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## KEY TO PLAN


1 = First Syracusan Counter-work . . ", p. x.
2=Second ,, ", . . . xi.
$3=$ Third $\quad, \quad$, . . . . ch. 4.
$4=\tau$ à $\tau \rho l a \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau 6 \pi \in \delta \alpha$. . . . . ch. 43, 4.


## INTRODUCTION

§ 1. THE SIEGE-WORKS OF THE ATHENIANS AT SYRACUSE BMFORE THE ARRIVAL OF GYLIPPUS ${ }^{1}$

As soon as the Athenians had obtained possession of 414 e.c. Epipolae, they fortified a point known as Labdalum, which looked from the north side of the cliff towards Megara. From Labdalum they marched down Epipolae towards the walls of the city, searching for a site suitable for the building of a central fort which might serve them as a base of operations while engaged in circumvallating the city. Presently they found themselves in a broad open table-land which descended by a barely perceptible incline to the walls of Achradina.

In selecting the site for this central fortress, the Athenian generals had to look for a point which lay about half-way between the Great Harbour and the northern sea-since to those limits their projected lines were to be carried northward and southward. The fort must not be very near to the city itself;
${ }_{1}$ For the convenience of junior students and of those who prefer to avoid controversy, I have relegated to the end of the section the arguments on which these remarks are based.
but, at the same time, the question of the distance to be covered with their lines was, of course, of extreme importance. They fixed on a site due south of Trogilus, and distant from the north coast about a mile and a half or rather less. Reckoning together the wall which would have to be built on the southern cliff from the central fort and that which would run from the southern cliff to the Great Harbour, about the same distance would have to be covered south of the fort-that is to say about a mile and a half. This point was thus north of the Portella del Fusco, and a short distance from the spot at which the southern wall would touch the edge of the cliff. In this place, then, they built a large round fort-or circle-protected in front by an outwork.

Soon, when the fortress stood finished, they began building out from it towards Trogilus. Meantime the Syracusans knew well that the object of the enemy was to hem them in, and they determined, by building a counter-work, to prevent him from reaching the Great Harbour. The besieged knew better than the besiegers that safe communication with the harbour was to the Athenian a matter of vital importance. This safe communication he should not obtain without a struggle. Now he was at present thinking only of his communication with his. naval station at Thapsus. Accordingly the Syracusans built out a wall towards the Portella del Fusco, intending to carry it immediately south of and past the Athenian 'circle.' On the north side the wall was protected by a palisade, and near the east end there was a door in the wall affording communication between the north and south.

But the Athenians, after biding their opportunity,
attacked, captured and destroyed both palisade and wall. They then realised that, in order to secure communication with the sea, the southern wall was more needed. than the northern. They therefore ceased building north of the 'circle' and 'proceeded to fortify the cliff above the marsh.' That is to say, they filled up with a wall the short space between the 'circle' and the Portella del Fusco. It is not possible to ascertain the exact point on the cliff at which this short piece of wall ended.

The Syracusans made a second effort to prevent the Athenians from reaching the Great Harbour. It was now useless to build along the cliff as they had previously done. Nor did they choose the middle level above the marsh, apparently because they expected that the Athenian works would reach it before they could build far enough to check them. Starting from the city they dug a trench across the marsh itself and towards the Anapus, building as before a palisade on the north side. But this work also was captured by the Athenians, but only at the cost of Lamachus' life. During the battle, the Athenian fleet, having left Thapsus, entered the Great Harbour.

And now from the Portella del Fusco Nicias built a double wall towards the coast. But why was it double? We can hardly doubt that Nicias had Athens and the Piraeus in mind, and that, following that model, he wanted to render safe the conveyance of provisions to the upper walls. When the fleet left Thapsus, he seems to have modified his plans to some extent and to have supposed that the northern wall might safely be left a mere fragment until he was quite secure on the south. He must have con-
sidered also, that-should the Syracusans occupy the Olympieium-a double wall in the low ground would be absolutely necessary to protect his army against simultaneous attacks directed from the village and from the city.

The account given above of the siege-works differs in some respects from all those hitherto published. The difficulties are entirely due to the careless description of Thucydides, who seems to have forgotten that his readers would not know the ground, with which he was himself familiar.

1. Where was the ки́клоs? Thucydides says at Syce, which tells us nothing. On the middle of the slope of Epipolae, say Arnold, Grote, Stahl, Holm and Freeman. Near the southern cliffs, says Leake, who unfortunately arrives at his conclusion by an entirely wrong roate. But the conclusion appears to be right. (a) Those who are opposed to it urge that the кúk $\lambda$ os was to be the central position of the Athenian lines, which were to run north and south from it. But this argument appears to me to be in favour of Leake. In the Seventh Book Thucydides mentions $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi \eta$ many times, but never to denote only the northern wall and that which joined the кúk ${ }^{\prime}$ os to the edge of the cliff. He means by $\tau$ à $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ either forts, or the double wall, or the lines generally. He evidently did not think $\tau$ à $\tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \eta$ the right expression for the two short pieces of single wall. Now, in order that the кúk $\lambda$ os may be approximately in the centre of the Athenian $\tau \in i \in \eta$, it is clear that if the кv́к $\lambda$ os is to be placed north or north-west of the Portella del Fusco, it must also be near the cliff ; otherwise the distance to be built over will he considerably greater at
the south than at the north of the кv́кдos. Of itself, however, the argument that the кúк $\lambda_{0}$ must have been the central point is not of much weight.
(b) In vi. 101, 1 comes a statement which causes great difficulty to those who place the кv́к $\lambda_{0}$ on the middle of Epipolae. Thucydides says àmd $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ки́кк $\lambda o v$ étcíXı\{ov $\tau \dot{\tau} \nu \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \dot{\tau} \nu \dot{v} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda o v s$, and then further on he
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o ́ v$ mean ? 'They fortified a point on the cliff,' according to Arnold, Grote, and Freeman, and, owing to the distance which they assume between кv́клоs and $\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o ́ s$, they naturally find $\dot{a} \pi \delta \quad \tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda o v ~ d i f f i c u l t ~$ to explain. Subsequently, they say, Nicias must have built a wall between this new fort on the $\kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o s_{s}$ and the кv́кдos. But Thucydides says nothing about such a
 mean 'they fortified the cliff,' and cannot mean 'built a wall along the cliff,' as Classen says. So Stahl reads


Surely the words mean 'they built a wall on the cliff.' The expression is quite natural as soon as we place the $\kappa$ ќк $\lambda_{o s}$ just north of the Portella del Fusco; for a wall built from the кúкגos will thus be on the cliff. This short piece of wall is afterwards still more accurately called $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho \delta \partial s \tau \delta \nu \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o ́ v$; but so short comparatively was the wall built 'to the cliff' that it could also be called a wall ' on the cliff.'
(c) The nearer the кúkגos to the south cliff, the easier would communication be with the Great Harbour. The fact that the southern wall was double sufficiently shows that Nicias knew that he would have to be careful about this matter. It is no objection that he began building to the north first ; for, when no walls as yet existed, he may quite well have thought that he was even more exposed to attack on that side, and at first he probably contemplated keeping a naval station at Thapsus with a view to his connection with Catana and
the south of Italy. Some modern writers, as Holm and Fr. Müller, apparently struck with the importance of the southern communication, while placing the кíкरोos in the centre of Epipolae, represent the wall which connected the кúк $\lambda o s$ with the кр $\eta \mu \nu$ ós as double, like that from the кр $\eta \mu \nu$ ós to the Harbour ; but there is absolutely no authority for this in the text, and very much that is against it.
2. Where was the first Syracusan counter-work? Göller, Dunbar, Didot and Stahl place it north of the ки́к $\lambda$ os ; while Leake, Arnold, Grote, Holm, Classen and Freeman place it at the south. Thucydides says merely

(a) It is unnecessary to demonstrate that $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \theta \in \nu$ can mean 'south of' If we could be sure that this is the meaning, the matter would be settled. Freeman indeed is not clear what the words mean. On p. 664 of his third vol., he says it means 'on a level lower than that of the Athenian central fort': on p. 668 he commends Grote for being 'the first to see, in opposition to both Arnold and Leake, that $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \theta \in \nu$ тov̂ кúк $\lambda o v$ did not mean on a lower level than the Athenian fort, but lower down on the cliff,' i.e. south of the fort. Those who place the Syracusan work north of the 'circle' make $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ mean 'on lower ground'; but it is a grave objection to this explanation that the land rises but very slightly between the walls of Syracuse and the site of the Athenian lines. (See also note on c. 2, 4.) It simplifies matters very much if we can take $\kappa$ á ${ }^{\prime} \omega$ to mean 'south' and äve 'north' in the narrative of the siege.
(b) The Syracusans knew well that they had before all things to prevent the Athenians from reaching the Great Harbour. Else, why was the second counter-work built across the marsh and not rather north of the $\kappa$ v́к $\lambda_{\text {os }} 3$ The most effectual way to carry out their purpose was to build in the first instance towards the Portella del Fusco.
(c) If the Syracusans built the first counter-work on the north side of the кúкגos, why did the Athenians leave off building at the north wall after destroying the counter-work? They would have had every reason to press on in that direction to prevent the Syracusans from repeating their attempt to traverse the northern wall. But if the Syracusans built between the кúкдos and the кр $\eta \mu \nu$ ós, Nicias had good reason for building at once across this space ; just as afterwards, when he had turned the Syracusans out of the marsh, he at once proceeded to build across it.
3. There is a serious difficulty connected with the wall of Gylippus. It is in the words (vii. 7, 1) ai $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$

 Grote and Freeman say that when Gylippus had built past the unfinished northern wall, "he began to work at the extreme point of Euryelus and then built eastward till he reached the wall already begun at the other end." But what object had Gylippus in beginning anew at Euryelus instead of continuing to build westwards? To secure the road up the hill, says Freeman. This reason is insufficient. (a) There would be a gap of at least a mile and a half between the western extremity of Gylippus' incomplete wall and the point on Euryelus at which this supposed new start was made. While Gylippus was building eastwards there was nothing whatever to prevent Nicias from passing through this gap and cutting off his communication with the wall at the east. It was at least as likely that Nicias would do this as that Athenian reinforcements would attempt to come up by the west road before Gylippus could complete his original wall. (b) In all other cases in which either side made a change in the scheme of building, Thucydides tells us of it. But he says nothing whatever about a second wall of Gylippus built to meet the first. The plan is invented by modern writers and attributed
to Gylippus in order to explain the one word $\mu^{\prime}$ ' $\chi \rho \iota$. Is it not far more probable that $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ Eujpv́n $\lambda o v$ has dropped out of the text before $\tau 0 \hat{v} \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \alpha \rho \sigma i o v$ than that Thucydides has forgotten to tell us of this very important change in Gylippus' plans?

## § 2. NICIAS. A SKETCH.

John Stuart Mill was an ardent admirer of Grote. In a remarkable passage of his Representative Goverument, he acutely observes that the adoption of an ingenious scheme for the parliamentary representation of minorities would insure greater political influence to the elite of the instructed classes. ' Their abilities would probably draw to them more than their numerical share of the actual administration of government ; as the Athenians did not confide responsible public functions to Cleon or Hyperbolus (the employment of Cleon at Pylos and Amphipolis was purely exceptional), but Nicias, and Theramenes, and Alcibiades were in constant employment both at home and abroad.' And in another passage, in his review of Grote's history, he says: 'The Demos (of Athens) may be alternately likened to the commonly received idea of a man, a woman, or a child, but never a clown or a boor. Right or wrong, wise or foolish, Athenians are never ámaídevtoc; theirs are never the errors of untaught or unexercised minds.' It has been the fashion, since Grote's work revolutionised the study of Greek history, for writers to express dissent from the view which Thucydides presents to us of Nicias. Even those who are most
enthusiastic in praise of the general accuracy of Thucydides are forced to admit, when they come to Nicias, that here at least the historian has either wilfully misled us, or was himself blinded by partiality.

Every reader of Grote knows his eloquent protest against the sympathetic remark with which Thucydides dismisses Nicias ${ }^{1}$ : 'Our great historian-after devoting two immortal books to this expedition -after setting forth emphatically both the glory of its dawn and the wretchedness of its close, with a dramatic genius parallel to the Edipus Tyrannus of Sophoklês-when he comes to recount the melancholy end of the two commanders, has no words to spare for Demosthenês (far the abler officer of the two, who perished by no fault of his own), but reserves his flowers to strew on the grave of Nikias, the author of the whole calamity-"What a pity! Such a respectable and religious man!"' One can almost see the historian working himself into a fine democratic frenzy, and then piling up his lofty sentence only to throw that stone at it just when it is complete:-‘Such a respectable and religious man!'

Undoubtedly Demosthenes was far the abler officer of the two. Thucydides was the last man to be duped, and he shows clearly, by the narrative which he gives of the exploits of Demosthenes, that he knew him to be an officer of quite unusual ability. Yet he reserves his flowers to strew on the grave of Nicias. His verdict therefore is thought to be

 $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa \in \sigma \theta a \iota \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ és $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu \in \nu 0 \mu \sigma \mu \notin \nu \eta \nu \quad \in \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \in v \sigma \iota \nu$. We are not here concerned with either the reading or the construction of this sentence.
unjust. The next generation, we may suppose, or the second or the third generation after Thucydides will reverse his decision. So we come to the time of Aristotle, with whom, as with Mill, history is but the servant of philosophy. He will estimate, perhaps more accurately than a mere historian could have done, the real value of a man's work. In public opinion, at any rate, the statesmen of the fifth century before Christ will have assumed their proper places. Contemporary historians are notoriously unsafe guides in matters of opinion. But the public opinion of a century later counts for something. And what does Aristotle ${ }^{1}$ tell us? 'With regard to Nicias nearly everybody admits that he was not only a man of noble birth and character (кa入òs $\kappa_{\alpha}^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ aOós), but also a statesman, and that his public career was entirely worthy of his ancestors.' It is clear that Nicias is not to be condemned off-hand as a fool.

The undertakings of Nicias outside Attica previous to the Sicilian Expedition, though they were of considerable service to Athens, were certainly not of a very arduous nature. Ever since 427 b.c. he was, as Mill puts it, in constant employment both at home and abroad. That is to say, he was almost continuously elected war-minister for a succession of years nearly as long as that during which Pericles had continuously held office. In 427 he had done good service by occupying the island of Minoa which lay off Megara. This proceeding would render the Piraeus more secure against a surprise

[^0]like that attempted two years before. In the following year he went in command of a considerable force to Melos, and, if we may trust the statement of Diodorus, he actually laid siege to the island. Anyhow he failed to gain possession of it in spite of his large fleet and army. On his return to Greece, he laid waste the territory of Tanagra, and then sailed home. More than one writer has remarked that this expedition is in every respect similar to that which Pericles made against Epidaurus just before the outbreak of the plague (ii. 56).

It is impossible to defend the behaviour of Nicias in his dispute with Cleon about the expedition to Pylus. Grote abundantly proves that Cleon was in the right. Nevertheless the conduct of Nicias was in accordance with his principles, and a large party in the assembly undoubtedly believed him to be in the right. In the first place Nicias was exasperated that an opportunity of ending the war on favourable terms had just been lost through Cleon's blustering. While the Lacedaemonians were being blockaded in Sphacteria, they had sent envoys to Athens to propose peace: but, owing to the extravagant demands made of them on Cleon's proposal, they had returned to Pylus without success. Grote himself admits that Cleon was to blame here; that 'there was every reason for trying what could be done by negotiation,' and that 'the step, by which Kleon abruptly broke off such hopes (the hopes of peace), was decidedly mischievous.' The farmers of Attica were particularly galled by the protraction of the war, and they formed probably the most numerous body in the aggregate of minorities which supported Nicias. They doubtless flocked into Athens in
considerable numbers when the request for reinforcements arrived from Demosthenes, and jeered at Cleon for having caused the unceremonious dismissal of the Spartan envoys. The apparent inconsistency which so often surprises us in the action of the Athenian assembly not infrequently disappears when we recollect that the members attending and voting in the assembly on different days differed very much. We know that Nicias himself always felt some anxiety whether he would at an important meeting be able to command a majority of the voters present.

There is another circumstance which goes far to explain both the attitude of Nicias throughout this transaction and the favourable light in which Thucydides sets his conduct. The occupation of Pylus was the design of Demosthenes. Now that officer was the chosen general of the party which desired a more active policy outside Attica. He was the hero of the brilliant and risky Aetolian expedition which had been the first decided reversal of the policy of Pericles. Both Thucydides and Nicias firmly believed that the policy of Demosthenes was wrong. That is why Thucydides 'has no words to spare' for that gallant officer when he perished by no fault of his own in Sicily. Moreover the design of seizing Pylus was closely connected with the burning question of Athenian intervention in Sicily. The scheme for conquering Sicily-a scheme as ingenious and as impossible as the plan of Rasselas for escaping from the happy valley with the aid of a flying-machinehad been already started in the lifetime of Pericles. Gustav Gilbert believes with good reason that, when Pericles repeatedly warned his countrymen against dangerous enterprises, he had uppermost in his
thoughts the dream of Sicilian conquest. Unhappily Pericles left to Athens maxims instead of men; and Nicias was not strong enough, either by natural ability or by acquired influence, to guide Athenian ambition in safe paths.

Not that the permanent occupation of Pylus was a measure of which Pericles would certainly have disapproved. On the contrary, it was in accordance with the programme which he himself had sketched out before war was declared. But in the mind of Nicias the occupation of Pylus just at that time was closely associated with the dangerous scheme for invading Sicily ; it seemed to bring the invasion of Sicily, as we say, within the range of practical politics. Should the occupation of Pylus prove a success, the extreme party would turn it to account as an argument in favour of aggressive measures. And in the result, when the post was permanently secured and the Spartans had been brought in triumph to Athens, whereas Cleon and his party viewed the late events only as an encouragement to prosecute the war with vigour, to double the sum payable by the allies, to negotiate for an alliance with Argos, and to attempt the recovery of the supremacy by land, Nicias and his party, on the contrary, looked upon the possession of the prisoners as a guarantee that peace was now near, and as an encouragement to work hard for peace.

We know, from the experience of our own times, that frequently those political and religious parties are the bitterest enemies, which, while they are in substantial agreement on most essential matters, differ only about mere details-about the desirability of making a measure, of which the advantage is, in the
abstract and apart from present circumstances, admitted by all parties, a test question at the present time. That was the situation with regard to Pylus. Nicias would admit that the occupation of a position on the Laconian coast was most desirable; at any rate, when the fortification of Pylus had been justified by success, he himself eagerly adopted a similar plan for annoying the enemy. But he would fight tooth and nail against any plan which brought the Sicilian expedition nearer.

Perhaps Nicias was quite wrong: but his action was not capricious, nor was it dictated by his personal dislike of Cleon. It was rational, and in accordance with principles which, as both Nicias and Thucydides believed, would have been approved by Pericles, who, after all, as Aristotle at length saw, had been equally in the wrong.

One peculiarity in the character of Nicias stands out very prominently in all his dealings with Cleon. It is a quality on which we must dwell for a moment, as it greatly influenced the will of Nicias when he was in Sicily. The comic poets of course caricatured him on the stage, and a very poor creature they made of him. In the Eiquites, which was produced the year after the affair of Pylus, Nicias, Demosthenes, and Cleon are represented as the slaves of Demos. Nicias is utterly terrified of Cleon. He suggests suicide or desertion to the enemy as the only possible means of escape from his bullying. At the same time, of all forms of demise, he would much prefer the death of Themistocles. Two remarkable characteristics of Nicias are here satirised-his strong dislike of the new school of demagogues, and his ambition to leave behind him a reputation like that
of the older school,-the reputation of a profound politician. To this ambition, which Aristotle's remark proves to have been at least partially realised, we shall have to refer presently. That he was extremely sensitive to the attacks of demagogues is evident from the language which he is represented as using to Demosthenes in the debate on the question of abandoning the siege of Syracuse. Seven years after the quarrel with Cleon, he even combined with Alcibiades in order to rid the city of a demagogue. His sensitiveness in this matter doubtless laid him open to the unjust suspicion of personal cowardice. It should seem that in reality he regarded the blustering demagogue of the period as a noisome pestilence which made him lose his temper. In consequence of this weakness, Nicias sometimes made a poor figure in the assembly when any considerable section of his supporters was not present, or did not go with him ; while at other times he was unable to do more than hold his own. This sensitiveness was not wholly irrational. It was due to intense regret that the old decorum which had marked the proceedings of the assembly in the days when Pericles developed his despotism of eloquence was dying out through the violence of the new demagogues. For this change in the character of the debates Cleon alone was responsible. Thanks to his shrewish tongue the discussions too often degenerated into a mere wrangle between rival speakers. In these disputes Nicias became angry and personal: he had nothing like the reserve and restraint of Pericles, who was often enough attacked, but never lost control either of himself or of the situation. That Nicias was in
the right in this matter must be conceded. . He represented here 'the clite of the instructed classes'; whereas Cleon was not even alove playing 'the clown or the boor' in a spirit utterly opposed to the hitherto dignified and educated character of the Athenian Demos. It is not without reason that Thucydides makes Cleon extol ignorance. There was really very much about Cleon to cause both Nicias and the historian, and indeed all the celite of the instructed classes, to detest him as the representative of the new eloquence and the new ignorance. Cleon was at the head of the small but noisy knot of


In the same summer, and shortly after this unfortunate encounter, Nicias sailed from the Piraeus with a very considerable force and not only ravaged the eastern coasts of Peloponnese, but established a permanent garrison at Methana between Epidaurus and Troezen, thus carrying out in his own way the policy advocated by Pericles. It appears that Nicias was entrusted with special powers on this occasion, and there is not much doubt that he hoped to show Demosthenos and Cleon how that policy could be pursued without mortgaging the safety of Athens. This proceeding of Nicias lends additional point to the jests of Aristophanes in the following year upon the rivalry between the slaves of Demos in serving their difficult master. The same system was continued by Nicias in 424, when he took possession of the island of Cythera and again inflicted considerable damage on the eastern maritime region.

The repulse of Demosthenes at Siphae and the disastrous defeat of Hippocrates at Delium were very severe checks to the progressive party, and
afforded Nicias once again the opportunity for which he was always on the look-out of exhibiting the superiority of his strategy. Through the failure in Chalcidice of his admirer Thucydides (whose own want of foresight and energy when he was placed in a difficulty reminds us strongly of the failing that disfigured the character of Nicias), it became clear that the situation in the north-east would cause great trouble. Nicias was more than ever convinced that peace was necessary. In 423 he succeeded in obtaining a truce with Sparta for a year; and in the meantime negotiations were to be continued with a view to a definitive peace. But the new complications which at once arose in Chalcidice forced Athens to send an armament thither during the truce. Nicias himself was in command. He must have known that, unless events should take a more favourable turn, it would be impossible to arrange terms of peace for which he could hope to obtain the ratification of the assembly. He succeeded in recovering Mende, and began the blockade of Scione. But his efforts to restore Athenian prestige in the north did not solve the great difficulty caused by the popularity and success of Brasidas. In the autumn of 423, says Grote, 'the state of sentiment between the contracting parties was not such as to make it possible to treat for any longer peace, or to conclude any new agreement.'

Matters were now at a deadlock, and the hopes of the peace party, which had burned so brightly since the battle of Delium, seemed in danger of being extinguished. The assembly again began to pay heed to Cleon's argument, that it was idle to
continue to treat for peace until the Northern Question was solved. Grote argues forcibly that in urging the despatch of a new force to Chalcidice in the summer of 422 , Cleon held precisely the language which had been uttered by Pericles himself at the beginning of the war, and was only insisting on the necessity of the preservation of the empire. This is true. But the dispute now was between a war and a peace party. The peace party supposed that further interference would at once bring the negotiations to an end. The present conditions were in no way similar to the conditions under which Pericles had given his advice. He had spoken at the outset of the war : but now negotiations were in progress for ending the war. Is it certain that, given the circumstances of 422, Pericles would have urged an expedition to the north?

To decide which statesman was in the right is unnecessary. It is enough to point out that Nicias believed this to be a case which called for diplomacy, while Cleon was convinced that nothing could be done except by force of arms.

Cleon's mission to the north forwarded the negotiations for peace in a very unexpected manner. Both he and Brasidas fell before Amphipolis, and thus 'the two most pronounced individual opponents of peace' were removed once for all. After a winter spent in continual negotiation, the representatives of Athens and Sparta signed the Peace in the spring of 421.

With what joy the rural population of Attica greeted this result, to the attainment of which every act of Nicias had been consecrated for the last six years, may be imagined from the play of Aristophanes
which is named after the peace. Some even improved the occasion by attacking the memory of Pericles. 'He had been the cause of the war; but Nicias had brought it to an end.' Thucydides himself, who must have seen, one would suppose, that there were grave difficulties still to be faced, seems to have thought that the war was over. And he had in his place of exile better means than most men of surveying the political situation. To the oppressed population of Attica, almost ruined by ten years of continuous warfare, the peace meant nothing less than a renewal of life itself. Perpetual liability to be called out on active service, perpetual dread of invasion and the consequent loss of home and means of support, the possibility that another plague might carry off those who were not slain by the enemy,-all these evils were now at an end. But perhaps the most universally appreciated of all the blessings that Nicias had conferred on his countrymen was the financial recovery. He had indeed throughout his contests with Cleon and Alcibiades this great advantage-that his policy was a policy of retrenchment: whereas their policy meant continually increasing burdens. The property-tax, which had been levied for the last six years, was now no more required. A surplus would soon begin again to accumulate in the Acropolis: the docks would once more be filled with ships in reserve: the fleet would be restored to its normal strength : the price of food would decline: and security and plenty, so long missed, would return to the homesteads of Attica. No longer the trumpet note, but again-at last-the cock-crow only would sound the reveille: at last undisturbed the spider might weave
its web about the rusty spear-heads. ${ }^{1}$ Giving expression to such thoughts in rustic songs, the farmers, who in ordinary times cared little about politics and were glad to be rid of the necessity of attending assemblies in the city, were loudest in their praise of Nicias.

He had in truth reason to felicitate himself : for he seemed to have reached the summit of his ambition-to have made sure of 'leaving behind a name as one who had never endangered the state.' Had he died in 421, we should cease to wonder why, almost a century later, most men regarded him as a father of his country, and why, after his death, Thucydides bestowed on his grave all his tears and all his flowers.

But no sooner was the peace signed than the troubles of the government began. Nicias had not in the first instance obtained from Sparta terms which could be regarded as favourable to Athens. But that was overlooked in the enthusiasm of the moment. All the more bitter must have been the disappointment of the assembly when Nicias proved unequal to the task of securing from Sparta the fulfilment of the conditions. It is not surprising that alliance with Argos, which was a traditional maxim of Athenian diplomacy, and which now became possible, was agerly. cunvassed ly a considerable party under the leadership of Alcibiades, the new opponent of the peace.

We may be sure that the rural population continued to revere Nicias throughout the two years which followed the peace. But in the assembly the new policy attempted by Alcibiades made rapid ${ }^{1}$ Aristophanes, Par.
headway. There Nicias was quite unequal to the task of combating such a consummate wire-puller as Alcibiades. It is among the strangest phenomena of Athenian politics that Alcibiades, who, Grote tells us, ' never inspired confidence or esteem in any one,' whom 'sooner or later so much accumulated odium and suspicion was sure to bring to ruin,' was yet able to carry the majority of the assembly with him. But we know very little of the means by which the political caucuses were in the habit of 'working' the assembly. Nicias was apparently not elected war minister in 420 . We hardly need the help of fragments of comedies to lead us to the conclusion that, in spite of Alcibiades, Nicias was still head of a very large minority, and might at any moment again find his party in a majority, should Alcibiades fail to fulfil expectation. In spite of the new alliance with Argos, the peace of Nicias was still technically observed. Party-feeling ran higher than ever in the assembly, and, in the absence of Alcibiades, there was but little to choose between the young men who shouted at Nicias and the old school that still believed in him.

So keen was the struggle of parties at the beginning of 418, that it was resolved in the sixth 'prytany' to have recourse to 'ostracism.' That expedient had not been resorted to since the ostracism of Damonides, the friend and adviser of Pericles. Alcibiades had not the constant support of the extreme democrats, and it should seem that his dislike of their leader Hyperbolus was as vehement as that felt for the demagogue by Nicias himself. A coalition was effected between the supporters of Nicias and the younger men who looked to Alcibiades, and
this coalition secured the ostracism of Hyperbolus. Very shortly after this strange event the election of war-ministers was held for the official year which would begin in July 418. Consequently no force was sent into Peloponnese when the campaign opened. In July, however, Alcibiades was sent as ambassador to Argos; and the result of this mission was the defeat of the allies at Mantinea.

We hear nothing further of the public life of Nicias until the discussions in the assembly, during the early part of 415 , with reference to the embassy which had come to Athens from Segesta in the winter. The Sicilian command was the first really difficult business entrusted to him outside Attica. But he had hitherto been successful in all his military undertakings. That he should afterwards fail so utterly in Sicily must have occasioned very great astonishment at Athens, where, owing to his previous good fortune, some confidence was felt in his military skill.

It is well to realise exactly what Thucydides means by 'the good luck of Nicias in military commands,' because it is a matter on which there has been a considerable amount of loose writing.

 precise significance of these expressions? First we must notice that by $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma^{i a}$ Thucydides means something more than arparcía. Whenever a man is war-minister, he is $\dot{\epsilon} v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime}(q$, even though he does not leave Athens at all. This is due to the peculiarity of the Athenian constitution, according to which the war-ministers in the fifth century were at once the chief administrative and the chief executive officials. Now it is in his account of
the causes which led to the Peace of 421 , that Thucydides uses the first of these two expressions with regard to Nicias. He was then, according to the historian, the most successful of all those who had held the office of war-minister. That his achievements outside Attica were not of great importance we have already seen. Yet may we reasonably doubt whether any who had been elected to the ministry had in 421 a reputation equal to that of Nicias. Demosthenes-' the abler officer,' it is true-had won victories far greater than anything Nicias had done in the field; but then he had in his first year of office committed a serious mistake in Aetolia, which involved a heavy loss of Athenian troops. His policy was brilliant but it was unsafe : Nicias, on the contrary, was always safe. It seems too that an unbroken series of small successes was thought at Athens at least as much of as a chequered career in which defeat mingled with splendid victories. Cleon does not concern us; for he was not war-minister till July 422, and then he was killed in Thrace; his mission to Sphacteria had been quite extraordinary and should accordingly be left out of aceount.

But there are indications that the reputation of Nicias as a minister rested rather upon his politicul action than upon his military achievements. He had by 421 convinced the majority at Athens that, however great the victories won by Demosthenes, peace was the only cure for the troubles of the state. This is a fact which admits of no dispute. To the party of Nicias-that is, to the peace party, now in the majority-belonged Thucydides. He was persuaded that the course which Nicias had throughout
pursued was the right course. It is therefore unreasonalle to charge the historian with misrepresentation when he calls Nicias in 421 the most successful war-minister of the day. One need not be among the 'random panegyrists' of Thucydides to maintain that. To be sure, he writes with a strong party bias, and sometimes as a man would be apt to write who had lived among the persons whom he described. But he narrates their actions honestly ; that is to say, . in accordance with his convictions. That his view was endorsed by large bodies of men in the fourth century is clear from the passage of Aristotle.

During the Archidamian war the Athenian people exaggerated the value of success. Their power had been gained by such phenomenal rapidity: their statesmen had been so consummate : they were so clearly the first people in the Greek world, except only as soldiers. No wonder that they thought they must succeed in all that they attempted. It needed all the experience of the Sicilian expedition to teach them that they were not infallible. Therefore they greatly valued a minister who could show a series of successes, however slight, unbroken by failure. In Nicias the constant anxiety to succeed was not backed by sufficient strength either of body or of mind to enable him to overcome real difficulties. But as he bodies forth to us that eagerness to succeed in everything, which is so characteristic of the volatile Athenians, he seems-to adopt another of Mill's suggestive remarks-one of the successive phases in which Athens appears to us; he reflects what was perhaps the most prominent quality-defect, perhaps, though an amiable defect-in the character of his contemporaries. They liked him because he reflected
them. He had persuaded himself and the people that he was under the special care of the gods. One half suspects that Alcibiades was laughing at this foible of the Athenians when he urged them to make the most of Nicias ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \omega \mathrm{s} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau v \chi \grave{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ סoкє $\hat{\imath}$ єival. For these words are doubtless the genuine words of Alcibiades, spoken in 415. The gods had not been quite constant to Nicias since the peace was signed: and perhaps their late fickleness increased the devout man's anxiety about the expedition to Sicily.

The conduct of Nicias in Sicily subsequent to the landing of Gylippus is without excuse. He is 'the author of the whole calamity.' But let us remember that the government at home knew what it was about when it retained Nicias in the command in spite of himself. Nicias is the immediate cause of the calamity; but is not Thucydides in a sense right in declaring that the failure was due to the folly of the home government? If he preferred to lay the blame on the men who ought to have recalled Nicias, and ought not to have recalled Alcibiades, rather than on the unfortunate minister with whom he sympathised, we must hesitate to find fault with the historian's judgment. He is only tracing back results to their original causes, after his own fashion. And after all, had Nicias been listened to, the expedition would never have been undertaken.

Only those who have suffered from diseases similar to the distressing malady which oppressed Nicias in his last years know how much allowance must be made for him owing to his illness. It is a malady which, while it does not totally disable the patient, yet renders him incapable of prolonged or violent exertion. Its intensity is increased sensibly and
immediately with every fresh effort, whether physical or mental. It enfeebles the will : it induces pessimism, even in a character before buoyant. On a man naturally nervous, as Nicias was, its effects are especially distressing. I sometimes think that the retreat of Nicias in his state of health was an extraordinary act of heroism and endurance ; and it is just here, in the narrative which Thucydides gives of the retreat, that I feel some misgiving about the historian's veracity. From what one reads in Plutarch and in Pausanias, it does seem possible that Thucydides has here suppressed something.

The trait in Nicias' character which is most obvious of all is his superstition. For him, as indeed for all Athenians with the exception of an emancipated few, human life was no strict succession of cause and effect. Rather life was a 'colossal riddle,' to which there was a hidden solution which the gods had revealed to certain chosen servants-the diviners; and they in their turn were privileged to purvey the secret to pious enquirers who brought the required gift. Nicias, with his constant anxiety to know before acting what would be the result of his action, was oppressed more than most men by the puzzling enigma. He must find help. Hence his naïve faith in oracles and divination. He had his own prophets continually about him. Stilbides, one of the most reputed prophets of the day, was his chief confessor, and went with him to Sicily. If ever he failed, some god must be angry -the Eleusinian deities (one might think with Diodorus) when he failed in Sicily. When he succeeded, his success was due not to his own foresight, or power, or skill, but to the pleasure which the gods
found in his offerings. For, like coquettes, the gods could be coaxed with costly presents into bestowing their favours on him. Now it was a bridge for Delos, with fantastic drapery of flowers and tapestry and cloth of gold; now an endowment to provide for sacrifice and a dinner for the island-folk that they might remember him when they prayed to the god ; now a huge column of brass for Phoebus himself, wrought into the likeness of a tall palm. But alas! either the brazen tree did not take the god's fancy, or else it roused the jealousy of some less courted divinity : for one day a cruel wind arose and threw it down.

Ominous collapse! For, sooner or later, that controlling power which Thucydides calls $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$, and which is above all the gods, demands from man satisfaction for every weakness. For his weakness Nicias paid a hard price at the end of the Sicilian expedition. Well might the emancipated few chaff Nicias. Aristophanes introduced him into his play called Amphiaraus, which was produced in 414. There Nicias was a superstitious invalid, enquiring of the oracle how he might regain his health. In better taste Thucydides, who was of course a complete sceptic with regard to divination, censured the superstition of Nicias-not sternly, it is true; but perhaps he went as far as he thought it safe to go in those days. For the license allowed to comedy was not extended to prose; and Thucydides was not defending a principle against his countrymen. Still, while he, with his clearer insight, despised superstition, he yet had the utmost veneration for the real virtue of the man. It was peculiarly hard that one who had lived so good a life, one whose whole
ambition was summed up in the one word cirruxia, should experience such terrible $\delta v a r v x i a$ at the end. We have no words in our tongue at all corresponding to these three terms-тv́x $\eta$, єìrvxia, סvarvxia-which play such an important part in Thucydides. But we can now understand better why the historian says of

 flowers for Nicias' tomb.

A beautiful picture is presented by ancient writers of the private life of Nicias : and though his amiability cannot excuse his shortcomings as a public leader, it at least affords a further explanation of the fidelity with which large classes of Athenians clung to his memory. We can be brief here, because there is neither doubt nor difficulty about the matter. The most striking notice-and the most attractive -which we have of him is contained in the description which Euripides gives of Capaneus in the Supplices. The character, so strangely altered from the character which Aeschylus attributes to Capaneus in the Seven against Thebes, is sketched from Nicias:

There Capaneus lies. Great store of wealth was his,
Wealth without pride of riches. For his heart
Was lowly as the poor man's : but, if any,
Flouting the warnings of sufficiency,
Wax'd riotous and immoderate at the feast,
Him he disdained. For not in surfeiting
Lay goodness; but plain living gave content.
Hew men remember absent friends : but he,
Present or absent, was a loyal friend.
True man he was, and, whether to his own
Or to the citizens he promised aught,
Prompt to redeem his promise. -
There could scarcely be a better comment on the
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\delta} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \nu$.

I have made no attempt to disguise the faults of Nicias. It is one of the greatest services rendered by Grote that he for the first time presented a truer picture of Cleon and Nicias in public life. But, as so often happens to enthusiasts, he went, I think, too far in his attack on Nicias through his eagerness to defend Cleon. I have only endeavoured to show that Thucydides and Aristotle are not mere 'random panegyrists' of Nicias-that they were standing on solid ground, even if they were in the wrong Paradise. Had Nicias been a stronger man, his peace might have been lasting. His intentions were excellent; and, in the largest sense, his policy-to avoid Sicily and to make peace with Sparta-was certainly the right policy for Athens. But as a diplomatist he was mediocre ; or, at most, he was not insolently superior to the ordinary Athenian citizen. And this moderate superiority is, after all, the secret of his popularity among the political mediocrities. Unfortunately, painstaking and in many respects sane, he had none of the coarser qualities necessary to a statesman. His very virtues, his transparent honesty, told fatally against him in diplomacy ; and it seems as if he was too anxious to introduce the ethics of private life into international politics.

## § 3. THE MANUSCRIPTS AND TEXT OF THE SEVENTH BOOK

## The chief MSS. of Thucydides are as follows :

1. Vatioan Group.

B or Vaticanus. XIth century. Vatican Library.
A or Cisalpinus or Italus. XIIth century. Bibliothèque nationale, Paris. Lost from 1815 to 1869, when it was rediscovered by R. Prinz (Cod. Italus, N. Jahrb.)
E or Palatinus. XIth century. Heidelberg.
F or Augustanus. Dated 1301. Munich.
Observe also that Parisinus H, one of ten MSS. iu the Paris Library collated by Gail in 1807, was copied from B. H ends at vii. 49 ; but from vi. 92,5 to vii. 49 it is the only MS. which gives the peculiar version of the text which we read in B from vi. 92, 5 onwards.
2. Laurentian Group.

C or Laurentianus. Xth century. Florence.
G or Monacensis. XIIIth century. Munich. The top is eaten away throughout.
3. The British MS., agreeing sometimes with group 1, sometines with 2.
M or Britannus. XIth century. vi. vii. viii. collated by Bloomfield ; viii. by Herwerden; the whole by Eggeling for Stahl. A new collation of vii. is contained in this edition. The MS. is very disappointing considering its antiquity.
It is not possible to decide whether B or C is the best MS.; Bekker pronounced in favour of the

Vatican, but during the last fifteen years several critics supported the claims of the Laurentian. But all three classes go back to one MS. now lost, which was itself not very ancient. In 1885 Wessely discovered the famous Fayoum Fragnints of viii. 91 and 92 in Upper Egypt (Wiener Studien vii.) These bits are believed to be part of a MS. of the first century A.d. Consequently they are some nine centuries older than the Laurentian, from which however they only differ in orthography and in the order of words. They are unfortunately too scanty to support any theory with regard to the condition of the text as a whole.

The study of the MSS. of this book leads to the following conclusions.
I. There are two versions of the text of this book. As far as vi. 92 all the MSS. are in substantial agreement. But from vi. 92, 5 to the end of the history, B differs greatly from all the rest. (1) B frequently adds one or more words-especially $\tau \epsilon$ not found in other MSS. ; (2) frequently B gives a different word or form ; (3) occasionally B omits something found in the other MSS.
II. On the whole the balance is decidedly in favour of $B$. The alterations in the text which are obtained from it are generally elegant, especially the additions. In many cases B alone gives the true reading.
III. It is probable that the scribe of B used a different MS. from vi. 92, 5 onwards; and this MS. was on the whole better than that which he had been using up to this point. ${ }^{1}$

[^1]IV. The readings of $B$ have to be considered in every case in which the MS. differs from the rest: no general rule can be given. Some of the readings are suspiciously like ingenious corrections made by the scribe himself: some additions are probably interpolations.
V. With the help of $B$ the text of this hook is made considerably better than the text of earlier books, such as the second and the fourth. Even without B the text would be somewhat better; for there are (1) fewer interpolations; (2) fewer confusions of case and construction. This superiority is in part due to Thucydides himself; since this book is manifestly more polished than some other parts ${ }^{1}$ of the history, and the style is smoother. It to prove (1) that according to the ancient division of Thucydides into thirtecn books, the tenth begins where B and Paris H begin to differ from the other MSS., and that the scribe of B used a MS. divided according to this older system from this point ; (2) that Thucydides left his work even more incomplete than is generally supposed, and that a dull editor patched it up after his death. With regard to (1), W.-M. makes the cleventh book end with the end of our vii. The statement that there was a division into thirteen books is made by Marcellinus; aud traces of such an arrangement are found in the

 W.-M. supposes H to be a corruption of N , and that both N and $\theta$ are here really letters, not numbers; so that N represents a division into thirtcen lettered books, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ a division into cight lettered books. Unfortunately it is likely enough that H is right, and that Diodoras means that some reckon the eight books by mumbers down to H , others by letters down to O-which appears from existing MSS. to be a true statement. (2) The editor theory has given rise to a very dull and profitless controversy. In fact, there are three phantom editor; now, of whom one is stupid and another pious, one contemporary with Thucydides and another much later.
${ }^{1}$ Cwiklinski (Hermes xii. 1877) attempts to prove that Thucydides at first wrote vi. and vii. as a separate work. He
is partly due to the ancient annotators; for, finding the text easier to follow, they added fewer glosses, and hence there was less danger of the text becoming interpolated with notes from the margin.
VI. There is thus less need of conjectural emendation in this than in earlier books.
VII. The fact that B continually supplies a small word not found in other MSS. is strong evidence that a small word is frequently lost altogether in the earlier books ; and editors ought to take this into account in emending the text. It is also highly probable that now and then a single word is still missing in the text of vii. An attempt is made in the present edition to supply these words.

The current text is based on Bekker's critical edition, ${ }^{1}$ which first appeared in 1821 and entirely superseded 'the Vulgate,' that is, the text of Stephanus (second edition 1588). The following list gives most variations of the present edition from Bekker's text, and it is added because Bekker is the earliest and in some respects the greatest of modern textual critics. He settled once for all which were the most important MSS. then known, and he first collated BCAE. Of the other good MSS. F had already been collated, and G was collated for
is answered by Fischer (Zeitschrift für die österreichischen Gymnasien, 1881), who argues forcibly that vi. and vii. are integral parts of the whole work. Few will agree with Schröter (ad Thuc. vii. quaest. phil. 1886), that the Seventh Book is imperfect and accordingly must be leniently criticised.
${ }^{1}$ J. Bekerr. Thucydides de b. P. l. viii. Accedunt scholia Graeca et Dukeri Wassiique annotationes, 3 vols. Berlin. In the same year the book was also published at Oxford in 4 vols., including the Latin version or Aemilius Portus. The subsequent editions of Bekker's text appeared in 1824, 1832, 1846, $1868 . \quad$ Bekker died in 1871.

Poppo．Those passages in the list to which an asterisk is prefixed illustrate very common blunders in the MSS．of Thucydides．No account is taken of those conjectures of Bekker himself which are now rejected．Those of the present editor＇s own con－ jectures which are admitted into the text are given in a separate list．It appeared best to pass over in silence all conjectures which seemed unnecessary or improbable．［ ］denote words cut out，＜＞words added to the text．

Lectio Bekkeri，A．d． 1868.


4，4．$\tau \hat{\psi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Sigma \nu \rho a к о \sigma t \omega \nu$ ．
5．$\dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau \epsilon โ \chi \backslash \zeta \in$ with Steph．
6，1．$\pi \rho \rho \in \lambda \theta 0$ ．
7，2．$\pi \epsilon \zeta$ خ̀ $\nu$ with Vat．
＊8，3．кard $\tau \delta$ with Vat．
10．रра $\mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{s} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \tau \hat{s} \pi \delta$ ． $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ with Vat．
11．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a i ̂ s ~ o m . ~ w i t h ~ V a t . ~$
2．àm om．with Vat．
12，3．ठ̈тє $\rho$ with Schol．
＊13，2．$\nu a \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
14，3．ס८aлє $\boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau а \iota$ $\delta \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu$ о ．
15，1．$\beta$ ou入є $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．

Lectio Criticorum in hac editione reposita．
＇Ierds Göller．
то́тє $\tau \iota$ Vat．
és $\tau$ ds．
тои̂тo той к．Vat．
кате入єлеเтто Cobet．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \mathrm{Vat}$ ．
$\dot{\text { モ́aut }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ Vat．
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda_{c} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$.
del．Krüger．
ধєєтєіХ८бє MSS．，Ald．
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta o c$ Classen．
$\pi \epsilon \grave{\iota} \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$.
тà катà $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ d．
 werden．
mod入ais．
а̇пі̀．
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．
$\nu$ аит $\omega \nu$［ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ］Poppo．
ס．．．．［ $\left[\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{o} \\ \pi\end{array}\right]$ Krüger．


16, 2. єїкобь.


4. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \dot{\tau} \tau \bar{\eta} s$.


* 3. $\dot{\text { йєєлєiтєто. }}$
*21, 2. катєр $\alpha \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ :

3. $\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon$.

* $\quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. [ä้] aüroîs.
*22, 1. $\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma a \tau o$.
24, 2. $\tau \rho \imath \eta \rho \alpha ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu$.
* 3. $\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a \quad \tau \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon_{\pi} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$.


6. à $\nu \in \in \lambda \omega \nu$ (ANEKAON).
*26, 2. oi Eì $\lambda \omega \epsilon \epsilon$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ Дake$\delta a \not \mu o v i \omega \nu$.

7. Es $\Theta \rho \not ̨ к \eta \nu$. є̀ $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \beta a \nu є \nu$.

29, 4. $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ө $\rho \underline{\kappa} \hat{\omega} \nu$.
30, 1. тд̀ E Épıtтò каl.
8. тô̂ $\zeta \in \cup ́ \gamma \mu a t o s$.

33, 3. $̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \chi o \nu \tau 0$.
4. $\cdot \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $I a \pi \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$.

34, 1. $\pi$ poavexoǘals with lat.
7. $\delta c^{\prime}$ aưrò.

36, 3. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \pi \rho \omega \rho o l$.
4. $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v$.
*38, 1. кal.
39, 2. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\sigma a \nu \tau a s ~}^{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$
 with Vat.


del. Hude.
$a \pi$ ' aưt $\eta$ s Vat.
$\tau \epsilon$ del. Reiske.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau o$ Stahl.
катєруáбєбөal Stahl.
छ̀và $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \theta e \quad$ Laur. Mon. Steph. ( $\xi v \nu a \nu a \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon t$ Vat. Ald.)
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \varphi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ Dobree.
do [aủroîs] Badham.
$\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{a} a \sigma \pi o$ inferior MSS.
$\tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ Vat.
тд̀ $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon \cup \mu \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ Vat.
clel. Poppo.
фра́боибıv, - $\nu$ oû $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ Dobree.
àveî̀kov (ANEAKON) Widmann.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 几ак. del. Herwerden.
тồ aủroû ө. т. Vat.
del. Bothe.
є̇ $\lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu o \nu$ Vat.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ Badham.
del. Stahl.
del. Stahl.
то é́ $_{\mu}$ atos Valla.
є̇ $\pi \notin \sigma \chi$ ov тò Laur. Brit.
del. Herwerden.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi o \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota s$.
aùrol Classen (aưrò Vat.)
àv $\tau \iota \pi \rho \dot{\varphi} \rho o \iota s$ Reiske.
סutekriouv Vat.
del. Classen.
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ r. $\theta$. $\mu \epsilon \tau а \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ к о \mu l-$ бavzas.

＊44，8．oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$.
45，2．$\psi(\lambda o i ̀ ~ d \nu \in U ~ T \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i-$ $\delta \omega \nu$ ．
46．íтаүáүocto．
47，2：öт $\mathfrak{a} \nu \in \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau a$ ．
3．$\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ．
á $\pi c \in ̇ \nu a \iota$ with Vat．
48，3．aंкои́баутаs．
$\delta \iota a \beta d \lambda \lambda o c$ ．
6．és．
49，1．［rov］rठ．
\＃．
$\theta a \rho \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ．
2．aưtoús．
50，1．Є＇s $\phi$ i $\lambda$ ca．
2．$\delta \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \rho d s \Sigma_{\iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda} \lambda \alpha \nu$ ．
61，1．є́ $\gamma \eta \gamma \in \rho \mu \notin \nu o l$.
2．$\pi \rho о т є \rho a i q$ with Vat．
62，2．каl $\mu \nu \chi \hat{\varphi}$ ．
53，4．т $\grave{\nu} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$.
55，2．крєlббous．
＊G6，2．к $\omega \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ with Vat．
3．$\mu 6 \nu 0 \nu$ bis．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$.
4．入óov．
67，1．इupakoúбas．
е்кd́бтoıs．
${ }^{\ell} \sigma \chi \in \nu$ ．
2．＇E $\sigma$ tiaıay olкoûytes．
5．катадтскриे．
9．фкоутаs．
11．катєє $\lambda \eta \mu \mu t \nu \omega \nu$ ．
68，3．$\delta u ̛ v a r a \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ т \delta ~ v e o \delta a-~$
 －elval．
69，2．тє ofv．
60，2．$\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ with $V$ at．

а்ты т．п．ты Göller． $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i$ Bloomficld． $\dot{a} \nu \in U \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a}$. del．Haacke．
è $\pi a \gamma a ́ \gamma o \iota r o ~ P l u y g e r s . ~$
［ $\quad$ rıı］a．It．
סєєкıขঠи́vєvテє Vat．Laur．

áxovovias Vat．
$\delta<a \beta d \lambda \lambda \eta$ Stahl．
$\dot{\omega} \nu V$ Vat．
mo入ù Tò Linwood．
．${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ Stahl．
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta d \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Gertz（ $̇ \theta$ d $\rho \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon$ Vat．）
aủtồ Krüger．
$\phi \iota \lambda l a$ Bauer（ $\epsilon s \phi_{l} \lambda(a$ Vat．）
$8 \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ इıcє $\lambda l a$ Böhme．
є̇тทри＇̇vol Vat．
трот $\rho \rho q$.
del．Bothe．
del．Bothe．
креlббovos Schol．
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma \iota$ Laur．
$\mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$ Stahl and $\mu \delta \nu o c$ Madvig．
del．Kriiger．
${ }^{6} \chi$ 入ov Kriiger．
－als Bauer．
そкабто Vat．
zoxov All．
del．Kriiger．
кal àvtckpus Böhme．
غ́кбутas Vat．
$\kappa а т \in \iota \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu о$ Reiske．
del．Portus．
$\delta^{\prime}$ oûv Kruiger．
$\alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \mathcal{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

62，3．à $\nu \tau \iota \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{2} \sigma a l ~ w i t h ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \nu a u \pi \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a l . ~$ Vat．
＊63，3．$\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ with best MSS．
67，1．т $\boldsymbol{\text { d．}}$

69，2．$\eta^{2}$ ．
70，1．$\pi a \rho a \beta o \eta \theta o \hat{0}$ ．
2．ol＇A $\theta \eta$ vaioo．
3．$\partial \not \partial \epsilon$ ．
8．＇A $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ valous．

 maxias．
4． $8 \sigma a$ ．
72，2．ÉBoú入ovio with Vat．
73，1．$\delta\llcorner a \lambda a \beta b \nu \tau a s$ with Vat．

75，6．а́фîkто．
77，4．$\theta \in 00$ ．
6．$\alpha \lambda \lambda a$ ．
78，3．$\tau \epsilon$ ．
79，1．$\epsilon \lambda \theta \in \varepsilon \bar{\nu}$ ．
80，3．атд．
5．$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau o$ with Vat．
81，3．8 $\sigma a$ d $\nu a \gamma \kappa d \leqq o v \tau a c$ with Vat．
4．＇A $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { vaioo．}\end{aligned}$
82，1．रoûv．
85，1．$\chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$.
4．$\Sigma \iota \kappa \in \lambda \iota \kappa \bar{\varphi}$ ．
87，2．тосои́тч．
5．＇ $\mathbf{~} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
rô̂ Krüger．
äтокıvסvvev̂бal Duker．
del．Vat．
$\pi a \rho \in \beta$ оп $\theta \epsilon \iota$ Dion．Hal．
ol ä入入oc＇A．，Laur．
о̀ $\pi$ бтє Vat．
del．Duker．
$\delta i \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{c}<\alpha{ }^{2} \dot{\mu} \mu a \lambda o \nu>\tau \eta ̂ s \nu a v \mu a \chi-$
 Wölfflin．
$\delta \sigma^{\prime} \partial \nu$ Herwerden．
$\epsilon \beta$ єи $\lambda \epsilon$ ט́ovto Laur．
$\pi \rho o \phi \theta d \sigma a v \tau a s$ Laur．［ $\pi \rho o \lambda a$－ $\beta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ is the regular scholiast＇s gloss on $\phi \theta$ divecv．］
dфiкато Badham．
$\boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ lov Krüger．
${ }^{2} \mu a$ Reiske．
del．Krüger．
del．Krüger．
del．Reiske．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$ Laur．
 Laur．
del．Krüger．
$\delta$ oû̀ Dobree．
xคクбaб日ac Vat．
del．Dobree．［The Schol．pro－ poses＇$E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu ı \kappa \bar{\psi}$.
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ тообтч Vat．
del．Krüger．

## Lictio Bekreri．

Lectio ab editore primo REPOSITA．
 кло⿱亠乂．
7，1．$\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota$ тồ é $\gamma к a \rho \sigma$ iov telzous．

 $a \nu \pi \rho o \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta}$ ．
8，3．oठ̀s $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ．
 ＇A $\theta \eta \eta$ valous，$\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．
28，3．rd $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ aúroùs．
ö $\sigma o \nu \quad \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ d $\rho \chi$ ds ．． ol $\mu$ èv．
డ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ．
 $\mu \nu \nu$.

49，1．$\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l$ ．
кратท日els．
56，4．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu ч$ ．
57，5．$\mu$ етд̀ इupakootury．
68，1．каl тд $\lambda$ еббцеvбу тои

69，4．$\pi а р а \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \in \varphi \tau a$.
75，6．$\dot{\eta} d \lambda \lambda \eta$ ．

к入ou．
$\mu \notin \chi \rho c<\tau o v ̂ ~ E u ̉ p u f i \lambda o u>~ r o v ̂ ~ \epsilon . ~ . ~$ тelouos．
 $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ olocs，к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.
del．
 ＇A．$\lambda \in \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
$\tau 6 \gamma^{\prime}$ av，aủrous．
$\delta_{\sigma o \nu}^{<o i} \mu \bar{c} \nu>\kappa a r \prime$ d $\rho \chi$ ds ．．．
ol $\mu$ èv．
oi $\delta \epsilon$ ．［See Appendix II．］
катокขоиิбı тду $\pi$ ．Cf．viII．12，

$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{l} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aưT $\omega$ v．
èvסiסo
del．
$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu \varphi<\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau 0 \mathrm{~s}>$ ．
del．
 eiva．
тарак $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \in и \tau а$.
$\eta\left\langle\tau^{\prime}>a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta\right.$ ．

No attempt has been made to supply a complete apparatus criticus for this edition．The editor believes such compilations to be generally of small value in a text－book．He has also recorded in the footnotes only such conjectures as seem to him in some way instructive．

## NOTE

The Greek Index has been prepared with the object of providing readers with a conspectus of Thucydidean diction- $\eta^{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{a}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\tau \theta i$ s-so far as it is to be gathered from this book. The Index is therefore a catalogue of words and idioms that should be found useful both for syntax and for composition. A few brief notes have been added, which may increase the value of the catalogue for those who will use it.

## ©OTKTAIDOT

## 囷ГГРАФНг Z.






 ่ว ${ }^{\prime}$ йт

 $\lambda \epsilon$ v́ovto $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \hat{a} \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \nu$ reinforcements,

 тєs каl à̉тои́s $\tau \epsilon$ èkçivous кal $\sigma \tau \rho a т \iota a ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$










'The Himeraeans agreed toad him with a body of hoplites, and to furnish panoplies for the seamen in his vessels.'








? On sending to Selinus, Gela, and some of the Sike tribes in the interior, he received equally favourable assurances.' $\tau ו \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, oi $\pi 0 \lambda \ddot{v} \pi \rho o \theta v \mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \chi \omega-$


 ov̉к ádúvatos cis 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~ \phi i \lambda o s$


'He was enabled to undertake this inland march from cHimera to Syracuse.' $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu a \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \widehat{\iota} \epsilon \pi \iota \beta a$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тò̀s $\dot{\oplus} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu$ évovs é $\pi \tau$ такобióovs








- The Corinthian
fleet of 12 triremes was now on its way to Syr. But Gongylus had been detained at
 $\theta i \omega \nu$ à $\rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \mu \iota a ̂ ~ \nu \grave{\imath} \grave{\imath}$ т $\tau \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a i ̂ o s$



4. $\tau \iota \nu \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{M} . \rightarrow \tau \epsilon \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{M}$.
5. $\dot{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ litas $\psi \downarrow \lambda$ oud MT ; om. val. - $\tau \epsilon$ after $\Sigma ı \kappa \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ om. M.








Leucas. Yet he reached Syr. the soonest.-A public assembly was just about to be held to sanction a definitivecapitulation.' The Byr. 'instantly threw aside all idea of capitulation.'
















Gylippus having - reached Euryalus and the heights of Epipolae above Byr.-with his new levied force enters Byr. un- 25 opposed,' through the ' unaccountable inaction of $N$.'


1. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { t } \\ \text { om. M. }\end{array}$
2. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon \tau \delta \tau \epsilon i x o s \mathrm{M}$.
 T. I insert $\alpha \nu \omega$, on the side of the кúк入os, away from the low ground near the Harbour ; cf. c. $4 \alpha^{\alpha} \varepsilon \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \in \sigma a \nu \alpha \nu \omega$, c. $60 \tau d$ ${ }^{2} \nu \omega$ reixn. Thus $\alpha \nu \omega$ means here 'north of' (or possibly merely the higher ground away from the accessible coast); rov̂ кúkiov and $\epsilon \pi i$. - $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ limit $\alpha \nu \omega$ to a certain part of
 limits it to another part of the same. In vi. $99 \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \theta \in \nu \tau o \hat{v}$




3
'The double wall between the Southern cliff of Epipolae and the Gt. Harbour was all but com pleted.-Hardly had Gylippus accomplished his junction with the Syr., when he marshalled the 2 united force in 2 order of battle.' But he drew off his troops without a battle. $\kappa a t$ т $\omega \nu \Sigma v \rho \vec{\nu} \kappa \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu \quad \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ émióv$\tau \omega \nu, ~ \grave{\theta} \theta o \rho v \beta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ Tì $\pi \bar{\omega} \tau \bar{\tau} \nu$,




 $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ èv $\boldsymbol{\nu} \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho l a \quad \tau \epsilon$
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$. каi $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тои̂тo $\mathfrak{a} \nu \tau \iota-$
 ó Гú入ıттоs of $\rho \hat{\nu}$ toùs इupakoбlovs tapargo-







кúk ${ }^{\prime}$ ow similarly means 'south,' as Sizzler renders. This is the natural sense for an Athenian of $\dot{\eta} d \nu \omega \pi 6 \lambda$ cs to attach to the word. So too Grote and Freeman (Hist. Sic. iii. 668). Cf.



 $\lambda$ ітето M ; кате入єітєто B; corr. Colet.

1. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{om}$. M .
2. éautoô M.



 $\delta a \lambda o \nu$ aipeî, кai ő ơovs è $\lambda a \beta \in \nu$ èv.
 éтьфауès тоîs. 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o i s ~ т о ̀ ~ \chi \omega \rho l o \nu . ~$






 $\lambda \dot{o} v ิ \nu, ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s$ oì'A $\theta \eta \nu a \hat{\imath} o \iota, ~ \epsilon i ̀ \mu \eta$ $\delta \bar{v} \nu$ -


 $\overline{\lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta} \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \rho s$ émiтє $\lambda \in ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ к а i ~ o ́ . ~$

He 'brought them out again next morning, as if about to attack. But 25 while the attention of the A . was thus engaged, he sent a detachment to surprise the fort of $L$. The enterprise was completely, successful.'

He immediately began to build 'a third counterwall, on the north side of the A. circle-at the same time alarming them by threatening attack upon their lower wall -which was now just finished.'








 5. $̇ \phi \circ \rho \mu \omega \hat{\sigma} \alpha$ M.

' N . now saw that henceforward his operations would be for the most part mari-time-heresolved to fortify Cape P.-and to make it a secure main station for the fleet and stores.'






 $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{v} \nu$ éк $\mu \nu \chi o \hat{v}$ тov̂ $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ t a ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma a ̀ s$










were erected on the seaboardthe station entailed serious disadvantages; for supplies of water, and of wood also, the crews had to range a considerable distance, exposed to sur- prise from the numerous Syr. 7 cavalry placed 7 in garrison at








4. po ${ }^{\prime} \nu \mathrm{C}$, Ww., Mu.: The adv. appears to me more forcible
 $-[\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{\Sigma}]$.Kr . $-\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \gamma \omega \mathrm{d} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{M}$.
5. $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \rho a \tau i d \nu} \mathrm{M}_{2}$.
$\nu \epsilon \tau o$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \lambda o \iota \pi a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Kopı $\nu \theta i \omega \nu$ the temple of


 тท̂s इıкє入ias＇vav入oxєî̀ aùtás：－

 ＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \pi \rho о \pi а \rho \epsilon \beta a ́ \lambda о \nu \tau о ~ \sigma ф і ̈ \iota \nu, ~ a ̆ \mu a ~ \delta छ ̀ ~ \pi a \rho-~$








 $\sigma \pi o ́ v \delta o u s$ ả̀ $\nu \in \lambda_{0} \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta$－ $\nu a i \omega \nu \tau \rho о \pi$ а̂̂ov $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a ́ a \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ，ó Гú入ıт－


 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda i a \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$

 brought out his Syr．in battle array ；－－but the A．showed no disposition to 10 attack．At length he took advantage of what he thought a favourable opportunity to make the attack himself；but the ground was so hemmed in by 15 various walls－ that his cavalry and darters had no space to act． The Syr．were beaten back． Gylippus had the prudence to take the blame of this defeat
 upon himself．＇


7．$\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$＇̇s $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \eta ̀ \nu \nu . . . \nu a u ̂ s]$ Contrast ii． $26 \nu a \hat{s}$＇́ $\xi \in \notin \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$



1．$\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a \rho \in \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma о \nu \tau 0 ~ M$.
2．$\tau \hat{\psi}$ om．M．3．є́ $\pi a \nu \eta \eta^{\xi} \in \iota \nu$ ．





 time, he again
brought them up in order of battio. N. marched out into the open space to meet him. The
 on the point of cutting the A. line -80 that it was esesential for N. to attack












 arruy under--



1. $\alpha \ddot{\theta} \theta$ ts om. M.- $\pi \alpha \rho \in \lambda \theta 0 t] \pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda \theta o t$ MSS. ; corr. Cl.
2. катє甲рахөض M.

 та́табь» àтебтєрŋккє́val, ai каі̀ кра-
 7 $\nu \eta ̂ є \varsigma ~ \kappa а і ~ ' А \mu \pi \rho а к є \omega т \omega ิ \nu ~ к а і ~ \Lambda є v к а \delta-~$
 $\lambda a \theta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \tau \eta े \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ 'А $\overline{\mathrm{A} \eta} \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \phi \nu \lambda a$ -












 served two words which appear to have dropped out before tot E'rкapolov. Freeman (Hist. Sic. iii. 257, 679) defends Grote's view that the wall now built is' a separate wall built from Euryelus eastwards to join $\tau \delta$ er $\gamma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma$ civ $\tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \chi o s$. If a temporary gap was left west of the cross-wall, what was to prevent Nicias from attacking the cross-wall? And how is it that in this single instance Thur. gives no indication of the direction of this supposed new wall? Contrast vi. 99,$3 ; 101,2 .-[\mu \in \chi \rho c]$ Badham, Holm, Cl. ; [ $\mu \mathrm{e}$ र $\rho \iota$. . $\tau \in$ ' Zoos] Sta.
3. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon l a \nu$ M. - $\xi \nu \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mathcal{\gamma} \omega \nu$ M. $-\dot{d \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \epsilon}$ M.


