

and the second s

and and a second se

₩\$*~**£ ₩\$*

\$10\$0 - 0 - 0 - 0

44.,

-

Univ or Tourses La ser

- 46 * X.

5.0000 2



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

http://www.archive.org/details/strengthofcanadi00boveuoft







with the author's complex

THE STRENGTH OF CANADIAN DOUGLAS FIR, RED PINE, WHITE PINE AND SPRUCE.

PROFESSOR H. T. BOVEY, LL.D., F.R.S.C.

28/4/11



Vot. IX., 1895.

TRANS. CAN. Soc. C. E.

THE STRENGTH OF

CANADIAN DOUGLAS FIR,

RED PINE, WHITE PINE AND SPRUCE.

BY HENRY T. BOVEY, M.INST.C.E., LL.D.

(Read January 25, 1895.)

In the present Paper it is proposed to give a statement of the results which have been obtained up to the present time, from the numerous experiments which havo been carried out in the Testing Laboratories, McGill University, on the strength of Canadian Douglas Fir, Red Pine White Pine and Spruce.

These experiments, which have now extended over a period of more than two years, will still be continued, and it is hoped that the results, will be set before the profession in a Paper on some future occasion.

In order that the subject may be treated in as comprehensive a manner as possible, the engineers and lumber merchants, who must necessarily be most particularly interested, are earnestly requested to give their co-operation. They can render valuable service by sending to the University Laboratories timbers of any and all sizes. These timbers should, in each case, be accompanied by a history giving the treatment of the timber from the time when the tree was felled, as, for example, the locality in which the tree grew should be specified, the manner in which the log was brought to the mill, the length of time during which it was kept in water (salt or fresh), the time during which it was kept in the pile at the mill, and, if the timber has already been in service, the length of this service. Any other details respecting the history of the timber may also be given, so that the information may in every case be as complete as eircumstances will permit.

The attention of members is specially directed to the tables showing the deflection of beams under transverse loading, and also to tables showing the extension of specimens under direct tension.

These tables tend to prove conclusively the statement made by the author many years ago, *i.e.*, that timber, unlike iron and steel, may be strained to a point near the breaking point without being seriously injured. It will be observed that in almost all cases the increments of deflection and extension, almost up to the point of fracture, are very nearly proportional to the increments of load, and it seems impossible to define a limit of elasticity for timber. This probably accounts for the continued existence of many timber structures in which the timbers have been and are still continually subjected to excessive stresses, the factor of safety being often less than $1\frac{1}{2}$. Whether it is advisable so to strain timber is another question, and experiments are still required to show how timber is affected by frequently repeated strains.

TRANSVERSE STRENGTH.

The following Table gives in inches the distances between the contres of the end bearings (1), the mean depths (d) and the mean breadths (b) of the Beams I to LXI referred to in this Paper :--

Beams I II	111	IV	V	V1	VII
1 96 60	6 66	69	69	69	69
X X	x x	×	X	X	×
d 12.125 12.	125 5.375	9.125	9.125	6.125	6
× ×	< X	×	X	X	X
b 9 5.	625 4.25	5	5	6	-5.8125

Beams I	V111 69	IX 204	$rac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{198}}$	X1 204	X11 204	X111 204	X1V 204
d	\times 5.125	× 14.875	$\times_{14.875}$	X 14.875	\times 14.875	× 14.75	X 11.75
ь	\times 5.5	$\overset{\times}{_9}$	Х б	\times 8.6875	\times 8.8125	$\underset{6}{\times}$	× 6
Beams I	$rac{XV}{198}$	$rac{\mathrm{X}\mathrm{V1}}{198}$	$\begin{array}{c} { m XVH} \\ 138 \end{array}$	XV111 138	XIX 138	$\frac{XX}{138}$	XXI 138
d .	\times 15	\times 15	\times 15.125	\times 17.8	\times 1211	\times	$\mathbf{x}_{8.98}$
Ь	\times $_{6.125}$	\times $_{6.125}$	\approx $_{9}$	\times 8.76	× 9.1	× 88.4	× 5.95
Beáms I	$\begin{array}{c} XX\Pi \\ 162 \end{array}$	X X I II 186	XX1V 132	XXV 144	$\begin{array}{c} { m XXVI}\\ { m 210} \end{array}$	X X V I I 210	XXVIII 210
d	\times 15.6875	\times 14.35	\times 16.2	$\times_{15,65}$	$\underset{13}{\times}$	$\times \\ 13.125$	$\times_{11.25}$
I,	$\stackrel{\times}{_{7.75}}$	$\overset{\times}{_{8.78}}$	$\overset{\times}{_{7.75}}$	\times 8.2	$\overset{\times}{_{6.375}}$	$\mathbf{x}_{6.1875}$	× 6.34375
Beams 1	XXIX 210	XXX 174	XXXI 174	$\begin{array}{c} XXX11\\ 180 \end{array}$	XXXIII 180	X X X I V 156	XXX V 156
đ	\times 11.25	\times 7.25	$\underset{7\ 125}{\times}$	$\times \\ 8.125$	\times 11 125	× 9.125	× 11.15
þ	$\underset{6.25}{ imes}$	$\overset{ imes}{_{6.1875}}$	$\times _{6.21875}$	\times 3.1	$\underset{3,1}{\times}$	\mathbf{x} $_{3.125}$	\times , $3,325$
Beams 1	$\begin{array}{c} XXXVI\\ 288 \end{array}$	XXXVII 288	XXXVI 114	H XXXI. 102	X XI. 120	$rac{\mathrm{XLI}}{\mathrm{120}}$	XL11 288
d	\times 18	\times 18	\times 18	\times 18	$\frac{\times}{18}$	\mathbf{x}_{18}	$\underset{18}{\times}$
Ь	$\approx \frac{9}{9}$	$\cdot \times _{9}$	\approx $_{9}$	\approx $_{9}$	\approx 9	$\frac{\times}{9}$	$\times_{_{9}}$
Beams 1	X1.111 120	XLIV 120	XLV 258	X L V I 120	XLVH 120	XLVII 150	I XLIX
ь	X	X	× 18	X	× 18	×	X 15.375
Ь	$\overset{\cdot}{\underset{9}{\times}}$	×	×	\times	$\frac{\times}{9}$ =	× 9.375	$\times \\ 9.125$
Beams	L	11	LH 180	LI	II I	.1 V 255	LV 120
۰ ا	×	×	X	5 1	< 5 17	×	× 17.5
ь	× 9.063	25 9	× 9.0	5- 9.	< · 05 - 8	× 8.875	X 8.875
Beams	LVI 120	LVI 180	1 I.VH	I L	1X 50	LX 138	LXI 186
r d	X	X	X	>	<.	X	X
	17.5	15	J.I.T	13 İ.	5 11	25	14.5

The transverse tests were carried out with the Wicksteed 100; to machine by means of a specially designed arrangement shown in the photograph on the opposite page.

By this arrangement the two ends are gradually forced downwards while the centre is supported upon the addle suspended from the lever of the machine. Thus the two halves of the beam are really equivalent to two cantilevers loaded at the ends. By means of a very simple device, the pressure can be increased so regularly as to ensure an absolute equality in these end loads.

Figures 1 and 2 show the device employed to keep the pressure on the ends of the beam always normal to the surface. The spherical



joint allows the hearing to revolve, and by means of the prismatic slot any form of bearing surface may be introduced.

The formula used in calculating the skin-strengths and co-efficients of elasticity have been deduced by means of the ordinary theory of flexure which is based upon assumptions which actual experience shows to be far from being true. These assumptions are :—



(a) That the beam is symmetrical with respect to a certain plane.

(b) That the material of the beam is homogeneous.

(c) That sections which are plane before bending remain plane after bending.

(d) That the ratio of longitudinal stress to the corresponding strain is the ordinary (i. e. Young's) modulus of elasticity, notwithstanding the lateral connection of the elementary layers.

(e) That these elementary layers expand and contract freely under tensile and compressive forces.

In each case, the skin stress at the point of fracture in lbs. per sq. in has been determined by means of the formula,

$$f = \frac{3}{2} \frac{l (2 W_1 + W_2)}{b d^2}$$

 W_i -lbs. being the weight at an end, W_{\pm} -lbs. half the weight of the beam *l*-ins. the length of the beam between the two end centres of pressure, *b*-ins. the breadth and *d*-ins. the depth at the section of fracture.

In practice, the breaking weight, $W_1 + \frac{1}{2} W_2$, is usually determined from the formula,

$$W_1 + \frac{1}{2} W_2 = C \frac{b d^2}{l},$$

C being the co-efficient of rupture. Hence, f = 3 C.

It may perhaps be well to point out that a very small error in estimating the depth of a beam may lead to a considerable error in the calculated skin stress. Thus from the formula just given it appears that if Δf be the change in the skin stress corresponding to a change Δd in the depth, then

$$\Delta f = - 2 \frac{f}{d} \Delta d,$$

and the skin stress will be increased or diminished by this amount, according as the estimated depth is too small or too great by the amount $\exists d$.

For instance, in the case of the Spruce Beam No. L, the calculated skin stress, disregarding the diminution of depth due to compression, is 5123 lbs. The initial depth (d) of the beam was 17.5 ins., and the amount of the compression (Δd) 2 ins. Thus the error (Δf) in the skin stress is

$$^{\Delta}f = -2\frac{5123}{17.5}2 = 1171$$
 lbs. per sq. in.,

and the actual stress becomes $5123 + 1171 \pm 6294$ lbs. per sq. in., showing an increase of 22.8 per cent.

Now, in every example of transverse testing, the material is more or less compressed at the central support. The central support in the following examples was a hardwood block of 20 ins. diameter. The amount of the compression at this support depends not only upon the nature of the material of the beam and upon the character of the support, but also very especially upon the ratio of the length of the beam to its depth. In calculating the skin stress corresponding to the breaking weight, therefore, three assumptions may be made :--

1st. That the compression at the support may be disregarded.

2nd. That the effective depth of the beam may be taken as equal to the initial depth minus the amount of the compression, and that the usual law may be assumed to hold good for the whole of this effective depth.

3rd. That the compression portion of the beam is alone affected, so that the so called neutral plane remains in the same position relatively to the tension face of the beam from the common coment of the test to the end.

Calculations based upon these three assumptions have been made in several of the following cases, and it will be observed that in all cases the skin stress calculated upon the first assumption is invariably less than the skin stress determined upon either of the remaining assumptions.

Thus any error is on the safe side.

It should be remembered, however, that it is possible, and even probable, that neither of these assumptions is even approximately correct, at all events, beyond the limit of elasticity, which in the case of timber still remains indefinite. The portion in compression doubtless acquires increased rigidity, and thus exerts a continually increasing resistance, so that there is produced a more or less perfect equalization of stress throughout the portion of the beam under compression, and this equalization will doubtless materially a ffect both the elasticity and the strength.

An interresting paper on the surface-loading of beams was presented by Prof. C. A. Carus-Wilson to the Physical Society of London, (Eng.), and an abstract of this Paper is to be found in the author's treatise on the Theory of Structures.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by the tranverse loading, is deduced from the formula

$$E = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta W}{\Delta D} \frac{l^3}{bd^3}$$

W being the increment of weight corresponding to the increment ΔD of the deflection.

Here again an error Δd in the estimated depth will produce an error ΔE in the calculated eo-efficient of elasticity measured by

$$\Delta E = -3 \frac{E}{d} \Delta d.$$

DOUGLAS FIR.

Beams I to III were sent to the Testing Laboratory by Mr. John Kennedy, Chief Engineer of the Montreal Harbour Works.

Beams I and II were of good average quality.

Beam I was tested on March 1st, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 3. The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 45,000 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking weight of 45,000 lbs. is 4897 lbs. per square inch.

The eo-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .23-in. between the loads of 3500 and 22,500-lbs., is 1,138,900 lbs.

Table A shows the several readings.

Beam II was tested on March 2nd, 1893, with the annular rings running as in Fig. 4.

The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 36,575 lbs. when the beam failed by shearing longitudinally.



The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking weight is 4378 lbs. per square inch.

In connection with this experiment it is of interest to note that the timber, although it had failed by longitudinal shear, still possessed a very large amount of transverse strength, and similar facts will be subsequently referred to in the case of other beams. After the fracture, the load upon the beam was again gradually increased to 34,000 lbs. before a second failure occurred.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by the increment in the deficetion of .1 in. between the loads 2000 and 18,000-lbs., is 1,146,-900 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

Beam III was tested on March 2nd, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 5.

This Beam was of especially excellent quality, with clear, close, parallel grain, perfectly sound and free from knots. The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 12,950 lbs., when it failed by shearing longitudinally.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 10,441 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .2-in. between the loads of 500 and 4500-lbs., is 2,178,100 lbs.

Table B gives the several readings.

Beams IV to VIII were sent to the laboratory by the British Columbia Mills Timber & Trading Company through Mr. C. M. Beecher.

These beams were cut out of trees grown on the coast section of British Columbia, and felled in the fall or during the winter. The whole of the beams were free from knots, of good quality, and with the grain running straight from end to end.

Beam IV was tested May 17th, 1893, with the annular rings somewhat oblique as shown in Fig. 6. Under a load of 16,720 lbs. it



Figure. 6.

failed by shearing longitudinally along a plane AB at right angles to the annular rings, the distance between the ends of the portions above and below the plane of shear being $\frac{1}{4}$ -in. The plane of shear extended to a distance of about 36 ins. from the end of the beam.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 4156 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .14-in. between the loads of 2,000 and 8,000 lbs., is 926,500 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

After the beam had sheared longitudinally, the jockey weight was run back, and the load again gradually applied until it amounted to 15,000 lbs., when fracture occurred by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face. Under this load of 15,000 lbs. an opening of $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. was developed in the end at the plane of shear.

On May 11th this beam weighed 56 lbs. 13 ozs., or 28.59 lbs. per cubic foot. On May 17th, the weight of the beam was 56 lbs. 3 ozs., or 28.27 lbs. per cubic foot, so that while in the laboratory this beam lost in weight at the rate[of .0533-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam V was tested on May 19th, 1893, with the annular rings somewhat oblique as shown in Fig. 7. It failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face under a load of 23,610 lbs.



The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 5869 lbsper square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .24-in. between the loads of 1000-lbs. and 11,500-lbs., is 946,270 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on May 11th was 59 lbs., or 29,59 lbs. percubic foot. The weight of the beam on May 19th was 58 lbs. 3 ozs., or 29.18 lbs. per cubic foot, so that the loss in weight in the laboratory was at the rate of .05125-lb. per cubic foot per day. Beam VI was tested May 22nd, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 8. Under a load of 15,480 lbs. it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The corresponding maximum skin stress is 7116 lbs.

The co-efficient of elasticity as determined by an increase in the deflection of .3-in. between the loads of 500-lbs. and 8,000-lbs. is 1,489,215 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on May 11th was 49 lbs. 6 ozs., or 31.05 lbs. per cubic foot, and the weight on May 22nd was 48 lbs. 1 oz., or 30.23 lbs., showing a loss of weight while in the laboratory at the rate of .0745-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam VII was tested on May 19th, 1893. In this beam the annular rings ran somewhat obliquely as in Fig. 9. Under a load of 17.615 lbs., the beam sheared longitudinally along the plane AB, Fig. 10, the distance between the ends of the portions above and below the plane of shear being 3-16ths of an inch. The plane of shear extended to a distance of 46-ins. from the end of the beam.



The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking weight of 17,615 lbs. is 8712 lbs.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .255-in. between the loads of 500 lbs. and 8500 lbs., is 2,052,250 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

Immediately after the longitudinal shear the jockey weight was run back until it indicated a load of 5090 lbs, when the lever again floated. The weight was then gradually increased until it amounted to 11,840 lbs., when there was a second longitudinal shear along the plane CD at the other end, Fig. 11. The lap at the plane AB was now increased from 3-16ths in. to 3-10ths in., and the distance between the ends of the portions above and below the plane of shear at the other end of the beam was 3-20ths of an inch.

After this second shear the jockey weight was run back to 6840 lbs when the lever floated. The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 8990 lbs., when the beam was fractured by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

On May 11th, this beam weighed 60 lbs. 4 ozs., or 40.69 lbs. per eubic foot, and the weight on May 19th was 59 lbs. 2 ozs., or 39.92 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .09625-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam VIII was tested May 22nd, 1893. In this beam the annular rings were oblique as in Fig. 12. Under a load of 11,700 lbs. it failed at the support by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.



The maximum skin stress due to this load is 8382 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .32-in. between loads of 1000 lbs. to 5500 lbs., is 1,559,950 lbs.

Table B shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam on May 11th was 44 lbs., or 36.76 lbs. per eubie foot, and its weight on May 22nd was 42 lbs. 14 ozs., or 35.74 lbs. per eubic foot, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .0927-lb. per cubie foot per day.

Beams IX to XVI were sent to the laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson, chief engineer of the Canadian Pacific Railway.

Beam IX was grown on the mainland half way between Vancouver and New Westminster, in a flat country not much above the sea level. It was cut from a log 26 ins. in diameter and 34 feet in length, which was felled about the month of May, 1892. The log was floated to the mill at Vancouver, and lay in fresh water for ten months.

The timber corresponded to first quality in the market, its grain being straight and running parallel to the axis. It contained a season erack on the widest face, about 11 feet long, $3\frac{1}{2}$ ins. below the edge, and about $1\frac{1}{2}$ in. deep. The beam was tested Nov. 13th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 13, the heart of the tree being in one of the vertical faces. Under a load of 51,600 lbs. this beam failed at the support by the tearing apart at the centre of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 7974-lbs. per square ineb.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .77-in. between the loads of 1000-lbs. and 20,000-lbs., is 1,767,990 lbs.

Table C shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam was 603 lbs., or 36.49 lbs. per cubic foot on Oct. 3rd, 590 lbs. 13 ozs., or 35.76 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 10th, and 590 lbs. on Nov. 13th, showing a loss of weight while in the laboratory at the rate of .0195-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam X. This beam was tested Nov. 11th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 14. It was cut from a log 32 ins. in diameter grown on the mainland 120 miles north and west of Vancouver, on a hill side about 100 feet above the sca-level. The log was felled in the winter of 1892–93, and was then towed to the mill, and remained in salt water six months.

The grain in this beam ran crosswise, and it failed by a cross fracture along the plane AB, Fig. 15.

The fracture occurred under a load of 18,000 lbs., corresponding to a maximum skin stress of 4027 lbs. per square inch. The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the end deflections of .84-in. between the loads 1000-lbs. and 15,000 lbs., is 1,637,806 lbs.

Table C shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam was 407 lbs. 2 ozs., or 38.94 lbs. per cubic foot on Oct. 3rd, 406 lbs. 3 ozs., or 37.80 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 10th, and 404 lbs. 13 ozs., or 37.79 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 13th, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .03-lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XI. This beam was tested November, 7th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 16. Its history is the same as that of Beam



N. The timber was of a quality corresponding to first quality in the market, and the grain for the most part was parallel with the axis. It contained a few season cracks. On the tension face of the beam the fibres crossed from back to Front in a distance of $3\frac{1}{2}$ ft., commencing about live feet one end. The beam contained the heart of the tree, the annular rings being as in the Figure.

Under a load of 35,800 lbs, the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 5698 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .545-ins, between the loads of 2500 and 15,500-lbs., is 1,770,563 lbs.

Table D shows the several readings,

The weight of the learn was 595 lbs, 2 ozs., or 37.76 lbs, per enbie foot on October 3rd, and 583 lbs., or 36.99 lbs, per enbie foot on Nov. 14th, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .0183 lbs, per cubic foot per day.

Table D shews the several readings.

The time occupied by the test was 29 minutes.

Beam XII was tested Nov. 18th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 17. This beam was cut from a log 28 ins. in diameter, grown probably about 30 feet above the sea-level at Port Grey, about eight miles from Vancouver. The tree was felled in August, 1892; it remained in salt water nine months, being alternately wet and dry according to the tide; it was then towed to the mill and cut up.



The grain was straight and parallel to the axis, and the timber was of good quality corresponding to first quality in the market. It shewed several knots of medium size and a few season cracks. The beam contained the heart of the tree, the annular rings being as in Fig.

Under a load of 49,000 lbs, the beam failed by shearing longitudinally along the season crack AB.

Under this load the maximum skin stress is 7,645 lbs, per sq. in.

The co-efficient of elasticity as determined by an increment in the deflections of .545 ins. between the loads 2,500-lbs. and 15,000 fbs. is 1,678,300 lbs.

Table D shews the several readings.

The time occupied by the test was 37 minutes.

The weight of the beam was 572 lbs., or 35.65 lbs. per cubic foot on Oct. 3rd, and 558 lbs. 4 ozs, or 34.79 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 17th showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .0191 lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XIII. The history of this beam is the same as that of Beam IX. The beam was tested on Nov. 17th, 1893. The heart of the tree was in one of the faces, the annular rings being as in Fig. 18.

The timber was in good condition and of a quality corresponding to first quality in the market; there were small season cracks along the back of the beam, in the neighbourhood of the neutral plane, and there were also small season cracks along the whole of the front about 3 ins, above the face in compression.

Under a load of 29,300 lbs, this beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, commencing at a small knot at the back, Fig. 19.



The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 6912 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity as determined by an increase in the deflection of .805-ins. between the loads 1000-lbs. and 13,000 lbs. is 1,643,193 lbs.

Table E shows the several readings.

The beam weighed 381 lbs. 15 ez., or 34.56 lbs. per cubic foot on Oct. 3rd, and 375 lbs., or 34.13 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 15th, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .01 lb. per cubic foot per day.

The time compied by the test was 45 minutes.

Beam XIV is in reality Beam XIII re-tested, the second test having been made Dec. 2nd, 1893. The beam was replaced in the machine with the crippled side reversed so as to be in tension. The load was then gradually applied until it amounted to 17,600 lbs., when the beam failed on the tension side by the tearing apart of the fibres along the surface at which the crippling took place on the previous test.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 4082 lbs. per square inch as compared with 6912 lbs. per square inch in the first test. The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .51 ins. between the loads of 1,000 lbs: and 8,000 lbs., is 1,513,950 lbs, as compared with 1,643,193 lbs, in the first test.

Table E shews the several readings.

This experiment therefore shews that although the beam may have been crippled by undue pressure, it still retained a large amount of strength as well as elasticity.

Table E gives the several readings.

Beam XV. This beam was tested Nov. 18th, 1893. The timber was excellent in quality, equal to first quality in the market, clear and straight grained and free from knots. Its history is the same as that of Beam XII. The annular rings were oblique as in Fig. 20.



Under a load of 37,000 lbs. the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compre-sion face, Fig. 21.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 8020 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the material was .34-in., and the maximum skin compressive stress, taking 1466-in., as the effective depth, is 8189-lbs. per sq. in, the corresponding skin tension tress being 8577 lbs. per in. sq. 10

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 8511 lbs, per sq. in.

The co-efficient of clusticity as determined by an increment in the deflection of .755-ins. between the loads, 2000 lbs. and 18,000 lbs, is 1,989,400 lbs.

Table E shews the several readings.

The time occupied by the test was 30 minutes.

The weight of the beam was 445 lbs. 6 ozs., or 39.99 lbs. per cubic foot on Oct. 3rd, and 433 lbs. 13 ozs., or 38.92 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 17th, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .0237-lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XVI. This is really Beam XV re-tested, the second test having been made on Dec. 8th, 1893. In the first test the beam had failed by crippling on the compression face; the beam was now reversed, and under a load of 25,580 lbs. it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face along the surface at which the crippling had previously taken place. The tensile fracture extended 2 inches below the skin. The jockey weight was now run back until the lever again floated, and the load was gradually increased until it amounted to 32,000 lbs., when the beam fractured a second time on the tension side the fracture extending to a depth of 5 inches below the skin. The first fracture was accompanied by a longitudinal opening (as in Fig.) about 60 inches in extent. A second longitudinal opening, also about 60 inches long, occurred at the second fracture.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 25,580 lbs. is 5466 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .54 ins. between the leads of 1.000 lbs. and 11,500 lbs., was 1,825,450 lbs.

Table E gives the several readings.

The weight of the beam was reduced to 428 lbs., or 38.40 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss between the test on Nov. 17th, and that on Dec. 8th, at the rate of .02476 lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beams XVII to XXI were sent to the testing laboratories by the British Columbia Mills Timber & Trading Company through Mr. C. M. Beecher. The whole of these timbers were cut on the coast section of British Columbia. The trees from which Beams XVII, XVIII. XX and XXI were cut, were felled during the summer of 1893, and came from Hartney's Camp, Seymour Creek, while Beam XIX was eut from a tree felled in the spring of 1894, and came from Rowling's Camp, Salmon Arm.

Beam XVII was tested June 24th, 1894. This beam was coarse grained, the grain running very nearly parallel with the axis, and it contained a number of small knots on the compression side. It was cut from the heart of the tree, and was tested with the annular rings as in Fig. 22.



Under a load of 48,600 lbs. it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face, the corresponding maximum skin stress, neglecting the compression of the timber, being 4906 lbs. per square inch. The tensile fracture was followed immediately by a longitudinal shear, coin eident with the neutral plane at the centre of the beam, and extending for a distance of 8 fect from the end, Fig. 25. The distance between the portions of the beam above and below the plane of shear at the end was 3-10ths of an inch. Figs. 23 and 24 are sections at the end and at the centre showing the nature of the fractures.

The total compression of the material was 1.83 ius., and the maximum skin compressive stress, taking 13.295 ins. as the effective depth, is 5193 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding stress in the tension skin being 6851 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of this effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 6350 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity as determined by an increment in the deflection of .335-ins. between the loads 10,000-lbs. and 30,000 lbs., is 1,259,600 lbs.

Table F gives the several readings.

The weight of the beam, when shipped from Vancouver about April 21st, was 428 lbs., or 37.21 lbs. per cubic foot; on reaching the Laboratory on June 9th, the weight was found to be 411 lbs. 10 ozs., or 35.78 lbs. per cubic foot, and en the day of the test, namely, June 24th, the weight was 404 lbs. 8 ozs., or 35.17 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss at the rate of .02918-lb per cubic foot per day between Vancouver and the laboratory, and a loss at the rate of .04067-lb. per cubic foot per day while in the laboratory.

Beam XVIII. This beam was coarse grained, and contained several large and small knots; it was cut from the heart of the tree. It was tested Sept. 28th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 26.

The load on the beam was gradually increased to 12,000 lbs. The beam was now gradually relieved from strain until the load had been reduced to 1000 lbs, without showing any set. The load was again gradually increased from 1000 lbs, up to 19,000 lbs, when the beam was again relieved from load and the readings were taken for each difference of 1,000 lbs.

When the load had been reduced to 1000 lbs, the deflection at the centre was observed to be .015-in. as compared with .005-in, in the forward movement, and as soon as the beam was relieved of this 1000 lbs., it returned to its initial condition without showing any set whatever.

The time occupied by the first loading was 10 minutes, by the second loading 12 minutes, and by the relieving from load S minutes.

In the final test the load was gradually increased from nil until it amounted to 69,400 lbs., when the beam failed by shearing longitudinally, the shear being immediately followed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension f ce, Figs. 27 28, 29.



The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load was 5196 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 1-10th of an inch between the loads of 2000 lbs, and 12,000 lbs, being i,329,900 lbs.

Table F gives the several readings.

The weight of the beam at the date of shipment from Vancouver, April 21st, was 512 lbs., or 39.08 lbs. per cubic foot. On reaching the laboratory, on June 9th, this weight was 492 lbs. 10 ozs., or 37.60 lbs. per cubic foot, and the weight on Sept. 25th was 466 lbs. 6 ozs., or 35.59 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss in weight between Vancouver and the laboratory at the rate of .0302-lb. per cubic foot per day, and a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .0181-lb. per cubic foot per day. 12 Beam XIX. This beam was of exceptionally good quality, with clear close grain and no knots. It was tested Oct. 2nd, 1894, with the annular rings nearly vertical, as in Fig. 30.



The load on the beam was graduatly mercased up to 16,000 lbs., when it was gradually relieved from load, the readings being taken for each diminution of 4000 lbs. The corresponding readings are indicated in Table F.

When it was completely relieved from load, the scales showed readings of .005-in at the centre, .001-in and .003 in at the ends. These readings were probably due to inequalities in the timber or a possible sliding of the scales, as the beam showed no evident sign of set.

The load was again immediately increased gradually from nil until it amounted to 59,540 lbs., when the beam failed by longitudinal shear, followed by the splintering of the upper edges on the tension side, Figs. 31, 32. Fracture was also indicated by the crippling of the fibres on the compression side taking place between 58,000 and 59,540 lbs.

The distance between the portions of the beam above and below the plane of shear at the end was .36-in. as in the figure.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 9043 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced by an increase in the deflection of .3-in, between the loads of 2000-lbs. and 16,000 lbs., is 1,934,600 lbs.

Table F shows the several readings.

The time occuped by the first loading was $10\frac{1}{2}$ mins., by the relieving from the load $6\frac{3}{4}$ mins., and by the second loading from nil to the max., $15\frac{1}{2}$ mins.

The weight of this beam on April 21st, the date of its shipment from Vaneouver, was 410 lbs., or 44.99 lbs. per cubic foot. On reaching the laboratory the weight was 392 lbs. 8 ozs., or 43.07 lbs. per cubic foot, and the weight on Oct. 2nd, the date of the test, was 375 lbs. 10 ozs., or 41.22 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .0392-lb. per cubic foot per day between Vaneouver and the laboratory, and a loss at the rate of .0161-lb. per cubic foot per day while in the laboratory.

Beam XX. This beam was cut from the heart of the tree, and was tested Nov. 3rd., 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 33.

It was coarse grained, the grain being very nearly parallel with the axis, and contained a number of knots.



The load was gradually increased until it amounted 12,000 lbs., and at this point the beam was gradually relieved from load, readings being taken for every diminution of 2000 lbs. When the load had been reduced to 500 lbs., the reading at the centre was .001-in., probably due to a movement of the scale. The load was again gradually increased until it amounted to 40,000 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression side in the neighbourhood of a smallknot $1\frac{1}{4}$ in above the compression face, Figs. 34, 35, 36. The erippling extended about 4 ins. above this face. The load was still gradually increased until it amounted to 49,600 lbs., when the beam again failed by the tearing apart of the fibres ou the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 40,000 lbs., and disregarding the compression of the timber, is 6559 lbs., and the skin stress corresponding to the load of 49,600 lbs., is 8127 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression of the timber was .345-ins., so that taking the effective depth under this load to be 11.655 ins., the maximum skin compressive stress would be 6710 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tension stress being 7125 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 6936 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from a change in the deflection of .22-in. between the loads 4000 lbs. and 12,000 lbs., both forwards and while being relieved from load in the first reading, and also during the second loading, is 1,571,150 lbs.

Table G shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam when shipped from Vancouver, April 21st, was 349 lbs, or 41.16 lbs. per cubic foot; when delivered at the laboratory on June 9th, it weighed 329 lbs., or 36.70 lbs. per cubic foot, and on Nov. 3rd it weighed 311 lbs. $6\frac{1}{2}$ ozs., or 34.92 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight between Vancouver and the laboratory at the rate of .091-lb. per cubic foot per day, and a loss while in the laboratory at the rate of .0121-lb. per cubic foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was 26 mins.

Beam XXI. This beam was tested Nov. 3rd, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 37.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 6000 lbs., when it was gradually relieved of load, at the rate of 1000 lbs. for each observation, and the beam returned to its initial condition without showing any sign of set. The load was again gradually increased until it amounted to 17,960 lbs., when a sharp fracture took place by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side, and this was accompanied by a simultaneous crippling of the fibres on the compression side, Figs. 38, 39, 40.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 17,960 lbs. is 7787 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .16-in.. so that taking the effective depth at the centre to be 8.82 ins., the maximum skin compressive stress at the point of fracture is 7901 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 8221 lbs. per sq. in.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the max. skin stress would be 8100 lbs. per sq. in.

The co-efficient of clasticity, as deduced by a change in the deflection of .48 in. between the loads of 1000-lbs. and 6000 lbs., during the first loading, and while being relieved of load, is 1,588,400 lbs.

Table G shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam when shipped from Vancouver, April 21st, was 164 lbs., or 38.86 lbs. per cubic foot; when received at the laboratory on June 9th, the weight was 151 lbs. 4 ozs., on 33.02 lbs. per cubic foot. and on Nov. 13th, the date of test, the weight was 139 lbs. $10\frac{1}{2}$

ozs., or 30.83 lbs. per enbic foot, showing a loss of weight between Vancouver and the laboratory at the rate of .1192-lbs, per enbic foot per day, and a loss of weight while in the laboratory at the rate of .0149 lbs. per cubic. foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was 181 mins,

OLD DOUGLAS FIR.

Beams XXII-XXV were sent to the Laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson, Chief Engineer of the Canadian Pacific Railway.

These beams were four old stringers taken from trestles numbered 428, 35, 316 and 789.

Trestle 428 is about half way between Cisco Cantilever Bridge and Lytton. It was erected in the early summer of 1884, and the timbers had consequently been in position for nine years. It is in a dry country, with very little rainfall, and subject to a hot sun in summer. The stringer from this structure was eut out of a log probably grown on a flat about three miles west of Hope, where most of the trees were windshaken.

Trestle No. 35 is about one mile west of Port Moody, and was built in the early spring of 1887, so that the stringer was in position for a period of $6\frac{1}{2}$ years in a place subject to the heaviest rainfall in the province. The stringer was ent from a log most probably grown at Point Grey, about eight miles from Vanconver.

Trestle No. 316 is two miles east of Spuzzum. The stringer from this trestle was cut from a log grown on a bench near Spuzzum about 500 feet above the sea-level. It was prepared and framed in 1881, and erected in 1882, so that it was cleven years in position in a district with a climate similar to that of Nova Scotia. As the railway here runs north and south, the sun had not the same effect upon the stringers as on other parts of the line.

