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Platydoris angustipes Platydoris guarani new species

Abstract

Platydoris angustipes (Mörch, 1863) is a common nudibranch in the Western Atlantic, ranging from Florida, USA, to Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. In this study, we examined the anatomy of $P$. angustipes along its distribution, including its type material. Our analysis shows consistent differences between the Caribbean and Brazilian populations, mainly in the reproductive system, radular teeth and odontophore musculature. This strongly suggests that the two populations actually belong to distinct species. The Brazilian population is described herein as a new species, Platydoris guarani sp. n.


## Introduction

The nudibranch Platydoris angustipes (Mörch, 1863) is presently considered a widespread species in the western Atlantic, ranging from the Caribbean to southern Brazil, and reaching Ascension Island to the east (Rosenberg et al. 2009; Padula et al. 2017). It is very commonly found in Brazilian waters (Marcus and Marcus 1967; García et al. 2002; Valdés et al. 2006; Padula et al. 2012; Alvim and Pimenta 2013; Galvão-Filho et al. 2015; Padula et al. 2017).

In their phylogenetic analysis of the genus Platydoris, Dorgan et al. (2002) reviewed the morphological data from the literature noting the distribution of $P$. angustipes in the Caribbean Sea, but not in Brazil. However, Alvim and Pimenta (2013), in a taxonomical revision of Discodorididae, list $P$. angustipes for Brazilian waters.

Since there is doubt whether the Caribbean and Brazilian populations of Platydoris angustipes are the same
taxa, in the present study we performed a detailed comparative anatomical survey of them. This included the holotype, from Saint Thomas (U.S. Virgin Islands), and specimens collected in Honduras, the Virgin Islands and Brazil (Rio de Janeiro state). We were able to recognize significant morphological differences that led us to the description of the Brazilian population as a new species.

## Materials and methods

The studied material come from museum collections, consisting of specimens preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol. Dissections were performed under a stereomicroscope by standard techniques, with the specimens immersed in fixative (Simone 2004, 2011). The initial steps of the anatomical investigation were done through a longitudinal cut on the tissue covering the dorsal visceral mass. Digestive, circulatory, excretory, reproductive and central
nervous systems were investigated in detail. The terminology used for odontophore muscles was based on Ponder et al. (2008), Simone (2011) and Lima and Simone (2015). Digital photographs were taken at each dissection step. Illustrations were prepared with the aid of a camera lucida. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the radula were obtained at MZSP.

Institutions abbreviation: CAS - California Academy of Sciences (San Francisco, USA); ZMUC - Statens Naturhistoriske Museum (Copenhagen, Denmark); MZSP Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (São Paulo, Brazil); UFBA - Universidade Federal da Bahia (Bahia, Brazil).

The following abbreviations are used herein: aa: anterior aorta; $\mathbf{a b}$ : afferent branchial vein; $\mathbf{a n}$ : anus; $\mathbf{a m}$ : ampulla; ap: posterior aorta; au: auricle; at: aortic trunk; be: bursa copulatrix; bg: blood gland; bs: buccal sphincter; ce: cerebral ganglia; cp: pedal commissure; cu: caecum; dd: duct of digestive gland; dg: digestive gland; ds: salivary duct; es: oesophagus; ev: efferent branchial vein; ey: eye; fg: female gland; ft: foot; gb: buccal ganglia; gc: gill circle; ge: gonopore; gf: gill filament; gg: gastro-oesophageal ganglia; go: gonad; gp; pedal ganglia; hd: hermaphrodite duct; in: intestine; mo: mouth; $\mathbf{m 2} \mathbf{- m 1 0}$ : odontophore muscles; mt: oral tube muscle; ne: nephrostome; oc: odontophore cartilage; ot: oral tube; ov: oviduct; pa: papilla; pc: pericardium; pe: penis; pl: pleural ganglia; pr: prostate; ra: radula; rg: rhinophoral ganglia; ri: rhinophore; rm: retractor muscle gill; rp: reproductive system; rs: radular; sac; rv: renal vesicle; sg: salivary gland; st: stomach; sn: nervous system; $\mathbf{s p}$ : spine; $\mathbf{s r}$ : seminal receptacle; to: oral tentacle; ud: uterine duct; va: vagina; vd: vas deferent; ve: ventricle; vp: reproductive system vein; vn: nervous system vein: vs: radular sac vein.

## Results

## Family Discodorididae Bergh, 1891

Genus Platydoris Bergh, 1877
Type species. Doris argo Linnaeus, 1767, by subsequent designation (O'Donoghue 1929).

## Platydoris angustipes (Mörch, 1863)

[^0]Type locality. St. Thomas, U. S. Virgin Islands.

Redescription. External morphology (Figure 1A-E): Size $\sim 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ length, $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ width. Body dorsoventrally flattened and wide (Fig. 1A, B). Foot not exceeding notum (Fig. 1B). Rhinophores with $\sim 19$ transverse lamellae, rhinophoral sheaths with small lobes (Fig. 1C). Gill composed of six tripinnate branchial leaves, arranged in branched fashion with anus in middle of rachis; branchial sheaths also with small lobes (Fig. 1E). Mouth opens in anterior ventral region, between anterior region of notum and foot. Digitiform tentacles present. Anterior border of foot bilabiate, with longitudinal groove (Fig. 1B).

Haemocoel organs (Figure 2A-B): Pericardium and posterior half of visceral mass occupying $\sim 15 \%$ of haemocoel volume. Buccal mass located anteriorly, occupying $\sim 10 \%$ of haemocoel volume. Nervous system positioned dorsally in relation to buccal mass, covered by blood gland, occupying $\sim 5 \%$ of haemocoel volume. Reproductive system on right side of animal, occupying $\sim 25 \%$ of haemocoel volume. Stomach on left side of animal, intestine with small curve on its anterior portion; digestive gland system occupying $\sim 45 \%$ of haemocoel volume.

Circulatory and excretory systems (Figures 1D, E; 2A-C): Pericardial cavity dorsal, located posteriorly to digestive gland and anteriorly to gill circle (Fig. 2A). Afferent and efferent branches located inside each gill filament, flowing from and to afferent and efferent branchial veins, respectively (Fig. 1D). Gill retractor muscle split, originating from base of gill circle, running longitudinally up to half of foot, inserting into dorsal surface of foot (Fig. 2B). Auricle funnel-like (wider anteriorly), with thin walls. Ventricle slightly taller than wide, with thick muscular walls (Fig. 2C). Aortic trunk branches very close to ventricle; anterior artery irrigates reproductive system, buccal mass, odontophore and nervous system posterior artery irrigates stomach and digestive gland (Fig. 2C). Renal vesicle located on dorsal right side of pericardium, near the base of auricle, connecting to inner surface of pericardium, $\sim 1 / 6$ of ventricle size (Fig. 2A). Renal chamber extending from dorsal to medial sinus, anteriorly connected to renal vesicle, extending posteriorly to center of gill circle (Fig. 1E). Nephrostome readily visible. Blood gland clearly divided in anterior and posterior portions (Fig. 2A).

Digestive system (Figures 1E; 2A-B, D-E; 3A-E; $6 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ): Oral tube composed of outer and inner lips, with thick transversal fold; mt, three long pairs of retractor muscles of buccal mass, originating on oral tube and running dorsally and ventrally along it; inserting in the side of the body; about four times as wide and three times as long as m10 (Fig. 2D, E). Odontophore oval, connected to oral tube by pair of ventral protractor muscles (m10); thin longitudinal dorsal and ventrolateral protractors of oral sphincter originating in anterior region of odontophore and inserted in posterior region of integument, close to oral tube (Figs 2E; 3C). Oral sphincter surrounding chitinous part of oral tube (Fig. 2D). Odontophore muscles: m2, pair of strong buccal mass retractor muscles, four times longer than wide, originating on anterior


Figure 1. Platydoris angustipes, fixed animal A dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ rhinophore $\mathbf{D}$ gill filament with transversely sectioned showing afferent and efferent branchial ring $\mathbf{E}$ gill circle. Scale bars: $5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C})$; 2 mm (D, E).


Figure 2. Platydoris angustipes. Visceral mass A dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ circulatory system, dorsal view. Anterior digestive system $\mathbf{D}$ dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ same, ventral view. Scale bars: $5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ; 2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E})$.


Figure 3. Platydoris angustipes. A medium digestive system. Odontophore B dorsal view with radula C same, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view with removed radula $\mathbf{E}$ same, dorsal view with folded down muscles. Scale bars: 2 mm .

## A




Figure 4. Platydoris angustipes. Reproductive system $\mathbf{A}$ general view $\mathbf{B}$ detail of connection of uterine duct $\mathbf{C}$ detail of vagina's spines $\mathbf{D}$ detail of internal part of insertion of vas deferens $\mathbf{E}$ detail of penis's spines. Scale bars: 2 mm (A, B); $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E})$.


Figure 5. Platydoris angustipes. Nervous system A dorsal view B same, ventral view C buccal and gastroesophageal ganglia. Scale bars: 1 mm .
dorsal odontophore, running laterally to m 4 and inserted ventrally in dorsal portion of foot, bifurcate on insertion (Fig. 3B-D); m4, pair of strong and broad dorsal tensor muscles, as long as wide, covering $\sim 2 / 3$ of cartilage, inserted in ventral portion of subradular membrane (Fig. 3D, E); m5, pair of dorsal auxiliary tensor muscles, twice as long as wide, originating on posteriormost region of odontophore cartilage, covering $\sim 1 / 3$ of posterior cavity of odontophore, as long as $m 4$, but with $\sim 1 / 3$ of its width, inserting in ventral side of subradular membrane around radular sac (Fig. 3D, E); m6, unpaired horizontal muscle, with transversal fibers connecting to median surface of left and right odontophore cartilages, about same length and half as wide as m 4 , posterior portion as wide as anterior portion (Fig. 3D, E); m7, pair of thin and narrow muscles, originating on inner surface of odontophore cartilages, running together posteriorly, inserting into radular sac (Fig. 3D, E); m9, unpaired and horizontal muscle, originating on posterior portion of m 4 , connecting of the two components of the m4 pair (Fig. 3D, E). Pair of odontophore cartilages elliptical, occupying $\sim 2 / 5$ of odontophore volume (Fig. 3D, E). Subradular membrane thin, strong, translucent. Radular sac $\sim 1 / 4$ as large as odontophore. Radular teeth (Fig. 6A-C): rachidian teeth absent; formula $42 \times 62.0 .62$ (in 25 mm long specimen, CASIZ 76667). Each lateral tooth with broad base, tapering towards apex, hook-shaped, with single terminal cusp; two outermost teeth spatulate. Pair of salivary
glands long, tubular; duct inserting in anterior region of esophagus, extending posteriorly to ventral middle region of digestive gland (Fig. 2B). Esophagus simple, originating dorsally to odontophore, inserting directly in anterior region of stomach; internal longitudinal folds with same diameter along entire length (Figs 2D, E; 3A). Stomach oval, occupying $\sim 30 \%$ of visceral mass volume, with folds at the center of entire inner surface (Figs 2A; 3A). Common opening for esophagus and stomach located on digestive gland. Intestine with longitudinal folds along its entire length; diameter $\sim 1 / 2$ that of esophagus and more uniform than it (Fig. 3A). Digestive gland dark beige, cone-shaped; largest organ of visceral mass, occupying $\sim 40 \%$ of its volume; anterior portion about twice as wide as posterior portion; inner surface of gland sponge-like, bearing two distinct main ducts (Fig. 3A). Anus opening into anal papilla in the center of gill circle, $\sim 1 / 4$ of gill filament length (Fig. 1E).

Genital system (Figure 1B; 2B; 4A-E): Located between buccal mass and digestive gland, mostly dorsal-ly-positioned on right side of animal (Fig. 2B). Genital opening on ventral right side, on anterior third of animal, located between foot and notum (Fig. 1B). Gonad immersed in digestive gland, difficult to distinguish from it. Hermaphrodite duct thin, long. Ampulla located on female gland, elongated and tubular. Prostate rounded, glandular, connected with female gland duct, $\sim 1 / 3$ of ampulla length (Fig. 4A, D). Vas deferens short, $\sim 1 / 5$ of ampulla


Figure 6. SEM of radula of Platydoris angustipes. A panoramic view B central region with rachidian tooth absent C lateral teeth. Scale bars: $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A); $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (B); $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (C).
(Fig. 4D). Penis' muscle absent. Penis muscular, cylindrical and elongated, about three times longer than prostate; thin folds on internal surface, with cartilage between them and three small tubercles each with a central spine (Fig. 4D, E). Female gland well-developed, rounded, occupying $\sim 1 / 5 \%$ of reproductive system volume; divided into mucus gland ( $\sim 2 / 3$ of female gland, color beige) and albumen gland ( $\sim 1 / 3$ of anteriormost region, dilated, irregularly shaped, color dark brown) (Fig. 4B). Oviduct occupying $\sim 1 / 2$ of female gland's volume (Fig. 4A). Uterine duct thin, relatively short, $\sim 1 / 7$ of vagina length; located on the base of seminal receptacle, inserted in female gland near oviduct (Fig. 4B). Seminal receptacle rounded, as large as bursa copulatrix, $\sim 1 / 3$ of vagina length; connected to bursa copulatrix through stalk with $\sim 1 / 2$ of vagina length (Fig. 4B). Bursa copulatrix rounded, $\sim 1 / 3$ of vagina length, connected directly to vagina (Fig. 4B). Vagina cylindrical, elongated, approximately as long as and twice as wide as penis; internal surface with cartilage between folds and five large tubercles each with a central spine; located dorsally in relation to prostate and parallel to penis in genital opening (Fig. $4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ).

Nervous system (Figure 5A-C): Located dorsally in relation to odontophore, mostly covered by blood gland. Pair of cerebral and pleural ganglia fused with one another. Pedal ganglia ventrally fused with cerebral and pleural ganglia, but not fused between themselves. Pedal commissure simple, broad and short, surrounding esophagus and salivary glands (Fig. 5B). Buccal ganglia short, located ventrally to odontophore between radular sac and anterior portion of esophagus, connected to cerebral ganglia through long and slender connective tissue, united to gastro-esophageal ganglia by short connective tissue. Gastro-esophageal ganglia circular, $\sim 1 / 4$ of buccal ganglia length (Fig. 5C). Rhinophoral (olfactory) ganglia inconspicuous. Dorsal eyes located on cerebral ganglia (Fig. 5A).

Distribution. United States of America (Florida and Virgin Islands) (Marcus and Marcus 1967; Marcus and Marcus 1970); Cuba (Guanahacabibes Peninsula) (Espinosa et al. 2012); Cayman Islands (Hess et al. 1994); Panama (Bocas del Toro) (Goodheart et al. 2016); Jamaica (Marcus and Marcus 1970); Curaçao (Marcus and Marcus
1970); Trinidad \& Tobago (White 1952); Ascension Island (Padula et al. 2017).

Habitat. On reefs, tide pools, from 0 to 73 m depth.
Material examined. CARRIBEAN SEA, West Indies, Lesser Antilles, Martinique, cliffs S of St. Pierre, CASIZ 76667, 1 specimen (William Liltved on "Gloriamaris", 28/ix/1986, 10-85ft - Liltved - West Indies Cruise 1986); HONDURAS, MZSP 75996, 1 specimen (Col. Marcus, P-938); Saint Thomas, ZMUC-GAS 1505, 1 specimen (Riise 152 - Holotype of Doris angustipes); Saint Croix, Virgin Island, ZMUC-GAS 2020, 1 specimen (Riise 1860 - Holotype of Platydoris angustipes alaleta).

## Platydoris guarani sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/632F273F-E1D3-4808-8D68-D21199975575 Figures 7-11

Platydoris angustipes Er. Marcus, 1957: 422, fig. 81-89; Ev. Marcus 1972: 79; García et al. 2002: 53, fig.2K; García et al. 2008: 148; Alvim and Pimenta 2013: 186, figs. 2C; 21-22; Padula et al. 2012: 3 (non Mörch 1863).

Type material. Holotype: BRAZIL, Rio de Janeiro, Ilha Grande, Angra dos Reis, MZSP 86082, 1 specimen (E.P. Gonçalves, L.R. Simone \& P. Oristanio, coll., 24/ix/2006, 17 m depth, Ponto 3, Pinguino Wreck). Paratype: BRAZIL, Rio de Janeiro, Ilha Grande, Angra dos Reis, MZSP 134877, 2 specimens (E.P. Gonçalves, L.R. Simone \& P. Oristanio, coll., 24/ix/2006, 17 m depth, Ponto 3, Pinguino Wreck).

Type locality. Brazil, Rio de Janeiro state, Angra dos Reis.
Etymology. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition, derived from the native Guarani indigenous people, some tribes of which still reside in Rio de Janeiro.

Diagnosis. Body of orange color, with a white ribbon on its edge and brown spots just above the ribbon (they can be seen both dorsally and ventrally). Radula with outermost teeth not spatulate, with apex hook-shaped; cusp simple and smooth. Presence of m 4 a and m 7 b odontophore muscles. Gonad readily visible. Absence of spines on internal surface of penis and vagina.

Description. External morphology (Figure 7A-C): Size $\sim 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ length, $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ width. Body color orange with white ribbon on its edge and brown spots just above the ribbon that can be seen both dorsally and ventrally (Fig. 7A-B). Body flattened and wide with small tubercles around dorsum. Rhinophores with $\sim 25$ transversal lamellae, very thin; color dark orange; rhinophoral sheaths with very small lobes (Fig. 7C). Gill composed of six white tripinnate branched branchial leaves, arranged in circular fashion surrounding anus; branchial sheaths also with very small tubercles (Fig. 7C). Mouth opens in anterior ventral region, between anterior region of notum
and foot. Digitiform tentacles present. Anterior border of foot bilabiate and longitudinally notched.

Haemocoel organs: Of similar proportions as $P$. angustipes (see above).

Circulatory and excretory systems (Figure 8A, B): Same as $P$. angustipes, but with renal vesicle very large, well-developed, of about same length and width as ventricle (Fig. 8B), extending from dorsal to medial sinus, anteriorly connected to renal vesicle, extending posteriorly to center of gill circle and opening in nephrostome (Fig. 8A). Nephrostome pore not readily apparent.

Digestive system (Figures 8C, D; 9A-D; 11A-C): Same pattern as $P$. angustipes, but with the following differences: m2, twice as long, not bifurcated on insertion (Fig. 9A, B); m4a, pair of thin muscles originating in posterior region of m 4 and inserting in the middle of odontophore cartilages, dorsally connected to m 7 b (Fig. 9D); $\mathbf{m 7 b}$, pair of thin and short muscles originating in posterior region of m6, with joint insertion with posterior part of m 7 (Fig. 9C, D). Radular sac $\sim 1 / 5$ as large as odontophore (Fig. 9A, B). Radular teeth (Fig. 11A-C): anterior region broader than in $P$. angustipes; rachidian teeth also absent; formula $35 \times 60.0 .60$ (in 60 mm long specimen, MZSP86082). Each lateral tooth with broad base, tapering towards apex, hook-shaped, with single terminal cusp; outermost teeth narrower than in P. angustipes, inner base width $\sim 1 / 2$ lateral teeth width, apex also hookshaped, cusp simple and smooth (Fig. 11C). Pair of salivary glands long, tubular, bulging in anterior portion and tapering posteriorly; duct inserting in anterior region of esophagus, extending posteriorly to ventral middle region of digestive gland (Fig. 8D). Esophagus simple, originating dorsally to odontophore, inserting directly in anterior region of stomach; longitudinal folds on inner surface with same diameter along esophagus' entire length. Stomach oval, with folds on the center of entire inner surface (Fig. 8C). Common opening for esophagus, stomach and caecum located on digestive gland. Intestine with longitudinal folds along its entire length; diameter similar to that of esophagus; anterior portion S-shaped, about twice longer than in P. angustipes (Fig. 8C). Caecum: short elongated sac, located ventrally to stomach, opening in anterior portion of stomach close to esophageal insertion; $\sim 1 / 12$ length and $\sim 1 / 5$ width of stomach (Fig. 8C). Digestive gland dark beige; largest organ of visceral mass; cone-shaped, anterior portion about twice as wide as posterior portion; inner surface of gland sponge-like, bearing distinct main duct. Anus opening into anal papilla on the center of gill circle, similar to P. angustipes.

Genital system (Figure 10A-B): Located between buccal mass and digestive gland, longitudinal on right side of animal. Genital opening on right side, on anterior third of animal, located between foot and notum. Gonad circling around all digestive gland, but easy to distinguish, unlike in $P$. angustipes. Hermaphrodite duct thin, long. Ampulla located on female gland, elongated and tubular. Prostate rounded, glandular, of same length as ampulla (Fig. 10A). Vas deferens about same length


Figure 7. Platydoris guarani, living animals. A. Dorsal view, specimen from Papagaio, Cabo Frio, Rio de Janeiro (MZSP 97331). B. Same, ventral view. C. Living animal with spawning, specimen from Enseada da Meia Lua, Cabo Frio, Rio de Janeiro (MZSP 97625). All photograph by V. Padula.
as ampulla Penis muscular, cylindrical and very broad, $\sim 1 / 2$ length and three times wider than ampulla, without spines (Fig. 10A). Female gland well-developed, rounded, occupying $\sim 20 \%$ of reproductive system volume; divided into mucus gland ( $\sim 2 / 3$ of female gland, color beige) and albumen gland ( $\sim 1 / 3$ of anteriormost region, dilated, irregularly shaped, color dark brown). Oviduct occupying $\sim 1 / 5$ of female gland volume (Fig. 10A). Uterine duct thin, relatively short, length $\sim 1 / 10$ of vagina length, located on the base of seminal receptacle, inserted in female gland near oviduct (Fig. 10B). Seminal receptacle elongate, as long as bursa copulatrix and $\sim 1 / 3$ its width; connected to vagina through stalk with same length and $\sim 1 / 2$ width of vagina (Fig. 10B). Bursa copulatrix rounded, $\sim 1 / 2$ length of vagina, connected to vagina posteriorly to seminal receptacle (Fig. 10B). Vagina cylindrical, very broad, with wide and thick folds, without spines; approximately as long and as wide as penis;
positioned dorsally in relation to prostate and parallel to penis in genital opening (Fig. 10B).

Nervous system (Figure): Same as in $P$. angustipes.
Distribution. Brazil (Valdés et al. 2006). Pernambuco: Fernando de Noronha (García et al. 2002); Alagoas: Saco da Pedra (Padula et al. 2012); Bahia: Praia de Itapoã (García et al. 2008); Rio de Janeiro: Cabo Frio: Ilha Comprida; Arraial do Cabo: Prainha (Alvim and Pimenta 2014).

Habitat. Under stones, associated with sponges and ascidians (García et al. 2002), from 0 to 17 m depth.

Material examined. Types. Additional material: BRAZIL, Rio de Janeiro, Enseada da Meia Lua, Cabo Frio, MZSP 97625, 1 specimens (V. Padula, coll., 23/iv/2010); Ilha dos Papagaios, MZSP 97515, 1 specimen (V. Padul, coll., 17/x/2009).


Figure 8. Platydoris guarani. A circulatory and excretory system, ventral view $\mathbf{B}$ renal vesicle $\mathbf{C}$ medium digestive system, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ detail of salivar glands. Scale bars: $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}) ; 5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C})$.


Figure 9. Platydoris guarani. Odontophore. A dorsal view with radula B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, dorsal view with removed radula $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view, with folded down muscles. Scale bars: 2 mm .


Figure 10. Platydoris guarani. Reproductive system A general ventral view $\mathbf{B}$ detail of Bursa copulatrix, seminal receptacle, uterine duct and vagina. Scale bars: 2 mm .


Figure 11. SEM of radula of Platydoris guarani. A panoramic view $\mathbf{B}$ central region with rachidian tooth absent $\mathbf{C}$ lateral teeth. Scale bars: $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (A); $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (B); $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C})$.

## Discussion

In the previous anatomical and phylogenetic studies including Platydoris angustipes (Mörch 1863; Bergh 1877; Marcus and Marcus 1967; Dorgan et al. 2002) and P. guarani sp. n. (Marcus 1957; Padula et al. 2012; Alvim and Pimenta 2013), several external diagnostic features have been used to distinguish them, but no suggestions of separating them was ever made. Such features included: the rounded and dorsoventrally flattened body, the foot not exceeding notum, the dorsum covered with small caryophillidia, the digitiform oral tentacles, the bilabiate and longitudinally-notched anterior border of the foot, and the six tripinnate branchial leaves. Moreover, the color pattern of the body of $P$. guarani was deemed distinctive, with a white ribbon on its edge and dark spots on both dorsal and ventral parts of the notum (García et al. 2002; Alvim and Pimenta 2013).

Not all of the differences mentioned above are actually consistent, but the unique set of distinct features found in the present study between the Caribbean and Brazilian specimens, allow the separation in two distinct species. The main diagnostic features are discussed below.

The rhinophore of $P$. angustipes has circa 19 lamellae, while P. guarani has circa 25. However, Dorgan et al. (2002) reported 25 lamellae for P. angustipes and Alvim and Pimenta (2013) reported 19-25 lamellae for P. guarani. As such, this possible diagnostic feature should be studied on a larger sample.

Regarding the circulatory system, the renal vesicle of P. angustipes has approximately $1 / 6$ of the ventricle size, while in $P$. guarani this structure is very large, of about the same size as the ventricle. Moreover, the nephrostome in $P$. angustipes is readily visible (Fig. 1E), whereas in P. guarani it is not.

Some differences were found in the anterior portion of the digestive system, particularly in the odontophore muscles: mt and m 2 are very long in $P$. angustipes (Fig. 2D, E), while those of $P$. guarani are about half the size (Fig. 9A, B); m 2 bifurcates on its insertion in $P$. angustipes (Fig. 3C), which is not the case in P. guarani. Two muscles occur only in P. guarani, namely m7b and m4a (Fig. 9C, D).

In addition, there are visible differences in the midgut. In $P$. angustipes the cecum is circa half the length of the midgut (Fig. 3A), while in P. guarani it is much shorter,
about $10 \%$ of the midgut (Fig. 8C). The anterior portion of the intestine of $P$. guarani is S-shaped (Fig. 8C), while that of $P$. angustipes is straight (Fig. 3A).

The posterior end of the radula of $P$. guarani (Fig. 11A) is wider than the same region of P. angustipes (Fig. 6A). In $P$. guarani, the two outermost lateral teeth are narrower than in $P$. angustipes (about half the width; Fig. 11C) and hook-shaped. The outermost lateral teeth of the radula of P. angustipes are wide (Fig. 6C), as observed by Dorgan et al. (2002: fig. 11C), with the two outermost teeth spatulate. The same occurs in P. guarani (Marcus and Marcus 1970), but the two outermost spatulate teeth have shorter cusps and several denticles (see fig. 121); this can also be observed in young rows of teeth.

There are also differences in the reproductive system. In $P$. angustipes it is very difficult to distinguish the gonad from the digestive gland, whereas in P. guarani, the gonad surrounds the digestive gland, being readily visible (Fig. 8C). In both species, we could not observe the accessory gland (contra Marcus 1957; Dorgan et al. 2002; Alvim and Pimenta 2013).

The most significant difference between $P$. angustipes and $P$. guarani is the absence of spines and cuticle in both the vagina and penis of $P$. guarani (Fig. 10A, B). An additional diagnostic feature was given by Dorgan et al. (2002): P. angustipes has more spines on the inner surface of the penis (even more than what we observed, only three prominent spines; Fig. 4E).

Furthermore, Platydoris guarani is clearly distinguishable from other species of Platydoris by the absence of spines and cuticle in both vagina and penis, and absence of the accessory gland. Platydoris guarani resembles $P$. carolynae (Dorgan et al. 2002: fig. 29C) in the hookshape of outermost teeth.

In conclusion, the most characteristic differences between the two populations of Platydoris, Brazilian and Caribbean, reside in the digestive system, especially in the odontophore, radula and reproductive system. Based on these findings, Platydoris angustipes (Mörch, 1863) is here restricted as a Caribbean species, while the Brazilian population is described as a new species, Platydoris guarani. The analysis of additional specimens is still necessary to ascertain which species actually occurs on Ascension Island.
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#### Abstract

Three new species of Melanorivulus are described from the upper and middle Rio Paraná Basin, central Brazilian Cerrado. These species are members of the M. pictus species group, endemic to central Brazilian plateaus and adjacent areas, and are easily diagnosed by colour pattern characters, but their relationships with other congeners of the group are still uncertain. Melanorivulus proximus sp. n., from the middle Rio Aporé drainage, and M. nigromarginatus sp. n., from the Rio Corrente drainage, are possibly more closely related to other species endemic to streams draining the slopes of the Caiapó range, whereas M. linearis sp. n., from the upper Rio Pardo drainage, middle Rio Paraná Basin, is considered more closely related to $M$. egens, a species also endemic to this part of the Basin. This study corroborates the high diversity of species of Melanorivulus in the central Brazilian Cerrado plateaus repeatedly reported in previous studies, indicating once more that different species are often found restricted to short segments of the same river drainage. The intense habitat loss recorded in recent years combined to the high species diversity limited to specific Cerrado freshwater ecosystems, the veredas, indicates that species of Melanorivulus endemic to this part of the Brazilian Cerrado are highly threatened with extinction.


## Introduction

The Cerrado savannas of central Brazil, with an area of about $2,000,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, is among the 25 most important biodiversity hotspots of the world (Myers et al. 2000). The present study is directed to a group of small killifishes of the genus Melanorivulus Costa, 2006, with species reaching 45 mm of standard length (SL) or less, inhabiting the shallowest parts of the veredas, a typical Cerrado ecosystem comprising streams bordered by the buriti-palm (Mauritia flexuosa). About 50 valid species are presently placed in Melanorivulus, formerly considered as a subgenus of Rivulus Poey, 1860 (Costa 2011). Melanorivulus is similar to other South American aplocheiloid killifishes living in similar biotopes, such as Anablepsoides Huber, 1992, Atlantirivulus Costa, 2008, Cynodonichthys Meek, 1904, Laimosemion Huber, 1999, Rivulus Poey, 1860, which have slender body, short fins
and long neural prezygapophyses on caudal vertebrae (Costa 1990). Species of Melanorivulus are distinguishable from species of those genera by the presence of black pigmentation concentrated on the whole margin of the caudal fin and on the distal margin of the dorsal and anal fins in females, ventral process of the angulo-articular short or rudimentary, and preopercular canal absent (Costa 2011).

A great diversity of species of Melanorivulus has been reported for the Cerrado region drained by the Rio Paraná Basin, the second largest river basin in South America. A total of 14 endemic species have been described for this region (Costa 1989, 2005, 2007a, b, 2008; Nielsen et al. 2016; Volcan and Lanés 2017). These species belong to different and not closely related species groups (Costa et al. 2016): the M. punctatus group, containing slender small species, mainly diagnosed by the presence of oblique rows of red dots on the flank in males (e.g., Costa
2005); the M. pinima group, easily diagnosed by the reduction of black pigmentation on the head and humeral region in males, presence of longitudinal rows of red dots on the flank, and a longitudinally elongated white to light yellow mark above caudal spot in females (Costa 2007a), and the M. pictus group, diagnosed by a deeper body (i.e., body depth reaching about $25 \% \mathrm{SL}$ ) and oblique red bars on the flank (Costa 2017). Eleven of the 14 endemic species are members of the last group, which are mostly concentrated in the rivers draining the Caiapó range and in the south adjacent areas of the middle Rio Paraná Basin (Costa 2005, 2012).

The M. pictus group was first studied by Costa (1989), based on fish collections deposited in the Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, when M. apiamici (Costa, 1989), M. pictus (Costa, 1989) and M. vittatus (Costa, 1989) were described. In September 1994, efforts were directed to firstly sample typical Melanorivulus habitats along the Paraná River Basin, but based on the great morphological variability found among populations inhabiting close neighbouring areas, Costa (1995) concluded that all populations of this vast geographical area belong to a single polymorphic species, M. pictus. However, after more accurate field studies since 2004, numerous new species have been continuously described for the Paraná and other adjacent river basins (e.g., Costa 2005, 2006, 2012, 2017; Deprá et al. 2017). These studies have indicated that each species is limited to small areas and that different species may inhabit the same river drainage at different altitudes (Costa 2007b, 2017; Volcan et al. 2017). However, some new species collected in recent years are still await formal descriptions. In this paper, three new species of the M. pictus group from the Parana River Basin are described.

## Materials and methods

Specimens were captured with small dip nets ( $40 \times$ 30 cm ) and fixed in formalin for a period of 10 days, and then transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol. Collections were made with permits provided by ICMBio (Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade) and field methods have been approved by CEUA-CCS-UFRJ (Ethics Committee for Animal Use of Federal University of Rio de Janeiro; permit number: 01200.001568/2013-87). Material is deposited in Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) and Coleção Ictiológica do Centro de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Chapadinha (CICCAA). Descriptions of colouration of living fish were based on observations just after collections, in small transparent plastic bottles. Type specimens were photographed live about 24 hours after collection. Measurements and counts follow Costa (1988). Measurements are presented as percentages of standard length (SL), except for those related to head morphology, which are expressed as percentages of head length. Fin-ray
counts include all elements. Osteological preparations followed Taylor and Van Dyke (1985); the abbreviation C\&S in lists of material indicates those specimens that were cleared and stained for osteological examination. Terminology for osteological structures followed Costa (2006), for frontal squamation Hoedeman (1958), and for cephalic neuromast series Costa (2001). In lists of material, geographical features are written according to Brazilian Portuguese local use (e.g., córrego, ribeirão, rio), allowing more accurate identifications of localities in the field and avoiding common mistakes when tentatively translating them to English.

## Results

## Melanorivulus proximus sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/79A16259-1DEF-4F9E-8AE1-047802792F3F Figs 1-2, Table 1

Rivulus pictus (non Rivulus pictus Costa, 1989); Costa 1995: 216 (misidentification).
Rivulus scalaris (non Rivulus scalaris Costa, 2005); Costa 2005: 79 (misidentification).

Holotype. UFRJ 11681, male, 27.7 mm SL; Brazil: Mato Grosso do Sul state: Cassilândia municipality: stream crossing the road MS-306, Rio Aporé drainage, Rio Paraná Basin, $19^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 515 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 20 Sep. 2011.

Paratypes. All from Brazil: Rio Aporé drainage, upper Rio Paraná Basin. Mato Grosso do Sul state: UFRJ 10792, 4 males, $25.6-30.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 1 female, 25.6 mm SL; UFRJ 10793, 1 male, 23.6 mm SL, 1 female, 21.1 mm SL (C\&S); collected with holotype. - UFRJ 10788, 6 males, 15.727.7 mm SL, 4 females, $24.0-27.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; UFRJ 10789 , 3 males, $20.9-21.9 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}, 2$ females, $18.5-20.0 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$ (C\&S); CICCAA 00692, 5 males, 21.9-25.8 mm SL, 5 females, $21.7-25.6 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$; Cassilândia municipality: road MS-306, $19^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 52^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 540 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 20 Sep. 2011.- UFRJ 2207, 8 males, $20.2-27.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 12 females, $17.8-35.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL.; UFRJ 2280, 1 male, 25.9 mm SL, 2 females, 27.9-28.7 mm SL (C\&S); Cassilândia municipality: swamp close to Ribeirão Grande, road MS-306, about 30 km SE from the town of Cassilândia, $19^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 495 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 17 Sep. 1994. Goiás state: UFRJ 10821, 1 male, 27.7 mm SL, 1 female, 22.7 mm SL; UFRJ 10822, 4 males, $15.6-18.4 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}, 12$ females, $16.2-22.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; Itajá municipality: Ribeirão Bagageiro, road GO-302, $19^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 455 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa, B.B. Costa \& C.P. Bove, 15 Jan. 2007.

Additional material (non-types). UFRJ 10819, 12; Brazil: Goiás state: Itajá municipality: road GO-302, $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 440 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 20 Sep. 2011


Figure 1. Melanorivulus proximus sp. n., holotype, UFRJ 11681, male, 27.7 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.


Figure 2. Melanorivulus proximus sp. n., paratype, UFRJ 10792, female, 26.6 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.

Diagnosis. Melanorivulus proximus is distinguished from all other congeners of the M. pictus group except M. scalaris by the presence of irregularly arranged, interconnected oblique red bars on flank, forming Y- and X-shaped marks. Melanorivulus proximus is distinguished from M. scalaris by: caudal fin base colour pale orangish pink in females (vs. pale yellow); dorsal and anal fin sharply pointed in males (vs. rounded to moderately pointed), dorsal-fin origin at vertical between base of 9th and 10th (vs. between base of 7th and 8th); longitudinal series of scales 29-30 (vs. 31-34); pre-dorsal length longer in males (75.9-78.4 \% SL vs. 73.0-75.0 \% SL); longer anal-fin base (21.1-24.7 \% SL in males and 18.8-21.4 \% SL in females vs. 18.1-21.0 \% SL in males and $16.2-18.5 \%$ SL in females); and fewer infraorbital neuromasts around orbit (9-10 vs. 11-12).

Description. Morphometric data appear in Table 1. Body relatively deep, subcylindrical anteriorly, slightly deeper than wide, compressed posteriorly. Greatest body depth at
vertical just anterior to pelvic-fin base. Dorsal and ventral profiles of trunk slightly convex in lateral view, approximately straight on caudal peduncle. Head moderately wide, sub-triangular in lateral view, dorsal profile nearly straight, ventral profile convex. Snout blunt. Jaws short; teeth numerous, conical, irregularly arranged; outer teeth hypertrophied, inner teeth small and numerous. Vomerine teeth 3-5. Gill-rakers on first branchial arch 2+7-8.

Dorsal and anal fins short, sharply pointed in males, rounded to slightly pointed in females. Caudal fin rounded, slightly longer than deep. Pectoral fin rounded, posterior margin reaching vertical at about $90 \%$ of length between pectoral-fin and pelvic-fin bases. Pelvic fin small, longer in males, tip reaching between base of 2 nd and 3rd anal-fin rays in males, reaching anus in females; pelvic-fin bases medially in close proximity. Dorsal-fin origin at vertical between base of 9th and 10th anal-fin rays. Dorsal-fin rays $9-11$; anal-fin rays $13-15$; caudal-fin rays $30-32$; pectoral-fin rays $13-14$; pelvic-fin rays 7 . No contact organs on fins. Second proximal radial of dorsal


Figure 3. Geographical distribution of killifishes of the Melanorivulus pictus species group in the rivers draining the south-eastern slope of the Caiapó range and the adjacent middle Rio Paraná Basin. Blue triangle: M. vittatus; light green dot: M. scalaris; light green triangle: M. linearis; pink lozenges: M. faucireticulatus; pink triangle: M. egens; purple dot: M. nigropunctatus; purple stars: M. proximus; red square: M. rutilicaudus; red triangle: M. ofaie; yellow dots: M. nigromarginatus; yellow stars: M. formosensis.
fin between neural spines of $18^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ vertebrae; first proximal radial of anal fin between pleural ribs of 13th and 14th vertebrae; total vertebrae 30-31.

Scales small, cycloid. Body and head entirely scaled, except anterior ventral surface of head. Body squamation extending over anterior $25 \%$ of caudal-fin base; no scales on dorsal, anal and pectoral-fin bases. Frontal squamation E-patterned; E-scales not overlapping medially; scales arranged in regular circular pattern around A-scale without exposed margins; transverse row of scales anterior to H -scale. Five supraorbital scales. Longitudinal series of scales 29-30; transverse series of scales 8 ; scale rows around caudal peduncle 16 . No contact organs on scales. Cephalic neuromasts: supraorbital $3+3$, parietal 1 , anterior rostral 1, posterior rostral 1, infraorbital $1+9-10+$ 1 , preorbital 2 , otic 1 , post-otic 2 , supratemporal 1 , median opercular 1, ventral opercular 1, preopercular $2+$ 4 , mandibular $3+1$, lateral mandibular $2-3$, paramandibular 1. Lateral line interrupted, alternating sets of 3-4 scales with one neuromast and without neuromasts. Two neuromasts on caudal-fin base.

Colouration in life. Males. Flank metallic greenish blue to bright blue, with narrow oblique red bars between humeral region and posterior portion of caudal peduncle;
bars irregularly arranged, forming chevron-like marks with angle varying in position on flank, often connected to short adjacent bars, forming Y- and X-shaped marks; bars with minute vertical extensions on each scale margin; dorsal portion of flank with oblique rows of red dots; anteroventral portion of flank with rows of red dots, often coalesced to form zigzag red marks. Dorsolateral portion of body, between posterior part of head and anterior part of flank, above humeral region, pale golden. Humeral region with horizontally elongated black spot. Dorsum light brown, venter white. Opercular region greenish golden with dark red reticulation on scale margins; suborbital region yellowish white; lower jaw dark grey. Iris pale yellow, with dark brown bar on anterior and posterior portions. Dorsal fin bluish white, sometimes yellowish on distal portion, with 4-5 transverse, narrow faint red or red stripes. Anal fin pale yellow, base and posterior portion bluish white with row of light red dots or short stripes. Caudal fin pale yellow to bluish white, with 5-6 narrow red or reddish orange stripes. Pectoral fin yellowish hyaline. Pelvic fin orangish pale yellow.

Females. Similar to males, except flank base colour pale greenish golden; dorsal and caudal fin bars dark grey; caudal fin base colour pale orangish pink; and pres-

Table 1. Morphometric data of Melanorivulus proximus.

| Measurements | Holotype | Paratypes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | male | males (7) | females (5) |
| Standard length (mm) | 27.7 | $25.6-30.0$ | $24.0-27.4$ |
| Percent of standard length |  |  |  |
| Body depth | 25.6 | $22.6-25.0$ | $22.8-23.5$ |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 15.1 | $14.1-14.9$ | $13.1-14.2$ |
| Pre-dorsal length | 78.4 | $75.9-78.3$ | $76.1-79.7$ |
| Pre-pelvic length | 57.1 | $54.7-56.5$ | $55.0-57.9$ |
| Length of dorsal-fin base | 11.2 | $10.4-12.8$ | $10.7-12.9$ |
| Length of anal-fin base | 22.2 | $21.1-24.7$ | $18.8-21.4$ |
| Caudal-fin length | 36.9 | $33.7-39.8$ | $31.6-36.8$ |
| Pectoral-fin length | 22.8 | $19.9-22.3$ | $18.9-22.8$ |
| Pelvic-fin length | 12.4 | $12.0-13.4$ | $9.1-9.6$ |
| Head length | 29.3 | $27.0-29.2$ | $26.9-29.3$ |

Percent of head length

| Head depth | 72.0 | $68.6-72.8$ | $69.3-73.0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head width | 71.1 | $70.5-73.4$ | $72.7-76.0$ |
| Snout length | 13.5 | $13.6-15.2$ | $14.5-16.8$ |
| Lower jaw length | 18.4 | $19.9-22.0$ | $19.7-21.8$ |
| Eye diameter | 33.2 | $30.3-34.6$ | $30.2-35.7$ |

ence of black spot on dorsal portion of caudal-fin base and dark grey pigmentation concentrated on distal margins of dorsal and anal fins, anterior margin of pelvic fin and entire caudal-fin margin.

Colouration in alcohol. Head and trunk pale brown, fins whitish hyaline; dark marks recorded for live specimens varying from dark brown to black.

Distribution. Middle section of the Rio Aporé drainage, upper Rio Paraná Basin, central Brazil (Fig. 3).

Etymology. From the Latin proximus (near, neighbour), referring to its distribution area at the same drainage as M. scalaris.

## Melanorivulus nigromarginatus sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/FC9E4B2E-47F2-46E4-B779-67CB5B81E667
Figs 4-5, Table 2
Holotype. UFRJ 8434, male, 27.6 mm SL; Brazil: Goiás state: Itarumã municipality: Córrego Lajeado, Rio Corrente drainage, upper Rio Paraná Basin, road GO-178, $18^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 470 m asl; W. J. E. M. Costa et al., 20 Sep. 2011.

Paratypes. Brazil: Estado de Goiás: Município de Itarumã: all from the Corrente River drainage, upper Paraná River Basin. UFRJ 8436, 5 males, 23.4-24.7 mm SL, 8 females, $23.0-27.7 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$; CICCAA 00693, 5 males, $19.4-23.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 5 females, $20.4-24.0 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$; UFRJ 8435, 1 male, 23.3 mm SL, 5 females, 22.5-25.4 mm SL (C\&S); collected with holotype. - UFRJ 8440, 11 males, $25.4-35.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 7 females, $24.7-30.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; UFRJ 8442, 4 males, $27.6-32.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 2 females,
26.6-27.9 mm SL (C\&S); Córrego Barreiro, road GO$178,18^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 51^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude 498 m ; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 15 Jan. 2007. - UFRJ 8439, 2 males, 27.030.0 mm SL, 1 female, 25.1 mm SL; same locality as UFRJ 8440; W.J.E.M. Costa et al., 20 Sep. 2011.

Diagnosis. Melanorivulus nigromarginatus is similar to M. egens and M. linearis, and distinguished from all other species of the M. pictus group by the presence of a black distal marginal stripe on the anal fin in males (vs. absence). Melanorivulus nigromarginatus is distinguished from $M$. egens and $M$. linearis by having melanophores strongly concentrated on the post-orbital and humeral regions, forming a stripe (vs. weakly concentrated, not forming distinct marks); presence of red dots on the anteroventral portion of flank (vs. absence); presence of red bars on most portion of caudal fin in males (vs. bars absent in M. egens and bars restricted to the dorsal portion of the caudal fin in M. linearis); and red chevron-shaped marks irregularly distributed on the flank (vs. regularly). In addition, although not useful to distinguish all specimens, M. nigromarginatus often have more scales in the longitudinal series than M. egens and M. linearis (31-33 vs. 29-31).

Description. Morphometric data appear in Table 2. Body relatively deep, sub-cylindrical anteriorly, deeper than wide, compressed posteriorly. Greatest body depth at vertical just anterior to pelvic-fin base. Dorsal and ventral profiles of trunk slightly convex in lateral view; dorsal and ventral profiles of caudal peduncle nearly straight. Head moderately wide, sub-triangular in lateral view, dorsal profile nearly straight, ventral profile convex. Snout blunt. Jaws short; teeth numerous, conical, irregularly arranged; outer teeth hypertrophied, inner teeth small and numerous. Vomerine teeth $2-5$. Gill-rakers on first branchial arch $1+8$.

Dorsal and anal fins short, tip slightly pointed in males, rounded in females. Caudal fin rounded, slightly longer than deep. Pectoral fin rounded, posterior margin reaching vertical just anterior to pelvic-fin insertion. Pelvic fin small, longer in males, tip reaching between urogenital papilla and base of 2nd anal-fin ray in male, reaching anus in females; pelvic-fin bases medially in close proximity. Dorsal-fin origin at vertical between base of 8th and 9th anal-fin rays. Dorsal-fin rays $10-11$; anal-fin rays $13-15$; caudal-fin rays 31-34; pectoral-fin rays 13; pelvic-fin rays $6-7$. No contact organs on fins. Second proximal radial of dorsal fin between neural spines of 19th and 21st vertebrae; first proximal radial of anal fin between pleural ribs of 13th and 15th vertebrae; total vertebrae 30-32.

Scales small, cycloid. Body and head entirely scaled, except anterior ventral surface of head. Body squamation extending over anterior $25 \%$ of caudal-fin base; no scales on dorsal and anal-fin bases. Frontal squamation E-patterned; E-scales not overlapping medially; scales arranged in regular circular pattern around A -scale without exposed margins. Longitudinal series of scales 30-33;


Figure 4. Melanorivulus nigromarginatus sp. n., holotype, UFRJ 8434, male, 27.6 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.


Figure 5. Melanorivulus nigromarginatus sp. n., paratype, UFRJ 8436, female, 23.8 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.

Table 2. Morphometric data of Melanorivulus nigromarginatus.

| Measurements | Holotype | Paratypes |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | male | males (10) | females (8) |  |  |
| Standard length (mm) | 27.6 | $25.4-35.6$ | $24.7-30.8$ |  |  |
| Percent of standard length | 22.4 | $23.4-24.7$ | $22.1-24.6$ |  |  |
| Body depth | 14.4 | $14.2-15.5$ | $13.1-15.0$ |  |  |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 74.4 | $73.7-77.4$ | $76.6-78.5$ |  |  |
| Pre-dorsal length | 55.5 | $53.9-56.4$ | $56.4-58.8$ |  |  |
| Pre-pelvic length | 13.9 | $12.5-15.7$ | $11.2-13.2$ |  |  |
| Length of dorsal-fin base | 22.8 | $21.1-25.0$ | $18.1-21.6$ |  |  |
| Length of anal-fin base | 36.6 | $33.0-38.3$ | $32.7-36.9$ |  |  |
| Caudal-fin length | 20.6 | $20.5-23.2$ | $20.4-22.6$ |  |  |
| Pectoral-fin length | 27.3 | $12.2-15.2$ | $9.4-10.4$ |  |  |
| Pelvic-fin length | $67.2-27.5$ | $26.8-28.5$ |  |  |  |
| Head length | 74.4 | 73 |  |  |  |
| Percent of head length | 14.7 | $13.4-7-15.5$ | $12.6-15.2$ |  |  |
| Head depth | 20.6 | $18.1-22.2$ | $16.5-20.8$ |  |  |
| Head width | 32.7 | $30.6-33.2$ | $29.8-33.0$ |  |  |
| Snout length |  |  |  |  |  |

transverse series of scales 9; scale rows around caudal peduncle 16 . No contact organs on scales. Cephalic neuromasts: supraorbital $3+3$, parietal 1 , anterior rostral 1 , posterior rostral 1 , infraorbital $1+10-12+1$, preorbital

2, otic 1 , post-otic 1 , supratemporal 1 , median opercular 1, ventral opercular 1 , pre-opercular $2+4$, mandibular 3 +1 , lateral mandibular $1-2$, paramandibular 1 .

Colouration. Males. Flank metallic light green, with narrow oblique red bars between humeral region and posterior portion of caudal peduncle; bars irregularly arranged, forming chevron pattern directed anteriorly, usually fragmented, with angle on flank midline or above it; bars with minute vertical extensions on each scale margin; dorsal portion of flank with oblique rows of red dots; anteroventral portion of flank with rows of red dots. Dorsum light brown, venter white. Side of head light brown on dorsal portion, yellowish white on ventral portion to pale golden on opercle; broad dark grey to black postorbital stripe, continuous to humeral black blotch; lower jaw dark grey. Iris pale yellow, with dark brown bar on anterior and posterior portions. Dorsal fin light yellow, with four to six oblique faint red bars. Anal fin light yellow to orange, basal portion greenish white with five or six orangish red spots, distal margin black. Caudal fin light yellow, with six to eight narrow orangish red bars extending on entire caudal fin, except its ventral-most portion. Pectoral fin hyaline. Pelvic fin light yellow to orange narrow black margin.

Females. Similar to males, except flank base colour pale greenish blue; dorsal and caudal fin bars dark grey;
caudal fin base colour pale orangish pink; absence of black pigmentation on post-orbital and humeral regions; and presence of black spot on dorsal portion of caudal-fin base and dark grey pigmentation concentrated on distal margins of dorsal and anal fins, anterior margin of pelvic fin and entire caudal-fin margin.

Colouration in alcohol. Head and trunk pale brown, fins whitish hyaline; dark marks recorded for live specimens varying from dark brown to black.

Distribution and conservation. Known only from two close small streams in the middle section of the Corrente River drainage, upper Paraná River Basin (Fig. 3).

Etymology. The name nigromarginatus (black margin), from the Latin, is a reference to the presence of a black margin on the anal in males.

## Melanorivulus linearis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/9312393A-94FD-4433-9818-88CC6F1666D9 Figs 6-7, Table 3

Holotype. UFRJ 11678, male, 25.1 mm SL; Brazil: Mato Grosso do Sul state: Bandeirantes municipality: Córrego Água Limpa, upper Rio Pardo drainage, Rio Paraná ba$\sin , 19^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 54^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, altitude about 620 m asl; W.J.E.M. Costa, B.B. Costa \& C.P. Bove, 12 Jan. 2004.

Paratypes. UFRJ 11679, 2 males, 27.9-30.1 mm SL, 7 females, $15.8-23.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; UFRJ 11680, 2 males, $20.8-26.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 2 females, $19.5-21.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL (C\&S); CICCAA 00694, 2 males, $19.0-27.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 3 females, $19.6-20.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; collected with holotype.

Diagnosis. Melanorivulus linearis is similar to M. egens, and distinguished from all other species of the M. pictus group by the presence of red chevron-shaped marks regularly distributed on the flank (vs. irregularly), absence of distinctive dark marks on humeral region (vs. presence), and absence of red dots on the anteroventral portion of flank (vs. presence). Melanorivulus linearis is distinguished from M. egens by the presence of red bars restricted to the dorsal portion of the caudal fin in males (vs. absence), presence of black bars on the caudal fin in females (vs. black dots); presence of a pale green spot on humeral region in males (vs. absence); and second proximal radial of the dorsal fin between neural spines of 18th and 19th vertebrae (vs. between neural spines of 19 th and 21 st vertebrae).

Description. Morphometric data appear in Table 3. Body relatively deep, sub-cylindrical anteriorly, deeper than wide, compressed posteriorly. Greatest body depth at vertical just anterior to pelvic-fin base. Dorsal and ventral profiles of trunk slightly convex in lateral view; dorsal and ventral profiles of caudal peduncle nearly straight.

Head moderately wide, sub-triangular in lateral view, dorsal profile nearly straight, ventral profile convex. Snout blunt. Jaws short; teeth numerous, conical, irregularly arranged; outer teeth hypertrophied, inner teeth small and numerous. Vomerine teeth $3-5$. Gill-rakers on first branchial arch $1+8$.

Dorsal and anal fins short, tip slightly pointed in males, rounded in females. Caudal fin rounded, slightly longer than deep. Pectoral fin rounded, posterior margin reaching vertical just anterior to pelvic-fin insertion. Pelvic fin small, longer in males, tip reaching between base of 2 nd or 3 rd anal-fin ray in males, reaching between anus and urogenital papilla in females; pelvic-fin bases medially in close proximity. Dorsal-fin origin on vertical through base of 8th or 9th anal-fin ray. Dorsal-fin rays $10-11$; anal-fin rays $13-15$; caudal-fin rays $31-32$; pecto-ral-fin rays $13-14$; pelvic-fin rays 7 . No contact organs on fins. Second proximal radial of dorsal fin between neural spines of 18th and 19th vertebrae; first proximal radial of anal fin between pleural ribs of 13th and 15th vertebrae; total vertebrae 30-31.

Scales small, cycloid. Body and head entirely scaled, except anterior ventral surface of head. Body squamation extending over anterior $25 \%$ of caudal-fin base; no scales on dorsal and anal-fin bases. Frontal squamation E-patterned; E-scales not overlapping medially; scales arranged in regular circular pattern around A -scale without exposed margins. Longitudinal series of scales 29-31; transverse series of scales 9 ; scale rows around caudal peduncle 16. No contact organs on scales. Cephalic neuromasts: supraorbital $3+3$, parietal 1 , anterior rostral 1 , posterior rostral 1, infraorbital $1+9-11+1$, preorbital 2, otic 1 , post-otic $1-2$, supratemporal 1 , median opercular 1 , ventral opercular 1, pre-opercular $2+4$, mandibular 3 +1 , lateral mandibular 1-2, paramandibular 1 .

Colouration. Males. Flank metallic greenish blue, sometimes purplish blue above anal fin, with oblique narrow red bars between humeral region and posterior portion of caudal peduncle; bars regularly arranged, forming chevron pattern directed anteriorly, with angle on flank midline or above it; bars with minute vertical extensions on each scale margin; dorsal portion of flank with few red dots; anteroventral portion of flank without red marks; pale green spot on humeral region. Dorsum light brown, venter white. Side of head light brown on dorsal portion, yellowish white on ventral portion to pale golden on opercle; melanophores dispersed, not forming distinct marks on post-orbital region; lower jaw dark grey. Iris pale yellow, sometimes with dark brown bar on anterior and posterior portions. Dorsal fin light yellow, with four to six oblique red bars through whole fin. Anal fin yellowish orange, basal portion purplish white with six or seven short red bars, distal margin black. Caudal fin light yellow, with six to eight narrow red bars extending between dorsal and middle portions of fin; fin margin dark grey. Pectoral fin hyaline. Pelvic fin light yellow with narrow black margin.


Figure 6. Melanorivulus linearis sp. n., holotype, UFRJ 11678 , male, 25.1 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.


Figure 7. Melanorivulus linearis sp. n., paratype, UFRJ $11679,23.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.

Table 3. Morphometric data of Melanorivulus linearis.

| Measurements | Holotype | Paratypes |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | male | males (4) | females (5) |  |
| Standard length (mm) | 25.1 | $27.2-30.1$ | $20.7-23.7$ |  |
| Percent of standard length |  |  |  |  |
| Body depth | 25.1 | $23.4-25.1$ | $22.3-23.1$ |  |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 15.9 | $14.4-16.2$ | $12.9-14.1$ |  |
| Pre-dorsal length | 75.3 | $75.4-77.4$ | $77.1-78.7$ |  |
| Pre-pelvic length | 57.2 | $54.6-55.8$ | $54.2-58.0$ |  |
| Length of dorsal-fin base | 12.6 | $12.0-12.8$ | $10.5-12.8$ |  |
| Length of anal-fin base | 22.2 | $19.3-21.6$ | $17.9-19.0$ |  |
| Caudal-fin length | 36.8 | $34.6-36.9$ | $34.2-37.4$ |  |
| Pectoral-fin length | 20.8 | $20.8-21.7$ | $20.8-22.4$ |  |
| Pelvic-fin length | 14.8 | $13.1-15.4$ | $8.6-9.3$ |  |
| Head length | 28.2 | $26.7-29.3$ | $27.8-28.8$ |  |
| Percent of head length | $73 . \mid$ |  |  |  |
| Head depth | 69.7 | $69.6-72.4$ | $67.7-71.7$ |  |
| Head width | 13.4 | $12.7-14.8$ | $12.4-14.1$ |  |
| Snout length | 15.0 | $16.2-19.3$ | $16.2-19.5$ |  |
| Lower jaw length | 32.0 | $29.7-31.1$ | $32.8-35.3$ |  |
| Eye diameter |  |  |  |  |

Females. Similar to males, except flank base colour pale greenish golden; no distinct marks on humeral region; dorsal and caudal fin bars dark grey to black; caudal
fin base colour pale white; absence of pale green spot on humeral region; and presence of triangular black spot on dorsal portion of caudal-fin base and dark grey pigmentation concentrated on distal margins of dorsal and anal fins, anterior margin of pelvic fin and entire caudal-fin margin.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality, upper section of the Rio Pardo, middle Rio Paraná Basin, central Brazil (Fig. 3).

Etymology. From the Latin, linearis (consisting of lines), an allusion to the red oblique lines regularly arranged on the flank in males.

## Discussion

Studies on systematics of Melanorivulus have consistently demonstrated the importance of colour pattern characters both to diagnose species and to support monophyletic groups (Costa 2016). According to recent phylogenetic analyses (Costa 2016; Costa et al. 2016), colour pattern characters highly corroborates groups that are supported by other morphological characters, as well as by molecular data. However, the relatively low variability of mor-


Figure 8. Melanorivulus scalaris, UFRJ 6494, male, 29.7 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.
phometric, meristic and osteological characters among species of the M. pictus group makes colour pattern characters essential source to diagnose species and to estimate their relationships, since molecular data are not still available for most species. Consequently, the new taxa herein described exhibit colour patterns characters that in combination easily allow their recognition as new species, but their relationships are still unclear.

Melanorivulus proximus is the second species recorded for the Rio Aporé drainage. Melanorivulus scalaris also occurs in the Aporé drainage, but in altitudes between about 740 and 800 m asl, whereas M. proximus is here reported for altitudes between about 440 and 540 m asl. The veredas of this drainage were first sampled in 1994, but specimens here recognised as belonging to M. proximus were then identified as M. pictus (Costa, 1995; see Introduction above for historical context). Costa (2005) described Rivulus scalaris Costa, 2005 (= M. scalaris) based on material collected in the Ribeirão São Luiz, upper Rio Sucuruí drainage. Specimens collected in the middle section of the Rio Apore drainage were then tentatively identified as $M$. scalaris and listed as additional material (non-types). Costa (2007) recorded R. scalaris to the Rio da Prata floodplains, upper Rio Aporé drainage, in a plateau area where the upper Ribeirão São Luiz and the Rio da Prata are in contact. However, the taxonomic status of the middle Aporé populations was not clarified until now.

The frequent occurrence of irregularly interconnected chevron-shaped red marks on the flank in males of $M$. proximus suggests that it is closely related to M. scalaris, in which this colour pattern is always present (Fig. 8). However, the pointed anal fin in males and the strongly pigmented reticulation on the head side in females, suggest that M. proximus is more closely related to species endemic to neighbouring drainages that exhibit these derived character states, comprising M. faucireticulatus from the Claro and Verde river drainages (Costa 2007b: figs $1-2$ ) and $M$. rutilicaudus from the Rio Verde drain-
age (Costa 2005: Figs 8-9). In large adult specimens of M. scalaris the anal fin tip is not pointed (Fig. 8) and the caudal fin is pale yellow in females (Fig. 9).

Previous studies indicate that the Sucuruí, Aporé, Corrente, Verde and Claro river drainages, which drain the south-eastern slope of the Caiapó range and flow directly to the Rio Paranaíba as part of the upper Rio Paraná Basin, concentrates a great diversity of species of Melanorivulus (Costa 2005, 2007a, b, 2008). These species are often members of clades endemic to Caiapó range drainages, including those belonging to the upper Rio Araguaia Basin, on its northern slope (e.g., Costa 2006). However, characters supporting phylogenetic relationships of M. nigromarginatus, from the Rio Corrente drainage, with other species of the Caiapó range drainages are ambiguous.

Melanorivulus nigromarginatus is easily distinguished from all other species endemic to the Caiapo range drainages by the presence of a black marginal band on the anal fin in males (Fig. 4), suggesting that it may be more closely related to M. egens (Costa 2005: fig. 11) and M. linearis (Fig. 6), which also have similar black anal-fin margin, but are endemic to tributaries of the middle section of the Rio Paraná (Fig. 3). Contrastingly, the presence of a distinctive dark humeral spot in M. nigromarginatus suggests that it may be more closely related to other species occurring in other Caiapó range drainages (e.g., M. faucireticulatus, M. formosensis, M. proximus, M. rutilicaudus, M. scalaris, M. vittatus). All these species share the presence of a distinct humeral blotch varying from dark red to black (Figs 1-2, 4-5), whereas this derived condition is not present in M. egens and $M$. linearis (Figs 6-7). In addition, the presence of orangish pink pigmentation on the caudal fin in females that occur in M. nigromarginatus (Fig. 5), M. proximus (Fig. 2), M. faucireticulatus (Costa 2007b: fig. 2), and M. rutilicaudus (Costa 2005: fig. 9), reinforces the hypothesis of close relationships. On the other hand, probably M. egens and $M$. linearis from the middle Rio Paraná Basin are closely related species, sharing the presence of red chevron-shaped


Figure 9. Melanorivulus scalaris, UFRJ 6494, female, 24.2 mm SL. Photograph by W.J.E.M. Costa.
marks regularly distributed on the flank in males (Fig. 6; Costa 2005: fig. 11).

The present study once more reports the occurrence of different species of Melanorivulus inhabiting separate sections of the same river drainage as already described in previous studies (Costa 2007b, 2017; Volcan et al. 2017; Fig. 3). Recently, Costa (2017) compared this distributional pattern to that reported for other vertebrates occurring in the Cerrado, which is explained to be correlated with Miocene topographical reorganization causing geographical isolation of ancestral populations in plateaus and peripheral depressions (Prado et al. 2012; Guarnizo et al. 2016). Although estimates of divergence time among lineages of the M. pictus group are not still available, this paleogeographical scenario could explain the present distribution of distinct species of Melanorivulus at different altitudinal zones of river drainages.

Costa (2012) reported a strong process of habitat loss in the rivers draining the Caiapó range as a result of the quick expansion of agriculture land use in areas previously occupied by natural vegetation. In recent years, the veredas have often been extirpated after diversion of their water sources for plantation irrigation, as well as widespread deforestation, which has reached their margins when water flow persists. Considering the great diversity of endemic species of Melanorivulus inhabiting the Veredas of the Caiapó range and the continuous extirpation of Vereda habitats, this study supports the endangered status of species inhabiting this region.
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#### Abstract

The "Pola" expeditions were the first to explore the deep Eastern Mediterranean Sea in the 1890s. They remained the most intense surveys in that area for a century and constitute today a fundamental baseline to assess change in the basin, whose fauna is still inadequately described. Solid taxonomic foundations for the study of deep-sea organisms are needed and we here contribute by revising the name-bearing types of mollusc species introduced by Rudolf Sturany on the basis of the "Pola" material from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea stored in the Natural History Museum in Vienna. Sturany introduced 15 names (Marginella occulta var. minor Sturany, 1896 shall not be considered as the introduction of a new name). He described and established two manuscript names by Monterosato: Jujubinus igneus and Pseudomurex ruderatus. The genus Isorropodon was also introduced together with its type species I. perplexum. For each name, we list the available type material, provide the original description and a translation into English and illustrate the specimens in colour and with SEM imaging.


## Introduction

The second half of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century was an exciting period for marine exploration: most of the seafaring nations of the time sent out expeditions to investigate the sea. The Austro-Hungarian monarchy did likewise, planning a geographically restricted but intense series of expeditions to the Eastern Mediterranean and the Red Sea (Schefbeck 1996). These expeditions were the joint effort of the important research institutions of the time: The Imperial Academy of Sciences (now the Austrian Academy of Sciences), the Imperial and Royal Court Museum of Natural History (now the Natural

History Museum Vienna (NHMW)) and the institutes of Zoology and Chemistry of the University of Vienna. These institutions provided the equipment, knowledge and personnel to plan and successfully conduct the expeditions. The Imperial and Royal Navy provided the ship, the crew and the commander-in-chief Admiral M.B. Sterneck, who had a soft spot for marine biology being a collector of shells and algae since an early age. He proved to be a major player in stimulating and enabling the four expeditions that were organised to the Eastern Mediterranean between 1890 and 1893 and the fifth expedition which surveyed the southern Adriatic Sea in 1894.

[^1]The Mediterranean deep sea is remarkably unexplored in comparison to its coastal areas even if it hosts several habitats that can represent biodiversity hot spots, a large share of endemic species and an estimated $66 \%$ of species still to be discovered (Danovaro et al. 2010). The "Pola" expeditions were not only the first, but also among the most intense surveys of the Eastern Mediterranean until the 1990s, when the Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research (IOLR) started the deep-water exploration off the Israeli coast (Galil 2004). The "Thor" (1910), "Meteor 5" (1987), "Meteor 25" (1993) and "Poseidon" (cruise 201/2, 1994) contributed in-between with small-er-scale efforts.

The deep-sea Mediterranean ecosystem is also under siege from several anthropogenic stressors. As an example, climate change may affect the thermohaline circulation reducing its oxygenation (Danovaro et al. 2001) and altering the energy transport from surface waters to the seafloor (Smith et al. 2009). Furthermore, commercial fishing is extending into ever deeper waters with little appreciation of its effects on such a delicate ecosystem (Danovaro et al. 2010). In this context, the "Pola" expeditions constitute a fundamental baseline to assess change in the Eastern Mediterranean. Although not always recognized as such, the NHMW and the other institutions that preserve similar historical samples are a strategic asset in global change research (Johnson et al. 2011, Lister et al. 2011, Albano et al. 2014, Dayan and Galil 2017).

Our aim is to contribute to a solid taxonomic foundation for the study of deep-sea organisms. In particular, we revise the name-bearing types of mollusc species introduced by Rudolf Sturany (malacologist at the NHMW between 1889 and 1922 (Stagl 2012)) on the basis of the "Pola" samples from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea, following the recommendation of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to publish lists of types housed in institutions (ICZN 1999, 72F.4). Similar to the previous effort on Red Sea gastropods (Albano et al. 2017), we also provide detailed illustrations of the type specimens and their diagnostic characters.

## Materials and methods

The "Pola" material is entirely stored in the NHMW (Stagl et al. 1996). Type series of Sturany's species were segregated. Most species were represented by holotypes or very small series. In the latter case, we identified the syntypes best matching the original description but refrained from any lectotype designation following recommendation 74 G of article 74.7 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature ("ICZN Code" in the following text) (ICZN 1999). Some names were termed as varieties of other species. Whenever Sturany clearly clarified the intention of introducing a new name, such names are considered to be of subspecific rank following the article 45.6 .4 of the ICZN Code. A taxon list in alpha-
betical order with page and figure numbers in this paper is provided in Table 1. Any citation to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999) should be considered to its online version which includes all recent amendments.

For each species, we provide references to the original description and figure, the original localities, a list of the type material, the original description and its translation into English. All inventory numbers provided refer to the Mollusca collection of NHMW. The systematic arrangement follows Bouchet and Rocroi (2005) and Bouchet et al. (2010) for gastropods and bivalves, respectively. The reassessment of the current taxonomic status of Sturany's names is beyond the scope of this paper and we relied on the published literature to add comments in this regard. The expeditions surveyed several localities in Greece and Croatia (Fig. 1) whose names were stated in Italian; in our translation, we also use the modern Greek and Croatian names (Table 2).

The NHMW hosts a large collection by Monterosato that was a fundamental reference for Sturany to identify his material. This collection was purchased in 1889 , just the year when Sturany started to volunteer in the mollusc collection. The acquisition from December 1889 reads: "Shells of the Mediterranean Sea ca. 2082 sp.- collection of the zoologist Monterosato, purchased for 500 fl . Ö.W." [translated]. In 1897, Sturany - now assistant of the collection - wrote the complete inventory: 2113 inventory numbers altogether. Unfortunately, hardly any of the original labels are preserved within this collection nor is the original list, but Sturany had apparently transcribed all names very carefully.

Some names had been written on labels but never formally described by Monterosato. Such manuscript names have either been described by Sturany (e.g., Jujubinus igneus) or rendered available by redescription and illustration (e.g., Pseudomurex ruderatus).

Photos were mostly shot with a Nikon SMZ25 microscope; large shells were photographed with a Canon 350D camera, a 50 mm lens and extension tubes. SEM images were taken with a JEOL JSM-6610LV, using low vacuum without any coating. Specimen measurements have been added for holotypes. The map in Fig. 1 was drawn with the oceanmap package (Bauer 2017) in the statistical programming environment $R$, version 3.3.3 ( $R$ Core Team 2017).

## Systematic list of taxa

## Family Trochidae Rafinesque, 1815

## Jujubinus igneus Sturany, 1896 ex Monterosato ms.

Fig. 2
Sturany 1896: 28 , plate II, figure 45.
Original locality. Station 260, at Pelagruža, Croatia, $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 3 " \mathrm{~N}, 16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 128 \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 1. Map of the stations sampled by the "Pola" expeditions to the Eastern Mediterranean (1890-1893) and the Southern Adriatic Sea (1894) which provided molluscan samples and reported in Sturany (1896).

Table 1. List of treated taxa in alphabetic order, with original name, current family placement, and figure in this paper.

| Taxon | Current name | Family | Page, Figure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aegeensis, Lyonsia | Allogramma formosa (Jeffreys, 1882) | Lyonsiidae | Page 51, figure 14 |
| alexandrina, Taranis | Taranis moerchii (Malm, 1861) | Raphitomidae | Page 44, figure 9 |
| amorpha, Lucina | Myrtea amorpha (Sturany, 1896) | Lucinidae | Page 47, figure 11 |
| bengasiensis, Fusus | Fusinus rostratus (Olivi, 1792) | Fasciolariidae | Page 38, figure 5 |
| berenicensis, Pecchiolia | Haliris berenicensis (Sturany, 1896) | Verticordiidae | Page 53, figure 15 |
| cerigottana, Scalaria | Punctiscala cerigottana (Sturany, 1896) | Epitoniidae | Page 33, figure 3 |
| corallinoides, Raphitoma nuperrima var. | Kurziella serga (Dall, 1881) | Raphitomidae | Page 41, figure 8 |
| igneus, Jujubinus | Jujubinus exasperatus (Pennant, 1777) | Trochidae | Page 30, figure 2 |
| implicisculpta, Defrancia | Pleurotomella eurybrocha (Dautzenberg \& Fischer, 1896) | Raphitomidae | Page 40, figure 7 |
| minor, Marginella occulta var. | - | Marginellidae | Page 38, figure 6 |
| modiolaeformis, Myrina | Idas modiolaeformis (Sturany, 1896) | Mytilidae | Page 45, figure 10 |
| perplexum, Isorropodon | Isorropodon perplexum (Sturany, 1896) | Vesicomyidae | Page 48, figure 13 |
| pianosana, Fusus craticulatus var. | Hirtomurex squamosus (Bivona Ant. in Bivona And., 1838) | Muricidae | Page 36, figure 4 |
| pseudacanthodes, Raphitoma nuperrima var. | Kurziella serga (Dall, 1881) | Raphitomidae | Page 41, figure 8 |
| ruderatus, Pseudomurex | Hirtomurex squamosus (Bivona Ant. in Bivona And., 1838) | Muricidae | Page 38, figure 4 |
| striatus, Axinus flexuosus var. | Thyasira striata Sturany, 1896 | Thyasiridae | Page 47, figure 12 |

Type material. NHMW 72399: 3 syntypes (specimens preserved in ethanol, but apparently without the animal inside).

Additional material. NHMW 27441: 8 shells, Palermo, Italy (Monterosato coll.).

