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# Fur Seal Investigations, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1965 

by<br>ALTON Y. ROPPEL, ANCEL M. JOHNSON<br>RAYMOND E. ANAS, and DOUGLAS G. CHAPMAN


#### Abstract

The age classification of 40,367 male fur seals (Callorhinus ursinus) killed on the Pribilof Islands in 1965 was: age 2, 4 percent; age 3,56 percent; age 4, 36 percent; and age 5, 4 percent. The ages of 901 male seals were not determined. The peak of the kill occurred 27-31 July. Predicted kills of 3-and 4-year-old males on St. Paul Island were 33,000 and 16,000 ; actual kills to 9 August were 19,009 and 12,046. All 2-year-old males available 22-26 July on St. Paul Island were killed to determine if abundance on land at age 2 is related to return of the year class at age 3. To test the commercial value of their skins, 854 males larger and older in appearance than those normally taken were killed. Harem and idle bulls counted on the Pribilof Islands were 10,470 and 6,729 . Of 10,432 females killed, St. Paul Island contributed 7,530 , and St. George lsland, 2,902. Selective killing for young females on St. Paul Island 23-27 August produced 88 percent in ages 2-5; nonselective killing on St. George Island 16-27 August produced 64 percent in ages 2-5. Sixty-five 3-year-old females examined were nulliparous; l of 514 -year-old females was primiparous and recently post partum. Recoveries of marked seals included 4,947 with tags or checkmarks applied in the year of birth, 238 selected and tagged as yearlings in previous years, and 36 seals tagged by the U.S.S.R. Ten thousand pups were single-tagged and checkmarked, and 20,087 were checkmarked only; 922 seals were double-tagged as yearlings. Pup mortality on land was 46,308 . On the basis of tag recoveries from males and counts of dead pups, about 560,000 pups were born on the Pribilof Islands in 1961 and 500,000 in 1962. On the basis of tag recoveries from females, 344,107 pups were born in $1960,527,482$ in 1961 , and 337,012 in 1962. Marked-to-unmarked ratios yielded an estimate of 347,000 pups born on the Pribilof Islands in 1965. From tags recovered in 1965 from seals tagged as yearlings in previous years, we estimated that there were 78,000 yearling males in 1961 and 85,000 in 1962. The average weight of untagged and unmarked seal pups exceeded that of tagged and marked seal pups by 1.14 kg . (males) and 1.04 kg . (females). The predicted kill of male seals on St. Paul Island in 1966 includes 3,000 of ages 2 and $5,26,000$ of age 3 , and 14,000 of age 4 . Female seals will not be purposely killed in 1966 .


## INTRODUCTION

Two recent advances in research are important for management of fur seals (Callorhinus ursinus) on the Pribilof Islands. First, we are making better estimates of the number of pups born, the number of females required to bear these pups, and the number

[^0]of females needed each year to replace those that die. Second, we are studying the value of finished sealskins by age and sex.

Estimates of the number of pups born based on the tag-and-recovery method have long been known to be inflated, mainly as a result of tag-induced mortality and loss of tags. Additional pups are now marked each year by shearing a patch of fur, and estimates of the number born are obtained by sampling for a marked-to-unmarked ratio 1 to 3 weeks later. The estimates from shearing were similar to complete counts of pups on several small rookeries.

By applying to the pup estimates the pregnancy rates and mortality of seals obtained by pelagic sampling, we can estimate the number
of females necessary to produce a given number of pups and the recruitment needed to maintain a given level of the female population.

Experimental skins now being processed will make it possible to compare the relative value of sealskins from males and females of various ages. This information, together with information on the mortality of seals of each age, will be used to regulate the kill so as to obtain the maximum monetary value from a year class of seals.

This report summarizes the research data collected on the Pribilof Islands in 1965 and discusses (1) the population dynamics of the seal herd, (2) the reproduction of males and females, and (3) other studies. Forecasts of the kill of male seals in 1966 are given in appendix A.

## POPULATION

This section presents information on techniques used to regulate the kills of male and female seals in 1965, and briefly discusses researchmethods and results having immediate application to studies of fur seal population dynamics.

## Males

Male seals of all ages, particularly those age 3 and older, return to the Pribilof Islands each summer. Those from age 1 to about age 9 haul out on areas adjacent to the rookeries. Termed hauling grounds, ${ }^{1}$ these areas yield the bulk of the commercial harvest of males, of which more than 90 percent is made up of 3- and 4-year-olds. Several thousand males age 9 and older haul out on traditional rookery or breeding grounds where they acquire harems of 1 to 100 females (average 25) each. Information on the number of males of each age killed commercially for their skins, and knowledge of the number of harem and idle (reserve) bulls that return to the Pribilof Islands each summer is needed as a basis for managing the herd.

Commercial kill.--In 1965, male seals were killed daily from 7 July to 9 August on St. Paul Island, and on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays from 7 July to 6 August on St. George Island.

All available subadult males 42.0 inches ( 106.7 cm .) long or longer (tip of nose to tip of tail), but without manes, were taken on the Pribilof Islands in 1965. A minimum limit of body length allows most 2 -year-old males to escape the kill; a maximum limit (indicated by the presence of a mane) allows the recruitment

[^1]of males age 6 and older into the breeding reserve. Because the mane (long, silvercolored guard hairs on back of the neck and on the shoulders) is not evident until about age 6 , use of this secondary sex characteristic permits the killing of nearly all of the available 3-, 4-, and 5-year-old males.

As in previous years, the age classification of males killed in 1965 was based on samples of canine teeth. This information is presented in appendix tables 6, 7, 8, and 9. The trend in the kill of 3 - and 4 -year-old males is illustrated in figure $l$ for $S t$. Paul Island and in figure 2 for St. George Island. Table 1 shows the kill of male seals on the Pribilof Islands for the 1947-63 year classes, table 2 illustrates the dates at which certain kill levels were reached in the years 1954-65, and table 3 shows the cumulative numbers of males killed each year on St. Paul Island 1955-65.

The minimum limit of body length was removed on St. Paul Island 22-26 July 1965 to allow a complete kill of 2 -year-old males in the drives. This was the second year of a study designed to determine if the abundance of 2 -year-old males on land in late July is related to the kill of $3-y e a r$-olds the following year. Age and body length weredetermined for 20 percent of all males killed during the $5-d a y$ period.

In addition to the regular kill and the special kill of 2-year-olds, 854 males larger and older in appearance than those normally taken were killed to test the commercial value of their skins. The ages of 361 males taken 12-21 July ranged from 4 through 8 ( 80 percent were in ages 5 and 6). Age was not determined for 493 oversize males taken 22 July to 9 August.

Beginning 27 July 1965, collection of canine teeth, recovery of tags, and recording of checkmarks from male seals killed on St. Paul Island was transferred from the killing fields to the byproducts building. Built in 1918 and used until 1961 as a facility for rendering seal carcasses into meal and oil, the byproducts building was converted in 1964 to a plant for grinding and freezing carcasses of seals that have been eviscerated and beheaded. The ground product is used as mink food.

In 1965, carcass-handling facilities were improved by the installation of a constantly moving overhead cable driven at the rate of 6 feet per minute by two electric motors. Attached to the cable at 12 -inch intervals were 360 long-shanked hooks. Each seal carcass was suspended on a hook that passed between the lower jaw bones and up through the mouth (fig. 3). After the carcass was eviscerated, it was washed and cooled as it moved through a series of salt-water sprinklers. About 360 feet beyond the point where the carcass was hung on a hook, a circular blade severed the head and allowed the carcass to drop into a high-speed grinder (fig. 4).


Figure 1.--Kill of 3- and 4-year-old male seals, by 5day periods, St, Paul Island, 11 July-10 Aug. 1965.


Figure 2.--Kill oŕ 3- and 4-year-old male seals, by varying periods, St. George island, 11 July-10 Aug. 1965.

Table l.--Kill of male seals, ${ }^{1}$ by year class, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1947-63

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | St. Paul Island |  |  |  |  | St. George Island |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age when killed |  |  |  | Total | Age when killed |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 1947. | ----- | 30,110 | 23,697 | 854 | 54,661 | - | 7,043 | 3,731 | 123 | 10,897 | 65,558 |
| 1948. | 486 | 25,714 | 19,995 | 103 | 46,298 | 114 | 5,546 | 3,926 | 22 | 9,608 | 55,906 |
| 1949. | ----- | 29,697 | 12,326 | 249 | 42,272 | 303 | 7,116 | 2,570 | 280 | 10,269 | 52,541 |
| 1950. | 855 | 40,656 | 15,365 | 332 | 57,208 | 1,104 | 8,475 | 4,793 | 147 | 14,519 | 71,727 |
| 1951. | 1,384 | 32,350 | 18,083 | 3,057 | 54,874 | 288 | 7,907 | 5,310 | 681 | 14,186 | 69,060 |
| 1952. | 1,735 | 30,733 | 31,410 | 675 | 64,553 | 545 | 8,998 | 8,459 | 506 | 18,508 | 83,061 |
| 1953. | 839 | 38,312 | 8,855 | 54 | 48,060 | 295 | 10,611 | 3,330 | 100 | 14,336 | 62,396 |
| 1954. | 2,918 | 23,473 | 5,599 | 554 | 32,544 | 535 | 6,651 | 2,779 | 162 | 10,127 | 42,671 |
| 1955. | 1,015 | 27,863 | 10,555 | 115 | 39,548 | 555 | 7,246 | 2,825 | 260 | 10,886 | 50,434 |
| 1956. | 885 | 10,671 | 2,762 | 532 | 14,850 | 171 | 2,251 | 1,387 | 218 | 4,027 | 18,877 |
| 1957 | 2,590 | 24, 283 | 15,344 | 773 | 42,990 | 242 | 5,098 | 4,492 | 244 | 10,076 | 53,066 |
| 1958. | 1,977 | 48,458 | 14, 149 | 1,587 | 66,171 | 431 | 9,413 | 3,707 | 540 | 14,091 | 80,262 |
| 1959. | 2,820 | 26,456 | 14, 184 | 1,764 | 45,224 | 891 | 5,890 | 4,690 | 492 | 11,963 | 57,187 |
| 1960. | 1,619 | 14,310 | 10,533 | 1,240 | 27,702 | 636 | 4,332 | 2,579 | 178 | 7,725 | 35,427 |
| $1961{ }^{2}$ | 1,098 | 22,468 | 12,046 | ---- | 35,612 | 921 | 6,948 | 2,592 | ---- | 10,461 | 46,073 |
| 1962 ${ }^{2} \ldots$ | 2,539 | 19,009 | ------ | --.-- | 21,548 | 1,139 | 3,736 | , | ---- | 4,875 | 26,423 |
| $1963^{2} \ldots$ | 1,264 |  |  |  | 1,264 | 167 |  |  | ---- | 167 | 1,431 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes only age 2- to 5-year-old seals taken during the male kills on the Pribilof Islands; males taken during the female kills of recent years usually have not been sampled for age.

2 Incomplete returns.

Table 2.--Dates at which various kill levels of male seals were reached and the corresponding percentage age classification, St. Paul Island, 1954-65

| Date | Cumulative total kill | Age in years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 2, 5, and 6 |
|  | Number | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| 1954: |  |  |  |  |
| 4 July. | 10,000 | 44 | 54 | 2 |
| 11 do... | 20,000 | 49 | 49 | 2 |
| 18 do. | 30,000 | 56 | 41 | 3 |
| 27 do... | 49,699 | 65 | 31 | 4 |
| 1955: |  |  |  |  |
| 9 do.......... | 10,000 | 50 | 48 | 2 |
| 16 do........... | 20,000 | 53 | 46 | 1 |
| 22 do........... | 30,000 | 56 | 42 | 2 |
| 31 do...... | 49,977 | 62 | 36 | 2 |
| 1956: |  |  |  |  |
| 6 do. | 10,000 | 24 | 64 | 12 |
| 11 da... | 20,000 | 30 | 62 | 8 |
| 16 da. | 30,000 | 33 | 60 | 7 |
| 26 do............ | 50,000 | 41 | 52 | 7 |
| 15 Aug. . . . . . . . | 75,736 | 51 | 42 | 7 |
| 1957: |  |  |  |  |
| 13 July.... | 10,000 | 53 | 41 | 6 |
| 24 do.......... | 20,000 | 63 | 33 | 4 |
| 5 Aug.. | 30,000 | 67 | 28 | 5 |
| 10 do...... | 34, 355 | 69 | 26 | 5 |
| 1958: |  |  |  |  |
| 10 July . . | 10,000 | 74 | 26 | ------ |
| 18 do... | 20,000 | 78 | 22 |  |
| 28 do. | 30,000 | 80 | 19 | 1 |
| 31 do.... | 33,325 | 82 | 17 | 1 |
| 1959: |  |  |  |  |
| 14 do........... | 10,000 | 38 | 57 | 5 |
| 27 do............ | 20,000 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| 31 do............ | 22,286 | 46 | 47 | 7 |
| 1960: |  |  |  |  |
| 21 do. | 10,000 | 80 | 17 | 3 |
| 1 Aug. | 20,000 | 83 | 12 | 5 |
| 7 do........ | 28,81? | 84 | 10 | 6 |
| 1961: |  |  |  |  |
| 尹 July........... | 10,000 | 61 | 37 | 2 |
| 18 do.......... | 20,000 | 62 | 37 | 1 |
| 24 do......... | 30,000 | 66 | 32 | 2 |
| 2 Aug....... | 50,000 | 70 | 27 | 3 |
| $15 \mathrm{dc} . . . . . .$. | 67,167 | 72 | 23 | 5 |
| 1362 : |  |  |  |  |
| 12 JuIy.......... | 10,000 | 49 | 47 | 4 |
| 20 dう............. | 20,000 | 54 | 42 | 4 |
| $20 \text { do............ . }$ | 30,000 | 59 | 37 | 4 |
| 5 Aug. . . . . | 39, 383 | 62 | 34 | 4 |
| 1963: |  |  |  |  |
| 16 July............. | 10,000 | 33 | 59 | 8 |
| 25 do............... | 20,000 | 43 | 50 | 7 |
| 5 Aug. . . . . . | 30,000 | 47 | 46 | 7 |
| 1964: |  |  |  |  |
| 15 July ... | 10,000 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| 24 do...... | 20,000 | 55 | 36 | 9 |
| $2_{5}$ Aug........... | 30,000 | 59 | 31 | 10 |
| $5 \mathrm{do} . . . . . . . .$. | 32,712 | 60 | 29 | 11 |
| 1965: |  |  |  |  |
| 19 July... | 10,000 | 44 | 50 | 6 |
| 29 do..... | 20,000 | 51 | 43 | 6 |
| 8 Aug. . . . . . . . | 30,000 | 56 | 37 | 7 |
| 9 do......... | 31,055 | 56 | 36 | 8 |

Table 3.--Cumulative number of male seals killed, St. Paul Island, 1955-65 ${ }^{1}$

| Date | Age in years |  | Date | Age in years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 |
| 1955: |  |  | 1961: |  |  |
| 1 July... | 1,574 | 1,962 | 6 July. | 4,119 | 2,315 |
|  | 3,341 | 3,643 | 11 do... | 6,770 | 4,316 |
| 11 do. | 5,929 | 6,248 | 16 do. | 9,993 | 6,021 |
| 16 do. | 10,416 | 8,999 | 21 do. | 15,492 | 8,302 |
| 21 do. | 15,358 | 11,648 | 26 do. | 22,609 | 10,851 |
| 26 do. | 21,707 | 15,638 | 31 do. | 29,523 | 12,488 |
| 31 do. | 30,733 | 18,083 | 5 Aug. | 38,908 | 14,072 |
|  |  |  | 10 do. | 43,629 | 14,780 |
| 1956: |  |  | 15 do. | 48,458 | 15,344 |
| 1 July. | 1,079 | 3,056 |  |  |  |
| 6 do. | 2,671 | 7,060 | 1962: |  |  |
| 11 do. | 6,145 | 12,677 | 6 July. | 1,639 | 2,028 |
| 16 do. | 9,808 | 17,954 | 11 do. | 4,485 | 4,335 |
| 21 do. | 14,589 | 22,159 | 16 do. | 7,643 | 6,636 |
| 26 do. | 20,726 | 25,999 | 21 do. | 11,226 | 8,663 |
| 31 do. | 26,590 | 28,560 | 26 do. | 17,301 | 10,832 |
| 5 Aug | 31,701 | 29,853 | 31 do. | 20,267 | 12,047 |
| 10 do. | 35,502 | 30,663 | 5 Aug. | 25,098 | 13,422 |
| 15 do. | 38,290 | 31,448 |  |  |  |
| 1957: |  |  | 6 July. | 1,381 | 2,668 |
| l July..... | 1, 360 | 1,071 | 11 do. | 2,498 | 4,331 |
| 6 do. | 2,994 | 2,161 | 16 do. | 3,155 | 5,531 |
| 11 do. | 4,507 | 3,296 | 21 do. | 6,047 | 7,882 |
| 16 do. | 6,'777 | 4,651 | 26 do. | 8,915 | 10,373 |
| 21 do. | 9,380 | 5,602 | 31 do. | 11,596 | 12,283 |
| 26 do. | 13,350 | 6,784 | 5 Aug. | 13,954 | 13,791 |
| 31 do. | 16,804 | 7,547 |  |  |  |
| 5 Aug. | 19,823 | 8,196 | 1964: |  |  |
| 10 do. | 23,473 | 8,855 | 6 July. |  | 2,095 |
| 1958: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { do. } \\ & 16 \text { do. } \end{aligned}$ | 3,266 5,619 | 3,482 4,968 |
| 1 July. | 1,991 | 732 | 21 do. | 9,333 | 6,710 |
| 6 do. | 3,988 | 1,383 | 26 do. | 13,188 | 8,279 |
| 11 do. | 8,038 | 2,658 | 31 do. | 17,607 | 9,624 |
| 16 do. | 12,917 | 3,912 | 5 Aug. | 22,203 | 10,509 |
| 21 do. | 17,688 | 4,839 |  |  |  |
| 26 do. | 22,661 | 5,279 | 1965: |  |  |
| 31 do. | 27,216 | 5,556 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { July... } \\ & 16 \text { do.... } \end{aligned}$ | 1,228 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,050 \\ & 3,729 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1959: |  |  | 21 do. | 5,236 | 5,873 |
| 1 July..... | 584 | 1,474 | 26 do. | 8,436 | 7,883 |
| 6 July. | 1,364 | 3,028 | 31 do. | 12,126 | 9,838 |
| 11 do. | 2,625 | 4,665 | 5 Aug | 15,246 | 11,115 |
| 16 do. | 4,189 | 6,425 | 10 do. | 19,009 | 12,046 |
| 21 do. | 6,096 | 7,949 |  |  |  |
| 26 do. | 8,327 | 9,721 |  |  |  |
| 31 do.. | 10,203 | 10,446 |  |  |  |
| 1960: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 July... | 699 | 368 |  |  |  |
| 6 do. | 1,751 | 676 |  |  |  |
| 11 do..... | 3,274 | 988 |  |  |  |
| 16 do. | 5,529 | 1,385 |  |  |  |
| 21 do. | 7,904 | 1,717 |  |  |  |
| 26 do... | 10,978 | 1,968 2,347 |  |  |  |
| 31 do... | 15,312 21,610 | 2,347 |  |  |  |
| 10 do....... | 24,201 | 2,757 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Sealing began 2 July in 1961, 1962, and 1963; 1 July in 1964; 7 July in 1965; 27 June all other years. Kill of males ended on the following dates: 31 July 1955; 15 Aug. 1956; 10 Aug. 1957; 31 July 1958-59; 7 Aug. 1960; 15 Aug. 1961; 5 Aug. 1962-64; and 9 Aug. 1965.