Trestle No. 789 is on Kamloops Lake, six miles east of Savona, and was erected in the spring of 1885, so that the timbers had been in service for a period of eight years. The neighbourhood is dry, but the trestle, being situated under a high bluff, is protected from the afternoon sun. The stringer from this structure was cut out of a log probably grown about three miles west of Hope, at the same place as the timbers used in structure No. 428.

Beam XXII from Trestle 428, was tested Nov. 25th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 41.



There were two vertical 1-in. bolt holes in the timber,—one near the centre and one at the end. There were also several season eracks in the timber, one being somewhat large.

The load upon the beam, was gradually increased until it amounted to 55,400 lbs., when the beam failed by a longitudinal shear, as in Figs. 42, 43.

The distance between the portions of the beam above and below the plane of shear at the end was $\frac{3}{5}$ ths of an inch.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 7086 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .63-in., so that taking the effective depth at 15.0575 ins., the maximum skin compressive stress is 7264 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 7898 lbs. per square inch. Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 7,382 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced by an increase in the deflection of .39 in. betweeen the loads of 2,000 lbs. and 20,000 lbs., is 1,639,500 lbs., while it is 1,691,620 lbs. for an increment in the deflection of .42 in. between the loads 2,000 lbs. and 22,000 lbs.

Table H gives the readings under the several loads.

The weight of the beam on the day of test was 33.75 lbs. per eubic foot, and the total weight on Oct. 3rd was 438 lbs. 7 ozs.

Beam XXIII from 'l'restle No. 789 was tested Nov. 28th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 44, and showing the heart in one of the faces.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 47,560 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face, which was immediately followed by a longitudinal shear, as in Figs 45, 46.

The naximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 47,560 lbs. is 7339 lbs.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment of .66 in. in the deflection between the loads of 2,000 lbs. and 22,000 lbs., is 1,878,950 lbs.

Table I shows the readings under the various loads.

The total weight of the beam on Oct. 3rd was 654 lbs. 12 ozs., or 38.95 lbs. per cubic foot; the total weight on Nov. 28th, the date of test, was 549 lbs. $8\frac{1}{2}$ ozs., or 38.59 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .00643 lbs. per cubic foot per day. Estimating the weight of this beam from a solid block cut out of the beam, it was found to be 39.13 lbs. per cubic foot, or .54 lb. per cubic foot heavier than the weight deduced from the total weight of the whole beam.

Beam XXIV from Trestle No. 35. This beam was tested Nov. 25th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 47. It contained two vertical $\frac{3}{4}$ in. bolt holes about half way between the centre and ends, and a few knots of average size appeared on the face. It also contained several season cracks.



The initial load, including the weight of the beam, was 5,000 lbs., and the load was gradually increased up to 41,000 lbs., when the material at one end of the beam was crushed in. The ends of the beam were found to be very much the worse for wear and in a rotten condition. Releasing the beam from load the ends were sawn off and the beam was replaced at 9-1t. centres, when the load was gradually inereased nntil it amounted to 76,900 lbs. Under this load the beam failed by longitudinal shear, which was accompanied by a certain amount of crippling of the fibres on the compression side of the centre, as in Figs. 48, 49. 16 The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 76,900 lbs. was 6135 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression nuder a load of 41,000 lbs, at the centre was 1.7 in., and taking the effective depth of the beam to be 14.5 ins., the corresponding maximum skin compressive stress is 6495 lbs, per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 8221 lbs, per square inch.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 7662 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .16-in, between the loads of 11,000 and 22,000 lbs., is 1,199,741 lbs.; as determined by an increment of the deflection of .33-in, between the loads 10,000 lbs, and 32,000 lbs., it is 1,163,354 lbs.; and as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .29-in, the mean between .285-in, and .295-in,, the increments between the loads of 5,000 and 25,000 lbs, and 10,000 and 30,000 lbs, respectively, it is 1,203,500 lbs.

Table II shows the several readings.

The total weight of the beam on Nov. 25th, the date of test, was 331 lbs. 9 ozs., or 32.8 lbs. per cubic foot. After cutting off the ends, the weight of a length of 9 feet was 262 lbs. 5 ozs., or 33.4 lbs. per cubic foot. The total weight of the beam on October 3rd was 339 lbs. 9 oz.

Beam XXV from Trestle 316. This beam was te-ted Nov. 28th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 50, and showing the heart on one of the faces.



It contained one vertical bolt hole, several knots, and many season eracks. The grain was straight.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 42,900 lbs., when a large splinter broke off on the tension fee, and the beam failed by longitudinal shear, as in Figs. 51, 52.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking load is 4613 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .335-in, between the loads of 4,000 lbs, and 20,000 lbs., is 949,720 lbs.

Table I shows the readings for the several loads.

The total weight of the beam on October 3rd was 422 lbs., or 34.44 lbs. per cubic foot, and on Nov. 28th, the date of test, the weight was 406 lbs., or 33.11 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight in the Laboratory at the rate of .237-lbs. per cubic foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was 30 minutes.

		Jackson and the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Beam.	Dimensions in inches.	Weight in lbs. per oubic foot at date of test.	Maximum skin stress in lbs. per sq. in.	Co-efficient of elasticity in lbs.
_	NEW TIMBER, SPEC	CIALLY SHLE	стер.	
III. XIX. VII. XV.	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} l & d & b \\ 66 \times & 5.375 \times 4125 \\ 138 \times 12.1 & \times 9.1 \\ 69 \times & 6 \times 5.8125 \\ 198 \times & 15 \times 6.125 \end{array}$	41.22 39.92 38.92	10,441 9,043 8,712 8,020	2,178,100 1,934,500 2,044,115 1.989,400
	New Timber, 1	TRST QUALIT	Y.	
X XI IX VIII XVIII XVIII XXI XIII XXII XIII XXII VI I I II V IV	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} l & d & b \\ 198 \times 14.875 \times 6 \\ 204 \times 14.875 \times 8.6875 \\ 2c4 \times 14.875 \times 9 \\ 69 \times 5.125 \times 5.5 \\ 138 \times 17.8 \times 8.76 \\ 138 \times 15.125 \times 9 \\ 138 \times 12. & & 8.88 \\ 204 \times 14.875 \times 8.8125 \\ 204 \times 14.875 \times 8.8125 \\ 204 \times 14.75 \times 66 \\ 138 \times 8.98 \times 5.95 \\ 69 \times 6.125 \times 6 \\ 96 \times 12.125 \times 9. \\ 66 \times 12.125 \times 5.625 \\ 69 \times 9.125 \times 5. \\ 69 \times 9.125 \times 5. \\ 69 \times 9.125 \times 5. \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,027\\ 5,698\\ 7,694\\ 8,382\\ 5,196\\ 4,907\\ 6,559\\ 7,645\\ 6,912\\ 7,784\\ 7,784\\ 7,7116\\ 4,897\\ 4,378\\ 5,869\\ 4,156\end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 1,629,616\\ 1,770,563\\ 1,764,939\\ 1,584,692\\ 1,329,600\\ 1,259,600\\ 1,571,150\\ 1,678,300\\ 1,643,193\\ 1,588,400\\ 1,489,215\\ 1,138,500\\ 1,146,900\\ 946,270\\ 926,500 \end{matrix}$
	Old Th	MBER.		
XXIII XXII XXV XXV XXIV	$\begin{array}{cccccc} t & t & b \\ 186 \times 14.35 & \times 8.78 \\ 162 \times 15.6875 & \times 7.75 \\ 144 \times 15.65 & \times 8.2 \\ 132 \times 16.2 & \times 7.75 \end{array}$	38.59 33.75 33.11 32.8	7,339 7,086 4,613 6,135	1,878,950 1,665,560 949,720 1,201,620

The following Table gives a summary of the results obtained for Douglas Fir :---

The following data may be adopted in practice :---

In the case of specially selected timber, free from knot-, with sound clear and straight grain, and cut out of the log at a distance from the heart:

Average weight in lbs. per eubie foot = 40.

Average co-efficient of elasticity in lbs. per sq. in. = 2,000,000.

Average maximum skin stress in lbs. per square inch = 9000.

Safe working skin stress in lbs. per square inch = 3000-lbs.

In the case of first quality timber, such as is ordinarily found in the market :

Average weight in lbs. per cubic foot = 34.

Average co-efficient of elasticity in lbs. per square inch = 1,430,000.

Average maximum skin stress in lbs. per square inch = 6000.

Safe working skin stress in lbs, per square inch = 2000.

In specifying these data it will be observed that 3 is adopted as the factor of safety. Upon this hypothesis the factor of safety for the stick giving the minimum skin stress in more than 2, and this, in the opinion of the author, is an ample factor for a material which experience and all experiments show, may be strained without danger very nearly up to the point of fracture.

Further, the results obtained in the experiments with the old stringers show that the strength of the timber had been retained to a very large extent, and that the rotting had not extended to such a depth below the skin as to sensibly affect the efficiency of the sticks, which still possessed ample strength for the work they were designed to do.

Thus in Beam XXII a diminution in the skin stress of 1058 lbs, per square inch, which is equivalent to a diminution in the effective depth of $\frac{15.6875 \times 1055}{2 \times 7058} = 1.076$ -ins, would still leave 6000 lbs, per square inch as the skin stress. Thus if the rotting had extended to depth of 1.176 ins., the factor of safety would still remain 3.

If 2 is adopted as the factor of safety, and, in the opinion of the author, 2 is an ample factor for the great majority of cases, the rotting might extend without danger to a depth of 3.398 ins.

In the case of B carm XXV, which is the old stringer giving the least co-efficient of strength, namely, 4613 lbs. per square inch, taking 2 as the factor of safety, the effective depth might be diminished by an amount of $\frac{15.65 \times 613}{2 \times 4013} = 1.04$ ins. and rot might safely extend to this depth.

Again, it will be observed that the skin stress and the elasticity are subject to a wide variation. This variation is due to many causes, of which the most important are the presence of knots, obliquity of grain, and, more than all, the locality in which the timber was grown, the original position of the stick in the log from which it was cut, and the proportion of hard to soft fibre, or of the summer to the spring growth. The tensile shearing and compressive experiments upon specimens cut out of different parts of the same log all shew that the timber near the heart possesses much less strength and stiffness than the timber at a distance from the heart

The accompanying photograph is given to show the variation of



thickness in the growth rings from the heart outwards, and a careful study of the results obtained up to date would seem to indicate that the best elassification defining the strength of the timber would be found by dividing the section of a log into three parts by means of two circles, with the heart as the centre, and by designating the central portion as third quality, the portion between the two circles as second quality, and the outermost portion as first quality.

A most interesting paper on the structural characteristics of Douglas Fir from a botanical standpoint was read by Professor Penhallow, F.R.S.C., at the meeting of the Royal Society of Canada in Ottawa, in 1894, in connection with a paper by the author on the strength of the timber.

RED PINE.

Beams XXVI to XXXIII were sent to the laboratory by Messrs. McLachlin Bros., of Arnprior.

These beams were not specially selected, but were the ordinary seantlings in the market. They were cut from logs felled in February or March, 1893, in the neighbourhood of the Bonnechère River, Nipissing District, County Renfrew. The logs remained in the water from April until October, when they were sent to the mill, where they were sawn up and piled.

Beam XXVI. This beam was cut from the heart of the true, and was tested March 13th, 1894, with the annular rings, as in Fig. 53.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 13,800 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Figs. 54, 55. The load was still further increased until complete fracture took place by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face under a load of 17,170 lbs. The crippling was in line with a knot running through the timber from back to front, as in the Figure.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 13,800 lbs. is 3937 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .2-in., so that, taking the effective depth as 13.05, the maximum skin compressive stress would be 3994 lbs. per sq. in., the corresponding skin tensile stress being 4119 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 4059 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .885-in. between the loads 1,000 and 8,000 lbs., is 1,235,000 lbs., and as determined by an increment in the deflection of .5-in. between the loads 2,000 and 6,000 lbs., is 1,248,990 lbs.

Table K shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam, on March 10th, was 392 lbs. 2 ozs., or 37.56 lbs. per cubic foot, and on March 13th it was 379 lbs. 4 ozs., or 36.39 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .39-lb, per cubic foot per day.

Beam XXVII was tested April 5th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 56. The beam was cut from the heart of the tree, and the darkened portion in the Figure, was sapwood.



The load upon the beam, was gradually increased until it amounted to 17,700 lbs., when the beam failed by the teaving apart of the fibres on the tension face, Figs. 57, 58, at a resin pocket, the fracture showing a fine resinous surface.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 5219 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .34-in., so that taking 12.785 ins. as the effective depth, the maximum skin compressive stress would be 5411 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 5707 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the ordinary law to hold good for the whole of the effcetive depth, the maximum skin stress would be 5501 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 7-in. between the loads 1500-lbs. and 7500 lbs., is 1,418,500 lbs.

Table K gives the several readings.

The total weight of the beam on March 10th was 46 lbs. 12 ozs., or 41.51 lbs. per cubic foot; the total weight on April 5th, the date of test, was 397 lbs. 4 ozs., or 36.50 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight while in the laboratory, at the rate of .192-lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XXV111. This beam was cut from the heart of the tree, and was tested April 20th, 1894, with the annular rings as shown in Fig 59.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it autounted to 17,050 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Figs. 60, 61. The lead was still increased until under 19,140 lbs, the beam again failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the load under which erippling took place is 6752 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression of the beam under a load of 17,050 lbs. was .24-in., so that taking the effective depth to be 11.01 ins., the corresponding maximum skin compressive stress would be 6886 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 7193 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 7050 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of clasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of 1.435 in between the loads of 2000 and 12,000 lbs., is 1,786,000 lbs.; it is 1,858,400 lbs., as determined by an increment in the deflection of .84-in. between the loads 3500 and 9500 lbs., and is 1,681,100 lbs., as determined by an increment in the deflection of 1.135 in. between the loads of 2000 and 10,000 lbs

Table K shows the several readings.

The test occupied 26 minutes.

The weight of the beam on March 10th was 379 lbs. 10 ozs., or 44.20 lbs. per cubic foot; upon April 20th, the date of test, the weight was 322 lbs. 8 ozs., or 37.55 lbs. per cub. ft., showing a loss of weight at the rate of .1622-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XXIX. This beam was cut from the heart of the tree, and was tested March 13th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 62



The load upon the beam, was gradually increased until it amounted to 11,960 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Figs. 63, 64. The lead was still further gradually increased to 12,460 lbs, when the beam was completely fractured by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking lead of 11,960 lbs. is 4S18 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .15-in., so that taking 11.1-in. as the effective depth, the maximum skin compressive stress would be 4883 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 5016 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 4949 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined from an increment of .86-in. in the deflection between the leads of 1000 and 5000 lbs., is

1,210,100 lbs. The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an inerement of 1.315-in in the deflection between the loads of 1000 lbs. and 7000 lbs., is 1,187,000 lbs.

Table L shews the several readings.

The test occupied 27 minutes.

The total weight of the beam was 290 lbs., or 32.89 lbs. per cubic foot on March 10th, and 282 lbs. 6 ozs., or 32.03 lbs. per cubic foot on March 13th, showing a loss of weight in the laboratory at the rate of .2866-lb. per cubic foot per day.



Beam XXX. .This beam was tested May 3rd, 1894, with the annular rings, as in Fig. 65. When the beam was placed in position, it showed an upward camber of 24 ins.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 5700 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Fig. 66, the crippling extending $2\frac{1}{2}$ ins. upwards from the skin. The load was still increased, and when it amounted to 6580 lbs., the beam broke right across the tension face about $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches from the middle of the beam, and vertically above the second line of crippling on the compression side, Fig. 67.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 5700 lbs. is 4634 lbs. per square inch, and the maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 6580 lbs. is 5340 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity is 1,322,000 lbs., as determined by an increment in the deflection of 1.69-in. between the loads of 1000 and 5000 lbs.; it is 1,329,900 lbs., as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .84-in. between the loads of 2000 and 4000 lbs.

Table L shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam on May 4th, the day after the test, was 150 lbs. 11 ozs., or 30.96 lbs. per cubic foot.

Beam XXXI. This beam was tested May 4th, 1894. It was cut from the heart of the tree, and the annular rings were situated as io Fig. 68. Season cracks ran intermittently from end to end of the beam



in the neighbourhood of the neutral plane, the cracks extending radially outwards from the heart. The beam was free from knots for a distance of 7 inches on one side and 1 inch on the other, and the grain ran parallel to the axis.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 6500 lbs., when it failed by a crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Fig. 69. The crippling occurred exactly at the centre and extended 1.5 in. upwards from the skin. The load was then continued, and, when it amounted to 7900 lbs., the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face, Figs. 70, 71, and a line of crippling on the compression side timber opened upwards for a distance of about 2 ins. or $3\frac{1}{2}$ ins. The fracture on the tension side took place about $5\frac{1}{2}$ ins, from the centre, and the timber opened along the annular rings for a distance of 24 ins, on each side of the centre as in the figure.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 6500 lbs. is 5442 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 1.085 ins, between the loads of 2000-lbs, and 5000 lbs., was 1,618,900 lbs.

Table L shews the several readings.

This beam when first placed in position, also had a earnber of .35-ius. in a central length of 14 ft. 6 ins.

The weight of the beam on May 4th, the date of test, was 165 lbs. 6 ozs., or 34.97 lbs. per cubic foot.

Beams XXXII to XXXV might perhaps more properly be designated 3 ins, planks.

Beam (Plank) XXXII was tested May 7th, 1894. The heart was in one of the faces, and the annular rings were situated as in Fig. 72.



The load upon the beam gradually increased until it amounted to 5200 lbs., when it failed by a crippling of the fibres on the compression side. The erippling occurred about $1\frac{1}{2}$ ins. away from the centre of the beam and extended upwards about 1.5 ins. The load was still increased, and when it amounted to 5860 lbs. the beam again failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side. A line of crippling also extended upwards a further distance of about 2 ins., or about $3\frac{1}{2}$ ins. from the skin.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 5200 lbs. is 6928 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 1.67-ins. between the loads 1000-lbs, and 4000 lbs., is 1,575,200 lbs. per square inch.

Table L shews the several readings.

The weight of this beam on May 7th, the date of test, was 102 lbs., or 31.56 lbs. per cubic foot.

Beam (Plank) XXXIII was tested May 7th, 1894, with the annular rings as shown in Fig. 73.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased to 9250 lbs., when failure took place by the crippling of the fibres on the compression side, Figs. 74, 75. There were two lines of crippling on the front and one at the middle of the beam at the back. The crippling at the back probably occurred first, as the folding of the timber extends across the section of the beam along the central line at the lower edge, but not up to the point where the failure due to compression was apparently the greatest. In the neighbourhood of the crippling in front, the timber was clear, and the grain ran straight and parallel with the axis; at the back there were three knots, which were primarily the cause of the crippling.

When the load on the beam had been increased to 9900 lbs., fracture occurred on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 9250 lbs. is 6554 lbs. per sq. iu.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .76 in. between the loads 2600 and 6200 lbs., is 1,618,000 lbs.

Table M shews the several readings.

23

The weight of the beam on May 7th, date of test, was 128 lbs. 8 ozs., or 31.87 lbs. per cubic foot.

Beam (Plank) XXXIV. This beam was tested May 8th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 76.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 5600 lbs., when the fibres on the compression face crippled to a small extent. On still further increasing the load, the fibres on the compression face were completely crippled, Figs. 77, 78, and fracture also simultaneously occurred on the tension side when the load amounted to 8400 lbs.

The grain of this beam was straight and parallel with the axis, and the timber was apparently free from knots for a distance of about 24 inches on each side of the centre.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 5600 lbs. is 5079 lbs. per square inch, and the skin stress corresponding to the load of 8400 lbs.. which caused the fracture on the tension side, is 7597 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 1.14 ins. between the loads of 500 and 5600 lbs., was 1,784,800 lbs.

Table M shews the several readings.

The weight of the beam on May 8th, date of test, was 96 lbs. 2 ozs., or 36.59 lbs. per cubie foot.

Beam (Plank) XXXV was tested May Sth, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 79. The heart of the tree was very nearly coincident with the axis of the beam, and the grain ran in the same direction. Season cracks occurred intermittently throughout the beam.



The toad upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 7600 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression face, Fig. 80. The load was still increased, and well defined erippling occurred when it amounted to 10,050 lbs. When the load had reached 13,700 lbs. the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face, Fig. 80.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 7600 lbs. is 4339 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .92-in. between the londs of 500 and 7600 lbs., is 1,589,250 lbs., and as determined by an increment in the deflection of .025-in. for the corresponding increase of 200 lbs. it is 1,642,900 lbs.

Table M shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on May 8th, date of test, was 128 lbs. 12 ozs. or 37.69-lbs. per cubic foot.

The	following	Table	gives a	summary	of the	o results	obtained, for
Red Pi	ne :						

BEAM. Dimensions in inches.			Weight in ths. per cubic foot at date of lest.	Maximum skin stress in Ibs. per sq. inch.	Coefficient of clasticity in lbs.
		NEW TIM	BER.		
XXXV. XXVIII. XXXIV. XXVII. XXVI. XXXI. XXXII. XXXII. XXXII. XXX.	$\begin{array}{cccc} l & d \\ 156 \times 11.15 \\ 210 \times 11.25 \\ 156 \times 9.125 \\ 210 \times 13.125 \\ 210 \times 13.25 \\ 174 \times 7.125 \\ 210 \times 11.25 \\ 180 \times 11.125 \\ 180 \times 8.125 \\ 174 \times 7.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} b\\ \times & 3.325\\ \times & 6.34375\\ \times & 3.125\\ \times & 6.1875\\ \times & 6.21875\\ \times & 6.21875\\ \times & 6.2\\ \times & 3.1\\ \times & 3.1\\ \times & 6.1875 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37,69\\ 37,55\\ 36,59\\ 36,50\\ 36,39\\ 84,97\\ 32,03\\ 31,87\\ 31,56\\ 30,96 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,339\\ 6,752\\ 5,079\\ 5,219\\ 3.937\\ 5,442\\ 4,818\\ 6,554\\ 6,928\\ 4,634\end{array}$	1,616,075 1,802.633 1,784,800 1,418,500 1.241,950 1,618,900 1,618,900 1,618,000 1.575,200 1,325,950

Hence,

The average weight in lbs. per cubic foot = 34.61.

" co-efficient of elasticity in lbs. per sq. in. =1,520,056. " maximum skin stress " =5370.

If, however, the plank results are omitted,

The average weight in lbs. per cubic foot = 34.78.

" co-efficient of clasticity in lbs. per sq. in. =1,434,747. " maximum skin stress " =5137.

In general, the following data may be adopted in practice :---

The average weight in lbs. per cubic foot =34.6.

"	co-efficient of elasticity in	n Ibs.	per	sq. in	.=1,430,000
•	maximum skin stress	"		"	=5,100.
"	safe working skin stress	66		"	=1,700,

3 being a factor of safety.

In the accounts of the several beams it will be observed that the failures are almost invariably due to the crippling of the material on the side in compression, indicating that the tensile strength of the timber exceeds its compressive strength, and this was subsequently verified by the direct tension and compression experiments.

WHITE PINE.

Beams XXXVI and XXXVII are two picces cut out of one large picce of square pine, made and taken out in the Gatineau Valley, Ottawa County. The timber was brought down via the Gatineau and Ottawa Rivers to Montreal, and remained in the water until late in the fall of 1892, when it was piled on the land for winter sawing.

This timber was purchased from Messis. J. & B. Grier.

Beam XXXVI was tested February 16th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 81.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 19,600 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 2993 lbe. per square inch. 25 The co-efficient of clasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of 1.12 ins. between the loads of 5000 and 10,000 lbs., is 503,440 lbs.; as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .84-in. between the loads of 5000 and 12,500 lbs., is 463,768 lbs., and as deduced from an increment in the deflection of 2.13 ins. between the loads of 5000 and 15,000 lbs., is 534,169 lbs.

Table N shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam per cubic foot on Feb. 16th was 37.25 lbs., and on March 14th, 34.78 lbs., showing a loss of weight at the rate of .095-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam XXXVII was tested on February 24th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 82.



The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 24,000 lbs,, when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3555 lbs. per square inch.

Beams XXXVIII and XXXIX were the two ends of Beam XXXVI which was tested February 16th, 1893, the central portion containing the fracture having been cut out.

Beam XXXVIII was tested on March 14th, with the annular rings as in Fig. 83.

The load on the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 52,450 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3075 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .37-in. between the loads of 10,000 and 25,000 lbs., is 622,640 lbs.

Table N shows the several readings.

Beam XXXIX was tested with the annular rings as in Fig. 84.

The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 51,400 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 2696 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined from an increment in the deflection of .175-in. between the loads of 10,000 and 25,000 lbs., is 433,250 lbs.

Table N shows the several readings.

Beams XL and XLI are the two ends of Beam XXXVII which was tested on Feb. 24th, 1893, the central portion of the beam containing the fracture having been cut out.

Beam XL was tested on March 17th with the annular rings as in Fig. 85. The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 53,650 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3311 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .19-in. between the loads of 12,000 and 26,000 lbs., is 693,090 lbs.

Table N shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam per cubic foot on the day of the test was 36.13 lbs. 26

Beam X1.I was tested on March 17th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 86. The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 40,500 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 2500 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .19-in, between the loads of 10,000 lbs, and 22,000 lbs., is 519,820 lbs. per square inch.

Table N shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on the day of test was 36,13 lbs, per enbie foor.

Beams, XLII and XLVI were cut out of one large piece of square pino made on the Pettewawa, a tributary of the Ottawa, in 1888. The piece was driven over 1300 miles, and lay in water for four years until it was taken out in the fall of 1892 and piled for winter sawing.

This timber was purchased from Messrs. Shearer & Brown,

Beam XLII was tested March 8th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 87.



The load on the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 26,350 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3815 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of 1.22 ins. between the loads of 2500 lbs. and 13,000 lbs., is 979,220 lbs.

Table O shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam per cubic foot at the date of test was 41.49 lbs.

Beams XLIII and XLIV are the two ends of Beam XLII tested March 8th, the central portion of the beam containing the fracture having been cut out.

Beam XLIII was tested March 31st, with the annular rings as in Fig. 88.

The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 48,600 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3000 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of clasticity, as determined by an increase in the deflection of .19-in, between the loads of 10,000 and 25,000 lbs., is 649,780 lbs, per square inch.

Table O shows the several readings.

Beam XLIV was tested March 31st, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 89.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 51,870 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3148 lbs. per square inch.

The coefficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .19-in. between the loads of 1000 and 25,000 lbs, is 649,780 lbs. per square inch, the same co-efficient as in beam XLIII.

Table O shows the several readings.

Beam XLV was tested March 11th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 90.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 24,850 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3681 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined from an increment in the deflection of .81-in. between the loads of 2500 and 12,000 lbs., is 956,540 lbs.

Table P shows the several readings.

Beams XLVI and XLVII are the two ends of Beam XLV, tested on March 11th, 1893, the central portion containing the fracture having been cut out.

Beam XLVI was tested March 30th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 91.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 44,400 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 2740 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .23-in. between the loads of 10,000 and 25,000 lbs., is 536,770 lbs.

Table P shows the several readings.

Beam XLVII was tested March 30th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 92.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 48,650 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3003 lb-, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .2-in. between the loads 10,000 and 25,000 lbs., is 617,283 lbs.

Table P shows the several readings.

Beams XLVIII to L were sent to the laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson. These beams were purchased from the Pembroke Lumber Company, and are supposed to have been similar in quality to the timber used on the Pembroke section of the Canadian Pacific Railway.

Beam XLVIII was tested March 1st, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 93. The darkened portion, Fig. 96, represents sapwood.



The load upon the beam was gradually necreased until it amounted to 38,100 lbs., when the beam failed by the crippling of the material at the support on the compression side, Fig. 94. The load was still
gradually increased until it amounted to 47,960 lbs., when a complete fracture took place by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side at the centre, and simultaneously by a longitudinal shearing throughout one-half of the length of the beam, as in Figs. 94, 95.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 38,100 lbs. is 3991 lbs, per square inch; the maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 47,960 lbs, is 5017 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the timber at the centre was .93-in., so that, taking the effective depth to be 14.3875 ins., the maximum compressive skin stress at the support would be 4161 lbs, per square inch, the corresponding maximum tensile skin stress being 4652 lbs, per square inch.

Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress would be 1447 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .375-in., between the loads of 2000 lbs, and 19,000 lbs., is 1,164,700 lbs.

Table Q gives the several readings.

The total weight of the beam on March 1st, the date of test, was 524 lbs. 10 ozs., or 41.08 lbs. per cubic foct, and on February 1st the weight was 597 lbs., or 46.73 lbs. per cubic foct, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .209-lb. per cubic foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was 48 minutes.

Beam XL1X was tested March 2nd, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 97. The darkened portions represent sapwood.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 47,080 lbs., when the beam failed by the terring apart of the fibres on the tension side, accompanied simultaneously by a longitudinal shear and a crippling of the material in the compression side, Figs. 98, 99.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load is 4936 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the material at the centre was 2.8 ins., so that taking 13.095 ins. as the effective depth, the maximum skin compressive stress would be 5156 lbs. per square inch, and the corresponding skin tensile stress would be 7353 lbs. per square inch.

Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the effective depth, 6835 lbs, per square inch would be the maximum skin stress.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment of .435in., between the loads of 3000 and 21,000 lbs., is 1,052,600 lbs.

Table Q shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam was 525 lbs. 12 ozs., or 41.33 lbs. per cubic foot February 1st, and 473 lbs. 12 ozs., or 37.24 lbs. per cubic foot on March 2nd, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .141-lbs. per cubic foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was filty minules.

Beam L was tested March 10th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 100.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 32,200 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 4370 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the deflection of .805-in., between the loads of 1000 and 19,000 lbs., is 1,184,240 lbs.

Table Q shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam was 509 lbs. 12 ozs. or 33.64 lbs. per enbie foot, on March 10th, the date of test, and 575 lbs. 8 ozs., or 37.25 lbs. per enbie foot, on February 1st, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .0975-lb. per eubie foot per day.

OLD WHITE PINE.

Beams LI to LIII are three old white pine stringers sent to the laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson. These stringers had been in service since 1885, *i.e.*, for about eight years; they were removed from the trestles during the summer of 1892.



Beam LI was te-ted December 1st, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 101.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 22,730 lbs, when the beam failed by shearing, longitudinally as in Figs. 102, 103, the distance between the portions of the beam above and below the plane of shear being $\frac{1}{4}$ in.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 3212 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .55-in., between the loads of 2500 lbs. and 12,000 lbs. is 982,480 lbs.

Table R shows the several readings.

The total weight of the beam on December 1st, date of test, was 445 lbs., or 28.3 lbs. per cubic foot. The weight of a length of 14 ft. $1\frac{3}{4}$ ins. was 376 lbs., or 28.12 lbs. per cubic foot on December 2nd, and 367 lbs. 5 ezs., or 27.47 lbs. per cubic foot on December 8th, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .1083-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam LII was tested December 9th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 104.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 26,320 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking load is 3589 lbs, per square inch.

The total compression of the material at the support was .37-in., so that, taking 14 85 ins, as the effective depth, the maximum skin com-

pressive stress is 3671 lb. per square inch, the corresponding maximum tensile stress being 3863-1b. per square inch. Assuming the usual law to hold good for the whole of the depth, the maximum skin stress per square inch would be 3774 lbs.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined from an increment in the diffection of .635-in. between the loads of 2500 lbs. and 14,500 lbs., is 929,690 lbs.

Table R shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on November 29th was 430 lbs., or 28,71 lbs. per cubie foot, and on December 9th, the date of test, the weight was 415 lbs. 62 ozs., or 26.08 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .263-lb, per cubic foot per day.

Beam LIII was tested December 9th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 105.

The beam was a poor specimen, being full of knots and season cracks, and partly decayed. The grain on the top was parallel, while on the sides it was somewhat oblique."

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 18,600 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress due to this breaking load is 2495 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .55-in, between the loads of 1500 lbs, and 10,000 lbs., is 650,930 lbs.

Table R shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam was 450 lbs. 12 ozs., or 29.02 lbs. per cubic foot on Nov. 9th, and 438 lbs. 13 ozs., or 28, 25 lbs. per cubic foot on Dec. 8th, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .0855 lb. per cubic foot per day.

The time occupied by the test was 20 minutes

The following Table gives the summary of the results obtained for White Pine :---

Beams.	Dimensions	in inches.	Weight in Ils. per cubic foot at date of test.	M a ximum skin stress in Ibs. per sq. in.	Co-efficient of Elasticity in lbs.
	l d	6		ha	
XLII.	288×18	× 9	41.49	3,815	979.220
XLV.	288×18	\times 9	41.49	3,681	956.540
XLVIII.	150×15.18	15×9.375	41.08	3,991	1,164,700
XLVI.	120×18	× 9	39.53	2,740	536,770
XLVII.	120~ imes~18	× 9	39.40	3,003	617,283
XLIII,	120×18	× 9	39,50	3,000	649,780
XLIV.	120×18	× 9	39.40	3,148	619,780
XXXVI.	288×18	× 9	37.25	2,993	500,000
XLIX.	-150×15.375	5×9.125	37.24	4,936	1,052,600
XXXVII.	288~ imes~18	\times 9	36.43	3,555	
XL.	120×18	\times 9	36.13	3,311	693,090
XLI.	120×18	× 9	36.13	2,500	519,820
XXXVIII	114×18	× 9	34.78	3,075	622,640
XXXIX.	102×18	× 9	34.78	2,696	433,250
	186×15	× 9.0625	33.61	4,370	1.184.240
		OLD TIM	BER.		
LIII.	180×15	× 9.05	28.25	2,495	650,930
LI.	192×15.12	× 9	28.3	3,212	982,480
LIL	180×14.85	$\times 9.05$	26.08	3.589	929,690

NEW THEFE

Hence, for the new timber,

The average weight in lbs. per cubic foot = 37.88.

co-efficient of elasticity in los. per sq. in. =754,265. • 6 " " =3388.

