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## THE MORLD'S APPLE KAPKET

## With speciai reference tc

the commercial apole orop produced in important regione throughout the worla, the varieties of apples grown by and quantity exported from the United states, and the important markets for American grown eoples, Gomestic and Ioreign.
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In making this stuay the writer has endeavored to ascertain in which countries and regions the apple is being grown, both commercially and for home use, and, as far as possible, trace the development of the apple industry in those regions from the early plantings to the present date.

Very definite limitations were encountered in attempting to correlate the data on yields with prices, or the influence of prices upon apple plantings throughcut the United States. That some relation exists there is little doubt, however, in most cases the data does not furnish conclusive eviaence to justify positive statements concerning the relation of one factor to another. On the other hand, in comparing the receipts Of apples on the New York City and Boston markets with prices for a period of years it was found that a reasonably accurate correlation prevailed.

Commercial apple plantings increased very rapidy in regions having special climatic conditions favorable to fruit growing, in fact almost simultaneously, with the improvement in transportation facilities between these regions and the large centers of population.

Control of moisture conditions through irrigation
made possible by the building of large reclamation projects in the west and northwest largely accounted for the large plantings of apples for commercial purposes in these regions during a period which had been preceded by fifteen years of relatively low prices for apoles.

With the exception of the more recent commeroial plantings very little attention has been given to specialization in the production of a few varieties primarily adapted to our large domestic and foreign markets. Regicns with such specialization are marketing their fruit at a great advantage when comparea with those having many varieties most of which are poorly aapoted to the market and shipping requirements.

Much progress has been made by the fruit growers during the past decade in marketing their apples. Through united efforts in crganized cooperative associations more efficient grading, packing, warehousine, advertising, and marketing have been made possible as well as more intelligent and economical production. The marketing channels which have been numerous, and frequently costly, are being recuced in number with those remaining, gradually becoming more eficicient ractors in our distribution process.

Commercial apple growing has become a highly developed and highly specialized industry. During the last tow decades it has made the greatest striges in regions where it has been recognized as such.

Co-operative grading, packing, warehousing, and marketing have enabled the apple grower to sell his product on the WO rla's best fruit markets in competition with citrus and other firuits.

The comercial apple crop in the United States represents 40 to 60 per cent of the total crop, the average for the past six years being 47 per cent. In 1821 it was 62 fer cent of the entire crop rellecting the importance of the commercial orcharas in the Pacific Northwest.

Comercial apple growing in the Northwest reached a high degree of development on newly irrigated land because of the high acre value of the product or the lack of competition of equally valuable crope.

In the apple growing regions of the Northwest there is a defintte correlation between comercial orchard plantings and the builaing of irrigation projects.

The most important comaercial plantings in the United States were made during the folloming years:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1860-1875 } & \text { New York. } \\
1885-1895 & \text { Pennsylvania and Virginia. } \\
1890-1900 & \text { Ozarize and Prairie States. } \\
1900-1910 & \text { Western and Northrestern States. }
\end{array}
$$

Very fen important plantingg have been made since 1910.

Until new plantings are made it is haraly expected that the oommeroinl crop will exceed the recora crop of 1920, totaline 36,272,000 barrels.

For the 5 -year period, 2916 to $19: 20$, 60 per cent of the commeroial orop was marketed in barrels, and 31 per cent in boxes.

The most important comercial apple groming regions today in order of importance are as follows:

1. The Pacific Northwest
2. Western New York
3. Shenandoah--Cuxiberland (penna., Maryland, Virginia)
4. California

The Dominion of Caneda is the second important commercial apple producing country in the worla. Australia, Tasmania and New Zealand are thira in importance with a crop haif as large as that produced in Canada.

An important factor in the Australian industry is the fact that their harvesting and marketing seasons correspond with our winter and spring, thus proviaing an active market for their surplus fruit in the United States and Europe.

While agules are an important product in America and Europe they are a relatively unimportant fruit in Asia and Arrica.

During the 5-year period, 1914-1018, the apple ranked eighth in importance when comparea with the value of other farm orops produced in the United States. The apple is our leading fruit crop.

More than one-fourth of our total proauction consists
of two varieties, Balumin ana Ben Davia. The inirst twelve Varieties provide over 80 per cent of our total apole orop.

In establishing a comeroial orchard a few varieties Well atarted to the region and market requirements should be planted in preference to many varieties as too frequently has been the case in the past.

During the 1918-1930 shipping season, 77 per cent of the fruit shigped from the Pacific Northwest consisted of six varieties, and of these six, two varieties furnished half the shipments.

Exports, when neasured by the averese for 5-year periods from 185\% to 28a1, have increased frcm 37,000 to 1,800,000 barrels annually. The largest exports of ripe arples from the United States ocouried during the 19121916 period. For the last five years, 1917-19z1, the annual exports were only 77 per cent of those for the previoue period. There was only one year, 1915, when the total exports exceeded 2,000,000 barrels.

The value of ripe and green apples exported auring the three years, 1918, 1920, 1921, exceesed that for the fourteen years, 1896 to 1909, and for the entire 7-year period, 1913 to 1918. The total value of exporta for the past three years has slightly exceeded $443,500,000$, an average of more than $14,000,000$ per year.

When incluaing the exports of aried apples the total value of apple exports reached the high mark of ${ }^{\boldsymbol{W} 18,581,110}$ in the year 1910. Two years later, in 1921, the total value of exports of ripe and aried apples was $46,188,708$.

For the years 1919, 1920 and 1821, the exports of ap-
*
ples represented 6.5, 4.9, and 9.5 per cent, respectively, of the comercial apple crop for those years. The simple average for the three years is 7 per cent. The average annual exports for the six year period, 1916 to 1921, were 5.6 per cent of the commercial crop.

The United States is the greatest fruit producing as well as the greatest fruit consuming country in the worla. Apples represent fifteen per cent of the total value of fruit exporta.

Statistics for a period of years show that more than two-thirds of our apple exports (in value) are to the United Kingdom (England, Ireland, Scotland and Wales). The Scandinavian countries are becomins more important markets as ilIustrated by the increase in exports since 1910. With an inporement in economic conditions Germany should again become an important market for American apples.

The average annual shipments of apples in the Unitea States for the years 1916 to 1930 were approximateiy 75,000 cars. It is a big undertaking to move this volume of a product to market without waste or loss.

In the Pacific Northwest alone where in 1919 sixty per cent of the fruit was shipped during October and November, it required an average of 415 cars at the eidings per day for each shipping day in those two roonths to move the orop to market.

From 1915 to 1920 prices of apples practically tregled While the purchasing power remained almost constant at 74 to 76 until 1919 when it rose to 90 followed by a fall to 85 in 19玉0. The secular trend of purchasing power of apples
from 1910 to 1920 was downward falling considerably below that for 31 farm products.

During the first four years of the period, 1910 to 1920, a box of oranges had a slight advantage over a bushel of aptes in purchasing power. For the remainer of the period, however, organes fell much below apples in purchasing nower when compared on the same base, 1909-1914.

Better warehousing facilities near the large producing sections would prove an important factor in stabilizing prices during the heavy crop moving months.

In marketing apples there are three to six channels through which the fruit passes before it reaches the ultimate consumer. Each of these renders a service and makes a charge for same. Time and place services are legitimate marketing costs and deserve a fair compensation.

Gross profits of retailers vary frora 75 to 250 per cent. Lowering this margin taken by retailers by a reduction in price would increase the consumption of apples and, through the increased volume of business, return equally gooc profits.

Attempts to increase the use of apples in the diet should be directed toward the weak link in the marketing proceas, namely, the one connecting the retailer and the consumer.

## History of Commercial Apple Growing

The apple industry in the United States has responded to rather definite oycles. Periods of heavy planting and high prices have alternated with periods of less extensive plantings and low prices. Commercial apple growing dates back to about 1850. It was about this time that two brothers, A. J. Downing and Charles Downing, were devoting much of their time to the development of the apple industry in the Euason Valley. The former in 1845 published one of the first treatises on apples entitled "Fruits and Fruit Trees of America" which served as a reliable guide on apple growing for many years.

Another early leader in the apple industry was Patrick Barry, part owner of the Mt. Hope Nurseries at Rochester, New York, founded in 1840. Mr. Barry Was a pioneer in the commercial development in Western New York, a region which long has held first clace in the commercial apple inaustry in the United States.

Another region which has held an interesting as well as an important place in the history of apple growing is the Piedmont or Albemarle Pippin Region at the base of the Blue Ridge Mountains in Virginia. It was the Albemarle Pippins which Arthur Stevenson

American Minister to England, sent to the late Queen Victoria, that so pleased her that she caused the import tax on apples to be removed. This marked the beginning of our export trade to England, which was in the future to play so important a part in furnishing a market for our surplus apples.

It was during the eighties that the commercial plantings in the Missouri River and Ozark Regions were made, continuing through the nineties. This region for many years had the largest number of trees in the United States. The late spring frosts occuring during the period 1900-1910 caused a very heavy loss of trees in this region materially affecting its importance as a commercial apple section. Included in this section are the Loess Apple Belt along the Missouri River in northwestern Missouri, southwestern Iowa, southeastern Nebraska, and northeastern Kansas, and the Ozark region in southern Missouri and northwestern Arkansas. The Ben Davis apple grows to perfection in the Ozark Mountains and until within recent years was produced almost exclusively. In recent years the Winesap and Jonathan varieties have become important in the new plantings.

The first section in the far west to take up apple growing on a commercial scale was the Pajaro Valley in California. Several commeroial orohards were set out in 1858 by Isaac Williams and Judge R. F. Peck man. Mr. Williams offered his first fruit on the San Francisco market in 1867. From this date the demand
for apples of good quality increased and numerous plantings were made. The period from 1880 to 1900 witnessed a rapid development of the apple industry in California at a time when the famous Wenatchee and Yakima Valleys in Washington were in their infancy. The Pajaro Valley differs from most other regions in the northwest in its climatic conaitions. The rainfall here is sufficient to grow large yields without resorting to irrigation. Even today California is the second state in importance among the western states. The Yellow Newtown and Yellow Bellflower are the leading varieties, the former entering largely into the export trade, and the latter offered on the home markets.

Standardization in package, methods of handing, and cooperation in marketing their product, have not in California made as rapid progress/as in the northwest. A large percentage of the growers sell the fruit on the trees for a lump sum to Slavonian packers, who care for the orchard, do the spraying, thinning, and finally the harvesting of the crops. By thus assuming the growers risk these small packers quite naturally require a safe margin in making their contracts. This system cannot give the same return to the owner as would accrue if he performed these operations and combined with other growers in packing and marketing the fruit co-operatively.
ington, now the leading state in this industry, dates back to 1877 when the first orchard was planted in the Yakima Valley by an Indian named Klickitat Peter. This region has a very small rainfall and must resort to irrigation. In 1888 and 1889 the first irrigation companles were organized followed by a rapid development of the apple industry. Six years later, in 1894, the total shipments from the Yakima Valley did not exceed twentyfive carloads. With the development of the irrigation projects came very extensive plantings of apple trees, especially during the years 1900 to 1908.

The Wenatchee Valley, lying north of Yakima and separated from it by mountain ranges, started its development in 1896 when the Gunn ditch was built to provide rater for 600 aores of land. The development in this valley was pehnomenal up to 1913 when it possessed a total of 20,000 acres of irrigated land most of which was plantea to fruit. This valley is today the leading and most intensive apple growing region in the world. The total acreage in North Central Washington, including the Wenatchee Valley and the upper Columbia River Region, is approximately 40,000 acres. For the shipFing season of 1919-1920 the shipments reached 12,300 cars of apples. Land values are very high, averaging nearly $\$ 2,000$ per acre. The co-cperative marketing of fruit is highly developed. The organizations are the logical outgrowth of a great need requiring the marketing of their fruit in distant markets. It is here that the grading, packing, and standardization has been de-
veloped to the highest degree known in the history of commercial apple marketing.