Figure 3.--Laborers hanging seal carcasses on hooks, byproducts plant, St. Paul 1sland, 1965 (photo by Harry W. May).


Figure 4.--Carcasses being separated from heads over grinder, byproducts plant, St. Paul Island, 1965 (photo by Harry W. May).

Collection of canine teeth, recovery of tags, and recording of checkmarks were done midway between the sprinklers and the grinder. Standing on a platform 3 feet high, one man used a hack saw to remove the snouts just anterior to the eyes (fig. 5). From this position, the snouts passed the worker at eye level. Twenty percent or more of the seals were sampled daily for right upper canine teeth by .taking the snouts from carcasses 1 and 2, 11 and 12,21 and 22 , etc., until all seals killed that day had passed. Another man examined left front flippers for tags and checkmarks as he walked in a direction opposing that of the moving line of carcasses (fig. 6). When he reached the sprinklers, the worker inserted a marker into the last carcass examined, crossed to the other side of the line, and examined the right front flippers as he returned to the carcass with which he began. Examination of about 100 seals in 10 minutes was followed by a wait of 15 minutes, after which the worker repeated the procedure, beginning with the last carcass he had marked. During the $15-$ minute interval, the tag-recovery man examined loose flippers at the carcass dumping site or assisted the tooth collector.

Bull counts.-- The number of harem bulls (adult males holding females) counted on the Pribilof Islands has decreased each year since

1961 (fig. 7). The number of harem bulls depends on the numerical strength of two other herd elements--idle or reserve bulls (males age 7 and older without females) and producing females. Decreases in the number of harem bulls, however, are believed to be the result of the reduction in the number of producing females.

The number of idle bulls counted on land has also been decreasing, following a sharp rise from 1952 to 1960 (fig. 7). The decline in idle bulls has been caused by extensive killing of recent year classes through longer seasons and increases in the maximum size of seals taken.

The counts of bulls in 1965 are given by island and by rookery in appendix table 10 , and all counts of bulls since 1911 are presented in appendix table 11.

## Females

The maximum sustained yield of sealskins should occur when the number of breeding females is at some level below its natural peak. For this reason, large numbers of females were purposely killed on the Pribilof Islands from 1956 through 1963 to reduce the population from the peak reached by about 1940 to a lower and more productive level. Additional females were taken in 1964 and


Figure 5.--Biological assistant taking tooth samples, byproducts plant, St. Paul 1sland, 1965 (photo by Harry W. May).


Figure 6.--Biologist examining seals for tags and checkmarks, byproducts plant, St. Paul Island, 1965 (photo by Harry W. May).


Figure 7.--Counts of harem and idle bulls, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1950-55 and 1957-65.

1965 to maintain the population at the level achieved.

A total of 10,432 females were taken from the hauling grounds of the Pribilof Islands in 1965. Of these, 3,868 were taken during the kill of male seals 7 July to 9 August and 6,564 during the special kill of females 16-27 August. The female seals killed in 1965 are classified by age in appendix tables $12,13,14$, and 15. Year class contributions to the kill of females are given in table 4, and the percentage age composition of females sampled from the kills on the Pribilof Islands in 1958-65 are presented in table 5.

Once the females attain sexual maturity and join the breeding element of the herd, theyare subjected to bite wounds from the harem bulls. The resulting scars lessen the commercial value of their skins. Most of the females are initially exposed to scarring at age 5 or 6 , when they enter the rookeries to give birth to their first pup. The skins from females age 5 and
younger, therefore, are more valuable than those from females age 6 and older.

In addition to being relatively free of scars, females age 5 and younger usually have black or a mixture of black and white vibrissae. By comparison, most females age 6 and older have white vibrissae. Thus, females having the most valuable skins can be selected for killing on the Pribilof Islands on the basis of vibrissal color. In 1965, selection was practiced on St. Paul Island 23-27 August; 88 percent of the females taken were age 5 and younger. On St. George Island, where selection was not practiced 16-27 August, only 64 percent of the females killed were age 5 and younger.

## Tag Recoveries and Tagging

Tagging provides marked seals needed for making estimates of the population and furnishes seals of known age and origin of birth for studies of age and growth, distribution at

Table 4.--Kill of female seals, by year class, ${ }^{1}$ Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1939-64

| Year <br> class | Age in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1939. | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | - | 17 |
| 1940. | ------ | ----- | ------ | ------ | ------ | ----- | ------ | ------ | 8 | 15 |
| 1941. | ------ | ----- | ------ | ----- | ------ | ---- | ------ | 16 | 7 | 15 |
| 1942. | ------ |  | ------ | ------ | ------ | ---- | 15 | 13 | 7 | 39 |
| 1943. | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | ------ | 12 | 8 | 10 | 41 | 36 |
| 1944. | ------- | ------ | ------ | ---- | 3 | 11 | 9 | 57 | 43 | 10 |
| 1945. | ------ | ------ | ------ | 4 | 4 | 8 | 45 | 43 | 11 | 27 |
| 1946. | ------ | -- | ------ | 4 | 4 | 60 | 54 | 11 | 38 | 762 |
| 1947. | ------ | 1 | ------ | 1 | 37 | 84 | 46 | 48 | 1,136 | 1,773 |
| 1948. | ------ | ----- | ------ | 84 | 75 | 94 | 77 | 1,766 | 3,120 | 678 |
| 1949. | ------ | -- | 30 | 34 | 161 | 118 | 2,155 | 3,550 | 559 | 1, 173 |
| 1950. |  | 10 | 17 | 92 | 210 | 2,949 | 4,031 | 654 | 1,289 | 345 |
| 1951. | 4 |  | 8 | 85 | 4,618 | 6,343 | 1,328 | 1,958 | 492 | 2,292 |
| 1952. | ------ | --- | 16 | 6,422 | 11,465 | 3,408 | 3,515 | 526 | 3,127 | 1,687 |
| 1953. |  | 1 | 2,132 | 5,806 | 4,056 | 2,958 | 493 | 2,843 | 2,247 | 87 |
| 1954. | ------ | 132 | 1, 150 | 8,493 | 3,771 | 683 | 3,057 | 2,809 | 68 | 51 |
| 1955. |  | 11 | 11,468 | 7,285 | 1,047 | 4,810 | 2,869 | 97 | 34 | 16 |
| 1956. |  | 601 | 2,072 | 614 | 4,520 | 3,444 | 1,859 | 42 | 16 |  |
| 1957. | 150 | 281 | 352 | 6,912 | 6,303 | 4,080 | 592 | 33 |  |  |
| 1958. | 76 | 79 | 4,651 | 8,683 | 8,697 | 1,914 | 383 |  |  |  |
| 1959. | 27 | 508 | 4,563 | 8,044 | 3,626 | 621 |  |  |  |  |
| 1960. | 120 | 431 | 2,979 | 3,409 | 1,121 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961. | 37 | 724 | 3,434 | 2,629 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1962. | 7 | 390 | 1,384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963. | 26 | 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1964. | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes pelagic research kill of the United States and Canada, 1958-65. In addition to the above kill, 50,54l females age 11 and older, 19,978 females age 8 and older, and 6,261 unclassified females were taken.

Table 5.--Percentage age composition of female seals sampled from the kills, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1958-65

| Year and island |
| :--- |

sea, homing tendency, and commercial value of skins by age and sex. Fur seal pups of both sexes have been tagged and checkmarked nearly every year on St. Paul Island since 1947 and on St. George Island since 1956. Yearling fur seals, primarily males, were first tagged in 1961. This section gives the number of tags and marks recovered from male and female seals killed on the Pribilof Islands in 1965 and discusses the techniques that were used in tagging and marking pups and in selecting yearlings for tagging on St. Paul Island.

Tag recoveries.-- Tagged seals killed in $19 \overline{65}$ were taken only if within the limits set
for killing all seals. The limits for taking males on both Islands were from 42.0 inches ( 106.7 cm. ) long to, but not including, those with manes. All females driven with the males were taken. During the special kill of females on St. Paul Island 23-27 August, only those with black or mixed black and white vibrissae were killed. All females driven during the special kill of females on St. George Island 16-27 August were taken, regardless of vibrissal color. Possibly a few of the 65 tagged seals taken on St. Paul for studies of fur value by age and sex were outside the prescribed limits.

A total of 2,736 tags were recovered from male seals in ages 2-10, and 1,508 checkmarks were recorded from males that had lost their tags (table 6).

A total of 463 tags were recovered from females in ages $2-17$, and 240 checkmarks were recorded from females in ages 2-6. Checkmarks from females age 7 and older were not recorded because each of the four front flipper marks used since 1953 (app. table 18) has been repeated every fourth year. Thus, the location and type of checkmarks on females age 7 and older may be identical on animals of different ages. Checkmarked females (as well as males) 2 and 6 years old can be separated on the basis of body size.

A total of 238 seals selected as yearlings on the basis of body length and double-tagged on St. Paul Island in 1961 (M-series), 1962 (N-series), and 1963 (O-series) wererecovered on the Pribilof lslands in 1965 (table 7).

Thirty-six seals tagged by the U.S.S.R. were killed on the Pribilof Islands in 1965 (table 8 and app. table 16).

Tagging and marking of pups.--Pups were not tagged or marked on St. George Island in 1965.

On St. Paul Island, 30,000 pups were tagged and marked, or marked only, as follows: (1) Tags were attached to the left front flipper of 10,000 pups between the fourth and fifth digits, and a V-notch checkmark was cut into the leading edge of the same flipper with veterinary ear-notching instruments; (2) 10,080 pups were marked only by removing the tip of the first digit (big toe) of the right hind flipper at the web; and (3) 10,007 pups were marked only by cutting a V-notch into the leading edge of the right front flipper. The tagging and marking were completed in 8 days by 11 men . Example of tag and mark locations used on fur seal pups areillustrated in figure 8.

The veining chisels formerly used to make the V-notch checkmarks were replaced in 1962 with veterinary ear-notching instruments. The latter tool is superior because it is easier to use, does not require a surface such as wood to cut against, is adjustable for horizontal depth of cut, and remains sharp during thousands of cuts; furthermore, it makes consistently good marks such as that shown in figure 9, whereas the veining chisels tended to slip off the edge of the flipper and leave only a faint and unrecognizable checkmark. Figure 10 illustrates a few of the checkmarks made by veterinary ear-notching instruments in 1962 and recovered from tagged 3 -yearold males in 1965. Of 279 tagged seals examined, 266 had checkmarks that were easily recognizable; only 13 of the tagged seals did not have recognizable checkmarks.

All tags used since 1952 have been attached to front flippers, and most have been attached
to the front flipper at the hairline (fig. 8); however, in 1964, a new tagging site located between the fourth and fifth digits (fig. 8) was used for half $(10,000)$ of the pups tagged. The new site was used on all pups tagged in 1965 to lessen damage by tagging. The effectiveness of the new tagging site is not yet known.

The number of pups tagged in 1965 is listed in appendix table 17 by rookery, and a record of pups tagged on the Pribilof Islands since 1941 is given in appendix table 18.

Tagging of yearlings.-- The methods used to select and tag yearlings in 1965 were nearly the same as in 1962 and 1963. The upper length limit was reduced from 37.5 inches ( 95 cm .) to 35.0 inches ( 89 cm .) for females after the second day of tagging in 1965 to prevent selection of females older than age 1 for tagging. Body length has proved to be a good basis for selecting yearling males; it is not suitable for identifying yearling females, however (see section on estimates based on recoveries of tags applied to yearlings), because of the greater overlap in lengths among 1-, 2-, and even 3-year-old females. An upper body-length limit of 39.5 inches ( 100 cm 。) has been used successfully to separate yearling males from older males. The length-frequency distributions of known-age yearling males tagged as pups and males selected as yearlings on the basis of bodylength aresimilar (fig. ll); the mean lengths of the two differ by only 1 cm. (table 9).

The surveys for known-age yearlingstagged as pups, begun in 1963, were discontinued in 1965 because it was impossible to tag yearlings and make surveys during the same period. Also, no apparent way existed to standardize the survey work from year to year.

Most of the yearlings found in 1965 were on hauling grounds on Zapadni Reef and south of Sea Lion Neck.

In previous years, the hauling ground areas most used by yearlings were examined in lday. In 1965, however, seals were so abundant on the hauling grounds that it was impossible to examine all areas in less than 2 days. Possibly weather caused more animals to be on the hauling grounds in 1965 than in other years. The weather during tagging was windy with occasional snow. The relation between weather and the location of yearlings, however, is not clear.

Six men tagged 991 yearlings in $7-1 / 2$ days in 1965 (app. table 19).

## Pup Mortality

The number of dead pups counted in 1965 was 181 percent of the number counted in 1964 on St. Paul Island and 219 percent on St. George Island. The counts for both Islands are illustrated in figure 12 for $1950-65$ and given in detail in appendix table 20 for 1941-65.

Table 6.--Sunmary of tagged and lost-tag seals recovered, by age and sex, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1965

| Series | Age | Tagged seals |  |  | Lost-tag seals |  |  | Grand total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | St. Paul Island | St. George Island | Combined total. | St. Paul Island | St. George Island | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Combined } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Years | 7 July to 9 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P............ | 2 | 53 | 5 | 58 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 118 |
| O............ | 3 | 1,393 | 262 | 1,655 | 748 | 29 | 777 | 2,432 |
| N............ | 4 | 730 | 150 | 880 | 534 | 28 | 562 | 1,442 |
| M. . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 73 | 13 | 86 | 87 | 9 | 96 | 182 |
| L............ | 6 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 18 |
| K............ | 7 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | ----------- | 1 | 3 |
| J............ | 8 | 1 | ---------- | 1 | - |  | --- | 1 |
| H........... | 10 | 1 | ---------- | 1 | -------- | ---------- | ------- | 1 |
| Total..... |  | 2,264 | 433 | 2,697 | 1,430 | 70 | 1,500 | 4,197 |
| P........... | 23 | -------- \|--------- |  |  |  |  | 13 | 13 |
| O........... |  | -------- | --------- | -------- | 9 7 | ---------- | 9 | 9 |
| N. . . . . . . . . | 4 | 9 1 <br> 17 3 |  | 10 | 17 | ---------- | 17 | 27 |
| M. . . . . . . . . . | 5 |  |  | 20 | 12 | ---------- | 12 | 32 |
| L. ........... | 6 | 17 3 <br> 15 1 |  | 16 | 3 | ---------- | 3 | 19 |
| K............ | 7 | 20 ------- |  | 20 | -- | ---------- | --- | 20 |
| J............ | 8 | 8 ----1 <br> 2 1 |  | 8 | -------- | ---------- | ------- | 83 |
| I............ | 9 |  |  | 3 -------- |  | ---------- | ------- |  |
| H. . . . . . . . . | 10 | 7 |  | 71 | -------- | ---------- | ---------- | 7 |
| G............ | 11 | 1 |  |  | -- | - |  | 1 |
| E............ | 13 | 2 | ---------- | 2 | -------- | ----------- | -------- |  |
| Total...... |  | 81 | 6 | 87 | 54 | ----------- | 54 | 141 |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { 16-27 August }}{\text { Males }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| P............ | 2 | 6 | 523 |  | 4 |  | 52 | 1629 |
| O............ | 3 | 4 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| N. . . . . . . . . . | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | --- |  | ------- | 1 |
| M............ | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total..... |  | 10 | 29 | 39 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 47 |
|  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P............ | 2 | 10 | --------- 10 |  | 3246 | ----------- | 32 | 42 |
| 0............ | 3 | 83 | 44 | 127 |  | 98 | 55 | 182 |
| N............ | 4 | 92 | 70 | 162 | 45 |  | 53 | 215 |
| M. . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 14 | 37 | $51$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | 95 |
| L............ | 6 | 3 | 13 | $16$ | -------- |  | - 2 | 18 |
| K............ | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | -- | $2$ |  |  |
| J............ | 8 | 1 | ----------- |  | -------- | ----------- | ------- | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| H............ | 10 | 2 | - | 2 | --- | ----- | ------ |  |
| E............ | 13 | 2 | ---------- | 2 | -------- | ----------- | ------- |  |
| B........... | 17 | 1 | ---------- | 1 | - | ---------- | ------- |  |
| Total..... |  | 210 | 166 | 376 | 161 | 25 | 186 | 562 |

Table 7.--Tag recoveries in 1965 from seals selected and tagged as yearlings, Pribilof Islands, Alaska

| Series | Age when: |  | St. Paul Island |  | St. George Island |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tagged | Recovered | Nales | Females | Nales | Females | Males | Females |
|  | Years | Years | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
|  | 1 | 5 | Nale kill (7 July to 9 August) |  |  |  | 1 | ----------- |
|  |  |  | 1 | --------- | ------ | -------- | 1 | --------- |
| Total |  |  | 2 | ----- | -------- | ------- | 2 | -------- |
| N...... | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ \text { Unknown }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 72 | --- | 2 | --- | 74 | ----------- |
|  |  |  | 7 |  | 1 | ------------ | 1 | ----- |
| Total |  |  | 79 | --------- | 3 | --------- | 82 | ----------- |
| O...... | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ \text { Unknown }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 119 | --------- | 11 | --------- | 130 | ----------- |
| Total |  |  | 8 | --------- | --------- | --------- | 8 | ----------- |
|  |  |  | 8 | --------- | --------- | --------- | 8 | ----------- |
|  |  |  | 135 |  | 11 | -- | 146 | ----------- |
|  | 1 |  |  | male kill | 16-27 Aug |  |  |  |
| N...... |  | 4 | ------ | 2 |  | 1 | -- | 3 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | -------- | 3 |
| 0...... | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ \text { Unknown } \end{gathered}$ | 34 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | --------- |  |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | ------- | 1 | --------- | ------- | ------- | 1 |
| Total |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Tags were recovered but the canine teeth for determining age were not.