" maximum skin stress

The following data are suggested for practice :---

The average weight in lbs. per eubic foot = 37.8.

64

" co-efficient of elasticity in lbs. per sq. in.=754,000.

maximum skin stress " " =3,300.

" safe working skin stress in lbs. per sq. in., 3 being at factor of safety = 1100.

Further experiments will probably show that these data require some modification. In fact, the actual skin stress and co-efficients of elasticity are certainly greater than those given in the preceding table, which have been calculated on the assumption that the amount of the compression at the central support is sufficiently small to be disregarded, but it has been shewn, as for example, in the case of Beam XLIX, that the skin stresses are largely affected by this compression. The co-efficients of elasticity are also necessarily increased by the diminution in the effective depth. Similar remarks apply to the other timbers,

From the experiments with the old White Pine stringers, it might be inferred that these timbers have lost considerably in weight, but that they have in a great degree retained their strength and stiffness. Other old Timbers will require to be tested, however, before any definite statement can be made on the subject.

NEW SPRUCE BEAMS.

Beam LIV was tested Nov. 2nd, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 106.



This stick was sent to the laboratory by Mr. T. J. Claxton. It was cut out of a tree felled near the Skeena River, British Columbia, on the Pacific Coast, about six hundred miles north of Victoria. The log was felled in Dee., 1892, or January, 1893, and was over 100 ft. in length, squared 36 ins. at the small end, and would have provided from 12,000 to 15,000 of market lumber.

The beam in question was sawn from the log in June, 1893, and was shipped by steamer at the cnd of June from the town of Claxton, situated at the mouth of the Skeena River, where the mills are located. At Victoria the beam was transhipped and brought down in August via the C.P.R. to Montreal. It was delivered at the laboratory early in September.

It might, perhaps, be of interest to note that the cost of freight for this beam from Claxton to Victoria was \$4,00; from Victoria to Vancouver \$2.00; from Vancouver to Montreal \$46.00; and the cartage to the University \$4.00, making a total cost of freight of \$56.00.

It is said that the spruce from the Skeena District is of a specially fine quality, having a clear straight grain, and possessing a large amount of toughness.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 36,800 lbs., when the beam failed by the erippling of the fibres on the compression side, Fig. 107.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking load 5908 lbs. per square inch.

The total compression of the material at the central support was .5in., so that taking the effective depth as 17 ins., the maximum skin compressive stress is 5941 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding skin tensile stress being 6301 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth of 17 ins., the maximum skin stress is 6260 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as deduced from an increment in the

deflection of 1,15 ins, between the loads of 1000 and 15,000 lbs., is 1,528,499 lbs.

Table S shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on Oct. 3rd was 751 lbs. 6 ozs., or 27.206 lbs. per cubic foot, and on Nov. 3rd, the date of test, it weighed 735 lbs. 21 ozs., or 26.614 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss while in the laboratory at the rate of .019 lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beams LV and LVI are the ends of Beam LIV, the central portion containing the fracture having been cut out.

Beam LV was tested Nov. 3rd, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 108.



The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 73,000 lbs., when it failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression side Fig. 109.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 4839 lbs. per square inch.

The maximum compression of the material at the central support was 2 ins., so that taking 15 5 ins. as the effective depth, the maximum compressive skin stress is 5123 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 6641 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress becomes 6176 lbs.

As soon as the beam was relieved of load, the amount of compression at the support was immediately diminished by .9-in., and at the end of thirtcen days the amount of compression was .82 in.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .17-in., letween the loads of 3000 lbs. and 10,000 lbs., is 1,070,950 lbs.

Table T shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on Nov. 3rd, date of test, was 26.614 lbs. per cubic foot

Beam LVI was tested Nov. 4th, 1893, with the annular rings as in Fig. 110.



The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 70,000 lbs. when it failed by the crippling of the fibres on the compression side Fig. 111.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this breaking load is 4614-lbs. per square inch.

The maximum compression at the centre of support was 1.9 ins., so that taking 15.6 ins. as the effective depth, the maximum compressive skin stress is 4916 lbs. Fer square iuch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 6280 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth, then the maximum skin stress becomes 5806 lbs. per squaro inch.

Ten days after this beam had been relieved of load, the amount of 33

the compression of the timber at the centre of support was diminished to .77-in.

The co-efficient of clasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .18-in. between the loads of 10,000 lbs. and 30,000 lbs., is 1.011,450 lbs.

Table T shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam on Nov. 3rd was 26.614 lbs. per eubic foot.

OLD SPRUCE.

Beams LVII-LIX were three spruce stringers sent to the laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson.

Beams LVII and LVIII were cut at Galbraith's Mill, three miles from Sherbrooke, in 1886, and grew near the same place. They were used in the construction of the bridge near Lennoxville in the winter of 1886-87, and had been in service until the snmmer of 1894, or for a period of about eight years.

Beam LIX was taken out of Bridge E 61 at Roxton Falls during the summer of 1894, and had been in service since 1885, *i.e.*, for about eight years. This stringer was purchased by Bridge-master MacFarlane, and no further information has been obtained as to its history. The stringer was boxed $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. at the ends on the bearings, and several season eracks were shown on the surface.

Beam LVII was tested on the 21st April with the annular rings as in Fig. 112.



The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 25,700 lbs., when the beam failed by shearing longitudinally along the surface of a season erack, the distance between the portions above and below the plane of shear at the end being $\frac{2}{3}$ -in.

Immediately after the fracture the jockey weight was run back until the lever again floated, the load upon the beam being 21,000 lbs. This load was then gradually increased until it amounted to 24,700 lbs., when failure occurred by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side and by a further crippling of the fibres on the compression side. The lap at the end of the plane of shear was also increased to $\frac{5}{8}$ -in.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 25,700 lbs. is 3459 lbs. per square inch.

The maximum compression of the material at the support was .31in., so that taking the effective depth to be 14.69 ins., the maximum compressive skin stress is 3526 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 3678 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual iaw holds good for the whole of the effective depth, then the maximum skin stress becomes 3607 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of clasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .7-in. between the loads of 1500 and 12,500 lbs., is 1,123,400 lbs.

Table U shows the several readings.

The weight of this beam on April 10th was 502 lbs., or 33.82 lbs. per cubic foot; its weight on April 21st, date of test, was 491 lbs. 4 ozs., or 33.09 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .0645 lbs. per cubic foot per day.

Beam LVIII was tested May 1st, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 113. Season cracks ran intermittently from end to end of the beam. 34 The load upon this beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 27,470 lbs. Under this load the beam failed by shearing longitudinally along a senson crack, as shown in Fig. 114, with a partial tension fracture near the end of the beam. The senson crack for a distance of about 3 ft. from the centre of the beam appears weathered through the entire thickness of the beam.

Previously, however, to this longitudinal shear, the beam had evidently failed by the empling of the material, Fig. 114, on the compression side along a line near the centre of the beam where the timber was apparently free from knots and where the fibres were parallel with the axis.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the load of 27,470 lbs. is 5709 lbs, per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .575-lbs, between the loads of 2000 and 12,000 lbs., is 1,316,900 lbs.

Table U shows the several readings.

The weight of the beam on March 10th was 267 lbs. 1 oz., or 27.36 lbs. per cubic foot, and its weight on May 2nd was 258 lbs. 6 ozs., or 26.47 lbs. per cubic foot, showing a loss of weight while in the laboratory at the rate of .0168 lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beam LIX was tested June 2nd, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 115.



The load was gradually increased until it amounted to 21,700 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension side.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 2963 lbs. per square inch.

The maximum compression at the centre was .7-in., so that taking 14.3 ins. as the effective depth, the maximum compressive skin stress is 3079 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 3396 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth, then the maximum skin stress is 3261 lbs, per sq. in.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deflection of .43-in, between the loads of 2000 lbs, and 10,000 lbs., is 905,601 lbs.

Table U shows the several readings.

• The weight of the beam on June 1st was 445 lbs. 13 ozs., or 30.12 lbs. per cubic foot. Its weight on June 8th was 440 lbs, or 29.72 lbs. per enbic foot, showing a loss of weight at the rate of .0571-lb. per cubic foot per day.

Beams LX and XLI are two old spruce stringers sent to the laboratory by Mr. P. A. Peterson.

They had been in use in Culvert E 39 on the north division of the South Eastern Railway, $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles north of Waterloo Station, since Oct, 1891, or for about three years.

These timbers were cut and sawn at Keene & Company's mills at the boundary east of Megantic.

Beam LX was tested on Nov. 10th, 1894, with the numular rings as in Fig. 116.

The upper portion of the stringer, *i.e.*, the part in tension, was partially rotten to a depth of about 1-in., and the effective depth at the centre of the beam did not exceed $11\frac{1}{4}$ ins. The remainder of the section at the centre was in a perfectly sound and good condition.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted

to 16,050 lbs., when it failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tensile side. The load was still increased, and a more complete fracture occurred under a load of 21,240 lbs. Immediately after this second fracture the jockey weight was run back until the lever again floated, when the load was 15,900 lbs. The load was again gradually increased until it amounted to 18,800 lbs., when fracture again occurred.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to the breaking load of 16,050 lbs. is 2934 lbs.

The maximum compression of the material at the centre was .25-in., so that taking the effective depth to be 11. ins., the maximum compressive skin stress is 3043 lbs. per square inch, and the corresponding tensile skin stress is 3184 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress becomes 3118 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined by an increment in the deficction of .390-in. between the loads of 2000 and 12,000 lbs., is 1,352,250 lbs. per square inch.

Table V gives the several readings.

The weight of this beam on Nov. 10th, date of test, was 255 lbs. $12\frac{1}{2}$ ozs., or 27.26 lbs. per cubic foot.

Beam LXI was tested Nov. 17th, 1894, with the annular rings as in Fig. 117. There were season cracks from end to end on the front face and numerous knots of medium and small size on the sides. The darkened portion indicates sapwood.

The load upon the beam was gradually increased until it amounted to 18,400 lbs., when the beam failed by the tearing apart of the fibres on the tension face.

The maximum skin stress corresponding to this load is 4309 lbs. per square inch.

The maximum compression of the material at the centre was .21 in., so that taking the effective depth to be 14.29 ins., the maximum skin compressive stress is 4432 lbs. per square inch, the corresponding tensile skin stress being 4565 lbs. per square inch.

If it is assumed that the usual law holds good for the whole of the effective depth, the maximum skin stress becomes 4502 lbs. per square inch.

The co-efficient of elasticity, as determined from an increment of .6-in. in the deflection between the loads of 1000 lbs. and 9000 lbs., is 1,250,850 lbs.

The weight of this beam on Nov. 17th, date of test, was 267 lbs., or 28.85 lbs. per cubic foot.

The following Table gives a summary of the results obtained for Spruce :---

NEW TIMBER.

Велм.	Dimensions in inches.	Weight in lbs. per cubic foot at date of test.	Maximum skin stress in Ibs. per sq. in.	Co-efficient of Elasticity in Ibs.
LIV. LV. LVI.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$26.614 \\ 26.614 \\ 26.614 \\ 26.614$	5,908 4,839 4,614	1,528,499 1,070,950 1,011,450

OLD TIMBER.

					01.00 11	M D DICICO		
LVII.	180	×	15	x	9	33.09	3,459	1,123,400
LIX.	180	х	15	х	9	30.12	2,963	905,601
LXI.	186	х	14.5	×	5.625	28.85	4,309	1,250,850
LX.	138	х	11.25	×	8,875	27.26	2,934	1,352,250
LVIII.	180	×	14.75	х	6	26.47	5,709	1,316,900

Beams LV and LVI were cut out of Beam LIV as already described. 'I he wide variation in the value of the skin-stress and of the co-efficient of elasticity is undoubtedly due to the fact that the amount of the compression at the central support has been disregarded in the calculations. If this compression is taken into account, and if it is assumed that the ordinary theory of flexure holds good for the whole of the effective depth, it has been shewn that the skin-stresses in lbs. per sq. in. become 6260 for Beam LIV, 6176 for Beam LV, and 5806 for Beam LVI, the variation in the magnitude of the stresses being comparatively small.

Further experiments will be made with new spruce beams.

The old spruce stringers were found to possess ample strength and stiffness for the work they were designed to do. The experiments gave :--

29.15-lbs, as the average weight per enbie foot. 46 1,189,800 " eo-efficient of elastieity. 3875 "

" maximum skin-stress per sq. in.

The following Tables A to V give the end deflections and in some cases the deflections at points dividing the beam into four, six, or eight equal parts, the distance of these points from the ends being stated at the heads of the columns.

Tables A to I show the deflections in inches of Canadian New Douglas Fir Beams (I to XXV) under gradually increased loads.

TABLE A.

Deflections of Beam I at ends.

Loads in lbs.	Deflee- tion-	Loads in lbs.	Deflec- tion.	Loads in lbs.	Deflec- tion.	Loads in lbs.	Deflec- tion.	Loads in ibs.	Deflec- tion.
2,000	.02	9,000	.095	16,000	.18	23,000	.27	30,000	.39
2,500 3,000 3,500	.03	9,500 10,000 10,500	.10 .11 .15	17,000	.19 .195 .20	23,500	.28 .285 295	30,500 31,000 31 500	.40 .41 .42
$4,000 \\ 4,500$.04	$11,000 \\ 11,500$	$ \begin{array}{c} .12 \\ .125 \end{array} $	$18,000 \\ 18,500$.205 .21	25,000 25,500	.30 .31	32,000 32,500	.43
5,000 5,500	.05 .055	12,000 12,500	.13	19,000	$.22 \\ .225 \\ .290$	26,000 26,500	.315 .32	$33,000 \\ 34,000$.46 .49
6,500 7.000	.00	13,000 13,500 14,000	.145 .15 155	20,000	$.230 \\ .24 \\ .245$	27,000	.33 .34 35	35,000 36,000 37,000	.51 .53 56
7,500 8,000	.075 .08	14,500	.16	21,500 22,000	.25 .25 .255	28,500 29,000	.36 .37	51,000	
8,500	.09	15,500	.17	22,500	.265	29,500	.38		

Breaking weight of Beam I = 45,000 lbs.

TABLE B.

	1		D 4				
Loads			Deflec	ctions of I	Beams.		
in lbs.	11	111	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
200	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.
500		.05		.005	.02	.015	.02
800		08	03				.05
1,300					.04		.09
1,500		.11	.045	.02	.06	.04	.10
2,000	.035	.14	.05	.03	.075	.06	.135
2,200			••••••			• • • • • • • • • •	.15
2,500		.155	.055	.05	.10	.075	
2,600			•••••	• • • • • • • • •		•••••	.18
3,000		.18	.065	.055	.12	.10	.205
3,400 3,500		.21	08	065	1.1	115	.235
3,800							.26
4,000	.05	$\frac{23}{.25}$	$.095 \\ 105$.07	.16	.125	.28 $.315$
5,000			.115	.09	.20	.155	.35
$5,500 \\ 6,000$.065		$.13 \\ .145$	$.105 \\ 11$.22	.175	.39
6,500		:.	.155	.125	.26	.21	· · · · · · · · · ·
7,000 7.500		••••••	.165	.135 .145	$.28 \\ .305$.22	• • • • • • • •
8,000	.075		.19	.16	.32	.25	• • • • • • • • •
8,500 9.000	•••••		.20 .215	.17	•••••	.27	••••••
9,500			.23	.195			* * * * * * * *
10,000 10.500 -	.085	•••••	.245	.205 $.22$			
11,000	•••••		.28	.235			
11,500 12.000	.10		.30 .315	.25		• • • • • • • • • •	••••
12,500		···· }	. 33	.27		••••	
13,000 13,500	.105	· · · · · · · · · · ·	$.35 \\ .365$.28 .29	•••••••••		• • • • • • • •
14,000	.110	••••	.38	.305			••••
14,500 15,000	.115	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.315	• • • • • • • • • •		••••
15,500		•••••		.345	••••••		••••
16,400	.12	· • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.75	••••
17,000	.13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••	•••••		••••••
20,000	.155	• • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • •
21,000		••••••••	•••••	.72			••••
22,000	.165				••••••		· • • • • • • •
26,000	.175	•••••••		•••••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •
28,000 Brook	ing Woigh	t of Boam	II -	26 575 lb	••••	•••••	
break	ing weigh	n or beam	III = III =	12,950 "	3. :		· ·
16 56	66 66		V = V =	16,720 " 23,610 "			
"	"		VI =	15,480 "			
••	6 E		VII = VIII = VIIII = VIII I = VIIII = VIIII = VIIII = VIIII = VIIIII = VIIII = VIIII = VIIII	11,615 *			
				-,			

TABLE C.

.E.	1	Detlecti	ons of	Beam I	X .	Deflections of Beam X.						
Load	34 ins.	68 ins.	Ends.	68 ins.	34 ins.	33 ins.	66 ins.	Ends.	66 ins.	33 ins.		
1000	.01	.01	.02	.01	. 01	.02	.01	. 02	.01	.02		
1500	.03	.02	.04	.02	.03	.05	.02	.05	.02	.05		
2000	.03	.03	.05	.025	.04	.07	.03	.08	.04	,07		
2500	.04	.03	.05	.03	. 05	.10	.05	1.11	.05	.10		
3000	.10	.07	.06	.05	.09	.12	.06	.14	.06	.12		
3500	.10	.08	.12	.05	.10	.15	.07	1.17	.07	.15		
4000	.10	.08	.13	.055	.10	.17	.09	1.20	. 08	.17		
4500	.10	.08	.14	.065	.11	.20	.10	.23	.10	.20		
5000	.15	.10	.18	.035	.15	.22	.11	. 26	115	.22		
5500	.15	.11	.19	.09	.16	.25	.12	.29	.12	. 25		
6000	.15	.12	.20	.10	.17	.27	.14	. 32	.14	.27		
6500	.19	.13	.24	11	.20	.30	.15	. 35	.15	. 30		
7000	.20	13	.25	.115	. 20	.32	.17	.38	.16	.32		
7500	.20	.13	.25	11.	.21	.35	.18	.41	.18	. 35		
8000	.20	.13	.26	.125	.22	.37	.20	.41	.20	.37		
8500	.22	.14	.27	.135	.24	. 40	.21	.47	.21	.40		
9000	.22	.15	.28	.14	.24	.42	.22	.50	. 22	. 42		
9500	0 .22	.15	.28	.145	.25	.45	.23	.53	.23	.45		
10000	.26	.16	.33	.16	.28	.47	.25	.56	.24	.47		
10500	.33	.20	.40	.19	.34	.49	.26	.58	.25	.49		
11000	.34	.21	.42	.20	.35	.51	.27	.61	.27	.51		
11500	.35	.22	.44	.205	.36	.54	. 29	.64	.29	. 54		
12000	.39	.23	.47	.22	.40	. 56	. 30	.68	. 30	.56		
12500	.40	.24	.49	.22	.40	.59	.32	.71	.32	.59		
13000	.40	.24	.50	. 23	.41	.61	.33	.74	. 33	.61		
13500	.45	.27	. 54	. 25	.45	.64	.34	1.77	.34	.64		
14000	.45	.27	.55	. 255	.46	.66	.36	.80	. 36	.66		
1450(.45	.27	.56	. 25	. 46	.69	. 37	.83	.375	.69		
15000	050	.29	.60	27	.50	.71	. 39	.86	.39	.71		
1550(0 .50	.30	.61	.28	.51	.74	.40	.89	.40	.74		
16000	.50	.30	.62	.29	.52	. 75	.41	.92	.41	.76		
16500	.55	.31	1 ,66	.31	.55	.79	.43	.96	.43	.79		
17000	0 .55	. 32	.67	1.31	.56	.81	.44	.99	.45	.82		
17500	.56	.33	.68	.32	.57	.85	46	1.02	.46	.85		
18000	.56	.33	.69	.325	.58							
1850	.60	.36	.75	.35	.62							
1900	.63	.36	.77	.35	.64							
19500	0 .64	.37	.78	. 36	. 65							
2000	0 .65	.37	.79	.365	.66							
4000	0		1.75									
4700	0		2.20									

Breaking Weight of Beam IX = 51,600 lbs. " " X = 18,000 "

.E.		Deflecti	ons of	Beam 2		D	eflection	ns of B	leam X	
Load	34 ins.	68 ins.	Ends.	68 ins.	34 ins.	34 ins.	68 ins.	Ends.	68 ins.	34 ins.
1000						.01	.005	.01	.07	. 01
1500	.02	.01	.035	.015	.025	.03	.02	.035	. 02	.035
2000	.05	.02	.05	.025	.04	.05	.025	.055	.03	. 05
2500	.06	.03	.075	.035	.06	.065	.04	.075	.05	.07
- 3000	.075	.04	.10	.045	.08	.09	.045	.10	.05	.09
3500	.10	. 05	.115	.055	. 095	.105	.06	.12	.06	.105
4000	.11	.06	.135	.06	.11	.12	.07	.145	.07	.12
-4500	.13	.07	.16	.07	.135	.15	.075	.105	.08	.140
5000	1.15	.075	.175	.075	.14	135	.09	.185	.09	.155
0500	10	.085	. 20	.09	+10	10	.10	.200	.10	10
0000	- 160	105	22	.10	105	.19	19	- 20	12	91
2000	215	115	26	11	-135	.21	12	.20	12	225
7500	210	125	- 20	19	925	- 25	14	295	11	25
8000	25	135	30	14	245	.25	15	.315	.15	.27
8500	26	145	.00	15	.265	29	15	.34	.16	.29
9000	.27	.15	.33	.155	.27	.305	17	.36	.17	.305
9500	.30	.16	.35	165	.29	.32	.18	.305	18	.32
10000	.315	.17	.38	.175	.305	.35	.19	.405	.19	.35
10500	.34	.185	.40	.185	.335	.36	.20	.425	.20	.36
11000	.36	.195	.435	.20	.36	.375	.21	.45	. 21	.38
11500	.36	.20	.435	. 20	.36	.39	.22	.47	. 22	.40
12000	.395	.215	.475	.22	.395	.41	.23	.495	.23	.41
12500	.40	.22	.50	.23	.405	.44	.24	.51	.24	.44
13000	.42	.23	.505	.24	. 42	.45	.25	.535	.25	.45
13500	.45	.25	.54	.255	.445	.47	.26	. 555	.26	.47
14000	.46	.255	.56	.265	.46	.49	.27	.58	.27	.49
14500	.48	.265	.57	.275	.475	.50	.28	. 60	.28	.505
15000	.50	.275	.60	.28	.50	.52	.29	.62	.30	.52
15500	.515	.285	.62	.29	.515	.05	.30	.040	. 505	.00
10000	.030	.495	.040	.30	• 00 • 11 -	.000	.a0a 99	.000	.01	.00
10000	.04 50	.30	.00	100	.030	.075	.04 295	-05	.04	60
17500	- 505	29	.035	.04 998	575	61	22	72	245	615
18000	61	- 34	795	345	61	63	345	755	35	.635
18500	61	34	745	35	615	65	.35	.77	.36	.65
19000	.65	.36	78	365	655	.665	.36	.80	.375	.665
19500	.65	.36	.785	375	.655	.685	.37	.82	.385	69
20000	.655	.365	.80	.375	.66	.705	.38	.85	.40	.705
20500						.73	.395	.87	.41	.725
21000						.75	.40	.89	.415	.75
21500						.75	.405	.90	.415	.75
22000						.78	.42	.935	.435	.78
22500						.81	.435	.96	.45	.805
23000						.82	.445	.98	.455	.82
24000		•••••	.94	•••••			• • • • • • •	1 10	• • • • • •	
26500		••••		•••••			• • • • • • •	1.12	• • • • • • •	••••
28000		• • • • • •	1.14		•••••	• • • • • •	••••	1.17	••••	••••
29000	••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • • • •	••••	1.32	•••••	••••
-34000		• • • • • •	1 95		••••	••••	• • • • • • •	1 40	••••	• • • • • • •
35200		•••••	1.60	•••••	• • • • • •	•••••	••••	1.44		
37000	••••	• • • • • •	1.40	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	1 67		
30000		•••••		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •		1 97		
.42000				••••	••••	• • • • • • •		2.00	••••	
-15000			•••••	•••••	• • • • • •			2.28		
48000								2.73		
49000								2.9		
								1		

TABLE D.

TABLE E.

ls in Ihs.	Defle	ctions	of B	eam 2	x111.	Deflection of Beam XIV.	Defle	etions	of F	leam	xv.	Deflection of Beam XVI.
Low	34 ins.	68 ins,	Ends	68 ine.	34 ins,	Ends.	33 ins.	66 ine.	Ends.	66 ins.	33 ins.	Ends.
760 1000	25.	.02	.04	.02	.025	.03 .05	.01	.01	.02	.01	.02	.025
1500 1900	.05	.035	.07	.03	.05	.085	.04	.02	.05	.025	.04	.05
2000 2300	.08.	.05	.105	.05	.08	:115	.055	.035	.08	.045	.06	.09
2600 2800	•••••		. 14 			.13	.08			.05		.10
3000 3200	.14	.08	.17	.08	.14	.19 .20	.10	.05	.115	.06	.10 	.125
3500 3600	.16	.10	.21	.10	.16	.225		.065	.14	.07	.12	. 1
$3800 \\ 4000 \\ 4440$.20	.ii	.245	.11	.20	.25 .255 .275	.13	.08	. 16	. 085	.14	.16 .175 .20
4500 4800	.22	.13	.275	.125	.22	.315	.155	.095	.185	.095	.16	.215
5200 5400		.145	••••	. 14	. 2.)	.345 .355	.103		••••	. 100	• • • •	. 225
$5500 \\ 5600 \\ 5800$.275	.15	.34	.155	.275	$.36 \\ 39$.19	.11	. 24	.115	.20	25
6000 6400	.30	.165	.36	.17	.30	.40	.21	.125	.26	.125	.215	$\begin{array}{r} .27\\ .29\end{array}$
6500 6600 6800	.33	.18	.40	.185	.33	$.435 \\ .465$. 23	.13	. 285	.14	.235	
7000	.36	.20	.44	.20	.36	.485 .50 505	. 255	.145	.31 	.15	.255	.325
7500 7800	.35	.215	.47	.22	.39	.505	.27	. 155	.335	.16	.275	.34
8000 8300 8400	.41	.225	.50	.23	.41	.56 .585	.295	.165	.35	.175	.30	.375
8500 8600	.45	.245	. 54	.245	,45 	.605	.31	.18	.38	.18	.315	
8800 9000 9200	.46	.255	.57	.26	.47	.64 .66	.34	.19	.40	.19	•34	.42
9400					••••							.45

Loads in Ibs.	Defle	ction	s of B	eam	X III.	Deflections of Beam XIV.	Deflections of Beam 7					Deflections of Beam XVI.
	34 ins.	68 ins.	Ends	68 ins.	34 ins.	Ends	33 ins.	66 ins.	Ends.	66 ins.	33 ins.	Ends
9500	.50	.275	.605	.28	.50		.35	.20	.425	. 205	.355	
9600			••••			. 69						
9800		20	6.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52	1.715	••••			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	375	.475
10200			.04			.76		• - 1	•44	• 4 L	.010	• 400
10400						.765						
10500	.55	.305	.67	.31	.55		.40	.22	.475	.22	.40	••••
10600	••••	••••	••••	• • • •	••••	.80	••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	•••••
11000	.585	.32	.705	.325	.585	.005	.415	.23	.50	.24	.415	.54
11300	• • • • •					.845						
11500	.61	.34	.745	.345	.61		.44	.24	.525	.25	.445	. 565
11700	64	35	78	36	. 64	.88	45	955	55		45	.59
12200						.935						
12400				• • • • •	••••	.95	•••••		• • • • •			
12500	.66	.365	.81	.375	.67		.47	.265	.57	.27	.465	.61
12000 12800						1.00						
$13000 \\ 13200$.70	.385	.845	. 395	.70	$1.00 \\ 1.02$. 495	.275	.60	. 28	.50	. 65
13500	.725	.40	.885	.41	.735		.51	.285	.62	. 29	.51	.68
14000	.75	.415	.915	.42	-76		54	. 295	.64	. 30	.54	.71
14500 15000	.795	.435	.96	440	. 795 	••••	. 55	.305	.66	.31	. 95 575	.73
15500	.85	.47	1.025	.475	.85		.59	.33	.715	335	.60	.78
16000	. 875	.485	1.065	.49	.875		.61	.34	. 7.1	.34	.615	.81
16500	.905	.505	1.10	.515	.915		.64	.35	.765	.35	.64	.83
17000	. 94 97	.52	1.135	.525 535	.94	• • • • •	.65	.36	.79	30	. 655 675	.87
18000	1.00	.55	1.22	.56	1.01		.69	.315	.835	.39	.70	.93
18500	1.04	.575	1.265	.58	1.045		.71	.395	.86	.40	.71	. 95
19000	1.06	.59	1.31	.60	1.07		.74	.405	.875	.41	. 735	.98
20000	$1.1 \\ 1.14$.615	1.30 1.39	.02 635	$1.1 \\ 1.14$.75	.415 -	.91	42	$\frac{10}{775}$	1.00
$\frac{20000}{20500}$	1.165	.65	1.43	.655	1.175							1.07
21000	1.21	.67	1.485	.68	1.22				1.20			1.10
21500	1.24	.685	1.515	$.69 \\ 715$	$1.25 \\ 1.90$			••••		••••		1.13
22500	1.40)	1.01	- (19	1.49	••••	••••			••••	••••	$1.10 \\ 1.17$
23000												1.20
24000			1.70	• • • •	• • • • •							
25000	•••••	••••	1 00	••••	•••••		••••	••••	1.30	••••	••••	• • • • •
26000			$\frac{1.00}{2.05}$				••••		•••••			
27000									1.45			
29000	•••••	····		••••	• • • • •		••••		1.55	••••	••••	• • • •
29300	•••••	••••	2.6	••••	• • • • •	••••	••••	•••	$\begin{bmatrix} 1.70 \\ 1.90 \end{bmatrix}$		••••	• • • •
32000									2.25			
35000					• • • • •				2.33			
37000		••••	•••••	••••	· · · · · · · ·							

TABLE E.-(Continued.)

TABLE F. Deflections of Beams XVII, XVIII and XIX.												
	XVIII	115 2	XIX									
			- 1	4.79								
Load in Ibs.		st Lond ing.	ing.	ann grae nally re- ved of l'	d Load- ing.	lst	Load	ing.	Beam reli	gradu eved o ond.	ally of	2nd load- ing.
	Ends.	E'ds	Ends	Ends.	E.qs	341 ins.	End	343	344 ins.	End	341 ins.	
1000		005	005	015		.005	.010	.010	.010	.015	.020	
2000		.010	.015	.015		.020	.045	.030				••••
-4000		.020	.030	.020		.060	.090	.070	.055	.095	.060	••••
- 5000 - 6000	.07	0.10	.040	.045		1.070	1.105 1.130	[.085]				
7000		.060	.060	.060		.110	.150	.105		•••		
- 1300	,	.065	.070	.070		liis	1.170	.125	.120	190	.120	
8500 9000)					1.120	1.185	.135				
9500)		.085	.015		.140	200.215	.140				
1000(.15	.095	.095	.085	1	150	225	1.150			••••	• • • •
11000)	.100	.103	.095	1	.16(.245	.165				
-1150(-1200()		110	100	• • • • • •	. . 165 . 170	(1.250)	9.170	170	.255	.170	
1250	0.18]			
1400	0		130	1.110 1.125	1	180	[1.290]	$\frac{1}{202}$	/			
1500	0.22		. 140	.130		210	330	.220				
1700	0		165	1.150					" . 			
1750	0 .26					• • • • •	•	· [• • • •				••••
1900	0	•	.185	5 .1.0				• • • • • •				
2000	0 .30		• • • • • •		20	0	• • • •	•	• • • • •			.420
2250	0 .34											
$-2400 \\ -2500$	$0 \\ \\ 38$	· 5	• • • • •				• • • • •	• • • • •				.510
2600	0 .40	5						• • • • •				.550
2700 2800	0 .42	5 5			• • • • •	• • • • •	:	• • • •	. .			.500
2900	0 .46	5						• • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •		
3100	$0 .48 \\ 0 .51$	0	• • • • • •			0			•	• • • • • •		. 040
3200	$053 \\ 0 - 5c$	5	• • • • •		• • • •		• • • •		•	• • • • •		.695
3400	0 .58	5			• • • •	•						
3500 3600	0 .61 0 .64		• •••		31	0	• • • •		• • • • • •	•		.730
3700	0 .68									.		.780
3800	10 .71 10 .75	ð		• • • • • • •		• • • •	• • • •		• ! • • • • •	• • • • •		830
4000	0 .79	5		• ••••								
4200	90 .95	80	• • • • •	· ····			• • • • •		· · · · · · ·	: .		,010
4250	1.00 1.00 1.03)5 m	• • • •	• , • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	• • • •	•	• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	.930
4350	0 1.05	5		• • • • • • •					•			
4400	$\frac{90}{1.12}$	$\frac{35}{25}$	• • • •	• • • • • •	35	50	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • •	•	• • • • •	.980
4500	00 1.15	50										
455	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85	• • • •	· · · · · ·			: : : :		• • • • • •		• • • •	1.030
465	$\frac{00}{1.31}$	5		• • • • • •	• • • •		• • • •		• • • • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	
475	$\frac{1.30}{1.4}$	55		• • • • • •	40		: :		• • • • • •		: ::::	
480	1.60	00	• • • •	· · · · ·	44	•••	• • • •	• • • •	· ····	•] • • •	• • • • •	1.100
482	00 1.6	75										
483	$00^{\circ} 1.73$ $00^{\circ} 1.83$	20 30	• • • • •	• • • • • •	•••	••	• • • •		• • • • • •	• • • •	· · · · ·	
485	00 1.9	10		•	.							
486		20		•	.49	90	· · · ·	· • • •	•		: .::	1.160
520	00	••	• •			00			• • • • • • •	•		. 1.230
540	00		•••		••		.		• • • • • •	:		1.510
570 580	00	•• •••	•• •••			40		•• •••	• • • • • •	• ••••	• • • • •	
610	00		•• •		6	30	.					• • • • • • •
640 670	00	••••••	•• •••		$\frac{1}{2}$	00 50	· · · · ·					
	Breaki	ng we	ight o	f Beam	XV	II =	48.6	00 lb	s.			
	"	-		44	XVI	III =	69,4	•• 00				
	6.6	64	1	6.6	XIX	-	59,5	4) "				

		TAB	LE	F.	,			
ections	of	Beams	XV	п.	XV	ш	and	XIX

TABLE G.

