lighter the liquid the deeper the instrument sinks, and the heavier the liquid the more of the spindle appears above the liquid. Within the glass spindle is a scale, so that a glance enables the operator to determine the specific gravity or density. For conveuience, the scale is such as to enable the operator to determine either the specific gravity or per cent of any substance presumed to he present, etc.; and therefore these instruments hare been prepared with scales adapted to any special purpose. We will explain those only which are in use for the determination of saccharine liquids. These are:

The scale of Beaumé, which is determined by marking as 0 the point on the spindle of the hydrometer to which it sinks when floating in pure water, and as $15^{\circ}$ the point to which it sinks in a solution of 15 parts by weight of salt in 85 of water. The interval between these points is divided into 15 equal parts, and the scale is continued to any number of degrees beyond.
The scale of Brix, or Balling, as it is also called, gives the per cent of sugar present in any given solution; for example, a solution marking $10^{\circ}$ Brix contains 10 per cent of sugar, ete.

The scale of Twaddle is graduated in such a manner that the number of degrees given, multiplied by 5 and added to 1000 , will give the specific gravity, as compared with water taken at 1000 . Thus: $10^{\circ}$ Twaddle $=1050$ specific gravity.

To convert Beaumé degrees into specific gravity, divide 144 by 144 less the degrees of Beaumé; thus, $17^{\circ}$ Beaumé equals 144 divided by 144 less 17 -that is, 1.133.

1.

2.

3.

4.

Plate XLNHI.
No. 3. Test cup for using saccharometer.
No. 4. Proof glass.
The above represents the thermometer, saccharometer, etc., which are generally used for the determination of temperature and specific gravity. A better form of thermometer than above represented is a plain glass stem, with bulb containing the mercury and the scale engraved upon the glass.

## Comparison of different Hydrometers.

It has been thought best to here append a table which shall show the comparative values of the different scales. It is always preferable to use a hydrometer which shows the actual specific gravity of the juice, but those who have either the Beaumé or Brix hydrometers can, by use of this table, make them answer every purpose. It will be noticed that the specific gravity 1.066 , which was recommended as the proper indication that the juice was in a workable condition, corresponds exactly with $16^{\circ}$ Brix and $9^{\circ}$ Beaumé.

SPECIFIC GRAVITY EQUIVALENTS OF THE BRIX AND BEAUMÉ SCALES.

| Specific gravity. | Degree Brix. | Degree Beaumé. | Specific gravity. | Degree Brix. | Degree <br> Beaumé. | Specific gravity. | Degree Brix. | Degree Beaumé. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.000 | 0. | 0.0 | 1.094 | 22.5 |  | 1.203 | 44.5 |  |
| . 012 | 5 |  | . 097 | 23. |  | . 206 | 45. |  |
| . 004 | 1. |  | . 099 | 23.5 | 13.0 | . 208 | 45.5 |  |
| . 0106 | 1.5 |  | . 101 | 24. |  | $\because 211$ | 46.5 | 25.0 |
| . 008 | ${ }_{2.5}^{2.5}$ | 1.0 | .103 .106 | 24.5 |  | . 214 | 46.5 |  |
| . 012 | 3. |  | . 108 | 25.5 | 14.0 | . 219 | 47.5 |  |
| . 014 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 111 | 26. |  | 222 | 48. | 26.0 |
| . 016 | 4. |  | . 113 | 26.5 |  | .225 | 48.5 |  |
| . 018 | 4.5 |  | . 115 | 27.5 | 15.0 | . 2278 | 49.5 | 27.0 |
| .022 | 5.5 | 3.0 | . 120 | 28. |  | . 233 | 50. |  |
| .024 | 6. |  | . 123 | 28.5 |  | . 236 | 50.5 |  |
| . 026 | 6.5 |  | .125 | 29. | 16.0 | 238 | 51. |  |
| . 028 | 7. | 4.0 | . 127 | 29.5 |  | 241 | 51.5 | 28.0 |
| . 030 | 7.5 |  | . 130 | 30. |  | 244 | $5_{59}{ }^{5}$ |  |
| .032 | 8. |  | .192 | 30.5 |  | -247 | 52.5 |  |
| .034 .036 | 8.5. | 5.0 | .134 | ${ }_{31}^{31.5}$ | 17.0 | -250 | ${ }_{53.5}^{53.5}$ | 29.0 |
| . 038 | 9.5 | . | . 139 | 32. |  | 235 | 54. |  |
| . 040 | 10. |  | . 142 | 32.5 |  | 258 | 54.5 |  |
| . 042 | 10.5 |  | . 141 | 33. | 18.0 | . 261 | 55. |  |
| . 041 | 11. | 6.0 | . 117 | 33.5 |  | . 264 | 55.5 | 30.0 |
| . 016 | 11.5 |  | . 119 | 34. |  | . 267 |  |  |
| . 018 | ${ }_{12}^{12} .5$ | 7.0 | .154 | 3.5 | 19.0 | .269 | 56.5 57. |  |
| . 0.50 | 13. |  | . 157 | 35.5 |  | . 275 | 57.5 | 31.0 |
| . 0.5 | 13.5 |  | . 159 | 36. |  | . 278 | 58. |  |
| . 0.57 | 14. |  | .162 | 36.5 | 20.0 | $\because 81$ | 58.5 |  |
| .0.79 | 14.5 | 8.0 | . 164 | 37. |  | -284 | 59. |  |
| . 061 | 15. |  | . 167 | 37.5 |  | . 287 | 59.5 | 32.0 |
| . 0663 | $1{ }_{16}{ }^{5}$ | 9.0 | .169 | 35.5 | 21.0 | . 293 | 60.5 |  |
| . 068 | 165 |  | .174 | 39. |  | . 296 | 61. |  |
| . 070 | 17. |  | . 177 | 39.5 |  | .299 | 61.5 | 33.0 |
| . 012 | 17.5 |  | . 179 | 40. | 23.0 | . 302 | 62. |  |
| . 074 | 18. | 10.0 | .182 | 40.5 |  | . 30.5 | 62.5 |  |
| . 076 | 18.5 |  | 18.5 | 41. |  | . 311 | 63. | 34.0 |
| .079 | 19.5 |  | . 190 | 41.5 | 23.0 | . 314 | 64. |  |
| .083 | 20. | 11.0 | . 192 | 42.5 |  | . 317 | 64.5 |  |
| . 085 | 20.5 |  | . 193 | 43. |  | .320 | 65. | 35.0 |
| .0ss | ${ }_{21.5}^{21.5}$ |  | 193 | 43.5 |  | . 323 | 65.5 |  |
| . 099 | 21.5 |  | 200 | 44. | 24.0 | . 326 | 66. |  |

Of course the reader will understand that the hydrometer, with either of the above scales, does not indicate necessarily the presence of sugar in a solution, but simply the relative weight of a certain volume of any liquid, as compared with the weight of the same volume of water. But, as will be seen by the preceding tables, in which the analyses of a very large number of sorghum and maize juices are given, together with the specific gravities, it is established as true, beyond question, that the specific gravity of any freshly expresed juice of either sorghum or maize, will enable one to tell its composition within very narrow limits. It is to be remembered, however, that this is only true of the freshly expressed juice. From cane, which has suffered in deteriuration, either from having been cut a long time before pressing or
from the effects of a frost and subsequent warm weather-in such cases the specific gravity does not necessarily give any indication as to the composition of the juice.

This is a matter of such extreme practical importance, that the following results may be considered with interest.

In 1881, there were received at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington, several lots of sorghum-cane, which had been cut several days before they were delivered. The juices from these cane proved to be in a very surprising and abnormal condition, and their analyses are worthy of careful consideration. They were as follows :

JUICES FROM SORGHUMS CUT SEVERAL DAYS BEFORE GRINDING.

| Dates. | Polariza- tion. | Sucrose. | Glucose. | Specific gravity. | Solids. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 27. | . 07 | 3.75 | 10.85 | 1.063 | 2.80 |
|  | . 00 | 366 | 11.69 | 1.069 | 307 |
| September 28. | . 00 | 2.30 | 13.25 | 1.070 | 2.48 |
| September 30 | - 1.04 | 5.16 | 10.78 | 1.072 | 2.53 |
| Oetober 3. | 1.05 | 2.62 | 10. 45 | 1.059 | 2.41 |
| October 4 | . 70 | 2.67 | 11.91 | 1.067 | 1.51 |
| Average | . 48 | 3.36 | 11.49 | 1.067 | 2.47 |

We have, then, as the average of the six juices, an amount of sucrose as indicated by the polariscope only 14.3 per cent of the amount shown to be present by analysis. We have also a specific gravity of 1.067 , which indicates, as the average of a large number of analyses of normal juices, a juice of the following composition, viz:


It will be seen that this composition resembles the average of the above six, except in this, that the sucrose and glucose appear to have changed places, the sum of the two being in one case 13.79 per cent, in the other 14.85 per cent.

Now, in over 4,000 separate analyses of sorghum juices from canes recently cut, there has never been found even one which approximated the composition of the average of these six juices above given.

In no case has the polariscope approximately differed so widely from the results of analysis as in these, for the average results of the polariscope, as compared with the results of analyses of all the juices analyzed, gave 96 per cent of the analytical result, while these contain but 14.3 per cent. The conclusion, then, is irresistible, that these juices are wholly abnormal, and are so through the inversion of the sucrose which existed in the plant, since the average of all the analy-
ses made hare demonstrated, that if the total of glucose and sucrose in a juice is 14 or 15 per cent of the juice, at least 12 or 13 per cent of this had existed in the plant as sucrose. If not present upon analysis, it must have suffered inversion-as in this case was easily rendered probable by the stalks having been cut some days before they were worked up in the mull.

TABLES OF SPECIFIC GRATITY OF SORGHCM JUICES AND THEIR COMPOSITION.
In the following tables are given average results obtained by the analysis of sorghum juices of different specific gravities, during the years $1879,1880,1881,1882$.

These give the average results of all the juices analysed, being several thousand, obtained from orer one hundred distinct rarieties of sorghum, and for four successive years, so that the average results can not but be accepted as of almost absolute accuracy.

In 1879 , the number of varieties under examination were but four, and the number of analyses comparatively few, also the method for the correct determination of specific gravity and composition of the several juices not so well established as in the later years, but as confirming the general fact that the specific gravities will enable one to determine the composition of his juice, the results for 1879 are also given.

That such tables are of the greatest practical value to the manufacturer of sugar or syrup, is obvious.

By reference to them, the sugar-boiler can determine quite accurately the composition of any juice of which he knows the specific gravity. Although the varieties differ somewhat among themselves in the composition of the juice for the same specific gravity, still these differences are not so great as to be of much practical importance.

In examining these tables, it should be remembered that the results are valuable in proportion to the number of analyses from which each figure has been derived; therefore, while the figures derived from a small number of analyses are true for the particular canes examined, it is probable that a larger number of determinations would somewhat modify the results. If only those figures are examined which are based on ten or more analyses, it will be seen that the recorded results are very seldom exceptional.

Among other points shown by these tables, the following are important:

1st. The amount of juice obtained seldom falls below 60 per cent
of the weight of the stripped stalks; this percentage does not vary greatly throughout the season.

2nd. The amount of crystallizable sugar (sucrose) in the juice, is at first little over 1 per cent, but it regularly increases with the increase of specific gravity. No one relatiouship is more evident than this close correspondence between the increase of specific gravity and percentage of sucrose in the juice; the average increase of sucrose for an increase of .001 in specific gravity (between 1.030 and 1.086 ), is 0.233 per cent. The following shows the average increase of cane sugar corresponding with an increase of .001 in specific gravity of the juice:

Between 1.030-1.039 = . 164 per cent suerose.
Between $1.040-1.049=.167$ per cent sucrose.
Between $1.050-1.059=.229$ per cent sucrose.
Between 1.060-1.069 = .250 per cent sucrose.
Between 1.070-1.079 =. 142 per cent sucrose. Between $1.080-1.086=161$ per cent sucrose.

3rd. It is a noticeable fact that the "solids not sugar" increase regularly, and with almost the same rapidity that the glucose diminishes. Thus, for the specific gravities between 1.030 and 1.086 , the average percentage of glucose is 2.84 , and of solids not sugar 2.71 , while the actual loss of glucose is 2.76 per cent, and the actual gain of solids not. sugar is 2.77 per cent. From the small number of ash determinations (34), it appears that the average percentage of ash in sorghum juice amounts to 1.07 per cent; hence it appears that a loss of 2.76 per cent of glucose is apparently counterbalanced by a gain of 1.70 per cent of organic solids not sugar, the ash varying but slightly. These figures are subject to future revision, when a much larger number of ash determinations may render it possible to draw conclusions with greater safety.

One point, however, seems to be strongly suggested, namely, that the decrease in glucose bears a much closer relationship to the increase of organic solids not sugar, than to the increase of crystallizable sugar. In other words, it seems at least possible that the commonly accepted idea that cane sugar is formed in plants only through the intervention of glucose, may be a mistaken idea. This point is a very interesting one, and worthy of careful study in the future.

4th. The percentage of total solids regularly increases, with a few exceptions, with the increase of specific gravity; the average increase for each gain of . 001 in specific gravity, is 0.17 per cent of total solids.

5 th. Experience has shown that the percentage of crystallizable sugar in the total solids of the juice should exceed 70 , in order that good results may be had. See Table of Averages for 1880.

An inspection of these tables indicates that these juices attained that
percentage (see column headed "Arailable sugar") when the specific gravity 1.066 mas reached, and this per cent ras maintained, and eren exceeded, until the specific grarity 1.086 was passed. After this the per cent is somemhat rariable, because specific grarities abore 1.086 were not attained until quite late in the season, when the plants had nearly or quite ceased growing; also, the number of experiments for these higher specific gravities was smaller than for the lower figures. It is safe to say that the profitable working period for surghum canes begins when the juice attains the specific gravitr 1.066, and continues until the specific grarity 1.086 is reached and frequently eren longer. During this period, the caues examined furnished on an arerage 61.9 per cent of juice from the stripped stalks. A good mill should furnish not less than 60 per cent on the large scale. Sereral manufacturers are willing to contract for mills to furnish 65 per cent.

6 th . On the supposition that a good mill, rielding at least 60 per cent of juice from the stripped stalks, is used, the amount of sugar which should be obtaiued from 100 pounds of stalks, is found br referring to the figures in the last column corresponding with the specific grarity of the juice obtained. For example, each 100 pounds of stripped stalks, the juice from which has the specific graritr 1.073 , should actually furnish 7.7 pounds of cane sugar.

## Specific Gravity Tables of Juices of Sorghum.

In these tables the average result in percentage of juice obtained, the percentage of the several constituents of the juice, the arailable sugar calculated as the difference between the sucrose and the sum of the solids not sucrose, the exponent of puritr, br which is meant the percentage of sucrose in the total solids of the juices, and the arailable sugar calculated from this "exponent," as also the number of analyses made, is shown for each degree of specific gravity :

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SORGHUM JUICES AT DIFFERENT SPECIFIC GRAVITIES.
1879.


GRAYITIES, 1880.

|  |  | Per cent of glucose. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.019 | 61.32 | . 67 | 2.20 | 3.12 | 5.99 | 36.73 | . 81 | . 48 | 1 |
| 1.021 | 58.30 | 3.91 | 54 | 68 | 5.13 | 10.53 | . 06 | . 04 | 2 |
| 1.022 | 69.04 | 3.06 | 1.46 | 1.11 | 5.63 | 25.93 | . 58 | . 23 | 1 |
| 1.023 | 47.36 | 3.27 | 1.15 | 1.29 | 5.71 | 20.14 | . 23 | . 14 | 3 |
| 1.024 | 60.49 | 3.85 | 1.02 | 1.73 | 6.60 | 15.45 | . 16 | . 10 | 1 |
| 1.026 | 62.78 | 4.04 | .98 | . 91 | 5.93 | 16.53 | . 16 | . 10 | 1 |
| 1.027 | 57.08 | 3.41 | 2.03 | 1.61 | 7.11 | 29.40 | . 61 | . 37 | 3 |
| 1.028 | 46.61 | 3.98 | 1.79 | 2.34 | 8.11 | 22.07 | . 40 | . 24 | 8 |
| 1.029 | 57.72 | 4.34 | 1.55 | 1.53 | 7.43 | 20.89 | . 33 | . 20 | 6 |
| 1.030 | 45.44 | 3.98 | 2.36 | 1.82 | 8.16 | 28.92 | . 58 | . 5.5 | 11 |
| 1.031 | 5601 | 3.82 | 9.66 | 1.58 | 8.06 | 33.00 | . 88 | . 53 | 12 |
| 1.032 | 60.97 | 3.95 | 2.16 | 2.6 | 8.16 | 2647 | . 57 | . 34 | 17 |
| 1.033 | 60.13 | 4.522 | 2.26 | 1.78 | 8.56 | 26.40 | . 60 | . 36 | 28 |
| 1.034 | 66.96 | 4.24 | 2.50 | 1.93 | 8.67 | 28.84 | . 72 | . 43 | 13 |
| 1.035 | 60.22 | 4.11 | 3.29 | 1.98 | 9.83 | 35.05 | 1.15 | . 69 | 23 |
| 1.036 | 64.28 | 4.56 | 3.12 | 1.59 | 9.27 | 33.66 | 1.05 | . 63 | 23 |
| 1.037 | 60.12 | 4.42 | 3.56 | 1.75 | 9.73 | 26.59 | $1.30{ }^{\circ}$ | . 78 | 25 |
| 1.038 | 61.37 | 4.43 | 3.43 | 1.88 | 9.74 | 35.22 | 1.21 | . 73 | 21 |
| 1.039 | 61.30 | 4.14 | 4.00 | 1.85 | 9.99 | 40.00 | 1.60 | . 96 | 25 |
| 1. 040 | 62.78 | 394 | 4.41 | 1.77 | 10.17 | 4336 | 1.91 | 1.15 | 18 |
| 1.041 | 62.41 | 421 | 4.30 | 1.92 | 1043 | 4123 | 1.77 | 1.06 | 26 |
| 1.042 | 59.40 | 4.13 | 4.69 | 1.91 | 10.78 | 43.71 | 2.05 | 1.23 | 23 |
| 1.043 | 64.72 | 4.26 | 4.95 | 1.92 | 1113 | 44.48 | 2.20 | 1.32 | 22 |
| 1.044 | 63.98 | 3.79 | 5.3 | 2.17 | 11.19 | 46.74 | 2.42 | 1.43 | 17 |
| 1.045 | 64.54 | 3.57 | 5.51 | 2.19 | 11.47 | 48.04 | 2.65 | 1.59 | 4 |
| 1.046 | 61.34 | 3.76 | 5.72 | 2.10 | 11.58 | 49.34 | 2.82 | 169 | 30 |
| 1.047 | 6503 | 3.43 | 6.25 | 2.15 | 11.86 | 52.95 | 3.33 | 2.00 | 31 |
| 1.048 | 6.5. 18 | 3.99 | 6.08 | 2.03 | 12.10 | 50.25 | 3.06 | 1.84 | 36 |
| 1.049 | 692.88 | 3.62 | 6.34 | 2.23 | 12.19 | 52.01 | 3.30 | 1.98 | 37 |
| 1.050 | 66.17 | 3.32 | 6.99 | 2.29 | 12. 60 | 55.48 | 3.88 | 2.33 | 48 |
| 1.051 | 62.81 | 3.12 | 7.18 | 2.26 | 12.56 | 57.17 | 4.10 | 2.46 | 42 |
| 1.052 | 64.36 | 3.18 | 7.64 | 2.46 | 13.28 | 57.61 | 4.40 | 2.64 | 43 |
| 1.053 | -63.95 | 3.42 | 7.58 | 2.81 | 331 | $56.9 \overline{5}$ | 4.32 | 2.59 | 43 |
| 1.054 | 63.33 | 3.12 | 7.74 | 227 | 13.13 | 5895 | 457 | 2.74 | 49 |
| 1055 | 65.66 | 3.38 | 8.12 | 2.24 | 13.74 | 59 09 | 4.80 | 2.88 | 55 |
| 1.056 | 63.66 | 2.96 | 861 | 2.40 | 13.97 | 6163 | 492 | 2.95 | 52 |
| 1.057 | 62.74 | 2.99 | 8.90 | $\underline{2} .34$ | 14.23 | 62.54 | 5.57 | 3.34 | 56 |
| 1.058 | 64.10 | 2.78 | 9.18 | 2.53 | 14.49 | 63.35 | 5.82 | 3.49 | 76 |
| 1.059 | 63.93 | 3.05 | 9.28 | $\bigcirc 44$ | 14.77 | 6290 | 5.84 | 3.50 | 73 |
| 1.060 | 63.15 | 2.65 | 9.80 | 2.67 | 15.12 | 6481 | 6.35 | 3.81 | 100 |
| 1.061 | 64.86 | 2.73 | 988 | 2.75 | 15.36 | 6.4 .32 | 6.36 | 3.82 | 76 |
| 1.062 | 6335 | 2.51 | 10.24 | 2.77 | 1552 | 6598 | 6.76 | 4.06 | 73 |
| 1.063 | 64.74 | 2.65 | 1016 | 2.95 | 15.76 | 64.47 | 655 | 393 | 81 |
| 1.064 | 63.48 | 2.43 | 10.64 | 2. 5 | 16.02 | 66.42 | 70 | 4.24 | 64 |
| 1.065 | 61.08 | 2.07 | 11.19 | 2.85 | 16.11 | 69.46 | 7.77 | 4.66 | 81 |
| 1.066 | 63.55 | 2.03 | 11.46 | 2.72 | 1626 | 70.48 | 8.08 | 4.85 | 74 |
| 1.067 | 60.98 | 199 | 11.80 | 2.87 | 16.66 | 70.83 | 8.36 | 5.02 | 69 |
| 1008 | 63.25 | 1.97 | 11.84 | 3.00 | 16.81 | 70.43 | 8.34 | 5.00 | 56 |
| 1.069 | 61.15 | 1.81 | 12.30 | 3.05 | 17.16 | 71.68 | 8.82 | 5.29 | 75 |
| 1.070 | C3.45 | 1.84 | 1259 | 300 | 17.43 | 7223 | 9.09 | 5.45 | 83 |
| 1.071 | 62.37 | 1.81 | 12.54 | 3.26 | 1761 | 71.21 | 8.93 | 536 | 89 |
| $1.0 \bar{i}^{2}$ | 61.81 | 1.68 | 1294 | 3.21 | 1783 | 7258 | 9.39 | 5.63 | 82 |
| 1.073 | 62.46 | 1.83 | 1283 | 3.20 | 17.88 | 71.76 | 919 | 5.51 | 75 |
| 1.074 | 61.44 | 1.69 | 13.22 | 337 | 18.25 | 7232 | 9.56 | 5.74 | 75 |
| 1075 | 61.78 | 1.71 | 13.47 | 3.37 | 18.55 | 72.62 | 9.78 | 5.87 | 67 |

AVERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SORGHUM JUICES, ETC., 1880.-Continued.

