

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2010 with funding from
Boston Library Consortium Member Libraries

Wycliffe, John

IOHANNIS WYCLIF

TRACTATUS DE APOSTASIA.

NOW FIRST EDITED FROM THE VIENNA MSS.

1343 AND 3935.

BY

MICHAEL HENRY DZIEWICKI.

LONDON.

PUBLISHED FOR THE WYCLIF SOCIETY BY TRÜBNER & CO.

57 AND 59 LUDGATE HILL

MDCCCLXXXIX.

JOHNSON REPRINT CORPORATION
NEW YORK AND LONDON

MINERVA, G.m.b.H.
FRANKFURT AM MAIN

Contents of the Introduction.

1. Correction of Shirley's Catalogue (p. I).
 2. Description of the MSS. (pp. II—IV).
 3. Analysis of the work itself: *a*) Apostasy (pp. IV—XIII); *b*) Transsubstantiation (pp. XIII to end).
-

BOSTON COLLEGE LIBRARY
CHESTNUT HILL, MASS.
JAN 1967

BR
75
.w8
v. 9

374357

First reprinting, 1966

Printed in the United States of America

INTRODUCTION.

De Apostasia, which is now printed for the first time, has been hitherto known to the public only by Shirley's valuable catalogue of Wyclif's works. His notice, however, is not without a few errors, which ought to be corrected first of all, before I make any further remarks concerning the editing of the work, and the work itself.

It runs thus: “*De Apostasia*. In two chapters. *Incipit*: Restat ulterius ponere aliud principium. *Desinit*: fratrum commodum quoad deum. — MSS. *Vienna*: CCXCII, ff. 37—124; CCCX, ff. 49—128. *Univ. Prague*: III F. 11, ff. 70—134; III G. 11, ff. 208—220. *Trin. Coll. Dub.*: C. 1, 24, pp. 293—310. — The Prague MSS. seem both to be imperfect. — *Auth.* Author's name on the Vienna MSS.; Walden, *De Sacramentis*, *passim*; Bale, title only.”

On p. 63, he quotes the Vienna catalogue: “Undecima, de *Apostasia*, 18 capp. *Restat* in fine, *hoc venerabili sacramento*”.

The corrections are as follows: There are 17 chapters, not 2 nor 18; the second chapter ends with *fratrum commodum* &c.; the seventeenth, as in the Vienna catalogue. The Vienna MSS. are respectively CCCXCII (or cod. 1343) and CCCCX (or cod. 3935). There are three MSS. at Prague University, the one omitted here being C. 73: only one of the three (III G. 11) is imperfect, ending at about the middle of the second chapter. — C. 1, 24, Tr. Coll. Dub. is imperfect, breaking off at the end of the second chapter. The author's name is only in cod. CCCXCII; in CCCCX, C. 73 and III F. 11, there are his initials.

For the sake of uniformity with the other works published by the Wyclif Society, I have lettered these MSS. in the various readings. Thus, cod. 1343 (or CCCXCII) will in future be A; this was the MS. copied for the text of the work. Cod. 3935 (or CCCCX) is B; C. 73 is C; III F. 11 is D; III G. 11 is E; and Tr. Coll. Dub. C. 1, 24 is F.

I.

I may now briefly describe the six MSS., from indications kindly supplied by Dr. Herzberg-Fränczel, of the Imperial Library, Vienna, who transcribed the work, and by the various collators. And here I wish to acknowledge the great pains taken both by the transcriber and the collators, which has considerably lightened my task; though I did not think it worth while to preserve all the various readings. Some presented only a philological or palaeographic interest; most of these have been set aside. They become much rarer after the end of the second chapter, when both E and F are wanting; it is unfortunate, as these two seem to have been copied from sources independent of the rest, and often give us the right sense when the others are at fault. Many a doubtful sentence would probably have been explained, had these been complete. The readings of C and D are comparatively the least useful; B serves on many occasions to supplement the text of A.

A belongs to the same collection as the MS. of Poole's *De Dominio Civilis*, and his general description of that MS. may be applied to this. The title of the work, in red ink, on f. 37, runs thus: *Incipit tractatus de apostasia, liber XI* (meaning that it is the eleventh book of Wyclif's *Summa Theologica*). At the end, on f. 124, there are the words: *Explicit tractatus de apostasia magistri Johannis Wiclef doctoris ewangelici*. This is written in the same hand as the text. Lower down, there is: *Respic finem, 1517*, in a later hand, followed by some cyphered writing, perhaps the owner's name, or some indications relative to the scribe himself. The handwriting and other external peculiarities of the MS. are identical with those of the Nimburg collection, near Kolin, in Bohemia.

B. Paper, each sextern enclosed in leaf of parchment; binding, leather and boards. At the beginning, inside the cover, stands an index of the works contained in the volume, in XVth century writing: a proof that the binding dates at least as far back. Beneath, there is written: *A fructibus eorum cognoscetis eos. De Blasfemia, cap^o 15 substancialiter corporaliter ibi corpus Christi*. These words are the same as a marginal note on f. 201. One date in the volume (1453, Assumptionis) points to the middle of the XVth century as the time

at which it was copied; this is also borne out by the handwriting. *De Apostasia*, *De Blasfemia*, and the side-notes, are all in the same good steady hand. Two columns to each page.

C. A paper folio volume, belonging to the Chapterhouse library, Prague (Domcapitelbibliothek) and containing *De Blasphemia*, *De Apostasia* (ff. 76 c—151 a), *De hostia consecrata ad Urbanum VI*, *De potestate Pape* (not entire) and *De Prophetia*. Written in two columns, in a good legible hand, of about the beginning of the XVth century. At the end of *De Blasphemia* are these words in Bohemian *vtyress ty'e buoh* (God comfort thee!) and after *De Prophetia*: *Neb gest toho dobrze hoden* (for he is well worthy thereof).

D. A paper MS.; small 4^{to}; belongs to the University Library, Prague. Same contents as C; probably a copy from it, made a few years later. Handwriting much inferior, with many mistakes. Two columns on each page. *De Apostasia* begins f. 70 b and ends f. 136 b.

E. A paper MS., small 4^{to}; also belonging to the Prague University Library. Contents: extracts from Wyclif, Huss, Origen, Chrysostom, &c. Three different hands: from beginning to f. 154 b; from 154 b to 286 a and from 286 a to 286 b. Probable date of writing: 1425 to 1445. The fragment of *De Apostasia* that is here begins at f. 209 b, and ends f. 221 b. Dr. Buddensieg has described this MS. in his Introduction to *Wyclif's Polemical Works*.

F. Written in good legible hand, though much abridged; date, XVth century; on parchment, much stained in some parts. Binding, leather and boards. Contains *De Veritate Scripture*, *De Simonia*, *De Apostasia* (pp. 292—310), and *De Blasphemia*. Numerous corrections, carefully made; in a similar hand, if not in the same. A blank space is left for initial letters all through the MS.; there are therefore no illuminations. Frequent marginal notes. Verso of p. 305 and recto of p. 306, very much stained.

With the aid of these various readings, I have sought to make up a text easily intelligible to the reader. In general, I have departed from the reading given by A only when it is evidently wrong and one or more of the other MSS. seem to give a better; in a few instances I have risked a guess, and set all the readings aside as faulty; always, of course, giving notice of the fact by a foot-note. But before I proceed to say anything of the work itself, I must

gratefully acknowledge the kind and assiduous help supplied to me by Mr. F. D. Matthew, whose great knowledge of all that concerns the *Doctor Evangelicus* has been invaluable, at the same time that his unwearying patience with an inexperienced editor was very encouraging. I do not know if it is possible to be more indebted to anyone than I have been to him; and the work finished, my most hearty thanks are due to him principally: not forgetting what I owe to Dr. Furnivall, the Founder of the Wyclif Society, and in general to all those who have contributed to the editing of this work.

II.

De Apostasia is the eleventh of a series of theological works called by Wyclif *Summa Theologica*; but this series bears no resemblance either in scope or in plan to the great masterpiece of Aquinas. They are merely an exposition of such of his theological opinions as differed from the views generally held, set forth with a great deal of polemical vigour, and (in some cases at least) without any attempt at a regular plan. They are besides coloured to a very great extent by the writer's personal feelings at the time, so that, for instance, the tone of *De Apostasia* is moderation itself when compared with *De Blasphemia*, that seems to have followed it shortly after; and they usually contain some allusions to contemporary circumstances that enable us to fix the date of the work with more or less precision. Thus, in the case of the present work, Wyclif alludes to a certain *cruciatum langwidum infinitum*. A crusade, he says, was going on at the time, but in a very languishing manner. Now from these words we may gather, as exactly as possible, the date of a composition which must have cost many months' work, even to a man of Wyclif's facility and exuberance of thought; for in the year 1383, Bishop Spenser preached a crusade throughout England, to be undertaken in Flanders on behalf of Urban VI against the antipope Robert of Geneva. It lasted from May to October; it was hailed with much enthusiasm and began with 60,000 volunteers; but it soon met with difficulties. When the first bad news reached England, towards the beginning of August, Wyclif was writing his *De Fundacione Sectarum* (see W's Pol. Works, p. 7). The disaster was only known in October. Here we may note his extraordinary activity. As we see,

De Apostasia, and *De Fundacione Sectarum*, a tract of 80 pages, were both writing at the same time; simultaneously appeared his tract *De Cruciate* (44 pages). *De Dissensione Paparum* belongs to the same time, though perhaps it is a little earlier, according to Dr Buddensieg. *De septem donis Spiritus Sancti* (22 pp.) was written immediately after *De fundacione Sectarum*, between July and October, 1383; without mentioning several other short works, written either in the spring or in the autumn of the same year. Few men have worked so much as Wyclif; for this outpour was not limited to one year or to one period only; it embraces the whole of the man's career.

This work itself possesses peculiar interest, on account of the great length at which Wyclif discusses the doctrine of Transsubstantiation. It is by no means easy at first sight to perceive his exact position, especially when we see him adhering with great energy to the condemnation of Berengarius, and not only asserting the real presence of Christ in the Host, but even using the very word *trans-substantiation* (p. 58, l. 17, and elsewhere); while at the same time he asserts that the substance of bread remains, denies impanation, and says that Christ is present only in figure. But, though I cannot deny that Wyclif, like every man who ventures on so vast a task as framing a scheme of religion for himself, often is and must be inconsistent, yet I think that the careful study of *De Apostasia* will clear away most of the seeming contradictions to which I have just alluded, and show how what is contradictory to us, is not so to him.

To understand it completely, we ought to possess the light of his philosophical works, which have not yet been published. We all know that he was a Realist, and this fact bears an important part in the explanation of his system; but how far he ventured beyond the very moderate Realism of Aquinas, or the system, hardly less cautiously reserved, of his Franciscan rival, Scotus, remains yet to be ascertained. From this point of view, we might regret that the philosophical works of Wyclif were not published first; but it was evidently impracticable to delay the appearance of his best known works until the long series of his forgotten books on scholastic philosophy was exhausted.

In proceeding to give an analysis of *De Apostasia*, I ought to point out that the work cannot properly be said to have a plan, in

the sense of dealing with certain subjects in one part to the exclusion of others. It looks more like a series of scholastic debates upon Transsubstantiation, to which the first two chapters form the introduction by an attack upon the friars, who are responsible for this heresy, as Wyclif calls it. If it were not so, I should be quite at a loss to see how a man of even a weak memory could so often repeat the same arguments, refute the same objections, and use the same invectives, over and over again, in almost the very same words. These repetitions have decided me to undertake the analysis, after the first two chapters, in a very free manner. I intend adding all remarks that I think useful to point out Wyclif's exact position, so far as I understand it; at the same time, I shall only notice in each chapter those arguments that have not been dealt with before. For such readers as should wish for a more complete summary, there are abundant side notes.

Ch. I. Apostasy, according to Wyclif's theory, is but a general denomination for every grievous sin, in so far as it loosens the bond of religion between God the Father and man; similarly, blasphemy (sinning against the Son) and simony (against the Holy Ghost) are not specific sins, but mere aspects of every mortal sin. The book examines (1), Apostasy in itself (ch. 1, 2); and (2), Apostasy in its chief result (chs. 3—17). This divides the whole work into two very distinct parts; for Wyclif looks upon the theory of Transsubstantiation, as understood by the Friars, as the great effect of the apostasy which he contended was general throughout the Church; but he first of all deals with the Friars as the most notorious apostates. To do so, he takes two definitions, one for each of the first two chapters; the first defines apostasy by means of its contrary — religion; the second is the definition given by Holy Writ.

Religion may be defined either as the simple observance of Christ's law, or of certain rites and ceremonies superadded thereto. This second observance Wyclif calls *private religion*, and then examines certain questions relative to those called 'religions' in the second sense; some of these questions seem useless, but all, as we shall see, tend to establish his proposition: *A man may, without apostasy, leave any of these private religions.* Of this the converse appears in the second chapter: *A man may, without leaving any private*

religion to which he belongs, incur apostasy. Both these propositions seem intended to bring over to Wyclif's band of 'poor priests' some wavering Franciscans or Dominicans, who, struck and attracted by his austere doctrines, were yet held back for fear of apostasy. This hypothesis is strengthened, *first*, by the comparative moderation in tone to which Wyclif keeps all through the book, *second*, by several passages that we shall notice as we go on, and *third*, by the general tendency and evident *à propos* of the arguments.¹

Preliminary Question: Whether the habit is essential to religion (pp. 3—9). I. Whether 'religious' life is better than ordinary Christianity (pp. 9—13); II. Whether it would not be better for those Orders not to exist (pp. 13—16), and III. Whether perpetual vows are expedient (p. 16—19).

Preliminary Question. The Decretal treats as apostates those who put off the habit; orders that are distinct, though professing the same rule, can be distinguished only by the habit; and to practise the contrary doctrine would produce confusion. But, on the other hand, religion is in the soul; apostasy cannot depend upon bodily clothing; if it could, any change in the habit would (an absurd consequence) produce a corresponding change in religion; and were the habit essential, even the Pope could not grant a dispensation. It is therefore not so, but only the external characteristic by which the Orders are known to differ. What distinguishes them is their obligations, e. g. to wear certain clothes. So far, Wyclif is quite orthodox; but his corollary, viz. that anyone may, without permission or dispensation, set aside the habit of his Order, is not. The Pope has no power granted him for evil; but it is manifestly evil to punish a man for having laid aside a mere sign. '*And yet, some are called apostates, who have done so, in order to live more piously in a more devout community.*' He goes on to complain loudly of their imprisonment as illegal, encroaching upon kingly rights, and contrary to the Christian law. From some passages in *De Blasphemia* it appears that Wyclif's propaganda amongst the monks was very active at this time; he avails

¹ This of course was not Wyclif's *only* intention in writing the first two chapters; but it seems probable that the idea was in his mind, and influenced him to some extent.

himself with much skill of every motive they could have to be discontented with their Superiors. On the other hand, it would appear from these lines that his activity was met by activity in the opposite direction, and that a Friar could not go over to Wyclif without considerable personal danger.

I. ‘Religious’ life is not better than ordinary Christianity; for the latter is more simple, more necessary, and more authorized. Monks strive to become Bishops, i. e. to be loosed from their vows and return to ordinary Christianity; if that were apostasy, they could not be allowed to do so. And the objection that monks keep the law of Christ and add thereto, is worthless; they add, as it were, a heap of rubbish round the walls of a perfect building.

II. Would it not be better if no Orders existed? That they were founded by Saints, proves nothing in their favour. Saints are not infallible, and may have sinned. These orders have indeed produced many Saints; but a bad father may have a good son. The Pope has confirmed them *for ever*; i. e. as long as God shall will their existence; besides, we must suppose that the Pope approved what was praiseworthy in them, not their defects. They ought to be suppressed on account of their members’ inordinate love for their own sects, which causes dissensions in the Church, and is a sort of idolatry.

III. Perpetual vows are not expedient. Obedience is good, if rendered to God, not if to man; or if to man, only in so far as it coincides with what is due to God. *So that sometimes it is a virtue to rebel.* Obedience rendered to a proud and worldly Superior is without merit, even when he commands what is good for his subject. Here Wyclif, before in strict agreement with Aquinas and Catholic theology in general, approaches nearer to heterodoxy, and denies that the virtue of obedience sanctifies an order given by a Superior, if he is a fool or an ignorant man.

Ch. II. If we recollect that it was the custom in old times to speak much more plainly than we do now; if we compare the conditional tone of this chapter (*if* the Friars have done these things . . .) with the unmeasured invective employed in some other works, and if we note the exception that Wyclif explicitly makes in favour of his friends in the cloister, we shall see that in the severe indictment of

the Friars that follows, there is nothing calculated to destroy the effect which the previous chapter may have produced upon the wavers; on the contrary, the thesis that apostasy is often, nay, almost always incurred within the convent-walls, must have acted in a very different way. This idea is developed somewhat in the form of a sermon or homily, with remarks, explanations and amplifications of the Scripture text (Prov. VI, 12—14): 1st *Homo apostata, vir inutilis* (pp. 20—24); 2nd *graditur ore perverso* (pp. 24—28); 3rd *annuit oculis* (pp. 28—31); 4th *terit pede* (pp. 31—35); 5th *digito loquitur* (pp. 35—39); 6th *pravo corde machinatur malum* (pp. 39—43); 7th *et omni tempore jurgia seminat* (pp. 43—45).

1st *Homo apostata, vir inutilis.* Uselessness and sins of omission, are the first marks of backsliding. The great omission that Wyclif cannot forgive the Friars is, that they refused to join with him in the war against Church possessions. They were instituted only to renew the life of the Apostles, in strict poverty; to that mission they ought to be faithful. It is a work of spiritual mercy, far more important than deeds of charity done to the body; yet Christ condemns those who omit the latter. Worse traitors than Judas, they betray Christ glorified; Christ, who came but to bear witness to the truth. — The fact is, Wyclif is so positive that the Church should not hold property, that he cannot understand how a body of men, poor in theory, can refuse to think as he does without being false to their own principles. He pictures them bringing forward a few miserably weak excuses. “Time, place, circumstances, do not allow them to speak. “But”, he replies, with a burst of eloquence, “NOW is the right time; the Prince of this world has spread his armies throughout the whole universe, and the King of kings has promised to assist His Church even unto the end of the world. And John the Baptist and so many martyrs have striven in this cause, knowing that Truth overcometh all things. Then let a Christian excuse himself how he will; before Him that shall try the heart and the reins at the Last Judgment, this negligence and idleness will find no excuse.”

He deals in the same manner with all the other excuses, very poor ones indeed. “No more remains to be done, since there are now no enemies of the Church; bishops should not be attacked; if

the Friars exasperate those that have possessions, they will suffer for it." . . . *And therefore*, he concludes significantly (p. 24), *the religious and intelligent Friars break away from these apostates*.

2nd Graditur ore perverso. Sins of the tongue: lying, flattery, evil-speaking. *Lying* is dismissed with a few strong words; the proverb: 'A Friar has said thus and thus, so it is false', is quoted. The *flattery* here attacked is the flattery of the public, by sermons uttered to please, not to edify them. To relate fables and put human traditions in the place of God's word, is the very worst kind of flattery; thereby they become spirits of error, demons, or rather, as dead to the world, corpses wandering about, moved by a demon. They delight in repeating all *evil* they have heard; which is a still more grievous sin, if they are bound to silence.

3rd Annuit oculis. In a mystic sense, 'the eye' meaning the intention, to wink with the eye signifies to prefer private interest to the public good; for instance, when they entrap men, and especially boys, into their Order. This is at any rate a sin against prudence; for the persons thus influenced may have no call from God; and thus, though serving the order, they would harm the Church. Seeking our private welfare, sin can hardly be avoided; and *that is why civil ownership always savours of sin* (p. 30). Here incidentally we see a Socialistic conclusion that necessarily flows from Wyclif's principles; another appears still more clearly at the end of ch. 7.¹

4th Terit pede. 'The foot' in Scripture signifies the affections, which are perverted amongst the Friars, who love temporal things; they beg clamorously, continually, shamelessly, for rich communities, in order to waste the money; and they refuse to share what they have with their poorer brethren, who have more right to ask alms of them than they of the people.

5th Digito loquitur. 'The finger' taken in its mystic sense, means the power of acting. Three points in which the Friars go to excess: *1st* Indulgences and absolutions. He who is contrite gets indulgence from God by the very fact; indulgences can only be of use when contrition exists already. *2nd* They extol masses, penances, funerals, and all functions that bring them money. *3rd* They 'make broad

¹ 'To savour of sin' however, does not mean *to be sinful* in Wyclif's language.

their fringes' by letters of fraternity, admitting laymen into the Order; but this is concealed simony, being based on the tacit understanding that the lay Brother will help them with his money: take that away, and their spiritual aid is withdrawn. But merit, God's grace, can be neither bought nor sold. And this has no connection with the payment given to oratory-priests, which they deserve.

6th *Pravo corde machinatur malum.* The root of all intrigues is sectarian feeling. They consider only their sect's advantage, and thus sin more grievously as a body than so many separate individuals. Christ lived with His Apostles, but He knew whom to choose and how to instruct them; and they were afterwards dispersed. The Friars are as bad as the endowed monks. Their union crushes even the most legitimate opposition, for they employ every influence to gain their point. And all are responsible for this. Some good men remain amongst them; *others fly in despair, but if taken are put to death or in prison for life.* A curious quotation follows, comparing the Friars to wild geese; then comes an urgent appeal to Wyclif's friends amongst them to help him in exposing the others.

7th *Et omni tempore jurgia seminat.* Wyclif accuses them of sowing divisions in their own order, wars throughout Christendom, and dissensions in the Church; he attempts to prove the latter point from history. They do good, but also harm; and we know by faith and God's grace that the latter exceeds the former. — All this has no bearing upon some Friars *who are Wyclif's most dear sons* (p. 44); but if any do what is here denounced, it is the Holy Spirit, not Wyclif, that calls them by the name of apostates. All this has been said for the good of the Church, and of the Friars themselves.

Ch. III. Though the Eucharistic debates are, so far as I can see, independent of each other, it is yet not impossible to introduce a little order amongst them by classifying them as they stand. The *first*, beginning with Ch. III and ending at the close of Ch. VII, is so to speak a general attack upon the then universally received theory of the Eucharist. The *second*, beginning with the VIIIth Ch. and ending with the end of the IXth, deals specially with the multiplication of Christ's body in the Eucharist. The *third* (Ch. X) is an enquiry into the essence or 'quiddity' of the Sacrament. The *fourth* (Chs. XI

to XV) is a more detailed attack on the accident-theory: going through many classes of accidents, Wyclif asserts that none can be absolute in the sense required. Chapters XV and XVI seem to be a written reply to some treatise or treatises composed against him. He carefully goes over all the authorities quoted by his adversaries, explains their meaning agreeably to his own views, and adds several very important remarks concerning his doctrine. Ch. XVII is principally a historical review of the institution of the Mass. Of course there is not a single chapter in which something extrinsical, either concerning the Friars, Church temporalities, or the power of the Pope, does not occur; but as I said before, unless something particularly worthy of notice comes to hand, I am obliged to confine myself to the main question and to overlook repetitions.

It is necessary to offer a few remarks as to the dogma of Transsubstantiation, and the philosophical theories connected therewith in the Catholic Church. Scholastic theologians were from the beginning in face of a universal belief in the real presence of Christ's Body in the Holy Eucharist; and that belief was borne out by the written tradition, both of the Fathers, of the Apostle Paul, and of the Gospels themselves. Here a difficulty occurred: the bread seemed to remain, assuredly; but if it remained *really*, how could Christ's body be *really* there? Nothing can be where it was not before, unless by a change of place, or by conversion of something else into itself.¹ Therefore, as Christ does not leave Heaven, the bread itself, remaining to all the senses as it was before, is changed into Christ's body; the substance, or as we might perhaps call it, the *noumenon* alone is changed, all the *phenomena* are what they were (Aq. S. Th. qu. 75, art. 2). This is a fresh mystery, which also requires to be explained: for how can appearances possibly exist, without anything that appears?

There is here a split amongst Catholic philosophers. The Scholastics answered the question thus: Every accident, while belonging to the substance, possesses a certain amount of reality, of entity, which is different from the latter; a bent finger being really different from a finger that is straight, that which makes the difference must

¹ This axiom Wyclif (p. 186, l. 2) is constrained to call heretical; for it is evidently in contradiction with his system, as we shall see.

be something real. Some of these realities are in their nature such that they cannot even be conceived without a subject; for instance, movement without something in motion is unthinkable. But we can imagine an accident of greater perfection than these, so that, though naturally requiring the support of a subject, its entity might miraculously exist, even were its substance to fail. From this results the Scholastic conception of quantity, which, according to Aquinas, remains in the Eucharist as the subject of form, colour, movement, taste, and all the other phenomena observed in the visible and tangible Host. The reader will of course ask: Can quantity exist without anything that *has* quantity? but the very question indicates that he has not sufficiently understood this hypothesis. Quantity is not a mere abstraction, nor a mere mode of being; it is quite different from *extension*, for it is that which *makes* extension, and may be defined as a force that extends material substance: *vis extensiva materie*. This force is really distinct from its substance, not as a mode differs from what it modifies, but as a thing differs from another thing, to which it belongs. Thus, after the words of consecration, the substance of bread is no longer there, but quantity takes its place, and upholds the other accidents naturally, being itself upheld by God's supernatural power; and therefore, whatever the bread could do, — even to feeding the body — is now performed by the quantity that remains (Cf. Th. Aq., S. Th., 3^a Pars, qu. 77, art. 1, 2, 3, 6). On the other hand, though St. Thomas admits that the bread is nowhere after consecration, he denies that it is annihilated, since it is changed into Christ's Body (*ib.* qu. 75, art. 3); which is hard to understand, and is not, I believe, an article of faith. Neither is it *de fide* to maintain, as he does, that Christ, though really present, is not *locally* present in the Host, either as a body (*secundum modum commensurationis*) or as a spirit (definitive) but rather as the substance of bread was present before — identical in every part of the volume it occupied (*ib.* qu. 76, art. 4, 5).

So long as the old School held its sway, this theory, however mysterious, however unsatisfactory it may appear, remained the most popular, and most of the explanations that sprung up to supersede it approached the confines of heresy, if they did not go beyond them. Descartes, however, was a sincere Catholic, and yet would not admit

the Scholastic theory of quantity. According to him, it may be remembered, actual extension was the very essence of bodily substance, and the idea of absolute accidents seemed as absurd to him as it does to Wyclif. In his celebrated *Réponses aux objections de M. Arnault*, he gives several arguments very like those employed in *De Apostasia*, and concludes thus: "Therefore, if I may here speak my mind truly and simply, I venture to hope that a day will come when the opinion admitting real accidents will be banished by theologians as suspicious in faith, revolting to reason, and quite incomprehensible; while mine will be received in its place, as indubitably certain". His opinion, briefly stated, is as follows: The existence of a bodily substance is known to us only through the continual movements of its surface, which proceed from the underlying substance and produce sensation in us. Now, the surface belongs as much to the surrounding substance as to that which is surrounded. (A vacuum, according to Descartes, is absolutely impossible). Suppose therefore that Transsubstantiation consists 1st in the *taking away* (whether by annihilation or otherwise) of the bread-substance; 2nd in the conservation of the surface with all the movements that would have been imparted to it, had the bread remained; 3rd in the real presence of Christ below that surface; and you have an explanation which is intelligible to the mind, which does not contradict the belief that the bread disappears, nor the opinion held by most Fathers, that *aliquitas panis*, something of the bread, remains. For the surface *is* the same.

There are several other theories; but I may now sum up the principal, four in number, none of which have been condemned as heretical; at least I believe not.

1st That of St. Thomas, who, believing with Aristotle that the *esse* of an accident is, and is only, in the substance, seems to admit the production of a new entity, by which quantity would exist alone, and could not do so otherwise; which he calls, not *substance*, but *subsistence* (*Sum. Th. 3^a: Pars, qu. 77, art. 1. Ad 4^m dicendum . . . ;* and *Com. in Sent. l. 4, dist. 12, qu. 1, art. 2*). This opinion is the nearest to Wyclif's, though not identical; for the one imagines a new *subsistence* coming to uphold the accidents; and the other conceives them as still upheld by the old *substance*.

2nd That of Scotus, to whom the theory of absolute accidents, as above set forth, is to be ascribed. (Cf. Migne, Dict. de Th. Scolastique, art. *Substance et accident.*)

3rd That of Descartes, and in general the theory that ascribes a certain outward movement, resistance, &c., in the place where the bread was, due to supernatural agency.

4th The theory of intentional (or imaginary) accidents, that have nothing corresponding in the external world, and are purely subjective; which is exposed to the double inconvenience of making all our senses lie by Divine agency, and of taking no account of the belief that the appearances remaining are something really objective.

With regard to these theories, we must remark that most of Wyclif's arguments are merely directed against absolute accidents and the theory of Aquinas; some, however, go further; as when he says that, bread being called bread only on account of its sensible appearances, if these remain, the name cannot rightly be changed. This is almost a foreshadowing of a modern philosophical school. Lewes, in his *Problems of Metaphysics*, says very decidedly, "A thing is its qualities"; which amounts to the very same.¹

I may now begin to examine Wyclif's Eucharistic doctrine.

As the result, he says, of the general apostasy in this second millenary after Christ, Satan being loosed, dreadful heresies concerning the Eucharist have crept into the Church. The theory which affirms the destruction of the substance of bread and wine² is opposed to the words of the Church services and hymns, to St. Paul, the Acts of the Apostles, and to the Gospels themselves. We ought to stand by Scripture; what Scripture, in six different places, declares to be bread, is bread. It is never called an accident, at any rate, as these lying masters say. If we begin to wrest words from their right sense, our faith will soon be perverted; for if the Pope has a right to do it in this case, why not in all others? Tradition too speaks likewise: Augustine,

¹ Though at present unable to identify the quotation, I am quite sure that it is in the work mentioned.

² We have already seen that St. Thomas formally denies annihilation, though in a very inexplicable way; it would therefore seem that Wyclif's opponents, either unawares or driven by the force of argument, had actually admitted it; the more so, as Wyclif alludes to this very often and very energetically.

Ambrose, Jerome, all use the same language; and Augustine calls the Eucharist Christ's Body *only in a certain way* (i. e. figuratively). John of Damascus says the bread and wine are joined to Christ's Body; and his example of a live coal, as wood united with fire, shows his position still more clearly. Even the words of this Saint, that 'the bread and wine are not a type, but the very body and blood of Our Lord', are to be understood in a figurative sense. A quotation from St. Ambrose, and a remark against the glossators who explain this Saint in the wrong way in several places, close the chapter.

Ch. IV. Continuation of the general thesis. After a protest against any authority but Holy Writ in matters of faith, the author brings in an argument which, under different forms, recurs very often in the book. Is *what we see* Christ's Body? If the adversaries answer Yes, he says: Then how can what we see be an accident without substance? Is Christ's Body an accident? And he points out (at least he does so in other places) the abject entity of an accident, which is lower than the vilest of substances, and less perfect than the worst poison: thus making of those who answer in the affirmative heretics of the most blasphemous sort. If, on the contrary, they answer No, then they admit that the visible and felt Sacrament has a nature which is not identical with Christ's Body; and this nature Wyclif calls the nature of bread. This argument is subtle and deserves attention, on account of the great stress our author lays upon it, and because, under another form, it may be and often has been used by philosophical controversialists. A man sees his friend in a mirror; being asked whom he sees, he answers, 'his friend'. The reply comes, 'Then your friend is only an image'. Or, 'Is this statue made by you?' — 'Yes'. 'Then you have made a piece of marble'. This class of arguments Aristotle calls fallacies *παρὰ τὸ συμβεβηκός*. And such arguments are very common indeed in metaphysical matters. Take, for instance, the subject of debate between Realists and Idealists at the present day: "What we perceive is only a modification of ourselves; now, what we perceive is the world; therefore, the world is only a modification of ourselves". It is clear that in any of these cases, to answer simply Yes or No, would be to stand committed to self-contradiction. We have, however, no interest in enquiring what the distinctions of Wyclif's opponents may or may not have been. On the other hand it is right,

I think, to point out that the Catholic Church really considers as idolatry the worship of the accidents *as such*;¹ and Aquinas (S. Th. 3^a pars, qu. 76, art. 7) absolutely denies that Christ's Body can be seen in the Sacrament by any bodily eye, even that of a glorified Saint. If Wyclif only meant that, and chose to call the Host, as the Fathers often do, by the name of bread — merely asserting Christ's invisible presence, and saying that what appeared was not Christ, not to be adored, and only the sign of his presence, he could say all that, and yet remain orthodox. In *De Blaspomia* (yet unpublished, but of which I have had the advantage of seeing the MS.) Wyclif inveighs with just reason against those priests who let the people believe that their bodily eyes, seeing the Host, saw Christ, because this erroneous belief contributed, as they thought, to increase devotion, although it could not be reasonably maintained. But it may be as well to point out that the sentence: "What you see is bread", may be emphasized either thus: "What you *see* is bread"; or thus: "What you *see* is bread"; and it was certainly in the second way that Wyclif emphasized it.

Here, in order to understand better the strength or the weakness of our author's position, a short synopsis of the whole system of Realistic Philosophy is necessary. When we have a universal idea, as of *man* or of *animal* in general, the *object* of our thought is also universal: *one*, though *existing in many* individuals. This, denied by Nominalists and Conceptualists, was affirmed by the whole school of Realistic philosophers. But they split into moderate Realists and ultra-Realists. The former, with Aquinas and Scotus at their head² asserted that the *One in Many*, as in the external world, and the *One in many*, as in our thought, exist in two absolutely contrary ways. For instance, in our mind, *animal* is really and formally one; only fundamentally and potentially does it exist in many, i. e. when our mind applies it to all the individuals A, B, C . . . Z, of which it

¹ I happened once to come across a French prayer-book in which there was this expression: *ces espèces (species) adorables*; these adorable *appearances*. Of course, we must allow for looseness and inexactitude in a mere book of piety; but I feel convinced that, taken as they stand, these words might be condemned as heretical.

² I take no note here of the minor, yet considerable differences that separate these two philosophers.

can be predicated. In the external world, the contrary takes place. *Animal* is really and formally as many different animals as there are individuals A, B, C . . . Z; and it is only fundamentally and potentially¹ one, in so far as it gives a *foundation* by means of which, and the mind's abstractive power, it *can* become *one*. This, the reader may note, is not very far from Conceptualism, as expressed by some of its ablest exponents.

Now, this was not sufficient for the Ultra-Realists. They contended that the Universal existed in the world of things in the same way as it did in the world of ideas. Of course there were many different varieties, school within school; Prantl says there were as many as thirteen shades of Realism. Some went very far. David of Dinant admitted the identity of God with matter and spirit, "because, if not identical, there would be a Universal Entity wider than all, which would be above God, as embracing both matter, Spirit, and God". "Guillaume of Champeaux taught", says Abé!ard, "that the same thing or substance was present in its entirety and essence in each individual, and that individuals differed no whit in their essence, but only in the variety of their accidents".² They seem to have made of the world a bundle of universal qualities, of which the presence in some things, combined with their absence in others, creates all the differences that individuate material and immaterial existences. I may not have understood them properly; but if I do, their world was composed of Universals much as the chemist's material world is made up of elements; with this difference, however, that the oxygen in a given drop of water and in a given specimen of marble are only absolutely similar, not identically the same. And then, there would be the

¹ I was much disappointed not to find, in the very able article on Scholasticism in the Encyclopaedia Britannica, the slightest mention of this distinction which is of supreme importance, as may easily be seen. All those distinctions between *universalia ante rem*, *in re*, and *post rem* are secondary. The great question which the opposite Schools had to answer was this: How can the same word, applied to different individuals, mean the same thing? Nominalists answer: It means the same thing i. e. the same word; Conceptualists say: It means the same thing, i. e. the same *idea*. Realists are forced (and I believe rightly) to say: It means the same thing, i. e. the same *object of the idea*.

² See Encycl. Brit., art. *Scholasticism*.

universal *Metalloid* present wherever there was *oxygen*, and in many compounds where oxygen was not: and so on. Wyclif admits this identity; at least *generic* identity, as he calls it. A man and a horse were indeed specifically distinct from each other; but they were generically identical, both having within them the element *animal*, meaning *the same thing* in both. That our author upheld this doctrine, even to the uttermost limits of making Being identical in all things, appears from his *De Ente Predicamentali*, now publishing, in which he affirms that Being is a univocal term, i. e. means *the same thing* in its individuals, like the other Universals. But if Being is the same and identical in all things, how is difference possible? It will be curious, when his philosophical works are published, to see how he manages to escape that pantheism to which the first ultra-Realists were driven. His doctrine of the Eucharist is an evident deduction from his Realism. Not to mention other points that will appear later, everyone admitted that an *aliquitas* of the bread remained in the Host; now this *aliquitas*, according to him, was identical with the *aliquitas* of Christ's Body that supervened: so there must in every case be a subject of the change, if it can be called a change. He develops a similar argument very cleverly and at some length in an account of a debate between himself and certain bishops, which I have copied from *De Blaspemia* to point out his doctrine more clearly; in *De Apostasia* he only alludes to it by the way.

"These heretics are said to condemn as heretical two propositions concerning the Eucharist . . . God moved a *certain secular Catholic doctor*, that he should not consent but contradict their foolishness. And he is said to have asked them if they intended to condemn as heretical the Saints' opinions respecting Universals *ex parte rei*, from which their signs take their names according to logicians. But they denied that, being ashamed. But he said: 'From this it follows that the substance of material bread remains in the consecrated Host. I say that the genus *substance* is wherever any individual of the genus is: But in the said Sacrament there is an individual of the genus *substance*; for, as you yourselves affirm, Christ's Body is there bodily; therefore the genus *substance* remains in the Host, and as it is a substance (because it is the essence of every material substance) it is thus bread. It follows that the substance of material bread

remains in the consecrated Host. And because they knew not how to remove this evidence, he sent them back as foolish men (*De Blasphemia, c. 16*)".

There would be many interesting questions connected with this theory of Wyclif, but it seems preferable to examine them as we go along, and continue the analysis for the present. — The chapter, a very short one, closes with two rather poor arguments. The sacrament is round, white, heavy, &c.; therefore it is the *subject* of roundness, &c. Evidently, only a logical subject is meant here. Still, *Ens* being univocal in Wyclif's theory, whatever is logical is real too; so, from his point of view, and his theory admitted, the argument may have weight. Again: if the Sacrament be the Body of Christ, and Christ's Body be thus without a subject, it follows that no Christian ought to be subject to Christ. Wyclif, feeling that many would set this aside as an idle quibble, points out that St. Peter uses the word *subject* in a sense relative to authority, and not as Aristotle uses it. But this argument seems to use it in both senses, and therein the fallacy would lie. He concludes by attacking the Friars with great vehemence for not stamping out this heresy; which negligence proves that they are either simoniacal heretics, or traitors of whom the land must be purged.

Ch. V. This chapter and the following ones until the eighth, are devoted to refuting the objections raised against Wyclif's theory. Grosseteste's authority, which is very great with Wyclif, probably on account of his resistance to the Pope in a certain well-known case of ecclesiastical discipline, is brought to bear against him. Here, however, and in general, whenever an authority is quoted, the candid reader will perhaps find that our author carries the liberty of explaining away texts that are against him rather too far. When Grosseteste and others affirm that the accidents exist *per se* in the Host, he adds, "that is, in the act of our mind's contemplation"; when they say that the bread and wine disappear, he makes this to signify "disappear from our mental vision". There is really no reason why he should not make his contemporary antagonists be also of the same mind as he was; for it is hard to conceive more expressive language than this. The fact is that Wyclif is much displeased with the glosses, of which he often complains, on account of the explanations they give to many

sentences that seem in his favour, thus turning them against him; and he no doubt wishes to show that, to use a homely expression, two can play at that game. Besides, his great contention is that Scripture alone is to be followed, and that both the Pope and the Fathers can mistake; so he is all the less scrupulous in explaining their words. If the explanation be unsatisfactory, they mistake, and that is all. — In the answer to the quotation of Grosseteste, we find a clue to his doctrine of the existence, at once figurative and real, of Christ's Body in the Host. After consecration, he says, we think Christ's Body present, the bread having become the sign of that presence. Time, the Universal, and the Sensible, have no actual and real *esse*, except in so far as the mind knows them; and so it is of the *esse* of every sign, *qua tale*. And yet every sign, besides the real *esse* that it acquires on becoming a sign, has also an independent natural *esse*. Thus, the bread being the sign of Christ's Body is Christ's Body in reality, according to Wyclif's system; while, at the same time it is mere bread from another point of view. Logically, I suppose that Wyclif would admit that a statue has two entities; the one, as being of stone, &c., shaped in a certain fashion; the other, as representing this or that person. St. Dionysius is also explained in the same manner; St. Ambrose likewise (pp. 62—65). Innocent III may have declared that the accidents remain without a subject; but besides his accustomed explanation of the sense (see above, for Grosseteste), Wyclif points out: 1st that any other sense would imply annihilation, which is inadmissible; 2nd that Innocent neither spoke by inspiration, nor grounding his decision on Scripture; and that therefore, 3rd he may have been as wrong as when he levied a tribute of 900 marks upon England.¹ Here Wyclif goes out of his way to deplore the growing perversity of the times, the doctors that uphold lying in Oxford, and the multiplication of heretics who consent to simony; concluding that Innocent's decree went no farther than did Nicolas' decision against Berengarius; and that if it did, we ought to respect it only in so far as it agrees with Scripture (pp. 65—68). When, v. g. Innocent goes beyond Scripture to determine doctrines

¹ Wyclif often returns to those 900 marks, which evidently rankle in his memory, as a good patriot. See p. 204, l. 20—23.

relative to the Holy Trinity, as in the Lateran Council, he would have done better to have let the matter alone, and contented himself with reforming the Church. It were blasphemy to say that all decrees of the Roman Pontiff are infallible; if he goes beyond Scripture, he is probably wrong. The antiquity, sanctity and science of the Roman See proves nothing as to infallibility (pp. 68—74). Notwithstanding the violent language that Wyclif very often employs with regard to the Roman Curia, and his evident disbelief in the dogma of infallibility, he generally admits the Decretals as binding, and even (p. 175) speaks vaguely of admitting the decision of a general Council on the Eucharistic question. Still, his principle of the necessity of personal righteousness (or rather of *predestination*), of which we never can be certain, to constitute a true Priest, Bishop or Pope, strikes at the root of all belief as to the infallibility even of a general Council; for we are never sure whether all — or even any — of the members of a Council are members of the Church at all. Should they decide in our favour, we might indeed believe that they were; but if they decide against us, they cannot be members of the Church. This shows that, though widely different in its starting-point and first principles from the Protestant forms of thought that had so much vogue at a later period, Wyclif's system is no less antagonistic *in practice* to the authority of the Church. Wyclif says explicitly: We must obey the Head of the Church, the Vicar of Christ. But that Vicar of Christ is the holiest, the most God-enlightened man in Christendom; which the Popes are certainly not.

Ch. VI. One objection against the reality of the presence ascribed by Wyclif to the power of the sign, is that Christ would be equally present in Holy Writ, which is His Word and His manifestation. The answer is not hard to find; there can be no equality, after Christ's express declaration. Any bread might be taken as the sign of Christ's Body (spiritual food); but "not every bread is consecrated with a mystic prayer", as Augustine says. If the argument ran otherwise, however; if Wyclif were asked whether any piece of bread, looked at by a Christian as the image of Christ, food of our souls, would be not equally but really Christ's Body, he would, I believe, have had consistently to answer in the affirmative. To point out this more clearly by an illustration, the Crucifix ought to be adored on

account of the real presence of Christ therein, less perfect than in the Host, but still real; since it really is a sign, an image of Christ, and that figurative entity constitutes a real presence, as we have seen. "Universals exist in the thing *as they exist in the mind*". Thus, we find in the next paragraph how he replies to the objection that in his system the whole world (including not only inanimate things, but good and bad men, and even devils) is a manifestation of God, and is consequently to be adored. He points out (pp. 72—73), that, according to the Apostle (I. Cor. VI, 28) Christ will after the Judgment Day be *omnia in omnibus*; which Wyclif takes to signify that Christ's Humanity will exist figuratively in every point of mundane space; and that (though he only expresses an opinion) this existence will extend only to the Blessed; so that, by His union with them, He will become the whole human race. Why this existence should be extended only to the Blessed, Wyclif does not stop to consider; nor does he explain why Christ will become the whole human race only *after* the Judgment, when, as may be seen at length in *De Benedicta Incarnatione*, Christ's assumption of the Universal "Humanity" really common to all men, identified Him with the whole human race from the very first instant of His conception. Probably the difficulties resulting from Christ's identification with such members of humanity as are reprobate, induced Wyclif somewhat to modify his theory; for it must be remembered that *De Benedicta Incarnatione* is one of his earliest theological works.

A long quotation follows (pp. 73—75) from a work called *De Divinis Officiis*, which is absent from the extant copies of that work. I was at great pains to identify this particular quotation, on account of the beauty of the passage, which is really admirable by its eloquence; but unfortunately I had to give it up. Some student of the Fathers may perhaps know at a glance whose it is by the very style; but for one little versed in that branch of study, and with no indication but the doubtful one given by Wyclif, who ascribes it to Ambrose, such a search is not likely to be always successful. From this passage, which he contends is completely in his favour, he goes on to bring forward again his theory of the binding of Satan (which means, he says, the diminution of his power to tempt man). During the first millenary after Christ, Satan was bound; now he is free, and the consequences to the Church are terrible. Gog and Magog (signifying

Antichrist and his accomplices) have led it astray from Christ (pp. 76—78). It has often been remarked by editors of Wyclif, that “Gog”, “Magog”, “Antichrist”, “satraps” are merely general expressions used by him to denote any persons following tendencies which he considers to be deleterious to the Church, and especially those who happened to be their chief exponents. But I do not know whether the influence of his general philosophical position on this view has been much noticed. As an ultra-realist, Wyclif was bound to call Antichrist any man who concentrated in himself the most of the Universal, “Antichristianism”, which, we must never forget, was a non-entity, existing in its subjects as a defect. This explains how Wyclif, though he often personifies that non-entity in a vague manner, never thinks of attaching it to any particular institution, as, v. g. some Protestants, calling the Popes Antichrists, and admitting that individual Popes may be good men, are bound to admit that ‘some Antichrists may be saved’; a most astounding conclusion, from which Wyclif’s principle “In so far as any man is contrary to Christ, in so far is he Antichrist”, always saved him. This may also explain why he never falls into those personalities in which Luther so frequently indulges, and why the names of the lower animals, coupled with those of his opponents, never degrade his pen.¹ To him, the Universal, as real as each particular personal entity, is far more important on account of its wide spreading influence for good or for evil. It is a curious fact that Luther, so remarkable in an opposite way, is said by Melanchthon to have even during his monastic life preferred the Nominalist Occam to all other doctors.

The chapter ends with a short discussion about a particular theory which, so far as I am aware, never had any great notoriety, and which Wyclif easily proves to be self-contradictory. It holds that the bread and wine remain after consecration, but not in their nature; they become an accident. But, Wyclif argues, if it is admitted that the white colour which they see is bread, then the nature of bread must remain. And if the bread is the Body of Christ, it is no longer an accident; if not Christ’s Body, we fall into the error of Berengarius.

¹ Not that he had no talent of invective. His opponents in this very volume are treated *in general* as wild geese, magpies, mad dogs (28, 42, 82).

If it is meant that the very nature of bread becomes an accident, that is absurd; the very idea of nature implies substance: unless indeed they meant (which they do not) “becomes an accident *in the minds* of the faithful”. They indeed hold with Wyclif that bread is Christ’s Body; but they degrade that bread into the lowest of entities, whereas he maintains its natural perfection. This heresy, expounding Scripture against the Spirit and the interpretations of the early Fathers, is absurd in its consequences and worthy of punishment by fire (pp. 78—81).

Ch. VII. In this chapter Wyclif keeps closely to his plan of answering all objections drawn from authority (here Gregory and Augustine) in the same way; pointing out contradictions with other passages, explaining the sense by a distinction and (implicitly at least) appealing to Scripture: with the difference that he here denies the authenticity of the work *De Eucharistia* ascribed to St. Augustine, and is probably in the right; though I have not been able to identify the passage that he quotes (pp. 82—86). He then attacks, not without reason, the Nominalistic explanation of the text “As often as ye shall eat of that bread”, making it refer to Christ, because the same material bread can be eaten only once. Occam’s disciples, who said that every substance is in its nature individual, and universal only in the mind, would of course not admit that any one could eat the *same* bread several times. Wyclif and all the Realists, on the contrary, maintaining that the essence of the bread already eaten is identical with that which is to be eaten, assert that the manducation of the same bread can take place more than once. He takes great pains to prove his assertion by quotations and arguments (pp. 86—90); and then, setting on the responsibility of the Nominalists all the corruption of the Church, he launches into a digression concerning temporalities, arguing that the clergy should have all things in common, and refuse endowments. To the possible objection that his arguments go so far as to prove that even temporal lords ought to have all things in common, he answers boldly: *So they ought* (p. 91). It is clear that he neither overlooked nor shrank from the Socialistic consequences of his doctrine.¹

¹ There being infinite shades of Socialism, the word is not used here in any invidious sense. It is certain that Wyclif was practically a strong upholder of social *order*, as all his works show; and so are some Socialists at the present day.

Ch. VIII. It is a Catholic doctrine that Christ's Body is present, complete in all its parts, at every point of the Host; thus being multiplied indefinitely, as many times as there are points in the Host, and as there are different parts of the world, yet all the time remaining only one Body. This can be understood, Wyclif says, in three ways: either it is *dimensionally* in several places, or *virtually though in its own nature* (p. 92, l. 13; p. 110, l. 3—6); or virtually as in figure. I believe we may identify the first 'way' with the Thomist system; the second seems to coincide with the celebrated Scotist distinction, 'formalis ex natura rei';¹ the third, I need hardly say, is Wyclif's opinion. The whole of the eighth chapter is a refutation of the Thomist doctrine; the ninth is partly an attack on the system of Scotus, partly an argumentation in favour of his own, partly a return to the debate relative to absolute accidents. Whilst, however, I recapitulate the many absurdities which Wyclif ascribes to the doctrine that admits the dimensional presence of Christ in the Host, I must in mere justice observe that some of them do not exactly hit the mark; if they did, St. Thomas would be conclusively proved to be no better than an idiot. His system, however, supposes Christ, with His dimensions, to be spiritually present, like the soul of man in his body, "totum in toto, et totum in qualibet parte", and therefore without any *extension* other than that which the Host itself occupies. It is a complete misunderstanding to imagine that Aquinas' theory encloses the length of six feet within the narrow limits of the smallest possible particle of the consecrated elements. This quantity, these dimensions of Christ's Body, have become spiritualised, idealised so to speak, to the point of no longer occupying space at all. In a word, the *force that extends* is present in Christ's Eucharistic Body; but its effect — i. e. actual extension — is miraculously absent, counteracted by Divine omnipotence. Any student of St. Thomas knows that this is the right explanation of his theory. I may now point out the chief issues in this chapter.

1st Every quantity, says Wyclif, is indefinitely great; if quantity is multiplied, so is its measure, space. 2nd Quality, by a like reasoning,

¹ I am not sufficiently acquainted with the details of the Scotist system to know whether it applies this distinction to Christ's presence in the Host; but it is a convenient one, and I should think it very likely to be applied.

would be infinitely intensified. 3rd Negative qualities would be also infinite; v. g., the Sacrament would be infinitely dense and rare at the same time. 4th Men could be put, however distant from each other, into instantaneous communication. 5th The whole world, were it thus transsubstantiated, could be held in a man's hand; which is blasphemy. 6th The meanest of things would become God. (This wanders from the present question, being an attack on the accident-theory.) 7th Why should the Sacrament possess only *dimensional* quantity? Why not the other sorts: time, place, &c.? And if these are also miraculously preserved, it is no longer an entity, but a collection of incongruous entities. 8th If the absolute accidents, v. g. of a man and a woman, should beget a son, and that sinfully, yet they could not sin; they might be damned, and yet cannot suffer; nor can they beget. 9th A subdeacon, if he had power to transsubstantiate bread into the world, while a priest could transsubstantiate it only into Christ's Body, would be higher than the priest. 10th As the world essentially depends on the whole of its matter, a priest could not celebrate Mass without destroying the world; for he would destroy the substance of bread.¹ 11th A vacuum, abhorred by Nature, would be possible in the Host, where there is nothing present but quantity. 12th If contrary qualities can belong to the same thing in different places, a man, bilocated — existing at the same time in England and in India — might be living in England and dead in India.

Ch. IX. How then is Christ present in the Host? As the thing signified is present in the sign; the golden calf was a calf only figuratively, but this figurative entity was present in every part of the gold. So too of the brazen serpent; so too of the angels that represented the Trinity to Abraham; each of these types had its own separate and physical existence. The Sacrament is thus of a double nature, earthly and divine; not identically Christ's Body, though really so, our Lord's words being true. Thus there is but one Body, Christ's, as principally to be thought of. Its terrestrial nature is forgotten, absorbed by faith; yet we must not suppose that He is

¹ Annihilation, we may here observe, is still more repugnant to Realists than to other philosophers. For, material essence being in all things *absolutely* identical, the smallest amount of matter destroyed implies the destruction of *all matter*.

identified with the bread, 'impanated'; still less, become an accident (pp. 103—110). The same objection as before noticed recurs: is the world Christ's Body? Wyclif considers it prudent to believe Scripture and go no farther. Perhaps, after the Judgment, all things will be Christ — figuratively. As for bilocation, he denies its possibility; the same thing cannot be in two places at once. St. Ambrose could not have been at St. Martin's funeral and at Milan at the same time. Can the *soul* be at once in several places? It is doubtful; at any rate, matter cannot. True, great doctors have thought differently; but they have also contradicted one another. Wyclif's rule is to reject any proposition, not only when manifestly absurd, but when not proved by reason or revelation to be true. For that second reason, he would deny that the soul can exist in two places at once (pp. 110 to 115). But, it is objected, *is* implies identity between subject and predicate. Anything then would be identically Christ, since everything *is* Christ figuratively. Wyclif answers, admitting generic but denying numerical identity; the latter would be $a = a$, so that there can be no possible difference between the two. But Baptist *is* Elias, in so far as he represents him: no farther. The lowest degree of this identity is that given by natural signs, as smoke signifying fire; the next, by a supernatural institution, as the Paschal Lamb; the highest, by the miraculous coexistence of the thing signified; which is the Eucharist (pp. 115—118). I confess I do not see how, in Wyclif's theory, this coexistence is miraculous; but the unanimous language of the early Fathers whom he so much esteems, seems to have determined him to bring in a miracle.

Ch. X. A fresh debate commences here, on the essence or quiddity of the Sacrament; with, however, few points that have not been touched upon already. The exceptions are: 1st the statement that when an accident is the subject of other accidents, it necessarily becomes a substance (p. 121); which Wyclif might have developed into a much better and stronger argument; and, 2nd the answer to an objection taken from St. Thomas, concerning the Eucharistic fast. If the bread remains, how can a priest say two Masses in one day, since he must say the second fasting? The difficulty is so weak that it is surprising Aquinas should have made use of it; but Wyclif avails himself of the occasion to say boldly that the great point is to fast from sin (pp. 123—124).

Ch. XI. We here come to another attack upon ‘absolute accidents’; here again consisting mainly of repetitions. The idea of an accident able to exist apart from its substance destroys the very nature of the term accident. Wyclif takes one meaning of the word, and will not allow that they can give another to it. In any case, he says, you have to posit *extension*, which cannot exist by itself; what is the use then of imagining besides a quantity that can do so? Quantity is but the ‘being so great’ of a substance (132—134). And if neither substantial form nor primal matter can exist alone, how can that which depends upon them do so? Abstract quality must exist in a subject, or be infinite, like the attributes of God (pp. 134—136). Names should be given to all things according to their qualities; if these accidents have all the qualities of bread, they should be called so. The arguments borrowed from the peculiar nature of quality, those against a vacuum, and those combating annihilation follow, rather more fully developed than before, but on the same plan (pp. 136—146). He closes by denouncing the pride of those who exalt themselves, under colour of magnifying God’s omnipotence, and the fallacy of this assertion: The Pope admits transsubstantiation: therefore absolute accidents exist (pp. 146—150).

Ch. XII. This chapter examines the different theories concerning the accidents supposed to remain in the Host. Some take it to be quantity (pp. 151—159); some, a congeries of different accidents (p. 159 to the end of the chapter); and some, quality (ch. XIII). — Quantity must have a subject. It is separable from its subject, more or less. Now even inseparable accidents, such as the power of laughing in man (an instance taken from Aristotle) are not conceivable without a subject. The Sacrament is active, which quantity is not. Existing in the concrete, quantity can be neither increased nor diminished; a number, if increased, is another number by the very fact. Now we see that quantity is increased in the Sacrament. The Nominalists change both religion and the laws of Nature; they would make all things infinitely great, quantity extended within quantity *ad infinitum*; and the absurd hypothesis of ‘compressed quantity’ cannot save them.— It is still more absurd to call the Eucharist an aggregate of accidents; every reason that makes against one, makes against the whole aggregate. A collective entity is no entity at all; the Fathers

never mention this scandalous theory, which resembles the apotheosis of Pagan idolaters, who made a god out of nothing. The Sacrament has weight; that cannot be accounted for on the hypothesis of an aggregate of accidents. This theory makes out the Sacrament to be (even after consecration) only a sign of Christ's Body; which is the heresy of Berengarius.

Ch. XIII. Quality, as an absolute accident, is here discussed. Of all the theories, it is the least improbable; a sacrament is a *form* of grace, and in so far a quality; some Saints besides have favoured this opinion, which is however inadmissible. The arguments already brought to bear against quantity are conclusive here too. Quality within quality would be multiplied *ad infinitum*. We cannot say that the Sacrament *is* whiteness, heaviness, &c. but that it *has* them; and for that reason Aquinas made quantity the basis that *has* (pp. 165—168). If however the substance of bread failed, when passing into the substance of Christ's Body, *nothing* would pass. Baptism does not annihilate the convert to whom it gives a new being. How this change is conceivable it is hard to say; whether natural, as in the eduction of forms, or supernatural, as in the present case. Whatever Pope Innocent may have decided, we are not under the Old Law now, and it is not practicable for the whole world to await the Pope's decisions. He ought not to be consulted, unless he is learned in Holy Writ. It is no matter what modern doctors think; Augustine denied the possibility of absolute accidents; and these doctors have often been in error: as v. g. in the question of temporal power (pp. 168 to 177). If any accident could be absolute, it would be either empty space or time: yet neither could exist without a world existing extendedly and subject to change.

Ch. XIV. Three Nominalistic theories respecting the essence of the visible Sacrament. The *first* says that the Host, having (like the Universals) no existence as such, except in the mind, is not Christ's Body as an actuality but in signification (*in actu signato, non exercito*). But then the Sacrament would be only a figure of Christ; nothing proves this theory; and any one could in that sense call himself God (pp. 186—187). The *second* asserts that the substance of bread *is* — i. e. has become — Christ's Body. But it were idolatry to worship bread; and bread cannot be said to become anything, when it totally

ceases to exist (pp. 187—188). The *third* maintains that the Host is not, but *has* Christ's Body. But this goes against Christ's own words at the Last Supper (pp. 188—190). The chapter closes with complaints against the glossators, and a Wycliffian gloss of contrary opinions, making them coincide with his own (pp. 190—193).

Chs. XV. and XVI. These chapters, which we must analyse together, seem to be an answer to tracts written by four opponents, and especially to one who had made up a 'genealogy' of testimonies against him, from his time up to Christ. They are perhaps the most important in the whole book; not so much, however, the answers to the authorities quoted, as what follows, towards the end of Ch. XVI. The answers come first, and take up the whole of Ch. XV (pp. 193—206) and a part of Ch. XVI (pp. 206—217). — (1) The *Doctors of the Sects* are set aside: they contradict each other. (2) *Grosseteste* contradicts himself. (3, 4) *Lombard* and *Comestor* are contradicted by the Sects. (5, 6) *Lanfranc* and *Guitmundus* wrote against Berengarius, whose error Wyclif detests. (7, 8) *Gandoflus* and *Paschasius* are mere make-weights. (9) *Arnulfus* does not go into the question. (10) *Bernard* is mistaken. (11) *Anselm* can be explained. (12) *Innocent's* words are not a decree *de fide*; even were they so, we ought not to follow them. A long debate about the Pope's authority follows, from Wyclif's usual standpoint. (13) (Beginning of Ch. XVI, and of the second series of witnesses — writers of the *first millenary*) *Raban Maur* is inconsistent. (14) So is *Bede*, unless explained. (15) *St. John Damascenus* is of Wyclif's mind: his expressions point either that way or to impanation or consubstantiation, and these two last systems are not admissible. Here our author gives by the way (p. 210) his definition of transsubstantiation: a change *from* the exclusion of any entity but bread *to* Christ's sacramental coexistence. (16, 17) *Urso* and *Isidorus* may be quoted against the accident theory. (18) *Ambrose* seems against Wyclif in only two passages, which, if against him, would be in favour of Berengarius. (19) *Jerome* teaches that Christ's word "Hoc" means bread. Here Wyclif remarks (p. 213) "I have often confessed that Christ's very Body, numerically the same that was born of the Virgin . . . that same body and substance is truly and really the sacramental bread, which the faithful perceive in the hands of the Priest. Yet I venture not to say that Christ's Body is identically,

substantially, corporally, or identically that bread". . . . If the reader has followed the explanation here given, I think he will see that in these words there is no contradiction; they are merely the outcome of Wyclif's philosophical position. At the bottom of the same page he even admits in a certain sense that Christ is *substantially* present, i. e., as a substance. (20) *Augustine* is either inconsistent or must be explained: besides, the work quoted may spurious. (21) *Gregory* can also be understood in Wyclif's sense. As for (22, 23) *Ignatius* and *Dionysius*, they never mention accidents at all. If to these we add *St. Paul*, we have a second dozen of testimonies; and to crown all, Christ's words (pp. 213—217). But even the agreement of all the Fathers would amount to no more than probability, being only testimonial evidence; and they disagree (pp. 217—222). But how can we say that Christ's body is present just as in the Crucifix, *in signo*? Wyclif replies: Christ's Body, though only present *in signo*, is present otherwise than *ut in signo* (p. 223). I confess that this last distinction has puzzled me much. It seems to admit another sort of existence of Christ's Body in the Host, besides the 'sign existence'. And then Wyclif would perhaps be no more than an ordinary orthodox believer, who chooses to call by the name 'substance of bread' its visible and tangible appearances. But this again would clash with his Realistic theory. On the other hand, I am not inclined to think that he would take refuge in a mere verbal evasion of the question, though the whole of the book seems to point to that conclusion. This distinction seems hopelessly inconsistent with his former utterances. He goes on to say: Bread is not united to Christ's Body in the unity of one Person, but as nearly as possible to that union (p. 224). If I at all understand his theory, it runs thus: Every sign receives a certain figurative entity of the thing it signifies; and in proportion as the sign proceeds from a higher authority, this entity becomes more perfectly present. Our fancy may consider a lamb as the image of Christ, and it then *is* Christ — to a certain extent. But the Paschal lamb was much more so; and the highest possible perfection was reached, when Christ said of the bread: This is my Body. Thus I understand it: but then, 1st, the difference would be only one of degree, not of kind: how then can Wyclif say: *est tamen ibi aliter quam ut in signo?* And 2nd, the authority of Christ would suffice,

without the miracle that Wyclif everywhere asserts. Perhaps some Wyclif student may be able to point out where my exposition falls short, if it does fall short; for after all, he may have been inconsistent.—The chapter closes (p. 224—233) with an appeal to the authority of several of the early Fathers, in support of Wyclif's doctrine.

Ch. XVII. This is not a debate, though it of course contains much debatable matter. It reads much like a supplement or appendix. Its principal feature is an account of the gradual additions to the Mass, and the writer's opinion of them; with much against Friars, Orders, perpetual vows, and the power of the Pope. These last being mostly repetitions of what was said before, I can dismiss them without further notice. Before examining the ceremonies of the Mass, Wyclif relates a legend about an old monk who doubted whether bread was Christ's Body, until convinced by a miracle (p. 246—247). He then returns to the main question, and states that the Mass at first consisted only of the Lord's Prayer and of the words of consecration, and was said in the evening. The hour was soon changed; one pope ordered the whole Psalter to be sung before Mass (this was probably the origin of the Canonical Hours); another compiled an *antiphonarium* and introduced the *Kyrie Eleison*; another brought in the *Gloria in Excelsis*; others were the authors of various tracts, hymns, and prefaces, and ordered the *Credo* and the *Agnus Dei* to be chanted. Wyclif by no means approves of these innovations, though his tone is very reserved. If it is a sin now to change the established form of Mass, what was it then to have changed the form that Christ established? All these rites may be aids to piety, but it would be better if we could do without them. The argument of Solomon's temple, if urged, would allow burnt-offerings in our churches. Ceremonies are too much thought of in these days, and the spirit is held of too small account (p. 247—250). Wyclif, concluding *De Apostasia*, throws down a challenge to the Nominalists, or 'sign-worshippers'. This doctrine will be given to the public; let them also produce theirs.

If we set aside the strange distinction on p. 223, perhaps given to avoid a serious difficulty, I think we may come to the conclusion that the Realist Wyclif and the Nominalist Berengarius held objectively the same views on the Eucharist, and only varied in their manner

of expounding it. If the bread remained and there was only a figure of Christ in the Host, Berengarius had, consistently with his principles, to deny that this was in any sense a real presence;¹ it was only nominally Christ. Wyclif's theory, on the contrary, gave reality to the figure itself. Hence there is no tergiversation nor insincerity in his protestations that it is really and even substantially Christ; nothing can be more hearty than his condemnation of Berengarius; for, condemning him, he condemns the whole philosophical school of sign-worshippers.

I think I cannot do better than to quote in conclusion some remarks made to me by Mr. Matthew, in a recent communication on the subject.

"The truth is that Wyclif would like to avoid saying *how* Christ's Body is present. Christ's institution makes it clear that He is in the Sacrament otherwise than by that universal immanence by which He is in all things. If his opponents would let him, he would be content to say Christ was present *sacramentally* (as he does say sometimes). 'In signo' but not 'ut in signo' means that although His presence is figurative, it is not simply a figure, but has a special efficacy. What that is precisely he cannot tell, and loses himself in trying to express it. He is sure that the current explanations are carnal and wrong, but does not know how to replace them. See Arnold's *Select Works of Wyclif*, III, 426."

.... "There is a very good summary of his view in Lechler (Germ. ed.), I, 626; but neither Lechler nor anyone else can get a satisfactory and clear exposition, for the simple reason that Wyclif did not know what it was, though he thought he knew what it was *not*."

.... "He would have liked Queen Elizabeth's quatrain:
 'Christ was the Word that spake it;
 He took the bread and brake it;
 And what that Word doth make it,
 That I believe and take it'."

¹ The writer of the article Berengarius in the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* says that he did not deny the real presence of Christ. But it is clear, from his whole doctrine, that he must have meant something quite different from what is meant here: v. g. a reality of grace, present in the soul, &c.

CAPITULUM PRIMUM.

A 37^a Restat ulterius ponere aliud principium pro ambitu
B 49^a heresis symoniace pertractando. Quamvis enim symonia, Another principle to be established.

blasphemia et apostasia convertantur ad subsistendi consequenciam, cum nemo potest peccare in unam

5 personam divinam nisi peccet in quamlibet, tamen racio huius peccati triplicis, vel pocius eius informitas, est diversa. Peccatur enim in patrem (et per conse-

Jac. quens in totam trinitatem) quando liga qua coleretur I, 27 omnipotens pater dissolvitur: et ideo Jac. I, dicitur:

10 "Religio munda et immaculata aput deum et patrem, hec est".

Nec est possibile quemquam incidere in mortale, nisi sit de tanto apostolicus et per consequens blasphemus et symoniacus. Ideo dicit decretum, 79^a distinccione, capitulo X: *Si quis, quod papa qui solum debet deponi*

15 pro heresi (ut innuitur 40^a distinccione, capitulo *Si papa*) postquam ruperit ligam qua religiose debet servire Christo in suo officio, quod non apostolicus sed apostolicus habeatur.

Et concordat nomen *apostasie*, que *apostotare* procurat; 20 quod fit, quandocunque persona a lege domini recedit.

Et dicitur secundum grammaticos ab *apos*, quod est *retro*,

Prov. et *stolos, missio*; inde apostola, perversus refuga retro VI, missus. Unde Augustinus vocat antichristum refugam.

12—14 Et sic loquitur scriptura Prov. VI: "Homo apostota, vir A 37^b inutilis, graditur ore perverso, annuit oculis, terit | pede,

B 49^b Job. digito loquitur; pravo | corde machinatur malum et XXXIV, omni tempore iurgia seminat." Et sic loquuntur scripture

i8 Eccl. de apostotare, ut patet Job XXXIV et Eccl. X et XIX.

X, 14 Eccl. 2

2. perscrutando BE. 6. huius modi CD. 14. capitulo *deest* F.
22. per se perversus CD. 26. malum in D. 27. et omni virga E.
28. Eccles. ABCDE.

13. Decr. Grat. 1^a Pars. Dist. LXXIX. c. 1. 15. Decr. Grat.
1^a Pars. Dist. XL. c. 6.

Simony, blasphemy and apostasy are inseparable as to existence, but the signification of the term is different.

Any act that loosens the bond of worship between man and the Father is a sin against the Father.

The pope, when he has broken by heresy the bond of Divine service is no longer Apostolic but Apostate according to the Decretal.

The very name is a proof of this.

Attempt at an etymological demonstration of the meaning. Augustine calls Antichrist a deserter. Quotation depicting the apostate.

To know what apostasy is, we must know what its contrary i. e. religion, is. Ad cognoscendum autem apostasiam, oportet precognos- B. cere religionem, cum contrarium privativum oportet cognoscere per suum contrarium positivum.

Dupliciter autem appellatur religio; primo modo observancia legis Christi; et illa describitur, Jacob. I^o 5 word 'Religion'. eius capitulo, ut alias diffuse exposui. Sic eciam vocatur 1st Observation of the law of miles Cornelius vir religiosus (Actuum X^o) eciam ante- Christ.

Examples of the word used in this sense: quam loquebatur cum Petro. Et sic indubie Ethiops eunuchus Candacis regine (de quo actuum VIII^o) antequam VIII^o,²⁷ Cornelius and conversus fuerat a Philippo: quia interna dei inspiracio religiositatem illam inducit, licet non autorisata fuerit,

Remark that neither of these owed his religion to Peter. approbata vel cognita ab inferiori preposito. Quod docet fides scripture de istis duobus religiosis militibus, quorum primus factus est religiosus a Christo antequam loquebatur Petro et secundus post religiositatem quam 15

Christus instruxit, instructus est a Philippo, non Petro; ut vel sic discamus religionem et alia opera meritoria ecclesie per se sufficienter dependere a Christo, non

So religion is independent of Peter, much more so of any later Roman Pontiff. Petro; et multo evidencius non a posteriori Romano pontifice. De multis namque est evidens vel dubium 20 quod non sunt membra sancte matris ecclesie. | Et sic A 37^e eorum auctorisacio non per se pertinet ad religionem quam Christus instituit, sed per se nuda approbacio

To be religious it is enough to follow Christ's guiding: as the religious men, on the day of Pentecost. Christi et instinctus quem ipse inspirat. | Et sic legitur B 49^e Act. I^o, quod erant habitantes in Jerusalem Judei genere Act. viri religiosi per dispersionem captivitatis ex omni II, 5 genere nacionis. Et utinam non forent hodie plus sophisticati religiosi quam illi fuerant.

Second meaning of the word religion: peculiar rites by which some men are distinguished from the rest. Secundo modo vocantur religiosi qui per adinventas sectas et tradiciones cum aliis ritibus sensibilibus a 30 residuo populi distinguntur. Et isto modo narrat magister hystoriarum super evangelia, quod tempore Christi tres secte fuerant in Judea, scilicet: Pharisei, saducei et Essei; et narrat distinciones ac observancias quas servabant. Isti autem vocantur sic famose religiosi, 35 licet in scriptura infundabiliter; quod nomen tocius religionis quam Christus in fide scripture instituit, est extinctum.

No Scripture warrant for this use of the word. 4. Tripliciter BE. 5. vere observancia BEF; ib. et illam describitur E; illam describit B. 9. candatis regimine A. 10. dei deest F. 11. religiōnem BE; religiōnem illum C; religiōni illum D; ib. auctorisata E. 19. non a Petro D. 22. per se deest EF. 24. inspiravit E. 26. dispersionem A; disposicionem E; ib. capacitatē E 28. sophistici F. 31. ritu pro residuo E. 32. in hystoria scolastica super E.

32. See note to Engl. Works of Wyclif, Matthew, pag. 489.

C. Sed notandum est diligenter ulterius, quod religio illa intelligi potest dupliciter: vel simpliciter, ut dicit aggregatum ex humanis ritibus et essenciali religione quam Christus instituit; vel personaliter, ut dicit per se 5 religionem Christi et religionem privatam, de quanto religioni illi consonat accidentaliter adiacentem. Et isto

^{Act.} modo videtur michi scripturam loqui ^{Act. XXVI,} ubi

Paulus sic loquitur: "Secundum certissimam sectam nostrae religionis vixi Phariseus". Et propter alias obser-

vancias eorum laudabiles, contendunt quidam inaniter,

A 37^a quod Christus | fuerat Phariseus. Non dubium Paulus

non sic fuit desponsatus cum illo ordine phariseico; sicut nec Nicodemus, de quo Joh. III^o; vel alii sancti

B 49¹ religionis istius | , quod eo ipso quo ritus istos adiectos

15 dimitterent, forent apostate. Et in illis religionibus

privatis sunt et fuerunt multi sancti clerici et subtiles.

Primum autem membrum istius divisionis voco religionem

privatam simpliciter, et secundum membrum voco reli-

gionem privatam per accidens.

20 Dividitur autem religio privata aliter in possessionatos

et exproprietarios; possessionati vero dicuntur religiosi,

quibus sunt redditus elemosinarii perpetuo humanitus

assignati; ut monachi atque canonici. Exproprietarii

vero sunt religiosi viventes dumtaxat de elemosina

25 temporali: ut fratres. Et intelligendo divisionem pre-

dictam de religiosis privatis per accidens, patet quod

religio possessionata fuit exproprietaria et econtra. Sic

enim dicit beatus Bernhardus in libello intitulato *apolo-*

geticum, quod idem est ordo et eadem religio mona-

30 chorum que fuit pauperum Jerusalem, tempore aposto-

lorum. Et illi fuerunt pauperes indubie exproprietarie,

habentes omnia in communi.

Est autem difficultas et dissensio, ut sepe tetigi, unde

individuantur et distingantur ordines fratrum ab ordinibus

35 possessionatorum.

Et videtur decretalem (in 5^o decreto, titulo *de apo-*

A 38^a *statis*) innuere, quod habitus corporalis | individuat et

distinguit illos ab invicem. Nam ibi sic scribitur

in capitulo I^o: "Clerici qui relicto ordine et habitu suo,

The latter sense
of the word can
be taken in two
ways. Either
simply, as an
aggregate of
human rites,
with Christ's
religion or
personally, in
so far as a
man's personal
religion

happens to
correspond
with the
former.

Wyclif divides
private
religion into
simply private
and accidentally
private.

Another
division: men
with and men
without
possessions.

Who are those
with
possessions.
Who are those
without
possessions.

That Religion,
which now
holds property
was formerly
dispossessed;
and vice versa.

St. Bernard
compares
monks with the
members of the
Church at
Jerusalem, who
were certainly
without
possessions.

A difficulty as
to what makes
one order differ
from another.

For the Decretal
seems to say
that it is the
monastic garb
Decree quoted.

2. tripliciter BEF. 6. accidenter C. 10. quidam corum E.
12. fuerat sic E. 15. regionibus B. 24. dicuntur F. 27. Sicut E.
30. paperum A. 31. exproprietarii CE. 38. describir D. 39. in deest E.

30. S. Bernard. *Apologia ad Guillelmum.* c. X. (t. 182, pag. 912,
ed. Migne). 36. *Decr. Gregor.* IX, lib. V, tit. IX, c. i.

Proofs that the habit is essential:
I. Putting off the monastic garb makes an apostate.

II. That which makes a specific distinction is essential; but the orders of friars are specifically distinct.

In any order, only the garb and the rule distinguishes it; but they all profess the same rule.

III. If the garb was not essential, any one might set it aside at will; and all the different orders would be in confusion: which is against the Decretal.

It says 'that a monk, if he have received Holy orders when in a state of apostasy, cannot exercise sacred functions without a special dispensation from Rome'.

Arguments to the contrary.
The condition of the mind does not depend upon clothing.

in apostasia tanquam laici conversantur, si in criminibus comprehensi tenentur per censuram ecclesiasticam, non precipimus liberari." Et ex isto videtur innui, quod | B 50^a religiosus relinquens habitum corporeum, fit eo ipso D. apostata: quod non haberet colorem, nisi habitus talis 5 foret essencialiter ad ordinem requisitus.

Item, inter 4^{or} ordines mendicancium est distincio specifica; quia aliter liceret sine dispensacione ab uno transire ad alium. Sed non sic distingwerentur, si non per habitus corporales: igitur conclusio. Nam, quantum 10 ad regulam, tres istorum ordinum preter fratres minores profitentur regulam Augustini; sicud et 4^{or} alii famosi ordines possessionati. Opportet igitur dare aliquam differenciam sensibilem secundum quam a populo distingwantur.

Item, si quilibet talis habitus foret accidentalis ordini 15 vel religioni, tunc liceret homini sine auctoritate superioris, habitu tali dimisso, alienum induere; et foret confusio ordinum, cum cuiolibet religioso liceret habitum quemcunque — eciam layalem — quocienscunque et quamdiu libuerit induere atque exuere: quod videtur 20 esse contra decretalem pape (in quinto decretalium De Apostatis, capitulo A nobis) ubi docetur quod abi- cientes habitum licet stricto carceri mancipare. Et capi- tulo finali | dicitur quod monachus in apostasia recipiens A 38^b aliquem sacrum ordinem, eciam reconciliatus per peni- 25 tenciam suo abbati, absque dispensacione Romani pontificis ministrare non potest in ordine sic suscepto. Et prima consequencia videtur ex hoc quod, posita tanta accidentalitate, staret servata religione vel ordine in multis casibus habitum talem licenter exuere, quia 30 ex quo stant cum ordine licet | priori precipere virtute B 50^b obediencie, quamdiu voluerit habitum talem dimittere; et sic de aliis casibus infinitis. Si enim impossibile potest cadere obediencario sub precepto, multo magis accidentale possibile, religioni indifferens, ymmo quod 35 potest esse meritorium et racionabiliter faciendum.

In oppositum videtur quod omnis vera religio con- E. sistit in animo, et per consequens est cuicunque habitui corporali inpertinens. Quis, inquam, dubitat, quin habitus mentis non dependet ab habitu corporali?

9. exire F. 13. ergo EF; ib. ergo dare aliam regulam B. 20. eruere A.
21. in deest E. 22. septimo nobis D. 24. ultimo E. 31. hoc statu
pro quo stant E. 38. anima F.

21. Decr. Gregor. lib. V, tit. IX, c. 5.

Item, ut logici arguunt, aliter consumpta et inveterata foret proporcionaliter talis religio, ut contingit pannorum consumpicio; et, abiectis pannis, gracia mutacionis vel balnei, sic mutans in apostasiam incideret! Ymo cum 5 religio servatur in pannis, moveretur cum illis; et laicus ydiota vel asinus, habitum talem induitus, fieret ut sic illius religionis vel ordinis.

If so, religion would be used up and worn out as the rags themselves wore out.

An ass, dressed in the garb, would belong to the Order.

Nec valet fingere quod oportet exuentem habitum essencialem propter causam necessariam partibiliter in A 38^o duere alium habitum | pro eodem tempore quo prior habitus partibiliter est exutus; quia ordo sic veteraseret et susciperet magis et minus ut inducio vel mutacio talis habitus, cum aliis multis adducendis, que sunt similia deliramentis puerilibus.

15 Item iuxta istam sentenciam papa non posset dispensare cum ordine, variando habitum et ritus alias corporales: consequens impossibile. Et consequencia sic probatur: Nichil quod est differencia essencialis vel passio potest vel per deum separari a subiecto remanente, igitur evidencius papa | hoc non potest; quia aliter posset in contradictoria, ultra deum. Ideo dicit Bernhardus glozator cum textu super 3^o decretalium de statu monachorum (capitulo, *Cum ad monasterium*) quod monachum potest papa facere proprietarium, sed non 25 potest facere quod simul sit monachus et proprietarius.

Ex quo patet quod aliquis ritus est essencialis ordini, preter habitum corporalem, cum quo papa dispensare non potest stante ordine. Sic enim limitatus est habitus a papa nigris canonicas, sic et variantur albi monachi 30 a nigris in habitu, stante ordine, sic eciam mutatus est habitus Carmelitarum stante eodem ordine, ut patet notanti cronicas istius materie. Et evidencius potest ostendere quod papa dispensat cum multis ordinibus de per hoc quod papa dispensat cum multis ordinibus de 35 esu carnium, eciam in refectorio; cum igitur hoc sit propinquus religiositati, quam habitus corporalis; multo evidencius potest dispensare cum illis quo ad talem habitum.

F. In ista materia non delectat fidelis contendere quia est tradicio humana preter fidem scripture. Videtur Conclusion: The garb is not an essential.

5. moveretur struck out A; servaretur B; moneretur D. 9, 10. essentialem — habitum deest C. 12. induccio ACD. 16. alios ritus E.
17. Conclusio E. 18. essencialis deest E. 23. capitulo — monasterium deest E; ib. ad modum E. 29. alibi ABD. 30, 31. sic — ordine deest AD.

Neither tamen michi probabile, quod nec ritus, nec habitus ceremonies nor corporalis sit essencialis cuicunque bone religioni vel essential to any ordini, sed cuicunque bono religioso debet esse indifferens good religion.
 A good ritus vel habitus corporalis. Sic tamen, quod non det 'religious' ought scandalum fratribus; quia cum quoconque tali habitu 5 to be indifferent to all that, so posset fidelis eque bene facere opus religionis quam far as no Christus instituit. Ut si duo iuga ordinum fratrum scandal is given to his brethren. commutarent simpliciter habitus corporales et de comp- possibili meliorarentur in operibus religionis foret me- | B 50^a
 lius ecclesie et placencius deo, quam est modo. 10

Solution of difficulties. Ad primum trium argumentorum que fiunt in oppo-
 I. Bodily garb situm, dicitur quod habitus corporalis est signum sen-
 is a sensible sibile sed per accidens quo cognoscuntur illi ordines
 sign by which religious orders distingwi ab invicem, non causa distinctionis. Sed in-
 happen to be telligendo ordinem privatum simpliciter potest dici, 15
 known to differ: but it is not the quod est unum aggregatum ex religione Christi et pri-
 cause that makes them to differ. vatis professionibus vel habitus mentis ad tales ritus

An order combines Christ's religion in anima videntur obiective terminari ad genus ritus with a tendency towards certain practices; which last vary according to circumstances. et habitus corporalis succedens pro suo tempore. Et 20 sic necessitatur loquentes in ista materia ponere cum extensione temporis res communes, ut frater non tenetur de essencia ordinis | habere hunc vel illum habitum A 39^a corporalem sed tenetur pro loco et tempore habere talem, saltem adiacente possibilitate parium cum hoc 25 vovit; et irrationabiliter solvens hoc votum, induendo habitum alterius generis secundum leges signa querentium tamquam apostota est punitus.

II. The four orders of friars are distinguished by their obligations and disposition to certain practices, but not by the practices themselves; the former would distinguish them even if the latter perished. Ad secundum argumentum conceditur, quod isti 4^{or} G. ordines distinguntur specifice penes obligaciones et 30 habitus mentales ad talia genera particularis observan- cie; sed non penes particulares habitus corporeos, nec penes illa genera distinguntur; quia stante obligatione et habitu mentali et eciam pereunte toto genere ritus vel habitus corporalis, non minus distinguerentur illi 35 ordines, licet signa sensibilia quibus recognosceretur ordinum illorum distinctione desint simpliciter. Et patet quod minor | argumenti est falsa, cum ordines tales B 51^a distinguntur penes obligaciones et habitus mentales; cognoscuntur autem a populo distingui penes habitus 40 corporales. Unde sicut illa noticia est accidentalis or-

19. ostenduntur C. 24, 25. sed — talem *deest* C. 26. ei raciona-
 biliter CE. 34. eciam *deest* F.

dini, ita sepe in illis signis populus est seductus. Et As to the rule, patet quod regula non per se distingwit, cum regulam it cannot Christianam fratres et possessionati omnis sexus fidelium distinguish them by itself, sacerdotes et layci profitentur. Augustinus autem dedit no more than 5 regulam scripture pro perfectis clericis in communia the observance viventibus, nec curavit de specie forme, coloris vel precii of Christ's law can distinguish clergy from habitus corporalis; ideo propter adiecciones talium laity.

A 39^b rituum | sunt multi ordines adinventi et infiniti alii Augustinie laid possunt addi; ut omnes cathedrales ecclesie et omnes down a general 10 conventuales clerici debent ut ego estimo servare regulam rule to which Augustinie laid Augustini. Unde adiecta variacio et subtilizacio est clergy ought to have been kept.

a malo.

Ad tertium argumentum videtur michi, quod conclusio est concedenda; nam licet fratri minori in tempore necessitatis habitum veterem proicere et novum induere licencia Romani pontificis non petita, sed indui habitum alienum abiecciorem; et limites illius generis nescit Romanus pontifex diffinire, sicut nec scit specificare strictitudines istorum ordinum quas patriarche qui 20 ipsos fundaverant statuerunt.

H. Ideo sepe dicit se dispensare cum ordine et apostando alienum peiorem instituit, ut minus degenerarent fratres predicatorum, si cum esu carnium quilibet eorum fuerit uxoratus, quam cum predicto esu quilibet eorum 25 fuerit civilis proprietarius. Minus eciam degenerarent, B 51^b si quilibet eorum factus vicarius, rector sive episcopus quam quod manendo penaliter claustrales contra Christi pauperiem conspirarent. Et tertio minus degenerarent quicunque religiosi induendo habitum 30 alieni coloris vel forme, quam induendo habitum preciosum eiusdem coloris et forme, habitu mentali Christiani ordinis plus mutato. Ideo ad regulandum hos ordines, necesse est regulam scripture et religionem A 39^c suam attendere, quia | papa sepe in talibus decipit atque 35 decipitur. Nam, ut dictum est, papa non habet potestatem, nisi ad edificandum ecclesiam sive statum, et sepe per suggestiones falsas et dispensaciones symoniacas facit contrarium; unde credo quod papa plus prodesset ordinibus precipiendo eis virtute obediencie annuatim 40 alternare in ritu et habitu corporali, vel melius nulli

III. We grant the conclusion, viz., that any one may set the garb of his order aside, when necessary; and that without asking the Pope's leave.

Dispenses are delicate things. And it would be better to enter the secular clergy than to conspire against poverty in the cloister. And better to change the colour or form of their dress than, keeping to them, wear costly stuffs.

2. illa regula E. 5. prefectis E. 6. colorat E. 8. modernorum rituum CDEF. 19. parochiane E. 20. ipso C. 22. alios peiores; alium peiorem *in margine* B. 23. sed E. 34. quod C; *ib.* decipit E. 38. plus *deest* ABCD.

It would be better if the Pope were to change all rites than to punish any one on account of mere signs.
 Religious men are called apostates, only for having changed their garb.

tali ritui obligari, quam sic punire religiosos propter tradiciones signorum. Necesse est tamen generacionem adulteram signa querentem, precedente peccato, in signis sacramentalibus signa false attendere plus signatis; ut hodie vocantur apostate, nedum qui habitum alienum induunt, sed qui religiose in comitivis honestioribus conversantur. Unde, sicut fingitur ordo et apostasia, sic fingitur sepe irrationabiliter pena gravior pro actu religioso vel apostatico stante fama, quia satrapi plus petunt apparentiam mundanam quam existenciam vere religionis qua deus placaretur.

Why the Pope claims authority over all Orders:
 1st to get more money; 2nd to be praised everywhere by them; 3rd to be more evidently great by ruling over them.
 This apostasy of pride makes the Pope grant dispenses rashly.
 Blindness with which he grants dispenses, and lays claim to authority.

Et correspondenter irreligiose puniunt. Papa eciam dicitur vendicare de privatis ordinibus regimen capitale atque dominium | propter tria: primo ut pecunia sedi B 5¹e sue copiosius cumuletur; secundo, ut nomen sue sancti- 15 tatis sophistice per illos dispersos in populo publicetur; et tertio, ut sua pomposa excellencia per regulacionem ordinum quibus insidet patencius promulgetur. Et hec apostasia superbie facit papam in accidentibus suis, scilicet colore et figura, cum religiosis temere dispensare; dispensat enim faciendo religiones in toto novas, et sepe nescius illudit plebi atque ordinibus post quantitatem in eukaristia in isto dupli genere qualitatis. Et sicut cece confert omnia beneficia regnorum, sic dispensat et auctoritatem vendicat in religiosis qui lanam 25 induunt, propter causam triplicem assignatam.

Let him note what Hugo has written on dispenses; saying that there can be no dispense without compensation; the word coming from *dispendium*, and in itself meaning loss.

Notaret, inquam, dictum Hugonis De Sacramentis parte 12^a capitulo 5^{to}. "Dispensacio esse non potest ubi recompensacio esse non potest: dispensacio quippe nomen *dispendii* est et detrimentum sonat quantum in ipso 30 est; stultum vero est voluntarie dampnum sustinere ubi nullum lucrum sequitur. Ubi autem in parvo detrimentum tolleratur voluntarie, ut in maiore lucrum prove- niat; hec bona est dispensacio". Et post declarat, quod non cadit dispensacio super lucro anime merendo bea- 35 titudinem; sed quid papa scit si commutaciones quas facit ordinibus, faciunt ad detrimentum anime? Viden- dum est igitur de apostasia qua a deo receditur, et illa

5. homines EF. 6. qui *deest* in EF; ib. commitis F. 9. quam pro vel F. 10. appetunt E. 12. plus puniunt EF. 16. potestatis EF. 17. per rationem E. 19 suis *deest* in CDE. 20. scilicet *deest* in E; ib. signa pro figura E. 23. quantitatis E. 33. voluntarie tolleratur E. 34. plus pro post D.

27. Hugo a Sancto Victore, *De Sacramentis*, lib. II, parte 12, c. 5 (p. 522, t. 176, ed. Migne).

sola est laudabiliter punienda. Unde glossa Bernhardi super primo capitulo de apostatis in quinto decretalium B 51^a narrat de apostasia triplici: scilicet perfidie inobedientie et irregularitatis adinvente. Sed indubie omnis 5 ponderanda apostasia est perfidie, inobedientie et irregularitatis contra religionem quam Christus instituit.

I. Nec scit papa si commutacio quam facit sit dispensacio vel dispendiosa commutatio ad anime detrimentum, cum prudens animus illud optime in se ipso discerneret 10 et a Christo inconsulto papa dispensacionem acciperet.

Nec dubium quin pena incarcerationis et privacionis quam inponit vocatis apostatis sit sepe illicita et regalis regum contraria; quia regum est et non pape inponere legiis regum penas huius modi corporales. Sicut igitur 15 talis incarceration religiosorum procedit ex temeritate cleri presumpta, sic ista tolleracio principum quo ad suos legios procedit ex culpabili eorum negligencia, ut ostendi in tractatu *de rege*.

Ideo quererent domini regnorum qua auctoritate sic 20 faciunt religiosi sui; si auctoritate dei, ostendant locum legis sue, ex quo licet eis sic facere; si auctoritate principis, debent ostendere quod licet eis auctoritatem cui XVIII,¹⁷ talem conformiter scripture exequi, cum Christus pre-Tit. III, 10 cipit, Matth. XVIII^o, apostamatalem sic ut ethnicum et 25 publicanum relinquere. Et apostolus precipit ad Titum III^o A 40^b hereticum huius modi devitare. | Quid igitur comodum insurgit regibus, quod consenciant suos legios tam irregulariter cruciari? Augustinus autem precipit tales a B 52^a societate sanctorum proici, indubie sine concussione 30 ut fecerunt apostoli; sed glozatores perfidi, ut alia dicta sancti, sic sinistre intelligent: *proiciatur*, id est, *in artum et teturum carcerem detrudatur*. Ad quid, rogo, diceret sanctus, 'eciam si ipse non abscesserit de nostra societate proiciatur', nisi quia talis apostata gratis cum gaudio 35 societatis potest recedere et non per incarcerationem improvidam consorciun amplius contaminare.

Sed dubitatur, primo, si talis religio privata sit perfeccior quam communis religio Christiana: et patet quod

And the pope cannot say whether the changes he makes are a real dispense or a change costly to the soul.

The punishment of incarceration, commanded by the Pope, illegal, as encroaching upon kingly rights, proceeds from temerity of the clergy, and culpable negligence of the king.

An apostate, by Christ's teaching, is to be as a heathen and a publican.

St. Paul prescribes to

Titus the avoidance of such a man. Augustin rules that such must be 'cast out of the society of the Saints' surely without violence.

This word cannot signify imprisonment, by the context.

Return to main argument:
First question:
Whether
'religious (i. e.
monastic) life
is more perfect
than ordinary
Christianity.'
Negated.

8. dispensa EF. 13. regum *deest* E; *ib.* contraria; illicita quidem, quia infundibilis in Scriptura; et regalis contraria, quia BF. 14. leges B. 16. tali E. 19. querent E. 22. cui *deest* F. 30. licet E. 32. penaliter detrudatur EF. 33. vestra BE.

2. Decr. Gregor. lib. V, tit. IX, c. 1. 28. Aug. *Regula ad servos Dei*, art. 7. *De fraterna correctione* (p. 1381 of t. 32, ed. Migne).

non, ex sepe et diffuse dictis alibi. Pro quo suppono quod religio privata intelligatur simpliciter pro aggregato ex omnibus votis et obligacionibus, quibus religiosus astringitur, ut in tali ordine, et communis religio Christiana que in scriptura sacra est expressa, ad quam omnis fidelis de necessitate salutis astringitur.

^{1st} The general Christian life, as more simple, necessary, and authorised, is more perfect — ^{1st} more simple. Every 'private' religion must add to this.

^{2nd} More necessary. For it alone is necessary to salvation.

^{3rd} More authorised.

Ordinary Christianity was promulgated by Christ, followed by Him, and handed down to the Apostles now nothing of this is true of the other 'religions'.

Et tunc probatur conclusio negativa; primo sic: Communis Christiana religio est simplicior, necessarior et autoritativior: igitur est perfecior. Est, inquam, simplicior, quia oportet quamcunque religionem privatam ¹⁰ isti superaddere; ideo solebam dicere, quod religio ipsa presupponitur ad alias, sicut forma substancialis ad formas accidentales, et talis est perfectionum comparatio. Et quod sit ^{A 40^s} necessarior, patet; cum ista et non alia ^{A 40^s} requiritur ad salutem. Nam per istam religionem sine ¹⁵ alia crevit ecclesia, per istam rapuerunt apostoli et alii magis sancti regnum celorum et sine ista principante non valet alia, sed econtra; et quod sit autoritativior, patet notando fundatores religionum et expressiones earum in fide scripture. Nam ex fide capimus quod omnis ²⁰ veritas est ex scriptura, et ut necessarior est expressior; ^{B 52^b} aliter enim autor religionis summe potens, sciens atque benivolus foret improvidus, nisi religionem cariorem magis exprimeret. Illa igitur quam ore sua expressit, in persona sua servavit et apostolis atque carioribus eius discipulis ²⁵ servandam tradidit, excedit aliam adiectam cui non convenit laus ista.

^{2nd} 'Private' religion, as more difficult, more needy and more complex is less perfect. ^{1st} More difficult. Christ's religion is 'an easy yoke': one reason why the new law is better than the old. ^{2nd} More needy. A private religion requires Papal authorisation; Christ's religion is the authorisation of the Pope's life, if laudable.

Item, religio ista privata est magis difficilis, magis indigua et diffusa; igitur est minus perfecta. Consequenter patet ex testimonio Christi, Matth. XI¹⁰, comendantis ³⁰ religionem suam ex hoc quod iugum suum suave est Matth. XI, 28 et onus suum leve. Ex hoc enim lex nova excedit in perfectione legem veterem; et patet de ratione, cum religio ista brevius et compendiosius attingit finem legis. Plenitudo autem legis est dileccio, quam plene et breviter ³⁵ docet communis religio Christiana. Et quod religio privata plus indiget, patet ex hoc quod ad confirmationem eius requiritur auctorisacio papalis; ad legem autem Christi non sic; sed oportet quod ipsa vitam papalem, ^{A 40^d} si sit laudabilis, autoriset. Unde narrat Cestrensis, libro 40 7, capitulo 24, quomodo sub Innocencio III^o ordines

5. que *deest* F. 7. *necessaria* E. 11. *istam* F. 20. in fine CD.
26. suo F. 26, 27. cui laus ista non convenit BEF. 28. ideo E.
30. tenet pro patet B; ib. V^{to} ACD. 41. 24 *deest* CD; ib. capitulo
deest EF: ib. 24 *deest* F.

predicotorum et minorum inceperant, et ad confirmandum What difficulties
eos ipse se reddebat difficilem; sed confirmacio eorum
the Dominicans
per Honorium III^m immediate sequentem fiebat, ut
and Franciscans
notatur in eadem cronica; et taliter sunt alii ordines
were under
5 non prophani per Romanos episcopos confirmati. Et
before they
diffusio privati ordinis ex hoc patet, quod vix sufficit
could be
homo per vitam suam ceremonias et ritus eius plene
authorised.
cognoscere, et continue egent interpretatione et corre-
3rd More
ctione multiplici: quibus caret religio Christiana.
complex. A
whole lifetime
is hardly
sufficient to
learn all the
observances.

B 52^e Item periciores privati ordinis | sumptuose laborant
et eligunt illo exui et militare sub religione simplici Again.
Christiania, quod non fieret nisi illa foret perfeccior: The best men
strive to become
15 episcopalem accipiant et per capellaniam papalem vel Christ, which is
alia media obedientiam in qua consisteret maior ordinis therefore better.
sui perfeccio se exuant.

Nec valet dicere quod in hoc errant, cum papa And they do so
approbat et religio non inquagnat. Nam frater vel rightly; for
20 monachus nacto episcopio vivit perfeccius, quia aliter approved by the
retrocederet in lege domini tanquam apostata: quod tam Pope and not
A 4^a clerus quam laycus inopinabile reputaret. | condemned by
the Order cannot be held
as wrong.

Sicut igitur episcopi gerunt vicem apostolorum, sic Otherwise a
sunt in statu perfectissimo quem deus dignatus est in Friar becoming
25 ecclesia sua statuere et papa supra episcopos qui dicitur a Bishop, he
gerere vicem Christi. Et constat quod status episcopalis would be an
vel est statui religionis private inpertinens vel repugnans. apostate.
Nam omnes tradiciones adiecte supra legem Christi non The state of a
regulariter tenetur episcopus observare; quia aliter omnes Bishop is the
30 apostoli et omnes seculares episcopi ante istos ordines most perfect of
et post forent vel irregulares episcopi, vel saltem minus all.
regulares quam nostri religiosi: quod est incredibile; But if these
non solum quia ecclesia plus approbat et canonisat observances
priores episcopos, verum quia in privatis ordinibus non were really a
35 traduntur speciales regule episcopio pertinentes, sed source of
apostolus in Thymotheo tradit 15 regulas plenius et perfection,
perfeccius regulantes statum episcopi. Bishops, not being obliged to
inferior to Religious.

Tria igitur sunt, que catholicus ut fidem debet cre- So Catholics
dere: primum quod status episcopalis, quem Christus in must believe:
B 52^a apostolis suis instituit, est perfeccior | quam status 1st That a
privati ordinis; quia plus ecclesie regulativus et anime Bishop's state,
supra E. 38. debet ut fidem E. instituted by Christ in His

8. dispensacione et EF. 12 foret EF; ib. esset EF. 13. assump-
tum EF. 15. per deest F. 17. exuant reputaret EF. 22. clero
quam laico est E; ib. reputaret deest E; reputarem D. 25. sibi pro
supra E. 38. debet ut fidem E.

apostles is the most perfect of all.
To deny this would be blasphemy.

^{2nd} That though a Bishop may fall off from what he should be, his state remains the same.

^{3rd} That neither the Superior could designate, nor the people could receive a Religious as their Bishop, unless they believed the latter state to be preferable. A man who becomes a Bishop for pleasure, pride or money, is a simoniac, and will infect the whole flock.

