

## TECHNICAL REPORT

# A STUDY OF AEROMAGNETIC DATA NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA

A. L. KONTIS and G. A. YOUNG

Geomagnetics Branch Marine Surveys Division

## MARCH 1965



GC .743 no. TR-166

U. S. NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHIC OFFICE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20390 Price 70 cents TR-166

## ABSTRACT

A detailed aeromagnetic survey of a 38,000 square mile area off the coast of New England was conducted by the Oceanographic Office in 1957. The survey area contains the continental shelf, slope and rise related to Georges Bank, and the northwest end of the New England-Bermuda seamount chain. Analysis of the survey data indicates that the features included in the group extending from Kelvin to Bear seamounts are highly magnetized, in the order of 0.01 cgs units, and that the seamounts have little sedimentary cover. The nature of the magnetic anomalies over the seamounts extending from Panulirus to Mytilus indicates that these features are composed of a less magnetic material. Based on the correlation between magnetic anomalies and bottom features, it is probable that the survey area contains several seamounts or seaknolis that are at present uncharted. The anomalies over the continental margins are linear in form and reflect structural trends and possible faulting in the basement beneath Georges Bank.

à.F.

#### FOREWORD

The seamount chain extending from Bermuda to Georges Bank is one of the major features of interest in the ocean areas adjacent to the east coast of the United States. The U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office, in its quest for a better understanding of the ocean environment, has conducted airborne and shipboard magnetic surveys covering approximately 70% of this seamount chain. This report presents the results of one of these surveys.

KNOLL

Rear Admiral, U.S. Navy Commander U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office





\*\*

#### CONTENTS

	Pa	ze
I.	INTRODUCTION	L
II.	DATA REDUCTION	+
III.	ZONE THREE ANOMALIES	5
IV.	SEAMOUNT ANOMALIES	7
	A. Zone One Anomalies	3
	B. Zone Two Anomalies	)
	REFERENCES	3

### FIGURES

		]	Page
1.	Location Chart	•	2
2.	Track Chart	•	3
3.	Bathymetric Contour Chart		11
4.	Observed Total Intensity	•	12
5.	Observed Magnetic Inclination	•	13
6.	Residual Horizontal Intensity		14
7.	Anomalous Vertical Intensity		15
8.	Slope Area - Residual Total Intensity	•	16
9.	Kelvin Seamount - Observed Versus Computed Profiles for Two-Dimensional Model		17

#### I. INTRODUCTION

During May 1957, a detailed aeromagnetic survey of a 38,000 square mile area (fig. 1) off Cape Cod was conducted by Project MAGNET. Continuous total magnetic intensity (fig. 4) and inclination data (fig. 5) were recorded with a Vector Airborne Magnetometer (Schonstedt and Irons, 1952), along tracks spaced approximately five miles apart (fig. 2). The flight altitude was 1000 feet, and navigational control, provided by Loran A, was maintained within two miles. This report presents the data from the survey, and an examination of the geologic features which may contribute to the magnetic anomalies.

The survey area covers the northwest end of the New England-Bermuda seamount chain (Northrop et al, 1960) and a portion of the continental margins associated with Georges Bank. Figure 3, based on relatively sparse sounding information, illustrates the general bathymetry. Computations involving the shape of seamounts however, are based on the more valid B.C. 0707N and B.C. 0708N charts. As indicated on figure 3, the survey area is separated into 3 geographic zones, which display diverse magnetic characteristics. Zone 1 is characterized by large amplitude anomalies and includes the seamounts extending from Kelvin to Bear. Zone 2 has relatively small amplitude anomalies and contains the seamounts to the south of Zone 1. Zone 3 generally defines the continental margins and is distinguished by linear anomalies, parallel to the coast.





FIGURE 2

In this report, the Zone 1 anomalies are interpreted with the aid of intensity of magnetization computations and a two-dimensional profile matching technique. In addition, the total magnetic intensity and inclination data associated with the seamounts are used to calculate their respective horizontal and vertical component anomalies (figs. 6 and 7). The difference between the Zone 1 and 2 anomalies is examined and the anomalies of Zone 3 are discussed in terms of regional geology and other geophysical studies of the continental margins.

#### II. DATA REDUCTION

Figures 4 and 5 depict the observed total magnetic intensity (F) and inclination (I) respectively, for the complete survey area. The total magnetic intensity contours (fig. 4) were determined from data scaled directly from the original records, whereas the inclination contours (fig. 5) are a graphically smoothed representation of the measured data. This smoothing was necessary due to noise generated by aircraft motions. No corrections were applied to these charts to remove temporal variation effects.