|  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $~$ $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 | spinos | -วข!̣! |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.076 | 61.49 | 1.47 | 13.66 | 3.54 | 1867 | 73.16 | 9.99 | 599 | 68 |
| 1.077 | 6041 | 1.62 | 13.75 | 3.58 | 18.5 | 72.56 | 9.98 | 5.99 | 45 |
| 1.078 | 61.18 | 1.50 | 13.88 | 404 | 19 42 | 71.47 | 9.92 | 5.9 .7 | 52 |
| 1.079 | 60.50 | 1.51 | 14.01 | 3.67 | 1919 | 7301 | 1023 | 6.14 | 46 |
| 1.080 | 6000 | 1.57 | 14.01 | 374 | 19 ? 2 | 72.52 | 10.16 | 6.08 | 41 |
| 1.081 | 60.58 | 1.43 | 14.24 | 410 | 1977 | 7203 | 10. 26 | 6.16 | 25 |
| $1.08{ }^{2}$ | 60.47 | 1.14 | 1506 | 40.3 | 20.5 | 7437 | 11.20 | 6.72 | 25 |
| 1.08:3 | 59.71 | 1.50 | 14.71 | 423 | 2041 | 71.97 | 10.59 | 6.3.) | 29 |
| 1.08 .4 | 5927 | 1.48 | 1484 | 413 | 2045 | 72.56 | 10.77 | 6.46 | 17 |
| 1.085 | 60.07 | 122 | 15.14 | 456 | 20) $9 \frac{2}{}$ | 73.87 | 10.96 | 6.58 | 12 |
| 1.086 | 5874 | 122 | 15. 65 | 459 | 2146 | 7-29 | 11.41 | 6.85 | 14 |
| 1.087 | 53.68 | 2:35 | 13.83 | 438 | 20.56 | 67.26 | 9.30 | 5.58 |  |
| 1.088 | 59.08 | 138 | 15 32 | 469 | 2140 | 71.59 | 10.87 | 6.52 |  |
| 1.089 | 57.72 | . 80 | 16.25 | $6: 3$ | 23.37 | 69.53 | 1130 | 6.75 |  |
| 1.090 | 55.57 | 1.19 | 15.87 | 4.75 | 2184 | 72.66 | 11.53 | 13.92 | 3 |
| 1.092 | 54.55 | 2.75 | 14.76 | 4.70 | 22.21 | 66.45 | 9.81 | 5.89 | 1 |

SORGHUM JUICES, 1881.

| Specific gravity. | Per cent of juice. | Per cent of glucose. | Fer ct. of sucrose. | Per ct. of solids not sugar. | Per cent of Polarization. | Per ct. of available sugar. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1012 | 6.367 | 1.11 | 26 | 317 | . . . . . . . . | -402 | 1 |
| 1014 | 66 os | 169 | 4; | $\because 66$ | . $\cdot$..... | -392 | 3 |
| 1.115 | 68.81 | 164 | 61 | 256 |  | -3 56 | 5 |
| 1016 | 6.491 | 157 | . 26 | $2 \because 7$ |  | -3 58 | 7 |
| 1 (117 | 6. 10 | 23.3 | 43 | 250 |  | $-4.40$ | 8 |
| 1 (18 | 5896 | 1 it | .68 | 219 |  | -317 | 5 |
| 1019 | (i4 97 | 25.5 | . 46 | 279 |  | -4.88 | 9 |
| 1020 | (6) 60 | 2 5s | 90 | 310 |  | -478 | 18 |
| 1021 | $65 \div 6$ |  | 49 | $3{ }^{3}$ |  | -5 56 | 11 |
| 1023 | 6453 | $\because 29$ | 99 | 30 |  | -450 | 7 |
| 1023 | 6792 | 3.116 | . 94 | 3 9' |  | -6.122 | 15 |
| 1024 | 6980 | $3 \because 1$ | .n) | $\because 72$ |  | -5.16 | 13 |
| 1025 | 6391 | 3 yc | 149 | 326 |  | -4.45 | 13 |
| 10.6 | 68.25 | 321 | 161 | $3: 9$ |  | -3.99 | 17 |
| 1027 | $67 \times 7$ | 312 | 14 | 28.5 |  | -4.53 | 14 |
| 1025 | 6787 | 3 交 | 1 : | ? 66 |  | -5 83 | 9 |
| 1029 | 66.57 | 361 |  | 315 | 1.8 | -5.19 | 11 |
| 1030 | 6.589 | 2 28 |  | 24.3 | 1.78 | -3.21 | 8 |
| 1031 | 5956 | 2 6is | 269 | $2!14$ | 2.01 | -293 | 6 |
| 1033 | 65 | 389 | 179 | ${ }_{2} 60$ | 2.15 | $-4.70$ | 12 |
| $103 \%$ | 6840 | $3: 30$ | 3 (1) | 305 | 2.89 | -3 27 | 8 |
| 10.4 | $6+83$ | 341 | 268 | 281 | 295 | -3 54 | 13 |
| $10 \%$ | 7012 | 439 | $\stackrel{70}{2}$ | 275 | 292 | $-4.37$ | 10 |
| 10 | 6680 | 30 | 297 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 19 \\ 3 & -19\end{array}$ | 1.28 | -4 17 | 10 |
| $10: 37$ | 6416 | 329 | 469 | 373 | 3.02 | -2 26 | 8 |
| 1038 | 5997 | 326 | 3.39 | 249 | 3.83 | -2 36 | 9 |
| $10 \%$ | 6698 | 393 | 4.10 | 215 | 4.28 | -198 | 12 |
| 1040 | 6718 | 402 | 439 | 244 | 3.93 | -2 07 | 14 |
| 10 Hl | 66632 | 341 | 521 | 316 268 | 4.36 4.82 | -1.36 | 11 |
| 1.042 | 6484 | 314 | 514 | 2.68 | 4.82 | -. 68 | 12 |

SORGHTM JUtces, 1881.--Continued.

| Specific grarity. | Per cent of juice. | Per cent of glucuse. | Per ct. of sucrose. | Per ct. of solids not sugar. | Per cent of polarization. | Per ct. of available sugar. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1013 | 66.17 | 3. 76 | 4 S1 | 3.22 | 441 | -2 17 | 13 |
| 104 | 63.51 | 3.59 | 5.11 | 3.08 | 433 | -1. 50 | 16 |
| 104. | 65.42 | 3.86 | 5 54 | 251 | 5 4i) | - 3 | 12 |
| 1046 | 63.99 | 3.29 | ${ }^{5} 31$ | 2.92 | 550 | - . 0 | 20 |
| 1017 | 62 | $\bigcirc .52$ | 6 3 3 | 3.07 | 67 | . 74 | 18 |
| 145 | 6597 | 3.48 | 601 | 3.33 | 511 | - 8) | 13 |
| 1049 | 6701 | 3.66 | 685 | 3.42 | 678 | - | 14 |
| 10.0 | 64.07 | 3.47 | 686 685 | 3.03 302 | 590 <br> 6 | . 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1051 | 6159 | 3.17 | 6 $\square$ -19 | 3.02 | 6 <br> 6 <br> 6 <br> 8. | . 61 | 16 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 6362 <br> 653 <br> 83 | 3.17 3.05 | $\bigcirc 19$ | ${ }^{3} .41$ | \% | 1.61 | 14 |
| 10.7 | 6440 | 3.05 | 8 cs | 3.19 | 769 | 181 | 15 |
| 105 | 57.71 | 3.34 | $\div 3$ | 3.5 | 70 | 49 | 16 |
| 105 | 61.3 | 2.79 | 715 | 3.75 | $\bigcirc .8$ | .64 | 15 |
| 103 | $61 \geqslant 0$ | 3.12 | 84. | 3.00 | $\overline{7}$ | 2, | 10 |
| 10.0 | 59.97 | $\bigcirc .57$ | 84 | 3.97 |  | - | $1{ }_{12}$ |
| $1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \text { ¢й }\end{aligned}$ | 62. | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 <br> 2 | (1) 10 | 2.82 | $8{ }_{9} 8.2$ | $\square$ | 12 |
| 10 1000 1000 | 63. 6.3 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1010 \\ 9 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2} .50$ | 9 | +189 | 5 |
| 100 | 6315 | 2 涼 | 10 in | 3.03 | 966 | 3 32 | 13 |
| 103 | 62.4 | $21:$ | 1141 | 2.82 | 1) 40 | 639 | 11 |
| 1 cm | 6026 | 245 | 1049 | 3.40 | 965 | 464 | 20 |
| 100.5 | 6395 | $\bigcirc 3$ | 110 | 3. 16 | 10 0. | +193 |  |
| $1{ }^{10} 6$ |  | $\frac{2}{12}$ | $11 \%$ | 3.06 308 3 3 | 11.79 | 6 6 6 | 19 |
| ${ }_{1}^{1167}$ | 59 | 1.31 | 11.51 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 19 \\ 3 & 11\end{array}$ | 1114 1119 | 649 6 6 | $\stackrel{19}{2}$ |
| 10 F 9 | 6124 | 14 | 12.10 | 346 | 11 \% | 6. .i5 | - |
| $1 \omega_{0} 0$ | $5-14$ | $\bigcirc 50$ | 12.24 | $\bigcirc \mathrm{sin}$ | 115 | 1\% $\leqslant 1$ | 21 |
| 1071 | 59 is | 1 si | 11.53 | 360 | 11 s? | 707 | $\cdots$ |
| $10-2$ | 60020 | 15 | 13. 68 | 58 | 19 | 7 | $\frac{21}{80}$ |
| 10.3 | 59, 50 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ \% | 13.09 | 3.6 | 13: | ¢ 14 | 21 |
| 10. | 59 | $\bigcirc$ | 13.3 | 3 | 1.3 (n) | 8.0 | 5 |
| 10.6 | 604 | 1 I- | 13.94 | 3.52 | 13. | 86 | 30 |
| 1.15 | 545 | 141 | 14 is | 3.49 | 1307 | 96 | 81 |
| 10.8 | 581 | 14.2 | 14.53 | 3.42 | 14 (0) | 989 | $\cdots$ |
| 1179 | 5947 | 115 | 11.06 |  | 114 | 1015 | -1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1\end{aligned} 0=0$ | 53 | 17 | 14.92 | 3.51 3.71 | 14 14 14 17 | 9 | - 19 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 051 \\ 1 & 082\end{array}$ | 54 | 1 1 1 2 | 15.90 | 3.71 | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 17 \\ 1.7 \\ 41\end{array}$ | $11 \stackrel{9}{3}$ | 819 |
| 1.083 | 5916 | 15 | 15.516 | 3.47 | 1.5 | 109 | 31 |
| $10 \times 4$ | as 6 | 125 | 15.63 | 3.71 | 15 | $10: 3$ | 14 |
| $1.0 \begin{aligned} & \text { ¢ }\end{aligned}$ | 6115 | S5 | 16.14 | 4.39 | 15 | $10=1$ | 20 |
| 10 osi | Ss 0.3 | $1: 1$ | 16 | 3.41 | 1.50* | 11.8 | 16 |
| 104 | 55.5 | 111 | 17.15 | 3.89 | 16.15 | 1.21 .5 | 13 |
| 11085 | 53 59 59 | 18 | 17.4 | 3.90 | 1.5 | 112 | 115 |
| 1090 | 5964 | 12 | 15.99 | 3.00 | 15. 7 | 13.3 | 9 |
| 1 c91 | 536 | 150 | $1-$ | 4.48 | 16.45 | 1184 | 12 |
| 1192 | 53.35 | 91 | 15 | 5.23 |  | 1234 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ |
| 10.3 | 56 | 36 | 15 | $\frac{2}{3.59}$ | ${ }_{15}^{15} 00$ | 11 13 61 | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ |
| 1.095 | 59.51 | 1 | 193 | 3.85 |  | 15.81 | 1 |
| 1095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.68 | 34.31 | 1.37 | 1s $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ | 340 | 15.70 | 13.7 | 2 |

AFERAGE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SORGHUM JUIGES AT DIFFERENT SPECIFIO GRAVITIES, 1882.

| No. of analyses. | Specific gravity. | Percent juice. | Percent glucose | Percent sucrose. | Per cent solids not sugars. | Polarization. | Per cent available sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.015 | 59.51 | 025 | 0.51 | 2.78 | 055 | -2.52 |
| 1 | 20 | 5926 | 0.26 | 1.41 | 2.89 | 1.26 | -1.74 |
| 1. | 26 | 57.34 | 202 | 1.70 | 246 | 1.81 | -2.78 |
| 2. | 27 | 62.23 | 1.27 | 2.63 | 2.38 | 2.19 | -162 |
| 1. | 28 | 5.5. 33 | 0.39 | 3.05 | 2.86 | 2.16 | -0.20 |
| 2 | 1.030 | 51.81 | 1.38 | 3.43 | 2.28 | 3.21 | -0 22 |
| 1 | 31 | 71.30 | 1.10 | 3.00 | 2.76 | 3.04 | -0.86 |
| 2 | 32 | 56.45 | 1.86 | 3.39 | 3.30 |  | -1.77 |
| 2 | 33 | 61.45 | 1.37 | 425 | 2.76 | 3.74 | -0.02 |
|  | 34 | 54.16 | 1.30 | 3.78 | 2.79 | 3.69 | -0 32 |
| 2 | 85 | 66.45 | 3.36 | 3.03 | 1.81 | 3.10 | -2.14 |
| 1 | 36 | 62.36 | 3.91 | 4.13 | 1.58 |  | $-1.36$ |
| 2 | 37 | 656 | 3.49 | 3.5 .7 | 2.12 | 3.55 | -2 06 |
|  | 38 | 64.39 | 2.41 | 4.95 | 1.87 | 4.85 | 0.66 |
| 5 | 39 | 61.91 | $1.9 \pm$ | 4.47 | 2.73 | 4.74 | -0.19 |
| 2 | 1.040 | 67.63 | 3.49 | 4.93 | 1.30 | 4.42 | 0.15 |
| 5. | 41 | 62.85 | $\bigcirc .79$ | 4.84 | 2.42 | 4.11 | -0.37 |
| 8 | 42 | 59.51 | 3.19 | 4.47 | 2.83 | 4.52 | $-0.93$ |
|  | 43 | 50.30 | 1.13 | 5.43 | 3.63 | 5.59 | 0.67 |
| 2. | 44 | 65.04 | 3.51 | 5.82 | 1.65 | 5.06 | 0.66 |
| 6 | 4.5 | 5.5. 90 | 1.88 | 6.44 | 2.66 | 5.95 | 1.91 |
| 9 | 46 | 53.56 | 2.91 | 6.09 | 2.30 | 5.43 | 0.88 |
| 7 | 47 | 62.80 | 2.98 | 6.22 | 3.15 | 6.29 | 0.09 |
| 11. | 48 | 60.70 | 2.34 | 7.02 | 2.66 | 7.05 | 2.02 |
| 9 | 49 | 51.16 | 3.00 | 6.57 | 2.76 | 5.99 | 0.81 |
| 11. | 1.050 | 54.94 | 2.45 | 6.86 | 2.83 | 6.64 | 1.59 |
| 14. |  | 57.74 | 2.53 | 7.83 | 2.38 | 7.05 | 3.17 |
| 17. | 52 | 56.16 | 1.69 | 8.23 | 284 | 7.92 | 3.69 |
| 19 | 53 | 55.58 | 2.33 | 8.19 | 2.48 | 7.85 | 3.38 |
| 18 | 54 | 5855 | 2.29 | 8.19 | 2.42 | 7.92 | 3.47 |
| 21 | 55 | 57.38 | 2.75 | 8.09 | 2.82 | 7.72 | 3.12 |
| 30 | 56 | 58.19 | 1.99 | 8.58 | 2.78 | 8.54 | 3.80 |
| 35 | 57 | 57.71 | 1.75 | 8.91 | 2.88 | 8.90 | 4.29 |
| 25. | 58 | 57.80 | 198 | 9.31 | 2.65 | 9.39 | 4.68 |
| 18 | 59 | 5 fi .85 | 1.78 | 9.45 | 3.02 | 9.40 | 4.64 |
| 28 | 1.060 | 51.18 | 2.02 | 9.84 | ${ }_{2} 74$ | 9.80 | 5.24 |
| 25 | 61 | 55.39 | 1.98 | 9.75 | 2.83 | 9.95 | 4.53 |
| 29 | 62 | 5.5 .87 | 1.87 | 10.26 | 2.61 | 10.08 | 5.83 |
| 30 | 63 | 54.44 | 1.66 | 10.59 | 2.87 | 10.50 | 6.04 |
| 38 | 64 | 5911 | 1.46 | 10.73 | 2.83 | 10.72 | 6.83 |
| 30 | 65 | 56.83 | 1.62 | 1097 | ${ }_{2}^{2.91}$ | 10.96 | 6.44 |
| 36 | 66 | 56.62 | 1.47 | 11.82 | 2.84 | 11.19 | 6.73 |
| 36 | 67 | 5. 81 | 1.3 .3 | 11.50 | 3.02 | 12.29 |  |
| 31 | 68 | 5967 | 1.54 | 11.80 | 2. 71 | 11.79 | 7.55 |
| 53 | 69 1.070 | 58.37 58.13 | 1.50 | 12. 19 | 2.73 3.03 | 12.06 | 7.95 |
| 29. | 1.070 71 | 58.13 57.38 | 1.40 1.07 | 12.73 | 3.03 3.09 | 12.13 | 7.94 8.29 |
| 40 | 72 | 57.08 | 1.40 | 12:76 | 2.87 | 12.57 | 8.50 |
| 46 | 73 | 5812 | 1.22 | 13.18 | 2.91 | 13.03 | 9.05 |
| 37 | 74 | 56.18 | 1.57 | 13.09 | 2.58 | 12.90 | 8.67 |
| 31. | 75 | 57.49 | 1.10 | 1350 | 3.27 | 13.41 | 9.13 |
| 32 26 | 76 77 | 56.17 57 | 1.36 | 13.39 | 2.89 | 13.15 | 8.82 988 |
| 24 | 78 | 55.98 | 1.40 | 13.71 | 3.08 | 13.69 | 9.23 |
| 18 | 79 | 5539 | 1.00 | 14.16 | 3.17 | 14.06 | 9.99 |
| 14 | 1.080 | 53.25 | 097 | 14.53 | 3.13 | 14.38 | 10.39 |
| 9 | 81 | 53.49 | 1.03 | 14.52 | 2.98 | 14.53 | 10.51 |
| 10 | 82 | 5268 | 1.38 | 1464 | 2.78 | 13.23 | 10.44 |
| 12 | 83 | 48.45 | 1.55 | 14.24 | 315 3 | 13.88 | 9.56 |
| 2 | 84 | 52.29 | 1.01 | 15.30 14 | 3.12 3.47 | 14.72 14 | 11.18 |
| 5 | 8.5 86 | 47.94 5200 | 1.63 0.74 | 14.29 15.68 | 3.47 3.41 | 14.06 15.44 | 9.20 |
| 1 | 87 | 48.88 | 0.62 | 15.36 | 3.78 | 15.57 | 10.96 |
| 1. | 89 | 42.16 | 1.95 | 14.96 | 3.16 | 13.94 | 9.85 |
| 1......... | 1.090 | 42.50 | ${ }_{2}^{203}$ | 15.57 | ${ }^{2} 94$ | 1512 | 10.60 |
| ${ }_{1} \ldots \ldots . . . . . .$. | 91 92 | 43.40 42.54 | 2.53 1.96 | 14.98 | 3.00 3.08 | 13.81. 14.40 | 9.45 10.30 |
| 1 | 93 | 37.13 | 2.82 | 14.97 | 3.09 |  | 9.06 |
| 1 | 9.5 | 39.25 | 3.84 | 15.17 | 2.61 | 13.72 | 9.22 |
| 1 | 1.105 | 35.01 | 2.33 | 17.19 | 3.67 |  | 11.19 |

## SPECIFIC GRAVITY OF MAIZE JUICES, AND THEIR COMPOSITION.

In the following tables, the arerage composition of juices of maize stalks, at each specific gravity, and obtained from a number of varieties in all, is giren. It will be seen that the general results shom that the composition of these juices also may be determined by their specific gravity.

Maize Jutices, 1880.

| Specific gravits. | Per cent juice. | Per cent glucose. | Percent sucrose. | Per cent solids not sugars. | Per cent arailable sugar. | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { analyses. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.019 | 37.83 | 1.19 | 1.95 | 1.13 | -. 37 | 1 |
| 1.020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. 021 | 47.5 | . 34 | - 1.77 |  |  | 1 |
| 1020 | 54.97 | .09 | 1.23 | 2.25 | -1.11 | 1 |
| 1.023 | 45.96 | . 61 | 1.72 | 2.26 | -1.15 |  |
| 1. 1124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.035 | $65 \cdot 30$ | . 26 | 3.21 | 2.17 | 28 | 1 |
| 1.020 | 63.06 | . 72 | 2.64 | 2.74 | - 82 | 2 |
| 1. $\mathrm{rr}_{2}^{7}$ | 55.78 | . 85 | 3.71 | 2.10 | . 76 | 2 |
| 1.023 | 55.75 | 1.93 | 3.39 | 2.02 | -. 56 | 1 |
| 1 (129) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. $0: 31$ | 64.53 | 1.26 | 3.32 | 2.37 | - 31 | 3 |
| 1032 | 61.93 | 1.51 | 3.16 | 2.31 | -. 66 | 1 |
| 1.03:3 | 63.29 | 1.46 | 4.53 | 2.29 | . 78 | 2 |
| 1.0:4 | 64.05 | 1.03 | 4.85 | 2.45 | 1.35 | 1 |
| 1.035 | 64.47 | 1.15 | 4.37 | 2.24 | . 98 | 2 |
| 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. $0: 7$ | 5260 | 1.20 | 4.73 | 3.00 | . 53 |  |
| 1.035 | 58 65 | 1.99 | 4.79 | $2 \cdot 3$ | . 57 | 2 |
| 1.039 | 67.75 | . 42 | 6.16 | 3.00 | 2.74 | 1 |
| 1. 040 | 61.4 | 1.32 | 6.24 | 2.43 | 2.49 | 3 |
| 1041 | 5957 | 1.45 | 6.20 | $\bigcirc 59$ | $\overline{2} 16$ | 7 |
| 1.042 | 57.53 | 1.20 | 5.90 | 262 | 1.58 | 4 |
| 1.043 | 62.94 | 1.80 | 6.25 | 194 | 2.61 | 2 |
| 1044 | 60.32 | 1.95 | 6.71 | 1.76 | 3.00 | 2 |
| 1.045 | 6097 | 1.8 | 7.17 | 1.90 | 3.45 | 2 |
| 1.046 | 61.67 | 1.50 | \%.72 | $\bigcirc 04$ | 3.88 | 3 |
| 1.047 | 5852 | 1.32 | 8.17 | $2 . \%$ | 4.10 | 7 |
| 1045 | 56.55 | 1.81 | $\cdots$ | 2 ¢0 | 2.47 | 4 |
| 1049 | 63.57 | 1.08 | 7.96 | 2.92 | 396 | 3 |
| 1. 050 | 63.63 | 1.02 | 9.18 | 1.74 | 6.42 | 2 |
| 1.051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.052 | 59.75 | 1. 66 | 8.95 | $\frac{2}{2} 83$ | 4.46 | 2 |
| 1053 | 5. 3.35 | 1.43 | ${ }_{8}^{9.13}$ | 256 | 5.14 | 4 |
| $1.05 \frac{1}{5}$ | 47.81 | 1.04 | 8.01 | 3.51 | 3.74 | 4 |
| 1050 | 55.02 | 1.00 | 9.55 | 2.81 | 5.77 | 3 |
| 1.057 | 55.71 | 1.23 | 9.02 | 3.65 | 4.09 | 11 |
| 1.055 | 57.29 | 1.33 | 9.49 | 275 | 5.41 | 5 |
| 1. 059 | 55.39 | 1.36 | 9.85 | 3.99 | 4.50 | 8 |
| 1000 | 53.45 | . 95 | 961 | 4.12 | 454 | 6 |
| 1. 061 | 5560 | 1.97 | 10.02 | 3.73 | 5.02 | 8 |
| 106 | 56.81 | 1.05 | 10 57 | 554 | 6.25 | 11 |
| 1.063 | 5313 | .93 .99 | 1027 | 3.78 | 5.26 | 6 |
| 1.064 | 52.55 | . 99 | 1105 | 3. 96 | 6.10 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |
| 1.065 | 54 S1 | 1.26 | 10.98 | 3.73 | 5.99 | 12 |
| 1.066 | 49.63 46. | 1.93 | 10 S1 | 4.10 | 5.78 | 6 |
| 1.067 | 4693 57 | 1.12 | 11.33 | 4.21 | 6.00 --9 | 6 |
| 1.069 | 5.3 § 7 | . 60 | 12.5 | 4.39 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |
| 1. 070 | 43.27 | . 86 | 11.99 | 4.35 | 6.78 | 2 |
| 1.071 | 54.11 | 1.14 | 11.77 | 4.42 | 6.21 | 3 |
| 1.072 | 50.25 | . 77 | 12.14 | 4.43 | 6.94 | 4 |
| -1.073 | 49.76 | 1.12 | 12.95 | 3.04 | S. 79 | 3 |
| - 1.074 | 55. 90 | . 91 | 11.49 | 5.83 | 575 | 3 |
| 1.075 | 4769 | 1.20 | 11.01 | 5.72 | 4.09 | 2 |
| 1.076 | 39.47 | . 65 | 11.45 | 6.15 | 459 | 1 |
| 1.077 | 57.63 | .71 | 13.99 | 4.91 | 8.37 | 1 |
| 1.078 1.079 | 55.11 | . 89 | 15.16 | 3.27 | 11.00 | 1 |
|  |  | . 8 | 15.16 | 3. | 11.00 | 1 |