Another prominent apple growing region $W \mathbb{L}$ in the northwest is the Hood River Valley in Northern Oregon. This Valley has a rainfall equal to that in New York aid differing widely from the Wenatohee and Yakima Valleys. In spite of this fact irrigation has been found advisable and is common in most of the orchards. Due to the fact that light bearing varieties, such as the Yellow Newtown and Spitzenburg predominate, the yields do not compare with those in the important valleys in the state of Washington.

In order of importance the principal apple growing states in the Northwest are Washington, California, Oregon, Idaho, and Colorado. Small areas in other western states may be found in Utah, Montana, and New Mexico. The unusual development in all of these states reached its height during the decade from 1900 to 1910 when many important public and private irrigation projects were completed.

By ignoring state lines we find that the leading apple districts of the West are as follows:

## District

Wenatchee, Washington
Yakima, Washington
Watsonville, California
Southern, Idaho
Hood River, Oregon
Western Slope, Colorado

Average Annual Production 1916-1919 Bushels
6,686,675
6,015,250
2,787,500
1,894,750
1,625,000
$1,492,500$
$0,501,675$

Important commercial sections also are found in the North Central States, notably in the lake and river valley regions of Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Wisconsin.

In the east, in adaition to those already discussed, commercial growing districts are located in Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, North Carolina, and the famous Baldwin belt in New England.

While the apple has been grown in America for the past three centuries yet it was not until the midide of the nineteenth century that it was recognized as a food product of commercial importance. It was during the years 1860 to 1875 that most of the commercial plantings were made in New York, 1885-1895 in Pennsylvania and Virginia, 1890-1900 in the Ozarks and the prairie states, and 1900 to 1910 in the western states. Very few important plantings have been made since 1910.

These numerous plantings when taken collectively reached their maximum bearing during the decade from 1910 to 1920 when the total production in the United States including the crop from the farr orchards averaged nearly $200,000,000$ bushels annually. The 1914 crop was the largest on record totaling over $253,000 /$ bushels, with the 1920 crop second, amounting to more than $240,000,000$ bushels. Because of the small plantings during 1910-1920 it is not expected that these yields will be greatly exceeded during the next decade. It is possible, however, with the many orchards in the far west only coming into maximum production that the record commercial crop of 1920 may be exceeded.

Total Apple Production in the United States

There is a very marked filuctuation in the total bushels of apples produced in the United States from year to year aue largely to seasonal conaitions. The high recora year as shom in the accompanying chart was in 1914 when the crop exceeded $253,000,000$ bushels. This was more than 100,000,000 bushels above the preceding year, and only 23,000,000 bushels above 1915. Since production recoras have been kept there are two years in the recent history of the crop which stand out in bola relief as low crop years. They are the years 1890 and 1821, the only two in the past 33 years in which the total apple crop in the United States fell below 100,000,000 bushels.

Among the high yielaing years are 1896, 1904, 1906, 1512, 1914 and 1920, the latter being second only to 1914 the year with the largest crop on recora.

In general the high crop years were years when lower prices prevailed although there are numerous exceptions to this during the period of a rising price level.

Assuming the yield for the period 1909 to 1913 as a base for an average yield we find by referring to the chart that during 15 years of the past 33 the yiela was above the average and during the remaining 18 years below this level. Twelve states produce about 70 per cent of the total crop. For information on yeelas in the most important states refer to the table in the appendix containing same for the past
$\star$
five years. During this period the states in order of importance rank as follows: New York, Washington, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Michigan, California, Ohio, IIlinois, Missouri, Oregon, West Virginia, and North Carolina.

In comparing states the total yield must not be conIUsed with the commercial crop in which the states are placed in a difierent order. Pennsylvania, for example, drops from thire to sixth place when rated accoraing to comercial production. Apples produced in farm orcharas for home use and for local markets oniy are not marketed in stanara containers and are not included in the commeroial crop. If sent to market in carload lots they usually are shipped in buik ungraded.

This stuay is concerned largely with the commercial apple crop of the United States a discussion of which follows.

Table 1--
Total Apple Production in the United States ${ }_{1}$ Bushels (000 omitted)

| 1889 | 143,105 | 1906 | 216,720 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1890 | 80,142 | 1907 | 119,560 |
| 1891 | 198,907 | 1908 | 148,940 |
| 1892 | 120,536 | 1909 | 146,122 |
| 1893 | 114,773 | 1910 | 141,640 |
| 1894 | 134,648 | 1911 | 214,020 |
| 1895 | 219,600 | 1912 | 235,220 |
| 1896 | 232,600 | 1913 | 145,410 |
| 1897 | 163,728 | 1914 | 253,200 |
| 1898 | 118,061 | 1915 | 230,011 |
| 1899 | 175,397 | 1916 | 193,905 |
| 1900 | 205,930 | 1917 | 166,749 |
| 1901 | 135,500 | 1918 | 169,625 |
| 1902 | 212,330 | 1919 | 153,238 |
| 1903 | 195,680 | 1920 | 240,442 |
| 1904 | 233,630 | 1921 | 96,881 |
| 1905 | 136,220 |  |  |

Average Annual Production based upon 5-year average, 1909-1913,

176,482,000 busheas

1 Yearbook, U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1820, 2. 652.



## The Comrercial Apole Crop

The commercial apmle orop always is quoted in barrels a convenient distinction from the total orop reported in bushels only. It is only within the past six years that separate figures of reasonable accuracy have been compilea for the commercial crop. The accompanying chart shows the variation in the annual production of thin crop for the years 1916 to 1921 with the record commeroial arop of 36,272,000 barrels in 1930. Because of the severe spring frosts in New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and other eastern states in 1821 the commeroial crop for this year was the lowest for the period. No authentic recoras could be obtainea for years preceaing 1916.

The comeroial orop usually represents 40 to 60 per cent of the total apple orop of the United stater, the average for the past six years being 47 per cent. In 1821 this peroentage greatly increased, as was to be expected in a low orop year, and reached the 62 per cent mark. The accompanyinc statistics show clearly where our commercial apoles are produced. The Pacific-Northwest leads as a region with New York arooping to second place. The Shenandoah-Cumberland Region in Pennsylvania, MaryIand, Virginia, and West Virginia holas thira olace. In point of young trees ana potential production this region
ranks above New York and rivals the great commercial apple producing section int the Northmest.

A comparison by states shows that during the past five years Wasington wrested first place from New York in 1921 and 1919. With the rather conspicuoúd lack of young orchards in the latter it probably will not be many years before Washington will occupy first place among the comercial apple producing states 75 to 80 per cent of the time. During the same period Celirornia has occupied a place among the first five states four-fifths of the time. Virginia has a similar recore, most of the time ocoupying third place. Other states included in this group from time to time are Michigan, Pennaylvania, Oregon, Iaho, and Illinois. Of the entire comercial crop, 50 to 72 per cent of it is produced by five states with those practioing modern methods of grading, packing, and marketing rapialy coming to the front.

```
Table ひ--
    Commercial Apple Crop in the U.S.i
```

(Barrels 000 omitted)

| 1921 | - | - | - | 20,098 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1920 | - | - | - | 36,272 |
| 1919 | - | - | - | 26,223 |
| 1918 | - | - | - | 24,743 |
| 1917 | - | - | - | 22,341 |
| 1916 | - | - | - | 26,747 |

1 Yearbook, U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1920, p. 653.
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Table 3--

## Commeroial Apple Crop by Regions <br> (Barrels--000 omitted)

|  | $\underline{1919}$ | $\underline{1918}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Pacific Northwest | 9,128 | 5,037 |
| 2. Western New York | 1,728 | 4,800 |
| 3. Shenandoah Cumberland | 1,980 | 2,600 |
| 4. Cailfornia | 1,511 | 1,127 |
| 5. Ozark Mountains | 1,395 | 404 |
| 6. New England | 1,120 | 645 |
| 7. Hudson Valley | 1,050 | 647 |
| 8. Western Michigan | 812 | 760 |
| 9. Missouri River | 990 | 630 |
| 10. Southern and Western Illinois | 705 | 800 |
| 11. Colorado | 828 | 527 |
| 12. Piedmont | 551 | 465 |
| 13. Southern Ohio | 184 | 558 |
| 14. Arkansas River | 135 | 123 |

[^0]-

Five Leadry Comercial Apple States

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for 1921, 1920, 1920, 1918, } 2917 \\
& \text { (Barrals - } 000 \text { omittea) }
\end{aligned}
$$

1221 1220

| Whted Statas | 20,098 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wamingtcm | 7.750 |
| New Yoric | 3,000 |
| 0regon | 1.300 |
| Califorma | 1,220 |
| rahe | 1,227 |


| UREtes States | 36,272 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hen Yorm | 9,275 |
| Washinston | 3,623 |

Wichigam 3,267
Virginia $\quad 2,635$
Pemsylvana $\quad 2,000$
5 states- $57 \%$ of Commercial
Crop
1919
1918

| Unitad States | 26,223 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Washington | 6,827 |
| Tew Yor\% | 2.975 |
| Tixginia | 2,508 |
| Califorma | 2,400 |
| 0-330n | 2.357 |

Untted State 24,724
New York $\quad 5,950$
Wasinington $\quad 4,296$

Vingimia 2,756
Michigan $3 ., 455$
Cadiformia $\quad 2,227$
5 Stetes--59\% of Commercial

1521

| Unitadstates | 22,341 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Washington | 4,520 |
| Wew York | 2,05\% |
| Tirginia | $i, 687$ |
| TコEncis | 2.554 |
| Califorma | $\pm .274$ |

5 states-m $49 \%$ of Comer-
cias Croy

-     - 

?
$\square$
!
<
$\cdots$

## Production of Apples in Other Countries

Records of annual production in European and South American countries are very incomplete and practically inadequate for making reliable comparisons. On the other hand production records for Canada, Australia and New Zealand are well prepared and may be used with reasonable accuracy in comparison with production in the United States.

When measured according to the total production foreign countries may be arranged as follows, (in order of importance):

> Germany
> France
> Austria-Hungary (1913)
> Canada
> United Kingdom
> Australia and New Zealand
> Spain
> Argentina
> Japan
> Chile

Other apple producing countries are: Belgium, The Netherlands, Denmark, Italy, Uruguay, Mexico, Russia, Bulgaria, Roumania, and South Africa.

## Germany

Practically all of the apples produced in Germany are
$\therefore$
used for home consumption. The plantings are greatest in the mild and moist western section, particularly in Rhenish Prussia and Wurtteraberg. Much of the fruit is grown for cider or beverage purposes and does not enter the world markets as fruit. Germany imports large quantities of apples in the form of ripe and dried apples, a large portion of which prior to the Worla War, came from the United States and France. No production data is available. In 1913, however, it was reported that Germany had 74,376,000 apple trees, including both bearing and nonbearing trees. A large part of the plantings are found along highways and in pastures, making it impracticable even to attempt an acre comparison with the apple orchards in the United States.

## France

The apple industry of France is confined largely to the northern section bordering upon the English Channel. In this country, also, a very large portion, possibly 90 per cent of the orop, is used for cider and beverage purposes. Probably the principal reason for the location of most of the apple trees in northern France is due to the fact that this region is beyond the limits of vine culture. It is in Brittany and Normandy where cider, and not wine, is the traditional beverage. In southern France the apple cannot successfully compete with the vineyards. Climatic conditions aiso are less favorable in the southern part.