Original description. Von Station $* 260(128 \mathrm{~m})$.
Die vorliegenden drei Exemplare sind circa $7,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ hoch und 5,7-6 mm breit. Von den 8-9 Umgängen sind die oberen rosenroth, die übrigen zart olivengrün mit rosenrothen und hellgelben Flecken oder Strichen. Die Spiralstreifen bestehen aus Reihen von groben

Table 2. Stations of the "Pola" expeditions to the Eastern Mediterranean Sea listed by Sturany (1896) where molluscs were collected.

| Station number | Locality | Coordinates | Depth [m] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | "Westlich von Corfu" [west of Corfu, Greece] | $39^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 615 |
| 9 | "Vor der Bucht von Kalamata (Griechenland)" [off the Bay of Kalamata, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 555^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} \mathbf{4}^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -1050 |
| 19 | "Südlich von Cerigo" [south of Kythira, Ionian Sea, Greece] | $35^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 50$ " E | - 1010 |
| 27 | "An der afrikanischen Küste" [off the African coast, NE of Benghazi] | $33^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 56{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 1765 |
| 36 | "Nördlich von Benghazi an der afrikanischen Küste" [north of Benghazi, Libya] | $32^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime E}$ | - 680 |
| 37 | "Nordwestlich von Benghazi an der afrikanischen Küste" [north of Benghazi, Libya] | $32^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 57{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 700 |
| 62 | "Im Norden der Westküste von Creta" [north of the west coast of Crete, Greece] | $35^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 755 |
| 64 | "Südwestlich von der Insel Cerigo" [southwest of the island of Kythira, Ionian Sea, Greece] | $35^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 22^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 660 |
| 70 | "Vor der Plaka-B. von Creta (Candia)" [off the Bay of Plaka (north of Vamos) in Crete, Chania, Greece] | $35^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -805 |
| 73 | "Nördl. Ausgang des Hafens von Santorin (Cycladen)" [northern entrance of the harbour of Santorini (Cyclades), Greece] | $36^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 381 |
| 82 | "Nördlich von Alexandrien" [north of Alexandria, Egypt] | $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -2420 |
| 103 | "Südlich vom Cap St. Maria di Leuca (Jon. Meer) [south of St. Maria di Leuca Cape, Ionian Sea, Italy] | $39^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -134 |
| 194 | "Zwischen Cerigo und Cerigotto" [between Kythira and Antikythira, Ionian Sea, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -160 |
| 197 | "Zwischen Cerigo und Candia (Creta)" [between Kythira and Chania (Crete), Greece] | $35^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -608 |
| 199 | "Südöstlich von Cerigo (Meer von Candia)" [southwest of Kythira, Sea of Crete, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -875 |
| 200 | "Mitten zwischen Cap Malea und Santorin (Meer von Candia)" [between Cape Maleas and Santorini (Sea of Crete), Greece] | $36^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -880 |
| 204 | "Zwischen Cap Malea und Milo (Meer von Candia)" [between Cape Maleas and Milos, Sea of Crete, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -808 |
| 208 | "Mitten zwischen Milo und Serpho (Cycladen)" [between Milos and Serifos (Cyclades), Greece] | $37^{\circ} 0$ 'N, $24^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -414 |
| 209 | "Ebenda" [as above] | $36^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -444 |
| 210 | "Östlich von Serpho (Cycladen)" [east of Serifos (Cyclades), Greece] | $37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 24^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -287 |
| 213 | "Nördlich von Stampaglia (Astropalia), Sporaden" [north of Astypalaia, Dodekanese, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 26^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 597 |
| 214 | "Östlich von Stampaglia, Sporaden" [east of Astypalaia, Dodekanese, Greece] | $36^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 26^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -533 |
| 227 | "Ebenda" [as above] | $37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 26^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 92 |
| 229 | "Nördlich von Samos" [north of Samos, Greece] | $37^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 26^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 580 |
| 237 | "Südwestlich von Samotraki (Äg. M.) [southwest of Samothraki, Aegean Sea, Greece] | $40^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 588 |
| 238 | "Nördlich von Tremiti" [north of Tremiti Islands, Adriatic Sea, Italy] | $42^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 98 |
| 239 | "Ebenda" [as above] |  | . 70 |
| 240 | "Zwischen Tremiti und Pianosa" [between Tremiti Islands and Pianosa Isl., Adriatic Sea, Italy] | $42^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 37{ }^{\prime \prime \mathrm{E}}$ | -104 |
| 243 | "In der Linie von Tremiti und Pianosa" [between Tremiti Islands and Pianosa Isl., Adriatic Sea, Italy] | $42^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -103 |
| 247 | "Bei Pianosa" [Pianosa Isl., Tremiti Islands, Adriatic Sea, Italy] | 42 ${ }^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -111 |
| 251 | "Vor Pelagosa" [off Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -129 |
| 255 | "Bei Pelagosa" [at Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime N}, 16^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 15$ "E | -176 |
| 259 | "Bei Pelagosa" [at Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime E}$ | -174 |
| 260 | "Bei Pelagosa" [at Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime \prime} 3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 50$ "E | -128 |
| 261 | "Bei Pelagosa" [at Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -101 |
| 267 | "Bei Lagosta" [at Lastovo, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 01 \mathrm{~N}, 15^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 37{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -117 |
| 271 | Not specified | $42^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 7{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -112 |
| 274 | Not specified | $42^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -191 |
| 279 | "Bei Cazza" [at Sušac, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime E}$ | -132 |
| 283 | "Zwischen Lissa und Busi" [between Vis and Biševo, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 24{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -102 |
| 284 | "Zwischen Comisa und Busi" [between Komiža and Biševo, Croatia] | $43^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -94 |
| 285 | "Zwischen St. Andrä und Lissa" [between Sveti Andrija and Vis, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 58^{\prime 2} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 15^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime E}$ | 133 |
| 292 | Not specified | $42^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 171 |
| 293 | "Östlich von Pelagosa" [east of Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -131 |
| 298 | "Südöstlich von Pelagosa" [southeast of Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 0$ "N, $16^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 27{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -485 |
| 301 | "Südöstlich von Pelagosa" [southeast of Pelagruža, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 51{ }^{\prime} 30$ "E | -1216 |
| 315 | "Strasse von Otranto, in der Höhe von Valona" [Strait of Otranto, off Valona, southern Adriatic Sea] | $40^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -840 |
| 316 | "Strasse von Otranto" [Strait of Otranto, southern Adriatic Sea] | $40^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 0$ "E | 760 |
| 318 | "Strasse von Otranto, nach der Ausfahrt von Valona" [Strait of Otranto, at the entrance of Valona, southern Adriatic Sea] | $40^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 40 \mathrm{E}$ | . 932 |
| 365 | "Strasse von Otranto" [Strait of Otranto, southern Adriatic Sea] | between $40^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $19^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and $40^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -776 |
| 378 | "Südliche Adria" [southern Adriatic Sea] | $41^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 8{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | . 950 |
| 379 | "Südliche Adria" [southern Adriatic Sea] | $41^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 5 \mathrm{LE}$ | - 1138 |
| 385 | "Südliche Adria" [southern Adriatic Sea] | $41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -1196 |
| 389 | "Südliche Adria" [southern Adriatic Sea] | $41^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | -1205 |
| 399 | "Südlich von Meleda" [south of MIjet, Croatia] | $42^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 17^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 40$ "E | -218 |

Körnchen und zwischen ihnen stehen derbe Querstreifen. Die Zahl der Spiralstreifen ist von der vorletzten Windung an bis hinauf zum Embryonalgewinde 5, ein Hervortreten des über der Naht stehenden Streifens als Wulst, wie dies hauptsächlich für die Art Tr. erasperatus Penn. charakteristisch ist, ist kaum wahrnehmbar. Um den verdeckten Nabel herum verlaufen concentrisch 7 gelb und rosenroth gefleckte Rippen und ausserdem noch nahe dem Kiele der letzten Windung 1-2 schwächere ungefleckte Kreise. Die Querstreifung hier auf der Unterfläche des Gehäuses ist zarter und enger als die auf der Oberseite sichtbare.

Diese Beschreibung passt im Allgemeinen auch auf die von March. Monterosato mit Jujubinus igneus bezeichneten Exemplare, die sich in der Sammlung des Hofmuseums befinden, und deshalb habe ich diesen Collectionsnamen auch hier für die Aufschrift gewählt. Es wären für die Monterosato-Exemplare nur noch die lebhaftere rothe Färbung (Zurücktreten der olivgrünen Farbe und Prävaliren von Gelb und Rosenroth) zu erwähnen und das deutlichere Hervortreten des Suturalwulstes, der hier lebhaft roth und gelb gefleckt ist.

Ich möchte Tr. igneus Monteros. in coll. als eine Varietät von Tr. exasperatus Penn. hinstellen, wobei ich der von Bucquoy, Dautzenberg und Dollfus in dem Werke ,,Les Mollusques marins du Roussillon, u p. 362-369 ausgesprochenen Auffassung der beiden einander verwandten Arten exasperatus Penn. und striatus L. folge, muss jedoch auch bemerken, dass diese Art (nämlich exasperatus) mit Rücksicht auf die dort namhaft gemachte Synonymie mit Trochus (Zizyphinus) exiguus Pulteney (Kobelt, Prodr.p.238; Carns, Prodr. p.259) zusammenfällt.

Brusina und Stossich führen Tr. exiguus Pult. als crenulatus Brocchi fuir die Adria an.

Translation. From station *260 (128 m).
The three specimens at hand are approximately 7.5 mm high and $5.7-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. The uppermost of the $8-9$ whorls are pink, the others are pastel olive green with pink and bright yellow marks or lines. The spiral cords consist of tubercled rows and rough horizontal stripes in-between. There are five spiral cords counting from the penultimate whorl up to the protoconch. There is hardly any prominent varix above the suture which is a typical feature in Tr. exasperatus Penn. Seven concentrically blended yellow and rose spotted cords frame the covered umbilicus. Moreover, close to the keel of the last whorl there are one to two unspotted cords. Here on the bottom of the shell, the lateral cords are tighter and finer than those visible on the whorls.

Overall, this description matches the specimens described by March. Monterosato as Jujubinus igneus in the collection of the Hofmuseum (Imperial Museum of Natural History), therefore I chose this name. Only the livelier red colour (receding of the olive green colour and prevailing of yellow and pinkish) and the here bright red and yellow spotted, prominent sutural varix are important characters.

I would like to describe Tr. igneus Monteros. in coll. as a variety of Tr. exasperatus Penn., following the notion of "Les Mollusques marins du Roussillon" p.362-369 by Bucquoy, Dautzenberg and Dollfus. However, I have to remark that this species (exasperatus) falls within the considerable synonymy of Trochus (Zizyphinus) exiguus Pulteney (Kobelt, Prodr. p. 238; Carus, Prodr. p. 259).

Brusina and Stossich mention Tr. exiguus Pult. as crenulatus Brocchi for the Adriatic.

Comments. Sturany identified these shells by comparison to specimens in the Monterosato collection (NHMW 27441) which closely match the specimens found in the "Pola" expedition (Fig. 2 A-F). However, Monterosato never formally introduced the name. Therefore, the variety igneus has to be considered a new subspecific name introduced by Sturany following the provisions of art. 45.6 .4 of the ICZN code. This name is considered a junior synonym of Jujubinus exasperatus (Pennant, 1777) (MolluscaBase 2017). The name Trochus (Jujubinus) igneus was used also by Brusina (1896), whose work postdates Sturany's one because he explicitly mentioned the preprint of Sturany's work, and later by Locard (1904).

## Family Epitoniidae Berry, 1910

## Scalaria cerigottana Sturany, 1896

Fig. 3
Sturany 1896: 9, plate I, figures 3-4.
Type locality. Station 194, Kythira and Antikythira, Ionian Sea, Greece, $36^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 160 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13001, height 4.68 mm.

Original description. Von Station 194 ( 160 m ).
Das einzige Exemplar dieser neuen Art besitzt nur $5^{1 / 2}$ Umgänge, indem der Apex des Gehäuses fehlt.

Auf jedem Umgange stehen 10 mächtige Querrippen. Diese sind aber nicht gleichmässig stark entwickelt, sondern es tritt hier und dort eine besonders dicke und hohe Rippe auffallend hervor, und gleich darauf folgt wieder eine solche unter dem Mittelmasse. Zwischen den einzelnen Rippen und über sie selbst hinweg ziehen zahlreiche (mehr als 20) Spiralstreifen, welche sich, mit dem Mikroskop betrachtet, als feine Furchen repräsentieren. (Fig. 4.) In diesen Furchen reihen sich kleine Grübchen aneinander, welche die Kreuzungspunkte von ursprünglich vorhandenen, bei unserem Exemplare aber nicht mehr sichtbaren Querfurchen mit den noch deutlich vorhandenen Spiralfurchen vorzustellen scheinen. (Man vergleiche diesbezüglich die mikroskopische Skulptur von Scalaria funiculata Watson.)

Die Rippen senken sich oben wie unten bogenförmig, also nicht winkelig, wie bei den nächstverwandten Ar-


Figure 2. Jujubinus igneus Sturany, 1896 ex Monterosato ms. A-C. Syntype NHMW 72399a, station 260, Pelagruža, Croatia, 128 m : front (A), side (B) and back (C) views. D-E. Syntype NHMW 72399 b and c: front views. F. NHMW 27441 (Monterosato coll.): front view. G. Original figure in Sturany, 1896. H. Original label of lot NHMW 72399. I. Original label of lot 27441. Scale bar: 1 mm .
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Scalavia cerigottama n.sp } \\
& \text { TV. östers. Tielsea Sup. i. ásth. Nittelm. } \\
& \text { Stat. 194. (22. Jupi 1893.) } \\
& 23^{\circ} 6^{\circ} 06 L, 36^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{Pax}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad 160 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. Scalaria cerigottana Sturany, 1896, Station 194, between Kythira and Antikythera, Ionian Sea, Greece, 160 m . A, J. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. B-D, F-I. Holotype NHMW 13001: front (B-C $)$, side ( $\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{G}$ ), and back (H) views, aperture (D), microsculpture (I). E. Original label. Scale bar: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{F}-\mathbf{H}) ; 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{I})$.
ten, in die tiefe Naht; rings um den Nabel aber bilden sie durch wulstige Querverbindungen ihrer unteren Enden einen förmlichen Kiel. Dem kreisrunden Mundrand steht außen eine mächtige Rippe so nahe an, dass er wie verdoppelt aussieht.

Höhe des Gehäuses 5 mm , Breite 2,2 mm; Durchmesser der Mündung 1 mm .

Die Art ist am nächsten verwandt mit Scalaria funiculata Wats. von Pernambuco (Report on the scient. Res. of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger, Zool. Vol. XV,p. 141, pl. IX, fig. 4) und unterscheidet sich von dieser hauptsächlich durch das weniger zugespitzte Gehäuse und die ungleichmäßige Berippung. Ferner stehen ihr nahe Scalaria longissima Seg. (Kobelt Prodr. p. 77) und die fossilen

Formen Turbo torulosus Brocchi (Conch. foss. subapp. 2. ed., vol. II, p. 163, pl. VII, fig. 4), Scalaria plicosa Phil. (Enum. Moll. Sicil. vol. II, p. 146, tab. XXIV, fig. 25), Nodiscala cavata de Boury (Bull. Soc. Mal. Ital. XIV, 1889, p. 173, tab. IV, fig. 13).

## Translation. From station 194 ( 160 m ).

The single specimen of this new species shows only $51 / 2$ whorls, as the apex is missing from the shell. Each whorl has 10 prominent axial ribs which are not developed equally, but some here and there are remarkably thick and high, surrounded by others smaller than average. In between the ribs and covering them are numerous (more than 20) spiral threads, which look like small grooves under the microscope (Fig. 4). Small pits ornament the threads. They seem to be crossing points of the spiral threads with axial lines which are no longer visible in our specimen (in this regard compare the microsculpture of Scaria funiculata Watson).

In contrast to closely related species, the ribs sink into the deep suture in a curved manner, not angled. However, they form a keel around the umbilicus through the thick interconnections of their lower ends. A thick varix is present on the lip and makes it seem duplicated.

The shell is 5 mm high, 2.2 mm wide and the diameter of the mouth is 1 mm .

The most closely related species is Scalaria funiculata Wats. of Pernambuco (Report on the scient. Res. of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger, Zool.Vol.XV, p. 141, pl. IX, fig. 4) and can be distinguished from it by the less pointed shell and the uneven rib pattern. Other closely related species are Scalaria longissima Seg. (Kobelt Prodr. p.77) and the fossil forms Turbo torulosus Brocchi (Conch. foss. subapp. 2. ed., vol. II, p. 163, pl. VII, fig. 4), Scalaria plicosa Phil. (Enum. Moll. Sicil. vol. II, p. 146, tab. XXIV, fig. 25), Nodiscala cavata de Boury (Bull. Soc. Mal. Ital. XIV, 1889, p. 173, tab. IV, fig. 13).

Comments. A valid taxon accepted as Punctiscala cerigottana (MolluscaBase 2017).

## Family Muricidae Rafinesque, 1815

Fusus craticulatus var. pianosana Sturany, 1896
Fig. 4G, K
Sturany 1896: 25-26, plate II, figures 40-41.
Original locality. Station 243, between Tremiti Islands and Pianosa Isl., Adriatic Sea, Italy, $42^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $15^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 103 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Type material. not found

Original description. Von Station 243 (103 m); 1 Exemplar.

Das 31 ½ mm hohe und 16 mm breite Gehäuse ist eine Missbildung, indem der Stiel doppelt ausgebildet wurde.

Der ursprünglich angesetzte Stiel steht links ab und bildet einen tiefen nabelartigen Trichter, während ihn rechts der neugebildete an Länge überragt. Die Mündung beträgt zusammen mit dem Stiele, der, nebenbei bemerkt, nirgends mit seinen Rändern verwachsen, sondern durchgehend offen rinnenförmig ist, $15 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$ in der Länge. Vom Apex ist ein kleines Stück abgebrochen, 7 Windungen sind erhalten.

Die Sculptur der Umgänge erinnert an die der Coralliophilen. Eine Anzahl von mit Schuppen mehr minder reich besetzten Spiralreifen läuft über sie hinweg; an den oberen Umgängen sind 3 bis 5 solcher Reifen vorhanden, und zwar sind sie ziemlich gleich, stark (breit) und noch wenig beschuppt; an den letzten zwei Windungen treffen wir schon bedeutend mehr, und hier wechseln stärkere (breite) und schwächere (schmale) ziemlich regelmäßig $a b, d$. h. zwischen zwei starke oder Hauptreifen erscheint meistens ein ganz zarter, aber deshalb nicht schuppenloser Reifen eingeschoben. Querwülste sind auf den letzten Wildungen 8-9 vorhanden, oben etwas weniger. Der Aussenrand der Mündung ist entsprechend den dort endigenden Spiralreifen gezackt.

Für die Coralliophila squamulosa Phil. (Kobelt, Prodr. p. 15; Carus, Prodr. p. 380) ist das Exemplar erstens zu gross, und zweitens hat es nur 9 Querfalten auf dem letzten Umgange, während jene Art 12 bis 13 haben soll. Vielleicht aber sind Herrn March. Monterosato ähnliche Formen vorgelegen, als er sich entschloss, die Coralliophila squamulosa als Varietät von Murex brocchi, resp. Fusus craticulatus zu erklären (Monterosato, Nuova Rivista, p. 39).

In der Monterosato-Collection des naturhistorischen Hofmuseums in Wien sind zwei sehr interessante, der hier in Rede stehenden Form nahe kommende Exemplare mit der Determination „Pseudomurex sp. nov.? (ruderatus Monts ms.)" aufbewahrt. Sie sind blos durch eine viel größere Anzahl von Spiralreifen, welche uberdies auch viel gleichmässiger stark sind, zu unterscheiden, sowie durch die relativ minder schlanke Form (Höhe 26 1/2, Breite 15 mm. .). Ich habe eines dieser Exemplare zum Vergleiche abgebildet (Taf. II, Fig. 42 und 43).

Von dem echten Fusus craticulatus Brocchi (=Hadriana brocchi Mont.), zu dem ich unser Exemplar vorläufig als Varietät stellen muss, ist dieses aber ebenfalls durch die bedeutend stärker beschuppten Reifen und die geringere Anzahl derselben unterschieden.

Translation. From station 243 ( 103 m ); 1 specimen.
The shell is $311 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ high and 16 mm wide. A deformation led to doubled development of the siphonal canal. The original siphonal canal is pointed towards the left and forms a deep, umbilicus-resembling funnel, while it is overtopped in length by the secondary siphonal canal to the right.

The mouth opens into the siphonal canal, whose margins never touch each other, is continuously open and 15 $1 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. The apex is slightly damaged, seven whorls are preserved.


Figure 4. A-F, H-J. Pseudomurex ruderatus Sturany, 1896 in Monterosato ms., Corsica, France. Original figures in Sturany, 1896 (A, I). Specimen NHMW 28222a: front (B), side (C) and back (D) views, original label (E). Specimen NHMW 28222b: front (H), side (I) and back (J) view. G, K. Fusus craticulatus var. pianosana Sturany, 1896, Station 243, between Tremiti Islands and Pianosa Isl., Adriatic Sea, Italy, 103 m , original figures in Sturany, 1896. Scale bars: 1 mm .

The sculpture of the whorls resembles those of the coralliophilas; it is accompanied by a number of spiral cords covered unevenly with scales. On the top whorls, there are 3 to 5 such formations which are even and strong (broad) and show only few scales. On the last two whorls, we find considerably more, which alternate quite regularly between stronger (broader) and weaker (narrower) formations. One narrow, scale covered cord is periodically found after two strong spiral stripes. On the last whorls
there are varices which are slightly less prominent on the top whorls. The lip is toothed, corresponding to the spiral stripes ending there.

The specimen is too large to be Coralliophila squamulosa Phil. (Kobelt, Prodr. p. 15; Carus, Prodr. p. 380) and it has only nine lateral folds on the last whorl, while that species supposedly has 12 to 13 . Perhaps though, Mr. March. Monterosato was looking at similar forms when he decided to attribute

Coralliophila squamulosa as a variety of Murex brocchi, resp. Fusus craticulatus (Monterosato, Nuova Rivista, p. 39).

There are two very interesting specimens stored in the Monterosato collection of the Imperial Museum of Natural History, which resemble the available specimen and are determined as "Pseudomurex sp. nov.? (ruderatus Monts ms.)". They can be distinguished merely due to a much larger number of spiral stripes, which are also more even in thickness, as well as a relatively less slender shape (height $261 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$, width 15 mm ). I have depicted one of these specimens as a comparison (Plate II, Fig. 42 and 43).

However, it is distinguished from the real Fusus craticulatus Brocchi (=Hadriana brocchi Mont.) by stronger scale coverage on the spiral stripes and a smaller number of those very stripes.

Comments. Sturany introduced the name pianosana for a variety of Fusus craticulatus Brocchi, 1814 found during the "Pola" expeditions; it has a subspecific rank according to the art. 45.6 .4 of the ICZN Code. This name is currently considered a junior synonym of Hirtomurex squamosus (Bivona Ant. in Bivona And., 1838) (MolluscaBase 2017).

## Pseudomurex ruderatus Sturany, 1896 in Monterosato

 ms.Fig. 4A-F, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{J}$
Sturany 1896: 26, plate II, figures 42-43.
Original locality. Corsica, France (from label accompanying the type material).

Type material. NHMW 28222: 2 syntypes, Corsica, France (Monterosato coll.).

Original description and translation. see Fusus craticulatus var. pianosana.

Comments. Sturany introduced the name Pseudomurex ruderatus on the basis of two shells and a label of the Monterosato collection. He stated the main differences from similar species, illustrated the shells and rendered the name available. This name is currently considered a junior synonym of Hirtomurex squamosus (Bivona Ant. in Bivona And., 1838) (MolluscaBase 2017).

## Family Fasciolariidae Gray, 1853

Fusus bengasiensis Sturany, 1896
Fig. 5
Sturany 1896: 8, plate I, figures 1-2.
Type locality. Station 36, north of Benghazi, Libya, $32^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 680 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13000, height 45.23 mm .

Original description. Von Station 36 (680 m); subfossil.
1 Exemplar von 45 1/2 $m$ Länge und $181 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ grösster Breite; die Länge der Mündung beträgt 28 mm , wovon etwa 15 mm auf den oben offenen Stiel kommen, die Breite derselben 9 mm.

Die Spitze des Gehäuses fehlt und nur mehr 6 Windungen sind erhalten. Ein besonders an den untersten Windungen stark hervortretender Kiel verläuft etwas unter der Mitte und bildet überall da, wo er die spärlich vertretenen Querwülste durchkreuzt, von oben nach unten abgeflachte Fortsätze. Die Anzahl derselben richtet sich also nach derjenigen der Querwülste, dies sind 6 auf der letzten Windung und 8-9 auf den übrigen. Oberund unterhalb des Kieles verlaufen in grösserer Anzahl Spiralreifen, ganz wie bei Fusus rostratus in der Dicke (Stärke) etwas variabel, aber nicht geschuppt, sondern nur verwischt quergestreift. Die Naht schneidet tief ein. Der Innenrand der Mündung ist über dem Spindelrande in einer zur letzten Windung senkrecht stehenden, wellenförmig geschwungenen Platte losgelöst. Der Stiel ist ein wenig um seine Axe gedreht.

Auf den ersten Blick möchte man die eben beschriebene Form wohl fiur eine Varietät von Fusus rostratus Oliv. halten, doch wäre diese Deutung im Hinblicke auf den unter die Mitte gerückten, exorbitant scharf vortretenden Kiel nicht gerechtfertigt.

Translation. From station 36 ( 680 m ); subfossil.
One specimen of 45.5 mm length and 18.5 mm greatest width; the mouth is 9 mm wide and 28 mm long, 15 of which belong to the siphonal canal which is open on top. The apex of the shell is missing and only 6 whorls are preserved. A keel which is especially prominent on the lowest whorls runs in the lower half of the whorl and forms two flattened protuberances wherever it crosses the sparse axial ribs. Therefore, the number of these protuberances corresponds to the number of axial ribs, which is 6 at the body whorl and $8-9$ at the spire whorls. A greater number of spiral cords run above and below the keel. They vary slightly in thickness, resembling those in Fusus rostratus, but without scales and are only poorly transversely ribbed. The suture is deep. The inside of the aperture is loosened above the columellar lip in an undulated callus which is perpendicular to the last whorl. The siphonal canal is bent.

At first appearance, the form described here could be mistaken for a variety of Fusus rostratus Oliv., however, this interpretation would not be justified, due to the centred, exorbitantly sharp protruding keel.

Comments. F. bengasiensis is considered a junior synonym of Fusinus rostratus (Olivi, 1792) (Buzzurro and Russo 2007).


Figure 5. Fusus bengasiensis Sturany, 1896, Station 36, north of Benghazi, Libya. A-C. Holotype NHMW 13000: front (A), side (B), and back (C) views E. Original label. D, F. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. Scale bar: 5 mm .

Family Marginellidae Fleming, 1828
Marginella occulta var. minor Sturany, 1896
Fig. 6
Sturany 1896: 9, not illustrated.

Examined material. NHMW 13012: 2 specimens, station 194. NHMW 99998: 1 specimen, station 36.

Original description. Von den Stationen 36 (680 m) und 194 (160 m).

Es liegen von Station 194 zwei Exemplare von 2,3 mm Höhe und $1,7 \mathrm{~mm}$ Breite vor, von Station 36 ein größeres mit den Massen 3,1: 2, 1 mm . Nur letzteres stimmt mit dem Typus der Art überein, der sich in der Monterosato-Collection des naturhistorischen Hofmuseums befindet, und den dasselbe nur noch in der Grösse übertrifft. (Exemplare von March. Monterosato messen 2,7 mm in der Höhe und 1,7 mm in der Breite.)

Die kleinen Stücke von Station 194 aber dürften zu der bisher nur in coll. bekannt gewordenen M. (Gibberulina) obtusa Monter. gehören, mit der sie sich in Form und Ausmass ziemlich decken. (Exemplare von Monterosato sind 2,1-2,2 mm hoch und 1,6-1,7 mm breit.) Doch erscheint es mir nicht empfehlenswerth, eine Species-Trennung vorzunehmen, da die M. (Gibberulina) obtusa Monter. schwerlich etwas anderes als eine kleine Varietät der M. occulta Monter. ist. (etwa M. occulta Monter. var. minor $=\mathrm{M} .($ Gibberulina $)$ obtusa Monter. in coll..$)$.

Translation. From stations 36 ( 680 m ) and 194 (160 m).
There are two specimens ( 2.3 mm height and 1.7 mm width) available from station 194. A larger specimen (3.1 2.1 mm ) is available from station 36. Only the latter matches the type specimen in the Monterosato-Collection of the k.k. Naturhistorisches Hofmuseum (Imperial Museum of Natural History) which is merely surpassed in size (specimens of March. Monterosato are 2.7 mm high and 1.7 mm wide).

The small specimens from station 194, however, should belong to M. (Gibberulina) obtusa Monter., only known in coll., which is rather congruent in form and size (specimens from Monterosato measure $2.1-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in height and $1.6-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width). Still, I do not consider recommendable to separate the species, because M. (Gibberulina) obtusa Monter. is hardly anything but a smaller variety of M. occulta Monter. (M. occulta Monter. var. minor $=M .($ Gibberulina $)$ obtusa Monter. in coll. $).$

Comments. Sturany identified the small specimens from station 194 as Marginella obtusa Monterosato, 1878, considered a nomen nudum by Gofas (1992). Sturany clearly stated that he considered this species schwerlich etwas anderes als eine kleine Varietät der M. occulta [hardly anything but a smaller variety of $M$. occulta] and that doch erscheint es mir nicht empfehlenswerth, eine Species-Trennung vorzunehmen [I do not consider recommendable to separate the species]. He used the "var. minor" statement only to highlight the small size of these specimens. Such a statement implies that the name is not available (art. 11.5 of the ICZN code).

## Family Raphitomidae Bellardi, 1875

Defrancia implicisculpta Sturany, 1896
Fig. 7
Sturany 1896: 12, plate I, figure 10-12.
Type locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13003, height 3.39 mm .

Original description. von Station $82(2420 \mathrm{~m})$; 1 Exemplar.

Das Gehäuse ist spindelförmig, von graubrauner Farbe und besitzt 6 12, Umgänge, wovon beinahe 4 auf das sogenannte Embryonalgewinde entfallen. Dieses zeigt, allerdings nur stellenweise, ein fein gegittertes Netzwerk (Fig. 12), während auf den $21 / 2$ unteren Windungen eine hiervon ganz verschiedene Sculptur (Fig. 11) auftritt. Unterhalb der Naht nämlich liegt ein schmaler, concaver Theil mit vielen bogenförmigen Querstrichen, und auf diesen folgt, durch 1 oder 2 Spirallinien gleichsam abgetrennt, der übrige Theil der Umgangsbreite. Dieser ist convex und trägt derbe Querrippen oder Querwülste (10 auf dem vorletzten, 12 auf dem letzten Umgange), durchkreuzt von ziemlich starken Spiralreifen (3 auf dem vorletzten und 6 auf dem letzten Umgange). Die Mündung ist birnförmig, hat oben am Aussenrande einen kleinen Ausschnitt und ist unten in den Stiel ausgezogen, um welchen ebenfalls einige Spiralreifen verlaufen.

Höhe des Gehäuses 3,5, Breite desselben 2,0 mm; Höhe der Mündung samt Stiel 2 mm.

In der Gestalt ist diese neue Art ähnlich der Defrancia cordieri Payr. (Kobelt Prodr, p. 143) und deren verwandten Formen, fuir welche Monterosato die Gattung Cordieria aufstellt, und auch mit einer noch nicht publizierten Art, mit Cordiera hispida Mont. in coll., welche mir aus der Sammlung des Hofmuseums zum Vergleiche vorliegt, zeigt sie vielfach Ähnlichkeit, doch scheint sie schon durch ihre geringen Dimensionen genügend charakterisiert und unterschieden zu sein.

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m ); 1 specimen.
The gray brown shell is fusiform and has $61 / 2$ whorls, almost 4 of which belonging to the so-called protoconch. This shows, in some parts, a finely reticulated sculpture (Fig. 12), while on the lower $21 / 2$ whorls there is a completely different sculpture (Fig. 11). Below the suture lies a slender, concave part with many arched axial lines, which is followed by the remaining part of the whorl's width, separated by 1 or 2 spiral lines. This remaining part is convex and is provided with solid axial ribs ( 10 on the penultimate, 12 on the last whorl), crossed by rather strong spiral stripes ( 3 on the penultimate, 6 on the last whorl). The aperture is pear-shaped with a small opening on the upper outer edge and is elongated downwards and blended into the siphonal canal, which is also ornamented by some spiral stripes.

The length of the shell is 3.5 mm , the width 2 mm , and the height of the aperture with the siphonal canal 2 mm .

In its form, this new species resembles Defrancia cordieri Payr. (Kobelt Prodr, p. 143), as well as its related forms for which Monterosato establishes the genus of Cordiera. It also shows multiple similarities to an unpublished species to date, Cordiera hispida Mont. in coll., which is available to me as an object of comparison from


Figure 6. Marginella occulta var. minor Sturany, 1896, Station 194, between Kythira and Antikythira, Ionian Sea, Greece, 160 m. A-E. NHMW 13012: front (A-B), side (C-D) and back (E) views. F-H. NHMW 13012: front (F), side (G) and back (H) views. I. Original label. Scale bars: 0.5 mm .
the museum collection. However, it seems that the characterization and distinction can be made sufficiently due to its smaller dimensions.

Comments. Currently considered a junior synonym of Pleurotomella eurybrocha (Dautzenberg \& Fischer, 1896) (Bouchet and Warén 1980).

Raphitoma nuperrima var. corallinoides Sturany, 1896 and var. pseudacanthodes Sturany, 1896
Fig. 8
Sturany 1896: 10-11, plate I, figure 6 (var. pseudacanthodes) and 7 (var. corallinoides).

Type locality. var. corallinoides: Station 36, north of Benghazi, Libya, 680 m . var. pseudacanthodes: Station 1, west of Corfu, Greece, 615 m ; Station 213, north of Astypalaia, Dodekanese, Greece, 597 m.

Type material. var. corallinoides: Holotype: NHMW 13013, height 7.27 mm ; var. pseudacanthodes: NHMW 13014: 1 syntype, station 1; NHMW 13015: 9 syntypes, station 213.

Original description. Von den Stationen 1, 36, 213 (597680 m ), und zwar von den ersteren je 1 Exemplar, von der letzteren eine grössere Anzahl.

Auf die Beschreibungen, welche von dieser Art in der Literatur zu finden sind, passen die Exemplare der ös-
terreichischen Tiefsee-Expeditionen recht gut, mit den bis jetzt vorhandenen Abbildungen harmonieren sie weniger.

Es soll gezeigt werden, dass nicht alle der vorliegenden Exemplare dem Typus angehören, und deshalb ist es zunächst notwendig, einige Beispiele über Massverhältnisse anzuführen.
[A table with the sizes of the collected specimens follows]

Also nur 1 Exemplar erreicht annähernd die in der Literatur als typisch angegebene Höhe von 12 mm , alle übrigen sind von weit kleineren Dimensionen. Ferner zeigen sie mit Ausnahme von 2 Exemplaren, auf die ich unten als Typen noch zuruickkomme, alle eine nicht blos absolut, sondern auch relativ geringe Gehäusebreite als der Typus, der mir in einem leider zerbrochenen ausgewachsenen und einem unfertigen Exemplare aus der Monterosato-Sammlung zum Vergleiche vorliegt. Dieser Typus weist auch eine viel grössere Anzahl von Spiralrippen und Spiralstreifen, welche auf allen Windungen markant hervortreten, auf, während bei unseren Exemplaren die Rippen von der vorletzten Windung bis hinauf zum Embryonalgewinde nur in der Zweizahl vorhanden sind und eine schwache Kante bilden, wie dies bei Pleurotoma (Mangelia) acanthodes Wats. der Fall ist. Mit dieser von den Bermudas-Inseln und den Azoren stammenden Art haben unsere Exemplare auch die Dimensionen vollständig übereinstimmend, ferner die Skulptur des Embryonalgewindes, und streng genommen besteht eine Verschiedenheit unserer Exemplare von acanthodes Wats.


Figure 7. Defrancia implicisculpta, Sturany, 1896, station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $2420 \mathrm{~m} . \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{H}$, I. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. B-G. Holotype NHMW 13003: front (B-C), back (D), side (E-F) views and microsculpture (G). J. Original label. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{F}), 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G})$.
eigentlich nur in ihrer reichlicheren Körnchensculptur, d. h. zwischen den Spiralrippen der letzten Windung stehen weit mehr Reihen von Punkten oder Körnchen als bei acanthodes, und ober der ersten Rippe des letzten Umganges, also zwischen ihr und der Naht, 10 bis 12 solcher Reihen. Wegen dieser grossen Ähnlichkeit der Mehrzahl der gesammelten Exemplare mit der Watson'schen Art möchte ich sie von Raphitoma nuperrima Tib. als nov. var. pseudacanthodes trennen, und dazu das grosse Exemplar von Station 1 (Fig. 6), sowie von Station 213 alle mit Ausnahme jener zwei Exemplare rechnen, welche ich gleich bei der Besprechung der Gehäusebreite ausgenommen habe. Diese muss ich, da sie, wie gesagt, relativ breiter sind, und da sie ferner mehr Spiralrippen besitzen (nämlich circa eben so viel wie die echte nuperrima in
der Monterosato-Collection des Hofmuseums) als Raphitoma nuperrima Tib. typ. isolieren (Fig. 5). Leider sind sie nicht erwachsen.

Schliesslich ist aber auch das Exemplar von Station 36, welches sich dadurch auszeichnet, dass zwischen den Spiralrippen 1 und 2 auf dem letzten Umgang ein grösserer Zwischenraum ist, als eine besondere Varietät zu bezeichnen, und zwar mit einer zweiten Watson'schen Art, mit Pleurotoma (Mangelia) corallina. von Westindien zu vergleichen, weshalb ich sie als nov. var. corallinoides auffïhre (Fig. 7).

Translation. From stations 1, 36, 213 (597-680 m), one specimen from each of the first two stations and a larger number from the latter station.


Figure 8. A-J. Raphitoma nuperrima var. corallinoides Sturany, 1896, holotype NHMW 13013, Station 36, north of Benghazi, Libya, 680 m : original figure in Sturany, $1896(\mathbf{A})$, front ( $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C}$ ), side ( $\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{E}$ ) and back ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) views, apex ( $\mathbf{G}$ ), microsculpture ( $\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{I}$ ), original label (J). K-P, T-V. Raphitoma nuperrima var. pseudacanthodes Sturany, 1896, syntype NHMW 13014a, Station 213, north of Astypalaia, Sporades, Greece, 597 m : original figure in Sturany, $1896(\mathbf{K})$, front ( $\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}$ ), side ( $\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{O}$ ) and back ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) views, microsculpture (T-U), original label (V). Q-R. Raphitoma nuperrima var. pseudacanthodes Sturany, 1896, syntype NHMW 13014b (same locality as 13014a): front (Q), apex (R-S). Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{U}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{I})$.

The specimens of the Austrian deep-sea expedition fit the descriptions currently found in the literature rather well; however, they poorly match the available figures.

It shall be demonstrated that not all of the presented specimens belong to the type, and it is therefore necessary to give some information on the dimensions. Only one specimen reaches the size of 12 mm described in the literature as typical height, all the rest are of far smaller dimensions. Furthermore, with the exception of two specimens, they show a smaller shell not only in absolute terms but also in relation to the type which is available to me as an object of comparison, unfortunately in the form of a broken and juvenile specimen from the Monterosato collection. This type also shows a greater number of prominent spiral ribs and stripes on all whorls, while our specimens show only two ribs from the penultimate whorl upwards to the protoconch, which forms a slight edge, much as it is the case in Pleurotoma (Mangelia) acanthodes Wats. Our specimens also correspond completely with this species from the Bermuda Islands and Azores in dimensions, sculpture of the protoconch. Strictly speaking, there is no difference between our specimens and acanthodes Wats., except for its richer tubercled sculpture, i.e., between the spiral cords of the last whorl, there are much more rows of granulose structures than there are in acanthodes, and above the last rib of the last whorl, namely between it and the suture, there are 10 to 12 such cords. Because of that great similarity of the majority of the collected specimens with Watson's species, I would like to separate it from Raphitoma nuperrima Tib. as nov. var. pseudacanthodes and include the large specimen from Station 1 (Fig. 6), as well as all those retrieved from station 213 with the exemption of those 2 specimens which I excluded from the review of shell sizes. As mentioned above, I have to isolate them as Raphitoma nuperrima Tib. typ. (Fig. 5), because they are relatively broader and possess more spiral ribs (approximately as many as the real nuperrima in the Monterosato collection of the Imperial Museum). Unfortunately, they are juveniles.

Finally, also the specimen retrieved from station 36 must be designated a remarkable variety. It distinguishes itself by a greater gap between spiral ribs 1 and 2 on the last whorl and must be compared to a second species by Watson, Pleurotoma (Mangelia) corallina from the West Indies, which is why I mention it as nov. var. corallinoides (Fig. 7).

Comments. Both taxa were considered junior synonyms of Bela nuperrima (Tiberi, 1855) (Bouchet and Warén 1980, MolluscaBase 2017) but more likely belong to Kurziella serga (Dall, 1881) (S. Gofas, pers. comm. November 2017).
Taranis alexandrina Sturany, 1896
Fig. 9
Sturany 1896: 11-12, plate I, figure 8-9.
Type locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13002, height 3.40 mm .

Original description. Von Station $82(2420 \mathrm{~m})$; 1 Schale mit unfertiger Mündung.

Gehäuse hellbraun, ziemlich dickschalig, aus 5 Umgängen bestehend. Das Embryonalgewinde (1 1/2 Windungen) hat eine etwas rauhe Oberfläche, aber keine deutliche Sculptur. Ziemlich unvermittelt beginnt auf der zweiten Umdrehung die von da ab bis zur Mündung scharf ausgeprägte Querrippung. Die Querrippen sind eng aneinandergelagert, durch einen ober der Mitte verlaufenden Spiralkiel gewinkelt und außerdem von einigen Spiralreifen durchkreuzt. Ein solcher, allerdings nur schwach ausgeprägter Spiralreifen zieht gleich unter der Naht dahin; er ist aber nur auf den letzten zwei Umgängen gut kenntlich. Ferner verlaufen auf dem vorletzten Umgange 2 Spiralreifen unter dem Kiele und auf dem letzten Umgang zwischen Kiel und Nabel deren 6 bis 7. Hier entsteht durch die Querrippung und Spiralstreifung ein Maschenwerk aus schiefgestellten Vierecken oder Quadraten, in denen da und dort eine Körnchensculptur angedeutet ist, was sich aber nur bei stärkerer Lupenvergrösserung sehen lässt.

Von den erwähnten Querrippen kommen auf je einen Umgang 22 bis 26 zu stehen.

Die Mündung, leider schadhaft, hat eine birnförmige Gestalt, einen nach links gedrehten, kurzen, ausgussartigen Stiel und einen vermutlich auch im ausgewachsenen Zustande scharfen, äßeren Rand. Der Spindelrand ist breit nach links ausgeschlagen und bedeckt einen ritzförmigen Nabel fast ganz.

Höhe des Gehäuses 3,5, Breite 2, Höhe der Mündung $1,7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Dass das vorliegende Exemplar mit Taranis cirrata Brugn. (Kobelt Prodr., p. 137) verwandt und in das seinem Ursprunge nach nordatlantische Genus Taranis zu stellen ist, davon bin ich nicht vollständig überzeugt; darüber wird sich wohl noch streiten lassen. Es ist kleiner als Taranis cirrata und hat um eine Umdrehung weniger; ferner tritt ein Spiralreifen deutlich als Kiel hervor, während die anderen schwächeren zurücktreten. Im Ganzen stimmt wohl die Anzahl der Spirallinien, ob sie mun kielartig oder nur reifenförmig auftreten, bei beiden Formen überein, und auch die Anzahl der Querrippen dürfte dieselbe sein wie bei Taranis citrrata, aber wir sehen in den genannten abweichenden Merkmalen und besonders in der Gestaltung der Spindel wichtige Unterschiede.

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m ); 1 specimen with incomplete aperture.

Light brown shell, fairly thick, consisting of 5 whorls. The protoconch ( $11 / 2$ whorls) has a somewhat rough surface, but no distinct sculpture. Quite suddenly, sharply pronounced axial ribbing appear at the second whorl and continue until the aperture. The axial ribs are embedded closely together and angled by a central spiral keel and, moreover, crossed by several spiral threads. Such threads, though pronounced only weakly, are already visible right beneath the suture; however, they are only well distinguishable on the


Figure 9. Taranis alexandrina, Sturany, 1896, station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, 2420 m . A-F. Holotype NHMW 13002: original figures in Sturany, $1896(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{F})$, front $(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C})$ and side $(\mathbf{D})$ views, apex $(\mathbf{E})$. G. Original label. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{D}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{E})$.
last two whorls. Furthermore, at the body whorl there are 2 spiral stripes beneath the keel and 6 to 7 on the ultimate whorl between keel and umbilicus. As a result of the axial ribbing and spiral stripes, there is a network of slanted rectangles or squares with a hint of a granular sculpture here and there, which is only visible at higher magnification.

Each whorl is equipped with 22 to 26 of the previously mentioned axial ribs.

The unfortunately damaged aperture is pear shaped and has a leftwards rotated, short, nozzle-shaped siphon and a, likely also in the mature state, sharp outer edge. The columella is broadly lashed out towards the left and covers a scar-formed umbilicus almost completely.

Height of the shell 3.5 , width 2 , aperture height 1.7 mm . I am not entirely convinced that the present specimen is related to Taranis cirrata Brugn. (Kobelt Prodr., p. 137) and according to its origin must be placed within the north Atlantic genus Taranis. Perhaps this will be further discussed. It is smaller than Taranis cirrata and has one whorl less. Furthermore, a spiral stripe is distinctly pronounced as a keel, while the other fainter ones recede.

On the whole, probably only the number of spiral lines concur in both forms, whether they appear as a keel or only ring-formed, and also the number of axial ribs seems to be the same as in Taranis cirrata, however, we see important differences in the aforementioned traits and especially in the shaping of the columella.

Comments. Considered a junior synonym of Taranis moerchii (Malm, 1861) (Bouchet and Warén 1980).

## Family Mytilidae Rafinesque, 1815

Myrina modiolaeformis Sturany, 1896
Fig. 10
Sturany, 1896: 20, plate II, figures 34-38.
Original locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. NHMW 13011: 2 syntypes (1 right and 1 left valve), station 82 .


Figure 10. Myrina modiolaeformis Sturany, 1896, station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, 2420 m. A, C, H. Syntype NHMW 13011a: outer (A) and inner (C) view, hinge (H). B, D-F. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. G. Original label. Scale bars: 1 mm .

Original description. Von Station 82 (2420 m).
Es liegt nur eine rechte Schale von 13 mm Länge, 6,7 mm Höhe und 3,2 mm Breite (Dicke) vor, sowie von einem zweiten bedeutend kleineren (ungefähr nur halb so großen) Exemplare die linke Schalenhälfte (Länge 6,8, Höhe 3,5 mm). Die Schalen sind aussen bis auf den Wirbel, der sich weisslich abhebt, nahezu einfarbig hellgelb, innen etwas perlmutterglänzend. Die concentrische Streifung der Aussenseite ist etwas unregelmässig, indem einzelne Streifen stärker hervortreten. Der Wirbel liegt weit nach vorne gerückt, im ersten Fünftel oder Sechstel der Schale. Der hintere Oberrand verläuft bis zu seinem gerundeten Übergang in den Hinterrand ganz gerade. Rückwärts bedeutend höher als vorne gebaut, hat die Schale in ihren Umrissen ungefähr die Gestalt von Modiola (Gregariella) sulcata Risso.

Was mich veranlasste, diese höchst eigenthümlich gestaltete neue Muschel zu dem exotischen Genus Myrina zu beziehen, ist die Querstrichelung auf der inneren Schlossleiste, wodurch diese wie mit einer grossen Anzahl kleiner, senkrecht gestellter und dicht aneinander gereihter Zähnchen besetzt erscheint. Die grosse rechte Schalenhälfte weist nur hier und dort die verwischten

Spuren dieser zahnartigen Striche auf, während sie die linke Schale des zweiten Exemplares unter stärkerer Lupenvergrösserung deutlich erkennen lässt, Hier sind die Querstriche nicht blos auf die hintere Schlossleiste beschränkt, sondern stehen auch direkt unter dem Wirbel auf einem zahnartigen Vorsprung, also ungefähr dort, wo der vordere Oberrand entspringt, ganz ähnlich wie bei der im Challenger-Werk (E. Smith, Lamellibr, pl. XVI, fig. 9) abgebildeten Myrina coppingeri Wats. von Nord-Australien.

Die Zugehörigkeit der hier beschriebenen Muschel zu dem Genus Myrina ist trotz der angefuihrten Schlossmerkmale nichts weniger als erwiesen und hierrüber sicher noch nicht das letzte Wort gesprochen.

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m ).
There is only one right valve of 13 mm in length, 6.7 mm in height and 3.2 mm in width (thickness), as well as a left valve of a remarkably smaller (approximately only half the size) specimen (length 6.8 , height 3.5 mm ). The shells are nearly monochrome yellow, except for the umbo which stands out whitish, and shine lightly of mother-ofpearl on the inside. The concentric stripes on the outside
are slightly irregular, as single stripes stand out more prominent. The umbo is moved far to the front, within the first fifth or sixth of the shell. The rear upper margin is shaped completely straight until its rounded transition into the posterior margin. Being built significantly higher at the posterior than at the anterior, the shell in its outline has roughly the shape of Modiola (Gregariella) sulcata Risso. The axial sketchy lining at the hinge margin, which makes it appear as a set with a large number of smaller, vertically and tightly arranged teeth, caused me to place this very peculiarly shaped new bivalve within the exotic genus Myrina. The large right valve shows smeared tracks of these teeth-like lines only here and there, while they are clearly visible under higher magnification in the left valve of the second specimen. Here, the axial lines are not limited to the posterior part of the hinge plate, but are also visible directly beneath the umbo on a toothlike structure, approximately at the position of the beginning of the anterior upper margin, very similar to Myrina coppingeri Wats from northern Australia, depicted in the Challenger work (E. Smith, Lamellibr., pl. XVI, fig. 9).

In spite of the mentioned hinge characters, the belonging of the described bivalve to the genus Myrina is no less than evident and the last word has certainly not been spoken yet.

Comments. A valid species placed in genus Idas (MolluscaBase 2017) recently recorded from cold seep communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004).

## Family Lucinidae J. Fleming, 1828

Lucina amorpha Sturany, 1896
Fig. 11
Sturany, 1896: 16, plate I, figure 22.
Type locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13008 (a right valve), height 9.58 mm , length 10.40 mm .

Original description. Von Station 82 ( 2420 m ); eine rechte Schalenhälfte.

Die vorliegende Schale scheint auf den ersten Blick wohl der Lucina spinifera Mont. (Kobelt Prodr. p. 369; Carus Prodr: p. 152) anzugehören und ein deformiertes Exemplar zu sein unterscheidet sich aber doch wesentlich in folgenden Punkten:

1. Das Möndchen (lunula) ist hier eine schmale, aber tiefe Grube; daher der Umriss der Schale ein ganz anderer als bei Lucina spinifera. 2. An der Grenze von Unterund Hinterrand schneidet eine breite winkelige Bucht tief in die Schale und setzt sich bis zur Mitte der Schalenhöhe radial als Konkavitüt fort (ähnlich wie im Genus Axinus). Im Inneren der Schale entspricht dieser Einsenkung von außen eine bauchige Verdickung. 3. Die Anzahl der kon-
zentrischen Streifen (Rippen), welche schwächer sind und näher aneinander gerückt stehen als bei L. spinifera, beträgt ca. 66 (gegen ca. 40 bei L. spinifera). 4. Der hintere Oberrand zieht vom Wirbel in leichtem konvexen Bogen nach hinten und unten und lässt eine Reihe von schwachen Höckern, die Endigungen der konzentrischen Streifen, erkennen. Doch endigt nicht jeder Streifen, sondern etwa bloß jeder zweite mit einem solchen Höcker.

Das Schloss stimmt vollständig mit dem von L. spinifera überein, und auch der Wirbel ist wie dort glatt und nach vorne und innen geneigt. Die Farbe ist nahezu rein weiß, die Wölbung der Schale eine schwache. Die Länge der Schale beträgt 11, die Höhe 9,5 mm.

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m ); one right valve.
At a first glance, the shell at hand seems to be perhaps a deformed specimen belonging to Lucina spinifera Mont. (Kobelt Prodr.p. 369; Carus Prodr. p. 152), but is after all clearly distinguished regarding the following points:

1. The lunula, in this case, is a narrow but deep cavity, therefore the outline of the shell is quite a different one than in Lucina spinifera. 2. At the boundary between ventral and posterior margin, a broad, cornered cove cuts deep into the shell and continues radially as a concavity (similar to the genus Axinus) further to the middle of the shell height. On the inside of the shell, this depression corresponds to a bulbous thickening from the outside. 3 . The number of concentric ridges, which are fainter and stand closer together than in L. spinifera, amounts to approximately 66 (compared to about 40 in L. spinifera). 4. The posterior upper margin runs from the umbo backand downwards in a slightly convex curve and bears a row of faint humps at the endings of the concentric lines. However, not each one of these lines, but only approximately every second one, ends in such a hump.

The hinge is identical to that of $L$. spinifera, and also the umbo is smooth and tilted forwards and inwards as it is there. The colour is nearly clear white, the curvature of the shell is weak. The length of the shell is 11 , the height 9.5 mm .

Comments. Currently accepted as Myrtea amorpha (Sturany, 1896) (MolluscaBase 2017) and recently recorded from cold seep communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004). Its shape is totally unusual for lucinids and is indeed due to an accident during growth.

## Family Thyasiridae Dall, 1900

Axinus flexuosus var. striatus Sturany, 1896
Fig. 12
Sturany 1896: 17, plate I, figures 23.
Type locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. Holotype: NHMW 13009 (a right valve), height 7.09 mm , length 7.37 mm .


Figure 11. Lucina amorpha Sturany, 1896, station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, 2420 m . A. Original figure in Sturany, 1896. B, C, E. Holotype NHMW 13008: outer (B) and inner (C) views, hinge (E). D. Original label. Scale bar: 1 mm .

Original description. Von Station 82 (2420 m.); eine rechte Schalenhälfte.

Die stärkere concentrische Streifung der Schale, die noch weiter als im Typus nach vorne gerückte Stellung des Wirbels und der weniger gewölbte Unterrand zwingen zur Abtrennung der Form als eine Varietät von Axinus flexuosus Mont. und verrathen auch eine gewisse Verwandtschaft mit der bisher nur im Norden gefundenen Axinus sarsii Phil. - Die Länge der Schale beträgt 7,5, die Höhe 7 mm.

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m ); one right valve.
The shells stronger concentric stripes, the position of the umbo which is moved further to the front than in the type specimen, and the lesser arched ventral margin require a separation of this form as a variety of Axinus flexuosus Mont. and also suggest a certain relation to Axinus sarsii Phil., which was only found in the north to this date - the length of the shell is 7.5 , the height 7 mm .

Comments. Currently accepted as Thyasira striata Sturany, 1896 (MolluscaBase 2017) and recently recorded from cold seep communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004).

## Family Vesicomyidae Dall \& Simpson, 1901

## Isorropodon perplexum Sturany, 1896

Fig. 13
Sturany 1896: 17, plate I, figures 24-27.
Original locality. Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, $32^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 29^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 2420 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. NHMW 13010: 11 syntypes ( 3 left and 8 right valves), station 82 .

Original description. Von Station 82 (2120 m)


Figure 12. Axinus flexuosus var. striatus Sturany, 1896, station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, 2420 m . A. Original figure in Sturany, 1896. B-E, G, H. Holotype NHMW 13009: outer (B-C) and inner (D-E) views, hinge (G-H). F. Original label. Scale bar: 1 mm .

Es liegt von dieser rätselhaften, neuen Muschel eine größere Anzahl linker und rechter Schalenhälften
vor, die in ihren Massverhältnissen ziemlich verschieden, also durchaus nicht konstant sind und von denen kaum zwei zusammenpassen. Von Farbe sind die Schalen außen weiß, gelb oder braun, innen weißlich mit gelbem Saume am Rande oder einfarbig gelblich bis grau ohne Saum. Vorne und rückwärts sind sie zumeist gleichmässig abgerundet, seltener lässt sich rückwärts die schwache Andeutung eines schnabelförmigen Endes konstatieren, indem der Unterrand an seinem Übergange in den Hinterrand eine leichte Einbuchtung zeigt. Die Außenseite der Schale ist dicht concentrisch gestreift; die Innenseite, glatt und glänzend, weist zwei längliche senkrecht stehende Muskeleindrücke auf und rückwärts eine sehr seichte Mantelbucht. Der Wirbel steht in der vorderen Hälfte der Schale und neigt sich mit seiner Spitze nach vorne und innen; vor demselben ist eine lunu-la-artige Vertiefung wahrzunehmen.

Das Schloss ist ziemlich kompliziert. In der rechten Schale (Fig. 26) steht direct unter dem Wirbel ein waagrechter, von oben nach unten komprimierter, langgestreckter Zahn, und vor dem Wirbel, nämlich unter dem vorderen Oberrande und von diesem durch eine Rinne getrennt, ein zweiter, ebenfalls waagrechter und abgeflachter Zahn. Die beiden Zähne sind wohl an ihrer Basis miteinander verbunden, lassen aber oben, resp. an der nach dem Innern der Schale gekehrten Partie eine Höhlung zwischen sich. Hinter dem Wirbel verläuft eine Leiste parallel mit dem Oberrande.

In der linken Schale (Fig. 27) fällt dem Auge des Beschauers sofort eine grosse Zahnpartie auf, welche unter dem Wirbel steht und, senkrecht zur Längsebene der Schale betrachtet, zwei mit ihren konvexen Seiten aneinander gelehnte Bogen erkennen lässt, wodurch sie das Aussehen eines Doppelzahnes gewinnt. Diese Zahnpartie kommt beim Schließen der Muschel jedenfalls in die Höh-


Figure 13. Isorropodon perplexum Sturany, 1896, Station 82, north of Alexandria, Egypt, 2420 m. B-C, K. Syntype NHMW 13010a: outer (B) and inner (C) views, hinge (K). E-F, J. Syntype NHMW 13010b: outer (E) and inner (F) views, hinge (J). G. Original label. A, D, H-I. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. Scale bar: 1 mm .
lung zu liegen welche sich zwischen den beiden großen Zähnen der rechten Schale ausdehnt, und zwar dürfte sich an jeden derselben einer der 2 oben erwähnten Bögen anlegen. Zwischen dem „Doppelzahne" und dem unter dem Wirbel fächenartig verbreiterten Oberrande liegt analog dem Verhalten in der rechten Schale eine Rinne und über derselben, also direkt am Oberrande ein kleiner abgeplatteter Zahn oder - was aber nur bei einem Exem-
plar der Fall ist - 2 waagrechte und einander parallel gestellte Zähnchen. Auch in der linken Schale zieht hinter dem Wirbel eine stellenweise fast zahnartig vorspringende Leiste parallel zum hinteren Oberrande dahin.

Das derartig beschaffene Schloss ist ähnlich dem von Cypricardia lithophagella Lam. (Kobelt Prodr. p. 390), und mit Rücksicht darauf halte ich es für passend, das neue Genus Isorropodon. welches mit der obigen, vor-
läufig allerdings nur nach der einzigen vorliegenden Art entworfenen Beschreibung charakterisiert ist, im Systeme unmittelbar hinter Cypricardia zu stellen.
[A table with the sizes of the collected specimens follows]

Translation. From station 82 ( 2420 m )
There is a large number of right and left valves of this mysterious new bivalve, which are quite diverse in their sizes and of which scarcely any fit together.

The color on the outside of the shell is white, yellow, or brown and whitish on the inside with a yellow margin or monochrome yellowish to gray with no margin. Anterior and posterior sides are in most cases equally rounded. In some rarer cases, there is a faint suggestion of a beakformed ending, showing a slight dent at the transition of the ventral margin to the posterior margin. The outer surface of the shell is densely concentrically lined; the inside, smooth and shiny, shows two elongated vertical muscle scars and a very superficial/shallow pallial sinus at the posterior. The umbo is located at the anterior half of the shell and its tip tilted to the front and inside. At its front, a lunular-like indentation can be noticed.

The hinge is quite complex. In the right valve (Fig. 26), directly beneath the umbo there is an horizontal elongated tooth, compressed from top to bottom. In front of the umbo, namely beneath the anterior lower margin and separated by it through a groove, there is a second, also horizontal and flattened tooth. The two teeth are connected at their base, but leave a cavity above, respectively at the inwards turned part, between them. Behind the umbo, a bar advances parallel to the upper margin.

In the left valve (Fig. 27), a large set of teeth beneath the umbo strikes the viewer. Perpendicularly to the longitudinal plane of the shell, two curves become visible, leaning together at their convex sides, which makes the teeth seem double-toothed. When the shell closes, this set of teeth is embedded in a caving which is stretched between the two large teeth of the right valve and it seems as the aforementioned curves dock each of them. Between the "double tooth" and the upper margin which is broadened beneath the umbo, there is a groove and above, directly at the upper margin, a small flattened tooth, analogous to the right valve. Only in one specimen we find two horizontal and parallel arranged teeth instead. Also in the left valve there is a bar advancing behind the umbo parallel to the posterior upper margin which is prominent and tooth-like in some parts.

The hinge of this sort is similar to that of Cypricardia lithophagella Lam. (Kobelt Prodr. p. 390), and considering this, I find appropriate to introduce the new genus Isorropodon which is characterized by the described hinge and stands in the system directly behind Cypricardia.

Comments. The genus Isorropodon Sturany, 1896 was also introduced and is currently considered a valid genus within the vesicomyid subfamily Pliocardiinae (Krylova and Sahling 2010). I. perplexum is considered a valid specis (MolluscaBase 2017) and has been recently recorded
from cold seep communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004). Types noted and species described in detail by von Cosel and Salas (2001).

## Family Lyonsiidae P. Fischer, 1887

Lyonsia aegeensis Sturany, 1896
Fig. 14
Sturany 1896: 15, plate I, figures 14-16.
Original localities. Station 199, southwest of Kythira, Sea of Crete, Greece, $36^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 23^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 875 \mathrm{~m}$; Station 213 , north of Astypalaia, Dodekanese, Greece, $36^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $2^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 597 \mathrm{~m}$; Station 237, southwest of Samothraki, Aegean Sea, Greece, $40^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 588 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. NHMW 13004: 1 syntype (a complete specimen), station 199; NHMW 13005: 1 syntype (a right valve), station 237; NHMW 13006: 1 syntype (3 fragments), station 213.

Original description. Von den Stationen *199 (875 m, l vollständiges Exemplar), 213 (597 m, Fragment) und 237 ( 588 m, I rechte Schalenhälfte).

Das vollständige Exemplar von Station 199 ist 17 mm lang, 10 mm hoch und $7,2 \mathrm{~mm}$ breit. Es ist sehr nahe mit Lyonsia formosa Jeffr. verwandt (Kobelt Prodr. p. 321; Carus Prodr. p. 170; E. Smith, Challenger-Report, Lamellibr., p. 72, pl. VI, fig. 3-3b) und wiurde sich vielleicht, wenn es möglich wäre, eine Reihe von Lyonsien vergleichend zu studieren, bloss als eine Varietät von L. formosa erweisen. Vorläufig aber muss ich die vorliegende Form isolieren, da sie im Vergleiche zu dem im Challen-ger-Werke abgebildeten formosa-Exemplare in folgenden Punkten abweicht:

1. Die Größe der Schale ist eine viel bedeutendere, die allgemeine Form eine gestrecktere. 2. Der Wirbel liegt nahezu in der Mitte, während denselben das Challen-ger-Exemplar (vide d. Ansicht von oben!) mehr gegen das Vorderende gerückt hat. 3. Die vom Wirbel bis ungefähr zur Mitte des Unterrandes ziehende Radialerhöhung ist schwach angedeutet und nur durch eine Reihe blasenförmiger Auftreibungen der Epidermis kenntlich. Der zweite Kiel, welcher vom Wirbel zum hinteren Unterrand der Schale zieht, ist deutlich markiert und beschreibt einen Bogen, dessen Convexität nach vorne zu liegt, während sich beim Challenger-Exemplar dieser Kiel in seinem oberen Theil mit einer schwachen Convexität dem hinteren Oberrande nähert. 4. Hinter dem zweiten Kiele folgen 11 ebenfalls radial ausstrahlende Rippen mit dicht aufsitzenden Dörnchen (gegen 7 beim Challenger-Exemplar!) und in der vorderen Hälfte der Schale kommen zu den für L. formosa charakteristischen 6 oder 7 stärkeren Querwülsten noch etliche schwächere, enger aneinander stehende wellenförmige Erhebungen, welche hier denjenigen Raum bis zum Wirbel einnehmen, der am Challenger-Exemplar hiervon frei zu sein scheint. 5. Schließlich wäre noch zu erwähnen, dass der


Figure 14. Lyonsia aegeensis Sturany, 1896, Station 199, southwest of Kythira, Sea of Crete, Greece, $875 \mathrm{~m} . \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L}$. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. B. Original label. C-J. Syntype NHMW 13004: outer view of left valve (C), inner view of right valve (E), microsculpture (D, F), hinge right valve (G, I) and left valve (H, J). Scale bar: $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}), 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}), 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{J})$.

Oberrand mit dem Hinterrande einen deutlichen Winkel bildet, indem er horizontal vom Wirbel ausläuft und mehr plötzlich nach abwärts umbiegt, also nicht, wie dies am Challenger-Exemplar geschieht, langsam und allmählich in den Hinterrand abfällt.

Sehr hübsch ist an dem hier besprochenen Exemplar auch das Ligament mit dem halbkugeligen ossiculum zu sehen. Jede Schale trägt unter dem Wirbel eine horizontal etwas hervortretende, oben ausgehöhlte Platte zur Aufnahme des Ligaments samt dem ossiculum.

Die Schale von Station 237 misst bis bloß 11 1/2 mm in der Länge und $81 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in der Höhe.

Translation. From stations 199 ( 875 m, 1 complete specimen), 213 ( 597 m , fragment), and 237 ( $588 \mathrm{~m}, 1$ right valve).

The complete specimen from station 199 is 17 mm long, 10 mm high and 7.2 mm wide. It is very closely related to Lyonsia formosa Jeffr. (Kobelt Prodr. p. 321; Carus Prodr. p. 170; E. Smith,

Challenger-Report, Lamellibr., p. 72, pl. VI, fig. 3-3b) and might turn out to be, if it would be possible to comparably study a range of Lyonsia, merely a variety of $L$. formosa. For now, however, I have to isolate the present form, as it differs from the formosa specimen depicted in the Challenger work in the following points:

1. The size of the shell is much larger; the general form is more elongated. 2 . The umbo is situated nearly in the middle, while it is moved further to the anterior in the Challenger specimen (see view from above). 3. The radial ridge which proceeds from the umbo towards about the middle of the lower margin is faintly indicated and only recognizable through a row of blister-formed swellings of the epidermis. The second ridge, which proceeds from the umbo towards the posterior lower margin of the shell, is clearly marked and describes a curve which is convex to the front, while this ridge is orientated towards the posterior upper margin with a weak convexity in its upper part. 4 . There are 11 radially expanding ribs with densely packed spines behind the second keel (as compared to 7 in the Challenger specimen!). In addition to the 6 or 7 more prominent varices which are typical for L. formosa, there are several wavy elevations in the anterior half of the shell, which are less developed and positioned closer together. Here, these elevations occupy the space towards the umbo which seems to be open in the case of the Challenger specimen. 5. Finally, it can be mentioned that the upper margin forms a distinct angle with the posterior margin, by ending horizontally from the umbo and suddenly bending downwards, not slowly and gradually bending towards the posterior, as it happens in the Challenger specimen.

The ligament with the hemispheric ossiculum is beautifully visible in the here described specimen. Each shell has a horizontally emerging and upright carved plate beneath the umbo to accommodate the ligament including the ossiculum.

The shell from station 237 is merely $111 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and $8 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$ high.

Comments. Currently considered a junior synonym of Allogramma formosa (Jeffreys, 1882) (MolluscaBase 2017).

## Family Verticordiidae Stoliczka, 1870

Pecchiolia berenicensis Sturany, 1896
Fig. 15
Sturany 1896: 15, plate I, figure 17-21.

Type locality. Station 37, north of Benghazi, Libya, $32^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 144^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 19^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 700 \mathrm{~m}$.

Type material. NHMW 13007: holotype (a complete specimen), length 6.70 mm , height 6.31 mm .

Original description. Von Station *37 (700 m.); 1 Exemplar.

Diese Muschel ist von weisser Farbe, ungleichschalig, ungleichseitig und in ihren Umrissen von der Gestalt eines schief gestellten, ungleichseitigen Viereckes. Die Wirbel liegen in der vorderen Hälfte der Schale. Der schief abwärts geneigte vordere Oberrand ist muldenförmig vertieft (Abgrenzung der lunula) und geht unter einem rechten Winkel direct in den Unterrand über, welcher stumpfwinkelig ist (mit der Spitze in der Mitte) und rückwärts wieder beilüufig unter einem rechten Winkel in den hinteren, convex gekrümmten Oberrand sich fortsetzt. Von einem Vorder- und Hinterrand ist also hier nicht zu reden.

Die rechte Schale übertrifft die linke an Länge und Höhe. Während jene nämlich $7,5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in der Länge und 7,1 mm in der Höhe misst, ist diese nur 7,1 mm lang und $6,4 \mathrm{~mm}$ breit. Der Rand der überdies auch stärker gewölbten rechten Schale greift, wenn die Muschel geschlossen ist, etwas über den der linken. Die Dicke der ganzen Muschel beträgt $5,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Über die Aussenseite der beiden Schalenhälften laufen 23 Radialrippen, welche auch an der perlmutterartig glänzenden Innenseite durchscheinen, und zwischen diesen stehen feine, gewellte, concentrisch angeordnete Querlinien in grosser Anzahl.

Das Schloss ist ziemlich einfach. In der rechten Schale steht ein starker, konischer Hauptzahn unter dem nach vorne und innen gerichteten Wirbel und rückwärts (unter dem hinteren Oberrande) verläuft eine ziemlich lange, in ihrer mittleren Parthie mässig vorspringende Leiste, welche einen Seitenzahn vorstellt; zwischen beide aber, den Haupt- und Seitenzahn, kommt in eine Vertiefung das hornartige innere Ligament zu liegen. In der linken Schale befindet sich unter dem Wirbel eine Vertiefung zur Aufnahme des Hauptzahnes der rechten Schale, und der hintere Seitenzahn ist hier wieder in Form einer Leiste vorhanden, die aber soweit gegen den Wirbel zu gerückt ist, dass das hornige innere Ligament gerade darunter zu liegen kommt. Ist die Muschel geschlossen, so steht der Seitenzahn der linken Schale gerade vor dem der rechten, und unter dem ersteren liegt, wie gesagt, das Ligament.

An der Bildung des Möndchens (lunula), welches breit herzförmig ist und sehr vertieft liegt, betheiligen sich die beiden Schalen nicht in gleichem Masse. Der vordere Oberrand der rechten Schale ist an der betreffenden Stelle convex vorgezogen und passt in eine entsprechende Concavität des gegenüberliegenden Randes; die von der rechten Schale gelieferte Fläche des Möndchens ist also grösser als die linke.

Die vorliegende Art fäll wohl mit keiner der aus dem Genus Verticordia oder Pecchiolia bisher bekannt gewor-


Figure 15. Pecchiolia berenicensis Sturany, 1896, Station 37, north of Benghazi, Libya, $700 \mathrm{~m} . \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K}-\mathbf{L}$. Original figures in Sturany, 1896. B-C, E-G, J, M. Holotype NHMW 13007: outer view left valve (B-C), inner view right valve ( $\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{F}$ ), hinge detail of right $(\mathbf{G})$ and left valve $(\mathbf{J})$, sculpture detail $(\mathbf{M})$. N. Original label. Scale bar: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{J}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{M})$.
denen zusammen, ist aber mit Pecchiolia insculpta Jeffr. (P. Z. S. 1881, p. 932, pl. 70, fig. 4.- Kobelt Podr. p. 323; Carus Prodr. p. 165.) aus dem Atlantischen Ocean und dem westlichen Mittelmeere nahe verwandt.

Translation. From station 37 ( 700 m ); 1 specimen.
This bivalve is white in colour, with a rough surface, unequilateral, and rhomboid in outline. The umbos are located at the anterior half of the shell. The downwards
tilted dorsal margin is depressed (delimiting the lunula) and merges through a right angle directly with the lower margin which has an obtuse angle (with the tip in the middle). Posteriorly, the margin runs again through a right angle towards the posterior, convex bended upper margin. Therefore, there cannot even be any question of an anterior or posterior margin.

The right valve surpasses the left one in length and height. In fact, while that [right] valve measures 7.5 mm in length and 7.1 mm in height, this [left] one is only 7.1 mm long and 6.4 mm wide. The margin of the right valve, furthermore also more strongly arched, slightly surpasses the margin of the left valve when the shells are closed. The thickness of the entire bivalve is 5.5 mm .

There are 23 radial ribs running along the outside of the two valves, which show through the mother-of-pearl shining inside. Between them, there are a large number of delicate, waved concentrically arranged lateral lines.

The hinge is rather ordinary. In the right valve there is a strong, conic cardinal tooth beneath the front- and inwards orientated umbo; proceeding backwards (beneath the posterior upper margin) there is a rather long, in the mid part moderately projected, bar, which lies before a lateral tooth; embedded between the two, the cardinal and lateral tooth, is the horn-like ligament. Situated within the left valve is a deepening beneath the umbo to accommodate the cardinal tooth of the right valve. The posterior lateral tooth is present here again in the form of a bar, which is moved so far against the umbo that the horny inner ligament is placed right beneath it. Whenever the mussel is closed, the lateral tooth of the left valve is placed right in front of that of the right one and beneath the first one lies the ligament, as mentioned before.

The lunula, which is broad and heart-shaped and is positioned very deep, has not the same size in the two valves. The anterior upper margin of the right valve is convex at the relevant position and fits into an equivalent concavity of the opposite margin; the space of the lunula of the right valve is also larger than the part of the left valve.

The species at hand seem not to coincide with any of recognized species of the genera Verticordia or Pecchiolia to date, however it is closely related to Pecchiolia insculpta Jeffr. (P. Z. S. 1881, p. 932, pl. 70, fig. 4.- Kobelt Podr. p. 323; Carus Prodr. p. 165.) from the Atlantic ocean and the western Mediterranean.

Comments. Currently accepted as Haliris berenicensis (Sturany, 1896) (MolluscaBase 2017), but its affinity with Haliris granulata (Seguenza, 1860) deserves further investigation.
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#### Abstract

The genus Rapisma McLachlan, 1866 (Insecta: Neuroptera: Ithonidae) is a rare and poorly known lacewing group endemic to Asia. Here I present a revision of the Rapisma species from China, with description of two new species, namely Rapisma changqingensis sp. n. and Rapisma chikuni sp. n. The Chinese Rapisma now comprises five species that respectively belong to two monophyletic species groups. Rapisma changqingensis sp. n. represents the northernmost record of the genus, being distributed at the border of eastern Palaearctic and Oriental regions. Moreover, the homology of genital sclerites of Rapisma is also updated.


## Neuropterida

taxonomy
new species
Oriental region

## Introduction

The genus Rapisma McLachlan, 1866 (commonly called montane lacewings) is one of the extremely rare groups of the holometabolous insect order Neuroptera. The adults of Rapisma are a kind of spectacular lacewings, with relatively large body-size and moth-like general appearance. They are characterized by the broad body, the head retracted under stout prothorax, the short antennae, and the broad wings, usually being greenish, yellowish or brownish, with complex venations (see detailed generic characters in the systematic section below). Previously, Rapisma was the single representative of the family Rapismatidae (Krüger 1922, Navás 1929), while it is recently assigned to Ithonidae sensu lato, which constitutes three former lacewing families, i.e. Ithonidae sensu stricto, Polystoechotidae and Rapismatidae (Winterton and Makarkin 2010). So far, Rapisma is the only extant ithonid
genus that occurs in Asia, with distribution area primarily confined to the Oriental region, and it comprises 18 valid described species (Oswald 2017). However, 12 Rapisma species are known respectively from a single specimen, suggesting the rareness of this genus.