As to relative value of sorghum and maize juices of the same spe－ cific gravity，reference is made to page 443 ，where this point is dis－ cussed at length．

MAIZE JUICES， 1881.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.014 | 69.10 | 1.17 | .47 | 152 | ．．．． | －2．22 | 1 |
| 1.015 | 75.75 | 169 | ． 32 | 139 | ．．． | －2．76 | 2 |
| 1.016 | 65.70 | 136 | ． 31 | 194 | ．$\cdot$ ． | $-300$ | 3 |
| 1.017 | 68.28 | 202 | ． 24 | 206 | ．．．． | －3．81 | 4 |
| 1.018 | 63.40 | 208 | $\therefore 7$ | 2.87 |  | －4．18 | 4 |
| 1.019 | 6592 | 1.89 | ． 55 | 314 | ． | －4 48 | 3 |
| 1.020 | 63.39 | 277 | ． 38 | 3.40 | ．．．． | －5．79 | 4 |
| 1021 | 65.83 | 255 | ． 60 | 2.26 | ．．．． | $-4.21$ | 4 |
| 1.022 | 6639 | 3.35 | ． 49 | 2.66 | ．．．． | －5．52 | 4 |
| 1.023 | 62.70 | 310 | ． 86 | 293 | －． | $-5.17$ | 6 |
| 1.024 | 65.43 | 253 | 1.50 | 2.85 |  | －3．88 | 7 |
| 1.025 | 65.61 | 292 | 1.04 | 2.83 |  | $-4.71$ | 6 |
| 1.126 | 66.97 | 310 | 1.04 | 3.11 |  | $-5.17$ | 2 |
| 1.027 | 57.34 | 193 | 2.14 | $3: 20$ | ．．．． | $-2.99$ | 3 |
| 1.028 | 60.76 | 2.93 | 1.50 | 3.31 |  | －4．74 | 6 |
| 1.029 | 6061 | 271 | 2.45 | 253 | 2.17 | －2．79 | 9 |
| 1.030 | 56.57 | 254 | 4.31 | 238 | 3.24 | －． 61 | 6 |
| 1.031 | 5751 | 2.91 | 269 | 276 | 2.08 | $-2.98$ | 2 |
| 1.032 | 59.42 | 292 | 3.07 | 280 | 280 | －2．65 | 4 |
| 1.032 | 58.62 | 3.0 | 2.52 | 2.51 | 2.29 | $-2.99$ | 3 |
| 1.034 | 60.16 | 3.21 | 3.68 | 262 | 366 | －2．15 | 3 |
| 1．035 | 51.00 | 241 | 4.08 | 26.5 | 3.45 | －． 99 | 6 |
| 1.036 | 56.95 | 298 | 3.76 | 295 | 4.53 | －2．17 | 5 |
| 1．0：\％ 7 | 55.17 | 260 | 4.47 | 296 | 4.25 | －1．09 | 4 |
| 1038 | 61.47 | 3 07 | 4.16 | 163 | 3.72 | －． 54 | 3 |
| $1.0: 9$ | 56.33 | 251 | 5.50 | $\because 11$ | 5.08 | ． 88 | 7 |
| 1.040 | 62.63 | 279 | 4.82 | 277 | 5.05 | －． 74 | 7 |
| 1.041 | 57.47 | ${ }^{2} 74$ | 5.49 | 224 | 500 | ． 51 | 8 |
| 1.042 | 54.63 | $\stackrel{4}{2} 4$ | 5.56 | 293 | 5.37 | ． 20 | 4 |
| 10.43 | 61.46 | 303 | 5.16 | 290 | 5.44 | －． 71 | 4 |
| 1.044 | 56.68 | 271 | 6.57 | 233 | 5.99 | ． 53 | 5 |
| 1.045 | 53.22 | 299 | 6.97 | 296 | 6.39 | ． 12 | 6 |
| 1.046 | 61.34 | 28.3 | 6.64 | 28. | 616 | ． 97 | 2 |
| 1.047 | 56.94 | 260 | 7.45 | 1.50 | 7.42 | 3.35 | 3 |
| 1.048 | 54.38 | 2.44 | 7.11 | 246 | 6.18 | 2.71 | 3 |
| 1． 049 | 61.02 | 249 | 8.89 | 210 | 7.51 | 430 | 5 |
| 1.050 | 57.71 | $\bigcirc 73$ | 7.81 | 247 | 754 | 261 | 6 |
| 1.051 | 57.30 | 208 | $8: 27$ | 314 | 7.20 | 3.05 | 2 |
| ． 1.052 | 5490 | 320 | 8．24 | 281 | 7.58 | 2.23 | 1 |
| 1053 | 56.75 | 275 | 910 | 210 | S． 66 | 4.25 | 3 |
| 1.0 .74 | 71.39 | 2 ！ | 7.64 | 386 | 7.52 | ． 86 | 1 |
| 1.05 .5 | 57.18 | 278 | 918 | 199 | 691 | 4.41 | 3 |
| 1.056 | 53.72 | 289 | 10 ss | 251 | 9.46 | 5.98 | 2 |
| 1.057 | 62.36 | 168 | 1121 | 176 | 10.22 | 7.77 | 3 |
| 1.058 | 55.47 | 254 | 104.5 | 219 | 10.25 | 5.72 | 2 |
| 1.059 | 58.78 | $2: 7$ | 1101 | 1.6 | 10.52 | 6.98 | 2 |
| 1060 | 53.31 | 212 | 10.62 | 244 | 10.58 | 6.06 | 3 |
| 1.061 | 56.07 | 199 | 1142 | 185 | 10.65 | 8.08 | 5 |
| 1.062 | 5349 | 2.30 | 1144 | 3.46 | 11：39 | 5.68 | 4 |
| 1.963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1064 | 53.14 | 129 | 1294 | 188 | 12.37 | 9.77 | 1 |
| 1.065 | 53.90 | 2.05 | 1192 | 236 | 11.40 | 7.51 | 2 |
| 1066 |  |  |  | 286 |  | 9.58 | 1 |
| 1．067 | 53.11 | 144 | 1388 | 286 | $\cdots$ | 9.58 | 1 |
| 1．069 | 51.47 | 2.25 | 1255 | 250 | 11.74 | 7.80 | 1. |
| 1070 |  |  |  |  | ．．．．． |  |  |
| 1071 | 50.14 | 541 | 991 | 3.35 | ．．．． | 1.15 | 1 |
| 1072 10073 | 54.89 | 175 | 13） 59 | $\because 19$ | $\ldots$ | 9.65 | 1 |
| 1018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Averaye Results of Analyses of Sorghum Juices during their Working Period.

From what has been already said, it is clear that the best results in sugar or syrup can only be secured when the seed is fully matured, and when the specific gravity of the juices shall equal or exceed 1.066 .

If now we arerage the results of those analyses of sorghum juices, the specific grarity (f which exceeded 1065 , we find the results given in the following tables.

Although, for the greater part, the rarieties under examination were entirely different, and, as will be seen by reference to the meteorological data given upon page 147, the several seasons were, in their climatic cunditions, widely unlike, it will be found that the several tables give very closely accordant resulis; thus fully confirming the opinion that the character of the crop may be rery closely ascertained by the specific gravity of the juice, both as to its value for sugar and syrup.

ATERAGE RESLLTS FOR SORGHCM, 1869.
[Juices abore 1.005 specific grarity,]

| Sucrose in jnice | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Glucose in juice | 1. |
| Sulils nutsusars in inice | 2 |
| A vailable sugar byy difference | 802 |
| Exponent. | 73.5 |
| Available sugar by exponent. | 9.85 |

aterage restlits for sorghty, leso.
[Juices above 1.00 j specitic_grarity.]

| Juice obtained. | Per cent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sucrose in juice | 13.5 |
| Glucose in juice | 1 |
| solids nut sugars in juice | 3 <br> 3 <br> -85 <br> 85 |
| Exponent | 71.9 |
| Arailable sugar br expone | 9.93 |

ATERAGE RESCLTS FOR SORGHCM, 1881.
[Juices abore 1.06 specific grarity.]
Jrice obtained Percent.
Sucrose in juice................ ..................................................................................... 15 .

Glucose in juice .......................................................................... 1 ( L

A vailable sugar by difference..... ............................................................. 12
Exponent sugar by sponent. .... ........................................................................................... it in
Number of analysc, 501.

## AVERAGE RESULTS FOR SORGHUM, 1882.

|Juices above 1.065 specific gravity.]
$\qquad$

Polarization.............................................................................................. 13.54
Glucose in juice. .. ........................................................................................ 1.53
Solids not sugars in juice. ................................................................................. 3.06
Available sugar by difference.......................................................................... 9.41
Exponent ... .......................................................................... 75.3
Available sugar by exponent. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10.54
Number of analyses, 513 .

[Juices above 1.06 specific gravity.]
Juice obtained... ..................................................................................... 56.75


Solids not shgars in juice ... . ...................................................................... 331
Available sugar by difference. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 913 . 13
Exponent.. ................................................................................................. 73.9
Available sugar by exponent........................................................................... . . . . . . 10.43
Number of analyses, 2,348.
From the above results it will be seen that, as the average of 2,348 analyses of over 100 varieties of sorghum, and during a period of four successive years, there was found in one ton ( 2,000 pounds) of sorghum by exponent 118.3 pounds of sugar available, and by difference 103.6 pounds; while in the several years the results were as follows:

AVAILAble sugar from 2,000 poUnds stripped sorghum stalks.

|  | By Exponent. | By Difference. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1879 | 1117 | 97.8 |
| 1880 | 119.6 | 100.7 |
| 1881 | 183.6 | 118.4 |
| 185 | 1196 | 1068 |
| 1879-82 | 118.4 | 103.6 |

It would appear, therefore, clearly established, as the result of all these analyses of nearly every variety of sorghum known, that, with our ordinary mills, we may secure from every ton of stalks, if worked at the proper time, at least 110 pounds of sugar ; a result fully equal to the average secured from sugar-cane in Louisiana.

Average Results of Analyses of Maize Juices During the Working Period.
The following tables give the average results secured by the analyses of the juices of several varieties of maize in the years $1880,1881$.

If we include all the analyses of maize juices in which the specific
gravity exceeded 1.055 for 1880 , there were made in all 118 analyses, with the following average results:

| aterage restlts for Maize, 1880 [Juices above 1.055 specific grarity.] |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Juice obtained | Per cent. $53.43$ |
| Sucrose in juice | 11.30 |
| Gluense in juice | 1.01 |
| Solids not sugars in juice. | 4.12 |
| Arailable sugar=sucrose-(glucose+solids) | 6.17 |
| Exponent | $6 . .8$ |
| A railable sugar calculated by exponent | 7.77 |

Aterage restlut for maize, 1881.
[Juices above 1.055 specific gravity.]
Per cent.


The arailable sugar from one ton ( 2,000 pounds) of maize stalks ras, in 1880, by exponent method of estimation, 83 pounds, a difference between sucrose and other solids of 66 pounds; in 1881, from 2,000 pounds stalks, by exponent, 92 pounds, a difference of it pounds between sucrose and other solids.

The results by polarization of the above maize juices in 1881 was 92.6 per cent of the results nbtained by analysis.

## The Bydrometer and Ripe Seed Sufficient to Indicate the Proper Time for Working the Crop.

It will be seen, by reference to the preceding tables, that it is within the means of the common farmer to inform himself accurately as to the condition of his crop by simply examining the seed, and by the hydrometer learning the specific gravity of the expressed juice.

For each increase of .001 и specific gravity between 1048 and 1086, in the year 1880, there was an average increase (glucose excepted) in the several constituents of the juice of the several sorghums as follows:

| Sucrose | Per cent. $\cdots \quad .25$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Solids. | . 067 |
| Available sugar. | . 257 |
| Glucose....... | . 073 |

In 1881, the increase for each .001 specific gravity was, in the average results for specific gravity, between 1052 and 1082:


The general average for the years 1879,1880 , and 1881, specific gravity between 1048 and 1080, was for each increase of . 001 specific gravity :


For changes in specific gravity in successive stages of develupment, each increase of .001 specific gravity corresponded to the following results:

| Specific gravity. | Sucrose. | Solids. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Available } \\ & \text { sugar. } \end{aligned}$ | Glucose. | Number of analyses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1018 to 1029 | Per cent. | Per cent. 016 | Per cent. | Per cent. |  |
| 1029 to 1042. | . 1202 | . 0125 | -.034 | .028 | 191 |
| 1042 to 1052 | 290 | 011 | (162 | 017 | 129 |
| 1052 to 1061 | 299 | . 010 | 340 | - 151 | 158 |
| 1061 to 1071 | 273 | . 023 | . 305 | -05.5 | 137 |
| 1071 to 1082 | . 317 | . 011 | . 371 | -.065 | 236 |

From these it will appear that the sorghum juices, after they have reached a specific gravity of about 1050 , increase gradually and with great regularity in saccharine strength and in available sugar until a specific gravity of 1080 to 1082 is attained, and that this increase is fully, upon the average, 0.3 per cent of the weight of the juice for each . 001 increase in specific gravity, or an average increase between 1050 and 1082 of 9.6 per cent of the weight of the juice in available sugar.

The practical importance of this fact, which appears to be demonstrated by the very numerous analyses made during the past three years, can hardly be too strongly emphasized.

By reference, then, to the table given on page 493, the farmer may, by simply taking the specific gravity of his sorghum juice, readily estimate the approximate value of the crop for the production of sugar or syrup.

PREPARATION OF SOLUTIONS FOR ANALYSIS OF SORGHUM, MAIZE, AND SUGAR-CANE JUICES.

## Fehling's Solution.

Weigh out the following: $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{NaO} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ crystallized Rochelle salt, 2595 grams; powder it and put it in a large glass balloon flask, holding at least 20 liters; add NaHO caustic soda, 820.8 grams, and then pour over it 7 liters of water, and shake up until dissolved.

Dissolve in 3 liters of water 519.6 grams $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ crystallized sulphate of copper, and add the solution of the copper salt to the solution of Rochelle salts and caustic soda; then add water enough to make 15 liters in all.

It is well to powder the Rochelle salts and the sulphate of copper, to hasten the solution of them. It is of advantage to place the hydrate of soda upon the powdered Rochelle salts, in order that its solution may be readily effected, without allowing it to come in contact directly with the glass.

## Permanganate Solution.

131.748 grams (132.) of crystallized permanganate of potash, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, dissolved in 30 liters of water, gives a solution of the proper strength.

100 c . c. of decinormal oxalic acid solution should equal 72 c.c. of this permanganate solution.

## Basic Acetate of Lead Solution.

4500 grams of pulverized lead acetate, $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$, are mixed with 2700 grams of lead oxide, PbO , and 2500 c . c. of water added to the mixture. It is then boiled for two hours in an iron pot, with stirring, the evaporated water being replaced. Filter in bottles.

## Ferric Alum Solution.

Ferric alum, 500 grams; water, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5$ liters; sulphuric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 250$ c. c. Dissolve the powdered alum in the water, and then add the sulphuric acid.

## CHAPTER XVI.

(a.) Methods of manufacture of different sorghum sugar, and syrup makers.
(b.) Experiments in sorghum sugar manufacture, on a large scale, at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington.
(c.) Causes of failure in the manufacture of sugar at the Department of Agriculture, at Washington.

## METHODS OF MANUFACTURE.

For the purpose of showing the present methods of manufacture of sugar and syrup, and the variations possible, without endangering success, the following briefly detailed methods are given of a few of those manufacturers who have, thus far, been most successful. It is to be said, that, while the methods differ greatly, they generally agree in the importance of promptness, and in carrying forward the several operations in cleanliness, and especially in the greatest care in defecation of the juices.

It is interesting to see that all of these reports are from the more northerly states, averaging $41^{\circ}$ north latitude, and varying from $39^{\circ}$ to $44^{\circ}$; also, that the longitude varies from $2^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. to $14^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$., covering an area of 350 by 1,200 miles; thus showing the possible area for the successful cultivation of sorghum for sugar, while the immensely larger area, extending from $39^{\circ}$ north latitude to the gulf, and from the Atlantic to the mountain regions of the west, is doubtless better adapted to the growth of this plant than most of the more northern states. Thus far this new industry has been largely limited to the north and west, possibly through greater enterprise in northern farmers and capitalists, and greater readiness to enter upon new industries which give promise of success.

Several of those whose methods are given were among the successful competitors for prizes given by the Department of Agriculture for those who should report the best results in sorghum sugar making in 1882.

## Plan for a Cheap, Economical Home Factory.

The following plate, No. XLIX, published by J. A. Field \& Co., St. Louis, Mo., presents a plan for a small sugar or syrup works, such as is in very general use among our farmers, and which, at very moderate exponse, will enable the farmer to manufacture his small acreage of sorghum into excellent syrup, either for home consumption or for some central factory.


Plate XLIX.
PLAN FOR A CHEAP, ECONOMCAL HOME FACTORY.
A. Loantion of Mill. B. Pipe from Mill to Juice Vat. C. Strainer at Mill. D. Etrainer at Jaice Vat $E$. Juice Vat. FF. Ersporators. G. Cooling Tank for Srrup.

## Champaign Sugar Company, Champaign, Ill.

```
PROFESSORS WEBER AND SCOVELL.
```

1. Cane passed through a 3 roll mill, the bagasse saturated with hot water, and then passed through a second 3 roll mill; the juice from both mills received in a common tank.
2. Juice treated with milk of lime in defecators to neutralization, as shown by litmus paper; then heated to boiling and skimmed. After skimming, allowed to settle half an hour or more, and then the clear juice is drawn off from the sediment.
3. Juice from defecator is evaporated in open pan to $25^{\circ}$ Beaumé, and the semi-syrup drawn off into settling tanks.
4. After having deposited its impurities, the semi-syrup is drawn off from the sediment and filtered through bone-coal.
5. The semi-syrup from the bone-coal filters is evaporated $t$, melada in racuum pan; and, after being purged in centrifugal, the molasses is again boiled in vacuum pan to $40^{\circ}$ Beaumé for second sugars.

## Agricultural Department University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. proféssor swenson.

1. Cane pressed as soon as possible after cutting, and the juice strained through a straw filter (bucket filled with straw).
2. Juice heated to lukewarmness and made slightly alkaline with lime, as showu by litmus paper, then heated to boiling as quickly as possible, and steam turned off; then skimmed, and again heated to slight boiling, the steam again turned off, and the fresh scum removed. This operation is repeated two or three times, giving finally a clear juice nearly free from sediment.
3. The defecated juice is evaporated in open pan to about $20^{\circ}$ Beaumé,
4. From the evaporator the semi-syrup is taken to vacuum pan, and evaporated to about $42^{\circ}$ Beaumé, thence emptied into tin-lined wooden vats, each holding about 50 gallons, where it is allowed to remain three or four days.

Every step hurried as much as possible, averaging about three hours from time cane was pressed till the drawing off from the'vacuum pan into the crystallizing tanks.

This process; as will be seen, differs somewhat from the method described by Professor Swenson, and published in the Report of the Committee of the National Academy of Science upon "The Sorghum Sugar Industry," p. 84.

The chief difference is in the method of defecation.

Do not allow the cane to stand stripped in the field.
Work up the cane as soon as possible after being cut.
Defecate the juice as soon as possible after learing the mill.
For defecation use milk of lime, freed from coarse particles by straining: add it gradually to the juice with rigorous stirring until a piece of red litmus paper is turned to a pale purple.

Heat the juice quickly to the boiling point, as shown by the swelling and breaking of the scum.

Remove the scum after allowing the juice to remain quiet for fire minutes.
Draw off the clear juice through an aperture near the bottom of the defecator into the evaporating pan.

And sulphurous acid to the clear juice until a piece of blue litmus paper is reddeued.*

Eraporate domn until it reaches a density of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$., or, if boiled in an open pan, to a boiling temperature of $234^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Place in a warm room to crystallize, and in about a week it will be ready to separate.

## Sterling Syrup Works, Sterling, Fansaz.

1. Juice received in large tanks ( 500 gallons), divided br a partition, one side being filled with har, through which the juice is filtered.
2. In the defecator, lime is added to the cold juice till blue litmus shows only a faint tinge of red. Then the juice is heated to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The steam is turned off, the scum remored, and the juice allowed to settle.
3. After settling, the defecated juice is drawn into the eraporator, heated br steam-pipes, anıl, with skimming. reduced to $22^{\circ}$ Beaumé.
t. The semi-syrup is now exposed to sulphurous acid fumes as it is drawn from the evaporator into tasks. where it remains until sufficiently settled.

ј. The semi-srrup is then eraporated in the "finisher," heated br copper coil, to $35^{\circ}$ Beaumé, and from the finisher drawn into a conoler $1^{2}$ feet long and 2 feet wide, in which it is quickly cooled by means of manifold pipe, through which cold water is circulated.
6. After cooling, the ssrup is stored in large tanks until all froth has risen to the surface, and is then drawn into barrels.

Nelson Maltby, Geneva, Ohio.

1. Cane crushed in 3 roll mill. Juice passes through a stram filter.
2. Juice heated in the defecator by steam to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and then

[^16]neutralized with milk of lime, as shown by litmus paper, after which it is heated to the boiling point; then steam is turned off, scum removed, and, as soon as the sediment settles, the clear juice is drawn by a swing pipe into a tank where it is treated with sulphurous acid until litmus paper is reddened.
3. The defecated juice, after addition of sulphurous acid, is concentrated by a Cook evaporator to about $30^{\circ}$ Beaumé, and is then contrated in a pan, heated by steam-pipes, to a boiling point of $228^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. for syrup, and $235^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. for sugar.

The concentrated syrup is stored in room heated to from $80^{\circ}$ to $100^{\circ}$ F. for from 1 to 6 days, and then purged in a centrifugal.

## Drummond Brothers, Warrensburg, Missouri.

1. The expressed juice is filtered through a perforated tin strainer, and received in a tank, in which it is allowed to settle, the juice being drawn from the top into a Cook evaporator, where the only agent for defecation is heat. The scum is removed, and the semi-syrup from this first pan is still further concentrated in another Cook evaporator to $230^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. boiling point, if syrup is intended to be made, or to $234^{\circ}$ F. for sugar.
2. From the second Cook pan the syrup (for the purpose of rapid cooling) is passed through a shallow tin trough into the storage tank.
3. For sugar, the syrup is kept in shallow tanks, in a room beated to from $80^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
4. The molasses is drained off through sacks.

## A. J. Decker, Fond du Lac, Wis.

1. Cane passed through 3 roll mill, and juice filtered through wire gauze and straw filters.
2. Lime is added in the defecators until nearly neutral, the juice remaining slightly acid, and then by steam-pipes is heated as quick as pussible to the boiling point, and the steam is turned off. The scum is removed and the sediment allowed to settle. The clear juice is then drawn off by a faucet near the bottom of the defecators. If the defecation is good, the juice should be as clear as water.
3. The juice is evaporated in open pans heated by steam-pipes, and the syrup is drawn into coolers of galvanized iron, with a coil of tin pipe at the bottom, through which is pumped a stream of cold water. From the cooler the syrup is drawn into large storage tanks, and, after crystallizing, the sugar is purged by means of a centrifugal.