 I have restored $\dot{\epsilon} v \hat{p}$ for $\dot{e} v$, , ie. quoguo modo peri posit. Cf. i.


4 ( Not content with having placed the S . out of danger, Gylippus took ad vantage of their renewed projects of retaliation.'
' N. became convinced that to remain as they were was absolute ruin. He determined to send home an undisguised account of his critical position, and to solicit either reinforcements or instruc. tions to return.'





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu i \sigma \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a i \tau \eta े \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$, àmopià, é $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ кai aùzòs ${ }^{\text {és }}$ т̀̀s

 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \frac{\delta \grave{\epsilon}}{} \kappa a \grave{\imath}$ то́тє, $\nu о \mu l \zeta \omega \nu$ év
 $\hat{\eta} \sigma \phi \hat{a} \varsigma \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi o v \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ ă $\lambda \lambda \lambda o v s \mu \grave{\eta}$






 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s ~ \beta o u \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l a s . ~$

$5 \pi \epsilon \rho a \iota o v \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \nu a v \sigma l \nu ;$ i. 107 עavol $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda e v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$. They say
 preferred on account of $\tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$. - $\pi \lambda o l \omega \iota$ M.

1. $\eta_{\nu}$ for $\epsilon i \mathrm{M}$.
2. $\mu \nu \gamma_{\mu} \eta \mathrm{s}$ B] $\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ the rest. $-\gamma \in \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o l \mathrm{M}$.

 polata ex cap. x (Stud. 93. Cf. Mnem. viii. fasc. 3), non sufficiente Pluygersii conjectura. Duo sunt glossemata ofts


 $\mu$ 시이 $\chi$ reached $A . a b o u t$ $\delta u ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \mu$ é $\lambda \epsilon \tau 0$.
 $\kappa a i$ Eùєтiఱv $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$





 tàs 'A $\theta$ ク̀vas oi tapà tov̂ Nıкíov ö $\sigma a$ te àmò




$11_{1}^{1}$ TTà $\mu$ èv т $\rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ \pi \rho a \chi \theta e ́ \nu \tau a, ~ \dot{\omega} ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~$




 $\theta \eta \mu \in \nu, \kappa a i ̀ \tau a ̀ \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$ оiкообо $\eta \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$



 $\dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau a \mathrm{MT}$.- [ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s]$ Hw., Sta., Hu.
3. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mathrm{M}$.-र्j $\tau \tau 0 \nu \mathrm{M}$.

11
2. oiкобо $\mu \eta \sigma d \nu \tau \omega \nu$ M. - $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ om. M.

Иакєठаıцóvıos $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ er $\chi \omega \nu$ ếк $\tau \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \lambda о т о \nu$ -












4.
b. Informal тр́óects (11, 4), stating the proposition on which he is
12 about to insist. ä̀ $\lambda \lambda$ Ivs aùrov̀s $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, ö̀ $\sigma a \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \kappa a \tau \grave{a}$




 15, 2), designed to exhibit-
$a$. The increasing strength of the enemy,
b. The increasing the A .
A. With the object of inducing his hearers to act on his $\pi o s<0 \check{l} \chi \in \tau a \iota$, tads $\mu$ è $\nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma \omega \nu$ $\xi \nu \mu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota ̂ \nu$ ö $\sigma a \iota ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi a ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu, 5$


 $\pi \nu \nu \theta a ́ \nu o \mu a \iota, ~ \tau \hat{\hat{\varphi}} \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\varphi} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \mu a \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha i ̀$ тaîs $\nu a v \sigma \grave{1}{ }_{10}$

 $\lambda \omega \kappa v u a s \mathrm{M}$.


 $\lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$. $\tau \grave{o}$ д $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\nu a u \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, object of leading


















 $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu a u \tau \hat{\nu} \nu[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu] \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \cdot \delta \iota d े \phi \rho u \gamma a \nu \iota \sigma_{-}$


3. каl èкeî̀oc M.

 Sta., Hw. - $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda$ ocs M.
 עautஸ̂̀ Fr. Müller, Widmann.

 тàs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon i \varsigma ~ a ́ \pi о \chi \omega \rho o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ，oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ن́ $\pi$ ò $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda o u$


 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ á $\nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau a \quad \dot{o} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ，oi $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi r^{\prime}$


 $\kappa а \rho \iota к \grave{\alpha} \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \beta \iota \beta a ́ \sigma a \iota \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \grave{\rho} \quad \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon і \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$













2．aúrouo入ias M．＇Conjecta sunt aúrovoulas［Passow，Sta．， Hu．，Sitz．］；$\sigma \tau 0 \lambda o \gamma i a s$［Pluygers］；d $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda(a s$［Meineke］；alх $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \omega$－ tlas［Madvig］；dpyvpo入orlas［Hw．］＇；aúrooto入las Naber；duтı－
 ＇on the ground that they have to work their land themselves， and cannot leave it to slaves．＇Badham brackets ol $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ ；＇scripsi ot［ $\delta \ell$ ］，reponere non ausus ot $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ．－Nec male haberet of $\alpha \nu$ ．． סúv $\omega \nu \tau a .{ }^{\prime}$＇Hw．—aürov̂ M；aúrol B．


 є̇ $\pi \iota \beta o \eta \theta o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \epsilon i ́ \nu o v s ~ \chi \omega \rho \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota, ~ \delta \iota a \pi \epsilon-15$





















 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ oín $\sigma$.

14 3. [j $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma s$ ] Kr., Sta., Hm., Widmann. The Schol. did not read it.
15 1. aürol $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \mathrm{~B}$; the rest aúroîs $\beta 0 v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.—aüzap-

 àvaßo入às $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\varsigma} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon-$
III. èinidoyos, consisting of-
a. a brief $\lambda$ óyos тротретт七кós,
b. an àváu $\nu \eta$ $\sigma t s{ }_{\sigma} \omega$ еірұце́̀ши.




 т al."

 for the present armament, but to reinforce itthey insisted on continuing N . in command; passing a vote, however, to name Menander and Euthydemus joint com-manders.-They sent Eurymedon speedily in command of 10 triremes to Syr., carrying 120 talents of silver, together with assurances of coming aid.And they re-
2 solved to equip a formidable force, under Demosth. and $\mu e ̀ \nu \mathrm{~N} \kappa \kappa i a \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi a \rho e ́ \lambda v \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$,




 є́ $\psi \eta \phi і ́ \sigma a \nu \tau о ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ \nu а u т \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \kappa а і ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ x \omega \nu . ~ к а i ~ \xi и \nu-~$

 $\mu$ éסovta тò̀ Đòvк $\lambda$ éovs. каi тò̀





3. $\pi \rho$ а́ттетє M .

1. $\pi \epsilon \zeta \kappa \kappa خ \nu \nu$ M. $-\Delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta \nu$ M.
2. кal غкатд $\nu$ wanting in all the best MSS., was read by Valla.

 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau о$ тò̀ ë̌кт入ovv，iss ar $\mu a$＂The Syr．war

 $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ aủтó $\theta \epsilon \nu ~ \kappa a l ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ o ́ \pi \lambda i-~$







 $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma a \sigma \theta \dot{a} \iota, \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \quad \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ ． $\begin{aligned} & \text { A．triremes } \\ & \text { were sent to }\end{aligned}$ ршуто，каl èv on $\lambda \kappa a ́ \sigma \iota ~ \pi a \rho є ̣ \sigma \kappa є \in \nu a ́-~$





 $\sigma \omega \sigma \omega$ were ene to the
station on Nan－
partan－to ore． paktuas－to pr
vent any Cor． reinforcements from sailing out of the Cor． Gulf．－The Cor． on their side prepared 25 fresh triremes， to serve as a convoy to the 20 transports carrying their hoplite．



17 3．aúrois oi $\pi \rho$ ．B；the rest ot $\tau \in \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \epsilon s$ aitoôs，which would require a contrast to of $\pi \rho \notin \sigma \beta$ cs in the next clause．－
 of a comma after $\Lambda a \kappa \in \delta a c \mu b \nu ⿺ 夂 丶$ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi$ ope cs is not coordinate with ajroбтe入oûves．

4．eliot M．$\epsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{n}$ N．Cf． 2 above．Thus．does not else－ where use $\epsilon \nu$ with $\phi v \lambda a \kappa x$ without a verb；the words may have got in from of $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \quad \underset{\eta}{n} \mathbf{N}$ ．＇A．
 $\kappa \eta \nu$ тоוои́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota$.


- War with

Sparta had become inevitable. though in-
thirectly in broken
din in many ways, same-the Lac knew that the breach of peace had been on their side at the beginning of the war; attributi their capital mis. Tortune.-A. also 2 had avoided direct violation of the Lac. territory. But her reserve on
this point gave way during the present summer. -The Syr. and Cor. vehemently pressed their ades also renewed his instances for the Dekeleia.'

 $\kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ K o \rho \iota \nu \theta i ́ \omega \nu ~ e ̀ v a \gamma o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~$


 $\lambda \nu \theta \hat{\eta} . \quad \kappa a i$ ó ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa \iota \beta \iota a ́ \delta \eta s$ т $\rho о \sigma \kappa \epsilon i-$






 öть tàs $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o t e ́ \rho o v s ~ \lambda \in \lambda v \kappa e ́ v a \iota ~$
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$ бфє́єєроу тò тарауо́ $\not \eta \mu а$ $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$, \%̈ть $\tau \epsilon$ és П入áтaıà



















 مóv тє $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \eta_{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{} \kappa a \tau d$ тov̀s $\xi \nu \mu$ -




' Never was any winter so actively em. ployed in military prepar. ations as the winter of 414-413 в.c.'




(19) * Tô̂ $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu e ́ \nu o v ~ \eta ̉ \rho o s ~ \epsilon v ̀ \theta ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̉ \rho \chi o \mu e ́ v o v ~$





 moment of spring-most important of all was the re-in. vasion of Attica. -The plain in the neighbourhood of A. Was first laid waste, after which the auter!?
 $\tau \epsilon$ vod B ; the rest entrotevovio.
 $\pi \rho \omega \iota a l \tau a \tau \alpha$ B ; $\pi \rho \varphi ́ \tau a \tau a \mathrm{Cl} .$, Sta., Hu., Bh.

2 invaders proceeded to their special purpose of erecting a fortified post at Dekeleia.'
 $\delta \grave{~} \dot{\eta} \Delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \hat{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \iota a \quad \sigma \tau a \delta i o v s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \tau \eta ̄ s$







 embarked a force of 600 Lac. hoplines, and 300 Boeotian hop. litas.' є́ $\pi \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ 'á $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$
 $\omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu, \xi v \nu a \mu \phi о \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ és é $\xi a \kappa о \sigma l o v s$ oi $\pi \lambda i \tau a s, \kappa a i$








 the best MSS. have $\epsilon \pi l$, and so Kr., HF. - ['s rd kakovpyeiv] Kr., Sta., Mw., Hug.
 ' $\ddagger$ दakorious om. M.

 the rest have, as $\dot{d} \pi d$ expresses the origin from which the troops were derived, not merely the place from which they came in-


Өov，тov̀s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ ' А \rho \kappa a ́ \delta \omega \nu, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ з о ~$





 $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \omega ิ \nu o s \quad \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i ̄ \sigma a \iota \quad$ à $\nu \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \mu о \nu \nu$








 vav̂s tрıáко⿱та ếбтєı入av каi Xapı－





＇We read with amarement－ that while this important work was actually going on－the $A$ ． sent out，not only a fleet of 30 triremes to an－ noy the coasts of Pel．，but also the great arma－ ment which they had resolved upon under
 Demosth．＇


 $\sigma d \mu \in \nu 0 c \mathrm{M}$ ．

5．aúroîs B ：the rest omit it．－$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ］all but B have $\pi \rho b \tau \epsilon \rho o v$. －$\tau d s$ before $\delta \lambda x d \delta \alpha s$ om．M．

1．$\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{B}$ B only．－$\tau \epsilon$ after $\pi \epsilon \rho$ B only．－－［ $\tau \epsilon]$ edd．，after Reiske．





 joind at
Aegina.


 $\lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu$.

 ミıракоv́бas, ä้\%


2 (Gylippus returned to Syr. early in the spring-with fresh reinforcements from the interior.-It was his first care, in conjunction 3 with Hermokrates, to inspire the Syr. with courage for fighting the $A$. on shipboard."The A. (said H.) have not been always eminent at sea as they now are: they were once

 та८ $\pi \lambda \epsilon і \sigma \tau a s ~ \kappa а і ~ \nu a \nu \mu a \chi i ́ a s ~ a ̀ m o ́-~$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ үà $\rho$ à ${ }^{\prime}$
 тòv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ катєрүá $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. $\xi v \nu-10$

 è $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~$ $\lambda$ év $\omega \nu$ oủdè èкéivous тátpıov т̀̀ $\nu$ é $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a \nu ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̀ l \delta \iota o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta S ~ 15 ~$


2. катер $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta a u$ MSS.



 Sta., Cl., Hw.

є̀ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ${ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega ́ \tau a s ~ \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ lendsmen. They $\sum \nu \rho a \kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu$ ŏ $\nu \tau a s$ кal ả $\nu a \gamma \kappa a \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \nu$ - have orten by
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̆ ̀ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \rho o u ́ s, ~ o l o v s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~$ 'A日ŋvaiovs, тoùs à $\lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau$ átovs à̀ [à̉тoîs] фaìvє $\sigma \theta a{ }^{\circ}$




 ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \nu a u \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota \pi \lambda$ éo $\tau \iota$, $\delta \iota a ̀$ тò








 $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ П $\lambda_{\eta \mu \mu \nu \rho i ́ \varphi}^{\tau} \tau \epsilon i \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \iota \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$




'Gylippus marched out his land-force secretly by night, over Epipolae and round by the right bank of the Anapus, to the neighbourhood of the fort of Plemmyrium. With the first dawn, the Syr. fleet sailed out.
3. $a v$ [aủroîs] Badham, Hw. ; some edd. omit $d \nu$ and retain aüroîs, others omit both ; кal aüroùs Cl .


1. таребке $\frac{1}{}$ бато M .
 unprepared,completely defeated them.'
 aủтoîs, [кai] $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \mu \epsilon i \hat{\xi} a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}$











'But this victory was more than counterbalanced by the irreparable loss of Plemmyrium. During the first excitement, the garrison went to the water's edge, little suspecting the presence of their enemy on the land-side. Gylippus at-
2 tacked the forts, and captured them after a feeble resistance.'
'The garrison sought safety as they could, and rowed across the
 $\kappa a \tau a \beta a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ кaì тท̂ $\nu a v \mu a \chi i a ̨ ~ \tau \grave{\imath} \nu$

 $\tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \in \sigma \iota$, кaì aipєî тò $\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \pi \rho \omega$.
 ở $\chi \dot{v} \pi о \mu \in \iota \nu a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $\omega \hat{\nu} \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \kappa \omega \nu, \dot{\omega} \varsigma$
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ e ̀ \kappa ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ т o v ̂ ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ т о v ~ a ́ \lambda o ́ \nu т о s ~ \chi a-~$
 $\pi \lambda o i ̂ a ~ \kappa a i ~ o ̂ \lambda \kappa a ́ \delta a ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \kappa a \tau e ́ \phi v \gamma o \nu, ~$


$\lambda \iota \mu$ évı $\nu a v \sigma i \quad \kappa \rho a t o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \hat{\imath}$ vav- Grant Harbour cia





 $\nu a v \mu a \chi o v ̂ \sigma a \iota, ~ \beta \iota a \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu a \iota ~ т d s ~ т \omega ิ \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu$








 $\nu \eta \sigma i \delta i ́ \varphi ~ \sigma \tau \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\varrho} \pi \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \Pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho i o v, ~}$


 $\Pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho i ́ \omega$ тєí $\chi \eta$ ai $\chi$ о к cai тротаîa was no less er $\sigma т \eta \sigma a \nu$ aủт $\omega \hat{\nu}$ трía. каi тò $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ productive to


 $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ on $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \lambda \omega \in \epsilon \iota$ there were vast

 єீá $\lambda \omega^{\cdot}$ äтє $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ т $\tau \mu \iota \epsilon i \varphi ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ т $\omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ тоîs тєí $\chi \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu \pi o ́ \rho \omega \nu ~$
 т $\rho \iota \eta \rho a ́ \rho \chi \omega \nu$, є́тєі каі ібті́a тєббара́кодта т $\rho \iota \eta$ -




- The Syr. were now masters of the mouth of the harbour on both sides, so that not a single storeship could enter without a convoy and a battle.'
$\dot{\eta}$ тоv П $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho i ́ o v ~ \lambda \hat{\eta} \psi \iota \varsigma^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ éть oủ ${ }^{\prime}$ oi ế $\sigma \pi \lambda o \iota ~ a ̀ \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \eta ̄ \sigma a \nu ~$ [Tฑ̂s є่ $\pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ (oi 20



 $\mu а т \iota$.
 'Their position $\Sigma$, was so much improved-that they began even to assume the aggressive at sea.'

 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ ä $\gamma o v \sigma a$, olँ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ тá $\tau \epsilon 5$


 9, 1, Sta., Hu., Cl.- $\tau \omega \hat{v}$ B only ; the rest om.

3. $\mu \notin \gamma \iota \sigma \tau b \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{M}$, Sta., Cl.—т $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{BM}$; the rest
 тd a a $\lambda \lambda a$ MSS., except G.- $\pi a \rho \in i ̂ \chi \epsilon$ M.
25 1. o $\pi \pi \epsilon$ CAFGM, Hu. ; $8 \pi \omega s$ B, Kr., Cl., Sta., Bh., Hw., Sitz. ; $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ E. See M.T. 565, 572 ; on the other side M. L. Earle in Clas. Rev. vi. 93.- $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. . ধ̇тотри́v $\omega \sigma \iota$ MSS.
 Vix dubium quin legendum-боuбı $-\nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma .{ }^{\prime}$ Dobree. - $\tau \epsilon$ after rá B only.
 $\pi \nu \nu \theta a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \pi \lambda о i ̂ a ~ т о i ̂ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i o l s ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ т \omega \nu$





 $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \in ́ \omega \nu$ ó $\pi \lambda i t a \varsigma^{\bullet} \kappa a i$ á $\nu a \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ av่тоข̀s oi

 тро̀s тоîs Mevápoıs，$\mu i ́ a \nu ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ \nu a v ̂ \nu ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu ~$ $a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota, ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̆ ̉ \lambda \lambda a s ~ o u ̉ k ~ e ́ \delta u \nu \eta ' \theta \eta \sigma a \nu, ~ 20 ~$


 ко́бьоє трò т $\omega \hat{\nu} \pi a \lambda a \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \omega \sigma о i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ $\kappa a \tau \epsilon ́ \pi \eta \xi a \nu$ є่ע тท̂ $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ ，öт $\pi \omega \varsigma$ av̉－ тоîs ai עท̂єs évтòs óp $\mu$ оîєע каi oi ＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \pi \lambda є ́ о \nu \tau є s ~ \mu \eta े ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \pi т о \iota є \nu ~$
 $\nu a \hat{\nu} \mu \nu \rho \iota o \phi o ́ \rho o \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ o i ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~$

 ぶvevov ảvaסoúrevoc тoùs $\sigma$ тavpoùs $\kappa а i \quad \dot{a} \nu \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \kappa о \nu, \kappa a i ~ \kappa а т а к о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$
[^2]1，$\chi \rho \eta \mu d \tau \omega \nu \gamma^{\xi} \mu$ о ${ }^{2} \tau a$ B only；the rest $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ ．$\chi \rho \eta \mu$ ．

6．גуєî̀коע Widmann，cf．ii．76，4，where Rutherford made the same alteration ；$\alpha \nu \epsilon \sigma \pi \omega \nu$ Cl．，Hw．，from the schol．；$\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \kappa$－ $\lambda \omega \nu$ MSS．

| Syr dockyard- |
| :--- |
| but they gained |
| $\epsilon \in \xi \in ́ \pi$ | little by it.'

 ò $\lambda \kappa a ́ \delta o s ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \epsilon ́ ß \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau e ́ \lambda o s ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ т о \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~$ $7 \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \tau a \nu \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ả $\nu \epsilon i ̂ \lambda o \nu$ oi ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota . \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta$


 $\pi \rho \circ \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\Phi} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \rho i$ er $\rho \mu a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu a v ̂ \nu$.




 $\pi \epsilon i ́ \rho a \iota \varsigma ~ \pi a \nu \tau o i ́ a \iota s ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \chi \rho \omega ̂ \nu \tau o . ~$
(9) ${ }^{\nu} \mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$ dè каì és tads $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ oi
 in the interior. They made known the prodigious improvement in Syracusan affairs-they strenuously pleaded for further aid to Syr. without delay.'

 ova $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \epsilon \omega \nu$ ' $\sigma \sigma \chi$ uv $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta}$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i \mu \omega \nu$ on $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ar $\lambda \lambda \eta \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂$, $\kappa a i ́, \hat{\eta} \nu \phi \theta a ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ aüvoì т $\rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon l \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$


9. [avi] omitted by BCM.- $\delta\llcorner a \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma 6 \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \mathrm{~B}$ only; the rest $\delta \iota a \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. .




 $\nu \eta \sigma 0 \nu \tau \varphi$ тє Харıк入є каi таіs трьакоута $\nu a v \sigma i$ т $\omega \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \xi \nu \mu$ $\mu i \sigma \gamma \epsilon \iota, к а і$ тарадаßóvтєя тผิ 'A






the coasts of 5 Laconia, and established a strong post on the island of Kythera to encourage desertion among the Helots. From hence Charikles re- 10 turned, while $\mathbf{D}$. conducted his armament round Pol. to Korkyra.'









 $\kappa a i$ oi ' $\mathrm{A} \rho \gamma \in \hat{i} o \iota ~ a ̆ \mu a$.

26 1. 'is om. M.
 $d \mu a \mathrm{~B}$ only ; the rest omit.


1. $[\tau \hat{\nu} \nu] \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{BG}$.

 1500 peltasts from Thrace ;but these men did not arrive in 2 time.'

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu . \quad$ oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \dot{\omega}$ v́v $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$






'The A. now underwent the fatal experience of a hostile garrison within 15 miles of their city; an experience peculiarly painful this summer,* as
4 well from its
4 novelty, as from the extraordinary vigour which Agis dis-played.-No part of Attica was secure or could be rendered productive.'
 $\rho a i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \delta o \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$



 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a . \quad \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ дà $\beta$ Ba-


 $\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$, кaì ótè $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o ́ v \omega \nu$




2. $\tau 0 \hat{u} a \dot{v} . \theta \notin \rho o u s \tau$. B only; the rest $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta$. $\tau$.
 $\beta a \nu \epsilon(\nu)$.
3. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \mathrm{B}$ only; the rest $\dot{u} \pi \delta$. - $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ before $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Bekker ; $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau o \iota s$ MSS. ; $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$ Dobree, Hw.
$\mu о \nu$ ध́тооєєito, $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a$ oi 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ є ́ ß \lambda a ́ т т о \nu т о . ~$ 5 тท̂s тє үàp $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ \dot{a} \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu т о, ~ к а і ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho a-~$







 є̇к тท̂s Eủßoías, тро́тєроע є̇к той 'so terrible a
 $\theta a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma a, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ इoúviov кaià the increased
 Өàaббav тодขт

 2 фрои́рıо⿱ катध́ $\sigma \tau \eta$. т òs रà $\tau \hat{\eta}$ A. was reduced to the condition of something like a military post.'


 $\pi o v, ~ o i ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ t o v ̂ ~ t e i ́ \chi o u s, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \theta e ́ p o v s ~ к а i ̀ ~$






28 1. өâ $\sigma \sigma o \nu$ GM, Krïger, Classen, Böhme, Sitz. ; $\theta$ â $\sigma \sigma o \nu ~ l o v ̂ \sigma a ~$ Badham.
4. 'є申' 8 . $\pi \mathbf{0}$ B, Cl., Sta., Bh., Hw., Sitz.; the other MSS.
 stein, коц $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu е \nu о$ Miul.-Str. See Appendix II.

 $\pi о \lambda \iota о \rho \kappa о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\oplus}$ íтò $\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta-$


 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$, кaì тò̀ $\pi a \rho a ́ \lambda o \gamma o \nu ~ \tau o \sigma o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \pi o \iota \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~$









- The attacks from Dekelela4 brought the finances of $A$. into positive
aעєì





 Bothe reads $\gamma \epsilon$, Badham $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$, Sitz. кal; Sta. corrects $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ aurroùs to $\pi a \rho$ ' aíroís; Shil. on i. 25 proposes to render $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ ' $n$ namely'; Holden makes the infins. exclamatory, which few will consider appropriate either to $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ or to the context or to the author; Cl. supposes an anacoluthon, the verb to $\tau \delta \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. not being inserted.-aúthŋ $\gamma \epsilon$ ] all the best MSS. have aüriv $\tau \epsilon$. -
 read $\delta \sigma o l$; Sitz. ol $\pi \epsilon \rho$; Sta. and others make only $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ correlative to roбоиิтov, and render $8 \sigma o \nu$ 'inasmuch as.' - <oi $\mu \dot{c} \nu>$ has dropped out in consequence of (a) ol $\mu \dot{z} \nu$ following, (b) the resemblance of OCONKAT to OCONOIMENKAT. See note. -ol $\delta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ MSS. $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ;$ Cl. $\delta \mu \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. My argument will be found in Class. Rev. vi. (1892), p. 303 f.

4. $\delta \iota \partial$ M. $-\tau \epsilon \mathrm{B}$ only ; the rest omit.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho o \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ á $\delta v ́ \nu a \tau o l$ increasing her
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} 0 \nu \tau 0$ тоis $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \sigma \iota$. val $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \begin{aligned} & \text { altered the } \\ & \text { principle on }\end{aligned}$
 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau i ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ ф o ́ \rho o v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$
 à $\nu \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega ~ т \rho о \sigma \iota e ́ v a l . ~$

 $\sigma a \nu, ~ o ̈ \sigma \varphi ~ \kappa a i ̀ \mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ oo $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu$, ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\rho o ́ \sigma$ oठoı ar $\pi \omega \dot{\omega} \lambda \lambda \nu \nu \tau 0$.
 $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau a \varsigma$, $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi a \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu$ 'The A . placed

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa о \mu l \sigma a \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Delta \iota \epsilon \iota-$




 סıà tá ${ }^{\prime}$





5. ETE $\theta_{\epsilon \sigma a \nu] ~ E \pi o i \eta \sigma a \nu, ~ M S S . ; ~ c o r . ~ b y ~ H w ., ~ B a d h a m . ~}^{\text {. }}$
6. $\delta \dot{v} \nu \eta \tau a \iota \mathrm{~B}$ only ; the rest $\delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota$.
7. $\tau \epsilon$ B only; the rest omit.-Távaypad MSS. ; cor. Cl.

$\pi \rho о \sigma$ éкєєто, ova $\sigma \eta$ ov̉ $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta$, каì ai pєî à $\phi \nu \lambda a ́ \kappa-$
 тıva $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ àmò $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ \tau о \sigma o v ̂ т o \nu ~ e ́ \pi т a \nu a-~$




4 'In his way through the marched up some distance from the sea to Mykaléssus. Not only were all the houses, and even the temples, plundered-but the Thracians further manifeasted that raging thirst for blood which seemed inherent in their race.' $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \omega \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \quad$ oi © oikías cai ta iepà લ̇тópOov̀ kail 25


 èvtú ${ }^{\circ} \iota \nu$, cai тaîठas cal yvvaîкas











'The succour brought from Thebes-arrived only in time to avenge, not to
 ката入аßо́дтєє трокє $\chi \omega \rho \eta \kappa о ́ т а \varsigma ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta$

3. or B only ; the rest omit.-rıva . . Exavaßdytas M.

5. каӨєбтйкє M.

1. Өрîkas трокєХ $\omega \rho \eta \kappa 6 \tau a s$ M.



















 Muкa入ท $\sigma \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \pi a ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \nu$ ing.' ${ }_{25}$




2. [кal т $\grave{\nu}$ 0.] Badham, Hw., Hu. ; [rò E E 0 рırov kal] Sta.

 AEFM.


3. $\epsilon \pi l$ B only ; the rest $e^{k}$.




 ZáкvขӨov каi Kєфаддทขíà óтлíтая тє тарє́-

- Demosth. proceeded to
Zacynthus and Kephalleniaand to Anal-torium.-It was here that he was
3 by Eury-medon-who was returning to act as colleague to D. The news brought by Eur. was discouraging. Yet the two admirals were under the necessity of sparing ten triremes to rein. force Konon at 4 anus.






 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \downarrow a ̆ a ̀ a ̀ \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \theta \eta$, wal ad $\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \iota$











1. $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{B}$ only ; the rest omit.
2. ката入úovoı тд̀ $\pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \nu$ MSS. ; Madvig, Sta., Ha. bracket
















 $\tau о \hat{v}$ П $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \nu \rho i o v$ ä $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'The envoys

 $a ̆ \xi \in \iota \nu \tau \grave{̀} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \nu, o ̊ N \iota \kappa l a s \pi \rho o \pi v \theta_{o ́-}^{-}$


 pus кaì ar $\lambda \lambda o v s, ~ o ́ \pi \omega s ~ \mu ̀ े ~ \delta \iota a \phi p \eta^{\prime}$ approaching




 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \epsilon ́ \delta \rho a \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~[\tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\eta}] ~ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, 15$
3. ápiotas M.

32 1. $\tau 0 \hat{\imath}$ om. M. - $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ om. MT.- $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \rho \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota] \delta \iota a \phi \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \mathrm{CM}$;
 $\kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \sigma o v a \iota$ BARF.
2. $\tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ om. M ; $\tau \iota \nu d \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{B}$; $\tau \rho i \chi \hat{\eta} \iota \tau \iota \nu \mathrm{~d}$ T.



 éкó $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ és тàs．ミupaкov́бas．
$38 \mathrm{Kai} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ tàs aủtàs ì $\mu$ épas кal oi Kama－
＇The Greek cities in Sicily， except Agri－ gentum（and of course except Naxos and Katana），re－ solved on aiding the winning cause．＇

 $\kappa o ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \delta \grave{̀ ~ a ̀ ~} \kappa о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau a l ~ \kappa a l ~ т о \xi ̆ ́ т а \iota ~$ трıако́бьои．ётєєц廿аע $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каl oi 5
 каі áкоут८бтàs тєтракобiovs каі 2 imтéas $\delta \iota a \kappa o \sigma i ́ o u s . ~ \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~$







 crossed the Ionian sea－and sailed on to Tharii．Here he found him． self cordially welcomed；for
4 the philo－Athen－ ian party was in
 $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \rho o v, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \omega ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \xi \nu \mu \pi a ́ \sigma \eta \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ бтратьâ тòv＇Ióvוov é $\pi$＇ăкрад＇I $a \pi v$－

 ＇Iaturias，каì ảко⿱亠兀бтás тé tıvas［ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇Ianú－
 ráon M．－＇I $\dot{\nu} \nu \iota o \nu$ M．

4．［ $\boldsymbol{\tau \omega \hat { \nu }}$＇Iarúy $\omega \nu$ ］Hw．，Sta．


 $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ aủтoîs，ả $\nu a \nu \in \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota a ̀ \nu ~$


 бíovs каl трıท́peıs סv́o ка⿱亠 áva入aßóvтes tav̂тa so
 $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i$ бтáбєı тoùs tồ＇A $\theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu$ évàtiovs 6 е́ктєттшко́тая• каі ßоидо́ $\mu \in \nu о \iota ~ т \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ aủтó $\theta \iota$ тâaà $\dot{a} \theta \rho o l \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon \check{~} \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda e ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau о$





34





 up its station on up its station Achaia．－After considerable degay，the attack．The battle lasted


4．$\omega \sigma \pi \in \rho \mathrm{M}$ ．
5．$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi e i \nu \xi \nu \nu \pi \notin \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ M．
84 1．$\pi \in \rho l$ B only ；the rest kal ol $\pi \in \rho l$ ．
thought itsilf
entitled tod
a trophy.













 'The captains of $\gamma \in i \in \sigma a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \xi \in \iota \rho \in \sigma i ́ a s ~ \dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ т $\tau \hat{\nu}$ Cor. had become fully aware of 6 the superiority of A. nautical manceuvre-and build of their triremes accordingly.'
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau d ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \omega \tau i \delta a s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \chi o v \sigma \omega ̂ \nu . \quad \nu a v-$

 ठغ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vavarl $\omega \nu$ крат $\eta \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime}, \delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \sigma a \nu \dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu, \kappa a i \delta i \omega \xi \iota \varsigma 35$



2. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s \mathrm{~B}$ only ; the rest $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \epsilon \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \kappa 6 \tau \epsilon s$.

6. jqdics B only ; the rest кal.



 $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ aủtoil oủ $\bar{\eta} \sigma \sigma \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \iota^{\prime}$ ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oủס' oi

 oí $\tau^{\prime}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ e ́ v o ́ ~ \mu \iota \zeta o \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ of victory lay on 8 ö́t८ ov $\pi 0 \lambda \nu$ évíк$\omega \nu$. à $\pi о \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma a ́ \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} o \pi \sigma \nu \nu \eta \sigma i \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ то人 Corinth, and that of defeat on



 є่̇ $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$ úta.)
35 'O ס̀̀ $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \eta s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ E u ̉ \rho \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$



 т $\hat{s} \mathrm{~K} \rho o \tau \omega \nu \iota a ́ \tau \iota \delta o s, ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ tò̀



 $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \pi o \nu ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ a ̀ ̀ ~ \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~$
7. aúrol Cl., Sta., Hw., Sitz. ; aírd B ; the rest $\delta i$ 'aủrb.-
 with B only, Cl., Sta. (ed. ster.), Hw., Bh., Sitz. ; the rest $\epsilon l$ $\mu$.
8. $\xi v \mu \mu a \chi i a$ M.










 Syr. altered the construction of their triremes:the prow, but

2 made it heavy and solid. -The nozzles were made peculiarly thick -and pro-jectod-no that they served to strike the enemy.'
 aủтò тои̃то $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu$ є̇ $\lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ aủтoùs $\phi \theta a ́-{ }^{5}$






 adv








86
2. too telzous M.
 od., for MSS. ${ }^{\text {a }} \nu \tau i \pi \rho \omega \rho o l$ rd $\rho$.









'The A. were cooped up close on the station of their enemiesso that they could not pull round for want of space, nor could they back water, because they durst not come near shore."













4. $\delta \iota \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda$ our B only; the rest $\delta \delta \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$; [ $\delta i \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ] and [ $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \pi \in \rho \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ] Cobet, Sta., Mw., Mu.






 on the narrow. ness of the space.'


 ö̀ os tov̂ $\lambda^{\prime} \mu$ ćvios.

Tolaûta oi इupaкóбiol $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ £ ̇ a u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 was marched out under G. to threaten one side of the A . lines, while the cavalry and the garrison of the
2 Olym. marched up to the other side.' $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \kappa a i$ ä $\mu a \tau \epsilon \theta a \rho \sigma \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu$











'The A. when they saw the Syr. fleet sailing out prepared for action-went out to meet the enemy. The




6. $\lambda_{\iota} \mu \notin \nu a$ крои́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{M}$.

1. $\tau \epsilon \theta a \rho \rho \eta \kappa b \tau \epsilon s$ M.
 B only; the rest $\xi \xi \xi \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu$.
2. $\boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{\phi} \nu \omega \boldsymbol{M} \text {. }}$
$\pi \rho \dot{o}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ toîs $\pi \rho o \sigma \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ day passed off in
 desultory skin. mesh.'




















 next day the
Syr. made no Syr. made no
movement, yet N.-caused
every trierarch every trierarch damage his ship had sustained; and even took the precaution of further securing his naval





38
3. [kail] Cl., Sta., Ph., Hm., Ha., Sitz.
4. $\tau<$ after $\tau l$ om. M. $-\pi \rho \rho \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{M} .-\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau o \hat{M}$.
5. סıa入ımoü mas M.





- Next dayseveral hours were spent in $2 \begin{gathered}\text { indecisive } \\ \text { skirmishes }\end{gathered}$ until at length the Syr. fleet sailed back to A. -supposing the day's duty at an end, re-tired-and sere they had been long ashore, they were astonished to
see the Syr fee sailing back.This was a manoeuvre. The skirmishing again com-menced-until the A. captainsthe action general. -The general victory complete.


 ávтlkataбтávтes taîs vavoi tò̀ 6







 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a ́ y}$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Өá入aббav $\mu \in \tau a \dot{\sigma} \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ коцl- 16













田ГГГРАФНГ．Z（38－41）

 aủtov̀s $\dot{\omega}$ s $\dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \eta \mu$ évous $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$






 $\chi \rho o ́ \nu o \nu ~ \mu e ́ v ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ a ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi o \nu \tau о ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \phi \nu \lambda a \sigma-15 ~$



 $\kappa o ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \xi 彑 ́ a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~[\tau \epsilon] ~ \nu a v \sigma i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho \varphi ́ \rho o ı s ~ 20 ~$ $\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu о \iota, ~ \varpi ँ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho} \delta_{\iota \epsilon \nu o \eta} \theta_{\eta} \sigma a \nu, \tau \omega ิ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{?}$ $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ à $\nu \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu v \sigma a \nu$ тàs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$
 т $ิ \nu \kappa а т а \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \kappa о \nu \tau i \zeta о \nu \tau \epsilon ऽ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a ~$
 oí $\epsilon \mathrm{c} \nu$ тoîs $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda o l o ı s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 тоעтєя т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ то入є $\mu l \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa a i$ és тd̀ $\pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \iota a$



40 2．$\dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \hat{\mathrm{B}} \omega \nu \mathrm{M}$ ．
3．$\mu$ uncs B only ；the rest $\mu 6 \gamma / s$.
5．$\tau \in$ hefore $\nu$ avoiv om．AFGM．－$\epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ MSS．Cor． Abresch（Diluc．p．691）．

 $2 a i \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Sigma \nu \rho a \kappa о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \quad \nu \hat{\jmath} \epsilon \varsigma \mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 5$ ó $\lambda \kappa a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \kappa о \nu^{\cdot}$ é $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ aủтoùs ai кєраîa८



 4 aủтoîs ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ èá $\lambda \omega$ ．катабv́баעтєৎ $\delta$ ’ oi $\Sigma \nu \rho а \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota о \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu}$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi \tau \grave{a}$ ขav̂s каі̀ $\kappa а т а т \rho a \nu \mu а т і ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ s, ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о u ̀ \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~$
 $\sigma a \nu$ ，каì тротаиíá тє ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu a \nu \mu a \chi \iota \omega ิ{ }_{15}$ є̌ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，каi тウ̀ $\nu$ è $\lambda \pi i ́ \delta a ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ e ́ \chi u \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \chi o \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~$
 $\kappa а i \quad \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \zeta \grave{\nu} \nu \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．каi oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ©̀s
 avi $\theta$ ıs．



 for the time revived，by the entry of
Deniosth．and Eur．into the Gt．Harbour．－ At the sight of such an arma－ ment，the Syr． lost for a
2 moment the confidence of таîs $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa a i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ o ́ \pi \lambda i ́ т а я ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ 5 ~$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o u s$ éaut $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa а \lambda \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\kappa a{ }^{\text {＂}}$ Е $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ oủк ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o u s ~ \kappa a i ~ \sigma \phi є \nu$－ סоขท́таs каі то६о́таs каi тウ̀ $\nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ тарабкєvウ̀ $\nu$ iкаขグข．каi тоîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu 10$
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4．кal before $\tau \partial \nu \pi \epsilon \zeta \partial \nu \mathrm{B}$ only ；the rest om．












 $\pi \rho \omega ̂ т o \nu ~ o ́ ~ N ı c i ́ a s ~ ф о \beta є \rho o ́ s, ~ \omega ́ s ~ o u ̉ к ~$
'D. came penetrated with the deplorable effects which had resulted from the misєủӨ̀̀s тробє́кєєто таîs ミuракоv́баıs














3. $\gamma \nu \omega \omega \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ M.

- The counterwall built by the Syr. was a complete bar to his progress.'









 $\xi \iota_{\mu} \pi a \sigma a \nu$ тó入ıд.
' He began by trying -to storm it in front; butevery attempt was repulsed. There remained only the second method -to turn the wall.'

 тò̀ "Avatov cai тஸ̂ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup ̛ ̣ \mu a \tau \iota ~$
 $\tau \epsilon \bar{\pi} \epsilon \zeta \oplus \hat{\oplus} \kappa a i ̀ ~ r a i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma i \nu ~(o v ̉ \delta e ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$












4. $\dot{a} \pi \lambda_{0} \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \nu$ all but B. $\rightarrow \tau \epsilon$ before ' $\mathrm{E} \pi \iota \pi \gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ B only.ot B only.
5. $\tau \rho(\beta \in \sigma \theta a c$ all but B.

48 1. $\omega$ s before $\dot{e} \pi e v b e c \mathrm{~B}$ only ; the rest om.

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \epsilon \bar{\rho} \hat{\omega} \nu$ б८тla кaì то̀̀s $\lambda_{c} \theta o$ -



- But the march neceasary was 80 difficult-that he was constrained to attempt a night-surprise."







 Evpaкoaid̀v кai тробßávites тò ground-and











 ate

 $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \tau 0 \mathrm{~B}$ only ; the rest $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau 0$.

$\pi a \rho o v ́ \sigma \eta$ on $\rho \mu \hat{\eta}$ тоv̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\omega} \nu$ ëvєка $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$
'But both he and his men, too much flushed with successhastened forward to complate their


## 6

 6 a cory. Their ardour-disturbed their ranks, so that they were not in condition to stand the shock of the Boeotian hoplites.'



 $\xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi$ on каі on Гùдıттоя каі oi $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$













 the moon rendered objects visible, without being sufficient





 т $\mathbf{~ o m . ~ M T . ~}$





















 $\mu \iota \zeta o \nu, \kappa a i$ тoîs $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \eta \mu \mu \sigma \iota \tau 0 \hat{v} \xi v\rangle$ - confususion.






$44 \quad$ 1. ${ }^{\star} \nu \nu \in \operatorname{B}$ only; the rest om. $\gamma \epsilon$.






 plà $\pi a \rho \epsilon i ̂ \chi \epsilon \nu$. oí $\tau \epsilon$ jà ${ }^{\prime} A \overline{\rho \gamma \in i ̂ o \iota ~ к a i ~ o i ~} \overline{\mathrm{~K} \epsilon \rho-}$


 $\pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ aútoîs катà $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda a ̀$ тоṽ $\sigma \tau \rho a \dot{\tau} \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \delta o v$,








- With terrible loss and broken spirit, the A. at length found refuge in their own lines.'
 ö $\sigma \circ \iota ~ \eta ๋ \sigma a \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \nu \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \omega ิ \nu 5$




 matici, quanquam Eustathius eam formam maxime ionicis scriptoribus vindicat."-Haacke.

6. тalw
7. aúroîs M. -d $\lambda \lambda$ if $\lambda$ ous om. M.
8. кard $\tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к \rho \eta \mu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ मेжтортєs] ef. c. 45, 2. "Aliquem de


 [ol] Bloomfield. Neither Plutarch nor Valla seems to have found it.-катаßaîev, ol $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mathrm{B}$; the rest катаßalvoєу.


















乏ıcє






9. $\delta_{\epsilon \epsilon} \notin \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho 0 \nu M$.
 $46^{\text {גvvтo. }}$
 om.







－Painful evi－ dences of in－ creasing disor－ ganisation only made D．more strenuous in enforcing the resolution which he had taken before the attack on $4 \begin{gathered}\text { Epipolae．－He }\end{gathered}$ 4 insisted on re－ turning home．＇




 N孔аai tov̂ бтрatєv́uatos taîs yov̂v
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \iota \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ eै $\phi \eta$ єiva८ трòs

 єiva८ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot$ ov่ $\delta^{\prime}$ av̉ ằ $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \quad \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ то入入d $\delta a \pi a \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau a s ~ \epsilon i \mu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \kappa a \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$.



＇This pro－ position was peremptorily opposed by N．＇
ayүє́入tous

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \chi \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ тois $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota s ~ \kappa a \tau-$


47 1．катор 0 ô̂̀тes GM ；－as BCAEF．

3．$\delta \iota a \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$ AEFM．$\underset{\epsilon}{2} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta 0 \hat{0} \sigma \iota$ M．
 om．M．


 торทро́тєра т $\hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ er $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa a \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$

 $\dot{v \pi a \rho \chi o v \sigma a \iota s ~ \nu a v \sigma i ~ \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma о к р а т о и ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . ~ к а l ~}$







 $\nu \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu . \quad \kappa a i$ yd $\rho$ out тoùs ave－proceeding．＇






2．$\left.\delta \epsilon \epsilon_{\iota}\right] \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon_{\tau \iota} \mathrm{M}$ ，with BAEF．
3．$\pi \epsilon \rho l ~ \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ aư่ $\hat{\nu} \nu$ MSS．，Kr．，Mw．，Rh．，Hu．；$\pi \epsilon \rho l \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ［auer $\omega$ v］Dekker，Cl．，Sta．，Mil．，Sitz．：$\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$ aust $\hat{\nu} \nu$ must apply either to roùs aúroùs，or to Nicias and the troops．But taken with coos aúzous it is absurd，since the argument，which is clearly ＇they will be severe on us，＇thus becomes＇they will be severe on themselves＇；and if made to apply to the army，it implies that another party is mentally contrasted with the army；which is certainly not the case．But to remove aưrūv greatly weakens the sentence．I think $N$ ．is made to say our oi aúrol $\psi \eta \phi$ oûv－ $\tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \omega ิ \nu a u ̇ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，meaning，＇We，no doubt，shall be the same body；but then the voters will be different．＇－dкov́ovias BM ； rest áкoúба⿱亠䒑tas．－$\delta \iota a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ Sta．for MSS．－ot．

 zens now serv-
ing would alter their tone when they were safe in the public assembly.'
 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ кататгроסóvтєs oi $\sigma \tau \rho a-$ тทүoi ảтท̂ $\lambda \theta$ av. ov̂коขข $\beta$ оú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ aủтós $\gamma \in$ é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \mu \in \nu o s ~ \tau d s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu ~ \phi v ́ \sigma e \iota s ~$



 were now bed, those of S. were even worse. -He therefore advised to remain.' тє́ $\rho \omega$ єlva८• cai $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota$ خdे $\rho$ aủтoùs $\xi \in \nu о т \rho о ф о \hat{\nu} \tau a s$ cai є̇ $\nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi g \lambda$ ios 40








 $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \sigma \sigma o v s ~ \epsilon i \sigma l$, vıкฑ $\theta$ évtas ámıє val)



4. Td évavila M.
5. $\delta \mu 0$ ic $\omega$ for $\delta \mu \omega s$ M. - tot before eivcaut $\delta \nu$ (bracketed by Cl.) should perhaps be $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$; cp. ii. 25, 3; 86, 5. - $\tau \epsilon$ after $\delta_{\delta \sigma \chi}{ }^{(\lambda)}$ a B only.-dva入шкєvac MSS.- $\eta \nu \tau \epsilon$ oiv M.
6. $\chi \rho \hat{p} \nu \mathrm{va} ~ \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{M}$. - $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{B}$; the rest $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$. The conjectures are







 $\nu a i \omega \nu \psi \eta \phi / \sigma \mu a \tau o s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu a \nu \dot{\nu}$ -




 $\kappa a l$ oủk è̀ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu 0 \chi \omega \rho i a$, ท̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$







 sententiam non praebet neque huc pertinent exempla a Class. adscripta iii. 23,5 ; v. 55, 3 ; viii. 57,1 , ubi $\gamma(\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ contingere, evenire valet," Sta., who reads toîs 'A. < ímoxelpea>
 $\pi \rho d \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ú $\pi о \chi \in l \rho \iota a \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$. Naber reads < $\epsilon \pi l>$ > $\tau$ îs 'A. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. : but, as this passage is very similar to 48,2 , I have sub-

 MSS. ${ }^{\prime}$; $\epsilon \theta d \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ Gertz for $\theta a \rho \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ of CAEFGM; those who
 Badham, Rauchenstein ; <кal> крarø日els Cl., Hu. ; кратvvөels Bauer, Bothe.







4 'N. refused to consent. -Thus the armament remained, sparentry quite inactive.' zópevev. ávтı入éүоขтós סè той Nıкíov



 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \mu є у о \nu$.
 'G. returned
with a consider.
able force of
Sicilian Greeks.' $\pi a \rho \eta ̂ \sigma a \nu$ és tàs इupacov́бas, on $\mu$ èv













3. $\epsilon \xi a \nu i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$, placed after $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ in the MSS., was transfurred by Haase.


 $\sigma \tau a \lambda \in \nu \tau a s \quad \dot{\delta} \pi$. M.
2. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \varepsilon \chi \chi \in \ell \tau \omega \nu$ all but B. - $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \tau a \iota s$ all but B.












 pressed for im-
ineatiot de.
parture. -N . did not venture to persist.-He however in-sisted-that the order should be circulated as privately as possible to be ready at a given signal.'












 but B.


 note.
4. $\theta \epsilon a \sigma \mu \hat{\psi}$ for $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\psi}$ Cobet.




 speedily came to their knowledge, that the A. had resolved to make a furtive escape, em. boldened the 8 . to crush them as they were in the Gt. Harbour. G. practised for several days.-A desperate action took place. Eur. was slain, and the entire A. fleet was beaten.'








 2 ar $\nu a \gamma \kappa a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ a u ̛ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \nu a v \mu a \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ o u ̀ v ~ \nu a v ̂ s ~$












 before $\pi \in\} \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{om}$. C .
 M. - d $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ д́ouvı MSS. ; cor. Bk.



 $\mu e ́ \delta o \nu \tau a ~ е ̈ \chi о \nu \tau a ~ т o ̀ ~ \delta e \xi i o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu ~$









 $\kappa a ⿱ 亠 乂$




 2 тท̂s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s . ~ \kappa a l ~ a u ̀ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o i ~ T r u p-~$




－The Tyrrhenian troops sallied out against them，beat the foremost，and drove them away from the shore into the marsh．＇

52 1．трог $\mu \xi a \nu \tau e s$ MSS．
 Bothe ；C on．кal．- vaîs $\hbar \delta \eta$ all but B．
53 1．$\nu \alpha$ û́s $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \Sigma \nu \rho a k o \sigma l \omega \nu$ M．$-\pi \alpha \rho \in \beta o r \theta \eta$ M．
2．$\tau$ aúr B only ；the rest om．



 סєlбaעтєs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma i ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa а т є ́-~$ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \xi a \nu ~$
 $\nu a v ̂ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma a ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa а i ~ \xi u v \nu \eta ̆ \gamma а \gamma o \nu ~$





 [ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu a \hat{v} \nu] \pi \hat{v} \rho$ є̇ $\mu \beta a \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ o i ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a \hat{\imath} о \iota$ סєíбavтєs $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тaîs vavoì ả à $\tau \epsilon \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon$


 $\kappa o ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \nu a v \mu a \chi i ́ a s ~ \tau \rho o \pi a i ̂ o \nu ~ e ै \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu ~$



 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \varphi$.

 - $\mu$ '

 2 ó $\mu \in \tau a ́ \mu e \lambda о s . ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \in \sigma t ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~ т а u ́ t a \ell s ~$ the whole enterprise, and repentance for having undertaken it now became uppermost.'











 $\epsilon \nu$ av่тov̀s oi 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu . ~$ 2 тєs. oủ үà $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \rho i$ тои̂ aủтoi $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$


 óvтшע то入̀̀ $\sigma \phi \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa a \theta v \pi \epsilon ́ \rho т є \rho a$ тd̀ тра́уرата єivaı, каí, єi $\delta$ v́vaıעто
 mined to close up and guard the mouth of the harbour. Their pride swelled when they reflected on the Pan-Hellenic importance which the siege had now ac. quired, and when they - counted up the number and variety of Greek 10 warriors now fighting on one side or the other.'


2. креlббous CAEFGM ; креlббous бутеs B ; креlббovos Schol.

1. к入el $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ BAEM.




 סєíбavтєs тєןì taîs vavđì és $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ тє катє́$\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \omega \xi a \nu$







 [ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu a \hat{v} \nu] \pi \hat{v} \rho$ є̇ $\mu \beta a \lambda o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ o i ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~$








 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau о \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \varphi$.



то̂̂ $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ évous vâ̂s é $\tau \epsilon \lambda \theta o v ́ \sigma a s)$ ，fleet would have
 गेбау каi ó тарá入oyos aútoîs $\mu$ éyas $\dot{\eta} \nu$ ，то入і̀ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in i \zeta \omega \nu$ eैтє тท̂s бтратєías
 the whole onter－ the whole ent
prise，and ro－ pentance for having under－ taken it now became upper－ most．＇











 $\epsilon \nu$ au่тoùs oi＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \epsilon ่ к \pi \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu$－ 2 тєร．ov่ үàp тєрi тov̂ av่тoi $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$


 óvтшע то入̀̀ $\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \kappa a \theta v \pi \epsilon ́ \rho т є \rho a ~ т a ̀ ~$ тра́умата єivaı，каí，єi סúvaıעто $\kappa \rho a \tau \eta \hat{\sigma a \iota}$＇А $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ те каі т $\omega \nu \xi \nu \mu$－ mined to close ap and gaard the mouth of the harbour．－ Their pride swelled when they reflected on the Pan－Hellenic importance which the siege had now ac． quired，and when they－ counted up the number and variety of Greek 10 warriors now fighting on one side or the other．＇ $\mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ каi катd $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ каi катd̀ $\theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu, ~ к а \lambda o ̀ \nu ~$


2．креlббous CAEFGM ；креlббous ठитеs B ；креl $\sigma \sigma$ ovos Schol．

2．$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota \mathrm{C}$ only ；the rest $\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ．







 $\nu a i ́ \omega \nu \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu o \nu \tau 0, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ каì $\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$







 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ тє $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \kappa a i ̀ ~ \Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \sum \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda i ́ a s, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \xi u v \gamma к \tau \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon-$
 $\xi \nu \nu \delta \iota a \sigma \omega ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \epsilon \grave{\epsilon \pi i}$ ミupaкov́бaıs è $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$, ov̉ кaтdे $\delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu$ тє $\mu \hat{a} \lambda$ - $s$

## 

3. $\mu 6 \nu \omega \nu$ Sta. for MSS. $\mu b \nu 0 \nu .-d \lambda \lambda \alpha$ кal . . $\mu b \nu o c$ om. M. ; $\mu 6 \nu 0$ Madvig for MSS. $\mu b \nu 0 \nu$.-[ $\mu$ épos] Kr.
4. $b_{x}$ 入ov Kr. for MSS. $\lambda$ byov. See Appendix II. Cf. c. 75,
 that $\xi v v e \lambda \theta b v \tau o s ~ c a n n o t ~ b e ~ s u p p l i e d ~ f r o m ~ \xi u v \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, (2) that, if it could, it would not give sense. He thinks $\xi v \sigma \tau d \nu \tau o s$, or something similar, is lost after $\tau 00$.


 $\xi v u \tau u x i a s ~ \hat{\eta}$ кaTà to $\xi_{u}$



 to be found;-
also the barbaric
Sikels, Bg e-
staeans, Tyr-
rhenians, and Iapygians.'


 'E $\sigma \tau \iota a \iota \hat{\eta} s$ oi èv Eủßoía ['E $\sigma t i a l a \nu$ oikov̂vtes], 15













 CAEG; d $\nu d \gamma \kappa \eta s$ B.
5. ol for ol M.-['E. olkoûvtes] Kr.
6. [кal $\phi 6 \rho 0 v$ ن่тот $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] Sta., because the Chians are included and are said below to be oúx ن̇ँотe入eís $\phi 6 \rho o v$. But the clause


 B only; Thio AEFGM; Trtoc C.- Evvéatovio all the good MSS.














 $\kappa a \tau \grave{d}$ ठ̀̀ тò $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ к а т є \iota \rho \gamma o ́ \mu є \nu o \iota, ~$









7. "I $\omega$ pes $\tau \in$ all but B.
8. [ $\mu$ erd $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.] I bracket ; Bocwroîs <roîs > Merd $\Sigma$. Lindan. кal avtuxpus Bh. for MSS. кatautuкpi which is always local in. Attic.
9. axouxat [Ku*tpiot] Bothe ; but cf. c. 86, 3.
10. ék Nautáktou B only ; rest èv Nautd́krч; hence év N. dx N. Cl. ; <oi> éx Nautaktov [каl] Kr.



 $\mu о \nu i \omega \nu$ тє è́ $\chi$ Өра今 каі тйs тараитiкка ёкабтоє










 $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu o v s ~ \phi i \lambda i ́ a ~ \kappa a l ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ є v ่ \nu o i ́ a ~ \xi u ́ \mu \mu a-~$


 $\sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa a \iota \rho \omega ̂ \nu \nu$ катєı入ך $\mu \mu \in ́ \nu o \iota, \xi ̆ \nu \nu \in \sigma \tau \rho a ́ t \in v o \nu$,





9．$\gamma \dot{\mathrm{d}} \rho$ om．all but B．－oîv for oú M．－$-\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda$ las om．all but B．－aкovtas for éкbעtas all but B．

11．＇I $\omega \nu i \omega \nu$ M．－$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ AEFM．—катє $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \hat{\ell} \nu 0 九$ Reiske
 all but B ．


























58 2. $\mu є \rho a i ̂ o r ~ f o r ~ ' ~ I ~ \mu e \rho а i o o ~ M . ~ . ~$
3. $\delta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ eits M.-[ol] Bk.-[ $\delta$ ivaral . . eival] Portus. The Schol. did not find these words, for he notes $\nu$ teo $\delta \mu \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ s o eivec-






 $\eta \quad$ चav.

















 $\tau a \xi i a \rho \chi o \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho o v ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon$

4. $\sigma v v_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e} \gamma \eta$ all but B. $-\sigma v \rho a k o(v) \sigma$ lous all but B. $-\tau \epsilon$ after
 C.
2. $\tau \epsilon$ oiv MSS., cor. Kr. - кai ol $\xi u u^{\mu}$. B only ; rest om., and

3. $\notin \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu$ BAGM.

1. $\alpha \pi \delta \kappa \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$ BAEGM.



 ' $N$. and his coileagues now
evacated the upper portion of their lines confning them. selves to a limited space close to the then madey then made ready which could be rendered ever so imperfectly seaworthy, constraining every ${ }^{\text {. }}$ without distinc. tion of age, rank, or country.'
 öбov oióv te è̉áरı́бтò toîs te



 тєраı, та́дта тıдà є́ $\sigma \iota \beta a ́ \zeta о \nu т є s$















 jovtes for ${ }^{2} \sigma \beta \iota \beta d j_{0 \nu \tau \epsilon s}$ AEFM.
2. $\left[\dot{\eta} \lambda_{1 x l a s} \mu \in \tau \epsilon \chi \omega \nu\right]$ Hw.



 та今 т＠̂ тє тарà тò єiшOòs то入ì тaîs $\nu a v \sigma i$ кратฑ $\hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \dot{a} \theta \nu \mu о \hat{\nu т а я, ~ к а і ~}$

＇N．saw but too plainly that the confidence habi－ tual to A．on 35 extinct．＇

 тоцádє．
 ẳ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ，ó $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ ảy $\dot{\omega} \nu$ ó $\mu \in ́ \lambda$－
 тері тє бштпріая каі татрlסos ѐка́－
 үà $\rho$ кратท́б $\omega \mu \in \nu$ ขv̂v тaîs $\nu a v \sigma i ́ \nu$,
 $2 \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \delta \in i ̂ \nu . ~ \dot{a} \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ov̉ $\chi \rho \eta े$


－He did his best －by exhorta－ tions unusually emphatic．＇

I．＂rpooímiov （c．61），The coming battle is of imuense im－ portance．
 （ 882,3 ）You must not be down． hearted． a．You have 10




5．kal toùs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ̈ \tau \alpha s$ all but B．
 ［oúx jुनбov \＃tois $\pi$ ．］Hw．，Hu．The words are considered absurd，because the Syr．were no longer in doubt about their safety；whereas the A．had lost all hope of success and wanted only to return home．But Th．means：＂Before，the enemy only fought $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ к a l ~ \pi a r \rho l \delta o s ; ~ n o w ~ w e ~ t o o ~ a r e ~ f i g h t-~$ ing for our country，＂i．e．to secure our return．Miiller－Stru－ bing，who reads $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa d \sigma \tau o u s<\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu>$ ，points out that there is a different nuance in rarpis as used of the Syr．and A．As a word is required which will emphasize the contrast between the circumstances of the present and those of the past，it may be that $\hat{\eta} \delta \eta$ is lost after $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ；but perhaps $\delta \mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ is intended to lint at this contrast．
 of war.
b. You have numbers.


 $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \iota$ ö $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \kappa а і$ ö öбо८ т $\omega \hat{\nu} \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu, \xi \nu \sigma \tau \rho a-15$



 є่фора̂тє, тарабкєvá̧єб $\sigma \epsilon$.



64, § 1).
A. Reasons why courage is to be ex-. pected:
(1.) Numbers of archers and darters (8 2); (2.) improvements in $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ë $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ т \grave{\nu} \nu$

 $\mu \in \theta a$, тávтa кai ท̂ $\mu i ̂ \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ éк тต̂̀ $\pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma-$ the ships $(888,4)$.
 о́тає тол入оі каі̆ ảкортьбтаі є̇ть-



 $3 \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi о \rho a \quad$ еै $\sigma \tau a \iota . \quad \eta \tilde{v} \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ ס' $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu$ ö $\sigma a \quad \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \omega \tau i \delta \omega \nu{ }_{15}$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \dot{a} \nu a ́ \kappa \rho о \nu \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma о u ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ́ s, ~$

62 1. жávтa кal ù $\mu \hat{i} \nu$ BAEFM.
3. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ for $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ all but B . - $\delta \grave{\eta}$ after $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \pi \epsilon \rho$ B only ; rest onl





63
 ő $\sigma o \nu$ ầ $\delta \dot{v} \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, каі $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$

B. Advice how to act under the novel ceircum-
stances (c. 63-

 $2 \mu a \tau о \varsigma$ ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau a s ~ a ̀ m a \rho a ́ \xi \eta \tau \epsilon . ~ к а і ~ \tau a \hat{v}-$ та тоîs ó $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ítaıs ở $\chi$ ท̀ $\sigma \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 64; 1). a. to the hop- 5 b. to the sailors
(8883, 4),
to the $A$.
c. to the Aspecially ( 64,1 ).



 $\kappa а т а \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \beta \in \lambda \tau i ́ \omega ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$ é $\chi о \nu \tau a s$ каi тàs $\nu a \hat{s} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \nu \mu \in i \sigma \theta a \iota 1 \dot{~ i s ~}$


 $\mu a ́ \zeta \in \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a, ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ́ \rho \chi \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~$
 тò $\phi o \beta \in \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ i ́ \pi \eta \kappa o ́ o \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ a ̉ \delta \iota к \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$
4. фаi $\eta \eta \pi a l$ BM. - $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon$ EFM.

1. $\dot{\alpha} \xi \xi_{0} 0 \hat{\nu} \mathrm{~B}$ only ; rest $\alpha \xi$ $\xi 0 \nu .-\eta \nu$ for $\eta$ CAFM.
2. $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu]$ MSS. $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$; cor. Bk.
3. $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \iota$ M. - $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ all the best MSS.




















3．［ $\pi 0, \lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{\nu}$ ］Kr．，and many subsequent edd．Th．makes N．exaggerate the advantages enjoyed by the vautıods $\delta \chi$ 入os through belonging to the A．empire，and representing its majesty before the outside world．Cf．Junghahn，Studien＇86， p． $50 f$ ．
 סıסbvaı Bh．，and so Hw．，Hu．，Sitz．；סıкaıỗav aürグ̀ Sta．，$\tau$ $\pi \tau \alpha l o v \sigma a \nu$ for $\delta ı \kappa \alpha i \omega s$ a $\alpha$ Widmann．After all it seems best to bracket $\not d \nu$ with Bk．and others；for $\delta$ ocalus is probably in－ tended to form an antithesis to ei $\lambda e v \theta \in \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ：＇we show towards you a liberal spirit ；do you show towards us a just one．＇For $\mu \grave{~ к а \tau a \pi \rho o \delta \delta \delta o \tau \epsilon ~ w e ~ m i g h t ~ h a v e ~ h a d ~ \sigma \omega \sigma a r \epsilon ~: ~ b u t ~(1) ~ t h e ~ n e g a-~}$ tive expression contains a stronger appeal，（2）it connects the appeal with $\tau \delta \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{d} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ ，（3）it makes $\pi \alpha \rho о \nu о \mu a \sigma i a$ with катафрои $\bar{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
64 1．$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ CAEFGM ；$\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ B．$-\pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \sigma o \mu \notin \nu o u s$ BFM ；rest －ov $\boldsymbol{\text { etvovs．－ota（sic）} \gamma \nu \dot { \mu } \mu \eta \text { M．}}$

тє́р

 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ é $\sigma o ́ \mu e \nu o l$ кal $\pi e \zeta o l$ тois ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta$ - $\begin{gathered}\text { the issene depend } \\ \text { the fortunes of }\end{gathered}$









 ) words uttered
 $\sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota, ~ \pi \rho o \eta \gamma \gamma e ́ \lambda \theta \eta \delta^{\prime}$ aùтoîs кaì $\dot{\eta}$ èr兀ßo入خे тஸ̂ע $\sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$

$$
2 \gamma
$$











 om. M. -aùt $\hat{\omega}(\iota)$ CAEFGM ; द̇aut $\hat{\iota} \iota$ B.
2. кal $\delta \pi \omega s$ M. - $\delta \pi \omega s\left[{ }^{2} \nu\right]$ Hw.- $\ell_{\chi \eta}$ BM.
3. $ұ \tau о \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau a \mathrm{~B}$.