Deflections	\mathbf{of}	Beams	XX	and	XXI.	
-------------	---------------	-------	----	-----	------	--

	XX							XXI.						
Load in lbs.	lst.	Loadi	ng.	2nd Loading.			lst I	loadi	ng.	Beam grad- ually re- lieved of l'd lieved of l'd		l Load	ing.	
	$\frac{3.4\frac{1}{2}}{\text{ins.}}$	End	34 <u>3</u> ins.	Ends.	34 <u>}</u> ins.	Ends	$\frac{34\frac{1}{2}}{\text{ins.}}$	34 <u>1</u> ins.	E'ds	$\frac{34\frac{1}{2}}{\text{ins.}}$	Ends.	341 ns.	Ends	34 <u>1</u> ins.
250								.009	.02	.010	.020	.015	.020	.015
500	.0	.0	.0	.001	.003	.005	.005	.025 0375	.035	.025	• • • • • •	• • • •	•••••	
- 750 - 1000	.015	.016	.015					.055	085	.040	.095	.065	.095	.065
1250								.075	.110	.075				
1500			· • • •	••••	••••	•••••	••••	.095	.135	.090	•••••		• • • • •	• • • •
-1750 -2000	035	0.10	0.10	045	.035	045	.040	.110	$.110 \\ .185$.110 .125	.195	.120	.185	.125
-2000 -2250	. 000	.040	.040					.140	.205	.140				
2500	.048	.050	.050					.155	.230	.155				
-300_{0}	050	.080	.055		••••		••••	.185	.275	.185	. 280	.190	.285	. 185
3500	055	.090	.065	105	070	.105	.075	.220 .255	.325 .370	.215 .250	375	.255	.370	.250
4250								.270	.405	.270				
4500	. 084	.115	.090	· · · · · · ·		· • • • •		.285	.430	.285				• • • •
4750		1.02	100		••••	• • • • •		$.302 \\ 317$.455	$.300 \\ 215$	170	215	470	215
- 5000 - 5250	.095	.120	.100					.335	.410	.330		. 510	.470	
5500	.100	.140	.105					.350	.520	.345				
5750	••••	• • • • •						.365	.545	.360				
6000	$110 \\ 190$.155	.115	.160	.105	.160	.110	.380	. 565	.310	.575	.380	.575	.380
- 0500 7000	130	.170	135									.445	.665	.440
-7500	.135	.200	.140											
8000	.146	.210	.150	.215	.145	.215	.150		• • • •		· · · · · · ·	.515	.765	.515
8500	$152 \\ 162$.225	.160		••••	••••	•••	•••		••••	•••••	580	870	575
9500	175	.240	.180											
10000	.180	.270	.190	.270	.180	.270	.185					.645	.970	.640
10500	.194	.285	.200			• • • • •	••••		• • • •	••••	•••••		1 075	
-11600 -11500	.200	$.300 \\ 215$.205	•••••	••••	• • • • •	••••			••••	•••••	.119	1.075	.700
12000	.210 .220	.315 .325	.220 .230	.325	.215	.325	.235					.785	1.170	.765
13000													1.310	
14000		••••			.255	.380	.260	••••		••••		• • • •	······	• • • •
12000		••••	••••	• • • • •	285		290	••••	•••	•••••		••••	1.515 1.670	
17000													1.850	
17400						• • • • •				• • • • •			2.000	
17500	• • • •	• • • •	••••	•••••		105		••••	••••	••••		••••	2.40	••••
-18000 -26000	••••	••••	••••		.320	.400	.320		••••	••••	•••••			
22000					.400	.505	.410							
24000				. .	.440	.665	.450							••••
26000	••••	••••	• • • •	· · · · · ·	••••	.725	••••		••••			••••	••••	••••
28000	•••	••••	• • • •			.850								
32000						.920								
34000	••••	• • • •	• • • •		••••	.990	· • • •		••••	••••	•••••	••••		••••
36000	••••	••••	• • • •	••••	••••	1.00	••••	••••	••••	••••	•••••	••••		••••
-40000	••••		••••			2.40								
42000						3.60								
44000			••••			5.05	••••		• • •	••••	•••••		••••	••••
46000	••••	••••	••••	•••••	••••	0.60	••••	••••	• • • •	••••	•••••			
40000	••••		• • • •		• • • • •	1.00								

Breaking weight of Beam XX = 49,600 lbs. "XXI = 17,960 "

Tubles	H	and	Ι	show	def	lections	in	inches of	Old	Doug	las	Fir,	etc.	
--------	---	-----	---	------	-----	----------	----	-----------	-----	------	-----	------	------	--

TABLE H.

	Detlections of Beams XXII and XXIII.												
I and a			XXII				a	XXIII					
in Ibs.	. 27 ins.	54 ins.	Ends	54 ins.	27 108.	31 ins.	62 ins.	Ends.	62 ins.	31 ins.			
1,000						.015	.01	.015	.00	.01			
1,500	.02	.01	.02	.01	.01	.025	.02	.025	.01	.02			
2,000	.025	.02	.03	.01	.02	.04	.03	.045	.02	1.035 0.15			
3,000	.045	.03	.04	.025	.04	.065	.05	.065	.03	.05			
3,500	.05	.035	.06	.03	.05	.08	. 06	.085	.04	.07			
4,000	.06	.04	.07	.035	.(6	.10	.065	.105	.045	.085			
-1,500	.07	.04	.08	0.15	07	-14	.08	-12	0.05	115			
5,500	.09	.055	.10	.015	09	.14	.095	.150	1.065	1.13			
6,000	.10	.06	.13	.055	.10	.16	.10	.175	.075	.15			
6,500	.11	. 06	.14	.055	.11	.17	.11	-185	.075	.16			
7,000	.12	.07	.15	.06	.12	.18	12	-20	0.085	175 			
5.000	.14	.05	.16	.005	.13	.20	11	25	.10	.20			
8,500	.15	.085	.17	.075	.15	.225	.145	. 255	.11	.215			
9,000	.16	.09	.18	.08	-16	.24	.155	.275	.12	. 225			
9,500	.17	.095	.195	.085	175	.25	$.160 \\ 17$	- 285	$^{+125}_{-19}$.245			
10,000	.10	.105	.20	. 095	.18	.20	185	.325	.14	265			
11,000	.195	.11	-22-	.10	.19	1 .29	.19	-345	.145	.275			
11,500	. 20	.115	-235	.105	.20	.305	.20	- 355	.15	.30			
12,000	.21	-115	-245	-11	.21	.32	.205	-375	.16	.305			
12,500	23	125	.200	.110	.225	.35	225	-415	175	.34			
13,500	2:15	.13	.27	.125	.235	.365	235	-425	.18	.355			
14,000	.25	.14	-29	.13	.25	.38	.245		.19	. 365			
14,500	255	-145	-:10	.135	.26	. 395	25	- 455	.20	.38			
15,000	200	- 10	.39	1.14	.200	.125	.20	-495	.205	- 405			
16,000	.28	.16	-33	.15	.28	.44	.275	- 505	.22	.42			
16,500	.29	-16	.34	.16	.29	.455	.285	-525	.23	.445			
17,000	.295	-17	-35	.165	.29	.47	.29	+545	.245	.45			
15,000	.30	1.145	- 30	175	.315	-485	305	·505 ·575	.240	.405			
18,500	.32	.185	.39	.175	.32	.515	.313	.595	.26	.485			
19,000	.33	.19	.395	.18	.33	.53	.32	·605	.265	.50			
19,500	.34	.195	.40	.18	.34	.545	.33	·625	.275	.51			
20,000		. 20	-44	.180	. 30	.565	.310	+655	. 285	.545			
21,000			.43			.580	.360	+675	.305	.56			
21,500						.59	.37	·695	. 305	.57			
22,000		•••••	.45	• • • • • • •		605	.375	+705	- 31	.58			
23,000			••••			.645	.395	.7.45	325	.61			
23,500						.65	.40	.765	.335	.625			
24,000				• • • • • •		.665	.41	+780	.34	. 64			
25,000		•••••	-01	••••	•••••	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		••••	••••			
27,000			.555										
28,000			.57					.90					
30,000	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	1.00	••••	• • • •			
31,000	•••••	•••••	.60	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	1.05	••••				
34,000			.71					1.15					
35,000			.745				•••••						
36,000	•••••		. 76	· • • • • •	• • • • • • •			1.2	••••	••••			
40.000	•••••	•••••	86	•••••	•••••	•••••		1.24 1.34		••••			
41,000			.90										
42,000			•••••				• • • • • • •	1.45	••••	•••••			
44,000		•••••	.975	• • • • • •	•••••	· · · · · · ·	•••••	1.53	••••	••••			
46.000			1.02	••••				1.60					
47,000			.07										
49,000	•••••		1.10							• • • •			
51,000		•••••	1.15	•••••	•••••	· • • • • • •	• • • • • •		••••	• • • •			
55,000			27										
D.,		noight (. Poo	NY Y		55 400 1	ha						

Breaking weight of Beam XXII = 55,400 lbs. """" XXIII = 47,560"

1	Deflections of Beams XXIV and XXV.									
Loads		N	XIV.				Σ	XXV.		
m]bs	22 ius.	41 ins.	Ends	44 ins.	22 ins.	24 ins.	48 ins.	Ends.	48 ins.	24 ins.
500						.01	.005	.01	.005	.01
1,000						.015	.01	.015	.005	.015
2.000	••••		••••		••••	.02	.015	.03	.01	.02
3,000			••••	•••••		.04	.025	.05	.015	.04
-4.000	0.15		05			.00	.030	.075	. 925	.00
0,000	065	- 0.5	065	045	.04	.075	.040	.095	.04	.00
7.000	08	.04	.005	05	.000	115	065	140	.040	115
5 000	10	.05	10	.06	.08	125	.005	15	065	125
9.000	.105	.055	105	.07	.08	14	08	18	075	14
10,000	.12	.06	.12	.07	.095	155	.09	195	.08	155
11.000	.13	.07	.13	.08	.11	.17	.10	.225	.085	.165
12,000	.14	.08	.15	.085	.125	.185	.105	.245	.10	18
13,000	.115	.085	.16	.09	.14	.205	.115	.26	.105	.21
14.000	.16	.09	.17	.10	.15	.215	.12	.285	.115	.22
15,000	.18	.10	.20	.11	.165	.24	.125	.30	.125	.235
16,000	.20	.105	.21	.12	.17	.255	.14	.325	.13	.255
17,000	.21	.11	.22	.125	.18	.265	.15	.345	.145	. 265
18,000	.22	.12	.25	.13	.19	.285	.155	.365	.16	.28
19,000	.225	.125	.25	.14	.205	. 30	.16	.395	.17	.305
20,000	.24	.13.	.26	. 15	.22	.315	.17	.410	.18	.315
21,000	.26	.14	.27	.16	.24	.340	.185	.445	.19	.335
22,000	.27	145	.29	.17	.25	.355	.195	.465	.20	.355
23,000	.28	.15	.31	.175	.26					
24,000	.30	.16	. 32	1.18	.27] .50		
25,000	.31	.17	.335	.185	.275					
25,800				10-				.54		
26,000	- 32	-140		.190	.29	•••••				
27,000	.54 90	.18		. 200	.51					••••
28,000	.00	10		. 21	. 54					
29,000	38	- 10	415	995	24					••••
30,000	.00	0	1410	. 220				65		
21 009	39	21	425	235	355	••••	1			
32,000	405		45	.24	87					
33,000			.46							
33,200										
34.000			.48							
36,000	1		.51							
37,000			.54	I						
33,000		1	1.56			1				1
39 000			.575							
39,700	1							95		1
40,000			.66	·····	· · · · · ·					

TABLE I.

Breaking weight of Beam XXIV = 76,900 lbs. for beam of reduced length. Breaking weight of Beam XXV = 42,900 lbs.

Table J showing deflections in inches of two Douglas Fir planks under gradually increased loads.

	10	D. d:
Loads in lbs.	in ins. of Plank 1.	in ins. of Plank 2.
	Ends.	Ends.
2,000	.05	.06
3,000	.07	.10
4,000	.10	.15
5,000	.12	.19
6,000	.15	. 23
7,000	.16	. 27
8,000	.18	. 35
9,000	. 21	

Breaking weight of Plank 1 = 22,250 lbs. 4 = 22,250 lbs. 4 = 13,250 "

.

Tables K to M shew deflections in inches of Canadian New Red Pine Beams.

	Deflections of Beams XXVI 10 XXVIII.											
Londs in			XXVI.			XXVII	XXVIII					
1024	35 ins.	70 ins.	Ends.	70 ins.	35 ins.	Ends.	Ends.					
1,000	. 055	.035	.065	.04	.055	.08	.09					
1,500	.110	.060	.135	.060	.110	.15	.15					
1,800	.145	.080	.175	.080	.150							
2,000	.165	.095	.200	.09	165	.20	.225					
2,300	.195	.110	.235	.110	.200							
2,500	.215	.125	.260	.125	.215	.26	.300					
2,100	. 235	.130	.285	.130	.240							
2 200	, 200	.150	.520	.150	.265	.32	.36					
3,200	.290	.100		.100	. 29.3							
3,500	. 0 4 0	195	. 305	105	250	-01	. 44					
4 000	370	210	.410	910	370	4.1	50					
4 200	395	225	475	225	.310	. 19						
4.500	430	.245	510	245	430	49	57.5					
4,700	450	255	535	250	450		.51.5					
5,000	480	.270	570	265	475		6.5					
5.200	.500	.280	600	275	500							
5,500	.535	.295	.635	.290		.60	.72					
5,700	.560	.310	.660	.305	.550							
6,000	.580	.330	. 700	.320	.580	.66	.79					
6,200	.605	.340	.725	.335	.600							
6,500	.635	.360	.755	.350	.635	.73	.86					
6,700	.655	.370	.790	365	.655							
7,000	.690	.385	.825	380	.685	.79	.93					
7,200	.715	.395	.855	. 390	.705							
7,500	.745	.415	.890	.410	.740	.85	1.00					
7,700	.765	.425	.915	.425	.755							
8,000	.800	.445	.950	-140	.800	.92	1.07					
, 8, 200	.820	.455	.980	.455	.815							
8,500	.850	.475	1.020	.470	.855	99 .99	1.14					
8,700	.880	.495	1.050	.485	.875							
9,000	.915	.510	1.100	.510	.915	1.05	1.21					
9,200	.945	.525	1.135	.525	.945							
9,500	.995	.545	1.185	.545	.985	1.13	1.28					
9,700	1.015	.560	1.225	.560	1.010							
10,000	1.050	.585	1.265	580	1.050	1.20	1.36					
10,500							1.43					
11,000			1.400			1.36	1.50					
11,000				!			1.57					
12,000		•••••	1.600			1.51	1.66					
12,000		•••••		••••			1.72					
19,000			1.100			1.05	1.80					
19,000	•••••	••••	*****	Į			1.87					
13,000							1 05					
14,000	•••••		2.000	••••			2 00					
15 000	•••••	*****		•••••		9 00	9 15					
15 500	*****					4.00	2.10					
15,000			9 750				4.00					
16 000		•••••	2.100			9 90	2 4.1					
16 500		****	- 0,000 [••••		2.20	2.94					
17 000		•••••				9 59						
17,050			•••••			1	2.80					
				1			1 ••• ••					

T/	A B	LF	I K	ζ.

Breaking weight of Beam XXVI = 16,940 lbs. " " " XXVII = 17,700 " " " XXVIII = 17,000 "

Deflections of Beams XXIX to XXXII. Loads XXIX. XXX. XXXI. XXXII. in lbs. 70 ins. 35 ins. 70 ins. Ends. 35 ins Ends. Ends. Ends. 200 .035185 .235 500 .030 .015.04 .015 .020 .130 600290 700245 .340 800. .385 900 .120050 .140 .070 .100 .320 .430 1.000 .4951,100 1,200.545.385 .440 .600 1(300.190 .090 .225 .110 .650 1.400.505 .450 .700 1.500 1,600 · • • • • • • · **· ·** · .750265 .590.520 .800 1,700 .135 .310 .250 .150 .855 1,8001,900• • • •915710 . . . 2,0002,100.300 .150.350.170 .290 .615 .960 1.015 2,200 1.075 • • • • .725 .835 2,300 1.145.360 .370 .190 .440 .205 1.195 2,400 .780 .905 1.2452,500 . 2,600 1.300 .440 2,700 1.360 .525 .235 .900 .250 1.040 .435 1.410 2,800 1.465 2,900250480 .565 .265 .460 1.150 .960 1.525 3,1001.585 . 3,200 • • • 1.210 1.035 1.625. • • • • $1.700 \\ 1.750$ 3,300 . 550 • • • • .295 .305 .540 .650 3,4001.340 1.115 1.800 3.500 . 1.865 3,600620 1.935 3,700 .740 1.225.350 .610 $1.990 \\ 2.025$ 3,800 .330 1.456 3,900 . 640350 .365 .640 1.550 1.320 2.100 4,000 2.170 4.100. 1.640 2.220 4,200 . 1.445 2.290 4,300.740390 .730 .865 2.355 .410 4,100 1.765 1.510 2.420 4,500. • • • • · • • • 4,600 2.470 2.530 4,700810 .450 .960 1.615 4,800 .445.800 1.900 2.610 2.680 .850 4,900 . . . 1.700 .460 1.000 .470 .835 2.010 2.755 5,000 $5,100 \\ 5,200$ 2.830 . ••• 2.120 ••••• . 5,300 1.815 .910515 1.0855,400.500.900 2.335 1.895 $5,500 \\ 5,700$ • • • • • • • • 2.515 .985990545 1.175 .560 5,800580 2.900 1.030 .5651.225 1.005 2.115 6,000 6,400 1.110.610 1.320.620 1.100 •••• 2.410 6,509 6,800 • • • • 1.170 1.175 .640 1.405 .660 7,000 1 455 1.210 1.220.665.675 ~740 .715 .755 1.2901.5551.300 7,400 7.800 1.360 1.6601.360 $1.710 \\ 1.810$.800 •••• $1.410 \\ 1.500$.785 $1.410 \\ 1.510$ 8,000 • • • • 8,400 .830 .850 • • • • 1.915 .900 1.580 8,800 1.590 .880 9,000 1.640 .910 2.005 .930 1.650 10,000 2.270 . 2.650 <u>...</u>. || 11,000 • • • • . Breaking weight of Beam XXIX = 11,960 lbs. " " XXX = 5,700 " " " XXX1 = 6,500 " ٤. ... " XXXII = " 5,200

TABLE L.

TABLE M.

	Deflections of	of Beams XXXIII	to XXXV.
' Londs in Ibs.	XXXIII.	XXXIV.	XXXV.
	Ends.	Ends.	En ts.
500	065	.080	.030
800		. 145	.065
1,000	160	.185	.090
1,200		.230	.125
1,400		.275	.150
1,600	275	.320	.175
,800		.360	. 195
2,000	.1 .375	. 405	. 220
2,200		.450	.245
2,400	465	. 490	.270
2,600	500	. 535	. 295
2,800	540	.580	.320
3,000	585	. 625	.345
,200	630	.670	.370
,400		.715	.390
,600	710	760	.415
,800		.810	.445
,000		.850	.465
,200		.900	.490
400	.870	.945 -	.515
,600	.910	.990	.545
,800		1.035	.565
,000	1.000	1.080	.590
200	. L.940	1.125	.615
400	1.090	1.175	.640
600	1.125	1.220	.670
800	1.165		.695
.000	1.220	× · · · ·	.720
200	1.260		.745
400	1.310		.770
600	1.355		.800
800	1.415		.830
	1.455		.860
200	1.545		.885
400	1.590		.915
600	1.640		.950
800	1.690		
200	1.790		

Breaking weight of Beam XXXIII = 9,250 lbs. XXXIV = 5,600 XXXV = 7,600 ...

Tables N to Q show deflections in inches of Canadian New White Pine Beams.

1	ľ	Λ	В	L	E	Ν.	

-	Deflections of Beams XXXVI to XLL											
n lbs.	XXXVI.							XXXVII.	xxxvm.	XXXIX	XL.	XLI.
Loads i	108 ins.	72 ins.	36 ins.	Ends.	36 ins.	72 ins.	108 ins.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.	Ends.
5000 7500 10000	.109 .375 .594	.30 .70 1.00	.30 .93 1.33	.32 1.02 1.45	.30 .90 1.29	.29 .66 .95	.109 .344 .516		.10		 	
$11000 \\ 12500 \\ 15000 \\ 17500$.719 .799 .906 1.125	1.34 1.47 1.68 2.05	$1.78 \\ 1.96 \\ 2.24 \\ 2.70$	$1.95 \\ 2.16 \\ 2.45 \\ 2.97$	$1.74 \\ 1.93 \\ 2.20 \\ 2.65$	$1.26 \\ 1.42 \\ 1.62 \\ 1.96$.000 .750 .875 1.047	•••••	.125 .15 .19	.14 .165 .19	.17	.20
20000 22000 22500 24000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	· • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	••••• ••••	••••	••••• •••••	••••••	.21	. 2555	.23 .25 .27	.29 .32 .35
25000 26000 27500 280 C 0	•••• •••• ••••	· • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	 . <i></i> .	· • • • • · • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.30	.285	.30	.40
30000 32000 32500 34000		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		••••• ••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	.33 .37	.35	.36 .39 .42	.49 .53
36000	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$											
			66		66 61		66 - 1 16 - 1	XXXVI = XXXVII =	52,450 ·' 51.400 ·'			

65	XXXVI =	52,45
46	XXXVII =	51,40

TABLE O.

lb ^{s.}	Deflections of Beams XL to XLII.										
			XI	LII.				XLIII.	XLIV.		
Load	108 ins	72 ins.	36 ins.	Ends.	36 ins.	72 ins.	108 ins	Ends.	Ends.		
2500	.0312	. 05	.07	.08	.07	.055	.031				
3000	.047	.095	.14	.15	.14	.10	.047	•••••			
3500	.078	.13	.18	.19	.18	.13	.078	•••••			
4000	.094	.17	.24	.26	.24	.17	.109	*****			
-1500	.109	.20	.27	.30	.28	. 205	.125		•••••		
5000	.125	.245	.33	.37	.34	.25	.141				
5500	.141	.275	.38	.42	.39	.28	.156				
6000	.172	.325	.44	-47	.45	.33	.172		•••••		
6500	.187	.35	.49	.53	.49	.35	.188	•••••			
7000	.219	.39	.54	. 60	.54	.40	.219				
7500	.234	.425	.59	.65	.60	.43	.234	•••••			
8000	.250	.47	.64	.71	.65	.47	.266	•••••			
8500	.281	.505	. 69	.76	.70	.52	.281	• • • • • •			
9900	.297	.54	.15	.82	.10	.55	.312	•••••			
9500	.312	.59	.80	.90	.81	.60	.328				
10000	.328	.61	.84	.93	.85	.63	.344	.10	11.		
10500	.359	.66	.91	1.00	.91	. 67	.359				
11000	.375	.70	.97	1.07	.96	.71	.375				
11500	.406	.75	1.03	1.14	1.04	- 76	.406				
12000	.422	.77 •	1.06	1.17	1.07	.79	.422		•••••		
12500	.438	.80	1.11	1.21	1.11	.82	438				
13000	.453	.835	1.16	1.30	1.17	.875	.453				
13500	.484	.905	1.24	1.37	1.25	. 93	.484				
-14000	.500	.945	1.29	1.44	1.31	.97	.510				
-14500	.531	.975	1.34	1.49	1.355	1.00	.531				
-15000	.547	1.02	1.40	1.55	1.415	1.02	.562	.16	.16		
15500	.562	1.06	1.45	1.61	1.48	1.10	.578	••••	•••••		
16000	.593	1.105	1.51	1.68	1.53	1.15	.593	• • • • • •			
-16500	.609	4.15	1.57	1.76	1.60	1.19	.625				
17000	.641	1.19	1.63	1.81	1.65	1.23	.641				
17500	.656	1.23	1.68	1.87	1.705	1.27	.672				
18000	.687	1.27	1.75	1.96	1.775	1.32	.687	•••••			
18500	.719	1.34	1.84	2.05	1.86	1.39	.734		•••••		
19000	.750	1.38	1.89	2.11	1.92	1.43	.750	•••••			
19500	.766	1.43	1.95	2.19	1.98	1.47	.766				
20000	.781	1.48	2.02	2.27	2.05	1.52	.797	.23	.24		
20500	.813	1.53	2.10	2.35	2.13	1.58	.828		• • • • • •		
21000	.844	1.58	2.16	2.42	2.19	1.62	.859				
21500	.875	1.665	2.28	2.55	2.31	1.70	.891				
22000	.924	1.72	2.36	2.65	2.39	1.77	. 938				
25000			···· [.29	.30		
	Brea	king w	eight of	Beam	XXXV	'III =	26,350	lbs.			
		" "	""	**	XXXII	X =	48,600	6			
		"		**	XL	=	51,870	**			

TABLE P.

lles		De	flection	XLVII.	Wina Anna				
ni el			2	XLV.				XLVI.	XLVII.
	S ins 75	2 ins. I	6 in s .	Ends. I	6 ins.	72 ins.	108 ins	Ends.	Ends.
2500 .	125	.22	.30	.34	. 29	.21	1.141		.02
3000 .	141	.27	.35	.39	.34	.31	.156		
3500 .	172	. 29	.41	.45 .	.39	. 34	.188		
4000 .	188	.34	.45	.50	.44	36	. 203		
4500 .	203	.37	.50	.55 [.49	.44	.219		
5000	219	.42	.55	.61	.54	.44	.234		
5500 .	234	.45	.60	.67	.59	.47	.250		· · · • • •
6000 .	250	. 19	.65	.73	.64	.51	.266		
6500 .	266	.53	.71	.79	.69	.56	.281		· · · · • •
7000 .	297	.56	.76	.84	.74	.59	.312		
7500 .	312	.60	.81	.90	.79	.62	.328		
8000 .	344	.63	.86	.95	.85	.66	.344		
8500 .	359	.67	.92	1.03	.90	. 69	-359		
9000 .	375		.97	1.08	.95	. 74	.391		
9500	391	.15	1.02	1.14	1.00	.78	.406		
10000	422	. 79	1.08	1.20	1.06	.81	.422	.12	.10
10500	438	. 83	1.14	1.26	1.11	.86	. 138		
11000	453	.87	1.20	1.33	1.17	.90			
11500	484	.92	1.26	1.40	1.24	. 95	.500		
12000	500	.96	1.31	1.47	1.28	.98	.516		
12500	531	1.01	1.36	1.53	1.34	1.02	.531		.13
13000	547	1.05	1.42	1.59	1.39	1.06	.547		
13500	563	1.08	1.48	1.66	1.45	1.10	.578		
14000	593	1.13	1.55	1.73	1.51	1.15	.593		
14500	.625	1.17	1.60	1.79	1.57	1.18	.625		
15000	.641	1.21	1.65	1.86	1.62	1.22	.641	.20	.16
15500	656	1.25	1.71	1.93	1.69	1.27	.656		
16000	.687	1.30	1.78	2.00	1.75	1.31	.672		
16500	.703	1.35	1.85	2.08	1.82	1.36	.687		
17000	.734	1.39	1.90	2.14	1.86	1.40	.734		
17500	.766	1.43	1.97	2.22	1.94	1.45	.750		.20
18000	.781	1.50	2.05	2.33	2.02	1.51	.781		
18500	.797	1.54	2.11	2.39	2.08	1.56	.797		
19000	.825	1.59	2.19	2.48	2.15	1.60	.828		
20000	.875	1.68	2.31	2.63	2.29	1.70	.875	.26	.23
20500	.924	1.75	2.41	2.76	2.38	1.77	.924		
21000	.953	1.82	2.50	2.88	2.47	1.83	.953		
22500						1			.26
25000						1		35	.30
27500									.34
30000						1			.39

Breaking weight of Beam XLI = 24,850 lbs. " " " XLII = 44,400 " " " XLIII = 48,650 "

Deflections of Beams XLVIII to L. <u>-</u> Ξ XLVIII. XLIX. L. ų, Loans $37\frac{1}{2}$ s, Ends. -00 $37\frac{1}{2}$ 373 $37\frac{1}{2}$ $46\frac{1}{2}$ $46\frac{1}{2}$ End End ins. ins. ins. ins. ins. ins. 1000 . 01 .01 .005 .005 .015 .01 10. .015 .01 $\frac{2000}{3000}$.04 .07 .10 .025.03.02.02.04.02 .055.035.05 .035 .035.04 .06 .035 .105.065 .065 .052 .05 .08 .05 4000 .055.15 .10 .195 .065 .085.06 .065 .10 .065 .135 .135 5000 6000 .105 .075 .075 .125 .08 .245 .08 .165 .165 .295 7000 .10 .125.08.095 .15.095 .20 .20 .11 .125 $.17 \\ .20$ 8000 .105.15.103.105 .22 .33 .225 $\tilde{25}$.255 9000 .12.17 .375 .11 .13 .135 .28 10090 .195.125 .14 .22 .14 .43 .28 .215 .22 .135 10506 .14 .25 .30 11000 .15 .143 .155.15 .30 .46 .2311500.155.15.175 .265.165 .50 .33 .33 .24 $.165 \\ .175$ 12000.155 .25 .18 .275 .53 12500 .35 .16 .35 .17 $.\overline{2}65$.19 .29 .185 .55 13000 .18 .165.36.36 .185.27.20.30 .195 .375.57 .375 13500 .17 .21 .215 .20 .21 .215 .39 14000 .19.285.177 .315.39.60 .295 .615 14500.20.19.32 $.41 \\ .42$ $.40 \\ .42$.21 .305 .20 .22 .35 .645 15000 .215 .32 .205 .225.355 .22 .43 .655.43 15500 . 22 .23 .445 .33 .21 .235 .365.67.45 16000 .23.223 .23 .235 .245.25.70 .72 .745 16500 .34 .375.24.46.46 $.235 \\ .24$.25 .39 .475 .49 $.475 \\ .50$ 17000.355 .365 .26 .405 .255 17500 .24 .26 18000 .25.38 .27 .415 .51 .51 .76 .25 .395 .25 .275 .425 .27 .525.795 .52 18500 .285.295 $.265 \\ .27$ 19600 .405 .255.44 .28.54.82.55.26.27 .29 .455 $.56 \\ .58$ 19500 .415.55 .84 .275 .30 .30 .465 .57 .865 20000 .425 .285 .445 .285 .585 .59 20500 .31 .475 .31 .895 . 295 .29 .495 .32.92.61 21000 .46 .32.6021500 .30.47 .295 .325 .505 .325.62.94.63 .635 22000 .31.485.305 .34.515 $.335 \\ .34$.965 .64 .345 .5222500 1.00 .65 .32.50.31.65 23000 .33 .535 .345 1.03 .515.32 .35 • • • • • • • ••••• 23500 . 36 .53 33 .325 .555.35. 24000 .35 .54 .34 .37 .57 .36 1.0736.555 24500. 35 .38 .58.37. 1.14 .385 .39 25000.565.375.365 .355.585.385 .37525500 .585.365.60385 .395 1.16 .60 .38 26000 .40 .61395.615 .415 26500.385.625 .405 1.25 27000 .625 .42.645 .41 . 27500• • • • .43.66 .42 • • • • • • 1.33 .675 28000.445.43. 28500.69 .445.45 • • • • • • • • 29000 .46 .4551.41 •••• .71 • • • •465 .725.74 29500 .46. 1.49 3000069· • • • .475 .47. . **. . . .**76 1.55 31000 ;78. • • • • 1.60 32000 ••••• 34000 .8592 .94 36000 37000 .98 • • • • . 1.181.251.00 37300 • • • • 38100 •••• • • • • 1.20 40000 :::: • • • • ••••• 41000 1.30 44000 1.50 $1.85 \\ 1.97 \\ 2.15$ •••• • • • • • • • 45000 · · · · 1.70 • • • • 46000 • • • • • • • • 1.95 47000 Breaking weight of Beam XLVIII = 38,100 lbs.

TABLE Q.

"

"

46

"

XLIX

L

"

"

= 47,080 "

= 32,200 **

Table R shows deflections in inches of Canadian White Pinc Beams which have been in service.