## Oak Hill Rễining Company, Eduardstille, Madison County, Ill.

1. The juice from the mill passes through a long perforated screen for remoring mechanical impurities, to the sulphur box, where it is treated with sulphur as acid from the burning of sulphur, the sulphurous acid passing over a water-trough 8 feet long itin take out ans sulphuric acidi before it reaches the sulphur box and juice. From the sulphur bor the juice is received in tanks, in which some sediment falls.
2. Milk of lime, about $12^{\circ}$ Beaumé, is added to the juice in the defecators before heating, and not quite to the puint of neutralization. as shown by litmus paper. Heat is now applied by means of steam coils at bottom. When the first hearr blanket of scum is obtained, it is skimmed, and then another scum is brought up and removed. The juice is now b-iled briskly for a few minutes, and then allowed to settle. The juice should then be quite clear, and is dramn into settling tanks $t$. permit the further deposit of impurities, and then is drawn into eraporators.
3. The defecated juice is concentratel in a circular eraporator with a scum trough, into which the green seum. which at irst forms, is swept as fast as it rises. When all the scum has been remored, the boiling is urged, with high steam , sn pounds pressure, till a semi-srrup of $20^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ}$ Beaumé is obtained.
4. The semi-scrup is then drawn into settling tanks, if not quite clear, and is in a second circular eraporator brought to $35^{\circ}$ or $36^{\circ}$ Beaumé; but it is desirable to complete the eraporation before the semi-syrup is allowed to cool. The concentrated syrup is cooled as speadily as posible, br allowing it to run orer a wide surface exposed to the air before it passes into the storage tanks.
5. Before liming. gool results have been obtained br adding to the cold juice in the defecator a small amount of superph sphate of lime.

## Jefferson Sugar Company, Jefferson, Ohio.

1. Juice from 3 roll mill, heated br steam-pipes to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and neutralized with milk of lime; then heated t . boiling. skimmed, allowed to settle, and the clear liquor drawn off into a settling tank, where it is made acil mith a solution of sulphurous acid or with the gas.
2. Eraporated to semi-srrup with skimming. in open galranized iron pan, heated br steam, and the semi-srrup finished in a separate pan heated with steam.

## William Frazier, Esofea, Vernon county, Wisconsin.

1. Juice received from the mill into settling tanks, and drawn from the sediment into a liming tank, where it is treated with milk or cream of lime to neutralization, as shown by blue litmus paper being turned purple.
2. A long pan, $17 \times 3 \frac{1}{2}$ feet, divided into compartments of 5,4 , and 8 feet, is used in defecation and evaporation to semi-syrup. The limed juice is drawn into the five foot compartment next the chimney, where it is slowly heated, but never boils, and is skimmed once in five or six hours. From this compartment, which is higher than the rest of the pan, it passes to the four foot compartment, where it is heated nearly to boiling, and is skimmed as necessary, and by a gate the clarified juice passes into the eight foot compartment, which is made like a Cook pan, with divisions 16 inches wide. While passing through this channel of 21 feet, it is rapidly boiled, with the removal of green scum, and then is drawn into a semi-syrup tank, and allowed to settle for about an hour.
3. To the semi-syrup is added a solution of porous alum, about one pint to 100 gallons of semi-syrup. This solution contains one-half ounce of the porous alum to the pint.
4. The semi-syrup is drawn from the sediment into a Cook pan, where it is reduced to syrup. The finished syrup runs through a wooden trough, 32 feet long, to a cooler.

## Paul Steck, San Francisco, California.

1. Juice heated to 120 F., and then neutralized with milk of lime, as shown by litmus test, then heating till the scum forms on surface; steam is shut off, and the juice freed from scum and sediment by passing through filter presses, then through filters of bone-black, 3 feet in diameter and 25 feet long.
2. The defecated and filtered juice was evaporated to $21^{\circ}$ Beaumé, in double effect apparatus, under 24 inch vacuum.
3. The semi-syrup, if not clear, is again passed through bone-black filters, and then brought to syrup or sugar test in vacuum pan; syrup from vacuum pan run into crystallization tanks, then purged by centrifugals.

## William P. Wheeler, Chittenango, New York.

1. Juice flowed from mill through straw filter into the receiving and settling tank, thence into defecator of galvanized iron, where it was heated to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and by milk of lime just neutralized, as shown by litmus paper ; then quickly brought to boiling point; and, before active
boiling began, the heat mas withdramn, the scum remored, and the sediment allowed to subside, which required about half an hour.
2. The clear defecated juice was drawn, by means of a stop-cock placed just abore the hottom of the defecator, into a galvanized iron pan, twelve feet long and 4 feet wide, divided into three compartments, connected by gates in the partitions. The skimming was mainly completed in the first and second compartments, and the semi-syrup from the third ras allowed to run in a continuous stream into a Cook pan, in which concentration was completed. The syrup was stored in a tin-lined tank until thoroughly cool.
3. The sediment from the defecator was filtered through plaited bag filters, described on page 289, and filtered juice added to the first evaporator.

> Rio Granide Sugar Company, Rio Grande, I. J.

1. Juice is expressed by three-roll mill, and the bagasse is again passed through two additional rolls; the juice from both sets of rolls being received in one tank, and thence pumped to another.
2. In this latter there is added to the juice cream of lime, completely saturated with sulphurous acid, which has heen passed into it. Enough of this sulphite of lime is added to reuder juice slightly turhid.
3. After settling, the juice is run into the defecating tanks proper, where it is heated to boiling, then skimmed, and passed through the filter press.
4. The defecated and filtered juice is drawn into the evaporators, where it is reduced by heat from steam coils until scum ceases to rise.

5 . The thin syrup is taken into a horizontal racuum pan, resembling a tubular boiler; where under about 25 inches of racuum, it is brought to pan liquor, which is brought into the vacuum pan, and concentrated to melada. This, after storing two to five days in a warm room, in iron tanks, passes to the mixer, and next to the centrifugals.

## Results Obtained by Different Methonds of Etaporation of Sugai-Cane Juice from Louisiana Canes.

The following comparative results, obtained by different methods of eraporation, are given by McCulloh, in his report to the goverument, and are of interest in this connection.

They were prepared for publication by C. Conrad Johnson, Esq., an experienced sugar-boiler.

In the following table, comparison is made between the several different srstems of manufacture, as therein given, both with respect to the amounts of sugars produced, the relative quality or grade of product, and the total pecuniary value in a relative point of vier of each
result, the whole being based upon an equal amount of extractable sugar in each case.

VALUE OF A CROP OF CANE MADE INTO SUGAR BY SIX DIFFERENT PROCESSES, INTO HOGSHEADS, WITHOUT BEING SYRUPED, AT THE PRICE EACH CLASS HAS BEEN SOLD OR IS WORTH THIS SEASON, 1847.
[The whole quantity of dry sugar being in ench case 653,367 pounds, and the boiling power required for each crop being S,000 pounds.]

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { H } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :ugins } \\ & \text { 7s.xy jo spunod } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | -out jo suolirn |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 433,000 | 412 | 19,485 | * 43,300 | 2 | 86600 | 26,73.4 | 18 | 4,512 12 | 25, 163 12 |
| 2 | 433,000 | $41 / 2$ | 19,485 | 153,500 | 23 | 1,2:21 25 | 13,837 | 18 | 2,49426 | 26,200 51 |
| 3 | 433,000 | 5 | 21,600 | 162,000 | 31 | 5,26500 | 12,874 | 18 | 2,317 32 | 29,2:>2 32 |
| 4 | 433,000 | 4 | 17,320 | 153,500 | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3,8:3750 | 12,857 | 18 | 2,314 26 | 23,471 76 |
| 5 | 433,000 | $51 / 2$ | 23,815 | 162,000 | 315 | 5.67000 | 12.871 | 20 | 2.57420 | 83, 15920 |
| 8 | 410,000 | $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | 22,600 | 163,090 | 43 | 7,742 50 | 11,919 | 20 | 2,38980 | 38,722 30 |
| +8. | 478,500 | 6 | 25,710 | 141,000 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6,21500 | 10,011 | $\because 0$ | 2,008 20 | 37,163 20 |

COMPARATIVE EXPENSES, CONSUMPTION OF FUEL, PROFITS, ETC.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost of appa- } \\ & \text { ratus. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | \$7,000 |  | 1,515 |  | \$18,163 12 | \$13,440 48 | \$2, 000 |
| 2 | 7,000 |  | 1,51, |  | 19,200 37 | 12,403 18 | 2,500 |
| 3 | 7,000 8 |  | 1,515 |  | 22,232 32 | 9,371 23 | 4,000 |
| 5 | 8 8,000 | 200 | 1,948 | L. \$974 ${ }^{25}$ | 14,477 37 | 17,126 18 | 12,000 |
| 8 | 8,000 | 200 | 1, 5.9 | S. 2,17175 | - | 8,718 00 | 12,000 10,000 |
|  | 8,000 | 200 | 550 | S.2, $1712{ }^{5}$ | 31,034 45 | 56910 | 10,000 |

(In the foregoing table, taken from McCulloh's report, method 1 represents " the old set of kettles;" 2. Set of kettles for syrup, strike high pressure steam pan; 3. Set of kettles for syrup, and strike vacuum pan; 4. Open high pressure steam pans for syrup and strike; 5. The same for syrup, with strike vacuum pan; 8. Rellieux's triple and quadruple effect pan apparatus, clarifiers, and filters; +8 . The same, with results obtained from high boiling.)

In the above table, we have the relative values of each of these methods, as far as production, value of the result, and expense attending the same, are concerned, together with the relative cost of each apparatus at the date of the compilation of this table. These results
are alike, binth with reference t) the total amount of gross values produced and the relative economy of each method, with respect to cost of production, referred to the net product. Thus, in both instances, they stand as fullows: $8, \frac{1}{1} 8,5,3,2,1,4$; the method 8 giving the hest and $t$ the porest, proving that the rules indicated by scientific investigation are substantiated by the results of practical experience. From these data we mar conclude, therefore, that racuum apparatus, when properly combined with suitable clarifying appurtenances, will always gire the most satisfactory returns, and, in the increased value of the product, will, in a short period, repay the original first cost.

EIPERDIENTS TA SUGAR MANCFICTURE AT THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTUI:E AT WASHLYGTON.

In connection with the laboratory investigations which have been conducted upon the sorghum and maize plants during the past few years at the Deparment of Agriculture, there have been made, notably, during the seasons of 1880 and 1881 , a series of experiments with reference to the proluction of sugar from these plants upon a scale of commercial importance. A careful record mas made of each detail of these several experiments, ant, in view of the importance, practically and scientifically, of the results ohtained, this record is worthy of very careful study on the part of those contemplating ans attempt at sugar making.

This is the more important, since the results of these practical experiments at Washington hare been the subject of such ignorant misconception and willful representation, that it is possible the head of the department felt himself justified in his efforts to invest with ridicule and becloul with doubts every effort on the part of those seeking to establish an important industry.

The experiments marle at the Department of Agriculture were those of the "small mill" and the " large mill," as they were for convenience designated.

The "small mill" consisted of a "Victor mill," with a capacity of from 40 to 50 gallons of juice per hour, defecator, and evaporator, the latter being an open pan of galranized iron without partitions. This form was desirable, since each lot of juice defecated was converted into syrup, collected, weighed, and analyzed. Direct heat was used in both defecation and evaporation in the small mill.

The "large mill" eonsisted of mill, defecators, sulphur box, filters, evaporators, vacuum pan, cars, mixer, and centrifugal. Steam was used throughout in the operations of the large mill.

The crops of sorghum grown for the large mill were as follows:
At Mr. Golden's, about one mile from Uniontown, forty-four varieties of sorghum, in small lots, amounting in all to 13 acres. These varieties were chiefly the same as those grown upon the grounds of the department. There was also grown by him 3 acres of the Liberian and 12 acres of the Honduras. Owing to the excessive drought, thirteen of the small lots failed to germinate, and these were re-planted June 1st and 2nd.

There were also planted upon the grounds of Mr. Carlisle Patterson, just beyond the city limits, some 65 acres of Early Amber and of Link's Hybrid, and, owing to the backward season and ravages of the wire and cut worms, this plat was re-planted three times, the last planting being completed June 18th.
There was also planted upon the grounds of Dr. Dean, about one mile from Benning's Bridge, 12 acres in Honduras, 10 acres in Neeazana, 10 acres in Early Orange, 12 acres in Liberian, and 6 acres in the eight varieties of maize planted upon the department grounds. The sorghums were planted by May 23 rd , and the maize by May 25 th .

Dr. Dean legan re-planting Honduras June 2nd, Early Orange June 7th, Liberian June 9th, Neeazana June 13th. Dr. Dean began second re-planting Honduras June 18th, Early Orange June 20th, Liberian June 21st, Neeazana June 29th.

To any one who has carefully perused this volume, it is useless to say that this delay was fatal to success in the production of sugar, and that failure was inevitable, unless all previous experience was to be falsified.

The failure of the crop to mature, as had been confidently predicted during the summer, was fully realized. At last, with the assurance that frosts would soon render the crop unfit even for syrup, owing to its immature state, it was resolved to begin work, since, with the limited capacity of the mill, it would require at least two months to work up the entire crop of 135 acres. Accordingly, the work of cutting the cane began September 19th, and grinding began September 26th, and was continued without any serinus interruption until October 28th. At this time, the cane still remaining on the field, through the effect of frosts and succeeding warm weather, had become worthless. The cane from $93 \frac{1}{2}$ acres in all was brought to the mill, the last portions of which had already become sour and offensive.

Those portions worked were of the earliest varieties planted, since there was more hope of possible success with those than with the other varieties.

In the experiments with the large mill, each load of cane was
weighed, the juice measured in the defecator, of which the capacity was known, and at intervals during the dar samples of the freshly expressed juices were taken for analysis in the lahoratory. The syrups produced were also carefully weighed and also analyzed.

As eridence of the condition of the crop, it may be mentioned that all the seed which had sufficiently matured to make it possible to sare, was carefully gathered, and the total product of the $93 \frac{1}{2}$ acres was about 150 bushels, or one and two-thirds bushels per acre. If we estimate 17 bushels of seed to the acre as a reasonable crop for land of the character of that selected for growing this sorghum, it will be seen that only 10 per cent of the crop had reached maturity. As this, unfortunately, was intermised with the other nine-tenths in every condition of immaturity, a large portion not even in blossom, the resulting syrups produced may be anticipated.

On this point, the statements of Peter Lynch, the sugar-boiler, are conclusive, there being, as he sars, but two dars, October 4th and 5th, when he received cane in even a reasonably matured state, and from this he readily produced sugar. The report of Assistant Parsons, who had immediate charge of the chemical and other work in the mill, will be read with interest as a conclusive statement of the several causes of failure made by an expert of ample experience. See tables A, B, C.

Since this matter is of such rital practical importance, in connection with the production of sugar from sorghum, a brief review of some of the salient points clearly established will be appropriate.

Results. from Analyses of Thirtyfive Tarieties of Sorghum groun in 1881. By reference to the table, page 198 , giving the general results from analyses of thirty-five rarieties of sorghum in 1881, it will be seen that the arailable sugar in their juices during the successive stages was as follors:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stage. | Percent. |
| 2. |  |
| 3. Fully headeत out | -3.92 |
|  | -4.29 |
| 5. In iu blossom. | 3 81 |
| 7. Seed in milk. | - 287 |
| 8. | - 64 |
| 9. Seed in dough | +1.14 |
| ${ }^{10}$. seed hard | +2.86 |
| 12. .. |  |
| 13. .. | - 761 |
| 14. .. | 8 \% |
| 1. | +9 21 |
| 16. | +1114 |
| 17. | $+1102$ |
| 19. | 111.77 +983 |
| 20. | +9.83 +6.79 |

Now, a large portion of the crop was not yet in blossom, i.e., was at about the fourth stage, while not over a tenth had matured the seed, $i$. e., reached the eleventh stage. If we take an average of the fifth to the eleventh stages, inclusive, we shall find that, while the ninth, tenth, and eleventh stages give a total of 8.14 per cent plus, the fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth stages give a total of 17.51 per cent minus available sugar, or an average for the seven stages of -1.17 per cent. By this is meant that the per cent of sucrose was 1.17 less than the sum of the per cents of glucose and solids in the juices. It will follow, then, that the average condition of the crop was such as to absolutely forbid the hope of any sugar being produced, and that its production, at any period during the working, was only possible when a lot of cane might happen to be brought in which was considerably better than the average, as indeed occurred two or three times during the month of grinding.

The following tables represent the results of the work, and it will be seen that these results are in entire harmony with the preceding statements:

Table A.-Canes Crushed.

| Received from- | Tons. | Pounds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patterson Farm. | 104 | 80 |
| S. M. Golden.... | 99 | 1,304 |
| Dr. Dean...... | 25 | 1,060 |
| Total. | 228 | 2,444 |




[^17]Table B.-juices evaporated at sugar mill, department of agriculture-Continued.

| Date. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\dot{0}$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Oct. }}^{181}$. | 1.071 |  | 3.93 | 1068 | 4.15 | 10.06 | 260 |  | Lbs. | Lbs. | Lbs. | Lbs. | Lbs. | $+L b s$. | -Lbs. |
| ct. 15 | 1.067 |  | 474 | 11.19 | 243 | 9.85 | 402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1.074 1069 | 723.6 | 714 11 6 | 891 310 3 | 2.91 | 7.81 0.8 | None. |  | 6,121 7 | 437.09 | 545.44 | 179.98 | 479.94 |  | 7163 |
| 17 | 1.069 | 1,846.8 | 11 5 5 6.79 | 310 1.08 | 3 1 1 1 119 | 0.78 | None. None. | 11 5 58 88 | 15,633 9 | 90520 | 1688 | 17041 |  |  | 906.76 |
| 19 | 1.031 |  | 6.24 | 055 | 1.64 | - | None. | 73 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1029 |  | ${ }^{6} 09$ | ${ }_{0} 71$ | 1 1:16 | - | None. | 6.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1084 | 993.6 | 14 819 819 8 | 4.11 2.10 | 1. 69 | 1.16 | None. | 119.9 698 | 8,405.9 | 1,206.25 | 345.48 | 14206 | 97.51 |  | 1,002.83 |
| 20 20 | 1.08 . |  | 819 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 1.13 | 182 | None. | 692 5 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.023 |  | . 817 | ${ }_{0}^{4} 16$ | 159 | 3.69 | None. | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.024 | 1,514.4 | - 712 | 5.03 | 1.60 | 4.08 | None. | 3.69 | 13,065 6 | 93027 | 65720 | 209.05 | 5,3308 |  | 48212 |
| 22 | $1.0 \overline{3}$ |  | 6.6 .5 | 6.05 | 241 | 591 | None. | 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1.057 | 1,004 4 | 6.79 | 532 | 3.12 | 6.13 | None. | 449 | 8,497.2 | 576.96 | 452.05 | 2.965 | 520.88 |  | 381.43 |
| Av'age | 1.058: | 1,389.74 |  | ${ }^{2} 8983$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 899 \\ 7 & 899 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6614 \\ 251.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.613 \\ 69.25 \end{gathered}$ | 6 <br> 180 <br> 180 <br> 00 | $206,290.5$ | 14,716 64 | 16,242.01 | 4,3011 | 12,563.17 | 8,503.57 | 6,835. 37 |
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## spunod <br> －

| \％6 LSts | $90^{2} \mathrm{LS6}{ }^{\text {I }}$ | zut $2 \times 6$ | $91918 \%$ | $06{ }^{2}$ LGGt 5 | $198886^{\circ} 0 \mathrm{~L}$ | $898-$ | 20 es | 88：II |  |  | $85^{\prime} 0666^{\prime} 18$ | $04.2 \leq 66^{6}$ | 111 I |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $16 z$ | 10.66 |  | 18 沙 | 28.116 |  | ¢0\％ |  | 68.98 | 91 9\％ | Ot 紜＇ | 00 col | Gifif： | $\cdots 8$－${ }^{\text {cos }}$ |
| $9^{98} 10$ |  |  | 08.8 |  |  | 8397 | 01 78 | 92， 6 | 64： 98 | 928 |  | \％ $1: 1$ | 64： 1 | 18 |
| 89． 169 |  | 18. | 95 | 89 ＋1『 | 91599 | ${ }^{4 \prime} \mathrm{Lz}$ | 18\％ | 8 | 58.97 | 988 68 |  | 号 9 | ¢8： 1 | ${ }^{17}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1611 \\ & z V^{\prime} 199 \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{88}^{68} 718$ | ${ }^{68} 98$ | 40 ¢ 4 \％ | c0 ${ }^{21}$ |  | 18878 480 | \％9 15 | 3898 | ${ }_{69} 68$ | 38 | 92 ： | \％88 I | ${ }^{12}$ |
|  | 86.981 | 72 2 a | 015 | 17．908 | 18． 215 | 9017 | 96\％ 20 | 46 | 8， 81 |  | t＜ 4104 | 92， | S68 | 10 |
|  | 0588 | $9{ }^{3} \mathrm{Clg}$ | cz．L0T | 88.389 | \％\％ 10 | （91） 10 | c6． 2 L | $26^{\circ} 2$ | （8）$\angle \mathrm{L}$ | 00 KI | 02 5\％： | 筞919 | 8x\％： | ${ }^{61} 6$ |
| 69 09z |  | 918 | It git | ¢F \％ 68 | 19886 | 10 \％ | 12980 | L： 8 ： | 86 | 49 985 | 09） $2880{ }^{10} 1$ | \％ 58 | 16： 1 | ${ }^{6} 61$ |
|  | 9202 L | 49766 |  | 18019 | 98． 088 | \％9）If | \％ 0 | $6{ }^{6} 05$ | $19 \%$ | 0818 | 969015 | $0 \cdot \mathrm{LCL}$ | \％．sis | － 4 |
|  | ge 20 | 02 | ${ }^{93} \mathrm{LOL}$ | \％\％ 095 |  | 79.11 |  | $61^{\circ} 05$ | 19 ） | 0818 | 96901 | ci $8: 6$ |  | ． 21 |
|  | ${ }_{96} 8.11$ | 19 10 1089 | 698807 | 18． 0 er | ¢1898\％ | ${ }_{40} 901$ | 1：28 | 9615 | 10）ID | 00 |  | 0006 | 4 His ［ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 908 8 | 10 <br> 88 <br> 889 <br> 19 | 2989 | 80899 | 18148 | ${ }^{99} 8$ | 4：8 948 98 |  | 碞 IV | 9947 | \％\％9t9＇t | 边 x ： | Y6is I | 1 |
|  | （f） 09 | 98－ 188 | 9 Cl | $69 \mathrm{LI9}$ | 5981： | $10 \%$ | 9088 | $\bigcirc 56$ | \％it | 06 |  | （9） |  | \％1 |
|  | LL＇8L | 86 gug | 6 LHI | 18.119 | 19.815 | Of 9 | 1888 | 80.8 | Stid | 的 6 \％ | til $1:$ ： 1 | 00 c （1）L | $0: 1$ | ．${ }^{\text {II }}$ |
|  | 08.158 | ¢88 89\％ | 85805 | 96008 | 29 cat | $09 \pm 1$ | If． LS | $0^{01} 9$ | 09 Lb | 00 L2 | （6）10．⿺尢 | 9\％915 | 110 | $\cdots 1$ |
|  | $18.6 \pi$ | 18.98 | 38.06 | 69.696 | $8{ }_{6} 618$ | 28 | \％ | 698 | 9516 | 498 | ¢8 \％001 | （11） 116 | Vow | $\cdot{ }_{8}$ |
|  | \％ 98 | 61 10808 | 8． 0808 | C5\％ 618 | If 68.1 | 91 27 | 9219 | 496 | 898 | 09.1 | 68 V18 | G2，以 | sot I | 9 |
| 4 LaH |  | 8z，198 | 2106 | 9086 | 93.65 |  | －9\％ 08 | ${ }^{09} 6$ | ¢8888 | 99， 4 |  | 00 ct | \％16［ |  |
|  |  | L28： 29 | 10 t | H12 | 98.8 | $090 \overline{0}$ | 1308 | 家㓦 | ¢8\％ |  |  | \％ | （0， 9 | V |
| 79.108 |  | 00 ct | 00 ta | O0）6s\％ | 79.89 | $09_{0} 0$ | 1\％．08 | 98 5 | 为碞 | Of 8 \％ |  | 92 | 90.01 | － |
| 86.418 |  | 00 gat | 006 GfL | 00 Fl | 86.789 | 96－ | （9） 98 | 8：6）If | 2018 | 0000 | 7 | （E） 211 | 0045 | $1$ |
|  |  | $00 \cdot 91$ | 41 \％et | 81 | 81.109 | Fir 01 | 93 8 | 8.90 | 88.88 | 01 ： | 湤 111 | ¢ 9t | Titib I | $\cdots$ |
| 87 69 6918 |  | 60.918 | $00-18 \mathrm{~L}$ | 00 LGF | 87.689 | 10 12－ | Of ${ }^{\text {ciz\％}}$ | 83 |  | $0{ }^{\text {cs }}$ U | 12．991 | （1）Lis | 928． 1 | ＂08 |
| 69 61 |  | 00 \％op | ${ }^{00} 10$ | （0） 00 | 64． 684 | 19 $8.10 i \%$ 0.10 | 790 | $6^{6} \mathrm{st}$ | 6908 | 很硣 | 11 ：6at | 0008 | 26： 1 | \％ |
| 禹 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ |  | 00 LH | 9 F \％ 6 | 86989 | 20．IS | 761－ | \％ 8 | 9\％If | 10.10 | 近 | 20 citat | ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~L}$ | （0．4．I | fin |
|  | 92． 91 | $899 \%$ | 91＇8 | 83.92 | 9812 | 15 81 | 12．63 | 868 | 6609 | $00^{9} 8$ | 6806 | $\mathrm{OH}^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ | $\because 6$ | － 28 |
|  |  |  | "squt | $\begin{gathered} \text { 'sq'I } \\ \text { osondus } \end{gathered}$ |  | ＊＊＊＊）＊ <br>  <br> jo for $\mathrm{HO}_{\mathrm{c}} 1$ | －adoas <br> －f．ullod $\kappa$ q asorolls <br> （10）＇f．）dod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { splios } \\ & 10190 \text { 10 } \\ & 71000 \text { dod } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { "ososons } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { quador } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { asoanty } \\ 10 \\ \text { fuoasodi } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | －ijuanis ou！oods |  |

Of the juices analyzed, nineteen gave an average per cent of available sugar of 3.643 plus, while twenty-one gave an average per cent of 6.190 minus, or a total average of the forty equal to 1.518 minus.