The most comprehensive study on Rapisma refers to Barnard (1981). Subsequently, Barnard and New (1985, 1986) and New (1985) provided considerable additional information on this genus. Nevertheless, Rapisma still needs further studies concerning its morphology, phylogeny and biology. First, the homologies of the genital sclerites in Rapisma, especially those of gonocoxites complex 9,10 and 11 , should be further interpreted basing on the homology assessment provided by Aspöck and Aspöck (2008). Second, the phylogeny as well as the historical biogeography of Rapisma is largely unknown. Rapisma was assigned into a monophyletic group together with Adamsiana Penny, 1996 from Central America, Oliarces

Banks, 1908 from southwestern North America and two fossil genera (Allorapisma Makarkin \& Archibald, 2009 from the early Eocene of U.S.A. and Principiala Makarkin \& Menon, 2007 from the Lower Cretaceous of Brazil and England) based on total-evidence data (Winterton and Makarkin 2010), and it was recovered to be the sister group of the latter two fossil genera, suggesting that Rapisma might have originated no later than the Early Cretaceous. However, the interspecific relationships among the Rapisma species are barely known. Third, considering biology, the knowledge on life history of Rapisma is very scarce. It is also interesting to investigate whether the remarkable sexual dimorphism on body and wing coloration (currently found only in a Chinese species Rapisma xizangense Yang, 1993) is a common phenomenon in the whole genus.

Rapisma was found from China for the first time in a few years after the aforementioned series of taxonomic works (Yang 1993). Four species were originally described as new species in Yang (1993) from China, namely Rapisma daianum Yang, 1993 from Yunnan, Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, 1993 from Sichuan, Rapisma xizangense Yang, 1993 from Xizang, and Rapisma zayuanum Yang, 1993 from Xizang, while one of them ( $R$. zayuanum) was synonymized with another sympatrically distributed species (i.e. R. xizangense) by Wang et al. (2013) through molecular identification.

In this paper we present a taxonomic revision of Rapisma from China, providing descriptions or re-descriptions of all Chinese Rapisma species, including two new species. The new species Rapisma changqingensis sp . n . from Qinling Mountains extends the northernmost border of the distribution range of Rapisma to the transitional zone between eastern Palaearctic and Oriental regions. A revised interpretation on the homologies of genital sclerites of Rapisma is also provided.

## Material and methods

Specimens for the present study are deposited in the Entomological Museum, China Agricultural University (CAU), Beijing, China; the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IZCAS), Beijing, China; and the Shanghai Entomological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences (SEMCAS), Shanghai, China.

Genitalic preparations were made by clearing the apex of the abdomen in a warm, saturated KOH solution for $20-30 \mathrm{~min}$. After rinsing the KOH with acetic acid and water, the apex of the abdomen was transferred to glycerin for further dissection and examination. Habitus photos of adults were taken by using Nikon D800 digital camera with Nikon MICRO NIKKOR 105 mm lens, and the genitalic figures were made by hand drawing under Carl Zeiss Discovery V12 stereo microscope. The terminology of the genitalia generally follows Aspöck and Aspöck (2008).

Results

## Genus Rapisma McLachlan, 1866

Rapisma McLachlan, 1866:353. Type species: Hemerobius viridipennis Walker, 1853: 276 (original designation).

Generic characters. Medium- to large-sized lacewings (forewing length $19-35 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Head (Figs 1-3) partially retracted under prothorax; vertex moderately domed, medially with trace of ecdysial line; clypeus prominent, nearly rectangular. Antennae moniliform, but sometimes subserrate, much shorter than wings ( $4-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Compound eyes globular, maximum eye diameter divided by minimum interocular distance (i.e. EI ratio) 0.7-1.1; ocelli absent. Mouthparts mandibulate, mandibles short and broad, margin of labrum excised medially.

Thorax (Fig. 1) stout, covered with fine hairs, prothorax very broad and shield-like. Legs densely setose; tibial spurs absent; length of tarsal segments in approximate ratio 1.0 (basal):0.4:0.35:0.25:0.85 (distal); pretarsal claws broad at base with slight projection on inner side; pretarsus lacking arolium.

Wings (Figs 1-3) broad, with numerous setae on wing margins and veins. Both fore- and hindwing with single nygma between bases of RP+MA and MP, but with trichosors poorly developed, only confined on forewing costal margin.

Forewing broad, greenish, yellowish or brownish. Costal space very broad, particularly at base; costal crossveins usually with marginal forks and with interlink veinlets, most of which are arranged into a longitudinal line on proximal half. Recurrent humeral veinlet present, with several branches. ScA present, short. ScP running free to wing margin, not fused with RA, distally with a few short branches. Subcostal space with many crossveins (number ranging 1831). RP+MA diverging very near base of $R$; $R P$ with 3-9 pectinate branches. MA diverging near base of RP and forking repeatedly, with initial branching point either near base of MA or rather distad midpoint of MA. MP diverging into MP1 and MP2 near base; MP1 only forked near wing margin; MP2 profusely and usually dichotomously branched. Cu diverging into CuA and CuP slightly proximad initial branching point of MP. CuA forked only distally; CuP at least initially forked around midpoint; a series of interlink veins present among cua-cup crossveins and longitudinally arranged into a pseudo longitudinal vein. A1 with a few distal branches; A2 and A3 proximally fused, each forked near its base. Simple jugal vein present. Crossvenation exceptionally rich, distal-most crossveins among branches of $\mathrm{RP}+\mathrm{MA}$ arranged into a gradate series.

Hindwing slightly shorter and narrower than forewing. Frenulum present, short. Venation generally similar to that of forewing, except for the followings. Costal space much narrower than that of forewing, with interlink veinlets among costal crossveins absent. RP with $2-7$ pectinate branches. No interlink veinlets among cua-cup crossveins.


Figure 1. Habitus photos of Rapisma spp., (A) Rapisma chikuni sp. n., holotype male; (B) Rapisma daianum Yang, holotype male; (C) Rapisma xizangense Yang, male; (D) same species, female (holotype of Rapisma zayuanum Yang). Arrow indicates nygma. Scale bar: 5.0 mm .


Figure 2. Habitus photos of Rapisma spp., (A) Rapisma changqingensis sp. n., holotype male; (B) same species, paratype female; (C) Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, male; (D) same species, holotype female; (E) same species, male, lacking forewing dark markings; (F) same species, female. Scale bar: 5.0 mm .

Abdomen corpulent. Genital segments much smaller than pregenital segment. Male genitalia (Figs 4-6): Tergum 9 much wider than long; sternum 9 slightly shorter than tergum 9; ectoprocts unpaired in dorsal view; callus cerci present; gonocoxites 9 , gonostyli 11 and gonocoxites 11 associated into a complex structure; gonocoxites 9 paired, each of them with a broad lobe (glabrous or covered with many short spines) and a narrow lateral arm, which is articulating laterally on ends of fused gonocoxites 11 (= gonarcus); fused gonocoxites 11 present as a variously shaped arc; gonostyli 11 (= mediuncus lobes) present near posteromedian portion of fused gonocoxites 11 , fused, but often showing paired trace, more or less setose; hypandrium internum usually present, but often small and difficult to been found. Female genitalia (Fig. 6): Sternum 7 large; segment 8 much shorter than
and slightly retracted in sternum 7 , tergum 8 enclosing spiracle; gonocoxites + gonapophyses 8 (= subgenital plate) fused, but usually divided by a transverse suture, posteriorly produced and notched at tip; tergum 9 much shorter than tergum 8 , slightly narrowed ventrolaterally; gonocoxites 9 (= ovipositor) rather short and small, valvate, distally with tiny gonostyli 9 ; a pair of small setose lobes (gonapophyses 9) present posteriad gonocoxites 8 and usually beneath gonocoxites 9 ; ectoprocts unpaired in dorsal view, laterally with callus cerci; bursa copulatrix medially with a straight channel, anteriorly connected with spermatheca, which constitutes two tubes terminated with a large and a small ovoid sac.

Distribution. China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar, Nepal, Thailand.

## Key to Rapisma species from China

1 Antennae extremely short, less than $1 / 5 \times$ forewing, moniliform; male gonocoxites 9 glabrous, not bilobed posteroventrally (Figs 6D, 8E, 9E)

- Antennae relatively long, $\sim 1 / 3 \times$ forewing length, subserrate; male gonocoxites 9 bilobed and covered with many short spines posteroventrally (Figs 4E, 5) 4

2 Body and forewings brownish in male but greenish in female; male fused gonocoxites 11 in dorsal view distinctly produced posterolaterally (Fig. 6C); Xizang. R. xizangense Yang

- Body and forewings greenish in both male and female; male fused gonocoxites 11 in dorsal view not produced posterolaterally (Figs 8E, 9E)

3
3 Male head medially without dark marking (Fig. 3E); male fused gonocoxites 11 anteriorly broadly concaved and with a semicircular median notch (Fig. 8C); male gonostyli 11 not prominent posterolaterally (Fig. 8D); female gonocoxites+go. napophyses 8 subtrapezoid, with indistinct transverse suture (Fig. 8 H ); Shaanxi ......................R. changqingensis sp. n .

- Male head medially with dark markings (Fig. 3G); male fused gonocoxites 11 with broadly subtrapezoid anterior incision, but without accessory median notch (Fig. 9C); male gonostyli 11 prominent posterolaterally (Fig. 9D); female gonocoxites+gonapophysis 8 nearly pentagonal, with distinct, arched, transverse suture (Fig. 9H); Sichuan....R. yanhuangi Yang
4 Male head medially without dark marking (Fig. 3B); male gonocoxites 9 with posteromedian lobes curved anteriad (Fig. 5); southern Yunnan
R. daianum Yang
- Male head medially with dark markings (Fig. 3A); male gonocoxites 9 with posteromedian lobes not curved anteriad (Fig. 4D); western Yunnan ..................................................................................................................... R. chikunisp. n.


## Rapisma chikuni sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/D2125C87-5631-44C0-847C-41803F5D2DD5
Figs 1, 3, 4, 10
Diagnosis. Body and forewings generally greenish. Forewing with sparse small grayish brown spots. Male head medially with dark markings on vertex and frons. Antenna subserrate, $\sim 1 / 3 \times$ forewing length. Male gonocoxites 9 paired, covered with many short spines; each with a robust lobe, which laterally bears a digitiform projection and dorsally bears a flat accessory lobe, and with a short, arcuately curved lateral arm; fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, in dorsal view nearly semicircular, anteromedially strongly concaved, leaving a pair of broadly shell-shaped lobes, which bear a pair of acutely tapering accessory lobes on their laterodistal ends; gonostyli 11 fused, subtrapezoidal,
bearing short setae, ventrally with a pair of obtuse processes and with a feebly sclerotized median bar.

Description. Male. Body length 14.5 mm ; forewing length 26.6 mm , hindwing length 23.0 mm .

Head nearly semiglobular, slightly retracted under prothorax, visible in dorsal view. Head yellowish; a transverse blackish brown band present on anterior portion of vertex; frons also with a transverse blackish brown band. Compound eyes blackish brown; EI ratio 0.84. Antenna subserrate, 8.5 mm long, with 62 flagellomeres; yellowish, but proximal two flagellomeres and those on distal $1 / 4$ of flagellum slightly darker. Mandibles with tips black.

Pro- and mesothorax greenish, but metathorax yellowish; pronotum anteriorly with a pair of subtriangular blackish brown markings, and posterolaterally with a pair


Figure 3. Head of Rapisma spp. in frontal view, (A) Rapisma chikuni sp. n., holotype male; (B) Rapisma daianum Yang, holotype male; (C) Rapisma xizangense Yang, male; (D) same species, female (holotype of Rapisma zayuanum Yang); (E) Rapisma changqingensis sp. n., holotype male; (F) same species, paratype female; (G) Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, male; (H) same species, female. Scale bar: 1.0 mm .
of ovoid blackish brown markings; meso- and metanotum each laterally with a pair of large and a pair of punctiform blackish brown markings. Legs yellowish; pretarsal claws reddish brown, proximally slightly produced.

Forewing greenish, with sparse small grayish brown spots. Trichosors present only along costal margin. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, blackish, covered with a blackish spot. RP with 7 pectinate branches. Hindwing much paler than forewing, immaculate. A proximal nygma present between RP + MA and MP, yellowish. RP with 4 pectinate branches.

Abdomen brown, with terga and genitalia greenish yellow. Tergum 9 subtrapezoidal, moderately setose. Sternum 9 nearly as long as but narrower than tergum 9, subtrapezoidal, posteriorly slightly concaved. Ectoprocts slightly shorter and much narrower than tergum 9; callus cerci present, slightly prominent. Gonocoxites 9 paired, covered with many short spines; each with a robust lobe, which laterally bears a digitiform projection and dorsally bears a flat accessory lobe, and with a short, arcuately curved lateral arm. Fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, in dorsal view nearly semicircular, anteromedially strongly concaved, leaving a pair of broadly shell-like lobes, which bear a pair of acutely tapering accessory lobes on their laterodistal ends. Gonostyli 11 fused, subtrapezoidal, bearing short setae, ventrally with a pair of obtuse processes and with a feebly sclerotized median bar. Hypandrium internum relatively large, arrow-shaped, with slenderly foliate lateral lobes.

Female. Unknown.

Material examined. Holotype male, China, Yunnan, Yingjiang, Nabang, Rongshuwang [ $24^{\circ} 40.48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.97^{\circ} 35.33^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 850 \mathrm{~m}, 30 . \mathrm{VI} .2017$, Yutang Wang (CAU).

Etymology. The new species is dedicated to Prof. Chikun Yang who made outstanding contributions to the taxonomy of Rapisma from China.

Distribution. China (Yunnan).
Remarks. The new species probably belongs to a monophyletic group including Rapisma corundum Barnard, 1981 from eastern Myanmar, Rapisma tamilanum Barnard, 1981 from southern India, and R. daianum from southern Yunnan, China, because these species share some apomorphic characters, e.g. the subserrate male antennae and the posteriorly bilobed male gonocoxites 9 . The new species can be distinguished from the related species by the head medially with dark markings, the male gonocoxites 9 with median lobes not curved anteriad, and the male fused gonocoxites 11 broadly shell-like laterally.

## Rapisma daianum Yang, 1993

Figs 1, 3, 5, 10
Rapisma daianum Yang, 1993: 147. Type locality: China (Yunnan:
Menghai).
Diagnosis. Body and forewings yellowish, possibly greenish in fresh specimens. Forewing with sparse small


Figure 4. Rapisma chikuni sp. n., (A) male genitalia, dorsal view; (B) male genitalia, ventral view; (C) male gonocoxites and gonostyli 11, dorsal view; (D) complex of internal male genital sclerites, ventral view. $\mathbf{c}$ - callus cercus; $\mathbf{e}$ - ectoproct; hi - hypandrium internum; $\mathbf{T}$ - tergum; $\mathbf{S}$ - sternum. Gonocoxites 9 , gonocoxites 11 and gonostyli 11 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, pale green and green in panels C and D. Scale bar: 1.0 mm .
grayish brown spots. Male head medially without dark marking. Antenna subserrate. Male gonocoxites 9 paired, covered with many short spines; each with a digitiform lobe, which is curved at tip, laterally bears a thick conical projection, and dorsally bears a flat accessory lobe, and with a short, arcuately curved lateral arm; fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly shallowly incised, but anterior margin largely truncate; gonostyli 11 fused, subquadrate, bearing short setae, posteriorly with V-shaped incision, leaving a pair of subtriangular lobes.

Description. Male. Body length 18.0 mm ; forewing length 22.0 mm , hindwing length 20.0 mm .

Head nearly semiglobular, slightly retracted under prothorax, visible in dorsal view. Head yellowish, immaculate in general, only with a narrow blackish brown stripe along anterior half of inner margin of compound eye. Compound eyes blackish brown; EI ratio 1.00. Antennae partly damaged, subserrate, yellowish brown to brown, with at least more than 50 antenomeres. Mandibles with tips black.

Thorax yellowish; meso- and metanotum each laterally with a pair of grayish brown markings. Legs yellowish; pretarsal claws reddish brown, proximally slightly produced.

Forewing yellowish, with sparse small grayish brown spots. Trichosors absent. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, blackish. RP with 6 pectinate branches. Hindwing much paler than forewing, immaculate. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, yellowish. RP with 3 pectinate branches.

Abdomen yellowish except for pleural portions brown. Gonocoxites 9 paired, covered with many short spines; each with a digitiform lobe, which is curved at tip, laterally bears a thick conical projection, and dorsally bears a flat accessory lobe, and with a short, arcuately curved lateral arm. Gonostyli 11 fused, subquadrate, bearing short setae, posteriorly with V-shaped incision, leaving a pair of subtriangular lobes. Fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly shallowly incised, but anterior margin largely truncate. Hypandrium internum relatively large, arrow-shaped, with slenderly foliate lateral lobes.

Female. Unknown.


Figure 5. Complex of internal male genital sclerites of Rapisma daianum Yang, ventral view. Gonocoxites 9, gonocoxites 11 and gonostyli 11 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, pale green and green. Modified from Yang (1993). Scale bar: 1.0 mm .

Material examined. Holotype male, China, Yunnan, Xishuangbanna, Menghai [ca. $21^{\circ} 57.19^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 100^{\circ} 27.31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], 1200-1600 m, 21.VII.1958, Shuyong Wang (IZCAS).

## Distribution. China (Yunnan).

Remarks. This species appears to be closely related to $R$. corundum in having similar male gonocoxites 9 , while it can be distinguished from the latter species by the forewing with grayish brown spots and the male gonostyli 11 posteriorly with V-shaped incision. In $R$. corundum the forewings are immaculate and the male gonostyli 11 is not incised posteriorly.

## Rapisma xizangense Yang, 1993

Figs 2-4, 6, 10
Rapisma xizangense Yang, 1993: 148. Type locality: China (Xizang: Jigong).
Rapisma zayuanum Yang, 1993: 149. Type locality: China (Xizang: Jigong).

Diagnosis. Body and wing coloration greatly differed between males and females, generally brownish in males but greenish in females. Male head medially with dark markings on vertex, frons and clypeus, while female head immaculate. Antenna extremely short, less than $1 / 5 \times$ forewing length. Male gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with an ovoid lobe, which dorsally bears a subtriangular accessory lobe, and with a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm; fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly shallowly concaved, posteromedially truncate, posterolaterally distinctly produced in dorsal view; gonostyli 11 having subtriangular dorsal lobe with a few short setae, and flat ventral lobe distally with a pair of tufts of long setae.

Description. Male. Body length $13.0-14.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $19.0-20.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, hindwing length $16.6-17.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Head nearly semiglobular, largely retracted under prothorax, barely visible in dorsal view. Head yellowish brown; a narrow blackish stripe present around compound eye; vertex with a large brown marking, which is sometimes expanded, making vertex almost entirely brown; frons and clypeus medially with a brown marking that is much narrower than vertexal marking. Compound eyes dark brown; EI ratio 0.80 . Antennae nearly moniliform, short, $4.4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, with 27-28 flagellomeres; pale yellowish brown. Mandibles with tips black.

Thorax pale brown, meso- and metathorax slightly paler than prothorax, without any distinct markings. Legs yellowish; pretarsal claws reddish brown, proximally slightly produced.

Forewing brownish, with many small brown spots on crossveins. Trichosors absent. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, blackish. RP with 8-9 pectinate branches. Hindwing much paler than forewing, immaculate. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, blackish. RP with 6-8 pectinate branches.

Abdomen brown. Tergum 9 subtrapezoidal, with sparse short setae, posterior margin slightly concaved. Sternum 9 nearly as long as tergum 9 , about 1.5 times as wide as long, subtrapezoidal. Ectoprocts nearly as long as tergum 9 , ventrally divided into a pair of ovoid lobes; callus cerci present, slightly prominent. Gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with an ovoid lobe, which dorsally bears a subtriangular accessory lobe, and with a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm. Fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly shallowly concaved, posteromedially truncate, posterolaterally distinctly produced in dorsal view. Gonostyli 11 with a pair of dorsal lobes and a single ventral lobe; dorsal lobe subtriangular, with a few short setae; ventral lobe flat, distally with a pair of tufts of long setae.

Female. Body length $19.1-22.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $30.0-31.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, hindwing length $26.0-26.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 6. Rapisma xizangense Yang, (A) male genitalia, dorsal view; (B) male genitalia, ventral view; (C) male gonocoxites and gonostyli 11, dorsal view; (D) complex of internal male genital sclerites, ventral view; (E) female genitalia, lateral view; (F) female genitalia, ventral view; (G) female gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8, ventral view. $\mathbf{c}$ - callus cercus; $\mathbf{e}$ - ectoproct; $\mathbf{g x}$ - gonocoxite; $\mathbf{g p}$ - gonapophysis; gst - gonostylus; $\mathbf{T}$ - tergum; $\mathbf{S}$ - sternum. Gonocoxites 9 , gonocoxites 11 and gonostyli 11 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, pale green and green in panels C and D . Gonocoxites 9 , gonostyli 9 and gonapophyses 9 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, blue, and dark blue in panel F , while gonocoxites 8 and gonapophyses 8 are respectively highlighted in pale yellow and yellow in panels F and G. Scale bar: 1.0 mm (A, B, E, F, G), $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})$.

Body and forewings in general greenish. Head without dark marking. Forewing sometimes with very indistinct trace of dark spots on a few crossveins.

Sternum 7 large, posteromedially with a narrow groove. Gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8 fused, broadly subtriangular, but divided by an arched transverse suture, notched distally, with a pair of digitiform projections and a weak median projection. Gonocoxites 9 nearly semicircular in lateral view, distally with tiny gonostyli 9; a pair
of small setose ovoid gonapophyses 9 present posteriad gonocoxites 8 and beneath gonocoxites 9 . Ectoprocts subtrapezoidal in lateral view, slightly broadened posteriad.

Materials examined. Holotype male, China, Xizang, Chayu, Jigong [ $\left.28^{\circ} 39.17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 27.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 2300 \mathrm{~m}$, 2.VII.1978, Guangwu Li (IZCAS). 1 female [holotype of R.zayuanum], China, Xizang, Chayu, Jigong [28³9.17'N, $\left.97^{\circ} 27.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 2300 \mathrm{~m}, ~ V I I I .1982$, Baohai Wang (IZ-

CAS); 1 male, China, Xizang, Chayu [ca. $28^{\circ} 39.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.97^{\circ} 27.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 3 . V I I .2011$, Ye Liu (CAU); 1 female, China, Xizang, Chayu, Shaqiong [ca. $28^{\circ} 39.17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 27.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], 10.VII.2011, Ye Liu (CAU); 1 male, China, Xizang, Chayu [ca. $\left.28^{\circ} 39.17^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 97^{\circ} 27.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right]$, 19.VII.1997, Chaodong Zhu (IZCAS).

## Distribution. China (Xizang).

Remarks. This species appears to be closely related to Rapisma nepalense Barnard, 1981 from Nepal and Rapisma almoranum Barnard, 1981 from northern India in having similar yellowish brown body and medially punctuate head in males as well as male gonocoxite 9 posteriorly with glabrous, ovoid lobe. However, it can be distinguished from $R$. nepalense by the absence of large median dark spot on forewing and the relative broad lobe of male gonocoxite 9 , and it differs from $R$. almoranum by the male gonocoxite 9 with a broad lobe and a subtriangular accessory lobe.

Rapisma xizangense is known for the remarkable sexual dimorphism of body and wing coloration (Wang et al. 2013). It may be interesting to figure out whether its related species also have such feature. Barnard (1981) mentioned that $R$. almoranum might be conspecific to Rapisma viridipenne (Walker, 1853) from Nepal and northeastern India by having similar forewing marking patterns. So far, $R$. viridipenne is only known from female specimens and its forewing is greenish yellow (Barnard, 1981). If $R$. almoranum and $R$. viridipenne are confirmed to be same species, the sexual dimorphism of body and wing coloration should be also present in this species.

## Rapisma changqingensis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/E7EFEBE1-7CD7-46EF-B57D-DA6D9920C045 Figs 2-3, 7-8, 10

Diagnosis. Body and forewings generally greenish in both males and females. Head medially without dark marking. Antenna extremely short, less than $1 / 5 \times$ forewing length. Male gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with a broad subtrapezoidal lobe and a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm; fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly broadly concaved but with a semicircular median notch, posteriorly convex in dorsal view; gonostyli 11 having obtuse dorsal lobe with a few short setae, and flat ventral lobe distally with a pair of tufts of long setae.

Description. Male. Body length 18.0 mm ; forewing length 25.0 mm , hindwing length 21.7 mm .

Head nearly semiglobular, largely retracted under prothorax, barely visible in dorsal view. Head slightly yellowish green; a narrow blackish stripe present around compound eye and slightly extending toward vertex. Compound eyes blackish brown; EI ratio 0.68. Antenna nearly moniliform, short, 4.0 mm long, with 24 flagellomeres; yellowish throughout. Mandibles with tips black.

Thorax entirely greenish, meso- and metathorax slightly paler than prothorax, without any distinct markings. Legs yellowish throughout; pretarsal claws reddish brown with base yellowish, proximally slightly produced.

Forewing greenish, immaculate. Trichosors absent. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, whitish. RP with 8 pectinate branches. Hindwing much paler than forewing. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, whitish. Costal space with a few interlink veinlets among costal crossveins on proximal half. RP with 7 pectinate branches.

Abdomen yellowish green, with terga and genitalia brown. Tergum 9 subtrapezoidal, with sparse short setae. Sternum 9 slightly shorter than tergum 9, about 2.0 times as wide as long, with slightly arcuate posterior margin. Ectoprocts slightly shorter and much narrower than tergum 9, ventrally divided into a pair of ovoid lobes; callus cerci present, slightly prominent. Gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with a broad subtrapezoidal lobe and a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm. Fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly broadly concaved but with a semicircular median notch, posteriorly convex in dorsal view. Gonostyli 11 with a pair of dorsal and a single ventral lobe; dorsal lobe obtuse, with a few short setae; ventral lobe flat, distally with a pair of tufts of long setae.

Female. Body length 24.8 mm ; forewing length 32.0 mm , hindwing length 28.9 mm .

Body and forewings in general greenish. Head without dark marking. Forewing with a few tiny dark spots.

Sternum 7 large, posteromedially with a narrow groove. Gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8 fused, broadly subtrapezoid, notched distally, with a pair of digitiform projections and a weak median projection. Gonocoxites 9 nearly semicircular in lateral view, distally with tiny gonostyli 9; a pair of small setose ovoid gonapophyses 9 present posteriad gonocoxites 8 and beneath gonocoxites 9. Ectoprocts nearly semicircular in lateral view.

Materials examined. Holotype male, China, Shaanxi, Yangxian, Changqing National Nature Reserve, Yangjiagou, $1281 \mathrm{~m}, 33.6390^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 107.4965^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 18 . \mathrm{VII} .2017$, Bozun Huang \& Zhifei Liu (CAU). Paratype: 1 female, same collecting site as holotype, 24.VII.2017, Puyuan Liu (CAU).

Etymology. The new species is named based on the Changqing National Nature Reserve where type specimens of this species were collected.

## Distribution. China (Shaanxi).

Remarks. The new species appears to be closely related to $R$. yanhuangi from Sichuan by the similar body and wing coloration as well as the general characteristics of male genitalia, but it can be distinguished from the latter species by the male head medially without dark marking, the male gonostyli 11 with a pair of dorsal lobes not prominent posterolaterally, and the shape of male fused


Figure 7. Living habitus of Rapisma changqingensis sp. n., (A) male adult, lateral view; (B) female adult, dorsal view.
gonocoxites 11. In R. yanhuangi the male head medially possesses several dark markings, the dorsal lobes of male gonostyli 11 are distinctly prominent posterolaterally, and the male fused gonocoxites 11 is differently shaped compared with R. changqingensis sp. n.

The two specimens of this new species were collected by accident in a field survey performed by a summer camp for natural education called "Wings of Nature" in Changqing National Nature Reserve, Shaanxi, China. All collectors of these two specimens are middle school


Figure 8. Rapisma changqingensis sp. n., (A) male genitalia, dorsal view; (B) male genitalia, ventral view; (C) male gonocoxites 11, dorsal view; (D) male gonostyli 11, dorsofrontal view; (E) complex of internal male genital sclerites, ventral view; (F) female genitalia, lateral view; (G) female genitalia, ventral view; (H) female gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8, ventral view. $\mathbf{c}-$ callus cercus; $\mathbf{e}$ - ectoproct; $\mathbf{g x}$ - gonocoxite; $\mathbf{g p}$ - gonapophysis; $\mathbf{T}$ - tergum; $\mathbf{S}$ - sternum. Gonocoxites 9 , gonocoxites 11 and gonostyli 11 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, pale green and green in panels C-E. Gonocoxites 9 and gonapophyses 9 are respectively highlighted in pale blue and dark blue in panel $G$ (gonostyli 9 present but barely visible in lateral and ventral view), while gonocoxites 8 and gonapophyses 8 are respectively highlighted in pale yellow and yellow in panels G and H . Scale bar: 1.0 mm .
students. The holotype male was found falling in a pond probably from a tree nearby, while the paratype female was found resting on a tree.

The Changqing National Nature Reserve is located at the southern slope of Qinling Mountains, which is commonly considered as a boundary between Palaearctic and Oriental regions in China (Zhang 1999), and it is one of the nature reserves that harbour some endangered wildlife, such as giant pandas, crested ibises, sub-nosed monkeys, etc. The climate of the collecting site of $R$. changqingensis $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{n}$. is warm temperate, and the vegetation is kind of mixed evergreen broad-leaf and coniferous forest. However, most Rapisma species are from
subtropical or tropical regions with rainforests. So far, $R$. changqingensis sp. n. represents the northernmost record of Rapisma.

## Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, 1993

Figs 2-3, 9-10
Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, 1993: 147. Type locality: China (Sichuan Chongqing).

Diagnosis. Body and forewings generally greenish in both males and females. Head medially with dark mark-


Figure 9. Rapisma yanhuangi Yang, (A) male genitalia, dorsal view; (B) male genitalia, ventral view; (C) male gonocoxites 11, dorsal view; (D) male gonostyli 11, dorsofrontal view; (E) complex of internal male genital sclerites, ventral view; (F) female genitalia, lateral view; (G) female genitalia, ventral view; (H) female gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8, ventral view. $\mathbf{c}$ - callus cercus; $\mathbf{e}$ - ectoproct; $\mathbf{g x}$ - gonocoxite; $\mathbf{g p}$ - gonapophysis; gst - gonostylus; $\mathbf{T}$ - tergum; $\mathbf{S}$ - sternum. Gonocoxites 9, gonocoxites 11 and gonostyli 11 are respectively highlighted in pale blue, pale green and green in panels $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}$. Gonocoxites 9 , gonostyli 9 and gonapophyses 9 are respectively highlighted in pale blue and dark blue in panel G , while gonocoxites 8 and gonapophyses 8 are respectively highlighted in pale yellow and yellow in panels G and H . Scale bar: 1.0 mm .
ings on vertex, frons and clypeus. Antenna extremely short, less than $1 / 5 \times$ forewing length. Male gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with a broad subtrapezoidal lobe and a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm; fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly with a broad, subtrapezoidal incision, posteriorly truncate, laterally acutely tapering in dorsal view; gonostyli 11 having dorsal lobe posterolaterally distinctly prominent, with a few short setae, and flat ventral lobe distally with a tuft of long setae.

Description. Male. Body length $17.2-20.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $20.8-25.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, hindwing length $18.4-22.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Head nearly semiglobular, largely retracted under prothorax, barely visible in dorsal view. Head generally pale yellowish green; a narrow blackish stripe present
around compound eye; a pair of transverse, ovoid, blackish brown markings present on vertex; several small brownish spots present along midline of frons and clypeus. Compound eyes dark brown; EI ratio 0.78. Antenna nearly moniliform, short, $4.7-4.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, with 23-26 flagellomeres; pale yellowish brown. Mandibles with tips black.

Thorax entirely greenish, meso- and metathorax slightly paler than prothorax, without any distinct markings. Legs pale yellowish brown, slightly darkened on apices of tibiae and all tarsomeres; pretarsal claws reddish brown, proximally slightly produced.

Forewing greenish, with some small grayish spots on distal half and along posterior margin, but these dark markings sometimes completely reduced. Trichosors absent. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and


Figure 10. Distribution map of the Rapisma species from China.

MP, blackish, sometimes with a distinct black spot. RP with 6-9 pectinate branches. Hindwing much paler than forewing. A proximal nygma present between RP+MA and MP, blackish. RP with 6-7 pectinate branches.

Abdomen yellowish brown, with terga and genitalia greenish. Tergum 9 subtrapezoidal, with sparse short setae. Sternum 9 slightly shorter than tergum 9 , about 2.5 times as wide as long, with slightly arcuate posterior margin. Ectoprocts slightly shorter and much narrower than tergum 9 , ventrally divided into a pair of ovoid lobes; callus cerci present, slightly prominent. Gonocoxites 9 paired, glabrous; each with a broad subtrapezoidal lobe and a slender, arcuately curved lateral arm. Fused gonocoxites 11 generally arched, anteriorly with a broad, subtrapezoidal incision, posteriorly truncate, laterally acutely tapering in dorsal view. Gonostyli 11 with a pair of dorsal and a pair of ventral lobes; dorsal lobe posterolaterally distinctly prominent, with a few short setae; ventral lobe flat, distally with a tuft of long setae.

Female. Body length $18.0-20.0 \mathrm{~mm}$; forewing length $27.0-27.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, hindwing length $27.0-24.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Body and forewings in general greenish. Head slightly darkened on vertex, with or without a dark spot on frons. Forewing without any dark marking.

Sternum 7 large, posteromedially with a narrow groove. Gonocoxites+gonapophyses 8 fused, nearly pentagonal,
but divided by an arched transverse suture, notched distally, with a pair of digitiform projections and a weak median projection. Gonocoxites 9 nearly semicircular in lateral view, distally with tiny gonostyli 9 ; a pair of small setose ovoid gonapophyses 9 present posteriad gonocoxites 8 and beneath gonocoxites 9 . Ectoprocts ovoid in lateral view.

Materials examined. Holotype female, China, Sichuan, Chongqing [= Chongzhou, ca. $30^{\circ} 37.29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 40.13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], 31.V.1954, Hechang Liu (IZCAS). 4 male 1 female, China, Sichuan, Shimian, Liziping Nature Reserve, Gongyihai Station [ $\left.29^{\circ} 05.35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 20.11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 2100 \mathrm{~m}, 22-25$. VII.2007, Liu, Zhang, Zhou \& Bi (SEMCAS).

## Distribution. China (Sichuan).

Remarks. This species was originally described based on a single female specimen (Yang 1993). As the new materials, particularly the female, show almost same characteristics to the holotype female, I identified them to be R. yanhuangi. Nevertheless, further confirmation on the present identification should be considered if male specimens from the type locality are found in the future. The new materials of $R$. yanhuangi were collected by light trap. Among the male specimens the greenish forewings possess a few grayish spots or lack any dark spots, while the median dark
spot on frons is present in the holotype female but absent in another female of the new materials. It suggests that identification of Rapisma species based solely on head and wing markings must be cautious as these characters are variable among conspecific individuals in some species.

## Discussion

## Homology of genital sclerites

The genital segments of Rapisma are much smaller than the pregenital segments that are rather robust and in dried specimens are sometimes inconspicuous and partly retracted in the preceding pregenital segments. Due to the rareness of Rapisma species, very few works deal with the morphology of the genital sclerites of this genus. Barnard (1981) first interpreted the genitalia of Rapisma following the homology assessment and terminology proposed by Acker (1960). In this work the male genitalia of Rapisma are composed of tergum and sternum 9 , tergum 10 , paired gonocoxites, gonarcus, mediuncus (including upper mediuncus lobes and lower mediuncus lobes), and hypandrium internum, while the female genitalia constitute terga 8-10, subgenital plate, and paired gonapophyses laterales. It is noteworthy that the female gonostyli of gonapophyses laterales are missing in the illustration of Barnard (1981). However, Yang (1993) illustrated the small gonostyli on the apex of the female ovipositor (= gonapophyses laterales). Aspöck and Aspöck (2008) provided a new homology assessment of the genital sclerites of Neuropterida with revised terminology based on the gonocoxite concept [i.e. the coxopodite concept of Acker (1960) for Neuropterida]. In this work, irrespective of terga and sterna of segments $8-10$, the male genital sclerites comprise paired gonocoxites + gonostyli 9 (= gonocoxites), fused gonocoxites and gonostyli 11 (= gonarcus and mediuncus), and hypandrium internum, while the female genital sclerites comprise fused gonocoxites 8 (= subgenital plate) and paired gonocoxites 9 (= gonapophyses laterales). Notably, the sclerites belonging to segment 10 except for ectoprocts are missing in males of Rapisma, and the male gonostyli 11 are enlarged, being considered to be a synapomorphy of Ithonidae + Myrmeleontiformia (Aspöck and Aspöck 2008). I basically agree to the homology interpretation for Rapisma proposed in Aspöck and Aspöck (2008). Nevertheless, a few amendments are made below.

Concerning the male gonocoxites 9 , they are paired, each with a broad lobe and a slender lateral arm, while the broad lobe is modified into two differently shaped parts, i.e. the posteroventral part, being glabrous or covered with many tiny spines, and the anterodorsal part, being flat and broadly ovoid or subtriangular (Figs 4D, 6D). Aspöck and Aspöck (2008) interpreted the former part to be the gonostylus 9 and did not assign the latter part to be a component of segment 9. Compared with the related genera of Rapisma (e.g. Adamsiana), in which no gonostyli 9 are found in Aspöck and Aspöck (2008), there is no substantial argument to interpret the antero-
dorsal lobe to be the gonostylus 9. So, here I interpret that only gonocoxites 9, possibly amalgamated with gonapophysis and gonostyli 9 (but unrecognizable), are present in males of Rapisma.

Concerning the female genitalia, it should be first confirmed that the gonostyli 9 are present at the apex of gonocoxites 9 in all female Rapisma specimens herein examined (Fig. 6F). Probably, they are present in all Rapisma species. Nevertheless, they are very small and could be overlooked as in Barnard (1981) and Aspöck and Aspöck (2008). Furthermore, there is a pair of curious small sclerites present beneath anterior portion of gonocoxites 9 (see Yang 1993: fig. 1; Fig. 6F). They should represent the gonapophyses 9 as similar sclerites are interpreted as gonapophyses 9 in the ithonid genus Polystoechotes (see Aspöck and Aspöck 2008: fig. 134). In addition, the fused gonocoxites 8 of Rapisma interpreted in Aspöck and Aspöck (2008) is divided anteroposteriorly by a transverse suture (Fig. 6G), and the posterior part is herein considered to be the fused gonapophyses 9, being similar to that in Polystoechotes (see Aspöck and Aspöck, 2008: fig. 135).

In general, the genital sclerites of Rapisma retain more plesiomorphic features in comparison to other ithonid genera with exceptionally enlarged male ectoprocts, highly specialized female gonocoxites 9 , reduced male gonostyli 11, reduced female gonostyli 9 , etc. The configuration of male gonocoxites 9 and gonostyli 11 in Rapisma is unique among the genera of Ithonidae and may be attributed to the autapomorphies of this genus.

## Phylogenetic positions of Chinese Rapisma

So far there is no phylogenetic analysis concerning the relationships among Rapisma species. Only in Barnard (1981) seven characters were outlined and used to infer the interspecific relationships of this genus, i.e. the presence/ absence of dark transverse band on head, the presence/absence of central projection on female gonapophyses 8 , the glabrous/spinous male gonocoxites 9 , the relatively short/ long antennae, the relatively large/small compound eyes, the subserrate/moniliform antennae, and the posteriorly bilobed/undivided male gonocoxites 9 (the former state considered as apomorphic). At present I feel refrain to perform any phylogenetic analysis because there are still a few species with males or females unknown and because the male genitalia of those species from other countries need further examinations given more phylogenetically informative characters could be found. Nevertheless, two groups of species proposed by Barnard (1981) could be justified as they possess some unique apomorphic characters.

First, three species distributed along the southern Himalayas, namely $R$. viridipenne, $R$. nepalense, and $R$. almoranum, form a group based on the glabrous male gonocoxites 9 and the short antennae [Rapisma burmanum Navás, 1929 that was originally placed in this group by Barnard (1981) was subsequently removed due to the presence of spinous male gonocoxites 9 (Barnard 1986)]. Thus, three Chinese species, i.e. $R$. xizangense, $R$. changqingensis sp. n., and R. yanhuangi, probably belong
to this species group by having the aforementioned apomorphic characters. Within this group, R. changqingensis sp. n. and $R$. yanhuangi might form a subgroup because of similar body and wing coloration (both male and female generally greenish) and similarly shaped male gonocoxites 9 . The distribution areas of these two closely related species are also near to each other, located at the northeastern edge of Hengduan Mountains and southwestern edge of Qinling Mountains (Fig. 10). Interestingly, these areas are overlapped with the distribution range of giant pandas. The divergence between these two species might be in correlated to geographical isolation formed by certain mountains from these areas. Likewise, given similar range along Himalayas, R. xizangense may have close affinity with the other three Himalayan Rapisma species, but no definite apomorphy supporting this hypothesis has yet been found.

Second, R. corundum from eastern Myanmar and $R$. tamilanum from southern India are considered to form a monophyletic group by the subserrate male antennae and the posteriorly bilobed male gonocoxites 9 (Barnard 1981). Two Chinese species from Yunnan, i.e. R. chikuni sp. n. and $R$. daianum, should belong to this species group by having same apomorphic characters.

Most of the other Rapisma species are considered to form a group called the Rapisma malayanum-complex, which are mainly distributed in western Malaysia but also in Java and Myanmar (Barnard and New 1985, 1986). However, there is no distinct apomorphic character proposed to support the monophyly of this group. From China no species related to this group has yet been found.

## Conclusions

This study summarized the present knowledge on the ithonid genus Rapisma from China, currently with five species that respectively belong to two monophyletic species group. The morphology of the genitalia of this genus was also further understood. In addition, the distribution region of Rapisma is now known to reach the border between Palaearctic and Oriental regions.

Rapisma is the only extant genus of Ithonidae from Asia. The phylogenetic status of this genus in Ithonidae, as well as the phylogenetic relationships among species within the genus, is of high interest and significance for understanding the origin and diversification pattern of the genus. A dated phylogeny of Ithonidae and Rapisma as well stand as a key to figure out the above questions, while comprehensive sampling, particularly new materials for molecular works, is required.
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#### Abstract

A new species of expanathurid (Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n.) is described and illustrated. It was collected from Pulau Tioman, Malaysia and can be distinguished by the unique bipartite shape of its uropodal exopod. Accalathura borradailei, Apanthura pariensis, A. stocki, Expanathura corallis and Mesanthura quadrata in the Malaysian waters are recorded for the first time. Additionally, six sequences of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) genes are presented. These are the first molecular evidence of anthuroids from the waters of Malaysia


an organism's DNA as a unique molecular identifier to a particular species (Hebert et al. 2003a). Hebert et al. (2003b) suggested that the integration of DNA barcoding into traditional taxonomic methods are more efficient in uncovering hidden biodiversity rather than relying on traditional methods alone. On the other hand, due to the increased attention on species barcoding, the issue with questionable and poor quality sequences are becoming more apparent which could possibly harm future systematics studies (Buhay 2009).

Anthuroids have been primarily studied using morphological methods, in particular, dissection of specimens and cladistic analysis. It is only in the recent years that molecular documentations have slowly emerged such as the work of Dreyer and Wägele (2001), Haye et al. (2004), Song and Min (2015a, 2015b) and Wetzer (2001, 2002). Although the Malaysian anthuroids have attracted appreciable taxonomic attention lately (Chew et al. 2014; Chew et al. 2016), no molecular data were provided in their studies. The present study is the first to report molecular properties of anthuroids from this region. In order to ensure that the sequences are reliable genes, molecular gene cloning method was implemented and the sequences were assigned to a Phred quality score of 30 .

## Methods

## Source of specimens and DNA preservation

The specimens in this study were obtained from coral reef areas around Peninsular Malaysia. Coral rubble was collected into a 56 litres bucket with sea water via SCUBA diving and were moderately broken up. About 10 drops of $37 \%$ formaldehyde were added and left to stand for about 30 minutes. Next, the samples were rinsed and washed with seawater passing through a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve. In the field, samples were fixed with about $10 \%$ formalin in seawater. Molecular specimens were obtained in the same manner except that they were laced with about 1 litre of absolute ethanol and left to stand for about 15 minutes. They were placed directly into precooled absolute ethanol $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and kept in an icebox which was carried throughout the field sampling to maximize tissue preservation.

## Identification and morphological study

At the laboratory, the specimens were sorted and conserved separately in $4 \%$ formalin in water in vials. Specimens were then identified and new species was selected for dissection. Whole bodies and dissected appendages were mounted in glycerol and illustrated under a Leica DMLB light microscope equipped with a camera lucida. Materials are deposited in the Muzium Zoologi, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia. The following abbreviations are used: A, antenna; AM, appendix masculina; MD, mandible; MP, maxilliped; MX, maxilla; $P$, pereopod; PL, pleopod; PLT, pleotelson U, uropod; UN, uropod endopod; UX, uropod exopod; UKMMZ, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Muzium Zoologi.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, DNA fragment cloning and sequencing
Before extraction, residual ethanol was removed from the tissue by evaporation at room temperature. The samples were left opened covered only with a Kimwipes tissue until they were completely desiccated. DNA was extracted from whole organism using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, USA).

The COI sequences were amplified using the Folmer et al. (1994) universal primers (LCO1490 and HCO2198). The PCR were performed based on the program: initial denaturation period at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes and 35 cycles of denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds, annealing at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 seconds and extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 seconds. A final extension was set at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. PCR products were purified using the Qiaquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen, USA).

Purified PCR products were cloned using E. coli Top 10 (Invitrogen) and Thermo Scientific CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Colonies containing the vector along with the cloned PCR fragments were picked with a sterile pipette tip and put in to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water. This was mixed and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ was taken as the DNA template for PCR colony screening. Successful clones were purified using the innuPREP Plasmid Rapid Kit (Analytik Jena AG, Germany) and sequences were generated on an automated DNA Sequencer ABI 3100 (Applied Biosystems Inc., USA) using the ABI PRISM Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction v3.0 kit (Applied Biosystem Inc., USA).

## Bioinformatics screening

Raw COI sequences were assigned to Phred quality score of 30 in order to assess the quality (Ewing and Green 1998). Then, CrossMatch software is used to obtain clean sequences by eliminating the vector sequence (Gotoh 1982). All clones were assembled using the CAP contig assembly program in Bioedit software (Hall 1998).

## Results and discussions

## Family Anthuridae Leach, 1814 <br> Genus Apanthura Stebbing, 1990 <br> Apanthura forceps Negoescu \& Brandt, 2001 <br> Apanthura pariensis Negoescu, 1997

Figure 1
Apanthura pariensis Negoescu, 1997: 186-194, figs 6-10.
Materials examined. 43 females, 2 males, UKMMZ-1585, Pangkor Laut, Pulau Pangkor, Perak, Malaysia, $4^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 22.32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $100^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 50.22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 15 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 9 females, UKMMZ-1586, Pantai Kok, Pulau Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia, $6^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 56.05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 9^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31.13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 4 November 2013, intertidal; 8 females, 2 males, UKMMZ-1587, Pantai Kok, Pulau Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia, $6^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 56.05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 9^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31.13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 8 March 2015, intertidal.


Figure 1. A-D. Apanthura pariensis. A. female. B. male. C. pleotelson. D. uropodal exopod. E. Mesanthura quadrata. All scales represent 0.1 mm .

Distribution. Pari Island, Java Sea, Indonesia (type locality); Pulau Pangkor, Malaysia; Pulau Langkawi, Malaysia.

Molecular data. A 726 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680510) was acquired from one individual of $A$. pariensis. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. Negoescu (1997) described in detail the female and manca materials. Fortunately, the male specimen were collected for the first time in the present study. The materials were found particularly from the west coast of Peninsula Malaysia. This is the first record of such species from this country.

## Apanthura stocki (Müiller, 1991)

Figure 2

Amakusanthura stocki Müller, 1991: 595-600, figs. 30-56. Apanthura stocki Müller, 1992a: 166.

Materials examined. 1 female, 1 male, UKMMZ-1576, Mentinggi, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 21.67{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$;


Figure 2. Apanthura stocki. All scales represent 0.1 mm .
$104^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 18.61^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 19 April 2013, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 3 females, 1 male, UKMMZ-1577, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{DMS}) \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{C}$. Melvin, 18 December 2012, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m} ; 14$ females, UKMMZ-1578, Sebirah Kechil, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 18.622^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 05.616^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DDM), C. Melvin, 18 April 2013, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 13 females, 1 male, UKMMZ-1579, Pulau Seri Buat, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 13.59 " \mathrm{~N} ; 103^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 19 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 7 \mathrm{~m} ; 4$ females, UKM-MZ-1580, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96^{\prime \prime N}$; $104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 15 June 2015, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 27 females, UK-MMZ-1581, Batu Bonchek, Pulau Dayang, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 40.90^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 19.12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 26 July 2016, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m} ; 6$ females, UKMMZ-1582, Teluk Rha, Pulau Aur, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 17.24$ "D; $104^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 53.1^{\prime \prime}$ E (DMS), C. Melvin, 27 July 2016, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution. Sri Lanka (type locality); Pulau Besar, Malaysia; Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia; Pulau Seri Buat, Malaysia.

Molecular data. A 712 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680509) was acquired from one indi-
vidual of $A$. stocki. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. The Malaysian A. stocki differs slightly from the original material by its weakly serrated inner margin of uropodal endopod and acute distal margin of uropodal exopod in female.

## Genus Mesanthura Barnard, 1914 <br> Mesanthura quadrata Kensley \& Schotte, 2000

Mesanthura quadrata Kensley \& Schotte, 2000: 2080-2083, figs. 17-18.
Materials examined. 3 immature females, UK-MMZ-1595, Pulau Seri Buat, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 13.59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 103^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 25.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 19 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 7 \mathrm{~m}$; 1 immature female, UKMMZ-1596, Batu Malang, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 18 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 7 \mathrm{~m}$; 1 female, UKMMZ-1597, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96^{\prime \prime N}$; $104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 15 June 2015, coral rubble, ~3 m; 1 female, UKMMZ-1598, Sebirah Kechil, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 18.622^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 05.616^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DDM), C. Melvin, 15 June 2015, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 29 juveniles, UKMMZ-1599, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96 " N ; 104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 13 October 2012, artificial substrate unit, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m} ; 1$ female, UKMMZ-1560, Sebirah Kechil, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 18.622^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 05.616^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DDM), C. Melvin, 18 April 2013, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution. Mahé Island, Seychelles (type locality); Pulau Seri Buat, Malaysia; Pulau Tioman, Malaysia; Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia.

## Molecular data. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$.

Remarks. Mesanthura quadrata is recorded for the first time from the Southeast Asia region.

## Genus Pendanthura Menzies \& Glynn, 1968

Pendanthura tinggiensis Chew, Rahim \& Mohd Yusof, 2016

Pendanthura tinggiensis Chew et al., 2016: 232-238, figs 2-8.
Distribution. Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia.
Molecular data. A 709 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680512) was acquired from one individual of $P$. tinggiensis. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. The material used in this study is taken from the study of Chew et al. (2016).

Genus Tinggianthura Chew, Abdul Rahim \& Haji Ross, 2014

Tinggianthura alba Chew, Abdul Rahim \& Haji Ross, 2014

Tinggianthura alba Chew et al., 2014: 1-11, figs 3-9
Distribution. Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia.
Molecular data. A 658 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680513) was acquired from one individual of $T$. alba. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. The material used in this study is taken from the study of Chew et al. (2014).

## Family Expanathuridae Poore, 2001

## Genus Eisothistos Haswell, 1884

## Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/2C36E902-F854-409D-9FEA-98C41EB299F8
Figure 3-5
Holotype. Adult male, UKMMZ-1559, Labas, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 13.71^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 54.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 18 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 15 \mathrm{~m}$.

Description. Holotype, adult male.
Total body length 2.2 mm (tip of rostrum to base of telson), approximately 9.9 times as long as greatest width. Cephalon with minute rostrum; eyes grossly enlarged, containing many ommatidia laterally. Pereonites 1-7 progressively shorter, pereonites $2-3$ longest, each 1.3 times as long as pereonite 1 , pereonites $4-5$ each the same length as pereonite 1 , pereonite 6 about 0.7 times length of pereonite 5 , pereonite 7 half as long as pereonite 5 , pereonites $4-6$ anteriorly narrow, subdistally widest, pereonite 7 anteriorly narrow, widest posteriorly. Pleonites $1-5$ progressively shorter with pleonites $1-2$ of similar length, pleonite 3 about 0.8 times as long as pleonite 2 , pleonites 4 about 0.7 times as long as pleonite 3 , pleonite 5 shortest half as long as pleonite 4 , posterior margin of pleonite 6 with a medial cleft.

Antenna 1 peduncle article 1 subquadrate, articles 2-3 progressively shorter; flagellum of 9 articles with short proximal article bearing numerous aesthetascs, articles $2-9$ progressively narrower, articles 2-6 each bearing 2 aesthetascs and 1 seta, articles $7-8$ each bearing 1 aesthetasc distally, terminal article with 3 apical setae.

Antenna 2 peduncle articles equal, articles 1-2 each bearing 2 setae, article 3 with 1 seta, article 4 elongate, 2.4 times as long as wide, article 5 longest, twice as long as article 4 ; flagellum of 6 articles, progressively smaller, articles $1-4$ each with 1 distal aesthetasc, article 5 with 2 distal setae, terminal article with 4 apical setae.

Mouthparts reduced.
Pereopod 1 basis 3.3 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 medial seta, posterior margin with 1 subdistal seta and fine setae; ischium 3.2 times as long


Figure 3. Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n. All scales represent 0.1 mm .
as greatest width, posterior margin subdistally with 1 seta and fine setae; merus anterior margin convex distally, bearing 2 setae, posterior margin with fine setae; carpus anterior margin half the length of posterior margin, posterior margin with 1 seta, with 4 submarginal spinules; propodus 4.4 times as long as greatest width, palm weakly concave with 21 submarginal spinules, anterior margin with 1 distal seta, palmar margin with 1 posterodistal robust seta; unguis half as long as propodus.

Pereopod 2 basis 3.7 times as long as greatest width, posterior margin with 1 subdistal seta and fine setae; ischium 3.1 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae, posterior margin with 2 setae and fine setae; merus anterior margin convex, bearing 1 seta, posterior margin with fine setae; carpus anterior margin half the length of posterior margin, posterior margin with 1 seta and fine setae; propodus 3.9 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae, palmar margin with 1 medial robust seta and 1 posterodistal robust seta, fine setae and subdistal palmar comb; unguis half the length of propodus.

Pereopod 3 basis 3.3 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae and fine setae, posterior mar-


Figure 4. Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n. All scales represent 0.1 mm .
gin with 1 seta and fine setae; ischium 3.2 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae and fine setae, posterior margin with 1 seta and fine setae; merus anterior distal margin convex bearing 2 setae, posterior margin with 1 seta, carpus anterior margin one third length of posterior margin, posterior margin with 1 seta; propodus 3.6 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae, palmar margin with fine setae, 2 setae, subdistal palmar comb, 1 medial robust seta and 1 posterodistal robust seta; unguis half as long as propodus.

Pereopod 4 basis 3.9 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 2 setae and fine setae, posterior margin with 1 seta and fine setae; ischium 3 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with 2 setae; merus anterior margin convex, bearing 1 seta, posterior margin with 1 seta, carpus linear twice as long as wide anterior margin with 2 setae, posterior margin with setae comb, 1 seta and 1 robust seta; propodus 4.5 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 3 setae, palmar margin bordered with setae comb, 1 medial robust seta and 1 posterodistal robust seta; unguis 0.8 times as long as propodus.

Pereopod 5 basis 4.1 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with 1 seta and fine setae; ischium 4.1 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with


Figure 5. Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n. All scales represent 0.1 mm .

3 setae and fine setae; merus anterior margin subdistally convex, bearing 2 setae, posterior margin with fine setae; carpus linear 2.4 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with setae comb, 1 distal seta and 1 distal robust seta; propodus 4.6 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 distal seta, palmar margin bordered with setae comb, 1 medial robust seta and 1 posterodistal robust seta; unguis 0.8 times the length of propodus.

Pereopod 6 basis 4 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 3 setae and fine setae, posterior margin with 1 subdistal seta; ischium 3.8 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with fine setae, posterior margin with 3 setae and fine setae; merus anterior margin convex, bearing 1 seta, posterior margin with 1 seta; carpus linear 2.6 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin bordered with setae comb, distally with 1 seta and 1 robust seta; propodus 5.3 times as long as greatest width, palmar margin bordered with setae comb, proximally with 1 robust seta, medially with 1 robust seta and posterodistally with 1 robust seta; unguis 0.7 times the length of propodus.

Pereopod 7 basis 4.1 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with 1 seta; ischium 3.2 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta and fine setae, posterior margin with 3 setae and fine setae; merus slender 3.6 times as long as greatest width with anterior margin slightly convex, bear-
ing 1 seta, posterior margin with 1 seta; carpus linear 3.2 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 1 seta, posterior margin with setae comb and 1 robust seta; propodus 7.5 times as long as greatest width, anterior margin with 3 setae, palmar margin bordered with setae comb, 1 medial robust seta and 1 posterodistal robust seta; unguis half as long as propodus.

Pleopod 1 with elongated rami, sympod rectangular; exopod slender 4.3 times as long as greatest width, apex truncated bearing 6 plumose setae; endopod shorter than exopod 0.75 times the length of exopod, apex truncated bearing 5 plumose setae.

Pleopod 2 sympod subrectangular; exopod 3 times as long as wide, apex truncated bearing 7 plumose setae; endopod slender 4.8 times as long as greatest width, apex truncated bearing 5 plumose setae; appendix masculina almost as long as endopod, ending in a somewhat hooklike with a rounded end.

Pleopod 3 not available.
Pleopod 4 sympod subrectangular, inner margin with 1 seta; exopod broadened 1.8 times as long as greatest width, apex truncated bearing 8 plumose setae, medial lateral margin with 1 seta; endopod as long as exopod 1.3 times as long as exopod with apical margin truncated bearing 6 plumose setae.

Pleopod 5 shorter than others with sympod subquadrate; exopod much smaller than endopod half as long as endopod bearing 3 plumose setae; endopod broadend 1.8 times as long as greatest width bearing 2 plumose setae, apical margin medially with two small protuberances.

Uropod sympod with serrated inner margin, inner distal margin raised with an acute apex, outer distal margin raised with 2 acute serrations apically; endopod elongate with serrated margin bearing 13 setae, apically with 1 short robust plumose sensory spine; exopod bipartite with 5 setae proximally, medially with a central elongated spike having 3 subdistal setae and apical margin concave bearing 1 short plumose sensory spike, outer margin with a short slender spike bearing 1 seta apically, inner margin obsolete.

Pleotelson 2.2 times as long as greatest width, narrowest anteriorly, widest near posterior end, dorsal surface with 4 pairs of setae and a middorsal row of 7 obscure denticles, subdistal margin with 2 obscure teeth on each side, posterior margin strongly dentate with 10 teeth and 5 pairs of setae.

Etymology. This species is named after the type locality, Pulau Tioman, Malaysia.

## Molecular data. $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$.

Remarks. Only one male specimen is available. The male Eisothistos in general, differs from the female by its grossly enlarged eyes, antenna 1 with short basal article bearing numerous aesthetascs, reduced mouthparts, pleonites $1-3$ elongate and pleopods $1-3$ with elongated peduncles and rami (Knight-Jones and Knight-Jones 2002;

Poore 2001; Poore and Lew Ton 2002; Wägele 1979). Though they appear distinctive, their tail-fan shape are more conserved within a species. Hence, it is possible to determine the identity of Eisothistos species based on a male specimen. Moreover in this study, the good condition of preserved material and the unique characteristic of its tail-fan, justify a description.

The uropodal exopod form in the Eisothistos can be divided into two types. While most possess the tripartite uropodal exopod shape, several others are described with the uncommon bipartite uropodal exopod shape. Eisothistos tiomanensis sp. n. belongs to the latter group with Eisothistos anomala, E. corinellae, E. macquariensis and E. minutus (Kensley 1980; Poore and Lew Ton 2002; Sivertsen and Holthuis 1980). It differs in having a unique two slender spine-like structure in the uropodal exopod rather than one central slender spike-like structure along with a proximal lobe as in $E$. anomala, E. corinellae and E. macquariensis. Eisothistos minutus on the other hand, possesses a form similar to the present species. Sivertsen and Holthuis (1980) described it as "a narrow elongate process on the protopod, it ends in two teeth; the protopod seems to have a second similar process laterally, the nature of this process is not clear". Nevertheless, there is no strong robust seta on the apex of the central spike and a seta on the lateral spike. Additionally, there are no teeth present on the dorsal surface of the pleotelson in E. minutus while there are 7 obscure denticles present in the new Malaysian species.

Eisothistos sp. n. is readily distinguishable from the other Malaysian species, E. besar Müller, 1992 by its bipartite uropodal exopod (tripartite in E. besar) and the surface of pleotelson with 7 obsure middorsal teeth (4 distinct middorsal teeth in E. besar).

Genus Expanathura Wägele, 1981
Expanathura collaris (Kensley, 1979)
Panathura collaris Kensley, 1979a: 823-827, figs 7-9; Kensley and Poore 1982: 635; Expanathura collaris Wägele, 1981d: 89, 121122; Negoescu 1999: 214-220, figs 9-11; Negoescu and Wägele 1984: 118; Negoescu and Brandt 2001: 121-129, figs 14-18; Poore and Lew Ton 2002: 26-32, figs 16-19, 20a.

Materials examined. 54 females, 3 males, UKM-MZ-1567, Sebirah Kechil, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 18.622^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 5.616^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DDM), C. Melvin, 16 May 2013, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 12 females, UKMMZ-1568, Mentinggi, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 21.67{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 18.61^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 19 April 2013, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$; 56 females, 9 males, UKMMZ-1569, Batu Malang, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15.44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 18 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 7 \mathrm{~m}$; 35 females, 2 males, UKMMZ-1570, Labas, Pulau Tioman, Pahang, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 13.71$ "N; $104^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 54.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 18 April 2014, coral rubble, $\sim 15 \mathrm{~m}$; 14 females, 4 males, PLAy2-iso4d, Pantai Kok, Pulau Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia, $6^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 56.05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$;


Figure 6. A-D. Expanathura collaris. A. female. B. male. C. pleotelson. D. uropodal exopod. E-H. Accalathura borradailei. E. female. F. male. G. uropodal exopod. H. pleotelson. All scales represent 0.1 mm .
$99^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 31.13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 8 March 2015, coral rubble, intertidal; 7 females, 1 male, PDYx1-iso4e, Batu Bonchek, Pulau Dayang, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 40.90^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 19.12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 26 July 2016, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution. Fiji (type locality); Cook Island; Chesterfield and Melish Reefs, Moorea, Coral Sea; Lord Howe I, Tasman Sea; Papua New Guinea; Northern Territory, Queensland, Australia; Pulau Dayang, Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia; Pulau Tioman, Malaysia; Pulau Langkawi, Malaysia.

Molecular data. A 631 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680512) was acquired from one individual of E. collaris. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. Expanathura collaris is a widespread species dwelling in coral reef rubble especially within the Southeastern Pacific and Australian region (Kensley 1979a; Negoescu 1999; Negoescu and Brandt 2001; Poore and Lew Ton 2002). This is the first record of E. collaris from the Southeast Asia region.

Family Leptanthuridae Poore, 2001
Genus Accalathura Barnard, 1925

## Accalathura borradailei (Stebbing, 1904)

Calathura borradailei Stebbing, 1904: 700, pl. 49A; Chilton 1924: 881 Accalathura borradailei Barnard, 1925: 149; Pillai 1966: 157-158, fig 3.

Materials examined. 35 females, 3 males, UKM-MZ-1612, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 28 February 2013, coral rubble, intertidal; 34 females, UKMMZ-1613, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96 " N ; 104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 18 December 2012, coral rubble, intertidal; 1 female, UKMMZ-1614, Kampung Pasir Panjang, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 37.96^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; $104^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 1.97^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 15 June 2015, coral rubble, intertidal; 20 females, UKMMZ-1615, Sebirah Kechil, Pulau Tinggi, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 18.622^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ; 104^{\circ} 05.616^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DDM), C. Melvin, 15 June 2015, coral rubble, intertidal; 14 females, 1 male, UKMMZ-1616, Batu Bonchek, Pulau Dayang, Johor, Malaysia, $2^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 40.90^{\prime \prime N} ; 104^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 19.12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (DMS), C. Melvin, 26 July 2016, coral rubble, $\sim 3 \mathrm{~m}$.

Distribution. Maldives, Fadifolu (type localtiy); Thailand; Chilka Lake, India; Quilon, India; Pulau Tinggi, Malaysia.

Molecular data. A 684 base pairs of COI sequence (GenBank: MF680508) was acquired from one individual of $E$. collaris. No insertion or deletion in the sequence alignment.

Remarks. This is the first record of Accalathura borradailei from the waters of Malaysia.
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#### Abstract

Charax awa sp. n. is herein described from the Rio Mearim, Rio Munim and Rio Turiaçu basins, three coastal river basins of northeastern Brazil located between the Rios Gurupi and Parnaiba basins. These have a complex and still poorly known biogeographic history. This region is ecologically extremely relevant since it comprises three of the main Brazilian biomes, as well as, transition zones between them: Amazônia, Brazilian Cerrado and Caatinga. Therefore, this area has faunal and floristic representatives of these three biomes, which makes it particularly relevant in terms of ecology, biodiversity and conservation. Charax awa sp. n. possesses a relatively small orbital diameter ( $22.1-28.5 \% \mathrm{HL}$ ), what distinguishes it from most of its congeners, except from C. notulatus and C. caudimaculatus. It differs from C. caudimaculatus by a longer snout, and from C. notulatus by the number of scales around the caudal peduncle, as well as by the number of vertebrae. The new species herein described differs from its geographically closely distributed congeners, C. leticiae, C. niger, and C. pauciradiatus mainly by the relative horizontal orbital diameter. It is a "small-eyed" species. In addition, C. awa sp. n. can be distinguished from $C$. leticiae by having a maxilla extending to the vertical line posterior to the pupil, near the posterior orbital margin and by having a lower humeral spot distance. It can be distinguished from C. pauciradiatus by more scale rows from the pelvic-fin origin to the lateral line and more scale rows from the dorsal-fin origin to the lateral line and it differs from C. niger by having more transverse scale rows in space from the humeral spot to the supracleithrum. In addition, it differs from C. pauciradiatus and C. niger by the absence of bony hooks on anal and pelvic-fins rays of adult males.


## Introduction

Characidae is the most species-rich family of Characiformes, comprising about 165 genera and more than 1.150 species, distributed along the river systems between southwestern Texas and Mexico in North America and Patagonia in South America (Nelson et al. 2016; Eschmeyer et al.
2017). Charax Scopoli, 1777 is a South American characid genus possessing a midsized (maximum size about 130.0 mm SL ) and deep body, being the type genus of the Characidae, comprising 16 valid species (Menezes and Lucena 2014), widely distributed in the Cis-Andean region, occurring in the Amazonas, Paraná, Uruguay, Paraguay, Capim, and Orinoco river basins, as well as in

[^2]smaller isolated river basins draining the Guiana Shield, and in the Lagoa dos Patos system, and other coastal lagoons of southern Brazil (Menezes and Lucena 2014).

The distribution of the genus is mainly concentrated in central and northern South America; there is no record for eastern Brazil and none of the valid species was assigned for the coastal river basins of northeastern Brazil by the recent taxonomic revision of the genus (see Menezes and Lucena 2014). However, some records of Charax for the coastal river basins of northeastern Brazil were made before the taxonomic revision made by Menezes and Lucena (2014): Martins and Oliveira (2011) recorded C. gibbosus for the Rio Mearim basin; Barros et al. (2011) recorded Charax sp. for the Rio Itapecuru basin; Martins and Oliveira (2011) recorded Charax sp. for the Rio Pericumã basin and Rio Mearim basin.

Charax can be distinguished from all other characid genera by the presence of a deep concavity on the late-ro-ventral portion of the cleithrum originating a relatively long posterior spiniform projection extending below pectoral-fin base; and an anterior shorter process oriented straight forward or either inclined or bent laterally (Lucena 1987, Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012: fig 34, Menezes and Lucena 2014). A new species of Charax is herein described from the Rio Mearim, Rio Munim and Rio Turiaçu basins, three coastal river basins of northeastern Brazil.

## Material and methods

Counts and measurements were taken according to Fink and Weitzman (1974), Menezes and Weitzman (1990) and Menezes and Lucena (2014), on the left side of specimens whenever possible, using a digital caliper with precision of 0.01 mm . Measurements are given as a percentage of standard length (SL), except for subunits of the head, which are given as a percentage of head length (HL). Vertebrae of the Weberian apparatus were not included in the vertebral counts, whereas the fused PU1+U1 of the caudal region was counted as a single element. Counts for supraneurals, vertebrae, rib pairs, branchiostegal rays, gill-rakers, premaxillary, maxillary, and dentary teeth, procurrent caudal-fin rays, and pterygiophores were taken only from cleared and stained paratypes (C\&S), prepared according to Taylor and Van Dyke (1985); number of examined specimens are in parentheses. Osteological nomenclature follows Weitzman (1962). Diagnosis was made based on a unique combination of character states, according to Davis and Nixon (1992). Information about congeners was based on both comparative material and literature (e.g Lucena 1987, Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012, Menezes and Lucena 2014). Institutional abbreviations are: CICCAA Coleção Ictiológica do Centro de Ciências Agrárias Ambientais, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Chapadinha; CPUFMA Coleção de Peixes da Universidade Federal do Maranhão, São Luis; UFRJ Coleção Ictiológica do Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; МСР

Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre; USNM Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C., U.S.A; CM Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, U.S.A; and MHNM Museo Nacional de Historia Natural y Antropología, Zoologia, Seccion Ictiología, Ministerio de Educación y Cultura, Montevideo, Uruguay.

## Results

## Charax awa sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/102A196C-18F0-41B5-913E-C471086EFB69 Fig. 1

Charax gibbosus [non Charax gibbosus (Linnaeus, 1758)]: Martins and Oliveira 2011: 196-197.
Charax sp. - Martins and Oliveira 2011:196-197.

Holotype. CICCAA 00752, 101.3 mm SL, Brazil: Maranhão State: Alto Alegre do Pindaré municipality: Igarapé Mineirão, Rio Mearim basin, $3^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Costa C.H.; 03 Dec. 2015.

Paratypes. All from Maranhão State, Brazil: CICCAA 00248, 9, 47.7-90.6 mm SL; CICCAA 00249, 6 (C\&S), $42.6-73.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; CICCAA 00754, 2, $55.2-68.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL; collected with holotype. CICCAA 00753, 1 (C\&S), 41.4 mm SL, Rio Zutiua (Rio Mearim basin), Santa Inês municipality, $3^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Costa C.H.; 03 Dec 2015.CICCAA 00766, 1 (C\&S), 78.5 mm SL, Rio Zutiua (Rio Mearim basin), Santa Inês municipality, $3^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Costa C.H.; 03 Dec 2015. CICCAA 00755, 1, 59.8 mm SL; stream at the Santa Inês municipality (Rio Mearim basin), Santa Inês municipality, $3^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $45^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ W; Guimarães E. C. and Costa C.H.; 03 Dec 2015.CICCAA 00896, 2, 40.2-48.8 mm SL stream Arapapá (Rio Mearim basin), Alto Alegre municipality; $3^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 46^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P. S; 15 Ago 2017.CICCAA 00765, 11, 44.4-68.3 mm SL Lirio stream (Rio Mearim basin), Alto Alegre municipality; $3^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P.S; 15 Ago 2017.CICCAA 00764, 5, 68.4-89.3 mm SL, Olho d'água dos Carneiros (Rio Mearim basin), Santa Inês municipality; $3^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P.S; 11 Ago 2017. CICCAA 00898, 1, 62.2 mm SL, stream at Miranda do Norte municipality (Rio Mearim basin), $3^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 44^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P.S.; 13 Ago 2017.

UFRJ 11696, 2, 69.9-70.6 mm SL stream Arapapá (Rio Mearim basin), Alto Alegre municipality; $3^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $46^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ W; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P.S; 15 Ago 2017. MCP 52700, 4, 45.9-75.1 mm SL Arapapá stream (Rio Mearim basin), Alto Alegre municipality; $3^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $46^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Guimarães E. C. and Brito P.S; 15 Ago 2017. CPUFMA 98859, 40, 52.2-120.0 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality;


Figure 1. Charax awa: (A) Holotype, CICCAA 00752, 101.33 mm SL; Brazil, Maranhão, Alto Alegre do Pindaré, Pindaré river drainage, Mearim river basin. (Photographed by Beldo Ferreira). (B) Live specimen, paratype, CICCA00898, 62.2 mm SL; Brazil, Maranhão, Miranda do Norte, stream at the Miranda do Norte, Mearim river basin. (Photographed by Erick Guimarães).
$2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 8 Ago 1998. CPUFMA 001747, 18, 76.9-117.3 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 17 Abr 2000. CPUFMA 112036, 4, 88.5-88.7 mm SL, Rio Munim, Chapadinha municipality; $3^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Nunes et al. 8 Jul 2011. CPUFMA 98136, 3, $85.2-93.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Lago de Viana (Rio Mearim basin), Cajari municipality; $3^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $45^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski and Pereira, 17 Mar 1998. CPUFMA 98135, 3, 85.6-91.4 mm SL, Lago de Viana (Rio Mearim basin), Cajari municipality; $3^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski and Pereira, 17 Mar 1998. CPUFMA 98137, 1, 88.2 mm SL, Lago de Viana (Rio Mearim basin), Viana municipality; $3^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski and Pereira, 14 Mar 1998. CPUFMA 97130, 1, 91.3 mm SL , stream Enge-
nho (Rio Mearim basin), Viana municipality; $3^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $44^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski and Pereira, 6 Dez 1997.

Non type material. All from Maranhão State, Brazil: CPUFMA 98861, 24, 83.5-120.0 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 8 Ago 1998. CPUFMA 98862, 23, 79.4-119.1 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 14Ago 1998. CPUFMA 00863 , $25,68.0-113.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 21 Ago 2000. CPUFMA 00864, 43, 72.3113.9 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski


Figure 2. Charax awa, CICCAA00249, 73.8 mm SL ; Jaws: (A) premaxilla, (B) maxilla and (C) lower jaw. Scale bar 1 mm . (Photographed by Erick Guimarães and Beldo Ferreira).
et al. 16 Out 2000. CPUFMA 00865, 11, 82.3-114.2 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 21 Ago 2000. CPUFMA 00866, 31, 82.6-110.3 mm SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 15 Out 2000. CPUFMA 00867, 16, $88.7-102.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Rio Turiaçu, (Rio Turiaçu basin), Santa Helena municipality; $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$; Piorski et al. 22 Jun 2000.