I. The $\pi \rho \circ \circ i i_{\mu}$ со (c. 66, 67, 1) is all in the form
 a. What we have already done is glorious (88 1, 2).
b. The enemy's hopes are waning (8).
c. Our hopes are rising (c. 67, 1).










 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s, ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \iota ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$














1. ơ่̛ $\omega$ s aưT $\omega$ v all but B.
2. [ $j \delta \eta$ ] $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{Cl}$.- $j \delta \eta$ before vavuaxias B only; rest om.
 matos for aúxin ${ }^{2}$ atos Hw.


 $\pi \rho о \theta \nu \mu i a \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi є \tau a \iota$.



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \pi \lambda i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \kappa а т а-~$ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi a \rho \grave{a}$ тò ка $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ̀ s ~} \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota$,




 $\nu a \hat{\varsigma} \kappa a i$ èv $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ aùtoîs mávtes,





2-4). THE A.

## have no

## CHANCE:

a. We are prepared to meet all their expedients (8 2);
b. The num. bers on board will only confuse them ( $\delta 2$ );
c. The number of their ships will do them 20 more harm than good (8 3);
d. They are already desperate (84).



 $\dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ o i o ̛ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \sigma a \phi \hat{s} \pi \epsilon \pi v ́ \sigma \theta a l \cdot \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda-$






 $\sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тои̂тo тウ̀ $\nu$
 ầ $\pi \rho a ́ \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu$.


a. justice is on $\mu \iota \omega \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, ó $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \mu \epsilon i \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, каi our side.



 är $\mu a$ ठ̇̀ é $\chi$ Ө


 $\theta \omega \sigma a \nu$, à $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \mu e ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \grave{a} a ̆ \lambda \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma є ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$,

 $\mu a \lambda a \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi т \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{~ т о ̀ ~ a ́ \kappa \iota \nu \delta u ́ \nu \omega ऽ ~} 15$

 $\pi \rho a \xi ̆ ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є́к той єiкóтоs à ßou入ó $\mu \in \theta a$, тои́б $\delta \epsilon$
 $\kappa a i$ трì é $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota о т є ́ \rho a \nu ~ \pi a \rho a \delta o v ̂ \nu a \iota, ~ 20 ~$
 $\mu e \nu o l$ M.-rouointal CEFM. - $\pi \rho d$ govtes BCEGM.

 as dependent on $\lambda$ erbuevov. But the construction is probably
 87,6 , and as it regularly is.




69
Kali oi $\mu \not ̀ \nu ~ т \omega ิ \nu ~ \sum \nu р а к о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma т р а т \eta \gamma o i ~ к а i ~$











 thought that he 10 had not said enough．He now renewed his appeal personally to











3．む่фe入ovos all but B．
 ［kail aüroùs oropacti］Philippi，Sta．，Site．；but，if any change is
 M．－bvios all but R－Tıva for tevet M．
quvaîкаs каì таîठas каì $\theta$ єò̀s татрф̣́ous тро-


3
Kaì ó $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủ $\chi$ íкаעà $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \hat{\eta}$ кaì ảvayкаîa
' He at length constrained himself to leave off -and proceeded to marshal the land-force.-The 8. fleet was the

4 first to put off.A certain proportion were placed near the mouth,-while the rest were distributed round the harbour.'


 ö $\pi \omega$ S̆


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma a \nu)$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ \pi а \rho а к \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \varphi \nu \tau a ~ \delta \iota e ́ \kappa \pi \lambda o v \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota ~$






 ठ̀̀ tov̂ vautıкồ toîs 之upakocióis इıkavos $\mu \in \in \nu$
3. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ \# $\mathrm{B} ; \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ кal the rest ; $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \geqslant$ kal Hu. ; $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ \# <oùk> Hw.
4. $\epsilon \delta \delta \eta \mu 0 s$ all but B. $-\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a] \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a$ CAEFM Schol., Dion. Hal. ; каталєє $\phi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau а$ B ; $\pi а р а \lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \nu \tau \alpha$ G; ката$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \nu \tau a$ inferior MSS., Valla and several edd. ; $\pi \in \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \in \nu \tau \alpha$
 some rarer word which they have displaced, and this word is probably a compound of $\kappa \lambda \eta \omega_{\text {. }}$ Cf. c. 72, 3. See note.

1. троєફavaybuevor Dion. Hal.- aủroîs ä $\mu a$ all but B.-тap$\epsilon \beta o r j \theta \epsilon \iota$ Dion. Hal. ; $\pi a \rho a \beta o \eta \theta \in \hat{\imath} \mathrm{CM} ; \pi a \rho a \beta o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~B}$.



 $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho a ́ \tau o v \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ made directly $\nu \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau o ~ \lambda u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \begin{aligned} & \text { They were al- } \\ & \text { ready } \mathrm{attempt}\end{aligned}$



 $\kappa a ̆ t \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda i \mu e ́ v a$ éरírveto, кaì $\dot{\eta} \nu \kappa а \rho \tau \epsilon \rho a ̀$ кai olla out $\chi$ é $\tau \in ́ \rho a ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu$


 connecting
bonds, when the enemy crowded in upon them and forced them to desist. -On both sides a fierce and doesberate courage was displayed,the skill of the 20 steersmen shone conspicuous.After a time, all sort of order became lost.'




 aủ̀òs ধ̈ќa








2. éxßo入al BAFGM, Dion. Hal. - $\phi u \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ AEFM, Dion. Hal.
 $\mu$ ц̀े хро́vov тробфє́роєто vav̂s, oi áто̀ тผ̂̀ ката-









 таעтахó $\theta \epsilon \nu, \pi \in \rho \iota \in \sigma т a ́ \nu a \iota, ~ к a i ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa т и ́ \pi о \nu ~ \mu e ́ y а \nu ~$




- Emulous exhortations were poured forth, with reproach and sarcasm addressed to any ship which appeared. flinching.'



 є̌ктл


 סıафиуєî̀ каi тท̀v оiкєíav éкáбтоиs татрíסa 60
 $\therefore \%$ :

5. els CAFGM.

6. $\dot{\eta}$ before таракє $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ B only; rest om.- $\tau \epsilon$ before $\tau \grave{\nu} \tau \epsilon \chi$. 13 and Dion. Hal. only ; rest om.-ikdotov M, Dion. Hal.








 - According as success flucta-

 the cheers or wailings of the speetators
 ashore.'












7. $\pi b y o v$ is wanting except in B, Schol., Dion. Hal. - $\boldsymbol{d} \pi \sigma \times \omega$ pov̂ $\sigma \nu$ all but B.-['A $\theta \eta \nu a l o u s]$ Duker ; then Cl. and subsequent

 Wölfflin, and so Hw., Sitz. Cf. Plut. Nic. 25 סıd $\tau \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{r}$ raytds



8. $a \nu \mathrm{om}$. B.









- Among the spectators in the A. station, above all,--this emotion might be seen exaggersated into agony. -At length,victory began to declare in favour of the $8 .{ }^{\prime}$ $a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$ бтратєúщaт८ т $\omega \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu, 25$ є̈ $\omega \varsigma ~ a ̉ \gamma \chi \omega ́ \mu a \lambda a ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu a \nu \mu a ́ \chi o v \nu, ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau а ~$













 manifestations among the A . were now exchanged for one unanimous shriek of

 $\theta$ Oud, oi $\delta$ è mpòs тò $\lambda o \iota \pi o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v}$

3. aủrn̂s for aủroîs M.
 Elmsley, 'ut gradation sit a minore ad majus.'- $\sigma \sigma a \notin \nu$ MSS. ; cor. Hi.
4. $\delta \rho \mu \hat{\eta} s] \delta \rho \gamma \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{M}$.

тєízous és фu入aкฑ́v，ä̀入入o८ $\delta$ è каi despair．－The oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath ิ \sigma \tau 0 ८ ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ a u ่ т o u ̀ s ~ r e s c u e ~ t h e ~ s h i p s, ~}$ каi őтท $\sigma \omega \theta$ ท́боутає סьєбко́тоvע．

45 their walls．＇






 тарà 入óyov ríy $\eta$ ттa．


 $\mu а \chi o \iota$ èmıкратท́баעтєs тá тє עaváyıa каі то⿱亠乂s עєкроѝs à $\nu \in і$ ìодто каі àmо－ $\pi \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \rho o \pi a i ̂ o \nu$ 2 eै $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．oi $\delta$＇＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi$ ò $\mu \in \gamma$ ย́－ Oovs тầ $\pi a \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \kappa a \kappa \omega ̂ \nu ~ \nu \in \kappa р \omega ̂ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~$ suffered severely．－In the camp of the A．－no man 5 thought of picking up the floating bodies or asking for a truce．＇



 $\tau d s \lambda^{\lambda} \iota \pi a ̀ s \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu \in \omega \hat{\nu} \beta \iota a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota, \hat{\eta} \nu$

＇D．proposed to N．that at day－ break－they should make a fresh attempt to break out of the harbour．
 Hu．bracket kal only ； $8 \pi \eta$ kal Gertz．

7．$\xi \nu \mu ф о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~B}$ only ；rest $\xi \nu \mu \pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu .-\tau$＇$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \delta \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu \mathrm{M} ; \tau \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \delta \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ AEFG；$\tau \epsilon$ єт $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ BC．－aúraîs is wrongly attributed to M．－тapd 入óyov Dion．Hal．；rapá入oyov MSS．

1．$\alpha \pi 0 \lambda \omega \mu \hat{\ell} \nu \omega \nu \mathrm{M}$ ．
2．$\dot{\beta} \beta$ oú ${ }^{2}$ оутo B，Sitz．

N．agreed－but nothing could prevail upon the seamen to go again on ship－ board．－Prepar－ anions were therefore made
4 for commencing their march that very night．



 è $\lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o u s$ ท̀ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau a . ~ к а i ̀ ~ \xi и \gamma \chi \omega-~$
 $\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ т入ท








－Hermokrates－ pressed the authorities to send ont forth． with，and book up the principal roads．Though sensible of the wisdom of his advice，the generals thought it unexecutable． －He resorted to a stratagem to 2 delay the de－ parture of the A．for that night．＇．



 тá̀таs इupakealous kaì toùs $\xi \cup \mu-10$ $\mu a ́ \chi o v s$ та́s te áסò̀s àттоькобо $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$
 $\phi \theta a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a s ~ \phi u \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．oi ठè そ̌ve－








2．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \notin \nu o u s . \quad$ This must be the spelling，owing to $\# \delta o \mu a$ ．



 тท̂s עíkฑs т










 private care-
spondents of $N$ spondents of N.
in S. had sent to warn him (they affirmed) not to







 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \kappa a \theta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i \hbar \nu$ т $\hat{\eta} s \quad \hat{\eta} \mu$ épas


 obstructing the A. march.'





 ă $\lambda \lambda a$ тávтa ката入ıтєî̀, ávalaßóvtes $\delta$ è aútà















 but one after the defeat N .
$2 \begin{aligned} & \text { and D. put their } \\ & \text { army in motion. }\end{aligned}$ army in motion. the army had begun its march




2. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta b \nu \tau \epsilon s$ all but B.- $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon \phi \rho d \gamma \nu \cup \sigma a \nu$ MSS. ; cor. Wu. Meisterhans p. 145.-[ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ wanting in B. - $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in \grave{\imath} \lambda o \nu$ M.




1. $[\tau \hat{\psi}]$ is wanting in $B$.
aùтoì каì $\dot{\eta}$ тó入ıs кevס̀vขєv́ovтes,

was felt and manifested.The scenes of woe passed endurance.'






















 Hw. ; т. $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \iota\end{gathered}$ Widmann.
 - $\langle\nu \epsilon v] \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Sitz.- $\delta \lambda\langle\gamma \omega \nu]$ oủk $\delta \lambda\langle\gamma \omega \nu$ Mül.-Str.; $\lambda \nu \gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ Heil-

 cor. Cobet V.L. ${ }^{2}$ p. 590 . - $\dot{u} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \subset$ B. $-\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$ all but B.




 'Many had little Tapà tò cíwOòs aùvol rà oфétepa or no provisions; -but of those who had every man carried his own-either without slaves, or knowing that no slave could be trusted.' rapa rò eiwoss aurod ra नфе́repa














 Hu., perhaps rightly ; кaгd $\tau \delta<\sigma \omega \mu \mu>$ Gertz.-aürol $\tau \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\tau \dot{d} \sigma$. Bothe; aúrol $\gamma \in$ кal $\boldsymbol{\text { à̀ }} \sigma$. Hu. -ünd] $\epsilon \pi l$ Bothe; then Pluygers and several edd. -ȧпүurouo $\lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu$ CM.
2. \# $\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad \AA \lambda \lambda \eta$. Cf. c. 77, 7. I have added $\tau^{\prime}$ because $\dot{\eta}$ loououpla rêv кaк $\hat{\nu} \nu$ is part of the alkia, with which it makes one expression (see note); кali $\mu \eta \nu \nu<$ кal $>\dot{\eta}$ a. Gertz; [ $\dot{\eta}$ a. alkia] Cl. ; after alkia Sta. thinks that something is lost.-[ $\mathrm{Nal} \dot{\eta}]$

 Hw.- dфікато] d́фікто MSS. ; cor. Badhan.
3. [ $\tau \hat{\psi}]$ Schol. ; $\tau \psi$ Pp.— $\tau o u ̀ s$ ä $\lambda \lambda o u s$ for $\tau o \hat{u} a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ M . — a u ̉-~$ rovs om. M.
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 $\lambda \in i ̂ \nu$.

 rivas cai éк סelvoréf

 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{N}$. displayed a degree of energy
and heroism which he had never before seemed to pos- 5
sess.-He was sess.-He was
seen every where, heartening np , their dejection.'
 2 2 $\nu \hat{\nu}$ какота






Tóoescrs'There is yet
hope'-this hope'-this leads without
break into the тíates:
a. I myself 5 have hope in spite of my
troubles (88 1-2):
b. It is fair to expect that
the gods will now incline to us (8 3); 10 c. Your numbers are you may hope to
 but B.
77 1. ката $\mu \xi \mu \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ all but B.-какота日elaus BAG. Meisterhans p. 42.
2. катd $\tau \epsilon$ B only; rest om. $\tau \epsilon$.

place if you keep up your spirit and discipine
3

























 סıà т̀̀ av

4. $\theta \in l o v]$ $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ MSS. ; cor. Kr.
5. ad om. all but B.

 $\kappa о \mu і \zeta є \iota \nu$.





must play the man: thus only can you see your homes and save the state.











 тò $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ évous • тoùs $\delta$ è $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v o \phi o ́ \rho o v s ~$






[^3]6. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\chi} \cup \rho \hat{\psi}$ all but B. $-\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ all but B. $\left.-\mathbb{\alpha} \mu a\right] \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a$ MSS. ; cor. Reiske.
7. $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\xi} \xi \dot{\prime} \mu \pi a \nu$ all but B.
 all but B.
3. $[\tau \epsilon] \mathrm{Kr}$.
and actors. phished about
 5 miles.' кратท́баעтеs то̂̂ тópov éX@́poun És 15




Second Day.
'They halted, after about 21 miles, in a demeted village. The 8. profited by this to occupy the








 סè 'Aкраîon $\lambda$ 'étac.

 this pass was beyond the A . -They were compelled to
7 retreat to their camp of the night before.'



 $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ i $\pi \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu . L$

6. єцлтробөеv M. 6. aitûv M.—ėкdт epos all but B.
 vav to $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \phi o \nu ~[e ̀ \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu] ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ F o u r t h ~ D a y . ~$.
 at the foot of
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \zeta \grave{\eta} \nu-\quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau i a ̀ \nu \quad \pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \gamma \mu \epsilon ́-$ efforts to force this position 5 $\nu \eta \nu$ oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \lambda i ́ z \omega \nu \dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta \omega \nu$. $\sigma \tau \in \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ were vain.'





 vt $\delta \omega \rho$, ola $\tau 0 \hat{1}$ धै




'They were yet further disheartened by storms-which they construed as portents. They fell back- 15 effecting their retreat into the open plain.'



 5 тıvàs $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \omega \dot{\lambda} \nu \nu \sigma a \nu$. кà̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau a \hat{u} \tau a \quad \pi a ́ \sigma \eta$ т $\hat{\eta}$ oT $\rho a \tau \iota a ̂ a ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu a \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o \nu ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~$ oi 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \eta u ̉ \lambda i ́ \sigma a \nu т o . ~\end{aligned}$





1. $[\epsilon \lambda \theta \in \tilde{\iota}]$ Kr.
2. $a \hat{v}$ om. all but B.
3. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \varepsilon \beta a \lambda b \nu$ GM.

They were so harassed that they could not accomplish one mile．＇
 кєєขто，каі нá入єбта тоís v́тта́тоьs 30 тробті́ттоутєs，єй тнs катà $\beta \rho a \chi \dot{v}$





80
Tท̂s $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sim}$
 to make off dur． ing the night－ towards the southern coast．＇
southern coast．＇тшע áторía $\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \eta$ каi кататетраv－






 ミıкє入ías то̀ тро̀s Kaرápıvà̀ каi Гé入av каі тds

 ＇They broke up каi（aúroîs，＂oiov ф८入єî кai тáoı 15







3．［ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta]$ Reiske．
























 $\kappa \in \chi \omega \rho \eta \kappa о ́ т а я, ~ к а т а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu о v \sigma \iota ~ \pi є \rho і ~ a ́ \rho i \sigma \tau о \nu ~$



5. $\epsilon \lambda \omega \rho \rho \nu \eta \nu$ CAEGM. $-\pi \pi]$ ] $\pi a \rho d$ all but B .
6. $\tau \in$ after $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \chi$. om. all but B.











'They found themselves enclosed in a walled oliveground. -They were now overwhelmed with hostile missiles.'


 $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s$ кdì тótє $\gamma \nu o u ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Sigma u p a-~$











 jovial all but C.

 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a l \notin \nu \theta \in \nu$ CM, which is possible, though rarer than $\ell \nu$. kail $\notin \nu$.



















入óvtes és à árí̀às íntias, кai évé $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$


 тотанò̀ тò̀ 'Eрıлєóv, каì סıaßàs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \rho o ́ \nu ~$ $\tau \iota \kappa \_\theta \hat{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ́ \nu$.
 BCAEFG; is каl M.
82 1. $\delta^{\prime}$ oviv ${ }^{\prime}$ ] yoû̀ MSS. ; cor. Dobree.
2. $\mu \in \tau \grave{d} \tau 0 \hat{v} \Delta$. M.

 B.


Seventh Day. 'G. overtook N. on the right Eringos the could not bring himself to sub. mit to the same terms as D.Accordingly the their attacks.' heir attacks.

 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \kappa a ̉ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \rho a ̂ ̀ \nu . ~$
 $\psi a \iota ~ \sigma \kappa є \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. es. $\delta^{\prime}$ oi xó $\mu \in \nu o s$



 $\mu \circ \nu, \tau \overline{a \hat{v} \tau} a$ ar $\pi \frac{\delta}{\delta} \circ \hat{v} \nu a i, \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ au $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho a-$










 $\kappa а т \in ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \tau о ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \pi \lambda \grave{̀ \nu} \tau \rho \iota a \kappa о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \grave{\tau} a \dot{a} \nu$ -


 Eighth and lust $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ́ v$ : oi. Sè ミupaкóَıoi кaì oi


1. $\mu \in \tau$ à $\tau 0 \hat{\sim} \Delta$. M.
2. $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho] \dot{u} \pi \dot{\delta} \mathrm{M} .-\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota \delta^{\prime}$ out B ; . $\mathrm{ov}^{i}$ ] Dindorf.

畋ГГРАФНГ Z (83-85)
 2 каі катакоуті弓оутея. каi oi 'A $\theta \eta$ -

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a \nu \tau a \chi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta o \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}_{\varsigma} \quad i \pi \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$
 oiópє





 á $\theta \rho o ́ o \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ́ \nu a \gamma к а \zeta o ́ \mu e \nu o \iota ~ \chi \omega \rho є i ̂ \nu ~$
 tout, тєрí te toîs סopatious cai

' Here the resolution of the fugitives gave way ; torminted with thirst-they rushed into the ford all at once. -The S. from above poured upon the huddled mass showers of missiles.'










84 3. סopariots] фopalots Naber.





- $N$. surrendered himself to $G$., to be dealt with at the discretion of that general and the L.-G. gave orders that no more should be killed, but that the rest should be secured as captives.'


















 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \tau o s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \grave{̀}$ фóvos out taos кaĭ oủסєvòs er $\lambda a ́ \sigma$ -
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̆ ̉ \lambda \lambda a \iota s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


85 1. $\chi \rho \hat{\rho} \sigma \theta a \iota$ all but B ; cf. ii. 4, 7, Andoc. i. $26 \chi р \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \mu$

 $\delta \pi \iota \beta$ oud $o v \tau \tau a \iota$ and not $\delta i \iota d \nu \beta o u ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is used, the apr. is usual and more forcible.
 but B. - 3. $\mu \epsilon \tau d$ tov̂ $\Delta$. M.
4. $[\Sigma \iota \kappa \in \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\psi}]$ Dobree. - $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \beta 0 \lambda a i$ is om. $\operatorname{CAEFM}(G)$.
 тараитíкa, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ кaì $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{́} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon S$ 'Many contrived
 тoıs $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ s ~ K a \tau a ́ \nu \eta \nu . ~$




 $\beta i ß \beta a \sigma a \nu$ cis tàs $\lambda \iota$ Өоторías, dj $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon$ - 'Those who




 were carried to
8. were placed in the stone quarries.-N., as well as D., was ordered to be put to death, 10
much to the discontent of G.'




 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon i \sigma a s ~ t o u ̀ s ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ o v s ~ \pi o ı \eta \sigma a ̈ ̈ \partial a l, ~$ $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \dot{a} \phi \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota . \quad \dot{a} \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu$ oil $\tau \epsilon \Lambda а \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota \iota$





2. $\lambda_{l} \theta o \tau o \mu i a s ~ M$; $\sigma v \rho a \kappa \delta \sigma \sigma a s ~ m .-\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau d \tau \eta \nu<\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu>$

3. $\delta \eta \mu о \sigma \theta \in \iota \nu \eta \nu$ MSS. - $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ тoûto om. all but B.










 prisonerswithout the smallest protection or con-venience-remained for 70 days.-Many
 тоѝs і’рю́тоиs $\chi$ рóvovs $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon i ́ \rho \iota \sigma a \nu$.










 $\kappa a i$ סv́o котú $\lambda a s$ бíтоu), ẳ $\lambda \lambda a \quad \tau \epsilon$ ő $\sigma \grave{a}$ єíкòs èv

4. $\delta \grave{\eta}$ om. all but B. $-\pi \epsilon i \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \epsilon$ all but B .
5. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \nu$ és $\dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ B Schol. ; rest om.

87 .1. кal $\delta \lambda\langle\gamma \varphi$ om. all but $B$.
2. $\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ BM.-тoıồтo AEFGM.- $\delta i \psi \epsilon \epsilon$ MSS.—七 $\hat{\varphi}$ before rocoity om. all but B.










'The citizens
now removed all the surviving copt the A. and the few Italian or Sicilian Greeks. Those removed were 25 sold. -Such was the close.Never in Grecian history had ruin
 סокеटे $\delta^{\prime}$ emory kail $\omega \nu$ apo, $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{\lambda}$. winessod.






 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$.

[^4]-
-

## NOTES

§ 1 l. 1. ' 0 -the art. added because this is a continuation of 1 the narrative from VI. 104. Contrast c. 2, 1, where the art. is omitted because there is a transition to new points.
$\Gamma u ́ \lambda ı m \pi o s-s o n ~ o f ~ C l e a n d r i d a s, ~ w h o ~ h a d ~ s e t t l e d ~ a t ~ T h u r i i, ~$ on which see c. 33, 6 note. His appointment to command in Sicily was the immediate result of Alcibiades' advice. Nothing is known of his previous history. ('His character is in some points not Spartan. He is quick, enterprising, full of resource, able to adapt himself to all men and to all circumstances.' Freeman. This character reminds us of the description which Pericles gave of the Athenians.)
d-the art. again because the persons are thought of separately. Contrast c. $80,1 \tau \hat{\psi} \mathrm{~N}$. xal $\Delta$. '̇ठóкec.

Inveोv-Corinthian captain. Syr. envoys had gone to Corinth in the winter of 415 , and Corinth had at once resolved to assist her colony.
toi T.-T. has been all genders. In Attic it is masc., on the analogy of all nouns in -pas; in Alexandrine writers it became fem.; the Romans made it neut.

Táparros-Gyl. had been.driven by foul weather to seek shelter there. T.-regnata Laconi rura Phclanto-was founded circ. 705 b.c. after the first Messenian war.


 тарабкєuो corresponds; while катaбкєviो has the English sense of the same word.
3. Lokpois-founded about 690 b.c. on land belonging to the Sicels. Now Gerace.
5. $\$ 8 \eta$-they had received several false reports that Syr. was entirely shut in.
 Epipolae includes all the high ground west of Achradina which was not inclosed in the fortifications of the city.
8. orpariq-dat. of accompaniment, only used in naval and military expressions.
\&Bovdefovto-because before they had supposed that the only chance of getting into Syr. was by eluding the A. fleet.









 dгa-, dто-, $\delta \iota \alpha-, \pi a \rho a-$ are found with infin.; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-, \pi \rho o-, \sigma v \gamma-$ are not.
11. 'I $\mu$ epar-founded 646 B.c. from Zancle, to be a stronghold against Phoenician Panormus. It was destroyed for ever by the Carthaginians in 408.
12. aúrots-rovs 'I $\mu$ cepalous, the name of the people implied in the name of their city, as constantly.
13. ods-after the collective $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a \nu$.
 és Xion $\pi \lambda^{2}$ ề aủtoís.
16. $8 \mu \omega 0$-in spite of his previous indifference; vi. 104

ds . . . dinforelle-aor. for plup. in dependent clause, as constantly.
$\pi v v \theta a v \delta \mu \in v o s$. . . elval-generally $\pi v \nu \theta d \nu o \mu a \iota$ and $a l \sigma \theta d \nu o \mu a \iota$ take the partic.

 accus. is the ordinary constr.





Meनo a reaping-hook, $=d \gamma \kappa \omega \nu$.
§ 31 . 20. roús te 'Irepalous-co-ordinate with кal rov's $\Sigma \varepsilon \lambda_{c}$ novytious ékètevov.
21. Kal aúroús-the kal is epexegetic, introducing the explanation of $\xi \nu \mu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i v$.
25. dee $\lambda_{k v \sigma a v-s o ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ o a r s m e n ~ w e r e ~ a v a i l a b l e ~ a s ~}^{\text {a }}$ infantry.
rous $\Sigma$. $\pi \in \mu \psi$ avtes ék $\lambda_{\text {ncuov-observe ( }}$ ( ) that when a partic. and verb apply to a common object, the object follows the constr. required by the partic.; (2) that $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ is a regular exception to this rule in such phrases as кrppuka, a $\rho \in \in \sigma \beta \in s$, dxolkous, $\pi \in \mu \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$, as they are so frequently used absolutely that the object is generally not accommodated to the partic. at


 $\xi v \nu \in \lambda a \beta o \nu$.
 as it was at war with Segesta. (Thuc. is fond of thus contrasting great projects with meagre performances. (Cf. II. 93.)
27. xcpiov-meanings (1) a strategical position, (2) a farm.
§ 4 1. 28. Eıcelav rıves-the S. were a Latin tribe, and were driven from Latium into Bruttium, whence they were again driven over to Sicily by the Opicans.
29. mporxwpeiv-the greater part of the S. had supported the Athenians through enmity with Syracuse since 451 B. .., when the Sicel leader Ducetius had defeated the combined forces of Acragas and Syracuse.
30. 'ApXcv(8ov-he had aided Ducetius in founding Calacte on the N. coast of Sicily. When D. died in 440, Syracuse feared that A. would revive the power of the Sicels, and so made war on them.
31. raín-i.e. those of Northern Sicily.
32. тเvळv-A. was prince of Herbita, and his territory, or at least his influence, probably extended to Calacte on the north coast.


 aúroîs mapayèvtau. The word $\# \kappa \omega$ is constantly so used in drama, esp. in addressing persons who have come to bring help.
$\pi p o \theta \dot{\mu} \mu \omega$-cf. vi. $18 \pi . \pi a \rho a \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, and c. 66 and $70 \pi$. àvтı $\beta_{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a \iota$.
§ 5 l. 35. kal-' and so,' giving the result, as often.
36. oфerifouv-iauroû would be more correct here and in c. 3,4 ; but the whole side is meant.
41. Is XLAlous-direct object of $\alpha \nu a \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu$. A prep. and its case may stand for subj. or obj. of a verb.
42. roùs $\pi$ divtas- $\pi \hat{a} s$ preceded by the art. gives the sum total. Cf. II. 7 's $\tau \delta \nu \pi d \nu \tau a \dot{d} \rho \in \theta \mu b \nu$. (The Sicels were always a number of isolated atoms except only when united under Ducetius and to some extent under Archonides.)

2 § 1 1. 1. ^evkd́os-Gylippus and Pythen had left the Cor. here with fifteen ships, and had sailed out with four, hoping to prevent Italy from joining Athens, but despairing of Sicily.

 audentiac habuisset accurrerunt is a conscious imitation of this.
 єíov.
5. d́申ькveital-having entered by the Little Harbour (called Laccius), eluding the A. fleet which commanded the Great Harbour.
 that 'it was the very day,' as Freeman says, 'which had been fixed for the discussion.' Thuc. only says that they intended to discuss the question of peace.
 is often thus placed qualifying a verb. Cf. v. 4 tpicos aúros ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu a \mathfrak{l} \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon$.
§ 2 l. 16. poodvovto-by message from him.
§ 3 1. 16. 'Ierds-Steph. Byz. says Philistus referred to it as фpoúpion Eıcelias; but it is quite unknown.

тб́tc-Classen refers this to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi a \rho \delta \delta \psi$, but as Thuc. never uses $\tau b \tau \epsilon$ with reference to the period implied in a temporal expression, Poppo rightly refers it to oi $\Sigma u \rho a \kappa \sigma \sigma \iota o c \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu$.


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda_{c} \nu$. Cf. on c. 17, 3, 4, and 18, 1.
 road.'
17. $\lambda \lambda \in v$, cal $\xi u v$. -when two partic. are joined by $\kappa a l$, the action of the one generally precedes that of the other in time, or gives the cause of it. The former may be the case here. (Hw. and Sta. bracket kal.)
19. Eupún 10 - it is strange enough that the Syr. should not have established an outpost on the point of the 'Broad Nail' in the winter of 415 when the fortifications were extended ; but still stranger that G. should have found Euryelus now undefended by the Athenians.
20. $\mu$ eтd тడิv $\mathbf{\Sigma}$., they had joined him by marching through the open space north of the A. lines.
 $\lambda a \nu \theta a \dot{v} \omega$ the aor. partic. expresses time not antecedent to, but coincident with the time of the verb. To express time antecedent to the time of these verbs, either (1) the pres. or imperf.
of these verbs must be used，or（2）if the aor．is used，the perf． partic．is necessary．Cf．on c．4， 3.
 c． 33 द̇̀ тоútч túx $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ s．Cf．also the idiom els toûto（тобойтo）
 venire．（Phrynichus says that the noun of time requires the art．，but＇no such rule was known to Attic authors，the art． being employed or omitted according to the whim of the writer or as the meaning required．＇Rutherford．）

23．incd ．．．\＃bkrd－it would be about seven to the fort on the крๆuvbs，about eight to the кúк入os，as far as the building was then completed．（Those who think that the kúk入os was further north，and that the wall between it and the кpך $\quad$ 立s was double －Classen，Holm，Lupus，Miuller－are forced to bracket $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．．$\sigma$ adidiv，as the length of the double wall must then be considerably greater．See Intr．p．xii．）
$\mu \lambda \nu$－answered by $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varphi$ ．The double wall，as nearly completed，is contrasted with the northern wall，very incom－ plete．（Fr．Müller and Oeltze de particularum $\mu \notin \nu$ et $\delta \in ́$ usu Halle＇ 87 place $\mu \dot{\lambda} \nu$ between＇s＇s and $\tau \partial \nu$ below．）
otadlav－gen．of measure ；cf．II． 13 тd $\mu$ aкрd $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ тє $\sigma$－． баракоута $\sigma \tau a \delta i \omega \nu$ ．The position of the gen．is due to the antithesis，which often causes a disturbance of the natural order．See on c．17， 3.
dжетет $\lambda$ ебто－on the question whether Thuc．here neglects to take account of the wall between the кúк入os and the крך $\mu \nu 6$ s， see Intr．p．xiii．

24．is $\tau \delta \nu$ ．．．$\lambda \mu \nmid v a$－it crossed three levels，（1）a short portion of Epipolae，（2）тd j $\mu a \lambda \delta \nu$ ，the level just below the


25．8ındoûv－＇contra exteriorem etiam hostem，＇Justus Lipsius． Cf．Lucian Ver．hist．I．19．Endymion the king of the moon made war on Phaethon $k$ ．of the sun．because the latter had prevented Endymion from colonising Hesperus．The Nephelo－ centaurs in Phaethon＇s service build a wall $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ̇ \tau ~ \tau d s ~$

 duced peace．
 olous $\tau$ tuds rous $\pi$ divtas．

27．$\tau \hat{\Psi} \delta \hat{\varepsilon} d \lambda \lambda \Psi<\alpha \nu \omega>\tau 0 \hat{\kappa}$ ．－＇the remainder of the wall north of the fort＇；the same as $\tau \delta$ apds $\beta$ opeav rov̂ kúxגov teixos in vi．99．Cf．Herod．i． 72 of the course of the Halys
 of the $\kappa$ ．，as Sitzler explains．Without $\alpha \nu \omega$ there are two ways of taking the text：（1）$\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mu=e^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \theta_{c}$＇on the other side of，＇
 T'pórciov govern rố кúkiou 'for the rest of the wall on the 'Trogilus side of the к.,' but, from the analogy of other prepositional phrases, it is clear that even so rov̂ кúк入ov must be

 here to mean the whole circumference of the walls, as in II. 13; but k. 'must mean a central point between the two pieces of wall spoken of,' as it clearly does in all the other passagesvi. $98,99,101,102$.)

 antithesis between $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \mathcal{L}$ and $\tilde{z} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ a, the second that be-


 Herod. Ix. 33.
 oठ̈
 and, in the earlier books, $\epsilon \xi a \pi i \nu \eta s$ and $\epsilon \xi a \pi i \nu a l \omega s$. The last two are Ionic.
 own clause, but to that of the main clause. Hence $\sigma \phi e i$ is is regularly used only in subord. sentences. But (1) Thuc. sometimes uses $\sigma \phi$ âs like Ionic writers, for $\sigma \phi$ âs aúroùs or éautoús, as II. 65 aưrol èv $\sigma \phi l \sigma t$. . . '̇ $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$. (2) He uses $\dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, like Attic writers, for $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ or $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a s$, as ir. 7 ol $\Lambda a \kappa$. $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$


\&rodrcov-as Thuc. has given no hint that Gyl. had as yet passed the Athenian lines, and as the Syr. had gone out to meet him, we may assume that he was still on the west side of the lines.


 a position,' cum constitisset.
5. Eyyùs-apparently in the plain, before the $\delta<\pi \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon i \chi o s$.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \& \mu \pi \epsilon-$ in this use of $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ the historic pres. is commoner than the aor. If the negotiation is elaborate, the imperf. is used.
 The nom. and accus. are both used. Cf. III. $52 \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$
 Kr. thinks $\lambda \in \gamma^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ may be spurious.)
el $\beta$ oú入ortan-formal expression in proposing terms; e.g. Iv.


8. \&то̂̂pos-as though $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$ had preceded. Cf. v. 41 oúk
 elval ; vili. 48, 6 .



 etc.
10. oü8iv dmokpıvapevol-Plutarch says the soldiers asked whether the coming of a single Spartan had so emboldened them.



12. $d \lambda \lambda f \lambda$ ols-added to show that the subj. is no longer oi $\delta \epsilon$.
 Athenian lines, and in the review ground west of Lysimeleia. Gyl. had apparently not yet entered Syr. (The edd. say eastwards, and assume that Gyl. had passed between the Athenian lines and the walls of Syr. As Freeman says, such cujpux $\omega$ pla could have been found only to the west of the $A$. wall. But he too thinks that Gyl. had been east of the lines till now, and had to march out round the north of the lines, not venturing to meet the $A$. in the narrow space between their fort and the city walls. Diodorus says nothing; but
 $\tau \in \tau a \gamma \mu \ell \nu 0 s$.
15. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{0}$-with $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho u \chi \omega \rho i a v$ 'the more open ground.' Cf. III. $107 \mathcal{\epsilon}^{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu \cup \cup \mu \varphi \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$.
 from acts of hostility. Cf. c. 12, 1.
17. غavtôv-plur., as in c. $1,5$.

 simultaneous; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ when the act of its verb precedes that of the main verb; is when no connection between the time of the two acts is expressed.
18. d $\pi$ fijace-by marching round the northern extremity of the Athenian lines.
19. Tquevictv-it had been taken into the city and fortified in the preceding winter.
§ $41.22 .8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$-by.far the commonest final particle in Thuc., and rather commoner than lva in Xen. In all other authors lva very greatly predominates. (See table in M.T. p. 398.)

6ג入обє－esp．to Labdalum．
23．$\pi \epsilon^{\ell} \mu$ qas－back by the way by which he had come．
to \＄poúpov－as Gyl．intended to build his cross－wall along the north of Epipolae，it was necessary first to get possession of Labdalum．
 hood so called，but here it is the fort built there．Nicias had made no use of L．，and did not even keep up proper communi－ cations with it．After Gyl．had passed it，it should have been abandoned．



25．oúk $\dot{d} \pi$ u中avis－because the ridge on which the fort stood was lower than the centre of Epipolae．
 as this was the first Syracusan success at sea．The Syr．were be－ ginning to think of regaining control of the Great Harbour which they had lost since the A．fleet sailed thither from Thapsus．

29．Eфориоvбa－sent out from the A．fleet to the mouth of the Harbour．
§ 1 l．1．krelxıgov－his＇main object now is to hinder them from carrying their north wall to the edge of the cliff，and down to the water on that side．A wall running east and west was to be built．＇Freeman．This is the third Syr．counterwork．
 here given in order to fix the direction：（1）$\delta i d \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$＇$E$ ．shows that the wall was to run along E．：（2）$\alpha \pi d \quad \tau \bar{\eta} s, \pi . ~ d \rho \xi \alpha \mu \in \nu o u$ shows that it was to run from east to west：（3）áv $\omega$＇north of the кúк入os，＇as vi． 99 кd́ $\quad \omega \theta \in \nu$ tov̂ кúкरov＇south of＇it ；cf．on c． 2,4 ；（4）$\pi \rho \delta \delta_{s} \tau \dot{e} e^{\prime} \gamma \kappa d \rho \sigma i o \nu$＇at an angle＇to the north wall of the A．（The meaning of $a \nu \omega$ is much dispoted：（a）Freeman says it means that＇the wall was carried westwaids，up the slope，＇with which the note in Jowett agrees．So Stahl．In this case ă $\nu \omega$ adds nothing new，but－as often－only gives the general direction which is further defined by the words follow－
 northern height＇and Fr．Müller＇north of the кúкגos，＇which is much the same thing．This is better，because（1）it enables us to give the same meaning throughout the description to $a ̈ \nu \omega$ ，viz．＇north＇（cf．c．4，3）of the place specified ；（2）if äv $\omega$ does not mean＇north，＇there is nothing to show on which side of the кúk $\lambda o s$ the new wall ran．This can indeed be inferred from what follows，but in the case of the other counterworks Thuc．states clearly that the one was $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \theta \in \nu$ тồ $\kappa \dot{v} \kappa \lambda o v$, the
 direction and does not merely repeat the other expressions．）
4. трд̀s rò dyxápotov—adverbial, 'cross-wise': with prepositional phrases used adverbially the article is rarely found.
 $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and subj. is changed into $\epsilon i$ and opt., the subj. in a final clause is regularly changed into opt. ; the only exceptions in


7. बтотexloat-'to invest the city,' by completing the northern wall.
§ 2 1. 7. ol $\tau \in$ 'A. àveßeß
 A. had lately ( $\# \delta \eta$ ) gone up when Gyl. made his attack ; $\tau \in$ ...kai are paratactic and describe nearly simultaneous events.
 ferred to in c. 2, 4. Thuc. always writes $\epsilon \pi i \quad \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta$, and so the orators unless some particular sea is referred to, as Demosth. vi. $12 \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \theta$. $\alpha \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$.


relxous-the northern wall.
 longed action ; the A. were bivouacking by chance outside their fortress, on the east side. Ėeúnxavov aǜcoducvot would mean ' they had bivouacked by chance.' Cf. on c. 2, 4.
13. is गैन $\theta$ ovto-the regular periphrasis for al $\sigma \theta \delta \mu \in \nu 0 c$ when the partic. would be inelegant.
14. $\sigma$ 中ertpous-after $\dot{\delta}$. See on c. $1,5$.
$\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota v$-is placed after the verb when not emphatic. It is esp. common after $\alpha \pi d \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $d \nu a \chi \omega \rho \in i v$.
15. iұұ $\eta \lambda$ о́єєроv-pred. ; cf. i1. $75 \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon \tau о ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а$.
16. dג入ous-exclusive ; cf. c. 61, 1.
18. ekaotol-this word is frequently put into the relative clause, like quisque, instead of in the principal clause.
§ 4 1. 19. to $\Pi 1 \lambda \eta \mu \mu$ úpov - 'the A. fleet was now . . . in the north-western corner of the harbour, near the swamp of Lysimeleia . . . Now that the Syr. were beginning to stir by sea, such a position gave them no command of the harbour in general. . . . Eyerything now had to come by sea, at a great disadvantage, as long as the A. had no command of the mouth of the harbour. . . . N. therefore determined to occupy Plemmyrion.'-Freeman.
20. adruttepas-the view from it takes in the whole extent of Ortygia and Achradina.



## 118

would more naturally be $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, as in II. 18 хa入et $\omega$ s $\dot{\eta}$
 quently qualified by an adv. in such phrases as кaкês $\gamma$ रोveral $=$ it turns out badly for; so that $\dot{\rho}$ ăo $h$ here is not opposed to Gk. idiom.)
 distance from the Syracusan fleet.
 రvros, of a battle close to Piraeus. The phrase means 'near the mouth of the harbour.' The fleet would now be drawn up just inside the harbour, and there would be less danger of disasters like that related in c. 3, 5 when provisions were to be brought in.
27. Ex $\mu \nu X d i=$-the north-western corner, close to the point at which the donble wall touched the harbour.
 conveyance of provisions, as c. 24.


 protases, both of which, in the recta, are subj. with \#y. In these cases, the first protasis is the principal condition, the second the subordinate. Cf. Andoc. I. 149 éd $\nu$ toîs éx $X$ poîs
 $\pi \circ \nmid \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.

кıvผิ้тal-(1) sc. oi $\Sigma u p a \kappa b \sigma c o c$. Thuc. changes the subject

 (2) passive voice, as usual with verbs used reflexively, the purely reflexive use of the mid. being quite rare. Cf. v. 8 es

$\pi p o r \in i x \in \tau \epsilon$-'in fact he was now disposed to give more attention to uaval warfare.'
30. $\mathrm{i} \pi \in 6 \mathrm{O} \grave{\mathrm{I}}$-in temporal sentences where the indic. was used in the Recta, it is always retained in the Obliqua.

ग¡кє-cf. c. 1, 4.
§ 5 l. 31. otpation - 'troops'; the greater part of the army was still encamped along the walls.
32. фpoúpla-' $N$. built three forts, a greater and two smaller. . . . The new station, standing apart from the constant fighting which went on around the walls on Epipolae, was thought to be a safer resting-place for provisions and stuff generally.'Freeman.
33. бкєú -'stores'; cf. c. 24, 2.
\%кeเто-Thuc. always uses the simple verb instead of ádob $\kappa є \not \mu а$ in this sense.
34. Td $\mu$ сүaida-i.c. transports.
§ 6 l. 35. ひ̈नte-quamobrem. In Homer $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ is used only in a comparative and causal sense. In tragedy, when used with the indic. (not found in Aesch.), $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ nearly always = quamobrem, and this use is common in Tpuc., as II. 87, and other prose authors.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \omega v$-one of the many nouns in $-\mu a$ which is used in a concrete sense, of persons. They are esp. common in tragedy, as $\delta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu a$, slave, $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a$, fallen body.
 esp. fond of abstract nouns in - $\sigma \iota s$, as $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \iota$, $\delta \lambda \delta \phi \nu \rho \sigma \iota s$, $\xi u \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$, and probably coined some himself.
 juxtaposition of dissimilar expressions is far commoner in Thuc. than in other authors. Tacitus imitates the inannerism.
 $\beta<a<6 \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi<\theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \in \iota \nu$, and often.
 motion corresponds to the supine in $\cdot \mathrm{um}$.
39. т $\omega \mathrm{v}$ i $\pi \pi \mathrm{t}^{2} \omega$-having gone round the west end of Epipolae.
42. Xvpakoolocs-as the subject, $\mu \in \operatorname{pos} i \pi \pi \in \omega \nu$, of the pluperf. pas. is personal, the dat. is ethic rather than dat. of the agent. Contrast raûta $\pi \in \in \pi \rho a \kappa \tau a l$ $\mu \mathrm{o}$. (When the subject of the perf. pas. is personal, the agent is regularly expressed by $\dot{u} \pi \mathbf{v}_{\text {. }}$ )


 the adjacent land. There are still two gaunt pillars of the temple standing.
45. $\pi 0 \lambda(x \sim \eta$-later this was turned into a proper name.
brerd́xaro-cf. áфiкato c. 75. The termination is Ionic, but is occasionally found in other Attic prose writers; c.g. Xen. Anab. Iv. 8, 5 divtctetdхara.. (Moeris wrongly says èterdхato


50. vavioxềv aúras-' to lie in wait for them.'
 for use,' i.e. for the wall from the circle to Trogilus.
$\sigma \not{ }^{\circ} \sigma \iota-$ for $\sigma \phi l \sigma \iota \nu$ aúroîs or éautoîs. Cf. on c. 3, 1. Thuc. often uses $\sigma \phi$ âs thus as a primary reflexive, referring to the subj. of its own clause ; but not when the reflexive is emphatic or in antithesis.
4. то仑 тexforaãos-the cross-wall which he was huilding.


9．тelxь $\sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega v$－the incomplete Athenian wall and the－ Syracusan cross－wall．

10．Xp才fors $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$－another case of simple abstract nouns （esp．in $-\sigma t s$ and $-\mu a$ ）with $\epsilon / \mu l$ ，$\gamma(\gamma \nu o \mu a c$ ．Cf．c．4， 6 ； 16， 2.
 principle in Gk．antithesis is that the more emphatic clause comes second．（The rule might be broken in the case of $\varepsilon \phi \eta$ ， to get the neg．before it．）
 so Plutarch relates．


 The act．is used because he actually drew up（constructed）the


§ 4 1．21．Suavoeicdac oficos－＇to convince themselves that they would find their resources ample，and that they would be guilty of intolerable lack of determination unless．＇
 trasted with the moral resources．A common antithesis， which we should not make so prominent．$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ goes with


 chiasmus．חe入oтovy yoto is addressed to the Syracusans by their allies，thus reminding them of the origin of which they were very proud．Cf．Theocritus 15， 90 Evpakoolacs $\bar{\epsilon} \pi 九 \tau \dot{d} \sigma$－

 $\Delta \omega \rho t \in \sigma \sigma \sigma$ ．The Athenians，on the contrary，did not at all care for the title＇Ionians．＇

25．v $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$－the Syracusans regarded themselves as $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon t-$ ри̂тal．Cf．c． 21.
$\xi \cup y \kappa \lambda u ́ \delta \omega v-c f$. Livy 22,43 milites mixtos ex conluvione omnium gentium．The word is rare in Attic，but is common in late authors．（Cf．Photius $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda u ́ \delta \omega \nu \cdot \sigma \nu \mu \mu i \kappa \tau \omega \nu, \epsilon^{e} \pi \eta \lambda v \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \nu$. ．）

кратŋбаитеs $\$ \xi \in \lambda$ daraodal－when partic．and verb govern a different case，a common object will nearly always follow the construction required by the partic．
 in Iv． 35.

 if the enemy should hesitate．＇

Ekeivol-used of 'the enemy,' as often ; sometimes even when a different pronoun is required by strict grammar.
5. тepropâv тароикобоцоо́pevov - the pres. partic. because the details of the building operation are thought of.
9. тaúciv fín kTolet-' it would probably come to the same thing whether they fought a series of battles with unbroken success, or did not fight at all.' $\tau \epsilon$. . кal are alternative, as often, and the infins. form the subject to $a \mathfrak{i} \tau \delta \nu \bar{\epsilon} \pi o l \epsilon l$.
10. vukâ-why could not Nicias have attacked the crosswall if he won a series of victories? Thuc., speaking in his own person, seems to represent Nicias as quite blind to this possibility.
11. $\delta 1 \dot{\alpha}$ tavròs-' continually.'
oîv-resuming after a long parenthesis. See on c. 42, 3.


$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v$ \#̀ $\pi \rho o ̛ ́ r \epsilon \rho o v-'$ and not as previously.' Before, they had fought $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \nu\rangle \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu d \tau \omega \nu$. (This use of $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is a com-

14. mpoayay $\omega v$-as to the exact scene and formation of the battle edd. are unable to agree. The question is of no importance.
15. $\mathrm{ek}^{2} \pi \lambda a y \mathrm{lou}-$ so as to take the A . left in flank. Contrast катà $\pi \rho b \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$ I. 106.
§ 3 1. 20. $8 i^{\prime}$ aúrd-Thuc. constantly uses aưrd thus to denote something which he has just described. So too aưrò סjâv or toteîv are idiomatic.
21. кarŋpod $\chi^{\theta} \eta$-this verb кar-apd $\sigma \sigma \omega$ is often used by Dio Cassius ; and is found in other late authors, but with $\rho \rho$.
§41.22. vukti - words expressing time do not need $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$. Thuc. extends this rule to words like $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ and $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \varsigma$, which are quasi-temporal.
23. zф0абаи тароикоסориŋбаитеs-notice the rule that with $\phi \theta \alpha \nu \omega, \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \omega$ and $\lambda a \nu \theta d \nu \omega$ the aor. partic. does not denote past time relatively to the leading verb.
kal mape入06vтes-' and so they passed.' The whole of the passage from this to the end of the $c$. is an explanation of the words $\pi \alpha \rho о к к о \delta о \mu \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
25. $\mu \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon-$ more emphatic than $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$. . $\epsilon \tau \iota$.
$\mu \eta \mathrm{T}$. . тє-so reque . . et.
26. aütஸ̂v, éxelvous-applying to the same persons, as often in all Attic prose. So hic and ille in Cic. de Fin. 4, 16, 43.
27. ג̇тєбтєр The perf. denotes the completion of the act.


§11．1．al тє－answered by кai ó 「únıлтоs below．
2．kal＇$A \mu \pi$ ракьшт $\omega$ у－－the art．（ai）is not repeated，as often


7．$\mu \hat{k}$ 人pı тоv̂ Ev́pufjov－it was carried so far to prevent an enemy from approaching by the western ascent to Epipolae．



10．kal vautıкiv－－intending to collect one both naval and military．＇

 $\pi \rho o \theta v ́ \mu o u s$ eival $\sigma u \nu a \gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \tau a s$.
§ 31.13 ． $6 \lambda \lambda$ ol－exclusive use，$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon$ being contrasted with Gylippus．
 in［Dem．］47， $33 \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi \dot{\psi} \dot{d} \nu \delta \nu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \pi \in \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ с． 15.

 vaûv．Diod．13， 8 tàs ávaxelpas énouồvio．The technical ex－ pression for practising manouvres．


 The partic．is generally in the nom．with $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ in Thuc．The imperf．here is probably intended to represent the details of an elaborate act（as often with $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ ）：thus it will suit both


6．ка回 ${ }^{\prime}$ ккабтa－a prepositional phrase replacing the object （of $\alpha{ }^{2} \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ），as often ．with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\nu}$ ．

8．Selvois－regular word for the difficulties of war．
 expresses merely the logical dependence of the apodosis on the protasis，whereas $\hat{\eta} \nu$ with subj．shows that the speaker hopes or fears the fulfilment of the condition．Thus Thuc．here puts the matter in a scientific form．
 civaı $\epsilon i \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \eta \sigma b \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．（The logical expression is sacrificed to effective rhetoric．）
 after karà shows that Nicias did not know that they actually were incompetent．

$\nu a \mu l a$, is found in Herod., and was perhaps used also by Antiphon.
ytyvofeva-notice that in expressing the two causes, Thuc. varies the construction (кãd and causal participle).



тd̀ ठvтa-' the facts.'
 stula means a private letter. (Contrast the verbosa et grandis cpistula from Capreae.)
16. ${ }^{\text {tv }} \tau \hat{\Psi}$ dyy $\lambda_{4}$ - in the hands of, i.e. through. Cf.
 prose and verse.
$\mu a 0$ бvтas $=\mu a \theta \varepsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha a l-s o$ that $\mu a \dot{\lambda} \iota \sigma \tau a$ belongs to $\mu a \theta \delta \nu \tau a s$ as well as to $\beta$ oùcíбactac.
17. Bov 1 évar0at-' come to a decision.'
§ 3 l. 18. kal-' and so,' a use frequent also in Aeschylus.

 etc. $=\xi v \nu \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} s$ s $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$. $\tau$ d кard $\tau \dot{d} \sigma$. is object of $\ell \chi \omega \nu$. 'Superintended the army by keeping it in a state of defence instead of running self-imposed risks.' So Dio Cass. 47, 36

 $\delta c^{\prime} \epsilon \pi i \mu \varepsilon \lambda \epsilon l a s \in\lceil\chi \in \nu$.
21. 82 ékovot $\omega \nu$ kıvסív $\omega \nu$-Classen supplies $\varepsilon_{\chi} \chi \nu$; Stahl takes the words with $\epsilon \pi \in \mu \in \lambda \in \tau=$ as an adverbial phrase. Either way will do, since $\ell \chi \omega \nu$ is itself almost equivalent to an adv. here, implying continuance.
22. incu $\lambda$ ето-absolute.
3. Hepolkkov-Perdiccas II., son of Alexander the Phil- 9 hellene. His shifty policy gave great tromble to Athens in the war.
(1) Before 432 he was in alliance with Athens.
(2) In 432 he encouraged the revolt of Potidaea. because Athens was in alliance with his brother and enemy Philip.
(3) In 431 he was reconciled to $\Lambda$ thens.
(4) In 429 Athens projected an expedition against him, but was unable to undertake it.
(5) In 424 he encouraged Brasidas to invade Thrace.
(6) In 424 autumn, owing to differences with Brasidas, he made terms with the Athenians, but lent no assistauce.
(7) In 418 winter, he again joined Sparta, after the battle of Mantinea. The Athenians blockaded him in 417.
(8) He is now again on terms with Athens, but Thuc. has omitted to explain this new change.
The condition of Macedon was much improved after the accession of Archelaus in 413.
 430, 429). It passed over to Sparta in 424, and was never regained by Athens, though it should have been given back under the terms of the Peace of Nicias. In 358 Philip added it to Macedon. It was the central city of one of the four confederacies into which Rome divided Macedon in 168, after the fall of Perseus.
 as often when the chief object of an action fails; cf. v. 6

 the meaning (1) 'to appear duly '-in court, of litigants, (2) 'to return ' from an errand, (3) 'to reach' one's destination.
2. Boa тє dmo - 'the oral message.' ${ }^{2} \pi \delta$ describes the


3. єॅрๆто. Cf. с. 4, 7.
 Thuc. refers only to one occasion, though many asked questions on that occasion.



6. toîs 'A0ךvalous-i.c. in the ecclesia. Probably, if we may infer from the decision arrived at, a special summons ( $\kappa a \tau d \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma t s)$ had been issued to the country population, among which Nicias found his chief support.
$\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\sigma} a v-r e g u l a r$ word with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}, \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a, \nu 6 \mu \circ s$, $\sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$.
roláde-the letter is in the form of a set speech. (It is
 Cf. T. Its full description would run, according to the rules of Hermogenes, which are followed throughout the rhetorical scholia of Thuc., $\dot{\eta} \sigma \tau d \sigma \iota s \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$, 入оүıкй (or arpaфos),
 third letter of Isocrates, written after Chaeronea to Philip.)
 account of,' 'thanks to,' which it often suggests, just as in Eng. ' we could not find our way in the dark.' Cf. on c. 8, 2.
3. $\mu a \theta \delta \nu \tau a s-c f . ~ \tau i \mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu, \pi a \theta \omega \dot{\nu}$.
4. $i v \$$-describes the circumstances, as very often in Thuc., in quo sumus statu. Cf. on c. 29, 4.
ßou入cúgaб0al-'to come to a decision'-again ingressive.
 excellently. illustrates Aristot. Rhet. 1, 16, 11 è $\nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma o \rho \alpha q$



 adjective. Cf. II. 2, 2 ă $\nu \delta \rho a s$ tò̀s $\dot{y} \pi \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i o u s$.
6. Supakorious-Classen notes on I. 108 that Thuc. constructs кpareív with accus. When it is connected with $\mu \dot{a} \chi \eta$ or $\mu a x \delta \mu \in \nu o s$ (or when one of them is clearly implied in context); otherwise with gen. This rule is generally observed, for $\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon i \nu \quad$ with gen. $=\kappa \rho \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$. Cf. Demosth. 8, $32 \delta \nu$


 by reminding the Athenians of the original plan of campaign. Hence $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, not $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$.
9. Ek $\tau \in$. . . kal amd-one of the commonest interchanges - of prepositions, esp. in Isocrates. In some uses $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta$ are different ; e.g. of descent, $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$ is used of direct, ajm of indirect descent. Even as used here, éx generally expresses the more important or immediate cause.
 oblique cases always $\begin{aligned} & \text { t } \sigma \tau \nu \\ & \text { ( } \dot{\omega} \nu, ~ o f s, ~ e t c .) ~ i n ~ T h u c ., ~ e x c e p t ~ w h e n ~\end{aligned}$ words intervene, as in c. 25 ग$\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ods.
 by aor., cf. on c. 3, 4. (Notice the extreme simplicity and beauty of the style here.)
 $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho a l q=$ in the next day's assembly; and so in v. 46, 1 . But when no noun precedes, $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$ is meant.
 The dat. is not 'agent,' but that used in military and naval phrases, of the general's maparкєuウ. Hence $\dot{v} \pi$ ' aürov is to be supplied. Note also that with $\beta \iota d j o \mu a \iota$ and all verbs denoting force, índ and gen. is common with non-personal agents, as

§ 3 l. 15. $\pi \lambda$ ffoos-of superior numbers, as often.
 operations. So quiescere.
17. $\mu$ fpos $\tau \iota$ - the addition of $\tau \iota$ indefinitely extends the force of $\mu$ हिos.
 thing which has actually occurred (it being the natural result),


The more energetic indic. construction with $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau e$ is far commoner in Xenophon and the Orators than in Herod., Thuc. and Tragedy.


21. \&тe入өウข-' attack,' here with accus., $\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon i \chi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ being common object to partic. and verb.
 infin. either dat. or accus. is used, dat. when the case precedes the infin., as II. $61 \xi \nu \nu \epsilon \beta \eta \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ d́кєраiots.
re-' and so,' giving the result of preceding details.
23. aútov̀s $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v-$ ' ourselves iustead.'
$8 \sigma a \quad \gamma \in-d u m t a x a t$, 'as far as concerns,' as in Iv. $48 \delta_{\sigma a} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$



 gular phrases for referring to an action just described : I. 5, 2 ; 6, 5 ; II. 11, 8 ; 49, 5.
oubk yap-here begins the description of the difficulties of Nicias.

Tffs $X^{\omega}$ pas-put in a prominent position because it further emphasizes $\delta \sigma a \quad \gamma \epsilon$ кaTdे $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Thuc. constantly inverts the natural order for the sake of emphasis, esp. the order of the gen.
25. $i \pi i \pi \pi 0 \lambda \grave{y}-\mathrm{used}$ here of space; less often of time.
 is very common in Thuc., and is quite a feature of his style.
4. $\tau d s \mu \geqslant v$. . . dard $\delta \neq \tau \omega \hat{v}$-the regular, but not universal order when a preposition occurs with $\delta \mu \dot{\lambda} \nu$ or $\delta \delta \dot{\xi}$ is that the particle should follow the preposition immediately ; e.g. Andoc.
 vi. 76, 4 ol $\mu$ èv is in a remarkable position.
kal $\pi$ elowv . . . kal orparidv-the double kal marks the balancing of the clauses, as in $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ кal . . . ob̄tu кal.
§ 2 1. 9. тติv тex̂̂v. . $\pi \in \rho a \hat{v}$-the act. and mid. are equally used in this sense, II. $818 \pi \omega \bar{s} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \varphi \nu \tau 0$ rov̂ $\tau \epsilon$ ixous. (Eustathius


 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \nu$. ') See also on c. 32, 1.
 consider incredible.' $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu \pi o c \epsilon i ้ \nu$ denotes the outward expression of surprise, and is often used by Herod. and Thuc. But often in the Orators $\delta \in \iota \nu \partial \nu$ rotề means 'to act disgracefully'; as Lys. 3. 7, 26.
12. 8 rl kal -for the ellipse after $\delta \tau \iota$, cf. [Demosth.] 47, 41

14. кdкeivol-Éкeipos of 'the enemy,' as c. 6, 1. In. II. 11,
 тd éaut $\hat{y}$, but Thuc. makes Archidamus refer to the enemy as Éxềvor.


17. бcminplq-' soundness.'
vov $8 k$ - the contrast of Past with Present is among the commonest of rhetorical devices. Aristotle remarks that the efficacy of Antithesis results from its having the appearance of being a Proof; Bain that it is a consequence of the law that ' we are affected only by change of impression.'



 the third Philippic, $\alpha^{\alpha} \sigma \delta \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \kappa a l \nu \epsilon \nu b \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}$ 'Eג $\lambda$ ds.
 cime, or yippouce with an adj. is very common, esp. in Herod. See Stein on Herod. v. 63, 5. Cf. Isocr. Paneg. 122 mod入oùs

 very common.
 occurs frequently.
§ 1 l. 1. ik rollifs $\pi$ eprovolas-' as the result of a great 18 superiority,' i.e. 'if we had a great numerical superiority.'

 also in VIII. 45 ; Demosth. 18, 3 ; 45, 67.
 see note on c. 18, 1.
4. © . . . dфаирfföцv-see on c. 8, 1 .
 - $\sigma$ ts, so common in Thuc., is a means of giving dignity to the expression.
§ 2 1. 7. ETt vôv-both $\epsilon_{\tau c} \nu \hat{v} v$ and $\nu \hat{v} \nu \epsilon_{\tau} \iota$ are found. $\phi \theta \in i-$ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a c$ is the opposite of av $\xi d \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$.

 being exchanged for a finite verb, as in in. 47, 3 入erbuevov mèv
 but many falsely explain the solecisms that appear in the vulgate as anacolutha.)
 trasted with $\dot{u} \pi 0 \delta \epsilon \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s$ ．As long as the fleet was intact，the attendants had felt the superiority of the Athenian force ：but now the two sides were to contend on equal terms．
 prose commonly have únd with things are such as עıкஸ̂ual，
 Lysias also uses $̇$＇̇al $\rho \circ \mu a \iota$ with $\dot{\nu} \pi \delta$ ．The thing so used must be such as can easily be personified，c．g．（1）natural phenomena， $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\nu}$, à $\pi \lambda о \iota a, \sigma \epsilon \sigma \mu b s$ ，（2）external circumstances，кivסuvos，



 elk $\delta \tau \omega \nu$ ，úx $\dot{\delta}$ rô̂ dikalou．The construction is optional in these
 $\sigma v \mu ф о \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，but 6， $65 \dot{\omega} \mu a \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \notin \nu 0 \iota \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu ф о \rho a i ̂ s$.