Also, of the syrups analyzed, fourteen gave an average per cent of available sugar of 12.65 plus, while fourteen gave an average per cent of 20.03 minus, or a total average of the twenty-eight equal to 3.68 minus.

It will be observed also that, of the several lots of juice worked, nine gave an aggregate of 3,504 pounds of available sugar, while eleven lots gave an aggregate minus amount of 6,835 pounds; or, in other words, had these juices been all mixed in one lot, and had there been added 3,331 pounds of sugar, it would have been practically impossible to have recovered a pound of that added or of that present originally in the juice.

The table of syrups shows that, of the twenty-nine analyzed, fifteen gave an aggregate of 1,958 pounds of available sugar, while the remaining fourteen gave an aggregate of 3,152 pounds minus of available sugar; or, in other words, had these twenty-nine syrups been thrown together in one lot, and 1,194 pounds of pure sugar added, it would have been impossible to have recovered from this mixture a pound of the sugar added or originally present in the syrup.

It will also be observed that during the process of manufacture there was lost 17.5 per cent of the sucrose in the juice, 25.4 per cent of the glucose, and 12.5 per cent of the solids.

It is noticeable that the loss of glucose was considerably greater than that of sucrose, and this may be due to the action of lime, which effects the destruction of glucose, as has been long known to be the case. It is probable that this decrease in the relative amount of glucose accounts for the fact that the average determinations with the polariscope are more nearly those of analysis in the syrups than in the juices, they being only 8 per cent less than the analysis in the case of the syrups, while they are nearly 22 per cent less than the results of analysis in the case of the juices.

The character of the canes worked, may also be seen by the low specific gravity averaging 1.058 and the low percentage of syrup which the juice yielded upon evaporation (11), tor, as will be seen by reference to the work of the small mill, the average of twelve lots of juice from canes grown upon the department ground gives 21 per cent of syrup of a greater density, in the juice, or nearly double the amount of that obtained above. The above specific gravity, is that of juice from canes which have not attained their best condition, since, as the analyt-
ical results shom, the maximum eontent of both syrup and sugar mas found when the arerage specific grarity of the juices was 1.082 .

## Experiments with the Small Mill.

Near the close of the season, when some rarieties had already begun to fall off in their content of sugar, and other rarieties were still improring in qualitr, the crop of thirtr-fire rarieties of sor chum. grewn on the grounds of the Department of Agriculture was cut, leaving enourh of each variety standing in order to continue and complete the daily analyses going on in the laboratory.

The several lots were in succession cut, weighed, and the juice obtained from each lot, and a sample analyzed.

The juice mas defecated with milk of lime, as usual, and the defecated juice evaporated in an "pen pan to a srrup sufficiently dense t" be kept without danger of fermentation. The syrup mas weighed and also analyzed.

The ohject uf these experiments was th determine wheiher it tras nut possible to prepare, with simple and inexpensire apparatus, such as the orlinary famer might posees. srrups of high grade. i. e., containing a large per centage of sugar. These syrups, it was intended to further reduce and crrstallize in the vacuum pan of the large mill, and thus show the farmer that he cuuld. with little expense, prepare syrups from which surar could be profitably extracted : and also conrince refiners and others that ther could safely purchase these syrups and as readily witain from them the sugar as from similar products from the-sugar-cane.

The experiments made at the Department of Agriculture in 15.5 and 1859 hed sufficiently demonstrated the ease with which crrstallizable sugar could be obtained eren with this simple outfit, but the practical difficulty experiencel in purging it without a centrifugal machine was such as to warrant us in recommending the farmer not to endearor to make sugar. but to make these high grade syrups. He then could secure a lucal market for consumptinn as serups, or, should the product be rery great, the refiners mould become purchasers so soon as conrinced that they could safely and profitably mork these syrups for sugar.

As evidence that our work in previous rears sufficed to warrant our discontinuing experiments in that direction, these results are here giren. from which it will be seen that we then obtained an amount of sugar fairly comparable with the arerage results from sugar-cane. In 1878 , we obtained the strups from the juices of sorghum, maize, and pearl millet of rery excellent quality in their content of sugar. and
we obtained from these syrups, sugars of a high grade when compared with other muscovado sugars as these were. The sorghum sugar polarized $94^{\circ}$, the maize sugar polarized $90^{\circ}$, and the sugar from pearl millet $92^{\circ}$.

The yield of the syrup in sugar was large, the sorghum syrup giving in its first crystallization 34.6 per cent of its weight in sugar, another sample 31.3 per cent; the maize syrup giving in sugar 32 per cent of its weight.

In 1879, we obtained syrups from sorghum, which in their first crystallization yielded 54.7 per cent of their weight in sugar of excellent quality; another syrup gave 47.5 per cent, while a syrup from the stalks of common field corn gave 39.3 per cent of sugar.

The above results fully justified the conclusion given in the report of the work of 1878, at the Department of Agriculture, viz. :
The point which these experiments have fully settled is, that there exists no difficulty in making, from either corn or sorghum, a first rate quality of sugar, which will compare favorably with the best product from sugar-cane grown in the most favorable localities.

During the past years, nothing has been done or been developed by later investigations to necessitate any modification of the above conclusion. Since then, efforts have been directed toward the determination of those conditions which would render such production the most profitable, and the continued and careful study of these several plants during their period of life has appeared most likely to throw light upon practical work.

Besides the experimental plat of sorghum upon the department grounds, there were grown, as has been stated, numerous small plats of these several varieties upon the farm of Mr. Golden, near Uniontown; also small plats of several kinds of maize upon the farm of Dr. Dean, near Benning's Station ; also a small plat of six varieties of sorghum by Mr. Green upon the Potomac flats at the Virginia end of the Long Bridge. These small plats were intended to be worked at the small mill, for the purpose of learning their relative productiveness and value in the production of good syrups, rich in sugar.

The number of separate experiments made with the small mill was 40, and these extended from September 12th to October 22nd.

The following tables give every detail concerning these experiments, and will repay a very careful consideration. Analyses of juices and syrups, weights of stalk and average yield, percentages of juice and of syrups, the time occupied in each operation, temperatures of defecation, and in fact any detail calculated to throw light upon these results is given.

In reference to these results, which have proved in many respects so surprising, many may see abundant reason for any failure which has attended their efforts, and will be impressed with the importance of establishing by careful experiment, points which by many would be readily assumed as true, and even with a show of reason, but which in fact may be far different from their preconceived ideas.
EXPERIMENTS WITH SMALI，MILL．

|  <br> u！əsodons ұนə๐ dəd |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ＇spunod＂əдәє xəd x［f飞s pədd！uts |  |
| ＂วo！n！ <br> u！sp！̣os јo quәว дәฮ |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
| －$ว$ อ！̣！แ！ <br>  |  <br>  |
|  |  |
| －วoบn！ <br>  |  |
| －Hicis pardicus <br>  |  |
| ＊spunod＇ooı̣n |  |
| －70世 do paxayons |  <br>  |
| －hod U！－\％ont |  |
| －spunod <br> ＇子4．8！̣as padd！̣！S |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 足 }: ~!~: ~: ~ \end{aligned} \text { : }$ |
|  |  |
| 芯 |  |
| ＇quəutıədxə jo＊on |  |
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experiments with small mill－Continued．

|  u！̣ əsoxons quəo גəd |  <br>  ｜T｜｜i｜｜｜｜ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ＇spunod ‘azoe <br> səd Y［飞łs pədd！̣its |  <br>  |
| －วอฺ̣！！ <br>  |  <br>  |
| －ววฺฺ！แฺ̣ <br>  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
| －อว！n¢ jo nothczinluor |  |
|  |  |
| －Hequs paddụus <br>  |  |
| ＇spunod＇əo！nf |  |
| ＇qou do posə¥ons |  <br>  |
| ＊Mox U！poza |  |
| －spunod ＇7 पร！̣as padd！̣！s |  |
| ＊ 411018 อләบ．11 |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  $0 \circ 000^{\circ}$ <br> 仁々之记云 <br>  |
|  |  |
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|  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| "spunod "əsวr دəd Y[b]s pədd!̣łS |  <br>  |
| "จวฺฺ! <br> u! spifos jo quoว aəd |  <br>  |
| - - วฺฺก $\pi$ <br>  |  |
| -วอไฺ๓! แ! วsoدวns ju дนวว دวd |  |
|  |  |
| -әว!ฺ! <br>  |  |
| - Trels paddịals <br> of ววเ̣n! ริ 孔แวว 10 d |  |
| -spunod 'วว!̣¢ |  |
| 7ou 10 paspyons |  |
|  |  |
| spunod <br>  |  |
|  |  |
| 'su!̧Iosi jo əlea |  |
| $\xrightarrow{3}$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |
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|  |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
| 荡苛 |  |
| － |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text {-quoux } \\ - \text { jodxa } \\ \text { jo } \end{array}$ |  |

In 1879 there were made at the Department of Agriculture, with the same apparatus already described for the small mill, 33 experiments in making sugar from cornstalks, sorghums, pearl millet, etc., in all of which there were used orer 23 tons of stalks. The result of these experiments was to fully confirm all the experiments of the previous year not only, but to help toward the solution of certain questions of the highest practical importance. In every case, it was found that the quality of the syrup obtained was precisely such as the previous analysis in the laboratory of the juice used made probable. An arerage of the nine best syrups obtained, showed a percentage of cane sugar present equal to 92.7 of the amount originally present in the juice, while an arerage of the nine puorest (i.e., containing the luwest percentage of cane sugar), showed a percentage of cane sugar present equal to 90.1 of the amount present in the juice.

Below are given the detailed results of the 33 experiments, and analyses of the juices from which these srrups were made. These stalks were obtained from neighboring farmers, and, as will be seen, were never in the con!lition best suited for working-but the results obtained from them are, however, of great practical value, and are given in detail.

The last column represents the relative loss of sucrose in making syrup, as compared with the glucose present, but gives no indication as to the absolute loss which may hare been incurred; and since the economical production of sugar largely depends upon the amount of this loss, this matter is discussed more fully in another place.

|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Amber sorghum. topped butnotstripped | Sept. 18 | 1.603 |  | 234 |  | 1,369 | 684 | $10 \%$ |  |  |
|  | sept. 21 | 2, 3 , 56 |  | 349 |  | 2,171 | 1,063 | 10 in | 41.43 |  |
|  | sept. ${ }^{\text {sed }}$ | 2, 1,736 |  | 3329 <br> 258 |  | 2, 107 | 975 660 | 30.5 |  |  |
|  | Oct. 21 | +891 |  | 131 |  | 1, 760 | 3 Cx 212 | 10\% | 4293 |  |
| Honduras sorghum, top- | Oct. 9 | 556 |  |  | 468 |  | $2 \times 29$ | 1072 | 4101 | 48.93 |
| ped and stripped. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honduras sorshim, topped but not stripped. | Oct. 25 | 281 |  |  |  | 271 | 113 | 1071 | 40.2 |  |
| Honduras sorghum, topped and stripped. | Nov. 1 | 1,405 | 231 |  | 1,174 |  | 666 | 1058 | 47.40 | 5673 |
|  | Nov. 3 | 1,231 | 117 |  | 1.114 |  | 611 | 1058 | 4963 | 5485 |
|  | Nov. 4 | 1,431 | 15.5 |  | 1,276 |  | 660 | 10.1 | 4611 | 5102 |
|  | Nov. 6 | 3,368 | 385 |  | 2,988 |  | 1,6115 | 103. | 47.74 | 53.91 |
| Chinese sorghum, topped and stripped. | Sept. 23 | 319 | 76 |  | 243 |  | 111 | 1066 | 3480 | 45.68 |
| Chinese sorghum, top- | Oct. 2 | 29. |  | 49 |  | , | 139 | 1060 | 469 |  |
|  | Oct. 11 | 1,679 |  | 187 |  | 1,492 | 542 | 1040 | :32 |  |
| Liberian sorghum, topand stripped. Pearl millet, topped and notstripped. | Aug. 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |
|  | Nov. 7 | 2,544 | 454 |  | 2,090 |  | 1,090 | 1072 | 3666 | 48.28 |
|  | Oct. 2 | 378 |  | 31 |  | 347 | 116 | 1017 | 2.85 |  |
|  | Oct. 101 | $4: 3$ |  | 44 |  | 393 | 131 | 1047 | 3000 |  |
|  | $\|$ept. <br> Oct <br>  | 1969 | 667 |  | 15.5 |  | $\begin{array}{r}70 \\ 49 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1070 | 3153 |  |
| Field corn, topped and stripped. | Oct.  <br> Oct. 4 | 1,969 1,019 | 667 493 |  | 1,3020 |  | 491 354 | 103 | 2503 | 37 <br> 37 <br> 18 |
|  | Oct. 8 |  | 472 |  | 1,026 |  | 39.7 | 1010 | 20 | 3743 8850 |
|  | Oct. 13 |  |  |  | 1,09.7 |  | 3:2 | 103: |  | 3032 |
| Egyptian sugar-corn, topped and stripped. | sept. 11 | 621 | 240 |  | 301 |  | 159 | 106: | 2560 | 4173 |
|  | Oct 16 | 3.135 |  |  | 2,400 |  | 1,123 | 1042 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stowel's Evergreen } \\ & \text { corn, topped and } \\ & \text { stripped. } \end{aligned}$ | Oct. 17 | 4,185 | 1,261 |  | 2,924 |  | 1,393 | 1042 | 33.33 | 4771 |
|  | Oct. 18 | 1,968 | - 593 |  | 1,375 |  | 612 | 1044 | 3110 | 4451 |
| Miller's sweet corn, \{ topped and stripped. | Sept. 17 | 760 | 281 |  | 479 |  | 214 | 1042 | 2816 | 44.68 |
|  | Sept. 18 | 1,40t |  |  | 880 750 |  | 25. | 1051 | 31631 21.3 |  |
| Miller'ssweet corn, topped and not stripped. | Sept. 20 | 8:21 |  | 111 |  | 710 | 268 | 1048 | 3264 |  |
|  | Sept. 25 | 1,001 |  |  |  | 847 | 204 | 1047 | 29.40 |  |

SMATL MLL 181879.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'כoinf ut osodo } \\ & \text {-11s jo 7ubo do, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.70 | 430 | 95.7 | 61.5 | i-1 40 | 46.4 |  |  | 12.01 | 430 | 870 |  |  |
| 1111 | 310 | 154.0 | 6 (k) | 1449 | 45.1 | 511 | 29.6 |  | 310 | 1110 | 11.43 | 14.9 |
| 11.11. | 3.5 | 169.1 | 6 | 1714 | 44.3 | 42.3 | 20 ci | 15.41 | :3.70 | 1110 | 1050 | 8.7 |
| 11.80 | 370 | 103. | 5 \% | 15.67 | 51.2 | 36.6 | $25: 2$ | 15.35 | $\therefore 70$ | 11.6 | 10.50 | 66 |
| 11.11 | 28.3 | 58.01 | 6.51 | 1517 | 5s 3 | 62.9 | 18.7 |  | $\because 6: 3$ | 11.11 |  | 48 |
| 12.3 | 250 | 41.2 | 741 | 1. 00 | 51.8 | 60.9 | 16.8 | 16.57 | $\because .50$ | 1280 | 11.50 | 4.7 |
| 10.49 | 114 | 15.5 | $5 . .1$ | 1440 | 61.0 | 70.2 | 8.6 | 17.38 | 1.14 | $10.4 ?$ |  | 1.1 |
| 9.24 | 56 | 97. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 691 | $1+65$ | 37.2 | 364 | 31.7 | 13.62 | 368 | 9.24 |  | 18.4 |
| 7.71 | 510 | 85.7 | 711 | 1447 | 35.1 | 463 | 32 | 13.49 | 5.10 | 7.70 |  | 1.7 |
| 5.40 | 5 f11 | 66 : | 60. | $1: 31$ i | 30.1 | 39.3 | 36.1 | 12.18 | 540 | 5.40 |  |  |
| 6.6 | 5.011 | $\underline{20} 17$ | (i.5) | 1:3 | 33.4 | 3.3. | 33.1 |  | 5.00 | 6.60 |  | 6.7 |
| 11.80 | 2 - | 17.3 | 54 | 1.) jo | 57.41 | 57.9 | 164 |  | 2.80 | 11.80 |  | 2.2 |
| 11.60 | 230 | 20.0 | 67 | 113 | 46.3 | 54.4 | 18.3 | 16.46 | 2.30 | 11.60 | 8.90 | 8.7 |
| 5.5 | 806 | 74 | 4.41 | $1: \%$ | $26 . ?$ | 27.8 | 57 | 15.18 | 8.00 | 5 . 5 | 4.50 | 8.3 |
| 5.01 | $4 \therefore 3$ | 77.7 | 421 | 1:3 $:$ | 26. | 35.8 | 39.1 |  | 4.83 | 5.41 |  | 2.8 |
| 10.54 | 4 Ci | 1804 | 7 \% | 154 | 45.1 | 47.5 | $24: 2$ |  | 4.62 | 10.51 |  | 3.3 |
| 6.81 | 1 (i) | 10 : | $\because 71$ | ! bit | 39.3 | 47.2 | 17.2 | 15.16 | 1.60 | 6.60 | 4.60 | 7.2 |
| 6.36 | 149 | 124 | 281 | 9 4, | 41.4 | 46.8 | 127 | 10.03 | $1.4!$ | 6 . $: 3$, | 3.50 | 2.3 |
| 10.90 | 2411 | 95 | 4. -1 | 1:3 in; | 52.7 | 62.1 | 12. 5 | 1501 | 2.40 | 10 ! | 1005 |  |
| 5.40 | $\bigcirc$ | 460 | 231 | 981 | 36.9 | 48.1 | 23 | 9.43 | $\cdots 30$ | 5.41 | 4.06 | 3.3 |
| 4 sor | 370 | 398 | 281 | $10: 31$ | 27.3 | 39 s | $31:$ |  | 3.70 | 4 - |  | . 5 |
| 5.10 | 3811 | 40.5 | 271 | 10 21 | 21.4 | 31.5 | 36.4 | 18.79 | 3.80 | 5.10 |  | S. 6 |
| 2.70 | 415 | 36.1 |  | 1118 | 15.5 | 25.5 | 42.0 | 8.07 | 4.4s | 2.0 |  | 0 |
| 8.25 | 2 こ. | $30 . \therefore$ | 480 | 1190 | 52 |  |  |  | 2.85 | 8.25 |  |  |
| 8.28 | 119 | 1320 | 381 | 11.7 | 87.8 | 20.9 | 26.1 | 11.91 | 1.19 | 8.20 |  |  |
| 7.35 | 125 | 157.3 | 376 | 112 | 11. | 18 : | $41 . i$ | 11.21 | 125 | 7.35 | 5.10 | 54.4 |
|  |  | 65.8 | 350 | $11 \geq$ | $41:$ | 46.7 | $17: 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.20 | 3.80 | $\cdots 4$ | 321 | 1180 | 351 | 45.3 | 31.2 |  | 3.80 | 5.20 |  | 0 |
| 7.40 | 4.20 | 57.6 | 4 cm | $1: 4$ | 25 1 | 45.3 | 31.2 | 16.24 | 4.20 | 7.40 |  | 4.6 |
| 7.90 | 4.50 | 48.8 | 410 | 19 23 | 3511 | 45.3 | 31.2 |  | 4.50 | 7.90 |  | 4.5 |
|  |  | 31.9 33.0 | $\begin{array}{ll}3 \\ 3 & \text { スै } \\ 3 & 31\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}11 & \text { ar } \\ 11 & 24\end{array}$ |  | 45.3 449 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.50 | 3.70 | 33.0 | 331 | 11 -4 | 387 | 44.9 | 50.9 |  | 370 | 6.50 |  | 4.5 |

The experiments of 1879 doubtless explain some of the results of the previous year; since it is probably true that, owing to the immaturity, the tops had not yet attained their maximum content of sugar. A study of the previous tables giving results of the analysis of sorghums shows that up to a certain period the lower half of the cane is the best, but that this does not remain true of the sorghum, as it does of the sugar-cane in Louisiana, since the sorghum does have time to completely mature, which is not so with the sugar-cane in our country.

In the following table there have been calculated from the results given of the experiments in the making of sugar the following:

1 st. The percentages of the sugar present in the juices operated upon, which were obtained in the syrup.

2nd. The percentage of crystallizable sugar (sucrose) present in the juices, which was obtained in the syrup.

3rd. The percentage of uncrystallizable sugar (glucose) present in the juices, which was obtained in the syrup.
th. The percentage of crystallizable sugar present in the juices, which was inverted by the process of manufacture.

5 th. The percentage of uncrystallizable sugar (glucose) destroyed during the process of manufacture.

The presence of the same relative proportions of crystallizable and uncrystallizable sugar in a syrup to those present in the juice from which this syrup has been prepared, by no means implies that there has been no inversion of the crystallizable sugar ; for the destructive action of an excess of lime upon glucose is well known, and is not unfrequently made available $1 n$ the production of sugar. Hence, it often happens that the relative quantity of crystallizable sugar in the syrup may be greatly in excess of that present in the juice, even after a large quantity of the crystallizable sugar has been destroyed by inversion. It is only possible, then, to determine the character of the changes which have taken place in the sugars during the process of manufacture, by quantitatively detetermining the amounts of sucrose and glucose in the juices and in the syrups prepared from them.

Since, obviously, this is a question of the greatest practical importance, as bearing upon the profitableness of the production of sugar from corn stalks or sorghum, the tables following will be studied with interest.

As in the previous table, there is a constant but not uniform discrepancy between the polarization of the syrups and the amount of crystallizable sugar found present by analysis.

Almost invariably the amount of sucrose found is somewhat in ex-
cess of the amount indicated by the polariscope, and this variation is such as to forbid any supposition that it is the result of error in observation or in analytical work.

This explanation may be found br consulting the following tables, in which it appears that, although there is renerally about the same amount of glucose in the syrups relative to the amount present in the juice (averaging 97.1 per cent), there is still eridence of the destruction of an arerage of 35 per cent of the glucose. This destruction of glucose appears to be compensaterl, in part, hy the inversion of a certain portion of the crystallizable sugar, and this inverted sugar possesses such action upon the polarized ray as to render the results of the polariscope practically worthless.