Diagnosis. Charax awa sp. n. can be distinguished from C. apurensis Lucena, 1987, C. condei (Géry \& Knöppel, 1976), C. delimai Menezes \& Lucena, 2014, C. gibbosus (Linnaeus, 1758), C. hemigrammus (Eigenmann, 1912), C. leticiae Lucena, 1987, C. macrolepis (Kner, 1858), C. metae Eigenmann, 1922, C. michaeli Lucena, 1989, C. niger Lucena, 1989, C. pauciradiatus (Günther, 1864), C. stenopterus (Cope, 1894) C. tectifer (Cope, 1870) by the orbital diameter ( $22.1-28.5 \%$ HL vs. $29.6-38.4 \%$ HL combined) (Fig. 2). Charax awa sp. n. differs from C. caudimaculatus Lucena, 1987 by the possession of a longer snout (snout length $23.3-32.8 \%$ HL vs. $20.3-22.8 \% \mathrm{HL}$ ); from C. notulatus Lucena, 1987 by the number of scales around the caudal peduncle ( $15-18$ vs. 20-22) and by having more vertebrae ( 35 vs. 32 ). Furthermore, Charax awa sp. n. can be distinguished from C. condei, C. hemigrammus and $C$. stenopterus by having the lateral line complete (vs. incomplete); from C. delimai, C. metae and C. tectifer by having a toothless ectopterygoid (vs. presence of teeth on ectopterygoid) and having the anal-fin origin always anterior to the vertical through the dorsal-fin origin (vs. anal-fin origin on,
or slightly posterior to the vertical through the dorsal-fin origin); from C. condei, C. delimai, C. hemigrammus, C. metae, C. pauciradiatus and C. stenopterus by the number of scale rows from the pelvic-fin origin to the lateral line (11-12 vs. 6-10 combined); from C. pauciradiatus by having more scale rows from the dorsal-fin origin to the lateral line ( $15-18$ vs. 13-14). It can be distinguished from $C$. niger by having $8-10$ transverse scale rows in space from the humeral spot to the supracleithrum (vs. 5-6); from C. condei, C. delimai, C. metae, C. rupununi Eigenmann, 1912 by the number of scale rows around the caudal peduncle (15-18 in C. awa sp. n. vs. 12-14 combined in C. condei and C. rupununi, 19-21 combined in C. delimai and C. metae). Finally, it differs from C. leticiae by having the maxilla extending to a vertical line posterior to pupil, near the posterior orbital margin (vs. maxilla extending slightly beyond vertical through middle of pupil) and from humeral spot distance ( $35.8-38.0 \%$ SL vs. 39.0-44.0 \% SL).

Description. Morphometric data are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Body moderately large ( $40.2-120.0 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$ ), compressed and moderately deep; greatest body depth slightly in advance of dorsal-fin origin. Dorsal profile of head and body slightly convex on tip of snout, approximately straight from posterior border of posterior nostril to vertical line through posterior border of pupil, concave from that point to about half of distance between pupils and posterior margins of preopercle, strongly convex from that point to dorsal-fin origin, nearly straight along dorsal-fin base and from end of dorsal-fin base to caudal peduncle and slightly concave along caudal peduncle. Ventral pro-

Table 1. Morphometric data of Charax awa.

| Measurements | Holotype | N | Paratypes | Mean | SD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard length (SL) | 101.3 | 107 | $40.2-120.0$ | 88.4 | - |
| Percentages of standard length      <br> Depth at dorsal-fin origin 40.0 106 $27.7-42.5$ 37.8 2.8 <br> Snout to dorsal-fin origin 55.0 106 $46.5-57.9$ 52.4 1.5 <br> Snout to pectoral-fin <br> origin 28.4 104 $22.8-37.0$ 28.5 1.8 <br> Snout to pelvic-fin origin 35.5 105 $28.6-48.3$ 37.1 1.8 <br> Snout to anal-fin origin 51.9 103 $42.4-65.4$ 50.3 3.6 <br> Caudal peduncle depth 10.3 102 $7.9-14.7$ 10.1 1.1 <br> Caudal peduncle length 8.0 88 $4.9-10.7$ 7.5 1.3 <br> Pectoral-fin length 21.9 73 $14.6-25.9$ 19.8 2.0 <br> Pelvic-fin length 20.8 67 $13.4-24.7$ 20.2 2.3 <br> Dorsal-fin base length 12.7 101 $8.7-15.2$ 11.0 1.1 <br> Dorsal-fin height 29.9 64 $21.8-49.6$ 28.7 3.6 <br> Anal-fin base length 53.2 95 $42.7-66.2$ 49.5 3.7 <br> Eye to dorsal-fin origin 44.1 103 $36.8-52.9$ 41.5 2.9 <br> Dorsal-fin origin to 54.8 101 $46.3-69.5$ 53.9 3.0 <br> caudal-fin base 37.7 42 $32.1-38.0$ 37.9 3.0 <br> Humeral spot distance 26.7 101 $23.9-29.5$ 26.1 1.0 <br> Bony head length $25 .\|l\| l\|l\| l$     <br> Percentages of head length 100 $22.1-28.5$ 25.4 1.6  <br> Horizontal eye diameter 25.4 27.2 100 $23.2-35.1$ 26.9 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Snout length | 26.2 | 101 | $18.9-31.1$ | 25.0 | 2.2 |
| Least interorbital width | 70.6 | 100 | $52.1-75.5$ | 62.8 | 3.9 |
| Upper jaw length |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Morphometric data related to the horizontal eye diameter of Charax awa presented in separate classes of standard length.

| Measurements | N | Paratypes | N | Paratypes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard length (SL) | 33 | $40.2-79.2$ | 74 | $81.6-120.0$ |
| Percentages of head length |  |  |  |  |
| Horizontal eye diameter | 29 | $26.1-28.5$ | 71 | $22.1-25.4$ |

file of head and trunk convex from tip of lower jaw to analfin origin, nearly straight along anal-fin base and slightly concave from end of anal-fin base to beginning of procurrent rays. Snout pointed. Lower jaw included in upper jaw when mouth closed. Maxilla extending to a vertical line posterior to pupil, near the posterior orbital margin. Small triangular posteriorly directed projection at intersection between dorsal and posterior margins of hyomandibular (Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012: figs 24A, 25B).

Dorsal-fin rays ii, 9 (72). Dorsal-fin pterygiophores 10 (8); first dorsal-fin pterygiophore between $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ vertebrae. Adipose fin present. Unbranched anal-fin rays iv (74) or v (1), branched rays 46 (4), 47 (5), 48 (10), 49 (13), 50 (9), 51 (6), 52 (13), 53 (5), 54 (2) or 56 (1). Anal-fin pterygiophores 46 (2), 47 (2), 48 (1), 49 (2) or 50 (1); first anal-fin pterygiophore between $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ vertebrae. Pectoral-fin rays i, 13 (3) or 14 (22). Tips of longest pectoral-fin rays reaching slightly beyond middle of pel-vic-fin length. Pelvic-fin rays i, 7 (64) or i, 8 (2). Axilar scale on pelvic fin absent. Tips of longest pelvic-fin rays reaching anal-fin rays, between bases of second to seventh branches. Principal caudal-fin ray count 10/9 (59). Dorsal procurrent caudal-fin rays 12 (1), 14 (2), 15(1),

16 (2) or 17 (2). Ventral procurrent caudal-fin rays 7 (3), 8 (2), 9 (1), 1 (10) or 11 (1).

Ventral-most longitudinal series of scales on each side of trunk overlapping one another, delimiting longitudinal gap on region anterior to anus. Scale sheath along anal-fin base. Scales on caudal fin restricted to base of rays. Lateral line complete; perforated scales 53 (1), 54 (3), 55 (8), $56(10), 57(4), 58(2), 59(4), 60(7), 61(4), 62(3)$ or $63(1)$. Horizontal scale rows between dorsal-fin origin and lateral line 15 (27), 16 (20), 17 (5) or 18 (3). Horizontal scale rows between pelvic-fin origin and lateral line 11 (66) or 12 (4). Horizontal scale rows between anal-fin origin and lateral line 12 (2), 13 (37), 14 (16), 15 (7) or 16 (1). Scale rows around caudal peduncle $15(4), 16(26), 17(13)$ or 18 (3). Scale row along anal-fin base, extending for about two thirds of fin base. Predorsal scales 49 (1), 50 (6), 52 (9), 53 (5), 54(7), 55(3), 56(3), 57 (1), 58 (1), 59 (1), 60 (1), 62 (4), 63 (2), 64 (1), 66 (1), 68 (2). Transverse scale rows between humeral spot and supracleithrum 8 (57), 9 (16) or 10 (1).

Premaxilla and dentary with two canine-like teeth and remaining teeth conical. Maxilla with all teeth conical. Premaxilla with one anterior canine-like tooth followed by set of smaller conical teeth, another canine-like tooth placed on posterior portion of bone, followed by one or two small conical teeth (Fig. 2). Total number of premaxillary teeth 13 (4), 14 (3) or 15 (1). Maxilla with 52 (1), 55 (4), 58 (2) or 60 (1) teeth. Dentary with one canine-like tooth followed by 3-4 conical teeth, another canine-like tooth followed by a posterior row of 16 (3), 18 (4) or 19 (1) conical teeth (Fig. 2).

Ectopterygoid and metapterygoid without teeth. Gill-rakers on lower limb of first gill-arch 13 (2), 14 (3). Branchiostegal rays 3 (1) or 4 (7). Supraneurals 4 (8); first supraneural inserted posterior to neural spine of 4th centrum, and last supraneural inserted anterior to first dor-sal-fin pterygiophore. Precaudal vertebrae 12 (8). Caudal vertebrae 23 (8). Total vertebrae 35 (8). Pleural rib pairs 11 (8). Pre- and postzygapophyses minute, approximately triangular in shape, and positioned somewhat parallel to neural zygapophysis of adjacent vertebra (e.g. Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012: fig. 32A).

Color in alcohol (Fig. 1A). Body pale yellow to light yellow, slightly darker dorsally. Both extreme dorsal and ventral regions of trunk with scattered dark chromatophores. Inconspicuous approximately rounded or slightly elliptical dark brown humeral blotch, extending about three to four scales horizontally and about five vertically. Dorsal part of head, snout, circumorbital region and opercle darker than rest of head. Scattered dark chromatophores on tip of snout and suborbital region. V-shaped lines of chromatophores over myosepta along epaxial and hypaxial muscles, more visible on mid-posterior portion of body. Inconspicuous, approximately triangular shaped dark blotch on caudal-fin base, with posterior dark chromatophores. Inconspicuous clear stripe at anal-fin base. All fins hyaline, or light brown at base, with scattered dark chromatophores more visible on interradial membranes.


Figure 3. Distribution of Charax awa sp. n. Paratypes (black circles) and holotype (red circle).

Anterior portion of first to third unbranched rays of dorsal and first unbranched rays of pectoral and pelvic-fin rays darker than remaining rays.

Color in life (Fig. 1B). Color pattern in life similar to coloration of preserved specimens. Body silver on, anterior portion, and silver to hyaline on posterior portion. Anterior and dorsal portions of trunk darker, and sides of head darker than rest of body. Humeral and caudal-fin base blotches inconspicuous. Dorsal margin of trunk with conspicuous dark brown chromatophores. Purple longitudinal stripe along mid-portion of flank, extending posteriorly to anterior margin of caudal-fin base. Fins hyaline, with scattered dark brown or black chromatophores, more visible on interradial membranes.

Sexual dimorphism. No apparent sexual dimorphism.

Distribution. Charax awa is known from the Rio Mearim, Rio Munim and Rio Turiaçu basins, Maranhão state, northeastern Brazil (Fig. 3).

Remarks. The new species herein described differs from its geographically closely distributed congeners, C. leticiae, C. niger, and C. pauciradiatus, with records in the Lower Amazon, Capim, upper Itapecuru and Tocantins river basins (Fig. 4), mainly by the horizontal orbital diameter (see diagnosis section). In addition, C. awa sp. n. can be distinguished from C. leticiae by having the maxilla extending to a vertical line posterior to pupil, near posterior orbital margin while C. leticiae shows the maxilla extending slightly beyond vertical through middle of pupil and by humeral spot distance (32.1-38.0 \% SL vs. 39.0-44.0 \% SL). It can be distinguished from C. pauciradiatus by possessing $11-12$ scale rows from the pelvic-fin origin to the lateral line and $15-18$ scale rows from the dorsal-fin origin to the lateral line, while C. pauciradiatus possess 6-10 and 1314 , respectively, and differs from C. niger by having $8-10$ transverse scale rows in space from the humeral spot to the supracleithrum, while C. niger possess 5-6. Moreover, it differs from C. pauciradiatus and C. niger by the absence of bony hooks on anal and pelvic-fins rays of adult males. This last character is emphasized in the discussion section.


Figure 4. Distribution of Charax awa sp. n., C. leticiae, C. pauciradiatus and C. niger. Charax awa sp. n. (red circle), C. leticiae (yellow circle), C. pauciradiatus (black circle) and C. niger (white circle). The type localities of the species are marked with an asterisk. Information of this map was based on our examined material and data provided by Lucena 1987, and Menezes and Lucena 2014 . See Suppl. material 1.

In addition, new species herein described differs from C. gibbosus, a species incorrectly identified for the same area of $C$. awa $\mathrm{sp} . \mathrm{n}$. by the following features:

Charax awa sp. n. possesses a toothless ectopterygoid, absence of bony hooks on anal-fin rays of mature males, $8-10$ transverse scales rows between the humeral spot and the suprecleithrum and 49-68 predorsal scales; while C. gibbosus has teeth on ectopterygoid, bony hooks on anal-fin rays of mature males, 5-6 transverse scales rows between the humeral spot and the suprecleithrum, and 38-45 predorsal scales.

Etymology. The specific epithet honors the term Awá, from Tupi-guarani, meaning "man, people, person", used by the native tribe Guajá, from the Maranhão state, for their self-denomination.

## Discussion

Several authors have pointed out that Charax constitutes a monophyletic genus within the Characinae (Lucena 1987; Mirande 2010; Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012; Menezes and Lucena 2014). The sister-group relationship between Charax and Roeboides was suggested by several authors
(Lucena 1998, 2000; Mirande 2009, 2010; Mattox and Toledo-Piza 2012). However, Oliveira et al. (2011) alternatively suggested a different relationship between these two genera, proposing that Charax is the sister group of the clade comprising Roeboides Günther, 1864 and $C y$ nopotamus Valenciennes, 1850. The presence of (1) a well-developed notch on the latero-ventral portion of cleithrum originating a relatively long posterior spiniform projection extending below pectoral-fin base and (2) an anterior shorter process oriented straight forward, or either inclined or bent laterally, was proposed by Lucena (1987) as the synapomorphies of the genus, a hypothesis corroborated by Mattox and Toledo-Piza (2012) and confirmed in the new species herein described (Fig. 5).

Charax awa sp. n. possesses a relatively small eye or orbital diameter when compared to the other congeners, except C. notulatus and C. caudimaculatus (22.1-28.5 \% $\mathrm{HL} ; 26.1-28.5 \% \mathrm{HL}$ on specimens below 80 mm SL; and $22.1-25.4 \%$ HL on specimens above 80 mm SL; see Tables 1 and 2). Despite the larger specimens of $C$. awa sp. n. usually have a tendency to have smaller eye diameters when compared to the smaller specimens, the combined range of the eye diameter of these two size categories is even useful for the discrimination of the new species of all the congeners which possess a relatively larger eye diameter (29.6-


Figure 5. Charax awa, CICCAA00248, 73.8 mm SL ; Pectoral girdle. Scale bar 1 mm . (Photographed by Erick Guimarães and Beldo Ferreira).
38.4 \% HL combined). It is important to emphasize that the eye or orbital diameter is the main diagnostic character of Charax according to Menezes and Lucena (2014), which had used this character on their identification key to species of Charax, and as the first diagnostic feature in most of the species diagnoses. Other characters widely used by the last taxonomic revision of the genus were the lateral line, different types of scale counts, and the absence or presence or the number of teeth on the ectopterygoid. We confirmed here these characters as useful for species discrimination and taxonomy of the genus.

According to Menezes and Lucena (2014) a sexual dimorphism characterized by the presence of bony hooks on the anal and pelvic-fin rays of adult males is only recorded for C. pauciradiatus, C. michaeli, C. gibbosus and C. niger (in the case of the latter two, only in the analfin rays). Therefore, they concluded that the absence of these bony hooks is predominant across Charax. Charax awa sp. n. apparently exhibits this general pattern of the genus, since bony hooks on these fins were not recorded in any of our examined material of the species, including 220 specimens over 84.0 mm SL. Thus, the species apparently does not possess an evident sexual dimorphism.

The river systems of northeastern Brazil, particularly the river basins of its occidental portion, including the region between the Rio Gurupi and Parnaíba basin, remain
with their ichthyofauna poorly known and scarcely studied, despite recent efforts to sample and inventory this area (e.g. Soares 2005, Barros et al. 2011, Ribeiro et al. 2014, Ramos et al. 2014, Matavelli et al. 2015, Melo et al. 2017, Piorski et al. 2017). The present study is an example of this state of knowledge. Further refined taxonomic studies will probably unveil more species and new taxa occurring in this area. Despite some freshwater fish species have been described occurring in this region and adjacent areas [e.g. Corydoras julii Steindachner, 1906, Corydoras treittlii Steindachner, 1906, Knodus victoriae (Steindachner, 1907), Otocinclus hasemani Steindachner, 1915, Hemiodus parnaguae Eigenmann \& Henn, 1916, Corydoras vittatus Nijssen, 1971, Pterygoplichthys parnaibae (Weber, 1991), Auchenipterus menezesi Ferraris \& Vari, 1999, Roeboides margareteae Lucena, 2003, Geophagus parnaibae Staeck \& Schindler, 2006, Roeboides sazimai Lucena, 2007, Platydoras brachylecis Piorski, Garavello, Arce H. \& Sabaj Pérez, 2008, Cichlasoma zarskei Ottoni, 2011, Poecilia sarrafae Bragança \& Costa, 2011, Hypsolebias coamazonicus Costa, Amorim \& Bragança, 2014, Anablepsoides vieirai Nielsen, 2016, Parotocinclus cabessadecuia Ramos, Lima \& Ramos, 2017 and Hypostomus sertanejo Zawadzki, Ramos \& Sabaj Pérez, 2017]. Studies on range extension and new records of some freshwater fishes from the area between the mouth of Rio Gurupi and Delta do

Rio Parnaíba (e.g. Barros et al. 2011, Matavelli et al. 2015, Guimarães et al. 2017a, 2017b, Lima et al. 2017), as well as the description of new species (cited above), suggest that the biogeographic history of the river basins of the region is complex and still poorly known. This region is an extremely ecologically relevant area since it comprises three of the main Brazilian biomes, as well as, transition zones between them: Amazônia, Brazilian Cerrado and Caatinga. Therefore, this area has faunal and floristic representatives of these three biomes, which makes it extremely relevant in terms of ecology, biodiversity and conservation (Olson, et al. 2001; Piorski et al. 2008, Fiaschi and Pirani 2009; Miranda et al. 2012).

Comparative material. Charax caudimaculatus: USNM 263877, 1, 95 mm SL, Laguna Cocococha, Reserva National de Tambopata, Madre de Dios, Peru. (Radiograph of a Paratype). USNM 280291, 1, 95.0 mm SL, Laguna Cocococha, Reserva National de Tambopata, Madre de Dios, Peru. (photograph of holotype).

Charax leticiae: all from Brazil: UFRJ: 1576, 4, 66.671.1, Goias State, Aruanã municipality. CICCAA 00762, 2, 79.1-80.0 mm SL, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality. CPUFMA121858, 1, 81.0 mm SL , Maranhão state, stream at the Parque Estadual do Mirador (Rio Itapecuru basin), Mirador municipality.

Charax pauciradiatus: all from Maranhão State, Brazil. CICCAA00899, 3, 79.1-84.7. Maranhão State, Igarapé Papagaio, Tocantins river basin, São Pedro da Agua Branca Municipality. CPFUMA10860, 6, 88.6-117.46, Igarapé mão-de-onça, Gurupi river basin, Centro Novo Municipality. CPUFMA00868, 9, 103.7-117.94, Gurupi river basin, Centro Novo Municipality. CPUFMA10869, 7, 98.5-113.0, Gurupi river basin, Centro Novo Municipality. CPUFMA11870, 8, 93.9-112.6, Gurupi river basin, Centro Novo Municipality. CPUFMA11871, 3, 89.1113.2, Gurupi river basin, Centro Novo Municipality.

Charax notulatus: USNM: 360305, 1 (photograph of holotype), 77 mm SL, Guarico State, Cano Falcon, Venezuela.
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#### Abstract

Aulacidae are parasitoids of wood-boring larvae of Hymenoptera and Coleoptera, known in all zoogeographic regions of the World, except Antarctic. Two aulacids, Pristaulacus compressus (Spinola, 1808) and the rare Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935, have been recently collected from Iran, the latter being a new record. Based on available data, the Iranian aulacid fauna includes five species within a single genus, Pristaulacus Kieffer 1900. A brief taxonomic treatment, as well as morphometric data and an illustrated key to species, are provided.
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## Introduction

The aulacid wasps, Aulacidae Shuckard, 1842 are endoparasitoids of wood-boring larvae Coleoptera (Cerambycidae and Buprestidae) and Hymenoptera (Xiphydriidae). The family Aulacidae is small distinct family in the superfamily Evanioidea. This family includes 262 extant species grouped within only two genera, Aulacus Jurine, 1807 with 83 species and Pristaulacus Kieffer, 1900 (including the former Panaulix Benoit, 1984), with 177 species (Jennings and Austin 2004, Turrisi et al. 2009, Chen et al. 2016, Turrisi and Nobile 2016; Turrisi 2017). According to the online Taxapad (Yu et al. 2012) this family contains four subfamilies and 11 genera, including fossils. Some identified fossils are not completely known and their inclusion within Aulacidae still remains somewhat questionable (Zhang and Rasnitsyn 2004).

Some evidence support strongly a close relationship between Aulacidae and Gasteruptiidae and each group are currently considered as distinct families (Jennings and Austin 2000, Turrisi et al. 2009). However, the current generic classification of the Aulacidae is not sufficiently robust and needs further study. There is strong support for the monophyly of Pristaulacus but not for Aulacus, which is largely paraphyletic in the cladistics analyses of Turrisi et al. (2009). The faunistic knowledge of Aulacidae is generally unsatisfactory due to their general rarity and difficulty in collecting by conventional methods, e.g., by net; there is a general paucity of available material, even in the large museums (Turrisi 2007).

The Iranian Aulacidae has been recently treated by Ghahari (2012), Lotfalizadeh et al. (2017) and Ghahari and Madl (2017). Nonetheless, continuous sampling in different ecosystems, particularly with Malaise traps, has resulted in new records which we present in this paper, along with an illustrated key to species.

## Material and methods

The specimens examined in the present paper have been recently collected using a modified Malaise-trap (Fun-nel-Townes Style, B. Motamedinia, unpublished: BM-MTF-TS) placed in various localities of Kermanshah province (Western part of Iran), as well as in Eastern
provinces (Fig. 1A-B). The traps were a basic Malaise trap supplemented with an additional internal collecting bottle. The insects bump into the black mesh panel and move towards the lighter mesh to escape due to their positive phototropism (van Achterberg 2009); at this point, they encounter the collection bottle and become permanently trapped. However, a considerable number of in-


Figure 1. Malaise traps; locations. A Kermanshah province, Dudan, adjacent to the river and valley; B Kermanshah province, Harsin, near the foothills; C and $\mathbf{D}$ Additional collecting bottle inside the trap.
sects fail to enter the collecting bottle for various reasons e.g., inappropriate installation of trap or collecting bottle, displacement of the trap by wind, or the particular behavior of the insects (Fig. 1C-D). The collected specimens were killed in $75 \%$ ethanol and then glued on triangular cards, according to AXA protocol (van Achterberg 2009). Finally, all of the specimens labeled using new pinning block (Ghafouri Moghaddam et al. 2017).

Specimens were examined under a Nikon ${ }^{\circledR}$ SMZ645 stereomicroscope (Nikon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Inc., Japan). Illustrations of taxonomically important body parts were taken using a Canon ${ }^{\circledR 1}$ EOS 700D (Canon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Inc., Japan), a simple light source with halogen lamp (manual) and $2 \times$ lenses mounted on Hund ${ }^{\circledR}$ Stereomicroscope (Wetzlar Inc., Germany). Multiple images were subsequently processed in Zerene Stacker ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ version 1.04 software and post processed in Adobe Photoshop ${ }^{\circledR}$ CS6. The images in the illustrated key were prepared by the second author using voucher specimens already deposited in his private collection. Morphological terminology follows Crosskey (1951), Huber and Sharkey (1993) and Turrisi (2007). Terminology for
surface sculpturing follows Harris (1979). A distributional map was generated using SimpleMappr (Shorthouse 2010) and collecting localities are given in Fig. 8. The following abbreviations are used for depositories: CPTO: Guido Pagliano collection, Torino, Italy; DPPZ: Collection of Department of Plant Protection, University of Zabol, Iran; DU: Depository Unknown; MCSN: Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "G. Doria", Genova, Italy; MNHN: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Laboratoire d'Entomologie, Paris, France; NHMW: Naturhistorisches Museum, Wien, Austria; ZIN: Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia; ZMUC: Zoological Museum, Copenhagen University, Denmark.

Measurements were done with a micro-ruler. Morphometric ratios were measured in tpsDig ver. 2.05 (Rohlf 2006), using digitized coordinates of landmarks and capture outlines. It should be noted that we documented measurements to the nearest 0.001 mm with tps Dig, but we conservatively report them to an accuracy of two decimal places. Definitions and abbreviations for the measured characters are shown in Table 1 (see also Figs 2, 3, 5).

Table 1. Abbreviation for morphometric data, measured in the examined material.

| Abbreviation | Definition | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIL | Clypeal maximum length | Full-face view, as in Fig 5A |
| CIOL | Clypeus-ocellar line | Distance between base of clypeus and median ocellus, full-face view (Fig 5A). |
| CIW | Clypeal maximum width | Full-face view, as in Fig 5A |
| CoL | Hind coxa maximum length | In lateral view, as in Fig 3C |
| CoW | Hind coxa maximum width | In lateral view, as in Fig 3C |
| EL | Eye maximum length | Vertical line length of compound eye, full-face view (Fig 2A) |
| EW | Eye maximum width | Horizontal line, width of the compound eye, full-face view (Fig 2A) |
| FWL | Fore wing maximum length | From median margin of first axillary sclerite to distal point of wing blade, as in Fig 3A |
| FWW | Fore wing maximum width | Longest line drawn perpendicular to the length axis, as in Fig 3A |
| HL | Head maximum length | From anterior prominence of head to base of occipital carina, dorsal view (Fig 2B) |
| HW | Head maximum width | Maximum distance between lateral margins of compound eyes dorsal view (Fig 2B) |
| IOL | Inter-ocular line | Shortest distance between inner margin of compound eyes, full-face view (Fig 2A) |
| MsL | Mesosoma maximum length | longest anatomical line that connects the posterior-most point of the propodeal lobe with the anterior-most point of the pronotum, but if one of the reference points is not visible, dorsal view may help, preferentially in lateral view (Fig 2D) |
| MsW | Mesosoma maximum width | In dorsal view, as in Fig 2E |
| MtL | Metasoma maximum length | From base of petiole to base of pygidium, lateral view (Fig. 3B) |
| OD | Ocellar diameter | In dorsal view, as in Fig 2B |
| OML | Ocular-mandibular line | Minimum distance between anterior margin of compound eye and mandibular insertion to head, full-face view (Fig 5A) |
| 00CL | Ocular-occipital carina line | Minimum distance between lateral margin of compound eye and base of occipital carina lateral view (Fig 2C) |
| OOL | Oculo-ocellar line | Shortest distance between margins of compound eye and ocellus, dorsal view (Fig 2B) |
| OTL | Oculo-tentorial line | Minimum distance between anterolateral margin of compound eye and tentorial pit, full-face view (Fig 5A) |
| PEL | Petiole maximum length | From anterior-most margin to posterior margin of petiole, dorsal view (Fig 2F) |
| PEW | Petiole maximum width | Dorsal view, as in Fig 2F |
| PL | Propodeum maximum length | From apex of scutellum to base of petiole, dorsal view (Fig 2E) |
| POL | Posterior-ocellar line | Shortest distance between margin of lateral ocelli, dorsal view (Fig 2B) |
| PSL | Pterostigma maximum length | As in Fig 3A |
| PSW | Pterostigma maximum width | As in Fig 3A |
| PW | Propodeum maximum width | In dorsal view, as in Fig 2E |
| TL | Temple maximum length | Minimum distance between anterior margin of compound eye and base of occipital carina, dorsal view (Fig 2B) |

## Results

Five species belonging to the genus Pristaulacus are recorded from five provinces of Iran (East-Azarbaijan, Guilan, Kermanshah, Shiraz and West Azarbaijan) (Fig. 8).
The species recorded for the first time from Iran and from
individual provinces are marked with a single $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ or double $(* *)$ asterisks, respectively. It should be noted that no depository was mentioned for specimens of both P. barbeyi and P. galitae from Iran (Ghahari 2012), thus, it has not been possible to examine this material for confirmation. These taxa are marked below with an \#.

## Illustrated key to Iranian Pristaulacus Kieffer

1 Lateroventral margin of pronotum without tooth-like process (a).


- Lateroventral margin of pronotum with at least one tooth-like process (aa).


2 Head dull to weakly shiny, extensively transverse striolate (a); hind tarsus dark brown; tarsal claw with two tooth-like processes (b); petiole stocky and short (c).
P. barbeyi (Ferrière, 1933)


- Head shiny, mostly polished, except frons weakly striolate punctate (aa); hind tarsus yellow; tarsal claw with four tooth like processes (bb); petiole slender and elongate (cc). $\qquad$ .P. gloriator (Fabricius, 1804)


3 Lateroventral margin of pronotum with one tooth-like process (see first couplet).
4

- Lateroventral margin of pronotum with two tooth-like processes (aa) .............................P. compressus (Spinola, 1808)


4 Large sized species (body length, excluding ovipositor, $16.5-18.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); occipital carina rim-like, blackish, $0.2 \times$ diameter of an ocellus (a); hind basitarsus weakly but distinctly curved, $1.5 \times$ longer than tarsomeres $2-5$ (b); ovipositor $1.4 \times$ fore wing length $\qquad$ P. mourguesi Maneval, 1935


- Medium sized species (body length, excluding ovipositor, $8.0-11.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); occipital carina cerciniform, brownish, $0.5 \times$ diameter of an ocellus (aa); hind basitarsus straight, $1.1 \times$ longer than tarsomeres $2-5$ (bb); ovipositor $1.2 \times$ fore wing length ..................................................................................................................... P. galitae (Gribodo, 1879)


Family Aulacidae Shuckard, 1842
Pristaulacus Kieffer, 1900
Pristaulacus barbeyi (Ferrière, 1933) \#

Odontaulacus barbeyi Ferrière, 1933: 141, Holotype $q$ and paratype §. - Algeria, Babor (MNHN).

Distribution. Algeria, Greece, Morocco, Spain, Turkey (Turrisi 2007) and Iran (Ghahari 2012; Turrisi 2013a, b, c).

Distribution in Iran. West Azarbaijan province (Ghahari 2012: DU).

Diagnosis. $P$. barbeyi is a small to medium-sized species, with body length (excluding ovipositor) of 6.711.8 mm , fore wing length $5.1-8.1 \mathrm{~mm}$; ovipositor length $1.3 \times$ fore wing length (holotype), but variable length among specimens from Turkey. It is readily distinguished by the shape of the head with a very narrow cerciniform occipital carina (width less than $0.2 \times$ ocellus diameter), rounded latero-ventral margin of pronotum without tooth-like processes, tarsal claw bearing two tooth-like processes along the inner margin, and a short and stocky petiole.

Hosts. Ghahari (2012) mentioned an unknown Buprestidae as host of this species in Iran, living on Abies.

## Pristaulacus compressus (Spinola, 1808) **

Figs 2, 3, 4
Aulacus compressus Spinola, 1808: 48, Holotype $\widehat{\delta}$. - Italy, Liguria, Habitat in montibus Orerii (MCSN).

Specimens examined. 29 , DPPZ. Iran, Kermanshah province, Dudan, $35^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 46^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1155 \mathrm{~m}$, 20.VI.2016, Malaise trap no. 2 mounted in orchard, leg.: M. Zardouei Heidari; 1q, DPPZ. Iran, Kermanshah
province, Harsin, $34^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 18.89^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 47^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 16.63^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1568$ m, 05.VII.2016, Malaise trap no. 1 mounted in orchard, leg.: M. Zardouei Heidari.

Distribution. Austria, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iran, Iraq, Italy, Lebanon, Morocco, Poland, Romania, Russia (western territories), Slovakia, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine and former Yugoslavia (Madl 1990, Turrisi 2007, 2011, 2013a).

Distribution in Iran. Shiraz (Madl 1990: 114, NHMW), East-Azarbaijan (Lotfalizadeh et al. 2017: DU), and Kermanshah province (new record).

Diagnosis. It is a medium sized species with a body length of $8.8-14.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excluding ovipositor), fore wing length $6.6-9.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. It is readily distinguished by having a wide occipital carina (width equal to ocellus diameter), a pair of tooth-like processes on each latero-ventral margin of pronotum, reddish-orange hind tarsus, and ovipositor length $1.1-1.3 \times$ fore wing length.

Morphometric ratios. CIOL/CIL: 1.10; CIL/CIW: 3.33; ClW/OML: 0.69; CoL/CoW: 2.61; EL/EW: 2.42; EL/ OML: 2.90; EW/OOCL: 0.40; EW/OTL: 1.21; FWL/ FWW: 3.10; HL/ClL: 4.04; HL/TL: 2.07; HW/EW: 9.48; HW/HL: 1.21; IOL/CIOL: 1.36; IOL/EW: 2.91; IOL/ HW: 0.30; IOL/OML: 3.48; MsL/MsW: 2.18; MtL/MtW: 2.57; PEL/PEW: 1.90; PL/PW: 0.67; POL/OD: 1.46; POL/OOL: 1.00; SL/SW: 2.89; TL/EL: 1.55.

Hosts. This species was reared from Chlorophorus adelii Holzschuh, 1974 (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae) in tree oak, Quercus sp. (Madl 1990, Wall 1994). The reared beetle is polyphagous on deciduous trees and is endemic to Iran (Holzschuh 1974).

Remarks. The distribution of this species covers mainly the European area (see Turrisi 2011). It shows some


Figure 2. Pristaulacus compressus Spinola 1808. female (Iran): A face, frontal view; B head, dorsal view; C head, lateral view; D mesosoma, lateral view, $\mathbf{E}$ mesosoma, dorsal view; $\mathbf{F}$ petiole and metasoma, dorsal view.
intraspecific variation in colour and sculpture of some parts of the body (Turrisi 2007). The most similar species is $P$. lindae Turrisi, 2000, having hind tarsi blackish brown, metasoma more extensively red orange, different shape of mesosoma, sculpture of prescutum carinulate
rugulose, a longer petiole and ovipositor (see Turrisi (2007, 2011 for more details). The head bears a coarser punctuation than European specimens of $P$. compressus and $P$. lindae, but less so than the other two similar species $P$. samai Turrisi, 2011 and P. rapuzzii Turrisi, 2011.


Figure 3. Pristaulacus compressus Spinola 1808. female (Iran): A fore and hind wing; $\mathbf{B}$ petiole and metasoma, lateral view; $\mathbf{C}$ hind coxa, lateral view; $\mathbf{D}$ hind claw, ventral view.

## Pristaulacus galitae (Gribodo, 1879) \#

Aulacus galitae Gribodo, 1879: 339, Holotype Q. - Tunisia, Galita Island (CPTO).

Distribution. Algeria, Austria, Bulgaria, Canary Islands (Tenerife), Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece (including Crete and Rhodos), Hun-
gary, Iran, Italy (including Sardinia and Sicily), Morocco, Poland, Romania, Russia (westernmost area), Slovakia, Spain, Tunisia (including Galita Island), Turkey, Ukraine and former Yugoslavia (Turrisi 2007, 2013a, Ghahari 2012, Huflejt and Wiśniowski 2012).

Distribution in Iran. East Azarbaijan province (Ghahari 2012: DU).


Figure 4. Pristaulacus compressus Spinola 1808. female (Iran): lateral habitus.

Diagnosis. $P$. galitae is a medium-sized species with a body length of $8.0-11.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excluding ovipositor), fore wing length $4.5-7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. It is distinguished by the combination of the following features: shape of the head, with rounded profile of temple, occipital carina moderately wide ( $0.5 \times \mathrm{OD}$ ), one anterior tooth-like process on each side of latero-ventral margin of pronotum, ovipositor length $1.0-1.2 \times$ fore wing length.

Hosts. Ghahari (2012) mentioned specimens reared in Iran from Trichoferus griseus \# (Fabricius, 1792) (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae) feeding on common fig, Ficus carica L. (Moraceae).

## Pristaulacus gloriator (Fabricius, 1804)

Bassus gloriator Fabricius, 1804: 99, Holotype q. - Germany, Habitat in Germ. Dom. Smidt (ZMUC).

Distribution. Albania, Austria, Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iran, Italy, Poland, Romania, Russia (European and central areas), Slovakia, Turkey, former Yugoslavia (Turrisi 2007, 2013a, Huflejt and Wiśniowski 2012).

Distribution in Iran. Guilan province (Madl 1990: 114-115, NHMW; Turrisi 2007).

Diagnosis. P. gloriator is a medium to moderately largesized species with a body length of $10.2-15.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excluding ovipositor), fore wing length $8.2-11.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. It can be easily identified by the shape of the head with a narrow cerciniform occipital carina (width $0.2 \times$ OD), a
rugulose-carinulate frons, latero-ventral margin of pronotum rounded without tooth-like processes, four tooth-like processes on the inner margin of tarsal claw, and light yellow tarsi.

Hosts. This species was reared from Paraclytus reitteri (Ganglbauer, 1881) (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae) feeding on alder, Alnus sp. The reared beetle is polyphagous in deciduous trees i.e. Acer, Alnus, Carpinus and Quercus (Miroshnikov 2014).

Remarks. This species was previously recorded as $P$. holzschuhi Madl, 1990 from Bandar-e Pahlavi (now called: Bandar-e Anzali - Anzali Port), Assalem, Guilan, Iran (Madl 1990), and is considered as a synonym of $P$. gloriator (Turrisi 2007) (type material examined and deposited in NHMW).

## Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935 *

Figs 5, 6, 7
Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935: 66, Holotype q. - France, Pont-Ravatgers (MNHN).

Specimens examined. $2 q$, DPPZ. Iran, Kermanshah province, Dudan, $35^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 46^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1155 \mathrm{~m}$, 20.II.2016, BM-MTF-TS mounted in orchard, leg.: M. Zardouei Heidari; 1q, DPPZ. same locality label, 05.VI.2016, BM-MTF-TS mounted among Oak forest Quercus brantii Lindley, leg.: M. Zardouei Heidari.

Distribution. Croatia, France, Greece, Hungary (Turrisi 2007, 2013a), Iran (new record).


Figure 5. Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935. female (Iran): A face, frontal view; B head, dorsal view; C head, lateral view; D mesosoma, lateral view; E mesosoma, dorsal view; $\mathbf{F}$ petiole and metasoma, dorsal view.

Distribution in Iran. Kermanshah province.
Diagnosis. P. mourguesi is one of the largest species among the Palaearctic Pristaulacus with a body length varying from 16.5 to 18.5 mm (excluding ovipositor), and fore wing length of $8.8-13.0 \mathrm{~mm}(q)$. It is distinguished by the shape of the head, narrow cerciniform occipital
carina (width $0.2 \times$ ocellus diameter), hind basitarsus long and slightly curved, $1.5 \times$ length of tarsomeres $2-5$, and long ovipositor, $1.4-1.6 \times$ fore wing length.

Morphometric ratios. CIOL/CIL: 1.21; CIL/CIW: 2.75; CIW/OML: 0.78; CoL/CoW: 2.71; EL/EW: 2.65; EL/ OML: 2.72; EW/OOCL: 0.58; EW/OTL: 1.14; FWL/


Figure 6. Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935. female (Iran): A fore and hind wing; B petiole and metasoma, lateral view; C hind coxa, lateral view; $\mathbf{D}$ hind claw, ventral view.

FWW: 3.02; HL/CIL: 2.01; HL/TL: 1.97; HW/EW: 5.40; HW/HL: 1.27; IOL/CIOL: 1.27 ; IOL/EW: 3.26; IOL/HW: 0.60; IOL/OML: 3.35; MsL/MsW: 1.91; MtL/MtW: 6.39; PEL/PEW: 2.39; PL/PW: 0.55; POL/OD: 2.08; POL/OOL: 1.33; SL/SW: 2.62; TL/EL: 0.80 .

Hosts. Unknown (Turrisi 2007).

Remarks. This species was previously recorded only from Europe (Turrisi 2007, 2013a). There is a possible record for the Near East without source material (Madl 2012). The closest species is $P$. morawitzi (Semenow, 1892) being medium sized, punctures of head very fine, superficial, and scattered, metasoma pyriform, strongly compressed, nearly entirely reddish orange. See Turrisi (2007) for more details.


Figure 7. Pristaulacus mourguesi Maneval, 1935. female (Iran): lateral habitus.


Figure 8. Distribution map of aulacid species in some provinces of Iran.

## Discussion

The number of Iranian Aulacidae is raised to five, all within a single genus (Pristaulacus). All these species have been collected in Northern and Northwestern (forest
habitat) regions, except $P$. compressus, which is recorded from Southern (subdesertic habitat) region.

Jennings et al. (2004) stated that remnant stands of forest are an ideal habitat for their wood-boring hosts. These wasps can be locally abundant in areas undergoing log-
ging or forest fires. The rich fossil record of Aulacidae indicates they were quite abundant in the Mesozoic (Jennings and Austin 2004, Turrisi et al. 2009).

The new specimens have been collected in Zagros forests, which have an area of about 6 million hectares ( 3.5 percent of Iran), located in the west of Iran with a semi-arid to temperate climate. This wide territory is also referred to as western oak forests (oak-woodland), due to the dominancy of oak species (Quercus spp.). The species composition of the woodland vegetation depends on the climatic conditions (Zohary 1973, Kwandrans 2007).

The five species reported in this paper are distributed only in the Western part of the Palearctic region. The results of the present study clearly show the improved efficiency of modern collecting methods for Hymenoptera that are rarely collected with most conventional methods. In addition, the best collecting period seems to be June and this is consistent with those reported in Lotfalizadeh et al. (2017).

Although the research suggests a higher number of species in the Western territories of Iran we predict that the Eastern and Southern parts should also be quite spe-cies-rich. Further investigation, especially in poorly collected regions will probably increase the number of known species (Turrisi 2014). The species reported from Iran have ranges included in the Western part of the Palaearctic region. Given the poorly known faunistic situation, it is premature to discuss possible relationships among faunas of Iran and adjacent territories.
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#### Abstract

The systematics of the cophyline microhylid frog genera Plethodontohyla and Rhombophryne have long been intertwined, and their relationships have only recently started to become clear. While Rhombophryne has received a lot of recent taxonomic attention, Plethodontohyla has been largely neglected. Our study is a showcase of just how complex the taxonomic situation between these two genera is, and the care that must be taken to resolve taxonomic conundrums where old material, multiple genus transitions, and misattribution of new material obfuscate the picture. We assessed the identity of the historic names Dyscophus alluaudi (currently in the genus Rhombophryne), Phrynocara laeve and Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis (both synonyms of Rhombophryne alluaudi) based on an integrative taxonomic approach harnessing genetics, external morphology, osteological data obtained via micro-Computed Tomography (micro-CT) and bioacoustics. We show that (1) the holotype of Dyscophus alluaudi is a member of the genus Plethodontohyla, (2) the Rhombophryne specimens from central Madagascar currently assigned to Rhombophryne alluaudi have no affinity with that species, and are instead an undescribed species; and (3) Phrynocara laeve and Dyscophus alluaudi are not synonymous, but represent closely related species, whereas Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis is tentatively confirmed as synonym of D. alluaudi. We resurrect and re-describe Plethodontohyla laevis, and re-allocate and re-describe Plethodontohyla alluaudi on the basis of new and historic material.


[^3]
## Introduction

The microhylid subfamily Cophylinae Cope, 1889, endemic to Madagascar, is today recognised as possessing eight genera according to Scherz et al. (2016a): Plethodontohyla Boulenger, 1882, Rhombophryne Boettger, 1880, Stumpffia Boettger, 1881, Madecassophryne Guibé, 1974, Platypelis Boulenger, 1882, Anodonthyla Müller, 1892, Cophyla Boettger, 1880 and Anilany Scherz, Vences, Rakotoarison, Andreone, Köhler, Glaw \& Crottini, 2016. Recent studies (Vieites et al. 2009, Perl et al. 2014) have provided DNA barcoding reference sequences for almost all described and undescribed frog species of Madagascar and have highlighted the presence of a large taxonomic gap in the Cophylinae, which currently comprises over 100 described species (Scherz et al. 2016a, AmphibiaWeb 2018), but which has an estimated further $>40$ species yet to be formally described. In spite of the recent efforts in revising the systematics of this subfamily (e.g. D'Cruze et al. 2010, Glaw et al. 2010, Rakotoarison et al. 2012, 2015, 2017, Rosa et al. 2014, Scherz et al. 2016b, Lambert et al. 2017), the genus Plethodontohyla has been relatively neglected.

Plethodontohyla in its most updated definition (Wollenberg et al. 2008, Scherz et al. 2016a) comprises moderately small to large terrestrial or scansorial forest frogs (snout-vent length [SVL] 25-100 mm, Glaw and Vences 2007 b ). Despite sharing a large number of morphological features (e.g. the presence of connected lateral metatarsalia, vomerine teeth, inner [and sometimes outer] metatarsal tubercles, short hindlimbs and males with a single subgular vocal sac; Scherz et al. 2016a), an osteological circumscription to the genus still remains difficult. The ecomorphologies correlate with different skeletal adaptations; for instance, all semi-arboreal taxa (P. notosticta, P. mihanika) and three predominantly terrestrial species (P. guentheri, P. inguinalis and P. fonetana) possess expanded terminal phalanges (T- or Y-shaped), while all other terrestrial and fossorial taxa possess knob-shaped terminal phalanges. Whereas the clavicle is always absent or highly reduced in terrestrial or fossorial species, it can be present or absent in arboreal species, suggesting repeated loss of these bones (Scherz et al. 2016a, unpublished data), but also the monophyly of these phenetic groups (arboreal and terrestrial/fossorial) has yet to be established. In addition to being a morphologically diverse genus, Plethodontohyla has had an exceptionally convoluted taxonomic history.

Plethodontohyla was originally erected by Boulenger (1882) to contain Callula notosticta Günther, 1877 (the type species of the genus), P. inguinalis Boulenger, 1882 and P. brevipes Boulenger, 1882. This circumscription was before its time in recognizing the phenotypic diversity of Plethodontohyla, as Boulenger (1882) established the genus containing terrestrial/fossorial ( $P$. brevipes) and arboreal and semi-terrestrial (P. notosticta, P. inguinalis) species. Peters (1883) later erected two genera, Phrynocara Peters, 1883 (type species Ph. tuberatum

Peters, 1883) and Mantipus Peters, 1883 (type species M. hildebrandti Peters, 1883), each monotypic. Some years later Mocquard (1895) erected another monotypic genus, Mantiphrys Mocquard, 1895 (type species Mantiphrys laevipes Mocquard, 1895). Over the 20th century, six more species of the genus Plethodontohyla were described, plus two in the genus Phrynocara and seven in Mantipus. Noble and Parker (1926) synonymised Mantiphrys with Mantipus, and Phrynocara with Plethodontohyla, and transferred P. inguinalis to Mantipus. Guibé contributed repeatedly to the species-level taxonomy of these genera (e.g. Guibé 1947, 1974, 1975), but made only one small change at genus-level, which he later reversed (Guibé 1947, 1952, 1978; see below). Blom-mers-Schlösser and Blanc (1991) later synonymised Mantipus with Plethodontohyla in their comprehensive monograph on the amphibians of Madagascar (Mantipus hildebrandti becoming a junior synonym of Plethodontohyla inguinalis). At this point, the genus Plethodontohyla contained twelve nominal species, encompassing a wide range of ecomorphologies (terrestrial, fossorial and semi-arboreal) and sizes, from the 22 mm P . minuta to the 100 mm P. inguinalis.

Andreone et al. (2005) produced the first comprehensive molecular dataset for the subfamily Cophylinae, where it became clear that several members of the genus Plethodontohyla were more closely related to Rhombophryne testudo Boettger, 1880—which had, until that point, been alone in the monotypic genus Rhombo-phryne-but refrained from making any taxonomic arrangements until more data were available. Frost et al. (2006) also recovered the paraphyly of Plethodontohyla first identified by Andreone et al. (2005), and transferred three species to Rhombophryne ( $R$. alluaudi, $R$. coudreaui and $R$. laevipes). Based on the more comprehensive molecular analysis of the subfamily (Wollenberg et al. 2008) another four species ( $R$. minuta, $R$. coronata, $R$. guentherpetersi, $R$. serratopalpebrosa) were transferred to Rhombophryne (Glaw and Vences 2007b). Since then, no more species have moved between these two genera except $R$. matavy which was erroneously transferred to Plethodontohyla by Peloso et al. (2016), but returned to Rhombophryne by Scherz et al. (2016a).

The taxon Dyscophus alluaudi Mocquard, 1901 was originally described with the type locality 'Fort Dauphin' (or Tolagnaro; Fig. 1), but was later transferred to Plethodontohyla by Noble and Parker (1926). It was then moved to Mantipus by Guibé (1947), but was later returned by him to Plethodontohyla without comment (Guibe 1978), presumably based on the similarity of its pectoral girdle to that of Mantipus angeli Guibé, 1947, which he synonymised with Plethodontohyla tuberata (Guibé 1952). Another taxon, Phrynocara laeve Boettger, 1913, was described from Sakana, East Madagascar, a locality reported to be a magnificently preserved piece of jungle (Boettger 1913). This taxon was transferred to Plethodontohyla by Noble and Parker (1926), initially with an incorrect emendation (Plethodontohyla laeve),


Figure 1. Locality records of Plethodontohyla laevis, P. alluaudi, and P. sp. Ca01, including the uncertain records of two members of this complex ( $P$. cf. laevis and $P$. sp.) from Blommers-Schlösser (1975).
later corrected to P. laevis by Parker (1934). A supposed subspecies of Plethodontohyla laevis, P. l. tsianovohensis Angel, 1936, was later described from Tsianovoha (approximately $22^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 60.00^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 047^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 60.00^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 112$ m above sea level [a.s.1.], collected by R. Heim between 1934 and 1935, Fig. 1), a lowland forest in the Vatovavy-Fitovinavy region in eastern Madagascar, but was synonymised with P. laevis by Guibé (1978). Plethodontohyla laevis was then synonymised with P. alluaudi by Blommers-Schlösser and Blanc (1991). Following Blommers-Schlösser (1975), who assigned a specimen collected in Mandraka to Mantipus alluaudi, Glaw and Vences (1992), in their first edition of the field guide to the amphibians and reptiles of Madagascar, attributed a specimen of a cophyline frog from Andasibe in central eastern Madagascar also to P. alluaudi. Subsequently collected specimens from this region became the genetic reference material for the taxon (Andreone et al. 2005). On the basis of genetic data from these specimens, $P$. alluaudi was transferred to Rhombophryne by Frost et al. (2006), where it has since remained.

Recently, individuals of a species of terrestrial cophyline microhylid were found in Betampona Special Reserve (Fig. 1), a small but relatively well-maintained lowland rainforest fragment in eastern Madagascar (Rosa et al. 2012). Genetic analysis revealed these specimens to belong to the genus Plethodontohyla, and they were referred to as $P$. sp. Ca3 by Vieites et al. (2009) and Scherz et al. (2016a) (also called P. sp. aff. brevipes $[\mathrm{Ca}$ FJ559294] by Rosa et al. 2012).

In this study, we examined these specimens from Betampona, and found them to have strong affinities with the holotype of Phrynocara laeve. This suggested that at least Phrynocara laeve was incorrectly attributed to the genus Rhombophryne, and prompted questions regarding the genus-level assignment of Dyscophus alluaudi. To resolve these questions, we investigated the morphology and osteology of the type material of Dyscophus alluaudi, Phrynocara laeve, and Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis (Fig. 2). We then studied the morphology, osteology and genetics of the Rhombophryne species from Andasibe currently assigned to $R$. alluaudi, recently collected material of $P$. sp. Ca3 from Betampona, and of specimens of ' $R$. alluaudi' from near Tolagnaro. We base our study on the integration of data from external and internal (osteological) morphology, natural history, congruence between mitochondrial and nuclear DNA differentiation and bioacoustic analyses.

## Materials and methods

In anticipation of the main outcomes of our research, we hereafter use Plethodontohyla laevis and P. alluaudi in reference to these two names, except when discussing the type material, where we refer to the species by their original names (Phrynocara laeve and Dyscophus alluaudi, respectively).

## Voucher specimen collection

New specimens were collected either during the day by searching the leaf litter, or at night using torches and headlamps, sometimes guided by the male advertisement call. Representative voucher specimens were euthanized, and then fixed in $90 \%$ ethanol or $10 \%$ buffered formalin, rinsed in water and preserved in 70\% ethanol. Live colouration was photographed at the time of capture.

Locality information were recorded using a GPS, datum WGS84. Field numbers FAZC, ACZC and ACZCV, FGZC, and PBZT-RJS refer to F. Andreone, A. Crottini, F. Glaw, and J.E. Randrianirina, respectively. Tissue samples (taken before specimen fixation) were obtained from hindlimb muscle or tongue, and preserved separately in 99\% ethanol.

Institutional abbreviations used herein are as follows: ZSM = Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Germany; SMF = Naturmuseum Senckenberg in Frankfurt am Main, Germany; UADBA-A = Amphibian collections of the Université d'Antananarivo Département de Biologie Animale, Madagascar (currently Mention Zoologie et Biodiversité Animale, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Antananarivo, Antananarivo); MRSN = Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino, Italy; MNHN = Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris, France; ZFMK = Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany; ZMA = Zoölogisch Museum Amsterdam (transferred to Naturalis Biodiversity Center in Leiden), Netherlands; BMNH = Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom.

## Morphological measurements

Measurements of preserved specimens were taken by MDS with a calliper to the nearest 0.01 mm , rounded to the nearest 0.1 mm (ratios calculated before rounding to avoid compound rounding errors): SVL (snout-vent length), HW (maximum head width), HL (head length, from the rictus to the snout tip), ED (horizontal eye diameter), END (eye-nostril distance), NSD (nostril-snout tip distance), NND (internarial distance), TD (horizontal tympanum diameter), HAL (hand length, from the radi-oulnar-carpal articulation to the tip of the longest finger), FORL (forelimb length, given by the sum of HAL, lower arm length [LAL] and upper arm length [UAL]), FOL (foot length, from the tarsal-metatarsal articulations to the tip of the longest toe), TARL (tarsus length), FOTL (foot length including tarsus, given by the sum of FOL and TARL), TIBL (tibia length), HIL (hind-limb length, given by the sum of FOL, TARL, TIBL and thigh length [THIL]), IMCL (maximum length of inner metacarpal tubercle). Examined specimens are listed in Table 1. Note that measurements of Plethodontohyla brevipes are from specimens that match the original description of that species in having a uniform brown dorsum and slightly granular dorsal skin. Terminology and description scheme follow Vences et al. (2003), Glaw and Vences (2007a) and Glaw et al. (2007) to allow for better comparison to other Plethodontohyla species.


Figure 2. Photographs of the holotypes of (a-b) Phrynocara laeve (SMF 4286), (c-d) Dyscophus alluaudi (MNHN 1901.235) and (e-f) Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis (MNHN 1936.47) in dorsal (a, c, e) and ventral (b, d, f) view.

## Osteological analyses

The holotypes of Phrynocara laeve (SMF 4286), Dyscophus alluaudi (MNHN 1901.235) and Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis (MNHN 1936.47), and one specimen assigned below to Plethodontohyla laevis (MRSN A6340) from Betampona, one specimen
assigned below to P. alluaudi (ZSM 89/2004) from Andohahela and one specimen of the Rhombophryne species formerly assigned to $P$. alluaudi by Glaw and Vences (1992) from Andasibe (ZSM 3/2002) were scanned using X-ray micro-Computed Tomography (micro-CT), in a phoenix|x nanotom $m$ cone-beam micro-CT scanner, following protocols used previously
Table 1. Morphological measurements of the holotypes of Phrynocara laeve, Dyscophus alluaudi, Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis and of the recently collected specimens assigned to $P$. laevis, P. alluaudi, P. sp. Ca01, P. brevipes and Rhombophryne sp. analysed for this study (all measurements in millimetres except ratios values). For abbreviations, see the measurements section of the Materials and methods. Abbreviations not included in the text: HT, holotype; F, female; M, male; J, juvenile.

| Collection Number | Species | Locality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sta- } \\ & \text { tus } \end{aligned}$ | Sex | SVL | HW | HL | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HW} / \\ \mathrm{HL} \end{gathered}$ | ED | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ED} / \\ \mathrm{HL} \end{gathered}$ | END | NSD | NND | TD | $\begin{gathered} \text { TD/ } \\ \text { ED } \end{gathered}$ | HAL | UAL | LAL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{HAL} / \\ \mathrm{SVL} \end{array}$ | FORL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { FORL/ } \\ \text { SVL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TIBL | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TIBL// } \\ \text { SVL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | TARL | FOL | FOTL | HIL | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { HILI } \\ \text { SVL } \end{array}$ | IMCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MNHN <br> 1901.235 | Dyscophus alluaudi | Fort Dauphin | HT | F | 47.4 | 19.8 | 10.7 | 1.85 | 3.7 | 0.35 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 0.53 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 0.23 | 22.2 | 0.47 | 16.0 | 0.34 | 8.9 | 16.2 | 25.1 | 59.2 | 1.25 | 2.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MNHN } \\ & 1936.47 \end{aligned}$ | Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis | Forest of Tsianovoha | HT | F | 58.0 | 26.8 | 15.7 | 1.71 | 4.2 | 0.27 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.58 | 16.0 | 4.5 | 10.2 | 0.28 | 30.8 | 0.53 | 22.6 | 0.39 | 12.6 | 25.0 | 37.6 | 85.4 | 1.47 | 3.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UADBA-A } \\ & 27994 \end{aligned}$ | Plethodontohyla alluaudi | Andohahela |  | - | 28.8 | 13.2 | 7.9 | 1.67 | 2.8 | 0.36 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.33 | 7.6 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 0.26 | 16.8 | 0.58 | 11.1 | 0.39 | 6.1 | 12.2 | 18.4 | 40.5 | 1.41 | 1.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 89 / 2004 \end{aligned}$ | Plethodontohyla alluaudi | Andohahela |  | - | 29.1 | 14.5 | 8.1 | 1.79 | 2.6 | 0.33 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 0.66 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 0.24 | 15.8 | 0.54 | 10.8 | 0.37 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 18.1 | 41.2 | 1.41 | 1.5 |
| MF 4286 | Phrynocara laeve | Sakana | HT | F | 38.1 | 16.5 | 10.5 | 1.56 | 3.8 | 0.36 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 0.40 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 0.23 | 21.2 | 0.56 | 14.8 | 0.39 | 8.6 | 15.9 | 24.5 | 54.5 | 1.43 | 1.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 189 / 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { laevis } \end{gathered}$ | Analalava |  | J | 28.1 | 11.7 | 8.3 | 1.41 | 3.1 | 0.37 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.20 | 0.40 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 0.24 | 16.0 | 0.57 | 11.1 | 0.40 | 6.2 | 12.0 | 19.4 | 44.5 | 1.58 | 1.4 |
| MRSN A618 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona |  | F | 41.5 | 17.3 | 10.9 | 1.59 | 3.5 | 0.33 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.33 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 0.23 | 22.5 | 0.54 | 15.5 | 0.37 | 8.2 | 11.9 | 19.3 | 51.3 | 1.24 | 2.1 |
| MRSN A6674 | Plethodontohyla <br> laevis | Marovato |  | F | 42.2 | 18.6 | 10.8 | 1.72 | 3.9 | 0.36 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 0.25 | 22.5 | 0.53 | 16.4 | 0.39 | 8.8 | 15.9 | 23.3 | 59.2 | 1.40 | 2.0 |
| MRSN A6340 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { laevis } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Betampona |  | M | 33.0 | 15.0 | 8.4 | 1.79 | 3.2 | 0.38 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 0.44 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.24 | 17.1 | 0.52 | 13.1 | 0.40 | 7.0 | 13.4 | 20.8 | 48.9 | 1.48 | 1.7 |
| MRSN 46189 | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { laevis } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Betampona |  | F | 40.9 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 1.68 | 4.1 | 0.41 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 0.35 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 0.21 | 21.0 | 0.51 | 15.4 | 0.38 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 22.3 | 54.3 | 1.33 | 1.7 |
| MRSN A6787 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Anivorano Est |  | M | 40.3 | 16.4 | 10.4 | 1.58 | 3.6 | 0.35 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 0.44 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 0.22 | 20.0 | 0.50 | 14.5 | 0.36 | 8.2 | 14.2 | 21.6 | 52.7 | 1.31 | 1.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZSM } \\ & 980 / 2013 \end{aligned}$ | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona |  | M | 36.8 | 15.8 | 9.7 | 1.62 | 3.7 | 0.38 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.44 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 0.21 | 19.4 | 0.53 | 13.9 | 0.38 | 8.1 | 13.7 | 21.1 | 52.1 | 1.42 | 2.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZSM } \\ & 855 / 2006 \end{aligned}$ | P. sp. CaO1 | Ranomafana, Imaloka |  | F | 27.7 | 12.5 | 6.9 | 1.81 | 2.4 | 0.35 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.63 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 14.3 | 0.52 | 10.8 | 0.39 | 5.9 | 11.6 | 17.5 | 40.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 856 / 2006 \end{aligned}$ | P. sp. Ca01 | Ranomafana, Imaloka |  | F | 31.9 | 12.9 | 7.6 | 1.70 | 3.0 | 0.40 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.55 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 0.21 | 15.3 | 0.48 | 11.8 | 0.37 | 6.4 | 12.3 | 18.7 | 42.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZSM } \\ & 0649 / 2003 \end{aligned}$ | brevipes <br> Plethodontohyla | Ranomafana, National Park |  | - | 32.1 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 1.54 | 2.8 | 0.35 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.79 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 0.22 | 16.8 | 0.52 | 12.2 | 0.38 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 19.2 | 44.9 | 1.40 | 1.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 2605 / 2007 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { brevipes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ranomafana, } \\ \text { Talatakely } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | - | 29.9 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 1.71 | 2.6 | 0.36 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.72 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 0.23 | 15.7 | 0.53 | 12.2 | 0.41 | 6.9 | 11.8 | 18.7 | 42.7 | 1.43 | 1.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 0851 / 2006 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | brevipes <br> Plethodontohyla brevipes | Ranomafana |  | M | 29.9 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 1.53 | 2.4 | 0.33 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.60 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 0.23 | 15.2 | 0.51 | 12.4 | 0.42 | 6.6 | 12.2 | 18.8 | 43.8 | 1.46 | 1.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 0853 / 2006 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { brevipes } \end{gathered}$ | Ranomafana, Ranomena |  | - | 27.5 | 11.1 | 6.9 | 1.60 | 2.8 | 0.41 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 0.74 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 0.21 | 13.9 | 0.51 | 11.3 | 0.41 | 6.3 | 11.4 | 17.7 | 40.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZSM } \\ & 0854 / 2006 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Plethodontohyla brevipes brevipes | Ranomafana, Imaloka |  | - | 32.4 | 13.0 | 8.0 | 1.63 | 2.7 | 0.34 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.70 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 0.22 | 16.0 | 0.49 | 12.2 | 0.38 | 6.7 | 12.1 | 18.8 | 43.2 | 1.34 | 1.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 0852 / 2006 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plethodontohyla } \\ \text { brevipes } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ranomafana |  | M | 29.6 | 11.9 | 7.1 | 1.66 | 2.4 | 0.34 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 0.72 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 0.24 | 16.1 | 0.54 | 12.5 | 0.42 | 6.8 | 13.4 | 20.2 | 45.6 | 1.54 | 1.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ZSM } \\ & 466 / 2005 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Rhombophryne sp. | Andasibe |  | - | 39.9 | 20.1 | 11.9 | 1.70 | 3.4 | 0.29 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 0.75 | 9.3 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 0.23 | 21.7 | 0.54 | 16.2 | 0.41 | 9.2 | 17.8 | 27.0 | 61.0 | 1.53 | 2.0 |
| ZSM 3/2002 | Rhombophryne sp. | Andasibe |  | - | 36.4 | 17.0 | 10.7 | 1.59 | 3.0 | 0.28 | 2.4 |  | 3.5 | 1.9 | 0.63 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 0.19 | 17.4 | 0.48 | 14.8 | 0.41 | 8.5 | 15.7 | 24.2 | 55.4 | 1.52 | 1.8 |
| ZFMK 52765 | Rhombophryne sp | Andasibe |  | M | 2.6 | 18 | 11.0 | 1.71 | 3.4 | 0.31 | 2.2 |  | 3.9 | 1.8 | 0.54 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 0.21 | 20.7 | 0.49 | 17.1 | 0.40 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 26.7 | 62.1 | 1.46 | 2.7 |



Figure 3. Individuals of Plethodontohyla laevis in life, illustrating the diversity of colour patterns from different sites of the species known distribution range: (a) MRSN A6188 from Betampona in dorsolateral and (inset) ventral view; (b) MRSN A6181 from Betampona in dorsolateral view; (c) FAZC 13898 from Betampona in dorsolateral view (Photos by Gonçalo M. Rosa); (d) MRSN A6787 from Anivorano Est in dorsolateral and (inset) ventral view (Photo by Jasmin E. Randrianirina); (e) ZSM 189/2016 from Analalava-Foulpointe in dorsolateral view (Photo by Frank Glaw); (f) individual (not collected) from Ambodiriana in dorsolateral view (Photo by Lauric Reynes).
for Madagascan microhylids (e.g. Scherz et al. 2014, 2015a, b, 2016a, b, 2017). The specimens were scanned individually at 140 kV and $80 \mu \mathrm{~A}$, with a timing of 750 ms , for a total of 20 or 30 minutes ( 1440 or 2440 images respectively). Reconstruction methods were the same as those used previously (see the aforementioned literature, and especially Scherz et al. 2017). Examination of the internal anatomy of the specimens was conducted in VG STUDIO MAX 2.2 (Volume Graphics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). DICOM stacks of the scans and rotational videos are deposited in MorphoSource at the following URL: http://morphosource.org/Detail/ ProjectDetail/Show/project_id/396. Portable document file (PDF)-embedded 3D models of select specimens were produced using AMIRA 6.1 (FEI Visualization

Sciences Group, Burlington, MA), and are provided as digital Suppl. materials 1-6. Osteological terminology follows Trueb $(1968,1973)$.

## Molecular analyses

Ten samples of P. laevis from four different localities (Fig. 3) (seven from Betampona Natural Reserve, one from Marovato, one from Anivorano Est and one from near Analalava-Foulpointe); three samples of $P$. alluaudi (in its new definition but until now referred to as ' $P$. bipuncta$t a$ '; Fig. 4a) from Andohahela (EU341068, Wollenberg et al. 2008), Tsitongambarika (Anosyenne Chain) and Sainte Luce; one sample of $P$. sp. Cal Ranomafana (Ambatolahy, EU341067, Wollenberg et al. 2008; Fig. 4b); three samples of Rhombophryne sp. (formerly assigned to R. alluaudi)


Figure 4. Individuals of (a) Plethodontohyla alluaudi in dorsolateral and (inset) ventral view (ZSM 89/2004, until now referred to as P. bipunctata; from Andohahela); (b) P. sp. Ca01 in dorsolateral view (ZCMV 555; from Ambatolahy); (c) P. brevipes in dorsolateral view (ZSM 649/2003; from Ranomafana); and (d) Rhombophryne sp. (formerly identified as R. alluaudi) in lateral view (ZFMK 52765 from Andasibe) (Photos by Frank Glaw and Miguel Vences).
from Torotorofotsy (ZCMV 968; EU341105, Wollenberg et al. 2008), Andasibe (ZSM 3/2002; AY594112, Andreone et al. 2005) and Tsararano (MRSN A2620; AY594105, Andreone et al. 2005); one sample of all nominal species of the genus Plethodontohyla (with the exception of P. notosticta for which we included sequences from individuals from two localities, one in the North and one in the South) were used in the molecular analyses (see Table 2 for more details). A homologous sequence of $R$. testudo, the type species of the genus Rhombophryne, was also included.

For the newly obtained samples, total genomic DNA was extracted using Proteinase $\mathrm{K}(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ digestion followed by a standard salt-extraction protocol (Bruford et al. 1992). We amplified one mitochondrial gene fragment (rrnL large ribosomal RNA or 16 S rRNA gene) for all newly obtained tissues samples, and one nuclear gene fragment, the pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) gene, for a subset of samples (see details in Table 2). Standard polymerase chain reactions were performed in a final volume of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and using $0.75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ each of 10 pmol primer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of total dNTP 10 mM (Promega), $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $5 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ GoTaq (Promega), $5 \mu 15 \mathrm{X}$ Green GoTaq Reaction Buffer (Promega) and $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 25 \mathrm{mM}$ (Promega). To sequence a fragment of ca. 550 bp of the $3^{\prime}$ terminus of the mitochondrial large ribosomal RNA gene (16S), proven to be suitable for amphibian identification (Vences et al. 2005), we used the primers AC_16S_AR 5'CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT3' and AC_16S_BR 5'CCGGTYTGAACTCAGATCAYGT3' modified from Kocher et al.
(1989) and Palumbi et al. (1991), using standard protocols. To sequence the POMC fragment, we used the primers POMC DRVF1 5'ATATGTCATGASCCAYTTYCGCTGGAA3' and POMC DRVR1 5'GGCRTTYTTGAAWAGAGTCATTAGWGG3' (Vieites et al. 2007) as in Vences et al. (2010). Successfully amplified fragments were purified and sequenced at Macrogen Inc., where labelled fragments were analysed on an ABI 3730XL automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Sequences were compared with GenBank sequences, and chromatographs were visually checked and edited, when necessary, using BIOEDIT 7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999). Gaps were included in the hypervariable regions of the 16 S to account for indels in the final alignment. All newly determined sequences have been deposited in GenBank (MG273701-MG273723; Table 2). Uncorrected pairwise distances ( $p$-distance transformed into percentage using the complete deletion option) amongst individuals of the same species and between ingroup analysed species were computed using MEGA 7.0.21 (Kumar et al. 2016).

Bayesian analyses were conducted in MRBAYES 3.2.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ model was determined by AIC in jModelTest2 (Darriba et al. 2012) as the best-fitting model of substitution. We performed two runs of 10 million generations (started on random trees) and four incrementally heated Markov chains (using default heating values), sampling the Markov chains at intervals of 1,000 generations. Stabilization and convergence of likelihood values were checked by visualizing the $\log$

Table 2. List of samples included for the molecular analyses with their respective localities, voucher and/or field number information, institutional catalogue number (where available) and GenBank accession numbers.

| Sample ID | Taxon | Locality | Field number | Institutional catalogue number | Accession nos. $16 \mathrm{~S}$ | Accession nos. POMC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACP1109 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona | - | MRSN 46340 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HM364769/ } \\ \text { FJ559294 } \end{gathered}$ | MG273712 |
| ACP1901 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona | ACZCV 0066 | ZSM 980/2013 | MG273701 | MG273713 |
| ACP1108 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona | - | MRSN A6189 | MG273702 | MG273714 |
| ACP1107 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona | - | MRSN A6181 | MG273703 | - |
| ACP2196 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Betampona | ACZC 6262 | - | MG273704 | MG273715 |
| ACP2214 | Plethodontohyla Iaevis | Betampona | ACZCV 0268 | - | MG273705 | MG273716 |
| ACP2066 | Plethodontohyla Iaevis | Betampona | ACZC 5923 | - | MG273706 | MG273717 |
| ACP1362 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Marovato | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PBZT-RJS } \\ 2020 \end{gathered}$ | MRSN A6674 | MG273707 | MG273718 |
| ACP3171 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Analalava | FGZC 5239 | ZSM 189/2016 | MG273708 | - |
| ACP1368 | Plethodontohyla laevis | Anivorano Est | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PBZT-RJS } \\ 1830 \end{gathered}$ | MRSN A6787 | MG273709 | MG273719 |
| FGZC161 | Plethodontohyla alluaudi | Andohahela | FGZC 161 | ZSM 89/2004 | EU341068 | MG273720 |
| ACP1056 | Plethodontohyla alluaudi | Tsitongambarika (Anosyenne Chain) | FAZC 15423 | - | MG273710 | MG273721 |
| SE47 | Plethodontohyla alluaudi | Sainte Luce | - | - | MG273711 | - |
| ZCMV555 | Plethodontohyla sp. CaO1 | Ambatolahy | ZCMV 555 | - | EU341067 | MG273722 |
| ZCMV968 | Rhombophryne sp. | Torotorofotsy | ZCMV 968 | - | EU341105 | MG273723 |
| na | Rhombophryne sp. | Tsararano | - | MRSN A2620 | AY594105 | - |
| na | Rhombophryne sp. | Andasibe | - | ZSM 3/2002 | AY594112 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla ocellata | Ambohitsara | ZCMV 88 | UADBA uncatalogued | EU341062 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla brevipes | Maharira | ZCMV 270 | - | EU341063 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla fonetana | Bemaraha | FGZC 917 | ZSM 123/2006 | EU341058 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla guentheri | Marojejy | FGZC 2814 | ZSM 61/2005 | EU341059 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla inguinalis | Vohiparara | - | ZMA 20223 | EU341057 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla mihanika | Ranomafana | ZCMV 308 | UADBA uncatalogued | EU341056 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla notosticta | Nosy Mangabe | ZCMV 2106 | - | EU341061 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla notosticta | Manombo | ZCMV 471 | - | EU341060 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla bipunctata | Tolagnaro | RAX 10726 | - | KM509181 | - |
| na | Plethodontohyla tuberata | Manjakatompo | - | ZSM 375/2000 | EU341064 | - |
| na | Rhombophryne testudo | Nosy Be, Lokobe | - | ZSM 474/2000 | KC180070 | - |
| na | Scaphiophryne marmorata | Andasibe | - | ZSM 4/2002 | AY834191 | - |

likelihoods associated with the posterior distribution of trees in the software TRACER 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2007), and occurred after about 3-3.5 million generations. The first four million generations were conservatively discarded, and six million trees were retained post burn-in and summed to generate the majority rule consensus tree (Fig. 5a). The purpose of the presented phylogenetic analyses is: 1) to show that the four analysed populations of $P$. laevis form a monophyletic group; 2) to show the closest phylogenetic relationship of this species to $P$. alluaudi in its new definition and $P$. sp. Ca01 (which might prove to be conspecific with $P$. alluaudi); and 3) to show that the specimens formely assigned to 'Rhombophryne alluaudi' (from Torotorofotsy, Andasibe, and Tsararano) do not belong to the genus Plethodontohyla, rather than provide a phylogenetic hypothesis of the phylogenetic relationships of Plethodontohyla species and support for the genus monophyly.