Conclusion: the faithful must confide in the Bishop of souls.

Answer to objections.
^{1st} Objection. A Religious observes Christ's law and adds thereto. Answer: The assumption is false.

lucrativus. Nam nimis blasphemum foret, quod aliquis privatus ordo adinventus excederet primum ordinem quem Christus instituit, quia hoc foret implicare maiorem prudenciam in adinvencionibus humanis quam in deo, et per consequens extollere antichristum super omne quod 5 dicitur deus. Secundo credimus quod licet episcopus degenerat a statu primevo et confundit eius noticiam, tamen status ille manet in fide scripture invariabiliter perfectus succidente universalis statu prelati in moribus. In cuius signum religiosus privati ordinis (factus epis- 10 scopus), relinquit regulam privati ordinis, ut repugnans, et intendit regule apostoli, quam secundum quod perficit est laudabilis, patet ex dictis alibi de quiditate status. Tercio credimus quod nec superior constitueret O. nec populus acciperet religiosum privati ordinis in 15 suum episcopum, nisi utrobius crederet et confiteretur statum quem accipit plus perfectum. Cum enim perfeccio status sit dispositiva ad beatitudinem anime aquirendam et status perfecior sit plus dispositivus, insanis foret omnianque quelibet pars et caritati con- 20 traria, si gratis permitteret religiosum apostotare a statu perfectiori ad statum episcopalem (minus) perfectum. Nam ecclesia debet cognoscere, quod religiosus possessionatus vel exproprietarius appetens illum statum propter voluptatem, fastum, vel questum seculi est symoniacus vene- 25 nosus; et per consequens benedicendo confirmando vel ordinando quemquam in sua dyocesi venenose dampnificat se et plebem. Et idem est iudicium de | suffraganeis. B 53*

Ideo, ut sepe dixi superius, oportet fideles contemptis apostatis servare legem dei et confidere in episcopo 30 animarum.

Sed replicatur contra istud per hoc, quod religiosus privati ordinis | obligatur et servat quicquid Christianus A 41° de lege communi servaverit, et super hoc addit per fectionem adinventam; igitur superaddit in perfectioni 35 religionis; et, ut dixi alias, ad hoc sunt leges ecclesie. Sed dicitur (ut sepe alias) quod falsum assumitur. Nam P. ut dicit Sapien. IX^o deus disposuit omnia in mensura, Sap. numero et pondere. Quod expressit Augustinus (*De pro-* XI, 21

13. laudando E; laudandus F. 15. populum religiosum CE.
18. disposicio B. 19. adquerendam E. 38. disponit. 39. Augustinus exponit E.

40. Aug. *De Trinitate* lib. XI, c. 11. Numerus, pondus, mensura (p. 908, t. 42, ed. Migne).

prietatis trinitatis), sic quod mensura, sicut religio, correspondeat deo patri. Cum igitur deus dedit regulam completam religionis in lege scripture, videtur quod omnis religiosus privati ordinis deficit in observancia illius mensure, ad quam Christianus religiosus vocatus secularis debet attendere. In ista igitur perfeccione mensure excedunt omnes religiosos privati ordinis. Ideo videtur simile de ipsis et adiciente ad parietes domus perfectos de quadris lapidibus latum et inordinatum acervum lapidum; quod licet adaugeat magnitudinem et numerum, sepe tamen monstruose facit fundamenta putrescere.

God made all things in measure.
He gave a complete rule of religion in Scripture law. And every religious departs therefrom. That these observances add, is true; but it is like adding a heap of rubbish round the walls of a perfect building.

Second question.

Would it not be better, if there were no such orders in the Church? There were none in the first times.

Objection.

These Orders, founded by Saints, have produced many holy men.

Answer. The objection proves nothing; for many Saints have done wrong; and all have sinned. Christ alone ought to be taken as example to go by.

What fallacy to say: The men who regulated their sect thus and thus were saints:

therefore they did right!

And when Christ forbade his disciples to take two coats, &c. it is understood that he meant only for a time, not for ever.

Secundo dubitatur utrum expeditius foret ecclesie non esse tales privatos ordines; et videtur quod sic, ex dictis evidentiis: cum sapient imperfectionem qua caruit primitiva ecclesia quando crevit. Sed contra istud instatur: primo per hoc, quod nedum sancti fundarunt hos ordines, sed ex illis creverunt multi gloriosi viri ad magnam edificationem ecclesie. Sed supposita veritate B 53^b du | bii, ad istud dicitur, quod evidencia non procedit; 20 nam multi sancti comiserunt multas blasphemias, ymo A 41^d sanctissimi citra Christum | continue peccaverunt, cum I. Jo. paronymphus dicat (1^a Joh. 1^o): "Si dicimus quod peccata 1, 8 non habemus, nos ipsi seducimus et veritas in nobis non est". Ideo ut docet decretum (8 distinccione, capi- 25 tulo: *Si solus Christus audiendus est*), solus Christus capi debet inter homines pro exemplo in vita et opere, secundum hominem quem assumpsit vel secundum membra sua, in quibus ut in sanctis operatus est, et quo ad suam sentenciam inspiravit.

Q. Ideo est pura fallacia, si sancti homines sic fecerunt et ordinaverunt totam suam sectam sic perpetuo facere in futurum, igitur bene. Non enim est evidens Christum taliter ordinasse, vel membra sua movisse ad instituendum religionem huiusmodi, cum Christus ordinavit se ipsum 35 indui nunc veste purpurea et nunc alba, (ut patet, Math. 27 et Luce 23): ymo quando misit discipulos Luce ad predicandum (Luc. X^o) prohibuit eis ferre duas tunicas, X, 4 habere peram vel baculum vel calciamenta in pedibus, et tamen illud intelligi voluit non perpetuo sed solum

8. ad parietem domos E. 8, 9. perfectas D; ib. illicitam E. 11. monstruosa E. 13. ordines *deest* D. 15. credit E. 17. religiosi E. 22. dixerimus E; diximus D. 24, 25. capitulo *deest* EF. 25. solus *deest* E. 28. instructis E. 29. inspirat E. 39. cum C; ib. pro perpetuo F.

And the Apostles' life proves this. Why must the commands of Benedict, v. g. be binding for ever, when those of Christ were not so? And had they been thus, it does not follow that these Fathers could imitate Him in that. St. Bartholomew made a hundred genuflexions in a day; and yet he never founded a sect that had to do likewise, under severe penalties. And it would be perilous to follow them, even though their sin were light and their merits great.

quando ab officio apostolico retardaret. Quod non solum testatur vita apostolorum, qui quando expediebat ritum istum dimiserant, sed et ordines fratrum laudabiliter illud hodie non observant. Quis igitur color si Benedictus dominicus aut Franciscus sic statuit faciendum; 5 igitur non licet alicui de secta sua ad contrarium declinare, cum de Christo non sequitur? Nec sequitur, si Christus sic statuit ad sensum expositum, igitur licet | A 42^a patribus predictis prudenter statuere | ut tota sua secta B 53^a omnes ritus observet continue quos in se religiose in- 10 venerant. Bartholomeus enim legitur religiose in die cencies flexisse genua: et tamen non audebat statuere post se sectam perpetuam, que sub pena apostasie et tribulacionis severissime hoc observet. Quis igitur color: "si patroni ordinum sic statuerant, igitur bene"? 15

Sed sicut Silvester peccavit in recipiendo dotacionem ecclesie, et tamen postmodum penitens fuit factus, sic R. stat de patronis predictis. Ymmo licet omnes predicti meruerunt in isto peccando venialiter, tamen periculoso et dampnabile est sequi vel approbare eos secundum rationem qua sic peccaverant. Non igitur est color concludere, quod illi prudenter vel inculpabiliter fecerunt, secundum rationem qua in sectis suis obser- vanciam talem perpetuam rituum statuerunt.

It was an act of blindness to amplify the rule of Augustine, which mentions neither colours, diu placuerit, gravantur alie particule et ramificantur in ecclesia ex sancta radice vitulamina viciata. Non enim lego in regula (beati) Augustini de professione perpetua, 30 de colore vel figura vestium vel de incarceratione; sed de apostate abieccione.

Answer to Second part of the objection. Quoad secundum obiectum, patet quod nichil antecedenti et conclusioni concesse. Nam, ex radice infecta primi Adam, virtute secundi Adam | multa sancta et A 42^b gloriosa plantaria succreverunt; sicud et occasione 'Granted; but it proves nothing.' felicis culpe et necessarii peccati Adam', ut loquitur

5. Francus ABCD. 7. nec sequitur *deest* F. 10, 11. observav-
rant B. 11. in die religiose B. 18. *vnde* E. 21. peccaverunt B.
27. *grate* E. 28. *iam inficitur* E. 29. in *deest* E; *ib.* ex *insecta pro*
ex sancta E. 34. *vel* B. 38. *beatus* E.

37. The words '*O certe necessarium Adae peccatum*' . . . etc. are in the Sarum Office for Holy Saturday (*Benedictio cerei paschalis*); but I have not been able to trace them to St. Gregory.

B 53^a sanctus Gregorius, multa | ecclesie comoda acreverunt; et tamen radix illa fuit valde culpabilis. Et sic inter privatos ordines possessionatorum et fratum creverunt et sunt multi sancti clericci et solemnes, quo tamen non obstante regula ordinis sapit stulticiam et peccatum.

In cuius signum est inter sectas (illas) contencio, et concomitatur eas detrimentum ecclesie. Tales autem apostolus vocat *sectas* (Actuum XXVI) et sic videtur scripturam loqui de sectis (II Reg. II); et ad Gal. V¹⁰. Sicut igitur vitis suffertur a lignis infructuosis et rosa germinat

inter spinas, sic sancti et subtiles clericci germinant in secta minus laudabili. Sed omnes illi, ut reor, vel in hora mortis, vel ante, percipiunt quod secta est defecuosa et gravis, et non [nisi] ut facilitat ad legem domini observandam. Ideo licet et oportet ipsam dimittere, sicut licuit dimittere ritus quos Christus instituit.

Nec oportet timere de multitudine et permanencia talis secte, quia tales affectiones private indicant amorem inordinatum hominis ad sua, postpositis bonis communibus legis dei. Quilibet enim Christianus affectaret

per media licita quod, facta toto humano genere magis unicordi et simili, secta sua et quelibet alia privata foret sagaciter dissoluta; quia aliter oporteret | reli-

A 42^c giosos in diversis sectis habere liceat affectiones con-

25 trarias et voluntati dei, quia sibi invicem repugnantes.

T. Tercio arguitur per hoc, quod papa et alii prelati

B 54^a tales ordines perpetuo confirmarunt: vel igitur oportet

ordinaciones papales | dissolvere, vel omnes illas sectas

fovere et defendere. Hic dicitur quod multi prelati sunt

30 (in isto) patronis magis culpabiles, ut illi qui fovent

symoniace sectas tales. Ideo dicitur, quod papa approbat

totum quod est laudabile in sectis huiusmodi, et aliud

contempnit; sicut, condicione tacita, confirmat illas pro

periodo, qua deus decreverit quod durabunt; multe

35 autem suborte defecerant, et alie deficient pro termino

noto deo; deus enim ordinavit illas secundum totum

bonum in eis succedere, proficere et finire, sed modo

civilis dominii propter imperfectionem non intrant patriam,

ymo cum una sit contraria alteri et deo atque ecclesie,

41 oportet ipsas cessare; dicitur enim quamlibet istarum

sectorum secundum multa supposita velle omnem reli-

The reason
these sects have
lasted so long
is that human
and private
affections have
been too strong;
but every
Christian
should wish
them to be
abolished, that
all men should
become 'of one
mind.'

3rd Objection.
The Pope and
other prelates
have approved
these orders
for ever, so we
have either to
quash the
Pope's decision
or to be
friendly to
these sects.
We may say
that the Pope
approves only
what is praise-
worthy in
these orders,
not the rest;
and it that
for ever means
so long as God
will allow them
to exist.
Another reason
for their
destruction is
that they are
contrary to each
other, to God;

8, 9. scripture B. 9. II *deest* F. 10, 11. et rosa — spinas *deest* F.

17. multiplicacion CE. 18. mundificant B. 22. similiter A. 38. in-

feccionem AB. 39. et sic *pro* et deo CDE. 41. sectorum *deest* EF.

and to the gionem esse de secta sua et omnia bona communia Church; striving to draw religiosis dari singulariter secte sue; quod cum sit all goods to themselves, and contrarium voluntati divine et utilitati reipublice, patet doing harm to the common- quam inseparabile est istis privatis ordinibus propri- wealth by their wrangling. tarie inordinate appetere, et ordinem suum ac regulam 5 The fact is that they love their own sect inordinately; which is proved by the disproportion of their punishments when God's commandments or their rules are broken. This is sheer idolatry. I use the word *idolatry* since to think more of a man or of his rule than of God, is idolatry. Third Question. Whether vows of perpetual obedience are expedient. Negative: same reasons as above. It is tempting God; for the Superior may be a fool. We have no Scripture warrant for such obedience. And it savours of worldliness since a professed religious is more strictly bound than a lay vassal besides destroying liberty. But nothing is better than obedience to God; and "Obedience is better than sacrifice" evidently refers to this.

gionem esse de secta sua et omnia bona communia religiosis dari singulariter secte sue; quod cum sit contrarium voluntati divine et utilitati reipublice, patet quam inseparabile est istis privatis ordinibus propriarie inordinate appetere, et ordinem suum ac regulam 5 inordinate diligere. Quis enim de tali secta multis mandatis dei non plus ponderat regulas secte sue? patet | ex punicionibus que emanant ex communi A 42^a sensu, quod pauci vel nulli a tali ydolatria sunt immunes. Quomodo igitur non fieret sibi et matri nostre utilius, 10 quod intellectus et affectus, dimisso istorum onere, occupati forent circa celestia vel circa media utiliora et faciliora in lege Christi expressa? Talis igitur secta, diffusa per ecclesiam, foret perfecior; et signanter loquor de ydolatria, | quia qui ponderat hominem vel B 54^b ordinacionem suam plus quam deum, vel ordinacionem suam ut sic, est ydolatra: in quo in privatis ordinibus multi peccant, quia quandoque tradiciones humanas nimis preponderant.

Sed tertio dubitatur, si expedit ecclesie tales parti- 20 culares obediencias fieri sub voto perpetuo privato preposito. Et videtur quod non, ex rationibus factis contra privatos ordines. Similiter stulticia et dei temp-tacio videtur hominem obligare se ad obedienciam cuiuscunque talis privati prepositi, sive discretus fuerit, 25 sive stultus. Similiter talis obediencia non est exemplata in scriptura ad laudem prudencie. Cum igitur in scrip-tura sit omnis veritas et specialiter Christiana religio, videtur quod obediencia sit a clericis fugienda; sapit enim civilitatem, cum professus devenit homo sui pre- 30 positi, eciam usque ad carcerem vel mortem striccius quam vasallus obligatur domino seculari. Tollit eciam libertatem | merendi, ut docet Augustinus in "De que- A 43^c stionibus veteris et nove legis", capitulo 124^d; et sepe intricat obedienciarium cum stulto preposito. 35

Hic dixi, quod nichil est religiosius quam obediencia facta deo, ut docet decretum 8 (questione 1, capitulo Sciendum); ymo, ut dicit Samuel I. Reg. XV. "Me-lior est obediencia quam victimae, et quasi ariolandi est

1. communiter CD; data communiter BE; bona data communiter F.
 8. excommunicacionibus E. 10. ponderant F. 29. quod talis EF.
 30. 31. devovens hoc suo preposito E. 34. capitulo 124^d deest FF.
 35. prepositi E. 38. quod Samuel dicit E. 39. victimae BE.

peccatum repugnare, et quasi scelus ydolatrie nolle acquiescere". "Solā obediencia", inquit decretum, "est virtus que fidei possidet meritum, sine qua quilibet esse infidelis convincitur, eciam si fidelis esse videatur. "Victimis", 5 inquit, "mactatur caro aliena, sed per obedienciam mac-

B 54^a tatur voluntas propria". Ubi patet | luce clarius quod loquitur de obediencia deo debita.

X. Et patet quantum blasphemant privati prepositi, qui ex scriptura vel lege ecclesie vendicant sibi istam obedienciam. Sicut enim baptisant religionem et ordinem, 10 sic et obedienciam, et professionem, et alia quibus execerant subditos. Saul enim in lege veteri et omnis fidelis sub pena dampnacionis debet taliter obedire; sed procul hoc ab obediencia adinventa. Debet enim 15 secundum religionem Christi quilibet fidelis obedire cuilibet; et melior debet esse obediencior, cum deus obedit creaturis, ut patet ex fide scripture (Josue X), et alibi diffuse prosequutus sum.

Et preter istam religiosam obedienciam est dare obedienciam privatam ex voto vel pacto, | qualiter uxor obedit marito et subiectus suo episcopo. Et ista obediencia nec valet nec est servanda, nisi de quanto preparat ad priorem obedienciam debitam legi dei. Ideo, ut diffuse exposui, virtute istius obediencie, 25 tur subditus in casu rebellare contra iniustum prepotitum, ut virtute istius obediencie Paulus in facie restituit Petro, quia reprehensibilis erat, ut dicitur ad Gal. II, 11 Gall. II. Et sic episcopi et omnis populus debet resistere Y. pape symoniaco vel prelato tali concorditer; cum obediencia debita deo sit infinitum pocior, nec est servanda inferior obediencia, nisi de quanto instrumentatur per illam ad destruendum vicia et virtutum plantaria inserendum. Quod si prelatus preficitur secundum leges regis superbie ut fratres suos excellat im- 35 perio et ut prospere ac voluptuose vivat in seculo; B 54^a tunc, secundum predictam legem beati Gregorii, the good of the obediencia talis, si aliquid concupiscentie de suo ha- buerit, nulla est, eciam si prospera subiecto preceperit; For the will of quia non valet nisi de quanto fit deo ad perficiendum 40 quod ipse precipit.

2. veritas. 9. ex lege E; ib. usurpant seu vend. B. 17. obedivit E;
ib. creature E. 27. ut dicitur ad deest EF. 31. inferiori E.
31, 32. ministratur D. 34. et pro ut F. 38. et pro eciam E.
39. sit BDE. 40. ad quod ipse precepit perficiendum B.

Et patet quod religione secundum tradiciones hominum declinante ad seculum, periculosum et stultum est religiosum cuicunque preposito, qui successerit pro suo perpetuo obligari. Nam vir ex voto non copulatur uxori simplici, nisi contractus in suo inicio fuerit con-

As a husband cannot be joined to one wife, unless the contract is according to God's law; and much less to many wives: so the religious cannot be bound to obey whatever a superior may order him.
An ignorant superior commands what is not good for his inferior, and the latter has to believe that by obedience it becomes good, because commanded.

If so, the superior needs the infallibility of God.

That obedience sometimes happens to render good service is no objection.

sonus legi dei: igitur multo magis non obligaret se sic cece et infundabiliter multis uxoribus. Et multo evi- dencius | prudencia serpentina horreret religiosum obli- A 43^e gari sic stulto preposito, quod faciat quicquid ipse mandaverit; quod posset bene fieri, quia sic solveretur 10 religio ex eleccione stulta et culpabili minus boni; quia, prelato ignaro precipiente quod religiosus sub- iectus cognoscit sibi obesse vel quo ad deum in racione meriti minus proficere, subditus dicitur postponere melius quod deus precipit. 15

Et ultra blasfeme garritur, quod virtute sompniate obediencie sit melius subdito quicquid prelatus pre- ciperit. Et tunc indubie est inpeccabilis sicut deus, quia facilime peccare poterit in ducatu huiusmodi gregis sui. Ideo cecantur multi de melioracione me- 20 riti ex mandato prepositi vel ex voto; nam si secu- laris ex tanto fervore caritatis meruerit, ad quod est sepe extra religionem inventam disposicior, tam lauda- biliter vivit et securus extra talem ordinem sicut intra.

Patet ex gestis apostolorum et lege dei, que istam 25 cautelam subticiunt, nec obest quod | talis observancia B 55^a quandoque prodest per accidens cum deus aliter non sineret ipsam esse.

2. declinantes E. 3. successerit taliter BE. 4. non copulatur *deest* F.
6. dei *deest* D; *ib.* ergo E. 10. potest E. 11. culpabiliter B. 12. in-
grato E. 16. et tunc B. 17. subiecto E. 18. peccabilis CD.
22. ex toto E. 23. dispositus E; dispositionem B. 24. infra EF.
26. subicit C; substituit EF.

CAPITULUM SECUNDUM.

De Symonia Religiosorum.

Restat videre ulterius si in religione exproprietaria Can there be possunt esse symoniaci, sive apostate. Nec dubium quin apostates in a illud contingit crebrius, licet apostasia sit mundo ab- 'religion' in 5 scondita; oportet enim primum apostatam cum bono possession is religionis apostasiam absconditam subtilius commiscere, There can be, and there are, cum scola sua crescit continue in subtilitate malicie. but in a hidden manner.

Suppono autem quod loquamur de religione et apo- Before proving stasia conformiter legi dei; ut dicunt habitus tales this, the author io simpliciter quo ad deum. Alia autem sunt nominetenus First, that he is talia et non simpliciter, cum variantur secundum hu- speaking of man's iudicia, et multiplicantur secundum hominum apostasy according to fantasias. Secundo suppono quod apostasia comittitur, the meaning given to the quandounque quis in mortali peccato ceciderit; quia word in God's 15 semper tunc dirumpens religionem domini retrocedit; law, not in et hoc sonat apostasiam, ut dicunt grammatica et decre- man's. And, second, tum 26, questione ultima, capitulo "Non observetis." that every Quamvis autem omnis apostasia sit gravis in homine, mortal sin, tamen apostasia in religiosis et specialiter in expro- being a prietarie viventibus est deo et ecclesie magis odibilis, backslding, is quam ubi votum strictitudinis vite est deo solemnius et mundo patencius apostatatur gravius. an apostasy.

Et istis duobus suppositis intendo procedere, non How he intends secundum vaticinium Hildegardis vel fabulas, sed secun- to proceed. 25 dum fidem scripture et secundum possibilitatem late 1st According to Scripture; vie apostasis. Et sic neminem accusabo, sed dicam

1. De symonia religiosorum *deest* ABDEF. 5. apostasiam E.
8, 9. apostata F. 14. peccato *deest* F. 16. grammici B. 17. capitulo *deest* F. 21. striccius E. 24. Eldegāris ACD; Hildegāris F.
26. sic *deest* E.

24. St. Hildegard (1098—1179) abbess of St. Rupert, near Bingen. For life and works, see the whole of t. 197 of Migne's edition of the Fathers. Her correspondence (145 letters) illustrates the state of the Church at the time. The Lollards often quoted her. See Matthew, W.'s E. W. pp. 11, 492. Buddensieg, W.'s Pol. Works, vol. I, p. 67; Trialogus p. 338. — She also wrote three books of visions, an account of ten other visions, and a curious work on Natural Science.

^{2nd} Conditionally, accusing no one, but pointing out the characteristics of an apostate; following the seven points laid down by Solomon, and quoted at the beginning of this work.

I. Homo apostata, vir inutilis.

^{1st} *Frailty* of man denoted; homo apostata — backslider.

^{2nd} First degree: uselessness.

The first sin of apostasy is a sin of omission; for one cannot do wrong without omitting to do right. This is the 'foot of pride' of the Psalmist, and the 'beginning of pride' of Ecclesiasticus.

And this omission is signified by the word *inutilis*.

The order of Friars was instituted to make up for the defects of Prelates, and to revive the life of apostles.

That is their first duty.

If deficient in that, no matter what else they do, they are simoniacs and apostates.

The devil does his utmost to discourage; and therefore Christ gave for our encouragement the parable of the talents.

modos quibus contingit apostatare et annexatam conditionem: si frater sic graditur, tunc est in lata via apostate; ut consciit per dei graciā resipiscant. | B 55^b

Potest autem totum genus apostasie fratrum reduci VI, ad septenarium quem Salomon recitat Prov. 6^{to}, et 12—14 recitatur in principio huius: *homo*, | inquit, *apostata, vir A 44^a inutilis*, ubi primo notatur terrena fragilitas retrocedens, dum dicitur: *homo apostata*; et secundo adiungitur primus gradus apostasie, dum dicitur: *vir inutilis*; et tertio coniungitur unio fortitudinis malicie, dum vocatur *vir*. 10 Sicut enim tota ecclesia est unus homo et persona Prov. muliebris fortis, ut patet Prov. 31, sic tota multitudo XXXI, apostatarum est una persona virilis, contra naturam uxor 10—31 dyaboli et ex fragilitate terre faciliter in preceps graditur.

Primum igitur peccatum apostasie est peccatum obmissionis, cum impossibile sit hominem peccatum committere, nisi prius omittendo peccaverit, ut suppono Psalm. ex declaratis alibi. Ideo vocatur in psalmo 35, "Pes XXXV, superbie." "Non veniat michi, inquit, pes superbie, et manus peccatoris non moveat me." Pro illo adduci potest illud Ecclesiastici "Inicium superbie hominis apostolare a deo." Ista autem omissione exprimitur per hoc

quod apostata est persona inutilis. Constat quidem B. quod totus ordo fratrum subintroductus est in ecclesiam ut suppleat defectus prelatorum et evagancium 25 clericorum et per consequens ut vitam apostolorum resuscitet in vita et opere. Quod si fuerit in officio isto inutilis, quis dampnabilius apostotat omittendo, dico, ewangelizare et veritates ewangelicas verbo et opere declarare, et usque ad mortem, si opportet, defendere. Hoc 30 igitur est primum fratrum officium, quo omisso sequitur totum residuum esse inutile, quia B 55^c quicquid oraverint vel ministraverint, ex crimine symonie et apostasie nocet ecclesie. Symonia dico, quia apostolicam dignitatem vendunt pro lucro tempo-35 rali abiecto pro quo recorditer ita tacent. Unde quia dyaboli est primo deterrere milites Christi inducendo Matth. recordiam, ideo Christus Math. X^o animavit eos ex XXV, similitudine sui et augmentatione meriti ac ex pena servata 14 servo inutili ad fiducialiter predicandum. | 40

3. celerius resipiscant EF. 8. dicit E. 9. vir *deest* EF. 10. connec-titur E; annexatur B. 16. hominem *deest* E. 18. oratur E. 19. "Non — pes *deest* F. 21. Eccles. ABD; ib. hominis *deest* E. 22. per hoc *deest* E. 24. est *deest* C. 25. suppleatur B. 28. omittendo omit-tendo CF. 38. M^o 10, et alibi BF; ib. et alibi generaliter *pro* animavit E.

Et revera fides modica ut granum synapis torpentes resloveret in lacrimas pro omissis, et ad virilem satisfactionem genua debilia roboraret; infidelitas enim evitat servum taliter ociantem. Si igitur vocatus es in 5 domum domini ad ewangelizandum, fac ad quid venisti. Quia ut ait Crisostomus operis imperfecti: "Prudens pater familias non contentatur, quod serwus vivat et comedat in domo ecclesie"; sed, ut ayt Gregorius: "Serwum a bono opere torpente dampnat." Si enim dampnabit in die iudicii eciam activos pro omissione operum misericordie corporalis, ut patet Math. XXV^{to}, quomodo credimus non agravabit penam in contemplativos, quibus ad hoc talenta comiserat, dum ociantur in pecunia accepta domini, cum sciunt, quod deus infinitus plus appreciatur VII A 44^o opera misericordie spiritualis quam opera | misericordie corporalis? "Messim quidem multa, operarii autem pauci." If they are called by God, let them do that which they are called to do.

Et tertio moveret quod omnes tales ex recordia omittentes istud officium sunt proditores veritatis magis B 55^d quam Scarioth; ut docet | Crisostomus omelia 45^{ta} 20 operis imperfecti; et ponitur in decretis XI, questione 3: Non licet. "Scarioth quidem abscondite prodidit veritatem nondum glorificatam post pauca carismata, isti autem patenter produnt veritatem ad multorum perditionem; et hoc post eius glorificationem, post ewan-its glorification. 25 gelii publicacionem et tot carismatum et exemplorum salutarium acceptionem." Ideo cum omnes et singuli faciunt unam prodicionem, verisimile est quod ingratitudo culpe sit gravior. Recolerent, inquam, quomodo dicunt et iactant se similius sequi Christum, et quo- 30 modo Christus ad hoc natus est, et venit in mundum Joh. ut testimonium perhibeat veritati, Joh. XVIII, et tunc XVIII, possunt considerare quantum apostatando post dominum 37 falsitatis degenerant. Si enim attornatus vel procurator terreni negocii sit negligens in negocio post acceptam 35 mercedem, dominus eius iuste debet ipsum ut infidelem diffidere, et ut serwum inutilem contempnere; quanto

1. torpens B. 2. et *deest* E. 3. roboraret *deest* D. 3. 4. itaque evirat F. 4. evirant E. 6. dicit F; *ib.* omelia operis EF; *ib.* Prudens E. 12. creditibius *alia manu* B. 14. dum E. 19. 49 EF. 21. absolute ACD. 28. culpe eorum F. 36. nequam EF.

6. The author referred to is not Chrysostom, but, as Baronius and Tillemont believe, an Arian posterior to Theodosius. See Migne, Patr. series Greca, t. 56, p. 601. 8. St. Greg. Lib. I. Hom. in Ev. N° 9 (p. 1106, t. 76 of Migne). 21. Quotation not to be found there, nor anywhere near.

If Christ will condemn for the omission of works of corporal mercy, what will he do to those who omitted the deeds of spiritual mercy, much more desired by Him?

To omit these duties is to be a worse traitor than Judas.

He betrayed Truth, but not yet glorified Truth: these betray it after its glorification.

They say they follow Christ; Christ came into this world only to bear witness to truth. Have they not degenerated? If an attorney is negligent, his earthly lord spurns him.

How much magis rex celorum, qui dignatus est nos vocare adiuvare the Lord of glory, in so much greater a cause, with a reward so much rancior, quo merces preaccepta est copiosior et quo more abundant, and a so much stricter obligation!

First excuse: Nec capit remissionem excusacio de oportunitate loci et temporis, de assistencia instrumenti sive iuvantinis et de exemplacione precedenti contra pomparam hostis imbecillis. Ecce, inquam, nunc tempus acceptabile, in quo princeps mundi huius cum milicia sua arma ubique terrarum diffuderat, et rex regum promisit ecclesie, quod sibi assisteret omnibus diebus usque ad consumacionem seculi. Ac tercio in ista causa decertarunt baptista et cuncti martires, scientes quod super omnia vincit veritas. Quomodo cunque itaque paliaverit Christianus, negligencia vel ocium non ex-

All bad. The time to work is now. And John the Baptist and so many martyrs have bled in the cause of Christ. No excuse is possible for a Christian before the Judge.

Second excuse: Dicitur enim quod tota communitas servat fidem, et non restat hostis ecclesie, quem fidelis impeteret. Sed legamus vitam Christi et apostolorum, et videamus quantum ab ipsa eciam sacerdotes nostri degenerant; et tunc habemus ad similitudinem Christi exemplum contra principes sacerdotum invehere. Nam iuxta testimonium beati Gregorii causa ruine populi sunt sacerdotes mali. Bad priests ruin the people; and therefore Christ was always against them. They are all worldly, from first to last, idolatrous soldiers of the Devil.

They live as if faith, hope and charity were dying out. *Second excuse:* Nothing should be said against Bishops.

3. sevius B. 4. tepidior ACD. 7. ministerii B; ministri F. 8. precepti E. 15. Ezdrē 20. Quomodo cunque ita E. 17. revere E. 22. fidem E; ib. et videamus deest ACD. 25. doctrinam B. 26. beati deest BEF. 30. principes huius mundi E. 32. homines pro hodie EF. 36. tormenta E. 40. est deest AB.

3. sevius B. 4. tepidior ACD. 7. ministerii B; ministri F. 8. precepti E. 15. Ezdrē 20. Quomodo cunque ita E. 17. revere E. 22. fidem E; ib. et videamus deest ACD. 25. doctrinam B. 26. beati deest BEF. 30. principes huius mundi E. 32. homines pro hodie EF. 36. tormenta E. 40. est deest AB.

contra deum. Ipse autem reprehendit severe principes Christ blamed
sacerdotum et Scarioth; et irreligiosa dotacio non facit the High
dignitatem pontificum nostrorum plus inclitam, sed Priests.
accusans pocius magis fedat.

B 56^b Inveteratur itaque | irreligiosa sacerdotum malicia; sic quod ex vi consuetudinis inficit magnam ecclesiam. Nec videtur quomodo secure sanaretur ista infirmitas, nisi subtrahendo secundum formam: quam Christus instituit causam morbi. Fratres autem, licet 10 ex professione et ordine coguntur illud concedere, tamen querentes multiplex subterfugium vecorditer retrocedunt. Fatentur enim, quod status eorum, quia ex-proprietarius, est perfeccior et vite Christi similius, in tantum quod quotquot eciam de prelatis possessionatis

And an impious
endowment
pollutes the
episcopal
dignity.

A 45^b fuerint fratres profitentur quod viverent | perfeccius, habendo moderate et exproprietary omnia in communi. Et tamen, sibi ipsis contrarii, vel odiunt vel tacent quod perfeccius foret totum clerum vivere exproprietary, sicut Christus instituit atque vixit.

The Friars,
obliged to grant
this, seek
subterfuges, and
backslide.

They grant that
their own life
is more like
Christ's as
being without
possessions.

And yet they
hate the idea of
the whole clergy
living so.

D. Tercia excusacio est turpior, quod si fratres exasperarent contra possessionatos defendentes predictam sentenciam, tunc prelati insurgerent contra illos, et privarentur elemosinis populi; et sic dissolveretur sua religio. Ideo fertur, quod in communibus eorum con-25 ciliis diffinitur vel quod non predicent aut foveant predictam sentenciam, vel quod invehant tacite contra illam. In hoc enim ebilit eorum apostasia ydolatra, quia non propter timorem pene corporis, sed propter avariciam temporalium apostatant contra Christum.

Third excuse:
If the Friars
exasperate the
possessors,
their order will
be starved out.

It is said that
in their
councils they
have decided
not to preach
this opinion,

It is for
temporal greed
that they act
against their
convictions;
such greed is
damnable
idolatry; the
very malice that
made the
Pharisees
conspire against
Christ.

30 Nonne ex fide scripture laudatur Hebreorum laycalis religio, quia rapinam bonorum suorum cum gaudio Hebr. X, 34 receperunt. Ad Hebr. X. Nonne talis avarus ex testi- Col. monio apostoli ad Colocens. III^o est dampnandus ydo- III, 5 latra, quia stercora temporalium tanquam deum suum 35 veritati preponderat? Nonne pharisei uno consensu ex hinc conspiraverant contra catholicam veritatem?

B 56^c Non igitur posset se inhabilitare patencius ad quas- Nothing could
cunque | elemosinas corporales vel defensionem vite, render them
cum dicunt, ut sic, tanquam infideles apostate, quod of getting any
hinc conspiraverant contra catholicam veritatem? alms.

2. religiosa E. 4. excusans B. 10. cognoscuntur E. 11, 12. rece-
dunt E. 15. fiunt E. 17. cum C. 21. contra predictam E. 24. nam pro-
ideo EF; ib. quibus pro communibus B. 24, 25. consiliis. 26. tante E.
27. autem pro enim E. 32. suscepserunt EF. 32, 33. vel anser secundum
testimonium E; ib. Augustus secundum testimonium F. 35. prepon-
derant E. 36. conspiraverant infideliter BE. 39. sint; alia manu sic B.

favor infidelium et lucrum populi est prestancior | quam A 45^a
 salus hominum vel veritas legis dei. Et quantum ad
 ordinis continuacionem, patet ex fide scripture et
 testimonio sanctorum doctorum, quod cicius permitte-
 rent totum mundum dissolvi, quod est plus quam 5
 omnes religiones private, antequam consentirent huius
 modi falsitati.

Ideo religiosi et subtiliores de fratribus cognoscentes
 istam perfidiam defendunt et detegunt tales veritates
 catholicas, dicentes de apostatis ipsis contrariis illud 10
 psalmi IIⁱ "Dirumpamus vincula eorum et proiciamus a
nobis iugum ipsorum". Non enim valent tradiciones vel
 profesiones istorum ordinum que movent quod ve-
 ritas ewangelica sit celata; prius igitur optarent dis-
 solucionem sui ordinis perpetuo, sicut fuit tempore 15
 prosperitatis ecclesie, antequam minimam veritatem
 fidei reticerent. Hec igitur est cautela dyaboli solem-
 nisare sic privatos ordines et adinvenciones hominum,
 ut diligentur et defendantur attencius quam lex dei.
 Ista igitur infidelis omissio est prima apostasia quam 20
 concipio contra fratres.

Traditions or
 professions
 bidding the
 concealment of
 Gospel truth
 have no weight.

They should
 prefer the
 destruction of
 their Order,
 to being silent
 on the truth.

II. *Graditur ore perverso.*

This denotes
 the sins of the
 tongue.

Three sorts of
 sins to be noted
 here: lying,
 flattery, and
 evil-speaking.
 1st Lying.
 Whoso follows
 the Father of
 lies is an
 apostate.

Lying in jest
 or to render
 service is said
 to be frequent
 among the
 Friars.

Common
 saying: This is
 affirmed by a
 friar: therefore
 it is false.

Secunda vero apostasia describitur per hoc quod E.
 vir apostata *graditur ore perverso*; in quo notatur
 vicium lingwe primum inter omnia sensibilia peccata
 commissionis apostatis istis competere. Sicut enim primo 25
 et precipue convenit apostolis inter actus sensibiles
 honorare deum in lingwa ad | edificacionem ecclesie, A 45^a
 sic primo apostotatur in viciis istis contrariis. Quamvis
 autem secundum Parisiensem sint in lingwa 14 vici-
 orum genera, sufficit tamen notare tria | genera pro B 56^a
 presenti, scilicet mendacium, adulacionem et detrac-
 cionem.

Mendacium autem committunt multi apostate; et per
 consequens sequendo patrem mendacii apostotant a
 domino veritatis; quia, ut diffuse declarat Augustinus, 35
 inter VIII genera mendacii mendacium iocosum et of-
 ficiosum, que sunt levissima, sunt in viris qui debent
 esse perfecti ut fratres mortalia peccata. Et tamen
 dicitur quod inter fratres crebrescit hoc peccatum; in
 tantum quod arguitur tanquam argumento probabili: 40

3. ordinacionem E. 14. collata D; *ib.* ergo E. 17. reciperent B.
 20. prima et gravissima C; *ib.* gravissima apostasia EF. 28. si E.
 29. 30. 24 genera viciorum EF. 37. sunt *deest* E. 38. cum E.
 39. Vitium F. 40. arguitur *deest* E.

"Frater, vel scribendo vel eloquendo, auctorisat istam sentenciam; igitur falsum"; et sic ille qui debet ponere ori suo custodiam post iniunctum silencium contra sentenciam Jacobi apostoli, in loquacitate magis effrenatis elabitur. Et ubi alii mendaces ex residencia paucos inficiunt, talis apostata, vagando per patrias, seminat spissim mendacia.

So the Friar
is a babbler;
and a most
hurtful one,
because he goes
about sowing
lies.

Ideo dicit signanter sapiens, quod graditur ore per verso, perverso, dico, a loquela Christi virtuosa atque veridica ad fabulas mundi viciosas atque falsidecas.

Note the word
perverso;
turned away
from Christ's
teaching.

Recoleremus, inquam, quod verba sacerdotis qui debet esse os domini sint ut ewangelium Christi iuxta preceptum Christi in Petro 1^a Petr. 4^{to} "Si quis loquitur," A 45^a libret loquela sic veritate sagaci quod proferat 15 "quasi sermones dei." Et sic omne genus peccati, cum sit contra filium potest dici mendacium.

De adulacione vero licet sit omnimodo deo odibilis, tamen adulacio in predicacionibus est magis odibilis.

2nd Flattery. —
1st General
principles.

Cum enim homo per predicacionem generabit principi spirituales filios, patet quod duplicitate sermonis adulatores generabit filios adulteros dyaboli. Et hinc dicit apostolus II^a Cor. II^o; "Non" inquit, "sumus sicut plurimi, adulterantes verbum dei; sed ex sinceritate, sicut ex deo, coram deo, in Christo loquimur." Ille autem B 57^a adulteratur verbum domini, qui populo detrahente| a Christi servicio ipsum induit; cum tale semen adulterinos filios procreabit. Ille autem ex sinceritate loquitur predicando, qui non propter questum, vel privatum commodum, sed pure propter honorem dei et 30 edificationem proximi in persona Christi loquitur. Talis autem vitabit fabulas et quicquid est isti fini impertinens; et per consequens tenebit veritatem non quamcunque sed edificativam deo, et tanquam cognoscens F. deum cui servit cuncta prospicere, ut dicit apostolus; "loquitur coram deo," et propter verba quinque, scilicet verbum fidei, verbum virtutum amplectendum, verbum viciorum fugiendum, propter aquirendum terminum vie virtutum, scilicet beatitudinem quam speramus, et propter fugiendum terminum viciorum, A 46^b scilicet dampnacionem perpetuam quam | odimus. Dicit

Abominable to
God
everywhere,
flattery is still
worse in the
mouth of a
preacher.
He ought to
beget spiritual
children; he
begets
adulterous
children of the
Devil.

He adulterates
the Word of
God, who uses
it to turn the
people away
from Christ's
service.

And he speaks
sincerely, who
speaks only for
God's glory,
not for gain.
Such a one will
speak five sorts
of words.

Words of faith
in favour of
virtue, contrary
to vice, leading
the soul to
Heaven and
warning it away
from Hell.

2. si EF. 3. stricciorem custodiam EF. 12. Christi *deest* F.

13. in Petro *deest* E. 18. predicationibus E. 20, 21. petet quod —
generabit *deest* C. 21. filios *deest* ABC. 24. in proximo *pro* in
Christo CD. 35. quinque *deest* E. 36. virtutum *pro* verbum vir-

tutum F. 39. crimen *pro* terminm E.

The Apostle prefers five intelligible words to ten thousand in an unknown tongue.

I. Cor. XIV.
The apostolus 1^a Cor. XIV. "In ecclesia volo quinque verba sensu meo loqui, ut et alios instruam, quam decem milia verborum lingua." Hec autem quinque verba secundum cooperacionem sensus limitat nobis apostolus, ne evagemur in verbis floridis et diffusis, que questum vel fastum sapiunt, sicut faciunt qui se ipsos predican et non Christum.

The apostle's prophecy about evil days to come, doctrines of devils, men speaking lies in a fide, hypocrisy, &c.

^{2nd} Application of preceding principles and texts to the Friars.

Their love of human traditions, of fables, whereby both they and their hearers depart from the faith.

"Spiritus" means those who live spiritually; i. e. the religious or the clergy; who become spirits of error, when they sow false doctrine

According to Grossetosie, a monk that leaves his cloister is a dead body wrapped in funeral bands, and leaving its sepulchre, moved by the Devil.

I. Tim. IV sic loquitur: "Spiritus" inquit, "manifeste dicit quod in novissimis temporibus discedent quidam a fide, attendentes spiritibus erroris et doctrinis demoniorum, in ypocrisi loquencium mendacium, et cauterit atam habencium conscientiam." Illi autem discedunt a fide, qui dimesso ewangelio preponderant tradiciones hominum, sicut faciunt hodie illi, qui "coacervant sibi magistros prurientes auribus, a veritate avertunt auditum et ad fabulas convertuntur," ut loquitur apostolus II^a Thim. IV^o et sic discedunt a fide tam ewangeli santes quam ewangelisandi, et utrique dupliciter: vel preponderantes | tradiciones hominum, vel fabulas extra fidem scripture. Per spiritus erroris, intelliguntur illi, qui spiritualiter vivunt, ut religiosi et clerici. Illi enim vocantur spiritus: Luc. IX^o: "Nescitis cuius spiritus estis," dicit Jesus suis apostolis; et tunc sunt spiritus erroris, quando seminant doctrinam extraneam adiumentam, ad quam attendunt layci | ut ewangelium. Et A 46° superiores istorum vocantur demonia in ypocrisi loquencia. Demonia sunt, quia spiritus iuxta dicta; et mali indubie: et sic demonia.

Unde Linconiensis dicit, quod religiosus de claustro egressus, et specialiter de claustro anime, est cadaver mortuum, pannis funebribus involutum, de sepulchro egressum, a dyabolo inter homines agitatum. "Cadaver," inquit, "mortuum, quia corpus quod mendaciter profitetur se mortuum quo ad mundum et sic ex carencia spiritus vivificantis est fetens mortuum quo ad deum; et de sepulchro egreditur, quando sic exit de claustro in quo debuit sepeliri." Et hos dicit apostolum prophetare. II^a ad Thim. III, cum dicit quod penetrant III, 6

1. duo E. 5. verbis *deest* F. 9. alloquitur E; aloquitur B.
11. a fide *deest* E. 13. suam conscientiam BEF. 15. illi homines BEF.
19. uterque ABE; ib. tripliciter EF. 21. errorum C. 22. spiritualiter C.
25. errorum CE. 34, 35. quia corpus — mortuum *deest* EF. 35. sed pro se D. 38. dicitur E; ib. apostolus E. 39. dicens BEF.

domos et captivas ducunt mulierculas. Panni autem reli-
giosi dicuntur ex qualitate indumenta funebria. "Nec
dubium," inquit doctor "quin, si talis sit a dyabolo
valde fugibiliter et horride inter homines agitatus, non
5 solum a persona Luciferi, sed a papa vel capitaneo
talis secte"; talis enim propter sensibilem eius paten-
Psalm. XC, 6 ciam vocatur psalmo XC: *Demonium meridianum*,
cuius doctrina est loqui in ypocrisi quia, simulando
sanctitatem loquitur mendacium, dum falsitatem loquitur:
10 que est scola patris mendacii.

G. Sed si quis remurmurat contra hunc sensum, dico
primo quod oportet prophetiam apostoli ut fidem
B 57^a credere, cum profiteatur quod "spiritus manifeste dicit." This prophecy
A 46^a Secundo dico | cum apostolus dicat | tales futuros
15 in tempore periculo et in Thimoteo docet ecclesiam
huiusmodi devitare, fidelis et specialiter Theologus
daret operam ad habendum sensum spiritus in dicta
prophecia apostoli. Et tertio, dico quod sive papa sive
fratres sive seculares perfecerint excellencius proph-
20 tatam sentenciam; illi sunt quos describit apostolus
devitandos.

Ideo, quicunque volueris eximi a dicta secta, cave a
condicionibus quas describit apostolus, quia perficiendo
predictas condiciones pestiferas, tu es ille refuga queni
25 spiritus sanctus predictit ecclesie fugiendum.

Tertia vero species peccati lingwe, quod est proprium
dictis apostaticis, est serpentina detracco. Ipsi enim
more Luciferi delectantur mordere membra Christi
mendacio, non quocunque, sed quod sonat denigracionem
30 status persone quam mordent; et ut coloracius fin-
gant facinus venenosum, dicunt quod sic audierant: et
sic hoc referunt ut auditum, non propter caritatem
quam habent ad personam quam mordent sed ut faci-
nus quod concipiunt in persona quam odiunt amplius
35 dilatetur. Esto, inquam, quod talis apostota vel alias
audavit a se ipso hoc venenum pestiferum; adhuc
examinaret fructum loquele et intencionem loquendi,
antequam in talia verba superflua ebulliret. Hec igitur
A 47^a condicio tortuosi serpentis est a cunctis Christianis | et
40 specialiter exproprietariis et qui astringuntur silencio

And he is
moved by the
devil, in person,
as represented
by the Pope
and the heads
of the order.
He is a
'noontay devil'
simulating
sanctity and
telling
falsehoods.

This prophecy
of the Apostle
must be
believed by all
Christians.

So all
Christians
should
understand the
sense of these
words, to avoid
those who are
thus noted.

But take care
that you are
not among
them, yourself,

if you fulfil the
conditions.

3rd Evil
speaking.
Evil speakers
delight in biting
the members
of Christ.
They say that
they have heard
this or that.

But supposing
that they had
heard it, they
ought to
examine well
whether the
repetition of it
would be of any
utility.

3. doctor *deest* E. 4. agitatus ACD. 19. extollencius C; ex-
cellerint F. 24. illas EF. 29. quomodocumque E; ib. dignitatem EF.
32. referant BE. 35. enim *pro* inquam B.

Especially if they are of an Order bound to silence. St. Augustine's motto, inscribed in his dining-room against evil-speakers.

fugienda, quia Eccles. X^o scribitur: "Si mordeat ser-
pens in silencio, nichil eo minus habet qui occul-
te detrahit." Unde vocatur canis rabidus. Et hinc Augustinus,
pater religionis multiplicis, fertur fecisse sibi scribi in
patulo mense sue.

B 57^a

Quisquis amat | dictis absentum rodere vitam

Hanc mensam indignam noverit esse sibi.

Isto igitur modo species secunda apostasie committitur.

The three other marks of apostasy, "winking with the eye, beating with the foot, insit apostatis, with the finger", though literally true of apostates, can also be taken in a figurative sense. By the eye is meant the intention according to the Gospel; for it directs all the movements of the soul. To wink with the eye means to turn aside from general good to private interest. This is to be a self-lover. It is almost impossible for any particular religion to be without this sin. One perversion: men are entrapped into the Order at any age, in order to increase its numbers.

Tres autem alie species possibles inesse fratribus H. innuuntur dum sequitur: "Annuit oculis, terit pede, winking with digito loquitur"; licet autem corporalis inconstancia in eye, beating with the foot, insit apostatis, cum agitantur a malo spiritu qui in-and speaking with the finger, ordinate commovet omnia membra sua, tamen videtur per intellectum dirigitur tota alia operacio cognitiva anime, sicut omnia membra hominis diriguntur oculo corporali.

Ille igitur apostata facit nutum oculis, qui dimissa intencione boni communis annuit vel assentit privato comodo, quod est maxime Christiane religionis destruc-
cio. Ideo apostolus vocat tales apostatas homines se ipsos amantes, ut patet II^a Thim. III, II^a Cor. XIII et ad Philippens. II. Et ab isto peccato impossibile vel | dif- A 47^b

A 25
ficle est, quod privetur privata religio. Primus igitur error in intencione privata videtur, quod ad magnificandum privatum ordinem homines seducti in quacunque etate fallaciter inducuntur. Videtur igitur esse stultitia sic obligare pueros vel quoscumque; primo, quia status religionis Christiane simplicis est undequaque 30 perfeccior, cum tradiciones sibi adiecte sint difficiles, periculose, et ex debilitate egentes confirmatione humana. Cum igitur quocunque opus meritorium potest homo facere liberius extra talen ordinem privatum, quomodo non est stulcius ipsum ingredi ad merendum ? 35 Frater igitur, qui ipsum ingressum annuit dum statum perfecciorem tollit, peccat | non mediocriter contra re-B 58^a enter.

1. Ecclesiastici CEF; ib. dicitur BEF. 4. sic B. 5. quis *deest* E;
ib. absentem A; verbis B. 9. in nituntur E. 13. sanctus *deest* E.
16. cognita B; ib. intellectu F. 17. minora pro membra C. 20. dimisso
comodo comuni E. 23. ad *deest* E. 28. faci-liter ABCD.

Et multo evidencius ille, qui inducit pueros per mendacia et dona zophistica; quia ista videtur esse temptacio mali spiritus meridiani. Item non est prudentis dissolvere proporcionem et numerum quem deus voluerit in ecclesia observari, sed obligans hominem ut sit frater vel notorie vel ex sibi dubio dissolvit hunc ordinem: igitur undique peccat. Nam faciens hoc scienter peccaret graviter; et presumens in tam sibi ambiguo peccaret eciam, cum homo debet facere certe meritorium, dimisso ambiguo. Et hinc videtur Christum

A 47^a reprobasse Phariseos Math. XXIII. | “Ve vobis”, inquit, Matth. “scribe et Pharisei hypocrite, qui circuitis mare et aridam ut XXIII, faciatis unum proselitum; et cum fuerit factus, facitis illum filium Yehenne, duplo quam vos.”

15 Quantum ad dissolutionem ordinis Christi patet ex fide, quod deus wult non omnes esse ewangelistas vel fratres; sed quosdam laycos, quosdam unius secte, quosdam alterius, ad edificationem ecclesie. Ideo dicit I. Cor. XII, 15 apostolus 1^a Cor XII^o, “quod non expedit, sed officit 20 ut omne membrum corporis humani sit oculus”. Quid igitur scit talis fratrifactor si dissolvit hunc ordinem, I. et perturbat rem publicam? Item cum ex principio religionis quilibet debet privatum bonum contempnere et bonum commune attendere, patet quod quilibet 25 fratrifactor debet mensurari hac regula; sed quis scit, si naturalis complexio vel impulsus spiritus in illo quem obligat plus sit, ut sit frater vel religiosus alterius ordinis exproprietarii vel possessionati? Ymo si

B 58^b vivat apostolicam vitam | in seculo, cum notum sit, 30 quod tales seculares sunt multis claustralibus meliores?

Cum igitur talis fratrifactor nescit quod bonum est deo plus complacens vel utilius sancte ecclesie, videtur quod presumptiva dei temptatione foret sic facere talem fratrem.

35 Unde quidam pueri dicuntur sagaciter respondere temptantibus, quod vellent libenter esse religiosi illius A 47^a ordinis, quem | deus plus approbat et qui foret eis plus competens; cum ignorando veritatem in isto, peccarent graviter utrumque. Si enim hoc movet, “id foret

It is imprudent to change the proportion of numbers in the Church; and he who tries to increase his Order's numbers, either changes it, or risks doing so, and consequently sins in any case.

All cannot be evangelists or friars.

Some must be laymen, some of different sects.

The whole body, as the apostle says, cannot be seen.

And does this friar-maker know if he will not destroy the whole order?

Does he know if the divine inspiration will lead the man whom he wishes to influence rather into his order than into any other?

And if he should lead an apostolic life in the world, would he not be better there?

2. esse *deest* E. 3. prudenter ABCDE. 4. quo CD. 7. hoc *deest* F; *ib.* undique multum BEF. 12. et *deest* E. 11. reprobare F. 15. solutionem B; *ib.* Christi *deest* EF. 19. sufficit E. 24. privatum pro commune C; commune Ecclesie E. 25. quid CE; *ib.* scit *deest* E. 32. vel utilius *deest* CD; utile F. 33. habere E. 35. dicunt E. 37. plus *deest* E. 39. utrumque C.

Should anyone michi et ordini meo utilius, ideo est michi optacius", think: — "This statim sic motus dicitur esse in capitulo illorum om- useful to me and my Order: nium, qui querunt que sua sunt et non domini Jesu Christi. Quod dampnat apostolus, Ad Philipp. II^o, et II, 21 therefore I will try to bring it about" he would be among those who seek their own, not Christ's interest. per consequens talis non ex caritate sed invidia faceret 5 talem fratrem; cum 1^a Cor. XIII dicit apostolus, quod I. Cor. XIII, 5 "caritas non querit que sua sunt." Et servata ista caritatis regula, videtur quod nullus unius ordinis procuraret personam, vel lucrum suo ordini, antequam nosceret hoc prodesse et non esse ad deterioracionem 10 cuiuscunque ecclesie. Et hec est racio quare Christus et apostoli contentati sunt de religione communi nulli contraria. Et apostolus propter securitatem religionis I. Cor. cupit omnes alios vivere ut se ipsum, ut dicitur 1^a Cor. VII. VII, 7

The universal good is set aside by the sects, when each seeks after a particular good. Secte igitur faciunt illud bonum Christi commune 15 dimitti, cum unum utile uni privato ordini sit alteri displicens et repugnans. Abiciamus igitur religiones et utilitates privatas, quia II^a Petr. II^o "fuerunt vero et II. Petr. 1 magistri mendaces, qui introducent sectas perditionis, 20 et eum qui emit eos Dominum negant, superducentes sibi celerem perditionem." | Regula, inquam, Augustini B 58^e non fuit clerico vel layco alicui contraria, sed prodes- sens; | ymo sicut substancie nichil est contrarium se- A 48^a contrary to the cundum logicos, sed qualitati, ita videtur generalem 25 ordinem nulli esse contrarium, sed privatum; qui signa et speciem inutiliter substituit tanquam ordini Christiano necessaria. Et hec racio quare civilis proprietas inseparabiliter sapit peccatum; nec homo debet sine peccato quicquam facere, nisi de quanto est certus ipsum 30 prodesse toti ecclesie: ut sunt opera virtutum et alia privata, ad que est instinctus spiritus consulentis.

Suppose that four friars, one of each of the four orders, intend to make some one a member of their order.

Their intentions ought all to be for the good of the Church. Now they would result in the same man belonging at once to four orders.

3. non que BEF; ib. domini nostri EF. 4. ad *deest* E. 5. facit E; facit et F. 7. privata E. 7. 8. caritate E. 10. esse ad *deest* ABCD. it. est *deest* DEF. 13. The MS. E goes no further. 14. sic dicitur pro ut dicitur F. 18. 2 dicitur CD. 19. vobis. 32. instructus B.

foret talis distincio. Nec secundum condicionatam volucionem, subintelligendo, ut dicit beatus Jacobus, ^{Jac.} "si deus voluerit," procederent licite sic ad opus, quia IV, 15 sic possent licite quodcunque opus nephandum committere. Ideo videtur temerarium cuiquam non inspirato consulere ad statum privatum vel talem accipere; sed in religione communi et per se operum, virtutum, quiescere. Et patet quam temera est apostasia in faciendo fratres, non assistente spiritu consulente. Et 10 tantum dictum sit de apostasia ex nutu oculi obli-

A 48^b quantis a regula | caritatis.

K. Quantum ad quartam apostasiam fratrum que potest in isto verbo intelligi, "terit pede," patet quod B 58^a Joh. XIII, 10 per pedem intelligitur affeccio in scriptura ut Joh. XIII. 15 "Qui lotus est non indiget nisi ut pedes lavet." Sic I. Tim. VI, 10 igitur affeccio inordinata quam fratres habent ad temporalia causat in eis apostasiam multiplicem, et ydolatriam. Ideo dicit apostolus, 1^a Thim. VI^o, quod "radix omnium malorum est cupiditas"; potest eciam in fratribus esse hec ydolatria ex defectu mendicandi, ex defectu utendi, et ex defectu retinendi; licet autem mendicare sit licitum, tamen mendicare inordinate facit apostatas. Potest enim mendicacio esse tam clamorosa et importune continuata quod eius superfluitas sit 25 detestabilis et inverecunda. Apostoli autem innuitive ad magnam indigenciam mendicarunt; sed necesse est fratres, propter irreligiosum globum eorum atque superfluum, indebite mendicare. Ideo causa huius peccati debet primo precidi; quod perfecte fiet, si pure 30 viverent apostolice seiunctis suis tradicionibus aduentis. Tunc enim foret communitas eorum perfeccior quam est modo. Ideo non mirum, si discrasia in morum principio, causat peccatum patencius consequenter. Mendicant autem fratres pro communitate cuius unum 35 membrum habet naves in mari, thesaurum iocalium atque pecuniam, que foret communitati diu sufficiens. Quomodo igitur non debet subtrahi a tali communitate elemosina pro consensu nefando? Et eadem est consideracio de fratribus, qui episcopantur et fiunt A 48^c mundo | divites, dum debent habere omnia in communi.

7. virtutum deest CD. 8. quod temeraria B. 12. autem ad F.
14. ut patet B. 19. est deest F. 20, 21. ex defectu — retinendi
deest B. 24, 25. delectabilis ABCDF. 29. fieret BCD. 30. apostoli A; ib. relicta F. 32. distractia ABD. 35. caritate CD. 35. sed D.
38. est deest F.

This is not justified because they say they submit to God's will.

4th mark of apostasy: "beating with the foot". The foot in Scripture language means the affections. Thus the inordinate affection which friars have towards temporal things causes apostasy among them. Their begging attacked.

Its First defect: they beg when they are not in want.

To beg is indeed not illicit; but he who begs to excess becomes an apostate. Mendicancy, clamorous, continual, shameless, is not that of the Apostles. The cause of this can be destroyed only by an Apostolic life.

They beg for a community that has ships and jewels, and enough money for many years. Ought not alms to be refused to such?

Its second defect: they take from poor people, and render them poorer still.

Its third defect: the ungodliness of their

intention: they spend in luxuries the substance of Christ's poor.

Though the community be poorly fed, yet the chiefs are not.

But worse than all is the superfluity of their ornaments and unnecessary houses.

They are said to have palaces and extravagant churches.

Excuses: 1st It is for the glory of God.

2nd Rich men have given them money on purpose for these adornments.

3rd Such churches will last longer.

This only proves that their first spirit slumbers; that they care more for the god of this world than for Christ, or His love.

The perfect mean is the example of Christ.

Devout persons and clergymen of the order who consent to these abuses are blamable.

Et sic est secundus defectus mendicacionis fratrum, dum mendicant a paupere populo laicali plus indigo per mendacia et multiplices artes | demonii; ut patet B 59^a inferius. Et tertio, deficit mendicacio propter irreligiositatem finis intenti; ut quando in vescibilibus et ornamenti et domibus sumptuosis terunt irreligiose magnam pauperum Christi substanciam; licet autem communitas fratrum parce vescatur, capitanei tamen ut graduati et mendici validi laute vivunt, ut dicitur esse in eorum incepionibus et privatis conviviis. Sed isto-¹⁰ rum maliciam superat sumptuosa et superflua ornamenta cum domibus excessivis. Illi enim qui debent de concordent pauperibus qui doceant mundi contemptum ¹⁵ et quod "non habemus hic manentem civitatem sed futuram inquirimus." Illi, inquam, dicuntur habere aulas et domos regias ac excessivas basilicas in quantitate, in subtilitate et sumptuositate ac ornamenta mundo splendencia; per hec, inquam, cultus dei contempnitur, locus a laycis religiosis despicitur et fratum mundialis affeccio reseratur.

Et quomodo cunque glozaverint, quod hoc est ad honorem dei exigentis in servis suis cultum honorificum, quod mundo divites hoc requirunt, | qui dant illis A 48^d ad hoc subsidium, vel quod hoc est utilius, quia diu cius permansivum. Quotquot autem sunt huiusmodi excusaciones in peccatis, indicant quod primeva religio est sopita, dum plus intenditur placere populo adulterinis aspectibus, quam ut mundum lugeant abiectis in 30 actibus, plus alludere eis mundanis applausibus quam edificare eos virtutibus. Et omnino | commenta dyaboli B 59^b concludunt fratri taliter excusanti, quod deus huius seculi et favor mundi plus appreciatur ab eis, quam dominus virtutum vel ex imitacione Christi pauperis 35 amor dei. Et patet apostasia in defectu multiplicitate mendicandi. Medium enim virtuosum, non mundi vel milonis, sed medie persone divine mundo pauperis, est captandum. Et omnino reprobandi sunt devoti et subtiles clerici istorum ordinum, qui non resistunt sed 40 fident fratribus per consensum. Illi autem sunt magis

1. mendicantium F. 2. indigno B; indigente F. 4. deficit mendacio B. 8. per se ACD; communiter parce F. 14. qui B. 19. in pro et F. 27, 28. excusaciones tales F. 29. attenditur B. 30. virtutibus pro in actibus F. 34. apprariantur B.

inimici domestici; nec dubium quin ista irreligiosa mendicacio inducit bonorum dei consumpcionem illicitam.

Et specialiter in isto quod omnes hii ordines et fratres singuli debent omnia habere in communi. Sic enim habuit primitiva ecclesia quo ad sexum, etatem, et genus patrie differens; ergo multo magis fratres, quos non distingwit nisi privata religio. Apostoli quidem et episcopi facti per ipsos habuerunt omnia in communi, sicut debent habere episcopi et seculares A 49^a clerici, ut patet 1^a questione 2^a per multa capitula |: itaque cur non fratres? Item, fratres habent super suis usibilibus pure dominium ewangelicum, non civile; sed quo ad illud omnes boni fratres vel Christiani sunt 15 pares. Igitur debent parificari in usibus moderatis.

Suppono autem, quod dominium eorum distingwitur ab usu; quomodo igitur negabit habundans in vescibiliis vel aliis usualibus fratri eiusdem ordinis eciam alienigene vel fratri alterius ordinis tam indigo et tam 20 dingo? Revera videtur esse dei iniuria et personarum accepcio. Item, fratres iuste vendicant a clero seculari B 59^c et populo domicilium | et vescibilia quando egent; sed maior undique debet esse communicacio fratum quorumcunque adinvicem; igitur illi sufficietes debent 25 ministrare in istis fratribus quibuscunque egentibus.

Nec tollit particularitas ordinis vel humana institucio hoc vinculum caritatis; nisi forte fingantur fratres al-Gal.V, terius ordinis indigniores suffragari de alienis fratribus; 20, 21 et econtra. Cum apostolus ad Gal. V^t coniungit sectas 30 atque invidias, illud, inquam, accusat quod tradicio religionis invente sit contra regulas caritatis.

Tob. Nec excusat parcitas limitata hiis fratribus, quia IV, 8, 9 (secundum doctrinam Thobie IV^{to}) proporcionabiliter ad possessionem debet possessor egenis tribuere; quia

A 49^b aliter evidencius pauper secula | ris, a quo fratres mendicant, diceret eis quod vix habet sufficienciam sibi et sue familie. Et specialiter, cum licet seculari

Matth. facere provisiones annales, sed fratres (secundum evan-VI, 34 gelium Matthaei VI^{to}) non debent pro crastino providere.

7. differens *deest omnes* MSS. 18. vel *pro eciam* F. 19. vel *deest* F. 10, 20. tam indigo et tam indigo B; et tam indigo ACD. 27. fin-gatur ACD. 28. indigniori F. 30. quod *deest* D.

11. Decr. Grat. C^a I. quaest. II. passim.

Nec obest cunctis ordinibus fratrum habere omnia in communi, cum totum genus cleri debet sic habere, superiores in dies ad moderatam vite mensuram et inferiores superhabundans temporalium provide mini-

In hope of gain, strando. Unde accusaret fratres non modicum, quod 5 they treat the rich to luxuries, conferant propter retribucionem temporalem mundi which they deny to their own brethren. dicitibus eciam ministrallis refocillacionem lautam in vescibilibus et domicilio et negent hoc fratribus et iustis aliis plus egenis.

Another bad excuse: Friars of different orders may not live together, or all distinctions of orders would be destroyed. But what harm would there be in that? Sed contra istud instatur per hoc quod iuxta istam 10 M. sentenciam licet fratri unius ordinis cohabitare et prii ordinis plus indigentis: consequens apostaticum et distinctionis destructivum. Hic videtur, quod sic arguens legeret factum apostoli | (ad Gal. II^o) et tunc B 59^d concederet conclusionem, nedum tanquam catholicam, Gal. II, 4 sed a divisione sectarum irreligiose inductam ad unitatem ewangelicam reductivam; sic quod omnes ordines fratrum sint unus ordo. Licet autem tam fratres quam alii cognoscant istam sentenciam, tamen tacitur 20 nitate consensus dampnabiliter permittunt tradiciones humanas superexcrescere regulas caritatis.

We come to absurd conclusions. 1st by giving a new signification to an old word; as, "Socrates" (meaning an individual) tells a falsehood"; 2nd by raising a mere human custom into divine right; as in the case of slavery; and 3rd when there is a hypothesis implying contradiction. Now here, 1st a new sense is given to 'religion'. Sicut enim insolubile | aput logicos tribus modis A 49^e suboritur; primo modo, quando dimissa significacione recta communi antiqua significacioni novelle intenditur: 25 ut patet in ista: "*Sor dicit falsum*". Secundo modo, quando solemnisatur tradicio humana ac si per se faceret ius ut deus, sicut patet de obediencia servorum post condicionem communem adiectam; et tertio modo quando contradiccione tacite innuitur; ut patet in casu 30 de pertransizione pontis. Sic peccatum insolubiliter redarguit eos qui volunt ipsi intendere: primo ex vi vocis *professionis private*, dimissa communi religione antiqua, ut patet, in religione novella, secundo humane legis institucio ac si ipsa per se iustificet, ut 35 patet in eleccionibus, eciam de papa; et tertio, in omni peccato seducitur peccator putans bonum quod conficio pro institucio ABCD.

3. iudices *pro* in dies F. 13. indigentibus B. 14. distinctionis ordinum CDF; egentis F. 17. ad missionem B; ib. inducta CD. 21. assensu B; ib. dampnabili BF. 25. invente novelle BF. 26. isto B. 27. ac per se CD. 28. de *deest* F. 30. tacenter F. 35. confusio vel conficio *pro* institucio ABCD.

26. *Sor*, or *Sortes*, is short for *Socrates*, a name very much employed by medieval logicians for any individual whatever.

officit, et tamen insolubiliter admittenti casus peccati concluditur. Theologi igitur debent radicibus casus istos detegere, ne fundamentum falsum exuperet veritatem. In tercio vero membro huius blasphemie patet 5 quod fratres ydolatre reservant sibi temporalia, innuendo se in ipsis plus confidere quam in deo. Et tantum hic de 4^a blasfemia.

5^a vero blasfemia notatur in 5^{to} verbo: "digo loquitur", non intelligendo quod religiosi claustrales lo- 5th mark of apostasy: "speaking with the finger".
 10 cuntur ludicra cum adinvencionibus signorum, post B 60^a iniunctum silencium, sed intelligendo | per "digitum" po- By the finger is Ex. testatem agendi, modo quo loquitur scriptura (Exod. VIII). meant the power of acting.
 VIII, 19 A 49^a "Digitus dei est hic": hoc est, | potestas singulariter as in Scripture: "The finger of God" is here.
 operandi. Sic, inquam, pseudofratres blasphemant in po-
 15 testate spirituali presbiteri pro pecunia de simplicibus acquirenda. Potest autem blasphemia fratum in ista materia potestative loquencium in tria dividi. Primo menciendo de absolucione a pena et a culpa, vel de indulgenciis, aut aliis ficticiis machinatis. Et de isto 20 expeditum est alibi. Nam periculum est in prelatis qui ultra fundamentum scripture blasphemant digitum suum in isto; periculum etiam est in fratribus N. qui istam blasphemiam excitant et defendunt; et tercio, periculum est in simplicibus qui infideliter confidunt 25 et laborant in talibus. Fides, inquam, ortodoxa docet quod a Christo secundum meritum recipiet contritus absolucionem vel indulgenciam, cui prelatorum nostrorum concessio est inpertinens vel repugnans. Imper- tinens dico, quia mereatur homo et conteratur apud 30 deum quantumcunque absconde, et secundum hoc habebit a deo tantam indulgenciam, sicut si cum hoc haberit a papa mille bullas. Quod si a raro contingentibus, contricio et devocio et meritum hominis provocentur per hoc quod dat populo vel prelato elemosinam vel 35 laborat non infideliter circa illam, tunc tale ministerium promovet ut habeat de tanto a deo indulgenciam ampliorem. Et dico indulgencias tales repugnare quan- doque, quia sepe vane sperando in frivolis, spes que A 50^a foret unita in Christo dispergitur et cum labore inutili 40 stultus consumitur; sic quod raro vel nunquam talis remissio vel indulgia fit ad bonum.

21. blasfeme CF. 24. et inutiliter BF. 34. quod — elemosinam
vel deest B. 36. a deo de tanto C.

Second blasphemy:
They magnify those of their functions which savour of lucre; such as masses, penances and funerals.

Third blasphemy:
They get confederates among laymen by their confraternities and Third-Orders.
So they make broad their phylacteries, etc.

The phylacteries signify letters of fraternity. They magnify their fringes, in that they put the meanest friar, above any Saint, however great, if not of the Order.

A convincing proof that all this is done for lucre, is: take away the hope of gain, and their spiritual help is withdrawn; concealed simony.

Secundo loquitur super digito magnificando sua sacramenta que lucrum sapiunt, ut celebraciones penitentias et sepulturas, que ex sanctitate ordinis habent robur; et istam materiam egregie tractavit sanctus Richardus episcopus Ardmacananus, et noverunt rectores et sacerdotes rurales quomodo per istam machinam sua stipendia subdole subtrahuntur.

Et tertio loquitur super digito suo, non dei, subtilius confederando sibi laycos conventiculis fraudulentis spargendo in huiusmodi testificacionem litteras fraternitatis; sic quod instar Phariseorum dilatant philateria et magnificent fimbrias, ut dicit Christus Matth. XXIII. ^{Matth.} Sicut enim philateria erant carthule in quibus Phariseorum magistralia sunt inscripta, sic littore tales quo ad numerum et pretensionem spiritualis suffragii dilatantur, et ultra Phariseos ad seductionem populi sunt signatae. Magnificant autem fimbrias, quia in colore et figura vestimentorum suorum ponunt tantam vim numeris, quod abiectissimum fratrem, servitorem, vel colligatum tanquam fimbriam suo ordini in sanctitate et religione magnificent ultra quemcunque sanctum qui fuerit in pertinens secte sue. Et ita ut symoniace predicant statim post sermonem ad hoc aptatum colligendo pecuniam vel sibi equivalens, sic vendunt talem fraternitatem pro annuo redditu et confederacione fraternalis illicita modis subdolis defendenda. Causa A ^{50^b} autem talium facta pro temporali lucro ex hoc convincitur, quod, subtrahendo in re et spe hoc lucrum, subtrahitur hoc simulatum spirituale suffragium et patet subdola symonia. Patet eciam ratione multiplici blas-

30
fraternitatem pro annuo redditu et confederacione fraternalis illicita modis subdolis de defendenda. Causa A ^{50^b} autem talium facta pro temporali lucro ex hoc convincitur, quod, subtrahendo in re et spe hoc lucrum, subtrahitur hoc simulatum spirituale suffragium et patet subdola symonia. Patet eciam ratione multiplici blas-

1. sub AB. 8. Sed *pro* et F. 21. quantumcunque B. 25. fraternitatem spirituale CEF; sic per talem fraternitatem spiritualem pro B.

5. Richard Fitz Ralph (1347) two or three of whose works, enumerated by Ware, have been printed, and whose tract "De Pauperie Salvatoris" is now being published by the Wyclif Society, was neither beatified nor canonised, except by the Wyclites. See S.E.W. (Arnold) III., 281. Engl. W. of W., p. 128, and note as to his miracles on p. 507. The only 'Beatus' of that name was Richard, abbot of Saint-Vannes de Verdun (1004); the only saint, Saint Richard, bishop of Chichester (1244). 13. Letters of admission to the Third-Order. Silvera (opuscul. 38) assigns the date 1221 to the first foundation of a Third-Order, by St. Francis of Assisi.

femia; primo, in hoc quod magnificant infideliter et infundabiliter signa sua; secundo, in hoc quod fingunt ex cultu signorum per se adesse meritum fratrifacto; et B 60^e tercio, in hoc quod promittunt et vendunt | participium 5 sui meriti, quod deo est proprium: et hoc pro comodo temporali. Talia, inquam, conventicula possent faciliter perturbare rempublicam ex comparacionibus dignitatum; talis autem stultus cognosceret quod vix aut minus habet ipse cum tota secta sua scintillam meriti ad 10 beatitudinem consequendam. Consideret secundo, quod deus dividet dignis suis de communione meriti sine taxacione merentis et sic propter presumptionem istam blasphemam fit indignus, et quilibet sibi consciens, ut sibi vel alii mereatur. Magnificemus itaque fideliter 15 istum articulum fidei, communionem sanctorum, quod secundum distributionem deo propriam consequitur dignitatem et meritum quo ad deum.

O. Sed ad colorandum istud obicitur: Quilibet potest quicquid suum est vendere et donare; meritum igitur 20 suum potest frater donare, sic quod donatarius faciat recompensam. Ad istud argumentum Symonis respon- Gal. VI, 6 detur negando assertum, quia meritum hominis est sic in manu dei et condicione connexum, quod repugnat eius translacionem fieri tali pacto: de quo alibi. A 50^e Secundo, obicitur per hoc | quod ex fide unus potest mereri alteri; nec repugnat isti merito quod ipsum participans gratis retribuat elemosinam corporalem; igitur factum tale est licitum. Unde ad Gal. VI^o mandat apostolus "Communicet autem is qui cathechizatur 30 verbo ei qui se cathechizat in omnibus bonis". Nam propter talem retributionem promerens libencius mere- 35 retur. Nec aliter quisquam conduceret oratores. Hic dicitur, quod verum assumitur et verum annexetur, sed istis non pertinet, quod quis vendat meritum ex presse vel tacite. Ideo nimirum contractus talis vel paccio est deo odibilis. Oportet igitur notare primo, quod opus sit de genere eorum que deus instituit ad edificationem ecclesie; oportet secundo notare quod B 60^d modus operandi sit licitus; | et oportet tercio pensare 40 quod occasio data de fratri scandalo subtrahatur.

5. deo *deest* B. 9. sanctificate *pro* sua scintillam F. 10. consideraret F. 14. itaque *deest* F. 15. fide C; *ib.* communione C. 16. domini B; *ib.* censetur. 18. tolerandum F; *ib.* istum articulum obicitur sic B. 20. donatorius C. 22. assumptum BF. 24. eius translacioni tali fieri B. 30. sc *deest* F. 32. Hic verum F.

These foolish men ought to know:
I. that their sect has no merit that can win bliss;

II. that God gives His deserving servants communion in all merits without making them pay; and that such presumption renders them undeserving, as well as those who consent to sharing their merits with them.

Objections:
1st. To give away or sell one's own, i. e. merit, is lawful.

Answer: merit cannot be dealt with thus.
2nd. To share one's merit with another is allowable; so is to give corporal aims: now this is all that takes place.

Answer: The conclusion: This is all that takes place, is e- false. For ther is a compact, either tacit or express: and that is illicit.

These 'letters of fraternity' are condemned by Scripture. And the sale of merit has no excuse.

The instance of money given to 'oratory priests' does not bear on the point: there is in that case a fixed amount of bodily labour and of stipend. But here grace — i. e. God — is sold.

The Church is 'bought with a great price'; a man can sell himself to the devil, and to the state too, as a slave; but God, His grace, &c. cannot be possessed exclusively and are thus unbuyable. St. Paul wishes the spiritual teachers to receive enough to support them.

It is foolish to make oneself answerable for another's sins, as the Friars do; for the reprobate, though they have to answer for other people, are only punished for their own misdeeds.

III. If money can be given to oratory priests on account of

Talis autem cartha fraternitatis non exemplatur in scriptura, sed multipliciter innuitur reprobata tanquam superflua et nociva; modus autem tacite vendendi mercium caret colore, cum nec sit subiectum potestati fratribus, quod opus suum sit meritum, nec quod alter merito suo supposito ipso participet. Ideo secus est de isto et de conduccione presbiteri vel oratoris, quia ibidem labor corporalis et stipendum ad hoc necessarium limitatur. Hic autem gratia — et sic deus — vendi presumitur, quod est omnino inordinatum, cum deus licet sit hominis, tamen vendi non potest cum non potest ab homine singulariter possideri, cum oportet ipsum dominative et equivoce haberi | a qualibet crea-

A 5^a
tura; tota autem ecclesia vendi potest, cum dicitur 1^a Cor. VI^o: "Precio magno empti estis". Homo eciam potest vendere animam suam dyabolo, ymo se ipsum I. Cor. in servum civilem, sed deus cum gratia et aliis sibi IV, 20 propriis caret ratione emibilis. Regnum tamen celorum a deo venditur et a servo suo emitur secundum raciōnem, qua a deo distinguitur: et sic wult apostolus eum 20 qui cathezizatur (hoc est, in fide instruitur) communica care cum informante tam bonis corporalibus quam spiritualibus: quod fit si virtuose ministrat ei temporalia necessaria ad hoc opus, ut docet apostolus (1^a Cor. IX). Quod si alter eorum errat ex affectione singulari in cambio, tunc non communicat in omnibus I. Cor. bonis. Ideo statim annexit apostolus: "Nolite errare, 13, 14 deus non irridetur, que enim seminaverit homo, hec et metet"; quasi diceret: contractus talis non est utilis coram deo; quia ut paulo ante dicit, "unusquisque onus suum portabit." Ideo stultum est hominem mercari cum alienis peccatis vel | obligari ut pro peccatis alterius B 6^a ex hoc libere respondeat coram deo. Quamvis autem omnes dampnandi et specialiter prelati respondebunt pro peccatis suis et aliis, tamen correspondenter quo 35 ad suum demeritum, ut dicit apostolus, dampnabuntur.

Sed tertio instatur ad colorandum hoc factum per P. hoc quod licet conducere eciam oratores pro opere corporali; licet igitur dare fratribus ut annuatim et specialiter post mortem hominis notificatam fratribus 40

5. meritorium BF. 11. sit *deest* ABCD; *ib.* non potest *deest* C.
12. tamen C. 17. deo F. 21. cathezizatur C. 23. fit *deest* C.
24, 25. Apostolus 1^a *deest* F. 28. enim *deest* F. 31. homini B.
32. per peccatum AB. 33. libere A; *ib.* respondens B. 37. colorando B;
colorandum F. 40. notificatum B.

A 51^a solemnisent eius exequias: Pro tali igitur labore cor-
porali | possunt accipere pecuniam gratis datam. Illic
dicitur quod in istis factis sunt tot palliations dyaboli,
quod infinitis modis sophisticari potest symoniace scola-
sua. Talis igitur palliator caveret primo ne det scan-
dalum erroris fratri suo, dicendo sibi quod non temere
confidat in alieno merito sed ex vi communionis sanc-
torum, proporcionabiliter ut ipse se ipsum dignificat
per graciam prevenientem, sic communicabit et meritis
10 tocius ecclesie. Sic quod est in potestate dei et super
potestatem fratris, ut alius communicet secum in merito;
et carthe ac talia sacramenta inducta sunt nedum
impertinencia sed blasfeme inhabitantes undique ad
merendum.

15 Et preter hec sunt multe conspiraciones illicite in
contractu abscondito; ideo odiunt fratres, ut in lucem
veniant, cum favor unius secte contrariatur alteri. Si
igitur placet benefacere istis sectis, tribuatur eis ab-
solute seorsum elemosina, ut dissolvantur colligaciones
20 inpietatis et reducantur ad perfeccionem religionis
primeve. Sic enim habebuntur utilius oratores, et fient
pro illis multa milia missatum, vel aliud opus pre-
ponderans ubi iam utrimque tollitur communicacio in
B 61^b merendo. Servet, inquam, homo | legem Christi et
25 zelet pro ea, destruendo novitates infundabiles, que
surrepunt, et habebit totam ecclesiam multiplicius,
efficacius, et affectuosius oratricem. Unde ista infidelis
ymaginacio de adiutorio merendi, propter similitudinem
adiutorii corporalis, excecat simplices per yppocritas se-
30 ducentes: et tantum hic de ista apostasia.

Q. Sexta et septima includuntur in hiis verbis: "pravo
corde machinatur malum et omni tempore iurgia se-
minat." Radix autem communionis huius apostasie est
inordinata machinacio proprietaria intellectus. Machi-
35 natur enim, quid foret sibi vel persone aggregate mon-
struose, hoc est toti secte sue, utilius; et dimissa lege
dei ac utilitate communi ecclesie illud studiose prose-
quitur. Nec dubium quin ista sit prava intencio, quia
machinatur perfidere malum culpe; nichil enim deo
40 communi, preponderanti bona communia, magis con-
trarium, quam, illo bono contempto, privato et pla-

their bodily
labour, the same
may be offered
to Friars; for
instance, to
celebrate
funeral rites.

Answer:
Before all
things, we
must take care
not to be
stumbling-
blocks to our
brethren.

There are many
secret workings
in these
understood
bargains which
the Friars do
not wish to
come to the
light; sect
conspiring

against sect, &c.
It were better to
give alms to
each separately,
if at all, to avoid
such intrigues.
All this harm
proceeds from
false analogies
with which
simpletons are
deceived by
hypocrites.

Last marks of
apostasy: evil
intrigues and
sowing of
quarrels.
The root of
this: that they
only consider
the advantage
of their sect.

This intention
is most
perverse.

13. blasphemie F; *ib.* inhabitantes B. 18, 19. absconde; absolute *in*
marg. F. 19. collaciones F. 39. enim est F.

A sect ^{sins} more grievously by covetousness and worldliness than the same number of separate individuals. For sin, as fire, does the more harm in proportion as it is more concentrated.

cenciori comodo plus inniti. Sicut enim persona agrega-
tata, que est secta religionis possessionate, peccat gra-
vius intensive et extensive in avaricia et moribus mun-
danis quam totidem persone simplices disperse, quarum
quilibet sit nimis mundo dedita, sic est de persona ⁵
yocoritas, quia culpa more ignis nocet intensius cum
fuerit congregata. Ut notemus unum cenobium mona-
chorum, quod excessive in persona propria, in sum-
tuosa ac superflua familia, et adiacente extra comitivam, ¹⁰
consumit mundialiter bona pauperum; et notemus
totidem rectores quorum quilibet inordinate consumit
bona pauperum: et constat quod nullus eorum per B ^{61°}
se tenet tam inordinatam ¹⁵ | et excessivam domum tot A ^{51°}
peccatis implicitam. Cum igitur omnes et singuli ¹⁵
monachi perpetrant totum peccatum id ex consensu ne-
phario, dum placet eis, et pompant de opere, vel sal-
tem non sufficienter corripiunt nec recedunt, patet quod
quilibet illorum monachorum peccat intensius et dif-
fusius quo ad seculum, quam aliquis talis rector. Et ²⁰
hinc credo spiritum sanctum movisse primo sanctos
monachos vivere instar baptiste vitam solitariam sive
monasticam. Sic enim vixit Ieronimus et multi sancti
patres ante tempus sancti Benedicti. Communitas enim
prona ad mundum machinatur peius et exequitur for-²⁵
cius malum culpe, quam faceret una persona simplex
et per se posita.

Objection:
Christ lived,
with His
Disciples; but
He was more
perfect than
John Baptist.

Answer: Christ
knew how
to choose the
few whom He
knew to be fit.

And Christ
instructed His
disciples in the
very best way,
in order to
ripen and
disperse them
afterwards in
the world:
Whereas our
Abbots and
Prlates do
nothing of the
sort.

Et si obicitur quod Christus qui vixit in communi R.
cum fratribus est perfecior quam baptista, dicitur quod
defectus talis similitudinis excecat plurimos; nam ³⁰
Christus scivit eligere paucos quos voluit; et scivit esse
ad societatem talem ydoneos; prelati vero hodie hoc
ignorant. Christus eciam scivit secundum optimum
magisterium discipulos suos instruere et a viciis coher-
cere ut maturati sparsim seminentur per mundum ad ³⁵
edificationem ecclesie; abbates vero nostri, et alii pre-
lati possessionati, in isto omniquaque deficiunt. Et
tercio maxime, quia Christus voluit se et XII vivere
tantum exproprietarye, quod nec habebant proprium
domicilium, nec bona in communi vel propria ipsis ⁴⁰
secundum humanam prudenciam limitata; sed omnino

1. inniti D. 5. minus ACD. 8. aggregata B. 16. illud BF.
27. et deest F. 28. dico pro quod F. 35. maturatim sparsim CD:
sparsim B.

A 51^a contrarium est in conventibus monachorum. | Ideo respiendo ad vitam modernam et vitam Christi collegii verecundarentur facere huiusmodi argumenta. Prius B 61^a enim vixerunt sancti | vitam heremiticam, sed non ad perfectionem apostolicam contigerunt; secundo vero collecti per beatum Benedictum vixerant minus sancte, sed servantes vitam exproprietariam et alias condiciones apostolicas maturarunt se sic in sanctitate quod ecclesie vicine gaudebant de illis habere episcopos. Sed tamquam magi pharaonis in tercio signo deficiunt, dum excessive quia symoniace appropriant sibi redditus et ecclesias; et tamquam corwus de archa egrediens invento cadavere sunt plus culpabiliter mundo dediti quam aliqui seculares. Et correspondenter in conventionibus fratrum est malum multiplex aggravatum; nam tota secta machinatur media ad colorandum apostatas; et propter multitudinem acceleranter exequitur accumulando sibi indebite bona pauperum. Nec sufficit una simplex persona, eciam in causa iusta, prosequi contra illos; colligantur enim cum dominis et dominabus, cum blasfemia confessionis, cum liga adulacionis et cum participio ypocritice devocationis. Cum autem persona talis secte excedit personam simplicem in peccato tali, quo ad multiplicatatem, quo ad peccati gravitatem, et quo ad induracionem, ac omnia membra talis secte conparticipant ex consensu, patet quantum est periculum coniungi tali corpori. Si, inquam, candens invidia vel complacencia adulatoria fuerit in tali secta ad A 52^a quamcumque | personam extrinsecam, dicitur quod intrinsecus corrodunt ut canes per verba detrahentia; ex- trinsecus denigrant ut fornax per machinamenta mendacia. Et ut pars eorum sit forcior, non est matrimonium, divorcium, vel alia mundialis causa, quin se B 62^a intro | mittant, quasi rectores negotii tacite vel expresse. S. 35 Nec obest quod multi sunt sancti et subtiles clerici inter eos, quia Christus et apostoli ex generacione pessima processerunt; ymo inter infidelissimas sectas multi sancti proruperant. Exercitum igitur sciencie experimentalis plus viget inter dyabolos; et exercitum vir-

Christ and the twelve had no property; monks do not live so. The first hermits were less perfect than the Apostles; the next group, under St. Benedict, still less; but so long as they lived without endowments they were good. But now they seize upon revenues and churches, and are worse than seculars.

So also of the Friars. No private person, be his cause ever so just, can stand against them; they use every influence to gain their point. How perilous to belong to such a body, when each member is responsible for all!

They backbite within the Order, and meddle without.

There are indeed, many Saints among them; but Christ and His disciples came from a perverse race:

10. defecerunt B. 16. corroborandum F. 27. cadens C. 31. matchina B. 33. se deest CF.

39. This seems to allude to the charge of sorcery, more directly made elsewhere (see Buddensieg's Polemical Works of W., p. 700), to which the Friars' ardour for experimental science exposed them. See Brewer's preface to Monumenta Franciscana, XLIV, XLV.

Devils are cleverer than they in experimental science; and there are few exercises of virtue among them.
 When their saints and learned men are in despair of their hardness of heart, they fly from them; but if taken, are slain or imprisoned for life, as apostates. Their evil machinations are countless. They are said to be like wild geese: destroying the seed of faith, as geese destroy crops; fattening on sin as they in cold; babbling irreligiously, with gooselike screams.

tutum, corripiendo, parcum est in illis sectis. Quod si dicti sancti et subtiles eorum desperaverint propter maliciam induramat, prudenter aufugiunt; prudenter dico, quia aliter tamquam apostate occiduntur vel perpetuo carceri mancipantur. Nec est noticie in experte 5 numerare machinaciones malas, que fiunt ex talibus apostatarum conventibus.

Unde quidam comparat eos aucis silvestribus, que congregantur gregaliter sine numero limitato, penetrant aerem volando ad modum trianguli, repente assunt 10 segetes consumentes, in temporibus gelidis impinguantur, et in aura placente vel dissona irregulariter formant voces. "Sic", inquit, "fratres contra naturam domesticorum fidei tanquam fere gregaliter congregantur, nec conver- 15 santur nisi subdole cum aucis domesticis, nec limitatur eorum conventibus numerus consonus | edificacioni ec- A 52^b clesie; secundum apostolum, 'penetrant domos' in sim- plicitate trianguli, dum bini primo penetrant cuius binarii, gravior persona primo penetrat et consequenter binario dat ingressum; ubi fuerit distribucio tempo- 20 ralium assunt prompte, non solum congregando semina corporalia, sed semen fidei dissipando; quando refri- gescit caritas multorum cum fetore temporalium impin- gwantur, ut dicit commune proverbium:

Dum peccatum regnat 25

in secretis cameris | bursa fratrum pregnat; B 52^b

et demum irreligiose garriunt, tam in tempore prospero quam in adverso." In isto siquidem circulari numero am- bulant predicti apostate, ad quos sermo iste dirigitur.

Wyclif appeals to his friends among the Friars, who are not apostates, to help him in detecting these bad men. He will be better able to support their attacks if helped. Who impugns the foregoing doctrine proves himself an apostate.

Ideo confido de bonis sociis, qui michi confidenter 30 in causa dei astiterant, quod non sibi conscius usque in finem assistent, quia nichil illis et dictis apostatis; sed cum gaudio suscipient et confirmabunt detencionem eorum, ut et caucius cayeantur, et insultus eorum ex multorum iuvamine micuus sufferantur. Nec videtur 35 fratrem patencius posse se ostendere esse de dictis apostatis quam impugnando vel se molestando contra dictam sentenciam. Boni itaque per dei graciā gra- tanter accident istam sentenciam confirmantes; et malo- rum aliqui convertentur ad religionem Christi prime- 40

8. Comparant omnes MSS. 10. ad medium CD. 11. seges CD.
 12. aurora F. 19. binarius B; ib. prima penetrant B; dant B.
 23, 24. impingwatur ACD. 31. in deest ACD. 33. detencionem B.
 34. et deest F. 35. micuus deest D.

vam. Alii autem presciti in sua pertinacia dampnabuntur. Et tantum de sexta apostasia.

T. Quo ad septimam et ultimam, que exprimitur in A 52^o hoc | verbo: "omni tempore iurgia seminat," notandum, 5 quod proprium est apostatis seminare discordias. Si, inquam, tales apostate filii sathanæ seminant sic sep-templicem apostasiam in populo, necessario iurgia et adversanie in republica pululabunt; ipsi enim, tam corporaliter quam spiritualiter, sedule seminant semen 10 suum; et non deest dyabolus cum membris suis, qui foveat et ad pullulationem accelerare faciat dictum semen. Cum enim ipsi sunt in se ipsis divisi, quia omnis apostata, sicut pater suus, est deo, toti mundo et sibi ipsi contrarius, patet quod ducendo populum tan- 15 quam patres spirituales, seminabunt discordiam; deus B 62^o enim propter tales subtrahit graciam. |

Unde signum est triplex huius fructus discordie, primo quod **nedum una secta** est alteri contraria, sed eadem secta eciam secundum modicam partem est 20 contraria sibi ipsi. Iterum, quasi quilibet dominus secularis habet unum fratrem confessorem vel consiliarium, et cum domini nec machinantur nec exequuntur ea que pacis sunt, sed belli; et iustificationes fratrum qui, licet bella in sermonibus suis palliant et tam publice 25 quam private ipsa iustificant, satis indicant quod bellis consenciant et ad ipsa excitant omissione vel opere. Aliter enim publicarent constanter pacem esse servandam, non obstante perditione temporalium mundani honoris vel presentis vite, propter premium inde se- V. 30 quens. Tercio vero signum eiusdem est, quod a tem- A 52^o pore quo intro | ducti sunt fratres in ecclesia, invaluerunt iurgia et facta paci contraria: quod cum factis apostatarum indicat, quod ipsi non rogant efficaciter que pacis sunt, sed nocte et die quamdiu apostatant 35 continue iurgia seminant. Nam iuxta Cestrensem (libr. 7, cap. 24) fratres predicatorum incepserant iuxta annum domini 1200 sub Innocencio III^o anno 6. Et post illos paulatim alii fratres incepserant; notantes vero cronicas 40 ecclesia, non solum inter seculares, sed inter sacerdotes, ut Romanos pontifices. Nec tunc incepit, sed tempore

*Seventh mark
of apostasy:
"sowing
quarrels".*

*This is the
characteristic of
apostates.
If they sow
apostasy,
quarrels and
discord must
spring there-
from.*

*And the devil
is there with
his angels,
ready to foster
the seed they
sow.*

A three-fold
sign of this
discord.

*1st Each sect,
while contrary
to the others,
is also divided
within itself.*

*2nd Every
warlike prince
has one of these
friars for his
confessor; and
they all excuse
every war that
takes place.*

*3rd Proof from
history.*

*Ever since their
rise in the
Church, there
have been
perpetual
quarrels.
In 1200, the
Dominicans
began; and the
other friars
soon after.*

*Troubles in the
Church
especially on
account of the
Roman Pontiffs,
have greatly
increased since
then.*

7. *inter pro necessario* F. 14. *patet deest* F. 16. *unitivam* B; *unitativa* F. 35. *Sestrensem* ACD.

35. Higden's Polychronicon, I. VII, c. 33.

Sergii monachi, tempore Iuliani apostate. Et quando alie introduce sunt, factum est per eos magnum scisma et sectarum divisio in ecclesia militante. Nec dubium quin apostasia a simplici religione quam Christus instituit, sit in causa. Certissimum | itaque et notifican-

The Church should be told that the endowment of the clergy and the formation of particular sects is the cause of all these evils. No matter that good is done by them; if it were otherwise, no one would be deceived.

B 62^a

dum est ecclesie, quod causa omnium istorum est contra religionem Christi cleri dotacio et sectarum pri- vatarum, in quibus seminantur apostate, multiplicacio. Nec obest quod per illas sectas eveniunt ecclesie multa bona, quia nisi dyabolus sophistarum maximus in scola 10 sua comisceat vera falsis et bona malis, facta sua non haberent appareniam credulitatis; et sic nulos vel paucos seduceret. Et hec racio quare sic immiscent sophistice bona malis.

If any ask: How can it be known that they do more harm than good? The answer is: By faith and God's grace.

A 53^a

Quod si queratur quomodo possunt ista discerni, cum multi | et magni capitales ecclesie sunt in istis con- trarii; dicitur quod fide, et gracia potuerunt hec dis- cerni. Nam in fide scripture, prescindendo omnes adin- venciones apocryfas, quiescit fidelis; in ipsa autem plane patet forma qua Christus sacerdotes suos instituit; a 20 qua declinando necesse est corpus ecclesie a religione Christi ruere. Ipsa enim tam sapienter et tam pruden- ter est posita, quod sub pena maximi anathematis non licet illam diminuere vel augere. Unde illi quos in re- ligionibus exproprietariis vocavi filios karissimos, non 25 sunt de dictis apostaticis; sed excelenter observantes illud bonum religionis Christi, quod dyabolus immis- cuit cum tradicionibus adinventis, ut parvipendentes aut contempnentes tradiciones illas nisi de quanto sub- ministrant atque facilitant ad observanciam legis dei; et 30 hii cauent tamquam venenum quod plus pondererent ritus adiectos quam legem dei et plus zelent pro statu pri- vate secte quam pro bono publico; quia tunc indubie forent apostate quos descripsi.

Some members of religious orders Wyclif calls his dearest sons; those are by no means apostates. They observe Christ's religion, and despise humani observances.

Objection against the whole doctrine of sevenfold apostasy:

That it is not founded on Scripture, but is a wresting of God's word.

Sed obicitur quod dicta sententia de apostasia sep- 35 templici non est sententia de fide scripture spiritus sancti, sed heretice ficta pocius | et extorta. Hic dici- B 63^a

tur, quod sive fratres, sive papa vel angelus de celo perfecerit opus nefandum, in ista scriptura septemplici

1. Sergei F; ib. Julian C. 2. alie sexte F. 4. quin deest ABCD.
 6. causa istorum omnium malorum B. 8. apostatae D. 12. crudeli-
 tatis F. 13. immiscet ACDF. 15. Quod — discerni deest F.
 17. quod in F; ib. potuerunt ABF; ib. hoc B. 26. apostaticis AF.
 27. cum B. 28. et pro ut F. 30—32. et — legem dei deest ACD.
 36. de fide spiritus CD. 37 sancti deest F.

prefatum, tunc spiritus sanctus ordinavit in ea ad tutelam fidelium istum sensum; ideo non restat eius in-
 A 53^b probacio nisi probando efficaciter, quod dicte apostasie
 non convenient sectis fratrum. Quo facto concedam
 5 cum eis, quod spiritus sanctus non illos intenderat in
 hoc loco. Et hec racio quare locutus sum sic condi-
 cionaliter, relinquens iudicium populo et toti ecclesie
 si a fratribus ista fiunt; que si sint vera, videtur michi
 quod est triplex remedium contra tales apostatas. Pri-
 10 mum est quod scolastici, et specialiter clerici istorum
 ordinum, detegant istorum apostatarum versacias, et
 pulsent prelatos ecclesie pro remedio apponendo; se-
 cundum quod temporales sunt instruendi ne tales apo-
 statas contro legem Christi soveant. Fides enim dictat
 15 quod tota tradicio sua que non est ex ewangelio Christi
 Luc. est subdole contra Christum, ut patet Luce XI^o. "Qui
 XI, 23 non est mecum, contra me est". Et tertium remedium
 in quo magis confido est quod populus subtrahat a
 talibus temporale subsidium; non enim tantum fulcitur
 20 eorum calliditas contra ecclesiam extorquendo ab ea
 bona pauperum, sicut in religione possessionata, cuius
 calliditas est diucius indurata. Sic igitur, tam in bonis
 condicionibus quam in malis, hii in quibusdam posses-
 sionatos religiosos superant et in aliis superantur. Et
 25 ista dixerim teste deo ad utilitatem ecclesie et fratrum
 commodum quo ad deum.