Because apples are grown largely for cider purposes
little attention is given to the selection of varieties. Yield rather than quality is of major importance resulting in inferior fruit for table purposes. France's surplus composed largely of cider apples is exported to Germany. Of the fruit imported by France very little consists of apples. The eating of apples out of season is a habit not highly developed in France. The limited demand for apples of high quality is met by training the young trees in trellises similar to those found in vineyards. These trellises frequently are found along high walls, on the lawns of residences, and bordering the vegetable and flower gardens.

## United Kingdom

The commercial apple crop of the United Kingdom is grown in southern Englana, viz., Kent, Hereforashire, and the southwestern peninsula. The climate and soils in this region are favorable to the production of gigh grade table stock. Trees generally are small but produce good yields. One of the districts, Herefordshire, is famed for its production of cider apples rather than table fruit although much of the latter is grown. Excellent markets for apples are found in London and other large cities of England where the price charged at restaurants frequently amounts to one shilling (nearly 25 cents). It is in these oities where the United States finds its best market for surplus apples.

## Canada

Canada has four important apple growing regions. These are found in the Lake Erie and Lake Ontario dis-
tricts, in Nova Scotia in the territory surrounding Montreal, and in the valleys of British Columbia. The soil and climatic conditions are the determining factors in the location of these orchards. It is interesting to note that the largest apple region in Canada is found on the shores of Lakes Erie and Ontario direotly opposite the important apple growing region in Western New York. In 1918 this region produced nearly two-thirds of the entire Canadian apple orop. It may be noted, further, that the valley region of British Columbia lie/just north of the international boundary line bordering the states of Washington. Heavy plantings have been made in this section of Canada in recent years. Irrigation is necessary because of the low rainfall in these mountain valleys.

The production by provinces in Canada in order of importance is as follows:

Ontario
Nova Scotia
Quebec
British Columbia
New Brunswick
Prince Edward Island
The normal commercial apple crop of Canada is about one-fifth that of the United States. Approximately 60 per cent of the trees were of bearing age in 1910. A large per centage of the total crop is exported most of it going to England and Scotland. Since 1909 the Canadian exports have been decreasing, dropping from 1,604,477 barrels in 1909 to 103,626 barrels in 1917, the year of the British embargo on
:
apples. The commercial crop has varied from 5,000,000 barrels in 1912 to $3,500,000$ barrels in 1918.

Commerciel production in two important Camadian provinces for the years 1911 to 1919, inclusive, was as follows:

| Nova Sootia |  | British Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrels | (of $3 \frac{\text { Barrels }}{\text { boxes each) }}$ |  |
| $1,734,876$ | 75,033 |  |
| 993,523 | 128,880 |  |
| 651,390 | 152,127 |  |
| 981,347 | 205,200 |  |
| 613,882 | 331,020 |  |
| 681,470 | 458,770 |  |
| 744,730 | 595,135 |  |
| 808,600 | 459,335 |  |
| $1,500,000$ | 833,333 |  |

I Folger, J.D., Thomson,S.M. The Commercial Apple Inaustry of North America. The Mamillan Co. 20.87, g主. 1921. Australia and New Zealand

Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand are now the most important commercial apple growing regions outside the The crop North American Continent. Nis is estimated to be about half that of Canada, and one-tenth that of the United States. The area in bearing trees is increasing. The present acreage in Australia is about 50,000 in Tasmania 25,000, and in New Zealand 15,000 acres. A very large portion of the acreage enters into the commercial orop most of which is consumed at home. England and Germany furnish the principal markets for the surplus fruit, which amounts to approximately onemourth of the crop:.

Plantinge were greatest during the five year period from 1910 to 1915. It is likely, therefore, that the production will continue to increase for a number of years, especially if the orchards are properly cared for. Most of the orchards vary from 15 to 25 acres.

The harvest season comes at a time which gives these countries a marketing period when the minimum of apoles from the United States and Canada are being placed upon the market. Picking starts about the middle of February and continues until the midale of April. Australian apples, therefore, are not limited to English and other European markets, but may even be shipped to the United States. The three months of heavy receipts on foreign markets are April, May, and June. In the United States there should be an aotive market for a limited supply during the spring and summer months. This condition pertaining to the harvesting and marketing season may cause large additional plantings and prove a great factor in the future development of the commercial apple industry in Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand.

Of the other apple producing countries Austria-Hungary in 1913 produced approximately 18,000,000 bushels of which nearly one-third were exported to Germany.

Argentina has over a million apple trees and is an importing nation. During the period 1909-1913 the average annual imports of apples were valued at $\$ 200,000$. No production data is available.

In Chile the apple orop in the year 1914 amounted to about 1,000,000 bushels, a large portion of which is con-
verted into cider. Chile is an importing country of fresh fruits.

According to production statistics for Spain, the apple orop in 1910 was equivalent to 7,043,000 bushels, largely produced in the northern provinces. The crop is largely consumed within the country. Apple exports for the years 1909-1913 averaged only $\$ 32,000$ in value being very low in the list of fresh fruits exported annually.

Japan is the most important apple growing country in the far East. Most of the fruit is grown in the northern provinces. Eastern Asia is the important market for surplus fruit. Even though this country grows some of its apples on elaborate trellises, most of the orchards in the commeroial sections are similar to those in the United States. The industry was started about 50 years ago when foundation stock was introduced from California. The varieties are largely American and European varieties. In 1914 the apple crop of Japan was 1,600,000 bushels. Special interest attaches to the fruit industry of Japan because of the popular belief among American fruit growers twenty years ago that the destructive San Jose scale insect was brought to the United States from this country. It has since been established that the insect likely was introduced with nursery stock from North China and not from Japan.

Russia and other countries in Eastern Europe produce some apples which are consumed largely within the country, very small quantities entering the export trade.

Rank of Apple Crop in the United States

Value of Total Apple Crop in 1918 --- \$229,990,000
" " " " " " 1919 --- \$ $275,463,000$

Apples in $1: 19$ ranked ninth in the list of farm crops, being exceeded in total value only by wheat, oats, cotton, corn, potatoes, hay, tobacco, and barley.

The totel value of the apple crop was about three times that of rioe, almost twice that of rye, and about equal to that of barley. Over a period of years the apple orop varies in rank from eighth to twelfth in value as comm pared with other farm crops. The greatly fluctuating pleld of apples from year to year due to cliratio conditions accounts for the annual change in rank. With the growth of the commercial industry in the United States the apple is maintaining an important position among other agriculturel products as illustrated by the export data found elsewhere in this study.

The apple is the leading fruit both in tonnage and value of product and destined to maintain its important position among fruits. European and South American countries are rapidly expanding their demand for apples for table use and reflect a very significant trend toward inoreased consumption. In the United States, also, the demand for apples in the cities is growing and should prove to be an imortant factor in maintaining the rank of the
of the commercial apple among other food products. With the greater efficiency and increased per capita production of the Armerican farmer a larger proportion of our population is making the city or large town its home. The percentage of rural population has been dropping rapidly from道 decade to decade. According to the 1920 Census reports, less than 30 per cent of the population is now classed as rural. Having in mind these facts as well as the fact that our total population has greatly increased we can readily see that the market for agricultural products among the non-agricultural people must become larger each year. The apple should be included in this increased demand and should have little difficulty in maintaining its present rank among other farm products. In the five year period, 1914-1918, the estimated annual value of important farm crops in the United States was as follows:

1. Corn
$\$ 2,634,804,000$
2. Wheat 1,198,737,000
3. Hay

1,132,276,000
4. Cotton.

1,097,039,000
5. Oats 773,752,000
6. Potatoes 372,239,000
7. Tobacco 208,426,000
8. Apples.

184,774,000
*
,

Table 5--

> Varieties of Apples grown
> in the United States

Percentages of normal crop of all apoles

Next Ten Varieties $33.9 \%$

First Wwelve (12) Varieties 60.6\%
All Other Varieties

Other Varieties producing more than one per cent of the total crop in order of importance:

Olaenberg, Red Astrachan,
Fall Pippin, Red Limbertwig,
Yellow Newtown, Red June,
Gano, Yellow Transparent,
Stayman Winesap, Golaen Russet,

Tompkins King, Yellow Beliflower, Fameuse (Snow), Gravenstein,

Tolman Sweet. 1
Table 6--

Varieties of Apples<br>Shipped from the Pacific Northwest,

in 1919-1920

## Variety

## Carloads

Percent

1. Winesap 8,319 25.0
2. Jonathan

5,989 . . 18.0
3. Rome Beauty . . . . . . 4,325 . . 13.0
4. Spitzenberg

2,994
9.0
5. Yellow Newtown. . . . . 2,328 . . 7.0
6. Delivious
5.0

Miscellaneous . . . . . 7,652 . . 23.0

Total. . . . 33,270
100.0

1 Yearbook, U. S. Department of Agrioulture, 1880, P. 654. a Kitchen, C. W., Seifert, E. M. Jr., ana Hall, Mary B. The Distribution of the Northwestern Eoxec Apples. U.S.Department of Agrioulture, BuI. 935, p.4. 1921.

Varieties of Apples Shipped
by the Sebastopol Aple Growers' Union
Gebastopol, California
durine Season of 1921

Variety
No. uf Buxes

1. Alexandere . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 7,401
2. Arkansas Biacks ............................. 2, 810
3. Astrachans ......................................... 1,087
4. Baidwins ........................................ . . . 17 17,744
5. Bellefieurs ................................. 6 6,158
6. Ben Davis ................................. . . . 432
7. Bevans Favorites ......................... 423
8. Bietigheimers ................................ 95
9. Ccuk Seedings ........................... 28
10. Delaware Revs . . . . . . . . . . ................. . . 79
11. Delicious .................................. . . . 70
12. GRavengrtins . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $274,92 \%$
13. Grinastones ................................ . . . . . 116
14. Hocvers .............................................. .. 10,553
15. Jonathans ,......................................... . . . . . 10,629
16. Kingis ............................................. . . . . . 10,354
17. Late Gravensteins .......................... 5 .... 749
18. Maiden Blush ............................ . . . 178
19. Mamuth Black Twigs ....................... . . . 130
20. MoIntosh Rets ........................... . . . 103
21. Newtown Pipains ......................... 4,769
22. Nonesuch 410
23. Northern Spy ...................................... .. . 169
24. Ortley Pippins ............................
25. Pearmains ....................................... 112
26. Red Cheek Pippins ............................... 563
27. Rhode Islana Greenings ,..................... 8,973
28. Rome Beauties .................................... 14,908
29. Rosy Reds ............................................. . . . 83
30. Skinner Pippins .................................... 328
31. Smith Ciaer ................................. . 1,093
32. Spitzenburgs ..................................
33. Sunary Varieties ........................ . . 155
34. Taliman Sweets .......................... 41
35. Twenty Oz . Pippins ..................... 568
36. Virginia Greenings ........................ . . 450
37. Wageners ......................................... 17 . 583
38. Williams Favorites ..................... . . . 695
39. Wiilow Tvigs ................................. 18
40. Winter Bananas .......................... 252

## Distribution of Varieties by Regions

## Western New York

The most important varieties in this region are Baldwin, Rhode Island Greening, Twenty Ounce, Duchess, and Wealthy. Hudson Valley

Baldwin, Rhode Island Greening, Ben Davis, Northern Spy, and McIntosh.

## New England

Baldwin, Northern Spy, Rhode Island Greening, Mc Intosh, Fameuse, and Wealthy.