Alternative alleles of the analysed POMC gene fragment were inferred using the PHASE algorithm (Stephens et al. 2001) implemented in the software DNASP
5.10.3 (Librado and Rozas 2009). Haplotype network reconstruction of POMC phased sequences (Fig. 5b) was performed using the software TCS 1.21 (Clement et al. 2000). This software employs the method of Templeton et al. (1992) and calculates the number of mutational steps by which pairwise haplotypes differ, computing the probability of parsimony for pairwise differences until the probability exceeds 0.95 (no manual adjustment of threshold was necessary). The minimum number of mutational steps required to connect the two networks obtained using the parsimony method of Templeton et al. (1992) was identified using the 'fix connection limit' option as implemented in TCS.

## Bioacoustic analyses

Vocalizations of $P$. laevis were recorded in the field with a Marantz PMD 660 digital recorder, accessorized with a semi-directional microphone. Calls were successively analysed with the acoustic software ADOBE AUDITION 3.0. Definition of variables and terminology in call descriptions follow Rosa and Andreone (2010), Rosa et


Figure 5. a) Bayesian inference tree based on 529 bp of the mitochondrial 16S. Asterisks denote Bayesian posterior probabilities values: one asterisk enclosed in parentheses, $\geq 90 \%$; one asterisk, $\geq 98 \%$; two asterisks, $\geq 99-100 \%$. b) Haplotype network reconstruction for the phased alleles of the nuclear POMC gene fragment in P. laevis from Betampona, Marovato and Anivorano Est, P. alluaudi from Tsitongambarika and Andohahela, $P$. sp. Ca01 from Ambatolahy and Rhombophryne sp. from Torotorofotsy.
al. (2010, 2011) and Köhler et al. (2017), and calls are compared to described Plethodontohyla vocalizations available in the literature (see Table 3). Recordings were re-sampled at $44,100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 16 bit resolution in mono and with the 'Waveform' extension. Frequency informa-
tion was obtained through Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT, width 1024 points); the audiospectrogram was obtained with a Hanning window function resolution of 256 bands.

Table 3. Comparative measurements from advertisement calls of Plethodontohyla species.

| Species | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Series of notes | Note duration (ms) | Duration of internote intervals (s) | Note repetition rate ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Dominant frequency (Hz) | Visible harmonics | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. laevis | 21 | 1 | 391-422 | 47 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) | 0.04 | 1820-2530 | 11 | this study |
|  |  |  | (407 $\pm 12.7, \mathrm{n}=4$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. alluaudi * | 24 | 1 | 320-560 | unknown | unknown | 1400-2100 | 0 | this study |
|  |  |  | (478 $\pm 109, \mathrm{n}=4$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. inguinalis | 21 | 1 | 133-191 | 0.85-1.15 | 0.9 | 800-1300 | 1 | Vallan et al. (2005) |
|  |  |  | (148 $\pm 18, \mathrm{n}=10$ ) | (1 $\pm 0.085, \mathrm{n}=10$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| P. mihanika | 24.5 | 1 | 150-172 | 4-7.2 | 0.18 | 1900-2200 | 4 | Vences et al. (2003) |
|  |  |  | (159 $\pm 6, \mathrm{n}=12$ ) | ( $5.3 \pm 1, \mathrm{n}=11$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| P. notosticta | unknown | 1 | 280-340 | 2 | 0.43 | 1000 | unknown | Glaw and Vences (1992) |
|  | 19 | 1 | 365-412 | 3.9-5.4 | 0.26 | 930-1330 | 9 | Rosa et al. (2011); this study |
|  |  |  | (391 $\pm 13.3, \mathrm{n}=15$ ) | (3.7 $\pm 0.74, n=14)$ |  |  |  |  |

* due to the low quality of the available recordings not all the parameters were possible to obtain.

Temporal measurements are provided as range, followed by mean, standard deviation and number of analysed units ( n ). We measured air temperature (to the nearest $1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with digital devices at close distance to calling frogs (i.e. temperature information refers to air temperature at the time of recording, not body temperature of the calling specimen). The number of recordings did not allow for temperature corrections.

## Results and discussion

We here present evidence that (1) Dyscophus alluaudi and Phrynocara laeve are both members of the genus Plethodontohyla; (2) Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis is more similar to D. alluaudi than Ph. laevis; (3) the osteology and morphology of the holotypes of $D y$ scophus alluaudi and Phrynocara laeve indicate that they are not conspecific; (4) the species of Rhombophryne currently called $R$. 'alluaudi' from the Andasibe region has no affinity with that species; (5) populations of $P$. sp. Ca3 from Betampona are conspecific with Phrynocara laeve; and (6) populations of a species of Plethodontohyla from southern Madagascar, until now referred to as ' $P$. bipunctata' (ZSM 89/2004) are conspecific with Dyscophus alluaudi. Based on these findings, we resurrect and re-describe Plethodontohyla laevis, we transfer Dyscophus alluaudi to the genus Plethodontohyla and re-describe it.

## Identity of the holotypes of Dyscophus alluaudi, Phrynocara laeve and Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis

We examined the type material of Dyscophus alluaudi, which is currently assigned to the genus Rhombophryne, and its junior synonyms Phrynocara laeve and Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis (depicted in Fig. 2). As we have intimated previously (Scherz et al. 2016a, b), an increasing body of evidence suggests that the name D. alluaudi is misapplied. Our investigation resulted in strong evidence for taxonomic placement of the respective names:
(1) The holotype of Dyscophus alluaudi, MNHN 1901.235 (Fig. 2c, d), is an adult ovigerous female specimen measuring 47.4 mm in SVL (for all other measurements see

Table 1). It has knob-like terminal phalanges, an unossified pubis, tri-radiate prechoanal vomer with the lateral ramus situated at the midpoint, a strongly descending lateral flange of the frontoparietal, a short maxillary facial process, frontoparietals not extending beyond the level of the neopalatine and a well-developed transverse dorsal ridge on the frontoparietal (Fig. 6, see Suppl. material 1). The pectoral girdle has highly reduced clavicles (remaining just as short thin bony elements near the glenoid socket; indicated by arrows in Fig. 6) and a facet near the middle of the anterior edge of the coracoid. The pectoral girdle has been exposed on the specimen, and a thin cartilaginous extension of the procoracoid runs from the anterior glenoid socket to the facet on the leading edge of the coracoid, and then broadens and runs along it to the omosternum (intact only on the left side); the bony remnants of the clavicles are barely discernable through the dissecting microscope, as they are transparent and very thin. A similar condition to that seen in Dyscophus alluaudi was described for the type specimen of Mantipus angeli by Guibé (1974; confirmed by M.D. Scherz, personal observation), which is a synonym of Plethodontohyla tuberata (Peters, 1883). This state was unknown to Scherz et al. (2016a), suggesting the diagnostic value of the 'absence of clavicles' paired with absence of nasal-frontoparietal contact for Plethodontohyla recognition must be refined to include also these reduced lateral elements. The configuration is nevertheless clearly different from Rhombophryne, including the reduced clavicles of species like $R$. mangabensis (M.D. Scherz et al. unpublished data).
(2) The holotype of Phrynocara laeve, SMF 4286 (Fig. 2a, b), is probably also an adult female (with developing eggs), measuring 38.1 mm in SVL (for all other measurements see Table 1). It lacks clavicles, has knob-like terminal phalanges, an unossified pubis, a tri-radiate prechoanal vomer with the lateral ramus displaced anteriorly, lateral flange of frontoparietal not descending strongly, a short maxillary facial process, frontoparietals extending beyond the level of the neopalatine and a well-developed if discontinuous transverse dorsal ridge on the frontoparietal (Fig. 6, Suppl. material 2). It differs strongly from MNHN 1901.235 in its smaller size (SVL 38.1 vs. 47.4 mm ), much narrower head (HW/HL 1.56 vs. 1.85), longer


Figure 6. Skull and pectoral girdle morphology of Plethodontohyla alluaudi and P. laevis. Asterisks indicate the holotypes of each species. Arrows on the pectoral girdle of P. alluaudi MNHN 1901.235 indicate the clavicles. Scale bars indicate 1 mm .
relative forelimb length (FORL/SVL 0.56 vs. 0.47 ), longer relative hindlimb length (HIL/SVL 1.43 vs. 1.25), the absence of large dark inguinal spots ( $v s$. presence), lateral ramus of the prechoanal vomer displaced anteriorly (vs. central), frontoparietals exceeding the level of the neopalatine (vs. not exceeding the neopalatine) and the weakly descending lateral flange of the frontoparietal (vs. strongly). The pectoral girdle is similar to MNHN 1901.235, but has also been damaged, obscuring the state-it nevertheless lacks the ossified clavicles of that specimen. We therefore conclude that it is not a synonym of $D$. alluaudi, but instead a valid species in need of resurrection. Its osteology also suggests that it is a member of the genus Plethodontohyla, on the basis of the absence of clavicles and lack of nasal-frontoparietal contact.
(3) The type specimen of Plethodontohyla laevis tsianovohensis, MNHN 1936.47 (Fig. 2e, f), is also an adult, ovigerous female measuring 58.0 mm in SVL (for all other measurements see Table 1). It lacks clavicles, but has the same cartilaginous pectoral arrangement as the holotype of D. alluaudi, but on the left side it has been damaged so that a second pectoral fenestra is formed medial to the anterior facet of the coracoid, where it ought not to be. In addition, it has knob-like terminal phalanges, an ossified pubis, an almost crescentic prechoanal vomer with a weak lateral ramus, a strongly descending lateral flange of the frontoparietal, a long maxillary facial process, frontoparietals not extending beyond the level of the neopalatine and a well-developed transverse dorsal ridge on the frontoparietal (Fig. 6, Suppl. material 3). Its affiliations are not quite clear; it is larger in size than either D. alluaudi or Ph. laeve (SVL 58.0 vs. 47.4 and 38.1 mm , respectively), its arms are longer than those of $D$. alluaudi but shorter than those of Ph. laeve (FORL/ SVL 0.53 vs. 0.47 and 0.56 , respectively), it has longer legs than both (HIL/SVL $1.47 \mathrm{vs}$.1.25 and 1.43 , respectively), its tympanum is broader than both (TD/ED $0.58 v s .0 .53$ and 0.40 , respectively), and its osteology shares some elements with either species and differs from both in others (e.g. the length of the facial process). Overall, the skeleton and external morphology more closely resembles that of MNHN 1901.235, and we therefore tentatively conclude that P. $l$. tsianovohensis should be left in the synonymy of $D$. alluaudi. However, we emphasise that the D. alluaudi and P. l. tsianovohensis type specimens do not agree in all aspects of their morphology, and their type localities are separated by at least 300 km (Fig. 1), so this taxon may eventually be recognized as a valid, species-level name (possibly it may represent $P$. sp. Ca01, whose osteology has not been studied here, but see below). It must therefore be re-visited in future treatments of the taxonomy of the $P$. alluaudi complex. In any case, it is the most junior of the available names, and its identity can remain unresolved for the time being.

## Identity of recently collected specimens

As a next step, we analysed the osteology and morphology of three more recently collected specimens: (1) ZSM 3/2002, a specimen from Andasibe of the species currently referred to as 'Rhombophryne alluaudi' following

Blommers-Schlösser (1975) and Glaw and Vences (1992); (2) MRSN A6340, a specimen of a species of Plethodontohyla collected from near the potential type locality of Phrynocara laeve that agrees strongly with the original description of that species; and (3) ZSM 89/2004, a specimen of a species of Plethodontohyla collected in Andohahela, near to the type locality of Dyscophus alluaudi.
(1) ZSM 3/2002 is genetically a member of the genus Rhombophryne (Figs 4d, 5a). Osteologically, it resembles other published and unpublished Rhombophryne skeletons (Scherz et al. 2014, 2015a, b, 2016a, b, 2017, unpublished data) and it differs unambiguously from the holotype of Dyscophus alluaudi: it has fully developed clavicles (vs. rudimentary clavicles present in the holotype of D. alluaudi), two independent dorsal processes on the frontoparietal (rather than a more or less continuous ridge) and a fused presacral VIII and sacrum (Suppl. material 4). Additionally, it lacks inguinal spots and any trace of the pattern originally described for Dyscophus alluaudi. Thus, it is clear that the taxon Dyscophus alluaudi is currently misapplied. Based on its molecular phylogenetic identity (Table 2; Fig. 5a), as well as the presence of curved clavicles and knobbed terminal phalanges, this species is a member of the genus Rhombophryne. It does not match any other described species of Rhombophryne, and will therefore be described in a forthcoming revision of that genus.
(2) MRSN A6340 is a specimen of Plethodontohyla collected at Betampona and genetically similar to other specimens collected at Marovato, Anivorano Est and Analalava-Foulpointe (Table 2; Fig. 5). It is an adult male (collected when calling), measuring 33.0 mm in SVL (for all other measurements see Table 1). It lacks clavicles, has knob-like terminal phalanges, a mostly unossified pubis, a tri-radiate vomer with a lateral ramus displaced anteriorly, lateral flange of frontoparietal not descending strongly, a moderately short maxillary facial process, frontoparietals extending beyond the level of the neopalatine and a well-developed transverse dorsal ridge on the frontoparietal (Fig. 6, Suppl. material 5). In all of these respects, it strongly resembles the osteology of the holotype of Phrynocara laeve. Its external morphology also resembles that species, though it differs somewhat in ratios (but note the variability of measurements shown in Table 1). It differs clearly from Dyscophus alluaudi and Pl. laevis tsianovohensis on the same grounds given above from Ph. laeve, i.e. the absence of large dark inguinal spots (vs. presence in D. alluaudi), lateral ramus of prechoanal vomer displaced anteriorly (vs. central), frontoparietal exceeding the level of the neopalatine ( $v s$. not exceeding the neopalatine) and the weakly descending lateral flange of the frontoparietal ( $v s$. strongly). We therefore conclude that this species is assignable to Plethodontohyla laevis, distinct from Plethodontohyla alluaudi, and we resurrect and re-describe it below based on data from the holotype and our new material.
(3) ZSM 89/2004 is a specimen collected in Andohahela and genetically belonging to the genus Plethodontohyla (Table 2; Figs 4a, 5). This specimen is molecularly
similar to other specimens collected at Tsitongambarika and Sainte Luce and moderately similar to the sequence of a specimen collected at Ambatolahy (Fig. 5). ZSM 89/2004 has close genetic affinities to the specimens that we here confer to $P$. alluaudi, representing a closely related clade (see Fig. 5a). Osteologically, it differs from the holotype of Phrynocara laeve (and other specimens conferred to that taxon) in the following respects: lateral ramus of prechoanal vomer central ( vs . displaced anteriorly), and lateral flange of frontoparietal descending strongly ( $v s$. not descending strongly). Its coracoids show distinct facets for the attachment of cartilage, more strongly developed than in P. laevis. By comparison, it differs from Dyscophus alluaudi in the narrower skull, frontoparietals exceeding the level of the neopalatine, absence of clavicle remnants and the proportions of some skull elements (compare the skulls in Fig. 6, Suppl. material 6). However, we hypothesise that these differences between this specimen and the holotype of Dyscophus alluaudi are due to the considerable difference in body size (SVL 29.1 vs .47 .4 mm ) and that the proportions of the skull and its ossification are correlates of age and size. The differences to Phrynocara laeve appear more substantial, despite the similarity in size. Both ZSM 89/2004 and UADBA-A 27994 (FGZC 160) possess inguinal spots and agree in external morphology with Dyscophus alluaudi. We therefore attribute these populations from southern Madagascar to Plethodontohyla alluaudi, and we re-describe this species below.

## Remarks on the identity of $\boldsymbol{P}$. sp. Ca01 and $\boldsymbol{P}$. brevipes

Fig. 4b, c
We note that the specimen representing $P$. sp. Ca01 (ZCMV 555) from Ambatolahy in eastern Madagascar $\left(21^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 37.92^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 047^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34.38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ is genetically very similar to the samples attributed to $P$. alluaudi and phylogenetically represents the sister taxon of the specimens here attributed to $P$. alluaudi. A picture of a specimen of $P$. sp. Ca01, ZCMV 555 (or 556, a second not yet sequenced individual) was depicted as Plethodontohyla brevipes on page 125 of Glaw and Vences (2007b) and in Fig. 1 of Scherz et al. (2016a). Two additional specimens belonging to this taxon are currently present in the ZSM collection: ZSM 855/2006 and ZSM 856/2006. Elements of the overall appearance of specimens ZCMV 555 (based on the photograph) and ZSM 855/2006 and ZSM 856/2006 disagree with the description of that species, most notably by the presence of a distinct marking over the back of the head (originally described as 'uniform dark brown above'). In contrast, they bear a remarkable resemblance to $P$. alluaudi and $P$. laevis. These specimens may therefore be closely related to the holotype of $P$. laevis tsianovohensis, which is from an area comparatively near to Ambatolahy. Nevertheless, the taxonomic status of $P$. sp. Ca 01 , and also the relationships of Plethodontohyla brevipes based on its holotype (BMNH 1947.2.10.42), clearly need to be revised. This is however beyond the scope of
the current study, and must be conducted in the context of a larger revision of the genus. In the diagnoses against $P$. brevipes presented for the following re-descriptions, we included only measurements from specimens that resemble the original description in having uniformly brown dorsal colouration and slightly granular dorsal skin.

## Molecular analyses

Among representatives of the genus Plethodontohyla, the mean uncorrected $p$-distance (for the 16 S fragment) of P. laevis varies between $5.5 \%$ (comparison with $P$. sp. Ca 01 which may be conspecific with $P$. alluaudi) and 11.5\% (comparison with P. guentheri and with P. brevipes). Our data also reveal some genetic differentiation between the four known populations of P. laevis, with an intraspecific mean uncorrected $p$-distance of $1.1 \%$ (Table 4). For other intraspecific comparisons and comparisons with other Plethodontohyla species see Table 4.

The two Bayesian analyses resulted in largely identical trees, with only minor changes in posterior probability values, and showed that $P$. laevis from the four analysed localities forms a robust monophyletic group (posterior probability [PP] 0.99). Our analyses recovered a moderately supported sister relationship (PP 0.94) for P. laevis and the clade composed of $P$. alluaudi in its new definition and $P$. sp. Ca01 from Ambatolahy (Fig. 5a). The mean uncorrected $p$-distance of $P$. sp. Ca 01 and $P$. alluaudi is $2.9 \%$ and these taxa might indeed represent two populations of the same species. Similarly, the three specimens from Torotorofotsy, Andasibe and Tsararano belonging to the genus Rhombophryne apparently are the same taxon (mean uncorrected $p$-distance $0.7 \%$; PP 1.0), although a more extensive phylogenetic analysis of Rhombophryne will be required to further confirm this result.

The haplotype network reconstruction of the nuclear POMC gene (Fig. 5b) shows no haplotype sharing between Plethodontohyla laevis (from Betampona, Marovato and Anivorano Est) and P. alluaudi. Wide haplotype sharing is observed between the three analysed populations of P. laevis used in this analysis, with at least two haplotypes (haplotype H 1 and H 2 ; Fig. 5b) found in all three populations; and haplotype sharing is observed also between P. alluaudi from Andohahela and P. sp. Ca01 from Ambatolahy (haplotype H7; Fig. 5b).

The analysis of haplotype network reconstruction fails to recover a single statistically significant haplotype network for the analysed dataset that comprises representative samples of $P$. laevis from three localities, $P$. alluaudi from two localities, $P$. sp. Ca01 and the Rhombophryne species from Torotorofotsy, and a minimum of 18 substitutions are required to join these two haplotype networks (see Fig. 5b for details).

## Plethodontohyla laevis (Boettger, 1913), bona species

Figs 2a, b, 3, 6-8, Suppl. materials 2, 5
Remarks. This species has been referred to as Plethodontohyla sp. 3 'Betampona' by Vieites et al. (2009), Pletho-

Table 4. Estimates of evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within- (bold) and between-species for the analysed 16S rRNA mitochondrial gene fragment. The number of base differences per site averaged over all sequence pairs within and between groups are shown. The analysis involved 28 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 300 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). The presence of $n / \mathrm{c}$ (not computed) in the results denotes cases in which it was not possible to estimate evolutionary distances. ' $R$. sp.' refers to the undescribed Rhombophryne species formerly assigned to R. alluaudi.

|  | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { alluaudi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P. sp. } \\ & \mathrm{CaO1} \end{aligned}$ | P.laevis | R. sp. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { P. } \\ \text { ocellata } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline P . \\ \text { brevipes } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { P. } \\ \text { fonetana } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { guentheri } \end{gathered}$ | $P$. inguinalis | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { mihanika } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { P. } \\ \text { notosticta } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $P$. bipunctata | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { tuberata } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R . \\ \text { testudo } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. alluaudi | 0.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. sp. CaO1 | 2.9\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. laevis | 5.8\% | 5.5\% | 1.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R. sp. | 12.4\% | 12.1\% | 12.6\% | 0.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. ocellata | 9.0\% | 9.3\% | 9.9\% | 9.4\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. brevipes | 10.4\% | 10.7\% | 11.5\% | 9.4\% | 5.0\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. fonetana | 10.4\% | 10.0\% | 9.9\% | 9.0\% | 9.3\% | 11.3\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.guentheri | 11.1\% | 10.0\% | 11.5\% | 10.3\% | 11.0\% | 11.7\% | 7.7\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. inguinalis | 9.9\% | 9.3\% | 9.6\% | 8.1\% | 7.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.3\% | 8.3\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |  |
| P.mihanika | 9.3\% | 8.3\% | 7.0\% | 10.4\% | 9.7\% | 11.7\% | 7.7\% | 10.7\% | 9.0\% | n/c |  |  |  |  |
| P. notosticta | 11.6\% | 10.8\% | 10.4\% | 10.6\% | 10.5\% | 10.5\% | 9.8\% | 10.3\% | 9.5\% | 9.7\% | 0.6\% |  |  |  |
| P. bipunctata | 9.4\% | 9.3\% | 9.5\% | 9.8\% | 2.3\% | 3.70\% | 9.7\% | 10.7\% | 6.7\% | 10.0\% | 9.5\% | n/c |  |  |
| P. tuberata | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 7.2\% | 10.8\% | 8.7\% | 10.0\% | 9.7\% | 10.0\% | 8.7\% | 8.3\% | 10.7\% | 9.0\% | n/c |  |
| R. testudo | 12.8\% | 14.0\% | 14.2\% | 8.6\% | 11.3\% | 11.70\% | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 9.3\% | 12.3\% | 12.3\% | 10.3\% | 11.3\% | n/c |

dontohyla sp. aff. brevipes [Ca FJ559294] by Rosa et al. (2011, 2012) and Plethodontohyla sp. Ca03 Betampona by Scherz et al. (2016a). Blommers-Schlösser (1975) referred to a male specimen from 'near Tampoketsa d'Ankazobe' (approx. $18^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 05.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 047^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 42.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, Fig. 1) as $P$. laevis. This locality from the central highlands is indeed closer to the distribution range of $P$. laevis than to $P$. alluaudi (as refined here), but we consider this record uncertain until the specimen (ZMA 6688) has been examined.

Resurrection of Plethodontohyla laevis. As we have shown above, several osteological and morphological characters exist to distinguish the holotypes of Dyscophus alluaudi and Phrynocara laeve. Osteological characters suggest that both taxa are members of the genus Plethodontohyla. Specimens recently collected in Betampona Special Reserve closely match the morphology, osteology and appearance of the holotype of Phrynocara laeve. A village named Sakana (in the Vavatenina commune, Toamasina Province) was located by using Google Earth roughly 70 km North of Betampona ( $17^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 00.00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$, $049^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 59.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), and we suspect that this may have been the forest to which Boettger was referring in the original description (Boettger 1913). The newly collected material in Betampona, Marovato, Anivorano Est and Analalava-Foulpointe is genetically and morphologically relatively uniform and it is distinct both from Plethodontohyla alluaudi (in its new definition), and from all other nominal Plethodontohyla species. We therefore resurrect Plethodontohyla laevis (Boettger, 1913) from the synonymy of $P$. alluaudi and we provide a re-description of it based on the re-examination of its holotype (including its osteology via micro-CT scanning), and examination of additional, newly collected material.

Holotype. SMF 4286, an adult female collected by A. Voeltzkow in 1905 in Sakana, East Madagascar.

Referred material. Adult male (ethanol-fixed, DNA sequenced and included in Rosa et al. 2012: Accession number HM364769) MRSN A6340 (FAZC 13902), collected by G.M. Rosa and J. Noël on 18 November 2007 at Betampona Nature Reserve, campsite Maintimbato ( $17^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 35.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 41.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 283 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.), Toamasina Province, eastern Madagascar. MRSN A6189 (FAZC 13643), adult female (ethanol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by G.M. Rosa and J. Noël on 21 February 2007 at Betampona Reserve campsite Maintimbato ( $17^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 36.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 37.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 295 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.); MRSN A6181 (FAZC 13494), adult female (etha-nol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by G.M. Rosa and J. Noël on 4 February 2007 at Betampona Reserve, Piste Principal $\left(17^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 40.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 355 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ a.s.1.); ZSM 980/2013 (ACZCV 0066), adult male (eth-anol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by A. Crottini, D. Salvi, E. Scanarini and J.H. Velo on the morning of 9 November 2013 at Betampona Nature Reserve, campsite Sahaïndrana ( $17^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 55.50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 02.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 327 m a.s.1.); UADBA uncatalogued (ACZCV 0268), adult unsexed (ethanol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by A. Crottini, D. Salvi, E. Scanarini, F. Andreone, S. Faravelli, J. Noël and Georges on the evening of 20 November 2013 at Betampona Nature Reserve, campsite Sahabefoza ( $17^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 54.82^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 32.31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 349 m a.s.l.); MRSN A6674 (PBZT-RJS 2020), adult female (ethanol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by J.E. Randrianirina on 17 October 2008 at Marovato ( $18^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 09.60^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 048^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 19.80^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 692 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1.); MRSN A6787 (PBZT-RJS 1830), adult male (ethanol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by J.E. Randrianirina on 12


Figure 7. The skeleton of Plethodontohyla laevis (SMF 4286) rendered via micro-CT scanning. (a-b) Full skeleton in (a) dorsal and (b) ventral view. (c-e) Skull in (c) dorsal, (d) ventral and (e) lateral view. Abbreviations: angspl, angulosplenial; col, columella; exoc, exoccipital; exoc.oc, occipital condyle of exoccipital; fpar, frontoparietal; fpardop, frontoparietal dorsal process; max, maxilla; max.pf, pars facialis of maxilla; max.parspal, pars palatina of maxilla; mmk, mentomeckelian; npl, neopalatine; pmax, premaxilla; povom, postchoanal vomer; proot, prootic; prsph, parasphenoid; prsph.al, alary process of parasphenoid; prvom, prechoanal vomer; pter, pterygoid; pter.mr, medial ramus of pterygoid; pter.vr, ventral ramus of pterygoid; qi, quadratojugal; qj.pvp, posteroventral process of quadratojugal; smax, septomaxilla; sq.or, otic ramus of squamosal; sq.vr, ventral ramus of squamosal; sq.zr, zygotic ramus of squamosal; spheth, sphenethmoid. For a 3D rotational model, see Suppl. material 2.

October 2008 at Anivorano Est, village d'Ambalatenina, Forêt d'Andrarihitra ( $18^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 56.94$ "S, $048^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 07.20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 270 m a.s.l.); ZSM 189/2016 (FGZC 5239), juvenile (eth-anol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by F. Glaw, D. Prötzel and L. Randriamanana, on 1st January 2016 near Analalava-Foulpointe ( $17^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 25.39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35.59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ca. 30 m a.s.l.).

Diagnosis (see also Tables 1, 5 and Figs 2-3, 6-8). A microhylid belonging to the subfamily Cophylinae, with connected lateral metatarsalia, short hindlimbs, tibiotarsal articulation not exceeding the nostril, inner metatarsal tubercle present, vomerine teeth present, clavicle absent, knob-shaped terminal phalanges, and males with a single subgular vocal sac; therefore attributed to the genus Plethodontohyla (see Appendix A of Scherz et al. 2016a). The attribution to the genus Plethodontohyla is also supported by molecular phylogenetic evidence from newly collected material (see Fig. 5). The species is characterised by the following suite of characters: (1) moderately large size (male SVL 33.0-40.3 mm; female SVL 36.8-
42.2 mm ); (2) HW/HL 1.41-1.79; (3) FORL/SVL 0.500.57; (4) HIL/SVL 1.24-1.58; (5) TIBL/SVL 0.36-0.40; (6) rounded snout tip; (7) toe tips not enlarged; (8) finger tips not enlarged; (9) knob-shaped terminal phalanges of the fingers and toes; (10) smooth dorsal skin; (11) absence of a distinct dorsolateral colour border, (12) presence of a supratympanic dermal fold; (13) presence of a typically bold and generally white-bordered brown ' X ' marking on head; (14) tibiotarsal articulation reaching at least the tympanum and (15) TD/ED 0.33-0.52. Furthermore, the species is separated from all nominal taxa in this genus by an uncorrected pairwise distance of at least $5.5 \%$ in the sequenced 16 S fragment (comparison with $P$. alluaudi in its new definition and $P$. sp. Ca01).

Plethodontohyla laevis may be distinguished from other members of the genus Plethodontohyla as follows: from P. inguinalis, P. notosticta, P. guentheri, P. mihani$k a$ and $P$. fonetana by non-expanded terminal digits ( $v s$. moderately to strongly expanded) and by its knob-shaped terminal phalanges of the fingers and toes (vs. T- or Y-shaped) and from all these species except $P$. fonetana
by the absence of a dorsolateral colour border (present in all of these species but only some specimens of $P$. inguinalis). It also differs from P. notosticta, P. guentheri and $P$. mihanika by having a rounded snout tip (vs. generally pointed); from P. ocellata, P. bipunctata, P. brevipes, P. inguinalis and P. tuberata by smooth skin ( $v s$. granular or tubercular); from P. inguinalis, $P$. notosticta, $P$. guentheri and P. mihanika by the presence of a supratympanic fold running from the posterior border of the eye backward until the forelimb ( $v s$. absence); from all species of Plethodontohyla except $P$. alluaudi and $P$. sp. Ca 01 by the presence of a bold, mostly white-bordered ' X ' marking (see Fig. 3 for its variation) on the head ( $v s$. absence); from P. tuberata, P. bipunctata, P. brevipes and P. mihanika by a tibiotarsal articulation reaching at least the tympanum (vs. reaching the insertion of the arms or going beyond the tip of snout in $P$. mihanika); and from $P$. ocellata, P. bipunctata, P. fonetana and most individuals of $P$. brevipes by lacking two symmetrical and concave thin dorsal folds ( $v s$. presence).

Plethodontohyla alluaudi (as newly circumscribed) and $P$. sp. Ca01 are morphologically the most similar species to $P$. laevis (see also Figs 3-4). For distinction from $P$. alluaudi, see the re-description of that species, below. Plethodontohyla laevis differs from P. sp. Ca01 by larger body size (SVL 33.0-42.2 vs. 27.7-31.9 mm) and smaller tympanum (TD/ED $0.33-0.52$ vs. $0.55-0.63$ ).

Plethodontohyla laevis also resembles Rhombophryne botabota, R. laevipes, R. mangabensis and R. savaka in external morphology and in some aspects of its colouration. It may be distinguished from all species by the presence of a bold, mostly white-bordered ' X ' marking on the head ( $v s$. absence), but additionally it may be distinguished from all four of these species by the absence of clavicles; from $R$. laevipes by its smaller size (SVL $36.8-42.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $44.5-56.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), much shorter leg length (HIL/SVL 1.24-1.58 vs. 1.75-1.86) and absence of white ocelli in the inguinal region (vs. presence); from R. laevipes and $R$. mangabensis by its smaller tympanum (TD/ED 0.33-0.52 vs. 0.57-0.73); from R. botabota, $R$. mangabensis and $R$. savaka by its larger size (SVL 36.842.2 mm vs. 20.4-32.2 mm); from $R$. savaka by its slightly narrower head (HW/HL 1.41-1.79 vs. 1.80), longer relative forelimb length (FORL/SVL 0.50-0.57 vs. 0.43), raised supratympanic fold (vs. not raised) and absence of a diastema in the vomerine teeth ( $v s$. presence); and from R. botabota and $R$. mangabensis by generally shorter relative tibia length (TIBL/SVL 0.36-40 vs. 0.38-0.45).

Re-description of the holotype (SMF 4286). Specimen in relatively good state of preservation (Fig. 2a, b). Right forelimb fractured (Fig. 7a, b). Ventrally slit down the midline of the whole body. SVL 38.1 mm (for other measurements, see Table 1). Body moderately enlarged and flattened dorsoventrally; head much wider than long and almost as wide as body; snout rounded in dorsal and lateral view; nostrils directed laterally, slightly protuberant, nearer to tip of snout than to eye; canthus rostralis
distinct, concave; loreal region concave; tympanum indistinct, rounded, roughly $40 \%$ of eye diameter; supratympanic fold from eye to shoulder distinct and straight; tongue ovoid, very broad, posteriorly free and slightly notched; maxillary teeth present; vomerine teeth distinct, forming oblique transverse rows posterior to choanae, laterally approaching the maxillae and medially almost in contralateral contact; choanae ovoid. Arms robust, fingers bearing marked single subarticular tubercles and hands bearing indistinct paired outer metacarpal tubercles; large, slightly protruding inner metacarpal tubercle; fingers without webbing; relative length of fingers $1<2=4<3$, fourth finger roughly equal in length to second; finger disks not enlarged; nuptial pads absent. Hindlimbs robust; tibiotarsal articulation reaching the tympanum when hindlimb adpressed along body; tibia length $38.8 \%$ of SVL; lateral metatarsalia connected; distinct inner and less distinct outer metatarsal tubercles present; only traces of webbing between toes; relative length of toes $1<2<5<3<4$; third toe distinctly longer than fifth. Skin on dorsum and venter smooth; supratympanic fold whitish. Colour of iris indistinguishable.

Colouration. After more than a century in preservative (holotype collected in September 1904) colouration is faded. Dorsum light brown with darker brown-black spots, markings and presence of a bold X-shaped marking bordered with a white line on the head behind the eye. Colouration of the proximal dorsal portion of the hindlimbs mottled with dark brown markings on a cream background colour; the same colouration extends into the inguinal region. Colouration of the distal dorsal portion of the hindlimbs light brown with faint brown crossbands. Sides of head and tympanic region brownish, with darkener flecks. Ventral skin markedly pigmented: throat and chest mottled brown and cream, abdomen and ventral legs cream, becoming increasingly mottled with faint brown distally. The colouration in life of this specimen is not known.

Osteology. In the following, we describe notable and important diagnostic characters of Plethodontohyla laevis based on SMF 4286 (Figs 2, 6-7) and the newly collected specimen MRSN A6340 (Fig. 8). PDF-embedded 3D models of these skeletons are provided as Suppl. materials 2 and 5.

Right humerus fractured in SMF 4286. Skeleton of SMF 4286 relatively poorly ossified, such that the carpals, knee and heel joints and pubis are not visible in the mi-cro-CT scans. The skeleton of MRSN A6340 is comparatively well ossified. Vomerine teeth anteriorly convex, long, occupying the whole postchoanal vomer, separated medially by a small gap. Palatine processes of premaxilla subequal in width and length. Prechoanal vomer flat and triradiate, the lateral ramus closer to the anterior end. Premaxilla and maxilla bearing teeth. Nasals large, broad, not in contact with other bones. Sphenethmoid only laterally ossified. Extensive calcification present inside the braincase of MRSN A6340. Posterior ramus of pterygoid


Figure 8. Audiospectrogram and corresponding oscillogram of the advertisement call of Plethodontohyla laevis recorded at Betampona Strict Nature Reserve on 18 November 2007 $\left(22: 30 \mathrm{~h}, 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). Calling male MRSN A6340 (top).
extremely long and broad. Transverse ridge across posterior of frontoparietals. Weakly descending lateral flange of frontoparietal. Clavicle absent. Coracoids with weak notches for the attachment of the procoracoid cartilage. Cleithrum broad. Terminal phalanges of fingers knobbed. Finger phalangeal formula 2-2-3-3. Neural spines present on presacrals 2 and 3. Sacrum thin. Iliosacral articulation type IIA/B sensu Emerson (1979). Urostyle bearing a strong, straight dorsal ridge for almost its entire length; articulation bicondylar. Iliac shafts bearing weak dorsal crests; possessing a shallow oblique groove and lacking a dorsal tubercle. Leg bones lacking crests. Toe phalangeal formula 2-2-3-4-3.

Colouration in life based on recently collected material. The colouration in life is remarkably variable. Dorsal colouration is a range of brown tones, while ventral colouration contains elements of grey, black and beige. The most consistent element is a more or less bold, X-shaped marking on the back of the head, with the anterior arms of the X reaching onto the posterior of each eye, and the posterior arms reaching posteriorly to either join the dorsal pattern (typically fading) or remaining clearly distinct in the suprascapular region. This distinctive marking is present in the dorsal colouration also in juveniles (B. Ferrier, personal communication) and is clear in most adults, though it can sometimes be faded and not clearly distinct from the rest of the dorsal colouration. Posterior to this ' X ', the
dorsum is typically dark brown mottled with black, with generally clearly defined, subsymmetrical light brown markings distributed over the dorsum. In some specimens there are also black spots on the flanks. The lateral head is typically light brown (the colour of the dorsal markings), the supratympanic fold somewhat lighter and the tympanum containing a dark spot. In the inguinal region, black spots and light brown spots merge to form lines, but do not form a clearly defined, single black spot as in e.g. P. alluaudi. The dorsal thigh is mottled black with light brown, semi-regular spots, or with moderately defined dark and light crossbands, whereas the dorsal shank is a murky brown with irregular light brown spots or light brown with dark crossbands. The dorsal forelimb is as the shank. The ventral body is beige in base colour, with irregular dark brown mottling over the chin, which can be totally black in males, becoming less regular posteriorly over the abdomen and being free of maculations over the hip. The ventral shank is mostly beige, sometimes with dark spots. A light brown annulus is present before the tip of each finger.

Variation. Morphometric variation is given in Table 1. No remarkable variation in general morphology exists between the holotype and the newly collected material, except that the second finger is shorter than the fourth in newly collected specimens. Colouration variation has been discussed in the above section, and is merely more vivid and distinct in the new material than the holotype. Females lack the single subgular vocal sac that can be highly extensible (Fig. 8). MRSN A6189 and MRSN A6181 are slightly less pigmented than MRSN A6340 and the colouration of the proximal dorsal portion of the hindlimbs (mottled with black markings on a cream background colour) extends forward to lateral midbody and backwards to the tibiotarsal articulation on the ventral side of the hindlimb.

Natural history. Little information is available on this species. The eight new specimens were found active on the ground during the day or during the night, and this species was also found moderately active in dry conditions (after several days of no rainfall). In November 2013 one individual (not collected) was encountered along a drift fence during a rainy night. ZSM 980/2013 was encountered hidden in the leaf litter at the base of a Ravenala madagascariensis and ZSM 189/2016 was active in the leaf litter during the day. No information on the reproductive biology of this species is currently known. In 2007, a group of males were heard calling during rainfall. Individuals were calling from within the leaf litter or at the opening of a burrow, into which they can easily disappear upon detection. The holotype has a nearly intact millipede in its stomach (see Fig. 7). This is the only diet record currently available for this species.

Distribution and conservation status. Plethodontohyla laevis is known from (1) the type locality Sakana (whence no recent records for the species are known), (2) Betam-
pona Natural Reserve, (3) Marovato, (4) Anivorano Est, (5) Analalava-Foulpointe and (6) the Réserve Privée (RP) d'Ambodiriana (only photographic records are available from this locality) (Figs 1-3). All these sites are distributed at low altitudes in the central- to North-East of Madagascar. Altitudinal distributional range reaches from sea level to ca. 700 m a.s.1.. Due to the severe habitat degradation of the lowland rainforest in the northeast of Madagascar it is likely that the species is locally extinct at its type locality. Surveys in Zahamena Natural Reserve so far failed to report this species, but a more thorough investigation of the area is required to confirm the presence or absence of this species in that area. As noted above, the record of the species from the central highland (Ankazobe) is dubious and must be confirmed by further fieldwork in this area and/or examination of the material cited by Blommers-Schlösser (1975).

The species occurs at least in two protected areas, where it seems to be a relatively abundant although it is a species with secretive habits. Nevertheless, its distribution is highly fragmented, its extent of occurrence is quite limited ( minimum convex polygon $=9770.92 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and it is threatened by on-going habitat destruction. These factors (range under $20,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, severely fragmented distribution and on-going habitat destruction) qualify $P$. laevis as Vulnerable under criterion B1ab(iii) of the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2012).

Acoustic repertoire. Advertisement calls were recorded from a single male (MRSN A6340) at Betampona (Maintimbato: $17^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 35.50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 049^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 41.30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 283 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.) on 18 November 2007, at 22:30 h at an air temperature of $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Rosa et al. 2011, track \#50) (Fig. 8, Table 3). Each call consisted of a single loud note repeated after long, regular intervals, starting as unharmonious sound, but being tonal for most of its duration. They were slightly fre-quency-modulated in their tonal part and lasted 391-422 $\mathrm{ms}(407 \pm 12.7, \mathrm{n}=4)$. We recorded one inter-call interval of 47 s . The fundamental frequency of the tonal part was $0.89-1.40 \mathrm{kHz}$ with a dominant frequency band (frequency containing the greatest sound energy) between $1.82-$ 2.53 kHz . Up to 10 harmonics were visible on the spectrogram and no attenuation of any of the harmonics was observed. The call of Plethodontohyla laevis is overall quite similar to the other species of this genus, and also members of the genus Rhombophryne (e.g. Lambert et al. 2017), but seems to have a lower repetition rate. Although we recorded only one of these long inter-call intervals, the recording was made on a rainy night, with more males calling simultaneously, all with long inter-call intervals.

## Plethodontohyla alluaudi (Mocquard, 1901)

Figs 2c, d, 4a, 6, 9, Suppl. materials 1, 3, 6
Remarks. Sequences of this species have been referred to as Plethodontohyla bipunctata Andohahela by Wollenberg et al. (2008), Vieites et al. (2009), Perl et al.
(2014) and Scherz et al. (2016a). Blommers-Schlösser (1975) referred to a specimen from Ampasinambo ( $20^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 25.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 048^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 13.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) as P. brevipes, but later corrected this to P. alluaudi (Blommers-Schlösser and Blanc 1991). This locality is between the distributions of P. alluaudi (as refined here) and P. laevis, and we therefore consider this record uncertain until the specimen (ZMA 6689) has been re-examined.

Identity and redefinition. The original description of Dyscophus alluaudi is based on a single specimen of 47.4 mm SVL from the generic locality 'Fort Dauphin'. After the examination and comparison of the type material with recently collected material in south-eastern Madagascar close to the type locality of Dyscophus alluaudi, we here reassign this species to the genus Plethodontohyla. We therefore re-describe and redefine Plethodontohyla alluaudi based on the holotype (including its osteology via micro-CT scanning), on the holotype of $P$. laevis tsianovohensis and the recently collected material from Andohahela, Tsitongambarika and Sainte Luce.

Holotype. MNHN 1901.235, an adult female collected by M. Alluaud in 'Fort Dauphin'.

Referred material. MNHN 1936.0047, holotype of $P$. laevis tsianovohensis, an adult female collected by R. Heim between 1934 and 1935 in Tsianovoha, East Madagascar. ZSM 89/2004 (FGZC 161), an unsexed adult individual (DNA sequenced and included in Wollenberg et al. 2008: Accession number EU341068), collected by F. Glaw, M. Puente, M. Thomas and R. Randrianiaina on 31 January 2004 at Andohahela, (between Isa-ka-Ivondro and Eminiminy; $24^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 046^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ca. 230 m a.s.l.), Toliara Province, south-eastern Madagascar; UADBA-A 27994 (FGZC 160), an unsexed adult individual, collected by F. Glaw, M. Puente, M. Thomas and R. Randrianiaina on 31 January 2004 at Andohahela (between Isaka-Ivondro and Eminiminy; $24^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 046^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ca. 230 m a.s.l.), Toliara Province, south-eastern Madagascar; MRSN uncatalogued (FAZC 15423), unsexed adult individual (etha-nol-fixed and DNA sequenced), collected by F. Andreone and G.M. Rosa on 29 February 2012 at Tsitongambarika Forest Reserve (Anosyenne Chain; 2433'32.10"S, $047^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 24.90^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 32 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.); UADBA-A 62219, an unsexed adult individual, collected by S. Megson on 22 July 2013 at Sainte Luce ( $24^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 047^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ca. 19 m a.s.1.), Toliara Province, south-eastern Madagascar; UADBA-A 62224, an unsexed juvenile individual, collected by S. Megson on 17 July 2013 at Sainte Luce ( $24^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 87^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 047^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, ca. 7 m a.s.1.), Toliara Province, south-eastern Madagascar.

Diagnosis (see also Tables 1, 5 and Figs 2, 4, 6, 9). A large microhylid belonging to the subfamily Cophylinae, with connected lateral metatarsalia, short forelimbs (FORL/SVL 0.47-0.58), short hindlimbs,


Figure 9. The skeleton of Plethodontohyla alluaudi (MNHN 1901.235) rendered via micro-CT scanning. (a-b) Full skeleton in (a) dorsal and (b) ventral view. (c-e) Skull in (c) dorsal, (d) ventral and (e) lateral view. Abbreviations are as in Fig. 7. For a 3D rotational model, see Suppl. material 1.
tibiotarsal articulation reaching the insertion of the arms, inner metatarsal tubercle present, maxillary and vomerine teeth present, clavicle absent or highly reduced, knob-shaped terminal phalanges and males with a single subgular vocal sac; therefore attributed to the genus Plethodontohyla (see Appendix A of Scherz et al. 2016a). The attribution to the genus Plethodontohyla is also supported by phylogenetic molecular evidence from newly collected material (see Fig. 5). The species is characterised by the following suite of characters: (1) moderately large size (SVL 28.8-58.0 mm); (2) HW/ HL 1.67-1.85; (3) FORL/SVL 0.47-0.58; (4) HIL/ SVL 1.25-1.47; (5) TIBL/SVL 0.34-0.39; (6) rounded snout tip; (7) toe tips not enlarged; (8) finger tips not enlarged; (9) knob-shaped terminal phalanges of the fingers and toes; (10) smooth dorsal skin; (11) absence of a distinct dorsolateral colour border; (12) presence of a supratympanic fold; (13) presence of a bold
white-bordered ' X ' marking on head; (14) tibiotarsal articulation reaching the insertion of the arm; (15) TD/ ED 0.33-0.66. Furthermore, the species is separated from all nominal taxa in this genus by an uncorrected pairwise distance of at least $5.6 \%$ (comparison with $P$. tuberata; genetic distance of $5.8 \%$ with $P$. laevis). The genetic distance with $P$. sp. Ca 01 is $2.9 \%$.

Plethodontohyla alluaudi may be distinguished from other members of the genus Plethodontohyla as follows: from P. inguinalis, P. notosticta, P. guentheri, $P$. mihanika and $P$. fonetana by non-expanded terminal digits ( $v s$. moderately to strongly expanded) and by its knob-shaped terminal phalanges of the fingers and toes (vs. T- or Y-shaped) and from all these species except P. fonetana by the absence of a dorsolateral colour border (present in all of these species but only some specimens of $P$. inguinalis). It also differs from $P$. notosticta, $P$. guentheri and $P$. mihanika by having a rounded snout
tip (vs. generally pointed); from P. ocellata, P. bipunctata, $P$. brevipes, $P$. inguinalis and $P$. tuberata by smooth skin (vs. granular or tubercular); from $P$. inguinalis, $P$. notosticta, P. guentheri and P. mihanika by the presence of a supratympanic fold running from the posterior border of the eye backward until the forelimb ( $v s$. absence); from all species of Plethodontohyla except P. laevis and $P$. sp. Ca01 by the presence of a bold white-bordered ' X ' marking on the head ( $v s$. absence); from $P$. laevis, $P$. sp. Ca 01, P. ocellata, P. inguinalis, P. notosticta, P. guentheri, P. fonetana and P. mihanika by a tibiotarsal articulation reaching the insertion of the arms ( $v s$. see Table 5); and from P. brevipes $(\mathrm{n}=6)$ by a generally wider head (HW/HL 1.67-1.85 vs. 1.53-1.71, Mann-Whitney U-test, $P=0.032$ ), a generally smaller tympanum (TD/ ED 0.33-0.66 vs. 0.60-0.79, Mann-Whitney U-test, $P=$ 0.025 ), tendency toward larger relative hand size (HAL/ SVL 0.23-0.28 vs. 0.21-0.24, Mann-Whitney U-test, $P$ $=0.051$ ), larger inner metatarsal tubercle (IMTL/FOL $0.13-0.17$ vs. $0.09-0.13$ ) and presence of a bold ' X ' marking on the head ( $v s$. absence).

Plethodontohyla laevis and P. sp. Ca01 are morphologically the most similar species to P. alluaudi. Plethodontohyla alluaudi can be distinguished from $P$. laevis by frequent presence of inguinal spots ( $v s$. general absence), generally larger tympanum size (TD/ED 0.33-0.66 [0.53-0.66 for three of the four examined specimens] vs. $0.33-0.52$ ) and tendency toward larger relative hand size (HAL/SVL 0.23-0.28 vs. 0.21-0.25, Mann-Whitney U-test, $P=0.085$ ).

Re-description (based on MNHN 1901.235). Specimen in relatively good state of preservation (Figs 2, 6, 9). A cross-shaped incision made over the pectoral girdle, a lateral incision on the left side and a number of incisions on the lower back. A strong transverse fold is present at the posterior head, certainly a fixation artefact. SVL 47.4 mm (for other measurements, see Table 1). Body large and robust; head much wider than long (HW/HL 1.85) and almost as wide as body; snout rounded in dorsal and lateral view; nostrils directed laterally, slightly protuberant, nearer to tip of snout than to eye; canthus rostralis distinct, concave; loreal region concave, oblique; tympanum slightly distinct, rounded, TD/ED 0.53 ; supratympanic fold from eye to shoulder distinct and curved; tongue ovoid, very broad, posteriorly free and not notched; mandible damaged at the midline so that the two halves are distinguishable externally; maxillary teeth present; vomerine teeth distinct, forming oblique curved rows posterior to choanae, laterally approaching the maxillae and medially almost in contralateral contact; choanae ovoid. Arms robust, fingers bearing marked single subarticular tubercles and hands bearing indistinct outer metacarpal tubercles; large, protruding inner metacarpal tubercle; fingers without webbing; relative length of fingers $1<2<4<3$, fourth finger slightly longer than second; finger disks not enlarged; nuptial pads absent. Hindlimbs robust; tibiotarsal articulation reaching the insertion of
the arm when hindlimb adpressed along body; TIBL/ SVL 0.34; lateral metatarsalia connected; distinct inner and less distinct outer metatarsal tubercles present; only traces of webbing between toes; relative length of toes $1<2<5<3<4$; third toe distinctly longer than fifth. Skin on dorsum smooth; supratympanic fold whitish. Colour of iris indistinguishable.

Colouration. After over a century in preservative, the colouration is strongly faded, and several details of the pattern originally illustrated by Mocquard (1901) are only barely distinguishable. The base colouration of the whole specimen is cream-brown. Large dark brown markings in the inguinal region remain, as do oblique dark brown bars on the anterior thigh and faint brown spots on the posterior thigh. A faint trace of the large X -shaped marking on the posterior head is present only as the outlines of this shape. The ventral skin is translucent cream, and muscles are visible through it. No other traces of colouration remain. The colouration in life of this specimen is not known.

Osteology. In the following, we describe notable and important diagnostic characters of Plethodontohyla alluaudi based on MNHN 1901.235, MNHN 1936.47 and ZSM 89/2004 (Fig. 6). PDF-embedded 3D models of these skeletons are provided as Suppl. materials 1,3 and 6 .

Ossification is variable, but lowest in ZSM 89/2004 where the knees and carpals are not visible. Vomerine teeth anteriorly convex, with a distinct angle in MNHN 1936.47 not present in the other two specimens, covering the whole postchoanal vomer, separated medially by a small gap. Palatine processes of premaxilla subequal in length, the medial process thinner than the lateral process. Prechoanal vomer flat and triradiate, the lateral ramus around its midpoint, but weak or missing in MNHN 1936.47. Nasals large and broad, not in contact with other bones. Sphenethmoid laterally closed, brain case of ZSM 89/2004 and MNHN1901.235 with some internal mineralisation. Posterior ramus of pterygoid extremely long and broad. Strong transverse ridge across posterior of frontoparietals most raised at its lateral extremities, strongly descending lateral flange of frontoparietal. The right coracoid of MNHN 1901.235 is fractured mid-way along its length. Clavicles are present only in MNHN 1901.235, where they are reduced to thin slivers. The coracoid possesses a strong notch for the attachment of the procoracoid cartilage. Cleithrum broad. Terminal phalanges of fingers knobbed. Finger phalangeal formula 2-2-3-3. Neural spines present on presacrals 2 and 3 . Sacrum relatively thin, broadening laterally. Iliosacral articulation type IIA/B sensu Emerson (1979). Urostyle bearing a strong, straight dorsal ridge for almost its entire length; articulation bicondylar. Iliac shafts bearing weak dorsal crests; possessing a shallow oblique groove and lacking a dorsal tubercle. Leg bones lacking crests. Toe phalangeal formula 2-2-3-4-3.

Table 5. Morphological variation in the analysed specimens of Plethodontohyla spp. Abbreviations not identified in the text: TT, Toe Tips (1, not enlarged, 2, enlarged); FT, Finger Tips (1, not enlarged, 2, enlarged); TP, Terminal Phalanges (K, knob-shaped, T, T-shaped, Y, Y-shaped); DDL, Dorsolateral Line, a narrow white dorsolateral line delimiting a sharp difference between the dorsal colouration and the uniformly dark flanks, extending from the tip of the snout backward until the inguinal region $(+$, presence, - absence, $(+$ ), not always present); Sk, Skin (1, smooth, 2, granular, 3, with tubercles); RID, Ridge, supratympanic dermal fold ( + , presence, - absence); X, bold ' X ' marking on the head between the eye bordered by a thin while line ( + , presence, - absence); DDF, Dorsal Dermal Folds, two symmetrical and concave thin dorsal folds ( + , presence, - absence); TTA, Tibiotarsal Articulation ( 1 , reaching the tympanum, 2 , reaching the insertion of the arms, 3 , reaching the eye, 4 , extending beyond the eye, * at least); ST, Snout tip (1, rounded, 2, pointed).

| ID code | Genus | Species | Locality | Sex | Status | $\Pi$ | FT | TP | DLL | SK | RID | X | DDF | TTA | ST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMF 4286 | Phrynocara | laeve | Sakana | F | HT | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | n/a | 1 |
| MRSN A6189 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Betampona | F | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1* | 1 |
| MRSN A6181 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Betampona | F | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1* | 1 |
| MRSN A6340 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Betampona | M | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1* | 1 |
| ZSM 980/2013 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Betampona | M | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1* | 1 |
| MRSN A6674 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Marovato | F | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 3 | 1 |
| MRSN A6787 | Plethodontohyla | laevis | Anivorano Est | M | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1* | 1 |
| MNHN 1901.235 | Dyscophus | alluaudi | Fort Dauphin | F | HT | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 2 | 1 |
| MNHN 1936.47 | Plethodontohyla | laevis tsianovohensis | Tsianovoha | F | HT | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | n/a | 1 |
| ZSM 89/2004 | Plethodontohyla | alluaudi | Andohahela | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 2* | 1 |
| FAZC 15423 | Plethodontohyla | alluaudi | Tsitongambarika (Anosyenne Chain) | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | n/a | 1 |
| UADBA-A 27994 (FGZC 160) | Plethodontohyla | alluaudi | Andohahela | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | n/a | 1 |
| ZCMV 555 | Plethodontohyla | sp. Ca01 | Ambatolahy | - | - | 1 | 1 | n/a | - | n/a | + | + | - | n/a | 1 |
| ZSM 855/2006 | Plethodontohyla | sp. CaO1 | Imaloka | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1 | 1 |
| ZSM 856/2006 | Plethodontohyla | sp. CaO1 | Imaloka | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 1 | + | + | - | 1 | 1 |
| MRSN A3221 | Plethodontohyla | tuberata | Manjakatompo | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 3 | + | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| MRSN A2859 | Plethodontohyla | ocellata | Ranomafana | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 2 | + | - | - | 1* | 1 |
| ACZCV 0101 | Plethodontohyla | ocellata | Betampona | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 2 | + | - | + | $1^{*}$ | 1 |
| ZSM 5204/2005 | Plethodontohyla | bipunctata | Andohahela | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 2 | + | - | + | 2* | 1 |
| ZSM 854/2006 | Plethodontohyla | brevipes | Imaloka | - | - | 1 | 1 | K | - | 2 | + | + | + | 2 | 1 |
| MRSN A2476 | Plethodontohyla | inguinalis | Kalambatritra | - | - | 2 | 2 | T | (+) | 2 | - | - | - | 3* | 1 |
| MRSN A5653 | Plethodontohyla | notosticta | Sahavontsira | - | - | 2 | 2 | T | + | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| ZSM 61/2005 | Plethodontohyla | guentheri | Marojejy | F | HT | 2 | 2 | T | + | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| ZSM 123/2006 | Plethodontohyla | fonetana | Tsingy de Bemaraha | F | HT | 2 | 2 | Y | - | 1 | + | - | + | 1 | 1 |
| ZSM 1087/2001 | Plethodontohyla | mihanika | Andasibe | M | PT | 2 | 2 | T | + | 1 | - | - | - | 4* | 2 |

Colouration in life based on recently collected material. Dorsally a greenish brown colouration, with a distinct dark brown ' X ' marking on the posterior head, with the anterior arms of the X over the eyes, and the posterior arms reaching the suprascapular region, bordered with a fine, light brown line. The dorsal colouration is flecked with white, with especially large white spots over the ends of the iliac shafts. A large blackish inguinal spot bordered with a white line is present. The flank colouration is marbled brown with white spots. The supratympanic fold is whitish, and forms a weak colour border between the dorsal colouration and the richer brown lateral head. The arm is anteriorly darker brown, almost blackish. The dorsal thigh is also darker brown, but not blackish. The ventral colouration is translucent and thus peach over the chin and pinkish over the abdomen, invaded on the sides behind the pectoral girdle by brown flecks, but not meeting medially. The ventral thighs are also pinkish, anteriorly and posteriorly with brown flecks. A yel-low-cream annulus is present before the tip of each finger.

Variation. Morphometric variation is given in Table 1. The holotype and MNHN 1936.47 are considerably larger than the newly collected material. MNHN 1936.47 apparently lacks inguinal spots, but its colouration is faded to the point where these might have disappeared. UADBA-A 27994 has a considerably smaller tympanum diameter than the other specimens (TD/ED 0.34 vs. 0.53-0.66). The holotype has the shortest forelimbs (FORL/SVL 0.47 vs. 0.53-0.58) and hindlimbs (HIL/SVL 1.25 vs. 1.41-1.47).

Natural history. At Andohahela specimens were found in the leaf litter of rainforest during the day. In Anosyenne Chain (Tsitongambarika) the specimen was found at night under leaf litter not far from the edge of the forest patch. Males from the Sainte Luce population have been heard calling in large choruses from hidden positions after heavy rainfall during the day and night, both inside the forest and in more open areas. During such periods choruses consist of many dozens of individuals. Individuals are extremely hard to detect and cease calling if they notice any distur-
bance, retreating into their burrows and hiding places in the forest floor. Individuals may be seen travelling above ground on rainy nights, particularly in areas in close proximity to small water bodies such as shallow forest streams, the margins of swamps and even in ephemeral mud puddles. At Sainte Luce, one adult specimen was found during the day, in light rainfall, underneath a $\log$ in littoral forest; and a juvenile specimen was found during the day in dry weather in severely degraded habitat, inside the shell of a deceased large land snail. The body of this individual became bloated during initial handling. In mature forest, adult individuals have also been observed beneath dead Pandamus leaves, under dead fallen trees and dead logs. No feeding or reproductive behaviour has been observed.

Distribution and conservation status. Plethodontohyla alluaudi is known from (1) the type locality 'Fort Dauphin' (or Tolagnaro, whence there are no recent records for the species, although this collection site was probably a very generic one), (2) Andohahela National Park, (3) Tsitongambarika Forest Reserve, (4) Sainte Luce and (5) Tsianovoha. Observations in Sainte Luce have been made in two of the largest forest fragments (fragments S7 and S9). All these sites are distributed at low altitudes in the East or south-eastern of Madagascar. Altitudinal distributional range extends from sea level to ca. 230 m a.s.l.. It is not clear where the type locality of this species is, but if it was a forest in the vicinity of Tolagnaro, then it is quite possible that it has been extirpated due to forest destruction there. Surveys in nearby Nahampoana and Mandena forests have so far failed to report this species, but a more thorough investigation of the area is required to confirm the presence or absence of this species in that area.

The species occurs at least in three protected areas, where it seems to be a relatively abundant although it has very secretive habits. Nevertheless, its distribution is highly fragmented, its extent of occurrence is quite limited (minimum convex polygon $=5372.81 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and it is threatened by on-going habitat destruction. As for $P$. laevis, it therefore qualifies as Vulnerable under IUCN Red List criterion Blab(iii) (IUCN 2012).

Acoustic repertoire. Advertisement calls were recorded from a chorus of males at Sainte Luce $\left(24^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 51.72^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}\right.$, $047^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 13.14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} ; 10 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.) on 30 June 2015 , around $15: 00 \mathrm{~h}$ at an air temperature of $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 3). This is a preliminary acoustic description due to the low quality of the available recordings (background noise and overlapping of several calls), which has compromised the obtainment of some parameters (Table 3). The following parameters could be assessed: the call consisted of a single note (soft whistle) repeated after apparently regular intervals. Calls lasted 320-560 ms ( $478 \pm 109, \mathrm{n}=4$ ). The dominant frequency seems to range from 1.4 to 2.1 kHz , however these values should be interpreted with caution since the distance from the calling individuals might complicate the distinction of harmonics. A more accurate bioacoustic analysis will be needed when new data are available.

## Taxonomic challenges in cophyline taxonomy

The resurrection of Plethodontohyla laevis and transfer of Dyscophus alluaudi from Rhombophryne to the genus Plethodontohyla brings this genus to 11 nominal species (not including the dubious P. angulifera Werner, 1903), and Rhombophryne down to 18. At present, only two other candidate species are known from Plethodontohyla (one from Tsaratanana and $P$. sp. Ca01 from Ambatolahy and Imaloka, which might be conspecific with $P$. alluaudi), but preliminary results suggest that the undescribed diversity in this genus is still widely unexplored and it will probably wind up being as great as it was for Rhombophryne (Vieites et al. 2009, Perl et al. 2014), with at least four additional undescribed species still awaiting formal description (A. Crottini et al. unpublished data). With this much-needed clarification of these historical names, we are now finally able to make larger progress on the taxonomy of this genus.

The cophyline microhylids are a case study of the need for an integrative taxonomic approach (Dayrat 2005). Taxonomic action like the synonymisation of Plethodontohyla laevis with P. alluaudi was made on the basis of external morphological differences and the state of the pectoral girdle, but could not take into account other aspects of skeletal morphology, nor could it account for genetics, as it was done before micro-CT and genetic methods were widely available, and based on single individuals (Blommers-Schlösser and Blanc 1991). Our approach, combining external morphology and osteology without damaging the type specimens of old and recently collected material, and the availability of genetic samples from several populations in Madagascar largely resembling the holotypes of $P$. laevis and $P$. alluaudi, provides a more robust hypothesis on the identities of these species than has been possible in the past.

Cophyline microhylids are still the least understood amphibians of Madagascar and the recent major advances in cophyline taxonomy would not have been possible without the collection of new material. However, more extensive and widespread collection of specimens from across Madagascar is still needed to fully characterize species distribution ranges and clarify their systematics. At least two new genera are still in need of description (Scherz et al. 2016a), basal relationships among the different genera are still poorly resolved, and even at the intra-generic level there are still several unresolved relationships (Scherz et al. 2016a). The intra-genus relationships in Plethodontohyla are no exception to this. Although the monophyly of the genus is now relatively well established (Andreone et al. 2005, Wollenberg et al. 2008, Scherz et al. 2016a), one study (Pyron and Wiens 2011) has found them to be polyphyletic, with one poorly supported group (containing $P$. inguinalis, $P$. tuberata, $P$. bipunctata, $P$. brevipes and P. ocellata) found to be the sister clade of all cophylines but Anodonthyla, and the other group (although with no support) composed of $P$. mihanika, P. fonetana, P. guentheri and P. notosticta, falling sister to the genus Cophyla. The former group
would have the name Mantipus Peters, 1883 available for it, while the latter would retain the name Plethodontohyla Boulenger, 1882. On the other hand, if the species with T- or Y-shaped terminal phalanges would result in a monophyletic group (i.e. if $P$. inguinalis were to move to the group containing $P$. notosticta, $P$. fonetana, $P$. guentheri and $P$. mihanika), the oldest available name for the terrestrial species with knobbed phalanges would be Phrynocara. The morphology of the genus combined with the latest available multi-gene phylogeny (Scherz et al. 2016a) suggests however that this group is an eclectic but monophyletic radiation, consisting of several species groups. However, due to the variable external morphology, ecological plasticity, conflicting phylogenetic studies and the availability of many old names and synonyms, an in depth phylogenetic analysis that will assess the species phylogenetic relationships and provide a taxonomic revision of the genus is needed.
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#### Abstract

Pantanodon, containing two African extant species and four European fossil species, for a long time had an uncertain position among the Cyprinodontiformes due to its peculiar morphology. In the last decades, Pantanodon has been considered closely related to African lamp-eyes of the Procatopodinae clade, which is contained in the Poeciliidae, a teleost fish family with a broad geographical distribution in Africa and the Americas. However, recent molecular studies have challenged the monophyly of the Poeciliidae, but the position of Pantanodon remained uncertain. We analysed one mitochondrial (COI) and five nuclear loci (GLYT1, MYH6, SH3PX3, RAG1, ENC1), a total of 5,083 bp, for 27 cyprinodontiform taxa and 6 outgroups, obtaining a well-supported phylogeny, in which the monophyly of Poecilidae, as supported by morphological data is refuted. Pantanodon stuhlmanni, the type species of the genus, is recovered as the most basal cyprinodontoid lineage and other African taxa formerly placed in Poeciliidae are highly supported as more closely related to European non-poeciliid cyprinodontoid genera than to other taxa. Since the present tree topology is not compatible with the present classification of the Cyprinodontoidei, a new classification using available family group names is provided: Pantanodontidae is used for Pantanodon; Procatopodidae, for the African lamp-eye clade; and Fluviphylacidae, for the South American genus Fluviphylax. Poeciliidae is restricted to the American livebearers, hence restoring the classification generally used prior to 1981.


## Introduction

The teleost order Cyprinodontiformes is a diverse group of small fishes living in freshwater and estuarine environments of all continents, except Oceania and Antarctica. Many of them are popular aquarium fishes, but some species such as guppies and mangrove killifishes are among the most important experimental species used as model organisms for a large spectrum of scientific areas. However, cyprinodontiform classification is still not well established despite continuous efforts to understanding their phylogenetic relationships (e.g. Rosen 1964, Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, Pollux et al. 2014, Pohl et al. 2015).

Prior to Parenti's (1981) phylogenetic analysis, cyprinodontiform classifications were mainly based on sexually dimorphic characters to delimit groups, focusing on the presence and morphology of copulatory organs in males and viviparity (e.g., Garman 1895, Regan 1911). After Hubbs (1924), cyprinodontiforms were often classified in four families of internally fertilizing viviparous taxa: the Anablepidae, Jenynsiidae, Goodeidae, and Poeciliidae, and one diverse oviparous family, the Cyprinodontidae, which was subsequently divided in several subfamilies (e.g. Myers 1931, 1955). Among cyprinodontid subfamilies, Pantanodontinae contained a single genus, Pantanodon Myers, 1955, a peculiar group of small spe-
cies with a series of unique osteological features, amongst others, jaw and branchial arch morphology (Whitehead 1962, Rosen 1965). Until 1981, these unique morphological traits found in Pantanodon intrigued ichthyologists who did provide complete osteological reviews (Whitehead 1962, Rosen 1965), but still refrained from positioning the genus among other Cyprinodontiformes, and even suggested to place it in a separate family (Rosen 1965). Pantanodon presently comprises two African extant species (e.g. Rosen 1965, Seegers 1996) and at least four European fossil species (e.g. Costa 2012a).

In the cyprinodontiform classification provided by Parenti (1981) on the basis of her phylogenetic analysis of morphological characters, oviparous taxa previously placed in a single family, Cyprinodontidae, were distributed among nine different families, belonging to two well supported suborders: the Aplocheiloidei, comprising Aplocheilidae and Rivulidae, and the Cyprinodontoidei, comprising Anablepidae, Cyprinodontidae, Fundulidae, Goodeidae, Poeciliidae, Profundulidae, and Valenciidae. The Poeciliidae then became a more inclusive taxon comprising three subfamilies, the American livebearers being grouped in Poeciliinae, the oviparous African lamp-eyes and Pantanodon in Aplocheilichthyinae, and the South American oviparous killifish genus Fluviphylax Whitley, 1965 in Fluviphylacinae. Costa (1996) transferred Fluviphylax to Aplocheilichthyinae, but Ghedotti (2000) subsequently restricted Aplocheilichthyinae to a single genus and species, Aplocheilichthys spilauchen (Duméril, 1861), transferring other oviparous African lamp-eyes, Pantanodon and Fluviphylax to Procatopodinae.

Recently, some molecular phylogenetic analyses (Pollux et al. 2014, Pohl et al. 2015, Reznick et al. 2017) challenged the monophyly of Poeciliidae sensu Parenti (1981). These studies indicated the African Procatopodinae as the sister group of a clade comprising European non-Poeciliidae cyprinodontoid genera (Valencia Myers, 1928 and Aphanius Nardo, 1827). In addition, Pollux et al. (2014) and Reznick et al. (2017) based on a broad sample of New World cyprinodontoid taxa supported the South American Fluviphylax as the sister group of a Neotropical clade comprising Poeciliinae and Anablepidae, but did not include Pantanodon in their analyses. A species of the latter genus, Pantanodon stuhlmanni (Ahl, 1924) was tentatively included in a phylogenetic analysis (Pohl et al. 2015), based on two mitochondrial and three nuclear genes. However, the phylogenetic position of P. stuhlmanni was not well supported, possibly as a consequence of incomplete data sample. The analysis of the complete concatenated dataset indicated $P$. stuhlmanni as the sister group of a clade comprising all other cyprinodontoids, but this placement was poorly supported. On the other hand, in all single gene trees, P. stuhlmanni appeared outside the cypridontiform clade, but again without relevant support. Pohl et al. (2015) then concluded that the phylogenetic position of Pantanodon remained largely unresolved.

In order of to test the phylogenetic position of Pantanodon and search for a more stable classification, the present study includes a larger gene sample, comprising one mitochondrial and five nuclear genes for representatives of all cyprinodontiform families. A comparative analysis of extant and fossil species of Pantanodon provides an updated diagnosis for the genus.

## Material and methods

## Taxon sampling

Twenty species of Cyprinodontoidei, representing all the main lineages as previously described in morphological (Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, Ghedotti 2000) and molecular studies (Pollux et al. 2014, Pohl et al. 2015), were analysed in this study, in addition to seven species of Aplocheiloidei. Since Pantanodon includes only two nominal extant species, P. stuhlmanni, the type species, and P. madagascariensis (Arnoult, 1963), and the latter has not been collected since the 1960's and is presently considered as extinct (Sparks 2016), only the former species was included in the analysis. Outgroups comprised three representatives of Beloniformes, Oryzias latipes (Temminck \& Schlege, 1846), Cheilopogon melanurus (Valenciennes, 1847), and Xenentodon cancila (Hamilton, 1822); two of Atheriniformes, Labidesthes sicculus (Cope, 1865) and Menidia beryllina (Cope, 1867); and one Cichlidae, Heterochromis multidens (Pellegrin, 1900). The latter was used to root the phylogenetic trees, following hypotheses of Ovalentaria relationships, wherein Cichlomorphae is supported as the sister group of Atherinomorphae (Wainwright et al. 2012; Betancur-R et al. 2013). All sequenced specimens used in this study are deposited in the Institute of Biology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) and the Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren (MRAC). In order to avoid large sets of missing data, in three cases when COI sequences were not available for the selected terminal taxa, we used sequences available in GenBank for closely related species: Aplocheilus panchax (KU.692279.1) was included as data for $A$. lineatus; Aphanius anatoliae (KJ.552353.1), for A. isfahanensis; and Poeciliopsis occidentalis (HQ.556956.1), for P. elongata. A list of species and the respective GenBank accession numbers appear in Supplementary material 1. The material examined for checking morphological diagnostic features of Pantanodontidae is deposited in the following institutions: Institute of Biology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren (MRAC), Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin (MB.f, Palaeontology; ZMB, Ichthyology), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN.P, Palaeontology; MNHN, Ichthyology) Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor (UMMZ), Národní Muzeum, Prague (NMP), and Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK(P), Palacontology; BMNH, Ichthyology); all material is listed in Supplementary material 2.

## Osteological preparations and nomenclature

Osteological studies were made on cleared and stained specimens (c\&s) prepared according to Taylor and Van Dyke (1985) and nomenclature for bone structures follows Costa (2006).