2. suorum fidelium BF. 6. hec *deest* F. 10. est *deest* F. 13. do-
 mini temporales BF; *ib.* quod non *pro* ne F. 16. ut *deest* F. 20. in B.
 21. sed *corrected to sicut* B; *ib.* bonis eius B. 22. est *deest* B. 23. in
deest CD.

Answer: If any have done the evil therein denounced the Spirit has warned us against such. Let them prove that they have not. If guilty, the remedy is; 1st that the scholars and clergy of these orders beg the Prelates to destroy the evil; 2nd that the laity be warned against favouring such apostates;

3rd that the people should not sustain them: the best remedy of all.

Conclusion:
 God taken to witness that all this was said for the good of the Church and the Friars.

CAPITULUM TERTIUM.

We have now to deal with the error concerning the Sacrament, because it goes together with apostasy. The Church is troubled by a lie, proceeding from these apostate 'religious', who worship signs; for being in her second thousandth year, Satan is loosed. First attack on the Church. The Church prays that this oblation may become *into us*, Christ's body; not that the bread and wine be destroyed. This, says the man of sin, is a heresy, for God cannot make bread to be His body, but makes His body out of it. Refutation: This is against Scripture; for since His ascension Christ assimilates nothing into His Body.

Quia error de eukaristia et error apostasie ut | plu- B 63^b rimum se sequuntur, ideo pro | maiori declaracione A 53^c utriusque materie, oportet parumper mixtim procedere. Mendacium enim fictum a cultoribus signorum tam pro- 5 prietarie quam exproprietarie viventibus et specialiter in ista materia de eukaristia modo perturbat ecclesiam. Ideo ulterius notandum quod in secundo millenario matris nostre, quo solitus est sathanas ut dicitur Apoc. XX. Oportet per patrem mendacii et membra sua multiplicari mendacia, et per consequens infideli- Apoc. XX, 3 tates tam deo quam homini et peccata. Medium autem quo dyabolus illudit ecclesie, est irreligiositas insignis; et specialiter sacramentis, ut patet de sacramento eukaristie et penitentie. Non enim contentatur homo 15 peccati, nisi in despectu trinitatis eructet blasphemiam. Suggerit enim, quod usus ecclesie in imitacione fidei scripture sit summe hereticus, ut in canone misse docet ecclesia sacerdotes orare, "ut hanc oblacionem" scilicet panem et vinum, deus trinitas sic sanctificet, non ut 20 destruatur omnino, sed "ut nobis corpus et sanguis fiat domini nostri Iesu Christi." Hoc, inquit, est summe hereticum; quia deus non potest facere panem et vinum esse carnem sui et sanguinem, sed de istis substancialiis ita facit. Ecce dogma fidei scripture contrarium, cum 25 deus post ascensionem de nullo facit partem suam, sed panem et vinum facit fore carnem suam et sanguinem sacramentaliter in figura.

Secunda antichristi perfidia impugnat cantum ecclesie ex pravitate heretica, dum sic canit:

1. After the title, in red ink: Hic tractat de Eukaristia per magnum passum B. 7. isto modo C; ib. minus D. 11. multiplicare CD. 29. blasfemia A; in marg. B.

1. The MS. of Trinity College, Dublin (here marked F) goes no further.

A 53^d “Verbum caro, panem verum
 Verbo carnem | efficit,
 Fitque sanguis Christi merum;

5 Et si sensus deficit,
 Ad firmandum cor sincerum
 Sola fides sufficit.”

B 63^e In quo | versu notantur tres catholice veritates: Prima, B. quod virtute verborum sacramentalium verbi dei fit panis verus caro Christi; secunda, quod eadem virtute fit 10 vinum seu merum sanguis Christi, et tercua; quod sensus deficit in iudicando hoc solum esse panem et vinum, cum fides verborum Christi vere iudicat hoc esse corpus Christi et sanguinem modo suo.

Tercia blasfemia nequissima Antichristi dicit quod 15 quatuor evangeliste, Paulus apostolus et Actus apostolorum in vocando hoc sacramentum regulariter panem aut vinum, dicunt de virtute sermonis verbum hereticum et blasphemum; cum deus non potest facere panem illum aut vinum esse carnem suam vel sanguinem, nisi se 20 ipsum annihilet et se neget. Consideremus itaque causas dyaboli, quibus illudit ecclesie; docet enim omisso signato ad signa attendere, et negare predicaciones signi de signato, ne forte pacis concordia per signatum ad sensum mysticum sit concepta. Sed seminando divisionem mirabilem, docet blasphemie divisionem inter accidens et suum subiectum, ut per illud mendacium introducatur divisio inter deum et hominem.

Possunt autem fieri pro via veritatis aliqe raciones; primo sic, sicut spiritus sanctus dedit fidelibus sententiam fidei in scriptura, ita dedit eis formam verborum in quacunque lingwa; sed spiritus sanctus regulariter A 54^a vocat sacramentum | altaris panem et nunquam accidens, 30 igitur fideles debent hoc observare. Argumentum patet ex hoc, quod aliter spiritus sanctus superflue dedisset 35 fidem in lingwsi, nisi ille forent servande. Similiter B 63^a aliqua forma verborum | est servanda; sed illa est potissima, igtur etc.

Second attack.
The Church Hymn contains three catholic truths:

1st That true bread becomes the flesh of Christ,
the 2nd that mine becomes His blood,
3rd that the sense fails.

Third attack:
on the four Gospels, the Acts and St. Paul.
They all use the expression ‘bread’ as a real thing; which according to Antichrist, is heresy.

For God, he says, cannot without self-annihilation, make bread, remaining the same, to be His body.

Thus, division everywhere: he separates accident from subject, and God from man.

Reasons against this doctrine. The Sacrament ought to be called, not ‘accidents’, but bread, as the Holy Ghost calls it; or it would be a wrong or useless word.

16. realiter C. 23. in signato A; *ib.* fortis B. 25. blasphemie B.
28. Possunt *deest* D; Nunc autem *pro* autem D. 33. servare B; *ib.*
Assumptum B. 36. alia C.

1. Hymn *Pange lingua*, for Corpus Christi day; ascribed to Aquinas.

The words
Hoc, &c., either
mean, Christ
pointing to
bread, or to
accidents, or to
anything; or to
nothing; now,
the three last
alternatives are
absurd, the
authority of
Scripture being
null, unless it
means what it
says.

God cannot
require any
other faith of
man, but that
which He gave
in the Bible.

You will
nowhere find
the Eucharist
called an
accident of the
genus quantity.
And therefore,
we have not to
admit what has
not been
revealed.

To say we
must believe
what is not to
be found in
Scripture is
arch-blasphemy
of Antichrist.

Saint Peter
quoted against
those 'lying
masters, who
will introduce
sects of
perdition' &c.

These are the
words of Pope
Peter.

And who are
these 'lying
masters'?

Similiter, aliter periret scripture sacre auctoritas, cum aliter posset fangi, quod bufo demonstratur, vel accidentis quodcunque elegerint pro nomine istius: "hoc est corpus meum" vel quod nichil demonstratur, sicut multi fingunt hodie. Minor autem argumenti patet de sex locis quibus fit mencio de eukaristia in scriptura. Unde sancti sacerdotes primi millenarii etatis ecclesie, quando ligatus est sathanas, vocaverunt regulariter ipsum panem, licet in hoc infami millenario solucionis sathanæ, aliqui glozatores vocent sacramentum accidentis, aliqui quantitatem et aliqui qualitatem, et sic de mille opinionibus in materia fidei, cum tamen secundum apostolum ad Ephes. IV¹⁰ "una fides". Item repugnat Eph. IV, 5 divine sapientie atque clemencie, fidem requirere a sponsa sua vel homine, nisi quam dederit in altero duorum testamentorum; sed fidem primo exigit ante omnia alia opera vel veritates; igitur est in fide scripture tamquam in per se fonte completo fidelibus qui- escendum. Sed volvat quicunque quam diligenter voluerit rimas scripture, et nunquam inveniet quod sacramentum eukaristie sit accidentis de genere quantitatis. Assertum patet ex hoc, quod repugnat iusticie divine requirere a famulo suo, quod nec dedit, nec ad illud A 54^b solvendum talentum vel thesaurum aliquem adaptavit.

Unde videtur, quod antichristus non posset in maiorem D. blasphemiam prorumpere, quam quod opportet Christianum aliquam fidem credere, que non est reperibilis in scriptura.

Unde de talibus | prophetavit ille propheta eximius sanctus Petrus, II^a Petr. II^o. "Fuerunt", inquit, "in populo 30 pseudoprophe, sicut et in vobis erunt magistri mendaces qui introducent sectas perditionis, et eum qui emit eos dominum negant; per quos via veritatis blasphemabitur; et in avariciam fictis verbis de vobis negociabuntur. Audace, sibi placentes, sectas non metuunt introducere 35 blasphemantes: Hui sunt fontes sine aqua etc." Ecce quod papa Petrus qui habuit cerciorem propheticam quam vates legis veteris, prophetat futuros in ecclesia magistros mendaces, qui introducent sectas. Qui autem sunt magistri illi, nisi qui fingunt se habere claves 40

6. fit deest D; ib. mencio est B. 7. doctores B. 8. sahan C:
ib. vocavit B. 11. multis B. 16. secundum B; ib. primo deest B.
22. assumptum BCD. 24. adoptavit B. 29. eximius deest A.
34. nobis AB. 39. sectas deest CD.

sciencie extra fidem scripture, in qua secundum Augustinum est omnis veritas. Hii autem introducunt sectas religionis private, ut mendaciter magnificent nomen suum; secundo hii negant Christum, cum eius pauperiem et eius conversationem predicant tam verbo quam opere renuendam. Tercio hii blasphemant contra veritatem, cum dogmatisant scolam Christi esse diminutam in fide et solum pro brevi tempore esse observandam. Quarto ex avaricia ydolatra fingunt leges per quas A 54^a negociantur quomodo subditos spo | liabunt. Et quinto audacter sed blasfeme introducent sectas, quas ex confirmacione sua fингent in perfectione excedere religionem, quam Christus instituit. Sed beatus Petrus prophetat eos ex defectu fidei scripture, ut fontes siccoss 15 excidere et arescere. Fidelis igitur non debet credere in materia fidei fontibus sic siccatis.

E. Item, si sine auctoritate scripture licet variare vocando sacramentum, quod ipsa | vocat panem, non panem sed quantitatem, vel aliam vanitatem (et non est 20 finis potencie sic glosantis), videtur quod totam scripturam sacram pari auctoritate poterit sic glosare et sic totam fidem scripture antiquam pervertere et novam inducere, ut totam historiam gestorum Christi negare ad literam et glossare ad suum oppositum: et sic de 25 aliis que in biblia inseruntur. Sic enim dicitur quendam pretendere se esse papam summum Christi vicarium et caput ecclesie, licet fuerit Christo contrarius et capitale membrum dyaboli, et sic possent fingi indulgencie et privilegia inaudita ac super istis leges erigi et fingi censure summe horribiles, in omnes eis contrarios fulminande; et sic de ministris ecclesie a contrariis officiis nominandis; ut sicut apostaticus dicitur apostolicus, sic episcopus dicatur proditor divini gregis subdolus, et rector ille blasfemus qui est raptor 35 magis sacrilegus bonorum: et sic de cunctis officiis ecclesie et preceptis domini.

A 54^a Cum igitur hoc | dato sequerentur inconveniencia infinita, nec tollendum est argumentum per locum "A simili", non restat fideli nisi obstarere principiis. Si enim 40 papa potest licite tollere sensum scripture, dicendo quod regulariter intelligit per panem quem ponit sacra-

Those who feign to have the key of science without Scripture; who deny Christ, denying His poverty and life; who blaspheme, when they teach that the school of Christ is of slight account, and who introduce sects which they say exceed in perfection the religion of Christ.

III. If it be allowed to call quantity, that which Scripture calls bread, all Scripture can be explained away likewise

Thus Christ's enemy and the Devil's friend may claim to be Pope.

And so on for the Church's ministers; if 'apostate' may stand for 'Apostolic', 'traitor' may stand for 'Bishop', and 'ravisher', for 'Rector'. There is no resisting the argument of analogy; so we must strive against the very beginnings.

6. veniendam D. 8. esse deest B. 15. excedere deest B; ib. crescere pro arescere B. 17. Initial I in red ink B; ib. vagare B; vocare D; vacare C; pro variare. 39. nec A.

If the Pope can change the sense of Scripture as to the Host, why not as to Christ's life? mentum non panem sed accidens, quare non potest conduci aliquis ad glossandum quod Christus non fecit opera que de ipso narrat ewangelium, sed assumpta humanitas? et multo magis de quolibet sensu scripture. Ewangelium enim est fides Christiani precipua; ipsum autem sophisticatum est et negatum, non secundum quamlibet eius partem, sed potissime secundum cor eius, quod | dixit veritas caput ecclesie dominus Jesus Christus, B 64^e ut patet de isto: "Hoc est corpus meum; hoc est iste panis quem benedixi; et vobis omnibus ex hoc, ideo manducare precepi, quia *hoc est corpus meum.*"

IV. Arguments from tradition.

The Holy Ghost used

these terms that the catholic sense might be elicited

therefrom; and there are in favour of this sense, Ambrose, Augustine, Jerome; doctors each of whom is worth a thousand of our present ones.

Jerome, that great doctor, praised by Augustine, writes thus:

"The bread that the Lord broke . . . was the body of Christ . . . which sense must be taken figuratively".

And Augustine:

"The sacrament of Christ's body is Christ's body in a certain manner".

Unde creditur, quod spiritus sanctus ideo ordinavit F. istam notam cause enim ut eliciatur iste sensus catholicus; unde allegavi sepe pro hoc sensu Ambrosium, Augustinum et Jeronimum doctores precipuos in primo 15 millenario etatis ecclesie, quando ligatus est sathanas, pater mendacii; et quilibet eorum valet mille duodenas doctorum vel paparum sequencium, quando solutus est inimicus veritatis, seminans mendacia contraria scole Christi. Jeronimus enim fuit in fide scripture doctor 20 precipuus, ut patet ex sanctitate vite sue, quam declarat Augustinus in epistola ad Cirillum; "Sanctitate dico iuncte cum dono noticie linguarum sibi dato et diuturnitate | studii scripturarum." Sribit enim epistola ad A 55^a Helbibiam de XII questionibus, questione 2^a. "Nos", in- 25 quit, "audiamus panem quem fregit dominus, deditque discipulis, esse corpus domini salvatoris, ipso dicente ad eos: Accipite et comedite; hoc est corpus meum, quod dictum oportet intelligi ad sensum tropicum." Unde Augustinus, epistola 12^a ad Bonifacium. "Si", in- 30 quit, "sacramenta quandam similitudinem rerum earum quarum sacramenta sunt, non haberent, omnino sacramenta non essent. Ex hac autem similitudine plerunque eciam ipsarum rerum nomina accipiunt. Sicut igitur secundum quandam modum sacramentum corporis Christi 35 corpus Christi est, et sacramentum sanguinis Christi sanguis Christi est, ita sacramentum fidei fides est." Et

10. iam B. 13. cause enim ut *omnes MSS.* 19. legi; *in margine,* alia manu scole B. 34. ipsa ACD. 36, 37, et . . . Christi est deest B.

22. The only letter of Augustine to Cyril is spurious, and does not contain these words, as they stand. 25. Ad Heli- biam Hier. Ep. CXX. Migne t. 22, p. 980. 30. Aug. Ep. XCVIII, ad Bonifacium, Migne t. 33, p. 364.

B 64^a illud sacramentum describit expressius | sermone 55^{to} And also:
de verbis domini; ubi promittens se narraturum quid “this bread
sit hoc sacramentum; “panis,” inquit, “iste, quem videtis see . . . is the
in altari sanctificatus per verbum domini dei corpus body of Christ”.
5 Christi est.” Cum igitur hii duo sancti fuerunt in ex- Now these two
ponendis scripture sancte misteriis doctores precipui, being such
ut patet in confessione tocius ecclesie de oracionibus great doctors,
quas de ipsis canimus (et patet distinctione 20) insane it were madness
videtur ipsos deserere et doctores hesternos in ista fide to desert them,
10 attendere. Talis igitur figurativa locucio fideli qui vo- and attend to
luerit intelligere scripturam sacram est precipue atten- doctors of
denda. yesterday.
We must notice
that Scripture
often speaks
figuratively.

Unde Augustinus, super questionibus Levitici, capitulo 74, It is thus that
G. exponens illud Levitici XVII. “Quid est”, inquit, „quod Augustine
A 55^b prohibens sanguinem dicit: *Anima omnis carnis | san-* explains the use
guis eius est?” et sequitur: “illud appellatur anima quod of blood for
signat animam. Solet autem res que signat eius rei life.
Gen. nomine, quam signat nuncupari, sicut scriptum est:
XLI,26 *Septem spice septem anni sunt;* non enim dixit: *septem*
20 annos signant; et: *Septem boves septem anni sunt;* et multa
I. Cor. huiusmodi. Et hinc est quod dictum est: *Petra autem*
X, 4 *erat Christus.* Non enim dixit ‘Petrica signat Christum’,
sed tanquam hoc esset, quod utique per substanciam
non erat, sed per figuracionem. Sic et sangvis qui
25 propter vitalem quandam corpulenciam animam signat
in sacramentis, anima dictus est”. Et sic intelligitur
dictum suum in epistola ad Bonifacium, quod “secundum
quendam modum sacramentum corporis Christi corpus
Christi est”, et illum modum explicat Augustinus, non
30 secundum substanciam, sed secundum significacionem.
Ego autem dico hoc debere intelligi secundum quen-
dam tropum vel figuram et non secundum ydempti-
ficationem vel naturam.

B 65^a Et per ista potest intelligi dictum Damasceni | 4^{to} John
35 sentenciarum suarum, capitulo 85^{to}, quando dicit: “Non Damascenus,
enim typus panis et vinum corporis et sanguinis Christi; words rendered
18 occupari CD. intelligible by
this point
of view.

4. domini deest BC. 5, 6. exponende B. 11. scripturam deest CD.
18 occupari CD.

1. Aug. serm. CCXVII. Migne, t. 38, p. 1099. 8. Decr.
Grat. 1^a Pars, dist. XX, c. 1. 14. Aug. Quest. in Heptateuchum,
lib. III, c. 57. Migne t. 34, p. 702. 27. Aug. Ep. XC VIII, ad
Bonifacium. Migne, t. 33, p. 364. 35. Joh. Dam. De Fide
Orthodoxa, lib. IV, c. 13. Migne, t. 94, p. 1147, series Graeca.

“The bread and absit! sed ipsum corpus domini deificatum, ipsius do-wine are not a type . . . God mini dicentis: *Hoc meum est, non typus corporis, sed corpus et non typus sangwinis, sed sangwisi.*” Hic H. forbidi! but the very Deified Body of the Lord saying, This is my Body”.
 This is exactly Wyclif's position.

A 55^c

For he says further that the bread and wine are joined to the body and blood of Christ.
 Distinction between figures precesserat corpus Christi, et illud; quia non asseritur 15 that prefigure, in scriptura esse corpus Christi, sicut panis et vinum, or types, and figures that qui ad esse suum sacramentale requirunt corpus Christi require Christ's actual existence. precedere et Christus dicit ipsa vere esse carnem suam That is why he says “the bread is no type”. et sanguinem. Ideo dicit doctor ipsa non esse typum corporis Christi, hoc est figuram ante signatum vel 20 figuram distancialem corporis Christi, sed ipsummet corpus Christi et figuram eius presencialem. Unde horrendum foret fidelem negare panem esse corpus Christi vel post consecrationem naturam panis secundum denominacionem corporis principalissimam remanere, cum 25 convertitur in corpus Christi; ad quod fidelis, suspendingo consideracionem aliam, quantum sufficit debet attendere. Et patet ista sententia ex textu doctoris. Unde statim post scribitur: “Carbo autem simplex lignum non est, sed unitum igni, ita et panis communio | B 65^b non panis simplex est sed unitus deitati.” Et paucis interpositis: “Spiritus”, inquit, “vivificans est caro domini; quia ex vivificativo spiritu concepta est. Quod enim generatum est ex spiritu, spiritus est; hoc autem dico | non destruens corporis substanciam, sed vivi- A 55 ficativum et divinum eius manifestare volens”; et sequitur in fine: “Omnes enim unum corpus sumus, quia ex uno pane assumimus, quem ad modum ait apostolus; antitipa autem, id est, refigurativa futurorum dicuntur, non ut non encia vere corpus et sanguis Christi; sed 40

It were a crime to deny that the bread is the body of Christ.

It is changed into Christ's body, which alone must be considered by the faithful.

“A live coal”, says Damascenus, “is not mere wood, but wood united with fire; so the bread is not mere bread, but bread united with Deity”.

B 65^b

1. ipsius verbis B. 5. subdit BCD. 7. sit CD. 10. divinitatem B.
 II. expressissime B. 20. 21. vel figuram *deest* B. 22. principalem B.
 28. ex vivificato CD. 30. 31. vivificatum CD. 39. anticipa ABD.
 40. ut *pro* non *ut* D.

quoniam nunc quidem participamus ipsam Christi deitatem, tunc autem intellectualiter per solam consideracionem."

I. Ex istis tribus dictis huius magni philosophi patent tria: primo, quod videtur dicere panem qui est sacramentum non esse pure panem, sed cum hoc corpus Christi, sicut carbo ignitus ignis dicitur; et illud nomen secundum notabilem excellenciam debet post consecrationem, sopito priori nomine, sibi competere, sicut,

rege et scurra existentibus in eodem loco et habitu, ministri debent, scurra postposito, ad regem attendere.

Secundo patet quod loquitur tropice, quando dicit, quod caro Christi est spiritus, licet substancia carnis vere substernitur. Et tertio patet quod exponit se ipsum de

15 tipo vel antitipo, quod est figura futurorum, non ut sacramentum altaris; quia figure legis veteris non sunt corpus Christi, sicut est panis consecratus, cum Christus nunquam dixit de agno tipico: "Hoc est corpus meum."

Quod si dixisset, fidelis pari auctoritate concederet 20 quod ille agnus est corpus Christi. Unde istum modum quo panis fit corpus Christi, dicit Damascenus esse credibilem, cum veritas illud dicit, sed non ulterius queribilem.

A 56^a Tercium | testimonium preter Augustinum et Jero-

B 65^c nimum | est testimonium magni Ambrosii in libro suo K. de *Sacramentis*; et ponitur in canone de consecracione,

distincione 2^a (capitulo, *Panis est in altari*) ubi probat primo multipliciter, quod panis potest esse corpus Christi per miracula veteris testamenti. Ideo dicit, quod

30 virtute verborum Christi, panis fit corpus Christi. In quo dicto, sicut loquitur indubie de pane materiali, ita loquitur de faccione figurativa vel sacramentali.

In isto autem dicta huius sancti oportet discredere doctoribus nostris et glose ordinarie decretorum, cum

35 ipso glozant dicto sanctorum per suum oppositum; ut gloza ordinaria dicit super illo verbo Ambrosii: "Panis est in altari", quod dictum huius sancti est impossibile;

quod si sit verum, cum sit materia fidei, indubie foret hereticum, et sic maior pars fidei scripture. Secundo

40 dicit eadem glossa ordinaria, super capitulo *Sacer-*

Three things are therefore made clear: ^{1st} Damascenus says that the body of Christ is present with the bread.

^{2nd} That when he speaks of Christ's body being *spirit*, he uses a figure.

^{3rd} That, as to the type and the antitype, the latter was only a figure of the future.

That, he tells us that bread is His body; so we must believe it, not enquiring how.

Testimony of Ambrose, who proves that bread can be Christ's, body.

As he speaks of material bread he speaks of a figurative or sacramental 'becoming'.

But we must beware of the glosses that explain this Saint in a wrong sense.

Many instances of this; they deny some of his writings to be genuine, make him say that the Sacrament has no weight, &c.

25. suo *deest* B. 38. unum *pro* verum B.

39. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, dist. II, c. 30.

dotum, quod sacramentum non est ponderosum; et per idem nec quantum nec quale: et cum sit manifeste sensibile, patet quod omnimoda foret quantitas et qualitas in abstracto. Tercio dicit super capitulo *Timorem*, quod nichil demonstratur pro nomine in 5 verbis sacramentalibus; et tunc indubie sacerdos nichil plus conficit quam pica, quia requiritur ad confeccionem pronominis signacio, et sacerdotis recta intencio. Quarto dicit, super capitulo *Non iste panis*, quod corpus Christi non transiet gulam suam; et indubie, nisi corpus 10 Christi sit ad omnem partem sui intrinsecam, dampnabitur tamquam obstinatus hereticus. Et sic dubitat, si aranea tangat eukaristiam; utrum remaneat corpus Christi; et sic de multis quorum opposita oportet fide-
word cannot
prevail against
the Gospel, we
must seek
another Master. fidei est querendus.

9. non est iste B.

8. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, dist. II, c. 56.

CAPITULUM QUARTUM.

B 65^a | Quarto sic: non per se, sed per accidens, est canonisacio fidei vel heresis condemnacio in ore cuiuslibet Christiani; sed omne per accidens est reducibile ad aliquid per se; igitur oportet dare aliquod exemplum 5 dirigens papam vel alium quemcumque ad taliter iudicandum. Quod non est fingendum, nisi fides scripture. Maior patet ex hoc, quod multi pape erraverunt in fide, et quilibet illorum potuit magis oberrasse; cum non sit plus confirmatus, quam Lucifer vel Machomet; 10 sicut oportet fidelem credere qui non confitetur ipsum esse hominem peccati, elevatum super omne quod dicitur deus. Oportet igitur dare pape sic flexibili ali- 15 duo testamenta fidelibus, tamquam medium sufficiens II.Tim. pro hoc fine, et secundum apostolum II^a Thim. II^a ipse deus verax est et “se ipsum negare non potest”, videtur quod in isto fundamento fidelibus est instantum; aliter enim posset papa presumere canonisare 20 ysagogas Porfirii et predicamenta Aristotelis, dampnando ewangelium tamquam hereticum: quod quidam A 56^e putant contigisse de facto: Nam in potentia pape statuisse credendum est ut fidem catholicam, quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto. Et fides 25 scripture cum beato Augustino dicit quod est naturaliter panis sanctificatus et figurative vel alio modo incognito corpus Christi. Si igitur oportet fidelem credere ut fidem catholicam, quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto, et Porfirius et Aristoteles in isto 30 verissime ac pertinentissime laborarunt, oportet in isto B 66^a canonisare sentencias suas. Sequitur enim: | Sacra-

No Christian can rule faith or condemn heresy by his mere affirmation: Therefore we must give a rule to direct the Pope in his judgments. Whoso has erred in fact, and can err in principle, cannot judge of faith by himself: but such is the case for the Popes.

A sure foundation is given the Bible, given by the true God.

Otherwise, the Pope might declare the Isagoge of Porphyry and Aristotle's Categories to be inspired;

if so, these writers who have said much about accidents and subjects, are above Scriptur.

4. ad aliquod B. 11. peccato B. 12. populo B. 16. fieri B.
20. per synagogas B. 23. est *deest* AC.

The sacrament
is an accident
without its
subject;
therefore it is
equally subject
and accident.

If the
antecedent is *de
fide*, so is the
consequent.
Now this is
just the
doctrine of
Porphyry and
Aristotle.

But "subject"
has three
meanings:
subject by
predication,
subject by
change
and subject by
veneration; as
in this text:

"Be subject
to every human
creature for
God's sake."
Porphyry and
Aristotle,
though great
philosophers,
have nothing to
do with
explaining an
article of faith.

And many
persons of note
say that what
we see after the
consecration is
an accident
without subject;
not knowing
what they mean.

mentum altaris est accidens sine subiecto; igitur tam accidens quam subiectum est, sed antecedens oportet quemlibet fidelem ut fidem credere atque cognoscere: igitur et consequens. Oportet igitur ad istos duos autores recurrere. Multi enim blaterant in ista materia 5 voces proprias de subiectis et accidentibus, ignorantes; ponit enim Porfirius quinque esse universalia, scilicet genus, speciem, differenciam, proprium et accidens. Illud autem quintum universale sic describit. "Accidens est, quod adest et abest preter subiecti corrupcionem." 10 Sed quantum ad subiectum (quod oportet fidelem vigilans cognoscere) dividit Aristoteles ens principale in B. quatuor ista membra. "Eorum," inquit, "que sunt, aliqua dicuntur de subiecto, et non sunt in subiecto, ut universalia de genere substancie; aliqua autem dicuntur 15 de subiecto et sunt in subiecto, ut universalia de genere accidentis; aliqua vero non dicuntur de subiecto, sed sunt in subiecto, ut singularia de genere accidentis; quarto autem sunt | aliqua que nec dicuntur de subiecto A 56^a nec sunt in subiecto, ut substancie singulares." Sed 20 oportet fidelem ultra cognoscere, quod triplex est subiectum: scilicet predicacione ut loquitur Aristoteles ibidem, mutacione ut loquuntur philosophi de subiecto motus, et veneracione, ut loquitur beatus Petrus, I^a Petr. II^b, 13 Petr. II^c. "Subiecti estote omni humane creature propter 25 deum"; et pertinet theologis loqui de tali subiecto.

Quamvis autem Aristoteles et Porfirius dicant multas necessarias veritates, nunquam tamen credidi expectasse diem in quo ille articulus fidei ab Aristotele et Porfirio indigeat declarari. Et revera multi et 30 magni blaterant ut articulum fidei credendum, quod sacramentum altaris oculo corporali visum post consecrationem sit accidens | sine subiecto; et tamen nec B 66^b concipiunt sufficienter ad fidem ipsum accidens, nec minus autem mala foret ista heresis, si cum 35 hoc admitterent fidem scripture, et sine hypocrisi palam detegherent heresim, quam sic fingunt. Modo autem C. dicit quod scriptura dicens quod panis sit corpus

2. omnem B. 4. ergo de fide B. 6. substancius B. 20. nec *deest* D.
33. ut *pro* nec B.

9. Πορφυρίου Εἰσαγωγή. Συμβεβηκός δέ ἐστιν, ὁ γίνεται καὶ ἀπογίνεται χωρὶς τῆς τον ὑποκειμένου φύσεως. 13. Άριστ. Κατηγορίαι. Τῶν ὄντων τὰ μὲν καθ' ὑποκειμένου τινὸς λέγεται, ἐν ὑποκειμένῳ δὲ οὐδενί ἐστιν κ. τ. α.

Luc. Christi est impossibilis; ut quando ewangelium dicit They say that
XXIV, Luc. XXIV, quod cognoverunt Christum “in fraccione in the breaking
35 panis”, qui panis fuit indubie sacramentum eukaristie, of bread”
glossatores pervertunt sensum spiritus sancti; hii, quod refers, not to
5 non intelligit panem sanctum sed usualem, quem fregit the Sacrament,
tamquam attentus figure levitatis, ac si foret scissus but to common
cultello acutissimo. Alios tamen panes scimus Christum bread.
precepisse apostolis dare populo ad edendum; ut patet His own hands;
A 57^a de duobus | miraculosis conviviis. Math. VI et VIII, quibus the Apostles
10 Christus de paucis panibus pavit populum in deserto. But then He
Non enim decet autorem vite benedicere, frangere, et would not have
dare panem suis discipulis ad edendum, nisi fuerit given it to His
panis sanctus. Unde Augustinus in libro sermonum disciples with
sermone 61 pro feria secunda Pasche (et ponitur de His own hands;
15 consecrazione distinccione II^a. “Non omnis panis”): distributed
“Mementote,” inquit, “carissimi, quemadmodum dominus common bread
Jesus ab eis quorum oculi tenebantur, ne illum agnos- among the
cerent, in fraccione panis voluit reagnosci.” “Non,” multitudes.
inquit, “omnis panis, sed accipiens benedictionem
20 Christi, fit corpus Christi.” Ideo illi fingunt formam
verborum ewangelii esse impossibilem; sed sic deberet
intelligi: “cognoverunt eum in fraccione accidentis,”
ut puta qualitatis vel quantitatis, que non poterit esse
B 66^c panis. Et ista videtur glossa extraordinaria decretorum. The sense
25 Sic igitur in penam peccati cecatur ecclesia, quod would then be:
vix in tota Anglia invenies duo capitula vel prelatos ‘They knew
D. qui sciant quid sit sacramentum altaris. Sciunt autem him in the
eorum ministri opponere ordinandis de numero sacra- breaking of the
mentorum, et quomodo sacramentum altaris differt ab accidents.’
30 aliis; sed cum ipsimet nesciunt quid sit illud, patet In punishment
quod nesciunt distinguere ipsum ab aliis. Querit enim of our sins the
secularis ex naturali ingenio, si debet credere utrum Church is
illud album, rotundum, et aliter accidentatum, sit corpus blinded on this
Christi; et coacti respondere ad illam questionem sim- point.
35 pliciter dicunt quod non est corpus Christi, sed acci-
cidens sine subiecto; quia per idem, ut arguant, que-
A 57^b libet eius | particula foret idem corpus Christi, et per The Priests do
consequens quelibet pars hostie foret idem cuilibet; et not know what
sic hostia foret omnino indivisibilis, sine parte. Et they mean.
1. unde D. 11. docet C. 13. in deest B. 17. oculi deest B.
18. recognosci B. 25. enim pro igitur B. 30. tamen D. 34. Christi
deest D.

The sense
would then be:
‘They knew
him in the
breaking of the
accidents.’
In punishment
of our sins the
Church is
blinded on this
point.

“Is that white
round thing
which I see,
Christ’s body?”
asks a layman.
“No,” they
answer; “it is
an accident”:
for there are
parts in what
you see, and
if it were
Christ’s body
there would be
none.”

15. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, dist. II, c. 61. Aug. Serm. CCXXXIV
(Migne, t. 38, p. 1116).

habito per sacerdotem, quod non sit corpus Christi, querit laycus naturali ingenio, quid igitur est, substancia vel accidens, caro, vel piscis? Et hic stabat Johannes. Michi autem videtur quod secunda divisio est sufficiens, cum sit caro, quia caro Christi. 5

They say we
must not
examine.

Let them rather
grant that they
know neither
what
distinguishes
this Sacrament
nor even the
number of the
Sacraments.
For the question
“What?”
precedes: “How
many?” and
“of what
quality?”

Men and mice
knew what
it was *before*
consecration.
After, this
knowledge
ought to be
clearer, not
more obscure.

A shrew-
mouse will
distinguish it
from iron, after
its fashion.
Shall we say
that God
enlightens it,
and denies
judgment to
man?

Again, a curate
gives the
communicant a
consecrated
host.

But does he
know *what* he
has given him?
If not, he gives
his parishioner
“that which
he knows not”.

Illi autem qui eligunt secundam partem divisionis prime fingunt ad excusandum excusaciones in peccatis, quod non debet queri quid sit hoc sacramentum, cum ipsi bene examinati hoc nesciunt. Sed oportet eos primo dicere, quod nesciunt distinctionem huius sacramenti ab aliis, et per consequens nec numerum sacramentorum, nec aliquam passionem; nam questio “quid est” presupponitur ad duas alias questiones. Secundo, tales nimis ostendunt suam ignoranciam et defectum, cum cocus corporis non admittitur nisi cognoverit quidditatem cibi corporei quem ministrat; quanto magis cocus | anime quo ad cibum in quo B 66^a iacet infinitum maius periculum! Nec valet dicere quod E. corpus Christi est ibidem; tum quia hoc ignoratur, tum eciam quia hoc non queritur, cum scitur divinitatem esse infinitum verius et infinitum excellencius ad quemlibet eius punctum. Tercio, tam homo quam mures sciverunt ante consecrationem, quid sit illud; et per consecrationem et assistenciam tanti luminis non extinguitur prior noticia; ergo post debet sciri clarius, 25 quid sit illud quod | sacerdos consecravit. Sorex enim A 57^c scit modo suo distingwere illud a lamina ferri vel alterius, quod non optat sic edere; et dicere quod illuminat sic vermem et negat iudicium sensus humani, in tanto miraculo foret nimis magna blasfemia. 30

Quarto, patet quod oportet curatum concedere, quod ministravit communicato suo hostiam consecratam, et per consequens aliquam hostiam dedit sibi; et per consequens oportet dare cumparibus, quod “hoc” dedit illam quam sibi concedit, foret nimius ydiota. Concessa igitur propositione, quero quid demonstrat per hoc subiectum “hoc”. Si scit, tunc oportet quod sciat quid est illa hostia; si nescit, tunc nescit quid dedit subdito, vel quid ut sic adorat; sed ut Samaritanis in- 40 fidelior, de quibus Joh. IV, adoraret infideliter “illud Joh. IV, 22

3. aut B. 5. quia caro *deest* B. 10. docere B. 23. post B.
24, 25. et — clarius *in marg.* A; *deest* C.D. 33. ostiam AB.
38, 39. si — hostia *deest* ACD. 40. aderat B.

quod nescit". Et illa communis ficticia qua dicitur What a heresy
 quod sacramentum eukaristie sit accidentis sine subiecto, to call the
 est nimis heretica; quod autem non sit accidentis patet Eucharist an
 alibi; et quod non sit sine subiecto, patet, primo, ex absolute
 5 hoc quod tunc est sine aliquo subiecto, et per con- accident!
 sequens tunc non habet deum vel humanitatem Christi Heresy of
 ad quemlibet eius punctum; quia utrumque istorum calling the
 necessario est subiectum: deitas, relacioni rationis, et Eucharist 1st
 B 67^a humanitas omni | generi accidentis. Nec impedient illa without subject.
 10 analogice implicancia relacionem descensum a termino no point proved
 stante mobiliter, ut sequitur: Petrus est sine substancia no substance,
 A 57^a vel accidente; B est substancia | vel accidentis: igitur no substance, if
 Petrus est sine B. Nec dicit subiectum relacionem no God, and no
 expressius quam substancia, vel accidentis; igitur est par manhood of
 15 racio inferrendi. Nec est dictum illud auctoritas scrip- This doctrine
 F. ture quam oportet ex fide concedere et glosare. Item, is not a
 ipsum sacramentum et quelibet eius particula est Scripture text
 subiectum aliis accidentibus: igitur ipsum non est sine that must be
 subiecto secundum aliquam eius partem. Et idem patet admitted and
 20 ex hoc, quod qualitas sensibilis est sacramentum, ut explained.
 patet ex diffinizione sacramenti; et ipsa non est sine The sacrament
 subiecto, cum subiectatur, ut inquiunt, in quantitate: is the subject of
 et ipsa quantitas non est per se illud sacramentum, all the other
 tum quia nulla quantitas potest per se taliter accidents; so it
 25 acciden- is not quite
 tari, ut accidentatur hostia; tum eciam, quia nulla without a
 quantitas eadem in numero potest maiorari vel mi- subject.
 norari, sicut videmus hostiam transmutari.

Item, si sacramentum sit sine subiecto suo, tunc est Again, the
 sine supposito subiecto sibi; et cum sit verum corpus sacrament sacrament is
 30 Christi, sequitur quod omnis Christianus non est body of Christ;
 but the subiectus corpori Christi et sic Christo; consequens sacrament is
 impossible, ad minimum pro beatis in patria. Ymo cum without subject,
 sacramentum sit plene sicut debet esse, sequitur quod therefore the
 debet esse sine subiecto sibi, et per consequens nullus body of Christ
 35 Christianus debet sibi subici, contra quam blasphemiam is without a
 I. Petr. II, 13 mandat beatus Petrus: "Subiecti estote omni humana subject.
 creature propter deum." Si, inquam, omni humana It follows that
 creature debemus subici, et corpus Christi sit humana no Christian is
 creatura precipua, quia Christus, sequitur quod sibi subject to
 40 debemus subici, Non est igitur catholicum predicare,
 B 67^b quod nemo debet esse subiectus | eukaristie, quia licet Christ.
 A 58^a nimis pauci sint debite | sibi subiecti, post seminacionem

huius heresis, omnes tamen Christiani debent esse sibi subiecti.

Objection: You equivocate as to the senses of the word 'subject'.

Answer: No. The faithful ought to have the signification given by Peter more in their minds than that of heathens.

This doctrine is therefore a useless fiction, except to show off the treacheries of the leech's disciples.

The leech has two daughters, simoniacal heretics and traitors.

And the land cannot rest, until purged of such.

It is the Friars' fault: hardly one of them raises his voice against this evil.

They do all they can to become confessors of princes,

but deceive the princes whose confessions they hear;

and thus they lead the kingdom astray.

If they loved their penitents they would labour for their salvation.

Doing the contrary, they are traitors.

Et si dicatur quod equivocatur in "subiecto" domini G. contestor et suam ecclesiam, quod subieccio beati Petri debet esse fideli usitacione quam subieccio Por- 5 phirii vel alterius ethnici. Loquendo eciam ut ipsi loquuntur, quodlibet singulare est subiectum predicationis; quelibet eciam ostia consecrata est subiectum transmutacionis, cum potest ferri de loco ad locum; potest eciam per se solam descendere et potest putre- 10 fieri et pulsione, traccione, veccione et vertigine transmutari. Ideo non videtur istam ficticiam introductam de quidditate hostie consecrata valere, nisi ut in penam peccati illudat ecclesie; licet per accidens iuvat ad manifestandum fallacias proditorias discipulorum san- 15 guisuge. Sanguisuge enim due sunt filie in avaricia stabilite, dicentes Affer, Affer, scilicet symoniace hereticci, et proditores veritatis et regni. De symoniace hereticis, patet in tractatu *De symonia*, capitulo 1º et infra. Nec dubium fidelis, quin impossibile est regnum esse stabile 20 atque pacificum, nisi de illis hereticis fuerit expurgatum.

Et licet maior pars regni fuerit ista heresi viciata, derant hodie infidelissimi proditores. Item, procurant se fieri regum, principum, dominorum | secularium et A 58º dominarum omnimode confessores; | et tamen non ob- B 67º stante quod sint custodes anime, nec cognoscunt cibum eukaristie quem propinant, sed ignorancia cecati sunt 30 ab solucionum et falsis ac fictis sanacionibus cicatricum, decipiunt confessos prodicione nimis aspera; et sic 35 regnum. Item, si amarent confessos, ut simulant, et H. salutem anime sue plus quam favorem mundanum, honorem aut questum, ipsi laborarent, aliis dimissis, circa ea que utilia media sunt ad illam: sed cum faciunt omnino contrarium, manifeste convincitur, quod 40

6. vel Aristotelis vel B.

17. second affer in marg. A. deest CD.

29. sicut B. 31. idiotarum et laycorum BCD.

39. utilior B.

8. hostia CD.

25. 26. ponderatur ACD.

33. eciam B.

37. so-

sunt proditores principum, populi, et regnorum. Quis Would any
enim foret pater spiritualis regis titulo confessionis, the king, seeing
videndo eum tanquam maniacum precipitanter currere him rushing
ad abissum, qui non secundem possibilitatem suam to an abyss, not
5 mitigaret maniam et principem a puteo revocaret? try to stop
him?

Multo magis salvaret animam ab inferno. Modo autem And the abyss
debet constare cunctis fidelibus quod regum officium of Hell is worst
foret precipue heresim symoniacam de regnis suis ex- of all.
tingwere; et tamen fratres, confessores principum,
10 videndo confessos suos per heresim istam currendo ad But instead of
infernum defendere et fovere, non laborant ad destruc- crushing this
cionem huius pestis consulere; sed student quomodo heresy they only
A 58^c in se ipsis possent | pingwem episcopatum | perquirere; try to get into
B 67^a et sic utrimque ad enervacionem ecclesie heresim fat bishopries.
15 symoniacam adaugere. Numquid credimus tales esse

proditores regni? Constat quod sic, cum secundum This is flat
Augustinum ut alias diffuse exposui, rex et regnum in treason:
virtutibus et viciis sibimet reciproce, ut plurimum, the king and
correspondent. Totum igitur regnum debet contra tales for good or for
20 pseudoprophetas surgere, nec fictionem mendacii ab ill.
ipsis recipere. Si enim fingunt quod non possunt talem If they say that
infidelitatem in confessu suo extingwere, quare nolunt they cannot
secundum formam ewangelii obstinatum talem deserere; destroy this
consumpcion enim morosa bonorum regni in consorcio heresy, let them
25 talis desperati facit tales apostatas ex consensu esse give up their
participes mali sui.

2. familias ACD; *ib.* et regis B. 7. Christi B. 14. utrumque BD;
ib. curacionem CD. 15. esse *dees* *iB.* 16. regni *deest* B. 17. et ACD.
20. et CD.

CAPITULUM QUINTUM.

Objections
brought
forward and
refuted.

Grosseteste's
opinion on the
Eucharist:

'That the many
sensible parts
are united in

One;
the sensible
parts of the
bread, in the
unity of Christ's
body; of wine,
in that of His
blood;

the former,
having us
subsistence by
themselves,
are yet not the
accidents of
Christ's body.'

Others use like
expressions,
which require
explanation to
avoid absurdity.
They probably
mean that the
accidents of
bread are in
the thoughts of
the faithful,

while its
substance is
absent.

Instances as to
Time, the
Universal, and
the Sensible;
each of which,
to exist,
depends on

Mind.

Sed contra dicta arguitur per dominum Linconiensem super capitulo III^o *Ecclesiastice ierarchie*, ubi sic loquitur: "Assumentes sensibiliter partita et multa, non vere profiterentur communicatores, nisi ipsa multa in aliquo unirentur; uniuntur autem ea multa in que dividitur panis consecratus in unitate veri corporis Christi, et ea multa in que dividitur calix in unitate sanguinis ipsius. Et forte dicuntur ea multa, que sensibiliter multis distribuuntur, fieri, seu esse in hiis; quia iste sensibiles forme non habent alias subsistencias ipsas in esse tunc supportantes; non enim est tunc sub forma panis aut vini materialis substancia panis aut vini. Nec tamen sunt ille forme sensibiles in corpore et sangwine Christi, ut in subiectis ab eis denominatis." Et eandem B 68^a sentenciam dicit | Hugo de sancto Victore, Petrus Lum- A 58^d bardus et alii moderni doctores concorditer. Hic sepe dixi, quod presumptuosa temeritas foret scandalizando imponere impossibile istis doctoribus, dum possunt aliunde evidenter glozari. Ideo sepe dixi tanquam probabile, quod ipsi intellexerant accidencia per se esse in actu consideracionis fidelum, dum panis et vini quidditas quoad consideracionem huiusmodi sit sopita.

Sic enim loquuntur philosophi quos ipsi videntur sequi in verbis, "quod tempus, universale, et sensibile, 25 non habent esse in actu, nisi per animam"; ut, per consideracionem anime, cognoscatur tempus secundum rationem qua mensurat motum tamdiu post et ante in motu. Universale etiam, secundum rationem qua communicatur multis eius suppositis, et sensibile secundum 30 rationem qua est reducibile ad actum senciendi. Sic

1. Capitulum *deest* ABD. 5. confitentur AB; *ib.* ipsa via CD.
9. sanguis pro calix ... sanguis AB. 23. modi *deest* B. 31. qua
communicate CD.

est de sacramento secundum rationem qua signum; Thus also the
quidditas enim panis aut vini quoad illud sopita est esse of any sign
et ratio accidentium per se sensibilium expergescit as a sign depends upon
animam ut consideret sacramentum actualiter tanquam the mind that
5 signum. Sed sicut universale sensibile et tempus non knows it as
eo minus habent esse naturale, licet esse cognitionem such.
secundum rationem qua huiusmodi sit suspensum, sic But this does
quodammodo est de eukaristia. not exclude an independent natural esse.

B. Unde pro isto sensu Linconiensis est primo textus In favour of
10 beati Dyonisii quem exponit; ipse enim vocat regulariter this rendering
sacramentum panem et nunquam accidens, sicut faciunt is St. Dionysius,
apostoli, quibus fuit iste sanctus contemporaneus. Unde who calls the
vocat Thimoteum cui scribit librum illum propter Sacrament
iuentutem puerum. Secundo moveret quod iste doctor 'bread'.
A 59^a vocat | sacramentum regulariter panem, sicut autor |

B 68^b quem exponit. Non igitur debet presumi, quod tam Why suppose
subtilis logicus sit ita recenter contradictorius sibi him to be
ipsi. Nec movet, quod ipse non vocat sacramentum inconsistent?
secundum naturam vel substanciam panem, sed sim- He does not
20 pliciter panem, quia fides nostra vocat salvatorem indeed say 'the
nostrum Jesum et non exprimit eum sub nomine sub- substance of'
stancie hominis vel nature, et tamen fidelis credit, bread, but
quod Christus vere sit substancia hominis vel natura 'bread'.
et non fantasma accidentis, ut infideles gariunt. But neither does our faith call Jesus the
'substance of man', but 'man'.

25 Tercio movet, quod iste doctor approbat recitat And he calls
super prima ratione capituli 3ⁱⁱ beati Dyonisii, quod the Sacrament
sacramentum illud est caro Christi. "Est," inquit, 'the consecrated
,,eukaristia secundum beatum Ignacium caro salvatoris bread' and 'the
nostri Jesu Christi pro peccatis nostris passa, que im- body of Christ';
30 becilles infirme accipiunt." Sacramentum itaque not 'an
panem consecratum et corpus Christi, non accidens. accident'.

C. Unde ad hoc quod fructuose communicemus in hoc To
sensibili sacramento, oportet nos reduci ad triplicem communicate
unionem. Primo, oportet nos multos fieri unum corpus fruitfully we
35 Christi, hoc est, unum membrum sponse Christi, ut must be united
I. Cor. dicit apostolus Cor. X; secundo, oportet, quod multi- in a threefold
IX, 17 tudo hostiarum reducatur ad unum corpus Christi, sic manner.
quod quotquot sunt ostie vel in quotunque partes

sunt divide, omnes et singule sunt idem corpus Christi; 1st We, being
40 et tertio omnia illa sacramenta sensibilia, suspensa one body of
consideracione de quidditate substrate substancie, redu- the Church.
2nd The multitude of hosts must be one body of Christ;
3rd All those sensible signs must be

1. significatur B. 10. exposuit B; ib. regulare B. 14. parvuum CD.
15. regulare B. 22. materia B. 25. moveret CD. 36. in Cor. 10 D.
38. hostie CD. 39. idem deest B.

referred, without thought of their quiddity, to the body of Christ into which all is changed. And note that Grosseteste says 'Perhaps' when he denies a subject.

cuntur quo ad consideracionem et cultum fidelium ad unicum corpus Christi, in quod omnia convertuntur. Unde, quia hoc casualiter evenit, ideo dicit | Linconiensis, A 59^b quod "forte ille forme sensibiles dicuntur sic uniri, quia ille forme sensibiles non habent alias subsistencias 5 ipsas tunc in esse | supportantes", supple "in actu con- B 68^c sideracionis fidelium". Nec est facile fingere, quomodo doctor ad alium sensum insereret hoc adverbium "forte".

And when St. Ambrose says after the consecration we must believe there is nothing but Christ's body he means 'we must then think of nothing else'.

Et sic intelligitur dictum beati Ambrosii positum de 10 consecracione, distinccione II, capitulo "*Omnia que cuncte*", ubi dicit quod panis et vinum post consecracionem nichil aliud quam corpus Christi et sanguis credenda sunt, quia non est tunc cogitandum de alia quidditate. Et sic intelligitur dictum Augustini de verbis 15 domini, sermone 28. "Dixi vobis quod ante verba Christi quo offertur panis dicatur; sed cum verba Christi de promta fuerint, iam non panis dicitur sed corpus Christi appellatur"; et intelligitur indubie corpus Christi, ut patet sermone 53. "Sacramentum", inquit, 20 "pene omnes corpus Christi dicunt."

So also of many other expressions; as when Grosseteste says: "That there is no material substance of bread or wine."

Et idem patet in aliis dictis sanctorum similibus; et ad eundem sensum refertur sequens negativa Linconiensis dicentis, quod "non est tunc materialis substantia panis aut vini", supple "in actu consideracionis 25 fidelium", quia ipse indubie loquitur de qualitatibus sensibilibus, quas oportet tam secundum modernos quam antiquos fundari in quantitate corporea; et per consequens non poterunt per se esse.

Yet whether before or after the consecration it is good to remember how the nature of bread is reduced to unity: Augustine quoted.

Notandum tamen quod, ante verba consecracionis 30 D. et post, licitum est et meritorium memorari, quomodo natura panis secundum gradus unionis redigatur ad unam integratatem, ut patet sepe per beatum Augustinum; ut patet, III^o de trinitate capitulo septimo; et in libro sermonum, | sermone 55^o. "Aliud," inquit, "est sacramentum, aliud virtus sacramenti." Sacramentum enim ore percipitur, virtute sacramenti, homo interior sa-

8. interret B. 14. aliqua CD. 25. vult vini sub forma panis et vini BC. 28. quam secundum B. 29. potuerunt CD. 35. After 55^o: Idem docet Rabanus in "de naturis rerum", libro 5 capitulo XI. Aliud etc. B. 55^o capitulo CD.

11. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 74. 20. Aug. Serm. CCCLIV. Migne, t. 38, p. 1563. 35. Aug. In Joh. Tract. XXVI. Migne, t. 35, p. 1611.

ciatur igitur, quia panis corpus hominis confirmat, 'As bread strengthens man's body, as B 68^a ideo ille congruenter corpus Christi nuncupatur; vinum man's body, as sanguinem Christi refertur. Et possunt glozari quotquot wine makes blood, each is 5 doctores qui videntur dicere, quod sacramentum sit properly called the body and accidens sine subiecto; sed postquam generacio adultera signa querens in ista materia multiplicavit mendacia, publicata est ista blasfemia, quod eukaristia sit accidentis sine subiecto.

10 Secundo obicitur per hoc, quod papa Innocencius III, *Cum Marthe.* Second objection. qui habet spiritum et potestatem exponendi fidem scripture, determinat quod est accidens sine substancia; ut patet III decretalium de celebrandis missis, capitulo 15 colorem ponere, nisi in sacramento eukaristie; igitur, ibidem est accidens sine subiecto; quo habito, opportet ponere illud accidens esse sacramentum, quia certum est quod aliquod sensibile signum remanet; si illud sit panis, tunc non est accidens; si illud sit accidens 20 quod prius fuit in pane, ipso pane remanente, tunc non est accidens sine subiecto; ideo necesse est ponere, ut moderni fingunt, quod illud signum sacramentale sit accidens quod quondam fuit in pane, natura panis delecta. Hic sepe dixi, quod nec deus nec homo 25 potest facere accidens sine subiecto. Et quantum ad dictum pape potest dici, quod ipse intelligit accidens esse in sacramento sine subiecto naturali, actualiter et A 59^a prin | cipaliter a fidelibus apprehenso; cum tota consideracio fidelium debet esse suspensa in corpore 30 Christi, quod est figurative sacramentum sensibile; sicut, videndo carbonem ignitum, homo non considerat utrum sit lapis vel lignum; et sic de eius qualitate naturali correspondenter secundum Johannem Damasce- E. num est de eukaristia iudicandum. Sed ulterius cum B 69^a non docetur | istum fuisse sensum pape predicti, scilicet Innocencii III^b: notandum quod nulli pape est credendum, nisi de quanto docuerit se loqui ex spiritu sancto, vel se fundaverit in scriptura. Petrus enim et ceteri autores scripture docuerunt in facto, quod deus in eis his locutus est sentenciam quam scripserunt; posteriores

It has been declared by Pope Innocent III that the Eucharist is an accident without subject.

Answer. If that accident be the sacrament, we must say that the accident remains, the nature of bread being destroyed. But even God cannot make an accident without subject. The Pope's declaration can be explained as that of the other doctors.

Still, as we are not sure that Innocent had this sense in mind, we must only believe the Pope when he speaks by inspiration of the Holy Ghost, or grounding on Scriptur.

8. publicana AB; *ib.* est B. 12. subiecto B. 13. celo B.
18. signi B. 20. pane deest B. 34. de deest AB.

14. Decr. Greg. lib. III, tit. 21, c. 6.

autem pape et quatuor magni doctores non devenerant ad hunc gradum.

Such is the doctrine of Augustine.

It is a ground for suspicion that the Pope did not allege Scripture for this doctrine.

We are not more obliged to believe it, than to think him right in levying tribute of 900 marks on England.

And as he did not learn this doctrine from Scripture, so neither by revelation.

It is not credible that this should have been revealed to Innocent now, and hidden for so long from so many Saints of the early church.

Digression on the ancient and present times.

Of old, God stopped heretics' mouths. But at present the lying spirit has been loosed,

Ideo sepe docet beatus Augustinus quod nulli eorum quantumcunque magna sciencia vel sanctitate prepolleat, credendum est, nisi de quanto se fundaverit in scriptura; ut patet 9^a distinctione. Unde suspecta videtur presumpcio, cum in scriptura sacra sit omnis veritas, ut patet 11^o. *De doctrina Christiana*, in fine, et quantum oportet explicite fidelem credere, est ibi explicitum, quod papa iste noluit allegare fidem scripture pro ista sentencia. Item, extranea exposicio quam dat scripture De maioritate et obedientia, capitulo *Solite*, reddit hominem plus suspectum. Non enim oportet ecclesiam in isto sibi credere ut autori veritatis quam detegit; nec ut testi veritatis plus creditur in isto auctoritati sue quam in penitencia qua obligavit Angliam solvere nongentas marcas annuatim sedi sue. Sed illi | A 60^a discredimus; cur igitur non isti, in quo contrariatur sanctis doctoribus? Item, cum non habuit ex se istam noticiam vel auctoritatem, oportet quod habeat ipsam a deo per scripturam vel inspirationem; nulla scriptura sacra vadit ad hoc, cum regulariter et vere indubie vocat eukaristiam panem, et ad sensum alium | corpus B 69^b Christi. Nec docetur revelacio, cum vite mundialitas et eius auctoritas, "in quo non fuit Est et Non" patule 25 contradicunt; nec est credibile quod ista quidditas hostie latuisset autores scripture et cunctos sanctos episcopos et doctores per mille annos et amplius; et secundo millenario quando solutus est pater mendacii, et clerus excidit a religione Christi, sit ista veritas fidei revelata. 30 Volvat, inquam, homo sanctos primi millenarii, et non inveniet quod eukaristia sit quantitas vel qualitas sine subiecto, sed sepe contrarium; sed si hoc novissent, plus quam Innocencius ecclesie dixissent.

Unde deus obstruxit ora pape Innocencii et tocius secte sue precedentis et sequentis usque ad demonium meridianum, quod nullus eorum audebat assere quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto. Diebus autem nostris sunt magis laxata mendacia: in tantum,

1. deparant B. 4, 5. prepoleant B. 11. dant B. 23. Et deest B.
27. scribere B. 28. in B. 36. subsequentes B.

6. Decr. Grat. 1^a Pars. Dist. IX, c. 10. 8. Aug. *De Doctrina Christiana*, I. II, c. 42. Migne, t. 34, p. 65. 11. Decr. Greg., lib. I, tit. 33, c. 6.

quod quidam doctor, cuius religio foret secundum veritatem pacis in claustro quiescere, docmatizavit publice Oxonie scolam patris mendacii quod in multis casibus licitum et meritorium est mentiri. Licet autem in primo

so that a certain doctor has taught at Oxford that a lie is often a good deed.

A 50^o millenario erant cum sanctis multi heretici, qui representantur hensibiliter per sanctos doctores in mendacio sunt signati; non tamen in comparacione ad tempus secundi millenarii, cum tot sunt symoniaci, quod inter centum hereticos vix unum fidelem invenies; et specialiter propter eos qui excusando et non reprobando consciunt simonie. Illi autem sunt magis proditorie

B 69^o heretici ex consensu, dicente decreto Innocencii, distinctione 83, capitulo, *Error*, "non caret scrupulo G. societatis occulte, qui manifesto facinori desinit obviare".

Heretics are multiplied,

especially those who consent to simony.

I. 15 Ideo propheta precipit Ysaie LVIII: "Clama, ne cesses; quasi tuba exalta vocem tuam et nuncia populo meo LVIII, i scelera eorum." Nunquam enim ab origine mundi fuit manifestius facinus, quam est hodie symonia; et plures defendantes et tacentes non legi, et per consequens 20 non plures hereticos. Cuius magna causa est apostatarum taciturnitas. Unde in prima ciliade etatis ecclesie, mortuis quatuor magnis eius doctoribus, surrexit secta Machometi per instinctum unius cultoris signorum Sergii. Sed illi non erant ita copiose et regulariter heretici, 25 sicut sunt hodie satrape irreligiosi ecclesie. Ideo illi nimirum infideliter commixti fidelibus instar aque fervide, in aura gelida refrigescere faciunt caritatem mulorum, ut dicit Christus in ewangelio.

"Not to hinder a crime gives a share in it."

We ought to raise our voices with Isaiah against such manifest crime.

Sed, redeundo, dicitur quod decretum pape non 30 asseruit sacramentum altaris esse accidens sine subiecto. Et ideo stultum foret mendacium illud sibi imponere et super illud aliud sibi mendacium cumulare; ut

In the first millenary, Mahomet and Sergius did less harm than the present sects.

A 60^o puta quod sit qualitas aut quantitas sine subiecto.

As a fact, the pope has not

decreed that

the Sacrament is an accident

without subject

Et dictum pape potest glozari ut supra, sine hoc quod 35 illud accidens ponatur eukaristia. Nam secundum inventores huius mendacii, accidens potest remanere sine subiecto pane remanente, suspensa illius accidentis

The doctrine of those who sustain this goes much farther than what he says.

7. ad comparacionem B; ib. secundi B. 14. manifeste C. 16. annuncia B. 21. ciliade erased; clade A. 24. irregulariter B. 30. astruit omnes MSS. 31—33. Et — subiecto deest ACD.

13. Decr. Grat. 1^a Pars, Dist. LXXXIII, c. 3. 23. Wyclif often refers to the Mohammedan religion as a heretical sect. This point of view would be true enough, without the allusions to Sergius.

informacione, et remanente panis prima significacione;
quomodo ergo concludunt ipsi ex dicto pape quod
sacramentum altaris sit accidentis?

Wyclif holds
to Pope
Nicolas'
decree:
"That the
bread and
wine are, not a
mere sign, but a
Christ's body
and blood?".
This doctrine
ought to be
maintained by
all.

Ideo alias dixi, quod nolo contendere circa sensum
pape in isto, sed in benedicto | decretali Nicolai se-B 69^a
cundi quiescere; De consecracione, distinccione II^a. *Ego*
Berengarius"; sicut prius dicit ecclesia, profiteor panem
et vinum que in altari ponuntur esse post consecra-
cionem, non solum sacramentum, sed corpus et san-
guinem domini nostri Jesu Christi. Istam autem sen-
tenciam confirmatam ex fide scripture, et expositam
per quatuor magnos doctores ecclesie, debet doctor
credere et populo predicare et non remanere in capitulo
infidelium excedente symplices ydiotas, qui dicunt quod

The laity should
know that the
bread, trans-
substantiated,
becomes
Christ's body.

The Pope's
decree should
be respected if
he agrees with
Scripture.

Many think
that he is often
in
disagreement.
For instance,
one of his
positions about
the Holy
Trinity, at the
Council of
Lateran, seems
to have no
foundation in
Scripture, in
reason, or in
the Fathers.

nec volunt nec sciunt dicere quid sit hostia consecrata.¹⁵
Layci enim debent scire, quod est corpus Christi, et
quod est panis, qui dum est sanctificatus convertitur
et transsubstanciatur et fit corpus Christi; et qui non
credit ac scit illud, non est dignus nomine sacerdotis.
Quantum autem ad dicta papalia, dicitur, admittendo 20 H.
et honorando illa de quanto secundum aliquam scin-
tillam veritatis sunt in scriptura fundabilia et non ultra.

Unde videtur multis quod multa dicta huius pape
nimis exiliter sunt fundata. Nam dicta sua posita de
summa trinitate, capitulo *Firmiter*, que dicuntur facta A 60^a
in concilio Lateranensi, | videntur nimis levia, non fun-
data; ut in isto: Natura divina nec gignit nec gigni-
tur"; quia nec fundatur istud in scriptura sacra nec
in ratione, nec in sanctis doctoribus. In scriptura sacra
non, quia Ysaie LXVI dicit divina substancia: "Numquid 30
ego qui alios parturire facio, sterilis ero?" quasi diceret, Is.
ego natura divina communis tribus personis, prius
produco verbum ad intra in patre, quam facio creaturam
LXVI, 9

14. excedentem CD. 24. sunt *deest* D. 30. dicit dicit ABD.
31. quod dicit B. 33. verba B.

6. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 42. 28. These words,
as they stand, are not to be found either in the Decr. Greg.
lib. I, tit. I, c. 1 or c. 2; though the c. 2 is obviously alluded
to here. The Abbot Joachim had written a treatise against Peter
Lombard, accusing the latter of making a fourth person of the
Trinity: viz. the Divine Essence, common to the Three Persons.
This treatise was condemned by the fourth Lateran council.
C. 2 says: *Quaelibet trium personarum est illa res . . . natura*
divina . . . Et illa res non est generans, neque genita, nec
procedens . . .

B 70^a aliquam parturire. | Nec est fundabile in scriptura sacra oppositum. Notaret igitur dictum beati Dyonisii in De divinis nominibus: "Non est", inquit, "audendum aliquid dicere vel cogitare de supersubstanciali et 5 occulta divinitate, preter ea que nobis per sacras scripturas de deo divinitus sunt expressa." Melius igitur foret illum hominem in isto tacere et exequi decreta ewangelica de symonia ad correccionem ecclesie. Quan- 10 tum ad raciones omnes palliantes eum per terminos ultimate abstraccionis, nescierunt evadere quin sicut natura divina producit universitatem creatam, sic pro- 15 ducebat filium ad intra sicut deus. Notaret igitur onerans ecclesiam docmatibus istis fantasticis, dictum beati Ambrosii dicentis: "Quid," inquit, "inveniri iniquius I. potest, quam simpliciter credere nolle quod lego et presumpsisse me velle credere quod non lego?" Et 20 quantum ad testimonia sanctorum, patet quod Augustinus sepe concedit de deo, quod est substancia de substancia et essencia de essencia. Quid igitur moveret 25 papam et concilium quorum mille non valerent in

A 61^a materia fidei unum Augustinum, sic temere diffinire | quod nesciunt? Numquid credimus favorem Petri Lombardi, quia episcopus Parisiensis, et odium abbatis Joachim, quia detexit defectus Romane ecclesie, movere ad onus 25 ecclesie, ut condempnetur veritas et falsitas confirmetur? Et quantum ad obiecta est pudor eis ipsos detegere. Et eodem modo sentitur de ista blasphemia decretali de maioritate et obediencia, capitulo *Solite*, et de illa lege inqua de pena et remissis, capitulo *Omnis 30 utriusque sexus*, cum aliis que iste papa instituit; sic non est color sed blasfemia, quod si Romanus pontifex quicquam instituit, tunc est iustum. Sed est argumen- tum topicum, quod si quicquam preter scripturam

But the contrary opinion is no better grounded.

Better pursue simoniacs, who try to elude the Church's decisions by all subtleties, than teach mysteries about the production of the Word in God.

Besides, the Council seems to contradict Augustin, who is worth a thousand of those doctors.

Was it love for Peter Lombard or hatred of Abbot Joachim, that made them promulgate it? This were shameful.

That all things decreed by the Roman Pontiff are right is blasphemy;

1. fundabile C. 2. omnium B. 3. audiendum *omnes* MSS.
7. ista B. 9. quam B. 14. dicentis sic B. 19. de essencia *deest* D.
20. valent B. 21. quod *deest* D. 23. et . . . Joachim *deest* BCD.
24. onere D. 25. falsitas ut D. 26. objectus *omnes* MSS. 27—31. de-
cretali . . . blasfemia *deest* ACD. 32. multum D.

3. St. Dionysius Areop. De Divinis nominibus, c. 1. See Migne's Series Graeca, t. 3, c. 586. 22. For Joachim abbot of Flora in Calabria, see *Biographie Universelle*. It is worth notice that the condemnation in question took place in 1215, 13 years after his death. He does not seem to have been disliked at Rome, for Clement IV. in 1360, took the first steps towards his canonisation; and though he was never formally canonised, services are allowed in his honour on the 29th of May.

it is probable
that whatever
he decrees
beyond
Scripture is
false.

If he defines
the sense of
Scripture,
we should
inquire how
far he agrees
with the
Fathers.

The antiquity
of the See of
Rome proves
nothing in
favour of its
holiness or its
science.

The patriarchs
were before
Christ.

If nearness to
Christ before
his coming,
and distance
after, brought
sanctity, we
should have
absurd results.
God gives us
light according
to our holiness.

decreverit, tunc est falsum. | In hiis vero que nescit celaret vel publicaret suam ignoranciam et non in fide ambiguum oneraret ecclesiam. Ymmo fideles non attenderent dicta sua, nisi de quanto ipsa in scriptura fundaverit. Quod si sensum ponit scripture, videndum est de fundacione sensus, quomodo concordat cum sanctis doctoribus primi millenarii etatis ecclesie; si extraneat vel discordat ab eis, est suspectus; et specialiter si urget fideles sibi credere. Nec valet sed inficit allegacio auctoritatis sciencie vel potestatis paparum, qui debent sine pompa veritatem suam ostendere instar beati Petri, et quod ex eleccione dei sunt immediati Petri vicarii. B 70^b

Nec movet antiquitas temporum, quod sint in sanctitate et sciencia prepollentes; quia ex fide patet quod in medio temporum incarnata est lux vera sapiencia dei patris, et ante ipsam precesserunt Adam, Noe et Abraham; post | ipsos vero Moyses, David et prophete, A 61^b post Christum vero successerunt apostoli, martyres et confessores. Unde insanis est credere, quod proportionabiliter ut prelati sunt ante Christum tempore sibi propiores, et post Christum tempore tardiores, sic sunt sancctiores; quia sic Caifas excederet Moysen et regulariter nostri episcopi Augustinum. Cum autem deus sit lumen liberum illuminans, copiosius illuminat in fructuosa sciencia quemcunque qui ipsum propinquius imitatur in moribus. 20. insanis omnes MSS. 23, 24. regulariter deest B.

6. quem D. 18. vere ACD. 20. insanis omnes MSS. 23, 24. regulariter deest B.

CAPITULUM SEXTUM.

Sed obicitur per doctores primi millenarii. Videtur *Objection from
the Fathers
refuted.*
B 70^o enim quod aliter sit corpus Christi in | altari quam presencia vel significacione; quia aliter foret eque vere 5 in aliis signis suis: ut puta in scriptura, in ianuis per quas intravit et in corpore celesti quod penetravit in ascensione. Et non solum hoc, sed corpus Christi foret a pari omnia illa corpora, cum mille aliis inconveniencii que secuntur.

10 Hic dicitur quod pluries ista obiciunt, sed dimittunt radicem; cum igitur conceditur quod "non omnis panis, sed panis benedictionem accipiens sit sacramentaliter corpus Christi", quia Christus hoc dicit. Et sic intelligi potest Augustinus 3^o. *De trinitate*, capitulo 7^o, 15 quando dicit, "Apostolus Paulus potuit signando predicare dominum Jesum Christum et aliter per linguam, aliter per epistolam, aliter per sacramentum corporis et sanguinis eius: nec linguam quippe eius, nec membranas et atramentum, nec signantes sonos lingua editos, 20 nec signa literarum que scripta sunt pelliculis, corpus and that Christ, Christi et sanguinem dicimus, sed illud tantum quod said of that bread: This is A 61^o ex fru | ctibus terre acceptum et prece mistica consecratum, rite sumimus ad salutem;" cuius causa videtur quod Christus qui mentiri non potest, panem illum dixit 25 corpus suum, sed non sic alia recitata.

Ideo illum modum sacramentalem essendi dicit It is His body, Damascenus esse inexplicabilem. Est itaque hoc sacramentum corpus Christi non ydemptitate, sed figurative. Ideo dicit Augustinus in quodam sermone de corpore

4. vere *deest* CD. 10. pueriles ACD. 20. corporis B.
24. quia B.

6. The crystalline spheres and the *primum mobile*, believed to be solid. 14. Aug. *De Trinitate*, lib. III, c. IV (t. 42, p. 873, 874 of Migne).

Christi: "Corpus Christi est veritas et figura est veritas, dum corpus et sanguis virtute ipsius, in verbo ipsius panis et vini substancia efficitur. | Figura vero est illud B 70^a quod exterius sentitur." Et idem patet super titulum B. psalmi XV. Nec audivi aliquem sane intelligere, quin 5

Augustine, saying: "What is of the fruits of the earth, taken and consecrated by a mystic prayer, is the body and blood of Christ": Unde solebam describere sacramentum siccum, album unde nulli dubium, qui vidit dicta Augustini et novit verba philosophorum, quod Augustinus non intelligit per id quod ex fructibus terre acceptum est aliquod novem generum accidentis, quia notum est, quod nec 20 quantitas, nec qualitas illa fuit accepta ex fructibus terre, cum sepe tam qualitas quam quantitas variata est, sed essentia naturalis | , que quondam fuit in terra A 61^a fructibus, componit panem sanctificatum. Et in pertinens ac irrationabile foret credere accidentis esse per se prece 25 mistica consecratum; sed totum ministerium iacet in pane et vino, cuius sententia est hodie omissa.

None of the nine sorts of accidents were taken from the fruits of the earth, and consecrated with mystic prayer.

Sed difficultas est utrum quelibet pars mundi, cum habet humanitatem Christi sibi presentem, et sit signum Christi efficax, sit quodammodo ipse Christus. Et videtur 30 quod sic ratione *a simili* et ex textu apostoli quem indubie dicit Christus Cor. XV et Coloc. III, quod post diem iudicii Christus erit omnia in omnibus: ex quo | B 71^a sequitur, quod tunc omnes essentie erunt Christus. Et C. confirmatur ex hoc quod Christus erit humanitus ad 35 omnem punctum mundi; sed ubicunque erit humanitus, erit aliquid ibi existens; igitur Christus ad omnem punctum mundi erit aliquid ibi existens. Ex quibus cum veris infertur, quod sicut in sacramento altaris

A question: whether in Wyclif's theory any part of the world, since Christ is present, may rightly be called Christ?

After the judgment Christ will be all in all; therefore all will be Christ, His humanity existing through all space.

1. et omnes MSS. 2. in verbo *deest* D. 3. in verbo *ipsius post efficitur* D. 20. nomen D; *ib. accidere* B. 25. *omne pro esse CD.* 27. amissa B. 29. *sit deest* CD. 30. *sit propterea* B. 33. in *deest* AB. 37. aliud CD. 37, 38. igitur — *existens deest* B.

Christus multiplicatur et est ipsa hostia, ita post diem iudicii erit omnia; nam in qualibet creatura beati videbunt humanitatem Christi, clarius quam nunc videmus in sacramento altaris. Quo ad istud dico opinative citra 5 fidem, quod aliquod istorum trium probabiliter posset dici; *primo*, quod Christus, secundum significacionem et non secundum substanciam, erit tunc omnes et singule creature; ut est tactum. Vel, *secundo*, quod erit omnia genera creaturarum in beatis ad sensum ex-10 positum; sed non erit aliquis dyabolus vel dampnatus. Vel *tercio*, quod erit omne genus hominum in memoris suis per assimilacionem; homo enim vocatur in ewangelio omnis creatura, et quodlibet membrum Christi dicitur quodammodo ipse Christus. Nam nimis leve 15 videtur quod Christus erit omnia, hoc est ydee, que sunt omnia, quia hoc necessario est semper.

Wyclif's
opinion (*not*
belief).

1st That Christ
will then be
all things but
only

metaphorically.
2nd He will be
all things in the
Blessed only.

3rd That by
union with them
He will be the
whole human
race.

Return to main
point; *De
Divinis officiis*
quoted at
length.

A 62^a | Sed, dimisso isto sensu, ad hoc michi opinabiliter D. notandus est auctor Ambrosius in libro suo *De divinis officiis*, vel ut aliis placet, autor *De divinis officiis*, qui 20 cepit istam sentenciam de beato Ambrosio, ut probabiliter creditur, ex secreto medie misse natalis domini.

Unde vidi librum solemnem et antiquum intitulatum: "Ambrosius, de divinis officiis." Scribit autem iste doctor catholicus et solemnis, quicunque fuerit, capitulo,

'The matter of
the sacrifice,
like the nature
of Christ, is
not simple: for
in both there
is the divine and
the terrestrial
nature.'

In both, that
which is seen
is terrestrial.
When Christ
said: This, etc.
he joined the
bread to His
flesh.

B 71^b *de sacramento altaris* sic: "Materia | , " inquit, "vel substancia sacrificii quod erat tunc, et nunc est in manibus pontificis nostri, non simpla est, sicut nec pontifex ipse solius divine vel humane solius substancialiter est; est enim tam in pontifice, quam in sacrificio 30 divina substancia. Est et terrena; terrena in utroque est illud quod corporaliter vel localiter videri potest; divina in utroque verbum invisible, quod in principio erat deus, apud deum; nam cum diceret idem magnus pontifex, panem et vinum tenens: *hoc est corpus meum,* 35 *hic est sanguis meus;* vox erat verbi incarnati, vox

5. potest B. 17. opinabili ACD. 18. beatus Ambrosius BD.
19. vel — officiis deest CD. 21. dominii omnes MSS. 28. solus ACD.

20. The book entitled *De Divinis Officiis* was ascribed to Alcuin, but was probably by a later writer. (See Migne, Patr., t. 101, p. 1173.) But this passage does not occur in the work; there is not even a chapter of that name; so it is probable, as will be seen later, that Wyclif's copy was much interpolated; and as this passage is really worthy of the best times of the Church, it may have been written by Ambrose. 21. Seer. ad Missam in Aurora, die Nat. Dom. (Missale Sarum.)

eterni principii, verbum antiqui consilii, verbum quod humanam acceperat naturam, idem in carne manens, panis et vini accipiebat substanciam, vita media, panem cum sua carne, vinum cum suo iungebat sanguine; quem ad modum in corporis sensibus menti et corpore 5 pulento aeri, media lingua intervenit et, utrumque coniungens, unum sermonem efficit: quo in aures demisso, id quod audibile est cito absumitur et transit; A 62^b sensus autem sermonis et in dicente et in eo qui audit integer permanet et inconsumptus. Sic verbum patris 10 carni et sanguini quem de utero virginis assumpserat et pani ac vino quod de altari sumitur, medium interveniens, unum sacramentum efficit; quod cum in ora fidelium sacerdos distribuit, panis et vinum absumitur et transit. Partus autem virginis cum unito sibi verbo 15 patris et in celo et in hominibus integer permanet et E. inconsumptus; sed in illo in quo fides non est preter B 71^c visibiles species panis et vini nichil de sacrificio per- 20 venit: quem ad modum asinus ad liram, cum irracio- nales aures erigit, sonum quidem audit, sed modum cantilene non percipit." Et paulo post: "Panis," inquit, 25 "invisibilis, qui de celo descendit vita est; panis visibilis qui de terra crevit, unus tamen panis est, quo- modo qui de celo descendit et qui conceptus est et natus de utero virginis, Christus unus est. Qua propter 30 qui visibilem panem sacrificii comedit et invisibilem a corde suo non credendo expellit, Christum occidit, quia vitam a vivificato seiungit, et dentibus suis laniat mortuum corpus sacrificii, atque per hoc reus est cor- 35 poris et sanguinis domini. Sed dicit adversarius: vivens 30 et sensibilis in corpore suo mobilisque est deus et homo Christus; corpus autem sacrificii vitam non habet,

When the priest distributes the sacrament, the bread and wine is consumed, but the Virgin's Son, with the Word of the Father remains;
while the unbeliever perceives only the appearances of bread and wine.

He again speaks of the 'visible bread that came up out of the earth' and of the 'invisible bread that came down from heaven' as being 'one bread.'

Answering the objection: How can Christ live where there is no motion? He says:

'There is spiritual life and animal life, 40 the latter consisting in the five senses. Hoc assumpto, concludit sic: Corpus and animal non est. Hoc assumpto, concludit sic: Corpus carnal non est, caro est. Dominus autem dicit quia caro non Christ's body, it would not profit them; on the contrary.

But the latter vita animalis quinque sensibus fungitur: visu, auditu, gustu, odoratu et tactu. Hec vita animalis est, carnalis carnaliter eaten est, caro est. Dominus autem dicit quia caro non Christ's body, prodest quicquam. Si enim Judei carnem quam crucifi- 40 have profited them; on the contrary.

VI, 64

2. huiusmodi B. 12. medium deest B. 13. sacrificium B.
 16. et deest D. 24. qui deest B. 28. a vivificatio A. 34. hoc pro
 hec B. 35. quomodo B.

sent, aut potuissent integrum vivamque absorbere, sicut
 B 71^a cetus maris viventem absorbut | Jonam, nichil illis
 profuisset; ymo maiori sacrilegio conscientias suas
 polluissent. Animalis igitur vita, quia caro est, si in
 5 corpore domini adesset, nichil nobis prodesset; ac per
 hoc istam requirere superfluum est. Numquid celestis
 homo per sacrificium hic administraret, quod nobis
 non deerat? non enim prudencie eius est curiositatem
 nostram non necessariis pascere miraculis. Querebat hoc
 10 curiosus Herodes, quando erat *ex multo tempore cupiens*
 Luc. *Jesum videre, quia sperabat signum aliquod ab eo fieri;*
 XXIII, 8, 11 quod quia non fecit *sprevit illum, et remisit indutum*
alba ueste. Solam igitur vitam spiritualem in sacrificio
 nobis administrare sapienciam eius decebat, et nostre
 15 necessitati expediebat, que est sanctificatio et benediccio,
 misericordia et veritas et pax. Hec autem eius vita
 spiritualis sic est in corpore sacrificii, absque vita
 A 62^a eius animali, quomodo lux solis absque colore eius
 in corpore lune nobis presentatur. Igitur hiis pariter
 20 ex rebus sacrificium nobis construxit summus pontifex,
 quibus totus homo vegetatur, id est, ex verbo dei, in
 quo vivit homo, et ex terre fructibus quibus solum
 vivit corpus; hiis dumtaxat, qui omnium primi sunt.
 Panis enim eorum, que ad esum, vinum eorum que ad
 25 potum pertinent, primum est".

Animal life
would only have
satisfied
curiosity; and
Christ would
not satisfy the
curiosity of
Herod.

That we get
only spiritual
life from the
sacrament was
ordained by
His wisdom;
the Word feeds
the soul; and
the fruit of the
earth, the body.

All this
confirms our
doctrine.
The four great
doctors of the
first ten
centuries agree
with him.

So do others:
e. g. Henry of
Ghent, Fitz-
Ralph, and the
Gloss.

F. Ex istis verbis doctoris Ambrosii precipui seu sui
 discipuli, patet sentencia sepe dicta. Unde in doctoribus
 non incarceratis in claustro secundum tradiciones
 humanas viguit fides eukaristie secundum aliquid; ut
 B 72^a patet de quatuor magnis doctoribus, | et specialiter de
 dicto Ambrosio in primo millenario, et de hesternis
 doctoribus, licet nimis seductis. Henricus Gawnt dicit
 vere quod remanet post consecrationem quedam "panis
 aliquitas"; et Ardmachanus dicit cum Ambrosio, Jeronimo
 35 et Johanne Damasceno quod panis demonstratur pro-
 nomine verbi Christi: "hoc est corpus meum"; et ita
 sensit balbuciens glossa ordinaria, super capitulo *Non*
omnis panis de consecratione, distinccione 2^a.

13. spiritualem *in marg.* A; *deest* CD. 18. calore CD. 20. in-
 struxit B. 25. sunt B. 32. seductus Henricus gawnt D; Gawnt de
 Gandano B.

32. Henry Goethals of Ghent, a pupil of Albert the Great and
 a fellow-pupil of Aquinas, was born in 1217, and died in 1293.
 Author of a work on Theology in three folio vols. See Valère André,
Bibliotheca Belgica, p. 445; *Nouvelle Biographie générale*, t. XXI.

It is therefore doubtless that Christ made the bread to be His Body. Et correspondenter indubie oportet dicere de nostra proposizione sacramentali quod Christus demonstrat panem, quem fecit corpus suum.

Blindness of the second thousand years. Et talis cecitas contigit post ligacionem sathane mille annis pro tempore solucionis sue, de quibus Apok. XX. 5

Digression concerning the binding of Satan, which means the less or greater power he has to tempt men. Pro quo notandum primo quod ligacio sathane ac eius solucio non erunt nisi restriccio et laxacio potestatis sue ad temptandum et seduccendum populum | Christi- A 63^a anum. Secundo notandum est dictum spiritus sancti Apok. XX ubi dicit sathanam esse ligandum mille annis; et, post solvendum magnum populum, seducturum per

Gog et Magog, de quibus Ezech. XXXVII. Sed tertio G. notandum secundum Augustinum, 20 De civitate Dei, ca- Ezech. XXXVIII. pitulo 9, pro intellectu prophetic Johannis, quod per illos mille annos quibus ligatus est Sathanas, probabilius 15

According to Augustine, the "thousand years' means most probably the sixth thousand, when Christ was born. intelligi potest sextus millenarius, in quo natus est Christus. Constat autem secundum catholicos calculantes quod fluxerunt quinque etates mundi terminate ad Noe, Abraham, David, transmigracionem et Christum; et currebant in illa etate quinque millia annorum; et 20 amplius secundum varie calculantes fideliter annuant

He says that as Adam was created and fell on the sixth day it was fitting that Christ should come in the sixth age of the world. Christum natum in 6^o millenario | etatis mundi. Quod, B 72^b secundum Augustinum, fuit consonum, quia sicut in fabrica mundi in principio primus Adam fuit 6^o die creatus et perditus, ut patet Genes. I et III, sic secundus 25 Adam in 6^o millenario etatis mundi fuit natus de virgine, et per ipsum primus Adam cum genere suo

est redemptus; ita quod millenarius annorum correspondeat diei in prima seculi septimana. Christus igitur, fortis armatus, pro suo millenario custodivit atrium 30 domus sue; et ideo dicitur, Luc. XI^o: "Cum fortis ar- Luc. XI, 21 matus custodit atrium, in pace sunt omnia que possidet." Licet enim sathan dissolutus sit ad tempus modicum in 6^o millenario, movens membra sua ad occidendum Christum, sicut sexto | die solutus fuit ad A 63^b temptandum primum parentem, hoc tamen fuit ad comodum domus Christi. Nec sic seducti erant de H.

1. deberet CD. 5. 2^o D. 7. relaxacio B. 18. quod deest CD;
ib. a pro ad B. 24. fabrice ACD; in deest ACD. 31. Dum ACD.

25. It was believed during the Middle Ages that Adam was only 7 hours in Paradise. Cf. Dante, *Paradise*, XXVI, v. 139 and seq. Cary's note quotes Petrus Comestor: "Quidam tradunt eos fuisse in Paradise septem horas". 26. Aug. De Trinitate, t. IV, c. 4. (t. 42, p. 892, of Migne).

familia domus dei, ideo omnia que Christus possidet ad beatitudinem sunt in pace, ut patet de apostolis, martiribus, confessoribus et virginibus: quantum parietibus domus sue. Illi enim purgantur et meliorantur 5 tribulacionibus, quas ex membris dyaboli paciuntur; heretici enim et presciti non sunt de familia Christi, licet Gog et Magog Christianos simplices persecutur. Gog enim qui interpretatur *tectum* secundum doctores catholicos, signat antichristum; quia secundum leges 10 quas invenit, palliat et *tegit* eclypsacione dampnabili legem Christi, et introducit sectas dampnabiles, qui ut loquitur Jacobus, quasi velamen habentes malicie libertatem, in ypocrisi decipiunt Christianos. Hii sunt qui B 72^a blasfeme preponderant religionem | quam statuunt supra 15 religionem Christi, quam in quantitate et figura ac colore corruptibilis habitus et non in vita Christi et moribus palliant sectam suam; hii gerunt velamen per quod excecent simplices et in velamine docent in eis malicie libertatem. Et error in hiis signis adinvencionum 20 religionis private, sicut et heresis symonie necessitando precesserant errorem de accidentibus sacramenti. Magog Magog, whose name means 'of a roof' autem qui interpretatur *de tecto*, signat complices signifies the antichristi, cuiusmodi est tota secta sua, sive sint accomplices of Antichrist, and comprises all those who hide the law of Christ. A 63^a legiste de scola sua, sive seculares domini | , qui per 25 Magog, id est Antichristi complices, sunt seducti, sive religiosi possessionati vel exproprietarii, qui tenent cum Gog et celant et tegunt legem Christi. Lex vero perfecte libertatis docet omnes Christianos debere sequi Christum, sine velamine tegentes eius vestigia, sic quod humilior, 30 pauperior et in nomine Christi ordinate faciens quicquid fecerit devocior, dicitur ex fide ewangelii esse maior. Sed Gog et Magog simulant quod, ut sunt mundo maiores, plus solemnies et cupidi, sunt ex institutione sua apud deum maiores; licet sint membra 35 dyaboli tegentes, ut plurimum, viam Christi. Et ista infidelitas Antichristi nimis perturbat ecclesiam; nam ex fide capimus, quod nullus ducatus vel papatus in mundo per se prodest, sed obest fidelibus, nisi de quanto servavit et tenendam docuerit viam Christi. Gog 40 autem cum tota secta sua facit oppositum. Cum enim Matth. Christus dicit: "Qui non est mecum contra me est," XII, 30 B 72^a patet quod dicit: Gog in vita | et doctrina non observat

Though the devil was loosed for a while, it was for the good of the Church, which triumphed by its Saints.

Gog, whose name means a roof, is the Antichrist; because he will cover the law of Christ with damnable excuses.

Of such are those who prefer their private religion to Christ's, thus bearing a veil to blind the simple.

Magog, whose name means 'of a roof' signifies the accomplices of Antichrist, and comprises all those who hide the law of Christ. This law teaches all Christians to follow Christ's footsteps without a veil.

Worldly and greedy, these men claim to be great with God. This infidelity troubles the Church exceedingly; for the pope does rather harm than good, unless he keeps to the path of Christ; from which Gog and his sect go astray.

II. quia ACD. 19. ad invencionum D. 22. deteccio B. 23. modi *deest* B.
26. ut D. 33, 34. instincione CD. 36. fidelitas D. 39. servaverit CD.

precipue vitam et legem Christi; non est Christi vicarius, B.
sed de dyaboli familia mendacissimus Antichristus.

If the 'thousand
years' mean
all the devil's
reign,
St. Augustine
has nothing
against this.

Si autem per mille annos intelligatur universitas temporis per quod dyabolus sic regnavit in Gog et Magog, sanctus doctor non contradicit; sic tamen quod nullus fidelis sequatur prelatum, nisi de quanto tenuerit et docuerit viam Christi; quia aliter tegit, obliquat et obnubilat viam ad patriam. Et perfecta libertas foret carere tali preposito. Ymo | videtur, quod quicunque A 63^a scienter communicat cum tali heretico, sit excommunicatus a deo. Brachium autem seculare conculcans talem hereticum, non communicat eius operibus, sed extinguit.

We must stick
to the form of
Scripture
words.

It is better to
say simply that
Satan is to be
bound a
thousand years.

If we keep to
this rule, we
are not likely
to go astray.

This rule
applies to the
Eucharist too.

There are some
that, holding to
this rule, say
that the bread
and wine
remain after
consecration,
contrary to
what is ascribed
to St. Thomas;
but that the
bread itself
becomes an
accident.

Cum itaque fidelis debet tenere formam verborum scripture sacre cum sensu catholico, quod est longe melius quam vagari in sensu ambiguo, negatis verbis; patet 15 quod catholicus debet concedere sathanam ligari mille annis, ut dicit prophetia Johannis, et post solvi et per Gog et Magog seducere multas gentes. Sive autem per illos mille annos intelligatur sextus millenarius in quo natus est Christus, sive universitas temporis in quo sua 20 malicia reprimetur, potest utrumque intelligi satis catholice. Concedamus igitur formam verborum fidei quam Christus instituit, quia ipsa multum excedit formam verborum, quam extraneando scimus adicere. Item ob-K. servacio illius forme adducit in sensum quem deus 25 intendit, unde ydiote presbiteri observant hanc formam meritorius sine sensu quam despicientes hanc formam circa sensum curiose | vagando. B 73^a

Item, si licet fideli hanc formam abicere, licet totam scripturam sacram corrigere et magnam eius partem 30 tanquam hereticam condempnare. Sic enim concedunt socii quidam, quod hereticum et impossibile est panem et vinum remanere post consecrationem. Sed moderni socii dicunt concorditer, de quo | gaudeo, quod panis A 64^a et vinum sanctificata sunt hoc sacramentum. Et nullus 35 eorum audet dicere fidem, que inponitur sancto Thome et doctoribus de ordine fratrum minorum. Ex confessione itaque eorum sequitur, quod panis et vinum remanent post consecrationem; quod concedunt, sed negant quod natura panis remanet sacramentum, sed ille panis est 40 accidens, nesciunt cuius generis. Contra hoc primo

4. regnat ACD. 5. tamen *deest* D. 25. inducit B. 31, 32. con-
dempnare et impossibile est panem et socii CD. 31. Sic — concedunt
deest C.

manifeste patet, quod si natura istius albi remanet et hoc album est panis, tunc natura panis remanet. Item, cum nec sit fundabile in scriptura sacra nec in dictis sanctorum de sexto millenario, quod panis ille sit quantitas aut qualitas, vel alicuius generis accidentis, videtur presumptuosa stulticia glozare sic fidem scripture, per unum insolitum et infundabile. Securum igitur est qui- escere in nomine panis concesso ab omnibus Christianis, quounque glossa illa per impossibile sit educta.

But this is evidently false, and has no foundation either in Scripture or in the early Fathers.

It is safer to keep to the word bread, without these explanations.

L. 10 Item, vel est panis ille corpus Christi vel non. Si sic, non est fundabile quod ille sit quantitas aut qualitas; nec est exponentis glossare speciem limitatam per unum analogum, cuius pars que est genus generalissimum plus quam exponenda species specialissima

If the bread is the body of Christ, it is not an accident.

It were better to say: "Bread (i. e. substance) is the body of Christ."

For that were nearer than to say: Bread (i. e. an accident).

15 ignoratur. Nam hoc foret remocius quam sic dicere: "panis est corpus Christi", hoc est: "substancia est corpus Christi"; quod tamen foret nimis remotum, exponere speciem specialissimam propter suum genus generalissimum; longe plus michi foret alienum exponere

And if the bread is not Christ's body, Berengarius' error is renewed.

B 73^b speciem specialissimam per unum ana | logum sibi extraneum, cum illa significacio non fundatur. Si autem

A 64^b ne | gatur panem illum qui est sacramentum esse cor- pus Christi, inciditur in errorem Berengarii renovatam per Romanam ecclesiam, ut patet De Consecracione

If by "accident" the nature of bread and wine is meant, how can it be without a subject?

And if it be said: without a subject in the minds of the faithful, the distinction is disallowed.

25 distinccione 2^a. *Ego Berengarius*, quod est contra fidem scripture, et quatuor magnos doctores. Videat igitur fidelis et iudicet inter ista: textus fidei scripture dicit quod panis est sacramentum altaris et corpus Christi; textus autem alias, fictus et non fundatus,

30 simulat quod non panis, sed unum genus accidentis ignotum, est sacramentum altaris.

35

Secundo fidelis dicit quod secta ista intelligit per accidens per se non accidens sed panem et vinum, quorum consideracio est sopita. Sed adversarius scrip-

ture sine evidencia dicit se intelligere per panem accidens sine subiecto, quod secundum precipuos doctores

M. non potest adeo intelligi. Et tertio fidelis glosat dicta dicencium, quod accidens est sine subiecto, hoc est,

sine subiecto suo principaliter: et sic de consideracione

40 fidelium existente. Sed adversarius dicit, quod tam

9. edocta ACD. 10. vel B. 24. ut *deest* B. 37. glossa B.
38, 39. hoc — principaliter *deest* ACD.

24. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 42.

autenticum dictum intelligi debet omnino sine glossa. Et sic qui concedunt quod sacramentum altaris est We speak more honourably of the sacrament, saying that its quiddity is bread, i. e. a substance. panis factus sacramentaliter corpus Christi, dicunt hono- rabilius quidditatem sacramenti, quam illi qui fingunt quod est accidens sine subiecto. Nam populus et mille 5 episcopi nec intelligent accidens nec subiectum; quo- modo igitur introduceretur preter fidem scripture tam extranea et impossibilis novitas ad difficultandum fideles specialiter? cum illud accidens quod vocant panem sit infinitum imperfeccioris nature quam panis materialis. 10 An accident has the lowest possible quiddity. Non est igitur honor vocare illud accidens corpus Christi, vocandus est itaque panis ce | lestis, sicut vocat | Augu- A 64° stinus, non imperfeccior in natura quam panis materialis, sicut fingunt, quod corpus Christi sit abiectissimum in natura. B 73° 15

Both our opinion and theirs hold that the bread is the body of Christ. Conveniencia itaque dvarum sectarum istius materie stat in isto quod utraque concedit panem sensibilem esse tam sacramentum altaris quam etiam corpus Christi. Sed diversificatur in sentencia, cum nostra secta dicit quod hoc sacramentum est in natura substancia panis 20 corporaliter motiva, sicut dicit autor "De divinis officiis"

But ours makes superius recitatus; sed secta contraria dicit, quod panis it natural bread; ille est accidens per se sine subiecto; et sic intelligitur, and the other, ut inquit, quelibet scriptura sacra vocans sacramentum an accident without subject. altaris panem. Sed hoc videtur michi difficile propter 25 N. But, 1st it is heretical to expound Scripture contrary to the Spirit. tria; primo, quia secundum beatum Jeronimum, quicunque pertinaciter exposuerit sacram scripturam aliter quam spiritus sanctus flagitat est hereticus; sed spiritus ille veritatis non flagitat in predictis scripturis fidei illum sensum: igitur etc. Minor patet ex illo Luc. XXIV. 30

Quotations to prove that this is not the sense intended. "Cognoverunt eum in fraccione panis"; et ex illo I. Cor. X: "Panis quem frangimus, nonne communicacio I. Cor. X, 16 corporis domini est"; et ex illo I. Cor. XI^o. "Probet autem se ipsum homo, et sic de pane illo edat." Se- XI, 28 cundo movet me quod magni sancti qui exposuerunt 35 fidem scripture pro millenario veritatis Christi, quando ligatus est pater mendacii, exposuerunt illam fidem scrip- ture ad sensum contrarium: ut patet ex dictis Ambrosii in multis locis. Et tertio movet me horror in con-

3rd Many absurdities flow from this theory.

5. apostolus B. 10. quasi D. 13. quasi D. 29. in — scripturis deest B. 32. Panem CD; ib. cui benedicimus B. 33. corporis deest D. 35. exposuerant ACL.

26. Jeron. Comment. in Jeremiam, l. 5, c. XXIX (t. 24, p. 859 of Migne).

venientium que sequuntur; inter que hoc unum recito,
 quod panis qui est sacramentum altaris et per con-
 A 64^a sequens corpus Christi, est infinitum | imperfeccius in
 natura quam panis materialis non consecratus quem
 B 73^a pistor efficit vel quam mice | quas edunt catelli de
 mensa dominorum; vel eciam quam minuta cuiuscunque
 abiecti panis extrahendi de pera pauperis peregrini, quia
 est panis abiectissimus quem deus umquam potuit pro-
 creare. Que si ego pertinaciter defenderem, forem tan-
 10 quam hereticus comburendus, quia in precipua fide
 scripture "hoc est corpus meum", fingerem sensum
 iuxta quem deus faceret ignotum accidentis corpus suum.

For instance,
 that the bread
 which is
 Christ's body,
 is much more
 imperfect in
 itself than
 common bread.

Which if I
 defended,
 I ought to be
 burnt as a
 heretic.

4. quasi *pro* quam D. 5. quem *pro* quam C; *ib.* catuli B. 7. extra-
 hendum ABC; corr. D. 8. deus *deest* D.

CAPITULUM SEPTUM.

Some say: Sed ulterius arguitur per beatum Gregorium et usum Christ is received under the appearance of bread: these are now poni nisi accidencia et eukaristia: unde *De Cons. distinctione* 2, capitulo: *Species*. “Species et similitudo illarum rerum vocabula sunt, que ante fuerunt: scilicet panis et vini. Unde in fine cuiusdam misse oratur et dicitur: *Perficiant in nobis domine quesumus tua sacramenta que continent, ut que nunc sub specie panis et vini gerimus rerum veritate capiamus.*” Et eadem videtur sententia Augustini in libello, *De Eukaristia*; ubi dicitur ibi scribi. “Nec dubitare debet aliquis, quin panis et vinum convertantur in veram substanciam Christi, ita ut non remaneat substancia panis et vini; cum multa eciam alia in operibus dei non minus miranda videmus; hominem enim substancialiter mutat deus in lapidem, ut uxorem Loth; et in parvo artificio hominis fenum et silicem in vitrum. Nec credendum quod substancia panis et vini remaneat, sed panis in corpus Christi et vinum in sangwinem mutatur, solum qualitatibus panis et vini remanentibus.”