16．आapd $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \mu \nu$－（1）here＇unexpectedly＇；（2）may mean also＇unreasonably．＇

17．$k \pi$＇aúтоно入las троф́́नet－＇taking the opportunity afforded by desertion＇is probably the meaning，as perhaps in

 means＇on pretext．＇Some，he says，desert to the enemy ； others simply go away．（Classen says＇on any opportunity of deserting＇；but $\pi \rho \delta \phi a \sigma \iota s$ certainly does not mean＇an oppor－ tunity of doing something，＇but an opportunity which is afforded by something．）

18．ws ékaनtol Súvavtal－＇as they find a chance，＇i．e．with－ out joining the enemy．Hence he adds $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ 乏ice入ia．Cf． II．16， 3 ผ̀s èk
 appears at first sight ；for there must have been many in the Ecclesia who still had a very vague notion of the size of Sicily．

20．＇Ykкapıк̀̀－Hyccara（now Carini）was a Sicanian town between Phoenician Panormus and Elymian Segesta．The Athenians captured it shortly after arriving in Sicily．Lais， who became one of the most famous and most exacting of the Beauties of antiquity，was captured there and sent to Corinth． A pathetic episode in the life of Alcibiades is his love for Timandra，mother of Lais．



22．т $\grave{v}$ и akplßelav toû vautukov̂－cf．Arrian，Anab．I．2， 7

 be told that.' Both in Greek and, still oftener, in Lat. a 1st pers. sing. is used where we prefer to avoid it. With the perf. in Gk., the impers. pass. is preferred to the lst pers. sing. act.
2. Bpaxcia dкрクो тлпра́ратоs - generally taken to mean ' the efficient part of a ship's crew is small'; and this accords well with the next clause. But the Schol. says oú $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi$


 is probably right, though $\beta \rho a x$ is in Thuc. often $=$ ' small.'
kal bגiyor têv vautôv- 'it is but few of the sailors that both start the ship, and (then) keep rowing (all the time).' є $\xi$ op $\mu a \bar{\nu}$ means that the sailors start 'working,' $\xi u v \in \chi \in \iota \nu$ that
 rac. Too many of those who work at first 'get slack' after a short time : others reserve themselves at the start.
§ 21.4 . тoúrov - Thuc. is very fond of putting the 'partitive' $\tau 0 u \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \nu$ first in the sentence.
$\pi$ ávт $\omega v$ d́торஸ́тaтov-note the idiom. Demosth. 8, 35



5. тб тє $\mu \grave{\mu}$. . . eโvat . . . кal 8 ть—a clause with $8 \tau \iota$ is often combined with a clause in different construction, e.g.


 apx $\quad$ © $\nu$.
ai ipétepal фúres - the common complaint that with Athenian freedom was mingled drakla. The opponents of democracy ascribed the defect to ignorance. It was, however, mainly caused by the too rapid development of the Athenian polity after the Persian wars; the people acquired democratic institutions before they had mastered the lesson of obedience. Only exceptional men like Themistocles and Pericles were able to remedy the defect.
7. $\downarrow \pi\llcorner\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta$ - the fut. expressing possibility after the rel. in primary sequence, the only construction in Attic prose, except where the delib. subj. is possible. Homer uses also the subj., generally with $\kappa \in \nu$.
 and the men that we lose come of necessity from the men that we brought ont with us.'
10. т反́ $\tau \in \delta v \tau a$ refers to $\delta \lambda \ell$ yot $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu a u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ above: though
but few of the sailors in the ship are really efficient，yet we cannot turn the crews out and supply their places with others －or，if we do，we must draw on the reserves we brought ；but they are badly needed to supply our losses．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ ．．．kal－two distinct things are here joined without repetition of the art．，as in Eur．Ion 7 тd $\tau^{\prime}$ bעтa кal $\mu \in \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a$

 above．Sickness，exhaustion，and death remove many men in the crews：such losses represent a dead loss on the total sent out，since there is no source of supply except the reserves． Notice（1）the chiastic arrangement here－$\beta$ paxeía $\alpha \kappa \mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．
 very fond：（2）the neut．，used because totals are being dealt with．

11．Náos kal Kard́vๆ－Naxos，though the oldest Greek city in Sicily，was never important．It was destroyed in 403 b．c．Pausanias says that no traces of it remained in his day （a slight exaggeration）．The site is now occupied by an orange grove．Catana was a colony from Naxos．It is an uninterest－ ing town，the ancient remains having been buried by earth－ quakes and volcanic eruptions；but it is now the second city in Sicily in point of size．
 gaining over the Italian cities＇；the $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ clause being epexce－




13．Tifs＇Itadlas－already before the Peloponnesian war both the Athenians and Spartans had made alliances in Italy， by which they understood only the S．W．corner of Italy，from Heraclea on the east and the Laus on the west．
 $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
$i v \Phi$－see c．11， 1.
15．8carteто入е $\mu$ foerat－＇they will bring the war to an end without striking a blow．＇
§ \＆1．18．讠 joic $\mu \grave{\lambda} \mathrm{d} v$－Demosth．，in the 3rd Olynthiac， says that the old orators，Aristides，Pericles，Nicias，used to speak the truth，not try to please．So Thuc．says of Pericles． Cf．Livy 22， 38 contio verior quam gratior．
 with the pleasant anticipation aroused in you by the evil habit some orators have of speaking $\pi \rho \delta \delta^{\chi} \chi \rho i v, \pi \rho d s \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \nu$.

24．doda入íनтepov－strikes the key－note of Nicias＇policy．
 object of the expedition，consider that，＇etc．ws with gen．abs． is often used thus with $\delta$ oavoồ $\mu a l$ and such words．See M．T．
 סcapoov．

 is to deplore the misfortune of his country，but not to attack the statesmen unless they are really culpable．

7．dutapkoúvtwv ．．．©éov－the change is necessary because the 2nd partic．is impersonal．Cf．25， 9 bvt $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．．．$\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ．

 $\delta \epsilon$ ．（It is one of the $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau a \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ first taught by Gorgias．）

11．גठúvatos－recalling the technical term for ol $\tau \delta \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu 0<$ at Athens．
§ 21.13 ．тo入入d ．．．ípâs é timolnoa－rather unusual for

 $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \nu \nu \quad \pi \lambda_{c \nu}$ ．It was one of the stock devices of orators to dwell on their services to the state．
§ 3 1．15．$\mu \AA \lambda \lambda e \tau \epsilon-\mathrm{sc} . \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
$\mu \eta$ ès davaßodds тpárocte－Herod．viII． 21 oúkétı és àvaßo入ds
 àvaßo入ás．Cf．oưk és maкрà．

17．Td $\mu \grave{v}$ dv ELкe入la－＇help in S．＇
 common in Thuc．，both temporal and local．Demosth．19，


 There is anacoluthon here，the partic．mopıov $\mu \ell \nu \omega \nu$ being replaced


§ 1 1．3．map $\lambda_{u \sigma a v-' r e l e a s e d . ' ~ C f . ~ H e r o d . ~ v i r . ~}^{38} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu 16$
 סlkns．

5．aürov̂ excî－pleonasm with aưtoû is common ：e．g．Homer
 Herod．i． 82 au̇rov̂ év $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad$ Өvpépol．Plat．Alc．p． 109 в aútov̂ $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{E} \dot{\mu} \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \eta$ ．

6．8io－thus the places of Alcibiades and Lamachus were filled，but it was a great error to restore the＇debating club＇ method of command．Cf．Macaulay on Argyle＇s expedition， E．H．І． 5.
7. $\mu$ bvos iv do0cvelq $\tau_{0}$-the chief emphasis is on $\mu 6 \nu 0$. Take $\tau \alpha \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho \in i v$ to mean exceptional distress as usual. It is often used of sickness and of the hardships of a protracted or unfortunate expedition.
 was excluded from the muster-roll, and regularly served as $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \beta a \tau a \iota$, being exceptionally employed, $\notin \xi \omega \tau$ the infantry.
12. $\Delta \eta \mu 0 \sigma \theta(\eta \eta$-his record: (1) 426, Aetolian expedition, which, after a bad start, resulted in the total defeat of the Aetolians and Ambraciots at Argos Amphilochicum : (2) 425, established Pylus: (3) 424, successful expedition against Megara, but subsequent failure in Boeotia. He had figured as prosecutor in the courts.
13. EipuneSovta-his record: (1) 427, commanded the fleet sent to Corcyra, but took no action : (2) 426, commanded the land force in a successful attack on Boeotia: (3) 425, commanded the first fleet sent to Sicily by Athens, and, on the way, aided in the extermination of the Corcyrean aristocrats. For his want of success in Sicily in 425 he was fined.
§ 21.19 . Histl-see c. $1,4$.
 $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \iota a$. Periphrases of abstract nouns with $\epsilon i \mu l, \gamma l \gamma \nu 0 \mu a \iota$ are very idiomatic.
§ 1 l. 1. $\boldsymbol{v} \pi)^{\mu} \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$-remaining behind, esp. in a place of danger or duty. Hence often used by litigants who might have avoided trial by flight: also of troops in action.
 $\nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$. Technical word for sending out a formal notice, which may amount to a command. Cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho(a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
5. aùs $\theta_{\mathrm{ev}}$-from Attica.
§21. 6. $\pi \notin \mu \pi \sigma_{0}$-see on c. 3, 1. So pergo is constantly used in hist. pres.
 by Pericles, it being impossible for Athens to spare sufficient troops for an invasion of the Pel.
 of caution may be followed by an infin. (with or without $\mu \gamma$ ), which sometimes has the art.'
 follows the same rule as $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$, i.e. it takes $8 \tau \tau$, etc., and moods when it implies no command; infin. when it implies command : but Thuc. does not keep strictly to these rules. $a^{2} \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ sometimes takes partic. on analogy of verbs of showing. Demosth. has $\Phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \iota \pi \pi$ os $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \eta \dot{d} \sigma \theta \in \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$.

and $\pi$ ooov̂ $\mu a \iota$ and its pass. $\gamma i \gamma \nu 0 \mu a \iota$, see Index. $\pi \rho o \tau \in ́ \rho a \nu$ refers to the sending of Gylippus and Pythen.
15. $\dot{e} v \dot{\delta} \lambda_{k}$ a $\sigma$-order perverted for the sake of emphasis, as often. The sending of troops in merchant ships was unusual.
 with тарабкєvdjoual is very rare except in Thuc., who has it several times. Xen. Hel. Iv. 1, $41 \pi a \rho \in \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \alpha \oint \epsilon \tau о ~ \pi о р є \cup \sigma б \mu \epsilon \nu о s . ~$
18. $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aü $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau$.-i.e. $\epsilon \nu \delta \lambda \kappa d \sigma \iota$, with $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon$.
§ 4 l. 19. vaû-put first for the sake of the antithesis with

 тoteî̃ $\theta a l$, where $\epsilon \xi{ }^{\prime}$ 'Ita入ias kal $\Sigma$. ought to follow roîs, but is put first to contrast it with aurov.
 forms are equally correct, we sometimes find both in the same sentence.'-Goodwin.
 under Phormio in the autumn of 430 in order to close the mouth of the Corinthian Gulf. Trans. 'against the ships stationed at N.'
23. aùrธิv-should be $\sigma \phi \omega ิ v$.

24-5. трঠेs тìv oфerfpav dutiraktv-' by having to watch their line of war-ships which would be opposing them'; i.e. the attention which the A . would have to bestow on the Cor. triremes would give the merchant ships a chance,
§ 1 l. 1. тарєбкеvágovто 8 --this epanaphora of $\pi$ арагкєvd- 18 Youal completes the account of the preparations on the part of (1) Demosthenes, (2) the Corinthians and Lac., (3) the Lac.


 since 425.
 partic. is joined with any expression of similar import in another construction ; 'in accordance with their own previous determination and at the instigation of.' Thus the peace of Nicias was finally breaking down after some eight years of half peace.
5. kreion kruv0ávovto-sc. the Cor. and Syr.


 This omission of art. before the prep. is common with verbal substantives. If the prep. and case precedes the art., as in $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \in \rho \psi / s(c f$. on c. 17, 4) II. 38, 1, the object is to produce antithesis.

7． $8 \eta$－giving the actual reason，as in $\mathbf{V} .85 \mathrm{al}$ ．
8．тробкє $\mu \in \operatorname{vos}$ e8CSaбкє－＇urgently advised them．＇
9．$\Delta$ eк $\lambda_{\text {ecal }}$－it commands the road from Athens to Oropus， and thus to Euboea，whence came a great part of the corn supplies of Athens．Of similar strategical importance were Phyle and Oenoe．
§ 2 1．17．тporf $\rho \nsim-$ the Archidamian war．
19．Iлátaiav－it was an ally of Athens since 520 в．c． iII．68， 5.

21．$\pi \rho \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \circ$－the thirty years＇truce， 445 в．c．
22． $6 \theta \in \omega \sigma$－the form $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ is archaic，and in Attic prose occurs only in the phrase $\neq \theta \in \partial s \quad \theta \in \lambda \eta$ ．

Sisbvar Slkas－＇submit to a judicial decision＇；contrast $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ סoûval．

ève日vuoûvto－＇began to think over＇－i．e．began to think it had been deserved．

§ 3 1．28．＇Erridaúpov＝E．Limera，in Laconia．There are ruins of the town．The A．had ravaged it in 414，and thus broken the terms of the peace．

Mpartô－also in Laconia，and ravaged at the same time as Epidaurus．It had been destroyed by the A．in 430．It suffered severely，and its fate is a proof how effective the method of Pericles was．
 the treaty of 421 ，＇after $\pi \in \rho l$ tov．
 ѐ $\pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi \eta$ ．
$\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \eta$－co－ordinate with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ above，introducing the decisive moment．

34．$\sigma \boldsymbol{\phi} \sigma\llcorner$ the subject of the perf．or pluperf．pass．not being personal，the agent is necessarily in dative．

35．тो aüтd－rendering тd $\pi \alpha \rho a \nu \delta \mu \eta \mu a$ still clearer．The sentence of which this section consists is in every way a model of style，and will repay careful attention．

тépuertával－＇shifted round＇like a wheel，as Cicero says of politics orbis reipublicae est conversus（ad At．II．9）．
§ 4 1．36．$\sigma$（ $\delta \eta \rho o v-$＇iron tools．＇
 We expect $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v d \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\pi \epsilon \mu \mu \psi a \iota$ to follow．

39． intrex $^{\circ} \sigma \mu \mathbf{\mu} v$－－of Decelea．
41． $\mathbf{k r} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{k}$ ouplav－esp．used of a foreign（or mercenary）force．
42．тробךvaүкa̧ov－sc．roplگctv，the same brachylogy as with $\delta \iota a \nu 00 \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota$ v． 80 ，ot $\mu a \iota$ c． $66,3, \delta \rho \hat{\omega}$ v． 80.
 The periphrastic forms are preferred in prose, esp. for 19, 29, etc.
44. \&тe入cúta тч́be-the epancuphora of the verb in this formula is prob. accidental. The formal close is in accordance with the Gk. habit of ending quietly.
 ordinary or unexpected.
4. गुरeîto- $\dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ is the regular word for a Spartan king or regent in the field, and for the supreme commander of any foreign expedition.
'ApXLápov-had died in 427 в.c.
 . . . ${ }^{*} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a$ twenty-eight times, while he adds $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ only eight times. If kal follows $\begin{gathered}\text { entira; } \\ \delta \epsilon \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$ is regularly added, even if $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ is without $\mu \in \nu$.


§ 2 l. 10. elkoort kal exarov-if the text is right, Thuc. reckons in the case of Boeotia from Oropus, which belonged to Athens and from which ran the road to Decelea and Athens, through the demes of Aphidna and Cephisia. Otherwise the distance would be much less. But from dut $\chi \in \epsilon$ to Bowtias may be spurious, like some others of the geographical data in Thuc.
11. [kal oủ $\pi$. $\pi \lambda$ éov]-' or not much more'; but kal added in B is prob. only a correction. When an adscript is brought into the text, it is frequently joined on with kal.
12. $k \pi l \mathbf{\delta k} \tau \hat{\Psi}-$ ' for the control of,' in speaking of forts, etc., which by their position threaten a locality; e.g. v. $7{ }_{e} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau \tau$
 Gaillard.
13. тоîs крatiotous-' the richest parts,' the soil of Attica as a whole not being rich. The land about Cephisia is noted for its vegetation.



 They were first so used by Brasidas in Thrace. Before this they had only attended their masters in the field. One as $\theta \epsilon \rho d \pi \omega \nu$ was his master's armour-bearer. The rest were used either as light-armed troops, or as sappers, engineers, or carriers. The king often ordered them to carry booty.
$v \in \circ \delta a \mu \omega \delta \omega v$-helots emancipated as a reward for military services since 424 b.c.

under the king．Contrast § 1．So Brasidas is always called a $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ or $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \delta s$ ．

Bot $\omega$ тol－loosely reckoned among oi $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \Pi \in \lambda o \pi o \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ ，as though he had said only of $\delta \epsilon$ Пєлотоעข $\quad \sigma \sigma o$, which generally in Thuc．includes all the allies of Sparta．When in II． 9 he says
 the strict geographical sense，in contrast with the Greeks $\boldsymbol{\xi} \xi \omega$ Пелото⿱亠䒑䶹бо⿱丷天。
§ 4 l．26．${ }^{\text {ev } v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~} \pi p \omega ̂$ cot－the same idiom c． 24,$3 ; 27,3$ ； 71，3．It is constantly corrupted in the MSS．of Thuc．，as the scribes did not understand it．
$\delta_{\rho} \mu \eta \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s-$ generally $\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ is used in this sense．
27．és td $\pi$ ย $\lambda a y o s$ ádeírav－a frequent idiom；e．g．Herod．
 $\theta$ á $\lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ cannot be used in this phrase；only Plutarch and Pausanias do so．
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Пeлотоעข $\dot{\eta} \sigma \varphi$ above，which is in fact divided into $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota-$
 sense requires that the imperf．a $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ should be given up for the aor．$\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \bar{i} \alpha \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda a \nu$ ．（The form of this passage，like many others；shows how Thuc．is in a transition stage between the $\epsilon l_{\rho o \mu} \epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \epsilon \xi \leqslant$－running style of Herod．－and the artificial periods of Isocrates．）

31．apxovia－governed by both partic．and verb．
33．इckubvio－now dissatisfied with Sparta because early in 417 an aristocratic government had been forced upon it． This aristocracy，however，subsequently got the upper hand， and Sicyon aided Sparta after the battle of Leuctra，371．But in 369，the democrats revived and Sicyon joined Thebes．In 367 or 6，after violent dissensions and the tyranny of Euthy－ phron，it passed again into the hands of Sparta．
$\S 51.39$. Hel．vi．5， 12 ；vii．2， 23 （Dindorf）；Cyrop．vir．5， 39 ； Demosth．54， 3 ；Plat．Lach．p． 188 в；Apol．p． 29 d ；Protag． －p． 325 A；Rep．p． 342 в， 433 в；Phaedr．p． 243 е；Theaet． p． 177 d， 200 c；Meno p． 97 c ；Parmen．p． 144 c ；Sophist． p． 235 c．
aitoîs－＇they found that＇－dat．of interest．
 sensible．
$\S 1$ 1．3．$\pi \epsilon \rho$ i $\tau \epsilon$－answered by кal $\tau \delta \nu \Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$ ．
 struction c．20，1．The other constr．－the partic．in the accus．－is found also in c．20．Cf．viII． 39 eľp $\quad$ to aưroîs és

 Archidamian War, having a treaty with Sparta. It finally joined Athens in 417.
 c. 37,3 ; 53, 1 ; 71, 6.
§ 21.10 . amdore ${ }^{2}$ (ov-imperf. representing the details of an elaborate business, as constantly with 'sending' verbs; cf. on c. 3,1 .
11. Xlars-the only island in the Aegean besides Lesbos then retaining the position of an independent ally of Athens. Hence it supplied a contingent of ships and paid no tribute.
12. 'A日qualov-after $\delta$. kal $\chi$., partitive. See on c. 16, 1.


14. Xpffagoat - ingressive, 'obtain for service'-a sense almost confined to first aor. forms.
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega v$ - viz. those of Ionia, Hellespont, and Thracian Chalcidice. They also were liable to supply infantry, if required. (This incident illustrates the encroachments of Athens on the rights of her allies. They were not originally liable to personal service after they commuted their contingents for a money payment ; much less were they bound to supply anything Athens might require.)
15. elxov - subject, the Athenians.
 all express attendant circumstances of some kind.
17. Xapıк dovs - he had been one of the commission, $^{\text {on }}$ $\Sigma_{\eta} \eta \eta r a l$, appointed to inquire into the mysterious mutilation of the Hermae in 415. (Like Pisander, he started as a democrat, but went over to the oligarchs in 412, becoming one of the Four Hundred. He fled to Decelea when the Four Hundred were deposed, and assisted in the ruin of Athens. He returned along with Critias and other exiles under the terms of peace imposed by Sparta. He was active in promoting the establishment of the Thirty, of whom he became one. When Theramenes opposed the violent measures of Critias, and the party split into two factions, Charicles followed Critias and shared with him the authorship of the worst deeds of the Thirty. His demeanour was servile to Lysander, but overbearing towards his countrymen.)
§ 3 l: 21. кal $\tau \dot{\partial} v$ к. т. $\lambda$.- the clause is object of $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$. Cf. III. 2, 2.
811. 2. ग्र $\kappa \varepsilon$-'returned' from his expedition into the interior. 21
4. ekaotax 60 v-in the rel. clause, as c. 20, 2.
 $\pi 0 ı \eta \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 the same use of id, hoc, quod, quae, in Lat., as Cic. de Leg. II. 33 exemplorum nostra est plena respublica ex augurum praedictis multa vera cecidisse. Neque enim . . id (i.e. augurandi consuetudinem) ad hoc tempus retinuissent.
 would be cheap at the price, a good return.
 earnestly supported his advice (kal only emphasises $\xi v v$-), so that they might not lack spirit to attack by sea, saying as a retort to the A. that even they had no hereditary right to naval skill, nor yet would it last for ever.' The imperf. and the -av-both denote the difficulty of persuading them. They had before thought of peace.
11. 'Eppoкрáтŋs-the Themistocles of Syracuse.
 rare outside Thuc. (So Goodwin; but edd. rightly deny that the words can go directly with $\xi v \nu a \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \theta \theta \epsilon$. The remedy is surely to comma them off, not to alter rov̂.)
raîs vavol-not 'attack the A. fleet,' but 'attack with their own fleet'; cf. c. 7, 4.
 tions for the aor. and fut. were indistinguishable. (If it is bracketed, raîs $\nu$ aval must go with $\dot{\alpha} \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i v$.
mpos toùs 'A.-it is always assumed that this goes with
 and S . cite this wrongly as 7,51 ) : hence we take it with $\lambda \in \gamma \omega \nu$,
 $\mu \epsilon$, 'he says, meaning me . .'; Aeschines 2, 42 н $\eta \eta \mu \nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$ el $\pi \in \frac{1}{\nu} \pi \rho d s \tau d$. . . 'in answer to . . .' ; Demosth. 24, 190
 how fond Thuc. is of making the leaders of opposing forces answer one another. Here we may imagine Nicias reminding the A. of their naval record, and Hermocrates making this retort.) For $\pi \rho 6$ ces. Steup on III. 48, 2.
15. $\dot{a}$ dSov-Classen understands this of the future, probably
 that will 'last for ever.'
16. $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$-bracketed needlessly by Stahl and Classen. Do not supply $\epsilon_{\chi \in \iota \nu \text {, as edd. say, or you will get no antithesis to }}$


ओтєєрбтas-i.e. not a naval power. The word is often used of Syr. and indeed of Sicily generally.
18. $\mathbf{v} \pi \boldsymbol{d} \mathrm{M} \boldsymbol{\phi} \delta \omega \nu$-because Themistocles persuaded them to build a fleet at the time of the Persian wars.

taken, bat is parallel with exerv. Thas vauruoovs $\gamma$. gives the antithesis we wanted.
 that 'bounce' is best answered with 'bounce.' 'In dealing with daring men . . . those who in turn show daring appear most formidable.'
olous-attracted, as often with short clauses. So in Oratio Obliqua short rel. clauses are sometimes attracted into the infin. $\chi$ a $\lambda \epsilon \pi \delta \delta_{s}=$ 'dangerous,' as in III. 42, 3.
21. [aiurois]-prob. spurious, since $\pi \rho d s$ du $\delta \rho a s \tau$. seems to be put first so as to refer to all that follows.
22. \$-resumed by $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ a aíro.


23. $\pi$ poivores - this is the Attic word. Thuc. also uses $\pi \rho o \phi \varepsilon \rho \epsilon \varepsilon \nu$ which is Ionic in this sense c. 64, 2; 77, 2.

T $\hat{\Psi}$--' their.'
24. $\sigma$ dâ-when a plur. subj. of infin. includes the subj. of the main verb as here, whether in whole or in part, it is put


 infin.


§ 4 l. 25. kal Eupakoolous-' and so he knew that by their unexpected boldness in resisting the A. fleet, the S. would gain much more through the amazement with which their conduct would strike the enemy, than they would lose by their inferiority to the A. in naval skill.'
 found; the $\tau \iota$ indefinitely increasing the range of the adv. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu \tau \iota$ is common.

Td тotovitov-such conduct as has been described; a regular use of rotoûtos, very common in Aristotle, and a cause of obscurity in his style.

§ 5 1. 33. 氏l tou $d \lambda \lambda$ ov-attraction, as Soph. O. C. $734 \pi p d s$

§11.1. 'O \&t I .-put first for emphasis though the subj. changes below at al tpcinpets. This is fairly common in Gk. and Lat.: cf. Cic. ad At. III. 9 Quintus frater quum ex Asia decessisset, . . . valde fuit ei properandum.
4. aưTds $\mu \hat{k} v$-' while he,' paratactic.
6. ai $\delta \ell$ тpıfpets - the subj. is presently subdivided into thirty-five and forty-five.
9. ai $8 k \pi\{v \tau \leftarrow$ 'the other forty-five of them,' the art. being - often thus added with the items of a sum total.
11. Td vecoprov-the docks in the Great Harbour were probably built by Gelon (485-476). 'It is less clear whether he had any hand in the other haven of the warships, in the Little Harbour. . . . It was only by Dionysius that it was brought to perfection,' after 405. Freeman.
12. тєри ${ }^{2} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$
 as usual.

14. גцфот $\ell \rho \omega \theta \in v$ - better, as Classen, $=$ ' by sea and land'; but Freeman says: 'the plan was that the one division should sail across the Great Harbour, while the other sailed round the Island, so as to attack the A. fleet unexpectedly on both sides at once.'
§ 21.15 . $\alpha v \tau เ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma a v \tau e s-a n t i t h e s i s ~ t o ~ E ̇ \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v v ~ c . ~ 21, ~$ end. Abresch compares $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon c \nu$ and divtc- c. 25, $\pi$ o $\lambda \iota o \rho \kappa \varepsilon i \nu$ and àv $\nu \iota-$ c. 28, та $\rho a \tau d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota-$ c. 5.
16. Taîs $\mu \mathrm{e} \nu$-again the art. in giving the parts of a whole.
§11.3. बпикатаßárт $\omega \nu$-gone down to the shore to watch the battle.
5. тробтебबे-before the garrisons left in them and the men on the shore could arm.
7. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 v-a d j e c t i v e ~(f o r ~ n o t h i n g ~ f u r t h e r ~ h a p p e n s ~ t o ~ \tau \delta ~$ $\left.\mu^{\prime} \in \gamma เ \sigma \tau o \nu\right)$.
 $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau 0 \boldsymbol{r}$ being predicate in spite of its order. Cf. c. 13, 2.
14. oтparotefov - 'here means the space between the A. walls on the other side of the harbour.' Freeman.

19. ทi /бкero . . . trínxavov-the two imperfects mark the events as simultaneous. This would not be so with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ if aor. were used. See note on c. 3, 3.
 Eqevyov. Attraction of the preposition is very rare in Latin ; e.g. Cic. ad Fam. vir. 1 ex illo cubiculo, ex quo . . . tempora consumpseris, for in illo

§ 3 l. 23. vavuaxovoral-this order of the attributive partic. is only possible when the noun has other attributes besides the partic. ; here $\pi \rho \delta$ тov̂ $\sigma$.
25. тєpl didił入as-prepositions with éavtoùs, $\sigma \phi \hat{a} s$ aúroùs, $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$ ous are used to express reciprocity unless there is a compound of $\delta i d$ available.

тареSorav-'gave away.'
 always be omitted, but the rel., unless it be either in the nom. or else governed by a prep., is regularly attracted. Thus in II.

 being constantly omitted after $8 \sigma o s$.
33. $\nu \eta \sigma \delta \delta(\Psi-$ ' the ceremony was gone through on one of the small islets off Plemmyriôn; but it was the last act of the invaders on that side of the Syr. harbour.' Freeman.
34. бтрато́тeSov-as l. 14 above.
 II. 4.


 Herod. and Thuc. are fond of inserting the gen. between a prep. and its case.
10. хр $ұ \mu а т а-' b o n a, ~ n o n ~ p e c u n i a e . ' ~ H a a c k e . ~$
12. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d$ $\mu \grave{\lambda} \nu . . . \pi 0 \lambda \lambda d \quad \delta \in$-Thuc. does not use this anaphora so elaborately as most writers. The instances in him
 $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a l ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$. . . $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda$ al $\delta \epsilon$ cf. vi. 20, 4. Eur. Rhes. 311 has a fourfold anaphora with modús.
${ }^{2} \mu \pi{ }^{2} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega \nu$ - some from Greece, some from Sicily.

 є́үкатабкクлттєє.
§ 3 l. 19. of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\pi}$ 人о-because the S. now commanded the mouth of the harbour.
 Eur. Helen. 978. See also on c. 8, 3. (See Wecklein on Aesch. P. V. 121.)
23. Es $\tau \in$-the $\tau \epsilon$ is not correlative with either of the preceding negs., but simply joins the sentences, this being a use of $\tau \epsilon$ that gradually fell out of Attic prose.
§ 11.5 . ointep • . фpaбovatv-purpose.




12. Kav $\omega \omega \downarrow$ Lart $\delta \_$-' neighbourhood of Caulonia' in Bruttii. The whole of this region was ro $\lambda \dot{\prime} \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho o s$, and contained $\xi \dot{\prime} \lambda a$ a $\phi \theta$ ova, vi. 90 . Pythagoras lived there when driven from Croton.
 rd $\dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi \alpha 50 v$.

19．Mrydpors－Hyblaean M．：it was the fourth site on which settled the emigrants from Megara in Greece．

21．arroфévourtv－sudden change of subj．；of．on c．4， 4.
§ $\delta$ l．3．vewools $\omega v$－prob．built by Gelon，the second founder of Syracuse．See on c．22，1，1．11．The piles had been driven in during the winter of 415.
§ 6 l．29．$\mu$ vpюофбpov－＇of 10,000 talents＇burden，＇assuming with Arnold that a talent was the ordinary unit of weight： but the matter is not certain．Lobeck conj．$\mu \nu \rho / a \mu \phi b \rho o \nu$, but the form is well attested．

32．むvevov－＇drew up with windlasses＇（ $8 v o c$ ）．
 attraction of $\epsilon \kappa$ ．
 the stockade，＇the adj．assimilated，as with mo入ús，$\dot{\eta} \mu \sigma$ ús，some－ times 8 oros．

Hoav ．．．ois－see on c．11，2，1． 10.
40．סetvòv－＇dangerous．＇
$\mu \grave{\eta} . . . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\eta}$－epexegetic of $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a u$ ，and so depend－ ing on $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \partial \partial \nu \bar{\eta} \nu$ ．

41．тepl $\varepsilon_{\rho \mu \mu}$－cf．the fine lines of the Furies in Aesch．


§ 8 l．44．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d \& k$ kal $d \lambda \lambda_{a}-M$. W．Humphreys examines this phrase in Class．Rev．v．431，and concludes that kal is not needed in connecting äd $\lambda o s$ with ronús，and that when it is inserted，it means＇also．＇He shows that äldoc mo八入ol is very common and $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \frac{l}{\text { at }} \lambda$ doc not rare．
otov eikds－note the phrase and cf．eikbrws．
47．$\pi \in$ lpals－＇stratagems．＇Bloomfield notes that $\pi \in \hat{i} \rho a$

§ 9 l．48．$\pi \delta \lambda_{\text {els }}-$ of their allies in Sicily．
трfoßers ．．．Kopıv0lav－not Syracusans，in order that greater credence might be given to the message（Haacke）．

52．Tifs vaumaxias $\pi \in p-$－they would of course not send an embassy round to report the defeat（this would be $\tau \eta \eta_{\nu}^{\nu} \nu u$－ $\mu a \chi$（av），but，as the news was sure to spread，to give explanations．

 I． 18 interficietis quam desciscitis．

57．$k \pi$＇aúroùs－＇against the $A . '$
60．SLaтeாо入є川ךб反кevov－the accus．abs．joined to gen．as

 fore（fut．perf．infin．pass．），si adniti voluissent．
61. Etiparaov-of negociation or diplomacy, as often.
 that the dat. in this construction is by origin a dat. of possession, like $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \mu 0$, just as much as the dat. of the 'agent' with perf. passives; since we find occasionally such a phrase as $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ т $\quad$ pax$\theta \in \nu \tau$ ' aút仑 for 'his acts' instead of $\tau \dot{d} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \in \nu$ ' aúvчิ. So we can trans. 'when his forces had mustered.'
5. Xарихлеіि-see c. 20.
§ 21.10 . 'Entioúpou-cf. c. 18, 3. This descent on E. repeated and emphasized the $A$. action of the preceding year.
11. тd кaтavtukpì - 'at a point on the Laconian coast opposite K., at a spot marked by the temple of Apollôn,' as Freeman says. Grote (see margin) wrongly says 'on the island.'

 custom of some of the Thracian tribes.
2. rov $\Delta$ cakoi-the Dii were independent and lived mostly in the region of the Rhodope or Despoto mountains.
4. od's ticl-'should have,' according to arrangement. M.T. 415.
§21.6. Botepov - 'too late,' as in Üбtepov mapeqévovto, j $\lambda \theta 0 \nu$.
7. 80ev-antecedent omitted, as in Demosth. 45, 81 àd $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$

8. Ǩxetv-' keep.'

 from 413.
10. $8 \rho \alpha \times \mu ग \geqslant-$ double the ordinary pay of a hoplite.
§ 3 l. 11. ITe $\omega \hat{\eta} \eta \mathrm{Y} \rho-\mathrm{from}$ the time that D. was occupied for the injury of the country, at first by the whole army, after being fortified by it during this summer, and afterwards by garrisons relieving one another at fixed intervals.' (This trans.
 not, as is usually dune, with $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma a$ only.)
13. фpoupaís-replacing the gen. with $\dot{u} \pi \delta$.

17. $\mathbf{d}^{\prime} 6$ pq-a strong word, 'devastation,' like the vastitas Italiae which Hannibal saw in a vision. b $\quad$ e $\theta$ pos is not generally used with things ; it is as though a pest were destroying their resources.
§ 41.19 . $\beta$ paxefal-the average duration of the previous invasions had only been about a month, i.e. as long as provisions held out.

 and the edd．compare Plato，Phaedo，p． 59 a ò $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．．．$\epsilon \nu i o t \epsilon$

 overrun Attica．The Spartans expected the occupation of Decelea would at once cripple the A．in Sicily．It did not do so：therefore special efforts were from time to time made by sending extra troops．The A．had done much the same at the beginning of the war in the case of Potidaea．

tis tons фpoupas－＇the normal garrison＇；in tovs he is thinking of the successive garrisons．

27．ouk $\mathbf{\ell k}$ тaptpyou－non obiter．His father Archidamus had been accused of slackness in his conduct of the war．
 withhold something forcibly or fraudulently from its owner ； as Demosth．speaks of himself as $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \psi^{\prime} \omega \nu$ by his guardians．
 being expressed in different constructions，as often．Xen．in his treatise on riding refers to the rqughness of the ground in parts of Attica，and gives advice to riders．

36．$\xi v v \in X \omega \hat{s}$ тa入aıтんpoûvтes－＇by continual fatigue．＇

## 28

$\S 11.3$ ．＇$\Omega_{\rho} \omega \pi$ ov̂－at this time 0 ．belonged to Athens．It fell into the hands of Boeotia in 412.

5．$\pi 0 \lambda v \tau \in \lambda\rangle s$－the question of finance was now becoming serious．Want of money finally ruined A．in the war．
tढ̂v te révicuv－＇so all that the city needed had to be imported，and thus it ceased to be a city and became a fortress．＇
 16， 8 uses vallum ferre for vallos $f$ ．

11．${ }^{\prime} \phi$＇ $8 \pi \lambda$ ous $\pi$ rov－＇at camping－stations here and there．＇ $\delta \pi \lambda a$ are military posts within the city，as distinct from $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta$ $\tau \epsilon i \chi o s$, which stands for the fortifications generally，being used collectively．（One must agree with Müller－Struibing that mov is whimsical and poor．If it is genuine，Thuc．is at fault．）
$\S 3$ 1．16．Tó $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\text {a }}$ ăv－the following clauses，（1）a $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ ，（2） $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi о \lambda \iota \circ \rho \kappa \epsilon i v$, （3）$\pi \circ \imath \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ，are in explanatory apposition to the preceding $n v$ ，which $=\phi \iota \lambda o \nu c k l a \nu$ ．The $a \nu$ belongs to all three clauses．Hence what would have seemed incredible is this：

 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Hence，trans．literally，＇And yet（though they had two wars）their pertinacity was such that no man before it was experienced would have believed the account of it，that，even if they were themselves besieged by the $P$ ．by means of a
permanent occupation, they would not even so withdraw from S., but would in turn lay siege in the same manner to Syr. (a city which taken alone is as large as A.) and would astonish the Greeks with such a display of strength and audacity that, whereas at the beginning of the war the Greeks generally supposed that they would hold out perhaps for a year, perhaps for two years, possibly for three, but certainly not longer than three, if the P. should invade Attica, they on the contrary in the seventeenth year after the first invasion should actually go to S. . . . and voluntarily take on themselves another war.'
 oú $\delta^{\prime} \omega \bar{s}, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\omega$ s ; occasionally before ovy, as III. 37, 5.
20. aü all ; that is to say, Syr. would have been a big city for A. to besiege even if she were not herself in difficulties at the time. (Others think this means (a) without counting the Athenian empire, (b) without reckoning allies on either side.)
21. тобоல́tov-answered by 8 oov.
22. 8 $\sigma$ ov-when two things, persons, or sets of persons are compared by means of roбoû̃ov . . . $\delta \sigma o \nu$, there are often two clauses following the $8 \sigma o \nu$ : these two clauses are then themselves contrasted by $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$-here <ol $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu>$. . . ol $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \notin \tau \epsilon \iota$ -and they describe the extent of the difference between the two things compared in the roбoû̃ov clause. (See Appendix II.) Here 'the A. astonished the Greek world so much that the Greek world thought they would hold out a year or two, whereas the A. after all these years undertook the Sicilian expedition.'
 of $8 \&$-when these particles are thus repeated, it is usual to find the extremes contrasted; as here <ol $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu>$ and the last ol $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, i.e. the Greeks at large and Athens. The first $\langle o l \mu \dot{e} \nu\rangle$ is subdivided in the clauses that follow it.
 statement. oú $\delta \epsilon l$ is in limiting apposition to ol $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, and $\tau \rho \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is gen. of comparison.


 shifting the point of view which was that of a person hearing of such vigour before he actually witnessed it.
 A. had been recovering for seven years; but Thuc. here thinks of the state of A. in 415 as contrasted with her state in 431.
§ 41.31 . $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ d-the plur. because he sums up all the causes that led to their impoverisation, namely the losses of individuals
and the losses of the treasury resulting from the $\phi$ inovicia above described．
kal tót－other causes of impoverisation are now added， namely those resulting from the fortification of Decelea．＇For these reasons and because of the serious damage which was being then caused by $D$ ．and the other expenses that fell heavily on them．＇
 which the partic．has the force of a verbal subst．，is less common in Gk．than in Latin（ante urbem conditam）．It seems that it is not used unless the noun and prep．would make sense without the partic．；the only exception being $\alpha \mu a$
 ऽouevŋv；II． $49 \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ rav̂ra $\lambda \omega \phi \eta \sigma a \nu \tau a$ ．It is oftenest found with ${ }^{a} \mu a, \mu \in \tau d$ with accus．，and $e \pi i$ with gen．，as Herod．I． 15
 ＇92．）

33．Tติv ．．．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi เ \pi т \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$－gen．abs．，the two causes being again differently expressed．

34．divivarot ．．．тоís Xphpaor－on the analogy of dúvatos and $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu a c$ with dat．

35．Tìv cikoन exports within the A．empire．Probably this system lasted， with the exception of Chalcedon（？others），to the end of the war．elkoбтo入óoc were appointed to collect it in the different ports，and，according to Aristophanes，they sometimes carried on smuggling on their own account；and Aegina seems to have become a sort of depot for contraband goods smuggled out of Attic territory to the Peloponnese．Aegina was a free port．（Cf．Gilbert，Beiträge zur innern Geschichte Athens，p． 286．）The establishment of the $\epsilon i \kappa 0 \sigma \tau \grave{\eta}$ is the beginning of important financial reforms．

40．кal $\pi \rho i v-' a s$ before．＇
41．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \psi \mu \in i$ gous ．．． $8 \sigma \tau$ кal $\mu \in l \xi_{j} \omega \nu-a$ double compar． or superl．is the way to express proportion．Cf．I． 68 троб $\dot{\eta} \kappa \in \epsilon$

 use them．＇
 of D．pierced with arrows，presumably in the act of regaining his ship（see c．30，1）after the barbarous slaughter of the children of Mycalessus．The inscribed base of this statue is extant．

6．dтбVtes ．．．$\beta \lambda$ d́ $\psi a n-\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ is constructed with accus． and infin．regularly（a）when it $=\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ ，as here：（ $b$ ）when used in the sense of ferunt，fertur．It is then oftener in the
pass．，but the act．is found so．When Thuc．wants to imply that a statement is false，he says $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau a l$ ws．Instances of $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ with accus．and infin．in the sense of $\phi \eta \mu l$ are not common．
§ 2 l．11．Xa $\lambda_{k} C_{0}$ os－after ravaging the country round Tanagra，they had crossed to Euboea．

13．Muka $\lambda \eta \sigma \sigma \delta v$－the destruction of this town，so ancient that its foundation was said to be contemporary with Cadmus， was in every way disgraceful and revolting．Pausanias says the place was in ruins in his day，and there are still consider－ able remains both of the acropolis and of the lower town．
§ 3 1．14．＇Eppaly－＇shrine of H．＇on the road from the coast to Thebes．
 have both an act．and pass．meaning，e．g．фoßepbs，dociss， $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho \sigma \pi a c o s$, and so in Lat．formidolosus，etc．Sallust，Cat． 39，2．Cf．on c．42，3，line 24.

 $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ．
§ 4 l．26．rov̀s d$v 0$ pónous－Pausanias says that a man would have searched in vain in his day for descendants of the inhabi－ tants of Mycalessus．
 ferring to a plur．

32．і́ $\mu \mathrm{cia} a$－for $\dot{j} \mu \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$ ，as several times in Herod．，but in no other Attic prose author．Thuc．also has l $\sigma \alpha$ for $l \sigma \omega s$ ．

33．roîs $\mu$ аллотa－sc．фо⿱亠䒑夫oîs．This idiom is found also in Herod．and in late writers．Josephus speaks of a man called by

$\dot{\epsilon} v \hat{\psi} \not \Delta \nu$－＇whenever，＇$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\psi}$ describing all the attendant circumstances．

0apothon－ingressive
§ 5 l．35．iSta $\pi a ̂ \sigma a-T h u c$ ．is fond of this expression．
37．kai dprt truxov－notice that the rel．is dropped in the second clause，as very often．Generally，however，a demon－
 Etuxov àvєчy $\mu$ tval aúrov̂．Cf．Hooker in the Eccles．Pol．＇Whom although to know be life，and joy to make mention of His name．＇Also＇Whose fan is in His hand and He will throughly purge His floor．＇Livy，23， 8 Cum quo ．．．steterat，nec eum ．．patria majestas sententia depulerat．




38．кaтєкочаг $\pi$ davтas－Freeman well says that this＇deed
of blood outdid all crimes of Greek against Greek, and sent a shudder through all Hellas.' But, after all, Napoleon III. got the throne of France by acts as infamous.
$\xi \cup \mu \phi \circ \rho d$. paralleled in severity, and fell upon it with singular suddenness and horror.' Each of the expressions appended to $\xi v \mu \phi о \rho d$ adds a new point : (a) it was universal- $\pi$ d $\sigma \eta$, ( $b$ ) it was greater than others-ou $\delta \epsilon \mu$ âs $\eta \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, (c) it was quite sudden and very horrible.
39. oن̉̇єんLâs সु $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$-i.e. 'greater than any,' as in Aesch.
 than anything.' Demosth. 1, $27 \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ al $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$
 Note that $\eta \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ would mean 'less than (the abstract idea of) nothing.'
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v$ ertépas-a common idiom in place of a superlative.
§ 1 1. 3. трокєХ $\omega \rho \eta \kappa$ котаs- -n the return march to the coast.

 out of 1200 , the number of those killed was 250 . Hence the sense 'most of those who were killed fell whilst embarking.'
 $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \quad \beta_{\epsilon} \in \hat{\lambda} \nu . \quad$ Eur. Orest. 1531 el $\sigma \omega$ $\xi \ell \phi$ ous. Livy, 22, 15, 8 priusquam ad conjectum teli veniret.
 they ran forward from the line and closed in together and protected themselves with skill after the fashion of their country against the Th. cavalry . . ., and so only a few of them fell during this.'
-16. èv тоv́тథ-neut., like $\epsilon \nu \varphi$ c. 29, 4.
18. т $\hat{\nu}$ Өрaккิv-depends on $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau a$ каl $\delta$.

 the Boeotian confederacy.
 it is uncertain whether $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi b \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ or $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi d \theta o u s$ should be supplied. See Classen on c. 113, 4.
 is used only in poetry and Silver prose. Also the pass. infin. is used, while in Gk. the act. or mid. is regular.
§ 11 . 1. т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \in$ —refers to $\mathrm{c} .26,3$.

3. $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathfrak{Q}$-now Katakolo, the landing-place for visitors to Olympia.
6. $\mathbb{k} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \circ$ - ' proceeded on the voyage.'
\$2 1. 8. Zákvvoov kal K. - the policy and interests of

Zacynthus coincided with those of Corcyra. In 430 Sparta made an effort to obtain Z., but failed. Cephallenia, after remaining for a time neutral, joined Athens in the autumn of 431. Pericles had seen that the possession of the islands which lay on the road to Sicily was of extreme importance, and already in 433 he began to form alliances with them. This was not with a view to invading Sicily, but to prevent Sparta from obtaining help from Syracuse, her ally, and from controlling the route to Sicily.

11. 'Akaprarlas-all Acarnania, except Oeniadae, had made an alliance with Athens in the autumn of 430 , and Demosthenes himself had taken Oeniadae into the alliance in 424.
12. 'A入ífecav-near the coast of Acarnania. Here Timotheus, son of Conon, set up his trophy in 375 during his successful voyage to restore Athenian supremacy in the north-west.
'Avaktбprov-had been an ally of Sparta; but it was seized by the Athenians at Naupactus and the Acarnanians in 425. Hence aưrol = ol 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a i ̂ o l . ~\end{aligned}$
§ 3 l. 14. $\pi \in \rho$ taîta-' occupied in this.' $\pi \in \rho l$ is much commoner in this sense than $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \ell$, which prep. occurs only twice in Thuc. and not at all in the Orators.
15. то́тe-see c. 16, 2. tóte is often used in referring back to what has been already mentioned. Cf. c. 31,$1 ; 81,2$.
 बт $\rho a \tau \eta \gamma 1 a \nu$.
§ 41.21 . K $\delta v \omega v$-the admiral and statesman who opposed Thrasybulus at the end of the war. After Aegospotami he lived in exile in Cyprus. In 394 he won the battle of Cnidus after which he rebuilt the walls of Athens. He was probably sent to Naupactus in 414.
24. катокvov́r-the MSS. кara入íovat is not appropriate, because there could be no question of bringing the war to an end, since the fortification of Decelea. (Classen's explanation is that war was not yet declared between Athens and the Peloponnesians. But, if not, between whom is $\delta \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu$ s? It certainly had not been declared between the Corinthian ships and the A. squadron.)
 naval supremacy.
ws . . . oüras-'even the partic. of personal verbs sometimes stand with their nouns in the accus. abs. . . if they are preceded by $\dot{\omega}$ s or $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho . '$ M.T. 853.
26. Suoiv Seovoras etroort - the number of A. ships at Naupactus was generally twenty.

36. dтотралт $\mu$ cvos - 'turning back' from his homeward voyage. Fr. Müller renders 'after his return from Sicily.'
 held since Hecatombaeon 414, while the election was probably early in 414, but as colleague, $\xi v \nu d \rho \chi \omega \nu$, of Demosth. in this expedition.
§ 11 . 1. oi $\delta \& . . . \pi \rho \hat{f} \beta$ aers-the subj. is again placed first for emphasis, in spite of $\dot{\delta}$ Nucias below. Cf. III. 4, 1. It is not common.

то́тє-as in c. 31, 3.
 ỳp $\eta^{\prime}$ tion do ? See on c. 28, 4, 1. 32.
3. olx ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ evol-attributive, though not under the art.
6. тov̀s тो̀ $\delta$. EXovtas-' who commanded the route.'
8. Kevtop puras - Centuripa, now Centorbi, a very ancient Sicel town S.W. of Etna, of which it commands a splendid view.
'Adekvalous-the only Alicyre known in Sicily is in the N. W. and cannot be meant here.
9. 8Ladpfow explanations given of it by ancient grammarians.
11. $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon เ \nu-$ see on c. 12, 2. In Attic $\pi \epsilon \varphi \omega \hat{\mu} \alpha \iota=$ conari, and only Herod. and Thuc. among prose authors use the act. $=$ conari.
12. 'Axpayavtivol - the great Sicel leader Ducetius had attacked Acragas, which sought aid from Syr. 451. But Ducetius defeated the two. Then he was defeated by Syr., and sent to live at Corinth. He was allowed to return in 446, to the disgust of Acragas, which now retained its jealousy of Syr.
 are differently expressed, as in c. 18, 1. Cf. Tacitus $A n .1,23$ fletu et pectus atque os manibus verberans.
§ 1 l. 1. Kapapıvaiob-C. had previously been neutral. Its relations with Syr. had not been satisfactory. Originally an outpost of Syr., it had revolted from its mother-city and had been destroyed circ. 550. Subsequently it became part of Gela. In 484 Gelon transferred its population to Syr. ; and in 461 it was re-colonised by Gela.
6. Гe $\lambda \hat{\varphi} \circ$-they had previously promised to send $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota d \nu$ oú $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \neq \nu \quad$ c. 1, 4. In 498 Hippocrates, tyrant of Gela, defeated the Syr. : his successor Gelon transferred his seat to Syr.
8. $\sigma$ X $6 \delta 6 v$ tr-'almost,' since some towns still remained faithful to A.: see c. $57,11$.


after the parenthesis. Cf. Andoa 1. 78, in the psephism of

 алєїчaı.
 attacking.' (Some edd. wrongly compare II. 81, 4 ơ̈ $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \chi$ ov тd $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ каталaßєiv, where if the text is sound the sense must be 'did not intend to occupy the camp,' not 'did not refrain from occupying a camp.')
19. тঠे 'Ióviov-sc. к $6 \lambda \pi \frac{\nu}{2}$.
§ 4 l. 21. Xoupd8as-two islands off Tarentum.
23. Meforatiov - one of the three divisions of Iapygia, Messapia, extended from Tarentum to Brundisium.
25. "Apral-he seems to have been a man of note in his day; for Athenaeus refers to him as $\mu \in \gamma a s$ cal $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho b$ s.

反uvdorns-suggested a foreign, un-Greek form of government. Hence the bad sense of $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau e l a$, which is used, for instance, of the Thirty.
27. Merandortov-there are ruins of a temple on the site. The Romans destroyed it for having sided with Hannibal. Pausanias saw a theatre and walls standing. Pythagoras died there.
§ 5 l. 30. ava入aßbvres rauta-r'taking these with them'; the neut. is used as in c. 14, 2.
31. Oouplav-on the site of Sybaris. In 193 b.c. it became a Latin Colony-called Copia-after the great extension of the ager Romanus in Bruttii. Thurii was colonised by Athens in 443. Herodotus was among the colonists.

36. iv тourq тúns-the expulsion of the anti-Athenian party would make an offensive and defensive alliance possible.
$\S 1$ 1. 3. $\tau \omega v{ }^{2} \lambda \kappa \alpha \delta \omega v-$ depends on ко $\mu \delta \bar{\eta} s$.
6. ©s $k \pi i$ vaupaxlq. Thuc. uses also is $\epsilon \pi l$ vavpaxiay. Similarly $\epsilon \pi l$ is used with either the gen. or dat. of place in the same phrases.
7. Er-of additional ships.



9. ката 'Epivedv-east of Rhium, which, with Antirrhium on the opposite coast, commanded the entrance to the Gulf. Had the Corinthians been superior in numbers, they would have lain off Rhium, S.W. of Naupactus, and have tried to shat in the A.
§ 21.10 . kal autrois-the dative is put early to contrast it with ol $\delta$ ' 'A $\theta$ quaîo below.
14. aúr60ev - from Achaea, which had originally been neutral, but in 417 joined Sparta.
dexoúals-see L. and S., B.; cf. Herod. viI. 123 тdons
 Pausanias.
16. Пodvavens-prob. the same as the $P$. to whom, according to Xenophon, Tithraustes sent a bribe in order to bring about a war against Sparta.
§ 31.17 . тplákovta . . . kal tploly - in c. 31, 4 the number is given as eighteen. Demosth. had added ten; and the rest must have come with Diphilus.
§ 5 l. 25. dं $\pi \lambda$ âs-with $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \delta v$, 'absolutely.' (Holden takes this with oú $\delta \epsilon \mu$ a, but there is no reason for emphasising this.)
ferrd 86 tives-the rives shows he was not certain of the exact number.
26. ${ }^{2} \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \varepsilon v a \sim-t e c h n i c a l l y$ the $~ \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \grave{\eta}$ was a charge broadside with the $\xi_{\mu} \beta_{0} \lambda o \nu$; $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ a charge prow to prow.
 The anchors hung from them.
§ 6 l. 30. $\alpha v \tau i \pi a \lambda a$-internal accus., defining the verb.

ws-the only case in which Thuc. uses ís for $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$. The use is common in Aeschylus, Sophocles, Herod., Xen.
31. aúroùs dxartpous-the nom. would be more regular ; but the subjects are not really identical, since the infin. clause is meant to be general. It appears from what follows that the A. did not finally consider themselves victors.


34. ounket-note (1) the adv. qualifying the noun: it is common with the neg., and verbal nouns often take the con-
 the use of oúkétı, denoting a change of purpose or a disappointment of expectation.

44. ot $\tau \in$. . . ot $\tau^{\prime}$-the double $\tau \epsilon$ shows that the two clauses are exactly parallel. Thuc. is fond of this $\tau \epsilon$. . $\tau \epsilon$ joining two concurrent acts.
45. кратễv el $\mu \grave{\eta}$ к.т. $\lambda$.-this is not a mere subtlety. The naval superiority of the A., esp. at Naupactus, had been so repeatedly acknowledged that the Cor. rightly considered that not to be beaten constituted a victory.
47. 8 тt oi $\pi$. $\quad$ vilk $\omega v$-the indic. shows that Thuc. states this as a fact: $\nu<\kappa \hat{\varphi} \in \nu$ would mean that the $A$. reflected ov $\nu$ เк $\hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$.

undoubtedly the meaning, and it is common in the Orators, though there it generally implies underhand dealing. E.g.
 бо仑̂ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$; and $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \grave{\eta}$ constantly has a bad sense.
6. $\tau \dot{\delta} v \pi \in \xi \partial \nu-$ for $\tau \delta \nu \pi$. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \nu$. The ellipse of a masc. non-personal noun is rare. This one is found only in Herod. and Thuc.
8. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\omega}-$ it gave its name to the ancient Sybaris, on the site of which Thurii now stood. Cf. the Gelas and Gela. The art. is usual when потajds is inserted with names of rivers. It is, however, often omitted by Herod. and now and then by Thuc.
 found in Lat. with volenti esse. The only instance of any other verb than volo is in Tac. An. I. 59 ut quibusque bellum invitis aut cupientibus erat.
12. eโval-rare use of infin. after $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ in O.O.: even here $\epsilon \tau \pi o \nu$ implies a formal notice, as also in II. $13 \lambda \notin \gamma \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad l \sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu$ aúroîs aind $\tau 0 \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ eival. But the infin. is occasionally used loosely with $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$.
 and verb is, as usual, accommodated to the partic.
17. Sokpô-they had refused to receive the A. from the first.
$\Pi$ е́тpav = Leucopetra.
§ 11 . 2. avics-referring to the previous sea-fight.
3. $\alpha \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$-' as well,' cf. c. 4, 3.
4. тарабкєиท--'force.'

т0v $\pi \in \mathfrak{f} 0 \hat{0}-w h a t$ is called the gen. of material. Rutherford Syn. § 106.
5. $\pi \rho i v$ è $\lambda \in \epsilon i v$-depends on $\phi \theta$ á $\sigma a u . \quad \pi \rho l \nu$ regularly takes aor. infin. unless continuance or attempt is implied.
6. $\boldsymbol{\xi} v \boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ eqov-equivalent to pluperf. in a rel. clause. It is like the historic pres. for aor.
§ 2l. 8. ws-'in such a manner as would give them the advantage according to what they had learned frem the former battle.'
 and $\pi \lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu \tau \pi o \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$. Cf. on § 5, l. 36.
9. $\boldsymbol{d} v \in \hat{\epsilon} \delta \mathrm{ov}=$ ' to learn by experience' in action.
10. छuvtєpóvtes ès 'thaqбov-' shortening.'
13. duvitpioas $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ aũtêv-stays of timber which extended from the cat-heads through the sides of the ship, and projected nine feet both ways, inside and outside.
14. toîxos-wall of a building : teìzos wall of a town. For a similar distinction cf. $\tau \epsilon \mu a \chi$ os slice of fish : $\tau \delta \mu o s$ slice of meat.

16．трч́pa日кv－with $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma d \mu \epsilon v o \iota$, ＇strengthening them at the prow．＇
§ 3 I．19．$\delta$ id $\tau \boldsymbol{r} \mu \mathrm{\eta}-$－because they rowed round and struck the enemy broadside，and did not meet him prow to prow．＇

22．oúx $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\psi}-$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oú $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ ：cf．II．102，and $\mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ${ }^{2} \gamma^{2} \boldsymbol{\theta} \hat{\varphi}$ II．17， 2.
 common use．

§ 4 1．28．$\sigma \phi \omega ิ \nu$－with $\pi \epsilon \rho / \pi \lambda$ ouv ơ̋ $\tau \epsilon$ ．For the order cf．
 contrast with＇A $\theta \eta$ valos．

29．• $\pi \epsilon p / \pi \lambda$ ovv－the manœuvre of rowing round and＇boring＇ the enemy＇s vessels into a small space，so as to throw them into confusion．

8ukкतגouv－the manœurre of＇rowing through the intervals of the adversary＇s line，and thus getting in their rear，．．．and before the ship of the adversary could change its position，of striking it either in the stern，or in some weak part．＇Grote． It is first heard of in Herod．vi． 12.
 they depended most．＇

30．aúrol үdр к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．－for they themselves as far as possible would not，on the one hand，give them a chance of breaking their line，while the want of pace，on the other hand，would prevent them from boring them in．＇
 тои̂тo $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ．．．тойтo $\delta$ é．

 ing，and agreeing－as $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau$ ，$\epsilon^{\ell} \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，$\xi v \mu \beta a l \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$－most com－ monly take $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ among verbs that can take the simple infin．
§ 5 l．34．Tn̂ te mpótepov－＇they would purposely employ the system of charging prow to prow，which was before con－
 is put as the supposed definition of $\alpha \mu a \theta l a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \cup \beta \epsilon p \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，and the form of the sentence is an example of the effect which Thuc．produces by emphasising single words－here $d \mu a \theta l q$ ． Thuc．much prefers giving definitions to giving examples； whereas in later oratory examples，tapajel $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ ，are commoner．

 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$ катабтрофウ่．

35． avel $^{2} \rho \varphi \rho \rho \mathbf{v}$－agreeing with the indef．subject of $\xi v \gamma-$ xpov̂бal．
$\xi$ ypkpoíral-probably trans., as elsewhere in classical Greek. It is easy to supply $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu$ vaiv.
36. $\pi \lambda$ cíctov . . . oxffectr-as there is no other case of
 Stahl $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\sigma \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$. But $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau 0 \nu \sigma \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ does not mean 'they would be very superior to,' but rather 'they would find very great (advantage).' The phrase may be compared
 $\mu a \tau a$. Thuc. rather affects $\epsilon_{\chi} \chi \omega$ in place of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, as in II. 4
 oi $\delta$.; and here the other colstruction immediately follows. So too the phrases $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \quad \bar{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ and $\pi \lambda \epsilon \notin \nu \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ are the same. Aristoph. Plut. $531 \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \pi \lambda o v \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$;
37. Tìv ydip ávakpovav-'for if driven back the A. would not be able to back in any direction except on to the shore, and that only through a short distance and to a small part, namely in the coast-line of their own camp.' avakpovots means ' backing for a new attack.'
 ashore in a sea fight, and driven back in a land battle.
39. тaúr $\eta v-8 c . \tau \eta \eta \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \nu$. Both the distance to the land and the stretch of coast in their power would be small.
 a small space.
 used until late Greek.
47. $\pi \in p / \pi \lambda$ evoac 8 _-'the enemy would not be able to sail round them into the open water, since they had power to charge from the open sea and to retire.'
48. eupuxaplav-outside the harbour, $=\tau 亠$ d $\pi \epsilon \lambda a \gamma o s$.
49. т $\boldsymbol{\text { l }} \mathrm{v}$ \& $\pi$ ใrievotv . . . te kal dadákpovaty-first to charge, and then, when the enemy attempted to sail round them, to retire with the intention of charging again. The two words need not be part of the same movement, although the art. is not repeated.
51. modsplov-even if by quick sailing the $A$. had it in their power to sail round the Syr., yet they would be caught between the retiring Syr. and the hostile posts at the mouth.
52. ov่ $\mu$ evaidow-thus Plem. and Ortygia controlled the whole width of the mouth.
 according to the standard of 'their science and strength.' $\pi \rho \phi_{s}=p r o$.
5. inexelporv-' proceeded to attack.
§ 2 l. 6. kal-' accordingly.'

'at that part which faced the city.' Gylippus would attack the inner side of the A. lines, the troops from the Olympieium
 see c. 4, 6 .
 swords. So Eur. Androm. 1119 uses àrevx ${ }^{\text {I }}$ s of one who has laid aside his weapons of defence, but retains his sword.
12. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ ñє-attracted into the number of $\gamma v \mu \nu \eta r e i a$.
§ 3 l. 15. olónevon-the sentence would be more symmetrical


22. intreas-Freeman remarks that it is strange that we hear nothing of the A. cavalry.
 part of the day by advancing and retiring.' тробт $\quad$ єоутєs кal $\dot{d}$. gives the manner in which they tested each other.


 It is not necessary to supply $\pi$ ape $\lambda a \beta o \nu$.
§ 2 l. 9. durimada-that the result of the fighting had been indecisive.
11. $\boldsymbol{e} \lambda \pi(\xi / \omega \nu-$ - expecting.' $N$. did not desire a fresh engagement; but he knew that the slight success won by the Syr. would prompt them to renew the attack before the $\mathbf{A}$. reinforcements should arrive. Freeman says that it was 'the obvious A. policy to avoid further action till those reinforcements came. ${ }^{\text {3 }}$
12. tpınpápXous-Diodorus xiri. 10 says the trierarchs were eager for a fresh battle. Plutarch Nic. 20 says the new generals, Menander and Euthydemus, were eager to achieve distinction before Demosthenes should arrive.