Practically, it appears that the pruportion of crrstallizable sugar present in the juice, which may be obtained in the syrup, depends greatly upon the condition of the stalks when worked. For, as will be seen, the arerage amount secured in all these experiments was but 77.1 per cent, still in those syrups prepared from canes which were in the proper condition the amount was over 90 per cent of the crystallizable sugar present in the juice operated upon. (See experiments, Nos. 6 and 7.) It is not improbable that even better results may be secured after further experiments shall have perfecterl the prucess of manufacture; but in view of the fact that such results hare been attained with the crude and simple apparatus employed in the experiments here recorded, this result is highly gratifying.

We may hope, then, to secure in syrup 90 per cent of the crystallizable sugar present in the juice operated upon.

The results obtained in the experinents made mith stalks from Stuwell's Evergreen Sweet Cirn are most remarkable and demand explanation. The juice obtained from these stalks eqave in the laburatory excellent results, and promised a syrup of fine qualitr. Br reference to the tables it will be seen, howerer, that these syrups (see experiments, Nos. 26 and 27) were wholly abnormal and rery disapnointing. These stalks were cut in Frederick, Md., Octuber 11tb, packed in a close car, and, through an orersight, allowed so to remain during oppressively hot weather until the 15th. They were worked up on the 16 th, 17 th, and 18 th. Cpnn their arrival at Washington thes were found so heated as to render their remoral from the car eren difficult, and ret the juice expressed from them appeared of excellent quality, but every attempt to produce from it a crrstallizable syrup failed. An aualysis of the syrup shewed that a very large percentage of the sugar had been inverted (in experiments, Nos. 26 and 27), and that the destruction of glucose in the syrup had been unusually large, while the
amount of crystallizable sugar present in the juice，and recovered in the syrup，was less than 30 per cent．

A few of the results attained appear to be only explicable upon the supposition that there have been slight errors in analysis；but revision of the work fails to reveal such errors，and the results are given in full， without omission，hoping that future investigation may solve difficulties which at present appear irreconcilable．

| Number of experiments． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | 82.3 | 66.7 | 1383 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. | 74.7 | 66.1 | 102.1 | 33.9 | 31.8 |
| 4. | 83.3 | 76.0 | 106.0 | 24.0 | 18.0 |
| 5. | 85.1 | 80.2 | 107.8 | 19.8 | 12.0 |
| 6. | 9.4 | 89.1 | 120.9 | 10.9 |  |
| 7. | 92.9 | 91.7 | 103.6 | 8.3 | 4.7 |
| 8. | 77.4 | 57.7 | 127.7 | 42.3 | 14.6 |
| 9. | 89.5 | 87.1 | 965 | 12.9 | 16.4 |
| 10. | 91.8 | 5.7 | 90.7 | 4.3 | 13.6 |
| 11. | 790 | 69.7 | 912 | 30.3 | 39.1 |
| 12. | 8.1 | 798 | 91.3 | 20.2 | 28.9 |
| 13. | 80.4 | 675 | 145 | 32.5 | 18.0 |
| 14. | 86.4 | 68.9 | 98.6 | 31.1 | 32.5 |
| 15. | 95.6 | 98.7 | 110.6 | 13 |  |
| 17. | 874 | 83.3 | 967 | 16.7 | 20.0 |
| 18. | 75.5 | 688 | 1035 | 312 | 27.7 |
| 19. | 718 | 69.7 | 80.4 | 30.3 | 49.9 |
| 20. | 76.1 | 77.2 | 71.3 | 22.8 | 51.5 |
| 21. | 87.2 | 829 | 968 | 171 | 20.3 |
| 22. | 863 | 856 | 87.2 | 14.4 | 27.2 |
| 23. | 90.8 | 69.3 | 98.3 | 30.7 | 32.4 |
| 24. | 102.2 | 102.7 | 102.0 |  |  |
| 26. | 58.3 | 297 | 25.8 | 70.3 | 144.5 |
| 27. | 79.2 | 25.8 | 37.5 | 71.2 | 133.7 |
| 29. | 96.1 | 985 | 92.8 | 1.5 | 87 |
| 30. | 854 | 792 | 96.1 | 20.8 | 24.7 |
| 31. | 1185 | 110.1 | 133.2 |  |  |
| 33. | 84.9 | 77.5 | 93.7 | 22.5 | 28.8 |
| Average． | 85.5 | 77.1 | 97.0 | 24.2 | 34.7 |

The committee of the National Academy of Sciences，in their re－ port upon the＂Sorghum Sugar Industry，＂p．50，in reference to the experiments of 1879 and＇ 81 ，the results of which have been given in the preceding tables，reports as follows：

## Manufacture of Sugar from Sorghum.

From the numerous resuls given in Dr. Collier's reports, it is obrious that the method of manufacture of srrup was such that nearly all of the sujar present in the juices of the sorghum or maize could be secared in the syrup without inversion. This point is one of especial importance, pràctically, and, since the results differ so widely from those of other experimenters, they are entitled to careful consideration.

A single experiment of Dr. Goessmann gave, from a jaice containing E. 16 per cent sucrose and 3.91 per cent glucose, a syrup containing 3ī. 48 per cent sucrose and 37.87 glacose, or as follows:

| Juice: | Percent. |
| :---: | :---: |
| sucrose | (0) $: 3$ |
| Glucose | . 30.05 |
| Srrup: |  |
| Sucrose | .17. 94 |

From which it appears that, supposing there was no loss of glucose in the operation of making the srrap, 21.39 per cent of the sucrose was converted into glucose; or, in other words, 30.85 per cent of the sucrose in the juice was inverted. If such a resalt was to follow invariably, no one, we thinh, would hesitate to accept the following conclusion, dramn by Dr. Goesmann from the above experiment, viz:
In sight of these facts, it will be quite generalls conceded that the sugar production from srrup like the abore must remain a mere incidental feature in the Amber-Cane industry in our section of the country.

In 1879, the arerage of 24 experiments with the jaices of sereral rarieties of sorghum and maize, made at the Department of Agriculture (see Annual Report, 1879, p. 53), showed that the relative loss of sucrose in the syrap was only $5.3 \bar{y}$ per cent of that present in the juice, instead of being, as Dr. Goessmann foond, 30.85 per cent.

But of fargreater importance is the fact brought out in an arerage of 40 experiments, including all made, that there was an actual loss of only 125 per cent of the cane sugar; i.e., there was secured as sugar in the syrup $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}} .5$ per cent of all the sugar present in the juice; thus showing that eren the total loss by defecation, by skimming, and briurersion, was no more than that usual with sugar-cane juice, for it is estimated that onls about 80 per cent of the'cane sugar present in the tropical juices is recovered in the sugar and molasses, a little orer 20 per cent being lost in the manafacture.

In Ure's Dictionary, Appleton's edition, 1865, vol. II, p. i5s, the writer upon sugar says as follows:
The arerage quantity of grained sugar obtained irom cane juice in our colonial plantations* is probably not more than one-third of the quantity of crystalline sugar in the juice which ther boil.

## Syrups and Sugar from the new Chineze Sorghums.

Although the nem rarieties of sorghum from China were far inferior

[^18]as sugar producing plants to those received from India, Africa, or those grown in the United States, and those sending the seed confidently assured Minister Augell that there was no sugar to be obtained from these varieties, an experiment was made with the stalks of all of the six kinds, except No. 1 (which had been cut down), upon September 16th, with the following results:

| Stalks, with tops and leares | pounds ... 290 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stripped stalks obtained | pounds ... 160 |
| Loss by topping and stripping. | percent .. 4483 |
| Juice expressed.. | pounds ... 76 |
| Juice in stripped stalks | per cent... 47.50 |
| Juice lost | pounds ... 19 |
| Syrup made from 57 pounds | . pounds . . 99 |
| Syrup in juice | .per cent... 15.79 |

Duplicate analyses of the above juice and syrup gave the following results:

| Number of analysis. | Specific gravity. | Percent sucrose. | Percent glucose. | Per cent solids. | Per cent polarization. | Percent ayailable sugar. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Juice, 182 | 1.0.7 | 8.48 | 1.48 | 341 | 8.54 | 3.59 |
| Juice, 183. | 1. 0.57 | 8.45 | 1.49 | 3.09 | 8.52 | 387 |
| Syrup, 206. | 1.035 | 5.72 | 67 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 92$ |  | 2.13 |
| Syrup, 207. | 1.035 | 5.93 | 69 | 2.48 |  | 2.38 |

In the above, 40 grams of syrup was diluted with 300 c . c. of water for the purpose of analysis.

It will be seen that, in the 57 pounds of juice used, there was, in pounds:


And in the 9 pousds of syrup made:


Or, there was recovered in the syrup of the amount present in the juice of:

Percent.


There was nothing unusual in the character of this syrup. After a short time, it crystallized to a semi-solid mass.

It would appear, therefore, that the sole difference between these

Chinese sorghums and sorghums which have been examined heretofore is in the content of sugar aud juice which these contain, and that there is no reasen to doubt but that the better rarieties could be substituted for them, care being taken $t_{1}$ ) select only such rarieties as would mature in the northern part of China, where, as Minister Angell informs me, these srghums are grown much as maize is in this country, and for the same purpose.

It is interesting to observe that. in China, where for centuries the sorghums have been cultivated; in Turkistan, where. as Mr. Kuleshoff, of the Agricultural Academy of Mreser, informs me, sorghum is one of the leading crops: and in Africa and India, where it is the leading cereal, the chief value of this interesting plant has so long remained unknown.

## THE CAUSES OF FAILURE LF THE MANUFACTURE OF SUGAR.

The results of these investigations and experiments conducted during the seasons of 1879 and 1881, serve to account satisfactorily for not only the failures at Washington, but for most of the failures of those who hare attempted the manufacture of sugar from sorghum during the past thirty years.

As it is of vital importance that the causes of failure mar be clearly understood, they will be briefly stated.

The chief sources of failure are as follows:

1. The immaturity of the sorghum at the period when it is cut and morked. This may be due to late planting, as in our experience in 1881 , or ti) the selection of a variety which requires more time for its complete maturity than the season in any given latitude may gire. The importance, then, of selecting only such rarieties as will mature sufficiently long before frosts, to give a reasonable time fur working the crop, can not be orerestimated.

The time required for the several varieties to reach a grood condition for sugar from the time of planting the seed, has been found from the results of experiments in 1880 and 1881, to be as fullows:

TIME FROM PLANTING TO MATURITY, AND NUMBER OF DAYS FOR WORKING.

| Varieties. | 1881. |  |  |  | 1880. |  |  |  | Average. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Amber | 24 | 96 | 106 | 10.12 | 80 | 77 | 99 | 7.89 | 87 | 102 | 900 |
| Early Golden | 26 | 92 | 110 | 10.02 | 76 | S0 | 104 | 6.62 |  | 107 | 8.32 |
| White Liberian | 25 | 92 | 110 | 10.41 | 39 | 88 | 101 | 8.95 | 90 | 106 | ${ }_{10}^{9.68}$ |
| Black Top | 15 | 108 | 76 | 11.08 | 35 | 87 | 87 | 8.41 | 98 | 82 | 11.05 |
| African. | 20 | 117 | 85 | 9.82 | 83 | 87 | 107 | 6.90 | 102 | 96 | 8.36 |
| White Mammoth | 9 | 122 | 42 | 10.60 | 32 | 102 | 83 | 8.88 | 112 | 63 | 9.74 |
| Ocmseeana | 15 | 109 | 75 | 10.76 | 54 | 115 | 77 | 7.60 | 112 | 76 | 9.18 |
| Regular Sorgho | 7 | 118 | 18 | 9.78 | 71 | 101 | 93 | 728 | 110 | 56 | 8.53 |
| Link's Hybrid | ${ }_{23}^{21}$ | 1965 | 106 97 | ${ }_{11.36}^{11.02}$ | 30 | 101 | 84 | 9.88 | ${ }^{98} 105$ | 95 97 | r $\begin{array}{r}9.95 \\ 11.36\end{array}$ |
| Sugar-cane | $2: 3$ | 99 | 103 | 10.86 | 28 | 108 | 77 | 9.20 | 104 | 90 | 10.03 |
| Goose Neck | 6 | 122 | 16 | 11.34 | 44 | 111 | 72 | 7.81 | 117 | 44 | 9.58 |
| Bear Tail. | 10 | 109 | 56 | 9.76 |  | .. |  | .... | 109 | 56 | 9.76 |
| Iowa Red Top | 13 | 104 | 70 | 1264 |  |  |  |  | 104 | 70 | 12.64 |
| New Variety. | 19 | 92 | 92 | 11.63 |  |  |  |  | 92 | 92 | 11.63 |
| Early Orange | 14 19 | 112 | 72 | 10.73 9.91 | 53 | 117 | 79 | 8.21 | 115 | 76 86 | 9.47 9.91 |
| Orange Can | 14 | 11:3 | 71 | 9.56 |  |  |  |  | 113 | 71 | 9.56 |
| Neeazana | 20 | 113 | 89 | 6.78 | 38 | 136 | 58 | 8.39 | 125 | 74 | 7.59 |
| Wolf Tail. | 24 | 108 | 94 | 9.67 | 21 | 118 | 56 | 751 | 113 | 75 | 8.59 |
| Gray Top | 21 | 112 | 90 | 6.79 | 33 | 135 | 59 | 8.02 | 124 | 75 | 7.41 |
| Liberian. | - | 126 | 38 | 8.55 | 22 | 131 | 38 | 7.91 | 129 | 38 | 8.23 |
| Mastodou | 9 | 123 | 41 | 866 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | 128 | 60 | 6.53 | 126 | 51 | 7. 60 |
| Honduras | 7 | 126 | 25 | 656 | 27 | 148 | 29 | 4.97 | 137 | 27 | 5. 77 |
| Sugar-cane. | 11 | 112 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  | 119 | 2 | 9.45 |
| Hybrid, Wallis | 8 | 119 108 |  | 11.90 |  |  |  |  | 108 | 56 | 11.90 |
| White Imphee Goose Neck | 8 13 | 112 | 7 | 1.90 9 |  |  |  |  | 112 | 72 | 9.29 |
| White African | 20 | 10.3 | 97 | 8.21 |  |  |  |  | 10.3 | 97 | 8.21 |
| Westrndia Sugar-cane. | 8 | 107 | 49 | 1070 |  |  | $\ldots$ |  | 107 | 49 | 10.70 |
| Sugar-cane. | 4 | 131 101 | 23 | 8.76 8.30 |  |  |  |  | 131 | 51 | 876 830 |
| New Variety. Early Amber | 10 10 | 101 | 53 | 10.78 |  |  |  |  | 101 | 5 | 10.78 |
| Early Amber ${ }^{\text {Honey Cane.......... }}$ | 2 | 139 | 15 | 7.68 | 21 | 133 | 43 | 73 | 136 | 24 | . 71 |
| Average |  | 110 | 68 | 9.74 |  | 111 | 74 | 7.72 | 110 | 69 | 9.30 |

By reference to the experiments made with the small mill, and to the explanation of the failure in making sugar in the large mill, it will be seen that there was a difference of nearly 100 per cent between the per cent of available sugar in the juices of the suckered and unsuckered plats of sorghum operated upon. This difference was obviously due to the presence, along with the ripe cane, of a certain proportion of cane from suckers in different stages of immaturity, the juices from which, as we have seen, contained a minus amount of available sugar, and therefore diminished the yield otherwise attainable from the mature canes. So also with the crop for the large mill, the successive plantings of seed produced a lot of cane of almost every
degree of derelopment, except that of complete ripeness; and the analyses of the juices and syrups showed a result which was anticipated. It is of importance. for the purpose of sugar production, that the crop of cane be not onl! ripe, but that it should be carefull suckered; or, if allowed to grom, these suckers should be carefully kept apart. in cutting the canes for the mill, and worked for syrup, for which alune ther are suitable. It is possible that some varieties of sorghum may be found in which this tendence to throw up suckers from the rots is not so strong, and, other things being equal, such rarieties are much to be preferred for sugar production.

It shouid be the aim. then, to secure a grood stand of sorghum at the first planting, since the replanting of portions of the field would destror the equality of the crop. Unless time should allow this second planting to mature. it would be far better to leare such portions of the field bare, unless this cane be reserved solely for syrup.
2. Auother frequent cause of failure, is allowing the sorghum to remain some time after being cut before it is worked at the mill. That such a course mar be pursued in certain seasons and localities without producing an unfarorable result, has been established berond much doubt: but the climatic conditions which render such a procedure possible are imperfectly underition at present. Repeatel experiments have demonstrated that, after the cane is cut, the juice is subject $t$ chemical changes which speedily result in destruction of the crystallizable sugar. For the present, then, the only safe course to pursue is $t$, work up the caue mithin, at most, 24 hours after it is cut up.
3. A third canse of failure exists in an imperfect method of defecation of the juice. The ohject of defecation, and the method hy which it is accomplished, should be carefully studied and as thoroughly understood by the sugar-boiler as is possible. Although somewhat complex in its details, the general principles which underlie this important step are ferr and easily comprehended.

The juices of sorghum or of maize, like the juice of sugar-cane or of beets, contain, besides sugar, several uther substances, the remoral of which it is the object of defecation to accomplish. The more completely remoral of these other substances is effected, the greater the percentage of the sugar present in the juice which may be obtained.

Among these impurities of the juice are certain orgauic acids and organic salts, nitrogenous matters, aud salts of miueral acids, together with glucose and the mechanical impurities, as fragments of cane.

The universal practice among sugar-makers from sugar-cane is to add to the juice an amount of lime, generally as milk of lime, sufficient
to neutralize the free acid found in the juice, and then to heat the juice to boiling.

The effect of the lime is not only to neutralize the free organic acids, but to form with certain others of these impurities insoluble lime salts.

The effect of the heat is to coagulate certain of the nitrogenous substances present in the juice.

Upon allowing the juice which has been brought to the boiling point to stand a few moments, there will be found a heavy scum upon the surface, consisting largely of the coagulated matters which have mechanically entangled and brought to the surface the fragments of cane and other mechanical impurities of the juice. At the bottom of the defecator will be found a sediment, more or less abundant, composed largely of the lime salts formed, and which, generally being heavier than the juice, will soon settle to the bottom.

If, however, the juice is very dense, it will occasionally happen that this sediment will remain suspended in the juice, neither rising to the surface nor settling to the bottom. In such event, it will be found necessary to draw the juice, after skimming, into a cooling tank, or allow it to remain in the defecator until these impurities shall settle; or it may be hastened by adding to the juice, after skimming, enough cold water to dilute the juice, and diminish its density, so that the lime salts present may settle. By reference to the result of our experiments already given, it will be seen that this method may be pursued without loss of sugar.

After the subsidence of these impurities, the juice may be drawn from this sediment, and it will be, if the operation has been properly conducted, quite clear and almost colorless. It is then to be evaporated to a syrup as speedily as possible, and such additional impurities as rise to the surface, especially during the earlier stage in the evaporation, are removed by skimming.

The importance of removing all those impurities rendered insoluble by the action of the lime and heat combined, is manifest. If allowed to remain, it will be found that they are but imperfectly removed during evaporation, and remain to a great extent in the syrup, causing it to be muddy in appearance, impure in its composition, and disagreeable in quality.

## APPENDIX.

The following statistics, as to the production of sugar, have been furnished by the Bureau of Statistics of the Treasury Department.

Quantities of sugar and molasses produced in the state of Louisiana during the years from 1850 to 1883, inclusive.


## a No data.

Note.-The production of sugar and molasses in Louisiana is stated upon the authority of M. Champoiner for the period prior to 1861, and for the later sears upon the authority of M. Louis Bouchereau and A. Bouchereau.

Quantities of sugar and molasses produced in the United States during the years 1869 and 1879, according to the census.

| States. | Sugar. |  | Molasses. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1869. | 1879. | 1869. | 1879. |
| Alabama. | Hogsheads. | Hogsheads ${ }_{98}$ | Gallons. 166,009 | Gailons. 795,169 |
| Arkansas. | 9.92 | 1,273 | $72 .(0) 8$ 314.339 | 1,029,868 |
| Georgia | 644 | 1,601 | $5 \overline{3 \%}$ | 1,565,784 |
| Louisiana | 80,706 | 171,706 | 4,585,150 | 11,696,248 |
| Mississippi | 49 | 18 | 152, 164 | 536,625 |
| Missouri | 49 |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 3.5 |  | 33,884 |  |
| South Carolina. | 1,0.5. | 229 | 436,852 | 138,934 |
| Tennessee. | 1,414 2,020 | 4,951 | - 276,693 | 810,605 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 87,043 | 178,572 | 6,593,323 | 16,573,273 |

Production of Sugar.
Table showing the annual production of beet sugar during the last five crop years from 1874-5 to 1878-9.
[From "Journal de la Societe de Statistique, Paris, 1880.]

|  | Country. | Pounds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| France. |  | 9.3, 709,960 |
| Germany |  | $927.431,128$ |
| Austria-Hungary |  | स9,4,47,140 |
| Russia. |  | $473,989,00$ |
| Beiginm |  | 1.4, 145,632 |
| Netherlands |  | 5., 11.5 .000 |
| Sweden, Norway, Italy |  | 11,023,000 |
| Total 1878-1879. |  | $3,470,260,860$ |
| Total 1877-1878 |  | 3,131,631,300. |
| Total 18-6-1477 |  | $\cdots, 471,764,451$ |
| Total 1875-1876. |  | 3,025,637,132 |
| Total 1874-1875. |  | -2,616,948,384 |

For certain countries the export is given as being more exactly known than the production.


|  | Pounds. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Oceanica. |  |
| Java (export) | 475,091,300 |
| Manilla " | $264,552,000$ $44,092,000$ |
| Sandwich Islands (export). | 27,557,500 |
| Others (export) ............ | 9,920,700 |
| Total | $8: 1,213,500$ |
| General total 1878-1879... | 7,952.874,040 |
| Seasons $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1877-1878 . \\ 1876-1877 .\end{array}\right.$ | 7.605,870,000 |
| Seasons.............. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1875-1876 .\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| Seasons.............. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1875-1876. } \\ 1874-1875\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,37,5,387,000 \\ & 7,605,870,000 \end{aligned}$ |

Sugar Crop, 1880.
The production of cane sugar throughout the world, for the crop year ending in 1880 , will certainly exceed $4,000,000$ tons, or $8,960,000,000$ pounds of cane sugar produced, approximately, as follows, according to the most reliable statistics and reports:
(From "Concise Resumé," by Henry A. Brown, 1880.)

| Countries. | Tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| British India. | 1,550,000 |
| Cuba, Porto Rico | 700,000 |
| Other Spanish Possessions. | 50,000 |
| Br. W. I., Demerara, etc. | 250,000 |
| Duteh Ind., Java, etc. | 220,000 |
| French W. I., Guiana. | 175,000 |
| Brazil, S. A., ete | 130,000 |
| Louisiana . . . | 125,000 |
| Mauritus | 125,000 |
| Philippine Islands. | 120000 |
| Egypt, ete | 55,000 |
| Peru, ${ }_{\text {Mex }}$ A., etc | ${ }_{35,000}$ |
| Other Countries | 140,000 |
|  |  |
| Total Cane Sugars | 4,000,000 |

In addition to cane sugar, it is estimated that the production of maple, palm, and sorghum sugars, in the crop year ending in 1880, will exceed 150,000 tons, and the amount of beet root sugar produced in Europe the present crop year is estimated at $1,670,000$ tons, apportioned as follows:


Total Known Production of Sugars-Crop for Fear Ending in 1880.

|  | Tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cane sugar | 4,000,000 |
| Beet, Europe | 1,670,000 |
| Maple, etc. | 150,400 |
| Total sugar. | 5, S 20,000 |

There are, howerer, good reasons for believing that the world's annual production of cane aud similar sugars exceeds $6,000,000$ (six millions) of tons, and that China, from whence sugar-cane first found its way to Europe, alone produces enough more sugar than she reports to more than justify such statement.