Table 8.--Summary of Soviet tags recovered from the kill, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1965

| Age | St. Paul Island |  | St. George Island |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Years | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 2. | 5 | - |  |  |
| 3. | 15 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 4. | 1 | ------- | 3 | ------- |
| 5. | 5 | - | ------ |  |
| 7........ |  | 1 | ---- |  |
| Total. | 26 | 3 | 7 | ------- |



FRONT FLIPPER
ILLUSTRATION SHOWING TAGS CLINCHED AT THE HAIRLINE ANO BETWEEN THE FOURTH AND FIFTH DIGITS. ALSO SHOWN ARE TWO CHECKMARKS, A V-NOTCH NEAR THE TIP ANO THE TIP SLICED OFF.


HIND FLIPPER
ILLUSTRATION SHOWING A FLIPPER CHECKMARKED BY REMOVING ABOUT TWO INCHES OF THE BIG TOE OR FIRST DIGIT.
Figure 8.--Examples of tag and mark locations that have been used since 1940 on fur seal pups, Pribllof Islands, Alaska.


Figure 9.--V-notch mark applied to pups and used subsequently to identlfy age when the seal has lost its tag, or was V-notched only. This mark was photographed soon after it was made with a veterinary ear-notching instrument.


Figure 10.--V-notch checkmarks applied to pups in 1962 with veterinary ear-notching instruments and recovered from tagged 3 -year-old males in 1965. Of 279 checkmarks examined, 95 percent were similar to those in the top row, and 5 percent resembled those in the bottom row. Examination of thousands of seals has shown that the marks in the top row cannot beconfused with accessory or naturally occurring marks. The marks in the bottom row would not have been recognized if the seals had not been tagged; these marks are assumed to be checkmarks.


Figure 11.--Body lengths of known-age yearling males and males selected as yearlings on the basis of body length, St. Paul Island, 1965.

## Pup Weights

Seal pups have been weighed on St. Paul lsland annually about 1 September since 1957 to determine if body weight of untagged pups in autumn is related to survival. A consistent relation would be useful in predicting the returns (kill) from a year class. The data obtained to date are discussed in appendix $A$.

The weighing program has also provided information on the effects of tagging and marking pups. Each year since 1957, untagged and unmarked pups have been heavier than tagged and marked pups 1 or 2 weeks after tagging (app. table 21). The mean weights of untagged and unmarked males and females in 1965 were 1.14 and 1.04 kg . more than tagged and marked males and females. This difference in weight may cause tagged and marked pups to die at a greater rate than untagged and unmarked pups during their first winter at sea. If true, then subsequent estimates of the population based on recoveries of tags and marked seals are inflated.

The tip of the big toe or first digit (fig. 10) on the right hind flipper of each of 10,080 pups was removed as part of the pup tagging

Table 9.--Sumary of data from tagging of yearlings, St. Paul Island, 1965

| Item | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tagged as pups | Untagged | Total | Tagged as pups ${ }^{1}$ | Untagged | Total |
| Number tagged. | 64 | 809 | 873 | 5 | 113 | 118 |
| Mean length (cm. ${ }^{2}$ | 93 | 94 |  | 90 | 90 |  |

[^2]

Flgure 12.--Counts of dead pups, Pribllof Islands, Alaska, 1950-51 and 1953-65.
and marking program on St. Paul Island in 1965. Untagged pups with this mark weighed more than tagged and marked pups but less than untagged and unmarked pups on two of the four rookeries where pups were weighed (fig. 13 and app. table 22). On Zapadni Reef and Polovina rookeries, untagged pups bearing the first digit mark were lighter than tagged and marked or untagged and unmarked pups. An analysis of variance test adjusted for disproportionate subclass sizes showed that the interaction for rookeries versus type of mark was significant $(\mathrm{F}<0.01)$. The main effects were not tested because of the significant interaction. On all rookeries, untagged and unmarked seals were heavier than tagged and marked seals or seals with marks only, and males were heavier than females.

The use of scales that were too sensitive to the movement of pups during weighing has been a constant problem since the weighing began. In 1957 and 1958, a metal cone for holding the pups was attached to a dial spring scale suspended from a wooden tripod. The spring scale, however, was too sensitive to movement of the pups, and the cone caused the pups to moveconsiderably in their attempts to escape. Both problems were partially solved in 1959 by putting the pups in burlap bags sewed to construction-steel hoops and placing the bag containing the pup on a platform scale. Though the platform scale had no dampeners, it was less sensitive than the spring scale to movement of the pups, and the pups were less inclined to struggle when confined in the bag. The bags, however, changed in weight as they dried or absorbed rainwater. Frequent weighing of the bags and corresponding adjustments of the scale eliminated much of the error from this source. In 1963 and 1964 , the same platform scale was used, but the pups were placed in 20-gallon plastic garbage cans. The pups tended to move more when in this container


Figure 13.--Mean weights of seal pups about 1 September, St. Paul Island, 1965.
than when confined in the burlap bags. The method of holding the pups, therefore, was changed in 1965 and a new platform scale, with dampeners, was used. One man stood on the scale and held each pup during weighing, a method that effectively eliminated movement of the pups. The weight of the man was recorded after each series of 25 weighings, for later subtraction.

Barricades for holding small groups of pups for weighing have been used each year, and weighed pups have been released 50-75 feet from the site of weighing so that they would not be weighed twice. Weights were recorded to the nearest 0.2 kg . from 1957-64 and to the nearest 0.5 kg . in 1965 .

## Population Estimates

This section presents estimates of the population based on the most recent data. Methods used and sources of data have been described by: Roppel, Johnson, Bauer, Chapman, and Wilke (1963); Roppel, Johnson, and Chapman (1965); and Roppel, Johnson, Anas, and Chapman (1965).

Estimates based on tag recoveries.-- The number of pups born, as estimated from tag recoveries (includes tagged and lost-tag seals) from both sexes, are presented in tables 10 through 14. To show within-season variability, the data were divided into 5 -day periods and estimates were based on recoveries from 3and 4-year-old males for each period (table 12). No apparent reason exists for the much greater variability in estimates based on recoveries from 4-year-old males. Estimates based on recoveries from females show considerable year-to-year variation and generally are much lower than estimates based on recoveries from males. For these reasons the data for the sexes have not been combined.

Table 10.--Estimates of the pup population based on tag recoveries from males, year classes 1960-63, Pribilof Islands, Alaska
[ $n=$ males killed from each year class; $t=t a g s$ applied to each year class; $s=$ tag recoveries from males from each year class; $N=$ estimate of year-class size at time of tagging]

| Year class | Killed 7 July to 9 August 1965 <br> ( n ) | Tagged <br> ( t ) | Tag recoveries <br> (s) | ```Population estimate (N)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 1960. | 1,418 | 59,981 | 182 | 465,106 |
| 1961. | 14,638 | 49,921 | 1 1,524 | 479,218 |
| 1962. | 22,745 | 49,908 | 12,544 | 446,063 |
| 1963.. | 1,431 | 24,971 | 118 | 300,503 |

1 Lost-tag recoveries on St. George Island were corrected by the ratio of lost-tag to tagged pups observed on St. Paul Island.

Table ll.--Estimates of the pup population based on tag recoveries from 3- and 4-year-old males, year classes 1958-62, Pribilof Islands, Alaska
$[\mathrm{n}=3$ - and 4 -year-old males killed from each year class; $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{tags}$ applied to each year class; $s=$ tag recoveries from 3- and $4-y e a r-o l d$ males from each year class; $N=$ estimate of year-class size at time of tagging]

| Year class | Killed at ages 3 and 4 (n) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tagged } \\ & (\mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ | Tag recoveries (s) | Population estimate ( N ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 1958. | 74,890 | 49,917 | 5,409 | 691,018 |
| 1959. | 48,596 | 49,881 | 3,324 | 729,057 |
| 1960 | 31,059 | 59,981 | 3,279 | 568,000 |
| 1961. | 43,465 | 49,921 | 4,432 | 489,490 |
| $1962^{1}$. | 22,745 | 49,908 | 2,544 | 446,063 |

${ }^{1}$ Age 3 only.

Table 12.--Estimates of the pup population based on tag recoveries from 3- and 4-year-old males, by 5-day periods, year classes 1961-62, Pribilof Islands, Alaska
$[n=$ males killed each period; $t=$ tags applied to year class; $s=$ tag recoveries each period; $N=$ estimate of year-class size at time of tagging]

| Date | Age 3 (year class 1962) |  |  |  | Age 4 (year class 1961) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Killed ( n ) | Tagged ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { recoveries } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Population estimate (N) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Killed } \\ (\mathrm{n}) \end{gathered}$ | Tagged ( t ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { recoveries } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Population estimate (N) |
| July: | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 7-11 | 1,576 | 49,908 | 193 | 405,704 | 2,587 | 49,921 | 243 | 529,501 |
| 12-16 | 1,904 |  | 207 | 457,099 | 2,236 | ------ | 194 | 572,695 |
| 17-21. | 2,941 | ------ | 316 | 463,193 | 2,526 | ------ | 294 | 427,637 |
| 22-26. | 3,842 |  | 410 | 466,667 | 2,381 | ------ | 234 | 506,018 |
| 27-31. | 4,487 | ------ | 483 | 462,793 | 2,304 |  | 219 | 523,046 |
| Aug : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5. | 3,713 | ------ | 440 | 420,322 | 1,545 | ------ | 159 | 482,371 |
| 6-9. | 4,282 | ------ | 495 | 430,968 | 1,059 | ------ | 181 | 290,755 |
| Total. | 22,745 | ------ | 2,544 | 446,063 | 14,638 | ------- | 1,524 | 479,218 |

1 Lost-tag recoveries on St: George Island were corrected by the ratio of lost-tag to tagged pups observed on St. Paul Island.

Table 13.--Estimates of the pup population based on tag recoveries, year classes 1951~63, Pribilof Islands, Alaska

| Year <br> class | Pups alive <br> at time of <br> tagging | Dead <br> pups <br> counted | Pups <br> born |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Number | Number |
| $1951 \ldots .$. | 484,000 | 86,000 | 570,000 |
| $1952 \ldots$. | 529,000 | 87,000 | 616,000 |
| $1953 \ldots$. | 704,000 | 91,000 | 795,000 |
| $1954 \ldots$. | 727,000 | 111,000 | 838,000 |
| $1955 \ldots$. | 778,000 | 90,000 | 868,000 |
| $1956 \ldots$. | 872,000 | 120,000 | 992,000 |
| $1957 \ldots$. | 637,000 | 75,000 | 712,000 |
| $1958 \ldots$. | 691,000 | 38,000 | 729,000 |
| $1959 \ldots$. | 729,000 | 49,000 | 778,000 |
| $1960 \ldots$. | 568,000 | 75,000 | 643,000 |
| $1961 \ldots$. | 489,000 | 71,000 | 560,000 |
| $1962 \ldots$. | 446,000 | 54,000 | 500,000 |
| $1963 \ldots$. | 301,000 | 39,000 | 340,000 |

${ }^{1}$ A preliminary estimate based on tag recoveries at age 2 only.

The number of pups born, as estimated from tag recoveries, has decreased steadily from 778,000 in 1959 to 340,000 in 1963 (table 13).

Estimates of the number of yearling males are available for the 1961 and 1962 year classes (table 15). These animals were tagged as yearlings in late September and October of 1962 and 1963. The errors in selection (by length) of yearling males for tagging, calculated from age of animals taken later in the kill, were 2.9 and 5.5 percent for the 1961 and 1962 year classes, respectively. Age was determined for 346 tagged males recovered from the 1961 year class and 199 tagged males re-
covered from the 1962 year class. The estimates based on recoveries at ages 2,3 , and 4 increased with the increase in age. The reason for the increase is not known. The recoveries from females are too few to provide a basis for estimates.

Estimates based on sampling live pups.-The methods used in shearing and sampling pups on St. Paul Island were described by Roppel, Johnson, and Chapman (1965), and Roppel, Johnson, Anas, and Chapman (1965).

A total of 25,868 pups were sheared on St. Paul Island in 1965. As in previous years, the shearing and sampling method was tested for consistency of results by sampling the pups on each rookery twice, and obtaining ratios of sheared to unsheared seals from groups of 25 pups each time. The resulting estimates-208,468 and 200,821--were similar (table 16). An additional estimate of 247,960 was made by finding the ratio of sheared to unsheared pups in groups of 100 on all rookeries (table 17).

The accuracy of estimates obtained from sampling live pups was checked by making total counts on three small rookeries and part of a large one (table 18). The estimates based on sampling by groups of 25 usually were lower than the total counts. The estimates based on sampling by groups of 100 , however, were higher than the counts on the small rookeries but lower than the count on the large rookery. The mean of the three estimates (two based on samples of 25 and one on samples of 100 ) was in good agreement with the counts for the smaller areas, but was only 86 percent of the count on the large area. The number of pups born, as estimated by the two sampling methods, is given by rookery in table 19. When corrected by the average difference between estimates and counts (estimate $=91.3$ percent of the count), the mean of the three estimates gives 247,500 as the number of pups alive on

Table 14.--Estimates of the pup population based on tag recoveries from females, year classes 1960-62, Pribilof Islands, Alaska



| Year class | Females killed 16-27 August ( n ) | Tagged <br> ( t ) | Tag recoveries ${ }^{1}$ 16-27 August <br> (s) | Population estimate (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 1960. | 1,089 | 59,981 | 189 | 344, 107 |
| 1961. | 2,556 | 49,921 | 241 | 527,482 |
| 1962. | 1,336 | 49,908 | 197 | 337,012 |

[^3]Table 15.--Estimates of the yearling male population based on tags recovered from seals selected and tagged as yearlings, year classes 1961-62, Pribilof Islands, Alaska
[ $n=$ males killed each year from the year class; $s=t a g$ recoveries in each year; $t=$ tags applied to yearling males of each year class; $N=$ estimate of yearling males in each year class]

| Year | Age | Males <br> killed <br> ( n ) | Tag recoveries <br> (s) | Yearling males tagged ( t ) | Estimate of yearling males (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 year class, $N$-series tags: | Years | Number | Number | $\frac{\text { Nunber }}{603}$ | Number |
| 1963.......................... | 2 | 2,019 | 44 |  | 27,113 |
| 1964............... . . . . . . . . . . . | 3 | 28,827 | 227 |  | 76,369 |
| 1965. | 4 | 14,638 | 81 |  | $107,829$ |
| Pooled. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 45,484 | 352 |  | 77,827 |
| 1962 year class, 0-series tag: |  |  |  | 520 |  |
| 1964.......................... | 2 | 2,726 | 42 |  | 33,041 |
| 1965. | 3 | 22,745 | 138 |  | $85,257$ |
| Pooled. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 25,472 | 180 |  | 73,323 |

Table 16.--Estimates of the pup population based on marked-to-unmarked ratios obtained by sampling groups of 25 after shearing, year class 1965, St. Paul Island

| Rookery | Pups sheared | First sampling period, 3-4 August |  |  | Second sampling period, 12-13 August |  |  | Mean or two estimates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Samples | Sheared to total counted | Estimated <br> pup population at time of shearing | Samples | Sheared to total counted | Estimated <br> pup population at time of shearing |  |
|  | Number | Number | Ratio | Number | Number | Ratio | Number | Number |
| Gorbatch | 2,060 | 76 | 245/1900 | 15,976 | 50 | 144/1250 | 17,882 | 16,929 |
| Reef. | 3,559 | 128 | 397/3200 | 28,687 | 105 | 318/2625 | 29,378 | 29,032 |
| Ardiguen. | 316 | 10 | 40/250 | 1,975 | 9 | 21/225 | 3,386 | 2,680 |
| Polovina. | 660 | 31 | 73/775 | 7,007 | 13 | 60/325 | 3,575 | 5,291 |
| Polovina Cliffs.. | 1,963 | 72 | 187/1800 | 18,895 | 56 | 151/1400 | 18,200 | 18,548 |
| Little Polovina.. | 714 | 25 | $71 / 625$ | 6,285 | 15 | 45/375 | 5,950 | 6,118 |
| Vostochni | 4,311 | 157 | 517/3925 | 32,729 | 100 | 302/2500 | 35,687 | 34, 208 |
| Morjovi | 2,217 | 52 | 185/1300 | 15,579 | 40 | 145/1000 | 15,290 | 15,434 |
| Tolstoi | 2,705 | 98 | 266/2450 | 24,914 | 106 | 283/2650 | 25,330 | 25,122 |
| Lukanin | 612 | 21 | 69/525 | 4,657 | 12 | 31/300 | 5,923 | 5,290 |
| Kitovi. | 1,461 | 54 | 171/1350 | 11, 534 | 34 | 111/850 | 11,188 | 11, 361 |
| Zapadni | 2,982 | 130 | 439/3250 | 22,076 | 105 | 279/2625 | 28,056 | 25,066 |
| Iittle Zapadni... | 1,647 | 82 | 261/2050 | 12,936 | 75 | 197/1875 | 15,676 | 14, 306 |
| Zapadni Reef..... | 661 | 18 | 57/450 | 5,218 | 17 | 53/425 | 5,300 | 5,259 |
| Total. | 25,868 | 954 | -------- | 208,468 | 737 | ------ | 220,821 | 214,644 |

Table 17.--Estimates of the pup population based on marked-to-unmarked ratios obtained by sampling groups of 100 arter shearing, year class 1965, St. Paul Island
$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|c}\hline \text { Rookery } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pups } \\ \text { sheared }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sanples } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { pups }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sheared } \\ \text { to total } \\ \text { pups }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated pup } \\ \text { population } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { time of }\end{array} \\ \text { shearing }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{1}$ Estimate for Morjovi, excluding south point of rookery is 17,034.