ď.				Dei	flection	ns of	Bean	is LI t	to LI	П.					
in H			LL			I		ы.					LIII.	gan adar	
Loads	32 ins.	64 ins.	Ends.	64 ins.	32 ins.	30 ins,	60 ins.	Ends.	60 ins.	30 ins.	30 ins.	60 ins.	Ends.	60 in*.	30 ins
1000	.02	.02	.035	.02	.02	.02	.01	.025	.01	.02	.03	.01	. 04	. 02	.03
1500	.05	.03	.065	.03	.05	.05	.02	.055	.025	.05	.055	.02	.065	.04	.06
2000	.06	.05	.09	.05	.07	.060	.040	.075	.040	.070	.08	. 04	.10	.05	. 085
2000	.10	.000	1.12	.06	1.10	.09	.05	.105	.05	.095	.11	.06	- 185	.065	
3200	.11	.08	.140	.07	1.12	19	••••	195		1.0*	- 130	.08	.10	.08	1.14
3500	13-	00	175	0.95	15	-14	.00	155	.04	1.120	10	6.005		100	16
-1000	17	10	- 110	10	175	16	-08	100	.05	140	10	1000	20	10	-10
4500	.19	.12	- 21	115		18	.10	.100	11	18	.10	11		19	.10
5000	.21	.13	265	13	23	20	105		19	205	995	13	58	13	9.1
5500	.25	.14	.30	145	.25					. 200	26	.145	.325	.15	27
5700						.22	.12	.265	13	245					
6000	.27	.15	.325	.16	.275	.245	.13	.285	.14	.25	.29	.16	.35	.165	.30
6500	.29	.17	.35	.17	.30	.26	.14	.31	155	.275	.31	.18	.39	.18	.32
7000	.31	.185	.385	.185	.33	.29	.15	.345	.175	.30	.34	.19	.42	.19	.35
7500	.345	.20	.415	.20	.35						.37	.20	.45	.21	.385
7800						.31	.16	375	.19	.325					
8000	.35	.21	. 445	.215	.375	.34	.17	.40	.20	.35	.40	. 22	.49	.23	.405
8500	.38	.225	.47	.235	.40	.35	.185	.415	.215	.36	.425	.24	.515	.24	.44
9000	.40	.23	.50	.25	.425	.375	.195	.445	.225	. 39	.455	.25	.55	.255	. 16
9500	.425	.25	.53	.26	.45	.40	.21	.475	.24	.41	.47	.27	.585	.27	.495
10000	.45	.26	. 555	.285	.48	.42	.22	.50	.25	.435	.505	.285	.615	.285	.52
10500	.47	.27	.585	.29	.50	.45	.24	.535	.27	.46	.53	.29	.65	.30	.55
11000	.50	.29	.615	.305	.53					••••	.565	.305	.69	.31	-58
11500	.515	.30	.65	. 4 115	.55	-47	.25	.56	.28	. 185	.59	.32	.725	.33	.60
12000	.55	.31	.67	.33	.58	• • • •]	.625	.34	.76	.35	.64
12500	.57	.33	.70	.35	.60	.51	.27	.615	.31	.53	.65	.355	.795	. 365	.665
13000	.60	.34	.735	.36	.63	. 55	.30	.655	.33	.57	.675	. 865	.825	.39	.69
13000	.62	-35	.76	.37	.66	.57	.31	.685	.345	.59	.71	1385	.855	.405	.72
14500	.65	.365	. 79	.39	.685	.60	.32	.71	. 355	.61	.74	. 105	.90	.42	.75
15000	.01	.38	.82	.40	.71	.615	.31	.74	.37	.64	.77	.42	.94	.43	.79
15500	- 10	. 39	.85	.415	.735	. 64	.30	.765	.385	.600	.80	- 400	.985	.40	-815
16000	- (20	.41	.875	.435	.16	. tyti	.36	. 19	-39	.08	-835	.40	1.02	.41	.80
16500	- 10	.42	.91	.445	.185	.63		.85	.419	- 11 -	-81	****	1.01	-48	.89
17000		15	.94	.400	.81	7.)	905		19	71	• • • •		1 15		• • • •
17500	-00	.40	1 00	+44 40	.04	70	115	. 800	-90	70 :	••••		1 - 4+7	••••	
18000	-04	475	1.00	.42	- 09	.10	.410	05	17	-10		••••			••••
18500		49	1.05	.01	.02	.19	.44	. 55	- 41	+GT		•••			
19000	- 90	50	1.07 1.10	.00	020			085	• • • •						
19500	.03	.59	1 1.1	- 56	.30	••••	••	. 505							
20000	.96	.54	1 185	60	1 03		• • • •	1 06	••••	••••					
20500	1 00	.04	1.105	.00	1 07	• • • •		00	•••		••••				
21000	1.04	••••	1 98		i ii			1 10							
21500			1.32				••••								
22000								1.18							
22650			1.40												
23500								1.30							
24000								1.34							
25000								1.46							
	Bre	akin	a weig	ht of	Ream	s Lí	=	- 77.7	30 Th	÷.					

TABLE R.

• • 44 46 " "

LIII = 26,320 " LIII - 18,600 "

Tables S and T shew deflections in inches of Canadian New Spruce Beams (B, C,).

TABLE 8	S.
---------	----

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Louds in		D	eflections	of Be a m	LIV.		
	lbs.	108 ins.	72 ins.	36 ins.	Ends.	36 ins.	72 ins.	108 ins.
	1.000	.]1		30	30		20	11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1,500	.15	.24	.33	34	.30	23	.12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-2,000	.17	.28	.37	.38	.34	.25	.15
	-2,500	.18	.31	.41	.43	.38	.28	.16
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-3,000	.19	.34	.44	.46	.42	.31	.18
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,500	.21	.36	.48	.51	.45	.34	.19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,000	.22	.39	.52	.56	.50	.37	.21
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4,500	.24	.42	.56	.60	.54	.39	.22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-5,000	.25	.45	.60	. 64	.57	.42	.24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 0,000	.26	.47	.63	.68	.60	.45	.25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0,000	.27	.50	.04	.72	.64	.48	.20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7,000	21	- 04 54	- 41	. 10	01	.50	- 48
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.500		.00	- 10	.00		.02	.30
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S 000	2.1	61	29		-10	.50	- 29
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8,500	.35	65	86	- 00	82	61	34
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9,000	.37	.67	. 90	97	- 00	65	.35
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9,500	.38	.70	.94	1.01		.67	.36
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10,000	.40	.73	.97	1.05	.94		.39
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10,500	.41	.76	1.01	1.09	.98	.71	.40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11,000	.45	.79	1.05	1.14	1.02	.72	.41
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11,500	.44	.84	1.09	1.17	1.05	.75	.43
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12,000	.46	.84	1.13	1.21	1.09	.78	.45
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12,500	.48	-87	1.16	1.26	1.14	.82	.46
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13,000	.49	-89	1.19	1.29	1.16	.83	-48
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13,500	.50	- 92	1.23	1.34	1.20	.84	.49
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14,000	16.	.9.0	1.27	1.38	1.24		. 50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14,000	66, 54	.98	1.30	1.42	1.28	****	.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15,000	1 ,04	1.00	1.02	1 -40 1 -10	1 4.31		- 03
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18,000	1 .00	1.00	1	1+40	1.0.	1.01	- 54
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16,500	55	1.01	1 34	1.50	1.34	1.01	.55
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17.000	.56	1.01	1.34	1.51	1.36	1 03	.56
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17,500	.56	1.02	1.35	1.52	1.10	1.05	.57
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18,000	. 56	1.03	1.35	1.54	1.41	1.06	.58
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18,500	.57	1.03	1.36	1.55	1.43	1.07	. 59
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19,000	.57	1.04	1.36	1.57	1.45	1.09	.60
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19,500	.58	1.04	1:36	1.58	1.46	1.11	.60
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20,000	.58	1.05	1.37	1.60	1.47	1.12	.61
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20,500	.71	1.32	1.52	1.93	1.74	1.30	.70
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21,000	.72	1.35	1.80	1.98	1.78	1.33	.71
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 21,900 - 21,900	- 14	1.38	1.85	2.02	1.82	1.36	- 18
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22,000	- 10	1.41	1.90	2.07	1.30	1.95	- (i)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26.200				2.50		•••••	••••
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.800				2.75			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29.000				2.85			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29,900				3.00			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30,800				3.15			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-32,000				3.25			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32,500				3.35			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33,200				3.70			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33,500				3.80			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	33,800				4.00			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34,400				4.10		••••	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34,800				4.25			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35,600			•••	4.50	••••		••••
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26.200		••••		4.60		****	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26,600				4.10	••••	****	
38,250 5.50	36,800				5.00			
	38,250		{		5.50			

Breaking weight of Beam LIV = 36,800 lbs.

TABLE T.

	Deflections of Beams LV and LVI.										
Loads in		LV.		1	LVI.						
	30 ins.	End.	30 ins.	30 ins.	End.	30 in#.					
10,000	.05	.09	.05		- 07	.0					
11 000	.06	.10	.06	l ii	.09	.06					
12,000	.07	.10	.065	.12	.10	06					
13,000	.07	ii l	.07	13	.10	67					
14,000	.08	ii	.075	13	ii l	08					
15,000	. 08	.12	.08	135	12	.00					
16,000	.09	13	085	14	12	09					
17.000	.10	.14	.005	145	14	095					
18,000	10	15	005	15	15	10					
19,000	.11	16	105	16	- 15	10%					
20,000		17	11	16	16	11					
21,000	12	17	19	17	.10	115					
22,000	12	18	195	175	- 11	10					
23,000	13	19	12	185	.10	19					
24.000	13	- 15	125	10.1	.15	12					
25,000	14	.20	110	105	-19	- 10					
26,000	15	.21	.14	. 190	.20	- 14					
27,000	-15	.24	. 145	.2	. 20	.10					
22,000	-10	.20	-40	.2	.22	-10					
20,000	-10	.24	- 10	.215	.24	. [6					
29,000	-10	.20	.165	.22	.24	.16					
30,000	-17	. 26	.17 -	.225	.25	-17					
31,000	.17	.26	.18	.23	.26	.17					
32,000	- 18	. 28	.185	.235	.27	-18					
33,000	.19	.29	. 19	.24	. 28	.185					
34,000	.20	.30	.20	.245	. 29	.19					
35,000	.20	.31	.205	. 255	. 29	. 20					
36,000	-21	.32	.21	.267	.31	. 20					
37,000	.21	.33	.215	.27	.32	. 21					
38,000	-22	.34	. 225	.28	·33	.215					
39,000	.22	.35	.23	.28	.34	.225					
40,000	.23	.36	.24	.285	.35	.235					
41,000	.24	.37	.25	.29	.36	.24					
42,000	.25.	.38	.255	.30	.37	.25					
43,000	.25	.39	.26	.31	.39	.255					
44,000	. 26	.40	.27	.32	.40	.26					
45,000	.27	.41	.28	.325	.41	.27					
46,000	.27	.42	.29	.335	.42	.28					
47,000	.28	.44	.30	.34	.45	.285					
48,000	.29	.45	.305	.35	.46	.30					
49,000	.30	.46	.315	.36	.47	.305					
50,000	.31	.48	.32	.37	.49	.315					
51,000	.31	.50	.33	.38	. 50	.325					
52,000				.39	.52	.34					
53,000				.40	.55	.35					
54,000				.41	.56	. 36					
55,000				.42	.59	.37					
56,000				.44	.60	.39					

Breaking weight of Beam LV = 73,000 lbs. " " LV1 = 70,000 "

Table U and T show deflections of Canadian Spruce Beams which have been in service.

1	Deflections of Beams LVII to LIX.										
Loads in ths.		LVII.			LVIII.		LIX-				
	45 ins.	Ends.	45 ins.	45 ins.	Ends.	45 ins.	At End.				
1.000	.01	.02	.01	.030	.040	.040					
1,500	.02	.05	.025	.050	.065	.056					
2.000	.035	.07	.05	.060	.100	.070	.09				
2.500	.05	.09	.07	:080	.130	.095					
3,000	.06	.11	.09	.100	.160	.115					
3,500	.075	.14	.10	.120	.190	.130					
4.000	.09	.15	.115	.140	.215	.150	:20				
4.500	.10	.17	.135	.160	.250	.170					
5.000	.115	.20	.15	.175	.270	.190	.25				
5,500	.13	.22	.165	.200	.300	.205					
6 000	.14	.24	.19	.210	.330	.225	.30				
6 500	ÎĜ	.26	.20	240	.360	248					
7 000	17	.28	.21	255	.390	.251	:36				
7,500	185	.30	.92	275	.420	285					
- 4,000	20	.00	295	300	450	305	41				
9,000	- 20	35	25	315	475	320	• **				
0,000	995	37	- 20	2.10	500	349	•••••				
9,000	995	20	975	250	.500	269					
9,500	.200	:41	.210		.000	.302	59				
10,000	. 40	.41	, 49		.570		.04				
10,500	.200	•44 40	.00	.400	.390	.400	•••••				
11,000	.270	.40	. 315 .	.410	.620	.410	*****				
11,500	.29	.41	. 30	.440	.650	.440					
12,000	.30	.50	.30	.450	.0/0	.400					
12,500	.32	.52	.36	.475	.705	.480					
13,000	.335	.94	.37	.500	.745	.500	*****				
13,500	. 35	.55	. 39	.510	.765	.515					
14,000	.36	.57	.40	.540	.800	.540					
14,500	.37	.60	.415	.550	.840	.555					
15,000	.39	.62	.43	.575	.860	.580					
15,500	.40	.65	. 45	.600	.900	.620					
16,000	.415	.67	.46	,61õ	.920	.630					
16.500	.435	.69	. 47	.640	.960	.645					
17,000	.45	.72	.49	.655	.990	.665	****				
17.500	.46	.74	.50		1.025						
18,000	.475	.76	.52								
18,500	.50	.78	.54								
19,000	. 51	.80	. 56		1.120						
19 500	.525	.83	.575								
20,000	.55	.87	.59		1.180						
21,000		92			1 270						
22,000		97			1 350						
22,000	*****	1 10	••••		1 430						
23,000	•••••	1.50			1 570						
24,000	••••	9.40	•••••	*****	1.010	•••••					
20,000	•••••	4.40	•••••		1 950						
20,000	•••••	•••••	•••••		2 040		•••••				
21,000	•••••	•••••			2.040						

TABLE U.

The Breaking weight of Beam LVII = 25,700 lbs. " " LVIII = 27,470 " . " LIX = 21,700 "

,£6

TABLE V.

	Detlections of Beams LX to LXI.										
Loads in lbs.		LX.			LXI.						
	34 ins.	At End.	34 ins.	46 ins.	At End.	46 ins.					
500				.015	02	.01					
1.000	.005	.015	.005	04	.05	03					
1,500	.005	.045	015	06	.09	05					
2,000	.020	.050	020	085	Li	07					
2.500	.035	070	035	105	17						
3 000	.045	080	045	135	20	19					
3.500	.055	100	055	. 150	.24	15					
4.000	.065	120	065	180	290	170					
4.500	.070	140	070	20	320	190					
5.000	.080	145	080	23	350	210					
5,500	.095	165	100	2.15	390	945					
6.000	105	185	105	965	.130	260					
6.500	.115	200	115	.200	46	.200					
7.000	130	220	130	31	51	217					
7 500	.140	210	145	3.1	51	225					
8 000	155	255	155	36	.01	955					
8 500	175	285	170		61	- 194					
9 000	180	300	185	41	65						
9 500	190	390	195	125	.00	.40					
10,000	205	345	205	155	71	45					
10 500	220	285	.200	. 400	70	.40					
11 000	230	280	220	51	- 10	-400					
11 500	250		955	51	-15	5.1					
12 000		1.10		1	.00	.01					
13 000	••••	.157		••••	05						
14.000		510			1.03						
15,000		565			1.00						
16,000	••••••	610			1.00						
17.000		690			1.20						
18,000		750			1.04	• • • • • •					
19,000		870			1	• • • • • •					
20,500		.010									
-01000											

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH.

The experiments to determine the compressive strength of the various timbers have been chiefly made with columns cut out of the sticks already tes ted transversely. These columns were, in the first place, carefully examined to see that they had suffered no injury. The following inferences may be drawn :--

(1) The compressive strength of Douglas Fir and of other soft timbers is much less near the heart than at a distance from the heart. Attention may be directed to the ease of three equal specimens A, B and C (see photograph page 19), cut out of Beam XIII. The compressive strength of C was found to be 7,706 lbs. per square inch as compared with 6,653 lbs. per square inch, the compressive strength of A. The difference of strength is undoubtedly due to the very much larger proportion of soft to hard fibre, or of summer to spring growth in C, as compared with the proportion in the ease of A. The compressive strength of the timber increases with the density of the annular rings.

(2) When knots are present in a timber column, the column will almost invariably fail at a knot or in consequence of the proximity of a knot.

(3) Any imperfection, as, for example, a small hole made by an ordinary cant hook, tends to introduce incipient bending, or crippling.

(4) When the failures of average specimens commence by an initial bending, the compressive strengths of columns of about 10 to 25 diameters in length agree very well with the results obtained 'by Gordon's formula, the co-efficients of direct compressive strength per square' inch being 6000 lbs. for Douglas Fir and 5000 lbs. for White Pinc.

Gordon's formula, however, is not at all applicable in the case of specially good or bad specimens. It is often found that a very clear, sound specimen, of even more than 20 diameters in length, will show no signs of bending, but will suddenly fail by crippling under a load as great as that sufficient to crush a shorter specimen. (5) The greatest care should be observed in avoiding obliqueness of grain in columns, as the *effective* bearing area, and therefore also the strength, are considerably diminished.

(6) If the end bearings are not perfectly flat and parallel, the columns will in all probability fail by bending concave to the longest side.

(7) The average strength per square inch, independent of the ratio of length to diameter, is:

5974	lbs. for New Douglas Fir	
6265	" for Old " "	
4067	'' for New Red Pine	
3843	" for New White Pine	
2772	" for Old " "	
3617	" for New Spruce (B.C.))
5136	" Old Spruee	
· / 1		

It should be pointed out that none of the old Douglas Fir columns exceeded 4.4 diameters in length, while the great majority of the new Douglas Fir columns were from 4 to 25 diameters in length. This explains the reason of the greater average compressive strength of the old Douglas Fir. A similar remark applies to the New and Old Sprnee.

Table giving in detail the results of the experiments on the different specimens :---

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON NEW DOUGLAS FIR.

Dimensions in	rins. Lengths.	Breaking Load in Ibs. per eq in.	Weight in Ibs per-cub. ft.	Remarks.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \frac{28 \times 3.11}{28 \times 3.10} $ $ \frac{3 \times 5.81}{3} $	$\begin{array}{c} 6367 \\ 5760 \\ 4923 \end{array}$	30.3	Falied by bulging. Failed by folding. Specimen 3" or 4" from heart; grain straight; one small knot on high edge. Failed by crippling
3.65×3.6	5 imes 6.12	3678	29.8	at knot on high edge. Heart piece; grain straight but seasoned; annular rings very wide; two knots, one on high edge. Failed at this lat- ter by crippling.
2.19×3.7	4×5.40	4761	38.4	Straight grained ; one large knot from side to side; specimen 3" or 4" away from centre. Failed at knot.
4.10 × 4.3	0 × 8.05	5218	32.9	Large knot on one end; many small knots all through piece; also heavy season cracks. Failed by bursting along season cracks and through knots.
2.15×2.2	5×9.2	5809	38.8	All clear. Failed by crippling.
2.17×2.2	5×9.14	7313	35.1	Sonnd, clear and straight grained; small deficiency on one side at end. Failed by crip- pling.
2.12×2.1	6×9.15	7294	38.7	Straight grained; clear on three sides; 4th side old, with bad defect 4 ins. from one end. Bulged and failed at defect.
2.22 × 2.2	2×9.07	8177	37.5	Straight grained and clear; one bad season crack. Failed by crip- pling.
2.13×2.29	0×9.15	6850	36,5	Straight grained; small knot near one corner 3 ins. from end. Failed at this knot.

RESULT	S OF COM	PRESSION	TESTS ON	NEW DO	DUGLAS FIR Continued.
3.32 ×	(3.32)	× 9,62	3810	29.5	Heart picce ; straight grained ; two heavy sea- son cracks ; three or four pin knots. Failed by builing on season cracks ; and crippling through two pin knots on same side.
3.33 ×	(3,34)	× 10.58	4388	33.0	Clear; straight grain- ed. Failed on high side. Specimen 3" or 4" from heart.
3.45 X	(3.50)	× 10.60	7000	32,6	Clear and straight grain; somewhat shak- en; crippled 6 ins. from end
2.74 ×	(4.27)	< 11.25	6837	35,3	Clear and straight grained; some season cracks; failed by crip- pling directly across about 12 ins. from one end.
2.85 ×	4.25 >	< 11 27	5615	30.0	Clear and straight grained, but season cracks along annular rings, and one heavy season crack along medullary rays. Failed first by bursting apart of piece at a season crack, then by crippling of the remainder.
3.94 X	3.95 >	< 11.97	7069	33.8	Clear straight grain: season crack on one side. Failed by crippling at middle on the highest
$2.72 \times$	$2.92 \times$	(11.85	8942	40.0	Clear and straight grain; shaken over 8 ins,
3.46 ×	3.48 ×	12.04	5481	30.4	Two sets of knots, one at one end, the other at centre. Failed at both by crippling, at same time.
4.05 ×	4.10 ×	12.01	5542	35.1	Knots (heavy) on one. end; also several near other end; grain curved at various places due to knots. Grain lent at knots and
$2.85 \times$	$3.75 \times$	12.5	6155	38,3	All clear. Failed by
2.92 \times	$3.79 \times$	12.5	5966	39.3	All clear. Failed by
2.9 ×	4.37 X	12.0	6265	35.5	Cripping. One old side; grain straight and parallel - one side inclined 1-in. in 12 ins.; on other side, two season cracks: Failed by cripping
2.79 ×	3.43 ×	12.0	5363	35.7	One old side; grain straight and nearly parallel; no seasoning cracks. L'ailed by
2.92 ×	4.42 ×	12.0	5262	34.2	One old side, grain straight and parallel; one season crack.
2.87 ×	3.39 ×	12.0	6784	35.1	Two old sides; grain nearly parallel; no sea- son cracks. Failed by
2.93 ×	3 42 ×	12.03	5520	33.9	Clear and straight grained; one old side with deep seasoning cracks; a slight crack through centre of piece. Crippled 4 ins. from end, and bulged along season crack.
2.80 ×	4.40 X	12.0	5060	36,4	Straight grained; one old side with many sea- son cracks. Failed by splitting down season cracks and afterwards crippling.
					-

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON NEW DOUGLAS FIR. - Continued.

2.78	×	4.38	×	12.0	6500	35.5
2.82	×	3,48	×	12.02	6010	35.9
3.3	×	3,98	×	12.0	5560	34.2
3.38	×	3,43	×	13.53	6816	34.7
2.20	×	2.24	×	13.78	5638	34.3
3.38	×	3.45	×	13.90	6861	33.8
4.03	in (diar.	×	48.01	5856	31.3
•						
2.84	×	4.23	×	13.12	5828	31,5
4.10	×	4.45	×	14.47	7188	39.1
4.10 2.70	××	4.45 2.90	××	14.47 15.96	7188 8365	39.1 39.5
4.10 2.70 2.16	× × ×	4. 45 2.90 2.20	× × ×	14.47 15.96 16.29	7188 8365 6442	39.1 39,5 36,0
 4.10 2.70 2.16 4.08 	× × ×	4.45 2.90 2.20 diar.	× × ×	14.47 15.96 16.29 24.12	7188 8365 6442 6595	39.1 39,5 36,0 31,8
 4.10 2.70 2.16 4.08 2.70 	\times \times \times in \times	4.45 2.90 2.20 diar. 4.20	× × × ×	14.47 15.96 16.29 24.12 16.45	7188 8365 6442 6595 6349	 39.1 39.5 36.0 31.8 30.8
 4.10 2.70 2.16 4.08 2.70 	\times \times \times in \times	4.45 2.90 2.20 diar. 4.20	× × × ×	14.47 15.96 16.29 24.12 16.45	7188 8365 6442 6595 6349	 39.1 39.5 36.0 31.8 30.8
 4.10 2.70 2.16 4.08 2.70 2.38 	\times \times \times in \times \times	4.45 2.90 2.20 diar. 4.20 3.56	× × × × × × ×	14.47 15.96 16.29 24.12 16.45 16.74	 7188 8365 6442 6595 6349 7143 	 39.1 39.5 36.0 31.8 30.8 33.0

Straight grained and clear; one old side with season crack nearly across piece. Crippled 3 ins. from one end.

Grain straight; two old sides; piece sound, no flaws. Crippled near one end.

Grain straight and clear, except small pin knot on a corner 4 ins. from end; had two bad season cracks the whole length. Crippled 4 ins. from end induced by season cracks; also bulged out.

Clear; grain bent out of straight at one end, due to proximity of knot, also somewhat shaken. Failed by bursting along fibres out of parallel.

Grain ont of parallel for 1 in. in length; knot on one corner of end. Burst along shaken fibres out of parallel.

Straight grained, except one-half of a knot on one end. Failed by crippling near knot at end.

Grain parallel, no knots; two small cracks and a small split; annular rings nearly straight. Failed by bending concave to a high corner.

high corner. Straight 'grained. small pin knot 3 ins. from one end; season cracks from end to end through middle, passing through knot. Failure by opening of season cracks, and crippling through knot. Clear: grain out of

Clear; grain out of parallel. Failed by crippling and shearg in of unsupported fibres.

Clear, straight grain shaken over a length of 11-ins. Crippled 5 ins. from end.

Clear, not straight grain; somewhat shaken; sheared along shake in grain which being cut off parallel had no bottom support.

Clear and straight grained. Failed by crippling 10 ins. fromend.

Straight grained; season cracks on one side; several small pin knots. Failed by crippling 2 ins. from one end through one of the pin knots.

Straight grain; some small pin knots. Crippled through the largest one at centre.

Grain parallel knot on edge 4 ins. from end; also bad season crack, and small deficiency in one corner for 6 ins. from one end. Burst at knot and split along season crack.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON NEW DOUGLAS FIR .- Continued.

17	×	$2.25 \times 17.4^{\circ}$	2 7700	35,6
3.11	×	4.00×17.4	49 4702	33.2
3,12	×	4.03 × 17.3	70 4217	34,2
			2.	
1.75	×	5.82×17.5	79 5135	37,8
3,95	×	5.81×17.3	50 6432	39.1
3.95	×	5.92×17.9	82 5359	38.0
4.97	×	4.95×17.8	33 4504	37.9
1.71	×	5.95×17.9	84 5464	36,0
1.79	×	6.00 imes 17.	85 6034	36.3
3.95	×	5.95×17.8	9 6225	38.9
4.0 8	×	4.45 × 19.	68 6437	36.7
3.02	×	4.01×19	97 3240	30.8
3.85	×	$3.91 \times 24.$	05 5382	35.2
4.35	×	4.85×29.5	75 3630 05 7494	28.0
2.20	×	2.24 X 21.	ve itet	00.0
2,92	×	3.30×24.3	27 4606	34.6

Clear, straightgrained Failed by bending and crippling 3 ins. from end. Two heavy knots at centre, one running from side to side through cen-tre; grain crooked and not parallel. Failed by grain shearing and burst-ing through knot at centre.

One heavy knot at centre running from corner to corner, other smallerknots; grain crooked and out of parallel. Crippled at knot at centre.

Grain straight and sound; season cracks in centre. Failed by crip-pling at both evds and also by bending, which probably first caused failure .

Grain clear and straight, but not paral-lel; slight season cracks Failed by cripple across 4 ins. from one end.

Grain clear and straight; some season cracks. Crippled 6 ins. from end.

Grain straight and parallel; load knot 7 ms. from end passing through picce. Failed by bursting at knot and along gram.

Grain parallel and clear; bad season crack through heart. Failed by bending at centre. Crippled on concave side.

Grain straight and clear; bad season cracks; also chip out on a cor-ner 4 ins, from one end. Failed at sound end by crippling and by opening of season crack.

Clear and straight grained; slight season checks. Crippled 3ins. trom one end.

Clear, but badly out of parallel. Failed by burst-ing along fibres out of parallel.

Two heavy knots at centre, one also at one end, several other smaller ones. Failed by bursting down centre through knots.

Grain straight; two knots on adjacent sides, one at 8 ins. from each end; season cracks running diagonally at one end. Kailed by crippling at large knot.

Failed by shearing and

railed by shearing and crippling; grain clear, but not quite parallel. Clear, and straight grained; tested belore as pillar. Failed by bend-ing 4 ins. from end.

Straight grain; knot 6 ins. from end passing through a corner. Crippled at knot.

RESULTS	OF COM	PRESSION 7	TESTS ON	NEW DO	UGLAS FIR Continued.
$2.60 \times$	3.23	× 25.4	4416	34.7	Straight grain; large knot 4 ins. from end on an edge. Failed by crip-
$2.27 \times$	2.28	× 23.46	4363	36.91	Straight grained; clear except part of knot on one end. Failed by crip- pling at knot
4.20 ×	4.36	× 27.88	2622	32.4	Heart; grain 24 inso out of straight; heavy season cracks; two large knots. Failed by bulg- ing along season crack and at knots 14 ins. from end.
4.05 ×	4.20	× 24.70	5026	33.9	Tested before as pillar, failed then at 67,200 lbs. This portion had straight grain; two knots close together 8 ins. from one end going through piece. Failed by crippling at these knots.
2.61 ×	2.65	× 24.42	6237	36,0	Straight grain; sea- son crack across end running half the length of the piece; knot 3 ins. from other end $\frac{1}{3}$ in. in diameter. Crippled at the knot.
2.65 ×	2.66	× 26.24	6865	36,4	Straight grained and clear; season crack run- ning down about 8 ins. Crippled clean across at foot of season crack, apparently not in duced by seasoning.
$2.00 \times$	2.01	× 27.40	6841	34.5	Clear and straight grain; heavy season crack. Burst from end to end on season crack.
$2.88 \times$	2,95	\times 23.91	8106	38,8	Clear, straight grained. Crippled 8 ins. from one end.
2.87 ×	2.93	× 25.00	6600	35,5	Clear, nearly straight grained; slight season crack. Failed by a bulging on season crack and atterwards crippled on reduced section at centre.
$2.88 \times$	2.90	\times 24.40	7856	36.4	Clear, straight grained - Failed by direct grained
2.87 ×	2,90	× 24.55	8065	38.0	Clear and straight grained. Failed by di- rect crippling 8 ins. from end.
2.90 ×	2.95 >	\times 25.70	8023	36.3	Clear and straight grained. Failed by di- rect crippling 15 ins. from end.
2.78 ×	2.87 >	< 25.95	9700	40.9	Deficiency near centre, about ½ in. by 1 in. (resin); fibre crooked through vicinity of knot; otherwise clear and straight grained. Failed at crooked fibres at deficiency.
2.89 ×	2.90 >	× 26.69	8269	334	Clear and straight grained; failed by com- pression of fibres on a corner.
2.82 ×	2.97, >	< 25.15	9104	40.2	Very heavy summer rings; clear; fibres bent 12 ins. from one end at one side due to vicinity of a knot. Failed at crooked fibres.
$4.77 \times 4.77 \times$	$5.82 \rightarrow$	< 26.15	7709	36.5	Did not fail.
тан Х	4,09 >	⊼ 43.5 <u>3</u>	8411		Same as preceding with piece cut off; clear

Same as preceding with piece cut off ; clear and straight grain.

REST	urs	or co	MET	RESSION	TESTS ON	NEW DO	UGLAS FIR.—Continued.
4,70	×	5.85	×	25.78	6653	29,2	Straight grained ; one knot from side to side at centre. Failed by crip- pling and bulging at knot
2.27	×	2.27	×	31,0	3823	37.2	Grain not strnight; one pin knot; also knot on one edge 12 ins. from end. Failed by bending
3,38	×	4,33	×	32,20	6425	41,3	at knot on high corner. Clear, straight grain- ned. Crippled 1 ft. from end.
3.39	×	4.42	×	30,90	5935	37,8	Clear, straight grain- ed; external fibre burst; then crippled near cen-
3,38	×	4.42	×	32.32	6111	43.3	tre. Clear, straight grain- ed; burst, then erippled at centre.
3,37	×	4.38	×	32,5	5420	38,9	Clear, straight grained; season crack on one side; small season crack across end. Crippled near end.
3.35	×	4.36	×	31.55	6486	43.1	Clear and straight grained. Crippled near end.
3,41	×	4.45	×	32.4	5880	37.6	Clear and straight grained. Crippled near end.
3.27	×	3.42	×	31.75	5760	33.5	Straight grained; knot 1-in diar., from side to side. Failed by crippling at this knot 8 ins, from one end
2.65	×	2.86	×	30.65	8047	36.3	Clear. straight grain- ed. Failed by crippling 8 ins. from one end.
2.67	×	2.88	×	31,83	7607	35.3	Clear straight grain- ed. Failed by crippling and bending at same ins- tant at centre
3.28	×	3.45	×	33,81	6940	35.7	Clear, and straight grained. Failed by bend- ing 10 ins. from one and
2.75	×	2.82	×	30.47	5480	33.0	Nearly straight grain- ed; varion-small knots, one larger knot ³ / ₄ in. diar. 3 ins. from one end. Failed by crippling at this knot; also some-
2.90	×	2.90	×	29.35	6183	32. 7	what sea-oned at heart. Straight grained; va- rious small knots, one larger knot § in. duar. 9 ins. from end. Failed
2.75	×	2.8 8	×	31. 50	5871	36.4	by crippling at this knot. Sraight grained; knot 4 m. diar. 12 ins. from end. Crippled at the knot.
2.17	×	2.18	×	30.00	6174	35.0	Straight grained, clear but for one knot 10 ins. from end ½ in. m diar.,
2.73	×	2,85	×	28.74	8124	34.8	Crippled at this knot. Clear and straight grained. Failed by a thin layer bursting out, and then a clean cripple 8 ins. from same end.
4.69	×	5.84	×	28.10	6677	31.1	Clear and straight grained; crippled 8 ins. from end.
4.17	×	5.00	×	33.70	4839	32.3	Straight grained, hut heavy knot near end and very heavy knot near centre. Crippled at latter knot.
4.30	×	5.01	×	32.72	5566	36. 7	Straight grained, but heavy knot on side near

Straight grained, but heavy knot on sile near centre ; also heavy knot 8 ins. from end one side. Failed at the latter knot.