The residual horizontal intensity ( $H_R$ , Fig. 6) and anomalous vertical intensity ( $Z_A$ , fig. 7) were determined for the seamounts of Zone 1 by calculating the scalar difference between observed and regional components. Using observed total intensity (F) and observed inclination (I), the "observed" components were found

from  $H = F \cos I$  and  $Z = F \sin I$ . Regional component values were determined, in the same manner, from graphically estimated regionals of (F) and (I).

Due to the large angle of inclination, over each seamount, the anomalous vertical intensity  $(Z_A)$  resembles the total magnetic intensity in shape and magnitude, whereas the residual horizontal intensity closely follows the inverse of the inclination pattern.

#### III. ZONE 3 ANOMALIES

The magnetic features (fig. 4) associated with the continental margins (Zone 3 - fig. 3) are linear in nature and form a part of a system of anomalies which extends along the coast, from Florida to Newfoundland (Drake et al, 1963). Seismic refraction studies, north of Cape Hatteras, indicate a major structural system, beneath and parallel to the continental margins, consisting of two sedimentary troughs separated by a basement ridge (Drake et al, 1958). Relative to figure 3, an inner trough is located beneath Georges Bank with sediment up to 12,000 feet in thickness. A basement ridge occurs near and generally parallel to the 100-fathom curve, and an outer trough, with up to 15,000 feet of sediment is located under the continental slope and rise. Drake et al (1963), noting a truncation of basement contours and an offset in the magnetic anomaly pattern near 40°N latitude suggested the existence of a right lateral transcurrent fault along this parallel.

Previous work then, indicates the geologic factors which may contribute to the anomalies over the continental margins. In order to magnify and delineate the magnetic 'trends of Zone 3, the total magnetic intensity (fig. 4) was subjected to a Gram or Chebyshev orthogonal polynomial fitting technique (Van Voorhis and Davis 1964). Specifically, the total intensity along selected track lines, terminated just below Physalia seamount, was fitted with a 5th-degree polynomial. The residual (the difference between the observed intensity and the polynomial curve) was then contoured at a 50-gamma interval (fig. 8). Due to the high degree of the polynomial, the amplitudes of the residuals are quite different from those which would be obtained from removal of an earth's regional field. For the purposes of this report, emphasis is placed only on the trend characteristics as brought out by this procedure.

The trends associated with observed anomalies are designated as A, B and C on figure 8. The trends of the right half of the area strike  $40-45^{\circ}$  east. A and B terminate against the seamount features, and the A continues at a strike of  $70-80^{\circ}$  east along  $40^{\circ}N$  latitude. The apparent offset in A, of about 30 miles, is attributed to the aforementioned transcurrent faulting. Trend C is continuous along the top of the area.

Depth estimates and the general correlation between the direction of the anomaly trends and the basement structural trends reflected

by the 100- and 1000-fathom curves (fig. 8) indicate that the source of the anomalies is in the basement. Anomaly A, referred to in the literature as the "slope anomaly," has been studied in some detail in other areas. Since this feature lies near the basement ridge, King et al (1961) and Drake et al (1963) used profile matching, based on seismically derived topography of the ridge to determine the origin of the anomaly. They concluded that compositional changes within the basement, rather than topography were the principal cause. Watkins and Geddes (1964) related the basement structure to an island arc system and attribute the anomaly to both intrusive and extrusive rocks withir the basement.

The Oceanographic Office has begun a detailed aeromagnetic survey of the Atlantic coastal area from Maine to Florida. Questions concerning the nature of the coastal anomalies and their relationship to the continental margins may be more readily answered with the data from this survey.

#### IV. SEAMOUNT ANOMALIES

Whereas the features over Zone 3 are related to deep seated basement phenomena, the anomalies seaward of the slope are directly correlative with bottom topography. The features over the seamounts of Zone 1 display total magnetic intensity anomalies (fig. 4) of 1000-1400 gammas, and the anomalies over the Zone 2 seamounts range from 100-200 gammas. The origin of the seamounts is believed to be

related to fracture with associated volcanism.

Oceanographic Office surveys which illustrate that anomalies of varying amplitude are to be found over other features of **t**he New England-Bermuda chain include a shipboard marine survey of the seamounts from Kelvin southeast to approximately 34<sup>0</sup>25'N, 56<sup>0</sup>40'W (Walczak, 1964). In addition, aeromagnetic surveys have been conducted of an area northwest of Bermuda (Davis and Heckelman, 1964) and of Plantagenet Bank (Young and Kontis, 1964).