## DNA sequencing

The genomic DNA was extract from muscle tissue of the right side of the caudal peduncle using DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer instructions. To amplify the fragments of DNA were used the primers Glyt_F559 and Glyt_R1562, for the Glycosyltransferase gene (GLYT1), myh6_F507 and myh6_R1325, for the cardiac protein encoding gene, Myosin heavy chain 6 (MYH6), SH3PX3_F461, SH3PX3_R1303, SH3PX3_F532 and SH3PX3_R1299, for the SH3 and PX domain-containing 3-like protein (SH3PX3) (Li et al. 2007), RAG1F1, RAG1R2 (Lopez et al. 2004) and H3405 (Hrbek et al. 2007) for the recombination activation gene 1 (RAG1), ENC1_F88, ENC1_F85, ENC1_R975, ENC1_R982 (Li et al. 2007) and the primers ENC1_FPAN (5'-ATGCTGYTWCT-GTCTGAYGCCCACCAGTG-3'), ENC1_RPAN (5'GCYTTBGGRATKATCTCTTTGGC -3') herein developed for the ectodermal neural cortex 1 gene, LCO1490, HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) for the Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), 16sar-L and 16sbr-H (Palumbi et al. 1991) for the 16 S ribosomal RNA gene. The annealing temperatures for the primers ENC1_FPAN and ENC1_RPAN is $59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Polymerase chain reactions ( PCR ) were performed in $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction mixtures containing $5 \times$ Green GoTaq Reaction Buffer (Promega), 3.6 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer, 50 ng of total genomic DNA, 0.2 mM of each dNTP and 1 U of Taq polymerase. The thermocycling profile was: (1) 1 cycle of 4 minutes at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (2) 35 cycles of 1 minute at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ minute at $49-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (varying according to the primer and the sample) and 1 minute at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and (3) 1 cycle of 4 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In all PCR reactions, negative controls without DNA were used to check contaminations. Amplified PCR products were purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). Sequencing reactions were made using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Mix (Applied Biosystems). Cycle sequencing reactions were performed in $10 \mu 1$ reaction volumes containing $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ BigDye $2.5 \mathrm{X}, 1.55 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sequencing buffer 5X (Applied Biosystems), $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the amplified products $(10-40 \mathrm{ng})$, and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ primer. The thermocycling profile was: (1) 35 cycles of 10 seconds at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5$ seconds at $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 4 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sequencing reactions were purified and denatured and the samples were run on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer. Sequences were edited using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013) and aligned using ClustalW (Chenna et al. 2003). The DNA sequences were translated into amino acids residues to test for the absence of premature stop codons or indels using the program MEGA 6.0.

## Gene sampling

For each gene and codon position Measure Substitution Saturation tests were performed according to the model proposed by Xia et al. (2003), considering only fully resolved sites in DAMBE5 (Xia 2013). Preliminary trees were executed for partitions with high saturation level, and the tree topology (symmetrical/asymmetrical) were considered when evaluating the Iss and Iss.c values. The third position of COI and the 16 S gene showed substantial saturation as well as the third position of ENC1 nuclear gene and they were then removed from the analysis. The concatenated dataset included the following genes: GLYT, MYH6, RAG1, SH3PX3, the first and the second codon position of ENC1 and the first and second codon position of the mitochondrial gene COI comprising 5,083 bp. A preliminary phylogenetic analysis was performed including the 16 S to the concatenated dataset and its inclusion dropped the bootstrap supports and posterior probability values of both shallow and deep nodes. This analysis is presented in Supplementary material 3.

## Phylogenetic analysis

The dataset was partitioned according to each gene. The best-fit evolutionary model was calculated for each partition using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) determined by the jModelTest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al. 2012). The best model for each partition is presented in Supplementary material 4 . To check for major discordance among individual gene trees, maximum likelihood trees were generated for each gene alignment, using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Since separate analyses did not result in conflicting trees, data were concatenated, with the whole dataset containing $5,083 \mathrm{bp}$. The phylogenetic analyses were conducted through Maximum Likelihood (ML), using the program Garli 2.0 (Zwickl 2006), and Bayesian Inference (BI), using the program MrBayes v3.2.5 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The values of support of the ML analysis were calculated by 1000 bootstrap replications (Felsenstein 1985). BI was conducted using two Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs of two chains each for 3 million generations, a sampling frequency of 1000. The quality of the MCMC chains was evaluated in Tracer 1.6, and a $25 \%$ burn-in was removed.

## Results

The ML analysis (Fig. 1) generated a tree with most clades receiving high bootstrap values (higher than $95 \%$ ), including among them the nodes supporting the Cyprinodontiformes and the Aplocheiloidei. Pantanodon stuhlmanni appeared as the sister group of all other cyprinodontoids ( $91 \%$ ), and the clade including all cyprinodontoids except P. stuhlmanni was also highly supported (100 \%). In the BI analysis (Supplementary material 5), almost the same topology was reached, with the above mentioned clades showing high posterior probability values (above $0.95 \%$ ).


Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationship tree generated by a Maximum Likelihood Analysis of molecular data (5,083 bp, comprising segments of the nuclear genes ENC1, GLYT, MYH6, SH3PX3 and RAG1 and the mitochondrial gene COI). Clade names follows the classification herein proposed. Numbers on each node are bootstrap percentages of the Maximum Likelihood analysis and posterior probabilities of the Bayesian Inference analysis; asterisks indicate maximum values ( 100 or 1.00 respectively) and a dash refers to values under 50 or 0.50 respectively.

The main difference occurred in the position of Fluviphylax simplex Costa, 1996 (sister to a clade comprising only the Anablepidae and the Poeciliidae in ML analysis, vs. sister to a clade comprising the Procatopodidae, represented by Aplochilichthys spilauchen, Hypsopanchax modestus, Plataplochilus miltotaenia, Procatopus nototaenia, Rhexipanchax lamberti, Valenciidae, Aphaniidae, Anablepidae, and Poeciliidae in BI analysis), but the relative position of Pantanodon was the same in both analyses (Figure 1, Supplementary material 5).

## Discussion

## Phylogenetic position of Pantanodon and African lamp-eyes

The present analysis is consistent with previous morphological and molecular studies in supporting the monophyly of the Cyprinodontiformes, which contains two well established clades, the suborders Aplocheiloidei and Cyprinodontoidei (Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, Pollux et al. 2014, Pohl et al. 2015). However, monophyly of the Poeciliidae as inferred from morphological data (Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, Ghedotti 2000) is refuted. The poecilines are considered to be sister to the Anablepidae, whereas the Procatopodines are closer to the Old world genera Aphanius and Valencia. Instead of being a taxon belonging to the African lamp-eye clade (= Procatopodinae sensu Ghedotti 2000), Pantanodon stuhlmanni is
herein supported as the sister group of a clade encompassing all other cyprinodontoids (Fig. 1), thus considered as a member of a separate family, the Pantanodontidae (see Taxonomic implications below). Species of this family exhibit morphological synapomorphies previously used to diagnose the Cyprinodontoidei (Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, 2012b), corroborating its inclusion in this suborder: (1) dentary expanded medially resulting in a robust lower jaw (Fig. 2A), (2) rostral cartilage absent (Fig. 2A), (3) metapterygoid absent (Fig. 2A), (4) dorsal hypohyal absent (Fig. 3C), (5) first basibranchial absent (Fig. 3E), and (6) distal portion of the neural and haemal spines of the preural vertebrae 3 wider than neural and haemal spines of preural vertebrae 4 (Fig. 2D). However, no morphological character was found to support the clade comprising all cyprinodontoids except Pantanodontidae, probably as a result of the high specialised morphology of Pantanodontidae avoiding clear homology assumptions for several structures.

Our analysis highly supports the monophyly of the group ecompassing all African lamp-eyes species, corroborating previous morphological studies (Parenti 1981, Costa 1996), but refuting Ghedotti's (2000) analysis, who considered African lamp-eyes to form a paraphyletic assemblage. According to that study, the species Aplocheilichthys spilauchen is the sister group of a clade including the remaining African lamp-eyes and the American Poeciliinae, represented herein by Poecilia reticulata and Poeciliopsis elongata. In contrast, the African lamp-eye


Figure 2. Jaws, jaw suspensorium, opercular apparatus, superficial dermal bones and caudal fin skeleton of Pantanodon stuhlmanni and pelvic fin of $P$. madagascariensis: A: left jaws, jaw suspensorium and opercular apparatus, lateral view; $\mathbf{B}$, left nasal, dorsal view; $\mathbf{C}$, left lachrymal, lateral view; $\mathbf{D}$, caudal fin skeleton, left lateral view; $\mathbf{E}$ : pelvic fin bone and rays. Abbreviations: de, dentary; ep, epural; hp, hypural plate; hy, hyomandibula; io, interopercle; ms, mesopterygoid; pb, pelvic bone; ph, parahypural; pl, palatine; pm, premaxila; po, preopercle; qu, quadrate; r, rays; ra, retroarticular; so, subopercle; sy, sympletic; u2-u4, preural centra 2-4. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Figure 2E was based on illustration present in Rosen (1965), that originally do not have scale bar.
clade is highly supported as the sister group to a clade comprising the European genera Aphanius and Valencia, whereas the Poeciliinae is considered to be sister to the Anablepidae, both results agreeing with recent molec-
ular phylogenies using different samples, terminal taxa and DNA markers (Pollux et al. 2014, Pohl et al. 2015, Reznkick et al. 2017). The present study also refutes the South American Fluviphylax as a member of the African


Figure 3. Branchial arches of Pantanodon stuhlmanni: A, left branchial arches, ventral view of dorsal portion; B, third pharyngobranchial tooth, frontal view; C, left hyoid bar, lateral view; D, fifth ceratobranchial tooth, frontal view; E, left and middle branchial arches, dorsal view of ventral portion. Abbreviations: ach, anterior ceratohyal; bl-2, basibranchials 1-2; bh, basihyal; br, branchiostegal rays; c, ceratobranchials; e4,fourth epibranchial; ih, interhyal; p2-3, pharyngobranchials 2 and 3, pch, posterior ceratohyal; vhh, ventral hypohyal. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Figure 3 B and 3 D are schematic illustrations of the teeth, thus no scale bar is presented. The cartilaginous hypobranchials are not illustrated in Figure 3E.
lamp-eye clade as supported by morphological studies (Roberts 1970, Parenti 1981, Costa 1996, Ghedotti 2000). Although the position of F. simplex is only moderately supported in the analyses, other studies using different molecular data sets indicate that Fluviphylax is not closely related to African lamp-eyes (Pollux et al. 2014; Reznick et al. 2017).

## Taxonomic implications

The present tree topology indicates relationships not compatible with the current classification of the Cyprinodontoidei. Two families, Cyprinodontidae and Poeciliidae, proposed by Parenti (1981) and subsequent authors using morphological characters, are not monophyletic, highlighting the need for a new classification at the family level. In order to avoid too much impact on the current classification, we herein minimize changes in the classification of the Poeciliidae, using family group names already available. The genus Pantanodon, supported as the sister group of all other cyprinodontoids, is placed in its own family, Pantanodontidae Myers 1955, new usage, corresponding to Pantanodontinae of Myers (1955),

Whitehead (1962) and Rosen (1965). Procatopodidae Fowler 1916, new usage, is used for the well supported African lamp-eye clade, being equivalent to Aplocheilichthyinae sensu Parenti (1981), and Fluviphylacidae Roberts 1970, new usage, for the South American genus Fluviphylax, equivalent to Fluviphylacinae sensu Parenti (1981). Poeciliidae Bonaparte 1831 is restricted to the American livebearers (= Poeciliinae sensu Parenti 1981), restoring Poeciliidae as delimited by authors prior to 1981 (e.g. Hubbs 1924).

The genus Pantanodon until recently comprised only two extant species, P. stuhlmanni, from brackish water environments of coastal river drainages in Kenya and Tanzania, and $P$. madagascariensis from freshwater environments of forested areas in northern Madagascar drainages (e.g. Whitehead 1962, Arnoult 1963). Costa (2012a) reviewed Tertiary cyprinodontoid fossil taxa from central Europe, transferring three species previously placed in Prolebias Sauvage, 1874 to Pantanodon: P. cephalotes (Agassiz 1839) from the upper Oligocene of d'Aix-enProvence, southern France; P. egeranus (Laube 1901) from the Karpatian, lower Miocene of the Cheb basin,

Czech Republic; P. malzi (Reichenbacher and Gaudant 2003) from the upper Oligocene-lower Miocene of Germany. Subsequently, Gaudant (2013) described a new genus, Paralebias, for those fossil species. However, since those fossil species exhibit synapomorphies used to diagnose Pantanodon (Costa, 2012a) and no unique derived character state was used to diagnose Paralebias by Gaudant (2013), the latter genus is here considered as a synonym of the former. More recently, another fossil species was assigned to Paralebias, P. conquensis Gaudant and Reichenbacher, 2015 from the Miocene of the Tagus Basin, Spain (Gaudant et al. 2015), herein tentatively placed in Pantanodon by possessing claw-shaped hooks on the tip of male pelvic fin, an apomorphic diagnostic feature of Pantanodon. Pantanodon, the only genus of Pantanodontidae here considered as valid, has been diagnosed by several apomorphic conditions: (1) presence of a boomerang-shaped lachrymal (Fig. 2C), (2) extremely reduced nasal (Fig. 2B), (3) dentary and angulo-articular fused in a single structure with coronoid process extending backwards over the quadrate (Fig. 2A), (4) dentary and premaxilla with expanded bone flange (Fig. 2A), (5) tricuspid pharyngeal teeth (Fig. 3B), (6) hypobranchials not ossified (Fig. 3E), (7) epibranchials 1-3 minute or absent (Fig. 3A), (8) second pharyngobranchial tooth plate triangular (Fig. 3A), (9) fifth ceratobranchial and third pharyngobranchial teeth arranged in transverse rows (Fig. 3A, E), (10) fifth ceratobranchial drop-shaped (Fig. 3E), (11) pelvic bone long and narrow (Fig. 2E), and (12) claw-shaped hooks on the tip of outer three or four pelvic-fin rays in males (Parenti 1981, Costa 1998, 2012a). All these characters are herein confirmed in specimens examined (list of specimens examined presented in Supplementary material 2).
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#### Abstract

Homonota is a gecko distributed in central and southern South America with 12 species allocated in three groups. In this work, we performed molecular and morphological analyses of samples of Homonota from the central region of northern Paraguay, comparing the data with those of related species of the group: H. horrida and $H$. septentrionalis. We found strong molecular evidence (based on 16 S , Cyt-b, and PRLR gene sequences) to distinguish this lineage as a new species. Morphological statistical analysis showed that females of the three species are different in metric characters (SVL and TL as the most contributing variables), whereas males are less differentiated. No robust differences were found in meristic characters. The most remarkable trait for the diagnosis of the new species is the presence of well-developed keeled tubercles on the sides of the neck, and lack of a white band (crescent-shaped) in the occipital area, which is present in $H$. horrida and H. septentrionalis. Nevertheless, in our sample, we found three specimens (one juvenile and two young adults) that exhibit the white occipital band. Thus, this character seems only reliable in adults of the new species. The new species is parapatric to $H$. septentrionalis, both inhabiting the Dry Chaco of Paraguay.


## Introduction

Homonota is a gecko, inhabiting mainly xeric and rocky areas in central and southern South America (Cei 1993, Avila et al. 2012), with Homonota darwinii reaching the most austral distribution of the genus at $54^{\circ}$ latitude south (Morando et al. 2014). Most of the species in the genus are nocturnal, although $H$. uruguayensis can be either diurnal or nocturnal (Carreira et al. 2005). Homonota horrida (Burmeister 1861), distributed in Argentina and Paraguay, was the second described species of the genus, after the controversial H. fasciata (Duméril and Bibron 1836). This latter species was described from "Martinique", a Caribbean island located out of the distribution of the southern cone Neotropical genus. Both species were considered synonyms by Abdala and Lavilla
(1993), which was followed by posterior researchers until recently when Cacciali et al. (2017) found that the type specimen of $H$. fasciata is distinct from the types of $H$. horrida, and recognized them as different taxa. Currently, both species are considered valid, although H. fasciata remains a species inquirenda because of the lack of information on its distribution and uncertainty in its diagnostic characters (Cacciali et al. 2017). The most recently described species of the genus was H. septentrionalis Cacciali, Morando, Medina, Köhler, Motte \& Avila, 2017, which is present in the western part of the Dry Chaco (western Paraguay and southern Bolivia). Three groups are currently recognized: whitii group composed of H. whitii Boulenger, 1885, H. darwinii Boulenger, 1885, H. andicola Cei, 1978, and H. williamsii Avila, Pérez, Minoli \& Morando, 2012; borelli group with H. borellii
(Peracca, 1897), H. uruguayensis (Vaz-Ferreira \& Sierra de Soriano, 1961), H. rupicola Cacciali, Ávila \& Bauer, 2007, and H. taragui Cájade, Etchepare, Falcione, Barrasso \& Álvarez, 2013; and the horrida group (indicated as fasciata group by Morando et al. 2014) which contains H. horrida (Burmeister, 1861), H. underwoodi Kluge, 1964, and H. septentrionalis Cacciali, Morando, Medina, Köhler, Motte \& Avila, 2017. Cacciali et al. (2017) suggested that more revisions are needed to understand the true taxonomic status of $H$. fasciata because currently it is not possible to know to which group it belongs and it is considered incertae sedis.

Four species of Homonota are recorded in Paraguay: H. borellii, H. rupicola, H. horrida, and H. septentrionalis. The most commonly known species was Homonota horrida recorded for the "Chaco" (Kluge 1964, Talbot 1978, 1979). Even after the synonymy of H. horrida with H. fasciata (Abdala and Lavilla 1993) the name H. horrida was still used in Paraguayan reports (Aquino et al. 1996, Ziegler et al. 2002). Many specimens of H. septentrionalis were referred to as $H$. horrida (those from the westernmost part of the Paraguayan Chaco) according to Cacciali et al. (2017). Homonota rupicola is an endemic species found in a rocky hill, east of the Paraguay River; and $H$. borellii was recorded from a few specimens from "Defensores del Chaco" and "Médanos del Chaco" National Parks (Cacciali et al. 2016). Thus, most of the species of Homonota from Paraguay are present in the Chaco, which is part of the "Dry Diagonal" formed by Caatinga, Cerrado, and Chaco, characterized by dry seasonal woodlands (Prado and Gibbs 1993). In Paraguay the Chaco is divided in two ecoregions: Humid Chaco and Dry Chaco, and most of the Homonota samples are located in the latter (Cacciali et al. 2016).

After the description of $H$. septentrionalis, the same authors continued to study and analyze the taxonomy of Paraguayan samples of Homonota from the Chaco, within the framework of a barcoding initiative of the herpetofauna from Paraguay. We performed genetic and morphological analyses among different populations of Homonota from the central region of northern Paraguay. Based on genetic and morphological differences, and applying a species delimitation algorithm, we found enough differences to consider these new samples as a different taxonomic unit from those previously recorded for Paraguay. We present here a detailed analysis along with the description of this new species.

## Methods

We extracted DNA from three samples of Homonota from the central area of northern Paraguay (Occidental Region), which were compared with available sequences of the remaining members of the genus (except $H$. fasciata) to assess its taxonomic relationships in the gene tree. We sequenced fragments of mitochondrial genes rRNA 16S and Cytochrome $b$ (Cytb) and the nuclear gene prolactine
receptor (PRLR). Samples used and GenBank accession numbers are specified in Table 1. Samples of 16 S were available only for the horrida group. To root the tree we included two outgroups (Garthia gaudichaudii and Phyllopezus przewalskii (Table 1) based on Morando et al. (2014).

Tissue samples were first washed for 15 h with 50 ml Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (diluted of 1:9 PBS: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The DNA extraction was carried out with the DNeasey kit of Qiagen. We used $25 \mu$ of reaction mix for every sample for the PCR (except for PRLR where we used $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Reagents and concentrations for the PCR mix for the amplification of every gene, are provided in Suppl. material 1: Appendix S1. Primers (produced by Eurofins MWG Operon) used for amplification and sequencing, along with PCR conditions for each gene are detailed in Suppl. material 1: Appendix S2.

We used SeqTrace 0.9.0 (Stucky 2012) for examination of chromatograms and to generate the consensus sequences. We used MAFFT 7 (Katoh and Standley 2013) to automatically align the sequences through its webserver. For alignment of sequences of 16 S , we included the Q-INS-i search strategy for corrections with the secondary structure of that gene (Katoh and Toh 2008). We used MSA Viewer (Yachdav et al. 2016) to visualize the alignments and export them to fasta format. We estimated the best substitution model for each gene (separately) with PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2016) using the PhyML 3.0 algorithm (Guindon et al. 2010). We used the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) (Burnham and Anderson 2002) to select the best substitution model, but under the premise that it is not correct to use models that include both $+G$ and +I (Sullivan et al. 1999, Mayrose et al. 2005). Then we chose the subsequent model in the best partition schemes when both were suggested by the AICc.

We performed two phylogenetic analyses, first using a Maximum Likelihood (ML) approach, and then a Bayesian inference (BI) to compare the trees topologies. These analyses were made for each gene individually and for a concatenated dataset of the three genes together. For the ML analysis we used IQ-Tree (Nguyen et al. 2015) through its webserver (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016) using 10,000 non parametric bootstrap replicates plus 10,000 replicates of Shimodaira-Hasegawa approximate likelihood ratio (SH-aLRT) (Anisimova et al. 2011) and 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap (UFBoot) approximation replicates (Minh et al. 2013). We converted the alignment to nexus format in the online server Alter (Glez-Peña et al. 2010) available at http://sing.ei.uvigo.es/ALTER/, to be used in MrBayes v3.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001, Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for a BI. For this, we ran the analysis in independent duplicates, each with $1,000,000$ generations for MCMC with a sampling frequency of 500 generations. We visualized the trees and exported them using FigTree v1.4.3 (available at http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). We considered convergence when the standard deviation of split frequencies was 0.015 or less and when the Potential Scale Reduction Factor approached 1.0 (Gelman and Rubin 1992).

Table 1. Specimens used for genetic analyses and GenBank accession numbers for every gene. Asterisks ${ }^{(*)}$ indicate tissue samples without voucher. Numbers in bold are samples generated for this work.

| Species | Voucher | 16S | Cytb | PRLR | GenSeq Nomenclature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homonota andicola | LJAMM-CNP 12493 | MD | KJ484188 | KJ484274 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 12495 | MD | KJ484189 | KJ484275 | genseq-3 |
| Homonota borellii | LJAMM-CNP 12116 | MD | KJ484205 | KJ484276 | genseq-4 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 12119 | MD | KM677796 | MD | genseq-4 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 12125 | MD | KJ484206 | KJ484277 | genseq-4 |
| Homonota darwinii | LJAMM-CNP 9266 | MD | KJ484191 | MD | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 9813 | MD | MD | KJ484278 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 11424 | MD | KJ484190 | MD | genseq-3 |
| Homonota horrida | BYU 47941 | MF278828 | KJ484192 | MG950402 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 10493 | MD | KM677795 | MD | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 10495 | MF278829 | MD | MG950403 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 10576 | MF278830 | MD | MG950404 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 10577 | MD | KJ484208 | MD | genseq-3 |
| Homonota rupicola | MNHNP.1* | MD | KJ484193 | KJ484281 | genseq-3 |
|  | MNHNP.2* | MD | KJ484194 | KJ484282 | genseq-3 |
| Homonota septentrionalis | MNHNP 11406 | MD | MF278843 | MF278849 | genseq-2 |
|  | MNHNP 11409 | MD | MF278844 | MF278850 | genseq-2 |
|  | MNHNP 11873 | MF278831 | MD | MG950405 | genseq-3 |
|  | MNHNP 12238 | MF278832 | MD | MD | genseq-1 |
|  | SMF 101984 | MF278833 | MD | MG950406 | genseq-2 |
| Homonota taragui | LJAMM-CNP 14419 | MD | KJ484195 | KJ484283 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 14420 | MD | KJ484196 | KJ484284 | genseq-3 |
| Homonota underwoodi | LJAMM-CNP 10923 | MD | KJ484197 | KJ484286 | genseq-4 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 10931 | MD | KJ484198 | KJ484297 | genseq-4 |
| Homonota uruguayensis | UFRGS 2139 | MD | MD | KJ484296 | genseq-4 |
|  | UFRGS 5769 | MD | KM677689 | MD | genseq-4 |
|  | UFRGS 5770 | MD | KM677690 | MD | genseq-4 |
|  | UFRGS 5771 | MD | KM677691 | MD | genseq-4 |
| Homonota whitii | LJAMM-CNP 14387 | MD | KJ484199 | MD | genseq-4 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 14388 | MD | KJ484200 | MD | genseq-4 |
| Homonota williamsii | LJAMM-CNP 4467 | MD | KJ484201 | KJ484287 | genseq-3 |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 6517 | MD | KJ484202 | KJ484288 | genseq-2 |
| Homonota sp. n. | SMF 101436 | MD | MG950409 | MG950407 | genseq-2 |
|  | SMF 101438 | MG947388 | MG950410 | MG950408 | genseq-2 |
|  | SMF 101439 | MD | MG950411 | MD | genseq-2 |
| Outgroups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garthia gaudichaudii | E61214 | MD | FJ985045 | MD |  |
|  | IBE_G1(1) | MD | MD | KJ484289 |  |
| Phyllopezus przewalskii | LG1093 | JN935567 | JQ826890 | JQ825640 |  |
|  | LJAMM-CNP 12089 | MD | KJ484203 | MF278849 |  |

When frequencies did not converge we continued adding 500,000 generations until convergence was achieved.

We assessed the degree of intraspecific divergence within the alignment (removing the outgroups) with the species delimitation test ABGD (Puillandre et al. 2012) through its webserver (http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/abgdweb.html), using 10 steps of prior minimum and maximum simple genetic distance from 0.001 to 0.1 (default), and 0.5 of relative gap width, since higher (default) values tend to exceedingly split clades (Kekkonen et al. 2015, Yang et al. 2016). For this analysis we used
only Cytb which was the mitochondrial gene better represented in our samples, and available for all the species within the genus. The last step using genetic data was the assessment of a species tree based on the clustering proposed by the species delimitation test. To do this we used *BEAST (Drummond et al. 2012) in BEAST 2.4.7 (Ogilvie et al. 2017) under 1,000,000 generations for the mcmc model, visualizing the posterior probability in DensiTree 2.2.6 (Bouckaert et al. 2014).

Additionally, we generated morphological data for 13 specimens ( 7 males and 6 females) of the new species and
taxa with similar pattern (related taxa of the horrida group) looking for potential diagnostic characters. Thus, we used for comparison $H$. horrida ( 7 males and 5 females) and $H$. septentrionalis ( 10 males and 12 females), using standard variables (continuous data expressed in mm ) already used by Avila et al. (2012) and Cacciali et al. (2017):

SVL snout-vent length, from tip of snout to vent.
TrL trunk length, distance from axilla to groin from posterior edge of forelimb insertion to anterior edge of hind limb insertion.
FL foot length, from the tip of the claw of the $4^{\text {th }}$ straightened toe to the back of the heel.
TL tibial length, measured between the level of the knee and the level of the heel, as shown by Köhler (2014).
AL arm length, from tip of claws of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ finger to elbow.
HL head length, distance between anterior edge of auditory meatus and snout tip.
HW head width, taken at the level of the temporal region, corresponding to the widest part of the head.
HH head height, maximum height of head, at level of parietal area.
END eye-nostril distance, from the anterior edge of the eye to the posterior edge of the nostril.
ESD eye-snout distance, from the anterior edge of the eye to the tip of the snout.
EMD eye-meatus distance, from the posterior edge of the eye to the anterior border of the ear opening.
ID interorbital distance, shortest distance between orbits.
IND internostril distance, shortest distance between nares.
DT number of keeled dorsal tubercles from occipital area to cloaca level.
TVS number of transversal rows of ventral scales, counted longitudinally at midline from the chest (shoulder level) to inguinal level.
LVS number of longitudinal rows of ventral scales, counted transversally at midbody.
SL number of supralabial scales.
IL number of infralabial scales.
4TL number of lamellae under the fourth toe.
3FL number of lamellae under the third finger.
Measurements were taken with digital calipers (precision 0.01 ), but only the first decimal considered to limit discrepancies. For the morphological analyses only specimens of $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ or larger were included. When paired structures exist, data are presented in left/right orientation, and only the left side was used for statistical analyses. In the color descriptions, the capitalized colors and the color codes (in parentheses) are those of Smithe (1981) for live animals and Köhler (2012) for preserved specimens.

We compared the morphological variation among species through a discriminant function analysis (DFA), testing the normality of the variables with a Shapiro-Wilk ( $W$ ) test (Shapiro et al. 1968, Zar 1999), and for the DFA we only used variables that were normally distributed. We
used PAST 3.14 (Hammer et al. 2001) to perform these tests. Meristic (discrete) and metric (continuous) data were analyzed separately. Examined specimens are detailed in Appendix 1. We present a table of localities of the specimens examined in Suppl. material 1: Appendix S3.

## Results

The final alignments of $16 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Cytb}$, and PRLR consisted of 539, 793, and 457 bp , respectively. Alignments and trees are available at TreeBASE (ID: 22305). The best substitution model for 16 S was GTR +G , for Cytb $\quad \mathrm{TVM}+\mathrm{I}\left(1^{\text {st }} p o s\right)\left|\mathrm{TIM}+\mathrm{G}\left(2^{\text {nd }} p o s\right)\right| \mathrm{SYM}+\mathrm{G}\left(3^{\text {rd }} p o s\right)$, and for PRLRK81( $\left.1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{pos}\right) \mid \mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{G}\left(2^{\text {nd }}+3^{\text {rd }} p o s\right)$. The complete table with scores is provided in Suppl. material 1: Appendix S4. The topology of the ML (Suppl. material 1: Fig. S1) and BI (Suppl. material 1: Fig. S2) trees using 16 S coincide in recognizing three clusters, but the ML tree shows the new species as a sister clade to $H$. septentrionalis, whereas BI shows a trichotomy including H. horrida, H. septentrionalis, and the new species. Trees of ML and BI based on Cytb have the same topology (Suppl. material 1: Figs S3-S4), with strong support values. In these trees $H$. andicola and $H$. whitii are sister clades, as are $H$. darwinii and $H$. williamsii, and they are sister to the remaining Homonota species. The borellii group shows $H$. uruguayensis as sister to $H$. borellii $+H$. rupicola + H. taragui. Finally, within the horrida group, H. underwoodi appears as the sister to the remaining Homonota with banded coloration pattern. In this part of the tree $H$. horrida is rendered as sister to the clade H. septentrionalis plus the new taxon. The topologies of the ML and BI trees using PRLR are also the same (Suppl. material 1: Figs S5-S6). Species in the whitii group are clustered together, and the borellii group also shows monophyly but with a unresolved polytomy. In the horrida group $H$. underwoodi is also suggested as sister to the remaining species, with the new species and $H$. septentrionalis showing the most recent divergence. The trees using the concatenated dataset (with both ML and BI) show similar branch arrangement previously observed in trees of individual genes (Suppl. material 1: Figs S7S8). Only two samples (UFRGS 2139 of H. uruguayensis and LJAMM-CNP 9813 of H . darwinii) are not allocated within their respective taxa, probably because some genes are lacking for some species.

The analysis of intraspecific genetic divergence with ABGD results in 12 groups (Suppl. material 1: Appendix S5), which represent nearly all described species (except $H$. fasciata) and the new species, providing evidence for its recognition as a distinct taxon. This is highly congruent with the clusters shown by the gene trees. The species tree shows consensus in the clusters of the three groups of the genus Homonota, with slight differences in the branch arrangements. The lower value (density of green lines in Figure 1) shows a trichotomy where the three groups are nested together, and with similar proba-


Figure 1. Species tree (left) and density of trees (right) for the species of the genus Homonota, based on the genes 16S, Cytb, and PRLR. The intensity in the color of the species tree is proportional to the probability.

Table 2. Normality Shapiro-Wilk ( $H$ ) values for metric (above) and meristic (below) characters showing the $p$ value. Values shaded in gray did not reach normality. See Methods section for reference to the acronyms.

|  | Continuous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SVL | TrL | FL | TL | AL | HL | HW | HH | END | ESD | EMD | ID | IND |
| W | 0.978 | 0.979 | 0.957 | 0.987 | 0.982 | 0.979 | 0.976 | 0.983 | 0.979 | 0.967 | 0.969 | 0.975 | 0.952 |
| $p$ | 0.503 | 0.506 | 0.087 | 0.849 | 0.696 | 0.575 | 0.401 | 0.758 | 0.555 | 0.199 | 0.284 | 0.400 | 0.050 |
|  | Discrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DT | TVS |  | LVS |  | SL |  | IL |  | 4TL |  | 3FL |  |
| W | 0.962 | 0.971 |  | 0.965 |  | 0.779 |  | 0.788 |  | 0.913 |  | 0.948 |  |
| $p$ | 0.109 | 0.291 |  | 0.164 |  | $3.05 \mathrm{E}^{7}$ |  | $4.65 \mathrm{E}^{7}$ |  | 0.008 |  | 0.023 |  |

bilities a species tree that clusters the whitii group as sister to the borellii group (density of red lines) and another where the whitii group is sister to the borellii group + horrida group (density of blue lines). Same as observed in the gene trees, H. underwoodi is presented as the sister clade to the remaining members of the group, and $H$. horrida sister to the new species of Homonota and $H$. septentrionalis with a rather deep divergence between these two taxa.

All continuous morphological variables had normal distributions (Table 2). The DFA for metric data showed that females of the three species are more differentiated than males (Fig. 2). The most contributing variables were SVL and TL for Axis 1, and SVL and TrL for Axis 2 and 3 (Table 3). Given the high eigenvalue of axes 1 and 2 (3.79 and 2.34 respectively, Fig. 2) suggests that the groups are significantly differentiated. For the meristic data, only DT, TVS, and LVS reached normality (Table 2), and DFA with these variables showed a high degree of overlapping without group discrimination and low eigenvalues (Fig. 3), and weak discrimination values (Table 3). Raw metric and meristic data are presented in Suppl. material 1: Tables S1 and S2.

Table 3. Most contributing continuous (Cont.) and discrete (Disc.) variables (highlighted in bold) for Axis 1-3 of the DFA.

| $\stackrel{\dot{C}}{\bar{O}}$ | Variables | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Axis 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SVL | 0,417 | 1,202 | -3,447 |
|  | TrL | -0,187 | 0,690 | -1,798 |
|  | FL | 0,132 | 0,401 | -0,479 |
|  | TL | 0,228 | 0,193 | -0,417 |
|  | AL | 0,201 | 0,213 | -0,553 |
|  | HL | -0,017 | 0,357 | -0,605 |
|  | HW | -0,128 | 0,218 | -0,525 |
|  | HH | -0,199 | 0,187 | -0,258 |
|  | END | -0,021 | 0,099 | -0,193 |
|  | ESD | -0,052 | 0,111 | -0,392 |
|  | EMD | 0,144 | 0,134 | -0,221 |
|  | ID | -0,028 | -0,013 | -0,302 |
|  | IND | 0,002 | 0,127 | -0,050 |
| $\stackrel{\dot{y}}{\stackrel{y}{0}}$ | DT | 1.424 | -1.232 | -1.338 |
|  | TVS | 2.166 | 1.825 | 0.921 |
|  | LVS | <0.001 | 1.482 | -1.255 |

There is a strong molecular congruence in the recognition of 12 taxa within the genus Homonota (three of them with a banded coloration pattern), which added


Figure 2. Discriminant Function Analysis scatter plot of individual scores for the three most informative axes for continuous variables of Homonota horrida, H. septentrionalis, and Homonota sp. n. Eigval: Eigenvalues. M: males. F: females.


Figure 3. Discriminant Function Analysis scatter plot of individual scores for the three most informative axes for discrete variables of Homonota horrida, H. septentrionalis, and Homonota sp. n. Eigval: Eigenvalues. M: males. F: females.
to the significant differences among the three species with banded pattern based on the DFA, and the additional morphological distinctions discussed below are used to identify the new taxon described here.

## Homonota marthae sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/FAB96653-46FF-4291-A23B-0FDB390AC54D
Holotype. SMF 101441 (field number GK-3783) (Fig. 4), adult female, collected on February $17^{\text {th }} 2012$ by Gunther Köhler in Dry Chaco, near the main house of Estancia Amistad ( $22.406^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 60.728^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, elevation ca. 190 masl ), Boquerón Department, Paraguay (Fig. 5).

Paratypes. Paraguay: Boquerón Department: Comunidad Ayoreo Jesudi (MNHNP 10744); Comunidad Ayoreo Tunucojai (MNHNP 10534); Estancia Amistad
(SMF 101437); Estancia Jabalí (MNHNP 7832); Filadelfia (MNHNP 2795, 2798, 2810, 11790, 11791, 11793, SMF 101436, 101438-40, 101442); 31.5 km S Filadelfia (MNHNP 9726).

Diagnosis. A species of Homonota assigned to the horrida group given its relationship (based on molecular evidence) with $H$. horrida, and by the color pattern composed of a vertebral and five to seven transversal clear lines appearing as a banded Homonota smilar to H. horrida and H. septentrionalis. Homonota marthae has a robust body, and prominently keeled tubercles disposed in four to eight longitudinal rows on the dorsum.

Homonota marthae can be differentiated from all species in the genus, except H. fasciata, H. horrida, H. darwinii, and H. septentrionalis by the color pattern of transversal bands on the dorsum (reticulated pattern in the remaining species). Homonota marthae is further differ-


Figure 4. Dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views of the holotype of Homonota marthae.


Figure 5. Occidental Region of Paraguay, indicating the political division, showing the known records for Homonota septentrionalis (white circles) and the analyzed records of Homonota marthae (black circles), and its type locality (star). Circle with a white cross, indicates origin of the genetic samples. High resolution elevation base map ( 30 seconds resolution) taken from Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-CSI) available on http://www.diva-gis.org/gdata (Jarvis et al. 2008).
entiated from $H$. andicola, $H$. whitii, H. darwinii, and $H$. underwoodi by the keeled scales along the whole dorsum (vs. smooth dorsal scales in $H$. andicola, H. whitii, and $H$. underwoodi, and keeled scales restricted to the posterior part of the dorsum in $H$. darwinii). It differs from H. fasciata by having a serrated edge of the auditory meatus (vs. smooth anterior margin in H. fasciata); presence of one or two enlarged tubercles on the upper edge of the auditory meatus (vs. no enlarged tubercles in H. fasciata); and a smaller size of the postmental scales (vs. postmentals of the size of the first infralabials in H. fasciata). Homono-
ta marthae differs from $H$. horrida by the higher position of the ear opening in relation to the level of the mouth (vs. lower positioned in H. horrida); from H. septentrionalis by more developed keeled tubercles on the sides of the neck (Fig. 6) (vs. less developed tubercles in $H$. septentrionalis). Finally, adults of $H$. marthae differ from these both species by the lack of a white band (usually crescent-shaped) on the occipital area (vs. white occipital crescent-shaped band present in H. horrida and H. septentrionalis) (Fig. 7). An artificial key for identification of the species of the genus is presented at the end of the work.


Figure 6. Plate showing the difference in scalation among individuals of similar sizes and same gender, of Homonota marthae (A, $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E})$ and H. septentrionalis $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F})$. Note the more developed keeled tubercles on the sides of the neck in the former species.


Figure 7. Variation in color patterns of Homonota marthae ( $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{H}$ ). The lack of the white occipital crescent-shaped line (present in H. septentrionalis, $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L}$ ) is evident in most of the specimens. Scale bars: 5 mm .

Description of the holotype. Adult female, SVL 56 mm (4.1 times the HL), TrL 26 mm , tail length 70 mm , FL 11.0 mm , TL 9.6 mm , AL 13.3 mm , HL 13.6 mm , HW 10.8 mm , HH 8.3 mm , END 4.2 mm , ESD 5.9 mm , EMD 4.7 mm , ID 4.6 mm , IND 2.0 mm ; rostral wider ( 2.7 mm ) than high ( 1.5 mm ) with a median groove covering the upper two thirds of the scale; nares surrounded by rostral, supranasal, and postnasal; SL 8/8; one elongated tubercular scale on the mouth commissure; muzzle slightly convex, covered by large homogeneous juxtaposed scales; head covered with big homogeneous juxtaposed scales on the dorsal area, intermixed with small granules; super-
ciliary scales imbricated, associated to spiny-like scales on the posterior half of the orbit; scales on lateral surface of the head heterogeneously covered with strongly conical tubercles intermixed with small granules; auditory meatus oblique and with serrated edge, and one large elongated scale on the upper border; IL 6/6, the last less than half the size of the others; mental bell-shaped; two postmentals less than twice the size of the following posterior scales, contacting the mental, the first IL, and four posterior scales; scales under the head gradually reducing in size posteriorly; dorsal and lateral parts of the neck with granular juxtaposed scales mixed with tubercles;
ventral side of the head covered by imbricate cycloid scales; body dorsally covered with $14-16$ rows of strongly keeled scales, separated by one to two small granules in the pleural areas, and three to four granules in the vertebral area; ventral scales cycloid and imbricate arranged in 16 longitudinal rows at midbody; suprascapular, axillary, inguinal regions, and cloacal opening surrounded by small imbricate granules; anterior and dorsal surfaces of limbs covered by large imbricate scales, keeled on the dorsal surface; posterior region of limbs covered by small juxtaposed granules; ventral surface of forelimbs with juxtaposed granules, and ventral surface of hind limbs with large imbricate scales; subdigital lamellae of hands starting from pollex were recorded as follows: $8 / 8$ $-10 / 12-15 / 13-16 / 16-11 / 11$; subdigital lamellae of feet starting from hallux were recorded as follow: $15 / 13$ $-19 / 17-15 / 16-12 / 12-9 / 9$; tail with large imbricated and mucronate scales, $10-12$ per caudal whorl.

Coloration of the holotype (in preservative). After five years in preservative, the coloration was recorded as follows: Head Mikado Brown (42) with Warm Sepia (40) speckling on the dorsal surface; Warm Sepia (40) on the sides, with a Light Buff (2) line from nares to orbit, and continuing behind the orbit above the temporal region; supralabials and infralabials Medium Neutral Gray (298) with suffusions of Smoky White (261); and Fawn Color (258) ventrally. Dorsal background color of the body Beige (254) with Vandyke Brown (282) splotches, and poorly defined Chamois (84) transversal lines; Drab (19) laterally, with Dusky Brown (285) and Pale Buff (1) splotches; and Ground Cinnamon (270) ventrally, with Smoky White (261) suffusions. Tail with Grayish Horn Color (268), Sepia (286), and Cream White (52) transversal bands dorsally; Drab (19) laterally; and Smoky White (261) ventrally. Limbs dorsally covered with a reticulation of Drab (19), Chamois (84), and Dusky Brown (285), ventrally grading to Fawn Color (258) in forelimbs, and Ground Cinnamon (270) with suffusions of Smoky White (261) in hind limbs.

Coloration in life. Coloration in life of a young male (SMF 101438) was recorded as follows: Dorsum Mars Brown (223A) with a Tawny Olive (223D) vertebral stripe and transverse lines; dorsum of head Tawny Olive (223D) with a Verona Brown (223B) nuchal band that contains a central Tawny Olive (223D) line; iris Clay Color (123B) with a suffusion of Verona Brown (223B) centrally; dorsal surface of limbs Beige (219D) with Sepia (219) spots; ventral surfaces of head, body and limbs dirty white; dorsal surface of (regenerated) tail light Drab (119C) with scattered Sepia (119) spots; ventral surface of tail Light Drab (119C) with a suffusion of Sepia (119) medially.

Coloration in life of a juvenile female (SMF 101436) was recorded as follows: Dorsal ground color Raw Umber (123) with Raw Umber (223) transverse lines, edged with Pale Horn Color (92) posteriorly. Postocular stripe Ground Cinnamon (239); iris Yellow Ocher (123C) with
a suffusion of Dark Drab (119B); dorsal surface of tail Cinnamon Drab (219C) with Sepia (119) bands, borders posteriorly by Chamois (123C); ventral surface of head, body and limbs dirty white, palmar and plantar surfaces Light Drab (119C); anterior portion of ventral tail Beige (219D), with Sepia (119) band on distal portion.

Color variation. One juvenile (SMF 101439, 36 mm SVL) and two young adults (MNHNP 11793, 45 mm SVL; SMF 101438, 45 mm SVL) out of the 17 examined specimens of Homonota marthae have a trace of white crescent-shaped band on the occipital area (more visible in the SMF 101439, Fig. 7G), typical of H. horrida and H. septentrionalis. Nevertheless, many juveniles (such as SMF 101436) show the same coloration as adults (Fig. 7). The specimen MNHNP 7832 has a narrow occipital white band, joined to the postocular lines (Fig. 7C). Some specimens have a darkish coloration (MNHNP 2810, 10744, 11791, 11793) dorsally, and ventrally most of the specimens have a clearer color than the holotype, except for MNHNP 2798, 2810, and 10744. In some specimens (MNHNP 2795, 2798, 2810, 10744) the dorsal color is diffused and the transversal bands are little visible.

Morphological variation. SVL 36-59 mm; TrL 16-27 mm (43.8-48.2\% of SVL in females, 40.7-46.7\% in males); FL $9-11 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 10 \pm 0.36)$ in females, $7-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ $(\bar{x} 8.7 \pm 0.52)$ in males; TL $8.7-10.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 9.5 \pm 0.2)$ in females, $8-10.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 9.1 \pm 0.31)$ in males; AL 9.3-13.7 $\mathrm{mm}(\bar{x} 12.8 \pm 0.28)$ in females, $11.2-14 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 12.4 \pm 0.38)$ in males; HL $9.3-13.8 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 13.2 \pm 0.19)$ in females, $11.1-13.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x} 12.2 \pm 0.31)$ in males; HW $7.1-11.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (79.4-88\% of HL in females, 78.9-85.9\% in males); HH $5.5-8.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $52.6-61 \%$ of HL in females, $52.6-60.5 \%$ in males); END $2.8-5.1 \mathrm{~mm}(30.8-35.1 \%$ of HL in females, $31.4-38.9 \%$ in males); ESD $3.9-6.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $40.3-45 \%$ of HL in females, $40.5-46.5 \%$ in males); EMD 3.1-5 mm (31.3-34.7\% of HL in females, $33.8-37 \%$ in males); ID $3.8-5.8 \mathrm{~mm}(33.8-40 \%$ of HL in females, $37.1-44.7 \%$ in males); IND $1.4-2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $12.2-16 \%$ of HL in females, $11.8-14 \%$ in males); SL 5-8; one or two elongated tubercular scales on the mouth commissure; auditory meatus with one large scale on the upper border; IL 5-7; 14-20 longitudinal rows of ventral scales at mid-body; 34-49 transversal rows of ventral scales.

Etymology. This species is named in honor of our indefatigable colleague Martha Motte, who is not only dedicated to safekeeping the herpetological collection of the "Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay", but also does a great job in providing selfless support to scientists that are striving to improve the knowledge of the Paraguayan herpetofauna.

Habitat and distribution. Homonota marthae is known from the central area of the Paraguayan Dry Chaco in the Department of Boquerón (Fig. 5). The environment is a xeric forest with abundance of thorny vegetation and
almost absence of a herbaceous stratum. Nevertheless, a more detailed analysis of museum collections is advisable for a better knowledge of the distribution of this species.

This species is a dry forest inhabitant, but it is also frequently found in human dwellings. Talbot (1978) recorded the use of logs of Drunken tree (Chorisia speciosa: Malvaceae) as shelter by Homonota in the Dry Chaco, since the wood of this tree keeps high water levels. Additionally, Cacciali et al. (2007a) demonstrated the use of subterranean caves (usually armadillo burrows) by Homonota in several areas of the Paraguayan Chaco.

## Discussion

The diversity of species groups within the genus Homono$t a$ was explored in the last decade, and resulted in the description of H. williamsii (Avila et al. 2012) of the whitii group, and H. rupicola (Cacciali et al. 2007b) and $H$. taragui (Cajade et al. 2013) of the borellii group. However, the taxonomy of the horrida group (referred to as fasciata in Morando et al. 2014) was untouched for many years, and was comprised of two species (H. horrida and H. underwoodi). Recently, with the description of H. septentrionalis by Cacciali et al. (2017), and adding H. marthae described herein, the diversity of the horrida group currently includes four species. Morando et al. (2014) and Cacciali et al. (2017) presented species trees where the whitii group is sister to horrida and borellii groups. Our deep cluster arrangement is not completely resolved probably due to the use of fewer genes. Nevertheless, there is a strong consensus in the topology of the horrida group, where H. underwoodi appears as the sister of the remaining taxa (Fig. 1).

No obvious external synapomorphy is known to diagnose the horrida group. Three of the four species (H. horrida, H. septentrionalis, and $H$. marthae) have a pattern characterized by transversal body bands and the presence of a vertebral line. This coloration is different from the remaining species of the genus. The fourth species of the horrida group, H. underwoodi, has homogeneous body scalation and a completely different pattern, and therefore H. horrida and $H$. underwoodi were considered not to be in the same group (Kluge 1964).

The most obvious external difference between H. marthae and its presumed closest relative, H. septentrionalis, is the lack of a white occipital band in the former taxon, although we found some specimens (mainly juveniles or
hatchlings) of $H$. marthae that do have the occipital band. Given that this white occipital band is also present in $H$. horrida, it could be a plesiomorphic character, and therefore the lack of it could be interpreted as the derived state.

Both species seem to inhabit in parapatry the Dry Chaco in Paraguay, and although a major revision of the whole distribution of the group is needed in order to know their actual ranges, $H$. septentrionalis is distributed in the north-westernmost part of the Dry Chaco, whereas H. marthae occurs in the central and easternmost areas of the Dry Chaco. Due to the lack of evident geographic barriers between these two species and considering their relatively low morphological variation (especially in males), they remained recognized as a single taxonomic unit until now. Parapatric speciation or breaks to gene flow without evident geographic barriers were observed and discussed by Irwin (2002), and also documented for other geckos in South America's Dry Diagonal, where Werneck et al. (2012) documented a high diversity in sympatric clades of Phyllopezus in Caatinga and Cerrado.

The degree of genetic differentiation between these two species is evident, and larger than the degree of morphological differences. Small morphological differentiation or even complete crypsis is common for many organisms, especially when they use the same ecological niche. Specifically for geckos, a recent study showed that it is difficult to find morphological diagnostic characters that match those observed by genetic evidence, as it is the case of the genera Garthia and Homonota, which are very similar morphologically (Daza et al. 2017). This is in agreement with previous studies that found that molecular genetic tools provided additional evidence for the interpretation of gecko's systematics in the Neotropics (Gamble et al. 2011, Gamble et al. 2012, Morando et al. 2014). The evolutionary processes that led to the molecular differentiation between H. septentrionalis and H. marthae remain unknown.

Finally, Homonota marthae is a common species that resists human perturbation and can be found in rural environments, and although its actual distribution limits are not yet known, and more revisions are needed to target this issue, probably the records of "Homonota fasciata" from Defensores del Chaco National Park referred by Cacciali et al. (2016) belong to H. marthae, and one of the records of $H$. marthae in Comunidad Ayoreo Tunucojai lays at $\sim 70 \mathrm{~km}$ W from Yaguareté Porã Natural Reserve. Thus, we consider that $H$. marthae is not under extinction risk.

## Key for identification of the species of the genus Homonota

Information to generate the key was based on Cacciali et al. (2007b), Avila et al. (2012), and Cájade et al. (2013). Given that the holotype of H. fasciata is completely bleeched, we consider the information on its coloration from the original color description (Duméril and Bibron 1836).
1 Coloration based on irregular or reticulated pattern. ..... 2

- Coloration pattern composed of transversal bands. ..... 10
2 Dorsal scales homogeneously smooth ..... 3
- Dorsal scales smooth and granular mixed with series of enlarged keeled scales. .....  5
3 Ventral surface of the body immaculate due to lack of chromatophores ..... H. underwoodi
- Ventral surface of the body pigmented with chromatophores ..... 4
4 43-49 scales around midbody. ..... H. andicola
- 55-59 scales around midbody. .H. whitii
5 Series of keeled scales restricted to the posterior half of the dorsum ..... H. darwinii
- Series of keeled scales uniformly extended along the whole dorsum ..... 6
6 Dorsal surface of thighs with keeled scales. ..... 7
- Dorsal surface of thighs with smooth scales ..... 8
7 Dorsal surface of arms with keeled scales; temporal region with enlarged keeled scales.

$\qquad$
H. uruguayensis- Dorsal surface of arms with smooth cycloid scales; temporal region homogeneously covered by granular scales
$\qquad$
8 146-161 dorsal scales from occipital area to the level of the cloaca; oblique ear opening ..... H. williamsii

- 94-139 dorsal scales from occipital area to the level of the cloaca; round ear opening. ..... 9
9 45-50 scales around midbody; dorsal surface of the tail with a pattern of thin speckling
H. borellii
- 54-63 scales around midbody; dorsal surface of the tail with a pattern of black blotches.10 Edge of ear opening smooth, without enlarged tubercular scales around; postmentals about five times larger than thescales behind it..- Edge of ear opening serrated, with one or two tubercular scales above; postmentals twice larger than the scalesbehind it.11
11 Tubercles on the dorsal and lateral sides of the neck poorly developed; occipital area with a wide whitish cres-cent-shaped mark.H. septentrionalis
- Tubercles on the dorsal and lateral sides of the neck well developed; occipital coloration variable ..... 12
12 Ear opening above the mouth level; occipital area with homogeneous coloration or with a faint reticulation in adults .... .....  H. marthae
- Ear opening at the level of the mouth; occipital area with a wide whitish crescent-shaped mark H. horrida
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## Appendix 1

## Examined specimens

## Homonota horrida

ARGENTINA: La Pampa: Ruta Provincial 1, 23.6 km W from intersection with Ruta Nacional 151 (LJAMM-CNP 10523, 10584); Ruta Provincial 27,
37.7 km S from intersection with Ruta Provincial 14 (LJAMM-CNP 10578-9). Mendoza: 1 km S Punta de Agua (LJAMM-CNP 10493, 10496, 10576-7). Neuquén: 41 km NW Punta Carranza (LJAMMCNP 8713); 6 km SW Picun Leufu (LJAMM-CNP 13948); Ruta Provinicial 5, 10 km N from Ruta Provincial 7 (LJAMM-CNP 7804); Mina La Casualidad (LJAMM-CNP 14551); Villa El Chocón (LJAMMCNP 6967-8). Río Negro: Avellaneda (LJAMMCNP 7670, 7674); Villa Regina (LJAMM-CNP 6520, 6530, 6532-3, 6535).

## Homonota septentrionalis

PARAGUAY: Boquerón: Cruce San Miguel (MNHNP 11850, 11855, 11860, 11872); Fortín Mayor Infante Rivarola (MNHNP 12238, SMF 101984); Parque Nacional Teniente Enciso (MNHNP 2821, 9037-8, 9131, 11410, 11421, 11423).

## Acronyms

LJAMM-CNP: Colección de herpetología del Centro Nacional Patagónico.
MNHNP: Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay.
SMF: Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum Frankfurt.
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#### Abstract

The presence of an adhesive abdominal sucker (gastromyzophory) allows tadpoles of certain species of anurans to live in fast-flowing streams. Gastromyzophorous tadpoles are rare among anurans, known only in certain American bufonids and Asian ranids. To date, Huia sumatrana, which inhabits cascading streams, has been the only Sumatran ranid known to possess gastromyzophorous tadpoles. In the absence of thorough sampling and molecular barcoding of adults and larvae, it has remained to be confirmed whether other Sumatran ranid species living in similar habitats, i.e., Chalcorana crassiovis, possesses this larval type. Moreover, the taxonomic status of this species has long been uncertain and its taxonomic position within the Ranidae, previously based exclusively on morphological characters, has remained unresolved. To study the diversity and relationships of these frogs and to establish the identity of newly collected gastromyzophorous tadpoles from Sumatra, we compared genetic sequences of $C$. crassiovis-like taxa from a wide range of sites on Sumatra. We conducted bayesian and maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses on a concatenated dataset of mitochondrial ( 12 S rRNA, 16 S rRNA, and tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}$ ) and nuclear (RAG1 and TYR) gene fragments. Our analyses recovered C. crassiovis to be related to Clinotarsus, Huia, and Meristogenys. The DNA barcodes of the gastromyzophorous tadpoles matched adults from the same sites. Herein, we provide a re-description of adult C. crassiovis and propose " $C$. kampeni" as a synonym of this species. The molecular evidence, morphological features, and distribution suggest the presence of two related new species. The two new species and C. crassiovis together represent a distinct phylogenetic clade possessing unique molecular and morphological synapomorphies, thus warranting a new genus.


#### Abstract

Abstrak

Pada beberapa jenis katak tertentu yang hidup di sungai berarus deras, di bagian abdomen berudunya terdapat semacam alat perekat sebagai mekanisme adaptasi pada kondisi habitat tempat tinggalnya. Tipe berudu seperti ini dikenal dengan nama gastromyzophorous dan sangat jarang ditemukan, hanya diketahui pada beberapa jenis bufonid di Amerika dan katak ranid di Asia. Hingga saat ini, hanya Huia sumatrana, dengan habitat sungai berarus deras, yang diketahui memiliki tipe berudu seperti ini di Sumatra. Tanpa survey menyeluruh dan tanpa DNA barcoding untuk katak dewasa dan kecebong, dugaan men-


#### Abstract

genai keberadaan katak jenis lain dengan tipe berudu serupa di pulau ini, misalnya Chalcorana crassiovis, masih harus dibuktikan. Di sisi lain, status taksonomi jenis ini hingga kini masih belum dapat dipastikan, dan posisi taksonominya dalam famili Ranidae hanya berdasarkan karakter morfologi saja. Oleh karena itu, untuk mengetahui keanekaragaman dan hubungan kekerabatan dari katak-katak jenis tersebut, serta untuk memastikan identitas koleksi berudu gastromyzophorous dari Sumatra, kami membandingkan data genetik dari semua taxa yang mirip dengan C. crassiovis dari berbagai lokasi di Sumatra. Kami merekonstruksi pohon filogeni dengan menganalisis sekuens DNA dari gabungan fragmen gen mitokondria ( 12 S rRNA, 16S rRNA, dan tRNA ${ }^{\text {ral }}$ ) dan gen inti (RAG1 dan TYR) menggunakan metode Bayesian dan Maximum Likelihood. Hasil penelitian kami membuktikan bahwa C. crassiovis berkerabat dekat dengan Clinotarsus, Huia, dan Meristogenys. Sekuens DNA dari berudu gastromyzophorous memiliki kecocokan dengan sekuens DNA katak dewasa dari lokasi yang sama. Dalam paper ini, kami menyajikan deskripsi ulang untuk C. crassiovis dan menyarankan agar "C. kampeni" menjadi junior synonym dari C. crassiovis. Bukti molekuler, karakter morfologi, dan kisaran distribusi menunjukkan bahwa terdapat dua jenis baru yang berkerabat dengan C. crassiovis. Ketiganya menunjukkan perbedaan filogenetik yang signifikan, yang dibuktikan dengan adanya synapomorphy pada karakter molekuler dan morfologi yang unik. Oleh sebab itu dibentuk genus baru untuk ketiga jenis ini.


## Introduction

A fascinating aspect of Southeast Asian ranid frogs is that some of them possess tadpoles with large abdominal suckers. The presence of this adhesive structure has been referred to as gastromyzophory (Inger 1966). Altig and Johnston (1989) described gastromyzophorous tadpoles as an ecomorphological guild. These tadpoles are adapted to live in fast-flowing streams (McDiarmid and Altig 1999, Altig 2006). Their body profile is streamlined with an extended sloping snout. Their adhesive abdominal sucker allows them to cling to rocks even in the fast-flowing, turbulent water of cascades (Nodzenski and Inger 1990, Gan et al. 2015). The abdominal sucker occupies almost the entire ventral surface of the body immediately posterior to the oral disk; both act together to press the body to the substrate through suction. The sucker has raised thickened lateral and posterior rims that seal against the substrate; the oral disk itself is broadly expanded to almost full body width. On the ventral surface of this sucker, there are spots or bands of brown skin, i.e., keratinized epithelium, probably enhancing friction when the sucker engages with the rock surface (Inger 1985, Gan et al. 2015). The tadpoles are able to loosen the suction momentarily to drag themselves forward by action of their strongly developed jaws; algae and other organic rock overgrowth is scraped off by the jaws and keratodont rows of the oral disk while wandering over the rock surface (Inger 1966, AH pers. observ.). According to our field observations on Bornean Meristogenys tadpoles (AH unpubl.), this feeding mode restricts taxa with gastromyzophorous tadpoles to certain habitats and microhabitats: clear rocky streams with considerable water velocity and enough light reaching those rocks to form organic overgrowth for the tadpoles to graze on.

Members of the gastromyzophorous tadpole guild are relatively rare among anurans. They are known only in certain bufonids (e.g., Rao and Yang 1994, Boistel et al. 2005, Matsui et al. 2007, Aguayo et al. 2009, Rueda-Solano et al. 2015) and some Asian ranids: species of Amolops Cope, 1865, Huia Yang, 1991, Meristogenys Yang, 1991, and Rana sauteri Boulenger, 1909 (Kuramoto et al. 1984, Yang 1991, Malkmus et al. 2002, Matsui et al. 2006, Ngo et al. 2006, Shimada et al. 2007, Stuart 2008, Gan et al. 2015).

In Asia, Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys are all genera for which the tadpoles are known to have the gastromyzophorous type (Inger 1966, Yang 1991, Shimada et al. 2015): a total of 69 species are currently listed for these genera (Frost 2017). All adult frogs of this group were mainly recorded along swift rocky hill or mountain streams in forested areas. These frogs usually like to perch themselves on rocks or vegetation in the vicinity of streams. In case of Meristogenys, it has been reported that eggs are glued to rock surfaces to keep them from being washed away (Malkmus et al. 2002). In Sumatra, this aforementioned habitat for ranid species with gastromyzophorous tadpoles is very abundant due to the mountainous tolopogy, which stretches longitudinally along the island. To date, Huia sumatrana Yang, 1991 has been the only Sumatran ranid positively known to possess gastromyzophorous tadpoles (Yang 1991, Manthey and Denzer 2014). The tadpoles of H. modigliani (Doria, Salvidio \& Tavano, 1999), a species also recorded from Sumatra, remain unkown. In an extensive field effort, we focused on sampling riverine ranids from fast-flowing or torrential streams. We suspected that there might be species, other than H. sumatrana, that inhabit torrential stream habitats in Sumatra and also possess gastromyzophrous larvae. In fact, one of us had found unidentified gastromyzophorous tadpoles in Su-
matra in previous fieldwork (DI unpubl.). It has been known that particularly Odorrana hosii (Boulenger, 1891), Chalcorana crassiovis (Boulenger, 1920), and/or C. kampeni (Boulenger, 1920), are Sumatran ranid species that occur in rocky, fast flowing streams, along with H. sumatrana. Potentially, as inferred from the preferred habitat of adults, these taxa could all be candidates for possessing gastromyzophorous tadpoles. The tadpoles of $O$. hosii, however, show that such habitats offer various microhabitats options. The tadpole of $O$. hosii have no abdominal sucker and live in relatively quiet, leaf litter filled side-pools (Inger 1966, Grossmann and Manthey 1997, AH pers. observ.). In case of C. crassiovis and/or C. kampeni, no information regarding tadpoles is hitherto available and the possibility of a gastromyzophorous tadpoles has remained unverified until now.

Chalcorana crassiovis (Boulenger, 1920) was originally described as Rana crassiovis Boulenger, 1920 based on two specimens (BMNH 1947.2.3.99 and BMNH 1947.2.4.1) collected from Kerinci, Sumatra, Indonesia at $\sim 1219 \mathrm{~m}(4,000 \mathrm{ft}$ ) a.s.l.. In the same publication describing C. crassiovis, Boulenger (1920) also re-described Rana pantherina van Kampen, 1910 as $R$. kampeni. The short original description of $R$. pantherina by van Kampen (1910) included a figure of one specimen. Boulenger (1920) based his description of C. kampeni on a specimen (ZMA unregistered number) collected at Bandar Baru, Batak Mts., Kabupaten (=Regency) Dili Serdang, Provinsi (=Province) Sumatera Utara at $\pm 900 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1.. Van Kampen (1923) later recorded another population of $C$. kampeni from Serepai and Sungai Kring in Kerinci. Van Tujil (1995) declared the holotype of C. kampeni as lost.

Inger and Iskandar (2005) were the first to report on a large series of Chalcorana crassiovis from along the banks of Batang Tarusan, Provinsi Sumatera Barat and provide a re-description of C. crassiovis on the basis of these samples. The original description of $C$. kampeni was very similar to that of C. crassovis leading Inger and Iskandar (2005) to doubt the validity of $C$. kampeni and to conclude that it may be conspecific with C. crassiovis. Inger and Iskandar (2005) considered the larger tympanum of C. kampeni only a sexual dimorphism within C. crassiovis; judging by its small reported size ( 36.5 mm , van Kampen 1910), the $C$. kampeni type specimen was probably a male. Despite the conclusion of Inger and Iskandar (2005), the two taxa have not been synonymized and some authors have maintained the name C. kampeni and applied it to all known populations previously referred to as Rana kampeni (e.g., Frost et al. 2006, Che et al. 2007, Oliver et al. 2015).

To date, no studies have included Chalcorana crassiovis (or C. kampeni) in a molecular phylogenetic context, and few have included Sumatran congeners (Inger et al. 2009, Pyron and Wiens 2011, Oliver et al. 2015, Chan and Brown 2017). Sound phylogenetic hypotheses based on robust sampling of the Chalcorana group remain to be proposed. This is significant given the ensuing debates over the relationships among the Asian Ranidae in recent decades. After its original description by Bou-
lenger (1920) as Rana crassiovis, this species has been placed in various genera (i.e., Hydrophylax (Frost et al. 2006), Hylarana (Che et al. 2007), and Chalcorana (Oliver et al. 2015)) on the basis of secondary taxonomic implications from analyses of other, putatively related taxa (Frost 2017). This past history of various placements clearly shows that $C$. crassovis needs to be analyzed in a larger phylogentic context amongst ranids. Phylogenetic analyses of new data have the potential to significantly contribute to the ongoing discussion and ultimately lead to more stable taxonomic amendments.

Considering the confusing and unstable taxonomic history of Chalcorana crassiovis and its relatives, it became clear that a thorough resampling and molecular analysis of cascade-dwelling frogs of Sumatra was necessary. Herein, we present our analyses of newly sampled material of $C$. crassiovis. The objectives of this study were: 1) to examine the phylogenetic relationships and taxonomic status of C. crassiovis and morphologically similar taxa based on new molecular data; 2) to evaluate the phylogenetic position and taxonomy of material topotypic with C. kampeni; 3) to assess material from extensive sampling along the longitudinal axis of Sumatra in an effort to elucidate the diversity and distribution of this group of frogs; 4) to assign samples of collected gastromyzophorous tadpoles to specific species based on molecular evidence.

## Materials and methods

## Sampling strategy

We conducted rapid biological sampling (Ribeiro-Junior et al. 2008) at sites across Sumatra between 2013-2016. All specimens examined were collected during these sampling activities, and additional specimens were collected during 2008 and 2012 (Fig. 1). Rapid sampling entails visiting many sites but with limited time at each site in order to gather as much data as possible from as many sites as possible. This approach is cost effective and indispensable for sampling potentially cryptic species (Ribeiro-Junior et al. 2008). We collected frogs that were morphologically similar to Chalcorana crassiovis at their torrential stream habitats along with any gastromyzophorous tadpoles found in the same streams. The sampling included specimens from the reported type locality of the enigmatic taxon C. kampeni. Its type locality, when originally described as Rana pantherina van Kampen, 1910 was Bandar Baru, a village in the Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Provinsi Sumatera Utara. We collected stream frogs that are morphologically similar to C. crassiovis from the hillside streams of Bandar Baru and consider our materials (Appendix 1) topotypic to the original types of $C$. kampeni. The type locality of C. crassiovis is "Korinchi, Sumatra, 4,000 feet" (Boulenger 1920). Today, the modern spelling, "Kerinci" is applied to Mt. Kerinci as well as the Kabupaten Kerinci area; the original description does not provide hints as to where exactly the type specimens were collected from within that area. We visited Mt. Ker-


Figure 1. Sampling localities of adult and larva of Chalcorana crassiovis specimens for this study. Black circles represent localities of specimens which were examined. White triangles represent localities of specimens which were examined and measured. Red stars represent localities of specimens which were examined, measured, and sequenced. Type locality of C. kampeni shown by number 1 (Bandar Baru), number 2 (Kerinci) for C. crassiovis. Provinces are shown by alphabet: A Aceh, B Sumatera Utara, C Riau, D Sumatera Barat, E Jambi, F Bengkulu, G Sumatera Selatan, H Lampung. Borders between provinces are represented by black lines. The map was prepared using GeoMapApp (Ryan et al. 2009).
inci but could not find frogs of the group in question, so our nearest samplings were approximately 10 km north and northeast of Mt. Kerinci and several localities were still within the Kabupaten Kerinci area (Appendix 1).

We followed the general legal guidelines of Germany (Tierschutzgesetz, https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/ tierschg/BJNR012770972.html) for handling and euthanizing the specimens. Each frog was anesthetized slowly and ultimately euthanized in an aqueous solution of chlorobuthanol. Tissue samples of muscle or liver tissue were preserved in either ethanol (96\%), RNA later (Sigma Aldrich, USA) or Lysis buffer ( 0.5 M Tris / 0.25\% EDTA / 2.5\% SDS, pH 8.2) for DNA analyses. Specimens were fixed in $4 \%$ neutral-buffered formalin and then transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol for long term storage. All specimens examined in
this study are deposited at one of these following museum: The Natural History Museum (BMNH), London, United Kingdom; the Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense (MZB), Bogor, Indonesia; the Zoologisches Museum Hamburg (ZMH), Hamburg, Germany; the Museum of the University of Texas Arlington (UTA), Arlington, USA; and the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (MVZ), Berkeley, USA.

In order to uncover the true diversity of Chalcorana crassiovis, we acquired DNA sequences from tissue samples of adults ( $\mathrm{n}=20$ ) from 19 localities across Sumatra. We selected the 20 specimens after a preliminary assessment of the qualitative morphological features of all specimens ( $\mathrm{n}=329$ ) that were examined. Additionally, we included a subsample of four Sumatran gastromyzophorous tadpoles in the genetic analysis for identification. We
followed the results of previously published studies (Yang 1991, Frost et al. 2006, Oliver et al. 2015) to select potentially related species to compose a diverse and sufficiently comprehensive ingroup in order to test the phylogenetic relationships of our C. crassiovis samples. Staurois was chosen as the outgroup taxon (Pyron and Wiens 2011). We selected sequence data of C. chalconota (Schlegel, 1837), C. megalonesa (Inger, Stuart \& Iskandar, 2009), Hydrophylax malabaricus (Tschudi, 1838), Hydr. leptoglossa (Cope, 1868), Hylarana erythraea (Schlegel, 1837), Hyl. macrodactyla Günther, 1858, Clinotarsus alticola (Boulenger, 1882), Cli. penelope Grosjean, Bordoloi, Chuaynkern, Chakravarty \& Ohler, 2015, and Staurois guttatus (Günther, 1858), particularly to serve as generotypic representatives. We applied the name Cli. penelope for one sample that was originally loaned under the name Cli. alticola (FMNH 268338), because it was identical with available sequences (16S) of Cli. penelope (Genbank accession numbers KR827723 [MNHN 2000.4633] and KR827724 [MNHN 930P]; Grosjean et al. 2015).

We added DNA sequences of Amolops afghanus (Günther, 1858), A. indoburmanensis Dever, Fuiten, Konu \& Wilkinson, 2012, A. marmoratus (Blyth, 1855), A. panhai Matsui \& Nabhitabhata, 2006, Huia sumatrana Yang, 1991, H. cavitympanum (Boulenger, 1893), H. masonii (Boulenger, 1884), H. melasma Stuart \& Chan-ard, 2005, Meristogenys jerboa (Günther, 1872) and M. kinabaluensis (Inger, 1966) because these taxa have reliably recognizable gastromyzophorous larvae. Finally, we included Odorrana hosii (Boulenger, 1891) and O. livida (Blyth, 1856) as additional species. Odorrana hosii lives syntopically in the same streams with C. crassiovis. Sequences of M. jerboa, C. megalonesa, Hyl. macrodactyla, Hydr. malabaricus, Hydr. leptoglossa, and O. livida were obtained from Genbank. The remaining ingroup sequences were generated by this project. The list of voucher specimens ( $\mathrm{n}=46$ ) comprising the genetic data set is provided in Suppl. material 1.

## Laboratory protocols

We extracted DNA from tissue samples (liver, muscle) using Crystal DNA mini Kit (Biolab), PeqGOLD Tissue Kit (Peqlab), or Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit. We then amplified mitochondrial genes (12S rRNA, 16 S rRNA, and $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\text {val }}$ ) and nuclear genes (recombina-tion-activating gene 1 , RAG1, tyrosinase exon 1, TYR) for all frog samples. For tadpoles, we sequenced the 12 S rRNA and 16 S rRNA (which include tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}$ ) genes as barcode tool to associate them with adults. Primer information and PCR annealing temperatures applied for this study are provided in Table 1. We cleaned the PCR products using ExoSAP-IT ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and let a contractor (Macrogen, LGC, or Microsynth) sequenced the purified forward and reverse strands. We used GENEIOUS v 8.0 (Kearse et al. 2012, Biomatters Inc., www.geneious.com) to check sequence quality of both strands by comparison to their respective chromatograms, and to assemble and edit if necessary. Furthermore, we aligned sequences for each
gene loci using MAFFT v7.017 (Katoh and Standley 2013, module implemented in GENEIOUS v 8.0) with default setting. We eliminated poorly aligned positions and divergent regions of an alignment of each DNA loci using GBLOCK 0.91b (Castresana 2000, Talavera and Castresana 2007) which included in the online software http://www.phylogeny.fr (Dereeper et al. 2008), with setting for a less stringent selection (allows smaller final block and allows gap positions within the final block).

## Phylogenetic analyses

We ran PARTITION FINDER v.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012) on our concatenated dataset using Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) to find the best models by testing a variety of models and partitioning strategies for each loci. Four partitions were proposed by the analysis: 12S rRNA, 16S rRNA, and tRNA ${ }^{\text {val. }}$ : $G T R+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$; RAG1 codon 1, RAG1 codon 2, and TYR codon 1: HKY +I ; RAG1 codon 3: HKY+ G; TYR codon 3: K80+G. We then employed Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) to infer phylogenetic trees. To explore partitions, we constructed trees using individual loci, concatenated sequences for mitochondrial loci only, concatenated sequences for nuclear loci only, and concatenated sequences for combined mitochondrial and nuclear loci; the later was used for optimal tree reconstruction (Kluge 1989, 2004). ML tree search included 1000 bootstrap replicates in RAXML v. 8 (Stamatakis 2014) and was performed using the CIPRES Science Gateway V 3.3 (Miller et al. 2010, www.phylo.org/sub.sections/ portal), with default parameters. We also used the CIPRES Science Gateway to find optimal phylogenetic trees with MR. BAYES v 3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001, Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) in two independent runs, each with four chains, and running for 50 million generations with sampling every 1000 generations. Convergence was assessed by examining all parameters and the effective sample sizes in TRACER v.1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014) after discarding the first $25 \%$ of samples as burn in. We viewed trees that resulted from RAXML and MR. BAYES in FIGTREE v.1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) and prepared the tree in Fig. 2 using CORELDRAW X6. Nodal support with Bootstrap values (BS) $\geq 70$ for ML tree (Hillis and Bull 1993) and Posterior Probability value (PP) $\geq 0.95$ for Bayesian analyses (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001) are herein considered as strong support (Huelsenbeck and Ranala 2004, Mulcahy et al. 2011). We also calculated genetic $p$-distances using MEGA 7.0.25 (Kumar et al. 2016) from 16 S ribosomal subunit.