RESULTS	OF COMPRESSION TH	ESTS ON	NEW DO	UGLAS FIR.—Continued.
3.95 ×	4.33 × 32.28	4479	30.1	A great many knots on each end and at various other points. Failed at a large knot 12 ins. from an end. Also heavy season cracks
3.98 ×	4.10×28.65	5735	34.3	One old side badly seasoned and injured by usage; also knots near each end; also a small pin knot near centre at which piece failed by crippling and bursting of
3.93 ×	4,30 × 31.95	5124	32,6	Heavy kuots near centre. Crippled at knots.
4.11 ×	4.92 × 31.85	7309	35.1	Clear and straight grained, except slight wave 1 ft. from end due to vicinity of knot. Fail- ed at this point by direct crimping
4.22 ×	4.92 × 30.84	7167	39.2	Clear and straight grained. Crippled 8 ins. from end.
$2.33 \times$	2.84×28.00	6496	31.7	Clear and straight grained. Failed by bend- ing 10 ins. from end.
$2.27 \times$	2.27×33.75	5708	36.0	Clear, straight grain; ed. Failed by bending- sbort specimen failed at 30,000 lbs.
3,96 ×	4.18 × 35.25	5015	36.6	Several knots; crip- pled at one running from corner to corner 12 ins.
4.20 ×	4.50 🗙 38.00	5905	35.6	Grain out of parallel; clear. Failed by burst- ing and shearing along
3,33 X	3.40~ imes~33.55	7615	33.6	clear. straight grain.
3.30 ×	3,38 🗙 33,54	7444	35.6	Clear and straight grained. Failed by crip- pling 6 ins. from end
3.35 X	3.40 × 33.50	5338	35.4 _	Large knot passing through centre side to side; piece split end to end through this knot.
3.30 ×	3.40~ imes~33.55	5909	35.6	Knot near centre, also two small pin knots near end. Crippled through pin knots.
3.30 ×	4.00 × 33.50	5416	35.2	Large knot near cen- tre passing from side to side. Split from end to end through knot
3.30 X	4.00 × 33.50	5023	32.8	Large mass of knots near middle. Crippled at these.
$4.25 \times$	5.15×30 5.87 \times 41.75	- 5729 4090		
$4 \times$	4×48	4090	32.75	Grain parallel, inci
		1100		at centre at corner; other knots near end; centre of tree 12 ins. away. Bent at centre at knots concave to a high corner.
2.86 X	4.06×40.02	6330	38.1	Straight grain; small knot 14 ins. from end. Failed by bending in middle.
4.10 ×	4.24 × 41.83	3866	36.3	Straight grain; three knots. Crippled at knot 12 ins. from end; no hending
4.25 ×	4.25×54.95	3389	34.6	Straight grain; many knots. Burst in two opposite directions at knots 11 ins. from one end and 12 ins. from other end
1.99 🗙	2.64~ imes~52.62	5105	34.3	Straight grain; clear; bent at centre.

64

raight grain; clear; at centre.
RESULTS	OF COM	OPRESSION	TESTS ON	NEW DO	UGLAS FIR.—Continued.
4.26 ×	4.33	× 60.0	3980	35.5	Straight grain; failed by crippling at knot passing through corner 13 ins. from end and 1-16 in. out of square
4.09 ×	4.34	× 59.0	3211	34.4	no appreciable effect. Straight grain; three or four knots; season crack on one side. Crip pled at knot 20 ins. fron end and season crack
4.18 X	4.22	× 59.75	3190	35.4	Four knots, two each Four knots, two each 18 ins. from ends, seve- ral other small knots grain not straight; large season crack. Fuiled by shearing and bursting open at season crack across angular rings.
2.46 ×	2.51	× 60.5	4619	34.5	Straight grain : seve ral knots. Failed by crippling at knot 12 ins

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON OLD DOUGLAS FIR.

Dime	ension	ı in ins	Le	ngths.	Breaking Load in lbs per sq in.	Veight in lb per cub. ft.
2.21	×	2.23	×	9.15	8644	35.9
3,45	×	2.78	×	9.65	6465	32.5
3,41	×	2.78	×	9.65	7247	35.4
3.41	×	2.80	×	9.70	5696	33,2
3,38	×	2.78	×	9.6 5	6979	34.5
2.76	×	3.76	×	9.64	7235	35.6
2.83	×	3.81	×	9.75	6577	32.9
4.15	×	4.64	×	11.32	`666 0	35,70
4.35	×	4.67	X	11,95	7900	47.25
3.40	×	3,47	×	12,00	5085	31.7
3.45	×	3.45	×	12.00	5218	30.88

65

Straight grain : seve-ral knots. Failed by crippling at knot 12 ins. frcm end.

Remarks.

Grain straight and clear; one old side with season crack. Bulged along season crack, and crippled.

All fresh sides ; straight and parallel grain ; one edge strained from bolt. Crippled all over.

One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled near one end.

All fresh sides ; grain straight and parallel; one edge stramed from bolt; 1 in season crack. Crippled one-fourth the way down, slightly helped by season crack.

One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled at one end, slightly aided by season crack.

One old side; iron stain at one end; season crack; grain straight and paraliel, Crippled at 3 ins, from end,

One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled near centre.

Knot 5 ins. from end; next face, knots 1½ ins. and 4 ins. from same end: small pin knot and season crack on third side. Crippled through knots.

Clear and straight; very full of resin; some season cracks; crippled at one end.

Grain straight, but slightly curly; three fresh sides; old side crushed by tie; slightly rotten under tie; crip pled at small defect near one and one end.

Grain parallel ; crushed and rotten for a depth of j in. under tie; two adjacent sides new. Crippled at rotten part near one end.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON OLD DOUGLAS' FIR.—Continued.

$3.45 \times 3.47 \times 12.0$	3838	35.0
$3.45 \times 3.47 \times 12.0$	4928	38.7
$3.45 \times 3.45 \times 12.0$	5461	33.3
$2.90 \times 2.92 \times 12.0$	5314	34.0
$3.41 \times 3.48 \times 12.0$	5308	34.9
$3.42 \times 3.47 \times 12.0$	4011	30.0
$3.42 \times 3.45 \times 12.0$	4814	32.0
$3.45 \times 3.46 \times 12.0$	5053	30,5
$2.88 \times 2.87 \times 12.0$	6199	33.2
$3.44 \times 346 \times 12.0$	5703	33.6
$3.46 \times 3.46 \times 12.0$	5693	33 8
$2.82 \times 3.40 \times 12.05$	6611	32. 7
$2.77 \times 3.36 \times 12.0$	7519	35,3
$2.80 \times 3.40 \times 12.03$	6813	32,5
$2.79 \times 3.35 \times 12.03$	6845	34.6
$2.79 \times 3.91 \times 12.03$	7149	34,6
$2.78 \times 3.73 \times 12.04$	7348	35,5
2.77~ imes~3.86~ imes~12.05	7390	33.5

Grain parallel, but clooked; knot near corner 4½ ins. from end, 1½ ins. diar., knot extended into piece. Crippled through knot. Grain parallel; three fresh sides : 1% ins. knot

fresh sides; 13 ins. knot passing through corner 5 ins. from end. Crippled near one end and split along grain adjacent to knot.

Grain parallel; two adjacent fresh sides; season crack on one old side. Crippled near one end and split slightly along season crack.

Grain parallel; three fresh sides; small sea son crack. Crippled near one end.

Grain parallel; three fresh sides; knot hole on one corner 33 ins. long, 0".8 in. deep; also season cracks. Failed by opening of season eracks.

Grain parallel; three fresh sides; old side slightly damaged; also eant. hook holes. Crippled near centre at cant. hook holes.

Grain parallel: two fresh sides; slightly rotten at one end on old side. Crippled at the rotten point.

Straight grain; all fresh sides; shows signs of failure; crack at end. Crippled near one end.

Grain sound and parallel; three fresh sides. Crippled near one end.

Grain parallel; two adjacent fresh sides; season cracks; small cant, hook hole 2 ins. from end close to corner; slightly rotten Crippled at cant, hook mark.

Grain parallel; three fresh sides; small season crack on one side. Crippled at one end; season crack opened.

Parallel grain; four fresh sides. Crippled near one end.

Parallel grain ; one old side ; saw cut and season crack. Crippled near one end.

All fresh sides; grain straight and parallel; 1 in. season crack. Split along season crack.

One old side; season cracks; grain straight and parallel. Splitalong season crack.

One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled at one end.

One old side; grain straight and parallel; season cracks 1 in. deep. Crippled at one end.

One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled near centre at a small defect.

RESU	LTS	OF C	OMP	RESSION	TESTS ON	OLD DOU	ULAS FIR.—Continued.
2.80	×	3.80	×	12.06	7481	34,1	One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled at end.
2.78	×	3.88	×	12.0	7090	34.2	One old side; grain straight and parallel.
2.79	×	3.06	×	12.0	7317	33.4	One old side; grain straight and parallel. Crippled at 3 ins. from
3.27	×	3.95	×	12.0	5540	33.45	Grain straight and clear, except small pin knot hole 3 ins. from end; piece shivered by season cracks. Fuiled by piece splitting off. It then crippled at knot 3 ins. from one end.
3.28	×	3.96	×	12.	5510	32.9	Grain straight; small pin knot on a corner near centre; very heavy season crack on old side. Burst along season crack; also crippled 4 jus. from one end.
3.32	×	4.04	×	12.0	4825	28.85	Grain straight; pin knot on corner near cen- tre; heart decayei; also one scason crack. Crip pled nt pin knot.
3.31	×	4.02	×	12.04	5675	32,85	Grain straight; small pin knot 1½ ins.from end two bad season cracks Crippled square across near each end.
3.33	×	4.0	×	12.0	4165	28.95	Grain not quite straight; knot at corner 2 ins. from end; defi- ciency of heart all along one edge. Crippled at knot.
3.30	×	4.0	×	12.0	6300	33,55	Straight grain; knot on corner 14 ins. from end; large deliciency or opposite corner at other end; another deficiency and nail gonge at centr of same edge; also on season crack. Crippled at knots.
3.28	×	4.02	×	12.03	5540	32,70	Straight grain; kno on corner 1½ ins. from end; also season cracks Crubiled 4 ins. from end
4.18	×	4.63	×	12.22	5200	35.3	Knots 3 ins. and 6 ins from end on same side also small knot on next face 1 in, from same end also part of large knot of other end. Failed lon gitudinally throngin two knots; upper end wa not horizontal, not mor than 5.6 ths, ot the are bearing.
4.35	×	(4.65	• ×	14.15	6 7 35	36.95	Two knots 2 ins. an. 6 ins. from end on sam side; also knot on nex face 3 ins. from sam end and two knots o other end; on third an fourth faces, knots 1 ins. and 4 ins. from firs end. Crippled at knot 3 ins. from end:
4.25	×	4.65	iΧ	(14.80	7085	36.6	Two knots passin through from face t next face ; one 3 ins from

67

Knots 3 ins. and 6 ins. from end on same side; also small knot on next face 1 in. from same end; also part of large knot on other end. Failed lon-gitudinally through two knots; upper end was not horizontal, not more than 5-6 ths, of the area bearing.

Two knots 2 ins. and 6 ins. from end on same side; also knot on next face 3 ins. from same end and two knots on other end; on third and fourth faces, knots 11 ins. and 4 ins. from first end. Crippled at knot 3 ins. from end:

Two knots passing through from face to next face; one 3 ins.from end; the other 7 ins.from same end; deficiency in. × 14 in. on opposite edge. Crippled through knot 7 ins. from end. RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON OLD DOUGLAS FIR.-Continued.

4.39	\times 4.70	× 14.78	6500	45.70
4,14	× 4.65	\times 14.80	6730	41.0
1.95	✓ A 66	× 14.78	6020	97 A
4.20	X 4.00	A 14.10	0020	01. T
. 1.0	N 4 60	1450	7110	95.7
4,10	X 4.00	X 14.30	(410	39.1
4.28	× 4.70	\times 14.78	7490	36.2
4.17	\times 4.70	× 14.78	6400	34.0
4.35	× 4.74	× 14.80	6310	47.0
4.27	× 4.67	\times 14.80	7310	37.2
4.14	× 4.57	× 14.75	6960	35.4 5
	~			
4.32	× 4.70	\times 14.80	5970	38.05
4.14	× 4.60	× 14.80	6580	35.05
1.00		N 14 0F	0500	(1) 70
4,06	X 4.65	X 14.85	9 90 0	45.70

Full of resin; part of large knot on one end; season crack on one tace; shaken on a corner. Crippled in solid wood (in resin part) 4 ins. from end.

Patch of resin through centre; knot on one corner 6 ins. from end; slight season cracks; slight deficiency on one corner. Crippled through knot.

One medium knot 1 in. from end; also many small knots on same face; on next face, knots at 6 ins. and 1 in. from same end. Failed through knots at the centre.

Part of large knot on one end; one side covered with small knots; otherwise sound specimen. Failed at large knot at end.

Grain parallel; one medium knot 5 ins, from end; also t wo small knots l in, from same end and on same side; also heart shake. Failed at centre by crippling through small knot.

Grain parallel; mass of knots at one end; also badly seasoned in resinous portion. Crippled at knotty end

Grain parallel; large knot near one end; bad season cracks in resinous portion. Crippled at large knot.

Grain straight and Grain straight and sound, but one large kaot on end; also one knot on an edge 3 ins. from end; one knot 5 ins. from other end on same edge; slight season cracks. Failed at the two last knots.

Knots in each end; otherwise clear; two old sides badly shaken. Crippled and burst at knot at one end.

Groups of small knots about 3 ins. from each end; also full of resin. Crippled at each end through knots.

Groups of small knots about 4 ins. from each end; also bad season cracks. Crippled through one group of knots.

Large knot at one end; two knots 5 ins. from other end; full of resin; dense and heavy; one season crack. Crippled through both knots 5 ins. from end.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON

			KED P	INE.
Dime	usions	Length	gth Bth.	ght . Per
in m	ches.	in inche	tren inc.	Weig Ibs. b. fi
4 96		× 59	S [∞] .≘ ₹ 2407	in .
4.00	m waar.	. ^ 0.9	2497	
4.97 i	n diar	, × 5.8	2742	
2.98	64 10	× 5.86	2722	
3.00		× 9,9	2031	
2.95 ii	n diar	. × 5,65	6870 /	
2.88 11	n diar.	. X 5.69	7057	
4.81 in	o diar.	\times 13.7	5 5092	
3,88 ii	ı diar.	imes 13.5	7602	39.9
3.80	diar.	\times 13.3	1 6438	35.8
4.02 ir	n dia r .	\times 18.7	5 4657	
0.00				
3,90		\times 18.2	0 7222	35,7
3 66		V 99 G	1 8516	42.2
5.00		A 22.0	1 0010	3.7.2
4.01 in	diar.	\times 22.73	3 5637	28.7
4.3 in	diar.	\times 22.8	5983	26.7
3.93 in	diar.	\times 29.2	7914	38.1
6.02	**	× 26 19	9698	1
0.00		~ 50.11		
7.02	"	× 36.12	2 2087	
7.01		\times 36.12	2024	
· · · -			9.10**	
3,97	••	X 3.10	5281	
4 10	£6	× 316	2825	
		7 0.11		
4.04	66 66	\times 3.10 \times 2.10	3482	
3.98	"	\times 3.10 \times 3.10	3223	
3.96	44 4 75	\times 3.10) 4001 2104	
4.75 X	4.79	X 60.	9595	095
5,97 In	ular.	X 09.	100U	.000
4.08	"	× 69.	2593	
-				

Remarks.

Failed at knots 26 ins. from end; also at another ring of knots 3 ins. from same end; nineteen knots in length.

One knot near one end.	
Failed by crippling	
above knot.	
Clear. Crippled 6 ins	
from one end.	
Clear grain. Failed by	
spreading at bottom.	
Nearly straight grain :	
knot 6 ins. from end	
passing nearly through	
centre. Failed at the	
knot by crippling.	
Straight grained: knot	
on one end. Failed by	
crippling at knot about	
in from end all around	
Clear wood : straight	
rained; spread at end.	
lue to carvature of fibre	
n locality of a knot.	
Clear and straight	
rained. Failed 6 ins.	
from end by folding.	
Grain parallel one	
knot 10 ins. from end.	
Failed through knot by	
cribaling.	
Four knots at 8 ing	
Tom one end Failed by	
rippling at knots.	
Grain narallal. torra	
mots one breek boot 10	
ns. from one end. Reil.	
d by crimbles at this	
knot.	
Railal by arushing of	
not 4 ins from and	
Consteen knots in	
enerth.	
Failed at knot 81 ins	
rom end ten knots in	
enoth.	
Poiled at since of length	
ranee at ring of knots	
may non-energy meen	
Urnshed and failed at	
thot; straight grain;	
arry free from knots.	
Failed by crushing	
and bending. Straight	
rain; crack down	
engui.	

Not well seasoned. Failed by ernshing and bending at a large knot 31 ins. from end; also at 1 in. from end and 41 ins. from other end; straight grained; six knots in whole length.

Failed at ring of knots four in number by crushing and bending at 24 ins, from end; also at 2 ins, from same end; fourteen knots in whole length.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSION TESTS ON RED PINE. -- Continued.

4.02 in	diar.	×	69	3152
~ ~ 4			20	
3.91	"	×	69	3280
4.03	"	×	69	3158
3.96	"	×	69	3734
4.94	* 6	×	66.25	2386
4.92	£÷	×	66.25	2513
2.96		×	66	1977
3,06	<i>с (</i>	×	66.25	2433

Failed by crushing; straight grained; failed at two small knots 27 ins. from end and also at 16 ins. from same end; large knots 39 ins. from same end; ten knots in length.

Failed by crushing 16 ins. from one end at a knot. Twelve knots in whole length.

Failed chiefly by crushing 12 ins. from one end; four knots in length.

Failed at knot 24 ins. from end; six knots in length; also crippled 1 inch from same end.

Failed at knots 26 ins. from end; also at an-other ring of knots 3 ins. from same end; nine-teen knots in length.

Failed at ring of knots 36 ins. from end; six-teen knots in length. Failed by crushing and bending at large knot 28 ins. from end, eight knots in length.

Failed by crushing at knots 5 ins. from end Four knots in whole length.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON NEW WHITE PINE.

Dimer in m	isions ches	I. i	engths in nches.	Comprs've Strength in Ibs. per sq. inch.	Weight in lbs. percub. ft.	Remarks.
$4.187 \times$	2,44	\times	2.31	3810		
$+687 \times$	2.312	$2\times$	2.44	2955		
$4.812 \times$	2.31	$2\times$	2.44	4248		
$3.0 \times$	2.94	X	2.98	5352	24.4	
$4.75 \times$	4.75	X	3.	3821		
$4.8 \times$	4.8	\times	4.6	3515		
4.75 ×	4.75	×	4.6	4387		
$4.75 \times$	4.80	X	4.53	3280		
$4.75 \times$	4.44	X	4.50	3449		
$4.75 \times$	4.78	X	4.36	4361		
$4.75 \times$	4.75	X	4.37	4433		
$4.75 \times$	4.75	X	4.40	4363		
$4.75 \times$	4.70	X	4.50	3449		
$4.75 \times$	4.80	X	4.53	3193		
$475 \times$	4.75	X	4.37	3972		
$4.75 \times$	4.75	X	5.	3548		
4.75 ×	4,75	×	10.375	2826	30.3	Grain clear but not straight. Cracked down one side.
3.01 in	diam.	×	11.35	4382	26.7	Clear and straight Failed by folding near one end.
4.75	" "	×	11,125	3500	21.60	Clear grained, but not straight. Failed by fold- ing over at top.
4.75	"	×	11.875	5527	27.50	Clear specimen; deep season cracks across an- nular rings. Failed by crippling.
4.812	٤.	×	12.25	39 90	23,80	Two large knots. Fail- ed between them.
3.00	¢(×	12.80	3762	29.4	Two heavy knots 2 ins. from end. Failed by crippling at the knots
$4.75 \times$	4.75	×	12.156	5383	26.5	Clear specimen. Crip- pled without bulging or cracking.
2.98 ×	2.98	×	12.0	5574	29.4	Clear and straight grained. Failed by crip- pling.

 $\mathbf{70}$

RESU	tars	S OF CO	OMP	RESSIVE	TE	STS ON	NEW W	HITE PINE.—Continued.
4.74	in	dia r .	×	13,12		2774		Ring of four knots 6 ing from one end, Failed
4.71	in	diar.	×	14,562		3400	20.6	One knot and also signs of decay. Failed
2.629 4.72 4.75	5× ×	3.562 4.72 diar	×××	$\frac{14.125}{14.875}$		6400 5004 44081	26,3	by crippling at the knot. Clear. Clear. Crippled with- out cracking or halving.
4.71	in	diar.	Ŷ	15,5		3360	21,1	One large knot; de- cayed near heart Failed
4,703	}	£ ¢	×	15.35		3861	26.60	One knot at b ttom of specimen. Failed at this knot by griading
$2.94 \\ 4.75$	in	a diar.	$\stackrel{\times}{\times}$	15,80 16,		$\begin{array}{c} 4272\\ 4463\end{array}$	26.5	Clear and straight, but deep injury from pike pole. Failed at in-
3.87		• 6	×	16,25		2973	29.9	jured part. Straight grained. Failed at one end at a
4.75		••	×	17.35		4232	26.40	Two large knots. Failed between them
4.71		""	×	17.938		4847	27.1	Clear and straight grained Earled at and
4.40	×	4.40	×	17.0		3856	30.6	Three large knots in a ring around specimen. Failed at knots.
2.97	×	3,85	×	20,54	٩	6036	30.1	Clear and straight grained; one-third sap- wood. Failed by crip- pling at 7 ins. from one
3.85	×	3.83	×	21.65		3933	26.1	end. Failed previously as pillar moler 49,200 lbs. Crippled now at a large
İ.8	×	3.8	×	22.35		3808	26.7	knot 8 ms., from end. Two large knots Crip- pled at one, 2 ins. from an end.
3.83	×	3.83	×	23.82		3615	25.9	Failed by crippling at two knots near centre.
2.97	×	2,99	×	23.60		5462	24.9	Clear and straight grained; tuiled previons- ly as piliar under 42,000 lbs. Crippled now near centre.
3.02	Ċ	lia <i>r</i> .	×	25.79		5023	24.5	Clear and straight grained. Failed by crip- pling 8 ins. from one and
3.40	×	3.80	×	25.4		3610	25.0	Straight grained; bad season cracks; full of knots, failed by crip- pling through two of them S ins from and
2.98	×	2.99	×	24.25		4607	23.9	Straight grained; pin knot 10 ins. from one end. Failed by crippling
2.95	×	3.25	×	26.70		3508	24.1	and bending at pin knot. Straight grained, but full of knots. Crippled at one near corner in widdle
4.75 2,99	××	4.75 2.99	××	21.0 24.08		3103 4474	26.7	Clear; grain 2 ins. ont of parallel; season cracks along grain. At upper corner grain ran out. Failed by sliding along seasoning, due to non support of fibres
3,05	in	diar.	×	24.1		5240	25.8	running from corner. Clear and straight grained. Failed by crip- pling and bending at
3.46	×	4.33	×	27.00		3488	20.4	same instant at middle. Failed previously as pillar under 33,300 Hs. Failed now at knot 8 ms.
2,92	in	dia r .	×	36.73		5269	29.8	Clear and straight grained; one-third sap-

of four knots 6 one end, Failed ing at knots. knot and also decay. Failed ling at the knot. Crippled with-king or bulging. arge knot; de-arheart Failed not at hettom of n. Failed at this crippling. and straight, p injury from e. Failed at in-rt. ht grained. it one end at a ot. large knots. stween them. and straight Failed at end large knots in ound specimen. knots and straight one-third sap-Failed by crip-7 ins. from one previously as der 49,200 lbs. now at a large s., from end. urge knots Crip-me, 2 ins. from by crippling at is near centre. and straight tailed previous-lar under 42,000 ppled now near and straight Failed by cripins from one ht grained; bad cracks; full of failed by crip-hrough two of ins, from end. ht grained ; pin ins. from one led by crippling ling at pin knot. ht grained, but mots. Crippled near corner in grain 2 ins. ont allel ; season dong grain. At orner grain ran ailed by sliding easoning, due to pport of fibres from corner. and straight Failed by cripnd bending at stant at middle. previously as nder 33,300 Hs. ow at knot 8 ms.

on a side. and straight one-third sapwood Fail by crippling on sapwood side and then bending afterwards 12 ins. from end.

RESU	LTS	OF CON	MPR	ESSIVE TEST	rs on n	ew whi	TE PINE.—Continued.
3,05	in	diar.	×	48.0	4377	25.9	Clear grain, 1½ in. out of straight; high at one side. Failed by bending 20 ins. from one end on high side.
3.	×	3.	×	480	4666	25,0	Ten knots; long sea- son crack ran three fourths the way down, 1½ ins. deep and ½ in. from edge; a bruise 3 ins. from end on same side; on opposite side, crack 3 ins. long, 1 in. deep; grain and rings both parallel. Failed by bending toward a high corner and then crip- pling.
4.75	iv	diar.	×	60	2652		
4.75	in	diar.	X	60	1862		•
4.75	X	4.70	X	60 60	2749		
4.15	Ŷ	4.75	ŵ	60	1951		
4.75	x	4.75	x	60	1951		
4.75	Х	4.75	X	60	2306		
4.75	in	diar.	X	61	2676		
4.62	X	4.75	X	60	2370		
4.04	in X	4.70 diar	×	60	$\frac{2820}{2765}$		
4.00	×	4.00	×	78.24	2937	27.6	Heart; unseasoned straight grain; four groups of knots 2 in., 34 ins. $4\frac{3}{4}$ ins. $5\frac{3}{4}$ ins. from end on each face. Crip- pled and failed through knot 2 ins. from end on low side.
4.03	×	4.06	×	78.2	3466	28.7	Straight grain; several knots. Failed by bend- ing at knot 30 ins. from one end. Ends square; maximum load 70,500 lbs.
4.03	×	4.03	×	75	4557	28.2	Straight clear grain; one small knot. Failed at knot 3 ft. 4 ins. from end; crippled, then spilt open; ends square.
3.95	×	3.98	×	75	3260	29.3	Grain straight but for frequent knots; tailed at a group of knots about 2 ft. from one end by splitting first slightly open and then crippling on one side; it bent after-

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON

OLD WHITE PINE.

72

Dime	ensio	ns in iı	Comprs've Strength in lbs. per sq. inch.	Weight per cub. ft. in lbs.		
3.5	×	4.4	×	11.75	1980	27.35
3,4	×	4.3	×	11.70	2740	28.10
3.46	×	4,32	×	11.75	4470	26.45 c f

Remarks.

wards.

.

.

Large knots on all es about 2 ins. from end, otherwise in od condition, except vered at a corner bet-en two knots. Failed splintering at shiver-corner; also crippled knots. knots.

A large knot appearing two faces 3 ins. from 1; also a slight season ck on one face. Fail-by splitting longi-inally along season ck.

ledium knot through and clear. Failure by crippling at centre.

RESULTS	OF COMPRI	ESSIVE T	ESTS ON	OLD WITI	TE PINE.—Continued.
3,50 🗙	4.25 X	11.74	3850	26,30	Knot on a face 14 ins. from end, passing to opposite face 4 in. from end; also small defi- ciency at corner on same end and along one edge; also sapwood. Crippled longitudinally through knot.
3.45 ×	4.39 ×	11.77	4115	25.35	One small pin knot on corner; also shaken by seasoning; also two small injuries on an edge. Burst at the sea- son cracks; afterwards crippled.
3,50 ×	4. 4 1 ×	11.75	2735	25.55	Two large knots at an eud on opposite faces 2 ins. from end ; also slight season cracks Cornel of the season cracks
3.47 ×	4.38 ×	11.75	4330	26,50	Clear and nearly straight grained; slightly shaken by reason cracks. Crippled 5 ins. from one end.
3.52 ×	4.37 ×	11.75	2625	28.55	A large knot 3 ins. from end passing through from opposite faces; also seasoned somewhat. Crippled through at knot.
3.45 X	4.25 ×	11,75	4660	23,3	Clear specimen, ex- cept deficiency at a cor- ner, partly sapwood ; also bad injury (spike hole) in deficient corner. Crippled at centre
-3.4 5 ×	4.36 ×	11.70	3975	24.5	Two weathered sides : clear; seasoned. Clear crippled at centre
3.50 ×	4.27 ×	11,70	4695	25.0	One old side; clear shaken by season cracks
3.49 X	4.37 ×	11,75	4230	25.8 .	Grain clear and straight, large cant. hook hole 1 in from one end on old narrow side. Failed by crippling at centre.
3.48 X	4.32 ×	11.73	3910	24.4	Large knot on end; seasoned; grain clear and straight. Failed by crippling at centre.
3.48 X	4.40 ×	11.74	3830	23.8 5	Large knot on end; grain clear and straight, season cracks. Failed by splitting longitudin-
				•	ally and crippling slightly at centre.
-3,51 ×	4.30 ×	11.60	4525	25.65	One old side; grain clenr and straight; piece badly shaken. Crippled at centre.
4.10 ×	4.16 ×	12.00	2 923	23.2	Grain clear and straight; season cracks on two old sides; injur- ed by cant. hook on one old side. Crippled at one end and through defect.
4.21 ×	4.19 ×	12.00	2183	23,0	Grain parallel; one small pin knot; season cracks on old side; one small defect on corner 2 ins. from end. Crippled at one end.
4.17 X	4,18 × 1	12.05	2059	25.4	A large knot near cen- tre; badly seasoned on old side; split along sea soning; split from knot- Also crimpled
4.14· X	4.22 × 1	12.00	2840	22.9	Grain clear and straight, seasoning cracka through centre; small defect on old side. Crippled through de- fects.

RES	ULT	SOFC	OME	RESSIVE	TESTS	ON OLD	WHITE PINE Continued.
4.19	×	4.20	×	12 00	1716	32.5	A large knot from en- to end along one face another at one end; an other at opposite side Ethre split from knot
4.18	×	4.22	×	12.00	2228	26.3	A large knot from end to end along one face another at one end Criopled at knot at cen tre, and also a splitting away.
4.14	×	4.18	×	12.00	2794	23.1	Clear and straight seasoned on two old sides. Crippled at one end.
4.17	×	4.19	×	12.00	1723	25.0	Grain clear and straight, bad season cracks on old side spike hole 21 ins. deep, ins. from one end. Fail ed at spike hole.
4.21	×	4.21	×	12.00	2257	22.3	Grain straight; thre fresh sides; one larg knot near end; seaso cracks on old side. Crip pled through knot a one end.
4,20	×	4.22	Χ.	12.00	2438	23.6	Grain straight; two large knots at opposite ends; season cracks of old side. Crippled of end at a knot.
4.16	×	4.21	×	12.00	2569	23.4	Grain straight and parallel, except at on end, where it is cnrled by vicinity of a knot otherwise sound. Crip pled at sound end.
4.19	×	4.22	×	12.00	2030	28.0	Two large knots a one end, otherwis straight and clear; fresl sawn on all sides. Crip pled at knots at end
4.13	×	4.20	×	12.00	2686	24.1	Grain straight; thre small knots at centre two old sides injured by several small holes Fibre split and crippled at small knots.
4.17	×	4.18	×	12,00	2180	25.3	Three large knots a centre; grain parallel full of season cracks or old side; fibre split Crippled at knots.
4.20	×	4,21	×	12.00	1833	24.4	Grain crooked by knots; two large knot near centre; large sea son crack on one ob side. Crippled acros centre at knots.
4.21	×	4 23	×	12.00	1915	25.0	Four large knots near centre, otherwise clear and straight; one kno at each corner. Crip pled across centre a knots.
4.16	×	4.21	×	12.00	2512	23.39	Grain straight; three sides fresh sawn; smal pin knot; small defec at one end on old side Crippled at and nea small defect.
4.20	×	4.23	×	12.00	2277	26.1	A large knot hole a an end; three smalle knots near centre; other wise sound and straight Crippled at end aided by knot.
4.18	X	4.23	×	12.03	1838	27.2	Two sides fresh sawn : three large knots 2 ins

A large knot from end to end along one face; another at one end; an-other at opposite side. other at opposite sid Fibre split from knot.

A large knot from end to end along one face; another at one end. Criopled at knot at centre, and also a splitting away.

Clear and straight ; seasoned on two old sides. Crippled at one end.

Grain clear and straight, bad season cracks on old side; spike hole 2½ ins. deep, 2 ins. from one end. Failed at spike hole.

Grain straight; three fresh sides; one large knot near end; season cracks on old side. Crippled through knot at one end.

Grain straight ; two large knots at opposite ends; season cracks on old side. Crippled on end at a knot.

Grain straight and parallel, except at one end, where it is curled vicinity of a knot; by otherwise sound. Crippled at sound end.

Two large knots at one en d. otherwise straight and clear; fresh sawn on all sides. Crip-pled at knots at end.

Grain straight ; three small knots at centre; two old sides injured by several small holes. Fibre split and crippled at small knots.

Grain crooked -bvknots; two large knots near centre ; large season crack on one old side. Crippled across centre at knots.

Four large knots near centre, otherwise clear and straight; one knot at each corner. Crippled across centre at knots.

Grain straight; three sides fresh sawn; small pin knot; small defect at one end on old side. Crippled at and near small defect.

A large knot hole at an end; three smaller knots near centre; other-wise sound and straight. Crippled at end aided by knot.

Two sides fresh sawn; three large knots 2 ins. to 4 ins. from one end; grain twisted ; three cant. hook marks; cracks in medullary rays. Failed by split-ting from large knot ting from large knot.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON OLD WHITE PINK .-- Continued.