#### A. Zone One Anomalies

Since the shape of the seamount contours (fig. 3) resemble their respective magnetic contours (fig. 4) it is likely that these seamounts represent the general configuration of the volcanic source material. To investigate this further, a two-dimensional model computation (Heirtzler et al, 1962) was applied to Kelvin Seamount.

The use of a two-dimensional technique implies that the length of the anomaly being considered is much greater than its width. Since the length-to-width ratio of the Kelvin anomaly is only about 3:1, some error is introduced. According to Press and Ewing (1952) however, the error is small.

Figure 9 shows the best fit obtained from matching observed profiles ( $F_R$ ,  $Z_A$ , and  $H_R$ ) across the peak of Kelvin seamount with profiles derived from a theoretical source body. The trapezoidal model is essentially a cross section of the observed topography,

and the calculated anomalies are the result of a total magnetization (induced plus remanent) in the same direction as the present inducing field. The computed and observed total intensity anomalies agree very well, and the computed  $H_R$  and  $Z_A$  exhibit the same general characteristics of the "observed" components, except for a slight displacement to the south. In order to match amplitudes, an apparent susceptibility ( $K_{APP}$ ) of 0.016 cgs or its equivalent of 0.0086 cgs units in apparent magnetization was required. This relatively large value is explained in terms of a large component of remanent magnetization acting together with the induced magnetization. Although the solution of figure 9 is not unique, the model is reasonable and indicates that there is little sedimentary cover over the volcanics.

Using another approach, intensity of magnetization calculations were made for Kelvin with the three-dimensional technique of Vacquier (1962). Computations were made using both airborne and shipboard marine data, and the results (Van Voorhis and Walczak, 1963) indicate an intensity of magnetization of 0.01 cgs units, with a large component of remanence in a direction different from the present inducing field.

It is likely that the other seamounts of Zone 1 are magnetized to this order of magnitude. A hand method (Rikitake, 1952) which represents the volcanoes as right circular cones, was used to obtain rough approximations of the magnetization of Bear, Physalia, Retriever,

Picket, and Massey seamounts. These computations resulted in apparent magnetizations of 0.007 - 0.010 cgs units for these features.

#### B. Zone Two Anomalies

Relative to the Zone 1 anomalies, the features (fig. 4) over the Zone 2 seamounts are quite small (100-200 gammas). Although the seamounts in Zone 2 are at a deeper depth, this is not sufficient to explain the difference in anomaly magnitude. The Zone 2 seamounts are interpreted as representing an auxiliary fracture zone with a more acidic phase of volcanism than that of the Zone 1 seamounts. It is noted that the bottom feature associated with the 370-gamma anomaly located at  $39^{\circ}17'N$ ,  $64^{\circ}33'W$  (fig. 3) was found to have a peak sounding of 2380 fathoms. The magnitude of this anomaly is characteristic of the Zone 1 features.

Upon comparing figure 4 with the bathymetry (fig. 3) it should be realized that figure 3 is based on rather sparse sounding information, and in some cases, bottom features may be displaced or missing entirely. Since each charted feature has a corresponding magnetic anomaly, it is probable that small seamounts or knolls exist in those areas displaying closed magnetic anomalies.

## NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA BATHYMETRIC CONTOUR CHART

CONTOUR INTERVAL 200 FATHOMS



FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4

#### NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY-1957

OBSERVED MAGNETIC INCLINATION

Contour Interval 12 Minutes



FIGURE 5

#### NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY-1957

RÉSIDUAL HORIZONTAL INTENSITY Contour Interval 100 Gammas

Magnetic contours with flight lines



#### NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY-1957

ANOMALOUS VERTICAL INTENSITY Contour Interval 100 Gammas Magnetic contours with flight lines