Especially in his work, ‘*De Eukaristia*’.

But the minor of their argument (i. e. Augustine and the Liturgy mean what we mean') is false. They do not even know what they mean, and their words are like those of magpies.

Hic dicitur, quod minor est falsa. Unde musitantes super isto instruerent scolam fidelium | de significacione forme, speciei et similitudinis, quia aliter vere diceretur quod sicut loquuntur ut pice, ignorantes quid sit sacramentum altaris, ita voces ignorant proprias de nominibus harum rerum. Conceditur igitur quod corpus Christi sumitur in forma, specie et similitudine panis, ut dicit

3. que pro qui B. 5. poni deest D. 7. autem AC. 9. Proficiant B. 11. genus B; ib. veritatem B. 14. convertatur B. 18. in deest D. 19. filicem ACD. 26. est pro sit ABC.

6. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 34. 9. St. Greg. Lib. Sacrament. Sabb. in XII lect. (t. 78, p. 142 of Migne). Also, Postcom. Sabb. IV Temp. Septembbris (Salisbury Missal; Dickinson, p. 550).

decretum Gregorii, sed constat quod ex illo non sequitur quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens per se sine ^{received under} Christ is indeed subiecto, vel quod nec panis nec vinum remanet sacramentum; sed bene infertur oppositum. Sequitur enim: But if the species et similitudo sunt vocabula panis et vini que ante fuerunt, ergo remanent panis et vinum que ante fuerunt; quia aliter forent nimis falsa vocabula rerum que non possunt esse: que foret conclusio ydiote. Et sic sub specie panis et vini gerimus hic figuram eus stis, quo manducabimus in patria visione beatifica loco fidei corpus Christi.

Et quantum ad allegatum de beato Augustino videtur tam ex stilo quam ex sentencia quod nunquam erant denied, because ista verba vel sentencia Augustini, quia nec sunt in cited anywhere.

¹⁵ legibus vel posterioribus doctoribus communiter allegata; sed eorum opposita in libris Augustini communibus

C. crebrius inculcata. Ideo dicunt quidam quod ista sunt verba cuiusdam gandofoli, qui istud mendacium dicitur forged these fabricasse; secundo dicitur quod ista dicta sunt sermo-

²⁰ cinaliter ab Augustino, Anglorum episcopo; et tertio dicitur quod quidam discipuli magni Augustini post eius obitum taliter fuerunt locuti: ut Aurelius et alii. | Sed esto

A ²⁵ quod ista sint verba magni Augustini doctoris scriptis suis famosis contraria; tunc dicitur quod primum est verum, quod panis et vinum convertuntur in corpus

B ²⁷ Christi et sanguinem. Secundum dictum est | verum et pertinens quod sicut uxor Loth versa est in statuam Gen. XIX, salis, Genes. XIX, et fenum et silex in vitrum ut testatur experientia, sic quodammodo miraculose panis et vinum

³⁰ convertuntur in corpus Christi et sanguinem, sed in dictis mirabilibus manet eadem essentia sub utroque terminorum, sicut in transsubstanciacione ista supernaturali remanet tam panis quam vini essentia; et cum

³⁵ sit miraculose corpus Christi et sanguis, sopita consideracione quidditatis panis et vini, sortitur nomen excellencius secundum religionem quam ex fide scripture credimus: cum vere et realiter virtute verborum sacra-

mentalium fiunt corpus Christi et sanguis. Quomodo autem hoc fiat, cum nec fiat per viam ydemptificationis, ⁴⁰ nec inpanacionis, debet fidelis sedule perscrutari. Ego

3. remaneat B. 15. pastoribus CD. 16, 17. sed — inculcata *deest* B.
22. Anselinus B. 28. filix ABD. 29. sed C; *ib.* sed miraculose C.
32. sic B; *ib.* translacione B. 36. sed C; sed secundum D. 37. ex virtute B.

but rather by a sacramental conversion. autem intelligo hoc fieri per viam sacramentalis conversionis, aut quocunque alio nomine ista mutatio catholice sit detecta. Tercium autem verbum impositum D.

To make Augustine say that only the qualities remain is to slander him; for all admit that not only qualities, but quantity, and seven other sorts of accidents, remain.

Yet we may say that the sensible qualities alone remain because they alone are present to our mind.

And the word 'form' can be taken for the substantial form (as in Scripture).

And also for the accidental form:

So when the *substantial form* of bread is seen by the mental eye, Christ appears under that form.

autem intelligo hoc fieri per viam sacramentalis conversionis, aut quocunque alio nomine ista mutatio catholice sit detecta. Tercium autem verbum impositum D. Augustino: quod non remanet substancia panis aut vini, sed solum eorum qualitates, capit calumpniam; cum 5 necesse sit quantitates et septem alia genera respectuum remanere; in tantum quod subtiliores et famosiores eccliesie dicunt quod sacramentum per se remanens est quantitas, septem aliis generibus accidentium informata. Illi igitur inpugnabunt istam sentenciam. Sed potest dici 10 quod panis et vinum non remanent post consecrationem | in actu distincto consideracionis fidelium, sed A 65° tantummodo sensibiles qualitates. Licet enim | quantitas B 74° remaneat, tamen iuxta testimonium Averrois non est de genere activorum, sed consequitur materiam primam que 15 habet dimensiones interminatas. Non valet igitur Gog et Magog pro tempore solucionis patris mendacii fingere super isto sancto mendacia.

Sed ulterius notandum, pro istis tribus vocabulis, quod forma quandoque accipitur pro forma substanciali, et 20 quandoque pro forma accidental. Exemplum primi est dictum ad Philippens. II^o: "Cum in forma dei esset, II, 6, 7 non rapinam arbitratus est esse se equalem deo, sed semet ipsum exinanivit, formam servi accipiens"; ubi fideli non debet verti in dubium, quin per "formam 25 dei" et "formam servi" intelligitur deitas atque humanitas, quibus Christus est duplex substancia, scilicet deus et homo. Secundo modo accipitur pro forma accidental E. accepta largius vel striccius, ut in scriptura communiter accipitur pro qualitate secunda ex substancia quantitate 30 et qualitate prioribus resultante. Et sic corpus Christi videtur per fidem sub forma panis, quando forma substancialis panis videtur oculo mentali et forma accidentalis panis videtur oculo corporali, sed corde creditur quod corpus Christi veraciter est in pane. Species autem 35

1. hec B. 16. et *deest* CD. 21. primum D. 23. rapina AD.
24. semet *deest* ACD. 26. intelliguntur D.

20. We may note here that 'substantial form' can be taken in two senses, both of which imply that the substance exists. In one, the form is only a part, but the part that determines the rest to be what it is. In the other it is the abstract expression of all that makes the subject: as, *humanity*. Wyclif cleverly avails himself of these scholastic senses of 'form' to get out of the difficulty.

accipitur, nunc pro forma substanciali communi vel in-
Gen. I dividua, ut patet Genesis I^o; et nunc pro forma acci-
passim. dentali, ut psalmo XLIV^o: "Specie tua et pulchritudine
XLIV,⁵ tua"; et ita similitudo nunc accipitur pro forma sub-

And the word
'species' is
taken for both
accidental and
substantial
form.
Examples.

5 stanciali, exemplata a suo principio; ut verbum dei et
spiritus hominis dicuntur "similitudo dei patris" vel "ad
B 74^a similitudinem trinitatis"; et quandoque accipitur | "si-
A 65^a militudo" pro forma | accidentalis: sive sit relacio sive
qualitas secunda in quantitate fundata. Unde Augustinus
10 in sermone "De sacramento altaris" et ponitur: *De con-secracione* distinccione secunda "*Utrum sub figura*" —
"Nichil," inquit, "rationabilius quam ut, quia nos si-
militudinem mortis Christi in baptismo accepimus, simili-
tudinem quoque corporis et sanguinis eius sumamus."
15 Illa autem similitudo non potest esse accidentis sine
subiecto.

And the word
'similitude' is
also taken for
'substance'
sometimes; as
in Augustine,
who speaks of
receiving the
similitude of
Christ's body.

Per ista potest intelligi textus Gregorii loquentis de
nomine vel vocabulo reali; "sed absit fidelem concludere
accidens esse sine subiecto; ut non opportet sed repugnat,
20 si spiritus sanctus apparuit in specie columbe, ut dici-
tur Luce III^o, tunc illa species fuit accidentis sine subiecto. Deus enim nunquam illudit hominibus per ista mendacia, licet talibus sepe similitudinibus substernat peregrinas F. substancias". Unde Augustinus in "De Agone Chri-
25 stiano" sic inquit: "Hinc accedit magnum sacramentum, ut, quia per feminam nobis mors acciderat, vita nobis per feminam donaretur; ut de utraque natura scilicet feminea et masculina devictus dyabolus cruciaretur; qui de ambarum subversione letabatur, cui parum fuerat ad
30 penam si ambe nature in nobis liberarentur nisi eciam per ambas liberaremur. Neque hoc ita dicimus, ut domi-
num Jesum Christum solum verum corpus dicamus habuisse; spiritum autem sanctum fallaciter apparuisse oculis hominum, sed ambo illa corpora vera credamus
35 corpora". "Sicut enim," inquit, "non oportebat, ut homines

St. Gregory
says we must
not suppose
that
'appearance'
means an
accident
without subject,
when the Holy
Ghost was seen
under the
appearance of
a dove;
For God does
not delude men.

And Augustine,
speaking of the
Incarnation,
says that
Christ's body
was a true one.

9. qualitate B. 13. accepctius AB. 23. et peregrinas D. 27. da-
retur B; *ib.* scilicet *deest* B.

11. Decr. Grat. Dist. II, c. 72. This 72nd chapter seems made up of quotations from Paschasius, according to the note of Friedberg's Leipzig edition. Olgerus ascribes it to Augustine, 'De sacramento altaris'; which is not the title of any of his works now extant. 24. Aug. De Agone Christiano, c. XXII (t. 40, p. 303, of Migne).

falleret spiritus | Dei | sic non oportebat ut homines A 66^a
falleret spiritus sanctus sed salvos faceret; omnipotenti B 75^b

And that it was autem domino deo, qui universam creaturam de nichilo,
as easy for God sicut potuit et voluit, fabricavit, non erat difficile verum
to create the corpus sumere columbe, sive aliarum columbarum mi- 5
body of Christ, as nisterio figurare, sicut non fuit difficile ei verum corpus
as the body of a dove; so as sumere in utero Marie sine virili communione; cum
not to deceive men. creature corporea, et in visceribus femine ad formandum
men.

All the Doctors imperio domini voluntatique serviret." Voluit autem iste 10 G.
agree that God sanctus, sicut et omnes fideles doctores, quod sicut deus
does not delude non simulat mendacium nec illudit ecclesie, sic non sunt
His Church. fingenda miracula nec scripture sentencia, nisi ex deo
fuerint evidenter patencia.

Such opinions Unde culpandi sunt qui claudicantes utroque latere 15
as imply the blasphemant in sensum scripture apostoli. Hii vero fin- 1. Cor.
contrary are I, quod loquitur I ad Cor. X solum de pane Christo, X,
blamable. qui de celo descendit. In cuius evidenciam notant tex- 16, 17
For instance, tum apostoli dicentis "Quocienscunque manducabitis
when they panem", quod solum potest intelligi de Christo, cum 20
make the word nec multi, nec aliqui multociens manducant eundem
"bread" in I Cor. X apply panem materialem. Sed sic arguentes notarent logicam
to Christ alone because the scripture ad convincendum suam stulticiam, ut in prin- Act.
same material bread can be eaten by only one man once. cipio religionis sue Act. IV^a, quo dicitur quod "multi- 32
I Cor. X: "Unus panis et unum corpus multi sumus"; et 25
tudinis credendum erat cor unum et anima una"; et 25
I^a Cor. X: "Unus panis et unum corpus multi sumus"; et 25
signanter Levit. XXIII: "Vocabi tis hunc diem celeber- A 66^b
Scripture to be rimum atque sanctissimum; omne opus servile non Lev.
untrue. XXIII, facietis in eo; legitimum sempiternum erit in cunctis 21
habitaculis et generacionibus vestris". 30

Which assertion is proved by I^a Cor. X: "Unus panis et unum corpus multi sumus"; et 25
Scripture to be untrue. signanter Levit. XXIII: "Vocabi tis hunc diem celeber- A 66^b
comederint hostiam consecratam manducant eundem H.
Many offerings panem materialem, cum omnes ille hostie sunt idem
may be one panis in numero; et sic multociens comedit homo datum 35
bread individually. panem; quia, ut noverunt philosophi, homo interpolat
The Apostle describes the Lord's Supper among Christians: his remark. multociens in quocunque esu corporali cum dicit se
accepisse a domino, quomodo Jesus accepit panem in
manus suas, pro nocte cene; et de illo pane ac sibi
correspondente prosequitur, et unum dicit esse ebrium

1. sanctus pro Dei ABCD. 1. 2. sic — sed deest ACD. 4. difficile ei ACB. 7. viri commixtione B. 17. I deest C. 17—21. pane Christo ... cum deest ACD. 39. dedit B.

10. The whole of this passage varies much from the text of Augustine. After *communione*, l. 7, Augustine has *fabricare*.

et alium esurire post cenam illam, in qua indubie cenantes vescebantur pane et vino corporali, ut per hoc pascantur spiritualiter in memoriam passionis Christi. Ideo apostolus vocat eam cenam dominicam.

⁵ Unde glossa communis (et sumitur a beato Ambrosio) exponit illud verbum apostoli I Cor. XI “unusquisque enim cenam suam presumit ad manducandum.” “Notat,” inquit, “illos qui munera que offerebant altaribus sibi resumebant, nec aliis non habentibus communicare vole-

The Gloss explains this passage, saying that the rich refused to share their offerings with the poor.

¹⁰ bant; offerebant enim divites panem et vinum habundanter, ut benedictione et consecracione sacerdotali sanctificaretur, et dominici corporis et sanguinis sacramentum confirmaretur. Post celebracionem vero sacri

¹⁵ misterii et consecracionem panis et vini, suas oblaciones vendicabant et, aliis non communicantibus, soli sume-

A ^{66^o bant | ut inde eciam inebriarentur, aliis esurientibus”. Et}

And thus some were drunken, and some hungry.

hec fuit irreligiosa presumpcio facta in memoria Christi

It is evident that the

I. qui dilexit in ordine suo habere omnia in communi. Et

Apostle here makes mention

B ^{75^o patet quod apostolus loquitur de pane corporali. Et |}

of corporal bread.

²⁰ tercio moveret fidelis forma verborum apostoli; nam

The word

cum ‘quociens’ dicit interpolacionem, debemus autem manducare spiritualiter corpus Christi in natura sua quotidie atque continue, patet quod apostolus loquitur de manducacione corporali que per vices debet fieri;

‘quotiens’ implies a thing done several times.

²⁵ scribit enim Augustinus, super Joh. omelia ^{25^a: “Crede et manducasti”: cum igitur semper debemus credere,}

Augustine has words to the same effect.

patet quod semper debemus spiritualiter manducare, sed sacramentaliter per vices: turpe itaque foret quod ignorancia merdosa sophismatis in uno ydiota seduceret ec-

clesiam in antiquo sensu fidei scripture. It is therefore shameful ignorance and sophistry to suppose that we cannot eat sacramentally more than once.

³⁰ clesiam in antiquo sensu fidei scripture.

All this

Sed homo peccati nititur multipliciter cumulare inconveniencia super ecclesiam. Nititur enim inpugnare ut heresim illum cantum ecclesie.

proceeds from the malice of the Man of Sin.

Hic presens testatur dies

³⁵ Currens per anni circulum,

Quod solus a sede patris

Mundi salus adveneris.

7. enim *deest* B. 17. in memoriam CD. 18. dixit B. 20. fidelis A.
29. mardosa CD; *ib.* sophistis C.

7. Wyclif is mistaken in his quotation. The *Glossa ordinaria* is by Walefridus Strabo; this passage is from Raban Maur's *Enarr. in Epp. Pauli*, l. XI, c. 11 (t. 112, p. 102, of Migne). 25. Aug. In Jo. Tract. XXV, t. 35, p. 1602 of Migne. 34. Hymn at Matins on Christmas Day, *Sarum Breviary*, Procter I, clxxi. Ascribed to St. Ambrose. See Migne, t. 17, p. 1201.

The same day can occur several times as the Church hymn says. Evry year we sing: 'This is the day that the Lord hath made.'

And the priest repeats every day: *As often as ye do this...* All this could be done only once!

Si enim conceperit quod eadem dies natalis domini evenerit annuatim, posset faciliter intelligere quod ecclesia annuatim manducat hanc cenam domini. Ad cuius noticiam expergefaceret quod ecclesia canit annuatim in repetita solemnitate paschali, "Hec dies quam fecit dominus". Et sacerdos quotidie celebrando dicit sine mendacio quod Christus "acepit hunc preclarum calicem in sanctas ac venerabiles manus suas." Et quod plus A 66^a movet, sacerdos auctoritate Christi quotidianus repetit; "Hec quocienscunque feceritis in mei memoriam facietis." Sed 10 K. iuxta istam insaniam hec facta singularia nullociens possent fieri. Sicut igitur procuravit quod | nedum regnum B 75^a nostrum, sed ut ipsi episcopi publicarentur heretici, ita vellet hereticare usum universalis ecclesie.

Digression. The Man of Sin proclaims heretical the right of temporal lords to take the temporalities from the Church; Yet the bishops rob the poor: which is worse.

Vellet enim hereticare, quod domini temporales possent auferre temporia ab ecclesia delinquente; et per consequens regnum et episcopi qui in parliamentis consenserint quod domini temporales regni nostri licite auferunt temporia ab ecclesiis exteris, eciam spoliando; sed per tallagia auferunt multa temporia a paupere 20 ecclesia Anglicana. Lex vero dei precipit, quod cieci omnes dotaciones quibus clerus dotatur in Anglia auferantur, antequam iste ablaciones sic continentur. Unde, ut alias declaravi, regnum nostrum instaret in parliamentis quod de bonis temporalibus cleri magis vacanti- 25 bus rex et regnum ad eius subsidium releventur; omnia enim ista sunt bona pauperum, de quibus propter superfluitatem et ocium regnum debet pro tempore necessitatibus citissime relevari: et potissimum cum istud posset fieri exoneratis religiosis et episcopis habentibus religiose tan- 30 tum de temporalibus, quantum oportet ad explicationem sui ministerii. Et communitas populi regni nostri in qua super alia regna stat eius prosperitas salvaretur. Moveret | A 67^a autem sacerdotes qui debent confidere corpus Christi supra dicta sententia apostoli, quod ipsi precipue debent 35 habere omnia in communi, sed unus esurit et aliis est ebrius propter iniquam partionem patrimonii crucifixi. I. Cor. Recolerent, inquam, illius sententia apostoli I. Cor. X. X, 17 "Unus panis et unum | corpus multi sumus, omnes qui B 76^a de uno pane et de uno calice participamus." Si igitur 40 vendicamus fructuose participare corporis sacramentum,

And as the Church goods belong to the poor, the king dom ought to be aided by them, when necessary.

The unjust division of endowments makes 'one priest to be drunken and another hungry'.

If we wish for the fruit of the sacrament, we must share our temporalities with others.

Vellet enim hereticare, quod domini temporales possent auferre temporia ab ecclesia delinquente; et per consequens regnum et episcopi qui in parliamentis consenserint quod domini temporales regni nostri licite auferunt temporia ab ecclesiis exteris, eciam spoliando; sed per tallagia auferunt multa temporia a paupere ecclesia Anglicana. Lex vero dei precipit, quod cieci omnes dotaciones quibus clerus dotatur in Anglia auferantur, antequam iste ablaciones sic continentur. Unde, ut alias declaravi, regnum nostrum instaret in parliamentis quod de bonis temporalibus cleri magis vacanti- 25 bus rex et regnum ad eius subsidium releventur; omnia enim ista sunt bona pauperum, de quibus propter superfluitatem et ocium regnum debet pro tempore necessitatibus citissime relevari: et potissimum cum istud posset fieri exoneratis religiosis et episcopis habentibus religiose tan- 30 tum de temporalibus, quantum oportet ad explicationem sui ministerii. Et communitas populi regni nostri in qua super alia regna stat eius prosperitas salvaretur. Moveret | A 67^a autem sacerdotes qui debent confidere corpus Christi supra dicta sententia apostoli, quod ipsi precipue debent 35 habere omnia in communi, sed unus esurit et aliis est ebrius propter iniquam partionem patrimonii crucifixi. I. Cor. Recolerent, inquam, illius sententia apostoli I. Cor. X. X, 17 "Unus panis et unum | corpus multi sumus, omnes qui B 76^a de uno pane et de uno calice participamus." Si igitur 40 vendicamus fructuose participare corporis sacramentum,

4. eciam B. 6. quasi quotidie B. 10. meam B. 20. tallegia B.
23. oblationes CD; ib. continuerentur B. 29. istis CD; ib. potest B.
36. unus quidem B. 37. inquam C. 38. I deest CD. 41. sacramenti C.

debemus observare legem naturalem, membrorum communicando temporalia quantum opportet ad sustentacionem cuiusque membra, sine superfluo reservato. Quod cum pertinaciter omittimus, manifeste patet, quod non sumus fructus corporis Christi participes.

L. Ex isto textu apostoli videtur probabiliter inferri, quod in tempore suo et continue post servabatur fides in Grecia, quod sacramentum altaris sit essencia panis et vini; quia tantum organum spiritus sancti non omisisset proves the faith of the Greeks; for if it had been heretical to believe that the substance of bread and wine remained after consecration, he would never have used those terms.

This passage of the Apostle discerneret hanc heresim, si cum istis paribus scivisset esse hereticum quod post consecrationem panis et vini substancia remaneret. Nunc autem vocat ipsum regulatius panem et nunquam accidens; sicut beatus Ambrosius, Johannes Damascenus, et usus illius ecclesie usque 15 hodie contestantur. Secundum devium, in sensu scripture nimis peccat in logica, ponens regulariter sanctum apostolum intelligere per panem sacramentalem vel cenam dominicam, non panis substancialm, sed accidens sine subiecto; et sic nedium intelligit per panem in scriptura A 67^b apostoli illis | duobus capitulis accidens sine subiecto, sed regulariter in quadruplici ewangelio per panem sacramentalem intelligit accidens sine subiecto.

Et ista heresis ad tantum perturbat ecclesiam quod prelati eius vix intelligunt oracionem dominicam. Sribit enim Augustinus libro II^o, "de sermone domini in monte", quomodo ista quarta peticio: *panem nostrum quotidianum da nobis hodie*, que ponitur | Math. VI^o intelligi potest sane tripliciter; primo quod per panem quotidianum intelligitur universitas vescibilium, que huius 30 vite necessitatem sustentant. Quamvis enim doceatur regnum dei et iusticiam eius primo querere, tamen post triplicem petitionem correspondentem trinitati increate, licet nobis istud petere quod Christus pangit nobis adiungere. Et ista videtur sententia Johannis Crisostomi, opere 35 imperfecto, omelia 14: Unde quia non debemus esse solliciti circa panem istum in crastinum, ideo Christus vocat ipsum quotidianum et nobis esse hodie exhibet. Secundus sensus catholicus quem Augustinus approbat est quod per 'panem quotidianum' intelligitur M. dum. Secundus sensus catholicus quem Augustinus approbat est quod per 'panem quotidianum' intelligitur 40 sacramentum altaris, quod licet non quotidie sacramen-

This heresy troubles the Church so much that she cannot even understand the Lord's Prayer.

'Give us our daily bread' can have three several senses: the first, referring to mere corporal food;

the second, to the Holy Sacrament.

9. omissis C. 20. duabus B. 21. irregulariter B. 29. intelligatur B.
37. ipsum deest B.

25. Aug. De Sermone Domini etc., l. II, c. 7. Migne, t. 34, p. 1280.

taliter sumamus, tamen quotidie in ecclesia conficitur, vel a fidelibus spiritualiter sumitur ad salutem. Unde, ad commemorandum nostram quotidianam egenciam, signanter petitur hodie nobis dari. Tercius sensus quem sanctus plus approbat, est quod per panem quotidianum 5 intelligitur preceptorum divinorum observacio, de qua idem precipit Joh. 6: "Operamini non cibum qui | perit"; et A 67^c post expositionem istius sensus catholici concludit sanctus: Vl. 27

The third, to the observation of God's law, signanter petitur hodie nobis dari. Tercius sensus quem sanctus plus approbat, est quod per panem quotidianum 5 in die, et per cibos, quibus omnes nos sustinunt, considerat.

intelligitur preceptorum divinorum observatio, de qua idem
precipit Joh. 6: "Operamini non cibum qui perit"; et A 67^c
Joh.
post exposicionem istius sensus catholici concludit sanctus: VI, 27
"Si quis," inquit, "illam de victu corporis necessario,
vel de sacramento dominici corporis sentenciam istam wult 10
accipere, oportet ut coniuncte accipientur ista tria: ut
scilicet panem quotidianum simul petamus, et necessarium
corpori et sacramentum visibile et verbum dei invisible".

And
St. Augustine
says that we
must take these
three meanings
together, as
one.

Now the new heresy renders it impossible to understand the words in this

"Give us our accident without its subject," their prayer should be.

Even heathens
would laugh at
that.

that.
Digression
explaining the
different parts
of the Lord's
Prayer.

Three petitions referring to God:

God,
Four, to the
Church.
We must love

We must love
our holy
mother, the
(Chorus)

Church, more
than ourselves.
This being a

This being a law of nature, it follows that there is no

there is no
dispense for it.
Therefore the
clergy ought to

clergy ought to lead a life of poverty and

have all things
in common.
If not, they are

Ist simoniacs;

Ista autem heresis tantum obnubilavit | ecclesiam quod B 76^e
prelati, etiam maiores, ignorant hunc sensum medium 15
oracionis dominice. Balbucunt enim fideles petere:
“panem nostrum quotidianum da nobis hodie”; hoc est,
“accidens nostrum sine subiecto da nobis pro toto tem-
pore presentis miserie”; quem sensum etiam ethnici
deriderent, cum impertinens sit a patre summo petere 20
tale accidens nobis dari.

Teneamus igitur antiquam sentenciam de oracione N. dominica quomodo dividitur in duo: primo, quod tria

dominica, quoniam dividitur in duo: primo, quod tripetuntur in quibus trinitas est placata, in cuius signum triplex pronomen *tuum* in triplici prima petizione sibi 25 dirigitur. Sed in secunda parte, continentे peticiones

quatuor pro sancta matre ecclesia, quadruplex pronomen nostra et nos inseritur ad deponandum quod debemus

nostra et nos inserimus, ad denotandum quod debemus super omnia deum diligere et consequenter debemus diligere fratres.

plus nobis diligere sanctam matrem ecclesiam: et cum 30
hoc sit de lege communi nature, patet quod antichristus

non potest hoc tollere, nec cum contrario dispensare; sicut etiam clerici dispensatores sacramenti altaris debent vivere.

digital tenuer dispensatores sacramenti altaris debent vivere pauperem vitam et habere omnia in communi, a

quo si perti naciter deficiunt sunt symoniace heretici, A 67^d
blasphemi sacrilegi et anathematici apostate, capitales

discipuli antichristi; prima pars patet ex hoc quod descriptive simonia est inordinata volicio temporalia pro

scriptive simonia est inordinata vobis temporaria pro spiritualibus commutanti: ut patet primo capitulo "De

7. non *deest* B; non *perit* B. 8. *huius* B. 11. *omnia ista* B. 15. *igno-*
rant B. 18. *nobis hodie* B. 20. *deum deest* BCD. 20. *ecclesia deest* BCD.

39. "Describunt autem periti symoniam, quod est inordinata volicio temporalia pro spiritualibus commutandi" (*I. Wyclif. De*

Simonia, c. I, p. 2).

symania". Cum igitur omnis affectans dotacionem cleri supra statum expropriatarium, quem Christus instituit, habet huiusmodi volitionem inordinatam, manifeste patet

and no one desiring the endowment of the clergy can escape heresy.

O. quod omnis talis sit symoniace hereticus. Et confirmatur B 76^a ex hoc quod seculares prin | cipes habent precipue po-testatem in seculari dominio, quam clerus nititur per suam dotacionem minuere; sed hoc est ordinacioni dei

Rom. XIII, 2 resistere. Unde pertinenter dicit apostolus Rom. XIII; To strive for an "Qui resistit potestati, dei ordinacioni resistit"; deus 10 enim ordinavit seculares potestates stare in suo dominio, et clerum suum ordinavit vivere de temporalibus elemosiniis secularium, ad revocandum eos tam per vitam quam per doctrinam a seculo. Sed constat ecclesie, quod Anti-christus resistit utrimque ordinacioni divine in secta sua

To strive for an endowment is to diminish the resources of the State, and to resist the Powers.

15 omnimode procurando contrarium. Non tamen credi debet, quin secularis debet secundum formam ewangelii clericis de vite necessariis providere; quia, nisi hoc fecerint in mensura, numero et pondere, exciderent a suo dominio, sicut excidunt dando stulte contra trinitatem 20 predictam clero dotacionem perpetuam. Et in pertinacia istius heresis totus mundus corrumpitur. Et secunda pars patet ex hoc, quod contra sacras leges sapientie

Yet it is the duty of the secular powers to provide the clergy with what is necessary to its maintenance; they will come to ruin, if they do not.

A 68^a dei patris studiose macu | lant cum mundo magnam partem ecclesie, hii consensu et hii opere; et hoc est

2nd Blasphemers and sacrilegious men; for they seek to pollute the Church with worldliness.

P. 25 blasphemum sacrilegium. Tertia pars patet ex textu apo- I. Cor. stoli I Cor. ultimo: "Si quis non amaverit dominum XVI, 22 Jesum Christum anathema sit": Nemo autem amat ipsum,

3rd they are anathema.

Jo. XIV, 23 nisi observaverit legem suam, cum ipsem dicat Jo- hannis XIV: "Si quis diligit me, sermonem meum ser-

For, not keeping Christ's law, they do not love Him;

Jac. II, 10 30 vabit" cui iunctum illud Jacobi II^o: "Qui offendit in uno factus est omnium reus", patet in facto, quomodo protest and who do not.

B 77^a clerus anathematizatus apostata et specialiter proditores |

Objection: Your argument goes to prove that temporal lords too should have all in common.

veritatis qui mutescunt clamare contra has hereses; sunt enim causa quare corruunt leges Christi. Quod si obi-

Answer: So they ought, in a different way, but all should be for the good of the Church.

35 citur: iuxta istam sentenciam dominos temporales debere habere omnia in communi; concedi debet conclusio; too

quicquid enim habuerit potentatus seculi, et non ad edificationem tocius ecclesie conformiter legi Christi, they

40 habet illud tyrannice, sed sicut aliam quantitatem et qualitatem cibi habent musculi et aliam oculi, sic debet esse de membris ecclesie, cum clerus de subtili vivens

debet cibaria residuo subtiliter preparare.

CAPITULUM OCTAVUM.

De multiplicacione corporis Christi.

Ulterius restat videre de multiplicacione corporis Christi, in qua materia, sicut multiplicantur ficticie de multiplicacione sacerdotum et membrorum ecclesie, sic multiplicantur mendacia de multiplicacione corporis Christi in eukaristia.

Three ways of understanding this word:
“multiplication of Christ’s body”.

1st That the same body should be quantitatively in several places at once.

2nd Quantitatively in one place, virtually in many.

3rd By nature in one, by power in many. Instances of this third way: a king is somehow in all his kingdom; a universal in all its individuals.

I. The first way is inadmissible; for any quantity would then be infinitely great.

Tribus autem modis potest intelligi multiplicatio corporis Christi. Primo, quod idem corpus in numero secundum se totum dimensionaliter simul sit per quantum tuncunque diversa loca; secundo modo, quod idem corpus in numero simul sit dimensionaliter per unum locum et virtualiter in natura sua per alium. Et utraque istarum multiplicacionum est famosa apud diversas sectas in materia de eukaristia. Tercia via, quod idem corpus sit tantum per unum locum sibi adequatum secundum naturam eiusdem sed in signis aut virtutibus sit simul per diversa loca, sicut corpus Christi est in qualibet particula hostie consecrata, et rex secundum Augustinum est simul in multis partibus regni sui. Sicut enim idem commune multiplicatur in qualibet eius supposito, cum sit illorum quodlibet, sic corpus Christi multiplicatur in qualibet hostia consecrata, cum sit quodammodo illarum quilibet; nec in natura sua recipit denominaciones varias, licet ipsa signa multipliciter varientur. Et sic corpus Christi est vel virtualiter, vel cum hoc sacramentaliter hic nobiscum.

Contra primum replicatum est alibi quod omne quantum est infinitum magnum; quia da quod non, pedalis modo E. 21. comune CD. 21, 22. cum sit illorum quodlibet ACD.

28. Here Wyclif commences a series of arguments that are very difficult to follow, partly on account of the reasoning in itself (*reductio ad absurdum*) partly because of probable copyists' errors. There is a like and still less comprehensible series in *De Benedicta Incarnatione*.

1. Capitulum et titulus desunt ABD. 9. Christi deest ACD; ib. primo-

modo E. 21. comune CD. 21, 22. cum sit illorum quodlibet ACD.

B. quantitas contra ipsa est tam magna sicut potest esse; sed infinitum magna potest esse; igitur infinitum magna est. Supposito maiori, patet minor ex opinione; quia illa quantitas potest per multiplicacionem secundum di-
5 versas partes extendi per totum mundum et per con- sequens equari toti mundo: et sic in infinitum. Et sic punctus foret linea, superficies, et corporeitas et qua- liscunque species figure, foret figura contrarie speciei; et sic qualiscunque numerus sensibilis multiplicatorum
10 hominum foret qualiscunque numerus: et eodemmodo de tempore et loco. Si enim hora secundum partes esset
A 68° infinitum continuata, infinitum longum tempus foret; | sed hoc iuxta adversarios posset fieri; igitur infinitum longum potest quocunque tempus esse; et periret omnis
15 certitudo quantitatis. Et cum illa sit maxima, periret omnis certitudo.

Eodem autem modo reducitur de qualitate; nam na-
turalis potentia multiplicata in infinitum multum posset
20 facere, et sic infinitum magna potentia foret; ymmo quelibet qualitas corporea infinitum intensa foret; quia per viam varie extensionis infinitam intensionem sub
25 equali quantitate posset aquirere; ut cum hoc posset B 77° esse sine alteracione | eiusdem qualitatis, sequitur quod omnis talis qualitas sit infinitum intensa. Si enim eadem
30 albedo foret infinities multiplicata, per eundem situm foret infinitum inmutativa visus et sic de ceteris qua-
litatibus, et ratione duarum qualitatum eque forcium coextensarum et qualitative componencium unum totum,
foret qualitas resultans in duplo intensior: et sic in
35 infinitum. Et sic ad omnem punctum foret infinitum intensa qualitas; quia infinitum foret varie composicio qualitatis: et tamen tota foret eadem qualitas. Et sic eadem species singularis in anima potest significare naturaliter infinita. Et conformiter arguitur de aliis generibus accidentis.

C. Tercio arguitur de qualitatibus, que sapiunt privacio- nem, ut de raritate, de azimitate, claritate et suis

A foot, v. g.
measured
against it would
be as great as
it could be;
i. e. infinitely
so; for the
multiplication
of this quantity,
by hypothesis,
is indefinite;
therefore also
that of its
measure.
Other
absurdities
noted.

The same
argument may
be applied
against the
hypothesis of
the same
natural quality
existing in
several places:
as all quality
has some force,
there might be
an infinite
amount of
force.
Concrete
example:
whiteness.

This quality
being the same,
would thus be
infinitely intense
as existing in
all space.

Another
argument,
drawn from
negative
qualities.

1. ita B. 2. sed *deest* CD. 3. Supposita CD; *ib.* quod B.
6. in *deest* B. 12. contaminata B. 16. quelibet BCD. 21. coexten-
sionis B. 22. essenciali CD; *ib.* et B. 26. alii BD. 27. secunda-
rum B. 28. qualitudine D. 32. cum *omnes* MSS.

37. *Azymatas*, a word barbarously coined according to the School (*panitas*, *equinitas*, *asinitas* etc.) to express the *state of being unleavened*.

The Sacrament oppositis. Videtur enim quod infinitum magna fit raritas
 would be infinitely rare and dense at once;
 reicta in hostia consecrata; quia omnis que fuit in aliqua parte materie panis infinitum magna fuit in aliqua eius parte intensiva; | quia, ut fingunt, infinite materie A 68^a prime poterunt coextendi; ex quo cum dei omnipotencia sequitur, quod infinitum magna sit raritas in sacramento altaris. Et certum est quod comiscetur aliqua densitas. Non enim negandus est sensus, quin sicud sacramentum est album, ut dicit Thomas super distinctione 12, questione prima, sic est tam rarum quam densum cum aliis denominacionibus que prius infuerunt pani. Et sic ecclesia nostra occidua habet sacramentum infinitum azimum; quia ita azimum sicut deus potest creare, cum sit pure sine fermento: et alterius speciei foret sacramentum nostrum | a sacramento Grecorum. B 77^a

infinitely unleavened too; while the sacrament of the Greeks, infinitely leavened, would consequently be of a different species from ours.

Besides, a priest could, if he had the power to multiply quantity in this fashion, put two men, one in England and the other in India, in instantaneous communication with each other. Quarto, videtur sequi de possibili sacerdoti, quod ipse posset communicare Petrum in Anglia cum Paulo in India, neutro umquam movente localiter adversus reliquum, posito quod Petrus sacerdos habeat potestatem istorum duorum in ipsis duobus locis continue quietorum; et posito quod istud alimentum pro instanti assimiletur utrique; tunc patet quod pro instanti copularuntur cum partibus recitatis; et tamen non obstante quod sint sic inmediati, sunt parvi homines tantum distantes moti a se invicem continue minorati. Et sic posset eadem parva persona infinitum cito pertransire eandem distanciam, quantumcunque per viam multiplicacionis quantumcunque tarde movendo; et perirent regule | de velocitate motuum quorumcunque: ut, posito A 69^a quod idem punctus in numero multiplicetur per situm equinoctialem causando tempus, ut modo, tunc periret veritas exprimenda de velocitate motus et temporis; ut diffuse dictum est alibi.

And these two persons, moving however slowly thus multiplied in quantity could be together at once. Which would overthrow the laws of movement and of time. Quinto, videtur sequi, quod cultores signorum sic D. opinantes possent continere in pugillo, in liripipio et in

1. sit D. 3. materie prime panis B. 5. potuerunt CD. 20. aliud versus CD. 24. minori pro B B. 25. pro deest CD; ib. Pali AB. 26, 27. ad — capulantur deest B. 27. paribus ACD. 39. liripio D.

8. S. Th. Aq. In lib. IV. Sent. Dist. XII, quaest. 1 art. 1, 2.

parvo loco suo quantumcunque abscondite totum mundum manentem eque magnum ut est modo: quod videtur deo esse proprium, quod sit "mundum pugillo continens" extra mundum: et videtur blasphemum dicere

B 78^a quod os et membra sic opinancium | sint sic infinitum

Job. capacia. Nam de tali potest dici illud Job penultimo.

XLI,⁴ "In medium oris eius quis intrabit?" Et illud Job,

XL,¹⁸ "Absorbebit fluvium et non mirabitur; habet fiduciam quod influat Jordanis in os eius." Si enim quilibet reli-

gio sios infinitum magnum sufficeret capere in os suum, If a monk can

quomodo non est inmensum supra dyabolum? Et de- take the Infinite

duccio patet ex isto, quod stat istum mundum per viam into his mouth,

multiplicacionis contineri, in quantumcunque parvo cor-

pore cum tota quantitate sua; et sequuntur dicte con-

15 clusiones, et infinitum magis mirabiles; et per viam If he is not

coextensionis replicate stat mundum secundum se totum greater than

extendi per quantumcunque parvum locum. the devil?

E. Sexto, deducitur quod est in potestate cuiuscunq[ue] An accident is

presbiteri, facere rem abiectissimam deum suum; quia the meanest of

20 confiando facit accidentis deum suum; quia corpus things; so a

Christi, quod est Christus, ut concedunt; ille autem priest makes

panis est infinitum imperfeccior in natura quam panis the meanest of

A 69^b equinus vel ratonis; | quia precise est perfectus in na-

tura sua, sicut quantitas aut qualitas huius panis; things his

25 infinitum imperfeccior est quecunque quantitas aut qualitas ipso pane; igitur infinitum imperfeccius est ipsum God.

per se sacramentum ipso pane. Nam naturalis perfeccio

est perfeccio simpliciter; et perfeccio accidentalis quam For an accident

habet ex significacione vel comitancia corporis Christi has no natural

30 est nulla vel modica; tum quia accidentis illud non potest perfection by

sic alterari; tum eciam, quia omnis creatura, eciam itself.

dyabolus, concomitatur et signat deitatem. Magna itaque blasphemia esset sine auctoritate vel ratione presumere

B 78^b quod quilibet sacerdos facit tam abiectam | rem corpus It is blasphemy

35 domini; magnum itaque est, quod panis triticeus, in- to pretend that

infinitum imperfeccior predictis panibus, sit eukaristia. Et so mean a thing

illum panem describit autor "De divinis officiis", qui can be Christ's

superius nominatus est Ambrosius "esse terrenam sub- body; and a

stanciam, corpus sacrificii, collectum ex terre fructibus." great thing to

The expression

"of the fruits of

the earth", again

quoted.

2. manente AB; ib materia B. 7. Job ultimo B. 21. ut concedunt deest A. 22. panis ut concedunt ACD; ib. perfectior D. 23. communis B. 24. huiusmodi BC. 24, 25. huiusmodi ipso pane igitur infinitum imperfectius est ipsum per se sacramentum D. 24–26. panis – qualitas deest C. 35. Christi B; quia B. 36. accidentibus B. 37. fulgencius in marg. A.

Sic, inquam, exponit doctor panem illum qui accipiendo benedictionem fit corpus Christi.

Another great difficulty: why ascribe to the Sacrament only one sort of quantity—dimensions—and not the other sorts: time, place, &c. All are in the unconsecrated Host.

If God could conserve one species of quantity, why not the others?

And if it be said that these other species are conserved, we may reply that the sacrament is nothing, being a collective mixture of diverse entities.

If the Sacrament is nothing, it is worth nothing either socially or naturally.

A case put: A man may be born of parents who are to be damned on account of his birth, and yet can neither sin nor suffer! For if we posit the souls A and B of the parents, with the absolute accidents of their bodies, it will be so.

Septimo, angustiatur pars adversa, dum videt, quod F. non est racio, quare sacramentum altaris sit unum genus quantitatis, quin per idem et quodlibet; et potissime tempus et locus; et sic de quacumque qualitate que prius fuit in pane non consecrato. Ideo est aggregatum ex illis omnibus, cum accidentibus respectivis ipsa consequentibus. Non enim licet blasphemare, quod deus potest servare unum illorum per se, quin per idem et quodlibet. Nec est somnianda racio, quare differenter sic fecit de uno et non de quocunque, cum foret maius miraculum; quo | concessu ultra subtilizat, quod sacramentum altaris nichil est vel nichil valet. Nam cum sit res diversorum generum, videtur, si "populus" nichil est evidens hoc sacramentum nichil est; minor est famosa apud modernos, quos alloquor, iuxta hoc metricum:

Populus est aliquid; sed populus nichil est; quia aliter offerret concedere quod multi homines sunt unus homo. Et sic de aliis monstris. Vel aliter, quod aliquid est, quod nec est substancia, nec accidens; et quod aliqua substancia est, que neque est corpoream, neque incorpoream; et sic de aliis divisionibus generum, que forent simpliciter incon|plete.

B 78^c

Concesso igitur quod principale sacramentum nichil est, evidens est quod nichil valet; quia nichil valet civiliter; nec valet aliquid in natura. Quia, esto quod precise valeat substanciam vel quantitatem, et patet quod oportet unum genus entis equiparare in valore vel bonitate naturali rei alterius generis: quod est in-possible.

Octavo, proponitur hoc enigma: possibile est quod G. iste homo fuit temporaliter generatus univoce et non ab aliquo animali; licet parentes eius, conversantes cum eo in fide, ipsum instruxerint, qui licet a deo perpetue dampnabuntur, non tamen possunt peccare vel puniri in corpore aut anima, sicut non possunt in altero horum pati. Posito quod ex duobus spiritibus qui possunt esse anime humane, et omnibus accidentibus absolutis corporis, que sunt in Petro et Martha optime complexio-

40

14. cum deest CD. 16. est deest CD. 19. alter B. 20. aliter deest B.
27. aliud D. 28. prevaleat pro precise valeat CD. 29. parati B.
33. univoce deest ACD. 39. anime deest ABC; in marg. D.

materiali substancia, et quod ista que sunt A et B peccata 69^a cent | dampnabiliter procreando Paulum, compositum ex corpore et anima; de quo Paulo verificant conclusio-
nem. Nam sicut A et B, mediantibus aliquibus suis ac-
cidentibus corporeis, possunt operari eque efficaciter et
satis univoce, ut patet de operibus nutritivis et sensitivi-
vis; ita videtur de accidentibus generacionis. Et per
consequens, sicut A et B habent potestatem gignendi et
aliter operandi secundum quascunque qualitates quas

Absolute accidents can do all that the substance does; therefore A and B can beget a child; and that sinfully.

10 habent, sic possunt esse parentes et coniuges, hominem procreantes. Et patet cum casu prima pars conclusionis;

et secunda pars probatur per hoc quod nec A nec B

B 78^a est | substancia animata sensibilis, quia non corpus; But they cannot

sin, not being human entities, composed of body and soul.

quia, pari evidencia qua foret substancia, foret tam

15 quantitas quam qualitas. Et patet tercia pars ex casu,

cum tam A quam B potest exercere omnes operaciones

tam organicas quam non organicas. Et patet quomodo

tam virtus quam vicium potest inesse illis spiritibus:

et sic tam A quam B potest damnari perpetuo, cum

20 habet libertatem flexibilitatis arbitrii, sicut ponitur de

inessere. Ultima vero particula videtur per hoc quod

H. nec A nec B potest esse animatum sive corporeum;

quia tunc foret alienae nature omnino a natura cuius

est modo; ex quo sequitur, cum neutrum istorum potest

25 esse sine accidente, cum tunc foret purus spiritus ac-

cidentatus, quod totum accidens sit essenciale utrique

supposito; et per consequens, cum omne agens naturale

in agendo reputatur, videtur quod nec A nec B potest

agere vel pati accione corporea, et specialiter procreare.

30 Ymo, si capta una duricic uniformi et coextensa unica

A 70^a mediate cum alia et sic | insinicies usque ad super-

ficialem duriciem qua linearetur ad extra undique, vi-

detur quod nulla armatura, ymo infinita acucies, non

posset huiusmodi penetrare. Quod si tota gravitas esset

35 ablata, tam ab A quam B, cum predicta armatura,

quam gloriosum suppositum foret et agile!

Nono, subtiliatur de ordine ministrorum ecclesie, quod

stat alicuius ordinis subdyaconum infinitum in potestate

spirituali excedere sacerdotem; ut posito quod deus det

40 cuilibet subdyacono potestatem transsubstanciandi panem

in mundum, et cuicunque sacerdoti potestatem ad maxi-

And yet, as they can exercise all organic and spiritual activities, they can act virtuously or viciously, and therefore may be damned, having free will. But neither A nor B can suffer; these accidents being supposed essential, they can lose nothing of them; and therefore cannot suffer from external bodily action. Nor can they beget.

These accidents would defend them wonderfully from all attacks

Ninth argument: A subdeacon's function might be higher than that of a priest,

i. naturali CD. 9. quasdam B. 23. materia B. 30. sita pro
unica C; una D. 37. ecclesie deest AB.

if a subdeacon could trans-substantiate bread into the world, and a priest, only into Christ's body. mum | transsubstanciandi panem in corpus Christi, ut B 79 de facto ponitur. Et ex illo subtiliantur conclusiones I. infinite; nec fas est, ut inquiunt, fideli negare blasfeme possibilitatem huiusmodi quo ad deum, cum iste qui potest dare potestatem sacerdoti cuilibet ad faciendum corpus domini de quocunque pane signabili, habet potestatem ad faciendum quamcunque partem mundi, licet prius fuerit de pane quilibet. Et sic, posito quod deus concedat Petro sacerdoti potestatem transsubstanciandi A panem in corpus suum et potestatem Paulo 10 world and the subdyacon transsubstanciandi eundem panem pro eodem other into Christ's body, tempore in totum mundum sensibilem, et incipient hii this bread duo simul proferre verba sua sacramentalia que efficacem would become both at once; citer consequantur ex dei omnipotencia fines suos, patet quod in fine ad omnem punctum accidentis, A est tam 15 corpus Christi quam eciam totus mundus etc. Et cum accidens derelictum sortitur nomen sui subiecti et sui | A 70^b signati in quod convertitur, ut patet supra "De sacramento altaris", quod dicitur panis et corpus Christi: videtur quod illud accidens remanens sit simul corpus 20 Christi et totus mundus: et sequuntur intricaciones innumerabiles per conversionem propositionum et alias regulas logicas.

Et sic subtiliant quidam, quod panis pro eodem instanti simul potest converti in rem que incipiat per 25 remociem aut positionem de presenti et rem que

And thus the Paschal lamb might in the time of Moses have been Christ's body. Concedunt eciam | quod possibile est, nedum quantitatatem hostie converti in quantitatem corporis Christi B 79^t

They admit that not only one quantity can be changed into another, but everything into everything else.

Christ might have said "Everything is my body".

quod panis sit corpus suum, quin per idem potuit dixisse de quolibet singulariter vel communiter ipsum esse corpus suum; quia aliter nimis blasfeme restingeretur Christi potentia. Et cum sit ille qui "dixit et facta sunt" nec mentiri poterit, sequitur a pari 40 quod panis est corpus Christi et quidlibet foret ipsum;

i. ad transsubstanciandum B. 10. eciam deest B; ib. etc. deest D.
26 in rem BCD; in rem potentiam B.

et sequeretur magna confusio, ut argutum est in materia de ydemptitudine.

K. Decimo, magnificatur potestas Gog et Magog, quod impossibile est suum presbiterum celebrare, nisi cor-
5 rumpendo mundum antiquum quem deus creavit, faciat nowum mundum. Nec mirum, quia immutat leges nature
A 70^e in sui contrarium. | Nam iste mundus secundum fideles philosophos dependet ut a partibus essencialibus a tota
essencia sue materie et toto genere spirituum perpetuo-
10 rum. Sed ut fingitur, quandocunque Gog et Magog
celebrat, tollit, destruit et corruptit partem essencialem
prime materie quam deus creaverat, et sic patet con-
clusio; alias enim foret mundus in casu quo deus
destrueret omnem creatam substanciam, servando ac-
15 cidens; et sic secundum alietatem tocius essencialis
materie que secundum philosophos est incorruptibilis,

B 79^e opportunet mundum | variari. Nam corruptibles forme
substancialis sunt essencie mundi accidentales. Unde
Aristoteles, primo, *De celo*, quia posuit illam materia-
20 lem essenciam esse ingenerabilem et incorruptibilem,
et mundum constare ex tota materia sua possibili, posuit
istum mundum incorruptibilem, posse maiorem nec
alium generari; non enim sufficit ad ydemptitatem
numeralem istius mundi ydemptitas dei vel sue anime,
25 si ponatur; quia tunc frustra deus creasset celum et
terram ad constitutionem mundi, et frustra dedisset
illi materiali essencie incorruptibilitatem et exempcio-
nem a quocunque contrario.

Ymmo, ut patet alibi, corrupta secundum se totam
30 prima materiali essencia, vel oportet equivalens recreari,
vel mundum minorari, vel vacuum derelinqui, quorum
quodlibet foret nimia presumpcio sacerdoti; totus enim
situs mundi repletur prima materiali essencia, et quanti-
L. tates eorum sibi mutuo correspondent. Et ex istis vi-
35 detur quod quot sunt hostie consecrate, tot sunt foramina
A 70^d va | cua a substancia corporea: et sic mundus foret de
tanto minor aut rarior. Nam corpus Christi non plus
occupat locum illum quam deitas, nec ponentes vacuum

This exalts
overmuch the
power of
Gog and
Magog whose
priests cannot
celebrate Mass
without
destroying the
world.

The world
depends
essentially on
the whole of
its matter.
Therefore,
annihilating
an essential part
of the world,
the celebration
of Mass
destroys it.

For primordial
matter cannot
be changed but
by annihilation.

And therefore
Aristotle
asserted that
the world is
incorruptible.
For the world's
identity is not
saved by that
of God or of
the soul.

It would have
to be again
created, or
lessened, or a
void would be
left.

As many
consecrated
Hosts, so many
vacua without
substance.

2. ydemptificatione? B. 6. quoniam CD. 10. Gog et deest B.
15. socius C. 17. variare B. 22. vel BCD. 30. illis BCD; ib. reci-
tari C. 31. quolibet quod foret D.

22. Arist. *De Coelo*. 1, 1, c. X et seq. (t. 2, p. 383 of Didot).
36. This is a strong point; for the whole School, following
Aristotle, denied the possibility of an absolute vacuum.

For a vacuum negabunt quin sit repletum quantitate et figura. Nec
does not exclude space and form evadent blasphemantes in dei potentiam, quin a pro-
which are here. And if you admit a vacuum here, you are obliged to admit its possibility elsewhere. By such heresies we go back to Chaos.

vacuum infinitum. Non enim potest poni consecrata hostia, cum sit unum nichil vel accidens pars sub-5 stancie vel corporis sublunaris. Et per tales hereses potest verificari illud | Gen. 1^o: "Terra autem erat ^{B 79⁴} inanis et vacua." Et idem Jerem. IV^{to}: "Respxi terram ^{Gen. 1,2} Jer. et ecce vacua erat a nichilo." Quod est contra hor-IV, 23 tacionem apostoli Cor. VI^o. "Ne in vacuum graciā dei recipiatis," deus enim dedit graciā fidelibus noscendi II. Cor. repugnare divine sapiencie, quod sit tale vacuum; ut patet alibi. Cum autem "spiritus domini replevit orbem terrarum," ut dicitur Sap. I^o, non imponamus sapien-
cie divine tantam blasphemiam, quod aperiet mundum 15

Such a void is contrary to Divine Wisdom. The very laws of Nature are changed. All the quantity, all the qualities of Christ's body are in every minutest particle of the Host!

Also all Christ's human qualities.

All the quantity corporis Christi est in qualibet particula hostie con-
secrate secundum sui naturam; et cum deus, qui dat illis esse spirituale tali miraculo multiplicatis, non 20 impedit eorum denominacionem spiritualem, sed a probabili promovet: videtur probabile quod corpus Christi sit septipedale in infinitum modica parte hostie. Et sic de omnibus dotibus humanitatis Christi, quas omnes Magog absorbet ut olera, cum sint | gracie que poterunt per A 71^a se esse, et dicit esse loca vacua per que vadit.

Even contrary qualities can be present (they say) in different places.

Quantum ad leges de contrariis dicit, quod eidem M. singulari simul tempore insunt denominaciones contrarie per loca distanca; ymo stat quod eidem singulari insint pro eodem instanti et secundum eandem partem 30

Peter, ill in a cold country, may be well in a hot one.

5. nichil *deest* D. 6. sublimaris C. 10. 2 Cor. B. 15. apponet B.
16. per vanitates CD. 18, 19. consecrata D. 21. nec B. 23. sit
deest AB. 25. insorbet ACD; *ib.* potuerunt CD. 29, 30. insit C.
32. non B.

23. *Septipedale*, an expression commonly used by Wyyclif and scholastics of his time to denote 'a certain definite size'. 31. The whole of the argument that follows can be understood only by referring to the Scholastic belief in the possibility of bilocation; which, as we shall presently see, Wyyclif denies. They argued: If everything is absolutely possible which does not involve self-contradiction, bilocation is possible. A negation of existence in the place where it exists would be absurd; but the positing of the same existence in two places at once is a very different thing.

contraria denominetur opposite; et cum hoc multiplicetur subito et secundum dei omnipotenciam, coexten-
B 80^a datur cum se ipso secundum deno | minaciones con-
trarias; quem casum concedit *a simili*. Et sic variantur
5 leges commune et logice.

Contra secundam sentenciam que negat possibilitatem prioris sententie videtur quod blasfeme derogat divine potencie: Nam, posito quod deus multiplicet corpus Petri existentis dimensionaliter in India per multa loca
10 secundum naturam suam in Anglia, tunc Petrus habet verum esse et reale in Anglia independens a suo modo extensivo essendi in Yndia; igitur deus de potentia sua absoluta posset corrumperet et destruere omnem modum essendi Petri in Yndia, servato modo essendi in Anglia.

15 Quandocunque enim sunt duo modi impertinentes vel unus reliquo perfeccior, modus imperfeccior eciam secundum speciem potest destrui, modo perfecciori ser-
vato; specialiter si non sint religiones, sed modi absoluti tantum distantes; nam esse multiplicatum quo Petrus

A 71^b est in Anglia est perfeccius, et | modo essendi dei si-
milius quam modus essendi materialis quo extenditur N. in Yndia. Item stat Petrum vulnerari vel percuti in Yndia, cum hoc quod non sic paciatur in Anglia, et per consequens deus de omnipotencia sua posset separare

25 animam Petri a corpore suo in Yndia, cum hoc quod maneat sic copulata in Anglia. Et per consequens Petrus posset ibi mori, occidi vel aliter transmutari in Yndia, cum hoc quod maneat quietus in Anglia. Nam nimis videretur artari divina potencia, quod ipse non posset

30 cum istis paribus rapere animam Petri de corporo suo in Yndia, nisi raperet eandem de corpore suo in Anglia: et sic de aliis denominacionibus positivis. Non enim B 80^b ne | cessitatur, si cum istis paribus creat in corpore Petri accidens quodcunque in India, quod perinde creet

35 illud idem accidens in corpore suo in Anglia. Et sic staret eundem hominem esse simul vivum et mortuum.

Et sic de quibuscunque denominacionibus contrariis positivis. Cum igitur secunda sentencia dat antecedens ad hec omnia; videtur, quod sit falsa, cum deus qui 40 multiplicat Petrum secundum substanciam suam tam differenter in Anglia posset extendere ipsum secundum

And if he was in both at once, these qualities could belong to him likewise.

Their arguments in favour of this. The contrary limits God's power.

Peter, v. g. can be (by a miracle) in England and India at the same time; so he could be killed in India while living in England; i. e. dead and alive at the same time, but in a different place.

Why should God Almighty be compelled, because Peter dies in India, to make him die in England too?

The same holds of all contrary qualities.

And if God can do that, He can extend Christ's body in like manner.

4. capitulum AB. 12. extensive B. 15. modi *deest* AB. 18. ab^u A;
obiccti B. 19. quo *omnes* MSS. 22. Petrus AB. 24. separari D.

25. quod *deest* BCD. 33. partibus B.

esse suum naturale, non obstante resistencia esse sui
in Yndia, cum hoc foret facilius.

Besides, several bodies can exist at once in the same place; a sufficienti similitudine stat idem corpus esse simul 5

Therefore a pari the same body can be at the same time in different places.

As with the multiplication of the loaves, &c. and other miracles recorded of the Saints.

Item, secundum adversarios, stat diversa corpore esse O. simul tempore per eundem locum; igitur per locum a sufficienti similitudine stat idem corpus esse simul 5 tempore per diversa. Et istud confirmatur de multiplicacione panum miraculosa, |. de quibus in ewangelio A 7¹ Marc. VI et VIII, de multiplicacione femine ex costa viri, de qua Genes. III, et de miraculo narrato de beato Ambrosio et de aliis; et videtur omnino derogare potencie 10 divine, quod ipse non posset manendo in celo humani- tus ostendere se ipsum in sacramento miraculose in forma pueri. Et ita videtur, quod nulla existencia corporis in Yndia potest impedire, ne deus posset cum hoc extendere et qualitercunque voluerit movere idem corpus 15 quod habet in Anglia.

One of these two opinions is certainly heretical; each contradicts the other as to God's omnipotence.

Et certum est quod altera istarum viarum est omnino heretica, quia sunt summe contrarie in precipua materia fidei de dei omnipotencia; ideo certum est, quod que- cunque istarum fuerit falsa, est absolute impossibilis et 20 per consequens implicat formaliter quemlibet | articulum B 80^o fidei esse falsum: ut, posito quod sit catholicum et sic verum, quod deus non potest in talia, patet quod tunc deus non potest in talia; nisi quilibet articulus fidei sit verus, deum posse in talia, tunc possibile est deum 25 omnipotente, posse in talia; et sic deus potest in talia. Ex alio latere, si sit catholicum quod deus potest in talia, tunc est absolute necessarium quod deus potest in talia; et per consequens negans hoc implicat oppositum cuiuslibet articuli fidei Christiane. Magis autem videtur quod 30 secunda sententia sit magis heretica.

6. tempora pro loca omnes MSS.
22. et deest BD; ib. sic deest CD; ib. esset pro verum D; esset pro sic B.

CAPITULUM NONUM.

Discuciendo de multiplicacione corporis Christi in hostia, necesse est primo videre quomodo est ibidem; nec phas est fidelem ambigere quin corpus Christi sit non solum virtualiter, sed sacramentaliter; quomodo autem sit ibidem, egregie declarat autor "De divinis officiis"; et concordant doctores moderni, dicentes concorditer quod communiter in scriptura in predicacione secundum causam signum suscipit predicacionem sui Gen. signati; ut Genes. XVIII dicitur quod Abraham vedit tres XVIII, viros, tres vedit et unum adoravit, ubi angeli nedum 2 vocantur viri, sed eorum triplicitas signat trinitatem; sicut enim illi vere erant viri et homines, sic Abraham 15 adoravit in eis trinitatem vel verbum dei, quem fide Jo. VIII. 56 pater vester exultavit ut videret diem meum, vedit et gavisus est." Iste autem est vir de quo dicitur Jer. XXXI quod "mulier circumdabit virum". Nam pro primo in B 80^d stanti incarnacionis sue fuit auto nomatice vir virens Ex. virtutibus. Secundo adducitur illud Exod. XXXI, ubi vitulus XXXII, conflatilis expresse vocatur vitulus, et tamen non habuit 4 nisi similitudinem vituli, ubi eciam patet signum vere vocari nomine sui signati; nec dubium de isto; ideo 25 misticus sensus est querendus.

Notatur enim ex ista historia, quod absente Moyse legifero ydolatratur populus faciendo contra mandatum domini appareciam religionis vel elemosine. Sed dyabolus in penam prevaricacionis prioris aptat apparen- 30 ciam boni ad illam peccati voraginem, ad quam clerus

We may not doubt that Christ is present in every point of the Host; but how?

The sign, as doctors allow, is spoken of as the thing signified:

As Abraham adored one of the 3 angels, representing the Trinity;

As the molten image of a calf is called a calf.

Hint at a likeness between the golden calf and Church temporalities.

10. casum BCD. 18. est *deest* AB. 19. multipliciter *pro* mulier AB.
27. preceptum A.

7. De Divinis Officiis (See t. 101, p. 1260 of Migne).

et | plebs est pronior ut ex dotacione ecclesie in Christi A 72^a
absencia. Ex hoc enim adulterantur dupliciter insolentes.

As the brazen
serpent is called
merely a
serpent.

Development of
the mystic
signification of
that sign.

Tercio, adducitur textus Num. XXI, quod serpens eneus B.
propter similitudinem dicitur simpliciter esse serpens; ^{Num.} XXI, 8, 9
et illud notat Salvator Joh. tercio. "Sicut Moyses," 5
inquit, "exaltavit serpentem in deserto, ita exaltari Jo.
oportet filium hominis." Cum autem in istis et eis III, 14
similibus appareret utrobique veritas scripture de virtute
sermonis, restat evacuando sterilitatem videre sensum
alium. Serpens autem carnalis signans calliditatem pru- 10
dencie dicit dyabolum, quo genus humanum seducitur;
et serpens eneus, ad quem intoxicati debemus aspicere,
signat Christum venientem in similitudinem carnis pec-
cati, ad cuius celestem prudenciam debemus attendere,
et virtutes ac imitaciones eius, quantum sufficimus, 15
intueri.

But does this
prove that the
angel, the calf
or the serpent
were absolute
accidents?

What is
literally absurd
must be taken
figuratively.

Unde non presumo imponere doctoribus meis tam
scandalosam stulticiam ut ipsi ex istis arguant accidentes
esse vel posse esse sine subiecto | cum scriptura utro- B 81^a
bique signat contrarium; sed istud concludunt, quod 20
predicacio nominis signati de nomine signi debet ad-
mitti, quod fidelis debet concedere secundum signanciam
et figuram, ubi renuet predicacionem secundum sub-
stanciam vel naturam: ut patet in materia de eukaristia.

'But an
accident, or
an abstraction,
is often called
a subject: so
the appearance
of bread can be
called bread'.

Sed arguitur per locum a sufficienti similitudine quod 25
per idem accidens nominandum est nomine subiecti;
ut accidentia panis et vini vocentur panis et vinum. C.
Hic concedi debet conclusio, ut exemplificat scriptura,
creberrime | dicens, et canit ecclesia: A 72^b
"Jesu nostra redempcio, 30
Amor et desiderium."

True; but it
does not follow
that an accident
can be without
its subject.

Every thing
might then be
an accident,
and substance
and accident
would mean
the same.

Sed absit fidelem concludere ex isto, quod accidentis
potest esse sine subiecto, quia vel dicet quod omnis sub-
stancia est accidens et econtra, vel turpiter decipietur
luciferina fallacia. Sed iuxta primum concederet quod 35
nendum Christus noster est solum accidens, sed nullum
potest esse subiectum nisi accidens, et sic idem foret dif-
finire accidens esse sine subiecto et blaterare substan-
ciam esse sine subiecto: quod foret verecunda stulticia.
Si secundum accipit, tunc oportet discere soluciones fal- 40
laciarum, et specialiter fallaciam figure diccionis et

20. istud *deest* B. 33. diceret B.

30. Hymn at Compline, Vigil of the Ascension.

fallaciam accidentis. Nam accidens et figura decipiunt multos Magog, ut recitat Anshelmus in "De grammatico." "Si," inquit sophiste, "grammaticus est accidens, et omnis grammaticus vivit, componitur ex corpore et anima et facit qualescumque operaciones vitales; igitur res sic vivens et sic composita ac sic agens, est accidens."

A fallacy:
'Grammatican'
is an accidental
quality; Does
an accidental
quality live,
because the
grammarian
does?

Mutatur autem supposicio a simplici in personalem, ut dicunt logici, cum in maiori grammaticus supponit simpliciter abstractive, et in minori supponit personaliter

B 81^b concreтив. | Et sic equivocant negantes quod accidens et accidentium aggregatio est panis sive substancia; et alii, concedentes in predicacione secundum subiectum vel causam predicationes huiusmodi.

You first
suppose an
abstraction, and
then apply it to
a person.
So also for
the Eucharist.

Ego autem precise utor predicacione formalis vel I should deny
15 essenciali, nisi limitet scriptura auctoritas; et tunc de- v. g. that
tego equivocationem predicacionis secundum simili- movement is
A 72^c tudinem | vel secundum subiectum aut secundum causam; it only an
ut nego quod motus est mobile, et sic de aliis generibus essence, not a
D. accidentis, et est michi pro regula quod quandocunque subject.

20 scriptura utitur verbo substanciali, vel intelligit ipsam substanciali vel perfecciom substanciali; ut apostolus 1^a Cor. X et XI quando loquitur de sacramento altaris

Whenever
movement is
movable; for
it is only an
essence, not a
subject.

N. sub nomine panis intelligit substanciali veri panis. Sed Joh. VI veritas sub nomine panis intelligit perfecciom substanciali, quia verbum domini figuratum; perfeccius enim trahit ad suum sensum, relichto sensu minus perfecti. Sed postquam avaricia traxit graves corde ad

Scripture
speaks of a
substance, it
means either the
substance itself,
or something
higher.

Ps. IV, 3 diligendum vanitatem et querendum mendacium, intellexerunt ydolatre in sacramento altaris per "panem" 30 accidentis panis quocunque voluerint, et deserentes proprietates veri panis naturalis, omiserunt effectualiter intelligere panem celestem, scilicet corpus Christi et sanctam ecclesiam, quorum utrumque non est accidentis, sed celestis substanciali; unde si non fallor, nunquam

But now the
word 'bread' is
meant as the
accidents of
bread; and the
higher meaning
of Divine food
is forgotten.

35 reperies in scriptura, quod nomine substanciali principali liter intelligitur accidentis, sed econtra. In figuris autem, ut quando vitulus et serpens signat substanciali inferioris nature, peccatum est in causa; et utrobique signatur idem in genere. Et nusquam in scriptura implicatur accidentis esse sine subiecto. Sed sacramentum |

Scripture never
literally means
an accident by
the name of a
substance; only
figuratively.

i. fallacie ACD. II. vel *pro* et B; *ib.* est *pro* et C. 20. idolatre
deest B. 39, 40. multiplicatur B.

2. Anselmus, De Grammatico, c. 1 (t. 158, p. 561 of Migne).

altaris dicit fides scripture esse in natura panem aut vinum et in figura corpus aut sanguinem Jesu Christi.

But the power of Gog is so great, that he publishes that Scripture is false, that lying is allowed, and that the sacramental bread is viler than what is vilest. So horrible a doctrine, condemned by Scripture, the Fathers, and reason, should be put down, even by fire.

Wyclif's doctrine: That the Sacrament is of a double nature, like the substance of Christ — earthly and divine;

he will not however say that it is identically Christ's body but figuratively and as a sign. Yet not falsely nor improperly, but as truly as Christ's words are true. So there are not two bodies, but one — Christ's, as the principal.

It is thus that he understands the author of 'De divinis officiis',

Unde Gog in diebus nostris ad tantum invaluit, quod primo publicat per eos de tecto le | gem scripture divine A 72^a esse falsissimam; secundo dicit per alios, quod nedum 5 licitum, sed eciam meritiorum est mentiri; et tertio dicit de sacramento altaris, quod sicud sacramentum panis est in natura infinitum imperfeccius quam panis ratonis, sic sacramentum calicis est in natura infinitum imperfeccius quam venenum. Et certum est, cum ista 10 conclusio sit tantum horrenda, vel scriptura vel sancti doctores vel fortis racio urgeret hanc ponere. Modo E. autem militant hec tria ad directe contrarium. Ideo, ut dixi, quicunque pertinaciter ista defenderit est expresse hereticus; in tantum quod si ego ista defenderem, 15 vellem quod essem combustus tanquam hereticus, vel quod errorem illum prope revocarem; et sic debet esse de aliis, cum non sit personarum accepcio apud deum.

Supponatur igitur iuxta autorem de divinis officiis, quod sicut Christus est due substancie, scilicet terrena 20 et divina, sic hoc sacramentum est modo suo equivoco corpus panis sensibilis, qui de terra crevit, et corpus Christi quod verbum in Maria suscepit; et sicud verbum non amisit per incarnationem substanciam eternam, sed mansit illa natura noviter faciendo aliquid quod 25 prius non fuerat, sic quodammodo corpus panis, servando panis substanciam, est miraculose factum cum hoc corpus domini, non audeo dicere ydemptice secundum substanciam vel naturam, sed tropice secundum signanciam | vel figuram; non tamen false et inproprie B 81^a dicitur corpus Christi, sed vere et proprie, sicut Christus vere et proprie dicit illum panem esse corpus suum. | Unde substancia sacramenti non debet dici duo A 73^a corpora, sed unicum quod est principale et automatice corpus Christi. 35

Et sic intelligo autorem de divinis officiis, capitulo de offertorio misse; "prope", inquit, "est verbum fidei in corde tuo et in ore tuo, cuius verbi flumen si super panem et vinum effuderis, ordine quo ab ipso statutus est, statim de ipso dicto altari panem et vinum in corpus 40 et sanguinem suum transferendo suscepit eadem potentia virtute et gracia, qua nostram carnem de virgine Maria

4. de tecto cf. p. 77. 38. et in ore tuo deest AB.

suscipere potuit, quomodo voluit, nec duo corpora dicuntur aut sunt, hoc quod de altari et illud quod receptum est de utero virginis, quia videmus unum saying that ‘one idemque verbum, unus idemque deus sursum est in God is above 5 carne, hic in pane. Alioquin et ille panis quem heri in the flesh, sacrificavimus et iste quem hodie vel cras sacrificabimus, in the bread’; plura sunt corpora; nec rite dicimus offerri pro ecclesia corpus domini, sed melius diceremus corpora, quia quotidie pene tot offerrimus panes, quot habentur in 10 ecclesia sacerdotes: sed hoc prohibet causa, convincit racio. Unitas enim verbi, unitatem efficit sacramenti: sic enim unum verbum et olym carnem de Maria virgine sumpsit et nunc de altari salutarem hostiam accipit. Igitur unum corpus est, et quod de Maria ge- 15 nitum in cruce peperdit et quod in sancto altari ob- B 82^a latum, quotidie nobis ipsam innovat passionem domini”. A 73^b F. Pro istis et similibus verbis sanctorum notandum, quod non est intencionis eorum negare multa esse corporea panis et vini consecrata, sed omnia illa in figura unum 20 sunt, sicut secundum beatum Johannem sunt spiritus aqua et sanguis. Et ita intelligunt non multa esse corpora domini in sacrificio, nec ipsum sacrificium et corpus domini esse univoce multa corpora; sed omnia illa esse quodammodo idem corpus longe perfeccius 25 quam fuit oblacio legis veteris, cum Christus dicit de pane: “hoc est corpus meum”; et sic non dicit de agno paschali vel figura veteris testamenti.

Ideo negant sancti quod hoc sacramentum est pure panis aut tipus vel antitipus, cum sit veraciter corpus Christi et habet substanciam corporis Christi ad quamlibet eius partem. Unde sicut errant heretici de Christo, alii quod est pure creatura, et alii quod est creator et non creatura, sic est duplex heresis de sacramento altaris; ut illi dicunt quod est panis et vinum qui prefuit sed in natura imperfeccius quam panis furfuris vel venenum. Alii autem remissius heretici dicunt quod hoc sacramentum non est terrena substancia collecta de terre fructibus sed omnino ydemplice corpus Christi. Catholici autem dicunt, quod sicut Christus est duplex substancia, scilicet deitas et humanitas, et sic creator et creature, sic sacramentum altaris in natura non est

That ‘it is the same body which was born of Mary . . . and is offered on the altar’. But these words of the Saints do not deny the plurality of the consecrated breads, which however are figuratively one.

12. Sicut B. 13. et — salutarem bis A. 18. corporea ABD.
35. surfuris AB. 41. est deest BCD.

should be forgotten and our attention fixed on Christ's body that it represents. abiectum accidens, sed terrena substancia, cuius consideracio est sopita et in signacione, | figura vel modo A 73^c quo apcius vocari potest, est sacramentaliter corpus Christi; ad quem sensum fidelis omnino debet attendere.

Et ista est | sentencia synodi ecclesie sub Nicolao 2^o, B 82^b ut patet de consecracione distinccione 2, capitulo *Ego Berengarius*. Et ista est plane sentencia autoris “*De divinis officiis*,” ut patet superius. Et ne ecclesia tradat oblivioni istam sentenciam, incorporatur in secreto secunde misse nativitatis domini sub his verbis: 10 “*Munera nostra quesumus domine nativitatis hodie ne apta proveniant, ut sicut homo genitus idem refulsit deus, sic nobis hec terrena substancia conferat quod divinum est*”. Unde notum est quod loquitur de terrena G.

The Church says: “As Christ, begotten a man, shone forth as God, so may this terrestrial substance give unto us what is divine.” So the earthly substance is not destroyed but changed for the better. substancia que est sacramentum, quod illa sit medium 15 conferendi nobis divinam substanciam, cum panis fiet virtute verborum sacramentalium corpus Christi sicut homo Jesus refulsit deus. Tunc enim aptantur munera solemnitati ecclesie. Secundo patet, quod non est intentionis ecclesie dicere quod hec terrena substancia in 20 consecracione destruatur vel desinat, sed sicut dicit Augustinus recreetur in melius; et, ut dicit Ambrosius, ut fiat et fit veraciter corpus Christi, quia aliter non illa terrena substancia, sed unum abiectum et ignotum accidens conferret ecclesie hoc divinum. Nec valeret 25 ordo verborum, nisi sicut eadem persona maneret simul homo et deus, sic idem sacramentum maneret in natura, licet equivoce terrena substancia et divina. Nam terrena substancia pertinencius expectat benedictionem quam accidens, ut patet supra per autorem *De divinis | officiis*. A 73^d

And Christ was man and God at the same time: so the comparison of the Church is perfect. Ideo ridiculum foret quod hostia usque ad benedictionem remaneret substancia; et per benedictionem, quando fieret panis deifer, destruatur mutata hostia in infinitum deterius. Et nota quod dicit “hec munera” H.

That God's presence should destroy the bread's nature is absurd. que sunt | oblata, hostia consecranda in corpus Christi B 82^c non frustra destruenda, esse terrenam substanciam, non benedicendum accidens ignoratum, ut creditur istis mille annis; accepta fuit ab universali ecclesia hec sentencia beati Ambrosii. Ideo grave videtur quod secte hesterne destruerent tam pios usus, tam antiquos atque 40

That sects of yesterday should prevail over so pious,

6. capitulo *deest* B. 15. quod BC. 33. mutata *deest* BCD.

10. Sarum Missal, *ubi supra*. 22. Ambr. *De Sacramentis*, I. IV, c. L (t. 16, p. 440 of Migne).

catholicos; ista enim fides antiqua mansit in ecclesia quousque per cultores signorum et antichristianam heresim est cecata. Unde, De consecracione distinccione 2 capitulo. “*Hoc est,*” dicitur sub auctoritate Augustini 5 sacrificium ecclesie duobus constare scilicet visibili elementorum specie et invisibili domini nostri Jesu Christi carne et sanguine sicut Christi persona constat ex deo et homine. In omnibus autem istis oportet cavere hereses de idemtpificacione et inpanacione in 10 quibus laborant ydolatre, et ex alio latere cavere heresim stultissimam de accidentacione, quod accidens sit per se sacramentum et per consequens corpus Christi; et secure ire per medium istorum errorum notando equivocationes predicationum, in quibus non est contradiccio;

15 dicente Augustino super psalmo XCVIII et ponitur De consecracione distinccione 2^a capitulo. “*Non hoc corpus*

A 74^a *quod videtis:*” “Manducaturi estis et bibituri | estis illum sanguinem quem effusuri sunt illi qui me crucifigent; ipsum quidem et non ipsum, ipsum invisibiliter, non 20 ipsum visibiliter”. Credendum est itaque quod indigne celebrante et inrite Christum commemorante est corpus Christi virtualiter, et cum hoc in pane sacramentaliter dicente autore De divinis officiis, capitulo *De secreto*

B 82^a *misce,* | “quod vivo pro flumine verbi dei super panem

25 et vinum confluente tam veram divinitatem veramque humanitatem Christi in celo sedentis et regnantis excipimus, quam veram substanciam ignis a sole supposita cristalli sphaera exigua fere quotidie mutuare possumus.

In talibus autem devotis exemplis oportet cavere de 30 heresi, ne ex totali similitudine concludatur quod corpus Christi sit dimensionaliter in hostia secundum naturam corporis glorificati, vel quod ipsum fit ex pane vel atteritur in natura sua, sed in signo solummodo; ut dicit decretum Nicolai 2^o. Per ista patet de multiplicazione, quomodo corpus Christi nendum est virtualiter ad omnem punctum hostie consecrate, sed est significative quelibet particula illius hostie; et sic vere multiplicatur per totam hostiam modo suo.

ancient and Catholic a belief is most grievous.

According to Augustine, the sacrifice consists of visible elements and the Invisible Lord.

But let us beware of the ‘identification’ and ‘impanation’ heresy, and of that stupid heresy of the accidents.

Christ's blood in the Sacrament is the same and not the same as on the Cross; the same invisibly, not the same visibly,” as Augustine says.

And the author of *De Divinis Officiis:* “Christ's body is received in the Host, as the true substance of the sun's fire is got through a burning lens.” But these pious instances must not bring us to fancy that Christ's body is present in its dimensions, &c. Only as in a sign, according to Pope Nicholas' decree.

2. quosque B. 13. negando BCD. 21. Christi *pro* est B. 22. sacravit B. 23. autore *deest* B. 28. mutare; mutuare *in marg.* A. 30. tali corr. from totali A.

3. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 48. 16. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 45. 21. Some words are probably wanting here in the MSS.

Et si queratur quid est corpus Christi formaliter in hostia, dicitur quod corpus Christi est realiter corpus Christi et ipsa hostia ac quelibet eius particula." Sed Two opinions: one, that it is formally the body of Christ, and yet not in its dimensions; in hostia quia non in sua natura, licet sit illud quod est the other, that Christ is neither formally nor essentially anything in the Host.
 Wyclif prefers the second.
 How great is the Body? As great as the Host.
 Objection: If Christ be only present virtually, He is just as well present throughout all His Kingdom. So He is really present, as God's Power and Wisdom.
 Is Christ all in all things? Let us believe the Bible and go no farther.

Two opinions: one, that it is formally the body of Christ, and yet not in its dimensions; in hostia quia non in sua natura, licet sit illud quod est dimensionaliter in hostia. Alii autem dicunt quod corpus Christi non est formaliter quicquam in hostia, | sicut homo A 74^b est eternaliter in deo et tamen non est formaliter aliquid sic in deo. Et sicut corpus Christi non est essencialiter in hostia, sic non est aliquid essencialiter ibidem. Et in ista equivocacione laborant multi. Et ista secunda via est michi plus consona. Et si queratur, quantum est corpus Christi, ibidem dicitur, quod tantum quanta est hostia vel quelibet eius particula, cum sit illa. Et 15 tota quantitas corporis Christi in celo | non est in B 83^a sacramento, sed qualitas activa potest dici esse ibidem virtualiter, non dimensionaliter, secundum naturam propriam, cum impossibile sit accidens esse alicubi, nisi secundum suum subiectum ibi principalius existens. Et 20 K. si obiciatur quod humanitas Christi nedum est virtualiter in sacramento sed ad omnem punctum regni Christi, quid igitur est ibidem? dici potest, quod virtus regitiva mundi; et sic, cum sit dei virtus et dei sapiencia, est realiter ipse deus. 25

Utrum autem deus sit omnia in omnibus ut dicit apostolus, et sic multiplicatissimus, videtur michi prudencia concedere scripturam ut fidem et non plus sapere quam oportet. Posset tamen probabiliter dici quod post diem iudicii deus erit omnia in omnibus, cum omnia 30 signabunt eum esse summam sapienciam et summam virtutem. Nunc autem quamvis hoc idem signant, cum quadam tamen respersione rebellionis deo contrarie hoc faciunt; sed pro tunc detrudentur in infernum quecunque sunt tunc contraria Christo, passura penam 35 iustissimam. Nec tunc ebullient in iniusticias, sicut modo. Ideo, secundum famosam predicacionem que capitul a signo, concedi potest quod tunc | omnes sub-A 74^c stancie erunt deus; accidentia autem et specialiter quantitates non memini deum assumere; sed concessa 40 secundum predicacionem signacionis, quod deus erit

But after the Judgment day we may say that then all things will be God, figuratively. And accidents then? If God assumes them,

2. Christi *deest* B. 6, 7. quia — hostia *deest* A. 14. quantum B.
 27. multiplicatissima A. 33. responsione D; *ib.* rebellione ABD.
 35. passam D. 41. significacionis B.

huiusmodi qualitates, manifeste patet quod iste non sunt accidencia sine subiecto, cum sint naturaliter in substancialiis et secundum signanciam deus ipse. Nec fundatur alicubi quod ista que sunt in natura accidencia 5 sint substanciali non inherencia, cum naturalis passio accidentis sit substanciali inherere.

they will certainly not be absolute; besides, their substances will still continue to exist.

Alia enim ponitur ratio philosophorum univoca licet B 83^b ana | loga cuiuslibet essencie create, et multo magis alia est ratio accidentis: que non est fingenda, ut patet 10 in respectibus, nisi formaliter inherere. Et patet quante difficultatur ecclesia secundum scolam dyaboli de multiplicacione corporis Christi, cum fundamentum illius scole sit fictum mendacium ab utilibus occupacionibus retractum. Nec valent evidencie quibus arguitur hoc 15 subiacere potestati divine. Sicut enim deus non potest facere eandem rem in numero esse simul per diversa instancia, sic non potest facere eandem essenciam materialem esse simul per diversa loca, nec diversas substancialia materiales esse simul tempore per eundem 20 locum adequatum; cum corpora secundum dotem subtilitatis se ipsa penetrant, et non sunt proprie coextensa; deus enim non potest in contradiccionem. Et quante distinccio instanciarum individuatur a distinccione motuum, tante individuatur distinccio situum a distensione es- 25 senciarum materialium.

Every being has its own essence and that of the accident is 'the belonging to a subject'.

And even God's power cannot make it not to belong to a subject.

For God cannot do what involves contradiction.

Et patet solucio ad primum argumentum factum in A 74^d fine proximi contra opinionem | secundam, pro prima L. ficticia. Et per hec patet responsio ad secundum argumentum. Non enim admittitur, quod eadem materialis 30 essentia sit simul secundum naturam suam per diversa loca; et sic Petrus non posset mori vel mutari in Anglia, cum hoc quod simul mutetur contrariis vel quomodounque in Yndia. Nec oportet subtiliare, quas denominaciones esset possibile eandem materialem essentiam habere simul per diversa loca, quia deficit possibilitas fundamenti. Et per hec patet responsio ad tertium, negando possibilitatem diversarum materiarum simul B 83^c per eadem | loca, quia tunc variaretur situs ad variationem materie; et forent multi situs coextensi.

This answers both the first and the second argument at the end of the former chapter, in favour of the first opinion.

The same thing cannot be at once in several places.

Therefore: Peter could not die in India, without dying in England.

40 Et quantum ad miracula, dictum est alibi diffuse, secundum sentenciam Augustini, quod in miraculis alleged, we may say with

3. significativa!B. 7. Aliqua AD. 8. cuilibet B. 9. aliqua CD;
corr. from alia A. 22. in deest B. 23. distensione omnes MSS.;
distinccione in marg. A. 24. statuum B. 35. simul deest B.

Augustine that Christi subito vel insensibiliter ministrabantur alienae new matter was invisibly supplied; as in the case of the loaves.

So too of Adam's rib; matter was not multiplied, but supplied. Et sic, sicut quinque panes fuerunt quasi fermentum 5 toti pani ex quo saciata sunt tot milia hominum, sic est de costa viri, quo ad fabricam mulieris. Aliquid enim fit parcialiter ex alio, ut in exemplis propositis, et aliquid fit totaliter ex alio, quando est rei facte adequata materia. Unde quia materia de qua fit mencio, 10 fuit secundum hystoriam notoria et principalis in opere; ideo dicitur, quod tale compositum fit ab illo. Et quantum

As for Ambrose ad beatum Ambrosium, patet quod nulla experientia being at present at St. Martin's funeral, and elsewhere at the same time, beati Martini et distanter alibi; deus tamen posset it is incredible. aptare eidem anime corpora quantumcunque similia et

But God may give a second similar body to a man: which is quite a different question. sic facere eundem hominem esse simul secundum diversa corpora in diversis locis. Nec circa hoc versatur ista difficultas. Et eodemmodo posset dici quod puer cruentatus posset apparere in sacramento altaris, qui sit Christus secundum animam, licet corpus eius in celo resideat; vel vere credatur ut absolute necessarium, quod non est possibile idem corpus in numero multi-

On the whole, taking each of these two first explanations, a neither is far from heresy. plicari simul per diversa loca distancia: et sic, discussa 25 veritate utriusque istarum viarum, neutra multum distat B 83^a

First objection: hoc, quod anima potest esse simul per diversa loca, et ubicunque ipsa fuerit, deus potest aptare sibi idem 30 corpus in numero; igitur stat idem singulare multiplicari simul per loca distancia. Et illud confirmatur per hoc quod, si anima multiplicetur et ipsa est homo, tunc homo multiplicatur. Hic dicitur, quod tota difficultas est de multiplicacione nature corporee, quod ipsa sit 35 simul per diversa loca secundum suam substanciam:

Answer. This cannot be: God could not put a thousand bodies into one square foot. And besides, it is not likely that any spirit could be thus in two separate places.

distanter posset situari, cum hoc quod determinet sibi situm per subtilacionem situs, per quem multiplicatur; ut, licet potest esse in utroque polo simul, huic tamen repugnat, quod non sit in medio intercepto, et situs tam longus posset gracilitari ad distanciam liniarem; et ita non videtur possibile isti vie, quod idem spiritus secundum idem corpus in numero sit per loca distanca; idem autem spiritus posset esse simul per loca distanca secundum corpora similia. Utrum autem idem spiritus posset esse simul intercise per loca est problema neutrum multis, cum spiritus in natura sua non occupat locum, et ex celeritate motus corporis alterati ab insensibili ad sensibilem, a loco abscondito ad patentem, fit crebro sensus decepcio.

This, however,
is a problem
hard to solve,
and apt to
beget delusions.

B 84^a Secundo replicatur contra | dicta de multiplicacione,

*Second
objection:*
The contrary
opinion would
not be
sustained by so
many and great
doctors, were
it heresy.

N. per hoc quod multi et magni doctores tenent contrarium istis dictis, nec efficaciter inprobantur. Igitur pro magnificanda omnipotencia dei defendi debent ne incidantur in heresim. Hic dicitur quod maior est vera, sed conclusioni inpertinens, cum iidem multi et magni doctores sunt sibi ipsis contrarii; ideo fundare super ipsos quamcunque sentenciam foret nimis instabile fundamentum. Nam solum scriptura sacra, que includit in se omnem rationem, est fundamentum cui fidelis debet attendere. Unde videtur michi religiosum, quod Christianus stet in fide, et discussione huius triplicis veritatis: in veritate in scriptura sacra exemplificata, in veritate ab infallibili ratione elaborata, et in veritate assensu cognita. Omnia autem | alia, licet vera, sunt

Answer. These
very doctors
contradict each
other.
We cannot
found any
opinion upon
them; only on
Holy Writ.

Three sorts of
truth: truths
of revelation,
truths of
deduction, and
truths of
intuition.

All other
authorities are
to be rejected,
even when
right.

30 tanquam apocrypha responda; ut unus pro uno tempore sentit et noscit veritatem cuius noticia est alteri in pertinens tunc et semper; et sic excuteret fidelis rumorum audiciones et prophanas cronicas; quod videtur hodie eo necessarius, quo pater mendacii sollicitius est cum 35 Gog et Magog per mendacia perturbans ecclesiam. Et quantum ad materias scolastice practicandas, videtur michi quod standum est in declaracione fidei scripture cum sua logica. Et isti regule innitebantur doctores de millenario Christi; ideo sunt ut plurimum concordati.

The logic of
Holy Writ is
the best
scholastic
method of all,
and ought to be
followed.

O. 40 Sed fantastici, querentes vanum nomen subtilitatis sue, seeking renown,
forget this rule,
and go astray.

t. determinet C. 3. posset BCD. 4. interceptio B. 7. idem post secundum deest B. 8. idem — distanca deest BCD. 9. Utrumque D. 13. ad sensibile C. 18, 19. incidatur BCD. 21. supremis B. 28. ineffabili B. 31. nescit BCD. 32. fides B. 38. inmitiebantur D.

fuerunt pro millenario mendacii multipliciter evagati. Et hec racio quare in scriptis eorum tot repugnacie sunt reperire, et specialiter in veritatibus de possibili.

Any proposition ought to be rejected
1st if it is manifestly impossible, or
2nd if it does not belong to the three classes of truth just mentioned.

Ideo videtur michi | religiosum concedere predictam triplicem veritatem de possibili, et aliam quamcumque renuere. Unde, quando proponitur talis proposicio de possibili, videtur michi quod potest abici propter duo: primo, quia est manifeste impossibilis; sicut sunt multe moderne proposiciones de multiplicacione eukaristie, et alie novelle propter curiositatem fantasticam introducte; secundo, quia non patet eius possibilitas ex predicta triplici veritate; et sic nego multas proposiciones de possibili; non quia scio eas efficaciter improbare, sed quia non sunt de numero predicte triplicis veritatis; | et sic sophistis sum dissonus, scole evaganti contrarius, et propter religionem Christi fatuus reputatus.

The answer to the foregoing difficulty is therefore to deny that the soul can be multiplied in two separate places at once. Still it might absolutely be granted, though a useless question; but the multiplication of matter is absurd.

Et patet exoneracio difficultatis predicte, cum nec admittitur animam multiplicari per loca disparity intercise, nec cum informacione corporis extra ipsum informiter per situs sibi continuos evagari: probato tamen quod tale sit possibile, volo ipsum admittere, si non assit michi occupacio utilior; tamen iste cui foret occupatio laudabilis posset dicere quod eadem substancia spiritualis posset simul continue vel intercise, communis cum actuacione corporis vel sine, multiplicari per loca quantumcumque distanca et simul gaudere vel tristari de eodem secundum diversas potencias, vel disparem rationem; sed destrui non potest, cum sit naturaliter immortalis. Multiplicacio autem nature corporee propter manifestam contradiccionem est neganda ad sensum expositum.

The rule laid down, if carried out, would enable theologians to devote their time to more useful matters. The doctrine that spirits could be in two places at once, though erroneous, is less so than the other.

Sed videtur quod scole predicte regule debemus inniti, cum tunc studium et occupacio theologica forent utiliter breviata, et tota | lex imperialis et antichristiana cum privatis regulis ordinum, suspensa a consideracione fidelium, et multo evidencius ymaginaciones fantasticae errabunde. Unde videtur michi quod foret minus heretico in tunc admittere situm corporeum per multiplicacionem substancie spiritualis, subducta omni materia, quam ponere situm corporeum fundatum in situacione huiusmodi accidentis, subducta omni materiali substancia: | A 76^a

29. continue RCD: corr. A; ib. probacio B.
ib. cum deest BC. 35. a deest CD.

24. commune A;

sed utrumque credo omnipotencie dei repugnare. Ideo scola antichristi creditur lapsa in heresim per multa blasfema mendacia de dei omnipotencia.

P. Tercio replicatur per hoc quod Christus et per consequens corpus suum potest esse quelibet creatura; et per consequens est summe multiplicabile. Nec tollitur instancia per hoc quod non quilibet est ydemptice sed solum tropice corpus Christi; quia, si Petrus est Paulus, est evidencius idem Paulo, cum triplex sit ydemptitas, scilicet generalis, specialis et numeralis. Ideo videtur sequi, si quidlibet sit corpus Christi, tunc est idem suo corpori, non econtra; et stant raciones alibi facte de ydemptificacione. Sicut enim Christus potuit de pane dixisse: „Hoc est corpus meum”; ita de quolibet alio assignando; et per consequens potuit ydemptificasse quidlibet sibi ipsi; et currunt argumenta multiplicia.

Hic dicitur quod laboratur in equivociis, cum multi errando credunt quod panis tantum ydemptificatur corpori Christi, quod nec sit inter illa realis distincio, nec distincio racionis; et per hoc intelligo ydemptificationem numeralem, quam dico non posse fieri. | In

B 84^a predicacionem autem signativam vel tropicam, non negabit qui sacram scripturam admittit. Ideo concedo ad sensum equivocum predicandi predicacionem huiusmodi figurativam, stante diversitate naturarum cum signis simplicibus; et nego predicacionem huiusmodi

A 76^b cum signis specificatis per istum terminum in numero vel sibi equivalens; ut concedo cum Augustino quod septem spicere sunt septem anni, quod Baptista est Helias, quod petra erat Christus; et tamen nego quod subiecta ista sint idem in numero predicatis, cuiusmodi loquendi fundamentum est scripture sacre auctoritas. Verumtamen notandum quod in predicacione ista secundum signis

Q. cacionem vel figuram sunt gradus multiplices. Primus gradus et supremus est quando figura presupponit in

2. videtur B. 11. est deest B. 16. multiplicicia C. 18. panis deest B. 23. concedit AB. 30. quod deest BCD. 30—33. subiecta — quod in marg. A.

6. This is to a great extent an argument *ad hominem*. We must remember Wyclif's position as a Realist, admitting that universals exist *a parte rei*, in the same way as they do in our minds. When we say, *A dog is an animal*; and, *A cat is an animal*, *animality* is absolutely the same in *dog* as in *cat*. It would follow that the dog and the cat are identical *a parte rei*. Wyclif escapes by a distinction.

Third objection:
Anything might
be the body
of Christ as
well as bread;
therefore his
body is
multiplicable.
For in every
theory the word
is implies a
certain amount
of identity
between subject
and predicate.

*Answer: This
is an
equivocation.
Numerical
identity implies
that between
the subject and
the predicate
there shall be
neither real
nor logical
distinction:
which is
denied. The
subject *is*
identical with
the predicate,
but not in
number.*

*For instance,
John Baptist *is*
Elias; i. e.
identical in so
far as one is
the figure of the
other. But no
further.*

Three degrees
of figurative
identity;
1st when the
figure requires
the miraculous
coexistence of
the thing
figured;

2nd when one
thing becomes
the type of
another by the
institution of
the Holy Ghost;

3rd When
anything is
naturally the
sign of another:
as smoke is the
sign of fire, or
an accident of
its subject.

A confusion
between these
identities and
absolute
identity has
led great minds
astray.

Granting that
Christ can be
all in all, we
grant the
multiplication
of his body,
not in substance,
nor in place,
but in figure.

Thus the
confusion of
numerical
identity is not
to be feared.

tempore figuratum, et requirit virtuosam et miraculosam eius existenciam ad quemlibet eius punctum; et secundo, ut figuratum quod mentiri non poterit asserat figuram eiusmodi esse figuratum; et isto modo panis et vinum verbo Christi consecrata fiunt et sunt corpus Christi 5 et sangwis. Et facere tam magnum miraculum est Hebr. Christo proprium, quem apostolus dicit ad Hebr. primo I, 3 esse figuram substancie dei patris: nec hoc est sibi Ps. difficile, cum sit ille qui *dixit et facta sunt omnia*; XXXII, 9 secundus gradus et medius est, quando spiritus sanctus 10 instituit unam rem distantem in tempore aliam figurare; et ille gradus non requirit preexistenciam et virtualem coexistenciam figurati cum sua figura, sed econtra; et isto modo oblaciones et sacrificia legis veteris figurarunt res de lege | nova, dicente apostolo II ad Cor. de B 85^a patribus legis veteris quod omnia in figura contingebant I. Cor. illis. Et talis figura vocatur proprie tipus; et frequenter X, 11 predicanter de se | invicem in scriptura, et quandoque A 76^c removentur a se invicem secundum predicaciones equivas in figura et in natura, ut sepe dictum est. Sed 20 tercarius gradus et infimus est, quando ex ordinacione nature create effectus figurat naturaliter suam causam. Et isto modo fumus signat ignem, et quodlibet accidens sensibile suum subiectum, et sepe nomina eorum de se invicem predicanter. Et sic oportet notare pro scriptura 25 intelligenda predicacionem figuralem, predicacionem essencialmem, predicacionem substancialmem, cum suis subdivisionibus et signis limitantibus. Et noticia equivocationis dissolvit apparencias contradictionis. Et forte in ista equivocacione de gradibus predicacionis figuralis 30 laborarunt Berengarius, Lanfrancus, Wymundus; et alii, ymo multi et magni, usque hodie sunt cecati.

Istis premissis, admitto ut supra quod deus sit omnia R. in omnibus et sic quod equivoce multiplicetur, non secundum substancialmem et situm, sed secundum signum; 35 cum sit equivoce multorum singulum, et tamen omnia illa differunt essencialiter a se invicem et a deo. Ideo non contingit ex istis confusio, sicut sequitur ex ydempificatione, ut patet alibi; sic etiam corpus Christi et tota eius humanitas multiplicatur secundum suos limites 40 aliter in eukaristia et aliter per loca, ubi sua species

1. tempus BCD. 13. coexistenciam vel existenciam figurati B.
15. II omnes MSS. 16. contingebantur B. 18, 19. in — invicem deest BCD.
25. principiantur B; ib. figura pro scriptura B. 38. confuso AB.

vel virtus diffunditur. Nec oportet, si quelibet particula hostie sit corpus Christi, quod propter ea quilibet carum sit quelibet, | aut non aliter sit in sacramento quam ut est virtualiter ubilibet, vel in celo. | Natura enim 5 panis sacramentalis remanet, et sic numerabiliter distinguuntur multe hostie consecratae, licet omnes ille sint corpus Christi, sicut multa supposita sunt sua species et tamen numeraliter distinguuntur. Et conformiter de accidentibus et subiectis: ut subiectum est multa acci- 10 dencia distincta in genere, et econtra, licet non sit aliquod istorum idemtice, sive formaliter, sed solum significative. Et istud vellem eos attendere, qui ponunt accidencia esse sine subiecto; accidens enim non est essencialiter subiectum, sed subiectaliter vel subiective 15 significative; ideo oportet exprimere predicationem equivocam et in sensu univoco convenire. Nec audeo dicere quod corpus Christi sit, vel multiplicetur, secundum suam substanciam vel naturam in hostia conse- 20 crata, quia secundum autorem "De divinis officiis" in sacramento altaris est vita spiritualis non animalis, quia aliter posset ex se progredi, sicut homo. Et tolluntur 25 instancie quibus alii de scola contraria laqueantur.