## Virginia

Albermarle Pippin (Yellow Newtown), Ben Davis, York Imperial, Winessp, Stayman, Delicious. Southern Pennsylvania

York Imperial, Stayman, Grimes Golden, Duchess, Yellow Transparent, Wealthy, Early Harvest. Ohio Valley

Rome Beauty, Ben Davis, Grimes Golden, Stayman, Duchess, Yellow Transparent, and Wealthy. Michigan

Northern Spy, Baldwin, R. I. Greening, Ben Davis, McIntosh, Duchess, and Wealthy.

Illinois
Wealthy, Duchess, Red June, Jonathan, Stayraan, DeIicious.

## Ozarks

Ben Davis, Gano, Jonathan, Stayman, Grimes Golden, Yellow Transparent, Winesap.

Ben Davis, Gano, Jonathan, Winesap, Wealthy. Northwest, including Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Utah, Montana, Colorado

Winesap, Jonathan, Rome Beauty, Spitzenberg, Yellow Newtown, Delicious, Stayman, Grimes Golaen, Winter Banana and Ortley.

## California

Yellow Newtown, Yellow Bellflower.
1 Folger, J.D., Thornson, s.m. The Connercial Apele Industry of North America. The Macmillan Co. pe.393, 393.

It is interesting to note that the two leading varieties of apples in the United States make up nearly 27 per cent of our normal apple crop and that the first twelve varieties constitute over 60 per cent of the total crop. With the growth of the commercial apple industry leading fruit growers are learning the wisdom and importance of fewer varieties, well selected as to adaptation to soils and climate, as to quality, and as to shipping and keeping qualities. With the abandonment and loss of many trees, especially in farm orchards and in commercial regions where poorly adapted varieties were planted, there should come about a new alignment of varieties in order of relative importance. Anyone wishing to set out a commercial orchard in any of the well known apple regions today need not experiment in varieties. These have already been determined through the many experiments during the last sixty years and through recent studies of market demand. The shipping and keeping qualities also have become well known to producers and merchants. The proppect-

Ive grower by applying to the pomologist at his state agrioultural experiment station or to the United States Department of Agriculture has at his disposal a vast store of practioal information for use in determining the varieties for his orchard. Among the commercial varieties Ben Davis, Northern Spy, Esopus, and Yellow Bellflower are rapialy deolining in importance. They are graually being replaced by other varieties having a better combination oi desirable qualities.

| Varieties of Aoples Packew and Shiped by the Westem New York Fruit Growers' Co-operative Packing Association, Inc.Season of $1921-1920$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | In Bushel $\frac{\text { Containers }}{(3 \mathrm{u} .)}$ | $\frac{\text { In Barrels }}{(\text { BbIs. })}$ | Total Quantity $\frac{\text { Shioned }}{B D i s i}$ |
| Baluwin | 1419 | 84,472 | 84,845 |
| R. I. Greening | 263 | 6,608 | 6,696 |
| Roxbury Ruseet | 12 | 5,805 | 5,808 |
| Northern Spy | 730 | 3,614 | 3,857 |
| Ben Davis | 110 | 3,562 | 3,580 |
| Weadthy | 8548 | 274 | 3,123 |
| Tomakins King | 288 | 2,406 | 2,472 |
| Hubiardston | 783 | 1,026 | 1,387 |
| Tolman | 165 | 822 | 877 |
| Twenty Ounce | 1562 | 285 | 806 |
| Spitzenburg | 25 | 733 | 741 |
| Maicen Blush | 2058 | 0 | 686 |
| Stark | $\%$ | 556 | 557 |
| McIntosh | 642 | 185 | 389 |
| Wagener | 158 | 325 | 378 |
| Gravenstein | 910 | 7 | 370 |
| Gillflowex | 20 | 302 | 309 |
| Colden Russet | 4 | 278 | 280 |
| Rome Beauty | 201 | 161 | 208 |
| Pall Pimain | 537 | 46 | 225 |
| Hendrick sweet | 80 | 181 | 218 |
| Cranberry Pippin | 270 | 84 | 184 |
| Alexander | 580 | 7 | 183 |
| Jonathan | 393 | 85 | 183 |
| Seek-No-Further | 45 | 154 | 169 |

Table-8 Con'd.

| Variety | In Bushed $\frac{\text { Containers }}{(\text { Bi. })}$ | $\frac{\text { In Barrels }}{(\text { Bbis. })}$ | Total Quantity Shipee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pitain | 395 | 34 | 166 |
| Bottle Greening | 6 | 248 | 150 |
| Snow | 155 | 97 | 149 |
| N. W. Greening | 213 | 69 | 140 |
| Holland Pipoin | 375 | 8 | 134 |
| Boisen | 38 | 116 | 128 |
| Detrait Rec | 386 | 0 | 150 |
| Onterio | 29 | 115 | 225 |
| Canada Red | 33 | 113 | 184 |
| Eelisiomer | 35 | 110 | $12 \%$ |
| Swaar | 30 | 112 | 131 |
| Ribston Piprin | 328 | 2 | 111 |
| Sutton Beatuy | 131 | 63 | 110 |
| Rambo | 38 | 94 | 107 |
| Gano | 29 | 76 | 86 |
| Total 40 Varieties | 21785 | 113260 | 120522 |

81 Miscellaneous Tarieties Shipeen-

| A. Brandy | Greasy Piopin |
| :--- | :--- |
| Arkansas Black | Grimes Golden |
| Baker Sweet | Haas |
| Beauty of Kent | Hog Island Sweet |
| Belle Bond | Hulbert |
| Bittersweet | Hyae King |

81 Miscellaneous Varieties Shioped-Con't

| Black Tuit | Jeffriee |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elenheim | Jexsey Sweet |
| Blue Pearmain | Jowett Red |
| Bismarek | King Davia |
| Eoston Russett | Lackamaxen |
| Canada Russett | Lucty |
| Colvert | Lauy Smeet |
| Cocper's Merket | Longiejlow |
| Crab | Mann |
| Dutoness | Mother |
| English Streak | Munsey Sweet |
| Grand Duke | Natural Fruit |
| Fall Genetian | Newton Pippin |
| Fell Greening | Nonpareil |
| Floner of Genesee | Oliver |
| French Spitzenbere | Paradine Sueet |
| Gloria Mundi | Parker |
| Golien Sweet | Peck's Pleasant |
| Pewankee | Persect |
| Porter | Pount Sweet |
| Pumpkin Sweet | Unknown |
| Priestly | Vaientine |
| Reci Sweet | Vandeveer |
| Red Streak | White Graft |
| St. Lawrence | Winesag |
| Salome | Winter Banana |



## Apple Exports

Exports of apples, including green and ripe, and dried fruit, have shown a remarkable growth since 1896. During that year the total value of the apple exports was $\$ 3,045,586$. By the year 1900 the value of exports had increased to nearly four million ©ollars, by 1905 to over six million, 1910 over seven million, and by 1915 to nearly 10.4 million dollars. Most of the increase in value of exports was due to the increased exports of ripe fruit which in 1896 were valued at \$1,880,013 and in 1915 at $\$ 7,686,094$. The value of dried fruit exported increased nearly one million dollars from 1896 to 1901. Beginning with 1902 the value varied annually from $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 2,500,000$. Only once previous to 1915 did the value of dried fruit exported exceed three million dollars. This was in the year 1911 when they amounted to $\$ 3,851,295$ or more than 40 per cent of the entire aple exports from the United States for that year.

The advent of the World War marked a very shar decline in our apple export trade. Considered more as a luxury during the war period they suffered greatly and by 1918 were valued at only $\$ 3,500,000$. This was a drop of nearly seven million dollars from the previous high mark reached in 1915.

This condition was changed with the signing of the armistice. Early in 1919 Europe as well as the United



States entered upon a period of unprecedented prosperity and at once began to demand, among other things, the American apple to which she had become accustomed prior to the war period. The year 1910 snowed the most remarkable values in the history of the United States export trade in apples. These exports consisted of $1,712,367$ barrels of apples valued at $\$ 14,471,282$, and $24,704,359$ pouncis of dried apples valued at $\$ 4,109,282$, or an aggregate value of $\$ 18,581$, 110. This is an increase of 45 per cent in the total value, but a decrease of 11 per cent in the quantity of ripe apples, and 36 per oent in the quantity of dried apples exported, as compared with the pre-war year 1913, when the aggregate value of exports was $\$ 10,136,603$.

The accompanying tables show the fluctuations in exports frora year to year as well as the values per unit. A careful study of these impresses one with the fact that the changing production due to seasonal conditions, frost injury, etc., has a direct bearing upon the price as well as the volume of exports from year to year. While the average annual crop from 1910-1920 has exceeded that of 1900-1910, yet the increase is very much less than the difference in value would indicate, nothwithstanding the fact that many new orchards in the Northwestern States have come into profitable bearing during the last aecade.

From the record high value of apple exports of $\$ 18,581,110$ in 1919 there was a drop to $\$ 15,597,720$ in 1920, and a somewhat smaller drop to $\$ 16,188,708$ for 1921. The greater decline was in the dried apple exports which fell
from slightly over four million 2011ars in 1918 to one and one-half miliion in 1820. During the past year, 1921, these recovered to ${ }^{W} 2,206,843$ in value as compared with the five year average 1910-1914 of $\$ 2,763,415$.

The effect of the war was to reduce our apple exports, in some cases, notably Germany and Beigium, entirely eliminating the markets. Parallel with this falling-off in 1915 came great increases in exports for several years to such countries as Denmark, Norway and Sweden, lasting until the effective blockade of the North Sea in the latter part of 1917 and 1918 practically eliminated these markets. One of the accompanying tables shows the rapialy increasing exports by months for the year 1919 illustrating the rapiaity with which the volume and value of exports recovered after the cluse of the war. In this case the high export month which normally would have occurred in November or December 1918, was advanced to February 1819 when the total exports of ripe apples for the month reached 493,996 barrels, valued at $\$ 3,792,361$. For the month of March the exports were 286,979 barrels woth $\$ 2,619,902$, making a totil in exports of ripe apples for the two months of February and March 1919, of 780,975 barrels valued at $\$ 6,412,263$. With the exception of 1812, 1913, 1915 and 1916, the exports of ripe apples for these two months in the first year following the war exceeded in value the annual exports of any year previous in the history of our export tracie.

It is estimated that the combined fruit crops of the United States exceed in variety, quantity, and value, those Of any other nation. This country is one of the greatest

fruit-consuming as well as fruit-producing nations in the worla, yet, in adiition to the domestic consumption $\% 122$, 678,783 worth of all kinds of fruit were exported during the year 1919, 15 per cent of which consisted of dried and fresh apples. This percentage does not include the value of canned and preserved apple products.