15. бтаupब $\mu$ aros-this had been made in the spring of 414.
16. $\lambda_{\mu}$ еvos $k \lambda$ рбтой-such 'closed harbours' were common in Greece. Col. Leake Top. of Athens p. 311 says that 'the walls, being carried down to either side of the harbour's mouth, were prolonged from thence across the mouth upon shoals, or artificial moles, until a passage only was left in the middle for two or three triremes abreast between two towers, the opening of which might be further protected by a chain.' The three harbours of Piraeus-Cantharus, Munichia, Zea-were so closed in 429 в.c.
§ 3 l. 17. 8radelmovoras - the transports, two hundred feet apart, were so stationed as to guard the gaps in the $\sigma \tau \alpha u{ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \mu$ a. See on c. 41, 1.
20. kal' $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma{ }^{\prime}$ lav-' 'undisturbed,' the regular meaning of the phrase in Thuc. Cf. кaтà $\sigma \kappa \delta \quad$ тov = clam.
21. тарагкеva̧opevor . . . Sierticaav -the only instance of $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega$ with partic. in Thuc. $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}, \tau v \gamma \chi d \nu \omega$, and $\phi a l \nu o \mu a \iota$ are often constructed with adjectives alone, as $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau o s$ $a \nu \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda o l \eta$ 1. 34, 2.
22. $\mu$ xxpl vuктds-the art. omitted as usual with words denoting time.
 time,' as in $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v} \chi \epsilon c \mu \omega \hat{\nu} 0$.
2. $k \pi \times x \in\llcorner\rho \nmid \sigma \in\llcorner-\quad$ mode of attack.'
8. $\pi \rho i v$ of -introduces the decisive moment, as $\delta \eta$ often does.
'Aplotav . . . apLotos $\Delta_{\nu}-a$ curions paronomasia. It is not probable that it is accidental, since Thuc. is clearly rather fond of this 'figure' ( $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ), as may be seen from a few instances in this book : (1) c. 70, 8 фéjoytas фev́jovaly (this is




 2, ażis кal aü $\theta \dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho o \nu$. The present ex. may be compared with the frequent plays on names in the Tragedians, e.g. Pentheus and $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta$ os Eur. Bac. 367.
 Athens, Aristot. Ath. Pol. c. 51 says к $\lambda \eta \rho o u ̂ \nu \tau a \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к a l ~ a ̀ \gamma o \rho a-~$
 $\epsilon \pi \not \approx \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$.



 ко $i \sigma a l$, with B ; some alter $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ to $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \tau a s$. Bloomfield rightly objects. $\pi a \rho d$ with accus., it is true, 'personam indicat-non rem-ad quam aliquid movetur'; but
 previous motion is implied. May not the words mean 'to move and place on the shore'?
18. aúroîs-it hardly seems necessary to alter this to aúrô̂ with the edd., following Portus. It may be ethic, applying to $\pi$ áv $\tau a s$.
$\S 11.1$. kal of $\mu \mathrm{e} v$-the style here becomes animated, the $\mathbf{4 0}$ quick succession of events being described in short co-ordinate sentences joined by kal. In II. 21, 3 there is a similar series with $\tau \epsilon$.
\＄21．9．rd $\alpha \mu \phi l-\mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{d} 2$ is not used freely by any prose author but Xen．It is not found in inscriptions，except in metre，and in Aristoph．only when he imitates tragedy．It is used twice by Thuc．

831．12．Sud modגov eopifov－adverbial phrase，as in Aristoph．Vesp． $929 \delta \delta \alpha \kappa \in \nu \hat{\eta} s=\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s$.
§ 4．15．фu入a $\sigma \sigma \delta_{\mu}$ evor－＇watching each other．＇This is of course not a pure reciprocal use of the middle；the sense of reciprocity codmes from $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

17．Suape入入ovtas－the change from dat．to accus．does not affect the sense．

 unnecessary．



23． $4 \mathrm{ml} \pi \mathrm{d} \lambda \mathrm{L}$－＇far into the bows．＇
24．aürois－ethic，sc．тoís Evpaxoolots．

27．rapaois－properly of the banes in a bird＇s wing ；hence used of any similar series，as the banks of oars，as here．It is often so used by Polyb．and later authors．The word is fre－ quently referred to by the Atticists．

 one of the $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda o c$ in the $\sigma \tau a \dot{d} \rho \omega \mu \mu$, which，as we infer from $\mathbf{c}$ ． 38，2，were 200 feet apart．Many edd．ridiculously suppose that the＇dolphins＇extended over a space of 200 feet，under－ standing $\varepsilon \sigma \pi \lambda o l$ to mean the spaces between the transports． But really they are the gaps left in the $\sigma$ тav́poua，and they were only wide enough to admit a single vessel．
§ 2 1．7．8e入фvoффpot－the＇dolphins＇served the purpose of the chains by which $\lambda$＿$\mu$ eves $\kappa \lambda$ ngrol were closed．The кєраial are here beams，not yard－arms．
§ 3 1．10．aírâv dyyus－near the transports．
11．aüroîs－this dat．is not used outside of naval and military expressions except with autros．
§41．15．анфотєршv－i．e．the action just ended and that of two days earlier．


19．кат＇а $\mu ф б т е р а-' e v e r y ~ p r e p a r a t i o n ~ w a s ~ m a k i n g ~ f o r ~$ another and more decisive attack which should complete the defeat of the invaders by sea and land．＇Freeman．
42 § 1 l．1．\＆v тoíco－while the Syr．preparations were making．
3. тapaylyvovta-Plutarch, in a well-known passage, Nic. 21, describes the entrance of the new force into the harbour
 why the Syr. did not fight them at the harbour mouth. Perhaps some facts are omitted here.
 given bring the total to seventy-three exactly; but it is unnecessary to omit $\mu$ a $\lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau a}$ with B, since Thuc. allows for any possible error in the previous data. Sixty-five sailed from Athens; fifteen from Corcyra; two from Metapontum; one with Eurymedon ; total eighty-three ; but ten had been given to Conon.
$\xi$ iv tais $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ cucais-'including,' the regular Attic meaning, except only in the old phrase oiv (roîs) $\theta$ coîs. ov̀ is very rare with persons and never implies a willing connection, in Attic prose after Thuc.; he has $\xi \dot{y} v$ fairly often with persons, and in cases implying will, viz. c. 57 ; II. 58 ; iII. 90 . The latter use is common in tragedy and Xen. In ordinary Attic $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ is the word for adding together the items of a sum total. $\xi i v$ (roîs) ör $\pi$ 保s seems to be an old military phrase.
8. "E $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta$ vas. "E $\mathrm{E} \lambda \eta \nu$ can only be used as an adj. with persons.



тov̀ amad入arfival-defines $\pi \epsilon \rho a s$, an end consisting in deliverance, as in Pindar Pyth. Ix. $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu d \nu \gamma d \mu 0 v$ к $\rho a l \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau d \nu$,

14. ©́рюิves-anacoluthon, as though the preceding clause

 irregularity is not uncommon in tragedy, as Eur. Hec. 971



 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a$.
17. тavtaxórє-i.e. in whatever direction it was exerted, whether in Attica itself or outside it. So $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ in II. 53, 3 means 'from whatever source it was obtained.'
 in c. 21,$3 ; 27,1$ al.

 $\epsilon \kappa$ here describes the source of the $\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$; $\dot{\omega} s$ is 'considering that.'
§ 3' l. 21. oủX oióv $\tau \in$ elval-prob. Thuc. meant to say 'it
was impossible for him to delay without experiencing'; this would require either oủ ${ }^{2}$ otbv $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho(\beta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu, \pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \delta \epsilon$
 as they stand mean 'it is impossible to delay and to suffer,' and this, after all, is more incisive than 'it is impossible to delay, and then not to suffer.'
 'causing terror,' is the commoner. The passive meaning 'timid' occurs in II. 3, 4 ; IV. 128, 4 ; Soph. O.T. 153

32. aùzov̀s-object of $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, the subject of which is $\tau \delta$ $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \iota$.
33. тav̂тa-there is a similar parenthesis followed by $\tau \alpha u ̂ \tau a$ $\delta \epsilon ̇ \pi \alpha_{\nu} \nu \alpha \sigma \kappa o \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ in Andoc. I. 58 fol.
oiv-resumes after the long parenthesis, just as igitur, sed, autem are commonly used, e.g. Cic. pro Mur. 50 meministis enim, cum illius nefarii gladiatoris voces percrebruissent . . . tum igitur, his rebus auditis meministis.
 an instance of the double superl., as in Eur. Med. $\hat{\omega} \mu{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma \tau o \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi i \sigma \tau \eta$ rúval, but Classen rightly takes $\mu a \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ closely with $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho q$, for 'especially on the first day'; but, if he understood the passage, he did not make it clear. Why are there three superlatives, $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta, \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, \delta \in \iota \nu \dot{\partial} \tau a \tau o s ?$ Thuc. surely means that $D$. saw after his arrival that the nearer the day of his arrival was the greater was the awe he inspired. Hence trans. 'realising that at present, while his arrival was quite recent, he filled the enemy with awe.'
 $\delta \epsilon \iota b$ тatos, into relation. The whole is an example of his love of elaborate, composite, and co-ordinate expressions instead of subordinate clauses. For the use of superlatives in such expressions, cf. II. 11, $1 \notin \pi i \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \quad \delta v \nu a \tau \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \notin \rho \chi \beta \mu \epsilon \theta a$, кal
 use of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ in 'proportions,' as in II. 47, $4 \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ध $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa о \nu$ $8 \sigma \varphi$ каl $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ т $\rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$.
36. ${ }^{\text {Tt }}$ тd́Xos-found also in Herod. ix. 7, and equivalent to $\dot{\omega} s \tau d \chi o s=\dot{\omega} s \tau d \chi \iota \sigma \tau a$.

44. $\mathbf{v \pi} \pi \mu \kappa$ ival - often contrasted with $\phi \in \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$, either of soldiers or litigants who stand a trial ; sometimes it is joined with картєрєív. It generally implies danger.
 with a will.
45. oi-the only case of the sing. of this pron. at all frequent in prose. Even this is usually avoided by the Orators.
 means of finishing the war most quickly.' (Classen rightly objects to inserting raúrŋv after $\xi \nu \nu \tau o \mu \omega \tau d \tau \eta \nu$ with Madvig. It is perfectly natural to supply $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \in i \rho q$ with ท่ $\boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon \text { eito. }}$ )
§ 5 1. 46. $\ddagger$ d $\pi$ dfect-the suppression of the alternative to кarop $\theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a s$ is a fine effect.

§ 6 l. 53. incexpárouv-' had the upper hand.' Freeman.

56. $8 \tau \iota \mu \eta$-' except.
§ 11. 1. $\mu \eta$ Xavais-Freeman says ' it is strange that we 48 have heard so little of engines of this kind during the whole war' in Sicily. 'They have not been mentioned before except when Nicias used them as materials for a fire' (vi. 101).

 e' $\pi \in \lambda \theta \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}$. The first attack was made on the south side.
8. Evvd́pXovtas-Eurymedon, Menander, Euthydemus.
ws krevoch, kal-kal marks the carrying out of the plan that had been formed. In this idiom the rel. sense of $\dot{\omega}$, 'as,' is hardly distinguishable from the temporal.
 the north side by the path by which he (Nicias) had first nade a lodgment for the invaders on the hill of Syracuse.' Freeman.
§ 2 l. 10. d8ivara-the plur. marks the details of a complex action.
 magnitude.
14. тоร̆cupárcov-we expect rather a mention of some engine used in the destruction of walls, and it is just possible that $\tau \delta \xi \in u \mu a$ here denotes a machine of some kind. The proposals are $\mu о \chi \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ Madvig, $\lambda a \xi \epsilon \nu \mu d \tau \omega \nu$ Meineke, тєсХо $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega$ Widmann.
15. Alv кратөिनt-the pres. is used because кратeiv ='to be master,' like $\boldsymbol{\nu} \iota \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega$. Otherwise the aor. would be necessary.
 örvov II. 2, 1; dad $\tau \rho / \tau \eta{ }^{2}$ ápas Acts xxiii. 23. When the art. is omitted with expressions of time, a preposition is usually present, except with $\nu u k \tau \delta s$, i $\mu \mu$ épas.
17. Tiv सetiv-i.e. the foot at large who were able to take part. Some were left to guard the works. (The true reading $\pi \epsilon$ Sin comes from Plut. Nic. 21.)
18. Iv rois relxertv-it has been generally assumed that, since the completion of the Syr. cross-wall, the A. had abandoned the kúkios altogether, and held only the low
ground at the south ；but there is no evidence for this，and probably Freeman is right in assuming that N．still guarded the Fort．

19．vime $\lambda$ el $\pi$ ero－Classen well says that the imperf．refers to his task of guarding the lines．
 A．in the spring of 414.

23．Tठ тelx $\sigma \mu a-i . e$. a fort on Euryelus，built by Gylippus and forming the western extremity of his cross－wall．
§ 4 1．27．тротєхХб向агเv—defensive＇outworks＇on the north side of the cross－wall．

30．toîs \＆́jakootors－a chosen band of hoplites now under the command of Hermocrates．They had been appointed to keep a look－out on Epipolae in 414.



36．то仑 $\pi$ repaivecoar－＇that by means of the present impulse they might not be slow in the execution of the purpose for which they had come．＇The infin．is passive and depends on Bpaסєîs $\gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota=\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ．

37．$\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ot 8$\}$－i．e．others than Demosth．and his division．
amd $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{s} \pi \rho \omega \boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{\eta} s$－temporal，＇in the first instance．＇If $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi_{\star} \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \epsilon \ell \chi\llcorner\sigma \mu a$ be retained with the MSS．，the mean－ ing is＇the original cross－wall＇；but there seems to be no reason why the cross－wall should be so qualified．There is no subsequently built wall with which it is contrasted．
§ 6 l．41．of $\delta t$ Eupakóбо－－＇while the invaders were still
 oupov），the garrisons of the other forts came forth to attack them．＇Freemar．
 of the army which had not yet come into action＇；neut． collective，as often．

51．Sıe入 $\theta \in i v$－＇force their way through．＇＇They feared，＇ says Freeman，＇lest，if they relaxed for a moment，the whole force of the defenders should turn and come together against them．＇

52．of Bowarol－i．e．the Thespians who in a single ship had met the Syracusan fleet at Locri（c．25，3）．The majority of the three hundred Boeotians referred to in c．19， 3 had not yet reached Syr．See c．50， 1.
44811.2 ．Hv－＇w with reference to which，＇accus．de quo．

3．rrootodal－referring to his own investigations in Sicily．

4．＇iкaनтa－＇the details．＇exkaotos is as usual in the subor－ dinate clause，and so is nom．，though it is in apposition to＂${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ．
$\xi u v \eta v \notin 0 \eta=\xi u v \in \beta \eta$. The word is Ionic in this meaning. Cf. kuriopa. The use is found in Herod., Thuc., and late anthors like Lacian, Appian.

7. oldev-sense requires the sing. here, because oi $\pi$ aparev $\delta$ $\mu e v o l$ are considered separately; but when the individuals all act alike, the verb with Exactos is in plur.
 whereas $\delta \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 s \delta \delta \epsilon$ implies no antithesis.
$\pi$ Tws do tis-another question comes in 67, 2. The question is one of the $\sigma x \dagger \mu a \tau a$ ocapolas-figures of thought-like irony, oxymoron. They are not common in early prose; far less so than the $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau a \quad \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega s$-figures of speech-like antithesis, paronomasia-which themselves become commoner later.
§ 2 1. 11. \& \&pwv . . . ovitws . . . is . . . cixds tivv $\mu \grave{v} \delta \psi \iota \iota$
 have no such idiom, yet in Greek there is certainly not an ellipse of $\dot{\delta} \rho \overline{2} \nu$ after $\epsilon i \kappa b s$, as the edd. say. In the case of correlatives, the explanation which we put in earlier is often deferred to the relative clause. So here the contrast between $\delta \psi \iota \nu$ and $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ is deferred to the is clause. Trans. 'as was natural for them to see in the moonlight, they saw the outline of a figure in front without being able to distinguish whether it was that of a friend.' This idiom is very common with toooûtov . . . $\quad$ orov, and is often misunderstood. (Cf. v. 95 oú

 where a reference to $\dot{\eta} \phi c \lambda(a$ is at first sight expected in the roooutov clause. The idiom with oux $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \\ \text { is similar, as }\end{gathered}$
 does bring you this as I do.')
12. $8 \psi \iota \nu-{ }^{\prime}$ outline.' Cf. Plat. Rep. p. 376 в $\delta \psi \iota \nu \phi \lambda \eta \nu$

 recognition of friends should be distrusted.' tov olkelov is neut. collective, as in c. 43, 7. Classen takes it to mean 'what was peculiar' in contrast to $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$.

 join.' $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ after rel. words is very common ; e.g. II. $4 \hat{\eta}$ र $\chi \bar{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$.
21. Td $\pi p 600^{2} \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{t}$ those in front had become completely disorganised and were difficult to distinguish.' Cf. c. 14, 2

 There are кєкра $\mu \boldsymbol{\prime}$, $\kappa \in \kappa \rho a \gamma \mu a$ and $\kappa \rho a v \gamma \dot{\prime}$ in Attic.

$\pi \hat{a} v$ to ${ }_{\xi} \xi$ dvavtlas-'all who came towards them.' For the
 $\tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \tau a \iota$.
 were already in flight.'

§ 5 l. 34. exefvov-applying to the enemy, as often. Both ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \in \in \mathcal{L}$ people. This is very common.

39. ข่токр(volvто-' 'answer'; only here in Thuc. It is Ionic ; common in Herod.: Aristoph. Acharn. 401, and late authors.



§ 8 l. 50. катd . . . кр $\eta \mu \nu \omega ิ-\dot{\rho} l \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ àd is found only in late Greek.

53. To d $\dot{\mu} \alpha \lambda \dot{d} v$-' the flat ground between the hill and the bay of Trôgilos. The men of the first armament, who had learned the lie of the land on both sides of the hill, knew the roads, and contrived to make their way round to the A. quarters.' Freeman. They had ascended Epipolae in 414.
57. Sic $\phi$ uryavov-The form $\phi v \gamma \gamma d \nu \omega$ is occasionally found even in the Orators.
45 § 1 1. 2. ग̂ $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \beta a \sigma \iota s-s c . ~ \epsilon \sigma \pi l$, i.e. where the ascent to E. is. For the omission of the verb in rel. clauses cf. c. 11, 4.
§21.6. $8 \pi \lambda a$ - here 'shields'; it is often so used by Herod. So arma in contrast with tela.
 eixov.
3. 'Akpáyavta-it was neutral. See c. 33. There was now a party favourable to Syracuse in the city; hence the mission of Sicanus, who had been appointed general with Hermocrates in the winter of 415. Acragas did not however join Syr. See c. 50 .
8. ajors-he had gone once before ; see c. 7 .
 t11.2. tpos $\tau \in$ ' with reference Freeman well says that we must remember the old eu่ruxia of Nicias. This remembrance it was which increased the vexation of the troops.
4. appowtlav-medical term. Here in moral sense, as in III. 15, 2.


§ 2 1. 6. vórq-esp. those encamped between the double walls below the cliff.
7. кат' 'фффо́тера-' for two reasons,' which are then given, viz. (1) the season, (2) the place.
8. oठَनŋs . . . Xa入emdv fv-see on c. 13, 2.
10. Xaderd̀ - 'unhealthy,' like $\beta$ apús, gravis. The unhealthiness of the marshy ground made it difficult to lay siege to Syracuse by land. The Romans found this out in 212, Livy xxiv. 26 tempore autumni et locis natura gravibus, multo tamen magis extra urbem quam in urbe, intoleranda vis aestus omnium ferme corpora movit.
àv^лwora-the pessimism that usually accompanies bad health.
 36, 2 's' 'I $\omega \nu$ là $\pi a \rho a \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$.
17. то仑े бтрате́́иатоя-' partitive' after vaval: 'so long as it was possible to prevail at least with that part of the fleet that had come to reinforce them.'

21. A Eupakorious-the proposition omitted in the second clause after a comparative. Cf. v. 111 al $\sigma \chi{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ al $\sigma \chi / \omega \mu e{ }^{\prime}$

 $\theta a \iota$; II. 13, 5 ots $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, and $102 \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a l \ldots \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{d} \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a u$. This attraction of short rel. clauses in Oratio Obliqua into infin. is less rare in Greek than in Lat. (qui=et is is different). - Thuc. has nine instances. Cf. Roby, II. § 1677.
23. elkds eival-decere.
 allowed, in evil case; but it would not do openly to proclaim the fact.' In his speech in the council N. did not disguise his
 is not so much between what he thought and what he said, as between what he both thought and admitted in the council and the impression which he wished his words to produce outside the council. His admission was to be kept secret.

тогทpa-'here bears the sense calamitous, dangerous, like our word evil, as in the phrase in evil case.' Bloomfield. See not. crit., for the accent.
 not to be publicly known that he felt they were in evil case ; nor was a report to reach the enemy that they were openly voting for a retreat.
 a plea for secrecy by Nicias. He urged that if they voted for
retreat, every one would know of it and so the enemy would hear about it.
5. $\mu$ erd modגciv-has been thought to refer to a subsequent and larger council to be held, at which the taxiarchs would attend ; but prob. N. only means that if they voted for retreat, their vote would accord with the opinion of many in command, and that the enemy would hear that this was so.
 yifyoual making a passive with verbal adjs.

8. тоิิт $\pi 0 \ldots 0 \hat{v} \tau e s$ - the edd. all say this means àaxcpoûvтes. But it should be $\psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta 6 \mu \epsilon \nu o l ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ dуax'́p $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$. N. urges that no formal vote may be now taken, because every one must know of it. 'Let us wait, and decide the matter in secret and informally should retreat become necessary.' He is not at present dealing with the question of retreating immediately, but is arguing on the assumption that an immediate retreat is impossible. Cf. c. $50, \mathrm{ll} .30,31$.
motov̂vtes- ${ }^{\prime} \beta$ oúdero is here lost sight of, and the Obliqua is used. Nicias is part of the subject, and so the nom. is possible.
§ 2 l. 8. To $\delta$ © tı-Thuc. has told us why N. objected to an open vote, and now proceeds to explain why N. thought an immediate retreat unnecessary, and indeed impossible. This


 information that he received of them.' $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu . ~ . ~ . ~ a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu=$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ a a $\dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, and $a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is neut., referring to $\tau \dot{d} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$.

 of supplies.'
14. $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o k \rho a r o i v \tau \omega \nu-$-sc. $\sigma \phi \omega ิ \nu$; the gen. abs. in spite of the subject being the same as that of $\overline{\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho v \chi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \text {. This has }}$ the effect of strongly emphasising the participial clause and of contrasting the position of the A. with that of the Syr. (Hw. here reads $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \kappa \rho a \tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau \epsilon s$; Stahl inserts $\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$, and it is not quite clear that any of the passages where this construction occurs are parallel to this one.) The same phenomenon appears in Latin; e.g. Livy xxili. 24, 10 pontem fluminis petentes, obsesso ante ab hostibus ponte.

 hands.'
ineknpucofero-the subject must be taken from the paren-
thesis，just as the object is in III．70， 3 kal（ $\boldsymbol{\eta r}_{\nu}$ rdp Meitiàs


17．oúk єla－＇urged him not．＇
§ 3 l．17．\＆$k$ riordquvos－sums up the motives that prompted

 about fifty times in Thuc．＇In reality he held back，inclining both ways and considering，but in his public speech at the time．

19．T $\hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}$ ¿ $\mu \phi$ av $\varepsilon \hat{\imath}$－then follows the summary of his official speech．As Thuc．has such a clear knowledge of the motives given above，we may assume that Nicias began by making admissions which he did not wish to be taken as part of his é $\mu \phi$ фavìs $\lambda$ dóos．（We could scarcely suppose that Thuc．，sym－ pathising with N. ，merely inferred his motives．）

21．$\sigma \phi \hat{v}$－＇in them＇；for the constrn．Fr．Müller compares Oavmdjech $\tau l$ $\tau$ lvos．

22．बनтt－introduces the epexegesis of raûra ；cf．II．40， 3
 should depart without an order from them．＇

23．kal yd̀p oủ toùs aütoùs－＇we shall not，＇he said，＇then have the same body of persons both voting about ourselves and making up their minds from seeing the facts with their own eyes as we do instead of merely hearing them from the fault－



25．むбтєр kal aùrol－sc．óp $\hat{\sigma} \omega$ ．But the accus．might be used with $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，corresponding with $\dot{\delta} \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \pi a s$.
 the calumnies of a clever speaker．＇With $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu \delta \iota a \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$

§ 4 l．29．kal－immo．

 marked contrast with the outspoken boldness of Pericles． Thirlwall doubted whether N．really feared the A．so much as he professed to do．

35．$k \pi \pi^{\prime}$ alनXpq̣ $\tau \in$ altlq－viz．on a $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} . \pi \rho o \delta o \sigma l a s$, which might be brought by any citizen even against a general by means of an impeachment（ $\epsilon l \sigma a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda(a)$ in the Ecclesia．The penalties were very severe，involving death，confiscation，and burial outside the state，árcula for the convict＇s descendants， and the entry of the man＇s name on a black list．The case would be tried before the Thesmothetae，who also superintended the e亢̈日v⿻al－accounts－of retiring $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma o l$ ，and a Court of heliasts．

36．$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{0}$ भैं к．т．$\lambda$ ．－＇he would sooner run the risk，and die on his own account at the hands of the enemy，if die he must．＇

37． $18 / q$－there is no need to suspect this word with Kr ． and Hw．；＇to die at the hands of the public executioner＇is $\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i q$ d $\pi 0 \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ；N．desires to die otherwise．There is also abundant evidence that difficulties arising at Athens out of the $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$ were regarded as especially $\delta \eta \mu b \sigma c a$.
§ 5 l．38．$\varepsilon_{\eta} \eta$－when long passages of Oratio Obliqua are attempted in Greek，the verb of＇saying＇is frequently re－ peated．The structure of this c ．is similar to II． 13.

40．$\xi$ evorpoфо⿱̂vtas－these mercenaries were partly Sicel， partly Arcadian．Mercenary service was traditional among the Arcadians．It only became general in Greece after the Pel．war．The Pel．employed many－probably 3000－in 426 against Demosthenes in Aetolia，and Brasidas had 1000 in Thrace．Cf．c．19， 4.
dv тєрเто入ious－forts for the protection of the open country， with home－garrisons，as distinct from $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon c a l$ ．Cf．vi． 45 of


42．＇̇tᄂ－＇besides．＇
B6ovovicas－when used of men，$\beta 6 \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$ implies contempt or trouble．Cf．Herod．vi． $39 \beta 6 \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \in \pi ı \kappa o u ́ p o u s . ~ T h e ~ p a r t i-~$ ciples belong to $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ and $\alpha \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$ alike．

Td $\mu \mathrm{k} v$ dторкiv，к．т．$\lambda$ ．－＇were in difficulties，and would here－ after be at a loss．＇axopeì refers to want of money，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \sigma \in \iota \nu$ to the diminution of their aaparкevì which would result from this àropla．

43． Et －with the future is used thus in threats and prophecies．

 in the protasis．

45．т解 $v \hat{v} v$ тарабкєvff－＇their present forces，＇depending on $\dot{\delta} \tau \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．Of course the forces would fall off if the pay were not forthcoming．

47． $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ ккoupıkd－mercenaries would serve for anyone that hired them．But the Athenians served $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ avd $\gamma \kappa \eta s$ ，as men compelled by law and duty．

86 1．48．Tp （ $\beta$ etv－＇remain＇；cf．c．49， 2.
49．кal $\mu \eta$ Хр申рабเv，む кк．т．$\lambda$ ．－＇and not to leave defeated by the money of an enemy than whom they were far better off．＇
 xphдara only to the financial straits of the enemy，and adroitly says＇are we to let ourselves be beaten by an enemy who，even if he is for the moment stronger，is yet so much poorer that he
must lose if we remain?' (Other edd. take $\chi \rho \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \mu \sigma \iota \nu$ differently. Most reject $\dot{\omega} \nu$ of B and either render $\dot{s}$ 's since,' or alter it. Thuc. does not use $\dot{\omega}$ 'since' with indic. elsewhere.)
$\Phi v$-antecedent omitted. This cannot be connected with $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \theta \in \nu \tau a s$, as $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{a} \nu$ with gen. is exclusively poetical.
$\pi 0 \lambda i ̀$ kpelo $\sigma o u s-H e r b s t ~ s a y s ~ t h a t ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \psi ~ к \rho e l \sigma \sigma o u s ~ i s ~ a l w a y s ~$ used by Thuc. for 'to be much better off,' and that modì $\kappa \rho \epsilon l \sigma \sigma o v s=\nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \nu$. But here $\nu \iota \kappa a ̂ \nu$ is itself used in a metaphorical sense, of being beaten by money. And Nicias chooses words which will bear both senses, as they help to disguise the weakness of the $A$.
§ 1 1. 1. loxupifero-'spoke confidently.'
 voual is not very common ; cf. I. 50 ; II. 88,1 ; the partic. is far commoner.
6. あनтt-see on c. 48, 3.
kal dipa-the construction is again changed from partic. to finite verb. Cf. c. 47, 2.
tais yoûv vavolv-'in the fleet at least he retained his old confidence.'
7. [kparn $\theta_{\text {eis }}$ ]-it is not likely that Thuc. said this of Nicias, who had taken no part in the night attack. Nowhere else does he use крateiv except of a general actually leading his men. We should also require parallels to $\epsilon \theta d \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota$ к $\rho a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ for 'he felt confident though he had been defeated.' As the passage is clearly corrupt, we may safely omit the word. (Widmann reads < $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu>\theta a \rho \sigma \eta \sigma a s$ ओ $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$, and

 128 ; oú $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ тov̂ $\pi \lambda \eta \forall$ $\theta$ ous $\dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \hat{\nu} \nu$ III. 66.

 open sea and all the advantages which the open sea gave to the A. tactics. There they would . .. maintain themselves by harrying the territory of the enemy.' Freeman.

 theirs.'



 $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu \tau^{\prime}$.
§41.27. $\mu \lambda \lambda_{\eta \sigma \iota s-c f . ~ A r i s t o p h . ~ A v . ~} 639$ oúxl $\nu v \sigma \tau d \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$



28．vimorova $\mu \nmid$－as though $\dot{u} \pi \delta \nu o l a$ were $\phi \delta \beta$ os．Cf．III． 53

 Herod．III．135，Kock on Aristoph．Eq．1354．）
§ 1 l．1．Гũ入ıттоs－see c． 46.
 ＇The party favourable to the S．had been expelled．＇Cf．Aesch．
 ä $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \rho \rho о \theta \epsilon i$.

7．тov̀s èk тम्री $\Pi$ ．－see c．19， 3.
§ 2 1．10．$\cdot$ a $\pi \epsilon \nu \in \mathrm{X}$ Of́vtes－by bad weather．
12．Eviєのтєрitals－afterwards one of five towns called the Pentapolis of Cyrene．In 401 it was again attacked by Libyans，and offered citizenship to any Greek who would come to its aid．Many of the Messenians，expelled from Naupactus by the Spartans，went there．Paus．Iv． 26 ；Diod． xiv． 34.

16．$\delta$ vio $\grave{\eta}$ ．－gen．of measure and epexegetic of $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \chi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.

 ＊$\chi$ оута．

30．ঠцоl $\omega \mathrm{s}-$＇as before．＇
a $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 4－＇except．＇
 vote was to be passed．

31．троeitov is $E \delta$ úvarto к．т．入．－＇they gave notice as secretly as possible to all．＇
 sign was given．＇The sentence would be clearer if he had said

 ${ }^{2} \kappa \pi \lambda о \hat{\nu} \nu$ after $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a l$ ．（The preliminary arrangements



 paration for the expedition．Here $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ applies to such final arrangements as can only be made when the final order is given．There is no need to alter the aor．into the perf．，as some of the edd．do．）
tis－added to $\sigma \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \eta \eta$ because the sign was to be given no by herald，but secretly．
 deemed ominous．Plut．Nic． 23 says that even ol mo八入ol knew in the time of Nicias that eclipses of the sun were a natural phenomenon ；but this is very doubtful．What was known
about them was due to the teaching of Anaxagoras. Plut. Per. 35 ; de superstit. c. 7.
èvóyxave . . . ożनa-Thuc. uses the imperf. of $\tau v \gamma \chi a \nu \omega$ with pres. or perf. ; with aor. only in vili. 105. See on c. 4, 3.
38. Ev0ípiov поюópavol-' took it to heart.'
39. IV . ... трогке位vos-the tense of $\varepsilon i \mu l$ must precede the participle in this periphrasis, as it is emphatic, representing a state of things existing at the time referred to. Cf. II. 67 议


тt kal Gyav к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ - cf. Intr. p. xxxv. Plutarch says that Stilbides had lately died.
40. osf' dv $\delta$ caßovaev́סaodat - 'he would not even enter into any further discussion as to how he should move until . . .'
41. $\pi \rho l v . . . \mu \epsilon \hat{v}$ al-here $\pi \rho / \nu$ has the infin., though a negative precedes. This happens when something positive is insisted on ; as here the fact that he would remain so long.
${ }_{\xi}{ }_{\xi} \eta$ Yoûvto-technical word for interpretation by priests.

tpis ivvia-Plutarch says that the priests only required nine days, but Nicias insisted on staying for a whole revolution of the moon.
43. $\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ foanc-refers to the delay that had been rendered necessary by the eclipse, before they had decided how long to remain. $\dot{\eta} \mu o \nu \eta$ on the contrary refers to the definite stay of twenty-seven days. But they had only stayed a few days when they were attacked. 'And so the A., after delaying for this reason, had resolved to remain.'

 A., i.e. to keep them busy instead of disregarding them.


4. au̇тติv кaтeүvaкóтcu-'since they had themselves come to the conclusion that they were no longer superior to them ( $\sigma \phi \omega \nu$ ).' $\kappa a \tau a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \nu$ is used for forming an adverse opinion. $\kappa a \tau d \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$ has a similar sense. Cf. III. 45, 1.


kal dqa-adds another reason.

14. etreioh $\delta \in$ к.т. $\lambda$ - - the first attack was made by land on the A. wall, clearly on the outer side, by the horsemen and others from the Olympieion.' Freeman, who views the whole engagement as fought south of Epipolae. But c. 54 1. 3 and c. 60,2 l. 9 prove that the attack began on Epipolae.

＇In that swampy ground the solid path was narrow，and so was the entrance to the A．camp．＇Freeman．

20．Itriovs－apparently he does not know how many riders were killed．Doubtless in the confusion some escaped．
§ 1 1．2．tais $\tau \in$ vavolv－the Syr．had not ventured to attack the $A$ ．by sea since the arrival of Eurymedon and Demosthenes．
 projected towards Dascon bay．

9．kmegd́yovta－＇as he was drawing off＇so as to surround the enemy＇s left．Bloomfield．
 centre was able to join in the attack on Eurymedon．

12．кo：$\lambda_{\psi}$－i．e．Dascon bay．
 $\dot{\eta} \kappa 0 \lambda o u ́ \theta o u v$ c．57，9．Diodorus says that seven A．ships were here sunk．
§ 11 ． 1 ．Túdıriros－he had remained on shore，where Demosthenes kept a look－out on him．
 palisade；＇they were chased to the muddy shore and the shallow waters between it and the promontory of Daskôn．＇ Freeman．
 lay south－west of the $A$ ．lines，and so the very part on to which the A．ships were being carried．

8．T $\boldsymbol{\eta} v \mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu-\mathrm{a}$ mole running along the coast between the shore and the marsh Lysimeleia．
§ 2 l．9．oi Tuponvol－the Etruscans were old enemies to Syracusan trade and naval power，and had consequently sent aid to Athens in the expedition．

11．тaúrn－close up by the A．lines where they reached the mole．
 ships and coming to their aid．＇Thuc．often thus co－ordinates effect and cause，placing the causal partic．second，as in viri．
 ol＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaîo al al } \theta \omega \nu \tau a l . ~\end{aligned}$

21．छuvfyayov kard тoे $\sigma$ ．－＇brought them within the shelter of their lines，＇i．e．within the stockade．
 times thus joined with a plur．，as in II．4， $2 \lambda$ 入oos кal кєр $\mu \mu \varphi$ ． Cf．Theognis $1360 \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \tau l \nu \varphi \pi u \rho$ b．

27．aфefoav－see on c．19， 4 1． 27.
29．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ tais vavalv－$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ with dat．is rare in prose outside Thuc．，who uses it often with verbs of fearing．
 of an act and its result by $\tau \epsilon$. . . kal is poetical.
 Pollux has $\sigma \beta \in \sigma \tau \eta \rho$ ioss $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ Éx $\chi \omega \hat{\nu} \tau 0$. Hw. first thought of $\sigma \beta \in \sigma \tau \eta \rho i a \kappa a l$ $\kappa \omega$., but rightly rejected it.

3. Tfीs dve-that related in c. 51 . We must remember 54 that $\alpha \nu \omega$ means 'on the higher ground away from the Harbour' only in a relative sense. See crit. note on c. 2, 4. The engagement referred to need not have been, and probably was not, wholly on Epipolae, but, as compared with the fight on the mole and in Lysimeleia, it was div. See on c. 51, 21.14.
4. 80 ev-' by which.'
 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
kal-the A. army having been defeated already in the nightattack.
3. $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$-there is no $\delta \epsilon$ to answer this, but the antithesis is obvious.

6. $\delta$ mapáloyos-see Intr. p. xxxiii. The A. were as much astonished at their own failure as the rest of Greece had been at their undertaking the expedition. Cf. c. 28, 3.
7. Tifs $\sigma$ тparelas $\delta \mu$.- 'regret about the expedition.'
§ 2 1. 9. бцоьотрблоเs-a remarkable tribute to the advanced state of institutions in the Greek cities of Sicily when we remember Pericles' panegyric of Athenian $\tau \rho 6 \pi o l$.
10. vaîs-this consideration had rendered the acquisition of influence in Sicily very important at the beginning of the Pel. war. Sparta made great efforts to obtain a large fleet there.

$\mu e \epsilon^{\epsilon} \theta \eta$-the plur. is often found, though not in Thuc. elsewhere ; cf. Herod. II. 10 ; vir. 103.
 before the expedition that the cities of Sicily, being populated
 vi. 17, 2 . It was the invasion that united the various classes sufficiently to prevent revolutions.

Tr-Classen makes this adverbial ; Stahl makes it object of є́тevєरкєìv. Then Classen makes тd סıáфopov object of
 makes $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta$. object of mporiryouto. Translate, with Classen's construction, 'seeing that of the cities which they had attacked these were the only ones then having institutions similar to their own, governed by democracy and possessing fleets and horses and importance, and as they were unable either through
a change in their constitution in any respect, or by a greatly superior force to make them feel the difference, by which they might have gained power over them, and as they failed oftener than they succeeded, they had before this been in difficulties, and now that they had been defeated by sea as well-which would never have occurred to them-they were in much greater despair.'

Td SLáфopov - variously explained as 'internal discord' (Heilmann), 'change of attitude' (Classen), 'the opposite party' (Stahl). But the context is decidedly in favour of 'the difference between the two sides,' which in this case the $\mathbf{A}$. had no means of bringing home to the enemy, either by causing a revolution in favour of democracy or by coercing them with

 decidedly obscure, nor is its bearing on $\eta \pi \delta p o v v$ clear. One of the reasons of the amopla was that the A. found they could not make the cities see a difference - or balance - in favour of Athens.)
13. aúrois-after $\varepsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \varepsilon \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \hat{i v}$, though $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ precedes, the inhabitants being substituted for the cities. Stahl takes it with $\boldsymbol{r d}$ סidфopov.
 at the beginning of the expedition $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma a \gamma t \sigma \theta a$, vi. 47. (Freeman says' the reference must be mainly or wholly to Syracuse.' There is no ground for this assumption.)
 refers to something which the A. had not been able to do, so és $\pi . \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \kappa$. refers to something which they had not possessed : for, besides being under democracies, the cities had 'fleets and horses and greatness.' Hence ס $\eta \mu$ нкратоv $\mu$ еvaus corresponds
 крelocovos. Thuc. is referring to the whole course of the expedition from the start. For the facts alluded to, compare (a) what Hermocrates is made to say before the A. landed-o
 $\dot{\text { úzd }}$ déous छvvictatal, vI. 33, (b) what Athenagoras is made to

 what Thuc. says above in c. 28 of the relative size of Syracuse and Athens.
 $\Sigma ц \kappa e \lambda i q q \lambda \lambda \eta$ tapaokevp. He is thinking of the turn which the siege had taken. Cf. I. 69, 5.

aưd being as often supplied from the context. (The brevity of this passage renders it very obscure. The sense of $\kappa \rho e l \sigma$ oovos and $\pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega$ is, I believe, uncertain. kpelorovos might mean 'larger than that brought by Demosthenes and Eurymedon'; $\pi \lambda e l \omega$ might imply 'since the arrival of Demosth. and Eur.' The above explanation is only offered as an approximation to the probable meaning.)
17. $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda о v-s c . ~ \grave{\eta} \pi b \rho o u v$.

 close.'
 a ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\omega} \nu, \kappa<\nu \delta \nu \nu o s \pi \epsilon \rho l$ with noun.
8. ekelvous-again notice this use of ékeivos.
9. amo te tติv тapovtav --'in consequence of the present circumstances.'
 Greeks glorious to them.' There is a metaphor here from the
 as it is with $\lambda \in{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ when large bodies are addressed. The Greeks are here spectators of the contest.
16. àevespovardal-the pres. is here used in a vivid sense for the future.
oi $\mathrm{y} \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{y}$ èt-this did not prove to be the case at once. Cf.

19. кal aúrol-co-ordinate with toús $\tau \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \mathrm{l}$. 15.
aivêv-neut., used as in c. 55, 21.15.
 idiom $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ is the connecting particle, while kal emphasises the statement. This is a remark added by Thuc. on his own account.
22. ouxl'A0pvalav-'it was not the A. only that they were going to conquer, but many of their allies as well, and not by themselves either, but in company with those who had come to their aid-having taken the lead with . . . and having put forward their city in the struggle to take the post of danger and having made a great advance with the fleet.'
23. териеүlyvovto-the imperf. of $\gamma$ i $\gamma \nu 0 \mu a l$ and its compounds is frequently thus used when something about to happen is anticipated. So with $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu c$ and compounds. Cf. Andoc. I.
 murderer.'

тติv did well their own enemies in Sicily.
24. oư' aúrol as $\mu$ ovol-Krüger objects that it would detract from the glory of Syr. to say that they won with the help of
others; but Thuc. here states with exactness the nature of the success, and is not concerned either to enhance or to detract from it. Their distinction was (a) to have fought side by side with Corinth and Sparta, (b) that Syr. had borne the brunt of the struggle, (c) that thus it had been the chief instrument in destroying the A. empire. 'It was Syracuse, that day the equal yoke-fellow of Cor. and of Sparta, going forth at the head of a crowd of allies, but with Syr. herself the centre and object of the strife, that was called on to strike the blow.' Freeman.
 compounds has often an adverbial force ; as in II. 44, 1 èvev$\delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma a l=\epsilon \dot{\delta} \sigma a \iota \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a l \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \beta l \varphi$.
$\pi p o k เ v \delta v v e \partial \sigma a l$ te-the $\tau \epsilon$, as Herbst rightly says, joins $\pi \rho о к b \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ with $\epsilon \mu \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi b \nu \tau \epsilon \rho$, and $\pi \rho о \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \in \hat{v} \sigma a l$ is in this order because it is an integral part of the phrase.
28. тоvิ vautukov . . . трокб $\psi$ avtes - having opened the way for the navy, by shewing that the $A$. were not invincible by sea. Cf. Eur. Hippol. 23 ; Xen. Hipparch. 6, 5.
§ 41.29 . $k \pi$-' 'to,' whether as friends or as enemies.
31. < $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оиิvтоs>-without some such word we should have to supply $\xi v \nu \in \lambda \theta b \nu \tau o s$, but the ellipse is very awkward, and, as Stahl says, the sense ad urbem convenire is absurd.
$\S 11$. 1. $k \pi l \mathbf{\Sigma}$. kal $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\Sigma}$.-belongs to $\epsilon \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma a \nu$, which is ingressive, $=$ ' went to war.'
4. $\mathbf{z} \boldsymbol{\pi l}$ इupakoúrats-Holden retains the MSS. Enl $\Sigma v p a-$ кob $\sigma a s$, and takes it with $\epsilon \lambda \theta b \nu \tau \epsilon s$; but (1) the order is against this; (2) $\epsilon \pi l$ इvpakovioas then impedes the progress of the sentence, since $\epsilon \pi l \Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda l a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a l \pi \epsilon \rho l \Sigma$. is supplied with e $\lambda \theta$ Obves (Herbst agrees with Holden; and he thinks that the form of the sentence is improved).
5. oú кard $\delta \mathbf{i k \eta v}$ к.т. $\lambda$.-'j joining one another not so much from a sense of right . . ., but rather as circumstances united the several states either through interest or on compulsion.'
$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda o v-o f t e n ~ u s e d ~ t o g e t h e r, ~ o r ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f o r m ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o ́ v ~ \tau \iota . ~$ It is stronger than $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu . . . \dot{a} \lambda \lambda d$ for $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$. . . $\eta$, only found after a neg., gives greater emphasis to the second clause.
6. кard $\xi v y \gamma \in v \in L a v-i t$ will be seen in $\S 2$ fol. that very few of the allies of either side took their side in the war from this motive.
 $\pi \rho b s \tau \nu v a$ is also found.

Tfीs $\xi u v t u x$ las - 'circumstances' which result in decisive action. Here these circumstances are themselves the result of
interest or necessity. Hence the 'circumstances' are feelings that prompt the different states to unite. Cf. 1. 33, 3. (No doubt this is what Classen meant by rendering iss tîs $\xi$. Zoxov 'as they came into a closer relationship.')
§ 2 l. 10. 'Aөpvaiol $\mu$ ìv к. т. $\lambda$.-chiasmus again.
12. aürois-after $\tau \hat{\eta}$ aủv $\hat{\eta}$.
13. हैt - -with vouipos.
$\Lambda \nmid \mu \nu \omega$-the allies of Athens are enumerated thus: (1) Colonists § 2 ; (2) Euboea § 4 ; (3) Cylades § 4 ; (4) Asia Minor §§ 4-6; (5) the islands off north-west coast of Greece § 7 ; (6) Peloponnesians and $\mu \sigma \theta 0 \phi b p o c$ § $8-10$; (7) allies in Italy and Sicily § 11. Lemnos and Imbros were secured for Athenian cleruchs by Miltiades; and these two with Scyros were regarded as very peculiarly the possessions of the A. in the north.
14. of тбтe Al'yıvav etxov-i.e. the A. cleruchs placed there in 431 b.c., when the Aeginetans were expelled by A., and settled by Sparta in Thyrea, the border-land between Argolis and Laconia.
15. 'EのTralips-taken by A. for cleruchs after the reduction of Euboea by Pericles in 445.
16. dionnon-i.e. the $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho 00 \chi 0$ had by now quite supplanted the older population and taken its name, and were regarded as owners, like the possessores.

گvveotpátevoav-ingressive, 'took the field with them.'
§ 8 1. 17. of $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ vifkool-Stahl notes that there are two classes of these perpetual and subject allies, viz. (1) $\dot{\boldsymbol{\pi} \pi} \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa$ ooc kal
 enjoying their own constitution ; viz. Methymna, Chios. These are both distinct from ol $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \xi v \mu \mu a \chi i a s$ aürbvo $\mu o l$, like Corcyra, Zacynthus, Cephallenia.

8 4 1. 19. $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \eta \kappa 6 \omega v$ kal $\phi$ obov i.-subdivided into those from (1) Euboea, (2) $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma 0 t=$ the Cyclades, (3) Ionia. In the latter Chios is included, but Thuc. adds an explanation that it was not $\dot{\text { únote }}$ ths.
'Eperpıfि kal Xa入kı $\delta$ ffs-the two most important towns of Euboea ; in early times they were rivals. Chalcis is still the capital of the island, but Eretria is now quite insignificant.
21. vf $\sigma \omega \omega$-often used in a restricted sense for the Cyclades. Cf. I. 13, 6 ; III. 104, 2.
 ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \omega \nu$.
23. тoúcov-asyndeton with demonstr., as Herod. Ix. 26 with $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$.
25. тd $\pi$ גéctorov . . . $\pi$ davtes - 'all being Ionians in the main.' There were some Dryopians among the Styrians, and in the Cyclades there were Carians.
 Chalcis and Eretria were founded by Athens. As for Ionia


26. Kapuorfov - Herod. says that the Dryopians had originally lived in Doris and had been driven out through the early migrations.
27. 8 $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ - with "I $\omega \nu \epsilon \epsilon_{s} \gamma \epsilon$. Though they served under obligation, yet it was natural for them to be on the side of A.
§ 5 l. 29. M $\eta \theta$ vuraîol-the only Lesbians who retained their autonomy after the revolt of 428. The Aeolians colonised sir places in Lesbos.
30. Alviot - at the mouth of the Hebrus, colonised by Aeolians from Mytilene.
31. Boworois - the Aeolian colonisation proceeded from Thessaly and Boeotia.
33. kal drcukpus-' though outright.' The Plataeans meant are those who escaped at the time of the siege. Athens had given Scione to them for a home.
§ 6 l. 34. 'P6́col-Rhodes was a tripolis, and very early acquired great wealth by its trade, and remained rich until debased by Rome.

Kvotprot-seized by Nicias in 424. Athens had retained Cythera contrary to the terms of his peace.
 the N.W. islands. Cf. vi. $85 \nu \eta \sigma t \omega 亍 \tau a s ~ o ̂ \nu \tau a s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ e ̀ v ~ \chi \omega p l o s ~$


 extremes and the means àre contrasted, as usually with this double use of $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu .$. . $\delta \epsilon$. For the islands see on c. 31, 2 l. 8.
43. кard 8 t $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ v.-' as islanders.'
 Portus. It is not easy to detect hereabouts any antithesis between the condition of the islanders and mainlanders. Thuc.
 a formally equal alliance between a stronger and a weaker power is well set forth.' (My explanation of $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is strongly

45. Koplvoror $\sigma a \phi \omega \hat{s}$ - 'actually Corinthians.' In 492 Corcyra had helped Syracuse against Hippocrates of Gela, and once again helped her in the days of Timoleon.
47. छัypeveís-Corinth being the mother-city of both.
 stood to Athens made a $\pi \rho \dot{\prime} \phi a \sigma \iota s$ évipeaìs for sinking her obligation to respect her mother-city.
49. alrouro-the simple verb following the compound of 1 . 44 is idiomatic.
§ 8 l. 49. oi Meo天fintor vôv k.-i.e. not the inhabitants of Messenia or of Messana in Sicily, but of éc N. кal éк II., 'those whom we in our day call Messenians.' They were descended
 Thuc. alludes to the fact that some of them were really helots; but it is more likely that he alludes to their change of home at the end of the third Messenian war and in 425 в.c.)
50. vov-means the time at which he writes. From róre it looks as if Pylus was then no longer in the hands of the A. : if so this sentence was written after A. lost Pylus in 409 b.c.
52. Meraptouv фuydoes-expelled in the party struggle of 424 b.c., when Brasidas saved Megara from falling into the hands of $A$.
53. $\Sigma$ e $\lambda$ ıvouvtloıs-S. was a colony from Hyblaean Megara.
$\xi \geq \mu \phi o p d v-i . e$. their exile. Cf. calamitosus.
89 1. 55. Yס $\eta$-'from this point,' as in II. 96, 3.
'Apyeion-the alliance with A. had been renewed in June 417 в.c.
57. Exppas - Argos, long the rival of Sparta, had been humbled by her in 495 в.c.
 mean that they were mercenaries; but the plur. ${ }^{*} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau 0$ is against this. Possibly Haacke rightly refers to Spartan and anti-Spartan factions in Argos.
60. ${ }^{\text {'Apk }} \mathbf{A} \delta \mathrm{wv}$ - already heard of as mercenaries in the Persian wars. Herod. viII. 26.
atel- 'at any time.'
62. oifiv jo $\sigma$ ov-i.e. though they belonged to the same race.
 4 al.


§ 10 l. 69. $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma_{00 \ell v o v s-o b j e c t i v e . ~ T h e y ~ r e m e m b e r e d ~ t h e ~}^{\text {en }}$ victory which they had won in 426 under his lead. See on c. 16, 1 1. 12.
civola-most of the Acarnanians had been allies of A. since 430. See on c. 31, 2 l. 11.
§ 11 l. 71. к $\kappa \lambda \pi \pi \Psi$-is sometimes omitted with $\dot{\text { o 'Ibvios. }}$
Oofpıo kal M. -see c. 33, 5.
72. Ev rocaúrals к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.-'who, when the Athenians came, had been reduced to such straits by a revolution.' With $\epsilon^{\prime \prime \nu}$
 rocaúrals means 'such as induced them to join the $A$.' т6тє
refers to c. 33,5 , where we found that Thurii had to be persuaded, while Metapontum in addition had passed through a crisis (kalpol). Now we find that Thurii also had suffered in the same way. (rotaúracs was first explained thus by Bauer. It is obscure. Did Thuc. write raîs aúraîs; or did he mean by tolaúrals that the $\sigma$ тaбı $\omega \tau \iota \kappa 0$ kalpol of Thurii 'were such as I have described in the case of Metapontum'?)

74. Nafiol kal K.-c. 14, 2.
75. 'Eycotaiol-Segesta, as its coins and the Romans call it, was chief city of the Elymians, who were thought to be Trojans. It was perpetually at war with Selinus. Life was difficult in the $W$. corner of Sicily owing to the constant rivalry of the Carthaginians and the Greeks there. In 409 Segesta joined Carthage in an attack on Selinus which destroyed for ever the greatness of that city.
olicp $i \pi \eta \gamma$ Guovro-it is indicative of the falling off of high sentiment at Athens that she had consented to aid the barbarian against a Greek town.
 of the Sicels joined A. They did so early in the campaign of 414, about June, when the 2nd Syracusan counter-wall had failed to check the A. circumvallation and the besieging fleet had command of the Great Harbour, when Syr. was in terror and peace was being discussed there. See also on c. 1, 4. The Sicels hoped to use Athens as a means for dirninishing Greek influence in Sicily, and ever since A. had first interfered in Sicily, they had shown a strong tendency to support her.

 all these different elements to work with a common will.
§ 1 1. 1. Kapapıraiol-see on c. 33, 1, as also for $\Gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\psi} o$ o.
3. ' Akpayave(vav-Girgenti, 'the fairest of mortal cities' (Pind. Pyth. xII. 1), remained neutral throughout. Cf. on c. 32, 1. The life of Empedocles of Acragas, 484-424, about covers the greatest period in the history of Sicilian art.

§ 2 l. 4. orde $\mu \bar{\nu} \nu$-here $\delta \delta \epsilon$ refers to what precedes. This is very rare in prose except in Herod. and Thuc. Cf. c. 58, 2. So with $\tau 0 \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon$ in $\mathbf{c} .57,11 ; 58,3 ; 59,1 ; 78,1$.
6. 'I $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ єрaiol-see on c. 1, 11.11 .
7. $\mu$ ovol-i.e. they are the only Greeks there.
8. olkovoเv-used elsewhere in proximity to $\nu \in \mu \in \sigma \theta a l$. These small things show how ancient authors, like modern ones, unconsciously have their mannerisms.
§ 3 1．9． ． $0 v \eta$－of small communities，but referring to difference of origin．

11．Zukedol $\mu$ óvol－no Elymians，Sicans，or Phoenicians joined Syr．

14．veobap $6 \delta$ es－see on c．19，3．With the helots they numbered 600 hoplites；Eccritus，a Spartan，had been sent in command of them，but he must have returned．

17．$\mu$ ovor－the C．alone sent both ships and infantry．The Leucadians and Ambraciots sent ships only．

Acurd́\＆ıo kal＇A．－were allies of Sparta．Corinth was their mother－city．

20．גvayкa．tol－see on c．19， 41.33 ，and Index s．v．
§ 4 1．21．Tpos－＇in comparison with．＇
23．$\mu$ cyadas－not that they were greater than Corinth and Sparta，but because their cities were large they needed less support from outside．

25．$d \lambda \lambda$ os $8 \mu \nu \lambda$ os－light－armed troops． $8 \mu \lambda$ os is confined to poetry，Herod．，Thuc．，and late authors．Thuc．uses it often．
§ 1 1．3．ounkfrt oübiv к．т．入．－the object of this emphatic 59 statement is to show that now the deciding struggle－the diov $\mu \in \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o s-w a s ~ a t ~ h a n d . ~$
§ 21.4 ．8＇o兀̂v－resumes from c． 56.

6．$t \pi i-$＇as a consequence of．＇
7．Eגeiv re ro $\sigma$ ．－＇to capture the whole of that vast army，＇ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \pi e \delta o \nu$ being the besieging force wherever it was stationed．

9．$\mu \eta \delta \lambda \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ غтєра $=\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a$ ．
§ 31.10 ． $\mathrm{K} \kappa \lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{ov}$－apparently they left a narrow opening （ $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \pi \lambda$ 保）which was guarded by chains．

14．Av－＇in cass，＇like $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \omega s, \epsilon l$ d $\rho a$ ．




ßoudeutia－the plur．of the verbal replacing the sing．is esp． common in Thuc．
§ 2 1．4．TaflapXo－not usually present at the council．

7．\＃peldov－here introduces Oratio Obliqua．
9．td dvo－Epipolae was to be abandoned．There is nothing to show that they had quitted it before this，as Arnold thought．

10．8careix lo $\mu a t-$－by fencing round a space at the end of the double wall．

14．rof $d \lambda \lambda_{00}$－those who were not needed to guard the narrow space．
22. ${ }^{2} v \tau 1 \lambda \dagger \psi e \sigma \theta a t-' g a i n ' ; ~ t h e ~ w o r d ~ i m p l i e s ~ s e c u r e ~ p o s-~$ session. So with àvilin $\psi \psi s$, seizure, grip, objection.
§ 3 l. 23. $\dot{\text { es }}$. . . kal-see on c. 43 , 1.
26. kal $\delta \pi \pi \omega \sigma 0 \nu \nu-w i t h ~ \epsilon \pi เ \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon o s$.
 (II. 44, 4), and so fit for military service. This must mean that non-combatants were to be used, for none of the regular troops could have been otherwise than $\dot{\eta}$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \omega \nu$.
 sense.
§ 4 1. 27. kal-' and so.'
31. ${ }^{5}$ d $\mathbf{~ v a y k a l o u - ' ~ i n ~ d e s p e r a t e ~ s t r a i t s ' ~ ; ~ b e s t ~ t a k e n ~ a l o n e ~}$ as an adverbial phrase. (Some edd. make à $\nu$. fem. agreeing with סcavolas, but tolaútys is then very awkward.) Adverbial phrases with $\epsilon \kappa$ are very common.
tovaútns-sc. $\overline{\epsilon \kappa}$, 'from the nature of their plan,' i.e. considering the difficulties that it involved.
§ 5 1. 35. kparq0quat-alluding to cc. 52-3.
§ 11.2 . $6 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu-$ see c. $4,3$.
$\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{k} \nu$ dycu -'in the coming struggle every one of us will be fighting for country and for life just as much as the enemy. If we win this battle at sea, every individual may see again his own home, wherever it may be.' There is a different

 $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$.
 must we allow ourselves to feel like raw recruits, for whom a defeat in their first battle fills all the future with foreboding of similar misfortunes.' $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a$ is defined by $\tau 0 \hat{0} \phi 6 \beta o v$ as 'an expectation that falls in the sphere of fear.' Cf. Plat. Laws p.

 reverses they have met with.
§ 3 l. 13. $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' $8 \sigma 0 \mathrm{t} \tau$-' no ; all of you who are A., with the experience of many wars, all of you who are allies, our constant companions in arms, remember how calculation is baffled in war, and, in hopes that fortune may yet be with us, and resolved, as you see your army before you, to renew the struggle in a manner worthy of your numbers, prepare.'
14. тגреттe-occasionally the 3 rd person is found in such
 $\sigma \kappa \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$; Lys. 12, 97. So in Latin poets, as Ovid Trist. III. 4, 75 et qua quisque potest aliqua mala nostra levate. See also on c. 64, 2.

uncertainty, the inconsequence, of war so often because he regards history, under normal conditions, as effect following ascertainable causes. He introduced into history the notion of law.
17. Tठ Tff rixis - denoting a power acting by some in-
 $\pi \rho \circ \beta \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau a L . \quad \tau \dot{d} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta s$ are the manifestations of this power.
 nứúx $\eta$ ral. Other statements by Nicias of a like nature, showing how firmly he believed in the distribution during life of rewards and punishments, are found in V. 16, 1 ; VI. 11, 6 ; 23, 3. It is the idea that meets us in Aeschylus and Herodotus.
18. dvapaxоíuavo-generally with an accus., as Plato Hip.
 $\lambda$ byov.

§ 1 l. 1. "A $\delta$ d apoyd к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.-'we on our side have dig- 62 cussed with the pilots and have provided all the means in our power which we found would help us in the narrow space of the harbour against the difficulties that gave us trouble before, namely the crowding of the vessels and the enemy's men on deck.'


5. rapaorevin-force. For the fact see c. 40, 5.
ots-neut., referring to $b^{2}$ रोos and $\pi a p a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \eta$.
6. \&к т $\omega v$ тар $\dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu-$ cf. c. 77, 1.
§ 21.8 . кal ydp то§б́тal к.т. $\lambda$.-'there will be many archers and javelin men on board and very many whom in a battle out at sea we should not have thought of using, since the overweighting of the ships would impede our skill ; but here being forced to fight a land battle aboard ship, we shall find the plan convenient.'
 is a mark of the speeches and the higher style.
14. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi$ орa-the rel. $\dot{\psi}$ is lost sight of. Cf. Herod. Ix.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \in \tau 0$. For the plur. cf. c. $43,2$.
 the plans needed on our side in the construction of our vessels, and to meet the thick beams on the enemy's prows which gave us most trouble, we have arranged iron grapnels, which when thrown at them will prevent any ship that has struck us from backing, if the marines work well afterwards.' $\eta$ ర̄p $\eta$ ral $\dot{\eta} \mu i \bar{\nu}$,
 the impers. pass. in Gk.
16. $\pi a x$ Úт $\eta$ ras-the plur. of the abstract used distributively, as also $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \circ \lambda a l$. $\dot{\Psi} \pi \epsilon \rho$ is like $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\psi} \dot{\varphi}$ referring to a preceding clause.

19. rd $4 \pi l$ toúrous-' what follows,' i.e. boarding the ships. Cf. Aristoph. Eccles. $82 \tau d \pi \grave{t}$ тoútoıs $\delta \rho d \sigma o \mu \in \nu$.
§ 41.19 . is roûto үdр к.т. $\lambda$.-'in fact we have, as I said, been forced into fighting.' For és toû̃o . . . ढठवтє cf. c. 48, 3.
23. 8бov dv... intxn-'so much as our troops will occupy.'
 the end with all your strength ; and do not be driven ashore, but when two ships strike, do not let them drive you off until you have cleared the heavy troops from the enemy's deck.'
 ally stands for $\pi \rho b \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$. . . $\pi \rho l \nu$ with subj., indic. or infin. in Herod. and Thuc., but very rarely in other authors, as

 sentences-after $\pi \rho l \nu, \pi \rho l \nu \eta$, $\mu \in \chi \rho$-is also a mark of early prose.
6. बสтapajŋทтe-cf. c. 6, 31.21.
§ 21.6. kal тâ̂ta к.т. . - 'I am now addressing not so much the sailors as the soldiers, as it is on the men on deck that this duty mainly falls : and at present we have still the advantage of them in most points with our infantry.' The last clause betrays the speaker's want of confidence.
§ 3 l. 11. Toîs $\delta$ é vaútals-'Sailors, I urge, nay more, I entreat you, not to be in any way too overcome by your misfortunes; you have now better arrangements above, and a greater number of ships. Think, I beg you, how well worth preserving is the pleasant feeling that, being thought Athenians so long even if you are not so, by your knowledge of our language and your imitation of our institutions you were admired in Greece, and-as far as advantages go-had as great a share as we in our empire, while, in the matter of respect from our subjects and immunity from wrong, you had much more than we.' This § appears to start with the 3rd pers., which is changed for the 2nd in $\epsilon \theta a v \mu d \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (1. 18); but the Gk. orators habitually mingle statements about people with direct address to them in this way.

15. Tiेv र्रुovìv-the pleasure which he describes in the rel. clause following.
16. of-the antecedent, $\dot{y} \mu \hat{i v}$, is omitted. The sentence d $\xi l a \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma \theta$ al ot . . . $\epsilon \theta a v \mu \alpha\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is constructed like $\tau \dot{d} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{u} \tau u \chi \notin s$, ot $\alpha \nu . . . \lambda \alpha \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ in II. 44, 1.
 serving as vaûral；at the same time he lays stress on the in－ fluence of the Athenian ${ }^{2} \chi$ 入os $\nu a u t c k 6 s$ ．So in［Xen．］Ath．Pol． 1． 2 ol кивєри

 promotion from the lowest position as a rower to the highest as $\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{s}$ ．There would be few true－born Athenians amongst the vaûrac now owing to the disasters in Sicily．Prob．many $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$ ，who ordinarily served as sailors，were employed as hoplites．
 фоßоіे $\mu$ а II．89， 6.