S. Et patet quomodo fideles qui videntur contrarii in materia ista equivocant, ut huius concedunt quod corpus Christi videtur oculo corporali, atteritur et quantumcunque varie transmutatur; quorum sensus sanus non fingitur, nisi quia panis sanctificatus sic variatur qui est equivoce corpus Christi. Illi autem negant quod corpus Christi oculariter videtur in hostia, vel aliter 30 transmutatur: quod potest dupliciter sane intelligi; vel quia corpus Christi in natura sua non sic movetur, vel quia sacramentum non sub ratione qua est corpus Christi sic se habet. Alii autem ad tantum desipiunt quod ignorantes equivocationes et sensuum distinctiones dealbantur veneno heretico; quia secundum Averoys, assuefacti impossibilibus tanquam assuefacti veneno letifero, ipsa accipiunt ex consuetudine tanquam vera. Et sic pater mendacii per ignoranciam equivocationis decipit scolam suam et facit simplices abhorre, quod ille panis non sit corpus Christi, nisi secundum figuranciam et figuram; quia per idem ut

4. est pro cuius D. 15. significacione B. 27. ergo pro quia B.
34. sensum B. 34, 35. non distinciones BCD; ib. after distinciones h. A.
35. debeantur omnes MSS.

suggerit quidlibet quod ipsum corpus significat, foret
How this bread eque veraciter corpus Christi. Modus autem quo ille
is the body of Christ, though panis est corpus Christi, licet sit figurativus et non
in figure and ydempticus est inexplicabilis a nobis viantibus, licet
not by identity, is quite in confuso sciamus, quod non sit naturaliter corpus 5
inexplicable to Christi; et verba doctorum multos infatuant, qui di-
that the bread cunt quod post consecrationem non est panis eo quod
does not remain non est tunc principalissime corpus panis; et propor-
is apostasy. cionabilis equivocacio fit inter apostatas.

5. non inconfuse B.

CAPITULUM DECIMUM.

Tractando de quidditate sacramenti altaris, oportet primo supponere predictam sentenciam *de divinis officiis*, capitulo de quidditate sacramenti altaris quod est in natura substancia et corpus panis et vini et in significacione et figura est corpus Christi et sangvis; quam sentenciam est impossibile inpugnare. Secundo recitatitur per viam opinionis sentencia communis de quidditate accidentis. Et tertio procedetur profundius A 77^b rimando sentenciam de quidditate huius | sacramenti, in qua discordant doctores.

Supposito autem primo, quantum ad secundum pro noticia accidentis, notandum secundum Avicennam quod ens prima impressione imprimitur; sive igitur senciendo 15 sive cogitando rem esse, cognoscitur prima essentia et B 85^a sic deus; et cum | unumquodque sicut se habet ad esse sic ad cognosci in illo analogo, cognoscitur prius essentia substancie quam essentia accidentis. Ad generalem itaque noticiam post istam analogam, expergeficit sensibilis noticia accidentis, iuxta illud Aristotelis, primo 20 posteriorum in principio: "Omnis noticia intellectiva, (supple quidditativa) oritur ex preexistente noticia sensitiva". Nam sensus communis cognoscit primo ens analogum ex mocione sensibilis, et prius cognoscitur subiectum ut tale quam accidens tanquam tale. Et hinc Porphirius ponit subiectum in descripcione accidentis: omne 25 tamen ens sub uno involucro primo sub esse concipitur.

Videndo igitur essentiam sacramenti ut est alba vel aliter accidentata, videtur albedo, rotunditas vel aliud

What is the essence of the Sacrament?

1st We shall lay down that it is in nature bread; in signification, Christ's body.

2nd Examine the common opinion about accidents.

And 3rd Investigate the essence of the Sacrament.

Avicenna's position that: pure Being is known in the thought that a thing is.

If so, 'substance' is known before 'accident'.

Thus when we see the Sacrament 'as white' &c., we

1. Capitulum *deest omnes* MSS. 4. *hiatus after* capitulo AB.
5. *vinum* B. 16. *quoque* B. 27. sub uno *deest* CD; *ib.* *fuisse* BCD.
28. *cst deest* B.

13. Avicenna (properly *Husain ibn Abd-Allah*, called *Ibn-Sina*), born in Persia 980 A. D.; died 1037 A. D. His works, translated into Latin by Gerard of Cremona (XIIth century) were first printed in Venice, 1483 and following years. 21. Aristotle begins the work in question with these words: *Πάσα διδασκαλία καὶ πᾶσα μάθησις διανοητικὴ ἐκ προϋπαρχούσης γίνεται γνῶσεως.*
25. Porph. Isagoge.

see whiteness &c. in it, not separately. It is therefore impossible to understand that any accident can exist without the essence of which it forms a part.

And although the bread may cease to be in our thoughts, it does not cease to sustain the accidents.

As God is the basis of all creatures, so substance is the basis of all accidents. Quantity only means "the being great of substance" either in number (as a people) or in magnitude (as lines, surfaces, volumes).

Likewise of situation and duration;

accidens, cum albedo sit essenciam substancie esse albam. Et ita de aliis. Et hinc vere dicit doctor Egidius B. quod homo non potest intelligere essenciam substancialem esse accidentatam sine substancia subiecta. Sic igitur videmus colorem, figuram et quantitatem sacramenti, dum videmus essenciam esse coloratam vel figuratam; quia hoc est illud accidens. Sed iuxta Lincolnensem forte nos non consideramus de quidditate essencie sic accidentate, cum tota intencio nostra debet suspensi in | A 77^c corpus Christi quod est perfeccius quam panis materialis; licet autem desinat esse in actu consideracionis nostre, non tamen desinit esse vel visa accidencia subiectare.

Et ex ista consideracione potest patere fidi philosopho distinctione accidentis; sicut enim substancia divina est basis cuilibet creature, sic genus create substancie est basis cuiilibet accidenti. Sic quod quantitas sit veritas que est "substancialm esse quantam": | quod intelligi potest dupliciter; B 86^a vel quo ad multitudinem vel quo ad magnitudinem. Prima quantitas est numerus, ut populus qui est una persona est multitudo hominum. Si autem persona sit magna, 20 hoc intelligi potest tripliciter: vel quod sit linealiter magna quod est linea, vel superficialiter magna quod est superficies, vel corporee magna quod est corporeitas, crassitudo vel altitudo. Quantitas autem rei in comparacione ad partes suas intelligi potest dupliciter: vel 25 quo ad locacionem aut situacionem, vel quo ad duracionem. Primo modo est locus vel situs qui est veritas, que est mundum situari vel locari secundum se aut aliquam sui partem. Unde locus expanditur per totum mundum, sed duracio mundi secundum transmutacionem est tempus. Et ab illis duobus generibus quantitatis originantur duo generalissima ubi et quando, sic quod ubicacio sit locacio partis mundi, et quandalitas sit duracio partis mundi. Et sicut ubicacio mensuratur situ mundi, sic quandalitas mensuratur tempore, ut 35 docet autor "Sex | Principiorum". Et ita consideran-

A 77^c
1. significat pro sit B. 4. substancia deest D. 9. accidentato AB;
ib. intencione C. 15. sic B. 35. Et sic CD.

2. *Egidius*. I can find only two writers of this name. One was archbishop of York in the XIIth century; the other was a deacon of Paris who wrote some Latin poems about the year 1200. Nothing that remains of their writings bears on the subject.
36. Gislebertus Porretanus (Gilbert de la Porée), Bishop of Poitiers, and author of the short but valuable treatise here quoted (see t. 188, p. 1258 of Migne). It was commented by Albert the

dum est de aliis generibus accidentis. Cum autem deus sit veritas, patet quod sicut non potest permettere creaturam esse sine se ipso, sic non potest permettere accidentis esse sine subiecto; quia, secundum apostolum

⁵ 2^a Thim. II^o, Deus verax est, se ipsum negare non

II. Tim. II, 13 potest. Talia autem accidentia quandoque intelliguntur nominaliter abstractive, et quandoque occasionaliter concreтив, ut dicit Aristoteles in *post praedicamentis* de

“cecitate” et “cecum esse”, et conformiter equivocatur

B 86^b in deo et universitate | creata. Sicut igitur deus mandat fidelibus quod vocent sacramentum altaris panem vere indubie, sic pater mendacii precipit scole sue quod

vocet mendaciter sacramentum altaris accidentis sine subiecto, ut per illud mendacium subtrahatur subiectus huic

15 venerabili sacramento. Sed non erubesco ewangelium vocando hoc sacramentum panem, sicut spiritus sanctus vocat; et detestando conclusiones patris mendacii, quod

ipsum sit panis infinitum abieccior in natura quam panis equi vel ratonis, cum sit panis celestis, panis sanctus,

20 et quodammodo corpus Christi. In natura vero sua est panis triticeus, panis azimus et panis fructibus terre elementatus et pistus, propter sensum mysticum quem

meminit Augustinus. Constat autem quod nec quantitas fermentatur, subicitur sic accidentibus vel pinsatur.

D. 25 Iterum constat, quod si illud accidentis foret corpus Christi, Christus pertinentissime tractasset ipsum in manibus,

A 78^a ipsum demo | strasset pronomine et in eius noticiam docuisset; cuius oppositum testantur nedum fideles ecclesie sed adversarii, qui dicunt concorditer quod nesciunt quid

30 sit illud sacramentum quod sit accidentis per se sine subiecto. Et revera, deus non potest subicere fidelem huic sacramento; ideo vere dicunt, quod non habet subiectum.

Item, tale accidentis absconditum ab olym ignotum ecclesie, quod ponitur modo esse de fide, habet racio-

35 nem per se substandi omni generi accidentium: et illa est per se racio substancie; igitur illa est illius generis,

quod deus fecit substanciam. Si dicatur quod miracu-

3, 4. accidentis esse *deest* D. 7. oracionaliter BCD; corr. A.

8. post *deest* D. 15. universali B. 19. racionis B. 37. facit B.

Great, and many others; and had the unique honour of being placed in Hermolaüs Barbarus' translation of Aristotle (even in the early printed editions) immediately after *De praedicamentis*, which it supplements. He was, with Abailard, St. Bernard's adversary at the council of Reims (1148). 8. Arist. ed. F. Didot, vol. I, p. 18, l. 45.

Now, as no creature can exist without God, so no accident can exist without its subject.

But these abstract words that denote the accidents are sometimes employed concretely for the subject. Thus the Devil employs the word ‘accidents’ for ‘bread’ in his school of lies.

But if it were so, Christ would have said so; which all must admit not to have been the case.

If an accident can become a subject of other accidents, it becomes a substance. And if it be said to do so by miracle,

then God
continually
works useless
miracles.

And if such
miracles are
made on
account of an
accident, what
will be done on
account of real
substances?

Three weak
arguments in
favour of this
doctrine.

I. The Decretal
of Innocent III.

II. The Decretal,
asserts trans-
substantiation.

III. Respect for
the Sacrament,
which, if the
bread remained,
would be
digested, &c.

But the same
difficulty would
follow in any
case, Christ's
Body being
present.

The Body of
Christ is un-
contaminated,
and impassible.
Wizards think
to harm men
by hurting their
wax images,
and only hurt
themselves; so
it is with
Christ's body.

lose per se subiectat sic accidens | tunc oportet ponere B 86^c
cultores signorum facere continue miracula que non
prosunt. Quid, inquam, prodest quod tale ignotum ac-
cidens sine subiecto per se recipiat transmutaciones
culpabiles, ut tractacionem, alteracionem et passionem 5
illicitam sacramenti? Revera evidencius quicquid agit
vel patitur creata substancia continue subiectat mira-
culum, cum non sit racio quare factum accidens ex
naturali sua potencia facit miraculum, quin evidencius
substancia creata et servata miraculose a deo continue 10
facit miraculum; sed deficientibus veris miraculis, oport-
et quod fingantur falsa miracula; et sicut finguntur
false officiorum nominaciones, religiones et absoluciones,
sic fingitur falsa fides de accidentibus, ut utrobique
seminator mendacii illudat ecclesie. 15

Tres autem evidencias arundineas facit dyabolus ad E.
con | cludendum quod illud sensibile sacramentum sit A 78^b
accidens sine subiecto; primo ex hoc, quod decretalis
Innocencii 3ⁱⁱ dicit quod accidens est sine subiecto; ut
de celebracione missarum, „*Cum Marthe*,“ ubi multa 20

recitantur de dicto Innocencio opinata. Secundo, quia
primo decretalium, titulo primo, capitulo “*Firmiter*”,
in fine dicitur panem et vinum transsubstanciari in
corpus Christi et sangwinem. Sed quia patet inertia ex
istis concludere quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens 25
sine subiecto, ideo palliantur alie rime doctorum, cum
inquiunt, sacramentum altaris transit ad stomachum,
si ibi remaneret materia, reciperet transmutaciones

pudendas. Sed patet quod idem inconveniens sequeretur
de pane quem ponunt accidens, cum precise tam diu 30
remanet ibi | corpus Christi sacramentaliter, quam diu B 86^d
ibi remanet forma panis; et quantumcunque polluitur
illud accidens, corpus Christi remanet inpollutum. Cum
enim illud non sit ydemptice corpus Christi, absit fide-
lem credere, quod propter eius pollucionem corpus 35

Christi polluatur; sic enim fingunt incantatores in-
fideles, quod sciunt facere ymagines et cruciare in oculis
vel aliis partibus inimicum quantumcunque distantem;
sed per tales ficticias hereticas nocent sibi ipsis et non
Christi fidelibus et multo magis non fedant vel cruciant 40

1. si pro sic C. 5. panacionem C. 25. sic pro sit C. 36. si D.

19. Decr. Greg., l. III, tit. XLI, c. 6. 22. Decr. Greg.,
l. I, tit. I, c. 1.

corpus Christi. Unde mures ipsum non lacerant, nec
A 78^a homines ipsum dentibus atterunt vel comburunt | . Licet
Ps. enim multi indicent legem Christi esse hereticam, cum
XCVIII, ⁱ “dominus regnavit irascantur populi”, quia recipiunt
⁵ equivoce nomen Christi; tamen hoc faciunt ad eorum
F. deterioracionem, non ad dampnum Christi. Est autem
difficultas scolastica, quam predicacionem in signo
Christus recipit, cum videtur decretum *Ego Berengarius*
dicere quod corpus Christi dentibus atteritur. Et sic
10 clamant multi quod vident corpus Christi in missis
oculo corporali, et sic de mille aliis conclusionibus in
quibus populus est seductus. Ideo cum equivocatur in
talibus, quod Christus sic tractatur in persona propria
vel in signo, expedit negare ista sophistis et argacias
15 quibus hec inferunt, detegendo quomodo membra vel
signa Christi talia paciuntur; et sic glosande sunt scrip-
ture et dicta sanctorum. Sed secundo obicitur per
doctores mendacii eo quod tunc non liceret homini bis
B 87^a celebrare | in die, quia comedendo panem et potando
20 vinum in prima missa dissolveret ieiunium reddendo
se ineptum ad iterum celebrandum; igitur oportet quod
sacramentum sit accidens. Hic dicitur quod per illud
sacramentum contigit solvere ieiunium, cum secundum
apostolum post illam cenam dominicam unus esurit,
25 alius ebrius est: et secundum autorem “*De divinis
officiis*” illud sacramentum est substancia panis, corpus
panis et panis visibilis, qui de terra crevit; cum illo
igitur contingit hominem solvere ieiunium, sicut cum
G. fumo vescibilium. Sed notandum quod ieiunium a pec-
A 78^a cato | debet servari in cenantibus cenam dominicam, et
corporale ieiunium non est curandum nisi de quanto
disponit ad istud ieiunium. Unde nostri concedunt
quod contingit ministrantem vel aliter laborantem come-
dendo et bibendo servare ieiunium ecclesie, sicut patet
35 de bibentibus et comedentibus vocatas species tam ante
prandium quam post: ymo Christus dedit corpus suum
discipulis suis post cenam; sicud homines infirmi,
quando egent plus digne corpus Christi assumere, com-
municantur post prandium. Ideo absit fidelem credere
40 quod ordinacio qua decretum est homines celebrare
ieiunios, faciat accidentis esse sine subiecto. Nam eccl-

But a decree
seems to say
that Christ's
body is ground
with the teeth.

Only the sign
is thus treated,
not the thing
signified.
They say: if
the bread
remains, the
Priest cannot
say Mass twice,
since the fast
is broken.

But the fast is
really broken
in any case,
according to
the Apostle's
words.

The great point
is to fast from
sin.

Christ's
disciples
communicated,
when not
fasting; nor do
the sick fast.

5. cum AB; tum C.
ib. dissolvete B.

8. tum C; tamen D.

23. contingit B;

This is a commandment of the Church, which might be abolished.

Again, words of the Saints are quoted against us.

But if these Saints say that only the qualities remain, they contradict St. Thomas; quality, implying "more or less", is the subject of quantity: which he rightly denies.

It would be stultifying their doctrine to say that all accidents are qualities.

When therefore they speak thus we understand them to mean the visible and material essence of the Sacrament.

sia potuit ordinasse rationabiliter quod celebrans parum ante comedat et multo magis quod post locionem cum aqua vel vino iterum celebret eodem die. Et patet nuditas istius evidencie ad probandum quod non sit sacramentum altaris sensibile, nisi accidens. Tercio ad 5 ducitur testimonium sanctorum sinistre conceptum, et allegatur | de Augustino, Anshelmo et aliis, quod solum B 87^b qualitates remanent in sacramento post consecrationem.

Nam tunc solum qualitates sunt sacramentum; et per consequens, cum ille qualitates possent maiorari et 10 minorari, ille qualitates forent subiecta quantitati eis formaliter inherenti; quod est contra sanctum Thomam super 4^o distinctione 12 questione prima articulorum. Sicut enim quantitas est dimensio que immediate consequitur materiam primam | existentem primum fundatum nature, sic alia accidentia non possunt ipsam in natura precedere. Nec valet dicere, quod omnia H.

genera accidentis sunt qualitates, quia hoc foret imponere philosophis et sanctis doctoribus nimis magnam stulticiam. Nam substancialis qualitas, ex 5^{to} Methaphysice 20 et principiis grammaticorum, est qualitas quam necesse est per se esse; et sic nichil esset vel posset esse nisi qualitas. Sed videtur michi probabile sanctos doctores intelligere per visibiles species essencias materiales ut sunt sensibiles, sicut in predicacione secundum causam 25 vel secundum subiectum sive materiam; fides autem scripture sic loquitur: "Christus est propiciatio pro I. Jo. peccatis nostris", prima Joh. II^o; et II^a Cor. V^o Christus II, 2 vocatur "peccatum". Et Ecclesiastici XLIV de iusto sacer- II. Cor. dote dicitur, quod "in tempore iracundie factus est 30 reconciliatio". Et isto modo videtur autorem supradictum loqui ubi supra. "In illo," inquit, "in quo fides non est, preter visibiles species panis et vini, nichil de sacrificio pervenit." Ideo solebam dicere quod si sacrificium altaris sit accidens, illud est solum illud fictum sacra- 35 mentum quod recipit infidelis | . Certum est tamen B 87^c quod iste maior intelligit per visibiles species panis et vini illam essenciam ut est sensibilis. Unde consequen-

14, 15. sequitur B. 24. intelligi CD. 28. patet B. 31. supradictum deest B. 35. illud deest B.

12. St. Th. Aq. *In Libris Sent.*, l. IV, Dist. 12, art. 1, quest^{la} 3^a Resp. ad primum. 20. Arist. ed. F. Didot, vol. II, p. 526, l. 5; p. 521, l. 8, 36.

ter dicitur, quod vita animalis caro est. Et locucio secundum illam predicacionem est crebrior in scriptura, quia certum est quod ad interiora tam infidelis quam I. muris venit materialis essentia sacramenti. Unde, ut 5 supra tetigi, potest intelligi per speciem et similitudi-

A 79^b nem de quibus loquitur Gregorius, ipsa essentia | ut est sensibilis et mistice similis corpori Christi. Et sic intelligi potest dictum Anshelmi, quod false ascribitur Augustino, quod nichil de pane remanet post consecrationem, nisi sensibilis qualitas. Sunt enim verba venerabilis Anshelmi in quodam libello de sacramento altaris; et verisimile est quod allegaret magistrum suum Augustinum, si forent ex integro verba sua; sic enim facit in Monologion ubi capit nude sentenciam Augustini.

15 Ideo vel mendaces vel scriptores propter similitudinem nominum Augustini et Anshelmi fecerunt, quod illa verba ascriberentur magno doctori Augustino. Non enim ita leviter moveret tantus doctor, quod solum qualitas remaneat post versionem uxoris Loth in statu tuam salis et silicis in vitrum. Quid enim pertinent ista ad inferendum quod accidens est sine subiecto? Unde swadent quod illa miraculosa conversio sacramenti sit deo possibilis, et infinitum magis mirabilis propter immensitatem miraculi, quam dictum opus nature.

K. 25 Et quantum ad sensum doctoris Anshelmi videtur B 87^a michi ipsum intelligere quod solum qua | litates panis et vini remanent forte in actu consideracionis; non tamen wult negare, quin remanent in sacramento alia octo genera accidentis. Unde miror quod moderni socii 30 tantum ponderant illud dictum, cum venerabilis Anshel- He says himself that no mus dicit in libro suo De veritate, capitulo finali, quod accident can exist without a subject. A 79^c accidens non potest esse sine subiecto, | sicut dicit And St. Thomas asserts that quality must be based upon quantity.

Augustinus; secundo, quia sanctus Thomas, cui illi innuntur, vere dicit quod impossibile est talem qualitatem 35 naturalem esse, nisi fuerit fundata in quantitate, que est primum genus accidentis; et tertio quia ipsimet

4. nutrit C; ib. et A. 9. beatus Gregorius CD. 9. remaneret B.
20. filicis AD. 24. miraculis pro mirabilis B. 27. consideracionis
fidicium C 33. Augustino omnes MSS; ib. quod BC.

11. Ans. Ep. CVII. *De corpore et sanguine Domini* (Migne, t. 159, p. 256). 30. St. Anselm, has the following words in the last ch. *De Veritate*: Existente namque corpore, colorem eius necesse est esse; et pereunte corpore, colorem eius manere impossibile est (Migne, t. 158, p. 484). 33. St. Th. Aq. In lib. Sent. c. IV, Dist. XII, art. 1. *Et ideo dicendum est.*

This mode of speech (taking the abstract for the concrete) is common in Scripture.

The words attributed to Augustine belong to a work of Anselm.

Besides, from his instances, Lot's wife changed into a pillar of salt, &c., Augustine could hardly say that quality alone remained.

And he can be understood in our sense.

He says himself that no accident can exist without a subject.

And St. Thomas asserts that quality must be based upon quantity.

And all agree that the Sacrament, if not bread, is an aggregate of quality and quantity. How then can Anselm mean that quality alone remains?

Those who quote this text should do so only to condemn it.

doctores dicunt michi, quod sacramentum altaris quod equivoce panis dicitur, est quedam aggregatio accidentium quantitatis et qualitatis. Cum igitur dictum Anshelmi asserat quod solum qualitas remanet, directe obviat iste sentencie, et manifeste patet quod nichil eis in pertinenciam quam allegare pro se hoc dictum. Nam allegacio non valet eis, nisi ut dictum id dampnetur, vel ut glossa eius infundabilis cumuletur; cum itaque secundum antiquos sanctos et modernos impossibile est quod solum qualitates remaneant, patet quod deus ordinavit hoc dictum ascribi Augustino et Anshelmo ut sensus eorum predictus concorditer sit scrutatus, et multo magis ut verba fidei defendantur.

Again, they say that the word 'bread' is to be understood metaphorically, as the semblance of bread.

Unde ob reverenciam scripture concedunt socii cum Ambrosio, Augustino et usu ecclesie, quod sacramentum altaris est panis sensibilis et corpus Christi, sed valde equivoce; quod dicitur panis eo quod similitudo panis, cum in natura sua sit accidens; et dicitur corpus Christi, quia figurat sacramentaliter corpus Christi. | Sed B 88^a patet ex dictis, quod oportet intelligere scripturam 20 L. But, according to Scripture, Ambrose and Augustine, this is not possible.

I^a Cor. X et XI de substancia panis, ut dicit Ambrosius I. Cor. et Augustinus in De sacramento altaris: "Corpus Christi X, 16; et veritas et figura est. Veritas | dum corpus Christi XI, 24; et sanguis, virtute ipsius, in verbo ipsius, panis unique A 79^a substancia efficitur; figura vero est illud quod exterius 25 sentitur. "Et iste videtur sensus cantus ecclesie:

Verbum caro, panem verum
Verbo carnem efficit etc.

The bread becomes something better; if so, it surely is not annihilated.

Unde panis non anihilatur, sed melioratur, dicente Augustino eodem, ubi prius, in "De sacramento altaris": 30 "Credendum est quod in verbis Christi sacramenta conficiantur: cuius enim potentia creantur prius, eius utique verbo ad melius recreantur." Si autem ad melius recreantur, non omnino destruuntur, dicente Quotation from Cyprian, contradicting annihilation. Cypriano in quadam epistola (et recitat eum Wymundus) 35

2. est deest D. 5. iste AB. 6. per pro pro. 17. quia AB.

22. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars Dist. II, c. 79. 35. Cypr. Epist., I, 6. Quoted by Guitmundus, *De Corporis et Sanguine Domini veritate* (Migne, t. 149, p. 1459). — Wymundus, also called Guitmundus, Witmundus, &c., archbishop of Aversa in Italy, is also known by the name of *Christianus*, which he afterwards took, as pointed out by Chifflet (Migne, t. 148, p. 1452, 3). His name occurs several times in *De Apostasia*. Wyklif's aim is to show his own orthodoxy by his agreement with one of the greatest adversaries of Berengarius.

“Denique”, inquit, “unanimitate Christianos firma sibi atque inseparabili caritate connexos eciam ipsa dominica sacramenta declarant; nam quando dominus corpus suum panem vocat de multiplici granorum adunacione 5 congestum propter nostrum quem portabat indicat adunatum, et quando sanguinem suum appellat de botris atque acinis plurimis expressum atque in unum coactum gregem nostrum signat commixtione adunate multitudo dinis copulatum.” Et idem dicunt sancti de millenario 10 Christi concorditer.

M. Unde solebam ponere tres conclusiones in ista materia, quas catholicus debet usque ad mortem defendere: Prima, quecunque persona vel secta est nimis heretica, que pertinaciter defenderit quod sacramentum 15 altaris per se existens in natura sua infinitum abieccior

A 80^a equi pane ac in | perfeccior est; secunda, quicunque | B 88^b pertinaciter defenderit quod dictum sacramentum sit accidens, quantitas, qualitas aut eorum aggregatio, incidit in heresim supradictam; tercia, panis triticeus in 20 quo solum licet confidere est in natura infinitum perfeccior pane fabino vel ratonis, quorum uterque in natura est perfeccior accidente. Patet conclusio ex hoc quod quicunque defenderit sic, defendit pertinaciter docma scripture sacre contrarium, quia tractatui apo-

25 stoli 1^a Cor. X et XI de corpore Christi vel cena dominica, ut patet per expositionem Ambrosii, Augustini et aliorum sanctorum, qui fuerunt pape precipui. Nam verum panem cenaverunt Christi discipuli; et foret nimis absolutum a fide scripture quod Christus pavit 30 suos discipulos pane infinitum peiori quam est panis ratonis vel panis alias venenosus. Hoc enim non excitaret, sed revocaret catholicos ex nimietate horroris accipere sacramentum; nec posset tale sacramentum perfici per accidens, cum non poterit alterari.

35 Sed quia Wymundus in tractatu suo de sacramento altaris contra Berengarium videtur esse autor capitalis. N. sic opinancium; ideo arguitur ex eius testimonio, quod impossibile est sacramentum altaris quod est eukaristia, esse quacunque materiali substancia abieccius in na- 40 tura. Scribit enim libro suo secundo, quod eukaristia sit sacramentum corporis domini intransitive loquendo,

Three conclusions:
1st ‘that the Sacrament is by nature an abject thing’ is a heresy;

2nd ‘that its nature consists in being an accident’;
ditto;
3rd that wheaten bread is more perfect than any accident.

The first two propositions are unscripural and therefore a heresy.

Value of Guilmundus' testimony as the adversary of Berengarius.

He says that the Sacrament is the body of Christ and a sign of the grace of God.

16. est *deest* BCD. 22. patet conclusio patet CD. 23. sic defen- derit sic CD. 31. rationis C.

id est, vere corpus domini et sacramentum aliarum rerum, scilicet bonitatis redempcionis et communionis corporis Christi mystici; ipsa tamen est corpus Christi proprium et corporis quod est ecclesia sacramentum.

His words quoted:
"Not a figure of Christ's body, but the reality".

Another passage.
"Three particles of the Host, are not three bodies but one Body".

"The Sacrament is rightly called bread and wine, because after the change it still appears to be so."

He is therefore very far from granting that the Eucharist is a mere accident.

This doctrine is supported neither by Scripture nor by the Fathers

It is only in a peculiar sense that the Sacrament is Christ's Body.

Augustine speaks of the

id est, vere corpus domini et sacramentum aliarum rerum, scilicet bonitatis redempcionis et communionis corporis Christi mystici; ipsa tamen est corpus Christi proprium et corporis quod est ecclesia sacramentum. "Sacri," inquit, "altaris | oblacio, cum substancia cor- A 80^b poris Christi veraciter sit, alia mysteria de ipso domino vel eius ecclesia absque | fidei periculo signare potest; B 88^c sed non est signum vel figura corporis Christi proprii, cum sit substancialiter ipsum corpus." Unde libro 1^d ita inquit: "Tota hostia est corpus Christi, ut nichilo- 10 minus unaqueque particula separata sit totum corpus Christi: nec tamen tres particule separate sunt tria corpora, sed unum corpus; nec ipse eciam particule a se tanquam plures differunt; quia quod corporis tocius una est, hoc et cetera sunt. Itaque iam non plures par- 15 ticule dicende sunt, sed una pocius hostia integra et indivisa, licet officio sacerdotis videatur quasi dividi. Similiter et si dentibus vel quo alio hec frangi videntur, intelligimus non hec frangi. Unde consequenter, libro 2^e: "Quis," inquit, "illud negat, quis enim non ut 20 pocius libenter fatetur, quod sacramenta mense dominice rite panis et vinum vocantur, vel quia prius panis et vinum fuerunt, vel quia panis et vini similitudinem substancialiter transmutata servant?"

Ex hiis et aliis dictis suis patet, quod inter omnes scribentes plus alienum fuit a proposito huius episcopi concedere quod sacramentum altaris per se existens sit in natura accidens vel aggregatio accidentis. Ipse enim dicit quod accidens non potest esse sine subiecto.

Item, non est fundabile in scriptura vel sanctis docto- 30 O. ribus de millenario Christi, sed pocius reprobatum, quod eukaristia sit accidens; igitur cum sit ita dissonum in materia | fidei, videtur quod pertinaciter illud defendere A 80^e foret hereticum. Argumentum patet ex dictis, cum fides scripture, sancti doctores, leges, et usus ecclesie vocant 35 regulariter ipsum panem, et, ad sensum alium indubie | B 88^f equivocum, corpus Christi. Unde Augustinus, De fide

4. corpus B. 6. aliqua B. 17. officiosa ABD. 18. alio modo pro quo alio CD; aliquo pro quo B.

5. Guitmundus, ubi supra. Migne, t. 149, p. 1461; but the words following *potest* are wanting in the text. 9. Guitmundus, ubi supra. Migne, t. 149, p. 1454.

ad Petrum: "Firmissime tene et nullatenus dubites ipsum unigenitum verbum carnem factum, qui se pro nobis obtulit sacrificium et hostiam in odorem suavitatis, cui cum patre et spiritu sancto a patriarchis et prophetis et sacerdotibus tempore veteris testamenti animalia sacrificabantur, et cui nunc, id est, tempore novi testamenti, cum patre et spiritu sancto cum quibus est illi una divinitas, sacrificium panis et vini in fide et caritate sancta ecclesia catholica per universum orbem terre offerre non cessat." Item beatus Bernhardus in quodam sermone qui sic incipit; "Misereor," declarat quod panis accipitur septempliciter in scriptura; Jo. VI, 52 "septimo," inquit, "accipitur pro eukaristia, ut Joh. VIº: Panis, inquit, quem ego dabo, caro mea est pro mundi vita." Et idem dicit Wimundus et doctores usque hodie concorditer; que igitur foret devocio sive religio, quod sacerdos sceleratissimus facit quotidie creaturam abiec-
 Jer. II, 10, lapide deum suum? Revera hoc excedit infideles in Dan. XIV, 4 veteri lege et sacerdotes Baal; ut patet Jeremie IIº et Danielis ultimo. Isti enim posuerunt istas esse substantias et figurare | deum deorum, qui non deteriorat suam fabricam; nostri autem ponunt unam aggregacionem accidentium, nesciunt quorum, esse panem sanctum et corpus Christi; et per consequens deum nostrum; abieciorem autem panem in natura sua deus non posset facere, tum quia ille panis nullius nature est, nisi fuerit generalis nature accidentis; tum eciam quia B 89º non quilibet potest esse | panis, sed solum substancia vel accidentis panis materialis. Et hic miror quod concedunt deum facere abiectissimum panem quem potest, et negant eum facere perfectissimum panem quem potest.

P. Secta autem ista est ad tantum maniaca quod dicit errorem suum fulciri ab universalis ecclesia ac si crederet se ipsam supponere totam ecclesiam; sic quod

"sacrifice of bread and wine".
Bernard says that "the seventh meaning of bread" in Holy Writ is the Eucharist".

To adore such an accident is worse than idolatry; idolaters at least take a substance, v. g. wood, as their God.

4. et A. 5. et sacerdotibus deest B. 17, 18. abiectissimum deest D.
35. si deest CD.

This sect goes to the mad extreme of asserting that the universal Church favours their error.

1. *De Fide ad Petrum.* Migne, t. 40, p. 772. This work, proved by Erasmus to be spurious, is now believed to have been written by Fulgentius, on account of the discovery of a very old MS. at Corbie. 13. Bern. Serm. i pro Dom. VI post Pent. in fine (Migne, t. 183, p. 339).

The Spouse
of Christ is
indeed
persecuted by
Gog and
Magog;

who cry out
that he who
holds the
ancient doctrine
of the Saints is
against the
Church.

There have
been three
opinions
concerning the
Eucharist.
1st That of the
first 1000 years.

2nd That of
Guitmundus.

3rd That of our
modern doctors
who affirm it
to be mere
quantity,
or sensible
quality, existing
by itself; or a
mere bundle of
accidents.

Fallacies of Gog
and Magog;

nulla sit militans ecclesia, quain non regit. Sed talis maniacus consideraret quomodo sancta mater ecclesia ab origine mundi usque ad diem novissimum est una persona, mulier fortis et sponsa Christi. Hec in medio etatis sue fuit copulata sponso suo Christo noviter in- 5 carnato. Post cuius millenarium per unum meditullium temporis, soluto patre mendacii, et tanta mania percus- sus est Gog et Magog, quod putat quicquid Gog non autorisaverit et Magog non invenerit, est contra de- terioracionem ecclesie universalis; ut pro toto mille- 10 nario Christi nesciunt invenire suum trimembre genus sacramenti altaris, sed directe contrarium. Et tamen clamant et blaterant quod tenens antiquam sanctorum sentenciam, | contradicit universalis ecclesie; Magog putat A 81^a enim quod ab ipso per se regente universalem eccle- 15 siam pendeat totus mundus. Tres itaque variaciones notari possunt in materia de quidditate eukaristie; prima regnante veritate pro millenario Christi dicit quod ipsa hostia est substancia panis in natura, sed sacramentaliter corpus Christi, ut dicunt sancti; et ista 20 2nd That of fides manet semper apud catholicos. Secunda fuit pro millenario solucionis sathanae multiplex variacio in materia de quidditate hostie, ut tempore Beren | garii B 89^b et Wymundi; ipse enim videtur dicere quod sacra- mentum sit in natura vel substancia solummodo corpus; 25 sed tertio magi pharaonis magis defecerant, ut hii Q. capiunt a doctore communis quod ipsum sit quantitas, cum ipsum per se existit et sit multipliciter sensibile, sed sola quantitas, ut inquiunt, subiectat sensibiles qualitates. Hii autem capiunt a doctore subili cum 30 secta sua quod sacramentum sit qualitas sensibilis per se existens. Et hii tertio pessime sompniant, quod ipsum sacramentum nichil est nec potest videri oculo corporali, cum sit aggregatio accidentium, quorum multa non poterunt sic videri. Teneamus igitur fidem quam 35 Ambrosius et Johannes Damascenus egregie declarave- runt; et caveamus de fallaciis Gog et Magog. Arguit enim: Si sacramentum altaris in natura sit imperfeccius

8. et deest CD. 9. 10. deterioracionem omnes MSS. 14. Quia Mag'g omnes MSS. 37. et deest C.

27. Unless *Doctor communis* stands here for *Doctor Universalis* (Alanus de Insulis) I think it must be the surname of some theologian in Wyclif's time. Migne's biographical Index of writers anterior to St. Thomas contains no such surname.

Lucifero et serpente, igitur per idem est imperfeccius
vicio et veneno; sed mandat deus credi a cunctis fide-
libus, quod optimum vinum sacramentale quod Christus
unquam propinavit ecclesie sit in natura infinitum de-
B 81^e terius quam | venenum; et tertio concludit fideles de-
bere credere Christum fecisse eque bene vel melius tam
in natura quam moribus ad profectum ecclesie, ser-
vando naturalem substanciam sacramenti, nisi ecclesia
in ipso determinasset oppositum. Sed procul a fidelibus
10 hec venena! Augustinus enim ponit sacramentorum sub-
stancias in melius recreari.

v. g. that the
best wine is
worse than
poison;
or that Christ
would have
done better to
have kept the
natural
substance of
bread as it is,
unless the
Church had
decreed
otherwise.

i. perfectius AB. ii. creari etc. B.

CAPITULUM UNDECIMUM.

Can an accident exist without its subject? i. e. quantity, quality or relation. Restat videre directe ulterius, si accidentis possit manere sine subiecto; et, ne equivocetur in terminis, suppono quod per accidentis intelligatur: quantitas, qualitas vel respectus. | Et cum dubium verificaretur B 89^e potissime de duabus prioribus, arguo de illis, quod non possunt esse sine substancia quam informent. Primo sic:

Negated.
1st Proof. The essential difference between substance and accident is that the latter is necessarily inherent in the former.
Guitmundus quoted in support of this.
"If accidents were anything, they would exist in their subject." Difference essencialis per quam accidentis differt a substancia est formaliter inherere; sed nulla differencia essencialis potest tolli, igitur nec illa inherencia ad 10 subiectum. Confirmatur per Wymundum, libro De eukaristia, de accidentibus sic dicentem: "Si," inquit, "aliquid essent, in subiecto essent; sed, contrariis supervenientibus, nec in suo possunt remanere subiecto, nec ad alia transmeare." Si igitur ille tam acceptus a cul- 15 toribus accidentis hoc asserit, stultum videtur inniti eius testimonio ad ponendum quod solum accidentis per se existens sine subiecto sit eukaristia.

Distinction: they can exist without a subject supernaturally, not naturally.
Answer: Then to inhere would no longer be essential.
A substantial form might become an accident.

Nec valet ficticia, qua dicitur accidentis non posse naturaliter, sed supernaturaliter per se esse; primo, quia 20 sic inherere foret accidentale accidenti et non quantitati aut qualitati per se differencia, sed solum respectibus, qui equivoce dicuntur accidentia respectu priorum; secundo, quia sic posset deus facere formam substancialis materialem inherere, cum potest actionem 25

1. Capitulum deest omnes MSS. 7. possent D. 22. qualis C.

9. The word *formaliter* has many different meanings in the Scholastic language. Here I take it to mean 'as a form, i. e. as a perfection, as an actuality (opposed to potentiality)' in the substance. And therefore *necessarily*. It is the important word in the argument. Defenders of absolute accidents would merely describe them as *having a natural tendency* to inhere: which might be counteracted by miracle. 12. Guitmundus, ubi supra, Migne, t. 149, p. 1443.

sui remanentis omnino suspendere; tertio, quia iuxta adversarios deus posset facere dictas res per se esse de communi cursu nature, et formas alias quas dicimus substanciales formaliter inherere; et per consequens posset mutare genera rerum faciendo quidlibet esse quidlibet, cum inherere vel per se esse sit accidentale B. cuicunque create essencie. Item, deus nichil agit superflue: sed superflueret ponere quantitatem et qualitatem que possent per se esse, cum illis positis oporteret ponere quantitatem et qualitatem sufficientes informare, omnino sicut ille ficerent, que non potuerunt per se B 89⁴ esse; igitur deus non facit huiusmodi qualitates | et quantitates. Si igitur sunt, sunt a deo Manicheorum.

Assumptum patet ex hoc quod deus non potest quicquam facere, nisi gratia finis debiti et manifesta ratione; cum aliter foret insipiens. Et minor patet ex hoc quod, posita quantitate vel qualitate que fingitur accidentaliter informare, oportet preter ipsam ponere informacionem, qua subiectum sit formaliter quantum vel quale; et illa sufficit sine alia facta, positis partibus substancialibus cum suis modis. Si enim A quantitas informat B subiectum et manebit in fine et non informans ipsum, oportet ponere informacionem distinctam, que sibi accidit: et notum est, si illius fieret 25 alia informacio, foret processus in infinitum in talibus.

Ideo secundum Augustinum 5^{to} De trinitate, capitulo A 81⁶ 13⁰, | sufficit ponere quantitatem que sit substancialis esse quantam, et qualitatem que sit substancialis esse qualitem. Cum igitur hoc per se sufficit, hoc non potest 30 esse sine subiecto, ut hodie blasphematur; nichil enim plus blasphemat in deum quam imponere sibi autorisationem operis sine causa ad finem quem compendiose intendit. Nec dubium quin oportet ponere informacionem 35 istorum accidentium distinctam; ut, posito per eis possibile, quod deus infinicies suspendit tam qualitatis quam quantitatis A subiecti informacionem, manente utraque essencia in eodem loco: manifestum est, quod A per vices sic intensus et remissum minorabitur, alias mutabitur aquirendo et deperdendo per vices

Why should God make a 'quantity' that can exist by itself, if one that cannot has to be posited in any case?

Such a scheme of things would come from the Evil God of Manichaeus.

If a quantity having to inform a subject does not inform it by itself, another form will be required to do so; and so on forever. Quantity defined: "the being so great of a substance"; Quality likewise.

If they were separate entities, the subject might be with and then without them.

1. quod B. 3. quas *deest* B. 5. *mutuare omnes* MSS. 9, 10. que — qualitatem *deest* BCD. 22. et *deest* BC. 33. *incedit* B. 34. *posito deest* D. 36. a sub informacionem BC; corr. A; aut sub D.

27. What comes nearest to the sense here indicated is, I believe, De Trin. I. VII, c. 1 (Migne, t. 42, p. 935).

Then they
would be
superfluous.

It cannot be said that an accident is distinct from its subject, unless a substantial form be also capable of existing without subject; it being more perfect than any accident.

Quantity follows matter; quality depends on the substantial form; matter and form are in some sort substance: which accidents cannot be. Pope Innocent says that the bread-ness and wine-ness remain after consecration.

This the adversaries deny. But bread-ness and wine-ness are the substantial forms of bread and wine.

Even the *materia prima* cannot exist by itself.

Nor can the substantial forms either.

qualitatem et quantitatem. Cum igitur subiectum sufficit cum illis in omnem utilitatem in quam sufficit cum accidentibus illis absconditis, manifestum est, quod ille superfluerent. Nec dicetur aliquid | accidentis distin-^{B 90^a} gwi a subiecto, nisi ponatur illa esse accidentia, per 5 quorum aquisitionem et deperdicionem subiectum tam varie moveretur. Item proporcionabiliter ut aliquid est C. in natura propinquius substancie, potest ipsum per se stare; sed forma substancialis materialis et materia prima est propinquior in natura substancie quam genus 10 aliquod accidentis; igitur ipsa possunt evidencius per se stare. Maior patet ex hoc, quod illa est racio substancie in quantum talis. Et confirmatur ex testimonio adversariorum, qui propterea ponunt solum quantitatem posse per se esse, quia est primum genus accidentis, 15 consequens materiam que est prior forma; vel | secun-^{A 82^b} dum alios solum quantitas et qualitas ponuntur per se esse, quia qualitas de propinquuo consequitur formam substancialiem. Et minor argumenti patet ex hoc, quod utrumque 20 istorum elementorum est modo suo substancia, et idem quodammodo substancie composite; hoc autem non potest competere accidenti. Unde in confirmationem istius papa Innocencius 3^{us}, qui creditur esse autor istius opinionis, dicit in tractatu suo de eukaristia, quod panitas et vinitas remanent in sacra- 25 mento post consecrationem; sed secte, tanquam ingratifi filii, dicunt cum Aristotele, quod hoc non potest esse. Sed de accidentibus (quod est evidencius et Aristoteles dicit expressius) nolunt revocare errorem, quin ipsa oporteat per se esse. Nec dubium quin panitas et vini- 30 tas sunt forme substanciales, que cum remanent patet ex opinione Innocencii IIIⁱⁱ, quod remanent sacramentum. Si enim materia prima remaneat per tempus informis, cum non sit quid nisi per formam, sequitur quod per | illud tempus pure remanet nichil. Et ista B 90^b est sentencia illius fidelis philosophi Ursonis, qui capitulo 3^o libri sui "De mixtionibus elementorum" dicit, quod materia est medium inter substanciam et accidentis, nec accidentis, ut inquit, poterit per se esse. De forma

33. maneat CD. 34. sed pro sequitur BC.

36. Urso, a scholastic and natural philosopher of the XIIth century, quoted by Wyclif in *De Compositione Hominis*, *De Ente Predicamentali* and elsewhere. His Aphorisms and other writings are in the Bodleian library.

autem substanciali patet, quod si ipsa est, tunc ipsa informat substanciam; ut, si igneitas est, tunc secundum ipsam aliquid est formaliter ignis; ymo, ut adversarii vere concedunt, si quantitas est secundum ipsam, aliquid est formaliter quantum. Si igitur panitas et vinitas sunt, est dare aliqua que secundum ipsas sunt formaliter panis et vinum; et sic, si forma substancialis materialis A 82^b non poterit per se esse, longe evidencius forma | accidentalis materialis non poterit per se esse.

D. 10 Item, si forma substancialis vel accidentalis per se esset, ipsa de se foret formatum non per accidens sed se ipsa; ut, si essenciam esse quantam, que indubie est forma, foret per se ipsa, foret illa quantitas; et sic de aliis formis tam substancialibus quam acciden-

15 talibus. Et ideo declarat Lincolniensi quod "deus est prima forma." Cum igitur quelibet forma, in quantum accidentalis, habet in se quandam potentiam cui accedit informari, videtur quod quelibet forma, in quantum accidentalis, includit in se suum subiectum. Ideo

20 dicit Lincolniensis super 2^o posteriorum 2^o capitulo: "Causa," inquit, "eadem rei est eius causa formalis; quia forma totum verum esse rei in se habet, et si sit forma que non egeat materia, ipsa est vere res ipsa;

et forma que eget materia, si posset subsistere absque 25 materia, esset verius res ipsa quam res materiata; sicut

B 90^e si figura statue posset esse | sine materia, esset ipsa figura verius res ipsa quam res materiata." Si igitur 30 sicut est unum quantum continuum, ita est unum figu-

ratum album et sapidum, quia sensus aliter nimis illuderentur circa illa sensibilia. Nec est racio quare 35 ponere unam potentiam sive essenciam que substan-

tatur varietatibus istorum accidentium; quia aliter, ut ait Augustinus 5^{to} De trinitate, capitulo 3^o, forent deus.

A 82^c Nam | distinccio est inter *corpus esse album* et *hoc corpus esse sic album*. Ideo, cum ista albedo sit natura

2. informatur substancia BCD. 5. Sic igitur AB; sic igitur si C; si igitur si D. 13. ipso BCD. 18. informare D.

21. *Rei* is in the dative here. "A cause identical with the thing itself...." 37. Chapter IV. of the same book (Migne, t. 42, p. 913) seems to come nearest to Wyclif's meaning.

How then can accidental forms, less perfect, exist by themselves? No form can possibly be accidental, if it exists by itself: Thus God is the 'primordial form'.

An abstract quality must exist in a subject that is other than itself; indefinite in itself, it is either limited by a subject, or infinite, as God.

posterior hoc corpore, ista albedo non potest esse sine hoc corpore, sed econtra; genus autem vel species albedinis potest esse sine hoc corpore, sed de ultimo individuo accidentis.

Names should be given to things according to their properties; so the

Sacrament, having all the properties of bread, is properly named so.

It has even essential properties, such as action and passion.

Innocent says that when the Host is altered (chemically) the same individual *materia prima* returns: it must therefore have existed all the time.

When the substance is changed, the name changes too.

If an accident could acquire the properties of substance, it should be called so; an unnecessary miracle, since the permanence of the substance would suffice.

Item, ex proprietatibus debet res sortiri nomen reale,⁵ E. non ab institutione humana; sed dictum sacramentum habet plene proprietates substancie panis; igitur deus vere nominat ipsum nomine panis: et sic quadruplex ewangelium et apostolus regulariter vocant ipsum panem. Si enim accidentalis similitudo pensatur, patet quod nichil potest esse pani similius quam hoc sacramentum est simile substancie panis; et si intelligitur proprietas essencialis, non potest convinci in alio pane substancialis proprietas quin hoc sacramentum habet consimilem, ut patet de per se accione et passione; in tantum ¹⁵ quod Henricus Gawnt videtur asserere | quod, generato B 90⁴ verme de hostia, illa accidentia per se subiectant animam sensitivam. Et Innocencius 3^{us}, in tractatu suo de eukaristia, dicit quod, deformata hostia, redit eadem materia prima in numero: quod non foret fingendum, nisi illa ²⁰ materia foret presto continue et per consequens idem panis; Deus enim non destruit substanciam, nisi prius natura vel tempore accidens eius destruxerit; aliter enim non foret causa destruccionis talis substancie, ut repugnat bonitati divine punire hominem, nisi peccatum primum sit ²⁵ in causa. Ad quid igitur destrueret panis substanciam? | A 82⁴

Confirmatur ex hoc quod, mutata essencia in aliud, mutatur et nomen substancie: ut homo secundum corpus transformatus vere dicitur esse terra, aut secundum animam, dicitur esse dyabolus: et iuxta sanctos factus ³⁰ est aliud: sic igitur, si illud quod prius fuit accidens panis habet miraculose proprietates substancie, debet vere dici et esse substancia. Quamvis autem hoc esset maius miraculum, foret tamen superfluum, cum prior panis sufficeret; et consonat quod ille sit in melius, ³⁵ quia in corpus domini recreatus. Sicut igitur ille panis sacramentalis habet proprietates prime substancie, sic

28. et ACD.

18. Innocent III wrote *De Sacro Altaris Mysterio*. I have not been able to identify the quotation, which, if exact, would contradict the whole scheme of Catholic belief. For if the *materia prima* returns, as said here, it must have been present somewhere all the time; and instead of transubstantiation, there is only transformation.

et nomen. Sed sicut dyabolus docet bonum dici malum et econtra, sic transfert veritatem et nomina signorum in quibus seducit populum. Sic enim apostasia vocatur religio, elemosina dicitur serenitas, et globus istorum cumulatus 5 symoniace vocatur mendaciter patrimonium crucifixi. Sic, inquam, oportet quod in isto venerabili sacramento, B 91^a quo Magog tantum abutitur, sunt mendacia cumulata. |

F. Item si talis quantitas et qualitas per se producerentur et post per se corrumperentur, tunc crearentur et 10 adnichilarentur; sed non sic se habent, quando producuntur et corrumpuntur in substancia; igitur regulariter agens creatum posset creare et adnichilare; argumentum est falsigraphis satis possibile; et contraria videtur ex hoc quod tam qualitas quam quantitas cre- 15 ditur una res que potest per se existere, ut anima, et A 83^a evidencius quam materia prima. Si igitur | materia prima non poterit sic produci nisi creacione, evidencius talis forma. Unde falsigraphi dicunt quod materia prima non potest esse informis; quia, cum non potest 20 esse aliquid nisi per formam, si per tempus foret informis, per idem tempus foret non aliquid. Et minor argumenti videtur de anima et aliis tribus que secundum se totas producuntur de potentia subiecti; nisi que sunt quodammodo subiectum per transmutacionem 25 eius accidentalem; sic quod non dicant essenciam, que poterit per se esse; ut est de respectibus, et, secundum Aristotelem, de quibuscumque formis materialibus. Et in ista difficultate laboravit Wymundus; et secte adverse confirmant illud per hoc quod deus prius 30 producit hoc accidens quam producitur ab agente creato. Ymmo, prius quam recipitur in subiecto, ut patet de prioritate communi, et pro illa priori oportet quod creetur: igitur quelibet talis forma in producione creatur, et per idem in corrupcione adnichilatur; 35 et ita dicerent philosophi, si sic sentirent de per se permanencia accidentis et quomodo independeat a subiecto. Sic enim conceperunt philosophi formas materiales educi de potentia materie.

A 91^b Item, maior est dependencia accidentis | ad substantiam quam econtra; sed nulla substancia potest esse sine accidente; igitur evidencius nullum accidens potest

If quantity etc. became by themselves and then no longer so, there would be annihilation.

They are supposed to exist per se: which *materia prima* can do. Now the latter requires creation, and the former too. Therefore they say *materia prima* can never be without form; for if it were, it would be nothing.

They escape by saying that God, not the priest, elicits the creative act.

There is a greater dependency of accident on substance than vice versa;

6. quod deest BCD. 10. non minus CD. 21. non deest CD.
25. qua B. 32. illa corr. A. 36. independeant AB. 37. concepe-
runt C.

but no substance can be without accident; there fore no accident without substance. esse sine substancia que subiectat. Maior est nota fidelibus, cum substancia sublunaris dependeat per accidens ab influencia celesti et lumine in communi; accidens autem sic dependet a subiecto | in quo est, quod sine A 83^b illo non potest esse propter necessarium ordinem cau- 5 sandi; et minor patet ex hoc quod posita substancia ponitur eius relacio ad deum, que evidencius distinguitur ab extremis quam relacio personarum divinarum

If any creature was without accidents, this would be esse accidentata, privacio accidentis accidenter sibi in- 10 accidental itself. Matter is in space and time, and therefore has accidents. And immaterial beings have unity, the source of quantity. est. Similiter si substancia materialis est, tunc est quanta, figurata et alicubi, et per consequens accidentatur. Si autem substancia immaterialis est, tunc inest sibi uni- 15 tas que est principium quantitatis discrete, et multiplicatio per situm accidentaliter sibi inest. Unde Augustinus, epistola 40 ad Dardanum: "Spacia locorum tolle corporibus, et nusquam erunt, nec erunt: tolle ipsa corpora a qualitatibus, nec erit ubi sunt; et ideo necesse est ut non sint. Et si moles ipsa corporis, quanta- 20 cumque sit, auferatur, penitus auferantur qualitates eius, nec erit ubi sint, quamvis non mole meciende sint."

Substance cannot exist without some quantity and quality; so neither can the latter without substance. And the gloss is wrong to distinguish between natural and supernatural possibility. God does nothing uselessly; now, if so, quantity and quality cannot exist alone. Ex istis patet quod genus quantitatis et qualitatis non possunt a materiali substancia separari: et evidencius non potuerunt per se esse. Unde sinistra videtur michi 25 glossa ponens Augustinum intelligere, quod necesse est naturaliter ita esse, quamvis supernaturaliter ut in sacramento altaris possit oppositum evenire. Nam in eadem epistola tractat de sacramento altaris, et | videtur, B 91^c quod tam subtilis logicus non foret tante immemor 30 fidei sacramenti.

Item, supponendum est tanquam per se notum fideli philosopho, quod natura prima agit compendiosius quo ad finem totalem quo potest; sed, eo ipso, nulla quantitas vel qualitas poterit per se esse: igitur hoc est 35 necessarium. Maior patet ex hoc quod deus, dispendiose agens sine innovacione finis vel comodi, ageret de tanto superflue: et per hoc principium probant philosophi quod oportet mundum esse sphericum, cum inter

1. maior et D. 10. sicut CD; ib. possit CD. 18-20. corpora — ipsa deest BCD. 21. auferatur deest omnes MSS. 22. mentiende C. 29. est deest BCD. 38. superfuo BC.

17. Aug. Ep. ad Dard., c. VI (Migne, t. 33, p. 838).

omnes figuræ ysopometras illa sit capacissima. Per hoc eciam probant philosophi, quod oportet angulum incidentie esse equalē angulo refleccōnis, quia aliter natura non ageret ita compendiose et breviter sicut 5 potest; et sic de multis conclusionib⁹ abditis in natura.

Et minor argumenti ex hoc evidet, quod posita quantitate vel qualitate substancie materialis per se vel cum subiecto suo vel extra, suspensa informacione subiecti fixi et quieti, foret substancia materialis quanta et 10 qualis per quantificacionem et qualificacionem, que non poterint per se esse; ideo produccio illius accidentis H. per se foret omnino superflua. Et confirmatur ex hoc

quod materialis essencia non est nisi gracia essencie spiritualis. Sed eque potest vocatum accidens per se 15 hec omnia facere, sicut materialis essencia. Igitur super-

fluit talem ponere. Accidens enim, quod est sacramen- tum, ut inquiunt, potest per se quomodounque moveri: ideo precluditur philosophis omnis via ponendi mate- rialem substanciam. Et quantum ad autores scripture,

20 glozari possunt pari auctoritate, quod loquuntur de B 9¹⁴ globo accidencium, sicut scriptura, ut fingunt, | vocant accidencia, que non sunt materialis substancia, panis A 83^a et vinum. Et talis consideracio | movebat Augustinum

tanquam per se notum concedere quod omnia acci- 25 dencia sunt res que non potuerunt per se esse. Scribit enim 2^o Soliloquiorum capitulo 13, “esse aliquid in aliquo non nos fugit dici duobus modis, uno localiter, ut corpus seiungi atque alibi esse possit, ut hoc lig- num, in hoc loco et sol in oriente. Altero autem modo

30 ita est aliquid in subiecto ut ab eo nequeat separari; depend; except ut in hoc ligno forma et species quam videmus; ut in sole lux, et in igne calor, et in animo disciplina. Et

si qua sunt alia, ista,” inquit, “vetustissima nobis sunt, et ab ineunte adolescencia studiosissima percepta et

35 cognita; quia non possum, interrogatus de hiis, quin ea sine ulla deliberacione concedam, et sequitur illud vero quod interrogasti.” Quis concesserit, aut cui posse Is this the case fieri videatur ut illud quod est in subiecto, maneatur with absolute ipso interrente subiecto? Monstruosum quidem et a

It is useless to attribute to a substance quantity and quality that can exist alone, when the other sort, that cannot, acts just as well.

If these accidents do all that the substance does, why not suppose a substance?

Augustine lays down as self-evident that accidents cannot exist by themselves; that things are ‘in another’, either locally or as in a subject on which they depend; except in intuitive truths.

Is this the case with absolute accidents?

17. quocunque BCD; *ib.* movere B. 20. loquitur C. 26. aliud D.
30. negant C; nequit corr. D. 34. studiosissimè CD. 37. aut *deest* B.

23. Aug. Sol. I. II, c. 12 (Migne, t. 32, p. 895).

veritate alienissimum, ut illud quod non esset, nisi in ipso esset, eciam cum ipsum non fuerit, possit esse.

Every separable form has a tendency of its own, the want of which argues that qualities are not separable: e. g. the human soul.

Also, every possible substance.

Every substance

has a tendency to self-conservation, a love of self, and repose in itself; and therefore must have a proper resistance to what harms it.

But a quality acts in a quite different way, nor can it properly be said to act at all.

If a quality had a proper action of its own, it would act without changing its substance, and we should never know anything experimentally.

As for moral qualities, if such could act by themselves, better not to have them.

Item quelibet forma accidentia separabilis, habet ap. I. petitum proprium et nata est habere actionem propriam naturalem; sed hoc repugnat quibuslibet qualitatibus convenire; igitur et separabilitas non potest eis competere. Maior patet de anima humana et de qualibet re per se possibili, sicut habet proprium esse, sic habet appetitum proprium ad suum esse, ut patet de tribus rebus quas Augustinus ponit uniri ad modum | trinitatis in qualibet tali essencia. | Habet enim potentiam conservandi et appetendi se ipsam; et secundo, quantum potest se appetere, tantum appetit se naturaliter; et tertio, tantum quietatur naturaliter in se ipsa. Sicut igitur quelibet talis forma activa per se posita resistenter suo contrario propter appetitum quem haberet ad esse proprium, ita inseparabiliter habet huius modi appetitum; et patet quod impossibile est ipsam agere nisi agat propriam actionem, cum accio individuatur ab agente. Minor autem argumenti patet multipliciter, primo, quia alia accione agit subiectum et alia sua qualitas; secundo, quia qualitas, cum nata sit per se manere se ipsam, ipsa posset per se exire subiectum localiter et per consequens requiritur ligamentum distinctum ab ipso et subiecto, quo ipsa ad invicem colligentur; sic enim ponuntur unio et continuacio distincta ab extremis. Tercio, quia qualitates non possent communicare acciones suas subiectis, sicut non possunt communicare agencias suas alienis qualitatibus, vel materie prime; et utrobique est tanta racio vel maior, ratione coaccionis vel causacionis; quomodo igitur forent subiecta alterativa? Quarto, videtur quod omnis generacio qualitatis sit in pertinens alteracioni substancie; quia, supposito quod qualitas non informet substanciam, quod non potest esse isti vie, nisi per revelationem notum vel cognitionem, tunc non alteratur subiectum per inductionem qualitatis: et periret omnis motus noticia, omnis experientia et per consequens omnis demonstracio

As for moral vel consideracio philosophica. Quinto, videtur quod qualitates morales, ut summa | liberalitas, semper inclinant ad eque dandum, quomodounque subiectum fuerit

21. illa corr. A; BCD.

10. Aug. De Trin., l. IX, c. 12 (Migne, t. 42, p. 970, 971).

B 92^b passionatum; conclusio, si foret virtus vel | vicium bonum, bonum esset carere, cum eius regimen per rationem requireret magnam solitudinem. Sexto videtur, cum res est eo perfeccior in natura quo est perfeccius accidentata, quod caliditas sit perfeccior in natura quam ignis, si aliquis sit talis, quia illa est per se calefactiva; et quomodounque ignis foret activus per unam rem sibi illinitam, eius accio per accidens ex nuda concordancia mutuatur. Septimo, videtur quod omne corpus elementare foret mixtum ratione naturarum per se possibilium commixtarum; nam unumquodque eorum habet propriam raritatem et densitatem ac per se existenciam, sicut haberet ipso de possibili extracto cum eisdem passionibus.

Heat, would be hotter than fire: heat heats by itself, while fire borrows its action from heat.

K. 15 Nec valet quod philosophi aliter locuti sunt de mixtione, de varitate, et densitate qualitatum; quia aliter indubie locuti sunt de quidditate earum; ymmo, si sic grosse conceperint de illis accidentibus, posuissent alia sequencia consequenter: ut duo sacramenta calicis, que ponuntur accidentia, poterunt comisceri ad invicem et varie transmutari; sed hoc est alienum a qualitate; qualitas enim non est nisi substanciam esse quallem.

All elements would be compounds; v. g. rarity; density &c., proper to themselves, would be distinct from their subjects.

Et per hoc tolluntur omnes instancie prediche; nec dubium fideli, quin propter innovacionem modi qui non potest per se esse, stat opposita vicissim verificari et A 84^c subiecta varie trans | mutari, cum sola substancia poterit per se esse. Et ista videtur sententia Augustini in *De quantitate anime*, capitulo 4º. "Prorsus," inquit, "non dubito corpora omnia longitudine, latitudine, et 30 altitudine carere non posse, sed numquid potest cogitari B 92^c ista tria esse nisi in corporalibus?" | "Non intelligo," inquit, "quomodo esse alibi possunt." In retractando itaque illum librum et servando istam sentenciam confirmavit.

That philosophers have spoken otherwise of the mixture of qualities is no matter. Wine may be mixed in two chalices, but this has nothing to do with quality.

35 Item qualitates, ut virtutes et gracie, sunt multis substancialiis meliores: quod non posset esse nisi ipse includant formaliter virtuosam substanciam, et dicant eius modum laudabilem. Igitur ille qualitates non possunt esse sine subiecto et per idem nullum aliud accidentem.

Some qualities are of a higher order than some substances; this could not be, unless their idea included the substance to which they belonged. So quality should not be set apart from substance.

40 Assumptum videtur, eo quod ille qualitates sunt eligi-

1. que pro conclusio A. 3. solitudinem B. 5. accidentia *omnes MSS.*
20. potuerunt CD. 22. aliud nisi B.

Charity is better than the whole world; but a houseful of virtues, set apart from their subjects, would be worth nothing at all.

The same argument also applies to vices.

Vice is infinitely evil, since its accidental presence as a form is so great an evil: not even the devil is worse than his sin.

It is false that virtues and vices cannot exist by themselves, while material qualities can; for if so, the latter would be more perfect than the former.

Where the error lies: in an abstract predication of the form,

biliores datis substanciis: ut patet de diviciis; ymo videtur quod nemo excederet a caritate pro toto mundo salvando, quod de natura eligibilis virtus illa sit homini optacior et sic melior toto mundo; quod non potest salvari, si fuerit res per se possibilis. Nam domus plena 5 talibus qualitatibus per se positis, non valet obolum, et cum impossibile sit esse secundum et accidentale rei excedere esse suum primum et essenciale, a quo dependet illud esse secundum, manifestum est quod tales virtutes non habent esse primum separabile a subiecto. 10

Et idem est argumentum de viciis quorum informacionem nemo reciperet pro deo vel quoquam possibili.

Nam, ut sic, homo peccaret et per consequens faceret aliter quam deberet. Ex quo | logici inferunt quod vi- A 84^d cium sit infinitum malum, cum eius informacio sibi 15 L. accidentalis sit tam mala; dyabolus enim non est peior peccato suo, licet alio modo fuerit malus, quia tunc foret homo abieccior virtute sua; et loquor abstractive de virtutibus ut sunt qualitates, non ut sunt deus.

Virtus enim foret confirmata, ut angelus. 20

Nec est color fingere, quod aliique qualitates, | sed B 92^d non virtutes aut vicia, poterunt per se esse; quia ille ex condicione dignitatis possent potissime per se esse. Ymo, qualitates materiales forent perfecciores substantia, cum omnem alteracionem et actum substancie 25 possent per se facere, substancie autem non nisi ex accodacione earum; ut caliditas per se ignire posset quotlibet combustibilia. Deus igitur dando sibi talem virtutem daret sibi proporcionabilem perfeccionem; nec est racio quare deus potest colligere quantitates et alia 30 accidencia corporalia, sic quod sint secundum se tota ad omnem punctum hostie, quin per idem posset extendere virtutes et vicia, cum par sit utrobique distanca. In tales blasphemias evanuerunt cultores signorum, ponentes quod mors per se moveatur per patrias, et ex 35 adverso veniant omnia genera morborum que mortem crucient et occident, et videntur sonare ad hoc dicta prophete: *O mors ero mors tua;* sed error consistit in deteccione predicacionis formalis et predicacionis secundum subiectum, quomodo Augustinus super illo 40

11. est *deest* B. 26. non *deest* *omnes* MSS. 28. combustialia B.
34, 35. possibilitates B; corr. A. 35. moneatur D; *ib.* primas B.
37. dicta *deest* B.

40. Aug. Enarr. in Ps. LXVIII (Migne, t. 36, p. 814).

A 85^a psalmo LXVIII. "Non est substancia |," dicit quod omnis creatura est substancia. Et sic indubie accidentia que mendaces ponunt esse sine subiecto sunt de facto panis et vinum. Sed consideracio illarum naturarum est sopita propter excellenciam substancialium; sicut sensatio carbonis extinguitur propter ignis dominium, ut docet Damascenus. Accidentia autem sicut non habent aliud esse quam inesse, sic non habent aliam perfectionem quam informare. Sed secundum rationem qua acci-
to dencia, ut quantitates aut accidentia huiusmodi speciei,
B 93^a sunt sic | perfecta; ut quantitas dimensionalis, quia con- sequitur materiam primam, est minime perfecta; ideo maxime elongatur ab esse sacramenti et post illa qualitates alie corporales.

M. 15 Item, ut tactum est, posito accidente sine subiecto, quod sit sacramentum altaris, oportet ponere vacuum; consequens impossibile: igitur antecedens. Si enim situs sacramenti sit vacuus, tunc per idem extra mundum foret vacuum infinitum; quia aliter, ut inquiunt, deus 20 non posset movere mundum versus oriens quantumcumque velociter, nec posset creare mundum alium extra istum, nec extendere aliquod corpus positum in mundi confinio extra ipsum: que omnia, ut inquiunt, derogant divine potentie. Sicut igitur deus potest, servatis parie-
25 tibus domus, anihilare totum aerem interceptum, non subinducto novo corpore, sic potest de situ hostie: et sic facit, cum corpus Christi non supplet plenum, nec accidens per se excludit vacuum; quia, ut tactum est, oportet (si vacuum est) quod in ipso sint qualitates et
30 quantitates.

Quod autem hoc sit impossibile, videtur multis A 85^b do | citoribus: quia tunc foret vacuum infinitum, deo coeternum, nec creator nec creature, sed omnino superfluum, cum ablato de illo infinities infinito, residuum 35 sufficeret ad omne eius officium. Nec subiacet divine potentie replere illud corpore, ipsum destruere vel moveare; sed foret de illo mundus coeternus deo infinitum sensibili mundo perfectior, quia prior in natura, cuius destruccio tolleret deum nostrum. Igitur infinitum B 93^b bonum foret illud cuius infinitum mala foret pri | vacio. Si igitur pedale illius foret aliquantum bonum, totum foret infinitum bonum, cum deus non posset sine ipso

confounded with the concrete predication of the subject. Augustine says, every thing created is substance, then so are these accidents. The perfection of accidents is the 'being-in-a-subject'. Quantity, following the *materia prima*, is the lowest is of all.

Who posits absolute accidents asserts a vacuum; this is absurd: so is that.

If the place where the Sacrament is be void of all substance, then there must also be an infinite void.

Neither Christ's body nor the accidents fill it.

Now this is impossible. I. It would be infinite, but neither uncreated nor created.

II. God could

neither fill,

move, nor

destroy it.

III. As

necessary as

God, it would

be infinitely

good.

IV. Two Gods; quicquam facere; ipsum igitur foret deus malus. Multa contrary to faith; limiting God's power. sunt talia, ex quibus videtur domino Ardmachano quod repugnat fidei ecclesie esse vacuum, ut patet in tractatu suo *de pauperie salvatoris*. Non enim consonat divine potencie quod ipse non possit creare mundum sine hoc vacuo sibi coaterno, vel quod non posset destruere hoc accidens sine aliquo in ipso vacuo noviter introducto, sicut singitur prius destruxisse substanciam; vel quod non posset movere vacuum istud localiter, quo posito posset movere aliud vacuum coextensem post ipsum; et sic infinitum.

A void should be admitted only for some good reason; but there is none.

It amounts to the annihilation of the world; if God can annihilate bread, He can annihilate the world.

They themselves admit that the body of Christ comes only when the bread is annihilated.

Nothing remains of the bread, not even the *materia prima*.

There comes an unexampled and incomprehensible change. If God can make bread to be His Body, without destroying it, the vacuum is a useless fiction.

Item, si gemme vacuorum foraminum ponuntur in N. ecclesia ratione hostie consecrate, aliqua est racio earum apud deum et aliqua utilitas ecclesie; sed neutrum istorum est fingendum: | igitur nec antecedens hoc in-

ferens. Quo ad deum, videtur quod implicat in eo inprovidenciam, quod creet mundum plenum materiali essencia, et det sibi incorruptibilitatem, et tunc anichilet sine causa; anichilet, dico, quia post consecrationem nusquam est secundum aliquam sui partem; nam non fit pars corporis Christi, ut inquiunt, nec est in situ hostie, nec alibi; nec pocius convertitur in corpus Christi quam unum penitus anichilatum preter sua accidentia converteretur in substanciam succendentem.

Et confirmatur ex principiis adversariorum, qui ponunt deum posse anichilare vel destruere corpus panis, cum hoc quod sub illis accidentibus succedat corpus Christi sacramentaliter; | et per consequens prius naturaliter est ipsa anichilatio panis sive destruccio, antequam sit illa facta conversio. Si enim panis erit corpus Christi, ut dicit beatus Ambrosius, vel si remanet eadem communis materialis essencia, ut fuit de conversione uxoris Loth in statuam, ut patet de exemplis per quae probat Ambrosius possibilitatem conversionis panis in corpus Christi, tunc non destrueretur alia materialis essencia; sed Gog tollit omnem efficaciam et pertinen-

ciam argumentorum beati Ambrosii, et statuit sibi unam transsubstancialionem quam eciam Magog fatetur se non posse intelligere; quomodo igitur informaret simplices in hac fide? Et quo ad utilitatem ecclesie, certum videtur quod hec foramina vacua nichil prosunt, cum foret deo convenientius et ecclesie | utilius accipere A 85¹

10. movere deest B. 13. alia BCD; corr. A. 15. ut B; corr. A.
32. fuit deest B.

pabulum spirituale de situ repleto substancia; sed vide-
 Prov. tur istam ficticiam figurare boum careciam, quia
 XIV, + Prov. XIV dicitur: "Ubi non sunt boves, presepe va-
 I. Cor. IX cum est." Constat autem secundum apostolum 1^a Cor. IX:
 5 "boves triturantes" sunt mistice dei adiutores ecclesiam
 edificantes; ideo, si foramen hostie sit vacuum, indubie
 desunt boves. Si enim deus potest facere panem esse
 corpus suum, ut dicunt sancti, ad quid essenciam ma-
 teriale destrueret? Nec dubium quin deus hoc potest,
 10 ut patet in exemplis sanctorum.

O. Et iterum manifestum est quod mundus iste depen-
 det a quacunque materiali essencia; ita quod illud
 nephandum sophisma excludatur, "dependet a materia,
 sed a nulla materia dependet." Nam est ordo essen-
 15 cialis et prioritas naturalis causacionis inter totam ma-
 teriam mundi | et ipsum, quia aliter nulla materia foret
 causa sui materiati; et per consequens est dare mate-
 riam distinguentem illam causacionem; sic enim est
 dare materiam hominis, domus et similium, a qua de-
 20 pendit.

Item, si ista materia posset secundum se totam par-
 tibiliter anichilari, cum hoc quod maneat iste mundus:
 per idem sic foret de forma, et per consequens deus
 non posset creare mundum aliud; quia ad unitatem
 25 dei, posito mundo, staret idem mundus in numero.

Item, iuxta istam insaniam, melius foret quod tota
 materia mundi, forma et accidens, converterentur in
 partes Christi et sua accidencia, quam quod maneret sic
 dispersa; igitur nec a materia nec a forma dependet
 A 80^a iste mundus. | Sicut enim dependet a specie materie
 vel forme, sic dependet a specie multiplicitatis accidentis,
 et sic posset Christus et quelibet eius pars quantitativa
 esse iste mundus; et sic nedum quelibet pars quantita-
 tiva Christi vel mundi superfluerit, sed anima Christi
 35 posset converti in animam mundi et quidlibet ydem-
 picti cuilibet. Nam assimilata tota materiali substancia
 mundi corpori Christi, sine hoc quod ipsum plurifiscetur
 vel maioretur, dicunt quod nulla materialis substancia
 anichilatur sive destruitur, sed pocius recreatur in melius,
 40 licet desinat esse; quod non haberet colorem nisi rema-
 neret idem mundus; et cum ille non posset fingi nisi
 corpus Christi, sequitur quod corpus Christi possit esse

And as a proof
 that He could
 do so, we have
 already seen
 the instances
 given by the
 Saints.

This world
 depends on
 every part of
 its material
 essence, and
 therefore the
 annihilation of
 any part
 would prove
 the destruction
 of the whole.

If each material
 part of the
 world could
 thus be
 annihilated, so
 could each
 formal part;
 and God could
 not make
 another world.
 Thus the world
 might be
 independent
 both of matter
 and of form,
 and become
 Christ's body
 by annihilation.

The soul of
 Christ would
 become the
 soul of the
 world, and all
 would be
 confused.
 But as nothing
 is absolutely
 annihilated, but
 only changed
 for the better,
 this would
 require that the
 world remained
 world as it
 was; and
 Christ's body
 was changed
 into it.

iste mundus et econtrario; et per idem omnis materialis substancia posset esse quelibet, quia da quod lapis non potest esse lignum. Contra, transsubstanciato lapide in lignum aut qualibet tali substancia in quamlibet, transsubstanciatum non propter hoc destruitur, sed ut sancti locuntur sit et est substancia in quam fit transsubstanciatio. Non | enim est aliqua pars eius, et si corrum-^{B 94^a} pitur ad corrupcionem sue materie, per idem mundus corrumperetur ad transsubstanciacionem cuiuscunque hostie consecrate. Et sic si desinit; quia aliter possent ¹⁰ quilibet pars corporis desinere, ipso remanente salvo; ut, posito quod celum totum transsubstancietur in spheram corruptibilium et ipsa in spheram terre, que eciam transsubstancietur in centrum; et fiat proporcionaliter transaccidentacio: Et videtur quod unum punc-¹⁵ tale remanet, tam substancialiter quam accidentaliter, sicut fuit in principio, magnus | mundus. A 86^b

Details of
absurdities that
this would
necessarily
imply.

These errors
come from
pride: men
exalt
themselves,
thinking that
God could
empower them
to change ^a
Saint in
Heaven into a
stone.
For if He gave
them power to
make
'blessedness'
inform a stone,
they could do
it, or God
would not be
Almighty!

According to
them, material
qualities can be
multiplied and
extended to
other subjects:
why then
should not
virtues and
blessedness
have the same
properties?

In tales errores philosophie incidentur heretici contra P. Christum, quia extollunt se ut dictum est in materia de multiplicacione supra deum. Fingunt enim quod possunt ²⁰ facere lapides et quantumcunque abiectas substancias; ymmo ipsum accidens, corrumpendo quemcunque sanctum in celo: ut, posito quod deus concedat illis potestatem faciendi beatitudinem et alia accidentia in beatis spiritibus, informare lapides aut quantumcunque abiectas ²⁵ substancias, vel esse, destructa quacunque creatura beata. Nam, ut inquiunt, nisi deus posset dare illis talem potestatem, deus non esset omnipotens. Sicut igitur deus dat potestatem presbytero ut, virtute verborum suorum, faciat omnem partem panis desinere, et omnia ³⁰ accidentia que prius fuerunt in pane sine subiecto remanere, sic potest sacerdos habere a deo potentiam, ut virtute verborum dei transsubstanciet quemcunque beatum in lapidem, et facere qualitates que sunt in sancto informare illum lapidem; nam tam quantitas ³⁵ quam qualitas extensa potest multiplicari, ut inquiunt; quare igitur, cum | tanta sit utrobique distancia non ^{B 94^b} possit accidens multiplicatum extendi, et iterum unio Q. forme cum materia, proporciones suarum parcum et numerus earum cum quotlibet similibus, multiplicatur ⁴⁰ in lapide; quare igitur non possent virtutes et beatitu-

7. alia BD; corr. A. 9. corruperetur AB. 13. speram *omnes* MSS.
17. dominus D. 19. quod BCD. 21. quamcunque AC. 29. ut *deest* B.
32. potestatem B. 39. proporcionis B.

dines? Hoc enim foret minus quam illos esse per se: This would be less difficult to conceive than that material qualities should exist without matter.
A 80^a les | minus perfecte et plus dependentes a materia ita possunt, ut fingitur; ille igitur qui posset dare illis accidens potenciam per se esse, posset habilitare subiectum ut supportet ipsa, et ut inclinent ad movendum ipsum subiectum atque communicent subiecto actiones suas; cum aggregatum agit communiter actionem cuiuslibet partis sue. Et iterum, accidentia multa mediate dominant et informant secundum istam sectam.

Quid igitur sciunt, utrum blasphemant, negando deum posse facere ista informare quacunque materialem substanciam? Sed fingunt se non blasphemare deo, menciendo quod alia eque incredibilia potest facere: 15 ut, quod quantitas aut qualitas infinita, secundum partem informet quocunque subiectum; et quod omne genus respectus sit sine subiecto, sicut fingitur de transubstanciacione, cuius termini queruntur, sed subiectum destruitur. Sic, inquam, generacio hec querit lucrum et admittit perditionem subiecti.

Is it not blasphemy to deny God's power in this, if He can make infinite quantity exist partially in every subject, or relations obtain without anything being related?

Ultimo arguitur pro parte nostra quod nedum philosophi sed omnes sancti de millenario Christi tacuerunt accidentis esse sine subiecto vel sacramentum esse accidentis; unde igitur oriretur tam scandalosum mendacium? 25 Et confirmacio istius est, quod loquentes nostri sine ratione vel autoritate sunt contrarii sibi ipsis: quod evidenter docet istam ficticiam processisse a patre mendacii, quia subtiliores et quo ad illuminacionem fidei clariores cicias novissent istum fidei articulum, B 94^c quam posteriores illusibilis in penam peccati quod in signis perpetravit.

The partisans of this error contradict themselves and each other.

R. Nec dubium, quin in minori fide de dotacione de testanda, de symonia destruenda cum similibus diuiciis erravit ecclesia nostra occidua; quod non capies penes nostrum arbitrium sed penes fundacionis et racionis careciam et penes testimonium Augustini contrarium et penes militacionem contrarie rationis. Omnes enim adversarii nesciunt fundare in scriptura, in ratione, in testimonio sanctorum vel legum papalium, quod sacramentum altaris sit accidentis; sed, accumulantes mendacium mendacio, concludunt ex isto consequi, quod

The Church has certainly long been in error on many points, if Augustine and the evidence of reason are to be trusted. And if the adversaries were right, it would prove that the early Church was wrong.

14. possunt B.
20. dimittit ABC.

15. aut qualitas deest D.
29. cariores omnes MSS.

19. generacione B.

nimir diu ecclesia stetit in errore: quo concesso, ri-
mandum eis esset, quomodo et quando fuit error iste
in ecclesiam introductus; et, si non fallor, quando
fratres tacendo et loquendo docmatisant quod expe-
dicius foret ecclesie sic dotari, quam vivere pauperem
vitam exproprietariam quam Christus instituit; ipsi enim

The Friars,
at least by their
acts, assert that
it is better for
the Church to
have
possessions.

verbis, omissionibus, procuracionibus, et apostatacionibus
aspirando ad altitudinem status proprietarii mundani,
dicunt in facto, licet sibi ipsis contrarie, quod perfec-
cius et religiosius eis foret in dotacionibus temporalium
habundare. Unde in altis edificacionibus et sue secte
appropriacionibus, dicunt in facto istam irreligiosam
versuciam, ita quod hec heresis alludit ut finis omni

This heresy,
contrary to the
ordinary run,
takes no trouble
to quote
Scripture for
itself;

errori. Non enim legi de heresi Christiani, quin appa-
renter fundat se super scriptura | sacra. Hec autem A 87^a

v. g. as to the
present
question.

heresis tanquam alter deus non petit fundacionem | a B 94^a

Yet all things
of faith are in
Scripture; if
not revealed,
God acts
unjustly when
He wills them
to be
believed under
pain of
damnation.

deo bono, et patet fidelibus notorietas heresis; nam om-
nis veritas, et precipue articuli fidei catholice, est in

scriptura. Sed ista sentencia de quidditate sacramenti
non est in scriptura: igitur conclusio. Maior patet per 20

beatum Augustinum, secundo "De doctrina Christiana", S.
in fine; et confirmatur per hoc quod aliter inponeret

deo, cuius perfecta sunt opera, blasphemiam quod ipse
incomplete celavit fidem quam voluit suos sub pena

dampnacionis credere. Unde adversarii, ad evacuandum
istam blasphemiam, dicunt quod utrobique scriptura in-

telligit per panem sacramentalem accidens sine subiecto,
et sic heretice accumulant heresim super heresim. Nam,

ut ipsi arguunt, per istos ducentos annos perseveravit
ista sentencia in magnis doctoribus, igitur vera; sic 30

evidencius arguitur: per mille annos et amplius perse-
veravit nostra sentencia in maioribus doctoribus quando

Christus plus illuminavit suam ecclesiam, igitur vera.
Nusquam enim invenitur sanctos sic exponere sacram

scripturam ad sensum contrarium. Et evidencia est, quod 35
non habent sensum suum ex deo, eo quod non sunt
unicordes, ut unus exponit nomen panis per unum genus
accidentis et alias per aliud; tertius autem monstruosus,
per aggregacionem accidentis; et iste hereses non fun-

3. quod CD. 7. et apostatacionibus *deest* AB. 12. religiosam BCD.
21. secundo *deest* BCI). 38. aliud CD.

24. *Celavit* is obviously a mistake; but *revelavit* is too far
from the form. Perhaps we should read *complete celavit*.

dantur, sed antichristus primo tollit certitudinem scripture, et secundo retorquet sibi scolam Christi quod A 87^b debet sibi omnibus credi. Quantum | ad sensum dicit, quod in hoc non debet credi sensui de ista substancia materiali, cum deus ordinat ista accidentia signare hominibus false et erronee. Nec valet certitudo racionis naturalis, cum nemo scit, si aliquid informat substanciam; | cum, ut inquiunt, contingentissimum sit quod informacio suspendatur, quod tota substancia destruatur, et quod a mundi principio illud quod ponitur naturale fuit vel falsum vel supernaturale, et id quod ponitur iam supernaturale fuit ex ordinacione divina factum, secundum communem cursum nature. Nam sic potuit esse, ut inquiunt; nec est racio quin sic fuit. Et sic 15 corrumpunt cursum ecclesie, que ante introduccionem istius erroris orat in 2^a missa natalis domini in secreto, quod "hec oblacio" quam vocat terrenam substanciam, "nobis conferat quod divinum est".

T. Caveamus igitur ab istis fallaciis Antichristi; papa 20 dicit fideliter quod panis et vinum transsubstanciantur in corpus Christi et sanguinem, igitur sacramentum altaris est accidentis sine subiecto. Si papa dicit erranter quod aliqua accidentia sunt sine subiecto, tunc fidelis debet credere quod sacramentum sit accidentis sine 25 subiecto. Si doctores diu continuant in isto errore, igitur semper ulterius debet credi. Quidam enim grosse concipiunt accidentia corpora subtilia; ut pictores et tintores vocant colores corpora, quibus alia corpora sunt linita. Quidam autem subtilius intelligent subiectum 30 deesse illis qualitatibus sensibilibus sacramenti quantum ad actualem consideracionem quidditatis et nature sui subiecti. Sed supponendo generalem distinctionem novem generum accidentis, patet quod alienum est quod sacramentum sit accidentis sine subiecto. Tota itaque multitudo subterfugiorum ponencium sacramentum altaris esse unum fantasma incognitum, stat in isto: vel quia equivocant, vel loquuntur de accidentibus et sub-35 stanciis materialibus, non secundum suas | naturas, ut sapientes diffinierunt, sed transferunt nomina ad sensum 40 equivocum; sicut sophiste contendunt, quod homo potest esse felicitas, fides, spes, et caritas et per idem quid-

They forbid us to believe in the evidence of our senses, so that we do not know if what we call natural is not either a false seeming or a supernatural appearance.

Fallacies of Antichrist: "the Pope asserts transubstantiation; therefore absolute accidents are true". "He makes a mistake; therefore we are to follow him". Differences of ideas as to accidents; some speaking as if they were thinly corporal; others as the substance, considered thus and thus.

All these subterfuges, making of the Sacrament a fantastic appearance, are resolved into mere equivocations.

Just as sophists contend that a man is his abstract qualities;

2. tercio D. 7. sed pro si B. 11. 12. et id — fuit *deest* B.
16. erat D. 23. alia B; corr. A. 26. id^e B. 33. accidentis *deest* A.
34. est B.

libet nominandum et pari ratione quidlibet potest esse quidlibet. Sed scola intendit de sacramento sensibili corporis Christi, quod sacerdos in missa consecrat, virtute verborum Christi, postquam ipsum tanquam hostiam consecrandam antea offerebat. Ipsum autem est illud 5 album et rotundum intinctum quod fidelis corporaliter manducat et terit dentibus et quod ecclesia dicit ante consecrationem fuisse verum panem, factum sacramentaliter corpus Christi. Cum igitur in isto ecclesie fidelium tantum illuditur, videtur iuri consonum quod 10 seculares non dent fratribus vel alicui presbitero elemosinam corporalem antequam ipsi plene docuerint, quid sit illud venerabile sacramentum. Illam enim cathechizationem de sacramento altaris, tenetur presbiter seculari inpendere, ut innuitur 1^a Cor. IX^o; ideo IX, 14 petenti elemosinam a layco, debet dici con*stanter A 87^d* quod nichil habebit ab ipso, antequam detexerit sibi fidem catholicam in hac parte. Et cum multe secte in isto variant, exigatur a fratre quod in scriptis certificant illam esse communem sentenciam secte sue. Illud enim 20 foret seculari necessarius quam littere fratnrales; potissime cum alis orthodoxis propter antiquam fidei sentenciam imponunt heresim; et quecunque pars fuerit in hoc falsa, seminat de Christo blasfeme mendacia, et indigna est vivere de bonis ecclesie, quia in fide 25 per cautelas dyaboli seducit populum. Non igitur communicari debet cum talibus antequam in isto detexerint rectam fidem; nam vel laborant invidia, perfidia vel B 95^e ignorancia et undique prudenter examinati, accusabunt se ipsos tanquam in fide contrarios.

11. debent B. 15. innuit CD. 19. certificant C.

so they say
that the
sacrament is
roundness,
whiteness, &c.
But they ought
to be punished
for deluding
the Church, by
a denial of aims
until they have
said what the
Sacrament is.

And as they
disagree on
many heads,
they should set
down in writing
what their sect
believes: for
whichever
opinion is
wrong,
blasphemes.

CAPITULUM DUODECIMUM.

Restat ulterius videre, quomodo in materia de quiditate hostie Antichristi discipuli sunt divisi. Ponunt enim aliqui, ut sepe repecii, quod sacramentum altaris sit quantitas; et cum sex sunt genera quantitatis, sacramentum ponitur corporeitas, crassitudo vel altitudo que condam fuit in pane. Et ista opinio ascribitur sancto Thome super 4^o distinccione 12. Racio autem ad hoc ponendum est talis: Impossibile est qualitatem materialem (cum consequitur formam) esse, nisi fundetur in quantitate consequente materiam; sed sacramentum hostie est accidentis; igitur est primum et fundamentale A 88^a accidens im | mediatum substancie: quod secundum philosophos est quantitas. Item, sacramentum istud est coloratum et diversimode qualificatum, sed hec non potest competere qualitati; ideo oportet quod ipsum sacramentum sit quantitas, que subiectet huiusmodi qualitates; cum secundum philosophos albedo est primo in superficie. Et per idem qualitates corporee in pro- 20 funditate. Item, sacramentum istud est vere continuum et alterabile, quod non potest competere qualitati; ideo relinquitur quod sit quantitas. Qualitas enim non est quanta vel continua nisi per quantitatem, cum substancia non possit esse huiusmodi; multo magis qualitas 25 non est per se magna sive continua. Multa sunt talia argumenta, que inevitabiliter concludunt quod si ipsum sacramentum sit accidens sine subiecto, ipsum est quantitas.

B. Sed primo improbatur hec triplex evidencia; patet B 95^a autem quod prima | consequencia non valet, cum totum 30 antecedens sit verum et consequens impossibile. Nam qualitas, licet sit fundamentum religionis, non sibi

Divisions of
the Sects: some
say that the
Sacrament is
quantity:
volume,
thickness or
depth.

Why?
1st Because
quality, based
on form, could
not exist unless
by quantity,
based on
matter.

2nd Quality
cannot have
qualities as the
Sacrament has;
therefore they
are based upon
quantity.

3rd The
Sacrament is
extended, and
quality is not
extended by
itself, only by
quantify.

I. Answers:
neither quality
nor quantity
can exist
without a
subject to
sustain them.

4. aliiquid AB. 26. ipsum ipsum C. 31. quantitas CD; ib. et nou omnes MSS.

8. St. Th. Aq. In lib. Sent. 1, IV, dist. XII, art. 1. *Et ideo dicendum est.* 31. Religionis is in all the MSS.; but I fail to understand what it has to do here.

Quality is not its own subject, but the substance which has the quality.

II. The thing supposed is impossible: viz. that such accidents can exist.

For even inseparable accidents are not conceivable without their subjects; much less size, which is separable.

For separable does not mean separate existence; only what the substance can lose, v. g. movement.

III. True, the Sacrament is extended; but it follows that it has the nature of bread, not only its quantity.

1. sit pro sic omnes MSS. 10, 11. albedo — subiecto deest BCD.
16. et deest CD. 19. sicut pro sint CD. 20, 21. nullus — accidentia
deest BCD). 21. potuerunt D. 23. separari C. 28. subiectum BCD; corr. A. A.
30. que BCD. 31. spiritualiter pro corporaliter BCD. 31, 32. sicut —
sacramentaliter deest BCD. 32, 33. corporaliter pro sacramentaliter BCD.
33. et deest B. 36, 37. et — veritatem deest CD. 37. ut omnes MSS.

16. Arist. ed. F. Didot, vol. II, p. 542 (c. V). 16. *Simitas*
(to be snub-nosed) and *risibilitas* are frequent examples of inseparable qualities, taken from Aristotle.

subicitur, sed substancia que illi qualitati subicitur; sic quantitas, quia inseparabiliter consequitur ad materiam primam, que requiritur fundamentaliter ad formam substancialem, quam qualitas ipsa consequitur: ideo quantitas requiritur, ut fundamentum huiusmodi qualitatis, 5 sed neutra illarum potest esse sine substancia subiectante. Quantum ad secundum argumentum, patet quod peccat in materia et in forma; supponit enim hoc impossibile, quod sacramentum sit accidens sine subiecto; albedo enim est primo in superficie ut in fundamento,¹⁰ non in subiecto: quia substancia superficialis subiectat A 88^b utrumque. Unde videtur quod puderet istos allegare pro parte ista philosophos, cum ipsi vere dicant concorditer quod accidens non potest esse vel intelligi sine subiecto; ut patet VII^o Methaphysice. Nam accidentia 15 inseparabilia, ut simitas et risibilitas, non possunt intelligi sine suo subiecto; igitur multo magis accidentia minoris existentie et tante dependencie ad subiectum. Quamvis enim motus et alii respectus sint accidentia separabilia, nullus tamen tam stulte intelligit quod illa 20 accidentia poterunt per se esse et separari a subiecto: sed subiectum potest esse sine illis; et sic genus accidentis talis posset separari a substancia per carenciam inherencie sui individui. Omnes igitur qualitates corporee fundantur in corporeitate et tam illam quam 25 qualitatem oportet fundari in substancia subiectante.

Quantum ad tertium patet quod verum assumitur, C. sed impertinenter falsum concluditur. Est enim sacramentum istud secundum terrenam substanciam vel naturam panis qui de terre fructibus quo pascitur homo 30 corporaliter, sicut primo inter sacramenta pascitur B 96^a eukaristia sacramentaliter et primo potatur vino sacramentaliter; et sic pastus ille sacramentalis in digne recipientibus est partim corporalis et partim spiritualis. In omnibus autem istis frons meretricis assumitur in- 35 pudenter ab impudicis hereticis, et nunc negant more diaboli veritatem et nunc cespitant super veritate supini.

Vident enim ad oculum quod sacramentum est sensibile, et unum continuum, ac per se mobile; ideo cum predicamenta sint distincta, negato quod sit qualitas vel respectus, concludunt quod sit quantitas; quia blas-

B 88^c feme statuunt, quod deus non accepit substanciam | ut sit sacramentaliter corpus suum, sed accidens a deo alienissimum; cum materia prima non sit sub gradu accidentis. Et sic a perfeccione vel condicione Christi maxime elongantur. Unde tripliciter arguitur quod Reasons against this theory.
 10 ipsum sacramentum non sit quantitas supradicta: primo, a) Quantity quia nulla talis quantitas est activa; ipsum sacramentum cannot be active, est activum: igitur ipsum sacramentum non est talis quantitas. Maior patet: primo, ex hoc quod materia prima que as the Sacrament is, est subiectum primum illius quantitatis, non est activa;
 15 a) Quantity has
 15 igitur nec ipsa quantitas. Patet consequencia ex hoc, quod agentem accidente subiecti, oportet ipsum subiectum prius agere. Secundo, quia quantitas non informatur subiective, sed fundamentaliter aliqua qualitate; sed hoc non sufficient ad denominandum ipsam agere; quia sic foret An accident cannot act without its subject.
 20 eque multipliciter et prius activa, sicut aliqua materialis subiectum, quia nulla materialis substancia nisi mediante ipsa quantitate foret activa: quod non conceditur communiter, cum quantitas non sit sapida calida vel sonora. Tercio, quia, rarefacto subiecto per totum, When there is continue innovatur quantitas secundum se totam; et per consequens nulla una agit continue, licet subiectum no form, but by qualities; and a quality is not active by itself.
 B 96^b egerit mediante qualitate. Et | confirmatur ex hoc quod D. si quantitas sic egerit, qualiscunque quantitas conformis
 25 figure sic ageret, et per consequens quecunque subiectum completely, and therefore in this case cannot act.
 30 stancia conformiter figurata; ideo cum consequens sit impossible, patet quod oportet scrutari aliud principium actionis. Et hec racio quare Averois dicit, super 4^{to} phisicorum, quod quantitas non est de genere acti-

A 88^d vorum. Et patet sicut urina, dieta et substancia | dicuntur equivoce sana, sic subiectum et quantitas dicuntur equivoce colorata: quantitas, quia est fundamentum coloris, et subiectum quia principiat subiective colorem mediante sua forma substanciali, que ipsam dirigit ad

Qualities are not univocally ascribed to quantity and to substance. The former is only the basis e. g. of visible qualities or colours.

3. notato B; corr. A. 6. fit B. 11. quod BCD. 12. non est accidens BCD. 13. in natura BCD. 15. nec est D. 18. alia quantitate B. 20. alia C. 28. sic — qualiscumque deest D.

32. Averr. Comm, in Arist. (*Ven. ap. Juncas, 1562*) I. IV. Physic. *De Vacuo*, c. III, fol. 154 M. "Dimensiones enim, quando abstrahuntur a materia, non habebunt potentiam."

agendum. Quia autem quantitas est basis trianguli visibilis, et non sic de aliis qualitatibus, ideo ponitur pocius fundamentum coloris quam aliarum qualitatum.

That the Sacrament is active can be proved by the visibility and changeableness of both the elements.

We must be ready to deny our senses, or admit this.

b) No quantity, existing in the concrete, can be increased or diminished; now the Sacrament can. A number, if increased, is no longer the same.

So also of place and time.

A line, pulled out to its double, is longer; i. e. an new quantity arises.

To deny this would lead to saying that motion without anything moved is possible.

You cannot call in a miracle to do what is self-contradictory.

Minor autem principalis argumenti patet, discurrendo per multa genera accionum. Est autem sacramentum per se descensivum, visibile, et aliorum sensuum mutativum, sicut patet per experimentum; est autem alterabile, sicut patet de sacramento calicis, quod potest calefieri, acefieri et valde varie transmutari. Et de sacramento panis, non dubium quin sit tam varie activum et passivum, sicut aliis panis non consecratus. Potest enim fieri mucidus, humidus, calefieri, comburi. Ideo vel oportet negare omnem sensum et per consequens rationem, vel concedere cum universalis ecclesia quod ipsum sacramentum sit terrena substancia: et per consequens non est quantitas sine subiecto.