Contour Interval 50 Gammas



FIGURE 9 -KELVIN SEAMOUNT-OBSERVED VERSUS COMPUTED PROFILES FOR TWO DIMENSIONAL MODEL

#### REFERENCES

- Davis, T. M., and A. J. Heckelman, An experimental MAD survey, <u>U. S.</u> Naval Oceanographic Office TR-164, (CLASSIFIED) 1964.
- Drake, C. L., M. Ewing and J. H. Sutton, Continental margins and geosynclines, the east coast of North America north of Cape Hatteras, Phys. Chem. Earth, 3, 110-198, 1958.
- Drake, C. L., J. Heirtzler, and J. Hirshman, Magnetic anomalies off Eastern North America, J. Geophys. <u>Res.</u>, 68, 5259-5275, 1963.
- Heirtzler, J. R., G. Peter, M. Talwani, and E. G. Zurflueh, Magnetic anomalies caused by two-dimensional structure: their computation by digital computers and their interpretation, <u>Lamont Geol.</u> <u>Obs. TR-No. 6 CU-6-62 Nonr-Geology</u>, 1962.
- King, E. R., I. Zietz, and W. J. Dempsey, The significance of a group of aeromagnetic profiles off the eastern coast of North America, <u>U. S. Geol. Surv., Profess. Paper 424-D</u>, 299-303, 1961.
- Northrop, J., R. A. Frosch, and R. Frassetto, Bermuda New England seamount arc, <u>Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., 73</u>, 587-594, 1962.
- Press, F., and M. Ewing, Magnetic anomalies over oceanic structures, <u>Trans. Am. Geophys. Union</u>, 33, 349-355, 1952.
- Rikitake, T., On magnetization of volcanoes, <u>Bull. of the Earthquake</u> Res. Inst., 30, 71-81, 1952.
- Schonstedt, E. O., and H. R. Irons, NOL vector airborne magnetometer type 2A, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union, 36, 25-41, 1955.
- Van Voorhis, G., and T. M. Davis, Magnetic anomalies north of Puerto Rico: trend removal with orthogonal polynomials, J. Geophys. Res., 69, Dec. 15, 1964.
- Van Voorhis, G., and J. Walczak, Summary of magnetization computations for Kelvin seamount, preliminary report, <u>U. S. Naval</u> Oceanographic Office I.M.R. M-8-63, (unpublished manuscript) 1963.
- Walczak, J., A marine magnetic survey of the New England seamount chain, U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, TR-159, 1963.
- Watkins, J. S., and W. H. Geddes, Magnetic anomaly and possible orogenic significance of geologic structure of the Atlantic shelf, presented at the 45th annual meeting AGU, April 1964.
- Young, G. A., and A. L. Kontis, A study of aeromagnetic component data - Plantagenet Bank, <u>U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, TR-144</u>, 1964.

<ol> <li>Magnetism, Terrestrial Nev England Semount Area</li> <li>Rev England Semount Area</li> <li>Ragnit Start, Nev England Semount Area</li> <li>A. L. Kontis and 0. A. Toung</li> <li>A. Toung</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Magnetian, Terrettial New Expland Semount Area</li> <li>New Expland Semount Area</li> <li>New Expland Magnetic Sondo - New Expland Semount Area</li> <li>Authors: A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young</li> <li>Authors: A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>U. S. Naval Oceanographic office A SUTOY OF ANDAMONSTIC ANDA- NEX DOUGLAND SEMMONSTA ANDA- NEX DOUGLAND SEMMONSTARD, by A. L. Konsta and A. N. Young, March 1955. 13 9. J. Including 9 fige.</li> <li>(TR-J66) B. J. Including 9 fige.</li> <li>TR-J66) B. J. Including 0 a de- talled acromagnetic aurevy con- ducted by Project aurevy con- ducted by Project aurevy con- ducted by Project aurevy con- ducted by Project aureview.</li> <li>(SOO aquare mile area of the const of the bold of Interal- tion and vertical Interality are given- tion events, and our fitting tech- inques.</li> </ul>	U. S. Mawal Oceanographic office A surve of AstromAmeric Arga- Na and A subsommeric Arga- A. L. houts and G. A. Young, Americ 1955. 13 P. Including 9 figo. The survey of Astro- 1955. 13 P. Including 9 figo. The survey of Astro- tic A survey, con- tained by Frugets and a de- tained by Frugets and a de- dated by Frugets and Astro- distromatic and a de- dated by Frugets and Astro- aday including a differential and workford and and attended and workford and and attended informa- and and the order of the survey and a differential and workford and and attended informa- and and the order of the astro- aday including and and attended informa- and workford and and attended of the survey and a differential protect and a differential activity and survey and a differential activity and survey and a differential activity and survey and and and and attended of survey attivity and and a differential protectial and and and attended and survey attivity activity and and and and attended and attended protectial and and attended and survey attivity attended and attended and attended attivity activity and and and and attended attended and survey attivity attended and attended attended attended attended attivity attended and survey attivity attended attended attended attended attended attended attivity attended attended attended attended attended attended atten
<ol> <li>Magnetian, Perrestrial hev England Seamourt Area</li> <li>Bev England Seamourt Area</li> <li>Prites A Shudy of Aero- segretion Englastic Aero- angestic Englast</li> <li>Prites A Shudy of Aero- tangestic Englast</li> <li>Prites A Study of Aero- tangestic Data</li> <li>Autors A. I. Kontis and Gamouti Area</li> <li>A. Young</li> <li>A. Young</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Magnetiam, Terrestrial Nev England Semeount Area</li> <li>Nev England Semeount Area - Magnetica</li> <li>Trute: A Study of Aero- Magnetica</li> <li>Trute: A Study of Aero- magnetic fait. Nev England</li> <li>Arthores A. 1. Kontis and G. A. Young</li> <li>M. Paulo</li> </ol>
U. S. Moval Oceanographic Office RM RELAND SEWAGNETCI AMA- RM LECTAR SEWAGNETCI AMA- MALL Kontle and C. A. Young, March GG5. JB P., Including 9 fig. (FR-166) Threates the revealed of a de- thilad acromagnetic aurevy, con- ducted by Proylest MADNET, of a 35,000 square mile area off the coast of New ZMADNET of a 35,000 square mile area fitter- indreted by Froylest and Cour- dancted by Froylest and Cour- dancted by Froylest add vertical Interasity are advertical Interasity are preted with the aid of Interasity preted with the aid of Inter- inguest.	U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office Nature Constantion (2010) (a) SUDYOR ARBANOUNT ARA), by A.I. Kontis and G. A. Young, March 1965, JB p., including 9 figs. (Tra-166) p., including 9 figs. (Tra-166) p. including 9 figs. (Tra-166) p. of a de- traited acromagnetic auray, con- ducted by Project MARTS, of a de- traited acromagnetic auray, con- ducted by Project MARTS, of a JB 000 aquare mile area off the const of New Zahan, Contaur Charts of Ne total magnetic inter- sity, inclusion, and horizontal and vertical intensity are given. The argoric aurality are fitting ten- miques.