During this depression period it is to be expected that the value of apple exports will continue to decine while the quantity of ripe apples should compare favorably with the exports for the past decade, excepting the two 10w years of 1917 and 1918. When compared with the five pre-war years, 1910-1914, they should be represented by an index number of aporoximately 100 in quantity, and at least 120 in price per unit.
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Exports of Apples by Months 1919--Year of Highest Prices

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Months } \\ & \hline 1919 \end{aligned}$ | Green or Ripe |  |  | Dried |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels | Value: | Average price per Barrel | Pounds | Value: | Average <br> price per <br> pound |
| Jan. | 21 | \$1,527,498 | \$ 7.17 | 2,306,575 | \$ 346,331 | $\begin{gathered} \text { cents } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 493,996 | 3,792,361 | 7.68 | 1,208,392 | 182,193 | 15 |
| Mar. | 286,979 | 2,619,902 | 9.13 | 2,838,155 | 428,737 | 15.1 |
| Apr | 137,409 | 1,455,211 | 10.60 | 7,623,924 | 1,073,391 | 24.1 |
| May | 20,747 | 280,747 | 13.36 | 1,178,257 | 219,095 | 16 |
| Juno | 8,610 | 101,733 | 11.30 | 2,809,427 | 532,470 | 19 |
| July | 23,450 | 170,164 | 7.25 | 1,562,188 | 299,855 | 19.2 |
| Aug. | 21,659 | 162,860 | 7.55 | 438,025 | 72,887 | 16.6 |
| Sept. | 34,619 | 238,780 | 6.90 | 561,773 | 121,405 | 21.6 |
| Oct. | 125,715 | 1,038,251 | 8.97 | 277,648 | 55,689 | 20 |
| Nov. | 213,270 | 1,739,297 | 8.15 | 1,815,234 | 343,561 | 18.8 |
| Dec . | 142,806 | 1,344,478 | 9.40 | 2,084,761 | 434,214 | 20.8 |
| Total | ,712,367 | \$14,471,282 | $\begin{gathered} 8.45 \\ \text { Av. } \end{gathered}$ | 24,704,359 | \$4, 109,828 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & \text { AV. } \end{aligned}$ |





## Export Varieties

Up to the present time the favorite export varieties have been Yellow Newtown, York Imperial and Balawin. In England a preference is shown for the yellow verieties, dating back to the quality of the famous Albenarle Pippine (Yellow Newtown), shipped from the State of Virginia. In the Scandinatian countries the red varieties command the best prioe, including Baldwins, Kings, and Ben Davis, all marketed in barrels. Few green or cooking apples are demanded in these northern countries.

The box-packed apples in greatest demand are Jonathans, Spitzenberg, Winesaps, and Arkansas Blacks. The western box pack is preferred. This preference for box-packed apples may be attributed to the fact that they can be shippeci more safely and are more likely to arrive in a satisfactory condition. Boxed apples also are better adapted to handling for inland transportation.

The following varieties have proved very well adapted to the export trade:

## Barreled

Baldwin
Yellow Newtown
York Imperial
Ben Davis
Rhode Island Greening
Gano

Boxed
Yellow Newtown
Winesap
Jonathan
Spitzenberg

## Imoortant Foreign Markets

The important foreign markets for apples are located in Northern Europe, Canada, Mexico, and South America, During the shipping seascn of 1920-21 the principal ports of destination in Europe Were Liverpooi, Loncon, Glasgow, Manchester, and Scandinavian ports. Before the war Hamburg and Bremen, Germany, were important markets, but to date have barely started to recover. The past five years have shown a great increase in demand for American apples in Mexico, Braail, Argentina, and Cuba. Tc some degree Australia, New Zealand, China and the Philipine Islands have demonstrated their ability to absorb some of our surplus apples. It is hoped that these markets can be extended as better facilities and channels for marketing the fruit in these newer countries have been developed. Since the harvest seacon in Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand comes about six months later than that in the United States considerable quantities of fresh fruit are imported by these countries in off seasons. This market can be further developed and be made to serve as an aditional outlet for apclea from the Western States and Canada.

During the past the United Kingiom has been our best customer taking one milion and more barrels annualiy. The largest exports to this country were made in the fiscal year 1915-1916 totaling 1,747,396 barrels. The year 1904 was second with $1,553,341$ barrels, and the calendar year 1921 third with a total of nearly one and one half million
barrels. Canada furnishes our next best market frequently taking more than 300,000 barrels annualiy. Our greatest volume of exports to Canada were made in the fiscal year 1913-1914, totaling 377,000 barrels of apples.

Since the aisagoerance of the German market for apples Norway has become the third most imcortant market for American apples. During the past three years the average annual exports to Norway totalea nearly 100,000 barrels.

At the present time South America gives the greatest promise of becoming an important market for apples, a market as yet largely undevelopea. Reauced oosts in getting our apples to these distant markets will be the greatest factor in bringing about a demand for this fruit. At present the high costs, by the time a package of high gracie apples reaches theae foreign markets, puts the price out of reach of many who otherwise would consume them. A special effort is beine made by exporters of fruit to ship by the considnment method and thereby decrease the costs and profit per package, giving the consumer the benefit of a lower price. Since a large number of vessels with refrigeration facilities are sent to Argentina for cargoes of fresh meat it is planned to utilize the cold chambers for the shipping of apples southward. This opportunity to profitably utilize what otherwise wula be lost space is expected to justify lower ocean freight rates resulting in a price to the consumer which will encourage increased consumption of apples.

In order to assure the safe transportation of apples to South Aruerica it is necessary that the fruit be care-
fully selected, graded, and packed. Only fruit classed as "F'anoy" or "Extra Fancy" shoula be used. For this market the box package is preferred for the reason that fruit arrives in much better condition than when packed in barreis.

Another potential demand for American apoles exists in the Iar east, notably in China. With one-Pourth the populaticn of the worla this country whose people are great lovers and consumers of fruit shoula present one of the most probable fielas for the extension or our export trade. These facts combined with the increased wase-earning capacity of the Chinese laborer and ingroved transportation Pacilities, will enable a large proportion of the reople to enjoy what in the past have been considered as luxuries beyond their reach. The high quality of the Armerican apple as compared with its prinoiral competitors of low quality from Japan and Chosen, will prove of special advantage in the development of this market with almost unlimited possibilities.

## Table-10

## Barrels of Apeles Expurtea to Important Countries during 1919, 1920, and 1921

| United Kingaom | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1921}{b \operatorname{arrels}} \\ 1,498,839 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1920}{b \operatorname{brre1s}} \\ 1,250,033 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1919}{b a r r e 1 s} \\ & 1,209,855 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canaba | 166,410 | 274,358 | 158,859 |
| Normay | 80,233 | 67,434 | 147,586 |
| Swealen | 13,465 | 14,432 | 34,950 |
| Denmark | 28,638 | 12,982 | 33,281 |
| Mexico | 42,017 | 37,935 | 23,565 |
| cuba | 33,569 | 32,263 | 26,548 |
| Argentina | 9,829 | 32,688 | 15,150 |
| Brazil | 13,760 | 34,656 | 16,880 |
| Penama | 7,042 | 7,701 |  |
| Austraila | 2 | 3,766 |  |
| Netherlands | 4,101 | 3,082 |  |
| Germeny | 1,569 | 50 |  |
| Philippines | 10,634 | 11,026 | 15,682 |
| All Other Countries | 26,116 | 25,305 | 30,002 |
| Total | 1536,324 | 2,707,711 | 1,712,367 |

Per Cent Exported to the United Kingdom
77.4
69.5
70.7

In 1919 the United States exported 1,209,855 barrels of apples to the United Kingdom alone, and 158,859 barrels to Canada. A large portion of the latter is exported to Europe and not consumed in Canada. In this year our exports to Norway Were 147,586 barrels.

No other country receivea 100,000 barrels or more of our apples during 1819. In stuaying the accompanying tables It is interesting to note the growing importance of the Northern European countries especially Norway and Sweden, as well as Mexico, and the two South American countries, Argentina and Brazil, in our export trade. For example, the exports to Norway have had a consistent growth from a value of $\$ 9,000$ in 1910 to $\$ 1,687,000$ in the year 1919 . The value of the latter, however, was greatly increased by the effective war blockade of the preceding year, 1918, when no apples were exported to Norway. The value of our exports to this country in 1920 was $\$ 778,000$, Norway continuing our third most important market.

Prior to the World War, Germany was the second largest market for our apples. For the present, however, this market has disappeared. As soon as the rate of exchange and general economic conditions reach a more stable level, Germany should again become an important market for American apples. Were it possible to place our apples on foreign markets at a price comparable with that paid by our consumers at home there woulc result a greatly increased demand for this fruit. After shipping them 3,000 to 5,000 miles the transportation and added merchandising charges have adaed much to the price and frequently have put the iruit in
the luxury class and out of reach of many prospective consumers. Any movement tenaing towara the reduction of these added costs in the form of lower ocean freight rates and greater efificiency in marketing should bring about a wider market for our surplus apples and prove of great benefit to the industry.

The primary or car-ict distribution of the annual apple crop of the United States is a large undertaking and requires extensive market machinery to move the crop without serious loss and waste. The importance of an effioient organization is obvious when we remember that the buik of the crop is moved during the two months of October and November. It is auring these months that the railroads have great difficulty in supplying the necessary cars to move the apple crop to the large markets, to the seaboard, and to the great central storage warehouses at important railroad centers. The average annual shiments for the five years from 1916 to 1930 were approxiruately 75,000 carloads. A large number of these cars had to be moved during the busy crop moving season when other crops such as potatoes, cabbage, wheat, and oats were demanding their allotment of freight cars. In 1918-20 accoraing to a stuay of the monthly movement of apples in the Pacific Northwest, 60 per cent of the cars were shipped during October and November. This required 22,000 cars for this region aione during that time. Assuming fifty-three business days during the two months, we fina that an average of 415 cars Would be needed at the sidings of the various packing houses each day to be loaded and started toward their destinations.

Similar problems have arisen in the eastern comruercial apple growing regions from year to year. Where com-

mercial orchards are looated near large centers of population as in the Huason Valley, New Englana, Western New York, Southern Pennsyivania, Southern Ohic, anci parts of the centrai western states, the motor truck has been pressed into service during the height of the marketing season and has materially aided in facilitating crop movement during this period. Producers have realized the great need for enlarged and improved warehouse facilities in the commeroial regions and have taken steps towara suplying same. Frequently gorwers heve sold their fruit in bulk at a great sacrifice in price rather than assume the risk of properly packing, storing, and marketing the apple crop. The marketing of their fruit in this manner is not usually considered good business, nor does it serve as a very good advertisement for the region.

Much of the fruit is consigned in carload lots. Of the three ciasses of fruit, boxed, barreled, ana buik, the box-packed apples usually arrive in the best conaition. and Boxes can be packed to better advantage/are not subject to as much jolting back and forth in the car. Considerable more attention is being given to the loading in cars and the prevention of injury to the fruit while enroute to market.


# Terole-11 $10 a d s$ of Northwestern Apples Shipped to Important Markets <br> 1918-1920 Season <br> (Primary Distribution) 

Chicago ..... 4,254 cars
Minneapolis. ..... 4,058
New York City ..... 2,401
Denver ..... 1,292
Omaha. ..... 700
Pittsburgh ..... 630
Kansas City. ..... 583
Seattie ..... 569
Grand Islana, Nebraska ..... 477
Philadelphia ..... 471
North Platte, Nebraska ..... 450
Yakima, Washington ..... 448
St. Paul. ..... 329
Le Roy, Minnesota. ..... 321
Minot, North Dakota ..... 308
St. Lauis ..... 306
Cheyenne. ..... 297
Spokane ..... 274
Baitimore ..... 260
Boston. ..... 347
SanFrancisco ..... 222
$\operatorname{cars} 3^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$
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## Prices Paid Producers of Apples

## 360**

The accompanying chart shows the seasonal variation in prices paid producers in the United States for the ten years, 1912-1921. The greatest variation cocurred in 1920 When the price reached ${ }^{4} 2.97$ per bushel in July and aropped to ${ }^{W} 1.15$ in December, a total drop of \$1.82. The year 1914 aiso showed a wide variation in price, ${ }^{4} 1.42$ in July and $\$ 0.57$ in Novemper.

During the entire period there was but one year, 2921, Which showed a rise in price from July to November. This unusual ohange followed the high orop year of 1920, and was a reaction of the tremenious drop which orecead it and of the short orop accomonying it, the latter bringing the lowest on record for 31 years.

In the other nine yearf the Iowest price paid producers prevailea during the heinht of the harvest season, the arop usually starting in July when the crop prospects for the year are well known by buyers and producers.