T会v $\tau \rho \delta \pi \omega v$－the private habits as contrasted with the $\pi$ лдıтela，the public institutions，of Athens．The A．prided themselves both on their mo入ıcela and on their тоб́тоו．
 exile and his travels，would have good reason to know the fact and to appreciate the value of the $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta$ ．－
 whom $N$ ．is supposed to be addressing must have been by origin $\dot{u} \pi \eta \times 00$, so that Thuc．prob．means that those of them who had served in the A．fleet were regarded with awe in their own cities．$\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \rho \delta \nu=$＇causing fear＇；cf．on c． $42,3$.
 besides containing an exaggeration（see crit．note），seems rather lacking both in taste and in tact，even when we remember that most of the doubtful supporters of A．had deserted．But it must be remembered that none of the speeches in Thuc．，and least of all the military harangues，could possibly have been delivered．See Appendix I．
§ 4 1．22．あनTE kotvavol к．т．入．－＇with you alone we freely share our empire；it is but just that you should not betray it now ；rather，filled with scorn of the Corinthians whom you have often defeated and of the Sicilian Greeks，not one of whom even dared to face us so long as our fleet was at its best， repel them，and show that in spite of sickness and disaster your science is superior to another＇s confidence while succeed－ ing．＇

23．Eגcue＇pos－always understood to mean＇while retaining
 रô̂vтes．It implies this too；but it is meant as an allusion to the generous spirit in which A．had treated them．Cf．II．37，


24．kataфporłбavtes－paronomasia with preceding word． The aor．is ingressive．
27. \#ккца\}е тd vautuкdv-the decline of the naval power of Athens meant the decline of her empire over the imagination of Greece.
30. غ̇e¢pas-for $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$, but preferred so as to correspond with $\dot{v} \mu \in \tau \in p a$.
cívuxoúrŋs - contrasted with кal $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ á $\sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon l a s ~ k a l ~ \xi . ~$ Observe this very common use of $\mu$ erd with abstract nouns.
§ 1 l. 1. toús $\tau \epsilon$ 'A. к.т. $\lambda$ - ' Those of you who are A. I once again remind that you have at home no ships in the docks like these, no men fit for service, and that in the event of any other issue than victory, your enemies here will immediately sail thither, and our friends who are left there will not be strong enough to repel our enemies on the spot together with the invaders. And so, while you will immediately be at the mercy of Syr.-and you know yourselves the parpose you had in attacking them-your countrymen will be at the mercy of Sparta.'
2. otre vaûs-at the beginning of the Pel. war, the A. had 300 ships ready, and shortly afterwards a reserve fleet of 100 ships was created. The normal strength of the fleet during the war was over 300.
4. $\dagger \lambda \iota \kappa$ lav-concrete, like $\nu \epsilon b \tau \eta s$, and juventus. The abstract term represents the young men as a power in the state.
 is very common, and Gk. authors habitually avoid close reference to the scene of a disaster.
6. $8 \pi^{\prime}$ ékêva-alluding, like ékê, to Athens; both words were often so used by persons abroad.
§ 2 l. 11. " $\mathbf{R O T E}^{\text {к.t. } \boldsymbol{\lambda} \text {. - 'Therefore, in this one struggle }}$ having to defend both yourselves and them, stand firm now, if ever, and reflect each and all that you who are now to embark are also to the Athenians infantry and ships and all that remains of the state, and the great name of Athens. In defence of these, if any man excel in skill or courage, let him show them now ; he could not find a better chance to display them for his own benefit and for the safety of all.' In this epilogue the end proposed by the speaker ( $\tau \delta \tau$ 周os Arist. Rhet.
 having before been $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \kappa a \lambda 6 \nu$. These two topics ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ) are extremely common in military harangues.
 l. 10.
 commoner with ''s. Cf. $\pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu a l ~ e ̀ v ~ \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ Andoc. II. 24.
13. кa日' éróotovs $\mathbf{~ t e ~ k a l ~} \xi$.-the mass is to act as a whole, and yet each individual is to feel that the safety of the mass
rests with him. It is again brought out below in aúros $\tau \epsilon$

14. ú $\mu \hat{\omega}$. . . clol-with ' partitive' gen. 1st or 2nd person, the verb is generally in the 3 rd pers.
16. kal vp̂es-the statement oi $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma l ~ \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s \epsilon i \sigma l$ is not very sane. Apparently Thuc. has in mind that the ships which are to take part and which cannot be replaced will not manœuvre- $\dot{\eta} \nu a \gamma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a \pi \epsilon \zeta о \mu a \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{d} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu-$ so that the A. would be depending much less than usual on their ships and much more on their men. A ship when manned was to the Gks. a living thing. Here the ships will be manned, and yet will be inert. Before condemning the words right out we must notice the train of thought that has led Thuc. to write them. (The speech from c. 63, 3 to the end is not a good example of composition. See Appendix I.)
 $\Sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta$ ӧцоца.
 $\pi р о ф є \rho \iota=\pi \rho о є \chi \in \epsilon$, but used so only by Herod., Thuc., and poets.
§ 11. 4. тapfiv $\mu$ ह̀v к.т. $\lambda$.-' as they saw the arrangements 65 actually being made, could see that the A. intended to fight.'
7. ท̂ $\ell \pi\llcorner\beta 0 \lambda \eta$ - ' the device for flinging.'
 ws and without a verb, after appearing in Herod. and Thuc., first reappears in Aristotle.
10. $\downarrow \pi l \pi 0 \lambda \grave{i}$-as often, this replaces an accus. of the object.
 final clauses in Homer, Herod., only here in Thuc., and four times in Xen., and in Aesch. Ag. 364.
 been glorious, that it is a glorious future for which we are about to fight, most of you, we think, know : otherwise you would not have devoted yourselves with such enthusiasm to your task: But if any man has not so clear a perception of this as he should have, we will make it plain.' aư $\hat{\omega} \nu=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \kappa a l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \lambda \lambda 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
§ 21.7. 'A 1 ทvalous ydp к.т.入. -' the A., who came to this country intending first to enslave S. and then, if they succeeded, the Pel. as well and the rest of Greece-the A. who possess an empire at present the largest of all that belong or ever have belonged to Greeks, you, the first to withstand their navy that gave them all their power, have already defeated in several battles by sea and are probably going to defeat again now.' Observe the construction of this admirable sentence, and esp. the order.
 with $B$ ，since $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a l$ is the regular formula．

14．$\tau \hat{\Psi} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ vatuk $\hat{\varphi}$－for the dat．after $\dot{v} \phi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a l$ see L．and $S$ ． This is the only instance in Thuc．，since in II．61，4，which
 reading．
 that wherein they claim to excel，what remains of their self－ respect is more thoroughly weakened than if they had not thought to excel（sc．mpoúx $\epsilon \nu$ ）from the first，and while re－ ceiving a check from the unexpected outcome of their boast， they give way even more than their real strength necessitates． This we may suppose to be the case with the A．now．＇

18．aúto غavtô－a rhetorical device for exhibiting the change in the condition of a thing，which none the less retains its identity．


 e $\lambda \pi i \delta a$（ $=$ unexpectedly）taken alone，with Bloomfield，Arnold， and Stahl．See Appendix II．

21． $8 v \geqslant v$－＇the speaker shows that while they themselves might well anticipate victory，their enemies will look forward to nothing but defeat，and consequently will fail to exert the power which they have．＇Bloomfield．
§ 11．1．Һนติv $\delta^{\prime}$ к．т．$\lambda$. －＇with us the spirit which we had before，in which while still inexperienced we made a desperate venture，is now surer，and as we have added to it a conviction that we must be the strongest if we have defeated the strongest， every man＇s hope is doubled ；and as a rule，in any enterprise， the greater a man＇s hope the greater is his readiness．＇$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\delta}$ $\dot{u} \pi d \rho \chi o v$ is our natural spirit，$\dot{u} \pi d \rho \chi \omega$ being habitually used of a nation＇s qualities and mental characteristics．$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is emphatic．
 among prose authors．

4．тov̀ кparlotovs eival－here，as in c．36，5 and viII．87， the MSS．give $\tau 6$ ，but it is unlikely that Thuc．alone，and in these three places only，should have put the accus．to explain a gen．or dat．See Appendix II．кратiбтous ．．．кратiбтous is traductio．
§ 2 1．9．Tá тe Tๆ̂s к．т． $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．－＿＇The counterfeits of our method which they have devised are customary in our mode of fighting， and we shall be prepared for every one of them．But they will have a number of heavy infantry on deck contrary to custom， and a number of javelin men from Acarnania and elsewhere，
mere landsmen aboard ship for the most part, who will not even find out how to discharge their javelins in a sitting position. Must they not endanger the ships and be thrown into utter confusion when they do not move in their usual manner?'
14. то̀ ка0ectทкд̀-the 'established custom' of all navies.
15. Xepraiol, ess cireîy-most commentators since Bauer say that is cireiv 'so to speak' is added because xepaaios is used esp. of animals. This is very improbable : elsewhere in Thuc. ís elteî̀ qualifies an universal statement, as II. 51 ; III. $38,39,82$; vi. 30 ; viII. 5, 96 . There is no passage in which it is certainly used in any other sense ; nor is it clear that $\chi \in \rho \sigma a i 0 s$ necessarily suggests animals.
18. кaөetoptvous-prob. literal, and not merely 'cramped' as Göller thought.
 used in pass. sense.
§ 31.22. \& $\pi$ el kal к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.-'for the number of their ships will be no advantage to them, in case any of you are alarmed about your numerical inferiority in the coming battle. In a small space many will be slower in accomplishing their object, and completely exposed to injury from our devices.'
$\pi \lambda f \theta \epsilon \mathrm{c}$ —often used of superior numbers.
27. Es ro $\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \in \sigma$ Qa-the construction, as Classen says, is influenced by $\epsilon s$ rò $\delta \rho a \hat{v}$. Elsewhere $\dot{\rho} \dot{d} \delta \iota o s$ takes plain infin.
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi а \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.
 attracted. This is very unusual, and this is the only instance in Thuc.
 a plain fact from information which we think to be clear : it is because their distress is overpowering and because they are forced by their present misery that they are reduced to the desperate expedient of risking a battle as best they can, trusting more to fortune than to orderly preparation. Their purpose is either to force their way out by sea or to retreat by land after the battle; for they know that their plight could not possibly be worse than it is.'

- 30. $\beta$ ray̧̧evot ímo-see on c. 13 l. 13.
 $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ and $\tau \dot{\tau} \chi \eta$ are very often contrasted. Thuc. thinks of Nicias. But tapaбкєuो is not 'actual force' here, as Bloomfield and Arnold say, but it is $\tau \delta \pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in v d \sigma \theta a \mu$, the opposite of a $\tau \alpha \xi l a$ in c. $68,1$.
§ 11.1. Ipòs oivv áraflav к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.-'Therefore against such 68
disorderly and such bitter enemies whose fortune has already submitted, let us advance with eagerness, and let us think that men act lawfully towards an enemy, when purposing to retaliate upon the aggressor they determine to satisfy their heart's animosity, and also that we shall have the delight of repelling our foes, and that this is proverbially most pleasant.'
draflav . . . kal rúx $\eta v$-referring back to mapaokevins and тúx ${ }^{2}$ s.

4. vоццнผ́тatov eโvat . . . of $\alpha \nu$-this kind of combination is idiomatic and occurs throughout Attic. Cf. on c. 63, 3 l. 16. From the analogy of other constructions in Gk., and from a comparison of the instances, it is more likely that the idiom is based on a brachylogy than on a combination of two construc-


5. $\omega$ s $i \pi i \tau<\mu \omega p l q-t \pi i$ and a noun frequently take the place of a final clause.




 Hec. 299.

 with the partic. $\nu \quad \mu i \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu=\epsilon i \delta \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \nu$.

 accordance with custom and right, (2) \# $\delta \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ elval-is in accordance with the proverb that expresses that custom. (I am unable to accept the interpretation hitherto given of this passage. See crit. note.)
 love of revenge; but Thuc., like Euripides, had reason to know that there was something better. Cf. Eur. Bacchae 877
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ex $\chi \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат $\bar{\chi} \chi \epsilon \nu$; For the proverb cf. Juv. 13, 180 at vindicta bonum vita jucundius ipsa, where Mayor refers to Iliad xviII. 108.
 nay our bitterest enemies, you all know : for they invaded our land to make us slaves; and had they succeeded in that, they would have inflicted on our men the worst penalty (i.e. death), on our wives and children the worst indignities (i.e. slavery), on the whole city the most disgraceful reproach (i.e. depend. ence).'
 feel for them, nor think it gain that they should depart without danger to us. That is all they will do even if they win the battle; but the prize-to punish them when we have gained our desire, as we probably shall do, and to confirm for the whole of Sicily the liberty which she already enjoyed-is a glorious one. How few ventures there are in which the loss in case of failure is as small as the gain through success is great.'
6. тเva-cf. c. 61, 1.
dxtvסúvous-certainly not 'without doing us further harm' (Holden) ; which would involve a paradox that would scarcely be convincing to men who had suffered so much ; but ' without our having to run (a further) risk,' as is shown by dy'iv and, above all, by kıขסívcv below.
 $\pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, neut. plur. adj. are regularly used with $\pi<\epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \pi \rho \alpha \tau \tau \epsilon \nu \nu$.
a ßou $\delta \mu \mu e a-s c . ~ \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} a l$, not, as Krüger, the fate which we wish for them, but for ourselves. Victory is meant.
7. kal Tû $\pi$ dơn $\Sigma$-'Confirmed the charters that were yours before :- | No parleying now! In Britain is one breath.' Wordsworth, To the Men of Kent.
8. $\beta e \beta a i o t t p a v \pi a p a \delta o o v a l-t h e ~ l a n g u a g e ~ i s ~ t a k e n ~ f r o m ~$ the tenure of property. кapтovo $\theta a l$ is in contrast with the $\beta \in \beta a \iota \sigma \tau^{\ell} \rho a$ кт $\hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ which is to be bestowed ( $\pi a \rho a \delta o i ̂ \nu a l$ ) on them. The subject changes at rapaסoîva.
9. kalds $\delta$ dyตv-notice that $\delta$ ayáv is in apposition with
 way of taking the words, instead of making $\tau \delta$ ко入a $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu$ al кal rapaסoûvac subject and $\dot{\delta}$ áa'̆v part of the pred., as the edd. say.
kเvסúvov к.т. $\lambda$.-the speech ends with a $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$, or general truth, a form of close of which Thuc. is very fond. Cf. c. 77 end.
oraviotarol-again we have the accumulation of superlatives noticed at c. $42,31.34$.
 near neighbours.
§ 1 1.1. Kal of $\mu$ iv-the description of the last battle has 69 been much admired both in ancient and in modern times. Dionysius Hal. quotes much of it and praises it warmly ; it is also commended by Lucian, and by Gibbon, Gray, Macaulay.

 often omitted thus ; e.g. Plat. Crat. p. $387 \mathrm{c} \delta \nu 0 \mu d \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon s \gamma d \rho \pi o v$ $\lambda e ́ \gamma o v a l ~ t o u s ~ \lambda b y o u s . ~$
10. $\sigma \phi$ (otv-i.e. Nicias and his men.
 Classen takes it to mean 'by them,' i.e. roîs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i s$.

$\pi a r p \delta \theta e v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ k \pi o v o \mu a t \omega v-$ 'mentioning the father's name as
 т $\rho \iota \tau \tau$ ôs.
11. aürov̀s ${ }^{2} v o \mu a \sigma \tau-d o e s ~ n o t ~ a d d ~ a n y ~ f r e s h ~ i n f o r m a t i o n, ~$ but heightens the impressiveness of the description.
$\phi v \lambda i v$-men were officially addressed by the name of their deme; but here the tribe is chosen .because of its military character.
 reputation of their own not to be false to it.' $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ eautóv is taken as object of $\pi$ pooiofbval, and the schol. explains it as $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ olкelav dpetth ; but elsewhere in Thuc. т $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ' $a v \tau \delta \nu$ means either (1) in person, used adverbially, as in Demosth. 21, 140 т $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \theta^{\circ}$
 or (2) his own division; and it may well be used in the former sense here. But it should be connected with what follows, in
 being modified for the sake of the antithesis with rds $\pi$. aperds.
12. of $\pi \rho \sigma^{\prime}$ yovol-observe the sentiment in which the last appeal of Nicias is grounded. Thuc. knew well that the reverence felt by his countrymen for the past was excessive ; cf. 1.22 below. Pericles also certainly discouraged this excess and tried to direct the A. to the future. But Thuc. is right in making $N$. lay stress on the glory of the past here, and no doubt N . really did so. doetds is 'deeds of valour' as usual.
aфavifetv-contrasted with $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi a \nu \varepsilon$ ís.
 on the adj., and the statement is true of Athens itself; but she had unduly neglected the claims of her subjects and thas enabled Sparta to raise the cry of $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \in \rho l a$ by which a great part of Greece was deluded.
unтo $\mu \mu \nu \chi^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$-this word is often used when people are reminded of something dear to them and absent, for which they feel a longing ( $\pi o ́ \theta o s$ ).
 $\delta \iota a \iota \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \xi_{\xi} \in \sigma \tau \iota$.' Classen. 'It is noteworthy that this special feature of the great democracy should be the one picked out at such an hour as this as the thing which had gone further than anything to endear Athens to her children.' Freeman. Pericles in the Funeral Oration says ' In our daily intercourse we are as tolerant as in our public life . .. we are not angry with our neighbour for doing as he pleases.' II. 37, 2.
13. $\alpha \lambda \lambda a \tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon$ joins $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ to the preceding words.
14. Tov̂ kalpoû-cf. c. 2 l. 22.
oi tpos rè Sokeiv k.t. $\lambda$. - 'all that men would say, not guarding against seeming to talk commonplaces, and urging what does duty on all occasions ( $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{d} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ) to the same effect about wives and children and ancestral gods; still because they think it to be useful, they appeal to it.'
 since the influence of the relative is as usual lost in the second member of the sentence; and so Thuc. ceases to tell us directly what Nicias said, and instead tells us what men always say under such circumstances. And so $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ owhtau (see note below) is added at the end. (Hitherto there have been two explanations of this кal: (1) Göller, followed by most modern edd., takes kal as 'though,' so that the following words are in apposition to $8 \sigma a$; (2) kal='and,' joins $\pi \rho о \phi е \rho b \mu e v a$ to the
 virtually $=\mathrm{d} \rho \chi$ aîa, note in Jowett. Both explanations require that $\epsilon \pi<\beta 0 \omega \omega \nu a c$ should be considered grammatically dependent on $8 \sigma a$; but this is not likely, and kal ùmèp к.T. . comes in very awkwardly on either of the two suppositions.) The lit. rendering is 'and they would say things which are brought forward on all occasions.'
$\pi а р а \pi \lambda \dagger \sigma \kappa \alpha-$ pred. to $\pi \rho о ф \in \rho \sigma \mu \in \nu a$.
 alvitré $\theta a u$, $\pi$ оєєiv (' to compose ').
15. 0coùs marpq́ovs - esp. Apollo and Zeus; prob. also Athena.
16. $\mathbf{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ - the influence of $\delta \sigma \alpha$ being entirely lost, $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$. . .
 . . ., kal (eltrotev à ) . . . трофєрb $\mu \in \nu a$.


 is not to be found in Attic prose outside Thuc.
§ 8 1. 1. dvaykaia-what would just suffice.
17. тeţov-see c. $60,2$.
 that had been closed,' with chains. When the jeôrua had been formed by the Syr., they must have left a gap in the centre to admit their own ships. Doubtless this gap could be closed.with chains, as in the case of $\lambda_{\iota} \mu$ eves $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o l$. See c. 70 1. 15. (None of the MSS. readings yield a satisfactory sense ; $\pi a p a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \phi \theta \in \nu \tau a$ is strongly supported, but there is no sign in the narrative that there was a gap left open. In any case Thuc. has here, as elsewhere, neglected to tell us something which he should have told us.)

81 1. 1. трожауаубнаvo-- putting off before them.'
3. $\mathrm{kal}=$ atque .
7. ai vfles-sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ ' $A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega v$.
8. rois Xupakoolols--does the dat. with dpXelv answer the question 'where,' or 'for whom'? Cf. 1I. 2, 1 חuvodúpou đөхоутos 'A $\theta \eta \nu a l o s s$.
 exclusive.
 and case is not very uncommon in the best prose.
16. $\sigma$ фhot-should be aúrois, as ol 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o l$ is not the subj. of the principal verb (eq(ivero).
 fight of the whole war, became general, not in the shape of two great fleets meeting each other in ordered array, but in that of a crowd of separate battles going on everywhere at once, over the whole surface of the Great Harbour.' Freeman.

$\mu \hat{i}$ 入el $\pi$ eotal-' that these on deck should not fall behind the rest in skill,' the combatants being contrasted with the
 дј́дクs c. 63 1. 30.
28. rt - 'in fact,' summing up the preceding details. 'Chacun enfin, à sa poste, bralait de paraitre le premier.' Girard.
 note on c. 34 l. 25 accords with Poppo. But the explanation of Arnold fits the passage better : $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta 0 \lambda \eta$, Arm. says, is the attack made by a ship with her beak, in the regular manner. I $\rho o \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \grave{\eta}$ is more general. Here it denotes accidental collisions. But elsewhere it means a regular charge.

36. $\alpha \lambda_{n}$-sc. $\nu \eta!$.
§ 6 l. 37. трогфf́porto - opt. of indef. frequency again.
41. imrßalvat - here with simple dat. ; usually with eni and accus.; or, meaning 'to set foot in,' with gen. Cf. the use of $\epsilon \pi \pi^{\text {with either gen. or dat. in a local sense. }}$
§ 61.42 . ૬uveríyxavé Te-'and so it happened . . . that.'
43. rid $\mu \geqslant v$ didocs k.т. $\lambda$. - 'While on the one side they struck others, on the other they were themselves struck.'
46. тоís киßериfтals к.т. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. - the pilots found themselves forced to guard against one party and make plans against another, and not singly, but at many points on every side,' i.e. having to deal with several enemies at the same time.
 and in the excitement of the moment.'
 in c. 42, 2. Badham and Herwerden bracket it on the ground that Thuc. would have written érißow$\mu e v o l$. But it is quite possible to consider the кe入evoral as a separate body from the $\epsilon \in \tau \beta d r a \iota$ and other combatants, who are indicated by roîs 'A A patiots.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\tau} \uparrow \mathrm{f}$ - ' in defence of,' $\pi \in \rho l$ standing in the sense of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$, as often in Demosth.
57. © тотє кal aidus-' if ever again.'
58. dvтiAaßfotar-absolute, as in II. 8, 1.
61. Araufiorat - means to add to the importance of a person or thing.

88 1. 62. $\mu$ ì кат' áváyкךv-'unnecessarily.' Cf. ov $\delta \imath^{\prime}$ $\delta \lambda$ you below. How is the difference of neg. accounted for ?
 ing the contrast.
 the behaviour of the troops on shore exhibits a curious approximation to the romantic spirit, but it wants the pathos and the freedom of romanticism ; and, fine as it is, the choice and the presentment of the details serve to show how entirely foreign to Thucydides' genius the romantic spirit was. This 'living picture' is finely imitated by Gibbon in his account of the siege of Constantinople by Mahomet II. in 1453.


4. $\xi$ forraotv-synonym of $\alpha$ dúry.
$\phi\langle\lambda o v<\kappa \omega ̂ v-$ - les Siciliens désiraient obtenir une gloire plus grande, et les Athéniens redoutaient un sort plus triste encore que leur condition présente.' Girard.
821.7. dvakeupivav . . . 's Tds vaûs - 'as everything depended on the fleet.' Cf. Eur. Hec. 802 os $\epsilon l s \sigma^{\prime}$ àe $\lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ cl дьафөарクбєєан к.т. $\lambda$.
9. oribevl toukes-cf. on c. 29 1. 39.

8ud rò <dyळuadov>-'as the fortune of the battle varied, so they received of necessity varying impressions.' (Valla supposed that there is a reference in this passage to 'the unevenness of the ground'; bat this is not likely either from what follows or from the condition of the coast. Being close to the water, they could not get a view of the whole.)
$\S 3$ 1. 11. $\delta t$ ' $\delta \lambda$ (you-local ; of. c. 36, 5.
14. durdápovoav $d v$-this is the only example in Thuc. of the iterative $a \nu$ with indic. aor. or imperf. (On this construction see Mr. Seaton in Classical Review III. p. 343 fol.)



 $\delta \psi e \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ，the order being the same in c． 20,$1 ; 24,2 ; 31,5$ ； 32，1．But，when the art．is inserted with both nouns，this order is very rare in Attic prose outside Thuc．It occurs often in Herod．

21．Tो dxplims $\xi u v e x d s$－＇the continued uncertainty．
 with which nouns can be qualified by adverbs．

22．Koa Tn̂ 86 战－＇according to their feelings．＇
23．\＆v тоís $\chi$ а入є $\pi \omega$ тaтa－cf．c．19， 4.
$\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ d入（yov－cf．c．2， 4 l．31，and the idiom rapd $\mu \kappa \rho b \nu$ $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ oúdè $\overline{\text { e }}$ 暗iv with infin．





 $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\mu} 0 \hat{0}$ ；

27． $3 \lambda о ф$ мрибя－apposition to $\pi$ diváa．The accus．might have been used as object of dxoízau．
 The cries would be $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$, крatov $\mu c \theta a$ ．

28．$\alpha \lambda \lambda a$－instead of $\tau a \lambda \lambda a$ ．So alia is common in Livy in place of cetera．The asyndeton heightens the effect．
$8 \sigma^{\prime} d \nu$ ．．．dvayкd́\}otro- $\delta \sigma^{\prime} a \nu$ for $\delta \sigma \alpha$ of MSS．is necessary because the clause does not apply to the A．only，but contains


§ 5 1．31．aúrois－i．e．toîs＇A 1 nualos．

 and II． $7,1 \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \omega \hat{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu:$ viII． 66,$3 ; 75,2$.
§ 6 1．37．Hertoopot－used similarly in II．91， 3 referring to the middle of the Corinthian Gulf．
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi e \rho \in \sigma \theta a u$ c．70，5．The corresponding sentence in Diodorus




39．odxtrt 8 feeling meutioned above．

40．$\delta \rho \mu \hat{\eta}_{\beta}-$ of passionate impulse，as in III．36， 2.
 See Rutherford, Syntax p. 66.
41. Svara $x$ eroovers-Pollux ini. 130 says that this word was first used by Thuc.; it is fairly frequent in late authors.




§71. 47. $\xi \nu \mu ф о р \omega ิ-$ preferable to $\xi \nu \mu \pi a \sigma \omega \hat{\nu}$, which is colourless and adds nothing to oú $\delta \in \mu \mathrm{c} a \mathrm{~s}$. 'The consternation was the greatest of their misfortunes.'
49. кal-after $\pi a p a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ a as in c. 70, 1.
 here of that which is in danger of happening. Cf. rifvomal, $\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu$. It here corresponds with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \pi \kappa \sigma \pi o \nu$ 加 $\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a L$.
dv-the perf. partic. of a verb of motion implies rest in, and so $t \nu$ can be used.

This neglect is very significant because (1) it was considered a most solemn duty to bury the dead; (2) Nicias was esp. punctilious in this matter ; (3) the same neglect after Arginusae led to the execution of some of the arparjrol.

15. : $\tau$--' yet again.'
vfies xpforual-is regarded as one word. Hence the order.
$\$ 4$ 1. 23. $\mathrm{d} \nu$ ถैт-with $\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a$.
§ 11. 2. ímovofjas-írovoeiv, like úroпréécl, sometimes $=73$ ' to view with suspicion,' sometimes 'to conjecture.'
 occurs only in Herod. and Thuc.
8. $\lambda$ fyou таט̂тa à каl aütథ̂ eSókel-probably this means 'saying what he personally thought they ought to do.' This

 brings our clause into connexion. (The recent edd. who retain these words offer several suggestions; but all except Classen give alternatives and say that the meaning cannot be determined. Classen says 'saying that which seemed to him from his own conjecture probable,' thus agreeing with Haacke, Göller, and Arnold. But Bloomfield rightly says that the Syr. knew that the A. would retreat even before the battle-c. 67. Stahl and Herwerden reject the words. I think that the edd. have all mistaken the meaning of $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon$, , which refers not to the knowledge of Hermocrates, but to his advice. Valla translates 'Commemorans haec et alia quae ipsi videbantur,' which, whatever he read, is certainly not what Thuc. meant.)

11．גтоикобоцлббаに－sc．$\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．
12．Tdे $\sigma$ tevotopa－those among the hills west of Syr．
$\pi \rho \circ \phi 0$ davaras－Classen，Böhme，and Widmann prefer $\delta<a \lambda a \beta 6 \nu \tau \alpha s$ of B ，and it is perhaps right．That $\delta<a \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ does not occur elsewhere in Thuc．does not count，as this part of the narrative contains several rare words and dy $\boldsymbol{a}^{2} \nu / \sigma \mu b s$ ， $\dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \tau \epsilon \bar{\chi} \nu \eta \sigma \iota s, \delta v \sigma a \nu a \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \omega$, and $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \eta \mu \sigma \mu \mu$ ，which are not found again in Thuc．$\pi \rho 0 \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ is explained by the schol．
 wrote $\pi \rho o \delta \iota \alpha \lambda a \beta \delta \nu \tau a s$.
§ 2 1．13．छuveylfucookov－the prep．here has an adverbial force．

16．d́ $\sigma \mu \hat{\text { ivovs ．．．àvameтavuivovs－so Sallust Jug．53，} 5}$ lacti quierant，Postgate＇s certain correction of laetique erant．

17．d́vareтravukvous ．．．\＆optifs ovorns－cf．c．51， 1.
18．＇Hpakici ．．．Ouola－the Syr．set great store by the fact that the battle fell on a day sacred to Heracles，whose temple was on the hill close to the point at which the A．double wall touched it．Plut．Nic． 25 says that their mavres had reported that Heracles required that they should not begin the action．（For the speculations of Timaeus in after times see Plut．Nic．1．）

19．ou $\mathcal{C o k e} \uparrow$－the verb of＇saying＇has to be supplied from $\xi \nu \nu e \gamma l \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa o \nu$ by an idiom common in Gk．Oratio Obliqua．av with $\theta \theta \in \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ ．

21．тpds $\pi \delta \sigma \iota v$ тetpád日ar－the metaphorical meaning of т $\rho \in \pi e \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho d s$ is much commoner than the literal．

23．$\sigma \phi \omega ิ v-t h e ~ g e n . ~ w i t h ~ \pi e l \theta o \mu a l ~ i s ~ f r e q u e n t ~ i n ~ H e r o d . ~$ but is not found anywhere else in Attic prose．$\pi \in l \theta \in \sigma \theta a c$ is here synonymous with $\dot{\pi} \pi a \kappa o \hat{\sigma} a c$ of 1.20 ；and the gen．is also helped by $\pi d \nu \tau a$ ．

24．$\& \in \in \lambda \in i v$－depends on $\pi \in l \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，which takes sometimes infin．，sometimes $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ with infin．
§ 3 l．26．inl тoútous－＇in consequence．＇
27．кa日＇गे $\sigma$ Xlav－see on c． $38,3$.
29．тf $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota$－asyndeton after a demonstrative is fairly common．

 and often with relative adverbs．

37．8udyydol－one would have thought that it was high time for even Nicias to be somewhat sceptical．Moreover he had played a similar trick on the Syr．early in 414 ．It is strange too that any man who had appeared in the $\delta$ cкactipla should not have understood the art of lying．But their suffer－ ings prob．unnerved the A ．for the time．

## Tติv हैv6otav-neut.

8 11.1. «pds to Gyren 1 a-how near this use of $\pi \rho \delta{ }^{2}$ is to סid with accus. may be seen from c. 60, $2 \pi \rho d s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu$


 of 1.137.
3. kal $\omega_{s}-$ i.e. even after waiting that night.


7. áva入aßóvтes-as though ס̇evone $\eta \sigma a \nu$ had preceded.
aúrd̀ 8oa-'only so much as.'
§ 21.11 . elkos 访 . . . Uvan-'it was natural for the A. to go,' leval being present, as is usually the case with the paradigm of $\varepsilon i \mu c$ except in Oratio Obligua.
13. varoox $\bar{\nu}$-of a hostile reception, as accipio in Cic. ad At. I. 18 hunc ego accepi in senatu ut soleo 'I gave him a warm reception.'

19. ©s ékáotivv-see on c. 65, 2.

тоь вктептшкviav - 'had been driven ashore anywhere.'
20. $k x \delta \mu$ thov-the A. dead, whom Nicias had left as they fell, were afterwards buried by the Syr. on Plommyrium, where their graves and bones have been recently found. (See Freeman Hist. Sic. III. p. 365.)
§ 1 1. 2. тареткeváбаи_-impersonal.
кal ग̀ d́vd́otarıs-elsewhere this kal always follows ís $u t$, not $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$ postquam.
 all edd. admit to be exceedingly obscure, means lit. : 'not only in respect of one point in their condition was it terrible that they were departing after losing all their ships and, in contrast with their high hopes, having to face danger, both to themselves and their city, but also at the same time, as they left the camp, every man encountered things that were painful both to look upon and to think of.' (The meaning of $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \quad z_{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ is not certain, and the words might safely be 'skipped.' Classen thinks that they may be, and Stahl is certain that they are corrupt. Sitzler reads èkeìvo for $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \varepsilon_{\nu} \nu$. Only Böhme-Widmann are entirely satisfied, taking
 with Stahl is impossible-and understanding, with Haase, in unum contracta rerum gestarum summa.)
ka0' iv-means generally (1) in detail, singly ; but sometimes (2) in one respect, for one reason, as in II. 89, 7. Thuc. is contrasting $\epsilon \nu$ with $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda d$, and means 'the fact that they
were leaving with loss and danger was terrible not on one account only，but for many reasons，＇and the many reasons are expanded into the clause $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ kal，etc．，which again is de－ veloped in $\S 83,4$ ，through which the general idea $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu \quad \eta_{\nu}$ ov
 lost sight of．$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma(\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota=$ in unum cogi．

6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\sigma}^{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$－expresses the sphere of circumstances in which there were many points of horror，and the circum－ stances are those of the $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \eta \sigma \cdot s$ ．
 as subject of $\delta \epsilon c \nu \partial \nu \eta \nu$ ，but the notes will show that they are prob．right．
 might perhaps have omitted $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，as he does with $\pi 6 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ 5, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ ．
§ 3 1．13．verpâv－see on c． 74 1． 20.
14．$\mu$ erd $\phi \delta$ bou－partly because they had neglected a duty so sacred as burial，partly because the dead if unburied were likely to haunt the living，partly because they had not gone through the rites of self－puritication．

15．oi ．．．ката入єито́меvol－＇those who were being left behind alive．＇Cf．of $\lambda \epsilon เ \pi \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu o l=$＇the survivors．＇

17．roîs 乡ิбぃ－observe that we require here an antithesis to
 But Thuc．may have been guilty of a false antithesis here，as his admirer Lucretius very often is．There is a similar error in Cic．de legibus II． 44 quod constat et ex vexandis vivorum animis et ea fama mortuoruin，ut eorum exitium et judicio vivorum et gatudio comprobetur－unless for the second vivorum we should read bonorum，as proposed by P．Thomas．
 peace of honourable death and the misery of disgraced life became a stock theme of the schools．
§ 4 1．19．кaӨiotarav－sc．aüroús．
23．8fov－quantum．This seems more expressive than the Vatican e＇s örov，quousque，which is generally adopted．

т甲－the dat．with $\pi \rho \rho \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ is unusual．
24． $\boldsymbol{\rho}{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta$－＇spirit．＇It suggests high nervous tension－with which Athenians were rarely troubled－as in II．43； 6.
oúk dvev $\boldsymbol{\partial} \lambda$（үшу－Valla wrongly renders non sine multis obtestationibus，and all the edd．have mistaken the meaning． The wounded and weak did not stop until they were so utterly exhausted both in body and mind that they could utter only a few appeals and groans．So far from there being no sense in $\delta \lambda / \gamma \omega \nu$ ，there is a terrible amount of sense in it，both as a description of the scene and as an indication of the tempera－ ment of Thuc．as a man and as an artist．
25. ère $\theta$ car $\mu \omega ิ \nu$-Cobet has shown that this is the true orthography, not $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \iota a \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$. Hesych. $̇ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \cdot \theta \in o u ̀ s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa а \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \tau \alpha$.
amo $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \psi \epsilon \iota \tau 0 \hat{0} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \delta v, 1.10$. Cf. on 1. 27. The preference which most edd. show for the Vat. $\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \delta \mu \in \nu 00$ is inexplicable.
 1. 12, and thus carries us back to $\delta \epsilon c \nu \partial \nu j \nu$.
26. Sákpurı - the dat. with $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu$, instead of gen., is poetical.
dтopla-causal dat.

 notice that the whole passage from $\S 2$ to $\S 4$, though it contains statements of fact, happens to be arranged in the form of a rhetorical enthymeme, and is therefore very characteristic of Thuc.
 к $\lambda a l \epsilon \nu$. 'In gravissimo maerore ne lacrimis quidem locus.' Haacke.
29. tûv èv d́davei-in such passages we have the best indication of the historian's own religious views as purely negative. In this matter he was in accord with Protagoras, and, as in other matters, with Euripides, at least until the poet's recantation in his old age. Cf. on c. 86, 5.
 fait baisser les yeux.' Didot. Cf. Eur. Med. 956 ri d̀̀ катŋ-
 of the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \omega \dot{d}$ in the form of an enthymeme, Thuc. now goes


 -viz., the loss and the change which the loss involved to all -are dealt with in $\S \S 5,6,7$, so as to exhibit their effect on the appearance of the army and on the minds of the men, and they are considered together from two points of view, the катท̀фєta which they involved and besides (каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, § 6) the ioomosia which they involved. Thus the whole chap. from § 2 forms a chiasmus, as regards the matter, and it is a perfect example of composition. Macaulay said of 'the Retreat' that there was no prose composition in the world that he placed so high.
33. íтофevyourn-the comparison has lost its force for us; but the phenomenon referred to was not a rare one in those times.

has been generally accepted，but Widmann rightly says that the change is unnecessary．It is not easy to say how else hoplites could have carried food but in the left hand，and so of necessity beneath the shield．

40．ако入orөuv－i．e．$\theta \in \rho a \pi b y \tau \omega v$.

861 ．44．kal $\mu \grave{\nu}$－introducing a new point in the descrip－ tion．Thuc．uses kal $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu$ only in speeches and the more highly wrought parts of the narrative．
$\eta_{1}<\tau^{\prime}>\mathbf{d \lambda} \lambda \eta$ aikia $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．－lit．＇the degradation generally and especially the universality of the suffering，though it had some alleviation in the fact that it was shared hy many，was never－ theless at that moment thought intolerable．＇in loouopia is the special part of the alkia singled out for comment ：in this case the universality of the suffering is designated alkia because hoplites and knights were suffering the same hardship as the meanest slave．（Junghahn has rightly explained this passage ； but the addition of $\tau^{\prime}$ is necessary in order to get the sense required．）

46．то $\mu \mathrm{erd}$ то入入बิข－Cic．ad At．xi．6， 1 meus dolor non modo non minuitur，cum socium sibi adjungit dolorem tuum， sed etiam augetur．＇General evils，＇Machiavelli says，＇are endured more easily than private ones．＇

47．and olas－an exclamation，the donble otos being used as so often in tragedy to express，a marked contrast．Trans． ＇especially considering that they＇etc．

49．${ }^{\text {d }}$ lкaro－the plar．suits ad̃ $\chi \eta \mu \alpha$ much better than the sing．дфікто，even if it could be used impersonally．


dvel $\mu \grave{\nu}$ rô ．．．Hкetv－Herod．has this idiom twice with－ out the article．

52．Sovicoropivovs－for the change from dat．to accus．cf． c． 40,$4 ; 57,0$ ．
roฟ̂ro—object of $\pi \dot{d} \theta \omega \sigma$ ．
56．vavßaiติ－found in Herod．，Thuc．，and tragedy only．
 a fleet，but to hoplites．＇This was a bad omen for the queen of the sea．

1．3．©s \＆k tôv vi．—＇as well as he could，＇with é $\theta$ dofuve．
4．$\beta$ op re X．к．т． ．－＇raising his voice louder and louder still as he went from one line to another．＇So the note in Jowett，taking ETc $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ with éedoroos，which is much better than understanding a reference back to the previous speech c． 69,2 ，as there is not much point in saying that he spoke even louder than he had spoken two days before．

5．ylyvouro－why optative？
7．$\gamma \in \gamma \omega \nu l \sigma \kappa \omega \nu-a$ poetical word for $\gamma \in \gamma \omega \nu a$ ．
 hope on（men have been saved from terrors even greater than these），and you must not reproach yourselves either for your disasters or for your present undeserved sufferings．＇The speech is based upon the same topics that have been handled in c．75，§§ 5，6，7，viz．，the катйфєıa，катd $\mu є \mu \psi \iota s$ ，and lбомоюpla．

5．$\xi v \mu$ оорais－of the battles that had been lost．
 weak as the weakest of you（yes，you see how I am suffering）， whose success both in private and in public life is，I think， considered equal to any man＇s，am now in the same danger and suspense as the humblest of you．Yet have I rendered with exactness my duty to the gods，and just and inoffensive dealing to men．＇In this rendering the antithesis，to our taste excessive， is modified．Notice the close correspondence of of $\tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ ，etc． and oót＇єu̇tuxla，etc．

8．$\pi \rho \circ \phi$ рри－see on c．64， 2.
10．cưvula－Intr．p．xxxvii．A great deal is to be learned about this word and its relation to evioucuovia in the tragedians， esp．in Euripides．Cf．Arist．Eth．I． 9 то入入ai $\mu \in \tau a \beta o \lambda a l$

 Nicias here propounds his doctrine that the gods repay the good and the bad with good and ill fortune in this life．His belief was the same as that of Herodotus．
§ 3 1．17．$\alpha v \theta^{\prime}$ ఉv к．т．$\lambda$－－＇therefore I am yet strong in hope for the future，and our misfortunes lose some of their terror．Perhaps they may even cease．For the enemy have had enough success，aud if any of the gods was offended at our enterprise，we have now received sufficient punishment．＇
 $\kappa a \tau$＇$\dot{j} \xi(a \nu$ Antiphon tetr．Г．$\delta 6$ ，lit．：＇not in accordance with their assumed importance．＇（There are several ways of taking these words．Classen says＇terrify you more than they ought to do．＇）

19．$\lambda \omega \phi$ 低clav－of the cessation of pain or trouble，as in II．

ikavd ydp－contrast with this Eur．Sup． 226 kowds $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ o


 attacked their neighbours before now，and after doing what men will do have endured what men can bear．So now it is reasonable for us to hope that the gods will relent towards us
(for we deserve their pity now rather than their envy), and ds you, seeing what fine troops you are and how great the numbers that march in your ranks, be not excessively alarmed,' etc.

 какá.
24. T\& tє ámo tov̂ $\theta_{c}$ lov-the whole of this passage is very characteristic of Nicias. Cf. Herod. III. 40 ' $\mu \mathrm{\mu ol} \delta \dot{\delta}$ ai aal
 $\phi \theta 0 \nu \in \rho b \nu$.
 for his children, and hence transferred to the gods. Cf. the meanings of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma к о \pi \epsilon i \nu$.
26. kal ópôvтes-the transition from $\tau$ à ànd $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \in \mathfrak{l o v}$ is purposely made abrupt, so as to exhibit the close connexion between the gods and men.
28. катarier $\lambda \eta X^{\theta \epsilon}$ - strictly this should have been кага$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota$ after $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
31. 8fjauro- ' resist.'
 your safety and discipline on the road; let every man think that the ground on which he is forced to fight will be his country and fortress if he wins it.'

42. elp $\ddagger \mu \hat{\text { évov-accus. abs. }}$
§ 7 l. 45. dvaykaîóv $\tau \in B v$-arguments drawn from $\tau \boldsymbol{d} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma-$ каîov are common in Greek rhetoric.
49. ol $\tau \in d \lambda \lambda o t-s t i l l ~ d e p e n d s ~ o n ~ \gamma \nu \omega \tau \epsilon . ~$



§ 1 1. 1. ä $\mu a$-with таракє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \nu о$.
4. oùठ̇̀v j̄o
§21.6. To $\delta t-$-sc. $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$. Apparently each of the two divisions formed its own square.
 the last battle had been to reach Catana. Holm and Lupus think that they had given up all hope of reaching it. The alternative to Catana was some friendly town, west or south. Freeman and Grote think that, as long as they were trying to reach the Acraean rock (see c. 80), they still hoped to get to Catana, though of course by an indirect route. The Acraean rock is due west of Syr.
11. Tn̂ $\delta \iota a \beta$ ácet-i.e. where the regular route crossed the river.
 They were now following the modern road.
§ 4 1. 22. кatধß $\eta$ бav - although the $d \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$, level ground about Floridia, is higher than the road, yet Freeman thinks that this word is consistent with the state of the ground immediately south of Floridia. Holm however thinks that either Thuc. has made a slip or the text is wrong.
§ 5 l. 32. 'Axpaiov 入éras - a height near Floridia, with broken rocks covercd with straggling verdure. The position was very favourable to the Syr.
§ 6 l. 34. aúroùs-for the order cf. III. 61, 1 lva $\mu$ भोтє $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \ell \rho a$ aúroùs какla $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \hat{\eta}$.
40. ̊́ $\mu \mathrm{ol} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{s}}$-' as before.
41. $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \times \omega \rho \in i v-i . e$. from the main body, for the purpose of foraging.
§ 1 l. 1. ¿ßúaqavto тpds-' forced their way to.'
 $\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \omega \nu \tau a \xi a \mu \in \nu 0<~ \tau d s ~ \nu a v i s$. The gen. is commoner than the accus., which is also used in these military phrases; Xen.



 éoriv.

 and their camp of the night before.' Freeman.
 place of the two previous nights.
25. $\pi$ poux ${ }^{\circ} \rho$ povv-in what direction was this advance? 'the generals now gave up the thought of forcing their way to that particular [Acraean] cliff by that particular pass [above Floridia]. Their object seems now to have been to find some other road, some other pass, in the same neighbourhood, which might lead them to the high ground [to the west], and which the Syr. might not have occupied.' Freeman. (The progress of the fifth day should not be marked in the plans by a line running straight to the south, but should bend away to the west with the bend in the brook that forms the southern branch of the Cyane.)
26. таvтax 1 . . . кíк $\lambda \Psi$ —to be taken close together.
§ 61.35 . averavovio-a little south of the canip of the previous night.
 the two reasons given for $\kappa a \kappa \hat{\omega} s \in \chi \chi$, the principal clause replacing a subordinate.
8. toivavilov-i.e. no longer westwards, with no further attempt to reach the high ground.
 the whole of the march ever since they left Lysimeleia． Freeman however refers it to what immediately precedes about $\pi \rho \partial s$ rì $\quad \theta d \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ ．He thinks that it was the road leading eventually to Catana that the Syr．had specially blocked．But neither view is satisfactory．It is clear that
 $\delta \iota e v o \eta \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，（2）implies nothing of necessity as to their previous parpose，being a geographical note about $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \delta s \tau_{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{d} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ dobs．Thuc．does not say that the A．no longer hoped to reach Catana，but that this road did not lead ultimately in that direction．The intention of the $A$ ．was throughout the same－
 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \in \sigma \theta a \iota c .60,2$ ，whether circumstances offered Catana or any other place．（It is futile to discuss whether they intended ultimately to reach Catana or not．Probably the question was never discussed by them．）
§ 3 1．17．ф＇ß $\delta \in \epsilon \mu a$＇panic，＇$\delta \in \neq s ~ ' a p p r e h e n s i o n, ' ~ \phi b \beta o s ~ ' f e a r . ' ~$

18．［amb］－a dittography of the syllable that follows．If this were genuine，we should have aंжьouvtv．Moreover＇si quis
 nunc ab eo segregari cogitatur．＇Sobolewski．

19．Lovortv－with aúroîs．
 means notwithstanding the trouble caused by the disorder of Demosthenes＇division．

трòs Tìv 0 ．－＇near to the coast．＇
 its dependency Helorus，which had been seized from the Sicels in order to prevent them from reaching the S．E．corner of Sicily．N．reached the road at some point north of the Cacyparis．

27． $8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~m}$－presumably this arrangement had been made with Demosthenes．

28．Kakvтáper－the Cassibile．
29．divo－＇inland．＇They still hoped to reach the hill country of the Sicels．

H $\lambda_{\text {rigov－Holm says that this sentence refers to } c .77,6}$ $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau a l$ is aúvoús etc．，and that this proves that the A． had not intended to get to Catana，since they had ordered the Sicels to meet them on the Cacyparis．But if they had pursued their original route over the Acraean rock，they might have come out somewhere near the source of the Cacyparis，but quite fourteen miles from where they now crossed the river． If they meant to follow the river up to some point which they
had been trying to reach from the first, still nothing is proved with regard to their ultimate intentions. Freeman thinks that Nicias had sent fresh messages to the Sicels when he changed his route. But it is quite likely that he assumed that the Sicels would march down the stream when they failed to find him higher up.
$\$ 6$ l. 36. 'Eptreov-it is not certain what stream is meant ; but it is generally thought to be the Cavallata.
37. \&xèevov-sc. $\chi \omega$ рeiv-this was done in the hope that they might find some way into the interior that was unoccupied by the enemy.
§ 11 . 2. गो thekpa-i.e. the day referred to above.
3. Lv airlq . . . alxov=jiticuro.
§ 21. 9. borfpols ofor-it is not known whether Demosth. crossed the Cacyparis or not.
10. tóte-referring to c. 80, 4.

 below, $\tau \epsilon$. . . $\delta \epsilon$ replacing $\mu \notin \nu$. . . $\delta \epsilon$, as often in tragrdy.
 re rdo meaning namque, there is no certainty that it occurs in good Attic. Several passages cited by Shilleto on Demosth. F. L. 176 are corrupt, and the rest are prob. instances of anacoluthon. Generally where $\tau \epsilon$ is not answered by kal there is a parenthesis, which interrupts the construction, as in Plat. Gorg. p. 524 в where $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \hat{\omega} \mu \mu$ is eventually answered by

 any kind.
17. exobvtas elval-eival is infin. of limitation, and in this phrase is almost confined to neg. sentences.
 Dobree's correction, by which the sense is much improved, should be accepted.
841.20 . Td $\pi \lambda$ don-plerumque.
 which is useful.
28. dvelin0tives-synonym of $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho a \phi t \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

Is tt Xeplov-Plutarch says this was the estate that had belonged to Polyzelus, probably the brother of Gelon, Hiero, and Thrasybulus, the tyrants.
29. twer kal twev-'on both sides.' (Leake, Grote, and Freeman wrongly translate as though it were avcikpus.)
30. Teplotabóv-found, except here, only in Herod. and poets.
§ 5 l. 31. छvara8dv $\mu a x a l s-a d v e r b$ qualifying verbal noun, as cc. $34,6,1.34 ; 71,3$.

36．$\epsilon \pi^{\prime}-11 t$ in．The $\mu \grave{\eta}$ is inserted because hindrance is implied．
§11．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} v \nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \omega \nu \nu$－who might be expected to be willing to desert．Cf．c．57， 4.


10．ov modial－we cannot be sure that it was loyalty to Athens that prevented more from going over，though Grote seems to assume it．
 $\dot{\dot{o}} \mu \mathrm{o}$ 人oylav $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ．This use of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ is very common．
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\text { un }} \pi \tau i a \nu \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta a$ ．

18．тoútous－Philistus of Syracuse，a contemporary historian， related that Demosth．attempted to commit suicide，but was prevented by the enemy．The fact is reported by Plutarch and Pausanias．
 of the augment whenever there are iwo ways of augmenting a
 not $\eta_{\nu}{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\tau \tau о}{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ ；see Rutherford New Phryn．p． 81 ；and for the Attic uses of $\kappa \alpha \theta l \zeta \omega \mathrm{ib} . \mathrm{p} .336$.

$\pi \ell \mu \psi a-\sigma \pi \in \nu \delta o \mu a c$ can also take fut．infin．
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

17．кal тoúrous－as they had done before to Demosth．
 ing for the time when it was still night．＇

20．ava入apßávoval re．．．kal－parataxis，as often with $\tau \epsilon$ ．．．кal，кal ．．．кal，$\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ ．．．$\delta \dot{\epsilon}$.
§ 5 1．25．${ }^{2}$ X $\omega$ pouv－they were afterwards caught ；c．85， 2.
$\S 11$ ．1． $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{y}$－still along the Helorine road，hoping to find an unguarded way to the right．
§21．6．＇A $\sigma$（vapov－the name only occurs in the accounts． of the retreat．It is identified as the Falconara．
 cavalry and the light－armed，they thought that they would be somewhat better off if they crossed the river，and also owing to their suffering and thirst．＇One cause of $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon l$ yovto is ol $\sigma \mu \in \nu 0$, ，
 $\sigma^{\prime} \chi \lambda o v$ ，is subordinate to olb $\mu \in \nu 0$ ．So Bauer，Kriiger，Classen， Fr．Müller．Poppo made $\beta \iota a \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o c ~ d e p e n d ~ o n ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon(\gamma o \nu \tau o$, and oiópevol as well ；but in all other cases in which two participles
stand thus in the same relation to the verb, Thuc, either joins them by кal or places the verb between them. Stahl places olb $\mu \in \nu=c$. . . $\pi о \tau а \mu b \nu$ after $\tau a \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho i a s$, and this greatly improves the sense, since it is hard to see the bearing of
 they had crossed the river? Perhaps the A. thought they would then turn off to the right and shake off the enemy to some extent. (In any case the sentence is not a good one.)
 $\sigma \phi \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i$ with dat. ; but the construction is poetical ant Ionic.
20. $\sigma$ кย์ยбเข-'accoutrements.'
 where else in Attic.
§ 4 l. 21. ís $\tau$ d̀ $k \pi l$ $\theta$ átєpa-regarded as one word.
23. In-the subject to be supplied from the context.
25. koil $\lambda_{4}$-with a deep bed; a meaning that occurs several times in Plutarch, e.g. Lucul. c. 24.
 steep, and the water, as usual in Sicily, does not fill the whole of its bed, which is wide. The Pel. went down the bank into the bed and cut down the A., while the Syr. stood on the opposite bank to prevent the A. from getting across.
28. ciovs $\delta$ o $\oint \phi \theta a p \pi 0$-the plup. is occasionally thus used with $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{v} s$ to express a result hastily arrived at, esp. in combination with the imperf., e.g. Demosth. 19, 154 r $6 \tau^{\prime}$ evevis $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nu \dot{\kappa} \kappa \epsilon \nu \nu$ каі $\pi \rho о є \omega \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$.
29. $\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \hat{\tau} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \eta \lambda \hat{\varphi}-w i t h ~ \epsilon \pi i \nu \epsilon \tau 0$.

7. тıбтeúras $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v-' N$. had always been, as far as his duty allowed him, a friend of Sparta.' Freeman.
8. xpłfagөat . . . 8 tr $\beta$ oú入ovtal-regular formula for an unconditional surrender.
11. фovéovras-an Ionic word, found often in tragedy and Herod., and four times in Thuc. See Rutherford, Neic Phryn. p. 15.
 own purposes,' to keep or to sell.
 common construction-refers to no definite person.

és $\tau \dot{d}$ kolvdv-just as $\tau \delta$ кoud̀ is used of the state treasury, since the prisoners became state property.
 case of Demosth. there were definite terms and his men surrendered to the state.
 times two ways of understanding this passage: (1) the scholiast thinks that Thuc. is comparing the disaster at the Assinarus with those of the Pel. war generally : accordingly he thinks $\Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \iota \hat{\mu}$ is wrong. So Krïger, Stahl : (2) Valla understands him to be comparing this disaster with other battles of the Sicilian war. The scholiast is probably right, since there is no other instance of great carnage, фóvos or $\sigma \phi a \gamma \eta$, in the Sicilian war with which the last disaster could be aptly compared; and as Thuc. was writing the history of the Sicilian expedition, not as a separate work, but as part of his general history, it is strange that he should speak of the Sicilian campaigns only in giving an idea of the extent of the loss. (Arnold says ' it is as if an historian of the French campaign in Russia were to say of their loss at the Beresina, "that it was greater than on any other occasion throughout this Russian campaign."' But, after the losses of 1812 , that statement would convey an idea of awful slaughter, whereas this does not.)
27. тapautika-these were some cavalry, who under command of Callistratus made their escape to Catana. Pausanias vir. 16, 4.
29. es Kardivqv-among these were Tydeus, afterwards one of the generals at Aegospotami, and the son of Polystratus for
 tinued to fight for Catana against Syr. Lys. 20, 24.
§11.3. тd̀ $\sigma \kappa \hat{\lambda} \lambda a-P l u t . N i c .27$ says that the finest trees on the banks of the Assinarus were decked with A. panoplies.
§21.5. кarє $\beta$ \&arav-by a decree passed in the assembly at Syr. after a debate of which Diodorus and Plutarch give details. The quarries are among the most striking features of Syracusan topography, most of them running in a long row along the S.E. side of Achradina. They are now disused and exceedingly picturesque. The date of the sentence is about the middle of September.
8. dкovтos $\Gamma \nu \lambda$ (ттоv - Hermocrates also, as Diod. and Plut. relate, spoke against the motion which was proposed by a leader of the democrats.
 agreed with Thuc. that Demosth. and N. were put to death, and this confirmation by the contemporary Sicilian historian is too strong to be set aside by the story of Timaeus that they were allowed to commit suicide. (The manner of their death is unknown ; in Plut. Nic. c. $28 \Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta e ́ v \eta \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к a l ~ N . ~$

 .that we should read катабє $\theta \in \nu \tau a s$ unless каталєи $\sigma \in \nu \tau a s$ could
mean 'cast into the stone quarries,' as Hesych. explains the word.)

§ 3 l. 14. vђбと-Sphacteria, in 425 b.c.
18. むनтe dqefqura--depends on $\pi \rho o v \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta \eta$ : cf. c. 62, 4 ; 77, 5.

 of the military sense of dןetr. On the whole he uses dpert as Plato does, i.e. as embracing the four cardinal virtues, $d^{2} \delta \delta \rho \in l a$,
 an idea that $N$. was a coward, there is nothing to show that he was more than a moral coward. Thuc. himself admits moral cowardice on the part of N. when he attribates superstition to him. The construction is disputed, but it is natural to suppose that both $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ and $\nu \in \nu 0 \mu \mu \sigma \mu \notin \nu \nu$ belong to $\epsilon \pi \tau \tau \eta-$ $\delta \epsilon u \sigma v$, not to $\alpha \rho e \tau \eta \nu$. Trans. 'because he had directed his course of life wholly in accordance with virtuous principles,' i.e. in accordance with elementary ethical principles, not in accordance with any school of philosophy. $\nu \circ \mu i \xi \omega=I$ put into

 to Alcibiades. We recall too the sneer of Plato in Phaedo 82



§ 1 1. 3. нerexdprav-Thuc., like Herod., uses the act. of 87 this word, whereas other anthors use the middle.
5. of \#no-the plur. is intensive, as in $\theta \in \rho \mu a l$, каúmata.
8. is acolvelav lvewtépl\}ov-like $\beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon L \nu$ or $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon c \nu e l s$, of some enforced change of state; 'through the change of temperature induced disease.'
 that $\nu \in \kappa \rho \circ l$ à a $\pi о \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa 0 u \sigma$ is possible Greek, as in Plut. Pyr-.

 вкеєขто.

 was given to a slave. Diodorus, following the Sicilian acceunt, says that each man received two xolvckes of meal, i.e. four times as much as two кorútac.
16. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma_{\sigma}$-for $\delta \sigma a d \lambda \lambda \alpha$, found also in II. 96, 3.
 of motion.
18. Emrytvero-accidit.
§ 3 l. 20. $\pi \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ 'A. к. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.-comparing l. 15 we see that these were kept in the quarries some six months longer.
§ $\delta$ l. 25. Epyov-used in its vague, euphemistic sense, as in

26. [ ${ }^{[ } F \lambda \lambda \eta \nu L x \partial v$ ]- to be omitted, since there is no contrast between the doings of Greeks and of other men.
28. Sokeiv-infin. of limitation ; c. 49, 3.

 Tarentines and Rhegines by the Iapyges.
§ 61.30 . катd $\pi$ dévta . . . $\pi$ d́vтшs-paronomasia, to emphasise the statement.


35. árevórचoav-the well-known story in Plut. Nic. c. 29 that some gained liberty or shelter by reciting Euripides is by no means improbable ; for, apart from the great popularity of Euripides, the Athenian slaves, weakened by suffering and unused to manual labour, must have been a burden to their owners. With the Athenian retreat we might compare the retreat of Antony from Parthia in 36 b.c. Cf. Browning Balaustion's Adventure, and Byron Childe Harold iv. 16

When Athens' armies fell at Syracuse,
And fetter'd thousands bore the yoke of war,
Redemption rose up in the Attic Muse,
Her voice their only ransom from afar:
See! as they chant the tragic hymn, the car
Of the o'ermaster'd victor stops, the reins
Fall from his hands, his idle scimitar
Starts from its belt-he rends his captive's chains, And bids him thank the bard for freedom and his strains.

## APPENDIX I

## ON THE FIRST HARANGUE OF NICIAS

cc. 61-64

This speech has gained much admiration from both ancient and modern critics. Dionysius of Halicarnassus, a severe and often uujust censor of Thucydides, rightly praises the vividness of the language and its lucidity. Blass thinks that the greatest of the military harangues written by Thucydides are this and the reply, as we may call it, that Gylippus makes to it ; and Jebb says that it is 'in a high degree powerful and pathetic.' Wherein lies the secret of its pathos? It lies in this, that the Athenian army is here taken to be the very city itself. From the opening words of the Exordium to the last sentence of the Peroration this idea runs through the whole speech. Like their ancestors when they fought at Salamis, the men that are themselves the state are about to stake their all on the recovery of their city and on her very existence.

But great as are the merits of the speech, they should not have blinded the critics to the serious blemishes that mar it. These blemishes are ( $a$ ) a tendency to excessive subtlety, ( $b$ ) an unfortunate refinement on the central idea, (c) excessive compression of thought, (d) awkward expressions. It is true that these are faults that are admitted to deface many of the speeches. But Thuc. can shake himself free from them when he tries. The last speech of Nicias is entirely free from such defects: and Thuc. should have considered that the occasion on which this first harangue was delivered was too solemn for rhetorical trivialities and tricks of compression.
(a) Excessive subtlety.-Mure points out that this is a very common fault in Thuc. ; but the last speech of Nicias is a splendid example of its avoidance. It should have been equally avoided here.

1. C. 61,1 opens with a subtle comparison between the objects for which the two sides are contending. 'Both sides are contending $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \varepsilon \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s$ каi $\pi a \tau \rho l \delta o s . ' ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ c a s u i s t i c, ~$ because it is plain that the Syracusans were not contending for these objects in the same sense that the Athenians were. Whatever might be the issue, Syracuse was now safe ; since, even in case of victory, Nicias intended to retreat. The Syracusans had previously been contending for the retention of their city: the Athenians were now to contend for the recovery of theirs.

 $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{u} \pi \lambda \epsilon і \overline{0} \nu \mu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. This statement, made about the sailors, is true; but it is true only in a sense. While the meaning of the words is plain, the thought that underlies them is farfetched.
2. C. 64 closes with a subtle argument that is intended to encourage the listeners to put forth all their power. The argument is put in the form of an enthymeme, which is so elaborated that the final appeal is to some extent spoiled by the rhetorical form in which it is made.
(b) There is an unfortunate refinement on the central idea.Instead of concentrating the attention of his hearers on their common ties and on the essential unity of the Athenian empire, the speaker draws a careful distinction between the Athenians and non-Athenians. This distinction is made at the beginning of the speech in $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{u} \pi d \rho \chi o u \sigma d i v$ nov oikeia $\pi 6 \lambda \iota \nu$. It is afterwards drawn out in c. 63,3 in a passage that illustrates a remark of Mure that one of the defects in the genius of Thucydides is 'a deficiency in the faculty of Taste,' and reminds us rather too forcibly of the errors to which even the greatest are prone when there is as yet no standard of taste in existence. Thuc. has told us that he made his speakers say what it seemed to him most opportune for them to say in view of each situation-that is to say, as Jebb explains, 'what the occasion required.' On the other hand, Dionysius declares that the speakers occasionally utter sentiments which, however just and striking in themselves, are nevertheless inappropriate to the occasion. In another passage, the great critic praises this speech for its freedom from this fault. But is it really appropriate on so solemn an occasion
 Athenians, and that they had been in the habit of filling with alarm the $\dot{\dot{j} \pi \dot{\eta} k o o l ~ o f ~ A t h e n s ? ~ E v e n ~ i f ~ t h e r e ~ w e r e ~ n o ~ p o s s i b i l i t y ~}$ of giving offence to the $\xi \in \nu 0<$ and $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau о \boldsymbol{\kappa} o c$ themselves, what would the $\dot{i} \pi \dot{\eta} k 00$ who stood in the audience think of the statement?
 altogether well-timed; and the same remark applies to the whole of the warning contained in the passage.