4.20 ×	4.23 °×	12.01	2477	25.0	Three sides fresh
					snwn; grain not paral- lel, owing to a knot; one season crack on old side; wood decaying some- what; several small pin knots. Sheared along season crack, caused by adjacent knot.
4.19 ×	4.22 ×	12.05	2177	26.4	Three fresh sawn sides; two large knots near centre; one pin knot: grain parallel; very large season cracks. Split along season cracks.
4.20 ×	4,25 ×	12.04	2387	26.1	Four sides fresh sawn; grain parallel; senson cracks are, through spe- cimen; one large and two small knots at one end, large one at corner. Grippled at knots.
4.17 ×	4.20 ×	12.02	2752	24.7	Three sides fresh sawn; grain not paral- lel; season cracks through body of speci- men; slightly decayed on one side; several small pin knots. Shear- ed on rot line and crip- pled at knots.
4.21 ×	4.23 ×	12.02	1797	26.7	All sides fresh sawn; two large knots in body; grain parallel; slight decay; cracks in medul- lary rays. Crippled through knots.
4,18 X	4.20 ×	12,05	1789	25.0	Two sides fresh sawn ; grain not quite parallel ; large knot at one end ; season erneks on two old sides ; small knot in hody. Crippled through knots.
4.19 ×	4.22 ×	12.05	2099	24.8	Three sides fresh sawn; grain parallel; season cracks on old side; two small injuries in old side near one end. Crippled through very small knot near one end.
4.21 ×	4.22 ×	12.01	2251	27,3	Three fresh sides; specimen full of knots, two at one end, one large knot and two small knots in body; bad sea-on crack on old side. Crippled through knot at one end.
4.17 X	4.24 ×	12.02	1606	28.0	Four fresh sides; two large knots near centre; two pin knots; grain parallel. Crippled and split along fibre from the knots
4.18 X	4 20 ×	12.0	2033	25.4	Three sides fresh sawn: large knot 4 ins. from end; grain paral- lel; slight decay. Crip- uled opnosite knot
4.20 ×	4.22 ×	12.0	2499	25,9	Four sides fresh sawn; large knot near centre; grain parallel. Crippled opposite knot.
0.02 In	ulam. X	13,69	5770	30,3	Clear and straight grained. Failed by fold- ing through an injury from cant. hook 41 ins. from end.
3.625 imes	4.50 ×	(40,875	2390	22.4	Grain straight; one old side; free trom large knots; failed by burst- ing open along three lines, which pass
			72		through various knots

and season cracks,

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON OLD WHITE PINE .- Continued.

Grain straight; one old seasoned side; several knots; failed at one large knot in middle of pillar, which passed through from side to side. Failure by bending across narrow dimension.

Grain straight; one old seasoned side; many knots; failed at one large knot in middle of pillar, which passed through from side to side. Failure by bending across narrow dimension.

Grain straight; one old side; many small knots; one large knot on old side 15 ins. from one end. Failed by crippling at that knot.

Straight grain; fairly clear; some small knots; one old seasoned side. Failed by bending 18 ins. from. one end in clear wood across least dimensions.

Grain straight; two old sides; knot at one end; also knot at centre passing through a corner. Failed by direct crippling which started at knot in middle of the piece.

Grain nearly straight; one old side; various knots, particularly one near centre passing from corner to corner of section. Failure by bending at this knot on least dimension.

Grain straight; one old side. Failed near centre by bending across least dimension at a knot, which penetrated the heart of piece from one side.

Two knots on one edge; one large knot at centre, another 12 ins. away; on second face five knots, two near centre, others 12 ins. from ends; grain parallel; centre of tree in corner of specimen, failed by bending at centre knot, induced first by being $\frac{1}{2}$ in. off centre on top bearing.

Bad knot 6 ins. from centre on one face; next face knot 2 ins. from end; grain about parallel; many smaller knots; centre of tree on same corner as large knot. Failed by bending at large knot.

3,75	×	4.31	×	45.25	2970	23.6
3.50	×	4.50	×	45.125	1840	22.6
3,50	×	4.38	×	44.5	2170	21,9
3.73	×	4.35	×	44.5	2650	23.6
3.5	×	4.4	×	45	3346	22.8
3.5	×	4.4	×	42,5	2082	21.1
3.5	×	4.45	×	46	2248	21.7
3.83	×	3.83	×	71.3	2862	
3.84	×	3 84	×	72.0	3338	26,06

- NE	W SPRU	CE (B.	C.)
Dimensions in inches. Lengths.	Compre've Strength in Ibs. per al. inch.	Weight in lia,	Remarks.
$472 \times 2.313 \times 1.91$ $4.77 \times 2.25 \times 1.9$ $4.75 \times 2.375 \times 1.875$ $4.75 \times 2.95 \times 1.875$	$ \begin{array}{r} 3415 \\ 2941 \\ 3020 \\ 2465 \end{array} $		
$\begin{array}{c} 4.78 \times 2.25 \times 1.97 \\ 4.75 \times 2.25 \times 1.94 \\ 4.75 \times 2.312 \times 1.88 \end{array}$	$\frac{3256}{3118}$		
$\begin{array}{c} 4.72 \times 2.22 \times 1.9 \\ 3.75 \times 2.34 \times 1.62 \\ 4.912 \times 2.212 \times 1.9 \\ 1.94 \times 1.62 \end{array}$	3179 3851 3910		
$\begin{array}{c} 4.375 \times 1.375 \times 2 \\ 4.375 \times 2.25 \times 2.50 \\ 4.75 \times 2.25 \times 2.50 \end{array}$			
$\begin{array}{c} 4.73 \times 4.73 \times 3.9 \\ 3.67 \times 3.67 \times 3.64 \\ 4.75 \times 4.75 \times 4.0 \\ 4.75 \times 4.75 \times 4 \end{array}$	5451 5590 3325 2838		Failed by crippling.
$\begin{array}{c} 4.812 \times \ 4.812 \times \ 4 \\ 4.65 \times \ 4.65 \times \ 5.20 \\ 3.00 \times \ 2.873 \times \ 6.50 \\ 3.00 \times \ 2.125 \times \ 6.00 \end{array}$	2986 4540 7566 6026		Clear and straight.
$\begin{array}{c} 3.00 \times 3.125 \times 0.00 \\ 4.7 \times 4.7 \times 7.75 \\ 3.195 \times 9.975 \times 7.95 \end{array}$	4299 6212	29,80	Four pin knots; ends not quite parallel.
4.687× 4.687× 8.66	5305	29,80	Clear and sound; cracks along medullary tays.
$\begin{array}{c} 4.75 \times 4.75 \times 11.5 \\ 4.2 \times 3.8 \times 11.5 \\ 4.0 \times 4.04 \times 11.75 \end{array}$	4656 4806 3898	$\frac{259}{338}$	Clear and straight. Crippled at centre. Straight grained.
$4.10 \times 4.10 \times 1255$	4451	28,3	Crippled at large knot on edge near centre. Clear and straight
			grained; slight axe-cut on one face 3 ins. from end Failed by crip- pling at axe cut.
$3.75 \times 3.75 \times 12.05$ $4.72 \times 4.72 \times 14.09$ 4.75 in diar. \times 14.	$\begin{array}{c} 4907 \\ 4063 \\ 3328 \end{array}$	$\frac{29.5}{30.2}$	Crippled at a bunch of five knots. Five large knots and one large scason crack.
$3.33 \times 4.18 \times 14.97$	4382	33 9	Clear and straight. Failed by crippling near on end.
$\begin{array}{r} 4.35 \times 4.32 \times 20.55 \\ 4.35 \times 4.45 \times 20.6 \end{array}$	$\frac{3757}{3540}$	$\frac{29.6}{27.1}$	Failed by crippling. Knot near one end. Failed in centre.
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	3850 3390	$\frac{29.9}{26.3}$	Clear. Clear and straight grained, but heavy sea-
			son crack from side to side. Failed by bulging on season crack and then bending.
$3.48 \times 3.50 \times 32.25$	4384		Grain not straight; heavy knot through cen- tre; also ends not square. Burst anart along centre
$2.75 \times 4.05 \times 41.0$	3070	28,3	Straight grained. Fail- ed at large knot 3 ins. from end by crippling.
$2.75 \times 4.02 \times 40.95$	3086	28.4	Straight grained; eight large knots. Failed hy bending at two knots 19 ins. from one end concave to high side.

RESULTS OF COMPRESSIVE TESTS ON

RESU	LTS	OF (OMI	RESSIVE	TESTS ON	NEW SPR	UCE (B.C.)—Continued.
4.35	×	4.50	\times	20.55	3584	27.4	Grain clear and par- allel. Crippled at centre.
4.08	\times	4,35	X	22.97	3 9 09	27.5	Grain crinkled near one end. Failed there.
4.18	×	4.35	×	22,95	3271	27.7	Clear; straight; no knots. Failed at one end.
4,29	×	4,35	×	22,96	3617	25.4	Grain not quite parallel; knot near centre of one side at which piece failed.
4.20	×	4.35	×	22,95	2834	28,2	Grain not parallel. Failed by longitudinal shear, which passed through a knot.
4.25	\times	4.40	×	22,9	3774	26.1	Failed at a knot near centre of one side.
4.24	\times	4.34	Х	22.94	2973	25.1	Failed by longitudinal shear.
4.12	Х	4.35	\times	23.00	3560	27.2	Failed at a knot
4.10	×	4.41	×	23.00	3680	25.7	Grain parallel. Failed by crippling at a knot 6 ins. from one end.
4.25	×	4.40	×	23.0	3382	27.9	• One season crack, did not affect the failure which was by crippling.
4.10	×	4,40	×	23.05	3550	26.4	Knot near one end. Crippled in body of piece at a distance from the knot.
4.09	×	4.35	×	23,06	4229	25.6	Grain clear and par- allel. Crippled on one side.
2.97	×	4,0	×	15,1	4908	26.7	Clear and straight grained. Crippled two from end.
3,33	×	4.1	×	`15.04	3370	26.4	Straight grained; large knot on middle of side. Failed near one end in clear wood.
4.72	in	diar.	×	15.0	3430	30.86	Four deep medullary weathering cracks; a mass of knots at lower end; small pin knots at centre; ends not quite parallel. Crippled at
2.6	×	4.1	×	18.5	5253	24.1	Clear and straight grain; failed by
$\begin{array}{r} 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\\ 4.75\end{array}$	in $\times \times	diar. 4.75 4.75 4.75 4.75 4.75 4.75	****	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	$1862 \\ 2708 \\ 2351 \\ 2275 \\ 3104 \\ 2660 \\ 2351 \\ 2306$		crippling and bending t ins. from one end.
4.75	X	4.75	X	60 60	2661		
4.62	- X	-4.63	X	-60	7431		

 $2431 \\ 2416 \\ 2420 \\ 2483 \\ 2483 \\ 3215$

78

~

OLD SPRUCE.

•		ı			sthe stre	n fle	
Dimen	isions	in ineł	ies. La	engths.	Compr Streng in Ibe.	Weight i per cub	
2.54	×	3,15	×	5.95	4375	28.4	grai
2.12	×	2.97	×	10.12	4508	28.4	Cl
2.42	×	2.45	×	10.95	4367	27.9	ci grai
2.50	×	3.20	×	11.25	3862	28.4	Cl grai
2.18	×.	2.18	×	14.00	4842	27.9	c grai
2.17	×	2.18	×	13.40	4714	27.9	C grai
3.20	×	3.22	×	13.40	5825		ed :
3,20	×	3.21	×	13.28	5696		C ed; prev
3.17	×	3.21	×	13.62	4900		face St at (
3 .20 .	×	3.20	×	13.43	5273		S on F.:
2.80	×	3,35	×	13.30	5139		r ai H edge
2.80	×	3.34	×	12.50	4818		kno Crij
: 2.18	×	2.18	× [.]	16.00	4337	27.9	thro C grai
3.53	×	3,56	×	14.60	6329		ing C grai
2.60	×	2.63	×	15.45	7339		end jury C ed
[•] 2.60	×	2.75	×	16.25	3664		end O bad
2.66	×	2.65	×	15.57	6809		Fai S sna
		1. -					tre hole
2.80	×	• 3.37	×	27.05	5116		8 12
,2.80	×	3.35	×	2 6.2 6	5096		s ins
2.62	×	2.75	×	17.72	5625		at a C mu

lear wood, straight aed : ends . out of are ; beat over . lear wood, straight ned; ends out' of re; best over. lear wood, straight ned; failed by bendworm eaten. lear wood, straight ned ends out of are; bent over. lear wood, straight med; failed by hendworm catenlear wood, straight ned; failed by bend-; worm eaten. lear; straight graincrippled at centre. lear; straight grain-crippled at end at a vious injury on surtraight grained; knot centre. Cripp.ed at t. traight grained ; knot corner at centre. ed at knot. leavy knot through e near centre. Cripat knot. traight grained; its near each ead. ppled and burst ough large knot. lear wood ; straight med. Failed by bend-; worm eaten. lear and straight incd. Crippled near through a small in-y l.ke a nail hole. lear ; straight grain-Crippled 5 ins. from ne small knot, but ly out of parallel. led at knot. straight grained; one all knot near end. ppled first near cen-through cant hook es Straight grain ; knot ins. from end. Crip-d at knot-Straight grain; knot 10 from end Crippled i knot.

Remarks.

Clear, but grain very much out of parallel, as much as 3 ins. in 18 ins. Burst apart by shearing of unsupported fibre.

TENSILE STRENGTH.

The experiments were especially directed to the comparison of the tensile strength and stiffness of portions of the same stick, in different positions relatively to the heart.

In designing the form of the test-piece, it was of importance to make the head of such a depth as would prevent the central portions from being pulled through the head by shearing along the surface BC, and it was also necessary that the depth should not be inconveniently great. Wedge shaped holders (Fig. H) were adopted which would grip the





Fig. I.

specimen along the faces AB. This form of holder was intended to increase the resistance to shear which is always much less than the tensile strength. As the tension on the test-piece increases, so also does the normal pressure upon the faces AB, Fig. K.and, therefore, so also does the resistance to shear along the surface BC. At first, the faces of the holders in contact with the specimen were left rough, but it was found that the roughness prevented the specimen from sliding in far enough to be gripped along the whole of the face AB, so 'that the bearing surface was practically limited to a comparatively small area near the top of the head. Thus it often happened that the specimen still failed by shearing along the surface BC. This difficulty was obviated by planing the faces of the holders.

The test-pieces were prepared from the uninjured portions of the beams, which had already been fractured transversely. The extensions of a length of ten inches of the specimen under gradually increased loads were measured by means of Uuwin's extensioneter until the total extension exceeded about one-eightieth of an inch. After this the extensioneter was removed, and in many cases additional extension readings, up to the point of fracture, of a length of sixteen inches of the specimen, were measured by means of a steel rule and indicator clamped to the specimen at points 16-inches apart and allowed to slide over one another.

The results obtained are given in the following Tables and an examination of these will show :---

1st. That the increments of extension up to the point of fracture are almost directly proportional to the increments of load;

2nd. That the presence of knots is most detrimental both to the. strength and to the stiffness, inasmuch as they practically diminish the effective sectional area, and also produce a curvature in the grain;

3rd. That wood near the heart possesses much less strength and much less stiffness than that more distant from the heart ;

4th. That the strength and stiffness are also dependent upon the proportion of summer to spring growth ;

5th. That irregularity of readings, both with the extensometer and with the rule, are chiefly due to the presence of a knot, or to early or oblique grain caused by a knot.

Again, some of the Tables give the effects on various specimens, of alternately loading them and relieving them from their load, and from the experiments carried ont up to date the following inferences may perhaps be drawn :--

If the specimen is clear, free from knots, and straight in the grain, and if no interval of rest is allowed, then for any given range of loads :

(a) The total extension is greatest during the first loading ;

(b) The extensions due to the successive loadings continually diminish, tending te a minimum limit, so that the co-efficients of clasticity increase, and therefore so also does the stiffness;

(c) By the successive unloadings a set is produced, which continually increases, but at a diminishing rate, and which tends to a maximum limit;

(d) When the specimen is allowed an interval of rest under the minimum load, the first total extension, when the loading is resumed, is greater than at the commencement, but continually diminishes, tending to a minimum limit, which possibly coincides with the maximum limit reached previous to the interval of rest.

So also, after the inteval of rest, when the first-set produced the speeimen is from load, is greater than that previously produced, but gradually diminishes, in the succeeding releases from load, tending probably to a minimum limit coinciding with the maximum limit reached before the interval of rest.

These inferences are also in accord with similar experiments carried out by Mr. Kerry, B.A.Sc.

Special attention may be directed to the test of specimen 4, beam XXI. This specimen failed simultaneously at two sections, the wood seeming to be very brittle, and the character of the failure pointed to some inherent weakness in the timber itself. After a microscopic examination of the fractured sections, Professor Penhallow described the fractures as being "very regular and devoid of any fibrous character, having the "exact appearance of a piece of glass. The lines of fracture followed "the variations in thickness of structure longitudinally and trans-"versely with great regularity. The peculiar brittleness can only be "referred to some local molecular condition of unknown origin, possibly "to a deficiency in the element of water."

The simultaneous failure at two sections of specimens 2 and 8 from White Pine beam XLVIII may probably be referred to a similar cause, and, as Professor Penhallow says, a lequate explanations of such failures are still to be sought.

In the Tables the extensioneter measurements are given in hundredthousandths of an inch, and the rule measurements in hundredths of an inch.

With each table a diagrammatic section is also given, showing the part of the stick from which the several specimens have been taken.

DIAGRAMETIC SECTIONS FOR TENSION SPECIMENS. 8 6 31 -E 9 10 Fig 124 Fig. 125 Fig 122. 20 Fig 123 R Fir 121. 93 6 Fig.1 Fig 130 Fig 131. Fig.1 Fig 132 Fig '33 Fig 128. Fig 129.

Results of tension tests on specimens 1 to 9 cut out of Douglas Fir Beam IX, and of repeatedly loading a specimen cut out of the same Beam. (Fig 118.)

				Readi	ngs tak	en by l	Sxtenso	meter.			
	Loads				S	pecime	n.		-		
	lbs.	l Fo r- ward.	2 For- ward,	3 For- ward.	4 For- ward.	For - ward.	6 For- ward.	For- ward.	7 For- ward,	9 For- ward.	
Total b weigh Break'g m Ibs.	100 200 400 600 800 1,000 1,200 1,400 1,600 1,800 2,000 reaking t in lbs. weight per sq.	0 81 229 372 509 644 779 914 1049 1185 1323 9270	0 79 227 379 527 673 818 960 1097 1241 6290	0 65 194 318 435 547 664 784 784 784 1008 1124 10,580	0 92 261 430 579 737 870 1060 1226 1395 8820	0 80 240 393 549 702 852 1004 6390	259 564 863 1004	259 561 868 1025 1183	0 50 162 293 403 520 637 752 869 984 1098 10,114	0 82 252 421 579 736 890 1047 1200 6348	
in Coefficie elastic Ibs	ent of eity in }										
Rule.		0.0	0.00	911	:88 8 	. 41 52	. 60			00	
6 Extr.	3000	6622	89 115 115					2020	11,62	2,009,0	
Rule.	0.888	38 00	57 70 29 0	22012°	24 14 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	55 62 70		52	9	,150	
7 Extr.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	010	0,1,4,						11,5	1,686	26
tr. Rule	0 99 231 461	633 807 970	,132 0	11 17 17	37 54 52	83 T3 60		080	9,864	65,400	12
Ex				10 16	487 19					1,6	
4 Extr. Ru	260 132 132 132	613 943	1,135 1,303 1,50	test-pie hoo	ce cut d of he	from ne art	igh bou	r- 8	116.9	1,650,400	18
Rule			:00	None	30 33 -	52 67 67	283	ß		0	
4 Extr.	0 89 3198 3198	619 879	1,049 1,175 1,573						15,116	1,710,55	11
Rule	0 53 51	67 84 92	12	200 y	828	189 8	23 88 90 1 2 88 90 1 2 8 90	9	368	,650	$0\frac{1}{2}$
5 Extr	- 2	100 47 10	1-0001						s s	2,510	er.
Rule	CNPP	0.0-1	10.1-00	0 v 0 v	2228	2 9 4	2220	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	2 22	002	
3 Extr.	215i 6	823	57 87 88	1,12					13,5	2,364,	25
Rule	06.15	- 4 00	00000	616 616 617	3643		68 76 100	00	05	00	
l Extr.	33.73 ⁸⁰	34612	9. 1,1(1,25					14 0	0,0 11,9	1,830,5	18
Loads in Ibs.	$100 \\ 200 \\ 600 $	800 1000 1200	1400 1600 1800	2500 3500 3500	4000 4500 5000	5500 6000 6500	1500 8000 8000	9000 9000 1 break-	be	fic'nt of sticity in	of test

Results of tension tests on specimens 1 to 7 cut out of Douglas Fir Beam X.

1

 $\cdot 82$

•

		CHINSON STATUS	1 10	reada	fina	load	Sall	(cusi	OB S	0.3CIB	leus	a an	0	sut o	at o	1 Do	uglas	Fir	Ikea	N III	5	Fig.	119.)		
•=q							6-1	~		2	eadîn	gs In	ken	4											
[ui s						Ex	tenso	metc						1	Rule			1 ⁻	() xten	ome	ler.			1	tale
sb s o.1	For- Re rard turi	- For	- Re- I turn.	For-ward	Ite- turn.	For- ward	Re- turn.	For- ward	Re- turn.	For- ward	ke. turu.	For- ward 1	lte-	For-	For- ward	For-	lte- urb. w	For-	Re- 1 irn. w	or-] ard tu	Re-	For-1 ard tu	te- I tru w	or- 1	Far-
$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 200\\ 200\\ 10$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 1065 9 1055	3 14 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 15 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16 3 16	14 49 129 189 129 129 129	623 704 1073	5695 1080	713 713 713	1 62 194 701 105	73 461 720 1087	67 706 1087	$\begin{array}{c} 72\\ 467\\ 1087\\ 1087\\ \end{array}$	69 452 706 1091	$\begin{array}{c} 123\\ 123\\ 1031\\ 1$	67 450 713 713 253		0 51 167 167 283 526 652 900 900 1150 1150	29 91 456 693 927 150 1	213 213 213 213 213 213 213 213 213 213	41 103 103 121 121 176 11 76	41 98 158 158 141 158 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143	63 117 117 117 117 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159 159	63 109 111 199 11	63 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	63 111 111 112 112 112 112 112 112 112 11	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
300 3200 3200 5500 6000 5500 17000 5500 17000															28.22259492										18855899692
Total	breaking h	bg.	14	,000													,500								
in lb	g weig s p. sq.i	ž i	16	,145												10	,757								
CO-EII clast	ity in It is tool	5 g .:	2,321	,600												2,334	,850								
um t	01 1030,		4	6												4	,0 ,								

		-					S	pecim	en Rea	dings	taken	by F	lxten	some	ter.		1					D D	
Loads in	{-	ſ	:		•					K												1	ì.
lbs.	Extr		2 Rule		c,									.1				•			9		
	For- F	or-	For- ward	Forward,	Re- turn	For- ward	Re- turn.	For-	Forward	I. Ituri	- For	i turn	For ware	He-Itaru,	For- ward	lte- turn.	For ward	Forward.	Forward	L turi	- For . arc	- Re- l turn	For-
100	0	0		-			:	:							÷	:		•					
200	62	52	:	5						20								2200					•••
400	172	151	:	21.2	202 202 202		202	202	- 6	E H	07 1	1 212	17	122	177	0.7.7	077	1720	6- 6- 6-	5 9 9	7	2	218
800	403	2362	:	61	510	52-	13	524	4	8	61-1	2 490	0 500	198	200	503	506	105	29	4	- X4 - X4	190	489
1.000	523	165		63					9	2								647	60	6		_	
1,200	648	376	:	11	1 801	801	816	803	F	50	12	7 760	192 0	13	268	112	174	185	75	0. 76	3 755	191	762
1,400	169	678	÷	061	1050	0101			x q	200	7 0 1 0 0	10.07			10.90			924	68	0			
1,600	592	017	:	Col	1 LVJ	TUT	1010	1910	81	101 81	201 6	17M1	100 T	TOOL	RCOT .	1001	1401	1001	102	201 6	9 1031	10.51	11179
2,000	1130	010	:	:			:		:	:	•		•	:	:	:	2	1100	:	-	:	:	7117
006.6	0017	101	•							-					Т			F					
2,500	: F : ci	61-01)												his			'ail					
3,000	ail uri		6											ne	s te			ed					
3,500	ed y,		29											ar	est			at					
1,000	at dne		n N N N											the	pie			tw					
000	sec e to		567											: h	ce			5 S					
5,500	tio pi		~											eart	wa			เกล					
6,000	ox)		07							_					s ci			11 k					-
2.000	he mi		4												1t (no			-		
1,500	re ş ty i		4										_		out			ts.					
8,000 8,500	grai of a		219							_					fro					t-ne			
9,000	n v kr		19												m								
9,500	vas iot.		99																				
10,000			14		_				/												4 44		
11,000			<u>60</u>																				
11,500 12.000	_		88 S														_						~ -
Total breaking {	7460	1243	:	722	:		:	:	2	10	:		:		•	:	:	6680	213	+	÷		
Break's weight)				*	_	;				•	1						-		-				
in lbs. p. sq.in.	10,376	17,492		10,19	-				10, 2	19								992	11,53	0			
Co-efficient of 22	.308.650 ¹ 2	846,900		2.021.35	0				2,036.9	100						_	24	021-150	1.973.15	0			

÷ • 9 ¢ • . . 1

ξ.

Results of tension tests on specimens cut out of Douglas Fir Beam X, and of repeatedly loading another specimen cut out of same Beam (Fig. 119).

Readings taken by Extensometer.

Specimen

	1										ward.	
100	0	:	:	:				0	P			
200	58			:				69	18			
100	174	172	172	.116	1-	179	179	214	193		222	9.0
600	299							341	316	1		
800	417	011	412	413	416	x II	117	168	130	22	453	EUF
1 900	534	:						602	545	0.01		
1200	654	656	656	659	660	665	661	131	160	08.7	680	689
1400	776							860	21-2 20-1-2 20-1-2	non		
1600	898							983	2005	:		•
1800	1019	1019	1023	102:	10.77	1077	10.99	1121	1005	1015	101	101
									0611	1190		
9.00	1010							1200	01	11211		
tal heak'a					:	•	:		0170		:	:
"allt in lise	:F26			:				1,11.40	13,071			
te woinly												
in the new (021.006.6							0.51 500 6	1202 0 000			
) part cont un	A		•					001600212	10009/8/22	-		:
efficient of												
lasticity in }												
03.												

сō

Loads in loads in lbs. 1,200 1,200 1,400 1	Extr. 5355 545 1153 1153 1153	Extr. 2 875 11322 1132 11322 112 11	Extr. 5 1592 1234 1234 1234 1234 1234 1234 1234 123	$\stackrel{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\rightarrow$	Rule Rule 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	This test-piece commenced to fail at a small knot.	This test-piece failed at a section where the grain was curly from proximity to a knot.	5384 11009 1118 11009 1118	525 5363 1100 1100 1100	For-ward 544 172 539 539 539 539 539 539 539 539 539 539	Bytten 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167	3 2225 225 687 7 687 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	101 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102	2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\$	6.5.9.4.3.3.3.2.2.2.1.12.4.0.1
lbs.	10,620	10,760	10,760	11,120	:	9,900	5,510	10,220	9,300	10,420					as pul led th	
ight)	14,886	15,327	15,040	15,655	:	13,909	7,823	14.660	13.066	14.640	_		·		lled the br'l	
l lbs	1,791,800	1,805,050	2,001,650	2,307,200		,486,700	1,920,900	1,934.106	1 002.968.	.960.450					iroug kg we	
test {								fr male	look and	and hond		_		_	gl ei	

Results of tension-tests on specimens cut of Beam XIII, and of repeatedly loading other specimens cut out of the same Beam (Fig. 121).

Readings taken by

:::: 505 199 745 751 Re- For- Re- Nor- Re- For- Re- For- Re- For- For- For- Forward Forward Forward Forward Forward Forward Forward Reward Reveal ward turn w 1078|1078|1078|1102|1102|1102|1118**** **** **** **** 253 • • • • 239 190 485 955 730 575 706 957 191 -1-1-0 5 0220 13,721 2,108,500 1,631,700 2,263,100 1,684,900 2,359,150 1,098,900 2,323,700 ----------. 6278 1370 00 11.62015.271 24 Extensioneter. 1.190 10,191 * * * * * * 1210 ----------••••• ~ 1,2337 0 7 8720 ----------........ Î $\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 70 \\ 199 \\ 337 \\ 412 \\ 41$ 119 306 491 613 839 839 839 1015 5140 7322 **** ********** •••• ******** :0 98-40 13,945 24 : Rule •••• : 0 : . 983 983 130 664 656 ••••• 1092202 • 135 202: • 653 98:1 199 128 • 661 131 661 186 198, 198 121 109 186 676 975 186 . 659 135 Extensometer. : 6.17 172 180 182 405 416 418 •••• 637 •••• 126 627 :::: • • • • 1 : -109. 172 623 •••• 939 : ••••• . 516 620 939 939 Forward . 295 106 1520 2,609,400 10,6380 3 : • • • • • • • : Total break'g) w'ght in lbs. (Br'kg weight) Co efficint of elasticity in } 0001 5,000 6.000 5,500 Loads in in lbs. per 10s. Sd. 10. 108.

• After this, the 4th series of reachings, the test-pieve was allowed to rest for 2, hours. On resuming the testing, the reaching was a00182.

2, 600 2, 7, 600 2, 7, 600 2, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,	Porward, 79 379 379 522 532 790 7926 1059	Re- turn. 200 509 790 790	For- ward 20 20 216 216 216 771 771	118- 118- 20 20 509 784 784	Read For- ward 20 20 20 20 20 194 194 1183	Hings t He- 122 211 232 232 232 232 232 232 1183	Spec aken 1 kor ward, ward, 492 770 770	788 788 788 788 788 788	. Por- Por- 22 519 797	eter. 44 5-12 5-12 816 816	For- ward. 44 229 529 529 807 807	Re- turn. 43 43 546 546 546 546 546 546 546 516 516 1219	For- ward. 43 530 530 530 1219	He- Harn. 547 547 547 547 1219	For- 49 536 536 813 813	hule For- ward
2,500 3,000 4,500 4,500 4,500 6,500 6,500 6,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 9,000 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 8,500 108. 8,500 108. 108. 108. 108. 108. 108. 108. 10	10000 14,474 2,092,600			• (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	883889999998698888888 8838899999999868

Results of repeatedly subjecting to tensile stress a specimens 1 to 4 cut out of Bcam XV. Readings taken by.

0

Lowls in He				4			3			÷			-				-
	ġ	H	xtens	omele	Γ.		Extr			Exh	<u>.</u> .		Extr		Extr.	Rule.	Extr.
		For- ward. 1	lke-	For- R	re- Fo	r- For	- Re-	Forward	Por	lke-	For-	For- ward	Re- turn.	For- ward	For- ward,	For- ward.	For-
	100		30	18	69	19	3	68 6	=	51	12		=	12			
	200	101		000		9 0 0 			3			92			001		9,
	600						9	7.51	263	-	901	12	2.34	077			
	500	439		123	1- 621	11	0.50	9 195	366	39	361	4/6	499	419	1111		
	1,000	292	000		:	19		:	47:5		:	607	:		512		14
	1,200	169		101	129 - 1	110	9-19-	1 750		560	122	821	160	739	703		3
-	1,400		ž	•	:			:	33	:	:	69%	:	:	833		10
	1,600	61-6				66			2.0			666	:		796 1		3
-	1,200	1961	1061	1 949	01 990	11 113	0 113(0 11 52	たらの	202	618 (1129	1123	130	1601		6
4 6	2,000		1	: :	7			227	1055		:	:	÷	:	0221	0	101
	2,500	:							:				: :			9	
		_			_											10	
					-						-					16	
						_										515	
			_			-										98	
																37	
					-						-					 	
								_								<u>x</u>	
																32	
				-												99	
						-										69	
																25	
			_			_					۰.			_		58	
									_							6	
^r otal breaking weight	t in that	10060				7 19			7740			01001			00011	102	10
Sreaking weight in Il.	19. D. FQ. In	15346		•		901				-	:	15,001	:	:	00011	•••••	112
o-efficient of elasticit	ty in Ibs.	2,205,	250			2.14	4.850	-	2.75	.550		2.931	300		173 3	0	9.69.6

89 t

	Ru	FO		::	:	:	:	:		:				-		-		1	2]	5	
		Forward		112	988		459	:	716	803	893	982	1911								Ī
		Re- turn.		112	766		460	:	716		:										-
		For- ward		112	986	2	458	:	716		:						_				-
	1	Re- turn.		112	1.94		-161	:	216	:	:						-	-			
		For-		114			459	:	716	:	:	_						_			-
		Re- turn.		114	295		463	:	720		:		-				_				-
		For-	16	108	186	369	101	-	720		:			_			_				
	mete	Re- turn.	16	fill	666		468	:	723				•								-
	tense	For-	6	:EI	285		-161	:	123	:	-										-
nen 4	v E	Re- turn.	5	121	015		121-		742	825	:								-		
pecir	ken	For- ward	0	125	212	387	627	969 979	139	825	:							-	_		-
3	gs ta	*Re- turn.	12	214	105		916	:	834	:	:			1							
	eadin	For-	12	203	373		556	:	\$34	:			-								
	<u>۳</u>	Re- turn.	12	212	393		574	:	833		::				-						Ī
		For-	12	203	1		0.56	:	833	:											
		Re- turn,	75	212	:63	:	719	:	834		•••••					-					Í
	}	For- ward	69	197	368		560	:	834	:	:										
	1	Re- turn.	69	202	385		567	:	826	:	:				-						
		For-	58	189	361		545	:	826	:	:								-		
		Re- turn.	58	190	369		554	:	825	:	1000			~ -							
		Forward.	0	163	245	430	524	213	808	7 06	1000										
	Loads	lbs.	100	-100 +100	600 800	1,000	1,200	1 600	1.800	2,000	2,200	2,400	2,600	3,000	4:000	4,500	5,000	5,500	6,000	6,500	2 MMM

Results of rcpeatedly subjecting to tensile stress a specimen cut out of Beam XV. Fig. 122,



(Fig. 123.)
ut out of Douglas Fir Beam XVII.
to 11 e
specimens 1
on tests on
Results of tensic

ĩ		
i	-	
1		
1	1	
	ţ	
1		
	4	
		A
c		n.l
5		ke
		3 ta
	1	ğu
		ipr
		Re
5		
2		
(
2		
	C C	
5	Ì	
4	1	
	l	
>	ĺ	
	-	
•	1	
	1	
į	ł	
•		
2		
-		
i	Ű	ć

	5 Extr.	0 6 7 0 8 1 5 0 6 7 0 6	3,000	4,040	2,264,500	. 15
	Extr.	• 6 6 61 •	2,920	4,089	1,426,000	18
	Extr. Extr.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3,000	4,320	1;978,450	23
aken 'by	9 Extr. Kule,	• Failed at a knot $\frac{1}{5}$	5,180	7,035	2,036,200	13
Readings to	Extr. Bule.		3,200	4,230	1,377,000	14
	Extr. Extr.	$\begin{smallmatrix} & 0 \\ & 1758 \\ & 3017 \\ & 3017 \\ & 3017 \\ & 3017 \\ & 3017 \\ & 546 \\ & 546 \\ & 546 \\ & 569 \\ & 546 \\ & 569 \\ & 569 \\ & 569 \\ & 569 \\ & 569 \\ & 566 $	6,500	8,933	2,224,750	23
	Extr.	$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 566 \\ 2188 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 505 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 110 \\ 110 \\ 100$	8,150	11,631	2,518,500	18
	Extr. Hule,		5,500	7,755	2,578,350	27
Loads	in Ibs.	200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Total breaking)	Break'g weight in the per sq. in.	Co-efficient of elasticity in Ibs.	Time of test in a minutes.