Item, iuxta principia philosophorum, nulla una quantitas secundum ultimum singulare potest maiorari vel minui; sed illud sacramentum secundum ultimum singulare potest tam maiorari quam minui, ut patet ex dictis; igitur ipsum non est quantitas. Maior patet discurrendo per sex genera quantitatis; nam numerus est istius nature | quod, addita vel subtracta unitate individuali, remanet alias numerus in specie; locus autem est inomabilis; et de tempore, quantumcunque | modicum sit additum vel ablatum fit tempus aliud. Et idem patet de linea, superficie et corpore. Si enim linea pedalis potest maiorari vel minui, manens idem ultimum singulare, ponatur quod maioresetur ad duplum suum, et patet quod oportet quantitatem linearem generari. Et per consequens vel in toto, vel ex antiqua et nova oportet lineam novam fieri. Si enim A potest maiorari sine generacione vel deperdicio quantitatis, et multo magis a pari, notabilis substancia potest maiorari et minorari sine aquisicione vel deperdicio quantitatis.

Et sic fieret motus sine eius materia vel mensura, penes quam eius velocitas attendi poterit. Ymmo nulla foret quantitas distincta a substancia: et sic de multis obiectibus communiter adductis. Et idem est argumentum de superficie et corpore. Nec valet tollere hanc rationem per miracula, quia ipsa non possunt in contradictionem. Si igitur deus facit quod A movetur vel

6, 7. immutativum A; mutatum BCD. 26. sit BC. 28. ultimum, ultimum C.

majoratur, tunc facit ea que ad huiusmodi requiruntur; quia aliter non foret nisi illusio. Ut si deus per impossibile multiplicaret eundem punctum, vel eandem quantitatem in numero, foret nedum sacramentum sed tota moles sensibilis sine aliqua quantitate continua permanente. Hoc enim foret miraculosius, compendiosius et perfectioni divine propinquius et undique a deo F. eque possibile et evidencius ex datis deducibile. Et B 96^a patet | maior principalis argumenti. Si enim quantitas 10 maioratur vel minoratur, illa est quantitas communis aut successiva cui partes varie aquiruntur vel deperduntur | minor autem principalis argumenti patet ab experimento certissimo. Nam ut loquar secundum rationem Innocencii 3ⁱⁱ 3^o decretalium, capitulo "*Cum Marthe*", 15 possibile est duo accidentia calicis commisceri et replere maiorem situm calicis et per consequens sacramentum potest maiorari. Ipsum igitur, cum non potest esse quantitas, oportet ponere materialem substanciam, cui proprium est per se suspicere maius et minus de 20 formis contrariis, ac subiective mutari secundum maiorem constanciam quam est quantitas vel genus aliquod accidentis. Et ita, ut supra, oportet concedere cum universali ecclesia quod sacramentum sit terrena substancia.

Et ita, sicut cultores signorum mutant ordinem reli- 25 gionis Christiane, ita opinione immutant regulas et leges nature, ita quod de illis potest verificari id psalmi Ps. LXXXIII, LXXXII^b: *Posuerunt signa sua, signa, et non cognoverunt,* 5 *sicut in exitu super summum.* "*Posuerunt*" inquam, quia deficiente fundamento scripture ad probandum 30 sacramentum esse accidens declinaverunt ad commenta sophistica fingentes ex dei omnipotencia sic posse fieri, sicut ponunt, et more sophistarum sic ponunt fieri de inesse. Nec est fundamentum aliud opinionibus istis in ista materia, quamcumlibet variatis. Unde, quia quilibet 35 habet istius erroris opinionem propriam de sacramento quod ut sic est signum sensibile, signanter dicitur quod "*posuerunt signa sua*", non signa ecclesie; ipsa enim ponit, ut sepe repecii, quod illa hostia sit materialis substancia: et cum sic declinant a veritate, et per con- B 97^c sequens | ab unitate, signanter geminantur, mendax | A 89^c binarius, cum dicitur quod "*posuerunt signa sua, signa*".

Can God multiply the same point, the same individual quantity?

Better bring in a sensible mass without quantity, if you will have a miracle.

Only successive or abstract quantity, can be increased; not concrete, here and now.

Now the Sacrament can be increased in quantity, e. g. by pouring the wine element from chalice to chalice.

Vigorous digression against the Nominalists, who change Christ's religion and the laws of nature.

Psalm:
Posuerunt signa sua.
Why
posuerunt?
because it is a baseless position of theirs;

signa sua;
because it is their doctrine,
not the Church's;

signa is repeated twice because of their duplicity;

5. alia CD. 6. mi'a et pro miraculosius D. 7. et deest D.
2b. quod deest AB. 27. 2nd signa struck out A, deest CD.
28. textu ACD, corr. B. 31. sicut C. 36. signum deest B.

Signa enim ecclesie que Christus instituit denegant esse signa. Et ita videtur michi quod dictus infamis binarius signorum potest signare in eis mendacium signorum sacramentalium in quod ob penam peccati prioris miserabiliter sunt deducti. Et signanter sequitur 5 G. quod “*non cognoverunt*”, quia cece circum palpitantes, nec cognoverunt aufugium quo inconveniencia fidelium devitarent; omnes enim de scola sathanae sunt etiam a se 10 ipsis insolubiliter redarguti, et non cognoverunt post rimas laboriosas quomodo fundacionem secte sue pal- liarent. Nec mirum; quia “*super summum*” blasphemant in supremo gradu “*exitus*” apostasie sue a religione Christiana. Ideo necesse est ut stulti facti sint, propter dyabolicam sapienciam simulatam. “*Super summum*” dico, quia deum ponunt dicere, quod signa sua acci- 15 dencium sint corpus Christi; et per consequens deus ipse. Ideo necesse est ut *exitus* iste mendacii *super summum* superinducat ignoranciam cognoscendi. Aliter autem humiles Christi discipuli cognoverunt eum, et per consequens veritatem, in fraccione panis; quia non 20 musitarunt nec intellexerunt, quod panis ille sit sine subiecto genus aliquid accidentis.

From their principles it would follow that everything is infinitely great. Item videtur ex propriis principiis huius secte quod nedum sacramentum eukaristie sed quodlibet corpus sit infinitum magnum; quia nedum asserit quod quantitates et essenciales materie coextendi poterunt, sed A 89^a infinitas quantitates ponit coextendi, que unam constituant. Nam omnem quantitatem que prius fuit in B 97^b pane, ponunt remanere post consecrationem; sed infinita quantitas prius fuit in pane; igitur tanta remanet 30 post consecrationem. Pro deduccione minoris suppono H. quod materia prima de dei omnipotencia componatur ex suis partibus intensivis; quod swadetur tripliciter sectam istam debere concedere; primo, quia ponit deum nedum posse sed de facto coextendere corpora, et per 35 consequens materias que prius fuerant separata. Et certum est quod materias que possunt unam rem componere sic applicatas deus componit, vel potest componere. Et iterum certum est quod quelibet earum habet vel habere potest propriam quantitatem, sicut 40 habent ante et post coexistenciam; quantitas enim non est nisi substancialis esse quantam. Sic igitur ponunt

For they suppose that different quantities are really coextended in the Sacrament.

In the same manner as they assert that quantities can be coextended in the

13. sunt D. 18. indicat pro inducat B. 25. Fit B. 35. co deest BC.
37. rem deest omnes MSS.

quantitates calicum posse coextendi, sic debent conce-
dere quodlibet posse coextendi. Et idem patet de illa ^{must suppose them so in} famosa opinione quod elementa sunt in mixtis, quam ^{everything else.} decretalis Innocencii 3ⁱⁱ, capitulo. "Cum Marthe," re-

⁵ liquit tanquam probabilem. Si enim elementa sunt in pane, cum extenduntur secundum veram mixtionem, ut inquiunt, oportet materiam primam componi ex suis partibus intensivis, quia aliter eque densus foret ignis in pane vel plumbo, sicut terra, cum forma sua sub ¹⁰ equali quantitate eandem materiam in numero actuaret; A 90^a et cum penes illud habet densitas corporis attendi, oportet dicere quod eque densus foret ignis in mixto ut terra; vel aliter, quod forma ignis actuat dumtaxat ¹⁵ unam raram partem intensivam totalis materie. Et se-

B 97^c quitur iuxta dicta quod in pane sunt multe quantitates et materie coextense, et iuxta opinionem tot taliter remanent in hostia consecrata.

I. Tercio, probatur ad hominem pro dicta sentencia per hoc quod sunt multe qualitates, tam eiusdem speciei quam disparium, coextense, et quelibet earum habet propriam quantitatem; igitur oportet esse correspondenciam in fundamento nature. Argumentum deducunt per hoc quod aliter nulla foret qualitas remissa, cum non potest intendi vero motu, nisi aquirendo unam qua-

25 litatem super priorem. Ideo dicit Averrois, super 3^o *De celo et mundo*, commento 67, quod forme elementares possunt intendi et remitti tanquam media inter substancias et accidencia; et sic sunt in mixtis secundum formas remissas. Et sic inquiunt quoscumque debere ponere,

30 qui ponunt formas materiales posse intendi et remitti. Unde, ad roborandum quod qualitates componuntur ex partibus intensivis, adducunt coextensiones luminum et aliarum qualitatum spiritualium: quo concesso, probatur isti secte quod quelibet talis materialis qualitas

35 habet propriam quantitatem que foret sibi accidentis. Ideo non est racio quin sic habeat, eo ipso quo exten- ditur, cum sit prior sua quantitate, que sibi accidit. Et quotlibet talibus evidenciis convincitur, quod oportet

A 90^b istam sectam ponere infinitas quantitates coexten-
40 sas in quolibet sacramento altaris, tam ratione materialium

⁴, 5. relinquunt CD. 22. Et istum pro argumentum B. 23. quan-
titas B. 30. quia D. 36. ergo AB.

25. Avert. ubi supra.

Therefore in the Sacrament there are an infinite number of coextended quantities.

This is absurd; for though A (sacrament or anything) be not infinitely great, it has an infinite amount of quantity.

That quantity is 'rolled up' does not matter; a thread rolled up is just as long as when unrolled.

And in the Sacrament the quantity will be no less; if infinite before consecration, then infinite after.

Even if these quantities coexist only intensively: the subject is as great by one as by all.

Besides, to suppose that compressed quantity is smaller than uncompressed, is to admit that individual quantity can be increased or diminished: which has been proved false.

If it be said that, extracting these quantities, we compose a new one that was not there before, — we

14. in *pro* sic D.

17. involute D.

37. quod quod CD.

38. sint *pro* sic BCD.

et formarum substancialium que prefuerunt in pane et vino, quam ratione qualitatum materialium remanencium; cum omnes ille forme accidentales, ut inquiunt, sunt servate. Quibus premissis, arguitur primo proposita K. conclusio; quia, da quod | A, sacramentum vel corpus B ^{97^a} pedale, non sit infinitum magnum, contra ipsum est ita magnum sicut totalis eius quantitas, cum vel sit illa quantitas vel sibi equalis; sed infinitum magna est quecunque talis quantitas; igitur conclusio. Nam ita magna est, ut supponitur ex dictis, sicut potest esse; ¹⁰ sed infinitum magna potest esse. Si enim unum pedale A quantitatis foret ex dei omnipotencia extractum et per situm equalem A immediate per se positum et continuatum, et sic infinitum versus omnem differenciam posicionis, patet quod quantitas composita foret in- ¹⁵ finita. Et ita magnum est modo, licet quantitates fuerint convolute, sicut filum est ita magnum convolutum in globo sicud foret extractum in longum.

Nec valet dicere quod deficiet quantitas pedalis extrahendo, quia quot fuerunt materie prime pedales co- ²⁰ extense, tot remanent in sacramento quantitates pedales; et iterum, ratione qualitatum remanent in sacramento eciam infinite quantitates pedales; et de totidem infinitis quantitatibus pedalibus non communicantibus possunt extrahi versus omnem differenciam posicionis eciam in- ²⁵ finite. Nec valet dicere quod | quantitates coextense non A ^{90^a} quantitative sed intensive componunt aliam: primo, quia subiectum est eque intense magnum per unam, sicut foret per quotlibet coextensas. Ideo dicunt philosophi quod quantitas non suscipit magis et minus. ³⁰

Secundo, quia nulla quantitas potest maiorari vel minorari secundum ultimum singulare, ut declaratur in proximo argumento; sed quantitas convoluta et iam expansa est sic magna; igitur ipsa | fuit pro tempore B ^{48^a} coextensionis parcium ita magna et per consequens par- ³⁵ tes, sicut solum quantitative fuerunt, sic quantitative suum totum composuerunt. Nec valet tertio dicere quod L. quantitates sic extracte et novo modo composite componunt quantitatem novam, que non prefuit; quia, sicut quantitates possunt extrahi integre, ita possunt unum ⁴⁰ componere; et cum prefuerint componentes unam quando fuerunt coextense, videtur quod eandem componunt

modo. Sufficit enim ad individuationem tocius ydemptitas omnium suarum parcium, licet habeant modum alium componendi; ut linea recta potest fieri circularis, vel aliter figurata, ut alibi deductum est. Nec valet,
 5 quod in confinio parcium compositarum generatur nova quantitas, quia aliter foret composicio continui ex non quantis; tum quia illud est verum; tum eciam quia per idem corrumperentur due quantitates extremes, loco unius generate; et sic foret quantitas expansa
 A 90^a minor quam fuerat convoluta; quia plus de illa | corrumperetur, quam ad illam generaretur. Ideo, notata tota quantitate antiqua que remanet iam expansa, patet quod illa est infinitum magna et per consequens ita magna fuit antea convoluta. Similiter impossibile est
 15 quantitates sic coextendi, nisi fuerint infinite que non adequate componunt aliquod tertium, et per consequens est dare quantitates sic extensas que possent separari ab invicem, ipsis manentibus continuis in extremis, cum
 B 98^b hoc quod in fine precise componant, - | ut modo; et per
 20 consequens tantum sunt modo ut forent in fine; et sequitur quod infinitum posset resultare ex quounque corpore, precise secundum compositionem qua modo
 M. componuntur partes ad invicem. Et ex ipsis deducunt
 philosophi quod in quolibet situ puncti, linee vel super-
 25 ficie sunt infinita huiusmodi simul; quod supponitur hic esse impossibile. Ideo manifestum est quod composicio ex partibus intensivis materie, forme vel accidentis, non stat cum veritate. Ideo oportet fidelem dicere,
 quod continuum componitur ex non quantis, quod ista
 30 opinio detestatur. Et patet evidenter ex principiis huius secte, quomodo sequitur quod omne sacramentum vel corpus sensibile sit immensum; sed nichil est illo magis hereticum. Ideo ipsum est iuxta dicta in capitulo 10 a fidelibus respuendum. Nam secundum deductionem alibi
 35 declaratam, talis infidelitas foret omnino superflua et sapiencie divine contraria.

A 91^c Ideo fingunt alii tanquam profundio | res heretici, Others say that the Eucharist
 quod eukaristia non sit accidens unius generis sed agre- is an aggregate of accidents; a
 gacio accidentium diversorum in genere sine substancia groundless and
 40 subiectante. Isti autem ficticie novelle deficit primo fundamētū; quia nec in scriptura nec in doctoribus still more absurd assertion.
 novis vel antiquis, nec in ecclesie legibus est fundata,

answer that a line is no shorter when circular than when straight.

We are therefore reduced to admit an infinite quantity; but as this is impossible, coextended quantities are a false hypothesis.

The continuum is not composed of parts having size; otherwise each body, and not only the Sacrament is infinitely great.

Conclusion: this theory is inadmissible.

Every reason
that is against
each accident,
is against their
aggregate.

The Sacrament
is nothing and
worth nothing;
reason and
sense are alike
insulted.

It is scandalous
to call an
aggregate of
accidents what
St. Ambrose
names a
'terrestrial
substance'.

Why should
he speak of this
substance and
forget to
mention this
bundle of
accidents?

And the
Church must
have been blind
during the first
thousand years
of its existence.

Also all the
early Fathers
and Doctors.

Why should
Christ
annihilate the
Host, if the
martyrs were
changed for the
better by their
sacrifice?

sed expresse contrarium. Item, cum non pertinet ad opinionem istam intelligere hanc agregacionem simpli- citer abstractive, sed concretive; scilicet pro illis accidentibus aggregatis, patet quod quecunque racio militat contra aliquod | illorum, militat etiam contra huiusmodi B 98^a

aggregata. Item videtur, ut supra, quod illud sacramen- tum non sit aliquid vel quicquam valens, quod non competit corpori Christi, sed contradicit tam rationi quam sensui; non enim convincet racio vel sensatio, quod aliquod sit corpus sensibile vere continuum, quin 10 per idem sic foret de hostia; et ille qui simpliciter meliorando procedit, sicut acceptavit hostiam oblatam que fuit terrena substancia, sic melioraret hanc hostiam non acceptando unum et destruendo priorem hostiam sine causa. 15

Item nimis magna foret presumpcio scandalisare uni- versalem ecclesiam que istis mille annis, ut creditur, ex doctrina beati Ambrosii, vocat hanc hostiam post consecrationem et oblacionem terrenam substanciam. Foret enim ecclesie scandalum nimie insipiente, quod si sa- 20 cerdos offert illam agregacionem accidentium que ex- pectabit consecrationem, et fiet quodammodo corpus Christi, et illa terrena substancia destruetur, nichil con- ferens ecclesie vel sacramento futuro, | quod faciat A 91^b mencionem de illa terrena substancia et omittat no- 25 minare illum fasciculum accidentium, qui remanebit sacramentum honorandum; et post consecrationem faciet totum opus sacramentale, potissime cum vocando ipsum fasciculum accidentium sine subiecta substancia con- secrandum, tolleretur occasio credendi quod hec terrena 30 substancia remanet sacramentum et informaretur ec- clesia in fide de quidditate sacramenti usque hodie in- cognita. Si igitur hoc foret ad tantum melius, nimis

stulta ceci | tas occupavit ecclesiam in mille annis in B 98^a tam solemnri secreta illud omittere et tantam stulticiam 35 seminarie. Et eadem stulticia convincitur de cunctis

doctoribus millenarii Christi, qui omnes omiserant illud gloriosum genus eukaristic iam repertum. Et iterum vi- detur difficile fingere causam quare Christus offert hanc terrenam hostiam et post in puncto profectus omnino 40 destrueret: cum Ysaac oblatus, Christus et martyres sui

17. qua ABD. 10. et deest omnes MSS. 21, 22. expectabat B.
24. facit B. 36. convincitur ABC.

per oblacionem mutantur; igitur melius, servata persona. Numquid ydolatre alludunt erroribus pontificum ydolorum, qui perditis magnatibus morte duplīcī singunt quod rapiuntur, ut socii, in deorum suorum collegium? Sic, inquit, panis convertitur in corpus Christi, non sicut accidens in natura servatum; sed nusquam rei ipsius relinquens aliquid, tam secundum materiam quam formam omnino destruitur.

A god was made out of nothing in Pagan apotheosis: is this a copy of them?

A 9¹ Item, multa sunt accidentia in | hostia consecrata que subiectantur, ut oportet fideles credere de accidentibus respectivis; quantitas et qualitas, cum possunt acquiri et perdi, sunt in aliquo subiective; igitur illud iuxta descripciones philosophorum, oportet esse substanciam distinctam a novem generibus accidentis; et cum illud sit aliquid suis accidentibus informatum, oportet concedere ipsum esse sacramentum altaris, et non fasciculum nichili supradictum; omnia enim dicta accidentia reducuntur ad ipsum tanquam ad aliquod unum agens quicquid ficerint illa accidentia.

B 99^aci | 20 Unde adversarii concedunt quod omnia reliqua accidentia subiectantur in quantitate que, informata ad dentibus, sit sacramentum; ut patet per famosiores doctores, Thomam, Egidium, Scotum, et alios: Non enim potest quantitas in pertinens esse fundacioni qualitatis, sicut nec prius fuit, pane manente. Sicut igitur fundat qualitatem, sic subiectat, si non sit subiectum ad subiectans utrumque; et per consequens, sicut quantitas informatur figura, sic quocunque accidente alio quod subiectat; quod cum sit impossibile, ut patet ex dictis, patet quod opinio illud gignens. Nam sacramentum calicis potest guttatum dividiri, ut patet ad oculum; 30 igitur per idem potest reuniri et continuari, et per consequens constituere unum continuum descensivum; nichil enim per se descendit nisi gravitas, vel habens in se gravitatem; iste autem fasciculus non habet in se gravitatem, quia nulla pars eius; gravitas enim est aliena a quantitate et quacunque alia qualitate.

Many accidents in the Host require a subject so the Eucharist must be a distinct substance, not a bundle of nothingness.

A 91^d Item, ex dictis sequitur quod dictum | sacramentum P. non sit visibile nec activum, quod est manifeste inopinabile et hereticum; consequenciam deducunt logici per hoc quod, si hoc sacramentum sit visibile, et per consequens res visibilis, et sic aliquid est ipsum sacra-

Our opponents grant that the other accidents have quantity for their subject. Quantity, basis of quality, informed by figure, &c. would itself be the subject, were there no other.

This being impossible, the opinion is false.

Weight has nothing to do with quantity and other accidents; but the Sacrament has weight.

Consequences: the Sacrament is a) invisible; b) inactive. a) 1st If visible, a visible thing; if a thing, a substance.

1. in C. 6. in *deest* BCD. 14. accidentium B. 28. figuratur omnes MSS. 35, 36. iste — gravitatem *deest* CD. 39. ut *pro* quod BCD.

mentum. Sed dimissa ista contencione logica, videtur quod hoc sacramentum non sit visibile, quia nec secundum totum nec secundum partem: non, inquam, secundum totum, quia multe sunt qualitates et quantitates aliorum sensuum que non sunt visibles ut sic, oculo corporali, de qua visione primo loquitur. Nemo videt quantitatem que fuit materie prime; sic nemo videt gravitatem, duriciem, saporem et similes qualitates; et sic non videt ipsum sacramentum secundum se totum; | nec B 99^b homo ipsum videt secundum sui partem, quia ex nulla parte aliquo modo componitur, cum nichil sit. Et si loquamur extense de parte, per idem loquendum est extense de toto corpore, et continuo quod ipsum sit aliquid terminans basim pyramidis visualis. Et hec conclusio evidencius sequitur, si sacramentum sit aggregatio in abstracto, quia nullus respectus videtur, cuius extrema videri non possunt; ut nemo unionem anime cum corpore, nec videt multiplicacionem aliquorum, quorum multa non sunt visibilia. Specialiter, si non constituant unum aliquod ab eis distinctum; ut nemo audit, gustat, 20 olfacit vel tangit multiplicacionem insensibilem angelorum et ydearum in deo, cum multiplicacione sensibilium: quia sic sensibile foret insensibile, visibile invisible, cum secundum plurimam | partem sui non foret sensible. Nec foret sacramentum aliquid unum, sicut est exercitus vel cumulus qui nedum est una substancia,

The Sacrament, as an aggregate, has no collective existence, like that of a people; for it has no quantitative unity.

sed unum corpus vel una persona. Ideo locus a simili ut populus; quia per idem foret sacramentum infinitum longum, infinitum latum et infinitum magnum, ac contrarie qualificatum, ut est de suis partibus. Et patet quomodo Gog concludit scole sue, cum unus dicit quod videt in sacramento corpus Christi oculo corporali; alias autem dicit, quod nemo potest sic videre ipsum sacramentum, cum illud quod videmus sit color et non ipsum sacramentum; et sic foret sacramentum omnino insensibile | sicut est nobis incognitum. B 99^c

b) The Sacrament is not active, any

Et conformiter deducitur quod non sit activum vel passivum: ut multitudo ex deo et aliis non est creativa,

5. sint BCD; 6. prime ABC; *ib.* videt D. 10. videt *deest* CD.
13. de corpore C. 23. visible et AB. 26. tumulus ABC. 34. nemo
deest D. 39. creatia AB.

39. Multitudo ex Deo et aliis: *suppl.* composita.

dampnata et beata cum aliis denominacionibus contrariis. Et per idem aggregacio rerum tam disparium in genere nec agit nec patitur, si non earum quelibet sic se habet; quia aliter, nota una quacunque specie 5 motus, omnia moverentur eadem; quod non dixerunt maniaci quos reprobat Aristoteles. Ex multis talibus patet gradus falsissimus huius vie. Ex ipsis probabilitate convincitur, quod inter omnes hereses que unquam de sacramento altaris surrepserant, heresis de eius quid-

more than a multitude composed of God and other things; for this multitude has neither action nor passion.

These proofs show how cunningly blasphemous this heresy is.

10 ditate, qua fingitur quod sit accidens sine subiecto vel talium aggregacio, est magis subdola et blasfema. Cum enim ex fide et sanctorum testimonio illud sacramentum sit quodammodo corpus Christi, non secundum suam

The sacrament is the body of Christ; to make it an accident is therefore to slight Christ's body.

A 92^b substanciam sed miraculo verbi dei, parvipensio | illius

Its nature would be most imperfect.

15 hostie est correspondenter parvipensio corporis domini et sic dei. Sed non plus posset parvipendi, quam non solum reputando sed credendo et predicando quod est imperfeccius in natura sua quam substancia creata.

Ymmo est realiter unum nichil. Tales, inquam, non 20 adorarent hanc hostiam; sed dimissa veritate ewangelii fingerent monstruosum et infundabilem modum corporis Christi absconditum, et illum mendaciter adorarent.

To say that the Sacrament is only a sign after consecration has been condemned as heretical. Now that is exactly their position.

R. Item, iuxta decretum De consecracione distinccione 2^a.

These accidents clothe Christ's body quite superfluously.

B 99^a Ego Berengarius, anathematizanda est omnis heresis que ponit sacramentum altaris post consecra | cionem esse solum sacramentum et non corpus vel sanguinem Jesu Christi; sed hec heresis dicit istud et superaddit blasphemiam. Ponit enim quod ille panis sit sacramentum et non corpus Christi, et ponit implicite quod corpus

They know that to adore a mere sign is idolatry; yet they let the people do so. Such apostates ought not to receive any alms from the faithful.

30 Christi induitur superflue accidentis per se, destructa panis substancia sine causa. Quod cum secundum sanctos non possit esse, patet quanta blasfemia menciendo de Christo incurrit; et licet consecratores accidentis cognoscant quod populus adorat hoc sacramentum tanquam corpus

35 Christi, quod dicunt esse ydolatriam, tamen reticent, timendo quod quereretur ab eis quid sit hoc sacramentum, et perciperetur eorum mendax versicia. Et revera tota communitas fidelium debet communicacionem et corporalem sustencionem subtrahere a talibus here-

40 tisis apostatis et blasphemis.

4. unacunque BC. 10. quia pro qua B. 15. corporis Christi B.
20. adorent B. 20. fingeret BC). 24. anathemanda omnes MSS.
29. multiplicite B. 33. consecraciones BC. 35. recient B.

11. Cf. Trialogus, p. 248.

God can — and they do not know that He does not — make bread to be His body; and yet they deny it.

St. Augustine and others affirm that an accident cannot possibly exist without its subject.

Conclusion: we find that the only foundation of this theory is a lie about Innocent III.

Item, deus potest consecrare panis substanciam faciendo ipsam esse | corpus suum, ut ex fide scripture A 92^e et testimonio sanctorum clare convincitur; sed nesciunt quin sic facit de facto: igitur magna foret presumpcio simpliciter hoc negare. Consequencia patet ex hoc, quod dato antecedente ex dubio presumptor assereret heresim contra Christum. Per hoc enim argumentum captum a propositione de possibili concludunt adversarii, quod sacramentum illud de facto sit accidens sine subiecto; quia deus, ut inquiunt, posset hoc facere, et testes multi hoc asserunt. Maior patet ex evidenciis beati Ambrosii adiuncto dicto Christi: "hoc est corpus meum"; blasphemum igitur foret negare deum posse hec facere. Et quantum ad veritatem | de inesse, patet ex uno latere B 100^a quod beatus Augustinus cum ceteris affirmat deum non posse facere accidens sine subiecto. Nec scriptura sacra innuit cum sanctis doctoribus sacramentum esse accidens, sed vocat ipsum panem cum testimonio sanctorum. Ideo periculosum videtur exuere illud nomen, et infundabiliter induere alienum. Non enim docetur ex ratione scriptura vel decreto ecclesie, quod ipsum sacramentum sit accidens sine subiecto, cum nec hoc movet ratio, nec testimonii revelacio, nec scriptura. Sed ex alio latere sonant ad oppositum hec tria.

Examinanda igitur foret fundabilis revelacio, super 25 qua fundaretur ista sentencia, sed toto facto non est originalis fundacio nisi mendacium factum de ecclesia et Innocencio III^o; talem autem errorem in fide de sacramento sine subiecto, oportet sine veritatis fundamento fingi ex antichristi mendacio. 30

3. nescit omnes MSS. 8. preposizione C. 23. testimonium.
29. scilicet CD. 30. et AB.

CAPITULUM TREDECIMUM.

A 92^a Ultima via dicit quod sacramentum altaris est qualitas; et ista, ut tetigi, habet minus improbabilitatis quam reliqua; quia, cum qualitas consequitur formam, decens videtur quod sacramentum sapientie que est forma dei patris sit vestigium forme et non materie prime, sed accidentium ac verbi divini ostensivum cuiusmodi solum est qualitas inter genera accidentis. Item, maioris constancie in rarefacione et condensacione est qualitas quam quantitas, vel dicta agregacio accidentis; sed decet hoc sacramentum esse permansivum et activum; ideo foret congruencius qualitas quam quantitas vel aggregacio supra dicta. Qualitas | enim eadem in numero potest esse nunc minor et nunc maior, quod non potest competere quantitati. Item ex generali sermone de sacramento ipsum est invisibilis gracie visibilis forma, ut similitudinem gerat et causa existat, quod inter formas accidentales magis competenter qualitatibus. Et concordant testimonia sanctorum dicendum quod species, forma et similitudo panis remanet; ideo certum est, si sacramentum est accidentis sine subiecto, ipsum est qualitas.

B 100^b Concordando autem quantum fides permittit opiniones predictas, dico, quod impossibile est hoc sacramentum esse formaliter qualitatem, quantitatem vel aggregacionem multorum generum accidentium. Sed admittendo predicacionem secundum materiam vel subiectum, ut facit scriptura, conceditur quod hoc sacramentum est tam quantitas quam qualitas, quam etiam accidentia diversorum generum congregata. Et sic sacerdos magnus Christus factus est reconciliatio omnibus qui volunt A 93^a humiliter | sequi ipsum in logica; sed superbi dicentes Jo. cum hereticis retrorsum abeuntibus: "Durus est hic VI, 6

The opinion that the Sacrament is a quality, is the least improbable.
For I. Quality is a vestige of the *form*, and thus better than quantity.
II. Quality changes less, and is thus more able to be a subject.

III. A sacrament is a form of grace; so is quality a form.
IV. And Saints have favoured this view.

Yet it is not admissible, unless we give this quality a subject.

9. et D. 18. quantitati B. 21. quantitas B. 26. naturam B.
29. aggregata D. 31. in *deest* BC. 32. abeuntibus B.

sermo et quis potest eum audire?" perierunt in propriis vanitatibus et, dicentes se esse sapientes, stulti facti sunt, propriis funiculis laqueati. Quamvis enim sacramentum altaris sit multiplex accidens ad sensum equivocum, non tamen est sine subiecto, cum ad alium 5 sensum subicitur formaliter cuiuslibet huiusmodi accidenti; et stat sentencia Augustini et philosophorum quod nul-B lum accidens potest esse sine subiecto et per consequens ipsum sacramentum non est accidens sine subiecto, sed in natura sua terrena substancia et ad sensum 10 alium corpus Christi, ad quod | omnis fidelis in mente B 100^a debet attendere, pastis sensibus exiliter circa accidencia quantum oportet, et suspensa consideracione circa na-turam vel quiditatem materialis substancie sacramenti.

And thinking of His Body, we eat Him spiritually. Tota autem sollicitudo fidelium debet esse in cogitatione,¹⁵ in affectione et imitacione, corpori Christi, quod sursum est intendere, et ipsum fide formata spiritualiter manducare, et specialiter secundum panis et vini signifi-canciam in caritate fundari, quod habeant omnia in communione.²⁰

Otherwise we only eat the accidents, sign of Christ's body; Aliter enim ad sui iudicium manducant corpus mortuum sacramenti, quod ostenditur in generacione signa terrena querente, et in contencione ac emulacione, accidens quod est signum corporis domini comedente. Multiplicantur enim oraciones, variantur religiones et 25 onerantur fideles per humanas tradiciones; et tamen ab origine mundi non fuit caritas tepidior quam est modo. Rogamus enim dari nobis disciplinam inimicos diligere, ordinamus religiosos qui hortantes ad pacem | A 93^b doceant mundum contempnere, et instamus cordate, ut 30 fingimus, pro libertate ecclesie; et tamen per solucionem sathane patris mendacii novimus hec dicere, sed con-trarium omnino facere. Quod cum sit falsitas veritati opposita, patet quam torve retrocedimus tamquam discoli discipuli antichristi. Et hec omnia scola verbi et 35 operis circa sacramentum eukaristie efficit vel figurat.

The false teachers as to the Eucharist do all this. Redeundo igitur ad scolam priorem, probatur quod C. sacramentum altaris non sit qualitas sine subiecto. Nam nulla qualitas potest intendi vel | remitti secundum B 100^c ultimum singulare; sed hoc sacramentum potest, ut 40 patet ex dictis; igitur ipsum non est formaliter scilicet qualitas. Maior patet ex hoc quod ideo est motus ad

6. cuius libet B. 18. sed BC. 19. fundati omnes MSS. 31. fin-gamus B.

qualitatem ut per se terminum, quia nichil potest alterari nisi aquisierit vel perdiderit qualitatem. Et istam sentenciam neverunt qui ponunt qualitatem compendi ex partibus intensivis vel continue esse novam; nam intensio vel remissio qualitatis non est nisi qualitas, cum per idem quantitas et locus non requiruntur ad hoc quod aliquid in illis generibus moveatur.

Item, iuxta dicta de quantitate, sacramentum foret infinitum intensem, eo ipso quod intenditur, nam ita infinitum est sicut potest esse; infinitum intensem potest esse: igitur etc. Minorem non negat adversarius, et maior patet ex hoc quod eadem qualitas non posset intendi, nisi vel per condensacionem vel per qualitatis aquisitionem. Primum membrum aufugint, cum pocius,

A 93^b ut inquiunt, per condensacionem remitteretur qualitas, ut patet de caliditate, de raritate et similibus. Et si videatur qualitatem intendi, hoc est quod una noviter generatur. Similiter, si eadem qualitas sacramentalis posset intendi, posset una cum alia coextendi, et per consequens, continuata tali intencione per tempus infinitum, intensa foret talis qualitas ante finem cuiusunque partis eiusdem temporis: quia infinite partes D. eque intense non communicantes forent coextense. Similiter omnis intensio qualitatis est qualitas, ut patet ex 25 descripcione qualitatis; et concordant emuli. Sed sacramentum intensem per tempus aquiret latitudinem intentionis; et sic infinitos gradus, quorum quilibet remanebit cum alio; igitur tota qualitas sacramentalis erit in fine infinitum intensa. Multa autem talia argumenta possunt evacuari per logicos vere ponentes substantiam subici istis accidentibus que sunt insolubilia isti vie.

Item, cum in sacramento sunt multa genera qualitatum, nec subest racio, quare ipsum foret una qualitas, quin per idem et quilibet, videtur quod sacramentum sit omnes huiusmodi qualitates. Non enim est singula earum, sicut videtur glosam dicere de consecratione distinctione 2^a, super capitulo "Sacerdotum"; certum quidem est quod sacramentum vel est sic qualificatum vel ipsa qualitas. Sed non est ipsa qualitas secundum glosam, cum non sit ponderositas; igitur est

St. Thomas makes quantity the subject of the other accidents: for quality cannot be qualified.

If the Sacrament is all those qualities, then quantity must be added too: with all its difficulties.

This view is contrary to known fact.

If the sacramental quality is called the Sacrament, it will be so only by means of the other qualities.

The contrary opinion (that the substance remains), confirmed by Augustine and others,

is denied by the opponents, because the bread is trans-substantiated; which, this granted, could not be true.

subiectum | distinctum; et hoc est evidens, Thomae et A 93^a aliis dicentibus quod proprium est quantitati esse quantam, sic quod qualitas non sit qualis, quia tunc, ut inquit, virtus foret virtuosa, beatitudo beata, sessio que sederet et sic forent in hostia consecrata multa genera sacramentorum. Non enim potest poni prior qualitas, quia per idem foret quelibet qualitas prima et potissime, que continue est nova. Si igitur sacramentum sit omnes ille qualitates coniunctum, per idem iungenda est quantitas cum aliis accidentibus, ut dicit 10 secunda opinio; et sic quodlibet argumentum quod movet contra aliquam horum trium movet eciam contra istud. Tunc enim non habetur sacramentum istud con-E. stanciam permanendi et denominaciones notorias recipiendi, ut motum, benedictionem, vel actionem, vel pas- 15 sionem, ut non posset calefieri, commisceri, B 101^b agere, vel videri; quorum aliqua contradicunt experimen-to certissimo, et alia obviant rationi sacramenti, cum non sanctificatur nisi sanctitas et virtus sacra-mentalium inducatur: que cum sit potissima, cui omnes 20 alie qualitates subserviunt, videtur quod illa sit potis-sime sacramentum vel pars eius principalis; sicut anima est pars hominis, cum sacramentum sit sensibile. Et sic ipsa non per se est sacramentum sed alie qualitates quas induit.

Multe sunt tales instancie ex quibus manuducitur fidelis diu quod sacramentum altaris sit panis sanctifi-catus, ut dicit Augustinus, et per consequens substancia panis, ut dicit autor de divinis | officiis capitulo 5^o, A 94^c "De canone misse", ubi exponit istum quinarium: 30 hostiam sanctam etc. "Per quinarium," inquit, "crucis signaculum panis et vini imprimit substanciali Christo sedent ad dextram dei patris veraciter, ut dictum est, concorporate." Sed contra dicta obicitur per hoc quod F.

27. fidelis unitas diu A.

1. St. Thomas says (S. Theol. 3^a Pars, qu. LXXVII, art. 2. "Respondeo . . . etc. Unde et ipsa quantitas dimensiva secundum se habet quamdam individuationem". But he carefully distinguishes extensive force from extension. "Quantitas dimensiva . . . non est quantitas mathematica (ibid. ad 4^m dicendum . . .)" 29. The exposition of these words in *De Divinis Officiis* is quite different. 32. The sense is not clear; but if *sacerdos* be added, as subject of *imprimat*, and *quinarium* taken as a substantive, there is a meaning to the whole.

panis et vinum transsubstanciantur in corpus Christi et sanguinem, ut patet primo decretalium capitulo "Firmiter". Sed istud secundum famosos doctores nostros et glosam decretalium non potest salvari, nisi panis et vinum desinant esse secundum quamlibet sui partem.

Hic dicitur, ut patet alibi, quod signacio extranea est petita et non fundata, quia includit oppositum in adiecto.

Si enim substancia transit in substanciam, quod est transsubstanciacio, tunc oportet dare subiectum huius

¹⁰ motus remanens, quia aliter transiret in nichilum. Ideo, ut alias dixi ex sentencia beati Ambrosii et Augustini,

B 101^a in | hac benedicta conversione, panis sit aliquid quod prius non fuit. Unde beatus Ysidorus in quodam ser-

mone, post declaracionem conversionis multiplicis, sic inquit: "Sicut baptisatus ante invocacionem nominis

Christi et mortuum et putridum membrum est, sed post invocacionem membrum vivum et corpus Christi est,

sic substancia panis et vini ante consecrationem panis et vinum est; post consecrationem corpus Christi et

Answer. This sense cannot be the right one, as it includes such

contradictions. If there is a passage of one substance into another, what passes?

St. Isidore compares the Eucharistic change with that of baptism, but does the neophyte turn to nothing?

¹⁵ 20 sanguis Christi est." Ecce planum testimonium huius G. sancti quod substancie panis et vini sunt post consecrationem corpus Christi et sangvis. Et eadem est

sentencia Ambrosii et aliorum sanctorum dicencium quod panis erit corpus Christi, licet posterius per glosas

A 94^b ordi | narias eorum sentencia sit retorta; ut hii dicunt,

quod panis, *hoc est, accidens vel forma panis*, erit cor-

pus Christi; illi, quod non illud *accidens* sed in illo *accidente* erit corpus Christi; et illi quod non ille *panis*,

sed ex *pane* fiet corpus Christi.

Other Saints, whose sense is improperly wrested by the gloss, say the same.

Remarks on the arrogance and folly of the glossators.

Unable to ground their theory on Innocent's decree, they recur to glosses.

These madmen think all their opinions are those of the Church.

30 Et sic relicta sanctorum logica et scriptura vix per decennium durat logica ficta per istos apostatas; et

cum tanta mania percussi sunt ut dicant, si principium secte sue et almanac suum annale sentenciant dandam

sentenciam, tunc ipsa est determinacio universalis ec-

35 clesie. Unde quia non habent ab Innocencio III^o vel papa alio quod sacramentum altaris sit accidentis sine subiecto, recurrent ad commenta mendacii et glosas

doctorum indiscretorum, qui per eis similes sunt se- ducti. Et sic, ut fabulatur de presbitero Johanne quod

40 pransus licenciat ut tunc comedat totus mundus, sic

30. scripture ABC. 33. almanant D.

15. Isid., t. 83, p. 1228 of Migne. Wyclif's quotation is very free. 39. Cf. Sermones, III, 77.

isti ma | niaci impudenter asserunt, quod si ipsi sic B^{101d} sententiant, sentencia illa est decretum universalis eccl^{esi}e.

Thus the solution of the difficulty is that the bread and wine are changed into Christ's body, their substance remaining. Et patet solucio instancie supradicte, cum argumentum concedi debeat ad hunc sensum, quod panis et ⁵ vinum convertuntur in corpus Christi et sanguinem, cum ipsa aliquomodo, servata natura eorum, fiunt corpus Christi et sanguis, non sicut adversarii fingunt formam panis, vel accidentis quod panem nominant, fieri corpus Christi. Et si musitant, quomodo panis trans- ¹⁰ How? Let them say how a rod became a serpent, &c. substanciatur vel convertitur in corpus Christi, cum remanet, dicant quomodo hoc competit sacramento, | A^{94e} dicant secundo quomodo essencia virge transsubstanciatur in serpentem, essencia corporis uxoris Loth transsubstanciatur in statuam salis, essencia aque fit vinum, ¹⁵ quomodo membrum dyaboli convertitur et transsubstanciatur in membrum Christi et tamen utrobius remanet eadem essencia in numero non destructa. Conversio H. transsubstanciationis does not signify destruction but permanence; I. Naturally, by eduction of a form: enim, vel transsubstanciacio, non dicit destructionem essencie, sed eius remanenciam. Et ita est duplex con- ²⁰ versio, prima propinqua motui naturali, quando, educta forma substanciali, alia nova in essenciam naturalem inducitur: ut patet in exemplo triplici supradicto, et iuxta philosophiam ac logicam scripture. Substancia unius modi erit substancia alterius modi, ut Joh. II^o 25 subtiliter dicitur aquam factam vinum. Sicut enim ac- Jo. II, 9 to substance, so is substantial; coidens contingit substancie, sic forma substancialis matter; terialis contingit materiali essencie.

II. Super-naturaliter, when bread becomes Christ's body, or a sinner is converted: in both cases the subject remains the same. Secundus modus conversionis vel transsubstanciacionis magis miraculosus est, quando dominus Jesus Christus, ³⁰ verus deus et verus homo, facit conversum quodammodo se ipsum: et hec est mutatio dextre | excelsi deo pro- B^{102*} pria. Et fit dupliciter: vel illabendo anime peccatoris, faciendo ipsum quodammodo ipsum Christum, vel assistendo sacramentaliter terrene substancie faciendo ³⁵ ipsam quodammodo corpus suum; quomodo autem hoc fit, subiacet communiter scrutinio et fidei cunctorum fidelium. Christus enim dixit panem sacramentalem esse corpus suum. Sed cavendum est, ne margarithe fidei ventilate in glossis legum hominum ecclypsentur; quia ⁴⁰

7. fuit AB. 10. sic pro si omnes MSS. 18. substancia B.
21. motu D. 22. materialem AD. 29. motus AB. 30. periculosus ABC;
corr. D. 31. fecit D.

A 94^a secundum | Jeronimum: *Quod medicorum est, medici tractant, fabrilia fabri.*

I. Secundo, obicitur per hoc: in ambiguis sub pena mortis standum est isti determinacioni pape et universalis ecclesie; sed ipse determinat, quod substancia panis non remanet, sed quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto; igitur standum est isti decreto. Si enim in lege veteri fideles debent summo sacerdoti adeo obedire, multo magis in nova lege, quando plus

10 debent esse obedientie filii. Sed Deuteronomii XVII^o do- Deut. cetur: "Si ortum fuerit ambiguum inter iudices, refera- XVII, tur ad sacerdotes; si non steterit sacerdotis iudicio

8—12 morte moriatur." Hic dicitur, quod illi qui preponderant

istud dictum nimis cecantur, iudaisantes et intendentes destruccionem. Pro quo declarando noto primo quod dicta contencio inter iudices non fuit de fide immediate, sed de iudicio seculari, ut de accusacione vel alio simili: quia blasphemus, ut patet in eodem capitulo, de-

buit lapidari. Noto secundo, quod patens diversitas est

20 inter hanc legem ceremonialem veteris testamenti et legem

B 102^b gracie, cum summus sacerdos debuit secundum | legem

Luc. Judaycam vel divinum oraculum iudicare. In lege autem

XII, gracie est omnino oppositum, cum Christus Luc. XXII^o

13, 14 aufugit tale iudicium; et apostoli in causa blasphemie

25 vel fidei scripture non habuerunt istum modum. Papa

autem constituit sibi leges novellas secundum quas

iudicat. Noto tercio quod quantum ad materiam fidei

A 95^a vel secularia iudicia, non | recurret tota ecclesia ad Ro-

manum pontificem; tum quia est infundabile, tum eciam

30 quia careret ut plurimum ratione; magna enim pars

Christianismi requireret terminacionem iudicij, antequam

veniretur ad Romanum pontificem, et illuc deuento,

sunt multi proprius qui melius deciderent tales causas:

K. ideo sic iudaisantes nimis artarent Christianismum. Sed

35 supposito quod causa ista de eukaristia devoluta fuerit

ad Romanum pontificem, patet quod hucusque per

ipsum vel suos, non est decisum, ut hic assumitur.

Another objection: We must obey the Pope; now he has decided that the substance of bread does not remain.

Answer: This objection, taken from the Old Testament, is Judaical in spirit.

^{1st} The text refers only to secular matters.

^{2nd} We are not now under the ceremonial law, but the law of grace.

Christ refused to judge between two adversaries.

Why should the whole world recur to the Pope? It would often be long to wait for his judgment.

4. est *deest* BCD. 14. necantur B; *ib.* intelligentes B. 22. iudai-
cum D. 23. XXII^o ACD. 24. tale C. 35. fuit B.

1. I have left this quotation as it stands, not having been able to trace it to St. Jerome. Of course it is perverted from Horace, Ep. I. II, 1, 115—6. Quod medicorum est Pro-
mittunt medici; tractant fabrilia fabri.

Supposing that Unde posito per impossibile, quod tota ista materia sit
 this matter were laid before posita in suo iudicio, patet quod ipse non habet potest
 the Pope, he can statem decidendi unum aliud, nisi ut ex scriptura sacra
 judge only according to vel revelatione notoria sibi fuerit intimatum; ad quo
 the Bible or by rum utrumque ex multis diebus inter multos episcopos 5
 revelation. est ineptus.

The faithful need fear nothing in this point, and may await a general council.
 Ideo quantum ad eukaristiam, debet fidelis in fide scripture quiescere et ortis aliis questionibus vel omnino pausando ipsas abicere vel in generali concilio ecclesie responsum spiritus sancti expetere. Unde consonum foret 10
 Innocent would dictum Innocencium III^o multas leges quas condidit et have done better to let the matter alone. specialiter multa dicta sua de eukaristia | omisisse; B 102^a

nichil enim diffinit ex ratione vel fide scripture, sed dicitur multa posuisse tanquam legifer Christo contrarius, ut patet in lege “*Omnis utriusque sexus*”, cum 15 sibi similibus, in qua nichil auctoratis vel racionis miscetur, sed zizania seminatur ad dissensionem et illibertatem ecclesie; ut patet ex fructibus huius legis. Et sic in materia de sacramento eukaristie | , antequam A 95^b

Before he meddled, the Host was adored as God-bearing bread. After, many heresies arose, and much idolatry. ipse posuit impossibiliter accidentia esse sine subiecto, 20 cum aliis sibi ambiguis, bene stetit ecclesia, colendo hoc sacramentum ut panem deiferum, et quodammodo corpus Christi. Et ex post decrevit continue ydolatrarum devocio et multiplicabantur hereses circa materiam de quidditate hostie, sic quod maior pars sibi intendendum 25 in fide fluctuat. Ideo non dubium melius fuisset ipsum L. declarasse legem scripture de eukaristia et legem benedictam Nicolai IIⁱ De consecratione distinctione 2^a.

Better to have kept to Pope Nicolas definiton. Horrible heresy: the sacramental bread and wine are in their nature of less worth than rats' food and poison. “Ego Berengarius”; tunc enim non horruisset ecclesia occidua de ista heresi seminata, quod sacramentum 30 altaris est panis, sed in natura imperfeccior pane rationis; et sacramentum calicis est vinum, sed in natura sua imperfeccius quam venenum; multi namque fideles asserunt, quod si scirent hoc esse verum, nunquam celebrarent vel acciperent sacramentum. Ideo ad nichil 35 videtur valere hec infundabilis heresis seminata, nisi ad negandum sensum et principium per se notum, ac difficultandum ecclesiam que prius fuit libera circa impossibilia que secuntur. Sic enim terroristur layci, quod mors sit quidam invidus | vadens villatim cum lancea, B 102^d

These are fictions like that of Death or Fever personified.

2. posita deest B. 28. 2^a deest C. 30. accidua D. 37. ac ad CD.

15. Decr. Greg. I. V, tit. 38, c. 12.

cuius iectus nullus potest aufugere; sicut febris est unum per se existens, quod infirmos exagitat; sicut poterit corpus inanimatum aut mortuum eciam ipsum accidens infirmare. Sed procul a fidelibus tales in-

5 sanie!

A 95^e Nec est putandum quod | universalis ecclesia militans sit decepta hac heresi, sicut nunc ecclesia nostra occidua, in qua sunt multi maniaci; cum deus reliquit sibi milia qui non curvaverunt genua sua ydolatre ad 10 sacramentum accidencium per se existencium. Et patet nuditas dicencium quod opinio sua sit determinacio M. universalis ecclesie; ad quod solum adducunt illud primi decretalium capitulo "firmiter" quod panis et vinum transsubstanciantur in corpus Christi et sanguinem; et 15 sic ex cautela dyaboli venenum heresis sub novello nomine transsubstanciacionis introducitur. Sed oportet fidelem, ut dictum est, per transsubstanciacionem novelam ecclesiam intelligere conversionem, ut loquitur beatus Ambrosius et alii sancti de millenario Christi.

20 Nec est recurrentum in diffinizione fidei ad Romanum pontificem, nisi notorie deus dederit sibi super-eminentem noticiam scripture; sed habemus super-substancialiem pontificem dominum Jesum Christum, cuius instinctibus et testimonio debemus intendere et 25 non super Romanum pontificem omnes causas ecclesie cumulare; quia tunc foret indubie non Christi vicarius, sed integer Antichristus, cum auferret a temporalibus dominis suum seculare dominium et civilibus iudicibus sentenciam iuris sui; cum Magog sentenciat quod in

All are not deceived however; thousands have not bowed the knee before Baal.

To say that the opinion of a sect is the Church's decision is barefaced impudence.

In matters of faith, the Roman Pontiff should not be consulted, unless he has studied Scripture deeply. Christ is our Supreme Pontiff whom if we set aside for the Pope, we make of the latter an Antichrist.

B 103^a omnibus iudiciis ubi est orta contencio | stabitur finaliter sub pena mortis suo decreto; quo dato conquerireret in brevibus cuncta regna et iudicans in illo quod nescit, destrueret papam alium; et econverso. Et patet

A 95^d locus a simili | de obediendo Romano pontifici, quia 35 sic obediendum fuit summo sacerdoti in lege veteri, claudicat in duobus: primo, quia non fundatur in scriptura quod quicunque Romanus pontifex sit caput universalis ecclesie militantis, sicut fundatur de summo sacerdotio Aaron et sui seminis; secundo, quia non 40 taxatur in scriptura pena obviacionum decretis papalibus; sed Christus, Petrus et alii paciendo in novo testamento docuerunt contrarium fieri pro tempore legis nove.

The analogy with the Jewish Priesthood, faulty:

^{1st} Not every Roman Pontiff is head of the Church, like Aaron and his successors.

^{2nd} Scripture assigns no penalty to those who do not obey his decrees.

3rd Objection: The possibility of absolute accidents, upheld for more than 100 years, should not be denied.

Answer: Augustine expressly denies it.

The gloss says that his words mean *natural* possibility; but he surely meant what he said, and no less.

If you tamper with his words, we retaliate.

You make him say: Accidents must have a subject, i. e. naturally; we make the Pope say: 'Accidents can do without a subject, i. e. a subject which is actually in our thought.'

100 years are nothing, if we think of the ages before the birth of this theory.

We need take no account of these erring and self-contradicting doctors,

Sed tertio obicitur per hoc quod remanencia acci- N.
dencium sine substrata substancia est possibilis, ut patet per Innocencium III^m capitulo "Cum Marthe" et con- sona ac testificata per centum annos et amplius veri- ficari in sacramento altaris; igitur hoc non est simpli- 5 citer negandum. Hic dicitur, ut sepe alias, quod falsum assumitur, cum Augustinus cum philosophis sepe dicit ut per se notum, quod accidentis non possit esse sine subiecto; ideo mirificare hoc sacramentum cum tanto mendacio et sine racione foret in deum blasphemare. Et 10 quantum ad glosam Augustini qua dicitur ipsum intel- ligere hoc non posse fieri per naturam, patet quod nimis leviter glosant, cum tam sanctus et tam subtilis logicus habens in ita recenti memoria totam veritatem credendam de eukaristia in dicto suo illud exciperet, 15 cum amplissime loquitur de divina potencia et genera- liter vocat sacramentum panem, aut | corpus Christi et B 103^b

nullibi accidentis. Ideo ut sepe dixi, si isti ita perfunc- torie | glosant Augustinum, licet nobis pertinencius glo- A 96^a sare Innocencium et alia dicta doctorum que videntur 20 sonare accidentis in sacramento esse sine subiecto; hoc est quod sit sine subiecto in actuali consideracione fidelium, quo ad suam quidditatem specificatam; quia constat, quod aliis sensus fictus non est pertinens fidei. Ideo dicit logicus deridendo quod sicut ipsi glosant 25 Augustinum, quod accidentis non potest esse sine subiecto naturaliter, sic illi glosantur quod accidentis potest esse sine subiecto impossibiliter, si deus voluerit et non possibiliter; nec est color amplior in fundacione glori sue quam in nostra. 30

Et quantum ad doctores qui videntur testificari istam O. sentenciam centum annis et amplius, patet quod nimis levis est evidencia, cum per tantum tempus tante fuerunt maiores hereses de symonia, apostasia et blasfemia. Quid igitur nobis, si illi doctores cum sectis suis tam 35 diu erraverant? Nec oportet sollicitari in glosis eorum, cum ipsi nimis sinistre glosant Augustinum cum aliis sanctis in ista materia. Sed quia contradicunt sibi ipsis, nec adducunt raciones vel scripturam pro sua sentencia, testatur, quod eorum testificacio est inepta. 40 Nec est diffiniendum quod aliquis eorum moriebatur in

9. verificare ABD. 20. qui AD. 23. specificatam struck out; specificam A. 27, 28. naturaliter — subiecto deest BCD. 28, 29. si — possibiliter deest D. 38. quod BCD.

hac heresi, nisi forte apud illum, cui hoc fuerit revelatum. Unum tamen scio, quod isti doctores cum sectis suis meruerunt racione erroris sui in religione Christiana plus seduci. Ideo catholicus adduceret raciones eorum

B 103^c et taceret sua testimonia, cum nimis sint | inepta.

A 96^b Sed supposito | per impossibile Innocencium III^m decrevisse quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto; manifestum est quod nec sibi credendum esset in isto, cum contrarius sanctis doctoribus fingeret hoc

10 sine fundamento. Nec ex hoc convincitur error universalis ecclesie, cum maniaci blaterant quod non sit ecclesia, nisi quam ipsi rexerint; sicut filie Loth crediderant non superesse hominem superstitem preter ipsum.

Nam eo ipso quo hoc finxerint, forent nimis alieni a 15 sancta ecclesia; nec est credibile, quod ipsi tam diu

P. in isto erraverant, cum maiores errores per tantum temporis comiserunt. Ideo quod spiritus sanctus assistat eis protegens ne errant in fide scripture, est leve dictum et infundabile, cum omne mortale habeant hunc

20 errorem diucius. Igitur errarunt in materia de civili dominio clericis cumulato. Ideo non mirum si, correspondenter ad istud accidens quod est de adiacencia temporalium, errant profundius in alio accidente: et ita generaliter quicquid decreverint extra fidem scripture,

25 est eo suspectius de falsitate. Ideo, ut sepe dixi, foret ipsis utile tenere se in suis limitibus, ne constituant huiusmodi infundabiles novitates, quia per hoc difficultant et illibertant ecclesiam, nec inducunt utilitatem aliam.

Quod si fingunt mirabilitatem eukaristie, dicant con- 30 sonancius quod remanet panis substancia, et omnia illa accidentia sine eius informacione; vel dicant (ut A 96^e videtur | Wymundum dicere) quod non | est fraccio vel

B 103^a

4. catholicis AB. 19. habeant deest BCD.

nor can we know whether they died in their heresy.

Returning to Innocent's decree, Wyclif denies its authority.

These doctors, like Lot's daughters, fancy that they are alone in the world.

I. No proof that the Holy Spirit assists them.

II. Proof that He does not: they have erred as to civil lordship; they may err yet more in this question.

Let them keep within bounds.

If they want a miracle in the Eucharist, they may take our opinion, or that of Guitmundus who makes all an illusion.

19. *Habeant* makes no sense and is not in the other MSS. I have left it however, as there is nothing to gain by striking it out. It would seem there is a lacuna here, without which *igitur* in the next sentence can hardly be accounted for. 31. This is Wyclif's doctrine, which he states to be even more wonderful than the others; for he admits the double presence of Christ and bread. As will be seen further, though Christ is there figuratively, He is there really also. 32. Guitmundus, in his zeal against Berengarius, goes so far as to deny that the Host can be touched by mice, digested, &c., saying that it is an illusion, and that Christ's body is taken away by angels. See Migne, t. 149, p. 1448, 1449.

V. g., when
the Sacrament
seems to be
burnt, angels
put a wafer
instead of
Christ's body.

The Devil
invented this
theory to
degrade Christ's
body,

and bring
difficulties on
the Church.

But God has
set reason and
Scripture
against him, and
his own
disciples against
each other.

Another
invention of
Hell: the Pope's
temporal
power.
An inordinate
pretension.

Thence comes
the sacrilegious
rapine of lesser
officials, who
also fancy that
their position
requires
temporalities.

This heresy
resembles that
of the accidents;

Christ's
members
require a better
support than
the accidents of
riches.

pudenda mocio eukaristie, sed sensus illusio per spiritum in medio. Et quando videtur sacramentum comburi vel digeri, insensibili celeritate defertur in celum per angelos, et aliud corpus, ut hostia non consecrata, per angelos bonos — vel malos? — insensibiliter ministratur. 5

Nec possunt pocius fundare suam sentenciam vel reprobare iam fictam, quam infinitas alias simulatas. Ideo, ut sepe dixi, omnes tales ficticie, quia sunt in scriptura infundabiles, fluctuant in incerto. Et patet quod dyabolus instigat ad hanc heresim ex superba 10 manifestacione potestatis sue super suos discipulos, ut corpus Christi irreverenter concedatur esse unum nichil vel abiectissima creatura; et secundo, ut frustra super mendaci fantasia difficultetur ecclesia, que secundum ordinacionem Christi secundum scolam levem debet esse 15 libera; sed dominus preclusit scole dyaboli racionis testimonium et scripture; ymo, fecit quod tam sensus quam racio, quam legis testificacio, foret contraria huic vie; et tertio fecit discipulos scole dyaboli in isto sibi met repugnare. Nam, ut notant quidam, antequam secte 20 iste suborte sunt non errabatur sic de hostie quidditate.

Et cum hoc presagio duro difficultati sunt status eccliesie, ut iam dicitur pape adiacere civiliter tantum de Romano imperio, quantum sufficeret | multis regnis; et A 96^a super hoc inordinate spoliat multas ecclesias. Inordinate 25 dico, quia apostatice | vendicat ista ex universalitate sui B 10^a dominii, et tum ubi secundum istum titulum caperet ubi forent bona sua magis vacancia, rapit de pauperibus, quia ipsos promovet, ubi est patencior symonia.

Et sic est de rapinis sacrilegis inferiorum membrorum 30 dyaboli; nam magister eorum suggerit quod status eorum omnino exigit, quod oportet eis tot temporalia adiacere; et indubie de tanto deficit in eis Christi officium, et docentur de tanto secundum scolam dyaboli defendere antichristum; et illud docma nimis perturbat 35 ecclesiam. Sicut enim foret nimis hereticum defendere, quod corpus Christi proprium sit accidens sine subiecto, sic foret modo hereticum defendere, quod Christi vi- carius, caput ecclesie et corpus Christi mysticum foret in officio civilis adiacencie temporalium. Sicut enim 40 omne accidens oportet habere maioris permanencie substratum subiectum, sic oportet omne membrum

Christi pro adiacencia temporalium habere causaliter precedens dignius Christi officium.

R. Unde notari debet prepositis, cum quam parcis temporalibus apostoli solemniter executi sunt ecclesiasticum ministerium, et secundum formam eorum debent diminuere in temporalibus et in profectibus spiritualibus adaugere; scientes indubie quod scola antichristi et principis huius mundi est omnino contraria. In cuius signum illaqueat suos cum perplexitatibus temporalium A 97^a et subtrahens | a cultu divino, sicut dicit eos ad cruciatum langwidum infinitum, sic propinat eis poculum infundabile cupiditatis temporalium insaciabiliter sitiendum.

Questiones autem infinite sunt de quidditate eukaristie, 15 si sit accidens sine subiecto; sed videtur michi potissime quod foret locus aut vacuum, tempus vel ewum. Nam B 104^b si aliquod accidens | foret sine subiecto, potissime foret locus, quia ille videtur naturaliter presupponi ad materialem substanciam; et cum potissime subiecta- 20 retur in illa, videtur quod pro illo gradu prioritatis nature foret sine subiecto, sicud Augustinus dicit primam materiam esse informem; sed hec evidencia est vacua a ratione, cum iste mundus sensibilis presupponitur ad locum, ut eius subiectum: quod si 25 foret per impossibile aliis mundus, foret aliis locus; nec pars mundi posset annichilari, servato residuo in loco suo. Cum autem, secundum Aristotelem, locus est ultimum corporis continentis inmobile primum; sacramentum autem non est ultimum corporis conti- 30 nentis, sed pocius foret unum vacuum in ultimo corporis continentis, nec aliqua eius pars haberet locum sibi adequatum; manifeste videtur quod non sit locus, nec quelibet pars sacramenti. Si non est pars corporis, non habet ultimum corporis, quod sit locus. Et sic si 35 ad omnem punctum sui intrinsecum non foret locus, sacramentum secundum se totum nec esset locus nec

Space or time would be absolute accidents, if any could be so.

For space seems to precede, not follow, material substance.

Still place is impossible without the world, its subject.

Place, according to Aristotle, is the limit of the containing body; if the sacrament is not a body, place cannot be predicated of it.

So it would be nowhere.

10. dicit deest B. 12. capitis AB; cupitis CD. 16. ewangelium B;
corr. A. 18. presuppositione AB. 30. in ultimi ACD; ultimum B.
31. alia BCD; corr. A.

11. These three words serve to mark very exactly the date at which this work was written. The Crusade in Flanders had not yet come to its disastrous end, but was very near it. See *Introduction*. 21. Aug. Conf. I. XII, c. VII, VIII. Migne, t. 32, p. 828, 829. 27. Arist. ed. Didot, t. II, p. 290, l. 39.

locatum; non enim est per eukaristiam materia informis, nec elementum, nec aliqua extensa substancia. Et S. quantum ad rationem immo | bilis, patet quod illud A 97^b sacramentum moveri potest quadruplici motu locali, et multipliciter alterari. Ideo, vel omne locatum est locus, 5 vel eukaristia non est locus.

It ought to be
time, for time,
without subject,
depends neither
on the world
nor on any
part of it. And
any other
accident has
been proved
impossible.

But time is not
visible nor
tangible; the
Host is both.

Let us therefore
leave this
heresy, and
cleave to the
old faith.

Argument in
its favour for
the simple.

For 1000 years
a terrestrial
substance was
offered in the
Mass.

And this
substance was
made Christ's
body.

Now we cannot
possibly call an
absolute
accident a
terrestrial
substance.

It would be as
well to gloss
Genesis, and
call Heaven
and Earth an
absolute
accident.

Quod autem sit tempus vel ewum videtur ex hoc quod, ut dicitur, est quantitas successiva, que non est nisi tempus; et iterum tempus videtur non dependere a subiecto, quia nec a mundo nec a parte eius, cum 10 ut fingitur, deus potest in ista hora sepe destruere istum | mundum, sicut potest servare totum genus sub-B 104^c stancie destructo quoconque accidente preter ewum; cum quo posito de possibili quod habeamus sacramentum altaris, relinquitur eis ponere quod sit ewum: quod est 15 manifeste falsum, cum nec tempus nec ewum sit corporaliter visible nec palpabile, cuiusmodi est indubie sacramentum altaris.

Ideo relicta hac facta heresi, quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto, teneamus antiquam 20 fidem quod sacramentum sit naturaliter terrena substancia et sacramentaliter corpus Christi. Ad quod, ut sacerdotes et alii simplices habeant plus parate evi-denciam, sic arguo: Iстis mille annis et amplius fuit hostia oblata in missa *terrena substancia*, ut patet in 25 secreta medie misse diei natalis domini et secreta ferie 4^e quatuor temporum in septembri. Sed eadem oblata fuit consecranda et facienda corpus Christi ac sacramentum altaris; ut patet in canone misse, in immediate ante verba consecrationis, ubi rite orat ecclesia quod 30 hec oblatio | “fiat corpus domini nostri Iesu Christi”: A 97^c igitur conclusio vera. Nec valet infamis ficticia qua primo dicitur quod hoc accidens quod est sine subiecto sit terrena substancia, quia coloracius diceretur, quod quolibet corpus sensibile sit accidens sine subiecto, 35 cum deus tunc plus compendiose et miraculose constitueret mundi fabricam. Et quantum ad illud Genes. primo: “In principio deus creavit celum et terram” cum omnibus eis similibus que sonant substanciam, diceret hec glosa blasfema quod intelligit per ista | B 104^d nomina accidens sine subiecto. Et eodem modo irridetur secunda blasfemia, qua dicitur quod hostia oblata, que

est terrena substancia, non potest expectare consecra- Nor is it true
cionem, sed desinit esse in consecracione secundum substance fails
quamlibet sui partem; quia frustra et stulte oraret at the words of
ecclesia, ut illa substancia fieret corpus Christi. Quia ^{that this}consecration;
^{for why should}the Church pray
5 non est supponenda in sanctis doctoribus tanta logice ^{for it to become}Christ's body?
ignorancia, ut dicant vel deum vel sacerdotem facere
aliquid corpus Christi, quod non potest esse illud corpus,
nec pro illo tempore erit quidquam. Et hec racio
quietaret fideles.

9. Here is written in Bohemian on the MS. marked C: Opraw
tho mnyssku (i. e. Improve upon that, monk!).

CAPITULUM QUATUORDECIMUM.

In presence of
‘evasions’,
wrestling of
testimonies, and
bravadoes as to
the strength of
the opposite
arguments,
Wyclif reasons
thus:

What the
sacramental
bread is after,
it was before
consecration.

By ‘sacramental
bread’ we mean
that which the
priest is seen
to hold after
the
consecration.
And this had
certainly the
nature of bread
before
consecration, as
much as after.

So the same
“*hoc*” which is
after, was
natural bread
before.

Sed ulterius, quia maior pars sociorum claudicat in dicendo quid sit sacramentum altaris, nec adducunt testimonia valida, vel probant, quod aliquod sit accidens sine subiecto, sed examinant testes particulatim, nunc de illis que sunt per se nota fidelibus, nunc de dubitacione de rebus citra fidem assertis, et nunc de aliis sinistre conceptis; glorianturque in argumentis, quod volunt unicuique satisfacere pro sua sentencia: ideo, ut materia | fidei sit nocior, arguo sic pro parte veritatis A 97¹ fidei. Omne quod est panis sacramentalis post eius consecrationem fuit ille panis ante consecrationem; sed solum corpus Christi est ille panis sacramentalis post eius consecrationem: igitur idem corpus Christi fuit ille panis ante eius consecrationem. Consequens impro- 15 habitur et consequencia supponitur; pro noticia vero quid nominis suppono, quod per panem sacramentalem intelligatur illud sensibile quod sacerdos post consecrationem tractat in manibus et videtur a fidelibus oculo corporali. Sic enim vocatur hoc sacramentum ab ecclesia 20 et | a sanctis doctoribus panis sanctus. Secundo, suppono B 105² quod omnis huiusmodi panis sacramentalis habuit esse panis eciam temporaliter prius quam ille panis fuit consecratus; istud conceditur concorditer, tam ab illis qui concedunt panem illum esse accidens sine subiecto, 25 quam ecclesia ab illis qui concedunt panem illum esse terrenam substanciam. Nam eque fuit panis ante consecrationem sui sicut post. Ideo dicit Ambrosius in De sacramentis, “et ponitur in De consecracione 2^a, capitulo,

1. capitulum *deest omnes MSS.* 6. non B, corr. A. 7. de rebus *deest omnes MSS.*; *ib.* asseritis BCD. 10. sit *deest* D. 12—14. sed — consecrationem *deest* BCD. 19. *oculo deest* B. 25, 26. *accidens — esse deest* BCD. 29. de consecracione distinctione CD.

26. *Ecclesia*, perhaps a mistake for *eciam*. As it stands, it has no sense. 28. Decr. Grat., 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 55.

Panis est in altari: “Quod,” inquit, “erat panis ante consecrationem iam corpus Christi est post consecrationem”; et loquitur de substancia panis indubie. Et prima pars antecedentis probatur tripliciter. Primo sic: 5 Solum “hoc”, demonstrando essenciam illius sacramenti, est panis sacramentalis, ut hic supponitur; et hoc idem fuit ille panis ante dictam consecrationem; igitur maior vera.

B. Similiter, iuxta opinantes contrarie, eadem res in numero posset una vice esse unica res et alia vice res quecunque differentes in specie, non ut partes eius | quantitative aut qualitative, sed sicut complete sint singule earundem; sed hoc est impossibile: igitur impossibile est talem plurificationem esse. Similiter, si 15 hoc sacramentum per consecrationem fit et sic corpus Christi, tunc in corpore Christi subiectatur quodlibet istorum accidencium, quia in hoc sacramento et ipsum est corpus Christi; et per consequens nullum istorum accidencium est sine subiecto, quia non est sine corpore 20 Christi quod subiectat eorum singulum, cum quodlibet eorum sit in corpore Christi, non ut pars eius, sed ut forma sibi accidentalis; cum idem corpus Christi potest remanere idem sacramentum, quoque tali accidente remisso vel perduto. Et patet maior argumenti principialis; minor autem secundum exposiciones communes duo implicat, scilicet quod corpus Christi sit ille panis | post consecrationem et quod nichil aliud quam corpus Christi sit ille panis post consecrationem. Sed primam partem concedit maior pars doctorum, non solum quia 30 timent communitatem de inpetizione heresis, propter quam forent rationabiliter destruendi, verum quia fides scripture cum sanctis doctoribus confirmat illud concorditer; nam Veritas dicit panem esse corpus suum; beatus Ignacius dicit sacramentum esse corpus Christi, 35 ut recitat Lincolniensis super ecclesiastica ierarchia capitulo 30. Et idem dicit Augustinus, epistola 14 ad Bonifacium, et alii sancti concorditer. Sed et socii mei multiplicant ad hoc testimonia, quod concedunt quo C. ad secundam partem exclusive. Videtur quod sequitur:

12. sit ACD. 15. sic pro sit AB. 16. iam pro in CD. 27, 28. et — consecrationem deest BCD. 32. confirmant AB.

34. Ignatius, t. 5, p. 699, of Migne (*series graeca*). 37. Aug. Ep. ad Bonifacium, Migne, t. 33, p. 364.

It seems to panis ille nichil est nisi unum, sic quod non multorum follow that it is nothing else. distinctorum in genere singulum et per consequens panis sacramentalis, cum sit corpus Christi, videtur

The bread becomes Christ's body; so nothing else remains. quod non sit aliquid aliud. Similiter | si aliud quam A 98^b corpus Christi sit ille panis sacramentalis, potissime 5 foret natura eiusdem panis; sed ipsa est corpus Christi,

It is impossible to have two separate bodies which are yet only one. sicut et ille panis: igitur ipsum non est aliud quam corpus Christi. Similiter tunc esset possibile idem corpus in numero esse univoce duo corpora non communiancia, et per consequens reciperet secundum illa 10

predicaciones quantumcunque contrarias; quod negant doctores de sacramento altaris, ut autor De divinis officiis negat quod sacramentum est duo corpora, sed unum tantum. Alii autem negant quod est duo panes, sed unus tantum; et per idem corpus Christi foret 15 infiniti panes quantumcunque differentes, et singulus I. Cor. eorum, et tamen apostolus dicit 1^a Cor. 10: "Unus X, 17 panis et unus corpus multi sumus."

These reasons seem to be against my position. Sed replicatur per hoc quod multe istarum racionum | B 105^c videntur eque procedere contra fidem quam ego teneo; 20 D. meum igitur est respondere ad illa. Sed nimis leviter replicatur; nam ego pono equivocationem secundum

But I say that Christ's body is present in figure; quam solum possunt solvi raciones predice. Et illam equivocationem abhorrent adversarii tanquam hereticam, ut ego dico quod panis ille est corpus Christi, non 25 ydemptice, secundum suam substanciam vel naturam, sed tropice secundum excellenciam cuiusdam figure

whereas my adversaries say that it is present in substance. sacramentalis. Adversarii autem dicunt quod sacramentum istud est in natura sua corpus Christi, subducta figura. Ideo cum non contentantur de isto et responsione 30 sequenti, illis remanet alia solucio declarativa, quomodo illud abiectum accidens sit tam venerabile | corpus A 98^e

They deny that bread can figure Christ's body, but now can an accident? Christi, non panis triticeus, vel corpus Christi per verba sacramentalia superfusa; quia talem panem Christus accepit in manibus et de illo dixit: "hoc est corpus 35 meum", ut dicit Ambrosius, et nunquam de monstruoso accidente quod fingitur; illum autem panem dicit scriptura non esse naturaliter vel substancialiter, sed sacramentaliter corpus Christi.

According to Scripture, what Christ pointed to (hoc) was Christ's body; now, Christ pointed to natural bread. Item nichil est fingendum in articulis fidei sine 40 auctoritate scripture; sed articulus fidei est quod demonstratum a Christo in tali propositione sacramentali:

33. nam pro non omnes MSS.

“Hoc est corpus meum,” sit corpus Christi; igitur, non est fingendum in tali proposicione sacramentali aliquid demonstratum, nisi ex auctoritate scripture. Sed non patet ex auctoritate scripture quod demon-
 stratum sit accidens sine subiecto, et sic corpus Christi:
 5 igitur non est ponendum in hoc articulo fidei; maior patet ex hoc, quod aliter posset fangi nova fides totaliter
 B 105^a et antiqua penitus aboliri; et minor | patet ex hoc
 quod Christus sic dicit ad edificationem fidei ecclesie;
 10 et concordant doctores, tam veteres quam novelli.
 Ulterius patet negativa assumpta ex hoc, quod nullibi An accident is
 in scriptura docetur, nec testantur sancti doctores, not what is
 quod demonstratur pronomine accidens sine subiecto. pointed to, but
 E. Ex quo videtur quod non est intencionis fidei dicere
 15 quod illud sacramentum sit accidens sine subiecto. Si
 enim illud sacramentum, virtute verborum sacramen-
 talium, sit factum corpus Christi, plus verisimile foret,
 quod illud pro nomine demonstretur. Et inconveniens
 20 videtur quod sacerdos faciat accidens huiusmodi deum
 suum, quia non posset hoc nisi haberet auctoritatem
 a Christo; et per consequens ista auctoritas innotescenda
 est ecclesie, ne blasphemet. Sicut igitur fingitur tale ac-
 cidents esse sine subiecto, sic fingitur quod Christus All is fiction
 dedit potestatem faciendi tale accidens corpus suum; et in the contrary
 25 hoc sine fundamento. Non enim sonat in pietatem vel theory, to the
 religionem, quod tale accidens sit corpus Christi, quia very possibility
 ut patet in materia De incarnatione, ipsum corpus est
 Christus, et sic deus; aliter enim Christus non iacuisset
 30 in sepulchro, nec descendisset ad inferos, ymo ut loquar of an absolute
 populo, aliter nulla persona videret deum suum, nec
 ipsum manducaret in eukaristia; magnum igitur testi-
 monium fidelis requereret antequam crederet tam abiec-
 tam rem esse deum, ne sit infideli deterior, cum
 35 ydolatre plus honorificant deos suos. Et probabiliter
 creditur quod Christus non potuit esse alia natura
 quam rationalis, que sit particeps sue beatitudinis,
 B 106^a quia aliter deus | foret imperfeccior homine. Omnes
 40 igitur fideles insurerent potencia et virtute concorditer in
 quoscunque qui facerent tale dedecus corpori Jesu Christi.
 F. 40 Sed obicitur contra me idem inconveniens; nam se- *Retort: I am as*
 cundum me panis inanimatus imperfeccior serpente fit *impious, saying that bread is*
Christ's body;

ii. asserta (?) A. 33. deum suum CD. 34. ydolatrie D.

27. Wyclif, *De Benedicta Incarnatione*, c. III and IV especially.

a God more imperfect than a plant.
Answer: it is Christ only in sign, as a painting. sacramentaliter corpus Christi et per consequens sacerdos celebrando facit sibi deum abieccorem planta: quod foret inconveniens | nisi pictor fingeret ymaginem A 99^a quam fabricat esse deum. Hic dicitur, quod adversarii multipliciter exuberant in inconveniencis; quia ego 5 dico quod panis infinitum perfeccior secundum suam naturam quam venenum sit illud sacramentum et quodammodo corpus Christi. Ipsi autem dicunt quod res infinitum imperfeccior quam venenum sit illud sacramentum, et sic ydemptice corpus Christi, cum sit cor 10 pus Christi in natura, ut inquiunt. Conceditur ergo quod panis consecratus est in natura sua imperfeccior quam planta, et tamen est infinitum perfeccius sacramentaliter quam planta, cum sit corpus Christi taliter: et sic so than a plant. deus. Et patet quod non sequitur aliquem fidelem 15 It is God sacramentally. facere sibi deum abieccorem planta. Unde difficultas Thus the priest does not produce Christ's natural, but His sacramental esse. communis est utrum sacerdos celebrans facit corpus Christi; et videtur michi quod non, sed facit substanciam quam consecrat esse quodammodo corpus Christi et sanguinem. Sed quia hoc fit miraculose per verba do 20 mini, et sacerdos solum ministratorie concurrit, ideo dicitur solum conficere. Sunt tamen quedam dicta sanctorum et raciones sophistice, que videntur concludere corpus Christi fieri per verba sacramentalia. | B 106^b

The expressions used by Saints should be understood of the miraculous sacramental esse produced. Sed quantum ad dicta sanctorum, dicitur quod intelligunt 25 G. substanciam consecratam secundum rationem qua ipsa est corpus Christi, esse corpus Christi et confici a sacerdote, non secundum rationem qua absolute est corpus Christi, cum ipsum sit perpetuum et iterum infactibile; sed factum cadit super veritate miraculi, 30 scilicet quod panis sanctificatus est | corpus Christi; A 99^b hoc enim potest dici sacerdotem facere, sicut absolvit, dat spiritum sanctum et facit alia officia spiritualia sacerdotis. Raciones autem sunt multe; ut quidam replicant, si sacerdos facit hoc sacramentum, et hoc 35 sacramentum est corpus Christi, tunc ipse facit corpus Christi. Et pro antecedente ponitur quod sacerdos celebrans prius fecerit panem quem conficit et post det sibi esse sacramentale. Sed ad istud dicitur quod sicut non sequitur: sacerdos videt oculo corporali hanc 40 hostiam consecratam, frangit ipsam tractando manibus

As for the reasons to the contrary:
^{1st} It does not follow that on breaking or burning the Host, Christ's body is thus treated; so even if the priest made the bread, he would not make Christ's body.

6. suam *deest* BCD. 10. sic ydemptice *pro* quodammodo C; corr. A; sic non ydemptice B; sic sacramentaliter D. 22. deficere B. 27. conficere B. 31. Christi *deest* CD.

et comburit, igitur sic alterat corpus Christi; ita non sequitur in proposito, licet sacerdos faciat hoc sacramentum faccione duplice, et ipsum est ad sensum equivocum corpus Christi, quod faciat propterea corpus Christi. Quando enim equivocatur in medio termino, deficit paralogismus.

H. Sed secundo obicitur per hoc quod est dare faccionem et transmutacionem realem quod Christus et sacerdos conficiunt in complectione istius sacramenti.

10 Sed non est fingendus terminus ad quem, nisi fuerit corpus Christi: igitur corpus Christi pertinenter terminat istam faccionem mirabilem; quod non foret nisi quodammodo ipsum fieret. Nam quod ipsum sacramentum

B 105^a est corpus Christi | vel nichil est vel respectus. Hic

15 dicitur quod transsubstanciatio dicitur equivoce mutacio, in comparacione ad mutationes alias naturales. Unde conceditur quod deus et sacerdos suus conficiunt hoc sacramentum et faciunt ipsum esse corpus Christi non ydemptice, | sed tropice; sed non faciunt ipsum corpus,

20 et faccio terminatur ad hoc esse corpus Christi, quod est respectivum et valde salubre fidelibus.

Sed tertio obicitur per hoc quod corpus Christi habet in sacramento esse tam reale, quod ipsum posset sic ibi esse cum hoc quod non esset alibi, quod non 25 foret nisi ibi generaretur. Sic enim dicit apostolus: "In Christo Iesu ego vos genui"; corpus ergo Christi quod habet ibi esse tale spirituale sine hoc quod descendat e celo ad illum locum, oportet ibi fieri. Hic dicitur quod assumptum est impossibile; sicut enim

30 similitudo non est sine illo cuius est similitudo; sic esse sacramentale, quod habet corpus Christi in hostia,

non potest esse sine esse dimensionali quod habet in

I. Cor. celo. Et quantum ad dictum apostoli II^a Cor. IV, patet

IV, 15 quod est necessarium, cum apostolus iniecit in eis semen

35 verbi dei et plantavit eos in orto ecclesie, sed deus illapsus per graciam incrementum dedit; et sic habuerunt

per apostolum quoddam esse spirituale in quo sunt geniti per graciam viri qui est sponsus ecclesie; non tamen intelligi debet gracia, forma que posset per se

40 esse sine subiecto, cum sit, creaturam rationalem esse gratam deo, non sicut Pelagius grosse conceperat quod

B 106^a homo potest salvare sine creata gracia informante. |

^{2nd} Nor,
because God
and the priest
work together
in the
Sacrament, is
Christ's body
made. Trans-
substantiation
is only
improperly
called a change.
The new *esse*
is but a new
relation.

^{3rd} We cannot
suppose that the
reality of
Christ's *esse*
is such that it
nowhere else,
He would be in
the Sacrament,
for then He
would be there,
not as He is in
the souls of His
faithful — i. e.
spiritually —
but in all His
dimensions;

Nec oportet corpus Christi descendere de celo usque ad locum hostie; quia, ut patet ex dictis alibi, hereticum foret pertinaciter concedere, quod impossibile sit | ali-A 99^d quid esse alicubi, ubi prius non fuit, nisi vel moveatur illuc, vel aliud convertatur in ipsum; corpus igitur Christi 5 quiescit in celo, nec movetur localiter nec alteratur, et multo evidencius non generatur propter hoc, quod noviter habet esse sacramentale in hostia consecrata. Item, si K. I. sacramentum altaris sit corpus Christi ydemptice vel aliter quam figurative, tunc ipsum vivit vita animali, et 10 posset ex se moveri et agere sicut homo; consequens contra autorem De divinis officiis et contra experimentum; quia quantumcunque hostia pungitur, comburitur vel inhonorifice tractatur, non plus movet se quam panis aliis. Et cum corpus Christi mortale vivificatum per 15 animam aufugit hostes, ut patet in conversacione Christi, multo magis corpus Christi, tam gloriose vivificatum, mala huiusmodi declinaret. Non enim est ad meritum Christi vel sue ecclesie quod taliter paciatur.

If Christ were present by identity, the Host would be animated; for Christ lives. But the Host putrefies, the wine becomes vinegar; which His glorified body could not do.

Quod autem illa hostia vivat videtur, si sit ydemptice 20 corpus Christi; quia corpus Christi non est ibi exanime, cum gracia concomitancie multiplicantur omnia accidentia absoluta; igitur multo evidencius vita sua. Non igitur est hoc sacramentum corpus Christi mortuum, cum habet ad omnem eius punctum animam beatum 25 actuantem; illud autem foret nimis blasphemum, quia dyabolus non tantum cecavit ecclesiam, quin vident experimento certissimo quod hostia consecrata, | ex B 107^e naturali inclinacione | dimissa, fit fetida et putrescens; A 100^f quod non potest competere corpori domini sic dotato; 30 quia impossibile fuit corpus domini mortuum putrescere in sepulchro: ut patet Act. II^o et psalmo XV. "Non dabis sanctum tuum videre corrupcionem." Et idem M. potest esse de sacramento calicis; potest enim servari in vase vitro, quoisque versum sit in acetum et venenum, 35 quod repugnat virtuti regitive sangwinis Jesu Christi.

Nominalist theory:
Universals having no actual

Nec valet ficticia de actu exercito et signato. Ponunt enim doctores signorum, quod non est dare universalia

5. igitur *deest* E. 26. minus AB. 27. quando B. 36. sanguis C.

3. The impossibility of a thing being where it was not before, unless either brought there, or changed (which Wyclif had to deny), is the great argument for Transsubstantiation. See Aquinas, *Sum. Theol.* qu. 75, art. II.

immovable as
in Heaven,

living with
animated life,
acting in all
things like man
&c. which is
against
authority and
experience.

ex parte rei; ideo pro glozandis dictis philosophorum invenerunt hos terminos: ut quando philosophi dicunt, quod universalia sunt perpetua, ubique et semper, "hoc est verum" inquiunt, "non *in actu exercito*, sed *signato*"; ut universalia, cum solum sunt termini vel conceptus quos non facimus, non habent in naturis suis huiusmodi passiones sed in *actu signato*, hoc est signata per talia universalia sic se habent: ut patet de substancia, quantitate et multis aliis signatis per terminos universales, sic inquiunt: "Panis sacramentalis non est in *actu exercito* corpus Christi, cum sit pure accidens longe plus distans in natura a corpore Christi, quam panis materialis; sed est corpus Christi in *actu signato*, hoc est, sacramentaliter signat corpus Christi."

¹⁵ Sed contra istud instatur, primo, per hoc, quod sacramentum foret solum signum vel figura corporis Christi; ut dicit Berengarius quod sic loquentes ponunt hereticum: Item, cum illud esse quo sacramentum

A 100^b est | corpus Christi, non sit aliter ibi quam in signo,
B 107^b magnum | itaque foret inconveniens quod hec fides non
N. sit detecta ecclesie. Item per idem quocunque signatum
quod deus instituit signari per signum vel terminum,
communicaret vere nomen suum illi signo et per con-
sequens sicut quilibet impositor potest facere signum,
25 signans sibi deum omnipotentem, qui creavit mundum
ex nichilo, qui summe gubernat ecclesiam quam redemit et
qui finaliter iudicabit seculum tanquam summus iudex. Et
Bar. VI, 11 ut breviter dicatur, Jeremias nunquam copiosius replicavit
et seq. contra ydolatras Egypcios (de quo Baruch VI), quin
30 copiosius secuntur inconvenientia contra istos ydolatras.

Ideo dicunt alii econtra, quod substancia panis est corpus Christi, ut dicunt sancti doctores et leges eccliesie, ad illum sensum exercitum; hoc est, transsubstanciatur in corpus Christi; sed non est corpus Christi
35 intelligendo signa in *actu signato*, quia ille sunt omnino desperate substancie, que non possunt ydemptificari. Contra illud replicatur primo, quod substancia panis per adversarios desinit esse pro instanti transsubstanciacionis, nec ante est corpus Christi, nec per idem iuxta sua
40 principia potest esse; quia illum panem esse corpus Christi non potest tempore mensurari. Item, si panis sacramentalis non potest esse corpus Christi, et totus

being, except
in actu signato
in the mind
that thinks
them, the Host
is not Christ's
body *in actu*
exercito, but
signato; i. e.
it only signifies
Christ's body.

Theory refuted:
^{1st} because the
sacrament
would be only
a figure of
Christ;
^{2nd} because we
have no
warrant of its
truth;
^{3rd} because if
signs have so
much force,
any impostor
could say he
was God — in
actu signato.
This leads to
idolatry.

Some say that
the substance
of bread is
Christ's body
in actu exercito.

But how can
that be, if it
ceases to exist
in the moment
of consecration,
as they say it
does?
And if it still
exists, is not
idolatry
committed?

4. non *deest* BCD; *ib.* scilicet *pro* sed BCD; corr. A. 5. tibi D.
8. *temporalia* B. 23. nomine AB. 35. fuit AB.

populus iuxta doctrinam scripture, doctorum et legum ecclesie adorat illum panem tanquam corpus Christi, videtur quod committit ydolatriam adorando, et ille | A 100^c error fuisse in ecclesia nimis diu a sanctis doctoribus

The likeness of bread that remains after the consecration is rightly called bread; but why not Christ's body, rather than the bread that is no longer? And if bread, it would be material, and yet there is no matter, they say.

et eius legibus toleratus. Item, illa similitudo | panis B 107^c que remanet post consecrationem est plena similitudo O. panis materialis, et propterea vere dicitur esse panis; sed plus pertinens foret vocare ipsum corpus Christi, ut credit ecclesia, propter signaciam et figuram, quam panem illum qui secundum se totum desiit; et non est 10 compossible sacramento; igitur, panis sacramentalis qui remanet, foret pocius corpus Christi; videtur enim quod, sicut est panis propter dictam similitudinem, sic est panis materialis propter eandem similitudinem. Sic enim vocantur condicione materiales et forme mate- 15 riales, licet nec sint materia, nec in illa materia subiectata. Conformiter igitur diceretur panis sacramentalis remanens panis materialis, atque panis substancia.

Another theory; Propter ista dicit tercia responsio, quod nec panis qui prefuit nec similitudo panis que remanet, est vere 20 aut realiter corpus Christi, sed habet ipsum corpus virtute verborum sacramentalium ad quemlibet eius punctum. Contra istud sepe invectum est, primo quia ierarchia tocius ecclesie, dominus noster Jesus Christus dicit de pane materiali: hoc est corpus meum; et demon- 25 stratur panis ille, ut dicunt eciam adversantes, ponentes quod illa proposicio est factiva et conversativa: sed nimis expectant eius verificacionem, cum dicunt quod in fine, primo cum non fuerit, erit vera. Si igitur hoc principium Christi sit fundamentum ad dicendum quod 30 corpus Christi est | ibidem, si hoc principium sit falsum, A 100^d patet quod deficit fundamentum ponendi corpus Christi esse ad aliquem punctum hostie consecratae. Quia autem hoc principium est verum, patet quod corpus Christi est virtualiter ad quemlibet eius | punctum, et sacra- B 107^d mentaliter quelibet pars eiusdem hostie.

The same body cannot be multiplied in several places; which this theory would require. Augustine quoted.

Item, ut superius deductum est, impossibile est idem P. corpus in numero dimensionaliter pro eodem instanti multiplicari per loca distanca; sed hoc oporteret iuxta istam responsionem; igitur responsio falsa. Et idem 40 confirmatur per Augustinum epistola 2^a ad Volusianum:

18. substancialis B. 27. conversativa B.

41. Aug. Ad Volusianum, t. 33, p. 517, of Migne.

“Corpora,” inquit, “sunt, quorum nullum potest esse ubique totum, quin ut per innumerabiles partes aliquam alibi habeat necesse est; et quantumcunque sit corpus, seu quantulumcunque corpusculum loci occupat 5 spacium, eundemque locum sic impleat ut in nulla eius parte sit totum.” Ista autem via dicit quod substantia corporis Christi, sicut est secundum se totam in qualibet parte loci hostie consecratae, sic potest esse per situm tocius mundi, quod inmediate repugnat verbis 10 et sentencie beati Augustini. Item, si corpus Christi sit substancialiter ad quemlibet punctum sacramenti, tunc est eque magnum, ubicumque fuerit, cum non poterit esse alicubi, non quantum. Unde videtur sanctum Thomam dicere in De veritate theologie libro 6º 15 capitulo 14. “Inter alia,” inquit, „miracula huius sacramenti primum est quod est idem corpus Christi in tanta quantitate, sicut fuit in cruce, et sicut iam est in celo.” Oppositum videtur Augustinus expresse dicere.

A 101^a Unde epistola 39 ad Dardanum | in qua, quia tractat 20 de sacramento altaris, memoraretur de corpore Christi in illo, et cum, distingwens inter modum essendi dei ubique et modum essendi mundi mole magni, notat quomodo quantitas et qualitas in condicionibus distin- B 108^a quantitas eius | est in magnitudine molis eius; sanitas 20 vero eius cum sit ubique per ipsum, non quantitas, sed qualitas eius est; non” inquit, “potuit obtinere quantitas corporis quod potuit qualitas. Nam ita, distan- 30 sua queque spacia locorum tenent, maiores maiora, et minores minora, non potuit esse in singulis quibusque partibus tota vel tanta, quanta per totum.” Illud

21, 22. dei — essendi deest D.

24. sic pro sit CD; ib. corpus

Christi B.

14. No work of St. Thomas bears that name at present. The nearest approach to this quotation that I have been able to find is the following passage: “Credit . . . Ecclesia . . . sub illa parva hostia contineri et esse veraciter totum corpus Christi ita magnum et ita perfectum sicut fuit in cruce” (Opusc. LII, *De Sacramento Eucharistie*, c. III). We must always bear in mind that St. Thomas, with the whole School, denies that quantity gives *actual* extension, but only *tends* towards doing so. Thus, by a miracle, Christ is without dimensions in the Host, and yet is as great as in Heaven. 24. Aug. Ad Dardanum, Migne, t. 33, p. 836.

This theory contradicts his words.

And if it be true, Christ is present with His whole size at every point of the Host; as St. Thomas says; seeming flatly to contradict Augustine.

Augustine says: “Quantity is in size; quality is in the being itself; so quantity cannot be all in one part, like quality.”

igitur quod iste sanctus dixit non posse esse, fingimus esse in hostia.

Wyclif's theory
agrees with
Augustine's.

Ideo, sicut dixi superius, corpus Christi multiplicatur Q. per situm hostie non substancialiter sed sacramentaliter, nec alteratur; non putrescit, non comburitur, licet sacramentum illud quod est sacramentaliter ipsum taliter alteratur.

Glosses of
ignorant men
who except the
case of a
miracle in
Augustine's
words. With an
adverb they
destroy the
value of all
authority.

Sed glozatores ignari nimis seminant hereses in ista materia; ut dicta Augustini dicunt debere intelligi secundum rationem nature et non secundum rationem miraculi; ut quando crebro dicit, quod accidentis non potest esse sine subiecto, et hic quod corpus Christi non potest esse sine adequacione eius cum loco; "Hoc", inquiunt, "debet intelligi quod non potest *naturaliter* ita esse". Et sic modicum valeret fundacio sentencie ex testimonio auctoritatis, cum potest tolli per unum A 101^b adverbium. Hoc primo tollit evidencias quascunque

But this can be done on the other side too; we can say 1st that the accidents are without a subject that subjects them merely naturally; Christ's mystic presence implying a miracle. captas a testimonio sanctorum. Pro quo notandum quod in duobus stat nostra variatio in ista materia: primo in propositione affirmativa, qua dicitur quod accidentis est sine subiecto; secundo, in propositione negativa, qua dicitur quod non remanet substancia panis aut vini post consecrationem. Per duo igitur adverbia tollo collaracius ambo i ista, et scilicet *pure naturaliter*; et B 108^b si mille testimonia sunt adducta, ut quecunque sonuerunt, quod accidentis sit sine subiecto, hoc potest sane intelligi, quod ipsum accidentis sit sine subiecto suo *pure naturaliter subiectante*; quamvis enim substancia panis sacramentalis subiectat eadem accidencia que prius, hoc tamen fit miraculose, cum simul sit substancia 30 panis, cuius quidditas quo ad consideracionem fidelium est sopita, et cum hoc modo equivoco sit corpus Christi; in quo consideracio fidelium est collecta.

And 2nd that the substance of bread does not remain in a purely natural way; for it is miraculously Christ's sacramental body. Et quantum ad propositiones quascunque negativas R. secunde sentencie que videtur dicere quod substancia 35 panis post consecrationem non remanet, omnes possunt intelligi quod non remanet *pure naturaliter*, cum panis valde miraculose remanet sacramentaliter corpus Christi. Nec dicetur racio quare Augustinus, dicens quod hec non possunt fieri, debet intelligi quod hec non possunt 40 fieri naturaliter, quin per idem homines minores auc-

¹³. potest *deest* D. ¹⁷. tolli C. ²⁹. dicit AB. ³⁵. due C;
ib. videntur CD. ⁴⁰, ⁴¹. debet — fieri *deest* D.

toritatis et inevidencius, dicentes quod panis non remanet, debent intelligi quod ipse non remanet pure naturaliter.

A 101^c Unde videtur glosam impositam Augustino esse | nimis superficialem. Primo, quia, si accidens potest esse sine subiecto, potest esse naturaliter sine subiecto; sed antecedens, ut inquiunt, est absolute necessarium: ideo relinquitur quod glosa sit simpliciter impossibilis; argumentum videtur ex hoc quod, sicut deus potuit ordinasse formas illas fuisse generaliter sine substancia materiali, sic potest adhuc compendiosius ordinare.

Sed tunc fuisset accidens naturaliter sine subiecto. Item, eo ipso quo deus dat forme potentiam, potest ipsa forma naturaliter denominari ab illa potencia. Sed deus

B 108^a dat qualitati | et quantitati sacramentali potentiam es-

15 sendi sine subiecto, agendi et paciendi in toto conformato ac si esset subiectata; igitur post datam potentiam hec potest facere naturaliter, aliter enim nulla creatura posset post supernaturalem creacionem aliqualiter naturaliter se habere. Sicut igitur hostia consecrata manet 20 per mensem naturaliter sine subiecto, tam agens quam paciens, sic potest manere. Licet enim modus supernaturalis conservacionis concurrit cum modo naturali in qualibet creatura, tamen ille non impedit quin modus naturalis datus concurrens denominet subiectum, tam 25 naturaliter quam supernaturaliter, taliter se habere; ut, sicut naturaliter agit et patitur, sic naturaliter est; et cum hoc miraculose est: ideo, melius fuisset glose geminare adverbia, dicendo quod accidens non potest esse S. pure naturaliter sine subiecto. Item non est fingendum 30 aliquod miraculum sine ratione et utilitate ecclesie;

A 101^d sed nec foret | racio nec utilitas ecclesie quod in sacra- mento sit accidens sine subiecto; igitur conclusio. Fingendum dico, quia nec sensus, nec racio, nec scrip-

tura docet quod ibi sit miraculum; sed, sicut finguntur 35 potestates clavium et spirituale suffragium, sic et illud miraculum. Et que, rogo, racio vel utilitas foret ecclesie quod substancia panis et materia prima secundum se totam desinat, ubi eque vel utilius posset fieri iuvamen ecclesie, tota substancia remanente. Nam eque posset 40 corpus Christi esse in hostia, eque dari gracia et eque glozari possent testimonia servata substancia, sicut modo;

B 108^a et super hoc per substraccionem essencie materialis |

To exist without a subject is to exist naturally without one. If those forms could possibly exist without matter, that would be in their nature.