This occasional lack of propriety is an error in what the Greek rhetoricians call $\epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \sigma$ ss, the Romans inventio-that is to say, in the choice of material : and it is right to point out that in this branch of rhetoric Thucydides as a rule excels among Greek orators.
(c) Excessive compression of thought. -The general drift of the speech is perfectly clear. But the unfortunate distinction that has been drawn between Athenians and non-Athenians necessitates that in the appeal to the feelings which the Peroration rightly contains, the syeaker should insist on the essential unity of his hearers and on the one object for which they fight. And so a reference to Athens as the centre of every man's hope must be brought in.

In order to set this object clearly before them and to give point to his appeal Nicias bids them reflect that they now are Athens. But so briefly is the thought expressed that the last sentence of the speech forms a curious commentary on the earlier words ' $A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o c ~ \nu o \mu ı \zeta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o l, ~ k a l ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ ठ $\nu \tau \epsilon s$. There is really no contradiction, but there is undue brevity. What the speaker should have said is surely to this effect : 'Finally, my comrades, whether you be Athenians or not, remember that you are all that are left to Athens; nay, Athenians or no, you are Athens; you represent her majesty; and in fighting for her, you will be doing the best for yourselves.' Thus, while bringing the central idea into due prominence at the close, he would not have left his previous distinction in the lurch.

This difficulty is partly caused by the abruptness of the transition from the Proof to the Peroration. Thuc. does not make it clear that the Epilogue is addressed to everybody, and not exclusively to the Athenians.
(d) Awkward expressions-vi\%. 1. the antithesis between $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \theta \theta \in \rho \omega$ and $\delta \iota \kappa a i \omega s$ in c. $63,4.2$. $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega s$ itself and the adjacent words are inappropriate, because they are limited to the עaûral, and would be likely to cause offence to the $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa \circ o t$.
 context is objectionable. 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho l \dot{\omega} \nu$ in the Peroration is ambiguous, since $\dot{\omega} \nu$ may be either neut. or fem. 5. of èv $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \nu a v \sigma l \nu \eta े \epsilon s \epsilon l \sigma l$ is bad.

## APPENDIX II

## REMARKS ON CERTAIN PASSAGES

Since the notes were printed, the second part of Herbst's Erklärungen und Wiederherstellungen has appeared. The following is a list of the passages in this book in which Herbst has arrived at new results that appear to me to be correct, or, at least, valuable.
C. 14, 1. Classen and Stahl, following some older cald., render $\beta \rho a \chi \epsilon i a, ~ a ́ к \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau o s$ ' the efficient part of a ship's crew is small.' I have said that $\beta$ paxeia is probably temporal here. By carefully examining the context, Herbst has made it clear that this is the case.

 The interpretation of this passage which is given by Stahl is manifestly erroneous, and was scarcely worth a detailed refutation. Herbst agrees with the explanation that I have given in the notes, except that he understands $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ s to mean 'according to fixed arraugement,' the cities of Peloponnese being bound to send a fixed contingent periodically. But (1)
 allude to operations directed from Decelea, and not to the contingents arriving successively from Pcloponnese; (2) if $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ $\dot{d} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \boldsymbol{\eta}$ s meant this, it would apply equally to $\pi \lambda \epsilon c o ̛ \nu \omega \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \leftarrow \dot{b} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : for $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \nu, \dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \xi$, etc., when so used apply to the permanent obligation to service that is incurred under a defensive and offensive alliance; and the Peloponnesian allies would be as much bound by their alliance with Sparta to sond $\pi$ nelovas if required, and in fact to send any number of men up to two-thirds of their fighting strength.
C. 28, 2. Herbst defends oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \pi \lambda o t s \pi o \iota o u ́ \mu \in \nu 0$, sc. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\phi u \lambda a \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ from the preceding $\phi \nu \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$. This is probably right, though some of the parallels that he cites are doubtful.


 which I have substituted $\tau \delta \quad \gamma$ ' $a \nu$ ) and he translates ' namely that they should.' This goes back to the explanation of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ given by Shilleto at 1. 25. Herbst maintains that to here, as well as in c. 36, 5, c. 67, 1 (where I have followed Kriiger) and in a large number of other passages that are usually explained otherwise, is itself absolute and does not influence the construction of the sentence and was freely used to point, as it were with the finger, to what is coming afterwards. If so, both ro and $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ fultil the saine purpose; for both then introduce and emphasise the epexegesis that follows. The 'absolute use' of $\tau$ d is to be found in Arnold's notes, but it has very generally been abandoned as being unscientific; for it is really unexplained-even with the help of all the examples that Herbst has collected-either on the historical or on the logical principle. The objection to $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ is of course that it is used with an explanatory infin. here instead of introducing a new sentence.

The proposal to take tò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. . . $\pi o \kappa \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ as an exclamation, to which reference is made in the notes, was first made by Mr. Wratislaw in the Journal of Philology.



 correlative to $\tau \sigma \sigma o \hat{c} \tau o \nu$, and renders $8 \sigma o \nu$ 'as,' taking $8 \sigma o \nu$. . . is $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ as a parenthesis. But it seems that a sharp contrast between the one or two or three years and the sixteenth year is needed to explain the rapaloyos, and that the difference between them gives the measure of coroù $o \dot{\nu}$.

 This is no better than Bubendey's proposal (in the Hamb. festschrift written in Herbst's honour) to omit the second oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, for there is a limit to the amount of sense that even Thucydides can pack into his words.



## APPENDIX III

## ATHENS F'ROM JULY 414 TO SEP'J. 413

During the first six of the fourteen months covered by this book, life at Athens proceeded in the ordinary way. A few men whom good democrats regarded as half-crazy, like Meton and Socrates, foresaw that trouble was impending, and many moderate democrats like Aristophanes were little satisfiel with the current of Athenian thought and manners. But politics were now swayed by the democratic party, to which the political agitation that followed the mutilation of the Hermae had been a great gain. Among the strategi who entered on office in July 414 were Demosthenes; the hero of the Aetolian expedition, Conon, who commanded the squadron that lay off Naupactus, and Charicles, who had been one of the commissioners appointed to investigate the Hermocopid affair. These were all democrats. So doubtless was the upstart Diitrephes, who in the next summer was in charge of the barbarous attack made on Mycalessus (c. 29). He had been successively phylarch and hipparch. Other strategi, besides Nicias, were Euetion, who in conjunction with Perdiccas, made an attempt to recover Amphipolis, and Eurymedon, who was sent to Sicily in December.

The drama as yet showed no sigus of decline. Sophocles, though now over eighty, still retained his powers. Euripides, fifteen years the junior of Sophocles, was busily writing for the stage. Other writers of tragedy now flourishing were Agathon, Iophon the son of Sophocles, Philocles nephew of Aeschylus, and Xenocles, son of Carcinus, who had gained an unmerited victory over Euripides six months before. The license of the Old Comedy had recently been checkell to some extent by a vote of the Ecclesia; yet Aristophanes and Phrynichus and Pherecrates and many others moved fairly easily in their
fetters. The Birds had been produced in Dec. 415, and the Amphiaraus of Aristophanes in Feb. 414.

Athenian prose literature had not yet attained its full development. Thucydides was busily collecting materials during his wanderings. Xenophon was about seventeen years old ; and two other historians living in Athens, Herodicus and Cratippus, had probably written nothing at this date. On the other hand, Plato's uncle Critias, who tried almost every branch of literature with considerable success, had already appeared as an author of prose, and was perhaps responsible for the little tract on the Athenian Constitution which is wrongly ascribed to Xenophon. ${ }^{1}$

Athenian sculpture, since the death of Phidias in 432, had passed into the hands of his pupils. The most famous sculptor now living-Polyclitus-was an Argive. Of the pupils of Phidias, the most distinguished were Agoracritus and Alcamenes. Agoracritus was a native of Paros, but he seems to have lived at Athens. He made the renowned Khamnusian Nemesis to which a mutilated head in the British Museum is believed to have belonged. Of the works of Alcamenes nothing is known to exist. At this date the adornment of the Acropolis was still incomplete. The temple of Wingless Victory had been finished a few years before; but the Erechtheum was not finished in 409 b.c. Nevertheless the eastern half of it, which formed the temple of Athena Polias, was now approaching completion.

It may be convenient to add that in 414 b.c. Socrates was fifty-four years of age; Isocrates was twenty-two ; Plato was fifteen; Isaeus was a child. Antiphon was already writing for the courts.

The archon eponymus from July 414 to July 413 was the cowardly Pisander, at present an ardent democrat. He had been on the board of investigation with Charicles in 415. Prominent demagogues of the day were Androcles and Cleonymus, both of them active workers against the Hermocopids, and both constantly attacked by the comic poets. Androcles was murdered in 411 b.c., and his place as head of the extreme democrats was then taken by Cleophon, who was already becoming known in political life.

Early in 413 the Spartans invadel Attica and occupied Decelea. Thus the Peace of 421 was formally brought to an end. The grounds for the invasion were that Athens had aided Argos against Sparts in the summer of 414 with a fleet; they had also made an attack on Epidaurus in Laconia and on other coast towns. The evil consequences of the occupation of

[^5]Decelea were immediately felt in Athens. They may be readily gathered from the marginal analysis of cc. 27, 28. Yet, even while the place was being fortified, Charicles went out with a fleet to harry the coasts of the Peloponnese, and Demosthenes started for Sicily with his powerful armament. This mission of Charicles accords with the regular practice of the Athenians, instituted by Pericles at the beginning of the war. It was impossible for the Athenians, even at the height of their power, to put an army in the field capable of withstanding the Lacedaemonian invaders, and a system of making descents on the coasts of Peloponnese was the only effective counter-plan that they could adopt.

But what a strange position for Athens to be in! The docks that had held four hundred ships of war stood almost empty: the fleet on which she relied, the vautiк $\delta$ s $\delta$ रos that had enjoyed more influence than any other body of the citizens, even her right over the soil of Attica seemed to have melted away from her ; she had become a mere fortress crowded with soldiers past their prime or too young for service, and she had not means ready to hand for their support. Yet she managed to bear up under these difficulties, even when aggravated by the destruction of the armament in Sicily.

The strategi who entered on office in July 413 included in all probability (in addition to Nicias, Demosthenes, and Eurymedon) Aristocrates, Diomedon, Hippocles, Leon, and Strombichides. Of these Aristocrates became a leader of the moderate party among the Four Hundred and an associate of Theramenes. Diomedon, Leon, and Strombichides were all notable democrats shortly after this time; and two of them, Leon ${ }^{1}$ and Strombichides, were unjustly put to death under the Thirty. Early in 412 Hippocles succeeded in intercepting the Peloponnesian squadron that was returning from Syracuse after the war. One ship was captured and the rest escoped with considerable damage. Another new strategus was Diphilus, who was at once sent to succeed Conon at Naupactus.

Though the oligarchs had been debased of late, yet had their clubs or associations for political and judicial purposes not ceased to exist. In the following year these clubs, encouraged by the Sicilian disaster, the Decelean War, the intervention of Tissaphernes on behalf of Sparta, the revolt of the allies, and the intrigues of Alcibiades, will exhibit extraordinary energy and boldness. Alcibiades and Andocides,

[^6]both of whom were now oligarchs, were in exile. Keference has already been made to Critias and Aristocrates. But two other oligarchs, who afterwards became members of the Thirty, had already gained notoriety. These were Theogenes and Aeschines, ${ }^{1}$ who, it should appear, were men of ability, but, being poor, disgusted people by imitating the follies and extravagance of richer men. Prominent among rich men was the notorious Callias, son of Hipponicus, who was fast ridding himself of the enormous fortune that he had inherited ten years before from his father. A dilettante so idle and careless as Callias can scarcely be said to have belonged to any political party. He was, however, proxenus of Sparta, and the office of torch-bearer at the Eleusinian Mysteries was hereditary in his family. ${ }^{2}$

Nicias and Demosthenes lost their lives in Sicily two months after they had entered on office for 413 : while Eurymedon had already fallen before the final catastrophe. According to a story in Athenaeus (third century A.D.), when the news of the disaster reached Athens, the people were watching a performance of a parody by Hegemon of Thasos, the author who first brought this kind of entertainment on to the Attic stage. The piece was a humorous representation of the scene that Phidias had embossed upon the inside of the shield that rested at the side of his renowned gold and ivory Athena which stood in the Parthenon-the scene of the battle between the giants and the gods. The story is worth recording mainly because it shows that a new kind of spectacle was exhibited just about this time. But probably the dates have been doctored to some extent; for it is unlikely that stage plays were ever exhibited in the autumu during the fifth century, the story is too neat to be accepted on the sole authority of Athenaeus, and it is suspicious that Plutarch does not record a circumstance that might by him have been turned to such good account.
${ }_{2}$ To be distinguished from the philosopher and the orator.
2 For other details respecting this interesting man, see Smith's Dict. of Biography.

## GREEK INDEX

＊＊The numbers in all cases refer to chapter and section．The number of the section is followed hy $n$ where the reference is both to the text and to the notes．

A
＇Ayd日apxos，Syr．admiral，70， 1 aja0ol $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \in s$ रifveo日al 77， 7 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ ：$\alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．．． $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ 8， $1 n$ ；dyүE入入ovtas ．．． є $\pi \in \mu \psi a \nu 25,9$ ；\＃$\gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda o \nu$
 $\alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \mu \alpha 74,1$
＊Ayıs，Spartan king，19，1； 27， 4
áropd тарєбкєváの日ท 40， 1 a $\gamma \chi \dot{\omega} \mu a \lambda a \nu a \nu \mu a \chi \in \hat{\imath} \nu 71,4$
$\alpha \gamma \omega: ~ d \xi \omega \nu$ тарабкєvम $\nu, \sigma \tau \rho a-$ тıdv，世ैХ
 đ $\xi \cos \delta$ d．56， 3 ；ínধ̀ $\rho \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ d d．66， 1 ；кouvds $\delta$ d．61， 1 ；
 то入
 2；86， 2
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \mu \dot{s} \kappa \nu \beta \in \rho \nu \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu 70,3$
đóvvaбla тoû $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu 8,2 n$
¿סúvatos＇powerless＇14， 2 al．；
＇incapable＇15， $1 n$ ；d dov́－
 dóv́vatov bv 44， 4

d $\theta$ pol ${ }^{\prime} \omega$＇concentrate＇33，6；
 б日aı 87， 2
 clul 76 ；є̀ tavil $\delta \grave{\eta}$ dovulas etval 55， 1
alyıa入ds 37， 3 ；74，2．The ordinary prose word，but not to be used if $\theta d \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ will do as well
dílos $\notin \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a 21,3 n$
alkia 75， 6 n

alpw ：dpas＇starting＇by sea or land，26， 1 ；69， 4 ；79， 1 ； ท̀p $\mu \notin \nu$ os＇raised＇41， 2
alo日dvoual（1）with partic．2， $2 ; 69,1 ; 81,1$ ；（2）with 8ть 65， $1 n$ ；（3）with accus． 8， 1 al．
 кal ḋiкws 48， 4 ；$\dot{\eta}$ al $\sigma$ रi $\sigma$ т $\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s=\hat{\eta}$ סou入 $\epsilon l_{\alpha}$＇politi－ cal dependence＇ 68,2
 72， 2
aitia：év aitiq é $\chi \in \iota \nu$ with infin． 81， 1
alwpov̂ual 77， 2
ăkalpos：oưk à．$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \iota \nu \quad \nu \in \hat{u} v$ тоєєíणaь 17， 3
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \nu \delta u ́ \nu \omega s \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 68,3$ л
 with dat．of manner 12， 3
 $1 \pi$
 eideval 87， 5


＇．Lкрaravtivol，neutrality of， 33， 2 ；50， 1 ；58， 1
＇Aкраĩo $\lambda \epsilon \pi$ таs 78， $5 ; 79$
а́к $\rho(\beta \epsilon \iota a$ то̂́ עаитєкой 13，2；
 accurately＇ 87,4
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \lambda \lambda \eta s{ }^{2} 71,3 n$
ג́кроволсб $\mu$ бs＇firing＇25，5， 8
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{d} a i \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \sigma a a \iota \xi \nu \nu \in \beta a \iota \nu \epsilon 75,2$
 тıl 68， 2
＇$\lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi a \rho \chi o s$, Corinthian officer， 19， 4
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \subset a$＇true position＇：$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ à．$\beta o u \lambda \epsilon v \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 8,2$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta}_{s}: ~ \tau \dot{d} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ ès $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma a \iota 14$ ，
 67， 4
＇А入кı $\beta$ ıd $\delta \eta s$, advice of， 18,1
 sthenes， 16
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ，after negatives，where our idiom is generally dif－ ferent，oúx $\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o}$ icus ．．．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ 28,4 ；36， 3 ；จั̛тє ．．．оััтє $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a ́ 29,4$ ；oúk $\notin \tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇ ＇＇except＇$^{2} 0,3$
 exclusive use of 4,$3 ; 7,3$ ； 36，1；43，2：aidos a ${ }^{2} \lambda \eta$ 71， 6
$\ddot{a} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota s: \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$

24， $2 n$ ；$\mu \in T$ à $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тoù II $\lambda \eta \mu$－ $\mu \nu \rho i o v a ̈ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu 32,1 n$
a $\mu a \theta i a 36,5$
 $\dot{\eta \mu \alpha \rho т \eta т о ~ 18, ~} 3 \boldsymbol{n}$
 sedentrs brllum conficient， 14， 3


a $\mu \phi l$ 40， $2 n$
＇A $\mu \phi i \pi 0 \lambda \iota s$, blockade of， 9
 land and sea，＇41， 4 ；＇int two ways，＇47， 2

$\alpha \nu$ ，with partic．， 42,$4 ; 64,2$ ： 67， 4 ；with iterative aor． 71， $3 n$ ；$\langle\alpha \nu\rangle 28,3 n$
ávaßo入ás：és d．$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon t \nu$ tl＇to delay，＇15， 2
àvaүкаîos：ờx iка⿰д̀ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta$ адаукаїа 69， $3 n$ ；а̀ даүкаєо－ $\tau \dot{d} \tau \eta$ סtaıтa 82，2；$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma-$ kalov 60， $4 \pi$
àvaүкабтós，of subject $\xi \in \nu 0$, who，being under obligation to serve，desert 13， 2 ；of． 58， 3
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta$ ，of obligation incurred by an alliance（1）$\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{d} \gamma \kappa \eta$ ג́ко入ou日єì 57， $4 n ; 7 n$ ；（2）


 5 ；$\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ ．not technical， 70. 6，8．On $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ àváүкทs 27,4 ＂ see Appendix if．

 $\epsilon l \lambda \kappa о \nu$ II．60， 6
 рєíctaı $\nu$ екрои́s 5,$3 ; 72,1$
$\dot{a} \nu a к a \lambda \hat{\omega}, ~ ' a p p e a l ~ t o, ' ~ 69, ~ 2: ~$ 70,8 ．It is the word for ＇to call by title or officially＇
 73， 3
ג⿱亠䒑बкєєцаи，＇depend on，＇71， 2. Steph．quotes Verg．Aen． 12， 59 in te omnis domus inclinata recumbit
 $\tau \rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ with inf．71， 3
גуракро́́оная，＇back，＇38， 1 al．； dıdкроvots 36， 5 al．
dขa入a $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ ，military term， ＇to take＇on board or by land， 1,$5 ; 25,4 a l$.
 $61,3 n$ ；used also meta． phorically by Plato
 $4 n a l$ ．
＂Avaтos，river，42， 6 ；78， 3
àdapuoбтos，＇awkward，＇inha－ bilis，67， 2
àapoúv $\nu$ v $\alpha \mu$ ，of moral recovery， 46,1 ；cf．jف́vעvumat，of moral vigour
àvd $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ 75，1．avdotaбts is an unwilling，$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu$ dбтaбıs a willing removal
адขєıло仑̂ $\mu a \iota=\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \in ф о \mu a \iota ~ 81, ~ 4$
àvekTd $\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \omega$ 77， 4
ave $\lambda \kappa \omega 1,3$ al．；àveîरov con－ fused with $\alpha \nu \epsilon \kappa \lambda \omega \nu 25,6$
à $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \nu$ 67， 1
 ${ }^{\prime} \xi$ §ovala，of men who are their own masters，69， 2
 $\phi \theta o \nu a$ סıaıтấalal 77， 2
dעєv＇A ${ }^{2} \eta \mathrm{p} a \mathrm{i} \omega \nu$ ，＇without con－ sulting，＇48， 2 ；oủk đข $\downarrow$ еv
 $\pi 6 \mu \in \nu=175,4 n$
à $\ell \chi \omega$ ，＇project，＇ 34,2 ；＇hold back，＇48， 3
dvip：note avopes dyaOol，a． тол $\mu$ пpol ；＇men＇＝crew or

with gen．，43，3；83，2； general，66， 3
div $\theta \circ \rho \mu \omega \hat{19,5} 5$ al．
d̀ $\nu \rho \dot{1} \pi \epsilon є \alpha$ סрầ 77， 4



dעтavár $\operatorname{\nu aûs~37,~} 3$ ；vavol 52， 1 ；middle，40， 3
dy $\boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \rho \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，＇suffice to meet＇ requirements， 15,1

àv $\epsilon \pi \in \neq \mu 4$
d $\nu \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \mu$ 37， 3
dעтทpl $\delta \in s$ 36， 2
 inf．answered by duti $\delta^{\prime}$ cűरीs 75， 7
$\alpha \nu \tau \iota \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，following $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ， ＇reply to a volley，＇ 25,6
 $\tau \rho^{\prime} \pi \in \sigma \theta$ al，＇entreat，＇75， 4
àtıкpus Bot $\omega$ тol 57， 5

 gen．，77，6．$\pi \epsilon \rho \lambda \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \omega \tau \eta-$ plas ．．．a．＇work for，＇70， 7 ；ef．$\tau$ ท̂s $\sigma \omega \tau$ चplas a．II． 61

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \geqslant \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 67， 2
 $\nu a \cup \pi \eta \eta \eta \mu \notin \nu a l$ 36， 3
 ordival 13， $2 n$ ；d $\nu \tau i \pi a \lambda a$


àvтıтарабкеvdјощal 3， 2
d̀vเта $\rho a \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ 5， 1

d̀v $\tau \pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} 22,2$
dขтเто入ıоркผ 28,3
duтıбт $\alpha \tau \eta \gamma o s 86,2$
 4

 21， 3
$\alpha \nu \omega$ ：in the direction array from the low ground，away from the harbour，towards the hill，hence＇north，＇
 $4,1 n, 2 ; \dot{\eta} d \nu \omega \dot{\eta} \pi \rho \delta s \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 тelर $\eta$ Td $\alpha \nu \omega 60,2 n$

 $\pi \mathrm{d} \theta \in \mathrm{ca}$ 77， 1 ；кат＇d乡lav 77， $3 n$

джаральбкбнера，тd́，＇losses，＇ 14， $2 n$
 fixed place＇）1， 3 al．
атараббक 63， 1
джаитоно入ิ 79， 5
àт入ิิs катаঠôvaı 34， 5
d $\pi 6$ ：（1）temporal，＇after＇：
 три́тои бтуои 43， 2 ；
（2）
＇from＇：dad vavmaxias dıa－ тєтаขме́vol 73，2；dimd olas $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \delta т \eta \tau 0$ ．．．ts olav тєлєитो̀ ．．．dфікато；（3） local，of the place from which anything is carried


 is used thus in place of $\epsilon \pi i$ when the point of riew is that of the spectator，as $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$

 cf．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in \pi l \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ к．тарабкєиो̀ 62， 1 ；$\tau$ d àd $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ катабт $\dot{\omega}$－ uatos＇the service on deck，＇ 70,$3 ; 40,5 ; 70,5$ ；used thus also by attraction to the verb，63， 1 ；（4）＇out of＇：$\delta \lambda(\gamma \alpha \alpha$ d $\pi \delta$ то $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu 87$,

6 ；（5）origin of persons， interchanged with $\bar{\epsilon}$ ：33， 8；57，4；（6）＇in conse－ quence of ：$\theta a \rho \sigma \epsilon i ้ \nu$ d $\pi \delta$ t $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ рavuaxias 37， 1 ；（7）source of anything：$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ajd $\tau 0 \hat{0}$
 айтбуонот 57,3

 into action，＇like edere facinus，64， 2 ；cf．Herod．I．
 атодє́хомає，＇approve，＇48， 3
dтокข $\hat{\omega}$ ，＇hesitate，＇21， 4
droкротоs $\gamma \hat{y}$ 27， 5
גтокрои́єбӨal，＇to be repulsed＇ in assault，43， 1
атокриттонаи＇conceal with intent，＇85， 2
dтола $\beta \beta \alpha \nu \omega$ ，＇intercept，＇51， 2；d $\pi \mathbf{\pi} \lambda \eta \psi 1554,1$
ão入aúw rท̂s 27， 4
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，＇be little short of，＇ 70， 4
 тот $\epsilon$ סou 75， 2

גтоуєуоךцєンоs，＇desperate，＇81， 5 ；атbroca 67， 4
ȧторобт 87， 6
 oas，＇dry－dock，＇12， 5
dab $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu \lambda a \mu \beta d \nu \epsilon \iota 21,2 n$
 таратесхlб $\mu a \tau$ оs＇make an attempt upon，＇43，1；abs． 36， 1 w ．dat．of manner
$\alpha \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ ，＇dismiss，＇3，2； ＇despatch，＇16， 2
 $\theta \nu \mu о \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \mathbf{0}$ 68， 1

 est difficulty，＇14， 2
 80, 4
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}: \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \kappa \in ้ \nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu \grave{\eta}$ with inf., $6,4 n$; a $\pi 0 \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta$ $\sigma$ ts áкойs 70, 6
äтобט́ $\rho \omega$ 43, 5

аттотод $\mu \hat{\omega}$ 67, 1
ал $\pi о ф \dot{1} \rho \gamma \nu \nu \mu$, ' block,' 74, 2
a $\pi \circ \phi \epsilon \rho \rho \mu a \iota$ ' 's, 'carried by stress of weather,' 50,2
àтохры́vтตs 42, 3
 тıl 68, 2
атлобঠбкทтоя, active, 29, 3; passive, 46, 1


'Apyeio, why they joined Athens, 57, 9
 67, 3

d $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ 86, $5 n$, in its later, i.e. moral sense, as understood by Euripides; ajpetal, concrete, 69, 2
גрІбтотоєєї $\theta a l$ 39, 2
'A $\rho \kappa \alpha \delta \epsilon \epsilon$, as mercenaries, 19, $4 n$
аं $\rho \pi a \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ тоєєīөac 26, 2 ; 29, 2
á $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau i a$ 47, 1. ol 'Aттıkol т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$
 $\mu \in i ̄ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ д $\rho \mu \hat{a} \nu \quad \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} a \iota$ titéaбı. Phrynichus
á $\rho \chi a \iota o \lambda о \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} 69,2$; like Cicero's pervulgata praccepta decantare
ג $\rho \chi \omega$, prior capesso, 5, 2; 6,
 'command,' 7, 1 ; 'control,' 14, 2
'A $\rho \chi \omega \nu i \delta \eta s$, Sicel chief, 1, $4 n$
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \rho \omega \mathrm{\sigma} \delta \mathrm{~s}$, rare in prose, 62,1
ácıtos 40, 3
'A $\sigma \sigma l \nu a \rho o s$, Nicias overtaken at the, 84, 2 .
dбтєүaбтov, тठ 87, 1
d $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{d} \tau \eta \tau \eta \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ 86, 2
dra乡la: $\pi \rho \delta \mathbf{s}$ d. $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \epsilon i \hat{\xi} a \iota 68,1$
$a \hat{v}: \pi{ }^{2} \lambda \iota \nu$ a $\hat{v} 46,1 ; 64,1$; o' $\delta^{\prime}$ a a 47,4 ; 56, 3

 nunc cum maxime, 70, 7
aút $\delta \theta \in \nu$, illinc and aút $\delta \theta l$, $i b i$, frequent and good
 $2 n$
aưtbvouoc, of allies of Athens; (1) autbvo 0 ot кal עautıкd̀

 Some of the latter, though not $\alpha \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o l(s e e ~ d \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta)$, are described as katd $\tau \dot{d}$ $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$ кат $\epsilon \rho \gamma \delta$ -

See K $\epsilon \rho \kappa \cup \rho a$
aưtos : aúvd $8 \sigma \sigma$, 'just so much as,' 74,1 ; aúrd of what has been described, 55, $2 n$; 66, $1 n$; $\epsilon_{S}$ тaúrd $\xi u \nu d \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 81,2$; ѐs $\tau \dot{d}$ aưrd $\xi \nu \mu \phi \neq \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 36,6 ;$ $\epsilon_{s}$ тd aừd d̀ dravtầ 35, 2
aürboc 26, 2
aứoû Еєєє̂̀ 16, 1 n

 future, 75, 4
 2
 vi $\omega \rho$ 58, 4

dфі $\eta \mu \nu$ раи̂̀ 19, $4 n$; 53, 4 ; a. $\beta$ ㅊㄱos 67, 2

аффбтанає $\pi$ олєєои 7, 2 ; 're-
 इıkentas 28, 3
גфор $\hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$, 'start,' 75,4
dфор $\omega$ rpḅs $\tau \iota 71,3$

## B

$\beta$ ар $\beta a \rho \iota к b y, \tau \delta=$ ol $\beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta a \rho o c 29$, 4; $\beta$. $\chi \omega \rho l o \nu)\left({ }^{\text {'E }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa 6 \nu\right.$ 60, 2
 80, 2

$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$, with gen., 1, 4
$\beta \in \beta a \iota o s ~ e ̀ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \in \rho i a 68,3$; 'loyal,' 77, 6
ßıḑoual, 'drive back,' 23, 3 ;
 $2 ; 70,7$; abs., 'to force a way,' 67, 4 ; 79, 1 ; pass. 11, 2 ; 45, 2 al.
Bualws àmotaveì 82, 2

 18, 1; 42, 1
BotwTd́pxai 30, 2
Bolwtol, first to check the Athenians in the attack on Epipolaẹ, 43, 7
$\beta 6 \sigma \kappa \omega$ ขautıкbу 48, 5 n

 тtv 35,1
$\beta$ ô, 'clamour,' 48, 4
B $\rho a \delta \delta_{s} \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, with $\tau 0 \hat{0}$ and inf., ' be slow in,' 43, 5
Bpaứs: Bpaxú rı 2, 4; 13, 1; 'short,' 14, 1; 27, 4; èк阝рахєоя каl тєрсүраттой $\dot{\delta} \rho$ $\mu a ̃ \sigma a \iota ~ 49,2$; катд $\beta \rho a \chi u ́$, 'by degrees,' 79, 5 ; Bpax́ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ тdे $\epsilon \pi เ \tau \eta \delta \delta \epsilon \iota a \notin \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 77,6$
Boovtal кal bíw , 'a thunderstorm,' 79, 3 ; so II. 77 ; vi. 70 ; Xen. Hel. 1. 6, 28

## $\Gamma$

rap esp. after negs., parts of $\epsilon l \mu l$, $\# \delta \eta$, article, preposition,
or an emphatic word; in өpexegesis 67, 4 ; $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho \delta \bar{\eta} \mathbf{~ 6 2 , ~}$ 4 al.
$\gamma^{k}$ is used (1) when other particles precede, as $\mu$ évooc . . . $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in 14,4$; $\delta \epsilon$. . . $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon 28,3$; 63, 2 ; $d \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 引 . . . $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in 50$, $3 ; 8 \mu \omega \mathrm{~s} . . \gamma^{5} 57,4$; (2) after relative pronouns or adverbs, as $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$. . . $\gamma \in 30$,
 68, 2; $\dot{\omega}$. . . $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\epsilon} 15,1$; 40, 2; 67, 4; $\delta \sigma a \quad \gamma \in 11,4$; (3) to introduce the qualification of a general statement ('as far as concerns,' 'if we consider'), as aúvív $\gamma \in \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ aút $\eta \nu 28,3$; aütbs $\gamma \in 48,4$;


 by $\delta \eta-\pi \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \delta \eta^{2} 56,4 ;$ $\pi \rho / \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\eta} 71$, 5. N.B. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ must not be used as equivelent to $\delta \dot{\gamma}$
$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu / \sigma \kappa \omega=\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu a 76 n$
$\gamma \in \mu l \zeta \omega$, of a ship, 53,4
$\gamma^{\epsilon} \mu \omega$, of a ship, 25,1
 q. v. ; with many military
 $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s, \delta i \omega \xi \iota s, \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \eta$, $\nu a v-$ maxia, d $\mu о \lambda o \gamma l a, ~ \sigma \tau \rho a r \epsilon i a$, $\phi \delta \nu 0 s: \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \sigma \iota s, \pi d \theta$ os ('dis-

 т $\varnothing \lambda \mu \eta \mu a$, $\gamma$ ( $\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota 5 ; 18 ; 43$; -rivvoual $\epsilon \pi i$ with dat., 'to reach,' 35,2 al. ; $\gamma$. $\pi \rho$ d $^{2}$ with dat., 43, 3 ;-of sum totals, as $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ol é $\gamma \in \dot{\nu}$ оитo 85,
 close quarters,' 5, 2;- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. $\dot{v \pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $\tau \iota \nu$, , fall into the power of,' 64,1


 $5,4 n ; \gamma).(6 \psi<s 71,3 ; 75$ ， 2；＇purpose，＇64，1；ті̀ $\gamma$ ． т $\rho \circ \sigma \in \ell \chi \epsilon \iota 15,2 ; 23,1$ ；$\gamma$ ． тоєєíधat＇propose，＇72， 3 ；
 partic．72，4，with gen．abs． 15,1 ；$\pi$ a $\rho \mathrm{d} \gamma$ ．＇unexpectedly，＇ 13， 2
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$ ，dgnosco，44， 4
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu \omega \bar{\sigma} / s)}$ ）（ $\delta \psi$ is 44， 2
「óryu入os 2， 1
roûv，＇at any rate，＇ 47,3 ；49， 1
रрадиатєús $10 n$
「ú̀ıлттos，lands in Sicily，1； marches to Syr．and sum－ mons the A．to quit；takes Labdalum， 3 ；at first de－ feated but afterwards defeats the A．，5，6；exhorts the S．to attack by sea， 12 ； takes Plemmyrium， 23 ； obtains reinforcements， 50 ； his harangue before the last battle，66－8；pursues the A．，74．84；N．surrenders to him， 85 ；N．and Demosth． put to death against his will， 86
$\gamma v \mu \nu \eta \tau \epsilon l a=\psi$ l $\lambda$ ol 37，2；Herod． IX． 63 contrasts $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \eta ิ \tau \epsilon s$ with hoplites
 commonplaces，69， 2

## $\Delta$

סaтàầ 29， 1 ；47， 4 ；ai $\delta$ a－
 $\delta \underset{q}{s} 53,4 n$
$\delta \epsilon$ ：answers $\tau \epsilon 81,3$ ；$\tau \delta$ 立 $\nu$
 rd̀ $\delta e ̂$ 75，4．See under $\mu e ́ v$.
$\delta^{\prime}$ oiv，resuming the narra－ tive，59， 2 al．
$\delta \in \delta i \in ́ v a \iota$ with $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ and gen．，75， 4．Thuc．often uses $\delta \in \delta \iota \notin v a l$ $\pi \in \rho l$ with dat．
 arrangement made， $8,3 \mathrm{al}$ ．， －this use is particularly common after relative pro－ nouns
סєiцата 80， 3 n
$\delta \varepsilon \iota \nu \delta_{s}: \tau d \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu d$ ，of the horrors or difficulties of war，8， 2 ； cf．$\xi \cup \phi \circ \rho \mu \mathrm{d} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \eta$ 29， 5 ；$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \nu$ éctlv el 7．3， 1 ；$\delta . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \mu \eta$ 25，


$\Delta \epsilon к \in \lambda \epsilon l a$, fortified by the Lac．， 19 ；results of the fortifica－ tion of， $20 ; 27 ; 28 ; 42,2$
סе入феขофópos 41， 2
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o l: \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ois à áotaveí 82,2
$\delta \epsilon \chi о \mu a l$ ，hostile，тoùs $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho o-$ $\mu \notin \nu o u s ~ 44, ~ 4 ; ~ e ́ \pi t o ́ v t a s ~ \delta . ~ 77, ~$ 4
on ：intensifying superlatives， 19， 1 ；56， 4 ；86， 5 ；esp． after $\boldsymbol{\gamma d} \rho 70,4 ; 75,7 ; 85,4$ ； $\mu$ йos $\delta \hat{\eta} 44,1$ ；$\pi$ âs $\delta \grave{\eta} 55,1$ ； 71，2；$\pi 0 \lambda$ ivs $\delta \bar{\eta} 55,2 ; 70$ ，
 6；following pronouns，62，

 1 ；$\pi \rho \frac{1 \nu}{} \delta \dot{\eta} 39,2$ ；＇no doubt，＇ ＇of course，＇77，2；81，2； 86， 4 ；oú кat＇dझ̧lav ס̀ 77， $3 n$ ；$\pi a \nu \omega \lambda e \theta \rho l a j \eta 87,2$. $\delta \eta$ is esp．common after $\gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$ preceded by an adj．or pro－ noun
$\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ，＇declare，＇ $10 n ; 16,1$

סıá：（1）gen．：$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ d $\lambda$ lyov 15， 2 ； 36,$5 ; 39,2 ; 71,3$ ；$\delta{ }^{\circ}$

＇throughout，＇6，1；61， 2 ；

 $\phi u \lambda a \kappa \eta ̂ s ~ E \chi \chi \epsilon \nu 8,3$ ；סıd $\theta o \rho u ́-$乃ov 40， 3 ；$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ dขá $\gamma \kappa \eta s$ 48， $6 n$ and see dua $\gamma \kappa \eta$ ；$\delta l^{\prime}$
 accus．：$\delta i d$ $\tau \delta$ with inf．in a long phrase，12， $4 ; 36,3$ ； 44,$5 ; 81,4 ;$ ठid $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́-$入ecav $\tau \epsilon \iota \downarrow \zeta 0 \mu \notin \nu \eta \nu 42,2$ ；$\delta \iota \alpha$
 $34,6 \mathrm{n}$ ．Note that $\delta i d$ is esp．common with neut． pron．in accus．
$\delta \iota a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，＇slander，＇48， 3 ；in Thuc．also means＇to cross，＇ $=\delta \iota a \beta a l \nu \epsilon \iota$
סıdßađ＜s，＇crossing，＇84，3； ＇ford，＇74， 2
$\delta \iota a \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{o} о \mu a \iota$（ $\delta \iota a-$ prob．re－ ciprocal），50， 4
סıaßpoxol $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ ，rimosae，12， 3
$\delta \iota a \gamma \psi \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，of messages passing between besiegers and be－ sieged，73，4；סıárye入os 73， 3
$\delta \iota d \gamma \omega \epsilon \pi l$ $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \rho a s$ 39，
 3
 27,3 ；кaтd $\delta .28,2$ ．$\delta \iota \alpha-$ סoxbs tive 15， 1
 19， 1



$\delta с а \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu \hat{\psi} \chi \rho \eta \bar{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ 71， 5
$\delta \iota a \kappa c \nu \delta v v e \dot{\omega} \omega$ ，with infin．，1，1； ס．दs 47， 3 ；$\delta$ ．is also used with $\pi \rho b s$ ；cf．$\beta l d\rfloor \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ és， $\pi \rho b s$
$\delta \iota a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega$ ，＇make away with，＇ 85， 3
סıaкрive $\sigma \theta a t$ ，of combatants，34， 6 ；38， 1

$\delta \iota a \nu 00 \hat{\mu} \mu a l$ ，with fut．infin．，56， 1


 aúrou＇s кal $8 \pi \eta$ $\sigma \omega \theta \eta$ бovтal 71， 6
$\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ ，＇am scattered，＇ 44,5
סıaфopá，w．objective gen．， 57 ，
 סid́фopov，$\tau 6$ ，＇the difference，＇ $55,2 n ; 75,7 . \quad \delta \iota a \phi 6 \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$ 71， 6
$\delta \iota a \phi \rho \hat{\omega}$ 32， 1 ；cf．Aristoph． Birds 193

бiбaбкалєiò 29， 5
$\Delta \in \epsilon \tau \rho \notin \phi \eta=29,1$ n．Appendix III．
סct $\epsilon \pi$ तोous 36，4；70， 4
$\delta и к \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a u$ ，＇hit，＇of weapons， 79， 2
סıкаı $68,1 n$
סiкŋ ：катd $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ 57，1；$\delta i \kappa a s$ סi $\delta 6$ val＇submit to a decision，＇ 18， 2
$\Delta l \phi \lambda \lambda o s$, succeeds Conon in the command at Naupactus， 413 B．C．， 34




$\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \iota 86,3$ ；$\delta$ ．$\tau \grave{\text { à }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ 83， 1 ； $\delta \rho \hat{\omega})(\pi d \sigma \chi \omega 71,7 ; 77,4-$ a common antithesis
סuvá $\sigma \tau \eta$ ）33， $4 n$
סuvart $\nu a \hat{u} s)$（ ãतous 60，2；


 $6 n$
$\delta v \sigma \tau u \chi \hat{\omega} 18,2 . \quad \delta u \sigma \tau u \chi$ la 86， 5.
 Intr．p．xxxvii．

## E


 64， 2 ；тঠ̀ ка日＇ย̇avтঠ̀ 44， 1 ； 69， 2
 $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ 49， 4
モ́ $\gamma \gamma \cup \cup \theta \epsilon \nu$ ü $\delta a \tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\chi} \sigma \theta a \iota 4,6$
є＇үкатє入ทфө $\eta$ ，deprehensus est， 24， 2 ；30， 2

$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \eta$ ，w．plur．verb，57，11；$\epsilon$ ． of small communities，58， 3
$\epsilon l$ ：note（1）when $\epsilon l$ with optat．appears in 0.0 ．，it regularly represents either a．モ́d $\nu$ with subj．，or b．$\epsilon l$ with optat．of O．R．；（2）$\epsilon l$ with indic．of $O . R$ ．remains the same in O．O．There is no exception to these rules in this book．－In 6， 1 єl тарє $\lambda$－
 represents in past time $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu$ $\pi \rho о є \lambda \theta \eta$ тaúrঠ̀v $\eta \delta \eta \quad \pi о \in \hat{\imath}$ aúroîs，being a remark by Thuc．－$\epsilon l$ tov $\alpha \lambda \lambda o v 21,5$. —el тws 79，5．－єl тотє каl aü $\theta$ cs 70，7． $\boldsymbol{c} l \boldsymbol{\mu \gamma}$ ，＇except，＇ in participial clanse， $38,1$. －ci not really hypothetical， 67， 1
clkbs（é $\sigma \tau \iota$ ），with aor．，pres．，or perf．infin．，47， $4 ; 66,3$ ； 74， 2 ；77，4；Є̀к то̂̂ єlко́тоs 66,$2 ; 68,3$
elкbтws 18， 2
Eld $\omega$ тes 19,3 ；26， $1 ; 58,3$
$\epsilon l \mu l: \notin \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$ etc． $11,2 n$ ；
 etc．frequently replace oi $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ etc．after ol $\mu \not ̀ \nu$ etc．；parts of $\epsilon l \mu$ are frequently omitted after relative words，esp． 8бos，q．v．
$\varepsilon \tau \mu L$ ：regularly present in the paradigm，except in 0．0．， 21，4；35，2；57， 9 ；74， 2 $n$ ；t̀s $\chi$ eípas léval 44，7；70， 5

єlтєр тотє 64， 2
єโтоу，＇command，＇ 29,1 ；＇say，＇ with infin．， 35,2 ；see also under $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ．－$\dot{\omega} s \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ， qualifying antithesis between aúrol，＇alone，＇and dxavtes ol d入入o 58,4 ；qualifying $\chi \in \rho \sigma a i ̂ o c ~ a p p l i e d ~ u n i v e r s a l l y$, 67， $2 n$
$\epsilon i \rho \in \sigma\{a \nu, \xi v \nu \in \chi \in L \nu \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, ＇to con－ tinue rowing hard，＇ $14,1 n$



 $\epsilon i \omega \theta$ ós，тарd $\tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} 60,5 ; 75,5$
$\epsilon \kappa$ ：（1）in adverbial phrases ；
 6,2 ；éк той $\forall \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu 79,4$ ；

 $2 ; 68,2$ ；Є̇к то̂̂ єúmperioûs 57， 7 ；$\epsilon \xi$ àd $\alpha \kappa \eta s 27,4$ ；（2）
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठuvatêv 74，1；ís éк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{u} \pi a \rho \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu 76 ; \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi а р о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu 62,1 ; 77,1 ;(3)$
 2 ；є́к $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ тєptovalas $\nu \in \hat{\omega} \nu$
 40，4；éк $\pi \epsilon \rho / \pi$ गov 36， 3 ； éк кara入óyou 16， 1 ；20， 2 ； （5）attraction ；$\mu \in \tau \dot{d} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \quad \in \kappa$
 cf． 4,$4 ; 25,6 ; 71,1$ ；（6） interchanged with $\alpha \pi 6,33,3$ ； with $\delta \iota \alpha, 87,2$
ย́кабтax $\delta \theta \varepsilon \nu$ ，following $\delta \sigma o s$, 20，2；21， 1
Eкабтоs placed in the rel． sentence， 4,$3 ; 13,2$ ；ка $\theta^{\prime}$
 is tкабта 65, 2 n ; ка $\theta^{\prime}$,

éкaтє $\rho \omega \theta \epsilon \nu 34,2 ; 78,5$. Adverbs in $-\theta \in \nu$ are very idiomatic, esp. followed by nouns in gen. case; cf. $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o ́ \theta \in \nu, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$
éкßaivo, 'disembark,' 40, 1; $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \iota \beta d j \omega$, cansal, 39, 2
$e^{2} \times \beta \circ \lambda \eta$, 'river's mouth,' 35,2 :
cf. éк $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$; but Thuc. uses є $\xi i \eta \mu$ in this sense
${ }^{\kappa} \kappa \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu 68,1$
éкeî̈ev 26,3
exeîvos: often used of the enemy, as 6, 1 al.; $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ éкeíva, to Athens, 64, 1, = éкeíve of 48, 4 ; ėкeivos and aúros applying to the same person, 14, 3
$\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega$, 'not retain,' 'abandon,'
 $5 n$; ̇̀к. rd $\tau \epsilon \bar{l} \chi \eta$ 60, 2
éкoúalos otpatelá 57, 9 ; see

$\epsilon \kappa \pi i \pi \tau \omega$, = 'to be banished,' 33, 5 ; $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma o \nu$ és $\tau \boldsymbol{\delta} \sigma \tau \rho a-$ $\tau 6 \pi \in \delta o \nu$, 'rushed into the camp,' 71, 6. Usually ék- $^{\prime}$ $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \ell$ es of men at sea $=$ 'to be washed ashore'
ѐкт $\boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\chi} \hat{\omega}$ 48, 2
éк $\grave{\nu} \downarrow$ єโขal 81, 3
 3


 тıvos 63, $4 n$
 regular form to use with $\pi{ }^{6}$ 人 15
 87, 5 ; रшрlò 60, 2 ; $\sigma$ т $\alpha-$ тєч $\mu$ а 75, 7

$\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$, with pres. inf. and $\alpha \nu$, 73, 2 ; with aor. inf. and $\alpha \nu$, 61, 3


 with inf., 41, 4 ; ท่ $\epsilon$. Toû


$\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$, of ships, $25,5 \mathrm{al}$.; $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\prime}\right)(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta$ 70, $4 n$;

$\epsilon \mu \pi a \lambda d \sigma \sigma о \mu a \iota 84,3 n$
 עєט̂̃al 56, $3 n$



 statement,' 48,3 ; cf. $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ -

${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega 34,2$
$\epsilon \nu$ : (1) in local phrases: $\epsilon \nu$
 द̀ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon \lambda a x i \sigma \tau \varphi ~ t ̀ a v \mu d \chi o v y ~ 7 0 , ~}$

 58, 1; $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \pi \rho 6 \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, 'in front,' 78, 4, 5 ; 81, 3 ; (2) in temporal phrases : $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \hat{\varphi}$
 aưtiкa 42, 2; द̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aütề 63,
 with imperf., 23,2 ; (3) $\epsilon^{2} \nu$ $\sigma \phi і \sigma \iota \nu$ aúroîs тapá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 67, 2; 84, 4; (4) phrases with eival, equivalent to a verb:

 58, 4 ; є̀ $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \beta 0 \lambda \hat{y} 76$; $̀ \nu$
 81, 4 ; ̇̇̀ $\pi a \nu \tau i \delta \eta े ~ d \theta v \mu i a s ~ 55, ~$
 with $\gamma l \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l: \quad \gamma l \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ हो $\nu$ $\chi \in \rho \sigma \mathfrak{l} 5,2$; $̇ \nu \quad$ tapax $\hat{n} \mathrm{kal}$
dторla 44， 1 －with EXecv： e．Et alila 81， 1 －with
 2 ；（5）$\epsilon \nu \psi$ ，of condition under which，51， $1 ; 68,2$. －каөєотйтеs t̀v 64， $2 n$ ； ＇owing to，＇8，2；${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{y}$ roî́s， with superlative，19，4；24， $3 ; 27,3$ ；71， 3
 aưroîs кal ．．．èvaybutwv 18， 1
ėvavtıồmal，augment of，50， $3 n$

$\epsilon \nu \delta \subset a \tau \rho(\beta \omega$ 81， 4
tevo

ళ̀veка 19 ab．，and never $\chi$ dap in Thuc．，except in $\mathbf{v} .70$ тoû $\theta \epsilon$ lov $\chi \alpha \rho \nu . \quad$ Observe that $\chi$ doc ${ }^{2}$ as prep．is poeti－ cal
${ }_{\varepsilon}^{*} \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \kappa a l{ }^{\ell} \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu} 81,4 n$
 50， 4
 over，＇18， 2
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \rho \rho \hat{\omega}$, ，＇judge from experience，＇ 36， 2 ；62， 1
द่̇тbs тє кal $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ 36， 2 ；$\epsilon$ ．

 77， 4

 35， 1
$\epsilon \xi$ そrov̂ $\mu a \iota 50,4 n$
$\epsilon \xi \circ \rho \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu a \hat{u} \nu 14,1 n$

 freedom of action，69， 2
$\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \omega \hat{\omega} 36,5 n ; 52,2$ ；63， 1
$\epsilon \pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma$ $\sigma \rho a \tau t a ́ \nu 17,1 n$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi d \gamma \omega$ ，of an army， 3,3 ；of supplies，60， 2 ； $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \dot{d} \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, to invite in，57，11；gain
over， 46 ；єтаүшүグ 24， 3 ； द́тakтbs 28， 1

 with infin．，51， 1
${ }^{*} \pi a \lambda \xi$ ss，collective of the Long Walls，28， 2


$\epsilon \pi d \nu \tau \eta s 79,2$

етeifomar，with infin．，42，4； 70,3 ；with $\pi p b s, 84,2$
Eтeєta（1）without $\delta \in$ ，following $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu 19,1 ; 26,2$ ； 43,1 ； 58,1 ；66， 2 ；（2） without $\delta \epsilon$ ，after other phrases，$\chi$ pbyov $\mu \notin \nu \tau \in \nu a \operatorname{40}$ ， 4 ；cf．78，7；79， 6 ；$\dot{\eta} \mu$ épas
 kal 23,$1 ; 52,2 ; 82,1$ ；（4）
 34，4．Note（1）when kal
 inserted，（2）$\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ is always followed by Execta $\boldsymbol{\delta \epsilon}$ when $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \tau a$ is used，（3）io

 formula
̇̇тeкßoŋ日ज 53， 2
$\epsilon \pi e \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$ 3？， 2

ETe $\xi \in \rho \chi$ оиac 51，2．These with ${ }^{\boldsymbol{e} \pi \in \kappa} \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \omega$ represent the com－ pounds of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \kappa$－used by Thuc． Note their military sense
єтєер $\omega \tau \hat{\omega} 10$
 frain from attacking，＇33， 3 $n$ ；द．，＇to stop，＇ 50,$4 ; 74$, 1 ；ढ．Tìv $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，obtinere，62， 4
$\epsilon \pi t$ ：（1）with gen．；place，－ ＇towards，＇as in $\epsilon \pi$＇olkov； ＇on，＇as $\epsilon \pi i \tau \omega ิ \nu \nu \epsilon \omega ิ \nu 71,5$ ；

 59,3 ；oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \delta \lambda\langle\gamma \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi(\delta \omega \nu$ $\pi a \rho a \tau d \sigma \sigma \omega 79,1$ ；（2）with dat．；place，－＇on，＇as in ro
 ＇ $\boldsymbol{\phi} \phi^{\prime} \quad 8 \pi \lambda$ лots $28,2 n$ ；circum－ stances under which anything is done，often preceded by $\dot{\omega} s,-\epsilon \pi i \quad \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon$, ，＇on an opportunity，＇13， $2 n$ ；（ $\dot{s}$ ） є．єúmparia 46， $1 ; 81,5$ ； cf． 59,$2 ; 62,1 ; 69,2$ ； $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ al $\sigma \chi \rho \bar{q}$ alriq dáro入є $\sigma \theta a \iota$ 48，
 62,3 ；－＇with a view to，＇ often preceded by $\dot{\omega}$ ，as $\dot{\omega} \mathbf{s}$
 $\tau \hat{\psi} \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \psi \quad$ б $\epsilon \epsilon \theta \rho \psi 79,3$ ； $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\psi} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \varphi \mathrm{~L} 19,2 n$ ；－＇con－ sidering，＇$\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon \pi l} \mu \in \gamma \in \theta \epsilon l$ 30， 4 ；－＇on condition of，＇$\epsilon \pi$＇
 addition to，＇ 86,2 ；－＇in the power of，＇ 12,5 ；（3）with accus．；place－motion to－ wards or on to，as $\epsilon \pi$＇Eкєiva $=\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon 64,1 ; \quad \epsilon \pi i \quad \pi 0 \lambda \nu$ ＇far，＇ 11,$4 ; 40,5 ; 65,2$ ； $\epsilon \pi i \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon_{0} \nu$＇further，＇48， 2 ；

 ＇the other side，＇37， 2 ；84，

 $\chi \omega \rho \in i ́ \nu 50,3$ ；time－$\epsilon \pi i$ то $\lambda \dot{\nu}$ 22，2；38，1；39，2；71， 5 ； 79， 6 ；extent reached－ $\boldsymbol{E \pi i}$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \neq \nu$ ，＇more，＇48， 2 ；é $\pi i$ 8боv 66，1；purpose－$\epsilon \pi i$


 36， 1
$\epsilon \pi \iota \beta a i \nu \omega$ ，with $\epsilon \pi l$ and accus．， 69,4 ；with dat．，70， 5

$\epsilon \pi ィ \beta \circ \eta \theta \hat{\omega} 3,4 ; 14,3 ; 53,3$
$\epsilon \pi \not \subset \beta \circ \lambda \dot{\prime}$ 62， 3 ；65， 1
$\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o u \lambda \in u ́ \omega$, ＇make plans for，＇ with accus．，51， 1
$\epsilon \pi<\beta o u \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \in \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，＇a plan against ships，＇70， 6
$\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \frac{\omega}{6} 70,7$ ；middle，69， 2 ； 75， 4
 19， 1 ；of night， 87,2 ；of suffering， 87,1 ；＇to attack，＇ 32， 2
 26， 1
$\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \delta \delta o v \sigma a$ ，＇increasing，＇l $\sigma \chi$ ús， 8， 1
$\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega 41,2 ; 53,3$
$\epsilon \pi เ \theta \in a \sigma \mu b s{ }^{75}, 4$
 $\theta v \mu i a ~$ тои̂ $\pi$ เєî̀ 84， 2
є̇тькdөך $\mu a \iota$ ，＇besiege，＇27， 4
 $1 ; 35,2 ; 84,5$
ет $\pi \kappa \in \epsilon \mu a \iota$ ，＇press on，＇42，3； 71,5 ；79， 5 ；84， 3 ；with dat．，81， 4
 with $\dot{\omega} s, 48,2$ ；with dat．， 83， 2
$\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ ai $\sigma \chi i \sigma \pi \eta$ 68， $2 n$
$\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa o v \rho \omega \hat{,}$ of an auxiliary force，
 18， 4 ；al $\epsilon$ єтккоирlaı $\xi \cup \lambda \lambda \epsilon-$
 $\pi \rho d \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ，of a power that depends on hired force，48， 5 $\epsilon \pi \kappa \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$, absol．and instrum． dat．，42，6；63，2；71， 3 ； 72， 1
 cf．II． $54 \tau \mu \mu \omega{ }^{2} i a \operatorname{\epsilon ̇\pi } \epsilon \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ $\epsilon \pi i \lambda \epsilon$ रopal tous $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau 0 u s$ 19， $3 n$

$\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \in \grave{\lambda} о \mu a \iota$ absol．，8， $3 ; 39,2$.
 $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda \epsilon l a \nu \pi o \iota o v ̂ \mu a l ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l$ tồ $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ 56， 2


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega)(\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega 15,1$
$\epsilon \pi เ \pi l \pi \pi \omega$ ，of trouble，29， 5 ； ＇to attack，＇29， 3 ；＇to fall on，＇84， 3
$\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ，absol．，or with dat．， 12， 4 al．$\quad \epsilon \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon v \sigma$ เs $36,6$.
 49， 2
$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota 14,2$
＇Eтィто入al $1,1 n ; 2 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ;$ 42； 43
 $3 ; 7,4 ; 17,3$
 2 ；mid．＇alter construction of，＇ 36,2
 21， 4 al．
$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega 14$ ，4．$\epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta$ 8， $2 ; 10,1 ; 11,1 ; 16,1$
$\epsilon \pi \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \grave{\prime} \omega 47,4$ ．$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \iota \sigma \mu b s$ 18， 4
$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \iota o s, \quad$＇friendly，＇73， 3 ； 75,3 ；＇necessary＇or＇desir－ able， 20,2 ； 60,3 ；тdे द． 4 ， 4 al．

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau(\theta \in \mu a l$ ，＇attack，＇ 41,4
 attempt，＇ 42,4

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega 18,3 n$
 2
ĖTıфavク̀s，＇visible，＇3，4；19， 2 ；＇famous，＇69， 2
${ }^{2} \pi \iota \emptyset \ell \rho \omega$ ，military word，18， 2 ； 37，3；40，4；56， 2 ；70， 2
$\bar{\epsilon} \pi เ ф \uparrow \mu \sigma \mu \alpha$ 75， 7

 47,1 ．$\quad \pi \pi$ เरel $\rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ 12， 5 al．

$\epsilon$ єпоцкодоли 4， 3
є̇ँоเкผ̂ 27， 3


 $\gamma^{i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l} 25,2$

$\epsilon \pi \omega \tau \ell \delta s$ 34， 5 al．

épyov ）（ $\lambda$ byos 48， $3 n$ ；69， 2 ；
 є．$\mu \in ́ \gamma a$ 87， $5 ;=\mu d \chi \eta$ 71， 3 ；$\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \delta$ ध．19， 1
＇Epıvés rи̂s＇Aरatas 34，1；ò ＇Е．тотано́s 80， 5
є $\rho \mu \boldsymbol{2} 25,7 n$
＇Epuoкрdтクs，urges the S．to attack the A．by sea，21， 3 ： delays the $A$ ．retreat by a trick，74， 1 ．
 voîs $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota 44,4$
Es：（1）with nouns and adjs．，
 3 ；$\pi \rho \delta \theta \nu \mu o s$ द＇s $\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ 18， 3 ；द́ $\xi$ ovola tss סiaırà 69 ；


 סрầ 67， 3 ；jádóos és to
 $\tau む \lambda \lambda a$ 77， 2 ；（2）with кa0l－ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ，and similar words；
 к．＇̇＇s фбßод 44， 7 ；каӨiбта－ $\sigma \theta a l$ és davtitana 13， 2 ；к．$̀$ és． фi入oviklà 28， 3 ；к．द＇s $\mu d \chi \eta \nu$ 53， 2 ；к．द＇s ámbooav 67，4．；
 S $\epsilon \sigma \theta a l$＇ss 62， 4 ；（3）with lèval：ề $\theta$ eî̀＇́s $\chi$ モîpas 44， 7 ； 70， 5 ；є́s $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \in \epsilon ̂ \rho a \nu ~ 21, ~ 4 ;$

（4）with verbs，describing the end，purpose，or view ：

 ঠомєì є́s тд какоируеї̀ 19， 2 ；
 86， 5 ；$\delta \iota a \iota \tau \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a l$ és $\theta \epsilon o u ́ s$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o u s$ 77， 2 ；$\xi v \nu \tau \dot{d} \sigma \sigma \in \sigma-$ $\theta a \iota$ ẁs ढ่s $\mu d \chi \eta \nu 2,3$ ；cf．3，
 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{a}$ е́s фu入aкク̆ 4， 6 ；cf．71， 6 ； $\pi \rho d \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau$ és àvaßo入ás 15 ，


 （5）＇in the presence of，＇ 56,2 є̈ $\sigma \beta a \sigma \iota \varsigma$, ＇embarkation，＇ 30,2 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \imath \beta \dot{j} \omega 60,4$
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda u \theta 6 \tau \epsilon s$ єт $\tau \cup \chi$ ор 29， 5
є̇ $\sigma \eta \gamma 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{al} 73,1$
 4；24， 3
莫 $\sigma \pi$ गous 41， 2 n

 тos 29，5；креі $\quad \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ étépas
 $=\kappa \alpha \tau d \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha 59,2$ ；cf． 33，2；44， 1
ETL，＇in addition，＇7， 3 al．It may qualify a noun
 $5 ; 65,3$
EvBoca，her importance to A． as a source of supplies， 28 ， 1 ；inhabitants of，57， $2 n$
єüка日aцрєтбs 18， 2
 57， 10
єúnpayía，$\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}, 46 ; 81,5$ ；$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ 86， 4

Eúpún ${ }^{2}$ os 2， 3 ；43， 3
Eưpv $\mu \in \delta \omega \nu$, A．general， 16 ； $31 ; 33$ ； 35 ；42；49； 52
ev̉puxwpla 3， 3 al．
єঠ̛́акктоs тореіа 77， 5
 77，2，p．xxxvii．
є $\mathbf{x}$ ㅁ 75， 7

 7

 41， 4
 36， 2 ；दै．$\pi \lambda \in і$ ḯ


 ráoous 2,1 ；$\dot{s} s \tau \hat{\eta} s$ guvtuxlas É $\sigma \chi 0 \nu 57,1 n ; \sigma \chi \epsilon i \nu$ ，＇touch at，＇ 2,$1 ; 26,2$


ย $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 19， $5 n$

## Z

Zakívoroc，as allies of A．，31， 2 $n$ ；57， $7 n$

 4
亏 $\omega \gamma \rho \hat{\rho}$ 23， 4 al．

 oners，85， 2

## H

$\dot{\eta} \gamma o v ̂ \mu a \iota$ ，of a general，19， $1 n$ ； ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu \omega ̈ ้ ~ 15,1 ; \pi а \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \mu a u$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \delta \nu a 58,3$ ；$\delta \delta \delta \omega \mu \iota \dot{\eta} .50$ ， 2；门． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ iरvoual 56， 3
\＃\％$\eta$ ：＇already，＇describing the circumstances at a given time：note（1）it is much
commoner than our＇al－ ready＇；（2）it is esp．common with the partic．，but must only be used with pres．or perf．partic．；（3）it is com－ mon after compar．，esp． $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu-' b e f o r e ~ n o w, ' ~ w i t h ~$ aor．，77，1， 4 ；＇at once，＇ 15， 1 ；73， 1



 $\sigma \theta a / 68,1$
 \＃кıбта 86，3， 4 al．
\＃kcu，＇arrive，＇ 1,4 n；17， 3 al．； ＇return，＇21， 1
ท̀ $\lambda$ cкlas $\phi \in l \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l 29, ~ 4 ; ~ \grave{\eta}$.
 ク̀入ıкіа 64， 1



 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{r}$ ทे．＇per day，＇27， 2 ；$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 ท．74， 1
$\eta_{\eta} \mu \tau \epsilon \rho a, \eta(\gamma \hat{\eta}) 68,2$
 4
\＃$\mu \nu \sigma=\tau \delta$ \＃．$\mu д \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ каі $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0 \nu}$ 80， 4


＇Hраклеís 73， 2

f̄acov：oúdevds $\mathfrak{\eta} .30,4$ ；oủx
 oúdèv j．78， 1 al．

H$\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ：oú $\delta \epsilon \mu$ âs मु．29， 5
 ทั $\sigma v$ रḑov 83， 4
ク̇бuxlav，ка日＇38， 3 al．