Table 18.--A comparison of counts and estimates of the pup population on four rookeries, year class 1965, St. Paul Island

| Rookery | Total count | Pups estimated from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | First sample ${ }^{1}$ |  | Second sample ${ }^{2}$ |  | Third sample ${ }^{3}$ |  | Mean of <br> three samples |  |
|  |  | Number | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{\frac{\text { of }}{\text { count }}}$ | Number | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{\frac{o f}{\text { count }}}$ | Number | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{\text { of }}$ | Number | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{\frac{\text { of }}{\text { count }}}$ |
| Kitovi Amphitheatre... | 7, 248 | 1,403 | 112.4 | 911 | 73.0 | 1, 360 | 109.0 | 1,225 | 98.2 |
| Little Polovina....... | 7,314 | 6,285 | 85.9 | 5,950 | 81.4 | 8,768 | 119.9 | 7,001 | 95.7 |
| Morjovi4 | 18,384 | 14,714 | 80.0 | 14,434 | 78.5 | 17,034 | 92.7 | 15,874 | 86.3 |
| Zapadni Reef........... | 5,383 | 5,218 | 96.9 | 5,300 | 98.4 | 5,748 | 106.8 | 5,422 | 100.7 |
| Total................ | 32,329 | 27,620 | 85.4 | 26,595 | 82.3 | 32,910 | 101.8 | 29,522 | 91.3 |

[^4]Table 19.--Estimates of the pup population based on marked-to-unmarked ratios obtained by sampling live pups after shearing, year class 1965, St. Paul Island

| Rookery | Pups estimated from: |  |  | Mean of three samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { First } \\ \text { sample } \end{gathered}$ | Second sample ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Third } \\ & \text { sample }{ }^{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| Gorbatch. | 15,976 | 17,882 | 19,368 | 17,742 |
| Reef. | 28,687 | 29,378 | 37,348 | 31,804 |
| Ardiguen. | 1,975 | 3,386 | 1,505 | 2,289 |
| Polovina. | 7,007 | 3,575 | 4,659 | 5,080 |
| Polovina Cliffs. | 18,895 | 18,200 | 17,449 | 18,181 |
| Little Polovina. | 6,285 | 5,950 | 8,768 | 7,001 |
| Vostochni. | 32,729 | 35,687 | 38,606 | 35,674 |
| Morjovi. | 15,579 | 15,290 | 17,907 | 16,258 |
| Tolstoi. | 24,914 | 25,330 | 30,266 | 26,837 |
| Lukanin. | 4,657 | 5,923 | 5,100 | 5,227 |
| Kitovi. | 11,534 | 11,188 | 12,987 | 11,903 |
| Zapadni. | 22,076 | 28,056 | 31,013 | 27,048 |
| Little Zapadni | 12,936 | 15,676 | 17,236 | 15,283 |
| Zapadni Reef.... | 5,218 | 5,300 | 5,748 | 5,422 |
| Total. | 208,468 | 220,821 | 247,960 | 225,749 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on samples of 25 pups, 3-4 August.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Based on samples of 25 pups, 12-13 August.
${ }^{3}$ Based on samples of 100 pups, 2-11 August.

St. Paul Island at the time of shearing in 1965. The uncorrected estimates for year classes 1961-65 for St. Paul Island are given in table 20.

An estimate of the total number of pups born on both Islands may be obtained by adding 247,500 to 30,000 (estimated mortality prior to the time of shearing), and extending the result $(277,500)$ by dividing by 0.8 , the proportion of Pribilof Islands harem bulls counted on St. Paul Island. This calculation gives 347,000 as the total number of pups born on both Islands in 1965.

Discussion of population estimates.-- The number of pups born, as estimated from tag recoveries, has been decreasing steadily since 1959. Estimates based on tag recoveries, however, are about 150,000 higher than the estimates based on marking and sampling of live pups in the year of birth. Thevariability in the estimates based on tag recoveries by 5-day periods was not excessivefor 3-year-old males but was considerable for 4 -year-old males. In
recent years estimates based on tag recoveries have shown less year-to-year variability than in the mid-1950's. Apparently, the factors that caused the highly variable and inflated estimates of the middle-1950's (Roppel, Johnson, and Chapman, 1965) are being eliminated.

There is some year-to-year variability in the number of pups born, as estimated from marking and sampling of live pups in the year of birth, but little variability within a year. The accuracy of the estimates, as checked by comparison with total counts, is satisfactory. Generally, the estimates are probably slightly low even though corrected for the difference between counts and estimates. This bias comes from the disproportionately large representation of small areas where total counts of live pups are made. The total estimate of 347,000 born in 1965 may, therefore, be slightly low.

The estimated number of yearling males for the 1961 and 1962 year classes are of the same general magnitude and fit reasonably well with other data.

Table 20.--Estimates ${ }^{2}$ of the pup population at the time ${ }^{2}$ of shearing or tagging, from marked-tounmarked ratios, year classes 1961-65, St. Paul Island

| Rookery | Year classes |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1961{ }^{3}$ | $1962^{3}$ | 19634 | $1964{ }^{4}$ | $1965{ }^{4}$ |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| Reef, Gorbatch, Ardiguen | 85,700 | 52,800 | 55,600 | 65,100 | 51,800 |
| Polovina, Polovina Cliffs | 21,600 | 22,900 | 23,800 | 27,400 | 23,300 |
| Little Polovina. | 7,500 | 7,200 | 6,500 | 8,400 | 7,000 |
| Vostochni, Morjovi | 47,400 | 36,700 | 52,000 | 59,800 | 51,900 |
| Tolstoi. | 34,800 | 19,300 | 23,600 | 25,400 | 26,800 |
| Little Zapadni. | 18,900 | 19,200 | 20,000 | 17,100 | 15,300 |
| Zapadni, Zapadni Reef | 38,000 | 33,600 | 32,400 | 39,900 | 32,500 |
| Iukanin, Kitovi.. | 22,100 | 11,900 | 16,000 | 19,200 | 17,100 |
| Total. | 276,000 | 203,600 | 229,900 | 262,300 | 225,700 |

2 The estimates for some rookeries have been combined so that the estimates for each year are comparable.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates do not include pups that died before shearing or tagging.
3 Pups marked by tagging.
4 Pups marked by shearing.

## REPRODUCTION

The testes of 250 seals were collected on St. Paul Island in June and July incidental to a study of the age composition and territorial behavior of harem bulls. Tissue sections have been cut and stained with periodic acid Schiff's reagent. Variation in diameter of seminiferous tubules and in abundance of sperm are being studied. No investigation of spermatogenesis in the fur seal has been made in the Bureau's Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, though Kenyon, Scheffer, and Chapman (1954)reported on the age of males at sexual maturity and the potency rate among adults. Their information was obtained from collaborators.

The genital tracts from 65 3-year-old and 51 4 -year-old females taken in the kill 23-27 August on St. Paul Island were collected and preserved for later study. None of the 3-yearold females had given birth; one 4-year-old was primiparous and recently post partum. This sample is not necessarily representative of all 3 - and 4-year-old females.

Thirty-one females were marked prior to, or just after, copulation or parturition and were killed at various dates thereafter. Physiological changes in their ovaries will be studied as an aid in interpreting the reproductive condition of females sampled from the kill.

## OTHER STUDIES

This section contains brief descriptions of experimental procedures or special studies not discussed in the foregoing sections. Subjects discussed include experimental skins, radionuclides in seal teeth, seal behavior, and rookery charts.

## Experimental Skins

Skins of 517 females and 361 males were collected on St. Paul Island to complete a collection begun in 1963 for a study of the relation of sex and age to the commercial value of sealskins. Skins collected for experimental use since 1958 are listed in table 21 . In addition, 25 skins from males and 25 from females were collected for a study of sealskin quality being conducted by the North Pacific Fur Seal Commission, and 8 skins from males and 7 from females were sent to Japan.

In conference with a fur processor, a procedure was developed for maintaining the identity of experimental skins throughout the involved steps of factory processing. By this method, the numbered plastic tube tag originally fastened to each fresh skin on the killing field is replaced at the factory by a perforated symbol applied to the cheek of the salted skin. Through

Table 21.--Sealskins collected for experimental use, St. Paul Island, 1958 and 1961-65

| Year | Nales |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ages | Number | Ages | Number |
|  | Years |  | Years |  |
| 1958. | ------ | -------- | 2-10 | 248 |
| 1961. | ------ |  | 2-5 | 117 |
| 1962. | 2-4 | 9 | 2-15 | 171 |
| 1963. | 3-6 | 142 | 3-6 | 120 |
| 1964. | 2-7 | 641 | 2-6 | 436 |
| 1965. | $4-8$ | 361 | 2-24 | 517 |

1965, the tube tags were fastened with monel wire. The monel wire, however, reacted with processing chemicals to produce a localized greenish stain on the skin and make the guard hair difficult to remove. A nylon line will be used for attaching tags in the future.

Skin samples from the midback of 48 females were collected on St. Paul Island 9 November 1964 to augment a study of 17 samples described by Scheffer and Johnson (1963). As expected, molt was most advanced in the younger animals. Molt was ending or had ended in 10 seals age 3 and 4 and was in various stages near midmolt in 15 seals, age 10 and older.

## Radionuclides in Seal Teeth

In 1964, evidence of a radioactive substance (strontium 90?) was found in the 1962-63layer of root substance of two fur seal teeth (Roppel, Johnson, Anas, and Chapman, 1965). The right upper canine teeth from 24 seals born in 1957 but killed in different years ( 2 males and 2 females at each of ages 1-6) were sectioned in 1965 and placed on Kodak ${ }^{2}$ Nuclear Track Plates, Type NTB2, 25 Microns, for 133 days. All specimens had been taken at sea between California and Alaska. The results werenegative; autoradiographs did not appear on the developed plates.

## Seal Behavior

Richard S. Peterson studied the behavior of fur seals on St. Paul Island, mainly from an observation hut on Kitovi Rookery, during the summers of 1961-63. His findings were reported in a doctoralthesis (Peterson, 1965), one page of which is reproduced as figure 14 of this report.

## Rookery Charts

Photocopies of 13 charts of the Pribilof rookeries as they appeared in the summer of

[^5]

Figure 14.--Counts of territorial bulls, nursing females, pups, and nonbreeders, average of 3 years, Kitovl Rookery study area, St. Paul island, 1961-63 (after Peterson, 1965).

1897 were obtained from the National Archives. The charts, which were based on a survey by the Will Ward Duffield party, were published in May-June 1898 as Coast and Geodetic Survey Nos. 3215 to 3228 (except No. 3224, which is a chart of St. George Island). Upon the photocopies will be entered the location of tripods, catwalks, numbered rocks, camera stations, and study plots (such as the plots for counting dead pups).

We plan to photograph the Pribilof rookeries from the air in mid-July 1967. The rookeries were last photographed from the air in 1958.

## SUMMARY

## Males

1. Of 41,268 male seals killed on the Pribilof Islands in 1965, 40,367 were taken during the kill of males from 7 July to 9 August and 901 were taken during the kill of females 16-27 August. St. Paul Island supplied 34,112 seals and St. George Island, 7,156. Age classification of 33,632 males killed on St. Paul lsland was: age 2, 4 percent; age 3, 56 percent; age 4, 36 percent; and age 5,4 percent. Age classification of 6,735 males killed on St. George Island was: age 2, 2 percent; age 3, 56 percent; age 4,38 percent; age 5,3 percent; and age 6; 1 percent. Age was not determined for 901 males killed on the Pribilof Islands. Most of the male seals killed were from 42 inches long (tip of nose to tip of tail) up to, but not including, those having a mane. The peak of the kill occurred 27-31 July when 6,032 males were taken on St. Paul Island. The minimum length was removed 22-26 July so that all available 2 -year-old males could be killed. The abundance of 2 -year-old males on land in late July may be related to return of the year class the following year at age 3. A total of 854 males older than those normally taken were killed for testing the commercial value of their skins.
2. The predicted kill of males on St. Paul Island in 1965 included 33,000 of age 3 and

16,000 of age 4; actual kills to 9 August were 19,009 and 12,046 , respectively. The predicted kill of males on St. Paul Island in 1966 includes 3,000 of ages 2 and 5, 26,000 of age 3, and 14,000 of age 4 .
3. Harem and idle bulls counted 10-18 July were 8,553 and 5,616 on St. Paul Island, and 1,917 and 1,113 on St. George Island.

## Females

1. A total of 10,432 females weretakenfrom the hauling grounds of the Pribilof Islands in 1965--3,868 during the kill of males 7 July to 9 August and 6,564 during the kill of females 16-27 August. St. Paul Island contributed 7,530, and St. George lsland, 2,902. Selective killing for young females on St. Paul Island 23-27 August produced 3,435 ( 88 percent) in ages 2-5 and 456 age 6 and older. Nonselective killing for young females on St. George Island 16-27 August produced 1,708 ( 64 percent) in ages 2-5 and 965 age 6 and older.
2. Of 65 3-year-old females examined, none had given birth; 1 of 514 -year-old females was primiparous and recently post partum.

## Tag Recoveries and Tagging

1. The kill in 1965 included 3,199 seals tagged as pups and 1,748 with checkmarks only. In addition, 36 Soviet-tagged seals were taken, and 238 seals selected and tagged as yearlings in previous years were recovered.
2. Ten thousand pups were tagged on the left front flipper with an R-series tag, and a $V$-notch checkmark was cut into the leading edge of the same flipper near the tip. In addition, 10,080 pups were marked only by removing the tip of the first digit (big toe) of the right hind flipper, and 10,007 were marked only by cutting a V -notch into the leading edge of the right front flipper near the tip.
3. A total of 922 seals selected as yearlings on the basis of body length were doubletagged, one tag (lR-series) to each front flipper; 69 yearlings tagged as pups in 1964 were recaptured in 1965 and given an additional tag.

## Pup Mortality

Counts of dead pups in late August were 41,080 on St. Paul Island and 5,228 on St. George Island.

## Pup W'eights

Weights of 1,193 pups showed that untagged and unmarked males and females weighed 1.14 and 1.04 kg . more than tagged and marked males and females in 1965. Six hundred pups that were marked only weighed less than untagged and unmarked pups but more than tagged and marked pups.

## Population Estimates

1. On the basis of tag recoveries from males and the number of dead pups counted on the rookeries, 560,000 pups were born on the Pribilof Islands in 1961 and 500,000 in 1962.
2. Including estimated mortality before shearing, marked-to-unmarked ratios obtained from shearing and sampling pups yielded an estimate of 347,000 pups born on the Pribilof Islands in 1965.
3. Estimates of the pup population for different year classes, based on tag recoveries from females, were: year class 1960--344, 107; 1961--527, 482; and 1962--337,012.
4. On the basis of recoveries of seals tagged as yearlings, yearling males were estimated at 78,000 in 1961 and 85,000 in 1962 .
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## GLOSSARY

The following terms used infursealresearch and management on the Pribilof Islands have special meanings or are not readily found in standard dictionaries.
Age Class. Age Group. Seals of the sameage (usually used when referring to seals older than pups). See year class.
At Time of Tagging (or Sampling) Phrases used to qualify estimates of the population based on (1) tag returns at various ages or (2) sampling for a marked-to-unmarked ratio in the summer of birth. In either situation, an estimate of the total number of pups born in a given year would include pups alive "at time of tagging" (or "sampling'') plus the number that died before tagging or sampling.
Checkmark A notch, slit, hole, or other mark made on a seal flipper when a tag is applied, to ensure later recognition of an animal that has lost its tag. See marked, lost tag, and tag-scar.
Clinch or Clinching The device or action by which metal tags applied to seal flippers are fastened. A metal point is inserted through an opening in the opposite end of the metal strip, then bent over a narrow band to form a closed ring (or tag).
Drive The act of surrounding and moving groups of seals on land from one location to another.
Escapement Seals that were notkilled because they were either too old, too large, or were not available.
Female Kill That part of the annual harvest devoted principally to the kill of female seals, usually in August. See male kill.
General Breeding Season Organized breeding beginning about mid-June and ending in early August. Identifiable by the formation of harems. A limited amount of breeding also occurs after the breakup of the harem structure.
Hauling Ground An area, usually near a rookery, on which nonbreeding seals congregate. See rookery.
Haul Out The act of seals moving from the sea to a rookery or hauling ground on shore.
Homing Tendency The inclination of seals to return to the rookery where they were born--that is, home rookery or rookery of birth. Homing tendency is expressed as a percentage by comparing the number of tagged seals in a specific group that were found on their natal rookery with the number that were found on some other rookery or island.
Known-age Applied to seals for which age is definitely known because they bear an inscribed tag or have a certain combination of tag-scar and checkmark.