 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\underbrace{\text { minbsaps }}$








| R 0 E |
| ---: | :--- |


| PER |
| :---: |
| Box |




${ }_{\text {PER }}^{\text {PER }}$
2.70

0


ExI

Daily Price Range 1916--1920

Relation of Quantity of Apples Marketed to Prices

In research studies made on lerge markets such as New York City and Boston covering 20 to 30 years it has been found that there is a eefinite correlation between the quantity of pples received and the price per barrel． During the ronths and years when receipts were highest the price per barmel aropped belon the average for the previous year of low receipts and vice versa．The ac－ companying charts of these two markets show this very clearly．On the New York market the average price for the second ten year period，1903－1913，was 42.87 ，and for the first perioa，1893－1903，靬．62 per barrel．The latter period ocourred when there was a rising price level．For each year the change in price reflected the chane in annual receipts of apples．

The same appiied to the Boston market for which 30 yeare＇statistios were obtainea．On thin market the price for the first 10－year period，1885－1895，Was 製2． 40 ver barrel，for the second，1895－1005，it was \％2．33，and for the third，1805－1815，郎． 67 wer barrel．Receipts reached their maximum in the year 1902－03 and were great－ est during the second period，1895－1905，When the average vaice was lowest．The thira period coming when the price level was rising showea greater receipts and a hisher av－ erage price than during the iirst period．The acocmpanying chart shows a definite correlation between the quantity received and the average yearly price per barrel．




## Purchasing Power of Apples

During the entire period from 1810 to 1920 there were only two years when the purchasinc power of apples exceedec the average for 31 farm oroducts. These years were 1911 and 1914 with applea ten pointa above in the former and only one point above in the latter. For the other nine years apples haa a purchasing power of one to tmenty-eight points below that of farm products in general. The lowest purchasing nower was reachea during the two war years 1918 and 1917, during both of winioh, the total crop, as well as the comeroial apple crop. was relatively low.

The index number on the other hand constantiy inoreasea during the latter half of this decade reaching the high point of 213 in 1920. The accomanying chart shows graphically how unreliable is the index number of prices received for any comodity when used independently of purchasing power as a basis for comoarison. To be of real significance the price must be interpretea in units of cther comriodities that oan be purchased by a bushel, a barrel, or ton of the product in question.

There was a decrease in purchasing power of farm products fror 1810 to 1920, and an even greater decrease in the purchasing power of apples when measured by commodities which the farmer purchased. A comparison with

Cranges shows that iuring six of the eleven years the purchasing power of apples ranked highest. These years were 1910, 1911, 1914, 1917, 1910 and 1920. Orgnges had a higher purchasing power in 191\%, 1913, 1915. 1916 and 1918. For one year only, 1913, dia they have a higher purchasing cowex than the 31 faru products. The range for oranges also is much wiuer, varying from 42 in 1930 to 123 in 1813, a total range of 81 points ae compared with 35 for apoles.

## Table-12

Average Yearly Price Paia Proaucers for a Bushel of Apples, Index Number, and Purchasing Power. I

|  | ```Price per Bushel``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Purchasing Pumer of Aprles | Purchasing Power of Oranges | Purchasing Power of 31 Farm Pro- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920-4 | \$2.08 | *213 | 85 | 42 | 86 |
| 1919 - | 1.85 | 197 | 90 | 60 | 97 |
| 1918- | 1.41 | 150 | 74 | 83 | 10\% |
| 1917- | 2.26 | 133 | 74 | 60 | 100 |
| 1916 - | . 81 | 86 | 76 | 80 | 94 |
| 1815 - | .73 | 78 | 76 | 82 | 98 |
| 1014 - | 1.00 | 101 | 100 | 87 | 99 |
| 1913 - | . 85 | 91 | 89 | 123 | 97 |
| 1912- | . 88 | 91 | 90 | 97 | 98 |
| 1911 - | 1.03 | 106 | 109 | 97 | 98 |
| $1810-$ | .98 | 102 | 103 | 93 | 105 |

*Base, 5 year average August, 1909 to July, 1814.

1 Warren, G. T. Prices of Farm products in the United States. U.S.Demartment of Agri. Bul.889. 2921.

Chart XIV



## Export Prices

From the pre-war year 1913 there took place a gradul arop in pricee of export aples for three years, with an average price per barrel of ${ }^{3} .80$ in 1813 as compared with $\$ 3.70$ in 1914, and $\$ 3.53$ in the vear 1915. From 1816 deoreasing exports of apzes were accompanied by a gradual annual increase in export pricez which reached the phenomenal price of $\$ 8.45$ per barrel in 1919. By this time the volume of exports had again recovered and the year 1919 not only showed the highest prices ever received per barrei, but aiso the greatest agyregate vaiue of apple exports ever witnessed in the United States. The total value for this year, including the vaiue of dried apples, was \$18,581,110. For the next tho yeara the price per barrel again declined to ${ }_{6} 7.34$ in 1820, and ${ }^{7} 7.22$ in 1821.

Prices for dried aples exported varied but slightly Irom 1913 to 1916 as show by the accompanying table rising from seven cents per cound in 1913 to 7.8 cents in 1914 and 1915, and again aropping to 7.6 cente in 1916. During the following year the price recovered to 8.8 cents per pound. The principal factor in keeping dow the export price of dried apples during these four years was the entire elimination of our best export market for this comodity, Cermany. By the year 1818 the general price level had risen to such a degree that, coupled with the increased demand, the price of dried apples exported rose to 14.1 cents per pound. This a rise of 220 to 250 per cent of the 1813 pre-war price. In 1821 the lowering price level and the still somerthat limited export narket brought the average price of dried apples down to 11.1 cents per pound, aproximately 157 per cent of the pre-war price, which when compared with the commodity price index for 1021 enabled the producer to purchase about the same quantity of other goods with a pound of dried apples as in 1913. The price was higher but the purchasing power about the same.

## Table-13

Average Prices Received for Apples Exported from the United States y

> Green and Ripe Dried Apples
> Price per Barrel Price per pound


## Table-14

## Monthly Fluctuations in Prices

 Received for Apples Exported in $1919_{1}$
## Green and Ripe <br> Price ger Bushel

Dried Apples
Price per Pound

| January | $\$ 7.17$ | $\$ 0.15$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| February | 7.68 | .15 |
| March | 9.13 | .151 |
| April | 10.60 | .141 |
| May | 13.36 | .16 |
| June | 11.30 | .19 |
| July | 7.25 | .192 |
| August | 7.55 | .166 |
| September | 6.90 | .216 |
| Dotober | 8.97 | .200 |
| November | 8.15 | .188 |
| December | 9.40 | .208 |

Average for 1918 - 8.45

## Marketing Costs

Of 211 costs entering into the marketing of a box or barrel ofaples, that of the retailer is the highest. Usually this item exceeds all the other disuribution ocsts combined. The number of channels through which the product passes varies greatly. Ordinarily the grower selis to the local dealer or to the fruit growers association who in turn ships to a wholeseler in the large oity markets or to a broker. The wholesaler sells to the jobber or to the retailer, or in some cases direct to a large consumer. It is possible, therefore, that a barrel of apples passes through from three to six of the marketing channels before it reaches the uitimate consumer. Each of these agencies renders a service and makes a charge for same. While the intrinsic value of the ample has not been increased since the fruit left the orchard, yet the value of time and place service has been added to the comodity and greatly enhancedits price. In other woras, the aple grown in the state of Washington is a different apple by the time it reaches New York and commands an entirely different price. In fact, the grower, were he sudienly to exchange places with the oity purchaser at a fruit stand, would not be able to recognize his product by the price, so great has been the change.

Investigations show that a reauction in price by retailers wrould materially increase the consumption of apples and eventually resuit in equally good profits for

the retailer. These reduced prices and better business practice should prove beneficial to grower, dealer, and consumer. In general, the gross profits of fruit stand vendors range from 100 to 250 per cent. Grocers catering to a high grade trade and maintaining a creait and delivery service aiso receive large gross profits, due partiy to the fact that they are selling these services as well as the fruit itself. Those handing the cheaper grades of apples usually operate on a margin of 75 to 100 per cent of the purchase price. These include largely cash dealers such as cash grocers, pedders and push cart men, the latter creating quite a demand by delivering the fruit at the home of the consumer. In the large cities a large quantity of fruit is handled in this way.

The chain stores in some cases also move a large quantity at low prices. These prices usually are far below orainary retail prices and frequently return a gross profit of not exceeaing 25 per cent. These sales, of course, do not include any telephone, credit and delivery service. The accompanying table shows the various costs entering into the marketing of apples by one of the 5 and 10 cent stores which in the fall of the year conducted special sales at very low margins.
Cost of Marketing 118 Barrels of Apples Through
the Medium of 5 and 10 cent stores.

|  | Per Barrel | Per Cent of Consumers Dollar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grover received for Apples (on the tree) | \$ 1.455 | 38.49 |
| Cost of barrel, picking, grading, packing \& hauling | . 660 | 17.46 |
| Fruit Growers Association, Selling Charges | . 135 | 3.57 |
| Froight, origin to destination | . 416 | 11.00 |
| Cartage at destination | . 05 | 1.32 |
| Loss to Wholesaler (Shrinkage) | . 032 | . 84 |
| Cost to Wholesaler | \$ 2.748 |  |
| Wholesaler's profit | . 208 | 5.53 |
| Cost to Stores | \$ 2.956 |  |
| Profit to 5 and 10 cent stores | . 824 | 21.80 |
| Price Paid by Consumer | \$3.78 | 100. |

ב Moomam, C.W., ana Stewart, M.M. Apple Market Investigations. U. S. Dept. of Agri. Bul. 302, p.8. 1915.
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In the preceaing table it is shown that the freight charges amounted to 11 per cent of the consumers price. This charge must be added to most of the fruit sold on city markets and represents the cost of place service in our marketing system.

The following table shows the aifferent freight rates in effect between the most important producing centers and nine of the principal terminal markets in the United States. These rates prevail for 100 pounds, or approximately 2 bushels, or $2 / 3$ of a barrel of apples. (See Appenaix).

Irwortant as it may seem to the consumer the freight rate represents but a small percentage of the price paid by hik. More important than this is the margin taken by the dealer. Any improvent aiming towara the cheapening of our syster of distribution shoula first be directed toward this weaker link in our marketing channeis.

## Shrinkage

Market investigations show that the shrinkage, inciuding decay and deterioration, of perishable products handed on our large markets averages 30 to 40 per cent of the micaleman's maryin of profit. It is evident that some one must bear this loss, consequently it is added to the conm sumer's price. It is logioal, therefore, that any reduction in this loss through shrinkage will lessen the necessary margin of the dealer and make possible, either a lower price to the consumer or a larger return to the producer, or both.

Among the causes of this unusually heavy shrinkage are the glutting of our markets with perishable products through poor distribution, improper care of the product before and after it reaches the market, frequent handinc, rough treat ment after it reaches the retailer, and lack of proper storage and refrigeration facilities. With apples there is also a very heavy ehrinkze on the farm. Frequentiy the farmer will allow his fruit to rot or become over-ripe because he cannot find a satisfactory market for it, or because he is too busy seeding or harvesting another crop considered of greater importance. The trouble quite often may be traced to a lack of proper market information or marketing facilities. That the losses through shrinkase could be redued there is little doubt. There is evidence that progress is being made in this direction through concerted efforts on the part of producers organizations and distributors, especially through a more efficient use of byproducts made possible by a large rolume of business.