And this power being in their nature, is rightly called natural.

For the supernatural order must be founded on the natural.

So the Gloss ought at least to have added a second adverb: merely. This would be a useless and therefore an inadmissible miracle.

What use is there in inventing a disappearance of the substance when, keeping the substance, you do just as well?

ad tantum deterioraretur mundi machina et infructuosis
 ac fictis difficultatibus oneraretur ecclesia. Posset enim
 Innocent's decree could be explained away; bread and wine do not remain in the Sacrament naturally but supernaturally, raised to a more perfect state. glozari Innocencius tercius ut supra et concordari cum declaracione subtili Romane ecclesie sub Nicolao II^o, ubi docetur concorditer ad scripturam et sanctos doctores quod panis et vinum ante consecrationem sunt post consecrationem non solum sacramentum, sicut dixit Berengarius, sed corpus Christi et sanguis; et cum hoc transsubstanciantur in illa, quia convertuntur et fiunt, ymo sunt, ut dicit Ambrosius, corpus Christi et sanguis. Et hinc, nec panis nec vinum remanet post consecrationem pure naturaliter, sed sunt corpora nobiliora; sic quod, suspensa tota consideracione fidelium de quidditate sua, in consideracionem corporis et sanguinis suspendantur: et ita rite suscepta sine mendacio A 102* habent in se vitam spiritualem; sicut medicina in se virtualiter continet sanitatem. Utrum autem papa Innocencius tercius sic intellexerit, vel solum contrarium erroneum, non contendeo; sed licet utrumque sit satis possibile, pium tamen est, nisi patens evidencia doceat 20 contrarium, supponere primam partem. Glosatores autem inscii nimis perturbarunt ecclesiam in hac fide.

I do not maintain that that was the real meaning of Pope Innocent; but I piously prefer supposing that it was.

CAPITULUM QUINDECIMUM.

Inter 4^{or} ewangelistas qui ingeminant contra me in materia de eukaristia, unus laboriose nititur deducere sentenciam suam ascendendo a tempore instanti usque ad Christum, quod mansit continue fides ecclesie, sacramentum altaris esse accidens vel agregacionem accidentium | sine subiecto. Sed in tribus deficit. Primo, in hoc quod extraneat in genologia. Non enim capit omnes testes suos pro conclusione illa, sed nunc pro una sentencia et nunc pro alia. In cuius signum ipsem fluctuat in sentencia quam probaret. Secundo capit in duodena sua testes valde suspectos fidelibus: ut patet inferius, et oportet ipsem negare illos in materia ista; et dicere quod tantum acceptat eos quantum concordant cum sua sentencia et in alio negare eos, foret nimis suspecta ficticia. Et tertio, deficit in hoc quod non deducit genologiam suam ad deum inclusive, sed sicut deficit in generacione "qui fuit", sic deficit in ultimo verbo "qui fuit dei". Sicut enim Christus deus noster fuit yerarch tocius ecclesie, in cuius virtute depen dent omnia testimonia in ista materia vel alia adducendum, sic virtute istorum verborum, "Hoc est corpus meum", dependet tota fides que de eukaristia catholice est credenda. Ad discuendum igitur istud verbum "qui fuit dei", primo intenderet.

Sunt autem 23 testimonia ad confirmandum predictam sentenciam: primum est multitudinis doctorum, qui sunt capita sectarum: sed quia discordant in se ipsis, nec fundantur in testimonio divine auctoritatis, 30 ideo sub uno involucre quo ad istam materiam repelluntur. Ulterius adducuntur Lynconiensis. Petrus Lombardus et Petrus Comestor, qui videntur sentenciare

One of my adversaries has attempted a genealogy of testimonies against me, from now to Christ.

Three defects:
a) He takes some witnesses that are for, and some that are against him.
b) He accepts the testimony of very suspicious witnesses.

c) He does not go to the beginning, i. e. dares not quote the "Hoc est corpus meum."

Examination of the 23 witnesses in number.
I. The Chiefs of sects objected to because they do not agree.
II. Grosseteste contradicts himself:
I can explain him as I choose.

1. Capitulum *deest omnes MMS.* 8. rapit BC. 20. noster *deest D.*
22. ad deducendum BCD. 26. etenim *pro* autem 23 B.

quod in sacramento altaris sit accidens sine subiecto. Quantum ad primum doctorem, patet quod ipse dicit sine formidine | quod accidens non potest esse sine B 109^b subiecto, quia tunc foret verius res ipsa; ut, si forma artificialis domus vel cultelli foret per se sine materia, 5 tunc ipsa foret verius domus vel cultellus quam ista artificialia que habemus. Et si glosetur doctor in isto, super capitulo 2^o 2ⁱ Posteriorum, quare non licet nobis glosare eum coloracius in ista materia? Ad cuius sensum eliciendum reliquit non superflue adverbium illud 10 III, IV. Lombard "forte". Et conformiter possunt glosari duo doctores and Peter sequentes. Sicut enim Lincolniensis ponit compositionem Comestor, who often say what these doctors consider heretical, may be dismissed. continui ex non quantis, et alia multa que doctores moderni dicunt esse impossibilia; sic magister senten- ciarum | dicit opinative multa in ista materia, que A 102^a doctores isti dicunt esse heretica. Ideo isti debent ab eis renui in enuesta.

V, VI. Lanfranc and Guitmundus rejected, because they only attacked the doctrine of Berengarius. Preter istos 4^{or} testes, sunt quinque alii prelati plus suspecti; scilicet Lanfrancus, Wimundus, Gandofilius, Pascasius et Arnulfus. Duo autem primi prelati invere- 20 runt contra Berengarium, in hoc quod posuit panem et vinum remanere post consecrationem solum sacra- mentum, sic quod non corpus et sanguinem Jesu Christi, quod publice posterius revocavit coram Nicolao II^o et concilio Romane ecclesie; ut patet de Consecracione, 25 distincione 2^a, capitulo *Ego Berengarius*. C.

Nec oportet alias cronicas apocryphas in istis attendere; And the latter seems to say that bread is identically Christ's body: a very useless witness, for he denies that the sacrament is an accident. isti autem erant nimis ignari logice. Unde iste Wymundus ad tantum conpalpit, quod videtur asserere panem sacramentalem esse ydemptice et substancialiter corpus 30 Christi, nec mutationem esse in sacramento, sed appa- renciam fantasticam, | et angelis in celum deferentibus B 109^c corpus Christi, mira celeritate panis alias subrogatur. Iste autem Wymundus est testis doctori nimis inutilis, cum ponit accidens non posse esse sine subiecto, et 35 omnino illud sacramentum non esse accidens per se, sed sine figura esse substancialiter corpus Christi; ideo inter omnes testes allegabiles iste est magis contrarius huic secte. Et sic de Gandofilo atque Pascasio; isti, in-

VII, VIII.
Gandofilius and
Paschasius are

34. autem deest B. 36. accidens deest D.

39. St. Paschasius Radbertus, abbot of Corbie in 865, was the author of a treatise *De Corpore et Sanguine Domini*, and several other works. See Migne, t. CXX. Wyclif ought to have counted him among the doctors of the first millenary. Cf. p. 206, note.

quam, prelati apponuntur ad augendum numerum, ut ^{only named to increase the number.} A 102^a tersites. Et quantum | ad Arnolfum, patet quod ipse in quinque percuntacionibus suis dicit multas notabiles veritates que non sunt ideo credende, quia ille dicit 5 eas, sed quia scriptura dicit illas: que scriptura dicit de vero pane, non de accidentibus sine subiecto, "hoc est corpus meum". Est autem iste Arnulfus in multis contrariis huic sekte; primo, inquam, in questione ^{4^{ta}} dubitat, si corpus domini quod sumitur de altari sit ^{to} animatum et inmortale; tales autem difficultates dicit posterius, sicut secta Machometi, non esse querendas; sed capiendum ut fidem, quod illud sacramentum sit corpus Christi et sangvis, et quod virtute verborum Christi panis et vinum fiunt corpus Christi et sanguis.

¹⁵ "Et firmissime," inquit, "scimus quod carnis Christi cuius substancia adest, qualitatem illam adesse sensamus." "Non recte," inquit, "querimus an illa caro and blames the sit mortalis vel immortalis, mortua vel viva, sicut non ^{enquiry} D. recte queritur an in hostia sacrata panis existat." Con- ^{bread remains after} 20 trarium omnium istorum tractat ista secta ut fidem, cum dicit quod hostia sit panis, quia accidens sine

B 109^a subiecto; et una pars istius secte dicit quod illa | hostia est corpus Christi: tota tamen secta in hoc convenit, quod corpus Christi est ibi vivum et immortale cum ^{"We must simply believe what Christ said, and go no further."} 25 omni qualitate existente in corpore vel carne Christi in celo. Quomodo igitur convenient tales testes huic secte, cum tam patule contradicunt? Ego autem intelligo quod panis ille fit et est corpus Christi post conse- A 103^a cracionem, | et accidencia remanent sine subiecto suo 30 pure naturaliter subiectante, cum panis ille sit miraculose corpus Christi, quod non potest subiectare illa accidencia: et solum est tunc principaliter corpus Christi.

Melius igitur esset allegare Bonaventuram, Dokhink, Occam, Fishacrem et Albertum, quia ipsi videntur sapere 35 clarius in hac fide, nec sunt sectis istis tantum contrarii.

5. dicit *deest* BCD. 10. *inanimatum omnes* MSS. 13, 14. et quod — *sanguis deest* BCD. 24. *vinum* D. 33. *Dokhink* CD.

3. There is another similar allusion to Thersites in *De Benedicta Incarnatione*, p. 82. It seems to be a general scholastic term for anything worthless. 33. *Dokhink*. Perhaps Thomas Docking, 7th Divinity lecturer at Oxford in 1308. Monum. Francisc. I, p. 550, 552. Wadding, p. 220, mentions 23 Works of his. 34. Fishacre of Devonshire; a learned Dominican and a great friend both of Grosseteste and of Robert Bacon (also a Dominican). Died 1248. See *Chalmer's Biography*; *Stephen's Dict. of Nat. B.*

X. Bernard
says that all
the senses but
hearing are
wrong as
regards this
Sacrament.

But all the
senses help
towards our
faith; none
alone, but each
in its proper
share.

And though
hearing is in
this case first,
yet it has been
also the
occasion of
many heresies,
and diversities
of opinion.

XI. Anselm says
that Christian
piety has always
abhorred the
idea that bread
remains in the
Sacrament.

Which may be
explained that
is does not
remain
principally or
supernaturally.

Anselm, saying
elsewhere that
an accident is
not without its
subject, would
thus escape
inconsistency.

Sed pro completa duodena millenarii quo solutus est pater mendacii, adducuntur alii tres testes; primus est Bernhardus super cantica, ubi dicit quod sensus alii deficiunt in materia fidei preter auditum, ut inquiunt, visus, olfactus, gustus et tactus, indicant sacramentum esse panem; sed, cum secundum apostolum ad Rom. X "fides ex auditu"; Christus autem dicit: Rom. X, 17 "Hoc est corpus meum"; auditus indicat hoc sacramentum esse corpus Christi. Hic patet quod non solum E. auditus sed alii quatuor sensus conferunt ad noticiam fidei 10 quod hoc sacramentum sit corpus Christi; nullus autem illorum sensuum per se, sed quilibet illorum discernit quod suum est, et super omnes illos autor fidei illuminat intellectum et dat fidem qua creditur hanc hostiam et quamlibet eius partem | quantitatивam esse B 110*

corpus Christi; auditus autem illud non indicat, sed licet habeat quandam supereminenciam in adminiculando intellectui, ex auditu tamen per cautelas dyaboli multiplicantur multe hereses | in ista materia; ut unus dicit A 103^b quod nichil demonstratur pronomine proposicionis 20 sacramentalis, alias autem dicit quod solum corpus Christi demonstratur: et sic nec panis nec eius accidens potest esse corpus Christi. Ego autem dico quod substantia panis demonstratur pronomine, et fit ac est corpus Christi virtute verborum sacramentalium; et 25 omnes iste diversitates capiunt originem ex auditu. Ulterius, dico quod post consecrationem panis ille solum est corpus Christi supernaturaliter, licet essencia maneat subiectans naturaliter illas formas.

Et per hec patet solucio ad dicta secundi testis 30 Anshelmi, qui dicitur in quadam epistola dicere quod panem remanere post consecrationem semper abhorruit pietas Christiana; hoc, inquam, potest sic sane intelligi, F. quod illa essencia que fit corpus Christi post consecrationem non remanet principaliter vel supernaturaliter 35 panis ut ante, et sic non remanet pure panis; sed secundum quandam actualitatem quam haberet ex consideracione fidelium desinit esse, licet remaneat in essencia naturali. Et sic possunt concordari dicta Anshelmi, dicentis in fine libri sui *de veritate* quod ac- 40 cidens non potest esse sine subiecto, et talia dicta de

18. intellectum AB. 22. nec deest CD. 35. remanet non remanet CD.

39. Ans. De Veritate, c. XIII. Migne, t. 158, p. 484, 485.

eukaristia. Nunquam enim fuit intencionis cuiusquam sancti dicere quod corpus Christi sit illud accidentis sine subiecto, vel quelibet particula panis sancti secundum B 110^b dum | corporis Christi substanciam vel naturam, sed A 103^c secundum esse | sacramentale vel figuram.

Unde sicut universale, verbi gracia, species ignis, est Christ's body
quilibet ignis particularis, et tamen non generatur, cor- is there like a
rumpitur vel movetur proporcionabiliter ut sua indi- universal in its
vidua; sic quodammodo corpus Christi est multe hostie particulars: not
consecrate, et quelibet earum, et tamen non generatur, generated,
corrumptitur, agit vel patitur, ut quelibet earum. Licit incorruptible,
autem hec similitudo in quibusdam conferat, in multis subiective speciei, que est quodammodo totum unchangeable.
tamen capit diversitatem, tam in modo loquendi quam eciam in re ipsa; quia universale est substancia vel 15 essencia cuiuslibet sui suppositi, sic quod ipsum per Yet not quite
se et non per accidentis est illa species; et sic sunt so, for the
partes subiective speciei, que est quodammodo totum universal is
universale respectu eorum. Non sic autem de hostiis substantially in
consecrandis, cum manent per tempus illud quod erant each individual,
antequam fuerunt corpus Christi. Et istam sentenciam and makes them
de universalibus approbat Anshelmus, ut patet *de incarnatione* what they are.
capitulo 7^o. Non igitur est religiosum scandalisare doctores sed, quantum fides permiserit, concordare. Unde Anshelmus in eodem libro in principio dicit, 20 quod sicut in mensa nupciali, aqua in vinum mutata, This is
solum affuit vinum in quod mutata est aqua, sic in Anselm's
mensa altaris solum adest corpus Christi, in quod vere opinion; and it
mutata est substancia panis una, nisi quod de aqua is better to
nichil remansit in mutacione illa; de pane vero mutato reconcile
30 ad peragendum sacri institutum ministerii, sola remanet doctors than
A 103^d species visibilis. | Ideo, quantum ad triplicem instanciam set them
G. sophistarum, patet quod debet tolli per sensum quem against each
B 110^e doctor | debet pretendere. other.
“The water
made wine is
like the
Eucharistic
change; but
something
remains in the
first case, not
in the second”.

Primo, inquam, arguunt, quod in miraculo de quo Though not
35 Johannis II^o non solum vinum affuit, cum tam materia only wine but
Jo. II, quam forma, quam eciam multa genera accidencium also accidents
1—10 affuerunt. Ideo videtur debere intelligi quod solum were present in
vinum affuit illa essencia, que prius erat aqua; et per this case; the
hoc tollitur secunda instanca sophistarum qua arguunt essence that had
became only wine.

9. est deest BCD. 21. de universalibus deest BCD. 26. qua AB;
ib. si pro sic B.

22. Ans. De Fide Trinitatis, c. II. Migne, t. 158, p. 265.
St. Anselm here defends Realism against the Nominalist Roscelinus.

If the bread
and accidents
remain, you
cannot say
"Only Christ's
body." But
Anselm meant
principally.

What had been
water before,
became wine by
miracle. And
Scripture speaks of "the
water made
wine." So the
master of the
feast tasted
water, tasting
the wine.

Thus the
essence that
was before pure
bread,
afterwards
becomes
Christ's body;
a sacrament,
because one
thing is seen
and another is
understood.
Ambrose
quoted.

The book
ascribed to
Anselm, and
falsely to
Augustine, is
spurious.

non posse esse quod in mensa altaris solum adest corpus domini, cum sacramentum et multa accidentia remaneant. Videtur enim sanctum sentire quod solum remanet principaliter corpus Christi. Et sic tollitur tercia instanca sophistarum qua arguunt repugnare quod miraculo Christi de aqua nichil remansit, cum illa essentia que est materia prima cum accidentibus ipsam consequentibus remanserant.

Ideo videtur quod sane posset intelligi nichil remanere de substancia aque principaliter in actu considerationis fidelium post eius conversionem in vinum; certum est enim secundum doctrinam istius philosophi et Augustini, quod illud quod prius erat aqua, per miraculum posterius esset vinum. Ideo dicit fides subtilis scripture, quod dum "gustasset architrichinus aquam vinum factam" etc. Ex qua fide sequitur et ipsum architrichinum gustasse H. aquam; et per consequens ipsa remanserat vere vinum. | A 104^a Et eodemmodo intelligendum est de conversione uxoris Loth in statuam salis, et artificiali factura vitri ex silice. Non, inquam, valet scandalizare tantum philosophum, quod vel ignorat philosophiam vel non reduxit exempla sua ad proposi tum. Eadem igitur essentia, que prius B 110^a fuit pure panis, fit posterius per verba sacramentalia corpus Christi, ut dicunt beatus Ambrosius et Augustinus cum decreto ecclesie. "Quod erat panis" inquit Am- brosius, "ante consecrationem iam corpus Christi est post consecrationem; et Augustinus, ut allegat Anshelmus ibidem, "Quod videtur," inquit, "panis est, et calix quod oculi renunciant; quod autem fides postulat instruenda, panis est corpus Christi et calix est sanguis. Ista ideo dicuntur sacramenta, quia in eis aliud videtur et aliud intelligitur." Et sic nichil valent hec testimonia, nisi ad docendum quod panis et vinum sunt hoc sacramentum et tamen quodammodo corpus Christi et sangvis; ut exponit decretum Romane ecclesie: "Ego 35 Berengarius." Et recitantur dicta Ambrosii et Augustini eadem distincione, capitulo. "Panis est in altari" et capitulo, "Qui manducant." Qui autem voluerit defen-

8. convenientibus B; corr. A. 19. filice ACD. 21. non deest BCD.
27. per CD. 34. cum omnes MSS.

25. Ambr. De Sacramentis, l. IV, c. 4. Migne, t. 16, p. 441.
28. Aug. Sermones. Migne, t. 38, p. 1246, 1247. 38. Decr.
Grat. 3^a Pars. D. II, c. 58.

dere hunc libellum qui inponitur Anshelmo et mendacius Augustino nimium onus capit in manibus. Ideo talia dicta apocryfa vel sunt totaliter omittenda, vel aliter est veritas catholica a falsitatis fecibus exsugenda.

I. 5 12^o et ultimo quantum ad istum secundum millesimum annum allegatur papa Innocencius III^b tercarius, qui quasi abbas locis undenarii prioris dicitur suam sentenciam insolubiliter confirmare. Duo vere sunt dicta Innocencii tercii, ut sepe repecii, ex quibus singit ista blasphemie; 10 primum est dictum primi decretalis capitulo "Firmiter," ubi dicitur, quod panis et vinum transsubstanciantur in corpus Christi et sanguinem. Sed sepe dictum est | ex isto sequi opportere substanciam panis et vini remanere ad subiectandum transsubstanciacionem illam passim, quia accidens respectivum non potest esse sine subiecto. Si 15 igitur aliquid subicitur transsubstanciacioni, tunc ipsum est, quia aliter id quod nichil est moveretur. Supponendum igitur est Innocencium tercium loqui conformiter ad priores sanctos in ista materia; ad sensum 20 igitur quo ipsi dicunt panem converti in corpus Christi, fieri corpus Christi, et esse per consecrationem corpus Christi, supponitur papam istum intelligere panem illum transsubstanciare: et tunc patet quod, si sic mutatur, tunc remanet: et si dicitur quod hoc sit impossibile, patet quod non, ex dictis sanctorum: nec est significacio termini transsubstanciacionis ad sensum contrarium fundanda; et eo quo mutatio ista est mirabilior, est sacramento consonancior, cum adversarii glorientur in fictis miraculis huius sacramenti mirabilis. 25 Et ad hunc sensum possunt concordari decretum Nicolai IIIⁱ et decretalis huius pape sequentis, ut supra K. exposui. Secundum dictum est eiusdem Innocencii IIIⁱⁱ in 3^o Decretalium, capitulo "Cum Marthe", que videtur A 104^c dicere, quod accidens sit sine subiecto; | quod non foret 30 pertinens, nisi illud accidens fuerit hoc sensibile sacramentum. Sed constat quod multa dicta in tertio Decretalium in ista materia sunt tanquam probabiliter opinata. Et illud de permanencia accidencium sine subiecto ex instinctu spiritus sancti est omissum in decretali Gregorii noni; quod si foret tam necessarium ad fundamen- 40 dum fidem ecclesie, deberet primo inseri, aliis preter- |

XII. Pope
Innocent III in
two places
seems to uphold
that doctrine.

1st when he
declares that
transubstantia-
tion takes place.

But if there is
a change, the
substance of
bread and wine
must endure.

If nothing
remained, what
would be
changed?
Nothing.

That this is
impossible is
contrary to the
writings of the
Saints. The
more
mysterious it
is, the more
worthy of the
Sacrament.

2nd When, in
another place,
Innocent seems
to admit
absolute
accidents.

But a) this is
only affirmed
as probable;
b) it was not
inserted in
Gregory IX's
Decretal;

17. movetur AB. 20. quomodo CD. 21. fieri — Christi deest B.
30. recordari D.

c) and were it otherwise, the possibility of an absolute accident not informing the substance would not prove that the substance no longer exists.

necessariis pretermissis. Sed supposito quod inseratur in decretali novo ecclesie, patet quod non sequitur quia licet cum possit esse, ut inquiunt, quod substancia panis plene remaneat et quod illud accidens per illam substanciam extendatur, cum hoc quod eius informacio 5 et panis subiectacio suspendatur: et hoc foret maius mirabile. Et sic iuxta principia eorum plus cederet ad honorificenciam sacramenti. Et patet quod neutrum dictorum istorum necessitat ad ponendum quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto. ¹⁰

Even supposing that such were the decision of Rome we ought not to follow her when the contradicts Scripture.

Sed cum sit possibile, ut patet per glossatores, quod ista fuit sentencia Romane ecclesie, suppono papam cum cardinalibus declarasse universalem ecclesiam sensisse quod sacramentum altaris sit accidens sine subiecto: hoc enim foret satis possibile. Tunc dicitur, quod fidelis 15 crederet nullum Romanum pontificem citra Petrum cum quantocunque clero esse credendum in materia fidei, nisi de quanto se fundaverit in scriptura. Cum igitur non potest fundari in scriptura quod corpus Christi sit accidens sine subiecto, patet quod non est 20 credendum in isto cuicunque Romano pontifici, | cum A 104^a quotquot suis complicibus. Stat enim omnes illos esse prescitos et non partes sancte matris ecclesie; igitur non est de necessitate salutis credere quod quicquid ut fidem decreverint est credendum: quia tunc in casu 25 quis debet credere falsum, fidei Christiane contrarium, quod Christus non potest precipere. Similiter totum L. tale collegium potest peccare mortaliter, et per consequens potest deficere a credendo in deum, qui est primus | articulus fidei, et esse infideli deterior, pro-B 111^c fitendo se sequi Christum simillime, et tamen in vita seculari secundum fastum et questum a Christo maxime elongari. Quare igitur non posset talis persona, sicut ipsa est decepta in fide, sic subiectos sue symonie consencientes in fide decipere? Non dubium, quin posset 35 faciliter, licet extollatur "super omne, quod dicitur deus". Similiter si habet talem virtutem quod non posset subvertere populum in fide, hoc haberet in quantum Romanus pontifex sive papa, cui opportunet Christum assistere. Sed hoc est vel inpertinens Christi 40 assistencie, vel disposicio ad antichristum, cui Christus non sic assisteret, sed permitteret in penam peccati

The Pope and his Cardinals may be foreknown;

for they can sin mortally, and fall away from God, the first article in our creed.

He can not claim Christ's assistance as Pope or bishop of Rome.

1. pertinenciis *pro* preter necessariis B; *ib.* insaniretur C.D. 2. quod *pro* quia B.C. 8, 9. doctorum B.C.D. 14. est B. 29. accedendo AB.

plebem in fide subvertere. Et testantur hoc leges multe, ut patet de Symonia capitulo 3º. Ideo supponitur quod sicut papa perverso nullus est maior apostata, sic nullus est aprior sathanæ ad infide populum seducendum. Et confirmacio istius est quod diebus nostris Gregorius XI^{us} dampnati^{as} duas veritates absolute necessarias, ut dampnatissimam et sceleratissimam: scilicet quod dominii temporales possunt auferre temporalia ab ecclesia delinquentे; et quod non eo ipso quo papa pretendit se quoqvmodo solvere vel ligare, eo ipso sic solvit vel ligat; quam dampnacionem mundi, eciam quantumcunque sint dominis temporalibus inimici, nesciunt vel defendere vel assensu heretico excusare. Ideo He has incurred anathema, attempting to change the Gospel.

^{A 105*} Gal. I, 8 cum apostolus dicat, "si quis aliud ewangelisaverit quam ewangelisatum est, licet fuerit | "angelus de celo, anathema sit." Cum igitur papa potest pretendere se licite dispensare contra apostolum, manifestum est quod talis anathema potest in fide subvertere multas gentes.

Nec sunt evidencie contrarie digne memorie. Arguunt enim quidam quod Christus promisit ecclesie eidem: "Ecce ego vobiscum sum omnibus diebus, usque ad consumacionem seculi"; igitur cum Christus non potest mentiri, non potest in isto deficere. Conceditur conclusio; sed, si papa non sit de numero illorum propter extranacionem a sequula in moribus, quid sibi et adverbio illi "vobiscum"? Non enim humana statuicio sive eleccio cogit Christum ut sit cum filiis suis per graciam, sed predestinatio et imitatoria filii operacio facit ipsum esse de eorum numero, quibus Christus sic loquitur: quod est vel ambiguum vel evidenter falsum de Romano pontifice, propter vitam eius Christo contrariam. Ideo absit illa fides a fidelibus, quod quicunque Romanus pontifex sit caput eorum quibus M. Christus sic loquitur. Secundo obicitur per hoc quod oportet in ecclesia esse unum caput pro fide et causis ecclesie decidendis, quem oportet esse Romanum pontificem immediatum Christi vicarium; aliter enim ecclesia foret acephala. Hic conceditur assumptum, cum Christus sit caput militantis ecclesie, cum ipsa perpetuo; et si contingat Romanum pontificem esse pauperrimum et humillimum, et proxime sequentem Christum inter similes.

^{I. 111^a} If the Pope's life is contrary to Christ's, the promise was not made to him.

^{II. The Church must have a head.}

But Christ is the Head of the Church, and the Pope only in so far as he is like Christ; to say otherwise were blasphemy.

2. in de C. 19. memoria C. 21. est B. 38. acephalia AB.

2. De Simonia, p. 27.

gulos viatores, tunc ipse est immediate Christi vicarius; ut creditur fuisse de beato Gregorio. Sed statuere unam legem quod quicunque et qualiscunque fuerit

Romanus pontifex, sit caput tocius ecclesie, videtur sapere manifestam blasphemiam, cum non sit in hominibus potestate statuere quemquam esse partem ecclesie, multo magis non spectat quod sit summus in ecclesia

*Digression as
to the Pope's
claims to define
faith. These
may in some
cases have had
good results,
though false.*

quo ad deum. Casualiter igitur ex ordinacione divina ex talibus blasfemiis bona eveniunt, ut dictum est de

10 provisione pape, de eleccione et multis aliis humanis cases have had legibus que sunt mala. Caput igitur ecclesie foret

Christus; et lex sua, que est voluntas dei derelicta in

terris, foret regula sufficiens ad quascunque causas

The heathen fidei vel sentencias ecclesie decidendas. Sed suspenso rite of choosing ritu gentili prefectione Romani episcopi, foret ecclesia

a Roman Pontiff might be given up with advantage per Christum perfectius capitata; sic enim fuit a tempore Christi usque ad stultam dotacionem ecclesie

Romane. Sic eciam vivunt multi fideles in divisione Urbani et Roberti, nec non in aliis contratis conversis

per alios apostolos, qui ignorant utrumque istorum.

Sufficit enim ad salutem credere in dominum Jesum Christum. Nec debet fidelis sequi talem privatum pre-

positum, nisi de quanto tenuerit et sequentibus serva-

III. There must be a dispenser of spiritual gifts in the Church; who can be none but the Bishop of Rome. But Christ ordains to that office him whom he pleases, v. g.

Augustine, who, as pope (Prosper calls him so), ought to be believed rather than any Roman pontiff.

spiritualis thesauri Christi, per quem ut montem superium post Christum derivetur lux inferioribus, ut

vallibus, cui oportet credere finaliter in ambiguis. Quo

ad istud sepe dictum est, quod Christus voluntarius

distributio sapientie istius ordinat quem voluerit ad

istud officium, et non consequitur instituciones et elec-

ciones humanas, sed per opera et virtutes movemur a

deo ad istius noticiam. Unde pape Augustino plus

debemus credere in ista materia quam omnibus Romanis

pontificibus post beatum Gregorium. Et voco hunc magnum Augustinum papam, quia sic vocat eum sanctus

Prosper in quadam epistola; "domino," inquit, "beatissimo

pape ineffabiliter mirabili, incomparabiliter honorabili,

prestantissimo patrono Augustino, Prosper"; cum igitur

11. materia BC. 19. in deest B. 25. unam BC. 33. per deest B.
36. quod D.

19. Robert of Geneva, who took the name of Clement VII.
38. Prosper ad Augustinum. Migne, t. 33, p. 1002.

in sanctis prioribus non vigebat tanta adulacio, sicut modo videtur, sic scripta docent quod beatus Augustinus fuit scripture sacre interpres prudencior quam omnes isti Romani pontifices. Sic igitur quelibet patria Christ appoints
 5 habet ex ordinacione divina unum interpretem, ita an interpreter
 of his own in every country.
 quod non opportet currere ad Romanum pontificem pro quibuslibet causis ambiguis decidendis. Et quantum The execution
 ad execucionem legis, quilibet fidelis debet exequi ipsam law is the duty
 O. concorditer, iuvando alium sine repugnancia. Et quan- of all the faithful.
 10 tum ad dispensacionem thesauri ecclesie, patet quod God Himself
 illud est presumptum blasphemum officium, cum deus dispenses His
 A 105^a perse dispensat sicut wult; nec scit | Romanus pontifex, The Pope does not know which
 quomodo sit ad regulam dispensandum; ut dictum est sins are mortal,
 de indulgenciis. Non enim cognoscit gravitatem peccati, which venial.
 15 nec distinctionem mortalis a veniali, aut ordinacionem
 dei de pena vel premio servi sui. Et quantum ad We should not
 exemplum ulterius quod ponit de lege veteri, sepe dic- allege the Jewish
 tum est quod foret nimis hereticum servare modo High Priests.
 legalia veteris testamenti que fuerunt antitipus Jesu
 20 nostri, quia foret idem hoc credere et expectare anti-
 B 112^c christum futurum | tanquam deum. Nam plures facti They were but
 sunt sacerdotes summi in lege veteri, ut omnes pre- types; Christ's
 figurent Christum habentem sacerdotium sempiternum; Apostles and
 ideo oportet in lege nova, loco sacerdotum legis veteris, their successors
 25 succedere apostolos; qui omnes sunt socii, ut docet were poor,
 Gal. apostolus, Gall. 1^o; illi autem debent esse missi, tan- the least the greatest;
 I, 2 quam exproprietarii, ut aquirant populum et honorem difficulties
 domino Jesu Christo. Maioritatem autem non debemus settled by
 expectare inter eos, ut deus dederit eis humilitatem those
 30 maioris servicii. Si autem questio orta sit, debent con- who were best inspired, or
 venire et cuicunque qui a deo plus racionis habuerit consulting the Fathers, and
 debet credi. Si autem deficit in penam peccati divina following Scripture.
 inspiracio, non dedignentur decreta primorum patrum
 consulere; et omnino caveant quicquam in fide statuere
 35 sine auctoritate scripture.

P. Modo autem diffinitum est ante Innocencium III^m per Nicolaum II^m, quod panis et vinum remaneant post consecrationem tam sacramentum quam corpus Christi It having been already declared that the bread and wine remain, it is absurd to understand Pope Innocent's decree in a contrary sense.
 A 106^a et sangvis. Ideo foret nimis stultum | presumere, quod
 40 dictus Innocencius tercarius illam sentenciam fidei revo- caret, specialiter cum possunt concordari, ut dictum

2. sicut omnes MSS. 20. Christi B. 29. nisi ut CD: ib. humilio-
 ritatem BCD. 37. remanent B.

They may draw est. Si autem in fide sit questio et non occurrit auc-
conclusions
from the word toritas decernendi, salubre foret in cortice scripture

'trans-
substantiation;
but nothing'
condemns us
explicitly.
quiescere et neutram partem sensus ut fidem temere
confirmare. Unde quia Gog non habuit expresse ex
decreto Innocencii IIIⁱⁱ, quod sacramentum sit accidentis 5
sine subiecto, finxit mendacia, quod non est transsub-
stanciacio nisi substancia, servatis accidentibus, omni-
mode destruatur. Sed, supposito quod dictus Innocen-

If Innocent had
the intention
they ascribe to
him, we shold
not believe him,
cius cum toto suo collegio decrevisset istud | explicite, B 112^a

non foret sibi credendum, nisi docta revelacione; cum 10
expresse contradicit sanctis doctoribus, decreto ecclesie,
et racioni. Unde verisimile est, quod spiritus sanctus
inspirasset autores scripture et sanctos doctores priores
ecclesie in isto articulo, si sit verus; evidencia autem
est presumpcionis, quod non consuluit leges et decreta 15
priora istius materie, quod non est credendum ex sua
sentencia accidentis per se remanens esse sacramentum
altaris. Nec movet quod fratres predicatores inceperant
in sexto anno huius Innocencii IIIⁱⁱ aut quod commovit
regnum Francie cum aliis contra regnum nostrum et 20
extorsit finaliter ut Anglia solveret sibi annuatim non-
gentas marcas, ut dictum est alibi, nec alia eius insignia
nominanda.

nor any the
more because
the Dominicans
were founded
in his time or
because he
behaved badly
to England.
But in any case
his decree must
be explained as
above. Quiescendum est igitur in priori sentencia et glosanda
sunt dicta Innocencii, sicut supra. Et sic finaliter non 25

posset doceri ex fide scri | pture quod sacramentum sit A 106^b
accidens sine subiecto; cum racio ad hoc non valeat,
non debet credi catholice. Sunt autem duo extrema in
quibus dyabolus seducit ecclesiam; unum est, ut credatur
sacramentum illud ydemptice esse corpus Christi; et 30
ista ydolatria nimis laborat in laicis, qui credunt istud
tam realiter, quam realiter aliquis ydolatra credit ali-

Two extremes:
one, that the
Sacrament is
the very body
of Christ;
which is
idolatry;
The other, that
an accident is
Christ's body;
which is a
clever trick of
the Devil.
quod sculptite esse deum. Sed dyabolus declinavit ab
isto ad aliud extreum; cum vidit populum ex naturali
ingenio satis cognoscere illum panem non esse corpus 35
Christi, subtiliavit in signis, seducendo generacionem
adulteram, quod illud | sacramentum sit accidentis sine B 113^c
subiecto; et sic, sicut conceditur simpliciter, ut faciunt

13. prioris B. 33. sculptile C; *ib.* declinavit *deest* B. 31. in pro
ad B.

12. *Racioni.* Here Wyclif, as is seem, would admit revelation,
even if it contradicted reason expressly; this goes *beyond* Catholic
belief.

doctores ex auctoritate scripture, quod illud sacramentum sit corpus Christi, ita concedunt quod accidentis sine subiecto sit etiam corpus Christi. Et ista est maior blasphemia: quia illud accidentis vel nichil est vel vacuum; et concedere hoc de corpore Christi et deo foret summa blasphemia.

R. Ideo non est in potestate antichristi vel dei illud statuere. Sed sicut fabulatur quod presbyter Johannes prandendo eloquitur: "nunc comedat totus mundus," sic posset papa occiduus cadere in tantam maniam quod credat totum residuum mundi, tam in temporalibus quam in spiritualibus ex suo arbitrio dependere: et virtute potestatis huius sine fundamento vel subiecto, non sine ipso ecclesiam gubernari; ita quod non solum ^{A 106^a} omnes res corporales | sublunares, sed etiam omnia spiritualia dona dei ut gracie et virtutes ab ipso dependent. Hoc autem est tam blasphemum credere, sicut quod corpus Christi sit in natura imperfeccius quam sterlus ratonis. Rato enim est animal melancolicum, et ²⁰ mania secundum philosophos ex melancolia gignitur; Gen. Magog autem legitur Genes. fuisse filius Yoseph, cuius X, 1, 5 generacio dicitur partes occiduas magis melancolicas occupasse. Negabitur lex conversionis, quin sequitur, "imperfeccius quam alia substancia est corpus Christi: ²⁵ igitur corpus Christi est imperfeccius quam alia substancia". Et antecedens patet ex posizione, cum hoc sacramentum sit corpus Christi, et ipsum sacramentum sit imperfeccius quam aliqua substancia. Et ultra videatur sequi, cum corpus Christi sit aliqua substancia plena ^{B 113^b} gracie et veritatis, quod | corpus Christi sit naturaliter imperfeccius quam corpus Christi. Cum igitur non sequitur: "Papa Innocencius cum concilio Lateranensi decrevit istam sentenciam: igitur verum"; oportet querere aliam evidenciam antequam illud concedi debeat tanquam fides; argumentum enim fidei debet excedere quodcunque argumentum topicum.

9. predicando B. 20. maniacum BCD. 26—28. Et antecedens — substancia deest BCD. 29. alia C. 32. Innocencius tercius BCD.

8. See note *supra*, p. 169. 23. The text is perhaps corrupt here; or Wyclif may be joking. The latter supposition seems probable. If we compare this with the text that follows, we find that he objects to any reasoning that is not conclusive. What precedes may be a specimen, like the Scholastic jest: *Caesar vicit Pompeium; ergo datur purgatorium.*

But also a greater blasphemy; and God is neither nothing, nor a void.

The pope has reached such a pitch of madness, that he claims to dispense even God's grace.

This is as mad as to say that Christ's body is less perfect than rat's dirt; for the rat is a melancholy animal; melancholy begets madness; and Magog dwelt in the West where men are melancholy.

We must seek arguments that give us more than mere probability.

Objection answered. Bread is less perfect than a worm; Christ's body therefore cannot be bread. We must here distinguish between formal and essential predication. Christ's body is essentially, not formally, less perfect than a worm.

Sed obicitur, quod idem sequitur contra me; ut puta, S. quod corpus Christi sit naturaliter imperfeccius verme; et sic de aliis inconvenienciis reducendis, quia panis ille qui est corpus Christi est huiusmodi. Sed hic notanda est super equivocationem distinctione inter predicationem 5 formalem et essentialem; et tunc conceditur pro illa pane, quod corpus Christi est essencialiter sed non formaliter imperfeccius quam vermis, et sic Christus est imperfeccius, sed non in perfectione quam est ser- A 106^a pens; sicut conceditur quod corpus Christi est inequale 10 patri, quia caro assumpta, et tamen Christus est equalis patri, quia eadem natura. Verum tamen est magna diversitas utrobique; nunquam autem debet concedi quod corpus Christi sit accidens vel imperfeccius substancia.

So for the sophism concluding that the Host consecrated in England is the Host consecrated in France.

Answer: The Host is the Host in both countries: therefore both should love each other.

Et sic respondeatur ad tales paralogismos: *Omne corpus 15 Christi est hostia consecrata in Anglia; omnis hostia consecrata in Francia est corpus Christi, ergo omnis hostia consecrata in Francia est hostia consecrata in Anglia;* et sic quelibet pars hostie foret totum. Ad talia, inquam, commenta laboramus, sed ad concordandum gentes et 20 regna omittimus! Conclusio tamen, sequens ex premissis in Barbara, foret ista: corpus Christi, quod est omnis hostia consecrata in Francia est hostia in Anglia; quod concedendo debemus reducere populum unius dominii ad fraternalm caritatem. | B 113^c

10. corpus AB; Christus CD. 11. Christus *deest* omnes MSS.
10. in utrobius D. 14, 15. corpus — est *deest* BCD. 19. omnis
deest BCD. 20. Francia — Anglia *deest* BCD.

25. The devil, according to Wyclif, was bound for the first 1000 years after Christ; so he divides the doctors into those of the second millenary, when (Rev. XX, 3, 7) the devil was loosed (15th Chapter), and those (16th Chapter) who wrote before that time, and consequently had more authority.

CAPITULUM SEDECIMUM.

Superest una undena de millenario Christi pro supra-dicta sentencia allegata, scilicet Rabanus, Beda, Johannes Damascenus, Gregorius, Augustinus, Ambrosius, Eusebius, Isidorus, Ignacius et Dyonisius, Jeronimus.

Eleven more testimonies remain to be sifted.

Rabanus autem videtur dicere, accidencia in sacramento manent sine subiecto; sed principium debet esse testi fideli, quod non variet in eadem materia, contrarius sibi ipsi; quia ut sic foret testis patris mendacii.

A 107^a Rabanus autem dicit, ut allegavi superius, libro 5^{to} de naturis rerum capitulo XI^o. "Igitur," inquit, "quia I. Raban Maur, though he affirms absolute accidents, is not a faithful witness;

panis corpus confirmat, ideo illa corpus congruenter nuncupatur. Vinum autem, quia sanguinem operatur in carne, ideo ad sanguinem Christi refertur." Melius 15 igitur foret concordare doctores, dicendo quod post consecrationem sacramentum non remanet principaliter panis, cum sit quodammodo corpus Christi.

Quantum ad testimonium Bede, possunt credere qui voluerint, quod asseruit contrarie fidei scripture, sanctis 20 doctoribus, eciam sibi ipsi, quod non remanet panis post consecrationem; sed non est michi evidens quod Jo. 29 sic fecit. Nam super illo Joh. I^o "Videt Johannes Jesum I, venientem ad se", sic scribet et legitur in ecclesia, dominica infra octavas ephifanie: "non solum," inquit, 25 "lavit nos a peccatis nostris in sanguine suo, quando sanguinem suum dedit in cruce pro nobis, vel quando unusquisque nostrum ministerio sacrosancte passionis sue baptismi aqua ablutus est; verum eciam quotidie tollit peccata mundi lavatque nos a peccatis nostris B 113^d quotidie in sanguine suo, cum eiusdem beate passio-

for he says elsewhere that the Sacrament is rightly called bread and wine.

II. I will not believe that Bede contradicts Scripture, the Fathers, and himself.

A passage of Bede quoted,

1. Capitulum *deest omnes MSS.* 6. dicere quod CD. 9. patri BCI;
corr. A. 11. quod C. 22, 23. Jesum se *deest* D.

11. Raban Maur, De Universo, l. V, c. 11 Migne, t. 111,
p. 136.

nis ad altare memoria replicatur, et panis et vini creatura in sacramentum carnis et sangwinis eius ineffabilis spiritus sanctificacione transfertur; sicque corpus et sangvis illius non infidelium manibus ad perniciem ipsorum funditur et occiditur, sed fidelium ore suam sumitur in salutem".

in which we
must note that
he makes no
mention of the
destruction of
substance, but
says: the
creature of
bread . . .
becomes
Christ's body.

If not
understood
thus, he
contradicts
himself; which
ought not to be
admitted.

III. John
Damascenus,
who is said to
affirm the non-
permanence of
the bread, is
absolutely of
my mind.

For he says,
God has joined
to the bread

His own
divinity.

Thus the
bread is not
destroyed, but
perfected.

All that Scotus
could say of
this is that it
points to trans-
substantiation;

Ex isto textu | doctoris videtur primo, quod utitur A^{107b} construccione intransitiva et predicacione ydemptica, B. quando dicitur quod panis creatura transfertur in sacramentum carnis et sangwinis Jesu Christi; hoc est: creatura que est panis et vinum, fit sacramentum carnis et sanguinis salvatoris. Non autem loquitur de translacione qua substancia panis destruitur vel deterioratur, sicut sacerdotes ydolorum dicunt nobiles suos dupliciter mortuos translatos in societatem deorum; sed quo- 15 dammodo, sicut corpus translatum in gloriam fit corpus nobilius, sic corpus quod ante consecrationem pure est panis, fit et est per consecrationem quodammodo corpus Christi. Et sic non remanet post consecrationem principaliter pure panis. Hoc autem est melius quam 20 inducere repugnanciam in doctorem.

Quo ad tertium testem, scilicet Johannem Damascenum, cui imponitur quod non remanet panis post consecrationem, manifestum est ex dictis, quod ipse expresse testatur nostram sentenciam. Ponit enim in 25 sentenciis suis, libro tercio, capitulo 84, "quem ad modum in baptismo consuetudo est hominum aqua lavari et oleo ungi, coniugavit eis deus graciam spiritus sancti, et fecit ipsum lavacrum | regeneracionis; sic, B^{114a}

quia consuetudo est hominum panem comedere, et vinum 30 et aquam bibere, coniugavit ipsis deus sui ipsius divinitatem et fecit ipsa corpus et sangwinem | sui ipsius: A^{107c} ut per consweta secundum graciam fiamus". Ecce quod panis fiet, et per consequens erit, corpus Christi, et sic non destruetur sed perficietur per mutationem super-

naturalem. Nec scivit Scotus aut alii capitanei sectarum invenire calumpniam in hiis dictis, nisi quia ponit

8. et deest AB. 9, 10. in sacramentum in C.

26. Jo. Damasc. De Fide Orth., I. IV, c. 13 (Migne, t. 94, p. 1142, series Graeca). St. John Damascenus seems to express himself here and elsewhere in a manner which agrees with Wyclif's view; and St. Thomas' explanation of the text (Summa Th. 3^a Pars, quaest. LXXV, art. II) appears to be rather strained.

transsubstancialacionem: ponit eciam quod sacramentum illud non est antitypus vel umbra, sed presencialiter corpus Christi. Hec tamen debet intelligi, quod sit sacramentaliter corpus Christi, nec adversarii dicant de suo 5 accidente; nam secundum eos hoc sacramentum est duo res, et earum utraque, licet equivoce: quia illud quod in natura sua fuit ante consecrationem, quod est essencialiter, et illud quod est post consecrationem scilicet corpus Christi, quod est supernaturaliter ad 10 sensum equivocum. Hoc enim concedit tam generacio signa querencium, quam eciam filii ecclesie, qui concedunt quod sacramentum est secundum naturam panis et vinum. Si igitur secundum Ambrosium, et autorem "De divinis officiis" eius discipulum, sacramentum 15 non sit post consecrationem principaliter duo corpora, sed solummodo corpus Christi, patet quod per idem non est due res sed solummodo corpus Christi; et, cum ydem sacrificatio ac impanacio non sit possibilis, non superest eis alias sensus, nisi quod sacramentum sit 20 solummodo principaliter vel supernaturaliter corpus Christi. Et sic oportet intelligi quod accidentia que non sunt sacramentaliter corpus Christi remaneant, et B 114^b quod panis secundum esse panis principaliter vel supernaturaliter non remanet. Et iste videtur sensus 25 ecclesie, dicentis accidentia remanere sine subiecto, ut A 107^a fides locum habeat et sensus a deceptione immunes reddantur. Fides autem habet locum, quando, loco considerationis quidditatis panis, occupatur anima circa consideracionem corporis Christi; et sensus a deceptione 30 immunes redunduntur, quando indicant essenciam esse albam, sapidam, duram vel aliter accidentatam: nam cognoscere quiditatatem panis est accio intellectus. Et cum sit verum quod illa essencia est sic accidentata, patet quod sensus verum iudicans non in hoc decipitur, cum vere concipit 35 essenciam esse huiusmodi, quod eadem essencia vere est.

D. Sed dubitatur utrum debet concedi simpliciter quod sacramentum sit tantummodo corpus Christi; et videatur, iuxta exponentes, ut tactum est, quod hoc debet concedi simpliciter cum panis ille sit corpus Christi, 40 et non sit aliud quam corpus Christi, nec sit illud quod

for the
Sacrament is
'not a type nor
a shadow'.

It is partly
what it was
before and
partly what it
is after
consecration:
all parties must
hold this.

If therefore
after
consecration
there are not
two bodies, but
one, and
impanation or
identification is
impossible, then
the substance
of bread must
be there.

Faith frees our
senses from
error by
contemplating,
instead of the
essence of
bread, Christ's
body.
And the sense,
judging of the
essence as being
white, round,
&c., is not
deceived.

May we grant
that the
sacrament is
only Christ's
body? Yes, for
the bread is
that, and
nothing else.

3, 4. Hec — Christi *deest* BCD. 4. dicant C. 15. principaliter
duo corpora *deest* CD. 16, 17. Christi, patet — solummodo corpus
Christi *deest* AB. 31. non C. 33. est sic accidentata *deest* D.
35. vere A. 37. modo *deest* BCD.

non est corpus Christi. Et eadem est difficultas contra illos qui ponunt sacramentum altaris esse accidens,

Thus the Sacrament is both bread and Christ's body, in two distinct senses.

utrum illud sit solummodo corpus Christi. Ideo notandum quod in istis laboratur in equivocis; nam, intelligendo esse analogice ad esse ydempticum et esse figurativum, concedendum videtur cum Ambrosio et autore De divinis officiis, quod sacramentum sit solum corpus Christi. Et licet per idem sit solum terrena substancia, tamen nomen dignius acceptatur et nomen inferius religiose suspenditur. Et ita conceditur cum autore, 10

quod sacramentum sit ^{A 108} | equivoce utraque istarum duarum substanciarum. | B 114^c

The adversaries' arguments.

A real change implies generation and corruption: which require destruction of the bread. But I mean by transsubstantiation, a change from the exclusion of anything but bread, to the coexistence of Christ.

Sed difficultas manens doctoribus ad glosandum Damascenum stat in isto quod ipse ponit panem et vim transsubstanciari. Ista, inquit, transsubstanciatio, 15 cum sit motus realis, requirit aliquid generari et aliud corrumpi. Corruptum autem non est fingendum, nisi essentia panis secundum se totam destruatur. Sed, ut sepe dictum est, illi nimis subtiliant de motu, quem ponunt motum realem et nichil illo moveri; sufficit, 20 inquam, ad illum motum miraculosum, quod terminus *a quo* sit exclusio cuiuscunq; corporis per datum locum preter nudam existenciam panis, et terminus *ad quem* sit principalitas existentie corporis Christi per eundem locum vel forma secundum quam panis denominatur formaliter esse corpus Christi.

Urso seems to be of the same opinion when he says that accidents require subjects;

Et ista videtur esse sententia illius subtilis philosophi et magni theologi magistri Ursonis in libro suo "de mixtionibus elementorum", ubi capitulo tercio declarans materiam primam ante tempora extitisse, ponit eam 30 inter aliquam substanciam et nullam: "cum", inquit, "omnis substancia substet accidentibus, nec accidentia possunt esse sine suis subiectis. Cum enim aliquid yle non poterit dici accidentibus subiectatum, non

7. solummodo C. 14. hoc C. 16. aliquid ACD. 27. esse deest BCD; ib. subtilis deest CD. 33, 34. aliud yle ACD; aliud universale B.

16. *Motus* is generally taken by Scholastics in the wide sense of *change*. Aristotle, enumerating seven sorts of movement, counts alteration and variation amongst them. 28. See p. 134, note. 34. *Yle* means, in Aristotelian philosophy, the material cause of anything (*ὕλη*, wood); it here means the primal matter underlying substantial changes.

potest dici substancia". Et infra, capitulo 8^o, ponit sepe quod unum elementum transsubstanciatur in aliud; ideo manifestum est, cum ponit in omni tali generacione materialem essenciam remanere, | quod non fuit 5 intencionis sue dicere motum illum transsubstanciacionis non esse in aliquo subiective.

E. Et ista videtur esse sentencia beati Isidori in sermone de corpore et sancto genio Christi, qui sermo sic incipit: "*Magnitudo celestium beneficiorum et angustias 10 humanae mentis excedit.*" "Tibi," inquit, "impossibile esse non debet quod in Christi substanciam terrena et mortalia commutentur." Et declarat illud per exemplum notabile. "Te ipsum," inquit, "qui iam in Christo renatus es, interrogo, dudum alienus a vita, peregrinus 15 a misericordia, a salutis via intrinsecus mortuus exulabas; subito iniciatus Christi legibus et salutaribus mysteriis innovatus, in corpus ecclesie, non vivendo sed credendo transisti, et de filio perdicionis adoptivus dei fieri occulta potestate meruisti in mensura visibili personae manens; maior factus es te ipso invisibiliter, sine quantitatis augemento, cum idem atque ipse es multo aliter fieri fidei processibus meruisti. In exteriori nichil additum est, et totum in interiori mutatum est; ac si homo Christi filius effectus, et Christus in hominis 25 mente formatus est. Sic igitur sine corporali sensu, peccati vilitate deposita, subito novam indutus es dignitatem. Et sicut hoc quod in te deus lesa curavit, in 30 festum diluit, maculata | detersit, non oculis, sed sensibus sunt credita; ita et cum reverendum altare cibis sacerdos ascendis, sacram dei tui corpus et sanguinem fide respice, honora, mirare, mente contingi, manus cordis suscipe, et maxime gustu interiori assume." Sive autem iste sermo fuerit Ambrosii sive Ysidori, sive Eusebii, cum fuerint una fistula spiritus sancti, | manifestum est, quod exemplo docent supradictam sententiam, cum aliter forent in pertinencia, quod prius non diceret. Unde sequitur in eodem sermone: "Adverte quam evidenter constet vini creaturam Christi sanguine-

and when he speaks of one element being transsubstantiated into another.

So does Isidorus.

Quotations from this author.

It is evident that the Holy Ghost dictated these expressions.

1. ponitur BCD. 7. esse *deest* C. 11. iuxta D. 14. interroga ACD; *ib.* peregrinis AC. 15. anima pro a misericordia D. 17. innovatis BC. 22. aliter CD. 27. sic A. 29. 30. sacrandis ostendis BCD. 30. sacram A; sacramentum BCD; *ib.* cui *omnes MSS.* 31. honore BCD. 34. fuerit A. 35. exempla BCD. 38. constat B; *ib.* sanguinem *deest* BCD.

9. Isid. *ubi supra*. Migne, t. 83, p. 1225.

If wine should nem nuncupandam." Nec dubium quin panis et vinum
be called Christ's blood, non forent nuncupanda caro Christi et sangwis, nisi
then it is so. forent huiusmodi, cum religio Christiana odit menda-
cium.

The four great Doctors,
authority alleged:
Sed post istos allegantur quatuor magni doctores; 5
certum est tamen quod licet accusentur a scandalisantibus
fratres suos, dicunt tamen concorditer nostram senten-

Ambrose seems against me in
only two passages.
ciam. Quantum autem ad beatum Ambrosium non sunt F.
ultra duo loca, in quibus videtur contrariari nostre
sentencie; primo in libro suo de sacramentis. Et poni- 10
tur, de consecracione, distincione 2^a; ut sepe reppreci.
"Quod erat panis," inquit, "ante consecrationem, iam
corpus Christi est per consecrationem". Secundo dicit
Ambrosius, et ponitur secundum partem in eadem di-
stincione. "Si," inquit, "vis tanta est in sermone do- 15
mini Jesu, ut incipient esse que non erant; quanto
magis | operatorius est ut sint que erant et in aliud A 108^a
commutentur. Celum non erat, mare non erat, terra
non erat. Sed audi dicentem; *ipse dixit et facta sunt*.

And again
"Christ's word
can make what
was to be other
than it was."

Igitur ut respondeam tibi: non erat corpus Christi ante 20
consecrationem sed post consecrationem, dico tibi,
quia iam panis corpus Christi est, *ipse dixit et factum
est, ipse mandavit et creatum est*; tu ipse eras, sed non
eras, vetus creatura. Postea quam consecratus es, nova
creatura esse cepisti. Vis scire, quia nova creatura igi- 25
tur didicisti, quod ex pane fit corpus Christi et quod
aqua et vinum in calicem | mittitur, sed fit sangwis B 115^b
consecracione verbi celestis." Nisi, inquam, ista duo
dicta beati Ambrosii sint contra nostram sentenciam,
nulla penitus sunt sibi contraria. Et pro tollenda ista 30
contrarietate invoco in testem decretum ecclesie contra
Berengarium, quod quilibet gramaticus potest ut fidem
sibi construere.

These passages
seem but are
not contrary;
witness the
Church's decree
against
Berengarius.

And Jerome
teaches that the
word Hoc,
spoken by
Christ, means
bread.

Quantum ad beatum Jeronimum, notum est quod
ipse eodem spiritu docet eandem sentenciam; unde 35
epistola ad Elpidiam, ut supra exposui, docet quod panis
demonstratur pro nomine propositionis sacramentalis:
et certum est quod illud demonstratum fit virtute
Christi caro et sangwis eius. Aliter enim foret sacra-

13. post CD. 23. et ipse D. 24. postquam BCD. 27. sit AB.
33. sibi docet CD. 36. dicitur pro docet in marg. A. 38. sit D.

12. Ambr. De Sacramentis, l. IV, c. 4 (Migne, t. 16, p. 440).
34. Jer. Ep. ad Hedibiam, c. II. Migne, t. 22, p. 986.

mentalis proposicio nimis falsa: quod esset blasphemiam
G. defendere. Ideo sepe confessus sum quod idem corpus
Christi in numero; quod fuit assumptum de virgine, quod
A 109^a passum est in cruce, quod pro sancto | triduo iacuit
5 mortuum in sepulcro, quod die tercia resurrexit, quod
post 40^a dies ascendit in celum, et quod sedet perpe-
tuo ad dexteram dei patris; ipsum inquam idem corpus,
et eadem substancia, est vere et realiter panis sacra-
mentalnis vel hostia consecrata, quam fideles sencidunt
10 in manibus sacerdotum. Cuius probacio est, quod Chri-
stus qui mentiri non potuit nec potest sic asserit. Non
tamen audeo dicere, quod corpus Christi sit essencialiter,
substancialiter, corporaliter vel ydemptice ille panis;
ymo sicut corpus Christi extensum est ille panis, sed
15 ipsum corpus non est extense vel dimensionaliter ille
panis, sic dicendum est cum aliis adverbii essencie,
substancie et corporis. Ista autem adverbia vere et rea-

B 115^c liter, cum sunt adverbia transcendencia, dicunt | modum
essendi analogicum quo Christus est ille panis. Unde,
20 ad tollendum istam ydolatriam atque blasphemiam, dicit
Augustinus, ut recitatur in de consecratione distinccione
2^a, capitulo, *non hoc*, “corpus ipsum et non ipsum.”
Ipsum, inquam, corpus Christi secundum sacramen-
talem figuram manducaturi sunt fideles et non ipsum
25 secundum sui naturam.

Unde in tanta equivocatione laborat sophista dyabolus quod seducit ecclesiam cum adverbii modorum, quod possunt determinare nomen corporis sacrificii secundum sui naturam, vel nomen corporis Christi secundum sui naturam. Et sic, sumendo corpus Christi
A 109^d equivoce pro substancia, | que est ydemptice Christus ipse, vel pro substancia panis, ut figurat sacramentaliter ipsum corpus; ut videtur beatus Jeronimus dicere de consecratione, distinccione 2^a capitulo “*Dupliciter*”: sic, 35 inquam, concederet equivocans quod corpus Christi est substancialiter ille panis; et quod corpus Christi plurificatur et extenditur, sicut ille hostie. Et ita videtur loqui decretum Nicolai secundi.

Christ's body
is really and
truly the
Sacramental
Bread;
though not
essentially,
substantially,
corporally,
identically;
extensionally or
dimensionally.

Augustine's
teaching.

These adverbs,
being
transcendental,
are equivocal.
Taking the
body of Christ
as substance,
it might be
thought that it
was bread
substantially;
which it is not.

3. Christi *deest* CD. 5. mortuum *deest* B. 19. analogum BCD.
28. deteriorare BD. 29, 30. vel — naturam *deest* D.

I choose to understand now in one sense, now in another, the texts that seem against me. Ego autem teneo sentenciam, et propter periculum vario in logica cum baptista; et sic glosa dicta que videntur contraria ut quando dicitur quod corpus Christi videtur oculo corporali, frangitur et movetur quomodounque sacramentum movetur, intelligitur de 5 sacramento quod est corpus Christi. Et sic intelligitur illud sermonis Eusebii: "his", inquit. "et aliis, si plures sint signacionibus conservatis. conservetur eciam fides

Thus I explain the word 'substancialiter' of Eusebius to mean that Christ is not present as a quality. Thus I explain the word 'substancialiter' of Eusebius to mean that Christ is not present as a quality. ipsum vero non qualitative sed substancialiter creditur, ut quod ipsa veritas omnino¹⁰ verum esse testatur; nostra fallacia falsum aut ymaginarium esse non | opinetur." Illud autem "substancia- B 115⁴ liter" refertur ad substanciam sacramenti; quod si per impossibile foret qualitas per se, tunc corpus Christi foret ipsum qualitative, sed servando fidem verbi dei¹⁵

A body must fill space in a corporeal and extended way; so if it is in one place it cannot be in another. Sed, cum non stat corpus esse nisi sit corporaliter et 20 dimensionaliter replens | locum, et repugnat quod simul A 109⁵ replet dimensionaliter multa loca, ut patet in materia de multiplicacione; ideo repugnat idem corpus esse sic substancialiter per multa loca, quia in quantum substantia illius generis, si replet unum locum sibi ade- 25 quatum, hoc deest a quounque loco alio; ideo potest corpus multiplicari secundum alios modos essendi ut virtualem et sacramentalem; non autem secundum modum substancialiem vel corporalem, quo ad naturam propriam.

By this reason Augustine proves that body cannot become spirit.

As for the words of Augustine, which explicitly say that the bread does not remain, he may have erred. 2. loca AB. 6. corporis Christi B. 9. que BCD. 11. ut pro aut CD. 35. ubi deest BCD.

2. Wyclif, laying himself open to the charge of inconsistency, alludes to John Baptist, who baptized Christ after refusing to do so, and was right in both cases. 31. Aug. De Genesi, l. VII, c. 12, 21. Migne, t. 34, p. 362, 365.

nem"; possunt credere qui voluerint, illa fuisse verba Augustini, quia possibile est quod errasset, quod opinative locutus fuisset; vel quod nobis ignorantibus equivocasset.

B 116^a Non est autem bonum mentiri super sanctos | ad eorum

5 scandalum. Ideo cum beatus Augustinus dicit expresse quod accidens non potest esse sine subiecto, sicut exemplificat de quantitate; ut patet De quantitate anime capitulo 4^o; ille autem liber est notorie Augustini, ut patet in libro Retraccionum; illi libro et verbis suis

A 109^b in illo debemus credere specialiter, cum librum | illum

diligenter retractat, nec errorem illum de impossibilitate accidencium sine subiecto revocat; que negligencia in tanto philosopho tantum cayente periculum in fide non est faciliter supponenda. Supposito igitur de possibili

15 quod ista erant verba Augustini tunc pium videtur ipsum sane intelligere isto modo quod panis vel substancia panis transit in consecratione non ad forum sed in corpus Christi, hoc est, fit et est corpus Christi per consecrationem; et sic non remanet pure panis

20 cum sit per consecrationem corpus Christi. Sic enim loquitur magister Augustini Ambrosius.

Et quantum ad beatum Gregorium in sermone de solemnitate paschali ut recitatur de consecratione distinctione 2^a, capitulo "Species et similitudo", ubi 25 videtur dicere quod sacramentum altaris sit species panis aut vini, conceditur quod in predicacione secundum subiectum, substancia panis et vini vere est

Eccles. XLIV, species panis et vini; sicut sacerdos magnus "in tempore iracundie factus est reconciliatio." Et signanter

But as he says elsewhere, in an authentic work, that accidents cannot be without their subjects, we must either explain these words or say they are spurious, or that he is inconsistent.

Gregory mentions the appearance of bread; but we may say that the appearance of bread is bread; as an abstract noun may mean its corresponding concrete.

17 30 substancia panis vocatur illo nomine quod oportet esse in memoria quo ad sensum. Responsio autem illorum qui in isto coniciunt quod species panis sit accidentis sine subiecto, est responsio ignari sophiste et heretici ydyote. Et patet, quomodo isti quatuor doctores intellecti

A 110^a catholice. sicut debent, non faciunt pro magnificacione | accidencium sine subiecto, nec quod panis non re-

B 116^b manet | corpus Christi, sed docent directe contrarium.

Quo ad Eusebium et Isidorum patet ex dictis, quomodo ipsi militant pro nostra parte contrarii parti

It is clear that these doctors, rightly understood, are not in favour of the 'accident' doctrine. Eusebius and Isidorus again examined.

4. fratres pro sanctos A. 5. expresse deest BCD. 7. ut — quantitate deest CD. 10. in illo deest CD. 16. sane ipsa BCD. 17. de focis B. 18. et est deest AB. 21. Augustinus omnes MSS. 26 concedetur D.

8. Aug. Retr., I. I, c. 8. Migne, t. 32, p. 594. 24. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars, Dist. II, c. 34.

adverse. Et patet sentencia Eusebii de consecratione distincione 2^a capitulo "Quia corpus assumptum", ubi repetitur sentencia supradicta beati Ysidori. Quo^{I.}

Ignatius and
Dionysius, since
neither employs
the term
'accident'
cannot be
quoted.

ad beatum Ignacium et Dyonisium patet quod non faciunt pro illa sentencia, cum illi sint autores qui, ut⁵ scripture, raro vel nunquam locuntur de nomine accidentis. Sed postquam invaluit opinio de terrenis diviciis, que possunt dici alienissime homini accidere, tunc invaluit error, quo generacio signorum gravi corde nititur trahere corpus domini, quod sursum est, se-¹⁰ cundum suam substanciam, versus terram. Sed Paulus dicit econtra: "Que sursum sunt, querite"; sic igitur si istis XI^{cim} testibus adiunctus fuerit ille magnus

If we add to these eleven last witnesses Christ and St. Paul, their agreement will be perfect.

philosophus sanctus, Urso et testes illi examinati fuerint secundum regulas scripture, dirigente Christo 13^{mo} "in¹⁵ quo clamamus: abba pater"; perfectus foret conventus testium. Paulus enim dicit, quod accepit sensum istum I. Cor. a domino, quod "dominus Jesus in qua nocte trade-^{XI,} batur, accepit panem, benedixit et fregit", precepit^{23,} quoque manducare ex illo omnes, quia ipsum est cor-²⁰ pus suum: "probet", inquit, "se ipsum homo, et sic de pane illo edat". Ubi | non dubium, non valet simulacio A^{110^b}

Paul's account of the bread broken, &c. differs completely from the 'accident' theory of the moderns.

Magog, quod Christus sic sophisticatus est: accepit verum panem, cuius substanciam benedixit, quo sub-tracto fregit abiectum | accidens sine subiecto, et pre-B^{116^c} cepit manducari ex illo accidente, cum ipsum, non substancia panis, sit corpus Christi. Revera non solum testimonia sanctorum, sed ingenium naturale horreret istam perfidiam. Sicut igitur veram substanciam panis accepit, benedixit, fregit et manducare precepit, sic³⁰ eandem substanciam fecit corpus suum et ad illam substanciam referit apostolus, quando dicit quod homo probatus in fide sua de pane illo edat. Et hoc dictum unicum quod Christus loquitur in suo apostolo, valet plus quam quotquot duodene huiusmodi. Constat autem³⁵ Three sorts of evidence:
a) That of faith noscitur veritas, scilicet evidencia fidei per internum and internal light, the best. illapsum veritatis, que de se illuminat intellectum; et ista est evidencia prima et certissima, ita quod excedit omnem demonstracionem philosophicam; quod si fides⁴⁰

The Apostle's evidence is of most value.
Three sorts of evidence:
a) That of faith noscitur veritas, scilicet evidencia fidei per internum and internal light, the best. illapsum veritatis, que de se illuminat intellectum; et ista est evidencia prima et certissima, ita quod excedit omnem demonstracionem philosophicam; quod si fides⁴⁰

5. qui, ut *deest omnes* MSS. 13. testibus *deest* CD. 14. sanctus *deest* B. 22. quod non BCD. 38. elapsum B. itaque CD.

2. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 35.

scripture sic asserit ergo verum. In hoc tamen possunt esse equivocaciones, cum dyabolus potest seducere mendaces in antecedente, fallendo quod scriptura sacra dicit multa que non dicit. Ideo oportet fidem que est 5 datrix muneris esse principium nostre intelleccionis, nec oportet ultra eius certitudinem querere ulteriore.

A 110^a Sed illa fide habita | facile est respondere argumentis dyaboli et dare pie querentibus fidei rationem; hoc enim docet Petrus fideles et specialiter sacerdotes 10 debere facere, licet secta Machometi et Sergii mandent contrarium. Nam fides nostra est tam firma et in-

B 116^a fringibilis, quod de quanto plus modeste terretur, de | Hebr. tanto plus rutilat, micans fidelibus; ymo ipsa fides XI, 11 non est qualitas, sed “substancia rerum sperandarum,

15 argumentum non apparençium”. Ipsa autem substancia est fundamentum primum, quo aliud nemo potest ponere, cum sit realiter verbum dei. Ipsum enim est essencialiter veritas credita, et per consequens substantia beatitudinis et aliorum insensibilium sperandum; nec solum se habet ut causa materialis vel obiectiva, sed ut causa efficiens evidenciam vel motiva ad noscendum tales veritates absconditas; ideo signanter dicit apostolus Hebr. XI^o, quod illa fides est argumentum non apparençium; hoc est, insensibilium lumine 25 naturali.

K. Secunda est evidencia demonstrativa, que procedit ex veritatibus prioribus et nocioribus, concludendo veritatem minus cognitam. Est autem multiplex demonstracio secundum logicos, vel ostensiva, vel ducens ad impossibile,

30 que tenet per veritatem implicitam. Et est demonstracio ostensiva, vel propter quid, que vocatur potissima, vel A 110^d demonstracio; quia que procedit a | posteriori in natura ad eius causam natura priorem, licet sit arguenti minus nota. Quedam eciam est demonstracio universalis et

35 quedam particularis, quedam affirmativa, et quedam negativa, de quibus logici intromittunt. Sed tria sunt digna memoria theologo. Prima, quod ante talem demonstracionem fides presupponitur tanquam causa. Patet ex hoc, quod ante omnem demonstracionem 40 presupponitur noticia, si est de subiecto. Nemo enim demonstrat aliquid de subiecto, nisi sciverit ipsum esse;

Though here
too may be
snakes, making
Scripture say
what it does
not.

But we can
answer the
Devil's
arguments with
sound reasons.

For our faith
is firm.

It has the surest
foundation, the
Word of God.

b) Evidence that
comes from
demonstration
by natural
principles.

This is of many

sorts:

direct,

indirect;

a priori,

a posteriori;

universal,

particular;

affirmative,

negative, &c.

Three things to
be noted by
theologians:
1st that every
demonstration
implies faith;
for it implies a
subject, which
can be known
only by faith.

2. esse deest CD. 10. mandet AB. 12. territur omnes MSS.
16. qua A. 23. ad hebreos BCD. 31. vocantur AB.

sed cum non possit haberi nisi per fidem, patet conclusio. Et in signum istius nemo cognoscit litteras vel aliquid, nisi crediderit, iuxta illud

^{1s.} Ysaie VII^o: "Nisi credideritis, non permanebitis." Se-² VII, ⁹ cunda conclusio: Omnis veritas poterit demonstrari ex trinitate anime *a posteriori*; et hoc est veritas difficillima ad demonstrandum, ergo etc. Iterum ista veritas: Nichil simul est et non est, potest negari, sicut patet 4^o

Methaphysice; sed illi insipientes possunt manuduci in eius noticiam per veritatem posteriorem: ergo illa veritas potest quoddammodo demonstrari, et negans illam esse demonstracionem abutitur terminis. Nam eque fortiter ex eque necessariis plus nobis notis, potest conclusio talis eque cognita inferri; sicut philosophus naturalis infert ex antecedente suo primum motorem esse; quare ergo foret unum de necessario et non reliquum? A 111^a

^{3rd} That the faithful need no demonstration as to Catholic truth; it hinders spiritual progress.

Tertia conclusio: sufficit fidei quamcunque veritatem katholicam sine demonstracione ex fide accipere. Patet sic: Quecunque veritas potest sic accipi facilius, minus retardando viantes a moribus; cum igitur viacio secundum se requiritur et sufficit, patet conclusio. Et hinc, dimissa contencione circa essencialem quidditatem sacramenti altaris, concedo quod est quodammodo corpus Christi, et est natura sua panis quem frangimus, ut dicit apostolus 1^a Cor. X. Illum autem panem vocat ecclesia 25 terrenam substanciam, sicut et autor 'De divinis officiis'. Alii autem vocant ipsum quantitatem, alii qualitatem, alii agregacionem accidencium; ut patet ex vanis ficticiis hodie ventilatis; de quibus videtur michi probabilius B 117^b

Thus the dispute concerning the Sacrament can be closed: it is the Body of Christ, though by nature bread. The most probable opinion is that the form of bread is changed into Christ's Body.

quod sacramentum altaris sit forma panis aut vini 30 transsubstanciati in corpus Christi vel sanguinem. Illam autem formam intelligo panem aut vinum, de quibus loquitur apostolus. Non autem videtur michi catholicum quod sit accidens sine subiecto; cum quelibet pars illius sacramenti sit subiecta accidenti, sive sit 35 substantia sive quantitas. Ex quo patet quod corpus L. Christi sit subiectum cuilibet accidenti in hostia con-

3. aliud B. 7. Item B; ib. vel A. 10. illa igitur C. 14. infertur D.
16. demonstracio A. 24. in natura BCD. 32. et BCD. 35. substantia A.

5. I can only understand this sentence by supposing Wyclif to mean that it can be proved that our soul is the image of the Trinity; and that, as all truth is in the latter, it is also in the former, as in its image.

secreta; quia illud sacramentum quod subicitur cuilibet huiusmodi accidenti; verumtamen corpus Christi non subicitur alicui eorum, nec informatur eorum aliquo, licet sit sacramentaliter illud quod sic informatur; sicut

A 111^b deitas est extensem, quia Christus, sed non | extenditur.

In quibusunque autem aliis difficultatibus huius materie doctus a quoque volo humiliter stare decreto ecclesie, stante fide.

Tertia autem evidencia est probabilis vel topica, The third sort of evidence gives only probability. As when the ad materiam, sive quo ad materiam, sive quo ad formam. Quo ad materiam, sicut in syllogismis dyalepticis, ubi forma est absolute necessaria, sed antecedens est valde con- tingens, ut patet in isto: Omnis comptus est luxuriosus, Petrus est comptus, ergo Petrus est luxuriosus. Et ad

15 illud genus evidencie reducuntur omnia argumenta topica, a testimonio humano; ut patet in probacionibus politicis et argumentis captis a testimonio doctorum, eciam quantumcunque sanctorum citra autores scripture, ut sepe docet Augustinus, sicut patet 9 distinccione 20 Unde argumentum nude captum a testimonio talium doctorum, et multo magis ex testimonio Romane ecclesie, non sufficit per se hereticare quicquam, quia

B 117^c omnes illi possunt | decipi atque decipere, et sic contra dominum diffinire; ac successor katholicare quod pre-

25 decessor hereticavit, et econtra. Ideo oportet recurrere ad fontem veritatis, scripturam sacram, et docere quod illa sentencia heretica sit sibi contraria et post auffugere mores heretici in effectu. Non autem constat ex scrip- tura, quod sacramentum sit accidens sine subiecto, sed

30 contrarium; ideo nemo potest hereticare negativam eius, A 111^e sed cum ipsa sit nota | impedire scolasticos ne amplius retractetur: credimus enim quod triplex est modus

essendi corporis Christi in hostia consecrata, scilicet virtualis, spiritualis et sacramentalis. Virtualis, quo bene

35 facit per totum suum dominium secundum bona nature vel gracie. Modus autem essendi spiritualis est, quo corpus Christi est in eukaristia et sanctis per graciam.

Et tertius est modus essendi sacramentalis, quomodo corpus Christi est singulariter in hostia consecrata. Et

6. huiusmodi BCD; corr. A. 9. est deest AB. 10. fiunt B.
15. omnia deest CD. 38. est deest BCD; ib. modo deest B.

19. Decr. Grat. 1^a Pars, Dist. IX . . , c. III. "Noli meis litteris quasi canonicas scripturis inservire, etc." Also c. V.

The second sicut secundus modus preexigit primum, ita tertius requires the modus preexigit secundum: quia impossibile est pres-
first; the third, the second. sum carentem fide secundum iusticiam presentem confidere. Qui ergo credit, sive conficiat, sive non con-

ficiat, manducavit, ut dicit Augustinus super Johanne 5 omelia 25. Et ille modus essendi spiritualis est in anima verior, est etiam verior atque realior quam prior modus essendi, vel secundum membrum secundi modi

The second mode of being is more perfect than the first, being its final cause. essendi in hostia consecrata, cum sit per se causa illius modi, vel efficiens, vel finalis; et per se causa est 10 magis ens suo causato. Modus autem essendi spiritualis quo corpus Christi est in hostia, est modus verus et B 117^d

realis, cum autor munéris qui mentiri non potest, dixit; "Hoc est corpus meum", et reliquit suis sacerdotibus virtutem similiter faciendi. Hoc autem totum ex 15 fide scripture colligitur; ideo Christus est specialiori modo in isto sacramento quam in aliis, cum sit | simul A 111^a veritas et figura, non sunt autem sic alia sacramenta.

Three other modes of being that Christ's body has in Heaven: Substantial, corporeal, and extended being.

Some can conceive no other existence but this.

The two first are together in every bodily thing.

And these three modes, more real than the former three, are absent from the Sacrament.

Et patet iste miraculosus modus essendi sacramentalis; M. cultores autem signorum nesciunt fundare quod suum 20 sacramentum sit tam realiter corpus Christi. Sed preter istos tres modos essendi corporis Christi, est dare tres alios modos essendi realiores et veriores, quos corpus Christi appropriate habet in celo, scilicet modus essendi substancialiter, corporaliter, et dimensionaliter. Et grosse 25 concipientes non intelligunt alium modum essendi materialis substancie preter istos. Illi autem sunt valde indispositi ad concipiendum archana eukaristie et subtilitatem scripture; ideo dico illis quod duo modi priores in subiecto corporali coincidunt, nisi quod substancialiter 30 consequitur corpus Christi in quantum substancia, et modus essendi corporalis consequitur corpus Christi secundum rationem qua corpus. Modus autem essendi dimensionalis consequitur ad duos priores, sicut passio ad subiectum, et quilibet istorum trium modorum est 35 realior et causa prior quam priores. Nullo autem istorum modorum trium est corpus Christi in sacramento, sed in celo; quia tunc foret corpus Christi septipedale in hostia. Sicut ergo corpus Christi est illa hostia, sic est | B 118^e

4. sive non, manducavit CD. 13. verus D. 15. virtutum deest BCD.
16. tollitur BCD. 18. pro sunt BCD. 22. tres deest D. 30. substancia BCD. 32. consequi B.

5. Aug. Tract. XXV. In Joh. Migne, t. 35, p. 1602.

substancialiter, corporaliter ibidem et dimensionaliter, attendendo ad modum hostie secundum naturam suam, et non attendendo ad corpus Christi secundum naturam suam, ut dictum est superius. Et ita conceditur quod, sicut corpus Christi est substancia corporea in ipsa hostia, sic illo tercio modo est in illa hostia, sed non secundum rationem qua est corpus Christi. Et ita conceditur quod corpus Christi est quantumcunque varie quantificatum ibi, cum sit quelibet pars quantitativa illius hostie; et tamen non quantificatur aliqua huiusmodi quantitate. Et sic est varie magnum in diversis partibus illius hostie, sed non in se formaliter magnum aliqua tali magnitudine.

As Christ's body is the Host, it is substantially, corporally and dimensionally according to the Host's mode of existence. So it is corporally in the Host, but not *qua* Christ's body.

N. Sed ulterius notandum quod magna diversitas est in loco a testimonio, cum quilibet illorum quatuor magnorum doctorum valuerit mille de millenario sequenti in materia fidei. Obicitur tamen quod ipsi variarunt multipliciter in exposicione scripture, ut patet ex illo

Divergencies among the Doctors.

Luc. II^o. "Tuam ipsius animam pertransibit gladius,"

Even the early Fathers do not agree.

II, 35 Luc. II^o. "Tuam ipsius animam pertransibit gladius,"

20 ubi aliqui videntur dicere, quod beata virgo fuit in triduo percussa gladio infidelitatis: et alii contradicunt.

But they are far from taking a dogmatic tone when Scripture is not clear. This want of light sometimes comes from sin.

Sed pro isto notandum quod sancti aliquid dicunt opinative sive probabiliter, et aliud asserunt supra opinionem tanquam fidem. In talibus autem sensibus scripturarum ambiguis tenuerunt se in specie opinionis quodlibet facere. Sed sepe contigit in hoc culpabiliter deficere, cum in penam peccati potest deficere sanctis spiritus consilii, quod longe crebrius contingit eciam de

A 112^b sectis in millenario | mendacii quam contigit de istis B 118^b doctoribus. Ideo longe | minus credendum est illis, cum

At times they understand scripture in two senses: thus, "The believers were one heart and one soul," can be understood differently.

sint tam crebro contrarii sibi ipsis. Tercio contingit sanctos equivocare, sicut equivocamus communiter viva voce. Ut, "multitudo credencium" cuius "erat cor unum et anima una", potest dici habere animam unam, que sit tam Christi quam Marie, et illam animam pertransivit gladius infidelitatis secundum partem in triduo, licet beata virgo tunc in se servaverit fidem ecclesie sine culpa et pie dubitaverit de quibusdam.

Circa ipsam quidem, que cunctas hereses singulariter 40 destruxit sunt contenciones sectarum inutiles, ut una

Useless questions raised as to the Blessed Virgin's, Conception, sinlessness, &c.

5. est *deest* AB. 6. est *deest* CD. 8. est *deest* B. 11. modi *deest* CD. 13. alia D. 15. quatuor *deest* BCD. 16. valuit BCD. 19. pertransivit AD. 21. contradicunt A. 22. aliqui CD. 26. quod licet CD; *ib.* contingit CD.

dicit, quod fuit concepta in originali peccato, quod venialiter peccavit in triduo et quod fuit mortua in corpore; et alia secta frontose contrariatur.

Contrary positions as to the Sacrament.

That bread becomes Christ's body; that it does not.

That it becomes Christ's mystic body; that it is a pure accident.

That there is a subject of the accidents; that there is none.

A conclusive argument.

The Host cannot be an accident unless Christ said so: but Christ did not say so.

Can an accident be by any means a body?

Sed inanis est periculum in fide prima quo viantes de via cito variantur. Ponunt enim fideles ecclesie 5 quod panis verus fit et est per consecrationem corpus Christi; secte autem dicunt quod nullo modo potest esse ipsum corpus. Fideles dicunt quod ille panis habet similitudinem cum utroque corpore Christi, cum sit idem in genere cum corpore Christi vero et con- 10 ficitur ut corpus Christi mysticum; ideo Christus vere dicit quod est corpus suum. Sed secte dicunt quod unum accidens sine subiecto incognitum fit corpus Christi, quod impossibile est Christum dicere. Fidelis dicit quod omne accidens in hostia consecrata sub- 15 iectatur in pane qui est subiectum dignissimum; secte autem fingunt, quod omne illud accidens sit sine A 112^c substancia subiecta. Sed cum ista variacio sit sine fundamento, donent filii ecclesie istam | iniuriam. B 118^c

Racio autem quietans me in ista materia stat in 20 isto. Hostia consecrata non foret accidens sine subiecto nisi Christus hoc diceret; sed Christus hoc non dixit, ergo hostia consecrata non est accidens sine subiecto. Maior patet ex hoc quod illud foret precipuum miraculum, quod non potest fieri, nisi Christus hoc dixerit. 25 Omnis enim hostia consecrata est corpus Christi, ut hic supponitur. Et minor patet Augustino et aliis veritatem zelantibus, qui vident quod precipuum accidens non potest esse sine subiecto. Nec negabit sciolus deduccionem istam: Hoc sacramentum est cor- 30 pus Christi et hoc sacramentum est hoc sacramentum accidens sine subiecto; igitur hoc sacramentum accidens sine subiecto est corpus Christi: et conversim. Nam premissae et termini eorum convertuntur. Et eadem est racio tropica vel figurativa concedendi quod illud 35 accidens sacramentum sit corpus Christi, que foret racio concedendi quod illud sacramentum sit corpus Christi, cum sit idem; et utrobique est eadem racio veritatis.

Objection: Is Christ's body in the Eucharist only in figure; Sed multi mussitant super isto, quod sequitur ex 40 O.

1 et pro quod C. 5. de viatico C 6-8. quod – dicunt deest BCD.
13. sit B. 27. per pro patet B. 34. eorum A.

aliter quam in signo. Sic autem est in ymagine crucifixi. Hic dicunt fideles quod corpus Christi non est in celo, vel humanitate assumpta aliter quam in signo,

as in the Crucifix, for instance?

A 112^a quia tunc aliter foret ibi quam in aliquo | signo; et

5 cum utrumque istorum sit signum, foret aliter ibi quam foret ibi. Ideo dicunt quod licet corpus Christi non sit aliter in sacramento quam *in* signo, est tamen ibi aliter quam *ut* in signo. Nam sacramentum, in quantum huiusmodi, est signum; et humanitas Christi

Answer: Christ is in the Sacrament only in figure; but otherwise than as in figure.

B 118^b est signum, cum Luc. II^o dicitur, quod "positus | est

The sign has one mode of being, and the reality that Christ's words produce has another.

hic in ruinam et resurreccionem multorum, et *in signum cui contradicetur.*" Et secunda pars conclusionis

These two modes are however inseparable. Reality and figure are together.

patet ex hoc quod alias est modus essendi signum corporis Christi et alias modus essendi vere et realiter,

This is according to Scripture, the Saints' belief, and the decrees of the Roman Church.

15 virtute verborum domini, corpus Christi. Conceditur tamen quod isti duo modi inseparabiliter concomitantur.

Hoc tamen signum est infinitum prestancius quam signa corporis Christi in lege veteri, vel ymagines in

lege nova, cum sit simul veritas et figura. Intelligo

20 autem dicta mea in materia ista secundum logicam scripture, nec non secundum logicam sanctorum et

decreti Romane ecclesie, quos suppono prudenter fuisse

locutos. Non enim valet scandalisare tantam Romanam ecclesiam, quando dicit panem et vinum esse post

25 consecrationem corpus et sangwinem Jesu Christi. Et, non obstante errore glosantium, ista fides mansit

continue in ecclesia apud laycos. Cum ergo fidelis non

optaret comedere corporaliter, sed spiritualiter corpus

Christi, patet quod omnisciens aptavit illum modum

Christ's body receives a spiritual mode of being; which was hard to believe for some, who therefore imagined their doctrine of accidents.

A 113^a spiritualem essendi corporis sui in hostia quo | debet

comedi a fideli. Alium autem modum essendi, cum

foret superflus, abstrahebat. Unde infideles murmurant,

vel cum illis qui abierunt retrorsum dicentes: "Durus

hic est sermo", cum corpus Christi sit corporaliter

35 manducandum; vel cum illis observatoribus legalium

legis veteris, qui non putant esse prestanciorem gradum

in signo eukaristie quam fuit in signis legis veteris, vel

quam est insignis humanitus institutis. Et hii fingunt

B 119^b quod accidens potest fieri | corpus Christi, et quod

40 melius ac planius dixisset Christus; hoc accidens sine

subiecto signat corpus meum. Utraque autem istarum

2. non est *deest* B. 11. et in B. 16. modi essendi CD. 21. sanc-

torum doctorum BCD. 24. qua A. 30. que A. 38. sit BCD.

sectarum ex ignorancia graduum in signis est infideli
deterior.

Thus bread becomes and is Christ's body by a miracle. Not identically, as the unity of a person in two natures, but as nearly as possible after. This avoids all inconvenience about the accidents.

Teneamus igitur quod virtute verborum Christi panis P. ille fit et est miraculose corpus Christi ultra possibili-
tatem signi ad hoc humanitus instituti. Verumtamen 5 tatem ydempticam naturalem vel unionem ypostaticam; sed creditur quod sit immediate post illam. Et sic accidencia corporalia corporis Christi, ut quantitates et qualitates corporales corporis Christi, videntur non 10 multiplicari concomitanter ad corpus Christi in hostia:
et per idem alia accidencia respectiva que fundantur in istis: quia omnia ista accidencia pree exigunt esse | A 113^b corporale sui subiecti ubicunque fuerint; ut si hic sit septipedalitas, color vel gloria corporalis corporis Christi, 15 tunc hic est, quod corpus Christi est septipedale, colo-
ratum, et corporaliter glorificatum; et per consequens corpus Christi habet hic existenciam corporalem. Quod cum sit falsum, negandum est talia accidencia secun-
dum condiciones materiales multiplicari concomitanter 20 ad corpus Christi in hostia consecrata. Partes autem quantitative corporis Christi habent esse spirituale in hostia: ymmo habent esse sacramentale ibidem, cum sit quodammodo quelibet pars quantitative ipsius hostie,
et multo magis multiplicatur anima Christi per hostiam, 25 secundum quoddam esse spirituale posterius quam est illud esse quod habet in corpore Christi in celo. Et | B 119^b causa huius multiplicacionis anime Christi est, quod ipsa est principalius ipso corpore persona verbi. Quali-
tates autem immateriales, que subiectantur in anima 30 Christi, commultiplicantur cum ipsa per hostiam, ut sciencia, iusticia et alie virtutes anime Christi, que non requirunt, ubicunque fuerint, Christi pree existenciam cor-
poralem. Ipsa enim fuerunt cum Christo; quia cum eius anima in inferno, sicut per totam hostiam est 35 Christus virtuosus, sic est per illam virtus Christi.
Unde autor De divinis officiis opinatur quod, propter esse spirituale corporis Christi in hostia, est ibi con-
comitancia angelorum. Quia tamen | sophisticari potest A 113^c ista oblacio ex defectu potestatis fidei et verborum 40 presbiteri, ideo multi religiosi adorant condicionaliter hanc hostiam et in corpore Christi, quod substancialiter

Thus the quantitative parts of Christ's body exist sacramentally in the Host; also the soul of Christ, but not so perfectly as in Heaven; Christ's soul is more Divine than His body.

But as Christ's body is not there substantially, many religious persons adore it under condition; whilst idiots murmur, not granting it to be Christ's body.

5. ab D. 15. gla A; glossa BD. 30. materiales AB.

et infallibiliter sursum est, querendo celestia, sunt infallibiliter quietati. Sed ydyote remurmurant querentes quomodo corpus Christi est ille panis sanctus, cum non sint idem secundum substanciam vel naturam. Sed ipsos oportet addiscere fidem de incarnatione, quomodo due substancie vel nature valde differentes sunt idem suppositum, et tamen non sunt eodem, quia utraque earum est Christus: et tunc possunt a posteriori ascendere ad cognoscendum istam miraculosam unionem, servata utraque natura non ydemptificata verbo dei.

Sed oportet ipsos cognoscere gradus in signis, et deponere infundabilem blasphemiam de fictis miraculis accidentis, et credere virtutem verborum Christi: et tunc possunt

B 119^o cognoscere, quomodo ille panis est bene, mi | raculose, vere, et realiter, spiritualiter, virtualiter et sacramentaliter corpus Christi. Sed grossi non contentantur de istis modis, sed exigunt quod panis ille nichil saltem per illum sit substancialiter et corporaliter corpus Christi; sic enim volunt zelo blasphemorum Christum comedere, sed non possunt.

A 113^a Adducitur autem pro hoc testimonium | Hugonis de Q. sancto Victore, libro 2^o. De sacramentis parte 8^{va} capitulo 7^o. "Quemadmodum species illuc cernitur, cuius res vel substancia ibi esse non creditur, sic res ibi 25 realiter et substancialiter presens creditur, cuius species non cernitur." Quantum ad illum doctorem patet, quod ipse subtiliter inculcat katholicam sentenciam supradictam. Wult enim quod species sensibilis ibi cernitur, et quod illa species essencialiter sit panis et vinum; et 30 quod eciam cernitur, licet per accidens. Ideo sepe vocat ipsam panem et vinum, que sunt alimenta solita, et principalis substancia alimenti: ut patet in dicto capitulo. Et capitulo sequenti. "*Illum autem panem*", dicit habere rem vel substanciam que creditur, non cernitur, 35 cum sit corpus Christi.

Sed pro adverbio "substancialiter" notandum, quod quandoque sumitur simpliciter pro modo substancie; sic quod idem sit corpus Christi esse ibi substancialiter et esse ibi modo substancie: et sic loquitur Hugo;

If they knew the doctrine of the Incarnation, and how two natures can be identified in one person, they could see how two natures could be all but identified.

But their gross minds are thus driven to a blasphemous doctrine.

Hugo of St. Victor's words concerning 'sensible appearance' confirm my doctrine.

Also those concerning 'the bread that has the substance believed, not seen'; 'Substantially' may mean as a substance, or in the bodily essence, as such.

8. eorum AB. 17. nihil deest B; vel pro nihil CD. 19. zelus CD.
21. per ABD. 22. beato A. 37. quandoque B.

21. Hugo a St^o Victore, De Sacram. I. II, pars 8^a, c. 7.
Migne, t. 176, p. 466. 33. Hugo a St^o Vict. ib. ubi supra.

quandoque autem superaddit reduplicative rationem corporis in quantum *talis* substancia. Et sic proprie
This explains a ego intelligo adverbia. Unde eodem capitulo dicitur discrepancy,
when Hugo quod corporaliter secundum corporis et sangwinis Christi
says that we virtutem Christum sumimus in altari. Quod oportet sic 5
receive Christ corporally.
intelligi quod spiritualiter sumimus carnem Christi; et
ille est verus modus corporis, licet | non sit modus B 110^a
consequens corpus in quantum corpus, quia Joh. | VI^b A 114^a
dicit Christus: "Caro non prodest quicquam"; cum VI, 64
But 'the flesh', as such, nec sentencia carnalis nec manducacio corporalis cor- 10
'profiteth nothing.'
My way, the second, of understanding 'substantially' is the right one. Thus Christ's body is ground between the teeth &c. in the symbol; not in its own nature, but in the nature of bread,

15
poris domini quicquam prodest. Nam invisibiliter sumitur, quantum ad formam corporis sui, ut dicit doctor capitulo 3^o, eiusdem partis, sed visibiliter quo ad substancialiter sit esse accidentaliter, esse corporaliter sit esse spiritualiter, esse carnaliter sit esse virtualiter, et esse dimensive sit esse multiplicative: et periret modo- 20 rum distincio. Sicut igitur conceditur quod corpus Christi teritur in simbolis vel in hostia, et sentitur et tamen non sic movetur, quia non secundum naturam corporis Christi vel in quantum ipsum corpus; sic conceditur quod corpus Christi est in hostia modo 25 accidental substancialiter; quia modo spirituali et sacramentali, presupponente tres alios modos realiores ipsius corporis preexistere causative. Sic autem non fuit in figuris legis veteris vel signis legis nostre humanitus institutus.

30

Differences between this doctrine and that of the Sects.

1st The Sacrament, naturally bread, is sacramentally Christ's body. 2nd Therefore it is to be adored; but the Sects adore the accident as a sign of Christ's body. Now God is everywhere more truly than Christ's body in the Host.

Et sic possunt distingwi modus prior quo est | in A 114^b celo et modus posterior quo est in sacramento. Sic R. autem in tribus discrepamus a sectis signorum: primo in hoc quod ponimus venerabile sacramentum altaris corpus Christi et sangwinem. Sed secta contraria fingit ipsum | sacramentum esse unum ignotum accidens sine B 120^a substantia subiecta. Et ex ista radice erroris pullulant nimis multe varietates errorum, ut secta nostra adorat sacramentum, non ut panis aut vini substancialiter sed 40 ut corpus Christi et sangwinem. Sed secta cultorum accidencium, ut credo, adorat hoc sacramentum, non

25. in hostia deest D. 38. sine subiecto BCD.

ut est accidens sine subiecto, sed ut signum sacramentale corporis Christi et sangwinis. Signa autem cultus sui ostendunt quod adorant hoc sacramentum, cum adorant crucem et alias ymagines ecclesie, que 5 habent minorem rationem adoracionis quam habet hoc venerabile sacramentum. Nam in qualibet substancia creata est deitas realius et substancialius quam corpus Christi est in hostia consecrata. Ideo, nisi ipsa fuerit virtute verborum Christi corpus suum, non est racio 10 tante excellencie adorandi. Tercio, secta nostra, per equivocationis deteccionem et aliarum fallaciarum, tollit argucias adversancium; ut aliqua loquuntur sancti de sacramento ut panis, et alia dicunt de illo non ut ydemptice, sed sacramentaliter corpus Christi. Sed secta A 114^c adversariorum inculcat | difficultates inutiles et singit inconsequenter miracula de operationibus accidentis. Sunt autem pro nostra sentencia dissinicio summi iudicis domini nostri Jesu Christi, qui in cena noctis tradicionis sue accepit panem in manibus suis, bene 20 dixit, fregit et manducare ex illo generaliter precepit: "Hoc," inquit, "est corpus meum." Cum autem demonstratur panis quem tocens replicavit pronomine B 120^b demonstrandi, et totum resi | dum proposicionis, signat ille qui mentiri non potuit, ipsum esse corpus suum; 25 manifestum est ex auctoritate et dictis Christi quod panis ille fit et est sacramentaliter corpus suum.

S. Adducuntur autem septem testes ad testificandum ecclesie iudicis huius sentenciam; primus est beatus Ignacius apostolis contemporaneus, qui ab illis et cum illis accepit a domino sensum suum. Et recitat eum Lincolniensis super ecclesiastica ierarchia capitulo 3º: "Sacramentum," inquit, "scu eukaristia est corpus Christi." Secundus testis est beatus Cyprianus in epistola sua de corpore Christi. "Calicem," inquit, "in die passionis accipiens, benedixit, et dedit discipulis suis dicens." "Accipite et bibite ex hoc omnes: Hic est sangvis testamenti qui pro multis effundetur in remissionem peccatorum. Amen, dico vobis, non bibam modo ex ista creatura vitis usque in diem quo vobiscum bibam

^{3rd} We answer all difficulties by detecting sophisms and equivocations; whereas the Sects do the contrary, accumulating difficulties.

6. quacunque BCD. 13. aliqua AD. 20. manducari BCD.
26. sit pro fit A. 28. sunis C. 32. sive C.

29. Ign. Migne, t. 5, pp. 699, 713, series Graeca. 33. Cypr.
Ad Coecilium. Migne, t. 4, p. 380, 381.

novum in regno patris mei." "Qua in parte," inquit sanctus, "invenimus calicem mixtum fuisse quem obtulit,

III. St. Ambrose et in his book of the Sacraments. et vinum fuisse, quem sangwinem | suum dixit." Tercius A 114^a

testis est beatus Ambrosius in libro suo de sacramentis; et ponitur de consecratione distinctione II^a, capitulo: 5

Panis est in altari: "Quod," inquit, "erat panis ante consecrationem, iam corpus Christi est post consecrationem."