Lost-tag A term applied to a seal that is known to have been tagged because of a checkmark and, in some, a healed tag scar. See tag-scar.
Lost-tag-to-tag Ratio The number of seals that have lost tags as compared with the number retaining tags. Usually expressed as a decimal fraction.
Male Kill That part of the annual harvest devoted principally to the kill of male seals, usually in late June, in July, and in early August. See female kill.
Mane A secondary sex characteristic composed of long, silver-colored guard hairs on the shoulders and on back of the neck. The mane appears on some males at age 5, on most at age 6 , and on all at age 7 and older.
Marked Seals that have been tagged or sheared so that they can be identified. Removal of a hind flipper digit, cutting a V-notch in the leading edge of a front flipper near the tip, or slicing off the tip of a front flipper are also examples of marking. These marks, when applied to seals in conjunction with tags, are considered checkmarks. When applied alone, they are considered as marks only. See checkmark, lost-tag, and tag-scar.
Marked-to-unmarked Ratio The number of marked seals compared with the number of unmarked seals, usually expressed as a decimal fraction. Example, 5:20, ratio 0.25 .

Mixed Areas Areas behind some of the rookeries on which few seals appear until August, or after the general breeding season. Seals using these areas at that time may be a mixture of animals from traditional hauling grounds and from the rookery.
Mortality Percentage of a year class dying during a specific period.
Pregnancy Rate Percentage of females that were carrying or had borne pups in the year of examination. For example, the pregnancy rate of 5-year-old females was 40 percent.
Return The return or survival of seals from a year class. For example, 18,642 3-yearold seals from the 1960 year class returned in 1963.
Rookery An area on which breeding seals congregate.
Round The sequence in which hauling grounds on St. Paul Island are visited to collect seals for harvest. Current practice is to make a complete circuit or round of the hauling grounds in 5 days and to repeat the procedure throughout the kill of males. The round system is not followed during the kill of females on St. Paul Island, nor during the kill of males and females on St. George Island.

Round-up The act of surrounding and collecting seals to be driven for harvest, tagging, or other purposes.
Tagged Describes a seal having an inscribed metal tag or tags attached to one or more of its flippers.
Tag Recoveries Includes tags recovered and seals identified from checkmarks or tag scars as having lost their tags. See checkmark, marked, lost-tag, and tagscar.

Tar-scar A hole or torn area near the usual tag site on a seal's flipper. Tags fall out because of poor clinching or wear and are forcibly torn out by catching in rock crevices or driftwood. Possibly some are torn out by the tagged seal.
Tagged-to-Untagged Ratio See marked-tounmarked ratio.
Year Class Group of seals born in the same year. See age class.
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## Prediction of 4-Year-Old Male Kill

Regression of kill of 4-year males on kill of 3 -year males and the mean date of the kill at age 3.--Predictions of the kill at age 4 have been relatively easy and more accurate than predictions of the kill at age 3. This difference is to be expected, because the return at age 3 gives much information on the strength of the year class. Initially an estimate was made of the escapement of 3 -year-old males from the kill which, in turn, provided an estimate of the return at age 4. Subsequently, I found that this estimate of the escapement was in fact a considerable underestimate. It seemed more reasonable, therefore, to estimate the returns at age 4 directly rather than throughafictitious escapement estimate. This approach was based on a regression where the independent (i.e., predictive) variables were (a) the number of 3 -year-old males killed in July, and (b) the timing of the return as measured by the mean date of the kill of 3 -year-olds in July. A sufficiently long series of comparable data is available only for the month of July, although substantial numbers of male seals were also killed in August in recent years. Adjustments have been made for extensions of the kill into August.

The regression for the returns of year classes 1952-61 1s:

$$
Y=-15.0+0.62 \mathrm{X}_{1}+5.07 \mathrm{X}_{2}
$$

where
$Y=$ kill of 4 -year-old males to 31 July plus 80 percent of the
kill of 3-year-old males after 31 July of the previous year
$X_{1}=k i l l$ of 3 -year-old males to 31 July
$X_{2}$ = mean date of the kill of 3 -year-old males in July, as measured from 15 July
The coefficient of multiple correlation is 0.90 ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.81$ ).

In recent years, not only has the kill been extended into August but the first day of the kill has also been advanced. Comparable data have been obtained only by adjusting to the basis of a 27 June starting date (the starting date during the middle 1950's). When the starting date was 2 July, it was necessary to prorate a portion of the 2-6 July kill that might have been taken 27 June to 1 July, if the kill had started at the earlier date.
ln 1965, the kill began on 7 July, so that a further backward extrapolation became necessary to estimate $X_{2}$. It is not surprising, therefore, that the resulting estimate is not reasonable. For $1965, \mathrm{X}_{1}=12, \mathrm{X}_{2}=6$, and $\hat{\mathrm{Y}}$ is 30,000. The $X_{2}$ value of 6 is higher than any that occurred in the period from which the regression is computed.

Regression of percentage of the kill from a year class at ages 3 and 4 taken at age 3 on (a) date of termination, and (b) median date of the kill at age 3.-- The data for this regression are given in appendix table l.

An average of 67.4 percent (standard deviation 10.17 ) of the kill of males from a year class at ages 3 and 4 occurs at age 3. The 95 -percent confidence interval for any individual observed percentage is:

$$
67.4 \pm 2.16\left(1+\frac{1}{15}\right)^{1 / 2}(10.17)
$$

i.e., (44.7, 90.1).

At the lower confidencelimit, the percentage of 44.7 means that the upper bound of the kill at ages 3 and 4 from the 1962 year class is $19,000 \div 0.447$, or 43,000 . This figure leaves 26,000 for the kill of 4 -year-olds in 1966 , which, although below the estimate from the regression based on the mean date, is still a high estimate.

The above estimate of 26,000 can be improved by calculating the regression of $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ (percentage of kill at ages 3 and 4 taken at age 3) on the termination date ( $t$ ) and either the mediandate ( $m$ ) or the last round percentage (l). Either of the variables, median date or percentage in the last 5 days of July, measures to some degree the lateness of the returns. Perhaps the median date yields a better estimator than does the percentage in the last 5 days. The respective equations are:

$$
P_{3}=74.7+0.39 t-0.401 \quad R=0.50
$$

and

$$
P_{3}=62.4+1.95 t-2.21 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{R}=0.64
$$

where
$P_{3}=$ percentage of the kill at ages 3 and 4 from a year class taken at age 3
$\mathrm{t}=$ termination date (in days after 31 July)
) = percentage of the 3-year-old males killed in last 5 days in July
$m=$ median date of the kill of 3-year-old males

Appendix table l.--Data for the regression of percentage of the kiil from a year class at ages 3 and 4 taken at age 3 on date of termination and median date of the kill at age 3, year classes 1947-61, St. Paul Island

| Year <br> class | Proportion of kill from a year class at ages 3 and 4 taken at age 3 | ```Termination date of kill of 3-year-old males``` | Median date of kill of 3-year-old males | Proportion of kill at age 3 taken in last 5 days of July |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent |  |  | Percent |
| 1947.... | 56 | 27 July | 13 July | 20 |
| 1948..... | 56 | 29 July | 18 July | 29 |
| 1949..... | 71 | 27 July | 15 July | 24 |
| 1950.... | 73 | 29 July | 18 July | 31 |
| 1951..... | 64 | 27 July | 17 July | 32 |
| 1952.... | 49 | 31 July | 21 July | 28 |
| 1953.... | 81 | 15 Aug. | 24 July | 15 |
| 1954..... | 81 | 10 Aug. | 24 July | 15 |
| 1955. | 75 | 31 July | 17 July | 16 |
| 1956.... | 79 | 31 July | 18 July | 18 |
| 1957.... | 61 | 7 Alug. | 27 July | 18 |
| 1958..... | 77 | 15 Alng. | 27 July | 14 |
| 1959..... | 65 | 5 Aug. | 22 July | 11 |
| 1960.... | 58 | 5 Alug. | 23 July | 19 |
| 1961..... | 65 | 5 Aug. | 23 July | 20 |

For the 1962 year class the median date of the kill of 3 -year-old males is 27 July and the terminal date is 9 August. Thus, for the 1962 year class $\mathrm{p}_{3}$ is estimated as 65.1 (in the actual equation the median date was estimated by interpolation to tenths of a day; for the 1962 year class, $m=6.7$ ). The kill at ages 3 and 4 from the 1962 year class is estimated as 29,200 , i.e., $19 \div 0.651$; hence the estimate of the kill of 4 -year-old males is 10,200 . The standard error of the estimated $P_{3}$ for the 1962 year class is 9.6 . This value is equivalent to an error in the forecast total of about 4,500 .

Regression of returns on air temperature.-Appendix table 2 shows the data for this regression, i.e., the mean temperature at St. Paul Island for the 12 months ending 30 June of the year of birth, and the total kill from the year class at ages 3 and 4 . Use of the total kill at ages 3 and 4 represents a modification of the dependent variable previously used. Previously, the dependent variable was the kill of a year class prior to 31 July at ages 3 and 4 plus 80 percent of the kill of 3 -yearolds in August. This "adjusted" kill was used to obtain comparable data from early years when the kill ended prior to 31 July and from recent years when the kill usually has been extended into August.

The adjustment, however, made it difficult to evaluate the error of this forecast. Moreover, the analysis given in the previous section shows that the terminal date plays a small role in the percentage taken at age 3 and presumably

Appendix table 2.--The kill of 3- and 4-yearold males and mean air temperature, year classes 1950-61, St. Paul Island

| Year <br> class | Temperature <br> (degrees of <br> Fahrenheit <br> in tenths of <br> a degree above 32) | Kill at ages <br> 3 and |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $Y$ | Y |  |

a still smaller role in the total kill from a year class.

The regression equation is:

$$
\mathrm{Y}=16.6+1.05 \mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{r}=0.81
$$

For the 1962 year class $T=21$ and hence:

$$
\hat{Y}=38.7
$$

Since the kill of 3 -year-old males in 1965 was 19,000, a balance of 19,700 for the kill of 4 -year-old males in 1966 is implied.

By this method, the standard error of the predicted Y (kill of 3 - and 4-year-old males) is 9,600 . This is also the standarderror of the forecast of the kill of 4-year-olds in 1966.

Estimated return based on estimates of yearling population.--As shown elsewhere in this report, the estimated mumber of yearling males in 1962 (from the 1961 year class), based on 352 recoveries to date, is 77,827 , whereas the estimated number of yearling males in 1963 (from the 1962 year class) is 73,320 ( 180 recoveries). If possible bias in the latter estimate based on recoveries through age 3 is disregarded, and if mortality varies most during the first year of life, the returns from the 1962 year class should be $73,320 \div 77,827$, or 94 percent of those from the 1961 year class. At the end of the kill in 1965 , the St. Paul Island returns from the 1961 and 1962 year classes to date were:

| Kill at | Yearclass |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| age | 1961 | 1962 |
|  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| 3 | 12.5 | 19.0 |
| 4 | 35.6 | - |
| Total |  | 21.5 |

Ninety-four percent of 35,600 is 33,500 , and, of this return, the number remaining is 12,000 . The standard error of this estimate, which is difficult to measure, depends on the variability in survival after age 1 , on which we have no information, together with the variability in the estimates of the yearling groups. The minimum error in the difference between the two groups is given by the formula:
S. E. (Difference) $=\sqrt{\frac{N_{1}^{2}}{s_{1}}+\frac{N_{2}^{2}}{s_{2}}}$
when $\mathrm{N}_{1}$, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are the respective population estimates and $s_{l}, s_{2}$ are the tag recoveries on which they are based. Hence, S.E. $=6,800$.

The effect of this S.E. on the forecast of the kill of 4 -year-old males in 1966 can be evaluated in the following way. The S.E. of 6,800 represents about 9 percent of the estimate of the yearling populations. A 9 percent error in the returns of the 1961 year class is 3,200 and this, then, is the lower limit of the S.E. of the forecast of the kill of 4-year-old males in 1966. In addition to ignoring variations in mortality after age 1 , this standard error ignores any variability incurred because of errors in determining the ages of seals selected for tagging as yearlings or any
possible clustering effects. To allow very roughly for these effects, a standard error of 4,000 is estimated.

Weighted Estimate on the Kill of 4-year-old Males.--From the foregoing methods, the three estimates regarded as valid and their standard errors are:
(1) From regression of percentage of kill at age 3 on termination date and median date:

$$
\text { Estimate } 10,200 \quad \text { S.E. } 4,500
$$

(2) From temperature-return equation:

$$
\text { Estimate } 19,700 \quad \text { S.E. } 9,600
$$

(3) From estimates of yearlings:

$$
\text { Estimate } 12,400 \quad \text { S.E. } 4,000
$$

The weighted mean estimate is 12,300 . The unweighted mean estimate is 14,100 . In view of the uncertainty of the S.E. of the third estimate, and the fact that the estimate of yearlings of the 1962 year class may be somewhat low, it is perhaps best to use the unweighted mean estimate, 14,100.

## Prediction of Kill of 3-Year-Old Males

Correlation of kill of 3 -year-old males with return of 2 -year-olds.--The prediction of this component of the kill is more difficult. As shown in appendix table 2 , the kill from a year class at age 3 represents 67 percent of the kill at ages 3 and 4 and is by far the largest component. The number of 2 -year-old males killed is extremely variable because most of them are below the acceptable length limits and because the timing of their return apparently varies. If substantial numbers of 2 -year-olds return in early August, they will appear in the kill, but if their return is delayed by a few days the number of 2 -year-olds killed is much smaller. For these and perhaps other reasons, as noted by Chapman (Roppel, Johnson, Anas, and Chapman, 1965), the correlation of the kill of 3-year-old males with the best present index of 2-year-old returns (kill in a late round) is extremely small ( $r^{2}=0.20$ ). Such a regression essentially yields the longterm mean as the predicted value and, hence, particularly fails as a forecasting tool. A prediction is of value primarily if it can identify those years when deviations much above or much below the mean will occur.

The suggestion has been made that the proportions of tagged males in the kill have been directly related to the survival of the year class; this idea in turn has given rise to the thought that the proportion of tags among

2-year-olds might serve as a guide to yearclass strength. The correlation is only 0.06 , however; thus, this variable obviously has no value for forecasting.

Regression of Return on Temperature.-- This estimated regression was calculated above as:

$$
Y=16.6+1.05 \mathrm{~T}
$$

where
$Y=$ the kill of males at ages 3 and 4
$\mathrm{T}=$ mean temperature
For the 1963 year class $T=28(2.80$ above 320$)$, to give $Y=46,000$, the kill at ages 3 and 4. At the present time, the best estimate of the number that might be taken at age 3 is $(0.67)(46,000)=31,000$.

The standard error of the forecast $Y$ (kill at ages 3 and 4) is 10,000 . In addition, the percentage taken at age 3 varies (standard error of the percentage is 10.17). Because of this variability, a standard deviation of 8,500 in the forecast of the kill of 3 -year-old males in 1966 is calculated. The count of dead pups was also tried as a variable in this regression. Chapman (Roppel, Johnson, and Chapman, 1965) found, however, that the count of dead pups yields essentially the same information as the temperature variable and thus does not provide an additional basis for forecasting. Data for 2 additional years do not change this conclusion.

Regression of Return on Pup Weights in Autumn.--Pups were weighed in autumn to measure the relation of weight to survival. The data for this regression are shown in appendix table 3.

The regression equation is:

$$
K=9.7 w-66.65 \quad(r=0.81)
$$

where
$K=$ kill in thousands at age 3
$w=$ mean weight of untagged males
The rather high correlation is due primarily to one point, that of the 1958 year class. The forecast for the 1963 year class is 19,700 $(w=8.9)$. The standard error is 8,700. In weighting this estimate with the estimate from the temperature-return regression, however,

I have to allow for the fact that this regression is based on a much shorter series (4 degrees of freedom compared with 10). When this adjustment is made, the weights of the two estimates are 0.60 (temperature) and 0.40 (mean pup weights). The weighted average of the two forecasts is 26,400 .

## Prediction of Total Kill

As in previous years, the estimates for St. Paul Island are extrapolated to St. George Island by the long-term proportion of 0.20 for the latter. In 1963 and 1964 , the kill of males on St. George Island was in excess of 20 percent of the kill on both islands, but in 1965 it fell to 17.3. The cause of variations between the two islands is difficult to determine. The predicted kill of male seals on the Pribilof Islands in 1966 is given in appendix table 4.

The forecasted and actual kills of males are compared in appendix table 5 for 1961-65.