## Sugar Crop, 1881.

[From the "Concise Resumé of Sugar Tariff Topics, in Defense of American Sugar Industries," etc., 1882. By H. A. Brown.]
Genuine and authentic information from sugar producing countries, indicates that the annual cane sugar crop of the world exceeds $4,900,000$ tons, and is produced, approximately, as follows:

| Countries. | Tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| British India. | $1.500,000$ |
| China, Hong Kong, etc....... | 1,060,0100 |
| Cuba and Spanish Possessions | 7.51 .1060 |
| British West Indies, Demerara, etc. | 2io.rnco |
| Dutch India, Java, etc. | 250.000 |
| French IIest Indies, Guiana, etc. | 175,000 |
| Mauritius, Reunion, ete | 150,000 |
| Manilla, Philippine Islands | 135,000 |
| Louisiana, Texas, ete | 125.010 |
| Exyptian Provinces. | 75,000 |
| Peru, South America | 75.006 |
| Hawaiian Islands.. | 45.000 |
| Mexico.. | 35.00 |
| All other countries | 160.000 |
| Total cane sugar | 4,900,000 |

Added to the cane sugar crop of 1881 will be the beet sugar crop of Europe, about $1,700,000$ tons, and minor crops of maple, palm, beet, and sorghum sugars, in this and other countries, estimated at 100,000 tons.

Total known and estimated sugar crop of 1881:

|  | Tons. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cane sugar | 4900.000 |
| Beet sugar, Europe | 1,700,000 |
| Maple, sorghum, etc. | 100,000 |
| Total sugar crop | 6,700,000 |

## Sorghum Sugar in New South Wales.

In a letter recently received from Mr. A. Fairgrieve, President of the Colonial Sugar Refinery Company, Sydney, New South Wales, he gives the following data of experiments in sugar production, from two varieties of sorghum, which he calls the "Black" and the "Yellow." He had not enough of the Yellow variety for experiments in sugar making, but obtained a yield of 6 to 9 tons of stalks to the acre, and of 1,200 to 1,800 pounds of seed to the acre.

From 690 pounds of stalks, "cut just as the seeds were beginning to harden," he obtained $38 \frac{1}{2}$ gallons of juice, the mill giving, at the first pressure, 30 gallons, and the bagasse, being again passed through the mill, yielded $8 \frac{1}{2}$ gallons additional.

After defecation with lime, the juice had a density of $10^{\circ}$ Beaumé, at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$., and only 31 gallons of defecated juice were worked for syrup and sugar.

From the 31 gallons of juice, 41 pounds of masse cuite were obtained, which gave, of first sugars, $12 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds, and, of second sugars, $5 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds, leaving 23 pounds of molasses.

It will be seen that the mill gave only 48 per cent of the weight of the stalks in juice, but the syrup yielded 44 per cent of sugar and 56 per cent of molasses.

The products, then, from each ton of cane, was
52.2 pounds of sugar.
5.33 gallons of molasses.
5.36 bushels of seed.

But, as the mill gave only 48 per cent of juice, while 60 should be given by a suitable mill, and since only 80 per cent of the juice extracted was used in making syrup, the above results, with a good mill,
and without such unnecessary loss of juice, would have been, for each ton of cane worked, a product of
81.6 pounds of sugar.
8.33 gallons of molasses.
5.36 bushels of seed.

The above results are very interesting, as evidence that, even in far distant lands, the sorghum maintains its character as a sugar producing plant. That the product of sugar would have been much increased, by allowing still greater maturity of the crop to be attained, can hardly be doubted, in view of the numerous results recorded.

The following analyses of the two rarieties of sorghum, of the ash, as also of the sugars, molasses, and the ash of the molasses, are furnished by Mr. Fairgrieve, and are of interest in connection with the above results:

Analyses of Six Samples of Sorghum (Dried, $212^{\circ}$ F.)

|  | Black Sorghum. |  |  | White Sorghum. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Top. | Middle. | Bottom. | Top. | Middle. | Bottom. |
| Cane sugar. | 30.92 | 31.60 | 31.70 | 25.31 | 33.89 | 29.48 |
| Fruit sugar. | 5.06 | 5.2 | 5.19 | 10.46 | 10 70 | 10 CS |
| Dextrine and starch. | 8.67 | S. 36 | 8.5 S | 1.59 | $\because 29$ | $\bigcirc 59$ |
| Woodr fiber........... | 4395 | 44.96 | 44.10 | 53.42 | 44.33 | 46.39 |
| Otherorganic matters | 6.73 | 502 | 583 | 3.0 .7 | 4.30 | 5.69 |
| Soluble ash........... | 3.26 | 3.19 | 3.14 | 4.10 | 349 | 359 |
| Silica..... | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 2.07 | 1.00 | 1.25 |
|  | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 10300 |

The ash consisted of :

| Potassium | 1.14 | 1.20 | 1.17 | 1.60 | 1.3 | 1.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sodium | . 22 | .21 | . 20 | . 38 | . 34 | . 37 |
| Oxygen (comb'd P. \& S.) | . 07 | . 08 | . 08 | . 12 | . 09 | . 09 |
| Lime .. | . 28 | 26 | 26 | . 34 | . 28 | 29 |
| Magnesia | . 23 | 21 | 23 | .29 | . 22 | . 24 |
| Iron and A1. phosphates | . 15 | 12 | 14 | 18 | . 13 | . 13 |
| Phosphoric acid | . 31 | . 29 | .29 | . 39 | . 32 | . 38 |
| Sulphuric acid.,... | . 18 | . 17 | . 15 | . 18 | . 17 | . 17 |
| Chlorine (comb'd P. \& S.) | ${ }_{1} .68$ | ${ }_{1}^{.65}$ | .63 1 | 2.62 | .69 1.00 | .68 1.28 |
| Silica...................... | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 207 | 1.00 | 1.28 |
|  | 4.67 | 4.64 | 4.54 | 6.17 | 4.49 | 4.87 |

Analyses of Sugar and Molasses Obtained from Black Sorghum.

|  | No. 1 sugar. | No. 2 sugar. | Molasses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cane sugar. | 87.00 | 84.20 | 44.40 |
| Fruit sugar | 2.33 | 3.34 | 12.07 |
| Dextrine and starch... | 2.47 | . 89 | . 66 |
| Other organic matters | 2.08 | 3.69 | 1064 |
| Soluble ash. | 2.11 | 2.95 | 9.23 |
| Water.. | 3.90 | 4.90 | 22.50 |
|  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

Analysis of Ash of Molasses.


Amabele.
In a recent letter from Leonard Wray, who first introduced the sorghums from Africa into the United States, he writes: "The Zulu name, 'Imphee,' is the sugar producing sorghum ; whereas 'Balee,' or 'Ma-balee,' is the name for 'Dhura' or Kaffir corn (Sorghum vulgare)." He also says 'En-yc-ma' means food, the grain of which makes such good flour ; and he advises that the sorghum should be sown in triple rows, four feet from center to center, the triple rows being 8 inches apart, and the stalks 7 inches apart in each row, equal to 55,640 canes to the acre.

## Sorghum Sugar produced in 1883.

According to the statement of the President of the Mississippi Valley Cane Growers' Association, there was produced at the Champaign, Ill., Sorghum Sugar Works, from 145 acres, 1,435 tons of cane; and from 2,400 tons of cane, there was obtained 160,000 pounds of sugar and 40,000 gallons of molasses.

The season is described as being the most unfavorable for thirty years.

At Hutchinson, Kansas, some 200,000 pounds of sugar, besides a large quantity of molasses.

[^19]At Sterling, Kansas, some 200,000 pounds of sugar, besides the molasses.

At Dundee, Kansas, 10,000 pounds of sugar, though their product was mainly syrup, of which 50,000 gallons were made.

At Kinsler, Kansas, 10,000 pounds of sugar, and a large quantity of syrup.

At Lawrence, Kansas, some 10,000 pounds of sugar.
At Rio Grande, N. J., 282, 711 pounds of sugar, and $5 \overline{5}, 000$ gallons of molasses. A large portion of their cane failing to ripen, owing to the unusual season.

The Secretary of the Kansas State Board of Agriculture reports the following summary of the year 1883 for Kansas:

| res planted in | 102,042 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Acres manufactired into syrup | 48,271 |
| Acres planted for forage | 53,71 |
| Toms of cane manufartured | 417.559 |
| Gallons of syrup made | 4.64.4.123 |
| Value of syrup made. | \$2,058,127.60 |

The entire number of counties reporting was 81 , and of these,


10 counties produced orer 100,000 gallons of srrup each, and 2 counties produced orer $\$ 100,000$ worth of syrup each, while 17 counties produced each over $\$ 30,000$ worth of syrup.

The value of syrup areraged from each acre $£ 42.65$, without counting the product of seed. The yield areraged 9.3 tons of cane per acre.
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Ill. Ind. Unis. report on sorghum......... 414
sorghum experiments
Illinois analysis of sorghums in production of sorghum in.. sorghum products, 1879 , 'so. .411 value of farm protucts per acre in . . $4 \geq 1$ yield of different crops in.
Immature soryhum best for sugar..... 7 worthless for sugar.

217,516
Immaturity of crop at Wैashington, cause

S14
cause of failure .......................... 537
Imphee......................... 51
Imphees, average composition of......... 101
different varieties deseribed
(if
introduction of to $\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{S}$
letter on, from Leonard Wray. names of
plates of seed heads $\quad 89,90,91$ sorghums, leiter of Miss Colenso. . . so sorghums, names of. varieties of sorghum, plates of $89,90,91$
Importations of sugar into U. S.
Imports of molasses in U. S. since 1790 .. 34

Imports of sugar in U. S. since $1790 \ldots . . .30$ Impurities of juice, nature of and removal.
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Increase of sugar not due to drying..... 218
India, East, sorghums, analyses of ..... . 243
sugar cane, analyses of. ................. 208
Indiana, analyses of sorghums in...... 249 production of sorghum in . ... 408, 416
value oi farm products per acre in. 421
yield of different erops in ............4.43
Indian and other varieties of sorghum compared

205
Indian corn and sorghum, cultivation the same......................... 19
and soaghum seed compared......... 377
land in U.s. devoted to................. 19
product, aereage, and value in U. S.. 422
sugar in the stalks of .................... . 427
yarieties tested for sugar.......... . . . 429
Indian millet. .................... . . . 51 sorghums, average composition of . 101 sorghums, plates of.................... . 83 , 84
India, sorchum from . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 55 sorghtum in ..... .............................. 50
varicties of sorghum from............ 76
yield of stigar per aere................. 27
Indies, East, sugar produced and ex-
ported.
543
sugar broduct of .................................... 513
Indies, West, production of sugar in . . . 543
Internal revenue receipts for $1881 . . . . . .$.
Introduction of sorghtum ................. . 53
of sorghum by M. L'Abadie............. 64
of soryhum in l. S..................... 64
Inversion of sugar after defecation.... 300
by not working cane soon. ............ . 12 f
in cut sorghum .................131, 488, 5:3
protivets of . ................................. 23
Inverted shgar, amount in manufacture. 532 defined. 93
effect of on erystallization..... . . 326
Insubrum sorghum ..................... . 55
Investigation of sorghum demanded.
9, 14, 64, 123
errors in
importance to country......................... 11
Iowa, averture value of crops in .... ... 419
prorluction of sorghtum jn..... 408, 416
Red Top sorghum, available sugar in.
sorghum described..... .......... 98
sorghum products, 18.9 to $1875 . . . . .411$
value of firm mroducts per aere in . 421
yield of difterent crops in ..... ... 45
Isnard and Barruel, investigation of beet sugar
.13
Italy, sorghim in ............................50,54
sugar constmod in. .................. 26
Jackson, Dr. ©. T., sugar in sorghum.... 3
time for working sorghum........... 5
Jacobs Brothers on time for cutting sorghum............................... 123
storing of sorghum after cutting.... 9
time for working sorchum............ 7
Jamaica, export of sugar from .......... 543
Train, consthuction of ................... 327
how operated. .................................. . $3 \overline{5} 4$
improvements upon....................328
sugar cane soil, analysis of ........... 18.2
yield of sugar per acre.... ............ 27
Japanese come, analysis of ...... . . . . . . . . 208
Japan, sorghum shgir produced in....... 418
sugar exportenfrom ...... . ............ 54 :
Java, export of shgar from................... 544
sugar production of ..................... 26
vield of sugat per acre.................. 27
Jefferson, O., sugar works, method of working. ......... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 509
report ........... . .... ....... . 41
Joar, sorghum...................................... 51

Johnson, C. J., methods of sugar mak-
ing ......................................... 511
Johnson, C. Conrad, on sorghum sugar industry.. .................................... .423
Johnson, Thos., report on sorghum...... 414 Jovari, sorghum.. 51
Jowaree, sorghum
Juba, ou sorghum
Judd, Orange, introduction of sorghum. 6
Juice, absorption of by bagasse. .
acidity of during evaporation ........317
action of lime upon ....................291
after defecation, appearance of ..... 316
averace expressed in 1879, s0, $81 \ldots . .199$ amount evaporated per hour in open pill.

338
amount expressed by mills .... 104,260
amount per acre from sorghums ... 214
as abundant in late as early stages. 220 average in 3.5 varieties of sorghum. . 197
average per cent obtained by mill... 48
average per cent secured in 1880 and 1881.
composition of in defecation experiments.
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composition of shown by density ... 489
composition shown by hydrometer.. 501
defecated, appearance of.
effect of heaton
effect of standing after defecation.
evaporation oi...
extraction of, methods
. 257
from sors, ame per cent of .... 501
from sorghum, average per cent of . . 499
mechanical impurities and their removal.
method of analysis described....... 471
loss of, causes for.
mills for expressing
mes and stalks, analyses of.
of maize, sugir presentin.....
of sorghum at different stages.
composition graphically shown..
method of analysis.
proximate analysis.
175,210
percentage obtained
how determined
obtained from different sorghums.
obtained of crop
of, as affected by frost
of in eorn by rost
of corn stalks
purification by filters.
settling tanks for.
specific gravity of when workable.
various inethods of extraction
when evaporation is finished
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from bagasse by diffusion deusity of 46
from corn stalks, analyses of
from sorghums, specific gravity of . 13
inverted analyses of
of maize, relative purity of
of maize, specific gravity and analy sis
of sorghum, analyses of $\ldots \ldots . .238,381$
and maize, acidity of.
and maize compared
..... ....
average analysis of. . ........................
average of 4032 .
. . . 413
197,500
in $188 \%$, average results.
average composition of 204
309310
specific gravity and analysis
309,310
worked at Washington, analyses of. . 517
Jyangentombi, plate of...................... 89
Kathir corn.
51
Kuinite, effect of on sorghum..
Kansas, analyses of sorghum soils ... 179

Kansas Orange sorghum, analysis of ... 243 production of sorghum in . ... 408,416 sorghum products, 1872 to $1880 \ldots . .411$ sorghum sugar made in $1883 . . . .{ }^{2} .548$ value of farm products per acre in. . 421 yield of different crops in....... . ... 453
Keit, William, sorghum seed from ..... 76
Kenney, S. H., on fattening value of skimmings.

403
Kentucky, production of sorghum in,
416, 408
value of farm products per acre in . 421
yield of different crops in ...... .... 4 ;)
Kilns for bone charcoal......................
Kinsley, Kun., sugar works, sugar made in $1883 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Kloeden, Prof. Gustave Adolph, history of sorghum. $\qquad$
Koom-ba-na, deseription of ............... 68
variety oi sorghum. ..................... 51
Kuleshoff, Mr., on sorghum in Turkestan $\qquad$
Kuntz on sorghum ..... ....................... . . 57
Lactic acid, how produced. ............... 24
Lactic fermentation of sorghum juice.. 252
Lactose, crvstallization of.................. 25 or milk sugar

24
La Grange on available sugar ............ 216
Laevo-glucose defined........ . . . . . . . . . . . 22
Laevulose................................... 22
Large mill experiments at Washington. 516
Lawrence, Kan., sorghum sugar made
in $1888 . . .4$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5
Lead, basic acetate, solution of how ${ }_{50}$
Leares and stalks of maize. Weights of 443 and tops, per cent of in cane. ........142
juice of, analysis of ............... 142
of sorghum, analysis of.........390, 392
and corn, analysis of..... ..... ... 406
ash in crop oi ............................. 395
compared with hay ..................... 391
for cattle ...................................... 51
percentage of in crop................. . . 392
money vilue of as food.. .. ......... 394
per cent of in stalks ................ . 143
per cent of juice from .... ............. 145
Legislation to promote cultivation of sorghum
Length and weight of varicties of sorghum..
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Length of time for working sorghum .214
Lesser Antilles, production of sugar in...54\%
Level culture of sorghum.............. . . 113
Liang sorghum, or millet............... 53
Liberian sorghum, plate of ........... $9 t$
analrsis of . ............ 231, 234, 243, 246
available sugar in ...............126, 238
comparative value of .................... 214
described..................................... 95
time for maturity . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 117
White, described..... ................ . . . 101
working period for ..................... 186
Life of seed, how tested ... ................ 117
Light and heat, effect of on sorghum.... 111
Lime, action of ous glueose. ............ . 297
action of on sugar solutions. ........ 24
amount added in defecation experiments

316
amount of in crop of sorghum .... 896
and magnesia in soils, rolation of 182
and sulphite of lime in defecation. 30s
chemical effects of on juice ..... . . $29: 3$
cream of, how prepared ..... ..... 29.2
defecation by, how done. .......... 29:3
effect of during evaporation........ . 298
effect of on juice............... . $2!1$
excess of, action on sugar...... . ..... 300
milk of, how prepared................ 292
sucrate, composition of .................. 370

Lime sucrate method of defecation. sulph
 sulphite, composition of............... 308 sulphite in defecation...........308, 305 superphosphate in defecation........ 302 water, use of in vacuum pan....... 356
Lindley, Henry, on stripping cane. 141 value of skimmings for food. . . . . . . . 403
Link, Ephraim, letter from about hybrid.

71
Link's IIybrid sorghum, analysis of . ... 243 analysis of

190, 247, 250
Link's Hybrid, available sugar in ...126, 538 comparative value of.................... 214 sorghum described. 214
99 plate of. 99 sport of Oomseeana.
Linnæus on sorghum
Listing, when important.
. when important. . 56
Litmus paper, properties and use......... 292
Lobel on sorghum,
Lonicer on sorghum
Loss by diminished yield of crops in United States.
in not working cane promptly ..... 126
of glucose in manufacture.... ..... 534
juice, causes of
sucrose in manufacture
sugar, actual, in manufacture
sugar by methods of manufacture
sugar by poor harvesting
sugar by suckers..
$174,274,277$, ค83
sugar in drying sorghum
sugar in manufacture
sugar in stalks of maize.
sugar necessary in manufacture. sugar present in sugar-cane........
Loss, total, by diminished erops of United States
Louisiana and Texas, value of sorghum to.
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Louisiana cane, analysis of juice of .... 207
export of sugar from.
543
production of sorghum in .... 416, 40s
production of sugar in 1879
production of sugar and molasses
sugar-cane, per cent of sugar in
sugar. product of.
26
value per acre of crops in
yield of different crops in
yield of sugar per acre.
Lynch Peter, on cause of failure at Washington.
Mabali, or sorghum
Maceration, expense of of cane..

51, is
of cane....................
$2 \times 1$
Madagascar, sugar export of.
281
Madras sugar-cane, analyses of
Magnesia, amount of in crop of sorghum.
and lime in soils, relation of
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Maine, value of farm products per acre in.
yield of different erops in..
421
Maize analyses of leaves of
and sorghum, cultivation of, the same $\qquad$
and sorghum juices compared..... 443
and sorghum, same conditions required
and sorghum seed compared........ 377
and sorghum seed, same composition
average acreage yield of in United States
average analyses of juices from average vield of in different states.. fodler, analyses of
crop of sugar and grain from

Maize for sugar, further investigation needed
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## height and weight of stalks <br> 431

juices, acidity of.......................... 436
analyses of by stages.... ........... . 435
average analysis of....................... 431
rich in sugar........ ................... 197
specific gravity and analyses........ 497
sugar presentin. 197
land in United States devoted to ... 19
stages of development of ............ 420
stalks and bagasse, analyses of ..... 406
butts and tops compared.............. 235
comparative value for sugar....... 439
loss of sugar in ................ 442
stripped stalks, yield per acre...... 439
sugar.
22
history of........................... . 427
made at Washington............. 521
made from stalks of.................. 441
total value of crop in United States .. 458
value of in fattening................. 450
varieties tested for sugar............. 429
Malate of lime from maple sap ......... 341
Maltby, Nelson, method of manufac-
ture
507
on sugar from sorghum............... 419
report on sorghum....................... 414
sugar from corn stalks................. 441
Mammoth, White, plate of......... ...... 97
Manilla, export of sugar from.............. 544
sugar production of............... 26
Mannite in fermentation of sorghum .... 252
Manufacture of sorghum, cost of........ 415
sugar. .... ............................... 245
sugar, cost of. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ...... . 21
syrup, cost of..................... 20
sugar, comparison of methods..... 511
from sorghum and beets compared.. 424
from sorghum, cost of............... . . 417
methods employed...................... 506
period for ...................... 588
syrup at Washington, method..... . 521
various methods. . .... ............ 504
Mannare, effect of, on sorghum........175, 176
from stables, value of ……....... 464
Waple molasses, product in U. S. since 1790 ..
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Maple sugar..... ..................... 22
annual production of................ 54.5
annual production since 1861......... 27
pan scale ............ ..................... 341
production of......................... 25
Margraff, investigations of beet sugar. 12
Marketing of sorghum syrup and sugar. .4:4
Marking the land for planting. ......... 112
Marschall on available sugar..............216
Marshilla, or sorghum... ..... ... ... 51
Martinique and Guadeloupe, sugar production of.

26
export of sugar from ................... 543
Maryland, production of sorghum in 408,416
value of farm products per acre in. 421
yield of different erops in . .......... ${ }^{5}$ -
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tion of sorghum...............
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sorghum production of ............. 416
value of farm products per acre in . . 421
yield of different crops in ........... ${ }^{452}$
Masse cuite, analysis of ................... 283
Mastodon sorghum, available sugar in,
126, 538
comparative value of..................68, 106
Matthioli on sorghum ..... 55
Mature sorghum affected by frost. ..... 154
Maturits as dependent on seed ..... 115
dars required for, by sorghum ..... 214
of crop, shown by hẏdrometer ..... 501
of sorghum, time required for ..... 538
time from planting ..... 114
ime of shortened .....
time required for. ..... 105,120
Mauritius, sugar exported from

$\qquad$ ..... 543
sugar production of ..... 6
sugar product of ..... 54yield of sugar per acre.
ayberry, Dr., on bagasse as fuel. ..... 399on food value of scum, etc............ . 402
Mayotta Nossi Bé, sugar export of̉. ..... 543
Maximum content of sugar in crop. ..... 12.7Maxon and Almony, report on sorghumcrop
McColloh's comparison of processes of sugar making.
.- ....... 511 echanical condition of soils............... 180 impurities of juice, how removed...2ss means of defecation .301
Merrill, N. C., on sorghum as forage plant
Meteorological data of sorghum experiments.
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Meteorology of 1850 and 1851, compared. . 145
Method and object of experiments at Washington.
Method of analrses of sorghum juice... $\$ 69$
Method of sugar manufacture detailed.. $500^{\circ}$
Mexico, export of sugar from . . . . . . . . . . . . 543 sugar production of ....................... 20 sugar product of.545
Michigan, maple sugar produced in..... 25 production of sorghum in........ 405,416 value of farm products per acre in.. $4 \geqslant 1$ rield of different crops in 453
Mididle, top, and butt of cane compared.218
Milk of lime, how made . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .292
Milk sugar, or lactose
Miller on southern grown seed................... $15^{t}$
Miller's sorghum, analrsis of ....... 243. 250
Millet and sorghum confounded ....... 53
Millet, Moorish.
pearl, sugar from juice of ................... tis
Saracen.
spiked.
Mill and diffusion juices, analysis of horizontal, plate of
importance of a good.
importance of a good.
large, experiments at Washington
of Kherlive of Egypt...
which to select.
with power below, plate.
Mills amount of juice expressed by. auxiliary
cost, and sizes of
for cane, need of improvement.
for expressing juice
for testing cane, plates of.
plates of
principle of
roller, described.
vertical, plate.
Mineral matter of corn crop, value of. effect of, on crystallization.
in crop of sorghum.
in crops of the U. S.
in hayy, value of.
in sorghum juice and stalk
of scum and sediment, analysis of action of, in syrups.
Minnesota Early Amber, arailable sugar in .126
described....... ................................... . . . 100

Minnesota Early Amber, history of..... . 65 plate of

production of sorghum in.... ...40s, 416
sorghum products, 1868 to $1 \$ 50 . . . .{ }^{2} .410$
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Mississippi, production of sorghum in,
408, 416
production of sugar, $1579 . . . . . . . .$.
production of sugar and molasses....5f
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yield of different crops in.............. 453
Missouri, production of sorghum in. 40 , 416
production of sugar and molasses...542
Falue of iarm products per acre in.. 421
rield of different crops in .............453
Mohr, Dr. Charles, on sorghum........... 55
Molasses, amount produced in U. S..... 26
and sugar, value of imported in 1579 . 41
cane, produced in U. S. since 1790.... 38
compusition of. 369
consumed in U. S. since $1790 \ldots .$.
definition of.............................. 369
domestic, consumed in U. S. since 1790.