IV. St. Augustine in a sermon about Emmaus. Quartus testis est beatus Augustinus in quodam sermone exponens illud Luce XXIV: "Cognoverunt eum in fracione panis." "Non omnis panis," inquit, "sed 10 accipiens benedictionem Christi, fit corpus Christi"; et

V. St. Jerome, in a letter to Ebdia. et ponitur in canone ubi supra. Quintus testis est beatus Jeronimus in epistola ad Elpidiam: "Nos," inquit, | B 120^c "audiamus panem, quem fregit dominus deditque discipulis suis ad manducandum esse corpus domini sa- 15 vatoris, ipso dicente ad eos: accipite et comedite, hoc est corpus meum." Sextus testis est decretum Romane ecclesie que sub Nicolao II^o, 114 episcopis dictavit prudenter secundum rectam logicam, que debet capi a tota ecclesia; quod panis et vinum que in altari ponun- 20 tur sunt post consecrationem non solum sacramentum, sed verum corpus et sangvis domini nostri Jesu Christi;

VI. The decree of Nicolas II. with 114 bishops. ut patet in canone ubi supra. Septimus testis est usus T. ecclesie que in canone misse orat "ut hec oblacio fiat nobis corpus et sangvis domini nostri Jesu Christii". 25 Illam autem oblationem vocat ecclesia terrenam substancialm, ut patet in secreta medie missae nativitatis domini. Et in secreta ferie 4^e 4^{or} temporum in septembri vocatur sacramentum "constans ex terre fructibus".

These testimonies oblige the glossators to explain everything by its contrary; which amounts to denying both them and Scripture.

Consider besides, which doctrine gives more honour to Christ's body.

2, 3. quem — fuisse *deest* B. 7. est *deest* B; *ib.* per D. 18. sub Nico^o 3^o et 113^{cim} CD; 113 B. 26. ecclesia *deest* BCD. 27. secreto BD. 28. Et *deest* D; *ib.* in secreto D. 34—36. Penset — multos *deest* BCD; in marg. A.

5. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 55.

Ista autem septem | testimonia sic inficiunt glosatores A 115^a quod dicunt tacite omnia talia dicta sanctorum debere intelligi per suum contrarium; et sic negari finaliter cum scriptura. Penset itaque fidelis, si sanum fuerit hereticare vel in hoc scandalisare istos testes et similes 35 multos. Penset secundo, quid tenderet ad honorem corporis Christi vel devocationem populi, quod ipsum corpus dignissimum sit unum accidentis sine subiecto, quod

B 120^a Augustinus dicit non posse esse; vel si est, est unum nichil vel abiectissimum in natura | : tunc, inquam, foret Augustinus nimis constans hereticus, quia in epistola 14 ad Bonifacium *de fide ecclesie* ita scribit: "Si," inquit, 5 "sacmenta quandam similitudinem rerum earum quarum sacramenta sunt, non haberent, omnino sacramenta non essent. Ex hac autem similitudine plerumque iam ipsarum rerum nomina recipiunt. Sicut ergo secundum quendam modum sacramentum corporis Christi corpus 10 Christi est et sacramentum sangwinis Christi sangwis Christi est, ita sacramentum fidei fides est." Ubi planum est quod loquitur de sacramento sentito, quod fingitur accidens sine subiecto. Sed que, rogo, similitudo eius ad corpus Christi? Revera fructus istius demencie foret 15 blasphemare in deum, scandalisare sanctos et illudere eccliesie per mendacia accidentis.

A 115^b Ad tantum quidem testimonium sanctorum per glossatores subvertitur, quod commixto sensu equivoco quod- 20 20 tervis. Scribit enim Hylarius, ut recitat de Consecracione, distinctione 2^a, capitulo *Corpus Christi*; "Corpus Christi, inquam, quod sumit de altari, figura est, dum panis et vinum extra videtur; veritas autem, cum corpus et sangvis Christi in veritate interius creditur". Ecce 25 quam plane panis et vinum sunt hoc sacramentum; ut V. dicit decretum "*Ego Berengarius*". Unde ad detegendum equivocationem istius materie scribitur ibidem secundum verbum Jeronimi in capitulo "*De hac quidem*". "De 30 hac quidem hostia que in Christi commemoracione mirabiliter fit, edere licet; de illa vero quam Christus in ara crucis obtulit, secundum se nulli edere licet", B 121^a ubi planum est | quod loquitur de esu corporali: et distingwit inter has duas hostias secundum sui substancialias vel naturas; licet panis ille sit secundum aliam 35 rationem, quia sacramentaliter ipsum corpus: ut ipse met sanctus dicit in epistola ad Elpidiam, ut recitat superius. Voluntas dei est ut benefacientes obmutescere

Augustine says
that the
sacraments
resemble what
they signify:
but which
resembles
Christ's body
more, bread, or
an accident?

These
glossators
equivocate
everywhere and
will not even
believe the
Scriptures.
St. Hilary
quoted:
'Christ's body
that is taken
from the altar
is a figure.'

St. Jerome's
words: 'Christ
on the cross
cannot, in the
Sacrament can
be eaten'.
This is just the
distinction
between
'substantially'
='corporally' as
such, and = 'as
a substance.'

1. potest A; *ib.* est esset *pro* est est B. 3. qui C. 8. accipiunt BCD.
10. sangwinis, sangvis BCD. 25. quod B. 27. scribebat D. 36. recitat BCD. 37. ut *deest* AB; *ib.* benefacere B.

4. Aug. Ep. XCVIII. Ad Bonifacium. Migne, t. 33, p. 364.
21. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 79. Note: "Ivo etiam citat ex Hilario, et infra eadem c. *In Christo*, in extremo, refertur una cum verbis Hilarii, apud quem tamen non est inventum."

faciatis in prudencium hominum ignoranciam 1^a Pet. II^o; I. Petr. II, 15^c

Let these heretics be asked what this *felt* Sacrament is, which is not identically Christ's Body. They will either be confused, or mute, or evade the question, or fly to abuse. faciatis in prudencium hominum ignoranciam 1^a Pet. II^o; I. Petr. II, 15^c

veritatis diligenter interrogent ab hereticis antichristi discipulis, quid sit sacramentum altaris sentitum a nobis 5 viantibus, quod non est ydem pte corpus Christi: et non | vidi hereticum, quin vel confusus obmutuit, vel A 115^c

ignorando vocem propriam in fundacione defecit, vel querens subterfugia ad convicia secundum scolam magistri mendacii declinaverit; ut querente Christiano pru- 10 denter, instanter et humiliter quid sit hoc sacramentum, circa quod stat hodie in apostatis tantus error, non superest nisi benefaciendo obturato hoc blasphemō binio adversarius obmutescat. Queratur secundum doctrinam Petri constanter propter habendam obmutescenciam, quid 15 secundum naturam suam sit hoc venerabile sacramentum.

We must not be satisfied with an evasion, saying what is there; we want to know what it is of those who, accusing us of heresy, are heretics. Nec quiescendum est in blasphemias balbuciencium, quod ibi est albedo sine albo, figura sine figurato, et quantitas sine quanto. Non enim queritur quid ibi fuerit sed quid sit hoc sensibile sacramentum in natura sua. 20 Et patet quam spissim cultores signorum sunt in materia ista heretici; nedum quia imponunt heresim fidelibus, qui elucidant istam fidem, et accusatio de heresi obligat ad penam talionis, verum quia | falsificant et sic B 121^b

If Christ's word ought not to be believed on this point, but rather Innocent's, then what shall we believe in Holy Writ? negant dominum Jesum Christum. Nam nichil debemus 25 secundum fidem ewangelii de Christo credere, si non asseruerit panem quem cepit in manibus ac fregit esse corpus suum; sed dicit Augustinus super psalmo: "Si ego quicquam dixero, nolite ex hoc credere; sed si Christus dicit, ve qui non credit | ." Nec debemus cre- A 115^d

dere aliquem sensum ewangelii, si non istum. Ideo, ve X. generacioni adultere que plus credit testimonio Innocencii vel Raymundi, quam sensui ewangelii capto a testibus supradictis! Idem enim esset scandalisare ipsos in isto et imponere eis heresim ex perversione sensus 35 scripture precipue. Et iterum ve ori perverso apostate accumulantis super ecclesiam Romanam mendacia, quibus fingit quod ecclesia posterior priori contraria corredit fidem, quod sacramentum istud sit accidens sine

Woe to those who accumulate lies upon the Church of Rome, making her contradict her former decrees!

2. fit BCD. 7. quando C. 10. declinavit A. 31. sensum esse CD.

33. Raymund de Pegnafort, general of the Dominicans, who inserted in his collection of the Gregorian Decretals the chapter "Cum Marthe", of which Wyclif does not approve.

subiecto et non panis verus et vinum, ut dicit ewangelium, cum decreto! Nam, teste Augustino, tale accidens sine subiecto non potest sacerdos Christi conficer: et tamen tantum magnificant sacerdotes Baal 5 mendaciter (indubie iuxta scolam patris sui) consecrationem huius accidentis, quod reputant missas alias indignas audiri vel dissidentes suis mendaciis inhabiles alicubi graduari. Sed credo quod finaliter veritas vinct eos.

But truth will conquer them in the end.

10 Augustinus in quodam sermone pro secunda feria pasche qui sic incipit: "Hoc quod in altari dei videtis"; "quod" inquit, "vidistis, panis est; calix, quod oculi vestri renunciant; quod autem fides vestra postulat instruenda, panis est corpus Christi, calix est sangvis Christi." Et

A sermon of Augustine quoted: 'the bread is the body of Christ.'

A 116^a manducat" | : Quiditatatem autem illius panis declarat | B 121^c posterius, supponens ex fide scripture apostoli quod sit panis. "Panis," inquit, "non fit de uno grano, sed de multis, quando exortizabamini quasi molebamini, 20 quando baptizati estis quasi conspersi estis, quando spiritus sancti ignem accepistis quasi cocti estis. Es-tote quod videtis, et accipite quod estis; hoc de pane dixit apostolus. Jam de calice quid intelligeremus, eciā non dictum, satis ostendit. Sicut enim, ut sit species 25 visibilis, multa grana consparguntur tanquam illud fiat, quod de fidelibus ait scriptura sancta: *Erat illis anima una et cor unum in deum*, sic et de vino fratres recolite. Unde fit vinum grana multa pendent ad botrum, sed liquor granorum in unitatem confunditur."

Another passage in which Augustine compares the sanctification of the faithful to the making of bread and wine.

Y. 30 Ex istis dictis istius sancti patet luce clarius quod ipse intelligit per panem et vinum que dicit esse hoc sacramentum corpus et sangwinem. Unde, in alio sermone qui sic incipit: "Redendum sermonis," declarans quomo-do hoc sacrificium sive sacramentum sit corpus 35 Christi et sangvis, sic infert: "Accipite," inquit, "et edite corpus Christi; eciā ipsi in corpore Christi facti, iam membra Christi accipite et potate sangwinem Christi, reddempti per sangwinem Christi; et ne dissolvamini,

Whence I conclude that the Sacrament is real bread and wine.

Again, Augustine says that by eating of this bread we shall be changed into the Lord.

¹ 4. sacerdotes baal magnificant C. 12. Quicquid BCD. 15. 2^a capitulo BCD. 24. non deest A. 32. et corpus Christi et BCD.

11. Aug. Serm. CCLXXII. Migne, t. 38, p. 1246. 14. Decr. Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 75. 33. Aug. Sermo De Sacramento altaris, ad Infantes. Migne, t. 46, p. 827.

manducate vinculum vestrum; ne vobis viles videamini,
bibite precium vestrum. Sicut hoc in | vos convertitur, A 116^b
cum illud manducatis et bibitis, sic et vos in corpus
Christi convertimini, cum obedienter et pie vivitis." Ex

Nothing can be istis patet quam audacter iste sanctus dicit cum aliis 5
clearer than these expression. et decreto ecclesie, quod panis et vinum sunt corpus
Christi et sanguinis. Illa autem non dicit accidentia sine
subiecto, sed secundum | naturam inferiorem verum B 121^d
panem et vinum, consimilem aliis; quia, ut dicit epi-

An accident is not changed into a man, but perishes. stola 14^a ad Bonifacium, non forent sacramentum, nisi 10
haberent quandam similitudinem cum corpore domini;
nec accidens sine subiecto convertitur in hominem,

To deny that this bread is Christ's body, is to deny that it is a sacrament. quia desinit esse, ut inquiunt, sine hoc quod quicquam
cius remaneat; quod non est converti. Et ita, sicut
negant panem sacramentalem esse corpus domini, ita 15
negant implicite quod sit sacramentum. Et ita nimis
ponit Augustinus in De fide ad Petrum quod ista
demencia sit nimis heretica: "Firmissime," inquit, "tene
et nullatenus dubites, sacrificium panis et vini per orbem
offerri." Hec verba sunt in capitulo 16 intercisa, ubi 20

Augustine alludes to the sacrifice of bread and wine. non dubium intelligit intransitive sacrificium quod est
panis et vinum, quia aliter foret contrarius sibi ipsi.
Et in fine declarat omnes illos 40 articulos esse tante
catholicos, quod quicunque voluerit alicui eorum con-
tumaciter contraire sit hereticus ex omnibus catholicis 25
anathematisandus, quia Christiane fidei inimicus.

Gregory calls the Sacrament the appearance of bread and wine. Et sic intelligit beatus Gregorius cum aliis catholicis Z.
vocantibus sacramentum | species panis et vini. Idem A 116^c
enim est species panis et vini quod panis et vinum,
sicut egregie declarat beatus Ambrosius in sermone de 30
divinis mysteriis, qui sic incipit: *De moralibus*. "Quare
descendit spiritus, sicut columba, nisi ut tu videres, ut
tu agnosceres eciam illam columbam, quam Noe iustus
emisit de archa, istius columbe speciem fuisse, ut tipum
agnosceres sacramenti? Et fortasse dicas, cum illa vero 35
columba | fuerit que emissa est, hic quasi columba des-B 122^a
latter, appearing as a dove, was cenderet. Quomodo illic speciem esse dicimus, hic veri-
thas.

2. Sic pro sicut A. 3. illud deest B. 9. convertimini deest A.
9. consimile CD. 11. domini deest BCD. 12. in hominem deest BCD.
14. esti; converti deest CD. 23. infime AB; ib. illos deest BCD:
ib. caute BCD. 25. contrarie omnes MSS. 28. speciem BCD. 32. nisi
ut CD. 33. cognosceres BD. 34. ex CD. 35. cognosceres B.

17. Fulgentius. De Fide ad Petrum, c. XIX. Migne, t. 40, p. 772.
31. Ambr. De Mysteriis, c. 4. (Migne, t. 16, p. 396). The first
words of the book are, as Wyclif says, "*De moralibus*".

tatem, cum secundum Grecos in specie columbe spiritum descendisse sit scriptum, sed tam verum quam divinitas que manet semper? Creatura autem non potest veritas esse, sed species que facile solvit atque mutatur; simul 5 quia eorum qui baptisantur non in specie debeat esse, sed vera simplicitas; unde dominus ait: *Estote astuti sicut serpentes et simplices sicut columbe.* Merito igitur, sicut columba descendit ut admoneret nos simplicitatem columbe debere habere. Speciem autem pro veritate 10 accipiendo legimus; et de Christo et “specie inventus ut homo”; et de deo patre “neque speciem eius vidistis.”

A 116^d Ex istis videtur sanctos vocare sacramentum speciem panis et vini, quia consideracio de quidditate substancie sue est sopita, et illa substancia est sacramentum, ut taliter sumpta. | Et iterum, in quantum similitudo corporis Christi est species, sicut quelibet creatura est species quo ad deum.

Sed absit fidelibus credere quod si sit species istorum ‘Species’ does corporum, tunc non est panis aut vinum; cum sequatur 20 oppositum, sicut sequitur: spiritus sanctus est visus in columbe specie, igitur illa species est columba. Et patet quomodo ista Antichristi conclusio de quidditate hostie consecrate, quod sit accidentis sine subiecto, est mani- 25 festa heretica, cum fides scripture, naturalis racio, testi- monia sanctorum et decreta ecclesie contradicunt.

8. amoveret A; eos AB; ib. simplicitates ACD; simplices B. ii. est pro ut A.

The word
'species' is
very often used
to mean
'reality'.

Conclusion:
The doctrine of
absolute
accidents is
heretical.

CAPITULUM SEPTEMDECIMUM.

The Sacrament
is Christ's body
under the form
of bread; and
this form is
bread-ity, that
by which bread
is bread;
therefore, real
bread.

1st Objection:
'Christ's body
becomes bread'.
Granted. Then
Christ is trans-
substantiated
'into bread'
Denied. Why?

a) Because
conversion is a
change for the
better.

b) It takes place
by His power,
and *c)* He alone
is thought of.

Finaliter complendo tractatum de apostasia, supponendum est sacramentum altaris esse corpus Christi B 122^b in forma panis. Illa autem forma est panitas, ut dicit Innocencius 3^{us} in tractatu suo, De eukaristia. A Gre-5 gorio autem et aliis sanctis vocatur species panis, que indubie est quiditas panis, forma substancialis sive essencia et per consequens verus panis, ut dicit ewangelium quintuplex cum fide ecclesie.

Sed arguitur primo, quod iuxta istud, sicud panis fit 10 corpus Christi, sic corpus Christi fit panis, et per consequens corpus Christi eque vere convertitur et trans-substanciatur in panem, sicut econtra. Sed hic conceditur assumptum et negatur consequencia; nam sicut incarnacione deus fit homo et econtra, sic ista mira-15 culosa confectione Christi corpus fit panis et econtra.

Conversio | autem proprie est mutacio rei in melius. A 117^a Ideo, cum corpus Christi sit infinitum melius quam panis, et virtute corporis Christi fit illa conversio et non virtute panis presuppositi, tanquam materia ad 20 corpus Christi presuppositum secundum esse suum spirituale in sua existencia; ac tertio, consideracio de pane debet esse sopita, tanquam terminus *a quo* sine materia;

dicitur quod panis convertitur in corpus domini, non econtra.

1. capitulum deest omnes MSS.
ii. corpus, sic A. 14. negetur B. 6. aut CD. 9. duplex A.
deest CD. 25. expericcta A. 16. confectio D. 18. Christi

5. Innocent in this treatise, De Sacro Alt. Myst. I. IV *passim*, (Migne, t. 217) very often speaks of *species panis* and even *forma panis*; but never of *panitas*, so far as I have been able to see. If he did, he would contradict himself palpably. 9. Wyclif evidently counts St. Paul's words in I. Cor. X as a fifth Gospel.

Sed secundo obicitur quod corpus Christi sit abiecius quam testudo, quia corpus panis; sed omne corpus non vinum abieccius est vino. Hic oportet notare distinctionem inter predicacionem essencialem et formalem; 5 et cum ille predicaciones dicuntur equivoce, patet quod probabiliter potest negari assumptum, formaliter intellegendo, quod corpus Christo | inferioratur testudine in natura. Sic enim concedit Augustinus Christi discipulis, quod non ipsum corpus quod vident liniari membris 10 Christi sunt carnaliter comeduntur. Extendendo autem predicacionem ad essencialem et habitudinalem, sicut conceditur catholice quod corpus Christi et sic deus sit materialis essentia, et sic materia prima que est substancia abiectissima in natura; cum iuxta apostolum, 15 ad Philipp. II^o "Christus semetipsum exinanivit, formam servi accipiens:" | Sic concedendum est quod corpus Christi sit panis secundum sacramentalem habitudinem; et sic corpus Christi est imperfeciuss quam testudo, et ita secundum disparem predicacionem re- 20 cipit denominaciones contrarias, sicut persone verbi secundum dispares eius naturas. Corpus enim Christi est multorum singulum, ut in una ecclesia tanta est et talis hostia et in alia est hostia alia variata. Nec sonat hoc in variacione corporis Christi secundum suam 25 essenciam, cum nulla earum sit ydemptice corpus Christi; sed totum sonat in bonitatem largifluam Jesu nostri.

B. Sed ulterius restat videre, quomodo cultus eukaristie fuerat in missis institutus; quod egregie declarat auctor De divinis officiis. Sive autem Petrus sive Jacobus vel 30 quicunque alias apostolus celebraverit primam missam post Christum, probabile est quod multos ritus iam introductos dimiserat. Unde probabiliter creditur, quod dumtaxat dixit oracionem dominicam cum verbis sacramentalibus; et post prandium vel in cena isto modo 35 confecerat et tradidit populo partem suam. Et ista videtur esse sentencia apostoli 1^a | Cor. 10, ut superius exponebam. Narrat autem Cestrensis, libro 4^o capitulo 4^o, quod Christus passus est 8^{vo} kalendas aprilis, quando A 117^c secundum Cassiodorum facta est tam magna solis |

3. Hinc A. 11. sic A. 18. est *deest* BCD; *ib.* perfeciuss B.
20. verbi *deest* BCD. 22. essentia BCD; *ib.* est tanta C. 24. in varia-
cionem CD.

St. James the Less, first bishop of Jerusalem said the first Mass.

defecchio, qualis alias nunquam fuit. Hoc autem anno circa pentecosten ordinatus est ab apostolis Jacobus minor episcopus Jerosolimorum, qui primus inter eos missam celebravit, eodemque anno Petrus apostolus cepit presidere in partibus orientis, ubi quatuor annis 5 pontificavit missasque celebravit, dicendo: *pater noster*.

As for the hour of saying Mass, the first was certainly said after a meal.

Other rites, good in themselves, have been wrongly added to the first.

Et quantum ad tempus celebrandi scribit Augustinus ad Januarium, et ponitur in De consecracione, distinctione 2^a; "liquido apparet, quando primo acceperunt discipuli corpus et sanguinem domini, non eos accepisse 10 ieiunos"; sed post fuerunt ritus religiosi et particulatim appositi, qui, licet sint liciti et honesti in multis, tamen sunt ad onus ecclesie, sic quod melius foret sibi atque salubrius hec ommitti. Primo, quia ecclesia deteriorando et illibertando procedit; ideo modus quem observarunt 15 Christus et sui apostoli fuit magis autenticus et lenis. Unde ad nimis pauca respiciunt, qui dicunt quod necesse est papas capitales ecclesie ad regendum et supplendum defectus residuos legis Christi.

Which rites are the best to follow? Those of Christ and His Apostles; all other prayers superadded savour of sin more or less, though they may be licit.

We now think it a great sin to change the established form; but to change the form Christ gave was worse.

Item, in lege et modo colendi Christi et apostolorum 20 C. fuit forma virtuosius colendi deum, et quilibet ritus religiosus de tanto est melior. Igitur | religio illa pri- A 117^a meva a qua ceciderant fuit sequente perfecior. Cul- pandus, inquam, foret princeps religionis omnipotens et omnisciens, qui in exemplari religionis omittaret 25 necessarium observandum. Sic enim precipit | Matth. VI^o B 123^a compendiose orare, dimitendo deprecaciones prolixas. Nec dico quin licet orare secundum oraciones alias, Matth. sed oportet quod alie oraciones et earum obligaciones VI, 7 peccatum sapient plus vel minus. Nam Christus dif- 30 finivit medium virtuosum, et ut capit in minori argumenti, iste est optimus modus orandi et colendi deum. Igitur presumpcio foret eum dimittere. Item, si religiosus vel usuarius tradicionis adiecte humanitus adicit vel subtrahit quo ad usum illum, reputatur pec- 35 care graviter; igitur evidencius quo ad usum religionis quam in tanta auctoritate et mensura Christus instituit. Unde ultra vires nituntur, qui intendunt excludere

1. nunquam fuit *deest* B. 3. Jerosolimitarum B. 6. noster etc. D.
14. quod CD. 16. leuis C. 18. papas esse BCD. 25. religionis
deest BCD. 26. Sic A; *ib.* precepit B. 27. oracionem CD.
33. ipsum BCD. 36, 37. religionis quam *deest* B; illum reputatur *pro*
religionis quam CD.

talem onustatem ecclesie a peccato, cum infinitum foret
gravius apostotare a religione quam Christus instituit,
quam a tradicionibus posterius adinventis. Non enim
est fides vel racio, quod eo ipso quo Romanus pontifex
vel alius citra Christum quicquam instituit, Christus
adest ac illud instituit et confirmat. Tunc enim foret
talis institutor impeccabilis supra deum. Item, ut ex
fide supponitur, nulla ordinacio Christiani est licita,
nisi de quanto auctorisata et prius ordinata fuerit a

No evidence
of Divine aid
to frame the
liturgies.

A 118^a deo; sed generaliter tales | condiciones private sine
revelacione adiecte religioni Christi non sunt prius
ordinate et auctoritate a deo, ergo non sunt licite.
Maior patet, ex hoc quod omnis vita et operacio
Christiani licita ex hoc est licita quod est prius a

Unless such
rites come from
God, they are
unlawful.

15 deo facta atque volita. Et ex isto principio videtur
primo, quod multi Romani pontifices usurpando sibi

The Popes
often go too far.
1st in taking the
name of
Christ's Vicar.
2nd in granting
absolutions at
random;
3rd in burdening
the Church
with rites.

B 123^b nomen summi Christi vicarii in terris | sepe blasphemant.

Videtur secundo, quod in dando absoluciones a pena, ignorando gradum contritionis, non minus blasphemant.

No such
observance is
good, unless it
increase
devotion.

20 Videtur tertio, quod in ordinando indiscrete officia ad

onus ecclesie, cadunt in eandem blasphemiam; ut
canonizaciones sanctorum et festa, in quibus post

And it often
tends to do the
contrary.

oscula et ritus alios concedunt indulgencias infundabili, et per consequens indiscrete. De isto onere ec-

25 clesie conqueritur Augustinus libro primo ad Januarium,

ut sepe exposui. Nam credi debet ut fides, quod nulla canonisacio sancti citra Christum nec aliqua oracio

sibi facta, valet facienti ad meritum vel sancto ad honorem, nisi de quanto adauget caritatem aut de-

30 vocationem fidelium ad Christum, et promovet ad ob-

servanciam legis sue. In cuius signum nulle vel paucे erant observancie in ecclesia primeva; et post multi-

35 plicate sunt propter fastum et lucrum, dimissa atten-

cione fidelium ad virtutes. Et propter hoc deus neces-

tali oratione preces suas ad Christum dirigerent,

A 118^b spera | rent et crederent ad instanciam talis sancti.

Et creditur quod sepe propter affectionem et insti-

1. ecclesie *deest* BCD. 6. conformat B. 14. primus AB.
15. et BCD. 19. gradus A. 28, 29. ad honorem *deest* BCD.
29. et CD. 30. in Christo BCD. 31. multe AB. 32. primitiva BCD.
33. factum et *deest* CD.

25. Augustine (Ad Januarium; Migne, t. 33, p. 200) complains in general terms of unnecessary observances.

tucionem inordinatam fit displicencia deo et sanctis et per consequens evenit deterius oranti. Nam in solum Christum, qui est sanctus sanctorum, debemus credere membra eius, de quanto ad Christi amorem excitant et ad sequelam vie sue preparant solum attendere. 5

Among these innovations are the 'private religions'; which imply that a man entering and remaining therein, worships God better.

Orders were at first purely for God's glory and the good of souls.

Perpetual vows, unlawful.
Christ cannot compel men to serve Him.

So imprisonment in these cases ought to be given up; if it were, all would be done by charity.

A foolish vow does not oblige anyone; nor a vow to do wrong.

4^o, videtur quod in ordinando tales religiones privatas perpetuas, consistit magna blasfemia; nam sic ordinans instituit, quod quicunque | religionem illam B 123^c ingreditur, de quanto in illa permanet, de tanto perfeccius colit deum. Quod deus indubie non ordinat; 10 et sic, tanquam antichristus, ordinat aliquid contra I. Cor. deum. Et hec racio quare apostolus 1^a Cor. 1^o et III^o 1, 10 prohibet tales sectas capitum citra Christum; quia III, 3 distrahunt ab observancia legis Christi, seminant dissensiones in ecclesia et ex confederacionibus temporalibus 15 causant vecordias in defensione cause dei. Augustinus autem, et si qui alii meritorie constituerant tales sectas, hoc fecerunt illis qui gratis venire voluerant ad honorem dei et ad utilitatem ecclesie atque sui. Et quando- cunque sentirent quod aliquod istorum trium defuerit, 20 nedum habeant licenciam sed debeant secundum Christi obedienciam illas sectas dimittere.

Nec licet secundum aliam perpetuitatem, qualis E. so | lemnisatur hodie, sectas statuere. Nam Christus A 118^c non potest compellere quemquam intrare religionem 25 suam, nisi gratis voluerit, nec potest quemquam cogere servare religionem suam, nisi gratis voluerit. Quomodo igitur potest Magog statuere quod homo servabit suum ordinem, velit, nolit? Gloriosa itaque foret condicio, si relicto ritu gentili incarceracionis sit in libera pote- 30 state cuiuscunq; religiosi privati servare ritus humanos precise quantum viderit hoc prodesse ecclesie, et ad honorem dei vergere; et alios ipsos dimittere. Tunc enim solverentur confederaciones dyabolice contra Christum, et ordo ecclesie secundum religionem Christi 35 primevam tenderet ad unitatem caritativam, consumpta invida comparacione | sectarum, de qua conqueritur B 123^d apostolus 1^a Cor. 1^o. Nec obligat votum fatuum, quod

6. in *deest* CD. 7. blasphemie *deest* BCD; *ib.* sicut CD. 13. qui CD.
16. dissensione C. 19. ad *deest* BCD. 20. aliquid A. 26, 27. nec —
voluerit *deest* B. 30. incarnationis B. 31. observare BCD. 32. se BCD.
33. pergere BCD; *ib.* alias BCD. 37. invidia B.

23. *Aliam perpetuitatem* seems here to be in opposition with *religiones privatas perpetuas* of l. 6, 7; perpetuity of the Orders, perpetuity of vows in the Orders.

libertatem Christi dissolveret. Sic non frangit votum qui vovens malefacere proximo benefacit. Cum enim omne votum debet deo fieri, patet quod deus per se dispensat, cum filio suo quem movet peccatum stulti 5 voti dimittere. Nec oportet licenciam antichristi in sordibus expectare. Et ex istis videtur quod nullus mundo dives vel alius debet ministrare tali clero temporalia, vel consentire secundum rationem qua integrant conventus huiusmodi, cum ut sic ageret A 118^a contra Christum. Iuvent itaque fideles religiosos i | stos, exonerando eorum sarcinas, secundum rationem qua observant religionem Christianam, vel ipsam augent.

Matth. XII, 24 Tales religiosi honeste serviunt deo, habent pulchras 15 domus atque ecclesias scopis mundatas, et alia ecclesiastica ornamenta. Nam deus huius seculi, ut trahat ad terrena deorsum et ut distrahat mentes hominum a celestibus, et impedit que sursum sunt querere, multiplicat talia argumenta. Foret ad honorem corporis 20 Christi et ad devocationem populi, quod forent talia ornamenta. Conceditur, inquam, quod necesse est habere talia, de quanto sustentant et augent cultum dei. Si autem distrahant affectionem fratrum et impediunt 'que sursum sunt querere et non que super terram'; non 25 dubium, quin tunc culpabiliter noceant. Ad quod medium attingendum oportet aspicere serpentem Christum et suos sequentes eum propinquius, et non mundum. Aliter enim B 124^a deficeret homo nimis elongatus a via Christi. |

Nec sunt evidencie facte in contrarium digne memoria, 30 ut hii arguant quod templum Salomonis pro cultu dei fuit celebre, ergo magis est ecclesia Christi. Sed isti iudaisantes non considerant, quomodo Christus pauper excedens sapienciam Salomonis, iam sursum sedens A 119^a attendit celebritatem virtutum, non solemnitatum | quo 35 ad seculum. Devocio autem contempnencium plus edificavit ecclesiam in virtute. Conversacio autem Christi docet quomodo religiosa devocio intendetur.

F. Secundo, obicitur quod Paulus fecit collectas sanctis Again: St. Paul made collections for the Saints in Jerusalem. So this can still be done.

1. sicut CD. 6. Et *deest* BCD. 9. integrat BCD. 14. servant B.
21. concedetur D. 26. attinendum AB. 31. est *deest* CD. 34. sol-
lemnitatem BCD. 38. quomodo BCD. 40. pro BCD.

^{1st Objection:}
These Religions,
having fine
houses and
clean churches,
honour God.
^{Answer:} This
is an argument
of the Devil.

In so far as
these things aid
God's worship
they are
necessary, no
farther.

^{2nd Obj.: The}
Church should
be finer than
Solomon's
temple.
This is a Jew's
reasoning.

Greater than
Solomon,
Christ was
poor.

But are the circumstances the same? same purity of life, dearth of food, &c.? tas. Primo, quod communitas vivat pure sine traditionibus oneriosis adiectis, ut vixerunt sancti illi Jerusalem. Secundo, quod prevalente caristia sterilitatis, ut tunc, nostri religiosi sint adeo hostibus circumsepti, quod non superest religiosis temporale relevamen subsidii aliunde. 5

3rd Obj.: These Orders founded by Saints, have produced saints: to attack them were wrong.

Antecedent granted; conclusion denied.

Saints can sin.

And the very fall of Lucifer has had good results.

These Saints may have erred through misguided zeal.

Peter sinned, even after the coming of the Holy Ghost.

So also of the founders of Orders; they burdened the faithful with observances,

and filled the Church with dissensions, loading themselves and others uselessly. Who is now as poor, as humble, as holy as his Founder was?

Secundo, quod prevalente caristia sterilitatis, ut tunc, nostri religiosi sint adeo hostibus circumsepti, quod non superest religiosis temporale relevamen subsidii aliunde. 5

Tercio, obicitur per hoc quod multi pii patroni fundarunt hos ordines et multos sanctos successores reliquerant, qui omnes secundum istum sanctum ordinem militarunt; hereticum igitur foret ipsos super errore impetrare vel confirmationem pape super istos ordines 10 impugnare. Hic conceditur assumptum; nec obviat quin illi patroni peccarunt, saltem venialiter, introducendo huiusmodi novitates; sicut probabiliter creditur de beato Silvestro auctorisante dotacionem ecclesie. Et sic con-

ceditur eciam secunda proposicio; ymmo quod adinvenciones iste faciunt multa bona ecclesie; cum ruina primi angeli cum suis | membris longe magis prodest B 124^b

ecclesie. Et sic foret valde catholicum probabiliter opinari, quod dicti sancti ex ce | ca devocione pecca- A 119^b verunt. Qui licet modo purgantur, tamen vestigium 20 erroris relictum debet diligenter caveri; ut patet de vestigiis aliarum culparum sanctorum. Nam Petrus peccavit post missionem spiritus sancti ex observancia novitatis private: ut patet Gall. II^o. Non tamen est Gal. II, 11 comendandus propterea, vel sequendus. Multo magis 25 patroni plus peccantes, introducendo sectas et ritus ad onus ecclesie. Illi ergo patroni sunt imitandi, de quanto sequentur religionem Christi, servando eius consilia et mandata. Sed de quanto in adinvencionibus humanis exorbitant, sunt detestandi a fidelibus, eciam a se 30 ipsi. Sic autem supponitur sanctos illorum ordinum militasse;

et melius ac expedicius cucurrissent, in casu quo exonerati fuissent ab istis ritibus; sed onus ac obser- vancia istorum invaluit et Christi religio decrescebat. Aliter enim non forent tot divisiones in sectis Augustini, 35 Benedicti, Francisci et aliis, que omnes obligant se multiplicius, striccius et onerosius quam sancti illi requierant, et religionem sonantem in conversacionem Christi per dispensaciones subdolas derelinquent. Quis, inquam, 40 quis, inquam, est hodie, qui tam stricte vivit in vescibilibus ut primitus,

1. uniat ACD. 2. illi *deest* D. 5. religiosius *omnes* MSS. 6. per hoc *deest* CD. 7. fundaverunt BCD. 9. ipsas A. 11. quod BCD.
12. saltim AB. 13. conceditur AB. 15. secunda *deest* A; propositum A.
19, 20. peccaverant CD. 20. non B. 23. observania AB. 24. 4^{to} BCD.
29. in advencionibus ABD. 31. istorum CD. 37, 38. requerant A.

A 119^c tam humiliter contentatur in tegumentis indumentorum corporis et | domorum? et omnino qui tam excellenter sanctificando se super alios edificat ecclesiam ut sui Nay, they are worse than Gentiles.

B 124^c patroni | primevi fecerant? quin ymo commixti inter 5 gentes didicerunt opera eorum, eciam amplius seculariter plus voluptuose et plus impie conversando.

Illud igitur nec papa nec Christus potuit confirmare; sed omnes fideles debent iuvare modo suo dissolvere. Quod foret potissime, si totus Christianismus foret unus 10 populus habens sine talibus onerosis adinvencionibus cor unum et animam unam, pure, libere et leviter observando secundum gradum quem deus donaverit religionem Christianam. Nam quod amplius est obligans sectas regulariter sapit blasphemiam, quia observancia 15 que in uno proficeret in alio secundum variacionem persone, loci, temporis magis officeret.

H. Quamvis autem Christus dedit religionem suam secundum limites ineffabilis libertatis, tamen propter divisiones et mendacia multi ab ea exorbitant; quanto 20 magis in religionibus privatis, que non ad tantam sanctitatem, sapienciam et dei auxilium devenerunt.

Cum igitur debent reparari ordines, debent ad illum gradum quem Christus instituit reparari; quod foret facilissimum, si quilibet Christianus diligeret et soveret 25 se et alium in quantum Christianus, et odiret quemcunque in quantum servat ritum privatum humanitus

A 119^d adinventum. Si autem spiritus | movet quemquam ad servandum supereminenter legem Christi, non ordinabitur propterea lex sive religio obligans generaliter novam 30 sectam. Nam sic quilibet apostolus reliquisset propriam sectam. Omnes tamen preter Scarioth de secta Christi contentati fuerant, ut patet prima Cor. I et III. Apo-

B 124^d stata | igitur divisionem in religione Christiana faciens, "omni tempore iurgia seminat", multiplicando mendacia.

35 In cuius signum post sectarum istarum multiplicacionem introductam, multiplicate sunt divisiones et iurgia in sancta ecclesia.

Sed, ut quidam prenoscitat, violencia istarum sectarum et per consequens occasio licium infra tempus modicum 40 subtrahetur. Cuius evidencia est, quod sicut affectus consequitur intellectum, sic mendacium seminatum de

All should labour to end this state of things: which could be done if Christ's religion were observed.

What avails one person, time and place is hurtful to others.

Even Christ's perfect religion is not enough followed; how much less the imperfect inventions of men!

It does not follow, because God moves a man to certain practices, that he must found a sect: why did not each Apostle do so?

As it is, the Church is divided by them.

It has been foretold that the violence of these sects will shortly pass away.

5. plus BCD. 16. et temporis CD. 18. tamen *deest* AB.
21. devenerant A. 22. reparari debent C. 25. sic BCD; *ib.* adiret BC.
29. proprie D. 33. Christi BCD.

Their theory of speculativa eucaristia precedit prenoscite mendacia divisionis ecclesie. Nunc autem creditur mendacium de dicta hostia esse summum. Olym enim dicebatur in introduccione sectarum, quod ipsa hostia sit aliquid, quia accidens sine subiecto quod est abiectissimum in natura, quia infinitum imperfeccius quam quantumcunque abiecta materialis substancia. Et hii erant bipartiti, ut una secta dicit quod ipsum sacramentum sit quantitas et alia secta quod sit accidens perfectius: scilicet, materialis qualitas. Et sicut utraque posuit suum accidentes ¹⁰ I. esse sine subiecto, ita sententia sua caruit fun | da- A ^{120^a}

Now they affirm that it is nothing: so they can say no worse against this Sacrament. est aggregacio accidentium diversorum in genere, ut ¹⁵ quantitatis et qualitatis sine substancia subiecta; secunda dicit, quod est qualitates sine subiecto, que quidem fuerunt in pane vel vino. Nec superest quid dicant ulterius in dedecus sacramenti, quam quod sit res abiectissima in natura ac quod sit incomposite pure ²⁰ nichil. Cum igitur oportet mendaces cadere, cum fuerint in summo gradu blasphemie, necesse videtur ipsos in tercio signo | deficere. B ^{125^a}

And having come to the extreme point of blasphemy, a reaction must ensue.

We must note how the words of the Church oppose their inventions.

Their recriminations on this subject proceed from shame; for they feel that their doctrine dishonours the Eucharist.

Sed ecclesia fidelium attenderet quomodo ante istos ecclesia orat in canone misse, "ut hec oblacio panis ²⁵ et vini nobis corpus et sangvis fiat domini nostri Jesu Christi". Illam autem oblationem vocat terrenam substancialm, ut patet in secreta secunde misse natalis domini. Iste autem secte in toto adversantur tam fidei usui sancte matris ecclesie, cum dicunt, quod illa ³⁰ oblacio non potest esse corpus et sangvis Jesu nostri. Sed illam oportet omnino destrui, et unum imperfeccius quam corpus abiectissimum a fidelibus sacramentaliter adorari. Et quia verecundantur de revelatione huius fallacie, pervertuntur ad solita comenta mendacii, ³⁵ imponentes nobis illam dehonorationem sacramenti huius venerabilis, quod sit imperfeccius in natura quam abiectissimum corpus mundi. Sic enim ipsi prius frontose defenderant asse | rendo ut fidem, quod ipsum A ^{120^b} sacramentum sit accidens quod prius informavit panem, ⁴⁰ quam sentenciam mendaciter imponunt Romane ecclesie.

1. eukaristic ABC; *ib.* prenóstice C. 3. est BCD. 10. unum BCD.
16. subiecta *deest* D. 18. aut BCD. 21. istas BCD. 26. vel *pro* nobis B.
28. secreto B. 30. sanctis BCD. 31. Christi *pro* nostri B. 32. vinum D.

K. Nos autem usque ad mortem invehere volumus contra istam perfidiam et contrarium ex fide defendere; scilicet, quod panis et vinum quod ipsi fingunt accidentis sit naturaliter ante consecrationem panis et vinum, sed

We shall maintain unto death that the Sacrament is Christ's true and real body.

5 post consecrationem corpus dignissimum, quia vere et realiter corpus Christi. Consideracio autem de priori natura sopita est, sicut consideracio nature ymaginis, suspensa tota attencione fidelium in signato. Et sicut exemplificat doctor, natura carbonis suspenditur, dum ignitur.

10 Si, inquam, plene instruerentur fideles quod non communicent cum istis sectis nec participant eis temporale subsidium, antequam sub signo patente sui capitanei docuerint quid naturaliter sit illa hostia post

The nature of bread indeed remains, but is forgotten: ignited charcoal is fire.

B 125^b consecrationem, quam fideles | vere credunt esse quo-

15 dammodo corpus Christi, et corporaliter senciunt ac sciunt fuisse in hostia ante consecrationem; O quam gloria foret exclusio falsitatis perfide et enuclacio veritatis! Sed per cautelas dyaboli fides postponitur et mundo antichristiane attenditur. Occupacio tamen

Exhortation to the secular powers to interfere.

20 foret prelatorum ecclesie dilucidare populo fidei veritatem. Sed ad reges et potentatus pertinet illud a clero suo exigere. Modo autem ex cautela dyaboli,

The King is wrong to let the Sects imprison youths who struggle for the Faith and the good of the State.

A 120^c concedunt sectis licenciam incarcerandi proditorie | suos legios, ut puta iuvenes pro fide ecclesie et bono rei publice decertantes. Hoc autem nedium est infidelitas,

This destroys kingly power. Many sects besides give the Pope lordship over all they have.

25 sed regalie regum destruccio. Nec mirum de ista cecitate ecclesie, quia secte quedam, inconsultis regibus, dant pape omnium suorum dominium quod sufficiente de regnis perquirere; quod non est aliud quam legem

30 dei subvertere et ad questum antichristianum disponere. Nam illi, ut fingunt, non possunt propter perfectionem ewangelicam tale dominium possidere: quomodo ergo possent in alios derivare? Iterum, cum rex habeat omnium temporalium regni sui capitale dominium,

35 quomodo licet eis tot bona regni alienare ad exteriores, L. eciam inimicos? Iterum, cum ex confessione sua papa debet esse maxime ewangelicus, perfectissime sequens Christum, quomodo licet eis temptando onerare caput suum cum stercore temporalium, quod propter turbacionem morum a se ipsis excuciunt?

The Pope should follow Christ perfectly, why tempt him with temporalities? Some members of these sects, feeling their false position,

40 Talia, inquam, inconveniencia vident quidam sequi ex sectis privatis

3. figurant B. 9. suppenditur A. 10. sic pro si D. 24. in juvenes D. 28. omnium bonorum BCD. 30. antichristum CD. 31. pro non BCD; ib. propter deest B. 33. in alios deest A.

cum infinitis tradicionibus execucionem legis Christi tardantibus. Et ne dent scandalum prudenter dissimulant. leave it lawfully Quidam autem in secta succumbunt recorditer. | Unde B 125^e
and unlawfully; lawfully, to dupliciter exeunt quidam sectas huiusmodi, scilicet serve the licite et illicite; licite, videndo quia aliter quam in 5 Church better; unlawfully, by claustro vel privatis converanticulis plus prodessent ecclesie; obtaining dispenses to et illicite multis modis: | ut procurando exemptionem, A 120^d
live at ease. episcopatum vel licenciam standi extra vel in cura seculari; ut plus laute, plus effrene et plus ociose voluptentur et magis in causa dyaboli contra dominum 10

These are truly orientur. Et tales sunt qui extra sectam, propter episcopatus patum vel secte firmamentum cum dominabus vel dominis vel quomodocunque in seculo evagantur. Et tales indubie sunt apostate inter homines a dyabolo agitati, cuiusmodi sunt episcopi propter copiam temporali. Illi quidem seducunt ecclesiam et potentes, ut They do much constet sua privata religio. Illi quidem cupide colligunt harm. temporalia ad hunc finem. Et breviter omnes qui impediunt ne servetur Christiana religio in sua pristina libertate. Et sic pauci sunt quin sunt apostate plus vel 20

If the care given to the Sects were bestowed on Peter's bark, she would hold a better course. minus, quia alienati a religione Christi, quam impediunt; cum tota solicitudine viancium dispersa in sectas privatas, si foret debite collecta ad trahendum Petri naviculam in fluctibus huius seculi pure secundum religionem Christi, militaret tucius contra procellas quam modo 25 militat. Ideo ve illis apostatis qui impediunt illud navigium!

Even if human inventions help towards sanctity, we must admit that they do so less than Christ's ordinances. Similiter, posito quod adinvenciones humane et fictae M. obediencia iuvant secundum religionem Christi ad meritum: adhuc oportet concedere quod non tantum quantum pura religio Christi; quia aliter blasphemaretur in ipsum et scandalisa | rentur apostoli, qui hoc instituere | A 121^a
B 125^d ex negligencia vel ignorancia omiserunt. Cum igitur non valet privata obediencia nisi de quanto fit Christo, sibi autem posset fieri eque meritorie vel meritorius sub ductis religionibus privatis, ut patet de apostolis, manifestum videtur quod tales religiones non iuvant ad meritum, sed retardant. Similiter, de quanto religio est deo propinquior et a confirmatione humana independentior, est ipsa perfectior; sed pura religio Christiana 40 est huiusmodi respectu cuiuslibet religionis private

The nearer to God, and the less dependent on man any religion is, the better it is: this is eminently true of the pure religion of Christ.

7. exceptionem A. o. ocie A. 13. quocumque ACD. 33. omi-
serant A. 35, 36. subditis CD.

adiecte humanitus: igitur est qualibet tali perfeccior. Non enim dependet a confirmatione pape vel hominis citra Christum; nec fundari potest vel dari ab alio, quia non consistit in signis extrinsecis; sed in bonis 5 anime, "que sursum descendunt a patre luminum". Et si dicatur quod religio ista est aggregatum superaddens religioni Christi bonum ab homine ordinatum et de tanto est melius, certum videtur quod totum quod est vera religio, oportet appropriate a Christo descendere. 10 Et ritus superadditus ex obligacione maiori et inordinata preponderante destruit religionem Christi, et instituit novum genus religionis dyaboli.

If they answer
that to Christ's
law is added
man's good
ordinance, we
see that the
latter destroys
the former.

Sic enim est de scriptura sacra per Sergium et de sentencia eukaristie per cultores signorum. Olim enim Example in the
15 fides ecclesie quod virtute verborum Christi panis new doctrine of
sensibilis fit et est corpus Christi; modo autem sophi- the Eucharist.

A 121^b sticantur scriptura et dicta sanctorum, quod ille panis | nullomodo potest esse corpus Christi, sed in accidenti-

How can
anything so
mean as an
accident be
adored?

N. bus abiectissimis est corpus Christi absconditum. Unde 20 improbant fideles qui adorant hoc sacramentum; quia, B 126^a secundum eos, omnis abiecti | corporis particula est ipso sacramento dignior, et natura divina perfeccior corpore Christi est realius, substancialius et virtuosius ad quemlibet eius punctum. Sed non sic sensit ecclesia 25 primitiva; nam ipsum corpus panis credebatur fieri sacramentaliter corpus Christi; et cum superaddit per illud miraculum super esse deitatis, ibidem adorant fideles illum panem sanctum quem vident de quanto est corpus Christi, et sic adorant finaliter ipsum deum.

Such was not
the doctrine of
the early
Church.

30 Sic enim sensit beatus Ignacius, ut dictum est superius, et sic sensit beatus Cyprianus, ut patet in libro suo ad Cecilium de sacramento corporis et sangwinis domini, ubi declarans quod non licet in pura aqua conficere, sic scribit: "Calicem," inquit, "in die passionis accipiens 35 benedixit et dedit discipulis suis, dicens: 'Bibite ex hoc omnes; hic est enim sangvis testamenti, qui pro multis effundetur in remissionem peccatorum. Dico vobis: non bibam amodo ex ista creatura vitis usque in diem illum, quo vobiscum bibam novum in regno

The faithful
used to adore
God in this
Sacrament.

Ignatius and
Cyprian were of
this mind.
Quotation from
the latter.

5. descendant A. 11. preponderancius BCD; *ib.* inficit CD. 12. religione *deest* BCD. 13. sicut BCD. 14. signum BCD. 15. sicut *pro* fuit BCD. 36. enim *deest* BCD; *ib.* novi testamenti B. 37. in *deest* D.

Words of
St. John
Damascenus.

patris mei." "Qua in parte," inquit sanctus, "invenimus calicem mixtum fuisse quem obtulit, et vinum fuisse quod sangwinem suum dixit." Et Johannes Damascenus Grecus scribit | in sententiis suis quod deus A 121^c "coniugavit pani et vino deitatem suam et fecit ipsam 5 corpus et sangwinem". Et idem dicunt sancti con-O. corditer.

Anecdote by
the Abbot
Daniel in the
*Lives of the
Desert Fathers.*
An old Father,
holly in life,
believed that
the sacramental
bread was only
the figure of
Christ's body.

He was told to
believe that the
bread was
really Christ's
body.

But, he not
being satisfied
with their
reasons,

they said: 'Let
us pray this
week'.

He consented
and prayed.

So did the
others.

Unde, in Vitis Patrum narratur capitulo 18, quomodo narravit abbas Daniel dicens: "dixit pater noster abbas Arsenius de quodam sene, qui magnus erat in 10 hac vita, simplex autem in fide; et errabat pro eo quod erat ydiota et dicebat naturaliter non esse corpus Christi | panem quem sumimus, sed figuram eius B 126^b esse. Hoc autem audientes duo senes et scientes quod magna esset vita eius, cogitaverunt quia innocenter 15 et simpliciter dixit hoc. Et venerunt ad eum, et dicunt ei: 'Abba, sermonem audivimus cuiusdam infidelis, qui dixit quia panis quem sumimus non naturaliter corpus Christi, sed figura est.' Senex ait eis: 'Ego sum qui hoc dixi.' Illi autem rogabant eum dicentes: 20 'non sic teneas, abba, sed sicut ecclesia catholica tradidit. Nos autem credimus, quia panis corpus Christi est, calix ipse sangvis Christi secundum veritatem et non secundum figuram. Sed sicud in principio pulverem de terra accipiens plasmavit hominem ad ymaginem 25 suam, et nemo potest dicere quod non erat ymagis dei, quamvis incomprehensibilis. Ita et panis quem dixit quia corpus meum est credimus quia secundum veritatem corpus Christi est.' Senex autem ait eis, quia 'nisi re ipsa cognovero | , non michi satisfacit racio A 121^a vestra'. Illi autem dixerunt ad eum: 'deprecemur deum ebdomada hac de misterio hoc, et credimus quia deus revelabit nobis'. Senex vero cum gaudio suscepit sermonem istum, et deprecabatur deum dicens: 'Domine, tu cognoscis, quoniam non propter maliciam incredulus 35 sum rei huius, sed per ignoranciam dubito. Revela igitur michi, domine Jesu Christe, quod verum est.' Sed et illi senes abeuntes in cellas suas rogabant di-P. centes: 'Domine Jesu Christe, revela seni misterium hoc, ut credit et non perdat laborem suum.' Exaudivit 40

4. quia B. 6. corpus suum CD. 19. dixit B. 22. panis ipse BCD.
23. Christi est CD. 29. dixit CD. 32. ministerio B. 40. nonque B.

6. De Vitis Patrum l. v. libello 18. Migne, t. 73, p. 978, 979.

dominus utrosque, et ebdomada completa venerunt do-
 B 126^a minico die | in ecclesiam et sederunt ipsi tres soli
 super sedili de cirpo, quod ad modum fascis erat
 ligatum. Medius autem sedebat senex ille. Aperti sunt Their eyes were
 intellectuales oculi eorum, et quando positi sunt in And they saw
 altari panes, videtur illis tantummodo tribus, tanquam on the altar a
 parwulus iacens super altare. Et cum extendisset pres- sacrificed by an
 biter manus ut frangeret panem, descendit angelus do- Angel.
 mini de celo habens cultrum in manu, et sacrificavit
 10 puerum illum: sangwinem vero eius excuciebat in
 calicem. Cum autem presbiter frangeret in partibus And the old
 parvis panem, eciam et angelus incidebat pueri mem- man, instead of
 bra in modicis partibus. Cum vero accessisset ut ac- received
 ciperet sanctam communionem, data est ipsi soli caro bleeding flesh;
 A 122^b sangwine cruentata | quod cum vidisset pertimuit et and he believed,
 clamavit dicens. 'Credo quia panis qui in altari po- was again
 nitur corpus tuum est; et calix tuus est sangwis.' Et bread.
 statim facta est in manu eius panis secundum misterium,
 et sumpsit cum ore, gracias agens deo. Dixerunt ei
 20 senes: 'Deus scit naturam humanam, quia non potest
 vesci carnibus crudis; et propter ea transformavit cor-
 pus in panem et sangwinem in vinum hiis qui illud
 in fide suscipiunt.'

Ex ista narracione, vera supposita, patent tria: primo Conclusions:
 25 quomodo in illa etate ecclesie vocarunt panem regul- a) that Christ's
 riter corpus Christi; nondum enim introducti sunt body was then
 cultores accidencium, qui fixerant accidentis sacramen- regularly called
 tum. Patet secundo quod non est intencionis miraculi bread;
 dicere quod panis sacramentalis fit ydemptice corpus
 30 Christi, sed sufficit quod supra rationem figure panis
 B 126^c ille sit realiter corpus | Christi. Et patet tertio pro b) that the
 isto termino 'naturaliter' quod doctores primitive ecclesie, miracle does
 et specialiter Hylarius libro 7º De trinitate capitulo 6º, not produce
 dicunt corpus Christi esse naturaliter, corporaliter et identity;
 35 carnaliter ipsum panem, ad excludendum figuram pre- c) that the
 cisam qualis fuit in lege veteri, et qualis est in signis early Doctors
 nostris humanitus institutis: quia aliter Christus dixisset call Christ's
 superflue: "hoc est corpus meum", nisi signum illud Body natural
 panis haberet quandam efficaciam super illa. Sed ut bread.
 A 122^b dictum est, adverbia debent intelligi simpliciter. |

3. medium CD. 7. extenderet B. 8, 9. Domini *deest* B.
 15. sangwine *deest* B. 17. est *deest* A; ib. est illa BCD. 18. minist-
 rum B.

3. *Scirpo*, in the text quoted.

Return to the question: the variation of rites in the Mass.

The author of *De Divinis officiis* says: Of old Mass was celebrated less splendidly.

As David and Salomon perfected the sacrifices of Moses, so has the Roman Church done in later times.

Pope Celestius ordered that the whole Psalter should be sung before Mass, by anthems.

Pope Gregory arranged the anthems, composed the *Kyrie eleison*, added some words to the Canon,

Redeundo ergo ad variacionem rituum in missa, cum Q. illa variacio possit bene fieri, videndum est de eius origine, quam declarat auctor *De divinis officiis*, sub hiis verbis: "Olym non tanto exterioris apparatu decoris missarum solemnia celebrabantur, nec ab uno quolibet 5 homine religiosi obsequii gloria consummata et perpolita. Pontifices quippe sacri, splendida Romane sedis luminaria, sicut diversis temporibus effulserunt, ita paulatim studii sui claritate venustatem huius salutaris officii perfecerunt. Et sicut traditum a domino per 10 Moysen sacrificii veteris ordinem, precipue David et Salomon, sacerdotum et Levitarum ministerio, tantorum multiplici numero psalmorum divinorum, tripudio, templi vel altaris illustri gloria, sacrorumque multitudine vasorum splendidius ampliarunt; sic traditum a domino 15 mirabilem novi sacrificii ritum per primos apostolos sancta Romana ecclesia suscipiens religiosa fide amplexata est, fideli cura conservavit, diligenti apparatu exornavit. Que ergo quique eorum con*tulerunt*, iam B¹²⁷ dicemus magis secundum ordinem eorum que ordinata 20

sunt, quam eorum qui ordinaverunt. Celestius papa 42^{us} constituerat ut psalmi David centum | 50 ante A¹²² sacrificium canerentur antiphonatim ex omnibus; quod ante non fiebat, sed tantum epistola et ewangelium recitabantur. Ex hoc instituto excepti de psalmis in- 25 troitus, gradualia, offertoria, communione cum modulacione ad missam in ecclesia Romana cantari cuperunt. Gregorius 65 antiphonarium regulariter centonisavit et compilavit, *Kyrieleison* a clero ad missam cantari pre-R. cepit, quod apud Grecos ab omni populo cantabatur; 30 *alleluya* extra penthecosten ad missam dici fecit, in canone tria verba superaddidit hostie: 'diesque nostros

10. intraditum ad nomen domini D. 18. fidei D; *ib. con-deest* BCD.
20. sed *pro* secundum C. 26. communionem CD. 28. regulariter *deest* A;
ib. teutonisavit omnes MSS.

21. According to the Benedictine chronological list, St. Celestius (422) was the 44th Pope, counting St. Peter. In *De Divinis Officiis*, ascribed to Alcuin, there is the following passage: "Celestius papa constituit ut psalmi CL ante sacrificium psallerentur antiphonatim ex omnibus; quod antea non fiebat, nisi tantum epistola Pauli recitabatur, et sanctum Evangelium" Here we have, as also in some other similar passages, evident proof that Wyclif quotes from this work, though very considerably interpolated, as we have seen from other extracts that are nowhere to be found. 28. St. Gregory the Great (590) was the 65th Pope.

in tua pace disponas,' 'ab eterna dampnacione nos eripi',
et 'in electorum tuorum iubeas grege numerari.' Oracio-
nen quoque dominicam post canonem super hostia
censuit recitari. Simacus 53^{us} omni die dominico vel
5 natali martirum Gloria in excelsis ad missam cantari
constituit; quem ymnum Celestinus Telesphorus papa
a beato Petro nonus nocte tantum natalis domini ad-
missas a se in ipsa constitutas cantari instituit, et in
eo ad angelorum verba que sequuntur adiecit. Gelasius
10 47^{us} tractus et ymnos composuit, et sacramentorum
prefaciones tanto zelinato sermone dictavit. Innocencius
A 122^a 38^{us} pacis | osculum ante communionem dari decrevit;

Leo 43^{us}, qui in omeliis declamandis multum invaluit,
addidit in canone 'sanctum sacrificium, inmaculatam
15 hostiam.' Damasus 36^{us}, 'Credo in unum deum' cantari

B 127^b instituit ex decreto sancte universalis | Synodi a centum
50 episcopis Constantinopoli celebrate. Alexander 6^{us} ad
consecrationem eukaristie instituit, quod sicut de latere
crucifixi domini effluxit sangvis et aqua, ita aqua vino
20 misceatur in ipsa consecracione; nec vinum sine aqua,
S. nec aquam sine vino offerri debere decernens. Sextus
7^{us} ympnum Sanctus, sanctus, sanctus, cantari instituit;
Sergius 80^{us} ultimum hoc instituit, ut inter communi-
candum 'agnus dei' a clero cantetur.

25 Sic studiosa divine legis ecclesia Romana paulatim Thus the
de thesauro suo protulit nova pietatis monumenta, et Roman Church
quoddam velud ex auro lapidibusque preciosissimis religiosi has little by
officii sancto sacrificio fabrefecit ydioma. Non quidem the Ritual of
sancius hinc est quod erat prius, cum ad sola verba less sacred than
30 domini solamque dominicam oracionem consecrabatur. before, is more
Sed maxime docuit, ut fides que adhuc erat illo tem- splendid now.
pore rudit et, ut ait quidam, tam doctus quam fidelis:

.... 'Agresti turbida culti

Nuda humeros, intonsa comas, exorta lacertos'

A 123^a ubi ornari | potuit maxime in hac parte, tanquam in
capite suo deauraretur, et earum rerum que superius
dicte sunt veneranda similitudine fulgeret."

9. sequentur ABD. 11. zelimate CD. 15. Damasius I. 17. cele-
brante B. 20. ipsa deest D. 21. aqua B. 31. in illo BCD.
34. comis BCD; ib. sacerdos BCD. 36. deauretur BCD.

4. Symmachus (498): 52nd Pope. 6. St. Telesphorus (127):
8th Pope. 9. St. Gelasius (492): 50th Pope. 11. St. Inno-
cent I (402): 41st Pope. 13. St. Leo the Great (440): 46th Pope.
15. St. Damasus (366): 38th Pope. 17. St. Alexander (109):
6th Pope. 21. St. Sixtus I (119): 7th. 23. Sergius I (687): 85th.

Pope Symmachus
ordained that
the *Gloria*
should be sung
out of
Christmas-tide.

Pope Gelasius
composed the
Tracts, hymns
and Prefaces;
Innocent, Leo,
Damasus,
Alexander,
Sixtus and
Sergius also
made some
changes.

These ceremonies certainly aid piety, though not so safe as the first ones, instituted by Christ.

The same may be said of other rites,

good only in so far as they incite to Christ's love. Still, it would be better if we could do without them.

We are far from the fervour of early times.

Solomon was perhaps wrong in giving such splendour to public worship; and we are under a new dispensation.

This argument would allow concubines, and burn-offerings, &c.

The lies of these last times have given too great prominence to these ceremonies.

Everything depends, not on the act, but on the spirit in which it is done.

Licet autem verisimile sit, quod modus quem observarunt Christus et sui apostoli fuit securior et plus prodesset ecclesie, tamen iste modus superadditus multis prodest. Et sic de multis sanctorum canonisationibus, de multis festorum celebracionibus et aliis perpetuis institutionibus; certum quidem est quod omnia talia precise de tanto sunt laudabilia, de quanto excitant ut Christus plus ametur. Sic quod, si Christus | plus B 127^c amaretur ab ecclesia, non existente solemnitate festivitatis alicuius apostoli vel sancti citra Christum, nec in ordinacione adiecta de cultu ecclesie, plus prodesset ecclesie quam modo proficit. Cuius veritas ex isto convincitur quod ante omnia hec plus profuerunt ecclesie actus apostolici; et multiplicatis successive hiis ritibus continue plus fuerant peiorati. Ideo videtur quod nec 15 propter questum, nec fastum, sed pure propter honorem dei, excitante revelacione, sunt talia acceptanda.

Nec movet de David et Salomone, tum quia non T. docetur quin ipsi in hoc peccaverunt, tum eciam quia multi ritus fuerunt in eis liciti, qui propter adventum 20 figurati et attencionem ad sensum mysticum sunt hodie omittendi, creditur templum Salomonis et eius ornamenta fuisse destructa. Nec oportet prelatos nostros propter eorum consequenciam habere totidem concubinas vel premi purgacionibus | et oblacionibus bestiarum cum A 123^b ceteris ritibus. Sicut igitur ipsi et gesta sua signarunt Christum venturum, qui iam venit et alleviavit onus illud importabile, sic debemus servando eius libertatem secundum sapienciam suam veteres ritus excutere: et sic melius foret ritus huius sacramenti et alios in 30 productos dimittere, nisi ad amorem Christi promoverent, et per consequens ad observanciam legis sue.

Sed multiplicata mendacia circa sacramentum altaris maioritas observancie ritus novelli supra virtutes; et sic distraccio a magis bono videntur multis concludere 35 quod melius foret multiplicitatem istam dimittere: Melius, Amos inquam, foret vellere siccomoros in maiori caritate quam VII, 14 audire | quotquot missas cum oracionibus numerosis B 127^d profusis, quia magis virtuosum. Sic enim baptista, qui nunquam audivit missam, manducavit meritorius corpus 40 Christi. Sic enim loquitur Christus de bibione vini,

10. nec pro vel D. 17. attemptanda C. 19. peccaverant A; ib. et pro eciam B. 26. figurant BCD. 28. illud cis C. 36. fore A; ib. multiplicationem BCD. 41. scilicet quam tales in marg. A.

hoc est, sangwinis sui Math. XXVI et Luc. XXII quem biberet novo modo post mortem; sicut loquitur Augustinus super Johanne, omelia 25^a. Manducacio autem sacramentalis non proficit, nisi de quanto subservit manducacioni spirituali, que fit in anima. | Illa vero per se sufficit, cum implicat virtutem et meritum ex memoria passionis et viacionis Christi a corpore suo mistico, quod est ecclesia imitandum.

V. Sunt autem tres famosi obiectus ut sepe repecii; primo videtur quod si corpus Christi sit hostia consecrata et quelibet eius particula, cum infinita sunt huiusmodi, corpus Christi foret infinita contrarie accidentata; vel ex alio latere quodlibet illorum foret idem in numero cuilibet eorum. Ad istud sepe dictum est quod foret insolubile, nisi quia corpus Christi est *equivoce* et non *ydemptice* aliquod illorum. Et illud docet Augustinus, ut recitat decretum de consecracione, distinctione 2^a capitulo. "Non hoc corpus," inquit: "quod videtis manducaturi estis, et bibituri estis illum sangwinem quem effusuri sunt illi, qui me crucifigent; ipsum quidem et non ipsum; ipsum invisibiliter et non ipsum visibiliter," "necessere est", inquit, "illud celebrari visibiliter; sed necessere est ut illud invisibiliter intelligatur". Ubi patet quod Augustinus equivocat modo scripture. Et cum utraque pars sit concedenda cum Augustino, quia propter equivocationem non est contradiccio, manifestum est quod ipse vere intelligit quod corpus Christi non est comedendum secundum illum modum substantiale, corporalem, vel dimensionalem, quem habet in quantum illud corpus. Et propter illum modum essendi equivocum, patet quod deficit discursus sylogisticus in ista materia. Non, inquam, oportet, si panis ille frangitur, comeditur vel putrescit, et ille sit corpus Christi, quod ipsum corpus propterea sic movetur, quia est equivoce corpus Christi; et sic movetur taliter in hostia, sed non sic movetur, quia non sic movetur in sua substancia; multiplicatur tamen, cum sit multorum singulum.

Secundo argumentatur quod corpus Christi sit quelibet eius pars quantitativa, ut puta caput, pedes, manus etc.

This is
Augustine's
doctrine.

Three great objections to the Eucharist.
I. That Christ's body, being everywhere the Host, each Host would be every other; it is soluble by our distinction alone.

Augustine says that the Body we eat is and is not the same as that which was crucified; the same invisibly, not visibly.

A 123^a est comedendum secundum illum modum substantiale, | B 128^a corporalem, vel dimensionalem, quem habet in quantum

Here syllogisms fail, because of the difference in the very modes of being.

6. virtutem *deest* A; virtutem C. 12. accidentia B. 15. quod BCD.
22, 23. *necessere* —, sed *necessere deest* A. 30. per C. 34. qui BCD.
36. quia — in *deest* A. 38. arguitur BD.

1. Aug. Tract. XXV. In Joh. Migne, t. 35, p. 1602. 17. Decr.
Grat. 3^a Pars. Dist. II, c. 45.

II. 'Christ's Body in the Sacrament is

identical with His head, feet, &c. So His head is there identical with his feet?"

Answer: If we speak of substantial identity, the conclusion is wrong; if of Christ's mystic members, and their union in charity, it is right.

For the Sacrament is mystically the whole Church; and each of its members ought thus to belong to and be in another.

III. If all the members of Christ are in the Host, they are there with all their shapes, &c.

quia quelibet talis pars est illud sacramentum quod est corpus Christi. Cum ergo quecunque sunt eadem alicui tercio sunt eadem inter se, videtur quod omnes ille partes idem corpori Christi sunt idem inter se. Hic X. suppono quod fiat locutio de substanciali ydentitate 5 numerali: et tunc patet, quod conclusio est impossibilis. Sed videtur secundum logicam apostoli quod est quedam similitudo ad sensum mysticum vel sacramentalem; ita quod, sicud sacramentum est corpus Christi et singule eius partes, sic quicunque predestinati sunt qualia- 10 cunque membra corporis Christi mystici. Scribit enim apostolus ^{I. Cor.} 1^a Cor. X: "Unum corpus et unus panis X, ^{A 124} 17 multi sumus | omnes, qui de uno pane et uno calice A 124^a participamus"; hoc est, omnes predestinati qui participant eukaristia quoad meritum vel premium, nedum 15 sunt unum corpus natura, sed unum corpus Christi, quod est ecclesia, et ipsa est quodammodo unus panis. Ideo, ad Rom. XII. "Multi unum corpus sumus in ^{Rom.} XII, 5 Christo; singuli autem alter alterius membra." Sicut enim hostia consecrata est sacramentaliter corpus Christi, 20 et quodlibet eius membrum, sic est quodammodo sancta mater ecclesia et quodlibet eius membrum. Idem | dicit B 128^b auctor De divinis officiis, quod "in sacramento altaris, nedum est corpus Christi et concomitancia angelorum sed tota ecclesia; et sicut ipsa hostia et quelibet eius 25 particula est corpus Christi et quodlibet eius membrum, sic mistice quodlibet membrum ecclesie est quodammodo Christus Jesus, ut locuntur multi sancti; et quodlibet membrum ecclesie debet esse mistice qualemque membrum alterius; ut prelatus nedum est stomachus, 30 oculus et sic de aliis membris ecclesie, sed debet esse in consilio membrum cuiilibet alteri eius membro; quia, ut docet apostolus, debemus esse singuli alter alterius membra".

Sed tercio obiciunt carnales: si corpus Christi et 35 Y. omnia | eius membra sunt vere in hostia, tunc quantitas, A 124^b figura et continuacio illorum membrorum est consequenter in ipsa hostia. Et ad istud vellent carnales urgere me per sua mendacia, non per argumenta, ut concedam corpus Christi esse septipedale in hostia et quomodo- 40 cunque figuratur, vel qualificatur in celo secundum

2. et est CD. 11. corpori D. 16. idem corr. A; ib. naturaliter CD.
18. Ideo dicitur CD. 19. sic CD. 21. et deest AB. 22. Ideo CD.
30. debet esse BCD. 39. nec BCD.

aliquid accidens corporale. Sed sensus et racio fidei contradicunt. Conceditur igitur quod corpus Christi est non quantum, non figuratum, non corporaliter qualificatum ibi, quia est spiritualiter et non dimensionaliter ibi. Verumtamen corpus Christi non est non quantum vel non corporee qualificatum, quia tunc non esset alicubi illo modo. Corpus Christi igitur non habet in hostia aliquem modum proprie substancialis illi corpori, quia tunc posset esse ibi illo modo, cum hoc quod non 10 esset per alium locum, quod esse non potest. Conceditur tamen quod accidentaliter et secundum modum sibi accidentalem est in celo, cum sit ibi substancialiter. Et impossibile est aliquod corpus esse alicubi substancialiter, B 128^a nisi fuerit ibi accidentaliter, | sed econtra. Quia quamvis 15 sit in hostia corpus Christi modo quo uniuntur partes eius ad invicem, et modo quo anima sua actuat corpus A 124^b illud, tamen ille est modus alias, quia | modus spiritualis corporis; qui deficit sibi in celo. Unde modus substancialis est prior naturaliter quam modus unionis 20 anime cum corpore, vel parcium quantitativarum ad invicem, cum sit modus materialis quo est illa attonia. Nec latet logicos quomodo iste termini substancialiter et secundum substancialis possunt quandoque sumi cathegorice et quandoque sincathegorice et sic reducere 25 plicare immediate secundum causalem et condicionalem et alias mediate, quod logici negant de isto termino *in quantum*. Corpus itaque Christi non habet in hostia aliquod accidens formale, sed loco quantitatis habet corpus Christi ibi magnitudinem virtutis, et loco qualitatis corporalis habet ibi qualitates spirituales, et loco continuacionis membrorum suorum habet ibi gratiam continuandi per caritatem membra ecclesie ad invicem 30 et cum Christo.

Sic igitur instruendus est populus quod sacramentum The People and
35 altaris est secundum suam naturam panis et vinum, to be taught the learned are
sed secundum verbi dei miraculum est corpus Christi the same thing in different terms: that the
et sangvis. Et dicendum est scolasticis quod sacra- Eucharist,
mentum, secundum quod panis aut vinum, subiectat natural bread,
naturaliter omnia illa accidencia que sentimus; sed is Christ's
40 secundum quod corpus Christi, confert gratiam fidelibus sacramental body.
ipsa dignis. Istam autem sentenciam propono publicare

14. accidenter B. 15. sit *deest* B. 19. natura BCD. 21. attoma C.
27. inquam BCD. 41. dignius B.

Conclusion: a challenge to the sign-worshipers. This doctrine will be published, let them set forth God multiply the number of the faithful. in populo. Et cultores signorum iuxponant suam sentenciam, quod sacramentum ipsum sit aggregacio accidencium sine substancia subiecta: Deus autem qui donavit hoc donum noticie, et | odit mendacia, multi-B^{128d} plicabit secundum dignitatem capacium fidei veritatem.⁵ Certum, inquam, est, quod multiplicata apostasia generacionis signa querencium multiplicanda est errorum varietas in hoc venerabili sacramento.

Explicit Tractatus de Apostasia Magistri Johannis Wiclef doctoris ewangelici.

10

9. Explicit tractatus de apostasia per reverendum doctorem J. W. cuius anima per misericordiam altissimi requiescat in pace C; Explicit tractatus de apostasia per reverendum doctorem J. W. cuius anima per misericordiam altissimi D; per reverendum doctorem J. W. cuius anima per misericordiam altissimi requiescat in pace. Amen B. 10. Respic finem 151⁷ A *alia manu.*

INDEX.¹

Abbot Daniel, anecdote by him about a miracle, 246, 247.
Abraham, 70, 76.
Absolute accidents (*accidentia per se*) cannot be consecrated, 72; the theory that imagines them in the Eucharist is not founded on Scripture, 59; dishonours Christ, 80; is absurd and heretical, 81; would destroy the world, 98; would produce an impossible vacuum, 99, 143; is upheld by the idea of God's power, 101; supposes that He could make the same man living in England and dead in India *ib.*, or make several bodies exist in the same place at the same time, 102; should be put down, 106; is superfluous in any case, 138, 139; Accidents cannot be conceived as absolute, even when essential to the substance, 152.
Absolution always given by God to the contrite 35.
Abstractions concreted, a gross fallacy, 172, 173.
Absurdity of supposing quantity to be the Eucharist, 156.
Accident, *an.* its definition, 56.
Adam's fall, 14, 70; believed to have taken place on the sixth day of the week after a few hours in Eden, 76 and *note*.
Ages of the world, 70.
Agnus Dei, by whom inserted in the Mass, 249.
Albert the Great, 195.
Alleluia, by whom first ordered to be sung of Mass, 249.
Ambrose, St., said to have been present at St. Martin's funeral without leaving Milan, 102; impossible to suppose biloction in this case, III, 112; quoted, 50, 53, 64, 69, 160, 180, 181, 209, 212, 228, 232.
Analogy, a strong method of reasoning, 49.
Anselm quoted, 125, 196.
Annihilation impossible, 65; would follow from absolute accidents, 137, 145.
Antichrist's blasphemy concerning private religions, 12; he calls the customs of the Church, its hymns and Scripture itself heretical, 46, 47; puts division everywhere, even between accident and subject, 47; is greatly elevated, 55; his fallacy respecting the Eucharist, 149.
Apocalypse, *the*, can be understood in different ways, 78.

Apostasy, constantly co-exists with blasphemy and simony, 1; every mortal sin always renders guilty of it, 1, 19; its etymology and description in Scripture, 1; it can be known by its contrary, i.e., religion, 2; it was not incurred by St. Paul or Nicodemus for leaving the Pharisees, 3; nor is it incurred by putting off the monastic habit, which act it were therefore wroog to punish, 6; A., falsely so called, when a man leaves the Sects to follow Christ's religion, 8; A., of pride, by which the Pope magnifies himself, 8; a threefold A.; perfidy, disobedience, irregularity, for which however imprisonment is illegal, 9; hidden A. in the religious Orders, 19; a specially grievous sin for them, *ib.*; its seven characteristics, 20; A. of *omission*, worse than Judas' sin, 21; is inexcusable, 22; great examples against it, *ib.*; worthless justifications: that there remains no more to be done, *ib.*; that Bishops should not be attacked; that to act would be perilous, 22, 23; A. of *commission*, 24; *lying* flattery, backbiting, 24, 25, very common amongst friars; A. of *perverse intentions*, which it is almost impossible for a Friar to escape, 28; A. of *inordinate affection* for temporal things; in begging, 31; in using, 32, and in retaining them, 33; A. of *perverse action* as to absolutions, indulgences, 35, and letters of fraternity, 36–38; A. of *intrigues*, 39; A. of *sowing discord*, 43. Whoso has done these things, has committed A., 44; a threefold remedy for this evil, 45. *Silence*, A. of, a cause of many evils, 67; not to protest against evil is A., 91; to deny that the Host is bread is to commit A. *ib.*; A. has been committed by the Nominalists, 136; is almost universal, 244.
Apotheosis, Pagan, copied by the partisans of absolute accidents, 161.
Aquinas, St. Thomas, quoted, 78, 94, 124, 125, 151, 168, 189.
Aristotle quoted, 55, 56, 119, 121, 124, 177.
Arnulfus, 40.
Ass, *an.* could be a monk if habited as one; absurd consequence, 5; comparison of infidel with an A., 74.
Attorney, *an.* 21.

¹ According as *subject matter* or *words* are taken as guides, an index approaches on one hand to a mere summary, on the other, to a concordance. But there are already two summaries, one in the Introduction, and the other in the side-notes; and to write a complete concordance would be useless and impossible. I have endeavoured therefore, to restrain the scope of this Index chiefly to what is most interesting and strikes most. Quotations from the Fathers being very numerous, I have taken especial pains with them; not however always repeating the reference, if the same quotation occurs several times.

- Augustine, St., Father of the Church, quoted, 1, 7, 13, 30, 49, 50, 51, 55, 57, 64, 66, 71, 76, 82, 85, 86, 89, 109, 133, 135, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 148, 177, 181, 182, 189, 213, 220, 228, 229, 231, 237.
- Augustine, St., of England, was perhaps the author of a spurious work ascribed to St. Augustine of Hippo, 83.
- Aurelius, 83.
- Averrhoes, mentioned, 84; quoted, 117, 153, 157.
- Avicenna, his doctrine of the cognition of pure Being, 110, and *note*.
- Azymitus, 93, *note*.
- Bacon, Robert, a learned Dominican, 175, *note*.
- Backbiting, the sin of Lucifer, shows want of charity or of prudence, 27.
- Baptism, compared with Eucharist, 211.
- Baptist, John the; rightly inconsistent, 214 and *note*.
- Bartholemew, St., bowed the knee a hundred times a day, 14.
- Beating with the foot, its mystic sense, 31.
- Bede, if against Wyclif in the Eucharistic question, would be against Scripture, the Fathers and himself, 207.
- Begging of the Friars, the, excessive, needless, irreligious, 31, 32.
- Benedict, St., 14, 40, 41.
- Berengarius, his condemnation, to which Wyclif agrees, 68, 79, 108.
- Bernard, St., quoted, 3, 120, 196.
- Bernard the glossator, 5, 9.
- Bilocation, scholastic theory of, 100; a miracle of, 102; impossible, 214.
- Bishoprics, sought after by Friars, 11, 61.
- Bishop's state, a, more perfect than that of a 'Religious', 11; remains perfect, even though he himself be imperfect, 13; a B. not obliged to follow the observances of his sect 11. The Bishops rob the English Churches, 88.
- Blasphemy always accompanies apostasy and simony, 1; no mortal sin without it, *ib.*; it is B. for any man to claim that obedience which is due to God, 17; or to say that worldly prelates ought not to be rebuked, 22, 23; or to endeavour to render the Church worldly, 91. B. of the Man of Sin concerning the Eucharist, 46, 48, 65.
- Bohemian language, a note in the, on the Prague MS., 179.
- Bonaventure, St., mentioned, 195.
- Boys and others, enticed into the Orders by gifts, 28, 29; wise answer of one of them, *ib.*
- Blood called the life in Scripture, 51.
- Bread and wine are to the body what Christ is to the soul, 65; they are changed into Christ's Body, their substances remaining, 170.
- Brewer's *Monumenta Franciscana* quoted, 41, *note*.
- Buffoon and king; comparison to illustrate the Eucharistic theory, 53.
- Caiphas, 70.
- Calf, the molten, image of Church temporalities, was not a real calf but a figure, 105.
- Candace, Queen; her eunuch was a religious man, 2.
- Case, a; four friars trying to persuade a man to belong to their several orders, 30.
- Celestinus, St., ordered the Psalter to be chanted before Mass, 24^v.
- Change of monastic habit, the; forbidden, 4.
- Charcoal, ignited, illustrates the Eucharist, 52, 243.
- Christ foolishly said to have been a Pharisee, 3; His Rule of life professed by all Christians, 10; He alone is our example, 13; He gave no commands as to what we should wear, &c.; or if so, only for a time, *ib.* He would not have Christians subject to Him, if absolute accidents were admissible, 59; how present in the Host, 103; really, but yet not formally nor essentially, 110; multiplied there, not in substance, but in figure, 116; His life therein, not animal but spiritual, 117; is His Body seen in the Host by the bodily eye? contradictions of adversaries, *ib.*; C. not so really present in the Host as in Heaven, 185; if He were, the Host would be animated, 186; C. has three modes of being in the Host, 219; His Body is there, but not *qua* His Body, 221.
- Chrysostom, St., the *Opus imperfectum* falsely ascribed to him, 21 and *note*.
- Civil lordship always savours of sin, 30, errors concerning it, 175.
- Collective entities are no entities, 96.
- Confessors of kings should keep them from heresy, 61.
- Conjugal obedience, 17.
- Contrary positions as to the Eucharist, 222.
- Cook, a, must know what he gives to eat; much more a priest, 58.
- Cornelius the centurion, a religious man, 2.
- Corruption in the Church, 22.
- Crusade in Flanders, the; mentioned, 177.
- Crucifix and Host; difference of Christ's presence in each, 222, 223.
- Crystalline spheres, 71 *note*.
- Curates must know what the Host is in itself, 58.
- Curiosity of Herod, the, not satisfied by Christ, 75.
- Cyprian quoted, 245.
- Damasus, St., inserted the *Credo* in the Mass, 249.
- Danger of those who quit the Orders, 8, 9.
- Dangerous to approve sin, even in good men, 14.
- Dangers of indulgences both to prelates, friars, and laymen, 35.
- David, 76.
- Decretals quoted, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 13, 16, 21, 33, 51, 53, 54, 57, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 75, 79, 82, 85, 108, 109, 126, 163, 167, 198, 213, 215, 216, 219, 228, 229, 231, 236, 251.
- De Divinis Officiis quoted 73, 74, 75, 80, 103, 106, 107, 123; its authorship, 73, 248, *notes*.
- De Incarnatione mentioned, 183.
- De Simonia quoted, 90, *note*.
- Dialogue, an imaginary, between priest and layman, 57.
- Difference between types and figures, 52.
- Dispenses, etymology of the word, 8; ought not to be given by the Pope nor tolerated by the king, 9.
- Docking, Thomas, mentioned, 195, and *note*.
- Doctrines of devils, 26.
- Dominic, St., 14.
- Dove, the, of Noah's ark and of Christ's baptism 85, 86; was a sign of the Holy Ghost, and yet a real dove, 233.
- Duty of Gods would follow from the accident-theory, 144.
- Duty of secular powers to maintain the clergy, 39, 91.
- Dionysius quoted, 63, 69, 216.
- Education of forms, 170.
- Egidius, 120 and *note*.
- Endowment of the Church, a cause of great evils, 44.

- English Works of Wyclif, referred to 2, 19, 36, *notes*.
 Erasmus, 129 *note*.
 Essence, the, of an accident is to inhere formally in its subject, 111.
 Essenes, 2.
 Eucharist, the; is called bread in Scripture, 47; if not thus understood, the authority of Holy Writ is destroyed, 48, 49; called so by the early Fathers, *ib.*; is not a type, but Christ's very Body, 52; figuratively, 55; bread in its nature, it is not so in our mind's thought, 63, 64, 65, 79, 83, 84; a two-fold heresy about it, 107; that it must be received fasting is no argument against this doctrine, 123. Three conclusions about the Eucharist, to be defended unto death by all Catholics, 127; three opinions relative to it that have obtained since Christ, 130; it is a fantastical appearance, according to Guilmundus, 175; is called by the Church a terrestrial substance, 178.
 Eusebius, 214, 216.
 Evidence, three sorts of, 217–219.
 Existence of Time, the Universal, and the Sensible, the, is only in the mind that thinks them, 62, 63.
 Fallacy, the, of abstract, confounded with concrete predication, 143.
 False prophets, 10.
 Fathers, the, of the first millenary, the only true guides, 66, 70, 80.
 First monks, the, lived solitary, 40.
 Five sorts of words spoken by the man of God, 25, 26.
 Flattery engenders sons of the devil, 25.
 Flint changed into glass, 82, 83, 170.
 Fishacre of Devonshire, 195 and *note*.
 Foot of pride, the, signifies the beginning of pride, 20.
 Form, different meanings of the word, 84, *note*.
 Formaliter, senses of the word, 133, *note*.
 Francis, St., 14.
 Friars could not lawfully become Bishops, were the latter state not more perfect, 12; are not consistent with their own principles, 23; prefer the favour of men and the prosperity of their Order to God's law, 24; have ships, jewels, rich houses, fine churches, and are thus apostates, 32; are wanting in charity amongst themselves, 33; yet if they give hospitality to minstrels, they should be hospitable to their own brethren, 33, 34; and should this hospitality destroy the distinction of Orders, it would be well, *ib.*; their sophistical arguments in favour of simony, 39; F. compared at length to wild geese, 43; they stir up princes to war, and sow discord in the Church, 44; the good they do is more than counterbalanced by the harm, *ib.*; they never oppose the accident theory, 64.
 Fulgentius, author of *De fide ad Petrum*, 129, *note*; quoted as Augustine, 129, 232.
 Gandalphus, of no value as a witness to the Eucharistic doctrine, 194.
 Gandalphus, 83.
 Gelasius, St., his work at the Ritual of the Mass, 249.
 Gift, the, of the Friars' goods to the Pope, 243.
 Gilbert de la Porrière, 120 and *note*.
 Gloria in excelsis, the, by whom inserted in the Mass, 249.
 Gloss, the, explains Augustine wrongly, 9; should not be heeded, 53, 54, 57; quoted, 87; it destroys the value of authority by wrong explanations, 190; but this can be done on the other side too, 191.
 Goethals, Henry, 75 and *note*.
 God does not delude men by false appearances, 85; G. the primordial Form, 135.
 Gog and Magog; etymology of names, 77; they think that worldly power increases holiness, *ib.*; their sophistry, 105; their power, 106.
 Good men, living in the Sects as roses amongst thorns, probably see their errors before they die, 15.
 Gospel, the, can be explained away in all things, if in one, 50.
 Greeks, their faith as to the Holy Eucharist, 89. Gregory, St., quoted, 14 and *note*, 21, 82, 215; was the author of an *Antiphonarium* and ordered the *Kyrie eleison* to be sung at Mass, 248.
 Grosseteste, Robert, bishop of Lincoln, quoted, 26; his opinion on the Eucharist examined, 62–64, 120, 135, 181, 193.
 Guilmundus, quoted, 126, 128.
 Habit, the, of a worldly monk, compared to a shroud covering a corpse, 27.
 Henry of Ghent (Goethals), 75.
 Heretics concerning the Eucharistic question should have no aims till they set down their belief in writing, 150.
 Higden's (*Cestrensis*) *Polychronicon* quoted, 10, 43, 235.
 Hildegard, St., 19 and *note*.
 Historia Scholastica, quoted, 2.
 Honorius III authorised the Friars, 11.
 Hugo of St. Victor, quoted, 8, 62, 225, 226.
 Hypostatic union; real presence of Christ in the Eucharist comes very near to that union, 224.
 Idiots know more about the Host than the priests do, 68.
 Ignatius, St., quoted, 216, 227, 245.
 Ignorance of the clergy as to what the Sacrament is, 57; of the Friars, 60.
 Impanation impossible, 83, 209.
 Imprisonment for breaking vows, unlawful, 9, 238.
 Indulgences given by God to every repentant sinner, without the Pope's intervention, 35.
 Influence and perverse meddlesomeness of the Friars, 41.
 Injustice in the repartition of endowments, 88.
 Innocent I, St.; his work at the Ritual, 249.
 Innocent III, opposed the first beginnings of the Friars, 10; his decision about the Eucharist, 65; can be understood in a Wycliffian sense, *ib.* and 104, 192, 199, 200; his levying of tribute on England, 66, 204; his decision concerning the Trinity, 68, 69; I. not to be believed unless following Scripture, 65, 200; answer to arguments on Papal authority, 201, 202. Said by Wyclif to have asserted that the matter of bread and wine remains after consecration, 134, 135, 234 and *note*; was wrong to meddle with the question, 172.
 Insoluble difficulties arise in three ways, 34; application of this doctrine to the Friars, 34, 35.
 Intensity, the, of quality in the Eucharist would be infinite, if quality could stand alone, 167.
 Intrigues among the Friars, 39.
 Isaac, Christ offered up with, 160.
 Isidorus, St., quoted, 169, 211.
 Jerome, St., quoted, 50, 80, 171, 212, 213, 228, 229.

- Jerusalem, primitive church in, 3, 239.
Iesu, nostra redemptio, Church hymn, 104.
 Jewish priesthood, the, no image of ours, 203.
 Joachim, Abbot, 69 and *note*.
 John Baptist, St., rightly inconsistent, 214 and *note*.
 John Damascenus, St., quoted, 51; remarks on, 52, explained, 65, 71; is of Wyclif's opinion as to the Eucharist, 208.
 Kings are the losers, when their subjects are persecuted, 9.
 Kyrie eleison, by whom inserted in the Mass, 248.
 Lanfranc, 194.
 Lateran Council, the, 68.
 Leech's daughters, the, 60.
 Leo, St., his work at the Ritual of the Mass, 249.
 Letters of fraternity, compared with phylacteries; are simoniacal practices, 36; imply blasphemy, in ascribing merit, and transferable merit, to what has none, 37; answers to objections, 37-39.
 Limitation, the, of omnipotence, and the ascribing of self-contradiction to God would be both heretical, 102.
 Loaves and fishes, miracle of the, 57.
 Logic of Scripture, the, 86.
 Logical puzzle, a, 59.
 Lord's Prayer, the, 90; is not understood by the priests, *ib.*
 Loss of parish priests by Friars, 36.
 Lot's wife changed into a pillar of salt, 82, 83, 170; his daughters, like Wyclif's adversaries, thought that they were alone in the world, 175.
 Mahomet, 55, 67.
 Manicheus, his Evil Principle could alone have created absolute accidents, 133.
 Mary, the Blessed Virgin, 80; useless questions raised about her, 221.
 Mass, the, of what it at first consisted, 235; at what hour celebrated, 236; the rites super-added were a great sin, *ib.* Popes went too far, 237, in adding to the Mass these new rites, 248, 250, bad only as an innovation, but good in themselves, 250. Externals are here too much magnified, 251.
 Mice know the nature of the Eucharist as well as men, 58.
 Millenary, second, of the Church's age; Satan loosed, 46, 66, 76, 78.
 Mistake, a, of Wyclif, 87. *note*.
 Monks, worse than Gentiles, 241.
 Moses, 70.
 Movement is not movable, 105.
 Multiplication, the, of Christ's Body, c. VIII, *passim*; can be understood in three ways, 92; absurdities that follow from dimensional multiplication, 93-102; not even virtual M. is admissible, but figurative only, 109.
 Mystery, a, how bread can become Christ's Body, 118.
 Nicodemus was a Pharisee, 3.
 Nicolas II, his condemnation of Berengarius, 68, 203, 228.
 Noah, 70, 76.
 Nominalists think that the habit, the sign of religion, is religion itself, 4; have invented the accident-theory, 65; ps. LXXXIII expounded against them, 155; their theory of Universals, 186; applied to Eucharist, 187; refuted, 187, 188; a challenge thrown out to them, 254.
 Noonday devil, a, 27, 29.
- Numerical and real identity, difference between, 115.
 Obedience, vows of, ought not to be perpetual, 16; but O. to God is meritorious, 10, 17. O. of God to His creatures, 17. O. to man, following from a compact, is right only when agreeable to Him; quite without merit, when paid to a bad superior, *ib.* We cannot promise to obey a man in any case, 18; although such obedience may sometimes produce good results, 19. O. to the Pope: how far it extends, 171.
 Objections, three, to the Eucharist; solved only by Wyclif's system, 251-253.
 Occam, named, 195.
 Orders, how distinguished, 3: not by any outward rite, 6; it would be better if none existed, 13: they are sinful and useless, 15, 215, notwithstanding many good members, 15, 240; they prefer their own rules to God's commandments, 16.
 Oxford, a doctor of, publicly defended lying, 67.
 Partisan's, the, of absolute accidents eat only the sign of Christ's Body, and are thus without charity, 166.
 Paschal lamb, the, might have been Christ's Body, 98.
 Paschasius, 194.
 Paul, St., said to have been a Pharisee, 3; resisted Peter, 17; a witness on Wyclif's side, 216.
 Peter Lombard, 62, 69, 193.
 Peter's, St., bark less cared for than the Sects, 214. His prophecy concerning modern times, 48.
 Pharaoh's magicians, 41.
 Pharisees, 2, 23, 26.
 Philosophers have nothing to do with matters of faith, 56.
 Place, Aristotle's definition of, 177.
 Pope, the, not apostolic, but apostate, if he should depart from the faith, 1; his dispensation required, before an apostate can exercise sacred functions, 4; can dispense with any external rite, but not with things essential, 5; his dispensation not necessary when a monk wants to change his habit, 7. His approval of the Sects may be explained as temporary, 15. Cannot be Christ's Vicar, if against Christ, 49; requires a rule of faith, which is Scripture, 55; his decrees to be obeyed in so far only as conformable to that rule, 65, 68. He must teach the truth without pomp; the antiquity of his see proves nothing in his favour, 70.
 Porphyry, named, 55; quoted, 56, 119.
 Possibility of a soul being in many places at once, 112.
 Priest, the, and Christ cooperate to produce the Eucharist, 185.
 Prester John, 169.
 Priest's bad, ruin of the people, 22; rebuked by Christ, 23. Oratory priests, paid for their corporal labour, 38.
 Problem, a, of beings with human souls and the absolute accidents of human bodies; absurd results, 96.
 Property always savours of sin, 30.
 Prophecy, a, against the Sects, 241.
 Proposition, a, should, true or not, be rejected in three cases, 114.
 Proselytes ought not to be made by Friars; why, 29.

- Qualities cannot be more perfect than substances, and therefore cannot exist separately, 141. Quality cannot be the Eucharist, 151; is not a vestige of the substantial form, 165; must have a subject, 166.
- Quantity is the subject of quality, 59; follows primal matter, 84; cannot be the Eucharist, 151; is not active by itself, 152; if an absolute accident, would result in the Sacrament being imponderable, 161; invisible, 162; and inactive, 163.
- Raban Maur, his testimony as to the Eucharist examined, 207.
- Rat, the, a melancholy animal; humorous comparison with the madness of Wyclif's opponents, 205 and *note*.
- Raven, the, leaving the Ark, 41.
- Raymund de Pegnafort, general of the Dominicans, 230 and *note*.
- Religion, two sorts of, 2; does not depend on Peter, *ib.*, external rites and observances improperly called by R.; divided into simply private and accidentally so; many great men in private religions, 3. True R. is in the soul, 4, independent of the habit, 5, and indifferent thereto, 6; is known by the habit only as a sign, *ib.*; private R. not more perfect than ordinary Christianity, 9. Christianity, more simple, necessary, and authorized, 10; private R. more complex, needy, and difficult, 11; abandoned by the best Friars for ordinary Christianity, *ib.*; is to the latter like rubbish round a house, 13; is the veil of Gog and Magog, 77.
- Richard Fitz-Ralph (Ardmacanuus) 36 and *note*; 75; his treatise *De Pauperie Salvatoris* quoted, 144.
- Robert of Geneva, antipope, 202.
- Rod, Aaron's, changed into a serpent, 170.
- Sacerdotal obedience, 17.
- Sadduces, the, 2.
- Saints, in the Orders, no argument in their favour, 13; S. who founded them, may have committed a sin thereby, 240.
- Samaritans, 58.
- Satrap, 8, 67.
- Scripture, is the only rule of faith, 44; must be understood as it stands, *ib.*; means everything either literally or supereminent, 105.
- Sectarian spirit, a, the root of all evil, 39.
- Sects, introduced as foretold by St. Peter, 49; divisions of, concerning the Eucharist, 151; should not have been founded, 241.
- Secular powers ought to interfere in the Eucharistic question, 243.
- Septipedale, 100, *note*.
- Sergius, 67.
- Sermons, Wyclif's, 169, *note*.
- Serpent of brass, the; its mystic signification, 104.
- Signs, taken for the things signified, 103.
- Signification, the, of all words should be taken according to their use in Scripture, 60.
- Simony, inseparable from apostasy and blasphemy, does not signify the same, 1; every mortal sin implies S.; Simony amongst relifi-
- gious men, c. II, title; it is S., when the apostolic dignity is sold for lucre, 20; simoniacal heretics, who they are, 60; a very frequent vice, 67.
- Sin is always a lie, 25; is more grievous, when committed by a body of men, 40.
- Slothful servant, the, 21.
- Sophism to prove that the same Host is in England and in France, 206.
- Sor, its meaning, 34 *note*.
- Sorcery, charge of, against Friars, 41 *note*.
- Soul, a man's; can be sold to the devil, 38; its trinity, 218.
- Sowing of discord, the, 43.
- Speaking with the finger, its mystic meaning, 35.
- Species, a word sometimes used for forma, 85. 'Spirits' signifies the clergy, sometimes spirits of error, 25.
- Spurious passage, a, ascribed to St. Augustine, 83.
- Subject, definition and threefold division of, 56.
- Subj. of predication and mutation, 60.
- Substance, nothing contrary to, 30; S. of bread does not vanish at the words of consecration, 179; the Eucharist called a terrestrial S. 175.
- Supernatural passage, the, from sin to righteousness, a sort of transubstantiation, 170.
- Sylvester, St.; sinned in accepting an endowment for the Church, 14.
- Symmachus, Pope, inserted the *Gloria in Excelsis* in the Mass, 249.
- Temporal lords ought to have all things in common, 91.
- Temporalities unnecessary to the Pope, 244.
- Tendency, all has its own, 140.
- Thersites, 195.
- Theory of bread becoming an accident, 78; evidently absurd, 79, 80.
- Three angels represented the Trinity to Abraham, 103; T. degrees of figurative entity, 116; T. periods of degeneracy in religious life, 41.
- Tortoise, Christ's Body, in Wyclif's theory, less perfect than a, 235.
- Transcendental adverbs are equivocal, 213.
- Truth, three sorts of, 113.
- Two lives in man, animal and spiritual; animal life absent from the Eucharist; why, 74, 75.
- Universals, five in member, 56.
- Urban VI, 202.
- Urso, 134, 210.
- Vacuum, a, impossible; would follow from the accident-theory, 143.
- Verses by Augustine, against backbiting, 28; against Friars (proverb), 42; V. of Church hymns, 46, 47, 87.
- Vice infinitely bad, 142.
- Virtue worth nothing apart from the virtuous subject, 142.
- Winking with the eye, its mystic signification, 28.
- Witnesses brought against Wyclif; their value discussed, ch. XV and XVI.
- World, can it be called Christ, Christ being present? 72, 73, 110.
- Wizards, their practices, 122.

DATE DUE

BOSTON COLLEGE

3 9031 01415637 6

374357

BR
75
.W8
v.9

Wycliffe.

Bapst Library
Boston College
Chestnut Hill, Mass. 02167