Appendix table 3.--Mean weight of untagged male pups and kill of 3 -year-old males from the year class, St. Paul Island, 1957-62

| Year <br> class | Mean weight | Kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kg. | Number |
| 1957. | 8.7 | 24,000 |
| 1958..... | 11.4 | 48,000 |
| 1959. | 9.4 | 26,000 |
| 1960. | 9.8 | 14,000 |
| 1961..... | 8.5 | 22,000 |
| 1962. | 9.2 | 19,000 |

Appendix table 4.--Predictions of the kill of males in 1966, by age, Pribilof Islands, Alaska

| Island | Age in years |  |  | Predicted kill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 and 5 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| St. Paul... | 3,000 | 26,000 | 14,000 | 43,000 |
| St. George. | 1,000 | 7,000 | 3,000 | 11,000 |
| Total.... | 4,000 | 33,000 | 17,000 | 54,000 |

Appendix table 5.--Comparison of forecasted and actual kills of males, 1961-65

| Ages | Forecasted kill | Actual kill to end of regular season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Number | Number |
|  | $\frac{1965}{4,000}$ (Pribilof Islands) |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3. | 33,000 | 22,767 |
| 4................................. | 16,000 | 14,641 |
| Total........................... | 53,000 | 40,259 |
|  | 1964 (Pribilof Islands) |  |
| $2+5 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  |
| 3............................... | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000 \\ & 16,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,827 \\ & 13,073 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4................................. | 16,200 | 13,073 |
| Total........................... | 50,000 | 46,878 |
|  | 1963 (Pribilof Islands) |  |
| $2+5 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 3,800 | 3,217 |
| 3. | 50,000 | 17,986 |
| 4................................ | 18,800 | 18,394 |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 72,600 | 39,597 |
|  | 1962 (St. Paul Island only) |  |
| $2+5 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 3,000 | 1,463 |
| 3. | 36,000 | 25,098 |
| 4. | 11,000 | 13,422 |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 50,000 | 39,983 |
|  | 1961 (St. Paul Island only) |  |
| 3. | 20,000 | 29,523 |
| 4................................. | 20,000 | 12,488 |
| Total... | 40,000 | 42,011 |

Appendix table 0.--Age classification of male seals killed on St. Paul Island, 7 July to 9 August 1965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{1}$ | Number |  | Percent in each age class of sample |  |  |  |  | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Males } \\ & \text { kills } \end{aligned}$ | Tooth sample | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\epsilon$ |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | NEP. . . . . . . . | 920 | 185 | 1 | 39 | 56 | 4 | ------ | 9 | 359 | 515 | 37 | - |
| 8.......... | TZR. . . . . . . | 451 | 135 | 1 | 38 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 171 | 252 | 18 | 5 |
| 9.......... | ZAP......... | 1,020 | 103 | 1 | 34 | 61 | 4 | ----.-- | 10 | 347 | 622 | 41 | -- |
| 10......... | REEF-LK. . . . | 778 | 163 |  | 31 | 62 | 6 | 1 | ----- | 241 | 482 | 47 | 8 |
| 11......... | POL. . . . . . . | 314 | 65 | ------ | 35 |  | 6 |  | ----- | 110 | 179 | 19 | 6 |
| Round total | ............ | 3,483 | 651 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 1,228 | 2,050 | 102 | 19 |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12......... | NEP. . . . . . . | 829 | 83 |  | 48 | 46 | 6 |  | ----- | 398 | 381 | 50 | ------ |
| 13......... | TZR......... | 314 | 66 | - | 38 | 49 | 2 | 1 | ----. | 120 | 185 | 6 | 3 |
| 13......... | REFF........ | 138 | 24 | 4 | 50 | 46 | ----- | ------ | 6 | 69 | 63 | ----- | -------- |
| 14......... | ZAP......... | 954 | 95 | 2 | 37 | 56 | 5 | ------ | 19 | 353 | 534 | 48 | -------- |
| 15........ | REEF........ | 725 | 73 | 1 | 41 | 50 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 297 | 363 | 51 | 7 |
| 15......... | LK.......... | 149 | 29 | -- | 52 | 48 | - | ------ | ----- | 77 | 72 | ----- | -- |
| 16......... | POL......... | 212 | 42 | 2 | 45 | 38 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 95 | 81 | 21 | 11 |
| Round total |  | 3,321 | 412 |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 1,409 | 1,679 | 176 | 21 |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.......... | NEP. . . . . . . . | 1,758 | 169 | 3 | 55 33 | 38 | 4 |  | 53 | 967 | 668 | 70 | ------- |
| 18......... | TZR. . . . . . . | 253 | 51 22 |  | 33 40 | 53 50 | 10 | 4 | -------- | 84 | 134 | 25 5 | 10 |
| 18.......... | LK........... | 1,718 | 173 | 1 | 53 | 42 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 911 | 721 | 69 | ----- |
| 20......... | REEF........ | 809 | 151 | 3 | 49 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 24 | 397 | 340 | 40 | g |
| 20......... | LK. . . . . . . . | 87 | 23 | ------ | 48 | 52. | ----- | -.--- | ------ | 42 | 45 | --.-- | -------- |
| 21......... | POL. . . . . . . | 367 | 71 |  | 42 | 49 | 9 | ----- | --.-.- | 154 | 180 | 33 | ------- |
| Round total |  | 5,202 | 660 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 | 2,599 | 2,144 | 242 | 23 |
| July : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22......... | NEP. . . . . . . . | 1,645 | 320 | 5 | 57 | 36 | 2 | ----- | 82 | 938 | 502 | 33 | - |
| 23......... | TZR.......... | 538 | 105 | 3 | 49 | 39 | 9 | - | 16 | 264 | 210 | 48 | -------- |
| 23......... | LK.......... | 137 | 25 | ----- | 68 | 28 | 4 | ----- | ----- | 93 | 39 | 5 | ---7--- |
| 24......... | ZAP......... | 1,696 | 337 | 5 | 56 | 37 | 2 | ----- | 85 | 950 | 627 | 34 | ------- |
| 25........ | REEF. . . . . . . | 1,009 | 200 | 4 | 63 | 31 | 2 | ---.- | 40 | 636 | 313 | 20 | ------- |
| 25......... | LK.......... | 161 | 32. | 9 | 60 | 28 | 3 | ----- | 14 | 97 | 45 | 5 | ------- |
| 26......... | POL. . . . . . . . | 427 | 86 | 2 | 52 | 43 | 3 | ----- | 8 | 222 | 184 | 13 |  |
| Round total |  | 5,613 | 1,105 |  |  |  |  |  | 245 | 3,200 | 2,010 | 158 | ------- |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 | 1,593 | 546 | 46 |  |
| 28............. | NEP......... | 2,276 574 | 100 | 1 | 48 | 24 45 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1, 275 | 258 | 29 | 6 |
| 29. | ZAP......... | 1,668 | 326 | 1 | 59 | 37 | 3 | ----- | 17 | 984 | 617 | 50 | ------- |
| 30......... | REEF-LK. | 1,003 | 200 | 4 | 55 | 37 | 4 | ----- | 40 | 552 | 371 | 40 | ------- |
| 31......... | POL. . . . . . . . | 511 | 97 | 6 | 56 | 32 | 6 |  | 31 | 286 | 163 | 31 | ------- |
| Round total |  | 6,032 | 1,138 |  |  |  |  |  | 185 | 3,690 | 1,955 | 196 | 6 |
| Aug. : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 824 | 275 |  |  |
| 1............. | NEP......... | 1,195 472 | 269 | 4 | 69 56 | 23 35 | 5 | ------- | 19 | 824 264 | 165 | 24 | ----------- |
| 3.......... | ZAP......... | 1,297 | 279 | 3 | 65 | 28 | 4 | -- | 39 | 843 | 363 | 52 | -------- |
| 4.......... | REEF-LK. . . . | 979 | 228 | 5 | 61 | 30 | 4 | ----- | 49 | 597 | 294 | 39 | ------- |
| 5......... | POL. . . . . . . . | 405 | 93 | 1 | 62 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 251 | 118 | 28 | 4 |
| 5.......... | ZAP-REEF.... | 443 | 94 | 7 | 77 | 14 | 2 |  | 31 | 341 | 62 | 9 |  |
| Round total |  | 4,791 | 1,067 |  |  |  |  |  | 214 | 3,120 | 1,277 | 176 | 4 |
| Aug.: | NEP. |  |  |  | 74 | 13 | 3 | ----- | 216 | 1,599 | 281 | 65 |  |
| 7.............. | TZR. . . . . . . . . . | 2,161 | 218 | 5 | 72 | 21 | 2 | ----- | 49 | 1,706 | 206 | 20 | ------- |
| 8.......... | REFT. . . . . . . | 811 | 224 | 5 | 61 | 30 | 4 | ----- | 41 | 495 | 243 | 32 | ------- |
| 9.......... | NEP......... | 1,337 | 368 | 12 | 72 | 15 | 1 | ----- | 160 | 963 | 201 | 13 |  |
| Round total | . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,290 | 1,408 |  |  |  |  |  | 466 | 3,763 | 931 | 130 | - |
| Season total | ... | 334,112 | 6,441 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,264 | 19,009 | 12,046 | 1,240 | 73 |

[^6]Appendix table 7.--Cumulative age classification of male seals killed on St. Paul Island, 7 July to 9 August 1965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Estinated number killed from each age class ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { kill } \end{array}$ | Percent killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| July : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7...... | NEP. | 9 | 359 | 515 | 37 |  | 920 | 1 | 39 | 56 | 4 | --- |
| 8...... | TZR. | 14 | 530 | 767 | 55 | 5 | 1,371 | 1 | 39 | 56 | 4 | --- |
|  | ZAP. | 24 | 877 | 1,389 | 96 | 5 | 2,391 | 1 | 37 | 58 | 4 | --- |
| 10...... | REEF-LK. . | 24 | 1,118 | 1,871 | 143 | 13 | 3,169 | 1 | 35 | 59 | 5 | --- |
| 11..... | POL....... | 24 | 1,228 | 2,050 | 162 | 19 | 3,483 | 1 | 35 | 59 | 5 | --- |
| 12.... | NEP. . . . . . | 24 | 1,626 | 2,431 | 212 | 19 | 4,312 | 1 | 38 | 56 | 5 | --- |
| 13..... | TZR. . . . . | 24 | 1,746 | 2,616 | 218 | 22 | 4,626 | 1 | 38 | 56 | 5 | --- |
| 13..... | REEF. | 30 | 1,815 | 2,679 | 218 | 22 | 4,764 | 1 | 38 | 57 | 4 | --- |
| 14...... | ZAP. | 49 | 2,168 | 3,213 | 266 | 22 | 5,718 | 1 | 38 | 56 | 5 | --- |
| 15. | REEF. | 56 | 2,465 | 3,576 | 317 | 29 | 6,443 | 1 | 38 | 56 | 5 | --- |
| 15. | LK. | 56 | 2,542 | 3,648 | 317 | 29 | 6,592 | 1 | 39 | 55 | 5 | --- |
| 16..... | POL. . . . . | 60 | 2,637 | 3,729 | 338 | 40 | 6,804 | 1 | 39 | 55 | 5 | --- |
| 17..... | NEP. . . . . . | 113 | 3,604 | 4,397 | 408 | 40 | 8,562 | 1 | 42 | 52 | 5 | --- |
| 18..... | TZR...... | 113 | 3,688 | 4,531 | 433 | 50 | 8,815 | 1 | 42 | 51 | 5 | 1 |
| 18...... | LK.. | 113 | 3,732 | 4,587 | 438 | 55 | 8,925 | 1 | 42 | 51 | 5 | 1 |
| 19...... | ZAP. | 130 | 4,643 | 5,308 | 507 | 55 | 10,643 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 5 | --- |
| 20. | REEF. | 154 | 5,040 | 5,648 | 547 | 63 | 11,452 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 5 | --- |
| 20. | LK. | 154 | 5,082 | 5,693 | 547 | 63 | 11,539 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 5 | --- |
| 21. | POL. . . . . . | 154 | 5,236 | 5,873 | 580 | 63 | 11,906 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 5 | --- |
| 22...... | NEP....... | 236 | 6,174 | 6,465 | 613 | 63 | 13,551 | 2 | 45 | 48 | 5 | --- |
| 23...... | TZR. | 252 | 6,438 | 6,675 | 661 | 63 | 14,089 | 2 | 46 | 47 | 5 | --- |
| 23. | LK. | 252 | 6,531 | 6,714 | 666 | 63 | 14,226 | 2 | 46 | 47 | 5 | --- |
| 24. | ZAP. | 337 | 7,481 | 7,341 | 700 | 63 | 15,922 | 2 | 47 | 46 | 5 | --- |
| 25. | REEF. | 377 | 8,117 | 7,654 | 720 | 63 | 16,931 | 2 | 48 | 46 | 4 | --- |
| 25...... | LK......... | 391 | 8,214 | 7,699 | 725 | 63 | 17,092 | 2 | 48 | 45 | 5 | --- |
| 26...... | POL | 399 | 8,436 | 7,883 | 738 | 63 | 17,519 | 2 | 48 | 45 | 5 |  |
| 27. | NEP. | 490 | 10,029 | 8,429 | 784 | 63 | 19,795 | 2 | 51 | 43 | 4 | --- |
| 28. | TZR-LK | 496 | 10,304 | 8,687 | 813 | 69 | 20,369 | 2 | 51 | 43 | 4 |  |
| 29. | ZAP.. | 513 | 11,288 | 9,304 | 863 | 69 | 22,037 | 2 | 51 | 43 | 4 | --- |
| 30. | REEF-LK. | 553 | 11,840 | 9,675 | 903 | 69 | 23,040 | 2 | 52 | 42 | 4 | --- |
| 31. | POL. | 584 | 12,126 | 9,838 | 934 | 69 | 23,551 | 2 | 52 | 42 | 4 | --- |
| Aug. : <br> 1...... | NEP........ | 656 | 12,950 | 10,113 | 958 | 69 | 24,746 | 3 | 52 | 41 | 4 |  |
| 2..... | TZR. | 675 | 13,214 | 10,278 | 982 | 69 | 25,218 | 3 | 52 | 41 | 4 | --- |
| 3...... | ZAP........ | 714 | 14,057 | 10,641 | 1,034 | 69 | 26,515 | 3 | 53 | 40 | 4 | --- |
| 4. | REEF-IK... | 763 | 14,654 | 10,935 | 1,073 | 69 | 27,494 | 3 | 53 | 40 | 4 | --- |
| 5..... | POL. . . . . . | 767 | 14,905 | 11,053 | 1,101 | 73 | 27,899 | 3 | 53 | 40 | 4 | --- |
| 5..... | ZAP-REEF... | 798 | 15, 246 | 11,115 | 1,110 | 73 | 28,342 | 3 | 54 | 39 | 4 | --- |
|  | NEP. | 1,014 | 16,845 | 11,396 | 1,175 | 73 | 30,503 | 3 | 55 | 38 | 4 | --- |
| 7...... | TZR........ | 1,063 | 17,551 | 11,602 | 1,195 | 73 | 31,484 | 3 | 56 | 37 | 4 | --- |
| 8...... | REEF | 1, 104 | 18, 046 | 11,845 | 1,227 | 73 |  | 3 | 56 | 37 | 4 | --- |
| 9...... | NEP. | 1,264 | 19,009 | 12,046 | 1,240 | 73 | ${ }^{3} 33,632$ | 4 | 56 | 36 | 4 | --- |

[^7]Appendix table 8.--Age classification of male seals killed on St. George Island, 7 July to 6 August 1965

| Rookery ${ }^{1}$ | Number |  | Percent in each age class of sample |  |  |  |  | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males killed | Tooth sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7... NOR. . . . | 288 | 29 |  | 21 | 72 | 7 | ------ | ------ | 61 | 207 | 20 | --- |
| 7... EAST. . . | 333 | 34 | ------- | 44 | 47 | 9 | --...-- | ------ | 146 | 157 | 30 | -- |
| 9... ZAP.... | 314 | 31 |  | 45 | 55 | - | -..---- | ------ | 141 | 173 | ---- | - |
| 12.. NOR. . . . | 102 | 22 | ------- | 41 | 50 | 9 | - | ------ | 42 | 51 | 9 | -- |
| 12.. EAST. . . | 222 | 42 | 2 | 48 | 41 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 107 | 91 | 4 | 16 |
| 14.. NOR. . . . | 47 | 15 | ------- | 47 | 47 | 6 | ---..- | --.--- | 22 | 22 | 3 | ---- |
| 14.. ZAP..... | 121 | 37 | ------- | 27 | 65 | 5 | 3 | ------ | 33 | 79 | 6 | 3 |
| 16.. EAST.... | 326 | 31 | ------- | 39 | 61 | ------- | ------ | ------ | 127 | 199 | ---- |  |
| 16.. NOR. . . . | 288 | 30 | ------- | 57 | 40 | 3 | ---- | ------ | 164 | 115 | 9 | - |
| 19.. $\mathrm{ZAP} . .$. | 174 | 17 | ------- | 18 | 58 | 6 | 18 | ------ | 31 | 101 | 11 | 31 |
| 19.. STAR.... | 96 | 26 |  | 58 | 34 | 4 | 4 | - | 56 | 32 | 4 | 4 |
| 19.. NOR. . . . | 64 | 18 | ------- | 50 | 44 | ------- | 6 | ------ | 32 | 28 |  | 4 |
| 21.. EAST.... | 279 | 56 | 5 | 43 | 50 | 2 | ------ | 14 | 120 | 140 | 5 |  |
| 21.. NOR. . . . | 184 | 36 | ------- | 56 | 44 | ------- | ------ | - | 103 | 81 | -------- | ---- |
| 23. ${ }^{\text {NOR. . . . }}$ | 311 | 31 | 3 | 64 | 33 | ------- | -- | 9 | 199 | 103 | -------- | ---- |
| 23.. ZAP. ... | 154 | 31 | - | 42 | 55 | ------- | 3 | --- | 65 | 85 | ------- | 4 |
| 26.. EAST.... | 591 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 31 | ------- |  | 30 | 378 | 183 | -- | ---- |
| 28.. ZAP.... | 174 | 51 | ------- | 55 | 35 | 10 | - | ------ | 96 | 61 | 17 | --- |
| 28. . NOR. . . . | 817 | 80 | 8 | 66 | 21 | 5 | ------ | 65 | 539 | 172 | 41 | --- |
| 30.. EAST. . . | 290 | 55 | 2 | 56 | 40 | 2 | -- | 6 | 162 | 116 | 6 |  |
| Aug. : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2... $2 \mathrm{AP} . . .$. | 239 | 47 |  | 62 | 36 | 2 | ------ | - | 148 | 86 | 5 | --- |
| 2... NOR. .... | 202 | 42 |  | 79 | 21 | -------- | ------ | ------ | 160 | 42 | --- | -... |
| 4... STAR.... | 140 | 65 | 2 | 58 | 34 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 3 | 81 | 48 | 8 |  |
| 4... EAST. ... | 305 | 30 | 3 | 67 | 30 | ----.-- | ------ | 9 | 204 | 92 | ------- | --- |
| 6...\| NOR. . . . | 674 | 74 | 4 | 77 | 19 | ------- | ------ | 27 | 519 | 128 |  |  |
| Season total. | 27,156 | 989 |  |  |  |  |  | 167 | 3,736 | 2,592 | 178 | 62 |

${ }^{1}$ NOR = North; EAST = East Reef, East Cliffs ; ZAP = Zapadni, South; STAR = Staraya Artil.
${ }^{2}$ Includes 421 unclassified males taken during the female kill, lo-27 August.