## Advertising

It is only within recent years that organized and consistent advertising of aples has been practices by gromers and aealers. The immeate stimulus to an adm vertising campaign was supplied by the ditmus fruit growers who for the past ten years have been engaged in constant advertising of oranges and other fruits The awale erowers realized that in onder to cope with thein nearest competitor they must employ similar tactios to create and maintain a demand for their iruit.

In Ootober 1918, a mell planned auvertising campaign was evolved by the gromers of Northestern box armies in copperation with wholesalers, jobbers, and dealers in the box apple trade. The avance auvertising was carried in the New York Packer and other marketino ana fruit journ als calling upon the dealers to aid in "The Big Box Apple Auvertisine Drive." This was followed by the apearance of carefully prepared and uniform advertisements in apm proximately 500 dity nemspacers. Feeture lines were as rollows:
"Washington, Oregen and Iako Jonathans." Fresher, cheaper,
"Delight in Every Bite."
"Order a Box of Jonathans from Your Grocer Today."
"Butchers' Bills anc Pastry Shop Bills Drop in Familes that Eat Apeles."
-
"An Aprle a Day Keeps the Doctor Away."
"Food Value of One Apple Equal to one Lamb Choy, Tro Slices of Bread, or one Ege.
eto., eto."

Stresi was ilaced upon the effect upon the vigor and nealth of ohilaren, uoon the quality of the fruit, and the economy of the iruit eating heart. In adation to Jonathans such varieties as Rome Beaty, Winesaps, and Spitm zenbergs Were featured in the daily paper advertising. The campign started Dotober 15 th and was continuea for a nonth. Statements from wholesalers and jobbers inm dioeted that they were well pleased with the results. Meny inquiries were receivea from consumers who wisher to purchase iruit by the box and salee of box aprles were greatly stimulated.
"The "Skookum Brand" ie a 8000 111ustraticn of the polioy of national avertising paxticipater in by both grower ancidistributor. It Bemonstrater, further, the value of a trademark and the importance of standaraizam tion of prouut and paokage. Many growers organizations toay are marketin罗 their fruit through Iarge iistributors such as the American Fruit Growers, Ino., Ent the North American Fruit Exohange, and are cooperating in financing consiatent avertising of their particular brand.

APDETDIX
Total Production of Apties

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } 12 \text { Leading States } \\ & \text { (Bunheis--000 omithed). } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stato | 253 | 1920 | 129 | 1928 | 1927 |
| Ne: Yorix | 12.557 | 55,650 | 16,800 | 40,878 | 16,266 |
| Hanington | 2,062 | 13,420 | 25,348 | 26,492 | 19,830 |
| Pennsyivania | 2,208 | 23.937 | 7,97? | 25,080 | 12,646 |
| Virginia | 708 | 25,210 | 5.850 | 20,068 | 23,77\% |
| Mahigum | 6.327 | 26,500 | 5,484 | 9, 82 | 4,2,46 |
| Cainformia | 6.500 | 6,003 | 8,540 | 6.560 | 6,804 |
| Onio | 3,390 | 23.293 | 2,206 | 7,005 | 5,760 |
| 1314nois | 2,351 | 6, 375 | 4,943 | 3.459 | 7,598 |
| Wssouri | 480 | 5,0:82 | 5.773 | 4.245 | 8.070 |
| Orezon | 5.572 | 3,300 | 5.57 | 3,384 | 4.335 |
| Mest Virsinia | 420 | 7,000 | 3,478 | 5,856 | 4,320 |
| North Carolima | 593 | 1,900 | 2,500 | 3,588 | 4.500 |

1 Yearbook, U.S.Department of Agriculture 1930,

Ta01e-2 $7_{1}$
Comerciel Crow in Inportant Aqeqs States
(Bamals-000 omitted)

Yearoook, U.S.Department of Agriculture, $1920, p, 653$.

Table-18

Commercial Production of Apples
Marketed in Boxes and Barrels
(000 omitted)

| Year | United States | 32 barrel States | *9 box <br> States | * 4 Northwest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bols. | bbls. | bbls. | $\frac{\text { Box Stabes }}{\text { bbls. }}$ |
| 1920 | 34,281 | 26,593 | 7,688 | 6,568 |
| 1919 | 26,174 | 14,353 | 11,821 | 9,121 |
| 1918 | 24,743 | 17,640 | 7,103 | 5,154 |
| 1917 | 22,467 | 13,914 | 8,563 | 6,313 |
| 1916 | 25,059 | 19,103 | 5,957 | 4,301 |

* Fruit in box states quoted in barrels.

1
The Distribution of the Northmestern Boxed Apples. U. S. Dent. of Agri. Bui. S35, p. 3. 1921.
*

$$
26 \text { Yeare,1896-1921 }
$$

| Year | Barrels | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2896 | 1,137,714 |  |
| 1897 | 1,181,714 | \$1, 880,013 |
| 1898 | 452,729 | 1, $1,413,494$ |
| 1899 | 499,638 | 1,381,661 |
| 1901 | 741,575 | 1,821,562 |
| 1002 | 1,599,006 | 1,761,394 |
| 1903 | 1,254,558 | 3,391,840 |
| 1804 | 1,580,038 | -,251,930 |
| 1905 | 1,453,446 | 4,200,233 |
| 1906 | 1,348,917 | 4,004,525 |
| 1308 | 1.119,212 | 3,906,179 |
| 1909 | 1,052, 996 | 3,286,437 |
| 1910 | 1,839,720 | 2,863,035 |
| 1911 | 1,436,395 | 5,456,450 |
| 1912 | 1,406,335 | 5,382,942 |
| 1813 | 1,920,221 | 6, 618,938 |
| 1914 | 1,541,361 | 7,417,400 |
| 1915 | 2,170,918 | 7,685,621 |
| 1916 | 1,670,543 | 7,205,766 |
| 1918 | 958,104 | 4,496,707 |
| 1019 | + 579,916 | 3,135,203 |
| 1920 | 1,712,367 | 14,471,282 |
| 1921 | 1, 036,724 | $14,088,733$ $13,981,865$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Average 1910-14- } \\ (5 \mathrm{yr} .) \end{gathered}$ | 1,676,333 | 6,004,270 |

I Bureul of Fcreign and Domestic Commerce, U. S. Department of Commeroe.

# Average Annual Exports By Five Year Periods For Seventy Years <br> 1852--1921 

Barrels of Ripe Apples

| 1852-56 | - | - | - | - | 37,412 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1857-61 | - | - | - | - | 57,045 |
| 1862-66 | - | - | - | - | 115,433 |
| 1867-71 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1872-76 | - | - | - | - | 132,758 |
| 1877-81 | - | - | - | - | 509,735 |
| 1882-86 | - | - | - | - | 401,886 |
| 1887-91 | - | - | - | - | 522,511 |
| 1892-86 | - | - | - | - | 520,810 |
| 1897-1901 | - | - | - | - | 779,980 |
| 1902-06 | - | - | - |  | 1,368,608 |
| 1907-11 | - | - | - |  | 1,225,655 |
| 1912-16 | - | - | - |  | 1,824,500 |
| 1917-21 | - | - | - |  | 1,396,865 |

I Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Comerce, U. S. Department of Comerce.

Table-2II
Exports of Apples 1913-1921

| Year | Green or Ripe |  |  | Dried |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Barrels | Value | per Bbl. | Pounds | Value | per pound cents |
| 1921 | 1,936,224 | 13,981,865 | 7.22 | 19,962,000 | 2,206,843 | 11.1 |
| 1920 | 1,797,711 | 14,088,733 | 7.54 | 8,828,000 | 1,508,987 | 17.1 |
| 1919 | 1,712,367 | 14,471,282 | 8.45 | 24,704,359 | 4,109,828 | 16. 6 |
| 1918 | 579,916 | 3,135,203 | 5.40 | 2,200,483 | 311,350 | 24.1 |
| 1917 | 958,104 | 4,496,707 | 4.69 | 7,852,773 | 691,111 | 8.8 |
| 1916 | 1,670,543 | 7,205,766 | 4.31 | 13,186,467 | 1,002,007 | 7.6 |
| 1915 | 2,176,992 | 7,686,992 | 3,53 | 33,908,508 | 2,671,601 | 7.8 |
| 2914 | 1,541,361 | 5,695,621 | 3.70 | 31,027,551 | 2,441,094 | 7.8 |
| 1913 | 1,920,221 | 7,417,400 | 3,80 | 38,734,465 | 2,719,203 | 7. |

1 Better Fruit, September 1921

1
.
.

1896-1921

|  | Pounds | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1896 | 24,486,000 | \$ 1,165,573 |
| 1897 | 31,711,000 | 1,546,218 |
| 1898 | 30,098,000 | 1,931,859 |
| 1899 | 23,961,000 | 1,558,461 |
| 1900 | 36,961,000 | 2,134,566 |
| 1901 | 14,505,000 | 900,789 |
| 1902 | 32,236,000 | 2,147,660 |
| 1903 | 43,658,000 | 2,506,978 |
| 1904 | 45,806,000 | 2,512,325 |
| 1905 | 32,034,000 | 2,105,614 |
| 1906 | 36,041,000 | 2,497,955 |
| 1907 | 31,642,000 | 2,418,643 |
| 1908 | 35,055,000 | 2,570,921 |
| 1909 | 29,196,000 | 2,262,508 |
| 1910 | 22,643,000 | 1,847,548 |
| 1911 | 44,279,000 | 3,851,295 |
| 1912 | 37,748,000 | 2,957,936 |
| 1913 | 38,734,000 | 2,719,203 |
| 1914 | 31,028,000 | 2,441,094 |
| 1915 | 33,906,000 | 2,671,601 |
| 1916 | 13,186,000 | 1,002,007 |
| 1917 | 7,853,000 | 691,111 |
| 1918 | 2,201,000 | 311,352 |
| 1919 | 24,704,000 | 4,109,828 |
| 1920 | 8,828,000 | 1,508,987 |
| 1921 | 19,962,000 | 2,206,843 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Average 1910-14 } \\ (5 \mathrm{yr} .) \end{gathered}$ | 34,886,256 | 2,763,415 |

Appie Exports by Months Six Most Important Months of Each Year.1

## Barrels

|  | 1916-17 | 1917-18 | 1818-19 | 1919-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September | 129,503 | 24,720 | 14,942 | 34,619 |
| October | 346,014 | 68,985 | 90,780 | 115,715 |
| November | 378,320 | 150,644 | 104,572 | 213,270 |
| December | 342,572 | 190,390 | 160,035 | 142,806 |
| January | 203,904 | 33,776 | 213,107 | 161,157 |
| February | 130,666 | 26,232 | 493,996 | 90,215 |
| Total for 6 mont | 1,530,979 | 494,747 | 077,432 | 757,782 |

Note effect of World War on change in highest export month.