Results of tension tests on specimens 1 to 3 cut out of Douglas Fir Beam XIX. (Fig. 124.)

Readings taken by

Rule.	1::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
3. Extr.	2355 3557 1,1013 1,1011
Kule.	····
l Extr.	2376 2376
ltule.	
3 Extr.	In this test-piece the cen- raugh the head, so that is, unable strength exceeded used string weight.
2 Extr.	th this test-piece the cent- bollon sew indiced the potton sew indiced to the indiced second and the second second second and the second second second and the second second seco
Rale.	
L Extr.	57 57 1900 544 5422 544 554 542 542 542 542 542 54
Rule.	····
3 Extr.	2122 550 550 661 1,034 1,034
kale,	1::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
2 Extr.	500 15324 15334 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1
Rule.	
l Extr.	2500 2524 2529
Loads in Ibs.	1000 100 1000 1

	0000	9 ,2 00	11,725	2,197,750	22
495555555555555555555555555555555555555	000 01	005.21	16,805	2,687,000	-28
76 88 96 112 121	00.0	000,6	14,210	2,279,350	19
		12,470	18,856	2,450,600	15
		11,020	16,960	2,451,150	18
		10,700	14,581	2,320,950	
		12,600	17,199	2,407,950	
		11,140	15,543	2,082,700	
7,000 7,500 8,500 9,500 10,500 11,000 11,5000 11,5000 11,5000 11,5000 11,5000 11,5000 11,5000 11,500	Total Break-)	ing Weight in {	Break'g wgl.) in lbs. per sq. } in.	Co-efficient of elasticity in lbs.	Time of test

 $\frac{53}{13}$

Results of tension tests on specimens cut out of Douglas Fir Beam XX, (Fig. 125.) and of the repeated loading of other specimens cut out of same Beam :----

							Ile	nding	gs taken	by.								
loads in	Exten	somet(2		-	e 3				9		ø		, or	1	-	+	1	2
lbs.	Forward.	Return.	Forward.	Forward.	Extr.	.sluM	Extr.	Rule.	Extr.	Kule.	Extr.	'ojan	Extr.		Extr.	Extr. Re- turn,	Extr. For- ward,	Extr
001	0066	10	01 02	:		1:	0	1:	0	1:	0		0	1 :			:	
100	619	230	33	: :	180	: :	240	: :	26 C	:	69	-	- 06 	•	•••••	:	:	
600 200	1381	166	1,005	:	202	:	315	:	195	: :	249		376				0 811	- ~
1,000			1,773	-	563	: :	010	: :	910 910	: :	-185		521				233	
1,200	•••••	:	:	•0	689	~	161	:	827		159		1018		•	77	3	
1,400		:	÷	10	×35	:	931	:	970	:	900		110,1				603 400	- 0X
1,600		: :		: 2	61.0	-	1 075	:	-00 [:					300	22		5
1,800		:	:	50	1.063		1.210	: :	1.000	:	1,050	•	. 111.1	• -		:	119	÷.
2,000		:	:	ж гі	1,182	0	1,369	Ċ	1.357	•	1.310	. 0	1 501		101		252	1.1
2,200		:	:	2	51 27	<u>:</u>		:		:		-	100.61	, .	100	710	1 080	1,2
2.500		:	:	Ŧ		;	•••••	:		1		•				• •	1.205	
2,600				: Ð	•••••	:		4	•••••	+	•••••	-	•••••	10	892	:		
2,700			::	:		: m		: :		:	•	-	•	÷		:	1,320	••••
2,800			:	52		:				::					:	:		:
100°C		:	:	0		:	•••••	10.	••••••	2	1	0	-	- 01	1.190	1.190	1.570	
5-65	•••••			:														

:		2	2				:	02	:	13	:	9	:	61		62	5	• •	00	99	10	17			:		:		:	• :
						•	:	:			•••••	:			•••••						•••••				9,000		12,710		1,985,550	22
:							:	:	::	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::				:		:			:	:	;	:	:		:		:		÷	:
						:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	::::	:			:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	:	:	:	-					:	•
											:	:	:												9,760		14.171		2,440,350	14
	18			2.1			ne						00				58			10			•••••		7,500		10,610		1,787,500-	20
	2			20			n-7	••••	01:		35			:	50		53			10	70	••••••••	•••••		8,500		12,024		2,072,850	16
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	15		101	<u>ि</u> । भू	τ	iic :š	1	: 1 : 1	9 19	;	9[]1	: E	ιų.	•••••••	00		6.0		: !	Co	0.2				8,840		12,133		1,921,350	18
	13			20		36	0	•• • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • •			10	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	90			6.9	02	080			9,360		13,265		2,008,450	20
		15			16			17	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	16 31		38		lt	:	50		5.7					•••••		7,700		10,783		2,236,150	
:	:	;		-		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:			:	:	:	:	:		:		:		:	
:	:	•					-	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:			:::	:	:	:	:		:		::		:	:
:	:	:	:					:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	::			:	:	:	:	:		:		:		÷	:
•••••	••••••	•••••							:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	:	:		•••••	:								:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::			3,190		4,631		1,769,560	15
3,400	3,500	3,700	3,800	1,000	4.200	4.500	002 1		100.0	JUZ.C	,00°	107'e	0,000	6,200	6,500	6,700	7,000	7.200	2 500		0,001	0,000	Total human's	ing weight in }	lbs]	in los. per sq.	n	Co-efficient	Time of teet	n minutes.

			Re	ading	s taken by	y				
		1			2		3		4	
Londs in the	Exten	someter	•	Itule	Extr.	Rule	Extr.	Rute	Extr.	itule
	Forward,	ite- turn.	For- ward,	For- w'rd						
100	0	65	0		0				. 0	
200	65		69		105		110		113	
400	212	291	220		291		351	2	349	
600	391		360		-100		016		600	
1 000	529	9(1	498		020		1 94		1 990	
1,000	00.5		020		1 011		1,41	• 0	1,229	
1.200	010	699	619		1 234			••••	1,000	. 0
1,500	340	•••••	910		1,410			10		 r.
1,600	1 090	1 1 1 5	1.050		1.428			10	••••	
1.800	1,239	1,110	1,199		1.593		4		0	
2,000.	1.385	1.385	1.340	0	1.731	0	De la		t.	1 14
2,500	• • • • •	- ;	-,	7		6	*		at	25
3,000		2423	물꽃	12		11	Ĕũ		3	37
3,500		7 24		17		1 18	a		<u>a</u>	- 50
-4,000		ea as	16a	22		24	ದೆ		5	- 60
4,500		w w	63	30		32	at		ti	
5,000		-to-t-	22	37		41	2		e la	
5,500		STA		42		50	lie		a a	1
6,000		Si On	2	50		56	E		· 	1
6,500		- 2 755	Free Free	56		63				
7,000		550	a de la	61		76			i i i	
7,500		224	E S B	70		82			F.a.	
Total Junit				. 85		92				
ing month to in				1						
the wegnits in (2.940				9 100	, í	1 02	0	1 490	
Briking wat					6,100	' · • • •	1,00	U	4,400	
in the per sa										
in.	11 565				11.095		2.48	5	6.157	7
Coefficient of)	1 1 30 10 1				11,044	•••••	-,-	<i></i>		•
elastieity in lbs.	2,005,050				1.336.300)	916.64	0	923.890)
Time of test)	-,, y				-,,					
in minutes.	44				34	; I	1	4	27	7

Results of tension tests on specimens out out of Douglas Fir Beam XXI., and of the repeated loading of another specimen out out of samo Beam. (Fig. 126.)

Results of tension tests on specimens cut of an old Douglas Fir stringer, Beam XXII., and of the repeated loading of another specimen cut out of the same Beam.

(Fig. 127.)		1	Re	adin	gs (aken by	2			
	Exter	isometer	r.	Rule.	Extr.	Extr.	Rule.	Extr.	Rule.
Loads in Ibs.	Forward,	Re- turn.	For- ward.			1			
$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 200\\ 490\\ 600\\ 800\\ 1,000\\ 1,200\\ 1,400\\ 1,600\\ 2,000\\ 2,500\\ 3,500\\ 3,500\\ 4,000\\ 4,500\\ 5,500\\ 5,500\\ 6,000\\ 6,500\\ 7,000\\ 7,500\\ 7,000\\ 7,500\\ 8,000\\ 8,500\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,00\\ 9,$	0 79 231 389 539 690 872	141 291 440 £80 730 872	141 2992 4399 579 723 881 1,030 1,164 1,340	0 2 9 3 20 24 30 40 40 55 55 60 67 72 80	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & \cdots \\ 117 & \cdots \\ 289 & \cdots \\ 518 & \cdots \\ 518 & \cdots \\ 635 & \cdots \\ 765 & \cdots \\ 895 & \cdots \\ 1,023 & \cdots \\ 1,023 & \cdots \\ 1,304 & \cdots \\ 1,304 & \cdots \\ 1,304 & \cdots \\ 1,304 & \cdots \\ 1,304 & \cdots \\ 88 & 88 & 0 \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ $	0 60 190 319 450 588 713 847 920 1,096 1,220	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 19 23 23 23 339 45 51 57 63 70 78
Total break- ing weight in }	8,800				10,000	8,520	1	9,340	
Br'king wgt. in lbs. per sq.	12,115				13,954	11,414		13,169	
Co-efficient of }	2,139,200				2,199,700	1.969,900		2,190,350	
Time of test }	17			07	18	14		14	

Loads			k.		Re	adings taken	P.						
in Ibs.	Extr.	Rule.	Extr.	.əfu H	l Extr.	Extr.	.eiusi	3 Extr.	Bule.	4 Extr.	.słuĦ	5 Extr.	.stuR
100 100 600 600 600 7,500 8,6000 8,6000 8,6000 8,6000 8,60000000000	132 312 851 851 1,121 1,442	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1300 843 1,011 1,303	05500 105500 105500 100000000	102 324 5492 1,113 5492 1,416	Failed at a small pin-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100 100 455 619 8319 8319 1,007 1,007 1,007 1,239	658-100 4 73365657 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000 1431 1,022 1,022 1,1235 1,169	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	2220 525 525 525 525 525 525 525 525 525	88822163882516338500 888221638833516338500 888251638833516338500
Total breaking weight (in lbs.	5,500		5,700		6,830	5,660		6,970		7,080		9,000	
Breaking weight in	7,662		1+6'1		9,564	7,739		10,069		10,175		12,626	
Co-efficient of elasti- city in lbs.	1,032,050		1,202,350		1,025,850 16	1,069,350 17		,818,950 18		1,577,900		.1,903,200 -90	

Ę TTTT ρ + of Old S. Results of tension tests

Loads		R	eadings (a)	ten	by	
in Ibs.	5 Extr.	Rule.	6 Extr.	Rule.	8 Extr.	Rule.
100	0		0		0	
- 200	54		127		90	
400	191		276		259	
600	344		468		445	
800	497		652		610	
1.000	657		870		780	
1.100	001		960	ö	100,	
1 200	811	•••		v	950	
1,300		••		••	1 040	0
1,000	967	••		•••	1,040	0
1,500	1 040	0		5		
1,000	1,040	v		0		5
1,000	••••	* <u>5</u>		••	* • • • • • • • •	- J 0
1,000	••••••	9	•••••			0
2.000	••••	•••		II.		11
2,000	••••	9		::	••••	11
2,300	• • • • • • • • •	::		18		
2,400	••••	14	• • • • • • • • •	::		14
2,100		••		25		
2,800	•••••	20			••••	23
3,100				31		
3,200		25				29
3,500				37		
3,600		31	<i></i>		• • • • • • • • •	- 35
3,900			<i></i> .	45		
4,000		35				41
4,300				50		
4,400		40				49
4,700				57		
4,800		48				54
5,000		50		61		57
5,400						63
5,500				70		0.1
6.000				80		
6,500				88		
Total breaking weight in the	8,100		6.750	.00	5.600	
Breaking weight in the n so in	11 445		10,206		8,004	
Co-efficient of electicity in the	1 830 650	. '	1 547.350		1 647 150	
The of test is minuted	1,000,000		31		99	

Results of tension tests on specimens cut out of Old Spruce stringer, Beam LX. (Fig. 129.) Results of tension tests on specimens cut out of Old Spruce Beam LXI, and of repeatedly loading another specimen cut out of same Beam. (Fig. 130.)

_
taken
inos
Read

				Rear	sgui	taker	by									
	ĺ	Exter	somet) H		/	Ru	de Extr	r. Ru		xtr.	Rule	Extr.	Rule	Extr.	Kule
Troads			-	1		i.		 		i F						
III 108.	For- ward.	Ite- turn.	For- ward t	Re- 1 urn. w	For- I	Re- F	ard		-		par -1		ee		ŝ	
100	0	59	50	189	68	1 20	12					1:		1:		
200	26		•		:	:	:	:	510	:	25		<u>.</u> -	:	52	:
400	274 2724 2724	265	255	274	763	2.93	276	:	233	:	226		195	:	242	:
800	492 492	530	526	555	535	559	- X.	: :	520	: :	512		475	: :	415	:
1,000	631							:	671	: :	619		919		120	
1,200	174	801	108	821	807	834	821	:	819	:	845	:	755	:	88	:
1,400 1,500	913	1051	1074	074 1	0851	08511	$\frac{1}{860}$		964 100	:	263 1175	•	1028	:0	1050 1198	: :
1,800							:	• •		: N		;;		:-	1321	
2,400									-	5		-		T -	141	
2,500									-	21		1				9
2,800							:			:0		.61		15		:=
3,400										:-				22		;;
3,800							4	. :	i .	. :		G		27		
4,000							5		61	6		31				25
4,500 4,500							- 66 -	.~	• n•	: +		:12				
4,800 5,000								:00	: **	:		:4		88 88		
5,400								:	• 4	in		50		+		: 2
5,800							10							55		:5
6,400							:20	:0	-							:5
6,800							.9									
7,500 8,000							01-1	1-00								
Total breaking w'nt in lbs. Brk'g w'ht in lbs. p. sq.in.	8,98	0 0					<u>~</u>	96	340		6,640 9,724		6,90(9,88]		7,000 9,906	
Co-eff't of elast'cy in lbs.	2,066,05	_					-	1,999	050	3.1	51,850		2,070,600	1	1,836,300	
Results of tension-tests on specimens cut out of a 2 in. x 4 in. Red Pine scantling, and also of the repeated loading of another specimen cut out of same scantling. (Fig. 131.)

Readings	taken	by
----------	-------	----

	Extr.	Rule	Extr.	Extr	Extr	Rule	Extr.	Rule
· Loads in				—		••••		
lbs.			For- ward.	Re-	For-	For-		
				turn.	ward	ward		
100	0		0		····	••••		• • • •
200	60	••••	58	20	55	•••	56	
100	190		179	187	173		182	
600	311		286		279		306	
800	432		391	401	396		433	
1,000	553		495		492		559	
1,200	678		600	614	599		682	
1,400	804		708		712		812	
1,600	929		816	837	816		942	
1,+00	1053		927		925		1074	
2,000	1179		1035	1045	1039		1202	
2,200	1306		1143		1142		1335	
2,400	1429	0	1257	1257	1257	0	1461	0
3,000		5				5		6
3,500		12				10		12
4,000		18				14		18
4,500		21				19		22
5,000		28				23		28
5,500	••••••	30				29	• • • • • • • • • •	33
6,000		35				33	•••••	40
6,500		41				39	• • • • • • • •	45
• 7,000	• • • • • • • •	49				43		50
7,500	• • • • • • • •	52	• • • • • • • • •			50	· · · · · · · · ·	55
8,000		57				52	• • • • • • • • •	60
8,500	•••••	62				60		69
9,000		•				62	••••••	64
Total brk's mainted								
in the	0.000		1 0.000				0.500	
Broaking maight in)	5,000		9,200				3,000	
lbs. per so in	19 699		19 775				11 379	
Co-efficient in alas,	12,085		14,143				شرة ليو 1° 1	
ticity in the	2.279 850		2 554 150				2 217.350	
Time of test in usin)			2,004,100				2,271,000	

يور مر م

1-3

									Speeim	en.											
									Mea	suremet	ats take	an by	1								
	*				1-				x				9					4			\$
	Extr.				Extens	ometer			Extr.	\		Exte	ngomete			Extr		Extense	uner.	×	xtr.
700 400 400 1,200 1	1,002240 1,00240 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,00240 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,002400 1,0000000000000000000000000000000000	1,000 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1,0000 1,00000000	268	268 590			096 I,	2888 1,10 1,1 1,1	 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2346123 2346120 2346123 234612	1,04555 04150 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,045555 1,0455555 1,04555555 1,04555555 1,04555555555555555555555555555555555555			2339 .051 11 11	2250 00 + 33 225 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5		2249 5381 5381 5381 5381 5381 5381 5383 64 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 10	0 111 544 752 156 1,156	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	::8::8::5:	0 91 572 573 894 1,055
Total break- {	8,260	7,4,0							9,1	36	8,470				<u></u>	2,	440	6,000			8,606
Break'g load in lbs. per sq.	12,252	11,128							12,9	1 69	1,561					10,	347	8,503			11,981
Corefficient of elasticity in lbs.	1,835,100	1,799,100							1,729,4	1,65	4,500					1,614,	000 1	728,350		1,74	11,400

Results of testing specimens cut out of White Pine Beam, and of repeatedly loading other specimens cut out of same Beam. (Fig. 131A.)

102

~
131A.)
(Fig.
XLVIII.
Beam
Pine
White
÷
out
9 eut
and
S
ດົ
specimens
loading
edly
repeat
5
ults
lesi
1

Specimen.

		1	2		-		1	a)		1					»)			1
200	9220					-22				: :) 56	186		::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::				
009	420 583	603	-14 	605	502	30. 30. 27.	102 - 120 202 - 120	201	200	2002	122	613	613	619		899	671	
1,000	749	:	:	:	:	70.					16(:	:	:	:	:	:
1,400	912 1,078	1,078	1,079	1,079	1,083	38.	1,027	1,030	1,030	1,031	1,025	860'1	1,102	1,102	1,150	1,150	1,158	,158
- 1,600			: :	: :	1.410	•		:	:	1.192	• •							
al break-) ad in lbs.	6,810	:	:	:		8,310					9,624							
per sq in.	9,321		:	÷	:	11,62.	:	:	:	:	14,273							
city in the f	1,676,200	:	:	÷	:	1,758,250		:	:	:	1,757,250							

owed to rest under the 2 minimum load of 400 its. for an interval of 23 hours. When the loading was resumed the reading was .00324 in.

.

Results of testing specimens 1 and 2 cut out of Red Pine Beam XXXI, and of repeatedly loading specimens $rac{2}{3}$ and $rac{2}{3}$ cut out of same Beam. (Fig. 121B)

				Me	asurements	s take	n hy i	Exten	Ponnete	r.					
	1	2	3	1	2		•			::					
100	0	0	0	0	0					-0	-				
200	1-	66	117	60	92							:	:	:	:
00F	215	293	351	190	290	926	3.77	918	340	0.66				77	
009	319	412	571	313	189		3			0012		4	4	5	51 5
800	109	1-99	795	445	689	с. Г		790	7.93	105		• • •	: "		
1,000	648	S54	1.005	145	282	-	:	•	Ì	100	ī		0	11 00	
1,200	x x x	610	1,229	665	1 087	1 007		1 100			:	:	:	:	:
1,400	928	9.15		067	10061	1,00,1	20161	1,104	011.1	0100	:	:	:	:	:
1.600	1.067			920	•••••		:	:	•	331	••••	:	:	:	:
1,800			:		••••••			:	:	1,086 1,0	86 1,0	96 1,0	96 1,1	09 1,10	9 1.1
otal break-)		•	•••••	1,054	•••••	::::	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
weight in	8,460	6,928	4,620	7,910	6,592	:	:	:	:	6,79(:	:		:	
treak'g wgt.	11,825	9.378	6.274	10.889	000 8					- 1					
					00060		•	:	:	20066	:	:	:	:	:
c-efficient of tricity in lbs.	1,960,500	1,421,900	1,237,500	2,158,800	1,452,200	;	:			1.953 100					

SHEARING STRENGTH,

In the experiments, to determine the shearing strength of timbers, considerable difficulty was found in preparing suitable test-pieces which would not at the same time be liable to a large bending action. Blockswere prepared as shown by sketches A, B and C; but unless the sides were sufficiently strongly elamped, as in Fig. A, the specimens almost invariably opened at M, under an effect chiefly due to bending. The elamping, again, introduced a compression, which rendered it impossible to obtain the true shearing stress.



After a number of experiments, more satisfactory and reliable results were obtained by preparing test-pieces as shown by Figs. E and D. The bending action is by no means eliminated, and, generally speaking, it is practically impossible to frame timber joints subjected to a pure shear only. The shearing strengths, which are of importance, are the resistances along planes tangential and radial to the annular rings An examination of the test-pieces shows that the shears are invariably along these planes.

Thus it will be observed that in the tangential shears, the fibre, both hard and soft, is sheared radially, in the radial shears tangentially, and invariably through the soft fibre.

With test-pieces of the form shown by Fig. D, the shearing strengths along the tingential and radial planes are obtained, while the compound shearing strength, which may be considered as the resultant of the tingential and radial shears, is obtained with the test-pieces of the form shown by Fig. E.

The following Tables give the results of experiments carried out with test-pieces and holders of the form described :---

TABLE OF THE TANGENTIAL, RADIAL AND COMPOUND SHEARING STRENGTHS OF DOUGLAS FIR SPECIMENS CUT OUT OF THE SAME

Specimen.	Shearing stress per sq. in. in a direction tan- gential to the annular rings.	Specimen	Shearing stress per sq. in. in a direction at right angles to the annular rings.	Specimen.	Compound shears.
No. 1	553	No. 3	560	*No.13	471
No. 2	568	No. 5	484	*No. 14	536
No. 4	441	No. 7	544	No. 16	629
No. 6	555	No. 8	. 480	No. 16	657
No. 10	454	No. 9	436		
No. 11	415	No. 12	480		

BEAM.

TABLE OF THE COMPOUND SHEARING STRENGTHS OF DOUGLAS FIR AND RED PINE SPECIMENS.

1, Do	ouglas Fir.		Red Pine.
Specimen.	Shearing strength per square inch.	Specimen.	Shearing strength per square inch.
' No. 1	802 lbs.	No. 1	648 lbs.
No 2	727 "	No. 2	553 "
No. 3	886 "	No. 3	572 "
No. 4	795 "	No. 4	570 "
No. 5	706 "	No. 5	731 "
No. 6	649 "	No. 6	534 "
2 No. 7	746 "	No. 7	671 "
- No. 8		No. 8	698 "
		No. 9	740 "
		No. 10	757 "

Not being altogether satisfied with these results, as the test-pieces did not seem to be of sufficient size to give results which could be considered of standard practical value, new holders, with spherical seats, were designed, and are shown in Fig. F.



With these holders, tests can now be made upon specimens in which the shearing surface has a width of 8 ins. and a depth limited by the tensile strength of the timber, the maximum shearing area being 96 sq. inches. The web of the specimens is usually about .7 in. in thickness, so that the depth should not exceed .35 $\frac{1}{s}$ t being the tensile and s the shearing strengths in lbs. per sq. in. The depth of the shoulder forming the bearing for the pressure required to produce the shear is about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, and is made of only sufficient sectional area to resist failure by compression, as the deeper the shoulder the greater will be the bending action introduced.

From the Tables giving the results of the shearing experiments, the following inferences may be drawn :

a. The shearing strength of the timbers is much less near the heart than at a distance from the heart.

b. Generally speaking, the shearing strength increases with the weight per cubic foot.

c. The shearing strength increa-es with the density of the annular rings, or rather with the proportion of hard to soft fibre.

d. A failure sometimes occurs, for which it is difficult to find a complete explanation.

For example, the two specimens from Beam X, and designated in the Table by a *, were precisely similar in dimensions and in weight, and also occupied precisely similar positions relatively to the heart in the stick from which they were cut. One of these specimens failed under a shear of 470.24 lbs. per sq. in., and the other under a shear of 301.84 lbs. per sq. in., so that the shearing strength of the latter was more than 35 per cent. less than that of the stronger specimen. A careful examination of the surfaces of fracture showed no visible difference in the specimens, and the only possible conclusion to be drawn seems to be either that one of the specimens might have been drier than the other, and was therefore deficient in the element of water, or that the shoulders of the weaker specimen, at the end at which the failure occurred, were not cut very parallel with each other, and thus the greater part of the load might have been concentrated on one side.

e. As a result of the experiments, the average shearing strength of Douglas Fir in lbs. per square inch is 411.61, 377.14 or 403.605 according as the plane of shear is tangential, at right angles, or oblique to the annular rings.

In practice, therefore, it will be safe to adopt as the average co-efficients of shearing strength for Douglas Fir. 400 lbs. per sq. inch for shears tangential and oblique to the annular rings, and 375 lbs. per sq. inch for shears at right angles to the annular rings.

Note.—The numbers in brackets at the end of the total shears in the following Table correspond to the numbers in the diagrammatic sections, all indicate the position in the stick from which the specimens are taken. The letter H designates a specimen taken from the heart



Fig 146

Table of Shearing Strengths in 10s. of specimens cut out of various Beams.

DOUGLAS FIR.

	Tange	intial.	Rae	dial.	Obli	que.	Av. w'ght in lbs
Beam.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Totai.	Per sq. in.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Per cub. ft.
XI	13,530 (1)	332.94	20,020 (4)	413.40	16,760 (2)	401.22	33 - 59
(Fig. 132.)	16,610 (1)	$404 \cdot 59$	****	******	17,120 (2)	412-41	
	16,170 (1)	375.47	•••••••••••		14,720 (3)	393.41	
	10,200 (5)	370.37			17,820 (3)	428.05	
	(I) 017,11	412.48	•••••••••••••		15,820 (2)	372-01	
	10,440 (1)	400.09	••••		17,630 (3)	360 64	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		19,570 (3)	68-798	
	Average	0.780	Average	= 413.40	Average	= 155.94	
	19,380 (2)	455.31	14,450 (1)	$361 \cdot 23$	16, 156 (3)	394 - 53	35.73
(Fig. 133.)	10,868 (2)	477-24	•••••••••		$19,430^{*}$ (1)	170-24	
	16,660 (2)	$406 \cdot 14$	•••••••••••		12,424* (1)	301.84	
	**** **** *****				21.504 (4)	436-36	
	*****	** *** *** *****	••••••••••••		24,880 (4)	511.41	
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			23,760 (4)	6-98F	
	Average	= 439.56	Average	$= 361 \cdot 23$	Avergan	113.41	
XII	17,970 (1)	433.64	21.300 (2)	457.50	20 340 11	2001.1	42-16
(Fig. 134.)	19,760 11	416.51	91 200 (9)	152.11			0.10
		10 011	16 100 (2)	#1 00B	(1) 000-17	19-111	
			10,100 (4)				
			1(,100 (2)	61.691			
	Average	= 425.07	A verage	= -[38-3]	Average	$26 \cdot 131 - 92$	
VIII	16,984 (3)	462.15	17,886 (1)	464-60			21-81
(Fig. 135.)	14,552 (3)	395-22	16,980 (2)	+0-1+1-			10 10
	15,330 (4)	414.78	14.954 (2)	11.488			
	15,210 (4)	26.601			•		
	17.440 (3)	424-70	11 076 11	355-19	•		
	12.940 (4)	443.79	15 350 (1)	20.732			
	12,860 (4)	428.80	13 960 (9)	06.166			
	19,600 (3)	478-37	14 610 (9)	250.55	••••••••••	· · · · b. : · · · · · · · ·	•••••
	(a) on the	00.011	(7) 010(E1	00. 000	****	·····	** ***********
~	14 1 11050	57 70L m	VERNER	02.0NP ==			-

DOUGLAS FIR-Continued.

	And the second se		A rest of the second seco				
;	Tange	ntial.	Ra	dial.	190	ique.	Av. w'ght in lb
Beam.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Per cub. ft.
XV	19,280 (3)	477-60	15,260 (1)	369-49			36 · 73
(Fig. 136.)	17,176 (3)	423-00	14,165 (1)	401-50	••••••••••••••		
	16,170 (4)	420.00	17,914 (2)	431 - 56	•••••••••••••		
	16,926 (4)	437.40	16,050 (2)	387-31	•••••••••••		
	Average	$= 439 \cdot 50$	Average	97-46			
A VIII.	19,272 (14)	446.55	** ** ** ** ** ** *		15,495 (7)	359 -	
(.) (.) (.) (.)	** *** *** * * * * * * *	•••••••••••	**********		15,600 (8)	6-111-	
	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••			13,120 (9)	-111	
	******		••••••••••••	•••••••••	14,840 (12)	482-5	
					12,595 (13)	402 ·	•
			••••••••••••		17,180 (11)	380.	
					12,500 (8)	389.7	
					11,525 (9)	347-2	
					19,420 (10)	382-1	
	Average	= 446.55			Average	= 400.15	
ALX	16,040 (6)	1.601	14,430 (4)	375-7	14,470 (5)	393-2	38-4
(Fig. 135.)	20,390 (7)	422.6	14,220 (6)	338-9	20,830 (8)	442.	
	18,470 (13)	395-3	14,590 (7)	411.8	17,200 (9)	- 128	
	14,650 (13)	340.	-15,700 (4)	414.6	13.860 (5)	362-7	
-	19,580 (13)	416.5	15,200 (5)	418.5	15,500 (6)	437.6	
	18,865 (7)	-110-					
	(91) A01 (13)	940.8	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		*****		
7.7	A Verage	06-101	Average	06-101 -=	A verage	= 401.3	
12:	Z1,030 (7)	368.5	15,855 (4)	2.922		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
(. r. 15. 1.03.)	20,030 (7)	0.011	14,270 (1)	252.0			
	21,190 (7)	360-4	17,630 (4)	378-2			
	26,050 (7)	1.121	19,040 (4)	330.6			
	A verage	0.101 =	Average	$= 309 \cdot 37$		-	
	15,700 (5)	350	16,840 (1)	291-0	16,050 (1)	282.1	
(114.1.2.1)	17 200 /0/	0.100	14,300 (3)	213-2	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••
	(7) AA0'IT	124.00	10,000 (3)	1.702			************
	aniant	00.000 = 1	Average	27.067 ==			

109

			01.0 DOUG	LAS FIR.	,		
	Tange	ntial.	Rad	lial.	Oblic	que.	Av. w'ght in Ibs
Beam.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Total.	Per sq. in.	Per cub. ft.
XXII (Fig. 141)	14,220 (1) 13,370 (5)	314 - 290 -	$\begin{array}{cccc} 12,175 & (7) \\ 14,630 & (8) \end{array}$	287 • 0 333 • 0	17,150 (9)	- I10	31 33
D	Average	$= 302 \cdot 00$	Average RED PI	= 310·00 NE.	Average	-128 =	
NXXI	20,780	430-22 (1)			13,020 (H)	379-59	33.71
	20,850	431.67 (1)		•	16,600 18,680	314-4	• • • • • • • • • • • •
	18,440	322-3			19,270	354-2	
From 2 ins. x 4	Average	= 392.77			Average	= 303•×5	
ins. plank	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	20,680 (H)	-122	
	••••••		*	* * * * * * * * * * *	21,900 (H) 18 620 (H)	944° 993•	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			18,090 (H)	286.	
					Average	$= 313 \cdot 5$	
			WHITE P	INE.			
XLVIII	22,440 (1)	68-801	12,120 (7)	270-69	14,300 (3)	364-80	31-53
(Fig. 145 and	ZU,500 (Z) 16 160 -1)	16.175	(1) 0:0(11	02.017	14,220 (0) 19,505 (6)	359-95	
(16.045 (2)	317.96			(m) 000607		
	Average	= 382.37	Average	_ 272-99	Average	= 363.68	
			OLD SPRU	CE.			
LVII	12,100 (6)	386-87	12,975 (3)	448.96	8,140 (4)	403.05	28-37
(F'lg. 142A.)		•	11,390 (8)	400.58	9,250 (1) 13,460	02.744	
					16,075 (2)	457-84	
			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	13,200 (9)	$456 \cdot 59$	
					12,480 (5)	322.00	
T.X	Average 16.650 (4)	386.87	Average	-426-32	A verage	= 410.55 292.	
(Fig. 142.)	14,250 (5)	345.4			16,830 (3)	283-	
	16,400 (4)	297.4	****		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	927.6	••••••
LXI	13,100 (3)	329.1	14,800 (12)	460.73	14,000 (12)	436.78	28.6
(Fig. 144.)			14,840 (10)	314-6	12,820 (11)	299-1	
	Average	= 329-1	Average	= 362-44	Average		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

N. B.—I wish to express my acknowledgment of the help given to me by Mr. C. B. Smith, Ma.E., in carrying out many of the experiments and in checking the calculations. I have also been ably assisted by Mr. Withycombe, the foreman of the Laboratories, who has devised many mechanical devices which have greatly facilitated the work.

Se and the 4.2 111