Appendix table 9.--Cumulative age classification of male seals killed on St. George Island, 7 July to August 1965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{1}$ | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  | Total kill | Fercent killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| July: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7... | NOR. . . . | -------- | 61 | 207 | 20 | ----- | 288 | --- | 21 | 72 | 7 | --- |
| 7... | EAST. . . | ----- | 207 | 364. | 50 | ---- | 621 | ---- | 33 | 59 | 8 |  |
| 9... | ZAP..... | ------- | 348 | 537 | 50 | --- | 935 | ---- | 37 | 58 | 5 | --- |
| 12. | NOR. . . . | ------- | 390 | 588 | 59 | ---- | 1,037 | ---- | 38 | 57 | 5 | --- |
| 12.. | EAST. . . | 4 | 497 | 679 | 63 | 16 | 1,259 |  | 40 | 54 | 5 | 1 |
| $14 .$. | NOR. . . . | 4 | 519 | 701 | Eo | 10 | 1,306 |  | 40 | 54 | 5 | 1 |
| 14.0 | ZAP.... | 4 | 552 | 780 | 72 | 19 | 1,427 |  | 39 | 55 | 5 | 1 |
| 16. | EAST. . . | 4 | 679 | 979 | 72 | 19 | 1,753 |  | 39 | 56 | 4 | 1 |
| 16. | NOR. . . . | 4 | 843 | 1,094 | 82 | 19 | 2,041 |  | 41 | 54 | 4 | 1 |
| 19. | ZAP.... | 4 | 874 | 1,195 | 92 | 50 | 2,215 |  | 40 | 54 | 4 | 2 |
| 19. | Star.... | 4 | 930 | 1,227 | 96 | 54 | 2,311 |  | 41 | 53 | 4 | 2 |
| 19. | NOR. . . . . | 4 | 962 | 1,255 | 96 | 58 | 2,375 |  | 41 | 53 | 4 | 2 |
| 21. | EAST. . . | 18 | 1,082 | 1,395 | 101 | 58 | 2,654 | --- | 41 | 53 | 4 | 2 |
| 21.. | NOR. . . . . | 18 | 1,185 | 1,476 | 101 | 58 | 2,838 | 1 | 42 | 52 | 3 | 2 |
| 23. | NOR. . . . | 27 | 1,384 | 1,579 | 101 | 58 | 3,149 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 3 | 2 |
| 23.. | ZAP.... | 27 | 1,449 | 1,664 | 101 | 62 | 3,303 | 1 | 44 | 50 | 3 | 2 |
| $26 .$. | EAST.... | 57 | 1,827 | 1,847 | 101 | 62 | 3,894 | 1 | 47 | 47 | 3 | 2 |
| 28.. | ZAP. .... | 57 | 1,923 | 1,908 | 118 | 62 | 4,068 | 1 | 47 | 47 | 3 | 2 |
| 28.. | NOR. . . . . | 122 | 2,462 | 2,080 | 159 | 62 | 4,885 | 3 | 50 | 43 | 3 | 1 |
| $30 .$. | EAST. . . | 128 | 2,624 | 2,196 | 165 | 62 | 5,175 | 3 | 51 | 42 | 3 | 1 |
| Aug.: | ZAP. .... | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2... | NOR. . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 128 | 2,932 | 2,324 | 170 | 62 | 5,414 5,616 | 3 | 51 52 | 42 42 | 3 3 | 1 |
| $4 .$. | STAR. . . | 131 | 3,013 | 2,372 | 178 | 62 | 5,756 | 2 | 53 | 41 | 3 | 1 |
| $4 .$. | EAST. . . | 140 | 3,217 | 2,464 | 178 | $62$ | ,6,061 | 2 | 53 | 41 | 3 | 1 |
| 6... | NOR. . . . . | 167 | 3,736 | 2,592 | 178 | 62 | 26,735 | 2 | 56 | 38 | 3 | 1 |

[^8]Appendix table 10.--Counts of harem and idle bulls, by rookery, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1965


Appendix table ll.--Counts of harem and idle bulls, by island, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1911-41 and 1943-65

| Year | St. Paul Island |  | St. George Island |  | Both islands |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harem | Idle | Harem | Idle | Harem | Idle |
| 1911. | 1,090 | 258 | 266 | 71 | 1,356 | 329 |
| 1912. | 1,077 | 93 | 281 | 20 | 1,358 | 113 |
| 1913. | 1,142 | 77 | 261 | 28 | 1,403 | 105 |
| 1914. | 1,316 | 159 | 243 | 13 | 1,559 | 172 |
| 1915 | 1,789 | 546 | 362 | 127 | 2,151 | 673 |
| 1916 | 2,948 | 2,278 | 552 | 354 | 3,500 | 2,632 |
| 1917. | 4,166 | 2,341 | 684 | 365 | 4,850 | 2,706 |
| 1918. | 4,610 | 2,245 | 734 | 199 | 5,344 | 2,444 |
| 1919. | 4,573 | 2,158 | 585 | 81 | 5,158 | 2,239 |
| 1920. | 3,542 | 1,078 | 524 | 83 | 4,066 | 1,161 |
| 1921. | 3,443 | 711 | 466 | 36 | 3,909 | 747 |
| 1922. | 3,184 | 493 | 378 | 15 | 3,562 | 508 |
| 1923 | 3,051 | 303 | 361 | 9 | 3,412 | 312 |
| 1924 | 3,127 | 375 | 389 | 15 | 3,516 | 390 |
| 1925 | 3,103 | 283 | 423 | 28 | 3,526 | 311 |
| 1926 | 3,478 | 368 | 556 | 55 | 4,034 | 423 |
| 1927. | 3,916 | 846 | 727 | 126 | 4,643 | 972 |
| 1928. | 5,059 | 1,208 | 991 | 241 | 6,050 | 1,449 |
| 1929. | 5,998 | 1,339 | 1,189 | 294 | 7,187 | 1,633 |
| 1930. | 6,823 | 1,555 | 1,489 | 344 | 8,312 | 1,899 |
| 1931. | 7,557 | 1,519 | 1,676 | 369 | 9,233 | 1,888 |
| 1932. | 8,268 | 1,940 | 1,820 | 409 | 10,088 | 2,349 |
| 1933. | 8,334 | 1,933 | 1,879 | 408 | 10,213 | 2,341 |
| 1934 | 8,841 | 1,860 | 1,929 | 422 | 10,770 | 2,282 |
| 1935 | 19,444 | 2,082 | 2,103 | 453 | 11,547 | 2,535 |
| 1936. | 10,055 | 2,253 | ------- | ---- | -------- | ------- |
| 1937. | 10,689 | 2,516 | 2,411 | 515 | 13,100 | 3,031 |
| 1938. | 10,720 | 1,787 | ------ | --- | -------- | -------- |
| 1939 | 9,122 | 2,616 | 1,858 | 357 | 10,980 | 2,973 |
| 1940. | 9,662 | 3,968 | 1,988 | 571 | 11,650 | 4,539 |
| 1941. | 10,089 | 5,059 | 1,942 | 396 | 12,031 | 5,455 |
| 1943 | 10,948 | 3,523 | 2,107 | 330 | 13,055 | 3,853 |
| 1944 | 11,080 | 2,539 | 2,294 | 450 | 13,374 | 2,989 |
| 1945 | 10,750 | 4,055 | 2,434 | 750 | 13,184 | 4,805 |
| 1946 | 10,566 | 3,605 | 2,430 | 611 | 12,996 | 4,216 |
| 1947. | 10,160 | 3,331 | 1,808 | 479 | 11,968 | 3,810 |
| 1948. | 10,386 | 3,400 | 1,814 | 563 | 12,200 | 3,963 |
| 1949. | 9,554 | 2,976 | 1,746 | 552 | 11,300 | 3,528 |
| 1950. | 9,442 | 3,152 | 1,959 | 574 | 11,401 | 3,726 |
| 1951. | 9,434 | 3,581 | 1,825 | 549 | 11,259 | 4,130 |
| 1952. | 9,318 | 4,717 | 1,983 | 605 | 11,301 | 5,322 |
| 1953. | 9,848 | 5,912 | 2,285 | 826 | 12,133 | 6,738 |
| 1954. | 9,906 | 6,847 | 2,228 | 1,311 | 12,134 | 8,158 |
| 1955. | 9,034 | 8,650 | 2,130 | 1,902 | 11,164 | 10,552 |
| 1956 | 9,384 | 9,016 | , | ------ | 11, | - |
| 1957. | 9,562 | 10,060 | 2,423 | 2,693 | 11,985 | 12,753 |
| 1958. | 9,970 | 9,510 | 2,619 | 3,030 | 12,589 | 12,540 |
| 1959. | 10,003 | 11,485 | 2,527 | 2,699 | 12,530 | 14,184 |
| 1960. | 10,247 | 10,407 | 2,552 | 2,630 | 12,799 | 13,037 |
| 1961. | 11,163 | 11,791 | 2,843 | 2,489 | 14,006 | 14,280 |
| 1962. | 10,332 | 9,109 | 2,342 | 2,650 | 12,674 | 11,759 |
| 1963. | 9,212 | 7,650 | 2,071 | 1, 890 | 11,283 | 9,540 |
| 1964. | 9,085 | 7,095 | 1,989 | 1,489 | 11,074 | 8,584 |
| 1965. | 8,553 | 5,616 | 1,917 | 1,113 | 10,470 | 6,729 |


Appendix table 13.--Cumulative age classification of female seals killed on St. Paul. Island, 23-27 August 1965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total <br> kill | Percent killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Aug. : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 35 | 38 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| 23. | NEP. . . . . . | 27 | 237 | 257 | 81 | 41 | 20 | 14 56 | 1,721 | 3 | 24 | 46 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 24. | NEP. . . . . . | 48 | 414 | 789 | 259 | 93 | 62 | 56 63 | 1,721 | 4 | 25 | 44 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 25. | REEF...... | 91 | 614 | 1,067 | 394 | 114 | 91 | 65 | 2,526 | 4 | 26 | 43 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 25. | L. ZAP.... | 91 | 645 | 1,098 | 406 | 122 | 99 107 | 65 | 2,326 | 3 | 26 | 45 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 26. | L. ZAP-POL. | 106 | 854 | 1,470 | 514 | 200 | 148 | 108 | 2 3,891 | 4 | 25 | 44 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 27.... | NEP........ | 147 | 966 | 1,719 | 603 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]Appendix table l4.--Age classification of female seals killed on St. George Island, 16-27 August 1965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | Number |  | Percent in each age class of sample |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | killed | sample | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Aug - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. | ZAP. | 432 | 77 | --- | 18 | 30 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 13 | ---- | 78 | 130 | 69 | 69 | 30 | 56 |
| 18. | NOR. | 416 | 128 | --- | 10 | 35 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 9 | ---- | 42 | 146 | 83 | 71 | 37 | 37 |
| 20. | STAR. | 585 | 159 | -- | 8 | 38 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 12 | ---- | 47 | 223 | 117 | 64 | 64 | 70 |
| 23. | ZAP. | 458 | 88 | 1 | 29 | 33 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 133 | 151 | 64 | 46 | 14 | 46 |
| 25. | STAR. | 397 | 107 | -- | 7 | 22 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 30 | --- | 28 | 87 | 64 | 67 | 32 | 119 |
| 27. | ZAP. | 385 | 80 | 3 | 11 | 26 | 23 | 16 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 42 | 100 | 89 | 62 | 23 | 58 |
| Season total........... |  | 2 2,902 | 639 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 370 | 837 | 486 | 379 | 200 | 386 |

[^10]Appendix table 15.--Cumulative age classification of female seals killed on St. George Island, l6-27 August l965

| Date | Rookery ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Estimated number killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total kill |  | Percent killed from each age class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| Aug . : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. | ZAP... | -- | 78 | 130 | 69 | 69 | 30 | 56 |  | 432 | --- | 18 | 30 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 13 |
| 18. | NOR. | --- | 120 | 276 | 152 | 140 | 67 | 93 |  | 848 | --- | 14 | 32 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 11 |
| 20. | STAR. | - | 167 | 499 | 269 | 204 | 13.1 | 163 |  | 1,433 | --- | 12 | 35 | 19 | 14 | 9 | 11 |
| 23. | ZAP. | 4 | 300 | 650 | 333 | 250 | 145 | 209 |  | 1,891 | --- | 16 | 34 | 18 | 13 | 8 | 11 |
| 25. | STAR. | 4 | 328 | 737 | 397 | 317 | 177 | 328 |  | 2,288 | - | 14 | 32 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 14 |
| 27... | ZAP.. | 15 | 370 | 837 | 486 | 379 | 200 | 386 | 2 | 2,673 | 1 | 14 | 31 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 14 |

2 not include 229 unclassified females taken during the male kill, 7 July to 6 August.

Appendix table 16.--Soviet tags recovered from the kill, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1965


[^11]Appendix table 17.--Fur seal pups tagged and checkmarked, and marked only, St. Paul Island, 1965

| Rookery | Proportion of total | Pups tagged and checkmarked |  |  | Pups marked only |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tag } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Tag and "V" notch left front flipper |  | Outside <br> right hind | git <br> ipper | "V" notch right front flipper |  |
|  | Percent |  | Date | Number ${ }^{1}$ | Date | Number | Date | Number |
| Zapadni............ | 11.4 | R 1-1140 | 14 Aug. | 1,140 | 18 Aug. | 1, 140 | 28 Aug. | 1,140 |
| Zapadni Reef....... | 9.0 | R 1141-2040 | 18 Aug. | 920 | 18 Aug. | 900 | 3 Sept. | 927 |
| Reef................ | 22.9 | R 204.1-4330 | 18 \& 20 Aug. | 2,290 | 20 Aug. | 2,290 | 28-29 Aug. | 2,290 |
| Polovina........... | 10.2 | R 4331-5350 | 17 Aug. | 1,020 | 18 Aug. | 1,020 | 1 Sept. | 1,020 |
| Little Polovina.... | 2.8 | R 5351-5630 | 17 Aug. | 280 | 17 Aug. | 280 | 27 Aug. | 280 |
| Northeast Point ${ }^{2} . .$. | 25.4 | R 5631-8170 | 16-17 Aug. | 2,540 | 17 Aug. | 2,540 | 2 Sept. | 2,540 |
| Tolstoi. | 10.2 | R 8171-9190 | 14 Aug. | 1,020 | 14 Aug. | 1,120 | 29 Aug. | 1,020 |
| Lukanin-Kitovi..... | 8.1 | R 9191-10000 | 15 Aug. | 810 | 16 Aug. | 810 | 27 Aug. 3 Sept. | 810 |
| Total........... | 100.0 |  |  | 10,000 |  | 10,080 |  | 10,007 |

Appendix table 18.--Record of fur seal pups tagged, Pribilof Islands, Alaska, 1941, 2945, 1947-49, and 1951-65

| Year | Series | St. Paul Island | St. George Island | Location of tag | Checkmarks or marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1941.. | USA 1-10000; USA 1-1000 and USA 5001-6000...... | 10,000 1,000 1,000 |  | ```Front flipper i i right Iront and hind flippers; f left front and hind slippers``` | Branded, nape of neck Double tagged, branded nape of neck |
| 1945.. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10001-11000.... } \\ \text { (no letter prefix) } \end{gathered}$ | 973 |  | Left front flipper | None |
| 1967. | A 1-20000.......... | 19,183 |  | Lef't front flipper | 1/4" hole between lst (big toe) and 2nd digits left hind flipper |
| 1948.. | B 1-19673. . . . . . . . | 19,532 |  | Left iront flipper | None |
| 1949.. | CS 1-20000.......... | 19,963 |  | Left hind flipper | None |
| 1951.. | D $1=1000 . . . . . .$. | 1,000 |  | Right hind flipper | $1 / 2$ left ear on 100 tagged pups removed |
| 1952.. | E 1-20000. . . . . . . . | 19,974 |  | Right front flipper | Tip or lst digit (big toe) on right hind flipper sliced off |
| 1953.. | F 1-10000.......... | 9,990 |  | Left front flipper | Tip of left front flipper sliced off |
|  | G-7001-7400....... | 398 |  | ....do | Do. |
| 1954.. | G-1-7000. . . . . . . . . G-7401-10400. . . . . | 7,000 3,000 |  | Right front rlipper | "V" notch near tip right front flipper |
| 1955.. | H $1-1000$ U.......... 10001-50000...... (no letter prefix | 49,870 |  | Left front flipper <br> ....do...................... | Tip of lst digit (big toe) on left hind flipper sliced off |
| 1956.. | I 1-10000......... |  | 9,894 | Right front flipper | Tip of right iront flipper sliced of f |
|  | I 10001-50000...... | 39,900 |  | ....do.................... | Do. |
| 1957. | J 1-10000.......... |  | 7,972 | Left front f゙lipper | "V" notch near tip left iront flipper |
|  | J 10001-50000...... | 39,870 |  | . co. | Do. |
| 1958. . | K 1-10000.......... |  | 9,994 | Right front flipper | "V" notch near tip right front flipper |
|  | K 10001-50000.... . | 39,923 |  | . ...do........... | Do. |
|  | K 10001-15000..... . | 5,000 |  | Right and left front flippers | Double tagged plus checknark |
| 1959.. | L 1-10000.......... |  | 9,980 | Left front flipper | Tip of left front flipper sliced off |
|  | L 10001-50000...... | 34,301 |  | ..do... . . . . . . . . . . . . . | Do. |
| 1960. | M 1-12000.......... |  | 11,992 | Right front flipper | Tip of right front rlipper sliced off |
|  | M 12001-60000..... | 47,989 |  | . do. | Do. |
| 1961.. | N 1-1000C.......... |  | 9,988 | Left front flipper | "V" notch near tip left front flipper |
|  | N-10001-50000...... | 39,933 |  | . .do................... . | Do. |
| 1962.. | 01-10000.......... |  | 9,980 | Right front flipper | "V" notch near tip right front flipper |
|  | 0 10001-50000...... | 39,928 |  | .do | Do. |
| 1963.. | P 1-5000.......... |  | 4,993 | Left front flipper | Tip or left front flipper sliced orf |
|  | P 5001-25000...... | 19.978 |  | .do. | Do. |
| 1904.. | Q 1-5000........... |  | 4,993 | Right front flipper | Tip of right front flipper sliced of $f$ |
|  | Q 5001-25000...... | 19,998 |  | . .do................... | Do. |
| 1965.. | R 1-10000......... | 10,000 |  | Left front rlipper | "V" notch near tip left front ilipper |
|  | Marked only. . . . . . . | 10,007 |  | Not tagged | "V" notch near tip right iront flipper |
|  | Marked only........ | 10,080 |  | ....do.................... | Tip or lst digit (big toe) on right hand ilipper sliced off |