34
forejgn, consumed in U.S. since 179031
how protuced ... .. ............... . . 326
how separated from sugar.............. 362
imports, exports, consumption in
U. S..... ........
imports of into U. S. since 17.0 . ... 34
maple, produced in U. S. since 1790
production of, in Lonisiana............. 51
separation oí, irom sugar..................... bin $^{2}$
sorghum, analysis........................ 545
sorghum, produced in U. S. since 1790.

35
sugar lost in ...................................... $27 t$
sugars........................................... 369
to sugar produced, ratio of. .............. 418
Falue of, consumed in U. S. since
1790....................................... 34

Montigny, introduction of sorghum . 53,64
Moore's hrbrid sorghum, described.... . 100
Moorish millet. ........... 61
Mucous fermentation of sorghum juice.. 552
Multiple effects, eraporation b5........... 356
Multiple roll mills ............................. 280
Muscorado sugar, how prepared.... 325,363
Mrrick, M. O., report on sorghum......... 415
Names, botanical, of sorghum .;............ 57
of sorghums, significance of̂........... 79
of sorghum rarieties, confusion in ... 73
Fapoleon, appropriation for sugar beet
13,14
Nason, S., report on sorghum crop ....412
Natal, Botanical Gardens, sorghum irom

76
sugar export of ..... ......................... 543
sugar production of .... .................. 26
rield oi sugar per acre.
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adrisers of government................. . $4 \overline{1} 0$
committee of .......................... 469
on Dr. Collier's investigations....... 10
on method of analysis ............... . . . 469
on need of intestigation............... . 10
on sorghum............................... 17, 61
on sugar in sorghum...................195, 423
on sugar making...... ......................35
Nebraskia, analysis sorghum soil......... 179
production of sorghum in..... 416, 40s
Talue of farm products per acre in. 421
vield of different crops in............. 453
Neeazana sorghum, analysis of......243, 250
arailable sugar in.. .................. 126, 533
comparative value of........................ 214
described........................................... 99
plate of......................................... 95

Neeazana variety of sorghum............. 66
Need of further research on sorghum... 123
Nees, on sorghum............... .......... 58
Netherlands, production of beet sugar. 542
Nevada, sorghum production of........ 416 value of farm products per acre in.. 421
New Hampshire, value of farm products per acre in.
yicld of different crops in.
421
New Jersey, act to promote cultivation of sorghum.
analysis of sorghums in ........ 248 production of sorghum in.......416, 408 Rio Grande method of working..... 511 Rio Grande soils, analysis of..... ... 178 sorghum sugar made in 1883........... 549 Sugar Works, cost of working cane. 417 value of farm products per acre in. . 421 yield of different crops in.
…452
New South Wales, sorghum sugar in....546
New York, maple sugar produced in... 25 production of sorghum in........416, 408 value of farm products per acre in
yield of different crops in............
New Mexico, sorghum production of....416
Niles Sugar Mill, plate of............ 268
Nitrate of potash in sorghum juice......252
Nitrogenous fertilizers, effect on sorglum.
matters in sorchum juice..........
Nitrogen manure for sorghum .............. 175
North Carolina, acreage value of farm products in.
production of sorghum in......416, 40s
production of sugar and molasses..54?
Norway, sugar consumed in.
North Carolina, yield of different crops in..

452
Nubia, sorghum in
Number of stalks per scre............................ 111
Nutritive ratio sorghum leaves and bagasse
Oak Hill, Ill., Sugar Works, methods of working. 509 report
Oats, acreage yield of, in different states..
.452
average acreage value of
average yield and value per acre.....423
in lowa, acreage value of
420
product, acreage and value in U. S. . 422
Oceanica, export of sugar from........ . 544
Ohio, maple sugar produced in
production of sorghum in $\quad$ sorghum products 1862 to $1878.416,408$
sorghum products, 1862 to $1878 . \cdots . .410$ yield of different crops in..............45. 45
Oomseeana sorghum, comparative value of
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98,99
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Open and vacuum pan methods compared
Open pan evaporation .............................................. 327 rapidity of when completed.
.354
Orange Cane, available sugar in ....126, 538 composition of ........................ . 250
Orange sorghum, analysis of ... 239, 246, 250
Oregon, sorghum production of ......416 value of farm products per acre in. . 421
yield of different crops in.. 453
Osborn, Joseph H., report on sorghum crop.
.412
Osmosis explained............................. 282
Otaheitan sorghum, time for working.. 7
Palm sugar
amount produced

Panicum Dioscorides, or sorghum...... 55
or Bread Millet.............................. 55
Panicle, average length of................ 103
average weight of ........................ . . 103
length of. ............................. . . . . . 105
weight of . ........................................ 105
of sorghum, plates of................... 83
plucked off, to increase sugar. ...... 241
Pan scale, composition of................... 340
how removed................................. . . . 340
Pao Liang, or sorghum . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $5 t$
Paper, litmus, properties and use........ 292
Paper pulp, amount of, in crop of sorghum..
from bagasse.............................................. 400
Paper, tumeric, properties and uses..... 292
Parson, H. B., report of work at Washington
Patterson farm, sorghum grown upon...514
Pearl Horizontal Mill, plate of........... 265
Pearl Millet, sugar from juice of......... 445
sugar made at Washington. ......... 521
Pech, $F_{.}$, on sorghum........................ 55
table for identification of sorghum.... 98
Peligot, analyses of sugar-cane bagasses. 387
Penang, sugar production of............... 26
Pennsylvania, production of sorghum in.: 416,408

$$
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vield of different erops in .............. 452
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of working crop, length of................... 588
Permanganate process for the estima-
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solutions, how prepared................ . 503
Persoon on sorghum ..........................56, 57
Peru, export of sugar from .................. . 543
sugar consumed in......................... 26
sugar product of ....................... 26
Philippine Islands, production of sugar ill.
.544
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yield of sugar per acre.................. 27
Phillips, Hollister S., on sorghum seed. 378
Phipson, Dr. T. L., analyses sugar-cane soils.
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Phosphate of alumina in defecation.... 302
of lime in defecation.................. 302
Phosphates, effect of, on sorghum.... 163
Phosphoric acid, amount of, in crop of
sorghum
.396
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in crops of U. S. ...................... 462
in crops of U. S., value of .............. 463
in sorghum juice and cane .......... 256
Piknometers for specific gravity............. 484
Pinte sugar.. .... ......................... 56
Plant cane, analysis of.......................... 208
Planter, plate of.... .............................. 112
Planting, amount of seed for.............. 110
and cultivation, cost of ...... ........ 415
a second time undesirable........... 539
cinse, reasons for......................... . . 111
cost per acre ............................ 112
importance of good................... . 109
methorl of. .... .......................... 111
method of, in Japan .... ....................... 419
number of stalks per acre. ............ . 111
preparation of seed for....... . . . 68, 118
proper depth of......................... 112
selection and preparation of ground. 108
time for................................. 110
to certain development of plant.... 119
to maturity of sorghum, time...105, 5.38
plants used as food for man......... 50
Plates of sorghum ............................ . . . 83
Plate of steam evaporators.... ......... 346
Plaster of Paris. effect of on sorghum. .163
Plaster, use of in defecation,.............. 301

Flowing, cost of 415 fall, for sorghum. .......................... 109
Pling, history of sorghum on sorghum 50

Polarization and analysis compared...tit of maize juices, average 501 of sorghum juices 105 of sorghum juices, average................ 500 of sugars.
Polarized light, effect of sugars on
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Poreher, on sugar from maize
Porous alum in defecation 31
420

Porto Rico, export of sugar from........ . 543 sugar production of.
Portugal, sorghum in snsar consumed in
Potatoes, acreage vield of in differen states
arerage acreage value of
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product, acreage, and value in United States
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salts, effect of on sorghum.
Potassinm, uitrate, in sorghum juice.
Powell, O. S., on food value of scum, etc.
on rinegar from skimmings.
report on sorghum crop
412, 41
Preliminary remarks.
Precipitate formed in defecation
Prescott on sugar from maize.
Pressure and temperature in racuum pan..
of atmosphere and boiling point
upon the vacuum par
rince, Wm. R., introduction of sorghuin.
Problems concerning sorghum yet unsolved
Production, acreage, and value of cereals in United States.
of soil, decrease in 417
of sorghum in different states....... 416
in United states, statistics of.
sugar per acre

in different countries.
of syrup in United states in 1860 and 1870
Products of farm, acreare value of of fermentation of sorghum juice...252 of sorghum, beets, and cane compared.
Profit of growing sorghum ......40s, 414,415 sorghum crop.
sorghum production.
compared. $4-$
Prompt working of sorghum ing opinions
Prompt working of sorghum necessary. 120
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100
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600
Dribrecil (A.) Vineyard Culture Improved and Cheapened. Edited by Dr. J. A. Warder. I2mo.

2110
Ellard (Virginia G.) Grandma's Christmas Lay. Illus. Sq. 12mo.

100

Everts (Orpheus). What Shall We Do With the Drunkard? A Rational View of the Use of Brain Stimulants. 8vo. Paper.
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500
Oace a Year; or, The Doctor's Puzzle. By E. B. S. 16 mo .

100
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200
Sheets (Mary R.) My Three Angels: Faith, Hope, and Love. With full-page illustration. by E. D. Grafton, 4to. Cloth. Gilt.
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## LAW TREATISES AND REPORTS.

Admasson (F.) Township and Town Officer's Guide for the State of Indiana. 12 mo . Net. Cloth, $\mathbf{\$}^{2} 0$ ); Sheep.

250
Barton (C.) History of a Suit in Equity. Revised and enlarged. 8vo.
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See also Handy, Disney.
Constitution of the United States, with the Fifteen Amendments, Declaration of Independence, etc. 8vo. Paper. 25
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Curwey (M. E.) Manual of Abstracts of Title to Real Property. 12mo. Cloth, $\$ 150$; Sheep,
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Davis (E. A.) New Digest of the Decisions of the Supreme Court of Indiana, to 1875. 2 vols. 8vo.
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Hanover (M. D.) A Practical Treatise on the Law relating to Ilorses. Second edition. Svo.
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Harris (S. F.) Principles of the Criminal Law. Edited by Hon. M. F. Force. 8vo. Net. 400
Indiana Laws. Being Acts and Joint Resolutions of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, passed at the Regular Session, which was begun and held at Indianapolis, on Thursday, the fourth day of January, 1883, and adjourned without day on Monday, the fifth day of March, 1883. Edited, printed, published, and circulated under authority of law, and with the Secretary of State's authentication. S. R. Downey, Editor. 8vo.
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Reinhard (G. L.) The Criminal Law of the State of Indiana, with Precedents, Forms for Writs, Docket Entries, etc. Sro. 250
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Sacsiers (T. W.) A Treatise upon the Law of Negligence. With notes of American Cases. Sro.
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Sarler (T. R.) American Form Book; a Collection of Legal and Busimess Forms for Professional and Business Men. ro. Cloth.
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Stamon (R. H.) A New Digest of the Kentucky Decisions; embracing all Cases decided by the Appellate Courts, from 1785 to 1877 . Second edition. © vols. 8vo.
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Staston (R. H.) A Practical Treatise on the Law relating to Justices of the Peace, etc., in Kentucky. Third edition. Sro. 750
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ỏ $v 0$
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Trarrex (MC.) Criminal Law and Forms. Third edition. Svo.
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Wells (J. C.) Treatise on the Separate Property of Married Women, under the recent Enabling Acts. Second ulition. 8ro.

600
Wells (J. C.) A Manual of the Lairs relating to County Commissioners in the State of Ohio, with carefully prepared Forms, and References to the Decisions of the Supreme Court. NVet. 3 Ju

Wild (E. N.) Journal Entries under the Codes of Civii and Criminal Procedure. With Notes of Decisions. Second edition. Svo.

400
Wilcox (J. A.) The General Railroad Laws of the State of Ohio, in force January, 1874. 8vo.

Wilson (M. F.) The New Criminal Code of Ohio, with Forms and Precedents, Digest of Decisions, etc. Second edition. 8vo.
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Works (John D.) Indiana Practice, Pleadings, and Forms. 2 vols. 8vo. Net 1200

Nash (Simeon). Lawyer's Case Docket, containing printed Headings, and blank spaces for names of Parties, Memoranda of all the Proceedings, with full printed Instructions, and an Index. Crown size. Half roan, $\$ 3.75$; Full Sheep. 450
Lawyer's Collection Docket. With convenient Ruling, printed Headings, Index, etc. 4to. Half Russia.

350
Attorney's Pocket Docket. Ruled and Printed for number of Case, Parties, and kind of Action, Witnesses, etc., with room for 150 cases. Pocket size. Morocco.

100
Chavgeable Pocket Docket. The Docket paper is furnished separately, and so arranged that it may be subsequently bound in one volume. Paper, 50c. per
quire. Morocco case, with pocket and band.

200
Notary's Offichal، Register. Being a Record of Protests and other Official Transactions. 4to. 2 quires. Half sheep, $\$ 200 ; 3$ quires, half russia. 300 Collection Receipt Bonk. The Book of Collection Receipts, which is bound in the form of a check book, contains the stub in which is preserved a record of the transaction, and a printed receipt, giving parties, date; interest, indorsers, credits, etc., which is torn off and sent to your correspondent. Book of 50 receipts, 40 c .; 100 receipts, 75 c .; 200 (two to a page).
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## LAWYER'S OFFICE DOCKET.

The Lawyer's Office Docket. Embracing the History of each Case, and the Proceedings thereon, together with a Digest of the Principles of Law involved, and References to Authorities. With Index and Memoranda. Quarto. 212 pages. Half russia. Cloth sides.

Net. 350

## THE UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S DOCKET.

Docket for Commissioners of the United States Circuit Courts, embracing a Full Record of the Proceeding in each Case, with Schedule of Costs, and an Index of Cases. Quarto. Half russia.

Net. 375

## ROBERT CLARKE \& CO. jobbers of

## BOOKS AND STATIONERY.

The attention of Boorsellers, Drdggists, Country Merciants, and other Wholesale Buyers, is invited to our facilities for supplying, in any quantity, and at the lowest rates,

## STAPLE AND FANCY STATIONERY OF ALL KINDS, bOOKS IN EVERY DEPARTMENT OF LITERATURE,

our Stock being one of the largest in the country, and selected with particular reference to the wants of the Western and Southern trade. Purchasers ordering from us, either in person or by letter, can rely upon haring their orders fully and carefully executed at low prices.

Buyers visiting the city are invited to call and examine our stock and prices.
Orders by mail will receive prompt attention, and be supplied at the lowest figures.
Our stock embraces full and complete lixes of all articles in the Book or Stationery Trade, enabling dealers to make their entire bills at oxe house, at the lowest rates.

## Sorghum Sugar Reviewed.

The Rural Nero Yorker gives an outline of a bulletin recently issued by Prof. H. W. Wiley, Chemist of the Department of Agriculture, in which he gives many forcible reasons for his belief that with our present knowledge, methods and appliances the making of sorghum sugar is not only unprofitable, but must entail a loss, unless this is made good by national or State boanty. He gives a thorough review of all the chemical analysis of sorgham and of the history of the industry from the first three small vials of sorghum sugar made by Dr. Battey of Rome, Ga., in 1854, to the results of last season's work at Fort Scott, Kansas. In 1882 the Commissioner of Agriculture made an award of $\$ 12,000$ to concerns making sorghum sugar. The following parties started experiments to gain a part of the award: The Champaign Sugar and Glucose Manufacturing Company of Champaign, Ill.; Professor Magnus Swenson; Paul Steck, San Fraucisco, Cal.; Nelson Maltby, Geneva, Ohio; Drummond Bros., Warrensburg, Mo.; A. J. Decker, Fond du Lac, Wis. ; William Frazier, Esopia, Vernon county, Wis.; Jefferson Sugar Company, Jefferson, Ohio; Oak Hill Refining Company, Edwardsville, Ill. ; C. Bozareth, Cedar Falls, Iowa. The two last made little or nothing, and, therefore, were counted out. The eight others made an aggregate of 116,165.5 pounds, so that the amount of premium or bounty given was as high as nearly 9.8 cents per pound.

The following failures to make sorghum sugar upon a commercial scale are reported: At Crystal Lake and Hoopeston, near Chicago, factories were built in 1879 and 1850, but the attempts to make sugar were total failures, and the factories have been abandoned and dismantled. In 1879 a factory was built at Faribault, Minn., bat the manufacture of sugar was unprofitable, and the factory closed in 1882. A large factory was built at Champaign, Ill., in 1882, and several hundred thousand pounds of white sugar were made in that and the two following seasons, but the enterprise did not pay and the factory was abandoned. The factory at Hutchinson, Kan., was built in 1882. In 1883 and 1884, in all, 450,000 pounds of sugar were made at a loss. The factory was then abandoned. A sugar-mill was established
at Sterling, Kan., in 1882, but after making 270,000 pounds of sugar in 1883 and 188t the business was abaudoned as unprofitable. A factory established at Franklin, Tenn., was operated in 1883-4 without the production of any sugar, and, of course, abandoned. At Ottawa, Kan., a large glucose factory was converted into a sorghum-sugar factory. Sugar was made in considerable quantities in 1884 and 1885 , and the house was then closed, the basiness having resulted in a financial loss.
The professor next gives a number of figures showing the analysis of and the quantity of sugar extractable from sorghum cane by the best modern method. These appear to show beyond any question that the failure to make sorghum sugar profitably in this country has not been due alone to defective machinery nor lack of skill, but chiefly to the quality of the cane which has been used.

While the worker in sugar-cane and sugarbeets is reasonably sure of his material-that it will remain the same during the season-the sorgham-sugar maker has no such assurance. The same variety of cane, in the same degree of matarity, will show the most surprising differences in the sugar contents of its sap. The professor is very severe on the "sorgham enthusiast" and the "sorghum crank," who have been glowingly portraying the glorions fatare of sorgham, extolling it "as the one great savior of the country, furnishing alike its bread, its sweets, its meats, and its drinks." The professor thinks hope for the business is not in new methods or new machinery; bat in wise selection of seed, intensive calture, and jadicions fertilization, which are the factors that can make the sorghum sufficiently saccharifacient. The factory at Rio Grande, N. J., had the most extensive and thoroughly equipped sorghum-sugar house ever built in the United States. Of it Professor Wiley says: "For five successive seasons, from 1882, it was conducted with the highest skill. With the aid of a State bounty of \$1 per ton for the cane and one cent a pound for the sugar, the company was able to hold together financially. With the close of 1886 the State bounty expired and the factory has now been closed and dismantled, since it conld only be run at a loss withont the bounty. In all, nearly $1,500,000$ pounds of sugar have been made by this company." at the present time there remains only one sorgham-sugar factory on a large seale in the country, naniely, that at Fort Scott, Kansas. One is building at Topeka and one at Conway Springs, Kansas. Colonel Cunningham, Sugar Lands, Texas, is also preparing to make sorgham sugar in connection with the sugar-cane.







[^0]:    " Yours truly,
    " HENRY B. RICHARDS.

[^1]:    a The product of maple molasses has been determined from the maple-sugar product. Sce table for maple-sugar.
    The patan of cane molasses has been determined from the sugar crop of Louisiana from lsi3, and by making suitable additions for the crop
    putside of that state. Previous to that date, it has been obtained from the estimated sugar crop. See cane sugar table,

[^2]:    *As to the sugar-cane, the same writer adds: "I have not been able to find any allusion to it in the most ancient of Chinese works (the five classics); it is first mentioned by writers of the second century B. c. * * * One says, 'it grows in Cochin China. It is several inches in circumference, ten feet high, and resembles bamboo. The juice is very sweet, and, dried in the sun, changes into sugar.' "

[^3]:    Frosts (fall of 18S0)-October 1st, 19th, 25th; November 1st, 2nd, 3rd. Sth, 9th, 16th.
    Frosts (fall of 1881) - "ctober 6th and 11th; November 14th, 16 th, 17 th, 28 th, and 29 th.
    Frosts (fall of 1889 ;-November 3 rd, $5 \mathrm{th}, 6 \mathrm{th}, 15 \mathrm{th}, 16 \mathrm{th}, 19 \mathrm{th}, 21 \mathrm{st}, 22 \mathrm{nd}, 23 \mathrm{rd}, 24 \mathrm{th}, 26 \mathrm{th}$;
    December 2nd, 4th, 12th.

[^4]:    ＊ 16 per cent phosphoric acid．

[^5]:    * This quantity of chlorine is unusually high, and is accounted for by the proximity of a salt spring.
    $\ddagger$ The potash, soda, chlorides, together.

[^6]:    * This stage (No. 19) was after the cane had ceased growing, late in the season; it was determined frcm canes Nos. 23 and 24 only.

[^7]:    *The "arailable sugar" here stated, is the amount of cane sugar shown by analysis, less the sum of the glucose and solids not sugar; $e_{\text {. }}$ g., in this case 16.18 per cent less ( 1.80 per cent +308 per cent) $=11.30$ per cent. This mode of computation, as has already been explained, gives a less probable quantity of arailable sugar than is shown by the method of "exponent," usually used by sugar boilers.

[^8]:    * Stripped one weck.

[^9]:    * In this specimen, I think some was lost in taking to mill, or was mixed with some other variety.
    $\dagger$ An average sample of what grew on a little more than four acres. The total yield of the field was sixty-five toms.

[^10]:    * A more carefully ascertained return from Wisconsin, according to Prof. Henry, gives, as the production of syrup in that state, 385,668 gallons, instead of 281,300 ; and the production of syrup in Kansas, in 1882, is estimated as being about $2.250,000$ gallons, instead of 950,947 , and an acreage of over 90,000 .

[^11]:    Average Louisiana cane：
    Sugar．
    pounds
    2，000
    Molasses gallons

[^12]:    * In considering Mr. Johnson's paper, the committee of the National Academy of Sciences remark that "Mr. Johnson's estimate omitted the value of the seed of sorghum, an item which it is important to state, and which, in the opinion of many cultivators, is fully equal to the cost of cultivation, or more. Evidence on this point abounds in this report."

[^13]:    ＊Reports not given for one or both saries of years．
    F New Hampshire＇s per cent，being so entirely disproportioned，is omitted in taking the average for tobacco．

[^14]:    * The limits of error, as shown to the committee from a considerable number of unpublished determinations, sustain the conclusion that the method employed for the estimation of cane and grape sugars was exceptionally accurate, and more subject to a minus error of 0.2 per cent on a 10 per cent solution of pure sugar, than to a plus error.

[^15]:    By calculation

[^16]:    *This step may be omitted if no excess of lime has been added daring defecation. It will hare no effect on the quantity of sugar obtained, but mill make a lighter colored molasses.

[^17]:    

[^18]:    * British possessions.

[^19]:    * Probably from vacuum pan or battery.