Title: A Study of Aero-magnetic Data - New England Seamount Area Title: A Study of Aero-magnetic Data - New England Seamount Area New England Seamount Area -Authors: A. L. Kontis and Authors: A. L. Kontis and Magnetism, Terrestrial --New England Seamount Area New England Seamount Area Magnetism, Terrestrial --New England Seamount Area G. A. Young G. A. Young Magnetism Magnetism TR-166 TR-166 ÷ , 11. 111. Ä ci. -1 111. thild incrementation the network, con-ducted by Project MAIRT, of a 35000 square mila area of the common of the Tagland. Contextre-common of the Tagland. Contextre-tary, inclusion, man horizontal and vertical intensity are given. The magnetic axamiler are inter-preted with the still of intensity of preted with the still of intensity of preted with and wild of intensity of magnetic communities. charts of the ford, magnetic inten-estry, inclination, and horizontal averical intensity are given. The magnetic anomalies are inter-preted with the aid of intensity of A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young, March magnetization computations, profile matching, and curve fitting tech-A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young, March 1965. 18 p., including 9 figs. Presents the results of a de-U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office A STUDY OF AEROMAGNETIC DATA-NEW ENCLAND SEAMOUNT AREA, by Presents the results of a de-U. S. Navel Oceanographic Office A STUDY OF AEROMAGNETIC DATA-NEW ENCIAND SEAMOUNT AREA, by tailed aeromagnetic survey, con-ducted by Project MAGNET, of a 38,000 square mile area off the 18 p., including 9 figs. coast of New England. Contour TR-166) TR-166) daves. 1965. Title: A Study of Aero-magnetic Data - New England Seamount Area Title: A Study of Aero-magnetic Deta - New England Seamount Area Authors: A. L. Kontis and Authors: A. L. Kontis and New England Seamount Area Magnetism, Terrestrial --New England Seamount Area New England Seamount Area Magnetism, Terrestrial --New England Seamount Area G. A. Young G. A. Young Magnetism Magnetism TR-166 TR-166 ä ÷ ÷ e. ÷. H. 111. å 17. 17 111. The magnetic anomalies are inter-preted with the aid of intensity of A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young, March 1965. 18 p., including 0 41--sity, inclination, and horizontal and vertical intensity are given. The megnetic anomalies are inter-preted with the aid of intensity of magnetization computations, profile matching, and curve fitting tech-A. L. Kontis and G. A. Young, March charts of the total magnetic inten-sity, inclination, and horizontal and vertical intensity are given. magnetization computations, profile matching, and curve fitting techcharts of the total magnetic inten-Presents the results of a de-U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office A STUDY OF AEROMAGNETIC DATA-NEW ENGLAND SEAMOUNT AREA, by Presents the results of a de-U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office A STUDY OF AEROMAGNETIC DATA-NEW ENCIAND SEAMOUNT AREA, by tailed aeromagnetic survey, con-ducted by Project MAGNET, of a tailed aeromagnetic survey, con-ducted by Project MAGNET, of a 38,000 square mile area off the coast of New England. Contour 18 p., including 9 figs. 38,000 square mile area off the coast of New England. Contour (TR-166) (TR-166) alques. 1965.

.augues.

niques.