## Adult and tadpole morphology

We measured a total of 175 adult Chalcorana crassiovis group frogs (males $=133$, females $=42$ ). These represent a subsample of all specimens examined ( $\mathrm{n}=329$, Appendix 1). Measurements were taken with digital calipers with 0.01 mm reading accuracy. The subsample of 175 specimens included the sequenced specimens (except for MVZ271526, tissue only). Measurements were taken by UA, following current standards for morphological mea-

Table 1. Gene markers, primer sequences, annealing temperatures and sequence length information.

| Markers | Sequence | Annealing temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Length (bps) | Citation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 S | 12SZ-L: AAAGGTTTGGTCCTAGCCTT <br> 12SK-H: TCCRGTAYRCTTACCDTGTTACGA | 52 | 825 | Goebel et al. (1999) |
| 16S+ <br> tRNAval | 12sm: GGCAAGTCGTAACATGGTAAG <br> 16sd: CTCCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGTAG | 51 | 1406 | Pauly et al. (2004), <br> Oliver et al. (2015) |
| RAG1 | Rag1 1F: GCMTTGCTSCCRGGGTATCA <br> Rag1 2R: TCAATGGACGGAAGGGTTTCAATAA | 50 | 801 | Oliver et al. (2015) |
| TYR | Tyr1A: AGGTCCTCTTRAGCAAGGAATG <br> Tyr1G: TGCTGGGCRTCTCTCCARTCCCA | 57 | 579 | Oliver et al. (2015) |



Figure 2. Bayesian (on the right) and Maximum Likelihood (on the left) trees showing the phylogenetic relationship of the cras-siovis-group. A, B, C are distinct lineages within crassiovis-group. Black circles represent well supported nodes (PP $\geq 0.95$ and $\mathrm{BS} \geq 70$ ). Red branches represent relationship between Clinotarsus and Huia melasma. Tadpole sequences named with specimen number_Tad_locality (province). Adult sequences named with specimen number_locality (province). MZB.AMPH. 29336 and ZMH.A14197 were collected from the type locality of C. kampeni and C. crassiovis, respectively.
surements of frogs (e.g., Matsui et al. 2010, Shimada et al. 2011, Waser et al. 2016, and Watters et al. 2016). All acronyms and definitions of measured distances are explained in Table 2 and illustrated in Suppl. material 2A. We determined sex by the presence of nuptial pads and vocal sacs in males, and their absence and presence of eggs, respectively, in females. We analyzed sexes separately to control for bias resulting from sexual dimorphism.

We collected tadpoles from rocks in fast flowing water using a fishnet and followed the procedures suggested in Haas and Das (2011). We preserved tail tissues of the photographed specimens in either 96\% ethanol or RNAlater. We fixed and stored the remaining specimens from the series in neutral-buffered formalin solution (4\%). We staged the tadpoles $(\mathrm{n}=29)$ according to the table in Gosner (1960). The range of Grosner stages was 25-42, with the majority
of specimens at Stage $25(\mathrm{n}=12)$. We assigned the 25 tadpoles that were not sequenced to the respective clade of the genetically examined tadpoles based on their morphological similarity. Standard measurements for tadpoles (Altig 2007, Shimada et al. 2007, Haas and Das 2011, Oberhummer et al. 2014) were taken from digital images with a calibrated digital microscope VHX5000 KEYENCE Corporation, Japan (Table 3 and Suppl. material 2B) by UA. We slightly edited all images in this study using Adobe PHOTOSHOP CS6 (contrast adjustment, background, cleanup, cropping, sharpening). We prepared image plates with CORELDRAW X6.

We followed the morphological terminology of Duellman (2001) and Kok and Kalamandeen (2008). For webbing we used the formula in Guayasamin et al. (2006). We adopted the suggestions for glands cluster definitions from Shimada et al. (2015).

Table 2. Standard measurement for adult specimens used in this study. See Suppl. materials 2A for illustration.

| Acronym | Characters | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SVL | Snout Vent Length | From tip of snout to vent |
| HL | Head Length | From tip of snout to angle of jaw |
| HW | Head Width | Maximum width of the head at angle of jaw |
| SL | Snout Length | From tip of snout to the anterior corner of eye |
| SN | Snout Narial distance | From tip of snout to center of nares |
| ED | Eye Diameter | Maximum distance between anterior and posterior corners of eye |
| EN | Eye Narial distance | From center of naris to anterior circumference of eye |
| IND | Internarial Distance | Distance between centers of nares |
| IOD | Interorbital Distance | Minimum distance between upper eyelids |
| UEW | Upper Eyelid Width | Maximum transverse width of upper eyelid |
| TYV | vertical Tympanum diameter | Maximum vertical diameter, from the outer edges of tympanic annulus |
| TYh | horizontal Tympanum diameter | Maximum horizontal diameter, from the outer edges of tympanic annulus |
| ET | Eye-Tympanum distance | From posterior corner of eye to the anterior edge of tympanum |
| LAL | Lower Arm Length | From the tip of the elbow to the proximal edge of the palmar tubercle |
| HAL | Hand Length | From the proximal edge of the palmar tubercle to the tip of Finger III |
| FE | Femur Length | From center of vent to lateral of knee |
| TL | Tibia Length | Distance between anterior point of knee and posterior surface of heel with both tibia and tarsus flexed |
| FL | Foot Length | From proximal end of inner metatarsal tubercle to tip of Toe IV |
| IMTL | Inner Metatarsal Tubercle Length | Maximum distance between anterior and posterior tip of inner metatarsal tubercle |
| F1L | Finger I Length | From the proximal edge of subarticular tubercle of Finger I to the tip of Finger I |
| F2L | Finger II Length | From the proximal edge of subarticular tubercle of Finger II to the tip of Finger II |
| F3DW | Finger III Disc Width | Maximum width of Finger III disc |
| T4DW | Toe IV Disc Width | Maximum width of Toe IV disc |

Table 3. Standard measurement for tadpole specimens used in this study. See Suppl. materials 2B for illustration.

| Acronym | Character | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BL | Body Length | From snout to the point where the axis of the tail (horizontal septum of myotomes) <br> meets the body wall |
| BH | Body Height | Maximum body height at trunk |
| EW | Body Width | Maximum body width |
| ED | Eye Narial distance | From center of eye to the center of naris |
| ES | Eye Diameter | Diameter of eye measured horizontally |
| IND | Inter Narial Distance | Distance between center of nares |
| IOD | Inter Orbital Distance | Minimum distance between eyeballs |
| LFH | Lower Fin Height | Measured at point of maximum tail height |
| MTH | Maximum Tail Height | Measured from the maximum point of upper fin to the maximum point of Iower fin |
| NL | Narial Length | Maximum aperture of narial opening in dorsal view |
| ODW | Oral Disc Width | Maximum width of oral disc |
| SN | Snout Narial distance | From snout to the center of naris |
| SS | Snout Spiracle distance | From snout to end of spiracle tube |
| SUL | Sucker Length | From anterior end to posterior end of abdominal sucker |
| SUW | Sucker Width | Maximum width of abdominal sucker |
| SSL | Snout and Sucker Length | From the tip of snout and to posterior end of abdominal sucker |
| TTL | Total Length | From tip of snout to tip of the tail |
| TAL | Tail Length | Calculated as: Total Lenght (TTL) - Body Length (BL) |
| TMH | Tail Muscle Height | Maximum tail muscle height at body-tail junction |
| TMW | Tail Muscle Width | Maximum tail muscle width at body-tail junction |
| UFH | Upper Fin Height | Measured at point of maximum tail height |

## Results and discussion

## Phylogenetic analyses and morphology

We inferred optimal phylogenetic trees from our concatenated dataset ( 3611 bps ) comprising all gene markers ( 12 S rRNA +16 S rRNA + tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}+$ RAG1 + TYR), of which $12.16 \%$ gaps and undetermined characters state. The best log likelihood of ML tree was -25426.240268.

The tree topologies recovered from ML and BI, respectively, were identical, except for the arrangement of Clinotarsus and Huia melasma (Fig. 2). Our BI tree (Fig. 2 right) suggested Clinotarsus to be sister taxon of the Chalcorana crassiovis group and H. melasma to be the sister taxon of H. sumatrana+H. masonii. In the ML tree (Fig. 2 left), however, Clinotarsus + H. melas$m a$ and the C. crassiovis group were sister taxa. Based on a dataset of two nuclear markers (RAG1+TYR) and lacking C. crassiovis, Stuart (2008) suggested Clinotarsus $+H$. melasma to be the sister taxon of a clade comprising other Huia species from Sumatra, Java, and Borneo, and Meristogenys. In contrast, based on a larger dataset, Pyron and Wiens (2011) identified Clinotarsus as sister taxon to $H$. sumatrana $+H$. masonii, whereas $H$. melasma was sister taxon to all other species in a clade comprising Huia + Meristogenys + Clinotarsus. However, all of these scenarios for the arrangement of Clinotarsus and H. melasma within ranid phylogeny had low nodal support. Consequently, we prefer not to draw any phylogenetic conclusions or recommend taxonomic amendments concerning Clinotarsus or H. melasma.

With the exception of the incongruence in the position of Clinotarsus and Huia melasma, both the ML and BI trees confirmed the existence of two major clades each with strong nodal support (Fig. 2): crassio-vis-group + Huia + Meristogenys + Clinotarsus ( $\mathrm{PP}=1 ; \mathrm{BS}$ $=100)$ and Amolops + Odorrana + Hylarana + Hydrophylax+Chalcorana $(\mathrm{PP}=0.97 ; \mathrm{BS}=75)$. This result strongly suggests that C. crassiovis is not the closest relative of either C. chalconota (generotype) or C. megalonesa. DNA barcoding ( 12 S rRNA +16 S rRNA + tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}$ genes) successfully matched samples of gastromyzophorous tadpoles to adult in the crassiovis-group.

Our results further corroborate previous studies (Stuart 2008, Pyron and Wiens 2011) in that the genus Huia is paraphyletic in its current composition. Yet, our phylogenetic trees were different from these previous studies concerning other genera. For example, our trees suggest Odorrana to be more closely related to Amolops ( $\mathrm{PP}=$ 0.98 , BS $=62$, Fig. 2) than to Chalcorana + Hylarana + Hydrophylax. Stuart (2008) and Pyron and Wiens (2011) presented evindence that Odorrana was as closely related to some Rana or Lithobathes, embedded in a more inclusive assemblage (including, among others, Chalcorana, Hylarana, and Hydrophylax, in current generic assignment). To corroborate that was beyond the scope of our analysis and, thus, we did not include samples of Rana and Lithobates.

Within the clade of the crassiovis-group (Fig. 2), unexpected genetic diversity was revealed along the Sumatran transect. Our phylogenetic tree showed three distinct, well supported clades within our samples that previously would have been all be assigned to Chalcorana crassiovis, i.e., Clade A, Clade B, and Clade C ( $\mathrm{PP}=1, \mathrm{BS}=$ 100). These three clades showed high genetic divergence among each other (Clade A-B: 6.61-8.53\%, Clade A-C: $7.46-9.59 \%$, and Clade B-C: $7.74-8.74 \%$, respectively, Suppl. materials 3). Clade A comprises frogs from northern part of Provinsi Aceh to the southern part of Provinsi Lampung, including samples from the type localities of C. crassiovis (ZMH.A14197) and of C. kampeni (MZB. AMPH.29336), respectively. We found no evidence, that specimens from the type locality of C. kampeni were significantly divergent genetically from the remaining lineages in Clade A (uncorrected $p$-distance $=2.56 \%$ ). Clade B encompass samples from Aceh, Sumatera Utara, and Bengkulu provinces, whereas Clade C consists of samples from the northern part of Provinsi Aceh. Apart from clearly being genetically distinct, we also found morphological features distinguishing both Clades B and Clade C, respectively, from Clade A. The morphology of our specimens in Clade A, however, fit well the description of C. crassiovis (sensu Inger and Iskandar 2005 assuming synonymy with C. kampeni). In the expanded morphological dataset, both quantitative data (morphometric values and body ratio values) and qualitative data (e.g., skin texture and coloration, iris coloration, pattern of rear of thigh, see Fig. 3) clearly clustered the C. crassiovis specimens and their respective geographic division into Clades A-C. Morphological analyses are detailed in the taxonomic section below.

Frogs in Clade A share a similar elevational range ( $425-1545 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.) and a similar habitat type (primary forest or good secondary forest) with Clade C (314-1000 m a.s.l.). Clade A also overlaps in elevational range with Clade B (1190-2033 m a.s.l.). In Aceh, we observed specimens of Clade A and Clade B at the same stream (1190 m a.s.1.), as well as frogs of Clade A and Clade C in another stream ( 1000 m a.s.l.). These observations suggest independent evolution occurring with the syntopic species. Two genetic samples (MZB.AMPH. 29200 and MVZ271526) from Cagar Alam (=Nature Reserve) Rimbo Panti, Kecamatan (=District) Panti, Kabupaten (=Regency) Pasaman, Provinsi (=Province) Sumatera Barat, were separated by $4.05-4.90 \%$ uncorrected $p$-distance from their nearest relatives (Suppl. materials 3) and were sister to all other samples in Clade A (Fig. 2). Although this could be indicative of a separately evolving lineage, we could not find unambiguous morphological evidence that could separate these two with certainty from that of the remaining samples in Clade A. Some morphological features in the Rimbo Panti specimens, such as rear of thigh pattern and webbing formula (Fig. 4) overlap with other populations in Clade A. Rimbo Panti specimens (n males $=9, \mathrm{n}$ females $=3$ ) are bigger in size (SVL males $=46.45-48.87 \mathrm{~mm}$, females $=78.00-83.99 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) com-


Figure 3. Comparison of three lineages within Clade 1 based on the coloration of iris, the coloration of rear of thigh, and nuptial pad. Clade 1A (a-c), Clade 1B (c-d) and Clade 1C (g-i). Photographs were taken from ZMH.A14197, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (a-c); ZMH.A14194, female, Provinsi Bengkulu (d-c); MZB.AMPH.23524, male, Provinsi Sumatera Utara (f); and MZB. AMPH.29396, male, Provinsi Aceh (g-i). Photos by U. Arifin.
pared to the remaining samples of this clade (SVL males $=30.30-41.75 \mathrm{~mm}$, females $=40.98-77.73 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). However, the specimens of Rimbo Panti were collected at 450 m a.s.l. whereas the smallest body size of the remaining specimens of Clade A were from 1355 m a.s.1. at Gunung Kunyit, Kabupaten Kerinci, Provinsi Jambi (SVL males $=30.03-32.81 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The rear of thigh of Rimbo Panti specimens is typically mottled, light on dark background (Fig. 4d). The mottling pattern varied among specimens and some specimens are similar in pattern to the specimens from other regions in Clade A. The majority of specimens in Clade A were fully webbed, except for one free phalanx on Toe IV. Six specimens from Rimbo Panti were fully webbed, and six (all males) had webbing only reaching the base of the disc of Toe IV but deeply incised). This webbing pattern is also present in other specimens in Clade A. At present we conservatively consider these differences as interspecific variation, despite the genetic distance.

Three of the four tadpoles sequenced belonged to Clade A and one tadpole belonged to Clade C. Morphological characters such as shape of the jaw sheath and number of
keratodont rows showed distinct separation Clades A and $C$ (see below) and were in accordance with the genetically justified assignment.

## Taxonomic Amendments: Genus and Species Descriptions

Herein we adopt the Unified Species Concept (de Queiroz 2005) and consider Clades A-C as independently evolving units. Evidence for this assumption is provided by substantial genetic divergence (6.61-9.59\%, Suppl. materials 3), robustly supported reciprocal monophyly in phylogenetic analyses, adult and tadpole morphology, geographical distribution, and syntopic occurence. We believe that the establishment of a new genus for the crassiovis-group is in place because 1) the group is monophyletic; 2 ) the group is biogeographically well delimited (endemic to Sumatra); 3) the branch length (Fig. 2) that separates the crassiovis-group from any potential relative is substantial and on par with nodes that define other genera in ranids, indicating similar ages of origin. The new genus is comprised of three species, two of which are new to science (Fig. 2).


Figure 4. Variation of rear of thigh pattern and webbing on toes of the specimens within Clade 1A. Photographs were taken from ZMH. A14197, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (a, g); MZB.AMPH.29196, female, Provinsi Aceh (b); MZB.AMPH. 29320, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (c); MZB.AMPH.29200, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (d-c); ZMH.A14170, female, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (f); ZMH.A14185, female, Provinsi Lampung (h). Photos by U. Arifin

## Sumaterana gen. n.

http://zoobank.org/1BC968B1-5D37-4D67-9413-8A4FA811DC83 Fig. 5a-c

Type species. Rana crassiovis Boulenger, 1920, Syntypes: two adult females, BMNH1947.2.3.99 and BMNH1947.2.4.1.

Diagnosis. Sumaterana gen. n. belongs to a group of ranid torrent frogs, along with Huia and Meristogenys that possess gastromyzophorous larvae (Inger 1966, Inger and Gritis 1983, Inger 1986, Yang 1991). Sumaterana gen. n. species can be diagnosed by a combination of: (1) gastromyzophorous tadpole; (2) larval upper jaw sheaths thick, smooth, broadly arched, with thinner medial section; (3) lower jaw sheaths undivided, smooth, and V-shaped; (4) Labial Tooth Row Formula (LTRF): 8(5-9)/8(1) to 9(59)/9(1); (5) infraorbital and postorbital gland clusters present; (6) adult frogs medium sized (SVL males $=27.94-$ 48.87 mm ; females $=40.98-83.99 \mathrm{~mm}$; ; (7) dorsum finely granulated, with or without scattered tubercles; (8) supratympanic fold present (skin fold above the tympanum, starting behind the eye); (9) posttympanic fold absent (vertical skin fold immediately posterior to tympanum); (10) dorsolateral fold absent or present; (11) tibia length
58.08-79.67\% SVL; (12) outer metatarsal tubercle absent; (13) inner metatarsal tubercle present; (14) Finger I relatively shorter or subequal to Finger II; (15) width of finger discs larger or subequal to width of toe discs; (16) vocal sacs and nuptial pads present; (17) humeral gland absent.

Comparison. Sumaterana gen. n., Huia, Meristogenys, and Amolops can be distinguish from Chalcorana, Clinotarsus, Hydrophylax, Hylarana, Odorrana, and all other ranids (except, Rana sauteri, Kuramoto et al. 1984) by having gastromyzophorous tadpoles. Although $R$. sauteri has gastromyzophorous tadpoles (Kuramoto et al. 1984), Gan et al. (2015) pointed out that R. sauteri larvae differs from the gastromyzophorous tadpole of Huia and Meristogenys in significant features of the sucker (see below). Amolops and $R$. sauteri seem only distantly related to Huia and Meristogenys (Pyron and Wiens 2011; this study), and independent evolution in gastromyzophorous tadpoles must be assumed. We corroborate and expand the conclusion of Manthey and Denzer (2014) that the tadpoles of Sumaterana gen. n., Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys can be distinguished by the shape of their jaw sheaths. The jaw sheath of Sumaterana gen. n. is characterized by (followed by Amolops; Huia; Meristogenys features in parantheses): the upper jaw sheath thick, broadly arched, with thinner medial section (thick, broadly arched, without the medial thinning; M-shaped or $\wedge$-shaped; divided; Yang 1991, Manthey and Denzer 2014); lower jaw sheath V-shaped (V-shaped; V-shaped; divided or undivided; Yang 1991, Manthey and Denzer 2014). The number of keratodont rows on the lower lip is eight to nine in Sumaterana gen. n. (three to five rows in Amolops, except for A. cremnobatus with six rows (Inger and Kottelat 1998); six rows or more in Huia (Manthey and Denzer 2014); four rows or more in Meristogenys (Inger and Stuebing 2009, Manthey and Denzer 2014, Shimada et al. 2015). Sumaterana gen. n. has two glandular clusters, infraorbital and postorbital (postorbital and abdominal clusters in Amolops (Yang 1991, Inger and Kottelat 1998, Liu et al. 2000, Matsui and Nabhitabhata 2006, Ngo et al. 2006), except for A. cremnobatus, postorbital and midlateral clusters (Inger and Kottelat 1998); a combination of infraorbital, postorbital, prespiracular, midlateral, and variably caudal/fin clusters in Meristogenys (e.g., Yang 1991, Matsui et al. 2010, Shimada et al. 2011, Shimada et al. 2015); and a combination of caudal/fin, postorbital, midlateral, and infraorbital clusters in Huia (Yang 1991; UA pers. observ.).

Adult Sumaterana gen. n. can be distinguished from Huia, Meristogenys, and Amolops by: lacking posttympanic fold (present in Huia, Meristogenys and Amolops; Yang1991; UA unpubl. data); the disc of Finger III wider or almost equal to that of Toe IV (subequal in Huia, less or equal to in Meristogenys, wider in Amolops; Yang 1991); Finger I length shorter or subequal to that of Finger II (Finger I $\geq$ Finger II in Huia, Finger I $>$ Finger II in Meristogenys, Finger I $\leq$ Finger II in Amolops; Yang 1991); lacking an outer metatarsal tubercle (present in Huia except for


Figure 5. Sumaterana gen. n. species: (a) S. crassiovis comb. n., ZMH.A14197, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat; (b) S. dabulescens sp. n., MZB.AMPH.29396, male, holotype, Provinsi Aceh; (c) S. montana sp. n., ZMH.A14194, female, paratype, Provinsi Bengkulu. Photos by U. Arifin.
H. cavitympanum, present in Meristogenys except for M. kinabaluensis; Yang 1991); tibia length relative to SVL $58.08-78.39 \%$ ( $>70 \%$ in Huia and in Meristogenys; Yang 1991); furthermore, Sumaterana gen. n. differs from Huia by having a translucent but non-transparent tympanum; tympanum not encased by dark $\Pi$-shaped marking (Manthey and Denzer 2014); and dorsolateral folds less distinct or absent. Sumaterana gen. n. differs from Amolops by having diamond-shaped finger and toe tips (rounded in Amolops) and relatively smaller fingers and toe discs.

Etymology. Sumaterana is a compound generic epithet created from the Indonesian proper noun Sumatera, the Indonesian name for the island of Sumatra, and rana, the feminin Latin word for frog. Sumatera itself is named after the kingdom of Samudra Pasai, which was located along the coast of Aceh, Sumatra from the 13th to the 16th centuries CE. Samudra is a sanskrit word that means gathering of the seas, a place where the Andaman, Java, and South China seas meet the Indian Ocean. Rana, was also the very first generic name to be assigned to a member of the $S$. crassiovis group, endemic to the island of Sumatra.

Common name. Sumatran Cascade Frogs (English) and Katak Jeram Sumatra (Bahasa Indonesia).

Phylogenetic definition and content. Sumaterana gen. n. is a node-based genus that consists of three known species: Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. (Fig. 2 Clade A, Fig. 5a), S. montana sp. n. (Fig. 2 Clade B, Fig. 5c), and S. dabulescens sp. n. (Fig. 2 Clade C, Fig. 5b), and their most recent common ancestor. Chalcorana kampeni is considered a junior synonym of $S$. crassiovis comb. n. based on Inger and Iskandar (2005) and the new molecular evidence. The monophyletic clade of Sumaterana gen. n. is restricted to the island of Sumatra, Indonesia. Our phylogenetic analyses and morphological examination supports these taxonomic recognitions (uncorrected $p$-distances in Suppl. materials 3).

Distribution and habitat. Species of Sumaterana gen. n . inhabit riparian habitats in primary or secondary forest in Sumatra, Indonesia. Inhabited streams are typically
fast flowing, 5 m wide or less, dominated by big rocks (diameter $>1 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The known elevational range is from 314-2033 m a.s.l. Adult frogs of these genus usually perched on rocks or vegetation at the stream. Tadpoles of these frogs can be found in groups attached to the top or sides of rocks in fast moving water.

## Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n.

Figs 2 Clade A, 5a, 6a
Rana pantherina Van Kampen, 1910.
Rana crassiovis Boulenger, 1920.
Rana (Hylorana) kampeni Boulenger, 1920.
Rana (Hylorana) crassiovis Boulenger, 1920.
Rana (Hylarana) kampeni Van Kampen, 1923.
Rana (Hylarana) crassiovis Van Kampen, 1923.
Rana (Chalcorana) kampeni Dubois, 1992.
Rana (Chalcorana) crassiovis Dubois, 1992.
Hydrophylax kampeni Frost et al., 2006.
Hydrophylax crassiovis Frost et al., 2006.
Hylarana kampeni Che et al., 2007.
Hylarana crassiovis Che et al., 2007.
Chalcorana kampeni Fei et al., 2010; Oliver et al., 2015.
Chalcorana crassiovis Fei et al., 2010; Oliver et al., 2015
Syntypes. Two adult females (BMNH1947.2.3.99 and BMNH1947.2.4.1-Fig. 7), Kerinci, Sumatra, Indonesia, 4000 feet ( $\sim 1219 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.), coll. Robinson-Kloss Expedition on the Batrachians. Based on the lack of morphological distinguishing characters (Inger and Iskandar 2005) and low genetic divergence ( $2.56 \%$, Suppl. materials 3) of topotypic specimens (this study), we consider C. kampeni a junior synonym of S. crassiovis comb. n..

Referred specimens (283). 262 adults ( 128 of them: 96 males and 32 females; were measured) and 21 tadpoles collected from Aceh up to Lampung (Appendix 1).

Description. Specimens were assigned to Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. based on comparison of material from Kabupaten Kerinci. Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. is described by the following combination of characters: a medium sized species, SVL in males $30.03-48.87 \mathrm{~mm}$,


Figure 6. Morphological comparison of (i) dorsal, (ii) ventral, (iii) palmar, and (iv) plantar regions of Sumaterana gen. n. species. (a) S. crassiovis comb. n., ZMH.A14197, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat; (b) S. dabulescens sp. n., ZMH.A14159, female, paratype, Provinsi Aceh; (c) S. montana sp. n., ZMH.A14194, female, paratype, Provinsi Bengkulu. Photos by U. Arifin.
females 40.98-83.99 mm; head width subequal to head length; snout rounded, obtusely pointed in dorsal view, slightly protruding in lateral view; nostril closer to snout than to eye; vomerine teeth present, in oblique groups, be-
tween choanae; tongue lanceolate; loreal area deeply concave; canthus rostralis sharp, constricted behind nostrils; rictal ridge present; tympanum distinct, translucent (not transparent); interorbital distance 75.96-124.80\% width


Figure 7. Pictures of dorsal (i), ventral (ii), palmar (iii), and plantar (iv) regions of the type species of Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. (BMNH1947.2.4.1, female). Photos by U. Arifin.
of upper eyelid in females, 68.26-120.31\% width of upper eyelid in males; pineal spot visible; dorsolateral fold absent; supratympanic fold thick, posttympanic fold absent; dorsum finely granulated with scattered tubercles, variable in size and density; flanks coarsely granulated with few tubercles; venter smooth, granulated posteriorly; rear of thigh usually barred as continuation of thigh dorsal pattern; arm slender, lower arm length 19.03-24.18\% SVL in females and $19.58-25.46 \%$ SVL in males; hand length 31.54-36.98\% SVL in females and 31.77-39.23\% SVL in males; fingers long, without webbing; fingertips expanded into discs, diamond-shaped, with circummarginal groove; Finger I < Finger II, Finger III longest; fringes present on the outer phalanges of all fingers; subarticular tubercles distinct; width of Finger III disc > width of Toe IV disc; hindlimbs long, articulation of the heels reaching beyond tip of snout, when limb aligned to body; relative femur length 85.39-94.32\% tibia length in females, 85.82$95.02 \%$ tibia length in males; length of tibia $60.17-70.52 \%$ SVL in females, $58.78-76.44 \%$ in males; toes slender and long; tip of toe extended into disc, diamond-shaped, with circummarginal grooves; toe lengths: $\mathrm{I}<$ II $<$ III $<\mathrm{V}<$ IV, Toe V only slightly longer than Toe III; Toes I, II, III, and V fully webbed, webbing of Toe IV usually one phalanx free $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/}\right) \mathrm{III}\left(1^{+/-}-2^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(2^{+/-} 1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{V}\right)$; subarticular tubercles distinct; inner metatarsal tubercle distinct, oval, 92.07-212.77\% T4DW in males and 98.80$150.00 \%$ in females; outer metatarsal tubercle absent; tarsal fold absent. (Measurements: Tables 4-5).

Coloration. Dorsal skin background green in life, with dark blotches around tubercles, lighter areas on the dorsum forming irregular network pattern; dark line connects the eye and the snout; the upper and lower lips with dark blotches on a light background; iris golden yellow, reddish anteriorly and posteriorly, with a dark netting pattern; tympanum pale brown, encircled by a dark line; flanks lighter than dorsum, lighter ventrad and with dark spots; venter whitish, throat and chest with or without dark marking; distinct cross-bars on dorsal limbs; the rear of thigh with dark vertical bars (usually a continuation from dorsal surface and separated by narrow lighter areas) or mottling (dark marking on lighter background); ventral legs are dusted with brown pigment; webbing color brown. In preservative, dorsal background light brown; flanks becoming gray; iris changed to gray.

Variation. (1) number of tubercles on dorsum and flanks: few to dense; (2) size of tubercles on dorsum: small and round to larger and elongated; (3) dorsolateral fold absent, but row of few small tubercles form incomplete dorsolateral series, dorsal to the posterior of trunk (not in continuation of tympanic fold); (4) dorsal coloration: dark blotches on green background vary from few and isolated, to dense, and forming irregular green background network between the dark blotches; (5) flank color yellowish-green to green (as dorsum), lighter ventrad, with distinct spots; (6) upper and lower lips: whitish to greenish, with dark markings, small distinct bars to wide and connected, lip

Table 4. Morphometric values from all specimens of Sumaterana gen. n. examined in this study. Information given for each character as follows: average $\pm$ st. deviation (first line), min-max (second line).

| Character | S. crassiovis comb. n. |  | S. montana sp. n. |  | S. dabulescens sp. n. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (males, $\mathrm{n}=96$ ) | (females, $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | (males, $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | (females, $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | (males, $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | (females, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) |
| SVL | $\begin{gathered} 37.58 \pm 4.01 \\ 30.03-48.87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.43 \pm 10.42 \\ & 40.98-83.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.98 \pm 1.14 \\ 27.94-31.56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 55.07 \pm 2.58 \\ 51.61-59.60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.65 \pm 1.45 \\ 34.69-40.86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.30 \pm 7.58 \\ 48.03-66.60 \end{gathered}$ |
| HL | $\begin{gathered} 14.73 \pm 1.76 \\ 11.92-19.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.84 \pm 4.04 \\ 16.44-32.44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.01 \pm 0.40 \\ 11.53-12.83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.61 \pm 0.99 \\ 20.42-25.35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.86 \pm 0.53 \\ 13.81-15.73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.13 \pm 2.71 \\ 20.79-27.43 \end{gathered}$ |
| HW | $\begin{gathered} 13.52 \pm 1.66 \\ 10.96-18.61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.43 \pm 3.72 \\ 14.14-29.68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.88 \pm 0.53 \\ & 9.74-11.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.61 \pm 1.09 \\ 18.04-21.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.00 \pm 0.59 \\ 12.99-15.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.03 \pm 2.78 \\ 19.41-26.18 \end{gathered}$ |
| SL | $\begin{aligned} & 5.85 \pm 0.71 \\ & 4.52-7.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.80 \pm 1.66 \\ & 6.76-13.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.99 \pm 0.38 \\ & 4.47-5.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.76 \pm 0.59 \\ & 7.83-9.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.82 \pm 0.22 \\ 5.22-6.26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.55 \pm 0.98 \\ & 8.35-10.74 \end{aligned}$ |
| SN | $\begin{array}{r} 2.26 \pm 0.26 \\ 1.78-2.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.92 \pm 0.64 \\ & 2.50-5.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.15 \pm 0.35 \\ 1.73-2.77 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.95 \pm 0.60 \\ & 3.11-4.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.21 \pm 0.14 \\ 1.94-2.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.39 \pm 0.40 \\ & 2.88-3.85 \end{aligned}$ |
| EN | $\begin{aligned} & 3.45 \pm 0.35 \\ & 2.62-4.44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.30 \pm 0.95 \\ & 4.17-8.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \pm 0.24 \\ & 2.29-3.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.86 \pm 0.31 \\ & 4.58-5.55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.38 \pm 0.13 \\ & 3.10-3.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.33 \pm 0.46 \\ & 4.71-5.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| IND | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \pm 0.44 \\ & 3.03-5.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.52 \pm 0.94 \\ & 3.79-7.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.50 \pm 0.33 \\ & 3.06-4.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.10 \pm 0.75 \\ & 5.04-7.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.79 \pm 0.19 \\ & 3.44-4.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.95 \pm 0.50 \\ & 5.25-6.40 \end{aligned}$ |
| IOD | $\begin{aligned} & 3.49 \pm 0.34 \\ & 2.90-4.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.13 \pm 0.88 \\ & 4.05-7.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.23 \pm 0.19 \\ & 2.96-3.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.21 \pm 0.40 \\ & 4.72-5.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.41 \pm 0.16 \\ & 3.02-3.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.93 \pm 0.70 \\ & 4.03-5.74 \end{aligned}$ |
| UEW | $\begin{aligned} & 4.07 \pm 0.62 \\ & 2.72-6.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.84 \pm 1.10 \\ & 4.18-8.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.96 \pm 0.18 \\ & 2.72-3.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.21 \pm 0.40 \\ & 4.65-6.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.02 \pm 0.34 \\ 3.41-4.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.63 \pm 0.56 \\ & 4.90-6.26 \end{aligned}$ |
| ED | $\begin{aligned} & 5.62 \pm 0.61 \\ & 4.59-7.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.10 \pm 1.42 \\ 5.68-11.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.41 \pm 0.35 \\ & 3.80-4.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.23 \pm 0.59 \\ & 6.64-8.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.40 \pm 0.37 \\ & 4.76-6.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.96 \pm 1.06 \\ & 6.63-9.22 \end{aligned}$ |
| TYv | $\begin{aligned} & 3.23 \pm 0.37 \\ & 2.39-3.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.86 \pm 0.59 \\ & 2.46-4.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \pm 0.31 \\ & 2.43-3.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.69 \pm 0.14 \\ & 3.50-3.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.21 \pm 0.23 \\ & 2.88-3.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.99 \pm 0.58 \\ & 2.26-3.67 \end{aligned}$ |
| TYh | $\begin{aligned} & 3.22 \pm 0.36 \\ & 2.39-4.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.84 \pm 0.63 \\ & 2.46-4.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02 \pm 0.30 \\ & 2.44-3.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.43 \pm 0.14 \\ & 3.19-3.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.12 \pm 0.28 \\ & 2.27-3.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.78 \pm 0.17 \\ & 2.58-3.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| ET | $\begin{aligned} & 1.14 \pm 0.29 \\ & 0.74-2.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.74 \pm 0.64 \\ 1.44-4.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.92 \pm 0.12 \\ & 0.70-1.17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.14 \pm 0.17 \\ 1.87-2.31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \pm 0.11 \\ 1.01-1.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.07 \pm 0.19 \\ 1.90-2.33 \end{array}$ |
| LAL | $\begin{aligned} & 8.26 \pm 0.75 \\ & 6.89-10.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.43 \pm 2.03 \\ & 9.00-17.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.05 \pm 0.31 \\ & 6.49-7.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.63 \pm 0.74 \\ 10.08-12.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.11 \pm 0.31 \\ & 7.74-9.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.23 \pm 1.23 \\ 10.71-13.73 \end{gathered}$ |
| HAL | $\begin{gathered} 13.14 \pm 1.34 \\ 10.77-16.82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.27 \pm 3.34 \\ 14.90-30.32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.85 \pm 0.46 \\ 10.26-11.72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.70 \pm 0.98 \\ 17.41-20.79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.48 \pm 0.42 \\ 11.62-13.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.25 \pm 1.58 \\ 16.11-19.87 \end{gathered}$ |
| FE | $\begin{gathered} 22.33 \pm 2.10 \\ 19.29-28.55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.35 \pm 5.89 \\ 24.25-50.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.75 \pm 0.96 \\ 18.16-21.14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.94 \pm 1.49 \\ 33.97-38.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.42 \pm 0.81 \\ 21.18-24.29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.44 \pm 4.06 \\ 27.55-37.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| TL | $\begin{gathered} 24.52 \pm 2.61 \\ 20.74-31.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.61 \pm 6.31 \\ 27.83-55.96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.17 \pm 0.90 \\ 20.96-24.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.69 \pm 1.13 \\ 38.29-42.08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.74 \pm 0.72 \\ 22.30-25.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.01 \pm 3.32 \\ 31.46-39.28 \end{gathered}$ |
| FL | $\begin{gathered} 20.68 \pm 2.34 \\ 16.26-27.71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.11 \pm 5.57 \\ 23.64-49.14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.82 \pm 0.51 \\ 18.19-19.53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 34.85 \pm 1.53 \\ 32.30-37.54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.63 \pm 1.31 \\ 14.41-22.04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.27 \pm 3.27 \\ 25.94-33.85 \end{gathered}$ |
| IMTL | $\begin{aligned} & 1.75 \pm 0.27 \\ & 1.28-2.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.20 \pm 0.57 \\ & 1.72-4.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.50 \pm 0.13 \\ & 1.30-1.70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \pm 0.30 \\ & 2.30-3.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.83 \pm 0.16 \\ & 1.51-2.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.74 \pm 0.26 \\ & 2.38-2.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| F1L | $\begin{aligned} & 3.88 \pm 0.51 \\ & 3.02-5.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.77 \pm 1.34 \\ 4.62-10.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.46 \pm 0.18 \\ & 3.19-3.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.78 \pm 0.55 \\ & 6.07-7.73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \pm 0.18 \\ & 3.28-4.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.62 \pm 1.50 \\ & 3.52-6.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| F2L | $\begin{aligned} & 4.85 \pm 0.59 \\ & 3.92-6.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.89 \pm 1.39 \\ 5.85-11.79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.66 \pm 0.19 \\ & 3.30-3.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.99 \pm 0.53 \\ & 6.26-8.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.55 \pm 0.22 \\ & 4.17-5.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.03 \pm 0.80 \\ & 6.01-7.97 \end{aligned}$ |
| F3DW | $\begin{gathered} 2.00 \pm 0.35 \\ 1.29-3.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.62 \pm 0.62 \\ & 2.26-5.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \pm 0.20 \\ & 0.93-1.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82 \pm 0.25 \\ & 1.40-2.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.03 \pm 0.16 \\ 1.64-2.27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \pm 0.48 \\ & 2.33-3.46 \end{aligned}$ |
| T4DW | $\begin{aligned} & 1.36 \pm 0.30 \\ & 0.92-2.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.71 \pm 0.46 \\ 1.72-3.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \pm 0.21 \\ & 0.78-1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.82 \pm 0.17 \\ & 1.63-2.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.58 \pm 0.13 \\ & 1.30-1.77 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.43 \pm 0.32 \\ 1.99-2.76 \end{gathered}$ |

markings absent or very thin in few individuals; (7) ventral dark markings: from none (ventral side whitish) to dark on throat and reaching venter, pale to dark; (8) rear of thigh with dark bars, complete or broken, or occasionally dark mottling on whitish/grayish background (Fig. 4a-d); (9) iris: golden to pale yellow, from faint and thin to dense and dark netting; (10) number of cross bars: 3-4 on lower arm (from elbow to wrist), 4-7 on thigh; (11) Toe IV:
from one phalanx free of webbing to webbing reaching intercalary tubercle of Toe IV (Fig. 4e-h). See Fig. 8 for images of Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. from different localities and for morphometric variation Tables 4-5.

Sexual dimorphism. Males significantly smaller than females. Tympanum diameter 45.27-71.68\% ED in males, $33.33-48.51 \%$ ED in females. Male with distinct undi-

Table 5. Morphometric ratios from all specimens of Sumaterana gen. n. examined in this study. Information given for each character as follows: average $\pm$ st.deviation (first line), min-max (second line).

| Character | S. crassiovis comb. n. |  | S. montana sp. n. |  | S. dabulescens sp. n . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (males, $\mathrm{n}=96$ ) | (females, $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | males, $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | es, | males, $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | als, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{HV}} \\ & \mathrm{HL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.80 \% \pm 2.75 \% \\ 86.44 \%-100.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.97 \% \pm 2.85 \% \\ & 84.36 \%-97.32 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90.61 \% \pm 3.99 \% \\ 82.850 \end{gathered}$ | $90.72 \% \pm 2.30 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.21 \% \pm 2.01 \% \\ & 88.32 \%-96.87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.37 \% \pm 1.61 \% \\ & 93.36 \%-97.31 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SL/ } \\ & \text { ED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104.32 \% \pm 8.80 \% \\ 77.76 \%-125.45 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119.03 \% \pm 7.66 \% \\ 106.07 \%-138.71 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 113.90 \% \pm 13.48 \% \\ 94.66 \%-145.53 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121.99 \% \pm 14.12 \% \\ & 103.02 \%-144.43 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 108.08 \% \pm 7.18 \% \\ 94.05 \%-120.59 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120.50 \% \pm 3.99 \% \\ & 116.49 \%-125.94 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EN} \\ & \mathrm{SN} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 127.22 \% \pm 14.95 \% \\ & 107.60 \%-157.23 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125.25 \% \pm 16.53 \% \\ 100.00 \%-150.80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 157.58 \% \pm 5.31 \% \\ & 150.65 \%-163.54 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 108.15 \% \pm 6.51 \% \\ 95.83 \%-143.79 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 105.48 \% \pm 6.82 \% \\ 91.11 \%-121.35 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 108.01 \% \pm 5.99 \% \\ 99.71 \%-121.88 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116.74 \% \pm 8.06 \% \\ 106.78 \%-128.66 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111.38 \% \pm 5.47 \% \\ 102.65 \%-121.94 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121.74 \% \pm 7.76 \% \\ 111.50 \%-130.27 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IOD/ } \\ & \text { UEW } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.87 \% \pm 9.24 \% \\ 68.26 \%-120.31 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.20 \% \pm 9.72 \% \\ 75.96 \%-124.80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109.33 \% \pm 3.46 \% \\ 102.17 \%-114.14 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98.60 \% \pm 6.15 \% \\ 89.33 \%-105.48 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 84.68 \% \pm 7.10 \% \\ 72.38 \%-100.00 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.24 \% \pm 3.88 \% \\ & 82.24 \%-91.69 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42.59 \% \pm 3.72 \% \\ & 36.75 \%-56.83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.01 \% \pm 10.69 \% \\ & 52.31 \%-89.47 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.57 \% \pm 6.19 \% \\ & 43.91 \%-60.47 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.63 \% \pm 4.62 \% \\ 51.82 \%-72.94 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.90 \% 7 \pm .28 \% \\ & 28.18 \%-45.70 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.45 \% \pm 5.37 \% \\ 45.27 \%-71.68 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.33 \% \pm 3.80 \% \\ & 33.33 \%-56.83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 73.07 \% \pm 11.60 \% \\ & 52.31 \%-92.89 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.46 \% \pm 4.08 \% \\ & 41.54 \%-54.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.61 \% \pm 4.30 \% \\ 51.82 \%-69.36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.29 \% \pm 2.68 \% \\ & 32.54 \%-38.91 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { F1L/ }} \\ & \text { F2L } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.08 \% \pm 4.24 \% \\ & 70.56 \%-90.80 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.72 \% \pm 3.25 \% \\ & 78.97 \%-93.54 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.55 \% \pm 4.18 \% \\ 87.67 \%-101.82 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.05 \% \pm 2.62 \% \\ 93.46 \%-100.89 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83.41 \% \pm 4.24 \% \\ & 78.03 \%-94.16 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.45 \% \pm 14.09 \% \\ & 58.57 \%-89.47 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3 } \\ & \text { T4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 108.13 \% \pm 8.45 \% \\ 91.04 \%-120.00 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93.19 \% \pm 11.74 \% \\ 73.68 \%-108.12 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 128.79 \% \pm 8.42 \% \\ 105.13 \%-144.53 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122.94 \% \pm 4.16 \% \\ 117.09 \%-126.38 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 91.16 \% \pm 2.33 \% \\ & 85.82 \%-95.02 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.39 \% \pm 1.87 \% \\ 85.39 \%-94.32 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.10 \% \pm 2.63 \% \\ 85.17 \%-94.12 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.33 \% \pm 2.47 \% \\ 85.09 \%-93.45 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.43 \% \pm 1.96 \% \\ 89.40 \%-97.55 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.85 \% \pm 4.00 \% \\ & 86.51 \%-95.47 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 39.17 \% \pm 1.20 \% \\ & 36.22 \%-42.03 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.88 \% \pm 1.24 \% \\ & 37.52 \%-43.53 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.09 \% \pm 1.51 \% \\ & 37.83 \%-42.88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.84 \% \pm 1.03 \% \\ & 37.16 \%-40.28 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39.49 \% \pm 1.13 \% \\ & 37.66 \%-42.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.22 \% \pm 0.86 \% \\ & 41.19 \%-43.29 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HW/ } \\ & \text { SVL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36.27 \% \pm 1.36 \% \\ & 33.57 \%-39.68 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.31 \% \pm 1.91 \% \\ & 33.66 \%-39.33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.22 \% \pm 0.59 \% \\ & 34.16 \%-35.97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.20 \% \pm 1.11 \% \\ & 34.95 \%-39.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.26 \% \pm 0.72 \% \\ & 39.31 \%-41.05 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 15.56 \% \pm 0.61 \% \\ & 13.99 \%-17.05 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.01 \% \pm 0.65 \% \\ & 14.70 \%-17.53 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.65 \% \pm 1.23 \% \\ & 14.95 \%-18.73 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.74 \% \pm 0.88 \% \\ & 14.42 \%-17.04 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.49 \% \pm 0.59 \% \\ 14.46 \%-16.55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.73 \% \pm 0.52 \% \\ & 16.13 \%-17.38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{aligned} & 6.02 \% \pm 0.41 \% \\ & 4.81 \%-7.50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77 \% \pm 0.24 \% \\ & 5.31 \%-6.13 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.18 \% \pm 1.11 \% \\ & 5.66 \%-8.86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.09 \% \pm 0.98 \% \\ & 6.01 \%-8.66 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.89 \% \pm 0.38 \% \\ & 5.04 \%-6.62 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.93 \% \pm 0.11 \% \\ & 5.78 \%-6.02 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EN/ } \\ & \text { SVV } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $8.67 \%-12.62 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.69 \% \pm 0.68 \% \\ 8.05 \%-10.81 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.66 \% \pm 1.01 \% \\ 10.62 \%-13.52 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.91 \% \pm 0.73 \% \\ & 9.75 \%-11.96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.04 \% \pm 0.43 \% \\ & 9.23 \%-11.02 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.46 \% \pm 0.60 \% \\ & 9.61 \%-10.93 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{gathered} 9.31 \% \pm 0.46 \% \\ 8.04 \%-10.75 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.21 \% \pm 0.64 \% \\ 7.26 \%-10.33 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.78 \% \pm 0.51 \% \\ 10.10 \%-11.91 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.36 \% \pm 0.42 \% \\ & 8.78 \%-9.96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.03 \% \pm 0.49 \% \\ 8.17 \%-10.13 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.60 \% \pm 0.16 \% \\ & 8.39 \%-8.78 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { UEW/ } \\ & \text { SVL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.15 \% \pm 0.68 \% \\ & 7.73 \%-11.24 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.87 \% \pm 0.59 \% \\ & 8.90 \%-10.85 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.50 \% \pm 0.32 \% \\ & 8.99 \%-9.90 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.72 \% \pm 0.81 \% \\ & 9.18 \%-12.17 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| SVL | $\begin{gathered} 14.99 \% \pm 1.06 \% \\ 12.82 \%-19.09 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.50 \% \pm 0.84 \% \\ 11.92 \%-15.40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.72 \% \pm 1.06 \% \\ & 12.16 \%-16.10 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.00 \% \pm 1.02 \% \\ & 11.80 \%-14.73 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.37 \% \pm 0.85 \% \\ 12.64 \%-15.84 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.88 \% \pm 0.09 \% \\ & 13.80 \%-14.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.67 \% \pm 0.83 \% \\ & 6.80 \%-10.79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.72 \% \pm 0.45 \% \\ & 4.83 \%-6.63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.80 \% \pm 1.12 \% \\ & 8.42 \%-12.96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.81 \% \pm 0.39 \% \\ & 6.42 \%-7.54 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.55 \% \pm 0.52 \% \\ & 7.78 \%-9.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.26 \% \pm 0.98 \% \\ & 3.95 \%-6.31 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TYh/ } \\ & \text { SVL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.62 \% \pm 0.80 \% \\ & 6.42 \%-10.47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.68 \% \pm 0.42 \% \\ & 4.84 \%-6.64 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.73 \% \pm 1.24 \% \\ & 8.42 \%-12.36 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.28 \% \pm 0.36 \% \\ & 5.68 \%-6.88 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.41 \% \pm 0.55 \% \\ & 7.65 \%-10.21 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.90 \% \pm 0.36 \% \\ & 4.50 \%-5.37 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.03 \% \pm 0.79 \% \\ & 2.23 \%-9.66 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.98 \% \pm 0.52 \% \\ & 3.12 \%-5.08 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.05 \% \pm 0.36 \% \\ & 2.38 \%-3.79 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.83 \% \pm 0.19 \% \\ & 3.62 \%-4.14 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.18 \% \pm 0.24 \% \\ & 2.78 \%-3.79 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.65 \% \pm 0.35 \% \\ & 3.32 \%-4.14 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22.05 \% \pm 1.22 \% \\ & 19.58 \%-25.46 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.41 \% \pm 1.12 \% \\ & 19.03 \%-24.18 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23.53 \% \pm 0.70 \% \\ & 22.48 \%-24.48 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.92 \% \pm 1.52 \% \\ & 19.44 \%-24.12 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.61 \% \pm 0.83 \% \\ & 20.04 \%-23.05 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21.44 \% \pm 0.69 \% \\ & 20.62 \%-22.30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HAL// } \\ & \text { SVL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.01 \% \pm 1.51 \% \\ & 31.77 \%-39.23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.47 \% \pm 1.49 \% \\ 31.54 \%-36.98 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.20 \% \pm 1.35 \% \\ & 34.17 \%-38.93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.61 \% \pm 1.47 \% \\ 30.93 \%-35.85 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.26 \% \pm 1.18 \% \\ & 31.08 \%-36.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.06 \% \pm 1.60 \% \\ 29.83 \%-33.54 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{array}{r} 59.54 \% \pm 2.76 \% \\ 53.83 \%-67.33 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.84 \% \pm 2.37 \% \\ & 54.62 \%-63.19 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.86 \% \pm 1.38 \% \\ & 63.23 \%-68.32 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.63 \% \pm 2.85 \% \\ & 60.35 \%-67.80 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.65 \% \pm 2.52 \% \\ 54.95 \%-64.69 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.67 \% \pm 0.49 \% \\ 56.31 \%-57.36 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| SVL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 65.32 \% \pm 2.72 \% \\ & 58.78 \%-75.37 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.22 \% \pm 2.71 \% \\ & 60.17 \%-70.52 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.97 \% \pm 2.34 \% \\ 70.88 \%-78.39 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 73.29 \% \pm 4.83 \% \\ & 65.28 \%-79.67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63.17 \% \pm 2.51 \% \\ & 58.08 \%-68.81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63.20 \% \pm 2.99 \% \\ & 58.98 \%-65.50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FL/ } \\ & \text { SVL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.06 \% \pm 2.44 \% \\ & 49.18 \%-63.85 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.46 \% \pm 2.27 \% \\ & 50.91 \%-60.23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.82 \% \pm 1.71 \% \\ 59.52 \%-65.32 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.69 \% \pm 3.42 \% \\ & 57.38 \%-67.51 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.25 \% \pm 3.14 \% \\ 39.59 \%-56.93 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 53.01 \% \pm 1.54 \% \\ & 50.83 \%-54.18 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMTL/ } \\ & \text { T4DW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131.80 \% \pm 22.06 \% \\ & 92.07 \%-212.77 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117.46 \% \pm 14.34 \% \\ & 98.80 \%-150.00 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 144.27 \% \pm 33.28 \% \\ & 97.86 \%-212.82 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 139.17 \% \pm 19.24 \% \\ & 111.36 \%-171.78 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115.89 \% \pm 10.10 \% \\ & 98.75 \%-138.69 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113.49 \% \pm 6.59 \% \\ 104.35 \%-119.60 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |



Figure 8. Morphological variation within Sumaterana crassiovis comb n. (a) MZB AMPH.29196, female, Provinsi Aceh, (b) Provinsi Sumatera Utara, (c) MZB.AMPH.29200, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat, (d) ZMH.A14197, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat, (e) MZB.AMPH.29320, male, Provinsi Sumatera Barat, (f) MZB.AMPH.29277, young male, Provinsi Bengkulu, (g) ZMH. A14151, male, Provinsi Sumatera Selatan, (h) ZMH.A14185 and MZB.AMPH.29296, male and female, Provinsi Lampung. Photos by U. Arifin, except for (b) by A. Irawan.
vided nuptial pads, covering base of the first finger to subarticular tubercle in dorsal and medial surface, paired subgular vocal sacs, humeral glands absent.

Common name. We propose Kerinci Cascade Frogs as the common English name (to replace the old spelling in "Korinchi Frog", Iskandar and Mumpuni 2004) and Katak Jeram Kerinci as the Indonesian name.

Distribution and ecological remarks. This species is widespread on the island of Sumatra, ranging from the northern part of Provinsi Aceh to Kabupaten Pasawaran, the southern part of Provinsi Lampung (Fig. 9). Elevational range $425-1545 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.. This species is abundant along rocky streams (usually $1-5 \mathrm{~m}$ wide) in primary or good secondary forest. The inhabited streams are typically rocky with boulders (usually diameter $>1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and with rock formations along the stream, water current velocity $0.2-1.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Fig. 10). Males of this species commonly can be observed perching on rocks or vegetation at the stream banks. Females were rarely observed near the streams. It seems that they approach the streams only during breeding activities. Tadpoles were often found in groups, on rocks in the stream, overflown with water in cascading sections.

Tadpoles. Tadpoles were identified ( $100 \%$ ) using 12S rRNA +16 S rRNA + tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}$ barcoding with adult samples from the type locality. We examined total of 21 tadpoles. Stage 25: MZB.AMPH. 29362 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), ZMH.A12649 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), MZB. AMPH. 29363 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), MZB.AMPH. $29364(\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 26: MZB.AMPH. $29362(\mathrm{n}=2)$, MZB.AMPH. $29356(\mathrm{n}=1)$, ZMH.A12649 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ), MZB.AMPH. $29365(\mathrm{n}=1)$, Stage 31: MZB.AMPH. $29362(\mathrm{n}=1)$, Stage 32: MZB.AMPH. 29362 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 35: MZB.AMPH. $29362(\mathrm{n}=1)$, ZMH.A12650 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 36: MZB.AMPH. 29355 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), ZMH.A12649 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 39: MZB.AMPH. $29360(\mathrm{n}=1)$, Stage 42: MZB.AMPH. $29361(\mathrm{n}=1)$. One selected tadpole from the lot had $100 \%$ match ( 12 S rRNA +16 S rRNA $+\mathrm{tRNA}^{\text {val }}$ ) to an adult Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. from the type locality. We refer to ZMH.A12650 (stage 35, Fig. 11a-c) for tadpole description.

Head and trunk approximately oval in dorsal view and dorsoventrally depressed and streamlined, in lateral view; maximum body width $64.40 \%$ body length; snout expanded and broadly rounded with emargination laterally setting off snout from body; eyes positioned dorsolaterally, oriented laterally; $\mathrm{ED}=2.31 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{IND} / \mathrm{IOD}=48.22 \%$; SN/EN $=44.82 \%$; nostril open without raised rim; positioned anterodorsally and anterolaterally directed; two glands clusters present, infraorbital glands (five on each side) and postorbital glands (one on each side); oral disc ventral, a groove separating upper lip from snout, ODW/ $\mathrm{BW}=66.33 \%$; oral disc marginal papillae short, arranged in single row; marginal papillae of upper lip present only on sides, on lower lip in uninterrupted row; two short submarginal papillae in lateral area of upper lip; LTRF: 9(6$9) / 9(1)$; upper jaw sheath broad and heavily keratinized, smooth, undivided, thick but with distinct thinner medial
section; lower jaw sheath undivided, V-shaped, smooth, and thick; both jaw sheaths finely serrated along their edges; very large abdominal sucker adjoining oral disc posteriorly, $\mathrm{SUL} / \mathrm{BL}=76.61 \%$, $\mathrm{SUW} / \mathrm{BW}=89.03 \%$; spiracle sinistral, tube long and posterior half free from body wall, opening directed posteriorly or posterodorsally; anal tube median, free from tail fin, directed posteriorly; strongly muscular tail: $\mathrm{TAL} / \mathrm{BL}=165.71 \%, \mathrm{TMH} / \mathrm{BH}=71.87 \%$, TMH/MTH $=63.00 \%$; upper fin convex; maximum upper fin height is $30.57 \%$ maximum tail height at $49.19 \%$ of tail length; tail tip pointed.

In life (Fig. 11a-c), dorsum light brown, orangeish anteriorly and posteriorly to eyes; trunk darker than head; tail muscle light brown with fine-orange stippling; lower flanks region whitish; lateral tail vein very obvious, including dorsal branching along myosepta; upper and lower fins mostly transparent without iridophores; iris black, with dense gold to orange iridescent stippling; abdomen whitish laterally and densely stippled with fine-orange iridophores medially; abdominal sucker mostly transparent with white iridocytes in the center. In preservation, upper side gray with dark stippling; dense-dark stippling laterally; iris black; lens gray; ventral side uniformly transparent with some grey pigments in the anterior region of snout and lateral parts.

## Sumaterana montana sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/72D3A049-2C2F-43FC-B38A-483C295BEC08
Figs 2 Clade B, 5c, 6c
Holotype. MZB.AMPH. 29377 (female), Gunung Baru, Desa (=village) Seblat Ulu, Taman Nasional (=National Park)Kerinci-Seblat, Kabupaten Lebong, Provinsi Bengkulu, Sumatra, Indonesia ( $02.88413^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 102.13073^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 2033 m a.s.l., 4 May 2014, 20:44, coll. U. Arifin.

Paratypes (10). ZMH.A14194 (female, Fig. 5c and Fig. 6c), approx. 300 m from the holotype locality $\left(02.88525^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\right.$, $102.12993^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 2000 m a.s.l., 3 May 2014, 22:04, coll. U. Arifin and G. Cahyadi. MZB.AMPH. 23516 (male) and MZB. AMPH. 23517 (female), $02.55397^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 098.59806^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1774 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.; MZB.AMPH. 23518 (female), UTA.A64829 (female), MZB.AMPH. 23519 (male), MZB.AMPH. 23520 (male), UTA.A64830 (male), UTA.A64831 (male), UTA.A64832 (male), $2.54691^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.61414^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1780 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.; vicinity of Tele, Kecamatan Samosir, Kabupaten Toba-Samosir, Provinsi Sumatera Utara, Indonesia, 20 January 2014, coll. E. N. Smith, M. I. Lubis, K. A. O'Connell, and E. Wostl.

Referred specimens (16). See Appendix 1.
Diagnosis. (1) medium sized frog, SVL males $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ $27.94-31.56 \mathrm{~mm}$ and females $(\mathrm{n}=7) 50.11-63.37 \mathrm{~mm}$; (2) dorsum skin finely granulated, color generally brown with scattered light spots; (3) tympanum distinct and translucent, slightly deep, supratympanic fold present, posttympanic fold absent; (4) dorsolateral fold present, thin, continuation of supratympanic fold to the level of pelvic joint, uninterrupted


Figure 9. Geographical distribution of Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. (purple squares; type locality purple arrow [1]: Jamat, Taman Buru Linge Isaq), S. crassiovis comb. n. (brown circles; type locality brown arrow [2]: Kerinci), and S. montana sp. n. (red triangles; type locality red [3]: Gunung Baru, Taman Nasional Kerinci-Seblat). The map was prepared using GeoMapApp (Ryan et al. 2009).
or broken; (5) venter smooth, white or yellowish; (6) tibia length 69.63-79.67\% SVL; (7) Finger I 87.67-10.18\% Finger II; (8) width of disc of Finger III 73.68-120.00\% width of disc of Toe IV; (9) rear of thigh mottled; (10) approx. a quarter of the upper part of iris golden brown and the remaining iris with dense bright red stippling on black background; (11) webbing formula: $\mathrm{I}\left(0^{+}-1^{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(0^{+}-2\right) \mathrm{III}\left(0^{+}-3^{+}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(3^{-}\right.$ $\left.-0^{+}\right) \mathrm{V}$; (12) outer metatarsal tubercle absent, inner metatarsal tubercle present; (13) males with paired vocal sacs, undivided nuptial pad, humeral gland absent.

Comparisons. Sumaterana montana sp. n. differs from S. crassiovis comb. n. (character in parentheses) in these characters: dorsum color brown with scattered light blotches (green background with dark markings on tubercles, lighter area forming irregular network pattern); iris golden brown in the upper quadrant, below with dense bright red stippling on back background (golden yellow
with reddish color in the anterior and posterior sector and dark netting pattern); rear of thigh mottled, light spots on dark background (usually with vertical dark bars on lighter background, as continuation of dorsal thigh); dorsal texture shagreened, generally without tubercles (finely granulated with scattered tubercles); length of Finger I $\approx$ Finger II (Finger I $<$ Finger II); disc width of Finger III $\approx$ disc width of Toe IV (disc width of Finger III $>$ disc width of Toe IV); dorsolateral fold present, thin (absent); webbing formula: $\mathrm{I}\left(0^{+}-1^{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(0^{-}-2\right) \mathrm{II}\left(0^{-}-3^{+}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(3^{-}-0^{+}\right) \mathrm{V}$ $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(1^{+/-} 1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(1^{+/-} 1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(1^{+/-}-2^{+/}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(2^{+/-} 1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{V}\right)$.

Description of holotype. Adult female, gravid; body relatively slender; head width $91.93 \%$ head length; snout rounded, slightly pointed in dorsal view, and slightly protruding in lateral view; vomerine teeth present, in oblique groups, between choanae; loreal area deeply concave; canthus rostralis sharp, constricted behind nostrils; rictal ridge
present; eye-nostril distance $133.41 \%$ of snout-nostril distance; interorbital distance $99.00 \%$ width of upper eyelid; tympanum distinct, translucent, slightly set deep, diameter $<50 \% \mathrm{ED}(\mathrm{TYv} / \mathrm{ED}=49.31 \%, \mathrm{TYh} / \mathrm{ED}=44.91 \%$ ); supratympanic fold present, posttympanic fold absent; pineal spot visible; dorsolateral fold thin, starting in line with supratympanic fold anteriorly to the level of pelvic joint; dorsum and flank skin shagreened; venter skin smooth. Arm slender, lower arm length $19.44 \%$ SVL; hand length $32.81 \%$ SVL; fingers long, without webbing, tip extended into discs, diamond-like shaped, with circummarginal groove; length of Finger I 96.63\% Finger II, Finger III longest; flaps present on the outer phalanges of all fingers; subarticular tubercles distinctive; disc width of Finger III $94.42 \%$ disc width of Toe IV. Hindlimbs long, articulation of the heels reach far beyond the tip of snout when limb aligned with body, relative length of femur, foot, and tibia to SVL: $61.01 \%, 69.63 \%$, and $59.24 \%$, respectively; toe lengths: $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{III}<\mathrm{V}<\mathrm{IV}$, Toe V only slightly longer than Toe III; toe tip extended into diamond-shaped discs; cirmummarginal groove present; webbing formula: $\mathrm{I}\left(0^{+}-1^{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(0^{+}-2\right) \mathrm{II}\left(0^{+}-3^{+}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(3^{-}-0^{+}\right) \mathrm{V}$; subarticular tubercle distinct; inner metatarsal tubercle distinct, oval, $152.09 \%$ T4DW; outer metatarsal tubercle absent; tarsal fold absent.

Holotype coloration. In life, dorsum and upper head brown with scattered light spots; dark dorsolateral line from eye to groin; flanks brown lighting up ventrad, with yellowish color in the posterior region, and many round dark spots; venter yellowish, dark markings on throat up to half of abdomen; golden brown color in at the upper quarter sector of iris, the remaining parts of iris with dense red stippling on black background; a series of dark spots encircled base of upper eye lid; dark brown line from eye to nostril (along canthus rostralis) towards snout tip, not connected to counterpart at tip of snout; dark brown area between eye and tympanum; tympanum pale brown with darker spot in the center; upper lip background brown, lighter posteriorly, with dark brown spots; lower lip brown with few light spots; arm with four dark cross-bars, from elbow to wrist; dorsal face of thigh and tibia brown, each with 6 dark bars; yellow spots on groin; rear of thigh mottled, whitish and yellow spots on brown background; ventral skin of thigh dusted brown on cream background, denser on both lateral side of posterior region; webbing color brown. Color in preservative similar to life coloration; dorsum brown and markings remain the same; yellowish color on flanks and venter changed into white; iris color became gray.

Holotype measurements (mm). SVL 59.60, HL 23.35, HW 21.65, SL 9.14, SN 4.16, EN 5.55, IND 7.58, IOD 5.94, ED 7.95, UEW 6.00, TYv 3.92, TYh 3.57, ET 2.31, LAL 12.32, HAL 20.79, FE 38.66, TL 41.50, FL 37.54, IMTL 3.27, F1L 7.59, F2L 7.73, F3DW 2.03, T4DW 2.15.

Variation. (1) dorsum color background: light pale brown to dark brown; (2) lighter spots on dorsum, none to dense;
variable size; (3) dorsolateral fold: continuous or interrupted, variable thickness; (4) yellowish posterior of flank; pale to brighter; (5) tubercles on flanks: none to many; (6) round dark spots on flanks, few to many; size: small to big; (7) dark marking on throat, chest, and ventrum: none to present and reaching the belly; (8) cross bars on limbs, 3-4 (arm, from elbow to wrist), 5-6 (thigh); variable thickness; (9) mottled pattern on rear of thigh: small, yellow and creamy spots to blotches, on brown background. (Metrics: Tables 4-5).

Sexual dimorphism. Males smaller than females. Tympanum diameter $52.31-92.89 \%$ ED in males and 41.54$60.47 \%$ ED in females. Adult males with single, undivided nuptial pad covering base of the first finger to subarticular tubercle on dorsal and medial surface. Paired subgular vocal sacs visible, humeral glands absent.

Etymology. The specific epithet is the Latin adjective montana in allusion to the distribution of this species at high elevations of the Bukit Barisan mountain range of Sumatra.

Common name. We propose Mountain Cascade Frogs as common English name and Katak Jeram Gunung in Bahasa Indonesia.

Distribution and natural history. Only known from high elevations of northern (Provinsi Aceh and Provinsi Sumatera Utara) and mid (Provinsi Bengkulu) Sumatra (Fig. 9). Known elevation was from 1190-2033 m a.s.l.. The holotype was perching on moss on a root of a dead tree, about 120 cm above a small creek ( 50 cm wide), $\sim 50$ m from Camp 4.5 of Gunung Baru, Desa Ulu Seblat, Taman Nasional Kerinci-Seblat, Kabupaten Lebong, Provinsi Bengkulu ( $\sim 2000 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.). The paratype ZMH.A14194 was observed sitting on the branch, about 300 m away, at the same creek where the holotype was collected, 200 cm above the ground. Accompanying fauna included species of Rhacophorus and Philautus. Paratypes from the vicinity of Tele, Kecamatan Samosir, Kabupaten Toba-Samosir, Provinsi Sumatera Utara were collected along the stream in the rainforest with patches of coffee plantation. The two specimens of Sumaterana montana sp. n. from the stream at Marpunge, Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser, Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Provinsi Aceh were found within low vegetation in the middle of the stream, S. crassiovis were abundant syntopically. Specimens from Gunung Sibuatan, Kabupaten Karo, Provinsi Sumatera Utara were found on the stream bank about 1-4 m away from water.

Tadpoles. Unknown.

## Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/A4E2A0F3-E0DA-43A1-BEEC-0340026C3BCB
Figs 2 Clade C, 5b, 6b
Holotype. MZB.AMPH. 29396 (male, Fig. 5b), Desa Jamat, Taman Buru Linge Isaq, Kabupaten Aceh Ten-


Figure 10. (a-b) Typical cascading stream habitat of Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. at Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser, Provinsi Aceh. Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. inhabits similar stream habitats. (c) Specimen of S. dabulescens sp. n. on a rock near a small cascade in its natural habitat at Taman Buru Linge Isaq, Provinsi Aceh. Photos by U. Arifin.
gah, Provinsi Aceh, Sumatra, Indonesia ( $04.36482^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $097.24783^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 440 m a.s.1., 6 March 2014, 20:02, coll. U. Arifin and G. Cahyadi.

Paratypes (24). ZMH.A14159 (female, Fig. 6b) and MZB.AMPH. 29398 (female) and five males MZB. AMPH. 29400 (male), MZB. AMPH. 29402 (male), ZMH. A14161-62 (males), ZMH.A12667 (male), same data as holotype, (20:00-21:31, except ZMH.A12667 at 13:00). UTA.A64917 (male), stream at Enang-Enang Resort, road of Takengon-Bierut, Provinsi Aceh, ( $04.88649^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $096.72689^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 604 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.), 7 August 2015, 20:00-21:00, coll. E. N. Smith and F. Akhsani. UTA.A64919 (male), Kabupaten Bener Meriah, Provinsi Aceh, $\left(04.82623^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$, $096.74841^{\circ}$ E), 924 m a.s.1., 6 August 2015, coll. I. Sidik and F. Akhasani. UTA.A64921 (male), $04.93841^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $095.98375^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 314 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., UTA.A64922 (male) and UTA.A64923 (male), $04.93852^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 095.98294^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 323 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64924 (male), $04.93869^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 095.98250^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 333 m a.s.l., Kruong Meuriam, Kecamatan Tangse, Kabupaten Pidie, Provinsi Aceh, 6 June 2016, I. Sidik and W. Trilaksono. MZB.AMPH. 29381 (male), MZB. AMPH. 29383 (male), MZB.AMPH. 29385 (male), MZB. AMPH. 29387 (male), MZB.AMPH. 29389 (male), ZMH. A14154-58 (males), ZMH.A12668 (male), Kecamatan

Mane, Kabupaten Pidie, Provinsi Aceh, (4.92091 ${ }^{\circ}$ N, $96.12275^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), 761 m a.s.1., 20 March 2014, coll. U. Arifin and G. Cahyadi.

Referred specimens (22). 13 adults, one juvenile, and 8 tadpoles (Appendix 1).

Diagnosis. (1) medium sized frog, SVL males $(\mathrm{n}=27)$ $34.69-40.86 \mathrm{~mm}$ and females $(\mathrm{n}=3) 48.03-66.60 \mathrm{~mm}$; (2) dorsum finely granulated with scattered round, distinct tubercles; generally gray with dark gray spots on tubercles; (3) tympanum distinct and translucent (not transparent), supratympanic fold present, posttympanic fold absent; (4) dorsolateral fold absent; (5) venter smooth, granulated posteriorly, white; (6) tibia length 58.08-68.81\% SVL; (7) Finger I 58.57-94.16 Finger II; (8) width of disc of Finger III 105.13-144.53\% width of disc of Toe IV; (9) rear of thigh mottled; dark blotches on light background; (10) iris silver-gray with dark netting, slightly yellow to orange golden in the upper part; (11) all toes fully webbed to base of discs $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{III}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/}\right) \mathrm{IV}\left(1^{+/-}-1^{+/}\right) \mathrm{V}\right)$; (12) outer metatarsal tubercle absent, inner metatarsal tubercle present; (13) males with paired vocal sacs, divided nuptial pad, humeral gland absent.

Comparison. Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. differs from S. crassiovis comb. n. and S. montana sp. n. (character in parentheses: S. crassiovis comb. n.; S. montana sp. n.) by gray dorsum with dark markings on tubercles, lighter area forming irregular network pattern (green background with dark markings on tubercles, lighter area forming irregular network pattern; brown background with lighter sports, Fig. 5); iris color in life silver gray with dark reticulation, slightly yellow to golden in the upper part (golden yellow with reddish color in the anterior and posterior sector and dark netting pattern; golden brown in the upper quadrant of the iris, remaining iris with dense bright red stippling on black background; Fig. 3); rear of thigh mottled, dark blotches on light background (generally barred, dark bars on light background; mottled, light spots on dark background; Fig. 3); dorsal skin texture coarsely granulated with scattered round tubercle, vary in size and density (finely granulated with scattered tubercles, vary in size, shape, and density; shagreened, without tubercles); dorsolateral fold absent (absent; present, thin); length of Finger I < Finger II (Finger I < Finger II; Finger I $\approx$ Finger II); nuptial pad on male divided (undivided; undivided; Fig. 3); webbing full on all toes $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(1^{+-}-1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{II}\left(1^{+-}-1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{III}\left(1^{+/-}-2^{+/-}\right)\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{IV}\left(2^{+/-} 1^{+/-}\right) \mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{I}\left(1-1^{1 / 2}\right) \mathrm{II}(0-2) \mathrm{II}(0-3) \mathrm{IV}(3-0) \mathrm{V}\right)$.

Description of holotype. Male, vocal sacs distinct and paired; nuptial pad distinct, divided, covering dorso-medial face of proximal Finger I to level of subarticular tubercle; humeral gland absent; body relatively slender; head width $90.11 \%$ head length; in dorsal view, snout obtusely pointed, in lateral view acutely projecting; canthus rostralis sharp, constricted behind nostrils; loreal area deeply concave; vomerine teeth present, in oblique groups, between choanae; tongue lanceolate; rictal ridge present; eye-nostril distance $177.84 \%$ snout-nostril distance; interorbital distance $89.27 \%$ width of upper eyelid; tympanum distinct, translucent, diameter $>50 \% \mathrm{ED}$ (TYv/ED $=64.85$; TYh/ $\mathrm{ED}=69.36 \%$ ); supratympanic fold distinct, posttympanic fold absent; pineal spot visible; dorsolateral fold absent; dorsum and flanks finely granulated with scattered rounded tubercles on the dorsal region up to the upper part of the flanks; venter skin smooth, finely granulated in the posterior region; hindlimb long, articulation of the heels reach far beyond the tip of snout when limb aligned with body; thigh length $94.90 \%$ tibia; tibia $64.02 \%$ SVL; fingers slender, without webbing; Finger I 94.16\% Finger II, Finger III longest; skin flaps present on the outer phalanges of all fingers; subarticular tubercles on fingers and toes distinct; disc width of Finger III $105.13 \%$ disc width of Toe IV; discs of toes and fingers diamond-shaped, both with cirmummarginal grooves; toe lengths: $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{III}<\mathrm{V}<$ IV, Toe V slightly longer than Toe III; toes fully webbed; inner metatarsal tubercle distinct, oval, $118.59 \%$ T4DW; outer metatarsal tubercle absent; tarsal fold absent.