 4， 2 ；катd $\theta$ àa $\sigma \sigma a \nu 4,1$
$\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ 12， 3
өa入aббократ 48， 2



$\theta$ ár $\epsilon \rho a$, èml 37， 2 ；84， 4
Ө́4os，A．naval station，49， 2
$\theta \epsilon \in, \dot{\eta}, \delta c^{\prime}$ ठ $\lambda$（үou $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota 71,2$


$\theta \in o l \pi a \tau \rho \hat{\psi} o l$, gods of a family，

$\theta$ eoìs é $\pi$ ！$\phi \theta$ ovos 77， 3
$\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon \dot{u} \omega \mu$ M with inf．，70， 3
Өŋßaĩo 18， 2 ；19， 3
Өориßoù $\mu a<$ 3， 1 al．Obpußos：
ठıd $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ 日．40， 3 ；$\theta$ ．
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 44,4$ ；$\epsilon \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\psi} \theta$ ．
elvau 81， 4
Ө ${ }^{2}$ र̂кєs，barbarity of， 29
 3
$\theta \nu \mu о \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu о \nu, \tau 668,1$

## I

＇Ianvyla 33， 3

lסía 48， $4 n$

ikavbs ）（ d̀vayкaíos 69， $3 n$ ； iкavà そứrúx ${ }^{\prime}$ тal 77， 3

＇ $\mathrm{I} \mu \mathrm{e} \rho \mathrm{pa} 1,3 \mathrm{n}$
lua，ut，rare in Thuc．compared w． $8 \pi \omega s$ ：four times in this book
＇Ibvios，$\delta$（кблтоя）33， 3
iñıк6v，т6 30， 2
t $\sigma a$ ，adverbial，71，3．See $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau 6 s$

Ібомогра какйд 75， 6 n
 etc．，5， 3 al．
 1
loұvoļomá 49， 1
 Ėv $\delta i \delta 6 \nu a \iota 66,3$
 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ 50,3$ ；עaû̀ l．35， 2

## K

ка日дтєן 32， 2
каӨє́Somal，of armies，51， 1 al． каӨlj $\omega \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota d \nu 82,3 n$
 ка $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa b s 67,2$
 56， 2
кal：note the following uses； （1）corrective，as a dutima入ol $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \eta \theta \in \epsilon \iota$ каl है $\tau \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon$ lous 12 ， 4 ；（2）＇and so，＇very often introducing a sentence；（3） double use in balanced clauses，as mo入入aкıs $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ каi
 $\tau \dot{\tau} \epsilon \epsilon 8$ ，1．каі ．．．$\delta \epsilon 56,3$
кацрб́s：катд тоûто то̂ к．2， 4



какотаөڤे 87，2， 5 n．какота－

какочру 4,$6 ; 19,2$
какй тd̀ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a \quad 27,3$ ．кá－

 кal ن̀ $\pi \epsilon \stackrel{\rho}{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \lambda \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ w．inf．70， 7 al．；$\tau 亠$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0}{ }^{2} \kappa$ ад́o 71,1

Kалдрєva 33， 1 n картой $\mu a \iota ~ e ́ \lambda \epsilon u \theta \epsilon \rho l a \nu ~ 68, ~ 3$
картєра $\nu а \nu \mu а \chi$ ia 70， 2

Kapúбтьo 57， 4
катd：with accus．，（1）local； катд̀ $\beta \rho a \chi$ и́ $\tau \iota 2,4$ ；катд̀ $\tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ єúpux $\omega$ plav 6，2；катà $\tau \delta \nu$

 $\chi$ ढ́рад $\mu \notin \nu \epsilon \iota \nu 49,3 n$ ；то ка $\theta^{\prime}$ éautờ 44， 1 ；（2）temporal， time at which；кatd rov̀тo

 4；к．т $̀ \nu \nu$ ע （3）＇owing to＇；катd́ $\tau \epsilon$


 к．$\pi$ бдрта 58,$4 ; 87,6$ ；кат

 57，5；к．т $\quad \nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu 57$,
 ＇according to＇；к．т̀े סvעatov 36， 4 ；$\pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega$ \＃кaтd $\quad$ тois
 סakpva 75，4；rà кard̀ ràs $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ s ~ 18, ~ 3 ; ~ a u ́ t \grave{\eta} \nu ~ к а 日 ' ~$ a⿱宀寸̃ไh 28，3；（5）＇opposite＇；
 б $\rho \mu l \zeta \in \sigma \theta a t$ 34， 1 ；（6）＇via＇； as $\kappa$ ．tàs＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi$ ıто入ás 1,1 ；к． $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu, \quad \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ 28， 1 al．； к．$\pi$ गoû̀ єlval 31，3；（7） distributive；as к．$\delta\left(a \delta o \chi \eta \eta^{\nu}\right.$ 27， 3 ；ка $\theta^{\prime}$ еєкабта 8， 1 ；к． tàs $\pi$ б́入ets 13， 2 ；к．$\beta \rho a \chi \dot{v}$
 $\xi \nu \mu \mu \dot{\chi}$ оus $\pi \epsilon \rho เ a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 18$, 4 ；－with gen．катà кр $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \nu \omega \nu$ 44， $8 n$
$\kappa a \tau \alpha \beta a \sigma \iota s, \dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu 44,8$

$\kappa \alpha \tau a \beta \nu \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \epsilon \pi i \pi 0 \lambda \nu \nu \in \omega$＇s 65， 2
 partic．，48， 1
 tuvos 51， 1
катаба $\alpha$ јоцає 81， 5
катаסou入 $\omega \sigma \epsilon \iota, \epsilon \pi l$ 66， 2


катаl $\rho \omega)(\delta \rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 49,2$
катаколขцв $\hat{\omega}$ 25， 6
$\kappa а \tau а \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \omega(\tau \iota \nu a \tau \iota \pi о \iota 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha)$ 2， 1
каталбуоv，ёк 16， $1 n ; 20,2$
 фораîs 77， 1. кат $\alpha \mu \in \mu \psi เ s$ $\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$ aưT $\hat{\nu} \nu 75,5$
Kard́v $14 ; 60 ; 80,2 n ; 85$ ， 4
кататроб $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \mu \mathrm{L} 48,4$
$\kappa \alpha \tau а р \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega 6,3 n$
катарр $\epsilon$ н 84， 3
кататра⿱䒑䶹атіకш $\nu a \hat{\nu} \nu 41,4$
 41， 1

катทффєเа 75， 5 n
$\kappa a \tau l \sigma \chi \omega$＇ss 33， 4
＜катокข $\omega>\tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda є \mu о \nu 31,4$ n
$\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{s} 70,6$

$\kappa \in ́ \rho \delta o s, \delta \iota a ́ 57,9$ ；кє́ $\rho \delta \epsilon \iota 57,10$
K $\epsilon \rho \kappa \cup \rho a$ ，its support of A．， 57 ， 7 n ．Corcyra had only an $\epsilon \pi c \mu a x^{l} a$ with $A$ ．，and there－ fore was not bound dдd $\gamma \kappa \eta$ to send aid；but she pre－ tended to be so，and could make out a good case
$\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \tau l \delta \epsilon s$ кal $\delta \hat{a p s} 57,4 n$
$\kappa \lambda \dot{j} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$, concrete sense of $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ 70，2．к $\lambda_{\eta} \sigma \tau o ̀ s \lambda_{c} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ 38， 2 n
коî̀os $\pi о т a \mu b s$ ，＇ r ．with a deep bed，＇ 51,2
коєขจ入оүоиิщaı 86， 4
 85， 3

колои́омає 66， 3

ко $і$ баs $\mu \varepsilon \tau а \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$ 39， $2 n$
K $\delta \nu \omega \nu$ ，as commander at Nau－ pactus，31．Cf．Appendix III．

Kopiption，energy of against the A．， 7 ； 17 ； 19 ； 31 ； 34 ； 39 ；58， 3 ；70；86， 4
$\kappa \delta \sigma \mu \varphi$, ои $\delta \in \nu і 23,3 ; 40,3$ ；84， 3 кои́фıб८s 75， 6
$\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \tau a, \tau \dot{d} \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a s$, ＇the richest parts，＇19， 2
$\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$ ，construction of，11， 2 n．крáтоя，катá 41， 1
краиүท̂̀ $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota 44,4 ; 71,5$
кройодає три́цдад 40，1；70， 8
крúqıos：$\dot{\eta}$ к．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma \tau a v \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ 25， 7
$\kappa \tau \epsilon l \nu \omega$ 29， 4
$\kappa \cup \kappa \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ，in military sense， 81，2， 4
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a \quad \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho} \iota a 53,4 n$

## A

पа́ $\beta \delta a \lambda o \nu 3,4 n$
 27 ； 28 ；invade Attica and fortify Decelea，18；19；their relations with Nicias， 86
 1,$1 ; \lambda . \alpha \pi b \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \nu=\pi \sigma \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ äbincepal 21， 2
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho d \nu l \kappa \eta$ ，＇decisive victory，＇ 55， 1 n．$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \omega \hat{s} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \epsilon і ̈ \theta \theta \iota$ 71，5．Cf．$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \partial{ }_{s}$ applied to warriors
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho 6 \tau \eta \tau 6 s \tau \iota \dot{v} \pi d \rho \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \nu \iota 69$, 2 ；ánd olas $\lambda$ ．кal aủ $\chi \eta \mu a t o s$ 75， 6
$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ，with inf．instead of $\delta \tau \tau$ ， 21,3 ；$\lambda . \tau \iota \pi \rho d s \chi \alpha \rho \iota \nu 8,2$ ； тो $\lambda \epsilon \gamma 6 \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ，＇according to the proverb，＇ $68,1 n ; 87,6$

$\lambda \epsilon$ тas＇Aкpaîon 78， 5
入ибтєlas поьоûmal 27， 4
入ıӨотощlac 86， 2
$\lambda_{\iota} \mu \eta \eta_{\nu} \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \delta{ }^{\prime}$ 38， 2
$\lambda_{\imath} \mu \hat{\psi} \pi t \epsilon ́ 5 о \mu a \iota ~ 87,2$
入óros．See tepyov．aǩov $\tau \iota$入б́ov таралаßєì 38， 1
入oınos，either agreeing with a case or with gen．，72， 3
$\lambda \omega \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\sigma v \mu \phi o \rho a l$, medical met．，77， 3

## M

налакļомaı 68， 3 ；$\mu a \lambda a \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon l s$ $\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a$ 7 77， 7
$\mu d \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \tau a$ ，among other super－ latives，42， $3 n$ ；ol $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ то̂̂ $\beta$ арßарıкой 29， 4
 57， 9 ；67，4；69，3；81， 5 ； oủ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ．．．à $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ 57， 1 ；

 48， 5 ；$\mu$ ．е̇ $\tau \in \rho$ рas 29， 5 n
$\mu a \nu \theta d \nu \omega$ ク̈ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ © $\nu 42,3$
Mavtıทŋ̀s，as mercenaries，57， $9 n$

$\mu \in \gamma \in \theta$ os：$\dot{v} \pi \delta \quad \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta$ ous（ $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ какои etc．）72，2；75， 7 ；і̀s
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \in \theta \eta \notin \chi$ оибаı 55,2
$\mu \in \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a l$ тapd $\theta \dot{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$（？） 39， 2 n
$\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega \nu$ ，double in a proportion，
 75， 4

$\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ ．．．$\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ．．．$\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ．．．$\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

28， $3 n$ ；$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ ．．．$\mu$ еутто 14， 4：$\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ．．．$\delta \dot{e}$ may be separated by many words， but often $\mu \dot{c} \nu$ is omitted where this would be the case，as 5， 3 і̀ $\Gamma$ и́̀ıтtios（v．l．
 Nexlas
Mévavסpos，specially appointed general， $16 ; 43 ; 69,4$
$\mu \in \sigma \sigma \gamma \epsilon \iota a$ ：leval did $\mu \in \sigma o \gamma \epsilon l a s$ 80， 5
$\mu \in \tau$ á：（1）with gen．；con－ current act or state ： $8 \lambda \mathrm{o}$－


 4 ；－тд $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\nu} 75,6 n$ ； $\psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ 48， $1 n$ ；－$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \sigma \theta o \hat{\imath} \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu 57$ ，
 neutrals，33，2．（2）with accus．；place－olкєî̀ $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aútoús 58,1
 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma$ à $\eta \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ о $\hat{\eta}$ єival 76
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \mu \epsilon \lambda$ os $\mu \in \dot{\gamma}$ as $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ otparelas 55， 1
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \epsilon \rho \uparrow \zeta \omega \omega \tau \iota \nu a \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ̂ s ~ 87,1$
 63， 3
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho o l$ éà $\lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu 71,6 ; \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega-$ pov $\tau \iota$ ，locus editus，82， 3
 ขovтal 87， 1
$\mu \epsilon \tau \delta \pi \omega \rho o s: \pi \rho \delta s \mu \epsilon \tau \delta \pi \omega \rho \delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \iota$ $\tau \dot{\tau}$ そ̇os 79， 3
 3 ；$\mu$ ．ov $\begin{gathered}\text { d } \\ 83,2\end{gathered}$
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ：ї́xbyoua $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots 49,4$ ；$\epsilon l$
 ＇except，＇42， 6 ；$\mu \grave{\eta}$ ．．．Tıs 68,$3 ; 69,2$ ；81， 5 ；$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ка $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ d ${ }^{2} \alpha \gamma \kappa \eta \nu \quad 70,8$
 28， 3 n

$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu$ ．．$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon 82$ ，
2．The usual formula is $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau$ ．．．$\mu \eta \tau \epsilon$ ．．．$\mu \eta \delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
$\mu \eta \chi a \nu a ̂ \tau a \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta \in ~ 73,3$
$\mu і \mu \eta \sigma$ ıs тро́т $\omega \nu$ 63， 3
$\mu \sigma \sigma \theta 0 \hat{0}$ ，＇for pay，＇ 25,7 ；$\mu \in \tau \dot{d}$ $\mu .57,9$
$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ офборос 57， 3 al．

 rifvetal 50， 4
Muкал $\eta \sigma \sigma \sigma$ s，disgraceful treat－ ment of， $29 n$
$\mu \nu \rho \iota o \phi b p o s ~ \nu a u ̂ s ~ 25,6 n$


## N

vavßdrins 75， 7 n
ขaukpat 60， 2
$\nu a u \lambda o \chi \hat{\omega}$ ，＇watch for＇at sea，4， 7
ขаข $\mu а \chi \hat{\omega}$ á $\gamma к \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda \alpha$ 71，4；$\nu$.
durina入a 34， 6
Naúтактоs，A．station at，17，
$4 n ; 19 ; 31$ ； 34
$\nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota \mu a \xi \dot{\jmath} \lambda a$ 25， 2
 $2 n$
$\nu \notin \mu о \mu a \iota \mu \notin \operatorname{pos} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} 58,2$
$\nu \in о \delta а \mu \omega \dot{\delta \epsilon \iota \iota} 19,3 ; 58,3$
$\nu \in \phi$ рîtıs $\nu 6 \sigma o s ~ 15,1 ;$ p．xxxiv．
$\nu \in \dot{\omega}$ рıò 22,1
$\nu \epsilon$ ผбоккоє 25， 6

 86， 4
ขทбєштเк
Nıkias，Intr．§ 2
$\nu 0 \mu l \zeta \in \sigma \theta a u$ ，＇to be directed，＇ 86, 5
$\nu \delta \mu \iota \mu a, \tau<$ 57， 2

Neither voûs nor $\phi \rho \neq 1$ ，but
$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ or $\delta t d y o l a$ is the ordinary word in narrative prose
риктонахla 44， 1

## 录


$\xi \eta \rho \delta \dot{T} \eta \mathrm{~s} \nu \in \hat{\omega} \nu 12,3$
$\xi u \gamma \gamma^{〔} \nu \epsilon 1 a \nu$, кaTá 57， 1
 2

$\xi \cup \gamma к а т а \lambda \alpha \mu \beta d \nu \omega 126$


$\xi \cup \gamma \kappa \tau\lceil\zeta \omega \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \nu 57,9$
$\xi \cup \gamma \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega \dot{\rho}{ }^{2}$ 57， 1
Gũıvos $\pi$ úpyos 25， 6
द́v ${ }^{2}$ доуos 31， 5
$\xi \nu \mu \beta a l \nu \omega$ ：тolaûta $\xi v \nu \epsilon \beta \eta$ ，＇turn out，＇ 30,4 ；$\xi \nu \mu \beta a l \nu \epsilon 1$ ，placed first and followed by inf．， 11， 4 al．$\xi .$, ＇to agree to terms，＇83， 2
$\xi \nu \mu \mu а \chi \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, катд $\tau 6$ 20，1；33， 5 $\xi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \alpha \nu, \tau 6, \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota ~ 77,7$ ；$\tau \delta \xi$. círeív 49， 3

$\xi \nu \mu \phi \notin \rho о \nu$ ，катà $\tau 6$ 57，1．$\xi \nu \nu \eta-$ $\nu \epsilon \chi \theta \eta=\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \beta \eta 44,1 n$
 1， 5 al．
छvvarel $\rho \omega$（ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau 6 \nu$ ）32， 1
$\xi v \nu a \theta \rho o l j \omega$ 86， 1
छvvavatel0w 21， 3
$\xi v \nu \delta a \sigma \dot{\varphi}\} \omega$ 57， 1

 єХєбтє $\rho \varphi$ єโvai 81,4
$\xi v \nu \in \chi \omega$ т $\eta \nu$ elpeolav，＇continue rowing，＇14， 1
$\xi \cup \nu 1 \theta \eta \tau \psi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \psi \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$ 67， 2
$\xi \cup \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \in \nu 01 \nu \in \kappa \rho o i ~ \epsilon \pi ' ~ d \lambda \lambda \eta \eta \lambda \omega \nu$ 87， 2

छvитара́ббомal 81， 2
$\xi v \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$＇́s $\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \sigma 0 \nu 36,2$
$\xi \cup \nu \tau 0 \mu \omega \tau \dot{d} \tau \eta$ סьamo入є́ $\mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ 43， 4
 ＊$\sigma \chi \circ$ 57， 1
乡vбкєudjoual，vasa colligo，74， 1
 74， 3
 81， 5 n
そú strain，＇71， 1
$\xi v \sigma \tau \rho \notin \phi 0 \mu \alpha \iota$, military term，30， 2 al．

## 0

bүбоov каl $\delta$ е́катор 18， $4 n$
$8 \delta \epsilon$ ，irregularly referring to something previously men－ tioned，13， 2 ；this irregu－ larity is not uncommon in the speeches；$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\psi}$ aúr $\hat{\varphi}$ $\tau \hat{\psi} \delta \epsilon$ ，＇at the same time，＇ 63， 3
 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 27,2 n$
ol，sibi，42， $4 n$
olkeîov，$\tau 644,2$
olkov，$\epsilon \pi \pi^{\prime} 25,4$ al．Cf．кат＇ otкov，＇at home＇

oik $\hat{\omega}$ is used a．abs．，b．w． accus．，c．w．$\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$
otpal must not be constructed with 8 TL
otos ：ámd olas ．．．és olay áфікато 75， $6 n$ ；оโа $=$ $\dot{\text { us }} 79,3 n$ ；otos，attracted，
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ 70， 2
бккขos érүlүvetal 49， 4
б $\lambda \epsilon \theta \rho$ оs $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ t \omega \nu 27,3 n$ ；$\epsilon \pi l$
 79， 3
 time，15， 2 ；＇̇v d́．，space，67， 3 ；és b．36， 5 ；$\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ठ．，
 то入入へิ้ 87， 5


 75， 4
д $\mu a \lambda \delta \nu$, т 644,8
$8 \mu \nu \lambda o s$, ò ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \operatorname{los} 58,4 n$

$\dot{\text { ó } \mu \text { olws ：oủx j．kal } \pi \rho l \nu} 28,4$ ；
 42， 3 ；50， 3 ；78， 7

$\delta \mu \omega s: \sigma \chi 0 \lambda a i \tau \varepsilon \rho \delta \nu \mu \epsilon \nu, 8 \mu \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ 15， 2 ；cf．44， 1 al．
${ }^{6} \nu о \mu a, \tau \delta \mu^{\prime} \gamma \alpha$ 64， 2

 6

$\delta \pi \lambda a \quad \tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota 3,1 ; 8$ ．є́ $\pi \iota \phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$
 6 ；8．тарабои̂val 82，2； $8 \pi \lambda a=$＇guard－stations，＇28， $2 n$ ；$=$＇shields，＇ 45,2
 oú $\delta$ èv $\delta \eta \lambda 0 u ̂ v \tau \epsilon s$ 38， 2
$\delta \pi \omega s . . . \epsilon l \mu \eta$ dóvalvto ．．．
 w．opt．in final clause，65， $2 n$
$\delta \pi \omega \sigma 0 \hat{v} \nu$ ，following kal，60， 3 ； oúd＇ 49,2
$\sigma \rho \gamma \hat{n} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \in i ̂ \xi a<68,1$
 miâs d．71， 6
 é $\dot{\rho}$ рa 37， 2
$\delta \sigma d \kappa c s$, with opt．，18， 3
ס $\sigma \eta \mu \notin \rho a \iota, ~ ' d a i l y, ' 27,5$

ö $\sigma 0 s: \pi \lambda \eta \nu$ ö $\sigma o \nu$ ，with ellipse of $\epsilon l \mu l, 23,4 n$ ；cf． 60,2 ；

62， $4 ; 87,2$ ；тобойтоע
8боע 28， 3 ；тробє入dбаעтеs $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ öбov $\tau$ וs $\notin \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ dкоб $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 73， 3 ；є̇สl ö́ov 66， 1 ；ởx
 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ 63,2$ ；$\partial \sigma \alpha$ $\gamma \epsilon \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu 11,4$

ö $\tau \iota \tau d \chi 0$ 42，3．ő $\tau \iota$ w．clause following did w．accus．，58， 4 ；following $\pi \rho$ ds w．accus．， 60， 2 ；following kard w． accus．，56， 2
oúdè $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$＇$\tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu=\mu \in \tau$＇oúסerté $\rho \omega \nu$ 33， 2 ；о ${ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$＇$\phi^{\prime}$＇＇̇ $\tau \notin \rho \omega \nu 44,1$ ； oud＇©ss 75， 6



 $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu 59,1$ ；oú $\delta \ell \nu$ ö тс oủk
 5 n ；oú $\delta \epsilon \nu d s ~ \hbar \sigma \sigma \sigma 0 \nu$ aklos 30 ， 4 ；oídevds è $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \omega \nu ~ 85, ~ 4 ;$ фठßos oủevl éoukús 71 ；oú $\delta \in \nu l$
 49， 3
 oinv，after a parenthesis，6，1； 42， 3 n
ơ̈plos avenos 53， 4
оӧтє ．．．тe 30， 2 ；31， 4 al．
oütos，emphatic， 2,$4 ; 29,5$ ； 32， 2 ；oūros，in epanalepsis， 42， 3 ；roûto，w．gen．，2， 4 ； 86， 5

$\delta \psi{ }^{\prime}, \mu \epsilon \chi \rho L 83,3$
бұıs ）（ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} 75,2$

## II


 4
 $\nu г \sigma$ обs 44， 6
 $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \nu$ dvdккрovats 62， 4 ： $\pi d \lambda \iota \nu$ at̉ 46；64， 1
$\pi a \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu 0 s \sigma \in \lambda \nmid \nu \eta \eta 0,4$

 7， 2
та⿱亠тaरŋ̂ тробßa入入єเ 79， 5
 ס́ṽams 42， 2
та⿱тоі̂al тєîpal 25， 8

rapd：（1）gen．；rapd Nıriou 10 （no other ex．of gen．in this book）；（2）dat．；none； （3）accus．；along or past，
 т．тотацд» leval 80， 5 ；to， тарd өá入aббаע $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ （？） $39,2 n$ ；contrary to，$\pi$ ．

 66， $3 n$ ；$\pi$ ．l $\sigma \chi$ ì $\tau$ ท̂s סuvd－ $\mu \in \omega s$ 66， 3 ；п．тд ка日єбт $\quad$ кбs 67， 2 ；$\pi$ ．т $\grave{2} \nu$ dElav 77， 1 ； giving the measure，mapd rocoûtov $\boldsymbol{\lambda \lambda \theta o \mathrm { O } , \text { with gen．，}}$ $2,4 n$ ；$\pi a \rho$＇$\delta \lambda($ yov，＇by a little，＇71， 3 －opposite of тapd $\pi \mathbf{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ，＇by much．＇Note that rapd with gen．and with dat．is used of persons， not of things；the same is true of mapd with accus． meaning＇to＇w．verbs of motion
тараßоךөิ 37， 3 al．
тараүlyvomal 42， 1 al．
таракал $\hat{\omega}$ ，military term， 20 1

 àmb tıvbs rıvc 70， 7
тараклибөels（？）69， 4

 ' obtain no important advantage,' 38, 1
тара入оуоу тоıท̂баi $\tau \iota \nu \ell$, 'оссаsion surprise to,' 28,3 ; $\delta \pi$.
 roîs $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оts $\pi$ a $\rho$ d $\lambda$ orot 61, 3 $\pi a \rho a \lambda u ́ \omega \omega t \nu a$ tins d $\rho \chi \chi \hat{\eta}$, 'remove from command,' 16,1 $\pi а \rho a \nu \delta \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ ts $\tau \iota a$ тєрє $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ 18, 3

 78, 1 ; $\pi а \rho a \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota a$ е் $\pi \epsilon \pi b \nu-$ $\theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ каl $\ell \delta \rho a \sigma a \nu 71,7$
тарабкєvd广oмat, w. partic., w's omitted, 17, $3 n$
тарабкєטम, 'force,' 48, $5 n$;
 рaбкєuท̂s тiбтıs )( túxทs
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{5}, 4$
таратd́ $\sigma \sigma \omega$ 3, 4 al.
таратєiхıб $\mu a, 11,3$ al.
тараитіка: $\dot{\eta} \pi$. $\dot{\omega} \phi е \lambda l a ~ 57,9 ;$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi .71,7$



 тท̂s àкoŋ̂s 70, 6 ; $\pi$. àroplav, $\theta b \rho v \beta o \nu, \phi 6 \beta o \nu 44$ (e.g.)
тарьттєย́ш 78, 3
$\pi a ̂ s: ~ l \delta \epsilon \in a \quad \pi a ̂ \sigma a \quad к a \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$
 d $\theta$ vilas 55, 1 ; $\pi a \nu t l ~ \tau \rho 6 \pi \psi ~$ 70,8 ; סıd $\pi a \nu \tau b s$, ' continually,' 6, 1; 61, 2
$\pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi \omega$ тồтo, referring to a previous statement, 11, 4 al. ; àveкtd $\pi .77,4$
татрıкаl dретаl 69, 2
$\pi a \tau \rho b \theta \in \nu$ étrovoudjecv 69, 2
тaxúrทтes, al 62, 3
$\pi \epsilon \delta l o \nu(\tau \dot{\prime}$ ' $А \tau \tau \kappa \kappa \delta \nu)$ 19, 1

$\pi \epsilon \zeta о \mu a \chi \hat{\omega}$ 62, 4. $\pi \epsilon \zeta о \mu a \chi i a$ 62, 2

 és $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$. lèval 21, 4 ; тelpals

$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$, w. gen., 12, $2 x$; $\pi \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega}$ $=$ conor 32, $1 n$. Thuc. uses both $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma d \mu \eta \nu$ and $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\rho d \theta \eta \nu$ : subsequent prose writers only $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho d \theta \eta \nu$
 19, 4
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \iota \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota 17,3$
$\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa v i ̂ a \nu ~ \delta u ̛ v a \mu \nu \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \nu o \rho \theta o u ̂ \nu ~$ 77, 7

 $2 n$
Пepolkкas, his relations w. A., $9 n$
$\pi \in \rho l$ : (1) w. gen. ; $\pi \in \rho l$ tov̂

 plas $\pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ à $\nu \tau \lambda a \beta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 70, 7 ; (2) w. dat. ; סєíal $\pi \in \rho l$ taîs $\nu a v a l$ 53, $4 n$; (3) w. accus. ; time, as $\pi \epsilon \rho \boldsymbol{l}$ $\dot{\eta}$ रlou tpotás 16, 2 ; place, as $\pi \epsilon \rho l \chi_{\rho} \rho \mu a \quad \nu a u ̂ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \beta a \lambda \in i ̂ \nu 25$, $7, n$; connected with, euphe-

 є́pyov II. 29
$\pi е р \iota a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ бlìnpov 18, $4 n$
 д $\rho \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota 49,2$
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$ 71, 3
$\pi \in \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} s$ 18, 3
$\pi \in \rho \iota \delta \in i \nu$, w. inf., 73, $1 n$
$\pi є \rho \iota \mu \mathrm{~d} \chi \eta \tau$ оs 84,5
$\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$, 'wait for,' 74, 1 al.
$\pi \in \rho \iota \rho \bar{\omega} \mu a \mu$, 'wait for events,' 33, 2
 ù〒 $\hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu 13,1$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \delta \nu \quad \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 81,4$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \in \rho \omega$ ，＇hold out＇in war， 28， 3
$\pi \in \rho \subset \chi a \rho o u ̂ s$, v่ $\pi \delta$ тoû 73， 2
$\pi \iota \in\} \mu a \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon l \underline{,}, \nu 6 \sigma \varphi, \lambda \iota \mu \hat{\psi}$ ， etc． 47,$2 ; 50,3$
$\pi i \mu \pi \lambda a \mu \alpha \iota$, w．dat．， $75,4 n$
 67， 4
$\pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \iota o s: \notin \kappa \pi \lambda a \gamma i o v \tau \alpha \xi a \iota 6,2$
 2
$\pi \lambda \epsilon і \sigma \tau 0 \nu \quad$ モ̀ $\chi \in \iota \nu \notin \nu 36,5 n ; \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \mathfrak{l} \sigma \tau \eta$ тท̂s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ 3,4 ;$ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \dot{ } \nu \quad \delta \sigma \eta \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon l \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ édú－ ขато 21， 1
$\pi \lambda \eta \theta \in \iota$ d̀vima入oc 12， 1
Плпици́рьоу 4；23；25；32； 36
$\pi \lambda \eta \nu$, w．gen．，28， 2 al．；not affecting the construction， 2， 4 al．
$\pi \lambda$ й $\rho \mu a$ ，＇crew，＇14， 1 al．
$\pi \lambda o u ̂ \nu \pi o c \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota 26,3$
$\pi o \omega \hat{\omega}$ rov̂ro，referring to a previous statement，48， 1
 $\tau \epsilon$ kal $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，＇it makes no difference，＇6，1．тоьoûmal， with noun as periphrasis for a verb，but in a higher style，
 67， 4 ；a $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu 40,1$ ；ג $\rho \pi \alpha-$ $\gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ 26，2；$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ 72， 3 ； є̈кт入ouv 17，1；є́vӨúmov 50， 4 ；є́ $\pi a \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ás 4， 4 ；є̇ $\pi \iota-$ $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon\llcorner a \nu 56,2$ ；$̇ \pi \iota \chi \in l \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ 43， 1 ；катаброца́s 27， 5 ； катdфєvそıข 41， 1 ；күрขуца 82， 1 ；$\lambda \eta \sigma$ тelas 27,4 ；vav－ $\mu a \chi l a \nu 62,2$ ；$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \iota \nu 17,3$ ； $\pi \lambda о \hat{\nu} 26,3$ ；$\pi \delta \lambda \in \mu \circ \nu 27,4$ ； трбтทข 54 ；фи入aкที 17， 4. See $\gamma$ l $\gamma \nu 0 \mu a l$ ．тoloúmevol or

тои 28， 2 ，Append．II．$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\eta}$－

$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu l \alpha$（sc．$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ）75， 4
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda \eta$ 55， 2
то入i $\chi \nu a 4,6$

$\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\eta}$ 43，1．$\quad \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \chi b \theta \epsilon \nu$ 14，2．то入入aхoû 70， 6
$\pi 0 \lambda v \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \phi \theta \in \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 71,4$
 36， 2
 28， 1
$\pi \delta \nu \eta \rho o s, \pi$ тор $\quad$ ро́s 48， 1 not．crit．

$\pi$ róvos：$\epsilon ่ \nu \pi$ ．єival 81， 4 ；$\delta \iota^{\prime}$
ठ入lyou $\pi$ ．кт $\hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a l$ T८ 70， 8
$\pi \quad \nu \hat{\omega}$ ，of ships， 38,2
тореіа dбфа入خ́s 77， 5
$\pi о \rho \theta \mu \delta s, \dot{\delta} 1,2$
$\pi \delta \sigma \iota s, \quad$ drink＇：$\pi \rho o ̀ s \pi$ r．т $\rho a-$ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 73,2$
 т．40， 2 ；el $\pi$. кal audis 70， 7
 2 ；see 49,1
Прабьal 18， 3 n
$\pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega$ ть és àaßo入ás 15， 3 ；
 71， 1 ；т．a $\beta$ ои́入оцає 68， 3 n $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \in ́ \rho a s \quad \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota x i a s \quad \phi \epsilon i \delta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ 29， 4
$\pi \rho \ell \nu \gamma \epsilon \delta \gamma, w$. aor．indic．after affirmative clause 71， 5
$\pi \rho \delta$ ：тd $\pi \rho \delta$ aút $\omega \nu, j a m$ antea， 55， 2
 aủroîs 18， 1
$\pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu l a \quad \gamma<\gamma \nu \in \tau a \iota \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta$ 70， 3
$\pi \rho o \theta \dot{u} \mu \omega s \dot{a}^{2} \tau \iota \lambda a \beta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，w．gen． of thing or $\pi \epsilon \rho l 66,1 ; 70,7$ трока入ойдаі тเขa єs סlккаs 18， 2 трокбтть $\mu$ ќ $\gamma a$ то̂ vautiко̂， ＇make great advance w．，＇ 56， 3
 75， 4
тротє̇тєлттац む̀s aúroús 77， 6
$\pi \rho \delta s$ ：（1）with gen．；to the
 Z $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mu 36,3$ ；49， 2 ；81， 5 ； （2）with dat．；near，as t $\sigma \chi \in \iota$ $\pi \rho d s$ тaîs $\pi \delta \lambda \in \sigma \iota 35,2 ; b e-$ sides， 57,5 ；（3）with accus．；

 with a view to，$\pi \rho \delta$ s $\tau$ âd $\lambda a$

 27， 2 ；－of intercourse， friendly or hostile，as $\pi \rho \delta \mathrm{s}$ tous àdous d $\mu$ o入oyia $\gamma i \gamma \nu$ eтal
 $\chi \omega$ pla，＇join the enemy，＇ 14 ，



 фи入акі̀ т тоєє̂̄өal 17， 4 ；－ compared with，tods tovs

 4 ；－with reference to，adapted to，expressive of，tocaûra



 vixlap 70， 7 ；－towards，toû
 79，3．Note ：$\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$ w．accus． with meanings akin to＇in face of＇is very freely used． $\pi \rho \sigma s$ is often equivalent to $\epsilon$＇s $\pi \rho o \sigma d$ रoual $\pi \delta \lambda_{\iota \nu}$＇unite to one－ self，＇7，2；55， 2
$\pi \rho o \sigma a \iota \rho o \hat{\mu \mu a \iota} \xi u v d \rho \chi o v \tau a s$ 16， 1

 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \pi 6 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu a!~ 71,7$ $\pi \rho 6 \sigma \beta a \sigma t s$, ＇approach，＇45， 1

 toù（or тঠ）kpaitotous eiva 67， 1 n
$\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon$ хо䒑al toùs $\lambda$ byous 83,3
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i a \nu \pi a \rho \in \chi \omega \nu$ 山̀s w．fut． ind．12， 4

 orparıâ 25， 9
$\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu, \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\psi}$, local，78，4， 5 ； 81,3 ；द́s $\tau \dot{\delta} \pi$ ．$\chi \omega \rho \in \imath \imath \nu 43,5$ ； 78， 3
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \in \mu a \iota$, ＇ $\mathrm{attack}, ’ 29,2$ al．；
 18， 1
$\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \iota \sigma \theta$ и̂ $\mu$ а 19， 4
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \circ \phi \in(\lambda \omega \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a$, ，be in debt，＇ 48， 5
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ápхоута 19， 4
$\pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ \＃，w．subjun．，63， 1 n $\pi \rho o u ́ \chi \omega$ ，＇excel，＇ 21,3 ；＇pro－ ject，＇4， 4
 фá $\sigma \in 1$ 13， 2 n
$\pi \rho о ф \in \rho \omega$, ＇excel，＇64， $2 n$ ；77， 2 ；＇bring forward，＇69， 2
$\pi \rho o \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta}, 8 \pi \omega s{ }^{\alpha} \nu 7,3$

$\pi \rho \dot{\psi} 78,4$ ；$\pi \rho \dot{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ 39， 1 ； $\pi \rho \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{\tau} а \tau а 19,1$
$\pi \rho \psi \dot{\rho} \alpha \theta \in \nu, \tau d$ ，＇bows，＇ 36,3
 verbial，43，5．See $\begin{aligned} & \text { Éetra }\end{aligned}$
Пu日ty，Corinthian admiral， 1 ； 70
$\pi v \nu \theta d \nu o \mu a \iota$, w．accus．and inf．， 1,2 ；w． $8 \tau \iota 1,1$ ；w．partic．， 4， 7
$\pi \omega \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \delta \omega \delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu a 39,2$

## P

 ค̣̣̂力 $\tau \iota$ 光 $\sigma \tau a l ~ \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu 84,2 ;$
 3

$\dot{\rho} \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ रіү
тро入єІтеє тш亡 75，4；$\dot{\omega} \mu \eta$
троф́́рес тıvos 77， 2


## $\Sigma$



乏ıкєлıज̂тau，almost universally on side of Syr．，32； 33
इike 10 o generally on side of A．，58， 3



$\sigma \pi \in \downarrow \delta o \mu a$, mid．denoting a re－ ciprocal act， 3,$1 ; \sigma . \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \iota$ 83， 1
$\sigma \pi o v \delta d s \pi p b \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ \lambda u ́ \omega ~ 18,2$

 33，5．$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota к о \ell$ кацроl 57， 11
$\sigma \tau е \nu \delta \pi о \rho a: \tau \dot{d} \sigma . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ фט入áббєІ 73， 1
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu b \tau \eta s$ 62， 1
бтevox $\omega$ pla 34， 6 al．
$\sigma \tau \ell \rho \subset \phi o s$, ＇thick，＇ 36,3
otparela ripvetal 67， 9
 4 ；$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \leftharpoonup \frac{1}{d \lambda \lambda \eta \pi \rho о \sigma \delta 6 \kappa \iota \mu о s}$ etival 25， 9
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau d s$ toos kal $\pi$ арал $\pi \lambda \sigma \sigma$ os $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \rho o \tau \ell \rho \psi 42,2$ ；i．e．＇equal in importance and in numbers＇ （no tautology here）．Cf． Aristotle＇s remark：E $\sigma$ TL $\boldsymbol{\delta d}$


इupakboloc，after arrival of Gylippus， 2 ：

1．take Labdalum， 8
2．begin a counter－wall N．of kúk ${ }^{\prime}$ os，and place cavalry at Olympieum， 4；after driving back the A．，carry the wall past the A．lines， 6
3．prepare to attack the A．by sea， 7 ； 21
4．defeated at sea，but take Plemmyrium， 22 ； 23
5．defeat the A．at sea， and become confident， 41
6：dismayed by the arrival of Demosth．， 42
7．through valour of Boeo－ tians repulse the night attack on Epipolae， 43 ； 44
8．defeat the A．fleet， 52 ； and control the Harbour， 56．；are confident of success， 56
9．completely defeat the A．fleet in the final battle，70；71；72
10．prevent the A．retreat， $73 ; 74 ; 78.81$
11．capture and execute Dem．and Nic．，81－86
12．confine the prisoners to the quarries， 86
$\sigma \phi d \lambda \lambda \omega$ тds $\nu a \hat{v} s 67,2 ; \sigma \phi d \lambda$－
 $n$
$\sigma \phi \hat{\mathrm{s}}$, properly indirect re－ flexive，is sometimes used by Thuc．as direct，$=$ éautoús，

oxedby $\tau \mathrm{c}$ ，＇almost，＇38， 2
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a i \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu 15,2 ; 81,2$
 $\sigma \kappa 6 \pi o v \nu 71,6$ ；ol $\sigma \varphi \zeta \zeta \mu \in \nu 0$ 44， 8

$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l a, \dot{\eta}$ és $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ тат $1 \delta a$ 70, 7; ой $\delta \epsilon \mu$ la $\ell \sigma \tau \iota \sigma .8,1$ owтhpıos toîs $\xi \cup \cup \mu \pi a \sigma \iota 64,2$

## T

талаıт $\omega \rho \hat{\omega}$ 16, 1 al. талає-
 $\pi \iota \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta v \mu l a ~ 84,2$
 6
 Tıv, 86, 4
tapooi, 'banks of oars,' 40,5 $n$
 táxous 2,1 ; סıà $\tau$ áxous 22 , 2 ; кatà тá ${ }^{2}$ 4, 3
$\tau \epsilon \ldots \delta \epsilon 1,5 ; 81,3 ; \tau \epsilon$ connecting sentences, 4, 4 al.; $\tau \epsilon$. . кal=sive . . . sive 6, 1; $\tau \epsilon$ summing up and concluding 7, 4 al. ; < $<\epsilon>75$, $6 n$
 $\tau \in \ell \subset \sigma \mu a 2,3$
телєuтаíos $\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \mu a l 2,1$
$\tau \in$ रos: oi $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau$. 73, 1
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \cup \chi \omega \mu \notin \nu 0 \varsigma \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu 28,3$
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s$ 入el $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ 70, 3

$\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota \tau \dot{d} 8 \pi \lambda a 3,1$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma 6 \nu \tau 05$ 68, 1
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho о \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ (pass.) à $\pi о \chi \rho \omega ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ 77, 3
Tis: the following show its flexibility; ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu}$ ä̀ тts





 $\kappa \rho a \tau \eta \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \eta \nu \nu$.
 ... $\dot{\Psi} \dot{\mathrm{v}} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \boldsymbol{\lambda a \mu \pi \rho b \tau \eta \tau o ́ s}$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \tau \iota 21,4 ; \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu \tau \iota 33$,
 бougıv 38, 2 ; тठ $\delta \in \tau \iota$ kal, adverbial, 48, 2 ; ть $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ 57, 1. The nom. and accus. neut. plur. are avoided
$\tau 6$ with infin. : (1) may be subject or object, as $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta}$

 тal 62,4 ; (2) with prep., as $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa$ тố $\sigma \phi a \lambda \eta{ }^{2} \nu a \iota ~ p a r a l l e l ~ w . ~ \delta c \grave{\alpha}$





 סoкeî̀ tıve dpxalo入oyeî̀ 69, 2 ; (3) gen., dat. : $\beta \rho a \delta \delta^{\prime}$ $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ тov̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho a l \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 43$, $5 n$; $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a s$ тoû ă $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta ̂ \nu a \iota$ $42,2 n$. In 36,$5 ; 67$, 1 and 87,3 $\tau \mathbf{d}$ w. inf. appears in the MSS. as epexegesis of a gen. or dat. The accus. is defended by Herbst. See Append. II.
$\tau 0 \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \epsilon$, irregularly referring to what precedes, 78, 1. Cf. $8 \delta \varepsilon$ тolồtos: $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\psi} \tau .81,3 ; \quad \bar{\nu} \nu \hat{\psi}$ т. тov̂ кalpov̂ 69, 2

тoбb $\sigma \delta \epsilon$, irregularly referring to what precedes, 57, 11; 59, 1
тобойтоs: $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha}$ тобойтоע $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \in i ̂ \nu$ кıvoúvov 2, 4
$\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$, referring to events previously mentioned, 31, 3; 32, $1 ; 57,11 ; 81,2$; $\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ ठ $\dot{\eta}$ 18, 3
tờvaytion \# 80, 1

 тєтра $\mu \mu \notin \nu о \nu \quad \nu \notin \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 58,2$ ； $\tau \rho \in ́ \pi \in \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \delta s \pi \delta \sigma \iota \nu 73,2 ;$ т．$\pi \rho d s$ à $\nu \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu 1,3$ ；
 $\phi \cup \rho \mu b \nu$ 75，4．Note that $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \in \psi \not{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ is trans．，$\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a \pi b-$ $\mu \eta \nu$ intrans．
$\tau \rho \notin \phi \omega:{ }^{\circ} \theta \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \theta \rho \notin \psi о \nu \tau a l$（pass．） 49， 2
$\tau \rho l \beta \omega$ ，intrans．，48， 6 ；49， 2
тротаі̂ov vavলaxias，тротท̂s etc．， 41， $4 ; 54$ al．
т $\quad 6 \pi$ оя ：$\tau \rho \delta \pi \tau \dot{\psi} \dot{\&} \nu<\bar{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\eta}>7$,
 т．70， 8 ；$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aưT仑ิ т．17， 3 ；
 $\mu\langle\mu \eta \sigma \iota s$ 63， $3 n$
трофウ̀ $\nu \mu \grave{\eta} \delta_{\iota} \delta \delta \nu a \iota 48,5$
т $v \gamma \chi \alpha \downarrow \omega$ ：（1）with $\tau v \gamma \chi d \nu \omega$ ， ÉTú $\chi^{\prime} \alpha \nu o v$, the partic．keeps its time relative to the verb； （2）w．$\epsilon_{\tau} v \chi 0 \nu$ ，pres．and perf． partic．keep their time，but aor．partic．expresses time coincident $w$ ．the verb， 2 ， $4 n$ ；4， $2 n$ ；29， $5 n$ ；50， 4 n．Distinguish Є̇тú $\quad \chi$ avov
 $\lambda \iota \sigma d \mu \epsilon \nu o l$（or perf．better），

тú $\chi \eta$ ：тd $\tau \hat{\jmath} s \tau$ ．61， $3 n$

## $\Upsilon$



 76
$\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，＇in place of，＇ 13,2 ； ＇above，＇79， 1 ；＇on behalf of，＇ 66,1 ；＇for the purpose of，＇69， 2 ；＇about，＇71， 2. Cf．$\pi \in \rho l$
$\pi \dot{\nu} \in \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ ，＇be excessive，＇ 67,4
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \eta$ ，＇was disregarded， despised，＇42， 3


$\dot{u} \pi b$ ：（1）W．gen．，as $\dot{v} \pi \delta$ रोंs $\Delta \epsilon к \in \lambda \epsilon l a s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda d$ $\beta \lambda a \pi т o u ́ \sigma \eta s$
 28， $4 n$ ；$\dot{u} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi а \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$


 Evpakooloss $\gamma$ l $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a i$ 64， 1 ； （3）w．accus．，as únd rous aưroùs $\chi$ pbovous 21,1


ப்токрlуомає $=$ ало－44， 5 n
 $4 n$
 тaтpióos 69， 2


ن́бтєрaîos 11， $2 n$
லठтє
$\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ тเvı 29， 1


## $\Phi$

фavepds cival w．partic．，12， 5 $\phi \in i \delta \dot{\omega}$ ris $\gamma l \gamma \nu \in \tau a l$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ w．inf．， 81， 5
 7
$\phi \theta$ ধ́rүoual 70， 6 ；71， 4
$\phi \theta 6 \nu 0 u$ d $\xi$ cos 77， 4
$\phi \theta o \rho d$ de $\nu \rho \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu 27,2$
 калой 71，1．фı入ovıкla：$\pi \rho d_{s}$ ті̀̀ aưtika $\phi .70,7 ;$ द́s $\phi$. катабтへ̂vac 28， 3
$\phi \lambda \lambda \omega$, w．inf．，79， 3 ；80， 3
фоßєр 6 s，active，42， $3 n$
$\phi b \beta o c$ кal $\delta \in 1 \mu a \tau a$ 80， $3 n$

фоvebw 85， 1 n
фоvıкúratos 29， 4
фpoupal ध́ $\pi$ laбı 27， 3
фpoupâ 4， 3 al．
 $6 n$
 57， 2

## X

 healthy，＇ $47,2 n . \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega-$ тєроу $\boldsymbol{\ell} \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu 50,3$ ；év тоís $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\tau} \tau a \tau a$ ठıd $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 71,8$
Xapıк入 $\bar{s}$ ，as strategus， 20 ； 26. See Append．III．
$\chi \in i \rho: ~ \epsilon \nu \nu \quad \chi \in \rho \sigma i \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ 5， 2 ； és $\chi \in i \rho \rho a s l \in \nu a l$ 44， 7 ；70， 5
$\chi \in i \rho \omega \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu 71,1$
Xiol，autonomy of，57， 4
хрךматlईомаи 13， 2
 85,1 n
$\chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho a: \operatorname{kard} \chi . \mu \notin \nu \in \iota \nu 49,4 n$
$\Psi$
$\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \tau \mu \alpha: \quad \alpha \nu \in \nu$＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega v, \psi \cdot$, showing the control exercised by the Ecclesia over strategi having＇full powers，＇49， 2

## $\Omega$

© $\rho a$, ＇season，＇47， 2 ；＇time，＇ 81，1．The meaning＇an hour＇is not classical
＇$\Omega \rho \omega \pi$＇s 28， 1 n
$\dot{\omega} s=\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon 34,6 ; \dot{\omega} s$ ék какஸ̂̀ 42， $2 n$ ；$\dot{\omega}$ ．．．каl 43， $1 n$ ； 60， 3 ；$\dot{\text { w }}$ ยккабтоє 65， 2 n； 74， 2
 75,$6 ; \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ẅs $28,3 n$ むбTe，＇on condition that，＇ 82 ， 2 ；＝quamobrem，4， $6 n, a l$. $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \mathrm{l}$, pass．，67， 3
$\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda i a$ є́s rò $\boldsymbol{\theta} a \rho \sigma \in \imath \imath \nu \quad \gamma \operatorname{l\gamma veral}$ 69， 3
$\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda_{\imath} \mu \mathrm{os} 47,4$ al．

## ENGLISH INDEX

## HISTORY : RHETORIC : GRAMMAR

A
abstract for concrete 4, 6
Acarnania 31, 2
accus. See tapá
Aegina 28, 4
agent with perf. pass. 18, 3
Alcibiades and Nicias p . $\mathbf{x x x}$.
Amphipolis 9, 1
anacoluthon 13, 2 ; 15, 3 ; 28,
$3 ; 42,2 ; 49,1 ; 70,7$
anaphora 24,1 ; 25, $6 ; 27,4$; 57, 2
antecedent omitted 27, 1
antithesis noticed 5,$4 ; 12,3$; 48,$3 ; 67,4$; order modified for sake of 17, 4 ; false 78, 5 Archonides 1, 4
Argos and Sparta 20, 1
argumenta, тiбтєєs; (1) elкbтa -66, 1 калd тd троеьрүаб-

 3 ; (2) тарабє ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau a-77,2$
 tous 'A $\theta$ \#palous $\lambda \in \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ к.т. $\lambda$. (3) $̇ \nu \theta v \mu \eta \mu a \tau a 66,2$ end-3; 75, 2-4; (4) ү $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ мिаи-67, 1 end ; 68, 3 end ; 77, 7 end ; (5) זбто-66, 3 (т., instead
of being amplified, are often compressed either into $\gamma v i \omega \mu a l$ or into the premiss of an enthymeme)
Aristotle on Nicias p. xix
Arnold, Dr., on 85, 3, p. 210
article 1,$1 ; 2,3 ; 4,2$; omitted before a prep. 18, 1 ; case of 67,1 , Append. II.
Athens and her allies 20, 2; 57, 1 ; state of A . in 414, 413 Append. III. ; Athenian prisoners 87, 5 ; J. S. Mill on the democracy p. xvii. ; treatment of Nicias p. xxxiii.

Attica, invasion of 19,$1 ; p$. 219
attraction of short clauses 21 , 3; 47, 4

- of preposition 23, 1
——of relative 23, 3 ; of nom. of rel. 67, 3
augment in Thuc. 82, 3


## B

Bekker, his text of Thuc. p. xlii.
brachylogy 18, 4
Brasidas and the helots 19, 3
brevity, excessive in Thuc. (ovvтoula) Append. I.

## C

Callias, son of Hipponicus, $p$. 221
Camarina and Syracuse 33, 1 capitalia finalia. See fines
Catana 14, 2
Charicles 20, 2
chiasmus 5, 4; 12, 1; 14, 2; 55,$1 ; 57,1 ; 63,3 ; 70,4$; 75, 5
Classen on 57, 1, p. 177
Cleon pp. xx., xxi., xxvi.
closed harbours 38,2 ; 69, 4
comedy in 414 в.c. p. 218
comparative, ellipse with 12, 4
Conon 29, 4
construction of $\pi v \nu \theta d \nu o \mu a r ~ 1, ~$ 2 ; of $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega 3,1$; of $\pi \epsilon$ -
 of ruyxavo 1,$4 ; 4,3$; of
 1 ; of participle 28, 4 ; of perf. pass. 4, 6 ; of крат $\hat{\omega}$ 11,2 ; of $\xi v \mu \beta a l \nu \omega 11,4$
contrast, Thuc. fond of 1,3
co-ordination of dissimilar clauses 14, 2; 18, 1; of participles 53, 3
Corcyra 57, 3

## D

dative of possession 26, 1
dead, recovery of 72,$2 ; 74,2$ Decelea 27, 4
definitions (öpoc) preferred by
Thuc. to examples (rapaסel $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}$ ата) 36, 5
demes and tribes 69, 2
democracy 14, 2
Demosthenes 16, 1 ; his entry into the Great Harbour 42, 1 ; his death 86,2
Dionysius of Halicarnassus p. 213
docks of Syracuse 22, 1
drama in 414 b.c. p. 219
Ducetius 1, 4 ; 32, 1

## E

Ecclesia at Athens 10, $1 ; 48$, 4
eclipses 50, 4
elections Append. III.
ellipse w. comparative 12, 4 ; 57,6 ; of verb after $8 \sigma 0$ 23, 4 ; after is 68,2 ; of masc. noun 35, 1
Enthymeme 75, 4
epanalepsis w. oútos 42, 3
epexegesis w. $\quad \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon 14,3$
ethos, use of in opening of a speech, exemplified 77, 2
euphemism 64, 1
Euripides and Nicias p. xxxvii.; resemblance to style of 71
Eurymedon 16, 1
exordium, $\pi \rho 6 \lambda$ 人oyos, in military harangues closely connected with the propositio, $\pi \rho \delta \theta \in \sigma \iota s$, 61; 66; 77

## F

 (a) f. elocutionis, $\sigma$. $\lambda \epsilon \xi \in \omega s$, (b) f. sententiarum, $\sigma$. סıavolas:
figurae elocutionis, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau a$ $\lambda \in \xi \epsilon \omega s$, 'figures of language'; see antithesis: homoeote-

 $\lambda \omega \lambda \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ d $\theta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota: ~ p a r o-$ moeosis (parallelism in sound of whole phrases), 3, $3 \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ tous Evpakoбlous tapaббo$\mu \not ́ v o u s ~ k a l ~ o u ́ ~ j ̀ q \delta i ́ w s ~ \xi u v-~$ тaббoutyous: paronomasia (parallelism in sound of single words - by far the commonest 'figure' in Thuc. -next to antithesis), 39, 2 : anaphora 18, 1 ; 24, 1 ; 25, 6 $\xi \beta a \lambda \lambda o v . . . d \nu \tau \xi \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu$; 27,$4 ; 57, .2$ گuveбтpdrevoav . . . $\xi \cup \nu \in \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup o v: ~ a s y n d e t o n$ 71, 4;77,1
figurae sententiarum, $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau a$ סıayolas, 'figures of thought'; question 44, 1 : oxymoron, 62, $4 \pi \in \zeta 0 \mu a \chi \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ áสठ $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ $\nu \in \omega ̂ \nu ; 70,8$ фєúqovtas фєúvरougıv
finance 28,4
fines or capitalia finalia, $\tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta}$
 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \in \rho \circ \nu 14,4$; (2) т $\nu 6 \mu$ нор 68, 1 ; (3) ть ка入 $\quad \nu$ 63 ; (4) тঠे むขаүкаі̂ор 77, 7
future indic. after $\epsilon l, 8,1$; purpose after relative 25,1 ; fut. mid. in pass. sense 42, $4 ; 48,5 ; 67,3 ; 69,2$

## G

genitive abs. in proximity $w$. another case 48,1

- of definition 42, 2
- of measure 2,4
after $\pi \epsilon$ ( Oomal $^{\prime} 73,2$
Gibbon, his imitation of 71, 71, 1
Grote on Nicias p. xviii.
Gylippus 1, 1


## H

harangues of generals 61 ; 66 ;
77 (the last mainly a $\lambda$ byos $\pi а \rho a \mu v \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta s)$
Hegemon of Thasos p. 221
Helorine Road 80, 5
helots 19, 2; 26
Heracles, feast of 73, 2
Herbst, notes from, Append. II.

## I

impeachment 48, 4
imperfect after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \neq 23,2$;
 etc. 56,$3 ; 71,7$; equivalent to pluperf. 36, 1
impersonal passive 62, 3
indicative after 8 rı 34,7 ; see $\pi \rho / \nu$
infinitive ; see $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\delta}$, 'attraction,' Append. II.
interpretation, corrected, of 3, $3 ; 4,1 ; 6,4 ; 13,2 ; 14$, 1 ; 21,3 ; 27,3 ; 28, 3 ; 29, 5 ; 36, 4 ; 41, 1; 42, 3 ; 44, 2 ; 48, 1, 3, 4, 6 ; 50, 3, 4 ; 55, 2; 57, 7, 9; 63, 4; 64, $2 ; 67,2 ; 68,1,3 ; 69,2$, 3 ; 73, 1 ; 75, 4, 5, 6
inventio, Thuc. usually excels in p. 215
Ionic forms 4, $6 ; 75,6$
iterative ${ }^{\circ} \nu \mathbf{~ 7 1 , 3}$

## L

Leake, Col., on the kúkגos p. xii.

Lucian 2, 4
Lucretius, false antithesis in 75, 3

## M

Macaulay on＇the Retreat＇75， 5 manœuvres at sea 36，4， 6
Manuscripts of Thuc．p．xxxix．； bearing of the Vatican Ms． on the text pp．xl．－xlii．
meaning of $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \varepsilon u d \xi \omega 1,1$ ；


 27， 3 ；$\delta \pi \lambda a 28,2$ ；$\delta v v$ do $\sigma \eta s$ 33， 4 ；парабкєvdjّ 35， 1 ；

 81,3 ；dpecth of＇moral＇ worth（its later meaning，as in Eurip．）86， 5
Menander，not strategus，but specially appointed（ $\pi$ poovpp $\eta$－ $\mu(y) s)$ to share the command （ $\xi v \nu \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ ）w．Nicias，16， 1
mercenaries 48， 5
metaphor 56， 2 ；68， 3
 $\pi \in \iota \rho \hat{\omega} 32,1$ ；reciprocal use of 40,4
Mill，on the Athenian demo－ cracy p．xvii．
Mycalessus，destruction of 29， 2

## N

narratio，סotronots 11， 2
Naxos in Sicily 14， 2
nenter adj．w．art．，w．genitive；
 1
＿＿．for masc．14， 2 ；71， 3
－－plur．of adj．and verbal 43， 2 ；73，2，3；ка日＇Е̇кабта

Nicias and Cleon pp．xx．，xxvi．； Peace of p．xxviii．；Thuc． on N．as strategus p．xxxi．；

N．and the Athenians $p$ ． xxxiii．；his responsibility $p$ ． xxxiv．；superstition of $p$ ． xxxv．；private character p． xxxvii．；letter of，its rhe－ torical character 10 ；first harangue of，weak points of Append．I．；perfection of the second harangue of Append．I．；topics of 77， 1
nouns，verbal，in Thuc．13， 1 ； periphrases with abstract 16， 2， 3
number of verb w．éxaбtos 44， 1

## 0

object，common to verb and partic．1， 3
obscurity due to brevity 55， 1
oligarchs at Athens p． 220
omission of antecedent 27， $1 ; 48,6$ ；of verb in rel． clause 45， 1 ；of preposition in 2nd clause 47， $4 ; 60,4$ ； of is with raparxevajomal 17， 3 ；of art．before a prep． 18， 1
optative joined to subjun．in final clause 17， 4
oratio obliqua，attraction in 21，3；47，4；long passages in 48， 5
order of words，why modified 2，3， 4 ；18， 1 ；22， 1 ；23， $3 ; 50,1 ; 69,2$ ；rare order 71， 3

## P

Parataxis 4，2；22，1；83， 4. In seutences connected by particles，such as $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi e t \delta \eta$ ．．． $\tau b \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，ís ．．．кaí（see

Greek Index) relics of parataxis may be seen
parenthesis 42, 3
Parthenon p. 221
participle, attributive, outside the article 23, 3
-..- construction of 28, 4
co-ordination of 53, 3
——w. prep. and noun 29, 4

- neut. 68, 1 ; 83, 4
-- change to inf. after 68, 2
—— see $\tau v \gamma \chi$ à $\omega$
accus. abs. in fem. 31, 4
- .-- gen. abs. without noun 48, 2
pathos, Thuc. great master of $75 ; 77,7$
perf. pass. 18, 3 ; 62,3
period in Thuc., state of its development 19, 4
peroratio, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ inoyos 15,$3 ; 64$, $2 ; 68 ; 77,7$. These illustrate the manner appropriate to, viz. exhortation, recapitulation, pathos
person, 2nd or 3rd 61, 3 ; 63, 3 ; use of 1st 14,1
Pisander, archon eponymus in 414 в.c. p. 219
pleonasm with aúrô̂ 16, 1
plural of abstract nouns 62, 3 ; 80, 3
polyptoton 44, 7
preposition attracted 23, 1; preposition and case as object of verb 8, 1
present joined w. aor. 3, 4; 11, 2
probatio, $\pi$ (lбтıs 12-15; 62-64; 67, 2-4; 77, 1-6
proleptic use of adj. 4, 3
pronoun, epanaleptic 48, 3 ; use of, to express reciprocity 23, 3 ; nom. of rel. attracted 67, 3 ; influence of rel. lost in 2 nd clause 29, $5 ; 69,2$
proportion, how expressed 28, 4
propositio, трb日eбıs 11, 4; 61, 2-3; 66;77, 1
prose composition at Athens p. 219


## R

relative clause, attraction to 61,3 ; rel. not expressed in 2nd clause 29, 5
Retreat, the, from Syr., object of the Athenians 78, 3; 80, 2 ; direction of their advance 79,$5 ; 80,5$; description of the start analysed 75
rhetorical devices 15, 2; 66, 3
romantic style, approximation to the 71, 7

## S

sculpture at Athens p. 219
Segesta 57, 11
service, military, at Athens, 16
1 ; obligation to 13,2
Sicels and Athens 57, 11
Sicyon and Sparta 19, 4
siege - works at Syracuse pp. i.-xvi.; position of the Round Fort pp. xii.-xiv.
statesmen, culpability of 15 , 1
strategi pp. 218, 220 ; 31, 5
style noticed 18, 3; 19, 4; 40, 1 ; 64: Append. I. ; 84, 2
subject, rapid change of 4,4 ; 18,4 ; omission of 84,4 ; placed first for emphasis 22, 1; 32, 1

- of infin., case of 20,1 ; 21, 3 ; 34, 6



## T

## Tarentum, gender of 1,1

 taxation of allies 28,4 traductio 67, 1 крatiбтous eIval єl roùs кратlбтous е̇̀ккฑбаиєv; 70, 8 фeújovias фeúyoūtv tragedy, writers of at Athens p. 218U
 3 ; ís 3, 3 ; $\pi$ otê, тоtồ $\mu \mathrm{u}$
 3 ; $\tau \epsilon 24,3$; kal in $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$
 $\tau \epsilon$. . . $\tau \epsilon$ 34, 7

## w

Wilamowitz - Moellendorff on the division of Thuc. into books p. xl.

## Z

Zacynthus, policy of 31, 2



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reader will understand that no opinion is here implied as to the actual authorship of the Aristotelian treatise On the Constitution of Athens as we have it.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 1885, Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, the accomplished author and editor, published an ingenious pamphlet intended

[^2]:    ＇Since the loss of Plemmyrium， the A．naval station was in the N．W． 25
    interior corner of the harbour． －As the two stations were not far apart， each party watched for opportunities of occasional attack－daily 30 skirmishes took place－the $A$ ． formed the plan of breaking through the outworks of the

[^3]:    'The army was distributed into two divisions; the front commended by N.; the rear by D .

    First Day.
    'They marched along the left bank of the Anapus-forced the passage-

[^4]:    3. of diepbor M.
    4. [ $\left.{ }^{〔} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa \delta \nu\right]$ Kr., Cl., Sta., Hus. etc.
[^5]:    1 A good note on this question will be found in Mr. Dakyns' excellent translation.

[^6]:    1 Wr may assume that it is to Leon the straturus of this year that Andocides i. 94 alludes. His death was cansed by Meletus, one of the accusers of Andocides and perhaps identical with Meletus aceuser of socrates.