1 The Distribution of the Northwestern Boxed Aples. U. S. Dept. of Agri. Bul. 935, p. 3. 1921.
Exports of Apples from the United States


Countries to Which U. S. Apples are Exported
19101911

| United Kingdom | \$2,155,000 | \$4,317,000 | \$3,616,000 | \$5,051,000 | \$3,113,000 | \$6,086,000 | \$3,297,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | 279,000 | 503,000 | 736,000 | 914,000 | 1,040,000 | 739,000 | 859,000 |
| Denmark | 15,000 | 45,000 | 36,000 | 53,000 | 28,000 | 248,000 | 252,000 |
| Norway | 9,000 | 18,000 | 16,000 | 50,000 | 29,999 | 79,000 | 113,000 |
| Argentina | 3,000 | 4,000 | 39,000 | 39,000 | 157,000 | 261,000 | 244,000 |
| Mexico | 63,000 | 66,000 | 95,000 | 107,000 | 51,000 | 30,000 | 48,000 |
| Brazil | 32,000 | 47,000 | 81,000 | 62,000 | 125,000 | 142,000 | 157,000 |
| Cuba | 69,000 | 93,000 | 79,000 | 79,000 | 74,000 | 90,000 | 122,000 |
| Australia | 11,000 | 33,000 | 2,000 | 72,000 | 201,000 | 82,000 | 150,000 |
| Belgium | 3,000 | 9,000 | 7,000 | 8,000 | 17,000 |  |  |
| Ne therlands | 8,000 | 5,000 | 14,000 | 11,000 | 15,000 | 93,000 | 6,000 |
| Sweden |  | 9,000 | 1,174 | 14,000 | 5,000 | 27,000 | 44,000 |
| Panama | 29,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 52,000 | 45,000 | 40,000 |  |
| Germany | 408,000 | 451,000 | 510,000 | 1,209,000 | 917,000 |  |  |
| Italy | 1,360 1,236 | 1,021 4,000 | 459 8,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,000 \\ 11,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,000 \\ 20,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3,000 3,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 9,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| New Zealand | 25,000 | 34,000 | 37,000 | 34,000 | 45,000 | 22,000 | 28,000 |
| Philippines | 7,000 | 14,000 | 17,000 | 34,000 | 56,000 | 37,000 | 33,000 |
| All Other | 56,837 | 79,437 | 70,313 | 92,634 | 128,701 | 105,466 | 156,572 |
| Total | \$3,175,433 | 5,777,458 | 5,409,946 | 7,898,634 | 6,089,701 | 8,087,466 | 5,518,772 |

Table-25 con'a.
Countries to Which U. S. Apples are Exported (Continued)


Pacific
Northmest
33,270
19,276
22,984
17,693

New York
10,234
2\%,900
5,867
10,206

Central
Appalachian 11,392
9,625
7,212
11,748

Total
United States 82,514
69,552
58,534
57,821

2
The Distribution of the Northwestern Boxed Apples. U. S. Dept. of Agri. Bul. 935, p. 4. 1821.

## Table-37

Car-1ot Shipments by Box Regions
1980-21 1818-20 1918-18

Washington
21,652
27,169
16,233
Galiforniá
4,503
4,153
3,473
Oregon
3,169
5,443
2,246
Colorado
2,860
3,235
1,984
IUGho
2,784
3, 843
536
A2L Others
1,329
1,658
1,110

Total
36,297
45,581
25,581

Table-28

## Car--Iot Shipments by Barrel Regions

|  | 1930-21 | 1918-20 | 1918-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nem York | 33,830 | 10,386 | 22,900 |
| Virginia | 8,709 | 7,075 | 4,207 |
| Michigan. | 6,174 | 3,435 | 2,862 |
| West Virginia | 4,889 | 2,849 | 2,819 |
| Illinois | 3,457 | 2,935 | 2,976 |
| Pennsylvania | 3,403 | 1,266 | 1,784 |
| Arkansas | 2,6e6 | 4,553 | 1,065 |
| Missouri | 1,725 | 2,155 | 1,267 |
| Ali Others | 7,930 | 6,890 | 4,439 |
| Total | 72,783 | 41,444 | 44,048 |

* Carloads Shipped from Barrel Regions in 1921-22-20863
II
"
"
Box
"
" 1921-23-54730
* To April, 1, 1922.
Carloads of Apples Unloaded on
Ten Important Markets 1916-1920.

| Year | Tew Yorls | Chicago | Phila. | Pittsburg | St. Touls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cincin= } \\ & \text { nati } \end{aligned}$ | St Paul | Minneapolis | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Kansas } \\ C i t y \end{array}\right\|$ | Washington | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1916 | 10,191 | 5,252 | 3,342 | 3,445 | 3,225 | 1,338 | 589 | 869 | 953 | 459 | 29,663 |
| 1917 | 7,996 | 4,335 | 2,343 | 2,498 | 2,117 | 636 | 284 | 586 | 988 | 333 | 22,116 |
| 1918 | 11,336 | 4,536 | 2,701 | 2,951 | 1,540 | 1,130 | 410 | 568 | 709 | 633 | 26,514 |
| 1919 | 10,601 | 6,069 | 2,864 | 2,216 | 1,379 | 1,450 | 227 | 348 | 674 | 387 | 26,215 |
| 1920 | 11,058 | 7,102 | 3,217 | 2,792 | 1,612 | 1,617 | 401 | 464 | 1,006 | 590 | 29,859 |
| Averag | 10,236 | 5,459 | 2,893 | 2,780 | 1,975 | 1,234 | 382 | 567 | 866 | 480 | 26,873 |



Tab1e-30

## Average December Joboing Price on 10 Markets

$\frac{1920}{\left(\text { Price per } \frac{1219}{}\right.} \frac{19 \text { rrel }}{}$
$\frac{1919}{B 0 x}$
ApN1es
Jonuary
January

Jobbing Price Ranges of Baldwins on Three Large Markets
Average for Oct. to Jan. 1914-1922
(Per Barrel)

| $1914-15$ | $1915-16$ | $1916-17$ | $1917-18$ | $1918-19$ | $1919-20$ | $1920-21$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New York |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 2.75-1.55$ | $\$ 3.19-1.81$ | $\$ 3.91-2.75$ | $\$ 4.81-288$ | $\$ 6.44-4.75$ | $\$ 8.44-7.00$ | $\$ 5.19-3.94$ | $\$ 7.83-6.35$ |

1 f

1

Recent Weekly Receipts and Prices on Important Markets, 19az

New York Balciwins, A $2 \frac{1}{2}$ inch

> Barrels

| Market | Cars | Agril 3 | $\frac{\text { Prices }}{\text { March } 27}$ | One Year Agc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York | 51 | PEP $7.50-8.00$ | FRREL |  |
|  |  | 7.50-8.00 | 7.50-7.75 | 5.25-5.50 |
| Boston | 15 | 8.00-8.25 | 7.75-8.50 | 5.00-5.50 |
| Philaclphia | 25 | 7.50-8.00 | 8.00 | 5.50-5.75 |
| Baltimore | 8 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 5.50 |
| Pittsburgh | 44 | 7.00-7.50 | 7.50-8.00 | 4.75-5.00 |
| Cincinnati | 19 | 8.00-8.25 | 8.25 | 5.75-6.00 |
| Chicago | 7 | 7.50-8.00 | 7.50-8.00 | 4.75-5.25 |
| St. Louis | 8 |  | P 130 X |  |
| New York | 107 | 3.50-3.75 | 3.50-4.00 | 3.50-5.00 |
| Boston | 11 |  |  |  |
| Philaclphia | 31 | 3.00-3.50 | 3.00-3.50 |  |
| Baltimore | 11 | 2.50-3.00 | 3.25-3.50 | 2.30-2.80 |
| Pittsburgh | 20 | 3,00-3.25 | 2.75-3.25 | 2.75-3.75 |
| Cincinnati | 14 |  |  |  |
| Chicago | 21 | 3.00-3.50 | 3.00-3.50 | 2.25-3.50 |
| St. Louis | 8 |  |  |  |
| Kansas City | 11 | 3.50-3.75 | $3.50-3.75$ | 3.50-4.00 |

Mverage Wholesale Prices of Apries (All Varieties)
In New York City from 1893-1813

| Year | Total Receipts $\qquad$ Barrels | Average Price per Barrel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1893-94 | 235,000 | \% 3,43 |
| 1884-95 | 526,000 | 2.60 |
| 1895-96 | 736,000 | 2.21 |
| 1896-0.87 | 1,427,000 | 1.41 |
| 1897-98 | 877,000 | 2.66 |
| 1898-98 | 651,000 | 3.25 |
| 1898-1900 | 822,000 | 2.33 |
| 1900-01 | 896,000 | 2.50 |
| 1901-03 | 554,000 | 3.72 |
| 1902-03 | 1,540,000 | 2.08 |
| 1903-04 | 2,044,000 | 2.39 |
| 1904-05 | 1,855,000 | 2.09 |
| 1905-08 | 1,567,000 | 3,30 |
| 1906-07 | 2,228,000 | 2.64 |
| 1907 908 | 1,688,000 | 3,05 |
| 1808-09 | 1,858,000 | 3,22 |
| 1908-10 | 1,898,000 | 3.18 |
| 1810-11 | 1,932,000 | 3,57 |
| 1811-12 | 1,875,000 | 2.68 |
| 1813-13 | 2,438,000 | 2.58 |
| Average Price 1890 | to 1903 | 2.62 |
| Average Price 1803 | to 1913 | 2.87 |

Average Wholesale Prices and Receits of Apples (Ail Varieties) in Boston from 1885 to 1915

|  | Total Receipts $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. Price } \\ & \text { per Barrel } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1885-86 | 496,000 | 带 1.81 |
| 1886-87 | 618,000 | 2.00 |
| 1887-88 | 402,000 | 2.34 |
| 1888-88 | 545,000 | 2.89 |
| 1889-80 | 385,000 | 2.83 |
| 1890-91 | 191.000 | 3.89 |
| 1891-92 | 558,000 | 1.89 |
| 1883-93 | 575,000 | 2,44 |
| 1893-84 | 175.000 | 3.09 |
| 1894-35 | 685,000 | 2.03 |
| 1885-86 | 378,000 | 2.38 |
| 1896-87 | 1,131,000 | 1.27 |
| 1897--98 | 466,000 | 2.85 |
| 1898-99 | 418,000 | 2.63 |
| 1888-1900 | 498,000 |  |
| 1800-01 | 686,000 | 1.97 |
| 1901-0\% | 387,000 | 3.44 |
| 1902-03 | 1,259,000 | 1.98 |
| $1803-04$ $1804-05$ | 1,190,000 | -1.92 |
|  |  |  |
| 1905-66 | 709,000 | 3.11 |
| 1805-07 | 910,000 | 2.49 |
| 1907-08 | 750,000 | 2.86 |
| $1908-09$ $1909-1810$ | 465,000 | 2.78 |
|  |  |  |
| 1910-11 | 760,000 | 3.13 |
| 1911-12 | 768,000 | 2.34 |
| 1912-13 | 1,011,000 | 3.27 |
| 1913-14 | 531,000 | 1.95 |
| 1814-15 | 788,000 | 1.95 |
| Average Price |  |  |
| 1895 to 1895 | \$2.42 |  |
| 1895 to 1005 | 2.33 |  |
| 1905 to 1915 | 2.67 |  |

Marke ting Costs

| To | Spokane, Washington |  | Rochester, New York |  | Winchester, Va. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance } \\ & \text { (Miles) } \end{aligned}$ | *Rate per 100 pounds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance } \\ & \text { (Mi les) } \end{aligned}$ | *Rate per 100 pounds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance } \\ & \text { (MA les) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { per } 100 \\ & \text { pounds. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Chicago | 1835 | \$ 1.25 | 605 | \$ 0.31 | 770 | \$ 0.42 |
| Detroit | 2118 | 1 | 322 | .245 | 612 | . 32 |
| Indianapolis | 2019 | " | 536 | . 295 | 664 | . 39 |
| Cincinnati | 2120 | n | 514 | . 275 | 553 | . 36 |
| Pittsburgh | 2303 | 㫛 | 286 | . 215 | 302 | . 24 |
| Buffalo | 2371 | " | 69 | . 115 | 435 | .27 |
| Philadelphia | 2652 | N | 369 | . 225 | 223 | - 25 |
| New York | 2744 | " | 370 | . 225 | 315 | . 27 |
| Boston | 2868 | n | 428 | . 25 | 548 | . 295 |

. Rates in Effect March 31, 1920.
Freight Rates
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