Holotype coloration. In life, dorsum and flanks generally gray; scattered tubercles on the dorsum and the upper part of flanks usually embedded in dark color; lighter area of
the dorsum form an irregular network; golden color with dark spot between eye and nostril; upper lip grayish-white with dark spots (right: 4; left: 4); lower lip whitish with dark spots (right: 3; left: 2); iris silver-gray with dark netting, golden orange in the upper part; tympanum gray with light spot in the center; venter, chest, and throat fully whitish; forearm with four distinct dark cross-bars; hind limbs with thick dark cross-bars dorsally (thigh: 5; tibia: 5); rear of thigh with dark mottling on light gray background; legs light brownish ventrally; webbing brown. Dorsal coloration turned from gray with dark spots into uniformly dark brown in preserved specimens; flanks remained gray, lighter ventrad; iris color changed to uniform gray; no color change in the dark markings or pattern.

Holotype measurements (mm). SVL 36.13, HL 14.87, HW 13.40, SL 5.67, SN 1.94, EN 3.45, IND 3.88, IOD 3.66, ED 5.32, UEW 4.10, TYv 3.45, TYh 3.69, ETD 1.19, LAL 7.76, HAL 12.80, FE 21.95, TL 23.13, FL 19.19, IMTL 1.85, F1L 4.03, F2L 4.28, F3DW 1.64, T4DW 1.56.

Variation. (1) dorsum generally with round tubercles, lighter spots vary from few to dense; (2) number of darkround tubercles on dorsum and flanks: few to many tubercles; (3) size of dark wound tubercles on dorsum and flanks: small to big tubercle; (4) life coloration of dorsum background: lighter grey or slightly grayish-green to dark gray; (5) iris upper sector: light yellow to orange; (6) dark netting of iris: loose to dense; (7) throat, chest, and venter with or without marking, ranging from none to marking reaching venter; (6) marking on upper and lower lip: variable in size; (9) number of cross bars on limbs: 2-4 (arm between wrist and elbow), 4-7 (thigh); (10) thickness of cross bars on limbs: variable; (11) composition of dark color on lighter background of mottling pattern on rear of thigh: dense to less dense dark pattern on lighter background. Metrics in Tables 4-5.

Sexual dimorphism. Males smaller than females. Tympanum diameter $38.54-72.94 \%$ ED in males and 28.18$45.70 \%$ ED in females. Adult males with divided nuptial pads and vocal sacs, covering dorso-medial face of proximal Finger I to level of subarticular tubercle, humeral gland absent.

Etymology. The species epithet dabulescens is an artificial construct of "dabul", "gray" in Gayo language, combined with the Latin ending "-escense", here in the sense of "tending to be", in allusion to the gray appearance of this species. The Gayo are a local tribe in the Aceh region of Sumatra and after which the Gayo highlands have been named.

Common name. We propose Gayo Cascade Frogs as the English common name and Katak Jeram Gayo as name in Bahasa Indonesia.

Distribution and natural history. Provinsi Aceh, particularly localities in the northern and middle part of Aceh:


Figure 11. Tadpoles of Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n., ZMH.A12650, Provinsi Sumatera Barat (a-c) and S. dabulescens sp. n., MZB.AMPH.29411, Provinsi Aceh (d-f) showing dorsal, ventral, and lateral view. Scale 5 mm . Photos by U. Arifin.

Kecamatan Mane, Kabupaten Pidie; Krung Meuriam, Kecamatan Tangse, Kabupaten Pidie; Kabupaten Bener Meriah; Road Takengon-Bierut, Enang-Enang Resort, Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, and Taman Buru Linge-Isaq, Kecamatan Takengon, Kabupaten Aceh Tengah (Fig. 9). Known elevation for this species was $314-1000 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l.. The holotype was caught 100 cm above water level on a rock wall at the stream slope. The paratypes were perching on vegetation above the stream (15-200 cm above water) or on rocks in the stream or at the stream bank. The other specimens were collected from rocks or vegetation either in stream or approx. $30-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ away from the water (e.g., Fig. 10c). Tadpoles were collected between 23:00-24:00 from rocks (diameter $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in a fast flowing stream ( 4 m wide), local protected forest, Kecamatan Mane, Kabupaten Pidie.

Tadpoles. We examined eight tadpoles of Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n.. Stage 25: UA20140336 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), ZMH. A12655 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 28: MZB.AMPH. 29411 ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ), Stage 37: MZB.AMPH. $29413(\mathrm{n}=1)$. Tadpole assignment to species was justified by $100 \%$ genetic match ( 12 S rRNA+16S rRNA + tRNA ${ }^{\text {val }}$ ) of the selected tadpole to an adult from the same locality (Fig. 2). For the following description we refer to MZB.AMPH. 29413 (Stage 37) because this specimen has the most advanced developmental stage in the series: in dorsal view, body slightly rectangular, snout broadly rounded, body rounded at both posterior corners; in lateral view, dorsoventrally depressed, streamlined; maximum body width
65.51\% body length; eyes dorsolateral, oriented laterally; $\mathrm{ED}=1.61 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{IND} / \mathrm{IOD}=48.01 \% ; \mathrm{SN} / \mathrm{ES}=45.23 \%$; nostrils directed anterolaterally; nostril opens without raised rim; infraorbital gland cluster (left: 6 glands; right: 3 ) and postorbital gland cluster (two in each side) present; oral disc ventral, a groove separating upper lip from snout, oral disc width $68.00 \%$ body width; edge of oral sucker protruding snout contour in dorsal view; oral disc marginal papillae short, arranged in a single row; on upper lip marginal papillae present only on lateral parts, on lower lip in uninterrupted row; two short submarginal papillae in lateral area of upper lip; LTRF: 8(5-8)/8(1); upper jaw sheaths broad, heavily keratinized, smooth, undivided, thick but medial part conspicuously thinner than lateral parts; lower jaw sheaths undivided, smooth, V-shaped, thick; both jaw sheaths finely serrated along their edges; very large abdominal sucker in posterior continuation of oral disc, SUL/BL $=70.47 \%$, SUW/BW $=$ $82.94 \%$; spiracle sinistral, tube long, posterior half free from body wall; spiracle directed posterodorsally; anal tube median, free from tail fin, directed posteriorly; strong muscular tail: $\mathrm{TMH} / \mathrm{BH}=76.19 \%, \mathrm{TMH} / \mathrm{MTH}=66.74 \%$; upper fin convex; maximum upper fin height is approx. $34.99 \%$ MTH at approx. $49.00 \% \mathrm{TAL}$; tail tip pointed.

In life, dorsal coloration of body and tail densely mottled with brown and golden blotches on a grayish background with dense fine dark stippling; lower flanks with a conspicuous wedge-shaped white area; tail muscle dark with dense-dark stippling overlain by yellowish-golden
to orange mottling; lateral tail vein visible in first third of tail, including dorsal branching along myosepta; upper and lower fin mostly transparent, stippled with melanophores, especially towards the fin margin; yellowish-golden stippling also present in the upper and lower fin; iris background color black, with dense golden to orange iridophore stippling; abdomen whitish laterally and densely stippled with golden iridophores medially; golden iridophores stippling also present in the anterior region of the snout and oral disc; abdominal sucker mostly transparent except for the central spot with golden iridocytes and scattered pigment along the rim. In preservative: color of dorsal region became gray with dense darker dots and dark brown mottling; darker region were obvious on the upper flanks and between eyes and naris; iris all black; lens gray-ish-white; ventrally uniformly transparent with dark pigments in the anterior region of snout, oral disc, and lateral.

Body proportions between Stage 25, Stage 28, and Stage 37 were variable, e.g., BW/BH in Stage
$25(165.01 \%)>$ in Stage $28(160.72 \%)>$ in Stage 37 (144.97\%); SUW/BW in Stage 25 ( $89.58 \%$ ) > in Stage 28 ( $86.66 \%$ ) > in Stage 37 ( $82.94 \%$ ); TAL/BL in Stage 25 (153.74\%) < Stage 37 (174.06\%) < in Stage 28(183.00\%); TMH/BH in Stage 25 ( $61.38 \%$ ) < in Stage 37 ( $76.19 \%$ ) < in Stage 28 (84.71\%); TMH/MTH in Stage 25 (60.53\%) < in Stage 37 (66.74\%) < in Stage 28 ( $71.00 \%$ ). Variation: Body shape in the Stage 25 and Stage 28 were oval; the posterior region gradually arched towards the end of the body (e.g. Stage 28; Fig. 11d-f). Color patterns were also variable among the specimens. For example, in life, MZB.AMPH. 29411 (Stage 28, Fig. 11d-f) had less mottling on upper side of body and tail than MZB. AMPH. 29413 (Stage 37), more extensive golden color in the iris, smaller orange blotches in the tail region, very few golden spots in both upper or lower fin region, golden iridopores and pigments were less in the ventral region. In preservative, MZB.AMPH. 29411 is lighter than MZB.AMPH. 29413.

## Species keys of Sumaterana sp. n. in the context of Southeast Asian Ranidae

1 Highly stream-adapted, gastromyzophorous tadpoles abdominal sucker present ..... 2
_ Abdominal sucker absent ............. Abavorana, Amnirana, Chalcorana, Clinotarsus, Hylarana, Odorrana, Pulchrana, Staurois
2 Expanded, rounded finger and toe tips. ..... Amolops

- Expanded finger and toe tips, pointed and diamond shaped. ..... 3
3 Posttympanic and dorsolateral folds well developed, thick dark $\Pi$-shaped over tympanum. ..... Huia
- Posttympanic and dorsolateral folds well developed, no thick dark $\Pi$-shaped over tympanum, endemic to Borneo ......._ Posttympanic fold absent, dorsolateral folds present or absent, no thick dark $\Pi$-shaped over the tympanum, endemictosurato Sumatra.4, Sumaterana
4 Poorly developed dorsolateral folds, F1 subequal to F2 in length, F3DW subequal to T4DW, dorsum shagreened, brown,sometimes slightly tuberculate.- Dorsolateral folds absent, F1 shorter than F2, F3DW wider than T4DW, dorsum green or greyish with darker markings,finely granulated and tuberculate5
5 Undivided nuptial pad in males, green dorsal background in life, rear of thighs with dark bars. ..... S. crassiovis
- Divided nuptial pad in males, gray dorsal background in life, rear of thighs with dark mottling or blotches. ..... S. dabulescens


## Conclusive summary

The taxonomic status of the taxon previously known as Chalcorana crassiovis has been problematic for a long time. The case was confounded by the description of a morphologically similar species (C. kampeni), the loss of the C. kampeni type specimen, insufficient sampling, and a lack of evidence beyond morphology (viz., molecular data). After the original description by Boulenger (1920), only Inger and Iskandar (2015) collected substantial numbers of specimens from that taxonomic group. The exclusively morphological evidence in their validated the existence and provided a re-description of C. crassiovis, while questioning the existence of $C$. kampeni. Some authors still continue treating C. crassiovis and C. kampeni as distinct species, by implication of other evidence. Our study is the first to conduct molecular analyses for these doubtful taxa in a phylogenetic
context. Our phylogenetic hypotheses strongly support C. crassiovis as a distinct lineage, and a diverse, monophyletic group (Fig. 2) that is not closely related to other species previously assigned to the genus Chalcorana. Our comprehensive sampling along the Sumatran transect yielded specimens with astonishing genetic diversity and morphological differences among the clades comprising the crassiovis-group (Fig. 2). We recommend all taxa in Clades $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ to be moved to the proposed new genus Sumaterana gen. n.. The new genus currently comprises three known species: S. crassiovis comb. n., S. montana sp. n. and S. dabulescens sp. n.. We consider them to represent valid species (viz., independently evolving lineages) as indicated by genetic, morphological, and ecological differences in comparison to other related species (see above). Furthermore, our molecular data reveal the presence of gastromyzophorus larvae in the species belonging to Sumaterana gen. n..

Samples from the type localities of Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. and "Chalcorana kampeni" were nested in Clade A in the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2) with small amounts of genetic divergence (uncorrected $p$-distance $2.56 \%$, Suppl. materials 3). Furthermore, Inger and Iskandar's (2005) morphological description of C. crassiovis and Boulenger's (1920) original description matched our Clade A samples well, except for small differences. For example, according to Inger and Iskandar (2005) the tubercles on the dorsum were large and rounded, but in our samples some tubercles were also elongated and variable in size. Inger and Iskandar (2005) noted Finger I equal or slightly longer than Finger II, but in our samples Finger I was consistently shorter than Finger II. This may partially be attributed to different methods of finger length comparison. Inger and Iskandar (2005) reported skin flaps on the outer phalanges of the second and third fingers. We observed flaps present on the outer phalanges of all fingers, although not all of them are movable. This character is difficult to express unambiguously in verbal form (i.e., some may consider them fringes rather than flaps) and graded character states can occur on different fingers. Thus, we do not believe our observations contradict Inger and Iskandar (2005). Based on low genetic divergence within Clade A, morphological homogeneity among samples corroborating the original description of the type (Boulenger 1920) and the re-description by Inger and Iskandar (2005), and the inclusion of topotypic specimens of both previously named taxa, we recommend "C. kampeni" be considered a junior synonym of $S$. crassiovis comb. n.

In this study we included four known species of Huia (H. cavitympanum-type species, Borneo; H. sumatrana, Sumatra; H. masonil, Java; and H. melasma, the mainland Asia). Nevertheless, we were unable to solve the phylogenetic problem of Huia, which has previously been considered paraphyletic (Stuart 2008, Pyron and Wiens 2011). Our study perpetuates this conundrum as the type species of Huia (H. cavitympanum) was shown to be the sister taxon to Bornean Meristogenys in our analyses, rather than monophyletic with the other Huia species in our dataset. One possibility would have been to subsume all members of the assemblage (Sumaterana gen. n., Meristogenys, Huia, and Clinotarsus) under one name (Clinotarsus, the oldest available name). We did not choose this option in order to ensure taxonomic stability and because valuable biological information associated with the current monophyletic groups would be dissolved in one genus, such as island endemism (Sumaterana gen. n./Meristogenys), differences in adult and tadpole morphology and tadpole peculiarities (species of today's Clinotarsus with non-gastromyzophorous tadpoles). Because of the low support in parts of his tree, Stuart (2008) refrained from taxonomic amendments concerning Huia, and so do we. Much more effort needs to be invested to solve the perplexing phylogenetic uncertainties concerning Huia.

Another interesting subject arises from the optimized phylogenies in our analyses (Fig. 2) with respect to the evolution of larval gastromyzophory in Southeast Asian
ranids. Previously all Asian ranid taxa with gastromyzophorous tadpoles were grouped under the genus Amolops (Inger 1966). Yang (1991) split the group into Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys based on adult and tadpole morphological characters. Molecular systematic studies, however, suggested that the assemblage of Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys was para- or polyphyletic (Frost et al. 2006, Stuart 2008, Pyron and Wiens 2011). Our phylogenetic analyses indicate that gastromyzophorous tadpoles have likely evolved independently, once in the most recent common ancestor of the group Huia+Sumaterana gen. $\mathrm{n} .+$ Meristogenys and again in the ancestor of Amolops. Tadpoles from both clades are perplexingly similar morphologically (Noble 1929, Gan et al. 2015), yet molecular evidence implies separate origins. Interestingly, although Clinotarsus does not possess gastromyzophorous tadpoles, this genus is nested within Huia+Sumaterana gen. n. + Meristogenys (Stuart 2008, Pyron and Wiens 2011, this study). Therefore, it could be hypothesized that larval gastromyzophory might have been lost secondarily in Clinotarsus. Further studies are needed to test and understand the evolution of this larval type in these frogs.

A third case of ranids with gastromyzophorous tadpoles has been reported in Rana sauteri (Boulenger, 1909). Its tadpoles are clearly more morphologically (Kuramoto et al. 1984) and biogeographically (Taiwan) distant to Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys. Gan et al. (2015) summarized that in $R$. sauteri the edge of the abdominal sucker was not as sharply defined as in Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys (sucker is completely free and rim raised), particularly at the posterior. Moreover, the sucker seems to work differently in $R$. sauteri: the musculus diaphragmatopraecordialis is absent in R. sauteri, but well developed in Amolops, Huia, and Meristogenys (Gan et al. 2015, Kuramoto et al. 1984). Finally, other body features of $R$. sauteri (relatively narrow oral sucker and extensive dorsal tail fin) underline the morphological differences between this and to other Asian gastromyzophorous tadpoles, implying possible separate origins and different adaptive scenarios.

We are fully aware that phylogenetic and taxonomic problems persist in our studied taxa. These need to be addressed in the future. Broad thorough geographic sampling of adult and larval forms is a prerequisite to solve phylogenetic quandaries with any amphibian taxa, especially in the species rich tropical realm. Moreover, integrating independent sources of evidence (e.g. DNA, morphology, distribution) is an optimal strategy to accurately and convincingly validate the taxonomic position of doubtful amphibian taxa from hyperdiverse hotspots (Dayrat 2005, Padial et al. 2009, Padial et al. 2010). Distantly related frog species that converged onto similar morphotypes (i.e., ecomorphs) are common in tropical biodiversity hotspots (Bossuyt and Milinkovitch 2002) and can confound taxonomic decisions; examples are documented in Stuart (2008).

Our results are also further evidence that the taxonomic diversity of Sumatran frogs is still significantly underestimated (Iskandar and Colijn 2000, Stuart et al. 2006, Inger et al. 2009), despite the recent increase of am-
phibian species described from the island (e.g. Teynie et al. 2010, Matsui et al. 2012, Hamidy and Kurniati 2015, Smart et al. 2017, Wostl et al. 2017). This also holds true for other herpetofauna, such as reptiles (Orlov and Ryabov 2002, David and Das 2003, Das 2005, Harvey et al. 2015, Wostl et al. 2016). Large scale and strategic sampling efforts are of the utmost priority in order to reveal the true faunal diversity and distribution patterns on this incredibly biodiverse island.
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Appendix 1

## Specimens examined

$\left(*\right.$ bold $=$ measured, $\operatorname{star}\left({ }^{*}\right)=$ sequenced $)$
Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. (adults, $\mathrm{n}=262$ )
Provinsi Aceh. - Kabupaten Pidie, Mountain above Geumpang, Transmigrasi community, old road to mining camp, $4.85824^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $96.21348^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1090 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64868; Kabupaten Bener Meriah, road between Bireun-Takengon, $4.82623^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.74841^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 924 m a.s.l., UTA.A64856-60; Kabupaten Bener Meriah, foot of Berni Terlong, near Desa Rambune, pantan Pediangah, Tihmang gagah, $4.76379^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.78131^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1184 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA. A64853; Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, Taman Buru Linge-Isaq, $4.37958^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.29158^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1000 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29196, MZB.AMPH.29198, ZMH.A14168-69; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Kampung Ise-Ise, $4.25511^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.18366^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1129 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64855; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Kedah, Blangkajeren, Rain Forest lodge, $3.97806^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.25314^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1376 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA. A64851, UTA.A64852; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Marpunge, Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser, $3.79289^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.64417^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1190$ m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29188, MZB.AMPH.29190, MZB. AMPH.29192, MZB.AMPH.29194, ZMH.A14216-17, ZMH. A14219; Kabupaten Nagan Raya, Road from Nagan Raya to Terangun, $3.95839^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.85218^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 795 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A6486466; Kabupaten Aceh Selatan, Gunung Putri Tidur near Tapak Tuan, $3.2921^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.19642^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 481 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64867; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Marpunge, Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser, $3.77103^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $97.63801^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1065 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29186*,

## ZMH.A14218.

Provinsi Sumatera Utara. - Kabupaten Dili Serdang, Sungai DAM Bumi Perkemahan Sibolangit, $3.27347^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.53586^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 881-965 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29326*, MZB.AMPH.29327-29, MZB.AMPH.29330-37; Kabupaten Dili Serdang, Sungai Batu BelahBumi PerkemahanSibolangit, $3.27522^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.53613^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 880-$ 965 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29338-39, MZB.AMPH.29340-41, MZB.AMPH.29342-44; Kabupaten Dili Serdang, Sungai Derek Bumi Perkemahan Sibolangit, $3.27688^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.53472^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 877-908$ m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29345-47, Kabupaten Karo, sungai Taman Wisata Alam Deleng Lancuk, $3.19668^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.39298^{\circ}$ E, 1416-1427 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29348-49, MZB.AMPH.29350, MZB. AMPH.29351, MZB.AMPH.29352, MZB.AMPH.29353-54; Kabupaten Karo, Kecamatan Berastagi, Air Terjun Sikulikap, $3.24047^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.53878^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1156 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.2349293, UTA.A64879-81; Kabupaten Toba Samosir, Gunung Pangulubao, $2.60514^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.04629^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1397 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.23496-97, MZB.AMPH.23506, UTA.A64833*, UTA. A64882-86; Kabupaten Hambung Hasundutan, Gunung Pinapan, $2.18325^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.60513^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1309 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.23498; Kabupaten Tapanuli Selatan, slope of Gunung T. Anjing, $1.68449^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.34737^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1253 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.23505; Kabupaten Mandiling Natal, Huta Baringin Julu, Taman Nasional Batang Gadis, $0.66636^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.57191^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1271 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA. A64894-902, MZB.AMPH.23507-11, UTA.A64835*, MZB. AMPH.23513-14; Kabupaten Mandailing Natal, slope of Dolok Malea above Kampung Mompang, $0.97500^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.57959^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 991
m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.23499-501, MZB.AMPH.23503-04, UTA.A64887-93; Kabupaten Mandailing Natal, road between Panyabungan and Natal, $0.72544^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.54497^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 804 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.23490-91.

Provinsi Sumatera Barat. - Kabupaten Pasaman, Kecamatan Panti, Stream 3 Cagar Alam Rimbo Panti, $0.35220^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $100.04933^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 425 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29200*, MZB. AMPH.29202, MZB.AMPH.29204, ZMH.A14170-73; Каbupaten Pasaman, Kecamatan Panti, Stream 1 Batu Ampar, Cagar Alam Rimbo Panti, $0.35056^{\circ}$ N, $100.04490^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, $450-$ 500 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29206, MZB.AMPH.29208, MZB.AMPH.29210, ZMH.A14191-92; Kabupaten Pasaman, Kecamatan Panti, Stream 1 Batu Ampar, Cagar Alam Rimbo Panti, $0.34789^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 100.03748^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1000 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MVZ271526*; Kabupaten Payakumbuh, Kecamatan Pangkalan Koto Baru, Road from Payakumbuh to Pangkalan, $0.01905^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 100.72205^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 621$ m a.s.l., UTA.A64847; Kabupaten Payakumbuh, small creek next to main road connecting Payakumbuh-Riau, $0.01916^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $100.72226^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 606-624 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29320*, MZB. АМРН.29322, MZB.AMPH.29324, ZMH.A14223-25; Kabupaten Solok, Kecamatan Gunung Talang, Stream 1 Lubuak Sulasiah, $0.95782^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 100.57112^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1040-1084 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29212, MZB.AMPH.29214, MZB.AMPH.29216, MZB. AMPH.29218, MZB.AMPH.29220, MZB.AMPH.29222, MZB. AMPH.29224, ZMH.A14206-13; Kabupaten Solok, Kecamatan Gunung Talang, Stream 2 Lubuak Sulasiah, $0.94529^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $100.54630^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1104 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29226; Kabupaten Solok, Kecamatan Gunung Talang, Desa Kayu Jao, $0.99717^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $100.63952^{\circ}$ E, 1270 m a.s.l., ZMH.A14195; Kabupaten Solok, Kecamatan Gunung Talang, Stream 1 Desa Kayu Jao, $0.99557^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $100.64334^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1315-1350 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29228, MZB. AMPH.29230, ZMH.A14198; Kabupaten Solok, Kecamatan Gunung Talang, Stream 2 Kayu Jao, $0.99980^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 100.63550^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 1195 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29232, MZB.AMPH.29234, ZMH. A14221-22; Kabupaten Solok, Road from Kayu Aro-Padang near Surian, $1.13573^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 100.80255^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1417 \mathrm{ma}$.s.l., MZB.AMPH.22341, UTA.A62438; Kabupaten Solok Selatan, Muara Labuh, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $1.45534^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.00020^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 640-643$ m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29253, MZB.AMPH.29255, ZMH. A14136-37 Kabupaten Solok Selatan, Padang Aro, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $1.559317^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.31072^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 605 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., ZMH. A14197*; Kabupaten Solok Selatan, Batang Blangir, Padang Aro, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $1.61750^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.24780^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 975 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29237, MZB.AMPH.29241, MZB. АМРН.29243, MZB.AMPH.29245, MZB.AMPH.29247, MZB.AMPH.29239, ZMH.A14179-84; Kabupaten Solok Selatan, stream at the foot hill of Lake Bontak, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $1.60325^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.26391^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 850 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29249, MZB.AMPH.29251, ZMH.A14226-28.

Provinsi Jambi. - Kabupaten Kerinci, trail to Danau Tujuh, $1.71076^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.36986^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1506 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.22221, MZB. AMPH.22222, MZB.AMPH.22223, MZB.AMPH.22334, UTA. A64904, UTA.A64905, UTA.A64906; Kabupaten Kerinci, road between Sungai Penuh and Tapan, west of crest, $2.04139^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $101.31462^{\circ}$ E 1250 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.22345; Kabupaten Kerinci, Gunung Kunyit, Taman Nasional Kerinci-Seblat, $2.26013^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $101.49512^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1355 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.22336, MZB. АМРH.22338, MZB.AMPH.22339*, MZB.AMPH.22340,

UTA.A64907-13; Kabupaten Kerinci, Bukit Tapan, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.06988^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.26235^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 726 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29257; $2.06543^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $101.26771^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 787 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., ZMH. A14193; Kabupaten Kerinci, Sungai Kunyit, Bukit Tapan, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.06925^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.28656^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 909-$ 916 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29259, MZB.AMPH.29261, MZB. AMPH.29263, MZB.AMPH.29265, MZB.AMPH.29267, MZB. AMPH.29269, ZMH.A14201-05.

Provinsi Bengkulu. - Kabupaten Lebong, Stream at Camp 2 Desa Seblat Ulu, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.95330^{\circ}$ S, $102.13955^{\circ}$ E, $758-774 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., ZMH.A14166-67, MZB. AMPH.29271; Kabupaten Lebong, Stream at Camp 3 Desa Seblat Ulu, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.95100^{\circ}$ S, $102.16345^{\circ}$ E, 716-723 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29273, MZB.AMPH.29275*, MZB.AMPH.29277; Kabupaten Lebong, Stream at Camp 1 Desa Seblat Ulu, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.95330^{\circ}$ S, $102.13955^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 723 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29276, ZMH.A14165.

Provinsi Sumatera Selatan. - Kabupaten Pagar Alam Selatan, road from Manna to Pagar Alam, $4.11296^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103.10007^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 772 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., UTA.A64870, UTA.A64873-75, UTA.A64877; Kabupaten Muara Enim, Gunung Patah near Desa Segamit, $4.21742^{\circ}$ S, $103.46823^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1545 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., UTA.A64849; Kabupaten Muara Enim, Sungai Lematan Desa Batu Surau, $4.13725^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103.58640^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 1048-1069 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29312, MZB.AMPH.29314, MZB.AMPH.29316, MZB.AMPH.29318, ZMH.A14149*, ZMH.A14150-53; Kabupaten Ogan Komering Ulu Selatan, Kecamatan Kisam Tinggi, Gunung Nanti, Desa Gunung Megang, $4.24586^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103.83415^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1048-1062 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB. AMPH.29302, MZB.AMPH.29304, MZB.AMPH.29306, MZB.AMPH.29308, ZMH.A14140-43, MZB.AMPH.29310; $4.24543^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103.8352^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 874 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., ZMH.A14139*.

Provinsi Lampung. - Kabupaten Lampung Barat, Curug Berdua, Gunung Abung, Desa Purajaya, $5.03730^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $104.54828^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 956-979 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29282, MZB. AMPH.29284, MZB.AMPH.29286, MZB.AMPH.29288*, MZB.AMPH.29290, ZMH.A14144-48; Kabupaten Lampung Barat, Sumber Jaya, $5.04456^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 104.44930^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1022 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29292, MZB.AMPH.29294, ZMH.A14214-15; Kabupaten Lampung Barat, Road Liwa to Krui, $5.06458^{\circ}$ S, $104.05465^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 673 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.22344, MZB. AMPH.22343, UTA.A62440; Kabupaten Lampung Barat, Sungai Pauh, Gedong Surian, $5.06651^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 104.46261^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 935-961$ m a.s.l., ZMH.A14200, MZB.AMPH.29279, ZMH.A14199; Kabupaten Tanggamus, Air Terjun Talang Ogan, $5.37933^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $104.66043^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 754-717 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29296, MZB. AMPH.29298, MZB.AMPH.29300, ZMH.A14185*-86, ZMH.A14188, ZMH.A14186.

Sumaterana crassiovis comb. n. (tadpoles, $\mathrm{n}=21$ )
Provinsi Sumatera Barat. - Kabupaten Lima Puluh Koto, Desa Tanjuang Bungo, $0.15188^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 100.47468^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 388 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29363; Kabupaten Payakumbuh, Stream next to the road between Payakumbuh-Riau $0.01917^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 100.72226^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 600-627 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29355*, MZB.AMPH.29356, ZMH.A12649 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ); Kabupaten Solok Selatan, Muara Labuh, Taman Nasional Kerinci-Seblat, $1.45534^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.00020^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 640-$ 643 m a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29359, ZMH.A12650*; Kabupaten

Solok Selatan, Kecamatan Sangir, Desa Padang Aro, Taman Nasional Kerinci-Seblat, $1.61750^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 101.24780^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 975 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29361, ZMH.A12651, ZMH.A12652 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ).

Provinsi Sumatera Selatan. - Kabupaten Muara Enim, Desa Batu Surau, $4.13725^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 103.58640^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1048-1069 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29364, MZB.AMPH.29365*.

Sumaterana montana sp. n. (adults, $\mathrm{n}=28$ )
Provinsi Aceh. - Kabupaten Bener Meriah, foot of Berni Terlong, near Desa Rambune, pantan Pediangah, Tihmang gagah, $4.77054^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.79341^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1377 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., UTA.A64930; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Stream Along Road S. (up) from Ise-Ise, $4.22357^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $97.18655^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1827 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64931, UTA.A64932, UTA. A64933, UTA.A64934; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Stream in Lemon Grass Plantation, $3.97234^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.23405^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1638 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA. A64935; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Kedah, Blangkajeren, Rain forest lodge, $3.9771^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.25256^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1355 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64929; Kabupaten Gayo Lues, Marpunge, Taman Nasional Gunung Leuser, $3.79289^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 9.764 .417^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1190 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., MZB.AMPH.29375, ZMH.A14164*.

Provinsi Sumatera Utara. - Kabupaten Karo, Gunung Sibuatan, Above Kampung Naga Linga, $2.91076^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.46313^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 1625 m a.s.1, MZB.AMPH.23522-24, UTA.A64834*, UTA. A64929; Kabupaten Toba Samosir, Gunung Pangulubao, $2.60441^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 99.04599^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1392 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64927; UTA. A64926; Kabupaten Samosir, vicinity of Tele, $2.55397^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $98.59806^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1774 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.23516* (paratype), MZB.AMPH. 23517 (paratype), UTA.A64829 (paratype); $2.54691^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.61414^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1780 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l, MZB.AMPH.23518-20 (paratype), UTA.A64830-32 (paratype); Kabupaten Simalungung, Simpang Tele, $2.52733^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.63364^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1800 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1., Kabupaten Hambung Hasundutan, $2.18325^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 98.60513^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1309 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64928.

Provinsi Bengkulu. - Kabupaten Lebong, Desa Seblat Ulu, Taman Nasional Kerinci Seblat, $2.88525^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 102.12993^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 2000$ m a.s.l., ZMH.A14194 (paratype); $2.88413^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 102.13073^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 2033 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29377* (holotype).

Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. (adults, $\mathrm{n}=38$ )
Provinsi Aceh. - Kabupaten Pidie, Krueng Meriam, Tangse, $4.938417^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 95.98375^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 314 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64921 (paratype); $4.93852^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 95.98294^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 323 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64922 (paratype), UTA.A64923 (paratype); Kabupaten Pidie, Kecamatan Tangse, Stream along Tangse-Geumpang road, $4.93869^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $95.9825^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 333 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64924 (paratype); Kabupaten Pidie, Desa Mane, $4.92091^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.12275^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 761 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH. 29381 (paratype), MZB.AMPH. 29383 (paratype), MZB.AMPH. 29385 (paratype), MZB.AMPH.29387(paratype), MZB.AMPH. 29389 (paratype), ZMH.A14154-58 (paratype), UA. 2014.0397 (paratype); $4.91926^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.12300^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 747 m a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29392, MZB.AMPH.29384, ZMH.A14187, ZMH.A14190; $4.89949^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.13168^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 700$ m a.s.l., ZMH.A14188; Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, Road Tak-engon-Bierut, Enang-Enang Resort, $4.88649^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.72689^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 604 m a.s.l., UTA.A64917 (paratype), UTA.A64918; Kabupaten Bener Meriah, $4.82623^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.74841^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 924 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA.A64919 (paratype); Kabupaten Pidie, Road Tutut
to Geumpang, $4.65267^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.09203^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 593 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., UTA. A64920; Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, Sungai Air Jambu, Taman Buru Linge Isaq, $4.36482^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.24783^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 440 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29396* (holotype), MZB.AMPH. 29398 (paratype), MZB.AMPH. 29400 (paratype), MZB.AMPH. 29402 (paratype), ZMH.A14159 (paratype), ZMH.A14161-62 (paratype), UA.2014.0214 (paratype); Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, red water stream, Taman Buru Linge Isaq, $4.37958^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.29158^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 1000 m a.s.l., ZMH.A14163; Kabupaten Aceh Tengah, Taman Buru Linge-Isaq, $4.338036^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 97.28096^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 600 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH.29405, MZB.AMPH.29407-09, ZMH.A14174,.

Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. (juvenile, $\mathrm{n}=1$ )
Provinsi Aceh.-Kabupaten Pidie, Kecamatan Mane, Desa Mane, $4.92334^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.12215^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 792 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB.AMPH.29378**

Sumaterana dabulescens sp. n. (tadpoles, $\mathrm{n}=8$ )
Provinsi Aceh. - Kabupaten Pidie, Kecamatan Mane, Stream 3 Mane, $4.91926^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 96.12300^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 747 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., MZB. AMPH. 29410 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), MZB.AMPH.29411*, ZMH.A12655, MZB.AMPH. 29413.
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#### Abstract

Squamate reptiles constitute a major component of the world's terrestrial vertebrate diversity, encompassing many morphotypes related to ecological specialization. Specifically, Gekkota, the sister clade to most other squamates, have highly specialized autopodia, which have been linked to their ecological plasticity. In this study, a developmental staging table of the gecko Hemidactylus, housed at the Museum für Naturkunde, is established. Twelve post-ovipositional stages are erected, monitoring morphological embryological transitions in eye, ear, nose, heart, limbs, pharyngeal arches, and skin structures. Ecomorphological specializations in the limbs include multiple paraphalanges, hypothesized to aid in supporting the strong muscles, that are situated adjacent to metacarpal and phalangeal heads. Furthermore, some phalanges are highly reduced in manual digits III and IV and pedal digits III, IV, and V. Development, composition, and growth of limb elements is characterized in detail via $\mu \mathrm{CT}$, histochemistry, and bone histological analysis. Using known life history data from two individuals, we found an average lamellar bone accretion rate in the humeral diaphysis comparable to that of varanids. Various adult individuals also showed moderate to extensive remodeling features in their long bone cortices, indicating that these animals experience a highly dynamic bone homeostasis during their growth, similar to some other medium-sized to large squamates. This study of in-ovo development of the gecko Hemidactylus and its ecomorphological specializations in the adult autopodia, enlarges our knowledge of morphological trait evolution and of limb diversity within the vertebrate phylum.


## Introduction

Gekkota (Squamata; lizards, snakes and amphisbaenians sensu Wiens et al. 2012) is a speciose and ecologically diverse clade with a cosmopolitan distribution (Carranza and Arnold 2006). Yet, molecular and morphological data for squamate interrelationships disagree substantially (Losos et al. 2012); however, according to the molecular data, Gekkota are, together with Dibamidae, sister to all other squamates (Wiens et al. 2012, Pyron et al. 2013).

Although squamate reptiles contribute greatly to vertebrate diversity in modern ecosystems, and exhibit a wide range of morphological variation in their limbs related to ecological specialization, underlying developmental and molecular mechanisms remain yet poorly studied (Sanger 2012, Pyron et al. 2013). However, importantly, the incorporation of taxa from all higher clades in the study of development and molecules is essential for understanding evolutionary patterns of development (Millinkovitch and Tzika 2007, Sanger 2012).

Some gekkotan species have the extraordinary ability of being able to cling to smooth surfaces and of inverted locomotion because of the properties of their specialized autopodia (Autumn et al. 2002, Russell 2002, Pianka and Sweet 2005). Such ability is associated with adhesive toepads which are located ventrally on the distal part of the digits (Ruibal and Ernst 1965, Russell 2002, Gamble et al. 2012). In Gekkota, adhesive toepads have first been reported in fossils from Lower Cretaceous amber deposits of Myanmar (Arnold and Poinar 2008). They evolved and were lost independently several times within this lineage, and allowed them to enter novel ecological habitats (Pianka and Sweet 2005, Gamble et al. 2012). Further eco-specializations in Gekkota include the presence of paraphalanges, unique structures only found in the gekkotan clade and hypothesized to aid both in the attachment of strong muscles in the digits and in the grasping power of the limb by applying pressure to the adhesive toepads (Russell and Bauer 1988). These apomorphic elements are located laterally to the interphalangeal joints and occasionally on the dorsal and ventral sides, and vary in number, shape and size (Wellborn 1933, Russell and Bauer 1988, Gamble et al. 2012). Paraphalanges are either cartilaginous or bony structures (Wellborn 1933, Russell and Bauer 1988, Gamble et al. 2012). Paraphalanges have been correlated with functional demands, such as grasping and/or climbing, rather than phylogeny (Russell and Bauer 1988, Vickaryous and Olson 2007, Gamble et al. 2012). They have evolved and were lost multiple times within Gekkota (Gamble et al. 2012).

Besides these general gekkotan traits, one gekkotan genus shows additional ecomorphological specializations in both fore- and hind limbs: Hemidactylus (Fig. 1). It is placed within Gekkonidae, as sister to Cyrtodactylus (Py-
ron et al. 2013). It has highly reduced phalanges (Russell 1975, 1977, Gamble et al. 2012). More specifically, the antepenultimate phalanx is highly reduced in digits III and IV of the manus, with a phalangeal count of 2-3-4-5-3 (Russell 1975, 1977). The pes shows a phalangeal count of 2-3-4-5-4, with an additional reduced phalanx in digit V (Russell 1975, 1977). Although phalangeal elements are also reduced in other geckos, the degree of reduction is never as pronounced as in Hemidactylus (Gamble et al. 2012).

Here, we present a morphological staging table for Hemidactylus based on external embryonic developmental traits and compare these to other squamate taxa. We make further references to characterize limbs which display ecomorphological specializations, and which we study using different approaches such as bone histology, $\mu \mathrm{CT}$, and immunohistochemistry. Enlarging the database of well documented developmental character traits of organisms allows for a more detailed and comprehensive knowledge of vertebrate morphological diversity. The described ecomorphological specializations in the autopodia of the adult phenotype make Hemidactylus an ideal candidate for eco-evo-devo studies (Gilbert and Epel 2009).

## Material and methods

## Institutional abbreviations

AC - Comparative Anatomy, Musée d‘Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France; CA - Cold Archive, Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Berlin, Germany; MK - Museum Koenig, Bonn, Germany; ZMB - Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science (former Zoologisches Museum Berlin), Berlin, Germany.


Figure 1. An adult Hemidactylus female (three years old).

## Animal care

The study organism Hemidactylus is a yet unidentified species based on a pregnant female which was collected in Sudan in 2011 (Fig. 1; ZMB 87075 to 87077; Kirchhof et al. work in progress). Live animals are housed in an animal-care facility at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin; dead animals are preserved in alcohol in the herpetological collection and the Cold Archive at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin. Temperature in the breeding room is set to $26-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and photoperiod is maintained at 12 hours daylight: 12 hours of darkness. Seasonal cycles are not mimicked. Animals are fed with house crickets (Acheta domesticus), fruits, vitamins and calcium powder twice weekly. Females are allowed to oviposit naturally. Eggs are laid in clutches of one to two. Duration of post-ovipositional development is approximately 60 days, comparable to that of the Madagascar Ground Gecko Paroedura pictus (Noro et al. 2009). Embryos were sacrificed in accordance with German Law on Tierschutzgesetz, and TierSchVers $V$. Embryos were fixed in $4 \% \mathrm{pFA}$ in 1X Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 24 h to 48 h , depending on size, dehydrated and stored in $100 \%$ methanol at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the Cold Archive at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin (Tab. 1).

## $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ analysis

$\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scans were performed using a Phoenix nanotom X-ray|s at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin (Suppl. material 1: SOM Tab. 1). Scans were reconstructed to a 3D volume using datos|x (Version 2.0, GE Sensing \& Inspection Technologies GmbH phoenix|x-ray). Data were analyzed and visualized with VolumeGraphics Studio Max (Version 2.2., Volume Graphics). Scanned hands were from an adult specimen (Fig. 2; ZMB 87075, SVL 42 mm , over one year old), and a juvenile (Fig. 5C; ZMB 87077, SVL 17 mm , two days old). Datasets and models are deposited here https://doi.org/10.7479/qs1j-mnjp.

## Bone Histology

We used two histo-technological procedures: (i) azan stained microtome paraffin sections and (ii) ground petrographic sections.

For azan staining, limbs were embedded (Leica EG 1160 and Shandon Hypercenter XP) in paraffin, and sectioned with a microtome (Leica 2000R). Sections were treated following the standard protocol by Heidenhain. In detail, sections were washed or immersed in xylene paraffin (ten minutes), xylene (twice five minutes), aniline alcohol (five minutes), wash in aquadest, azocarmine ( 25 minutes), wash in aquadest, wash in acetic acid, and $5 \%$ phosphotungstic acid (two times 20 seconds), one to three hours in $5 \%$ phosphotungstic acid. Hereafter, the tissue was placed in the aniline blue orange dye ( 4.5 minutes), washed with aquadest, $96 \%$ alcohol, absolute alcohol, and finally four times xylene for five minutes each. Azan stains both bone and cartilage: dark blue indicates bone and light blue cartilage. Red indicates muscle fibers, and the nuclei are stained dark red. A forelimb of a hatchling (Fig. 5A; cross section no. A5_F_13a, specimen ZMB 87077) and a juvenile (Fig. 5B; cross section no. A4_F_21a, specimen ZMB 87076, SVL 33 mm , one year old), and an adult (Fig. 6B, D, E; ZMB 87078, SVL 47 mm , over one year old) were embedded in paraffin and sectioned. Cross sections are stored at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin.

For ground sections (Fig. 6A, C), macerated bones were dehydrated in $70 \%$ alcohol for 48 hours and embedded in epoxy resin (Araldite 2020), cut along the desired surface, mounted on glass slides and ground to a thickness of 75 to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. To enhance contrast, prevent detachment due to rehydration and generally protect the section, a coverslip was added. Femoral sections of Iguana iguana (AC1896 288), Varanus timorensis (MK52920) and Tupinambis teguixin (MK53531) were studied at the histotheca facilities of the Museum d'Histoire Naturell in Paris.

## Morphometry

Photographs of embryos were taken with a Leica M205C camera. Photomicrographs for histomorphometric analysis of lamellar bone apposition rates were taken on a Zeiss Axioskop (HBO 50) with Leica firecam (DFC 420) and processed in a Leica Application Suite. All measurements were taken using ImageJ (Rasband 1997) (Tabs 1, 2).

Table 1. Developmental age range and external length measurements of Hemidactylus stages A to L. All embryos were studied to identify key features characteristic for each stage.

| Stage | Developmental days <br> post oviposition | Snout vent length <br> (range in mm) | Forelimb measurement <br> $($ mean in $\mathbf{m m})$ | Hind limb measurement <br> $($ mean in mm) | Number of studied <br> specimens |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $4-5$ | $9.3-11.5$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 |
| B | 6 | $17.4-20.1$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2 |
| C | $9-14$ | $14.1-17.6$ | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| D | $11-30$ | $18.9-24.7$ | 1.5 | 1.8 | 11 |
| E | $8-30$ | $21.0-24.0$ | 1.8 | 2.8 | 7 |
| F | $42-56$ | $23.8-24.0$ | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2 |
| G | $15-36$ | $23.8-27.2$ | 2.8 | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| H | $38-50$ | $26.4-31.1$ | 3.7 | 6.5 | 6 |
| J | $35-56$ | 40 | $32.8-34.2$ | 4.9 | 6.7 |
| K | $40-58$ | $36.0-39.4$ | 5.3 | 6.9 | 10 |
| L | $50-60$ | $37.5-41.9$ | 6.8 | 2 |  |

Table 2. Histomorphometric data obtained from humeri of an adult (ZMB 87078) and a 'stage I' (CA2017_014). These data were used to calculate average apposition and lamellar bone accretion rates.

|  | Humerus ZMB 87078 | Humerus <br> CA2017_014 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life history data | Adult, <br> Born 10.12.15, <br> deceased 22.03.17 |  | Stage I <br> development |
| Total days lived | 468 |  | 25 (unborn) |
| Days since onset <br> ossification | 493 |  | 0 |
| Humerus shaft width ( $\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | 587.6 |  | 161.0 |
| posterior <br> cortex | anterior <br> cortex |  |  |
| Non-remodeled <br> Cortical thickness ( $\boldsymbol{\mu m})$ | 139.4 | 91.2 | 0.1 |
| No. of lamellae in cortex | 40 | 29 | 1 |

## Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on sections, with a rabbit polyclonal anti-Sox9 antibody (Merck Millipore). SOX9 is an early marker of cartilage, tendons and ligaments (Sugimoto et al. 2013). Samples were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated through ethanol steps into 1X PBS. Antigen retrieval was accomplished by citrate buffer treatment ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$ ). Protease XXV treatment (Thermo Scientific) for 15 min was followed by non-specific binding with $5 \%$ goat serum (Sigma). Primary antibody (polyclonal, rabbit, Millipore) was incubated overnight. Staining was achieved by DAPI and Fluoroshield (Sigma).

## Results

## Morphological staging table

A morphological staging table of Hemidactylus establishing twelve post-ovipositional developmental stages is presented here (Figs 2, 3; Tab. 1). For this purpose, differences in pharyngeal arches, otic pit, olfactory pit, optic cup, and other characters are studied in detail. In the following, each stage is presented listing key features which have been established in other squamate staging tables and which have been considered suitable for characterizing the ontogenetic development (Tab. 3; e.g. Noro et al. 2009, Wise et al. 2009, Khannoon 2015).

## Stage A

Ear: The otic pit is present and located dorsally to the hyoid arch (Fig. 2A, B). The cartilage capsule lies dorsally to the otic pit (Fig. 2B).

Eye: The eye is composed of an optic cup that has not yet fully enclosed the lens (Fig. 2A).

Heart: The heart shows the distinct s-shape resulting from the curvature of the developing ventricle. It protrudes from the thoracic cavity.

Nose: The snout starts to form at the rostral end of the head. The olfactory pit is visible as a sickle shaped
rim with its curvature pointing to the cranial side and the two points of the rim facing ventrally, towards the heart (Fig. 2B). Between the anterior ends of the rim towards the middle of the dorsal end of the rim, there is a small membrane covering the pit.

Pharyngeal arches: The mandibular pharyngeal arch is prominent, with a small bud from the maxillary that has just started to bud out (Fig. 2A). Posterior to the mandibular pharyngeal arch, the hyoid arch is present. It slightly overlaps the mandibular arch ventrally at the proximal part. Ventral to the hyoid arch is a small slit, marking the future distinction between the hyoid arch and the third arch.

## Stage B

Ear: The cartilage capsule dorsal to the otic pit is enlarged (Fig. 2C).

Eye: The optic cup has almost enclosed the lens, except for a small gap rostro-ventrally. Note that this is not the characteristic choroid fissure. The optic cup shows faint pigmentation at the caudal-dorsal margin (Fig. 2D).

Head: The mesencephalon protrudes prominently in the cranial direction.

Heart: The heart and its placement in the thoracic cavity have not changed in comparison to the previous stage (Fig. 2D).

Limbs: Both fore- and hind limbs appear as small buds (Fig. 2C).

Nose: The rim of the olfactory pit is still partially covered by a membrane.

Pharyngeal arches: The maxillary process has not proceeded its development compared to the previous stage. The mandibular and hyoid arches, including the gap between them (Fig. 2D; indicated by the plus), have increased in size and show a sharper medial turn at their distal ends, and the segregation of the ventral part of the hyoid arch is more distinct than in the previous stage (Fig. 2D). The fourth arch and the associated pharyngeal slit are present posterior to the hyoid arch (Fig. 2C; indicated by the asterisk).

## Stage C

Ear: The otic pit is enlarged and extends antero-posteriorly beyond the mandibular and hyoid arches. There is less overlap with the cartilage capsule.

Eye: The eye is enlarged and pigmented; it is referred to as the retina pigmented epithelium. The choroid fissure is present, and an unpigmented caudal-rostral line divides the optic cup into a distal and a proximal part (Fig. 2E, F; indicated by the cross).

Limbs: Both limb buds are proximo-distally longer than wide. The apical ectodermal ridge (AER) is distinct (Fig. 2G).

Nose: The external nares have developed, replacing the olfactory pit (Fig. 2E, F). This process of the fron-to-nasal process replaces the olfactory rim. The dorsal side of the covering membrane is now connected to the maxillary process.

Pharyngeal arches: The maxillary process is linked to the dorsal side of the fronto-nasal process. The mandibu-


Figure 2. Overview of embryonic developmental stages $A$ to $F$, erected in this study. Stage $A$ is depicted in (A, B) and represented by CA2017_007. (C, D) show the representative CA2017_003 for stage B. Stage C (E-G) is represented by CA2017_006. CA2017_005 represents stage D in (H-J). Note the immunohistochemistry for SOX9 expression in a limb cross section in (J). Stage E is shown in (K-L), represented by CA2017_010. CA2017_012 represents stage F in (M-O). Except for (J), all pictures in lateral view. Scale bars equal 1 mm . Abbreviations: aer - apical ectodermal ridge; au - autopod; cc - cartilage capsule; cf - choroid fissure; en - external nares; ep - eye pigmentation; $\mathbf{f p}$ - frontal nasal process; ha - hyoid arch; Ib - limb bud; II - lateral lines; mda - mandibular arch; mxa - maxillary process; oc - optic cup; of - olfactory pit; op - otic pit; pa - pharyngeal arches; st - stylopod; tc - thorac cavity; zu - zeugopod.
lar arch has budded out. The slit between the mandibular and the hyoid arch is reduced. The fourth and the fifth arches are present as small buds (Fig. 2E; indicated by the double-cross).

## Stage D

Ear: The otic vesicle is less prominent in comparison to former stages.

Eye: The eye displays darker pigmentation, including its extension rostrally and caudally to the lens (Fig. 2H; indicated by the upside caret), which is here interpreted as the precursor of the iris.

Heart: The heart is fully enclosed inside the thoracic cavity.

Limbs: Fore- and hind limbs show the paddle shape typically seen in other amniote embryos at corresponding stages (Fig. 2H, I). An elbow angle between the stylopod and zeugopod starts developing. SOX9, the precursor of cartilage, is expressed in the presumptive stylopod, zeugopod, and autopod regions (Fig. 2J). Expression is also distinct in the presumptive regions of the strong limb muscles (Fig. 2J).

Nose: The rim of the olfactory pit is completely closed. The maxillary and the medial nasal prominence overlay the stomodeum.

Pharyngeal arches: The mandibular arch and the hyoid arch are now indistinguishable from one another (Fig. 2H; indicated by the downside caret), and the fourth arch has begun to grow, becoming larger than the initial bud. The mandibular arch reaches to the midline of the eye, and the maxillary prominence has taken up a position anterior to the eye.

## Stage E

Ear: The otic vesicle further extends over the length of the mandibular and hyoid arch.

Eye: Lines that are visible lateral to the eye, or primordial iris, flanking the lens, have differentiated further.

Limbs: The most pronounced differences between stages D and E are identifiable in the limbs. In both fore- and hind limb, the interdigital tissue is retreating (Fig. 2K, L; indicated by the circle). A blood vessel is present at the distal margin of the forming autopod, which is antero-posteriorly wider than it is proximo-distally long (Fig. 2K).

Nose: Deep furrows between the nasal and maxillary prominences are developing further and show invaginations in this region.

Pharyngeal arches: The prominence of the mandibular and hyoid arches is now located anterior to the lens.

## Stage F

Ear: The otic capsule has now become a small pit on the lateral side of the head.

Eye: The optic cup is darkly pigmented. The lateral pigmented lines now enclose the lens (Fig. 2M).

Limbs: Recession of the interdigital tissue is extensive (Fig. 3M-O; indicated by the rhomb). The autopodia have
increased in size, most notably across the antero-posterior axis (Fig. 2N).

Nose: The olfactory pit has disappeared. The fron-to-nasal process is fully fused (Fig. 3M).

Pharyngeal arches: The pharyngeal arches do not show the distinction between the different arches anymore (Fig. 2M).

## Stage G

Ear: No further development in comparison to the former stage (Fig. 3A).

Eye: The optic cup has completely encircled the lens. The lateral pigmented lines of the lens start to encircle the lens and intensify in pigmentation.

Head: Cephalic projections, except for the mesencephalon, have disappeared. The head resembles that of a hatchling. Internally, only the calcified endolymphatic sacs and statolithic masses are visible in the $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scans (Fig. 3B).

Limbs: The autopodia show further recession of the interdigital tissue, prominently segregating digits I to V . The elbow angle is at $90^{\circ}$. First signs of slightly swollen patches on the ventral side of the digits are visible (Fig. 3C).

Nose: The nose has become a pit laterally at the rostral end of the snout (Fig. 3A).

Jaw: Upper and lower jaws are fully formed.
Urogenital bud: The urogenital bud is located medially between the hind limbs (Fig. 3A).

## Stage H

Eye: There are four distinct changes that are present in the eye at this stage: (i) The lens has changed from a matte white color to a more shining white color. (ii) The iris starts to cover the margins of the lens. (iii) The black pigmented tissue, starting out as the optic cup, has a glassy appearance. (iv) The hollow pan surrounding the lens is lighter than the rest of the eyeball.

Head: The head surface is smooth with few irregularities. The mesencephalon is still identifiable. Skull bones, such as parietal and surangular, start ossifying (Fig. 3E).

Limbs: The digits are almost free of interdigital tissue, and the distal phalanges start showing claw formation (Fig. 3D, F). Limb elements are still cartilaginous (Fig. 3E). On the ventral side of the digits, swollen pads are distinct, representing the precursors of the adhesive toepads (Fig. 3D, F).

## Stage I

Eye: The iris surrounding the lens starts to display a wavy pattern but is still confined along the margin (Fig. 3G).

Head: More or less all skull bones are ossifyed (Fig. 3H).

Limbs: Claws have developed on all digits. Adhesive toepads are more prominent at this stage: one can discriminate the wavy skin and swellings on the toepads (Fig. 3I). All long bones have started ossifying, as well as the metacarpals in the forelimbs (Fig. 3H). In the hind limbs, metatarsals have ossified further compared to the forelimbs, and ossification of the proximal phalanges has just set in (Fig. 3H).


Figure 3. Overview of embryonic developmental stages $G$ to $L$, erected in this study, including $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ data. Stage G is shown in (A-C), its representative is CA2017_004. CA2017_011 represents stage H in (D-F). (G-I) show stage I represented by CA2017_014. Stage $J$ is depicted in (J-M), represented by CA20217_008. Stage K is CA2017_013 and shown in ( $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{O}$ ). ( $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R}$ ) show CA2017_002 for stage L. (B) and (E) are in cranial view, $(\mathbf{R})$ in dorsal view, and all others in lateral view. Scale bars equal 1 mm . Abbreviations: at -adhesive toepads; ca - cornea; cw - claw formation; I - lens; np - nose pit; ot - otic capsule; $\mathbf{s}$ - scansors; $\mathbf{s p}$ - swollen pads; ub - urogenital bud; ws - wrinkly skin.

Skin: The skin starts to get wrinkly, a precursor stage prior to scale formation of the skin (Fig. 3G). Scale formation starts on the tail and proceeds cranially.

## Stage J

Eye: Within the eye, the cornea is developing, and the eye socket begins to enclose the eye (Fig. 3J). The iris has a distinct shape, with projections pointing to the medial part of the lens.

Head: The mesencephalon has started to retract, exposing a smooth skull.

Limbs: The limbs are fully developed. Even the scales, although not yet fully pigmented, are present on the limbs. The scansors on the ventral adhesive toepads of the digits now show a rough wavy texture (Fig. 3L). The most distal part of the adhesive toepads no longer connects dorsally to the ventral part of each digit. All phalangeal elements have started ossifying (Fig. 3K, M).

Nose: The nose has moved to the most rostral side of the snout; it will not change position hereafter.

Skin: Scales now cover the entire body. The skin is dark.
Urogenital bud: The urogenital bud has started retreating into the body (Fig. 3J).

## Stage K

Eye: The eye is located inside the socket, and the scale ridge surrounding it starts to develop (Fig. 3N). The scleral plates of the sclerotic ring start ossifying (Fig. 3O).

Head: Fully scaled.
Limbs: Ossification of the long bones continues (Fig. 30).

Skin: All scales are pigmented. Scales are present on the upper and lower jaw.

## Stage L

Eye: There is a rim on the cranial side of the eye, starting dorsally and wrapping around the upper part of the socket all the way to the midline (Fig. 3Q). The sclerotic ring is fully ossified (Fig. 3P).

Limbs: Phalanges and metacarpals and -tarsals continue ossifying, with the epiphyses not yet being ossified (Fig. 3R). Yet, neither paraphalanges nor carpals or tarsals have started ossifying (Fig. 3R).

Skin: The scales, present on tail, back, limbs, and head show differences in pigmentation and color (Fig. 3Q).

## Limb ossification

The phenomenon of limb reduction is of great interest in evolutionary biology (e.g. Shapiro 2002), and especially among squamates, it is frequently found and has been linked to functional demands. Among the many mechanisms and patterns observed that go hand in hand with limb reduction, skeletal heterochrony can be one of them (Shapiro 2002, Hugi et al. 2012). Hemidactylus has reduced phalangeal elements, which could represent an evolutionary step along the path of digit loss (Hopson 1995). In that regard, we analysed limb ossification in Hemidactylus limbs.

Between fore- and hind limbs, no heterochronic differences in size and shape were monitored at any developmental stage (Figs 2, 3; Tab. 1). Ossification sets in slightly earlier in hind autopodial elements compared to the forelimb ones (Fig. 3H). Reduced phalanges ossify at the same time and in the same order as other phalanges (Fig. 3).

Histochemical staining and $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scanning show that the paraphalangeal elements of Hemidactylus are present in three different shapes (Figs 4, 5): (i) nubbin-like ones laterally and dorsally at the distal end of all metacarpals, as well as at the dorsal side at the distal end of each last phalanx (Fig. 4; labeled in orange); (ii) rhomb-shaped ones distal-lateral to phalanx 1 in digits II, III, IV, and V, as well as phalanx 2 in digit IV (Fig. 4; labeled in red); and (iii) oval paraphalanges located ventrally at metacarpal heads of digits II to V (Fig. 4; labeled in yellow). All paraphalanges are bony in one-year-old adults (ZMB 87075, ZMB 87076) (Fig. 4, 5B). Yet, ossification starts only after hatching; in the two-dayold specimen (ZMB 87077) it has not yet started.

## Long bone histology and histomorphometry

The long bones of one-year-old adult individuals show a lamellar bone matrix with no vascular spaces (Fig. 6). Growth lines may sometimes be present in the primary cortex of individuals over one year old, but in some individuals they are absent or have been resorbed by medullary expansion. These individuals also show intense endosteal remodelling features in the diaphyseal cortex, as seen in longitudinal section (Fig. 6A, B). Different generations of resorption and redeposition of endosteal bone can be seen along the margin of the innermost cortex, expressed by the cross-cutting relations of the resorption lines. Such remodeling features in the endosteal region can also be observed in other lizard species, such as varanid, tegu and iguanid lizards (Fig. 7), but only Tupinambis shows true secondary osteons in the innermost cortex among sampled squamates.

From an adult individual of known age (ZMB 87078, 468 days old, 493 days since onset of ossification, Fig. 6B, D, E; Tab. 2), we calculated the average daily lamellar bone apposition rate since the onset of ossification until time of death at 0.1 lamellae per day, equivalent to an average periosteal accretion rate of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ per day. We used the following formulae to calculate accretion rates:


Where apposition.rate is the daily accretion rate (in $\mu \mathrm{m} /$ day), lamellar.accretion.rate is the number of lamellae deposited per day, $\mathrm{W}_{\text {adulthum }}$ is the width of the adult humerus (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), $\mathrm{W}_{\text {onset.oss }}$ is the humeral width at the onset of ossification (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ days.onset oss is the age of the adult individuals, in days, since the onset of ossification, $\mathrm{W}_{\text {primcortex }}$ is the thickness of the primary cortex in the adult individual, and $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}{ }_{\text {lamellae.primcortex }}$ is the number of lamellae counted in the primary cortex of the adult individual (Measurements in Tab. 2).


Figure 4. $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ images of the manus of an adult Hemidactylus (ZMB 87075). Left (A) and right (B, C) manus in dorsal (A, B) and ventral (C) view. Large lateral paraphalanges are shown in red, small nubbin-like ones laterally and dorsally in orange, and ventral ones in yellow. Note the reduced antepenultimate in pink in (B,C). Scale bar is $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Abbreviations: dc - distal carpals; $\mathbf{m}$ - metacarpals; $\mathbf{p}$-pisiform; $\mathbf{p p}$ - paraphalanges; $\mathbf{R}$ - radius; $\mathbf{r}$ - radiale; $\mathbf{r p}$ - reduced phalanges; $\mathbf{U}$ - ulna; u ulnare; $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ - phalanges $1-5 ; \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{V}$ - digits I-V.


Figure 5. Forelimb of juvenile Hemidactylus, stained with azan (A, B) and scanned by $\mu \mathrm{CT}(\mathbf{C})$. (A) and (C) are of the hatchling ZMB 87077 (cross section no. in A is A5_F_13a), and (B) of the juvenile ZMB 87076 (cross section no. A4_F_21a). Note the yet unossified paraphalanges in both. In contrast, reduced phalangeal elements have started ossifying. Radius and ulna are not yet fully ossified, and carpal elements are as yet unossified. Numericals indicate: $\mathbf{1}$ - trapezium; $\mathbf{2}$ - metacarpal; $\mathbf{3}$ - phalanx; $\mathbf{4}$ - paraphalanx; $\mathbf{5}$ - metacarpal; 6 - phalanx; 7 - paraphalanx. Scale bars in (A) and (B) equal $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and scale bar in (C) is $550 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 6. Bone histology of Hemidactylus long bones. Adult specimen CA2017_001 (cross section no. H63_FR_B5; A, C), ZMB 87078 (cross section no. A12_FL_6b, one year old, SVL $47 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ ), and developmental stage I specimen CA2017_015 (F) and CA2017_014 (G). (A-E) Longitudinal sections (HE staining) of the humeral shaft showing a clear pattern of alternating bone lamellae in the periosteal cortex and remodeling in the endosteal region. (C) Close-up of boxed area in (A). (D, E) Magnification of cortical bone of similar areas in (B). (F, G) Onset of ossification in individuals, 25 days before hatching, as seen in histological sections ( $\mathbf{F}$ ) and $\mu$ CT scans (G). Abbreviations: eb - endosteal bone; $\mathbf{f}$ - femur; $\mathbf{h}$ - humerus; $\mathbf{h l}$ - Howship lacunae; $\mathbf{m c}$ - medullary cavity; $\mathbf{p b}$ - periosteal bone; $\mathbf{r}$ - radius; $\mathbf{u}$ - ulna. Scale bars in (A, B) equal $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in (C-E) $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in ( $\mathbf{F}) 500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and 1 mm in ( $\mathbf{G}$ ).


Figure 7. Lamellar bone histology and endosteal remodeling in other squamate lizards. (A) Cross section of an Iguana iguana femur (AC1896288). (B) Cross section of a Varanus timorensis femur (MK52920). (C) Cross section of Tupinambis teguixin femur (MK53531). All images were taken under cross polarized light. Note the cross cutting relations in the endosteal bone of V. timorensis and Tupinambis. Also note the more extensive layer of remodeling with secondary osteons in the innermost cortex of Tupinambis. Abbreviations: $\mathbf{e b}$ - endosteal bone; $\mathbf{p b}$ - periosteal bone; so - secondary osteon. Scale bar in (A) equals 1 mm , in (B) $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and in (C) $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Discussion and conlusions

## Comparative embryology

The in-ovo development of the gecko Hemidactylus was defined into twelve stages post-oviposition based on morphological embryonic characters (Figs 2, 3). In contrast to other staging tables, somite formation is not taken into account as they are not clearly identifiable (Hamburger and Hamilton 1951, Muthukkaruppan et al. 1970, Theiler 1989, Sanger et al. 2008). The comparisons of days post-oviposition proved to be a poor indicator of actual development of the embryo (Tab. 1), which we attribute to variations in oviposition timing between females and/or to fluctuation in temperature (26$28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the breeding room. Such discrepancy is not unusual considering the poikilothermic physiology of Hemidactylus; shifts in temperature are known to have effects on the developmental age of individuals (Atkinson 1994, Andrews 2004, Goodman 2008, Dayananda et al. 2017). A similar range was also monitored in

Eublepharis macularius (Wise et al. 2009), Nothobachia ablephara and Calyptommatus sinebrachiatus (Roscito and Rodrigues 2012). Instead, our study groups erected stages based on morphological events regarding the ear, eye, head, heart, limbs, fronto-nasal process, pharyngeal arches, skin, and thorax development (Figs 2, 3; Tabs 1,3 ). Since limb morphogenesis is an often used and a very informative criterion in vertebrate developmental biology, it is also a focus in this study. We not only generated a framework in which to communicate the development of Hemidactylus, but we also made direct comparisons with other staging tables available for a diverse range of squamate species (Tab. 3), thus allowing comparative heterochronic studies. In fact, in squamates, the differential timing of fore- and hind limb is rather synchronous (Bininda-Emonds et al. 2007). Interestingly, this is also the case in Hemidactylus, which shows no heterochrony in limb development (Tab. 1), in contrast to other gekkotan taxa in which forelimb development is slightly advanced (Noro et al. 2009, Wise et al. 2009). Furthermore, in Paroedura, which has a comparable

Table 3. Comparison of squamate staging tables. Differing stages were horizontally aligned based on key characters. Comparing different staging tables allows for the study of heterochrony within squamates, here including geckos, lacertids and iguanians. Focused on limb development, darkening shades of gray indicate (i) both limb buds present after oviposition, (ii) interdigital tissue starts retreating, (iii) develops claws on all 5 digits, (iv) first sign of scales on limbs, and (v) limbs fully developed apart from size. Abbreviations: dpo - days post-oviposition; $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{St}$ - embryonic stage.

| This study | Noro et al. (2009) | Khannoon (2015) | Wise et al. (2009) | Roscito and Rodrigues (2012) | Roscito and Rodrigues (2012) | Py-Daniel et al. (2017) | Sanger et al. (2008) | Muthukkarruppan et al. (1970) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemidacty. lus $s p$. | Paroedura pictus | Tarentola annularis | Eublepharis macularius | Nothobachia ablephara | Calyptommatus sinebrachiatus | Tropidurus torquatus | Anolis sagrei | Calotes versicolor |
|  | 0 dpo |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stage 27 |
|  | 1 dpo |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stage 28 |
| Stage A | 2 dpo |  | St 28 |  | St 1 |  |  |  |
| Stage B | 3.4.5 dpo |  | St 29 | St 1 | St $2 \cdot 3$ | St 28 | 3 | Stage 29 |
|  | 6.7 dpo | S29 |  | St 2 |  | St 29 | 4.5 |  |
| Stage C | 8-9.10 dpo |  | St 30 |  | St 4 | St 30 | 6 | Stage 30 |
|  |  | S30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 dpo |  |  | St 3 |  |  |  | Stage 31 |
| Stage D | 14 dpo |  | St 31 | St 4 | St 5 | St 31 |  |  |
|  |  | S31 |  | St 5 |  | St 32 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | St 6 |  |  | Stage 32 |
|  | 16 dpo |  | St 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage E | 18 dpo | S32 | St 33 | St 6 | St 7 | St 33.34 | 7.8 | Stage 33 |
| Stage F | 20.22 dpo | S33 | St 34 | St 7 | St 8 | St 35 | 9 | Stage 34 |
|  |  |  |  | St 8 | St 9.10 |  |  |  |
| Stage G | 24 dpo | S34 |  | St 9 | St 11 | St 36 | 10 | Stage 35 |
|  | 26 dpo |  | St 35 |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| Stage H | 28 dpo | S35 | St 36 |  |  | St 37 |  | Stage 36 |
| Stage I | 30 dpo | S36 | St 37 |  |  | St 38 | 12-13.14 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stage 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stage 38 |
| Stage J | 35 dpo | S37 | St 38 |  |  | St 39 | 15.16.17 | Stage 39 |
|  |  |  | St 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S38 | St 40 |  |  |  |  | Stage 40 |
| Stage K | $\begin{gathered} 40 \cdot 45-50 \\ \text { dpo } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | S39 | St 41 |  | St 12 | St 40 | 18 | Stage 41 |
| Stage L | 55.60 dpo |  | St 42 |  |  | St 41.42 | 19 | Stage 42 |

developmental period, limb budding starts at the third day of oviposition (Noro et al. 2009). In contrast, we observe that in Hemidactylus, limb budding does not start as early as stage B (Fig. 2, Tab. 1). Later in development, Noro et al. (2009) identify a pattern of heterochrony in the limbs of Paroedura, which they attribute to the development of the digits in the gekkotan clade. However, findings of this study do not support this assumption (Figs 2, 3). Instead, we found interspecific variation during limb development within eight squamate species including geckos, lacertids, and iguanians: (i) concerning limb bud formation after oviposition, (ii) retraction of the interdigital tissue, (iii) claw development on the ultimate phalanges, (iv) scale formation on the limbs, and (v) termination of limb development (Tab. 3). Among geckos, for example, scale formation on the limbs starts at stage J and 35 dpo in Hemidactylus and Paroedura, respectively, whereas in Tarentola and Eublepharis, it is developed at an earlier stage (S35 and St 37, respectively).

## Long bone histomorphometrics

Bone histology shows rapid accretion and endosteal remodeling of lamellar bone in the long bone cortices at later phases in ontogeny. The lamellar bone formation rate is similar to rates seen in the nearly avascular fibulae of wild Varanus niloticus (de Buffrénil and Castanet 2000), suggesting that absolute matrix production rate could be similar in different squamates. The intense remodeling in adult Hemidactylus indicates a dynamic balance of cortical bone thickness during growth. Interestingly, in contrast to Hemidactylus, Iguana, and Varanus timorensis, the Tupinambis (Fig. 7) in our study also produces secondary osteons, and generally experiences more advanced remodeling. This difference may be the result of the combination of the relatively large size and foraging behavioral ecology of Tupinambis. The studied Hemicactylus and V. timorensis also typically forage for food, but they retain relatively small body sizes, and their lacuno-canalicular networks may provide enough flux to maintain a healthy bone homeostasis without the need for additional blood vessels inside the bony cortex.

## Eco-specializations of the limbs of Hemidactylus

Our detailed analysis of ecomorphological specializations in the limbs of Hemidactylus also reveal that, in contrast to earlier observations (Russell and Bauer 1988), paraphalanges are bony in the adult, as revealed by $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ (Figs 3, 4). However, these ossify only after hatching, as shown by $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ scanning and azan staining (Figs 4, 5). Furthermore, data from the $\mu \mathrm{CT}$ indicate that more paraphalanges in various different shapes are present in Hemidactylus (Fig. 4), when compared to other gekkotans (Gamble et al. 2012). These structures have been suggested to aid in the support of the scansors (Russell and Bauer 1988, Gamble et al. 2012). In fact, they evolved also multiple times independently in geckos, alongside adhesive toepads (Gamble et al. 2012). Paraphalanges have been suggested to represent sesamoid bones (Rus-
sell and Bauer 1988, Gamble et al. 2012). Sesamoids are neomorphic ossifications present in tendons and/or ligaments, located near joints. They are often mistaken for accessory ossicles because both share various imaging characteristics (Nwawka et al. 2013). However, we agree with their previous identification as paraphalanges, because their existence correlates with the modified tendons and muscles controlling the scansors (Russell and Bauer 1988, Gamble et al. 2012). Among Squamata, a variety of different sesamoid bones are present in the hands and feet (Fontanarrosa and Abdala 2016, Regnault et al. 2016). In fact, phalangeal sesamoids, located dorsally on the penultimate phalanges, are ancestral to all Lepidosauria (squamates and rhynchocephalians), whereas metacarpal sesamoids likely evolved only later within squamates (Regnault et al. 2016). Penultimate phalangeal sesamoids are, in fact, present in most squamates (Regnault et al. 2016). Metacarpal and metatarsal sesamoids are of variable occurrence in Gekkota (Regnault et al. 2016). Although some sesamoids have been linked to lifestyle, functional interpretations are to be inferred with caution (Fontanarrosa and Abdala 2016, Regnault et al. 2016). In humans, e.g., sesamoids likely result from an interplay of intrinsic genetic factors and phylogeny, and extrinsic mechanobiological factors (Sarin et al. 1999).

Reduced phalanges ossify at the same time schedule as the other phalangeal elements in Hemidactylus (Figs 3, 4), but their ecological relevance is yet to be determined in this species. This trait is also found in non-related and ecologically different fossil synapsids, such as Biarmosuchus, Titanophoneus, Lycaenops, Thrinaxodon, and even the mammaliaform Docofossor (Hopson 1995, Luo et al. 2015). However, phalanx number varies widely in non-mammalian tetrapods (Richardson and Chipman 2003). Hopson (1995) suggested that these reduced disc-like structures were eventually lost. Gamble et al. (2012), on the other hand, linked phalanx reduction with the evolution of adhesive toepads. Furthermore, Russell (1977) suggested that the reduced phalanx is used to raise the penultimate phalanx, thereby altering the angle of the claw relative to the substrate for a more beneficial grip. Phalanx variation has been developmentally associated with either heterochronic shifts (Richardson and Oelschläger 2002), or the involvement of mutations in expression patterns and molecular pathways of BMPs and other transcription factors (Cooper et al. 2014, Luo et al. 2015). Studying the latter in Hemidactylus remains an avenue for future research. This study provides the morphological framework essential for the study of such kinds of ecological traits from a molecular perspective, that is, by means of gene expression and transcriptome analyses.
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