Appendix table 19.--Tags applied to seals selected as yearlings on the basis of body length, St. Paul Island, 1965

| Rookery or hauling ground | Seals double-tagged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tag series allotment | Tag on each front flipper |
| Zapadni rookery............................ |  | Number |
|  | 1R 1-100..... | 98 |
|  | 1R301-325...... | 25 |
| Zapadni Reef and English Bay, sand beach hauling grounds. | 1R101-300...... | 200 |
|  | 1R326-400...... | 73 |
|  | 1R426-500...... | 75 |
|  | 1R699-700..... | 2 |
|  | 1R794-800...... | 7 |
|  | 1R932-1000.... | 68 |
| Lukanin and Kitovi rookeries and hauling grounds................................. | 1R401-425...... | 25 |
| Polovina, Polovina Cliffs, and Little | 1R501-600..... | 98 |
| Polovina rookeries and hauling grounds. | 1R856-931 | 76 |
| Vostochni and Morjovi rookeries and hauling grounds. | 1R601-698..... | 98 |
|  | 1R701-793..... | 92 |
|  | 1R839-841..... | 3 |
| Reef and Gorbatch rookeries and hauling grounds. $\qquad$ | 1R801-838..... | 38 |
|  | 1R842-855..... | 13 |
| Total................................... |  | 991 |


| Rookery | 1941 | 1948 | 194.) | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1952 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Paul Island: <br> Morjovi................. <br> Vostochni | $\begin{array}{r} 933 \\ 7,708 \end{array}$ | 20,600 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,600 \\ 12,7, t, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.000 \\ 13,120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,592 \\ 18.450 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3,764 \\ 19,503 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.049 \\ 25,233 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,571 \\ 14,473 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,278 \\ & 20,498 \end{aligned}$ | 4,253 12,732 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,290 \\ & 7,247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,560 \\ & 7,105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,825 \\ 11,333 \end{array}$ | 5,259 10.173 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,881 \\ & 8,565 \end{aligned}$ | 2,348 5,057 | 1,830 3,404 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,649 \\ & 4,214 \end{aligned}$ |
| Little Polovina...... Polovina Cliffs...... Polovina. | $\begin{array}{r} 292 \\ 2,356 \end{array}$ |  | 1,000 1,779 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,740 \\ & 3,800 \\ & 5,660 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.208 \\ & 5.580 \\ & +.402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,954 \\ & 3,200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,211 \\ & 5,451 \\ & 5,036 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,852 \\ & 6,413 \\ & 6,459 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,782 \\ & 5,964 \\ & 4,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,4,43 \\ & 8,637 \\ & 7,46,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,6,35 \\ & 4,425 \\ & 5,432 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 975 \\ 1,826 \\ 2,184 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,597 \\ & 2,586 \\ & 3,311 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,427 \\ & 3,462 \\ & 5,268 \end{aligned}$ | 2,415 4,576 2.499 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,121 \\ & 2,957 \\ & 1,880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 923 \\ 2,160 \\ 1,237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 631 \\ 1,097 \\ 783 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,132 \\ & 2,856 \\ & 1,176 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ardiguen. <br> Gorbatch. . . . . . . . . . . . <br> Reef. | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 896 \\ 2,269 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 170 \\ 2,810 \\ 3,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 3,554 \\ 11,007 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 189 \\ 3,679 \\ 13,6 E 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 282 \\ 4,900 \\ 12,959 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 387 \\ 4,789 \\ 15.145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,4 \\ 6,291 \\ 14,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 249 \\ 3,801 \\ 11,301 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 1,655 \\ 5,550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ 2,100 \\ 6,052 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331 \\ 3,168 \\ 9,664 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 411 \\ 3,550 \\ 10,047 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 225 \\ 1,373 \\ 7,897 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 141 \\ 2,431 \\ 5,688 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 1,549 \\ 3,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 459 \\ & 3,12 \\ & 7,664 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kitovi Iukanin. $\qquad$ | 404 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \\ & 635 \end{aligned}$ | 1,160 770 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,517 \\ 712 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,695 \\ & 1,086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,669 \\ & 1,129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,610 \\ & 1.129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,892 \\ & 1,718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,588 \\ 870 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \\ & 324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 882 \\ & 631 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,006 \\ & 1,037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,215 \\ & 1,294 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,081 \\ 660 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 881 \\ & 546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462 \\ & 402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,202 \\ & 1,126 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tolstoi.............. | 1,623 |  |  | 4,230 | 6,033 |  | 6,254 | 7,552 | t.489 | 七,789 | 5,659 | 2,823 | 3,691 | 5,237 | 4,761 | 3,004 | 3,274 | 2,614 | 3,95 |
| Little Zapadni....... <br> Zapadni Reef <br> Zapadni. | $\begin{array}{r} 372 \\ 171 \\ 1,284 \end{array}$ |  | 575 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,120 \\ & 4,600 \\ & 4,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,804 \\ 353 \\ 8,204 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,440 \\ 1,116 \\ 12,221 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,979 \\ 2,278 \\ 10,424 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,555 \\ & 1,383 \\ & 6,607 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,611 \\ & 1,674 \\ & 8,650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,325 \\ 917 \\ 6,415 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,312 \\ 246 \\ 4,045 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,691 \\ 608 \\ 5,009 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,148 \\ & 1,472 \\ & 6,450 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,047 \\ & 1,291 \\ & 6,329 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,399 \\ 598 \\ 6,627 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,580 \\ 718 \\ 4,614 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,101 \\ & 425 \\ & 4,172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,461 \\ 723 \\ 5,384 \end{array}$ |
| Counted total........ <br> Estimated <br> oversight $5 \%$....... | $\begin{array}{r} 18,350 \\ 918 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|r} 53,420 \\ 2,671 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,663 \\ 3,533 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 78,212 \\ 3,911 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96,178 \\ 4,809 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,544 \\ & 3,777 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98,707 \\ 4,935 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61,662 \\ 3,083 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,187 \\ 1,559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,964 \\ 1,998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,828 \\ 2,946 \end{array}$ | 57,867 2,893 | $\begin{array}{r} 45,268 \\ 2,263 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,598 \\ 1,630 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,572 \\ 1,079 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39,124 \\ 1,956 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total................ | 19,268 |  |  | 56,091 | 74,196 |  | 82,123 | 100,987 | 79,321 | 103,642 | 64,745 | 32,746 | 41,962 | 65,774 | 60,760 | 47,531 | 34, 228 | 22,651 | 41,080 |
| St. George Island: $\qquad$ Zapadni and South.... <br> East Reef and East <br> Cliffs............... <br> Staraya Artil......... |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,197 \\ 1,272 \\ 846 \\ 3,353 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,776 \\ & 1,453 \\ & 1,524 \\ & 2,903 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0,357 \\ & 2,742 \\ & 2,203 \\ & 3,806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,942 \\ & 1,569 \\ & 1,064 \\ & 2,729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,62 \epsilon \\ 962 \\ 616 \\ 1,552 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,653 \\ 1,633 \\ 664 \\ 1,987 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,489 \\ & 1,902 \\ & 1,112 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,883 \\ & 2,019 \\ & 1,347 \\ & 2,514 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,242 \\ 1,740 \\ 504 \\ 1,435 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,525 \\ 704 \\ 502 \\ 1,041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 792 \\ & 446 \\ & 272 \\ & 767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,854 \\ 1,263 \\ 676 \\ 1,186 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Counted total......... } \\ & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { oversight } 5 \% . . . . . . . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,668 \\ 433 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,656 \\ 483 \end{array}$ |  | 15,108 755 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,304 \\ 465 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,756 \\ 238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,937 \\ 347 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,503 \\ 425 \end{array}$ | 9,763 488 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,921 \\ 296 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,772 \\ 239 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,277 \\ 114 \end{array}$ |  |
| Total................ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,101 | 10,139 |  | 15,863 | 9,769 | 4,994 | 7,284 | 8,928 | 10,251 | 6,217 | 5,011 | 2,391 | 5,228 |

Appendix table 21.--Mean weights ${ }^{1}$ of seal pups about 1 September, year classes 1957-65, St. Paul Island

| Group | $1957{ }^{2}$ | $1958{ }^{2}$ | $1959{ }^{2}$ | $1960^{2}$ | $1961{ }^{2}$ | $2962^{3}$ | 19634 | 19644 | $1965{ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males: | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. |
| Tagged and checkmarked. | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ (262) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ (211) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (186) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ (299) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \\ & (297) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ (297) \end{gathered}$ |
| Untagged and unmarked. | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ (391) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.4 \\ & (127) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \\ & (444) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ (372) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ (381) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.9 \\ & (300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ |
| Marked only............. Females: | ------ |  |  |  | ------ | ( | ( | ( | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tagged and checkmarked. | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (196) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (188) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ (254) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ (167) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ (296) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (294) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \\ & (296) \end{aligned}$ |
| Untagged and unmarked.. | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (351) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \\ (121) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ (386) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ (363) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \\ & (466) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \\ & (300) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (300) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & (300) \end{aligned}$ |
| Marked only............ |  |  | ----- | ------ | ( | (300) | (300) | (300) | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & (300) \end{aligned}$ |

[^12]Appendix table 22.--Mean weights of seal pups about l September, by rookery, St. Paul Island, 1965 [Numbers in parentheses are the number of pups in each sample. The pups were weighed about 2 weeks after tagging or marking]

| Rookery | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Untagged and unmarked | Tagged and checknarked | Marked only | Untagged and unmarked | Tagged and checkmarked | Marked only |
|  | Kg. | Kg. | - Kg . | Kg. | Kg. | Kg. |
| Vostochni and Morjovi.... | $\begin{aligned} & 9.81 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.86 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.16 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.33 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.61 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.88 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ |
| Reef. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 9.29 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.90 \\ & (73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.46 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.09 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.99 \\ & (71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.31 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ |
| Zapadni.......... . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 9.76 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.17 \\ & (74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.67 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.65 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.84 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.84 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ |
| Polovina................. | $\begin{aligned} & 8.92 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.34 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.96 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.59 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.08 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.92 \\ & (75) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9.45 | 8.57 | 8.31 | 8.17 | 7.38 | 7.24 |

## APPENDIX C

The 1965 field season on the Pribilof Islands extended from June to October. Dates of arrival and departure, and affiliations of research workers were:

| Name | Arrival | Departure | Affiliation | Work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ancel M. Johnson | 14 June 21 Sept. | 6 Aug. 6 Oct. | Bureau of Commercial Fisheries | Seal research, general |
| David Devin ${ }^{2}$ | 14 June | 3 Sept. | Student, U. of Wash. | Do. |
| Daniel K. Odell ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | --do.- | Student, Cornell U. | Do. |
| Kenneth Johnston ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | --do.- | Student, Colorado U. | Do. |
| Patrick Kozloff ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | --do.- | Student, U. of Alaska | Do. |
| Victor B. Scheffer | -- -do.-- | 10 July | Bureau of Commercial Fisheries | Seal research, special projects |
| Alton Y. Roppel | 8 July | 14 Aug. | --------do.--------- | Seal research, general |
| Terrance M. Wilson ${ }^{1}$ | 6 July | 9 Sept. | Student, Cornell U. | Seal research, mortality |
| Mark C. Keyes | 19 July | 3 Sept. | Bureau of Commercial Fisheries | Do. |
| Ford Wilke | 6 Aug. | 31 Aug. | -do.-- | Seal research, general |
| Raymond E. Anas | --do.-- | 3 Sept. | --------do.--------- | Do. |
| David Galaktionoff ${ }^{1}$ | 17 June | 24 Aug. | St. Paul Island resident | Do. |
| Benjamin Misiken ${ }^{2}$ | 17 June | 20 Aug. | St. Paul Island resident | Seal research, general |
| Dionesy Bordukofsky ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | --do.- | --------do.--- | Do. |
| Agafon Krukoff, Jr. ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | 3 Sept. | --------do.--------- | Do. |
| Lavrenty Stepetin ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} -- \text { do.-- } \\ 21 \text { Sept. } \end{gathered}$ | --do.- <br> 8 Oct. | --------do.--------- | Do. |
| Innokenty C. Lestenkof ${ }^{1}$ | 6 July | 31 Aug. | St. George Island resident | Do. |
| Innokenty Lestenkof Jr. ${ }^{1}$ | ---do.-- | --do.- | --------do.--------- | Do. |
| Max Thompson | 20 June | 18 Sept. | Smithsonian Institution | Bird marking |
| Robert L. DeLong | ---do.-- | --do.- | --------do.-------- | Do. |

[^13]Two members of a four-man Task Force Committee scheduled to review research programs of the Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory l-12 November 1965 were on St. Paul Island 6-14 August to gain information that later assisted them in their review. They were: Committee Chairman Gerald V. Howard, Director of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Tuna Resources Laboratory at La Jolla, Calif.; and Marvin D. Grosslein, biometrician from the Bureau's Biological Laboratory at Woods Hole. Mass.

Thomas C. Poulter, Director, Stanford Research Institute, and assistants Diane Slaughter and Richard Jennings were on St. Paul Island 19-29 July to make sonar recordings of fur seals for the Institute's Biological Sonar Laboratory.

Allison M. Craig and assistant Fred Tarasoff studied reproductive maturation in female fur seals on St. Paul Island 6 August to 15 September. Miss Craig represents the Fisheries

Research Board of Canada, which supports her fur seal research at the University of British Columbia.

Joseph Daniels, embryologist from the University of Colorado, was on St. Paul Island 18-31 August to study in-vitro incubation of fur seal blastocysts. He was assisted by Michael Cowan.

Francis H. Fay of the U.S. Public Health Service was on the Pribilof Islands 17-26 August examining shrews, lemmings, and foxes for the hydatid tapeworm (Echinococcus).

Keith Farrell, Department of Agriculture, Washington State University Extension, was on St. Paul Island 17-18 August in connection with a study proposed for determining the susceptibility of fur seals to salmon poisoning and Elokomin fluke fever. These diseases are carried by the intermediate stages of the intestinal fluke Troglotrema salmincola that infects salmon. The fluke matures in carnivores.


5 WHSE 01727

Created in 1849, the Department of the Interior--a department of conservation--is concerned with the management, conservation, and development of the Nation's water, fish, wildlife, mineral, forest, and park and recreational resources. It also has major responsibilities for Indian and Territorial affairs.

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department works to assure that nonrenewable resources are developed and used wisely, that park and recreational resources are conserved for the future, and that renewable resources make their full contribution to the progress, prosperity, and security of the United States--now and in the future.
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[^0]:    Note.--Alton Y. Roppel and Ancel M. Johnson, Wildlife Biologists (Research), and Raymond E. Anas, Fishery Biologist (Research), Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Marine Mammal Biological Laboratory, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle, Wash. 98il5; and Douglas G. Chapman, Laboratory of Statistical Research, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash. 98105.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Special terms used in this report are defined in the glossary.

[^2]:    ${ }_{2}$ Animals bearing tags or checkmarks applied in year of birth.
    ${ }^{2}$ Length was taken to nearest half inch, then converted to centimeters.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lost-tag recoveries on $S t$. George Island were corrected by the ratio of lost-tag to tagged pups observed on St. Paul Island.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on samples of 25 pups, 3-4 August.
    2 Based on samples of 25 pups, 12-13 August.
    ${ }^{3}$ Based on samples of 100 pups, 2-11 August.
    4 Excluding point south of Sea Lion Neck.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Trade names referred to in this publication do not imply endorsement of commercial products.

[^6]:    1 NEP = Northeast Point (Vostochni and Morjovi rookeries); TZR = Tolstoi, Zapadni Reef, Little Zapadni; ZAP= Zapadni;
    REEF-LK = Reef, Gorbatch, Andiguen, and Lukanin, Kitovi; POL= Polovina, Polovina Cliffs, Little Polovina.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes experimental and rejected skins.
    3 Includes 480 unclassified males taken during the female kill, 23-27 August. Does not include 854 males in ages $4-8$ taken for special purposes.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ NEP = Northeast Point (Vostochni and Morjovi rookeries) ; TZR = Tolstoi, Zapadni Reef, Inttle Zapadni; ZAP = Zapadni; REEF-LK = Reef, Gorbatch, Ardiguen, and Lukanin, Kitovi; POL = Polovina, Polovina Cliffs, Little Polovina.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes experimental and rejected skins.
    ${ }^{3}$ Does not include 854 males in ages $4-8$ taken for special purposes, nor 480 unclassified males taken during the female kill, 23-27 August.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ NOR = North; EAST = East Reef, East Cliffs; ZAP = Zapaini, South; STAR = Staraya Artil.
    ${ }^{2}$ Does not include 421 unclassified males taken during the female kill, 16-27 August.

[^9]:    1 NEP $=$ Northeast Point (Vostochni and Morjovi rookeries) ; REEF = Reef, Gorbatch, Ardiguen; L. ZAP = Little Zapadni; POL = Polovina, Polovina Cliffs, Little Polovina.
    2 Does not include 3,639 unclassified females taken during the male kill, 7 July to 9 August.

[^10]:    1 ZAP = Zapadni; NOR = North; STAR = Staraya Artil.
    Includes 229 unclassified females taken during the male kill, 7 July to 6 August.

[^11]:    1 NEP = Northeast Point (Vostochni and Morjovi rookeries); REEF = Reef, Gorbatch, Ardiguen; ZAP = Zapadni; POL = Polovina, Polovina Cliffs, Little Polovina; TOL = Tolstoi; NOR = North; EAST = East Reef, East Cliffs.

    2 Double-tagged--tag on right front flipper lost.

[^12]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Numbers in parentheses are the number of pups in each sample.
    ${ }^{2}$ One week after tagging.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mean weights from the first of three weighings (1 week after tagging).
    4 Two weeks after tagging.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Temporary employee.

